

Differential-Dynamic-Logic

Rose Bohrer

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize differential dynamic logic, a logic for proving properties of hybrid systems. The proof calculus in this formalization is based on the uniform substitution principle. We show it is sound with respect to our denotational semantics, which provides increased confidence in the correctness of the KeYmaera X theorem prover based on this calculus. As an application, we include a proof term checker embedded in Isabelle/HOL with several example proofs.

Published in [1]

We present a formalization of a uniform substitution calculus for differential dynamic logic (dL). In this calculus, the soundness of dL proofs is reduced to the soundness of a finite number of axioms, standard propositional rules and a central *uniform substitution* rule for combining axioms. We present a formal definition for the denotational semantics of dL and prove the uniform substitution calculus sound by showing that all inference rules are sound with respect to the denotational semantics, and all axioms valid (true in every state and interpretation).

This work is published in [1] along with a Coq formalization. It is based on prior non-mechanized proofs [3, 2].

Contents

1 Identifier locale	3
2 Generic Mathematical Lemmas	4
3 Syntax	6
3.1 Well-Formedness predicates	10
3.2 Denotational Semantics	14
3.3 States	14
3.4 Interpretations	16
3.5 Trivial Simplification Lemmas	21

4 Axioms	24
4.1 Validity proofs for axioms	25
4.2 Soundness proofs for rules	27
5 Characterization of Term Derivatives	28
6 Static Semantics	31
6.1 Signature Definitions	31
6.2 Variable Binding Definitions	32
6.3 Lemmas for reasoning about static semantics	34
7 Coincidence Theorems and Corollaries	35
7.1 Term Coincidence Theorems	35
7.2 ODE Coincidence Theorems	36
7.3 Coincidence Theorems for Programs and Formulas	38
7.4 Corollaries: Alternate ODE semantics definition	38
8 Bound Effect Theorem	39
9 Differential Axioms	39
9.1 Derivative Axioms	40
9.2 ODE Axioms	40
9.3 Proofs for Derivative Axioms	42
9.4 Proofs for ODE Axioms	42
10 Uniform Substitution Definitions	45
11 Soundness proof for uniform substitution rule	56
11.1 Lemmas about well-formedness of (adjoint) interpretations	57
11.2 Lemmas about adjoint interpretations	58
11.3 Substitution theorems for terms	64
11.4 Substitution theorems for ODEs	65
11.5 Substitution theorems for formulas and programs	67
11.6 Soundness of substitution rule	70
12 Uniform and Bound Renaming	70
12.1 Uniform Renaming Definitions	70
12.2 Uniform Renaming Admissibility	71
12.3 Uniform Renaming Soundness Proof and Lemmas	72
12.4 Uniform Renaming Rule Soundness	75
12.5 Bound Renaming Rule Soundness	75
13 Syntax Pretty-Printer	75
14 Proof Checker	77

15 Proof Checker Implementation	78
15.1 Soundness	83
16 Example 1: Differential Invariants	85
17 Example 2: Concrete Hybrid System	89
18 dL Formalization	98
theory <i>Ids</i>	
imports <i>Complex-Main</i>	
begin	

1 Identifier locale

The differential dynamic logic formalization is parameterized by the type of identifiers. The identifier type(s) must be finite and have at least 3-4 distinct elements. Distinctness is required for soundness of some axioms.

```

locale ids =
  fixes vid1 :: ('sz::{finite,linorder})
  fixes vid2 :: 'sz
  fixes vid3 :: 'sz
  fixes fid1 :: ('sf::finite)
  fixes fid2 :: 'sf
  fixes fid3 :: 'sf
  fixes pid1 :: ('sc::finite)
  fixes pid2 :: 'sc
  fixes pid3 :: 'sc
  fixes pid4 :: 'sc
  assumes vne12:vid1 ≠ vid2
  assumes vne23:vid2 ≠ vid3
  assumes vne13:vid1 ≠ vid3
  assumes fne12:fid1 ≠ fid2
  assumes fne23:fid2 ≠ fid3
  assumes fne13:fid1 ≠ fid3
  assumes pne12:pid1 ≠ pid2
  assumes pne23:pid2 ≠ pid3
  assumes pne13:pid1 ≠ pid3
  assumes pne14:pid1 ≠ pid4
  assumes pne24:pid2 ≠ pid4
  assumes pne34:pid3 ≠ pid4
context ids begin
lemma id-simps:
  (vid1 = vid2) = False (vid2 = vid3) = False (vid1 = vid3) = False
  (fid1 = fid2) = False (fid2 = fid3) = False (fid1 = fid3) = False
  (pid1 = pid2) = False (pid2 = pid3) = False (pid1 = pid3) = False
  (pid1 = pid4) = False (pid2 = pid4) = False (pid3 = pid4) = False
  (vid2 = vid1) = False (vid3 = vid2) = False (vid3 = vid1) = False

```

```

(fid2 = fid1) = False (fid3 = fid2) = False (fid3 = fid1) = False
(pid2 = pid1) = False (pid3 = pid2) = False (pid3 = pid1) = False
(pid4 = pid1) = False (pid4 = pid2) = False (pid4 = pid3) = False
⟨proof⟩
end
end
theory Lib
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
begin

```

2 Generic Mathematical Lemmas

General lemmas that don't have anything to do with dL specifically and could be fit for general-purpose libraries, mostly dealing with derivatives, ODEs and vectors.

```
lemma vec-extensionality:( $\bigwedge i. v\$i = w\$i$ )  $\implies (v = w)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma norm-axis: norm (axis i x) = norm x
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bounded-linear-axis: bounded-linear (axis i)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bounded-linear-vec:
  fixes f::('a::finite)  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'c::real-normed-vector
  assumes bounds: $\bigwedge i. \text{bounded-linear } (f i)$ 
  shows bounded-linear ( $\lambda x. \chi i. f i x$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition blinfun-vec::('a::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow_L$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow_L$ 
(real  $\wedge$  'a) is ( $\lambda(f::('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  real)) (x::'b). \chi (i::'a). f i x$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas blinfun-vec-simps[simp] = blinfun-vec.rep-eq
```

```
lemma continuous-blinfun-vec:( $\bigwedge i. \text{continuous-on UNIV } (\text{blinfun-apply } (g i))$ )  $\implies$ 
continuous-on UNIV (blinfun-vec g)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma blinfun-elim: $\bigwedge g. (\text{blinfun-apply } (\text{blinfun-vec } g)) = (\lambda x. \chi i. g i x)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sup-plus:
  fixes f g::('b::metric-space)  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes nonempty:R  $\neq \{\}$ 
  assumes bddf:bdd-above (f ` R)
```

```

assumes bddg:bdd-above ( $g : R$ )
shows ( $\text{SUP } x \in R. f x + g x$ )  $\leq (\text{SUP } x \in R. f x) + (\text{SUP } x \in R. g x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous-blinfun-vec':
  fixes  $f : a \in \{\text{finite}, \text{linorder}\} \Rightarrow b \in \{\text{metric-space}, \text{real-normed-vector}, \text{abs}\} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow_L \text{real}$ 
  fixes  $S : b \text{ set}$ 
  assumes conts: $\bigwedge i. \text{continuous-on } UNIV (f i)$ 
  shows continuous-on  $UNIV (\lambda x. \text{blinfun-vec} (\lambda i. f i x))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-derivative-vec[derivative-intros]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. ((\lambda x. f i x) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda h. f' i h)) F$ 
  shows  $((\lambda x. \chi i. f i x) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda h. \chi i. f' i h)) F$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-derivative-proj:
  fixes  $j : a \in \text{finite}$ 
  fixes  $f : a \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes assm: $((\lambda x. \chi i. f i x) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda h. \chi i. f' i h)) F$ 
  shows  $((\lambda x. f j x) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda h. f' j h)) F$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-derivative-proj':
  fixes  $i : a \in \text{finite}$ 
  shows  $\forall x. ((\lambda x. x \$ i) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x : (\text{real}^\sim a). x \$ i)) \text{ (at } x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma constant-when-zero:
  fixes  $v : \text{real} \Rightarrow (\text{real}, i : \text{finite}) \text{ vec}$ 
  assumes  $x0 : (v t0) \$ i = x0$ 
  assumes sol: $(v \text{ solves-ode } f) T S$ 
  assumes  $f0 : \bigwedge s. s \in T \implies f s x \$ i = 0$ 
  assumes  $t0 : t0 \in T$ 
  assumes  $t : t \in T$ 
  assumes convex: $\text{convex } T$ 
  shows  $v t \$ i = x0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma
  solves-ode-subset:
  assumes  $x : (x \text{ solves-ode } f) T X$ 
  assumes  $s : S \subseteq T$ 
  shows  $(x \text{ solves-ode } f) S X$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma
  solves-ode-supset-range:

```

```

assumes  $x: (x \text{ solves-ode } f) \wedge T \subseteq X$ 
assumes  $y: X \subseteq Y$ 
shows  $(x \text{ solves-ode } f) \wedge T \subseteq Y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma usolves-ode-subset:
assumes  $x: (x \text{ usolves-ode } f \text{ from } t0) \wedge T \subseteq X$ 
assumes  $s: S \subseteq T$ 
assumes  $t0: t0 \in S$ 
assumes  $S: \text{is-interval } S$ 
shows  $(x \text{ usolves-ode } f \text{ from } t0) \wedge S \subseteq X$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma example:
fixes  $x: \text{real} \wedge i: ('sz::finite)$ 
assumes  $t > 0$ 
shows  $x = (\text{ll-on-open}.flow \text{ UNIV } (\lambda t. \lambda x. \chi (i::('sz::finite))). 0) \text{ UNIV } 0 \text{ } (\chi i.$ 
 $x) t) \$ i$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma MVT-ivl:
fixes  $f: 'a::\text{ordered-euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ordered-euclidean-space}$ 
assumes  $fderiv: \bigwedge x. x \in D \implies (f \text{ has-derivative } J x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } D)$ 
assumes  $J-ivl: \bigwedge x. x \in D \implies J x u \geq J_0$ 
assumes  $\text{line-in}: \bigwedge x. x \in \{0..1\} \implies a + x *_R u \in D$ 
shows  $f(a + u) - f a \geq J_0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma MVT-ivl':
fixes  $f: 'a::\text{ordered-euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ordered-euclidean-space}$ 
assumes  $fderiv: (\bigwedge x. x \in D \implies (f \text{ has-derivative } J x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } D))$ 
assumes  $J-ivl: \bigwedge x. x \in D \implies J x (a - b) \geq J_0$ 
assumes  $\text{line-in}: \bigwedge x. x \in \{0..1\} \implies b + x *_R (a - b) \in D$ 
shows  $f a \geq f b + J_0$ 
⟨proof⟩
end
theory Syntax
imports
  Complex-Main
  Ids
begin

```

3 Syntax

We define the syntax of dL terms, formulas and hybrid programs. As in CADE’15, the syntax allows arbitrarily nested differentials. However, the semantics of such terms is very surprising (e.g. (x') is zero in every state), so we define predicates dfree and dsafe to describe terms with no differentials

and no nested differentials, respectively.

In keeping with the CADE'15 presentation we currently make the simplifying assumption that all terms are smooth, and thus division and arbitrary exponentiation are absent from the syntax. Several other standard logical constructs are implemented as derived forms to reduce the soundness burden.

The types of formulas and programs are parameterized by three finite types ('a, 'b, 'c) used as identifiers for function constants, context constants, and everything else, respectively. These type variables are distinct because some substitution operations affect one type variable while leaving the others unchanged. Because these types will be finite in practice, it is more useful to think of them as natural numbers that happen to be represented as types (due to HOL's lack of dependent types). The types of terms and ODE systems follow the same approach, but have only two type variables because they cannot contain contexts.

```
datatype ('a, 'c) trm =
— Real-valued variables given meaning by the state and modified by programs.
  Var 'c
— N.B. This is technically more expressive than true dL since most reals
— can't be written down.
| Const real
— A function (applied to its arguments) consists of an identifier for the function
— and a function 'c  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) trm (where 'c is a finite type) which specifies one
— argument of the function for each element of type 'c. To simulate a function
with
— less than 'c arguments, set the remaining arguments to a constant, such as Const
  0
| Function 'a 'c  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) trm ($f)
| Plus ('a, 'c) trm ('a, 'c) trm
| Times ('a, 'c) trm ('a, 'c) trm
— A (real-valued) variable standing for a differential, such as x', given meaning by
the state
— and modified by programs.
| DiffVar 'c ($")
— The differential of an arbitrary term ( $\vartheta$ )'
| Differential ('a, 'c) trm

datatype('a, 'c) ODE =
— Variable standing for an ODE system, given meaning by the interpretation
  OVar 'c
— Singleton ODE defining  $x' = \vartheta$ , where  $\vartheta$  may or may not contain x
— (but must not contain differentials)
| OSing 'c ('a, 'c) trm
— The product OProd ODE1 ODE2 composes two ODE systems in parallel, e.g.
— OProd ( $x' = y$ ) ( $y' = -x$ ) is the system  $\{x' = y, y' = -x\}$ 
| OProd ('a, 'c) ODE ('a, 'c) ODE
```

datatype ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp* =

- Variables standing for programs, given meaning by the interpretation.
- Pvar* 'c ($\$x$)
- Assignment to a real-valued variable $x := \vartheta$
- | *Assign* 'c ('a, 'c) *trm* (infixr $\lhd\lhd$ 10)
- Assignment to a differential variable
- | *DiffAssign* 'c ('a, 'c) *trm*
- Program $? \varphi$ succeeds iff φ holds in current state.
- | *Test* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* ($\lhd\lhd$)
- An ODE program is an ODE system with some evolution domain.
- | *EvolveODE* ('a, 'c) *ODE* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula*
- Non-deterministic choice between two programs *a* and *b*
- | *Choice* ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp* ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp* (infixl $\cup\cup$ 10)
- Sequential composition of two programs *a* and *b*
- | *Sequence* ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp* ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp* (infixr $\lhd;$ 8)
- Nondeterministic repetition of a program *a*, zero or more times.
- | *Loop* ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp* ($\lhd\lhd\lhd$)

and ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* =

- Geq* ('a, 'c) *trm* ('a, 'c) *trm*
- | *Prop* 'c 'c \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm* ($\$ \varphi$)
- | *Not* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* ($!$)
- | *And* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* (infixl $\&\&$ 8)
- | *Exists* 'c ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula*
- $\langle \alpha \rangle \varphi$ iff exists run of α where φ is true in end state
- | *Diamond* ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* ($\langle \langle - \rangle - \rangle$ 10)
- Contexts *C* are symbols standing for functions from (the semantics of) to (the semantics of) formulas, thus $C(\varphi)$ is another formula. While not in terms of expressiveness, contexts allow for more efficient reasoning p
- | *InContext* 'b ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula*

— Derived forms

definition *Or* :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* (infixl $\lhd\lhd$ 7)

where *Or P Q* = *Not* (*And* (*Not P*) (*Not Q*))

definition *Implies* :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* (infixr $\lhd\lhd$ 10)

where *Implies P Q* = *Or* *Q* (*Not P*)

definition *Equiv* :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* (infixl \leftrightarrow 10)

where *Equiv P Q* = *Or* (*And P Q*) (*And* (*Not P*) (*Not Q*))

definition *Forall* :: 'c \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* (infixr $\lhd\lhd$ 10)

where *Forall x P* = *Not* (*Exists x* (*Not P*))

definition *Equals* :: ('a, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula*

```

where Equals  $\vartheta \vartheta' = ((\text{Geq } \vartheta \vartheta') \&& (\text{Geq } \vartheta' \vartheta))$ 

definition Greater :: ('a, 'c) trm  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) trm  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'c) formula
where Greater  $\vartheta \vartheta' = ((\text{Geq } \vartheta \vartheta') \&& (\text{Not } (\text{Geq } \vartheta' \vartheta)))$ 

definition Box :: ('a, 'b, 'c) hp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'c) formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'c) formula
 $\langle \langle [[-]] - \rangle \rangle 10$ 
where Box  $\alpha P = \text{Not } (\text{Diamond } \alpha (\text{Not } P))$ 

definition TT :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula
where TT = Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)

definition FF :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula
where FF = Geq (Const 0) (Const 1)

type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) sequent = ('a, 'b, 'c) formula list * ('a, 'b, 'c) formula list
— Rule: assumptions, then conclusion
type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) rule = ('a, 'b, 'c) sequent list * ('a, 'b, 'c) sequent

— silliness to enable proving disequality lemmas
primrec sizeF::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  and sizeP::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  sizeP (Pvar a) = 1
  | sizeP (Assign x  $\vartheta$ ) = 1
  | sizeP (DiffAssign x  $\vartheta$ ) = 1
  | sizeP (Test  $\varphi$ ) = Suc (sizeF  $\varphi$ )
  | sizeP (EvolveODE ODE  $\varphi$ ) = Suc (sizeF  $\varphi$ )
  | sizeP (Choice  $\alpha \beta$ ) = Suc (sizeP  $\alpha +$  sizeP  $\beta$ )
  | sizeP (Sequence  $\alpha \beta$ ) = Suc (sizeP  $\alpha +$  sizeP  $\beta$ )
  | sizeP (Loop  $\alpha$ ) = Suc (sizeP  $\alpha$ )
  | sizeF (Geq p q) = 1
  | sizeF (Prop p args) = 1
  | sizeF (Not p) = Suc (sizeF p)
  | sizeF (And p q) = sizeF p + sizeF q
  | sizeF (Exists x p) = Suc (sizeF p)
  | sizeF (Diamond p q) = Suc (sizeP p + sizeF q)
  | sizeF (InContext C  $\varphi$ ) = Suc (sizeF  $\varphi$ )

lemma sizeF-diseq: sizeF p  $\neq$  sizeF q  $\implies p \neq q \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

named-theorems expr-diseq Structural disequality rules for expressions

```

lemma [expr-diseq]:p  $\neq$  And p q  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]:q  $\neq$  And p q  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]:p  $\neq$  Not p  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]:p  $\neq$  Or p q  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]:q  $\neq$  Or p q  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]:p  $\neq$  Implies p q  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma [expr-diseq]: $q \neq \text{Implies } p \ q \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]: $p \neq \text{Equiv } p \ q \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]: $q \neq \text{Equiv } p \ q \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]: $p \neq \text{Exists } x \ p \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]: $p \neq \text{Diamond } a \ p \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [expr-diseq]: $p \neq \text{InContext } C \ p \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
fun Predicational ::  $'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ formula} (\langle P_c \rangle)$ 
where Predicational  $P = \text{InContext } P \ (\text{Geq} (\text{Const } 0) \ (\text{Const } 0))$ 

```

— Abbreviations for common syntactic constructs in order to make axiom definitions, etc. more

— readable.

context ids **begin**

— "Empty" function argument tuple, encoded as tuple where all arguments assume a constant value.

```

definition empty::  $'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ trm}$ 
where empty  $\equiv \lambda i. (\text{Const } 0)$ 

```

— Function argument tuple with (effectively) one argument, where all others have a constant value.

```

fun singleton ::  $('a, 'sz) \text{ trm} \Rightarrow ('sz \Rightarrow ('a, 'sz) \text{ trm})$ 
where singleton  $t \ i = (\text{if } i = \text{vid1} \text{ then } t \text{ else } (\text{Const } 0))$ 

```

```

lemma expand-singleton:singleton  $t = (\lambda i. (\text{if } i = \text{vid1} \text{ then } t \text{ else } (\text{Const } 0))) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

definition f1::'sf  $\Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) \text{ trm}$ 
where f1  $f \ x = \text{Function } f \ (\text{singleton} (\text{Var } x))$ 

```

— Function applied to zero arguments (simulates a constant symbol given meaning by the interpretation)

```

definition f0::'sf  $\Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) \text{ trm}$ 
where f0  $f = \text{Function } f \ \text{empty}$ 

```

— Predicate applied to one argument

```

definition p1::'sz  $\Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula}$ 
where p1  $p \ x = \text{Prop } p \ (\text{singleton} (\text{Var } x))$ 

```

— Predicational

```

definition P::'sc  $\Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula}$ 
where P  $p = \text{Predicational } p$ 
end

```

3.1 Well-Formedness predicates

```

inductive dfree ::  $('a, 'c) \text{ trm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where
  dfree-Var: dfree ( $\text{Var } i$ )
  | dfree-Const: dfree ( $\text{Const } r$ )
  | dfree-Fun:  $(\bigwedge i. \text{dfree} (\text{args } i)) \implies \text{dfree} (\text{Function } i \ \text{args})$ 

```

```

| dfree-Plus:  $\text{dfree } \vartheta_1 \implies \text{dfree } \vartheta_2 \implies \text{dfree } (\text{Plus } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$ 
| dfree-Times:  $\text{dfree } \vartheta_1 \implies \text{dfree } \vartheta_2 \implies \text{dfree } (\text{Times } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$ 

```

inductive *dsafe* :: ('a, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

```

dsafe-Var: dsafe (Var i)
| dsafe-Const: dsafe (Const r)
| dsafe-Fun:  $(\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\text{args } i)) \implies \text{dsafe } (\text{Function } i \text{ args})$ 
| dsafe-Plus:  $\text{dsafe } \vartheta_1 \implies \text{dsafe } \vartheta_2 \implies \text{dsafe } (\text{Plus } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$ 
| dsafe-Times:  $\text{dsafe } \vartheta_1 \implies \text{dsafe } \vartheta_2 \implies \text{dsafe } (\text{Times } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$ 
| dsafe-Diff:  $\text{dfree } \vartheta \implies \text{dsafe } (\text{Differential } \vartheta)$ 
| dsafe-DiffVar: dsafe ( $\$' i$ )

```

— Explicitly-written variables that are bound by the ODE. Needed to compute whether

— ODE's are valid (e.g. whether they bind the same variable twice)

fun *ODE-dom*::('a, 'c) *ODE* \Rightarrow 'c *set*

where

```

ODE-dom (OVar c) = {}
| ODE-dom (OSing x ϑ) = {x}
| ODE-dom (OProd ODE1 ODE2) = ODE-dom ODE1  $\cup$  ODE-dom ODE2

```

inductive *osafe*:: ('a, 'c) *ODE* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

```

osafe-Var: osafe (OVar c)
| osafe-Sing:  $\text{dfree } \vartheta \implies \text{osafe } (\text{OSing } x \vartheta)$ 
| osafe-Prod:  $\text{osafe } \text{ODE1} \implies \text{osafe } \text{ODE2} \implies \text{ODE-dom } \text{ODE1} \cap \text{ODE-dom } \text{ODE2} = \{\} \implies \text{osafe } (\text{OProd } \text{ODE1} \text{ ODE2})$ 

```

— Programs/formulas without any differential terms. This definition not currently used but may

— be useful in the future.

inductive *hpfree*:: ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp* \Rightarrow *bool*

and *ffree*:: ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

```

hpfree (Pvar x)
| dfree e  $\implies$  hpfree (Assign x e)
— Differential programs allowed but not differential terms
| dfree e  $\implies$  hpfree (DiffAssign x e)
| ffree P  $\implies$  hpfree (Test P)
— Differential programs allowed but not differential terms
| osafe ODE  $\implies$  ffree P  $\implies$  hpfree (EvolveODE ODE P)
| hpfree a  $\implies$  hpfree b  $\implies$  hpfree (Choice a b)
| hpfree a  $\implies$  hpfree b  $\implies$  hpfree (Sequence a b)
| hpfree a  $\implies$  hpfree (Loop a)
| ffree f  $\implies$  ffree (InContext C f)
|  $(\bigwedge \text{arg. } \text{arg} \in \text{range } \text{args} \implies \text{dfree } \text{arg}) \implies \text{ffree } (\text{Prop } p \text{ args})$ 
| ffree p  $\implies$  ffree (Not p)
| ffree p  $\implies$  ffree q  $\implies$  ffree (And p q)

```

```

|  $\text{ffree } p \implies \text{ffree} (\text{Exists } x \ p)$ 
|  $\text{hpfree } a \implies \text{ffree } p \implies \text{ffree} (\text{Diamond } a \ p)$ 
|  $\text{ffree} (\text{Predicational } P)$ 
|  $\text{dfree } t1 \implies \text{dfree } t2 \implies \text{ffree} (\text{Geq } t1 \ t2)$ 

inductive  $\text{hpsafe}:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{hp} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  and  $\text{fsafe}:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where
   $\text{hpsafe-Pvar:hpsafe} (\text{Pvar } x)$ 
  |  $\text{hpsafe-Assign:dsafe } e \implies \text{hpsafe} (\text{Assign } x \ e)$ 
  |  $\text{hpsafe-DiffAssign:dsafe } e \implies \text{hpsafe} (\text{DiffAssign } x \ e)$ 
  |  $\text{hpsafe-Test:fsafe } P \implies \text{hpsafe} (\text{Test } P)$ 
  |  $\text{hpsafe-Evolve:osafe } \text{ODE} \implies \text{fsafe } P \implies \text{hpsafe} (\text{EvolveODE } \text{ODE } P)$ 
  |  $\text{hpsafe-Choice:hpsafe } a \implies \text{hpsafe } b \implies \text{hpsafe} (\text{Choice } a \ b)$ 
  |  $\text{hpsafe-Sequence:hpsafe } a \implies \text{hpsafe } b \implies \text{hpsafe} (\text{Sequence } a \ b)$ 
  |  $\text{hpsafe-Loop:hpsafe } a \implies \text{hpsafe} (\text{Loop } a)$ 

  |  $\text{fsafe-Geq:dsafe } t1 \implies \text{dsafe } t2 \implies \text{fsafe} (\text{Geq } t1 \ t2)$ 
  |  $\text{fsafe-Prop:(}\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\text{args } i)\text{)} \implies \text{fsafe} (\text{Prop } p \ \text{args})$ 
  |  $\text{fsafe-Not:fsafe } p \implies \text{fsafe} (\text{Not } p)$ 
  |  $\text{fsafe-And:fsafe } p \implies \text{fsafe } q \implies \text{fsafe} (\text{And } p \ q)$ 
  |  $\text{fsafe-Exists:fsafe } p \implies \text{fsafe} (\text{Exists } x \ p)$ 
  |  $\text{fsafe-Diamond:hpsafe } a \implies \text{fsafe } p \implies \text{fsafe} (\text{Diamond } a \ p)$ 
  |  $\text{fsafe-InContext:fsafe } f \implies \text{fsafe} (\text{InContext } C \ f)$ 

— Auto-generated simplifier rules for safety predicates

inductive-simps
   $\text{dfree-Plus-simps[simp]}: \text{dfree} (\text{Plus } a \ b)$ 
  and  $\text{dfree-Times-simps[simp]}: \text{dfree} (\text{Times } a \ b)$ 
  and  $\text{dfree-Var-simps[simp]}: \text{dfree} (\text{Var } x)$ 
  and  $\text{dfree-DiffVar-simps[simp]}: \text{dfree} (\text{DiffVar } x)$ 
  and  $\text{dfree-Differential-simps[simp]}: \text{dfree} (\text{Differential } x)$ 
  and  $\text{dfree-Fun-simps[simp]}: \text{dfree} (\text{Function } i \ \text{args})$ 
  and  $\text{dfree-Const-simps[simp]}: \text{dfree} (\text{Const } r)$ 

inductive-simps
   $\text{dsafe-Plus-simps[simp]}: \text{dsafe} (\text{Plus } a \ b)$ 
  and  $\text{dsafe-Times-simps[simp]}: \text{dsafe} (\text{Times } a \ b)$ 
  and  $\text{dsafe-Var-simps[simp]}: \text{dsafe} (\text{Var } x)$ 
  and  $\text{dsafe-DiffVar-simps[simp]}: \text{dsafe} (\text{DiffVar } x)$ 
  and  $\text{dsafe-Fun-simps[simp]}: \text{dsafe} (\text{Function } i \ \text{args})$ 
  and  $\text{dsafe-Diff-simps[simp]}: \text{dsafe} (\text{Differential } a)$ 
  and  $\text{dsafe-Const-simps[simp]}: \text{dsafe} (\text{Const } r)$ 

inductive-simps
   $\text{osafe-OVar-simps[simp]}: \text{osafe} (\text{OVar } c)$ 
  and  $\text{osafe-OSing-simps[simp]}: \text{osafe} (\text{OSing } x \ \vartheta)$ 
  and  $\text{osafe-OProd-simps[simp]}: \text{osafe} (\text{OProd } \text{ODE1 } \text{ODE2})$ 

```

```

inductive-simps
  hpsafe-Pvar-simps[simp]: hpsafe (Pvar a)
  and hpsafe-Sequence-simps[simp]: hpsafe (a ;; b)
  and hpsafe-Loop-simps[simp]: hpsafe (a**)
  and hpsafe-ODE-simps[simp]: hpsafe (EvolveODE ODE p)
  and hpsafe-Choice-simps[simp]: hpsafe (a  $\cup\cup$  b)
  and hpsafe-Assign-simps[simp]: hpsafe (Assign x e)
  and hpsafe-DiffAssign-simps[simp]: hpsafe (DiffAssign x e)
  and hpsafe-Test-simps[simp]: hpsafe (? p)

  and fsafe-Geq-simps[simp]: fsafe (Geq t1 t2)
  and fsafe-Prop-simps[simp]: fsafe (Prop p args)
  and fsafe-Not-simps[simp]: fsafe (Not p)
  and fsafe-And-simps[simp]: fsafe (And p q)
  and fsafe-Exists-simps[simp]: fsafe (Exists x p)
  and fsafe-Diamond-simps[simp]: fsafe (Diamond a p)
  and fsafe-Context-simps[simp]: fsafe (InContext C p)

definition Ssafe::('sf,'sc,'sz) sequent  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where Ssafe S  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\forall i. i \geq 0 \rightarrow i < \text{length}(\text{fst } S) \rightarrow \text{fsafe}(\text{nth}(\text{fst } S) i))$ 
       $\wedge (\forall i. i \geq 0 \rightarrow i < \text{length}(\text{snd } S) \rightarrow \text{fsafe}(\text{nth}(\text{snd } S) i))$ 

definition Rsafe::('sf,'sc,'sz) rule  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where Rsafe R  $\longleftrightarrow$   $((\forall i. i \geq 0 \rightarrow i < \text{length}(\text{fst } R) \rightarrow \text{Ssafe}(\text{nth}(\text{fst } R) i))$ 
       $\wedge \text{Ssafe}(\text{snd } R))$ 

