

Differential-Game-Logic

André Platzer

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This formalization provides differential game logic ($d\text{GL}$), a logic for proving properties of hybrid game. In addition to the syntax and semantics, it formalizes a uniform substitution calculus for $d\text{GL}$. Church's uniform substitutions substitute a term or formula for a function or predicate symbol everywhere. The uniform substitutions for $d\text{GL}$ also substitute hybrid games for a game symbol everywhere. We prove soundness of one-pass uniform substitutions and the axioms of differential game logic with respect to their denotational semantics. One-pass uniform substitutions are faster by postponing soundness-critical admissibility checks with a linear pass homomorphic application and regain soundness by a variable condition at the replacements.

The formalization is based on prior non-mechanized soundness proofs for $d\text{GL}$ [1, 2, 4, 1, 3]. This AFP entry formalizes the mathematical proofs [4, 5] till Theorem 19.

Contents

1	Generic Mathematical Background Lemmas	3
1.1	Identifier Namespace Configuration	4
2	Syntax	4
2.1	Terms	4
2.2	Formulas and Hybrid Games	5
2.3	Structural Induction	6
3	Denotational Semantics	7
3.1	States	7
3.2	Interpretations	9
3.3	Semantics	11
3.4	Monotone Semantics	12
3.5	Fixpoint Semantics Alternative for Loops	13
3.6	Some Simple Obvious Observations	13

4	Static Semantics	16
4.1	Semantically-defined Static Semantics	16
4.2	Simple Observations	16
5	Static Semantics Properties	17
5.1	Auxiliaries	17
5.2	Coincidence Lemmas	20
5.3	Bound Effect Lemmas	22
5.4	Static Analysis Observations	23
6	Uniform Substitution	24
6.1	Strict Mechanism for Handling Substitution Partiality in Isabelle	25
6.2	Recursive Application of One-Pass Uniform Substitution	28
7	Soundness of Uniform Substitution	32
7.1	USubst Application is a Function of Deterministic Result	32
7.2	Uniform Substitutions are Antimonotone in Taboos	33
7.3	Taboo Lemmas	33
7.4	Substitution Adjoints	34
7.5	Uniform Substitution for Terms	35
7.6	Uniform Substitution for Formulas and Games	35
7.7	Soundness of Uniform Substitution of Formulas	37
7.8	Soundness of Uniform Substitution of Rules	37
8	Axioms and Axiomatic Proof Rules of Differential Game Logic	39
8.1	Axioms	39
8.2	Axiomatic Rules	40
8.3	Soundness / Validity Proofs for Axioms	40
8.4	Local Soundness Proofs for Axiomatic Rules	41
9	dGL Formalization	41

This formalization provides *Differential Game Logic* dGL [5, 4] till Theorem 19, including the corresponding results from [2] till Lemma 13. Differential Game Logic originates from [1].

```
theory Lib
imports
  Complex-Main
begin
```

1 Generic Mathematical Background Lemmas

```
lemma finite-subset [simp]: finite M ==> finite {x ∈ M. P x}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma finite-powerset [simp]: finite M ==> finite {S. S ⊆ M}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition fst-proj:: ('a*'b) set => 'a set
  where fst-proj M ≡ {A. ∃ B. (A,B) ∈ M}
```

```
definition snd-proj:: ('a*'b) set => 'b set
  where snd-proj M ≡ {B. ∃ A. (A,B) ∈ M}
```

```
lemma fst-proj-mem [simp]: (A ∈ fst-proj M) = (∃ B. (A,B) ∈ M)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma snd-proj-mem [simp]: (B ∈ snd-proj M) = (∃ A. (A,B) ∈ M)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fst-proj-prop: ∀ x ∈ fst-proj {(A,B) | A B. P A ∧ R A B}. P(x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma snd-proj-prop: ∀ x ∈ snd-proj {(A,B) | A B. P B ∧ R A B}. P(x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma map-cons: map f (Cons x xs) = Cons (f x) (map f xs)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma map-append: map f (append xs ys) = append (map f xs) (map f ys)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Lockstep induction schema for two simultaneous least fixpoints. If the successor step and supremum step of two least fixpoint inflations preserve a relation, then that relation holds of the two respective least fixpoints.

```
lemma lfp-lockstep-induct [case-names monof monog step union]:
  fixes f :: 'a::complete-lattice => 'a
  and g :: 'b::complete-lattice => 'b
  assumes monof: mono f
```

```

and monog: mono g
and R-step:  $\bigwedge A B. A \leq lfp(f) \implies B \leq lfp(g) \implies R A B \implies R(f(A)) (g(B))$ 
and R-Union:  $\bigwedge M::('a*'b) set. (\forall (A,B)\in M. R A B) \implies R(Sup(fst-proj M))$ 
 $(Sup(snd-proj M))$ 
shows R (lfp f) (lfp g)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sup-eq-all:  $(\bigwedge A. (A \in M \implies f(A) = g(A)))$ 
 $\implies Sup\{f(A) \mid A. A \in M\} = Sup\{g(A) \mid A. A \in M\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sup-corr-eq-chain:  $\bigwedge M::('a::complete-lattice*'a) set. (\forall (A,B)\in M. f(A) = g(B))$ 
 $\implies (Sup\{f(A) \mid A. A \in fst-proj M\} = Sup\{g(B) \mid B. B \in snd-proj M\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end
theory Identifiers
imports Complex-Main
begin

```

1.1 Identifier Namespace Configuration

Different configurations are possible for the namespace of identifiers. Finite support is the only important aspect of it.

```
type-synonym ident = char
```

The identifier used for the replacement marker in uniform substitutions

```
abbreviation dotid:: ident
where dotid ≡ CHR '.'"
```

```

end
theory Syntax
imports
Complex-Main
Identifiers
begin

```

2 Syntax

Defines the syntax of Differential Game Logic as inductively defined data types. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2817824> https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

2.1 Terms

Numeric literals

```

type-synonym lit = real
the set of all real variables

abbreviation allidents:: ident set
where allidents ≡ {x | x. True}

Variables and differential variables

datatype variable =
  RVar ident
  | DVar ident

datatype trm =
  Var variable
  | Number lit
  | Const ident
  | Func ident trm
  | Plus trm trm
  | Times trm trm
  | Differential trm

```

2.2 Formulas and Hybrid Games

```

datatype fml =
  Pred ident trm
  | Geq trm trm
  | Not fml          (⟨!⟩)
  | And fml fml     (infixr ⟨&&⟩ 8)
  | Exists variable fml
  | Diamond game fml    (⟨⟨⟨ - ⟩ -⟩⟩ 20)
and game =
  Game ident
  | Assign variable trm   (infixr ⟨:=⟩ 20)
  | Test fml             (⟨?⟩)
  | Choice game game     (infixr ⟨UU⟩ 10)
  | Compose game game    (infixr ⟨;;⟩ 8)
  | Loop game            (⟨-**⟩)
  | Dual game            (⟨-^d⟩)
  | ODE ident trm

```

Derived operators **definition** Neg ::trm ⇒ trm
where Neg θ = Times (Number (-1)) θ

definition Minus ::trm ⇒ trm ⇒ trm
where Minus θ η = Plus θ (Neg η)

definition Or :: fml ⇒ fml ⇒ fml (**infixr** ⟨||⟩ 7)
where Or P Q = Not (And (Not P) (Not Q))

definition Implies :: fml ⇒ fml ⇒ fml (**infixr** ⟨→⟩ 10)

where *Implies P Q = Or Q (Not P)*

definition *Equiv :: fml \Rightarrow fml \Rightarrow fml (infixr \leftrightarrow 10)*
where *Equiv P Q = Or (And P Q) (And (Not P) (Not Q))*

definition *Forall :: variable \Rightarrow fml \Rightarrow fml*
where *Forall x P = Not (Exists x (Not P))*

definition *Equals :: trm \Rightarrow trm \Rightarrow fml*
where *Equals $\vartheta \vartheta' = ((Geq \vartheta \vartheta') \&& (Geq \vartheta' \vartheta))$*

definition *Greater :: trm \Rightarrow trm \Rightarrow fml*
where *Greater $\vartheta \vartheta' = ((Geq \vartheta \vartheta') \&& (Not (Geq \vartheta' \vartheta)))$*

Justification: determinacy theorem justifies this equivalent syntactic abbreviation for box modalities from diamond modalities Theorem 3.1 <https://doi.org/10.1145/2817824>

definition *Box :: game \Rightarrow fml \Rightarrow fml ($\langle\langle[\cdot]\rangle\rangle$ 20)*
where *Box $\alpha P = Not (Diamond \alpha (Not P))$*

definition *TT :: fml*
where *TT = Geq (Number 0) (Number 0)*

definition *FF :: fml*
where *FF = Geq (Number 0) (Number 1)*

definition *Skip :: game*
where *Skip = Test TT*

Inference: premises, then conclusion

type-synonym *inference = fml list * fml*

type-synonym *sequent = fml list * fml list*

Rule: premises, then conclusion

type-synonym *rule = sequent list * sequent*

2.3 Structural Induction

Induction principles for hybrid games owing to their mutually recursive definition with formulas

lemma *game-induct [case-names Game Assign ODE Test Choice Compose Loop Dual]:*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bigwedge a. P (Game a)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge x \vartheta. P (Assign x \vartheta)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge x \vartheta. P (ODE x \vartheta)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge \varphi. P (? \varphi)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge \alpha \beta. P \alpha \implies P \beta \implies P (\alpha \cup \beta)) \end{aligned}$$

```

 $\Rightarrow (\wedge \alpha \beta. P \alpha \Rightarrow P \beta \Rightarrow P (\alpha ;; \beta))$ 
 $\Rightarrow (\wedge \alpha. P \alpha \Rightarrow P (\alpha^{**}))$ 
 $\Rightarrow (\wedge \alpha. P \alpha \Rightarrow P (\alpha \wedge d))$ 
 $\Rightarrow P \alpha$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fml-induct [case-names Pred Geq Not And Exists Diamond]:
 $(\wedge x \vartheta. P (Pred x \vartheta))$ 
 $\Rightarrow (\wedge \vartheta \eta. P (Geq \vartheta \eta))$ 
 $\Rightarrow (\wedge \varphi. P \varphi \Rightarrow P (Not \varphi))$ 
 $\Rightarrow (\wedge \varphi \psi. P \varphi \Rightarrow P \psi \Rightarrow P (And \varphi \psi))$ 
 $\Rightarrow (\wedge x \varphi. P \varphi \Rightarrow P (Exists x \varphi))$ 
 $\Rightarrow (\wedge \alpha \varphi. P \varphi \Rightarrow P (Diamond \alpha \varphi))$ 
 $\Rightarrow P \varphi$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

the set of all variables

```

abbreviation allvars:: variable set
where allvars ≡ {x::variable. True}

