

Dirichlet Series

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry is a formalisation of much of Chapters 2, 3, and 11 of Apostol’s “Introduction to Analytic Number Theory” [1]. This includes:

- Definitions and basic properties for several number-theoretic functions (Euler’s φ , Möbius μ , Liouville’s λ , the divisor function σ , von Mangoldt’s Λ)
- Executable code for most of these functions, the most efficient implementations using the factoring algorithm by Thiemann *et al.*
- Dirichlet products and formal Dirichlet series
- Analytic results connecting convergent formal Dirichlet series to complex functions
- Euler product expansions
- Asymptotic estimates of number-theoretic functions including the density of squarefree integers and the average number of divisors of a natural number

These results are useful as a basis for developing more number-theoretic results, such as the Prime Number Theorem.

Contents

1	Miscellaneous auxiliary facts	4
2	Multiplicative arithmetic functions	5
2.1	Definition	5
2.2	Indicator function	9
3	Dirichlet convolution	9
4	Formal Dirichlet series	13
4.1	General properties	16
4.2	Shifting the argument	22
4.3	Scaling the argument	24
4.4	Formal derivative	26
4.5	Formal integral	28
4.6	Formal logarithm	28
4.7	Formal exponential	29
4.8	Subseries	30
4.9	Truncation	31
4.10	Normed series	33
4.11	Lifting a real series to a real algebra	33
4.12	Convergence and connection to concrete functions	34
5	The Möbius μ function	38
6	Euler's ϕ function	41
7	The Liouville λ function	42
8	The divisor functions	44
8.1	The general divisor function	44
8.2	The divisor-counting function	46
8.3	The divisor sum function	46
9	Summatory arithmetic functions	48
9.1	Definition	48
9.2	The Hyperbola method	49
10	Partial summation	50
11	Euler product expansions	51

12 Analytic properties of Dirichlet series	52
12.1 Convergence and absolute convergence	54
12.2 Derivative of a Dirichlet series	60
12.3 Multiplication of two series	61
12.4 Uniqueness	66
12.5 Limit at infinity	67
12.6 Normed series	68
12.7 Logarithms of Dirichlet series	69
12.8 Exponential and logarithm	71
12.9 Euler products	72
12.10 Non-negative Dirichlet series	73
12.11 Convergence of the ζ and Möbius μ series	75
12.12 Application to the Möbius μ function	75
13 Asymptotics of summatory arithmetic functions	75
13.1 Auxiliary bounds	76
13.2 Summatory totient function	76
13.3 Asymptotic distribution of squarefree numbers	76
13.4 The hyperbola method	77
13.5 The asymptotic distribution of coprime pairs	78
13.6 The asymptotics of the number of Farey fractions	79
14 Efficient code for number-theoretic functions	80
14.1 Möbius μ function	80
14.2 Euler's ϕ function	81
14.3 Divisor Functions	82
14.4 Liouville's λ function	82

1 Miscellaneous auxiliary facts

```

theory Dirichlet-Misc
  imports
    HOL-Number-Theory.Number-Theory
  begin

lemma
  fixes a k :: nat
  assumes a > 1 k > 0
  shows geometric-sum-nat-aux:  $(a - 1) * (\sum i < k. a \wedge i) = a \wedge k - 1$ 
    and geometric-sum-nat-dvd: a - 1 dvd a \wedge k - 1
    and geometric-sum-nat:  $(\sum i < k. a \wedge i) = (a \wedge k - 1) \text{ div } (a - 1)$ 
  {proof}

lemma dvd-div-gt0: d dvd n  $\implies$  n > 0  $\implies$  n div d > (0::nat)
  {proof}

lemma Set-filter-insert:
  Set.filter P (insert x A) = (if P x then insert x (Set.filter P A) else Set.filter P A)
  {proof}

lemma Set-filter-union: Set.filter P (A  $\cup$  B) = Set.filter P A  $\cup$  Set.filter P B
  {proof}

lemma Set-filter-empty [simp]: Set.filter P {} = {}
  {proof}

lemma Set-filter-image: Set.filter P (f ` A) = f ` Set.filter (P o f) A
  {proof}

lemma Set-filter-cong [cong]:
   $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies P x \longleftrightarrow Q x) \implies A = B \implies \text{Set.filter } P A = \text{Set.filter } Q B$ 
  {proof}

lemma inj-on-insert':  $(\bigwedge B. B \in A \implies x \notin B) \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{insert } x) A$ 
  {proof}

lemma
  assumes finite A A  $\neq \{\}$ 
  shows card-even-subset-aux: card {B. B  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$  even (card B)} =  $2 \wedge (\text{card } A - 1)$ 
    and card-odd-subset-aux: card {B. B  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$  odd (card B)} =  $2 \wedge (\text{card } A - 1)$ 
    and card-even-odd-subset: card {B. B  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$  even (card B)} = card {B. B  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$  odd (card B)}
  {proof}

```

```

lemma bij-betw-prod-divisors-coprime:
  assumes coprime a (b :: nat)
  shows bij-betw ( $\lambda x. fst x * snd x$ ) ( $\{d. d \text{ dvd } a\} \times \{d. d \text{ dvd } b\}$ )  $\{k. k \text{ dvd } a * b\}$ 
  proof

lemma bij-betw-prime-power-divisors:
  assumes prime (p :: nat)
  shows bij-betw ( $\lceil p \rceil$ )  $\{..k\}$   $\{d. d \text{ dvd } p^k\}$ 
  proof

lemma sum-divisors-coprime-mult:
  assumes coprime a (b :: nat)
  shows  $(\sum d | d \text{ dvd } a * b. f d) = (\sum r | r \text{ dvd } a. \sum s | s \text{ dvd } b. f(r * s))$ 
  proof

end

```

2 Multiplicative arithmetic functions

```

theory Multiplicative-Function
imports
  HOL-Number-Theory.Number-Theory
  Dirichlet-Misc
begin

```

2.1 Definition

```

locale multiplicative-function =
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: comm-semiring-1
  assumes zero [simp]:  $f 0 = 0$ 
  assumes one [simp]:  $f 1 = 1$ 
  assumes mult-coprime-aux:  $a > 1 \Rightarrow b > 1 \Rightarrow \text{coprime } a b \Rightarrow f(a * b) = f a * f b$ 
begin

lemma Suc-0 [simp]:  $f(\text{Suc } 0) = 1$ 
  proof

lemma mult-coprime:
  assumes coprime a b
  shows  $f(a * b) = f a * f b$ 
  proof

lemma prod-coprime:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \Rightarrow y \in A \Rightarrow x \neq y \Rightarrow \text{coprime } (g x) (g y)$ 
  shows  $f(\text{prod } g A) = (\prod x \in A. f(g x))$ 
  proof

```

```

lemma prod-prime-factors:
  assumes n > 0
  shows f n = ( $\prod_{p \in \text{prime-factors } n} f(p) \wedge \text{multiplicity } p \ n)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma multiplicative-sum-divisors: multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. f(d)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale multiplicative-function' = multiplicative-function f for f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: comm-semiring-1 +
  fixes f-prime-power :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a and f-prime :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  assumes prime-power: prime p  $\Rightarrow$  k > 0  $\Rightarrow$  f(p ^ k) = f-prime-power p k
  assumes prime-aux: prime p  $\Rightarrow$  f-prime-power p 1 = f-prime p
begin

lemma prime: prime p  $\Rightarrow$  f p = f-prime p
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma prod-prime-factors':
  assumes n > 0
  shows f n = ( $\prod_{p \in \text{prime-factors } n} f\text{-prime-power } p \ (\text{multiplicity } p \ n)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma efficient-code-aux:
  assumes n > 0 set ps = ( $\lambda p. (p, \text{multiplicity } p \ n - 1)$ ) ` prime-factors n distinct
  ps
  shows f n = ( $\prod_{(p,d)} \leftarrow ps. f\text{-prime-power } p \ (Suc \ d)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma efficient-code:
  assumes set (ps ()) = ( $\lambda p. (p, \text{multiplicity } p \ n - 1)$ ) ` prime-factors n distinct
  (ps ())
  shows f n = (if n = 0 then 0 else ( $\prod_{(p,d)} \leftarrow ps(). f\text{-prime-power } p \ (Suc \ d)$ ))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale completely-multiplicative-function =
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: comm-semiring-1
  assumes zero-aux: f 0 = 0
  assumes one-aux: f (Suc 0) = 1
  assumes mult-aux: a > 1  $\Rightarrow$  b > 1  $\Rightarrow$  f(a * b) = f a * f b
begin

lemma mult: f (a * b) = f a * f b

```

```

⟨proof⟩

sublocale multiplicative-function f
⟨proof⟩

lemma prod:  $f (\prod g A) = (\prod x \in A. f (g x))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma power:  $f (n ^ m) = f n ^ m$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-prime-factors':  $n > 0 \implies f n = (\prod p \in \text{prime-factors } n. f p ^ \text{multiplicity } p n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale completely-multiplicative-function' =
completely-multiplicative-function f for f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: comm-semiring-1 +
fixes f-prime :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
assumes f-prime: prime p  $\implies$  f p = f-prime p
begin

lemma prod-prime-factors'':  $n > 0 \implies f n = (\prod p \in \text{prime-factors } n. f\text{-prime } p ^ \text{multiplicity } p n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma efficient-code-aux:
assumes n > 0 set ps = ( $\lambda p. (p, \text{multiplicity } p n - 1)$ ) ` prime-factors n distinct ps
shows f n = ( $\prod (p, d) \leftarrow ps. f\text{-prime } p ^ \text{Suc } d$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma efficient-code:
assumes set (ps ()) = ( $\lambda p. (p, \text{multiplicity } p n - 1)$ ) ` prime-factors n distinct (ps ())
shows f n = (if n = 0 then 0 else ( $\prod (p, d) \leftarrow ps(). f\text{-prime } p ^ \text{Suc } d$ ))
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma multiplicative-function-eqI:
assumes multiplicative-function f multiplicative-function g
assumes  $\bigwedge p k. \text{prime } p \implies k > 0 \implies f (p ^ k) = g (p ^ k)$ 
shows f n = g n
⟨proof⟩

lemma multiplicative-function-of-natI:
multiplicative-function f  $\implies$  multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. \text{of-nat } (f n)$ )

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma multiplicative-function-of-natD:  
  multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. \text{of-nat } (f n) :: 'a :: \{\text{ring-char-0}, \text{comm-semiring-1}\}$ )  
   $\Rightarrow$   
    multiplicative-function f  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma multiplicative-function-mult:  
  assumes multiplicative-function f multiplicative-function g  
  shows multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. f n * g n$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma multiplicative-function-inverse:  
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: field  
  assumes multiplicative-function f  
  shows multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. \text{inverse } (f n)$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma multiplicative-function-divide:  
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: field  
  assumes multiplicative-function f multiplicative-function g  
  shows multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. f n / g n$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma completely-multiplicative-function-mult:  
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function f completely-multiplicative-function g  
  shows completely-multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. f n * g n$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma completely-multiplicative-function-inverse:  
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: field  
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function f  
  shows completely-multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. \text{inverse } (f n)$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma completely-multiplicative-function-divide:  
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: field  
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function f completely-multiplicative-function g  
  shows completely-multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. f n / g n$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma (in multiplicative-function) completely-multiplicativeI:  
  assumes  $\bigwedge p k. \text{prime } p \Rightarrow k > 0 \Rightarrow f(p^k) = f p^k$   
  shows completely-multiplicative-function f  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

2.2 Indicator function

```

definition ind :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: semiring-1 where
  ind P n = (if n > 0  $\wedge$  P n then 1 else 0)

lemma ind-0 [simp]: ind P 0 = 0  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma ind-nonzero: n > 0  $\implies$  ind P n = (if P n then 1 else 0)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma ind-True [simp]: P n  $\implies$  n > 0  $\implies$  ind P n = 1
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma ind-False [simp]:  $\neg$ P n  $\implies$  n > 0  $\implies$  ind P n = 0
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma ind-eq-1-iff: ind P n = 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  n > 0  $\wedge$  P n
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma ind-eq-0-iff: ind P n = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  n = 0  $\vee$   $\neg$ P n
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma multiplicative-function-ind [intro?]:
  assumes P 1  $\wedge$ a b. a > 1  $\implies$  b > 1  $\implies$  coprime a b  $\implies$  P (a * b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  P a
   $\wedge$  P b
  shows multiplicative-function (ind P)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

```

3 Dirichlet convolution

```

theory Dirichlet-Product
imports
  Complex-Main
  Multiplicative-Function
begin

lemma sum-coprime-dvd-cong:
  ( $\sum r \mid r \text{ dvd } a. \sum s \mid s \text{ dvd } b. f r s$ ) = ( $\sum r \mid r \text{ dvd } a. \sum s \mid s \text{ dvd } b. g r s$ )
  if coprime a b  $\wedge$ r s. coprime r s  $\implies$  r dvd a  $\implies$  s dvd b  $\implies$  f r s = g r s
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

definition dirichlet-prod :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: semiring-0)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where
  dirichlet-prod f g = ( $\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. f d * g (n \text{ div } d)$ )

lemma sum-divisors-code:
  assumes n > (0::nat)

```

```

shows ( $\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. f d$ ) =
  fold-atLeastAtMost-nat ( $\lambda d \text{ acc}. \text{ if } d \text{ dvd } n \text{ then } f d + acc \text{ else } acc$ ) 1 n 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-code [code]:
  dirichlet-prod f g n = (if  $n = 0$  then 0 else
    fold-atLeastAtMost-nat ( $\lambda d \text{ acc}. \text{ if } d \text{ dvd } n \text{ then } f d * g (n \text{ div } d) + acc \text{ else } acc$ ) 1 n 0)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-0 [simp]: dirichlet-prod f g 0 = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-Suc-0 [simp]: dirichlet-prod f g (Suc 0) = f (Suc 0) * g (Suc 0)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-cong [cong]:
  assumes ( $\bigwedge n. n > 0 \implies f n = f' n$ ) ( $\bigwedge n. n > 0 \implies g n = g' n$ )
  shows dirichlet-prod f g = dirichlet-prod f' g'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-altdef1:
  dirichlet-prod f g = ( $\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. f (n \text{ div } d) * g d$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-altdef2:
  dirichlet-prod f g = ( $\lambda n. \sum (r, d) \mid r * d = n. f r * g d$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-commutes:
  dirichlet-prod (f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: comm-semiring-0) g = dirichlet-prod g f
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma finite-divisors-nat':  $n > (0 :: \text{nat}) \implies \text{finite } \{(a, b). a * b = n\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-assoc-aux1:
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  shows dirichlet-prod f (dirichlet-prod g h) n =
    ( $\sum (a, b, c) \in \{(a, b, c). a * b * c = n\}. f a * g b * h c$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-assoc-aux2:
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  shows dirichlet-prod (dirichlet-prod f g) h n =
    ( $\sum (a, b, c) \in \{(a, b, c). a * b * c = n\}. f a * g b * h c$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma dirichlet-prod-assoc:
  dirichlet-prod (dirichlet-prod f g) h = dirichlet-prod f (dirichlet-prod g h)
  <proof>

lemma dirichlet-prod-const-right [simp]:
  assumes n > 0
  shows dirichlet-prod f (λn. if n = Suc 0 then c else 0) n = f n * c
  <proof>

lemma dirichlet-prod-const-left [simp]:
  assumes n > 0
  shows dirichlet-prod (λn. if n = Suc 0 then c else 0) g n = c * g n
  <proof>

fun dirichlet-inverse :: (nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-ring-1) ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a where
  dirichlet-inverse f i n =
    (if n = 0 then 0 else if n = 1 then i
     else -i * (∑ d | d dvd n ∧ d < n. f (n div d) * dirichlet-inverse f i d))

lemma dirichlet-inverse-induct [case-names 0 1 gt1]:
  P 0 ⇒ P (Suc 0) ⇒ (∀n. n > 1 ⇒ (∀k. k < n ⇒ P k) ⇒ P n) ⇒ P n
  <proof>

lemma dirichlet-inverse-0 [simp]: dirichlet-inverse f i 0 = 0
  <proof>

lemma dirichlet-inverse-Suc-0 [simp]: dirichlet-inverse f i (Suc 0) = i
  <proof>

declare dirichlet-inverse.simps [simp del]

lemma dirichlet-inverse-gt-1:
  n > 1 ⇒ dirichlet-inverse f i n =
  -i * (∑ d | d dvd n ∧ d < n. f (n div d) * dirichlet-inverse f i d)
  <proof>

lemma dirichlet-inverse-cong [cong]:
  assumes ∀n. n > 0 ⇒ f n = f' n i = i' n = n'
  shows dirichlet-inverse f i n = dirichlet-inverse f' i' n'
  <proof>

lemma dirichlet-inverse-gt-1':
  assumes n > 1
  shows dirichlet-inverse f i n =
  -i * dirichlet-prod (λn. if n = 1 then 0 else f n) (dirichlet-inverse f i) n
  <proof>

lemma of-int-dirichlet-prod:

```

of-int (*dirichlet-prod* $f g n$) = *dirichlet-prod* ($\lambda n. \text{of-int} (f n)$) ($\lambda n. \text{of-int} (g n)$) n
(proof)

lemma *of-int-dirichlet-inverse*:

of-int (*dirichlet-inverse* $f i n$) = *dirichlet-inverse* ($\lambda n. \text{of-int} (f n)$) (*of-int* i) n
(proof)

lemma *dirichlet-inverse-code* [*code*]:

dirichlet-inverse $f i n$ = (*if* $n = 0$ *then* 0 *else if* $n = 1$ *then* i *else*
 $-i * \text{fold-atLeastAtMost-nat} (\lambda d \text{ acc. if } d \text{ dvd } n \text{ then } f (n \text{ div } d) *$
 $\text{dirichlet-inverse} f i d + \text{acc} \text{ else acc}) 1 (n - 1) 0$)

(proof)

lemma *dirichlet-prod-inverse*:

assumes $f 1 * i = 1$
shows *dirichlet-prod* $f (\text{dirichlet-inverse} f i) = (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
(proof)

lemma *dirichlet-prod-inverse'*:

assumes $f 1 * i = 1$
shows *dirichlet-prod* (*dirichlet-inverse* $f i$) $f = (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
(proof)

lemma *dirichlet-inverse-noninvertible*:

assumes $f (\text{Suc } 0) = (0 :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-ring-1}\})$ $i = 0$
shows *dirichlet-inverse* $f i n = 0$
(proof)

lemma *multiplicative-dirichlet-prod*:

assumes *multiplicative-function* f
assumes *multiplicative-function* g
shows *multiplicative-function* (*dirichlet-prod* $f g$)
(proof)

lemma *multiplicative-dirichlet-prodD1*:

fixes $f g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{comm-semiring-1-cancel}$
assumes *multiplicative-function* (*dirichlet-prod* $f g$)
assumes *multiplicative-function* f
assumes [*simp*]: $g 0 = 0$
shows *multiplicative-function* g
(proof)

lemma *multiplicative-dirichlet-prodD2*:

fixes $f g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{comm-semiring-1-cancel}$
assumes *multiplicative-function* (*dirichlet-prod* $f g$)
assumes *multiplicative-function* g
assumes [*simp*]: $f 0 = 0$
shows *multiplicative-function* f
(proof)

