

Disintegration Theorem

Michikazu Hirata

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize mixture and disintegration of measures. This entry is a formalization of Chapter 14.D of the book by Baccelli et.al. [1]. The main result is the disintegration theorem: let (X, Σ_X) be a measurable space, (Y, Σ_Y) be a standard Borel space, ν be a σ -finite measure on $X \times Y$, and ν_X be the marginal measure on X defined by $\nu_X(A) = \nu(A \times Y)$. Assume that ν_X is σ -finite, then there exists a probability kernel κ from X to Y such that

$$\nu(A \times B) = \int_A \kappa_x(B) \nu_X(dx), \quad A \in \Sigma_X, B \in \Sigma_Y.$$

Such a probability kernel is unique ν_X -almost everywhere.

Contents

1 Lemmas	1
1.1 Lemmas	2
1.2 Equivalence of Measures	8
2 Disintegration Theorem	9
2.1 Definition 14.D.2. (Mixture and Disintegration)	9
2.2 Lemma 14.D.3.	15
2.3 Theorem 14.D.4. (Measure Mixture Theorem)	17
2.4 Marginal Measure	31
2.5 Lemma 14.D.6.	34
2.6 Theorem 14.D.10. (Measure Disintegration Theorem)	45
2.7 Lemma 14.D.12.	60
2.8 Lemma 14.D.13.	63
2.9 Theorem 14.D.14.	64

1 Lemmas

```
theory Lemmas-Disintegration
imports Standard-Borel-Spaces.StandardBorel
begin
```

1.1 Lemmas

```

lemma semiring-of-sets-binary-product-sets[simp]:
  semiring-of-sets (space X × space Y) {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}
proof
  show {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y} ⊆ Pow (space X × space Y)
    using pair-measure-closed by blast
next
  fix c d
  assume c ∈ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y} d ∈ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X
  ∧ b ∈ sets Y}
  then obtain ac bc ad bd where
    c = ac × bc ac ∈ sets X bc ∈ sets Y d = ad × bd ad ∈ sets X bd ∈ sets Y
    by auto
  thus c ∩ d ∈ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}
    by(auto intro!: exI[where x=ac ∩ ad] exI[where x=bc ∩ bd])
next
  fix c d
  assume c ∈ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y} d ∈ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X
  ∧ b ∈ sets Y}
  then obtain ac bc ad bd where cd:
    c = ac × bc ac ∈ sets X bc ∈ sets Y d = ad × bd ad ∈ sets X bd ∈ sets Y
    by auto
  then have eq1:c - d = ((ac - ad) × (bc - bd)) ∪ ((ac - ad) × (bc ∩ bd)) ∪
  ((ac ∩ ad) × (bc - bd))
    by blast
  obtain a1 where a1: a1 ⊆ sets X finite a1 disjoint a1 ac - ad = ∪ a1
    using cd sets.Diff-cover[of ac X ad] by auto
  obtain a2 where a2 : a2 ⊆ sets Y finite a2 disjoint a2 bc - bd = ∪ a2
    using cd sets.Diff-cover[of bc Y bd] by auto
  define A1 A2 A3
    where A1-def:A1 ≡ {a × b | a b. a ∈ a1 ∧ b ∈ a2}
      and A2-def:A2 ≡ {a × (bc ∩ bd) | a . a ∈ a1}
      and A3-def:A3 ≡ {(ac ∩ ad) × b | b. b ∈ a2}
  have disj:disjoint (A1 ∪ A2 ∪ A3)
  proof -
    have [simp]: disjoint A1
    proof
      fix x y
      assume x ∈ A1 y ∈ A1 x ≠ y
      then obtain xa xb ya xb where xy: x = xa × xb xa ∈ a1 xb ∈ a2 y = ya ×
      ya ∈ a1 ya ∈ a2
        by(auto simp: A1-def)
      with ⟨x ≠ y⟩ consider xa ≠ ya | xb ≠ ya by auto
      thus disjnt x y
    proof cases
      case 1
      then have xa ∩ ya = {}
        using a1(3) xy by(auto simp: disjoint-def)
      thus ?thesis
    qed
  qed

```

```

    by(auto simp: xy disjnt-def)
next
  case 2
  then have xb ∩ yb = {}
    using a2(3) xy by(auto simp: disjoint-def)
    thus ?thesis
      by(auto simp: xy disjnt-def)
    qed
  qed
have [simp]: disjoint A2
proof
  fix x y
  assume x ∈ A2 y ∈ A2 x ≠ y
  then obtain xa ya where xy: x = xa × (bc ∩ bd) xa ∈ a1 y = ya × (bc ∩
bd) ya ∈ a1
    by(auto simp: A2-def)
    with a1(3) ⟨x ≠ y⟩ have xa ∩ ya = {}
      by(auto simp: disjoint-def)
      thus disjnt x y
        by(auto simp: xy disjnt-def)
    qed
  have [simp]: disjoint A3
  proof
    fix x y
    assume x ∈ A3 y ∈ A3 x ≠ y
    then obtain xb yb where xy: x = (ac ∩ ad) × xb xb ∈ a2 y = (ac ∩ ad) ×
yb yb ∈ a2
      by(auto simp: A3-def)
      with a2(3) ⟨x ≠ y⟩ have xb ∩ yb = {}
        by(auto simp: disjoint-def)
        thus disjnt x y
          by(auto simp: xy disjnt-def)
    qed
  show ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: disjoint-union) (insert a1 a2,auto simp: A1-def A2-def A3-def)
qed
have fin: finite (A1 ∪ A2 ∪ A3)
  using a1 a2 by (auto simp: A1-def A2-def A3-def finite-image-set2)
have cdeq:c - d = ∪ (A1 ∪ A2 ∪ A3)
proof -
  have [simp]: ∪ a1 × ∪ a2 = ∪ A1 ∪ a1 × (bc ∩ bd) = ∪ A2 (ac ∩ ad)
  × ∪ a2 = ∪ A3
    by (auto simp: A1-def A2-def A3-def)
  show ?thesis
    using a1(4) a2(4) by(simp add: eq1)
  qed
  have A1 ∪ A2 ∪ A3 ⊆ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}
    using a1(1) a2(1) cd by(auto simp: A1-def A2-def A3-def)
  with fin disj cdeq show ∃ C ⊆ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}. finite C ∧

```

```

disjoint C ∧ c − d = ∪ C
  by (auto intro!: exI[where x=A1 ∪ A2 ∪ A3])
qed auto

lemma sets-pair-restrict-space:
  sets (restrict-space X A ⊗ M restrict-space Y B) = sets (restrict-space (X ⊗ M
Y) (A × B))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof -
  have ?lhs = sigma-sets (space (restrict-space X A) × space (restrict-space Y B))
  {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets (restrict-space X A) ∧ b ∈ sets (restrict-space Y B)}
  by(simp add: sets-pair-measure)
  also have ... = sigma-sets (space (restrict-space X A) × space (restrict-space Y
B)) {a × b ∩ space (restrict-space X A) × space (restrict-space Y B) | a b. a ∈ sets
X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}
  proof -
    have {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets (restrict-space X A) ∧ b ∈ sets (restrict-space Y B)}
    = {a × b ∩ space (restrict-space X A) × space (restrict-space Y B) | a b. a ∈ sets
X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}
    unfolding space-restrict-space sets-restrict-space
    proof safe
      fix xa xb
      show xa ∈ sets X ==> xb ∈ sets Y ==>
        ∃ a b. (A ∩ xa) × (B ∩ xb) = a × b ∩ (A ∩ space X) × (B ∩ space Y)
        ∧ a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y
        by(auto intro!: exI[where x=xa] exI[where x=xb] dest:sets.sets-into-space)
    next
      fix a b
      show a ∈ sets X ==> b ∈ sets Y ==>
        ∃ aa ba. a × b ∩ (A ∩ space X) × (B ∩ space Y) = aa × ba ∧ aa ∈
        sets.restricted-space X A ∧ ba ∈ sets.restricted-space Y B
        by(auto intro!: exI[where x=a ∩ A] exI[where x=b ∩ B] dest:sets.sets-into-space)
    qed
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = sigma-sets (space (restrict-space X A) × space (restrict-space Y
B)) {(\λx. x) −' c ∩ space (restrict-space X A) × space (restrict-space Y B) | c. c
∈ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}}
  proof -
    have {a × b ∩ space (restrict-space X A) × space (restrict-space Y B) | a b.
a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y} = {(\λx. x) −' c ∩ space (restrict-space X A) × space
(restrict-space Y B) | c. c ∈ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}}
    by auto
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = {(\λx. x) −' c ∩ space (restrict-space X A) × space (restrict-space
Y B) | c. c ∈ sigma-sets (space X × space Y) {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets
Y}}
  by(rule sigma-sets-vimage-commute[symmetric]) (auto simp: space-restrict-space)

```

```

also have ... = {c ∩ (A ∩ space X) × (B ∩ space Y) | c. c ∈ sets (X ⊗ M Y)}
  by(simp add: space-restrict-space sets-pair-measure)
also have ... = {c ∩ A × B | c. c ∈ sets (X ⊗ M Y)}
  using sets.sets-into-space[of - X ⊗ M Y,simplified space-pair-measure] by blast
also have ... = ?rhs
  by(auto simp: sets-restrict-space)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma restrict-space-space[simp]: restrict-space M (space M) = M
  by(auto intro!: measure-eqI simp: sets-restrict-space emeasure-restrict-space sets.sets-into-space)

lemma atMostq-Int-stable:
  Int-stable {{..r} | r::real. r ∈ ℚ}
  by(auto simp: Int-stable-def min-def)

lemma rborel-eq-atMostq:
  borel = sigma UNIV { {{..r} | r::real. r ∈ ℚ}}
proof(safe intro!: borel-eq-sigmaI1[OF borel-eq-atMost,where F=id,simplified])
  fix a :: real
  interpret s: sigma-algebra UNIV sigma-sets UNIV {{..r} | r. r ∈ ℚ}
    by(auto intro!: sigma-algebra-sigma-sets)
  have [simp]: {{..a}} = (⋂ ((λr. {{..r}}) ` {r. r ∈ ℚ ∧ a ≤ r}))
    by auto (metis Rats-dense-in-real less-le-not-le nle-le)
  show {{..a}} ∈ sigma-sets UNIV {{..r} | r. r ∈ ℚ}
    using countable-Collect countable-rat Rats-no-top-le
    by(auto intro!: s.countable-INT')
qed auto

corollary rborel-eq-atMostq-sets:
  sets borel = sigma-sets UNIV {{..r} | r::real. r ∈ ℚ}
  by(simp add: rborel-eq-atMostq)

lemma mono-absolutely-continuous:
  assumes sets μ = sets ν ∧ A. A ∈ sets μ ⇒ μ A ≤ ν A
  shows absolutely-continuous ν μ
  by(auto simp: absolutely-continuous-def) (metis assms(1) assms(2) fmeasurableD
fmeasurableI-null-sets le-zero-eq null-setsD1 null-setsI)

lemma ex-measure-countable-space:
  assumes countable (space X)
  and sets X = Pow (space X)
  shows ∃μ. sets μ = sets X ∧ (∀x∈space X. μ {x} = fx)
proof -
  define μ where μ ≡ extend-measure (space X) (space X) (λx. {x}) f
  have s:sets μ = sets X
    using sets-extend-measure[of λx. {x} space X space X] sigma-sets-singletons[OF
assms(1)]
    by(auto simp add: μ-def assms(2))

```

```

show ?thesis
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=μ])
fix x
assume x:x ∈ space X
show μ {x} = f x
proof(cases finite (space X))
case fin:True
then have sets-fin:x ∈ sets μ ==> finite x for x
by(auto intro!: rev-finite-subset[OF fin] sets.sets-into-space simp: s)
define μ' where μ' ≡ (λA. ∑ x∈A. f x)
show ?thesis
proof(rule emeasure-extend-measure[of μ space X space X - f μ' x])
show countably-additive (sets μ) μ'
using fin sets-fin
by(auto intro!: sets.countably-additiveI-finite simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
s] positive-def μ'-def additive-def comm-monoid-add-class.sum.union-disjoint)
qed(auto simp: x μ-def μ'-def positive-def)
next
case inf:False
define μ' where μ' ≡ (λA. ∑ n. if from-nat-into (space X) n ∈ A then f
(from-nat-into (space X) n) else 0)
show ?thesis
proof(rule emeasure-extend-measure[of μ space X space X - f μ' x])
fix i
assume i ∈ space X
then obtain n where n:from-nat-into (space X) n = i
using bij-betw-from-nat-into[OF assms(1) inf] by (meson f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
then have μ' {i} = (∑ m. if m = n then f (from-nat-into (space X) n)
else 0)
using from-nat-into-inj-infinite[OF assms(1) inf]
by(auto simp: μ'-def) metis
also have ... = (∑ m. if (m + (Suc n)) = n then f (from-nat-into (space
X) n) else 0) + (∑ m < Suc n. if m = n then f (from-nat-into (space X) n) else
0)
by(rule suminf-offset) auto
also have ... = f i
by(auto simp: n)
finally show μ' {i} = f i .
next
show countably-additive (sets μ) μ'
proof(rule countably-additiveI)
fix A :: nat ⇒ -
assume h:range A ⊆ sets μ disjoint-family A ∪ (range A) ∈ sets μ
show (∑ i. μ' (A i)) = μ' (∪ (range A))
proof -
have (∑ i. μ' (A i)) = (ʃ+ i. μ' (A i) ∂(count-space UNIV))
by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-nat)
also have ... = (ʃ+ i. (∑ n. if from-nat-into (space X) n ∈ A i then f
(from-nat-into (space X) n) else 0) ∂(count-space UNIV))

```

```

    by(simp add: μ'-def)
  also have ... = (∑ n. (∫+ i. (if from-nat-into (space X) n ∈ A i then f
  (from-nat-into (space X) n) else 0) ∂(count-space UNIV)))
    by(simp add: nn-integral-suminf)
  also have ... = (∑ n. (∫+ i. f (from-nat-into (space X) n) * indicator
  (A i) (from-nat-into (space X) n) ∂(count-space UNIV)))
    by(auto intro!: suminf-cong nn-integral-cong)
  also have ... = (∑ n. (∑ i. f (from-nat-into (space X) n) * indicator
  (A i) (from-nat-into (space X) n)))
    by(simp add: nn-integral-count-space-nat)
  also have ... = (∑ n. f (from-nat-into (space X) n) * indicator (UNION
  (range A)) (from-nat-into (space X) n))
    by(simp add: suminf-indicator[OF h(2)])
  also have ... = μ' (UNION (range A))
    by(auto simp: μ'-def intro!: suminf-cong)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
qed(auto simp: x μ-def μ'-def positive-def)
qed
qed(simp-all add: s)
qed

lemma ex-prob-space-countable:
assumes space X ≠ {} countable (space X)
  and sets X = Pow (space X)
  shows ∃ μ. sets μ = sets X ∧ prob-space μ
proof(cases finite (space X))
  case fin:True
  define n where n ≡ card (space X)
  with fin assms(1) have n: 0 < n
    by(simp add: card-gt-0-iff)
  obtain μ where μ: sets μ = sets X ∧ x ∈ space X ⇒ μ {x} = ennreal (1 / real n)
    using ex-measure-countable-space[OF assms(2,3)] by meson
  then have sets-fin:x ∈ sets μ ⇒ finite x for x
    by(auto intro!: rev-finite-subset[OF fin] sets.sets-into-space)
  show ?thesis
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=μ])
    show prob-space μ
    proof
      have emeasure μ (space μ) = (∑ a∈space μ. ennreal (1/n))
        using emeasure-eq-sum-singleton[OF sets-fin[OF sets.top], of μ] assms(3) μ
        by auto
      also have ... = of-nat n * ennreal (1 / real n)
        using μ(2) sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF μ(1)] by(simp add: n-def)
      also have ... = 1
        using n by(auto simp: ennreal-of-nat-eq-real-of-nat) (metis ennreal-1 ennreal-mult'' mult.commute nonzero-eq-divide-eq not-gr0 of-nat-0-eq-iff of-nat-0-le-iff)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

finally show emeasure μ (space μ) = 1 .
qed
qed(use μ in auto)
next
case inf:False
obtain μ where μ: sets μ = sets X ∧ x ∈ space X ⇒ μ {x} = (1/2)^(Suc (to-nat-on (space X) x))
using ex-measure-countable-space[OF assms(2,3),of λx. (1/2)^(Suc (to-nat-on (space X) x))] by auto
show ?thesis
proof(safe intro!: ext[where x=μ])
show prob-space μ
proof
have emeasure μ (space μ) = emeasure μ (⋃ n. {from-nat-into (space X) n})
by(simp add: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF μ(1)] UNION-singleton-eq-range assms(1) assms(2))
also have ... = (∑ n. μ {from-nat-into (space X) n})
using from-nat-into-inj-infinite[OF assms(2) inf] from-nat-into[OF assms(1)] assms(3)
by(auto intro!: suminf-emeasure[symmetric] simp: μ(1) disjoint-family-on-def)
also have ... = (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc n))
by(simp add: μ(2)[OF from-nat-into[OF assms(1)]] to-nat-on-from-nat-into-infinite[OF assms(2) inf])
also have ... = (∑ i. ennreal ((1 / 2) ^ Suc i))
by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) divide-ennreal divide-pos-pos ennreal-numeral ennreal-power le-less power-0 zero-less-numeral zero-less-one)
also have ... = 1
using suminf-ennreal-eq[OF - power-half-series]
by (metis ennreal-1 zero-le-divide-1-iff zero-le-numeral zero-le-power)
finally show emeasure μ (space μ) = 1 .
qed
qed(use μ in auto)
qed

lemma AE-I'':
assumes N ∈ null-sets M
and ∀x. x ∈ space M ⇒ x ∉ N ⇒ P x
shows AE x in M. P x
by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms eventually-ae-filter mem-Collect-eq subsetI)

lemma absolutely-continuous-trans:
assumes absolutely-continuous L M absolutely-continuous M N
shows absolutely-continuous L N
using assms by(auto simp: absolutely-continuous-def)

```

1.2 Equivalence of Measures

abbreviation equivalence-measure :: 'a measure ⇒ 'a measure ⇒ bool (**infix** \sim_M)
60)

```

where equivalence-measure  $M N \equiv$  absolutely-continuous  $M N \wedge$  absolutely-continuous  $N M$ 

lemma equivalence-measure-refl:  $M \sim_M M$ 
  by(auto simp: absolutely-continuous-def)

lemma equivalence-measure-sym:
  assumes  $M \sim_M N$ 
  shows  $N \sim_M M$ 
  using assms by simp

lemma equivalence-measure-trans:
  assumes  $M \sim_M N N \sim_M L$ 
  shows  $M \sim_M L$ 
  using assms by(auto simp: absolutely-continuous-def)

lemma equivalence-measureI:
  assumes absolutely-continuous  $M N$  absolutely-continuous  $N M$ 
  shows  $M \sim_M N$ 
  by(simp add: assms)

end

```

2 Disintegration Theorem

```

theory Disintegration
  imports S-Finite-Measure-Monad.Kernels
    Lemmas-Disintegration
begin

```

2.1 Definition 14.D.2. (Mixture and Disintegration)

```

context measure-kernel
begin

```

```

definition mixture-of ::  $[('a \times 'b) \text{ measure}, 'a \text{ measure}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  mixture-of  $\nu \mu \longleftrightarrow \text{sets } \nu = \text{sets } (X \otimes_M Y) \wedge \text{sets } \mu = \text{sets } X \wedge (\forall C \in \text{sets } (X \otimes_M Y). \nu C = (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{indicator } C (x,y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu))$ 

```

```

definition disintegration ::  $[('a \times 'b) \text{ measure}, 'a \text{ measure}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  disintegration  $\nu \mu \longleftrightarrow \text{sets } \nu = \text{sets } (X \otimes_M Y) \wedge \text{sets } \mu = \text{sets } X \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } X. \forall B \in \text{sets } Y. \nu (A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x B) \partial\mu))$ 

```

```

lemma disintegrationI:
  assumes  $\text{sets } \nu = \text{sets } (X \otimes_M Y) \text{ sets } \mu = \text{sets } X$ 
  and  $\bigwedge A B. A \in \text{sets } X \implies B \in \text{sets } Y \implies \nu (A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x B) \partial\mu)$ 
  shows disintegration  $\nu \mu$ 
  by(simp add: disintegration-def assms)

```

```

lemma mixture-of-disintegration:
  assumes mixture-of ν μ
  shows disintegration ν μ
  unfolding disintegration-def
proof safe
  fix A B
  assume [simp]: $A \in \text{sets } X$   $B \in \text{sets } Y$ 
  have [simp,measurable-cong]: $\text{sets } \mu = \text{sets } X$   $\text{space } \mu = \text{space } X$ 
    using assms by(auto simp: mixture-of-def intro!: sets-eq-imp-space-eq)
  have  $A \times B \in \text{sets } (X \otimes_M Y)$  by simp
  with assms have  $\nu(A \times B) = (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{indicator}(A \times B)(x,y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu)$ 
    by(simp add: mixture-of-def)
  also have ... =  $(\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{indicator} A x * \text{indicator} B y \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu)$ 
    by(simp add: indicator-times)
  also have ... =  $(\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x B) \partial\mu)$ 
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: kernel-sets nn-integral-cmult-indicator mult.commute)
  finally show emeasure ν(A × B) =  $(\int^+ x \in A. \text{emeasure}(\kappa x) B \partial\mu)$  .
qed(use assms[simplified mixture-of-def] in auto)

lemma
  shows mixture-of-sets-eq: mixture-of ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  sets ν = sets  $(X \otimes_M Y)$  mixture-of ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  sets μ = sets X
  and mixture-of-space-eq: mixture-of ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  space ν = space  $(X \otimes_M Y)$ 
  mixture-of ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  space μ = space X
  and disintegration-sets-eq: disintegration ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  sets ν = sets  $(X \otimes_M Y)$ 
  disintegration ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  sets μ = sets X
  and disintegration-space-eq: disintegration ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  space ν = space  $(X \otimes_M Y)$ 
  disintegration ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  space μ = space X
  by(auto simp: mixture-of-def disintegration-def intro!: sets-eq-imp-space-eq)

lemma
  shows mixture-ofD: mixture-of ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  C ∈ sets  $(X \otimes_M Y) \Rightarrow \nu C = (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{indicator} C(x,y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu)$ 
  and disintegrationD: disintegration ν μ  $\Rightarrow$  A ∈ sets X  $\Rightarrow$  B ∈ sets Y  $\Rightarrow \nu(A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x B) \partial\mu)$ 
  by(auto simp: mixture-of-def disintegration-def)

lemma disintegration-restrict-space:
  assumes disintegration ν μ A ∩ space X ∈ sets X
  shows measure-kernel.disintegration (restrict-space X A) Y κ (restrict-space ν (A × space Y)) (restrict-space μ A)
proof(rule measure-kernel.disintegrationI[OF restrict-measure-kernel[of A]])
  have sets (restrict-space ν (A × space Y)) = sets (restrict-space  $(X \otimes_M Y)$  (A × space Y))
    by(auto simp: disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(1)] intro!: sets-restrict-space-cong)
  also have ... = sets (restrict-space X A ⊗_M Y)
    using sets-pair-restrict-space[of X A Y space Y]

