

Differential-Game-Logic

André Platzer

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This formalization provides differential game logic ($d\text{GL}$), a logic for proving properties of hybrid game. In addition to the syntax and semantics, it formalizes a uniform substitution calculus for $d\text{GL}$. Church's uniform substitutions substitute a term or formula for a function or predicate symbol everywhere. The uniform substitutions for $d\text{GL}$ also substitute hybrid games for a game symbol everywhere. We prove soundness of one-pass uniform substitutions and the axioms of differential game logic with respect to their denotational semantics. One-pass uniform substitutions are faster by postponing soundness-critical admissibility checks with a linear pass homomorphic application and regain soundness by a variable condition at the replacements.

The formalization is based on prior non-mechanized soundness proofs for $d\text{GL}$ [1, 2, 4, 1, 3]. This AFP entry formalizes the mathematical proofs [4, 5] till Theorem 19.

Contents

1	Generic Mathematical Background Lemmas	3
1.1	Identifier Namespace Configuration	5
2	Syntax	5
2.1	Terms	5
2.2	Formulas and Hybrid Games	6
2.3	Structural Induction	7
3	Denotational Semantics	8
3.1	States	8
3.2	Interpretations	10
3.3	Semantics	12
3.4	Monotone Semantics	14
3.5	Fixpoint Semantics Alternative for Loops	14
3.6	Some Simple Obvious Observations	16

4	Static Semantics	18
4.1	Semantically-defined Static Semantics	18
4.2	Simple Observations	19
5	Static Semantics Properties	20
5.1	Auxiliaries	20
5.2	Coincidence Lemmas	24
5.3	Bound Effect Lemmas	30
5.4	Static Analysis Observations	34
6	Uniform Substitution	40
6.1	Strict Mechanism for Handling Substitution Partiality in Isabelle	41
6.2	Recursive Application of One-Pass Uniform Substitution	44
7	Soundness of Uniform Substitution	48
7.1	USubst Application is a Function of Deterministic Result	48
7.2	Uniform Substitutions are Antimonotone in Taboos	54
7.3	Taboo Lemmas	62
7.4	Substitution Adjoints	65
7.5	Uniform Substitution for Terms	67
7.6	Uniform Substitution for Formulas and Games	69
7.7	Soundness of Uniform Substitution of Formulas	82
7.8	Soundness of Uniform Substitution of Rules	83
8	Axioms and Axiomatic Proof Rules of Differential Game Logic	86
8.1	Axioms	86
8.2	Axiomatic Rules	87
8.3	Soundness / Validity Proofs for Axioms	88
8.4	Local Soundness Proofs for Axiomatic Rules	89
9	dGL Formalization	89

This formalization provides *Differential Game Logic* dGL [5, 4] till Theorem 19, including the corresponding results from [2] till Lemma 13. Differential Game Logic originates from [1].

```
theory Lib
imports
  Complex-Main
begin
```

1 Generic Mathematical Background Lemmas

```
lemma finite-subset [simp]: finite M ==> finite {x ∈ M. P x}
  by simp
```

```
lemma finite-powerset [simp]: finite M ==> finite {S. S ⊆ M}
  by simp
```

```
definition fst-proj:: ('a*'b) set => 'a set
  where fst-proj M ≡ {A. ∃ B. (A,B) ∈ M}
```

```
definition snd-proj:: ('a*'b) set => 'b set
  where snd-proj M ≡ {B. ∃ A. (A,B) ∈ M}
```

```
lemma fst-proj-mem [simp]: (A ∈ fst-proj M) = (∃ B. (A,B) ∈ M)
  unfolding fst-proj-def by auto
```

```
lemma snd-proj-mem [simp]: (B ∈ snd-proj M) = (∃ A. (A,B) ∈ M)
  unfolding snd-proj-def by auto
```

```
lemma fst-proj-prop: ∀ x ∈ fst-proj {(A,B) | A B. P A ∧ R A B}. P(x)
  unfolding fst-proj-def by auto
```

```
lemma snd-proj-prop: ∀ x ∈ snd-proj {(A,B) | A B. P B ∧ R A B}. P(x)
  unfolding snd-proj-def by auto
```

```
lemma map-cons: map f (Cons x xs) = Cons (f x) (map f xs)
  by (rule List.list.map)
```

```
lemma map-append: map f (append xs ys) = append (map f xs) (map f ys)
  by simp
```

Lockstep induction schema for two simultaneous least fixpoints. If the successor step and supremum step of two least fixpoint inflations preserve a relation, then that relation holds of the two respective least fixpoints.

```
lemma lfp-lockstep-induct [case-names monof monog step union]:
  fixes f :: 'a::complete-lattice => 'a
  and g :: 'b::complete-lattice => 'b
  assumes monof: mono f
```

and *monog*: *mono g*
and *R-step*: $\bigwedge A B. A \leq \text{lfp}(f) \implies B \leq \text{lfp}(g) \implies R A B \implies R(f(A)) (g(B))$
and *R-Union*: $\bigwedge M::('a*'b) \text{ set}. (\forall (A,B)\in M. R A B) \implies R(\text{Sup}(\text{fst-proj } M)) (\text{Sup}(\text{snd-proj } M))$
(Sup (snd-proj M))
shows $R(\text{lfp } f) (\text{lfp } g)$
proof–

```

let ?M = {(A,B). A ≤ lfp f ∧ B ≤ lfp g ∧ R A B}
from R-Union have supdoes: R (Sup (fst-proj ?M)) (Sup (snd-proj ?M)) by
simp
also have Sup (fst-proj ?M) = lfp f and Sup (snd-proj ?M) = lfp g
proof (rule antisym)
show fle: Sup (fst-proj ?M) ≤ lfp f
using fst-proj-prop Sup-le-iff by fastforce
then have f (Sup (fst-proj ?M)) ≤ f (lfp f)
by (rule monof [THEN monoD])
then have fsup: f (Sup (fst-proj ?M)) ≤ lfp f
using monof [THEN lfp-unfold] by simp

have gle: Sup (snd-proj ?M) ≤ lfp g
using snd-proj-prop Sup-le-iff by fastforce
then have g (Sup (snd-proj ?M)) ≤ g (lfp g)
by (rule monog [THEN monoD])
then have gsup: g (Sup (snd-proj ?M)) ≤ lfp g
using monog [THEN lfp-unfold] by simp

from fsup and gsup have fgsup: (f(Sup(fst-proj ?M)), g(Sup(snd-proj ?M))) ∈ ?M
using R-Union R-step Sup-le-iff
using calculation fle gle by blast

from fgsup have f (Sup (fst-proj ?M)) ≤ Sup (fst-proj ?M)
using Sup-upper by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) fst-proj-def mem-Collect-eq)
then show fge: lfp f ≤ Sup (fst-proj ?M)
by (rule lfp-lowerbound)
show Sup (snd-proj ?M) = lfp g
proof (rule antisym)
show Sup (snd-proj ?M) ≤ lfp g by (rule gle)
from fgsup have g (Sup (snd-proj ?M)) ≤ Sup (snd-proj ?M)
using Sup-upper by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) snd-proj-def mem-Collect-eq)
then show gge: lfp g ≤ Sup (snd-proj ?M)
by (rule lfp-lowerbound)
qed
qed
then show ?thesis using supdoes by simp
qed

```

lemma *sup-eq-all*: $(\bigwedge A. (A \in M \implies f(A) = g(A)))$

```

 $\Rightarrow \text{Sup } \{f(A) \mid A. A \in M\} = \text{Sup } \{g(A) \mid A. A \in M\}$ 
by metis

lemma sup-corr-eq-chain:  $\bigwedge M::('a::\text{complete-lattice}*'a) \text{ set}. (\forall (A,B) \in M. f(A) = g(B))$ 
 $\Rightarrow (\text{Sup } \{f(A) \mid A. A \in \text{fst-proj } M\} = \text{Sup } \{g(B) \mid B. B \in \text{snd-proj } M\})$ 
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case Prod-conv fst-proj-mem snd-proj-mem)

end
theory Identifiers
imports Complex-Main
begin

```

1.1 Identifier Namespace Configuration

Different configurations are possible for the namespace of identifiers. Finite support is the only important aspect of it.

type-synonym $ident = char$

The identifier used for the replacement marker in uniform substitutions

abbreviation $dotid:: ident$
where $dotid \equiv CHR \".\"$

```

end
theory Syntax
imports
  Complex-Main
  Identifiers
begin

```

2 Syntax

Defines the syntax of Differential Game Logic as inductively defined data types. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2817824> https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

2.1 Terms

Numeric literals

type-synonym $lit = real$

the set of all real variables

abbreviation $allidents:: ident \text{ set}$
where $allidents \equiv \{x \mid x. True\}$

Variables and differential variables

datatype $variable =$

```

RVar ident
| DVar ident

datatype trm =
  Var variable
| Number lit
| Const ident
| Func ident trm
| Plus trm trm
| Times trm trm
| Differential trm

```

2.2 Formulas and Hybrid Games

```

datatype fml =
  Pred ident trm
| Geq trm trm
| Not fml           (!)
| And fml fml      (infixr && 8)
| Exists variable fml
| Diamond game fml   ((( - ) -) 20)
and game =
  Game ident
| Assign variable trm  (infixr := 20)
| Test fml            (?)
| Choice game game    (infixr UU 10)
| Compose game game   (infixr ;; 8)
| Loop game          (-**-)
| Dual game          (-^d)
| ODE ident trm

```

Derived operators **definition** *Neg* :: *trm* \Rightarrow *trm*
where *Neg* ϑ = *Times* (*Number* (-1)) ϑ

definition *Minus* :: *trm* \Rightarrow *trm* \Rightarrow *trm*
where *Minus* ϑ η = *Plus* ϑ (*Neg* η)

definition *Or* :: *fml* \Rightarrow *fml* \Rightarrow *fml* (**infixr** *||* 7)
where *Or* P Q = *Not* (*And* (*Not* P) (*Not* Q))

definition *Implies* :: *fml* \Rightarrow *fml* \Rightarrow *fml* (**infixr** *→* 10)
where *Implies* P Q = *Or* Q (*Not* P)

definition *Equiv* :: *fml* \Rightarrow *fml* \Rightarrow *fml* (**infixr** *↔* 10)
where *Equiv* P Q = *Or* (*And* P Q) (*And* (*Not* P) (*Not* Q))

definition *Forall* :: *variable* \Rightarrow *fml* \Rightarrow *fml*
where *Forall* x P = *Not* (*Exists* x (*Not* P))

```
definition Equals :: trm  $\Rightarrow$  trm  $\Rightarrow$  fml
where Equals  $\vartheta \vartheta' = ((\text{Geq } \vartheta \vartheta') \&\& (\text{Geq } \vartheta' \vartheta))$ 
```

```
definition Greater :: trm  $\Rightarrow$  trm  $\Rightarrow$  fml
where Greater  $\vartheta \vartheta' = ((\text{Geq } \vartheta \vartheta') \&\& (\text{Not } (\text{Geq } \vartheta' \vartheta)))$ 
```

Justification: determinacy theorem justifies this equivalent syntactic abbreviation for box modalities from diamond modalities Theorem 3.1 <https://doi.org/10.1145/2817824>

```
definition Box :: game  $\Rightarrow$  fml  $\Rightarrow$  fml ( $\langle \langle [ - ] - \rangle \rangle 20$ )
where Box  $\alpha P = \text{Not } (\text{Diamond } \alpha (\text{Not } P))$ 
```

```
definition TT :: fml
where TT = Geq (Number 0) (Number 0)
```

```
definition FF :: fml
where FF = Geq (Number 0) (Number 1)
```

```
definition Skip :: game
where Skip = Test TT
```

Inference: premises, then conclusion

```
type-synonym inference = fml list * fml
```

```
type-synonym sequent = fml list * fml list
```

Rule: premises, then conclusion

```
type-synonym rule = sequent list * sequent
```

2.3 Structural Induction

Induction principles for hybrid games owing to their mutually recursive definition with formulas

```
lemma game-induct [case-names Game Assign ODE Test Choice Compose Loop Dual]:
```

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bigwedge a. P (\text{Game } a)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge x \vartheta. P (\text{Assign } x \vartheta)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge x \vartheta. P (\text{ODE } x \vartheta)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge \varphi. P (? \varphi)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge \alpha \beta. P \alpha \implies P \beta \implies P (\alpha \cup \beta)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge \alpha \beta. P \alpha \implies P \beta \implies P (\alpha ; \beta)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge \alpha. P \alpha \implies P (\alpha^{**})) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge \alpha. P \alpha \implies P (\alpha^{\wedge d})) \\ & \implies P \alpha \end{aligned}$$

by(induction rule: game.induct) (auto)

```
lemma fml-induct [case-names Pred Geq Not And Exists Diamond]:
 $(\bigwedge x \vartheta. P (\text{Pred } x \vartheta))$ 
```

```

 $\implies (\bigwedge \vartheta. P (Geq \vartheta \eta))$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge \varphi. P \varphi \implies P (Not \varphi))$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge \varphi \psi. P \varphi \implies P \psi \implies P (And \varphi \psi))$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge x \varphi. P \varphi \implies P (Exists x \varphi))$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge \alpha \varphi. P \varphi \implies P (Diamond \alpha \varphi))$ 
 $\implies P \varphi$ 
by (induction rule: fml.induct) (auto)

```

the set of all variables

abbreviation *allvars*:: variable set
where *allvars* $\equiv \{x::variable. True\}$

end
theory *Denotational-Semantics*
imports
HOL-Analysis.Derivative
Syntax
begin

3 Denotational Semantics

Defines the denotational semantics of Differential Game Logic. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2817824> https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

3.1 States

Vector of reals over ident

type-synonym *Rvec* = *variable* \Rightarrow *real*
type-synonym *state* = *Rvec*

the set of all worlds

definition *worlds*:: state set
where *worlds* = $\{\nu. True\}$

the set of all variables

abbreviation *allvars*:: variable set
where *allvars* $\equiv \{x::variable. True\}$

the set of all real variables

abbreviation *allrvs*:: variable set
where *allrvs* $\equiv \{RVar x \mid x. True\}$

the set of all differential variables

abbreviation *alldvrs*:: variable set
where *alldvrs* $\equiv \{DVar x \mid x. True\}$

```

lemma ident-finite: finite({x::ident. True})
  by auto

lemma allvar-cases: allvars = allrvars ∪ alldvars
  using variable.exhaust by blast

lemma rvar-finite: finite allrvars
  using finite-imageI[OF ident-finite, where h=⟨λx. RVar x⟩] by (simp add:
full-SetCompr-eq)

lemma dvar-finite: finite alldvars
  using finite-imageI[OF ident-finite, where h=⟨λx. DVar x⟩] by (simp add:
full-SetCompr-eq)

lemma allvars-finite [simp]: finite(allvars)
  using allvar-cases dvar-finite rvar-finite by (metis finite-Un)

definition Vagree :: state ⇒ state ⇒ variable set ⇒ bool
  where Vagree ν ν' V ≡ (∀ i. i ∈ V → ν(i) = ν'(i))

definition Uvariation :: state ⇒ state ⇒ variable set ⇒ bool
  where Uvariation ν ν' U ≡ (∀ i. ∼(i ∈ U) → ν(i) = ν'(i))

lemma Uvariation-Vagree [simp]: Uvariation ν ν' (−V) = Vagree ν ν' V
  unfolding Vagree-def Uvariation-def by simp

lemma Vagree-refl [simp]: Vagree ν ν V
  by (auto simp add: Vagree-def)

lemma Vagree-sym: Vagree ν ν' V = Vagree ν' ν V
  by (auto simp add: Vagree-def)

lemma Vagree-sym-rel [sym]: Vagree ν ν' V ⇒ Vagree ν' ν V
  using Vagree-sym by auto

lemma Vagree-union [trans]: Vagree ν ν' V ⇒ Vagree ν ν' W ⇒ Vagree ν ν'
(V ∪ W)
  by (auto simp add: Vagree-def)

lemma Vagree-trans [trans]: Vagree ν ν' V ⇒ Vagree ν' ν'' W ⇒ Vagree ν ν''
(V ∩ W)
  by (auto simp add: Vagree-def)

lemma Vagree-antimon [simp]: Vagree ν ν' V ∧ W ⊆ V → Vagree ν ν' W
  by (auto simp add: Vagree-def)

```

```

lemma Vagree-empty [simp]: Vagree  $\nu \nu' \{\}$ 
by (auto simp add: Vagree-def)

lemma Uvariation-empty [simp]: Uvariation  $\nu \nu' \{\} = (\nu = \nu')$ 
by (auto simp add: Uvariation-def)

lemma Vagree-univ [simp]: Vagree  $\nu \nu' \text{ allvars} = (\nu = \nu')$ 
by (auto simp add: Vagree-def)

lemma Uvariation-univ [simp]: Uvariation  $\nu \nu' \text{ allvars}$ 
by (auto simp add: Uvariation-def)

lemma Vagree-and [simp]: Vagree  $\nu \nu' V \wedge \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' W \longleftrightarrow \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' (V \cup W)$ 
by (auto simp add: Vagree-def)

lemma Vagree-or: Vagree  $\nu \nu' V \vee \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' W \longrightarrow \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' (V \cap W)$ 
by (auto simp add: Vagree-def)

lemma Uvariation-refl [simp]: Uvariation  $\nu \nu V$ 
by (auto simp add: Uvariation-def)

lemma Uvariation-sym: Uvariation  $\omega \nu U = \text{Uvariation } \nu \omega U$ 
unfolding Uvariation-def by auto

lemma Uvariation-sym-rel [sym]: Uvariation  $\omega \nu U \implies \text{Uvariation } \nu \omega U$ 
using Uvariation-sym by auto

lemma Uvariation-trans [trans]: Uvariation  $\omega \nu U \implies \text{Uvariation } \nu \mu V \implies \text{Uvariation } \omega \mu (U \cup V)$ 
unfolding Uvariation-def by simp

lemma Uvariation-mon [simp]:  $V \supseteq U \implies \text{Uvariation } \omega \nu U \implies \text{Uvariation } \omega \nu V$ 
unfolding Uvariation-def by auto

```

3.2 Interpretations

```

lemma mon-mono: mono  $r = ((\forall X Y. (X \subseteq Y \longrightarrow r(X) \subseteq r(Y))))$ 
unfolding mono-def by simp

```

interpretations of symbols in ident

```

type-synonym interp-rep =
 $(\text{ident} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \times (\text{ident} \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})) \times (\text{ident} \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{bool})) \times (\text{ident} \Rightarrow (\text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{state set}))$ 

```

```

definition is-interp :: interp-rep  $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where is-interp  $I \equiv \text{case } I \text{ of } (-, -, -, G) \Rightarrow (\forall a. \text{mono } (G a))$ 

```

```

typedef interp = {I:: interp-rep. is-interp I}
  morphisms raw-interp well-interp
proof
  show ( $\lambda f. \ 0, \ \lambda f\ x. \ 0, \ \lambda p\ x. \ True, \ \lambda a. \ \lambda X. \ X) \in \{I. \ is\text{-}interp \ I\}$  unfolding
    is-interp-def mono-def by simp
qed

```

setup-lifting type-definition-interp

```

lift-definition Consts::interp  $\Rightarrow$  ident  $\Rightarrow$  (real) is  $\lambda(F0, -, -, -). \ F0 \ .$ 
lift-definition Funcs:: interp  $\Rightarrow$  ident  $\Rightarrow$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  real) is  $\lambda(-, F, -, -). \ F \ .$ 
lift-definition Preds:: interp  $\Rightarrow$  ident  $\Rightarrow$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  bool) is  $\lambda(-, -, P, -). \ P \ .$ 
lift-definition Games:: interp  $\Rightarrow$  ident  $\Rightarrow$  (state set  $\Rightarrow$  state set) is  $\lambda(-, -, -, G). \ G \ .$ 

```

make interpretations

```

lift-definition mkinterp:: (ident  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\times$  (ident  $\Rightarrow$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  real))  $\times$  (ident  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (real  $\Rightarrow$  bool))  $\times$  (ident  $\Rightarrow$  (state set  $\Rightarrow$  state set))
 $\Rightarrow$  interp
  is  $\lambda(C, F, P, G). \ if \ \forall a. \ mono(G\ a) \ then (C, F, P, G) \ else (C, F, P, \lambda- -. \ \{\})$ 
  by (auto split: prod.splits simp: mono-def is-interp-def)

```

```

lemma Consts-mkinterp [simp]: Consts (mkinterp(C,F,P,G)) = C
  apply (transfer fixing: C F P G)
  apply (auto simp add: is-interp-def mono-def)
  done

```

```

lemma Funcs-mkinterp [simp]: Funcs (mkinterp(C,F,P,G)) = F
  apply (transfer fixing: C F P G)
  apply (auto simp add: is-interp-def mono-def)
  done

```

```

lemma Preds-mkinterp [simp]: Preds (mkinterp(C,F,P,G)) = P
  apply (transfer fixing: C F P G)
  apply (auto simp add: is-interp-def mono-def)
  done

```

```

lemma Games-mkinterp [simp]: ( $\bigwedge a. \ mono(G\ a)$ )  $\implies$  Games (mkinterp(C,F,P,G))
= G
  apply (transfer fixing: C F P G)
  apply (auto simp add: is-interp-def mono-def)
  done

```

```

lemma mkinterp-eq [iff]: (Consts I = Consts J  $\wedge$  Funcs I = Funcs J  $\wedge$  Preds I
= Preds J  $\wedge$  Games I = Games J) = (I=J)
  apply (transfer fixing: C F P G)
  apply (auto simp add: is-interp-def mono-def)
  done

```

```
lemma [simp]:  $X \subseteq Y \implies (\text{Games } I a)(X) \subseteq (\text{Games } I a)(Y)$ 
```

```
  apply (transfer fixing: a X Y)
```

```
  apply (auto simp add: is-interp-def mono-def)
```

```
  apply (blast)
```

```
  done
```

```
lifting-update interp.lifting
```

```
lifting-forget interp.lifting
```

3.3 Semantics

Semantic modification $\text{repv } \omega x r$ replaces the value of variable x in the state ω with r

```
definition repv :: state  $\Rightarrow$  variable  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  state
```

```
  where repv  $\omega x r = \text{fun-upd } \omega x r$ 
```

```
lemma repv-def-correct:  $\text{repv } \omega x r = (\lambda y. \text{if } x = y \text{ then } r \text{ else } \omega(y))$ 
```

```
  unfolding repv-def by auto
```

```
lemma repv-access [simp]:  $(\text{repv } \omega x r)(y) = (\text{if } (x=y) \text{ then } r \text{ else } \omega(y))$ 
```

```
  unfolding repv-def by simp
```

```
lemma repv-self [simp]:  $\text{repv } \omega x (\omega(x)) = \omega$ 
```

```
  unfolding repv-def by auto
```

```
lemma Vagree-repv:  $\text{Vagree } \omega (\text{repv } \omega x d) (-\{x\})$ 
```

```
  unfolding repv-def Vagree-def by simp
```

```
lemma Vagree-repv-self:  $\text{Vagree } \omega (\text{repv } \omega x d) \{x\} = (d = \omega(x))$ 
```

```
  unfolding repv-def Vagree-def by auto
```

```
lemma Uvariation-repv:  $\text{Uvariation } \omega (\text{repv } \omega x d) \{x\}$ 
```

```
  unfolding repv-def Uvariation-def by simp
```

Semantics of Terms $\text{fun term-sem} :: \text{interp} \Rightarrow \text{trm} \Rightarrow (\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{real})$

where

```
  term-sem I (Var x) = ( $\lambda \omega. \omega(x)$ )
```

```
  | term-sem I (Number r) = ( $\lambda \omega. r$ )
```

```
  | term-sem I (Const f) = ( $\lambda \omega. (\text{Consts } I f)$ )
```

```
  | term-sem I (Func f  $\vartheta$ ) = ( $\lambda \omega. (\text{Funcs } I f)(\text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega)$ )
```

```
  | term-sem I (Plus  $\vartheta$   $\eta$ ) = ( $\lambda \omega. \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega + \text{term-sem } I \eta \omega$ )
```

```
  | term-sem I (Times  $\vartheta$   $\eta$ ) = ( $\lambda \omega. \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega * \text{term-sem } I \eta \omega$ )
```

```
  | term-sem I (Differential  $\vartheta$ ) = ( $\lambda \omega. \text{sum}(\lambda x. \omega(DVar x) * \text{deriv}(\lambda X. \text{term-sem } I \vartheta (repv \omega (RVar x) X))(\omega(RVar x)))$ )
```

```
  | term-sem I (allidents) = ( $\lambda \omega. \text{allidents}$ )
```

Solutions of Differential Equations $\text{type-synonym solution} = \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{state}$

definition *solves-ODE* :: *interp* \Rightarrow *solution* \Rightarrow *ident* \Rightarrow *trm* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *solves-ODE* *I F x v* \equiv $(\forall \zeta : \text{real}.$

$$\begin{aligned} & V\text{agree } (F(0)) (F(\zeta)) (-\{R\text{Var } x, D\text{Var } x\}) \\ & \wedge F(\zeta)(D\text{Var } x) = \text{deriv}(\lambda t. F(t)(R\text{Var } x))(\zeta) \\ & \wedge F(\zeta)(D\text{Var } x) = \text{term-sem } I v (F(\zeta))) \end{aligned}$$

Semantics of Formulas and Games **fun** *fml-sem* :: *interp* \Rightarrow *fml* \Rightarrow (*state set*) **and**

$$\text{game-sem} :: \text{interp} \Rightarrow \text{game} \Rightarrow (\text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{state set})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Pred } p v) = \{\omega. (\text{Preds } I p)(\text{term-sem } I v \omega)\} \\ | \quad & \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Geq } v \eta) = \{\omega. \text{term-sem } I v \omega \geq \text{term-sem } I \eta \omega\} \\ | \quad & \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Not } \varphi) = \{\omega. \omega \notin \text{fml-sem } I \varphi\} \\ | \quad & \text{fml-sem } I (\text{And } \varphi \psi) = \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \cap \text{fml-sem } I \psi \\ | \quad & \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Exists } x \varphi) = \{\omega. \exists r. (\text{repv } \omega x r) \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi\} \\ | \quad & \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi) = \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{fml-sem } I \varphi) \\ \\ | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Game } a) = (\lambda X. (\text{Games } I a)(X)) \\ | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Assign } x v) = (\lambda X. \{\omega. (\text{repv } \omega x (\text{term-sem } I v \omega)) \in X\}) \\ | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Test } \varphi) = (\lambda X. \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \cap X) \\ | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Choice } \alpha \beta) = (\lambda X. \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \cup \text{game-sem } I \beta X) \\ | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Compose } \alpha \beta) = (\lambda X. \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{game-sem } I \beta X)) \\ | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\lambda X. \bigcap \{Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z \subseteq Z\}) \\ | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{Dual } \alpha) = (\lambda X. -(\text{game-sem } I \alpha (-X))) \\ | \quad & \text{game-sem } I (\text{ODE } x v) = (\lambda X. \{\omega. \exists F T. V\text{agree } \omega (F(0)) (-\{D\text{Var } x\}) \wedge F(T) \\ & \in X \wedge \text{solves-ODE } I F x v\}) \end{aligned}$$

Validity

definition *valid-in* :: *interp* \Rightarrow *fml* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *valid-in* *I v* \equiv $(\forall \omega. \omega \in \text{fml-sem } I v)$

definition *valid* :: *fml* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *valid v* \equiv $(\forall I. \forall \omega. \omega \in \text{fml-sem } I v)$

lemma *valid-is-valid-in-all*: *valid v* $=$ $(\forall I. \text{valid-in } I v)$
unfolding *valid-def valid-in-def* **by** *auto*

definition *locally-sound* :: *inference* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *locally-sound R* \equiv
 $(\forall I. (\forall k. 0 \leq k \longrightarrow k < \text{length } (\text{fst } R) \longrightarrow \text{valid-in } I (\text{nth } (\text{fst } R) k)) \longrightarrow \text{valid-in } I (\text{snd } R))$

definition *sound* :: *inference* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *sound R* \equiv
 $(\forall k. 0 \leq k \longrightarrow k < \text{length } (\text{fst } R) \longrightarrow \text{valid } (\text{nth } (\text{fst } R) k)) \longrightarrow \text{valid } (\text{snd } R)$

lemma *locally-sound-is-sound*: *locally-sound R* \implies *sound R*
unfolding *locally-sound-def sound-def* **using** *valid-is-valid-in-all* **by** *auto*

3.4 Monotone Semantics

```

lemma monotone-Test [simp]:  $X \subseteq Y \implies \text{game-sem } I (\text{Test } \varphi) X \subseteq \text{game-sem } I (\text{Test } \varphi) Y$ 
  by auto

lemma monotone [simp]:  $X \subseteq Y \implies \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \subseteq \text{game-sem } I \alpha Y$ 
  proof (induction  $\alpha$  arbitrary:  $X Y$  rule: game-induct)
    case (Game  $a$ )
      then show ?case by simp
    next
      case (Assign  $x \vartheta$ )
        then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (Test  $\varphi$ )
        then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (Choice  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$ )
        then show ?case by (metis Un-mono game-sem.simps(4))
    next
      case (Compose  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$ )
        then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (Loop  $\alpha$ )
        then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (Dual  $\alpha$ )
        then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (ODE  $x \vartheta$ )
        then show ?case by auto
    qed

corollary game-sem-mono [simp]: mono  $(\lambda X. \text{game-sem } I \alpha X)$ 
  by (simp add: mon-mono)

corollary game-union:  $\text{game-sem } I \alpha (X \cup Y) \supseteq \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Y$ 
  by simp