```

lemma multiplicative-dirichlet-inverse:
  assumes multiplicative-function f
  shows multiplicative-function (dirichlet-inverse f 1)
  {proof}

lemma dirichlet-prod-prime-power:
  assumes prime p
  shows dirichlet-prod f g (p ^ k) = (∑ i≤k. f (p ^ i) * g (p ^ (k - i)))
  {proof}

lemma dirichlet-prod-prime:
  assumes prime p
  shows dirichlet-prod f g p = f 1 * g p + f p * g 1
  {proof}

locale multiplicative-dirichlet-prod =
  f: multiplicative-function f + g: multiplicative-function g
  for f g :: nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-semiring-1
begin

  sublocale multiplicative-function dirichlet-prod f g
  {proof}

  end

  locale multiplicative-dirichlet-prod' =
    f: multiplicative-function' f f-prime-power f-prime +
    g: multiplicative-function' g g-prime-power g-prime
    for f g :: nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-semiring-1 and f-prime-power g-prime-power f-prime
    g-prime
  begin

    sublocale multiplicative-dirichlet-prod f g {proof}

    sublocale multiplicative-function' dirichlet-prod f g
      λp k. f-prime-power p k + g-prime-power p k +
      (∑ i∈{0<..<k}. f-prime-power p i * g-prime-power p (k - i))
      λp. f-prime p + g-prime p
    {proof}

  end

  end

```

4 Formal Dirichlet series

```

theory Dirichlet-Series
imports

```

Complex-Main
Dirichlet-Product
Multiplicative-Function
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
HOL-Number-Theory.Number-Theory
HOL-Library.FuncSet

begin

A formal Dirichlet series

$$A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

is represented its coefficient sequence starting from 1. For simplicity, we represent this in Isabelle with a function of type $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$ whose value for n is the $n + 1$ -th coefficient.

typedef $'a \text{ fds} = \text{UNIV} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ set}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

setup-lifting *type-definition-fds*

lift-definition $\text{fds-nth} :: 'a \text{ fds} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{zero is}$
 $\lambda f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a. \text{case-nat } 0 f \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{fds} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fds is}$
 $\lambda f. f \circ \text{Suc} \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-nth-fds}: \text{fds-nth} (\text{fds } f) n = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } f n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-nth-fds'}: f 0 = 0 \implies \text{fds-nth} (\text{fds } f) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-nth-0} [\text{simp}]: \text{fds-nth } f 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-nth-fds-pos} [\text{simp}]: n > 0 \implies \text{fds-nth} (\text{fds } f) n = f n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-fds-nth} [\text{simp}]: \text{fds} (\text{fds-nth } f) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-eq-fds-iff}:$
 $\text{fds } f = \text{fds } g \longleftrightarrow (\forall n > 0. f n = g n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-eq-fds-iff'}: f 0 = g 0 \implies \text{fds } f = \text{fds } g \longleftrightarrow f = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-eqI} [\text{intro?}]:$

```

assumes ( $\bigwedge n. n > 0 \implies \text{fds-nth } f n = \text{fds-nth } g n$ )
shows  $f = g$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-cong [cong]: ( $\bigwedge n. n > 0 \implies f n = (g n :: 'a :: \text{zero}) \implies \text{fds } f = \text{fds } g$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-eq-iff:  $f = g \longleftrightarrow (\forall n > 0. \text{fds-nth } f n = \text{fds-nth } g n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dirichlet-prod-fds-nth-fds-left [simp]:
dirichlet-prod (fds-nth (fds f)) g = dirichlet-prod f g
⟨proof⟩

lemma dirichlet-prod-fds-nth-fds-right [simp]:
dirichlet-prod f (fds-nth (fds g)) = dirichlet-prod f g
⟨proof⟩

definition fds-const ::  $'a :: \text{zero} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fds where}$ 
fds-const c = fds ( $\lambda n. \text{if } n = 1 \text{ then } c \text{ else } 0$ )

abbreviation fds-ind where fds-ind P ≡ fds (ind P)

bundle fds-syntax
begin

notation fds-nth (infixl ⟨$⟩ 75)
notation fds (binder ⟨χ⟩ 10)
notation dirichlet-prod (infixl ⟨★⟩ 70)

end

instantiation fds :: (zero) zero
begin
definition zero-fds ::  $'a \text{ fds where } \text{zero-fds} = \text{fds } (\lambda n. 0)$ 
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation fds :: ({zero,one}) one
begin
definition one-fds ::  $'a \text{ fds where } \text{one-fds} = \text{fds } (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ 
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation fds :: ({plus,zero}) plus
begin

```

```

definition plus-fds :: 'a fds  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds
  where plus-fds f g = fds ( $\lambda n.$  fds-nth f n + fds-nth g n)
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation fds :: (semiring-0) times
begin
definition times-fds :: 'a fds  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds
  where times-fds f g = fds (dirichlet-prod (fds-nth f) (fds-nth g))
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation fds :: ({uminus,zero}) uminus
begin
definition uminus-fds :: 'a fds  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds
  where uminus-fds f = fds ( $\lambda n.$  -fds-nth f n)
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation fds :: ({minus,zero}) minus
begin
definition minus-fds :: 'a fds  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds
  where minus-fds f g = fds ( $\lambda n.$  fds-nth f n - fds-nth g n)
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

4.1 General properties

```

lemma fds-nth-zero [simp]: fds-nth 0 = ( $\lambda n.$  0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-one: fds-nth 1 = ( $\lambda n.$  if n = 1 then 1 else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-one-Suc-0 [simp]: fds-nth 1 (Suc 0) = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-one-not-Suc-0 [simp]: n  $\neq$  Suc 0  $\implies$  fds-nth 1 n = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-plus [simp]:
  fds-nth (f + g) = ( $\lambda n.$  fds-nth f n + fds-nth g n :: 'a :: monoid-add)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-minus [simp]:
  fds-nth (f - g) = ( $\lambda n.$  fds-nth f n - fds-nth g n :: 'a :: {cancel-comm-monoid-add})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-uminus [simp]: fds-nth (-g) = ( $\lambda n.$  -fds-nth g n :: 'a :: group-add)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-mult: fds-nth (f * g) = dirichlet-prod (fds-nth f) (fds-nth g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-mult-const-left [simp]: fds-nth (fds-const c * f) n = c * fds-nth f n
⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-mult-const-right [simp]: fds-nth (f * fds-const c) n = fds-nth f n *
c
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: ({semigroup-add, zero}) semigroup-add
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: ({ab-semigroup-add, zero}) ab-semigroup-add
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: ({cancel-semigroup-add, zero}) cancel-semigroup-add
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: ({cancel-ab-semigroup-add, zero}) cancel-ab-semigroup-add
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: (monoid-add) monoid-add
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: (comm-monoid-add) comm-monoid-add
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: (cancel-comm-monoid-add) cancel-comm-monoid-add
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: (group-add) group-add
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: (ab-group-add) ab-group-add
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: (semiring-0) semiring-0
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: (comm-semiring-0) comm-semiring-0
⟨proof⟩

instance fds :: (semiring-0-cancel) semiring-0-cancel
⟨proof⟩

```

```

instance fds :: (comm-semiring-0-cancel) comm-semiring-0-cancel  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance fds :: (semiring-1) semiring-1  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance fds :: (comm-semiring-1) comm-semiring-1  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance fds :: (semiring-1-cancel) semiring-1-cancel  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
instance fds :: (ring) ring  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
instance fds :: (ring-1) ring-1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
instance fds :: (comm-ring) comm-ring  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance fds :: (semiring-no-zero-divisors) semiring-no-zero-divisors  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance fds :: (ring-no-zero-divisors) ring-no-zero-divisors  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
instance fds :: (idom) idom  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instantiation fds :: (real-vector) real-vector
begin

definition scaleR-fds :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds where
  scaleR-fds c f = fds ( $\lambda n.$  c *R fds-nth f n)

lemma fds-nth-scaleR [simp]: fds-nth (c *R f) = ( $\lambda n.$  c *R fds-nth f n)  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

instance fds :: (real-algebra) real-algebra  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance fds :: (real-algebra-1) real-algebra-1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fds-nth-sum [simp]: fds-nth (sum f A) n = sum ( $\lambda x.$  fds-nth (f x) n) A  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sum-fds [simp]: ( $\sum x \in A.$  fds (f x)) = fds ( $\lambda n.$   $\sum x \in A.$  f x n)

lemma fds-nth-const: fds-nth (fds-const c) = ( $\lambda n.$  if n = 1 then c else 0)  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fds-nth-const-Suc-0 [simp]: fds-nth (fds-const c) (Suc 0) = c

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-nth-const-not-Suc-0* [simp]: $n \neq 1 \implies \text{fds-nth}(\text{fds-const } c) n = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-zero* [simp]: $\text{fds-const } 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-one* [simp]: $\text{fds-const } 1 = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-add* [simp]: $\text{fds-const}(a + b :: 'a :: \text{monoid-add}) = \text{fds-const } a + \text{fds-const } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-minus* [simp]:
 $\text{fds-const}(a - b :: 'a :: \text{cancel-comm-monoid-add}) = \text{fds-const } a - \text{fds-const } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-uminus* [simp]:
 $\text{fds-const}(-b :: 'a :: \text{ab-group-add}) = -\text{fds-const } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-mult* [simp]:
 $\text{fds-const}(a * b :: 'a :: \text{semiring-0}) = \text{fds-const } a * \text{fds-const } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-of-nat* [simp]: $\text{fds-const}(\text{of-nat } c) = \text{of-nat } c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-of-int* [simp]: $\text{fds-const}(\text{of-int } c) = \text{of-int } c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-of-real* [simp]: $\text{fds-const}(\text{of-real } c) = \text{of-real } c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation *fds* :: ($\{\text{inverse}, \text{comm-ring-1}\}$) *inverse*
begin

definition *inverse-fds* :: $'a \text{ fds} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fds}$ **where**
 $\text{inverse-fds } f = \text{fds}(\lambda n. \text{dirichlet-inverse}(\text{fds-nth } f)(\text{inverse}(\text{fds-nth } f 1)) n)$

definition *divide-fds* :: $'a \text{ fds} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fds} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fds}$ **where**
 $\text{divide-fds } f g = f * \text{inverse } g$

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *numeral-fds*: $\text{numeral } n = \text{fds-const } (\text{numeral } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-ind-False* [*simp*]: $\text{fds-ind } (\lambda \cdot. \text{ False}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-commutes*:
assumes $\bigwedge m n. m > 0 \implies n > 0 \implies \text{fds-nth } f m * \text{fds-nth } g n = \text{fds-nth } g n$
*** fds-nth** $f m$
shows $f * g = g * f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-nth-mult-Suc-0* [*simp*]:
 $\text{fds-nth } (f * g) (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{fds-nth } f (\text{Suc } 0) * \text{fds-nth } g (\text{Suc } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-nth-inverse*:
 $\text{fds-nth } (\text{inverse } f) = \text{dirichlet-inverse } (\text{fds-nth } f) (\text{inverse } (\text{fds-nth } f 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inverse-fds-nonunit*:
 $\text{fds-nth } f 1 = (0 :: 'a :: \text{field}) \implies \text{inverse } f = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inverse-0-fds* [*simp*]: $\text{inverse } (0 :: 'a :: \text{field fds}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-left-inverse*:
 $\text{fds-nth } f 1 \neq (0 :: 'a :: \text{field}) \implies \text{inverse } f * f = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-right-inverse*:
 $\text{fds-nth } f 1 \neq (0 :: 'a :: \text{field}) \implies f * \text{inverse } f = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-left-inverse-unique*:
assumes $f * g = (1 :: 'a :: \text{field fds})$
shows $f = \text{inverse } g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-right-inverse-unique*:
assumes $f * g = (1 :: 'a :: \text{field fds})$
shows $g = \text{inverse } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inverse-1-fds* [*simp*]: $\text{inverse } (1 :: 'a :: \text{field fds}) = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma inverse-const-fds [simp]:
  inverse (fds-const c :: 'a :: field fds) = fds-const (inverse c)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-mult-fds: inverse (f * g :: 'a :: field fds) = inverse f * inverse g
  ⟨proof⟩

definition fds-zeta :: 'a :: one fds
  where fds-zeta = fds (λ-. 1)

lemma fds-zeta-altdef: fds-zeta = fds (λn. if n = 0 then 0 else 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-zeta: fds-nth fds-zeta = (λn. if n = 0 then 0 else 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-zeta-pos [simp]: n > 0 ⇒ fds-nth fds-zeta n = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-zeta-commutes: fds-zeta * (f :: 'a :: semiring-1 fds) = f * fds-zeta
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-ind-True [simp]: fds-ind (λ-. True) = fds-zeta
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-extensional-prod-nat:
  assumes finite A b > 0
  shows finite {d ∈ extensional A. prod d A = (b :: nat)}
  ⟨proof⟩

The  $n$ -th coefficient of a product of Dirichlet series can be determined by summing over all products of  $k_i$ -th coefficients of the series such that the product of the  $k_i$  is  $n$ .

lemma fds-nth-prod:
  assumes finite A A ≠ {} n > 0
  shows fds-nth (Π x∈A. f x) n =
    (Σ d | d ∈ extensional A ∧ prod d A = n. Π x∈A. fds-nth (f x) (d x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-power-Suc-0 [simp]: fds-nth (f ^ n) (Suc 0) = fds-nth f (Suc 0) ^
n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-prod-Suc-0 [simp]: fds-nth (prod f A) (Suc 0) = (Π x∈A. fds-nth
(f x) (Suc 0))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-power-eq-0:

```

```

assumes  $n < 2 \wedge k \text{ fds-nth } f 1 = 0$ 
shows  $\text{fds-nth } (f \wedge k) n = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

4.2 Shifting the argument

```

class nat-power = semiring-1 +
fixes nat-power :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
assumes nat-power-0-left [simp]:  $x \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{nat-power } 0 x = 0$ 
assumes nat-power-0-right [simp]:  $n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{nat-power } n 0 = 1$ 
assumes nat-power-1-left [simp]:  $\text{nat-power } (\text{Suc } 0) x = 1$ 
assumes nat-power-1-right [simp]:  $\text{nat-power } n 1 = \text{of-nat } n$ 
assumes nat-power-add:  $n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{nat-power } n (a + b) = \text{nat-power }$ 
 $n a * \text{nat-power } n b$ 
assumes nat-power-mult-distrib:
 $m > 0 \Rightarrow n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{nat-power } (m * n) a = \text{nat-power } m a * \text{nat-power } n a$ 
assumes nat-power-power:
 $n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{nat-power } n (a * \text{of-nat } m) = \text{nat-power } n a \wedge m$ 
begin

lemma nat-power-of-nat [simp]:  $m > 0 \Rightarrow \text{nat-power } m (\text{of-nat } n) = \text{of-nat } (m \wedge n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-power-power-left:  $m > 0 \Rightarrow \text{nat-power } (m \wedge k) n = \text{nat-power } m n$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

class nat-power-field = nat-power + field +
assumes nat-power-nonzero [simp]:  $n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{nat-power } n z \neq 0$ 
begin

lemma nat-power-diff:  $n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{nat-power } n (a - b) = \text{nat-power } n a / \text{nat-power } n b$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation nat :: nat-power
begin
definition [simp]:  $\text{nat-power-nat } a b = (a \wedge b :: \text{nat})$ 
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation real :: nat-power-field
begin
definition [simp]:  $\text{nat-power-real } a b = (\text{real } a \text{ powr } b)$ 

```

```
instance ⟨proof⟩
end
```

The following operation corresponds to shifting the argument of a Dirichlet series, i. e. subtracting a constant from it. In effect, this turns the series

$$A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

into the series

$$A(s - c) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^c \cdot a_n}{n^s} .$$

```
definition fds-shift :: 'a :: nat-power  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds  $\Rightarrow$  'a fds where
  fds-shift c f = fds (λn. fds-nth f n * nat-power n c)
```