```

```

    by simp
  finally show sets (restrict-space ν (A × space Y)) = sets (restrict-space X A
⊗M Y) .
next
  show sets (restrict-space μ A) = sets (restrict-space X A)
  by(auto simp: disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(1)] intro!: sets-restrict-space-cong)
next
  fix a b
  assume h:a ∈ sets (restrict-space X A) b ∈ sets Y
  then have restrict-space ν (A × space Y) (a × b) = ν (a × b)
    using sets.sets-into-space
  by(auto intro!: emeasure-restrict-space simp: disintegration-space-eq[OF assms(1)]
disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(1)] sets-restrict-space)
    (metis Sigma-Int-distrib1 assms(2) pair-measureI sets.top space-pair-measure)
  also have ... = (ʃ+x∈a. emeasure (κ x) b ∂μ)
    using sets-restrict-space-iff[OF assms(2)] h assms(1)
    by(auto simp: disintegration-def)
  also have ... = (ʃ+x∈A. (emeasure (κ x) b * indicator a x) ∂μ)
    using h(1) by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: sets-restrict-space)
      (metis IntD1 indicator-simps(1) indicator-simps(2) mult.comm-neutral
mult-zero-right)
  also have ... = (ʃ+x∈a. emeasure (κ x) b ∂restrict-space μ A)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms disintegration-sets-eq(2) disintegration-space-eq(2)
nn-integral-cong nn-integral-restrict-space)
  finally show restrict-space ν (A × space Y) (a × b) = (ʃ+x∈a. emeasure (κ
x) b ∂restrict-space μ A) .
qed

end

context subprob-kernel
begin
lemma countable-disintegration-AE-unique:
  assumes countable (space Y) and [measurable-cong]:sets Y = Pow (space Y)
    and subprob-kernel X Y κ' sigma-finite-measure μ
    and disintegration ν μ measure-kernel.disintegration X Y κ' ν μ
  shows AE x in μ. κ x = κ' x
proof -
  interpret κ': subprob-kernel X Y κ' by fact
  interpret s: sigma-finite-measure μ by fact
  have sets-eq[measurable-cong]: sets μ = sets X sets ν = sets (X ⊗M Y)
    using assms(5) by(auto simp: disintegration-def)
  have 1:AE x in μ. ∀ y ∈ space Y. κ x {y} = κ' x {y}
    unfolding AE-ball-countable[OF assms(1)]
  proof
    fix y
    assume y: y ∈ space Y
    show AE x in μ. emeasure (κ x) {y} = emeasure (κ' x) {y}
      proof(rule s.sigma-finite)

```

```

fix J :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  -
assume J:range J  $\subseteq$  sets  $\mu$   $\cup$  (range J) = space  $\mu$   $\wedge$  i. emeasure  $\mu$  (J i)  $\neq$ 
 $\infty$ 
from y have [measurable]: ( $\lambda x. \kappa x \{y\}$ )  $\in$  borel-measurable X ( $\lambda x. \kappa' x \{y\}$ )
 $\in$  borel-measurable X
  using emeasure-measurable  $\kappa'$ .emeasure-measurable by auto
define A where A  $\equiv$  {x  $\in$  space  $\mu$ .  $\kappa x \{y\} \leq \kappa' x \{y\}$ }
have [measurable]:A  $\in$  sets  $\mu$ 
  by(auto simp: A-def)
have A:  $\bigwedge x. x \in$  space  $\mu \implies x \notin A \implies \kappa' x \{y\} \leq \kappa x \{y\}$ 
  by(auto simp: A-def)
have 1: AE x $\in$ A in  $\mu$ .  $\kappa x \{y\} = \kappa' x \{y\}$ 
proof -
  have AE x in  $\mu$ .  $\forall n. (x \in A \cap J n \longrightarrow \kappa x \{y\} = \kappa' x \{y\})$ 
  unfolding AE-all-countable
  proof
    fix n
    have ninf:( $\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa x) \{y\} \partial\mu$ )  $< \infty$ 
    proof -
      have ( $\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa x) \{y\} \partial\mu$ )  $\leq (\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa x) (\text{space } Y)$ 
 $\partial\mu)$ 
        using kernel-sets y by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono emeasure-mono
simp: indicator-def disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(5)])
      also have ...  $\leq (\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. 1 \partial\mu)$ 
        using subprob-space by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono simp: indicator-def
disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(5)])
      also have ... =  $\mu (A \cap J n)$ 
        using J by simp
      also have ...  $\leq \mu (J n)$ 
        using J by (auto intro!: emeasure-mono)
      also have ...  $< \infty$ 
        using J(3)[of n] by (simp add: top.not-eq-extremum)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    have ( $\int^+ x. (\kappa' x) \{y\} * \text{indicator} (A \cap J n) x - (\kappa x) \{y\} * \text{indicator} (A$ 
 $\cap J n) x \partial\mu) = ( $\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa' x) \{y\} \partial\mu$ ) - ( $\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa x) \{y\} \partial\mu$ )
      using J ninf by(auto intro!: nn-integral-diff simp: indicator-def A-def)
    also have ... = 0
    proof -
      have 0:  $\nu ((A \cap J n) \times \{y\}) = (\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa x) \{y\} \partial\mu)$ 
        using J y sets-eq by(auto intro!: disintegrationD[OF assms(5),of A
 $\cap J n \{y\}]])
      have [simp]: ( $\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa' x) \{y\} \partial\mu$ ) =  $\nu ((A \cap J n) \times \{y\})$ 
        using J y sets-eq by(auto intro!:  $\kappa'$ .disintegrationD[OF assms(6),of A
 $\cap J n \{y\}$ ,symmetric])
      show ?thesis
        using ninf by (simp add: 0 diff-eq-0-iff-ennreal)
    qed
    finally have assm:AE x in  $\mu$ .  $(\kappa' x) \{y\} * \text{indicator} (A \cap J n) x - (\kappa x)$$$ 
```

```

 $\{y\} * \text{indicator} (A \cap J n) x = 0$ 
  using  $J$  by(simp add: nn-integral-0-iff-AE)
  show  $\text{AE } x \in A \cap J n \text{ in } \mu. (\kappa x) \{y\} = (\kappa' x) \{y\}$ 
  proof(rule AE-mp[OF assms])
    show  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \mu. \text{emeasure } (\kappa' x) \{y\} * \text{indicator} (A \cap J n) x - \text{emeasure}$ 
       $(\kappa x) \{y\} * \text{indicator} (A \cap J n) x = 0 \longrightarrow x \in A \cap J n \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) \{y\}$ 
       $= \text{emeasure } (\kappa' x) \{y\}$ 
    proof -
      {
        fix  $x$ 
        assume  $h: (\kappa' x) \{y\} - (\kappa x) \{y\} = 0$   $x \in A$ 
        have  $(\kappa x) \{y\} = (\kappa' x) \{y\}$ 
        using  $h(2)$  by(auto intro!: antisym ennreal-minus-eq-0[OF h(1)])
      simp: A-def)
      }
      thus ?thesis
        by(auto simp: indicator-def)
      qed
      qed
      qed
      hence  $\text{AE } x \in A \cap (\bigcup (\text{range } J)) \text{ in } \mu. \kappa x \{y\} = \kappa' x \{y\}$ 
        by auto
      thus ?thesis
        using  $J(2)$  by auto
      qed
      have 2:  $\text{AE } x \in (\text{space } \mu - A) \text{ in } \mu. \kappa x \{y\} = \kappa' x \{y\}$ 
      proof -
        have  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \mu. \forall n. x \in (\text{space } \mu - A) \cap J n \longrightarrow \kappa x \{y\} = \kappa' x \{y\}$ 
        unfolding AE-all-countable
        proof
          fix  $n$ 
          have ninf:  $(\int^+ x \in (\text{space } \mu - A) \cap J n. (\kappa' x) \{y\}) \partial \mu < \infty$ 
          proof -
            have  $(\int^+ x \in (\text{space } \mu - A) \cap J n. (\kappa' x) \{y\}) \partial \mu \leq (\int^+ x \in (\text{space } \mu - A) \cap J n. (\kappa' x) \{y\}) \partial \mu$ 
            using kernel-sets  $y$  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono emeasure-mono
          simp: indicator-def disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(5)])
          also have ...  $\leq (\int^+ x \in (\text{space } \mu - A) \cap J n. 1 \partial \mu)$ 
          using  $\kappa'.subprob-space$  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono simp: indicator-def disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(5)])
          also have ...  $= \mu ((\text{space } \mu - A) \cap J n)$ 
          using  $J$  by simp
          also have ...  $\leq \mu (J n)$ 
          using  $J$  by (auto intro!: emeasure-mono)
          also have ...  $< \infty$ 
          using  $J(3)[of n]$  by (simp add: top.not-eq-extremum)
          finally show ?thesis .
        qed
        have  $(\int^+ x. (\kappa x) \{y\} * \text{indicator} ((\text{space } \mu - A) \cap J n) x - (\kappa' x) \{y\} *$ 

```

```

indicator ((space μ - A) ∩ J n) x ∂μ) = (ʃ+x∈(space μ - A) ∩ J n. (κ x) {y}
∂μ) - (ʃ+x∈(space μ - A) ∩ J n. (κ' x) {y} ∂μ)
    using J ninf A by(auto intro!: nn-integral-diff simp: indicator-def)
    also have ... = 0
    proof -
        have 0: (ʃ+x∈(space μ - A) ∩ J n. (κ' x) {y} ∂μ) = ν (((space μ -
A) ∩ J n) × {y})
            using J y sets-eq by(auto intro!: κ'.disintegrationD[OF assms(6),of
(space μ - A) ∩ J n {y},symmetric])
        have [simp]: ν (((space μ - A) ∩ J n) × {y}) = (ʃ+x∈(space μ - A)
∩ J n. (κ x) {y} ∂μ)
            using J y sets-eq by(auto intro!: disintegrationD[OF assms(5),of (space
μ - A) ∩ J n {y}])
        show ?thesis
            using ninf by (simp add: 0 diff-eq-0-iff-ennreal)
    qed
    finally have assm:AE x in μ. (κ x) {y} * indicator ((space μ - A) ∩ J
n) x - (κ' x) {y} * indicator ((space μ - A) ∩ J n) x = 0
        using J by(simp add: nn-integral-0-iff-AE)
    show AE x∈(space μ - A) ∩ J n in μ. (κ x) {y} = (κ' x) {y}
    proof(rule AE-mp[OF assm])
        show AE x in μ. emeasure (κ x) {y} * indicator ((space μ - A) ∩ J n)
x - emeasure (κ' x) {y} * indicator ((space μ - A) ∩ J n) x = 0 → x ∈ (space
μ - A) ∩ J n → emeasure (κ x) {y} = emeasure (κ' x) {y}
        proof -
            {
                fix x
                assume h: (κ x) {y} - (κ' x) {y} = 0 x ∈ space μ x ∉ A
                have (κ x) {y} = (κ' x) {y}
                    using A[OF h(2,3)] by(auto intro!: antisym ennreal-minus-eq-0[OF
h(1)] simp: A-def)
            }
            thus ?thesis
                by(auto simp: indicator-def)
        qed
    qed
    hence AE x∈(space μ - A) ∩ (⋃ (range J))in μ. κ x {y} = κ' x {y}
        by auto
    thus ?thesis
        using J(2) by auto
    qed
    show AE x in μ. κ x {y} = κ' x {y}
        using 1 2 by(auto simp: A-def)
    qed
    qed
    show ?thesis
    proof(rule AE-mp[OF 1])
    {

```

```

fix x
assume x: x ∈ space X
  and h: ∀ y∈space Y. κ x {y} = κ' x {y}
have κ x = κ' x
  by (simp add: κ'.kernel-sets assms h kernel-sets measure-eqI-countable x)
}
thus AE x in μ. (∀ y∈space Y. emeasure (κ x) {y} = emeasure (κ' x) {y})
  → κ x = κ' x
  by(auto simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF sets-eq(1)])
qed
qed

end

lemma(in subprob-kernel) nu-mu-space Y-le:
  assumes disintegration ν μ A ∈ sets X
  shows ν (A × space Y) ≤ μ A
proof -
  have ν (A × space Y) = (ʃ+x∈A. (κ x (space Y)) ∂μ)
    using assms by(simp add: disintegration-def)
  also have ... ≤ (ʃ+x∈A. 1 ∂μ)
    using assms subprob-space by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono simp: disintegration-space-eq) (metis dual-order.refl indicator-simps(1) indicator-simps(2) mult.commute mult-1 mult-zero-right)
  also have ... = μ A
    using assms by (simp add: disintegration-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

context prob-kernel
begin

lemma countable-disintegration-AE-unique-prob:
  assumes countable (space Y) and [measurable-cong]:sets Y = Pow (space Y)
    and prob-kernel X Y κ' sigma-finite-measure μ
    and disintegration ν μ measure-kernel.disintegration X Y κ' ν μ
  shows AE x in μ. κ x = κ' x
  by(auto intro!: countable-disintegration-AE-unique[OF assms(1,2) - assms(4-6)]
    prob-kernel.subprob-kernel assms(3))

end

```

2.2 Lemma 14.D.3.

```

lemma(in prob-kernel) nu-mu-space Y:
  assumes disintegration ν μ A ∈ sets X
  shows ν (A × space Y) = μ A
proof -
  have ν (A × space Y) = (ʃ+x∈A. (κ x (space Y)) ∂μ)

```

```

using assms by(simp add: disintegration-def)
also have ... = ( $\int^+ x \in A. 1 \partial\mu$ )
using assms by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: prob-space disintegration-space-eq)
also have ... =  $\mu A$ 
using assms by (simp add: disintegration-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

corollary(in subprob-kernel) nu-finite:
assumes disintegration  $\nu \mu$  finite-measure  $\mu$ 
shows finite-measure  $\nu$ 
proof
have  $\nu(\text{space } \nu) = \nu(\text{space } (X \otimes_M Y))$ 
using assms by(simp add: disintegration-space-eq)
also have ...  $\leq \mu(\text{space } \mu)$ 
using assms by(simp add: nu-mu-space Y-le disintegration-space-eq space-pair-measure)
finally show  $\nu(\text{space } \nu) \neq \infty$ 
using assms(2) by (metis finite-measure.emeasure-finite infinity-ennreal-def
neq-top-trans)
qed

corollary(in subprob-kernel) nu-subprob-space:
assumes disintegration  $\nu \mu$  subprob-space  $\mu$ 
shows subprob-space  $\nu$ 
proof
have  $\nu(\text{space } \nu) = \nu(\text{space } (X \otimes_M Y))$ 
using assms by(simp add: disintegration-space-eq)
also have ...  $\leq \mu(\text{space } \mu)$ 
using assms by(simp add: nu-mu-space Y-le disintegration-space-eq space-pair-measure)
finally show  $\nu(\text{space } \nu) \leq 1$ 
using assms(2) order.trans subprob-space.emeasure-space-le-1 by auto
next
show space  $\nu \neq \{\}$ 
using Y-not-empty assms by(auto simp: disintegration-space-eq subprob-space-def
subprob-space-axioms-def space-pair-measure)
qed

corollary(in prob-kernel) nu-prob-space:
assumes disintegration  $\nu \mu$  prob-space  $\mu$ 
shows prob-space  $\nu$ 
proof
have  $\nu(\text{space } \nu) = \nu(\text{space } (X \otimes_M Y))$ 
using assms by(simp add: disintegration-space-eq)
also have ... =  $\mu(\text{space } \mu)$ 
using assms by(simp add: nu-mu-space Y disintegration-space-eq space-pair-measure)
finally show  $\nu(\text{space } \nu) = 1$ 
by (simp add: assms(2) prob-space.emeasure-space-1)
qed

```

```

lemma(in subprob-kernel) nu-sigma-finite:
  assumes disintegration ν μ sigma-finite-measure μ
  shows sigma-finite-measure ν
proof
  obtain A where A:countable A ⊆ sets μ ∪ A = space μ ∀ a∈A. emeasure μ
  a ≠ ∞
    using assms(2) by (meson sigma-finite-measure.sigma-finite-countable)
  have countable {a × space Y | a. a ∈ A}
    using countable-image[OF A(1),of λa. a × space Y]
    by (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
  moreover have {a × space Y | a. a ∈ A} ⊆ sets ν
    using A(2) assms(1) disintegration-def by auto
  moreover have ∪ {a × space Y | a. a ∈ A} = space ν
    using assms A(3) by(simp add: disintegration-space-eq space-pair-measure)
  blast
  moreover have ∀ b∈{a × space Y | a. a ∈ A}. emeasure ν b ≠ ∞
    using neq-top-trans[OF - nu-mu-space Y-le[OF assms(1)]] A(2,4) assms disintegration-sets-eq(2) by auto
  ultimately show ∃ B. countable B ∧ B ⊆ sets ν ∧ ∪ B = space ν ∧ (∀ b∈B.
  emeasure ν b ≠ ∞)
    by blast
qed

```

2.3 Theorem 14.D.4. (Measure Mixture Theorem)

```

lemma(in measure-kernel) exist-nu:
  assumes sets μ = sets X
  shows ∃ ν. disintegration ν μ
proof -
  define ν where ν = extend-measure (space X × space Y) {(a, b). a ∈ sets X ∧
  b ∈ sets Y} (λ(a, b). a × b) (λ(a, b). ∫⁺x∈a. emeasure (κ x) b∂μ)
  have 1: sets ν = sets (X ⊗ₘ Y)
  proof -
    have sets ν = sigma-sets (space X × space Y) ((λ(a, b). a × b) ` {(a, b). a ∈
    sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y})
      unfolding ν-def
      by(rule sets-extend-measure) (use sets.space-closed[of X] sets.space-closed[of
    Y] in blast)
    also have ... = sigma-sets (space X × space Y) {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈
    sets Y}
      by(auto intro!: sigma-sets-eqI)
    also have ... = sets (X ⊗ₘ Y)
      by(simp add: sets-pair-measure)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  have 2: ν (A × B) = (∫⁺x∈A. (κ x B) ∂μ) if A ∈ sets X B ∈ sets Y for A B
  proof(rule extend-measure-caratheodory-pair[OF ν-def])
    fix i j k l
    assume i ∈ sets X ∧ j ∈ sets Y k ∈ sets X ∧ l ∈ sets Y i × j = k × l
  
```

```

then consider  $i = k$   $j = l \mid i \times j = \{\}$   $k \times l = \{\}$  by blast
thus  $(\int^+_{x \in i} emeasure (\kappa x) j \partial\mu) = (\int^+_{x \in k} emeasure (\kappa x) l \partial\mu)$ 
    by cases auto
next
fix A B j k
assume h:  $\bigwedge n :: nat. A n \in sets X \wedge B n \in sets Y \wedge j \in sets X \wedge k \in sets Y$ 
disjoint-family  $(\lambda n. A n \times B n) (\bigcup i. A i \times B i) = j \times k$ 
show  $(\sum n. \int^+_{x \in A n} emeasure (\kappa x) (B n) \partial\mu) = (\int^+_{x \in j} emeasure (\kappa x) k \partial\mu)$ 
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs =  $(\int^+ x. (\sum n. \kappa x (B n) * indicator (A n) x) \partial\mu)$ 
proof(rule nn-integral-suminf[symmetric])
fix n
have [measurable]: $(\lambda x. emeasure (\kappa x) (B n)) \in borel-measurable \mu$  indicator
(A n)  $\in borel-measurable \mu$ 
using h(1)[of n] emeasure-measurable[of B n] assms(1) by auto
thus  $(\lambda x. emeasure (\kappa x) (B n) * indicator (A n) x) \in borel-measurable \mu$ 
    by simp
qed
also have ... = ?rhs
proof(safe intro!: nn-integral-cong)
fix x
assume x ∈ space μ
consider j = {} | k = {} | j ≠ {} k ≠ {} by auto
then show  $(\sum n. emeasure (\kappa x) (B n) * indicator (A n) x) = emeasure$ 
(κ x) k * indicator j x
proof cases
case 1
then have  $\bigwedge n. A n \times B n = \{\}$ 
using h(4) by auto
have emeasure (κ x) (B n) * indicator (A n) x = 0 for n
using ‹A n × B n = {}› by(auto simp: Sigma-empty-if)
thus ?thesis
by(simp only: 1,simp)
next
case 2
then have  $\bigwedge n. A n \times B n = \{\}$ 
using h(4) by auto
have emeasure (κ x) (B n) * indicator (A n) x = 0 for n
using ‹A n × B n = {}› by(auto simp: Sigma-empty-if)
thus ?thesis
by(simp only: 2,simp)
next
case 3
then have xinjiff: $x \in j \longleftrightarrow (\exists i. \exists y \in B i. (x,y) \in A i \times B i)$ 
using h(4) by blast
have bunk: $\bigcup (B ' \{i. x \in A i\}) = k$  if  $x \in j$ 
using that 3 h(4) by blast

