# Formalizing Results on Directed Sets

Akihisa Yamada and Jérémy Dubut

March 17, 2025

#### Abstract

Directed sets are of fundamental interest in domain theory and topology. In this paper, we formalize some results on directed sets in Isabelle/HOL, most notably: under the axiom of choice, a poset has a supremum for every directed set if and only if it does so for every chain; and a function between such posets preserves suprema of directed sets if and only if it preserves suprema of chains. The known pen-and-paper proofs of these results crucially use uncountable transfinite sequences, which are not directly implementable in Isabelle/HOL. We show how to emulate such proofs by utilizing Isabelle/HOL's ordinal and cardinal library. Thanks to the formalization, we relax some conditions for the above results.

### Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	<b>Preliminaries</b> 2.1 Connecting Predicate-Based and Set-Based Relations	
3		6
	3.1 Uncountable Case	
4	3.2 Countable Case	8

### 1 Introduction

A directed set is a set D equipped with a binary relation  $\sqsubseteq$  such that any finite subset  $X \subseteq D$  has an upper bound in D with respect to  $\sqsubseteq$ . The property is often equivalently stated that D is non-empty and any two elements  $x, y \in D$  have a bound in D, assuming that  $\sqsubseteq$  is transitive (as in posets).

Directed sets find uses in various fields of mathematics and computer science. In topology (see for example the textbook [7]), directed sets are used to generalize the set of natural numbers: sequences  $\mathbb{N} \to A$  are generalized to nets  $D \to A$ , where D is an arbitrary directed set. For example, the usual result on metric spaces that continuous functions are precisely functions that preserve limits of sequences can be generalized in general topological spaces as: the continuous functions are precisely functions that preserve limits of nets. In domain theory [1], key ingredients are *directed-complete posets*, where every directed subset has a supremum in the poset, and Scott-continuous functions between posets, that is, functions that preserve suprema of directed sets. Thanks to their fixed-point properties (which we have formalized in Isabelle/HOL in a previous work [5]), directed-complete posets naturally appear in denotational semantics of languages with loops or fixed-point operators (see for example Scott domains [11, 13]). Directed sets also appear in reachability and coverability analyses of transition systems through the notion of ideals, that is, downward-closed directed sets. They allow effective representations of objects, making forward and backward analysis of well-structured transition systems - such as Petri nets possible (see e.g., [6]).

Apparently milder generalizations of natural numbers are chains (totally ordered sets) or even well-ordered sets. In the mathematics literature, the following results are known (assuming the axiom of choice):

**Theorem 1** ([4]) A poset is directed-complete if (and only if) it has a supremum for every non-empty well-ordered subset.

**Theorem 2** ([9]) Let f be a function between posets, each of which has a supremum for every non-empty chain. If f preserves suprema of non-empty chains, then it is Scott-continuous.

The pen-and-paper proofs of these results use induction on cardinality, where the finite case is merely the base case. The core of the proof is a technical result called Iwamura's Lemma [8], where the countable case is merely an easy case, and the main part heavily uses transfinite sequences indexed by uncountable ordinals.

To formalize these results in Isabelle/HOL we extensively use the existing library for ordinals and cardinals [3], but we needed some delicate work in emulating the pen-and-paper proofs. In Isabelle/HOL, or any proof assistant based on higher-order logic (HOL), it is not possible to have a datatype for arbitrarily large ordinals; hence, it is not possible to directly formalize transfinite sequences. We show how to emulate transfinite sequences using the ordinal and cardinal library [3]. As far as the authors know, our work is the first to mechanize the proof of Theorems 1 and 2, as well as Iwamura's Lemma. We prove the two theorems for quasi-ordered sets, relaxing antisymmetry, and strengthen Theorem 2 so that chains are replaced by well-ordered sets and conditions on the codomain are completely dropped. **Related Work** Systems based on Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory, such as Mizar [2] and Isabelle/ZF [10], have more direct support for ordinals and cardinals and should pose less challenge in mechanizing the above results. Nevertheless, a part of our contribution is in demonstrating that the power of (Isabelle/)HOL is strong enough to deal with uncountable transfinite sequences.