```

lemmas game-sem-union = game-union

3.5 Fixpoint Semantics Alternative for Loops

```

lemma game-sem-loop-fixpoint-mono: mono  $(\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z)$ 
  using game-sem-mono by (metis Un-mono mon-mono order-refl)

```

Consequence of Knaster-Tarski Theorem 3.5 of <https://doi.org/10.1145/2817824>

```

lemma game-sem-loop:  $\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\lambda X. \text{lfp}(\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z))$ 

```

```

Z))
proof-
  have  $\bigcap \{Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z \subseteq Z\} = \text{lfp}(\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z)$  by  

  (simp add: lfp-def)
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: lfp-def)
qed

corollary game-sem-loop-back:  $(\lambda X. \text{lfp}(\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z)) = \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha)$   

using game-sem-loop by simp

corollary game-sem-loop-iterate:  $\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\lambda X. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X))$   

by (metis (no-types) game-sem-loop game-sem-loop-fixpoint-mono lfp-fixpoint)

corollary game-sem-loop-unwind:  $\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\lambda X. X \cup \text{game-sem } I (\text{Compose } \alpha (\text{Loop } \alpha) X))$   

using game-sem-loop-iterate by (metis game-sem.simps(5))

corollary game-sem-loop-unwind-reduce:  $(\lambda X. X \cup \text{game-sem } I (\text{Compose } \alpha (\text{Loop } \alpha) X)) = \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha)$   

using game-sem-loop-unwind by (rule sym)

lemmas lfp-ordinal-induct-set-cases = lfp-ordinal-induct-set [case-names mono step union]

lemma game-loop-induct [case-names step union]:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bigwedge Z. Z \subseteq \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X \implies P(Z) \implies P(X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z)) \\ & \implies (\bigwedge M. (\forall Z \in M. P(Z)) \implies P(\text{Sup } M)) \\ & \implies P(\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X) \end{aligned}$$

proof-
  assume loopstep:  $\bigwedge Z. Z \subseteq \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X \implies P(Z) \implies P(X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z)$ 
  assume loopsup:  $\bigwedge M. (\forall Z \in M. P(Z)) \implies P(\text{Sup } M)$ 
  have  $P(\text{lfp}(\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z))$ 
  proof (induction rule: lfp-ordinal-induct[where f=λZ. X ∪ game-sem I α Z])
    case mono
    then show ?case using game-sem-loop-fixpoint-mono by simp
  next
    case (step S)
    then show ?case using loopstep[where Z=S] game-sem-loop[where I=I and α=α] by (simp add: loopstep)
  next
    case (union M)
    then show ?case using loopsup game-sem-loop by auto
  qed
  then show  $P(\text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X)$  using game-sem-loop by simp

```

qed

3.6 Some Simple Obvious Observations

lemma *fml-sem-not* [simp]: *fml-sem I (Not φ) = -fml-sem I φ*
 by auto

lemma *fml-sem-not-not* [simp]: *fml-sem I (Not (Not φ)) = fml-sem I φ*
 by simp

lemma *fml-sem-or* [simp]: *fml-sem I (Or φ ψ) = fml-sem I φ ∪ fml-sem I ψ*
 unfolding Or-def **by** auto

lemma *fml-sem-implies* [simp]: *fml-sem I (Implies φ ψ) = (-fml-sem I φ) ∪ fml-sem I ψ*
 unfolding Implies-def **by** auto

lemma *TT-valid* [simp]: *valid TT*
 unfolding valid-def TT-def **by** simp

Semantic equivalence of formulas **definition** *fml-equiv*:: *fml => fml => bool*
 where *fml-equiv φ ψ ≡ (forall I. fml-sem I φ = fml-sem I ψ)*

Substitutionality for Equivalent Formulas

lemma *fml-equiv-subst*: *fml-equiv φ ψ ==> P (fml-sem I φ) ==> P (fml-sem I ψ)*
 proof–
 assume *a1: fml-equiv φ ψ*
 assume *a2: P (fml-sem I φ)*
 from *a1 have* *fml-sem I φ = fml-sem I ψ* **using** *fml-equiv-def* **by** blast
 then show ?thesis **using** *forw-subst a2* **by** simp
 qed

lemma *valid-fml-equiv*: *valid (φ ↔ ψ) = fml-equiv φ ψ*
 unfolding valid-def Equiv-def Or-def fml-equiv-def **by** auto

lemma *valid-in-equiv*: *valid-in I (φ ↔ ψ) = ((fml-sem I φ) = (fml-sem I ψ))*
 using valid-in-def Equiv-def Or-def **by** auto

lemma *valid-in-impl*: *valid-in I (φ → ψ) = ((fml-sem I φ) ⊆ (fml-sem I ψ))*
 unfolding valid-in-def Implies-def Or-def **by** auto

lemma *valid-equiv*: *valid (φ ↔ ψ) = (forall I. fml-sem I φ = fml-sem I ψ)*
 using valid-fml-equiv fml-equiv-def **by** auto

lemma *valid-impl*: *valid (φ → ψ) = (forall I. (fml-sem I φ) ⊆ (fml-sem I ψ))*
 unfolding valid-def Implies-def Or-def **by** auto

```

lemma fml-sem-equals [simp]:  $(\omega \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Equals } \vartheta \eta)) = (\text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega = \text{term-sem } I \eta \omega)$ 
  unfolding valid-def Equals-def Or-def by auto

lemma equiv-refl-valid [simp]: valid ( $\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi$ )
  unfolding valid-def Equiv-def Or-def by simp

lemma equal-refl-valid [simp]: valid (Equals  $\vartheta \vartheta$ )
  unfolding valid-def Equals-def Or-def by simp

lemma solves-ODE-alt : solves-ODE  $I F x \vartheta \equiv (\forall \zeta :: \text{real}.$ 
   $V\text{agree } (F(0)) (F(\zeta)) (-\{R\text{Var } x, D\text{Var } x\})$ 
   $\wedge F(\zeta)(D\text{Var } x) = \text{deriv}(\lambda t. F(t)(R\text{Var } x))(\zeta)$ 
   $\wedge F(\zeta) \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Equals } (\text{Var } (D\text{Var } x)) \vartheta))$ 
  unfolding solves-ODE-def using fml-sem-equals by simp

```

Semantic equivalence of games definition game-equiv:: $\text{game} \Rightarrow \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where game-equiv $\alpha \beta \equiv (\forall I X. \text{game-sem } I \alpha X = \text{game-sem } I \beta X)$

Substitutionality for Equivalent Games

```

lemma game-equiv-subst: game-equiv  $\alpha \beta \implies P (\text{game-sem } I \alpha X) \implies P (\text{game-sem } I \beta X)$ 
proof-
  assume a1: game-equiv  $\alpha \beta$ 
  assume a2:  $P (\text{game-sem } I \alpha X)$ 
  from a1 have game-sem  $I \alpha X = \text{game-sem } I \beta X$  using game-equiv-def by blast
  then show ?thesis using forw-subst a2 by simp
qed

lemma game-equiv-subst-eq: game-equiv  $\alpha \beta \implies P (\text{game-sem } I \alpha X) == P (\text{game-sem } I \beta X)$ 
  by (simp add: game-equiv-def)

```

```

lemma skip-id [simp]: game-sem  $I \text{Skip } X = X$ 
  unfolding Skip-def TT-def by auto

```

```

lemma loop-iterate-equiv: game-equiv (Loop  $\alpha$ ) (Choice Skip (Compose  $\alpha$  (Loop  $\alpha$ )))
  unfolding game-equiv-def
  proof (clarify)
    fix  $I X$ 
    from game-sem-loop-unwind-reduce have  $X \cup \text{game-sem } I (\text{Compose } \alpha (\text{Loop } \alpha)) X = \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X$  by metis
    then show game-sem  $I (\text{Loop } \alpha) X = \text{game-sem } I (\text{Choice Skip (Compose } \alpha (\text{Loop } \alpha))) X$  using skip-id by auto
qed

```

```

lemma fml-equiv-valid: fml-equiv  $\varphi \psi \implies \text{valid } \varphi = \text{valid } \psi$ 
  unfolding valid-def using fml-equiv-subst by blast

lemma solves-Vagree: solves-ODE I F x  $\vartheta \implies (\bigwedge \zeta. \text{Vagree}(F(\zeta))(F(0)) (-\{RVar x, DVar x\}))$ 
  using solves-ODE-def Vagree-sym-rel by blast

lemma solves-Vagree-trans: Uvariation(F(0))  $\omega U \implies \text{solves-ODE I F x } \vartheta \implies$ 
  Uvariation(F( $\zeta$ ))  $\omega (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\})$ 
  using solves-Vagree Uvariation-Vagree solves-ODE-def
  by (metis Uvariation-sym-rel Uvariation-trans double-complement)

end
theory Static-Semantics
imports
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
begin

```

4 Static Semantics

4.1 Semantically-defined Static Semantics

Auxiliary notions of projection of winning conditions upward projection: *restrictto X V* is extends X to the states that agree on V with some state in X, so variables outside V can assume arbitrary values.

```

definition restrictto :: state set  $\Rightarrow$  variable set  $\Rightarrow$  state set
where
  restrictto  $X V = \{\nu. \exists \omega. \omega \in X \wedge \text{Vagree } \omega \nu V\}$ 

```

downward projection: *selectlike X ν V* selects state ν on V in X, so all variables of V are required to remain constant

```

definition selectlike :: state set  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  variable set  $\Rightarrow$  state set
where
  selectlike  $X \nu V = \{\omega \in X. \text{Vagree } \omega \nu V\}$ 

```

Free variables, semantically characterized. Free variables of a term

```

definition FVT :: trm  $\Rightarrow$  variable set
where
   $FVT t = \{x. \exists I. \exists \nu. \exists \omega. \text{Vagree } \nu \omega (-\{x\}) \wedge \neg(\text{term-sem } I t \nu = \text{term-sem } I t \omega)\}$ 

```

Free variables of a formula

```

definition FVF :: fml  $\Rightarrow$  variable set
where

```

$FVF \varphi = \{x. \exists I. \exists \nu. \exists \omega. Vagree \nu \omega (-\{x\}) \wedge \nu \in fml-sem I \varphi \wedge \omega \notin fml-sem I \varphi\}$

Free variables of a hybrid game

definition $FVG :: game \Rightarrow variable\ set$
where

$FVG \alpha = \{x. \exists I. \exists \nu. \exists \omega. \exists X. Vagree \nu \omega (-\{x\}) \wedge \nu \in game-sem I \alpha (restrictto X (-\{x\})) \wedge \omega \notin game-sem I \alpha (restrictto X (-\{x\}))\}$

Bound variables, semantically characterized. Bound variables of a hybrid game

definition $BVG :: game \Rightarrow variable\ set$
where

$BVG \alpha = \{x. \exists I. \exists \omega. \exists X. \omega \in game-sem I \alpha X \wedge \omega \notin game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \omega \{x\})\}$

4.2 Simple Observations

lemma $BVG\text{-elem} [simp] : (x \in BVG \alpha) = (\exists I \omega X. \omega \in game-sem I \alpha X \wedge \omega \notin game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \omega \{x\}))$

unfolding $BVG\text{-def}$ by $simp$

lemma $nonBVG\text{-rule} : (\bigwedge I \omega X. (\omega \in game-sem I \alpha X)) = (\omega \in game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \omega \{x\}))$

$\implies x \notin BVG \alpha$

using $BVG\text{-elem}$ by $simp$

lemma $nonBVG\text{-inc-rule} : (\bigwedge I \omega X. (\omega \in game-sem I \alpha X)) \implies (\omega \in game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \omega \{x\}))$

$\implies x \notin BVG \alpha$

using $BVG\text{-elem}$ by $simp$

lemma $FVT\text{-finite} : finite(FVT t)$

using $allvars\text{-finite}$ by (metis finite-subset mem-Collect-eq subsetI)

lemma $FVF\text{-finite} : finite(FVF e)$

using $allvars\text{-finite}$ by (metis finite-subset mem-Collect-eq subsetI)

lemma $FVG\text{-finite} : finite(FVG a)$

using $allvars\text{-finite}$ by (metis finite-subset mem-Collect-eq subsetI)

end

theory *Coincidence*

imports

Lib

Syntax

Denotational-Semantics

Static-Semantics

HOL.Finite-Set

begin

5 Static Semantics Properties

5.1 Auxiliaries

The state interpolating $\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega S$ between ν and ω that is ν on S and ω elsewhere

```

definition stateinterpol:: state  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  variable set  $\Rightarrow$  state
  where
    stateinterpol  $\nu \omega S = (\lambda x. \text{if } (x \in S) \text{ then } \nu(x) \text{ else } \omega(x))$ 

definition statediff:: state  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  variable set
  where statediff  $\nu \omega = \{x. \nu(x) \neq \omega(x)\}$ 

lemma nostaatediff:  $x \notin \text{statediff } \nu \omega \implies \nu(x) = \omega(x)$ 
  by (simp add: statediff-def)

lemma stateinterpol-empty: stateinterpol  $\nu \omega \{\} = \omega$ 
proof
  fix  $x$ 
  have empty:  $\bigwedge x. \neg(x \in \{\})$  by auto
  show  $\bigwedge x. \text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega \{\} x = \omega x$  using empty by (simp add: stateinterpol-def)
qed

lemma stateinterpol-left [simp]:  $x \in S \implies (\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega S)(x) = \nu(x)$ 
  by (simp add: stateinterpol-def)

lemma stateinterpol-right [simp]:  $x \notin S \implies (\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega S)(x) = \omega(x)$ 
  by (simp add: stateinterpol-def)

lemma Vagree-stateinterpol [simp]: Vagree (stateinterpol  $\nu \omega S) \nu S$ 
  and Vagree (stateinterpol  $\nu \omega S) \omega (-S)$ 
  unfolding Vagree-def by auto

lemma Vagree-ror: Vagree  $\nu \nu' (V \cap W) \implies (\exists \omega. (\text{Vagree } \nu \omega V \wedge \text{Vagree } \omega \nu' W))$ 
proof -
  assume Vagree  $\nu \nu' (V \cap W)$ 
  hence  $\forall x. x \in V \cap W \longrightarrow \nu(x) = \nu'(x)$  by (simp add: Vagree-def)
  let ?w=stateinterpol  $\nu \nu' V$ 
  have l: Vagree  $\nu ?w V$  by (simp add: Vagree-def)
  have r: Vagree  $?w \nu' W \wedge \text{Vagree } ?w \nu' W$  by (simp add: Vagree-def stateinterpol-def  $\forall x. x \in V \cap W \longrightarrow \nu x = \nu' x$ )
  have Vagree  $\nu ?w V \wedge \text{Vagree } ?w \nu' W$  using l and r by blast
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

Remark 8 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15 about simple properties of projections

lemma *restrictto-extends* [simp]: *restrictto X V ⊇ X*
by (auto simp add: *restrictto-def*)

lemma *restrictto-compose* [simp]: *restrictto (restrictto X V) W = restrictto X (V ∩ W)*
proof
show *restrictto (restrictto X V) W ⊆ restrictto X (V ∩ W)*
by (auto simp add: *restrictto-def Vagree-def*)

next
show *restrictto X (V ∩ W) ⊆ restrictto (restrictto X V) W*

proof –
obtain *rr :: (variable ⇒ real) set ⇒ (variable ⇒ real) set ⇒ variable ⇒ real*
where
 $\forall x0 x1. (\exists v2. v2 \in x1 \wedge v2 \notin x0) = (rr x0 x1 \in x1 \wedge rr x0 x1 \notin x0)$
by *moura*
then have *f1: ∀ F Fa. rr Fa F ∈ F ∧ rr Fa F ∉ Fa ∨ F ⊆ Fa*
by (*meson subsetI*)
obtain *rra :: (variable ⇒ real) ⇒ variable set ⇒ (variable ⇒ real) set ⇒ variable ⇒ real where*
 $\forall x0 x1 x2. (\exists v3. v3 \in x2 \wedge Vagree v3 x0 x1) = (rra x0 x1 x2 \in x2 \wedge Vagree (rra x0 x1 x2) x0 x1)$
by *moura*
then have *f2: ∀ F V f. (f ∉ {f. ∃ fa. fa ∈ F ∧ Vagree fa f V} ∨ rra f V F ∈ F ∧ Vagree (rra f V F) f V) ∧ (f ∈ {f. ∃ fa. fa ∈ F ∧ Vagree fa f V} ∨ (∀ fa. fa ∉ F ∨ ∃ fa. fa ∈ F ∧ Vagree fa f V))*
by *blast*
moreover
{ **assume** $\exists f. f \in X \wedge Vagree f (v4-1 W V (rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\}) (rra \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\}) (V \cap W) X))$
moreover
{ **assume** $\exists f. f \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree f (rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\}) W$
then have $rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\} \notin \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\} \vee rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\} \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\}$
by *blast*
then have $\{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\} \subseteq \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\}$
using *f1 by meson* }

ultimately have $(\neg Vagree (rra (rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\}) (V \cap W) X) (v4-1 W V (rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\}) (rra (rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f V\} \wedge Vagree fa f W\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge Vagree fa f (V \cap W)\}) (V \cap W) X))$

```

 $\wedge \text{Vagree fa f V} \} \wedge \text{Vagree fa f W} \} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f (V \cap W)}\}) (V \cap W) X)) V \vee \neg \text{Vagree (v4-1 W V (rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f V}\} \wedge \text{Vagree fa f W}\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f (V \cap W)}\}) (rra (rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f V}\} \wedge \text{Vagree fa f W}\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f (V \cap W)}\}) (V \cap W) X)) (rr \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f V}\} \wedge \text{Vagree fa f W}\} \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f (V \cap W)}\}) W) \vee \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f (V \cap W)}\} \subseteq \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f V}\} \wedge \text{Vagree fa f W}\}$ 
  using f2 by meson }
ultimately have {f.  $\exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f (V \cap W)}$ }  $\subseteq \{f. \exists fa. fa \in \{f. \exists fa. fa \in X \wedge \text{Vagree fa f V}\} \wedge \text{Vagree fa f W}\}$ 
  using f1 by (meson Vagree-ror)
  then show ?thesis
  using restrictto-def by presburger
qed
qed

```

lemma restrictto-antimon [simp]: $W \supseteq V \implies \text{restrictto } X W \subseteq \text{restrictto } X V$

proof –

```

assume  $W \supseteq V$ 
then have  $\exists U. V = W \cap U$  by auto
then obtain U where  $V = W \cap U$  by auto
hence  $\text{restrictto } X V = \text{restrictto } (\text{restrictto } X W) U$  by simp
hence  $\text{restrictto } X V \supseteq \text{restrictto } X W$  using restrictto-extends by blast
thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma restrictto-empty [simp]: $X \neq \{\} \implies \text{restrictto } X \{\} = \text{worlds}$

by (auto simp add: restrictto-def worlds-def)

lemma selectlike-shrinks [simp]: $\text{selectlike } X \nu V \subseteq X$

by (auto simp add: selectlike-def)

lemma selectlike-compose [simp]: $\text{selectlike } (\text{selectlike } X \nu V) \nu W = \text{selectlike } X \nu (V \cup W)$

by (auto simp add: selectlike-def)

lemma selectlike-antimon [simp]: $W \supseteq V \implies \text{selectlike } X \nu W \subseteq \text{selectlike } X \nu V$

by (auto simp add: selectlike-def)

lemma selectlike-empty [simp]: $\text{selectlike } X \nu \{\} = X$

by (auto simp add: selectlike-def)

lemma selectlike-self [simp]: $(\nu \in \text{selectlike } X \nu V) = (\nu \in X)$

by (auto simp add: selectlike-def)

lemma selectlike-complement [simp]: $\text{selectlike } (-X) \nu V \subseteq -\text{selectlike } X \nu V$

by (auto simp add: selectlike-def)

lemma *selectlike-union*: $\text{selectlike } (X \cup Y) \nu V = \text{selectlike } X \nu V \cup \text{selectlike } Y \nu V$
by (auto simp add: selectlike-def)

lemma *selectlike-Sup*: $\text{selectlike } (\text{Sup } M) \nu V = \text{Sup } \{\text{selectlike } X \nu V \mid X. X \in M\}$
using selectlike-def **by** auto

lemma *selectlike-equal-cond*: $(\text{selectlike } X \nu V = \text{selectlike } Y \nu V) = (\forall \mu. \text{Uvariation } \mu \nu (-V) \longrightarrow (\mu \in X) = (\mu \in Y))$
unfolding selectlike-def **using** Uvariation-Vagree **by** auto

lemma *selectlike-equal-cocond*: $(\text{selectlike } X \nu (-V) = \text{selectlike } Y \nu (-V)) = (\forall \mu. \text{Uvariation } \mu \nu V \longrightarrow (\mu \in X) = (\mu \in Y))$
using selectlike-equal-cond[where $V = \langle -V \rangle$] **by** simp

lemma *selectlike-equal-cocond-rule*: $(\bigwedge \mu. \text{Uvariation } \mu \nu (-V) \implies (\mu \in X) = (\mu \in Y)) \implies (\text{selectlike } X \nu V = \text{selectlike } Y \nu V)$
using selectlike-equal-cond[where $V = \langle V \rangle$] **by** simp

lemma *selectlike-equal-cocond-corule*: $(\bigwedge \mu. \text{Uvariation } \mu \nu V \implies (\mu \in X) = (\mu \in Y)) \implies (\text{selectlike } X \nu (-V) = \text{selectlike } Y \nu (-V))$
using selectlike-equal-cond[where $V = \langle -V \rangle$] **by** simp

lemma *co-selectlike*: $-(\text{selectlike } X \nu V) = (-X) \cup \{\omega. \neg \text{Vagree } \omega \nu V\}$
unfolding selectlike-def **by** auto

lemma *selectlike-co-selectlike*: $\text{selectlike } (-(\text{selectlike } X \nu V)) \nu V = \text{selectlike } (-X) \nu V$
unfolding selectlike-def **by** auto

lemma *selectlike-Vagree*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \omega V \implies \text{selectlike } X \nu V = \text{selectlike } X \omega V$
using Vagree-def selectlike-def **by** auto

lemma *similar-selectlike-mem*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \omega V \implies (\nu \in \text{selectlike } X \omega V) = (\nu \in X)$
unfolding selectlike-def **using** Vagree-sym-rel **by** blast

lemma *BVG-nonelem* [simp]: $(x \notin \text{BVG } \alpha) = (\forall I \omega X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\})))$
using BVG-elem monotone selectlike-shrinks
by (metis subset-iff)
statediff interoperability

lemma *Vagree-statediff* [simp]: $\text{Vagree } \omega \omega' S \implies \text{statediff } \omega \omega' \subseteq -S$
by (auto simp add: Vagree-def statediff-def)

lemma *stateinterpol-diff* [simp]: $\text{stateinterpol } \nu \omega (\text{statediff } \nu \omega) = \nu$
proof
fix x

```

show sp: (stateinterpol ν ω (statediff ν ω))(x) = ν(x)
proof (cases x∈statediff ν ω)
  case True
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: stateinterpol-def nostatediff)
qed
qed

lemma stateinterpol-insert: Vagree (stateinterpol v w S) (stateinterpol v w (insert z S)) (−{z})
  by (simp add: Vagree-def stateinterpol-def)

lemma stateinterpol-FVT [simp]: z∉FVT(t)  $\implies$  term-sem I t (stateinterpol ω ω' S) = term-sem I t (stateinterpol ω ω' (insert z S))
proof –
  assume a: z∉FVT(t)
  have fvc:  $\bigwedge v. \bigwedge w. Vagree v w (−\{z\}) \implies (\text{term-sem } I t v = \text{term-sem } I t w)$ 
  using a by (simp add: FVT-def)
  then show term-sem I t (stateinterpol ω ω' S) = term-sem I t (stateinterpol ω ω' (insert z S))
    using fvc and stateinterpol-insert by blast
qed

```

5.2 Coincidence Lemmas

Coincidence for Terms Lemma 10 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

theorem coincidence-term: Vagree ω ω' (FVT θ) \implies term-sem I θ ω = term-sem I θ ω'

proof –

- assume** a: Vagree ω ω' (FVT θ)
- have** isS: statediff ω ω' ⊆ −FVT(θ) **using** a **and** Vagree-statediff **by** simp
- have** gen: S ⊆ −FVT(θ) \implies (term-sem I θ ω' = term-sem I θ ω (stateinterpol ω ω' S)) **if finite** S **for** S
- using** that
- proof** (induction S)
- case** empty
- show** ?case **by** (simp add: stateinterpol-empty)
- next**
- case** (insert z S)
- thus** ?case **by** auto
- qed**
- from** isS **have** finS: finite (statediff ω ω') **using** allvars-finite **by** (metis FVT-finite UNIV-def finite-compl rev-finite-subset)
- show** ?thesis **using** gen[**where** S=statediff ω ω', OF finS, OF isS] **by** simp
- qed**

corollary *coincidence-term-cor*: $\text{Uvariation } \omega \omega' U \implies (\text{FVT } \vartheta) \cap U = \{\} \implies \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega = \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega'$
using *coincidence-term Uvariation-Vagree*
by (*metis Vagree-antimon disjoint-eq-subset-Compl double-compl*)

lemma *stateinterp-FVF* [*simp*]: $z \notin \text{FVF}(e) \implies ((\text{stateinterp } \omega \omega' S) \in \text{fml-sem } I e \longleftrightarrow (\text{stateinterp } \omega \omega' (\text{insert } z S)) \in \text{fml-sem } I e)$
proof –
assume $a: z \notin \text{FVF}(e)$
have $\text{agr}: \text{Vagree } (\text{stateinterp } \omega \omega' S) (\text{stateinterp } \omega \omega' (\text{insert } z S)) (-\{z\})$
by (*simp add: Vagree-def stateinterp-def*)
have $\text{fvc}: \bigwedge v. \bigwedge w. (\text{Vagree } v w (-\{z\}) \implies (v \in \text{fml-sem } I e \implies w \in \text{fml-sem } I e))$
using a **by** (*simp add: FVF-def*)
then have $\text{fvc}: \bigwedge v. \bigwedge w. (\text{Vagree } v w (-\{z\}) \implies ((v \in \text{fml-sem } I e) = (w \in \text{fml-sem } I e)))$
using *Vagree-sym-rel* **by** *blast*
then show $(\text{stateinterp } \omega \omega' S) \in \text{fml-sem } I e \longleftrightarrow (\text{stateinterp } \omega \omega' (\text{insert } z S)) \in \text{fml-sem } I e$
using *agr* **by** *simp*
qed

Coincidence for Formulas Lemma 11 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

theorem *coincidence-formula*: $\text{Vagree } \omega \omega' (\text{FVF } \varphi) \implies (\omega \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \longleftrightarrow \omega' \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi)$
proof –
assume $a: \text{Vagree } \omega \omega' (\text{FVF } \varphi)$
have $\text{isS}: \text{statediff } \omega \omega' \subseteq -\text{FVF}(\varphi)$ **using** a **and** *Vagree-statediff* **by** *simp*
have $\text{gen}: S \subseteq -\text{FVF}(\varphi) \implies (\omega' \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\text{stateinterp } \omega \omega' S) \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi)$ **if finite** S **for** S
using *that*
proof (*induction S*)
case *empty*
show $?case$ **by** (*simp add: stateinterp-empty*)
next
case *(insert z S)*
thus $?case$ **by** *auto*
qed
from *isS* **have** *finS: finite (statediff ω ω')* **using** *allvars-finite* **by** (*metis FVF-finite UNIV-def finite-compl rev-finite-subset*)
show $?thesis$ **using** *gen[where S=statediff ω ω', OF finS, OF isS]* **by** *simp*
qed

corollary *coincidence-formula-cor*: $\text{Uvariation } \omega \omega' U \implies (\text{FVF } \varphi) \cap U = \{\} \implies (\omega \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \longleftrightarrow \omega' \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi)$
using *coincidence-formula Uvariation-Vagree*

by (metis *Uvariation-def* *disjoint-eq-subset-Compl inf.commute subsetCE*)

Coincidence for Games *Cignorabimus* α V is the set of all sets of variables that can be ignored for the coincidence game lemma

definition *Cignorabimus*:: $game \Rightarrow variable\ set \Rightarrow variable\ set\ set$
where

$Cignorabimus\ \alpha\ V = \{M. \forall I. \forall \omega. \forall \omega'. \forall X. (Vagree\ \omega\ \omega'\ (-M) \rightarrow (\omega \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ V)) \rightarrow (\omega' \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ V)))\}$

lemma *Cignorabimus-finite* [simp]: finite (*Cignorabimus* α V)
unfolding *Cignorabimus-def* **using** finite-powerset[OF *allvars-finite*] finite-subset
using Finite-Set.finite-subset **by** fastforce

lemma *Cignorabimus-equiv* [simp]: $Cignorabimus\ \alpha\ V = \{M. \forall I. \forall \omega. \forall \omega'. \forall X. (Vagree\ \omega\ \omega'\ (-M) \rightarrow (\omega \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ V)) = (\omega' \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ V)))\}$
unfolding *Cignorabimus-def* **by** (metis (no-types, lifting) *Vagree-sym-rel*)

lemma *Cignorabimus-antimon* [simp]: $M \in Cignorabimus\ \alpha\ V \wedge N \subseteq M \implies N \in Cignorabimus\ \alpha\ V$
unfolding *Cignorabimus-def*
using *Vagree-antimon* **by** blast

lemma *coempty*: $\neg\{\} = allvars$
by simp

lemma *Cignorabimus-empty* [simp]: $\{\} \in Cignorabimus\ \alpha\ V$
unfolding *Cignorabimus-def* **using** *coempty* *Vagree-univ*
by simp

