Difference Bound Matrices

Simon Wimmer, Peter Lammich March 17, 2025

Abstract

Difference Bound Matrices (DBMs) [2] are a data structure used to represent a type of convex polytopes, often called zones. DBMs find application such as in timed automata model checking and static program analysis. This entry formalizes DBMs and operations for inclusion checking, intersection, variable reset, upper-bound relaxation, and extrapolation (as used in timed automata model checking). With the help of the Imperative Refinement Framework, efficient imperative implementations of these operations are also provided. For each zone, there exists a canonical DBM. The characteristic properties of canonical forms are proved, including the fact that DBMs can be transformed in canonical form by the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. This entry is part of the work described in a paper by the authors of this entry [4] and a PhD thesis [3].

Contents

1	Diff	ference Bound Matrices	3			
	1.1	Definitions	3			
		1.1.1 Definition and Semantics of DBMs	3			
		1.1.2 Ordering DBM Entries	5			
		1.1.3 Addition on DBM Entries	8			
		1.1.4 Negation of DBM Entries	10			
	1.2	DBM Entries Form a Linearly Ordered Abelian Monoid	11			
	1.3	Basic Properties of DBMs	14			
		1.3.1 DBMs and Length of Paths	14			
2	Library for Paths, Arcs and Lengths 22					
	2.1	Arcs	22			
	2.2	Length of Paths	24			
	2.3	Cycle Rotation	24			
	2.4	More on Cycle-Freeness	28			
	2.5	Helper Lemmas for Bouyer's Theorem on Approximation	28			
		2.5.1 Successive	35			

		2.5.2 Zones and DBMs	. 53
		2.5.3 Useful definitions	. 56
		2.5.4 Updating DBMs	
		2.5.5 DBMs Without Negative Cycles are Non-Empty	
		2.5.6 Negative Cycles in DBMs	
		2.5.7 Floyd-Warshall Algorithm Preservers Zones	
	2.6	The Characteristic Property of Canonical DBMs	
		2.6.1 Floyd-Warshall and Empty DBMs	
		2.6.2 Mixed Corollaries	
	2.7	Orderings of DBMs	. 87
	2.8	Partial Floyd-Warshall Preserves Zones	
3	DB	M Operations	101
	3.1	Auxiliary	. 102
	3.2	Relaxation	. 102
	3.3	Intersection	. 116
	3.4	Variable Reset	. 117
	3.5	Misc Preservation Lemmas	
		3.5.1 Unused theorems	. 161
	3.6	Extrapolation of DBMs	. 163
		3.6.1 Classical extrapolation	. 163
		3.6.2 Extrapolations based on lower and upper bounds	. 164
		3.6.3 Extrapolations are widening operators	. 166
		3.6.4 Finiteness of extrapolations	. 169
4		Ms as Constraint Systems	171
	4.1	Misc	
	4.2	Definition and Semantics of Constraint Systems	
	4.3	Conversion of DBMs to Constraint Systems and Back	
	4.4	Application: Relaxation On Constraint Systems	. 181
5	-	elementation of DBM Operations	194
	5.1	Misc	
		Reset	
	5.3	Relaxation	
	5.4	Intersection	
	5.5	Inclusion	
	5.6	Extrapolations	
		5.6.1 Additional proof rules for typical looping constructs	
	5.7	Refinement	
	5.8	Pretty-Printing	
	5.9	Generate Code	248

```
theory DBM

imports

Floyd	ext{-}Warshall	ext{.}Floyd	ext{-}Warshall

HOL.Real

begin

type-synonym ('c, 't) cval = 'c \Rightarrow 't
```

1 Difference Bound Matrices

1.1 Definitions

1.1.1 Definition and Semantics of DBMs

Difference Bound Matrices (DBMs) constrain differences of clocks (or more precisely, the difference of values assigned to individual clocks by a valuation). The possible constraints are given by the following datatype:

```
datatype 't DBMEntry = Le 't | Lt 't | INF (\langle \infty \rangle)
```

This yields a simple definition of DBMs:

```
type-synonym 't DBM = nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry
```

To relate clocks with rows and columns of a DBM, we use a clock numbering v of type $c \Rightarrow nat$ to map clocks to indices. DBMs will regularly be accompanied by a natural number n, which designates the number of clocks constrained by the matrix. To be able to represent the full set of clock constraints with DBMs, we add an imaginary clock $\mathbf{0}$, which shall be assigned to 0 in every valuation. In the following predicate we explicitly keep track of $\mathbf{0}$.

```
class time = linordered-ab-group-add + assumes dense: x < y \Longrightarrow \exists z. \ x < z \land z < y assumes non-trivial: \exists \ x. \ x \neq 0

begin

lemma non-trivial-neg: \exists \ x. \ x < 0
proof — from non-trivial obtain x where x: x \neq 0 by auto show ?thesis proof (cases \ x < 0) case False with x have x > 0 by auto then have (-x) < 0 by auto then show ?thesis ...
```

```
qed auto
qed
end
instantiation real :: time
begin
  instance proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y :: real
    assume x < y
    then show \exists z > x. z < y using dense-order-class.dense by blast
    have (1 :: real) \neq 0 by auto
    then show \exists x. (x::real) \neq 0..
  qed
end
inductive dbm-entry-val :: ('c, 't) cval \Rightarrow 'c \ option \Rightarrow 'c \ option \Rightarrow ('t::time)
DBMEntry \Rightarrow bool
where
  u \ r \leq d \Longrightarrow dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val \ u \ (Some \ r) \ None \ (Le \ d) \ |
  -u \ c \leq d \Longrightarrow dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val \ u \ None \ (Some \ c) \ (Le \ d) \ |
  u r < d \Longrightarrow dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val \ u \ (Some \ r) \ None \ (Lt \ d) \ |
  -u \ c < d \Longrightarrow dbm\text{-entry-val } u \ None \ (Some \ c) \ (Lt \ d) \ |
  u r - u c \leq d \Longrightarrow dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val \ u \ (Some \ r) \ (Some \ c) \ (Le \ d) \ |
  u \ r - u \ c < d \Longrightarrow dbm\text{-entry-val} \ u \ (Some \ r) \ (Some \ c) \ (Lt \ d) \ |
  dbm-entry-val - - - \infty
declare dbm-entry-val.intros[intro]
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Le d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (Le d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Lt d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (Lt d)
inductive-cases [elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Le d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Lt d)
fun dbm-entry-bound :: ('t::time) DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't
where
  dbm-entry-bound (Le t) = t |
  dbm-entry-bound (Lt\ t) = t
  dbm-entry-bound \infty = 0
inductive dbm-lt :: ('t::linorder) DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry \Rightarrow bool
```

```
(\langle - \prec - \rangle [51, 51] 50)

where
dbm\text{-}lt (Lt -) \infty \mid
dbm\text{-}lt (Le -) \infty \mid
a < b \implies dbm\text{-}lt (Le a) (Le b) \mid
a < b \implies dbm\text{-}lt (Le a) (Lt b) \mid
a \le b \implies dbm\text{-}lt (Lt a) (Le b) \mid
a < b \implies dbm\text{-}lt (Lt a) (Lt b)
```

declare dbm-lt.intros[intro]

definition
$$dbm$$
- $le :: ('t::linorder)$ $DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't$ $DBMEntry \Rightarrow bool$ $(<- \le - > [51, 51] 50)$ **where** dbm - $le \ a \ b \equiv (a < b) \lor a = b$

Now a valuation is contained in the zone represented by a DBM if it fulfills all individual constraints:

```
definition DBM-val-bounded :: ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \ cval \Rightarrow ('t::time) \ DBM \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
```

where

DBM-val-bounded
$$v$$
 u m $n \equiv Le \ 0 \preceq m \ 0 \ 0 \land (\forall \ c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow (dbm-entry-val \ u \ None \ (Some \ c) \ (m \ 0 \ (v \ c)) \land dbm-entry-val \ u \ (Some \ c) \ None \ (m \ (v \ c) \ 0))) \land (\forall \ c1 \ c2. \ v \ c1 \leq n \land v \ c2 \leq n \longrightarrow dbm-entry-val \ u \ (Some \ c1) \ (Some \ c2) \ (m \ (v \ c1) \ (v \ c2)))$

abbreviation DBM-val-bounded-abbrev ::

('c, 't)
$$cval \Rightarrow$$
 ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow $nat \Rightarrow$ ('t::time) $DBM \Rightarrow bool$ (<- \(\frac{1}{2}\)-\(\frac{1}{2}\)-\(\frac{1}{2}\)-\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\)-\(\frac{1}{2}\)\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\)-\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\)-\(\frac{1}{2}\)\)(\(\frac{1}{2}\)-\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}2\)-\(\frac{1}{2}\)(\(\frac{1}2\)-\(\frac{1}2\)(\frac{1}2\)(\(\frac{1}2\)-\(\frac{1}2\)(\(\frac{1}2\)-\(\frac{1}2\)(\(\frac{1}2\)-\(\frac{1}2\)(\(\frac{1}2\)-\(\frac{

where

$$u \vdash_{v,n} M \equiv DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n$$

1.1.2 Ordering DBM Entries

abbreviation

$$dmin \ a \ b \equiv if \ a \prec b \ then \ a \ else \ b$$

lemma dbm-le-dbm-min:

$$a \leq b \Longrightarrow a = dmin \ a \ b \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ dbm-le-def$$
 by $auto$

lemma dbm-lt-asym:

assumes
$$e \prec f$$

```
shows ^{\sim} f \prec e
using assms
proof (safe, cases e f rule: dbm-lt.cases, goal-cases)
 case 1 from this(2) show ?case using 1(3-) by (cases fe rule: dbm-lt.cases)
auto
next
 case 2 from this(2) show ?case using 2(3-) by (cases fe rule: dbm-lt.cases)
auto
next
 case 3 from this(2) show ?case using 3(3-) by (cases fe rule: dbm-lt.cases)
auto
next
 case 4 from this(2) show ? case using 4(3-) by (cases f e rule: dbm-lt.cases)
auto
next
 case 5 from this(2) show ?case using 5(3-) by (cases fe rule: dbm-lt.cases)
auto
next
 case 6 from this(2) show ?case using 6(3-) by (cases f e rule: dbm-lt.cases)
auto
qed
lemma dbm-le-dbm-min2:
 a \leq b \Longrightarrow a = dmin \ b \ a
using dbm-lt-asym by (auto simp: dbm-le-def)
lemma dmb-le-dbm-entry-bound-inf:
 a \leq b \Longrightarrow a = \infty \Longrightarrow b = \infty
 by (auto simp: dbm-le-def elim: dbm-lt.cases)
lemma dbm-not-lt-eq: \neg a \prec b \Longrightarrow \neg b \prec a \Longrightarrow a = b
 by (cases a; cases b; fastforce)
lemma dbm-not-lt-impl: \neg a \prec b \Longrightarrow b \prec a \lor a = b using dbm-not-lt-eq
by auto
lemma dmin \ a \ b = dmin \ b \ a
proof (cases \ a \prec b)
 case True thus ?thesis by (simp add: dbm-lt-asym)
next
 case False thus ?thesis by (simp add: dbm-not-lt-eq)
qed
lemma dbm-lt-trans: a \prec b \Longrightarrow b \prec c \Longrightarrow a \prec c
```

```
proof (cases a b rule: dbm-lt.cases, goal-cases)
 case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
 case 2 from this(2-) show ?case by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) simp+
next
 case 3 from this(2-) show ?case by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) simp+
 case 4 from this(2-) show ?case by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) auto
next
 case 5 from this(2-) show ?case by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) auto
next
 case 6 from this(2-) show ?case by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) auto
 case 7 from this(2-) show ?case by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) auto
qed
lemma aux-3: \neg a \prec b \Longrightarrow \neg b \prec c \Longrightarrow a \prec c \Longrightarrow c = a
proof goal-cases
 case 1 thus ?case
 proof (cases c \prec b)
   case True
   with \langle a \prec c \rangle have a \prec b by (rule dbm-lt-trans)
   thus ?thesis using 1 by auto
   case False thus ?thesis using dbm-not-lt-eq 1 by auto
 qed
qed
inductive-cases[elim!]: \infty \prec x
lemma dbm-lt-asymmetric[simp]: x \prec y \Longrightarrow y \prec x \Longrightarrow False
by (cases x y rule: dbm-lt.cases) (auto elim: dbm-lt.cases)
lemma le-dbm-le: Le a \leq Le \ b \implies a \leq b unfolding dbm-le-def by (auto
elim: dbm-lt.cases)
lemma le-dbm-lt: Le a \leq Lt \ b \Longrightarrow a < b \ unfolding \ dbm-le-def \ by (auto
elim: dbm-lt.cases)
lemma lt-dbm-le: Lt a \leq Le \ b \implies a \leq b unfolding dbm-le-def by (auto
elim: dbm-lt.cases)
lemma lt-dbm-lt: Lt a \leq Lt b \Longrightarrow a \leq b unfolding dbm-le-def by (auto
elim: dbm-lt.cases)
```

```
lemma not-dbm-le-le-impl: \neg Le a \prec Le b \Longrightarrow a \geq b by (metis dbm-lt.intros(3))
not-less)
lemma not-dbm-lt-le-impl: \neg Lt \ a \prec Le \ b \Longrightarrow a > b \ \text{by} \ (metis \ dbm-lt.intros(5))
not-less)
lemma not-dbm-lt-lt-impl: \neg Lt \ a \prec Lt \ b \Longrightarrow a \geq b by (metis dbm-lt.intros(6))
not-less)
lemma not-dbm-le-lt-impl: \neg Le a \prec Lt \ b \Longrightarrow a \geq b by (metis dbm-lt.intros(4))
not-less)
         Addition on DBM Entries
1.1.3
fun dbm-add :: ('t::linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add) DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't
DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't \ DBMEntry \ (infix1 < > 70)
where
  dbm-add \infty
                          =\infty
  dbm-add -
                   \infty
                          =\infty
  dbm-add (Le a) (Le b) = (Le (a+b))
  dbm-add (Le a) (Lt b) = (Lt (a+b))
  dbm-add (Lt a) (Le b) = (Lt (a+b))
  dbm-add (Lt a) (Lt b) = (Lt (a+b))
lemma aux-4: x \prec y \Longrightarrow \neg dbm-add \ x \ z \prec dbm-add \ y \ z \Longrightarrow dbm-add \ x \ z
= dbm - add y z
by (cases x y rule: dbm-lt.cases; cases z; auto)
lemma aux-5: \neg x \prec y \Longrightarrow dbm-add \ x \ z \prec dbm-add \ y \ z \Longrightarrow dbm-add \ y \ z
= dbm - add x z
proof -
  assume lt: dbm-add x z \prec dbm-add y z \neg x \prec y
  hence x = y \lor y \prec x by (auto simp: dbm-not-lt-eq)
  thus ?thesis
  proof
   assume x = y thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   assume y \prec x
   thus ?thesis
   proof (cases y x rule: dbm-lt.cases, goal-cases)
     case 1 thus ?case using lt by auto
```

case 2 thus ?case using lt by auto

next

```
case 3 thus ?case using dbm-lt-asymmetric lt(1) by (cases z) fast-
force+
   next
     case 4 thus ?case using dbm-lt-asymmetric lt(1) by (cases z) fast-
force+
   next
     case 5 thus ?case using dbm-lt-asymmetric lt(1) by (cases z) fast-
force+
   \mathbf{next}
     case 6 thus ?case using dbm-lt-asymmetric lt(1) by (cases z) fast-
force+
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma aux-42: x \prec y \Longrightarrow \neg dbm-add z x \prec dbm-add z y \Longrightarrow dbm-add z x
= dbm - add z y
by (cases x y rule: dbm-lt.cases) ((cases z), auto)+
lemma aux-52: \neg x \prec y \Longrightarrow dbm-add\ z\ x \prec dbm-add\ z\ y \Longrightarrow dbm-add\ z\ y
= dbm-add z x
proof -
 assume lt: dbm-add z x \prec dbm-add z y \neg x \prec y
 hence x = y \lor y \prec x by (auto simp: dbm-not-lt-eq)
 thus ?thesis
 proof
   assume x = y thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   assume y \prec x
   thus ?thesis
   proof (cases y x rule: dbm-lt.cases, goal-cases)
     case 1 thus ?case using lt by (cases z) fastforce+
   next
     case 2 thus ?case using lt by (cases z) fastforce+
     case 3 thus ?case using dbm-lt-asymmetric lt(1) by (cases z) fast-
force+
     case 4 thus ?case using dbm-lt-asymmetric lt(1) by (cases z) fast-
force+
     case 5 thus ? case using dbm-lt-asymmetric lt(1) by (cases z) fast-
force+
```

```
next
      case 6 thus ?case using dbm-lt-asymmetric lt(1) by (cases z) fast-
force+
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma dbm-add-not-inf:
  a \neq \infty \Longrightarrow b \neq \infty \Longrightarrow dbm-add a \ b \neq \infty
  by (cases a; cases b; auto)
lemma dbm-le-not-inf:
  a \leq b \Longrightarrow b \neq \infty \Longrightarrow a \neq \infty
  by (cases\ a = b)\ (auto\ simp:\ dbm-le-def)
         Negation of DBM Entries
fun neq-dbm-entry where
  neg-dbm-entry (Le \ a) = Lt \ (-a) \ |
  neg-dbm-entry (Lt \ a) = Le \ (-a) \ |
  neg-dbm-entry \infty = \infty
  — This case does not make sense but we make this definition for technical
convenience.
lemma neg-entry:
  \{u. \neg dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ a\ b\ e\} = \{u.\ dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ b\ a\ (neg\text{-}dbm\text{-}entry
e)
 if e \neq (\infty :: -DBMEntry) a \neq None \lor b \neq None
  using that by (cases e; cases a; cases b; auto 4 3 simp: le-minus-iff
less-minus-iff)
instantiation DBMEntry :: (uminus) uminus
begin
```

Note that it is not clear that this is the only sensible definition for negation of DBM entries. The following would also have been quite viable: fun neg-dbm-entry where neg-dbm-entry (Le a) = Le (-a) | neg-dbm-entry (Lt a) = Lt (-a) | neg-dbm-entry $\infty = \infty$

definition uminus: uminus = neg-dbm-entry

instance ..

end

For most practical proofs using arithmetic on DBM entries we have found that this does not make much of a difference. Lemma $[?e \neq \infty; ?a \neq None \lor ?b \neq None] \Longrightarrow \{u. \neg dbm-entry-val\ u\ ?a\ ?b\ ?e\} = \{u.\ dbm-entry-val\ u\ ?a\ ?b\ ?e\}$

1.2 DBM Entries Form a Linearly Ordered Abelian Monoid

```
instantiation DBMEntry :: (linorder) linorder
begin
 definition less-eq: (\leq) \equiv dbm-le
 definition less: (<) = dbm-lt
 instance
 proof ((standard; unfold less less-eq), goal-cases)
   case 1 thus ?case unfolding dbm-le-def using dbm-lt-asymmetric by
auto
 next
   case 2 thus ?case by (simp add: dbm-le-def)
   case 3 thus ?case unfolding dbm-le-def using dbm-lt-trans by auto
 next
   case 4 thus ?case unfolding dbm-le-def using dbm-lt-asymmetric by
auto
 next
   case 5 thus ?case unfolding dbm-le-def using dbm-not-lt-eq by auto
 qed
end
{\bf class}\ linordered\hbox{-}cancel\hbox{-}ab\hbox{-}monoid\hbox{-}add=
 linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add + zero +
   assumes neutl[simp]: \theta + x = x
   assumes neutr[simp]: x + \theta = x
begin
 {f subclass}\ linordered-ab-monoid-add
   by standard (rule neutl)
end
instantiation DBMEntry :: (zero) zero
begin
 definition neutral: \theta = Le \ \theta
 instance ..
instantiation \ DBMEntry :: (linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add) \ linordered-ab-monoid-add
```

begin

```
definition add: (+) = dbm-add
 instance proof ((standard; unfold add neutral less less-eq), goal-cases)
    case (1 a b c) thus ?case by (cases a; cases b; cases c; auto simp:
add.assoc)
 next
   case (2 a b) thus ?case by (cases a; cases b; auto simp: add.commute)
   case (3 a) thus ?case by (cases a) auto
 next
   case (4 a b c)
   thus ?case unfolding dbm-le-def
   apply safe
    apply (rule dbm-lt.cases)
        apply assumption
     by (cases c; fastforce)+
 qed
end
interpretation linordered-monoid:
 linordered-ab-monoid-add dbm-add Le (0::'t::linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add)
dbm-le dbm-lt
 apply (standard, fold neutral add less-eq less)
 using add.commute by (auto intro: add-left-mono simp: add.assoc)
instance time \subseteq linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add by (standard; simp)
lemma dbm-add-strict-right-mono-neutral: a < Le (d :: 't :: time) \Longrightarrow a +
Le(-d) < Le 0
unfolding less add by (cases a) (auto elim!: dbm-lt.cases)
lemma dbm-lt-not-inf-less[intro]: A \neq \infty \Longrightarrow A \prec \infty by (cases A) auto
lemma add-inf[simp]:
 a + \infty = \infty \infty + a = \infty
unfolding add by (cases a) auto
lemma inf-lt[simp, dest!]:
 \infty < x \Longrightarrow False
 by (cases \ x) \ (auto \ simp: \ less)
lemma inf-lt-impl-False[simp]:
 \infty < x = False
```

```
by auto
```

```
lemma Le-Le-dbm-lt-D[dest]: Le a \prec Lt \ b \Longrightarrow a < b \ by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases)
lemma Le-Lt-dbm-lt-D[dest]: Le a \prec Le b \Longrightarrow a < b by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases)
auto
lemma Lt-Le-dbm-lt-D[dest]: Lt a \prec Le b \Longrightarrow a \leq b by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases)
auto
lemma Lt-Lt-dbm-lt-D[dest]: Lt a \prec Lt \ b \Longrightarrow a < b \ by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases)
auto
lemma Le-le-LeI[intro]: a \le b \Longrightarrow Le \ a \le Le \ b unfolding less-eq \ dbm-le-def
by auto
lemma Lt-le-LeI[intro]: a \le b \Longrightarrow Lt \ a \le Le \ b \ unfolding \ less-eq \ dbm-le-def
by auto
lemma Lt-le-LtI[intro]: a \le b \Longrightarrow Lt \ a \le Lt \ b unfolding less-eq dbm-le-def
by auto
lemma Le-le-LtI[intro]: a < b \Longrightarrow Le \ a \le Lt \ b unfolding less-eq \ dbm-le-def
by auto
lemma Lt-lt-LeI: x \le y \Longrightarrow Lt \ x < Le \ y unfolding less by auto
lemma Le-le-LeD[dest]: Le a \le Le \ b \Longrightarrow a \le b unfolding dbm-le-def less-eq
by auto
lemma Le-le-LtD[dest]: Le a \le Lt \ b \Longrightarrow a < b unfolding dbm-le-def less-eq
by auto
lemma Lt-le-LeD[dest]: Lt \ a \le Le \ b \Longrightarrow a \le b \ unfolding \ less-eq \ dbm-le-def
by auto
lemma Lt-le-LtD[dest]: Lt\ a \le Lt\ b \Longrightarrow a \le b unfolding less-eq\ dbm-le-def
by auto
lemma inf-not-le-Le[simp]: \infty \leq Le \ x = False \ unfolding \ less-eq \ dbm-le-def
by auto
lemma inf-not-le-Lt[simp]: \infty \leq Lt \ x = False \ unfolding \ less-eq \ dbm-le-def
by auto
lemma inf-not-lt[simp]: \infty \prec x = False by auto
lemma any-le-inf: x \leq (\infty :: -DBMEntry) by (metis less-eq dmb-le-dbm-entry-bound-inf
le-cases)
lemma dbm-lt-code-simps[code]:
  dbm-lt (Lt a) \infty = True
  dbm-lt (Le a) \infty = True
  dbm-lt (Le a) (Le b) = (a < b)
  dbm-lt (Le a) (Lt b) = (a < b)
```

```
dbm-lt (Lt a) (Le b) = (a \le b)

dbm-lt (Lt a) (Lt b) = (a < b)

dbm-lt \infty x = False

by auto
```

1.3 Basic Properties of DBMs

1.3.1 DBMs and Length of Paths

```
lemma dbm-entry-val-add-1: dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some d) a \Longrightarrow
dbm-entry-val u (Some d) None b
      \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c)\ None\ (dbm\text{-}add\ a\ b)
proof (cases a, goal-cases)
 case 1 thus ?thesis
   apply (cases \ b)
   using add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(1) add-le-less-mono by auto
fastforce+
next
 case 2 thus ?thesis
 apply (cases \ b)
    \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{clarsimp \ simp: \ dbm-entry-val.intros}(3) \ \mathit{diff-less-eq \ less-le-trans})
   apply (clarsimp, metis add-le-less-mono dbm-entry-val.intros(3) diff-add-cancel
less-imp-le)
   apply auto
   done
next
 case 3 thus ?thesis by (cases b) auto
qed
lemma dbm-entry-val-add-2: dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) a \Longrightarrow dbm-entry-val
u (Some c) (Some d) b
      \implies dbm-entry-val u None (Some d) (dbm-add a b)
proof (cases a, goal-cases)
 case 1 thus ?thesis
   apply (cases \ b)
   using add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(1) add-le-less-mono by fast-
force+
next
 case 2 thus ?thesis
   apply (cases \ b)
   using add-mono-thms-linordered-field(3) apply fastforce
   using add-strict-mono by fastforce+
 case 3 thus ?thesis by (cases b) auto
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma dbm-entry-val-add-3:
  dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c)\ (Some\ d)\ a \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ d)
(Some \ e) \ b
  \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c)\ (Some\ e)\ (dbm\text{-}add\ a\ b)
proof (cases a, qoal-cases)
 case 1 thus ?thesis
   apply (cases \ b)
   using add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(1) apply fastforce
   using add-le-less-mono by fastforce+
 case 2 thus ?thesis
   apply (cases \ b)
   using add-mono-thms-linordered-field(3) apply fastforce
   using add-strict-mono by fastforce+
next
 case 3 thus ?thesis by (cases b) auto
qed
lemma dbm-entry-val-add-4:
  dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None a \Longrightarrow dbm-entry-val u None (Some d) b
  \implies dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some d) (dbm-add a b)
proof (cases a, goal-cases)
 case 1 thus ?thesis
   apply (cases \ b)
   using add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(1) apply fastforce
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{add-le-less-mono}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{fastforce} +
next
 case 2 thus ?thesis
   apply (cases \ b)
   using add-mono-thms-linordered-field(3) apply fastforce
   using add-strict-mono by fastforce+
 case 3 thus ?thesis by (cases b) auto
qed
no-notation dbm-add (infix l \leftrightarrow 70)
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-1'-aux:
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u m n v c \leq n \ \forall k \in set \ vs. \ k > 0 \ \land k \leq
n \wedge (\exists c. v c = k)
 shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (len m (v c) \theta vs) using assms
proof (induction vs arbitrary: c)
```

```
case Nil then show ?case unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
next
 case (Cons \ k \ vs)
 then obtain c' where c': k > 0 k \le n v c' = k by auto
 with Cons have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (len m (v c') 0 vs) by
  moreover have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') (m (v c) (v c'))
using Cons. prems c'
 by (auto simp add: DBM-val-bounded-def)
 ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (m (v c) (v c') + len
m (v c') \theta vs
 using dbm-entry-val-add-1 unfolding add by fastforce
 with c' show ?case unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by simp
qed
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-3'-aux:
 DBM-val-bounded v u m n \Longrightarrow v c \le n \Longrightarrow v d \le n \Longrightarrow \forall k \in set vs. k
> 0 \land k \leq n \land (\exists c. v c = k)
  \implies dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some d) (len m (v c) (v d) vs)
proof (induction vs arbitrary: c)
 case Nil thus ?case unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
next
 case (Cons k vs)
 then obtain c' where c': k > 0 k \le n v c' = k by auto
 with Cons have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') (Some d) (len m (v c') (v d)
vs) by auto
  moreover have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') (m (v c) (v c'))
using Cons. prems c'
 by (auto simp add: DBM-val-bounded-def)
 ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some d) (m (v c) (v c') +
len m (v c') (v d) vs)
 using dbm-entry-val-add-3 unfolding add by fastforce
 with c' show ?case unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by simp
qed
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-2'-aux:
 DBM-val-bounded v u m n \Longrightarrow v c \le n \Longrightarrow \forall k \in set vs. k > 0 \land k \le n
\wedge (\exists c. v c = k)
 \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ None\ (Some\ c)\ (len\ m\ 0\ (v\ c)\ vs)
proof (cases vs, qoal-cases)
 case 1 then show ?thesis unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
next
 case (2 k vs)
 then obtain c' where c': k > 0 k \le n v c' = k by auto
```

```
with 2 have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) (len m (v c) (v c) vs)
 using DBM-val-bounded-len-3'-aux by auto
 moreover have dbm-entry-val \ u \ None \ (Some \ c') \ (m \ 0 \ (v \ c'))
 using 2 c' by (auto simp add: DBM-val-bounded-def)
 ultimately have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (m 0 (v c') + len m (v
c') (v c) vs)
 using dbm-entry-val-add-2 unfolding add by fastforce
 with 2(4) c' show ?case unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by simp
qed
lemma cnt-\theta-D:
 cnt \ x \ xs = 0 \implies x \notin set \ xs
 apply (induction xs)
  apply simp
 subgoal for a xs
   by (cases \ x = a; simp)
 done
lemma cnt-at-most-1-D:
 cnt \ x \ (xs \ @ \ x \ \# \ ys) \le 1 \Longrightarrow x \notin set \ xs \land x \notin set \ ys
 apply (induction xs)
 apply auto[]
 using cnt-0-D apply force
 subgoal for a xs
   by (cases \ x = a; simp)
 done
lemma nat-list-\theta [intro]:
 x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow 0 \notin set \ (xs :: nat \ list) \Longrightarrow x > 0
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len'1:
 fixes v
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u m n 0 \notin set vs v c \leq n
         \forall k \in set \ vs. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow k \leq n \land (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
 shows dbm-entry-val\ u\ (Some\ c)\ None\ (len\ m\ (v\ c)\ 0\ vs)
using DBM-val-bounded-len-1'-aux[OF assms(1,3)] assms(2,4) by fast-
force
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len'2:
 fixes v
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u m n 0 \notin set vs v c \leq n
         \forall k \in set \ vs. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow k \leq n \land (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
 shows dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (len m 0 (v c) vs)
```

```
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len'3:
    fixes v
    assumes DBM-val-bounded v u m n cnt 0 vs \le 1 v c1 \le n v c2 \le n
                      \forall k \in set \ vs. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow k \leq n \land (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
    shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (len m (v c1) (v c2) vs)
proof -
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases \ \forall \ k \in set \ vs. \ k > 0)
        case True
        with assms have \forall k \in set \ vs. \ k > 0 \land k \leq n \land (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) by auto
         with DBM-val-bounded-len-3'-aux[OF assms(1,3,4)] show ?thesis by
auto
    next
        case False
        then have \exists k \in set \ vs. \ k = 0 \ by \ auto
      then obtain us ws where vs: vs = us @ 0 \# ws by (meson split-list-last)
        with cnt-at-most-1-D[of 0 us] <math>assms(2) have
             0 \notin set \ us \ 0 \notin set \ ws
        by auto
        with vs have vs: vs = us @ 0 \# ws \forall k \in set us. k > 0 \forall k \in set ws.
k > 0 by auto
        with assms(5) have v:
             \forall k \in set \ us. \ 0 < k \land k \leq n \land (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ \forall k \in set \ ws. \ 0 < k \land k \leq
n \wedge (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
        by auto
        with
             dbm-entry-val-add-4[OF
                 DBM-val-bounded-len-1'-aux[OF\ assms(1,3)\ v(1)]
                 DBM-val-bounded-len-2'-aux[OF\ assms(1,4)\ v(2)]
         have dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c1)\ (Some\ c2)\ (dbm\text{-}add\ (len\ m\ (v\ c1)\ 0
us) (len m 0 (v c2) ws))
             by auto
         moreover from vs have len m (v c1) (v c2) vs = dbm-add (len m (v c2)) vs = dbm-add
c1) \ \theta \ us) \ (len \ m \ \theta \ (v \ c2) \ ws)
             by (simp add: len-comp add)
        ultimately show ?thesis by auto
    qed
qed
```