```
lemma fds-nth-shift [simp]: fds-nth (fds-shift c f) n = fds-nth f n * nat-power n c
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fds-shift-shift [simp]: fds-shift c (fds-shift c' f) = fds-shift (c' + c) f
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fds-shift-zero [simp]: fds-shift c 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fds-shift-1 [simp]: fds-shift a 1 = 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fds-shift-const [simp]: fds-shift a (fds-const c) = fds-const c
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fds-shift-add [simp]:
  fixes f g :: 'a :: {monoid-add, nat-power} fds
  shows fds-shift c (f + g) = fds-shift c f + fds-shift c g
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fds-shift-minus [simp]:
  fixes f g :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1-cancel, nat-power} fds
  shows fds-shift c (f - g) = fds-shift c f - fds-shift c g
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fds-shift-uminus [simp]:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {ring, nat-power} fds
  shows fds-shift c (-f) = -fds-shift c f
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fds-shift-mult [simp]:
  fixes f g :: 'a :: {comm-semiring, nat-power} fds
  shows fds-shift c (f * g) = fds-shift c f * fds-shift c g
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-shift-power* [*simp*]:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{comm-semiring, nat-power\}$ *fds*
shows *fds-shift* c ($f \wedge n$) = *fds-shift* $c f \wedge n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-shift-by-0* [*simp*]: *fds-shift* 0 f = f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-shift-inverse* [*simp*]:
fds-shift ($a :: 'a :: \{field, nat-power\}$) (*inverse* f) = *inverse* (*fds-shift* $a f$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-shift-divide* [*simp*]:
fds-shift ($a :: 'a :: \{field, nat-power\}$) (f / g) = *fds-shift* $a f /$ *fds-shift* $a g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-shift-sum* [*simp*]: *fds-shift* $a (\sum x \in A. f x)$ = $(\sum x \in A. \text{fds-shift } a (f x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-shift-prod* [*simp*]: *fds-shift* $a (\prod x \in A. f x)$ = $(\prod x \in A. \text{fds-shift } a (f x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 Scaling the argument

The following operation corresponds to scaling the argument of a Dirichlet series with a natural number, i.e. turning the series

$$A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

into the series

$$A(ks) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(n^k)^2}.$$

definition *fds-scale* :: *nat* \Rightarrow ($'a :: zero$) *fds* \Rightarrow $'a$ *fds* **where**
fds-scale $c f =$
 $fds (\lambda n. \text{if } n > 0 \wedge \text{is-nth-power } c n \text{ then } \text{fds-nth } f (\text{nth-root-nat } c n) \text{ else } 0)$

lemma *fds-scale-0* [*simp*]: *fds-scale* 0 f = 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-1* [*simp*]: *fds-scale* 1 f = f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-nth-scale-power* [*simp*]:
 $c > 0 \implies \text{fds-nth } (\text{fds-scale } c f) (n \wedge c) = \text{fds-nth } f n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-nth-scale-nonpower* [*simp*]:
 $\neg is_nth_power c n \implies fds_nth(fds_scale c f) n = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-nth-scale*:
 $fds_nth(fds_scale c f) n =$
 $(if n > 0 \wedge is_nth_power c n \text{ then } fds_nth f (nth_root_nat c n) \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-const* [*simp*]: $c > 0 \implies fds_scale c (fds_const c') = fds_const c'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-zero* [*simp*]: $fds_scale c 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-one* [*simp*]: $c > 0 \implies fds_scale c 1 = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-of-nat* [*simp*]: $c > 0 \implies fds_scale c (of_nat n) = of_nat n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-of-int* [*simp*]: $c > 0 \implies fds_scale c (of_int n) = of_int n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-numeral* [*simp*]: $c > 0 \implies fds_scale c (numeral n) = numeral n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-scale*: $fds_scale c (fds_scale c' f) = fds_scale (c * c') f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-add* [*simp*]:
fixes $f g :: 'a :: monoid_add\ fds$
shows $fds_scale c (f + g) = fds_scale c f + fds_scale c g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-minus* [*simp*]:
fixes $f g :: 'a :: \{cancel_comm_monoid_add\} \ fds$
shows $fds_scale c (f - g) = fds_scale c f - fds_scale c g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-uminus* [*simp*]:
fixes $f :: 'a :: group_add\ fds$
shows $fds_scale c (-f) = -fds_scale c f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-mult* [*simp*]:
fixes $f g :: 'a :: semiring_0\ fds$

shows *fds-scale c (f * g) = fds-scale c f * fds-scale c g*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-scale-shift*:

*fds-shift d (fds-scale c f) = fds-scale c (fds-shift (c * d) f)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-ind-nth-power*: $k > 0 \implies \text{fds-ind} (\text{is-nth-power } k) = \text{fds-scale } k \text{ fds-zeta}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.4 Formal derivative

The formal derivative of a series

$$A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}$$

can easily be seen to be

$$A'(s) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\ln n \cdot a_n}{n^s} .$$

definition *fds-deriv :: 'a :: real-algebra fds \Rightarrow 'a fds where*
*fds-deriv f = fds (λn. - ln (real n) *R fds-nth f n)*

lemma *fds-nth-deriv*: *fds-nth (fds-deriv f) n = -ln (real n) *R fds-nth f n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-deriv-const [simp]*: *fds-deriv (fds-const c) = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-deriv-0 [simp]*: *fds-deriv 0 = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-deriv-1 [simp]*: *fds-deriv 1 = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-deriv-of-nat [simp]*: *fds-deriv (of-nat n) = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-deriv-of-int [simp]*: *fds-deriv (of-int n) = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-deriv-of-real [simp]*: *fds-deriv (of-real n) = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-deriv-uminus [simp]*: *fds-deriv (-f) = -fds-deriv f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma fds-deriv-add [simp]:  $\text{fds-deriv } (f + g) = \text{fds-deriv } f + \text{fds-deriv } g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-deriv-minus [simp]:  $\text{fds-deriv } (f - g) = \text{fds-deriv } f - \text{fds-deriv } g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-deriv-times [simp]:
   $\text{fds-deriv } (f * g) = \text{fds-deriv } f * g + f * \text{fds-deriv } g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-deriv-inverse [simp]:
  fixes  $f :: 'a :: \{\text{real-algebra, field}\} \text{ fds}$ 
  assumes  $\text{fds-nth } f (\text{Suc } 0) \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\text{fds-deriv } (\text{inverse } f) = -\text{fds-deriv } f / f^2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-deriv-shift [simp]:  $\text{fds-deriv } (\text{fds-shift } c f) = \text{fds-shift } c (\text{fds-deriv } f)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-deriv-scale:  $\text{fds-deriv } (\text{fds-scale } c f) = \text{of-nat } c * \text{fds-scale } c (\text{fds-deriv } f)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-deriv-eq-imp-eq:
  assumes  $\text{fds-deriv } f = \text{fds-deriv } g \text{ and } \text{fds-nth } f (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{fds-nth } g (\text{Suc } 0)$ 
  shows  $f = g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma completely-multiplicative-fds-deriv:
  assumes  $\text{completely-multiplicative-function } f$ 
  shows  $\text{fds-deriv } (\text{fds } f) = -\text{fds } (\lambda n. f n * \text{mangoldt } n) * \text{fds } f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma completely-multiplicative-fds-deriv':
   $\text{completely-multiplicative-function } (\text{fds-nth } f) \implies$ 
   $\text{fds-deriv } f = -\text{fds } (\lambda n. \text{fds-nth } f n * \text{mangoldt } n) * f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-deriv-zeta:
   $\text{fds-deriv } \text{fds-zeta} =$ 
   $-\text{fds } \text{mangoldt} * (\text{fds-zeta} :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-semiring-1, real-algebra-1}\} \text{ fds})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-mangoldt-times-zeta:  $\text{fds mangoldt} * \text{fds-zeta} = \text{fds } (\lambda x. \text{of-real } (\ln (\text{real } x)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-deriv-zeta':  $\text{fds-deriv } \text{fds-zeta} =$ 

```

$-fds (\lambda x. of-real (ln (real x))) :: 'a :: \{comm-semiring-1, real-algebra-1\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.5 Formal integral

definition $fds\text{-integral} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: real\text{-algebra} fds \Rightarrow 'a fds$ **where**
 $fds\text{-integral } c f = fds (\lambda n. if n = 1 then c else - fds\text{-nth } f n /_R ln (real n))$

lemma $fds\text{-integral-0} [simp]: fds\text{-integral } a 0 = fds\text{-const } a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fds\text{-integral-add}: fds\text{-integral } (a + b) (f + g) = fds\text{-integral } a f + fds\text{-integral } b g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fds\text{-integral-diff}: fds\text{-integral } (a - b) (f - g) = fds\text{-integral } a f - fds\text{-integral } b g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fds\text{-integral-minus}: fds\text{-integral } (-a) (-f) = -fds\text{-integral } a f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fds\text{-shift-integral}: fds\text{-shift } b (fds\text{-integral } a f) = fds\text{-integral } a (fds\text{-shift } b f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fds\text{-deriv-fds-integral} [simp]:$
 $fds\text{-nth } f (Suc 0) = 0 \implies fds\text{-deriv } (fds\text{-integral } c f) = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fds\text{-integral-fds-deriv} [simp]: fds\text{-integral } (fds\text{-nth } f 1) (fds\text{-deriv } f) = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.6 Formal logarithm

definition $fds\text{-ln} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: \{real\text{-normed-field}\} fds \Rightarrow 'a fds$ **where**
 $fds\text{-ln } l f = fds\text{-integral } l (fds\text{-deriv } f / f)$

lemma $fds\text{-nth-Suc-0-fds-deriv} [simp]: fds\text{-nth } (fds\text{-deriv } f) (Suc 0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fds\text{-deriv-fds-ln} [simp]: fds\text{-deriv } (fds\text{-ln } l f) = fds\text{-deriv } f / f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fds\text{-nth-Suc-0-fds-ln} [simp]: fds\text{-nth } (fds\text{-ln } l f) (Suc 0) = l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fds\text{-ln-const} [simp]: fds\text{-ln } l (fds\text{-const } c) = fds\text{-const } l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma fds-ln-0 [simp]: fds-ln l 0 = fds-const l
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-ln-1 [simp]: fds-ln l 1 = fds-const l
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-shift-ln [simp]: fds-shift a (fds-ln l f) = fds-ln l (fds-shift a f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-ln-mult:
  assumes fds-nth f 1 ≠ 0 fds-nth g 1 ≠ 0 l' + l'' = l
  shows   fds-ln l (f * g) = fds-ln l' f + fds-ln l'' g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-ln-power:
  assumes fds-nth f 1 ≠ 0 l = of-nat n * l'
  shows   fds-ln l (f ^ n) = of-nat n * fds-ln l' f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-ln-prod:
  assumes ⋀x. x ∈ A ⟹ fds-nth (f x) 1 ≠ 0 (sum x ∈ A. l' x) = l
  shows   fds-ln l (prod x ∈ A. f x) = (sum x ∈ A. fds-ln (l' x) (f x))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.7 Formal exponential

```

definition fds-exp :: 'a :: {real-normed-algebra-1,banach} fds ⇒ 'a fds where
  fds-exp f = (let f' = fds (λn. if n = 1 then 0 else fds-nth f n)
    in fds (λn. exp (fds-nth f 1) * (sum k. fds-nth (f' ^ k) n /_R fact k)))

```

lemma fds-nth-exp-Suc-0 [simp]: fds-nth (fds-exp f) (Suc 0) = exp (fds-nth f 1)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-exp-times-fds-nth-0:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fds-const } (\exp (\text{fds-nth } f (\text{Suc } 0))) * \text{fds-exp } (f - \text{fds-const } (\text{fds-nth } f (\text{Suc } 0))) \\ &= \text{fds-exp } f \end{aligned}$$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-exp-const [simp]: fds-exp (fds-const c) = fds-const (exp c)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-exp-numeral [simp]: fds-exp (numeral n) = fds-const (exp (numeral n))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-exp-0 [simp]: fds-exp 0 = 1
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-exp-1 [simp]: fds-exp 1 = fds-const (exp 1)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-nth-Suc-0-exp* [*simp*]: *fds-nth* (*fds-exp f*) (*Suc 0*) = *exp* (*fds-nth f* (*Suc 0*))
⟨proof⟩

4.8 Subseries

definition *fds-subseries* :: (*nat* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow ('*a* :: *semiring-1*) *fds* \Rightarrow '*a* *fds* **where**
fds-subseries P f = *fds* ($\lambda n.$ if *P n* then *fds-nth f n* else 0)

lemma *fds-nth-subseries*:
fds-nth (*fds-subseries P f*) *n* = (if *P n* then *fds-nth f n* else 0)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-0* [*simp*]: *fds-subseries P 0* = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-1* [*simp*]: *P 1* \Longrightarrow *fds-subseries P 1* = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-const* [*simp*]: *P 1* \Longrightarrow *fds-subseries P (fds-const c)* = *fds-const c*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-add* [*simp*]: *fds-subseries P (f + g)* = *fds-subseries P f* +
fds-subseries P g
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-diff* [*simp*]:
fds-subseries P (f - g :: 'a :: ring-1 fds) = *fds-subseries P f* - *fds-subseries P g*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-minus* [*simp*]:
fds-subseries P (-f :: 'a :: ring-1 fds) = - *fds-subseries P f*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-sum* [*simp*]: *fds-subseries P (∑ x ∈ A. f x)* = ($\sum x \in A.$ *fds-subseries P (f x)*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-shift* [*simp*]:
fds-subseries P (fds-shift c f) = *fds-shift c (fds-subseries P f)*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-deriv* [*simp*]:
fds-subseries P (fds-deriv f) = *fds-deriv (fds-subseries P f)*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fds-subseries-integral* [*simp*]:

$P 1 \vee c = 0 \implies \text{fds-subseries } P (\text{fds-integral } c f) = \text{fds-integral } c (\text{fds-subseries } P f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{fds-primepow-subseries} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a :: \text{semiring-1}) \text{ fds} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fds}$
where

$\text{fds-primepow-subseries } p f \equiv \text{fds-subseries } (\lambda n. \text{prime-factors } n \subseteq \{p\}) f$

lemma $\text{fds-primepow-subseries-mult} [\text{simp}]:$

fixes $p :: \text{nat}$

defines $P \equiv (\lambda n. \text{prime-factors } n \subseteq \{p\})$

shows $\text{fds-subseries } P (f * g) = \text{fds-subseries } P f * \text{fds-subseries } P g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-primepow-subseries-power} [\text{simp}]:$

$\text{fds-primepow-subseries } p (f ^ n) = \text{fds-primepow-subseries } p f ^ n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-primepow-subseries-prod} [\text{simp}]:$

$\text{fds-primepow-subseries } p (\prod x \in A. f x) = (\prod x \in A. \text{fds-primepow-subseries } p (f x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{completely-multiplicative-function-only-pows}:$

assumes $\text{completely-multiplicative-function } (\text{fds-nth } f)$

shows $\text{completely-multiplicative-function } (\text{fds-nth } (\text{fds-primepow-subseries } p f))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.9 Truncation

definition $\text{fds-truncate} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{zero}\} \text{ fds} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fds}$ **where**

$\text{fds-truncate } m f = \text{fds} (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq m \text{ then } \text{fds-nth } f n \text{ else } 0)$

lemma $\text{fds-nth-truncate}: \text{fds-nth } (\text{fds-truncate } m f) n = (\text{if } n \leq m \text{ then } \text{fds-nth } f n \text{ else } 0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-truncate-0} [\text{simp}]: \text{fds-truncate } 0 f = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-truncate-zero} [\text{simp}]: \text{fds-truncate } m 0 = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-truncate-one} [\text{simp}]: m > 0 \implies \text{fds-truncate } m 1 = 1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fds-truncate-const} [\text{simp}]: m > 0 \implies \text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-const } c) = \text{fds-const } c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-truncate* [simp]: $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-truncate } n f) = \text{fds-truncate } (\min m n) f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-truncate'* [simp]: $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-truncate } m f) = \text{fds-truncate } m f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-shift* [simp]: $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-shift } a f) = \text{fds-shift } a (\text{fds-truncate } m f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-add-strong*:
 $\text{fds-truncate } m (f + g :: 'a :: \text{monoid-add } \text{fds}) = \text{fds-truncate } m f + \text{fds-truncate } m g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-add*:
 $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-truncate } m f + \text{fds-truncate } m g :: 'a :: \text{monoid-add } \text{fds}) =$
 $\text{fds-truncate } m (f + g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-mult*:
 $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-truncate } m f * \text{fds-truncate } m g) = \text{fds-truncate } m (f * g)$ (**is**
 $?A = ?B$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-deriv*: $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-deriv } f) = \text{fds-deriv } (\text{fds-truncate } m f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-integral*:
 $m > 0 \vee c = 0 \implies \text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-integral } c f) = \text{fds-integral } c (\text{fds-truncate } m f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-power*: $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-truncate } m f \wedge n) = \text{fds-truncate } m (f \wedge n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dirichlet-inverse-cong-simp*:
assumes $\bigwedge m. m > 0 \implies m \leq n \implies f m = f' m$ $i = i' n = n'$
shows $\text{dirichlet-inverse } f i n = \text{dirichlet-inverse } f' i' n'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-cong*:
 $(\bigwedge n. m > 0 \implies n > 0 \implies n \leq m \implies \text{fds-nth } f n = \text{fds-nth } f' n) \implies$
 $\text{fds-truncate } m f = \text{fds-truncate } m f'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-inverse*:

fds-truncate m (inverse (fds-truncate m (f :: 'a :: field fds))) = fds-truncate m (inverse f)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-divide*:

fixes $f g :: 'a :: \text{field } \text{fds}$
shows $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-truncate } m f / \text{fds-truncate } m g) = \text{fds-truncate } m (f / g)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-ln*:

fixes $f :: 'a :: \text{real-normed-field } \text{fds}$
shows $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-ln } l (\text{fds-truncate } m f)) = \text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-ln } l f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-truncate-exp*:

shows $\text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-exp } (\text{fds-truncate } m f)) = \text{fds-truncate } m (\text{fds-exp } f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-eqI-truncate*:

assumes $\bigwedge m. m > 0 \implies \text{fds-truncate } m f = \text{fds-truncate } m g$
shows $f = g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.10 Normed series

definition *fds-norm :: 'a :: {real-normed-div-algebra} fds \Rightarrow real fds*
where $\text{fds-norm } f = \text{fds} (\lambda n. \text{of-real} (\text{norm} (\text{fds-nth } f n)))$

lemma *fds-nth-norm [simp]:* $\text{fds-nth } (\text{fds-norm } f) n = \text{norm } (\text{fds-nth } f n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-norm-1 [simp]:* $\text{fds-norm } 1 = 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-nth-norm-mult-le*:

shows $\text{norm } (\text{fds-nth } (f * g) n) \leq \text{fds-nth } (\text{fds-norm } f * \text{fds-norm } g) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-nth-norm-mult-nonneg [simp]:* $\text{fds-nth } (\text{fds-norm } f * \text{fds-norm } g) n \geq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.11 Lifting a real series to a real algebra

definition *fds-of-real :: real fds \Rightarrow 'a :: {real-normed-algebra-1} fds where*
 $\text{fds-of-real } f = \text{fds} (\lambda n. \text{of-real} (\text{fds-nth } f n))$

lemma *fds-nth-of-real* [simp]: $\text{fds-nth}(\text{fds-of-real } f) n = \text{of-real}(\text{fds-nth } f n)$
(proof)

lemma *fds-of-real-0* [simp]: $\text{fds-of-real } 0 = 0$
and *fds-of-real-1* [simp]: $\text{fds-of-real } 1 = 1$
and *fds-of-real-const* [simp]: $\text{fds-of-real}(\text{fds-const } c) = \text{fds-const}(\text{of-real } c)$
and *fds-of-real-minus* [simp]: $\text{fds-of-real}(-f) = -\text{fds-of-real } f$
and *fds-of-real-add* [simp]: $\text{fds-of-real}(f + g) = \text{fds-of-real } f + \text{fds-of-real } g$
and *fds-of-real-mult* [simp]: $\text{fds-of-real}(f * g) = \text{fds-of-real } f * \text{fds-of-real } g$
and *fds-of-real-deriv* [simp]: $\text{fds-of-real}(\text{fds-deriv } f) = \text{fds-deriv}(\text{fds-of-real } f)$
(proof)

lemma *fds-of-real-higher-deriv* [simp]:
 $(\text{fds-deriv}^{\wedge n})(\text{fds-of-real } f) = \text{fds-of-real}((\text{fds-deriv}^{\wedge n}) f)$
(proof)

4.12 Convergence and connection to concrete functions

The following definitions establish a connection of a formal Dirichlet series to the concrete analytic function that it corresponds to. This correspondence is usually partial in the sense that a series may not converge everywhere.

definition *eval-fds* :: ('a :: {nat-power, real-normed-field, banach}) $\text{fds} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
where
 $\text{eval-fds } f s = (\sum n. \text{fds-nth } f n / \text{nat-power } n s)$

lemma *eval-fds-eqI*:
assumes $(\lambda n. \text{fds-nth } f (\text{Suc } n) / \text{nat-power } (\text{Suc } n) s) \text{ sums } L$
shows $\text{eval-fds } f s = L$
(proof)

definition *fds-converges* ::
 $('a :: \{ \text{nat-power}, \text{real-normed-field}, \text{banach} \}) \text{ fds} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{fds-converges } f s \longleftrightarrow \text{summable}(\lambda n. \text{fds-nth } f n / \text{nat-power } n s)$

lemma *fds-converges-iff*:
 $\text{fds-converges } f s \longleftrightarrow (\lambda n. \text{fds-nth } f n / \text{nat-power } n s) \text{ sums } \text{eval-fds } f s$
(proof)

definition *fds-abs-converges* ::
 $('a :: \{ \text{nat-power}, \text{real-normed-field}, \text{banach} \}) \text{ fds} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{fds-abs-converges } f s \longleftrightarrow \text{summable}(\lambda n. \text{norm}(\text{fds-nth } f n / \text{nat-power } n s))$

lemma *fds-abs-converges-imp-converges* [dest, intro]:
 $\text{fds-abs-converges } f s \implies \text{fds-converges } f s$
(proof)

lemma *fds-converges-altdef*:

fds-converges $f s \longleftrightarrow (\lambda n. \text{fds-nth } f (\text{Suc } n) / \text{nat-power} (\text{Suc } n) s) \text{ sums eval-fds}$
 $f s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-abs-converges* [simp]: *fds-abs-converges* (*fds-const* c) s
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-const-converges* [simp]: *fds-converges* (*fds-const* c) s
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-const* [simp]: *eval-fds* (*fds-const* c) $= (\lambda _. c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-zero-abs-converges* [simp]: *fds-abs-converges* $0 s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-zero-converges* [simp]: *fds-converges* $0 s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-zero* [simp]: *eval-fds* $0 = (\lambda _. 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-one-abs-converges* [simp]: *fds-abs-converges* $1 s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-one-converges* [simp]: *fds-converges* $1 s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-converges-truncate* [simp]: *fds-converges* (*fds-truncate* $n f$) s
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-abs-converges-truncate* [simp]: *fds-abs-converges* (*fds-truncate* $n f$) s
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-abs-converges-subseries* [simp, intro]:
assumes *fds-abs-converges* $f s$
shows *fds-abs-converges* (*fds-subseries* $P f$) s
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-one* [simp]: *eval-fds* $1 = (\lambda _. 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-truncate*: *eval-fds* (*fds-truncate* $n f$) $s = (\sum_{k=1..n} \text{fds-nth } f k / \text{nat-power } k s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-converges-add*:
assumes *fds-converges* $f s$ *fds-converges* $g s$