Cignorabimus contains nonfree variables

lemma *Cignorabimus-init*: $V \supseteq FVG(\alpha) \implies x \notin V \implies \{x\} \in Cignorabimus\ \alpha\ V$
proof –
assume $V \supseteq FVG(\alpha)$
assume $a0: x \notin V$
hence $a1: x \notin FVG(\alpha)$ **using** $\langle FVG\ \alpha \subseteq V \rangle$ **by** blast
hence $\bigwedge I\ v\ w. Vagree\ v\ w\ (-\{x\}) \implies (v \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ (-\{x\})) \longleftrightarrow w \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ (-\{x\})))$
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) *CollectI FVG-def* *Vagree-sym-rel*)
show $\{x\} \in Cignorabimus\ \alpha\ V$
proof –
{
fix $I\ \omega\ \omega'\ X$
have $Vagree\ \omega\ \omega'\ (-\{x\}) \rightarrow (\omega \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ V)) \rightarrow (\omega' \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ V))$
proof
assume $a2: Vagree\ \omega\ \omega'\ (-\{x\})$
show $(\omega \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ V)) \rightarrow (\omega' \in game-sem\ I\ \alpha\ (restrictto\ X\ V))$

```

 $X \ V)$ )
proof
  assume  $\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V)$ 
  hence  $\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto} (\text{restrictto } X \ V) (-\{x\}))$  by (simp add:
Int-absorb2  $\langle x \notin V \rangle$ )
  hence  $\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto} (\text{restrictto } X \ V) (-\{x\}))$  using FVG-def
a1 a2 by blast
  hence  $\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X (V \cap -\{x\}))$  by simp
  show  $\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V)$  using a0
    by (metis Int-absorb2  $\langle \omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X (V \cap -\{x\})) \rangle$ 
subset-Compl-singleton)
  qed
  qed
}
thus ?thesis
unfolding Cignorabimus-def
by auto
qed
qed

```

Cignorabimus is closed under union

```

lemma Cignorabimus-union:  $M \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V \implies N \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$ 
 $\implies (M \cup N) \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$ 
proof –
  assume a1:  $M \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$ 
  assume a2:  $N \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$ 
  show  $(M \cup N) \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \ V$ 
proof –
{
  fix  $I \omega \omega' X$ 
  assume a3: Vagree  $\omega \omega' (-(M \cup N))$ 
  have h1:  $\bigwedge I \omega \omega'. \bigwedge X. (\text{Vagree } \omega \omega' (-M) \implies (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V)) \implies (\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V)))$  using a1 by simp
  have h2:  $\bigwedge I \omega \omega'. \bigwedge X. (\text{Vagree } \omega \omega' (-N) \implies (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V)) \implies (\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V)))$  using a2 by simp
  let  $?s = \text{stateinterpol } \omega' \omega M$ 
  have v1: Vagree  $\omega ?s (-(M \cup N))$  using a3 by (simp add: Vagree-def)
  have v2: Vagree  $?s \omega' (-(M \cup N))$  using a3 by (simp add: Vagree-def)
  have r1:  $\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V) \implies ?s \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V)$ 
    by (metis ComplD Vagree-def h1 stateinterpol-right)
  have r2:  $?s \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V) \implies \omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V)$ 
    by (metis Vagree-ror compl-sup h1 h2 v2)
  have res:  $\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V) \implies \omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{restrictto } X \ V)$  using r1 r2 by blast
}
thus ?thesis
unfolding Cignorabimus-def

```

```

    by auto
qed
qed

lemma powerset-induct [case-names Base Cup]:

$$\bigwedge C. (\bigwedge M. M \in C \implies P M) \implies$$


$$(\bigwedge S. (\bigwedge M. M \in S \implies P M) \implies P (\bigcup S)) \implies$$


$$P (\bigcup C)$$

by simp

lemma Union-insert:  $\bigcup (\text{insert } x S) = x \cup \bigcup S$ 
by simp

lemma powerset2up-induct [case-names Finite Nonempty Base Cup]:

$$(\text{finite } C) \implies (C \neq \{\}) \implies (\bigwedge M. M \in C \implies P M) \implies$$


$$(\bigwedge M N. P M \implies P N \implies P (M \cup N)) \implies$$


$$P (\bigcup C)$$

proof (induction rule: finite-induct)
  case empty
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (insert x F)
  then show ?case by force
qed

lemma Cignorabimus-step:  $(\bigwedge M. M \in S \implies M \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha V) \implies (\bigcup S) \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha V$ 
proof (cases  $S = \{\}$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using Cignorabimus-empty by simp
next
  case nonem: False
  then show  $\bigcup S \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha V$  if  $\bigwedge M. M \in S \implies M \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha V$ 
and nonemp: $S \neq \{\}$  for  $S$ 
  proof (induction rule: powerset2up-induct)
    case Finite
    then show ?case using Cignorabimus-finite by (meson infinite-super subset-eq that(1))
  next
    case Nonempty
    then show ?case using nonemp by simp
  next
    case (Base M)
    then show ?case using that by simp
  next
    case (Cup S)
    then show ?case using that Cignorabimus-union by blast
qed

```

qed

Lemma 12 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6_15

theorem coincidence-game: $V \text{ agree } \omega \omega' V \implies V \supseteq FVG(\alpha) \implies (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha \text{ (restrictto } X V)) = (\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha \text{ (restrictto } X V))$

proof –

assume $a1: V \text{ agree } \omega \omega' V$

assume $a2: V \supseteq FVG \alpha$

have base: $\{x\} \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \text{ V if } a3: x \notin V \text{ and } a4: V \supseteq FVG \alpha \text{ for } x \text{ V}$

using $a3$ and $a4$ and *Cignorabimus-init* by *simp*

have $h: -V = \bigcup \{xx. \exists x. xx = \{x\} \wedge x \notin V\}$ by *auto*

have $(-V) \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \text{ V using } a2 \text{ base } h \text{ using } \text{Cignorabimus-step}$

proof –

have $f1: \forall v. V. v \in V \vee \neg FVG \alpha \subseteq V \vee \{v\} \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \text{ V}$

using *base* by *satx*

obtain $VV :: \text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{variable set set} \Rightarrow \text{variable set where}$

$f2: \forall x0 x1 x2. (\exists v3. v3 \in x2 \wedge v3 \notin \text{Cignorabimus } x1 x0) = (VV x0 x1 x2 \in x2 \wedge VV x0 x1 x2 \notin \text{Cignorabimus } x1 x0)$

by *moura*

obtain $vv :: \text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{variable where}$

$f3: ((\#v. VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\}) = \{v\} \wedge v \notin V) \vee VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\} = \{vv (VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\})\} \wedge vv (VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\}) \notin V) \wedge ((\exists v. VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\}) = \{v\} \wedge v \notin V) \vee (\forall v. VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\} \neq \{v\} \vee v \in V)$

by *fastforce*

moreover

{ assume $\{vv (VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\})\} \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \text{ V}$

then have $(VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\}) \neq \{vv (VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\})\} \vee vv (VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\}) \in V \vee VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\} \notin \{vv (VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\})\} \vee VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\} \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \text{ V}$

by *metis*

then have $(\exists v. VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\}) = \{v\} \wedge v \notin V \longrightarrow VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\} \notin \{v\} \vee v \notin V \wedge \{v\} \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \text{ V}$

using $f3$ by *blast* }

ultimately have $VV V \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\} \notin \{v\} \vee v \notin V \wedge \{v\} \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \text{ V}$

using $f1$ $a2$ by *blast*

then have $\bigcup \{\{v\} | v. v \notin V\} \in \text{Cignorabimus } \alpha \text{ V}$

using $f2$ by (*meson Cignorabimus-step*)

then show ?thesis

using h by *presburger*

qed

from this show ?thesis by (*simp add: a1*)

qed

corollary coincidence-game-cor: $U \text{ variation } \omega \omega' U \implies U \cap FVG(\alpha) = \{\} \implies (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha \text{ (restrictto } X (-U))) = (\omega' \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha \text{ (restrictto } X (-U)))$

using *coincidence-game Uvariation-Vagree*

by (*metis Uvariation-Vagree coincidence-game compl-le-swap1 disjoint-eq-subset-Compl*

double-compl)

5.3 Bound Effect Lemmas

Bignorabimus α V is the set of all sets of variables that can be ignored for boundeffect

definition *Bignorabimus:: game* \Rightarrow *variable set set*

where

Bignorabimus $\alpha = \{M. \forall I. \forall \omega. \forall X. \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha \quad X \longleftrightarrow \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha$
 $(\text{selectlike } X \omega M)\}$

lemma *Bignorabimus-finite [simp]*: *finite (Bignorabimus α)*

unfold *Bignorabimus-def* **using** *finite-powerset[OF allvars-finite]* **finite-subset**
using *Finite-Set.finite-subset* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Bignorabimus-single [simp]: game-sem I α (selectlike X ω M) ⊆ game-sem I α X*
by (meson monotone selectlike-shrinks subsetCE)

lemma *Bignorabimus-equiv [simp]: Bignorabimus* $\alpha = \{M. \forall I. \forall \omega. \forall X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \longrightarrow \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega M))\}$

proof —

obtain $VV :: (\text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{variable set}$ **where**

$$f1: \forall p \ pa. (\neg p \ (VV \ pa \ p)) = pa \ (VV \ pa \ p) \vee Collect \ p = Collect \ pa$$

by (metis (no-types) Collect-cong)

obtain $rr :: \text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{variable} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **and** $ii :: \text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{game} \Rightarrow \text{interp}$ **and** $FF :: \text{variable set} \Rightarrow \text{game} \Rightarrow (\text{variable} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \text{ set}$ **where**

$$f2: \forall x0\ x1. (\exists v2\ v3\ v4. (v3 \in \text{game-sem } v2\ x1\ v4) \neq (v3 \in \text{game-sem } v2\ x1 \\ (\text{selectlike } v4\ v3\ x0))) = ((rr\ x0\ x1 \in \text{game-sem } (ii\ x0\ x1)\ x1\ (FF\ x0\ x1)) \neq (rr\\ x0\ x1 \in \text{game-sem } (ii\ x0\ x1)\ x1\ (\text{selectlike } (FF\ x0\ x1)\ (rr\ x0\ x1)\ x0)))$$

by moura

have fact: $\{V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem } i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem } i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))\} = \{V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem } i \alpha F \longrightarrow f \in \text{game-sem } i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V)\}$

using $f1$ by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Bignorabimus-single subsetCE)

$$\begin{aligned}
& (VV (\lambda V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \\
& (\lambda V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \longrightarrow f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \alpha) \alpha \\
& (FF (VV (\lambda V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \\
& (\lambda V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \longrightarrow f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

using *Bignorabimus-single* by blast

using *f2* by *blast*

have $rr (VV (\lambda V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) (\lambda V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \rightarrow f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \alpha \in \text{game-sem} (ii (VV (\lambda V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) (\lambda V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \rightarrow f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \alpha) \alpha (FF (VV (\lambda V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) (\lambda V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \rightarrow f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \alpha) \rightarrow (\exists i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \wedge f \notin \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f (VV (\lambda V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) (\lambda V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \rightarrow f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V)))))) \vee rr (VV (\lambda V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) (\lambda V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \rightarrow f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \alpha \in \text{game-sem} (ii (VV (\lambda V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) (\lambda V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \rightarrow f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \alpha) \alpha (\text{selectlike } (FF (VV (\lambda V. \forall i f F. (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F) = (f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) (\lambda V. \forall i f F. f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha F \rightarrow f \in \text{game-sem} i \alpha (\text{selectlike } F f V))) \alpha)$


```

then show ?thesis
  using Bignorabimus-def by presburger
qed

lemma Bignorabimus-empty [simp]:  $\{\} \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$ 
  unfolding Bignorabimus-def using coempty selectlike-empty
  by simp

lemma Bignorabimus-init:  $x \notin \text{BVG}(\alpha) \implies \{x\} \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$ 
  unfolding Bignorabimus-def BVG-def
proof –
  assume  $x \notin \{x\}$ .  $\exists I \omega X. \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \wedge \omega \notin \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\})$ 
  hence  $\neg(\exists I \omega X. \omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X \wedge \omega \notin \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\}))$  by simp
  hence  $\forall I \omega X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\}))$  using Bignorabimus-single by blast
  thus  $\{x\} \in \{M. \forall I \omega X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega M))\}$  by simp
qed

Bignorabimus is closed under union

lemma Bignorabimus-union:  $M \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha \implies N \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha \implies (M \cup N) \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$ 
proof –
  assume a1:  $M \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$ 
  assume a2:  $N \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$ 
  have h1:  $\forall I \forall \omega \forall X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) \longleftrightarrow (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega M))$  using a1
    using Bignorabimus-equiv Bignorabimus-single by blast
  have h2:  $\forall I \forall \omega \forall X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) \longleftrightarrow (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega N))$  using a2
    using Bignorabimus-equiv Bignorabimus-single by blast
  have c:  $\forall I \forall \omega \forall X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) \longleftrightarrow (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega (M \cup N)))$  by (metis h1 h2 selectlike-compose)
  then show  $(M \cup N) \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$  unfolding Bignorabimus-def using c by fastforce
qed

lemma Bignorabimus-step:  $(\bigwedge M. M \in S \implies M \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha) \implies (\bigcup S) \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$ 
proof (cases  $S = \{\}$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis using Bignorabimus-empty by simp
next
  case nonem: False
  then show  $\bigcup S \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$  if  $\bigwedge M. M \in S \implies M \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$  and nonemp:  $S \neq \{\}$  for S
  proof (induction rule: powerset2up-induct)

```

```

case Finite
then show ?case using Bignorabimus-finite by (meson infinite-super subset-eq
that(1))
next
case Nonempty
then show ?case using nonemp by simp
next
case (Base M)
then show ?case using that by simp
next
case (Cup S)
then show ?case using that Bignorabimus-union by blast
qed
qed

Lemma 13 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94205-6\_15

theorem boundeffect:  $(\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega (-BVG(\alpha))))$ 
proof –
  have base:  $\{x\} \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$  if a3:  $x \notin \text{BVG } \alpha$  for x using a3 and Bignorabimus-init by simp
  have h:  $-BVG \alpha = \bigcup \{xx. \exists x. xx = \{x\} \wedge x \notin \text{BVG } \alpha\}$  by blast
  have  $(-BVG \alpha) \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$  using base h

proof –
  obtain VV :: game  $\Rightarrow$  variable set set  $\Rightarrow$  variable set where
    f1:  $\forall x0 x1. (\exists v2. v2 \in x1 \wedge v2 \notin \text{Bignorabimus } x0) = (VV x0 x1 \in x1 \wedge$ 
     $VV x0 x1 \notin \text{Bignorabimus } x0)$ 
    by moura
  have VV  $\alpha \{\{v\} | v. v \notin \text{BVG } \alpha\} \notin \{\{v\} | v. v \notin \text{BVG } \alpha\} \vee VV \alpha \{\{v\} | v. v$ 
   $\notin \text{BVG } \alpha\} \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$ 
    by fastforce
  then have  $\bigcup \{\{v\} | v. v \notin \text{BVG } \alpha\} \in \text{Bignorabimus } \alpha$ 
    using f1 by (meson Bignorabimus-step)
  then show ?thesis
    using h by presburger
  qed
  from this show ?thesis using Bignorabimus-def by blast
  qed

corollary boundeffect-cor:  $V \cap \text{BVG}(\alpha) = \{\} \implies (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega V))$ 
  using boundeffect
  by (metis disjoint-eq-subset-Compl selectlike-compose sup.absorb-iff2)

```

5.4 Static Analysis Observations

lemma *BVG-equiv*: *game-equiv* $\alpha \beta \implies \text{BVG}(\alpha) = \text{BVG}(\beta)$

proof –

```

assume a: game-equiv  $\alpha \beta$ 
show BVG( $\alpha$ ) = BVG( $\beta$ ) unfolding BVG-def using game-equiv-subst-eq[OF  

a] by metis
qed

lemmas union-or = Set.Un-iff

lemma not-union-or:  $(x \notin A \cup B) = (x \notin A \wedge x \notin B)$ 
by simp

lemma repv-selectlike-self:  $(repv \omega x d \in selectlike X \omega \{x\}) = (d = \omega(x) \wedge \omega \in X)$ 
unfolding selectlike-def using Vagree-repv-self Vagree-sym
by (metis (no-types, lifting) mem-Collect-eq repv-self)

lemma repv-selectlike-other:  $x \neq y \implies (repv \omega x d \in selectlike X \omega \{y\}) = (repv \omega x d \in X)$ 
proof
  assume a:  $x \neq y$ 
  then have h:  $\{y\} \subseteq -\{x\}$  by simp
  show  $(repv \omega x d \in selectlike X \omega \{y\}) \implies (repv \omega x d \in X)$  using a selectlike-def
  Vagree-repv[of  $\omega x d$ ]
  by auto
  show  $(repv \omega x d \in X) \implies (repv \omega x d \in selectlike X \omega \{y\})$ 
  using selectlike-def[where  $X=X$  and  $\nu=\omega$  and  $V=-\{x\}$ ] Vagree-repv[where
 $\omega=\omega$  and  $x=x$  and  $d=d$ ]
  selectlike-antimon[where  $X=X$  and  $\nu=\omega$  and  $V=-\{y\}$  and  $W=-\{x\}$ , OF
h] Vagree-sym[where  $\nu=repv \omega x d$  and  $V=-\{x\}$ ]
  by auto
qed

lemma repv-selectlike-other-converse:  $x \neq y \implies (repv \omega x d \in X) = (repv \omega x d \in$ 
selectlike  $X \omega \{y\})$ 
using repv-selectlike-other HOL.eq-commute by blast

lemma BVG-assign-other:  $x \neq y \implies y \notin BVG(Assign x \vartheta)$ 
using repv-selectlike-other-converse[where  $x=x$  and  $y=y$ ] by simp

lemma BVG-assign-meta:  $(\bigwedge I \omega. term-sem I \vartheta \omega = \omega(x)) \implies BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = \{\}$ 
and term-sem  $I \vartheta \omega \neq \omega(x) \implies BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = \{x\}$ 

proof-
  have fact:  $BVG(Assign x \vartheta) \subseteq \{x\}$  using BVG-assign-other by (metis single-
ton-iff subsetI)
  from fact show  $(\bigwedge I \omega. term-sem I \vartheta \omega = \omega(x)) \implies BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = \{\}$ 
using BVG-def by simp
  have h2:  $\exists I \omega. term-sem I \vartheta \omega \neq \omega(x) \implies x \in BVG(Assign x \vartheta)$  using

```

```

repv-selectlike-self by auto
from fact show term-sem I  $\vartheta$   $\omega \neq \omega(x) \implies BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = \{x\}$  using
BVG-elem h2 by blast
qed

lemma BVG-assign:  $BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = (\text{if } (\forall I \omega. \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega = \omega(x)) \text{ then }$ 
 $\{\} \text{ else } \{x\})$ 
using repv-selectlike-self repv-selectlike-other BVG-assign-other
proof-
  have c0:  $BVG(Assign x \vartheta) \subseteq \{x\}$  using BVG-assign-other by (metis singletonI
subsetI)
  have c1:  $\forall I \omega. \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega = \omega(x) \implies BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = \{\}$  using
BVG-assign-other by auto
  have h2:  $\exists I \omega. \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega \neq \omega(x) \implies x \in BVG(Assign x \vartheta)$  using
repv-selectlike-self by auto
  have c2:  $\exists I \omega. \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \omega \neq \omega(x) \implies BVG(Assign x \vartheta) = \{x\}$  using c0
h2 by blast
  from c1 and c2 show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

lemma BVG-ODE-other: $y \neq RVar x \implies y \neq DVar x \implies y \notin BVG(ODE x \vartheta)$

```

proof-
  assume yx:  $y \neq RVar x$ 
  assume yxp:  $y \neq DVar x$ 
  show  $y \notin BVG(ODE x \vartheta)$ 
  proof (rule nonBVG-inc-rule)
    fix I  $\omega$  X
    assume  $\omega \in \text{game-sem } I (ODE x \vartheta) X$ 
    then have  $\exists F T. Vagree \omega (F(0)) (\neg\{DVar x\}) \wedge F(T) \in X \wedge \text{solves-ODE}$ 
 $I F x \vartheta$  by simp
    then obtain F T where  $Vagree \omega (F(0)) (\neg\{DVar x\}) \wedge F(T) \in X \wedge$ 
 $\text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta$  by blast
    then have  $Vagree \omega (F(0)) (\neg\{DVar x\}) \wedge F(T) \in (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{y\}) \wedge$ 
 $\text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta$  by
    using yx yxp solves-Vagree Vagree-def similar-selectlike-mem by auto
    then have  $\exists F T. Vagree \omega (F(0)) (\neg\{DVar x\}) \wedge F(T) \in (\text{selectlike } X \omega$ 
 $\{y\}) \wedge \text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta$  by blast
    then show  $\omega \in \text{game-sem } I (ODE x \vartheta) (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{y\})$  by simp
  qed
qed

```

This result could be strengthened to a conditional equality based on the RHS values

```

lemma BVG-ODE:  $BVG(ODE x \vartheta) \subseteq \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ 
using BVG-ODE-other by blast

```

lemma BVG-test: $BVG(\text{Test } \varphi) = \{\}$

unfolding *BVG-def game-sem.simps* **by** *auto*

lemma *BVG-choice*: $BVG(Choice \alpha \beta) \subseteq BVG(\alpha) \cup BVG(\beta)$
unfolding *BVG-def game-sem.simps* **using** *not-union-or* **by** *auto*

lemma *select-nonBV*: $x \notin BVG(\alpha) \implies selectlike(game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \omega \{x\})) \omega \{x\} = selectlike(game-sem I \alpha X) \omega \{x\}$

proof

show *selectlike* (*game-sem I α (selectlike X ω {x})*) $\omega \{x\} \subseteq selectlike(game-sem I \alpha X) \omega \{x\}$

using *game-sem-mono selectlike-shrinks selectlike-antimon Bignorabimus-single*
 by (*metis selectlike-union sup.absorb-iff1*)

next

assume *nonbound*: $x \notin BVG(\alpha)$

then have *fact*: $\{x\} \cap BVG(\alpha) = \{\}$ **by** *auto*

show *selectlike* (*game-sem I α X*) $\omega \{x\} \subseteq selectlike(game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \omega \{x\})) \omega \{x\}$

proof

fix μ

assume $\mu \in selectlike(game-sem I \alpha X) \omega \{x\}$

then have $\mu \in selectlike(game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \mu \{x\})) \omega \{x\}$

using *boundeffect-cor[where ω=μ and V=⟨{x}⟩ and α=α, OF fact] nonbound*
 by (*metis ComplD ComplI co-selectlike not-union-or*)

then show $\mu \in selectlike(game-sem I \alpha (selectlike X \omega \{x\})) \omega \{x\}$ **using**
selectlike-Vagree selectlike-def **by** *fastforce*

qed

qed

lemma *BVG-compose*: $BVG(Compose \alpha \beta) \subseteq BVG(\alpha) \cup BVG(\beta)$

proof

fix x

assume $a: x \in BVG(Compose \alpha \beta)$

show $x \in BVG \alpha \cup BVG \beta$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume $x \notin BVG \alpha \cup BVG \beta$

then have $n\beta: x \notin BVG(\beta)$

and $n\alpha: x \notin BVG(\alpha)$ **by** *simp-all*

from a **have** $\exists I \exists \omega \exists X. \omega \in game-sem I (Compose \alpha \beta) X \wedge \omega \notin game-sem I (Compose \alpha \beta) (selectlike X \omega \{x\})$ **by** *simp*

then obtain $I \omega X$ **where** $a\text{def}: \omega \in game-sem I (Compose \alpha \beta) X \wedge \omega \notin game-sem I (Compose \alpha \beta) (selectlike X \omega \{x\})$ **by** *blast*

from $a\text{def}$ **have** $a1: \omega \in game-sem I \alpha (game-sem I \beta X)$ **by** *simp*

from $a\text{def}$ **have** $a2: \omega \notin game-sem I \alpha (game-sem I \beta (selectlike X \omega \{x\}))$

by *simp*

let $?Y = selectlike X \omega \{x\}$

```

from n $\alpha$  have n $\alpha c$ :  $\bigwedge I \omega X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\}))$  using BVG-nonelem by simp
from n $\beta$  have n $\beta c$ :  $\bigwedge I \omega X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \beta X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \beta (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\}))$  using BVG-nonelem by simp
have c1:  $\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } (\text{game-sem } I \beta X) \omega \{x\})$  using a1
n $\alpha c$ [where I=I and  $\omega=\omega$  and X=⟨game-sem I β X⟩] by blast
have c2:  $\omega \notin \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } (\text{game-sem } I \beta ?Y) \omega \{x\})$  using a2
n $\alpha c$ [where I=I and  $\omega=\omega$  and X=⟨game-sem I β ?Y⟩] by blast
from c2 have c3:  $\omega \notin \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } (\text{game-sem } I \beta X) \omega \{x\})$ 
using n $\beta$  selectlike-Vagree
proof-
have selectlike ( $\text{game-sem } I \beta ?Y$ )  $\omega \{x\}$  = selectlike ( $\text{game-sem } I \beta X$ )  $\omega \{x\}$  using n $\beta$  by (rule select-nonBV)
thus ?thesis using c2 by simp
qed
show False using c1 c3 n $\beta c$ [where I=I] by auto
qed
qed

```

The converse inclusion does not hold generally, because $BVG(x := x+1; x := x-1) = \{\} \neq BVG(x := x+1) \cup BVG(x := x-1) = \{x\}$

```

lemma BVG(Compose (Assign x (Plus (Var x) (Number 1))) (Assign x (Plus (Var x) (Number (-1))))) ≠ BVG(Assign x (Plus (Var x) (Number 1))) ∪ BVG(Assign x (Plus (Var x) (Number (-1))))
unfolding BVG-def selectlike-def repv-def Vagree-def by auto

```

```

lemma BVG-loop: BVG(Loop α) ⊆ BVG(α)
proof
fix x
assume a:  $x \in BVG(\text{Loop } \alpha)$ 
show x ∈ BVG(α)
proof (rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg (x \in BVG(\alpha))$ 
then have n $\alpha$ :  $x \notin BVG \alpha$  by simp
from n $\alpha$  have n $\alpha c$ :  $\bigwedge I \omega X. (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha X) = (\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\}))$  using BVG-nonelem by simp
have xnotinBVG(Loop α)
proof (rule nonBVG-rule)
fix I  $\omega$  X
let ?f =  $\lambda Z. X \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Z$ 
let ?g =  $\lambda Y. (\text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\}) \cup \text{game-sem } I \alpha Y$ 
let ?R =  $\lambda Z Y. \text{selectlike } Z \omega \{x\} = \text{selectlike } Y \omega \{x\}$ 
have ?R (lfp ?f) (lfp ?g)
proof (induction rule: lfp-lockstep-induct[where f=⟨?f⟩ and g=⟨?g⟩ and R=⟨?R⟩])
case monof
then show ?case using game-sem-loop-fixpoint-mono by simp

```

```

next
  case monog
  then show ?case using game-sem-loop-fixpoint-mono by simp
next
  case (step A B)
  then have IH: selectlike A  $\omega \{x\}$  = selectlike B  $\omega \{x\}$  by simp
  then show ?case

proof-
  have selectlike ( $X \cup$  game-sem I  $\alpha$  A)  $\omega \{x\}$  = selectlike X  $\omega \{x\}$   $\cup$ 
  selectlike (game-sem I  $\alpha$  A)  $\omega \{x\}$  using selectlike-union by simp
  also have ... = selectlike X  $\omega \{x\}$   $\cup$  selectlike (game-sem I  $\alpha$  (selectlike
  A  $\omega \{x\}$ ))  $\omega \{x\}$  using n $\alpha$  select-nonBV by blast
  also have ... = selectlike X  $\omega \{x\}$   $\cup$  selectlike (game-sem I  $\alpha$  (selectlike
  B  $\omega \{x\}$ ))  $\omega \{x\}$  using IH by simp
  also have ... = selectlike (selectlike X  $\omega \{x\}$   $\cup$  game-sem I  $\alpha$  B)  $\omega \{x\}$ 
  using selectlike-union n $\alpha$  select-nonBV by auto
  finally show selectlike ( $X \cup$  game-sem I  $\alpha$  A)  $\omega \{x\}$  = selectlike (selectlike
  X  $\omega \{x\}$   $\cup$  game-sem I  $\alpha$  B)  $\omega \{x\}$  .
  qed
next
  case (union M)
  then have IH:  $\forall (A,B) \in M.$  selectlike A  $\omega \{x\}$  = selectlike B  $\omega \{x\}$  .
  then show ?case
  using fst-proj-mem[where M=M] snd-proj-mem[where M=M]
  selectlike-Sup[where  $\nu = \omega$  and  $V = \langle \{x\} \rangle$ ] sup-corr-eq-chain by simp

qed
from this show ( $\omega \in$  game-sem I (Loop  $\alpha$ ) X) = ( $\omega \in$  game-sem I (Loop  $\alpha$ )
(selectlike X  $\omega \{x\}$ ))
by (metis (mono-tags) game-sem.simps(6) lfp-def selectlike-self)

qed
then show False using a by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma BVG-dual: BVG(Dual α) \subseteq BVG(α)

```

proof
  fix x
  assume a:  $x \in$  BVG(Dual  $\alpha$ )
  show  $x \in$  BVG  $\alpha$ 
  proof-
    from a have  $\exists I \exists \omega \exists X. \omega \in$  game-sem I (Dual  $\alpha$ ) X  $\wedge \omega \notin$  game-sem I (Dual
     $\alpha$ ) (selectlike X  $\omega \{x\}$ ) by simp
    then obtain I  $\omega$  X where adef:  $\omega \in$  game-sem I (Dual  $\alpha$ ) X  $\wedge \omega \notin$  game-sem
    I (Dual  $\alpha$ ) (selectlike X  $\omega \{x\}$ ) by blast