using DBM-val-bounded-len-2'-aux[OF assms(1,3)] assms(2,4) by fast-

force

```
Now unused lemma DBM-val-bounded-len':
 fixes v
 defines vo \equiv \lambda \ k. \ if \ k = 0 \ then \ None \ else \ Some \ (SOME \ c. \ v \ c = k)
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u m n cnt 0 (i \# j \# vs) \le 1
        \forall k \in set (i \# j \# vs). k > 0 \longrightarrow k \leq n \land (\exists c. v c = k)
 shows dbm-entry-val\ u\ (vo\ i)\ (vo\ j)\ (len\ m\ i\ j\ vs)
proof -
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases \ \forall \ k \in set \ vs. \ k > 0)
   case True
   with assms have *: \forall k \in set \ vs. \ k > 0 \land k \leq n \land (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) by
auto
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases i = 0)
     case True
     then have i: vo\ i = None\ by\ (simp\ add:\ vo\ def)
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases j = \theta)
       case True with assms \langle i = 0 \rangle show ?thesis by auto
     next
       case False
       with assms obtain c2 where c2: j \le n \ v \ c2 = j \ vo \ j = Some \ c2
       unfolding vo-def by (fastforce intro: someI)
      with \langle i = 0 \rangle i DBM-val-bounded-len-2'-aux[OF assms(2) - *] show
?thesis by auto
     qed
   next
     case False
     with assms(4) obtain c1 where c1: i \le n \ v \ c1 = i \ vo \ i = Some \ c1
     unfolding vo-def by (fastforce intro: someI)
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases j = \theta)
       case True
      with DBM-val-bounded-len-1'-aux[OF assms(2) - *] c1 show ?thesis
by (auto simp: vo-def)
     next
       case False
       with assms obtain c2 where c2: j \le n \ v \ c2 = j \ vo \ j = Some \ c2
       unfolding vo-def by (fastforce intro: someI)
     with c1 DBM-val-bounded-len-3'-aux[OF assms(2) - -*] show ?thesis
by auto
     qed
   qed
 next
```

```
case False
        then have \exists k \in set \ vs. \ k = 0 \ by \ auto
      then obtain us ws where vs: vs = us @ 0 \# ws by (meson split-list-last)
        with cnt-at-most-1-D[of 0 i \# j \# us ws] <math>assms(3) have
            0 \notin set \ us \ 0 \notin set \ ws \ i \neq 0 \ j \neq 0
        by auto
        with vs have vs: vs = us @ 0 \# ws \forall k \in set us. k > 0 \forall k \in set ws.
k > 0 by auto
        with assms(4) have v:
            \forall k \in set \ us. \ 0 < k \land k \leq n \land (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ \forall k \in set \ ws. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 < k \land k \leq set \ vs. \ 0 <
n \wedge (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
        by auto
        from \langle i \neq 0 \rangle \langle j \neq 0 \rangle assms obtain c1 c2 where
              c1: i \le n \ v \ c1 = i \ vo \ i = Some \ c1 \ and \ c2: j \le n \ v \ c2 = j \ vo \ j =
Some c2
        unfolding vo-def by (fastforce intro: someI)
      with dbm-entry-val-add-4 [OF DBM-val-bounded-len-1'-aux[OF assms(2)]
- v(1)] DBM-val-bounded-len-2'-aux[OF assms(2) - v(2)]]
        have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (dbm-add (len m (v c1) 0
us) (len m 0 (v c2) ws)) by auto
        moreover from vs have len m (v c1) (v c2) vs = dbm-add (len m (v
c1) \ \theta \ us) \ (len \ m \ \theta \ (v \ c2) \ ws)
            by (simp add: len-comp add)
        ultimately show ?thesis using c1 c2 by auto
    qed
qed
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-1: DBM-val-bounded v u m n \implies v c \le n
\implies \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n
            \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c)\ None\ (len\ m\ (v\ c)\ \theta\ (map\ v\ cs))
proof (induction cs arbitrary: c)
    case Nil thus ?case unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
next
    case (Cons \ c' \ cs)
    hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (len m (v c') \theta (map v cs)) by
auto
     moreover have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') (m (v c) (v c')
using Cons.prems
        by (simp add: DBM-val-bounded-def)
    ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (m (v c) (v c') + len
m (v c') \theta (map v cs)
        using dbm-entry-val-add-1 unfolding add by fastforce
    thus ?case unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by simp
qed
```

```
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-3: DBM-val-bounded v u m n \implies v c \le n
\implies v \ d \le n \implies \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \le n
    \implies dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some d) (len m (v c) (v d) (map v cs))
proof (induction cs arbitrary: c)
 case Nil thus ?case unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
next
 case (Cons \ c' \ cs)
  hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c') (Some d) (len m (v c') (v d) (map v
(cs)) by auto
  moreover have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') (m (v c) (v c'))
using Cons. prems
   by (simp add: DBM-val-bounded-def)
 ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some d) (m (v c) (v c') +
len m (v c') (v d) (map v cs)
   using dbm-entry-val-add-3 unfolding add by fastforce
 thus ?case unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by simp
qed
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-2: DBM-val-bounded v u m n \implies v c \le n
\implies \forall c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n
     \implies dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (len m 0 (v c) (map v cs))
proof (cases cs, qoal-cases)
 case 1 thus ?thesis unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
next
 case (2 c' cs)
 hence dbm-entry-val\ u\ (Some\ c')\ (Some\ c)\ (len\ m\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c)\ (map\ v\ cs))
   using DBM-val-bounded-len-3 by auto
 moreover have dbm-entry-val \ u \ None \ (Some \ c') \ (m \ 0 \ (v \ c'))
   using 2 by (simp add: DBM-val-bounded-def)
 ultimately have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (m 0 (v c') + len m (v
c') (v c) (map v cs))
   using dbm-entry-val-add-2 unfolding add by fastforce
 thus ?case using 2(4) unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by simp
qed
lemmas DBM-arith-defs = add neutral uminus
end
theory Paths-Cycles
 imports Floyd-Warshall.Floyd-Warshall
begin
```

2 Library for Paths, Arcs and Lengths

lemma length-eq-distinct:

```
assumes set xs = set ys distinct xs length <math>xs = length ys
 shows distinct ys
using assms card-distinct distinct-card by fastforce
2.1
      Arcs
fun arcs :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \ list \Rightarrow (nat * nat) \ list \ \mathbf{where}
 arcs \ a \ b \ [] = [(a,b)] \ |
 arcs \ a \ b \ (x \# xs) = (a, x) \# arcs \ x \ b \ xs
definition arcs' :: nat \ list \Rightarrow (nat * nat) \ set \ where
 arcs' xs = set (arcs (hd xs) (last xs) (butlast (tl xs)))
lemma arcs'-decomp:
 length xs > 1 \Longrightarrow (i, j) \in arcs' xs \Longrightarrow \exists zs \ ys. \ xs = zs @ i \# j \# ys
proof (induction xs)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 then have length xs > 0 by auto
  then obtain y ys where xs: xs = y \# ys by (metis Suc-length-conv
less-imp-Suc-add)
 show ?case
 proof (cases\ (i,\ j) = (x,\ y))
   case True
   with xs have x \# xs = [] @ i \# j \# ys by simp
   then show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   then show ?thesis
   proof (cases length ys > 0, goal-cases)
     case 2
     then have ys = [] by auto
       then have arcs'(x\#xs) = \{(x,y)\} using xs by (auto simp add:
arcs'-def
     with Cons.prems(2) 2(1) show ?case by auto
   next
     case True
      with xs Cons.prems(2) False have (i, j) \in arcs' xs by (auto simp
add: arcs'-def)
     with Cons.IH[OF - this] True xs obtain zs ys where xs = zs @ i #
```

```
j \# ys \mathbf{by} \ auto
     then have x \# xs = (x \# zs) @ i \# j \# ys by simp
     then show ?thesis by blast
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma arcs-decomp-tail:
  arcs j l (ys @ [i]) = arcs j i ys @ [(i, l)]
by (induction ys arbitrary: j) auto
lemma arcs-decomp: xs = ys @ y \# zs \Longrightarrow arcs x z xs = arcs x y ys @
arcs y z zs
by (induction ys arbitrary: x xs) simp+
lemma distinct-arcs-ex:
  distinct xs \Longrightarrow i \notin set \ xs \Longrightarrow xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow \exists \ a \ b. \ a \neq x \land (a,b) \in set \ (arcs
i j xs
  apply (induction xs arbitrary: i)
  apply simp
 subgoal for a xs i
   apply (cases xs)
    apply (simp, metis)
   by auto
  done
lemma cycle-rotate-2-aux:
  (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ b \ (xs \ @ \ [c])) \Longrightarrow (i, j) \neq (c, b) \Longrightarrow (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a
c xs
by (induction xs arbitrary: a) auto
lemma arcs-set-elem1:
  assumes j \neq k \ k \in set \ (i \# xs)
  shows \exists l. (k, l) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs)  using assms
by (induction xs arbitrary: i) auto
lemma arcs-set-elem2:
  assumes i \neq k \ k \in set \ (j \# xs)
  shows \exists l. (l, k) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs)  using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: i)
  case Nil then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  then show ?case by (cases k = x) auto
```

2.2 Length of Paths

 $lemmas (in \ linordered-ab-monoid-add) \ comm = \ add.commute$

```
lemma len-add:
 fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
 shows len M i j xs + len M i j xs = len (\lambda i j. M i j + M i j) i j xs
proof (induction xs arbitrary: i j)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 have M i x + len M x j xs + (M i x + len M x j xs) = M i x + (len M x j xs)
j xs + M i x) + len M x j xs
   by (simp add: add.assoc)
 also have ... = M i x + (M i x + len M x j xs) + len M x j xs by (simp)
add: comm)
  also have ... = (M i x + M i x) + (len M x j xs + len M x j xs) by
(simp add: add.assoc)
 finally have M i x + len M x j xs + (M i x + len M x j xs)
             = (M i x + M i x) + len (\lambda i j. M i j + M i j) x j xs
 using Cons by simp
 thus ?case by simp
qed
2.3
      Cycle Rotation
```

```
lemma cycle-rotate:
 fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
 assumes length xs > 1 (i, j) \in arcs' xs
 shows \exists ys zs. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys @ a # zs) <math>\land xs = zs @
i \# j \# ys  using assms
proof -
 assume A: length xs > 1 (i, j) \in arcs' xs
 from arcs'-decomp[OF\ this] obtain ys\ zs where xs:\ xs=zs\ @\ i\ \#\ j\ \#
ys by blast
 from len-decomp[OF\ this,\ of\ M\ a\ a]
 have len M a a xs = len M a i zs + len M i a (j # ys).
 also have ... = len M i a (j \# ys) + len M a i zs by (simp add: comm)
 also from len-comp[of M \ i \ j \ \# \ ys \ a \ zs] have ... = len \ M \ i \ (j \ \# \ ys \ @
a \# zs) by auto
 finally show ?thesis using xs by auto
qed
```

```
lemma cycle-rotate-2:
 \mathbf{fixes}\ M :: ('a :: \mathit{linordered-ab-monoid-add})\ \mathit{mat}
 assumes xs \neq [] (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs)
 shows \exists ys. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys) \land set ys \subseteq set (a # xs)
\land length ys < length xs
using assms proof -
 assume A:xs \neq [] (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs)
 { fix ys assume A:a = i xs = j \# ys
   then have ?thesis by auto
 } note * = this
 { fix b ys assume A: a = j xs = ys @ [i]
   have len M j j (ys @ [i]) = M i j + len M j i ys
     using len-decomp[of\ ys\ @\ [i]\ ys\ i\ []\ M\ j\ j] by (auto simp:\ comm)
   with A have ?thesis
     by auto
 } note ** = this
 { assume length xs = 1
   then obtain b where xs: xs = [b] by (metis One-nat-def length-0-conv
length-Suc-conv)
   with A(2) have a = i \land b = j \lor a = j \land b = i by auto
   then have ?thesis using * ** xs by auto
 } note *** = this
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases length xs = 0)
   case True with A show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   thus ?thesis
   proof (cases length xs = 1, goal-cases)
     case True with *** show ?thesis by auto
   next
     case 2
     hence length xs > 1 by linarith
     then obtain b \ c \ ys where ys:xs = b \ \# \ ys \ @ \ [c]
   by (metis One-nat-def assms(1) 2(2) length-0-conv length-Cons list.exhaust
rev-exhaust)
     thus ?thesis
     proof (cases\ (i,j) = (a,b),\ goal\text{-}cases)
      case True
      with ys * show ?thesis by auto
     next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
```

```
proof (cases\ (i,j) = (c,a),\ goal\text{-}cases)
        {f case}\ {\it True}
         with ys ** show ?thesis by auto
       next
         case 2
         with A(2) ys have (i, j) \in arcs' xs
         using cycle-rotate-2-aux by (auto simp: arcs'-def)
         from cycle-rotate[OF \langle length \ xs > 1 \rangle this, of M a] show ?thesis
by auto
       qed
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma cycle-rotate-len-arcs:
 fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
 assumes length xs > 1 (i, j) \in arcs' xs
 shows \exists ys zs. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys @ a # zs)
              \land set (arcs\ a\ a\ xs) = set\ (arcs\ i\ i\ (j\ \#\ ys\ @\ a\ \#\ zs))\ \land\ xs =
zs @ i \# j \# ys
using assms
proof -
 assume A: length xs > 1 (i, j) \in arcs' xs
 from arcs'-decomp[OF\ this] obtain ys\ zs where xs:\ xs=zs\ @\ i\ \#\ j\ \#
ys by blast
 from len-decomp[OF\ this,\ of\ M\ a\ a]
 have len M a a xs = len M a i zs + len M i a (j # ys).
 also have ... = len M i a (j \# ys) + len M a i zs by (simp add: comm)
 also from len-comp[of M \ i \ j \ \# \ ys \ a \ zs] have ... = len \ M \ i \ (j \ \# \ ys \ @
a \# zs) by auto
 finally show ?thesis
 using xs arcs-decomp[OF xs, of a a] arcs-decomp[of j \# ys @ a \# zs j \#
ys a zs i i] by force
qed
lemma cycle-rotate-2':
 fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
 assumes xs \neq [] (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs)
 shows \exists ys. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys) \land set (i # j # ys) = set
(a \# xs)
           \land 1 + length \ ys = length \ xs \land set \ (arcs \ a \ axs) = set \ (arcs \ i \ i)
\# ys))
proof -
```

```
note A = assms
 { fix ys assume A:a = i xs = j \# ys
   then have ?thesis by auto
 } note * = this
 { fix b ys assume A:a=j xs=ys @ [i]
   have len M j j (ys @ [i]) = M i j + len M j i ys
    using len-decomp[of\ ys\ @\ [i]\ ys\ i\ []\ M\ j\ j] by (auto\ simp:\ comm)
    moreover have arcs j j (ys @ [i]) = arcs j i ys @ [(i, j)] using
arcs-decomp-tail by auto
   ultimately have ?thesis using A by auto
 } note ** = this
 { assume length xs = 1
   then obtain b where xs: xs = [b] by (metis One-nat-def length-0-conv
length-Suc-conv)
   with A(2) have a = i \land b = j \lor a = j \land b = i by auto
   then have ?thesis using * ** xs by auto
 } note *** = this
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases length xs = 0)
   case True with A show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   thus ?thesis
   proof (cases length xs = 1, goal-cases)
    case True with *** show ?thesis by auto
   \mathbf{next}
    case 2
    hence length xs > 1 by linarith
    then obtain b \ c \ ys where ys:xs = b \# ys @ [c]
   by (metis One-nat-def assms(1) 2(2) length-0-conv length-Cons list exhaust
rev-exhaust)
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases\ (i,j) = (a,b))
      case True
      with ys * show ?thesis by blast
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
      proof (cases\ (i,j) = (c,a),\ goal\text{-}cases)
        case True
        with ys ** show ?thesis by force
      next
        case 2
        with A(2) ys have (i, j) \in arcs' xs
```

```
using cycle-rotate-2-aux by (auto simp add: arcs'-def)
          from cycle-rotate-len-arcs[OF \langle length | xs > 1 \rangle this, of M a] show
?thesis by auto
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed
       More on Cycle-Freeness
lemma cyc-free-diag-dest:
  assumes cyc-free M n i \leq n set xs \subseteq \{0..n\}
  shows len M i i xs \ge 0
using assms by auto
lemma cycle-free-\theta-\theta:
  fixes M :: ('a::linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
  assumes cycle-free M n
  shows M \theta \theta \ge \theta
using cyc-free-diag-dest[OF cycle-free-diag-dest[OF assms], of 0 []] by auto
        Helper Lemmas for Bouyer's Theorem on Approxima-
2.5
        tion
lemma aux1: i \le n \Longrightarrow j \le n \Longrightarrow set \ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \Longrightarrow (a,b) \in set \ (arcs \ i
j xs) \Longrightarrow a \le n \land b \le n
by (induction xs arbitrary: i) auto
lemma arcs-distinct1:
  i \notin set \ xs \Longrightarrow j \notin set \ xs \Longrightarrow distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow (a,b) \in set \ (arcs
i j xs) \Longrightarrow a \neq b
  \mathbf{apply}\ (induction\ xs\ arbitrary:\ i)
  apply fastforce
  subgoal for a' xs i
    by (cases xs) auto
  done
lemma arcs-distinct2:
  i \notin set \ xs \Longrightarrow j \notin set \ xs \Longrightarrow distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow i \neq j \Longrightarrow (a,b) \in set \ (arcs \ i
j xs) \Longrightarrow a \neq b
by (induction xs arbitrary: i) auto
```

lemma arcs-distinct3: distinct $(a \# b \# c \# xs) \Longrightarrow (i,j) \in set (arcs a b)$

```
xs) \Longrightarrow i \neq c \land j \neq c
by (induction xs arbitrary: a) force+
lemma arcs-elem:
  assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) shows a \in set (i \# xs) \ b \in set (j \# xs)
using assms by (induction xs arbitrary: i) auto
lemma arcs-distinct-dest1:
  distinct\ (i \# a \# zs) \Longrightarrow (b,c) \in set\ (arcs\ a\ j\ zs) \Longrightarrow b \neq i
using arcs-elem by fastforce
lemma arcs-distinct-fix:
  distinct\ (a \# x \# xs @ [b]) \Longrightarrow (a,c) \in set\ (arcs\ a\ b\ (x \# xs)) \Longrightarrow c = x
using arcs-elem(1) by fastforce
lemma disjE3: A \lor B \lor C \Longrightarrow (A \Longrightarrow G) \Longrightarrow (B \Longrightarrow G) \Longrightarrow (C \Longrightarrow G)
\Longrightarrow G
by auto
lemma arcs-predecessor:
  assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ a \neq i
  shows \exists c. (c, a) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs)  using assms
by (induction xs arbitrary: i) auto
lemma arcs-successor:
  assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ b \neq j
  shows \exists c. (b,c) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs)  using assms
  apply (induction xs arbitrary: i)
  apply simp
  subgoal for aa xs i
    by (cases xs) auto
  done
lemma arcs-predecessor':
  assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ (x \# xs)) \ (a,b) \neq (i, x)
  shows \exists c. (c, a) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ (x \# xs))  using assms
by (induction xs arbitrary: i x) auto
lemma arcs-cases:
 assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ xs \neq []
  shows (\exists ys. xs = b \# ys \land a = i) \lor (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [a] \land b = j)
       \vee (\exists \ c \ d \ ys. \ (a,b) \in set \ (arcs \ c \ d \ ys) \land xs = c \ \# \ ys \ @ [d])
using assms
```

```
proof (induction xs arbitrary: i)
 case Nil then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 \mathbf{show} ?case
 proof (cases\ (a,\ b) = (i,\ x))
   case True
   with Cons. prems show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   note F = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \ xs = [])
     case True
     with F Cons. prems show ?thesis by auto
   next
     case False
     from F Cons.prems have (a, b) \in set (arcs \ x \ j \ xs) by auto
     from Cons.IH[OF this False] have
       (\exists ys. \ xs = b \# ys \land a = x) \lor (\exists ys. \ xs = ys @ [a] \land b = j)
       \lor \ (\exists \ c \ d \ ys. \ (a, \ b) \in set \ (arcs \ c \ d \ ys) \ \land \ xs = \ c \ \# \ ys \ @ \ [d])
     then show ?thesis
     proof (rule disjE3, goal-cases)
       from 1 obtain ys where *: xs = b \# ys \land a = x by auto
      show ?thesis
       proof (cases\ ys = [])
        case True
        with * show ?thesis by auto
       \mathbf{next}
        case False
             then obtain z zs where zs: ys = zs @ [z] by (metis\ ap-
pend-butlast-last-id)
        with * show ?thesis by auto
       qed
     next
       case 2 then show ?case by auto
      case 3 with False show ?case by auto
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
```

```
lemma arcs-cases':
 assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ xs \neq []
 shows (\exists ys. xs = b \# ys \land a = i) \lor (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [a] \land b = j) \lor
(\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# b \# zs)
using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: i)
 case Nil then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 show ?case
 proof (cases\ (a,\ b) = (i,\ x))
   case True
   with Cons.prems show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   note F = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases xs = [])
     {f case}\ True
     with F Cons. prems show ?thesis by auto
   next
     case False
     from F Cons. prems have (a, b) \in set (arcs \ x \ j \ xs) by auto
     from Cons.IH[OF this False] have
       (\exists ys. \ xs = b \# ys \land a = x) \lor (\exists ys. \ xs = ys @ [a] \land b = j)
       \vee (\exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys @ a \# b \# zs)
     then show ?thesis
     proof (rule disjE3, goal-cases)
       case 1
       from 1 obtain ys where *: xs = b \# ys \land a = x by auto
       show ?thesis
      proof (cases\ ys = [])
        {f case}\ {\it True}
        with * show ?thesis by auto
       next
        case False
            then obtain z zs where zs: ys = zs @ [z] by (metis ap-
pend-butlast-last-id)
        with * show ?thesis by auto
       qed
     \mathbf{next}
       case 2 then show ?case by auto
```

```
next
       case 3
       then obtain ys zs where xs = ys @ a \# b \# zs by auto
       then have x \# xs = (x \# ys) @ a \# b \# zs by auto
       then show ?thesis by blast
     qed
   qed
  qed
qed
lemma arcs-successor':
 assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ b \neq j
 shows \exists c. xs = [b] \land a = i \lor (\exists ys. xs = b \# c \# ys \land a = i) \lor (\exists ys.
xs = ys @ [a,b] \wedge c = j
      \vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs)
using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: i)
  case Nil then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons \ x \ xs)
  show ?case
  proof (cases\ (a,\ b) = (i,\ x))
   {f case}\ {\it True}
   with Cons. prems show ?thesis by (cases xs) auto
  next
   case False
   note F = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \ xs = [])
     case True
     with F Cons. prems show ?thesis by auto
   next
     case False
     from F Cons.prems have (a, b) \in set (arcs \ x \ j \ xs) by auto
     from Cons.IH[OF\ this\ \langle b \neq j \rangle] obtain c where c:
        xs = [b] \land a = x \lor (\exists ys. \ xs = b \# c \# ys \land a = x) \lor (\exists ys. \ xs = b \# c \# ys \land a = x) \lor (\exists ys. \ xs = b \# c \# ys \land a = x)
ys @ [a, b] \wedge c = j)
        \vee (\exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs)
     then show ?thesis
     proof (standard, goal-cases)
       case 1 with Cons. prems show ?case by auto
     next
       case 2
```

```
then show ?thesis
      proof (rule disjE3, goal-cases)
        case 1
        from 1 obtain ys where *: xs = b \# ys \land a = x by auto
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases\ ys = [])
          \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
          with * show ?thesis by auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case False
          then obtain z zs where zs: ys = z \# zs by (cases ys) auto
          with * show ?thesis by fastforce
        qed
      next
        case 2 then show ?case by auto
      next
        case 3
        then obtain ys zs where xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs by auto
        then have x \# xs = (x \# ys) @ a \# b \# c \# zs by auto
        then show ?thesis by blast
      qed
     qed
   qed
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma list-last:
 xs = [] \lor (\exists y ys. xs = ys @ [y])
by (induction xs) auto
lemma arcs-predecessor":
 assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ a \neq i
shows \exists c. xs = [a] \lor (\exists ys. xs = a \# b \# ys) \lor (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [c,a]
\wedge b = j
     \vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ c \# a \# b \# zs)
using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: i)
 case Nil then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 show ?case
 proof (cases\ (a,\ b) = (i,\ x))
   case True
   with Cons.prems show ?thesis by (cases xs) auto
```

```
next
   case False
   note F = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \ xs = [])
     \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
     with F Cons. prems show ?thesis by auto
   next
     case False
     from F Cons.prems have *: (a, b) \in set (arcs x j xs) by auto
     from False obtain y ys where xs: xs = y \# ys by (cases xs) auto
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases\ (a,b)=(x,y))
      case True with * xs show ?thesis by auto
     next
      case False
      with * xs have **: (a, b) \in set (arcs \ y \ j \ ys) by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases\ ys = [])
        case True with ** xs show ?thesis by force
      \mathbf{next}
        case False
        from arcs-cases'[OF ** this] obtain ws zs where ***:
         ys = b \# ws \land a = y \lor ys = ws @ [a] \land b = j \lor ys = ws @ a \#
b \# zs
        by auto
        then show ?thesis
        proof (elim disjE, goal-cases)
          then show ?case using xs by blast
        next
          case 2
          then have \exists y \ ys. \ ws = ys @ [y] \ if \ ws \neq []
           using list-last[of ws] that by fastforce
          with 2 show ?case
           using xs by (cases ws = []) auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case 3
          then have x \# xs = [x] @ y \# a \# b \# zs if ws = []
           using that by (simp add: xs)
          with 3 show ?case
           apply (cases ws = [])
             apply blast
           by (metis append.left-neutral append-Cons append-assoc list-last
```

```
xs
         qed
       qed
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma arcs-ex-middle:
 \exists b. (a, b) \in set (arcs i j (ys @ a \# xs))
by (induction xs arbitrary: i ys) (auto simp: arcs-decomp)
lemma arcs-ex-head:
 \exists b. (i, b) \in set (arcs i j xs)
by (cases xs) auto
2.5.1 Successive
fun successive where
 successive - [] = True
 successive P [-] = True |
  successive P (x \# y \# xs) \longleftrightarrow \neg P y \land successive P xs \lor \neg P x \land
successive P(y \# xs)
lemma \neg successive (\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)) [Suc 0, Suc 0] by simp
lemma successive (\lambda x. x > (\theta :: nat)) [Suc \theta] by simp
lemma successive (\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)) [Suc 0, 0, Suc 0] by simp
lemma \neg successive (\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)) [Suc 0, 0, Suc 0, Suc 0] by simp
lemma \neg successive (\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)) [Suc 0, 0, 0, Suc 0, Suc 0] by
simp
lemma successive (\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)) [Suc 0, 0, Suc 0, 0, Suc 0] by simp
lemma \neg successive (\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)) [Suc 0, Suc 0, 0, Suc 0] by simp
lemma successive (\lambda x. x > (\theta :: nat)) [\theta, \theta, Suc \theta, \theta] by simp
lemma successive-step: successive P(x \# xs) \Longrightarrow \neg P x \Longrightarrow successive P
 apply (cases xs)
  apply simp
 subgoal for y ys
   by (cases ys) auto
 done
lemma successive-step-2: successive P(x \# y \# xs) \Longrightarrow \neg P y \Longrightarrow suc-
```

cessive P xs

```
apply (cases xs)
   apply simp
  subgoal for z zs
    by (cases zs) auto
  done
lemma successive-stepI:
  successive\ P\ xs \Longrightarrow \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow successive\ P\ (x\ \#\ xs)
by (cases xs) auto
lemmas\ list-two-induct[case-names\ Nil\ Single\ Cons] = induct-list012
lemma successive-end-1:
  successive\ P\ xs \Longrightarrow \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow successive\ P\ (xs\ @\ [x])
by (induction - xs rule: list-two-induct) auto
lemma successive-ends-1:
  successive P xs \Longrightarrow \neg P x \Longrightarrow successive P ys \Longrightarrow successive P (xs @ x
by (induction - xs rule: list-two-induct) (fastforce intro: successive-stepI)+
lemma successive-ends-1':
  successive\ P\ xs \Longrightarrow \neg\ P\ x \Longrightarrow P\ y \Longrightarrow \neg\ P\ z \Longrightarrow successive\ P\ ys \Longrightarrow
successive P (xs @ x \# y \# z \# ys)
 \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{induction} \ - \mathit{xs} \ \mathit{rule} \colon \mathit{list-two-induct}) \ (\mathit{fastforce} \ \mathit{intro} \colon \mathit{successive-step} I) + \\
lemma successive-end-2:
  successive P xs \Longrightarrow \neg P x \Longrightarrow successive P (xs @ [x,y])
by (induction - xs rule: list-two-induct) auto
lemma successive-end-2':
  successive P(xs @ [x]) \Longrightarrow \neg Px \Longrightarrow successive P(xs @ [x,y])
by (induction - xs rule: list-two-induct) auto
lemma successive-end-3:
  successive P (xs @ [x]) \Longrightarrow \neg P x \Longrightarrow P y \Longrightarrow \neg P z \Longrightarrow successive P
by (induction - xs rule: list-two-induct) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ successive\text{-}step\text{-}rev:
  successive P (xs @ [x]) \Longrightarrow \neg P x \Longrightarrow successive P xs
by (induction - xs rule: list-two-induct) auto
```