```

shows   fds-converges (f + g) s
<proof>

lemma fds-abs-converges-add:
assumes fds-abs-converges f s fds-abs-converges g s
shows   fds-abs-converges (f + g) s
<proof>

lemma eval-fds-add:
assumes fds-converges f s fds-converges g s
shows   eval-fds (f + g) s = eval-fds f s + eval-fds g s
<proof>

lemma fds-converges-uminus:
assumes fds-converges f s
shows   fds-converges (-f) s
<proof>

lemma The-cong: The P = The Q if  $\bigwedge x. P x \longleftrightarrow Q x$ 
<proof>

lemma fds-abs-converges-uminus:
assumes fds-abs-converges f s
shows   fds-abs-converges (-f) s
<proof>

lemma eval-fds-uminus: fds-converges f s  $\implies$  eval-fds (-f) s = -eval-fds f s
<proof>

lemma fds-converges-diff:
assumes fds-converges f s fds-converges g s
shows   fds-converges (f - g) s
<proof>

lemma fds-abs-converges-diff:
assumes fds-abs-converges f s fds-abs-converges g s
shows   fds-abs-converges (f - g) s
<proof>

lemma eval-fds-diff:
assumes fds-converges f s fds-converges g s
shows   eval-fds (f - g) s = eval-fds f s - eval-fds g s
<proof>

lemma eval-fds-at-nat: eval-fds f (of-nat k) = ( $\sum n. \text{fds-nth } f n / \text{of-nat } n \wedge k$ )
<proof>

```

```

lemma eval-fds-at-numeral: eval-fds f (numeral k) = ( $\sum n. \text{fds-nth } f n / \text{of-nat } n$ 
 $\wedge \text{numeral } k$ )
  {proof}

lemma eval-fds-at-1: eval-fds f 1 = ( $\sum n. \text{fds-nth } f n / \text{of-nat } n$ )
  {proof}

lemma eval-fds-at-0: eval-fds f 0 = ( $\sum n. \text{fds-nth } f n$ )
  {proof}

lemma suminf-fds-zeta-aux:
  f 0 = 0  $\implies$  ( $\sum n. \text{fds-nth } \text{fds-zeta } n / f n$ ) = ( $\sum n. 1 / f n :: 'a :: \text{real-normed-field}$ )
  {proof}

lemma fds-converges-shift [simp]:
  fixes z :: 'a :: {banach, nat-power-field, real-normed-field}
  shows fds-converges (fds-shift c f) z  $\longleftrightarrow$  fds-converges f (z - c)
  {proof}

lemma fds-abs-converges-shift [simp]:
  fixes z :: 'a :: {banach, nat-power-field, real-normed-field}
  shows fds-abs-converges (fds-shift c f) z  $\longleftrightarrow$  fds-abs-converges f (z - c)
  {proof}

lemma fds-eval-shift [simp]:
  fixes z :: 'a :: {banach, nat-power-field, real-normed-field}
  shows eval-fds (fds-shift c f) z = eval-fds f (z - c)
  {proof}

lemma fds-converges-scale [simp]:
  fixes z :: 'a :: {banach, nat-power-field, real-normed-field}
  assumes c: c > 0
  shows fds-converges (fds-scale c f) z  $\longleftrightarrow$  fds-converges f (of-nat c * z)
  {proof}

lemma fds-abs-converges-scale [simp]:
  fixes z :: 'a :: {banach, nat-power-field, real-normed-field}
  assumes c: c > 0
  shows fds-abs-converges (fds-scale c f) z  $\longleftrightarrow$  fds-abs-converges f (of-nat c * z)
  {proof}

lemma eval-fds-scale [simp]:
  fixes z :: 'a :: {banach, nat-power-field, real-normed-field}
  assumes c: c > 0
  shows eval-fds (fds-scale c f) z = eval-fds f (of-nat c * z)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma fds-abs-converges-integral:
  assumes fds-abs-converges f s
  shows   fds-abs-converges (fds-integral c f) s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-converges-ln:
  assumes fds-abs-converges (fds-deriv f / f) s
  shows   fds-abs-converges (fds-ln l f) s
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

5 The Möbius μ function

```

theory Moebius-Mu
imports
  Main
  HOL-Number-Theory.Number-Theory
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Squarefree
  Dirichlet-Series
  Dirichlet-Misc
begin

definition moebius-mu :: nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-ring-1 where
  moebius-mu n =
    (if squarefree n then (−1) ^ card (prime-factors n) else 0)

lemma abs-moebius-mu-le: abs (moebius-mu n :: 'a :: {linordered-idom}) ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of-int-moebius-mu [simp]: of-int (moebius-mu n) = moebius-mu n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minus-1-power-ring-neg-zero [simp]: (− 1 :: 'a :: ring-1) ^ n ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma moebius-mu-0 [simp]: moebius-mu 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-nth-fds-moebius-mu [simp]: fds-nth (fds moebius-mu) = moebius-mu
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prime-factors-Suc-0 [simp]: prime-factors (Suc 0) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma moebius-mu-Suc-0 [simp]: moebius-mu (Suc 0) = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *moebius-mu-1* [*simp*]: *moebius-mu* 1 = 1
(proof)

lemma *moebius-mu-eq-zero-iff*: *moebius-mu* n = 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg *squarefree* n
(proof)

lemma *moebius-mu-not-squarefree* [*simp*]: \neg *squarefree* n \implies *moebius-mu* n = 0
(proof)

lemma *moebius-mu-power*:
assumes *a* > 1 *n* > 1
shows *moebius-mu* (*a* \wedge *n*) = 0
(proof)

lemma *moebius-mu-power'*:
moebius-mu (*a* \wedge *n*) = (if *a* = 1 \vee *n* = 0 then 1 else if *n* = 1 then *moebius-mu* *a* else 0)
(proof)

lemma *moebius-mu-squarefree-eq*:
squarefree *n* \implies *moebius-mu* *n* = $(-1)^{\wedge} \text{card}(\text{prime-factors } n)$
(proof)

lemma *moebius-mu-squarefree-eq'*:
assumes *squarefree* *n*
shows *moebius-mu* *n* = $(-1)^{\wedge} \text{size}(\text{prime-factorization } n)$
(proof)

lemma *sum-moebius-mu-divisors*:
assumes *n* > 1
shows $(\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. \text{moebius-mu } d) = (0 :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1})$
(proof)

lemma *sum-moebius-mu-divisors'*:
 $(\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. \text{moebius-mu } d) = (\text{if } n = 1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
(proof)

lemma *fds-zeta-times-moebius-mu*: *fds-zeta* * *fds moebius-mu* = 1
(proof)

lemma *fds-moebius-inverse-zeta*:
fds moebius-mu = *inverse* (*fds-zeta* :: 'a :: *field fds*)
(proof)

lemma *moebius-mu-formula-real*: (*moebius-mu* *n* :: *real*) = *dirichlet-inverse* (λ . 1) 1 *n*
(proof)

lemma *moebius-mu-formula-int*: *moebius-mu* *n* = *dirichlet-inverse* (λ . 1 :: *int*) 1

n
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma moebius-mu-formula: moebius-mu *n* = dirichlet-inverse ($\lambda_. 1$) 1 *n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation moebius-mu: multiplicative-function moebius-mu
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation moebius-mu:
multiplicative-function' moebius-mu $\lambda p k$. if *k* = 1 then -1 else 0 $\lambda_. -1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma moebius-mu-2 [simp]: moebius-mu 2 = -1
and moebius-mu-3 [simp]: moebius-mu 3 = -1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma moebius-mu-code [code]:
moebius-mu *n* = of-int (dirichlet-inverse ($\lambda_. 1 :: int$) 1 *n*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fds-moebius-inversion: $f = \text{fds moebius-mu} * g \longleftrightarrow g = f * \text{fds-zeta}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma moebius-inversion:
assumes $\bigwedge n. n > 0 \implies g n = (\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. f d) n > 0$
shows $f n = \text{dirichlet-prod moebius-mu } g n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fds-mangoldt: $\text{fds mangoldt} = \text{fds moebius-mu} * \text{fds} (\lambda n. \text{of-real} (\ln (\text{real } n)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sum-divisors-moebius-mu-times-multiplicative:
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{comm-ring-1}\}$
assumes multiplicative-function $f n > 0$
shows $(\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. \text{moebius-mu } d * f d) = (\prod p \in \text{prime-factors } n. 1 - f p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma completely-multiplicative-iff-inverse-moebius-mu:
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{ring-no-zero-divisors}\}$
assumes multiplicative-function f
defines $g \equiv \text{dirichlet-inverse } f 1$
shows completely-multiplicative-function $f \longleftrightarrow$

```


$$(\forall n. g n = moebius-mu n * f n)$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma completely-multiplicative-fds-inverse:
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: field
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function f
  shows inverse (fds f) = fds (λn. moebius-mu n * f n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma completely-multiplicative-fds-inverse':
  fixes f :: 'a :: field fds
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function (fds-nth f)
  shows inverse f = fds (λn. moebius-mu n * fds-nth f n)
⟨proof⟩

context
  includes fds-syntax
begin

lemma selberg-aux:
  
$$(\chi n. \text{of-real } ((\ln n)^2)) * \text{fds moebius-mu} =$$

  
$$(\text{fds mangoldt})^2 - \text{fds-deriv } (\text{fds mangoldt} :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{real-algebra-1}\}$$

  
$$\text{fds})$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma selberg-aux':
  
$$\text{mangoldt } n * \text{of-real } (\ln n) + (\text{mangoldt} \star \text{mangoldt}) n =$$

  
$$((\text{moebius-mu} \star (\lambda b. \text{of-real } (\ln b) \wedge 2)) n$$

  
$$:: 'a :: \{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{real-algebra-1}\}) \text{ if } n > 0$$

⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

6 Euler's ϕ function

```

theory More-Totient
imports
  Moebius-Mu
  HOL-Number-Theory.Number-Theory
begin

lemma fds-totient-times-zeta:
  
$$\text{fds } (\lambda n. \text{of-nat } (\text{totient } n) :: 'a :: \text{comm-semiring-1}) * \text{fds-zeta} = \text{fds of-nat}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-totient-times-zeta':
  
$$\text{fds totient} * \text{fds-zeta} = \text{fds id}$$


```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-totient: fds ( $\lambda n. \text{of-nat} (\text{totient } n)$ ) = fds of-nat * fds moebius-mu
⟨proof⟩

lemma totient-conv-moebius-mu:
  int ( $\text{totient } n$ ) = dirichlet-prod moebius-mu int  $n$ 
⟨proof⟩

interpretation totient: multiplicative-function totient
⟨proof⟩

lemma even-prime-nat: prime  $p \implies \text{even } p \implies p = (2::\text{nat})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma twopow-dvd-totient:
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  defines  $k \equiv \text{card} \{p \in \text{prime-factors } n. \text{odd } p\}$ 
  shows  $2^k \text{dvd} \text{totient } n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma totient-conv-moebius-mu':
  assumes  $n > (0::\text{nat})$ 
  shows  $\text{real} (\text{totient } n) = \text{real } n * (\sum d \mid d \text{dvd } n. \text{moebius-mu } d / \text{real } d)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma totient-prime-power-Suc:
  assumes prime  $p$ 
  shows  $\text{totient} (p^{\wedge} \text{Suc } n) = p^{\wedge} \text{Suc } n - p^{\wedge} n$ 
⟨proof⟩

interpretation totient: multiplicative-function' totient  $\lambda p. k. p^{\wedge} k - p^{\wedge} (k - 1)$ 
 $\lambda p. p - 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7 The Liouville λ function

```

theory Liouville-Lambda
imports
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
  HOL-Number-Theory.Number-Theory
  Dirichlet-Series
  Multiplicative-Function
  Moebius-Mu
begin

```

```

definition liouville-lambda :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: comm-ring-1 where
  liouville-lambda n = (if n = 0 then 0 else  $(-1)^{\wedge} \text{size}(\text{prime-factorization } n)$ )

interpretation liouville-lambda: completely-multiplicative-function' liouville-lambda
   $\lambda\_. -1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma liouville-lambda-prime [simp]: prime p  $\Rightarrow$  liouville-lambda p = -1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma liouville-lambda-prime-power [simp]: prime p  $\Rightarrow$  liouville-lambda ( $p^{\wedge} k$ )
  =  $(-1)^{\wedge} k$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma liouville-lambda-squarefree: squarefree n  $\Rightarrow$  liouville-lambda n = moe-
  bius-mu n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma power-neg-one-If:  $(-1)^{\wedge} n = (\text{if even } n \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } -1 :: 'a :: \text{ring-1})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma liouville-lambda-power-even:
   $n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{even } m \Rightarrow \text{liouville-lambda}(n^{\wedge} m) = 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma liouville-lambda-power-odd:
   $\text{odd } m \Rightarrow \text{liouville-lambda}(n^{\wedge} m) = \text{liouville-lambda } n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma liouville-lambda-power:
   $\text{liouville-lambda}(n^{\wedge} m) =$ 
   $(\text{if } n = 0 \wedge m > 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if even } m \text{ then } 1 \text{ else liouville-lambda } n)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation squarefree: multiplicative-function'
  ind squarefree  $\lambda p k. \text{if } k > 1 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1 \lambda\_. 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation is-nth-power: multiplicative-function ind (is-nth-power n)
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation is-nth-power: multiplicative-function'
  ind (is-nth-power n)  $\lambda p k. \text{if } n \text{ dvd } k \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0 \lambda\_. \text{if } n = 1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation is-square: multiplicative-function ind is-square
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

interpretation is-square: multiplicative-function'
  ind is-square  $\lambda p\ k.$  if even k then 1 else 0  $\lambda \_.\ 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma liouville-lambda-divisors-sum:
   $(\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. \text{liouville-lambda } d) = \text{ind is-square } n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-liouville-lambda-times-zeta: fds liouville-lambda * fds-zeta = fds-ind
  is-square
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-liouville-lambda: fds liouville-lambda = fds-ind is-square * fds moe-
  bius-mu
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma liouville-lambda-altdef:
  liouville-lambda  $n = (\sum d \mid d^2 \text{ dvd } n. \text{moebius-mu } (n \text{ div } d^2))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-moebius-mu: abs (moebius-mu  $n :: 'a :: \text{linordered-idom}$ ) = ind square-
  free  $n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

8 The divisor functions