Except for the extra care for transfinite sequences, our proof of Iwamura's Lemma is largely based on the original proof from [8]. Markowsky presented a proof of Theorem 1 using Iwamura's Lemma [9, Corollary 1]. While he took a minimal-counterexample approach, we take a more constructive approach to build a well-ordered set of suprema. This construction was crucial to be reused in the proof of Theorem 2, which Markowsky claimed without a proof [9]. Another proof of Theorem 1 can be found in [4], without using Iwamura's Lemma, but still crucially using transfinite sequences.

This work has been published in the conference paper [14].

# 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Connecting Predicate-Based and Set-Based Relations

theory Well-Order-Connection imports Main Complete-Non-Orders. Well-Relations

begin

**lemma** refl-on-relation-of: refl-on A (relation-of r A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  reflexive A  $r \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** trans-relation-of: trans (relation-of r A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  transitive  $A r \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** preorder-on-relation-of: preorder-on A (relation-of r A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  quasi-ordered-set A r

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** antisym-relation-of: antisym (relation-of r A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  antisymmetric  $A r \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** partial-order-on-relation-of: partial-order-on A (relation-of r A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  partially-ordered-set A  $r \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** total-on-relation-of: total-on A (relation-of r A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  semiconnex A  $r \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma linear-order-on-relation-of:

**shows** linear-order-on A (relation-of r A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  total-ordered-set A r  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** relation-of-sub-Id: (relation-of r A - Id) = relation-of  $(\lambda x y. r x y \land x \neq y) A$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in antisymmetric) asympartp-iff-weak-neq: shows  $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies$  asympartp ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $x \ y \longleftrightarrow x \sqsubseteq y \land x \neq y$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** wf-relation-of: wf (relation-of r A) = well-founded  $A r \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** well-order-on-relation-of: **shows** well-order-on A (relation-of r A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  well-ordered-set A r $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in connex) Field-relation-of: Field (relation-of  $(\sqsubseteq) A$ ) = A  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in well-ordered-set) Well-order-relation-of: shows Well-order (relation-of  $(\sqsubseteq) A$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** in-relation-of:  $(x,y) \in relation-of \ r \ A \longleftrightarrow x \in A \land y \in A \land r \ x \ y \land proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** relation-of-triv: relation-of  $(\lambda x \ y. \ (x,y) \in r)$  UNIV =  $r \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Restr-eq-relation-of: Restr  $R \ A = relation-of \ (\lambda x \ y. \ (x,y) \in R) \ A \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

**theorem** ex-well-order:  $\exists r.$  well-ordered-set  $A r \langle proof \rangle$ 

#### $\mathbf{end}$

theory Directed-Completeness imports Complete-Non-Orders.Continuity Well-Order-Connection HOL-Cardinals.Cardinals HOL-Library.FuncSet begin

#### 2.2 Missing Lemmas

**no-notation** disj (infixr  $\langle \rangle 3\theta$ )

```
lemma Sup-funpow-mono:

fixes f :: 'a :: complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'a

assumes mono: mono f

shows mono (\bigsqcup i. f \frown i)

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma iso-imp-compat:
assumes iso: iso r r' f shows compat r r' f \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma iso-inv-into:

assumes ISO: iso r r' f

shows iso r' r (inv-into (Field r) f)

\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemmas** *iso-imp-compat-inv-into* = *iso-imp-compat*[OF *iso-inv-into*]

**lemma** infinite-iff-natLeq: infinite  $A \leftrightarrow natLeq \leq o |A|$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

As we cannot formalize transfinite sequences directly, we take the following approach: We just use A as the index set, and instead of the ordering on ordinals, we take the well-order that is chosen by the cardinality library to denote |A|.

definition well-order-of (((('( $\leq$ -'))) [0]1000) where ( $\leq$ \_A)  $x y \equiv (x,y) \in |A|$ 

abbreviation well-order-le ( $\langle - \preceq_{-} \rightarrow [51, 0, 51] 50$ ) where  $x \preceq_{A} y \equiv (\preceq_{A}) x y$ 

**abbreviation** well-order-less ( $\langle - \prec_- \rangle > [51, 0, 51] 50$ ) where  $x \prec_A y \equiv asymptoty (\preceq_A) x y$ 

**lemmas** well-order-ofI = well-order-of-def[unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD2] **lemmas** well-order-ofD = well-order-of-def[unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD1]

lemma carrier: assumes  $x \preceq_A y$  shows  $x \in A$  and  $y \in A$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** relation-of[simp]: relation-of  $(\preceq_A) A = |A|$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**interpretation** well-order-of: well-ordered-set  $A (\preceq_A) \langle proof \rangle$ 

Thanks to the well-order theorem, one can have a sequence  $\{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha < |A|}$  of subsets of A that satisfies the following three conditions:

- cardinality:  $|A_{\alpha}| < |A|$  for every  $\alpha < |A|$ ,
- monotonicity:  $A_{\alpha} \subseteq A_{\beta}$  whenever  $\alpha \leq \beta < |A|$ , and
- range: if A is infinite,  $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < |A|} A_{\alpha}$ .

The following serves the purpose.

definition  $Pre(\langle - \prec \rangle \ [1000]1000)$  where  $A_{\prec} \ a \equiv \{b \in A. \ b \prec_A a\}$ 