```

```

end
theory Denotational-Semantics
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Derivative
  Syntax
begin

```

3 Denotational Semantics

Defines the denotational semantics of Differential Game Logic. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2817824> https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

3.1 States

Vector of reals over ident

```

type-synonym Rvec = variable ⇒ real
type-synonym state = Rvec

```

the set of all worlds

```

definition worlds:: state set
where worlds = {ν. True}

```

the set of all variables

```

abbreviation allvars:: variable set
where allvars ≡ {x::variable. True}

```

the set of all real variables

abbreviation *allrvars*:: *variable set*
where *allrvars* $\equiv \{RVar x \mid x. \text{True}\}$

the set of all differential variables

abbreviation *alldvars*:: *variable set*
where *alldvars* $\equiv \{DVar x \mid x. \text{True}\}$

lemma *ident-finite*: $\text{finite}(\{x::\text{ident}. \text{True}\})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *allvar-cases*: $\text{allvars} = \text{allrvars} \cup \text{alldvars}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *rvar-finite*: finite allrvars
⟨proof⟩

lemma *dvar-finite*: finite alldvars
⟨proof⟩

lemma *allvars-finite [simp]*: $\text{finite}(\text{allvars})$
⟨proof⟩

definition *Vagree* :: *state* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *variable set* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *Vagree* $\nu \nu' V \equiv (\forall i. i \in V \longrightarrow \nu(i) = \nu'(i))$

definition *Uvariation* :: *state* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *variable set* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *Uvariation* $\nu \nu' U \equiv (\forall i. \sim(i \in U) \longrightarrow \nu(i) = \nu'(i))$

lemma *Uvariation-Vagree [simp]*: $\text{Uvariation } \nu \nu' (-V) = \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' V$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Vagree-refl [simp]*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \nu V$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Vagree-sym*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \nu' V = \text{Vagree } \nu' \nu V$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Vagree-sym-rel [sym]*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \nu' V \implies \text{Vagree } \nu' \nu V$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Vagree-union [trans]*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \nu' V \implies \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' W \implies \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' (V \cup W)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Vagree-trans [trans]*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \nu' V \implies \text{Vagree } \nu' \nu'' W \implies \text{Vagree } \nu \nu'' (V \cap W)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vagree-antimon* [simp]: $V\text{agree } \nu \nu' V \wedge W \subseteq V \longrightarrow V\text{agree } \nu \nu' W$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vagree-empty* [simp]: $V\text{agree } \nu \nu' \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Uvariation-empty* [simp]: $U\text{variation } \nu \nu' \{\} = (\nu = \nu')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vagree-univ* [simp]: $V\text{agree } \nu \nu' \text{ allvars} = (\nu = \nu')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Uvariation-univ* [simp]: $U\text{variation } \nu \nu' \text{ allvars}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vagree-and* [simp]: $V\text{agree } \nu \nu' V \wedge V\text{agree } \nu \nu' W \longleftrightarrow V\text{agree } \nu \nu'$
 $(V \cup W)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vagree-or*: $V\text{agree } \nu \nu' V \vee V\text{agree } \nu \nu' W \longrightarrow V\text{agree } \nu \nu' (V \cap W)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Uvariation-refl* [simp]: $U\text{variation } \nu \nu V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Uvariation-sym*: $U\text{variation } \omega \nu U = U\text{variation } \nu \omega U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Uvariation-sym-rel* [sym]: $U\text{variation } \omega \nu U \implies U\text{variation } \nu \omega U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Uvariation-trans* [trans]: $U\text{variation } \omega \nu U \implies U\text{variation } \nu \mu V \implies$
 $U\text{variation } \omega \mu (U \cup V)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Uvariation-mon* [simp]: $V \supseteq U \implies U\text{variation } \omega \nu U \implies U\text{variation } \omega$
 νV
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 Interpretations

lemma *mon-mono*: $\text{mono } r = ((\forall X Y. (X \subseteq Y \longrightarrow r(X) \subseteq r(Y))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretations of symbols in ident

type-synonym *interp-rep* =
 $(\text{ident} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \times (\text{ident} \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})) \times (\text{ident} \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{bool})) \times (\text{ident} \Rightarrow$

```

(state set ⇒ state set))

definition is-interp :: interp-rep ⇒ bool
where is-interp I ≡ case I of (‐, ‐, ‐, G) ⇒ (forall a. mono (G a))

typedef interp = {I::interp-rep. is-interp I}
morphisms raw-interp well-interp
⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-interp

lift-definition Consts::interp ⇒ ident ⇒ (real) is λ(F0, ‐, ‐, ‐). F0 ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition Funcs:: interp ⇒ ident ⇒ (real ⇒ real) is λ(‐, F, ‐, ‐). F ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition Preds:: interp ⇒ ident ⇒ (real ⇒ bool) is λ(‐, ‐, P, ‐). P ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition Games:: interp ⇒ ident ⇒ (state set ⇒ state set) is λ(‐, ‐, ‐, G).
G ⟨proof⟩

make interpretations

lift-definition mkinterp:: (ident ⇒ real) × (ident ⇒ (real ⇒ real)) × (ident ⇒
(real ⇒ bool)) × (ident ⇒ (state set ⇒ state set))
⇒ interp
is λ(C, F, P, G). if ∀ a. mono (G a) then (C, F, P, G) else (C, F, P, λ‐. {}). ⟨proof⟩

lemma Consts-mkinterp [simp]: Consts (mkinterp(C,F,P,G)) = C
⟨proof⟩

lemma Funcs-mkinterp [simp]: Funcs (mkinterp(C,F,P,G)) = F
⟨proof⟩

lemma Preds-mkinterp [simp]: Preds (mkinterp(C,F,P,G)) = P
⟨proof⟩

lemma Games-mkinterp [simp]: (forall a. mono (G a)) ⇒ Games (mkinterp(C,F,P,G))
= G
⟨proof⟩

lemma mkinterp-eq [iff]: (Consts I = Consts J ∧ Funcs I = Funcs J ∧ Preds I
= Preds J ∧ Games I = Games J) = (I = J)
⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]: X ⊆ Y ⇒ (Games I a)(X) ⊆ (Games I a)(Y)
⟨proof⟩

lifting-update interp.lifting
lifting-forget interp.lifting

```

3.3 Semantics

Semantic modification $repv \omega x r$ replaces the value of variable x in the state ω with r

definition $repv :: state \Rightarrow variable \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow state$
where $repv \omega x r = fun-upd \omega x r$

lemma $repv\text{-def-correct}$: $repv \omega x r = (\lambda y. if x = y then r else \omega(y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $repv\text{-access}$ [simp]: $(repv \omega x r)(y) = (if (x=y) then r else \omega(y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $repv\text{-self}$ [simp]: $repv \omega x (\omega(x)) = \omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vagree\text{-}repv$: $Vagree \omega (repv \omega x d) (-\{x\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vagree\text{-}repv\text{-self}$: $Vagree \omega (repv \omega x d) \{x\} = (d = \omega(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Uvariation\text{-}repv$: $Uvariation \omega (repv \omega x d) \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Semantics of Terms $fun term-sem :: interp \Rightarrow trm \Rightarrow (state \Rightarrow real)$
where

$term-sem I (Var x) = (\lambda \omega. \omega(x))$
 $| term-sem I (Number r) = (\lambda \omega. r)$
 $| term-sem I (Const f) = (\lambda \omega. (Consts I f))$
 $| term-sem I (Func f \vartheta) = (\lambda \omega. (Funcs I f)(term-sem I \vartheta \omega))$
 $| term-sem I (Plus \vartheta \eta) = (\lambda \omega. term-sem I \vartheta \omega + term-sem I \eta \omega)$
 $| term-sem I (Times \vartheta \eta) = (\lambda \omega. term-sem I \vartheta \omega * term-sem I \eta \omega)$
 $| term-sem I (Differential \vartheta) = (\lambda \omega. sum(\lambda x. \omega(DVar x) * deriv(\lambda X. term-sem I \vartheta (repv \omega (RVar x) X))(\omega(RVar x))))(allidents))$

Solutions of Differential Equations $type-synonym solution = real \Rightarrow state$

definition $solves\text{-}ODE :: interp \Rightarrow solution \Rightarrow ident \Rightarrow trm \Rightarrow bool$
where $solves\text{-}ODE I F x \vartheta \equiv (\forall \zeta :: real.$

$Vagree (F(0)) (F(\zeta)) (-\{RVar x, DVar x\})$
 $\wedge F(\zeta)(DVar x) = deriv(\lambda t. F(t)(RVar x))(\zeta)$
 $\wedge F(\zeta)(DVar x) = term-sem I \vartheta (F(\zeta)))$

Semantics of Formulas and Games $fun fml-sem :: interp \Rightarrow fml \Rightarrow (state set) \text{ and }$
 $game-sem :: interp \Rightarrow game \Rightarrow (state set \Rightarrow state set)$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Pred } p \vartheta) = \{\omega. (\text{Preds } I p)(\text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega)\} \\
 | \quad & fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Geq } \vartheta \eta) = \{\omega. \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega \geq \text{term-sem } I \eta \omega\} \\
 | \quad & fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Not } \varphi) = \{\omega. \omega \notin fml\text{-sem } I \varphi\} \\
 | \quad & fml\text{-sem } I (\text{And } \varphi \psi) = fml\text{-sem } I \varphi \cap fml\text{-sem } I \psi \\
 | \quad & fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Exists } x \varphi) = \{\omega. \exists r. (\text{repv } \omega x r) \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi\} \\
 | \quad & fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi) = \text{game-sem } I \alpha (fml\text{-sem } I \varphi) \\
 \\
 | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Game } a) = (\lambda X. (\text{Games } I a)(X)) \\
 | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Assign } x \vartheta) = (\lambda X. \{\omega. (\text{repv } \omega x (\text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega)) \in X\}) \\
 | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Test } \varphi) = (\lambda X. fml\text{-sem } I \varphi \cap X) \\
 | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Choice } \alpha \beta) = (\lambda X. \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \cup \text{game-sem } I \beta X) \\
 | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Compose } \alpha \beta) = (\lambda X. \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{game-sem } I \beta X)) \\
 | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\lambda X. \bigcap \{Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z \subseteq Z\}) \\
 | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Dual } \alpha) = (\lambda X. \neg(\text{game-sem } I \alpha (\neg X))) \\
 | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{ODE } x \vartheta) = (\lambda X. \{\omega. \exists F T. \text{Vagree } \omega (F(0)) (\neg\{DVar x\}) \wedge F(T) \\
 & \in X \wedge \text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta\})
 \end{aligned}$$