```

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases x ∈ j)
  case False
    then have ∀n. x ∉ A n ∨ B n = {}
      using h(4) 3 xinjiff by auto
    have emeasure (κ x) (B n) * indicator (A n) x = 0 for n
      using ⟨x ∉ A n ∨ B n = {}⟩ by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by(simp only:)(simp add: False)
  next
    case True
    then have [simp]: emeasure (κ x) k * indicator j x = emeasure (κ x) k
      by simp
    have (∑ n. emeasure (κ x) (B n) * indicator (A n) x) = (∑ n. emeasure
      (κ x) (if x ∈ A n then B n else {}))
      by(auto intro!: suminf-cong)
    also have ... = emeasure (κ x) (⋃ n. if x ∈ A n then B n else {})
    proof(rule suminf-emeasure)
      show disjoint-family (λi. if x ∈ A i then B i else {})
      using disjoint-family-onD[OF h(3)] by (auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
    next
      show range (λi. if x ∈ A i then B i else {}) ⊆ sets (κ x)
        using h(1) kernel-sets[of x] ⟨x ∈ space μ⟩ sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
        assms(1)] by auto
      qed
      also have ... = emeasure (κ x) k
        using True by(simp add: bunk)
      finally show ?thesis by simp
      qed
      qed
      qed
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
qed(use that in auto)
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 assms
  by(auto simp: disintegration-def)
qed

lemma(in subprob-kernel) exist-unique-nu-sigma-finite':
  assumes sets μ = sets X sigma-finite-measure μ
  shows ∃!ν. disintegration ν μ
proof –
  obtain ν where disi: disintegration ν μ
    using exist-nu[OF assms(1)] by auto
  with assms(2) interpret sf: sigma-finite-measure ν
    by(simp add: nu-sigma-finite)
  interpret μ: sigma-finite-measure μ by fact
  show ?thesis

```

```

proof(rule ex1I[where a=ν])
fix ν'
assume disi':disintegration ν' μ
show ν' = ν
proof(rule μ.sigma-finite-disjoint)
fix A :: nat ⇒ -
assume A: range A ⊆ sets μ ∪ (range A) = space μ ∧ i. emeasure μ (A i)
≠ ∞ disjoint-family A
define B where B ≡ λi. A i × space Y
show ν' = ν
proof(rule measure-eqI-generator-eq[where E = {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b
∈ sets Y} and A=B and Ω=space X × space Y])
show ∀C. C ∈ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y} ⇒ emeasure ν' C
= emeasure ν C
sets ν' = sigma-sets (space X × space Y) {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b
∈ sets Y}
sets ν = sigma-sets (space X × space Y) {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈
sets Y}
using disi disi' by(auto simp: disintegration-def sets-pair-measure)
next
show range B ⊆ {a × b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}
∪ (range B) = space X × space Y
using A(1,2) by(auto simp: B-def assms(1) sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
assms(1)])
next
fix i
show emeasure ν' (B i) ≠ ∞
using A(1) nu-mu-space Y-le[OF disi',of A i] A(3)[of i] by(auto simp:
B-def assms top.extremum-uniqueI)
qed(simp-all add: Int-stable-pair-measure-generator pair-measure-closed)
qed
qed fact
qed

lemma(in subprob-kernel) exist-unique-nu-sigma-finite:
assumes sets μ = sets X sigma-finite-measure μ
shows ∃!ν. disintegration ν μ ∧ sigma-finite-measure ν
using assms exist-unique-nu-sigma-finite' nu-sigma-finite by blast

lemma(in subprob-kernel) exist-unique-nu-finite:
assumes sets μ = sets X finite-measure μ
shows ∃!ν. disintegration ν μ ∧ finite-measure ν
using assms nu-finite finite-measure.sigma-finite-measure[OF assms(2)] exist-unique-nu-sigma-finite'
by blast

lemma(in subprob-kernel) exist-unique-nu-sub-prob-space:
assumes sets μ = sets X subprob-space μ
shows ∃!ν. disintegration ν μ ∧ subprob-space ν
using assms nu-subprob-space subprob-space-imp-sigma-finite[OF assms(2)] ex-

```

```

 $\text{ist-unique-nu-sigma-finite}' \text{ by blast}$ 

lemma(in prob-kernel) exist-unique-nu-prob-space:
  assumes sets  $\mu = \text{sets } X \text{ prob-space } \mu$ 
  shows  $\exists! \nu. \text{disintegration } \nu \mu \wedge \text{prob-space } \nu$ 
  using assms nu-prob-space prob-space-imp-sigma-finite[OF assms(2)] exist-unique-nu-sigma-finite'
  by blast

lemma(in subprob-kernel) nn-integral-fst-finite':
  assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (X \otimes_M Y) \text{ disintegration } \nu \mu \text{ finite-measure } \mu$ 
  shows  $(\int^+ z. f z \partial\nu) = (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. f(x, y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu)$ 
  using assms(1)
  proof induction
    case (cong  $f g$ )
      have integralN  $\nu f = \text{integral}^N \nu g$ 
      using cong(3) by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: disintegration-space-eq(1)[OF assms(2)])
      with cong(3) show ?case
        by(auto simp: cong(4) kernel-space disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(2)]
          space-pair-measure intro!: nn-integral-cong)
    next
      case (set  $A$ )
        show ?case
        proof(rule sigma-sets-induct-disjoint[of { $a \times b | a, b. a \in \text{sets } X \wedge b \in \text{sets } Y$ } space  $X \times \text{space } Y$ ])
          show  $A \in \text{sigma-sets } (\text{space } X \times \text{space } Y) \{a \times b | a, b. a \in \text{sets } X \wedge b \in \text{sets } Y\}$ 
            using set by(simp add: sets-pair-measure)
        next
          fix  $A$ 
          assume  $A \in \{a \times b | a, b. a \in \text{sets } X \wedge b \in \text{sets } Y\}$ 
          then obtain  $a b$  where  $ab: A = a \times b a \in \text{sets } X b \in \text{sets } Y$ 
            by auto
            with assms(2) have integralN  $\nu (\text{indicator } A) = (\int^+ x \in a. (\kappa x b) \partial\mu)$ 
              by(simp add: disintegration-def)
            also have ...  $= (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{indicator } A(x, y) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu)$ 
              by(auto simp: ab(1) indicator-times disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(2)]
                ab(3) kernel-sets mult.commute nn-integral-cmult-indicator intro!: nn-integral-cong)
            finally show integralN  $\nu (\text{indicator } A) = (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{indicator } A(x, y) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu)$  .
          next
            fix  $A$ 
            assume  $h: A \in \text{sigma-sets } (\text{space } X \times \text{space } Y) \{a \times b | a, b. a \in \text{sets } X \wedge b \in \text{sets } Y\} \text{ integral}^N \nu (\text{indicator } A) = (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{indicator } A(x, y) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu)$ 
            show integralN  $\nu (\text{indicator } (\text{space } X \times \text{space } Y - A)) = (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{indicator } (\text{space } X \times \text{space } Y - A)(x, y) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
            proof -
              have ?lhs  $= (\int^+ z. 1 - \text{indicator } A z \partial\nu)$ 
```

```

by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: disintegration-space-eq(1)[OF assms(2)]
space-pair-measure indicator-def)
also have ... = ( $\int^+ z \cdot 1 \, d\nu$ ) - ( $\int^+ z \cdot \text{indicator } A \, d\nu$ )
proof(rule nn-integral-diff)
  show integralN  $\nu$  (indicator  $A$ ) ≠ ∞
  using h(1)[simplified sets-pair-measure[symmetric]] disintegration-sets-eq(1)[OF
assms(2)] finite-measure.emeasure-finite[OF nu-finite[OF assms(2,3)]]]
  by auto
next
  show indicator  $A \in$  borel-measurable  $\nu$ 
  using h(1)[simplified sets-pair-measure[symmetric]] disintegration-sets-eq(1)[OF
assms(2)] by simp
  qed(simp-all add: indicator-def)
  also have ... = ( $\int^+ z \cdot 1 \, d\nu$ ) - ( $\int^+ x \cdot \int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator } A(x, y) \, d\kappa x \, d\mu$ )
  by(simp add: h(2))
  also have ... =  $\nu$  (space  $X \times$  space  $Y$ ) - ( $\int^+ x \cdot \int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator } A(x, y)$ 
 $\partial\kappa x \, d\mu$ )
  using nn-integral-indicator[OF sets.top[of  $\nu$ ]] by(simp add: space-pair-measure
disintegration-space-eq(1)[OF assms(2)])
  also have ... = ( $\int^+ x \cdot \kappa x$  (space  $Y$ )  $\partial\mu$ ) - ( $\int^+ x \cdot \int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator } A(x, y)$ 
 $\partial\kappa x \, d\mu$ )
proof -
  have  $\nu$  (space  $X \times$  space  $Y$ ) = ( $\int^+ x \cdot \kappa x$  (space  $Y$ )  $\partial\mu$ )
  using assms(2) by(auto simp: disintegration-def disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF
assms(2)] intro!: nn-integral-cong)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = ( $\int^+ x \cdot \int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator}$  (space  $X \times$  space  $Y$ ) (x, y)  $\partial\kappa x \, d\mu$ )
- ( $\int^+ x \cdot \int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator } A(x, y) \, d\kappa x \, d\mu$ )
proof -
  have ( $\int^+ x \cdot \kappa x$  (space  $Y$ )  $\partial\mu$ ) = ( $\int^+ x \cdot \int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator}$  (space  $X \times$  space
 $Y$ ) (x, y)  $\partial\kappa x \, d\mu$ )
  using kernel-sets by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: indicator-times
disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(2)])
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = ( $\int^+ x \cdot (\int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator}$  (space  $X \times$  space  $Y$ ) (x, y)  $\partial\kappa x$ )
- ( $\int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator } A(x, y) \, d\kappa x$ )  $\partial\mu$ )
proof(rule nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  show ( $\lambda x. \int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator}$  (space  $X \times$  space  $Y$ ) (x, y)  $\partial\kappa x$ )  $\in$  borel-measurable
 $\mu$ 
    ( $\lambda x. \int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator } A(x, y) \, d\kappa x$ )  $\in$  borel-measurable  $\mu$ 
    by(use disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)] nn-integral-measurable-
h(1)[simplified sets-pair-measure[symmetric]] in auto)+
next
  have ( $\int^+ x \cdot \int^+ y \cdot \text{indicator } A(x, y) \, d\kappa x \, d\mu$ ) ≤ ( $\int^+ x \cdot \int^+ y \cdot 1 \, d\kappa x$ 
 $\partial\mu$ )
  by(rule nn-integral-mono)+ (simp add: indicator-def)
  also have ... ≤ ( $\int^+ x \cdot 1 \, d\mu$ )

```

```

by(rule nn-integral-mono) (simp add: subprob-spaces disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF
assms(2)] subprob-space.subprob-emeasure-le-1)
  also have ... < ∞
    using finite-measure.emeasure-finite[OF assms(3)]
    by (simp add: top.not-eq-extremum)
  finally show (ʃ+ x. ʃ+ y. indicator A (x, y) ∂κ x ∂μ) ≠ ∞
    by auto
next
have A ⊆ space X × space Y
  by (metis h(1) sets.sets-into-space sets-pair-measure space-pair-measure)
  hence ⋀x. (ʃ+ y. indicator A (x, y) ∂κ x) ≤ (ʃ+ y. indicator (space X
× space Y) (x, y) ∂κ x)
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono)
  thus AE x in μ. (ʃ+ y. indicator A (x, y) ∂κ x) ≤ (ʃ+ y. indicator (space
X × space Y) (x, y) ∂κ x)
    by simp
qed
also have ... = (ʃ+ x. (ʃ+ y. indicator (space X × space Y) (x, y) −
indicator A (x, y) ∂κ x) ∂μ)
proof(intro nn-integral-cong nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
  fix x
  assume x ∈ space μ
  then have x ∈ space X
    by(auto simp: disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(2)])
  with kernel-sets[OF this] h(1)[simplified sets-pair-measure[symmetric]]
  show (λy. indicator (space X × space Y) (x, y)) ∈ borel-measurable (κ x)
    (λy. indicator A (x, y)) ∈ borel-measurable (κ x)
    by auto
next
fix x
assume x ∈ space μ
then have x ∈ space X
  by(auto simp: disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(2)])
have (ʃ+ y. indicator A (x, y) ∂κ x) ≤ (ʃ+ y. 1 ∂κ x)
  by(rule nn-integral-mono) (simp add: indicator-def)
also have ... ≤ 1
using subprob-spaces[OF ‹x ∈ space X›] by (simp add: subprob-space.subprob-emeasure-le-1)
also have ... < ∞
  by auto
finally show (ʃ+ y. indicator A (x, y) ∂κ x) ≠ ∞
  by simp
have A ⊆ space X × space Y
  by (metis h(1) sets.sets-into-space sets-pair-measure space-pair-measure)
  thus AE y in κ x. indicator A (x, y) ≤ (indicator (space X × space Y) (x,
y) :: ennreal)
    by auto
qed
also have ... = ?rhs
  by(auto simp: indicator-def intro!: nn-integral-cong)

```

```

    finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
fix A
assume h:disjoint-family A range A ⊆ sigma-sets (space X × space Y) {a ×
b | a b. a ∈ sets X ∧ b ∈ sets Y}
    ∧ i::nat. integralN ν (indicator (A i)) = (ʃ+ x. ∫+ y. indicator (A i)
(x, y) ∂κ x ∂μ)
    show integralN ν (indicator (∪ (range A))) = (ʃ+ x. ∫+ y. indicator (∪
(range A)) (x, y) ∂κ x ∂μ) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
    have ?lhs = (ʃ+ z. (∑ i. indicator (A i) z) ∂ν)
        by(simp add: suminf-indicator[OF h(1)])
    also have ... = (∑ i. (ʃ+ z. indicator (A i) z ∂ν))
        by(rule nn-integral-suminf) (use disintegration-sets-eq(1)[OF assms(2)]
h(2)[simplified sets-pair-measure[symmetric]] in simp)
    also have ... = (∑ i. (ʃ+ x. ∫+ y. indicator (A i) (x, y) ∂κ x ∂μ))
        by(simp add: h)
    also have ... = (ʃ+ x. (∑ i. (ʃ+ y. indicator (A i) (x, y) ∂κ x)) ∂μ)
        by(rule nn-integral-suminf[symmetric]) (use h(2)[simplified sets-pair-measure[symmetric]]
disintegration-sets-eq(2)[OF assms(2)] nn-integral-measurable-f in simp)
    also have ... = (ʃ+ x. ∫+ y. (∑ i. indicator (A i) (x, y)) ∂κ x ∂μ)
        using h(2)[simplified sets-pair-measure[symmetric]] kernel-sets
        by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong nn-integral-suminf[symmetric] simp: disin-
tegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(2)])
    also have ... = ?rhs
        by(simp add: suminf-indicator[OF h(1)])
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed(simp-all add: Int-stable-pair-measure-generator pair-measure-closed)
next
case (mult u c)
show ?case (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
    have ?lhs = c * (ʃ+ z. u z ∂ν)
        using disintegration-sets-eq(1)[OF assms(2)] mult
        by(simp add: nn-integral-cmult)
    also have ... = c * (ʃ+ x. ∫+ y. u (x, y) ∂κ x ∂μ)
        by(simp add: mult)
    also have ... = (ʃ+ x. c * (ʃ+ y. u (x, y) ∂κ x) ∂μ)
        using nn-integral-measurable-f'[OF mult(2)] disintegration-sets-eq(2)[OF
assms(2)]
        by(simp add: nn-integral-cmult)
    also have ... = ?rhs
        using mult by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong nn-integral-cmult[symmetric] simp:
disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(2)])
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
next

```

```

case (add u v)
show ?case (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = ( $\int^+ z. v z \partial\nu$ ) + ( $\int^+ z. u z \partial\nu$ )
  using add disintegration-sets-eq(1)[OF assms(2)] by (simp add: nn-integral-add)
  also have ... = ( $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. v(x, y) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu$ ) + ( $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. u(x, y) \partial\kappa$ 
x  $\partial\mu$ )
    by(simp add: add)
  also have ... = ( $\int^+ x. (\int^+ y. v(x, y) \partial\kappa x) + (\int^+ y. u(x, y) \partial\kappa x) \partial\mu$ )
    using nn-integral-measurable-f'[OF add(1)] nn-integral-measurable-f'[OF
add(3)] disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)]
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-add[symmetric])
  also have ... = ( $\int^+ x. (\int^+ y. v(x, y) + u(x, y) \partial\kappa x) \partial\mu$ )
    using add by(auto intro!: nn-integral-add[symmetric] nn-integral-cong simp:
disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(2)])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
case (seq fi)
  have ( $\int^+ y. (\bigsqcup \text{range } fi)(x, y) \partial\kappa x$ ) = ( $\bigsqcup i. \int^+ y. fi i(x, y) \partial\kappa x$ ) (is ?lhs
= ?rhs) if  $x \in \text{space } X$  for x
proof -
  have ?lhs = ( $\int^+ y. (\bigsqcup i. fi i(x, y)) \partial\kappa x$ )
    by(metis SUP-apply)
  also have ... = ?rhs
  proof(rule nn-integral-monotone-convergence-SUP)
    show incseq ( $\lambda i y. fi i(x, y)$ )
      using seq mono-compose by blast
  next
    fix i
    show ( $\lambda y. fi i(x, y)$ )  $\in$  borel-measurable ( $\kappa x$ )
      using seq(1)[of i] that kernel-sets[OF that] by simp
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
have integralN  $\nu(\bigsqcup \text{range } fi) = (\int^+ x. (\bigsqcup i. fi i x) \partial\nu)$ 
  by (metis SUP-apply)
also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup i. \text{integral}^N \nu(f i)$ )
  using disintegration-sets-eq(1)[OF assms(2)] seq(1,3)
  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-monotone-convergence-SUP)
also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup i. \int^+ x. \int^+ y. fi i(x, y) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu$ )
  by(simp add: seq)
also have ... = ( $\int^+ x. (\bigsqcup i. \int^+ y. fi i(x, y) \partial\kappa x) \partial\mu$ )
proof(safe intro!: nn-integral-monotone-convergence-SUP[symmetric])
  show incseq ( $\lambda i x. \int^+ y. fi i(x, y) \partial\kappa x$ )
    using le-funD[OF incseq-SucD[OF seq(3)]]
    by(auto intro!: incseq-SucI le-funI nn-integral-mono)
qed(use disintegration-sets-eq(2)[OF assms(2)] nn-integral-measurable-f'[OF seq(1)]
in auto)

```

```

also have ... = ( $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. (\bigsqcup i. f_i(x, y)) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu$ )
  using kernel-sets seq(1)
  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong nn-integral-monotone-convergence-SUP[symmetric]
    simp: disintegration-space-eq(2)[OF assms(2)] mono-compose seq(3))
also have ... = ( $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. (\bigsqcup range f_i)(x, y) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu$ )
  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: image-image)
finally show ?case .
qed

```

lemma(in prob-kernel) nn-integral-fst:

```

assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (X ⊗ M Y) disintegration ν μ sigma-finite-measure
μ
shows ( $\int^+ z. f z \partial\nu$ ) = ( $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. f(x, y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu$ )
proof(rule sigma-finite-measure.sigma-finite-disjoint[OF assms(3)])
fix A
assume A:range A ⊆ sets μ ∪ (range A) = space μ ∧ i::nat. emeasure μ (A i) ≠ ∞ disjoint-family A
then have A': range (λi. A i × space Y) ⊆ sets ν ∪ (range (λi. A i × space Y)) = space ν disjoint-family (λi. A i × space Y)
  by(auto simp: disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)] disjoint-family-on-def disintegration-space-eq[OF assms(2)] space-pair-measure) blast
show ?thesis (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs = ( $\int^+ z \in \bigcup (range (\lambda i. A i \times space Y)). f z \partial\nu$ )
  using A'(2) by auto
also have ... = ( $\sum i. \int^+ z \in A i \times space Y. f z \partial\nu$ )
  using A'(1,3) assms(1) disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)]
  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-disjoint-family)
also have ... = ( $\sum i. \int^+ z. f z \partial\text{restrict-space } \nu (A i \times space Y)$ )
  using A'(1) by(auto intro!: suminf-cong nn-integral-restrict-space[symmetric])
also have ... = ( $\sum i. \int^+ x. \int^+ y. f(x, y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\text{restrict-space } \mu (A i)$ )
proof(safe intro!: suminf-cong)
fix n
interpret pk: prob-kernel restrict-space X (A n) Y κ
  by(rule restrict-probability-kernel)
have An:A n ∩ space X ∈ sets X A n ∩ space X = A n
  using A(1) by(auto simp: disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)])
have f:f ∈ borel-measurable (restrict-space X (A n) ⊗ M Y)
proof -
have 1:sets (restrict-space X (A n) ⊗ M Y) = sets (restrict-space (X ⊗ M Y) (A n × space Y))
  using sets-pair-restrict-space[where Y=Y and B=space Y] by simp
show ?thesis
  using assms(1) by(simp add: measurable-cong-sets[OF 1 refl] measurable-restrict-space1)
qed
have fin: finite-measure (restrict-space μ (A n))
  by (metis A(1) A(3) UNIV-I emeasure-restrict-space finite-measureI image-subset-iff space-restrict-space space-restrict-space2 subset-eq)

```

```

show ( $\int^+ z. f z \partial\text{restrict-space } \nu (A n \times \text{space } Y) = (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. f (x,y)$ 
 $\partial(\kappa x) \partial\text{restrict-space } \mu (A n))$ 
by(rule pk.nn-integral-fst-finite'[OF f disintegration-restrict-space[OF assms(2)
An(1)] fin])
qed
also have ... = ( $\sum i. \int^+ x \in A i. \int^+ y. f (x,y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu$ )
using A(1) by(auto intro!: suminf-cong nn-integral-restrict-space)
also have ... = ( $\int^+ x \in \bigcup (\text{range } A). \int^+ y. f (x,y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu$ )
using A(1,4) nn-integral-measurable-f'[OF assms(1)] disintegration-sets-eq[OF
assms(2)]
by(auto intro!: nn-integral-disjoint-family[symmetric])
also have ... = ?rhs
using A(2) by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

lemma(in prob-kernel) integrable-eq1:
fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  -:{ banach, second-countable-topology }
assumes [measurable]: $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (X \otimes_M Y)$ 
and disintegration  $\nu \mu$  sigma-finite-measure  $\mu$ 
shows ( $\int^+ z. \text{ennreal} (\text{norm } (f z)) \partial\nu < \infty \longleftrightarrow (\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{ennreal} (\text{norm } (f (x,y))) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu < \infty$ )
by(simp add: nn-integral-fst[OF - assms(2,3)])

lemma(in prob-kernel) integrable-kernel-integrable:
fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  -:{ banach, second-countable-topology }
assumes integrable  $\nu f$  disintegration  $\nu \mu$  sigma-finite-measure  $\mu$ 
shows AE x in  $\mu$ . integrable  $(\kappa x) (\lambda y. f (x,y))$ 
proof -
have [measurable]: $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (X \otimes_M Y)$ 
using integrable-iff-bounded assms(1) disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)] by
simp
show ?thesis
 unfolding integrable-iff-bounded
proof -
have 1:( $\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{ennreal} (\text{norm } (f (x,y))) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu < \infty$ )
using assms(1) integrable-eq1[OF - assms(2,3),of f] by(simp add: integrable-iff-bounded)
have AE x in  $\mu$ . ( $\int^+ y. \text{ennreal} (\text{norm } (f (x,y))) \partial\kappa x \neq \infty$ 
by(rule nn-integral-PInf-AE) (use 1 disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)]
nn-integral-measurable-f in auto)
thus AE x in  $\mu$ . ( $\lambda y. f (x,y)) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\kappa x) \wedge (\int^+ y. \text{ennreal} (\text{norm } (f (x,y))) \partial\kappa x < \infty$ 
using top.not-eq-extremum by(fastforce simp: disintegration-space-eq[OF
assms(2)])
qed
qed

```