— Basic reasoning principles about syntactic constructs, including inductive principles
lemma dfree-is-dsafe: dfree  $\vartheta \Rightarrow$  dsafe  $\vartheta$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma hp-induct [case-names Var Assign DiffAssign Test Evolve Choice Compose Star]:
   $(\bigwedge x. P (\$\alpha x)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $(\bigwedge x_1 x_2. P (x_1 := x_2)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $(\bigwedge x_1 x_2. P (\text{DiffAssign } x_1 x_2)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $(\bigwedge x. P (? x)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $(\bigwedge x_1 x_2. P (\text{EvolveODE } x_1 x_2)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $(\bigwedge x_1 x_2. P x_1 \Rightarrow P x_2 \Rightarrow P (x_1 \cup\cup x_2)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $(\bigwedge x_1 x_2. P x_1 \Rightarrow P x_2 \Rightarrow P (x_1 ;; x_2)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $(\bigwedge x. P x \Rightarrow P x**) \Rightarrow$ 
   $P \text{ hp}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fml-induct:
   $(\bigwedge t_1 t_2. P (\text{Geq } t_1 t_2))$ 
   $\Rightarrow (\bigwedge p \text{ args}. P (\text{Prop } p \text{ args}))$ 
   $\Rightarrow (\bigwedge p. P p \Rightarrow P (\text{Not } p))$ 
   $\Rightarrow (\bigwedge p q. P p \Rightarrow P q \Rightarrow P (\text{And } p q))$ 

```

```

 $\implies (\bigwedge x p. P p \implies P (\text{Exists } x p))$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge a p. P p \implies P (\text{Diamond } a p))$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge C p. P p \implies P (\text{InContext } C p))$ 
 $\implies P \varphi$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context ids begin
lemma proj-sing1:(singleton  $\emptyset$  vid1) =  $\emptyset$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma proj-sing2:vid1  $\neq y \implies (\text{singleton } \emptyset y) = (\text{Const } 0)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

end
theory Denotational-Semantics
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Lib
  Ids
  Syntax
begin

```

3.2 Denotational Semantics

The canonical dynamic semantics of dL are given as a denotational semantics. The important definitions for the denotational semantics are states ν , interpretations I and the semantic functions $[[\psi]]I$, $[[\theta]]I\nu$, $[[\alpha]]I$, which are represented by the Isabelle functions `fml_sem`, `dterm_sem` and `prog_sem`, respectively.

3.3 States

We formalize a state S as a pair $(S_V, S'_V) : R^n \times R^n$, where S_V assigns values to the program variables and S'_V assigns values to their differentials. Function constants are also formalized as having a fixed arity m (`Rvec_dim`) which may differ from n. If a function does not need to have m arguments, any remaining arguments can be uniformly set to 0, which simulates the effect of having functions of less arguments.

Most semantic proofs need to reason about states agreeing on variables. We say VAgree A B V if states A and B have the same values on all variables in V, similarly with VSagree A B V for simple states A and B and IAgree I J V for interpretations I and J.

type-synonym '*a* `Rvec` = `real` \sim ('*a*::finite)

— A state specifies one vector of values for unprimed variables *x* and a second vector for *x'*

type-synonym $'a\ state = 'a\ Rvec \times 'a\ Rvec$

— $'a\ simple-state$ is half a state - either the xs or the $x's$

type-synonym $'a\ simple-state = 'a\ Rvec$

definition $Vagree :: 'c::finite\ state \Rightarrow 'c\ state \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set \Rightarrow bool$

where $Vagree\ \nu\ \nu'\ V \equiv$

$$(\forall i. Inl\ i \in V \rightarrow fst\ \nu\$i = fst\ \nu'\$i)$$

$$\wedge (\forall i. Inr\ i \in V \rightarrow snd\ \nu\$i = snd\ \nu'\$i)$$

definition $VSagree :: 'c::finite\ simple-state \Rightarrow 'c\ simple-state \Rightarrow 'c\ set \Rightarrow bool$

where $VSagree\ \nu\ \nu'\ V \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in V. (\nu\$i) = (\nu'\$i))$

— Agreement lemmas

lemma $agree-nil: Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $agree-supset:A \supseteq B \Rightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \nu'\ A \Rightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \nu'\ B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $VSagree-nil: VSagree\ \nu\ \omega\ \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $VSagree-supset:A \supseteq B \Rightarrow VSagree\ \nu\ \nu'\ A \Rightarrow VSagree\ \nu\ \nu'\ B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $VSagree-UNIV-eq: VSagree\ A\ B\ UNIV \Rightarrow A = B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $agree-comm:\bigwedge A\ B\ V. Vagree\ A\ B\ V \Rightarrow Vagree\ B\ A\ V$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $agree-sub:\bigwedge \nu\ \omega\ A\ B . A \subseteq B \Rightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ B \Rightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $agree-UNIV-eq:\bigwedge \nu\ \omega. Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ UNIV \Rightarrow \nu = \omega$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $agree-UNIV-fst:\bigwedge \nu\ \omega. Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (Inl\ ` UNIV) \Rightarrow (fst\ \nu) = (fst\ \omega)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $agree-UNIV-snd:\bigwedge \nu\ \omega. Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (Inr\ ` UNIV) \Rightarrow (snd\ \nu) = (snd\ \omega)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vagree-univ:\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d. Vagree\ (a,b)\ (c,d)\ UNIV \Rightarrow a = c \wedge b = d$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $agree-union:\bigwedge \nu\ \omega\ A\ B. Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ A \Rightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ B \Rightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (A \cup B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma agree-trans: Vagree ν μ A  $\implies$  Vagree μ ω B  $\implies$  Vagree ν ω (A ∩ B)
    ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma agree-refl: Vagree ν ν A
    ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma VSagree-sub:  $\bigwedge \nu \omega A B . A \subseteq B \implies VSagree \nu \omega B \implies VSagree \nu \omega A$ 
    ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma VSagree-refl: VSagree ν ν A
    ⟨proof⟩
```

3.4 Interpretations

For convenience we pretend interpretations contain an extra field called `FunctionFrechet` specifying the Frechet derivative (`FunctionFrechet f \<nu>`) : $R^m \rightarrow R$ for every function in every state. The proposition (`is_interp I`) says that such a derivative actually exists and is continuous (i.e. all functions are C1-continuous) without saying what the exact derivative is.

The type parameters `'a`, `'b`, `'c` are finite types whose cardinalities indicate the maximum number of functions, contexts, and <everything else defined by the interpretation>, respectively.

```
record ('a, 'b, 'c) interp =
  Functions :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'c Rvec  $\Rightarrow$  real
  Predicates :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'c Rvec  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  Contexts :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c state set  $\Rightarrow$  'c state set
  Programs :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  ('c state * 'c state) set
  ODEs :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'c simple-state  $\Rightarrow$  'c simple-state
  ODEBV :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'c set
```

```
fun FunctionFrechet :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'c Rvec  $\Rightarrow$  'c
Rvec  $\Rightarrow$  real
where FunctionFrechet I i = (THE f'.  $\forall$  x. (Functions I i has-derivative f' x)
(at x))
```

— For an interpretation to be valid, all functions must be differentiable everywhere.

```
definition is-interp :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

```
where is-interp I  $\equiv$ 
```

```
 $\forall$  x.  $\forall$  i. ((FDERIV (Functions I i) x :> (FunctionFrechet I i x))  $\wedge$  continuous-on
UNIV ( $\lambda$ x. Blinfun (FunctionFrechet I i x)))
```

```
lemma is-interpD: is-interp I  $\implies$   $\forall$  x.  $\forall$  i. (FDERIV (Functions I i) x :> (FunctionFrechet
I i x))
    ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition Iagree :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::finite, 'b::finite,
'c::finite) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a + 'b + 'c) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

```
where Iagree I J V  $\equiv$ 
```

```
( $\forall$  i  $\in$  V.
```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall x. i = \text{Inl } x \longrightarrow \text{Functions } I x = \text{Functions } J x) \wedge \\
& (\forall x. i = \text{Inr } (\text{Inl } x) \longrightarrow \text{Contexts } I x = \text{Contexts } J x) \wedge \\
& (\forall x. i = \text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \longrightarrow \text{Predicates } I x = \text{Predicates } J x) \wedge \\
& (\forall x. i = \text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \longrightarrow \text{Programs } I x = \text{Programs } J x) \wedge \\
& (\forall x. i = \text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \longrightarrow \text{ODEs } I x = \text{ODEs } J x) \wedge \\
& (\forall x. i = \text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \longrightarrow \text{ODEBV } I x = \text{ODEBV } J x)
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *Iagree-Func*:*Iagree* $I J V \implies \text{Inl } f \in V \implies \text{Functions } I f = \text{Functions } J f$
f
{proof}

lemma *Iagree-Contexts*:*Iagree* $I J V \implies \text{Inr } (\text{Inl } C) \in V \implies \text{Contexts } I C = \text{Contexts } J C$
C
{proof}

lemma *Iagree-Pred*:*Iagree* $I J V \implies \text{Inr } (\text{Inr } p) \in V \implies \text{Predicates } I p = \text{Predicates } J p$
p
{proof}

lemma *Iagree-Prog*:*Iagree* $I J V \implies \text{Inr } (\text{Inr } a) \in V \implies \text{Programs } I a = \text{Programs } J a$
a
{proof}

lemma *Iagree-ODE*:*Iagree* $I J V \implies \text{Inr } (\text{Inr } a) \in V \implies \text{ODEs } I a = \text{ODEs } J a$
a
{proof}

lemma *Iagree-comm*: $\bigwedge A B V. \text{Iagree } A B V \implies \text{Iagree } B A V$
V
{proof}

lemma *Iagree-sub*: $\bigwedge I J A B. A \subseteq B \implies \text{Iagree } I J B \implies \text{Iagree } I J A$
A
B
{proof}

lemma *Iagree-refl*:*Iagree* $I I A$
A
{proof}

primrec *sterm-sem* :: $('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ interp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ trm} \Rightarrow 'c$
simple-state $\Rightarrow \text{real}$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Var } x) v = v \$ x \\
& \mid \text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Function } f \text{ args}) v = \text{Functions } I f (\chi i. \text{sterm-sem } I (\text{args } i) v) \\
& \mid \text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Plus } t_1 t_2) v = \text{sterm-sem } I t_1 v + \text{sterm-sem } I t_2 v \\
& \mid \text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Times } t_1 t_2) v = \text{sterm-sem } I t_1 v * \text{sterm-sem } I t_2 v \\
& \mid \text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Const } r) v = r \\
& \mid \text{sterm-sem } I (\$' c) v = \text{undefined} \\
& \mid \text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Differential } d) v = \text{undefined}
\end{aligned}$$

— *frechet* $I \vartheta \nu$ syntactically computes the frechet derivative of the term ϑ in the interpretation

— I at state ν (containing only the unprimed variables). The frechet derivative is a

— linear map from the differential state ν to reals.

```
primrec frechet :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) trm  $\Rightarrow$  'c simple-state  $\Rightarrow$  real
where
  frechet I (Var x) v = ( $\lambda v'. v' \cdot \text{axis } x$  1)
  | frechet I (Function f args) v =
    ( $\lambda v'. \text{FunctionFrechet } I f (\chi i. \text{stern-sem } I (\text{args } i) v) (\chi i. \text{frechet } I (\text{args } i) v v')$ )
  | frechet I (Plus t1 t2) v = ( $\lambda v'. \text{frechet } I t1 v v' + \text{frechet } I t2 v v'$ )
  | frechet I (Times t1 t2) v =
    ( $\lambda v'. \text{stern-sem } I t1 v * \text{frechet } I t2 v v' + \text{frechet } I t1 v v' * \text{stern-sem } I t2 v$ )
  | frechet I (Const r) v = ( $\lambda v'. 0$ )
  | frechet I ('$' c) v = undefined
  | frechet I (Differential d) v = undefined
```

```
definition directional-derivative :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) trm  $\Rightarrow$  'c state  $\Rightarrow$  real
where directional-derivative I t = ( $\lambda v. \text{frechet } I t (\text{fst } v) (\text{snd } v)$ )
```

— Sem for terms that are allowed to contain differentials.

— Note there is some duplication with stern-sem.

```
primrec dterm-sem :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) trm  $\Rightarrow$  'c state  $\Rightarrow$  real
where
```

```
dterm-sem I (Var x) = ( $\lambda v. \text{fst } v \$ x$ )
| dterm-sem I (DiffVar x) = ( $\lambda v. \text{snd } v \$ x$ )
| dterm-sem I (Function f args) = ( $\lambda v. \text{Functions } I f (\chi i. \text{dterm-sem } I (\text{args } i) v)$ )
| dterm-sem I (Plus t1 t2) = ( $\lambda v. (\text{dterm-sem } I t1 v) + (\text{dterm-sem } I t2 v)$ )
| dterm-sem I (Times t1 t2) = ( $\lambda v. (\text{dterm-sem } I t1 v) * (\text{dterm-sem } I t2 v)$ )
| dterm-sem I (Differential t) = ( $\lambda v. \text{directional-derivative } I t v$ )
| dterm-sem I (Const c) = ( $\lambda v. c$ )
```

The semantics of an ODE is the vector field at a given point. ODE's are all time-independent so no time variable is necessary. Terms on the RHS of an ODE must be differential-free, so depends only on the xs.

The safety predicate `osafe` ensures the domains of ODE1 and ODE2 are disjoint, so vector addition is equivalent to saying "take things defined from ODE1 from ODE1, take things defined by ODE2 from ODE2"

```
fun ODE-sem :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) ODE  $\Rightarrow$  'c Rvec
 $\Rightarrow$  'c Rvec
```

where

```
ODE-sem-OVar:ODE-sem I (OVar x) = ODEs I x
| ODE-sem-OSing:ODE-sem I (OSing x  $\vartheta$ ) = ( $\lambda v. (\chi i. \text{if } i = x \text{ then stern-sem } I \vartheta \nu \text{ else } 0)$ )
```

— Note: Could define using *SOME* operator in a way that more closely matches above description,

— but that gets complicated in the *OVar* case because not all variables are bound

by the *OVar*
 $| \text{ODE-sem-OProd} : \text{ODE-sem } I (\text{OProd } \text{ODE1 } \text{ODE2}) = (\lambda \nu. \text{ODE-sem } I \text{ ODE1} \\ \nu + \text{ODE-sem } I \text{ ODE2 } \nu)$

— The bound variables of an ODE

```
fun ODE-vars :: ('a,'b,'c) interp => ('a, 'c) ODE => 'c set
  where
    ODE-vars I (OVar c) = ODEBV I c
    | ODE-vars I (OSing x θ) = {x}
    | ODE-vars I (OProd ODE1 ODE2) = ODE-vars I ODE1 ∪ ODE-vars I ODE2

fun semBV ::('a, 'b,'c) interp => ('a, 'c) ODE => ('c + 'c) set
  where semBV I ODE = Inl ‘(ODE-vars I ODE) ∪ Inr ‘(ODE-vars I ODE)
```

lemma ODE-vars-lr:

```
fixes x::'sz and ODE::('sf,'sz) ODE and I::('sf,'sc,'sz) interp
shows Inl x ∈ semBV I ODE ↔ Inr x ∈ semBV I ODE
⟨proof⟩
```

```
fun mk-xode::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp => ('a::finite, 'c::finite) ODE =>
  'c::finite simple-state => 'c::finite state
  where mk-xode I ODE sol = (sol, ODE-sem I ODE sol)
```

Given an initial state ν and solution to an ODE at some point, construct the resulting state ω . This is defined using the SOME operator because the concrete definition is unwieldy.

```
definition mk-v::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp => ('a::finite, 'c::finite) ODE
  => 'c::finite state => 'c::finite simple-state => 'c::finite state
  where mk-v I ODE ν sol = (THE ω.
    Vagree ω ν (– semBV I ODE)
    ∧ Vagree ω (mk-xode I ODE sol) (semBV I ODE))
```

— *repv* ν x r replaces the value of (unprimed) variable x in the state ν with r

```
fun repv :: 'c::finite state => 'c => real => 'c state
  where repv v x r = ((χ y. if x = y then r else vec-nth (fst v) y), snd v)
```

— *repd* ν x' r replaces the value of (primed) variable x' in the state ν with r

```
fun repd :: 'c::finite state => 'c => real => 'c state
  where repd v x r = (fst v, (χ y. if x = y then r else vec-nth (snd v) y))
```

— Semantics for formulas, differential formulas, programs.

```
fun fml-sem :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp => ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite)
  formula => 'c::finite state set and
    prog-sem :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp => ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite)
    hp => ('c::finite state * 'c::finite state) set
  where
    fml-sem I (Geq t1 t2) = {v. dterm-sem I t1 v ≥ dterm-sem I t2 v}
    | fml-sem I (Prop P terms) = {ν. Predicates I P (χ i. dterm-sem I (terms i) ν)}
    | fml-sem I (Not φ) = {v. v ∉ fml-sem I φ}
```

```

|  $fml\text{-sem } I (\text{And } \varphi \psi) = fml\text{-sem } I \varphi \cap fml\text{-sem } I \psi$ 
|  $fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Exists } x \varphi) = \{v \mid v r. (repv v x r) \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi\}$ 
|  $fml\text{-sem } I (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi) = \{\nu \mid \nu \omega. (\nu, \omega) \in prog\text{-sem } I \alpha \wedge \omega \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi\}$ 
|  $fml\text{-sem } I (\text{InContext } c \varphi) = \text{Contexts } I c (fml\text{-sem } I \varphi)$ 

|  $prog\text{-sem } I (Pvar p) = Programs I p$ 
|  $prog\text{-sem } I (\text{Assign } x t) = \{(\nu, \omega). \omega = repv \nu x (dterm\text{-sem } I t \nu)\}$ 
|  $prog\text{-sem } I (\text{DiffAssign } x t) = \{(\nu, \omega). \omega = repd \nu x (dterm\text{-sem } I t \nu)\}$ 
|  $prog\text{-sem } I (\text{Test } \varphi) = \{(\nu, \nu) \mid \nu. \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi\}$ 
|  $prog\text{-sem } I (\text{Choice } \alpha \beta) = prog\text{-sem } I \alpha \cup prog\text{-sem } I \beta$ 
|  $prog\text{-sem } I (\text{Sequence } \alpha \beta) = prog\text{-sem } I \alpha O prog\text{-sem } I \beta$ 
|  $prog\text{-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (prog\text{-sem } I \alpha)^*$ 
|  $prog\text{-sem } I (\text{EvolveODE } ODE \varphi) =$ 
   $\{(\nu, mk\text{-}v I ODE \nu (sol t)) \mid \nu sol t.$ 
     $t \geq 0 \wedge$ 
     $(sol solves\text{-}ode (\lambda\text{-}. ODE\text{-sem } I ODE)) \{0..t\} \{x. mk\text{-}v I ODE \nu x \in fml\text{-sem }$ 
   $I \varphi\} \wedge$ 
   $sol 0 = fst \nu\}$ 

```

```

context ids begin
definition valid :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where valid  $\varphi \equiv (\forall I. \forall \nu. is\text{-interp } I \longrightarrow \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi)$ 
end

```

Because mk_v is defined with the SOME operator, need to construct a state that satisfies $Vagree \omega \nu (- \text{ODE_vars } ODE) \wedge Vagree \omega (mk\text{-}xode I ODE sol) (ODE_vars ODE)$ to do anything useful

```

fun concrete-v::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::finite, 'c::finite) ODE
 $\Rightarrow$  'c::finite state  $\Rightarrow$  'c::finite simple-state  $\Rightarrow$  'c::finite state
where concrete-v I ODE  $\nu$  sol =
 $((\chi i. (if Inl i \in semBV I ODE then sol else (fst \nu)) \$ i),$ 
 $(\chi i. (if Inr i \in semBV I ODE then ODE\text{-sem } I ODE sol else (snd \nu)) \$ i))$ 

```

```

lemma mk-v-exists: $\exists \omega. Vagree \omega \nu (- semBV I ODE)$ 
 $\wedge Vagree \omega (mk\text{-}xode I ODE sol) (semBV I ODE)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mk-v-agree: $Vagree (mk\text{-}v I ODE \nu sol) \nu (- semBV I ODE)$ 
 $\wedge Vagree (mk\text{-}v I ODE \nu sol) (mk\text{-}xode I ODE sol) (semBV I ODE)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mk-v-concrete:mk-v I ODE  $\nu$  sol =  $((\chi i. (if Inl i \in semBV I ODE then$ 
 $sol else (fst \nu)) \$ i),$ 
 $(\chi i. (if Inr i \in semBV I ODE then ODE\text{-sem } I ODE sol else (snd \nu)) \$ i))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

3.5 Trivial Simplification Lemmas

We often want to pretend the definitions in the semantics are written slightly differently than they are. Since the simplifier has some trouble guessing that these are the right simplifications to do, we write them all out explicitly as lemmas, even though they prove trivially.

lemma *svar-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Var } x) = (\lambda v. v \$ x)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sconst-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Const } r) = (\lambda v. r)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sfunction-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Function } f \text{ args}) = (\lambda v. \text{Functions } I f (\chi i. \text{sterm-sem } I (\text{args } i) v))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *splus-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Plus } t1 t2) = (\lambda v. (\text{sterm-sem } I t1 v) + (\text{sterm-sem } I t2 v))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *stimes-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Times } t1 t2) = (\lambda v. (\text{sterm-sem } I t1 v) * (\text{sterm-sem } I t2 v))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *or-sem* [*simp*]:

$$\text{fml-sem } I (\text{Or } \varphi \psi) = \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \cup \text{fml-sem } I \psi$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iff-sem* [*simp*]: $(\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (A \leftrightarrow B))$

$$\longleftrightarrow ((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I A) \longleftrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I B))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *box-sem* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I (\text{Box } \alpha \varphi) = \{\nu. \forall \omega. (\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } I \alpha$

$$\rightarrow \omega \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forall-sem* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I (\text{Forall } x \varphi) = \{v. \forall r. (\text{repv } v x r) \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *greater-sem* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I (\text{Greater } \vartheta \vartheta') = \{v. \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta v >$

$$\text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta' v\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *loop-sem*: $\text{prog-sem } I (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\text{prog-sem } I \alpha)^*$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *impl-sem* [*simp*]: $(\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (A \rightarrow B))$
 $= ((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I A) \longrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I B))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equals-sem* [*simp*]: $(\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Equals } \vartheta \vartheta'))$
 $= (\text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta \nu = \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta' \nu)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diamond-sem* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi)$
 $= \{\nu. \exists \omega. (\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } I \alpha \wedge \omega \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tt-sem* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I TT = \text{UNIV}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ff-sem* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I FF = \{\}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iff-to-impl*: $((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I A) \longleftrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I B))$
 $\longleftrightarrow (((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I A) \longrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I B))$
 $\wedge ((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I B) \longrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I A)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *seq2fml* :: $('a,'b,'c)$ sequent $\Rightarrow ('a,'b,'c)$ formula
where
 $\text{seq2fml } (\text{ante},\text{succ}) = \text{Implies} (\text{foldr And ante TT}) (\text{foldr Or succ FF})$

context *ids* **begin**
fun *seq-sem* :: $('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ interp $\Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ sequent $\Rightarrow 'sz$ state set
where $\text{seq-sem } I S = \text{fml-sem } I (\text{seq2fml } S)$

lemma *and-foldl-sem*: $\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma TT) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge \varphi. \text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi \Rightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *and-foldl-sem-conv*: $(\bigwedge \varphi. \text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi \Rightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi) \Rightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma TT)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *or-foldl-sem*: $\text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi \Rightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \Rightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr Or } \Gamma FF)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *or-foldl-sem-conv*: $\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr Or } \Gamma FF) \Rightarrow \exists \varphi. \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \wedge \text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *seq-semI'*: $(\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma TT) \Rightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr Or } \Delta FF)) \Rightarrow \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *seq-semD'*: $\bigwedge P. \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta) \Rightarrow ((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma$

$TT) \implies \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \text{ (} foldr \text{ Or } \Delta \text{ FF) } \implies P \implies P$
(proof)

definition $sublist::'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool$
where $sublist A B \equiv (\forall x. List.member A x \longrightarrow List.member B x)$

lemma $sublistI:(\bigwedge x. List.member A x \implies List.member B x) \implies sublist A B$
(proof)

lemma $\Gamma\text{-sub-sem}:sublist \Gamma 1 \Gamma 2 \implies \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \text{ (} foldr \text{ And } \Gamma 2 \text{ TT) } \implies \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \text{ (} foldr \text{ And } \Gamma 1 \text{ TT) }$
(proof)

lemma $seq\text{-semI}:List.member \Delta \psi \implies ((\bigwedge \varphi. List.member \Gamma \varphi \implies \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi) \implies \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \psi) \implies \nu \in seq\text{-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta)$
(proof)

lemma $seq\text{-semD}:\nu \in seq\text{-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta) \implies (\bigwedge \varphi. List.member \Gamma \varphi \implies \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi) \implies \exists \varphi. (List.member \Delta \varphi) \wedge \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi$
(proof)

lemma $seq\text{-MP}:\nu \in seq\text{-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta) \implies \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \text{ (} foldr \text{ And } \Gamma \text{ TT) } \implies \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \text{ (} foldr \text{ Or } \Delta \text{ FF) }$
(proof)

definition $seq\text{-valid}$
where $seq\text{-valid } S \equiv \forall I. is\text{-interp } I \longrightarrow seq\text{-sem } I S = UNIV$

Soundness for derived rules is local soundness, i.e. if the premisses are all true in the same interpretation, then the conclusion is also true in that same interpretation.

definition $sound :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow bool$
where $sound R \longleftrightarrow (\forall I. is\text{-interp } I \longrightarrow (\forall i. i \geq 0 \longrightarrow i < length (fst R) \longrightarrow seq\text{-sem } I (nth (fst R) i) = UNIV) \longrightarrow seq\text{-sem } I (snd R) = UNIV)$

lemma $soundI:(\bigwedge I. is\text{-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \geq 0 \implies i < length SG \implies seq\text{-sem } I (nth SG i) = UNIV) \implies seq\text{-sem } I G = UNIV) \implies sound (SG, G)$
(proof)

lemma $soundI':(\bigwedge I \nu. is\text{-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge i . i \geq 0 \implies i < length SG \implies \nu \in seq\text{-sem } I (nth SG i)) \implies \nu \in seq\text{-sem } I G) \implies sound (SG, G)$
(proof)

lemma $soundI\text{-mem}:(\bigwedge I. is\text{-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge \varphi. List.member SG \varphi \implies seq\text{-sem } I \varphi = UNIV) \implies seq\text{-sem } I C = UNIV) \implies sound (SG, C)$
(proof)

lemma $soundI\text{-memv}:(\bigwedge I. is\text{-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge \nu. List.member SG \nu \implies \nu \in seq\text{-sem } I \nu) \implies (\bigwedge \nu. \nu \in seq\text{-sem } I C)) \implies sound (SG, C)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *soundI-memv'*: $(\bigwedge I. \text{is-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge \varphi. \text{List.member } SG \varphi \implies \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I \varphi) \implies (\bigwedge \nu. \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I C) \implies R = (SG, C) \implies \text{sound } R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *soundD-mem:sound* $(SG, C) \implies (\bigwedge I. \text{is-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge \varphi. \text{List.member } SG \varphi \implies \text{seq-sem } I \varphi = \text{UNIV}) \implies \text{seq-sem } I C = \text{UNIV})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *soundD-memv:sound* $(SG, C) \implies (\bigwedge I. \text{is-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge \varphi \nu. \text{List.member } SG \varphi \implies \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I \varphi) \implies (\bigwedge \nu. \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I C))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
end
end
theory Axioms
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
begin context ids begin
```

4 Axioms

The uniform substitution calculus is based on a finite list of concrete axioms, which are defined and proved valid (as in sound) in this section. When axioms apply to arbitrary programs or formulas, they mention concrete program or formula variables, which are then instantiated by uniform substitution, as opposed metavariables.