```

theory Divisor-Count
imports
  Complex-Main
  HOL-Number-Theory.Number-Theory
  Dirichlet-Series
  More-Totient
  Moebius-Mu
begin

```

8.1 The general divisor function

```

definition divisor-sigma ::  $'a :: \text{nat-power} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$  where
  divisor-sigma  $x\ n = (\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. \text{nat-power } d\ x)$ 

lemma divisor-sigma-0 [simp]: divisor-sigma  $x\ 0 = 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-Suc-0 [simp]: divisor-sigma  $x\ (\text{Suc } 0) = 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma divisor-sigma-1 [simp]: divisor-sigma x 1 = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-divisor-sigma: fds (divisor-sigma x) = fds-zeta * fds-shift x fds-zeta
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation divisor-sigma: multiplicative-function divisor-sigma x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-naive [code]:
  divisor-sigma x n = (if n = 0 then 0 else fold-atLeastAtMost-nat
    (λd acc. if d dvd n then nat-power d x + acc else acc) 1 n 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-of-nat: divisor-sigma (of-nat x) n = of-nat (divisor-sigma x
n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-prime-power-field:
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field, nat-power}
  assumes prime p
  shows divisor-sigma x (p ^ k) =
    (if nat-power p x = 1 then of-nat (k + 1) else
      (nat-power p x ^ Suc k - 1) / (nat-power p x - 1))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-prime-power-nat:
  assumes prime p
  shows divisor-sigma x (p ^ k) = (if x = 0 then Suc k else
    (p ^ (x * Suc k) - 1) div (p ^ x - 1))
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation divisor-sigma-field:
  multiplicative-function' divisor-sigma (x :: 'a :: {field, nat-power})
  λp k. if nat-power p x = 1 then of-nat (Suc k) else
    (nat-power p x ^ Suc k - 1) / (nat-power p x - 1)
  λp. nat-power p x + 1
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation divisor-sigma-real:
  multiplicative-function' divisor-sigma (x :: real)
  λp k. if x = 0 then of-nat (Suc k) else ((real p powr x) ^ Suc k - 1) / (real p
powr x - 1)
  λp. real p powr x + 1
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation divisor-sigma-nat:
  multiplicative-function' divisor-sigma (x :: nat)
  λp k. if x = 0 then Suc k else (p ^ (Suc k * x) - 1) div (p ^ x - 1)

```

```

 $\lambda p. p \wedge x + 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-prime:
  assumes prime p
  shows divisor-sigma x p = nat-power p x + 1
⟨proof⟩

8.2 The divisor-counting function

definition divisor-count :: nat ⇒ nat where
  divisor-count n = card {d. d dvd n}

lemma divisor-count-0 [simp]: divisor-count 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-count-Suc-0 [simp]: divisor-count (Suc 0) = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-0-left-nat: divisor-sigma 0 n = divisor-count n
⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-0-left: divisor-sigma 0 n = of-nat (divisor-count n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-count-altdef: divisor-count n = divisor-sigma 0 n
⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-count-naive [code]:
  divisor-count n = (if n = 0 then 0 else
    fold-atLeastAtMost-nat (λd acc. if d dvd n then Suc acc else acc) 1 n 0)
⟨proof⟩

interpretation divisor-count: multiplicative-function' divisor-count  $\lambda p. k. \text{Suc } k$ 
 $\lambda \_. 2$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-count-dvd-mono:
  assumes a dvd b  $b \neq 0$ 
  shows divisor-count a ≤ divisor-count b
⟨proof⟩

8.3 The divisor sum function

definition divisor-sum :: nat ⇒ nat where
  divisor-sum n =  $\sum \{d. d \text{ dvd } n\}$ 

lemma divisor-sum-0 [simp]: divisor-sum 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma divisor-sum-Suc-0 [simp]: divisor-sum (Suc 0) = Suc 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-1-left-nat: divisor-sigma (Suc 0) n = divisor-sum n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sigma-1-left: divisor-sigma 1 n = of-nat (divisor-sum n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sum-altdef: divisor-sum n = divisor-sigma 1 n
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation divisor-sum:
  multiplicative-function' divisor-sum  $\lambda p\ k.\ (p \wedge \text{Suc } k - 1) \text{ div } (p - 1) \lambda p.\ \text{Suc } p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sum-dvd-mono:
  assumes a dvd b  $b \neq 0$ 
  shows divisor-sum a ≤ divisor-sum b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divisor-sum-naive [code]:
  divisor-sum n = (if n = 0 then 0 else
    fold-atLeastAtMost-nat ( $\lambda d\ acc.$  if d dvd n then d + acc else acc) 1 n 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-divisor-count: fds divisor-count = fds-zeta  $\wedge 2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-shift-zeta-1: fds-shift 1 fds-zeta = fds of-nat
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-shift-zeta-Suc-0: fds-shift (Suc 0) fds-zeta = fds id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-divisor-sum: fds divisor-sum = fds-zeta * fds id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-divisor-sum-eq-totient-times-d: fds divisor-sum = fds totient * fds divisor-count
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-divisor-sum-times-moebius-mu:
  fds (divisor-sigma (1 :: 'a :: {nat-power,comm-ring-1})) * fds moebius-mu = fds of-nat
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inverse-divisor-sigma:
  fixes a :: 'a :: {field, nat-power}
  shows inverse (fds (divisor-sigma a)) = fds-shift a (fds moebius-mu) * fds moe-
  bius-mu
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

9 Summatory arithmetic functions

```

theory Arithmetic-Summatory
imports

```

```

  More-Totent
  Moebius-Mu
  Liouville-Lambda
  Divisor-Count
  Dirichlet-Series

```

```

begin

```

9.1 Definition

```

definition sum-upto :: (nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-monoid-add) ⇒ real ⇒ 'a where
  sum-upto f x = (sum i | 0 < i ∧ real i ≤ x. f i)

```

```

lemma sum-upto-altdef: sum-upto f x = (sum i ∈ {0 <.. nat ⌈ x ⌉}. f i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum-upto-0 [simp]: sum-upto f 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum-upto-cong [cong]:
  (A n. n > 0 ⇒ f n = f' n) ⇒ n = n' ⇒ sum-upto f n = sum-upto f' n'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma finite-Nats-le-real [simp,intro]: finite {n. 0 < n ∧ real n ≤ x}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum-upto-ind: sum-upto (ind P) x = of-nat (card {n. n > 0 ∧ real n ≤ x
  ∧ P n})
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum-upto-sum-divisors:
  sum-upto (λn. sum d | d dvd n. f n d) x = sum-upto (λk. sum-upto (λd. f (d * k)
  k) (x / k)) x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum-upto-dirichlet-prod:
  sum-upto (dirichlet-prod f g) x = sum-upto (λd. f d * sum-upto g (x / real d)) x

```

(proof)

```
lemma sum-up-to-real:
  assumes x ≥ 0
  shows sum-up-to real x = of-int (floor x) * (of-int (floor x) + 1) / 2
(proof)
```

```
lemma summable-imp-convergent-sum-upto:
  assumes summable (f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: real-normed-vector)
  obtains c where (sum-up-to f —→ c) at-top
(proof)
```

9.2 The Hyperbola method

```
lemma hyperbola-method-semiring:
  fixes f g :: nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-semiring-0
  assumes A ≥ 0 and B ≥ 0 and A * B = x
  shows sum-up-to (dirichlet-prod f g) x + sum-up-to f A * sum-up-to g B =
    sum-up-to (λn. f n * sum-up-to g (x / real n)) A +
    sum-up-to (λn. sum-up-to f (x / real n) * g n) B
(proof)
```

```
lemma hyperbola-method-semiring-sqrt:
  fixes f g :: nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-semiring-0
  assumes x ≥ 0
  shows sum-up-to (dirichlet-prod f g) x + sum-up-to f (sqrt x) * sum-up-to g (sqrt x) =
    sum-up-to (λn. f n * sum-up-to g (x / real n)) (sqrt x) +
    sum-up-to (λn. sum-up-to f (x / real n) * g n) (sqrt x)
(proof)
```

```
lemma hyperbola-method:
  fixes f g :: nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-ring
  assumes A ≥ 0 B ≥ 0 A * B = x
  shows sum-up-to (dirichlet-prod f g) x =
    sum-up-to (λn. f n * sum-up-to g (x / real n)) A +
    sum-up-to (λn. sum-up-to f (x / real n) * g n) B -
    sum-up-to f A * sum-up-to g B
(proof)
```

```
lemma hyperbola-method-sqrt:
  fixes f g :: nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-ring
  assumes x ≥ 0
  shows sum-up-to (dirichlet-prod f g) x =
    sum-up-to (λn. f n * sum-up-to g (x / real n)) (sqrt x) +
    sum-up-to (λn. sum-up-to f (x / real n) * g n) (sqrt x) -
    sum-up-to f (sqrt x) * sum-up-to g (sqrt x)
(proof)
```

```
end
```

10 Partial summation

```
theory Partial-Summation
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Analysis
  Arithmetic-Summatory
begin

lemma finite-vimage-real-of-nat-greaterThanAtMost: finite (real -` {y<..x})
⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes a :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {banach, real-normed-algebra}
  fixes f f' :: real ⇒ 'a
  fixes A
  fixes X :: real set
  fixes x y :: real
  defines A ≡ sum-upto a
  assumes fin: finite X
  assumes xy: 0 ≤ y y < x
  assumes deriv: ∀z. z ∈ {y..x} - X ⇒ (f has-vector-derivative f' z) (at z)
  assumes cont-f: continuous-on {y..x} f
begin

lemma partial-summation-strong:
  ((λt. A t * f' t) has-integral
   (A x * f x - A y * f y - (∑ n ∈ real -` {y<..x}. a n * f n))) {y..x}
⟨proof⟩

lemma partial-summation-integrable-strong:
  (λt. A t * f' t) integrable-on {y..x}
  and partial-summation-strong':
  (∑ n ∈ real -` {y<..x}. a n * f n) =
    A x * f x - A y * f y - integral {y..x} (λt. A t * f' t)
⟨proof⟩

end

context
  fixes a :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {banach, real-normed-algebra}
  fixes f f' :: real ⇒ 'a
  fixes A
  fixes X :: real set
  fixes x :: real
  defines A ≡ sum-upto a
  assumes fin: finite X
```

```

assumes x:  $x > 0$ 
assumes deriv:  $\bigwedge z. z \in \{0..x\} - X \implies (f \text{ has-vector-derivative } f' z) \text{ (at } z)$ 
assumes cont-f: continuous-on  $\{0..x\} f$ 
begin

lemma partial-summation-sum-upto-strong:
   $((\lambda t. A t * f' t) \text{ has-integral } (A x * f x - \text{sum-upto } (\lambda n. a n * f n) x)) \{0..x\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma partial-summation-integrable-sum-upto-strong:
   $(\lambda t. A t * f' t) \text{ integrable-on } \{0..x\}$ 
and partial-summation-sum-upto-strong':
  sum-upto  $(\lambda n. a n * f n) x =$ 
   $A x * f x - \text{integral } \{0..x\} (\lambda t. A t * f' t)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

11 Euler product expansions

```

theory Euler-Products
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Analysis
  Multiplicative-Function
begin

Conflicting notation from HOL-Analysis.Infinite-Sum
no-notation Infinite-Sum.abs-summable-on (infixr `abs'-summable'-on` 46)

lemma prime-factors-power-subset:
  prime-factors  $(x \wedge n) \subseteq \text{prime-factors } x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prime-power-product-in-Pi:
   $(\lambda g. \prod p \in \{p. p \leq (n::nat) \wedge \text{prime } p\}. p \wedge g p)$ 
   $\in (\{p. p \leq n \wedge \text{prime } p\} \rightarrow_E \text{UNIV}) \rightarrow$ 
   $\{m. 0 < m \wedge \text{prime-factors } m \subseteq \{..n\}\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-prime-power: inj-on  $(\lambda x. \text{fst } x \wedge \text{snd } x :: \text{nat}) (\{a. \text{prime } a\} \times \{0<..\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-betw-prime-powers:
  bij-betw  $(\lambda g. \prod p \in \{p. p \leq n \wedge \text{prime } p\}. p \wedge g p) (\{p. p \leq n \wedge \text{prime } p\} \rightarrow_E \text{UNIV})$ 
   $\{m. 0 < m \wedge \text{prime-factors } m \subseteq \{..(n::nat)\}\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
  assumes summable: summable (λn. norm (f n))
  assumes multiplicative-function f
  shows abs-convergent-euler-product:
    abs-convergent-prod (λp. if prime p then ∑ n. f (p ^ n) else 1)
  and euler-product-LIMSEQ:
    (λn. (∏ p≤n. if prime p then ∑ n. f (p ^ n) else 1)) —→ (∑ n. f n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
  assumes summable: summable (λn. norm (f n))
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function f
  shows abs-convergent-euler-product':
    abs-convergent-prod (λp. if prime p then inverse (1 - f p) else 1)
  and completely-multiplicative-summable-norm:
    ∏p. prime p ⇒ norm (f p) < 1
  and euler-product-LIMSEQ':
    (λn. (∏ p≤n. if prime p then inverse (1 - f p) else 1)) —→ (∑ n. f
n)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

12 Analytic properties of Dirichlet series

theory *Dirichlet-Series-Analysis*

imports

HOL-Complex-Analysis.Complex-Analysis
HOL-Library.Going-To-Filter
HOL-Real-Asymp.Real-Asymp
Dirichlet-Series
Moebius-Mu
Partial-Summation
Euler-Products

begin

Conflicting notation from *HOL-Analysis.Infinite-Sum*

no-notation *Infinite-Sum.abs-summable-on* (**infixr** ⟨abs'-summable'-on⟩ 46)

The following illustrates a concept we will need later on: A property holds for f going to F if we can find e.g. a sequence that tends to F and whose elements eventually satisfy P .

```

lemma frequently-going-toI:
  assumes filterlim (λn. f (g n)) F G
  assumes eventually (λn. P (g n)) G

```

assumes *eventually* $(\lambda n. g\ n \in A)\ G$
assumes $G \neq \text{bot}$
shows *frequently P (f going-to F within A)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frequently-filtercomapI*:
assumes *filterlim* $(\lambda n. f\ (g\ n))\ F\ G$
assumes *eventually* $(\lambda n. P\ (g\ n))\ G$
assumes $G \neq \text{bot}$
shows *frequently P (filtercomap f F)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frequently-going-to-at-topE*:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes *frequently P (f going-to at-top)*
obtains g **where** $\bigwedge n. P\ (g\ n)$ **and** *filterlim* $(\lambda n. f\ (g\ n))$ *at-top sequentially*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Apostol often uses statements like ' $P(s_k)$ for all k in an infinite sequence s_k such that $\Re(s_k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ '.