lemma successive-glue:

```
successive P(zs @ [z]) \Longrightarrow successive P(x \# xs) \Longrightarrow \neg Pz \lor \neg Px \Longrightarrow
successive P (zs @ [z] @ x \# xs)
proof goal-cases
 case A: 1
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases P x)
   case False
   with A(1,2) successive-ends-1 successive-step show ?thesis by fastforce
 \mathbf{next}
   case True
  with A(1,3) successive-step-rev have \neg Pz successive Pzs by fastforce+
   with A(2) successive-ends-1 show ?thesis by fastforce
 qed
qed
lemma successive-glue':
 successive P (zs @ [y]) \land \neg P z \lor successive P zs \land \neg P y
 \implies successive P (x \# xs) \land \neg P w \lor successive P xs \land \neg P x
 \implies \neg P z \lor \neg P w \implies successive P (zs @ y \# z \# w \# x \# xs)
by (metis append-Cons append-Nil successive.simps(3) successive-ends-1 suc-
cessive-glue\ successive-stepI)
lemma successive-dest-head:
 xs = w \# x \# ys \Longrightarrow successive P xs \Longrightarrow successive P (x \# xs) \land \neg P w
\vee successive P xs \wedge \neg P x
by auto
lemma successive-dest-tail:
 xs = zs @ [y,z] \Longrightarrow successive P xs
 \implies successive P (zs @ [y]) \land \neg P z \lor successive P zs \land \neg P y
 apply (induction - xs arbitrary: zs rule: list-two-induct)
   apply simp+
 subgoal for - - zs
   apply (cases zs)
    apply simp
   subgoal for - ws
     by (cases ws) auto
   done
 done
lemma successive-split:
 xs = ys @ zs \Longrightarrow successive P xs \Longrightarrow successive P ys \land successive P zs
 apply (induction - xs arbitrary: ys rule: list-two-induct)
   apply simp
```

```
subgoal for - ys
   by (cases\ ys;\ simp)
 subgoal for - - - ys
   apply (cases ys; simp)
   subgoal for list
     by (cases list) (auto intro: successive-stepI)
 done
\mathbf{lemma}\ successive\text{-}decomp:
  xs = x \# ys @ zs @ [z] \Longrightarrow successive P xs \Longrightarrow \neg P x \lor \neg P z \Longrightarrow
successive P (zs @ [z] @ (x # ys))
by (metis Cons-eq-appendI successive-glue successive-split)
lemma successive-predecessor:
 assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ a \neq i \ successive \ P (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ P (a,b)
xs \neq []
shows \exists c. (xs = [a] \land c = i \lor (\exists ys. xs = a \# b \# ys \land c = i) \lor (\exists
ys. xs = ys @ [c,a] \wedge b = j
      \vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ c \# a \# b \# zs)) \wedge \neg P(c,a)
proof -
 from arcs-predecessor''[OF\ assms(1,2)] obtain c where c:
   xs = [a] \lor (\exists ys. \ xs = a \# b \# ys) \lor (\exists ys. \ xs = ys @ [c, a] \land b = j)
   \vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ c \# a \# b \# zs)
 by auto
 then show ?thesis
 proof (safe, goal-cases)
   case 1
   with assms have arcs i j xs = [(i, a), (a, j)] by auto
   with assms have \neg P(i, a) by auto
   with 1 show ?case by simp
 next
   case 2
   with assms have \neg P(i, a) by fastforce
   with 2 show ?case by auto
 next
   case \beta
   with assms have \neg P(c, a) using arcs-decomp successive-dest-tail by
fastforce
   with 3 show ?case by auto
 next
    with assms(3,4) have \neg P(c, a) using arcs-decomp successive-split
by fastforce
```

```
with 4 show ?case by auto
 qed
qed
lemma successive-successor:
 assumes (a, b) \in set (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ b \neq j \ successive \ P (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \ P (a,b)
shows \exists c. (xs = [b] \land c = j \lor (\exists ys. xs = b \# c \# ys) \lor (\exists ys. xs = ys)
@ [a,b] \wedge c = j
      \lor (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs)) \land \neg P (b,c)
proof -
 from arcs-successor'[OF assms(1,2)] obtain c where c:
   xs = [b] \land a = i \lor (\exists ys. \ xs = b \# c \# ys \land a = i) \lor (\exists ys. \ xs = ys @
[a, b] \wedge c = j
    \vee (\exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs)
 then show ?thesis
 proof (safe, goal-cases)
   case 1
   with assms(1,2) have arcs\ i\ j\ xs = [(a,b),\ (b,j)] by auto
   with assms have \neg P(b,j) by auto
   with 1 show ?case by simp
 next
   with assms have \neg P(b, c) by fastforce
   with 2 show ?case by auto
 next
   case \beta
   with assms have \neg P(b, c) using arcs-decomp successive-dest-tail by
   with 3 show ?case by auto
 next
   case 4
   with assms(3,4) have \neg P(b,c) using arcs-decomp successive-split by
   with 4 show ?case by auto
 qed
qed
lemmas add-mono-right = add-mono[OF order-refl]
lemmas add-mono-left = add-mono[OF - order-reft]
```

Obtaining successive and distinct paths lemma canonical-successive:

```
fixes A B
 defines M \equiv \lambda \ i \ j. \ min \ (A \ i \ j) \ (B \ i \ j)
 assumes canonical A n
 assumes set xs \subseteq \{\theta..n\}
 assumes i \leq n \ j \leq n
 shows \exists ys. len M i j ys \leq len M i j xs \land set ys \subseteq \{0..n\}
             \wedge successive (\lambda (a, b). M a b = A a b) (arcs i j ys)
using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: i rule: list-two-induct)
 case Nil show ?case by fastforce
next
 case 2: (Single x i)
 show ?case
 proof (cases M i x = A i x \wedge M x j = A x j)
   case False
   then have successive (\lambda(a, b), M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs i j [x]) by auto
   with 2 show ?thesis by blast
 next
   case True
   with 2 have M i j \leq M i x + M x j unfolding min-def by fastforce
   with 2(3-) show ?thesis apply simp apply (rule exI[where x = []])
by auto
 qed
next
 case \beta: (Cons x y xs i)
 show ?case
 proof (cases M i y \leq M i x + M x y, goal-cases)
   case 1
   from 3 obtain ys where
     len M i j ys \leq len M i j (y \# xs) \land set ys \subseteq \{0..n\}
      \land successive (\lambda a. \ case \ a \ of \ (a, \ b) \Rightarrow M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) \ (arcs \ i \ j \ ys)
   by fastforce
   moreover from 1 have len M i j (y \# xs) \le len M i j (x \# y \# xs)
   using add-mono by (auto simp: add.assoc[symmetric])
   ultimately show ?case by force
 next
   case False
   { assume M i x = A i x M x y = A x y
     with 3(3-) have A i y \leq M i x + M x y by auto
    then have M i y \leq M i x + M x y unfolding M-def min-def by auto
   } note * = this
   with False have M i x \neq A i x \vee M x y \neq A x y by auto
   then show ?thesis
   proof (standard, goal-cases)
```

```
case 1
     from 3 obtain ys where ys:
       len M x j ys \leq len M x j (y \# xs) set ys \subseteq \{0..n\}
       successive (\lambda a. case a of (a, b) \Rightarrow M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs x \ j \ ys)
     by force+
     from 1 successive-step I[OF\ ys(3),\ of\ (i,\ x)] have
      successive (\lambda a. case a of (a, b) \Rightarrow M a b = A a b) (arcs i j (x \# ys))
     by auto
     moreover have len M i j (x \# ys) \le len M i j (x \# y \# xs) using
add-mono-right[OF\ ys(1)]
     bv auto
     ultimately show ?case using 3(5) ys(2) by fastforce
   next
     case 2
     from 3 obtain ys where ys:
       len M y j ys \leq len M y j xs set ys \subseteq \{0..n\}
       successive (\lambda a. case a of (a, b) \Rightarrow M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs y \ j \ ys)
     by force+
     from this(3) 2 have
        successive (\lambda a. case a of (a, b) \Rightarrow M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs i j (x \# y
\# ys))
     by simp
     moreover from add-mono-right[OF ys(1)] have
       len M i j (x \# y \# ys) \leq len M i j (x \# y \# xs)
     by (auto simp: add.assoc[symmetric])
     ultimately show ?thesis using ys(2) 3(5) by fastforce
   qed
  qed
qed
lemma canonical-successive-distinct:
  fixes A B
  defines M \equiv \lambda \ i \ j. \ min \ (A \ i \ j) \ (B \ i \ j)
  assumes canonical A n
  assumes set xs \subseteq \{\theta..n\}
  assumes i \leq n \ j \leq n
  assumes distinct xs \ i \notin set \ xs \ j \notin set \ xs
  shows \exists ys. len M i j ys \leq len M i j xs \land set ys \subseteq set xs
              \wedge successive (\lambda (a, b), M a b = A a b) (arcs i j ys)
              \land \ distinct \ ys \ \land \ i \notin set \ ys \ \land \ j \notin set \ ys
using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: i rule: list-two-induct)
  case Nil show ?case by fastforce
next
```

```
case 2: (Single x i)
 show ?case
 proof (cases M i x = A i x \wedge M x j = A x j)
   case False
   then have successive (\lambda(a, b), M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs i j [x]) by auto
   with 2 show ?thesis by blast
 next
   case True
   with 2 have M i j \leq M i x + M x j unfolding min-def by fastforce
   with 2(3-) show ?thesis apply simp apply (rule exI[where x = []])
by auto
 qed
next
 case \beta: (Cons x y xs i)
 show ?case
 proof (cases M i y \leq M i x + M x y)
   case 1: True
   from 3(2)[OF\ 3(3,4)]\ 3(5-10) obtain ys where ys:
     len M i j ys \leq len M i j (y \# xs) set ys \subseteq set (x \# y \# xs)
      successive (\lambda a. case a of (a, b) \Rightarrow M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs i j ys)
      distinct\ ys \land i \notin set\ ys \land j \notin set\ ys
   by fastforce
   moreover from 1 have len M i j (y \# xs) \le len M i j (x \# y \# xs)
   using add-mono by (auto simp: add.assoc[symmetric])
   ultimately have len M i j ys \leq len M i j (x # y # xs) by auto
   then show ?thesis using ys(2-) by blast
 next
   case False
   { assume M i x = A i x M x y = A x y
     with 3(3-) have A i y \leq M i x + M x y by auto
    then have M i y \leq M i x + M x y unfolding M-def min-def by auto
   } note * = this
   with False have M i x \neq A i x \vee M x y \neq A x y by auto
   then show ?thesis
   proof (standard, goal-cases)
     case 1
     from 3(2)[OF\ 3(3,4)]\ 3(5-10) obtain ys where ys:
       len M x j ys \leq len M x j (y \# xs) set ys \subseteq set (y \# xs)
       successive (\lambda a. case a of (a, b) \Rightarrow M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs x \ j \ ys)
       distinct ys i \notin set \ ys \ x \notin set \ ys \ j \notin set \ ys
     by fastforce
     from 1 successive-step I[OF\ ys(3),\ of\ (i,\ x)] have
      successive (\lambda a. case a of (a, b) \Rightarrow M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs i \ j \ (x \# ys))
     by auto
```

```
moreover have len M i j (x \# ys) \le len M i j (x \# y \# xs) using
add-mono-right[OF\ ys(1)]
     by auto
      moreover have distinct (x \# ys) i \notin set (x \# ys) j \notin set (x \# ys)
using ys(4-) 3(8-)
     by auto
     moreover from ys(2) have set (x \# ys) \subseteq set (x \# y \# xs) by auto
     ultimately show ?case by fastforce
   next
     case 2
     from 3(1)[OF\ 3(3,4)]\ 3(5-) obtain ys where ys:
       len M y j ys \leq len M y j xs set ys \subseteq set xs
       successive (\lambda a. case a of (a, b) \Rightarrow M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs y \ j \ ys)
       distinct ys \ j \notin set \ ys \ y \notin set \ ys \ i \notin set \ ys \ x \notin set \ ys
     by fastforce
     from this(3) 2 have
        successive (\lambda a. case a of (a, b) \Rightarrow M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs i j (x # y
\# ys))
     by simp
     moreover from add-mono-right[OF\ ys(1)] have
       len M i j (x \# y \# ys) \leq len M i j (x \# y \# xs)
     by (auto simp: add.assoc[symmetric])
      moreover have distinct (x \# y \# ys) i \notin set (x \# y \# ys) j \notin set
(x \# y \# ys)
     using ys(4-) 3(8-) by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis using ys(2) by fastforce
   qed
  qed
qed
lemma successive-snd-last: successive P(xs @ [x, y]) \Longrightarrow Py \Longrightarrow \neg Px
by (induction - xs rule: list-two-induct) auto
lemma canonical-shorten-rotate-neg-cycle:
  fixes A B
  defines M \equiv \lambda \ i \ j. \ min \ (A \ i \ j) \ (B \ i \ j)
  assumes canonical A n
  assumes set xs \subseteq \{\theta..n\}
  assumes i \leq n
  assumes len M i i xs < 0
  shows \exists j \ ys. \ len \ M \ j \ j \ ys < 0 \land set \ (j \# ys) \subseteq set \ (i \# xs)
              \land successive (\lambda (a, b). M a b = A a b) (arcs j j ys)
              \land distinct \ ys \land j \notin set \ ys \land
               (ys \neq [] \longrightarrow M \ j \ (hd \ ys) \neq A \ j \ (hd \ ys) \lor M \ (last \ ys) \ j \neq A
```

```
(last\ ys)\ j)
using assms
proof -
 note A = assms
 from negative-len-shortest[OF - A(5)] obtain j ys where ys:
   distinct (j \# ys) len M j j ys < 0 j \in set (i \# xs) set ys \subseteq set xs
 by blast
 from this(1,3) canonical-successive-distinct [OF A(2) subset-trans[OF this(4)]
A(3)], of j j B] A(3,4)
 obtain zs where zs:
   len M j j zs \leq len M j j ys
   set zs \subseteq set ys successive (\lambda(a, b), M \ a \ b = A \ a \ b) (arcs \ j \ j \ zs)
   distinct \ zs \ j \notin set \ zs
 by (force\ simp:\ M\text{-}def)
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases zs = [])
   assume zs \neq []
   then obtain w ws where ws: zs = w \# ws by (cases zs) auto
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \ ws = [])
     {f case}\ {\it False}
     then obtain u us where us: ws = us @ [u] by (induction ws) auto
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases M j w = A j w \wedge M u j = A u j)
      case True
      have u \le n \ j \le n \ w \le n  using us ws zs(2) ys(3,4) A(3,4) by auto
       with A(2) True have M u w \leq M u j + M j w unfolding M-def
min-def by fastforce
      then have
        len M u u (w \# us) \leq len M j j zs
       using ws us by (simp add: len-comp comm) (auto intro: add-mono
simp: add.assoc[symmetric])
      moreover have set (u \# w \# us) \subseteq set (i \# xs) using ws \ us \ zs(2)
ys(3,4) by auto
       moreover have distinct (w \# us) u \notin set (w \# us) using ws us
zs(4) by auto
      moreover have successive (\lambda(a, b), M a b = A a b) (arcs u u (w \# b)
us))
      proof (cases us)
        case Nil
        with zs(3) ws us True show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case (Cons \ v \ vs)
        with zs(3) ws us True have M w v \neq A w v by auto
```

```
with ws us Cons zs(3) True arcs-decomp-tail successive-split show
?thesis by (simp, blast)
      qed
      moreover have M (last (w \# us)) u \neq A (last (w \# us)) u
      proof (cases\ us = [])
        case T: True
        with zs(3) ws us True show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case False
        then obtain v vs where vs: us = vs @ [v] by (induction us) auto
         with ws us have arcs j j zs = arcs j v (w \# vs) @ [(v, u), (u,j)]
by (simp add: arcs-decomp)
        with zs(3) True have M v u \neq A v u
        using successive-snd-last[of \lambda(a, b). M a b = A a b arcs j v (w #
vs)] by auto
        with vs show ?thesis by simp
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis using zs(1) ys(2)
      by (intro exI[where x = u], intro exI[where x = w \# us]) fastforce
     next
      case False
       with zs ws us ys show ?thesis by (intro exI[where x = j], intro
exI[where x = zs]) auto
     qed
   next
     case True
     with True ws zs ys show ?thesis by (intro exI[where x = j], intro
exI[\mathbf{where}\ x=zs])\ fastforce
   qed
 \mathbf{next}
   with ys zs show ?thesis by (intro exI[where x = j], intro exI[where
x = zs) fastforce
 qed
qed
lemma successive-arcs-extend-last:
 successive P (arcs \ i \ j \ xs) \Longrightarrow \neg P (i, hd \ xs) \lor \neg P (last \ xs, j) \Longrightarrow xs \neq []
 \implies successive P (arcs i j xs @ [(i, hd xs)])
proof -
 assume a1: \neg P(i, hd xs) \lor \neg P(last xs, j)
 assume a2: successive P (arcs i j xs)
 assume a3: xs \neq []
```

```
then have f_4: \neg P (last xs, j) \longrightarrow successive P (arcs i (last xs) (butlast
xs))
    using a2 by (metis (no-types) append-butlast-last-id arcs-decomp-tail
successive-step-rev)
 have f5: arcs i j xs = arcs i (last xs) (butlast xs) @ [(last xs, j)]
   using a3 by (metis (no-types) append-butlast-last-id arcs-decomp-tail)
 have ([] @ arcs\ i\ j\ xs\ @ [(i,\ hd\ xs)])\ @ [(i,\ hd\ xs)] = <math>arcs\ i\ j\ xs\ @ [(i,\ hd\ xs)]
xs), (i, hd xs)]
   by simp
 then have P (last xs, j) \longrightarrow successive P (arcs i j xs @ [(i, hd xs)])
    using a2 a1 by (metis (no-types) self-append-conv2 successive-end-2
successive-step-rev)
 then show ?thesis
   using f5 f4 successive-end-2 by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma}\ cycle\text{-}rotate\text{-}arcs\text{:}
 fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
 assumes length xs > 1 (i, j) \in arcs' xs
 shows \exists ys zs. set (arcs a a xs) = set (arcs i i (j # ys @ a # zs)) \land xs
= zs @ i \# j \# ys  using assms
proof -
 assume A: length xs > 1 (i, j) \in arcs' xs
 from arcs'-decomp[OF\ this] obtain ys\ zs where xs:\ xs=zs\ @\ i\ \#\ j\ \#
 with arcs-decomp[OF\ this,\ of\ a\ a]\ arcs-decomp[of\ j\ \#\ ys\ @\ a\ \#\ zs\ j\ \#\ ys
a \ zs \ i \ i
 show ?thesis by force
qed
lemma cycle-rotate-len-arcs-successive:
 fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
  assumes length xs > 1 (i, j) \in arcs' xs successive P (arcs\ a\ a\ xs) \neg P
(a, hd xs) \lor \neg P (last xs, a)
 shows \exists ys zs. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys @ a # zs)
              \land set (arcs a a xs) = set (arcs i i (j # ys @ a # zs)) \land xs =
zs @ i \# j \# ys
              \land successive P (arcs i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs))
using assms
proof -
 note A = assms
 from arcs'-decomp[OF\ A(1,2)] obtain ys\ zs where xs:\ xs=zs\ @\ i\ \#\ j
# ys by blast
 note arcs1 = arcs-decomp[OF xs, of a a]
```

```
note arcs2 = arcs-decomp[of j \# ys @ a \# zs j \# ys a zs i i]
    have *: successive P (arcs i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs))
    proof (cases\ ys = [])
       case True
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases zs)
           case Nil
           with A(3,4) xs True show ?thesis by auto
       \mathbf{next}
           case (Cons z zs')
           with True arcs2 A(3,4) xs show ?thesis apply simp
             by (metis\ arcs.simps(1,2)\ arcs1\ successive.simps(3)\ successive-split
successive-step)
       qed
    next
       case False
     then obtain y ys' where ys: ys = ys' @ [y] by (metis append-butlast-last-id)
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases zs)
           case Nil
           with A(3,4) xs ys have
               \neg P(a, i) \lor \neg P(y, a) successive P(arcs\ a\ a\ (i \# j \# ys' @ [y]))
           by simp+
             from successive-decomp[OF - this(2,1)] show ?thesis using ys Nil
arcs-decomp by fastforce
       \mathbf{next}
           case (Cons z zs')
           with A(3,4) xs ys have
                \neg P(a, z) \lor \neg P(y, a) successive P(arcs\ a\ a\ (z \# zs' @ i \# j \# j)
ys' @ [y])
           by simp+
           from successive-decomp[OF - this(2,1)] show ?thesis using ys Cons
arcs-decomp by fastforce
       qed
    qed
    from len-decomp[OF xs, of M a a] have len M a a xs = len M a i zs + le
len M i a (j \# ys).
    also have ... = len M i a (j \# ys) + len M a i zs by (simp add: comm)
   also from len-comp[of M \ i \ i \ j \ \# \ ys \ a \ zs] have ... = len \ M \ i \ (j \ \# \ ys \ @
a \# zs) by auto
    finally show ?thesis
    using * xs arcs-decomp[OF xs, of a a] arcs-decomp[of j \# ys @ a \# zs j]
\# ys \ a \ zs \ i \ i by force
qed
```

```
lemma successive-successors:
 xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs \Longrightarrow successive P (arcs i j xs) \Longrightarrow \neg P (a,b)
\vee \neg P(b, c)
 apply (induction - xs arbitrary: i ys rule: list-two-induct)
   apply fastforce
  apply fastforce
 subgoal for - - - ys
   apply (cases ys)
    apply fastforce
   subgoal for - list
     apply (cases list)
      apply fastforce+
     done
   done
 done
lemma successive-successors':
 xs = ys @ a \# b \# zs \Longrightarrow successive P xs \Longrightarrow \neg P a \lor \neg P b
using successive-split by fastforce
lemma cycle-rotate-len-arcs-successive':
 fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
 assumes length xs > 1 (i, j) \in arcs' xs successive P (arcs a a xs)
        \neg P(a, hd xs) \lor \neg P(last xs, a)
 shows \exists ys zs. len M a a xs = len M i i (j # ys @ a # zs)
             \land set (arcs\ a\ a\ xs) = set\ (arcs\ i\ i\ (j\ \#\ ys\ @\ a\ \#\ zs))\ \land\ xs =
zs @ i # j # ys
              \land successive P (arcs i i (j # ys @ a # zs) @ [(i,j)])
using assms
proof -
 note A = assms
 from arcs'-decomp[OF\ A(1,2)] obtain ys\ zs where xs:\ xs=zs\ @\ i\ \#\ j
# ys by blast
 note arcs1 = arcs-decomp[OF xs, of a a]
 note arcs2 = arcs-decomp[of j \# ys @ a \# zs j \# ys a zs i i]
 have *:successive P (arcs i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs) @ [(i,j)])
 proof (cases\ ys = [])
   case True
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases zs)
     case Nil
     with A(3,4) xs True show ?thesis by auto
   next
```

```
case (Cons \ z \ zs')
     with True arcs2 A(3,4) xs show ?thesis
      apply simp
      apply (cases P(a, z))
       apply (simp add: arcs-decomp)
      using successive-split[of ((a, z) \# arcs z i zs') @ [(i, j), (j, a)] - [(j, a)]
a) P
       apply auto[]
        by (metis append-Cons arcs.simps(1,2) arcs1 successive.simps(1)
successive\hbox{-} dest\hbox{-} tail
          successive-ends-1 successive-step)
   qed
 next
   case False
  then obtain y \, ys' where ys: ys = ys' \, @ [y] by (metis append-butlast-last-id)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases zs)
     case Nil
     with A(3,4) xs ys have *:
      \neg P(a, i) \lor \neg P(y, a) successive P(arcs\ a\ a\ (i \# j \# ys' @ [y]))
     by simp+
     from successive-decomp[OF - this(2,1)] ys Nil arcs-decomp have
      successive P (arcs i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs))
     bv fastforce
     moreover from * have \neg P(a, i) \lor \neg P(i, j) by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis
     by (metis\ append\text{-}Cons\ last\text{-}snoc\ list.distinct(1)\ list.sel(1)\ Nil\ succession
sive-arcs-extend-last)
   \mathbf{next}
     case (Cons \ z \ zs')
     with A(3,4) xs ys have *:
       \neg P(a, z) \lor \neg P(y, a) successive P(arcs\ a\ a\ (z \# zs' @ i \# j \#
ys' @ [y]))
     by simp+
    from successive-decomp[OF - this(2,1)] ys Cons arcs-decomp have **:
      successive P (arcs i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs))
     by fastforce
     from Cons have zs \neq [] by auto
     then obtain w ws where ws: zs = ws @ [w] by (induction zs) auto
     with A(3,4) xs ys have *:
      successive P (arcs a a (ws @ [w] @ i \# j \# ys' @ [y]))
    moreover from successive-successors [OF - this] have \neg P(w, i) \lor \neg
P(i, j) by auto
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis
           by (metis ** append-is-Nil-conv last.simps last-append list.distinct(2)
list.sel(1)
                               successive-arcs-extend-last ws)
       qed
   qed
    from len-decomp[OF xs, of M a a] have len M a a xs = len M a i zs + len M a i z
len M i a (j \# ys).
    also have ... = len M i a (j \# ys) + len M a i zs by (simp add: comm)
   also from len-comp[of M \ i \ i \ j \ \# \ ys \ a \ zs] have ... = len \ M \ i \ i \ (j \ \# \ ys \ @
a \# zs) by auto
    finally show ?thesis
    using * xs arcs-decomp[OF xs, of a a] arcs-decomp[of j \# ys @ a \# zs j]
\# ys \ a \ zs \ i \ i by force
qed
lemma cycle-rotate-3:
    fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
    assumes xs \neq [] (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs) \ successive \ P (arcs \ a \ a \ xs) \neg P
(a, hd xs) \lor \neg P (last xs, a)
    shows \exists ys. len M \ a \ a \ xs = len M \ i \ (j \# ys) \land set \ (i \# j \# ys) = set
(a \# xs) \land 1 + length \ ys = length \ xs
                         \land set (arcs\ a\ a\ xs) = set\ (arcs\ i\ i\ (j\ \#\ ys))
                         \land successive P (arcs i i (j # ys))
proof -
    note A = assms
    { fix ys assume A:a = i xs = j \# ys
       with assms(3) have ?thesis by auto
    } note * = this
    have **: ?thesis if A: a = j xs = ys @ [i] for ys using A
    proof (safe, goal-cases)
       case 1
       have len M j j (ys @ [i]) = M i j + len M j i ys
       using len-decomp[of\ ys\ @\ [i]\ ys\ i\ []\ M\ j\ j] by (auto simp:\ comm)
           moreover have arcs \ j \ j \ (ys \ @ \ [i]) = arcs \ j \ i \ ys \ @ \ [(i, \ j)]  using
arcs-decomp-tail by auto
       moreover with assms(3,4) A have successive\ P\ ((i,j)\ \#\ arcs\ j\ i\ ys)
         apply simp
         apply (cases ys)
           apply simp
         by (simp, metis \ arcs.simps(2) \ calculation(2) \ 1(1) \ successive-split \ suc-
cessive-step)
       ultimately show ?case by auto
```