This section contains axioms and rules for propositional connectives and programs other than ODE's. Differential axioms are handled separately because the proofs are significantly more involved.

named-theorems *axiom-defs* *Axiom definitions*

definition *assign-axiom* :: $('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula}$
where *[axiom-defs]:assign-axiom* \equiv
 $(([\![vid1 := (\$f fid1 empty)]\!] \text{ (Prop } vid1 \text{ (singleton (Var } vid1)))) \leftrightarrow \text{Prop } vid1 \text{ (singleton (\$f fid1 empty))})$

definition *diff-assign-axiom* :: $('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula}$
where *[axiom-defs]:diff-assign-axiom* \equiv
 $(([\![DiffAssign vid1 (\$f fid1 empty)]\!] \text{ (Prop } vid1 \text{ (singleton (DiffVar } vid1)))) \leftrightarrow \text{Prop } vid1 \text{ (singleton (\$f fid1 empty))})$

```

definition loop-iterate-axiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:loop-iterate-axiom ≡ ([[\$α vid1**]] Predicational pid1)
    ↔ ((Predicational pid1) && ([[\$α vid1]] [[\$α vid1**]] Predicational pid1))

definition test-axiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:test-axiom ≡
    ([[?(\$φ vid2 empty)]])\$φ vid1 empty) ↔ ((\$φ vid2 empty) → (\$φ vid1 empty))

definition box-axiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:box-axiom ≡ (<\$α vid1>) Predicational pid1) ↔ !([[\$α vid1]])! (Predicational pid1))

definition choice-axiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:choice-axiom ≡ ([[\$α vid1 ∪ \$α vid2]] Predicational pid1)
    ↔ ((([[\$α vid1]] Predicational pid1) && ([[\$α vid2]] Predicational pid1)))

definition compose-axiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:compose-axiom ≡ ([[\$α vid1 ; \$α vid2]] Predicational pid1) ↔
    ([[\$α vid1]][[ \$α vid2]] Predicational pid1)

definition Kaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:Kaxiom ≡ ([[\$α vid1]]((Predicational pid1) → (Predicational pid2)))
    → ([[\$α vid1]] Predicational pid1) → ([[\$α vid1]] Predicational pid2)

definition Iaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:Iaxiom ≡
    ([[(\$α vid1)**]](Predicational pid1 → ([[\$α vid1]] Predicational pid1)))
    → ((Predicational pid1 → ([[(\$α vid1)**]] Predicational pid1)))

definition Vaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:Vaxiom ≡ (\$φ vid1 empty) → ([[\$α vid1]](\$φ vid1 empty))

```

4.1 Validity proofs for axioms

Because an axiom in a uniform substitution calculus is an individual formula, proving the validity of that formula suffices to prove soundness

theorem test-valid: valid test-axiom
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma assign-lem1:
dterm-sem I (if i = vid1 then Var vid1 else (Const 0))
    (vec-lambda (λy. if vid1 = y then Functions I fid1
    (vec-lambda (λi. dterm-sem I (empty i) ν)) else vec-nth (fst ν) y), snd ν)
    =

```

dterm-sem I (*if* $i = \text{vid1}$ *then* $\$f\text{fid1}$ *empty* *else* (*Const* 0)) ν
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-assign-lem1*:
dterm-sem I (*if* $i = \text{vid1}$ *then* *DiffVar* vid1 *else* (*Const* 0))
 $(\text{fst } \nu, \text{vec-lambda } (\lambda y. \text{if } \text{vid1} = y \text{ then } \text{Functions } I \text{ fid1 } (\text{vec-lambda } (\lambda i. \text{dterm-sem } I \text{ (empty } i \text{) } \nu) \text{) else } \text{vec-nth } (\text{snd } \nu) y))$
 $=$
dterm-sem I (*if* $i = \text{vid1}$ *then* $\$f\text{fid1}$ *empty* *else* (*Const* 0)) ν
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *assign-valid*: *valid assign-axiom*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *diff-assign-valid*: *valid diff-assign-axiom*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem-to-nonempty*: $\omega \in S \implies (S \neq \{\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *loop-forward*: $\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I ([\$\alpha \text{ id1}**] \text{Predicational pid1})$
 $\longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Predicational pid1} \& \& [\$\alpha \text{ id1}] [\$\alpha \text{ id1}**] \text{Predicational pid1})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *loop-backward*:
 $\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Predicational pid1} \& \& [\$\alpha \text{ id1}] [\$\alpha \text{ id1}**] \text{Predicational pid1})$
 $\longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I ([\$\alpha \text{ id1}**] \text{Predicational pid1})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *loop-valid*: *valid loop-iterate-axiom*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *box-valid*: *valid box-axiom*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *choice-valid*: *valid choice-axiom*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *compose-valid*: *valid compose-axiom*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *K-valid*: *valid Kaxiom*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *I-axiom-lemma*:
fixes $I::('sf,'sc,'sz)$ *interp* **and** ν
assumes *is-interp* I
assumes $IS:\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I ([\$\alpha \text{ vid1}**] (\text{Predicational pid1} \rightarrow$

$[[\$\alpha \ vid1]]$ Predicational pid1))
assumes BC: $\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I$ (Predicational pid1)
shows $\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I$ ($[[\$\alpha \ vid1**]]$ (Predicational pid1))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem I-valid: valid Iaxiom
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem V-valid: valid Vaxiom
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition G-holds :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp \Rightarrow bool
where G-holds $\varphi \alpha \equiv$ valid $\varphi \longrightarrow$ valid ($[[\alpha]]\varphi$)

definition Skolem-holds :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow bool
where Skolem-holds $\varphi \ var \equiv$ valid $\varphi \longrightarrow$ valid (Forall var φ)

definition MP-holds :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula \Rightarrow bool
where MP-holds $\varphi \psi \equiv$ valid $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \longrightarrow$ valid $\varphi \longrightarrow$ valid ψ

definition CT-holds :: 'sf \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) trm \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) trm \Rightarrow bool
where CT-holds $g \vartheta \vartheta' \equiv$ valid (Equals $\vartheta \vartheta'$)
 \longrightarrow valid (Equals (Function g (singleton ϑ)) (Function g (singleton ϑ')))

definition CQ-holds :: 'sz \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) trm \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) trm \Rightarrow bool
where CQ-holds $p \vartheta \vartheta' \equiv$ valid (Equals $\vartheta \vartheta'$)
 \longrightarrow valid ((Prop p (singleton ϑ)) \leftrightarrow (Prop p (singleton ϑ')))

definition CE-holds :: 'sc \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula \Rightarrow bool
where CE-holds $\varphi \psi \equiv$ valid $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$
 \longrightarrow valid (InContext var $\varphi \leftrightarrow$ InContext var ψ)

4.2 Soundness proofs for rules

theorem G-sound: G-holds $\varphi \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem Skolem-sound: Skolem-holds $\varphi \ var$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem MP-sound: MP-holds $\varphi \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma CT-lemma: $\bigwedge I:(\text{'sf::finite}, \text{'sc::finite}, \text{'sz::}\{\text{finite,linorder}\})$ interp. $\bigwedge a:(\text{real}, \text{'sz})$ vec. $\bigwedge b:(\text{real}, \text{'sz})$ vec. $\forall I:(\text{'sf}, \text{'sc}, \text{'sz})$ interp. is-interp $I \longrightarrow (\forall a \ b. \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta(a, b) = \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta'(a, b)) \implies$
is-interp $I \implies$
Functions I var (vec-lambda ($\lambda i. \text{dterm-sem } I$ (if $i = \text{vid1}$ then ϑ else

```

(Const 0)) (a, b))) =
  Functions I var (vec-lambda ( $\lambda i$ . dterm-sem I (if  $i = vid1$  then  $\vartheta'$  else
(Const 0)) (a, b)))
⟨proof⟩

theorem CT-sound: CT-holds var  $\vartheta \vartheta'$ 
⟨proof⟩

theorem CQ-sound: CQ-holds var  $\vartheta \vartheta'$ 
⟨proof⟩

theorem CE-sound: CE-holds var  $\varphi \psi$ 
⟨proof⟩
end end
theory Frechet-Correctness
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Ids
begin
context ids begin

```

5 Characterization of Term Derivatives

This section builds up to a proof that in well-formed interpretations, all terms have derivatives, and those derivatives agree with the expected rules of derivatives. In particular, we show the [frechet] function given in the denotational semantics is the true Frechet derivative of a term. From this theorem we can recover all the standard derivative identities as corollaries.

```

lemma inner-prod-eq:
  fixes  $i: 'a::finite$ 
  shows  $(\lambda(v: 'a Rvec). v \cdot axis i 1) = (\lambda(v: 'a Rvec). v \$ i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem svar-deriv:
  fixes  $x: 'sv::finite$  and  $\nu: 'sv Rvec$  and  $F::real filter$ 
  shows  $((\lambda v. v \$ x) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda v'. v' \cdot (\chi i. if i = x then 1 else 0))) \text{ (at } \nu\text{)}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma function-case-inner:
  assumes good-interp:
     $(\forall x i. (Functions I i \text{ has-derivative FunctionFrechet } I i x) \text{ (at } x\text{)})$ 
  assumes IH: $((\lambda v. \chi i. sterm-sem I (args i) v)$ 
     $\text{ has-derivative } (\lambda v. (\chi i. frechet I (args i) \nu v)) \text{ (at } \nu\text{)})$ 
  shows  $((\lambda v. Functions I f (\chi i. sterm-sem I (args i) v))$ 
     $\text{ has-derivative } (\lambda v. frechet I (\$ff args) \nu v)) \text{ (at } \nu\text{)}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma func-lemma2:( $\forall x i.$  (Functions I i has-derivative (THE f'.  $\forall x.$  (Functions I i has-derivative f' x) (at x))  $x$ ) (at x)  $\wedge$ 
continuous-on UNIV ( $\lambda x.$  Blinfun ((THE f'.  $\forall x.$  (Functions I i has-derivative f' x) (at x))  $x$ )))  $\implies$ 
( $\bigwedge \vartheta.$   $\vartheta \in \text{range args} \implies$  (stern-sem I  $\vartheta$  has-derivative frechet I  $\vartheta$   $\nu$ ) (at  $\nu$ ))
 $\implies$ 
(( $\lambda v.$  Functions I f (vec-lambda( $\lambda i.$  stern-sem I (args i) v))) has-derivative
( $\lambda v'.$  (THE f'.  $\forall x.$  (Functions I f has-derivative f' x) (at x)) ( $\chi i.$  stern-sem I (args i) v) ( $\chi i.$  frechet I (args i) v v')) (at  $\nu$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma func-lemma:

```

is-interp I  $\implies$ 
( $\bigwedge \vartheta :: ('a::finite, 'c::finite) \text{trm}.$   $\vartheta \in \text{range args} \implies$  (stern-sem I  $\vartheta$  has-derivative
frechet I  $\vartheta$   $\nu$ ) (at  $\nu$ ))  $\implies$ 
(stern-sem I ($ff args) has-derivative frechet I ($ff args)  $\nu$ ) (at  $\nu$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

The syntactic definition of term derivatives agrees with the semantic definition. Since the syntactic definition of derivative is total, this gives us that derivatives are "decidable" for terms (modulo computations on reals) and that they obey all the expected identities, which gives us the axioms we want for differential terms essentially for free.

lemma frechet-correctness:

```

fixes I :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp and  $\nu$ 
assumes good-interp: is-interp I
shows dfree  $\vartheta \implies$  FDERIV (stern-sem I  $\vartheta$ )  $\nu :>$  (frechet I  $\vartheta$   $\nu$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

If terms are semantically equivalent in all states, so are their derivatives

lemma stern-determines-frechet:

```

fixes I ::('a1::finite, 'b1::finite, 'c::finite) interp
and J ::('a2::finite, 'b2::finite, 'c::finite) interp
and  $\vartheta_1 :: ('a1::finite, 'c::finite) \text{trm}$ 
and  $\vartheta_2 :: ('a2::finite, 'c::finite) \text{trm}$ 
and  $\nu$ 
assumes good-interp1:is-interp I
assumes good-interp2:is-interp J
assumes free1:dfree  $\vartheta_1$ 
assumes free2:dfree  $\vartheta_2$ 
assumes sem:stern-sem I  $\vartheta_1 =$  stern-sem J  $\vartheta_2$ 
shows frechet I  $\vartheta_1$  (fst  $\nu$ ) (snd  $\nu$ ) = frechet J  $\vartheta_2$  (fst  $\nu$ ) (snd  $\nu$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma the-deriv:

```

assumes deriv:(f has-derivative F) (at x)
shows (THE G. (f has-derivative G) (at x)) = F

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma the-all-deriv:
  assumes deriv: $\forall x. (f \text{ has-derivative } F x) \text{ (at } x)$ 
  shows (THE G.  $\forall x. (f \text{ has-derivative } G x) \text{ (at } x)) = F$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

typedef ('a, 'c) strm = { $\vartheta :: ('a, 'c) \text{ term. } dfree \vartheta$ }
  morphisms raw-term simple-term
  ⟨proof⟩

typedef ('a, 'b, 'c) good-interp = { $I :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ interp. } is\text{-}interp$ 
 $I$ }
  morphisms raw-interp good-interp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frechet-linear:
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  fixes v  $\vartheta$ 
  shows dfree  $\vartheta \implies$  bounded-linear (frechet I  $\vartheta$  v)
⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-good-interp

setup-lifting type-definition-strm

lift-definition blin-frechet:(sf, sc, sz) good-interp  $\Rightarrow$  (sf, sz) strm  $\Rightarrow$  (real, sz)
vec  $\Rightarrow$  (real, sz) vec  $\Rightarrow_L$  real is frechet
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [simp] = blin-frechet.rep-eq

lemma frechet-blin:is-interp I  $\implies$  dfree  $\vartheta \implies (\lambda v. Blinfun (\lambda v'. frechet I \vartheta v v'))$ 
= blin-frechet (good-interp I) (simple-term  $\vartheta$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma sterm-continuous:
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows dfree  $\vartheta \implies$  continuous-on UNIV (stern-sem I  $\vartheta$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma sterm-continuous':
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows dfree  $\vartheta \implies$  continuous-on S (stern-sem I  $\vartheta$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma frechet-continuous:
  fixes I :: (sf, sc, sz) interp
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I

```

```

shows dfree  $\vartheta \implies$  continuous-on UNIV (blin-frechet (good-interp I) (simple-term
 $\vartheta))$ 
⟨proof⟩
end end
theory Static-Semantics
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
begin

```

6 Static Semantics

This section introduces functions for computing properties of the static semantics, specifically the following dependencies:

- Signatures: Symbols (from the interpretation) which influence the result of a term, ode, formula, program
- Free variables: Variables (from the state) which influence the result of a term, ode, formula, program
- Bound variables: Variables (from the state) that *might* be influenced by a program
- Must-bound variables: Variables (from the state) that are *always* influenced by a program (i.e. will never depend on anything other than the free variables of that program)

We also prove basic lemmas about these definitions, but their overall correctness is proved elsewhere in the Bound Effect and Coincidence theorems.

6.1 Signature Definitions

```

primrec SIGT :: ('a, 'c) trm  $\Rightarrow$  'a set
where
  SIGT (Var var) = {}
  | SIGT (Const r) = {}
  | SIGT (Function var f) = {var}  $\cup$  ( $\bigcup$  i. SIGT (f i))
  | SIGT (Plus t1 t2) = SIGT t1  $\cup$  SIGT t2
  | SIGT (Times t1 t2) = SIGT t1  $\cup$  SIGT t2
  | SIGT (DiffVar x) = {}
  | SIGT (Differential t) = SIGT t

```

```

primrec SIGO :: ('a, 'c) ODE  $\Rightarrow$  ('a + 'c) set

```

```

where
|  $SIGO(OVar c) = \{Inr c\}$ 
|  $SIGO(OSing x \vartheta) = \{Inl x \mid x. x \in SIGT \vartheta\}$ 
|  $SIGO(OProd ODE1 ODE2) = SIGO ODE1 \cup SIGO ODE2$ 

primrec  $SIGP :: ('a, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('a + 'b + 'c) set$ 
and  $SIGF :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula \Rightarrow ('a + 'b + 'c) set$ 
where
|  $SIGP(Pvar var) = \{Inr (Inr var)\}$ 
|  $SIGP(Assign var t) = \{Inl x \mid x. x \in SIGT t\}$ 
|  $SIGP(DiffAssign var t) = \{Inl x \mid x. x \in SIGT t\}$ 
|  $SIGP(Test p) = SIGF p$ 
|  $SIGP(EvolveODE ODE p) = SIGF p \cup \{Inl x \mid x. Inl x \in SIGO ODE\} \cup \{Inr (Inr x) \mid x. Inr x \in SIGO ODE\}$ 
|  $SIGP(Choice a b) = SIGP a \cup SIGP b$ 
|  $SIGP(Sequence a b) = SIGP a \cup SIGP b$ 
|  $SIGP(Loop a) = SIGP a$ 
|  $SIGF(Geq t1 t2) = \{Inl x \mid x. x \in SIGT t1 \cup SIGT t2\}$ 
|  $SIGF(Prop var args) = \{Inr (Inr var)\} \cup \{Inl x \mid x. x \in (\bigcup i. SIGT(args i))\}$ 
|  $SIGF(Not p) = SIGF p$ 
|  $SIGF(And p1 p2) = SIGF p1 \cup SIGF p2$ 
|  $SIGF(Exists var p) = SIGF p$ 
|  $SIGF(Diamond a p) = SIGP a \cup SIGF p$ 
|  $SIGF(InContext var p) = \{Inr (Inl var)\} \cup SIGF p$ 

fun  $primify :: ('a + 'a) \Rightarrow ('a + 'a) set$ 
where
|  $primify(Inl x) = \{Inl x, Inr x\}$ 
|  $primify(Inr x) = \{Inl x, Inr x\}$ 

```

6.2 Variable Binding Definitions

We represent the (free or bound or must-bound) variables of a term as an (id + id) set, where all the (Inl x) elements are unprimed variables x and all the (Inr x) elements are primed variables x'.

Free variables of a term

```

primrec  $FVT :: ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set$ 
where
|  $FVT(Var x) = \{Inl x\}$ 
|  $FVT(Const x) = \{\}$ 
|  $FVT(Function f args) = (\bigcup i. FVT(args i))$ 
|  $FVT(Plus f g) = FVT f \cup FVT g$ 
|  $FVT(Times f g) = FVT f \cup FVT g$ 
|  $FVT(Differential f) = (\bigcup x \in (FVT f). primify x)$ 
|  $FVT(DiffVar x) = \{Inr x\}$ 

fun  $FVDiff :: ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set$ 
where  $FVDiff f = (\bigcup x \in (FVT f). primify x)$ 

```

Free variables of an ODE includes both the bound variables and the terms

```
fun FVO :: ('a, 'c) ODE  $\Rightarrow$  'c set
where
  FVO (OVar c) = UNIV
  | FVO (OSing x v) = {x}  $\cup$  {x . Inl x  $\in$  FVT v}
  | FVO (OProd ODE1 ODE2) = FVO ODE1  $\cup$  FVO ODE2
```

Bound variables of ODEs, formulas, programs

```
fun BVO :: ('a, 'c) ODE  $\Rightarrow$  ('c + 'c) set
where
  BVO (OVar c) = UNIV
  | BVO (OSing x v) = {Inl x, Inr x}
  | BVO (OProd ODE1 ODE2) = BVO ODE1  $\cup$  BVO ODE2
```

```
fun BVF :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('c + 'c) set
and BVP :: ('a, 'b, 'c) hp  $\Rightarrow$  ('c + 'c) set
```

```
where
  BVF (Geq f g) = {}
  | BVF (Prop p dfunc-args) = {}
  | BVF (Not p) = BVF p
  | BVF (And p q) = BVF p  $\cup$  BVF q
  | BVF (Exists x p) = {Inl x}  $\cup$  BVF p
  | BVF (Diamond α p) = BVP α  $\cup$  BVF p
  | BVF (InContext C p) = UNIV

  | BVP (Pvar a) = UNIV
  | BVP (Assign x v) = {Inl x}
  | BVP (DiffAssign x v) = {Inr x}
  | BVP (Test φ) = {}
  | BVP (EvolveODE ODE φ) = BVO ODE
  | BVP (Choice α β) = BVP α  $\cup$  BVP β
  | BVP (Sequence α β) = BVP α  $\cup$  BVP β
  | BVP (Loop α) = BVP α
```

Must-bound variables (of a program)

```
fun MBV :: ('a, 'b, 'c) hp  $\Rightarrow$  ('c + 'c) set
where
  MBV (Pvar a) = {}
  | MBV (Choice α β) = MBV α  $\cap$  MBV β
  | MBV (Sequence α β) = MBV α  $\cup$  MBV β
  | MBV (Loop α) = {}
  | MBV (EvolveODE ODE -) = (Inl ‘(ODE-dom ODE))  $\cup$  (Inr ‘(ODE-dom ODE))
  | MBV α = BVP α
```

Free variables of a formula, free variables of a program

```
fun FVF :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('c + 'c) set
and FVP :: ('a, 'b, 'c) hp  $\Rightarrow$  ('c + 'c) set
where
```

$$\begin{aligned}
FVF(Geq f g) &= FVT f \cup FVT g \\
| FVF(Prop p args) &= (\bigcup i. FVT(args i)) \\
| FVF(Not p) &= FVF p \\
| FVF(And p q) &= FVF p \cup FVF q \\
| FVF(Exists x p) &= FVF p - \{Inl x\} \\
| FVF(Diamond \alpha p) &= FVP \alpha \cup (FVF p - MBV \alpha) \\
| FVF(InContext C p) &= UNIV \\
| FVP(Pvar a) &= UNIV \\
| FVP(Assign x \vartheta) &= FVT \vartheta \\
| FVP(DiffAssign x \vartheta) &= FVT \vartheta \\
| FVP(Test \varphi) &= FVF \varphi \\
| FVP(EvolveODE ODE \varphi) &= BVO ODE \cup (Inl \cdot FVO ODE) \cup FVF \varphi \\
| FVP(Choice \alpha \beta) &= FVP \alpha \cup FVP \beta \\
| FVP(Sequence \alpha \beta) &= FVP \alpha \cup (FVP \beta - MBV \alpha) \\
| FVP(Loop \alpha) &= FVP \alpha
\end{aligned}$$

6.3 Lemmas for reasoning about static semantics

lemma *primify-contains*: $x \in \text{primify } x$
(proof)

lemma *FVDiff-sub*: $FVT f \subseteq FVDiff f$
(proof)

lemma *fvdiff-plus1*: $FVDiff(Plus t1 t2) = FVDiff t1 \cup FVDiff t2$
(proof)

lemma *agree-func-fvt*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVT(\text{Function } f \text{ args})) \implies Vagree \nu \nu' (FVT(\text{args } i))$
(proof)

lemma *agree-plus1*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff(Plus t1 t2)) \implies Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff t1)$
(proof)

lemma *agree-plus2*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff(Plus t1 t2)) \implies Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff t2)$
(proof)

lemma *agree-times1*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff(Times t1 t2)) \implies Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff t1)$
(proof)

lemma *agree-times2*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff(Times t1 t2)) \implies Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff t2)$
(proof)

lemma *agree-func*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff(\$f \text{ var } \text{args})) \implies (\bigwedge i. Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff(\text{args } i)))$

```

⟨proof⟩

end
theory Coincidence
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
  Static-Semantics
begin

```

7 Coincidence Theorems and Corollaries

This section proves coincidence: semantics of terms, odes, formulas and programs depend only on the free variables. This is one of the major lemmas for the correctness of uniform substitutions. Along the way, we also prove the equivalence between two similar, but different semantics for ODE programs: It does not matter whether the semantics of ODE's insist on the existence of a solution that agrees with the start state on all variables vs. one that agrees only on the variables that are actually relevant to the ODE. This is proven here by simultaneous induction with the coincidence theorem for the following reason:

The reason for having two different semantics is that some proofs are easier with one semantics and other proofs are easier with the other definition. The coincidence proof is either with the more complicated definition, which should not be used as the main definition because it would make the specification for the dL semantics significantly larger, effectively increasing the size of the trusted core. However, that the proof of equivalence between the semantics using the coincidence lemma for formulas. In order to use the coincidence proof in the equivalence proof and the equivalence proof in the coincidence proof, they are proved by simultaneous induction.

```
context ids begin
```

7.1 Term Coincidence Theorems

```
lemma coincidence-sterm:Vagree ν ν' (FVT ϑ) ⇒ sterm-sem I ϑ (fst ν) = sterm-sem I ϑ (fst ν')
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma coincidence-sterm':dfree ϑ ⇒ Vagree ν ν' (FVT ϑ) ⇒ Iagree I J {Inl x | x ∈ SIGT ϑ} ⇒ sterm-sem I ϑ (fst ν) = sterm-sem J ϑ (fst ν')
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma sum-unique-nonzero:
  fixes i::'sv::finite and f::'sv  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes restZero: $\bigwedge j. j \in (\text{UNIV}::\text{'sv set}) \Rightarrow j \neq i \Rightarrow f j = 0$ 
  shows ( $\sum j \in (\text{UNIV}::\text{'sv set}). f j$ ) = f i
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coincidence-frechet :
  fixes I :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp and  $\nu :: 'c \text{ state}$  and  $\nu' :: 'c \text{ state}$ 
  shows dfree  $\vartheta \Rightarrow \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' (\text{FVDiff } \vartheta) \Rightarrow \text{frechet } I \vartheta (\text{fst } \nu) (\text{snd } \nu) =$ 
   $\text{frechet } I \vartheta (\text{fst } \nu') (\text{snd } \nu')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coincidence-frechet' :
  fixes I J :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp and  $\nu :: 'c \text{ state}$  and  $\nu' :: 'c \text{ state}$ 
  shows dfree  $\vartheta \Rightarrow \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' (\text{FVDiff } \vartheta) \Rightarrow \text{Iagree } I J \{ \text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in (\text{SIGT } \vartheta) \} \Rightarrow \text{frechet } I \vartheta (\text{fst } \nu) (\text{snd } \nu) = \text{frechet } J \vartheta (\text{fst } \nu') (\text{snd } \nu')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coincidence-dterm:
  fixes I :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp and  $\nu :: 'c \text{ state}$  and  $\nu' :: 'c \text{ state}$ 
  shows dsafe  $\vartheta \Rightarrow \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' (\text{FVT } \vartheta) \Rightarrow \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta \nu = \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta \nu'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coincidence-dterm':
  fixes I J :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp and  $\nu :: 'c::\text{finite state}$  and
   $\nu' :: 'c::\text{finite state}$ 
  shows dsafe  $\vartheta \Rightarrow \text{Vagree } \nu \nu' (\text{FVT } \vartheta) \Rightarrow \text{Iagree } I J \{ \text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in (\text{SIGT } \vartheta) \} \Rightarrow \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta \nu = \text{dterm-sem } J \vartheta \nu'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

7.2 ODE Coincidence Theorems

```

lemma coincidence-ode:
  fixes I J :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp and  $\nu :: 'c::\text{finite state}$  and
   $\nu' :: 'c::\text{finite state}$ 
  shows osafe ODE  $\Rightarrow$ 
    Vagree  $\nu \nu' (\text{Inl } ' \text{FVO ODE}) \Rightarrow$ 
    Iagree I J ( $\{ \text{Inl } x \mid x. \text{Inl } x \in \text{SIGO ODE} \} \cup \{ \text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. \text{Inr } x \in \text{SIGO ODE} \}$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ODE-sem I ODE (fst  $\nu$ ) = ODE-sem J ODE (fst  $\nu'$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coincidence-ode':
  fixes I J :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp and  $\nu :: 'c \text{ simple-state}$  and
   $\nu' :: 'c \text{ simple-state}$ 
  shows osafe ODE  $\Rightarrow$ 
    VSagree  $\nu \nu' (\text{FVO ODE}) \Rightarrow$ 
    Iagree I J ( $\{ \text{Inl } x \mid x. \text{Inl } x \in \text{SIGO ODE} \} \cup \{ \text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. \text{Inr } x \in \text{SIGO ODE} \}$ )

```

$SIGO\ ODE\}) \implies$
 $\quad ODE\text{-sem } I\ ODE\ \nu = ODE\text{-sem } J\ ODE\ \nu'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alt-sem-lemma*: $\bigwedge I::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ interp. } \bigwedge ODE::('a::finite, 'c::finite)$
 $\quad ODE. \bigwedge sol. \bigwedge t::real. \bigwedge ab. osafe ODE \implies$
 $\quad ODE\text{-sem } I\ ODE\ (sol\ t) = ODE\text{-sem } I\ ODE\ (\chi\ i. \text{ if } i \in FVO\ ODE \text{ then } sol\ t\$$
 $\quad i \text{ else } ab\$i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bvo-to-fvo*: $Inl\ x \in BVO\ ODE \implies x \in FVO\ ODE$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ode-to-fvo*: $x \in ODE\text{-vars } I\ ODE \implies x \in FVO\ ODE$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *coincide-hp* :: $('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) hp \Rightarrow ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ interp} \Rightarrow ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ interp} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\text{coincide-hp } \alpha\ I\ J \longleftrightarrow (\forall \nu\ \nu'\ \mu\ V. \text{Iagree } I\ J\ (\text{SIGP } \alpha) \longrightarrow \text{Vagree } \nu\ \nu' V \longrightarrow V \supseteq (\text{FVP } \alpha) \longrightarrow (\nu, \mu) \in \text{prog-sem } I\ \alpha \longrightarrow (\exists \mu'. (\nu', \mu') \in \text{prog-sem } J\ \alpha \wedge \text{Vagree } \mu\ \mu' (\text{MBV } \alpha \cup V)))$

definition *ode-sem-equiv* :: $('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) hp \Rightarrow ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ interp} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\text{ode-sem-equiv } \alpha\ I \longleftrightarrow$
 $\quad (\forall ODE::('a::finite, 'c::finite) ODE. \forall \varphi::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ formula. osafe } ODE \longrightarrow \text{fsafe } \varphi \longrightarrow$
 $\quad (\alpha = \text{EvolveODE } ODE\ \varphi) \longrightarrow$
 $\quad \{(\nu, \text{mk-v } I\ ODE\ \nu\ (sol\ t)) \mid \nu\ sol\ t.$
 $\quad t \geq 0 \wedge$
 $\quad (sol\ solves-ode\ (\lambda-. ODE\text{-sem } I\ ODE))\ \{0..t\}\ \{x. \text{mk-v } I\ ODE\ \nu\ x \in \text{fml-sem } I\ \varphi\} \wedge$
 $\quad VSagree\ (sol\ 0)\ (\text{fst } \nu)\ \{x \mid x. Inl\ x \in \text{FVP } (\text{EvolveODE } ODE\ \varphi)\} =$
 $\quad \{(\nu, \text{mk-v } I\ ODE\ \nu\ (sol\ t)) \mid \nu\ sol\ t.$
 $\quad t \geq 0 \wedge$
 $\quad (sol\ solves-ode\ (\lambda-. ODE\text{-sem } I\ ODE))\ \{0..t\}\ \{x. \text{mk-v } I\ ODE\ \nu\ x \in \text{fml-sem } I\ \varphi\} \wedge$
 $\quad sol\ 0 = \text{fst } \nu\}$

definition *coincide-hp'* :: $('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) hp \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\text{coincide-hp}'\ \alpha \longleftrightarrow (\forall I\ J. \text{coincide-hp } \alpha\ I\ J \wedge \text{ode-sem-equiv } \alpha\ I)$

definition *coincide-fml* :: $('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\text{coincide-fml } \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\forall \nu\ \nu'\ I\ J. \text{Iagree } I\ J\ (\text{SIGF } \varphi) \longrightarrow \text{Vagree } \nu\ \nu' (\text{FVF } \varphi) \longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I\ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \nu' \in \text{fml-sem } J\ \varphi)$

lemma *coinc-fml* [simp]: $\text{coincide-fml } \varphi = (\forall \nu\ \nu'\ I\ J. \text{Iagree } I\ J\ (\text{SIGF } \varphi) \longrightarrow \text{Vagree } \nu\ \nu' (\text{FVF } \varphi) \longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I\ \varphi \longleftrightarrow \nu' \in \text{fml-sem } J\ \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.3 Coincidence Theorems for Programs and Formulas

```
lemma coincidence-hp-fml:
  fixes  $\alpha::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite)$  hp
  fixes  $\varphi::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite)$  formula
  shows ( $hpsafe \alpha \rightarrow coincide-hp' \alpha$ )  $\wedge$  ( $fsafe \varphi \rightarrow coincide-fml \varphi$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma coincidence-formula: $\bigwedge \nu \nu' I J. fsafe (\varphi::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) formula) \implies Iagree I J (SIGF \varphi) \implies Vagree \nu \nu' (FVF \varphi) \implies (\nu \in fml-sem I \varphi \longleftrightarrow \nu' \in fml-sem J \varphi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma coincidence-hp:
  fixes  $\nu \nu' \mu V I J$ 
  assumes safe:hpsafe ( $\alpha::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite)$  hp)
  assumes IA:Iagree I J (SIGP α)
  assumes VA:Vagree ν ν' V
  assumes sub:V ⊇ (FVP α)
  assumes sem:( $\nu, \mu$ ) ∈ prog-sem I α
  shows ( $\exists \mu'. (\nu', \mu') \in \text{prog-sem } J \alpha \wedge Vagree \mu \mu' (MBV \alpha \cup V)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

7.4 Corollaries: Alternate ODE semantics definition

```
lemma ode-sem-eq:
  fixes I::('a::finite,'b::finite,'c::finite) interp and ODE::('a,'c) ODE and φ::('a,'b,'c) formula
  assumes osafe:osafe ODE
  assumes fsafe:fsafe φ
  shows
    ( $\{(\nu, mk-v I ODE \nu (sol t)) \mid \nu sol t.$ 
       $t \geq 0 \wedge$ 
       $(sol solves-ode (\lambda-. ODE-sem I ODE)) \{0..t\} \{x. mk-v I ODE \nu x \in fml-sem I \varphi\} \wedge$ 
       $VSagree (sol 0) (fst \nu) \{x \mid x. Inl x \in FVP (EvolveODE ODE \varphi)\}\}) =$ 
    ( $\{(\nu, mk-v I ODE \nu (sol t)) \mid \nu sol t.$ 
       $t \geq 0 \wedge$ 
       $(sol solves-ode (\lambda-. ODE-sem I ODE)) \{0..t\} \{x. mk-v I ODE \nu x \in fml-sem I \varphi\} \wedge$ 
       $(sol 0) = (fst \nu)\}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ode-alt-sem: $\bigwedge I::('a::finite,'b::finite,'c::finite) interp. \bigwedge ODE::('a,'c) ODE.$ 
 $\bigwedge \varphi::('a,'b,'c) formula. osafe ODE \implies fsafe \varphi \implies$ 
 $prog-sem I (EvolveODE ODE \varphi)$ 
 $=$ 
 $\{(\nu, mk-v I ODE \nu (sol t)) \mid \nu sol t.$ 
 $t \geq 0 \wedge$ 
 $(sol solves-ode (\lambda-. ODE-sem I ODE)) \{0..t\} \{x. mk-v I ODE \nu x \in fml-sem$ 
```

```

 $I \varphi \} \wedge$ 
 $VSagree (sol 0) (fst \nu) \{x \mid x. Inl x \in FVP (EvolveODE ODE \varphi)\}$ 

⟨proof⟩
end
end
theory Bound-Effect
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
  Static-Semantics
  Coincidence
begin

```

8 Bound Effect Theorem

The bound effect lemma says that a program can only modify its bound variables and nothing else. This is one of the major lemmas for showing correctness of uniform substitution.