Validity

definition *valid-in* :: *interp* \Rightarrow *fml* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *valid-in* *I* φ \equiv $(\forall \omega. \omega \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi)$

definition *valid* :: *fml* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *valid* φ \equiv $(\forall I. \forall \omega. \omega \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi)$

lemma *valid-is-valid-in-all*: *valid* φ $=$ $(\forall I. \text{valid-in } I \varphi)$
⟨proof⟩

definition *locally-sound* :: *inference* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *locally-sound* *R* \equiv
 $(\forall I. (\forall k. 0 \leq k \longrightarrow k < \text{length } (\text{fst } R) \longrightarrow \text{valid-in } I (\text{nth } (\text{fst } R) k)) \longrightarrow \text{valid-in } I (\text{snd } R))$

definition *sound* :: *inference* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *sound* *R* \equiv
 $(\forall k. 0 \leq k \longrightarrow k < \text{length } (\text{fst } R) \longrightarrow \text{valid } (\text{nth } (\text{fst } R) k) \longrightarrow \text{valid } (\text{snd } R))$

lemma *locally-sound-is-sound*: *locally-sound* *R* \implies *sound* *R*
⟨proof⟩

3.4 Monotone Semantics

lemma *monotone-Test [simp]*: $X \subseteq Y \implies \text{game-sem } I (\text{Test } \varphi) X \subseteq \text{game-sem } I (\text{Test } \varphi) Y$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *monotone [simp]*: $X \subseteq Y \implies \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \subseteq \text{game-sem } I \alpha Y$
⟨proof⟩

corollary *game-sem-mono* [*simp*]: $\text{mono } (\lambda X. \text{game-sem } I \alpha X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *game-union*: $\text{game-sem } I \alpha (X \cup Y) \supseteq \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *game-sem-union* = *game-union*

3.5 Fixpoint Semantics Alternative for Loops

lemma *game-sem-loop-fixpoint-mono*: $\text{mono } (\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Consequence of Knaster-Tarski Theorem 3.5 of <https://doi.org/10.1145/2817824>

lemma *game-sem-loop*: $\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\lambda X. \text{lfp}(\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *game-sem-loop-back*: $(\lambda X. \text{lfp}(\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z)) = \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *game-sem-loop-iterate*: $\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\lambda X. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *game-sem-loop-unwind*: $\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\lambda X. X \cup \text{game-sem } I (\text{Compose } \alpha (\text{Loop } \alpha) X))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *game-sem-loop-unwind-reduce*: $(\lambda X. X \cup \text{game-sem } I (\text{Compose } \alpha (\text{Loop } \alpha) X)) = \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *lfp-ordinal-induct-set-cases* = *lfp-ordinal-induct-set* [*case-names mono step union*]

lemma *game-loop-induct* [*case-names step union*]:
 $(\wedge Z. Z \subseteq \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X \implies P(Z) \implies P(X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z))$
 $\implies (\wedge M. (\forall Z \in M. P(Z)) \implies P(\text{Sup } M))$
 $\implies P(\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.6 Some Simple Obvious Observations

lemma *fml-sem-not* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I (\text{Not } \varphi) = -\text{fml-sem } I \varphi$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fml-sem-not-not* [simp]: $fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Not} (\text{Not } \varphi)) = fml\text{-sem } I \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fml-sem-or* [simp]: $fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Or } \varphi \psi) = fml\text{-sem } I \varphi \cup fml\text{-sem } I \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fml-sem-implies* [simp]: $fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Implies } \varphi \psi) = (-fml\text{-sem } I \varphi) \cup fml\text{-sem } I \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *TT-valid* [simp]: $valid \text{ TT}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Semantic equivalence of formulas **definition** *fml-equiv*: $fml \Rightarrow fml \Rightarrow bool$
where $fml\text{-equiv } \varphi \psi \equiv (\forall I. fml\text{-sem } I \varphi = fml\text{-sem } I \psi)$

Substitutionality for Equivalent Formulas

lemma *fml-equiv-subst*: $fml\text{-equiv } \varphi \psi \implies P(fml\text{-sem } I \varphi) \implies P(fml\text{-sem } I \psi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-fml-equiv*: $valid(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) = fml\text{-equiv } \varphi \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-in-equiv*: $valid\text{-in } I (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) = ((fml\text{-sem } I \varphi) = (fml\text{-sem } I \psi))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-in-impl*: $valid\text{-in } I (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) = ((fml\text{-sem } I \varphi) \subseteq (fml\text{-sem } I \psi))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-equiv*: $valid(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) = (\forall I. fml\text{-sem } I \varphi = fml\text{-sem } I \psi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-impl*: $valid(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) = (\forall I. (fml\text{-sem } I \varphi) \subseteq (fml\text{-sem } I \psi))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fml-sem-equals* [simp]: $(\omega \in fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Equals } \vartheta \eta)) = (term\text{-sem } I \vartheta \omega = term\text{-sem } I \eta \omega)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equiv-refl-valid* [simp]: $valid(\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equal-refl-valid* [simp]: $valid(\text{Equals } \vartheta \vartheta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *solves-ODE-alt* : $solves\text{-ODE } I F x \vartheta \equiv (\forall \zeta :: real.$

```

Vagree (F(0)) (F( $\zeta$ )) ( $\neg\{RVar\ x, DVar\ x\}$ )
 $\wedge F(\zeta)(DVar\ x) = deriv(\lambda t. F(t)(RVar\ x))(\zeta)$ 
 $\wedge F(\zeta) \in fml-sem\ I\ (Equals\ (Var\ (DVar\ x))\ \vartheta))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Semantic equivalence of games definition game-equiv:: game => game
=> bool
where game-equiv α β ≡ ($\forall I X.$ game-sem I α X = game-sem I β X)

Substitutionality for Equivalent Games

lemma game-equiv-subst: game-equiv α β => P (game-sem I α X) => P (game-sem I β X)
⟨proof⟩

lemma game-equiv-subst-eq: game-equiv α β => P (game-sem I α X) == P (game-sem I β X)
⟨proof⟩

lemma skip-id [simp]: game-sem I Skip X = X
⟨proof⟩

lemma loop-iterate-equiv: game-equiv (Loop α) (Choice Skip (Compose α (Loop α)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma fml-equiv-valid: fml-equiv φ ψ => valid φ = valid ψ
⟨proof⟩

lemma solves-Vagree: solves-ODE I F x ϑ => ($\bigwedge \zeta.$ Vagree (F(ζ)) (F(0)) ($\neg\{RVar\ x, DVar\ x\}$))
⟨proof⟩

lemma solves-Vagree-trans: Uvariation (F(0)) ω U => solves-ODE I F x ϑ =>
Uvariation (F(ζ)) ω ($U \cup \{RVar\ x, DVar\ x\}$)
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Static-Semantics
imports
 Syntax
 Denotational-Semantics
begin

4 Static Semantics

4.1 Semantically-defined Static Semantics

Auxiliary notions of projection of winning conditions upward projection: $\text{restrictto } X V$ is extends X to the states that agree on V with some state in X , so variables outside V can assume arbitrary values.

definition $\text{restrictto} :: \text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{state set}$

where

$$\text{restrictto } X V = \{\nu. \exists \omega. \omega \in X \wedge \text{Vagree } \omega \nu V\}$$

downward projection: $\text{selectlike } X \nu V$ selects state ν on V in X , so all variables of V are required to remain constant

definition $\text{selectlike} :: \text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{state set}$

where

$$\text{selectlike } X \nu V = \{\omega \in X. \text{Vagree } \omega \nu V\}$$

Free variables, semantically characterized. Free variables of a term

definition $FVT :: \text{term} \Rightarrow \text{variable set}$

where

$$FVT t = \{x. \exists I. \exists \nu. \exists \omega. \text{Vagree } \nu \omega (-\{x\}) \wedge \neg(\text{term-sem } I t \nu = \text{term-sem } I t \omega)\}$$

Free variables of a formula

definition $FVF :: \text{fml} \Rightarrow \text{variable set}$

where

$$FVF \varphi = \{x. \exists I. \exists \nu. \exists \omega. \text{Vagree } \nu \omega (-\{x\}) \wedge \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \wedge \omega \notin \text{fml-sem } I \varphi\}$$

Free variables of a hybrid game

definition $FVG :: \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{variable set}$

where

$$FVG \alpha = \{x. \exists I. \exists \nu. \exists \omega. \exists X. \text{Vagree } \nu \omega (-\{x\}) \wedge \nu \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X (-\{x\})) \wedge \omega \notin \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X (-\{x\}))\}$$

Bound variables, semantically characterized. Bound variables of a hybrid game

definition $BVG :: \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{variable set}$

where

$$BVG \alpha = \{x. \exists I. \exists \omega. \exists X. \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \wedge \omega \notin \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\})\}$$

4.2 Simple Observations

lemma $BVG\text{-elem} [\text{simp}] : (x \in BVG \alpha) = (\exists I \omega X. \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \wedge \omega \notin \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\}))$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma nonBVG-rule: ( $\bigwedge I \omega X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\}))$ )
 $\implies x \notin \text{BVG } \alpha$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma nonBVG-inc-rule: ( $\bigwedge I \omega X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) \implies (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\}))$ )
 $\implies x \notin \text{BVG } \alpha$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma FVT-finite: finite(FVT t)
⟨proof⟩
lemma FVF-finite: finite(FVF e)
⟨proof⟩
lemma FVG-finite: finite(FVG a)
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Coincidence
imports
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Static-Semantics
  HOL.Finite-Set
begin

```

5 Static Semantics Properties

5.1 Auxiliaries

The state interpolating $\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega S$ between ν and ω that is ν on S and ω elsewhere

definition stateinterpol:: $\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{state}$
where

$$\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega S = (\lambda x. \text{if } (x \in S) \text{ then } \nu(x) \text{ else } \omega(x))$$

definition statediff:: $\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{variable set}$
where $\text{statediff } \nu \omega = \{x. \nu(x) \neq \omega(x)\}$

lemma nostatediff: $x \notin \text{statediff } \nu \omega \implies \nu(x) = \omega(x)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma stateinterpol-empty: $\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega \{\} = \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *stateinterpol-left* [simp]: $x \in S \implies (\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega S)(x) = \nu(x)$
(proof)

lemma *stateinterpol-right* [simp]: $x \notin S \implies (\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega S)(x) = \omega(x)$
(proof)

lemma *Vagree-stateinterpol* [simp]: $\text{Vagree}(\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega S) \nu S$
and $\text{Vagree}(\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega S) \omega (-S)$
(proof)

lemma *Vagree-ror*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \nu' (V \cap W) \implies (\exists \omega. (\text{Vagree } \nu \omega V \wedge \text{Vagree } \omega \nu' W))$
(proof)

