Formalizing Results on Directed Sets

Akihisa Yamada and Jérémy Dubut

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Directed sets are of fundamental interest in domain theory and topology. In this paper, we formalize some results on directed sets in Isabelle/HOL, most notably: under the axiom of choice, a poset has a supremum for every directed set if and only if it does so for every chain; and a function between such posets preserves suprema of directed sets if and only if it preserves suprema of chains. The known pen-and-paper proofs of these results crucially use uncountable transfinite sequences, which are not directly implementable in Isabelle/HOL. We show how to emulate such proofs by utilizing Isabelle/HOL's ordinal and cardinal library. Thanks to the formalization, we relax some conditions for the above results.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries 2.1 Connecting Predicate-Based and Set-Based Relations	
9	2.2 Missing Lemmas	
3	Iwamura's lemma 3.1 Uncountable Case	7
	3.2 Countable Case	
4	Directed Completeness and Scott-Continuity	14

1 Introduction

A directed set is a set D equipped with a binary relation \sqsubseteq such that any finite subset $X \subseteq D$ has an upper bound in D with respect to \sqsubseteq . The property is often equivalently stated that D is non-empty and any two elements $x, y \in D$ have a bound in D, assuming that \sqsubseteq is transitive (as in posets).

Directed sets find uses in various fields of mathematics and computer science. In topology (see for example the textbook [7]), directed sets are used

to generalize the set of natural numbers: sequences $\mathbb{N} \to A$ are generalized to nets $D \to A$, where D is an arbitrary directed set. For example, the usual result on metric spaces that continuous functions are precisely functions that preserve limits of sequences can be generalized in general topological spaces as: the continuous functions are precisely functions that preserve limits of nets. In domain theory [1], key ingredients are directed-complete posets, where every directed subset has a supremum in the poset, and Scott-continuous functions between posets, that is, functions that preserve suprema of directed sets. Thanks to their fixed-point properties (which we have formalized in Isabelle/HOL in a previous work [5]), directed-complete posets naturally appear in denotational semantics of languages with loops or fixed-point operators (see for example Scott domains [11, 13]). Directed sets also appear in reachability and coverability analyses of transition systems through the notion of ideals, that is, downward-closed directed sets. They allow effective representations of objects, making forward and backward analysis of well-structured transition systems – such as Petri nets – possible (see e.g., [6]).

Apparently milder generalizations of natural numbers are chains (totally ordered sets) or even well-ordered sets. In the mathematics literature, the following results are known (assuming the axiom of choice):

Theorem 1 ([4]) A poset is directed-complete if (and only if) it has a supremum for every non-empty well-ordered subset.

Theorem 2 ([9]) Let f be a function between posets, each of which has a supremum for every non-empty chain. If f preserves suprema of non-empty chains, then it is Scott-continuous.

The pen-and-paper proofs of these results use induction on cardinality, where the finite case is merely the base case. The core of the proof is a technical result called Iwamura's Lemma [8], where the countable case is merely an easy case, and the main part heavily uses transfinite sequences indexed by uncountable ordinals.

To formalize these results in Isabelle/HOL we extensively use the existing library for ordinals and cardinals [3], but we needed some delicate work in emulating the pen-and-paper proofs. In Isabelle/HOL, or any proof assistant based on higher-order logic (HOL), it is not possible to have a datatype for arbitrarily large ordinals; hence, it is not possible to directly formalize transfinite sequences. We show how to emulate transfinite sequences using the ordinal and cardinal library [3]. As far as the authors know, our work is the first to mechanize the proof of Theorems 1 and 2, as well as Iwamura's Lemma. We prove the two theorems for quasi-ordered sets, relaxing antisymmetry, and strengthen Theorem 2 so that chains are replaced by well-ordered sets and conditions on the codomain are completely dropped.

Related Work Systems based on Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory, such as Mizar [2] and Isabelle/ZF [10], have more direct support for ordinals and cardinals and should pose less challenge in mechanizing the above results. Nevertheless, a part of our contribution is in demonstrating that the power of (Isabelle/)HOL is strong enough to deal with uncountable transfinite sequences.

Except for the extra care for transfinite sequences, our proof of Iwamura's Lemma is largely based on the original proof from [8]. Markowsky presented a proof of Theorem 1 using Iwamura's Lemma [9, Corollary 1]. While he took a minimal-counterexample approach, we take a more constructive approach to build a well-ordered set of suprema. This construction was crucial to be reused in the proof of Theorem 2, which Markowsky claimed without a proof [9]. Another proof of Theorem 1 can be found in [4], without using Iwamura's Lemma, but still crucially using transfinite sequences.