```

context ids begin
lemma bound-effect:
  fixes I::('sf,'sc,'sz) interp
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows  $\bigwedge \nu :: 'sz state. \bigwedge \omega :: 'sz state. hpsafe \alpha \implies (\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } I \alpha \implies$ 
   $Vagree \nu \omega (- (BVP \alpha))$ 
⟨proof⟩
end end
theory Differential-Axioms
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
  Axioms
  Coincidence
begin context ids begin

```

9 Differential Axioms

Differential axioms fall into two categories: Axioms for computing the derivatives of terms and axioms for proving properties of ODEs. The derivative

axioms are all corollaries of the frechet correctness theorem. The ODE axioms are more involved, often requiring extensive use of the ODE libraries.

9.1 Derivative Axioms

```

definition diff-const-axiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:diff-const-axiom ≡ Equals (Differential ($f fid1 empty)) (Const 0)

definition diff-var-axiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:diff-var-axiom ≡ Equals (Differential (Var vid1)) (DiffVar vid1)

definition state-fun ::'sf ⇒ ('sf, 'sz) trm
where [axiom-defs]:state-fun f = ($f f (λi. Var i))

definition diff-plus-axiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:diff-plus-axiom ≡ Equals (Differential (Plus (state-fun fid1) (state-fun fid2)))
    (Plus (Differential (state-fun fid1)) (Differential (state-fun fid2)))

definition diff-times-axiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:diff-times-axiom ≡ Equals (Differential (Times (state-fun fid1) (state-fun fid2)))
    (Plus (Times (Differential (state-fun fid1)) (state-fun fid2))
        (Times (state-fun fid1) (Differential (state-fun fid2)))))

— [y=g(x)][y'=1](f(g(x))' = f(y)')

definition diff-chain-axiom::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:diff-chain-axiom ≡ [[Assign vid2 (f1 fid2 vid1)]]([[DiffAssign
vid2 (Const 1)]]
    (Equals (Differential ($f fid1 (singleton (f1 fid2 vid1)))) (Times (Differential (f1
fid1 vid2)) (Differential (f1 fid2 vid1)))))


```

9.2 ODE Axioms

```

definition DWaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:DWaxiom = ([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Predicational pid1)]](Predicational
pid1))

definition DWaxiom' :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:DWaxiom' = ([[EvolveODE (OSing vid1 (Function fid1 (singleton
(Var vid1)))) (Prop vid2 (singleton (Var vid1))))]](Prop vid2 (singleton (Var vid1)))))

definition DCaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:DCaxiom = (
    ([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Predicational pid1)]](Predicational pid3) →
    ([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Predicational pid1)]](Predicational pid2)))
    ↔

```

```

([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (And (Predicational pid1) (Predicational pid3))]] Predicational
pid2)))

definition DEaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:DEaxiom =
(([[EvolveODE (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (p1 vid2 vid1)]] (P pid1))
 $\leftrightarrow$ 
([[EvolveODE (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (p1 vid2 vid1)]] [[DiffAssign vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)]] P pid1))

definition DSaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:DSaxiom =
(([[EvolveODE (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (p1 vid2 vid1)]] p1 vid3 vid1)
 $\leftrightarrow$ 
(Forall vid2
(Implies (Geq (Var vid2) (Const 0))
(Implies
(Forall vid3
(Implies (And (Geq (Var vid3) (Const 0)) (Geq (Var vid2) (Var vid3)))
(Prop vid2 (singleton (Plus (Var vid1) (Times (f0 fid1) (Var vid3)))))))
([[Assign vid1 (Plus (Var vid1) (Times (f0 fid1) (Var vid2)))] p1 vid3 vid1)))))

— (Q → [c&Q](f(x)' ≥ g(x)'))
— →
— ([c&Q](f(x) ≥ g(x))) —> (Q → (f(x) ≥ g(x)))
definition DIGeqaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:DIGeqaxiom =
Implies
(Implies (Prop vid1 empty) ([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Prop vid1 empty)]] (Geq
(Differential (f1 fid1 vid1)) (Differential (f1 fid2 vid1)))))
(Implies
(Implies(Prop vid1 empty) (Geq (f1 fid1 vid1) (f1 fid2 vid1)))
([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Prop vid1 empty)]] (Geq (f1 fid1 vid1) (f1 fid2
vid1)))))

— g(x) > h(x) → [x'=f(x), c & p(x)](g(x)' ≥ h(x)') → [x'=f(x), c & p(x)]g(x) >
h(x)

— (Q → [c&Q](f(x)' ≥ g(x)'))
— →
— ([c&Q](f(x) > g(x))) <-> (Q → (f(x) > g(x)))
definition DIGraxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:DIGraxiom =
Implies
(Implies (Prop vid1 empty) ([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Prop vid1 empty)]] (Geq
(Differential (f1 fid1 vid1)) (Differential (f1 fid2 vid1)))))
(Implies
(Implies(Prop vid1 empty) (Greater (f1 fid1 vid1) (f1 fid2 vid1))))
```

```

([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Prop vid1 empty)]](Greater (f1 fid1 vid1) (f1 fid2
vid1)))))

— [{1' = 1(1) & 1(1)}]2(1) <->
— ∃ 2. [{1'=1(1), 2' = 2(1)*2 + 3(1) & 1(1)}]2(1)*
definition DGaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:DGaxiom = ([[EvolveODE (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (p1
vid1 vid1)]]]p1 vid2 vid1) ↔
  (Exists vid2
    ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (OSing vid2 (Plus (Times
(f1 fid2 vid1) (Var vid2)) (f1 fid3 vid1)))) (p1 vid1 vid1)]]
     p1 vid2 vid1)))

```

9.3 Proofs for Derivative Axioms

```

lemma constant-deriv-inner:
  assumes interp:∀ x i. (Functions I i has-derivative FunctionFrechet I i x) (at x)
  shows FunctionFrechet I id1 (vec-lambda (λi. sterm-sem I (empty i) (fst ν))) (vec-lambda(λi. frechet I (empty i) (fst ν) (snd ν)))= 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma constant-deriv-zero:is-interp I ==> directional-derivative I ($f id1 empty)
ν = 0
⟨proof⟩

theorem diff-const-axiom-valid: valid diff-const-axiom
⟨proof⟩

theorem diff-var-axiom-valid: valid diff-var-axiom
⟨proof⟩

theorem diff-plus-axiom-valid: valid diff-plus-axiom
⟨proof⟩

theorem diff-times-axiom-valid: valid diff-times-axiom
⟨proof⟩

```

9.4 Proofs for ODE Axioms

```

lemma DW-valid:valid DWaxiom
⟨proof⟩

lemma DE-lemma:
  fixes ab bb:'sz simple-state
  and sol::real ⇒ 'sz simple-state
  and I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
  shows
    repd (mk-v I (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (ab, bb) (sol t)) vid1 (dterm-sem I (f1
fid1 vid1) (mk-v I (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (ab, bb) (sol t)))
    = mk-v I (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (ab, bb) (sol t)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DE-valid:valid DEaxiom*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODE-zero: $\bigwedge i. Inl\ i \notin BVO\ ODE \implies Inr\ i \notin BVO\ ODE \implies ODE\text{-sem}\ I\ ODE\ \nu\$i = 0$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DE-sys-valid:*
 assumes *disj: $\{Inl\ vid1, Inr\ vid1\} \cap BVO\ ODE = \{\}$*
 shows *valid (([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) ODE) (p1 vid2 vid1)]]) (P pid1)) \leftrightarrow*
 $([[EvolveODE ((OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) ODE)) (p1 vid2 vid1)]]$
 $[[DiffAssign vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)] P pid1])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DC-valid:valid DCaxiom*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DS-valid:valid DSaxiom*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MVT0-within:*
 fixes *f ::real \Rightarrow real*
 and *f'::real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real*
 and *s t :: real*
 assumes *f': $\bigwedge x. x \in \{0..t\} \implies (f\ has\ derivative\ (f'\ x))\ (at\ x\ within\ \{0..t\})$*
 assumes *geq': $\bigwedge x. x \in \{0..t\} \implies f'\ x\ s \geq 0$*
 assumes *int-s:s > 0 \wedge s \leq t*
 assumes *t: 0 < t*
 shows *f s \geq f 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MVT':*
 fixes *f g ::real \Rightarrow real*
 fixes *f' g'::real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real*
 fixes *s t ::real*
 assumes *f': $\bigwedge s. s \in \{0..t\} \implies (f\ has\ derivative\ (f'\ s))\ (at\ s\ within\ \{0..t\})$*
 assumes *g': $\bigwedge s. s \in \{0..t\} \implies (g\ has\ derivative\ (g'\ s))\ (at\ s\ within\ \{0..t\})$*
 assumes *geq': $\bigwedge x. x \in \{0..t\} \implies f'\ x\ s \geq g'\ x\ s$*
 assumes *geq0:f 0 \geq g 0*
 assumes *int-s:s > 0 \wedge s \leq t*
 assumes *t:t > 0*
 shows *f s \geq g s*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MVT'-gr:*
 fixes *f g ::real \Rightarrow real*

```

fixes  $f' g':\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
fixes  $s t :\text{real}$ 
assumes  $f':\bigwedge s. s \in \{0..t\} \implies (\text{f has-derivative } (f' s)) \text{ (at } s \text{ within } \{0..t\})$ 
assumes  $g':\bigwedge s. s \in \{0..t\} \implies (\text{g has-derivative } (g' s)) \text{ (at } s \text{ within } \{0..t\})$ 
assumes  $\text{geq}':\bigwedge x. x \in \{0..t\} \implies f' x s \geq g' x s$ 
assumes  $\text{geq}0:f 0 > g 0$ 
assumes  $\text{int-s}:s > 0 \wedge s \leq t$ 
assumes  $t:t > 0$ 
shows  $f s > g s$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma frech-linear:
fixes  $x \vartheta \nu \nu' I$ 
assumes good-interp:is-interp  $I$ 
assumes free:dfree  $\vartheta$ 
shows  $x * \text{frechet } I \vartheta \nu \nu' = \text{frechet } I \vartheta \nu (x *_R \nu')$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rift-in-space-time:
fixes  $\text{sol } I \text{ ODE } \psi \vartheta t s b$ 
assumes good-interp:is-interp  $I$ 
assumes free:dfree  $\vartheta$ 
assumes osafe:osafe ODE
assumes  $\text{sol}:(\text{sol solves-ode } (\lambda \cdot \nu'. \text{ODE-sem } I \text{ ODE } \nu')) \{0..t\}$ 
 $\{x. \text{mk-v } I \text{ ODE } (\text{sol } 0, b) x \in \text{fml-sem } I \psi\}$ 
assumes FVT:FVT  $\vartheta \subseteq \text{semBV } I \text{ ODE}$ 
assumes ivl: $s \in \{0..t\}$ 
shows  $((\lambda t. \text{sterm-sem } I \vartheta (\text{fst } (\text{mk-v } I \text{ ODE } (\text{sol } 0, b) (\text{sol } t))))$ 
— This is Frechet derivative, so equivalent to:
— has-real-derivative  $\text{frechet } I \vartheta (\text{fst } ((\text{mk-v } I \text{ ODE } (\text{sol } 0, b) (\text{sol } s))) (\text{snd } (\text{mk-v } I \text{ ODE } (\text{sol } 0, b) (\text{sol } s)))) \text{ (at } s \text{ within } \{0..t\})$ 
 $\text{has-derivative } (\lambda t'. t' * \text{frechet } I \vartheta (\text{fst } ((\text{mk-v } I \text{ ODE } (\text{sol } 0, b) (\text{sol } s))) (\text{snd } (\text{mk-v } I \text{ ODE } (\text{sol } 0, b) (\text{sol } s)))) \text{ (at } s \text{ within } \{0..t\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dterm-sterm-dfree:
dfree  $\vartheta \implies (\bigwedge \nu \nu'. \text{sterm-sem } I \vartheta \nu = \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta (\nu, \nu'))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma DIGeq-valid:valid DIGeqaxiom
⟨proof⟩

lemma DIGr-valid:valid DIGraxiom
⟨proof⟩

lemma DG-valid:valid DGaxiom
⟨proof⟩
end end
theory USubst

```

```

imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Static-Semantics
begin

```

10 Uniform Substitution Definitions

This section defines substitutions and implements the substitution operation. Every part of substitution comes in two flavors. The "Nsubst" variant of each function returns a term/formula/ode/program which (as encoded in the type system) has less symbols than the input. We use this operation when substitution into functions and function-like constructs to make it easy to distinguish identifiers that stand for arguments to functions from other identifiers. In order to expose a simpler interface, we also have a "subst" variant which does not delete variables.

Naive substitution without side conditions would not always be sound. The various admissibility predicates `*admit` describe conditions under which the various substitution operations are sound.

Explicit data structure for substitutions.

The RHS of a function or predicate substitution is a term or formula with extra variables, which are used to refer to arguments.

```

record ('a, 'b, 'c) subst =
  SFunctions    :: 'a → ('a + 'c, 'c) trm
  SPredicates   :: 'c → ('a + 'c, 'b, 'c) formula
  SContexts     :: 'b → ('a, 'b + unit, 'c) formula
  SPrograms     :: 'c → ('a, 'b, 'c) hp
  SODEs         :: 'c → ('a, 'c) ODE

context ids begin
definition NTUadmit :: ('d ⇒ ('a, 'c) trm) ⇒ ('a + 'd, 'c) trm ⇒ ('c + 'c) set
  ⇒ bool
where NTUadmit σ θ U ←→ (( $\bigcup$  i ∈ {i. Inr i ∈ SIGT θ}. FVT (σ i)) ∩ U) = {}
inductive TadmitFFO :: ('d ⇒ ('a, 'c) trm) ⇒ ('a + 'd, 'c) trm ⇒ bool
where
  TadmitFFO-Diff: TadmitFFO σ θ ⇒ NTUadmit σ θ UNIV ⇒ TadmitFFO σ
  (Differential θ)
  | TadmitFFO-Fun1:( $\bigwedge$ i. TadmitFFO σ (args i)) ⇒ TadmitFFO σ (Function (Inl
  f) args)
  | TadmitFFO-Fun2:( $\bigwedge$ i. TadmitFFO σ (args i)) ⇒ dfree (σ f) ⇒ TadmitFFO

```

σ (*Function* (*Inr f*) *args*)
 | *TadmitFFO-Plus*: *TadmitFFO* σ $\vartheta_1 \implies$ *TadmitFFO* σ $\vartheta_2 \implies$ *TadmitFFO* σ
 $(\text{Plus } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$
 | *TadmitFFO-Times*: *TadmitFFO* σ $\vartheta_1 \implies$ *TadmitFFO* σ $\vartheta_2 \implies$ *TadmitFFO* σ
 $(\text{Times } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$
 | *TadmitFFO-Var*: *TadmitFFO* σ (*Var x*)
 | *TadmitFFO-Const*: *TadmitFFO* σ (*Const r*)

inductive-simps

TadmitFFO-Diff-simps[simp]: *TadmitFFO* σ (*Differential* ϑ)
and *TadmitFFO-Fun-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFFO* σ (*Function f args*)
and *TadmitFFO-Plus-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFFO* σ (*Plus t1 t2*)
and *TadmitFFO-Times-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFFO* σ (*Times t1 t2*)
and *TadmitFFO-Var-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFFO* σ (*Var x*)
and *TadmitFFO-Const-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFFO* σ (*Const r*)

primrec *TsubstFO*::('a + 'b, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm*) \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm*
where

TsubstFO (*Var v*) σ = *Var v*
 | *TsubstFO* (*DiffVar v*) σ = *DiffVar v*
 | *TsubstFO* (*Const r*) σ = *Const r*
 | *TsubstFO* (*Function f args*) σ =
 (case *f* of
 Inl f' \Rightarrow *Function f'* (λi . *TsubstFO* (*args i*) σ)
 | *Inr f'* \Rightarrow $\sigma f'$)
 | *TsubstFO* (*Plus* $\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2$) σ = *Plus* (*TsubstFO* $\vartheta_1 \sigma$) (*TsubstFO* $\vartheta_2 \sigma$)
 | *TsubstFO* (*Times* $\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2$) σ = *Times* (*TsubstFO* $\vartheta_1 \sigma$) (*TsubstFO* $\vartheta_2 \sigma$)
 | *TsubstFO* (*Differential* ϑ) σ = *Differential* (*TsubstFO* $\vartheta \sigma$)

inductive *TadmitFO* :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm*) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow bool
where

TadmitFO-Diff: *TadmitFFO* σ $\vartheta \implies$ *NTUadmit* σ ϑ *UNIV* \implies *dfree* (*TsubstFO*
 $\vartheta \sigma$) \implies *TadmitFO* σ (*Differential* ϑ)
 | *TadmitFO-Fun*: ($\bigwedge i$. *TadmitFO* σ (*args i*)) \implies *TadmitFO* σ (*Function f args*)
 | *TadmitFO-Plus*: *TadmitFO* σ $\vartheta_1 \implies$ *TadmitFO* σ $\vartheta_2 \implies$ *TadmitFO* σ (*Plus* ϑ_1
 ϑ_2)
 | *TadmitFO-Times*: *TadmitFO* σ $\vartheta_1 \implies$ *TadmitFO* σ $\vartheta_2 \implies$ *TadmitFO* σ (*Times*
 $\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2$)
 | *TadmitFO-DiffVar*: *TadmitFO* σ (*DiffVar x*)
 | *TadmitFO-Var*: *TadmitFO* σ (*Var x*)
 | *TadmitFO-Const*: *TadmitFO* σ (*Const r*)

inductive-simps

TadmitFO-Plus-simps[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*Plus a b*)
and *TadmitFO-Times-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*Times a b*)
and *TadmitFO-Var-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*Var x*)
and *TadmitFO-DiffVar-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*DiffVar x*)
and *TadmitFO-Differential-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*Differential* ϑ)
and *TadmitFO-Const-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*Const r*)

and *TadmitFO-Fun-simps[simp]*: *TadmitFO σ (Function i args)*

primrec *Tsubst::('a, 'c) trm ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) subst ⇒ ('a, 'c) trm*
where

- | *Tsubst (Var x) σ = Var x*
- | *Tsubst (DiffVar x) σ = DiffVar x*
- | *Tsubst (Const r) σ = Const r*
- | *Tsubst (Function f args) σ = (case SFunctions σ f of Some f' ⇒ TsubstFO f' | None ⇒ Function f) (λ i. Tsubst (args i) σ)*
- | *Tsubst (Plus θ1 θ2) σ = Plus (Tsubst θ1 σ) (Tsubst θ2 σ)*
- | *Tsubst (Times θ1 θ2) σ = Times (Tsubst θ1 σ) (Tsubst θ2 σ)*
- | *Tsubst (Differential θ) σ = Differential (Tsubst θ σ)*

primrec *OsubstFO::('a + 'b, 'c) ODE ⇒ ('b ⇒ ('a, 'c) trm) ⇒ ('a, 'c) ODE*
where

- | *OsubstFO (OVar c) σ = OVar c*
- | *OsubstFO (OSing x θ) σ = OSing x (TsubstFO θ σ)*
- | *OsubstFO (OProd ODE1 ODE2) σ = OProd (OsubstFO ODE1 σ) (OsubstFO ODE2 σ)*

primrec *Osubst::('a, 'c) ODE ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) subst ⇒ ('a, 'c) ODE*
where

- | *Osubst (OVar c) σ = (case SODEs σ c of Some c' ⇒ c' | None ⇒ OVar c)*
- | *Osubst (OSing x θ) σ = OSing x (Tsubst θ σ)*
- | *Osubst (OProd ODE1 ODE2) σ = OProd (Osubst ODE1 σ) (Osubst ODE2 σ)*

fun *PsubstFO::('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) hp ⇒ ('d ⇒ ('a, 'c) trm) ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) hp*
and *FsubstFO::('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) formula ⇒ ('d ⇒ ('a, 'c) trm) ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula*
where

- | *PsubstFO (Pvar a) σ = Pvar a*
- | *PsubstFO (Assign x θ) σ = Assign x (TsubstFO θ σ)*
- | *PsubstFO (DiffAssign x θ) σ = DiffAssign x (TsubstFO θ σ)*
- | *PsubstFO (Test φ) σ = Test (FsubstFO φ σ)*
- | *PsubstFO (EvolveODE ODE φ) σ = EvolveODE (OsubstFO ODE σ) (FsubstFO φ σ)*
- | *PsubstFO (Choice α β) σ = Choice (PsubstFO α σ) (PsubstFO β σ)*
- | *PsubstFO (Sequence α β) σ = Sequence (PsubstFO α σ) (PsubstFO β σ)*
- | *PsubstFO (Loop α) σ = Loop (PsubstFO α σ)*

- | *FsubstFO (Geq θ1 θ2) σ = Geq (TsubstFO θ1 σ) (TsubstFO θ2 σ)*
- | *FsubstFO (Prop p args) σ = Prop p (λ i. TsubstFO (args i) σ)*
- | *FsubstFO (Not φ) σ = Not (FsubstFO φ σ)*
- | *FsubstFO (And φ ψ) σ = And (FsubstFO φ σ) (FsubstFO ψ σ)*
- | *FsubstFO (Exists x φ) σ = Exists x (FsubstFO φ σ)*
- | *FsubstFO (Diamond α φ) σ = Diamond (PsubstFO α σ) (FsubstFO φ σ)*
- | *FsubstFO (InContext C φ) σ = InContext C (FsubstFO φ σ)*

fun *PPsubst::('a, 'b + 'd, 'c) hp ⇒ ('d ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula) ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) hp*
and *PFsubst::('a, 'b + 'd, 'c) formula ⇒ ('d ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula) ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c)*

formula
where

- | $PPsubst(Pvar a) \sigma = Pvar a$
- | $PPsubst(Assign x \vartheta) \sigma = Assign x \vartheta$
- | $PPsubst(DiffAssign x \vartheta) \sigma = DiffAssign x \vartheta$
- | $PPsubst(Test \varphi) \sigma = Test (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $PPsubst(EvolveODE ODE \varphi) \sigma = EvolveODE ODE (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $PPsubst(Choice \alpha \beta) \sigma = Choice (PPsubst \alpha \sigma) (PPsubst \beta \sigma)$
- | $PPsubst(Sequence \alpha \beta) \sigma = Sequence (PPsubst \alpha \sigma) (PPsubst \beta \sigma)$
- | $PPsubst(Loop \alpha) \sigma = Loop (PPsubst \alpha \sigma)$

- | $PFsubst(Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2) \sigma = (Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$
- | $PFsubst(Prop p args) \sigma = Prop p args$
- | $PFsubst(Not \varphi) \sigma = Not (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $PFsubst(And \varphi \psi) \sigma = And (PFsubst \varphi \sigma) (PFsubst \psi \sigma)$
- | $PFsubst(Exists x \varphi) \sigma = Exists x (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $PFsubst(Diamond \alpha \varphi) \sigma = Diamond (PPsubst \alpha \sigma) (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $PFsubst(InContext C \varphi) \sigma = (case C of Inl C' \Rightarrow InContext C' (PFsubst \varphi \sigma))$
- | $Inr p' \Rightarrow \sigma p'$

fun $Psubst::('a, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) hp$
and $Fsubst::('a, 'b, 'c) formula \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula$
where

- | $Psubst(Pvar a) \sigma = (case SPrograms \sigma a of Some a' \Rightarrow a' | None \Rightarrow Pvar a)$
- | $Psubst(Assign x \vartheta) \sigma = Assign x (Tsubst \vartheta \sigma)$
- | $Psubst(DiffAssign x \vartheta) \sigma = DiffAssign x (Tsubst \vartheta \sigma)$
- | $Psubst(Test \varphi) \sigma = Test (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $Psubst(EvolveODE ODE \varphi) \sigma = EvolveODE (Osubst ODE \sigma) (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $Psubst(Choice \alpha \beta) \sigma = Choice (Psubst \alpha \sigma) (Psubst \beta \sigma)$
- | $Psubst(Sequence \alpha \beta) \sigma = Sequence (Psubst \alpha \sigma) (Psubst \beta \sigma)$
- | $Psubst(Loop \alpha) \sigma = Loop (Psubst \alpha \sigma)$

- | $Fsubst(Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2) \sigma = Geq (Tsubst \vartheta_1 \sigma) (Tsubst \vartheta_2 \sigma)$
- | $Fsubst(Prop p args) \sigma = (case SPredicates \sigma p of Some p' \Rightarrow FsubstFO p' (\lambda i. Tsubst(args i) \sigma) | None \Rightarrow Prop p (\lambda i. Tsubst(args i) \sigma))$
- | $Fsubst(Not \varphi) \sigma = Not (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $Fsubst(And \varphi \psi) \sigma = And (Fsubst \varphi \sigma) (Fsubst \psi \sigma)$
- | $Fsubst(Exists x \varphi) \sigma = Exists x (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $Fsubst(Diamond \alpha \varphi) \sigma = Diamond (Psubst \alpha \sigma) (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
- | $Fsubst(InContext C \varphi) \sigma = (case SContexts \sigma C of Some C' \Rightarrow PFsubst C' (\lambda -. (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)) | None \Rightarrow InContext C (Fsubst \varphi \sigma))$

definition $FVA :: ('a \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set$
where $FVA \text{ args} = (\bigcup i. FVT(\text{args } i))$

fun $SFV :: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a + 'b + 'c) \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set$
where $SFV \sigma (Inl i) = (case SFunctions \sigma i of Some f' \Rightarrow FVT f' | None \Rightarrow \{\})$
| $SFV \sigma (Inr (Inl i)) = \{\}$

| $SFV \sigma (Inr (Inr i)) = (\text{case } SPredicates \sigma i \text{ of Some } p' \Rightarrow FVF p' \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\})$

definition $FVS :: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set$
where $FVS \sigma = (\bigcup i. SFV \sigma i)$

definition $SDom :: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a + 'b + 'c) set$
where $SDom \sigma =$
 $\{Inl x \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (SFunctions \sigma)\}$
 $\cup \{Inr (Inl x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (SContexts \sigma)\}$
 $\cup \{Inr (Inr x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (SPredicates \sigma)\}$
 $\cup \{Inr (Inr x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (SPrograms \sigma)\}$

definition $TUadmit :: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $TUadmit \sigma \vartheta U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i \in SIGT \vartheta. (\text{case } SFunctions \sigma i \text{ of Some } f' \Rightarrow FVT f' \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\})) \cap U) = \{\}$

inductive $Tadmit :: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

- $Tadmit\text{-Diff}: Tadmit \sigma \vartheta \implies TUadmit \sigma \vartheta \text{ UNIV} \implies Tadmit \sigma (\text{Differential } \vartheta)$
- | $Tadmit\text{-Fun1}:(\bigwedge i. Tadmit \sigma (\text{args } i)) \implies SFunctions \sigma f = \text{Some } f' \implies TadmitFO (\lambda i. Tsubst (\text{args } i) \sigma) f' \implies Tadmit \sigma (\text{Function } f \text{ args})$
- | $Tadmit\text{-Fun2}:(\bigwedge i. Tadmit \sigma (\text{args } i)) \implies SFunctions \sigma f = \text{None} \implies Tadmit \sigma (\text{Function } f \text{ args})$
- | $Tadmit\text{-Plus}: Tadmit \sigma \vartheta_1 \implies Tadmit \sigma \vartheta_2 \implies Tadmit \sigma (\text{Plus } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$
- | $Tadmit\text{-Times}: Tadmit \sigma \vartheta_1 \implies Tadmit \sigma \vartheta_2 \implies Tadmit \sigma (\text{Times } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$
- | $Tadmit\text{-DiffVar}: Tadmit \sigma (\text{DiffVar } x)$
- | $Tadmit\text{-Var}: Tadmit \sigma (\text{Var } x)$
- | $Tadmit\text{-Const}: Tadmit \sigma (\text{Const } r)$

inductive-simps

- $Tadmit\text{-Plus-simps}[simp]: Tadmit \sigma (\text{Plus } a b)$
- $\text{and } Tadmit\text{-Times-simps}[simp]: Tadmit \sigma (\text{Times } a b)$
- $\text{and } Tadmit\text{-Var-simps}[simp]: Tadmit \sigma (\text{Var } x)$
- $\text{and } Tadmit\text{-DiffVar-simps}[simp]: Tadmit \sigma (\text{DiffVar } x)$
- $\text{and } Tadmit\text{-Differential-simps}[simp]: Tadmit \sigma (\text{Differential } \vartheta)$
- $\text{and } Tadmit\text{-Const-simps}[simp]: Tadmit \sigma (\text{Const } r)$
- $\text{and } Tadmit\text{-Fun-simps}[simp]: Tadmit \sigma (\text{Function } i \text{ args})$

inductive $TadmitF :: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

- $TadmitF\text{-Diff}: TadmitF \sigma \vartheta \implies TUadmit \sigma \vartheta \text{ UNIV} \implies TadmitF \sigma (\text{Differential } \vartheta)$
- | $TadmitF\text{-Fun1}:(\bigwedge i. TadmitF \sigma (\text{args } i)) \implies SFunctions \sigma f = \text{Some } f' \implies (\bigwedge i. dfree (Tsubst (\text{args } i) \sigma)) \implies TadmitFFO (\lambda i. Tsubst (\text{args } i) \sigma) f' \implies TadmitF \sigma (\text{Function } f \text{ args})$
- | $TadmitF\text{-Fun2}:(\bigwedge i. TadmitF \sigma (\text{args } i)) \implies SFunctions \sigma f = \text{None} \implies TadmitF \sigma (\text{Function } f \text{ args})$
- | $TadmitF\text{-Plus}: TadmitF \sigma \vartheta_1 \implies TadmitF \sigma \vartheta_2 \implies TadmitF \sigma (\text{Plus } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$
- | $TadmitF\text{-Times}: TadmitF \sigma \vartheta_1 \implies TadmitF \sigma \vartheta_2 \implies TadmitF \sigma (\text{Times } \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)$