Remark 8 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15 about simple properties of projections

lemma *restrictto-extends* [simp]: $\text{restrictto } X V \supseteq X$
(proof)

lemma *restrictto-compose* [simp]: $\text{restrictto}(\text{restrictto } X V) W = \text{restrictto } X (V \cap W)$
(proof)

lemma *restrictto-antimon* [simp]: $W \supseteq V \implies \text{restrictto } X W \subseteq \text{restrictto } X V$
(proof)

lemma *restrictto-empty* [simp]: $X \neq \{\} \implies \text{restrictto } X \{\} = \text{worlds}$
(proof)

lemma *selectlike-shrinks* [simp]: $\text{selectlike } X \nu V \subseteq X$
(proof)

lemma *selectlike-compose* [simp]: $\text{selectlike}(\text{selectlike } X \nu V) \nu W = \text{selectlike } X \nu (V \cup W)$
(proof)

lemma *selectlike-antimon* [simp]: $W \supseteq V \implies \text{selectlike } X \nu W \subseteq \text{selectlike } X \nu V$
(proof)

lemma *selectlike-empty* [simp]: $\text{selectlike } X \nu \{\} = X$
(proof)

lemma *selectlike-self* [simp]: $(\nu \in \text{selectlike } X \nu V) = (\nu \in X)$
(proof)

lemma *selectlike-complement* [simp]: $\text{selectlike}(-X) \nu V \subseteq -\text{selectlike } X \nu V$
(proof)

lemma *selectlike-union*: $\text{selectlike}(X \cup Y) \nu V = \text{selectlike } X \nu V \cup \text{selectlike } Y$

νV
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *selectlike-Sup*: $selectlike(Sup M) \nu V = Sup \{ selectlike X \nu V \mid X. X \in M\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *selectlike-equal-cond*: $(selectlike X \nu V = selectlike Y \nu V) = (\forall \mu. Uvariation \mu \nu (-V) \longrightarrow (\mu \in X) = (\mu \in Y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *selectlike-equal-cocond*: $(selectlike X \nu (-V) = selectlike Y \nu (-V)) = (\forall \mu. Uvariation \mu \nu V \longrightarrow (\mu \in X) = (\mu \in Y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *selectlike-equal-cocond-rule*: $(\bigwedge \mu. Uvariation \mu \nu (-V) \implies (\mu \in X) = (\mu \in Y))$
 $\implies (selectlike X \nu V = selectlike Y \nu V)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *selectlike-equal-cocond-corule*: $(\bigwedge \mu. Uvariation \mu \nu V \implies (\mu \in X) = (\mu \in Y))$
 $\implies (selectlike X \nu (-V) = selectlike Y \nu (-V))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *co-selectlike*: $-(selectlike X \nu V) = (-X) \cup \{\omega. \neg V \text{agree } \omega \nu V\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *selectlike-co-selectlike*: $selectlike(-(selectlike X \nu V)) \nu V = selectlike(-X) \nu V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *selectlike-Vagree*: $V \text{agree } \nu \omega V \implies selectlike X \nu V = selectlike X \omega V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *similar-selectlike-mem*: $V \text{agree } \nu \omega V \implies (\nu \in selectlike X \omega V) = (\nu \in X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *BVG-nonelem [simp]*: $(x \notin BVG \alpha) = (\forall I \omega X. (\omega \in game-sem I \alpha X) = (\omega \in game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \omega \{x\})))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

statediff interoperability

lemma *Vagree-statediff [simp]*: $V \text{agree } \omega \omega' S \implies statediff \omega \omega' \subseteq -S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stateinterpol-diff [simp]*: $stateinterpol \nu \omega (statediff \nu \omega) = \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stateinterpol-insert*: $V \text{agree } (stateinterpol v w S) (stateinterpol v w (insert z S)) (-\{z\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma stateinterpol-FVT [simp]: $z \notin FVT(t) \implies \text{term-sem } I t (\text{stateinterpol } \omega \omega' S) = \text{term-sem } I t (\text{stateinterpol } \omega \omega' (\text{insert } z S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2 Coincidence Lemmas

Coincidence for Terms Lemma 10 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

theorem coincidence-term: $V\text{agree } \omega \omega' (FVT \vartheta) \implies \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega = \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary coincidence-term-cor: $U\text{variation } \omega \omega' U \implies (FVT \vartheta) \cap U = \{\} \implies \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega = \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma stateinterpol-FVF [simp]: $z \notin FVF(e) \implies ((\text{stateinterpol } \omega \omega' S) \in \text{fml-sem } I e \longleftrightarrow (\text{stateinterpol } \omega \omega' (\text{insert } z S)) \in \text{fml-sem } I e)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Coincidence for Formulas Lemma 11 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

theorem coincidence-formula: $V\text{agree } \omega \omega' (FVF \varphi) \implies (\omega \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \longleftrightarrow \omega' \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary coincidence-formula-cor: $U\text{variation } \omega \omega' U \implies (FVF \varphi) \cap U = \{\} \implies (\omega \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \longleftrightarrow \omega' \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Coincidence for Games *Cignorabimus* αV is the set of all sets of variables that can be ignored for the coincidence game lemma

definition *Cignorabimus*:: $game \Rightarrow variable\ set \Rightarrow variable\ set\ set$
where

$Cignorabimus \alpha V = \{M. \forall I. \forall \omega. \forall \omega'. \forall X. (V\text{agree } \omega \omega' (-M) \longrightarrow (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X V)) \longrightarrow (\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X V)))\}$

lemma Cignorabimus-finite [simp]: $\text{finite } (Cignorabimus \alpha V)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Cignorabimus-equiv [simp]*: $\text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V = \{M. \forall I. \forall \omega. \forall \omega'. \forall X. (\text{Vagree } \omega \ \omega' (-M) \rightarrow (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \ \alpha \ (\text{restrictto } X \ V)) = (\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \ \alpha \ (\text{restrictto } X \ V)))\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Cignorabimus-antimon [simp]*: $M \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V \wedge N \subseteq M \implies N \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coempty*: $\{\} = \text{allvars}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Cignorabimus-empty [simp]*: $\{\} \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Cignorabimus contains nonfree variables

lemma *Cignorabimus-init*: $V \supseteq \text{FVG}(\alpha) \implies x \notin V \implies \{x\} \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Cignorabimus is closed under union

lemma *Cignorabimus-union*: $M \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V \implies N \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V \implies (M \cup N) \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *powerset-induct [case-names Base Cup]*:
 $\bigwedge C. (\bigwedge M. M \in C \implies P M) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge S. (\bigwedge M. M \in S \implies P M) \implies P (\bigcup S)) \implies$
 $P (\bigcup C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-insert*: $\bigcup (\text{insert } x \ S) = x \cup \bigcup S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *powerset2up-induct [case-names Finite Nonempty Base Cup]*:
 $(\text{finite } C) \implies (C \neq \{\}) \implies (\bigwedge M. M \in C \implies P M) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge M \ N. P M \implies P N \implies P (M \cup N)) \implies$
 $P (\bigcup C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Cignorabimus-step*: $(\bigwedge M. M \in S \implies M \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V) \implies (\bigcup S) \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Lemma 12 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

theorem *coincidence-game*: $\text{Vagree } \omega \ \omega' \ V \implies V \supseteq \text{FVG}(\alpha) \implies (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \ \alpha \ (\text{restrictto } X \ V)) = (\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \ \alpha \ (\text{restrictto } X \ V))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *coincidence-game-cor*: $U \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X (-U)) = \{\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X (-U))\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3 Bound Effect Lemmas

Bignorabimus α V is the set of all sets of variables that can be ignored for boundeffect

definition *Bignorabimus*:: game \Rightarrow variable set set
where

$\text{Bignorabimus } \alpha = \{M. \forall I. \forall \omega. \forall X. \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \longleftrightarrow \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega M)\}$

lemma *Bignorabimus-finite* [simp]: finite (*Bignorabimus* α)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Bignorabimus-single* [simp]: game-sem $I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega M) \subseteq \text{game-sem } I \alpha X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Bignorabimus-equiv* [simp]: $\text{Bignorabimus } \alpha = \{M. \forall I. \forall \omega. \forall X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \longrightarrow \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega M))\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Bignorabimus-empty* [simp]: $\{\} \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Bignorabimus-init*: $x \notin \text{BVG}(\alpha) \implies \{x\} \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Bignorabimus is closed under union

lemma *Bignorabimus-union*: $M \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha \implies N \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha \implies (M \cup N) \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Bignorabimus-step*: $(\bigwedge M. M \in S \implies M \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha) \implies (\bigcup S) \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Lemma 13 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

theorem *boundeffect*: $(\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega (-\text{BVG}(\alpha))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *boundeffect-cor*: $V \cap \text{BVG}(\alpha) = \{\} \implies (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega V))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4 Static Analysis Observations

lemma *BVG-equiv: game-equiv* $\alpha \beta \implies BVG(\alpha) = BVG(\beta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *union-or = Set.Un-iff*

lemma *not-union-or: $(x \notin A \cup B) = (x \notin A \wedge x \notin B)$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repv-selectlike-self: $(repv \omega x d \in selectlike X \omega \{x\}) = (d = \omega(x) \wedge \omega \in X)$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repv-selectlike-other: $x \neq y \implies (repv \omega x d \in selectlike X \omega \{y\}) = (repv \omega x d \in X)$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repv-selectlike-other-converse: $x \neq y \implies (repv \omega x d \in X) = (repv \omega x d \in selectlike X \omega \{y\})$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *BVG-assign-other: $x \neq y \implies y \notin BVG(Assign x \vartheta)$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *BVG-assign-meta: $(\bigwedge \omega. term-sem I \vartheta \omega = \omega(x)) \implies BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = \{\}$*
and *term-sem I $\vartheta \omega \neq \omega(x) \implies BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = \{x\}$*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *BVG-assign: $BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = (\text{if } (\forall I \omega. term-sem I \vartheta \omega = \omega(x)) \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{x\})$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *BVG-ODE-other: $y \neq RVar x \implies y \neq DVar x \implies y \notin BVG(ODE x \vartheta)$*