This work has been published in the conference paper [14].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Connecting Predicate-Based and Set-Based Relations

```
theory Well-Order-Connection
 imports
    Main
    Complete-Non-Orders. Well-Relations
begin
lemma refl-on-relation-of: refl-on A (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow reflexive A r
 by (auto simp: refl-on-def reflexive-def relation-of-def)
lemma trans-relation-of: trans (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow transitive A r
 by (auto simp: trans-def relation-of-def transitive-def)
lemma preorder-on-relation-of: preorder-on A (relation-of rA) \longleftrightarrow quasi-ordered-set
 by (simp add: preorder-on-def refl-on-relation-of trans-relation-of quasi-ordered-set-def)
lemma antisym-relation-of: antisym (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow antisymmetric A r
 by (auto simp: antisym-def relation-of-def antisymmetric-def)
lemma partial-order-on-relation-of:
  partial\text{-}order\text{-}on\ A\ (relation\text{-}of\ r\ A)\longleftrightarrow partially\text{-}ordered\text{-}set\ A\ r
  by (auto simp: partial-order-on-def preorder-on-relation-of antisym-relation-of
     quasi-ordered-set-def partially-ordered-set-def)
lemma total-on-relation-of: total-on A (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow semiconnex A r
 by (auto simp: total-on-def relation-of-def semiconnex-def)
```

```
lemma linear-order-on-relation-of:
 shows linear-order-on A (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow total-ordered-set A r
 by (auto simp: linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-relation-of total-on-relation-of
     total-ordered-set-def total-quasi-ordered-set-def partially-ordered-set-def
     connex-iff-semiconnex-reflexive)
lemma relation-of-sub-Id: (relation-of rA - Id) = relation-of (\lambda x y. r x y \wedge x \neq Id)
 by (auto simp: relation-of-def)
lemma (in antisymmetric) asympartp-iff-weak-neq:
 shows x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow asympartp (\sqsubseteq) x y \longleftrightarrow x \sqsubseteq y \land x \neq y
 by (auto intro!: asympartpI antisym)
lemma wf-relation-of: wf (relation-of r A) = well-founded A r
 apply (simp add: wf-eq-minimal relation-of-def well-founded-iff-ex-extremal Ball-def)
 by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) equals0I insert-Diff insert-not-empty subsetI
subset-iff)
lemma well-order-on-relation-of:
 shows well-order-on A (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow well-ordered-set A r
 by (auto simp: well-order-on-def linear-order-on-relation-of relation-of-sub-Id
     wf-relation-of well-ordered-iff-well-founded-total-ordered
     antisymmetric.asympartp-iff-weak-neq\ total-ordered-set-def
     cong: well-founded-cong)
lemma (in connex) Field-relation-of: Field (relation-of (\sqsubseteq) A) = A
 by (auto simp: Field-def relation-of-def)
lemma (in well-ordered-set) Well-order-relation-of:
 shows Well-order (relation-of (\sqsubseteq) A)
 by (auto simp: Field-relation-of well-order-on-relation-of well-ordered-set-axioms)
by (simp add: relation-of-def)
lemma relation-of-triv: relation-of (\lambda x \ y. \ (x,y) \in r) \ UNIV = r
 by (auto simp: relation-of-def)
lemma Restr-eq-relation-of: Restr R A = relation-of (\lambda x \ y. \ (x,y) \in R) A
 by (auto simp: relation-of-def)
theorem ex-well-order: \exists r. well-ordered-set A r
proof-
 from well-order-on obtain R where R: well-order-on A R by auto
 then have well-order-on A (Restr R A)
   by (simp add: well-order-on-Field[OF R] Restr-Field)
 then show ?thesis by (auto simp: Restr-eq-relation-of well-order-on-relation-of)
qed
```

```
end
theory Directed-Completeness
 imports
   Complete-Non-Orders. Continuity
   Well-Order-Connection
   HOL-Cardinals. Cardinals
   HOL-Library.FuncSet
begin
2.2
       Missing Lemmas
no-notation disj (infixr \langle | \rangle 3\theta)
lemma Sup-funpow-mono:
 fixes f :: 'a :: complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'a
 assumes mono: mono f
 shows mono (\bigsqcup i. f \frown i)
 by (intro monoI, auto intro!: Sup-mono dest: funpow-mono[OF mono])
lemma iso-imp-compat:
 assumes iso: iso r r' f shows compat r r' f
 by (simp add: compat-def iso iso-forward)
lemma iso-inv-into:
  assumes ISO: iso r r' f
 shows iso r' r (inv-into (Field r) f)
 using assms unfolding iso-def
 using bij-betw-inv-into inv-into-Field-embed-bij-betw by blast
lemmas iso-imp-compat-inv-into = iso-imp-compat[OF iso-inv-into]
lemma infinite-iff-natLeq: infinite A \longleftrightarrow natLeq \le o |A|
 using infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq by blast
    As we cannot formalize transfinite sequences directly, we take the fol-
lowing approach: We just use A as the index set, and instead of the ordering
on ordinals, we take the well-order that is chosen by the cardinality library
to denote |A|.
definition well-order-of (\langle ('(\preceq_{-}'))\rangle [\theta]1000) where (\preceq_{A}) x y \equiv (x,y) \in |A|
abbreviation well-order-le (\leftarrow \preceq \rightarrow [51,0,51]50) where x \preceq_A y \equiv (\preceq_A) x y
abbreviation well-order-less (\leftarrow \leftarrow \rightarrow [51,0,51]50) where x \prec_A y \equiv asympartp
(\preceq_A) x y
```