qed

```
{ assume length xs = 1
   then obtain b where xs: xs = [b] by (metis One-nat-def length-0-conv
length-Suc-conv)
   with A(2) have a = i \wedge b = j \vee a = j \wedge b = i by auto
   then have ?thesis using * ** xs by auto
 } note *** = this
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases length xs = 0)
   case True with A show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   thus ?thesis
   proof (cases length xs = 1, goal-cases)
     case True with *** show ?thesis by auto
   next
     case 2
     hence length xs > 1 by linarith
     then obtain b \ c \ ys where ys:xs = b \# ys @ [c]
   by (metis One-nat-def assms(1) 2(2) length-0-conv length-Cons list.exhaust
rev-exhaust)
     thus ?thesis
     proof (cases\ (i,j) = (a,b))
      {f case}\ {\it True}
      with ys * show ?thesis by blast
     next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
      proof (cases\ (i,j) = (c,a),\ goal\text{-}cases)
        {f case}\ {\it True}
        with ys ** show ?thesis by force
      next
        case 2
        with A(2) ys have (i, j) \in arcs' xs
        using cycle-rotate-2-aux by (auto simp add: arcs'-def)
      from cycle-rotate-len-arcs-successive [OF \langle length \ xs > 1 \rangle \ this \ A(3,4),
of M show ?thesis
        by auto
      qed
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma cycle-rotate-3':
```

```
fixes M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat
 assumes xs \neq [] (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs) \ successive \ P (arcs \ a \ axs) \neg P
(a, hd xs) \lor \neg P (last xs, a)
 shows \exists ys. len M \ a \ a \ xs = len M \ i \ (j \# ys) \land set \ (i \# j \# ys) = set
(a \# xs) \land 1 + length ys = length xs
           \land set (arcs\ a\ a\ xs) = set\ (arcs\ i\ i\ (j\ \#\ ys))
           \land successive P (arcs i i (j # ys) @ [(i, j)])
proof -
 note A = assms
 have *: ?thesis if a = i xs = j \# ys for ys
 using that assms(3) successive-arcs-extend-last [OF assms(3,4)] by auto
 have **: ?thesis if A:a = j xs = ys @ [i] for ys
 using A proof (safe, goal\text{-}cases)
   case 1
   have len M j j (ys @ [i]) = M i j + len M j i ys
   using len-decomp[of\ ys\ @\ [i]\ ys\ i\ []\ M\ j\ j] by (auto simp:\ comm)
    moreover have arcs \ j \ j \ (ys \ @ \ [i]) = arcs \ j \ i \ ys \ @ \ [(i, \ j)]  using
arcs-decomp-tail by auto
   moreover with assms(3,4) A have successive\ P\ ((i,j)\ \#\ arcs\ j\ i\ ys\ @
[(i, j)]
    apply simp
    apply (cases ys)
    apply simp
    by (simp, metis successive-step)
   ultimately show ?case by auto
 qed
 { assume length xs = 1
   then obtain b where xs: xs = [b] by (metis One-nat-def length-0-conv
length-Suc-conv)
   with A(2) have a = i \land b = j \lor a = j \land b = i by auto
   then have ?thesis using * ** xs by auto
 } note *** = this
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases length xs = 0)
   case True with A show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   thus ?thesis
   proof (cases length xs = 1, goal-cases)
     case True with *** show ?thesis by auto
   next
     case 2
     hence length xs > 1 by linarith
     then obtain b \ c \ ys where ys:xs = b \# ys @ [c]
```

```
by (metis One-nat-def assms(1) 2(2) length-0-conv length-Cons list.exhaust
rev-exhaust)
     thus ?thesis
     proof (cases\ (i,j) = (a,b))
       case True
       with ys * show ? thesis by blast
       case False
       then show ?thesis
       proof (cases\ (i,j) = (c,a),\ goal\text{-}cases)
         {\bf case}\ {\it True}
         with ys ** show ?thesis by force
       next
         case 2
         with A(2) ys have (i, j) \in arcs' xs
         using cycle-rotate-2-aux by (auto simp add: arcs'-def)
            from cycle-rotate-len-arcs-successive (OF \land length \ xs > 1) \ this
A(3,4), of M] show ?thesis
         by auto
       qed
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
end
2.5.2
        Zones and DBMs
theory Zones
 imports DBM
begin
type-synonym ('c, 't) zone = ('c, 't) \ cval \ set
type-synonym ({}'c, {}'t) cval = {}'c \Rightarrow {}'t
definition cval-add :: ('c,'t) cval \Rightarrow 't::plus \Rightarrow ('c,'t) cval (infixr \longleftrightarrow 64)
where
 u \oplus d = (\lambda \ x. \ u \ x + d)
definition zone-delay :: ('c, ('t::time)) zone \Rightarrow ('c, 't) zone
(\langle -^{\uparrow} \rangle [71] 71)
where
```

```
Z^{\uparrow} = \{ u \oplus d | u \ d. \ u \in Z \land d \ge (\theta :: 't) \}
fun clock\text{-}set :: 'c \ list \Rightarrow 't::time \Rightarrow ('c,'t) \ cval \Rightarrow ('c,'t) \ cval
where
  clock\text{-}set [] - u = u |
  clock\text{-}set\ (c\#cs)\ t\ u = (clock\text{-}set\ cs\ t\ u)(c:=t)
abbreviation clock-set-abbrv :: 'c list \Rightarrow 't::time \Rightarrow ('c,'t) cval \Rightarrow ('c,'t)
(\langle [-\rightarrow -] - \rangle [65, 65, 65] 65)
where
 [r \to t]u \equiv clock\text{-set } r t u
definition zone-set :: ('c, 't::time) zone \Rightarrow 'c list \Rightarrow ('c, 't) zone
(\langle -- \rightarrow 0 \rangle [71] 71)
where
  zone-set Z r = \{ [r \rightarrow (\theta :: 't)] u \mid u . u \in Z \}
lemma clock\text{-}set\text{-}set[simp]:
  ([r \rightarrow d]u) \ c = d \ \mathbf{if} \ c \in set \ r
  using that by (induction r) auto
lemma clock-set-id[simp]:
  ([r \rightarrow d]u) \ c = u \ c \ \mathbf{if} \ c \notin set \ r
  using that by (induction r) auto
definition DBM-zone-repr :: ('t::time) DBM \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('c,
't :: time) zone
(\langle [-]_{-,-}\rangle [72,72,72] 72)
where
  [M]_{v,n} = \{u : DBM-val-bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n\}
lemma dbm-entry-val-mono1:
   dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c)\ (Some\ c')\ b \implies b \leq b' \implies dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u
(Some \ c) \ (Some \ c') \ b'
proof (induction b, goal-cases)
  case 1 thus ?case using le-dbm-le le-dbm-lt by - (cases b'; fastforce)
next
  case 2 thus ?case using lt-dbm-le lt-dbm-lt by (cases b'; fastforce)
  case 3 thus ?case unfolding dbm-le-def by auto
qed
```

lemma dbm-entry-val-mono2:

```
dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) b \implies b \leq b' \implies dbm-entry-val u None
(Some \ c) \ b'
proof (induction b, goal-cases)
 case 1 thus ?case using le-dbm-le le-dbm-lt by - (cases b'; fastforce)
next
 case 2 thus ?case using lt-dbm-le lt-dbm-lt by (cases b'; fastforce)
 case 3 thus ?case unfolding dbm-le-def by auto
qed
lemma dbm-entry-val-mono3:
 dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c)\ None\ b \Longrightarrow b \preceq b' \Longrightarrow dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c)
c) None b'
proof (induction b, goal-cases)
 case 1 thus ?case using le-dbm-le le-dbm-lt by - (cases b'; fastforce)
next
 case 2 thus ?case using lt-dbm-le lt-dbm-lt by (cases b'; fastforce)
next
 case 3 thus ?case unfolding dbm-le-def by auto
qed
lemmas dbm-entry-val-mono = dbm-entry-val-mono 1 dbm-entry-val-mono 2
dbm-entry-val-mono3
lemma DBM-le-subset:
 \forall ij. i \leq n \longrightarrow j \leq n \longrightarrow M \ ij \leq M' \ ij \Longrightarrow u \in [M]_{v,n} \Longrightarrow u \in [M']_{v,n}
proof -
 assume A: \forall i j. i \leq n \longrightarrow j \leq n \longrightarrow M i j \leq M' i j u \in [M]_{v,n}
 hence DBM-val-bounded v u M n by (simp add: DBM-zone-repr-def)
 with A(1) have DBM-val-bounded v u M' n unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
 proof (safe, goal-cases)
    case 1 from this(1,2) show ?case unfolding less-eq[symmetric] by
fastforce
 next
   case (2 c)
   hence dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (M 0 (v c)) M 0 (v c) \leq M' 0
   thus ?case using dbm-entry-val-mono2 by fast
 next
   case (3 c)
   hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (M (v c) 0) M (v c) 0 \leq M' (v
   thus ?case using dbm-entry-val-mono3 by fast
 next
```

```
case (4 c1 c2)
   hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M (v c1) (v c2)) M (v
c1) (v \ c2) \leq M' (v \ c1) (v \ c2)
   by auto
   thus ?case using dbm-entry-val-mono1 by fast
 thus u \in [M']_{v,n} by (simp add: DBM-zone-repr-def)
qed
end
theory DBM-Basics
 imports
   DBM
   Paths-Cycles
   Zones
begin
2.5.3
        Useful definitions
fun get-const where
 qet\text{-}const\ (Le\ c) = c\ |
 get\text{-}const\ (Lt\ c) = c
 get\text{-}const\ (\infty :: -DBMEntry) = undefined
        Updating DBMs
2.5.4
abbreviation DBM-update :: ('t::time) DBM \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('t DBMEn-
try) \Rightarrow ('t::time) DBM
where
 DBM-update M m n v \equiv (\lambda x y. if <math>m = x \land n = y then v else M x y)
fun DBM-upd :: ('t::time) DBM \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't \ DBMEntry) \Rightarrow nat
\Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBM
where
 DBM-upd M f 0 0 -= DBM-update M 0 0 (f 0 0)
 DBM-upd Mf (Suc i) 0 n = DBM-update (DBM-upd Mf i n n) (Suc i)
\theta (f (Suc i) \theta) |
 DBM-upd M f i (Suc j) n = DBM-update (DBM-upd M f i j n) i (Suc j)
(f i (Suc j))
lemma upd-1:
assumes j \leq n
shows DBM-upd M1 f (Suc m) n N (Suc m) j = DBM-upd M1 f (Suc m)
j N (Suc m) j
```

```
using assms
by (induction \ n) auto
lemma upd-2:
assumes i \leq m
shows DBM-upd M1\ f\ (Suc\ m)\ n\ N\ i\ j=DBM-upd M1\ f\ (Suc\ m)\ 0\ N\ i\ j
using assms
proof (induction n)
 case 0 thus ?case by blast
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 thus ?case by simp
qed
lemma upd-3:
assumes m \leq N n \leq N j \leq n i \leq m
shows (DBM-upd M1 f m n N) i j = (DBM-upd M1 f i j N) i j
using assms
proof (induction m arbitrary: n i j, goal-cases)
 case (1 n) thus ?case by (induction n) auto
next
 case (2 m n i j) thus ?case
 proof (cases i = Suc \ m)
   case True thus ?thesis using upd-1[OF \langle j \leq n \rangle] by blast
   next
   case False
   with \langle i \leq Suc \ m \rangle have i \leq m by auto
   with upd-2[OF\ this] have DBM-upd\ M1\ f\ (Suc\ m)\ n\ N\ i\ j=DBM-upd
M1 f m N N i j by force
   also have \dots = DBM-upd M1 f i j N i j using False 2 by force
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
lemma upd-id:
 assumes m \leq N n \leq N i \leq m j \leq n
 shows (DBM-upd M1 f m n N) i j = f i j
proof -
 from assms upd-3 have DBM-upd M1 f m n N i j = DBM-upd M1 f i j
N i j by blast
 also have \dots = f \ i \ j \ by \ (cases \ i; \ cases \ j; \ fastforce)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

2.5.5 DBMs Without Negative Cycles are Non-Empty

We need all of these assumptions for the proof that matrices without negative cycles represent non-negative zones:

- Abelian (linearly ordered) monoid
- Time is non-trivial
- Time is dense

lemmas (in linordered-ab-monoid-add) comm = add.commute

```
lemma sum-qt-neutral-dest':
 (a::(('a::time)\ DBMEntry)) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow a+b>0 \Longrightarrow \exists\ d.\ Le\ d\leq a \land b
Le\ (-d) \le b \land d \ge 0
proof -
 assume a + b > 0 a \ge 0
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases b > \theta)
   case True
   with \langle a \geq 0 \rangle show ?thesis by (auto simp: neutral)
 next
   case False
   hence b < Le \theta by (auto simp: neutral)
   note * = this \langle a \geq 0 \rangle \langle a + b > 0 \rangle
   note [simp] = neutral
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases a, cases b, goal-cases)
     case (1 \ a' \ b')
     with * have a' + b' > 0 by (auto elim: dbm-lt.cases simp: less add)
     hence b' > -a' by (metis add.commute diff-0 diff-less-eq)
     with *1 show ?case
       by (auto simp: dbm-le-def less-eq le-dbm-le)
   next
     case (2 \ a' \ b')
     with * have a' + b' > 0 by (auto elim: dbm-lt.cases simp: less add)
     hence b' > -a' by (metis add.commute diff-0 diff-less-eq)
     with *2 show ?case
       by (auto simp: dbm-le-def less-eq le-dbm-le)
   next
     case (3 a')
     with * show ?case
       by auto
   next
```

```
case (4 a')
     thus ?case
     proof (cases b, goal-cases)
      case (1 \ b')
      have b' < 0 using 1(2) * by (metis dbm-lt.intros(3) less less-asym
neqE)
      from 1 * have a' + b' > 0 by (auto elim: dbm-lt.cases simp: less
add
      then have -b' < a' by (metis diff-0 diff-less-eq)
      with \langle b' < 0 \rangle * 1 show ?case by (auto simp: dbm-le-def less-eq)
     next
      case (2 b')
      with * have A: b' \le 0 a' > 0 by (auto elim: dbm-lt.cases simp: less
less-eq\ dbm-le-def)
      show ?case
      proof (cases b' = \theta)
        case True
        from dense[OF\ A(2)] obtain d where d: d > 0 d < a' by auto
         then have Le(-d) < Lt b' Le d < Lt a' unfolding less using
True by auto
        with d(1) 2 * show ?thesis by - (rule exI[where x = d], auto)
      \mathbf{next}
        case False
        with A(1) have **: -b' > 0 by simp
        from 2 * have a' + b' > 0 by (auto elim: dbm-lt.cases simp: less
add
     then have -b' < a' by (metis less-add-same-cancel minus-add-cancel
minus-less-iff)
        from dense[OF\ this] obtain d where d:
         d > -b' - d < b' d < a'
         by (auto simp add: minus-less-iff)
        then have Le(-d) < Lt b' Le d < Lt a' unfolding less by auto
        with d(1) 2 ** show ?thesis
         by - (rule\ exI[\mathbf{where}\ x = d],\ auto,
            meson\ d(2)\ dual-order.order-iff-strict\ less-trans\ neg-le-0-iff-le)
      qed
     next
      case \beta
      with * show ?case
        by auto
   qed
   next
     case 5 thus ?case
     proof (cases b, goal-cases)
```

```
case (1 \ b')
       with * have -b' \geq \theta
        by (metis dbm-lt.intros(3) leI less less-asym neg-less-0-iff-less)
      let ?d = -b'
       have Le ?d \le \infty Le (-?d) \le Le \ b' by (auto simp: any-le-inf)
       with \langle -b' \geq 0 \rangle * 1 show ?case by auto
       case (2 b')
       with * have b' \leq 0 by (auto elim: dbm-lt.cases simp: less)
       from non-trivial-neg obtain e :: 'a where e : e < \theta by blast
       let ?d = -(b' + e)
       from e \langle b' \leq \theta \rangle have Le ?d \leq \infty Le (-?d) \leq Lt b' b' + e < \theta
      by (auto simp: dbm-lt.intros(4) less less-imp-le any-le-inf add-nonpos-neg)
      then have Le ?d \le \infty Le (-?d) \le Lt b' ?d \ge 0
        using less-imp-le neg-0-le-iff-le by blast+
       with * 2 show ?case by auto
     next
       case 3
       with * show ?case
        by auto
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma sum-gt-neutral-dest:
 (a::(('a::time)\ DBMEntry)) + b > 0 \Longrightarrow \exists \ d.\ Le\ d \leq a \land Le\ (-d) \leq
b
proof -
 assume A: a + b > 0
 then have A': b + a > 0 by (simp \ add: \ comm)
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases \ a \geq \theta)
   case True
   with A sum-gt-neutral-dest' show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   { assume b \leq \theta
     with False have a \leq 0 b \leq 0 by auto
     from add-mono[OF this] have a + b \le 0 by auto
     with A have False by auto
   then have b \geq 0 by fastforce
   with sum-gt-neutral-dest' [OF this A'] show ?thesis by auto
```

```
\frac{\text{qed}}{\text{qed}}
```

2.5.6 Negative Cycles in DBMs

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\text{-}neg\text{-}cycle1:
fixes i xs assumes
  bounded: DBM-val-bounded v u M n and A:i \leq n \text{ set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \text{ len } M
i i xs < \theta and
  surj-on: \forall k \leq n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) and at-most: i \neq 0 cnt 0 xs
\leq 1
shows False
proof -
  from A(1) surj-on at-most obtain c where c: v c = i by auto
 with DBM-val-bounded-len'3 [OF bounded at-most(2), of c c] A(1,2) surj-on
 have bounded:dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) (len M i i xs) by force
  from A(3) have len M i i xs \prec Le 0 by (simp add: neutral less)
 then show False using bounded by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) (auto elim:
dbm-entry-val.cases)
qed
lemma cnt-\theta-I:
  x \notin set \ xs \Longrightarrow cnt \ x \ xs = 0
by (induction xs) auto
lemma distinct-cnt: distinct xs \Longrightarrow cnt \ x \ xs \le 1
  apply (induction xs)
  apply simp
  subgoal for a xs
   using cnt-\theta-I by (cases\ x = a) fastforce+
  done
{f lemma}\ DBM	ext{-}val	ext{-}bounded	ext{-}neg	ext{-}cycle:
fixes i xs assumes
  bounded: DBM-val-bounded v u M n and A:i \leq n \text{ set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \text{ len } M
i i xs < \theta and
  surj-on: \forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)
shows False
proof -
  from negative-len-shortest[OF - A(3)] obtain j ys where ys:
    distinct (j \# ys) len M j j ys < 0 j \in set (i \# xs) set ys \subseteq set xs
  bv blast
  show False
  proof (cases\ ys = [])
```

```
case True
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases j = 0)
     \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    with \langle ys = [] \rangle ys bounded show False unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
neutral\ less-eq[symmetric]
     by auto
   \mathbf{next}
     case False
       with \langle ys = [] \rangle DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle1[OF bounded - - ys(2)]
surj-on ys(3) A(1,2)
     show False by auto
   qed
 next
   case False
   from distinct-arcs-ex[OF - - this, of j 0 j] <math>ys(1) obtain a b where arc:
     a \neq 0 \ (a, b) \in set \ (arcs \ j \ ys)
   by auto
   from cycle-rotate-2'[OF False this(2)] obtain zs where zs:
     len M j j ys = len M a a (b \# zs) set (a \# b \# zs) = set (j \# ys)
     1 + length zs = length ys set (arcs j j ys) = set (arcs a a (b \# zs))
   by blast
    with distinct-card [OF\ ys(1)] have distinct (a \# b \# zs) by (intro
card-distinct) auto
   with distinct-cnt[of b \# zs] have *: cnt 0 (b \# zs) \le 1 by fastforce
   show ?thesis
    apply (rule DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle1 OF bounded - - - surj-on <a
\neq \theta \rangle *])
      using zs(2) ys(3,4) A(1,2) apply fastforce+
   using zs(1) ys(2) by simp
 qed
qed
Nicer Path Boundedness Theorems lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-1:
 fixes v
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u M n v c \le n set vs \subseteq \{0..n\} \ \forall \ k \le n. (\exists
c. \ v \ c = k
 shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (len M (v c) \theta vs) using assms
proof (induction length vs arbitrary: vs rule: less-induct)
 case A: less
 show ?case
 proof (cases 0 \in set \ vs)
   case False
```

```
with DBM-val-bounded-len-1'-aux[OF A(2,3)] A(4,5) show ?thesis by
fastforce
 next
   case True
   then obtain xs ys where vs: vs = xs @ 0 \# ys by (meson split-list)
   from len-decomp[OF this] have len M (v c) 0 vs = len M (v c) 0 xs +
len M 0 0 ys.
   moreover have len M 0 0 ys \geq 0
   proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases)
    case 1
    then have len M 0 0 ys < 0 by simp
      with DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle [OF assms(1), of 0 ys] vs A(4,5)
show False by auto
   ultimately have *: len M (v c) 0 vs \geq len M (v c) 0 xs by (simp add:
add-increasing2)
   from vs\ A have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (len M (v c) \theta xs) by
auto
  from dbm-entry-val-mono3[OF this, of len M (v c) 0 vs] * show ? thesis
unfolding less-eq by auto
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-2:
 fixes v
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u M n v c \le n set vs \subseteq \{0..n\} \ \forall \ k \le n. (\exists
c. \ v \ c = k
 shows dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (len M 0 (v c) vs) using assms
proof (induction length vs arbitrary: vs rule: less-induct)
 case A: less
 show ?case
 proof (cases 0 \in set \ vs)
   case False
  with DBM-val-bounded-len-2'-aux[OF A(2,3)] A(4,5) show ?thesis by
fastforce
 next
   then obtain xs ys where vs: vs = xs @ 0 \# ys by (meson \ split-list)
   from len-decomp[OF this] have len M 0 (v c) vs = len M 0 0 xs + len
M \theta (v c) ys.
   moreover have len M 0 0 xs \ge 0
   proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases)
    case 1
    then have len M 0 0 xs < 0 by simp
```

```
with DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle [OF assms(1), of 0 xs] vs A(4,5)
show False by auto
   qed
   ultimately have *: len M \ \theta \ (v \ c) \ vs \ge len \ M \ \theta \ (v \ c) \ ys \ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add:
add-increasing)
   from vs A have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (len M 0 (v c) ys) by
auto
   from dbm-entry-val-mono2[OF this] * show ?thesis unfolding less-eq
by auto
 qed
qed
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len-3:
 fixes v
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u M n v c1 \le n v c2 \le n set vs \subseteq \{0..n\}
        \forall k \leq n. (\exists c. v c = k)
 shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (len M (v c1) (v c2) vs)
using assms
proof (cases 0 \in set \ vs)
 case False
 with DBM-val-bounded-len-3'-aux[OF assms(1-3)] assms(4-) show ?thesis
by fastforce
\mathbf{next}
 case True
 then obtain xs ys where vs: vs = xs @ 0 \# ys by (meson split-list)
 from assms(4,5) vs DBM-val-bounded-len-1 [OF assms(1,2)] DBM-val-bounded-len-2 [OF
assms(1,3)
 have
   dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) None (len M (v c1) 0 xs)
   dbm-entry-val u None (Some c2) (len M 0 (v c2) ys)
 by auto
 from dbm-entry-val-add-4 [OF this] len-decomp[OF vs, of M] show ?thesis
unfolding add by auto
qed
An equivalent way of handling 0
fun val-0 :: ('c \Rightarrow ('a :: linordered-ab-group-add)) \Rightarrow 'c option \Rightarrow 'a where
 val-0 \ u \ None = 0 \ |
 val-0 \ u \ (Some \ c) = u \ c
notation val-\theta (\langle -\mathbf{0} \rightarrow [90, 90] 9\theta \rangle)
lemma dbm-entry-val-None-None[dest]:
  dbm-entry-val u None None l \Longrightarrow l = \infty
```

```
by (auto elim: dbm-entry-val.cases)
lemma dbm-entry-val-dbm-lt:
 assumes dbm-entry-val u \times y \mid l
 shows Lt (u_0 x - u_0 y) \prec l
using assms by (cases rule: dbm-entry-val.cases, auto)
lemma dbm-lt-dbm-entry-val-1:
 assumes Lt(u|x) \prec l
 shows dbm-entry-val u (Some x) None l
using assms by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) auto
lemma dbm-lt-dbm-entry-val-2:
 assumes Lt (-u x) \prec l
 shows dbm-entry-val u None (Some x) l
using assms by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) auto
lemma dbm-lt-dbm-entry-val-3:
 assumes Lt (u x - u y) \prec l
 shows dbm-entry-val\ u\ (Some\ x)\ (Some\ y)\ l
using assms by (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases) auto
A more uniform theorem for boundedness by paths
lemma DBM-val-bounded-len:
 fixes v
 defines v' \equiv \lambda x. if x = None then 0 else v (the x)
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u M n v' x \le n v' y \le n set vs \subseteq \{0..n\}
        \forall k \leq n. (\exists c. v c = k) x \neq None \lor y \neq None
 shows Lt (u_0 \ x - u_0 \ y) \prec len \ M \ (v' \ x) \ (v' \ y) \ vs \ using \ assms
apply -
apply (rule dbm-entry-val-dbm-lt)
apply (cases x; cases y)
  apply simp-all
 apply (rule DBM-val-bounded-len-2; auto)
apply (rule DBM-val-bounded-len-1; auto)
apply (rule DBM-val-bounded-len-3; auto)
done
        Floyd-Warshall Algorithm Preservers Zones
2.5.7
lemma D-dest: x = D m i j k \Longrightarrow
 x \in \{len\ m\ i\ j\ xs\ | xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \land i \notin set\ xs \land j \notin set\ xs \land distinct\}
xs
using Min-elem-dest[OF D-base-finite" D-base-not-empty] by (fastforce simp
```

```
add: D-def
lemma FW-zone-equiv:
 \forall k \leq n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \Longrightarrow [M]_{v,n} = [FW \ M \ n]_{v,n}
proof safe
  fix u assume A: u \in [FW \ M \ n]_{v,n}
  { fix i j assume i \leq n j \leq n
   hence FW M n i j \leq M i j using fw-mono[of i n j M] by simp
   hence FW \ M \ n \ i \ j \ \leq M \ i \ j \ by \ (simp \ add: \ less-eq)
  }
  with DBM-le-subset[of n \ FW \ M \ n \ M] A \ \mathbf{show} \ u \in [M]_{v,n} \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
  fix u assume u:u\in [M]_{v,n} and surj-on: \forall k\leq n. \ k>0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v\ c
  hence *:DBM-val-bounded v u M n by (simp\ add:\ DBM-zone-repr-def)
  note ** = DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle[OF this - - - surj-on]
  have cyc-free: cyc-free M n using ** by fastforce
  from cyc-free-diag[OF this] have diag-ge-zero: \forall k \le n. M k k \ge Le \ 0
unfolding neutral by auto
 have DBM-val-bounded v u (FW M n) n unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
  proof (safe, goal-cases)
   case 1
   from fw-shortest-path[OF cyc-free] have **:
     D M \theta \theta n = FW M n \theta \theta
   by (simp add: neutral)
   from D-dest[OF **[symmetric]] obtain xs where xs:
       FW M n \theta \theta = len M \theta \theta xs set xs \subseteq \{\theta..n\}
       0 \notin set \ xs \ distinct \ xs
   by auto
   with cyc-free have FW\ M\ n\ 0\ 0 \ge 0 by auto
   then show ?case unfolding neutral less-eq by simp
  next
   case (2 c)
   with fw-shortest-path[OF cyc-free] have **:
     D M \theta (v c) n = FW M n \theta (v c)
   by (simp add: neutral)
   from D-dest[OF **[symmetric]] obtain xs where xs:
       FW \ M \ n \ \theta \ (v \ c) = len \ M \ \theta \ (v \ c) \ xs \ set \ xs \subseteq \{\theta..n\}
       0 \notin set \ xs \ v \ c \notin set \ xs \ distinct \ xs
   by auto
   show ?case unfolding xs(1) using xs surj-on \langle v | c \leq n \rangle
   \mathbf{by} - (rule\ DBM-val-bounded-len'2[OF*xs(3)];\ auto)
  next
```

```
case (3 c)
   with fw-shortest-path[OF cyc-free] have **:
     D M (v c) 0 n = FW M n (v c) 0
   by (simp add: neutral)
   with D-dest[OF **[symmetric]] obtain xs where xs:
     FW\ M\ n\ (v\ c)\ \theta = len\ M\ (v\ c)\ \theta\ xs\ set\ xs \subseteq \{\theta..n\}
     0 \notin set \ xs \ v \ c \notin set \ xs \ distinct \ xs
   by auto
   show ?case unfolding xs(1) using xs surj-on \langle v | c \leq n \rangle
   \mathbf{by} - (rule\ DBM-val-bounded-len'1[OF*xs(3)];\ auto)
  next
   case (4 c1 c2)
   with fw-shortest-path[OF cyc-free]
    have D M (v c1) (v c2) n = FW M n (v c1) (v c2) by (simp add:
neutral)
   from D-dest[OF this[symmetric]] obtain xs where xs:
     FW \ M \ n \ (v \ c1) \ (v \ c2) = len \ M \ (v \ c1) \ (v \ c2) \ xs \ set \ xs \subseteq \{0..n\}
     v \ c1 \notin set \ xs \ v \ c2 \notin set \ xs \ distinct \ xs
   by auto
   show ?case
     unfolding xs(1)
     apply (rule DBM-val-bounded-len'3[OF *])
     using xs \ surj - on \ \langle v \ c1 \le n \rangle \ \langle v \ c2 \le n \rangle  by (auto dest!: distinct-cnt[of
-0
 qed
  then show u \in [FW \ M \ n]_{v,n} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by simp
qed
lemma new-negative-cycle-aux':
  fixes M :: ('a :: time) DBM
  fixes i j d
  defines M' \equiv \lambda \ i' \ j'. if (i' = i \land j' = j) then Le d
                      else if (i' = j \land j' = i) then Le (-d)
                      else M i' j'
  assumes i \leq n j \leq n set xs \subseteq \{0..n\} cycle-free M n length xs = m
  assumes len M' i i (j \# xs) < 0 \lor len M' j j (i \# xs) < 0
  assumes i \neq j
  shows \exists xs. \ set \ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \land j \notin set \ xs \land i \notin set \ xs
              \land (len \ M' \ i \ i \ (j \# xs) < 0 \lor len \ M' \ j \ j \ (i \# xs) < 0) using
assms
proof (induction - m arbitrary: xs rule: less-induct)
  case (less x)
  { fix b a xs assume A: (i, j) \notin set (arcs b a xs) (j, i) \notin set (arcs b a xs)
   with \langle i \neq j \rangle have len M' b a xs = len M b a xs
```

```
unfolding M'-def by (induction xs arbitrary: b) auto
  } note * = this
  { fix a xs assume A:(i, j) \notin set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs) \ (j, i) \notin set \ (arcs \ a \ a \ xs)
    assume a: a \leq n and xs: set xs \subseteq \{0..n\} and cycle: \neg len M' a a xs
\geq 0
   from *[OF A] have len M' a a xs = len M a a xs.
    with \langle cycle\text{-}free\ M\ n \rangle\ \langle i < n \rangle\ cycle\ xs\ a\ have\ False\ unfolding\ cy-
cle-free-def by auto
  } note ** = this
  { \mathbf{fix} \ a :: nat \ \mathbf{fix} \ ys :: nat \ list
   assume A: ys \neq [] length ys \leq length xs set ys \subseteq set xs <math>a \leq n
   assume cycle: len M' a a ys < 0
   assume arcs: (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys) \lor (j, i) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys)
   from arcs have ?thesis
   proof
     assume (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys)
     from cycle-rotate-2[OF \langle ys \neq [] \rangle this, of M']
      obtain ws where ws: len M' a a ys = len M' i i (j \# ws) set ws \subseteq
set (a \# ys)
       length ws < length ys by auto
     with cycle less.hyps(1)[OF - less.hyps(2), of length ws ws] less.prems
A
     show ?thesis by fastforce
     assume (j, i) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys)
     from cycle-rotate-2[OF \langle ys \neq [] \rangle this, of M']
      obtain ws where ws: len M' a a ys = len M' j j (i # ws) set ws \subseteq
set (a \# ys)
       length ws < length ys by auto
     with cycle less.hyps(1)[OF - less.hyps(2)], of length ws ws] less.prems
A
     show ?thesis by fastforce
   qed
  } note *** = this
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ a :: nat \ \mathbf{fix} \ ys :: nat \ list \}
   assume A: ys \neq [] length ys \leq length xs set ys \subseteq set xs a \leq n
   assume cycle: \neg len M' a a ys \ge 0
   with A **[of a ys] less.prems
   have (i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys) \lor (j, i) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys) by auto
   with ***[OF A] cycle have ?thesis by auto
  } note neg-cycle-IH = this
  from cycle-free-diag[OF \langle cycle-free M \ n \rangle] have \forall i. i \leq n \longrightarrow Le \ 0 \leq M
i i unfolding neutral by auto
  then have M'-diag: \forall i. i \leq n \longrightarrow Le \ 0 \leq M' \ i \ i \ unfolding \ M'-def
```

```
using \langle i \neq j \rangle by auto
 from less(8) show ?thesis
 proof standard
   assume cycle:len M' i i (j \# xs) < 0
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases i \in set xs)
     case False
     then show ?thesis
     proof (cases j \in set xs)
      case False
      with \langle i \notin set \ xs \rangle show ?thesis using less.prems(3,6) by auto
      case True
     then obtain ys zs where ys-zs: xs = ys @ j \# zs by (meson split-list)
      with len-decomp[of j \# xs j \# ys j zs M' i i]
      have len: len M' i i (j \# xs) = M' i j + len M' j j ys + len M' j i
zs by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases len M'jjys \ge 0)
        case True
        have len M' i i (j \# zs) = M' i j + len M' j i zs by simp
       also from len True have M'ij + len M'jizs \leq len M'ii(j\#
xs
        by (metis add-le-impl add-lt-neutral comm not-le)
        finally have cycle': len M' i i (j \# zs) < \theta using cycle by auto
        from ys-zs less.prems(5) have x > length zs by auto
        from cycle' less.prems ys-zs less.hyps(1)[OF this less.hyps(2), of
zs
        show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case False
        with M'-diag less.prems have ys \neq [] by (auto simp: neutral)
      from neg-cycle-IH[OF this] ys-zs False less.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
      qed
     qed
   next
     case True
    then obtain ys zs where ys-zs: xs = ys @ i \# zs by (meson split-list)
     with len-decomp[of j \# xs j \# ys i zs M' i i]
    have len: len M' i i (j \# xs) = M' i j + len M' j i ys + len M' i i zs
by auto
    show ?thesis
     proof (cases len M' i i zs \geq 0)
```

```
case True
      have len M' i i (j \# ys) = M' i j + len M' j i ys by simp
      also from len True have M'ij + len M'jiys \leq len M'ii(j\#
xs
      by (metis add-lt-neutral comm not-le)
      finally have cycle': len M' i i (j \# ys) < 0 using cycle by auto
      from ys-zs less.prems(5) have x > length ys by auto
      from cycle' less.prems ys-zs less.hyps(1)[OF this less.hyps(2), of ys
      show ?thesis by auto
     \mathbf{next}
      case False
      with less.prems(1,7) M'-diag have zs \neq [] by (auto simp: neutral)
      from neg-cycle-IH[OF this] ys-zs False less.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
     qed
   qed
 next
   assume cycle:len M'jj (i \# xs) < 0
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases j \in set xs)
     case False
     then show ?thesis
     proof (cases i \in set xs)
      case False
      with \langle j \notin set \ xs \rangle show ?thesis using less.prems(3,6) by auto
     next
      case True
     then obtain ys zs where ys-zs: xs = ys @ i \# zs by (meson split-list)
      with len-decomp [of i \# xs \ i \# ys \ i \ zs \ M' \ j \ j]
      have len: len M'jj (i \# xs) = M'ji + len M'iiys + len M'ij
zs by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases len M' i i ys \geq 0)
        case True
        have len M' j j (i \# zs) = M' j i + len M' i j zs by simp
        also from len True have M'ji + len M'ijzs \le len M'jj (i #
xs
        \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{add-le-impl}\ \mathit{add-lt-neutral}\ \mathit{comm}\ \mathit{not-le})
        finally have cycle': len M' j j (i # zs) < 0 using cycle by auto
        from ys-zs less.prems(5) have x > length zs by auto
        from cycle' less.prems ys-zs less.hyps(1)[OF this less.hyps(2), of
zs
        show ?thesis by auto
      next
```

```
case False
         with less.prems M'-diag have ys \neq [] by (auto simp: neutral)
       from neg-cycle-IH[OF this] ys-zs False less.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
       qed
     qed
   next
     case True
    then obtain ys zs where ys-zs: xs = ys @ j \# zs by (meson split-list)
     with len-decomp[of i \# xs i \# ys j zs M' j j]
     have len: len M'jj (i \# xs) = M'ji + len M'ijys + len M'jjzs
by auto
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases len M'jjzs \geq 0)
       case True
       have len M' j j (i \# ys) = M' j i + len M' i j ys by simp
       also from len True have M'ji + len M'ijys \leq len M'jj (i #
xs
       by (metis add-lt-neutral comm not-le)
       finally have cycle': len M' j j (i # ys) < 0 using cycle by auto
       from ys-zs less.prems(5) have x > length ys by auto
      from cycle' less.prems ys-zs less.hyps(1)[OF this less.hyps(2) , of ys]
       show ?thesis by auto
     next
       {f case}\ {\it False}
       with less.prems(2,7) M'-diag have zs \neq [] by (auto simp: neutral)
      from neg-cycle-IH[OF this] ys-zs False less.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma new-negative-cycle-aux:
 fixes M :: ('a :: time) DBM
 fixes i d
 defines M' \equiv \lambda \ i' \ j'. if (i' = i \land j' = 0) then Le d
                    else if (i' = 0 \land j' = i) then Le (-d)
                    else M i' j'
 assumes i \leq n \text{ set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \text{ cycle-free } M \text{ } n \text{ length } xs = m
 assumes len M' 0 0 (i \# xs) < 0 \lor len M' i i (0 \# xs) < 0
 assumes i \neq 0
 shows \exists xs. \ set \ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \land 0 \notin set \ xs \land i \notin set \ xs
            \land (len \ M' \ 0 \ 0 \ (i \ \# \ xs) < 0 \lor len \ M' \ i \ i \ (0 \ \# \ xs) < 0) using
```

```
assms
proof (induction - m arbitrary: xs rule: less-induct)
  case (less x)
  { fix b a xs assume A: (0, i) \notin set (arcs b a xs) (i, 0) \notin set (arcs b a
xs
   then have len M' b a xs = len M b a xs
   unfolding M'-def by (induction xs arbitrary: b) auto
  } note * = this
  { fix a xs assume A:(0, i) \notin set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs) \ (i, \ 0) \notin set \ (arcs \ a \ a \ xs)
    assume a: a \le n and xs: set xs \subseteq \{0..n\} and cycle: \neg len M' \ a \ a \ xs
\geq 0
   from *[OF A] have len M' a a xs = len M a a xs.
    with \langle cycle - free \ M \ n \rangle \ \langle i \le n \rangle \ cycle \ xs \ a \ {\bf have} \ False \ {\bf unfolding} \ cy-
cle-free-def by auto
  } note ** = this
  \{ \text{ fix } a :: nat \text{ fix } ys :: nat \text{ list } \}
   assume A: ys \neq [] length ys \leq length xs set ys \subseteq set xs a \leq n
   assume cycle: len M' a a ys < 0
   assume arcs: (0, i) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys) \lor (i, 0) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys)
   from arcs have ?thesis
   proof
     assume (0, i) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys)
     from cycle-rotate-2[OF \langle ys \neq [] \rangle this, of M']
     obtain ws where ws: len M' a a ys = len M' 0 0 (i # ws) set ws \subseteq
set (a \# ys)
       length ws < length ys by auto
     with cycle less.hyps(1)[OF - less.hyps(2), of length ws ws] less.prems
A
     show ?thesis by fastforce
     assume (i, \theta) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys)
     from cycle-rotate-2[OF \langle ys \neq [] \rangle this, of M']
     obtain ws where ws: len M' a a ys = len M' i i (0 # ws) set ws \subseteq
set (a \# ys)
       length ws < length ys by auto
     with cycle less.hyps(1)[OF - less.hyps(2), of length ws ws] less.prems
A
     show ?thesis by fastforce
   qed
  } note *** = this
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ a :: nat \ \mathbf{fix} \ ys :: nat \ list \}
   assume A: ys \neq [] length ys \leq length xs set ys \subseteq set xs a \leq n
   assume cycle: \neg len M' a a ys \ge 0
   with A **[of a ys] less.prems(2)
```

```
have (0, i) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys) \lor (i, 0) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ ys) by auto
   with ***[OF A] cycle have ?thesis by auto
 } note neg\text{-}cycle\text{-}IH = this
 from cycle-free-diag[OF \langle cycle-free M \ n \rangle] have \forall i. i \leq n \longrightarrow Le \ 0 \leq M
i i unfolding neutral by auto
  then have M'-diag: \forall i. i \leq n \longrightarrow Le \ 0 \leq M' \ i \ i \ unfolding \ M'-def
using \langle i \neq \theta \rangle by auto
 from less(7) show ?thesis
 proof standard
   assume cycle:len M' \ 0 \ 0 \ (i \ \# \ xs) < 0
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \theta \in set xs)
     case False
     thus ?thesis
     proof (cases i \in set xs)
       case False
       with \langle 0 \notin set \ xs \rangle show ?thesis using less.prems by auto
     next
       case True
     then obtain ys zs where ys-zs: xs = ys @ i \# zs by (meson split-list)
       with len-decomp[of i \# xs i \# ys i zs M' 0 0]
       have len: len M' \circ 0 \circ (i \# xs) = M' \circ i + len M' \circ i ys + len M' \circ i
0 zs by auto
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases len M' i i ys \geq \theta)
         case True
         have len M' \ 0 \ 0 \ (i \# zs) = M' \ 0 \ i + len \ M' \ i \ 0 \ zs by simp
         also from len True have M' 0 i + len M' i 0 zs \leq len M' 0 0 (i
\# xs
         by (metis add-le-impl add-lt-neutral comm not-le)
         finally have cycle': len M' 0 0 (i \# zs) < 0 using cycle by auto
         from ys-zs less.prems(4) have x > length zs by auto
         from cycle' less.prems ys-zs less.hyps(1)[OF this less.hyps(2), of
zs
         show ?thesis by auto
       next
         case False
        with less.prems(1,6) M'-diag have ys \neq [] by (auto simp: neutral)
       from neg-cycle-IH[OF this] ys-zs False less.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
       qed
     qed
   next
     case True
```

```
then obtain ys zs where ys-zs: xs = ys @ 0 \# zs by (meson split-list)
     with len-decomp[of i \# xs \ i \# ys \ 0 \ zs \ M' \ 0 \ 0]
    have len: len M' \circ 0 (i # xs) = M' \circ 0 i + len M' \circ 0 ys + len M' \circ 0
zs by auto
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases len M' \ 0 \ 0 \ zs \ge 0)
      case True
      have len M' 0 0 (i # ys) = M' 0 i + len M' i 0 ys by simp
      also from len True have M' 0 i + len M' i 0 ys \leq len M' 0 0 (i \#
xs
      by (metis add-lt-neutral comm not-le)
      finally have cycle': len M' 0 0 (i # ys) < 0 using cycle by auto
      from ys-zs less.prems(4) have x > length ys by auto
      from cycle' less.prems ys-zs less.hyps(1)[OF this less.hyps(2) , of ys]
      show ?thesis by auto
     next
      case False
      with less.prems(1,6) M'-diag have zs \neq [] by (auto simp: neutral)
      from neg-cycle-IH[OF this] ys-zs False less.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
     qed
   qed
 next
   assume cycle: len M' i i (0 \# xs) < 0
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases i \in set xs)
     case False
     thus ?thesis
     proof (cases \ \theta \in set \ xs)
      case False
      with \langle i \notin set \ xs \rangle show ?thesis using less.prems by auto
     next
      case True
        then obtain ys zs where ys-zs: xs = ys @ 0 \# zs by (meson
split-list)
      with len-decomp[of 0 \# xs \ 0 \# ys \ 0 \ zs \ M' \ i \ i]
      have len: len M' i i (0 \# xs) = M' i 0 + len M' 0 0 ys + len M' 0
i zs by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases len M' \ \theta \ \theta \ ys \geq \theta)
        case True
        have len M' i i (0 \# zs) = M' i 0 + len M' 0 i zs by simp
        also from len True have M' i 0 + len M' 0 i zs \leq len M' i i (0
\# xs
```

```
by (metis add-le-impl add-lt-neutral comm not-le)
       finally have cycle': len M' i i (0 \# zs) < 0 using cycle by auto
        from ys-zs less.prems(4) have x > length zs by auto
        from cycle' less.prems ys-zs less.hyps(1)[OF this less.hyps(2), of
zs
       show ?thesis by auto
      next
       case False
       with less.prems(1,6) M'-diag have ys \neq [] by (auto simp: neutral)
      from neg-cycle-IH[OF this] ys-zs False less.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
      qed
    qed
   next
    case True
    then obtain ys zs where ys-zs: xs = ys @ i \# zs by (meson split-list)
    with len-decomp[of 0 \# xs 0 \# ys i zs M' i i]
    have len: len M' i i (0 \# xs) = M' i 0 + len M' 0 i ys + len M' i i
zs by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases len M' i i zs \geq 0)
      case True
      have len M' i i (0 \# ys) = M' i 0 + len M' 0 i ys by simp
      also from len True have M'i 0 + len M' 0 i ys \le len M'i i (0 \#
xs
      by (metis add-lt-neutral comm not-le)
      finally have cycle': len M' i i (0 \# ys) < 0 using cycle by auto
      from ys-zs less.prems(4) have x > length ys by auto
      from cycle' less.prems ys-zs less.hyps(1)[OF this less.hyps(2), of ys
      show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False
      with less.prems(1,6) M'-diag have zs \neq [] by (auto simp: neutral)
     from neg-cycle-IH[OF this] ys-zs False less.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
    qed
   qed
 qed
qed
2.6
      The Characteristic Property of Canonical DBMs
theorem fix-index':
```