```

```

from adef have a1:  $\omega \notin \text{game-sem } I \alpha (- X)$  by simp
from adef have a2:  $\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha (- \text{selectlike } X \omega \{x\})$  by simp
let ?Y = - selectlike X  $\omega \{x\}$ 
have f1:  $\omega \in \text{game-sem } I \alpha ?Y$  by (rule a2)
have f2:  $\omega \notin \text{game-sem } I \alpha (\text{selectlike } ?Y \omega \{x\})$  using a1 selectlike-co-selectlike
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) selectlike-shrinks monotone dual-order.trans subset-Compl-singleton)
  show  $x \in \text{BVG}(\alpha)$  using f1 f2 by auto
qed
qed

end
theory USubst
imports
  Complex-Main
  Syntax
  Static-Semantics
  Coincidence
  Denotational-Semantics
begin

```

6 Uniform Substitution

uniform substitution representation as tuple of partial maps from identifiers to type-compatible replacements.

```

type-synonym usubst =
  (ident → trm) × (ident → trm) × (ident → fml) × (ident → game)

abbreviation SConst:: usubst ⇒ (ident → trm)
where SConst ≡ ( $\lambda(F0, -, -, -). F0$ )
abbreviation SFuncs:: usubst ⇒ (ident → trm)
where SFuncs ≡ ( $\lambda(-, F, -, -). F$ )
abbreviation SPreds:: usubst ⇒ (ident → fml)
where SPreds ≡ ( $\lambda(-, -, P, -). P$ )
abbreviation SGames:: usubst ⇒ (ident → game)
where SGames ≡ ( $\lambda(-, -, -, G). G$ )

```

crude approximation of size which is enough for termination arguments

```

definition usubstsize:: usubst ⇒ nat
where usubstsize σ = (if (dom (SFuncs σ) = {} ∧ dom (SPreds σ) = {}) then 1
else 2)

```

dot is some fixed constant function symbol that is reserved for the purposes of the substitution

```

definition dot:: trm
where dot = Const (dotid)

```

6.1 Strict Mechanism for Handling Substitution Partiality in Isabelle

Optional terms that result from a substitution, either actually a term or just none to indicate that the substitution clashed

type-synonym $trmo = trm \ option$

abbreviation $undef:: trmo$ **where** $undef \equiv None$
abbreviation $Aterm:: trm \Rightarrow trmo$ **where** $Aterm \equiv Some$

lemma $undef\text{-}None: undef=Some \ by \ simp$
lemma $Aterm\text{-}Some: Aterm \vartheta=Some \vartheta \ by \ simp$

lemma $undef\text{-}equiv: (\vartheta \neq undef) = (\exists t. \vartheta=Aterm t)$
by $simp$

Plus on defined terms, strict undef otherwise

fun $Pluso :: trmo \Rightarrow trmo \Rightarrow trmo$
where
 $Pluso (Aterm \vartheta) (Aterm \eta) = Aterm(Plus \vartheta \eta)$
| $Pluso undef \eta = undef$
| $Pluso \vartheta undef = undef$

Times on defined terms, strict undef otherwise

fun $Timeso :: trmo \Rightarrow trmo \Rightarrow trmo$
where
 $Timeso (Aterm \vartheta) (Aterm \eta) = Aterm(Times \vartheta \eta)$
| $Timeso undef \eta = undef$
| $Timeso \vartheta undef = undef$

fun $Differentialo :: trmo \Rightarrow trmo$
where
 $Differentialo (Aterm \vartheta) = Aterm(Differential \vartheta)$
| $Differentialo undef = undef$

lemma $Pluso\text{-}undef: (Pluso \vartheta \eta = undef) = (\vartheta=undef \vee \eta=undef) \ by (metis Pluso.elims option.distinct(1))$
lemma $Timeso\text{-}undef: (Timeso \vartheta \eta = undef) = (\vartheta=undef \vee \eta=undef) \ by (metis Timeso.elims option.distinct(1))$
lemma $Differentialo\text{-}undef: (Differentialo \vartheta = undef) = (\vartheta=undef) \ by (metis Differentialo.elims option.distinct(1))$

type-synonym $fmlo = fml \ option$

abbreviation $undeff:: fmlo$ **where** $undeff \equiv None$
abbreviation $Afml:: fml \Rightarrow fmlo$ **where** $Afml \equiv Some$

```

type-synonym gameo = game option

abbreviation undefg:: gameo where undefg ≡ None
abbreviation Agame:: game ⇒ gameo where Agame ≡ Some

lemma undeff-equiv: ( $\varphi \neq \text{undeff}$ ) = ( $\exists f. \varphi = \text{Afml } f$ )
  by simp

lemma undefg-equiv: ( $\alpha \neq \text{undefg}$ ) = ( $\exists g. \alpha = \text{Agame } g$ )
  by simp

Geq on defined terms, strict undeft otherwise

fun Geqo :: trmo ⇒ trmo ⇒ fmlo
where
  Geqo (Aterm  $\vartheta$ ) (Aterm  $\eta$ ) = Afml(Geq  $\vartheta$   $\eta$ )
  | Geqo undeft  $\eta$  = undeff
  | Geqo  $\vartheta$  undeft = undeff

Not on defined formulas, strict undeft otherwise

fun Noto :: fmlo ⇒ fmlo
where
  Noto (Afml  $\varphi$ ) = Afml(Not  $\varphi$ )
  | Noto undeff = undeff

And on defined formulas, strict undeft otherwise

fun Ando :: fmlo ⇒ fmlo ⇒ fmlo
where
  Ando (Afml  $\varphi$ ) (Afml  $\psi$ ) = Afml(And  $\varphi$   $\psi$ )
  | Ando undeff  $\psi$  = undeff
  | Ando  $\varphi$  undeff = undeff

Exists on defined formulas, strict undeft otherwise

fun Existso :: variable ⇒ fmlo ⇒ fmlo
where
  Existso  $x$  (Afml  $\varphi$ ) = Afml(Exists  $x$   $\varphi$ )
  | Existso  $x$  undeff = undeff

Diamond on defined games/formulas, strict undeft otherwise

fun Diamondo :: gameo ⇒ fmlo ⇒ fmlo
where
  Diamondo (Agame  $\alpha$ ) (Afml  $\varphi$ ) = Afml(Diamond  $\alpha$   $\varphi$ )
  | Diamondo undefg  $\varphi$  = undeff
  | Diamondo  $\alpha$  undeff = undeff

lemma Geqo-undef: (Geqo  $\vartheta$   $\eta$  = undeff) = ( $\vartheta = \text{undeff} \vee \eta = \text{undeff}$ )
  by (metis Geqo.elims option.distinct(1))
lemma Noto-undef: (Noto  $\varphi$  = undeff) = ( $\varphi = \text{undeff}$ )
  by (metis Noto.elims option.distinct(1))

```

```

lemma Ando-undef: (Ando  $\varphi$   $\psi = \text{undef}$ ) = ( $\varphi = \text{undef}$   $\vee \psi = \text{undef}$ )
  by (metis Ando.elims option.distinct(1))
lemma Existso-undef: (Existso  $x$   $\varphi = \text{undef}$ ) = ( $\varphi = \text{undef}$ )
  by (metis Existso.elims option.distinct(1))
lemma Diamondo-undef: (Diamondo  $\alpha$   $\varphi = \text{undef}$ ) = ( $\alpha = \text{undefg}$   $\vee \varphi = \text{undef}$ )
  by (metis Diamondo.elims option.distinct(1))

```

Assign on defined terms, strict undefg otherwise

```

fun Assigno :: variable  $\Rightarrow$  trmo  $\Rightarrow$  gameo
where
  Assigno  $x$  (Aterm  $\vartheta$ ) = Agame(Assign  $x$   $\vartheta$ )
  | Assigno  $x$  undef = undefg

```

```

fun ODEo :: ident  $\Rightarrow$  trmo  $\Rightarrow$  gameo
where
  ODEo  $x$  (Aterm  $\vartheta$ ) = Agame(ODE  $x$   $\vartheta$ )
  | ODEo  $x$  undef = undefg

```

Test on defined formulas, strict undefg otherwise

```

fun Testo :: fmlo  $\Rightarrow$  gameo
where
  Testo (Afml  $\varphi$ ) = Agame(Test  $\varphi$ )
  | Testo undef = undefg

```

Choice on defined games, strict undefg otherwise

```

fun Choiceo :: gameo  $\Rightarrow$  gameo  $\Rightarrow$  gameo
where
  Choiceo (Agame  $\alpha$ ) (Agame  $\beta$ ) = Agame(Choice  $\alpha$   $\beta$ )
  | Choiceo  $\alpha$  undefg = undefg
  | Choiceo undefg  $\beta$  = undefg

```

Compose on defined games, strict undefg otherwise

```

fun Composeo :: gameo  $\Rightarrow$  gameo  $\Rightarrow$  gameo
where
  Composeo (Agame  $\alpha$ ) (Agame  $\beta$ ) = Agame(Compose  $\alpha$   $\beta$ )
  | Composeo  $\alpha$  undefg = undefg
  | Composeo undefg  $\beta$  = undefg

```

Loop on defined games, strict undefg otherwise

```

fun Loopo :: gameo  $\Rightarrow$  gameo
where
  Loopo (Agame  $\alpha$ ) = Agame(Loop  $\alpha$ )
  | Loopo undef = undefg

```

Dual on defined games, strict undefg otherwise

```

fun Dualo :: gameo  $\Rightarrow$  gameo
where
  Dualo (Agame  $\alpha$ ) = Agame(Dual  $\alpha$ )

```

| *Dualo undefg = undefg*

```

lemma Assigno-undef: (Assigno  $x \vartheta = \text{undefg}$ ) = ( $\vartheta = \text{undeft}$ ) by (metis Assigno.elims option.distinct(1))
lemma ODeo-undef: (ODeo  $x \vartheta = \text{undefg}$ ) = ( $\vartheta = \text{undeft}$ ) by (metis ODeo.elims option.distinct(1))
lemma Testo-undef: (Testo  $\varphi = \text{undefg}$ ) = ( $\varphi = \text{undeff}$ ) by (metis Testo.elims option.distinct(1))
lemma Choiceo-undef: (Choiceo  $\alpha \beta = \text{undefg}$ ) = ( $\alpha = \text{undefg} \vee \beta = \text{undefg}$ ) by (metis Choiceo.elims option.distinct(1))
lemma Composeo-undef: (Composeo  $\alpha \beta = \text{undefg}$ ) = ( $\alpha = \text{undefg} \vee \beta = \text{undefg}$ ) by (metis Composeo.elims option.distinct(1))
lemma Loopo-undef: (Loopo  $\alpha = \text{undefg}$ ) = ( $\alpha = \text{undefg}$ ) by (metis Loopo.elims option.distinct(1))
lemma Dualo-undef: (Dualo  $\alpha = \text{undefg}$ ) = ( $\alpha = \text{undefg}$ ) by (metis Dualo.elims option.distinct(1))

```

6.2 Recursive Application of One-Pass Uniform Substitution

dotsubstt ϑ is the dot substitution $\{\cdot \simgt \vartheta\}$ substituting a term for the $.$ function symbol

```

definition dotsubstt:: trm  $\Rightarrow$  usubst
where dotsubstt  $\vartheta =$ 
   $(\lambda f. (\text{if } f = \text{dotid} \text{ then } (\text{Some}(\vartheta)) \text{ else } \text{None}))$ ,
   $(\lambda -. \text{None})$ ,
   $(\lambda -. \text{None})$ ,
   $(\lambda -. \text{None})$ 
)

definition usappconst:: usubst  $\Rightarrow$  variable set  $\Rightarrow$  ident  $\Rightarrow$  (trmo)
where usappconst  $\sigma U f \equiv (\text{case } SConst \sigma f \text{ of } \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \text{if } FVT(r) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then } Aterm(r) \text{ else } \text{undeft} \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow Aterm(\text{Const } f))$ 

function usubstappt:: usubst  $\Rightarrow$  variable set  $\Rightarrow$  (trm  $\Rightarrow$  trmo)
where
  usubstappt  $\sigma U (\text{Var } x) = Aterm(\text{Var } x)$ 
  | usubstappt  $\sigma U (\text{Number } r) = Aterm(\text{Number } r)$ 
  | usubstappt  $\sigma U (\text{Const } f) = \text{usappconst } \sigma U f$ 
  | usubstappt  $\sigma U (\text{Func } f \vartheta) =$ 
     $(\text{case } \text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta \text{ of } \text{undeft} \Rightarrow \text{undeft}$ 
      | Aterm  $\sigma \vartheta \Rightarrow (\text{case } SFuncs \sigma f \text{ of } \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \text{if } FVT(r) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then } \text{usubstappt}(\text{dotsubstt } \sigma \vartheta) \{\} r \text{ else } \text{undeft} \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow Aterm(\text{Func } f \sigma \vartheta))$ 
    | usubstappt  $\sigma U (\text{Plus } \vartheta \eta) = Pluso(\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta) (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \eta)$ 
    | usubstappt  $\sigma U (\text{Times } \vartheta \eta) = Timeso(\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta) (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \eta)$ 
    | usubstappt  $\sigma U (\text{Differential } \vartheta) = Differentialo(\text{usubstappt } \sigma \text{ allvars } \vartheta)$ 
  by pat-completeness auto
termination
  by (relation measures [ $\lambda(\sigma, U, \vartheta). \text{usubstsize } \sigma, \lambda(\sigma, U, \vartheta). \text{size } \vartheta$ ])

```

(auto simp add: usubstsize-def dotsubstt-def)

declare Let-def [simp]

```

function usubstappf:: usubst  $\Rightarrow$  variable set  $\Rightarrow$  (fml  $\Rightarrow$  fml)
  and usubstappp:: usubst  $\Rightarrow$  variable set  $\Rightarrow$  (game  $\Rightarrow$  variable set  $\times$  gameo)
where
  usubstappf  $\sigma$  U (Pred p  $\vartheta$ ) =
    (case usubstappf  $\sigma$  U  $\vartheta$  of undef  $\Rightarrow$  undef
     | Aterm  $\sigma\vartheta \Rightarrow$  (case SPreds  $\sigma$  p of Some r  $\Rightarrow$  if FVF(r)  $\cap$  U = {})
     then usubstappf (dotsubstt  $\sigma\vartheta$ ) {} r else undef | None  $\Rightarrow$  Afml(Pred p  $\sigma\vartheta$ ))
    | usubstappf  $\sigma$  U (Geq  $\vartheta$   $\eta$ ) = Geq (usubstappf  $\sigma$  U  $\vartheta$ ) (usubstappf  $\sigma$  U  $\eta$ )
    | usubstappf  $\sigma$  U (Not  $\varphi$ ) = Noto (usubstappf  $\sigma$  U  $\varphi$ )
    | usubstappf  $\sigma$  U (And  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = Ando (usubstappf  $\sigma$  U  $\varphi$ ) (usubstappf  $\sigma$  U  $\psi$ )
    | usubstappf  $\sigma$  U (Exists x  $\varphi$ ) = Existso x (usubstappf  $\sigma$  (U  $\cup$  {x})  $\varphi$ )
    | usubstappf  $\sigma$  U (Diamond  $\alpha$   $\varphi$ ) = (let V $\alpha$  = usubstappp  $\sigma$  U  $\alpha$  in Diamondo
      (snd V $\alpha$ ) (usubstappf  $\sigma$  (fst V $\alpha$ )  $\varphi$ ))

    | usubstappp  $\sigma$  U (Game a) =
      (case SGames  $\sigma$  a of Some r  $\Rightarrow$  (U  $\cup$  BVG(r), Agame r)
       | None  $\Rightarrow$  (allvars, Agame(Game a)))
    | usubstappp  $\sigma$  U (Assign x  $\vartheta$ ) = (U  $\cup$  {x}, Assigno x (usubstappf  $\sigma$  U  $\vartheta$ ))
    | usubstappp  $\sigma$  U (Test  $\varphi$ ) = (U, Testo (usubstappf  $\sigma$  U  $\varphi$ ))
    | usubstappp  $\sigma$  U (Choice  $\alpha$   $\beta$ ) =
      (let V $\alpha$  = usubstappp  $\sigma$  U  $\alpha$  in
       let W $\beta$  = usubstappp  $\sigma$  U  $\beta$  in
       (fst V $\alpha$   $\cup$  fst W $\beta$ , Choiceo (snd V $\alpha$ ) (snd W $\beta$ )))
    | usubstappp  $\sigma$  U (Compose  $\alpha$   $\beta$ ) =
      (let V $\alpha$  = usubstappp  $\sigma$  U  $\alpha$  in
       let W $\beta$  = usubstappp  $\sigma$  (fst V $\alpha$ )  $\beta$  in
       (fst W $\beta$ , Composeo (snd V $\alpha$ ) (snd W $\beta$ )))
    | usubstappp  $\sigma$  U (Loop  $\alpha$ ) =
      (let V = fst(usubstappp  $\sigma$  U  $\alpha$ ) in
       (V, Loopo (snd(usubstappp  $\sigma$  V  $\alpha$ ))))
    | usubstappp  $\sigma$  U (Dual  $\alpha$ ) =
      (let V $\alpha$  = usubstappp  $\sigma$  U  $\alpha$  in (fst V $\alpha$ , Dualo (snd V $\alpha$ )))
    | usubstappp  $\sigma$  U (ODE x  $\vartheta$ ) = (U  $\cup$  {RVar x, DVar x}, ODEo x (usubstappf  $\sigma$ 
      (U  $\cup$  {RVar x, DVar x})  $\vartheta$ ))

by pat-completeness auto
termination
  by (relation measures [( $\lambda k.$  usubstsize (case k of Inl( $\sigma, U, \varphi$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $\sigma$  | Inr( $\sigma, U, \alpha$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $\sigma$ )), ( $\lambda k.$  case k of Inl ( $\sigma, U, \varphi$ )  $\Rightarrow$  size  $\varphi$  | Inr ( $\sigma, U, \alpha$ )  $\Rightarrow$  size  $\alpha$ )])
  (auto simp add: usubstsize-def dotsubstt-def)

```

Induction Principles for Uniform Substitutions

```

lemmas usubstappf-induct = usubstappf.induct [case-names Var Number Const FuncMatch Plus Times Differential]
lemmas usubstappp-induct = usubstappp.usubstappf.induct [case-names Pred Geq]

```

Not And Exists Diamond Game Assign Test Choice Compose Loop Dual ODE]

Simple Observations for Automation More automation for Case

```

lemma usappconst-simp [simp]:  $SConst \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U = \{\} \implies$ 
 $usappconst \sigma U f = Aterm(r)$ 
and  $SConst \sigma f = None \implies usappconst \sigma U f = Aterm(Const f)$ 
and  $SConst \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U \neq \{\} \implies usappconst \sigma U f = undef$ 
unfolding usappconst-def by auto

lemma usappconst-conv:  $usappconst \sigma U f \neq undef \implies$ 
 $SConst \sigma f = None \vee (\exists r. SConst \sigma f = Some r \wedge FVT(r) \cap U = \{\})$ 

proof-
assume as:  $usappconst \sigma U f \neq undef$ 
show  $SConst \sigma f = None \vee (\exists r. SConst \sigma f = Some r \wedge FVT(r) \cap U = \{\})$ 
proof (cases  $SConst \sigma f$ )
  case None
    then show ?thesis
    by auto
  next
    case ( $Some a$ )
      then show ?thesis using as usappconst-def[where  $\sigma=\sigma$  and  $U=U$  and  $f=f$ ]
      option.distinct(1) by fastforce
  qed
qed

lemma usubstappt-const [simp]:  $SConst \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U = \{\} \implies$ 
 $usubstappt \sigma U (Const f) = Aterm(r)$ 
and  $SConst \sigma f = None \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Const f) = Aterm(Const f)$ 
and  $SConst \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U \neq \{\} \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Const f) = undef$ 
by (auto simp add: usappconst-def)

lemma usubstappt-const-conv:  $usubstappt \sigma U (Const f) \neq undef \implies$ 
 $SConst \sigma f = None \vee (\exists r. SConst \sigma f = Some r \wedge FVT(r) \cap U = \{\})$ 
using usappconst-conv by auto

lemma usubstappt-func [simp]:  $SFuncs \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U = \{\} \implies$ 
 $usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta = Aterm \sigma \vartheta \implies$ 
 $usubstappt \sigma U (Func f \vartheta) = usubstappt (dotsubstt \sigma \vartheta) \{\} r$ 
and  $SFuncs \sigma f = None \implies usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta = Aterm \sigma \vartheta \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Func f \vartheta) = Aterm(Func f \sigma \vartheta)$ 
and  $usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta = undef \implies usubstappt \sigma U (Func f \vartheta) = undef$ 
by auto

lemma usubstappt-func2 [simp]:  $SFuncs \sigma f = Some r \implies FVT(r) \cap U \neq \{\} \implies$ 
 $usubstappt \sigma U (Func f \vartheta) = undef$ 
by (cases usubstappt σ U θ) (auto)

```

lemma *usubstappt-func-conv*: *usubstappt* σ U (*Func* f ϑ) $\neq \text{undef}$ \Rightarrow
usubstappt σ U $\vartheta \neq \text{undef}$ \wedge
 $(S\text{Funcs } \sigma f = \text{None} \vee (\exists r. S\text{Funcs } \sigma f = \text{Some } r \wedge FVT(r) \cap U = \{\}))$
by (*metis (lifting) option.simps(4) undef-equiv usubstappt.simps(4) usubstappt-func2*)

lemma *usubstappt-plus-conv*: *usubstappt* σ U (*Plus* ϑ η) $\neq \text{undef}$ \Rightarrow
usubstappt σ U $\vartheta \neq \text{undef}$ \wedge *usubstappt* σ U $\eta \neq \text{undef}$
by (*simp add: Pluso-undef*)

lemma *usubstappt-times-conv*: *usubstappt* σ U (*Times* ϑ η) $\neq \text{undef}$ \Rightarrow
usubstappt σ U $\vartheta \neq \text{undef}$ \wedge *usubstappt* σ U $\eta \neq \text{undef}$
by (*simp add: Timeso-undef*)

lemma *usubstappt-differential-conv*: *usubstappt* σ U (*Differential* ϑ) $\neq \text{undef}$ \Rightarrow
usubstappt σ *allvars* $\vartheta \neq \text{undef}$
by (*simp add: Differentiolo-undef*)

lemma *usubstappf-pred [simp]*: *SPreds* σ $p = \text{Some } r \Rightarrow FVF(r) \cap U = \{\} \Rightarrow$
usubstappf σ U $\vartheta = \text{Aterm } \sigma\vartheta \Rightarrow$
usubstappf σ U (*Pred* p ϑ) $= \text{substappf}(\text{dotsubstt } \sigma\vartheta) \{\} r$
and *SPreds* σ $p = \text{None} \Rightarrow$ *usubstappf* σ U $\vartheta = \text{Aterm } \sigma\vartheta \Rightarrow$ *usubstappf* σ
 U (*Pred* p ϑ) $= \text{Afml}(\text{Pred } p \sigma\vartheta)$
and *usubstappf* σ U $\vartheta = \text{undef} \Rightarrow$ *usubstappf* σ U (*Pred* p ϑ) $= \text{undef}$
by *auto*

lemma *usubstappf-pred2 [simp]*: *SPreds* σ $p = \text{Some } r \Rightarrow FVF(r) \cap U \neq \{\} \Rightarrow$
usubstappf σ U (*Pred* p ϑ) $= \text{undef}$
by (*cases usubstappf σ U θ*) (*auto*)

lemma *usubstappf-pred-conv*: *usubstappf* σ U (*Pred* p ϑ) $\neq \text{undef} \Rightarrow$
usubstappt σ U $\vartheta \neq \text{undef} \wedge$
 $(S\text{Preds } \sigma p = \text{None} \vee (\exists r. S\text{Preds } \sigma p = \text{Some } r \wedge FVF(r) \cap U = \{\}))$
by (*metis (lifting) option.simps(4) undef-equiv usubstappf.simps(1) usubstappf-pred2*)

lemma *usubstappf-geq*: *usubstappt* σ U $\vartheta \neq \text{undef} \Rightarrow$ *usubstappt* σ U $\eta \neq \text{undef}$
 \Rightarrow
usubstappf σ U (*Geq* ϑ η) $= \text{Afml}(\text{Geq } (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta)) (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \eta)))$
by *fastforce*

lemma *usubstappf-geq-conv*: *usubstappf* σ U (*Geq* ϑ η) $\neq \text{undef} \Rightarrow$
usubstappt σ U $\vartheta \neq \text{undef} \wedge$ *usubstappt* σ U $\eta \neq \text{undef}$
by (*simp add: Geqo-undef*)

lemma *usubstappf-geqr*: *usubstappf* σ U (*Geq* ϑ η) $\neq \text{undef} \Rightarrow$
usubstappf σ U (*Geq* ϑ η) $= \text{Afml}(\text{Geq } (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta)) (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \eta)))$

```

 $\sigma \ U \ \eta)))$ 
using usubstappf-geq usubstappf-geq-conv by blast

lemma usubstappf-exists: usubstappf  $\sigma \ U$  ( $\text{Exists } x \ \varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies$ 
 $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U \ (\text{Exists } x \ \varphi) = \text{Afml}(\text{Exists } x \ (\text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ (U \cup \{x\}) \ \varphi)))$ )
using Existso-undef by auto

lemma usubstappp-game [simp]: SGames  $\sigma \ a = \text{Some } r \implies$  usubstappp  $\sigma \ U$ 
 $(\text{Game } a) = (U \cup \text{BVG}(r), \text{Agame}(r))$ 
and SGames  $\sigma \ a = \text{None} \implies$  usubstappp  $\sigma \ U$  ( $\text{Game } a) = (\text{allvars}, \text{Agame}(\text{Game } a))$ 
by auto

lemma usubstappp-choice [simp]: usubstappp  $\sigma \ U$  ( $\text{Choice } \alpha \ \beta) =$ 
 $(\text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha) \cup \text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \beta), \text{Choiceo} \ (\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha)) \ (\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \beta)))$ 
by auto

lemma usubstappp-choice-conv :  $\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ (\text{Choice } \alpha \ \beta)) \neq \text{undefg} \implies$ 
 $\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha) \neq \text{undefg} \wedge \text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \beta) \neq \text{undefg}$ 
by (simp add: Choiceo-undef)

lemma usubstappp-compose [simp]: usubstappp  $\sigma \ U$  ( $\text{Compose } \alpha \ \beta) =$ 
 $(\text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ (\text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha)) \ \beta), \text{Composeo} \ (\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha)) \ (\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ (\text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha)) \ \beta)))$ 
by simp

lemma usubstappp-loop: usubstappp  $\sigma \ U$  ( $\text{Loop } \alpha) =$ 
 $(\text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha), \text{Loopo} \ (\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ (\text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha)) \ \alpha)))$ 
by auto

lemma usubstappp-dual [simp]: usubstappp  $\sigma \ U$  ( $\text{Dual } \alpha) =$ 
 $(\text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha), \text{Dualo} \ (\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha)))$ 
by simp

```

7 Soundness of Uniform Substitution

7.1 USubst Application is a Function of Deterministic Result

```

lemma usubstappt-det: usubstappt  $\sigma \ U \ \vartheta \neq \text{undef} \implies$  usubstappt  $\sigma \ V \ \vartheta \neq \text{undef}$ 
 $\implies$ 
 $\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \ \vartheta = \text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta$ 
proof (induction  $\vartheta$ )
case ( $\text{Var } x$ )
then show ?case by simp
next
case ( $\text{Number } x$ )
then show ?case by simp
next

```

```

case (Const f)
then show ?case

proof -
  have f1: usubstappt  $\sigma$  U (Const f) = (case SConst  $\sigma$  f of None  $\Rightarrow$  Aterm (Const f) | Some t  $\Rightarrow$  if FVT t  $\cap$  U = {} then Aterm t else undeft)
    by (simp add: usappconst-def)
  have f2:  $\forall z f za.$  if za = undeft then (case za of None  $\Rightarrow$  z::trm option | Some x  $\Rightarrow$  f x) = z else (case za of None  $\Rightarrow$  z | Some x  $\Rightarrow$  f x) = f (the za)
    by force
  then have SConst  $\sigma$  f  $\neq$  undeft  $\longrightarrow$  (if FVT (the (SConst  $\sigma$  f))  $\cap$  U = {} then Aterm (the (SConst  $\sigma$  f)) else undeft) = usappconst  $\sigma$  U f
    by (simp add: usappconst-def)
  then have f3: SConst  $\sigma$  f  $\neq$  undeft  $\longrightarrow$  FVT (the (SConst  $\sigma$  f))  $\cap$  U = {}
    by (metis Const.prems(1) usubstappt.simps(3))
  have SConst  $\sigma$  f  $\neq$  undeft  $\longrightarrow$  (if FVT (the (SConst  $\sigma$  f))  $\cap$  V = {} then Aterm (the (SConst  $\sigma$  f)) else undeft) = usappconst  $\sigma$  V f
    using f2 usappconst-def by presburger
  then have SConst  $\sigma$  f  $\neq$  undeft  $\longrightarrow$  FVT (the (SConst  $\sigma$  f))  $\cap$  V = {}
    by (metis (no-types) Const.prems(2) usubstappt.simps(3))
  then have f4: SConst  $\sigma$  f  $\neq$  undeft  $\longrightarrow$  usubstappt  $\sigma$  U (Const f) = usappconst  $\sigma$  V f
    using f3 f2 f1 usappconst-def by presburger
  { assume usubstappt  $\sigma$  U (Const f)  $\neq$  usubstappt  $\sigma$  V (Const f)
    then have usubstappt  $\sigma$  U (Const f)  $\neq$  (case SConst  $\sigma$  f of None  $\Rightarrow$  Aterm (Const f) | Some t  $\Rightarrow$  if FVT t  $\cap$  U = {} then Aterm t else undeft)
      by (simp add: usappconst-def)
    then have SConst  $\sigma$  f  $\neq$  undeft
      using f2 f1 by (metis (no-types))
    then have ?thesis
      using f4 by simp }
  then show ?thesis
    by blast
  qed
next
  case (Func f  $\vartheta$ )
  then show ?case using usubstappt-func