lemmas well-order-ofI = well-order-of-def[unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD2] **lemmas** well-order-ofD = well-order-of-def[unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD1]

```
lemma carrier: assumes x \leq_A y shows x \in A and y \in A
 using assms by (auto dest!: well-order-ofD dest: FieldI1 FieldI2)
lemma relation-of [simp]: relation-of (\preceq_A) A = |A|
 by (auto simp: relation-of-def well-order-of-def dest: FieldI1 FieldI2)
interpretation well-order-of: well-ordered-set A (\leq_A)
 apply (fold well-order-on-relation-of)
 by auto
    Thanks to the well-order theorem, one can have a sequence \{A_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha<|A|}
of subsets of A that satisfies the following three conditions:
   • cardinality: |A_{\alpha}| < |A| for every \alpha < |A|,
   • monotonicity: A_{\alpha} \subseteq A_{\beta} whenever \alpha \leq \beta < |A|, and
   • range: if A is infinite, A = \bigcup_{\alpha < |A|} A_{\alpha}.
The following serves the purpose.
lemma Pre-eq-underS: A \prec a = underS |A| a
 by (auto simp: Pre-def underS-def well-order-ofD carrier well-order-of.antisym
dest!: well-order-ofI)
lemma Pre-card: assumes aA: a \in A shows |A \prec a| < o |A|
 by (auto simp: Pre-eq-underS aA intro!: card-of-underS[OF card-of-Card-order])
lemma Pre-carrier: A \subset a \subseteq A by (auto simp: Pre-def)
lemma Pre-mono: monotone-on A (\leq_A) (\subseteq) (A_{\prec})
 by (auto intro!: monotone-onI simp: Pre-def dest: well-order-of.asym-trans well-order-of.asym.irreft)
lemma extreme-imp-finite:
 assumes e: extreme A (\leq_A) e shows finite A
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume inf: infinite A
 from e have eA: e \in A by auto
 from e have A = \{a \in A. \ a \leq_A e\} by auto
 also have ... -\{e\} = A \prec e
   using eA by (auto simp: Pre-def dest: well-order-of.asympartp-iff-weak-neq)
 finally have AeP: A - \{e\} = \dots
 have infinite (A - \{e\}) using infinite-remove [OF inf].
 with AeP have infP: infinite (A \lt e) by simp
 have A = insert\ e\ (A \prec e) using eA by (fold\ AeP,\ auto)
 also have |...| = o |A_{\prec}| e| using infinite-card-of-insert [OF infP].
 finally have |A \prec e| = o |A| using ordIso-symmetric by auto
 with Pre-card[OF eA] not-ordLess-ordIso
```

```
show False by auto
qed
lemma infinite-imp-ex-Pre:
 assumes inf: infinite A and xA: x \in A shows \exists y \in A. x \in A \prec y
proof-
  from inf
  have \neg extreme A (\preceq_A) x by (auto dest!: extreme-imp-finite)
  with xA obtain y where yA: y \in A and \neg y \leq_A x by auto
  with xA have x \prec_A y by (auto simp: well-order-of.not-weak-iff asympartpI)
  with yA show ?thesis by (auto simp: Pre-def xA)
lemma infinite-imp-Un-Pre: assumes inf: infinite A shows \bigcup (A \prec A) = A
proof (safe)
 fix x assume xA: x \in A
 show y \in A \prec x \Longrightarrow y \in A for y using Pre\text{-}carrier[of\ A\ x] by auto
 from infinite-imp-ex-Pre[OF\ inf\ xA]
 show x \in \bigcup (A_{\prec} A) by (auto simp: Pre-def)
qed
```

3 Iwamura's lemma

As the proof involves a number of (inductive) definitions, we build a locale for collecting those definitions and lemmas.

```
locale Iwamura-proof = related-set + assumes dir: directed-set A (\sqsubseteq) begin
```

Inside this locale, a related set (A, \sqsubseteq) is fixed and assumed to be directed. The proof starts with declaring, using the axiom of choice, a function f that chooses a bound $f \ X \in A$ for every finite subset $X \subseteq A$. This function can be formalized using the SOME construction:

```
definition f where fX \equiv SOME z. z \in A \land bound X (\sqsubseteq) z
```

3.1 Uncountable Case

Actually, the main part of the proof of Iwamura's Lemma is about monotonically expanding an infinite subset (in particular A_{α}) of A into a directed one, without changing the cardinality. To this end, Iwamura's original proof introduces a function $F \colon PowA \to PowA$ that expands a set with upper bounds of all finite subsets. This approach is different from Markowsky's