fixes M :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry) mat

```
assumes Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i cycle-free M n canonical M n i \leq
n j \leq n i \neq j
 defines M' \equiv \lambda \ i' \ j'. if (i' = i \land j' = j) then Le r
                     else if (i' = j \land j' = i) then Le (-r)
                     else M i' i'
 shows (\forall u. DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u\ M'\ n \longrightarrow DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u\ M\ n)
\land cycle-free M' n
proof -
 note A = assms
 note r = assms(1,2)
 from \langle cycle\text{-}free\ M\ n\rangle have diag\text{-}cycles:\ \forall\ i\ xs.\ i\leq n\ \land\ set\ xs\subseteq\{0..n\}
\longrightarrow Le \ 0 \le len \ M \ i \ i \ xs
 unfolding cycle-free-def neutral by auto
 let ?M' = \lambda \ i' \ j'. if (i' = i \land j' = j) then Le r
                     else if (i' = j \land j' = i) then Le (-r)
                     else M i' j'
 have ?M'i'j' \leq Mi'j' when i' \leq nj' \leq n for i'j' using assms by
auto
 with DBM-le-subset[folded less-eq, of n ?M' M] have DBM-val-bounded
v u M n
 if DBM-val-bounded v u ?M' n for u unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def using
that by auto
 then have not-empty: \forall u. DBM-val-bounded vu ?M'n \longrightarrow DBM-val-bounded
v u M n by auto
 { fix a xs assume prems: a \le n \text{ set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} and cycle: \neg \text{ len } ?M' a
a xs \geq 0
   { fix b assume A: (i, j) \notin set (arcs \ b \ a \ xs) \ (j, i) \notin set (arcs \ b \ a \ xs)
      with \langle i \neq j \rangle have len ?M' b a xs = len M b a xs by (induction xs
arbitrary: b) auto
   } note * = this
   { fix a b xs assume A: i \notin set (a \# xs) j \notin set (a \# xs)
     then have len ?M' a b xs = len M a b xs by (induction xs arbitrary:
a, auto)
   } note ** = this
   { assume A:(i, j) \notin set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs) \ (j, i) \notin set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs)
     from *[OF this] have len ?M' a a xs = len M a a xs.
       cle-free-def)
   then have arcs:(i, j) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs) \lor (j, i) \in set (arcs \ a \ a \ xs) by
auto
   with \langle i \neq j \rangle have xs \neq [] by auto
   from arcs obtain xs where xs: set xs \subseteq \{0..n\}
     len ?M' i i (j \# xs) < 0 \lor len ?M' j j (i \# xs) < 0
```

```
proof (standard, goal-cases)
     case 1
      from cycle-rotate-2'[OF \langle xs \neq [] \rangle this(2), of ?M'] prems obtain ys
where
       len ?M' i i (j \# ys) = len ?M' a a xs set ys \subseteq \{0..n\}
     by fastforce
     with 1 cycle show ?thesis by fastforce
   next
     case 2
      from cycle-rotate-2'[OF \langle xs \neq [] \rangle this(2), of ?M'] prems obtain ys
where
       len ?M'jj (i \# ys) = len ?M'a a xs set ys \subseteq \{0..n\}
     by fastforce
     with 2 cycle show ?thesis by fastforce
   from new-negative-cycle-aux'|OF| \langle i \leq n \rangle \langle j \leq n \rangle this(1) \langle cycle-free M
n \rightarrow -this(2) \langle i \neq j \rangle
   obtain xs where xs:
     set \ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \ i \notin set \ xs \ j \notin set \ xs
     len ?M' i i (j \# xs) < 0 \lor len ?M' j j (i \# xs) < 0
   by auto
   from this(4) have False
   proof
     assume A: len ?M'jj (i \# xs) < 0
     show False
     proof (cases xs)
       case Nil
       with \langle i \neq j \rangle have *:?M'ji = Le(-r)?M'ij = Ler  by simp+
       from Nil have len ?M'jj (i \# xs) = ?M'ji + ?M'ij by simp
       with * have len ?M'jj (i \# xs) = Le 0 by (simp \ add: add)
       then show False using A by (simp add: neutral)
     next
       case (Cons \ y \ ys)
       have *:M i y + M y j \ge M i j
       using \langle canonical \ M \ n \rangle Cons xs \langle i \leq n \rangle \langle j \leq n \rangle by (simp \ add: \ add)
less-eq)
       have Le \theta = Le(-r) + Le r by (simp add: add)
       also have ... \le Le(-r) + M i j using r by (simp add: add-mono)
       also have \dots \leq Le(-r) + M i y + M y j using * by (simp \ add:
add-mono add.assoc)
       also have \ldots \leq Le(-r) + ?M'iy + len Myjys
         using canonical-len[OF \land canonical\ M\ n)]\ xs(1-3) \land i \leq n \land \langle j \leq n \rangle
Cons
         by (simp add: add-mono)
```

```
also have ... = len ?M'jj (i \# xs) using Cons \langle i \neq j \rangle ** xs(1-3)
         by (simp add: add.assoc)
       also have ... < Le \theta using A by (simp add: neutral)
       finally show False by simp
     qed
   next
     assume A: len ?M' i i (i \# xs) < 0
     show False
     proof (cases xs)
       case Nil
       with \langle i \neq j \rangle have *:?M'ji = Le(-r)?M'ij = Ler  by simp+
       from Nil have len ?M' i i (j \# xs) = ?M' i j + ?M' j i by simp
       with * have len ?M' i i (j \# xs) = Le \ 0 by (simp \ add: \ add)
       then show False using A by (simp add: neutral)
     next
       case (Cons \ y \ ys)
       have *:M j y + M y i \geq M j i
       using \langle canonical \ M \ n \rangle Cons xs \langle i \leq n \rangle \langle j \leq n \rangle by (simp \ add: \ add)
less-eq)
       have Le \ \theta = Le \ r + Le \ (-r) by (simp \ add: \ add)
       also have \dots \le Le \ r + M \ j \ i \ using \ r \ by \ (simp \ add: \ add-mono)
         also have \dots \leq Le \ r + M \ j \ y + M \ y \ i \ using * by (simp add:
add-mono add.assoc)
       also have ... \leq Le \ r + ?M' \ j \ y + len \ M \ y \ i \ ys
         using canonical-len[OF \land canonical\ M\ n \land]\ xs(1-3) \land i \leq n \land \land j \leq n \land
Cons
         by (simp add: add-mono)
      also have ... = len ?M' i i (j \# xs) using Cons \langle i \neq j \rangle ** xs(1-3)
         \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ add.assoc)
       also have \dots < Le \ 0 using A by (simp add: neutral)
       finally show False by simp
     qed
   qed
 \} note * = this
 have cycle-free ?M' n unfolding cycle-free-diag-equiv[symmetric]
   using negative-cycle-dest-diag * by fastforce
 then show ?thesis using not-empty \langle i \neq j \rangle r unfolding M'-def by auto
qed
lemma fix-index:
 fixes M :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry) mat
 assumes M \ 0 \ i + M \ i \ 0 > 0 cycle-free M \ n canonical M \ n \ i \le n \ i \ne 0
 shows
 \exists (M' :: ('a \ DBMEntry) \ mat). ((\exists u. \ DBM-val-bounded \ v \ u \ M' \ n) \longrightarrow
```

```
(\exists u. DBM-val-bounded v u M n))
    \wedge M' 0 i + M' i 0 = 0 \wedge cycle-free M' n
    \land (\forall j. \ i \neq j \land M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0 = 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ j + M' \ j \ 0 = 0)
    \land (\forall j. \ i \neq j \land M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0 > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ j + M' \ j \ 0 > 0)
proof -
  note A = assms
  from sum-qt-neutral-dest[OF\ assms(1)] obtain d where d: Le\ d \le M\ i
0 \ Le \ (-d) \leq M \ 0 \ i \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
  have i \neq 0 using A by - (rule ccontr; simp)
  let ?M' = \lambda i' j'. if i' = i \wedge j' = 0 then Le d else if i' = 0 \wedge j' = i then
Le (-d) else M i' j'
  from fix-index' [OF d(1,2) A(2,3,4) - \langle i \neq 0 \rangle] have M':
  \forall u. DBM-val-bounded vu ?M'n \longrightarrow DBM-val-bounded vu Mn \ cycle-free
?M'n
  by auto
 moreover from \langle i \neq 0 \rangle have \forall j. i \neq j \land M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0 = 0 \longrightarrow ?M'
0 j + ?M' j 0 = 0 by auto
  moreover from (i \neq 0) have \forall j. i \neq j \land M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0 > 0 \longrightarrow ?M'
0 i + ?M' i 0 > 0 by auto
 moreover from \langle i \neq 0 \rangle have ?M' 0 i + ?M' i 0 = 0 unfolding neutral
add by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
Putting it together lemma FW-not-empty:
  DBM-val-bounded v u (FW M' n) n \Longrightarrow DBM-val-bounded v u M' n
  assume A: DBM-val-bounded v u (FW M' n) n
  have \forall i j. i \leq n \longrightarrow j \leq n \longrightarrow FWM'n i j \leq M'ij using fw-mono
  from DBM-le-subset[of n FW M' n M' - v, OF this[unfolded less-eq]]
 show DBM-val-bounded v u M' n using A by (auto simp: DBM-zone-repr-def)
qed
lemma fix-indices:
  fixes M :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry) mat
  assumes set \ xs \subseteq \{\theta..n\} \ distinct \ xs
  assumes cyc-free M n canonical M n
  shows
  \exists (M' :: ('a \ DBMEntry) \ mat). ((\exists u. \ DBM-val-bounded \ v \ u \ M' \ n) \longrightarrow
(\exists u. DBM-val-bounded v u M n))
    \land (\forall i \in set \ xs. \ i \neq 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ i + M' \ i \ 0 = 0) \land cyc-free \ M' \ n
    \land (\forall i \leq n. \ i \notin set \ xs \land M \ 0 \ i + M \ i \ 0 = 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ i + M' \ i \ 0 = 0)
```

```
using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: M)
  case Nil then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons\ i\ xs)
  show ?case
  proof (cases M \theta i + M i \theta < \theta \lor i = \theta)
   case True
   note T = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases i = 0)
     case False
     from Cons.prems have 0 \le n \ set \ [i] \subseteq \{0..n\} by auto
     with Cons.prems(3) False T have M \ 0 \ i + M \ i \ 0 = 0 by fastforce
    with Cons.IH[OF - Cons.prems(3,4)] Cons.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
   \mathbf{next}
     case True
    with Cons.IH[OF - Cons.prems(3,4)] Cons.prems(1,2) show ?thesis
by auto
   qed
  next
   case False
   with Cons. prems have 0 < M \ 0 \ i + M \ i \ 0 \ i \le n \ i \ne 0 by auto
  with fix-index [OF\ this(1)\ cycle-free-diag-intro [OF\ Cons.prems(3)]\ Cons.prems(4)
this(2,3), of v
   obtain M' :: ('a \ DBMEntry) \ mat \ where \ M':
     ((\exists u. DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u\ M'\ n) \longrightarrow (\exists u. DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u\ M
n)) (M' 0 i + M' i 0 = 0)
     cyc-free M' n \forall j \leq n. i \neq j \land M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0 > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ j + M' \ j
\theta > \theta
     \forall j. \ i \neq j \land M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0 = 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ j + M' \ j \ 0 = 0
   using cycle-free-diag-equiv by blast
   let ?M' = FW M' n
    from fw-canonical of n M' \langle cyc-free M' n \rangle have canonical ?M' n by
auto
   from FW-cyc-free-preservation [OF \langle cyc-free M' n \rangle] have cyc-free ?M'
n
   by auto
     from FW-fixed-preservation [OF \ \langle i \leq n \rangle \ M'(2) \ \langle canonical ?M' \ n \rangle
\langle cyc\text{-free }?M' n \rangle
   have fixed: ?M' \ 0 \ i + ?M' \ i \ 0 = 0 by (auto simp: add-mono)
  from Cons.IH[OF - - \langle cyc\text{-}free ?M'n \rangle \langle canonical ?M'n \rangle] Cons.prems(1,2,3)
   obtain M'' :: ('a \ DBMEntry) \ mat
```

```
where M'': ((\exists u. DBM-val-bounded v u M'' n) \longrightarrow (\exists u. DBM-val-bounded
v u ?M' n)
      (\forall i \in set \ xs. \ i \neq 0 \longrightarrow M'' \ 0 \ i + M'' \ i \ 0 = 0) \ cyc-free \ M'' \ n
      (\forall i \leq n. \ i \notin set \ xs \land ?M' \ 0 \ i + ?M' \ i \ 0 = 0 \longrightarrow M'' \ 0 \ i + M'' \ i \ 0 =
\theta)
    by auto
    from FW-fixed-preservation [OF - - \langle canonical ?M' n \rangle \langle cyc-free ?M' n \rangle]
M'(5)
    have \forall j \leq n. i \neq j \land M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0 = 0 \longrightarrow ?M' \ 0 \ j + ?M' \ j \ 0 = 0
by auto
    with M''(4) have \forall j \leq n. j \notin set (i \# xs) \land M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0 = 0 \longrightarrow
M'' \theta j + M'' j \theta = \theta by auto
    moreover from M''(2) M''(4) fixed Cons.prems(2) \langle i \leq n \rangle
    have (\forall i \in set \ (i \# xs). \ i \neq 0 \longrightarrow M'' \ 0 \ i + M'' \ i \ 0 = 0) by auto
    moreover from M''(1) M'(1) FW-not-empty[of v - M' n]
    have (\exists u. DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u\ M''\ n) \longrightarrow (\exists u. DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u
M n) by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using \langle cyc\text{-free }M'' n \rangle M''(4) by auto
  qed
qed
lemma cyc-free-obtains-valuation:
  cyc-free M \ n \Longrightarrow \forall \ c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow v \ c > 0 \Longrightarrow \exists \ u. \ DBM-val-bounded \ v
u M n
proof -
  assume A: cyc-free M n \forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow v c > 0
  let ?M = FW M n
  from fw-canonical [of\ n\ M]\ A have canonical ?M\ n by auto
  from FW-cyc-free-preservation [OF A(1)] have cyc-free ?M n.
  have set [0..< n+1] \subseteq \{0..n\} distinct [0..< n+1] by auto
  from fix-indices [OF\ this\ \langle cyc-free ?M\ n\rangle\ \langle canonical\ ?M\ n\rangle]
  obtain M' :: ('a \ DBMEntry) \ mat \ \mathbf{where} \ M':
    (\exists u. DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u\ M'\ n) \longrightarrow (\exists u. DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u\ (FW)
M(n)(n)
    \forall i \in set \ [0..< n+1]. \ i \neq 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ i + M' \ i \ 0 = 0 \ cyc\text{-free} \ M' \ n
    by blast
  let ?M' = FW M' n
  have \bigwedge i. i \leq n \Longrightarrow i \in set [0..< n+1] by auto
  with M'(2) have M'-fixed: \forall i \leq n. i \neq 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ i + M' \ i \ 0 = 0 by
  from fw-canonical [of \ n \ M'] \ M'(3) have canonical ?M' \ n by blast
 from FW-fixed-preservation [OF - this FW-cyc-free-preservation [OFM'(3)]]
M'-fixed
  have fixed: \forall i \le n. i \ne 0 \longrightarrow ?M' \ 0 \ i + ?M' \ i \ 0 = 0 by auto
```

```
have *: \bigwedge i. i \leq n \Longrightarrow i \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \exists d. ?M' \circ i = Le(-d) \land ?M' \circ i = L
Le d
     proof -
         fix i assume i: i \leq n \ i \neq 0
        from i fixed have *: dbm-add (?M' 0 i) (?M' i 0) = Le 0 by (auto simp
add: add neutral)
         moreover
          { fix a b :: 'a \text{ assume } a + b = 0
              then have a = -b by (simp add: eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0)
         }
         ultimately show \exists d. ?M' 0 i = Le (-d) \land ?M' i 0 = Le d
         by (cases ?M' \ 0 \ i; cases ?M' \ i \ 0; simp)
     qed
     then obtain f where f: \forall i \leq n. i \neq 0 \longrightarrow Le (f i) = ?M' i 0 \land Le (-1)
f(i) = ?M'(0) i by metis
     let ?u = \lambda \ c. \ f \ (v \ c)
     have DBM-val-bounded v ? u ? M' n
         unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
     proof (safe, goal-cases)
         case 1
        from cyc-free-diag-dest'[OF FW-cyc-free-preservation[OF M'(3)]] show
 ?case
         unfolding neutral less-eq by fast
     next
         case (2 c)
         with A(2) have **: v c > 0 by auto
         with *[OF 2] obtain d where d: Le (-d) = ?M' \ 0 \ (v \ c) by auto
         with f 2 ** have Le (-f (v c)) = Le (-d) by simp
         then have -f(v c) \leq -d by auto
         from dbm-entry-val.intros(2)[of ?u , OF this] d
         show ?case by auto
    \mathbf{next}
         case (3 c)
         with A(2) have **: v c > 0 by auto
         with *[OF 3] obtain d where d: Le d = ?M'(v c) 0 by auto
         with f 3 ** have Le (f (v c)) = Le d by simp
         then have f(v c) \leq d by auto
         from dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val.intros(1)[of ?u, OF this] d
         show ?case by auto
     next
         case (4 c1 c2)
         with A(2) have **: v c1 > 0 v c2 > 0 by auto
         with *[OF 4(1)] obtain d1 where d1: Le d1 = ?M'(v c1) 0 by auto
         with f \not= ** have Le (f (v c1)) = Le d1 by simp
```

```
then have d1': f(v c1) = d1 by auto
   from *[OF 4(2)] ** obtain d2 where d2: Le d2 = ?M'(v c2) 0 by
auto
   with f \not= ** have Le (f (v c2)) = Le d2 by simp
   then have d2': f(v c2) = d2 by auto
   have Le d1 \leq ?M'(v c1)(v c2) + Le d2 using \langle canonical?M' n \rangle 4
d1 d2
    by (auto simp add: less-eq add)
   then show ?case
   proof (cases ?M'(v c1)(v c2), goal-cases)
    case (1 d)
    then have d1 \leq d + d2 by (auto simp: add less-eq le-dbm-le)
    then have d1 - d2 \le d by (simp add: diff-le-eq)
    with 1 show ?case using d1' d2' by auto
   next
    case (2 d)
    then have d1 < d + d2 by (auto simp: add less-eq dbm-le-def elim:
dbm-lt.cases)
    then have d1 - d2 < d using diff-less-eq by blast
    with 2 show ?case using d1' d2' by auto
   qed auto
 qed
 from M'(1) FW-not-empty[OF this] obtain u where DBM-val-bounded
v \ u \ ?M \ n \ \mathbf{bv} \ auto
 from FW-not-empty[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

2.6.1 Floyd-Warshall and Empty DBMs