```

```

proof -
  have f1: (case usubstappt  $\sigma$  U  $\vartheta$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  undeft | Some t  $\Rightarrow$  (case SFuncs  $\sigma$  f of None  $\Rightarrow$  Aterm (trm.Func f t) | Some ta  $\Rightarrow$  if FVT ta  $\cap$  U = {} then usubstappt (dotsubstt t) {} ta else undeft)  $\neq$  undeft)
    using Func(2) by auto
  have f2:  $\forall z f za.$  if za = undeft then (case za of None  $\Rightarrow$  z::trm option | Some x  $\Rightarrow$  f x) = z else (case za of None  $\Rightarrow$  z | Some x  $\Rightarrow$  f x) = f (the za)
    by force
  then have f3: usubstappt  $\sigma$  U  $\vartheta$   $\neq$  undeft
    using f1 by meson

```

```

have (case usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  undef | Some  $t \Rightarrow$  (case SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$  of
None  $\Rightarrow$  Aterm (trm.Func  $f t$ ) | Some  $ta \Rightarrow$  if FVT  $ta \cap V = \{\}$  then usubstapp $\sigma$ 
(dotsubstt  $t$ ) {} ta else undef)  $\neq$  undef
  using Func(3) by auto
then have  $f_4$ : usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta \neq$  undef
  using f2 by meson
then have  $f_5$ : usubstapp $\sigma$  U (trm.Func  $f \vartheta$ )  $=$  (case SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$  of None  $\Rightarrow$ 
Aterm (trm.Func  $f$  (the (usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta$ ))) | Some  $t \Rightarrow$  if FVT  $t \cap U = \{\}$  then
usubstapp $\sigma$  (dotsubstt (the (usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta$ ))) {}  $t$  else undef)
  using f3 f2 Func(1) usubstapp $\sigma$ .simp(4) by presburger
have SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f \neq$  undef  $\longrightarrow$  (if FVT (the (SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$ ))  $\cap U = \{\}$  then
usubstapp $\sigma$  (dotsubstt (the (usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta$ ))) {} (the (SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$ )) else undef)
 $=$  usubstapp $\sigma$  U (trm.Func  $f \vartheta$ )
  using f4 f3 f2 Func(1) usubstapp $\sigma$ .simp(4) by presburger
then have  $f_6$ : SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f \neq$  undef  $\longrightarrow$  FVT (the (SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$ ))  $\cap U = \{\}$ 
  by (metis Func(2))
have  $f_7$ : (case usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  undef | Some  $t \Rightarrow$  (case SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$  of
None  $\Rightarrow$  Aterm (trm.Func  $f t$ ) | Some  $ta \Rightarrow$  if FVT  $ta \cap V = \{\}$  then usubstapp $\sigma$ 
(dotsubstt  $t$ ) {} ta else undef)  $=$  (case SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  Aterm (trm.Func
 $f$  (the (usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta$ ))) | Some  $t \Rightarrow$  if FVT  $t \cap V = \{\}$  then usubstapp $\sigma$ 
(dotsubstt (the (usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta$ ))) {}  $t$  else undef)
  using f4 f2 by presburger
then have SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f \neq$  undef  $\longrightarrow$  (if FVT (the (SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$ ))  $\cap V = \{\}$ 
then usubstapp $\sigma$  (dotsubstt (the (usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta$ ))) {} (the (SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$ )) else
undef)  $=$  usubstapp $\sigma$  V (trm.Func  $f \vartheta$ )
  using f2 by simp
then have  $f_8$ : SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f \neq$  undef  $\longrightarrow$  FVT (the (SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$ ))  $\cap V = \{\}$ 
  by (metis (full-types) Func(3))
{ assume usubstapp $\sigma$  U (trm.Func  $f \vartheta$ )  $\neq$  usubstapp $\sigma$  V (trm.Func  $f \vartheta$ )
  moreover
{ assume (case SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  Aterm (trm.Func  $f$  (the (usubstapp $\sigma$ 
V  $\vartheta$ ))) | Some  $t \Rightarrow$  if FVT  $t \cap V = \{\}$  then usubstapp $\sigma$  (dotsubstt (the (usubstapp $\sigma$ 
V  $\vartheta$ ))) {}  $t$  else undef)  $\neq$  Aterm (trm.Func  $f$  (the (usubstapp $\sigma$  V  $\vartheta$ )))
    then have SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f \neq$  undef
    using f2 by meson }
  ultimately have SFuncs  $\sigma$   $f \neq$  undef
  using f7 f5 by fastforce
then have ?thesis
  using f8 f7 f6 f5 f2 by simp }
then show ?thesis
  by blast
qed
next
case (Plus  $\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2$ )
then show ?case using Pluso-undef by auto
next
case (Times  $\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2$ )
then show ?case using Timeso-undef by auto
next

```

```

case (Differential  $\vartheta$ )
then show ?case using Differentialeo-undef by auto
qed

lemma usubstappf-and-usubstappp-det:
shows usubstappf  $\sigma$   $U$   $\varphi \neq \text{undef}$   $\implies$  usubstappf  $\sigma$   $V$   $\varphi \neq \text{undef}$   $\implies$  usubstappf
 $\sigma$   $U$   $\varphi = \text{usubstappf}$   $\sigma$   $V$   $\varphi$ 
and snd(usubstappp  $\sigma$   $U$   $\alpha)$   $\neq \text{undef}$   $\implies$  snd(usubstappp  $\sigma$   $V$   $\alpha)$   $\neq \text{undef}$   $\implies$ 
snd(usubstappp  $\sigma$   $U$   $\alpha) = \text{snd(usubstappp}$   $\sigma$   $V$   $\alpha)$ 
proof (induction  $\varphi$  and  $\alpha$  arbitrary:  $U$   $V$  and  $U$   $V$ )
case (Pred  $p$   $\vartheta$ )
then show ?case using usubstappt-det usubstappf-pred

proof –
have  $f1$ : (case usubstappt  $\sigma$   $U$   $\vartheta$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  undef  $|$  Some t  $\Rightarrow$  (case SPreds
 $\sigma$   $p$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  Afml (Pred p t)  $|$  Some f  $\Rightarrow$  if FVF f  $\cap$   $U = \{\}$  then usubstappf
(dotsubstt t)  $\{\}$  f else undef)  $\neq \text{undef}$ 
using Pred.prem(1) by auto
have  $f2$ :  $\forall z f za.$  if za = undef then (case za of None  $\Rightarrow$  z::fml option  $|$  Some
 $x \Rightarrow f x)$   $= z$  else (case za of None  $\Rightarrow z |$  Some x  $\Rightarrow f x)$   $= f (\text{the za})$ 
by (simp add: option.case-eq-if)
then have  $f3$ : (case usubstappt  $\sigma$   $U$   $\vartheta$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  undef  $|$  Some t  $\Rightarrow$  (case
SPreds  $\sigma$   $p$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  Afml (Pred p t)  $|$  Some f  $\Rightarrow$  if FVF f  $\cap$   $U = \{\}$  then usubstappf
(dotsubstt t)  $\{\}$  f else undef)  $= (\text{case SPreds}$   $\sigma$   $p$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  Afml
(Pred p (the (usubstappt  $\sigma$   $U$   $\vartheta))$ )  $|$  Some f  $\Rightarrow$  if FVF f  $\cap$   $U = \{\}$  then usubstappf
(dotsubstt (the (usubstappt  $\sigma$   $U$   $\vartheta)))$   $\{\}$  f else undef)
using  $f1$  by meson
have  $f4$ : (case usubstappt  $\sigma$   $V$   $\vartheta$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  undef  $|$  Some t  $\Rightarrow$  (case SPreds
 $\sigma$   $p$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  Afml (Pred p t)  $|$  Some f  $\Rightarrow$  if FVF f  $\cap$   $V = \{\}$  then usubstappf
(dotsubstt t)  $\{\}$  f else undef)  $\neq \text{undef}$ 
using Pred.prem(2) by auto
then have  $f5$ : usubstappt  $\sigma$   $U$   $\vartheta = \text{usubstappt}$   $\sigma$   $V$   $\vartheta$ 
using  $f2 f1$  by (meson usubstappt-det)
then have  $f6$ : usubstappf  $\sigma$   $U$  (Pred p  $\vartheta$ )  $= (\text{case SPreds}$   $\sigma$   $p$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  Afml
(Pred p (the (usubstappt  $\sigma$   $V$   $\vartheta)))$   $|$  Some f  $\Rightarrow$  if FVF f  $\cap$   $U = \{\}$  then usubstappf
(dotsubstt (the (usubstappt  $\sigma$   $V$   $\vartheta)))$   $\{\}$  f else undef)
using  $f3$  usubstappf.simps(1) by presburger
have  $f7$ :  $\forall z f za.$  if za = undef then (case za of None  $\Rightarrow$  z::fml option  $|$  Some
 $x \Rightarrow f x)$   $= z$  else (case za of None  $\Rightarrow z |$  Some x  $\Rightarrow f x)$   $= f (\text{the za})$ 
by (simp add: option.case-eq-if)
have  $f8$ : (case usubstappt  $\sigma$   $V$   $\vartheta$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  undef  $|$  Some t  $\Rightarrow$  (case SPreds
 $\sigma$   $p$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  Afml (Pred p t)  $|$  Some f  $\Rightarrow$  if FVF f  $\cap$   $V = \{\}$  then usubstappf
(dotsubstt t)  $\{\}$  f else undef)  $= (\text{case SPreds}$   $\sigma$   $p$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  Afml (Pred p (the
(usubstappt  $\sigma$   $V$   $\vartheta)))$   $|$  Some f  $\Rightarrow$  if FVF f  $\cap$   $V = \{\}$  then usubstappf
(dotsubstt (the (usubstappt  $\sigma$   $V$   $\vartheta)))$   $\{\}$  f else undef)
using  $f4 f2$  by meson
then have  $f9$ : SPreds  $\sigma$   $p = \text{undef} \longrightarrow \text{usubstappf}$   $\sigma$   $U$  (Pred p  $\vartheta$ )  $= \text{usubstappf}$ 

```

```

 $\sigma V (\text{Pred } p \vartheta)$ 
  using f6 by fastforce
  { assume usubstappf  $\sigma U (\text{Pred } p \vartheta) \neq$  usubstappf  $\sigma V (\text{Pred } p \vartheta)$ 
    then have usubstappf  $\sigma U (\text{Pred } p \vartheta) \neq (\text{case SPreds } \sigma p \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{Afml}$ 
      ( $\text{Pred } p (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap V = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf}$ 
      ( $\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff}$ )
    using f8 by simp
    moreover
    { assume usubstappf  $\sigma U (\text{Pred } p \vartheta) \neq (\text{if FVF } (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \cap V =$ 
       $\{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \text{ else}$ 
      undeff)
    moreover
    { assume usubstappf  $\sigma U (\text{Pred } p \vartheta) \neq$  usubstappf ( $\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p))$ 
    moreover
    { assume usubstappf  $\sigma U (\text{Pred } p \vartheta) \neq (\text{if FVF } (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \cap U =$ 
       $\{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \text{ else}$ 
      undeff)
      then have ( $\text{case SPreds } \sigma p \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff}) \neq (\text{if FVF } (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \text{ else undeff})$ 
      using f6 by force
      then have SPreds  $\sigma p = \text{undeff}$ 
      using f7 by (metis (no-types, lifting) Pred.prems(1) calculation f5
      option.collapse usubstappf-pred usubstappf-pred2 usubstappf-pred-conv)
    moreover
    { assume (if FVF ( $\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf }$ 
      ( $\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \text{ else undeff}) \neq$ 
      usubstappf ( $\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p))$ 
      then have (if FVF ( $\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf }$ 
      ( $\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \text{ else undeff}) \neq$ 
      (case SPreds  $\sigma p \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff})$ 
      using f3 Pred.prems(1) by auto
      then have (if FVF ( $\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf }$ 
      ( $\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \text{ else undeff}) \neq$ 
      (case SPreds  $\sigma p \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff})$ 
      using f5 using Pred.prems(1)  $\langle$ (if FVF ( $\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \text{ else undeff}) \neq$ 
      usubstappf ( $\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \rangle$ 
      f6 by auto
      then have (case SPreds  $\sigma p \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff}) \neq$ 
      (if FVF ( $\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the (usubstappt } \sigma V \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the (SPreds } \sigma p)) \text{ else undeff})$ 
      by simp
      then have SPreds  $\sigma p = \text{undeff}$ 

```

```

using f7 proof -
show ?thesis
using <(case SPreds σ p of None ⇒ Afml (Pred p (the (usubstappt σ V
σ V))) | Some f ⇒ if FVF f ∩ U = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt
σ V θ))) {} f else undef) ≠ (if FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ U = {} then usub-
stappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} (the (SPreds σ p)) else undef)>
calculation(2) f6 by presburger
qed}
ultimately have SPreds σ p = undef
by fastforce }
moreover
{ assume (if FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ V = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt
(the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} (the (SPreds σ p)) else undef) ≠ usubstappf (dotsubstt
(the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} (the (SPreds σ p))
then have (case SPreds σ p of None ⇒ Afml (Pred p (the (usubstappt σ V
σ V))) | Some f ⇒ if FVF f ∩ V = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ
V θ))) {} f else undef) ≠ (if FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ V = {} then usubstappf
(dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} (the (SPreds σ p)) else undef)
using f8 Pred.prem(2) by auto
then have SPreds σ p = undef
using f7 by (metis (no-types, lifting) Pred.prem(2) <(if FVF (the (SPreds
σ p)) ∩ V = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} (the
(SPreds σ p)) else undef) ≠ usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} (the
(SPreds σ p))> option.collapse usubstappf-pred2)}
ultimately have SPreds σ p = undef
by fastforce }
moreover
{ assume (case SPreds σ p of None ⇒ Afml (Pred p (the (usubstappt σ V
θ))) | Some f ⇒ if FVF f ∩ V = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ
V θ))) {} f else undef) ≠ (if FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ V = {} then usubstappf
(dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} (the (SPreds σ p)) else undef)
then have SPreds σ p = undef
using f7 by (metis (no-types, lifting) Pred.prem(1) Pred.prem(2) <usub-
stappf σ U (Pred p θ) ≠ usubstappf σ V (Pred p θ)> calculation(2) option.collapse
usubstappf-pred usubstappf-pred-conv)}
ultimately have ?thesis
using f9 by fastforce }
then show ?thesis
by blast
qed
next
case (Geq θ η)
then show ?case using usubstappt-det by (metis Geqo-undef usubstappf.simps(2))
next
case (Not x)
then show ?case by (metis Noto.simps(2) usubstappf.simps(3))
next
case (And x1 x2)
then show ?case by (metis Ando-undef usubstappf.simps(4))

```

```

next
case (Exists  $x_1 x_2$ )
then show ?case by (metis Existso-undef usubstappf.simps(5))
next
case (Diamond  $x_1 x_2$ )
then show ?case by (metis Diamondo-undef usubstappf.simps(6))
next
case (Game  $a$ )
then show ?case by (cases SGames  $\sigma$   $a$ ) (auto)
next
case (Assign  $x \vartheta$ )
then show ?case using usubstappt-det by (metis Assigno-undef snd-conv usub-
stappp.simps(2))
next
case (ODE  $x \vartheta$ )
then show ?case using usubstappt-det by (metis ODEo-undef snd-conv usub-
stappp.simps(8))
next
case (Test  $\varphi$ )
then show ?case by (metis Testo-undef snd-conv usubstappp.simps(3))
next
case (Choice  $\alpha \beta$ )
then show ?case by (metis Choiceo-undef snd-conv usubstappp.simps(4))
next
case (Compose  $\alpha \beta$ )
then show ?case by (metis Composeo-undef snd-conv usubstappp.simps(5))
next
case (Loop  $\alpha$ )
then show ?case by (metis Loopo-undef snd-conv usubstappp.simps(6))
next
case (Dual  $\alpha$ )
then show ?case by (metis Dualo-undef snd-conv usubstappp.simps(7))
qed

```

lemma usubstappf-det: $usubstappf \sigma U \varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies usubstappf \sigma V \varphi \neq \text{undef}$
 $\implies usubstappf \sigma U \varphi = usubstappf \sigma V \varphi$
using usubstappf-and-usubstappp-det **by** simp

lemma usubstappp-det: $\text{snd}(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha) \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{snd}(usubstappp \sigma$
 $V \alpha) \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{snd}(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha) = \text{snd}(usubstappp \sigma V \alpha)$
using usubstappf-and-usubstappp-det **by** simp

7.2 Uniform Substitutions are Antimonotone in Taboos

lemma usubst-taboos-mon: $\text{fst}(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha) \supseteq U$
proof (induction α arbitrary: U rule: game-induct)
 case (*Game* a)
 then show ?**case by** (cases SGames σ a) (auto)
 next

```

case (Assign  $x \vartheta$ )
then show ?case by fastforce
next
  case (ODE  $x \vartheta$ )
  then show ?case by fastforce
next
  case (Test  $\varphi$ )
  then show ?case by fastforce
next
  case (Choice  $\alpha \beta$ )
  then show ?case by fastforce
next
  case (Compose  $\alpha \beta$ )
  then show ?case by fastforce
next
  case (Loop  $\alpha$ )
  then show ?case by fastforce
next
  case (Dual  $\alpha$ )
  then show ?case by fastforce
qed

lemma fst-pair [simp]: fst ( $a,b$ ) =  $a$ 
  by simp

lemma snd-pair [simp]: snd ( $a,b$ ) =  $b$ 
  by simp

lemma usubstapp-antimon:  $V \subseteq U \implies \text{usubstapp} \sigma U \vartheta \neq \text{undef} \implies$ 
   $\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \vartheta = \text{usubstapp} \sigma V \vartheta$ 
proof (induction  $\vartheta$ )
  case (Var  $x$ )
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Number  $x$ )
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Const  $f$ )
  then show ?case

proof -
  have  $f1$ :  $\text{usubstapp} \sigma U (\text{Const } f) = (\text{case } S\text{Const } \sigma f \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Aterm } (\text{Const } f) \mid \text{Some } t \Rightarrow \text{if } FVT t \cap U = \{\} \text{ then Aterm } t \text{ else undef})$ 
  by (simp add: usappconst-def)
  have  $f2$ :  $\forall z f za. \text{if } za = \text{undef} \text{ then } (\text{case } za \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow z :: \text{term option} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow f x) = z \text{ else } (\text{case } za \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow z \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow f x) = f (\text{the } za)$ 
  by force
  then have  $S\text{Const } \sigma f \neq \text{undef} \longrightarrow (\text{if } FVT (\text{the } (S\text{Const } \sigma f)) \cap U = \{\}$ 

```

```

then Aterm (the (SConst σ f)) else undeft) = usappconst σ U f
  using usappconst-def by presburger
  then have f3: SConst σ f ≠ undeft → FVT (the (SConst σ f)) ∩ U = {}
    by (metis (no-types) Const.prems(2) usubstappt.simps(3))
  have f4: ∀ V Va. (V ∩ Va = {}) = (∀ v. (v::variable) ∈ V → (∀ va. va ∈ Va
→ v ≠ va))
    by blast
  { assume usubstappt σ U (Const f) ≠ usubstappt σ V (Const f)
    then have usubstappt σ U (Const f) ≠ (case SConst σ f of None ⇒ Aterm
(Const f) | Some t ⇒ if FVT t ∩ V = {} then Aterm t else undeft)
      by (simp add: usappconst-def)
    then have SConst σ f ≠ undeft
      using f2 f1 by metis
    then have SConst σ f ≠ undeft ∧ FVT (the (SConst σ f)) ∩ V = {}
      using f4 f3 by (meson Const.prems(1) subsetD)
    then have ?thesis
      using f3 f2 usappconst-def usubstappt.simps(3) by presburger }
  then show ?thesis
    by blast
qed
next
  case (Func f θ)
  then show ?case using usubstappt-func

proof -
  have f1: (case usubstappt σ U θ of None ⇒ undeft | Some t ⇒ (case SFuncs σ f
of None ⇒ Aterm (trm.Func f t) | Some ta ⇒ if FVT ta ∩ U = {} then usubstappt
(dotsubstt t) {} ta else undeft)) ≠ undeft
    using Func.prems(2) by fastforce
  have f2: ∀ z f za. if za = undeft then (case za of None ⇒ z::trm option | Some
x ⇒ f x) = z else (case za of None ⇒ z | Some x ⇒ f x) = f (the za)
    by fastforce
  then have f3: usubstappt σ U θ ≠ undeft
    using f1 by meson
  then have f4: (case usubstappt σ U θ of None ⇒ undeft | Some t ⇒ (case
SFUNCs σ f of None ⇒ Aterm (trm.Func f t) | Some ta ⇒ if FVT ta ∩ U = {}
then usubstappt (dotsubstt t) {} ta else undeft)) = (case SFUNCs σ f of None ⇒
Aterm (trm.Func f (the (usubstappt σ U θ))) | Some t ⇒ if FVT t ∩ U = {} then
usubstappt (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ U θ))) {} t else undeft)
    using f2 by presburger
  have f5: usubstappt σ U θ = undeft ∨ usubstappt σ U θ = usubstappt σ V θ
    using Func.IH Func.prems(1) by fastforce
  have SFUNCs σ f ≠ undeft → (if FVT (the (SFUNCs σ f)) ∩ U = {} then
usubstappt (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} (the (SFUNCs σ f)) else undeft)
= (case SFUNCs σ f of None ⇒ Aterm (trm.Func f (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) | Some t ⇒
if FVT t ∩ U = {} then usubstappt (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} t else undeft)
    using f2 by presburger
  then have SFUNCs σ f ≠ undeft → (if FVT (the (SFUNCs σ f)) ∩ U = {})

```

```

then usubstappt (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} (the (SFuncs σ f)) else
undeft) = usubstappt σ U (trm.Func f θ)
  using f5 f4 f3 usubstappt.simps(4) by presburger
  then have f6: SFuncs σ f ≠ undeft → FVT (the (SFuncs σ f)) ∩ U = {}
    by (metis (no-types) Func.prems(2))
  then have f7: SFuncs σ f ≠ undeft → V ⊆ −FVT (the (SFuncs σ f))
    using Func.prems(1) by blast
  have f8: (case usubstappt σ V θ of None ⇒ undeft | Some t ⇒ (case SFuncs σ f
of None ⇒ Aterm (trm.Func f t) | Some ta ⇒ if FVT ta ∩ V = {} then usubstappt
(dotsubstt t) {} ta else undeft)) = (case SFuncs σ f of None ⇒ Aterm (trm.Func
f (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) | Some t ⇒ if FVT t ∩ V = {} then usubstappt
(dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} t else undeft)
    using f5 f3 f2 by presburger
  have SFuncs σ f ≠ undeft → usubstappt (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt σ V
θ))) {} (the (SFuncs σ f)) = (case SFuncs σ f of None ⇒ Aterm (trm.Func f (the
(usubstappt σ V θ))) | Some t ⇒ if FVT t ∩ U = {} then usubstappt (dotsubstt
(the (usubstappt σ V θ))) {} t else undeft)
    using f6 f2 by presburger
  then have f9: SFuncs σ f ≠ undeft → usubstappt (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt
σ V θ))) {} (the (SFuncs σ f)) = usubstappt σ U (trm.Func f θ)
    using f5 f4 f3 usubstappt.simps(4) by presburger
{ assume usubstappt σ U (trm.Func f θ) ≠ usubstappt σ V (trm.Func f θ)
  moreover
{ assume (case SFuncs σ f of None ⇒ Aterm (trm.Func f (the (usubstappt σ
V θ))) | Some t ⇒ if FVT t ∩ V = {} then usubstappt (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt
σ V θ))) {} t else undeft) ≠ Aterm (trm.Func f (the (usubstappt σ V θ)))
    then have SFuncs σ f ≠ undeft
      using f2 by meson }
  ultimately have SFuncs σ f ≠ undeft
    using f5 f3 by fastforce
  then have ?thesis
    using f9 f8 f7 f2 by (simp add: disjoint-eq-subset-Compl inf.commute) }
then show ?thesis
  by blast
qed
next
  case (Plus θ1 θ2)
  then show ?case using Pluso-undef by auto
next
  case (Times θ1 θ2)
  then show ?case using Timeso-undef by auto
next
  case (Differential θ)
  then show ?case using Differentialeo-undef by auto
qed

```

Uniform Substitutions of Games have monotone taboo output

lemma usubstappp-fst-mon: $U \subseteq V \implies \text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha) \subseteq \text{fst}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ V \ \alpha)$

```

proof (induction  $\alpha$  arbitrary:  $U V$  rule: game-induct)
  case (Game  $a$ )
    then show ?case by (cases SGames  $\sigma$   $a$ ) (auto)
  next
    case (Assign  $x \vartheta$ )
      then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (ODE  $x \vartheta$ )
      then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Test  $\varphi$ )
      then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Choice  $\alpha \beta$ )
      then show ?case by (metis Un-mono fst-pair usubstapp-choice)
  next
    case (Compose  $\alpha \beta$ )
      then show ?case by (metis fst-pair usubstapp-compose)
  next
    case (Loop  $\alpha$ )
      then show ?case by (metis fst-pair usubstapp-loop)
  next
    case (Dual  $\alpha$ )
      then show ?case by (metis fst-pair usubstapp-dual)
  qed

```

lemma *usubstappf-and-usubstapp-antimon*:

shows $V \subseteq U \implies \text{usubstappf } \sigma U \varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{usubstappf } \sigma U \varphi = \text{usubstappf } \sigma V \varphi$

and $V \subseteq U \implies \text{snd}(\text{usubstapp } \sigma U \alpha) \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{snd}(\text{usubstapp } \sigma U \alpha) = \text{snd}(\text{usubstapp } \sigma V \alpha)$

proof –

have $V \subseteq U \implies \text{usubstappf } \sigma U \varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{usubstappf } \sigma V \varphi \neq \text{undef}$

and $V \subseteq U \implies \text{snd}(\text{usubstapp } \sigma U \alpha) \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{snd}(\text{usubstapp } \sigma V \alpha) \neq \text{undef}$

proof (*induction* φ **and** α *arbitrary*: $U V$ **and** $U V$)

case (*Pred* $p \vartheta$)

then show ?*case* **using** *usubstapp-antimon usubstappf-pred*

proof –

have $f1: \forall v. v \notin V \vee v \in U$

using *Pred.preds(1)* **by** *auto*

have $f2: \forall z f za. \text{if } za = \text{undef} \text{ then } (\text{case } za \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow z :: \text{fml option} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow f x) = z \text{ else } (\text{case } za \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow z \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow f x) = f (\text{the } za)$

by (*simp add: option.case-eq-if*)

have $f3: (\text{case } \text{usubstapp } \sigma U \vartheta \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{undef} \mid \text{Some } t \Rightarrow (\text{case } \text{SPreds } \sigma p \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Afm } (\text{Pred } p t) \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if } FVF f \cap U = \{\} \text{ then } \text{usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } t) \{\} f \text{ else undef})) \neq \text{undef}$

```

using Pred.preds(2) by auto
have f4:  $\forall z f za. \text{if } za = \text{undef} \text{ then } (\text{case } za \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow z :: \text{fml option} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow f x) = z \text{ else } (\text{case } za \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow z \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow f x) = f (\text{the } za)$ 
by (simp add: option.case-eq-if)
then have f5: usubstappf σ U θ ≠ undef
using f3 by meson
then have f6: (case usubstappf σ U θ of None ⇒ undef | Some t ⇒ (case SPreds σ p of None ⇒ Afml (Pred p t) | Some f ⇒ if FVF f ∩ U = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt t) {} f else undef)) = (case SPreds σ p of None ⇒ Afml (Pred p (the (usubstappf σ U θ))) | Some f ⇒ if FVF f ∩ U = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappf σ U θ))) {} f else undef)
using f4 by presburger
have f7: usubstappf σ U θ = usubstappf σ V θ
using f5 by (meson Pred.preds(1) usubstappf-antimon)
then have f8: SPreds σ p = undef → usubstappf σ U (Pred p θ) = usubstappf σ V (Pred p θ)
using f2 usubstappf.simps(1) by presburger
obtain vv :: variable set ⇒ variable set ⇒ variable where
 $\forall x0 x1. (\exists v2. v2 \in x1 \wedge (\exists v3. v3 \in x0 \wedge v2 = v3)) = (vv x0 x1 \in x1 \wedge (\exists v3. v3 \in x0 \wedge vv x0 x1 = v3))$ 
by moura
then obtain vva :: variable set ⇒ variable set ⇒ variable where
f9:  $\forall V Va. (V \cap Va \neq \{\} \vee (\forall v. v \notin V \vee (\forall va. va \notin Va \vee v \neq va))) \wedge (V \cap Va = \{\} \vee vv Va V \in V \wedge vva Va V \in Va \wedge vv Va V = vva Va V)$ 
by auto
then have f10: (FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ V ≠ {} ∨ (forall v. v ∉ FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ V = {} ∨ vv V (FVF (the (SPreds σ p))) ∈ FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ vva V (FVF (the (SPreds σ p))) ∈ V ∨ vv V (FVF (the (SPreds σ p))) = vva V (FVF (the (SPreds σ p))))))
by presburger
{ assume vv V (FVF (the (SPreds σ p))) ≠ FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∨ vva V (FVF (the (SPreds σ p))) ≠ vva V (FVF (the (SPreds σ p)))
moreover
{ assume (if FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ V = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappf σ V θ))) {} (the (SPreds σ p)) else undef) ≠ undef
moreover
{ assume (if FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ V = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappf σ V θ))) {} (the (SPreds σ p)) else undef) ≠ usubstappf σ V (Pred p θ)
then have (case SPreds σ p of None ⇒ Afml (Pred p (the (usubstappf σ V θ))) | Some f ⇒ if FVF f ∩ V = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappf σ V θ))) {} f else undef) ≠ (if FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ V = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappf σ V θ))) {} (the (SPreds σ p)) else undef)
using f7 f5 f4 by simp
then have SPreds σ p = undef
using f2 by (metis (no-types, lifting) (if FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ V = {} then usubstappf (dotsubstt (the (usubstappf σ V θ))) {} (the (SPreds σ p)) else undef))