reproof (based on [12]) which uses nested transfinite induction to extend a set one element after another.

```
definition F where F X \equiv X \cup f ' Fpow X
lemma F-carrier: X \subseteq A \Longrightarrow F X \subseteq A
  and F-infl: X \subseteq F X
 and F-fin: finite X \Longrightarrow finite (F X)
  by (auto simp: F-def Fpow-def f-carrier)
lemma F-card: assumes inf: infinite X shows |F|X| = o|X|
proof-
  have |f \cdot Fpow X| \le o |Fpow X| using card-of-image.
  thm card-of-Fpow-infinite
  also have |Fpow X| = o |X| using card-of-Fpow-infinite [OF inf].
 finally have |f \cdot Fpow X| \leq o |X|.
  with inf show ?thesis by (auto simp: F-def)
qed
lemma F-mono: mono F
proof(intro monoI)
  \mathbf{show}\ X\subseteq Y\Longrightarrow F\ X\subseteq F\ Y\ \mathbf{for}\ X\ Y
    using Fpow-mono[of X Y] by (auto simp: F-def)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma Fn-carrier: X \subseteq A \Longrightarrow (F \curvearrowright n) X \subseteq A
 and Fn-infl: X \subseteq (F \cap n) X
 and Fn-fin: finite X \Longrightarrow finite ((F \frown n) X)
  and Fn-card: infinite X \Longrightarrow |(F \cap n) X| = o |X|
proof (atomize(full), induct n)
  case (Suc \ n)
  define Y where Y \equiv (F^{\hat{}}n) X
  then have *: (F \cap Suc n) X = F Y by auto
  from Suc[folded Y-def]
  have infinite X \Longrightarrow infinite |Y \land |Y| = o |X|
   and finite X \Longrightarrow finite Y
   and X \subseteq Y
   and X \subseteq A \Longrightarrow Y \subseteq A by (auto simp: Y-def)
  with F-carrier[of Y] F-infl[of Y] F-card[of Y] F-fin[of Y]
  show ?case by (unfold *, auto del:subsetI dest:ordIso-transitive)
qed auto
lemma Fn-mono1: i \leq j \Longrightarrow (F \frown i) X \subseteq (F \frown j) X for i j
  using Fn-infl[of(F^{i}) X j-i] funpow-add[of j-i i F]
  by auto
    We take the \omega-iteration of the monotone function F, namely:
definition Flim (\langle F^{\omega} \rangle) where F^{\omega} X \equiv \bigcup i. (F ^{\frown} i) X
lemma Flim-mono: mono F^{\omega}
```

```
proof-
 have F^{\omega} = (\bigsqcup range ((\widehat{\phantom{a}}) F)) by (auto simp: Flim-def)
 with Sup-funpow-mono[OF F-mono]
 show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma Flim-infl: X \subseteq F^{\omega} X
 using Fn-infl by (auto simp: Flim-def)
lemma Flim-carrier: assumes X \subseteq A shows F^{\omega} X \subseteq A
  using Fn-carrier[OF assms] by (auto simp: Flim-def)
lemma Flim-directed: assumes X \subseteq A shows directed-set (F^{\omega} X) \subseteq A
proof (safe intro!: directed-setI)
 fix Y assume YC: Y \subseteq F^{\omega} X and fin Y: finite Y
 from fin Y YC have \exists i. Y \subseteq (F \frown i) X
 proof (induct)
   case empty
   then show ?case by auto
  next
   case (insert y Y)
   then obtain i j where Yi: Y \subseteq (F \cap i) X and y \in (F \cap j) X by (auto
simp: Flim-def)
  with Fn-mono1 [OF max.cobounded1 [of i j], of X] Fn-mono1 [OF max.cobounded2 [of
[j \ i], \ of \ X]
   show ?case by (auto intro!: exI[of - max \ i \ j])
  then obtain i where Yi: Y \subseteq (F ^{\frown} i) X by auto
 with Fn-carrier [OF \ assms] have YA: Y \subseteq A by auto
 from Yi fin Y have f Y \in (F \cap Suc \ i) \ X by (auto simp: F-def Fpow-def)
 then have f Y \in F^{\omega} X by (auto simp: Flim-def simp del: funpow.simps)
 with f-bound [OF YA fin Y]
 show \exists z \in F^{\omega} X. bound Y \subseteq z by auto
lemma Flim-card: assumes infinite X shows |F^{\omega}X| = o|X|
proof-
 from assms have natX: |UNIV:: nat\ set| \le o\ |X| by (simp\ add: infinite-iff-card-of-nat)
 have |F^{\omega} X| \leq o |X|
   apply (unfold Flim-def, rule card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[OF assms natX])
   using Fn-card[OF assms] ordIso-imp-ordLeq
   by auto
 with Flim-infl show |F^{\omega}X| = o|X| by (simp add: ordIso-iff-ordLeq)
qed
lemma Flim-fin: assumes finite X shows |F^{\omega}| X| \leq o \ natLeq
 have |F^{\omega}|X| \leq o |UNIV| :: nat set|
   apply (unfold Flim-def)
```

```
apply (rule card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite)
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\colon\mathit{Fn}\text{-}\mathit{fin}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{assms}]\ \mathit{intro!}\colon\mathit{ordLess}\text{-}\mathit{imp}\text{-}\mathit{ordLeq})
  then show ?thesis using card-of-nat ordLeq-ordIso-trans by auto
lemma mono-uncountable: monotone-on A (\preceq_A) (\subseteq) (F^{\omega} \circ A_{\prec})
  using monotone-on-o[OF Flim-mono Pre-mono]
 by (auto simp: o-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{card}\text{-}\mathit{uncountable}\text{:}
  assumes aA: a \in A and unc: natLeq < o |A|
  shows |F^{\omega}(A_{\prec}a)| < o|A|
proof (cases finite (A \prec a))
  {f case}\ {\it True}
 note Flim-fin[OF this]
 also note unc
  finally show ?thesis
    using unc not-ordLess-ordIso by auto
next
  case False
  note Flim-card[OF this]
 also note Pre-card[OF aA]
  finally show ?thesis using unc not-ordLess-ordIso by auto
qed
{f lemma} in-I-uncountable:
  assumes aA: a \in A and inf: infinite A
 shows \exists a' \in A. \ a \in F^{\omega} \ (A_{\prec} \ a')
  using infinite-imp-ex-Pre[OF inf aA] Flim-infl
  by auto
lemma carrier-uncountable:
 shows F^{\omega} (A_{\prec} a) \subseteq A
 \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{Flim\text{-}carrier}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{Pre\text{-}carrier}]
 by auto
lemma range-uncountable: assumes inf: infinite A shows \bigcup ((F^{\omega} \circ A_{\prec}) \cdot A) =
proof (safe intro!: subset-antisym)
  fix a assume aA: a \in A
  from infinite-imp-ex-Pre[OF inf aA] Flim-infl
 show a \in \bigcup ((F^{\omega} \circ A_{\prec}) `A) by auto
 show x \in (F^{\omega} \circ A_{\prec}) \ a \Longrightarrow x \in A \text{ for } x
    using carrier-uncountable by auto
qed
lemma infl-uncountable:
 assumes aA: a \in A and bA: b \in A and ab: a \prec_A b
 shows a \in F^{\omega} (A_{\prec} b)
```

```
using assms Flim-infl[of A \prec b] by (auto simp: Pre-def)
```