$\vartheta 2)$
| *TadmitF-DiffVar*: $TadmitF \sigma (DiffVar x)$
| *TadmitF-Var*: $TadmitF \sigma (Var x)$
| *TadmitF-Const*: $TadmitF \sigma (Const r)$

inductive-simps

TadmitF-Plus-simps[simp]: $TadmitF \sigma (Plus a b)$
and *TadmitF-Times-simps*[simp]: $TadmitF \sigma (Times a b)$
and *TadmitF-Var-simps*[simp]: $TadmitF \sigma (Var x)$
and *TadmitF-DiffVar-simps*[simp]: $TadmitF \sigma (DiffVar x)$
and *TadmitF-Differential-simps*[simp]: $TadmitF \sigma (Differential \vartheta)$
and *TadmitF-Const-simps*[simp]: $TadmitF \sigma (Const r)$
and *TadmitF-Fun-simps*[simp]: $TadmitF \sigma (Function i args)$

inductive *Oadmit*:: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) ODE \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool
where

Oadmit-Var: $Oadmit \sigma (OVar c) U$
| *Oadmit-Sing*: $TUadmit \sigma \vartheta U \implies TadmitF \sigma \vartheta \implies Oadmit \sigma (OSing x \vartheta) U$
| *Oadmit-Prod*: $Oadmit \sigma ODE1 U \implies Oadmit \sigma ODE2 U \implies ODE\text{-dom} (Osubst ODE1 \sigma) \cap ODE\text{-dom} (Osubst ODE2 \sigma) = \{\} \implies Oadmit \sigma (OProd ODE1 ODE2) U$

inductive-simps

Oadmit-Var-simps[simp]: $Oadmit \sigma (OVar c) U$
and *Oadmit-Sing-simps*[simp]: $Oadmit \sigma (OSing x e) U$
and *Oadmit-Prod-simps*[simp]: $Oadmit \sigma (OProd ODE1 ODE2) U$

definition *PUadmit*:: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool
where $PUadmit \sigma \vartheta U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i \in (SDom \sigma \cap SIGP \vartheta). SFV \sigma i) \cap U) = \{\}$

definition *FUadmit*:: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool
where $FUadmit \sigma \vartheta U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i \in (SDom \sigma \cap SIGF \vartheta). SFV \sigma i) \cap U) = \{\}$

definition *OUadmitFO*:: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'c) ODE \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool
where $OUadmitFO \sigma \vartheta U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i \in \{i. Inl (Inr i) \in SIGO \vartheta\}. FVT (\sigma i)) \cap U) = \{\}$

inductive *OadmitFO*:: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'c) ODE \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool
where

OadmitFO-OVar: $OUadmitFO \sigma (OVar c) U \implies OadmitFO \sigma (OVar c) U$
| *OadmitFO-OSing*: $OUadmitFO \sigma (OSing x \vartheta) U \implies TadmitFFO \sigma \vartheta \implies OadmitFO \sigma (OSing x \vartheta) U$
| *OadmitFO-OProd*: $OadmitFO \sigma ODE1 U \implies OadmitFO \sigma ODE2 U \implies OadmitFO \sigma (OProd ODE1 ODE2) U$

inductive-simps

$OadmitFO-OVar-simps[simp]: OadmitFO \sigma (OVar a) U$
and $OadmitFO-OProd-simps[simp]: OadmitFO \sigma (OProd ODE1 ODE2) U$
and $OadmitFO-OSing-simps[simp]: OadmitFO \sigma (OSing x e) U$

definition $FUadmitFO :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) formula \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool$
where $FUadmitFO \sigma \vartheta U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i \in \{i. Inl (Inr i) \in SIGF \vartheta\}. FVT (\sigma i)) \cap U) = \{\}$

definition $PUsadmitFO :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool$
where $PUsadmitFO \sigma \vartheta U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i \in \{i. Inl (Inr i) \in SIGP \vartheta\}. FVT (\sigma i)) \cap U) = \{\}$

inductive $NPadmit :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow bool$
and $NFadmit :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) formula \Rightarrow bool$
where

- $NPadmit-Pvar:NPadmit \sigma (Pvar a)$
- $| NPadmit-Sequence:NPadmit \sigma a \implies NPadmit \sigma b \implies PUsadmitFO \sigma b (BVP (PsubstFO a \sigma)) \implies hpsafe (PsubstFO a \sigma) \implies NPadmit \sigma (Sequence a b)$
- $| NPadmit-Loop:NPadmit \sigma a \implies PUsadmitFO \sigma a (BVP (PsubstFO a \sigma)) \implies hpsafe (PsubstFO a \sigma) \implies NPadmit \sigma (Loop a)$
- $| NPadmit-ODE:OadmitFO \sigma ODE (BVO ODE) \implies NFadmit \sigma \varphi \implies FUadmitFO \sigma \varphi (BVO ODE) \implies fsafe (FsubstFO \varphi \sigma) \implies osafe (OsubstFO ODE \sigma) \implies NPadmit \sigma (EvolveODE ODE \varphi)$
- $| NPadmit-Choice:NPadmit \sigma a \implies NPadmit \sigma b \implies NPadmit \sigma (Choice a b)$
- $| NPadmit-Assign:TadmitFO \sigma \vartheta \implies NPadmit \sigma (Assign x \vartheta)$
- $| NPadmit-DiffAssign:TadmitFO \sigma \vartheta \implies NPadmit \sigma (DiffAssign x \vartheta)$
- $| NPadmit-Test:NPadmit \sigma \varphi \implies NPadmit \sigma (Test \varphi)$
- $| NFadmit-Geq:TadmitFO \sigma \vartheta 1 \implies TadmitFO \sigma \vartheta 2 \implies NFadmit \sigma (Geq \vartheta 1 \vartheta 2)$
- $| NFadmit-Prop:(\bigwedge i. TadmitFO \sigma (args i)) \implies NFadmit \sigma (Prop f args)$
- $| NFadmit-Not:NPadmit \sigma \varphi \implies NFadmit \sigma (Not \varphi)$
- $| NFadmit-And:NPadmit \sigma \varphi \implies NFadmit \sigma \psi \implies NFadmit \sigma (And \varphi \psi)$
- $| NFadmit-Exists:NPadmit \sigma \varphi \implies FUadmitFO \sigma \varphi \{Inl x\} \implies NFadmit \sigma (Exists x \varphi)$
- $| NFadmit-Diamond:NPadmit \sigma \varphi \implies NPadmit \sigma a \implies FUadmitFO \sigma \varphi (BVP (PsubstFO a \sigma)) \implies hpsafe (PsubstFO a \sigma) \implies NFadmit \sigma (Diamond a \varphi)$
- $| NFadmit-Context:NPadmit \sigma \varphi \implies FUadmitFO \sigma \varphi UNIV \implies NFadmit \sigma (InContext C \varphi)$

inductive-simps

- $NPadmit-Pvar-simps[simp]: NPadmit \sigma (Pvar a)$
- and** $NPadmit-Sequence-simps[simp]: NPadmit \sigma (a ;; b)$
- and** $NPadmit-Loop-simps[simp]: NPadmit \sigma (a**)$
- and** $NPadmit-ODE-simps[simp]: NPadmit \sigma (EvolveODE ODE p)$
- and** $NPadmit-Choice-simps[simp]: NPadmit \sigma (a \cup\cup b)$
- and** $NPadmit-Assign-simps[simp]: NPadmit \sigma (Assign x e)$

```

and NPadmit-DiffAssign-simps[simp]: NPadmit  $\sigma$  (DiffAssign  $x$   $e$ )
and NPadmit-Test-simps[simp]: NPadmit  $\sigma$  (?  $p$ )

and NFadmit-Geq-simps[simp]: NFadmit  $\sigma$  (Geq  $t1$   $t2$ )
and NFadmit-Prop-simps[simp]: NFadmit  $\sigma$  (Prop  $p$  args)
and NFadmit-Not-simps[simp]: NFadmit  $\sigma$  (Not  $p$ )
and NFadmit-And-simps[simp]: NFadmit  $\sigma$  (And  $p$   $q$ )
and NFadmit-Exists-simps[simp]: NFadmit  $\sigma$  (Exists  $x$   $p$ )
and NFadmit-Diamond-simps[simp]: NFadmit  $\sigma$  (Diamond  $a$   $p$ )
and NFadmit-Context-simps[simp]: NFadmit  $\sigma$  (InContext  $C$   $p$ )

definition PFUadmit :: (' $d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)$  formula)  $\Rightarrow ('a, 'b + 'd, 'c)$  formula  $\Rightarrow ('c + 'c)$  set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where PFUadmit  $\sigma$   $\vartheta$   $U \longleftrightarrow True$ 

definition PPUadmit :: (' $d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)$  formula)  $\Rightarrow ('a, 'b + 'd, 'c)$  hp  $\Rightarrow ('c + 'c)$  set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where PPUadmit  $\sigma$   $\vartheta$   $U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i. FVF(\sigma i)) \cap U) = \{\}$ 

inductive PPadmit:: (' $d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)$  formula)  $\Rightarrow ('a, 'b + 'd, 'c)$  hp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and PFadmit:: (' $d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)$  formula)  $\Rightarrow ('a, 'b + 'd, 'c)$  formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  PPadmit-Pvar:PPadmit  $\sigma$  (Pvar  $a$ )
  | PPadmit-Sequence:PPadmit  $\sigma$   $a \Rightarrow PPadmit \sigma b \Rightarrow PPUadmit \sigma b$  (BVP (PPsubst  $a$   $\sigma$ ))  $\Rightarrow hpsafe(PPsubst a \sigma) \Rightarrow PPadmit \sigma (Sequence a b)$ 
  | PPadmit-Loop:PPadmit  $\sigma$   $a \Rightarrow PPUadmit \sigma a$  (BVP (PPsubst  $a$   $\sigma$ ))  $\Rightarrow hpsafe(PPsubst a \sigma) \Rightarrow PPadmit \sigma (Loop a)$ 
  | PPadmit-ODE:PFadmit  $\sigma$   $\varphi \Rightarrow PFUadmit \sigma \varphi$  (BVO ODE)  $\Rightarrow PPadmit \sigma (EvolveODE ODE \varphi)$ 
  | PPadmit-Choice:PPadmit  $\sigma$   $a \Rightarrow PPadmit \sigma b \Rightarrow PPadmit \sigma (Choice a b)$ 
  | PPadmit-Assign:PPadmit  $\sigma$  (Assign  $x$   $\vartheta$ )
  | PPadmit-DiffAssign:PPadmit  $\sigma$  (DiffAssign  $x$   $\vartheta$ )
  | PPadmit-Test:PFadmit  $\sigma$   $\varphi \Rightarrow PFadmit \sigma (Test \varphi)$ 

  | PFadmit-Geq:PFadmit  $\sigma$  (Geq  $\vartheta_1$   $\vartheta_2$ )
  | PFadmit-Prop:PFadmit  $\sigma$  (Prop  $f$  args)
  | PFadmit-Not:PFadmit  $\sigma$   $\varphi \Rightarrow PFadmit \sigma (Not \varphi)$ 
  | PFadmit-And:PFadmit  $\sigma$   $\varphi \Rightarrow PFadmit \sigma \psi \Rightarrow PFadmit \sigma (And \varphi \psi)$ 
  | PFadmit-Exists:PFadmit  $\sigma$   $\varphi \Rightarrow PFUadmit \sigma \varphi \{Inl x\} \Rightarrow PFadmit \sigma (Exists x \varphi)$ 
  | PFadmit-Diamond:PFadmit  $\sigma$   $\varphi \Rightarrow PPadmit \sigma a \Rightarrow PFUadmit \sigma \varphi$  (BVP (PPsubst  $a$   $\sigma$ ))  $\Rightarrow PFadmit \sigma (Diamond a \varphi)$ 
  | PFadmit-Context:PFadmit  $\sigma$   $\varphi \Rightarrow PFUadmit \sigma \varphi$  UNIV  $\Rightarrow PFadmit \sigma (InContext C \varphi)$ 

inductive-simps
  PPadmit-Pvar-simps[simp]: PPadmit  $\sigma$  (Pvar  $a$ )
  and PPadmit-Sequence-simps[simp]: PPadmit  $\sigma$  ( $a$  ;;  $b$ )

```

```

and PPadmit-Loop-simps[simp]: PPadmit σ (a**)
and PPadmit-ODE-simps[simp]: PPadmit σ (EvolveODE ODE p)
and PPadmit-Choice-simps[simp]: PPadmit σ (a ∪ b)
and PPadmit-Assign-simps[simp]: PPadmit σ (Assign x e)
and PPadmit-DiffAssign-simps[simp]: PPadmit σ (DiffAssign x e)
and PPadmit-Test-simps[simp]: PPadmit σ (? p)

and PFadmit-Geq-simps[simp]: PFadmit σ (Geq t1 t2)
and PFadmit-Prop-simps[simp]: PFadmit σ (Prop p args)
and PFadmit-Not-simps[simp]: PFadmit σ (Not p)
and PFadmit-And-simps[simp]: PFadmit σ (And p q)
and PFadmit-Exists-simps[simp]: PFadmit σ (Exists x p)
and PFadmit-Diamond-simps[simp]: PFadmit σ (Diamond a p)
and PFadmit-Context-simps[simp]: PFadmit σ (InContext C p)

inductive Padmit:: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) hp ⇒ bool
and Fadmit:: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ⇒ bool
where
  Padmit-Pvar:Padmit σ (Pvar a)
  | Padmit-Sequence:Padmit σ a ⇒ Padmit σ b ⇒ PUadmit σ b (BVP (Psubst a σ)) ⇒ hpsafe (Psubst a σ) ⇒ Padmit σ (Sequence a b)
  | Padmit-Loop:Padmit σ a ⇒ PUadmit σ a (BVP (Psubst a σ)) ⇒ hpsafe (Psubst a σ) ⇒ Padmit σ (Loop a)
  | Padmit-ODE:Padmit σ ODE (BVO ODE) ⇒ Fadmit σ φ ⇒ FUadmit σ φ (BVO ODE) ⇒ Padmit σ (EvolveODE ODE φ)
  | Padmit-Choice:Padmit σ a ⇒ Padmit σ b ⇒ Padmit σ (Choice a b)
  | Padmit-Assign:Tadmit σ ϑ ⇒ Padmit σ (Assign x ϑ)
  | Padmit-DiffAssign:Tadmit σ ϑ ⇒ Padmit σ (DiffAssign x ϑ)
  | Padmit-Test:Fadmit σ φ ⇒ Padmit σ (Test φ)

  | Fadmit-Geq:Tadmit σ ϑ1 ⇒ Tadmit σ ϑ2 ⇒ Fadmit σ (Geq ϑ1 ϑ2)
  | Fadmit-Prop1:(¬ i. Tadmit σ (args i)) ⇒ SPredicates σ p = Some p' ⇒ NFadmit (λ i. Tsubst (args i) σ) p' ⇒ (¬ i. dsafe (Tsubst (args i) σ)) ⇒ Fadmit σ (Prop p args)
  | Fadmit-Prop2:(¬ i. Tadmit σ (args i)) ⇒ SPredicates σ p = None ⇒ Fadmit σ (Prop p args)
  | Fadmit-Not:Fadmit σ φ ⇒ Fadmit σ (Not φ)
  | Fadmit-And:Fadmit σ φ ⇒ Fadmit σ ψ ⇒ Fadmit σ (And φ ψ)
  | Fadmit-Exists:Fadmit σ φ ⇒ FUadmit σ φ {Inl x} ⇒ Fadmit σ (Exists x φ)
  | Fadmit-Diamond:Fadmit σ φ ⇒ Padmit σ a ⇒ FUadmit σ φ (BVP (Psubst a σ)) ⇒ hpsafe (Psubst a σ) ⇒ Fadmit σ (Diamond a φ)
  | Fadmit-Context1:Fadmit σ φ ⇒ FUadmit σ φ UNIV ⇒ SContexts σ C = Some C' ⇒ PFadmit (λ -. Fsubst φ σ) C' ⇒ fsafe(Fsubst φ σ) ⇒ Fadmit σ (InContext C φ)
  | Fadmit-Context2:Fadmit σ φ ⇒ FUadmit σ φ UNIV ⇒ SContexts σ C = None ⇒ Fadmit σ (InContext C φ)

inductive-simps
  Padmit-Pvar-simps[simp]: Padmit σ (Pvar a)

```

```

and Padmit-Sequence-simps[simp]: Padmit  $\sigma(a;;b)$ 
and Padmit-Loop-simps[simp]: Padmit  $\sigma(a**)$ 
and Padmit-ODE-simps[simp]: Padmit  $\sigma(EvolveODE ODE p)$ 
and Padmit-Choice-simps[simp]: Padmit  $\sigma(a \cup b)$ 
and Padmit-Assign-simps[simp]: Padmit  $\sigma(Assign x e)$ 
and Padmit-DiffAssign-simps[simp]: Padmit  $\sigma(DiffAssign x e)$ 
and Padmit-Test-simps[simp]: Padmit  $\sigma(?)$ 

and Fadmit-Geq-simps[simp]: Fadmit  $\sigma(Geq t1 t2)$ 
and Fadmit-Prop-simps[simp]: Fadmit  $\sigma(Prop p args)$ 
and Fadmit-Not-simps[simp]: Fadmit  $\sigma(Not p)$ 
and Fadmit-And-simps[simp]: Fadmit  $\sigma(And p q)$ 
and Fadmit-Exists-simps[simp]: Fadmit  $\sigma(Exists x p)$ 
and Fadmit-Diamond-simps[simp]: Fadmit  $\sigma(Diamond a p)$ 
and Fadmit-Context-simps[simp]: Fadmit  $\sigma(InContext C p)$ 

fun extendf :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp  $\Rightarrow$  'sz Rvec  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf + 'sz, 'sc, 'sz) interp
where extendf I R =
 $(\lambda f. case f of Inl f' \Rightarrow Functions I f' | Inr f' \Rightarrow (\lambda-. R \$ f')),$ 
  Predicates = Predicates I,
  Contexts = Contexts I,
  Programs = Programs I,
  ODEs = ODEs I,
  ODEBV = ODEBV I
 $)$ 

fun extendc :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp  $\Rightarrow$  'sz state set  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc + unit, 'sz) interp
where extendc I R =
 $(\lambda C. case C of Inl C' \Rightarrow Contexts I C' | Inr () \Rightarrow (\lambda-. R)),$ 
  Predicates = Predicates I,
  Contexts = Contexts I,
  Programs = Programs I,
  ODEs = ODEs I,
  ODEBV = ODEBV I)

definition adjoint :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst  $\Rightarrow$  'sz state  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
where adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\nu$  =
 $(\lambda f. case SFunctions \sigma f of Some f' \Rightarrow (\lambda R. dterm-sem (extendf I R) f' \nu) | None \Rightarrow Functions I f),$ 
  Predicates = ( $\lambda p. case SPredicates \sigma p of Some p' \Rightarrow (\lambda R. \nu \in fml-sem (extendf I R) p') | None \Rightarrow Predicates I p)$ ,
  Contexts = ( $\lambda c. case SContexts \sigma c of Some c' \Rightarrow (\lambda R. fml-sem (extendc I R) c') | None \Rightarrow Contexts I c)$ ,
  Programs = ( $\lambda a. case SPrograms \sigma a of Some a' \Rightarrow prog-sem I a' | None \Rightarrow Programs I a)$ ,
  ODEs = ( $\lambda ode. case SODEs \sigma ode of Some ode' \Rightarrow ODE-sem I ode' | None \Rightarrow ODEs I ode$ ),
  ODEBV = ( $\lambda ode. case SODEs \sigma ode of Some ode' \Rightarrow ODE-vars I ode' | None \Rightarrow ODEBV I ode$ )

```

```

ODEBV I ode)
}

lemma dsem-to-ssem:dfree  $\vartheta \implies$  dterm-sem I  $\vartheta \nu =$  sterm-sem I  $\vartheta (\text{fst } \nu)$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition adjointFO::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('d::finite  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sz) trm)  $\Rightarrow$  'sz
state  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf + 'd, 'sc, 'sz) interp
where adjointFO I  $\sigma \nu =$ 
(⟨Functions =  $(\lambda f. \text{case } f \text{ of Inl } f' \Rightarrow \text{Functions } I f' \mid \text{Inr } f' \Rightarrow (\lambda \_. \text{dterm-sem } I (\sigma f') \nu))$ ,
Predicates = Predicates I,
Contexts = Contexts I,
Programs = Programs I,
ODEs = ODEs I,
ODEBV = ODEBV I
)

lemma adjoint-free:
assumes sfree:( $\bigwedge i f'. S\text{functions } \sigma i = \text{Some } f' \implies dfree f'$ )
shows adjoint I  $\sigma \nu =$ 
(⟨Functions =  $(\lambda f. \text{case } S\text{functions } \sigma f \text{ of Some } f' \Rightarrow (\lambda R. \text{stern-sem} (\text{extendf } I R) f' (\text{fst } \nu)) \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Functions } I f')$ ,
Predicates =  $(\lambda p. \text{case } S\text{predicates } \sigma p \text{ of Some } p' \Rightarrow (\lambda R. \nu \in fml\text{-sem} (\text{extendf } I R) p') \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Predicates } I p)$ ,
Contexts =  $(\lambda c. \text{case } S\text{contexts } \sigma c \text{ of Some } c' \Rightarrow (\lambda R. fml\text{-sem} (\text{extendc } I R) c') \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Contexts } I c)$ ,
Programs =  $(\lambda a. \text{case } S\text{programs } \sigma a \text{ of Some } a' \Rightarrow \text{prog-sem } I a' \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Programs } I a)$ ,
ODEs =  $(\lambda \text{ode}. \text{case } S\text{ODEs } \sigma \text{ode of Some } \text{ode}' \Rightarrow \text{ODE-sem } I \text{ode}' \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{ODEs } I \text{ode})$ ,
ODEBV =  $(\lambda \text{ode}. \text{case } S\text{ODEs } \sigma \text{ode of Some } \text{ode}' \Rightarrow \text{ODE-vars } I \text{ode}' \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{ODEBV } I \text{ode})$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma adjointFO-free:( $\bigwedge i. dfree (\sigma i)$ )  $\implies$  (adjointFO I  $\sigma \nu =$ 
(⟨Functions =  $(\lambda f. \text{case } f \text{ of Inl } f' \Rightarrow \text{Functions } I f' \mid \text{Inr } f' \Rightarrow (\lambda \_. \text{stern-sem } I (\sigma f') (\text{fst } \nu)))$ ,
Predicates = Predicates I,
Contexts = Contexts I,
Programs = Programs I,
ODEs = ODEs I,
ODEBV = ODEBV I)⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition PFAdjoint::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp  $\Rightarrow$  ('d::finite  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula)
 $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc + 'd, 'sz) interp
where PFAdjoint I  $\sigma =$ 
(⟨Functions = Functions I,

```

```

Predicates = Predicates I,
Contexts = ( $\lambda f. \text{case } f \text{ of } \text{Inl } f' \Rightarrow \text{Contexts } I f' \mid \text{Inr } f' \Rightarrow (\lambda-. \text{fml-sem } I (\sigma f'))$ ),
Programs = Programs I,
ODEs = ODEs I,
ODEBV = ODEBV I)

```

```

fun Ssubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent
where Ssubst ( $\Gamma, \Delta$ )  $\sigma$  = (map ( $\lambda \varphi. F\text{subst } \varphi \sigma$ )  $\Gamma$ , map ( $\lambda \varphi. F\text{subst } \varphi \sigma$ )  $\Delta$ )

fun Rsubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule
where Rsubst ( $SG, C$ )  $\sigma$  = (map ( $\lambda \varphi. S\text{subst } \varphi \sigma$ )  $SG$ , Ssubst  $C \sigma$ )

definition Sadmit::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where Sadmit  $\sigma S \longleftrightarrow ((\forall i. i \geq 0 \rightarrow i < \text{length } (\text{fst } S) \rightarrow F\text{admit } \sigma (\text{nth } (\text{fst } S) i))$ 
 $\wedge (\forall i. i \geq 0 \rightarrow i < \text{length } (\text{snd } S) \rightarrow F\text{admit } \sigma (\text{nth } (\text{snd } S) i)))$ 

definition Radmit::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where Radmit  $\sigma R \longleftrightarrow (((\forall i. i \geq 0 \rightarrow i < \text{length } (\text{fst } R) \rightarrow Sadmit \sigma (\text{nth } (\text{fst } R) i))$ 
 $\wedge Sadmit \sigma (\text{snd } R)))$ 

end end
theory USubst-Lemma
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
  Static-Semantics
  Coincidence
  Bound-Effect
  USubst
begin context ids begin

```

11 Soundness proof for uniform substitution rule

```

lemma interp-eq:
 $f = f' \Rightarrow p = p' \Rightarrow c = c' \Rightarrow PP = PP' \Rightarrow ode = ode' \Rightarrow odebv = odebv'$ 
 $\Rightarrow$ 
 $(\text{Functions} = f, \text{Predicates} = p, \text{Contexts} = c, \text{Programs} = PP, \text{ODEs} = ode,$ 
 $\text{ODEBV} = odebv) =$ 
 $(\text{Functions} = f', \text{Predicates} = p', \text{Contexts} = c', \text{Programs} = PP', \text{ODEs} = ode',$ 
 $\text{ODEBV} = odebv')$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

11.1 Lemmas about well-formedness of (adjoint) interpretations.

When adding a function to an interpretation with `extendf`, we need to show it's C1 continuous. We do this by explicitly constructing the derivative `extendf_deriv` and showing it's continuous.