```

```

 $p)) \text{ else } \text{undeff}) \neq \text{usubstappf } \sigma \ V (\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta) \text{ calculation } f5 \ f7 \text{ option.collapse}$ 
 $\text{usubstappf-pred}) \}$ 
ultimately have  $\text{usubstappf } \sigma \ V (\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta) = \text{undeff} \longrightarrow \text{SPreds } \sigma \ p =$ 
 $\text{undeff}$ 
by fastforce  $\}$ 
moreover
{ assume  $\text{usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the} (\text{SPreds}$ 
 $\sigma \ p)) \neq \text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta)$ 
then have  $\text{usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the} (\text{SPreds}$ 
 $\sigma \ p)) \neq (\text{case SPreds } \sigma \ p \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \ \vartheta))) \mid$ 
 $\text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \ \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff})$ 
using f6 by simp
then have  $\text{usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the} (\text{SPreds}$ 
 $\sigma \ p)) \neq (\text{case SPreds } \sigma \ p \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \mid$ 
 $\text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff})$ 
using f7 by (metis f7)
moreover
{ assume  $(\text{case SPreds } \sigma \ p \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \mid$ 
 $\text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff}) \neq (\text{if FVF } (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf}$ 
 $(\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \text{ else undeff})$ 
then have  $\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p = \text{undeff}$ 
using f2 by (metis (no-types, lifting) Pred.prems(2)  $\langle \text{usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt}$ 
 $(\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \neq \text{usubstappf } \sigma \ U (\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta) \rangle$ 
 $f5 \ f7 \text{ option.collapse usubstappf-pred usubstappf-pred2})$ 
ultimately have  $\text{FVF } (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \cap U = \{\} \longrightarrow \text{SPreds } \sigma \ p =$ 
 $\text{undeff}$ 
by force  $\}$ 
ultimately have  $\text{FVF } (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \cap U = \{\} \wedge \text{usubstappf } \sigma \ V$ 
 $(\text{Pred } p \ \vartheta) = \text{undeff} \longrightarrow \text{SPreds } \sigma \ p = \text{undeff}$ 
using f10 by (metis Pred.prems(2))  $\}$ 
moreover
{ assume  $\text{FVF } (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \cap U \neq \{\}$ 
then have  $(\text{if FVF } (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt}$ 
 $(\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \text{ else undeff}) \neq (\text{case SPreds } \sigma \ p$ 
 $\text{of None } \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \ \vartheta))) \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U =$ 
 $\{\} \text{ then usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ U \ \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff})$ 
using f6 Pred.prems(2) by auto
then have  $(\text{if FVF } (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt}$ 
 $(\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \text{ else undeff}) \neq (\text{case SPreds } \sigma \ p$ 
 $\text{of None } \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U =$ 
 $\{\} \text{ then usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff})$ 
using f7 by (metis  $\langle \text{FVF } (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \cap U \neq \{\} \rangle$ )
then have  $(\text{case SPreds } \sigma \ p \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \mid$ 
 $\text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if FVF } f \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf} (\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} f \text{ else undeff}) \neq (\text{if FVF } (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \cap U = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf}$ 
 $(\text{dotsubstt} (\text{the} (\text{usubstappt } \sigma \ V \ \vartheta))) \{\} (\text{the} (\text{SPreds } \sigma \ p)) \text{ else undeff})$ 

```

```

by simp
then have SPreds σ p = undef
using f2
proof -
show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types) Pred.preds(2) `FVF (the (SPreds σ p)) ∩ U ≠ {}`
option.discI option.expand option.sel usubstappf-pred2)
qed }
ultimately have usubstappf σ V (Pred p θ) = undef ⟶ SPreds σ p =
undef
using f9 f1 by meson
then show ?thesis
using f8 by (metis (full-types) Pred.preds(2))
qed

next
case (Geq θ η)
then show ?case using usubstapp-antimon using Geq-undef by auto
next
case (Not x)
then show ?case using Noto-undef by auto
next
case (And x1 x2)
then show ?case using Ando-undef by auto
next
case (Exists x1 x2)
then show ?case using Existso-undef
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-mono subsetI usubstappf.simps(5))
next
case (Diamond x1 x2)
then show ?case using Diamondo-undef usubstappf.simps(6) usubstapppp-fst-mon
by metis
next
case (Game a)
then show ?case by (cases SGames σ a) (auto)
next
case (Assign x θ)
then show ?case using usubstappt-antimon by (metis Assigno-undef snd-conv
usubstapppp.simps(2))
next
case (ODE x θ)
then show ?case using usubstappt-antimon ODEo-undef
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Un-mono order-refl snd-conv usub-
stapppp.simps(8))
next
case (Test φ)
then show ?case by (metis Testo-undef snd-conv usubstapppp.simps(3))
next
case (Choice α β)

```

```

    then show ?case using Choiceo-undef by auto
next
  case (Compose α β)
  then show ?case
    using usubstappp-compose[where σ=σ and U=U and α=α and β=β]
  usubstappp-compose[where σ=σ and U=V and α=α and β=β]
    Composeo-undef[where α=⟨snd (usubstappp σ U α)⟩ and β=⟨snd
  (usubstappp σ (fst (usubstappp σ U α)) β)⟩]
    Composeo-undef[where α=⟨snd (usubstappp σ V α)⟩ and β=⟨snd
  (usubstappp σ (fst (usubstappp σ V α)) β)⟩]
    snd-conv usubstappp-fst-mon by metis

  next
  case (Loop α)
  then show ?case using Loopo-undef snd-conv usubstappp.simps(6) usub-
  stappp-fst-mon by metis
  next
  case (Dual α)
  then show ?case by (metis Dualo-undef snd-conv usubstappp.simps(7))
qed
from this show V ⊆ U ==> usubstappf σ U φ ≠ undef ==> usubstappf σ U φ
= usubstappf σ V φ
  and V ⊆ U ==> snd(usubstappp σ U α) ≠ undefg ==> snd(usubstappp σ U α)
= snd(usubstappp σ V α) using usubstappf-and-usubstappp-det by auto
qed

lemma usubstappf-antimon: V ⊆ U ==> usubstappf σ U φ ≠ undef ==> usubstappf
σ U φ = usubstappf σ V φ
  using usubstappf-and-usubstappp-antimon by simp

lemma usubstappp-antimon: V ⊆ U ==> snd(usubstappp σ U α) ≠ undefg ==>
  snd(usubstappp σ U α) = snd(usubstappp σ V α)
  using usubstappf-and-usubstappp-antimon by simp

```

7.3 Taboo Lemmas

```

lemma usubstappp-loop-conv: snd (usubstappp σ U (Loop α)) ≠ undefg ==>
  snd(usubstappp σ U α) ≠ undefg ∧
  snd(usubstappp σ (fst(usubstappp σ U α))) α ≠ undefg

```

proof

```

  assume a: snd (usubstappp σ U (Loop α)) ≠ undefg
  have fact: fst(usubstappp σ U α) ⊇ U using usubst-taboos-mon by simp
  show snd(usubstappp σ (fst(usubstappp σ U α))) α ≠ undefg using a usub-
  stappp-loop Loopo-undef by simp
  then show snd(usubstappp σ U α) ≠ undefg using a usubstappp-loop Loopo-undef
  fact usubstappp-antimon by auto
qed

```

Lemma 13 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

```

lemma usubst-taboos:  $\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha) \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{fst}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha)$ 
 $\supseteq U \cup \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha)))$ 
proof (induction  $\alpha$  arbitrary:  $U$  rule: game-induct)
  case (Game  $a$ )
    then show ?case by (cases SGames  $\sigma$   $a$ ) (auto)
  next
    case (Assign  $x \vartheta$ )
      then show ?case
        using BVG-assign Assigno-undef
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) Assigno.elims BVG-assign-other fst-pair option.sel
          singletonI snd-pair subsetI union-or usubstapp.simps(2))
  next
    case (ODE  $x \vartheta$ )
      then show ?case
        using BVG-ODE ODEo-undef
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) ODEo.elims Un-least fst-pair option.sel snd-conv
          sup.coboundedI2 usubst-taboos-mon usubstapp.simps(8))
  next
    case (Test  $p$ )
      then show ?case
        using BVG-test Testo-undef usubst-taboos-mon by auto
  next
    case (Choice  $\alpha \beta$ )
      then show ?case
      proof-
        from Choice have IHa:  $\text{fst}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha) \supseteq U \cup \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha)))$  by (simp add: Choiceo-undef)
        from Choice have IHb:  $\text{fst}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \beta) \supseteq U \cup \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \beta)))$  by (simp add: Choiceo-undef)
        have fact:  $\text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha))) \cup \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \beta))) \supseteq \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U (\text{Choice} \alpha \beta))))$  using BVG-choice
      proof-
        have Agame ( $\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha)) \cup \cup \text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \beta)) = \text{Choiceo}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha)) (\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \beta))$ )
          by (metis (no-types) Choice.prems Choiceo.simps(1) option.collapse usubstapp-choice-conv)
        then show ?thesis
          by (metis (no-types) BVG-choice Choice.prems Pair-inject option.collapse
            option.inject surjective-pairing usubstapp.simps(4))
        qed
        from IHa and IHb have  $\text{fst}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha) \cup \text{fst}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \beta) \supseteq U \cup \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha))) \cup \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \beta)))$  by auto
        then have  $\text{fst}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U (\text{Choice} \alpha \beta)) \supseteq U \cup \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \alpha))) \cup \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U \beta)))$  using usubstapp.simps Let-def
        by auto
        then show  $\text{fst}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U (\text{Choice} \alpha \beta)) \supseteq U \cup \text{BVG}(\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstapp}\sigma U (\text{Choice} \alpha \beta))))$  using usubstapp.simps fact by auto

```

```

qed
next
  case (Compose α β)
  then show ?case
  proof-
    let ?V = fst(unistdapp σ U α)
    let ?W = fst(unistdapp σ ?V β)
    from Compose have IHa: ?V ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ U α))) by
      (simp add: Composeo-undef)
    from Compose have IHb: ?W ⊇ ?V ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ ?V β)))
    by (simp add: Composeo-undef)
    have fact: BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ U α))) ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ
      ?V β))) ⊇ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ U (Compose α β)))) using usidapp.simps
      BVG-compose

  proof -
    have f1: ∀ z. z = undef ∨ Agame (the z) = z
      using option.collapse by blast
    then have Agame (the (snd (unistdapp σ U α)) ;; the (snd (unistdapp σ
      (fst (unistdapp σ U α)) β))) = snd (unistdapp σ U (α ; β))
      using Compose.preds Composeo-undef by auto
    then show ?thesis
      using f1 by (metis (no-types) BVG-compose Compose.preds option.inject)
    qed
    have ?W ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ U α))) ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp
      σ ?V β))) using usidapp.simps Let-def IHa IHb by auto
    then have ?W ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ U (Compose α β)))) using
      fact by auto
    then show fst(unistdapp σ U (Compose α β)) ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp
      σ U (Compose α β)))) using usidapp.simps Let-def by simp
    qed
  next
    case (Loop α)
    then show ?case
    proof-
      let ?V = fst(unistdapp σ U α)
      let ?W = fst(unistdapp σ ?V α)
      from Loop have defα: snd(unistdapp σ U α) ≠ undef using usidapp-loop-conv
      by simp
      from Loop have IHdef: ?V ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ U α)))
        using defα usidapp-loop[where σ=σ and U=U and α=α] Loopo-undef[where
        α=⟨snd (unistdapp σ (fst (unistdapp σ U α))) α⟩] by auto
      from Loop have IH: ?W ⊇ ?V ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ ?V α))) by
        (simp add: Loopo-undef)
      then have Vfix: ?V ⊇ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ ?V α)))
        using usidapp-det by (metis IHdef Loop.preds le-sup-iff usidapp-loop-conv)
      then have ?V ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ U (Loop α))))
        using usidapp.simps Vfix IHdef BVG-loop usidapp-taboos-mon usidapp-loop-conv

```

```

proof -
  have f1:  $\forall z. z = \text{undefg} \vee \text{Agame}(\text{the } z) = z$ 
    using option.collapse by blast
  have snd (usubstapp σ U α) ≠ undefg  $\wedge$  snd (usubstapp σ (fst (usubstapp σ U α))) α ≠ undefg
    using Loop.preds usubstapp-loop-conv by blast
    then have Agame (Loop (the (snd (usubstapp σ (fst (usubstapp σ U α))))) = snd (usubstapp σ U (Loop α)))
      by force
    then show ?thesis
      using f1 by (metis (no-types) BVG-loop Loop.preds Vfix option.inject sup.absorb-iff1 sup.mono usubst-taboos-mon)
    qed
    then show fst(usubstapp σ U (Loop α)) ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(usubstapp σ U (Loop α)))) using usubstapp.simps Let-def by simp
    qed
  next
    case (Dual α)
    then show ?case

proof-
  let ?V = fst(usubstapp σ U α)
  from Dual have IH: ?V ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(usubstapp σ U α))) by (simp add: Dualo-undef)
  have fact: BVG(the (snd(usubstapp σ U α))) ⊇ BVG(the (snd(usubstapp σ U (Dual α)))) using usubstapp.simps BVG-dual
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Dual.preds Dualo.simps(1) Dualo.simps(2) option.collapse option.sel snd-pair)
  then have ?V ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(usubstapp σ U (Dual α)))) using IH fact by auto
  then show fst(usubstapp σ U (Dual α)) ⊇ U ∪ BVG(the (snd(usubstapp σ U (Dual α)))) using usubstapp.simps Let-def by simp
  qed
qed

```

7.4 Substitution Adjoints

Modified interpretation $\text{repI } I f d$ replaces the interpretation of constant function f in the interpretation I with d

```

definition repc :: interp ⇒ ident ⇒ real ⇒ interp
  where repc I f d ≡ mkinterp((λc. if c = f then d else Consts I c), Funcs I, Preds I, Games I)

```

```

lemma repc-consts [simp]: Consts (repc I f d) c = (if (c=f) then d else Consts I c)
  unfolding repc-def by auto
lemma repc-funcs [simp]: Funcs (repc I f d) = Funcs I
  unfolding repc-def by simp
lemma repc-preds [simp]: Preds (repc I f d) = Preds I

```

```

unfolding repc-def by simp
lemma repc-games [simp]: Games (repc I f d) = Games I
  unfolding repc-def by (simp add: mon-mono)

lemma adjoint-stays-mon: mono (case SGAMES σ a of None ⇒ Games I a | Some
r ⇒ λX. game-sem I r X)
  using game-sem-mono game-sem.simps(1)
  by (metis disjE-realizer2 option.case-distrib)

```

adjoint interpretation $\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega$ to σ of interpretation I in state ω

```

definition adjoint:: usubst ⇒ (interp ⇒ state ⇒ interp)
where adjoint σ I ω = mkinterp(
  (λf. (case SConst σ f of None ⇒ Consts I f | Some r ⇒ term-sem I r ω)),
  (λf. (case SFUNCS σ f of None ⇒ FUNCS I f | Some r ⇒ λd. term-sem (repc
I dotid d) r ω)),
  (λp. (case SPreds σ p of None ⇒ Preds I p | Some r ⇒ λd. ω∈fml-sem
(repc I dotid d) r)),
  (λa. (case SGAMES σ a of None ⇒ Games I a | Some r ⇒ λX. game-sem
I r X))
  )

```

Simple Observations about Adjoints **lemma** adjoint-consts: $\text{Consts}(\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) f = \text{term-sem } I (\text{case } \text{SConst } \sigma f \text{ of } \text{Some } r \Rightarrow r \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Const } f) \omega$
unfolding adjoint-def **by** (cases SConst σ f=None) (auto)

```

lemma adjoint-funcs:  $\text{FUNCS}(\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) f = (\text{case } \text{SFUNCS } \sigma f \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow
\text{FUNCS } I f \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \lambda d. \text{term-sem } (\text{repc } I \text{ dotid } d) r \omega)$ 
  unfolding adjoint-def by auto

```

```

lemma adjoint-funcs-match:  $\text{SFUNCS } \sigma f = \text{Some } r \implies \text{FUNCS}(\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) f = (\lambda d. \text{term-sem } (\text{repc } I \text{ dotid } d) r \omega)$ 
  using adjoint-funcs by auto

```

```

lemma adjoint-funcs-skip:  $\text{SFUNCS } \sigma f = \text{None} \implies \text{FUNCS}(\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) f = \text{FUNCS } I f$ 
  using adjoint-funcs by auto

```

```

lemma adjoint-preds:  $\text{SPreds}(\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) p = (\text{case } \text{SPreds } \sigma p \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow
\text{SPreds } I p \mid \text{Some } r \Rightarrow \lambda d. \omega \in \text{fml-sem } (\text{repc } I \text{ dotid } d) r)$ 
  unfolding adjoint-def by auto

```

```

lemma adjoint-preds-skip:  $\text{SPreds } \sigma p = \text{None} \implies \text{SPreds}(\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) p = \text{SPreds } I p$ 
  using adjoint-preds by simp

```

```

lemma adjoint-preds-match:  $\text{SPreds } \sigma p = \text{Some } r \implies \text{SPreds}(\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) p = (\lambda d. \omega \in \text{fml-sem } (\text{repc } I \text{ dotid } d) r)$ 
  using adjoint-preds by simp

```

```

lemma adjoint-games [simp]: Games (adjoint σ I ω) a = (case SGames σ a of
None ⇒ Games I a | Some r ⇒ λX. game-sem I r X)
  unfolding adjoint-def using adjoint-stays-mon Games-mkinterp by simp

```

```

lemma adjoint-dotsubstt: adjoint (dotsubstt θ) I ω = repc I dotid (term-sem I θ
ω)

```

proof–

```

let ?lhs = adjoint (dotsubstt θ) I ω
let ?rhs = repc I dotid (term-sem I θ ω)
have feq: Funcs ?lhs = Funcs ?rhs using repc-funcs adjoint-funcs dotsubstt-def
by auto
moreover have peq: Preds ?lhs = Preds ?rhs using repc-preds adjoint-preds
dotsubstt-def by auto
moreover have geq: Games ?lhs = Games ?rhs using repc-games adjoint-games
dotsubstt-def by auto
moreover have ceq: Consts ?lhs = Consts ?rhs using repc-consts adjoint-consts
dotsubstt-def by auto
show ?thesis using mkinterp-eq[where I=⟨?lhs⟩ and J=⟨?rhs⟩] feq peq geq ceq
by simp
qed

```

7.5 Uniform Substitution for Terms

Lemma 15 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

```

theorem usubst-term: Uvariation ν ω U ⇒ usubstappt σ U θ≠undef ⇒
term-sem I (the (usubstappt σ U θ)) ν = term-sem (adjoint σ I ω) θ ν

```

proof–

```

assume vaouter: Uvariation ν ω U
assume defouter: usubstappt σ U θ≠undef
show usubstappt σ U θ≠undef ⇒ term-sem I (the (usubstappt σ U θ)) ν =
term-sem (adjoint σ I ω) θ ν for σ θ
  using vaouter proof (induction arbitrary: ν ω rule: usubstappt-induct)
  case (Var σ U x)
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Number σ U r)
      then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Const σ U f)
      then show ?case
      proof (cases SConst σ f)
        case None
        then show ?thesis using adjoint-consts by (simp add: usappconst-def)
      next
        case (Some r)
          then have varcond: FVT(r) ∩ U = {} using Const usubstappt-const usub-
stappt-const-conv by (metis option.inject option.simps(3))
          from Some have term-sem I (the (usubstappt σ U (Const f))) ν = term-sem

```

```

 $I r \nu$  by (simp add: varcond)
  also have ... = term-sem  $I r \omega$  using Const coincidence-term-cor[of  $\nu \omega U$   $r$ ] varcond by simp
    also have ... = Consts (adjoint  $\sigma I \omega$ )  $f$  using Some adjoint-consts by simp
      also have ... = term-sem (adjoint  $\sigma I \omega$ ) (Const  $f$ )  $\nu$  by auto
        finally show term-sem  $I$  (the (usubstapp  $\sigma U$  (Const  $f$ )))  $\nu$  = term-sem (adjoint  $\sigma I \omega$ ) (Const  $f$ )  $\nu$  .
      qed
    next
      case (FuncMatch  $\sigma U f \vartheta$ )
      then have  $va: U$ variation  $\nu \omega U$  by simp
      then show ?case
      proof (cases SFuncs  $\sigma f$ )
        case None
          from FuncMatch and None have IHsubterm: term-sem  $I$  (the (usubstapp  $\sigma U \vartheta$ ))  $\nu$  = term-sem (adjoint  $\sigma I \omega$ )  $\vartheta \nu$  using va
            by (simp add: FuncMatch.IH(1) usubstapp-func-conv)
          from None show ?thesis using usubstapp-func IHsubterm adjoint-funcs
            by (metis (no-types, lifting) FuncMatch.prems(1) option.case-eq-if option.sel term-sem.simps(4) usubstapp.simps(4))
        next
          case (Some  $r$ )
            then have varcond:  $FVT(r) \cap U = \{\}$  using FuncMatch usubstapp-func usubstapp-func2 usubstapp-func-conv by meson
              from FuncMatch have subdef: usubstapp  $\sigma U \vartheta \neq \text{undefined}$  using usubstapp-func-conv by auto
                from FuncMatch and Some
                have IHsubterm: term-sem  $I$  (the (usubstapp  $\sigma U \vartheta$ ))  $\nu$  = term-sem (adjoint  $\sigma I \omega$ )  $\vartheta \nu$  using va subdef by blast
                from FuncMatch and Some
                have IHsubsubst:  $\bigwedge \nu \omega. U$ variation  $\nu \omega \{\} \implies$  term-sem  $I$  (the (usubstapp (dotsubst (the (usubstapp  $\sigma U \vartheta$ )) \{r\})  $\nu$ ) = term-sem (adjoint (dotsubst (the (usubstapp  $\sigma U \vartheta$ ))  $I \omega$ )  $r \nu$ 
                  using subdef varcond by fastforce

let ?d = term-sem  $I$  (the (usubstapp  $\sigma U \vartheta$ ))  $\nu$ 
have deq: ?d = term-sem (adjoint  $\sigma I \omega$ )  $\vartheta \nu$  by (rule IHsubterm)
let ?dotIa = adjoint (dotsubst (the (usubstapp  $\sigma U \vartheta$ )))  $I \nu$ 

from Some
  have term-sem  $I$  (the (usubstapp  $\sigma U$  (Func  $f \vartheta$ )))  $\nu$  = term-sem  $I$  (the (usubstapp (dotsubst (the (usubstapp  $\sigma U \vartheta$ )) \{r\})  $\nu$ ) using subdef varcond by force
    also have ... = term-sem ?dotIa  $r \nu$  using IHsubsubst[where  $\nu=\nu$  and  $\omega=\nu$ ] Uvariation-empty by auto
    also have ... = term-sem (rep I dotid ?d)  $r \nu$  using adjoint-dotsubst[where  $\vartheta=\langle$ the (usubstapp  $\sigma U \vartheta$ ) $\rangle$  and  $I=I$  and  $\omega=\nu$ ] by simp
    also have ... = term-sem (rep I dotid ?d)  $r \omega$  using coincidence-term-cor[where  $\omega=\nu$  and  $\omega'=\omega$  and  $U=U$  and  $\vartheta=r$  and  $I=\langle$ rep I dotid ?d $\rangle$ ] va varcond by simp

```

```

also have ... = (Funcs (adjoint σ I ω) f)(?d) using adjoint-funcs-match
Some by simp
also have ... = (Funcs (adjoint σ I ω) f)(term-sem (adjoint σ I ω) θ ν)
using deg by simp
also have ... = term-sem (adjoint σ I ω) (Func f θ) ν by simp
finally show term-sem I (the (usubstapp σ U (Func f θ))) ν = term-sem
(adjoint σ I ω) (Func f θ) ν .
qed
next
case (Plus σ U θ η)
then show ?case using Pluso-undef by auto
next
case (Times σ U θ η)
then show ?case using Timeso-undef by auto
next
case (Differential σ U θ)
from Differential have subdef: usubstapp σ allvars θ ≠ undef using usub-
stapp-differential-conv by simp
from Differential have IH: ∀ν. term-sem I (the (usubstapp σ allvars θ)) ν
= term-sem (adjoint σ I ω) θ ν using subdef Uvariation-univ by blast

have term-sem I (the (usubstapp σ U (Differential θ))) ν = term-sem I
(Differential (the (usubstapp σ allvars θ))) ν using subdef by force
also have ... = sum(λx. ν(DVar x)*deriv(λX. term-sem I (the (usubstapp σ
allvars θ)) (repv ν (RVar x) X))(ν(RVar x)))(allidents) by simp
also have ... = sum(λx. ν(DVar x)*deriv(λX. term-sem (adjoint σ I ω) θ
(repv ν (RVar x) X))(ν(RVar x)))(allidents) using IH by auto
also have ... = term-sem (adjoint σ I ω) (Differential θ) ν by simp
finally show term-sem I (the (usubstapp σ U (Differential θ))) ν = term-sem
(adjoint σ I ω) (Differential θ) ν .
qed
qed

```

7.6 Uniform Substitution for Formulas and Games

Separately Prove Crucial Ingredient for the ODE Case of *usubst-fml-game*
lemma same-ODE-same-sol:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall \nu. \text{Uvariation } \nu (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\} \implies \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \nu = \text{term-sem } J \\
& \eta \nu) \\
& \implies \text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta = \text{solves-ODE } J F x \eta \\
& \text{using Uvariation-Vagree Vagree-def solves-ODE-def}
\end{aligned}$$

proof—

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{assume } va: \forall \nu. \text{Uvariation } \nu (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\} \implies \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \nu = \\
& \text{term-sem } J \eta \nu \\
& \text{then have } va2: \forall \nu. \text{Uvariation } \nu (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\} \implies \text{term-sem } J \eta \nu \\
& = \text{term-sem } I \vartheta \nu \text{ by simp} \\
& \text{have one: } \forall I J \vartheta \eta. (\forall \nu. \text{Uvariation } \nu (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\} \implies \text{term-sem }
\end{aligned}$$