```
theorem FW-detects-empty-zone: \forall k \leq n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists \ c. \ v \ c = k) \Longrightarrow \forall \ c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow v \ c > 0 \Longrightarrow [FW\ M\ n]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ i \leq n. \ (FW\ M\ n) \ i \ i < Le\ 0) proof assume surj-on:\forall k \leq n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists \ c. \ v \ c = k) and \exists \ i \leq n. \ (FW\ M\ n) i \ i < Le\ 0 then obtain i where *: len\ (FW\ M\ n) i \ i \ ] < 0 \ i \leq n by (auto\ simp\ add:\ neutral) show [FW\ M\ n]_{v,n} = \{\} proof (rule\ ccontr,\ goal\text{-}cases) case 1 then obtain u where DBM-val-bounded v u (FW\ M\ n) n unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto from DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle[OF\ this\ *(2)\ -\ *(1)\ surj-on] show ?case by auto
```

```
qed
\mathbf{next}
  assume surj-on: \forall k \le n. 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) and empty: [FW M
         cn: \forall c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow v \ c > 0
  \mathbf{and}
  show \exists i \leq n. (FW M n) i i < Le 0
  proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases)
   case 1
   then have *: \forall i \leq n. FW M n i i \geq 0 by (auto simp add: neutral)
   have cyc-free M n
   proof (rule ccontr)
     assume \neg cyc-free M n
     from FW-neg-cycle-detect [OF this] * show False by auto
   from FW-cyc-free-preservation [OF this] have cyc-free (FW M n) n.
   from cyc-free-obtains-valuation [OF \langle cyc-free (FW M n) n \rangle cn] empty
   obtain u where DBM-val-bounded v u (FW M n) n by blast
   with empty show ?case by (auto simp add: DBM-zone-repr-def)
  qed
qed
\mathbf{hide\text{-}const} (open) D
2.6.2
         Mixed Corollaries
lemma cyc-free-not-empty:
  assumes cyc-free M n \forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v c
  shows [(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} \neq \{\}
\textbf{using} \ cyc\text{-}free\text{-}obtains\text{-}valuation[OF\ assms(1,2)]} \ \textbf{unfolding} \ DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def
by auto
lemma empty-not-cyc-free:
  assumes \forall c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v \ c \ [(M :: ('a :: time) \ DBM)]_{v,n} = \{\}
  shows \neg cyc-free M n
using assms by (meson cyc-free-not-empty)
lemma not-empty-cyc-free:
  assumes \forall k \leq n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists \ c. \ v \ c = k) \ [(M :: ('a :: time) \ DBM)]_{v,n}
\neq \{\}
 shows cyc-free M n using DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle [OF - - - - assms(1)]
assms(2)
unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by fastforce
```

```
lemma neg-cycle-empty:
 assumes \forall k \le n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists \ c. \ v \ c = k) \ set \ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \ i \le n \ len \ M \ i
i xs < 0
 shows [(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} = \{\} using assms
by (metis leD not-empty-cyc-free)
abbreviation clock-numbering' :: ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
where
 clock-numbering'\ v\ n \equiv \forall\ c.\ v\ c > 0\ \land\ (\forall\ x.\ \forall\ y.\ v\ x \leq n\ \land\ v\ y \leq n\ \land\ v
x = v \ y \longrightarrow x = y
lemma non-empty-dbm-diag-set:
  \mathit{clock}\textit{-numbering'} \ v \ n \Longrightarrow [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}
 \implies [M]_{v,n} = [(\lambda \ i \ j. \ if \ i = j \ then \ 0 \ else \ M \ i \ j)]_{v,n}
 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def
proof (safe, goal-cases)
 case 1
 { fix c assume A: v c = 0
   from 1 have v c > 0 by auto
   with A have False by auto
 } note * = this
 from 1 have [simp]: Le 0 \leq M 0 0 by (auto simp: DBM-val-bounded-def)
 note [simp] = neutral
 from 1 show ?case
   unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
   apply safe
   subgoal
     using * by simp
   subgoal
     using * by (metis (full-types))
   subgoal
     using * by (metis (full-types))
   subgoal for c1 c2
     by (cases c1 = c2) auto
   done
next
 case (2 x xa)
 note G = this
  { fix c assume A: v c = 0
   from 2 have v c > 0 by auto
   with A have False by auto
 } note * = this
  { fix c assume A: v c \leq n M (v c) (v c) < 0
   with 2 have False
```

```
by (fastforce simp: neutral DBM-val-bounded-def less elim!: dbm-lt.cases)
 } note ** = this
 from 2 have [simp]: Le 0 \leq M 0 0 by (auto simp: DBM-val-bounded-def)
 note [simp] = neutral
 from 2 show ?case
   unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
 proof (safe, goal-cases)
   case 1 with * show ?case by simp presburger
   case 2 with * show ?case by presburger
 next
   case (3 c1 c2)
   show ?case
   proof (cases v c1 = v c2)
     case True
     with 3 have c1 = c2 by auto
    moreover from this **[OF 3(9)] not-less have M (v c2) (v c2) \geq 0
by auto
     ultimately show dbm-entry-val xa (Some c1) (Some c2) (M (v c1)
(v \ c2)) unfolding neutral
     by (cases M (v c1) (v c2)) (auto simp add: less-eq dbm-le-def, fast-
force+)
   next
    case False
     with 3 show ?thesis by presburger
 qed
qed
lemma non-empty-cycle-free:
 assumes [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}
   and \forall k \leq n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
 shows cycle-free M n
apply (rule ccontr)
apply (drule negative-cycle-dest-diag')
using DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle assms unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by
blast
lemma neg-diag-empty:
 assumes \forall k \le n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ i \le n \ M \ i \ i < 0
 shows [M]_{v,n} = \{\}
unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def using DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle [of v - M
n i []] assms by auto
```

```
assumes \forall k \leq n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists \ c. \ v \ c = k) \ \forall \ c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v \ c and canonical M n shows [M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ i \leq n. \ M \ i \ i < 0) using FW-detects-empty-zone [OF \ assms(1,2), \ of \ M] \ FW-canonical-id [OF \ assms(3)] unfolding neutral by simp
```

2.7 Orderings of DBMs

```
lemma canonical-saturated-1:
 assumes Le r \leq M \ (v \ c1) \ \theta
   and Le(-r) \leq M \theta(v c1)
   and cycle-free M n
   and canonical M n
   and v c1 \leq n
   and v c1 > 0
   and \forall c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow \theta < v \ c
 obtains u where u \in [M]_{v,n} u c1 = r
proof -
 let ?M' = \lambda i' j'. if i'=v c1 \land j'=0 then Le r else if i'=0 \land j'=v c1 then
Le (-r) else M i' i'
 from fix-index'[OF assms(1-5)] assms(6) have M':
   \forall u. \ DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ ?M' \ n \longrightarrow DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n
   cycle-free ?M' n ?M' (v c1) 0 = Le r ?M' 0 (v c1) = Le (-r)
 by auto
 with cyc-free-obtains-valuation[unfolded cycle-free-diag-equiv, of ?M' n v]
assms(7) obtain u where
   u: DBM-val-bounded v u ?M' n
 by fastforce
 with assms(5,6) M'(3,4) have u c1 = r unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
by fastforce
 moreover from u M'(1) have u \in [M]_{v,n} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def
 ultimately show thesis by (auto intro: that)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ canonical\text{-}saturated\text{-}2\colon
 assumes Le r \leq M \theta \ (v \ c2)
   and Le(-r) \leq M(v c2) \theta
   and cycle-free M n
   and canonical M n
   and v c2 \leq n
   and v c2 > 0
   and \forall c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow \theta < v \ c
```

```
obtains u where u \in [M]_{v,n} u c2 = -r
proof -
 let ?M' = \lambda i' j'. if i'=0 \land j'=v c2 then Le r else if i'=v c2 \land j'=0 then
Le (-r) else M i' j'
 from fix-index'[OF assms(1-4)] assms(5,6) have M':
   \forall u. \ DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ ?M' \ n \longrightarrow DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n
   cycle-free ?M' n ?M' 0 (v c2) = Le r ?M' (v c2) 0 = Le (-r)
 by auto
 with cyc-free-obtains-valuation[unfolded cycle-free-diag-equiv, of ?M' n v]
assms(7) obtain u where
   u: DBM-val-bounded v u ?M' n
 by fastforce
  with assms(5,6) M'(3,4) have u c2 \le -r - u c2 \le r unfolding
DBM-val-bounded-def by fastforce+
 then have u c2 = -r by (simp \ add: le\text{-minus-iff})
 moreover from u M'(1) have u \in [M]_{v,n} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def
by auto
 ultimately show thesis by (auto intro: that)
qed
lemma canonical-saturated-3:
 assumes Le r \leq M \ (v \ c1) \ (v \ c2)
   and Le(-r) \leq M(v c2)(v c1)
   and cycle-free M n
   and canonical M n
   and v c1 \leq n v c2 \leq n
   and v c1 \neq v c2
   and \forall c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow \theta < v \ c
 obtains u where u \in [M]_{v,n} u c1 - u c2 = r
proof -
 let ?M'=\lambda i' j'. if i'=v c1 \wedge j'=v c2 then Le r else if i'=v c2 \wedge j'=v c1
then Le (-r) else M i'j'
 from fix-index'[OF assms(1-7), of v] assms(7,8) have M':
   \forall u. \ DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ ?M' \ n \longrightarrow DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n
   cycle-free ?M' n ?M' (v c1) (v c2) = Le r ?M' (v c2) (v c1) = Le (-
r)
 with cyc-free-obtains-valuation[unfolded cycle-free-diag-equiv, of ?M' n v]
assms obtain u where u:
   DBM-val-bounded v u ?M' n
 by fastforce
 with assms(5,6) M'(3,4) have
   u c1 - u c2 \le r u c2 - u c1 \le -r
 unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by fastforce+
```

```
then have u c1 - u c2 = r by (simp add: le-minus-iff)
 moreover from u M'(1) have u \in [M]_{v,n} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def
by auto
 ultimately show thesis by (auto intro: that)
qed
lemma DBM-canonical-subset-le:
 notes any-le-inf[intro]
 fixes M :: real DBM
 assumes canonical M n [M]_{v,n} \subseteq [M']_{v,n} [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\} i \leq n j \leq n i \neq j
 assumes clock-numbering: clock-numbering' v n
                       \forall k \leq n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
 shows M i j \leq M' i j
proof -
  from non-empty-cycle-free[OF\ assms(3)]\ clock-numbering(2) have cy-
cle-free M n by auto
 with assms(1,4,5) have non-neg:
   M i j + M j i \geq Le 0
 by (metis cycle-free-diag order.trans neutral)
 from clock-numbering have cn: \forall c. \ v \ c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v \ c by auto
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases i = \theta)
   case True
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases j = \theta)
     {f case}\ True
     with assms \langle i = \theta \rangle show ?thesis
    unfolding neutral DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def less-eq by
auto
   next
     case False
     then have j > \theta by auto
    with \langle j \leq n \rangle clock-numbering obtain c2 where c2: v c2 = j by auto
       note t = canonical-saturated-2[OF - - \langle cycle-free M n \rangle assms(1)
assms(5)[folded c2] - cn, unfolded c2]
     show ?thesis
     proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases)
       case 1
       { fix d assume 1: M \ 0 \ j = \infty
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M \ 0 \ j \ Le \ (-r) \leq M \ j \ 0 \ d < r
        proof (cases M j \theta)
          case (Le d')
          obtain r where r > -d' using gt-ex by blast
```

```
with Le 1 show ?thesis by (intro that [of max r(d+1)]) auto
        next
          case (Lt d')
          obtain r where r > -d' using gt-ex by blast
          with Lt 1 show ?thesis by (intro that of max r(d+1)) auto
        next
          case INF
          with 1 show ?thesis by (intro that [of d + 1]) auto
       then have \exists r. Le \ r \leq M \ 0 \ j \land Le \ (-r) \leq M \ j \ 0 \land d < r \ by \ auto
       } note inf-case = this
       { fix a b d :: real assume 1: a < b assume b: b + d > 0
        then have *: b > -d by auto
        obtain r where r > -d r > a r < b
        proof (cases \ a \ge - \ d)
          case True
          from 1 obtain r where r > a r < b using dense by auto
          with True show ?thesis by (auto intro: that [of r])
        next
          case False
          with * obtain r where r > -d r < b using dense by auto
          with False show ?thesis by (auto intro: that[of r])
        qed
        then have \exists r. r > -d \land r > a \land r < b by auto
      } note gt\text{-}case = this
      { fix a\ r assume r: Le r \leq M\ 0\ j Le (-r) \leq M\ j 0 a < r\ M'\ 0\ j =
Le a \vee M' \ 0 \ j = Lt \ a
        from t[OF\ this(1,2) \ \langle 0 < j \rangle] obtain u where u: u \in [M]_{v,n} \ u \ c2
        with \langle j \leq n \rangle c2 assms(2) have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c2)
(M' \ \theta \ j)
        unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by blast
        with u(2) r(3,4) have False by auto
      } note contr = this
      from 1 True have M' \ 0 \ j < M \ 0 \ j by auto
      then show False unfolding less
      proof (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases)
        case (1 d)
        with inf-case obtain r where r: Le r \leq M \ 0 \ j \ Le \ (-r) \leq M \ j \ 0 \ d
< r by auto
        from contr[OF this] 1 show False by fast
      next
        case (2 d)
        with inf-case obtain r where r: Le r \leq M \ 0 \ j \ Le \ (-r) \leq M \ j \ 0 \ d
```

```
< r by auto
        from contr[OF this] 2 show False by fast
      next
        case (3 a b)
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M \ 0 \ j Le (-r) \leq M \ j \ 0 \ a < r
        proof (cases M j \theta)
         case (Le d')
         with 3 non-neg \langle i = 0 \rangle have b + d' \geq 0 unfolding add by auto
         then have b \ge -d' by auto
         with 3 obtain r where r \ge -d' r > a r \le b by blast
          with Le 3 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
         case (Lt d')
         with 3 non-neg \langle i = 0 \rangle have b + d' > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from gt-case [OF 3(3) this] obtain r where r > -d' r > a r \le
b by auto
          with Lt 3 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
          case INF
          with 3 show ?thesis by (intro that[of b]) auto
        qed
        from contr[OF this] 3 show False by fast
      next
        case (4 a b)
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M \ 0 \ j \ Le \ (-r) \leq M \ j \ 0 \ a < r
        proof (cases M j \theta)
         case (Le \ d)
         with 4 non-neg \langle i = 0 \rangle have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from gt-case [OF 4(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
          with Le 4 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
         case (Lt \ d)
         with 4 non-neg \langle i = 0 \rangle have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from gt-case [OF 4(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
          with Lt 4 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
          case INF
         from 4 dense obtain r where r > a r < b by auto
          with 4 INF show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        from contr[OF this] 4 show False by fast
      next
```

```
case (5 \ a \ b)
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M \ 0 \ j \ Le \ (-r) \leq M \ j \ 0 \ a \leq r
        proof (cases M j \theta)
          case (Le d')
         with 5 non-neg \langle i = 0 \rangle have b + d' \geq 0 unfolding add by auto
          then have b \ge -d' by auto
          with 5 obtain r where r \ge -d' r \ge a r \le b by blast
          with Le 5 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case (Lt d')
         with 5 non-neg \langle i = 0 \rangle have b + d' > 0 unfolding add by auto
          then have b > -d' by auto
          with 5 obtain r where r > -d' r \ge a r \le b by blast
          with Lt 5 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
          case INF
          with 5 show ?thesis by (intro that[of b]) auto
        from t[OF\ this(1,2)\ \langle j>0\rangle] obtain u where u:u\in [M]_{v,n}\ u\ c2
        with \langle j \leq n \rangle c2 assms(2) have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c2)
(M' \ \theta \ j)
        unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by blast
        with u(2) r(3) 5 show False by auto
       next
        case (6 \ a \ b)
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M \ 0 \ j \ Le \ (-r) \leq M \ j \ 0 \ a < r
        proof (cases M j \theta)
          case (Le \ d)
         with \theta non-neg \langle i = \theta \rangle have b + d > \theta unfolding add by auto
          from gt-case [OF 6(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
          with Le 6 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case (Lt \ d)
         with 6 non-neg \langle i = 0 \rangle have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
          from qt-case [OF 6(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
          with Lt 6 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
          case INF
          from 6 dense obtain r where r > a r < b by auto
          with 6 INF show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        qed
```

```
from contr[OF this] 6 show False by fast
      qed
    \mathbf{qed}
   qed
 next
   case False
   then have i > 0 by auto
   with \langle i \leq n \rangle clock-numbering obtain c1 where c1: v c1 = i by auto
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases j = 0)
    case True
      note t = canonical-saturated-1[OF - - \langle cycle-free M n \rangle assms(1)
assms(4)[folded c1] - cn,
                              unfolded c1]
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases)
      case 1
      { fix d assume 1: M i \theta = \infty
        obtain r where r: Le r \le M i \theta Le (-r) \le M \theta i d \le r
        proof (cases M 0 i)
         case (Le d')
         obtain r where r > -d' using gt-ex by blast
          with Le 1 show ?thesis by (intro that [of max r(d+1)]) auto
        next
         case (Lt d')
         obtain r where r > -d' using gt-ex by blast
         with Lt 1 show ?thesis by (intro that [of max r(d+1)]) auto
        next
         case INF
         with 1 show ?thesis by (intro that [of d+1]) auto
        qed
       then have \exists r. Le \ r \leq M \ i \ 0 \land Le \ (-r) \leq M \ 0 \ i \land d < r \ by \ auto
      } note inf-case = this
      { fix a \ b \ d :: real \ assume \ 1: a < b \ assume \ b: b + d > 0
        then have *: b > -d by auto
        obtain r where r > -d r > a r < b
        proof (cases \ a \ge - \ d)
         case True
         from 1 obtain r where r > a r < b using dense by auto
          with True show ?thesis by (auto intro: that [of r])
        next
         case False
         with * obtain r where r > -d r < b using dense by auto
         with False show ?thesis by (auto intro: that [of r])
```

```
then have \exists r. r > -d \land r > a \land r < b by auto
      } note gt-case = this
      { fix a r assume r: Le r \leq M i \theta Le (-r) \leq M \theta i a < r M' i \theta =
Le a \vee M' i \theta = Lt a
        from t[OF\ this(1,2)\ \langle i>0\rangle] obtain u where u:u\in[M]_{v,n}\ u\ c1
= r .
        with \langle i \leq n \rangle c1 assms(2) have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) None
(M'i\theta)
        unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by blast
        with u(2) r(3,4) have False by auto
       } note contr = this
      from 1 True have M' i 0 < M i 0 by auto
      then show False unfolding less
      proof (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases)
        case (1 d)
        with inf-case obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i 0 Le (-r) \leq M 0 i d
< r by auto
        from contr[OF this] 1 show False by fast
      next
        case (2 d)
        with inf-case obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i \theta Le (-r) \leq M \theta i d
< r by auto
        from contr[OF this] 2 show False by fast
      next
        case (3 \ a \ b)
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i 0 Le (-r) \leq M 0 i a < r
        proof (cases M \ \theta \ i)
          case (Le d')
         with 3 non-neg \langle j = 0 \rangle have b + d' \geq 0 unfolding add by auto
          then have b \ge -d' by auto
          with 3 obtain r where r \ge -d' r > a r \le b by blast
          with Le 3 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case (Lt d')
         with 3 non-neg \langle j = 0 \rangle have b + d' > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from qt-case [OF 3(3) this] obtain r where r > -d' r > a r \le
b by auto
          with Lt 3 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case INF
          with 3 show ?thesis by (intro that[of b]) auto
        from contr[OF this] 3 show False by fast
```

```
next
        case (4 \ a \ b)
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i 0 Le (-r) \leq M 0 i a < r
        proof (cases M \ 0 \ i)
          case (Le \ d)
         with 4 \text{ non-neg } (j = 0) have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
          from qt-case [OF 4(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
          with Le 4 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
          case (Lt \ d)
         with 4 non-neg \langle j = 0 \rangle have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
          from gt-case [OF 4(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
          with Lt 4 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case INF
          from 4 dense obtain r where r > a r < b by auto
          with 4 INF show ?thesis by (intro that[of r]) auto
        qed
        from contr[OF this] 4 show False by fast
      next
        case (5 \ a \ b)
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i 0 Le (-r) \leq M 0 i a \leq r
        proof (cases M \theta i)
          case (Le d')
         with 5 non-neg \langle j = 0 \rangle have b + d' \geq 0 unfolding add by auto
          then have b \ge -d' by auto
          with 5 obtain r where r \ge -d' r \ge a r \le b by blast
          with Le 5 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case (Lt d')
         with 5 non-neg \langle j=0 \rangle have b+d'>0 unfolding add by auto
          then have b > -d' by auto
          with 5 obtain r where r > -d' r \ge a r \le b by blast
          with Lt 5 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        \mathbf{next}
          case INF
          with 5 show ?thesis by (intro that[of b]) auto
        from t[OF\ this(1,2)\ \langle i>0\rangle] obtain u where u:u\in[M]_{n,n}\ u\ c1
= r .
        with \langle i \leq n \rangle c1 assms(2) have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) None
(M' i \theta)
```

```
unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by blast
        with u(2) r(3) 5 show False by auto
      next
        case (6 a b)
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i 0 Le (-r) \leq M 0 i a < r
        proof (cases M 0 i)
         case (Le \ d)
         with 6 non-neg \langle j = 0 \rangle have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from gt-case [OF 6(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
          with Le 6 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
         case (Lt \ d)
         with 6 non-neg \langle j = 0 \rangle have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from gt-case [OF 6(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
          with Lt 6 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
         case INF
         from \theta dense obtain r where r > a r < b by auto
         with 6 INF show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        from contr[OF this] 6 show False by fast
      qed
     qed
   \mathbf{next}
     case False
    then have j > \theta by auto
    with \langle j \leq n \rangle clock-numbering obtain c2 where c2: v c2 = j by auto
      note t = canonical-saturated-3[OF - - \langle cycle-free M n \rangle assms(1)
assms(4)[folded c1]
                                 assms(5)[folded c2] - cn, unfolded c1 c2]
     show ?thesis
     proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases)
      case 1
      { fix d assume 1: M i j = \infty
        obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i d < r
        proof (cases M j i)
         case (Le d')
         obtain r where r > -d' using gt-ex by blast
         with Le 1 show ?thesis by (intro that of max r(d + 1)) auto
        next
         case (Lt d')
         obtain r where r > -d' using gt-ex by blast
```

```
with Lt 1 show ?thesis by (intro that of max r(d+1)) auto
        next
          case INF
          with 1 show ?thesis by (intro that [of d + 1]) auto
        then have \exists r. Le \ r \leq M \ i \ j \land Le \ (-r) \leq M \ j \ i \land d < r \ by \ auto
      } note inf-case = this
      { fix a \ b \ d :: real \ assume \ 1: a < b \ assume \ b: b + d > 0
        then have *: b > -d by auto
        obtain r where r > -d r > a r < b
        proof (cases \ a \ge - \ d)
          case True
          from 1 obtain r where r > a r < b using dense by auto
          with True show ?thesis by (auto intro: that [of r])
        next
          case False
          with * obtain r where r > -d r < b using dense by auto
          with False show ?thesis by (auto intro: that [of r])
        then have \exists r. r > -d \land r > a \land r < b by auto
      } note gt-case = this
       { fix a r assume r: Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i a < r M' i j =
Le a \vee M' i j = Lt a
        from t[OF\ this(1,2)\ \langle i\neq j\rangle] obtain u where u:u\in [M]_{v,n}\ u\ c1
- u c2 = r.
        with \langle i \leq n \rangle \langle j \leq n \rangle c1 c2 assms(2) have dbm-entry-val u (Some
c1) (Some c2) (M'ij)
        unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by blast
        with u(2) r(3,4) have False by auto
      } note contr = this
      from 1 have M'ij < Mij by auto
      then show False unfolding less
      proof (cases rule: dbm-lt.cases)
        case (1 d)
        with inf-case obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i d
< r by auto
        from contr[OF this] 1 show False by fast
      next
        case (2 d)
        with inf-case obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i d
< r by auto
        from contr[OF this] 2 show False by fast
      next
        case (3 \ a \ b)
```

```
obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i a < r
       proof (cases M j i)
         case (Le d')
         with 3 non-neg have b + d' \ge 0 unfolding add by auto
         then have b \ge -d' by auto
         with 3 obtain r where r \ge -d' r > a r \le b by blast
         with Le 3 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
       next
         case (Lt d')
         with 3 non-neg have b + d' > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from qt-case [OF 3(3) this] obtain r where r > -d' r > a r \le
b by auto
         with Lt 3 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
       \mathbf{next}
         case INF
         with 3 show ?thesis by (intro that[of b]) auto
       from contr[OF this] 3 show False by fast
      next
       case (4 a b)
       obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i a < r
       proof (cases M j i)
         case (Le \ d)
         with 4 non-neg have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from qt-case [OF 4(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
         with Le 4 show ?thesis by (intro\ that[of\ r]) auto
       next
         case (Lt \ d)
         with 4 non-neg have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from gt-case [OF 4(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
         with Lt 4 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
       \mathbf{next}
         case INF
         from 4 dense obtain r where r > a r < b by auto
         with 4 INF show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
       qed
       from contr[OF this] 4 show False by fast
      next
       case (5 \ a \ b)
       obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i a \leq r
       proof (cases M j i)
         case (Le d')
```

```
with 5 non-neg have b + d' \ge 0 unfolding add by auto
         then have b \ge -d' by auto
         with 5 obtain r where r \ge -d' r \ge a r \le b by blast
         with Le 5 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
         case (Lt d')
         with 5 non-neg have b + d' > 0 unfolding add by auto
         then have b > -d' by auto
         with 5 obtain r where r > -d' r \ge a r \le b by blast
         with Lt 5 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
         case INF
         with 5 show ?thesis by (intro that[of b]) auto
        from t[OF\ this(1,2)\ \langle i\neq j\rangle] obtain u where u:u\in [M]_{v,n}\ u\ c1
-u c2 = r.
       with \langle i \leq n \rangle \langle j \leq n \rangle c1 c2 assms(2) have dbm-entry-val u (Some
c1) (Some c2) (M' i j)
        unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by blast
        with u(2) r(3) 5 show False by auto
      next
        case (6 a b)
       obtain r where r: Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i a < r
       proof (cases M j i)
         case (Le \ d)
         with 6 non-neg have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from gt-case [OF 6(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
         with Le 6 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
         case (Lt \ d)
         with 6 non-neg have b + d > 0 unfolding add by auto
         from gt-case [OF 6(3) this] obtain r where r > -d r > a r <
b by auto
         with Lt 6 show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        next
         case INF
         from 6 dense obtain r where r > a r < b by auto
         with 6 INF show ?thesis by (intro that [of r]) auto
        from contr[OF this] 6 show False by fast
      qed
    qed
   qed
```

```
qed
qed
end
theory FW-More
 imports
   DBM	ext{-}Basics
   Floyd-Warshall.FW-Code
begin
2.8
      Partial Floyd-Warshall Preserves Zones
lemma fwi-len-distinct:
 set \ ys \land \ distinct \ ys
 if i \le n \ j \le n \ k \le n \ m \ k \ k \ge 0
 using fwi-step' [of m, OF that(4), of n n i j] that
 apply (clarsimp split: if-splits simp: min-def)
 by (rule exI[\mathbf{where}\ x = []]\ exI[\mathbf{where}\ x = [k]];\ auto\ simp:\ add-increasing
add-increasing2)+
lemma FWI-mono:
 i \leq n \Longrightarrow j \leq n \Longrightarrow FWI M n k i j \leq M i j
 using fwi-mono[of - n - M k n n, folded FWI-def, rule-format].
lemma FWI-zone-equiv:
 [M]_{v,n} = [FWI\ M\ n\ k]_{v,n} \text{ if } surj\text{-}on: \forall\ k \leq n.\ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists\ c.\ v\ c = k)
and k \leq n
proof safe
 fix u assume A: u \in [FWI \ M \ n \ k]_{v,n}
 { fix i j assume i \leq n j \leq n
   then have FWI M n k i j \leq M i j by (rule FWI-mono)
   hence FWI M n k i j \leq M i j by (simp add: less-eq)
 with DBM-le-subset [of n FWI M n k M] A show u \in [M]_{v,n} by auto
next
 fix u assume u:u \in [M]_{v,n}
 hence *:DBM-val-bounded v u M n by (simp\ add:\ DBM-zone-repr-def)
 note ** = DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle[OF this - - - surj-on]
 have cyc-free: cyc-free M n using ** by fastforce
 from cyc-free-diag[OF\ this] \langle k \leq n \rangle have M\ k\ k \geq 0 by auto
 have DBM-val-bounded v u (FWIM n k) n unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
 proof (safe, goal-cases)
```