```
primrec extendf-deriv :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) interp ⇒ 'sf ⇒ ('sf + 'sz,'sz) trm ⇒ 'sz state
⇒ 'sz Rvec ⇒ ('sz Rvec ⇒ real)
```

where

```
extendf-deriv I - (Var i) ν x = (λ-. 0)
| extendf-deriv I - (Const r) ν x = (λ-. 0)
| extendf-deriv I g (Function f args) ν x =
  (case f of
    Inl ff ⇒ (THE f'. ∀ y. (Functions I ff has-derivative f' y) (at y))
    (χ i. dterm-sem
      (Functions = case-sum (Functions I) (λf' -. x \$ f'), Predicates
      = Predicates I, Contexts = Contexts I, Programs = Programs I,
      ODEs = ODEs I, ODEBV = ODEBV I)
      (args i) ν) ◦
      (λν'. χ ia. extendf-deriv I g (args ia) ν x ν')
    | Inr ff ⇒ (λ ν'. ν' \$ ff))
  | extendf-deriv I g (Plus t1 t2) ν x = (λν'. (extendf-deriv I g t1 ν x ν') +
  (extendf-deriv I g t2 ν x ν'))
  | extendf-deriv I g (Times t1 t2) ν x =
    (λν'. ((dterm-sem (extendf I x) t1 ν * (extendf-deriv I g t2 ν x ν'))))
    + (extendf-deriv I g t1 ν x ν') * (dterm-sem (extendf I x) t2 ν))
  | extendf-deriv I g (S' -) ν = undefined
  | extendf-deriv I g (Differential -) ν = undefined
```

lemma `extendf-dterm-sem-continuous`:

```
fixes f'::('sf + 'sz,'sz) trm and I::('sf,'sc,'sz) interp
assumes free:dfree f'
assumes good-interp:is-interp I
shows continuous-on UNIV (λx. dterm-sem (extendf I x) f' ν)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma `extendf-deriv-bounded`:

```
fixes f'::('sf + 'sz,'sz) trm and I::('sf,'sc,'sz) interp
assumes free:dfree f'
assumes good-interp:is-interp I
shows bounded-linear (extendf-deriv I i f' ν x)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma `extendf-deriv-continuous`:

```
fixes f'::('sf + 'sz,'sz) trm and I::('sf,'sc,'sz) interp
assumes free:dfree f'
assumes good-interp:is-interp I
shows continuous-on UNIV (λx. Blinfun (extendf-deriv I i f' ν x))
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *extendf-deriv*:
fixes $f'::('sf + 'sz,'sz) \text{ trm}$ **and** $I::('sf,'sc,'sz) \text{ interp}$
assumes *free:dfree f'*
assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
shows $\exists f''. \forall x. ((\lambda R. dterm-sem (extendf I R) f' \nu) \text{ has-derivative} (\text{extendf-deriv } I i-f f' \nu x)) \text{ (at } x)$
<proof>

lemma *adjoint-safe*:
assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
assumes *good-subst:(\bigwedge i f'. SFunctions \sigma i = Some f' \Rightarrow dfree f')*
shows *is-interp (adjoint I \sigma \nu)*
<proof>

lemma *adjointFO-safe*:
assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
assumes *good-subst:(\bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i))*
shows *is-interp (adjointFO I \sigma \nu)*
<proof>

11.2 Lemmas about adjoint interpretations

lemma *adjoint-consequence:(\bigwedge f'. SFunctions \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow dsafe f') \Rightarrow (\bigwedge f'. SPredicates \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow fsafe f') \Rightarrow Vagree \nu \omega (FVS \sigma) \Rightarrow adjoint I \sigma \nu = adjoint I \sigma \omega*
<proof>

lemma *SIGT-plus1:Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} (Plus t1 t2). case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None \Rightarrow \{\}) \Rightarrow Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} t1. case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None \Rightarrow \{\})*
<proof>

lemma *SIGT-plus2:Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} (Plus t1 t2). case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None \Rightarrow \{\}) \Rightarrow Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} t2. case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None \Rightarrow \{\})*
<proof>

lemma *SIGT-times1:Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} (Times t1 t2). case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None \Rightarrow \{\}) \Rightarrow Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} t1. case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None \Rightarrow \{\})*
<proof>

lemma *SIGT-times2:Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} (Times t1 t2). case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None \Rightarrow \{\}) \Rightarrow Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} t2. case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None*

$\Rightarrow \{\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-sterm-adjoint'*:

assumes *dsafe*: $\bigwedge f f'. SFunctions \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow dsafe f'$
assumes *fsafe*: $\bigwedge f f'. SPredicates \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow fsafe f'$
shows *Vagree* $\nu \omega (\bigcup i \in SIGT \vartheta. case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None \Rightarrow \{\}) \Rightarrow sterm-sem (adjoint I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = sterm-sem (adjoint I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-sterm-adjoint*:

assumes *TUA*:*TUadmit* $\sigma \vartheta U$
assumes *VA*:*Vagree* $\nu \omega (-U)$
assumes *dsafe*: $\bigwedge f f'. SFunctions \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow dsafe f'$
assumes *fsafe*: $\bigwedge f f'. SPredicates \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow fsafe f'$
shows *sterm-sem* (*adjoint* $I \sigma \nu$) $\vartheta = sterm-sem (adjoint I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-sterm-ntadjoin'*:

assumes *dsafe*: $\bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i)$
shows *Vagree* $\nu \omega ((\bigcup i \in \{i. Inr i \in SIGT \vartheta\}. FVT (\sigma i))) \Rightarrow sterm-sem (adjointFO I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = sterm-sem (adjointFO I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-sterm-ntadjoin*:

assumes *TUA*:*NTUadmit* $\sigma \vartheta U$
assumes *VA*:*Vagree* $\nu \omega (-U)$
assumes *dsafe*: $\bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i)$
assumes *good-interp*:*is-interp* *I*
shows *sterm-sem* (*adjointFO* $I \sigma \nu$) $\vartheta = sterm-sem (adjointFO I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-dterm-adjoint'*:

assumes *dfree*: $\bigwedge f f'. SFunctions \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow dfree f'$
assumes *fsafe*: $\bigwedge f f'. SPredicates \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow fsafe f'$
assumes *good-interp*:*is-interp* *I*
shows $\bigwedge \nu \omega. Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup i \in SIGT \vartheta. case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x | None \Rightarrow \{\}) \Rightarrow dsafe \vartheta \Rightarrow dterm-sem (adjoint I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = dterm-sem (adjoint I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-dterm-adjoint*:

assumes *TUA*:*TUadmit* $\sigma \vartheta U$
assumes *VA*:*Vagree* $\nu \omega (-U)$
assumes *dfree*: $\bigwedge f f'. SFunctions \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow dfree f'$
assumes *fsafe*: $\bigwedge f f'. SPredicates \sigma f = Some f' \Rightarrow fsafe f'$
assumes *dsafe*:*dsafe* ϑ
assumes *good-interp*:*is-interp* *I*
shows *dterm-sem* (*adjoint* $I \sigma \nu$) $\vartheta = dterm-sem (adjoint I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma uadmit-dterm-ntadjoint':
  assumes dfree: $\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)$ 
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows  $\bigwedge \nu \omega. \text{Vagree } \nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in \{i. \text{Inr } i \in \text{SIGT } \vartheta\}}. \text{FVT } (\sigma i)) \implies \text{dsafe } \vartheta$ 
 $\implies \text{dterm-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = \text{dterm-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma uadmit-dterm-ntadjoint:
  assumes TUA:NTUAdmit  $\sigma \vartheta U$ 
  assumes VA:Vagree  $\nu \omega (-U)$ 
  assumes dfree: $\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)$ 
  assumes dsafe:dsafe  $\vartheta$ 
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows dterm-sem  $(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = \text{dterm-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition ssafe ::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where ssafe  $\sigma \equiv$ 
   $(\forall i f'. \text{SFunctions } \sigma i = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{dfree } f') \wedge$ 
   $(\forall f f'. \text{SPredicates } \sigma f = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{fsafe } f') \wedge$ 
   $(\forall f f'. \text{SPrograms } \sigma f = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{hpsafe } f') \wedge$ 
   $(\forall f f'. \text{SODEs } \sigma f = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{osafe } f') \wedge$ 
   $(\forall C C'. \text{SContexts } \sigma C = \text{Some } C' \implies \text{fsafe } C')$ 

lemma uadmit-dterm-adjointS:
  assumes ssafe:ssafe  $\sigma$ 
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  fixes  $\nu \omega$ 
  assumes VA:Vagree  $\nu \omega (\bigcup_{i \in \text{SIGT } \vartheta}. \text{case SFunctions } \sigma i \text{ of Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT}$ 
 $x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\})$ 
  assumes dsafe:dsafe  $\vartheta$ 
  shows dterm-sem  $(\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = \text{dterm-sem } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma adj-sub-assign-fact: $\bigwedge i j e. i \in \text{SIGT } e \implies j \in (\text{case SFunctions } \sigma i \text{ of Some }$ 
 $x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies \text{Inl } i \in (\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SFunctions } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } ($ 
 $\text{Inl } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SContexts } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPredicates } \sigma)\} \cup$ 
 $\{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPrograms } \sigma)\}) \cap$ 
 $\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{SIGT } e\})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma adj-sub-geq1-fact: $\bigwedge i j x1 x2. i \in \text{SIGT } x1 \implies j \in (\text{case SFunctions } \sigma i \text{ of }$ 
 $\text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies \text{Inl } i \in (\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SFunctions } \sigma)\} \cup$ 
 $\{\text{Inr } (\text{Inl } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SContexts } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPredicates } \sigma)\} \cup$ 
 $\{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPrograms } \sigma)\}) \cap$ 
 $\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{SIGT } x1 \vee x \in \text{SIGT } x2\})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma $\text{adj-sub-geq2-fact}:\bigwedge i j x1 x2. i \in \text{SIGT } x2 \implies j \in (\text{case SFunctions } \sigma \text{ } i \text{ of Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies \text{Inl } i \in (\{\text{Inl } x \mid x \in \text{dom (SFunctions } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inl } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SContexts } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SPredicates } \sigma)\}) \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SPrograms } \sigma)\}) \cap \{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{SIGT } x1 \vee x \in \text{SIGT } x2\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{adj-sub-prop-fact}:\bigwedge i j x1 x2 k. i \in \text{SIGT } (x2 k) \implies j \in (\text{case SFunctions } \sigma \text{ } i \text{ of Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies \text{Inl } i \in (\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SFunctions } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inl } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SContexts } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SPredicates } \sigma)\}) \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SPrograms } \sigma)\}) \cap \text{insert } (\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x1)) \{\text{Inl } x \mid x. \exists xa. x \in \text{SIGT } (x2 xa)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{adj-sub-ode-fact}:\bigwedge i j x1 x2. \text{Inl } i \in \text{SIGO } x1 \implies j \in (\text{case SFunctions } \sigma \text{ } i \text{ of Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies \text{Inl } i \in (\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SFunctions } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inl } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SContexts } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SPredicates } \sigma)\}) \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom (SPrograms } \sigma)\}) \cap (\text{SIGF } x2 \cup \{\text{Inl } x \mid x. \text{Inl } x \in \text{SIGO } x1\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. \text{Inr } x \in \text{SIGO } x1\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{adj-sub-assign}:\bigwedge e \sigma x. (\bigcup_{i \in \text{SIGT } e} \text{case SFunctions } \sigma \text{ } i \text{ of Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup_{a \in \text{SDom } \sigma} \text{SIGP } (x := e). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{adj-sub-diff-assign}:\bigwedge e \sigma x. (\bigcup_{i \in \text{SIGT } e} \text{case SFunctions } \sigma \text{ } i \text{ of Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup_{a \in \text{SDom } \sigma} \text{SIGP } (\text{DiffAssign } x e). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{adj-sub-geq1}:\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2. (\bigcup_{i \in \text{SIGT } x1} \text{case SFunctions } \sigma \text{ } i \text{ of Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup_{a \in \text{SDom } \sigma} \text{SIGF } (\text{Geq } x1 x2). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{adj-sub-geq2}:\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2. (\bigcup_{i \in \text{SIGT } x2} \text{case SFunctions } \sigma \text{ } i \text{ of Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup_{a \in \text{SDom } \sigma} \text{SIGF } (\text{Geq } x1 x2). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{adj-sub-prop}:\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2 j. (\bigcup_{i \in \text{SIGT } (x2 j)} \text{case SFunctions } \sigma \text{ } i \text{ of Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup_{a \in \text{SDom } \sigma} \text{SIGF } (\$varphi x1 x2). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{adj-sub-ode}:\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2. (\bigcup_{i \in \{i \mid i. \text{Inl } i \in \text{SIGO } x1\}} \text{case SFunctions } \sigma \text{ } i \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x) \subseteq (\bigcup_{a \in \text{SDom } \sigma} \text{SIGP } (\text{EvolveODE } x1 x2). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma uadmit-ode-adjoint':
  fixes  $\sigma$  I
  assumes ssafe:ssafe  $\sigma$ 
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows  $\bigwedge \nu \omega$ . Vagree  $\nu \omega$  ( $\bigcup i \in \{i \mid i. Inl i \in SIGO ODE\}$ . case SFunctions  $\sigma$  i of None  $\Rightarrow \{\}$  | Some  $x \Rightarrow FVT x$ )  $\implies$  osafe ODE  $\implies$  ODE-sem (adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\nu$ ) ODE = ODE-sem (adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\omega$ ) ODE
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uadmit-ode-ntadjoint':
  fixes  $\sigma$  I
  assumes ssafe: $\bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i)$ 
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows  $\bigwedge \nu \omega$ . Vagree  $\nu \omega$  ( $\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl (Inr y) \in SIGO ODE\}$ . FVT ( $\sigma y$ ))  $\implies$  osafe ODE  $\implies$  ODE-sem (adjointFO I  $\sigma$   $\nu$ ) ODE = ODE-sem (adjointFO I  $\sigma$   $\omega$ ) ODE
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma adjoint-ode-vars:
  shows ODE-vars (local.adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\nu$ ) ODE = ODE-vars (local.adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\omega$ ) ODE
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uadmit-mkv-adjoint:
  assumes ssafe:ssafe  $\sigma$ 
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  assumes VA: Vagree  $\nu \omega$  ( $\bigcup i \in \{i \mid i. (Inl i \in SIGO ODE)\}$ . case SFunctions  $\sigma$  i of Some  $x \Rightarrow FVT x$  | None  $\Rightarrow \{\}$ )
  assumes osafe:osafe ODE
  shows mk-v (adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\nu$ ) ODE = mk-v (adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\omega$ ) ODE
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma adjointFO-ode-vars:
  shows ODE-vars (adjointFO I  $\sigma$   $\nu$ ) ODE = ODE-vars (adjointFO I  $\sigma$   $\omega$ ) ODE
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uadmit-mkv-ntadjoint:
  assumes ssafe: $\bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i)$ 
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  assumes VA: Vagree  $\nu \omega$  ( $\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl (Inr y) \in SIGO ODE\}$ . FVT ( $\sigma y$ ))
  assumes osafe:osafe ODE
  shows mk-v (adjointFO I  $\sigma$   $\nu$ ) ODE = mk-v (adjointFO I  $\sigma$   $\omega$ ) ODE
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uadmit-prog-fml-adjoint':
  fixes  $\sigma$  I
  assumes ssafe:ssafe  $\sigma$ 
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I

```

shows $\bigwedge \nu \omega. V\text{agree } \nu \omega (\bigcup x \in S\text{Dom } \sigma \cap SIGP \alpha. SFV \sigma x) \implies hpsafe \alpha \implies$
 $\text{prog-sem}(\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \alpha = \text{prog-sem}(\text{adjoint } I \sigma \omega) \alpha$
and $\bigwedge \nu \omega. V\text{agree } \nu \omega (\bigcup x \in S\text{Dom } \sigma \cap SIGF \varphi. SFV \sigma x) \implies fsafe \varphi \implies$
 $fml\text{-sem}(\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \varphi = fml\text{-sem}(\text{adjoint } I \sigma \omega) \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-prog-adjoint*:
assumes *PUA*:*PUadmit* $\sigma a U$
assumes *VA*:*Vagree* $\nu \omega (-U)$
assumes *hpsafe*:*hpsafe* a
assumes *ssafe*:*ssafe* σ
assumes *good-interp*:*is-interp* I
shows $\text{prog-sem}(\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) a = \text{prog-sem}(\text{adjoint } I \sigma \omega) a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-fml-adjoint*:
assumes *FUA*:*FUadmit* $\sigma \varphi U$
assumes *VA*:*Vagree* $\nu \omega (-U)$
assumes *fsafe*:*fsafe* φ
assumes *ssafe*:*ssafe* σ
assumes *good-interp*:*is-interp* I
shows $fml\text{-sem}(\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \varphi = fml\text{-sem}(\text{adjoint } I \sigma \omega) \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntadj-sub-assign*: $\bigwedge e \sigma x. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inr y \in SIGT e\}. FVT(\sigma y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl (Inr y) \in SIGP (\text{Assign } x e)\}. FVT(\sigma y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntadj-sub-diff-assign*: $\bigwedge e \sigma x. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl y \in SIGT e\}. FVT(\sigma y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl (Inl y) \in SIGP (\text{DiffAssign } x e)\}. FVT(\sigma y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntadj-sub-geq1*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl y \in SIGT x1\}. FVT(\sigma y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl (Inl y) \in SIGF (\text{Geq } x1 x2)\}. FVT(\sigma y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntadj-sub-geq2*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl y \in SIGT x2\}. FVT(\sigma y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl (Inl y) \in SIGF (\text{Geq } x1 x2)\}. FVT(\sigma y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntadj-sub-prop*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2 j. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl y \in SIGT(x2 j)\}. FVT(\sigma y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl (Inl y) \in SIGF (\$\varphi x1 x2)\}. FVT(\sigma y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntadj-sub-ode*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl (Inl y) \in SIGO x1\}. FVT(\sigma y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl (Inl y) \in SIGP (\text{EvolveODE } x1 x2)\}. FVT(\sigma y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-prog-fml-ntadjoint'*:

```

fixes  $\sigma$   $I$ 
assumes  $ssafe: \bigwedge i. dsafe(\sigma i)$ 
assumes  $good\text{-}interp: is\text{-}interp I$ 
shows  $\bigwedge \nu \omega. Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{x \in \{x. Inl(Inv x) \in SIGP \alpha\}} FVT(\sigma x)) \implies hpsafe \alpha \implies prog\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \alpha = prog\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \alpha$ 
and  $\bigwedge \nu \omega. Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup_{x \in \{x. Inl(Inv x) \in SIGF \varphi\}} FVT(\sigma x)) \implies fsafe \varphi \implies fml\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \varphi = fml\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \varphi$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $uadmit\text{-}prog\text{-}ntadjoint$ :
assumes  $TUA: PUadmitFO \sigma \alpha U$ 
assumes  $VA: Vagree \nu \omega (-U)$ 
assumes  $dfree: \bigwedge i. dsafe(\sigma i)$ 
assumes  $hpsafe: hpsafe \alpha$ 
assumes  $good\text{-}interp: is\text{-}interp I$ 
shows  $prog\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \alpha = prog\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \alpha$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $uadmit\text{-}fml\text{-}ntadjoint$ :
assumes  $TUA: FUadmitFO \sigma \varphi U$ 
assumes  $VA: Vagree \nu \omega (-U)$ 
assumes  $dfree: \bigwedge i. dsafe(\sigma i)$ 
assumes  $fsafe: fsafe \varphi$ 
assumes  $good\text{-}interp: is\text{-}interp I$ 
shows  $fml\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \varphi = fml\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \varphi$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

11.3 Substitution theorems for terms

```

lemma  $nsubst\text{-}sterm$ :
fixes  $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp$ 
fixes  $\nu::'sz state$ 
shows  $TadmitFFO \sigma \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. dsafe(\sigma i)) \implies sterm\text{-}sem I (TsubstFO \vartheta \sigma)(fst \nu) = sterm\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \vartheta (fst \nu)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $nsubst\text{-}sterm'$ :
fixes  $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp$ 
fixes  $a b::'sz simple-state$ 
shows  $TadmitFFO \sigma \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. dsafe(\sigma i)) \implies sterm\text{-}sem I (TsubstFO \vartheta \sigma)a = sterm\text{-}sem(\text{adjointFO } I \sigma (a,b)) \vartheta a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $nts subst\text{-}preserves-free$ :
dfree  $\vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. dfree(\sigma i)) \implies dfree(TsubstFO \vartheta \sigma)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $tsubst\text{-}preserves-free$ :
dfree  $\vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i f'. SFunctions \sigma i = Some f' \implies dfree f') \implies dfree(Tsubst \vartheta \sigma)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma subst-sterm:
  fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
  fixes ν::'sz state
  shows
    TadmitF σ θ  $\implies$ 
    ( $\bigwedge i f'. SFunctions\ σ\ i = Some\ f' \implies dfree\ f'$ )  $\implies$ 
    sterm-sem I (Tsubst θ σ) (fst ν) = sterm-sem (adjoint I σ ν) θ (fst ν)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nsubst-dterm':
  fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
  fixes ν::'sz state
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows TadmitFO σ θ  $\implies$  dfree θ  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge i. dsafe\ (\sigma\ i)$ )  $\implies$  dterm-sem I
  (TsubstFO θ σ) ν = dterm-sem (adjointFO I σ ν) θ ν
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nsubst-free-to-safe:
  dfree θ  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge i. dsafe\ (\sigma\ i)$ )  $\implies$  dsafe (TsubstFO θ σ)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nsubst-preserves-safe:
  dsafe θ  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge i. dfree\ (\sigma\ i)$ )  $\implies$  dsafe (TsubstFO θ σ)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tsubst-preserves-safe:
  dsafe θ  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge i f'. SFunctions\ σ\ i = Some\ f' \implies dfree\ f'$ )  $\implies$  dsafe (Tsubst θ σ)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-dterm:
  fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows
    Tadmit σ θ  $\implies$ 
    dsafe θ  $\implies$ 
    ( $\bigwedge i f'. SFunctions\ σ\ i = Some\ f' \implies dfree\ f'$ )  $\implies$ 
    ( $\bigwedge f f'. SPredicates\ σ\ f = Some\ f' \implies fsafe\ f'$ )  $\implies$ 
    ( $\bigwedge ν. dterm-sem\ I\ (Tsubst\ θ\ σ)\ ν = dterm-sem\ (adjoint\ I\ σ\ ν)\ θ\ ν$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

11.4 Substitution theorems for ODEs

```

lemma osubst-preserves-safe:
  assumes ssafe:ssafe σ
  shows (osafe ODE  $\implies$  Oadmit σ ODE U  $\implies$  osafe (Osubst ODE σ))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nosubst-preserves-safe:
  assumes sfree: $\bigwedge i$ . dfree ( $\sigma$   $i$ )
  fixes  $\alpha :: ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c)$  hp and  $\varphi :: ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c)$  formula
  shows (osafe ODE  $\Rightarrow$  OUadmitFO  $\sigma$  ODE U  $\Rightarrow$  osafe (OsubstFO ODE  $\sigma$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nsubst-dterm:
  fixes  $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$  interp
  fixes  $\nu::'sz$  state
  fixes  $\nu'::'sz$  state
  assumes good-interp:is-interp  $I$ 
  shows TadmitFO  $\sigma$   $\vartheta \Rightarrow$  dsafe  $\vartheta \Rightarrow (\bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i)) \Rightarrow$  dterm-sem  $I$ 
  (TsubstFO  $\vartheta \sigma$ )  $\nu =$  dterm-sem (adjointFO  $I \sigma \nu$ )  $\vartheta \nu$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nsubst-ode:
  fixes  $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$  interp
  fixes  $\nu::'sz$  state
  fixes  $\nu'::'sz$  state
  assumes good-interp:is-interp  $I$ 
  shows osafe ODE  $\Rightarrow$  OadmitFO  $\sigma$  ODE U  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i)$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ODE-sem
   $I$  (OsubstFO ODE  $\sigma$ ) (fst  $\nu$ ) = ODE-sem (adjointFO  $I \sigma \nu$ ) ODE (fst  $\nu$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma osubst-preserves-BVO:
  shows BVO (OsubstFO ODE  $\sigma$ ) = BVO ODE
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma osubst-preserves-ODE-vars:
  shows ODE-vars  $I$  (OsubstFO ODE  $\sigma$ ) = ODE-vars (adjointFO  $I \sigma \nu$ ) ODE
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma osubst-preserves-semBV:
  shows semBV  $I$  (OsubstFO ODE  $\sigma$ ) = semBV (adjointFO  $I \sigma \nu$ ) ODE
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nsubst-mkv:
  fixes  $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$  interp
  fixes  $\nu::'sz$  state
  fixes  $\nu'::'sz$  state
  assumes good-interp:is-interp  $I$ 
  assumes NOU:OadmitFO  $\sigma$  ODE U
  assumes osafe:osafe ODE
  assumes frees:( $\bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i)$ )
  shows (mk-v  $I$  (OsubstFO ODE  $\sigma$ )  $\nu$  (fst  $\nu'$ ))
  = (mk-v (adjointFO  $I \sigma \nu'$ ) ODE  $\nu$  (fst  $\nu'$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ODE-unbound-zero:

```

```

fixes i
shows Inl i  $\notin$  BVO ODE  $\implies$  ODE-sem I ODE x \$ i = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ODE-bound-effect:
fixes s t sol ODE X b
assumes s:s ∈ {0..t}
assumes sol:(sol solves-ode (λ-. ODE-sem I ODE)) {0..t} X
shows Vagree (sol 0,b) (sol s, b) (-(BVO ODE))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma NO-sub:OadmitFO σ ODE A  $\implies$  B ⊆ A  $\implies$  OadmitFO σ ODE B
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma NO-to-NOU:OadmitFO σ ODE S  $\implies$  OUadmitFO σ ODE S
⟨proof⟩

```

11.5 Substitution theorems for formulas and programs

```

lemma nsubst-hp-fml:
fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
assumes good-interp:is-interp I
shows (NPadmit σ α  $\longrightarrow$  (hpsafe α  $\longrightarrow$  (forall i. dsafe (σ i))  $\longrightarrow$  (forall ν ω. ((ν, ω) ∈ prog-sem I (PsubstFO α σ))) = ((ν, ω) ∈ prog-sem (adjointFO I σ ν) α))) ∧
( NFadmit σ φ  $\longrightarrow$  (fsafe φ  $\longrightarrow$  (forall i. dsafe (σ i))  $\longrightarrow$  (forall ν. (ν ∈ fml-sem I (FsubstFO φ σ)) = (ν ∈ fml-sem (adjointFO I σ ν) φ)))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nsubst-fml:
fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
fixes ν::'sz state
assumes good-interp:is-interp I
assumes NFA:NPadmit σ φ
assumes fsafe:fsafe φ
assumes frees:(forall i. dsafe (σ i))
shows (ν ∈ fml-sem I (FsubstFO φ σ)) = (ν ∈ fml-sem (adjointFO I σ ν) φ)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nsubst-hp:
fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
fixes ν::'sz state
assumes good-interp:is-interp I
assumes NPA:NPadmit σ α
assumes hpsafe:hpsafe α
assumes frees:forall i. dsafe (σ i)
shows ((ν, ω) ∈ prog-sem I (PsubstFO α σ)) = ((ν, ω) ∈ prog-sem (adjointFO I σ ν) α)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma psubst-sterm:
  fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows (sterm-sem I  $\vartheta$  = sterm-sem (PFadjoint I  $\sigma$ )  $\vartheta$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma psubst-dterm:
  fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows (dsafe  $\vartheta$   $\Rightarrow$  dterm-sem I  $\vartheta$  = dterm-sem (PFadjoint I  $\sigma$ )  $\vartheta$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma psubst-ode:
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows ODE-sem I ODE = ODE-sem (PFadjoint I  $\sigma$ ) ODE
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma psubst-fml:
  fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows (PPadmit  $\sigma$   $\alpha$   $\rightarrow$  hpsafe  $\alpha$   $\rightarrow$  ( $\forall i$ . fsafe ( $\sigma$   $i$ ))  $\rightarrow$  ( $\forall \nu \omega$ .  $(\nu, \omega) \in$  prog-sem I (PPsubst  $\alpha$   $\sigma$ ) = ( $(\nu, \omega) \in$  prog-sem (PFadjoint I  $\sigma$ )  $\alpha$ )))  $\wedge$ 
    (PFAdmit  $\sigma$   $\varphi$   $\rightarrow$  fsafe  $\varphi$   $\rightarrow$  ( $\forall i$ . fsafe ( $\sigma$   $i$ ))  $\rightarrow$  ( $\forall \nu$ .  $\nu \in$  fml-sem I (PFsubst  $\varphi$   $\sigma$ ) = ( $\nu \in$  fml-sem (PFadjoint I  $\sigma$ )  $\varphi$ )))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst-ode:
  fixes I:: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp and  $\nu :: 'sz$  state
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows osafe ODE  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ssafe  $\sigma \Rightarrow$ 
    Oadmit  $\sigma$  ODE (BVO ODE)  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ODE-sem I (Osubst ODE  $\sigma$ ) (fst  $\nu$ ) = ODE-sem (adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\nu$ ) ODE (fst  $\nu$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma osubst-eq-ODE-vars: ODE-vars I (Osubst ODE  $\sigma$ ) = ODE-vars (adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\nu$ ) ODE
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst-semBV:semBV (adjoint I  $\sigma$   $\nu'$ ) ODE = (semBV I (Osubst ODE  $\sigma$ ))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst-mkv:
  fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
  fixes  $\nu :: 'sz$  state
  fixes  $\nu' :: 'sz$  state
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I

```

```

assumes NOU:Oadmit σ ODE (BVO ODE)
assumes osafe:osafe ODE
assumes frees:ssafe σ
shows (mk-v I (Osubst ODE σ) ν (fst ν'))  

= (mk-v (adjoint I σ ν') ODE ν (fst ν'))
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-fml-hp:
fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
assumes good-interp:is-interp I
shows
(PAdmit σ α →
(hpsafe α →
(ssafe σ →
(∀ ν ω. ((ν, ω) ∈ prog-sem I (Psubst α σ)) = ((ν, ω) ∈ prog-sem (adjoint I σ  

ν) α))))  

∧  

(FAdmit σ φ →
(fsafe φ →
(ssafe σ →
(∀ ν. (ν ∈ fml-sem I (Fsubst φ σ)) = (ν ∈ fml-sem (adjoint I σ ν) φ))))  

⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-fml:
fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp and ν::'sz state
assumes good-interp:is-interp I
assumes FAdmit:FAdmit σ φ
assumes fsafe:fsafe φ
assumes ssafe:ssafe σ
shows (ν ∈ fml-sem I (Fsubst φ σ)) = (ν ∈ fml-sem (adjoint I σ ν) φ)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-fml-valid:
fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp and ν::'sz state
assumes FAdmit:FAdmit σ φ
assumes fsafe:fsafe φ
assumes ssafe:ssafe σ
assumes valid:valid φ
shows valid (Fsubst φ σ)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-sequent:
fixes I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp and ν::'sz state
assumes good-interp:is-interp I
assumes SAdmit:SAdmit σ (Γ,Δ)
assumes Ssafe:Ssafe (Γ,Δ)
assumes ssafe:ssafe σ
shows (ν ∈ seq-sem I (Ssubst (Γ,Δ) σ)) = (ν ∈ seq-sem (adjoint I σ ν) (Γ,Δ))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

11.6 Soundness of substitution rule

```

theorem subst-rule:
  assumes sound:sound R
  assumes Radmit:Radmit  $\sigma$  R
  assumes FVS:FVS  $\sigma = \{\}$ 
  assumes Rsafe:Rsafe R
  assumes ssafe:ssafe  $\sigma$ 
  shows sound (Rsubst R  $\sigma$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

end end
theory Uniform-Renaming
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
  Static-Semantics
  Coincidence
  Bound-Effect
begin context ids begin

```

12 Uniform and Bound Renaming

Definitions and soundness proofs for the renaming rules Uniform Renaming and Bound Renaming. Renaming in dL swaps the names of two variables x and y, as in the swap operator of Nominal Logic.

```

fun swap :: 'sz  $\Rightarrow$  'sz  $\Rightarrow$  'sz  $\Rightarrow$  'sz
where swap x y z = (if  $z = x$  then y else if  $z = y$  then x else z)

```

12.1 Uniform Renaming Definitions

```

primrec TUrename :: 'sz  $\Rightarrow$  'sz  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sz) trm  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sz) trm
where
  TUrename x y (Var z) = Var (swap x y z)
  | TUrename x y (DiffVar z) = DiffVar (swap x y z)
  | TUrename x y (Const r) = (Const r)
  | TUrename x y (Function f args) = Function f ( $\lambda i$ . TUrename x y (args i))
  | TUrename x y (Plus  $\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2$ ) = Plus (TUrename x y  $\vartheta_1$ ) (TUrename x y  $\vartheta_2$ )
  | TUrename x y (Times  $\vartheta_1 \vartheta_2$ ) = Times (TUrename x y  $\vartheta_1$ ) (TUrename x y  $\vartheta_2$ )
  | TUrename x y (Differential  $\vartheta$ ) = Differential (TUrename x y  $\vartheta$ )

```

```

primrec OUrename :: 'sz  $\Rightarrow$  'sz  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sz) ODE  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sz) ODE