3.2 Countable Case

```
context
 assumes countable: |A| = o natLeq
begin
    The assumption above means that there exists an order-isomorphism
between (\mathbb{N}, \leq) and (A, \leq_A).
definition seq :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where } seq \equiv SOME f. iso natLeq |A| f
lemma seq-iso: iso natLeq |A| seq
 apply (unfold seq-def)
 apply (rule some I-ex[of iso natLeq |A|])
 using countable[THEN ordIso-symmetric]
 apply (unfold ordIso-def) by auto
lemma seq-bij-betw: bij-betw seq UNIV A
 using seq-iso by (auto simp: iso-def Field-natLeq)
    This means that A has been indexed by \mathbb{N}.
lemma range-seg: range seg = A
 using seq-bij-betw bij-betw-imp-surj-on by force
lemma seq-mono: monotone (\leq) (\leq_A) seq
 using iso-imp-compat[OF\ seq-iso]
 by (auto intro!: monotoneI well-order-ofI simp: compat-def natLeq-def)
lemma inv-seq-mono: monotone-on A (\preceq_A) (\leq) (inv seq)
 using iso-imp-compat-inv-into[OF seq-iso]
 unfolding Field-natLeq
 by (auto introl: monotone-on simp: natLeq-def compat-def well-order-of-def)
    We turn the sequence into a sequence of directed subsets of A:
fun Seq :: nat \Rightarrow 'a set  where
 Seq \ \theta = \{f \ \{\}\}\
| Seq (Suc n) = Seq n \cup \{ seq n, f (Seq n \cup \{ seq n \}) \}
lemma seq-n-in-Seq-n: seq n \in Seq (Suc \ n) by auto
lemma Seq-finite: finite (Seq n)
 by (induction n) auto
lemma Seq-card: |Seq \ n| < o \ |A|
 using countable Seq-finite by (simp add: ordIso-natLeq-infinite1)
lemma Seq-carrier: Seq n \subseteq A
```

```
proof(induction \ n)
 case \theta
 show ?case by (auto intro!: f-carrier)
\mathbf{next}
 case (Suc \ n)
 with range-seq have sgA: Seq n \cup \{seq n\} \subseteq A by auto
 from Seq-finite f-carrier [OF \ sgA]
 have f(Seq n \cup \{seq n\}) \in A by auto
  with sgA show ?case by auto
qed
lemma Seq-range: \bigcup (range\ Seq) = A
proof (intro equalityI)
 from Seq-carrier show \bigcup (range\ Seq) \subseteq A by auto
 show A \subseteq \bigcup (range\ Seq)
 proof
   fix a assume aA: a \in A
   with seq-bij-betw obtain n where a = seq n
     by (metis bij-betw-inv-into-right)
   with seq-n-in-Seq-n show a \in \bigcup (range\ Seq) by (auto intro!: exI[of\ -\ Suc\ n])
 qed
qed
lemma Seq-extremed:
 assumes refl: reflexive A \subseteq  shows extremed (Seq n) \subseteq
proof -
 interpret reflexive using refl.
 show ?thesis
 proof(induction n)
   case \theta
   show ?case by (auto intro!: extremedI extremeI f-carrier)
   case (Suc \ n)
   show ?case
   proof (intro extremedI extremeI)
     show f(Seq n \cup \{seq n\}) \in Seq(Suc n) by auto
     fix x assume xssn: x \in Seq (Suc \ n)
     show x \sqsubseteq f (Seq \ n \cup \{seq \ n\})
     \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{cases}\ x \in \mathit{Seq}\ n \cup \{\mathit{seq}\ n\})
       case True
       with f-bound[of Seq n \cup \{seq n\}] range-seq Seq-finite[of n]
         Seq-carrier[of n]
       show ?thesis by (auto simp: bound-def)
     next
       {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
       with xssn have x: x = f (Seq \ n \cup \{seq \ n\}) by auto
       from range-seq Seq-finite[of n] Seq-carrier[of n]
       show ?thesis by (auto simp: x intro!: f-carrier)
     qed
```

```
qed
 qed
qed
lemma Seq-directed: assumes refl: reflexive A (\sqsubseteq) shows directed-set (Seq n) (\sqsubseteq)
 using Seq-extremed[OF refl] by (simp add: directed-set-iff-extremed[OF Seq-finite])
lemma range-countable: \bigcup ((Seq \circ inv \ seq) \ `A) = A
 apply (fold image-comp)
 apply (unfold bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF bij-betw-inv-into[OF seq-bij-betw]])
 using Seq-range.
lemma Seq-mono: mono Seq
proof (intro monoI)
 show n \leq m \Longrightarrow Seq \ n \subseteq Seq \ m \ \textbf{for} \ n \ m \ \textbf{by} \ (induct \ rule:inc-induct, \ auto)
qed
lemma mono-countable: monotone-on A (\leq_A) (\subseteq) (Seq \circ inv seq)
 by (rule monotone-on-o[OF Seq-mono inv-seq-mono]) auto
lemma infl-countable:
 assumes aA: a \in A and bA: b \in A and ab: a \prec_A b
 shows a \in Seq (inv seq b)
proof-
  from aA seq-bij-betw seq-n-in-Seq-n
 have a: a \in Seq (Suc (inv seq a)) by (simp add: bij-betw-inv-into-right)
 from ab have inv seq a < inv seq b
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) aA well-order-of.asympartp-iff-weak-neg bA range-seq
inv\text{-}seq\text{-}mono\ inv\text{-}into\text{-}injective\ not\text{-}le\text{-}imp\text{-}less\ ord.mono\text{-}onD\ verit\text{-}la\text{-}disequality})
 then have Suc\ (inv\ seq\ a) \leq inv\ seq\ b\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
 from a monoD[OF Seq-mono this] have a \in Seq (inv seq b) by auto
 then show ?thesis by auto
qed
end