```
case 1
    with \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle M | k | k \geq 0 \rangle cyc-free show ?case
      unfolding FWI-def neutral[symmetric] less-eq[symmetric]
      by - (rule fwi-cyc-free-diag[where I = \{0..n\}]; auto)
  next
    case (2 c)
    with \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle M | k | k \geq 0 \rangle fwi-len-distinct[of 0 n v c k M] obtain xs
where xs:
      FWI M \ n \ k \ 0 \ (v \ c) = len \ M \ 0 \ (v \ c) \ xs \ set \ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \ 0 \notin set \ xs
      unfolding FWI-def by force
    with surj-on \langle v | c \leq n \rangle show ?case unfolding xs(1)
      \mathbf{by} - (rule\ DBM-val-bounded-len'2[OF*];\ auto)
  \mathbf{next}
    case (3 c)
    with \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle M | k | k \geq 0 \rangle fwi-len-distinct[of v c n 0 k M] obtain xs
where xs:
      FWI M n k (v c) \theta = len M (v c) \theta  xs set xs \subseteq \{\theta..n\}
      0 \notin set \ xs \ v \ c \notin set \ xs
      unfolding FWI-def by force
    with surj-on \langle v | c \leq n \rangle show ?case unfolding xs(1)
      \mathbf{by} - (rule\ DBM-val-bounded-len'1[OF*];\ auto)
    case (4 c1 c2)
    with \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle M | k | k \geq 0 \rangle fwi-len-distinct[of v c1 n v c2 k M] obtain
xs where xs:
      FWI M n k (v c1) (v c2) = len M (v c1) (v c2) xs set xs \subseteq \{0..n\}
      v \ c1 \notin set \ xs \ v \ c2 \notin set \ xs \ distinct \ xs
      unfolding FWI-def by force
    with surj-on \langle v \ c1 \le n \rangle \langle v \ c2 \le n \rangle show ?case
     unfolding xs(1) by - (rule DBM-val-bounded-len'3[OF *]; auto dest:
distinct-cnt[of - \theta])
  qed
  then show u \in [FWI\ M\ n\ k]_{v,n} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by simp
qed
end
```

3 DBM Operations

```
theory DBM-Operations
imports
DBM-Basics
begin
```

3.1 Auxiliary

```
lemmas [trans] = finite-subset
lemma finite-vimageI2: finite (h - F) if finite F inj-on h \{x. h x \in F\}
proof -
 have h - F = h - F \cap \{x. \ h \ x \in F\}
   by auto
  from that show ?thesis
   by(subst \langle h - F \rangle) (rule finite-vimage-IntI[of F h \{x. h x \in F\}])
qed
lemma gt-swap:
  fixes a \ b \ c :: 't :: time
 assumes c < a + b
  shows c < b + a
by (simp add: add.commute assms)
lemma le-swap:
  fixes a \ b \ c :: 't :: time
  assumes c \leq a + b
  shows c \leq b + a
\mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon add.commute\ assms)
\textbf{abbreviation} \ \mathit{clock-numbering} :: (\textit{'c} \Rightarrow \mathit{nat}) \Rightarrow \mathit{bool}
where
  clock-numbering v \equiv \forall \ c. \ v \ c > 0
lemma DBM-triv:
  u \vdash_{v,n} (\lambda i \ j. \ \infty)
  unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by (auto simp: dbm-le-def)
```

3.2 Relaxation

Relaxation of upper bound constraints on all variables. Used to compute time lapse in timed automata.

definition

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{up} :: (\textit{'t}:: linordered\text{-}cancel\text{-}ab\text{-}semigroup\text{-}add) \ DBM \Rightarrow \textit{'t} \ DBM \\ \textbf{where} \\ \textit{up} \ M \equiv \\ \lambda \ \textit{i} \ \textit{j}. \ \textit{if} \ \textit{i} > 0 \ \textit{then} \ \textit{if} \ \textit{j} = 0 \ \textit{then} \ \infty \ \textit{else} \ \textit{min} \ (\textit{dbm-add} \ (\textit{M} \ \textit{i} \ \textit{0}) \ (\textit{M} \ \textit{0} \ \textit{j})) \\ (\textit{M} \ \textit{i} \ \textit{j}) \ \textit{else} \ \textit{M} \ \textit{i} \ \textit{j} \end{array}
```

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-lt:

```
assumes dbm-entry-val\ u\ (Some\ c1)\ (Some\ c2)\ a\ a \prec b
 shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) b
 using assms
proof (cases, goal-cases)
 case 1 thus ?case by (cases, auto)
 case 2 thus ?case by (cases, auto)
qed auto
lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min2:
 assumes dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (min a b)
 shows dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) b
using dbm-entry-val-mono2[folded less-eq, OF assms] by auto
lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min3:
 assumes dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (min a b)
 shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None b
using dbm-entry-val-mono3[folded less-eq, OF assms] by auto
lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min:
 assumes dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (min a b)
 shows dbm-entry-val\ u\ (Some\ c1)\ (Some\ c2)\ b
using dbm-entry-val-mono1 [folded less-eq, OF assms] by auto
lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min3':
 assumes dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (min \ a \ b)
 shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None a
using dbm-entry-val-mono3[folded less-eq, OF assms] by auto
lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min2':
 assumes dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (min \ a \ b)
 shows dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) a
using dbm-entry-val-mono2[folded less-eq, OF assms] by auto
lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min':
 assumes dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (min a b)
 shows dbm-entry-val\ u\ (Some\ c1)\ (Some\ c2)\ a
using dbm-entry-val-mono1 [folded less-eq, OF assms] by auto
lemma DBM-up-complete': clock-numbering v \Longrightarrow u \in ([M]_{v,n})^{\uparrow} \Longrightarrow u \in
[up\ M]_{v,n}
unfolding up-def DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def zone-delay-def
proof (safe, goal-cases)
 case prems: (2 \ u \ d \ c)
```

```
hence *: dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (M 0 (v c)) by auto
 thus ?case
 proof (cases, goal-cases)
   case (1 d')
   \mathbf{have} - (u\ c + d) \le -\ u\ c\ \mathbf{using}\ \langle d \ge \theta \rangle\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{simp}
   with 1(2) have -(u c + d) \le d' by (blast intro: order.trans)
   thus ?case unfolding cval-add-def using 1 by fastforce
 \mathbf{next}
   case (2 d')
   have -(u \ c + d) \le -u \ c \ \mathbf{using} \ \langle d \ge \theta \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
   with 2(2) have -(u c + d) < d' by (blast intro: order-le-less-trans)
   thus ?case unfolding cval-add-def using 2 by fastforce
 qed auto
next
 case prems: (4 u d c1 c2)
 then have
    dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) None (M (v c1) 0) dbm-entry-val u None
(Some \ c2) \ (M \ 0 \ (v \ c2))
 by auto
 from dbm-entry-val-add-4[OF this] prems have
   dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (min (dbm-add (M (v c1) 0) (M
0 \ (v \ c2))) \ (M \ (v \ c1) \ (v \ c2)))
 by (auto split: split-min)
 with prems(1) show ?case
 by (cases min (dbm-add (M (v c1) \theta) (M \theta (v c2))) (M (v c1) (v c2)),
auto simp: cval-add-def)
qed auto
fun theLe :: ('t::time) DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't where
 theLe\ (Le\ d) = d
 theLe (Lt d) = d
 theLe \infty = 0
lemma DBM-up-sound':
 assumes clock-numbering' v n u \in [up\ M]_{v,n}
 shows u \in ([M]_{v,n})^{\uparrow}
proof -
 obtain S-Max-Le where S-Max-Le:
   S-Max-Le = \{d - u \ c \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \le n \land M \ (v \ c) \ 0 = Le \ d\}
   by auto
 obtain S-Max-Lt where S-Max-Lt:
   S-Max-Lt = \{d - u \ c \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \land M \ (v \ c) \ 0 = Lt \ d\}
   by auto
 obtain S-Min-Le where S-Min-Le:
```

```
S-Min-Le = \{-d - u \ c \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \land M \ 0 \ (v \ c) = Le \ d\}
    by auto
  obtain S-Min-Lt where S-Min-Lt:
    S-Min-Lt = \{ -d - u \ c \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \land M \ 0 \ (v \ c) = Lt \ d \}
    by auto
  have finite \{c. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \le n\} (is finite ?S)
  proof -
    have ?S \subseteq v - `\{1..n\}
      by auto
    also have finite ...
      using assms(1) by (auto intro!: finite-vimageI2 inj-onI)
    finally show ?thesis.
  qed
  then have \forall f. finite \{(c,b) \mid c \ b. 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \le n \land f \ M \ (v \ c) = b\}
by auto
  moreover have
   \forall f K. \{(c, K d) \mid c d. \ 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land f M \ (v c) = K d\}
    \subseteq \{(c,b) \mid c \ b. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \land f \ M \ (v \ c) = b\}
    by auto
  ultimately have 1:
    \forall f K. \text{ finite } \{(c,K d) \mid c d. 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land f M (v c) = K d\}
using finite-subset
    by fast
  have \forall f K. theLe o K = id \longrightarrow finite \{(c,d) \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \}
\wedge f M (v c) = K d
  proof (safe, goal-cases)
    case prems: (1 f K)
    then have (c, d) = (\lambda (c,b), (c, theLe b)) (c, K d) for c :: 'a and d
     by (simp add: pointfree-idE)
    then have
      \{(c,d) \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \le n \land f \ M \ (v \ c) = K \ d\}
     = (\lambda (c,b). (c, theLe b)) `\{(c,K d) \mid c d. 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land f M (v)\}
c) = K d
     by (force simp: split-beta)
    moreover from 1 have
      finite ((\lambda (c,b), (c, theLe b)) ' \{(c,K d) \mid c d, 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land f \}
M (v c) = K d\})
     by auto
    ultimately show ?case by auto
 qed
  then have finI:
    \bigwedge f g K. theLe o K = id \Longrightarrow finite (g `\{(c,d) \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n
\wedge f M (v c) = K d
    by auto
```

```
have
   finite ((\lambda(c,d), -d - u c) \cdot \{(c,d) \mid c d, 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land M 0 (v c)\}
c) = Le \ d\}
   by (rule finI, auto)
 moreover have
   S-Min-Le = ((\lambda(c,d). - d - u c) ` \{(c,d) \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \land M
\theta (v c) = Le d
   using S-Min-Le by auto
 ultimately have fin-min-le: finite S-Min-Le by auto
 have
   finite ((\lambda(c,d), -d - u c) \cdot \{(c,d) \mid c d, 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land M 0 (v)\}
c) = Lt \ d\}
   by (rule finI, auto)
 moreover have
   S-Min-Lt = ((\lambda(c,d), -d - u c) ' \{(c,d) \mid c d. 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land M
\theta (v c) = Lt d
   using S-Min-Lt by auto
 ultimately have fin-min-lt: finite S-Min-Lt by auto
 have finite ((\lambda(c,d), d-u c) \cdot \{(c,d) \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \land M \ (v \ c ) \}
c) 0 = Le \ d
   by (rule finI, auto)
 moreover have
    S-Max-Le = ((\lambda(c,d), d-uc) \cdot \{(c,d) \mid cd. 0 < vc \wedge vc \leq n \wedge M
(v c) \theta = Le d
   using S-Max-Le by auto
 ultimately have fin-max-le: finite S-Max-Le by auto
 have
   finite ((\lambda(c,d), d-u c) \cdot \{(c,d) \mid c \ d, \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \land M \ (v \ c) \ 0
= Lt d
   by (rule finI, auto)
 moreover have
    S-Max-Lt = ((\lambda(c,d), d-uc) \cdot \{(c,d) \mid cd. 0 < vc \wedge vc \leq n \wedge M
(v c) \theta = Lt d
   using S-Max-Lt by auto
 ultimately have fin-max-lt: finite S-Max-Lt by auto
  { fix x assume x \in S-Min-Le
   hence x \leq \theta unfolding S-Min-Le
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
     case (1 c d)
```

```
with assms have -u c \le d unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
up-def by auto
     thus ?case by (simp add: minus-le-iff)
   qed
 } note Min-Le-le-\theta = this
 have Min-Lt-le-0: x < 0 if x \in S-Min-Lt for x using that unfolding
S-Min-Lt
 proof (safe, goal-cases)
   case (1 c d)
  with assms have -u c < d unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
up-def by auto
   thus ?case by (simp add: minus-less-iff)
 qed
The following basically all use the same proof. Only the first is not com-
pletely identical but nearly identical.
 { fix l \ r \ assume \ l \in S\text{-}Min\text{-}Le \ r \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Le
   with S-Min-Le S-Max-Le have l \leq r
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
     case (1 c c' d d')
     note G1 = this
     hence *:(up\ M)\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c) = min\ (dbm-add\ (M\ (v\ c')\ 0)\ (M\ 0\ (v\ c')\ c')
(c))) (M (v c') (v c))
      using assms unfolding up-def by (auto split: split-min)
     have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) ((up M) (v c) (v c)
     using assms G1 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
by fastforce
    hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) (dbm-add (M (v c) \theta) (M
\theta((v|c))
      using dbm-entry-dbm-min' * by auto
     hence u c' - u c \le d' + d using G1 by auto
     hence u c' + (-u c - d) \le d' by (simp add: add-diff-eq diff-le-eq)
     hence -u c - d \le d' - u c' by (simp add: add.commute le-diff-eq)
     thus ?case by (metis add-uminus-conv-diff uminus-add-conv-diff)
   qed
 } note EE = this
 { fix l \ r \ assume \ l \in S\text{-}Min\text{-}Le \ r \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Le
   with S-Min-Le S-Max-Le have l \leq r
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
     case (1 c c' d d')
     note G1 = this
     hence *:(up\ M)\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c) = min\ (dbm-add\ (M\ (v\ c')\ 0)\ (M\ 0\ (v\ c')\ c')
(c))) (M (v c') (v c))
      using assms unfolding up-def by (auto split: split-min)
```

```
have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') (Some c) ((up M) (v c') (v c))
     using assms G1 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
by fastforce
    hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) (dbm-add (M (v c) 0) (M
\theta (v c))
      using dbm-entry-dbm-min' * by auto
     hence u c' - u c \le d' + d using G1 by auto
     hence u c' + (-u c - d) \le d' by (simp add: add-diff-eq diff-le-eq)
     hence -u c - d \le d' - u c' by (simp add: add.commute le-diff-eq)
     thus ?case by (metis add-uminus-conv-diff uminus-add-conv-diff)
   qed
 } note EE = this
 { fix l \ r \ assume \ l \in S\text{-}Min\text{-}Lt \ r \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Le
   with S-Min-Lt S-Max-Le have l < r
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
     case (1 c c' d d')
     note G1 = this
     hence *:(up\ M)\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c) = min\ (dbm-add\ (M\ (v\ c')\ 0)\ (M\ 0\ (v\ c')\ d)
(c) (M(v c') (v c))
      using assms unfolding up-def by (auto split: split-min)
     have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) ((up M) (v c) (v c))
     using assms G1 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
by fastforce
    hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) (dbm-add (M (v c) \theta) (M
\theta (v c))
      using dbm-entry-dbm-min' * by auto
     hence u c' - u c < d' + d using G1 by auto
    hence u c' + (-u c - d) < d' by (simp add: add-diff-eq diff-less-eq)
    hence -u c - d < d' - u c' by (simp add: add.commute less-diff-eq)
     thus ?case by (metis add-uminus-conv-diff uminus-add-conv-diff)
   qed
 } note LE = this
 { fix l \ r assume l \in S-Min-Le r \in S-Max-Lt
   with S-Min-Le S-Max-Lt have l < r
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
     case (1 c c' d d')
     note G1 = this
     hence *:(up\ M)\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c) = min\ (dbm-add\ (M\ (v\ c')\ 0)\ (M\ 0\ (v\ c')\ d)
(c))) (M (v c') (v c))
      using assms unfolding up-def by (auto split: split-min)
     have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') (Some c) ((up M) (v c') (v c))
     using assms G1 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
by fastforce
    hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) (dbm-add (M (v c) 0) (M
```

```
\theta (v c))
       using dbm-entry-dbm-min' * by auto
     hence u c' - u c < d' + d using G1 by auto
     hence u c' + (-u c - d) < d' by (simp add: add-diff-eq diff-less-eq)
     hence -u c - d < d' - u c' by (simp add: add.commute less-diff-eq)
     thus ?case by (metis add-uminus-conv-diff uminus-add-conv-diff)
   qed
 } note EL = this
 { fix l \ r \ assume \ l \in S\text{-}Min\text{-}Lt \ r \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Lt
   with S-Min-Lt S-Max-Lt have l < r
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
     case (1 c c' d d')
     note G1 = this
     hence *:(up\ M)\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c) = min\ (dbm-add\ (M\ (v\ c')\ 0)\ (M\ 0\ (v\ c')\ c')
(c) (M(v c') (v c))
       using assms unfolding up-def by (auto split: split-min)
     have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) ((up M) (v c) (v c)
     using assms G1 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
by fastforce
     hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) (dbm-add (M (v c) \theta) (M
\theta (v c))
       using dbm-entry-dbm-min' * by auto
     hence u c' - u c < d' + d using G1 by auto
     hence u c' + (-u c - d) < d' by (simp add: add-diff-eq diff-less-eq)
     hence -u c - d < d' - u c' by (simp add: add.commute less-diff-eq)
     thus ?case by (metis add-uminus-conv-diff uminus-add-conv-diff)
   qed
 } note LL = this
 obtain m where m: \forall t \in S-Min-Le. m \geq t \forall t \in S-Min-Lt. m > t
   \forall t \in S-Max-Le. m \leq t \ \forall t \in S-Max-Lt. m < t \ m \leq 0
 proof -
   assume m:(\bigwedge m. \ \forall \ t \in S\text{-}Min\text{-}Le. \ t < m \Longrightarrow
        \forall \ t \in S\text{-}Min\text{-}Lt. \ t < m \Longrightarrow \forall \ t \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Le. \ m \leq t \Longrightarrow \forall \ t \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Lt.
m < t \Longrightarrow m \le 0 \Longrightarrow thesis
   let ?min-le = Max S-Min-Le
   let ?min-lt = Max S-Min-Lt
   let ?max-le = Min S-Max-Le
   let ?max-lt = Min S-Max-Lt
   show thesis
   proof (cases S-Min-Le = \{\} \land S-Min-Lt = \{\})
     case True
     note T = this
     show thesis
     proof (cases S-Max-Le = \{\} \land S-Max-Lt = \{\})
```

```
case True
      let ?d' = 0 :: 't :: time
      show thesis using True T by (intro m[of ?d']) auto
     next
      case False
      let ?d =
        if S-Max-Le \neq {}
         then if S-Max-Lt \neq {} then min ?max-lt ?max-le else ?max-le
         else ?max-lt
      obtain a :: 'b where a : a < 0 using non-trivial-neg by auto
      let ?d' = min \ \theta \ (?d + a)
      { fix x assume x \in S-Max-Le
        with fin-max-le a have min 0 (Min S-Max-Le + a) \leq x
            by (metis Min-le add-le-same-cancel1 le-less-trans less-imp-le
min.cobounded2 not-less)
        then have min \theta (Min S-Max-Le + a) \leq x by auto
      } note 1 = this
      { fix x assume x: x \in S-Max-Lt
       have min \theta (min (Min S-Max-Lt) (Min S-Max-Le) + a) < ?max-lt
       by (meson a add-less-same-cancel min.cobounded min.strict-cobounded 12
order.strict-trans2)
        also from fin-max-lt x have \dots \leq x by auto
       finally have min \theta (min (Min S-Max-Lt) (Min S-Max-Le) + a) <
x .
      } note 2 = this
      { fix x assume x: x \in S-Max-Le
       have min\ 0\ (min\ (Min\ S-Max-Lt)\ (Min\ S-Max-Le) + a) \le ?max-le
         by (metis le-add-same-cancel1 linear not-le a min-le-iff-disj)
        also from fin-max-le x have \dots \leq x by auto
       finally have min 0 (min (Min S-Max-Lt) (Min S-Max-Le) + a) \leq
x .
      } note \beta = this
      show thesis using False T a 1 2 3
        apply (intro m[of ?d'])
        apply simp-all
     apply (metis Min.coboundedI add-less-same-cancel1 dual-order.strict-trans2
fin-max-lt
             min.boundedE not-le)
        done
     qed
   next
     case False
     note F = this
     show thesis
```

```
proof (cases\ S-Max-Le = \{\} \land S-Max-Lt = \{\})
      case True
      let ?d' = 0 :: 't :: time
     show thesis using True Min-Le-le-0 Min-Lt-le-0 by (intro m[of ?d'])
auto
     next
      case False
      let ?r =
        if S-Max-Le \neq {}
         then if S-Max-Lt \neq {} then min ?max-lt ?max-le else ?max-le
         else ?max-lt
      let ?l =
        if S-Min-Le \neq \{\}
         then if S-Min-Lt \neq {} then max ?min-lt ?min-le else ?min-le
         else ?min-lt
      have 1: x \leq max ?min-lt ?min-le x \leq ?min-le if x \in S-Min-Le for x
        using that fin-min-le by (simp add: max.coboundedI2)+
        fix x y assume x: x \in S-Max-Le y \in S-Min-Lt
        then have S-Min-Lt \neq \{\} by auto
      from LE[OF\ Max-in[OF\ fin-min-lt],\ OF\ this,\ OF\ x(1)] have ?min-lt
\leq x by auto
      } note 3 = this
      have 4: ?min-le \leq x if x \in S-Max-Le y \in S-Min-Le for x y
        using EE[OF Max-in[OF fin-min-le], OF - that(1)] that by auto
        fix x y assume x: x \in S-Max-Lt y \in S-Min-Lt
        then have S-Min-Lt \neq \{\} by auto
      from LL[OF\ Max-in[OF\ fin-min-lt],\ OF\ this,\ OF\ x(1)] have ?min-lt
< x by auto
      } note 5 = this
        fix x y assume x: x \in S-Max-Lt y \in S-Min-Le
        then have S-Min-Le \neq \{\} by auto
      from EL[OF\ Max-in[OF\ fin-min-le],\ OF\ this,\ OF\ x(1)] have ?min-le
< x by auto
      } note \theta = this
        fix x y assume x: y \in S-Min-Le
        then have S-Min-Le \neq \{\} by auto
```

```
from Min-Le-le-0 [OF Max-in [OF fin-min-le], OF this] have ?min-le
\leq \theta by auto
       } note 7 = this
         fix x y assume x: y \in S-Min-Lt
         then have S-Min-Lt \neq \{\} by auto
        from \mathit{Min\text{-}Lt\text{-}le\text{-}0} [OF \mathit{Max\text{-}in} [OF \mathit{fin\text{-}min\text{-}lt}], OF \mathit{this}] have \mathit{?min\text{-}lt}
< \theta ? min-lt \le \theta  by auto
       } note 8 = this
       show thesis
       proof (cases ?l < ?r)
         case False
         then have *: S-Max-Le \neq \{\}
         proof (safe, goal-cases)
           case 1
            with \langle \neg (S\text{-}Max\text{-}Le = \{\}) \rangle obtain y where
y:y \in S-Max-Lt by auto
           note 1 = 1 this
           { fix x y assume A: x \in S-Min-Le y \in S-Max-Lt
            with EL[OF Max-in[OF fin-min-le] Min-in[OF fin-max-lt]]
            have Max S-Min-Le < Min S-Max-Lt by auto
           } note ** = this
           { fix x y assume A: x \in S-Min-Lt y \in S-Max-Lt
            with LL[OF Max-in[OF fin-min-lt] Min-in[OF fin-max-lt]]
            have Max S-Min-Lt < Min S-Max-Lt by auto
           } note *** = this
           show ?case
           proof (cases S-Min-Le \neq \{\})
            case True
            note T = this
            show ?thesis
            proof (cases S-Min-Lt \neq {})
              case True
              then show False using 1 T True ** *** by auto
              case False with 1 T ** show False by auto
            qed
          \mathbf{next}
            case False
            with 1 False *** \langle \neg (S\text{-}Min\text{-}Le = \{\}) \wedge S\text{-}Min\text{-}Lt = \{\}) \rangle show
?thesis by auto
           qed
         qed
         { fix x y assume A: x \in S-Min-Lt y \in S-Max-Lt
```

```
with LL[OF\ Max-in[OF\ fin-min-lt]\ Min-in[OF\ fin-max-lt]]
         have Max S-Min-Lt < Min S-Max-Lt by auto
        } note *** = this
        { fix x y assume A: x \in S-Min-Lt y \in S-Max-Le
          with LE[OF Max-in[OF fin-min-lt] Min-in[OF fin-max-le]]
         have Max S-Min-Lt < Min S-Max-Le by auto
        } note **** = this
        from F False have **: S-Min-Le \neq {}
        proof (safe, goal-cases)
          case (1 x)
         show ?case
         proof (cases S-Max-Le \neq \{\})
           {f case}\ True
           note T = this
           show ?thesis
           proof (cases S-Max-Lt \neq \{\})
             case True
             then show x \in \{\} using 1 T True **** by auto
             case False with 1 T **** show x \in \{\} by auto
           qed
         \mathbf{next}
           case False
           with 1 False *** \langle \neg (S\text{-}Max\text{-}Le = \{\}) \wedge S\text{-}Max\text{-}Lt = \{\}) \rangle show
?thesis by auto
         qed
        \mathbf{qed}
        {
         fix x assume x: x \in S-Min-Lt
               then have x \leq ?min-lt using fin-min-lt by (simp\ add:
max.coboundedI2)
         also have ?min-lt < ?min-le
          proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases)
           case 1
           with x ** have 1: ?l = ?min-lt by auto
           have 2: ?min-lt < ?max-le using * ****[OF x] by auto
           show False
           proof (cases S-Max-Lt = \{\})
             case False
             then have ?min-lt < ?max-lt using * ***[OF x] by auto
             with 1 2 have ?l < ?r by auto
             with \langle \neg ?l < ?r \rangle show False by auto
           next
             case True
```

```
with 1 2 have ?l < ?r by auto
            with \langle \neg ?l < ?r \rangle show False by auto
           qed
         \mathbf{qed}
       finally have x < max ?min-lt ?min-le by (simp add: max.strict-coboundedI2)
        } note 2 = this
        show thesis using F False 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 * ** by ((intro m[of]
?l]), auto)
      next
        case True
        then obtain d where d: ?l < d \ d < ?r using dense by auto
        let ?d' = min \ \theta \ d
        {
         fix t assume t \in S-Min-Le
         then have t \leq ?l using 1 by auto
         with d have t \leq d by auto
        moreover {
         fix t assume t: t \in S-Min-Lt
          then have t \leq max?min-lt ?min-le using fin-min-lt by (simp
add: max.coboundedI1)
         with t Min-Lt-le-0 have t \leq ?l using fin-min-lt by auto
         with d have t < d by auto
        }
        moreover {
         fix t assume t: t \in S-Max-Le
          then have min ?max-lt ?max-le \leq t using fin-max-le by (simp
add: min.coboundedI2)
         then have ?r \le t using fin-max-le t by auto
         with d have d \leq t by auto
         then have min \ 0 \ d \le t  by (simp \ add: min.coboundedI2)
        moreover {
         fix t assume t: t \in S-Max-Lt
          then have min ?max-lt ?max-le \leq t using fin-max-lt by (simp
add: min.coboundedI1)
         then have ?r \le t using fin-max-lt t by auto
         with d have d < t by auto
         then have min \ 0 \ d < t \ by \ (simp \ add: min.strict-coboundedI2)
       ultimately show thesis using Min-Le-le-0 Min-Lt-le-0 by ((intro
m[of ?d']), auto)
      qed
     qed
```

```
qed
 qed
 obtain u' where u' = (u \oplus m) by blast
 hence u': u = (u' \oplus (-m)) unfolding cval-add-def by force
 have DBM-val-bounded v u' M n unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
 proof (safe, goal-cases)
    case 1 with assms(1,2) show ?case unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def
DBM-val-bounded-def up-def by auto
 next
   case (3 c)
   thus ?case
   proof (cases M (v c) \theta, goal-cases)
    case (1 x1)
    hence m \le x1 - u \ c \ using \ m(3) \ S-Max-Le assms by auto
    hence u \ c + m \le x1 by (simp add: add.commute le-diff-eq)
    thus ?case using u' 1(2) unfolding cval-add-def by auto
   next
    case (2 x2)
    hence m < x^2 - u c using m(4) S-Max-Lt assms by auto
     hence u \ c + m < x2 by (metis add-less-cancel-left diff-add-cancel
gt-swap)
    thus ?case using u' 2(2) unfolding cval-add-def by auto
   next
    case 3 thus ?case by auto
   qed
 \mathbf{next}
   case (2 c) thus ?case
   proof (cases M \ \theta \ (v \ c), goal-cases)
    case (1 x1)
    hence -x1 - u \ c \le m \ using \ m(1) S-Min-Le assms by auto
    hence -u \ c - m \le x1 using diff-le-eq neg-le-iff-le by fastforce
    thus ?case using u' 1(2) unfolding cval-add-def by auto
   next
    case (2 x2)
    hence -x^2 - u c < m \text{ using } m(2) \text{ S-Min-Lt assms by auto}
    hence -u c - m < x2 using diff-less-eq neq-less-iff-less by fastforce
    thus ?case using u' 2(2) unfolding cval-add-def by auto
   next
    case 3 thus ?case by auto
   qed
 next
   case (4 c1 c2)
   from assms have v c1 > 0 v c2 \neq 0 by auto
   then have B: (up\ M)\ (v\ c1)\ (v\ c2) = min\ (dbm-add\ (M\ (v\ c1)\ 0)\ (M
```

```
0 \ (v \ c2))) \ (M \ (v \ c1) \ (v \ c2))
    unfolding up-def by simp
   show ?case
   proof (cases (dbm-add (M (v c1) \theta) (M \theta (v c2))) < (M (v c1) (v
(c2)))
    case False
    with B have (up\ M)\ (v\ c1)\ (v\ c2) = M\ (v\ c1)\ (v\ c2) by (auto\ split:
split-min)
    with assms 4 have
      dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M (v c1) (v c2))
      unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by
fastforce
    thus ?thesis using u' by cases (auto simp add: cval-add-def)
   next
    case True
    with B have (up\ M)\ (v\ c1)\ (v\ c2) = dbm-add\ (M\ (v\ c1)\ 0)\ (M\ 0\ (v\ c2))
(c2)) by (auto split: split-min)
    with assms 4 have
      dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (dbm-add (M (v c1) 0) (M 0
(v \ c2)))
      unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by
fastforce
    with True dbm-entry-dbm-lt have
      dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M (v c1) (v c2))
      unfolding less by fast
    thus ?thesis using u' by cases (auto simp add: cval-add-def)
   qed
 qed
 with m(5) u' show ?thesis
   unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def zone-delay-def by fastforce
qed
3.3
      Intersection
fun And :: ('t :: {linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add}) DBM \Rightarrow 't DBM \Rightarrow 't
DBM where
 And M1 M2 = (\lambda i j. min (M1 i j) (M2 i j))
lemma DBM-and-complete:
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u M1 n DBM-val-bounded v u M2 n
 shows DBM-val-bounded v u (And M1 M2) n
 using assms unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by (auto simp: min-def)
```

```
lemma DBM-and-sound1:
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u (And M1 M2) n
 shows DBM-val-bounded v u M1 n
 using assms unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
 apply safe
    apply (simp add: less-eq[symmetric]; fail)
   apply (auto 4 3 intro: dbm-entry-val-mono[folded less-eq])
 done
lemma DBM-and-sound2:
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u (And M1 M2) n
 shows DBM-val-bounded v u M2 n
 using assms unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
 apply safe
    apply (simp add: less-eq[symmetric]; fail)
   apply (auto 4 3 intro: dbm-entry-val-mono[folded less-eq])
 done
lemma And-correct:
 [M1]_{v,n} \cap [M2]_{v,n} = [And \ M1 \ M2]_{v,n}
 using DBM-and-sound1 DBM-and-sound2 DBM-and-complete unfolding
DBM-zone-repr-def by blast
3.4
      Variable Reset
 DBM\text{-}reset :: ('t :: time) \ DBM \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't \ DBM \Rightarrow bool
where
 DBM-reset M n k d M'
   (\forall \ j \leq n. \ 0 < j \land k \neq j \longrightarrow M' \ k \ j = \ \infty \land M' \ j \ k = \ \infty) \land M' \ k \ 0 =
Le \ d \wedge M' \ 0 \ k = Le \ (-d)
   \wedge M' k k = M k k
   \land (\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n.
      i \neq k \land j \neq k \longrightarrow M' \ i \ j = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ i \ k) \ (M \ k \ j)) \ (M \ i \ j)
lemma DBM-reset-mono:
 assumes DBM-reset M n k d M' i \le n j \le n i \ne k j \ne k
 shows M' i j \leq M i j
using assms unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
lemma DBM-reset-len-mono:
 assumes DBM-reset M n k d M' k \notin set xs i \neq k j \neq k set (i \# j \# xs)
\subseteq \{\theta..n\}
```

```
shows len M' i j xs \leq len M i j xs
using assms by (induction xs arbitrary: i) (auto intro: add-mono DBM-reset-mono)
lemma DBM-reset-neg-cycle-preservation:
 assumes DBM-reset M n k d M' len M i i xs < Le \ 0 \ set \ (k \# i \# xs) \subseteq
\{\theta..n\}
 shows \exists j. \exists ys. set (j \# ys) \subseteq \{0..n\} \land len M'jjys < Le 0
proof (cases xs = [])
 case Nil: True
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases k = i)
   {f case}\ {\it True}
   with Nil assms have len M' i i | \cdot | < Le \ 0 unfolding DBM-reset-def by
   moreover from assms have set (i \# []) \subseteq \{0..n\} by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 next
   case False
   with Nil assms DBM-reset-mono have len M' i i [] < Le 0 by fastforce
   moreover from assms have set (i \# []) \subseteq \{0..n\} by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 qed
next
 case False
 with assms obtain j ys where cycle:
   len M j j ys < Le \ 0 distinct (j \# ys) j \in set (i \# xs) set ys \subseteq set xs
 by (metis negative-len-shortest neutral)
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases \ k \in set \ (j \# ys))
   case False
     with cycle assms have len M' j j ys \leq len M j j ys by - (rule
DBM-reset-len-mono, auto)
   moreover from cycle assms have set (j \# ys) \subseteq \{0..n\} by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using cycle(1) by fastforce
   case True
   then obtain l where l:(l, k) \in set (arcs j j ys)
   proof (cases j = k, goal-cases)
     case True
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases\ ys = [])
      case T: True
      with True show ?thesis by (auto intro: that)
     next
```

```
case False
    then obtain z zs where ys = zs @ [z] by (metis append-butlast-last-id)
      from arcs-decomp[OF this] True show ?thesis by (auto intro: that)
     qed
   next
     case False
   from arcs-set-elem2[OF False True] show ?thesis by (blast intro: that)
   qed
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases\ ys = [])
     case False
      from cycle-rotate-2'[OF False l, of M] cycle(1) obtain zs where
rotated:
      len\ M\ l\ l\ (k\ \#\ zs) < Le\ 0\ set\ (l\ \#\ k\ \#\ zs) = set\ (j\ \#\ ys)\ 1\ + \ length
zs = length ys
     by auto
     with length-eq-distinct [OF\ this(2)[symmetric]\ cycle(2)] have distinct
(l \# k \# zs) by auto
     note rotated = rotated(1,2) this
     from this(2) cycle(3,4) assms(3) have n-bound: set (l \# k \# zs) \subseteq
\{\theta..n\} by auto
     then have l \leq n by auto
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases zs)
      case Nil
      with rotated have M l k + M k l < Le 0 l \neq k by auto
     with assms(1) \langle l \leq n \rangle have M' l l < Le 0 unfolding DBM-reset-def
add min-def by auto
      with \langle l \leq n \rangle have len M' l l [] < Le 0 set [l] \subseteq \{0..n\} by auto
      then show ?thesis by blast
     next
      case (Cons w ws)
      with n-bound have *: set (w \# l \# ws) \subseteq \{0..n\} by auto
      from Cons n-bound rotated(3) have w \le n \ w \ne k \ l \ne k by auto
      with assms(1) \langle l \leq n \rangle have
        M'lw \leq Mlk + Mkw
        unfolding DBM-reset-def add min-def by auto
      moreover from Cons rotated assms * have
        len M'w l ws \leq len Mw l ws
        \mathbf{by} - (rule\ DBM-reset-len-mono,\ auto)
      ultimately have
        len M' l l zs \leq len M l l (k \# zs)
      using Cons by (auto intro: add-mono simp add: add.assoc[symmetric])
      with n-bound rotated(1) show ?thesis by fastforce
```

```
qed
   next
     case T: True
     with True cycle have M j j < Le \ 0 j = k by auto
     with assms(1) have len M' k k | | < Le 0 unfolding DBM-reset-def
by simp
     moreover from assms(3) have set (k \# []) \subseteq \{0..n\} by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis by blast
   qed
 qed
qed
Implementation of DBM reset
definition
 reset :: ('t::\{linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add, uminus\}) DBM \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow
nat \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't DBM
where
 reset M n k d =
   (\lambda i j.
       if i = k \wedge j = 0 then Le d
       else if i = 0 \land j = k then Le (-d)
       else if i = k \wedge j \neq k then \infty
       else if i \neq k \land j = k then \infty
       else if i = k \wedge j = k then M k k
       else min (dbm-add (M i k) (M k j)) (M i j)
fun
 ('t::\{linordered\text{-}cancel\text{-}ab\text{-}semigroup\text{-}add,uminus\})\ DBM
 \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'c list \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't DBM
where
 reset' M n [] v d = M |
 reset' M n (c \# cs) v d = reset (reset' M n cs v d) n (v c) d
lemma DBM-reset-reset:
  0 < k \Longrightarrow k \le n \Longrightarrow DBM\text{-reset } M \ n \ k \ d \ (reset \ M \ n \ k \ d)
unfolding DBM-reset-def by (auto simp: reset-def)
lemma DBM-reset-complete:
  assumes clock-numbering' v n v c \leq n DBM-reset M n (v c) d M'
DBM-val-bounded v u M n
 shows DBM-val-bounded v (u(c := d)) M' n
unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def using assms
```

```
proof (safe, goal-cases)
 case 1
 then have *: M \ 0 \ 0 \ge Le \ 0 unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def less-eq by
 from 1 have **: M' \circ 0 = min (M \circ (v \circ c) + M (v \circ c) \circ 0) (M \circ 0)
   unfolding DBM-reset-def add by auto
 show ?case
 proof (cases M \theta (v c) + M (v c) \theta \le M \theta \theta)
   case False
   with * ** show ?thesis unfolding min-def less-eq by auto
 next
   case True
   have dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) (M (v c) 0 + M 0 (v c))
     by (metis\ DBM-val-bounded-def\ assms(2,4)\ dbm-entry-val-add-4\ add)
   then have M(v c) \theta + M \theta(v c) \ge Le \theta
     unfolding less-eq dbm-le-def by (cases M(v c) 0 + M 0(v c)) auto
   with True ** have M' \ 0 \ 0 \ge Le \ 0 by (simp add: comm)
   then show ?thesis unfolding less-eq.
 qed
next
 case (2 c')
 show ?case
 proof (cases c = c')
   case False
   hence F: v \ c' \neq v \ c \ using 2 \ by metis
   hence *:M' \ \theta \ (v \ c') = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ \theta \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c'))) \ (M
\theta (v c')
   using F 2 unfolding DBM-reset-def by simp
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases dbm-add (M 0 (v c)) (M (v c) (v c')) < M 0 (v c'))
     case False
     with * have M' \theta (v c') = M \theta (v c') by (auto split: split-min)
     hence dbm-entry-val u None (Some c') (M' 0 (v c'))
     using 2 unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
     thus ?thesis using F by cases fastforce+
   next
     case True
     with * have **:M' \ \theta \ (v \ c') = dbm-add \ (M \ \theta \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c'))
by (auto split: split-min)
     from 2 have ***:dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (M 0 (v c))
      dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') (M (v c) (v c'))
      unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
     show ?thesis
     proof -
```

```
note ***
      moreover have dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ (u(c:=d))\ None\ (Some\ c')\ (dbm\text{-}add
(Le \ d1) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c')))
        if M \theta (v c) = Le d1
          and dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') (M (v c) (v c'))
          and -u c \leq d1
        for d1 :: 'b
      proof -
        note G1 = that
        from G1(2) show ?thesis
        proof (cases, goal-cases)
          case (1 d')
          from \langle u \ c - u \ c' \le d' \rangle G1(3) have -u \ c' \le d1 + d'
          by (metis diff-minus-eq-add less-diff-eq less-le-trans minus-diff-eq
minus-le-iff not-le)
          thus ?case using 1 \langle c \neq c' \rangle by fastforce
        next
          case (2 d')
           from this(2) G1(3) have u c - u c' - u c < d1 + d' using
add-le-less-mono by fastforce
          hence -u c' < d1 + d' by simp
          thus ?case using 2 \langle c \neq c' \rangle by fastforce
        next
          case (3) thus ?case by auto
        qed
      qed
      moreover have dbm-entry-val (u(c := d)) None (Some c') (dbm-add
(Lt \ d2) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c')))
        if M \theta (v c) = Lt d2
          and dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') (M (v c) (v c'))
          and -u c < d2
        for d2 :: 'b
      proof -
        note G2 = that
        from this(2) show ?thesis
        proof (cases, goal-cases)
          case (1 d')
           from this(2) G2(3) have u c - u c' - u c < d' + d2 using
add-le-less-mono by fastforce
          hence -u c' < d' + d2 by simp
          hence -u c' < d2 + d'
       by (metis (no-types) diff-0-right diff-minus-eq-add minus-add-distrib
minus-diff-eq)
          thus ?case using 1 \langle c \neq c' \rangle by fastforce
```

```
next
          case (2 d')
           from this(2) G2(3) have u c - u c' - u c < d2 + d' using
add-strict-mono by fastforce
         hence -u c' < d2 + d' by simp
          thus ?case using 2 \langle c \neq c' \rangle by fastforce
          case (3) thus ?case by auto
        qed
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis
        unfolding ** by (cases, auto)
     qed
   qed
 next
   case True
   with 2 show ?thesis unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
 qed
next
 case (3 c')
 show ?case
 proof (cases c = c')
   case False
   hence F: v \ c' \neq v \ c \ using \ 3 \ by \ metis
   hence *:M'(v c') \theta = min(dbm-add(M(v c')(v c))(M(v c) \theta))(M(v c') \theta)
(v \ c') \ \theta)
   using F 3 unfolding DBM-reset-def by simp
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases dbm-add (M (v c') (v c)) (M (v c) \theta) < M (v c') \theta)
     case False
     with * have M'(v c') \theta = M(v c') \theta by (auto split: split-min)
    hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (M' (v c') \theta)
     using 3 unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
     thus ?thesis using F by cases fastforce+
   next
     case True
     with * have **:M'(v c') \theta = dbm - add (M(v c')(v c)) (M(v c) \theta)
by (auto split: split-min)
    from 3 have ***:dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c) (M (v c) (v v)
      dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (M (v c) \theta)
      unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
   thus ?thesis
   proof -
    note ***
```

```
moreover have dbm-entry-val (u(c := d)) (Some c') None (dbm-add
(Le \ d1) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ \theta))
      if M (v c') (v c) = Le \ d1
        and dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (M (v c) \theta)
        and u c' - u c \leq d1
      for d1 :: 'b
     proof -
      note G1 = that
      from G1(2) show ?thesis
      proof (cases, goal-cases)
        case (1 d')
      from this(2) G1(3) have u c' \leq d1 + d' using ordered-ab-semigroup-add-class.add-mono
        by fastforce
        thus ?case using 1 \langle c \neq c' \rangle by fastforce
      next
        case (2 d')
          from this(2) G1(3) have u c + u c' - u c < d1 + d' using
add-le-less-mono by fastforce
        hence u c' < d1 + d' by simp
        thus ?case using 2 \langle c \neq c' \rangle by fastforce
      next
        case (3) thus ?case by auto
      qed
     moreover have dbm-entry-val (u(c := d)) (Some c') None (dbm-add
(Lt \ d1) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ \theta))
      if M (v c') (v c) = Lt d1
        and dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (M (v c) \theta)
        and u c' - u c < d1
      for d1 :: 'b
     proof -
      note G2 = that
      from that(2) show ?thesis
      proof (cases, goal-cases)
        case (1 d')
          from this(2) G2(3) have u c + u c' - u c < d' + d1 using
add-le-less-mono by fastforce
        hence u c' < d' + d1 by simp
        hence u c' < d1 + d'
       by (metis (no-types) diff-0-right diff-minus-eq-add minus-add-distrib
minus-diff-eq)
        thus ?case using 1 \langle c \neq c' \rangle by fastforce
      \mathbf{next}
        case (2 d')
```

```
from this(2) G2(3) have u c + u c' - u c < d1 + d' using
add-strict-mono by fastforce
       hence u c' < d1 + d' by simp
       thus ?case using 2 \langle c \neq c' \rangle by fastforce
      next
       case 3 thus ?case by auto
      qed
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
      unfolding ** by (cases, auto)
    qed
   qed
 \mathbf{next}
   case True
   with 3 show ?thesis unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
 qed
next
 case (4 c1 c2)
 show ?case
 proof (cases \ c = c1)
   case False
   note F1 = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \ c = c2)
    case False
     with F1 4 have F: v c \neq v c1 v c \neq v c2 v c1 \neq 0 v c2 \neq 0 by
force+
    hence *:M'(v c1)(v c2) = min(dbm-add(M(v c1)(v c))(M(v c))
(v \ c2))) \ (M \ (v \ c1) \ (v \ c2))
    using 4 unfolding DBM-reset-def by simp
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases dbm-add (M (v c1) (v c)) (M (v c) (v c2)) < M (v c1)
(v \ c2)
      case False
       with * have M'(v c1)(v c2) = M(v c1)(v c2) by (auto split:
split-min)
      hence dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M' (v c1) (v c2))
      using 4 unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
      thus ?thesis using F by cases fastforce+
    next
      case True
      with * have **:M'(v c1)(v c2) = dbm-add(M(v c1)(v c))(M
(v \ c) \ (v \ c2)) by (auto split: split-min)
      from 4 have ***:dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c) (M (v c1) (v
```

```
c))
         dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c2) (M (v c) (v c2)) unfolding
DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
       show ?thesis
       proof -
        note ***
         moreover have dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ (u(c:=d))\ (Some\ c1)\ (Some\ c2)
(dbm\text{-}add\ (Le\ d1)\ (M\ (v\ c)\ (v\ c2)))
          if M(v c1)(v c) = Le d1
            and dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c2) (M (v c) (v c2))
            and u c1 - u c \leq d1
          for d1 :: 'b
        proof -
          note G1 = that
          from G1(2) show ?thesis
          proof (cases, goal-cases)
            case (1 d')
            from \langle u \ c - u \ c2 \le d' \rangle \langle u \ c1 - u \ c \le d1 \rangle have u \ c1 - u \ c2
< d1 + d'
                    by (metis\ (no-types)\ ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1)
add-le-cancel-right
                                 add-left-mono diff-add-cancel dual-order.refl
dual-order.trans)
            thus ?case using 1(1) \langle c \neq c1 \rangle \langle c \neq c2 \rangle by fastforce
            case (2 d')
             from add-less-le-mono[OF \langle u \ c - u \ c2 < d' \rangle \langle u \ c1 - u \ c \le d' \rangle
d1) have
              -u c2 + u c1 < d' + d1 by simp
            hence u c1 - u c2 < d1 + d' by (simp add: add.commute)
            thus ?case using 2 \langle c \neq c1 \rangle \langle c \neq c2 \rangle by fastforce
            case (3) thus ?case by auto
          qed
        qed
         moreover have dbm-entry-val (u(c := d)) (Some \ c1) (Some \ c2)
(dbm\text{-}add\ (Lt\ d2)\ (M\ (v\ c)\ (v\ c2)))
          if M(v c1)(v c) = Lt d2
            and dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c2) (M (v c) (v c2))
            and u c1 - u c < d2
          for d2 :: 'b
         proof -
          note G2 = that
          from G2(2) show ?thesis
```

```
proof (cases, goal-cases)
           case (1 d')
             with add-less-le-mono[OF G2(3) this(2)] \langle c \neq c1 \rangle \langle c \neq c2 \rangle
show ?case
             by auto
         next
           case (2 d')
             with add-strict-mono[OF this(2) G2(3)] \langle c \neq c1 \rangle \langle c \neq c2 \rangle
show ?case
             by (auto simp: add.commute)
           case (3) thus ?case by auto
          qed
        qed
        ultimately show ?thesis
         unfolding ** by (cases, auto)
      \mathbf{qed}
    qed
   next
     case True
    with F1 4 have F: v c \neq v c1 v c1 \neq 0 v c2 \neq 0 by force+
     thus ?thesis using 4 True unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
   qed
 next
   case True
   note T1 = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \ c = c2)
    case False
     with T1 4 have F: v c \neq v c2 v c1 \neq 0 v c2 \neq 0 by force+
     thus ?thesis using 4 True unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
   next
     case True
     then have *: M'(v c1)(v c1) = M(v c1)(v c1)
     using T1 4 unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
     from 4 True T1 have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M (v
c1) (v c2)
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
     then show ?thesis by (cases rule: dbm-entry-val.cases, auto simp: *
True[symmetric] T1)
   qed
 qed
qed
```

```
lemma DBM-reset-sound-empty:
 assumes clock-numbering' v n v c \le n DBM-reset M n (v c) d M'
         \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded v u M' n
 shows \neg DBM-val-bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n
using assms DBM-reset-complete by metis
lemma DBM-reset-diag-preservation:
 \forall k \leq n. \ M' \ k \ k \leq 0 \ \text{if} \ \forall k \leq n. \ M \ k \ k \leq 0 \ DBM\text{-reset} \ M \ n \ i \ d \ M'
proof safe
 \mathbf{fix} \ k :: nat
 assume k \leq n
 with that show M' k k \leq \theta
   by (cases k = i; cases k = 0)
     (auto simp add: DBM-reset-def less[symmetric] neutral split: split-min)
qed
lemma FW-diag-preservation:
 \forall k \leq n. \ M \ k \ k \leq 0 \Longrightarrow \forall k \leq n. \ (FW \ M \ n) \ k \ k \leq 0
proof clarify
 fix k assume A: \forall k \leq n. M k k \leq 0 k \leq n
 then have M k k \leq \theta by auto
 with fw-mono[of k n k M n] A show FW M n k k \leq 0 by auto
qed
lemma DBM-reset-not-cyc-free-preservation:
 assumes \neg cyc-free M \ n \ DBM-reset M \ n \ k \ d \ M' \ k \le n
 shows \neg cyc-free M' n
proof -
 from assms(1) obtain i xs where i \leq n set xs \subseteq \{0..n\} len M i i xs <
 unfolding neutral by auto
  with DBM-reset-neg-cycle-preservation [OF assms(2) \ this(3)] assms(3)
obtain j ys where
   set (j \# ys) \subseteq \{0..n\} len M'jjys < Le 0
 then show ?thesis unfolding neutral by force
qed
lemma DBM-reset-complete-empty':
 assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering \ v \ k \le n
         DBM-reset M n k d M' \forall u . \neg DBM-val-bounded v u M n
 shows \neg DBM-val-bounded v u M' n
proof -
 from assms(5) have [M]_{v,n} = \{\} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
```

```
from empty-not-cyc-free [OF - this] have \neg cyc-free M n using assms(2)
by auto
  from DBM-reset-not-cyc-free-preservation[OF this assms(4,3)] have ¬
cyc-free M' n by auto
 then obtain i xs where i \le n set xs \subseteq \{0..n\} len M' i i xs < 0 by auto
  from DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle[OF - this assms(1)] show ?thesis by
qed
lemma DBM-reset-complete-empty:
 assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering \ v
        DBM-reset (FW\ M\ n)\ n\ (v\ c)\ d\ M'\ \forall\ u\ .\ \neg\ DBM-val-bounded v\ u
(FW M n) n
 shows \neg DBM-val-bounded v u M' n
proof -
 note A = assms
 from A(4) have [FW\ M\ n]_{v,n} = \{\} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by
 with FW-detects-empty-zone [OF A(1), of M] A(2)
 obtain i where i: i \le n FW M n i i \le Le 0 by blast
 with A(3,4) have M' i i < Le 0
 unfolding DBM-reset-def by (cases i = v \ c, auto split: split-min)
 with fw-mono[of i n i M' n] i have FW M' n i i < Le 0 by auto
 with FW-detects-empty-zone [OF A(1), of M'] A(2) i
 have [FW M' n]_{v,n} = \{\} by auto
 with FW-zone-equiv [OFA(1)] show ?thesis by (auto simp: DBM-zone-repr-def)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{DBM-reset-complete-empty1}\colon
 assumes \forall k \leq n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering \ v
        DBM-reset (FW\ M\ n)\ n\ (v\ c)\ d\ M'\ \forall\ u\ .\ \neg\ DBM-val-bounded v\ u
M n
 shows \neg DBM-val-bounded v u M' n
 from assms have [M]_{v,n} = \{\} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
 with FW-zone-equiv[OF \ assms(1)] have
   \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ (FW \ M \ n) \ n
 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
 from DBM-reset-complete-empty [OF \ assms(1-3) \ this] show ?thesis by
auto
qed
```