```

```

where
  OUrename  $x y (OVar c) = undefined$ 
  | OUrename  $x y (OSing z \vartheta) = OSing (swap x y z) (TUrename x y \vartheta)$ 
  | OUrename  $x y (OProd ODE1 ODE2) = OProd (OUrename x y ODE1) (OUrename x y ODE2)$ 

inductive ORadmit :: ('sf, 'sz) ODE  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  ORadmit-Sing:ORadmit (OSing x \vartheta)
  | ORadmit-Prod:ORadmit ODE1  $\Longrightarrow$  ORadmit ODE2  $\Longrightarrow$  ORadmit (OProd ODE1 ODE2)

primrec PUrename :: 'sz  $\Rightarrow$  'sz  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp
  and  FUrename :: 'sz  $\Rightarrow$  'sz  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where
  PUrename  $x y (Pvar a) = undefined$ 
  | PUrename  $x y (Assign z \vartheta) = Assign (swap x y z) (TUrename x y \vartheta)$ 
  | PUrename  $x y (DiffAssign z \vartheta) = DiffAssign (swap x y z) (TUrename x y \vartheta)$ 
  | PUrename  $x y (Test \varphi) = Test (FUrename x y \varphi)$ 
  | PUrename  $x y (EvolveODE ODE \varphi) = EvolveODE (OUrename x y ODE) (FUrename x y \varphi)$ 
  | PUrename  $x y (Choice a b) = Choice (PUrename x y a) (PUrename x y b)$ 
  | PUrename  $x y (Sequence a b) = Sequence (PUrename x y a) (PUrename x y b)$ 
  | PUrename  $x y (Loop a) = Loop (PUrename x y a)$ 

  | FUrename  $x y (Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2) = Geq (TUrename x y \vartheta_1) (TUrename x y \vartheta_2)$ 
  | FUrename  $x y (Prop p args) = Prop p (\lambda i. TUrename x y (args i))$ 
  | FUrename  $x y (Not \varphi) = Not (FUrename x y \varphi)$ 
  | FUrename  $x y (And \varphi \psi) = And (FUrename x y \varphi) (FUrename x y \psi)$ 
  | FUrename  $x y (Exists z \varphi) = Exists (swap x y z) (FUrename x y \varphi)$ 
  | FUrename  $x y (Diamond \alpha \varphi) = Diamond (PUrename x y \alpha) (FUrename x y \varphi)$ 
  | FUrename  $x y (InContext C \varphi) = undefined$ 

```

12.2 Uniform Renaming Admissibility

```

inductive PRadmit :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and  FRadmit :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  PRadmit-Assign:PRadmit (Assign x \vartheta)
  | PRadmit-DiffAssign:PRadmit (DiffAssign x \vartheta)
  | PRadmit-Test:FRadmit \varphi  $\Longrightarrow$  PRadmit (Test \varphi)
  | PRadmit-EvolveODE:ORadmit ODE  $\Longrightarrow$  FRadmit \varphi  $\Longrightarrow$  PRadmit (EvolveODE ODE \varphi)
  | PRadmit-Choice:PRadmit a  $\Longrightarrow$  PRadmit b  $\Longrightarrow$  PRadmit (Choice a b)
  | PRadmit-Sequence:PRadmit a  $\Longrightarrow$  PRadmit b  $\Longrightarrow$  PRadmit (Sequence a b)
  | PRadmit-Loop:PRadmit a  $\Longrightarrow$  PRadmit (Loop a)

  | FRadmit-Geq:FRadmit (Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2)
  | FRadmit-Prop:FRadmit (Prop p args)

```

| $\text{FRadmit-Not:FRadmit } \varphi \implies \text{FRadmit} (\text{Not } \varphi)$
 | $\text{FRadmit-And:FRadmit } \varphi \implies \text{FRadmit } \psi \implies \text{FRadmit} (\text{And } \varphi \psi)$
 | $\text{FRadmit-Exists:FRadmit } \varphi \implies \text{FRadmit} (\text{Exists } x \varphi)$
 | $\text{FRadmit-Diamond:PRadmit } \alpha \implies \text{FRadmit } \varphi \implies \text{FRadmit} (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi)$

inductive-simps

$\text{FRadmit-box-simps[simp]: FRadmit} (\text{Box } a f)$
and $\text{PRadmit-box-simps[simp]: PRadmit} (\text{Assign } x e)$

definition $RSadj :: 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \text{ simple-state} \Rightarrow 'sz \text{ simple-state}$
where $RSadj x y \nu = (\chi z. \nu \$ (\text{swap } x y z))$

definition $Radj :: 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \text{ state} \Rightarrow 'sz \text{ state}$
where $Radj x y \nu = (RSadj x y (\text{fst } \nu), RSadj x y (\text{snd } \nu))$

lemma $SUren: \text{stern-sem } I (\text{TUrename } x y \vartheta) \nu = \text{stern-sem } I \vartheta (RSadj x y \nu)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ren-preserves-dfree:dfree } \vartheta \implies \text{dfree} (\text{TUrename } x y \vartheta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.3 Uniform Renaming Soundness Proof and Lemmas

lemma $TUren-frechet:$

assumes $\text{good-interp:is-interp } I$
shows $\text{dfree } \vartheta \implies \text{frechet } I (\text{TUrename } x y \vartheta) \nu \nu' = \text{frechet } I \vartheta (RSadj x y \nu)$
 $(RSadj x y \nu')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RSadj-fst:RSadj x y (\text{fst } \nu) = \text{fst} (Radj x y \nu)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RSadj-snd:RSadj x y (\text{snd } \nu) = \text{snd} (Radj x y \nu)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $TUren:$

assumes $\text{good-interp:is-interp } I$
shows $\text{dsafe } \vartheta \implies \text{dterm-sem } I (\text{TUrename } x y \vartheta) \nu = \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta (Radj x y \nu)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{adj-sum:RSadj } x y (\nu_1 + \nu_2) = (RSadj x y \nu_1) + (RSadj x y \nu_2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $OUREN: ORadmit ODE \implies ODE-sem I (\text{OUrenamne } x y ODE) \nu = RSadj x y (\text{ODE-sem } I ODE (RSadj x y \nu))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{state-eq}:$

```

fixes  $\nu \nu' :: 'sz state$ 
shows  $(\bigwedge i. (fst \nu) \$ i = (fst \nu') \$ i) \implies (\bigwedge i. (snd \nu) \$ i = (snd \nu') \$ i) \implies$ 
 $\nu = \nu'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Radj-repv1:
fixes  $x y z :: 'sz$ 
shows  $(Radj x y (repv \nu y r)) = repv (Radj x y \nu) x r$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Radj-repv2:
fixes  $x y z :: 'sz$ 
shows  $(Radj x y (repv \nu x r)) = repv (Radj x y \nu) y r$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Radj-repv3:
fixes  $x y z :: 'sz$ 
assumes  $zx:z \neq x$  and  $zy:z \neq y$ 
shows  $(Radj x y (repv \nu z r)) = repv (Radj x y \nu) z r$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Radj-repd1:
fixes  $x y z :: 'sz$ 
shows  $(Radj x y (repd \nu y r)) = repd (Radj x y \nu) x r$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Radj-repd2:
fixes  $x y z :: 'sz$ 
shows  $(Radj x y (repd \nu x r)) = repd (Radj x y \nu) y r$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Radj-repd3:
fixes  $x y z :: 'sz$ 
assumes  $zx:z \neq x$  and  $zy:z \neq y$ 
shows  $(Radj x y (repd \nu z r)) = repd (Radj x y \nu) z r$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma Radj-eq-iff:  $(a = b) = ((Radj x y a) = (Radj x y b))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma RSadj-cancel:  $RSadj x y (RSadj x y \nu) = \nu$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Radj-cancel:  $Radj x y (Radj x y \nu) = \nu$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma OUnrename-preserves-ODE-vars:  $ORadmit ODE \implies \{z. (swap x y z) \in$ 
 $ODE-vars I ODE\} = ODE-vars I (OUnrename x y ODE)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ren-proj:(RSadj x y a) \$ z = a \$ (swap x y z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-cancel:swap x y (swap x y z) = z
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mkv-lemma:
  assumes ORA:ORadmit ODE
  shows Radj x y (mk-v I (OUrename x y ODE)) (a, b) c) = mk-v I ODE (RSadj
x y a, RSadj x y b) (RSadj x y c)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sol-lemma:
  assumes ORA:ORadmit ODE
  assumes t:0 ≤ t
  assumes fml:¬¬ν. (ν ∈ fml-sem I (FUrname x y φ)) = (Radj x y ν ∈ fml-sem
I φ)
  assumes sol:(sol solves-ode (λa. ODE-sem I (OUrename x y ODE))) {0..t} {xa.
mk-v I (OUrename x y ODE) (sol 0, b) xa ∈ fml-sem I (FUrname x y φ)}
  shows ((λt. RSadj x y (sol t)) solves-ode (λa. ODE-sem I ODE)) {0..t} {xa.
mk-v I ODE (RSadj x y (sol 0), RSadj x y b) xa ∈ fml-sem I φ}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sol-lemma2:
  assumes ORA:ORadmit ODE
  assumes t:0 ≤ t
  assumes fml:¬¬ν. (ν ∈ fml-sem I (FUrname x y φ)) = (Radj x y ν ∈ fml-sem
I φ)
  assumes sol:(sol solves-ode (λa. ODE-sem I ODE)) {0..t} {x. mk-v I ODE (sol
0, b) x ∈ fml-sem I φ}
  shows ((λt. RSadj x y (sol t)) solves-ode (λa. ODE-sem I (OUrename x y ODE))) {0..t}
  {xa. mk-v I (OUrename x y ODE) (RSadj x y (sol 0), RSadj x y b) xa ∈
fml-sem I (FUrname x y φ)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma PUren-FUren:
  assumes good-interp:is-interp I
  shows
    (PRadmit α → hpsafe α → (¬¬ ν ω. (ν, ω) ∈ prog-sem I (PUren x y α))
    ↔ (Radj x y ν, Radj x y ω) ∈ prog-sem I α))
    ∧ (FRadmit φ → fsafe φ → (¬¬ ν. ν ∈ fml-sem I (FUrname x y φ)) ↔
    (Radj x y ν) ∈ fml-sem I φ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma FUren:is-interp I ⇒ FRadmit φ ⇒ fsafe φ ⇒ (¬¬ ν. ν ∈ fml-sem I
(FUrname x y φ)) = (Radj x y ν ∈ fml-sem I φ))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

12.4 Uniform Renaming Rule Soundness

```
lemma URename-sound:FRadmit  $\varphi \Rightarrow \text{fsafe } \varphi \Rightarrow \text{valid } \varphi \Rightarrow \text{valid } (\text{FUrename } x y \varphi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

12.5 Bound Renaming Rule Soundness

```
lemma BRename-sound:
  assumes FRA:FRadmit([[Assign x θ]]φ)
  assumes fsafe;fsafe ([[Assign x θ]]φ)
  assumes valid;valid ([[Assign x θ]]φ)
  assumes FVF:{Inl y, Inr y, Inr x} ∩ FVF φ = {}
  shows valid([[Assign y θ]]FUrename x y φ)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end end
theory Pretty-Printer
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
begin
context ids begin
```

13 Syntax Pretty-Printer

The deeply-embedded syntax is difficult to read for large formulas. This pretty-printer produces a more human-friendly syntax, which can be helpful if you want to produce a proof term by hand for the proof checker (not recommended for most users).

```
fun join :: string ⇒ char list list ⇒ char list
where join S [] = []
  | join S [S'] = S'
  | join S (S' # SS) = S' @ S @ (join S SS)

fun vid-to-string:'sz ⇒ char list
where vid-to-string vid = (if vid = vid1 then "x" else if vid = vid2 then "y" else
  if vid = vid3 then "z" else "w")

fun oid-to-string:'sz ⇒ char list
where oid-to-string vid = (if vid = vid1 then "c" else if vid = vid2 then "c2"
  else if vid = vid3 then "c3" else "c4")
```

```

fun cid-to-string::'sc  $\Rightarrow$  char list
where cid-to-string vid = (if vid = pid1 then "C" else if vid = pid2 then "C2"
else if vid = pid3 then "C3" else "C4")

fun ppid-to-string::'sc  $\Rightarrow$  char list
where ppid-to-string vid = (if vid = pid1 then "P" else if vid = pid2 then "Q"
else if vid = pid3 then "R" else "H")

fun hpid-to-string::'sz  $\Rightarrow$  char list
where hpid-to-string vid = (if vid = vid1 then "a" else if vid = vid2 then "b"
else if vid = vid3 then "a1" else "b1")

fun fid-to-string::'sf  $\Rightarrow$  char list
where fid-to-string vid = (if vid = fid1 then "f" else if vid = fid2 then "g" else
if vid = fid3 then "h" else "j")

primrec trm-to-string::('sf,'sz) trm  $\Rightarrow$  char list
where
  trm-to-string (Var x) = vid-to-string x
  | trm-to-string (Const r) = "r"
  | trm-to-string (Function f args) = fid-to-string f
  | trm-to-string (Plus t1 t2) = trm-to-string t1 @ "+" @ trm-to-string t2
  | trm-to-string (Times t1 t2) = trm-to-string t1 @ "*" @ trm-to-string t2
  | trm-to-string (DiffVar x) = "Dv{" @ vid-to-string x @ "}"
  | trm-to-string (Differential t) = "D{" @ trm-to-string t @ "}"

primrec ode-to-string::('sf,'sz) ODE  $\Rightarrow$  char list
where
  ode-to-string (OVar x) = oid-to-string x
  | ode-to-string (OSing x t) = "d" @ vid-to-string x @ "=" @ trm-to-string t
  | ode-to-string (OProd ODE1 ODE2) = ode-to-string ODE1 @ "," @ ode-to-string
ODE2

fun fml-to-string ::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula  $\Rightarrow$  char list
and hp-to-string ::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp  $\Rightarrow$  char list
where
  fml-to-string (Geq t1 t2) = trm-to-string t1 @ ">=" @ trm-to-string t2
  | fml-to-string (Prop p args) = []
  | fml-to-string (Not p) =
    (case p of (And (Not q) (Not (Not p)))  $\Rightarrow$  fml-to-string p @ ">->" @
    fml-to-string q
    | (Exists x (Not p))  $\Rightarrow$  "A" @ vid-to-string x @ "." @ fml-to-string p
    | (Diamond a (Not p))  $\Rightarrow$  "[" @ hp-to-string a @ "]" @ fml-to-string p
    | (And (Not (And p q)) (Not (And (Not p') (Not q'))))  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (if (p = p'  $\wedge$  q = q') then fml-to-string p @ "<->" @ fml-to-string
      q else "!" @ fml-to-string (And (Not (And p q)) (Not (And (Not p') (Not q')))))
    | -  $\Rightarrow$  "!" @ fml-to-string p)
  | fml-to-string (And p q) = fml-to-string p @ "&" @ fml-to-string q
  | fml-to-string (Exists x p) = "E" @ vid-to-string x @ ". " @ fml-to-string p

```

```

| fml-to-string (Diamond a p) = "<" @ hp-to-string a @ ">" @ fml-to-string p
| fml-to-string (InContext C p) =
  (case p of
    (Geq _ _) => ppid-to-string C
    _ -> cid-to-string C @ "(" @ fml-to-string p @ ")")

| hp-to-string (Pvar a) = hpid-to-string a
| hp-to-string (Assign x e) = vid-to-string x @ ":=" @ trm-to-string e
| hp-to-string (DiffAssign x e) = "D{" @ vid-to-string x @ "}:=:" @ trm-to-string
e
| hp-to-string (Test p) = "??" @ fml-to-string p
| hp-to-string (EvolveODE ODE p) = "{" @ ode-to-string ODE @ "&" @
fml-to-string p @ "}"
| hp-to-string (Choice a b) = hp-to-string a @ "U" @ hp-to-string b
| hp-to-string (Sequence a b) = hp-to-string a @ ";" @ hp-to-string b
| hp-to-string (Loop a) = hp-to-string a @ "*"

end end

theory Proof-Checker
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Axioms
  Differential-Axioms
  Frechet-Correctness
  Static-Semantics
  Coincidence
  Bound-Effect
  Uniform-Renaming
  USubst-Lemma
  Pretty-Printer

begin context ids begin
```

14 Proof Checker

This proof checker defines a datatype for proof terms in dL and a function for checking proof terms, with a soundness proof that any proof accepted by the checker is a proof of a sound rule or valid formula.

A simple concrete hybrid system and a differential invariant rule for conjunctions are provided as example proofs.

```
lemma sound-weaken-gen:  $\bigwedge A \ B \ C. \text{ sublist } A \ B \implies \text{sound } (A, \ C) \implies \text{sound } (B, C)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma sound-weaken: $\bigwedge SG\ SGS\ C.\ sound\ (SGS,\ C) \implies sound\ (SG\ #\ SGS,\ C)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma member-filter: $\bigwedge P.\ List.member\ (\text{filter } P\ L)\ x \implies List.member\ L\ x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nth-member: $n < List.length\ L \implies List.member\ L\ (List.nth\ L\ n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mem-appL: $List.member\ A\ x \implies List.member\ (A @ B)\ x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sound-weaken-appR: $\bigwedge SG\ SGS\ C.\ sound\ (SG,\ C) \implies sound\ (SG @ SGS,\ C)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun start-proof::('sf,'sc,'sz) sequent  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf,'sc,'sz) rule
where start-proof S = ([S], S)

lemma start-proof-sound:sound (start-proof S)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

15 Proof Checker Implementation

```

datatype axiom =
  AloopIter | AI | Atest | Abox | Achoice | AK | AV | Aassign | Adassign
  | AdConst | AdPlus | AdMult
  | ADW | ADE | ADC | ADS | ADIGeq | ADIGr | ADG

fun get-axiom:: axiom  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where
  get-axiom AloopIter = loop-iterate-axiom
  | get-axiom AI = Iaxiom
  | get-axiom Atest = test-axiom
  | get-axiom Abox = box-axiom
  | get-axiom Achoice = choice-axiom
  | get-axiom AK = Kaxiom
  | get-axiom AV = Vaxiom
  | get-axiom Aassign = assign-axiom
  | get-axiom Adassign = diff-assign-axiom
  | get-axiom AdConst = diff-const-axiom
  | get-axiom AdPlus = diff-plus-axiom
  | get-axiom AdMult = diff-times-axiom
  | get-axiom ADW = DWaxiom
  | get-axiom ADE = DEaxiom
  | get-axiom ADC = DCaxiom
  | get-axiom ADS = DSaxiom
  | get-axiom ADIGeq = DIGeqaxiom

```

```

| get-axiom ADIGr = DIGr axiom
| get-axiom ADG = DG axiom

lemma axiom-safe:fsafe (get-axiom a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma axiom-valid:valid (get-axiom a)
  ⟨proof⟩

datatype rrule = ImplyR | AndR | CohideR | CohideRR | TrueR | EquivR
datatype lrule = ImplyL | AndL | EquivForwardL | EquivBackwardL

datatype ('a, 'b, 'c) step =
  Axiom axiom
| MP
| G
| CT
| CQ ('a, 'c) trm ('a, 'c) trm ('a, 'b, 'c) subst
| CE ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ('a, 'b, 'c) subst
| Skolem
— Apply Usubst to some other (valid) formula
| VSubst ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ('a, 'b, 'c) subst
| AxSubst axiom ('a, 'b, 'c) subst
| URename
| BRename
| Rrule rrule nat
| Lrule lrule nat
| CloseId nat nat
| Cut ('a, 'b, 'c) formula
| DEAxiomSchema ('a,'c) ODE ('a, 'b, 'c) subst

type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) derivation = (nat * ('a, 'b, 'c) step) list
type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) pf = ('a,'b,'c) sequent * ('a, 'b, 'c) derivation

fun seq-to-string :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent ⇒ char list
where seq-to-string (A,S) = join " " (map fml-to-string A) @ " |- " @
" (map fml-to-string S)

fun rule-to-string :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule ⇒ char list
where rule-to-string (SG, C) = (join ";" " (map seq-to-string SG)) @ "
@ {join ";" "} seq-to-string C

fun close :: 'a list ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a list
where close L x = filter (λy. y ≠ x) L

fun closeI ::'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list
where closeI L i = close L (nth L i)

```

```

lemma close-sub:sublist (close  $\Gamma$   $\varphi$ )  $\Gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma close-app-comm:close ( $A @ B$ )  $x = \text{close } A x @ \text{close } B x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma close-provable-sound:sound ( $SG, C$ )  $\Rightarrow$  sound (close  $SG \varphi, \varphi$ )  $\Rightarrow$  sound
  (close  $SG \varphi, C$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

fun Lrule-result :: lrule  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent
  list
where Lrule-result AndL  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) = (case (nth  $A j$ ) of And  $p q \Rightarrow [(\text{close } ([p, q] @ A) (nth A j), S)]$ )
  | Lrule-result ImplyL  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) = (case (nth  $A j$ ) of Not (And (Not  $q$ ) (Not (Not  $p$ )))  $\Rightarrow$ 
    [((\text{close } (q \# A) (nth A j), S), (\text{close } A (nth A j), p \# S))])
  | Lrule-result EquivForwardL  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) = (case (nth  $A j$ ) of Not(And (Not (And  $p q$ )) (Not (And (Not  $p'$ ) (Not  $q'$ ))))  $\Rightarrow$ 
    [((\text{close } (q \# A) (nth A j), S), (\text{close } A (nth A j), p \# S))])
  | Lrule-result EquivBackwardL  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) = (case (nth  $A j$ ) of Not(And (Not (And  $p q$ )) (Not (And (Not  $p'$ ) (Not  $q'$ ))))  $\Rightarrow$ 
    [((\text{close } (p \# A) (nth A j), S), (\text{close } A (nth A j), q \# S))])

— Note: Some of the pattern-matching here is... interesting. The reason for this is
that we can only
— match on things in the base grammar, when we would quite like to check things
in the derived grammar.
— So all the pattern-matches have the definitions expanded, sometimes in a silly
way.

fun Rrule-result :: rrule  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent
  list
where
  Rstep-Imply:Rrule-result ImplyR  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) = (case (nth  $S j$ ) of Not (And (Not  $q$ ) (Not (Not  $p$ )))  $\Rightarrow$  [( $p \# A, q \# (\text{closeI } S j)$ )] | -  $\Rightarrow$  undefined)
  | Rstep-And:Rrule-result AndR  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) = (case (nth  $S j$ ) of (And  $p q \Rightarrow [(A, p \# (\text{closeI } S j)), (A, q \# (\text{closeI } S j))]$ )
  | Rstep-EquivR:Rrule-result EquivR  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) =
    (case (nth  $S j$ ) of Not(And (Not (And  $p q$ )) (Not (And (Not  $p'$ ) (Not  $q'$ ))))  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (if ( $p = p' \wedge q = q'$ ) then [( $p \# A, q \# (\text{closeI } S j)$ ), ( $q \# A, p \# (\text{closeI } S j)$ )]
      else undefined))
  | Rstep-CohideR:Rrule-result CohideR  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) = [( $A, [\text{nth } S j]$ )]
  | Rstep-CohideRR:Rrule-result CohideRR  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) = [([], [ $nth S j$ ])]
  | Rstep-TrueR:Rrule-result TrueR  $j$  ( $A, S$ ) = []

fun step-result :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule  $\Rightarrow$  (nat * ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) step)  $\Rightarrow$  ('sf, 'sc, 'sz)
  rule
where

```

```

Step-axiom:step-result (SG,C) (i,Axiom a) = (closeI SG i, C)
| Step-AxSubst:step-result (SG,C) (i,AxSubst a σ) = (closeI SG i, C)
| Step-Lrule:step-result (SG,C) (i,Lrule L j) = (close (append SG (Lrule-result L
j (nth SG i))) (nth SG i), C)
| Step-Rrule:step-result (SG,C) (i,Rrule L j) = (close (append SG (Rrule-result L
j (nth SG i))) (nth SG i), C)
| Step-Cut:step-result (SG,C) (i,Cut φ) = (let (A,S) = nth SG i in ((φ # A, S)
# ((A, φ # S) # (closeI SG i)), C))
| Step-Vsubst:step-result (SG,C) (i,Vsubst φ σ) = (closeI SG i, C)
| Step-CloseId:step-result (SG,C) (i,CloseId j k) = (closeI SG i, C)
| Step-G:step-result (SG,C) (i,G) = (case nth SG i of (-, (Not (Diamond q (Not
p))) # Nil) ⇒ ([], [p]) # closeI SG i, C))
| Step-DEAxiomSchema:step-result (SG,C) (i,DEAxiomSchema ODE σ) = (closeI
SG i, C)
| Step-CE:step-result (SG,C) (i, CE φ ψ σ) = (closeI SG i, C)
| Step-CQ:step-result (SG,C) (i, CQ θ₁ θ₂ σ) = (closeI SG i, C)
| Step-default:step-result R (i,S) = R

fun deriv-result :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule ⇒ ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) derivation ⇒ ('sf, 'sc, 'sz)
rule
where
  deriv-result R [] = R
  | deriv-result R (s # ss) = deriv-result (step-result R s) (ss)

fun proof-result :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) pf ⇒ ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule
where proof-result (D,S) = deriv-result (start-proof D) S

inductive lrule-ok ::('sf,'sc,'sz) sequent list ⇒ ('sf,'sc,'sz) sequent ⇒ nat ⇒ nat
⇒ lrule ⇒ bool
where
  Lrule-And: ∧ p q. nth (fst (nth SG i)) j = (p && q) ⇒ lrule-ok SG C i j AndL
  | Lrule-Implify: ∧ p q. nth (fst (nth SG i)) j = (p → q) ⇒ lrule-ok SG C i j ImplyL
  | Lrule-EquivForward: ∧ p q. nth (fst (nth SG i)) j = (p ↔ q) ⇒ lrule-ok SG C i
j EquivForwardL
  | Lrule-EquivBackward: ∧ p q. nth (fst (nth SG i)) j = (p ↔ q) ⇒ lrule-ok SG C
i j EquivBackwardL

named-theorems prover Simplification rules for checking validity of proof certifi-
cates
lemmas [prover] = axiom-defs Box-def Or-def Implies-def filter-append ssafe-def
SDom-def FUadmit-def PFUadmit-def id-simps

inductive-simps
  Lrule-And[prover]: lrule-ok SG C i j AndL
  and Lrule-Implify[prover]: lrule-ok SG C i j ImplyL
  and Lrule-Forward[prover]: lrule-ok SG C i j EquivForwardL
  and Lrule-EquivBackward[prover]: lrule-ok SG C i j EquivBackwardL

inductive rrule-ok ::('sf,'sc,'sz) sequent list ⇒ ('sf,'sc,'sz) sequent ⇒ nat ⇒ nat

```

$\Rightarrow rrule \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

- | *Rrule-And*: $\bigwedge p q. \text{nth}(\text{snd}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) j = (p \& q) \Rightarrow \text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ AndR}$
- | *Rrule-Impl*: $\bigwedge p q. \text{nth}(\text{snd}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) j = (p \rightarrow q) \Rightarrow \text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ ImplyR}$
- | *Rrule-Equiv*: $\bigwedge p q. \text{nth}(\text{snd}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) j = (p \leftrightarrow q) \Rightarrow \text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ EquivR}$
- | *Rrule-Cohide*: $\text{length}(\text{snd}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) > j \Rightarrow (\bigwedge \Gamma q. (\text{nth}(\text{SG } i)) \neq (\Gamma, [q])) \Rightarrow \text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ CohideR}$
- | *Rrule-CohideRR*: $\text{length}(\text{snd}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) > j \Rightarrow (\bigwedge q. (\text{nth}(\text{SG } i)) \neq ([], [q])) \Rightarrow \text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ CohideRR}$
- | *Rrule-True*: $\text{nth}(\text{snd}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) j = \text{TT} \Rightarrow \text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ TrueR}$

inductive-simps

- | *Rrule-And-simps[prover]*: $\text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ AndR}$
- | **and** *Rrule-Impl-simps[prover]*: $\text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ ImplyR}$
- | **and** *Rrule-Equiv-simps[prover]*: $\text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ EquivR}$
- | **and** *Rrule-CohideR-simps[prover]*: $\text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ CohideR}$
- | **and** *Rrule-CohideRR-simps[prover]*: $\text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ CohideRR}$
- | **and** *Rrule-TrueR-simps[prover]*: $\text{rrule-ok SG } C i j \text{ TrueR}$

inductive step-ok :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) step \Rightarrow bool

where

- | *Step-Axiom*: $(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i) = ([], [\text{get-axiom } a])) \Rightarrow \text{step-ok (SG,C) } i \text{ (Axiom a)}$
- | *Step-AxSubst*: $(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i) = ([], [\text{Fsubst } (\text{get-axiom } a) \sigma])) \Rightarrow \text{Fadmit } \sigma \text{ (get-axiom a)} \Rightarrow \text{ssafe } \sigma \Rightarrow \text{step-ok (SG,C) } i \text{ (AxSubst a } \sigma)$
- | *Step-Lrule*: $\text{lrule-ok SG } C i j L \Rightarrow j < \text{length}(\text{fst}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) \Rightarrow \text{step-ok (SG,C) } i \text{ (Lrule L } j)$
- | *Step-Rrule*: $\text{rrule-ok SG } C i j L \Rightarrow j < \text{length}(\text{snd}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) \Rightarrow \text{step-ok (SG,C) } i \text{ (Rrule L } j)$
- | *Step-Cut*: $\text{fsafe } \varphi \Rightarrow i < \text{length SG} \Rightarrow \text{step-ok (SG,C) } i \text{ (Cut } \varphi)$
- | *Step-CloseId*: $\text{nth}(\text{fst}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) j = \text{nth}(\text{snd}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) k \Rightarrow j < \text{length}(\text{fst}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) \Rightarrow k < \text{length}(\text{snd}(\text{nth}(\text{SG } i))) \Rightarrow \text{step-ok (SG,C) } i \text{ (CloseId j } k)$
- | *Step-G*: $\bigwedge a p. \text{nth}(\text{SG } i) = ([], [[[a]p]]) \Rightarrow \text{step-ok (SG,C) } i G$
- | *Step-DEAxiom-schema*:
 - $\text{nth}(\text{SG } i) = ([], [\text{Fsubst } ((([[\text{EvolveODE } (\text{OProd } (\text{OSing } \text{vid1 } (f1 \text{ fid1 } \text{ vid1})) \text{ ODE}) (p1 \text{ vid2 } \text{ vid1})]) (P \text{ pid1})) \leftrightarrow$
 - $(([\text{EvolveODE } ((\text{OProd } (\text{OSing } \text{vid1 } (f1 \text{ fid1 } \text{ vid1})) \text{ ODE}) (p1 \text{ vid2 } \text{ vid1}))$
 - $[[\text{DiffAssign } \text{vid1 } (f1 \text{ fid1 } \text{ vid1})] P \text{ pid1}])) \sigma])$
 - $\Rightarrow \text{ssafe } \sigma$
 - $\Rightarrow \text{osafe ODE}$
 - $\Rightarrow \{\text{Inl } \text{vid1}, \text{Inr } \text{vid1}\} \cap \text{BVO ODE} = \{\}$
 - $\Rightarrow \text{Fadmit } \sigma ((([[\text{EvolveODE } (\text{OProd } (\text{OSing } \text{vid1 } (f1 \text{ fid1 } \text{ vid1})) \text{ ODE}) (p1 \text{ vid2 } \text{ vid1})]) (P \text{ pid1})) \leftrightarrow$
 - $(([\text{EvolveODE } ((\text{OProd } (\text{OSing } \text{vid1 } (f1 \text{ fid1 } \text{ vid1})) \text{ ODE})) (p1 \text{ vid2 } \text{ vid1}))$
 - $[[\text{DiffAssign } \text{vid1 } (f1 \text{ fid1 } \text{ vid1})] P \text{ pid1}]))$
 - $\Rightarrow \text{step-ok (SG,C) } i \text{ (DEAxiomSchema ODE } \sigma)$
- | *Step-CE*: $\text{nth}(\text{SG } i) = ([], [\text{Fsubst } (\text{Equiv } (\text{InContext } \text{pid1 } \varphi) (\text{InContext } \text{pid1 } \psi)) \sigma]) \Rightarrow \text{valid } (\text{Equiv } \varphi \psi)$