$\langle proof \rangle$

This result could be strengthened to a conditional equality based on the RHS values

lemma *BVG-ODE: $BVG(ODE x \vartheta) \subseteq \{RVar x, DVar x\}$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *BVG-test: $BVG(Test \varphi) = \{\}$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *BVG-choice*: $BVG(Choice \alpha \beta) \subseteq BVG(\alpha) \cup BVG(\beta)$
(proof)

lemma *select-nonBV*: $x \notin BVG(\alpha) \implies selectlike(game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \omega \{x\})) \omega \{x\} = selectlike(game-sem I \alpha X) \omega \{x\}$
(proof)

lemma *BVG-compose*: $BVG(Compose \alpha \beta) \subseteq BVG(\alpha) \cup BVG(\beta)$

(proof)

The converse inclusion does not hold generally, because $BVG(x := x+1; x := x-1) = \{\} \neq BVG(x := x+1) \cup BVG(x := x-1) = \{x\}$

lemma *BVG(Compose (Assign x (Plus (Var x) (Number 1))) (Assign x (Plus (Var x) (Number (-1)))))*
 $\neq BVG(Assign x (Plus (Var x) (Number 1))) \cup BVG(Assign x (Plus (Var x) (Number (-1))))$
(proof)

lemma *BVG-loop*: $BVG(Loop \alpha) \subseteq BVG(\alpha)$
(proof)

lemma *BVG-dual*: $BVG(Dual \alpha) \subseteq BVG(\alpha)$

(proof)

```
end
theory USubst
imports
  Complex-Main
  Syntax
  Static-Semantics
  Coincidence
  Denotational-Semantics
begin
```

6 Uniform Substitution

uniform substitution representation as tuple of partial maps from identifiers to type-compatible replacements.

type-synonym *usubst* =
 $(ident \rightarrow trm) \times (ident \rightarrow trm) \times (ident \rightarrow fml) \times (ident \rightarrow game)$

abbreviation *SConst*:: *usubst* \Rightarrow $(ident \rightarrow trm)$
where *SConst* $\equiv (\lambda(F0, -, -, -). F0)$

```

abbreviation SFuncs:: usubst  $\Rightarrow$  (ident  $\rightarrow$  trm)
where SFuncs  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(-, F, -, -).$  F)
abbreviation SPreds:: usubst  $\Rightarrow$  (ident  $\rightarrow$  fml)
where SPreds  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(-, -, P, -).$  P)
abbreviation SGames:: usubst  $\Rightarrow$  (ident  $\rightarrow$  game)
where SGames  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(-, -, -, G).$  G)

```

crude approximation of size which is enough for termination arguments

```

definition usubstsize:: usubst  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where usubstsize  $\sigma$  = (if (dom (SFuncs  $\sigma$ ) = {}  $\wedge$  dom (SPreds  $\sigma$ ) = {}) then 1
else 2)

```

dot is some fixed constant function symbol that is reserved for the purposes of the substitution

```

definition dot:: trm
where dot = Const (dotid)

```

6.1 Strict Mechanism for Handling Substitution Partiality in Isabelle

Optional terms that result from a substitution, either actually a term or just none to indicate that the substitution clashed

type-synonym trmo = trm option

```

abbreviation undeft:: trmo where undeft  $\equiv$  None
abbreviation Aterm:: trm  $\Rightarrow$  trmo where Aterm  $\equiv$  Some

```

```

lemma undeft-None: undeft=Some <proof>
lemma Aterm-Some: Aterm  $\vartheta$ =Some  $\vartheta$  <proof>

```

```

lemma undeft-equiv: ( $\vartheta \neq$  undeft) = ( $\exists t.$   $\vartheta =$  Aterm  $t$ )
<proof>

```

Plus on defined terms, strict undeft otherwise

```

fun Pluso :: trmo  $\Rightarrow$  trmo  $\Rightarrow$  trmo
where
  Pluso (Aterm  $\vartheta$ ) (Aterm  $\eta$ ) = Aterm(Plus  $\vartheta$   $\eta$ )
  | Pluso undeft  $\eta$  = undeft
  | Pluso  $\vartheta$  undeft = undeft

```

Times on defined terms, strict undeft otherwise

```

fun Timeso :: trmo  $\Rightarrow$  trmo  $\Rightarrow$  trmo
where
  Timeso (Aterm  $\vartheta$ ) (Aterm  $\eta$ ) = Aterm(Times  $\vartheta$   $\eta$ )
  | Timeso undeft  $\eta$  = undeft
  | Timeso  $\vartheta$  undeft = undeft

```

```

fun Differentialo :: trmo  $\Rightarrow$  trmo
where
  Differentialo (Aterm  $\vartheta$ ) = Aterm(Differential  $\vartheta$ )
  | Differentialo undeft = undeft

lemma Pluso-undef: (Pluso  $\vartheta$   $\eta$  = undeft) = ( $\vartheta$ =undeft  $\vee$   $\eta$ =undeft)  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
lemma Timeso-undef: (Timeso  $\vartheta$   $\eta$  = undeft) = ( $\vartheta$ =undeft  $\vee$   $\eta$ =undeft)  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 

lemma Differentialo-undef: (Differentialo  $\vartheta$  = undeft) = ( $\vartheta$ =undeft)  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 

```

type-synonym fmlo = fml option

abbreviation undefff:: fmlo **where** undefff \equiv None
abbreviation Afml:: fml \Rightarrow fmlo **where** Afml \equiv Some

type-synonym gameo = game option

abbreviation undefg:: gameo **where** undefg \equiv None
abbreviation Agame:: game \Rightarrow gameo **where** Agame \equiv Some

lemma undefff-equiv: ($\varphi \neq$ undefff) = ($\exists f. \varphi =$ Afml f)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma undefg-equiv: ($\alpha \neq$ undefg) = ($\exists g. \alpha =$ Agame g)
 \langle proof \rangle

Geq on defined terms, strict undeft otherwise

```

fun Geqo :: trmo  $\Rightarrow$  trmo  $\Rightarrow$  fmlo
where
  Geqo (Aterm  $\vartheta$ ) (Aterm  $\eta$ ) = Afml(Geq  $\vartheta$   $\eta$ )
  | Geqo undeft  $\eta$  = undeff
  | Geqo  $\vartheta$  undeft = undeff

```

Not on defined formulas, strict undeft otherwise

```

fun Noto :: fmlo  $\Rightarrow$  fmlo
where
  Noto (Afml  $\varphi$ ) = Afml(Not  $\varphi$ )
  | Noto undeff = undeff

```

And on defined formulas, strict undeft otherwise

```

fun Ando :: fmlo  $\Rightarrow$  fmlo  $\Rightarrow$  fmlo
where
  Ando (Afml  $\varphi$ ) (Afml  $\psi$ ) = Afml(And  $\varphi$   $\psi$ )
  | Ando undeff  $\psi$  = undeff
  | Ando  $\varphi$  undeff = undeff

```

Exists on defined formulas, strict undeft otherwise

```

fun Existso :: variable  $\Rightarrow$  fmlo  $\Rightarrow$  fmlo

```

```

where
  Existso x (Afml φ) = Afml(Exists x φ)
  | Existso x undef = undef

Diamond on defined games/formulas, strict undef otherwise

fun Diamondo :: gameo ⇒ fmlo ⇒ fmlo
where
  Diamondo (Agame α) (Afml φ) = Afml(Diamond α φ)
  | Diamondo undefg φ = undef
  | Diamondo α undef = undef

lemma Geqo-undef: (Geqo θ η = undef) = (θ=undef ∨ η=undef)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Noto-undef: (Noto φ = undef) = (φ=undef)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Ando-undef: (Ando φ ψ = undef) = (φ=undef ∨ ψ=undef)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Existo-undef: (Existo x φ = undef) = (φ=undef)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Diamondo-undef: (Diamondo α φ = undef) = (α=undefg ∨ φ=undef)
  ⟨proof⟩

Assign on defined terms, strict undefg otherwise

fun Assigno :: variable ⇒ trmo ⇒ gameo
where
  Assigno x (Aterm θ) = Agame(Assign x θ)
  | Assigno x undef = undef

fun ODEo :: ident ⇒ trmo ⇒ gameo
where
  ODEo x (Aterm θ) = Agame(ODE x θ)
  | ODEo x undef = undef

Test on defined formulas, strict undefg otherwise

fun Testo :: fmlo ⇒ gameo
where
  Testo (Afml φ) = Agame(Test φ)
  | Testo undef = undefg

Choice on defined games, strict undefg otherwise

fun Choiceo :: gameo ⇒ gameo ⇒ gameo
where
  Choiceo (Agame α) (Agame β) = Agame(Choice α β)
  | Choiceo α undefg = undefg
  | Choiceo undefg β = undefg

Compose on defined games, strict undefg otherwise

fun Composeo :: gameo ⇒ gameo ⇒ gameo

```

```

where
  Composeo (Agame α) (Agame β) = Agame(Compose α β)
  | Composeo α undefg = undefg
  | Composeo undefg β = undefg

Loop on defined games, strict undefg otherwise

fun Loopo :: gameo ⇒ gameo
where
  Loopo (Agame α) = Agame(Loop α)
  | Loopo undefg = undefg

Dual on defined games, strict undefg otherwise

fun Dualo :: gameo ⇒ gameo
where
  Dualo (Agame α) = Agame(Dual α)
  | Dualo undefg = undefg

lemma Assigno-undef: (Assigno x θ = undefg) = (θ=undef) ⟨proof⟩
lemma ODEo-undef: (ODEo x θ = undefg) = (θ=undef) ⟨proof⟩
lemma Testo-undef: (Testo φ = undefg) = (φ=undef) ⟨proof⟩
lemma Choiceo-undef: (Choiceo α β = undefg) = (α=undefg ∨ β=undefg) ⟨proof⟩
lemma Composeo-undef: (Composeo α β = undefg) = (α=undefg ∨ β=undefg) ⟨proof⟩
lemma Loopo-undef: (Loopo α = undefg) = (α=undefg) ⟨proof⟩
lemma Dualo-undef: (Duelo α = undefg) = (α=undefg) ⟨proof⟩

```

6.2 Recursive Application of One-Pass Uniform Substitution

dotsubstt ϑ is the dot substitution $\{.\simgt \vartheta\}$ substituting a term for the $.$ function symbol

```

definition dotsubstt:: trm ⇒ usubst
  where dotsubstt  $\vartheta$  = (
    (λf. (if f=dotid then (Some(θ)) else None)),
    (λ-. None),
    (λ-. None),
    (λ-. None)
  )