```

lemma(in prob-kernel) integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable':
  fixes f :: - ⇒ -:{banach, second-countable-topology}
  assumes integrable ν f disintegration ν μ sigma-finite-measure μ
  shows integrable μ (λx. ∫ y. f (x,y) ∂(κ x))
  unfolding integrable-iff-bounded
proof
  show (λx. ∫ y. f (x,y) ∂(κ x)) ∈ borel-measurable μ
  using disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)] assms(1) integral-measurable-f'[of f]
  by(auto simp: integrable-iff-bounded)
next
  have (∫⁺ x. ennreal (norm (∫ y. f (x,y) ∂(κ x))) ∂μ) ≤ (∫⁺ x. ∫⁺ y. ennreal
    (norm (f (x,y))) ∂(κ x) ∂μ)
    using integral-norm-bound-ennreal integrable-kernel-integrable[OF assms]
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono-AE)
  also have ... < ∞
    using integrable-eq1[OF - assms(2,3),of f] assms(1) disintegration-sets-eq[OF
    assms(2)]
    by(simp add: integrable-iff-bounded)
  finally show (∫⁺ x. ennreal (norm (∫ y. f (x,y) ∂(κ x))) ∂μ) < ∞ .
qed

lemma(in prob-kernel) integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable:
  fixes f :: - ⇒ -:{banach, second-countable-topology}
  assumes integrable ν (λ(x,y). f x y) disintegration ν μ sigma-finite-measure μ
  shows integrable μ (λx. ∫ y. f x y ∂(κ x))
  using integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF assms] by simp

lemma(in prob-kernel) integral-fst:
  fixes f :: - ⇒ -:{banach, second-countable-topology}
  assumes integrable ν f disintegration ν μ sigma-finite-measure μ
  shows (∫ z. f z ∂ν) = (∫ x. ∫ y. f (x,y) ∂(κ x) ∂μ)
  using assms(1)
proof induct
  case b:(base A c)
  then have 0:integrable ν (indicat-real A)
  by blast
  then have 1[measurable]: indicat-real A ∈ borel-measurable (X ⊗ M Y)
  using disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)] by auto
  have eq:(∫ z. indicat-real A z ∂ν) = (∫ x. ∫ y. indicat-real A (x,y) ∂κ x ∂μ) (is
    ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs = enn2real (∫⁺ z. ennreal (indicat-real A z) ∂ν)
    by(rule integral-eq-nn-integral)(use b in auto)
    also have ... = enn2real (∫⁺ x. ∫⁺ y. ennreal (indicat-real A (x,y)) ∂κ x ∂μ)
    using nn-integral-fst[OF - assms(2,3)] b disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)]
  by auto
  also have ... = enn2real (∫⁺ x. ennreal (∫ y. indicat-real A (x,y) ∂κ x) ∂μ)
  proof -
    have (∫⁺ x. ∫⁺ y. ennreal (indicat-real A (x,y)) ∂κ x ∂μ) = (∫⁺ x. ennreal

```

```

( $\int y. \text{indicat-real } A (x,y) \partial\kappa x) \partial\mu$ )
  proof(safe intro!: nn-integral-cong nn-integral-eq-integral)
    fix x
    assume  $x \in \text{space } \mu$ 
    then have  $x \in \text{space } X$ 
      by(simp add: disintegration-space-eq[OF assms(2)])
    hence [simp]:prob-space ( $\kappa x$ ) sets ( $\kappa x$ ) = sets Y space ( $\kappa x$ ) = space Y
      by(auto intro!: prob-spaces sets-eq-imp-space-eq kernel-sets)
    have [simp]: $\{y. (x, y) \in A\} \in \text{sets } Y$ 
    proof -
      have  $\{y. (x, y) \in A\} = (\lambda y. (x,y)) -` A \cap \text{space } Y$ 
        using b(1)[simplified disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)]]
        by auto
      also have ...  $\in \text{sets } Y$ 
        using b(1)[simplified disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)]] `x \in \text{space } X`
        by auto
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    have [simp]:  $(\lambda y. \text{indicat-real } A (x, y)) = \text{indicat-real } \{y. (x,y) \in A\}$ 
      by (auto simp: indicator-def)
    show integrable ( $\kappa x$ ) ( $\lambda y. \text{indicat-real } A (x, y)$ )
      using prob-space.emeasure-le-1[of  $\kappa x$   $\{y. (x, y) \in A\}$ ]
      by(auto simp add: integrable-indicator-iff order-le-less-trans)
    qed simp
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = ?rhs
    using disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)] integral-measurable-f'[OF 1]
    by(auto intro!: integral-eq-nn-integral[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
show ?case (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = ( $\int z. \text{indicat-real } A z \partial\nu) *_R c$ 
    using 0 by auto
  also have ... = ( $\int x. \int y. \text{indicat-real } A (x,y) \partial\kappa x \partial\mu) *_R c$ 
    by(simp only: eq)
  also have ... = ( $\int x. (\int y. \text{indicat-real } A (x,y) \partial\kappa x) *_R c \partial\mu$ )
    using integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF 0 assms(2,3)]
    by(auto intro!: integral-scaleR-left[symmetric])
  also have ... = ?rhs
    using integrable-kernel-integrable[OF 0 assms(2,3)] integral-measurable-f'[of
      indicat-real A] integral-measurable-f'[of  $\lambda z. \text{indicat-real } A z *_R c$ ] disintegration-sets-eq[OF
      assms(2)]
    by(auto intro!: integral-cong-AE)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
  case fg:(add f g)

```

```

note [measurable] = integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF fg(1) assms(2,3)]
integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF fg(3) assms(2,3)] integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF
Bochner-Integration.integrable-add[OF fg(1,3)] assms(2,3)]
show ?case (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = ( $\int x. (\int y. f(x,y) \partial(\kappa x)) + (\int y. g(x,y) \partial(\kappa x)) \partial\mu$ )
  by(simp add: Bochner-Integration.integral-add[OF fg(1,3)] fg Bochner-Integration.integral-add[OF
integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF fg(1) assms(2,3)] integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF
fg(3) assms(2,3)]])
  also have ... = ?rhs
  using integrable-kernel-integrable[OF fg(1) assms(2,3)] integrable-kernel-integrable[OF
fg(3) assms(2,3)]
  by(auto intro!: integral-cong-AE)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
  case (lim f s)
  then have [measurable]:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable} \nu \wedge i. s_i \in \text{borel-measurable} \nu$ 
    by auto
  show ?case
  proof (rule LIMSEQ-unique)
    show ( $\lambda i. \text{integral}^L \nu (s_i)$ ) —→  $\text{integral}^L \nu f$ 
    proof (rule integral-dominated-convergence)
      show integrable  $\nu (\lambda x. 2 * \text{norm}(f x))$ 
      using lim(5) by auto
    qed(use lim in auto)
next
  have ( $\lambda i. \int x. \int y. s_i(x,y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu$ ) —→  $\int x. \int y. f(x,y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu$ 
  proof (rule integral-dominated-convergence)
    have AE x in  $\mu$ .  $\forall i.$  integrable  $(\kappa x) (\lambda y. s_i(x,y))$ 
    unfolding AE-all-countable using integrable-kernel-integrable[OF lim(1)
assms(2,3)] ..
    with AE-space integrable-kernel-integrable[OF lim(5) assms(2,3)]
    show AE x in  $\mu.$   $(\lambda i. \int y. s_i(x,y) \partial(\kappa x))$  —→  $\int y. f(x,y) \partial(\kappa x)$ 
    proof eventually-elim
      fix x assume x:  $x \in \text{space } \mu$  and
        s:  $\forall i.$  integrable  $(\kappa x) (\lambda y. s_i(x,y))$  and f: integrable  $(\kappa x) (\lambda y. f(x,y))$ 
      show ( $\lambda i. \int y. s_i(x,y) \partial(\kappa x)$ ) —→  $\int y. f(x,y) \partial(\kappa x)$ 
      proof (rule integral-dominated-convergence)
        show integrable  $(\kappa x) (\lambda y. 2 * \text{norm}(f(x,y)))$ 
        using f by auto
        show AE xa in  $(\kappa x).$   $(\lambda i. s_i(x,xa))$  —→  $f(x,xa)$ 
        using x lim(3) kernel-space by (auto simp: space-pair-measure disintegration-space-eq[OF assms(2)])
        show  $\bigwedge i.$  AE xa in  $(\kappa x).$  norm  $(s_i(x,xa)) \leq 2 * \text{norm}(f(x,xa))$ 
        using x lim(4) kernel-space by (auto simp: space-pair-measure disintegration-space-eq[OF assms(2)])
      qed (use x disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)] disintegration-space-eq[OF
assms(2)] in auto)

```

```

qed
next
show integrable  $\mu$  ( $\lambda x. (\int y. 2 * norm(f(x, y)) \partial(\kappa x))$ )
  using integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF - assms(2,3),of  $\lambda z. 2 *$ 
norm(f(fst z, snd z))] lim(5)
  by auto
next
fix i show AE  $x$  in  $\mu$ . norm ( $\int y. s i(x, y) \partial(\kappa x)$ )  $\leq (\int y. 2 * norm(f(x, y)) \partial(\kappa x))$ 
  using AE-space integrable-kernel-integrable[OF lim(1) assms(2,3),of i]
integrable-kernel-integrable[OF lim(5) assms(2,3)]
proof eventually-elim
  case sf:(elim x)
  from sf(2) have norm ( $\int y. s i(x, y) \partial(\kappa x)$ )  $\leq (\int^+ y. norm(s i(x, y))$ 
 $\partial(\kappa x))$ 
    by (rule integral-norm-bound-ennreal)
    also have ...  $\leq (\int^+ y. 2 * norm(f(x, y)) \partial(\kappa x))$ 
    using sf.lim kernel-space by (auto intro!: nn-integral-mono simp: space-pair-measure
disintegration-space-eq[OF assms(2)])
    also have ... = ( $\int y. 2 * norm(f(x, y)) \partial(\kappa x))$ 
    using sf by (intro nn-integral-eq-integral) auto
    finally show norm ( $\int y. s i(x, y) \partial(\kappa x)$ )  $\leq (\int y. 2 * norm(f(x, y))$ 
 $\partial(\kappa x))$ 
    by simp
qed
qed(use integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF lim(1) assms(2,3)] integrable-lebesgue-integral-integrable'[OF lim(5) assms(2,3)] disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(2)] in auto)
then show ( $\lambda i. integral^L \nu(s i)$ ) —————  $\int x. \int y. f(x, y) \partial(\kappa x) \partial\mu$ 
  using lim by simp
qed
qed

```

2.4 Marginal Measure

definition marginal-measure-on :: [$'a$ measure, $'b$ measure, $('a \times 'b)$ measure, $'b$ set] \Rightarrow $'a$ measure **where**
 $marginal\text{-measure-on } X Y \nu B = measure\text{-of } (space X) (sets X) (\lambda A. \nu(A \times B))$

abbreviation marginal-measure :: [$'a$ measure, $'b$ measure, $('a \times 'b)$ measure] \Rightarrow $'a$ measure **where**
 $marginal\text{-measure } X Y \nu \equiv marginal\text{-measure-on } X Y \nu (space Y)$

lemma space-marginal-measure: space (marginal-measure-on $X Y \nu B$) = space X
and sets-marginal-measure: sets (marginal-measure-on $X Y \nu B$) = sets X
by(simp-all add: marginal-measure-on-def)

lemma emeasure-marginal-measure-on:
assumes sets $\nu = sets(X \otimes_M Y) B \in sets Y A \in sets X$

```

shows marginal-measure-on X Y ν B A = ν (A × B)
unfolding marginal-measure-on-def
proof(rule emeasure-measure-of-sigma)
show countably-additive (sets X) (λA. emeasure ν (A × B))
proof(rule countably-additiveI)
fix A :: nat ⇒ -
assume h:range A ⊆ sets X disjoint-family A ∪ (range A) ∈ sets X
have [simp]: (∑ i. A i × B) = (∑ (range A) × B)
by blast
have range (∑ i. A i × B) ⊆ sets ν disjoint-family (∑ i. A i × B)
using h assms(1,2) by(auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
from suminf-emeasure[OF this]
show (∑ i. ν (A i × B)) = ν (∑ (range A) × B)
by simp
qed
qed(insert assms, auto simp: positive-def sets.sigma-algebra-axioms)

lemma emeasure-marginal-measure:
assumes sets ν = sets (X ⊗M Y) A ∈ sets X
shows marginal-measure X Y ν A = ν (A × space Y)
using emeasure-marginal-measure-on[OF assms(1) - assms(2)] by simp

lemma finite-measure-marginal-measure-on-finite:
assumes finite-measure ν sets ν = sets (X ⊗M Y) B ∈ sets Y
shows finite-measure (marginal-measure-on X Y ν B)
by (simp add: assms emeasure-marginal-measure-on finite-measure.emeasure-finite
finite-measureI space-marginal-measure)

lemma finite-measure-marginal-measure-finite:
assumes finite-measure ν sets ν = sets (X ⊗M Y)
shows finite-measure (marginal-measure X Y ν)
by(rule finite-measure-marginal-measure-on-finite[OF assms sets.top])

lemma marginal-measure-restrict-space:
assumes sets ν = sets (X ⊗M Y) B ∈ sets Y
shows marginal-measure X (restrict-space Y B) (restrict-space ν (space X × B))
= marginal-measure-on X Y ν B
proof(rule measure-eqI)
fix A
assume A ∈ sets (marginal-measure X (restrict-space Y B) (restrict-space ν
(space X × B)))
then have A ∈ sets X
by(simp add: sets-marginal-measure)
have 1:sets (restrict-space ν (space X × B)) = sets (X ⊗M restrict-space Y B)
by (metis assms(1) restrict-space-space sets-pair-restrict-space sets-restrict-space-cong)
show emeasure (marginal-measure X (restrict-space Y B) (restrict-space ν (space
X × B))) A = emeasure (marginal-measure-on X Y ν B) A
apply(simp add: emeasure-marginal-measure-on[OF assms(1) assms(2) ‹A ∈
sets X›] emeasure-marginal-measure[OF 1 ‹A ∈ sets X›])

```

```

apply(simp add: space-restrict-space)
  by (metis Sigma-cong Sigma-mono ‹A ∈ sets X› assms(1) assms(2) eme-
measure-restrict-space inf-le1 pair-measureI sets.Int-space-eq2 sets.sets-into-space sets.top)
qed(simp add: sets-marginal-measure)

lemma restrict-space-marginal-measure-on:
  assumes sets ν = sets (X ⊗ M Y) B ∈ sets Y A ∈ sets X
  shows restrict-space (marginal-measure-on X Y ν B) A = marginal-measure-on
  (restrict-space X A) Y (restrict-space ν (A × space Y)) B
  proof(rule measure-eqI)
    fix A'
    assume A' ∈ sets (restrict-space (marginal-measure-on X Y ν B) A)
    then have h:A' ∈ sets.restricted-space X A
      by(simp add: sets-marginal-measure sets-restrict-space)
      show emeasure (restrict-space (marginal-measure-on X Y ν B) A) A' = emeasure
      (marginal-measure-on (restrict-space X A) Y (restrict-space ν (A × space Y)) B)
      A' (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
    proof –
      have 1:sets (restrict-space ν (A × space Y)) = sets (restrict-space X A ⊗ M
      Y)
        by (metis assms(1) restrict-space-space sets-pair-restrict-space sets-restrict-space-cong)
        have ?lhs = emeasure (marginal-measure-on X Y ν B) A'
        using h by(auto intro!: emeasure-restrict-space simp: space-marginal-measure
        sets-marginal-measure assms)
        also have ... = ν (A' × B)
        using emeasure-marginal-measure-on[OF assms(1,2),of A'] h assms(3) by
        auto
        also have ... = restrict-space ν (A × space Y) (A' × B)
        using h assms sets.sets-into-space
        by(auto intro!: emeasure-restrict-space[symmetric])
        also have ... = ?rhs
        using emeasure-marginal-measure-on[OF 1 assms(2),simplified sets-restrict-space,OF
        h] ..
        finally show ?thesis .
    qed
qed(simp add: sets-marginal-measure sets-restrict-space)

lemma restrict-space-marginal-measure:
  assumes sets ν = sets (X ⊗ M Y) A ∈ sets X
  shows restrict-space (marginal-measure X Y ν) A = marginal-measure (restrict-space
  X A) Y (restrict-space ν (A × space Y))
  using restrict-space-marginal-measure-on[OF assms(1) - assms(2)] by simp

lemma marginal-measure-mono:
  assumes sets ν = sets (X ⊗ M Y) A ∈ sets Y B ∈ sets Y A ⊆ B
  shows emeasure (marginal-measure-on X Y ν A) ≤ emeasure (marginal-measure-on
  X Y ν B)
  proof(rule le-funI)
    fix U

```

```

show emeasure (marginal-measure-on X Y ν A) U ≤ emeasure (marginal-measure-on
X Y ν B) U
proof –
  have 1:U × A ⊆ U × B using assms(4) by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases U ∈ sets X)
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: 1 assms emeasure-marginal-measure-on emeasure-mono)
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: emeasure-notin-sets sets-marginal-measure)
  qed
  qed
qed

lemma marginal-measure-absolutely-countinuous:
  assumes sets ν = sets (X ⊗ M Y) A ∈ sets Y B ∈ sets Y A ⊆ B
  shows absolutely-continuous (marginal-measure-on X Y ν B) (marginal-measure-on
X Y ν A)
  using emeasure-marginal-measure[OF assms(1)] assms(2,3) le-funD[OF marginal-measure-mono[OF
assms]]
  by(auto intro!: mono-absolutely-continuous simp: sets-marginal-measure)

lemma marginal-measure-absolutely-continuous':
  assumes sets ν = sets (X ⊗ M Y) A ∈ sets Y
  shows absolutely-continuous (marginal-measure X Y ν) (marginal-measure-on X
Y ν A)
  by(rule marginal-measure-absolutely-countinuous[OF assms sets.top sets.sets-into-space[OF
assms(2)]])

```

2.5 Lemma 14.D.6.