```
lemma Pre-eq-underS: A_{\prec} a = underS |A| a

\langle proof \rangle

lemma Pre-card: assumes aA: a \in A shows |A_{\prec} a| < o |A|
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Pre-carrier:  $A \prec a \subseteq A \langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma Pre-mono: monotone-on A (\preceq_A) (\subseteq) (A_{\prec})
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma extreme-imp-finite:

assumes e: extreme A (\preceq_A) e shows finite A \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma infinite-imp-ex-Pre:
assumes inf: infinite A and xA: x \in A shows \exists y \in A. x \in A_{\prec} y \ \langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** infinite-imp-Un-Pre: **assumes** inf: infinite A shows  $\bigcup (A_{\prec} `A) = A \langle proof \rangle$ 

# 3 Iwamura's lemma

As the proof involves a number of (inductive) definitions, we build a locale for collecting those definitions and lemmas.

locale Iwamura-proof = related-set +assumes  $dir: directed-set A (\sqsubseteq)$ begin

Inside this locale, a related set  $(A, \sqsubseteq)$  is fixed and assumed to be directed. The proof starts with declaring, using the axiom of choice, a function f that chooses a bound  $f X \in A$  for every finite subset  $X \subseteq A$ . This function can be formalized using the SOME construction:

**definition** f where  $f X \equiv SOME z$ .  $z \in A \land bound X (\sqsubseteq) z$ 

**lemma assumes**  $XA: X \subseteq A$  and Xfin: finite X**shows** *f*-carrier:  $f X \in A$  and *f*-bound: bound  $X (\sqsubseteq) (f X)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 3.1 Uncountable Case

Actually, the main part of the proof of Iwamura's Lemma is about monotonically expanding an infinite subset (in particular  $A_{\alpha}$ ) of A into a directed one, without changing the cardinality. To this end, Iwamura's original proof introduces a function  $F: PowA \rightarrow PowA$  that expands a set with upper bounds of all finite subsets. This approach is different from Markowsky's reproof (based on [12]) which uses nested transfinite induction to extend a set one element after another.