Instead, we write *frequently P (Re going-to at-top)*. This lemma shows that our statement is equivalent to his.

lemma *frequently-going-to-at-top-iff*:
frequently P (f going-to (at-top :: real filter)) \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists g. \forall n. P\ (g\ n) \wedge \text{filterlim}\ (\lambda n. f\ (g\ n)) \text{ at-top sequentially})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *surj-bullet-1*: *surj* $(\lambda s :: 'a :: \{\text{real-normed-algebra-1}, \text{real-inner}\}. s \cdot 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bullet-1-going-to-at-top-neq-bot* [simp]:
 $((\lambda s :: 'a :: \{\text{real-normed-algebra-1}, \text{real-inner}\}. s \cdot 1) \text{ going-to at-top}) \neq \text{bot}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-abs-converges-altdef*:
fds-abs-converges f s \longleftrightarrow $(\lambda n. \text{fds-nth } f\ n / \text{nat-power } n\ s)$ *abs-summable-on {1..}*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-abs-converges-altdef'*:
fds-abs-converges f s \longleftrightarrow $(\lambda n. \text{fds-nth } f\ n / \text{nat-power } n\ s)$ *abs-summable-on UNIV*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-altdef*:
assumes *fds-abs-converges f s*
shows *eval-fds f s = ($\sum_a n. \text{fds-nth } f\ n / \text{nat-power } n\ s$)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma multiplicative-function-divide-nat-power:
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {nat-power, field}
  assumes multiplicative-function f
  shows multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. f n / \text{nat-power } n s$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma completely-multiplicative-function-divide-nat-power:
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {nat-power, field}
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function f
  shows completely-multiplicative-function ( $\lambda n. f n / \text{nat-power } n s$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

12.1 Convergence and absolute convergence

```

class nat-power-normed-field = nat-power-field + real-normed-field + real-inner
+ real-algebra-1 +
fixes real-power :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
assumes real-power-nat-power:  $n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{real-power}(\text{real } n) c = \text{nat-power } n c$ 
assumes real-power-1-right-aux:  $d > 0 \Rightarrow \text{real-power } d 1 = d *_R 1$ 
assumes real-power-add:  $d > 0 \Rightarrow \text{real-power } d (a + b) = \text{real-power } d a * \text{real-power } d b$ 
assumes real-power nonzero [simp]:  $d > 0 \Rightarrow \text{real-power } d a \neq 0$ 
assumes norm-real-power:  $x > 0 \Rightarrow \text{norm}(\text{real-power } x c) = x \text{ powr } (c \cdot 1)$ 
assumes nat-power-of-real-aux:  $\text{nat-power } n (x *_R 1) = ((\text{real } n \text{ powr } x) *_R 1)$ 
assumes has-field-derivative-nat-power-aux:
   $\bigwedge_{x:'a. n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{LIM } y \text{ inf-class.inf}}$ 
   $(\text{Inf}(\text{principal} ' \{S. \text{open } S \wedge x \in S\})) (\text{principal} (\text{UNIV} - \{x\})).$ 
   $(\text{nat-power } n y - \text{nat-power } n x - \ln(\text{real } n) *_R \text{nat-power } n x * (y - x)) /_R$ 
   $\text{norm}(y - x) :> \text{Inf}(\text{principal} ' \{S. \text{open } S \wedge 0 \in S\})$ 
assumes has-vector-derivative-real-power-aux:
   $x > 0 \Rightarrow \text{filterlim}(\lambda y. (\text{real-power } y c - \text{real-power } x (c :: 'a) - (y - x) *_R (c * \text{real-power } x (c - 1))) /_R$ 
   $\text{norm}(y - x)) (\text{INF } S \in \{S. \text{open } S \wedge 0 \in S\}. \text{principal } S) (\text{at } x)$ 
assumes norm-nat-power:  $n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{norm}(\text{nat-power } n y) = \text{real } n \text{ powr } (y \cdot 1)$ 
begin

lemma real-power-diff:  $d > 0 \Rightarrow \text{real-power } d (a - b) = \text{real-power } d a / \text{real-power } d b$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma real-power-1-right [simp]:  $d > 0 \Rightarrow \text{real-power } d 1 = \text{of-real } d$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma has-vector-derivative-real-power [derivative-intros]:
   $x > 0 \implies ((\lambda y. \text{real-power } y c) \text{ has-vector-derivative } c * \text{real-power } x (c - 1))$ 
  (at  $x$  within  $A$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has-field-derivative-nat-power [derivative-intros]:
   $n > 0 \implies ((\lambda y. \text{nat-power } n y) \text{ has-field-derivative } \ln(\text{real } n) *_R \text{nat-power } n x)$ 
  (at  $(x :: 'a :: \text{nat-power-normed-field})$  within  $A$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous-on-real-power [continuous-intros]:
   $A \subseteq \{0 <..\} \implies \text{continuous-on } A (\lambda x. \text{real-power } x s)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation real :: nat-power-normed-field
begin

definition real-power-real :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  [simp]: real-power-real = (powr)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation complex :: nat-power-normed-field
begin

definition nat-power-complex :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex where
  [simp]: nat-power-complex  $n z$  = of-nat  $n$  powr  $z$ 

definition real-power-complex :: real  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\Rightarrow$  complex where
  [simp]: real-power-complex =  $(\lambda x y. \text{of-real } x \text{ powr } y)$ 

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma nat-power-of-real [simp]:
  nat-power  $n$  (of-real  $x :: 'a :: \text{nat-power-normed-field}$ ) = of-real (real  $n$  powr  $x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-converges-of-real [simp]:
  fds-abs-converges (fds-of-real  $f$ )
  (of-real  $s :: 'a :: \{\text{nat-power-normed-field}, \text{banach}\}$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  fds-abs-converges  $f s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-fds-of-real [simp]:

```

```

assumes fds-converges f s
shows eval-fds (fds-of-real f) (of-real s :: 'a :: {nat-power-normed-field, banach})
=
    of-real (eval-fds f s)
    ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fds-abs-summable-zeta-iff [simp]:
fixes s :: 'a :: {banach, nat-power-normed-field}
shows fds-abs-converges fds-zeta s  $\longleftrightarrow$  s · 1 > (1 :: real)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fds-abs-summable-zeta:
(s :: 'a :: {banach, nat-power-normed-field}) · 1 > 1  $\implies$  fds-abs-converges fds-zeta
s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fds-abs-converges-moebius-mu:
fixes s :: 'a :: {banach, nat-power-normed-field}
assumes s · 1 > 1
shows fds-abs-converges (fds moebius-mu) s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition conv-abscissa
:: 'a :: {nat-power, banach, real-normed-field, real-inner} fds  $\Rightarrow$  ereal where
conv-abscissa f = (INF s $\in$ {s. fds-converges f s}. ereal (s · 1))

```

```

definition abs-conv-abscissa
:: 'a :: {nat-power, banach, real-normed-field, real-inner} fds  $\Rightarrow$  ereal where
abs-conv-abscissa f = (INF s $\in$ {s. fds-abs-converges f s}. ereal (s · 1))

```

```

lemma conv-abscissa-mono:
assumes  $\bigwedge s$ . fds-converges g s  $\implies$  fds-converges f s
shows conv-abscissa f  $\leq$  conv-abscissa g
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-mono:
assumes  $\bigwedge s$ . fds-abs-converges g s  $\implies$  fds-abs-converges f s
shows abs-conv-abscissa f  $\leq$  abs-conv-abscissa g
⟨proof⟩

```

```

class dirichlet-series = euclidean-space + real-normed-field + nat-power-normed-field
+
assumes one-in-Basis: 1  $\in$  Basis

instance real :: dirichlet-series ⟨proof⟩
instance complex :: dirichlet-series ⟨proof⟩

```

```

context
  assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a :: dirichlet-series)
begin

lemma fds-abs-converges-Re-le:
  fixes f :: 'a fds
  assumes fds-abs-converges f z z + 1 ≤ z' + 1
  shows fds-abs-converges f z'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-converges:
  assumes s + 1 > abs-conv-abscissa (f :: 'a fds)
  shows fds-abs-converges f s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-diverges:
  assumes s + 1 < abs-conv-abscissa (f :: 'a fds)
  shows ¬fds-abs-converges f s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uniformly-Cauchy-eval-fds-aux:
  fixes s0 :: 'a :: dirichlet-series
  assumes bounded: Bseq (λn. ∑ k≤n. fds-nth f k / nat-power k s0)
  assumes B: compact B ∧z. z ∈ B ⇒ z + 1 > s0 + 1
  shows uniformly-Cauchy-on B (λN z. ∑ n≤N. fds-nth f n / nat-power n z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uniformly-convergent-eval-fds-aux:
  assumes Bseq (λn. ∑ k≤n. fds-nth f k / nat-power k (s0 :: 'a))
  assumes B: compact B ∧z. z ∈ B ⇒ z + 1 > s0 + 1
  shows uniformly-convergent-on B (λN z. ∑ n≤N. fds-nth f n / nat-power n z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uniformly-convergent-eval-fds-aux':
  assumes conv: fds-converges f (s0 :: 'a)
  assumes B: compact B ∧z. z ∈ B ⇒ z + 1 > s0 + 1
  shows uniformly-convergent-on B (λN z. ∑ n≤N. fds-nth f n / nat-power n z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bounded-partial-sums-imp-fps-converges:
  fixes s0 :: 'a :: dirichlet-series
  assumes Bseq (λn. ∑ k≤n. fds-nth f k / nat-power k s0) and s + 1 > s0 + 1
  shows fds-converges f s
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem fds-converges-Re-le:
  assumes fds-converges f (s0 :: 'a) s + 1 > s0 + 1

```

shows *fds-converges f s*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-converges*:
assumes $s \cdot 1 > conv\text{-}abscissa(f :: 'a fds)$
shows *fds-converges f s*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fds-diverges*:
assumes $s \cdot 1 < conv\text{-}abscissa(f :: 'a fds)$
shows $\neg \text{fds-converges } f s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *fds-converges-imp-abs-converges*:
assumes *fds-converges (f :: 'a fds) s s' · 1 > s · 1 + 1*
shows *fds-abs-converges f s'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conv-le-abs-conv-abscissa*: $conv\text{-}abscissa f \leq abs\text{-}conv\text{-}abscissa f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-PInf-iff*: $conv\text{-}abscissa f = \infty \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. \neg \text{fds-converges } f s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-PInfI [intro]*: $(\bigwedge s. \neg \text{fds-converges } f s) \implies conv\text{-}abscissa f = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-MInf-iff*: $conv\text{-}abscissa(f :: 'a fds) = -\infty \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. \text{fds-converges } f s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-MInfI [intro]*: $(\bigwedge s. \text{fds-converges } (f :: 'a fds) s) \implies conv\text{-}abscissa f = -\infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-PInf-iff*: $abs\text{-}conv\text{-}abscissa f = \infty \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. \neg \text{fds-abs-converges } f s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-PInfI [intro]*: $(\bigwedge s. \neg \text{fds-converges } f s) \implies abs\text{-}conv\text{-}abscissa f = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-MInf-iff*:
 $abs\text{-}conv\text{-}abscissa(f :: 'a fds) = -\infty \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. \text{fds-abs-converges } f s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-MInfI [intro]*:

$(\bigwedge s. \text{fds-abs-converges } (f :: 'a fds) s) \implies \text{abs-conv-abscissa } f = -\infty$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-geI*:

assumes $\bigwedge c'. \text{ereal } c' < c \implies \exists s. s \cdot 1 = c' \wedge \neg \text{fds-converges } f s$

shows $\text{conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a fds) \geq c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-leI*:

assumes $\bigwedge c'. \text{ereal } c' > c \implies \exists s. s \cdot 1 = c' \wedge \text{fds-converges } f s$

shows $\text{conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a fds) \leq c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-geI*:

assumes $\bigwedge c'. \text{ereal } c' < c \implies \exists s. s \cdot 1 = c' \wedge \neg \text{fds-abs-converges } f s$

shows $\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a fds) \geq c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-leI*:

assumes $\bigwedge c'. \text{ereal } c' > c \implies \exists s. s \cdot 1 = c' \wedge \text{fds-abs-converges } f s$

shows $\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a fds) \leq c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-leI-weak*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. \text{ereal } x > d \implies \text{fds-converges } f \text{ (of-real } x)$

shows $\text{conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a fds) \leq d$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-leI-weak*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. \text{ereal } x > d \implies \text{fds-abs-converges } f \text{ (of-real } x)$

shows $\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a fds) \leq d$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-truncate [simp]*:

$\text{conv-abscissa } (\text{fds-truncate } m (f :: 'a fds)) = -\infty$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-truncate [simp]*:

$\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (\text{fds-truncate } m (f :: 'a fds)) = -\infty$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *abs-conv-le-conv-abscissa-plus-1*: $\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a fds) \leq \text{conv-abscissa } f + 1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uniformly-convergent-eval-fds*:

assumes $B: \text{compact } B \wedge \forall z. z \in B \implies z \cdot 1 > \text{conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a fds)$

shows uniformly-convergent-on $B (\lambda N z. \sum n \leq N. \text{fds-nth } f n / \text{nat-power } n z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary uniformly-convergent-eval-fds':

assumes $B: \text{compact } B \wedge z \in B \implies z + 1 > \text{conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a \text{ fds})$

shows uniformly-convergent-on $B (\lambda N z. \sum n < N. \text{fds-nth } f n / \text{nat-power } n z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.2 Derivative of a Dirichlet series

lemma fds-converges-deriv-aux:

assumes conv: fds-converges $f (s0 :: 'a)$ **and** gt: $s + 1 > s0 + 1$

shows fds-converges (fds-deriv f) s

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem

assumes $s + 1 > \text{conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a \text{ fds})$

shows fds-converges-deriv: fds-converges (fds-deriv f) s

and has-field-derivative-eval-fds [derivative-intros]:

(eval-fds f has-field-derivative eval-fds (fds-deriv f) s) (at s within A)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas has-field-derivative-eval-fds' [derivative-intros] =
 DERIV-chain2[OF has-field-derivative-eval-fds]

lemma continuous-eval-fds [continuous-intros]:

assumes $s + 1 > \text{conv-abscissa } f$

shows continuous (at s within A) (eval-fds ($f :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}$))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma continuous-eval-fds' [continuous-intros]:

fixes $f :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}$

assumes continuous (at s within A) $g g s + 1 > \text{conv-abscissa } f$

shows continuous (at s within A) ($\lambda x. \text{eval-fds } f (g x)$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma continuous-on-eval-fds [continuous-intros]:

fixes $f :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}$

assumes $A \subseteq \{s. s + 1 > \text{conv-abscissa } f\}$

shows continuous-on A (eval-fds f)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma continuous-on-eval-fds' [continuous-intros]:

fixes $f :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}$

assumes continuous-on A $g g 'A \subseteq \{s. s + 1 > \text{conv-abscissa } f\}$

shows continuous-on A ($\lambda x. \text{eval-fds } f (g x)$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma conv-abscissa-deriv-le:

```

fixes f :: 'a fds
shows conv-abscissa (fds-deriv f) ≤ conv-abscissa f
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-integral:
fixes f :: 'a fds
shows abs-conv-abscissa (fds-integral a f) = abs-conv-abscissa f
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-ln:
abs-conv-abscissa (fds-ln l (f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds)) =
abs-conv-abscissa (fds-deriv f / f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-deriv:
fixes f :: 'a fds
shows abs-conv-abscissa (fds-deriv f) = abs-conv-abscissa f
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-higher-deriv:
abs-conv-abscissa ((fds-deriv ^ n) f) = abs-conv-abscissa (f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series
fds)
⟨proof⟩

lemma conv-abscissa-higher-deriv-le:
conv-abscissa ((fds-deriv ^ n) f) ≤ conv-abscissa (f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds)
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-restrict:
abs-conv-abscissa (fds-subseries P f) ≤ abs-conv-abscissa f
⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-fds-deriv:
fixes f :: 'a fds
assumes s · 1 > conv-abscissa f
shows eval-fds (fds-deriv f) s = deriv (eval-fds f) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-fds-higher-deriv:
assumes (s :: 'a :: dirichlet-series) · 1 > conv-abscissa f
shows eval-fds ((fds-deriv ^ n) f) s = (deriv ^ n) (eval-fds f) s
⟨proof⟩

end

```

12.3 Multiplication of two series

```

lemma
fixes f g :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {banach, real-normed-field, second-countable-topology,

```

```

nat-power}
fixes s :: 'a
assumes [simp]: f 0 = 0 g 0 = 0
assumes summable: summable (λn. norm (f n / nat-power n s))
           summable (λn. norm (g n / nat-power n s))
shows  summable-dirichlet-prod: summable (λn. norm (dirichlet-prod f g n /
nat-power n s))
and  suminf-dirichlet-prod:
      (∑ n. dirichlet-prod f g n / nat-power n s) =
      (∑ n. f n / nat-power n s) * (∑ n. g n / nat-power n s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma
fixes f g :: nat ⇒ real
fixes s :: real
assumes f 0 = 0 g 0 = 0
assumes summable: summable (λn. norm (f n / real n powr s))
           summable (λn. norm (g n / real n powr s))
shows  summable-dirichlet-prod-real: summable (λn. norm (dirichlet-prod f g n
/ real n powr s))
and  suminf-dirichlet-prod-real:
      (∑ n. dirichlet-prod f g n / real n powr s) =
      (∑ n. f n / nat-power n s) * (∑ n. g n / real n powr s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-converges-mult:
fixes s :: 'a :: {nat-power, real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
assumes fds-abs-converges f s fds-abs-converges g s
shows  fds-abs-converges (f * g) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-converges-power:
fixes s :: 'a :: {nat-power, real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
shows  fds-abs-converges f s ⇒ fds-abs-converges (f ^ n) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-converges-prod:
fixes s :: 'a :: {nat-power, real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
shows (λx. x ∈ A ⇒ fds-abs-converges (f x) s) ⇒ fds-abs-converges (prod f
A) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-mult-le:
abs-conv-abscissa (f * g :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤
max (abs-conv-abscissa f) (abs-conv-abscissa g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-mult-leI:
abs-conv-abscissa (f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤ d ⇒

```

abs-conv-abscissa $g \leq d \implies \text{abs-conv-abscissa } (f * g) \leq d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-shift* [simp]:
abs-conv-abscissa ($\text{fds-shift } c f$) = *abs-conv-abscissa* ($f :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}$)
 $+ c * 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-mult*:
fixes $s :: 'a :: \{\text{nat-power}, \text{real-normed-field}, \text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$
assumes *fds-abs-converges* $f s$ *fds-abs-converges* $g s$
shows *eval-fds* ($f * g$) $s = \text{eval-fds } f s * \text{eval-fds } g s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-power*:
fixes $s :: 'a :: \{\text{nat-power}, \text{real-normed-field}, \text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$
assumes *fds-abs-converges* $f s$
shows *eval-fds* ($f ^ n$) $s = \text{eval-fds } f s ^ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-prod*:
fixes $s :: 'a :: \{\text{nat-power}, \text{real-normed-field}, \text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$
assumes ($\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{fds-abs-converges } (f x) s$)
shows *eval-fds* ($\text{prod } f A$) $s = (\prod x \in A. \text{eval-fds } (f x) s)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-inverse*:
fixes $s :: 'a :: \{\text{nat-power}, \text{real-normed-field}, \text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$
assumes *fds-abs-converges* $f s$ *fds-abs-converges* (*inverse* f) s *fds-nth* $f 1 \neq 0$
shows *eval-fds* (*inverse* f) $s = \text{inverse } (\text{eval-fds } f s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-fds-integral-has-field-derivative*:
fixes $s :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series}$
assumes *ereal* ($s * 1$) > *abs-conv-abscissa* f
assumes *fds-nth* $f 1 = 0$
shows (*eval-fds* (*fds-integral* $c f$) *has-field-derivative* *eval-fds* $f s$) (at s)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *holomorphic-fds-eval* [holomorphic-intros]:
 $A \subseteq \{z. \text{Re } z > \text{conv-abscissa } f\} \implies \text{eval-fds } f \text{ holomorphic-on } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *analytic-fds-eval* [holomorphic-intros]:
assumes $A \subseteq \{z. \text{Re } z > \text{conv-abscissa } f\}$
shows *eval-fds* f *analytic-on* A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-0* [simp]:
conv-abscissa ($0 :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}$) = $-\infty$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-0 [simp]:
abs-conv-abscissa (0 :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) = -∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma conv-abscissa-1 [simp]:
conv-abscissa (1 :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) = -∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-1 [simp]:
abs-conv-abscissa (1 :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) = -∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma conv-abscissa-const [simp]:
conv-abscissa (fds-const (c :: 'a :: dirichlet-series)) = -∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-const [simp]:
abs-conv-abscissa (fds-const (c :: 'a :: dirichlet-series)) = -∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma conv-abscissa-numeral [simp]:
conv-abscissa (numeral n :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) = -∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-numeral [simp]:
abs-conv-abscissa (numeral n :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) = -∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-power-le:
abs-conv-abscissa (f ^ n :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤ abs-conv-abscissa f
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-power-leI:
abs-conv-abscissa (f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤ d ==> abs-conv-abscissa (f ^ n)
≤ d
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-prod-le:
assumes ⋀x. x ∈ A ==> abs-conv-abscissa (f x :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤ d
shows abs-conv-abscissa (prod f A) ≤ d ⟨proof⟩

lemma conv-abscissa-add-le:
conv-abscissa (f + g :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤ max (conv-abscissa f) (conv-abscissa
g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma conv-abscissa-add-leI:
```

$\text{conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}) \leq d \implies \text{conv-abscissa } g \leq d \implies$
 $\text{conv-abscissa } (f + g) \leq d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-sum-leI*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{conv-abscissa } (f x :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}) \leq d$
shows $\text{conv-abscissa } (\text{sum } f A) \leq d \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-add-le*:

$\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (f + g :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}) \leq \max(\text{abs-conv-abscissa } f)$
 $(\text{abs-conv-abscissa } g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-add-leI*:

$\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (f :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}) \leq d \implies \text{abs-conv-abscissa } g \leq d$
 \implies
 $\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (f + g) \leq d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-sum-leI*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{abs-conv-abscissa } (f x :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series } \text{fds}) \leq d$
shows $\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (\text{sum } f A) \leq d \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-converges-cmult-left* [intro]:

assumes *fds-converges* $f s$
shows *fds-converges* (*fds-const* $c * f$) s
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fds-converges-cmult-right* [intro]:

assumes *fds-converges* $f s$
shows *fds-converges* ($f * \text{fds-const } c$) s
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-cmult-left* [simp]:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series}$ **assumes** $c \neq 0$
shows $\text{conv-abscissa } (\text{fds-const } c * f) = \text{conv-abscissa } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-cmult-right* [simp]:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series}$ **assumes** $c \neq 0$
shows $\text{conv-abscissa } (f * \text{fds-const } c) = \text{conv-abscissa } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-conv-abscissa-cmult*:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series}$ **assumes** $c \neq 0$
shows $\text{abs-conv-abscissa } (\text{fds-const } c * f) = \text{abs-conv-abscissa } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conv-abscissa-minus* [simp]:

```

fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
shows conv-abscissa (-f) = conv-abscissa f
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-minus [simp]:
fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
shows abs-conv-abscissa (-f) = abs-conv-abscissa f
⟨proof⟩

lemma conv-abscissa-diff-le:
conv-abscissa (f - g :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤ max (conv-abscissa f) (conv-abscissa g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma conv-abscissa-diff-leI:
conv-abscissa (f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤ d ⟹ conv-abscissa g ≤ d ⟹
conv-abscissa (f - g) ≤ d
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-diff-le:
abs-conv-abscissa (f - g :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤
max (abs-conv-abscissa f) (abs-conv-abscissa g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-diff-leI:
abs-conv-abscissa (f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≤ d ⟹ abs-conv-abscissa g ≤ d
⟹
abs-conv-abscissa (f - g) ≤ d
⟨proof⟩

lemmas eval-fds-integral-has-field-derivative' [derivative-intros] =
DERIV-chain'[OF - eval-fds-integral-has-field-derivative]

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-completely-multiplicative-log-deriv:
fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
assumes completely-multiplicative-function (fds-nth f) fds-nth f 1 ≠ 0
shows abs-conv-abscissa (fds-deriv f / f) ≤ abs-conv-abscissa f
⟨proof⟩

```

12.4 Uniqueness

```

context
assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT ('a :: dirichlet-series)
begin

```

```

lemma norm-dirichlet-series-cutoff-le:
assumes fds-abs-converges f (s0 :: 'a) N > 0 s · 1 ≥ c c ≥ s0 · 1
shows summable (λn. fds-nth f (n + N) / nat-power (n + N) s)
summable (λn. norm (fds-nth f (n + N)) / nat-power (n + N) c)

```

and $\text{norm} \left(\sum n. \text{fds-nth } f (n + N) / \text{nat-power} (n + N) s \right) \leq \left(\sum n. \text{norm} (\text{fds-nth } f (n + N)) / \text{nat-power} (n + N) c \right) / \text{nat-power} N (s \cdot 1 - c)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma eval-fds-zeroD-aux:

fixes $h :: 'a \text{ fds}$

assumes conv: $\text{fds-abs-converges } h (s0 :: 'a)$

assumes freq: $\text{frequently } (\lambda s. \text{eval-fds } h s = 0) ((\lambda s. s \cdot 1) \text{ going-to at-top})$

shows $h = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma eval-fds-zeroD:

fixes $h :: 'a \text{ fds}$

assumes conv: $\text{conv-abscissa } h < \infty$

assumes freq: $\text{frequently } (\lambda s. \text{eval-fds } h s = 0) ((\lambda s. s \cdot 1) \text{ going-to at-top})$

shows $h = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma eval-fds-eqD:

fixes $f g :: 'a \text{ fds}$

assumes conv: $\text{conv-abscissa } f < \infty \text{ conv-abscissa } g < \infty$

assumes eq: $\text{frequently } (\lambda s. \text{eval-fds } f s = \text{eval-fds } g s) ((\lambda s. s \cdot 1) \text{ going-to at-top})$

shows $f = g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

12.5 Limit at infinity

lemma eval-fds-at-top-tail-bound:

fixes $f :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series fds}$

assumes c: $\text{ereal } c > \text{abs-conv-abscissa } f$

defines $B \equiv \left(\sum n. \text{norm} (\text{fds-nth } f (n+2)) / \text{real} (n+2) \text{ powr } c \right) * 2 \text{ powr } c$

assumes s: $s \cdot 1 \geq c$

shows $\text{norm} (\text{eval-fds } f s - \text{fds-nth } f 1) \leq B / 2 \text{ powr } (s \cdot 1)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma tendsto-eval-fds-Re-at-top:

assumes conv-abscissa ($f :: 'a :: \text{dirichlet-series fds}$) $\neq \infty$

assumes lim: $\text{filterlim } (\lambda x. S x \cdot 1) \text{ at-top } F$

shows $((\lambda x. \text{eval-fds } f (S x)) \longrightarrow \text{fds-nth } f 1) F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma tendsto-eval-fds-Re-at-top':

assumes conv-abscissa ($f :: \text{complex fds}$) $\neq \infty$

shows $\text{uniform-limit UNIV } (\lambda \sigma t. \text{eval-fds } f (\text{of-real } \sigma + \text{of-real } t * i))$
 $\quad (\lambda \cdot \text{.fds-nth } f 1) \text{ at-top}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma tendsto-eval-fds-Re-going-to-at-top:  
  assumes conv-abscissa (f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds) ≠ ∞  
  shows ((λs. eval-fds f s) —→ fds-nth f 1) ((λs. s + 1) going-to at-top)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma tendsto-eval-fds-Re-going-to-at-top':  
  assumes conv-abscissa (f :: complex fds) ≠ ∞  
  shows ((λs. eval-fds f s) —→ fds-nth f 1) (Re going-to at-top)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Any Dirichlet series that is not identically zero and does not diverge everywhere has a half-plane in which it converges and is non-zero.

```
theorem fds-nonzero-halfplane-exists:  
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds  
  assumes conv-abscissa f < ∞ f ≠ 0  
  shows eventually (λs. fds-converges f s ∧ eval-fds f s ≠ 0) ((λs. s + 1) going-to at-top)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

12.6 Normed series

```
lemma fds-converges-norm-iff [simp]:  
  fixes s :: 'a :: {nat-power-normed-field, banach}  
  shows fds-converges (fds-norm f) (s + 1) —→ fds-abs-converges f s  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fds-abs-converges-norm-iff [simp]:  
  fixes s :: 'a :: {nat-power-normed-field, banach}  
  shows fds-abs-converges (fds-norm f) (s + 1) —→ fds-abs-converges f s  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fds-converges-norm-iff':  
  fixes f :: 'a :: {nat-power-normed-field, banach} fds  
  shows fds-converges (fds-norm f) s —→ fds-abs-converges f (of-real s)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fds-abs-converges-norm-iff':  
  fixes f :: 'a :: {nat-power-normed-field, banach} fds  
  shows fds-abs-converges (fds-norm f) s —→ fds-abs-converges f (of-real s)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma abs-conv-abscissa-norm [simp]:  
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds  
  shows abs-conv-abscissa (fds-norm f) = abs-conv-abscissa f  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma conv-abscissa-norm [simp]:
```

```

fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
shows conv-abscissa (fds-norm f) = abs-conv-abscissa f
⟨proof⟩

lemma
fixes f g :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
assumes fds-abs-converges (fds-norm f) s fds-abs-converges (fds-norm g) s
shows fds-abs-converges-norm-mult: fds-abs-converges (fds-norm (f * g)) s
and eval-fds-norm-mult-le:
      eval-fds (fds-norm (f * g)) s ≤ eval-fds (fds-norm f) s * eval-fds (fds-norm
g) s
⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-fds-norm-nonneg:
assumes fds-abs-converges (fds-norm f) s
shows eval-fds (fds-norm f) s ≥ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma
fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
assumes fds-abs-converges (fds-norm f) s
shows fds-abs-converges-norm-power: fds-abs-converges (fds-norm (f ^ n)) s
and eval-fds-norm-power-le:
      eval-fds (fds-norm (f ^ n)) s ≤ eval-fds (fds-norm f) s ^ n
⟨proof⟩

```

12.7 Logarithms of Dirichlet series

```

lemma eventually-gt-ereal-at-top: c ≠ ∞ ⇒ eventually (λx. ereal x > c) at-top
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma eval-fds-log-deriv:
fixes s :: 'a :: dirichlet-series
assumes fds-nth f 1 ≠ 0 s · 1 > abs-conv-abscissa f
      s · 1 > abs-conv-abscissa (fds-deriv f / f)
assumes eval-fds f s ≠ 0
shows eval-fds (fds-deriv f / f) s = eval-fds (fds-deriv f) s / eval-fds f s
⟨proof⟩

```

Given a sufficiently nice absolutely convergent Dirichlet series that converges to some function $f(s)$ and a holomorphic branch of $\ln f(s)$, we can construct a Dirichlet series that absolutely converges to that logarithm.

```

lemma eval-fds-ln:
fixes s0 :: ereal
assumes nz: ∀s. Re s > s0 ⇒ eval-fds f s ≠ 0 fds-nth f 1 ≠ 0
assumes l: exp l = fds-nth f 1 ((g ∘ of-real) —→ l) at-top
assumes g: ∀s. Re s > s0 ⇒ exp (g s) = eval-fds f s
assumes holo-g: g holomorphic-on {s. Re s > s0}
assumes ereal (Re s) > s0

```

```

assumes s0 ≥ abs-conv-abscissa f and s0 ≥ abs-conv-abscissa (fds-deriv f / f)
shows eval-fds (fds-ln l f) s = g s
⟨proof⟩

```

Less explicitly: For a sufficiently nice absolutely convergent Dirichlet series converging to a function $f(s)$, the formal logarithm absolutely converges to some logarithm of $f(s)$.

```

lemma eval-fds-ln':
  fixes s0 :: ereal
  assumes ereal (Re s) > s0
  assumes s0 ≥ abs-conv-abscissa f and s0 ≥ abs-conv-abscissa (fds-deriv f / f)
    and nz: ∀s. Re s > s0 ⇒ eval-fds f s ≠ 0 fds-nth f 1 ≠ 0
  assumes l: exp l = fds-nth f 1
  shows exp (eval-fds (fds-ln l f) s) = eval-fds f s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fds-ln-completely-multiplicative:
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function (fds-nth f)
  assumes fds-nth f 1 ≠ 0
  shows fds-ln l f = fds (λn. if n = 1 then l else fds-nth f n * mangoldt n / R ln n)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma eval-fds-ln-completely-multiplicative-strong:
  fixes s :: 'a :: dirichlet-series and l :: 'a and f :: 'a fds and g :: nat ⇒ 'a
  defines h ≡ fds (λn. fds-nth (fds-ln l f) n * g n)
  assumes fds-abs-converges h s
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function (fds-nth f) and fds-nth f 1 ≠ 0
  shows (λ(p,k). (fds-nth f p / nat-power p s) ^ Suc k * g (p ^ Suc k) / of-nat (Suc k))
    abs-summable-on ({p. prime p} × UNIV) (is ?th1)
  and eval-fds h s = l * g 1 + (∑ a(p, k) ∈ {p. prime p} × UNIV.
    (fds-nth f p / nat-power p s) ^ Suc k * g (p ^ Suc k) / of-nat (Suc k))
  (is ?th2)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma eval-fds-ln-completely-multiplicative:
  fixes s :: 'a :: dirichlet-series and l :: 'a and f :: 'a fds
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function (fds-nth f) and fds-nth f 1 ≠ 0
  assumes s · 1 > abs-conv-abscissa (fds-deriv f / f)
  shows (λ(p,k). (fds-nth f p / nat-power p s) ^ Suc k / of-nat (Suc k))
    abs-summable-on ({p. prime p} × UNIV) (is ?th1)
  and eval-fds (fds-ln l f) s =
    l + (∑ a(p, k) ∈ {p. prime p} × UNIV.
      (fds-nth f p / nat-power p s) ^ Suc k / of-nat (Suc k)) (is ?th2)
⟨proof⟩

```

12.8 Exponential and logarithm

```

lemma summable-fds-exp-aux:
  assumes fds-nth f' 1 = (0 :: 'a :: real-normed-algebra-1)
  shows   summable (λk. fds-nth (f' ^ k) n /R fact k)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
  assumes fds-abs-converges f s
  shows   fds-abs-converges-exp: fds-abs-converges (fds-exp f) s
  and      eval-fds-exp: eval-fds (fds-exp f) s = exp (eval-fds f s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-exp-add:
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
  shows   fds-exp (f + g) = fds-exp f * fds-exp g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-exp-minus:
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
  shows   fds-exp (-f) = inverse (fds-exp f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-conv-abscissa-exp:
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
  shows   abs-conv-abscissa (fds-exp f) ≤ abs-conv-abscissa f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-deriv-exp [simp]:
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
  shows   fds-deriv (fds-exp f) = fds-exp f * fds-deriv f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-exp-ln-strong:
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
  assumes fds-nth f (Suc 0) ≠ 0
  shows   fds-exp (fds-ln l f) = fds-const (exp l / fds-nth f (Suc 0)) * f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-exp-ln [simp]:
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
  assumes exp l = fds-nth f (Suc 0)
  shows   fds-exp (fds-ln l f) = f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-ln-exp [simp]:
  fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
  assumes l = fds-nth f (Suc 0)
  shows   fds-ln l (fds-exp f) = f

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

12.9 Euler products

```

lemma fds-euler-product-LIMSEQ:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {nat-power, real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
  fds
  assumes multiplicative-function (fds-nth f) and fds-abs-converges f s
  shows ( $\lambda n. \prod p \leq n. \text{if prime } p \text{ then } \sum i. \text{fds-nth } f(p^i) / \text{nat-power}(p^i)$ 
   $s \text{ else } 1$ )  $\longrightarrow$ 
    eval-fds f s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-euler-product-LIMSEQ':
  fixes f :: 'a :: {nat-power, real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
  fds
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function (fds-nth f) and fds-abs-converges f s
  shows ( $\lambda n. \prod p \leq n. \text{if prime } p \text{ then inverse}(1 - \text{fds-nth } f(p) / \text{nat-power}(p))$ 
   $s \text{ else } 1$ )  $\longrightarrow$ 
    eval-fds f s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-convergent-euler-product:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {nat-power, real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
  fds
  assumes multiplicative-function (fds-nth f) and fds-abs-converges f s
  shows abs-convergent-prod
    ( $\lambda p. \text{if prime } p \text{ then } \sum i. \text{fds-nth } f(p^i) / \text{nat-power}(p^i)$   $s \text{ else } 1$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-convergent-euler-product':
  fixes f :: 'a :: {nat-power, real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
  fds
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function (fds-nth f) and fds-abs-converges f s
  shows abs-convergent-prod
    ( $\lambda p. \text{if prime } p \text{ then inverse}(1 - \text{fds-nth } f(p) / \text{nat-power}(p))$   $s \text{ else } 1$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-abs-convergent-zero-iff:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {nat-power-field, real-normed-field, banach, second-countable-topology}
  fds
  assumes completely-multiplicative-function (fds-nth f)
  assumes fds-abs-converges f s
  shows eval-fds f s = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists p. \text{prime } p \wedge \text{fds-nth } f(p) = \text{nat-power}(p)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  fixes s :: 'a :: {nat-power-normed-field, banach, euclidean-space}
  assumes s + 1 > 1

```

```

shows euler-product-fds-zeta:
  ( $\lambda n. \prod p \leq n. \text{if prime } p \text{ then inverse } (1 - 1 / \text{nat-power } p s) \text{ else } 1$ )
     $\longrightarrow$  eval-fds fds-zeta s (is ?th1)
and eval-fds-zeta-nonzero: eval-fds fds-zeta s  $\neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fds-primepow-subseries-euler-product-cm:
fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
assumes completely-multiplicative-function (fds-nth f) prime p
assumes s * 1 > abs-conv-abscissa f
shows eval-fds (fds-primepow-subseries p f) s = 1 / (1 - fds-nth f p / nat-power p s)
⟨proof⟩

```

12.10 Non-negative Dirichlet series