```

locale sigma-finite-measure-on-pair =
  fixes X :: 'a measure and Y :: 'b measure and ν :: ('a × 'b) measure
  assumes nu-sets[measurable-cong]: sets ν = sets (X ⊗ M Y)
  and sigma-finite: sigma-finite-measure ν
begin

abbreviation νx ≡ marginal-measure X Y ν

end

locale projection-sigma-finite =
  fixes X :: 'a measure and Y :: 'b measure and ν :: ('a × 'b) measure
  assumes nu-sets[measurable-cong]: sets ν = sets (X ⊗ M Y)
  and marginal-sigma-finite: sigma-finite-measure (marginal-measure X Y ν)
begin

```

```

sublocale  $\nu x : \text{sigma-finite-measure marginal-measure } X Y \nu$ 
  by(rule marginal-sigma-finite)

lemma  $\nu\text{-sigma-finite} : \text{sigma-finite-measure } \nu$ 
proof(rule  $\nu x.\text{sigma-finite}[\text{simplified sets-marginal-measure space-marginal-measure}]$ )
  fix  $A :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow -$ 
  assume  $A : \text{range } A \subseteq \text{sets } X \cup (\text{range } A) = \text{space } X \wedge i. \text{ marginal-measure } X$ 
   $Y \nu (A i) \neq \infty$ 
  define  $C$  where  $C \equiv \text{range } (\lambda n. A n \times \text{space } Y)$ 
  have  $1:C \subseteq \text{sets } \nu \text{ countable } C \cup C = \text{space } \nu$ 
  using nu-sets  $A(1,2)$  by(auto simp: C-def sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF nu-sets]
  space-pair-measure)
  show sigma-finite-measure  $\nu$ 
  unfolding sigma-finite-measure-def
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where  $x=C$ , simplified C-def])
  fix  $n$ 
  assume  $\nu (A n \times \text{space } Y) = \infty$ 
  moreover have  $\nu (A n \times \text{space } Y) \neq \infty$ 
  using  $A(3)[\text{of } n]$  emeasure-marginal-measure[OF nu-sets, of  $A n$ ]  $A(1)$  by
  auto
  ultimately show False by auto
  qed (use 1 C-def in auto)
qed

sublocale sigma-finite-measure-on-pair
  using  $\nu\text{-sigma-finite}$  by(auto simp: sigma-finite-measure-on-pair-def nu-sets)

definition  $\kappa' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{ennreal} \text{ where}$ 
 $\kappa' x B \equiv \text{RN-deriv } \nu x (\text{marginal-measure-on } X Y \nu B) x$ 

lemma kernel-measurable[measurable]:
 $(\lambda x. \text{RN-deriv } (\text{marginal-measure } X Y \nu) (\text{marginal-measure-on } X Y \nu B) x) \in$ 
borel-measurable  $\nu x$ 
by simp

corollary  $\kappa'$ -measurable[measurable]:
 $(\lambda x. \kappa' x B) \in \text{borel-measurable } X$ 
using sets-marginal-measure[of  $X Y \nu$  space  $Y$ ] by(auto simp:  $\kappa'$ -def)

lemma kernel-RN-deriv:
assumes  $A \in \text{sets } X B \in \text{sets } Y$ 
shows  $\nu (A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. \kappa' x B \partial \nu x)$ 
unfolding  $\kappa'$ -def
proof -
  have emeasure  $\nu (A \times B) = \text{emeasure } (\text{density } \nu x (\text{RN-deriv } \nu x (\text{marginal-measure-on } X Y \nu B))) A$ 
  by (simp add:  $\nu x.$ density-RN-deriv assms emeasure-marginal-measure-on marginal-measure-absolutely-conti

```

```

nu-sets sets-marginal-measure)
then show emeasure  $\nu(A \times B) = \text{set-nn-integral } \nu x A (\text{RN-deriv } \nu x (\text{marginal-measure-on } X Y \nu B))$ 
by (simp add: assms(1) emeasure-density sets-marginal-measure)
qed

lemma empty-Y-bot:
assumes space  $Y = \{\}$ 
shows  $\nu = \perp$ 
proof -
have sets  $\nu = \{\{\}\}$ 
using nu-sets space-empty-iff[of  $X \otimes_M Y$ , simplified space-pair-measure] assms
by simp
thus ?thesis
by(simp add: sets-eq-bot)
qed

lemma empty-Y-nux:
assumes space  $Y = \{\}$ 
shows  $\nu x A = 0$ 
proof(cases  $A \in \text{sets } X$ )
case True
from emeasure-marginal-measure[OF nu-sets this]
show ?thesis
by(simp add: assms)
next
case False
with sets-marginal-measure[of  $X Y \nu$  space  $Y$ ]
show ?thesis
by(auto intro!: emeasure-notin-sets)
qed

lemma kernel-empty0-AE:
 $\forall x \in \nu x. \kappa' x \{\} = 0$ 
unfolding  $\kappa'$ -def by(rule AE-symmetric[OF  $\nu x.$  RN-deriv-unique]) (auto intro!
measure-eqI simp: sets-marginal-measure emeasure-density emeasure-marginal-measure-on[OF nu-sets])

lemma kernel-Y1-AE:
 $\forall x \in \nu x. \kappa' x (\text{space } Y) = 1$ 
unfolding  $\kappa'$ -def by(rule AE-symmetric[OF  $\nu x.$  RN-deriv-unique]) (auto intro!
measure-eqI simp: emeasure-density)

lemma kernel-suminf-AE:
assumes disjoint-family  $F$ 
and  $\bigwedge i. F i \in \text{sets } Y$ 
shows  $\forall x \in \nu x. (\sum i. \kappa' x (F i)) = \kappa' x (\bigcup (\text{range } F))$ 
unfolding  $\kappa'$ -def
proof(rule  $\nu x.$  RN-deriv-unique)

```

```

show density  $\nu x (\lambda x. \sum i. RN\text{-deriv} local.\nu x (marginal\text{-measure-on} X Y \nu (F i))$ 
 $x) = marginal\text{-measure-on} X Y \nu (\bigcup (range F))$ 
proof(rule measure-eqI)
  fix A
  assume [measurable]: $A \in sets (density \nu x (\lambda x. \sum i. RN\text{-deriv} \nu x (marginal\text{-measure-on} X Y \nu (F i)) x))$ 
  then have [measurable]: $A \in sets \nu x A \in sets X$  by(auto simp: sets-marginal-measure)
    show (density  $\nu x (\lambda x. \sum i. RN\text{-deriv} \nu x (marginal\text{-measure-on} X Y \nu (F i))$ 
 $x)) A = (marginal\text{-measure-on} X Y \nu (\bigcup (range F))) A$ 
      (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
    proof -
      have ?lhs =  $(\int^+ x \in A. (\sum i. RN\text{-deriv} \nu x (marginal\text{-measure-on} X Y \nu (F i))$ 
 $x) \partial \nu x)$ 
        by(auto intro!: emeasure-density)
      also have ... =  $(\int^+ x. (\sum i. RN\text{-deriv} \nu x (marginal\text{-measure-on} X Y \nu (F i))$ 
 $x * indicator A x) \partial \nu x)$ 
        by simp
      also have ... =  $(\sum i. (\int^+ x \in A. RN\text{-deriv} \nu x (marginal\text{-measure-on} X Y \nu (F$ 
 $i)) x \partial \nu x))$ 
        by(rule nn-integral-suminf) auto
      also have ... =  $(\sum i. \nu (A \times F i))$ 
        using kernel-RN-deriv[of A F -] assms by(auto intro!: suminf-cong simp:
 $\kappa'\text{-def})$ 
      also have ... =  $\nu (\bigcup i. A \times F i)$ 
      using assms nu-sets by(fastforce intro!: suminf-emeasure simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
      also have ... =  $\nu (A \times (\bigcup i. F i))$ 
      proof -
        have  $(\bigcup i. A \times F i) = (A \times (\bigcup i. F i))$  by blast
        thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
      also have ... = ?rhs
      using nu-sets assms by(auto intro!: emeasure-marginal-measure-on[symmetric])
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  qed(simp add: sets-marginal-measure)
qed auto

lemma kernel-finite-sum-AE:
  assumes disjoint-family-on F S finite S
  and  $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies F i \in sets Y$ 
  shows AE x in  $\nu x. (\sum i \in S. \kappa' x (F i)) = \kappa' x (\bigcup i \in S. F i)$ 
proof -
  consider S = {} | S ≠ {} by auto
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case 1
    then show ?thesis
      by(simp add: kernel-empty0-AE)
  next

```

```

case S:2
define F' where F'  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda n.$  if  $n < \text{card } S$  then  $F$  (from-nat-into  $S n$ ) else  $\{\}$ )
have F'[simp]: $\bigwedge i. F' i \in \text{sets } Y$ 
using assms(3)
by (metis F'-def bot.extremum-strict bot-nat-def card.empty from-nat-into
sets.empty-sets)
have F'-disj: disjoint-family F'
unfolding disjoint-family-on-def
proof safe
fix m n x
assume h:m  $\neq n$  x  $\in F'$  m  $x \in F' n$ 
consider n < card S m < card S | n  $\geq \text{card } S$  | m  $\geq \text{card } S$  by arith
then show x  $\in \{\}$ 
proof cases
case 1
then have S  $\neq \{\}$ 
by auto
with 1 have from-nat-into S n  $\in S$  from-nat-into S m  $\in S$ 
using from-nat-into[of S] by blast+
moreover have from-nat-into S n  $\neq$  from-nat-into S m
by (metis 1(1) 1(2) assms(2) bij-betw-def h(1) lessThan-iff to-nat-on-finite
to-nat-on-from-nat-into)
ultimately show ?thesis
using h assms(1) 1 by(auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def F'-def)
qed(use h F'-def in simp-all)
qed
have 1:( $\sum i \in S. \kappa' x (F i)$ ) = ( $\sum i < \text{card } S. \kappa' x (F' i)$ ) for x
unfolding F'-def by auto (metis (no-types, lifting) sum.card-from-nat-into
sum.cong)
have 2: ( $\bigcup (\text{range } F')$ ) = ( $\bigcup i \in S. F i$ )
proof safe
fix x n
assume h:x  $\in F' n$ 
then have S  $\neq \{\}$  n < card S
by(auto simp: F'-def) (meson empty-iff)
with h show x  $\in \bigcup (F ' S)$ 
by(auto intro!: exI[where x=from-nat-into S n] simp: F'-def from-nat-into
S  $\neq \{\}$ )
next
fix x s
assume s  $\in S$  x  $\in F s$ 
with bij-betwE[OF to-nat-on-finite[OF assms(2)]]
show x  $\in \bigcup (\text{range } F')$ 
by(auto intro!: exI[where x=to-nat-on S s] simp: F'-def from-nat-into-to-nat-on[OF
countable-finite[OF assms(2)]])
qed
have AE x in  $\nu x. (\sum i < \text{card } S. \kappa' x (F' i)) = (\sum i. \kappa' x (F' i))$ 
proof –
have AE x in  $\nu x. \forall i \geq \text{card } S. \kappa' x (F' i) = 0$ 

```

```

using kernel-empty0-AE by(auto simp: F'-def)
hence AE x in νx. (Σ i. κ' x (F' i)) = (Σ i < card S. κ' x (F' i))
proof -
  show AE x in νx. (∀ i ≥ card S. κ' x (F' i) = 0) → (Σ i. κ' x (F' i)) =
    (Σ i < card S. κ' x (F' i))
  proof -
    {
      fix x
      assume ∀ i ≥ card S. κ' x (F' i) = 0
      then have (Σ i. κ' x (F' i)) = (Σ i < card S. κ' x (F' i))
        using suminf-offset[of λi. κ' x (F' i) card S]
        by(auto simp: F'-def)
    }
    thus ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  by auto
qed
moreover have AE x in νx. (Σ i. κ' x (F' i)) = κ' x (UNION (range F'))
  using kernel-suminf-AE[OF F'-disj] by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
  by(auto simp: 1 2)
qed
qed

```

lemma kernel-disjoint-sum-AE:

assumes $B \in \text{sets } Y$ $C \in \text{sets } Y$
 and $B \cap C = \{\}$
 shows $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \nu x. \kappa' x (B \cup C) = \kappa' x B + \kappa' x C$

proof -

define F where $F \equiv \lambda b. \text{if } b \text{ then } B \text{ else } C$
 have [simp]:disjoint-family $F \wedge \forall i \in \text{sets } Y \wedge x. (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \kappa' x (F i)) =$
 $\kappa' x B + \kappa' x C \cup (\text{range } F) = B \cup C$
 using assms by(auto simp: F-def disjoint-family-on-def comm-monoid-add-class.sum.Int-Diff[of
 $\text{UNIV} - \{\text{True}\}]])$
 show ?thesis
 using kernel-finite-sum-AE[of F UNIV] by auto

qed

lemma kernel-mono-AE:

assumes $B \in \text{sets } Y$ $C \in \text{sets } Y$
 and $B \subseteq C$
 shows $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \nu x. \kappa' x B \leq \kappa' x C$

proof -

have 1: $B \cup (C - B) = C$ using assms(3) by auto
 have $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \nu x. \kappa' x C = \kappa' x B + \kappa' x (C - B)$
 using assms by(auto intro!: kernel-disjoint-sum-AE[of B C - B,simplified 1])

```

thus ?thesis
  by auto
qed

lemma kernel-incseq-AE:
  assumes range B ⊆ sets Y incseq B
  shows AE x in νx. incseq (λn. κ' x (B n))
  using assms(1) by(auto simp: incseq-Suc-iff AE-all-countable intro!: kernel-mono-AE[OF
  - - incseq-SucD[OF assms(2)]])

lemma kernel-decseq-AE:
  assumes range B ⊆ sets Y decseq B
  shows AE x in νx. decseq (λn. κ' x (B n))
  using assms(1) by(auto simp: decseq-Suc-iff AE-all-countable intro!: kernel-mono-AE[OF
  - - decseq-SucD[OF assms(2)]])

corollary kernel-01-AE:
  assumes B ∈ sets Y
  shows AE x in νx. 0 ≤ κ' x B ∧ κ' x B ≤ 1
proof -
  have {} ⊆ B B ⊆ space Y
  using assms sets.sets-into-space by auto
  from kernel-empty0-AE kernel-Y1-AE kernel-mono-AE[OF -- this(1)] kernel-mono-AE[OF
  - - this(2)] assms
  show ?thesis
    by auto
qed

lemma kernel-get-0: 0 ≤ κ' x B
  by simp

lemma kernel-le1-AE:
  assumes B ∈ sets Y
  shows AE x in νx. κ' x B ≤ 1
  using kernel-01-AE[OF assms] by auto

corollary kernel-n-infty:
  assumes B ∈ sets Y
  shows AE x in νx. κ' x B ≠ ⊤
  by(rule AE-mp[OF kernel-le1-AE[OF assms]],standard) (auto simp: neq-top-trans[OF
  ennreal-one-neq-top])

corollary kernel-le-infty:
  assumes B ∈ sets Y
  shows AE x in νx. κ' x B < ⊤
  using kernel-n-infty[OF assms] by (simp add: top.not-eq-extremum)

lemma kernel-SUP-incseq:
  assumes range B ⊆ sets Y incseq B

```

```

shows  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \nu x. \kappa' x (\bigcup (\text{range } B)) = (\bigsqcup n. \kappa' x (B n))$ 
proof –
  define  $B_n$  where  $B_n \equiv (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } B (n - 1))$ 
  have  $\text{incseq } B_n$ 
    using  $\text{assms}(2)$  by(auto simp: Bn-def incseq-def)
  define  $C_n$  where  $C_n \equiv (\lambda n. B_n (\text{Suc } n) - B_n n)$ 
  have  $\text{Cn-simp: } C_n 0 = B 0 C_n (\text{Suc } n) = B (\text{Suc } n) - B n \text{ for } n$ 
    by(simp-all add: Cn-def Bn-def)
  have  $\text{Cn-sets: } C_n n \in \text{sets } Y \text{ for } n$ 
    using  $\text{assms}(1)$  by(induction n) (auto simp: Cn-simp)
    have  $\text{Cn-disj: disjoint-family } C_n$ 
      by(auto intro!: disjoint-family-Suc[OF ] incseq-SucD[OF <incseq Bn] simp: Cn-def)
    have  $\text{Cn-un: } (\bigcup k < \text{Suc } n. C_n k) = B n \text{ for } n$ 
    using  $\text{incseq-SucD}[OF \text{ assms}(2)]$ 
      by (induction n) (auto simp: Cn-simp lessThan-Suc sup-commute)
    have  $\text{Cn-sum-Bn: } \text{AE } x \text{ in } \nu x. \forall n. (\sum i < \text{Suc } n. \kappa' x (C_n i)) = \kappa' x (B n)$ 
      unfolding  $\text{AE-all-countable}$ 
      using  $\text{kernel-finite-sum-AE}[OF \text{ disjoint-family-on-mono}[OF - Cn-disj], of \{.. < \text{Suc } n\}] \text{ Cn-sets}$ 
        by(auto simp: Cn-un)
    have  $\text{Cn-bn-un: } (\bigcup (\text{range } B)) = (\bigcup (\text{range } C_n)) \text{ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)}$ 
    proof safe
      fix  $n x$ 
      assume  $x \in B n$ 
      with  $\text{Cn-un}[of n]$  show  $x \in \bigcup (\text{range } C_n)$ 
        by blast
    next
      fix  $n x$ 
      assume  $x \in C_n n$ 
      then show  $x \in \bigcup (\text{range } B)$ 
        by(cases n auto simp: Cn-simp)
    qed
    hence  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \nu x. \kappa' x (\bigcup (\text{range } B)) = \kappa' x (\bigcup (\text{range } C_n))$ 
      by simp
    moreover have  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \nu x. \kappa' x (\bigcup (\text{range } C_n)) = (\sum n. \kappa' x (C_n n))$ 
      by(rule AE-symmetric[OF kernel-suminf-AE[OF Cn-disj]]) (use Cn-def Bn-def assms(1) in auto)
    moreover have  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \nu x. (\sum n. \kappa' x (C_n n)) = (\bigsqcup n. \sum i < n. \kappa' x (C_n i))$ 
      by(auto simp: suminf-eq-SUP)
    moreover have  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } \nu x. (\bigsqcup n. \sum i < n. \kappa' x (C_n i)) = (\bigsqcup n. \sum i < \text{Suc } n. \kappa' x (C_n i))$ 
    proof(intro AE-I2 antisym)
      fix  $x$ 
      show  $(\bigsqcup n. \sum i < n. \kappa' x (C_n i)) \leq (\bigsqcup n. \sum i < \text{Suc } n. \kappa' x (C_n i))$ 
        by(rule complete-lattice-class.Sup-mono, auto, use le-iff-add in blast)
    next
      fix  $x$ 
      show  $(\bigsqcup n. \sum i < n. \kappa' x (C_n i)) \geq (\bigsqcup n. \sum i < \text{Suc } n. \kappa' x (C_n i))$ 

```

```

    by(rule complete-lattice-class.Sup-mono) blast
qed
moreover have AE x in νx. (⊔ n. ∑ i<Suc n. κ' x (Cn i)) = (⊔ n. κ' x (B n))
    by(rule AE-mp[OF Cn-sum-Bn]) (standard+, auto)
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma kernel-lim-incseq:
assumes range B ⊆ sets Y incseq B
shows AE x in νx. (λn. κ' x (B n)) —→ κ' x (⊔ (range B))
by(rule AE-mp[OF AE-conjII[OF kernel-SUP-incseq[OF assms] kernel-incseq-AE[OF
assms]]],auto simp: LIMSEQ-SUP)

lemma kernel-INF-decseq:
assumes range B ⊆ sets Y decseq B
shows AE x in νx. κ' x (⊓ (range B)) = (⊓ n. κ' x (B n))
proof -
define C where C ≡ (λk. space Y - B k)
have C:range C ⊆ sets Y incseq C
using assms by(auto simp: C-def decseq-def incseq-def)
have eq1: AE x in νx. 1 - κ' x (⊓ (range B)) = κ' x (⊔ (range C))
proof -
have AE x in νx. κ' x (⊔ (range C)) + κ' x (⊓ (range B)) - κ' x (⊓ (range
B)) = κ' x (⊔ (range C))
using assms(1) kernel-n-infty[of ⊓ (range B)] by auto
moreover have AE x in νx. κ' x (⊔ (range C)) + κ' x (⊓ (range B)) = 1
proof -
have [simp]:(⊔ (range C)) ∪ (⊓ (range B)) = space Y (⊔ (range C)) ∩ (⊓
(range B)) = {}
by(auto simp: C-def) (meson assms(1) range-subsetD sets.sets-into-space
subsetD)
from kernel-disjoint-sum-AE[OF - - this(2)] C(1) assms(1) kernel-Y1-AE
show ?thesis by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
by auto
qed
have eq2: AE x in νx. κ' x (⊔ (range C)) = (⊔ n. κ' x (C n))
using kernel-SUP-incseq[OF C] by auto
have eq3: AE x in νx. (⊔ n. κ' x (C n)) = (⊔ n. 1 - κ' x (B n))
proof -
have AE x in νx. ∀n. κ' x (C n) = 1 - κ' x (B n)
unfolding AE-all-countable
proof safe
fix n
have AE x in νx. κ' x (C n) + κ' x (B n) - κ' x (B n) = κ' x (C n)
using assms(1) kernel-n-infty[of B n] by auto
moreover have AE x in νx. κ' x (C n) + κ' x (B n) = 1
proof -

```

```

have [simp]:  $C n \cup B n = space Y$   $C n \cap B n = \{\}$ 
  by(auto simp: C-def) (meson assms(1) range-subsetD sets.sets-into-space
subsetD)
  thus ?thesis
    using kernel-disjoint-sum-AE[of C n B n] C(1) assms(1) kernel-Y1-AE
by fastforce
qed
ultimately show AE x in  $\nu x. \kappa' x (C n) = 1 - \kappa' x (B n)$  by auto
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
have [simp]:  $(\bigcup n. 1 - \kappa' x (B n)) = 1 - (\bigcap n. \kappa' x (B n))$  for x
  by(auto simp: ennreal-INF-const-minus)
have eq: AE x in  $\nu x. 1 - \kappa' x (\bigcap (range B)) = 1 - (\bigcap n. \kappa' x (B n))$ 
  using eq1 eq2 eq3 by auto
have le1: AE x in  $\nu x. (\bigcap n. \kappa' x (B n)) \leq 1$ 
proof -
  have AE x in  $\nu x. \forall n. \kappa' x (B n) \leq 1$ 
    using assms(1) by(auto intro!: kernel-le1-AE simp: AE-all-countable)
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp: INF-lower2)
qed
show ?thesis
  by(rule AE-mp[OF AE-conjI[OF AE-conjI[OF eq le1] kernel-le1-AE[of
(range B)]]]) (insert assms(1),auto simp: ennreal-minus-cancel[OF ennreal-one-neq-top])
qed

lemma kernel-lim-decseq:
  assumes range B ⊆ sets Y decseq B
  shows AE x in  $\nu x. (\lambda n. \kappa' x (B n)) \xrightarrow{} \kappa' x (\bigcap (range B))$ 
  by(rule AE-mp[OF AE-conjI[OF kernel-INF-decseq[OF assms] kernel-decseq-AE[OF
assms]]],standard,auto simp: LIMSEQ-INF)

end

lemma qlim-eq-lim-mono-at-bot:
  fixes g :: rat ⇒ 'a :: linorder-topology
  assumes mono f (g → a) at-bot ∧ r::rat. f (real-of-rat r) = g r
  shows (f → a) at-bot
proof -
  have mono g
    by(metis assms(1,3) mono-def of-rat-less-eq)
  have ga: ∀r. g r ≥ a
  proof(rule ccontr)
    fix r
    assume ¬ a ≤ g r
    then have g r < a by simp
    from order-topology-class.order-tendstoD(1)[OF assms(2)] this
  qed

```

```

obtain Q :: rat where q:  $\bigwedge q. q \leq Q \implies g r < g q$ 
  by(auto simp: eventually-at-bot-linorder)
define q where q ≡ min r Q
show False
  using q[of q] ⟨mono g⟩
  by(auto simp: q-def mono-def) (meson linorder-not-less min.cobounded1)
qed
show ?thesis
proof(rule decreasing-tendsto)
  show ∀ F n in at-bot. a ≤ f n
    unfolding eventually-at-bot-linorder
    by(rule exI[where x=undefined],auto) (metis Ratreal-def assms(1,3) dual-order.trans
ga less-eq-real-def lt-ex monoD of-rat-dense)
next
fix x
assume a < x
with topological-space-class.topological-tendstoD[OF assms(2),of {..<x}]
obtain Q :: rat where q:  $\bigwedge q. q \leq Q \implies g q < x$ 
  by(auto simp: eventually-at-bot-linorder)
show ∀ F n in at-bot. f n < x
  using q assms(1,3) by(auto intro!: exI[where x=real-of-rat Q] simp: even-
tually-at-bot-linorder) (metis dual-order.refl monoD order-le-less-trans)
qed
qed

lemma qlim-eq-lim-mono-at-top:
  fixes g :: rat ⇒ 'a :: linorder-topology
  assumes mono f (g ⟶ a) at-top ∧ r::rat. f (real-of-rat r) = g r
  shows (f ⟶ a) at-top
proof -
have mono g
  by(metis assms(1,3) mono-def of-rat-less-eq)
have ga:  $\bigwedge r. g r \leq a$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  fix r
  assume ¬ g r ≤ a
  then have a < g r by simp
  from order-topology-class.order-tendstoD(2)[OF assms(2) this]
  obtain Q :: rat where q:  $\bigwedge q. Q \leq q \implies g q < g r$ 
    by(auto simp: eventually-at-top-linorder)
define q where q ≡ max r Q
show False
  using q[of q] ⟨mono g⟩ by(auto simp: q-def mono-def leD)
qed
show ?thesis
proof(rule increasing-tendsto)
  show ∀ F n in at-top. f n ≤ a
    unfolding eventually-at-top-linorder
    by(rule exI[where x=undefined],auto) (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) assms(1)

```