```

 $\implies \text{fsafe } \varphi$ 
 $\implies \text{fsafe } \psi$ 
 $\implies \text{ssafe } \sigma$ 
 $\implies \text{Fadmit } \sigma (\text{Equiv} (\text{InContext} \text{ pid1 } \varphi) (\text{InContext} \text{ pid1 } \psi))$ 
 $\implies \text{step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{CE } \varphi \psi \sigma)$ 
|  $\text{Step-CQ: nth SG } i = ([] , [\text{Fsubst} (\text{Equiv} (\text{Prop } p (\text{singleton } \vartheta)) (\text{Prop } p (\text{singleton } \vartheta')))) \sigma])$ 
 $\implies \text{valid } (\text{Equals } \vartheta \vartheta')$ 
 $\implies \text{dsafe } \vartheta$ 
 $\implies \text{dsafe } \vartheta'$ 
 $\implies \text{ssafe } \sigma$ 
 $\implies \text{Fadmit } \sigma (\text{Equiv} (\text{Prop } p (\text{singleton } \vartheta)) (\text{Prop } p (\text{singleton } \vartheta')))$ 
 $\implies \text{step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{CQ } \vartheta \vartheta' \sigma)$ 

```

inductive-simps

```

 $\text{Step-G-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i G$ 
and  $\text{Step-CloseId-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{CloseId } j k)$ 
and  $\text{Step-Cut-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{Cut } \varphi)$ 
and  $\text{Step-Rrule-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{Rrule } j L)$ 
and  $\text{Step-Lrule-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{Lrule } j L)$ 
and  $\text{Step-Axiom-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{Axiom } a)$ 
and  $\text{Step-AxSubst-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{AxSubst } a \sigma)$ 
and  $\text{Step-DEAxiom-schema-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{DEAxiomSchema } ODE \sigma)$ 
and  $\text{Step-CE-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{CE } \varphi \psi \sigma)$ 
and  $\text{Step-CQ-simps[prover]: step-ok } (\text{SG}, \text{C}) i (\text{CQ } \vartheta \vartheta' \sigma)$ 

```

inductive deriv-ok :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) derivation \Rightarrow bool

where

```

 $\text{Deriv-Nil:deriv-ok } R \text{ Nil}$ 
|  $\text{Deriv-Cons:step-ok } R i S \implies i \geq 0 \implies i < \text{length } (\text{fst } R) \implies \text{deriv-ok } (\text{step-result } R (i, S)) SS \implies \text{deriv-ok } R ((i, S) \# SS)$ 

```

inductive-simps

```

 $\text{Deriv-nil-simps[prover]: deriv-ok } R \text{ Nil}$ 
and  $\text{Deriv-cons-simps[prover]: deriv-ok } R ((i, S) \# SS)$ 

```

inductive proof-ok :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) pf \Rightarrow bool

where

```

 $\text{Proof-ok:deriv-ok } (\text{start-proof } D) S \implies \text{proof-ok } (D, S)$ 

```

inductive-simps Proof-ok-simps[prover]: proof-ok (D, S)

15.1 Soundness

named-theorems member-intros Prove that stuff is in lists

lemma $\text{mem-sing}[\text{member-intros}]: \bigwedge x. \text{List.member } [x] x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem-appR*[*member-intros*]: $\bigwedge A B x. \text{List.member } B x \implies \text{List.member } (A @ B) x$
(proof)

lemma *mem-filter*[*member-intros*]: $\bigwedge A P x. P x \implies \text{List.member } A x \implies \text{List.member } (\text{filter } P A) x$
(proof)

lemma *sound-weaken-appL*: $\bigwedge SG SGS C. \text{sound } (SGS, C) \implies \text{sound } (SG @ SGS, C)$
(proof)

lemma *fml-seq-valid:valid* $\varphi \implies \text{seq-valid } ([] , [\varphi])$
(proof)

lemma *closeI-provable-sound*: $\bigwedge i. \text{sound } (SG, C) \implies \text{sound } (\text{closeI } SG i, (\text{nth } SG i)) \implies \text{sound } (\text{closeI } SG i, C)$
(proof)

lemma *valid-to-sound:seq-valid* $A \implies \text{sound } (B, A)$
(proof)

lemma *closeI-valid-sound*: $\bigwedge i. \text{sound } (SG, C) \implies \text{seq-valid } (\text{nth } SG i) \implies \text{sound } (\text{closeI } SG i, C)$
(proof)

lemma *close-nonmember-eq*: $\neg(\text{List.member } A a) \implies \text{close } A a = A$
(proof)

lemma *close-noneq-nonempty*: $\text{List.member } A x \implies x \neq a \implies \text{close } A a \neq []$
(proof)

lemma *close-app-neq*: $\text{List.member } A x \implies x \neq a \implies \text{close } (A @ B) a \neq B$
(proof)

lemma *member-singD*: $\bigwedge x P. P x \implies (\bigwedge y. \text{List.member } [x] y \implies P y)$
(proof)

lemma *fst-neq*: $A \neq B \implies (A, C) \neq (B, D)$
(proof)

lemma *lrule-sound*: $\text{lrule-ok } SG C i j L \implies i < \text{length } SG \implies j < \text{length } (\text{fst } (SG ! i)) \implies \text{sound } (SG, C) \implies \text{sound } (\text{close } (\text{append } SG (\text{Lrule-result } L j (\text{nth } SG i))) (\text{nth } SG i), C)$
(proof)

lemma *rrule-sound*: $\text{rrule-ok } SG C i j L \implies i < \text{length } SG \implies j < \text{length } (\text{snd } (SG ! i)) \implies \text{sound } (SG, C) \implies \text{sound } (\text{close } (\text{append } SG (\text{Rrule-result } L j (\text{nth } SG i))) (\text{nth } SG i), C)$

$SG\ i)))$ ($nth\ SG\ i),\ C$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step\text{-}sound}:step\text{-}ok\ R\ i\ S \implies i \geq 0 \implies i < length\ (fst\ R) \implies sound\ R$
 $\implies sound\ (step\text{-}result\ R\ (i,S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $deriv\text{-}sound}:deriv\text{-}ok\ R\ D \implies sound\ R \implies sound\ (deriv\text{-}result\ R\ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $proof\text{-}sound}:proof\text{-}ok\ Pf \implies sound\ (proof\text{-}result\ Pf)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

16 Example 1: Differential Invariants

definition $DIAndConcl:(sf,sc,sz)$ sequent
where $DIAndConcl = ([] , [Implies\ (And\ (Predicational\ pid1)\ (Predicational\ pid2))]$
 $(Implies\ ([[Pvar\ vid1]])(And\ (Predicational\ pid3)\ (Predicational\ pid4)))$
 $([[Pvar\ vid1]](And\ (Predicational\ pid1)\ (Predicational\ pid2))))])$

definition $DIAndSG1:(sf,sc,sz)$ formula
where $DIAndSG1 = (Implies\ (Predicational\ pid1)\ (Implies\ ([[Pvar\ vid1]](Predicational\ pid3))\ ([[Pvar\ vid1]](Predicational\ pid1))))$

definition $DIAndSG2:(sf,sc,sz)$ formula
where $DIAndSG2 = (Implies\ (Predicational\ pid2)\ (Implies\ ([[Pvar\ vid1]](Predicational\ pid4))\ ([[Pvar\ vid1]](Predicational\ pid2))))$

definition $DIAndCut:(sf,sc,sz)$ formula
where $DIAndCut =$
 $(([[\$alpha\ vid1]]((And\ (Predicational\ (pid3))\ (Predicational\ (pid4)))) \rightarrow (And\ (Predicational\ (pid1))\ (Predicational\ (pid2))))$
 $\rightarrow ([[\$alpha\ vid1]](And\ (Predicational\ (pid3))\ (Predicational\ (pid4)))) \rightarrow ([[\$alpha\ vid1]](And\ (Predicational\ (pid1))\ (Predicational\ (pid2)))))$

definition $DIAndSubst:(sf,sc,sz)$ subst
where $DIAndSubst =$
 \emptyset
 $SFunctions = (\lambda_. None),$
 $SPredicates = (\lambda_. None),$
 $SContexts = (\lambda C. (if\ C = pid1\ then\ Some(And\ (Predicational\ (Inl\ pid3))\ (Predicational\ (Inl\ pid4))))$
 $\quad else\ (if\ C = pid2\ then\ Some(And\ (Predicational\ (Inl\ pid1))\ (Predicational\ (Inl\ pid2))))\ else\ None)),$
 $SPrograms = (\lambda_. None),$
 $SOODEs = (\lambda_. None)$
 \emptyset

$\vdash [a]R\&H \rightarrow R \rightarrow [a]R\&H \rightarrow [a]R\ DIAndSubst34$

```

definition DIAndSubst341::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where DIAndSubst341 =
  ⟨ SFunctions = (λ-. None),
    SPredicates = (λ-. None),
    SContexts = (λC. (if C = pid1 then Some(And (Predicational (Inl pid3))
(Predicational (Inl pid4)))
else (if C = pid2 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid3)) else None))),
    SPrograms = (λ-. None),
    SODEs = (λ-. None)
  ⟩
definition DIAndSubst342::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where DIAndSubst342 =
  ⟨ SFunctions = (λ-. None),
    SPredicates = (λ-. None),
    SContexts = (λC. (if C = pid1 then Some(And (Predicational (Inl pid3))
(Predicational (Inl pid4)))
else (if C = pid2 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid4)) else None))),
    SPrograms = (λ-. None),
    SODEs = (λ-. None)
  ⟩
— [a]P, [a]R&H, P, Q |— [a]Q->P&Q->[a]Q->[a]P&Q, [a]P&Q;;
definition DIAndSubst12::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where DIAndSubst12 =
  ⟨ SFunctions = (λ-. None),
    SPredicates = (λ-. None),
    SContexts = (λC. (if C = pid1 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid2))
else (if C = pid2 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid1) && Predicational
(Inl pid2)) else None))),
    SPrograms = (λ-. None),
    SODEs = (λ-. None)
  ⟩
— P —> Q->P&Q
definition DIAndCurry12::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where DIAndCurry12 =
  ⟨ SFunctions = (λ-. None),
    SPredicates = (λ-. None),
    SContexts = (λC. (if C = pid1 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid1))
else (if C = pid2 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid2) → (Predicational
(Inl pid1) && Predicational (Inl pid2))) else None))),
    SPrograms = (λ-. None),
    SODEs = (λ-. None)
  ⟩
definition DIAnd :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) rule
where DIAnd =
  ⟨⟨[],[DIAndSG1]),([],[],[DIAndSG2])],  

  DIAndConcl
  ⟩

```

```

definition DIAndCutP1 :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where DIAndCutP1 = ([[Pvar vid1]](Predicational pid1))

definition DIAndCutP2 :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where DIAndCutP2 = ([[Pvar vid1]](Predicational pid2))

definition DIAndCutP12 :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where DIAndCutP12 = ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid1) → (Pc pid2 → (And (Pc pid1)
(Pc pid2))))
    → ([[Pvar vid1]]Pc pid1) → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid2 → (And (Pc pid1) (Pc
pid2)))))

definition DIAndCut34Elim1 :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where DIAndCut34Elim1 = ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3 && Pc pid4) → (Pc pid3))
    → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3 && Pc pid4)) → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3)))

definition DIAndCut34Elim2 :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where DIAndCut34Elim2 = ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3 && Pc pid4) → (Pc pid4))
    → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3 && Pc pid4)) → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid4)))

definition DIAndCut12Intro :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where DIAndCut12Intro = ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid2 → (Pc pid1 && Pc pid2)))
    → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid2)) → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid1 && Pc pid2)))

definition DIAndProof :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) pf
where DIAndProof =
  (DIAndConcl, [
    (0, Rrule ImplyR 0) — 1
    ,(0, Lrule AndL 0)
    ,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
    ,(0, Cut DIAndCutP1)
    ,(1, Cut DIAndSG1)
    ,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 1 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc 0), Cut DIAndCut34Elim1) — 11
    ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), Lrule ImplyL 0)
    ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
    ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
    ,(Suc 0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), G)
    ,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), Lrule AndL 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), CloseId 0 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), AxSubst AK DIAndSubst341) — 21
  ]
)

```

```

,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
,(Suc 0, CloseId 0 0)
,(0, Cut DIAndCut12Intro)
,(Suc 0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(Suc (Suc 0), AxSubst AK DIAndSubst12)
,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(1, Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
,(Suc 0, Cut DIAndCutP12)
,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0) — 31
,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), AxSubst AK DIAndCurry12)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(Suc (Suc 0), G)
,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), Rrule ImplyR 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), Rrule AndR 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0)))), CloseId 0 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), CloseId 1 0) — 41
,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
,(Suc 0, Cut DIAndCut34Elim2)
,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), AxSubst AK DIAndSubst342) — 46
,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), G) — 48
,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), Lrule AndL 0) — 50
,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 1 0)
,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(Suc 0, CloseId 0 0)
,(1, Cut DIAndSG2)
,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 4 0)
,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 0 0)
,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 0 0)
,(1, CloseId 1 0)
])

```

```

fun proof-take :: nat ⇒ ('sf,'sc,'sz) pf ⇒ ('sf,'sc,'sz) pf
where proof-take n (C,D) = (C,List.take n D)

```

```

fun last-step::('sf,'sc,'sz) pf ⇒ nat ⇒ nat * ('sf,'sc,'sz ) step
where last-step (C,D) n = List.last (take n D)

```

```
lemma DIAndSound-lemma:sound (proof-result (proof-take 61 DIAndProof))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

17 Example 2: Concrete Hybrid System

```
definition SystemConcl::('sf,'sc,'sz) sequent
where SystemConcl =
  ([],[  

    Implies (And (Geq (Var vid1) (Const 0)) (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))  

    ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (TT)]]) Geq  

    (Var vid1) (Const 0))  

  ]))

definition SystemDICut :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemDICut =
  Implies  

  (Implies TT ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var  

  vid1))) TT]])  

  (Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0))))))  

  (Implies  

  (Implies TT (Geq (Var vid1) (Const 0)))  

  ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) TT]])(Geq  

  (Var vid1) (Const 0)))))

definition SystemDCCut::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemDCCut =
  ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) TT]])(Geq  

  (f0 fid1) (Const 0))) →  

  ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) TT]]((Geq  

  (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))))  

  ↔  

  ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And  

  TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))]])(Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const  

  0)))))

definition SystemVCut::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemVCut =
  Implies (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)) ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1))  

  (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))]])(Geq (f0 fid1)  

  (Const 0)))

definition SystemVCut2::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemVCut2 =
  Implies (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)) ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1))  

  (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) TT]])(Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))

definition SystemDECut::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemDECut = ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2  

  (Var vid1))) (And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))] ((Geq (Differential (Var vid1))
```

```

(Differential (Const 0))))))  $\leftrightarrow$ 
([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And TT
(Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))]]  

[[DiffAssign vid1 (f0 fid1)]](Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const
0))))))

definition SystemKCut::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemKCut =
(Implies ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1)))  

(And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))]]  

(Implies ((And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))) ([[DiffAssign vid1 (f0  

fid1)]](Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0))))))  

(Implies ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1)))  

(And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))]] ((And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0))))))  

([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1)))  

(And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))]] ([[DiffAssign vid1 (f0 fid1)]](Geq  

(Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0))))))

definition SystemEquivCut::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemEquivCut =
(Equiv (Implies ((And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))) ([[DiffAssign vid1 (f0  

fid1)]](Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0))))))  

(Implies ((And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))) ([[DiffAssign vid1 (f0  

fid1)]](Geq (DiffVar vid1) (Const 0)))))

definition SystemDiffAssignCut::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemDiffAssignCut =
(([[DiffAssign vid1 ($f fid1 empty)]]) (Geq (DiffVar vid1) (Const 0)))  

 $\leftrightarrow$  (Geq ($f fid1 empty) (Const 0)))

definition SystemCEFml1::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemCEFml1 = Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0))

definition SystemCEFml2::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where SystemCEFml2 = Geq (DiffVar vid1) (Const 0)

definition CQ1Concl::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where CQ1Concl = (Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))  $\leftrightarrow$   

Geq (DiffVar vid1) (Differential (Const 0)))

definition CQ2Concl::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula
where CQ2Concl = (Geq (DiffVar vid1) (Differential (Const 0)))  $\leftrightarrow$  Geq ($' vid1)  

(Const 0))

definition CEReq::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

```

```

where CEReq = (Geq (Differential (trm.Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))  $\leftrightarrow$ 
Geq ($' vid1) (Const 0))

definition CQRightSubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where CQRightSubst =
  (| SFunctions = ( $\lambda\cdot.$  None),
   SPredicates = ( $\lambda p.$  (if p = vid1 then (Some (Geq (DiffVar vid1) (Function (Inr vid1) empty))) else None))),
   SContexts = ( $\lambda\cdot.$  None),
   SPrograms = ( $\lambda\cdot.$  None),
   SODEs = ( $\lambda\cdot.$  None)
  )

definition CQLeftSubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where CQLeftSubst =
  (| SFunctions = ( $\lambda\cdot.$  None),
   SPredicates = ( $\lambda p.$  (if p = vid1 then (Some (Geq (Function (Inr vid1) empty) (Differential (Const 0)))) else None))),
   SContexts = ( $\lambda\cdot.$  None),
   SPrograms = ( $\lambda\cdot.$  None),
   SODEs = ( $\lambda\cdot.$  None)
  )

definition CEProof::('sf,'sc,'sz) pf
where CEProof = (([],[CEReq]), [
  (0, Cut CQ1Concl)
 , (0, Cut CQ2Concl)
 ,(1, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), CQ (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0) CQRightSubst)
 ,(1, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(1, CQ (Differential (Var vid1)) (DiffVar vid1) CQLeftSubst)
 ,(0, Rrule EquivR 0)
 ,(0, Lrule EquivForwardL 1)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule EquivForwardL 1)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), CloseId 0 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 0 0)
 ,(Suc 0, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL (Suc (Suc 0)))
 ,(0, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL (Suc 0))
 ,(0, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(0, CloseId 0 0)
])

lemma CE-result-correct:proof-result CEProof = ([],([CEReq]))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition DiffConstSubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst

```

```

where DiffConstSubst = ()
  SFunctions = ( $\lambda f. (if f = fid1 then (Some (Const 0)) else None))$ ,
  SPredicates = ( $\lambda -. None$ ),
  SContexts = ( $\lambda -. None$ ),
  SPrograms = ( $\lambda -. None$ ),
  SODEs = ( $\lambda -. None$ )
()

definition DiffConstProof::('sf,'sc,'sz) pf
where DiffConstProof = (([],[Equals (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0)]), [
  (0, AxSubst AdConst DiffConstSubst)])

lemma diffconst-result-correct:proof-result DiffConstProof = ([], ([], [Equals (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0)])))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diffconst-sound-lemma:sound (proof-result DiffConstProof)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid-of-sound:sound ([], ([], [ $\varphi$ ])))  $\implies$  valid  $\varphi$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma almost-diff-const-sound:sound ([], ([], [Equals (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0)])))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma almost-diff-const:valid (Equals (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0))
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma almost-diff-var:valid (Equals (Differential (trm.Var vid1)) ($' vid1))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma CESound-lemma:sound (proof-result CERequest)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sound-to-valid:sound ([], ([], [ $\varphi$ ])))  $\implies$  valid  $\varphi$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma CE1pre:sound ([], ([], [CERequest]))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma CE1pre-valid:valid CERequest
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma CE1pre-valid2:valid (! (! (Geq (Differential (trm.Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)) && Geq ($' vid1) (Const 0)) &&
  ! (! (Geq (Differential (trm.Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))) && !
  (Geq ($' vid1) (Const 0))))) )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition SystemDISubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where SystemDISubst =
  () SFunctions = ( $\lambda f.$ 
    ( if  $f = fid1$  then Some(Function (Inr vid1) empty)
      else if  $f = fid2$  then Some(Const 0)
      else None)),
  SPredicates = ( $\lambda p.$  if  $p = vid1$  then Some TT else None),
  SContexts = ( $\lambda -.$  None),
  SPrograms = ( $\lambda -.$  None),
  SODEs = ( $\lambda c.$  if  $c = vid1$  then Some (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing
  vid2 (trm.Var vid1))) else None)
  ()

```

```

definition SystemDCSubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where SystemDCSubst =
  () SFunctions = ( $\lambda$ 
  f. None),
  SPredicates = ( $\lambda p.$  None),
  SContexts = ( $\lambda C.$ 
    if  $C = pid1$  then
      Some TT
    else if  $C = pid2$  then
      Some (Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))
    else if  $C = pid3$  then
      Some (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0))
    else
      None),
  SPrograms = ( $\lambda -.$  None),
  SODEs = ( $\lambda c.$  if  $c = vid1$  then Some (OProd (OSing vid1 (Function fid1
empty)) (OSing vid2 (trm.Var vid1))) else None)
  ()

```

```

definition SystemVSubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where SystemVSubst =
  () SFunctions = ( $\lambda f.$  None),
  SPredicates = ( $\lambda p.$  if  $p = vid1$  then Some (Geq (Function (Inl fid1) empty)
  (Const 0)) else None),
  SContexts = ( $\lambda -.$  None),
  SPrograms = ( $\lambda a.$  if  $a = vid1$  then
    Some (EvolveODE (OProd
      (OSing vid1 (Function fid1 empty))
      (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))))
    (And TT (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0))))
    else None),
  SODEs = ( $\lambda -.$  None)
  ()

```

```

definition SystemVSubst2::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where SystemVSubst2 =
  () SFunctions = ( $\lambda f.$  None),
  SPredicates = ( $\lambda p.$  if  $p = vid1$  then Some (Geq (Function (Inl fid1) empty)
  (Const 0)) else None),
  SContexts = ( $\lambda -.$  None),
  SPrograms = ( $\lambda a.$  if  $a = vid1$  then
    Some (EvolveODE (OProd
      (OSing vid1 (Function fid1 empty))
      (OSing vid2 (Var vid1)))
      TT)
    else None),
  SODEs = ( $\lambda -.$  None)
  )

definition SystemDESubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where SystemDESubst =
  () SFunctions = ( $\lambda f.$  iff  $f = fid1$  then Some (Function (Inl fid1) empty) else None),
  SPredicates = ( $\lambda p.$  if  $p = vid2$  then Some (And TT (Geq (Function (Inl fid1)
  empty) (Const 0))) else None),
  SContexts = ( $\lambda C.$  if  $C = pid1$  then Some (Geq (Differential (Var vid1))
  (Differential (Const 0))) else None),
  SPrograms = ( $\lambda -.$  None),
  SODEs = ( $\lambda -.$  None)
  )

lemma systemdesubst-correct: $\exists ODE.((([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1))
  (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0))))]] ((Geq (Differential
  (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))))) \leftrightarrow
  ((([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And TT
  (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0))))]] [[DiffAssign vid1 (f0 fid1)]](Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const
  0)))))) \leftrightarrow
  Fsubst ((([[[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) ODE) (p1 vid2
  vid1))]] (P pid1)) \leftrightarrow
  ((([[EvolveODE ((OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) ODE) (p1 vid2 vid1))]] [[DiffAssign vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)]]
  P pid1))) SystemDESubst
  ⟨proof⟩
definition SystemKSubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where SystemKSubst = () SFunctions = ( $\lambda f.$  None),
  SPredicates = ( $\lambda -.$  None),
  SContexts = ( $\lambda C.$  if  $C = pid1$  then
    (Some (And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const
    0)))))
    else if  $C = pid2$  then
      (Some ([[DiffAssign vid1 (Function fid1 empty)]](Geq (Differential (Var
      vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))))) else None),
  SPrograms = ( $\lambda c.$  if  $c = vid1$  then Some (EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1$ 
```

```

(Function fid1 empty)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0))
(Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0)))) else None),
SODEs = ( $\lambda$ . None)
)

lemma subst-imp-simp:Fsubst (Implies p q)  $\sigma$  = (Implies (Fsubst p  $\sigma$ ) (Fsubst q
 $\sigma$ ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-equiv-simp:Fsubst (Equiv p q)  $\sigma$  = (Equiv (Fsubst p  $\sigma$ ) (Fsubst q  $\sigma$ ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-box-simp:Fsubst (Box p q)  $\sigma$  = (Box (Psubst p  $\sigma$ ) (Fsubst q  $\sigma$ ))

lemma pfsubst-box-simp:PFsubst (Box p q)  $\sigma$  = (Box (PPsubst p  $\sigma$ ) (PFsubst q
 $\sigma$ ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma pfsubst-imp-simp:PFsubst (Implies p q)  $\sigma$  = (Implies (PFsubst p  $\sigma$ ) (PFsubst
q  $\sigma$ ))
⟨proof⟩

definition SystemDWS subst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where SystemDWS = () SFunctions = ( $\lambda$ f. None),
SPredicates = ( $\lambda$ . None),
SContexts = ( $\lambda$ C. if C = pid1 then Some (And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0))
(Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0)))) else None),
SPrograms = ( $\lambda$ . None),
SODEs = ( $\lambda$ c. if c = vid1 then Some (OProd (OSing vid1 (Function fid1
empty)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1)))) else None)
)

definition SystemCES subst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where SystemCE = () SFunctions = ( $\lambda$ f. None),
SPredicates = ( $\lambda$ . None),
SContexts = ( $\lambda$ C. if C = pid1 then Some(Implies(And (Geq (Const 0) (Const
0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0)))) ([[DiffAssign vid1 (Function fid1 empty)]](Predicational
(Inr ())))) else None),
SPrograms = ( $\lambda$ . None),
SODEs = ( $\lambda$ . None)
)

lemma SystemCES OK:
step-ok
([[[],[Equiv (Implies(And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty)
(Const 0)))) ([[DiffAssign vid1 (Function fid1 empty)]](SystemCEFml1)))]]
(Implies(And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const
0)))) ([[DiffAssign vid1 (Function fid1 empty)]]( (SystemCEFml2))))]
```

```

    ])],
  ([]))

  0
  (CE SystemCEFml1 SystemCEFml2 SystemCESubst)
  ⟨proof⟩
definition SystemDiffAssignSubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where SystemDiffAssignSubst = () SFunctions = (λf. None),
  SPredicates = (λp. if p = vid1 then Some (Geq (Function (Inr vid1) empty)
  (Const 0)) else None),
  SContexts = (λ-. None),
  SPrograms = (λ-. None),
  SODEs = (λ-. None)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma SystemDICutCorrect:SystemDICut = Fsubst DIGeq axiom SystemDISubst
where SystemProof =
  (SystemConcl,
   (0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
   ,(0, Lrule AndL 0)
   ,(0, Cut SystemDICut)
   ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
   ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
   ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
   ,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
   ,(Suc 0, AxSubst ADIGeq SystemDISubst) — 8
   ,(Suc 0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
   ⟨proof⟩
   ,(Suc 0, CloseId 1 0)
   ⟨proof⟩
   ,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
   ,(0, Cut SystemDCCut)
   ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
   ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
   ,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL 0)
   ,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
   ,(0, AxSubst ADC SystemDCSubst) — 17
   ,(0, CloseId 0 0)
   ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
   ,(0, Cut SystemVCut)
   ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
   ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
   ,(0, Cut SystemDECut)
   ,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL 0)
   ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
   ,(1, CloseId (Suc 1) 0) — Last step
   ,(Suc 1, CloseId 0 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

,(1, AxSubst AV SystemVSubst) — 28
,(0, Cut SystemVCut2)

,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(Suc 1, CloseId 0 0)
,(Suc 1, CloseId (Suc 2) 0)

,(Suc 1, AxSubst AV SystemVSubst2) — 34
,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(0, DEAxiomSchema (OSing vid2 (trm.Var vid1)) SystemDESubst) — 36
,(0, Cut SystemKCut)
,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(0, AxSubst AK SystemKSubst) — 42
,(0, CloseId 0 0)
,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
,(1, AxSubst ADW SystemDWSUBST) — 45
,(0, G)
,(0, Cut SystemEquivCut)
,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL 0)
,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
,(0, CloseId 0 0)
,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
,(0, CE SystemCEFml1 SystemCEFml2 SystemCESubst) — 52
,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
,(0, Lrule AndL 0)
,(0, Cut SystemDiffAssignCut)
,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL 0)
,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
,(0, CloseId 0 0)
,(0, CloseId 1 0)
,(0, AxSubst Adassign SystemDiffAssignSubst) — 60
])

```

```

lemma system-result-correct:proof-result SystemProof =

$$(\[], (\[], [Implies (And (Geq (Var vid1) (Const 0)) (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0))) ([EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (TT)]) Geq (Var vid1) (Const 0))])) \langle proof \rangle$$


```

```

lemma SystemSound-lemma:sound (proof-result SystemProof)
\langle proof \rangle

```

```

lemma system-sound:sound ([], SystemConcl)
\langle proof \rangle

```

```

lemma DIAnd-result-correct:proof-result (proof-take 61 DIAndProof) = DIAnd
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem DIAnd-sound: sound DIAnd
  ⟨proof⟩

end end

```

18 dL Formalization

```

theory Differential-Dynamic-Logic
imports
  Complex-Main
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
  Static-Semantics
  Coincidence
  Bound-Effect
  Axioms
  Differential-Axioms
  USubst
  USubst-Lemma
  Uniform-Renaming
  Proof-Checker
begin
end

```

References

- [1] R. Bohrer, V. Rahli, I. Vukotic, M. Völp, and A. Platzer. Formally verified differential dynamic logic. In Y. Bertot and V. Vafeiadis, editors, *Certified Programs and Proofs - 6th ACM SIGPLAN Conference, CPP 2017, Paris, France, January 16-17, 2017*, pages 208–221. ACM, 2017.
- [2] A. Platzer. A uniform substitution calculus for differential dynamic logic. In A. P. Felty and A. Middeldorp, editors, *CADE*, volume 9195 of *LNCS*, pages 467–481. Springer, 2015.
- [3] A. Platzer. A complete uniform substitution calculus for differential dynamic logic. *J. Autom. Reas.*, 2016.