**definition** F where  $F X \equiv X \cup f$  'Fpow X

```
lemma F-carrier: X \subseteq A \implies F X \subseteq A
and F-infl: X \subseteq F X
and F-fin: finite X \implies finite (F X)
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** *F*-card: **assumes** *inf*: *infinite* X **shows** |F X| = o |X|  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *F*-mono: mono *F*  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } \textit{Fn-carrier: } X \subseteq A \Longrightarrow (F \frown n) \; X \subseteq A \\ \textbf{and } \textit{Fn-infl: } X \subseteq (F \frown n) \; X \\ \textbf{and } \textit{Fn-fin: finite } X \Longrightarrow \textit{finite } ((F \frown n) \; X) \\ \textbf{and } \textit{Fn-card: infinite } X \Longrightarrow |(F \frown n) \; X| = o \; |X| \\ \langle \textit{proof} \rangle \end{array}$ 

**lemma** Fn-mono1:  $i \leq j \Longrightarrow (F \frown i) X \subseteq (F \frown j) X$  for  $i j \langle proof \rangle$ 

We take the  $\omega$ -iteration of the monotone function F, namely: definition  $Flim(\langle F^{\omega} \rangle)$  where  $F^{\omega} X \equiv \bigcup i. (F^{\frown} i) X$ 

**lemma** Flim-mono: mono  $F^{\omega}$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Flim-infl:  $X \subseteq F^{\omega} X$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Flim-carrier: assumes  $X \subseteq A$  shows  $F^{\omega} X \subseteq A$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Flim-directed: **assumes**  $X \subseteq A$  shows directed-set  $(F^{\omega} X) (\sqsubseteq) \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Flim-card: assumes infinite X shows  $|F^{\omega} X| = o |X| \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Flim-fin: **assumes** finite X shows  $|F^{\omega} X| \leq o$  natLeq  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** mono-uncountable: monotone-on  $A (\preceq_A) (\subseteq) (F^{\omega} \circ A_{\prec})$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma card-uncountable: assumes aA:  $a \in A$  and unc: natLeq < o |A|shows  $|F^{\omega}(A_{\prec} a)| < o |A|$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** in-*I*-uncountable: **assumes**  $aA: a \in A$  **and** inf: infinite A **shows**  $\exists a' \in A. a \in F^{\omega} (A_{\prec} a')$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** carrier-uncountable: **shows**  $F^{\omega}$   $(A_{\prec} a) \subseteq A$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma range-uncountable: assumes inf: infinite A shows  $\bigcup ((F^{\omega} \circ A_{\prec}) `A) = A$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

### 3.2 Countable Case

**context assumes** countable: |A| = o natLeq begin

The assumption above means that there exists an order-isomorphism between  $(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$  and  $(A, \leq_A)$ .

**definition** seq :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a where seq  $\equiv$  SOME f. iso natLeq |A| f

**lemma** seq-iso: iso natLeq |A| seq  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** seq-bij-betw: bij-betw seq UNIV A  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

This means that A has been indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**lemma** range-seq: range seq = A  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** seq-mono: monotone  $(\leq)$   $(\preceq_A)$  seq  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** inv-seq-mono: monotone-on  $A (\leq_A) (\leq)$  (inv seq)  $\langle proof \rangle$ We turn the sequence into a sequence of directed subsets of A: fun Seq ::  $nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set$  where  $Seq \ \theta = \{f \ \{\}\}$  $|Seq (Suc n) = Seq n \cup \{seq n, f (Seq n \cup \{seq n\})\}$ **lemma** seq-n-in-Seq-n: seq  $n \in Seq$  (Suc n) (proof)lemma Seq-finite: finite (Seq n)  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma Seq-card: |Seq n| < o |A| $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** Seq-carrier: Seq  $n \subseteq A$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** Seq-range:  $\bigcup$  (range Seq) = A  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** Seq-extremed: assumes refl: reflexive  $A (\sqsubseteq)$  shows extremed (Seq n) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** Seq-directed: **assumes** refl: reflexive  $A (\sqsubseteq)$  shows directed-set (Seq n) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** range-countable:  $\bigcup ((Seq \circ inv \ seq) \ `A) = A$  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma Seq-mono: mono Seq  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** mono-countable: monotone-on  $A (\preceq_A) (\subseteq) (Seq \circ inv seq)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *infl-countable*: assumes  $aA: a \in A$  and  $bA: b \in A$  and  $ab: a \prec_A b$ shows  $a \in Seq$  (inv seq b)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

### $\mathbf{end}$

To match the types, we use the inverse  $inv \ seq$  of the isomorphism isaseq. We define the final I as follows:

**definition** I where  $I \equiv if |A| = o$  natLeq then Seq  $\circ$  inv seq else  $F^{\omega} \circ A_{\prec}$ 

**lemma** *I*-carrier:  $I \ a \subseteq A$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *I*-directed: **assumes** reflexive  $A (\sqsubseteq)$  **shows** directed-set  $(I \ a) (\sqsubseteq) \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *I*-mono: monotone-on  $A (\preceq_A) (\subseteq) I$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *I*-range: **assumes** inf: infinite A **shows**  $\bigcup (I^{\cdot}A) = A \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma *I-infl*: assumes  $a \in A$   $b \in A$   $a \prec_A b$  shows  $a \in I$   $b \land proof \rangle$ 

#### $\mathbf{end}$

Now we close the locale *Iwamura-proof* and state the final result in the global scope.

**theorem (in** reflexive) Iwamura: **assumes** dir: directed-set  $A \ (\sqsubseteq)$  and inf: infinite A **shows**  $\exists I$ . ( $\forall a \in A$ . directed-set ( $I \ a$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $\land |I \ a| < o \ |A|$ )  $\land$ monotone-on  $A \ (\preceq_A) \ (\subseteq) \ I \land \bigcup (I^{\cdot}A) = A$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

### 4 Directed Completeness and Scott-Continuity

**abbreviation** nonempty  $A \equiv if A = \{\}$  then  $\perp$  else  $\top$ 

**lemma** (in quasi-ordered-set) directed-completeness-lemma: fixes leB (infix  $\langle \trianglelefteq \rangle$  50) assumes comp: (nonempty  $\sqcap$  well-related-set)-complete A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) and dir: directed-set D ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) and DA:  $D \subseteq A$ shows  $\exists s.$  extreme-bound A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) D sand well-related-set-continuous A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) B ( $\trianglelefteq$ )  $f \Longrightarrow$   $D \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow$  extreme-bound A ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $D t \Longrightarrow$  extreme-bound B ( $\trianglelefteq$ ) ( $f \cdot D$ ) (f t)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

The next Theorem corresponds to Proposition 5.9 of [4], without antisymmetry on A.

**theorem** (in *quasi-ordered-set*) well-complete-iff-directed-complete:

 $\begin{array}{l} (nonempty \sqcap well-related-set) - complete \ A \ (\sqsubseteq) \longleftrightarrow \ directed-set - complete \ A \ (\sqsubseteq) \\ (\mathbf{is} \ ?l \longleftrightarrow \ ?r) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

The next Theorem corresponds to Corollary 3 of [9] without any assumptions on the codomain B and without antisymmetry on the domain A.

**theorem** (in quasi-ordered-set) **fixes** leB (infix  $\langle \trianglelefteq \rangle$  50) **assumes** comp: (nonempty  $\sqcap$  well-related-set)-complete A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) **shows** well-related-set-continuous A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) B ( $\trianglelefteq$ )  $f \longleftrightarrow$  directed-set-continuous A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) B ( $\trianglelefteq$ ) f(is  $?l \leftrightarrow ?r$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

### References

- S. Abramsky and A. Jung. *Domain Theory*. Number III in Handbook of Logic in Computer Science. Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [2] G. Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Journal of Formalized Mathematics, 1, 1989.
- [3] J. C. Blanchette, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. Cardinals in Isabelle/HOL. In G. Klein and R. Gamboa, editors, Interactive Theorem Proving - 5th International Conference, ITP 2014, Held as Part of the Vienna Summer of Logic, VSL 2014, Vienna, Austria, July 14-17, 2014. Proceedings, volume 8558 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 111–127. Springer, 2014.
- [4] P. M. Cohn. Universal Algebra. Harper & Row, 1965.
- [5] J. Dubut and A. Yamada. Fixed point theorems for non-transitive relations. Log. Methods Comput. Sci., 18(1), 2022.
- [6] A. Finkel and J. Goubault-Larrecq. Forward Analysis for WSTS, Part I: Completions. In S. Albers and J.-Y. Marion, editors, 26th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, volume 3 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), pages 433–444, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2009. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.
- [7] J. Goubault-Larrecq. Non-Hausdorff Topology and Domain Theory: Selected Topics in Point-Set Topology, volume 22 of New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, 2013.

- [8] T. Iwamura. A lemma on directed sets. In Zenkoku Shijo Sugaku Danwakai, number 262, pages 107–111, 1944. in Japanese.
- [9] G. Markowsky. Chain-complete posets and directed sets with applications. Algebra Universalis, 6:53–68, 1976.
- [10] L. C. Paulson and K. Grabczewski. Mechanizing set theory. J. Autom. Reason., 17(3):291–323, 1996.
- [11] D. Scott. Outline of a Mathematical Theory of Computation. Technical Report PRG02, OUCL, 1970.
- [12] L. A. Skorniakov. Complemented modular lattices and regular rings. Oliver & Boyd, 1964.
- [13] G. Winskel. The Formal Semantics of Programming Languages: An Introduction. Foundations of Computing. The MIT Press, 1993.
- [14] A. Yamada and J. Dubut. Formalizing Results on Directed Sets in Isabelle/HOL. In Proceedings of the fourteenth conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP'23), 2023.