```

lemma nonneg-Reals-sum: ( $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ )  $\implies \text{sum } f A \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale nonneg-dirichlet-series =
fixes f :: 'a :: dirichlet-series fds
assumes nonneg-coeffs-aux: n > 0  $\implies$  fds-nth f n  $\in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ 
begin

lemma nonneg-coeffs: fds-nth f n  $\in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

lemma nonneg-dirichlet-series-0 [simp,intro]: nonneg-dirichlet-series 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nonneg-dirichlet-series-1 [simp,intro]: nonneg-dirichlet-series 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nonneg-dirichlet-series-const [simp,intro]:
c  $\in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \implies$  nonneg-dirichlet-series (fds-const c)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nonneg-dirichlet-series-add [intro]:
assumes nonneg-dirichlet-series f nonneg-dirichlet-series g
shows nonneg-dirichlet-series (f + g)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nonneg-dirichlet-series-mult [intro]:
assumes nonneg-dirichlet-series f nonneg-dirichlet-series g
shows nonneg-dirichlet-series (f * g)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nonneg-dirichlet-series-power [intro]:
  assumes nonneg-dirichlet-series f
  shows nonneg-dirichlet-series (f ^ n)
  ⟨proof⟩

context nonneg-dirichlet-series
begin

lemma nonneg-exp [intro]: nonneg-dirichlet-series (fds-exp f)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma nonneg-dirichlet-series-lnD:
  assumes nonneg-dirichlet-series (fds-ln l f) exp l = fds-nth f (Suc 0)
  shows nonneg-dirichlet-series f
  ⟨proof⟩

context nonneg-dirichlet-series
begin

lemma fds-of-real-norm: fds-of-real (fds-norm f) = f
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma pringsheim-landau-aux:
  fixes c :: real and f :: complex fds
  assumes nonneg-dirichlet-series f
  assumes abscissa: c ≥ abs-conv-abscissa f
  assumes g: ∀s. s ∈ A ⇒ Re s > c ⇒ g s = eval-fds f s
  assumes g holomorphic-on A open A c ∈ A
  shows ∃x. x < c ∧ fds-abs-converges f (of-real x)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem pringsheim-landau:
  fixes c :: real and f :: complex fds
  assumes nonneg-dirichlet-series f
  assumes abscissa: abs-conv-abscissa f = c
  assumes g: ∀s. s ∈ A ⇒ Re s > c ⇒ g s = eval-fds f s
  assumes g holomorphic-on A open A c ∈ A
  shows False
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary entire-continuation-imp-abs-conv-abscissa-MInfty:
  assumes nonneg-dirichlet-series f
  assumes c: c ≥ abs-conv-abscissa f
  assumes g: ∀s. Re s > c ⇒ g s = eval-fds f s

```

```

assumes holo: g holomorphic-on UNIV
shows abs-conv-abscissa f = -∞
⟨proof⟩

```

12.11 Convergence of the ζ and Möbius μ series

```

lemma fds-abs-summable-zeta-real-iff [simp]:
  fds-abs-converges fds-zeta s ↔ s > (1 :: real)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fds-abs-summable-zeta-real: s > (1 :: real) ⟹ fds-abs-converges fds-zeta
s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fds-abs-converges-moebius-mu-real:
  assumes s > (1 :: real)
  shows fds-abs-converges (fds moebius-mu) s
⟨proof⟩

```

12.12 Application to the Möbius μ function

```

lemma inverse-squares-sums': (λn. 1 / real n ^ 2) sums (pi ^ 2 / 6)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma norm-summable-moebius-over-square:
  summable (λn. norm (moebius-mu n / real n ^ 2))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma summable-moebius-over-square:
  summable (λn. moebius-mu n / real n ^ 2)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma moebius-over-square-sums: (λn. moebius-mu n / n^2) sums (6 / pi^2)
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

13 Asymptotics of summatory arithmetic functions

```

theory Arithmetic-Summatory-Asymptotics
imports
  Euler-MacLaurin.Euler-MacLaurin-Landau
  Arithmetic-Summatory
  Dirichlet-Series-Analysis
  Landau-Symbols.Landau-More
begin

```

13.1 Auxiliary bounds

```

lemma sum-inverse-squares-tail-bound:
  assumes d > 0
  shows summable ( $\lambda n. 1 / (\text{real}(\text{Suc } n) + d)^2$ )
     $(\sum n. 1 / (\text{real}(\text{Suc } n) + d)^2) \leq 1 / d$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma moebius-sum-tail-bound:
  assumes d > 0
  shows abs ( $\sum n. \text{moebius-mu}(\text{Suc } n + d) / \text{real}(\text{Suc } n + d)^2$ ) ≤ 1 / d (is
    abs ?S ≤ -)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-up-to-inverse-bound:
  sum-up-to ( $\lambda i. 1 / \text{real } i$ ) x ≥ 0
  eventually ( $\lambda x. \text{sum-up-to}(\lambda i. 1 / \text{real } i) x \leq \ln x + 13 / 22$ ) at-top
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-up-to-inverse-bigo: sum-up-to ( $\lambda i. 1 / \text{real } i$ ) ∈ O( $\lambda x. \ln x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  defines G ≡ ( $\lambda x::\text{real}. (\sum n. \text{moebius-mu}(n + \text{Suc}(\text{nat}\lfloor x \rfloor)) / (n + \text{Suc}(\text{nat}\lfloor x \rfloor))^2) :: \text{real}$ )
  shows moebius-sum-tail-bound':  $\bigwedge t. t \geq 2 \implies |G t| \leq 1 / (t - 1)$ 
  and moebius-sum-tail-bigo: G ∈ O( $\lambda t. 1 / t$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

13.2 Summatory totient function

```

theorem summatory-totient-asymptotics:
  ( $\lambda x. \text{sum-up-to}(\lambda n. \text{real}(\text{totient } n)) x - 3 / pi^2 * x^2$ ) ∈ O( $\lambda x. x * \ln x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem summatory-totient-asymptotics':
  ( $\lambda x. \text{sum-up-to}(\lambda n. \text{real}(\text{totient } n)) x = o(\lambda x. 3 / pi^2 * x^2) + o(O(\lambda x. x * \ln x))$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem summatory-totient-asymptotics'':
  sum-up-to ( $\lambda n. \text{real}(\text{totient } n)$ ) ~[at-top] ( $\lambda x. 3 / pi^2 * x^2$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

13.3 Asymptotic distribution of squarefree numbers

```

lemma le-sqrt-iff:  $x \geq 0 \implies x \leq \sqrt{y} \longleftrightarrow x^2 \leq y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem squarefree-asymptotics: ( $\lambda x. \text{card}(\{n. \text{real } n \leq x \wedge \text{squarefree } n\}) - 6 / pi^2 * x$ ) ∈ O(sqrt)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem squarefree-asymptotics':

$$(\lambda x. \text{card} \{n. \text{real } n \leq x \wedge \text{squarefree } n\}) = o (\lambda x. 6 / pi^2 * x) + o O(\lambda x. \sqrt{x})$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem squarefree-asymptotics'':

$$(\lambda x. \text{card} \{n. \text{real } n \leq x \wedge \text{squarefree } n\}) \sim [\text{at-top}] (\lambda x. 6 / pi^2 * x)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

13.4 The hyperbola method

lemma hyperbola-method-bigo:

fixes $f g :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{real-normed-field}$

$$\text{assumes } (\lambda x. \text{sum-upto} (\lambda n. f n * \text{sum-upto} g (x / \text{real } n)) (\sqrt{x}) - R x) \in O(b)$$

$$\text{assumes } (\lambda x. \text{sum-upto} (\lambda n. \text{sum-upto} f (x / \text{real } n) * g n) (\sqrt{x}) - S x) \in O(b)$$

$$\text{assumes } (\lambda x. \text{sum-upto} f (\sqrt{x}) * \text{sum-upto} g (\sqrt{x}) - T x) \in O(b)$$

$$\text{shows } (\lambda x. \text{sum-upto} (\text{dirichlet-prod} f g) x - (R x + S x - T x)) \in O(b)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma frac-le-1: $\frac{x}{\lfloor x \rfloor} \leq 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ln-minus-ln-floor-bound:

assumes $x \geq 2$

$$\text{shows } \ln x - \ln (\lfloor x \rfloor) \in \{0..<1 / (x - 1)\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ln-minus-ln-floor-bigo:

$$(\lambda x::\text{real}. \ln x - \ln (\lfloor x \rfloor)) \in O(\lambda x. 1 / x)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma divisor-count-asymptotics-aux:

$$(\lambda x. \text{sum-upto} (\lambda n. \text{sum-upto} (\lambda -. 1) (x / \text{real } n)) (\sqrt{x}) - (x * \ln x / 2 + \text{euler-mascheroni} * x)) \in O(\sqrt{x})$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sum-upto-sqrt-bound:

assumes $x: x \geq (0 :: \text{real})$

$$\text{shows } \text{norm} ((\text{sum-upto} (\lambda -. 1) (\sqrt{x}))^2 - x) \leq 2 * \text{norm} (\sqrt{x})$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma summatory-divisor-count-asymptotics:

$$(\lambda x. \text{sum-upto} (\lambda n. \text{real} (\text{divisor-count } n)) x - (x * \ln x + (2 * \text{euler-mascheroni} - 1) * x)) \in O(\sqrt{x})$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *summatory-divisor-count-asymptotics'*:
 $(\lambda x. \text{sum-upto } (\lambda n. \text{real}(\text{divisor-count } n)) x) =_o$
 $(\lambda x. x * \ln x + (2 * \text{euler-mascheroni} - 1) * x) +_o O(\lambda x. \sqrt{x})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *summatory-divisor-count-asymptotics''*:
 $\text{sum-upto } (\lambda n. \text{real}(\text{divisor-count } n)) \sim_{[\text{at-top}]} (\lambda x. x * \ln x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *summatory-divisor-eq*:
 $\text{sum-upto } (\lambda n. \text{real}(\text{divisor-count } n)) (\text{real } m) = \text{card } \{(n, d). n \in \{0 <.. m\} \wedge d \text{ dvd } n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context
fixes $M :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
defines $M \equiv \lambda m. \text{card } \{(n, d). n \in \{0 <.. m\} \wedge d \text{ dvd } n\} / \text{card } \{0 <.. m\}$
begin

lemma *mean-divisor-count-asymptotics*:
 $(\lambda m. M m - (\ln m + 2 * \text{euler-mascheroni} - 1)) \in O(\lambda m. 1 / \sqrt{m})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *mean-divisor-count-asymptotics'*:
 $M =_o (\lambda x. \ln x + 2 * \text{euler-mascheroni} - 1) +_o O(\lambda x. 1 / \sqrt{x})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *mean-divisor-count-asymptotics''*: $M \sim_{[\text{at-top}]} \ln$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

13.5 The asymptotic distribution of coprime pairs

context
fixes $A :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \text{ set}$
defines $A \equiv (\lambda N. \{(m, n) \in \{1..N\} \times \{1..N\}. \text{coprime } m \ n\})$
begin

lemma *coprime-pairs-asymptotics*:
 $(\lambda N. \text{real}(\text{card}(A N)) - 6 / \pi^2 * (\text{real } N)^2) \in O(\lambda N. \text{real } N * \ln(\text{real } N))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *coprime-pairs-asymptotics'*:
 $(\lambda N. \text{real}(\text{card}(A N))) =_o (\lambda N. 6 / \pi^2 * (\text{real } N)^2) +_o O(\lambda N. \text{real } N * \ln(\text{real } N))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *coprime-pairs-asymptotics''*:

$(\lambda N. \text{real}(\text{card}(A N))) \sim_{[\text{at-top}]} (\lambda N. 6 / \pi^2 * (\text{real } N)^2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem coprime-probability-tends-to:

$(\lambda N. \text{card}(A N) / \text{card}(\{1..N\} \times \{1..N\})) \longrightarrow 6 / \pi^2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

13.6 The asymptotics of the number of Farey fractions

definition farey-fractions :: nat \Rightarrow rat set where

$\text{farey-fractions } N = \{q :: \text{rat} \in \{0 <.. 1\}. \text{snd}(\text{quotient-of } q) \leq \text{int } N\}$

lemma Fract-eq-coprime:

assumes $\text{Rat.Fract } a b = \text{Rat.Fract } c d$ $b > 0$ $d > 0$ $\text{coprime } a b$ $\text{coprime } c d$
shows $a = c$ $b = d$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma quotient-of-split:

$P(\text{quotient-of } q) = (\forall a b. b > 0 \longrightarrow \text{coprime } a b \longrightarrow q = \text{Rat.Fract } a b \longrightarrow P(a, b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma quotient-of-split-asm:

$P(\text{Rat.quotient-of } q) = (\neg(\exists a b. b > 0 \wedge \text{coprime } a b \wedge q = \text{Rat.Fract } a b \wedge \neg P(a, b)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma farey-fractions-bij:

bij-betw $(\lambda(a,b). \text{Rat.Fract}(\text{int } a)(\text{int } b))$
 $\{(a,b) | a b. 0 < a \wedge a \leq b \wedge b \leq N \wedge \text{coprime } a b\}$ ($\text{farey-fractions } N$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma card-farey-fractions: $\text{card}(\text{farey-fractions } N) = \text{sum totient } \{0 <.. N\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma card-farey-fractions-asymptotics:

$(\lambda N. \text{real}(\text{card}(\text{farey-fractions } N)) - 3 / \pi^2 * (\text{real } N)^2) \in O(\lambda N. \text{real } N * \ln(\text{real } N))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem card-farey-fractions-asymptotics':

$(\lambda N. \text{card}(\text{farey-fractions } N)) = o(\lambda N. 3 / \pi^2 * N^2) + o(O(\lambda N. N * \ln N))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem card-farey-fractions-asymptotics'':

$(\lambda N. \text{real}(\text{card}(\text{farey-fractions } N))) \sim_{[\text{at-top}]} (\lambda N. 3 / \pi^2 * (\text{real } N)^2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
end
```

14 Efficient code for number-theoretic functions

```
theory Dirichlet-Efficient-Code
imports
  Main
  Moebius-Mu
  More-Totent
  Divisor-Count
  Liouville-Lambda
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral
  Polynomial-Factorization.Prime-Factorization
begin

definition prime-factorization-nat' :: nat ⇒ (nat × nat) list where
  prime-factorization-nat' n = (
    let ps = prime-factorization-nat n
    in map (λp. (p, length (filter ((=) p) ps) - 1)) (remdups-adj (sort ps)))

lemma set-prime-factorization-nat':
  set (prime-factorization-nat' n) = (λp. (p, multiplicity p n - 1)) ` prime-factors
n
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-prime-factorization-nat' [simp]: distinct (prime-factorization-nat'
n)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas (in multiplicative-function') efficient-code' =
efficient-code [of λ-. prime-factorization-nat' n n for n,
OF set-prime-factorization-nat' distinct-prime-factorization-nat']
```

14.1 Möbius μ function

```
definition moebius-mu-aux :: nat ⇒ (unit ⇒ nat list) ⇒ int where
  moebius-mu-aux n ps =
    (if n ≠ 0 ∧ ¬4 dvd n ∧ ¬9 dvd n then
      (let ps = ps () in if distinct ps then if even (length ps) then 1 else -1 else
      0) else 0)

lemma moebius-mu-conv-moebius-mu-aux:
  fixes qs :: unit ⇒ nat list
  defines ps ≡ qs ()
  assumes mset ps = prime-factorization n
  shows moebius-mu n = of-int (moebius-mu-aux n qs)
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma moebius-mu-code [code]:
  moebius-mu n = of-int (moebius-mu-aux n (λ-. prime-factorization-nat n))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
value moebius-mu 12578972695257 :: int
```

14.2 Euler's ϕ function

```
primrec totient-aux1 :: nat ⇒ nat list ⇒ nat where
```

```
  totient-aux1 n [] = n
  | totient-aux1 n (p # ps) = totient-aux1 (n - n div p) ps
```

```
lemma of-nat-totient-aux1:
```

```
  assumes ⋀p. p ∈ set ps ⇒ prime p ⋀p. p ∈ set ps ⇒ p dvd n distinct ps
  shows real (totient-aux1 n ps) = real n * (Π p∈set ps. 1 - 1 / real p)
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma totient-conv-totient-aux1:
```

```
  assumes set ps = prime-factors n distinct ps
  shows totient n = totient-aux1 n ps
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition prime-factors-nat :: nat ⇒ nat list where
```

```
  prime-factors-nat n = remdups-adj (sort (prime-factorization-nat n))
```

```
lemma set-prime-factors-nat [simp]: set (prime-factors-nat n) = prime-factors n
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma distinct-prime-factors-nat [simp]: distinct (prime-factors-nat n)
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition totient-aux2 :: (nat × nat) list ⇒ nat where
```

```
  totient-aux2 xs = (Π (p,k) ← xs. p ^ k * (p - 1))
```

```
lemma totient-conv-totient-aux2:
```

```
  assumes n ≠ 0
```

```
  assumes set xs = (λp. (p, multiplicity p n - 1)) ` prime-factors n
```

```
  assumes distinct xs
```

```
  shows totient n = totient-aux2 xs
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma totient-code1: totient n = totient-aux1 n (prime-factors-nat n)
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma totient-code2: totient n = (if n = 0 then 0 else totient-aux2 (prime-factorization-nat' n))
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

declare totient-code-naive [code del]

lemmas [code] = totient-code2

value totient 125789726827482323235784

```

14.3 Divisor Functions

```

lemmas [code del] = divisor-count-naive divisor-sum-naive
lemmas [code] = divisor-count.efficient-code' divisor-sum.efficient-code'

value int (divisor-count 378568418621)
value int (divisor-sum 378568418621)

```

14.4 Liouville's λ function

```

lemma [code]: liouville-lambda n =
  (if n = 0 then 0 else if even (length (prime-factorization-nat n)) then 1 else -1)
  ⟨proof⟩

value liouville-lambda 1264785343674 :: int

end

```

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol. *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1976.