```

```

definition usappconst:: usubst ⇒ variable set ⇒ ident ⇒ (trmo)
where usappconst  $\sigma$  U f ≡ (case SConst σ f of Some r ⇒ if FVT(r) ∩ U = {} then
  Aterm(r) else undef | None ⇒ Aterm(Const f))

```

```

function usubstapp:: usubst ⇒ variable set ⇒ (trm ⇒ trmo)
where
  usubstapp σ U (Var x) = Aterm (Var x)
  | usubstapp σ U (Number r) = Aterm (Number r)
  | usubstapp σ U (Const f) = usappconst σ U f

```

```

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Func } f \vartheta) =$   

  (case  $usubstapp \sigma U \vartheta$  of  $\text{undef}$   $\Rightarrow \text{undef}$   

   |  $Aterm \sigma \vartheta \Rightarrow (\text{case } S\text{Funcs } \sigma f \text{ of } \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \text{if } FVT(r) \cap U = \{\})$   

   then  $usubstapp(dotsubst \sigma \vartheta) \{\} r \text{ else } \text{undef} \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow Aterm(\text{Func } f \sigma \vartheta))$ )  

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Plus } \vartheta \eta) = Pluso (usubstapp \sigma U \vartheta) (usubstapp \sigma U \eta)$   

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Times } \vartheta \eta) = Timeso (usubstapp \sigma U \vartheta) (usubstapp \sigma U \eta)$   

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Differential } \vartheta) = Differentialo (usubstapp \sigma \text{ allvars } \vartheta)$   

  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

```

declare *Let-def* [*simp*]

```

function  $usubstappf:: usubst \Rightarrow \text{variable set} \Rightarrow (fml \Rightarrow fmlo)$   

and  $usubstapp:: usubst \Rightarrow \text{variable set} \Rightarrow (game \Rightarrow \text{variable set} \times gameo)$   

where  

 $usubstappf \sigma U (\text{Pred } p \vartheta) =$   

  (case  $usubstapp \sigma U \vartheta$  of  $\text{undef} \Rightarrow \text{undef}$   

   |  $Aterm \sigma \vartheta \Rightarrow (\text{case } S\text{Preds } \sigma p \text{ of } \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \text{if } FVF(r) \cap U = \{\})$   

   then  $usubstappf(dotsubst \sigma \vartheta) \{\} r \text{ else } \text{undef} \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow Afml(\text{Pred } p \sigma \vartheta))$ )  

|  $usubstappf \sigma U (\text{Geq } \vartheta \eta) = Geqo (usubstapp \sigma U \vartheta) (usubstapp \sigma U \eta)$   

|  $usubstappf \sigma U (\text{Not } \varphi) = Noto (usubstappf \sigma U \varphi)$   

|  $usubstappf \sigma U (\text{And } \varphi \psi) = Ando (usubstappf \sigma U \varphi) (usubstappf \sigma U \psi)$   

|  $usubstappf \sigma U (\text{Exists } x \varphi) = Existso x (usubstappf \sigma (U \cup \{x\}) \varphi)$   

|  $usubstappf \sigma U (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi) = (\text{let } V\alpha = usubstapp \sigma U \alpha \text{ in } Diamondo (snd } V\alpha) (usubstappf \sigma (fst } V\alpha) \varphi))$   

  

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Game } a) =$   

  (case  $S\text{Games } \sigma a$  of  $\text{Some } r \Rightarrow (U \cup BVG(r), Agame r)$   

   |  $\text{None} \Rightarrow (\text{allvars}, Agame(a))$ )  

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Assign } x \vartheta) = (U \cup \{x\}, Assigno x (usubstapp \sigma U \vartheta))$   

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Test } \varphi) = (U, Testo (usubstappf \sigma U \varphi))$   

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Choice } \alpha \beta) =$   

  (let  $V\alpha = usubstapp \sigma U \alpha$  in  

   let  $W\beta = usubstapp \sigma U \beta$  in  

   (fst }  $V\alpha \cup$  fst }  $W\beta, Choiceo (snd } V\alpha) (snd } W\beta)))$   

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Compose } \alpha \beta) =$   

  (let  $V\alpha = usubstapp \sigma U \alpha$  in  

   let  $W\beta = usubstapp \sigma (fst } V\alpha) \beta$  in  

   (fst }  $W\beta, Composeo (snd } V\alpha) (snd } W\beta)))$   

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Loop } \alpha) =$   

  (let  $V = fst (usubstapp \sigma U \alpha)$  in  

   (V, Loopo (snd (usubstapp \sigma V \alpha))))  

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{Dual } \alpha) =$   

  (let  $V\alpha = usubstapp \sigma U \alpha$  in (fst }  $V\alpha, Dualo (snd } V\alpha)))$   

|  $usubstapp \sigma U (\text{ODE } x \vartheta) = (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}, ODEo x (usubstapp \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta))$   

  ⟨proof⟩

```

termination $\langle proof \rangle$

Induction Principles for Uniform Substitutions

lemmas usubstappt-induct = usubstappt.induct [case-names Var Number Const FuncMatch Plus Times Differential]
lemmas usubstappfp-induct = usubstappf-usubstappp.induct [case-names Pred Geg Not And Exists Diamond Game Assign Test Choice Compose Loop Dual ODE]

Simple Observations for Automation More automation for Case

lemma usappconst-simp [simp]: $SConst \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U = \{\} \implies usappconst \sigma U f = Aterm(r)$
and $SConst \sigma f = None \implies usappconst \sigma U f = Aterm(Const f)$
and $SConst \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U \neq \{\} \implies usappconst \sigma U f = undef$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma usappconst-conv: $usappconst \sigma U f \neq undef \implies SConst \sigma f = None \vee (\exists r. SConst \sigma f = Some r \wedge FVT(r) \cap U = \{} \})$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma usubstappt-const [simp]: $SConst \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U = \{\} \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Const f) = Aterm(r)$
and $SConst \sigma f = None \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Const f) = Aterm(Const f)$
and $SConst \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U \neq \{\} \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Const f) = undef$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma usubstappt-const-conv: $usubstappt \sigma U (Const f) \neq undef \implies SConst \sigma f = None \vee (\exists r. SConst \sigma f = Some r \wedge FVT(r) \cap U = \{} \})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma usubstappt-func [simp]: $SFuncs \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U = \{\} \implies usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta = Aterm \sigma \vartheta \implies$
 $usubstappt \sigma U (Func f \vartheta) = usubstappt (dotsubstt \sigma \vartheta) \{\} r$
and $SFuncs \sigma f = None \implies usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta = Aterm \sigma \vartheta \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Func f \vartheta) = Aterm(Func f \sigma \vartheta)$
and $usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta = undef \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Func f \vartheta) = undef$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma usubstappt-func2 [simp]: $SFuncs \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U \neq \{\} \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Func f \vartheta) = undef$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma usubstappt-func-conv: $usubstappt \sigma U (Func f \vartheta) \neq undef \implies$
 $usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta \neq undef \wedge$
 $(SFuncs \sigma f = None \vee (\exists r. SFuncs \sigma f = Some r \wedge FVT(r) \cap U = \{} \}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappt-plus-conv*: $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U (\text{Plus } \vartheta \ \eta) \neq \text{undef} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta \neq \text{undef} \wedge \text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \eta \neq \text{undef}$
(proof)

lemma *usubstappt-times-conv*: $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U (\text{Times } \vartheta \ \eta) \neq \text{undef} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta \neq \text{undef} \wedge \text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \eta \neq \text{undef}$
(proof)

lemma *usubstappt-differential-conv*: $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U (\text{Differential } \vartheta) \neq \text{undef} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ \text{allvars } \vartheta \neq \text{undef}$
(proof)

lemma *usubstappf-pred [simp]*: $\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p = \text{Some } r \implies \text{FVF}(r) \cap U = \{\} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta = \text{Aterm } \sigma \vartheta \implies$
 $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta) = \text{usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } \sigma \vartheta) \ \{\} \ r$
and $\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p = \text{None} \implies \text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta = \text{Aterm } \sigma \vartheta \implies \text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta) = \text{Afml}(\text{Pred } p \ \sigma \vartheta)$
and $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta = \text{undef} \implies \text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta) = \text{undef}$
(proof)

lemma *usubstappf-pred2 [simp]*: $\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p = \text{Some } r \implies \text{FVF}(r) \cap U \neq \{\} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta) = \text{undef}$
(proof)

lemma *usubstappf-pred-conv*: $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta) \neq \text{undef} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta \neq \text{undef} \wedge$
 $(\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p = \text{None} \vee (\exists r. \text{SPreds } \sigma \ p = \text{Some } r \wedge \text{FVF}(r) \cap U = \{\}))$
(proof)

lemma *usubstappf-geq*: $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \eta \neq \text{undef}$
 \implies
 $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Geq } \vartheta \ \eta) = \text{Afml}(\text{Geq } (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta)) \ (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \eta)))$
(proof)

lemma *usubstappf-geq-conv*: $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Geq } \vartheta \ \eta) \neq \text{undef} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta \neq \text{undef} \wedge \text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \eta \neq \text{undef}$
(proof)

lemma *usubstappf-geqr*: $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Geq } \vartheta \ \eta) \neq \text{undef} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Geq } \vartheta \ \eta) = \text{Afml}(\text{Geq } (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \vartheta)) \ (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \eta)))$
(proof)

lemma *usubstappf-exists*: $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Exists } x \ \varphi) \neq \text{undef} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Exists } x \ \varphi) = \text{Afml}(\text{Exists } x \ (\text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ (U \cup \{x\}) \ \varphi)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappp-game* [simp]: $SGames \sigma a = Some r \implies usubstappp \sigma U (Game a) = (U \cup BVG(r), Agame(r))$
and $SGames \sigma a = None \implies usubstappp \sigma U (Game a) = (allvars, Agame(Game a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappp-choice* [simp]: $usubstappp \sigma U (Choice \alpha \beta) = (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha) \cup fst(usubstappp \sigma U \beta), Choiceo (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \beta)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappp-choice-conv* : $snd(usubstappp \sigma U (Choice \alpha \beta)) \neq undef \implies snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha) \neq undef \wedge snd(usubstappp \sigma U \beta) \neq undef$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappp-compose* [simp]: $usubstappp \sigma U (Compose \alpha \beta) = (fst(usubstappp \sigma (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \beta), Composeo (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) (snd(usubstappp \sigma (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \beta)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappp-loop*: $usubstappp \sigma U (Loop \alpha) = (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha), Loopo (snd(usubstappp \sigma (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \alpha)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappp-dual* [simp]: $usubstappp \sigma U (Dual \alpha) = (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha), Dualo (snd (usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7 Soundness of Uniform Substitution