$I \vartheta \nu = \text{term-sem } J \eta \nu)$
 $\implies \text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta \implies \text{solves-ODE } J F x \eta$
proof-
 fix $I J \vartheta \eta$
 assume $\text{vaflow}: \bigwedge \nu. \text{Uvariation } \nu (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\} \implies \text{term-sem } I \vartheta$
 $\nu = \text{term-sem } J \eta \nu$
 assume $\text{sol}: \text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta$
 from vaflow and sol show $\text{solves-ODE } J F x \eta$ unfolding solves-ODE-def
 using $\text{Uvariation-Vagree coincidence-term}$
 by (metis double-complement solves-Vagree sol)
 qed
 show $\text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta = \text{solves-ODE } J F x \eta$ using one[where $\vartheta=\vartheta$ and
 $\eta=\eta$, OF va] one[where $\vartheta=\eta$ and $\eta=\vartheta$, OF va2]
 by force
 qed

lemma usubst-ode:
 assumes $\text{subdef}: \text{usubstapp} \sigma \{RVar x, DVar x\} \vartheta \neq \text{undef}$
 shows $\text{solves-ODE } I F x (\text{the } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma \{RVar x, DVar x\} \vartheta)) = \text{solves-ODE}$
 $(\text{adjoint } \sigma I (F(0))) F x \vartheta$
proof-
 have $\text{vaflow}: \bigwedge F \vartheta \zeta. \text{solves-ODE } I F x \vartheta \implies \text{Uvariation } (F(\zeta)) (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ using solves-Vagree-trans by simp
 from subdef have $\text{IH}: \bigwedge \nu. \text{Uvariation } \nu (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\} \implies \text{term-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma \{RVar x, DVar x\} \vartheta)) \nu = \text{term-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I (F(0))) \vartheta$
 ν by (simp add: usubst-term)
 then show ?thesis using IH vaflow solves-ODE-def Uvariation-Vagree same-ODE-same-sol
 by blast
 qed

lemma usubst-ode-ext:
 assumes $uv: \text{Uvariation } (F(0)) \omega (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\})$
 assumes $\text{subdef}: \text{usubstapp} \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta \neq \text{undef}$
 shows $\text{solves-ODE } I F x (\text{the } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta)) = \text{solves-ODE } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) F x \vartheta$

proof-
 have $\text{vaflow1}: \bigwedge F \vartheta \zeta. \text{solves-ODE } I F x (\text{the } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta)) \implies \text{Uvariation } (F(\zeta)) (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ using solves-Vagree-trans by simp
 have $\text{vaflow2}: \bigwedge F \vartheta \zeta. \text{solves-ODE } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) F x \vartheta \implies \text{Uvariation } (F(\zeta)) (F(0)) \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ using solves-Vagree-trans by simp
 from subdef have $\text{IH}: \bigwedge \nu. \text{Uvariation } \nu (F(0)) (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \implies \text{term-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta)) \nu = \text{term-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I (F(0))) \vartheta \nu$ using Uvariation-refl Uvariation-trans usubst-term by blast
 have $\text{l2r}: \text{solves-ODE } I F x (\text{the } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta)) \implies \text{solves-ODE } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) F x \vartheta$
 using vaflow1 subdef same-ODE-same-sol Uvariation-trans usubst-term uv

proof –

```

assume a1: solves-ODE I F x (the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))

obtain rr :: trm  $\Rightarrow$  interp  $\Rightarrow$  trm  $\Rightarrow$  interp  $\Rightarrow$  char  $\Rightarrow$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  variable  $\Rightarrow$ 
real)  $\Rightarrow$  variable  $\Rightarrow$  real where

f2:  $\forall x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5. (\exists v_6. Uvariation v_6 (x_5 0) \{RVar x_4, DVar x_4\} \wedge$ 
term-sem  $x_3 x_2 v_6 \neq$  term-sem  $x_1 x_0 v_6) = (Uvariation (rr x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5) (x_5$ 
0) \{RVar x_4, DVar x_4\} \wedge term-sem  $x_3 x_2 (rr x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5) \neq$  term-sem  $x_1$ 
 $x_0 (rr x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5))$ 

by moura
have f3:  $Uvariation (F 0) \omega (insert (RVar x) (U \cup \{DVar x\}))$ 
using uv by auto
have f4:  $\{DVar x\} \cup \{\} \cup \{DVar x\} = insert (DVar x) (\{DVar x\} \cup \{\} \cup \{\})$ 
 $\rightarrow \{RVar x\} \cup \{DVar x\} \cup insert (RVar x) (U \cup \{DVar x\}) = insert (RVar x)$ 
 $(U \cup \{DVar x\})$ 
by fastforce
{ assume  $\{DVar x\} \cup \{\} \cup \{DVar x\} = insert (DVar x) (\{DVar x\} \cup \{\} \cup \{\}) \wedge$ 
 $Uvariation (rr (the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))) I \vartheta (USubst.adjoint$ 
 $\sigma I \omega) x F) \omega (\{RVar x\} \cup \{DVar x\} \cup insert (RVar x) (U \cup \{DVar x\}))$ 
then have  $\neg Uvariation (rr (the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))) I$ 
 $\vartheta (USubst.adjoint \sigma I \omega) x F) (F 0) \{RVar x, DVar x\} \vee$  term-sem ( $USubst.adjoint$ 
 $\sigma I \omega) \vartheta (rr (the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))) I \vartheta (USubst.adjoint$ 
 $\sigma I \omega) x F) =$  term-sem  $I (the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))) (rr$ 
 $(the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))) I \vartheta (USubst.adjoint \sigma I \omega) x F)$ 
using f4 subdef usubst-term by auto }
then have  $\neg Uvariation (rr (the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))) I \vartheta$ 
 $(USubst.adjoint \sigma I \omega) x F) (F 0) \{RVar x, DVar x\} \vee$  term-sem ( $USubst.adjoint$ 
 $\sigma I \omega) \vartheta (rr (the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))) I \vartheta (USubst.adjoint$ 
 $\sigma I \omega) x F) =$  term-sem  $I (the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))) (rr$ 
 $(the (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ( $U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}$ )  $\vartheta$ ))) I \vartheta (USubst.adjoint \sigma I \omega) x F)$ 
using f3 by (metis (no-types) Uvariation-trans insert-is-Un)
then show ?thesis
using f2 a1 by (meson same-ODE-same-sol)
qed
have r2l: solves-ODE ( $adjoint \sigma I \omega) F x \vartheta \implies$  solves-ODE I F x (the (usubstapp
 $\sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta))$ 
using vaflow2 subdef same-ODE-same-sol Uvariation-trans usubst-term uv

```

proof –

```

assume a1: solves-ODE ( $USubst.adjoint \sigma I \omega) F x \vartheta$ 
obtain rr :: trm  $\Rightarrow$  interp  $\Rightarrow$  trm  $\Rightarrow$  interp  $\Rightarrow$  char  $\Rightarrow$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  variable  $\Rightarrow$ 
real)  $\Rightarrow$  variable  $\Rightarrow$  real where

 $\forall x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5. (\exists v_6. Uvariation v_6 (x_5 0) \{RVar x_4, DVar x_4\} \wedge$ 
term-sem  $x_3 x_2 v_6 \neq$  term-sem  $x_1 x_0 v_6) = (Uvariation (rr x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5) (x_5$ 
0) \{RVar x_4, DVar x_4\} \wedge term-sem  $x_3 x_2 (rr x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5) \neq$  term-sem  $x_1$ 
 $x_0 (rr x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5))$ 

by moura
then have f2:  $\forall f c i t ia ta. Uvariation (rr ta ia t i c f) (f 0) \{RVar c, DVar$ 
 $c\} \wedge$  term-sem  $i t (rr ta ia t i c f) \neq$  term-sem  $ia ta (rr ta ia t i c f) \vee$  solves-ODE

```

```

i f c t = solves-ODE ia f c ta
  by (meson same-ODE-same-sol)
have f3: Uvariation (F 0) ω ({RVar x, DVar x} ∪ U)
  using uv by force
have f4: usubstappt σ ({RVar x, DVar x} ∪ U) θ ≠ undef
  using subdef by auto
{ assume Uvariation (rr θ (USubst.adjoint σ I ω) (the (usubstappt σ (U ∪ {RVar x, DVar x}) θ)) I x F) ω ({RVar x, DVar x} ∪ ({RVar x, DVar x} ∪ U))
  then have ¬ Uvariation (rr θ (USubst.adjoint σ I ω) (the (usubstappt σ (U ∪ {RVar x, DVar x}) θ)) I x F) (F 0) {RVar x, DVar x} ∨ term-sem I (the (usubstappt σ (U ∪ {RVar x, DVar x}) θ)) (rr θ (USubst.adjoint σ I ω) (the (usubstappt σ (U ∪ {RVar x, DVar x}) θ)) I x F) = term-sem (USubst.adjoint σ I ω) θ (rr θ (USubst.adjoint σ I ω) (the (usubstappt σ (U ∪ {RVar x, DVar x}) θ)) I x F)
    using f4 by (simp add: Un-commute usubst-term) }
then show ?thesis
  using f3 f2 a1 by (meson Uvariation-trans)
qed
from l2r and r2l show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma usubst-ode-ext2:
assumes subdef: usubstappt σ (U ∪ {RVar x, DVar x}) θ ≠ undef
assumes uv: Uvariation (F(0)) ω (U ∪ {RVar x, DVar x})
shows solves-ODE I F x (the (usubstappt σ (U ∪ {RVar x, DVar x}) θ)) = solves-ODE (adjoint σ I ω) F x θ
using usubst-ode-ext subdef uv by blast

```

Separately Prove the Loop Case of usubst-fml-game lemma union-comm:
 $A \cup B = B \cup A$
 by auto

definition loopfpt:: game ⇒ interp ⇒ (state set ⇒ state set)
 where $\text{loopfpt } α I X = \text{lfp}(\lambda Z. X ∪ \text{game-sem } I α Z)$

```

lemma usubst-game-loop:
assumes uv: Uvariation ν ω U
  and IHarec: ∀ν ω X. Uvariation ν ω (fst(usubstappp σ U α)) ⇒ snd
  (usubstappp σ (fst(usubstappp σ U α)) α) ≠ undef ⇒
    (ν ∈ game-sem I (the (snd (usubstappp σ (fst(usubstappp σ U α)) α)))) X)
  = (ν ∈ game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) α X)
shows snd (usubstappp σ U (Loop α)) ≠ undef ⇒ (ν ∈ game-sem I (the (snd
  (usubstappp σ U (Loop α)))) X) = (ν ∈ game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) (Loop α) X)
proof-
  assume def: snd (usubstappp σ U (Loop α)) ≠ undef
  have loopfix: ∀α I X. loopfpt α I X = game-sem I (Loop α) X unfolding
  loopfpt-def using game-sem-loop by metis
  let ?σαloopoff = the (snd (usubstappp σ U (Loop α)))

```

```

let ?σα = the (snd(unistdapp σ (fst(unistdapp σ U α)) α))
let ?σαloop = Loop ?σα
have loopform: ?σαloopoff = ?σαloop using usubstapp-loop
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Loopo.simps(1) def option.exhaust-sel option.inject
    snd-conv usubstapp-loop-conv)
let ?τ = loopfpt ?σαloop I
let ?ρ = loopfpt α (adjoint σ I ω)
let ?V = fst(unistdapp σ U α)
have fact1: ∀ V. snd(unistdapp σ V α) ≠ undefg ⇒ fst(unistdapp σ V α) ⊇
  BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ V α))) using usubst-taboos by simp
  have fact2: ∀ V W. snd(unistdapp σ V α) ≠ undefg ⇒ snd(unistdapp σ W
  α) ≠ undefg ⇒ (fst(unistdapp σ V α) ⊇ BVG(the (snd(unistdapp σ W α)))) using
  fact1 usubst-taboos usubstapp-det by metis
  have VgeqBV: ?V ⊇ BVG(?σα) using usubst-taboos fact2 def usubstapp-loop-conv
  by simp
  have uvV: V agree ν ω (−?V) using uv
    by (metis Uvariation-Vagree Uvariation-mon double-compl usubst-taboos-mon)

have τeq: ?τ(X) = game-sem I ?σαloop X using loopfix by auto
have ρeq: ?ρ(X) = game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) (Loop α) X using loopfix by auto
have τisρ: selectlike (?τ(X)) ω (−?V) = selectlike (?ρ(X)) ω (−?V)
proof-
  let ?f = λZ. X ∪ game-sem I ?σα Z
  let ?g = λY. X ∪ game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) α Y
  let ?R = λY. selectlike Z ω (−?V) = selectlike Y ω (−?V)
  have ?R (lfp ?f) (lfp ?g)
    proof (induction rule: lfp-lockstep-induct[where f=⟨?f⟩ and g=⟨?g⟩ and
    R=⟨?R⟩])
      case monof
        then show ?case using game-sem-loop-fixpoint-mono by simp
      next
        case monog
          then show ?case using game-sem-loop-fixpoint-mono by simp
      next
        case (step A B)
          then have IHfp: selectlike A ω (−?V) = selectlike B ω (−?V) by simp
          show selectlike (X ∪ game-sem I ?σα A) ω (−?V) = selectlike (X ∪ game-sem
          (adjoint σ I ω) α B) ω (−?V)
          proof (rule selectlike-equal-cocond-corule
            )
            fix μ
            assume muvar: Uvariation μ ω ?V
            have forw: (μ ∈ X ∪ game-sem I ?σα A) = (μ ∈ X ∪ game-sem I ?σα
            (selectlike A μ (−BVG(?σα)))) using boundeffect by auto
            have (μ ∈ X ∪ game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) α B) = (μ ∈ X ∪ game-sem I
            ?σα B) using IHarec[OF muvar]
              using def usubstapp-loop-conv by auto
            also have ... = (μ ∈ X ∪ game-sem I ?σα (selectlike B μ (−BVG(?σα))))
```

```

using boundeffect by simp
  finally have backw:  $(\mu \in X \cup \text{game-sem}(\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha B) = (\mu \in X \cup \text{game-sem } I ?\sigma\alpha (\text{selectlike } B \mu (-\text{BVG} (?\sigma\alpha))))$  .

have samewin:  $\text{selectlike } A \mu (-\text{BVG} (?\sigma\alpha)) = \text{selectlike } B \mu (-\text{BVG} (?\sigma\alpha))$ 
using IHfp selectlike-antimon VgeqBV muvar Uvariation-trans selectlike-equal-cocond

proof -
  have Vagree  $\mu \omega (-\text{fst} (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))$ 
    by (metis Uvariation-Vagree double-complement muvar)
  then have selectlike  $A \mu (-\text{fst} (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)) = \text{selectlike } B \mu (-\text{fst} (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))$ 
    using IHfp selectlike-Vagree by presburger
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types) Compl-subset-Compl-iff VgeqBV selectlike-compose
sup.absorb-iff2)
  qed
  from forw and backw show  $(\mu \in X \cup \text{game-sem } I ?\sigma\alpha A) = (\mu \in X \cup \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha B)$  using samewin by auto
  qed

next
  case (union M)
  then show ?case using selectlike-Sup[where  $\nu = \omega$  and  $V = \langle - ?V \rangle$ ] fst-proj-def
  snd-proj-def by (simp) (blast)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using  $\tau eq$  loopfix loopfpt-def by auto
  qed
  show ?thesis using  $\tau eq$   $\varrho eq$   $\tau is \varrho$  similar-selectlike-mem[OF uvV] by (metis loop-
form)
  qed

```

```

lemma usubst-fml-game:
  assumes vaouter: Uvariation  $\nu \omega U$ 
  shows usubstappf  $\sigma U \varphi \neq \text{undef}$   $\implies (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma U \varphi)))$ 
 $= (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi)$ 
  and snd (usubstappf  $\sigma U \alpha \neq \text{undef}$ )  $\implies (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{snd } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma U \alpha))) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha X)$ 
proof-
  show usubstappf  $\sigma U \varphi \neq \text{undef}$   $\implies (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma U \varphi)))$ 
 $= (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi)$ 
  and snd (usubstappf  $\sigma U \alpha \neq \text{undef}$ )  $\implies (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{snd } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma U \alpha))) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha X)$  for  $\sigma \varphi \alpha$ 
  using vaouter proof (induction  $\varphi$  and  $\alpha$  arbitrary:  $\nu \omega$  and  $\nu \omega X$  rule:
  usubstappf-induct)
  case (Pred  $\sigma U p \vartheta$ )

```

```

then have va: Uvariation  $\nu \omega U$  by simp
then show ?case
proof (cases SPreds  $\sigma$   $p$ )
  case None
    then show ?thesis using usubst-term[OF va] adjoint-preds-skip

  proof -
    have  $\forall p V c t. \text{usubstappf } p V (\text{Pred } c t) = (\text{if } \text{usubstappt } p V t = \text{undefined}$   

       $\text{then undefined else case SPreds } p \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } c (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } p V t)))$   

       $\mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if } \text{FVF } f \cap V = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } p V t))) \{\} f \text{ else undefined})$   

      by (simp add: option.case-eq-if)
    then have  $f1: \forall p V c t. \text{if } \text{usubstappt } p V t = \text{undefined} \text{ then usubstappf } p V (\text{Pred } c t) = \text{undefined}$   

       $\text{else usubstappf } p V (\text{Pred } c t) = (\text{case SPreds } p \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } c (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } p V t))) \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{if } \text{FVF } f \cap V = \{\} \text{ then usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } p V t))) \{\} f \text{ else undefined})$   

      by presburger
    then have usubstappt  $\sigma U \vartheta \neq \text{undefined}$   

      by (meson Pred.prem(1))
    then have  $f2: \text{usubstappf } \sigma U (\text{Pred } p \vartheta) = \text{Afml } (\text{Pred } p (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta)))$   

      using None by force
    have usubstappt  $\sigma U \vartheta \neq \text{undefined}$   

      using  $f1$  by (meson Pred.prem(1))
    then show ?thesis
      using  $f2$  by (simp add: None  $\wedge \vartheta \sigma I. \text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta \neq \text{undefined} \Rightarrow$   

        term-sem  $I (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta)) \nu = \text{term-sem } (\text{USubst.adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \vartheta \nu$ )  

        adjoint-preds-skip)
  qed

next
  case (Some  $r$ )
    then have varcond:  $\text{FVF}(r) \cap U = \{\}$  using Pred usubstappf-pred usubstappf-pred2  

      usubstappf-pred-conv by meson
    from Pred have subdef: usubstappt  $\sigma U \vartheta \neq \text{undefined}$  using usubstappf-pred-conv  

    by auto
    from Pred and Some
    have IHsubst:  $\bigwedge \nu \omega. \text{Uvariation } \nu \omega \{\} \Rightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubstappf } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta))) \{\} r))) = (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjoint } (\text{dotsubstt } (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta))) I \omega) r)$   

      using subdef varcond by fastforce

    let ?d = term-sem  $I (\text{the } (\text{usubstappt } \sigma U \vartheta)) \nu$ 
    have deg: ?d = term-sem (adjoint  $\sigma I \omega$ )  $\vartheta \nu$  by (rule usubst-term[OF va subdef])
    let ?dotIa = adjoint (dotsubstt (the (usubstappt  $\sigma U \vartheta$ )))  $I \nu$ 

    from Some
    have  $(\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma U (\text{Pred } p \vartheta)))) = (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the }$ 
```

```

(unistdappf (dotsubstt (the (unistdappt σ U θ))) {} r)))
  using subdef varcond by force
  also have ... = (ν∈fml-sem ?dotIa r) using IHsubst[where ν=ν and
ω=ν] Uvariation-empty by auto
  also have ... = (ν∈fml-sem (repc I dotid ?d) r) using adjoint-dotsubstt[where
θ=the (unistdappt σ U θ) and I=I and ω=ν] by simp
  also have ... = (ω∈fml-sem (repc I dotid ?d) r) using coincidence-formula-cor[where
ω=ν and ω'=ω and U=U and φ=r and I=⟨repc I dotid ?d⟩] va varcond by simp
  also have ... = (Preds (adjoint σ I ω) p)(?d) using adjoint-preds-match Some
by simp
  also have ... = (Preds (adjoint σ I ω) p)(term-sem (adjoint σ I ω) θ ν)
using deq by simp
  also have ... = (ν∈fml-sem (adjoint σ I ω) (Pred p θ)) by simp
  finally show (ν∈fml-sem I (the (unistdappf σ U (Pred p θ)))) = (ν∈fml-sem
(adjoint σ I ω) (Pred p θ)) .
qed

next
  case (Geq σ U θ η)
    then have def1: usubstappt σ U θ ≠ undef using usubstappf-geq-conv by
simp
    moreover have def2: usubstappt σ U η ≠ undef using usubstappf-geq-conv
Geq.preds(1) by blast
    show ?case
      using usubst-term[OF ⟨Uvariation ν ω U, OF def1] usubst-term[OF ⟨Uvari-
ation ν ω U, OF def2] usubstappf-geqr[OF ⟨unistdappf σ U (Geq θ η) ≠ undef⟩]
by force
    next
      case (Not σ U φ)
      then show ?case using Noto-undef by auto
    next
      case (And σ U φ ψ)
      then show ?case using Ando-undef by auto

  next
    case (Exists σ U x φ)
    then have IH: ∏ν ω. Uvariation ν ω (U ∪ {x}) ⟹ (ν ∈ fml-sem I (the
(unsubstappf σ (U ∪ {x}) φ))) = (ν ∈ fml-sem (adjoint σ I ω) φ) by force
    from Exists have Uvariation ν ω U by simp

    then have Uvar: ∏d. Uvariation (repv ν x d) ω (U ∪ {x}) using Uvariation-repv
Uvariation-trans Uvariation-sym
      using Exists.preds(2) Uvariation-def by auto
      have (ν∈fml-sem I (the (unistdappf σ U (Exists x φ)))) = (ν∈fml-sem I (Exists
x (the (unistdappf σ (U ∪ {x}) φ)))) using usubstappf-exists Exists.preds(1) by fastforce

```

```

also have ... = ( $\exists d. (repv \nu x d) \in fml-sem I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma (U \cup \{x\}) \varphi))$ )
by simp
also have ... = ( $\exists d. (repv \nu x d) \in fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi$ ) using IH Uvar
by auto
also have ... = ( $\nu \in fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (\text{Exists } x \varphi)$ ) by auto
finally have ( $\nu \in fml-sem I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma U (\text{Exists } x \varphi))) = (\nu \in fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (\text{Exists } x \varphi))$ ).
from this show ?case by simp

next
case (Diamond  $\sigma U \alpha \varphi$ )
let ?V = fst(usubstappp  $\sigma U \alpha$ )
from Diamond have IH $\alpha: \bigwedge X. U \text{variation } \nu \omega U \implies (\nu \in game-sem I (\text{the } (snd (usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))) X) = (\nu \in game-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha X)$  by fastforce

from Diamond have IH $\varphi: \bigwedge \nu \omega. U \text{variation } \nu \omega (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \implies (\nu \in fml-sem I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \varphi))) = (\nu \in fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi)$ 
by (simp add: Diamondo-undef)
from Diamond have uv:  $U \text{variation } \nu \omega U$  by simp
have ( $\nu \in fml-sem I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma U (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi))) = (\nu \in fml-sem I (\text{let } V\alpha = usubstappp \sigma U \alpha \text{ in } \text{Diamond} (\text{the } (snd V\alpha)) (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma (fst V\alpha) \varphi)))$ )
by (metis Diamond.prems(1) Diamondo.elims option.sel usubstappf.simps(6))

also have ... = ( $\nu \in fml-sem I (\text{Diamond} (\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \varphi)))$ ) by simp
also have ... = ( $\nu \in game-sem I (\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))) (fml-sem I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \varphi)))$ ) by simp
also have ... = ( $\nu \in game-sem I (\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{selectlike } (fml-sem I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \varphi))) \nu (-BVG(\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))))))$ ) using boundeffect by auto
finally have forw: ( $\nu \in fml-sem I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma U (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi))) = (\nu \in game-sem I (\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{selectlike } (fml-sem I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \varphi))) \nu (-BVG(\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))))))$ )
= ( $\nu \in game-sem I (\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{selectlike } (fml-sem I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma (fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \varphi))) \nu (-BVG(\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))))))$  .

have ( $\nu \in fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi) = (\nu \in game-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha (fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi))$ ) by simp
also have ... = ( $\nu \in game-sem I (\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))) (fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi)$ ) using IH $\alpha$  uv by simp
also have ... = ( $\nu \in game-sem I (\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{selectlike } (fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi) \nu (-BVG(\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))))))$ ) using boundeffect by auto
finally have backw: ( $\nu \in fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi) = (\nu \in game-sem I (\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{selectlike } (fml-sem (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi) \nu (-BVG(\text{the } (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))))))$ ).

have samewin: selectlike (fml-sem I (the (usubstappf  $\sigma$  (fst(usubstappp  $\sigma$  U

```

```

 $\alpha)) \varphi))) \nu (-BVG(the (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)))) = selectlike (fml-sem (adjoint$ 
 $\sigma I \omega) \varphi) \nu (-BVG(the (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))))$ 
proof (rule selectlike-equal-cocond-corule)
  fix  $\mu$ 
  assume muvar:  $U\text{variation } \mu \nu (BVG(the (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))))$ 
  have  $U\mu\omega: U\text{variation } \mu \omega ?V$  using muvar uv  $U\text{variation-trans union-comm}$ 
   $usubst\text{-taboos } U\text{variation-mon}$ 

proof-
  have  $U\mu\nu: U\text{variation } \mu \nu (BVG(the (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))))$  by (rule
  muvar)
  have  $U\nu\omega: U\text{variation } \nu \omega U$  by (rule uv)
  have  $U\text{variation } \mu \omega (U \cup BVG(the (snd(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha))))$  using
   $U\text{variation-trans}[OF U\mu\nu U\nu\omega] \text{ union-comm by (rule HOL.back-subst)}$ 
  thus  $?thesis$  using usubst-taboos  $U\text{variation-mon}$  by (metis (mono-tags,
  lifting) Diamond.prems(1) Diamondo-undef  $U\text{variation-mon}$  usubst-taboos usub-
  stappf.simps(6))
  qed
  have  $(\mu \in fml-sem (adjoint \sigma I \omega) \varphi) = (\mu \in fml-sem I (the (usubstappf \sigma$ 
   $(fst(usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \varphi)))$ 
  using muvar  $U\text{variation-trans uv IH}\varphi$  boundeffect  $U\text{variation-mon}$  usubst-taboos
   $U\mu\omega$  by auto
  then show  $(\mu \in fml-sem I (the (usubstappf \sigma (fst (usubstappp \sigma U \alpha)) \varphi)))$ 
   $= (\mu \in fml-sem (adjoint \sigma I \omega) \varphi)$  by simp
  qed
  from forw and backw show  $(\nu \in fml-sem I (the (usubstappf \sigma U (Diamond$ 
   $\alpha \varphi))) = (\nu \in fml-sem (adjoint \sigma I \omega) (Diamond \alpha \varphi))$  using samewin by auto

next

case ( $Game \sigma U a$ )
  then show ?case using adjoint-games usubstappp-game by (cases SGames  $\sigma$ 
  a) auto

next
case ( $Assign \sigma U x \vartheta$ )
  then show ?case using usubst-term Assigno-undef

proof -
  have  $f1: usubstappt \sigma U \vartheta \neq undef$ 
  using Assign.prems(1) Assigno-undef by auto
  { assume repv  $\nu x$  (term-sem ( $U\text{Subst}.\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega$ )  $\vartheta \nu$ )  $\in X$ 
    then have repv  $\nu x$  (term-sem  $I$  (the (usubstappt  $\sigma U \vartheta$ ))  $\nu$ )  $\in X$ 
    using f1 Assign.prems(2) usubst-term by auto
    then have repv  $\nu x$  (term-sem ( $U\text{Subst}.\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega$ )  $\vartheta \nu$ )  $\in X \rightarrow$ 
     $(\nu \in game\text{-sem } I (the (snd (usubstapp \sigma U (x := \vartheta)))) X) = (\nu \in game\text{-sem}$ 
    ( $U\text{Subst}.\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega$ ) ( $x := \vartheta$ ) X)
    using f1 by force }
  moreover

```

```

{ assume repv ν x (term-sem (USubst.adjoint σ I ω) ∘ ν) ∉ X
  then have repv ν x (term-sem I (the (usubstapp σ U ∘)) ν) ∉ X ∧ repv
  ν x (term-sem (USubst.adjoint σ I ω) ∘ ν) ∉ X
    using f1 Assign.prem(2) usubst-term by presburger
  then have ?thesis
    using f1 by force }
ultimately show ?thesis
  by fastforce
qed

next
case (Test σ U φ)
then show ?case using Testo-undef by auto

next
case (Choice σ U α β)
from Choice have IHα: ∏X. Uvariation ν ω U ⇒ (ν ∈ game-sem I (the
(snd (usubstapp σ U α))) X) = (ν ∈ game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) α X) by (simp
add: Choiceo-undef)
from Choice have IHβ: ∏X. Uvariation ν ω U ⇒ (ν ∈ game-sem I (the
(snd (usubstapp σ U β))) X) = (ν ∈ game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) β X) by (simp
add: Choiceo-undef)
from Choice have uv: Uvariation ν ω U by simp
show ?case using IHα IHβ uv

proof -
have f1: Agame (the (snd (usubstapp σ U α))) = snd (usubstapp σ U α)
  by (meson Choice(3) option.collapse usubstapp-choice-conv)
have Agame (the (snd (usubstapp σ U β))) = snd (usubstapp σ U β)
  by (meson Choice(3) option.collapse usubstapp-choice-conv)
then have snd (usubstapp σ U (α ∪ β)) = Agame (the (snd (usubstapp
σ U α)) ∪ the (snd (usubstapp σ U β)))
  using f1 by (metis Choiceo.simps(1) snd-conv usubstapp.simps(4))
then have f2: game-sem I (the (snd (usubstapp σ U α))) X ∪ game-sem
I (the (snd (usubstapp σ U β))) X = game-sem I (the (snd (usubstapp σ U (α
∪ β)))) X
  by simp
moreover
{ assume ν ∉ game-sem I (the (snd (usubstapp σ U β))) X
  have (ν ∉ game-sem I (the (snd (usubstapp σ U (α ∪ β)))) X) = (ν ∈
game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) (α ∪ β) X) → ν ∉ game-sem I (the (snd (usubstapp
σ U β))) X ∧ ν ∉ game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) (α ∪ β) X
    using f2 Choice(4) IHα IHβ by auto
  then have (ν ∉ game-sem I (the (snd (usubstapp σ U (α ∪ β)))) X) ≠
(ν ∈ game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) (α ∪ β) X)
    using f2 Choice(4) IHα by auto }
ultimately show ?thesis
  using Choice(4) IHβ by auto
qed