```

assms(3) dual-order.trans ga gt-ex monoD of-rat-dense order-le-less)
next
fix x
assume x < a
with topological-space-class.topological-tendstoD[OF assms(2),of {x<..}]
obtain Q :: rat where q: Λq. Q ≤ q ⟹ x < g q
by(auto simp: eventually-at-top-linorder)
show ∀ F n in at-top. x < f n
using q assms(1,3) by(auto simp: eventually-at-top-linorder intro!: exI[where
x=real-of-rat Q]) (metis dual-order.refl monoD order-less-le-trans)
qed
qed

```

2.6 Theorem 14.D.10. (Measure Disintegration Theorem)

```

locale projection-sigma-finite-standard = projection-sigma-finite + standard-borel-ne
Y
begin

theorem measure-disintegration:
  ∃κ. prob-kernel X Y κ ∧ measure-kernel.disintegration X Y κ ν νx ∧
    (∀κ''. prob-kernel X Y κ'' —> measure-kernel.disintegration X Y κ'' ν νx
    —> (AE x in νx. κ x = κ'' x))
proof -
  have *: ∃κ. prob-kernel X (borel :: real measure) κ ∧ measure-kernel.disintegration
  X borel κ ν (marginal-measure X borel ν) ∧
    (∀κ''. prob-kernel X borel κ'' —> measure-kernel.disintegration X borel
    κ'' ν (marginal-measure X borel ν) —> (AE x in (marginal-measure X borel ν). κ
    x = κ'' x))
  if nu-sets': sets ν = sets (X ⊗ M borel) and marginal-sigma-finite':
  sigma-finite-measure (marginal-measure X borel ν) for X :: 'a measure and ν

  proof -
    interpret r: projection-sigma-finite X borel ν
    using that by(auto simp: projection-sigma-finite-def)
    define φ :: 'a ⇒ rat ⇒ real
    where φ ≡ (λx r. enn2real (r.κ' x {..real-of-rat r}))
    have as1: AE x in r.νx. ∀ r s. r ≤ s —> φ x r ≤ φ x s
    unfolding AE-all-countable
    proof(safe intro!: AE-impI)
      fix r s :: rat
      assume r ≤ s
      have AE x in r.νx. r.κ' x {..real-of-rat k} < top for k
      using atMost-borel r.kernel-le-infty by blast
      from this[of s] r.kernel-mono-AE[of {..real-of-rat r} {..real-of-rat s}] ⟨r ≤ s⟩
      show AE x in r.νx. φ x r ≤ φ x s
      by(auto simp: φ-def of-rat-less-eq enn2real-mono)
    qed
    have as2: AE x in r.νx. ∀ r. (λn. φ x (r + 1 / rat-of-nat (Suc n))) —> φ
  
```

```

x r
  unfolding AE-all-countable
  proof safe
    fix r
    have 1:( $\bigcap n. \{..real\text{-}of\text{-}rat (r + 1 / rat\text{-}of\text{-}nat (Suc n))\} = \{..real\text{-}of\text{-}rat r\}$ )
    proof safe
      fix x
      assume h:  $x \in (\bigcap n. \{..real\text{-}of\text{-}rat (r + 1 / rat\text{-}of\text{-}nat (Suc n))\})$ 
      show  $x \leq real\text{-}of\text{-}rat r$ 
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume  $\neg x \leq real\text{-}of\text{-}rat r$ 
        then have  $0 < x - real\text{-}of\text{-}rat r$  by simp
        then obtain n where  $(1 / (real (Suc n))) < x - real\text{-}of\text{-}rat r$ 
          using nat-approx-posE by blast
        hence  $real\text{-}of\text{-}rat (r + 1 / (1 + rat\text{-}of\text{-}nat n)) < x$ 
          by (simp add: of-rat-add of-rat-divide)
        with h show False
          using linorder-not-le by fastforce
      qed
    next
      fix x n
      assume  $x \leq real\text{-}of\text{-}rat r$ 
      then show  $x \leq real\text{-}of\text{-}rat (r + 1 / rat\text{-}of\text{-}nat (Suc n))$ 
        by (metis le-add-same-cancel1 of-nat-0-le-iff of-rat-less-eq order-trans
zero-le-divide-1-iff)
    qed
    have AE x in r.vx.  $(\lambda n. r.\kappa' x \{..real\text{-}of\text{-}rat (r + 1 / rat\text{-}of\text{-}nat (Suc n))\}) \longrightarrow r.\kappa' x \{..real\text{-}of\text{-}rat r\}$ 
    unfolding 1[symmetric] by(rule r.kernel-lim-decseq) (auto simp: decseq-Suc-iff of-rat-less-eq frac-le)
    from AE-conjI[OF r.kernel-le-infty[of ..real-of-rat r], simplified] this
    show AE x in r.vx.  $(\lambda n. \varphi x (r + 1 / (rat\text{-}of\text{-}nat (Suc n)))) \longrightarrow \varphi x r$ 
    unfolding phi-def by eventually-elim (rule tendsto-enn2real, auto)
  qed

  have as3: AE x in r.vx.  $(\varphi x \longrightarrow 0)$  at-bot
  proof -
    have 0: range ( $\lambda n. \{..- real n\}$ )  $\subseteq$  sets borel decseq ( $\lambda n. \{..- real n\}$ )
      by(auto simp: decseq-def)
    show ?thesis
    proof(safe intro!: AE-I2[THEN AE-mp[OF AE-conjI[OF r.kernel-empty0-AE
AE-conjI[OF r.kernel-lim-decseq[OF 0] as1]]]])
      fix x
      assume h:  $r.\kappa' x \{..\} = 0$   $(\lambda n. r.\kappa' x \{..- real n\}) \longrightarrow r.\kappa' x (\bigcap n. \{..- real n\})$ 
       $\forall r s. r \leq s \longrightarrow \varphi x r \leq \varphi x s$ 
      have [simp]:  $(\bigcap n. \{..- real n\}) = \{\}$  by auto (meson le-minus-iff linorder-not-less
reals-Archimedean2)
      show  $(\varphi x \longrightarrow 0)$  at-bot
      proof(rule decreasing-tendsto)

```

```

fix r :: real
assume 0 < r
with h(2) eventually-sequentially
obtain N where N:  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies r.\kappa' x \{.. - real n\} < r$ 
  by(fastforce simp: order-tendsto-iff h(1))
show  $\forall_F q \text{ in at-bot. } \varphi x q < r$ 
  unfolding eventually-at-bot-linorder
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x== rat-of-nat N])
  fix q
  assume q ≤ - rat-of-nat N
  with h(3) have  $\varphi x q \leq \varphi x (- rat-of-nat N)$  by simp
  also have ... < r
    by(auto simp: φ-def) (metis N[OF order-refl] <0 < r enn2real-less-iff
enn2real-top of-rat-minus of-rat-of-nat-eq top.not-eq-extremum)
    finally show  $\varphi x q < r$  .
  qed
qed(simp add: φ-def)
qed
qed

have as4: AE x in r.νx. ( $\varphi x \longrightarrow 1$ ) at-top
proof -
  have 0: range ( $\lambda n. \{..real n\}$ ) ⊆ sets borel incseq ( $\lambda n. \{..real n\}$ )
    by(auto simp: incseq-def)
  have [simp]:  $(\bigcup n. \{..real n\}) = UNIV$  by (auto simp: real-arch-simple)
  have 1: AE x in r.νx.  $\forall n. r.\kappa' x \{..real n\} \leq 1$  AE x in r.νx.  $\forall q. r.\kappa' x \{..real-of-rat q\} \leq 1$ 
    by(auto simp: AE-all-countable intro!: r.kernel-le1-AE)
  show ?thesis
    proof(safe intro!: AE-I2[THEN AE-mp[OF AE-conjI[OF AE-conjI[OF 1]
AE-conjI[OF r.kernel-Y1-AE AE-conjI[OF r.kernel-lim-incseq[OF 0] as1]]],simplified])
      fix x
      assume h:  $\forall q. r.\kappa' x \{..real-of-rat q\} \leq 1 \forall n. r.\kappa' x \{..real n\} \leq 1$ 
        ( $\lambda n. r.\kappa' x \{..real n\}$ ) ⟶ r.κ' x UNIV  $\forall r s. r \leq s \longrightarrow \varphi x r \leq$ 
 $\varphi x s r.\kappa' x UNIV = 1$ 
      then have h3:  $(\lambda n. r.\kappa' x \{..real n\}) \longrightarrow 1$ 
        by auto
      show ( $\varphi x \longrightarrow 1$ ) at-top
      proof(rule increasing-tendsto)
        fix r :: real
        assume r < 1
        with h3 eventually-sequentially
        obtain N where N:  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies r < r.\kappa' x \{..real n\}$ 
          by(fastforce simp: order-tendsto-iff)
        show  $\forall_F n \text{ in at-top. } r < \varphi x n$ 
          unfolding eventually-at-top-linorder
        proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=rat-of-nat N])
          fix q
          assume rat-of-nat N ≤ q

```

```

have  $r < \varphi x (\text{rat-of-nat } N)$ 
  by(auto simp:  $\varphi\text{-def}$ ) (metis N[OF order-refl] h(2) enn2real-1
enn2real-ennreal enn2real-positive-iff ennreal-cases ennreal-leI linorder-not-less zero-less-one)
  also have ...  $\leq \varphi x q$ 
    using h(4) `rat-of-nat  $N \leq q` by simp
  finally show  $r < \varphi x q$  .
qed
qed(use h(1) enn2real-leI  $\varphi\text{-def}$  in auto)
qed
from AE-E3[OF AE-conjI[OF as1 AE-conjI[OF as2 AE-conjI[OF as3 as4]]], simplified
space-marginal-measure]
obtain  $N$  where  $N: N \in \text{null-sets } r.\nu x \wedge x \in \text{space } X - N \implies r \leq s$ 
 $\implies \varphi x r \leq \varphi x s$ 
 $\quad \wedge x. x \in \text{space } X - N \implies (\lambda n. \varphi x (r + 1 / \text{rat-of-nat } (\text{Suc } n))) \longrightarrow \varphi x r$ 
 $\quad \wedge x. x \in \text{space } X - N \implies (\varphi x \longrightarrow 0) \text{ at-bot} \wedge x. x \in \text{space } X$ 
 $- N \implies (\varphi x \longrightarrow 1) \text{ at-top}$ 
  by metis
define  $F$  where  $F \equiv (\lambda x y. \text{indicat-real } (\text{space } X - N) x * \text{Inf } \{\varphi x r | r. y \leq \text{real-of-rat } r\} + \text{indicat-real } N x * \text{indicat-real } \{0..\} y)$ 
have [simp]:  $\{\varphi x r | r. y \leq \text{real-of-rat } r\} \neq \{\}$  for  $x y$ 
  by auto (meson gt-ex less-eq-real-def of-rat-dense)
have [simp]: bdd-below  $\{\varphi x r | r. y \leq \text{real-of-rat } r\}$  if  $x \in \text{space } X - N$  for  $x y$ 
proof -
  obtain  $r'$  where  $\text{real-of-rat } r' \leq y$ 
    by (metis less-eq-real-def lt-ex of-rat-dense)
  from order-trans[OF this] of-rat-less-eq show ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: bdd-belowI[of -  $\varphi x r'$ ] N(2)[OF that])
qed
have Feq:  $F x (\text{real-of-rat } r) = \varphi x r$  if  $x \in \text{space } X - N$  for  $x r$ 
  using that N(2)[OF that] by(auto intro!: cInf-eq-minimum simp: of-rat-less-eq
F-def)
have Fmono: mono ( $F x$ ) if  $x \in \text{space } X$  for  $x$ 
  by(auto simp: F-def mono-def indicator-def intro!: cInf-superset-mono) (meson
gt-ex less-eq-real-def of-rat-dense)

have F1:  $(F x \longrightarrow 0) \text{ at-bot}$  if  $x \in \text{space } X$  for  $x$ 
proof(cases x ∈ N)
  case True
  with that show ?thesis
    by(auto simp: F-def tendsto-iff eventually-at-bot-dense indicator-def intro!:
exI[where x=0])
  next
    case False
    with qlim-eq-lim-mono-at-bot[OF Fmono[OF that] N(4)] Feq that
    show ?thesis by auto
qed
have F2:  $(F x \longrightarrow 1) \text{ at-top}$  if  $x \in \text{space } X$  for  $x$$ 
```

```

proof(cases x ∈ N)
  case True
    with that show ?thesis
      by(auto simp: F-def tends-to-iff eventually-at-top-dense indicator-def intro!: exI[where x=0])
  next
    case False
    with qlim-eq-lim-mono-at-top[OF Fmono[OF that] N(5)] Feq that
      show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  have F3: continuous (at-right a) (F x) if x ∈ space X for x a
  proof(cases x ∈ N)
    case x:True
    {
      fix e :: real
      assume e:0 < e
      consider a ≥ 0 | a < 0 by fastforce
      then have ∃ d>0. indicat-real {0..} (a + d) – indicat-real {0..} a < e
      proof cases
        case 1
        with e show ?thesis
          by(auto intro!: exI[where x=1])
      next
        case 2
        then obtain b where b > 0 a + b < 0
          by (metis add-less-same-cancel2 of-rat-dense real-add-less-0-iff)
        with e 2 show ?thesis
          by(auto intro!: exI[where x=b])
      qed
    }
    with x show ?thesis
    unfolding continuous-at-right-real-increasing[of F x,OF monoD[OF Fmono[OF that]],simplified]
      by(auto simp: F-def)
  next
    case x:False
    {
      fix e :: real
      assume e: e > 0
      have ∃ k. a ≤ real-of-rat k ∧ ⋀ {φ x r | r. a ≤ real-of-rat r} + e / 2 ≥ φ
      x k
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume ∉ k. a ≤ real-of-rat k ∧ φ x k ≤ ⋀ {φ x r | r. a ≤ real-of-rat r}
        + e / 2
        then have cont: ⋀ k. a ≤ real-of-rat k ⇒ φ x k – e / 2 > ⋀ {φ x r | r. a ≤ real-of-rat r}
        a ≤ real-of-rat r}
        by auto
        hence a ≤ real-of-rat k ⇒ ∃ r. a ≤ real-of-rat r ∧ φ x r < φ x k – e / 2 for k
      qed
    }
  qed

```

```

using cont <x ∈ space X> x cInf-less-iff [of {φ x r | r. a ≤ real-of-rat r}
φ x k = e / 2]
by auto
then obtain r where r: ∧k. a ≤ real-of-rat k ⇒ a ≤ real-of-rat (r k)
∧k. a ≤ real-of-rat k ⇒ φ x (r k) < φ x k - e / 2
by metis
obtain k where k:a ≤ real-of-rat k
by (meson gt-ex less-eq-real-def of-rat-dense)
define f where f ≡ rec-nat k (λn fn. r fn)
have f-simp: f 0 = k f (Suc n) = r (f n) for n
by(auto simp: f-def)
have f1: a ≤ real-of-rat (f n) for n
using r(1) k by(induction n) (auto simp: f-simp)
have f2: n ≥ 1 ⇒ φ x (f n) < φ x k - real n * e / 2 for n
proof(induction n)
case ih:(Suc n)
consider n = 0 | n ≥ 1 by fastforce
then show ?case
proof cases
case 1
with r k show ?thesis
by(simp add: f-simp)
next
case 2
show ?thesis
using less-trans[OF r(2)[OF f1[of n]] diff-strict-right-mono[OF
ih(1)[OF 2],of e / 2]]
by(auto simp: f-simp ring-distrib(2) add-divide-distrib)
qed
qed simp
have ¬ bdd-below {φ x r | r. a ≤ real-of-rat r}
unfolding bdd-below-def
proof safe
fix M
obtain n where φ x k - M < real n * e / 2
using f2 e reals-Archimedean3 by fastforce
then have φ x k - M < real (Suc n) * e / 2
using divide-strict-right-mono pos-divide-less-eq e by fastforce
thus Ball {φ x r | r. a ≤ real-of-rat r} ((≤) M) ⇒ False
using f2[of Suc n] f1[of Suc n] by(auto intro!: exI[where x=φ x (f
(Suc n))])
qed
with that x show False
by simp
qed
then obtain k where k: a ≤ real-of-rat k ∏ {φ x r | r. a ≤ real-of-rat r}
+ e / 2 ≥ φ x k
by auto
obtain no where no: ∧n. n ≥ no ⇒ (φ x (k + 1 / rat-of-nat (Suc n))) -

```

```

 $(\varphi x k) < e / 2$ 
  using  $\langle x \in space X \rangle x metric-LIMSEQ-D[OF N(3)[of x k], of e/2] e N(2)[of$ 
 $x k k + 1 / rat-of-nat (Suc -)]$ 
    by(auto simp: dist-real-def)
    have  $\exists d > 0. \bigcap \{\varphi x r | r. a + d \leq real-of-rat r\} - \bigcap \{\varphi x r | r. a \leq$ 
 $real-of-rat r\} < e$ 
      proof(safe intro!: exI[where  $x = real-of-rat (1 / rat-of-nat (Suc no))$ ])
        have  $\varphi x (k + 1 / rat-of-nat (Suc no)) - e < \varphi x k - e / 2$ 
          using no[OF order-refl] by simp
        also have ...  $\leq \bigcap \{\varphi x r | r. a \leq real-of-rat r\}$ 
          using k by simp
        finally have  $\varphi x (k + 1 / rat-of-nat (Suc no)) - \bigcap \{\varphi x r | r. a \leq$ 
 $real-of-rat r\} < e$  by simp
        moreover have  $\bigcap \{\varphi x r | r. a + real-of-rat (1 / (1 + rat-of-nat no)) \leq$ 
 $real-of-rat r\} \leq \varphi x (k + 1 / rat-of-nat (Suc no))$ 
          using k that x by(auto intro!: cInf-lower simp: of-rat-add)
        ultimately show  $\bigcap \{\varphi x r | r. a + real-of-rat (1 / (rat-of-nat (Suc no))) \leq$ 
 $real-of-rat r\} - \bigcap \{\varphi x r | r. a \leq real-of-rat r\} < e$ 
          by simp
        qed simp
      }
      with that x show ?thesis
      unfolding continuous-at-right-real-increasing[of F x, OF monoD[OF Fmono[OF
 $that]], simplified$ ]
        by(auto simp: F-def)
      qed

define  $\kappa$  where  $\kappa \equiv (\lambda x. interval-measure (F x))$ 

have  $\kappa: \bigwedge x. x \in space X \implies \kappa x UNIV = 1$ 
   $\bigwedge x r. x \in space X \implies \kappa x \{..r\} = ennreal (F x r)$ 
and[simp]:  $\bigwedge x. sets (\kappa x) = sets borel \bigwedge x. space (\kappa x) = UNIV$ 
  using emeasure-interval-measure-Iic[OF - F3 F1] interval-measure-UNIV[OF
- F3 F1 F2] Fmono
  by(auto simp: mono-def  $\kappa$ -def)

interpret  $\kappa: prob-kernel X borel \kappa$ 
  unfolding prob-kernel-def'
proof(rule measurable-prob-algebra-generated[OF - atMostq-Int-stable, of - UNIV])
  show  $\bigwedge a. a \in space X \implies prob-space (\kappa a)$ 
    by(auto intro!: prob-spaceI  $\kappa(1)$ )
next
  fix A
  assume A  $\in \{\{..r\} | r::real. r \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ 
  then obtain r where r:  $A = \{..real-of-rat r\}$ 
    using Rats-cases by blast
  have  $(\lambda x. ennreal (indicat-real (space X - N) x * \varphi x r + indicat-real N x$ 
* indicat-real {0..} (real-of-rat r)))  $\in borel-measurable X$ 
  proof -

```

```

have  $N \in \text{sets } X$ 
  using null-setsD2[ $\text{OF } N(1)$ ] by(auto simp: sets-marginal-measure)
  thus ?thesis by(auto simp:  $\varphi\text{-def}$ )
qed
moreover have indicat-real (space  $X - N$ )  $x * \varphi x r + \text{indicat-real } N x * \text{indicat-real } \{0..\} (\text{real-of-rat } r) = \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) A$  if  $x \in \text{space } X$  for  $x$ 
  using Feq[of  $x r$ ]  $\kappa(2)[\text{OF that,of real-of-rat } r]$ 
  by(cases  $x \in N$ ) (auto simp:  $r \text{ indicator-def } F\text{-def}$ )
ultimately show  $(\lambda x. \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) A) \in \text{borel-measurable } X$ 
  using measurable-cong[of -  $\lambda x. \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) A \lambda x. \text{ennreal } (\text{indicat-real } (\text{space } X - N) x * \varphi x r + \text{indicat-real } N x * \text{indicat-real } \{0..\} (\text{real-of-rat } r))$ ]
  by simp
qed(auto simp: rborel-eq-atMostq)
have  $\kappa\text{-AE}:AE x \text{ in } r.\nu x. \kappa x \{.. \text{real-of-rat } r\} = r.\kappa' x \{.. \text{real-of-rat } r\}$  for  $r$ 
proof -
  have AE  $x \text{ in } r.\nu x. \kappa x \{.. \text{real-of-rat } r\} = \text{ennreal } (F x (\text{real-of-rat } r))$ 
    by(auto simp: space-marginal-measure  $\kappa(2)$ )
  moreover have AE  $x \text{ in } r.\nu x. \text{ennreal } (F x (\text{real-of-rat } r)) = \text{ennreal } (\varphi x r)$ 
    using Feq[of -  $r$ ] by(auto simp add: space-marginal-measure intro!: AE-I'[ $\text{OF } N(1)$ ])
  moreover have AE  $x \text{ in } r.\nu x. \text{ennreal } (\varphi x r) = \text{ennreal } (\text{enn2real } (r.\kappa' x \{.. \text{real-of-rat } r\}))$ 
    by(simp add:  $\varphi\text{-def}$ )
  moreover have AE  $x \text{ in } r.\nu x. \text{ennreal } (\text{enn2real } (r.\kappa' x \{.. \text{real-of-rat } r\})) = r.\kappa' x \{.. \text{real-of-rat } r\}$ 
    using r.kernel-le-infny[of  $\{.. \text{real-of-rat } r\}$ , simplified]
    by(auto simp: ennreal-enn2real-if)
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
have  $\kappa\text{-dis}: \kappa.\text{disintegration } \nu r.\nu x$ 
proof -
  interpret D: Dynkin-system UNIV { $B \in \text{sets borel}. \forall A \in \text{sets } X. \nu (A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) B \partial r.\nu x)$ }
  proof
    {
      fix  $A$ 
      assume  $h:A \in \text{sets } X$ 
      then have  $\nu (A \times \text{UNIV}) = (\int^+ x \in A. 1 \partial r.\nu x)$ 
      using emeasure-marginal-measure[ $\text{OF nu-sets } h$ ] sets-marginal-measure[of  $X \text{ borel } \nu \text{ space borel}$ ] by auto
      also have ... =  $(\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) \text{ UNIV} \partial r.\nu x)$ 
        by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp:  $\kappa \text{ space-marginal-measure}$ )
      finally have  $\nu (A \times \text{UNIV}) = (\int^+ x \in A. \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) \text{ UNIV} \partial r.\nu x)$  .
    }
    thus UNIV  $\in \{B \in \text{sets borel}. \forall A \in \text{sets } X. \text{emeasure } \nu (A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) B \partial r.\nu x)\}$ 
      by auto
      hence univ: $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } X \implies \nu (A \times \text{UNIV}) = (\int^+ x \in A. \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) \text{ UNIV} \partial r.\nu x)$  by auto
    }
  
```