    To match the types, we use the inverse inv seq of the isomorphism
isaseq. We define the final I as follows:
definition I where I \equiv if |A| = o \text{ natLeq then } Seq \circ inv \text{ seq else } F^{\omega} \circ A_{\prec}
lemma I-carrier: I \ a \subseteq A
 using Seq-carrier carrier-uncountable by (auto simp: I-def)
lemma I-directed: assumes reflexive A \subseteq  shows directed-set (I \ a) \subseteq 
 using Seq-directed[OF - assms] Flim-directed[OF Pre-carrier]
 by (auto simp: I-def)
lemma I-mono: monotone-on A (\leq_A) (\subseteq) I
 by (auto simp: mono-uncountable mono-countable I-def)
```

```
lemma I-card:
    assumes inf: infinite A and aA: a \in A
    shows |I|a| < o|A|
proof (cases |A| = o \ natLeq)
    case True
    with Seq-finite[OF this] show ?thesis by (simp add: I-def inf)
    case F: False
    with inf have natLeq < o |A|
      by (auto simp: infinite-iff-natLeq ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ordIso-symmetric)
    from card-uncountable OF aA this show ?thesis by (auto simp: I-def F)
qed
lemma I-range: assumes inf: infinite A shows \bigcup (I'A) = A
    using range-uncountable [OF inf] range-countable by (auto simp: I-def)
lemma I-infl: assumes a \in A b \in A a \prec_A b shows a \in I b
    using infl-countable infl-uncountable assms by (auto simp: I-def)
end
          Now we close the locale Iwamura-proof and state the final result in the
global scope.
theorem (in reflexive) Iwamura:
    assumes dir: directed-set A \subseteq A and inf: infinite A
    shows \exists I. (\forall a \in A. directed-set (I a) (\sqsubseteq) \land |I a| < o |A|) \land
        monotone-on A (\leq_A) (\subseteq) I \wedge \bigcup (I A) = A
proof-
    interpret Iwamura-proof using dir by unfold-locales
    \mathbf{show}\ ?the sis\ \mathbf{using}\ I\text{-}mono\ I\text{-}card[OF\ inf]\ I\text{-}directed\ I\text{-}range[OF\ inf]}
        by (auto intro!: exI[of - I])
qed
4
              Directed Completeness and Scott-Continuity
abbreviation nonempty A \equiv if A = \{\} then \perp else \top
lemma (in quasi-ordered-set) directed-completeness-lemma:
    fixes leB (infix \langle \leq \rangle 50)
     assumes comp: (nonempty \sqcap well-related-set)-complete A <math>(\sqsubseteq) and dir: di-
rected-set D \subseteq A and DA: D \subseteq A
    shows \exists s. \ extreme\text{-bound} \ A \ (\sqsubseteq) \ D \ s
        and well-related-set-continuous A \subseteq B \subseteq A
                    D \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow extreme\text{-bound } A \subseteq D \ t \Longrightarrow extreme\text{-bound } B \subseteq (f \cap D) \ (f 
t)
proof (atomize(full), insert wf-ordLess dir DA, induct |D| arbitrary: D t rule:
wf-induct-rule)
    interpret less-eq-symmetrize.
```

```
case less
 note this(1)
 note IH = this[THEN\ conjunct1]
   and IH2 = this[THEN\ conjunct2,\ rule-format]
 note DA = \langle D \subset A \rangle
 interpret D: quasi-ordered-set D (\sqsubseteq) using quasi-ordered-subset[OF DA].
 note dir = \langle directed\text{-}set \ D \ (\sqsubseteq) \rangle
 show ?case
 proof(cases finite D)
   case True
   from directed-set-iff-extremed[OF True] dir
    obtain d where dD: d \in D and exd: extreme D (\sqsubseteq) d by (auto simp: ex-
tremed-def)
   then have dd: d \sqsubseteq d by (auto simp: extreme-def)
   show ?thesis
   proof(intro conjI allI impI exI[of - d])
     from extreme-imp-extreme-bound[OF exd DA]
     show exbd: extreme-bound A \subseteq D d by auto
     assume f: well-related-set-continuous A \subseteq B \subseteq B
       and Dt: extreme-bound A \subseteq D and D\theta: D \neq \{\}
     from f[THEN\ continuous\text{-}carrierD] have fAB: f`A \subseteq B by auto
     from Dt have tA: t \in A by auto
     show extreme-bound B (\unlhd) (f \cdot D) (f t)
     proof (safe intro!: extreme-boundI)
       from fAB \ tA show f \ t \in B by auto
       fix x assume xD: x \in D
       from xD Dt have xt: x \sqsubseteq t by auto
       have monotone-on A \subseteq (\subseteq) (\subseteq) f
        by (auto intro!: continuous-imp-monotone-on[OF f] pair-well-related)
       from monotone-onD[OF this] xD DA tA xt
       show f x \leq f t by (auto simp: bound-empty extreme-def)
       fix b assume bound (f 'D) (\trianglelefteq) b and bB: b \in B
       with dD have fdb: f d 	leq b by auto
       from Dt exbd have dt: d \sim t by (auto simp: extreme-bound-iff)
       from dD DA have dA: d \in A by auto
      with extreme-bound-sym-trans[OF - extreme-bound-singleton[OF dA] dt tA]
      have extreme-bound A \subseteq \{d\} t by auto
       from dD DA f[THEN continuousD, OF well-related-singleton-refl - - this]
       have exfdt: extreme-bound B (\leq) {f d} (f t) by auto
       from fdb bB exfdt show f t 	leq b by auto