```

```

next
case (Compose  $\sigma$   $U$   $\alpha$   $\beta$ )
  let ? $V$  = fst(usubstapp $\sigma$   $U$   $\alpha$ )
  from Compose have  $IH\alpha$ :  $\bigwedge X$ . Uvariation  $\nu$   $\omega$   $U \implies (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha X)$  by (simp add: Composeo-undef)
  from Compose have  $IH\beta$ :  $\bigwedge \nu \omega X$ . Uvariation  $\nu$   $\omega$  ? $V \implies (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma ?V \beta))) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \beta X)$  by (simp add: Composeo-undef)
  from Compose have  $uv$ : Uvariation  $\nu$   $\omega$   $U$  by simp
  have  $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U (\text{Compose } \alpha \beta)))) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (Compose } (\text{the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma ?V \beta)))) X)$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Compose.prems(1) Composeo.elims option.sel snd-pair usubstapp.simp(5))
  also have ... =  $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma ?V \beta))) X))$  by simp
  also have ... =  $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{selectlike } (\text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma ?V \beta))) X) \nu (-BVG(\text{the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)))))$  using boundeffect by auto
  finally have forw:  $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U (\text{Compose } \alpha \beta)))) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{selectlike } (\text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma ?V \beta))) X) \nu (-BVG(\text{the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)))))$ .
  have  $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (\text{Compose } \alpha \beta) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha ((\text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \beta) X))$  by simp
  also have ... =  $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))) ((\text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \beta) X))$  using  $IH\alpha[OF uv]$  by auto
  also have ... =  $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{selectlike } ((\text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \beta) X) \nu (-BVG(\text{the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)))))$  using boundeffect by auto
  finally have backw:  $(\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (\text{Compose } \alpha \beta) X) = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \text{ (the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha))) (\text{selectlike } ((\text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \beta) X) \nu (-BVG(\text{the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)))))$ .
  have samewin: selectlike (game-sem  $I$  (the (snd (usubstapp  $\sigma$  ? $V \beta$ )))  $X$ )  $\nu$  ( $-BVG(\text{the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)))$ ) = selectlike (((game-sem (adjoint σ I ω) β) X)  $\nu$  ( $-BVG(\text{the} (\text{snd } (\text{usubstapp} \sigma U \alpha)))$ ))
  proof (rule selectlike-equal-cocond-corule)
    fix  $\mu$ 
    assume muvar: Uvariation  $\mu$   $\nu$  (BVG(the (snd (usubstapp  $\sigma$   $U$   $\alpha$ )))))
    have Uμω: Uvariation  $\mu$   $\omega$  ? $V$  using muvar uv Uvariation-trans union-comm usubst-taboos Uvariation-mon
    proof –
      have Uvariation  $\mu$   $\omega$  (BVG (the (snd (usubstapp  $\sigma$   $U$   $\alpha$ ))))  $\cup$   $U$ ) by (meson Uvariation-trans muvar uv)
      then show ?thesis using Uvariation-mon union-comm usubst-taboos

```

```

    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Compose.prems(1) Composeo-undef Pair-inject
prod.collapse usubstapp-compose)

qed
have ( $\mu \in \text{game-sem } I \ (\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ ?V \ \beta))) \ X = (\mu \in \text{game-sem}$ 
 $(\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ \beta \ X)$ 
using muvar Uvariation-trans uv IH $\beta$  boundeffect Uvariation-mon usubst-taboos
U $\mu\omega$  by auto
then show ( $\mu \in \text{game-sem } I \ (\text{the}(\text{snd}(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ ?V \ \beta))) \ X = (\mu \in$ 
 $\text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ \beta \ X)$  by simp
qed
from forw and backw show ( $\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \ (\text{the}(\text{snd} \ (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U$ 
 $(\text{Compose } \alpha \ \beta))) \ X = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ (\text{Compose } \alpha \ \beta) \ X)$  using
samewin by auto

next
case (Loop  $\sigma \ U \ \alpha$ )
let ?V = fst(usubstappp  $\sigma \ U \ \alpha$ )
from Loop have selfdef: snd (usubstappp  $\sigma \ U \ (\text{Loop } \alpha)$ )  $\neq \text{undefg}$  by auto
from Loop have IH $\alpha$ rec:  $\bigwedge \nu \ \omega \ X. \ U\text{variation } \nu \ \omega \ ?V \implies (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I$ 
 $(\text{the} \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ ?V \ \alpha))) \ X = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ \alpha \ X)$  by
fastforce
from Loop have uv: Uvariation  $\nu \ \omega \ U$  by simp
show ( $\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \ (\text{the} \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ (\text{Loop } \alpha)))) \ X = (\nu \in$ 
 $\text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ (\text{Loop } \alpha) \ X)$ 
using usubst-game-loop IH $\alpha$ rec Loop.prems(2) selfdef by blast

next
case (Dual  $\sigma \ U \ \alpha$ )
from Dual have IH $\alpha$ :  $\bigwedge X. \ U\text{variation } \nu \ \omega \ U \implies (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \ (\text{the} \ (\text{snd}$ 
 $(\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha))) \ X = (\nu \in \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ \alpha \ X)$  by force
from Dual have uv: Uvariation  $\nu \ \omega \ U$  by simp
from Dual have def: snd (usubstappp  $\sigma \ U \ (\alpha \hat{\wedge} d)$ )  $\neq \text{undefg}$  by simp

have ( $\nu \in \neg \text{game-sem } I \ (\text{the} \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha))) \ (-X) = (\nu \in$ 
 $\neg \text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ \alpha \ (-X))$  using IH $\alpha$ [OF uv] by simp
then have ( $\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \ ((\text{the} \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha))) \hat{\wedge} d) \ X = (\nu \in$ 
 $\text{game-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ (\alpha \hat{\wedge} d) \ X)$  using game-sem.simps(7) by auto
then show ?case using usubstappp-dual Dualo-undef
proof -
have  $\bigwedge \sigma \ V \ \alpha. \ \text{snd} \ (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ (\alpha \hat{\wedge} d)) = \text{Dualo} \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U$ 
 $\alpha))$  by simp
then have snd (usubstappp  $\sigma \ U \ \alpha) \neq \text{undefg}$  using Dualo-undef def by
presburger
then show ?thesis
using  $\langle (\nu \in \text{game-sem } I \ ((\text{the} \ (\text{snd} \ (\text{usubstappp } \sigma \ U \ \alpha))) \hat{\wedge} d) \ X = (\nu \in$ 
 $\text{game-sem } (\text{USubst.adjoint } \sigma \ I \ \omega) \ (\alpha \hat{\wedge} d) \ X) \rangle$  by force
qed

```

```

next
  case (ODE  $\sigma$   $U$   $x$   $\vartheta$ )
    then have  $va: U\text{variation } \nu \omega U$  by simp
    from ODE have  $subdef: usubstapp \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta \neq undef$  by
      (simp add: ODEo-undef)
    from ODE have  $IH: term\text{-sem } I (\text{the } (usubstapp \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta)) \nu = term\text{-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \vartheta \nu$  using  $va$ 
      by (metis ODEo-undef fst-pair snd-conv usubst-taboos-mon usubst-term usubstapp.simps(8) usubstapp-antimon)
    have  $(\nu \in game\text{-sem } I (\text{the } (snd (usubstapp \sigma U (ODE x \vartheta)))) X) = (\nu \in game\text{-sem } I (\text{the } (ODE x (usubstapp \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta))) X)$  by simp
    also have ...  $= (\nu \in game\text{-sem } I (ODE x (\text{the } (usubstapp \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta))) X)$  using  $subdef$  by force
    also have ...  $= (\exists F T. Vagree \nu (F(0)) (\neg\{DVar x\}) \wedge F(T) \in X \wedge solves\text{-ODE } I F x (\text{the } (usubstapp \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta)))$  by simp
    also have ...  $= (\exists F T. Uvariation \nu (F(0)) \{DVar x\} \wedge F(T) \in X \wedge solves\text{-ODE } I F x (\text{the } (usubstapp \sigma (U \cup \{RVar x, DVar x\}) \vartheta)))$  using Uvariation-Vagree by (metis double-compl)
    also have ...  $= (\exists F T. Uvariation \nu (F(0)) \{DVar x\} \wedge F(T) \in X \wedge solves\text{-ODE } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) F x \vartheta)$ 
      using usubst-ode-ext2[Of subdef]  $va$  solves-Vagree-trans Uvariation-trans Uvariation-sym-rel Uvariation-mon by (meson subset-insertI)
    also have ...  $= (\exists F T. Vagree \nu (F(0)) (\neg\{DVar x\}) \wedge F(T) \in X \wedge solves\text{-ODE } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) F x \vartheta)$  using Uvariation-Vagree by (metis double-compl)
    also have ...  $= (\nu \in game\text{-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (ODE x \vartheta) X)$  using solves-ODE-def
      by simp
    finally show  $(\nu \in game\text{-sem } I (\text{the } (snd (usubstapp \sigma U (ODE x \vartheta)))) X) =$ 
       $(\nu \in game\text{-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (ODE x \vartheta) X)$ .
    qed
qed

```

Lemma 16 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

theorem *usubst-fml*: $U\text{variation } \nu \omega U \implies usubstappf \sigma U \varphi \neq undef \implies$
 $(\nu \in fml\text{-sem } I (\text{the } (usubstappf \sigma U \varphi))) = (\nu \in fml\text{-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi)$
using *usubst-fml-game* **by** *simp*

Lemma 17 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

theorem *usubst-game*: $U\text{variation } \nu \omega U \implies snd (usubstapp \sigma U \alpha) \neq undef \implies$
 $(\nu \in game\text{-sem } I (\text{the } (snd (usubstapp \sigma U \alpha))) X) = (\nu \in game\text{-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \alpha X)$
using *usubst-fml-game* **by** *simp*

7.7 Soundness of Uniform Substitution of Formulas

abbreviation *usubsta*:: $usubst \Rightarrow fml \Rightarrow fmlo$
where $usubsta \sigma \varphi \equiv usubstapp \sigma \{\} \varphi$

Theorem 18 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

```

theorem usubst-sound: usubsta  $\sigma$   $\varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{valid } \varphi \implies \text{valid } (\text{the } (\text{usubsta } \sigma \varphi))$ 
proof-
  assume def: usubsta  $\sigma$   $\varphi \neq \text{undef}$ 
  assume prem: valid  $\varphi$ 
  from prem have premc:  $\bigwedge I \omega. \omega \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi$  using valid-def by auto
  show valid (the (usubsta  $\sigma$   $\varphi$ )) unfolding valid-def
  proof (clarify)
    fix  $I \omega$ 
    have  $(\omega \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubsta } \sigma \varphi))) = (\omega \in \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi)$ 
    using usubst-fml by (simp add: usubst-fml def)
    also have ... = True using premc by simp
    finally have  $(\omega \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubsta } \sigma \varphi))) = \text{True}$ .
    from this show  $\omega \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the } (\text{usubstappf } \sigma \{\} \varphi))$  by simp
  qed
qed

```

7.8 Soundness of Uniform Substitution of Rules

Uniform Substitution applied to a rule or inference

```

definition usubstr:: usubst  $\Rightarrow$  inference  $\Rightarrow$  inference option
  where usubstr  $\sigma R \equiv$  if (usubstappf  $\sigma$  allvars (snd  $R$ )  $\neq \text{undef} \wedge (\forall \varphi \in \text{set } (\text{fst } R). \text{usubstappf } \sigma \text{ allvars } \varphi \neq \text{undef}))$  then
    Some(map(the o (usubstappf  $\sigma$  allvars))(fst  $R$ ), the (usubstappf  $\sigma$  allvars (snd  $R$ )))
  else
    None

```

Simple observations about applying uniform substitutions to a rule

```

lemma usubstr-conv: usubstr  $\sigma R \neq \text{None} \implies$ 
  usubstappf  $\sigma$  allvars (snd  $R$ )  $\neq \text{undef} \wedge$ 
   $(\forall \varphi \in \text{set } (\text{fst } R). \text{usubstappf } \sigma \text{ allvars } \varphi \neq \text{undef})$ 
  by (metis usubstr-def)

lemma usubstr-union-undef: (usubstr  $\sigma ((\text{append } A B), C) \neq \text{None} = (\text{usubstr } \sigma (A, C) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{usubstr } \sigma (B, C) \neq \text{None})$ 
  using usubstr-def by auto

lemma usubstr-union-undef2: (usubstr  $\sigma ((\text{append } A B), C) \neq \text{None} \implies (\text{usubstr } \sigma (A, C) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{usubstr } \sigma (B, C) \neq \text{None})$ 
  using usubstr-union-undef by blast

lemma usubstr-cons-undef: (usubstr  $\sigma ((\text{Cons } A B), C) \neq \text{None} = (\text{usubstr } \sigma ([A], C) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{usubstr } \sigma (B, C) \neq \text{None})$ 
  using usubstr-def by auto

lemma usubstr-cons-undef2: (usubstr  $\sigma ((\text{Cons } A B), C) \neq \text{None} \implies (\text{usubstr } \sigma ([A], C) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{usubstr } \sigma (B, C) \neq \text{None})$ 
  using usubstr-cons-undef by blast

lemma usubstr-cons: (usubstr  $\sigma ((\text{Cons } A B), C) \neq \text{None} \implies$ 

```

the (usubstr σ ((Cons A B), C)) = (Cons (the (usubstappf σ allvars A)) (fst (the (usubstr σ (B, C)))) , snd (the (usubstr σ ([A], C))))

using usubstr-union-undef map-cons usubstr-def

proof–

assume def: (usubstr σ ((Cons A B), C) ≠ None)

let ?R = ((Cons A B), C)

have the (usubstr σ ?R) = (map(the o (usubstappf σ allvars))(fst ?R) , the (usubstappf σ allvars (snd ?R))) **using def usubstr-def by (metis option.sel)**

also have ... = (Cons (the (usubstappf σ allvars A)) (map(the o (usubstappf σ allvars))(B)) , the (usubstappf σ allvars (snd ?R))) **using map-cons by auto**

also have ... = (Cons (the (usubstappf σ allvars A)) (fst (the (usubstr σ (B, C)))) , the (usubstappf σ allvars (snd ?R))) **using usubstr-cons-undef2[OF def] usubstr-def by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv option.sel)**

also have ... = (Cons (the (usubstappf σ allvars A)) (fst (the (usubstr σ (B, C)))) , snd (the (usubstr σ ([A], C)))) **using def usubstr-def by auto**

ultimately show the (usubstr σ ((Cons A B), C)) = (Cons (the (usubstappf σ allvars A)) (fst (the (usubstr σ (B, C)))) , snd (the (usubstr σ ([A], C)))) **by simp**

qed

lemma usubstr-union: (usubstr σ ((append A B), C) ≠ None) \Rightarrow

the (usubstr σ ((append A B), C)) = (append (fst (the (usubstr σ (A, C)))) (fst (the (usubstr σ (B, C)))), snd (the (usubstr σ (A, C))))

using usubstr-union-undef2

proof–

assume def: (usubstr σ ((append A B), C) ≠ None)

let ?R = ((append A B), C)

have the (usubstr σ ?R) = (map(the o (usubstappf σ allvars))(fst ?R) , the (usubstappf σ allvars (snd ?R))) **using def usubstr-def by (metis option.sel)**

also have ... = (map(the o (usubstappf σ allvars))(fst ?R) , snd (the (usubstr σ (A, C)))) **using usubstr-union-undef2[OF def] usubstr-def by (metis option.sel sndI)**

also have ... = (append (map(the o (usubstappf σ allvars))(A)) (map(the o (usubstappf σ allvars))(B)) , snd (the (usubstr σ (A, C)))) **using usubstr-union-undef2[OF def] map-append by simp**

also have ... = (append (fst (the (usubstr σ (A, C)))) (fst (the (usubstr σ (B, C)))), snd (the (usubstr σ (A, C)))) **using usubstr-union-undef2[OF def] usubstr-def by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv option.sel)**

ultimately show the (usubstr σ ((append A B), C)) = (append (fst (the (usubstr σ (A, C)))) (fst (the (usubstr σ (B, C)))), snd (the (usubstr σ (A, C)))) **by simp**

qed

lemma usubstr-length: usubstr σ R ≠ None \Rightarrow length (fst (the (usubstr σ R))) = length (fst R)

by (metis fst-pair length-map option.sel usubstr-def)

lemma usubstr-nth: usubstr σ R ≠ None \Rightarrow 0 ≤ k \Rightarrow k < length (fst R) \Rightarrow

nth (fst (the (usubstr σ R))) k = the (usubstappf σ allvars (nth (fst R) k))

```

proof-
  assume a1: usubstr  $\sigma$   $R \neq \text{None}$ 
  assume a2:  $0 \leq k$ 
  assume a3:  $k < \text{length} (\text{fst } R)$ 
  show  $\text{nth} (\text{fst} (\text{the} (\text{usubstr} \sigma R))) k = \text{the} (\text{usubstappf} \sigma \text{allvars} (\text{nth} (\text{fst } R) k))$ 
    using a1 a2 a3 proof (induction  $R$  arbitrary:  $k$ )
      case (Pair  $A$   $C$ )
        then show ?case
          proof (induction  $A$  arbitrary:  $k$ )
            case Nil
            then show ?case by simp
        next
          case (Cons  $D$   $E$ )
            then have IH:  $\bigwedge k. \text{usubstr} \sigma (E, C) \neq \text{None} \implies 0 \leq k \implies k < \text{length } E$ 
             $\implies \text{nth} (\text{fst} (\text{the} (\text{usubstr} \sigma (E, C)))) k = \text{the} (\text{usubstappf} \sigma \text{allvars} (\text{nth } E k))$ 
            by simp
            then show ?case
            proof (cases  $k$ )
              case  $0$ 
              then show ?thesis using Cons usubstr-cons by simp
            next
              case (Suc  $n$ )
              then have smaller:  $n < \text{length } E$  using Cons.prems(3) by auto
              have nati:  $0 \leq n$  by simp
              have def:  $\text{usubstr} \sigma (E, C) \neq \text{None}$  using usubstr-cons-undef2[OF Cons.prems(1)]
              by blast
              have  $\text{nth} (\text{fst} (\text{the} (\text{usubstr} \sigma (E, C)))) n = \text{the} (\text{usubstappf} \sigma \text{allvars} (\text{nth} (\text{fst } (E, C)) n))$  using IH[OF def, OF nati, OF smaller] by simp
              then show ?thesis using Cons usubstr-cons by (simp add: Suc)
            qed
            qed
            qed
            qed

```

Theorem 19 of <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230>

```

theorem usubst-rule-sound:  $\text{usubstr } \sigma R \neq \text{None} \implies \text{locally-sound } R \implies \text{locally-sound} (\text{the} (\text{usubstr} \sigma R))$ 
proof-
  assume def:  $\text{usubstr } \sigma R \neq \text{None}$ 
  assume prem: locally-sound  $R$ 
  let ? $\sigma D = \text{usubstr } \sigma R$ 
  fix  $\omega$ 
  from usubst-fml have substeq:  $\bigwedge I \nu \varphi. \text{usubstappf} \sigma \text{allvars} \varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{the} (\text{usubstappf} \sigma \text{allvars} \varphi))) = (\nu \in \text{fml-sem} (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi)$ 
  using Uvariation-univ by blast
  then have substval:  $\bigwedge I. \text{usubstappf} \sigma \text{allvars} \varphi \neq \text{undef} \implies \text{valid-in } I (\text{the} (\text{usubstappf} \sigma \text{allvars} \varphi)) = \text{valid-in} (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) \varphi$  unfolding valid-in-def by auto
  show locally-sound (the (usubstr  $\sigma R$ )) unfolding locally-sound-def

```

```

proof (clarify)
  fix  $I$ 
  assume  $\forall k \geq 0. k < \text{length}(\text{fst}(\text{the}(\text{usubstr } \sigma R))) \rightarrow \text{valid-in } I (\text{nth}(\text{fst}(\text{the}(\text{usubstr } \sigma R))) k)$ 
  then have  $\forall k \geq 0. k < \text{length}(\text{fst } R) \rightarrow \text{valid-in } (\text{adjoint } \sigma I \omega) (\text{nth}(\text{fst } R) k)$  using substval usubstr-nth usubstr-length substeq valid-in-def by (metis def nth-mem usubstr-def)
  then have valid-in (adjoint σ I ω) (snd R) using prem unfolding locally-sound-def by simp
  from this show valid-in I (snd (the (usubstr σ R))) using subst-fml substeq usubstr-def valid-in-def by (metis def option.sel snd-conv)
  qed
qed

end
theory Ids
imports Complex-Main
  Syntax
begin

```

Some specific identifiers used in Axioms

```

abbreviation hgid1::ident where hgid1 ≡ CHR "a"
abbreviation hgid2::ident where hgid2 ≡ CHR "b"
abbreviation hgidc::ident where hgidc ≡ CHR "c"
abbreviation hgidd::ident where hgidd ≡ CHR "d"
abbreviation pid1::ident where pid1 ≡ CHR "p"
abbreviation pid2::ident where pid2 ≡ CHR "q"
abbreviation fid1::ident where fid1 ≡ CHR "f"
abbreviation xid1::variable where xid1 ≡ RVar (CHR "x")
end
theory Axioms
imports
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Ids
begin

```

8 Axioms and Axiomatic Proof Rules of Differential Game Logic

8.1 Axioms

```

abbreviation pusall:: fml
  where pusall ≡ ⟨Game hgidc⟩ TT

abbreviation nothing:: trm
  where nothing ≡ Number 0

```

named-theorems *axiom-defs* *Axiom definitions*

```

definition box-axiom :: fml
  where [axiom-defs]:
    box-axiom ≡ (Box (Game hgid1) pusall) ↔ Not(Diamond (Game hgid1) (Not(pusall)))

definition assigneq-axiom :: fml
  where [axiom-defs]:
    assigneq-axiom ≡ (Diamond (Assign xid1 (Const fid1)) pusall) ↔ Exists xid1
      (Equals (Var xid1) (Const fid1) && pusall)

definition stutterd-axiom :: fml
  where [axiom-defs]:
    stutterd-axiom ≡ (Diamond (Assign xid1 (Var xid1)) pusall) ↔ pusall

definition test-axiom :: fml
  where [axiom-defs]:
    test-axiom ≡ Diamond (Test (Pred pid2 nothing)) (Pred pid1 nothing) ↔ (Pred
      pid2 nothing && Pred pid1 nothing)

definition choice-axiom :: fml
  where [axiom-defs]:
    choice-axiom ≡ Diamond (Choice (Game hgid1) (Game hgid2)) pusall ↔ (Diamond
      (Game hgid1) pusall || Diamond (Game hgid2) pusall)

definition compose-axiom :: fml
  where [axiom-defs]:
    compose-axiom ≡ Diamond (Compose (Game hgid1) (Game hgid2)) pusall ↔ Diamond
      (Game hgid1) (Diamond (Game hgid2) pusall)

definition iterate-axiom :: fml
  where [axiom-defs]:
    iterate-axiom ≡ Diamond (Loop (Game hgid1)) pusall ↔ (pusall || Diamond (Game
      hgid1) (Diamond (Loop (Game hgid1)) pusall))

definition dual-axiom :: fml
  where [axiom-defs]:
    dual-axiom ≡ Diamond (Dual (Game hgid1)) pusall ↔ !(Diamond (Game hgid1)
      (!pusall))
  
```

8.2 Axiomatic Rules

named-theorems *rule-defs* *Rule definitions*

```

definition mon-rule :: inference
  where [rule-defs]:
    mon-rule ≡ ([⟨⟨Game hgic⟩ TT) → (⟨⟨Game hgidc⟩ TT)], (⟨⟨Game hgid1⟩(⟨⟨Game
      hgic⟩ TT)) → (⟨⟨Game hgid1⟩(⟨⟨Game hgidc⟩ TT)))
```

```

definition FP-rule :: inference
  where [rule-defs]:
    FP-rule ≡ (((⟨Game hgidc⟩ TT) || ⟨Game hgid1⟩⟨Game hgidd⟩ TT) → ⟨Game hgidd⟩ TT], (⟨Loop (Game hgid1)⟩⟨Game hgidc⟩ TT) → (⟨Game hgidd⟩ TT))

definition MP-rule :: inference
  where [rule-defs]:
    MP-rule ≡ ([Pred pid1 nothing , Pred pid1 nothing → Pred pid2 nothing], Pred pid2 nothing)

definition gena-rule :: inference
  where [rule-defs]:
    gena-rule ≡ ([pusall], Exists xid1 pusall)

```

8.3 Soundness / Validity Proofs for Axioms

Because an axiom in a uniform substitution calculus is an individual formula, proving the validity of that formula suffices to prove soundness

```

lemma box-valid: valid box-axiom
  unfolding box-axiom-def Box-def Or-def by simp

```

```

lemma assigneq-valid: valid assigneq-axiom
  unfolding assigneq-axiom-def by (auto simp add: valid-equiv)

```

```

lemma stutterd-valid: valid stutterd-axiom
  unfolding stutterd-axiom-def by (auto simp add: valid-equiv)

```

```

lemma test-valid: valid test-axiom
  unfolding test-axiom-def Or-def using valid-equiv by fastforce

```

```

lemma choice-valid: valid choice-axiom
  unfolding choice-axiom-def Or-def by (auto simp add: valid-equiv)

```

```

lemma compose-valid: valid compose-axiom
  unfolding compose-axiom-def Or-def by (simp add: valid-equiv)

```

```

lemma dual-valid: valid dual-axiom
  unfolding dual-axiom-def using valid-equiv fml-sem-not using fml-sem.simps(6)
  game-sem.simps(7) by presburger

```

```

lemma iterate-valid: valid iterate-axiom

```

```

proof-
  have ∀ I. fml-sem I (Diamond (Loop (Game hgid1)) pusall) = fml-sem I (pusall)

```

```

|| Diamond (Game hgid1) (Diamond (Loop (Game hgid1)) pusall))
 $\text{proof}$ 
 $\text{fix } I$ 
 $\text{have } \text{fml-sem } I (\Diamond (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1)) \text{pusall}) = \text{game-sem } I (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1)) (\text{fml-sem } I \text{pusall}) \text{ by (rule fml-sem.simps(6))}$ 
 $\text{also have } \dots = \text{game-sem } I (\text{Choice Skip} (\text{Compose} (\text{Game } hgid1) (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1)))) (\text{fml-sem } I \text{pusall})$ 
 $\text{using game-equiv-subst[where } I=I \text{ and } X=\langle \text{fml-sem } I \text{pusall} \rangle, \text{OF loop-iterate-equiv[where } \alpha=\langle \text{Game } hgid1 \rangle \text{]] by blast}$ 
 $\text{also have } \dots = \text{fml-sem } I (\Diamond (\text{Choice Skip} (\text{Compose} (\text{Game } hgid1) (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1)))) \text{pusall}) \text{ by simp}$ 
 $\text{also have } \dots = \text{fml-sem } I (\Diamond (\text{Skip} \text{pusall} \parallel \Diamond (\text{Compose} (\text{Game } hgid1) (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1))) \text{pusall})) \text{ by simp}$ 
 $\text{also have } \dots = \text{fml-sem } I (\text{pusall} \parallel \Diamond (\text{Compose} (\text{Game } hgid1) (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1))) \text{pusall}) \text{ by simp}$ 
 $\text{also have } \dots = \text{fml-sem } I (\text{pusall} \parallel \Diamond (\text{Game } hgid1) (\Diamond (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1)) \text{pusall})) \text{ by simp}$ 
 $\text{finally show } \text{fml-sem } I (\Diamond (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1)) \text{pusall}) = \text{fml-sem } I (\text{pusall} \parallel \Diamond (\text{Game } hgid1) (\Diamond (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1)) \text{pusall})).$ 
 $\text{qed}$ 
 $\text{then have } \text{valid} ((\Diamond (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1)) \text{pusall}) \leftrightarrow (\text{pusall} \parallel \Diamond (\text{Game } hgid1) (\Diamond (\text{Loop} (\text{Game } hgid1)) \text{pusall}))) \text{ using valid-equiv by (rule rev-iffD2)}$ 
 $\text{then show } \text{valid iterate-axiom unfolding iterate-axiom-def by auto}$ 
 $\text{qed}$ 

```

8.4 Local Soundness Proofs for Axiomatic Rules

```

 $\text{lemma mon-locsound: locally-sound mon-rule}$ 
 $\text{unfolding mon-rule-def locally-sound-def using valid-in-impl monotone by simp}$ 

 $\text{lemma FP-locsound: locally-sound FP-rule}$ 
 $\text{unfolding FP-rule-def locally-sound-def using valid-in-impl game-sem-loop by auto}$ 

 $\text{lemma MP-locsound: locally-sound MP-rule}$ 
 $\text{unfolding MP-rule-def locally-sound-def valid-in-def using fml-sem-implies less-Suc-eq by auto}$ 

 $\text{lemma gena-locsound: locally-sound gena-rule}$ 
 $\text{unfolding gena-rule-def locally-sound-def valid-in-def using fml-sem-implies less-Suc-eq by auto}$ 

 $\text{end}$ 

```

9 dGL Formalization

```

theory Differential-Game-Logic
imports

```

```

Complex-Main
Lib
Identifiers
Syntax
Denotational-Semantics
Static-Semantics
Coincidence
USubst
Axioms
begin

```

This formalization of Differential Game Logic <http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.07230> [4] consists of the syntax, denotational semantics, static semantics, uniform substitution lemmas, uniform substitution soundness proofs, and soundness proofs for axioms.

```
end
```

Acknowledgment. I very much appreciate all the kind advice of the entire Isabelle Group at TU Munich and Fabian Immler and Rose Bohrer for how to best formalize the mathematical proofs in Isabelle/HOL.

References

- [1] A. Platzer. Differential game logic. *ACM Trans. Comput. Log.*, 17(1):1:1–1:51, 2015.
- [2] A. Platzer. Uniform substitution for differential game logic. In D. Galmiche, S. Schulz, and R. Sebastiani, editors, *IJCAR*, volume 10900 of *LNCS*, pages 211–227. Springer, 2018.
- [3] A. Platzer. Uniform substitution for differential game logic. *CoRR*, abs/1804.05880, 2018.
- [4] A. Platzer. Uniform substitution at one fell swoop. In P. Fontaine, editor, *CADE*, LNCS. Springer, 2019.
- [5] A. Platzer. Uniform substitution at one fell swoop. *CoRR*, abs/1902.07230, 2019.