```

show  $\bigwedge B. B \in \{B \in \text{sets borel}. \forall A \in \text{sets } X. \text{emeasure } \nu (A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) B \partial r. \nu x)\}$ 
 $\implies \text{UNIV} - B \in \{B \in \text{sets borel}. \forall A \in \text{sets } X. \text{emeasure } \nu (A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) B \partial r. \nu x)\}$ 
proof(rule r.νx.sigma-finite-disjoint)
fix B and J :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  -
assume B  $\in \{B \in \text{sets borel}. \forall A \in \text{sets } X. \text{emeasure } \nu (A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. \text{emeasure } (\kappa x) B \partial r. \nu x)\}$  range J  $\subseteq \text{sets } r. \nu x \cup (\text{range } J) = \text{space } r. \nu x$ 
 $(\bigwedge i. \text{emeasure } r. \nu x (J i) \neq \infty)$  disjoint-family J
then have B: B  $\in \text{sets borel}$   $\forall A \in \text{sets } X. \nu (A \times B) = (\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) B \partial r. \nu x)$ 
and J: range J  $\subseteq \text{sets } X \cup (\text{range } J) = \text{space } X \bigwedge i. \text{emeasure } r. \nu x (J i) \neq \infty$  disjoint-family J
by (auto simp: sets-marginal-measure space-marginal-measure)
{
fix A
assume A: A  $\in \text{sets } X$ 
have  $\nu (A \times (\text{UNIV} - B)) = (\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) (\text{UNIV} - B) \partial r. \nu x)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have AJi1: disjoint-family  $(\lambda i. (A \cap J i) \times (\text{UNIV} - B))$ 
using B(1) J(4) by(fastforce simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
have AJi2[simp]:  $(\bigcup i. ((A \cap J i) \times (\text{UNIV} - B))) = A \times (\text{UNIV} - B)$ 
using J(2) sets.sets-into-space[OF A] by blast
have AJi3:  $(\text{range } (\lambda i. (A \cap J i) \times (\text{UNIV} - B))) \subseteq \text{sets } \nu$ 
using B(1) J(1) A by(auto simp: nu-sets')
have ?lhs =  $(\sum i. \nu ((A \cap J i) \times (\text{UNIV} - B)))$ 
by(simp add: suminf-emeasure[OF AJi3 AJi1])
also have ... =  $(\sum i. (\int^+ x \in A \cap J i. (\kappa x) (\text{UNIV} - B) \partial r. \nu x))$ 
proof(safe intro!: suminf-cong)
fix n
have Jn: J n  $\in \text{sets } X$ 
using J by auto
have fin:  $\nu ((A \cap J n) \times C) \neq \infty$  for C
proof(cases  $(A \cap J n) \times C \in \text{sets } \nu$ )
case True
then have  $\nu ((A \cap J n) \times C) \leq \nu ((A \cap J n) \times \text{UNIV})$ 
using Jn nu-sets' A by(intro emeasure-mono) auto
also have  $\nu ((A \cap J n) \times \text{UNIV}) \leq \nu (J n \times \text{UNIV})$ 
using Jn nu-sets' by(intro emeasure-mono) auto
also have ... = r.νx (J n)
using emeasure-marginal-measure[OF nu-sets' Jn] by simp
finally show ?thesis
by (metis J(3)[of n] infinity-ennreal-def neq-top-trans)
qed(simp add: emeasure-notin-sets)
show  $\nu ((A \cap J n) \times (\text{UNIV} - B)) = (\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa x) (\text{UNIV} - B) \partial r. \nu x)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```

```

proof -
  have ?lhs =  $\nu((A \cap J n) \times UNIV) - \nu((A \cap J n) \times B)$ 
  proof -
    have [simp]: ?lhs +  $\nu((A \cap J n) \times B) = \nu((A \cap J n) \times UNIV)$ 
    proof -
      have [simp]:  $((A \cap J n) \times (UNIV - B)) \cup ((A \cap J n) \times B) = ((A \cap J n) \times UNIV)$  by blast
      show ?thesis
        using B(1) A Jn nu-sets' by(intro plus-emeasure[of (A ∩ J n)
          × (UNIV - B) - (A ∩ J n) × B,simplified]) auto
      qed
      have ?lhs = ?lhs +  $\nu((A \cap J n) \times B) - \nu((A \cap J n) \times B)$ 
        by(simp only: ennreal-add-diff-cancel[OF fin[of B]])
      also have ... =  $\nu((A \cap J n) \times UNIV) - \nu((A \cap J n) \times B)$ 
        by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    also have ... =  $(\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa x) UNIV \partial r.\nu x) - (\int^+ x \in A$ 
       $\cap J n. (\kappa x) B \partial r.\nu x)$ 
      using B(2) A Jn univ by auto
    also have ... =  $(\int^+ x. ((\kappa x) UNIV * indicator (A \cap J n) x - (\kappa$ 
       $x) B * indicator (A \cap J n) x) \partial r.\nu x)$ 
      proof(rule nn-integral-diff[symmetric])
      show  $(\lambda x. (\kappa x) UNIV * indicator (A \cap J n) x) \in borel-measurable$ 
         $r.\nu x$   $(\lambda x. (\kappa x) B * indicator (A \cap J n) x) \in borel-measurable r.\nu x$ 
        using sets-marginal-measure[of X borel ν space borel]
        κ.emeasure-measurable[OF B(1)] κ.emeasure-measurable[of UNIV] A Jn
        by(auto simp del: space-borel)
    next
      show  $(\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. (\kappa x) B \partial r.\nu x) \neq \infty$ 
        using B(2) A Jn univ fin[of B] by auto
    next
      show  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } r.\nu x. (\kappa x) B * indicator (A \cap J n) x \leq (\kappa x)$ 
         $UNIV * indicator (A \cap J n) x$ 
        by(standard, auto simp: space-marginal-measure indicator-def
        intro!: emeasure-mono)
    qed
    also have ... =  $(\int^+ x \in A \cap J n. ((\kappa x) UNIV - (\kappa x) B) \partial r.\nu x)$ 
      by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: indicator-def)
    also have ... = ?rhs
    proof(safe intro!: nn-integral-cong)
      fix x
      assume x ∈ space r.νx
      then have x ∈ space X
        by(simp add: space-marginal-measure)
      show  $((\kappa x) UNIV - (\kappa x) B) * indicator (A \cap J n) x = (\kappa x)$ 
         $(UNIV - B) * indicator (A \cap J n) x$ 
        by(auto intro!: emeasure-compl[OF B κ x,simplified,symmetric] simp:
        B κ.prob-spaces ⟨x ∈ space X⟩ prob-space-imp-subprob-space subprob-space.emeasure-subprob-space-less-top

```

```

indicator-def)
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
also have ... = ( $\int^+ x. (\sum i. (\kappa x) (UNIV - B) * indicator (A \cap J i)$ 
 $x) \partial r.\nu x$ )
using  $\kappa.emeasure-measurable[of UNIV - B] B(1) sets-marginal-measure[of$ 
 $X borel \nu space borel] A J$ 
by(intro nn-integral-suminf[symmetric]) (auto simp del: space-borel)
also have ... = ( $\int^+ x. (\kappa x) (UNIV - B) * indicator A x * (\sum i.$ 
 $indicator (A \cap J i) x) \partial r.\nu x$ )
by(auto simp: indicator-def intro!: nn-integral-cong)
also have ... = ( $\int^+ x. (\kappa x) (UNIV - B) * indicator A x * (indicator$ 
 $(\bigcup i. A \cap J i) x) \partial r.\nu x$ )
proof -
have ( $\sum i. indicator (A \cap J i) x) = (indicator (\bigcup i. A \cap J i) x ::$ 
ennreal) for x
using J(4) by(intro suminf-indicator) (auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
thus ?thesis
by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong)
qed
also have ... = ?rhs
using J(2) by(auto simp: indicator-def space-marginal-measure intro!:
nn-integral-cong)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
}
thus  $UNIV - B \in \{B \in sets borel. \forall A \in sets X. emeasure \nu (A \times B) =$ 
 $(\int^+ x \in A. emeasure (\kappa x) B \partial r.\nu x)\}$ 
using B by auto
qed
next
fix J :: nat ⇒ -
assume J1: disjoint-family J range J ⊆ {B ∈ sets borel. ∀ A ∈ sets X. ν (A × B) = ( $\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) B \partial r.\nu x$ )}
then have J2: range J ⊆ sets borel ∪ (range J) ∈ sets borel ∧ n A. A ∈ sets X ⇒ ν (A × (J n)) = ( $\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) (J n) \partial r.\nu x$ )
by auto
show ∪ (range J) ∈ {B ∈ sets borel. ∀ A ∈ sets X. ν (A × B) = ( $\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) B \partial r.\nu x$ )}
proof -
{
fix A
assume A:A ∈ sets X
have ν (A × ∪ (range J)) = ( $\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) (\bigcup (range J)) \partial r.\nu x$ ) (is
?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs = ν (∪ n. A × J n)

```

```

proof -
  have  $(A \times \bigcup (\text{range } J)) = (\bigcup n. A \times J n)$  by blast
  thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... =  $(\sum n. \nu(A \times J n))$ 
  using J1(1) J2(1) A nu-sets' by(fastforce intro!: suminf-emeasure[symmetric]
simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
  also have ... =  $(\sum n. (\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) (J n) \partial r.\nu x))$ 
    by(simp add: J2(3)[OF A])
  also have ... =  $(\int^+ x. (\sum n. (\kappa x) (J n)) * \text{indicator } A x) \partial r.\nu x$ 
    using κ.emeasure-measurable J2(1) A sets-marginal-measure[of X
borel ν space borel]
    by(intro nn-integral-suminf[symmetric]) auto
  also have ... =  $(\int^+ x \in A. (\sum n. (\kappa x) (J n)) \partial r.\nu x)$ 
    by auto
  also have ... =  $(\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) (\bigcup (\text{range } J)) \partial r.\nu x)$ 
    using J1 J2 by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong suminf-emeasure simp:
space-marginal-measure indicator-def)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
}
thus ?thesis
  using J2(2) by auto
qed
qed auto
have { {..r} | r::real. r ∈ ℚ} ⊆ {B ∈ sets borel. ∀ A ∈ sets X. ν(A × B) =
 $(\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) B \partial r.\nu x)}$ 
proof -
{
  fix r ::real and A
  assume h: r ∈ ℚ A ∈ sets X
  then obtain r' where r':r = real-of-rat r'
    using Rats-cases by blast
  have ν(A × {..r}) =  $(\int^+ x \in A. (\kappa x) \{..r\} \partial r.\nu x)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs =  $(\int^+ x \in A. r.\kappa' x \{..r\} \partial r.\nu x)$ 
      using h by(simp add: r.kernel-RN-deriv)
    also have ... = ?rhs
      using κ-AE[of r'] by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong-AE simp: r' simp
del: space-borel)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
}
thus ?thesis
  by auto
qed
from D.Dynkin-subset[OF this] rborel-eq-atMostq[symmetric]
show ?thesis
by(auto simp: κ.disintegration-def sets-marginal-measure nu-sets' sigma-eq-Dynkin[OF

```

```

- atMostq-Int-stable,of UNIV,simplified,symmetric] rborel-eq-atMostq-sets simp del:
space-borel)
qed
show ?thesis
proof(intro exI conjI strip)
fix κ"
assume prob-kernel X (borel :: real measure) κ"
interpret κ": prob-kernel X borel κ" by fact
assume disi: κ".disintegration ν r.νx
have eq-atMostr-AE:AE x in r.νx. ∀ r. κ x {..real-of-rat r} = κ" x {..real-of-rat
r}
unfolding AE-all-countable
proof safe
fix r
have AE x in r.νx. (κ" x) {..real-of-rat r} = r.κ' x {..real-of-rat r}
proof(safe intro!: r.νx.RN-deriv-unique[of λx. κ" x {..real-of-rat r} marginal-measure-on
X borel ν {..real-of-rat r},simplified r.κ'-def[of - {..real-of-rat r},symmetric]])
show 1:(λx. emeasure (κ" x) {..real-of-rat r}) ∈ borel-measurable r.νx
using κ".emeasure-measurable[of {..real-of-rat r}] sets-marginal-measure[of
X borel ν space borel] by simp
show density r.νx (λx. emeasure (κ" x) {..real-of-rat r}) = marginal-measure-on
X borel ν {..real-of-rat r}
proof(rule measure-eqI)
fix A
assume A ∈ sets (density r.νx (λx. (κ" x) {..real-of-rat r}))
then have A [measurable]:A ∈ sets X
by(simp add: sets-marginal-measure)
show emeasure (density r.νx (λx. emeasure (κ" x) {..real-of-rat r})) A
= emeasure (marginal-measure-on X borel ν {..real-of-rat r}) A (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs = (∫+ x∈A. (κ" x) {..real-of-rat r} ∂r.νx)
using emeasure-density[OF 1,of A] A
by(simp add: sets-marginal-measure)
also have ... = ν (A × {..real-of-rat r})
using disi A by(auto simp: κ".disintegration-def)
also have ... = ?rhs
by(simp add: emeasure-marginal-measure-on[OF nu-sets' - A])
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed(simp add: sets-marginal-measure)
qed
with κ-AE[of r]
show AE x in r.νx. κ x {..real-of-rat r} = κ" x {..real-of-rat r}
by auto
qed
{ fix x
assume h:x ∈ space r.νx ∀ r. (κ x) {..real-of-rat r} = (κ" x) {..real-of-rat
r}
then have x: x ∈ space X

```

```

    by(simp add: space-marginal-measure)
  have  $\kappa x = \kappa'' x$ 
  proof(rule measure-eqI-generator-eq[OF atMostq-Int-stable,of UNIV - - λn.
{..real n}])
    show  $\bigwedge A. A \in \{\{..r\} \mid r. r \in \mathbb{Q}\} \implies (\kappa x) A = (\kappa'' x) A$ 
      using h(2) Rats-cases by auto
  next
    show  $(\bigcup n. \{..real n\}) = UNIV$ 
      by (simp add: real-arch-simple subsetI subset-antisym)
  next
    fix n
    have  $(\kappa x) \{..real n\} \leq \kappa x UNIV$ 
      by(auto intro!: emeasure-mono)
    also have ... = 1
      by(rule κ(1)[OF x])
    finally show  $(\kappa x) \{..real n\} \neq \infty$ 
      using linorder-not-le by fastforce
  next
    show range ( $\lambda n. \{..real n\}) \subseteq \{\{..r\} \mid r. r \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ 
      using Rats-of-nat by blast
qed(auto simp: κ.kernel-sets[OF x] κ''.kernel-sets[OF x] rborel-eq-atMostq-sets)
}
then show AE x in r.νx.  $\kappa x = \kappa'' x$ 
  using eq-atMostr-AE by fastforce
qed(auto simp del: space-borel simp add: κ-dis κ.prob-kernel-axioms)
qed

show ?thesis
proof -
  define ν' where  $\nu' = \text{distr } \nu (X \otimes_M \text{borel}) (\lambda(x,y). (x, \text{to-real } y))$ 
  have ν-distr:  $\nu = \text{distr } \nu' (X \otimes_M Y) (\lambda(x,y). (x, \text{from-real } y))$ 
    using nu-sets sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF nu-sets] from-real-to-real
    by(auto simp: ν'-def distr-distr space-pair-measure intro!: distr-id'[symmetric])
  have νx-eq:  $(\text{marginal-measure } X \text{ borel } \nu') = \nu x$ 
    using emeasure-marginal-measure[of ν' X borel] emeasure-marginal-measure[OF nu-sets] sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF nu-sets]
    by(auto intro!: measure-eqI simp: sets-marginal-measure ν'-def emeasure-distr map-prod-vimage[of id to-real,simplified map-prod-def id-def] space-pair-measure Times-Int-Times)
  interpret ν': projection-sigma-finite X borel ν'
    by(auto simp: projection-sigma-finite-def νx-eq νx.sigma-finite-measure-axioms
      simp del: space-borel,auto simp add: ν'-def)
  obtain κ' where κ': prob-kernel X borel κ' measure-kernel.disintegration X
    borel κ' ν' νx
     $\wedge \kappa''. \text{prob-kernel } X \text{ borel } \kappa'' \implies \text{measure-kernel}.disintegration X \text{ borel } \kappa''$ 
    ν' ν'.νx  $\implies (AE x in \nu'.\nux. \kappa' x = \kappa'' x)$ 
    using *[of ν' X] ν'.nu-sets ν'.νx.sigma-finite-measure-axioms by blast
  interpret κ': prob-kernel X borel κ' by fact
  define κ where κ ≡ (λx. distr (κ' x) Y from-real)

```

```

interpret κ: prob-kernel X Y κ
  by(auto simp: prob-kernel-def' κ-def)
have disi: κ.disintegration ν νx
proof(rule κ.disintegrationI)
  fix A B
  assume A[measurable]:A ∈ sets X and B[measurable]: B ∈ sets Y
  have [measurable]: from-real -` B ∈ sets borel
    by(simp add: measurable-sets-borel[OF -` B])
  show ν (A × B) = (ʃ+x∈A. κ x B ∂νx) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs = ν' (A × (from-real -` B))
    by(auto simp: ν-distr emeasure-distr map-prod-vimage[of id from-real,simplified
map-prod-def id-def])
    also have ... = (ʃ+x∈A. κ' x (from-real -` B) ∂νx)
      using κ'.disintegrationD[OF κ'(2),of A from-real -` B]
      by(auto simp add: νx-eq simp del: space-borel)
    also have ... = ?rhs
      by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: space-marginal-measure κ-def emeasure-distr)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed(simp-all add: sets-marginal-measure nu-sets)

show ?thesis
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=κ])
  fix κ"
  assume h:prob-kernel X Y κ"
    measure-kernel.disintegration X Y κ" ν νx
  interpret κ": prob-kernel X Y κ" by fact
  show AE x in νx. κ x = κ" x
  proof -
    define κ''' where κ''' ≡ (λx. distr (κ" x) borel to-real)
    interpret κ'': prob-kernel X borel κ"""
      by(auto simp: prob-kernel-def' κ'''-def)
    have κ"-def: κ" x = distr (κ''' x) Y from-real if x ∈ space X for x
      using distr-distr[of from-real borel Y to-real κ" x,simplified measurable-cong-sets[OF κ".kernel-sets[OF that] refl,of borel]]
      by(auto simp: κ'''-def comp-def κ".kernel-sets[OF that] measurable-cong-sets[OF κ".kernel-sets[OF that] κ".kernel-sets[OF that]] sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF κ".kernel-sets[OF that]] intro!: distr-id'[symmetric])
    have κ'''-disi: κ''' .disintegration ν' ν'.νx
    proof(rule κ''' .disintegrationI)
      fix A and B :: real set
      assume A[measurable]:A ∈ sets X and B[measurable]:B ∈ sets borel
      show ν' (A × B) = (ʃ+x∈A. (κ''' x) B ∂ν'.νx) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
      proof -
        have ?lhs = ν (A × (to-real -` B ∩ space Y))
        by(auto simp: ν'-def emeasure-distr map-prod-vimage[of id to-real,simplified
map-prod-def id-def] sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF nu-sets] space-pair-measure Times-Int-Times)
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

also have ... = ( $\int^+_{x \in A} (\kappa'' x) (to\text{-}real -` B \cap space Y) \partial\nu x$ )
  using  $\kappa''.disintegrationD[OF h(2) A, of to\text{-}real -` B \cap space Y]$  by
auto
also have ... = ?rhs
  by(auto simp:  $\nu x\text{-eq}[symmetric]$  space-marginal-measure  $\kappa'''$ -def emeasure-distr sets-eq-imp-space-eq[ $OF \kappa''.kernel\text{-sets}$ ] intro!: nn-integral-cong)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed(auto simp:  $\nu'$ -def sets-marginal-measure)
show ?thesis
  by(rule AE-mp[ $OF \kappa'(3)$ ][ $OF \kappa'''$ .prob-kernel-axioms  $\kappa'''$ -disi,simplified
 $\nu x\text{-eq}]$ ],standard) (auto simp: space-marginal-measure  $\kappa''$ -def  $\kappa$ -def)
qed
qed(simp-all add: disi  $\kappa$ .prob-kernel-axioms)
qed
qed
end