     qed
   qed
  next
   case inf: False
   from D.Iwamura[OF dir inf]
   obtain I where Imono: monotone-on D (\leq_D) (\subseteq) I
     and Icard: \forall a \in D. |I \ a| < o \ |D|
     and Idir: \forall a \in D. directed\text{-}set (I a) (\sqsubseteq)
```

```
and Irange: \bigcup (I \cdot D) = D
     by auto
   have \forall d \in D. \exists s. extreme-bound A \subseteq (I d) s
   proof safe
     fix d assume dD: d \in D
     with Irange DA have IdA: I d \subseteq A by auto
     with IH Icard Idir dD range DA
     show \exists s. \ extreme-bound \ A \ (\sqsubseteq) \ (I \ d) \ s \ by \ auto
   qed
   from bchoice[OF this]
   obtain s where s: \bigwedge d. d \in D \Longrightarrow extreme-bound A \subseteq I (I d) (s d) by auto
   then have sDA: s 'D \subseteq A by auto
   have smono: monotone-on D (\leq_D) (\sqsubseteq) s
   proof (intro monotone-onI)
     fix x y assume xD: x \in D and yD: y \in D and xy: x \leq_D y
     show s x \sqsubseteq s y
       apply (rule extreme-bound-subset[OF monotone-onD[OF Imono xD yD xy],
of A
       using s \ xD \ yD by auto
   from well-order-of.monotone-image-well-related [OF this]
   have wsD: well-related-set (s 'D) (\sqsubseteq).
   from inf have sD0: nonempty (s \cdot D) \subseteq by auto
   from completeD[OF\ comp\ sDA]\ wsD\ sD0
   obtain x where x: extreme-bound A \subseteq (s \cdot D) x by auto
   show ?thesis
   proof (intro conjI allI impI exI[of - x])
     show Dx: extreme-bound A \subseteq D x
     proof (intro\ smono\ exI[of - x]\ extreme-boundI)
       from x show xA: x \in A by auto
       fix d assume dD: d \in D
       with Irange obtain d' where d'D: d' \in D and d \in I d' by auto
       with s have 1: d \sqsubseteq s \ d' by auto
       from x d'D have 2: \ldots \sqsubseteq x by auto
       from trans[OF 1 2] show d \sqsubseteq x using dD sDA d'D DA xA by auto
       fix b assume bA: b \in A and Db: bound D (\sqsubseteq) b
       have bound (s 'D) (\sqsubseteq) b
       proof safe
         fix d assume dD: d \in D
         from dD Db Irange have bound (I d) (\sqsubseteq) b by auto
         with s \ dD \ bA \ \mathbf{show} \ s \ d \sqsubseteq b \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
       qed
       with x \ bA show x \sqsubseteq b by auto
     ged
     assume f: well-related-set-continuous A \subseteq B \subseteq B
       and Dt: extreme-bound A \subseteq D and D\theta: D \neq \{\}
     from Dt have tA: t \in A by auto
     have fmono: monotone-on A \subseteq (\subseteq) f
```

```
by (auto intro!:continuous-imp-monotone-on[OF f] pair-well-related)
           show extreme-bound B (\unlhd) (f \cdot D) (f t)
           proof (safe intro!: extreme-boundI)
              from f tA show f t \in B by auto
              fix d assume dD: d \in D
              from dD Dt have dt: d \sqsubseteq t by auto
             from dD Dt DA show f d 	ext{ } 	
              fix b assume fDb: bound (f 'D) (\trianglelefteq) b and bB: b \in B
              from Dx Dt have x \sim t by (auto intro!: sympartpI elim!: extreme-boundE)
              with extreme-bound-sym-trans[OF sDA x this tA]
              have extreme-bound A \subseteq (s \cdot D) t by auto
              from f[THEN\ continuousD,\ OF\ wsD\ -\ sDA\ this]\ D0
              have bound (f \cdot s \cdot D) (\unlhd) b
              proof (safe)
                  fix d assume dD: d \in D
                  from Irange\ dD have IdD: I\ d\subseteq D by auto
                  with DA have IdA: Id \subseteq A by auto
                  from directed-setD[OF Idir[rule-format, OF dD], of {}]
                  have Idne: I d \neq \{\} by auto
                  have fsd: extreme-bound B (\unlhd) (f 'I d) (f (s d))
                     apply (rule IH2[OF - IdA \ f \ Idne \ s[OF \ dD]])
                      using Icard Idir dD by auto
                  from IdD have f ' I d \subseteq f ' D by auto
                  from bound-subset[OF this fDb] fsd bB
                  show f(s d) \leq b by auto
              ged
              with ft \ bB show f \ t \le b by auto
           qed
       qed
   qed
\mathbf{qed}
        The next Theorem corresponds to Proposition 5.9 of [4], without anti-
symmetry on A.
theorem (in quasi-ordered-set) well-complete-iff-directed-complete:
   (nonempty \sqcap well-related-set)-complete \ A \ (\sqsubseteq) \longleftrightarrow directed-set-complete \ A \ (\sqsubseteq)
(is ?l \leftrightarrow ?r)
proof (intro iffI)
   show ?l \Longrightarrow ?r
       by (auto intro!: completeI dest!: directed-completeness-lemma(1))
   assume r: ?r
   show ?l
       apply (rule complete-subclass [OF r])
       \mathbf{using}\ well-related\text{-}set.directed\text{-}set
       by auto
qed
```