7.1 USubst Application is a Function of Deterministic Result

lemma *usubstappt-det*: $usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta \neq undef \implies usubstappt \sigma V \vartheta \neq undef$
 $\implies usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta = usubstappt \sigma V \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappf-and-usubstappp-det*:
shows $usubstappf \sigma U \varphi \neq undef \implies usubstappf \sigma V \varphi \neq undef \implies usubstappf \sigma U \varphi = usubstappf \sigma V \varphi$
and $snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha) \neq undef \implies snd(usubstappp \sigma V \alpha) \neq undef \implies$
 $snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha) = snd(usubstappp \sigma V \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappf-det*: $usubstappf \sigma U \varphi \neq undef \implies usubstappf \sigma V \varphi \neq undef$
 $\implies usubstappf \sigma U \varphi = usubstappf \sigma V \varphi$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstapp-det*: $snd(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) \neq undefg \implies snd(usubstapp \sigma V \alpha) \neq undefg \implies snd(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) = snd(usubstapp \sigma V \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.2 Uniform Substitutions are Antimonotone in Taboos

lemma *usubst-taboos-mon*: $fst(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) \supseteq U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fst-pair [simp]*: $fst(a, b) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-pair [simp]*: $snd(a, b) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstapp-antimon*: $V \subseteq U \implies usubstapp \sigma U \vartheta \neq undef \implies usubstapp \sigma U \vartheta = usubstapp \sigma V \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Uniform Substitutions of Games have monotone taboo output

lemma *usubstapp-fst-mon*: $U \subseteq V \implies fst(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) \subseteq fst(usubstapp \sigma V \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappf-and-usubstapp-antimon*:
shows $V \subseteq U \implies usubstappf \sigma U \varphi \neq undef \implies usubstappf \sigma U \varphi = usubstappf \sigma V \varphi$
and $V \subseteq U \implies snd(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) \neq undefg \implies snd(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) = snd(usubstapp \sigma V \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstappf-antimon*: $V \subseteq U \implies usubstappf \sigma U \varphi \neq undef \implies usubstappf \sigma U \varphi = usubstappf \sigma V \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubstapp-antimon*: $V \subseteq U \implies snd(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) \neq undefg \implies snd(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) = snd(usubstapp \sigma V \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.3 Taboo Lemmas

lemma *usubstapp-loop-conv*: $snd(usubstapp \sigma U (Loop \alpha)) \neq undefg \implies$
 $snd(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) \neq undefg \wedge$
 $snd(usubstapp \sigma (fst(usubstapp \sigma U \alpha)) \alpha) \neq undefg$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Lemma 13 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

lemma *usubst-taboos*: $snd(usubstapp\sigma U \alpha) \neq \text{undef} \implies fst(usubstapp\sigma U \alpha) \supseteq U \cup BVG(\text{the}(snd(usubstapp\sigma U \alpha)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.4 Substitution Adjoints

Modified interpretation $repI I f d$ replaces the interpretation of constant function f in the interpretation I with d

definition *repc* :: $interp \Rightarrow ident \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow interp$
where $repc I f d \equiv \text{mkinterp}((\lambda c. \text{if } c = f \text{ then } d \text{ else } \text{Consts } I c), \text{Funcs } I, \text{Preds } I, \text{Games } I)$

lemma *repc-consts [simp]*: $\text{Consts } (repc I f d) c = (\text{if } (c=f) \text{ then } d \text{ else } \text{Consts } I c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *repc-funcs [simp]*: $\text{Funcs } (repc I f d) = \text{Funcs } I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *repc-preds [simp]*: $\text{Preds } (repc I f d) = \text{Preds } I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *repc-games [simp]*: $\text{Games } (repc I f d) = \text{Games } I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-stays-mon*: $\text{mono } (\text{case } S\text{Games } \sigma a \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Games } I a \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \lambda X. \text{game-sem } I r X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

adjoint interpretation $adjoint \sigma I \omega$ to σ of interpretation I in state ω

definition *adjoint*:: $usubst \Rightarrow (interp \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow interp)$
where $adjoint \sigma I \omega = \text{mkinterp}$ (
 $\quad (\lambda f. (\text{case } S\text{Const } \sigma f \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Consts } I f \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \text{term-sem } I r \omega)),$
 $\quad (\lambda f. (\text{case } S\text{Funcs } \sigma f \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Funcs } I f \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \lambda d. \text{term-sem } (repc I dotid d) r \omega)),$
 $\quad (\lambda p. (\text{case } S\text{Preds } \sigma p \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Preds } I p \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \lambda d. \omega \in \text{fml-sem } (repc I dotid d) r)),$
 $\quad (\lambda a. (\text{case } S\text{Games } \sigma a \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Games } I a \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \lambda X. \text{game-sem } I r X))$
 $\quad)$

Simple Observations about Adjoints **lemma** *adjoint-consts*: $\text{Consts } (adjoint \sigma I \omega) f = \text{term-sem } I (\text{case } S\text{Const } \sigma f \text{ of } \text{Some } r \Rightarrow r \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Const } f) \omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-funcs*: $\text{Funcs } (adjoint \sigma I \omega) f = (\text{case } S\text{Funcs } \sigma f \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Funcs } I f \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \lambda d. \text{term-sem } (repc I dotid d) r \omega)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-funcs-match*: $SFuncs \sigma f = Some r \implies Funcs (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) f = (\lambda d. \text{term-sem} (\text{repc } I \text{dotid } d) r \omega)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-funcs-skip*: $SFuncs \sigma f = None \implies Funcs (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) f = Funcs I f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-preds*: $Preds (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) p = (\text{case } SPreds \sigma p \text{ of } None \Rightarrow Preds I p \mid Some r \Rightarrow \lambda d. \omega \in \text{fml-sem} (\text{repc } I \text{dotid } d) r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-preds-skip*: $SPreds \sigma p = None \implies Preds (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) p = Preds I p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-preds-match*: $SPreds \sigma p = Some r \implies Preds (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) p = (\lambda d. \omega \in \text{fml-sem} (\text{repc } I \text{dotid } d) r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-games [simp]*: $Games (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) a = (\text{case } SGames \sigma a \text{ of } None \Rightarrow Games I a \mid Some r \Rightarrow \lambda X. \text{game-sem } I r X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-dotsubstt*: $\text{adjoint} (\text{dotsubstt } \vartheta) I \omega = \text{repc } I \text{dotid} (\text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.5 Uniform Substitution for Terms

Lemma 15 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

theorem *usubst-term*: $U\text{variation } \nu \omega U \implies \text{usubstapp } \sigma U \vartheta \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{term-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubstapp } \sigma U \vartheta)) \nu = \text{term-sem} (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \vartheta \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.6 Uniform Substitution for Formulas and Games

Separately Prove Crucial Ingredient for the ODE Case of *usubst-fml-game*

lemma *same-ODE-same-sol*:
 $(\bigwedge \nu. U\text{variation } \nu (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\} \implies \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \nu = \text{term-sem } J \eta \nu)$
 $\implies \text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta = \text{solves-ODE } J F x \eta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usubst-ode*:
assumes *subdef*: $\text{usubstapp } \sigma \{RVar x, DVar x\} \vartheta \neq \text{undef}$

shows solves-ODE $I F x$ (the ($\text{usubstapp} \sigma \{RVar x, DVar x\} \vartheta$) = solves-ODE ($\text{adjoint } \sigma I (F(0)) F x \vartheta$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma usubst-ode-ext:

assumes $uv: U\text{variation}(F(0)) \omega (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\})$
assumes subdef: $\text{usubstapp} \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta \neq \text{undef}$
shows solves-ODE $I F x$ (the ($\text{usubstapp} \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta$) = solves-ODE ($\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) F x \vartheta$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma usubst-ode-ext2:

assumes subdef: $\text{usubstapp} \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta \neq \text{undef}$
assumes $uv: U\text{variation}(F(0)) \omega (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\})$
shows solves-ODE $I F x$ (the ($\text{usubstapp} \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta$) = solves-ODE ($\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) F x \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Separately Prove the Loop Case of usubst-fml-game **lemma** union-comm:
 $A \cup B = B \cup A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition loopfpt:: $game \Rightarrow interp \Rightarrow (\text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{state set})$
where $\text{loopfpt } \alpha I X = \text{lfp}(\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z)$

lemma usubst-game-loop:

assumes $uv: U\text{variation } \nu \omega U$
and $IH\alpha\text{rec}: \bigwedge \nu \omega X. U\text{variation } \nu \omega (\text{fst}(\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)) \implies \text{snd}(\text{usubstapp} \sigma (\text{fst}(\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)) \alpha) \neq \text{undef} \implies$
 $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } I (\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp} \sigma (\text{fst}(\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)) \alpha))) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha X)$
shows $\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp} \sigma U (\text{Loop } \alpha)) \neq \text{undef} \implies (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I (\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp} \sigma U (\text{Loop } \alpha)))) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (\text{Loop } \alpha) X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma usubst-fml-game:

assumes vaouter: $U\text{variation } \nu \omega U$
shows $\text{usubstappf } \sigma U \varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the}(\text{usubstappf } \sigma U \varphi))) = (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi)$
and $\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha) \neq \text{undef} \implies (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I (\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemma 16 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

theorem usubst-fml: $U\text{variation } \nu \omega U \implies \text{usubstappf } \sigma U \varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies$

$(\nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \ (\text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U \ \varphi))) = (\nu \in fml\text{-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Lemma 17 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

theorem *usubst-game*: $U\text{variation } \nu \ \omega \ U \implies \text{snd } (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha) \neq \text{undefg}$
 \implies
 $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \ (\text{the } (\text{snd } (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha))) \ X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ \alpha \ X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.7 Soundness of Uniform Substitution of Formulas

abbreviation *usubsta*:: $\text{usubst} \Rightarrow fml \Rightarrow fmlo$
where $\text{usubsta } \sigma \ \varphi \equiv \text{usubstappf } \sigma \ \{\} \ \varphi$

Theorem 18 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

theorem *usubst-sound*: $\text{usubsta } \sigma \ \varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{valid } \varphi \implies \text{valid } (\text{the } (\text{usubsta } \sigma \ \varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.8 Soundness of Uniform Substitution of Rules