```

2.7 Lemma 14.D.12.

```

lemma ex-finite-density-measure:
fixes A :: nat ⇒ -
assumes A: range A ⊆ sets M ∪ (range A) = space M ∧ i. emeasure M (A i)
≠ ∞ disjoint-family A
defines h ≡ ( $\lambda x. (\sum n. (1/2)^n (Suc n) * (1 / (1 + M(A n)))) * indicator(A n) x$ )
shows h ∈ borel-measurable M
   $\wedge x. x \in space M \implies 0 < h x$ 
   $\wedge x. x \in space M \implies h x < 1$ 
  finite-measure (density M h)
proof -
have less1:  $0 < 1 / (1 + M(A n)) 1 / (1 + M(A n)) \leq 1$  for n
  using A(3)[of n] ennreal-zero-less-divide[of 1 1 + M(A n)]
  by (auto intro!: divide-le-posI-ennreal simp: add-pos-nonneg)
show [measurable]: h ∈ borel-measurable M
  using A by(simp add: h-def)
{
  fix x
  assume x:  $x \in space M$ 
  then obtain i where i:  $x \in A i$ 
    using A(2) by auto
  show  $0 < h x$ 
    using A(3)[of i] less1[of i]
    by(auto simp: h-def suminf-pos-iff i ennreal-divide-times ennreal-zero-less-divide
power-divide-distrib-ennreal power-less-top-ennreal intro!: exI[where x=i])
  have h x = ( $\sum n. (1/2)^n (Suc n + 2) * (1 / (1 + M(A(n + 2)))) * indicator(A(n + 2)) x + (1/2)^2 * (1 / (1 + M(A 0))) * indicator(A 0) x + (1/2)^2 * (1 / (1 + M(A 1))) * indicator(A 1) x$ 

```

```

by(auto simp: h-def suminf-split-head suminf-offset[of λn. (1/2)^(Suc n) * (1
/ (1 + M (A n))) * indicator (A n) x 2] simp del: power-Suc sum-mult-indicator)
(auto simp: numeral-2-eq-2)
also have ... ≤ 1/4 + (1/2) * (1 / (1 + M (A 0))) * indicator (A 0) x +
(1/2)^2 * (1 / (1 + M (A 1))) * indicator (A 1) x
proof -
have (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc n + 2) * (1 / (1 + M (A (n + 2)))) * indicator (A
(n + 2)) x) ≤ (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc n + 2))
using less1(2)[of Suc (Suc -)] by(intro suminf-le,auto simp: indicator-def)
(metis mult.right-neutral mult-left-mono zero-le)
also have ... = (∑ n. ennreal ((1 / 2)^(Suc n + 2)))
by(simp only: ennreal-power[of 1/2,symmetric]) (metis divide-ennreal en-
nreal-1 ennreal-numeral linorder-not-le not-one-less-zero zero-less-numeral)
also have ... = ennreal (∑ n. (1 / 2)^(Suc n + 2))
by(rule suminf-ennreal2) auto
also have ... = ennreal (1/4)
using nsum-of-r'[of 1/2 Suc (Suc 0)) 1] by auto
also have ... = 1 / 4
by (metis ennreal-divide-numeral ennreal-numeral numeral-One zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... < 1 (is ?lhs < -)
proof(cases x ∈ A 0)
case True
then have x ∉ A 1
using A(4) by (auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
hence ?lhs = 1 / 4 + 1 / 2 * (1 / (1 + emeasure M (A 0)))
by(simp add: True)
also have ... ≤ 1 / 4 + 1 / 2
using less1(2)[of 0] by (simp add: divide-right-mono-ennreal ennreal-divide-times)
also have ... = 1 / 4 + 2 / 4
using divide-mult-eq[of 2 1 2] by simp
also have ... = 3 / 4
by(simp add: add-divide-distrib-ennreal[symmetric])
also have ... < 1
by(simp add: divide-less-ennreal)
finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
then have ?lhs = 1 / 4 + (1 / 2)^2 * (1 / (1 + emeasure M (A 1))) *
indicator (A 1) x
by simp
also have ... ≤ 1 / 4 + (1 / 2)^2
by (metis less1(2)[of 1] add-left-mono indicator-eq-0-iff indicator-eq-1-iff
mult.right-neutral mult-eq-0-iff mult-left-mono zero-le)
also have ... = 2 / 4
by(simp add: power-divide-distrib-ennreal add-divide-distrib-ennreal[symmetric])
also have ... < 1
by(simp add: divide-less-ennreal)

```

```

    finally show ?thesis .
qed
finally show h x < 1 .
}
show finite-measure (density M h)
proof
  show emeasure (density M h) (space (density M h)) ≠ ∞
proof –
  have integralN M h ≠ ⊤ (is ?lhs ≠ -)
  proof –
    have ?lhs = (∑ n. (ʃ+ x ∈ A n. ((1/2)^(Suc n) * (1 / (1 + M (A n)))) ∂M))
    using A by(simp add: h-def nn-integral-suminf)
    also have ... = (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc n) * (1 / (1 + M (A n))) * M (A n))
      by(rule suminf-cong,rule nn-integral-cmult-indicator) (use A in auto)
    also have ... = (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc n) * ((1 / (1 + M (A n))) * M (A n)))
      by (simp add: mult.assoc)
    also have ... ≤ (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc n))
    proof –
      have (1 / (1 + M (A n))) * M (A n) ≤ 1 for n
      using A(3)[of n] by (simp add: add-pos-nonneg divide-le-posI-ennreal
ennreal-divide-times)
      thus ?thesis
        by(intro suminf-le) (metis mult.right-neutral mult-left-mono zero-le,auto)
    qed
    also have ... = (∑ n. ennreal ((1/2)^(Suc n)))
      by(simp only: ennreal-power[of 1/2,symmetric]) (metis divide-ennreal
ennreal-1 ennreal-numeral linorder-not-le not-one-less-zero zero-less-numeral)
    also have ... = ennreal (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc n))
      by(rule suminf-ennreal2) auto
    also have ... = 1
      using nsum-of-r'[of 1/2 1 1] by auto
    finally show ?thesis
      using nle-le by fastforce
    qed
    thus ?thesis
      by(simp add: emeasure-density)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma(in sigma-finite-measure) finite-density-measure:
obtains h where h ∈ borel-measurable M
 $\bigwedge x. x \in space M \implies 0 < h x$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in space M \implies h x < 1$
finite-measure (density M h)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) sigma-finite-disjoint ex-finite-density-measure)

2.8 Lemma 14.D.13.

```

lemma (in measure-kernel)
  assumes disintegration ν μ
  defines νx ≡ marginal-measure X Y ν
  shows disintegration-absolutely-continuous: absolutely-continuous μ νx
    and disintegration-density: νx = density μ (λx. κ x (space Y))
    and disintegration-absolutely-continuous-iff:
      absolutely-continuous νx μ ↔ (AE x in μ. κ x (space Y) > 0)
proof -
  note sets-eq[measurable-cong] = disintegration-sets-eq[OF assms(1)]
  note [measurable] = emeasure-measurable[OF sets.top]
  have νx-eq: νx A = (ʃ+x∈A. (κ x (space Y)) ∂μ) if A:A ∈ sets X for A
    by(simp add: disintegrationD[OF assms(1) A sets.top] emeasure-marginal-measure[OF sets-eq(1) A] νx-def)
  thus 1:νx = density μ (λx. κ x (space Y))
    by(auto intro!: measure-eqI simp: sets-marginal-measure νx-def sets-eq emeasure-density)
  hence sets-νx:sets νx = sets X
    using sets-eq by simp
  show absolutely-continuous μ νx
    unfolding absolutely-continuous-def
  proof safe
    fix A
    assume A: A ∈ null-sets μ
    have 0 = (ʃ+x∈A. (κ x (space Y)) ∂μ)
      by(simp add: A nn-integral-null-set)
    also have ... = νx A
      using A νx-eq[of A,simplified sets-eq(2)[symmetric]]
      by auto
    finally show A ∈ null-sets νx
      using A by(auto simp: null-sets-def νx-def sets-marginal-measure sets-eq)
  qed
  show absolutely-continuous νx μ ↔ (AE x in μ. κ x (space Y) > 0)
  proof
    assume h:absolutely-continuous νx μ
    define N where N = {x ∈ space μ. (κ x) (space Y) = 0}
    have N ∈ null-sets μ
    proof -
      have νx N = (ʃ+x∈N. (κ x (space Y)) ∂μ)
        using νx-eq[of N] by(simp add: N-def sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF sets-eq(2)])
      also have ... = (ʃ+x∈N. 0 ∂μ)
        by(rule nn-integral-cong) (auto simp: N-def indicator-def)
      also have ... = 0 by simp
      finally have N ∈ null-sets νx
        by(auto simp: null-sets-def 1 N-def)
      thus ?thesis
        using h by(auto simp: absolutely-continuous-def)
    qed
    then show AE x in μ. 0 < (κ x) (space Y)
  qed

```

```

by(auto intro!: AE-I'[OF - subset-refl] simp: N-def)
next
  assume AE x in μ. 0 < (κ x) (space Y)
  then show absolutely-continuous νx μ
    using νx-eq by(auto simp: absolutely-continuous-def intro!: null-if-pos-func-has-zero-nn-int[where
f=λx. emeasure (κ x) (space Y)]) (auto simp: null-sets-def sets-νx)
  qed
qed

```

2.9 Theorem 14.D.14.

```

locale sigma-finite-measure-on-pair-standard = sigma-finite-measure-on-pair + stan-
dard-borel-ne Y

```

```

sublocale projection-sigma-finite-standard ⊆ sigma-finite-measure-on-pair-standard
  by (simp add: sigma-finite-measure-on-pair-axioms sigma-finite-measure-on-pair-standard-def
standard-borel-ne-axioms)

```

```

context sigma-finite-measure-on-pair-standard
begin

```

```

lemma measure-disintegration-extension:

```

```

  ∃μ κ. finite-measure μ ∧ measure-kernel X Y κ ∧ measure-kernel.disintegration
X Y κ ν μ ∧
  (∀x∈space X. sigma-finite-measure (κ x)) ∧
  (∀x∈space X. κ x (space Y) > 0) ∧
  μ ~ M νx (is ?goal)

```

```

proof(rule sigma-finite-measure.sigma-finite-disjoint[OF sigma-finite])

```

```

fix A :: nat ⇒ -

```

```

assume A:range A ⊆ sets ν ∪ (range A) = space ν ∧ i. emeasure ν (A i) ≠ ∞
disjoint-family A

```

```

define h where h ≡ (λx. ∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc n * (1 / (1 + emeasure ν (A n))) *
indicator (A n) x)

```

```

have h: h ∈ borel-measurable ν ∧ x y. x ∈ space X ⇒ y ∈ space Y ⇒ 0 < h
(x,y) ∧ x y. x ∈ space X ⇒ y ∈ space Y ⇒ h (x,y) < 1 finite-measure (density
ν h)

```

```

using ex-finite-density-measure[OF A] by(auto simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
nu-sets] h-def space-pair-measure)

```

```

interpret psfs-νx: finite-measure marginal-measure X Y (density ν h)

```

```

by(rule finite-measure-marginal-measure-finite[OF h(4),simplified,OF nu-sets])

```

```

interpret psfs: projection-sigma-finite-standard X Y density ν h

```

```

by(auto simp: projection-sigma-finite-standard-def projection-sigma-finite-def
standard-borel-ne-axioms nu-sets finite-measure.sigma-finite-measure[OF finite-measure-marginal-measure-fini-
h(4),simplified,OF nu-sets]])

```

```

from psfs.measure-disintegration

```

```

obtain κ' where κ': prob-kernel X Y κ' measure-kernel.disintegration X Y κ'
(density ν h) psfs.νx by auto

```

```

interpret pk: prob-kernel X Y κ' by fact
define κ where κ ≡ (λx. density (κ' x) (λy. 1 / h (x,y)))
have κB: κ x B = (ʃ+y∈B. (1 / h (x, y))∂κ' x) if x ∈ space X and [measurable]:B
∈ sets Y for x B
  using nu-sets pk.kernel-sets[OF that(1)] that h(1) by(auto simp: κ-def emeasure-density)
interpret mk: measure-kernel X Y κ
proof
  fix B
  assume [measurable]:B ∈ sets Y
  have 1:(λx. ʃ+y∈B. (1 / h (x, y))∂κ' x) ∈ borel-measurable X
    using h(1) nu-sets by(auto intro!: pk.nn-integral-measurable-f'[of λz. (1 / h
z) * indicator B (snd z),simplified])
  show (λx. (κ x) B) ∈ borel-measurable X
    by(rule measurable-cong[THEN iffD1,OF - 1],simp add: κB)
qed(simp-all add: κ-def pk.kernel-sets space-ne)

have disi: mk.disintegration ν psfs.νx
proof(rule mk.disintegrationI)
  fix A B
  assume A[measurable]:A ∈ sets X and B[measurable]:B ∈ sets Y
  show ν (A × B) = (ʃ+x∈A. (κ x) B∂psfs.νx) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs = (ʃ+z∈A × B. 1 ∂ν)
      by auto
    also have ... = (ʃ+z∈A × B. (1 / h z * h z) ∂ν)
    proof -
      have 1: a * (1 / a) = 1 if 0 < a a < 1 for a :: ennreal
      proof -
        have a * (1 / a) = ennreal (enn2real a * 1 / (enn2real a))
          by (simp add: divide-eq-1-ennreal enn2real-eq-0-iff ennreal-times-divide)
        also have ... = ennreal 1
          using enn2real-eq-0-iff that by fastforce
        finally show ?thesis
          using ennreal-1 by simp
      qed
      show ?thesis
        by(rule nn-integral-cong,auto simp add: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF nu-sets]
space-pair-measure ennreal-divide-times indicator-def 1[OF h(2,3)])
    qed
    also have ... = (ʃ+z. h z * ((1 / h z) * indicator (A × B) z) ∂ν)
      by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: indicator-def mult.commute)
    also have ... = (ʃ+z∈A × B. (1 / h z) ∂(density ν h))
      using h(1) by(simp add: nn-integral-density)
    also have ... = (ʃ+ x. ʃ+ y. (1 / h (x,y)) * indicator (A × B) (x,y)) ∂κ' x
      ∂psfs.νx
    using h(1) by(simp add: pk.nn-integral-fst-finite'[OF - κ'(2) psfs-νx.finite-measure-axioms])
    also have ... = (ʃ+ x∈A. (ʃ+ y∈B. (1 / h (x,y)) ∂κ' x) ∂psfs.νx)
      by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: indicator-def)

```

```

also have ... = ?rhs
  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: κB[OF - B] space-marginal-measure)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed(simp-all add: nu-sets sets-marginal-measure)
have geq0: 0 < (κ x) (space Y) if x ∈ space X for x
proof -
  have 0 = (ʃ+ y. 0 ∂κ' x) by simp
  also have ... < (ʃ+ y. (1 / h(x,y)) ∂κ' x)
  proof(rule nn-integral-less)
    show ¬ (AE y in κ' x. 1 / h(x, y) ≤ 0)
    proof
      assume AE y in κ' x. 1 / h(x, y) ≤ 0
      moreover have h(x,y) ≠ ⊤ if y ∈ space (κ' x) for y
        using h(3)[OF ⟨x ∈ space X⟩ that[simplified sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
          pk.kernel-sets[OF ⟨x ∈ space X⟩]]]] top.not-eq-extremum
        by fastforce
      ultimately show False
      using prob-space.AE-False[OF pk.prob-spaces[OF that]] by simp
    qed
  qed
  qed(use h(1) pk.kernel-sets[OF that] that in auto)
  also have ... = (κ x) (space Y)
    by(simp add: κB[OF that sets.top]) (simp add: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
      pk.kernel-sets[OF that],symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

show ?goal
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=psfs.νx] exI[where x=κ] disi)
  show absolutely-continuous νx psfs.νx
    unfolding mk.disintegration-absolutely-continuous-iff[OF disi]
    by standard (simp add: space-marginal-measure geq0)
next
  fix x
  assume x:x ∈ space X
  define C where C ≡ range (λn. Pair x -` (A n) ∩ space Y)
  have 1:countable C C ⊆ sets Y
    using A(1,2) x by (auto simp: nu-sets sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF nu-sets]
      space-pair-measure C-def)
  have 2: ∪ C = space Y
    using A(1,2) by (auto simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF nu-sets] space-pair-measure
      C-def) (use x in auto)

  show sigma-finite-measure (κ x)
    unfolding sigma-finite-measure-def
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=C])
      fix c
      assume c ∈ C (κ x) c = ∞
      then obtain n where c:c = Pair x -` (A n) ∩ space Y by (auto simp: C-def)

```

```

have  $(\kappa x) c = (\int^+ y \in c. (1 / h(x, y)) \partial \kappa' x)$ 
  using  $\kappa B[OF x, of c] 1 \langle c \in C \rangle$  by auto
also have ... =  $(\int^+ y \in Pair x -` (A n). (1 / h(x, y)) \partial \kappa' x)$ 
  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: c indicator-def sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
pk.kernel-sets[OF x]])
also have ... =  $(\int^+ y \in Pair x -` (A n). (1 / ((1 / 2) \wedge Suc n * (1 / (1 +
emeasure \nu(A n)))))) \partial \kappa' x)$ 
proof -
{
  fix y
  assume xy:(x, y) \in A n
  have  $1 / h(x, y) = 1 / ((1 / 2) \wedge Suc n * (1 / (1 + emeasure \nu(A n))))$ 
  proof -
    have  $h(x, y) = (1 / 2) \wedge Suc n * (1 / (1 + emeasure \nu(A n)))$  (is
?lhs = ?rhs)
    proof -
      have ?lhs =  $(\sum m. (1 / 2) \wedge Suc m * (1 / (1 + emeasure \nu(A m))))$ 
      * indicator (A m) (x,y)
        by(simp add: h-def)
      also have ... =  $(\sum m. if m = n then (1 / 2) \wedge Suc n * (1 / (1 +
emeasure \nu(A n))) else 0)$ 
        using xy A(4) by(fastforce intro!: suminf-cong simp: disjoint-family-on-def
indicator-def)
      also have ... =  $(\sum j. if j + Suc n = n then (1 / 2) \wedge Suc n * (1 / (1 +
emeasure \nu(A n))) else 0) + (\sum j < Suc n. if j = n then (1 / 2) \wedge Suc n * (1 /
(1 + emeasure \nu(A n))) else 0)$ 
        by(auto simp: suminf-offset[of \lambda m. if m = n then (1 / 2) \wedge Suc n *
(1 / (1 + emeasure \nu(A n))) else 0 Suc n] simp del: power-Suc)
      also have ... = ?rhs
        by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
}
thus ?thesis
  by(intro nn-integral-cong) (auto simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF pk.kernel-sets[OF
x]] indicator-def simp del: power-Suc)
qed
also have ... \leq  $(\int^+ y. (1 / ((1 / 2) \wedge Suc n * (1 / (1 + emeasure \nu(A
n)))))) \partial \kappa' x)$ 
  by(rule nn-integral-mono) (auto simp: indicator-def)
also have ... =  $(1 / ((1 / 2) \wedge Suc n * (1 / (1 + emeasure \nu(A n))))))$ 
  by(simp add: prob-space.emeasure-space-1[OF pk.prob-spaces[OF x]])
also have ... < \infty
  by (metis A(3) ennreal-add-eq-top ennreal-divide-eq-0-iff ennreal-divide-eq-top-iff
ennreal-top-neq-one infinity-ennreal-def mult-eq-0-iff power-eq-0-iff top.not-eq-extremum
top-neq-numeral)
finally show False

```

```

    using ⟨(κ x) c = ∞⟩ by simp
qed(insert 1 2, auto simp: mk.kernel-sets[OF x] sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF mk.kernel-sets[OF x]])
qed(auto simp: psfs-νx.finite-measure-axioms geq0 mk.measure-kernel-axioms mk.disintegration-absolutely-continuous)
qed
end

lemma(in sigma-finite-measure-on-pair) measure-disintegration-extension-AE-unique:
assumes sigma-finite-measure μ sigma-finite-measure μ'
measure-kernel X Y κ measure-kernel X Y κ'
measure-kernel.disintegration X Y κ ν μ measure-kernel.disintegration X Y κ' ν μ'
and absolutely-continuous μ μ' B ∈ sets Y
shows AE x in μ. κ' x B * RN-deriv μ μ' x = κ x B
proof -
interpret s1: sigma-finite-measure μ by fact
interpret s2: sigma-finite-measure μ' by fact
interpret mk1: measure-kernel X Y κ by fact
interpret mk2: measure-kernel X Y κ' by fact
have sets[measurable-cong]:sets μ = sets X sets μ' = sets X
using assms(5,6) by(auto dest: mk1.disintegration-sets-eq mk2.disintegration-sets-eq)
have 1:AE x in μ. κ x B = RN-deriv μ (marginal-measure-on X Y ν B) x
using sets mk1.emeasure-measurable[OF assms(8)] mk1.disintegrationD[OF assms(5) - assms(8)]
by(auto intro!: measure-eqI s1.RN-deriv-unique simp: emeasure-density emeasure-marginal-measure-on[OF nu-sets assms(8)] sets sets-marginal-measure)
have 2:AE x in μ. κ' x B * RN-deriv μ μ' x = RN-deriv μ (marginal-measure-on X Y ν B) x
proof -
{
fix A
assume A: A ∈ sets X
have (ʃ+x∈A. ((κ' x) B * RN-deriv μ μ' x) ∂μ) = (ʃ+x. RN-deriv μ μ' x
* (κ' x B * indicator A x) ∂μ)
by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: indicator-def mult.commute)
also have ... = (ʃ+x∈A. κ' x B ∂μ')
using mk2.emeasure-measurable[OF assms(8)] sets A
by(auto intro!: s1.RN-deriv-nn-integral[OF assms(7),symmetric])
also have ... = ν (A × B)
by(simp add: mk2.disintegrationD[OF assms(6) A assms(8)])
finally have (ʃ+x∈A. ((κ' x) B * RN-deriv μ μ' x) ∂μ) = ν (A × B) .
}
thus ?thesis
using sets mk2.emeasure-measurable[OF assms(8)]
by(auto intro!: measure-eqI s1.RN-deriv-unique simp: emeasure-density emeasure-marginal-measure-on[OF nu-sets assms(8)] sets sets-marginal-measure)

```

```
qed
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 by auto
qed

end
```

References

- [1] F. Baccelli, B. Blaszczyszyn, and M. Karray. *Random Measures, Point Processes, and Stochastic Geometry*. Inria, Jan. 2020.