sumptions on the codomain B and without antisymmetry on the domain A.

```
theorem (in quasi-ordered-set)
 fixes leB (infix \langle \trianglelefteq \rangle 50)
 assumes comp: (nonempty \sqcap well-related-set)-complete A (\sqsubseteq)
  shows well-related-set-continuous A \subseteq B \subseteq f \iff directed\text{-set-continuous}
A \subseteq B \subseteq f
   (is ?l \leftrightarrow ?r)
proof (intro iffI)
 assume l: ?l
 show ?r
   using continuous-carrierD[OF l]
   using directed-completeness-lemma(2)[OF comp - - l]
   by (auto intro!: continuousI)
next
 assume r: ?r
 show ?l
   apply (rule\ continuous-subclass[OF-r])
   using well-related-set.directed-set by auto
end
```

References

- [1] S. Abramsky and A. Jung. *Domain Theory*. Number III in Handbook of Logic in Computer Science. Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [2] G. Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989.
- [3] J. C. Blanchette, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. Cardinals in Isabelle/HOL. In G. Klein and R. Gamboa, editors, Interactive Theorem Proving 5th International Conference, ITP 2014, Held as Part of the Vienna Summer of Logic, VSL 2014, Vienna, Austria, July 14-17, 2014. Proceedings, volume 8558 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 111–127. Springer, 2014.
- [4] P. M. Cohn. Universal Algebra. Harper & Row, 1965.
- [5] J. Dubut and A. Yamada. Fixed point theorems for non-transitive relations. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.*, 18(1), 2022.
- [6] A. Finkel and J. Goubault-Larrecq. Forward Analysis for WSTS, Part I: Completions. In S. Albers and J.-Y. Marion, editors, 26th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science, volume 3 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs),

- pages 433–444, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2009. Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.
- [7] J. Goubault-Larrecq. Non-Hausdorff Topology and Domain Theory: Selected Topics in Point-Set Topology, volume 22 of New Mathematical Monographs. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [8] T. Iwamura. A lemma on directed sets. In Zenkoku Shijo Sugaku Danwakai, number 262, pages 107–111, 1944. in Japanese.
- [9] G. Markowsky. Chain-complete posets and directed sets with applications. *Algebra Universalis*, 6:53–68, 1976.
- [10] L. C. Paulson and K. Grabczewski. Mechanizing set theory. J. Autom. Reason., 17(3):291–323, 1996.
- [11] D. Scott. Outline of a Mathematical Theory of Computation. Technical Report PRG02, OUCL, 1970.
- [12] L. A. Skorniakov. Complemented modular lattices and regular rings. Oliver & Boyd, 1964.
- [13] G. Winskel. The Formal Semantics of Programming Languages: An Introduction. Foundations of Computing. The MIT Press, 1993.
- [14] A. Yamada and J. Dubut. Formalizing Results on Directed Sets in Isabelle/HOL. In *Proceedings of the fourteenth conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP'23)*, 2023.