Uniform Substitution applied to a rule or inference

definition *usubstr*:: $\text{usubst} \Rightarrow \text{inference} \Rightarrow \text{inference option}$
where $\text{usubstr } \sigma \ R \equiv \text{if } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ \text{allvars } (\text{snd } R) \neq \text{undef} \wedge (\forall \varphi \in \text{set } (\text{fst } R). \ \text{usubstappf } \sigma \ \text{allvars } \varphi \neq \text{undef})) \ \text{then}$
 $\quad \text{Some}(\text{map}(\text{the } o \ (\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ \text{allvars}))(\text{fst } R), \ \text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ \text{allvars } (\text{snd } R)))$
 $\quad \text{else}$
 $\quad \text{None}$

Simple observations about applying uniform substitutions to a rule

lemma *usubstr-conv*: $\text{usubstr } \sigma \ R \neq \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ \text{allvars } (\text{snd } R) \neq \text{undef} \wedge$
 $(\forall \varphi \in \text{set } (\text{fst } R). \ \text{usubstappf } \sigma \ \text{allvars } \varphi \neq \text{undef})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *usubstr-union-undef*: $(\text{usubstr } \sigma ((\text{append } A \ B), \ C) \neq \text{None}) = (\text{usubstr } \sigma (A, \ C) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{usubstr } \sigma (B, \ C) \neq \text{None})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *usubstr-union-undef2*: $(\text{usubstr } \sigma ((\text{append } A \ B), \ C) \neq \text{None}) \implies (\text{usubstr } \sigma (A, \ C) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{usubstr } \sigma (B, \ C) \neq \text{None})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *usubstr-cons-undef*: $(\text{usubstr } \sigma ((\text{Cons } A \ B), \ C) \neq \text{None}) = (\text{usubstr } \sigma ([A], \ C) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{usubstr } \sigma (B, \ C) \neq \text{None})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *usubstr-cons-undef2*: $(\text{usubstr } \sigma ((\text{Cons } A B), C) \neq \text{None}) \implies (\text{usubstr } \sigma ([A], C) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{usubstr } \sigma (B, C) \neq \text{None})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *usubstr-cons*: $(\text{usubstr } \sigma ((\text{Cons } A B), C) \neq \text{None}) \implies$
 $\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma ((\text{Cons } A B), C)) = (\text{Cons } (\text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma \text{ allvars } A)) (\text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma (B, C)))), \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma ([A], C))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *usubstr-union*: $(\text{usubstr } \sigma ((\text{append } A B), C) \neq \text{None}) \implies$
 $\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma ((\text{append } A B), C)) = (\text{append } (\text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma (A, C)))) (\text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma (B, C)))), \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma (A, C))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *usubstr-length*: $\text{usubstr } \sigma R \neq \text{None} \implies \text{length } (\text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma R)))$
 $= \text{length } (\text{fst } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *usubstr-nth*: $\text{usubstr } \sigma R \neq \text{None} \implies 0 \leq k \implies k < \text{length } (\text{fst } R) \implies$
 $\text{nth } (\text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma R))) k = \text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma \text{ allvars } (\text{nth } (\text{fst } R) k))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem 19 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

theorem *usubst-rule-sound*: $\text{usubstr } \sigma R \neq \text{None} \implies \text{locally-sound } R \implies \text{locally-sound } (\text{the } (\text{usubstr } \sigma R))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
end
theory Ids
imports Complex-Main
  Syntax
begin
```

Some specific identifiers used in Axioms

```
abbreviation hg1::ident where hg1 ≡ CHR "a"
abbreviation hg2::ident where hg2 ≡ CHR "b"
abbreviation hgdc::ident where hgdc ≡ CHR "c"
abbreviation hgdd::ident where hgdd ≡ CHR "d"
abbreviation pid1::ident where pid1 ≡ CHR "p"
abbreviation pid2::ident where pid2 ≡ CHR "q"
abbreviation fid1::ident where fid1 ≡ CHR "f"
abbreviation xid1::variable where xid1 ≡ RVar (CHR "x")
end
theory Axioms
imports
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Ids
```

begin

8 Axioms and Axiomatic Proof Rules of Differential Game Logic

8.1 Axioms

abbreviation *pusall*:: *fml*
 where *pusall* $\equiv \langle \text{Game } \text{hgidc} \rangle \text{TT}$

abbreviation *nothing*:: *trm*
 where *nothing* $\equiv \text{Number } 0$

named-theorems *axiom-defs* *Axiom definitions*

definition *box-axiom* :: *fml*
 where [*axiom-defs*]:
 box-axiom $\equiv (\text{Box} (\text{Game } \text{hgid1}) \text{ pusall}) \leftrightarrow \text{Not}(\text{Diamond} (\text{Game } \text{hgid1}) (\text{Not}(\text{pusall})))$

definition *assigneq-axiom* :: *fml*
 where [*axiom-defs*]:
 assigneq-axiom $\equiv (\text{Diamond} (\text{Assign } \text{xid1} (\text{Const } \text{fid1})) \text{ pusall}) \leftrightarrow \text{Exists } \text{xid1} (\text{Equals} (\text{Var } \text{xid1}) (\text{Const } \text{fid1}) \&\& \text{pusall})$

definition *stutterd-axiom* :: *fml*
 where [*axiom-defs*]:
 stutterd-axiom $\equiv (\text{Diamond} (\text{Assign } \text{xid1} (\text{Var } \text{xid1})) \text{ pusall}) \leftrightarrow \text{pusall}$

definition *test-axiom* :: *fml*
 where [*axiom-defs*]:
 test-axiom $\equiv \text{Diamond} (\text{Test} (\text{Pred } \text{pid2} \text{ nothing})) (\text{Pred } \text{pid1} \text{ nothing}) \leftrightarrow (\text{Pred } \text{pid2} \text{ nothing} \&\& \text{Pred } \text{pid1} \text{ nothing})$

definition *choice-axiom* :: *fml*
 where [*axiom-defs*]:
 choice-axiom $\equiv \text{Diamond} (\text{Choice} (\text{Game } \text{hgid1}) (\text{Game } \text{hgid2})) \text{ pusall} \leftrightarrow (\text{Diamond} (\text{Game } \text{hgid1}) \text{ pusall} \parallel \text{Diamond} (\text{Game } \text{hgid2}) \text{ pusall})$

definition *compose-axiom* :: *fml*
 where [*axiom-defs*]:
 compose-axiom $\equiv \text{Diamond} (\text{Compose} (\text{Game } \text{hgid1}) (\text{Game } \text{hgid2})) \text{ pusall} \leftrightarrow \text{Diamond} (\text{Game } \text{hgid1}) (\text{Diamond} (\text{Game } \text{hgid2}) \text{ pusall})$

definition *iterate-axiom* :: *fml*
 where [*axiom-defs*]:
 iterate-axiom $\equiv \text{Diamond} (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } \text{hgid1})) \text{ pusall} \leftrightarrow (\text{pusall} \parallel \text{Diamond} (\text{Game } \text{hgid1}) (\text{Diamond} (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } \text{hgid1})) \text{ pusall}))$

```

definition dual-axiom :: fml
  where [axiom-defs]:
  dual-axiom ≡ Diamond (Dual (Game hgid1)) pusall ↔ !(Diamond (Game hgid1)
  (!pusall))

```

8.2 Axiomatic Rules

named-theorems rule-defs Rule definitions

```

definition mon-rule :: inference
  where [rule-defs]:
  mon-rule ≡ ([⟨⟨Game hgidc⟩ TT) → (⟨⟨Game hgidd⟩ TT)], (⟨⟨Game hgid1⟩(⟨⟨Game
  hgidc⟩ TT)) → (⟨⟨Game hgid1⟩(⟨⟨Game hgidd⟩ TT)))]

```

```

definition FP-rule :: inference
  where [rule-defs]:
  FP-rule ≡ ([(((⟨⟨Game hgidc⟩ TT) || ⟨⟨Game hgid1⟩⟨⟨Game hgidd⟩ TT) → ⟨⟨Game
  hgidd⟩ TT], (⟨⟨Loop (Game hgid1)⟩⟨⟨Game hgidc⟩ TT) → (⟨⟨Game hgidd⟩ TT)))

```

```

definition MP-rule :: inference
  where [rule-defs]:
  MP-rule ≡ ([Pred pid1 nothing , Pred pid1 nothing → Pred pid2 nothing], Pred
  pid2 nothing)

```

```

definition gena-rule :: inference
  where [rule-defs]:
  gena-rule ≡ ([pusall], Exists xid1 pusall)

```

8.3 Soundness / Validity Proofs for Axioms

Because an axiom in a uniform substitution calculus is an individual formula, proving the validity of that formula suffices to prove soundness

```

lemma box-valid: valid box-axiom
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma assigneq-valid: valid assigneq-axiom
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma stutterd-valid: valid stutterd-axiom
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma test-valid: valid test-axiom
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma choice-valid: valid choice-axiom

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma compose-valid: valid compose-axiom
⟨proof⟩

lemma dual-valid: valid dual-axiom
⟨proof⟩

lemma iterate-valid: valid iterate-axiom
⟨proof⟩

```

8.4 Local Soundness Proofs for Axiomatic Rules

```

lemma mon-locsound: locally-sound mon-rule
⟨proof⟩

lemma FP-locsound: locally-sound FP-rule
⟨proof⟩

lemma MP-locsound: locally-sound MP-rule
⟨proof⟩

lemma gena-locsound: locally-sound gena-rule
⟨proof⟩

```

end

9 dGL Formalization

```

theory Differential-Game-Logic
imports
  Complex-Main
  Lib
  Identifiers
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Static-Semantics
  Coincidence
  USubst
  Axioms
begin

```

This formalization of Differential Game Logic <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230> [4] consists of the syntax, denotational semantics, static semantics, uniform substitution lemmas, uniform substitution soundness proofs, and soundness proofs for axioms.

end

Acknowledgment. I very much appreciate all the kind advice of the entire Isabelle Group at TU Munich and Fabian Immler and Rose Bohrer for how to best formalize the mathematical proofs in Isabelle/HOL.

References

- [1] A. Platzer. Differential game logic. *ACM Trans. Comput. Log.*, 17(1):1:1–1:51, 2015.
- [2] A. Platzer. Uniform substitution for differential game logic. In D. Galmiche, S. Schulz, and R. Sebastiani, editors, *IJCAR*, volume 10900 of *LNCS*, pages 211–227. Springer, 2018.
- [3] A. Platzer. Uniform substitution for differential game logic. *CoRR*, abs/1804.05880, 2018.
- [4] A. Platzer. Uniform substitution at one fell swoop. In P. Fontaine, editor, *CADE*, LNCS. Springer, 2019.
- [5] A. Platzer. Uniform substitution at one fell swoop. *CoRR*, abs/1902.07230, 2019.