Lemma FW-canonical-id allows us to prove correspondences between reset and canonical, like for the two below. Can be left out for the rest because

```
of the triviality of the correspondence.
lemma DBM-reset-empty":
  assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ v \ c \le n
          DBM-reset M n (v c) d M'
  shows [M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [M']_{v,n} = \{\}
proof
  assume A: [M]_{v,n} = \{\}
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n \ unfolding \ DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def
by auto
  hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded v u M' n
  using DBM-reset-complete-empty OF \ assms(1) - assms(3,4) \ assms(2)
  thus [M']_{v,n} = \{\} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
  assume [M']_{v,n} = \{\}
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded v u M' n unfolding }DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def
by auto
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded v u M n using DBM\text{-}reset\text{-}sound\text{-}empty[OF]
assms(2-4)] by auto
  thus [M]_{v,n} = \{\} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
qed
lemma DBM-reset-empty:
  assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ v \ c \le n
          DBM-reset (FW \ M \ n) \ n \ (v \ c) \ d \ M'
  shows [FW\ M\ n]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [M']_{v,n} = \{\}
proof
  assume A: [FW \ M \ n]_{v,n} = \{\}
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ (FWMn) \ n \ unfolding \ DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def
by auto
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded v u M' n
  using DBM-reset-complete-empty[of n \ v \ M, OF \ assms(1) - assms(4)]
assms(2,3) by auto
  thus [M']_{v,n} = \{\} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
next
  assume [M']_{v,n} = \{\}
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ M' \ n \ unfolding \ DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM-val-bounded vu (FWMn) n using DBM-reset-sound-empty[OF]
assms(2-)] by auto
  thus [FW\ M\ n]_{v,n} = \{\} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
```

qed

```
lemma DBM-reset-empty':
       assumes canonical M \ n \ \forall \ k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists \ c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering'
v n v c < n
                                              DBM-reset (FW\ M\ n)\ n\ (v\ c)\ d\ M'
        shows [M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [M']_{v,n} = \{\}
using FW-canonical-id[OF assms(1)] DBM-reset-empty[OF assms(2-)] by
simp
lemma DBM-reset-sound':
              assumes clock-numbering' v n v c \leq n DBM-reset M n (v c) d M'
DBM-val-bounded v u M' n
                                             DBM-val-bounded v u'' M n
         obtains d' where DBM-val-bounded v (u(c := d')) M n
proof -
         from assms(1) have
                  \forall c. \ \theta < v \ c
                  and \forall x y. \ v \ x \leq n \land v \ y \leq n \land v \ x = v \ y \longrightarrow x = y
                  by auto
         note A = that \ assms(2-) \ this
         obtain S-Min-Le where S-Min-Le:
         S\text{-}\mathit{Min}\text{-}\mathit{Le} = \{u\ c' - d\ |\ c'\ d.\ 0 < v\ c' \land v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c') < v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c') < v\ c' \leq v\ c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c') < v\ c' \leq v\ c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c') < v\ c' \leq v\ c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c') < v\ c' \leq v\ c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c') < v\ c' \leq v\ c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (
c) = Le \ d
                                                                     \cup \{-d \mid d. \ M \ \theta \ (v \ c) = Le \ d\} by auto
         obtain S-Min-Lt where S-Min-Lt:
         S-Min-Lt = \{u \ c' - d \mid c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c') \ (v \ c') \le n \ (v \ c') \ (v \ c')
c) = Lt d
                                                                \cup \{-d \mid d. \ M \ \theta \ (v \ c) = Lt \ d\} by auto
         obtain S-Max-Le where S-Max-Le:
         S-Max-Le = \{u \ c' + d \mid c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c') \land M \ (v \ c') \}
c') = Le d}
                                                                \cup \{d \mid d. \ M \ (v \ c) \ \theta = Le \ d\} by auto
         obtain S-Max-Lt where S-Max-Lt:
         S\text{-}\mathit{Max}\text{-}\mathit{Lt} = \{u\ c' + d\ |\ c'\ d.\ 0 < v\ c' \land v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c)\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c')\ (v\ c' \land
c') = Lt d}
                                                                \cup \{d \mid d. \ M \ (v \ c) \ \theta = Lt \ d\} by auto
         have finite \{c. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n\} using A(6,7)
         proof (induction \ n)
                  case \theta
                  then have \{c. \ \theta < v \ c \land v \ c \leq \theta\} = \{\} by auto
                  then show ?case by (metis finite.emptyI)
         next
                  case (Suc \ n)
                  then have finite \{c. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n\} by auto
```

```
moreover have \{c. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq Suc \ n\} = \{c. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n\}
\cup \{c. \ v \ c = Suc \ n\} by auto
          moreover have finite \{c.\ v\ c = Suc\ n\}
          proof -
               \{ \text{fix } c \text{ assume } v c = Suc \ n \}
                 then have \{c.\ v\ c = Suc\ n\} = \{c\}\ using\ Suc.prems(2)\ by\ auto
               then show ?thesis by (cases \{c.\ v\ c = Suc\ n\} = \{\}) auto
          ultimately show ?case by auto
     then have \forall f. finite \{(c,b) \mid c \ b. 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \le n \land f \ M \ (v \ c) = b\}
by auto
     moreover have
         \forall f K. \{(c, K d) \mid c d. 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land f M (v c) = K d\}
          \subseteq \{(c,b) \mid c \ b. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \land f \ M \ (v \ c) = b\}
     by auto
     ultimately have B:
         \forall f K. \text{ finite } \{(c,K d) \mid c d. 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land f M (v c) = K d\}
          using finite-subset by fast
     have \forall f K. theLe o K = id \longrightarrow finite \{(c,d) \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \leq n \}
\wedge f M (v c) = K d
     proof (safe, goal-cases)
          case prems: (1 f K)
          then have (c, d) = (\lambda (c,b), (c, theLe b)) (c, K d) for c :: 'a and d
               by (simp add: pointfree-idE)
          then have
               \{(c,d) \mid c \ d. \ 0 < v \ c \land v \ c \le n \land f \ M \ (v \ c) = K \ d\}
               = (\lambda (c,b), (c, theLe b)) \cdot \{(c,K d) \mid c d, 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land f M (v c)\}
c) = K d
               by (force simp: split-beta)
          moreover from B have
               finite ((\lambda (c,b), (c, theLe b)) ' \{(c,K d) \mid c d, 0 < v c \land v c \leq n \land f \}
M (v c) = K d\})
               by auto
          ultimately show ?case by auto
     qed
     then have finI:
         \bigwedge f g K. theLe o K = id \Longrightarrow finite (g `\{(c',d) \mid c' d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le a)
n \wedge f M (v c') = K d
     by auto
    have finI1:
          \land f \ g \ K. \ the Le \ o \ K = id \Longrightarrow finite \ (g \ `\{(c',d) \mid c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' < v \ c' \land v \ c'
```

```
n \wedge c \neq c' \wedge f M (v c') = K d
  proof goal-cases
    case (1 f g K)
    have
      g' \{ (c',d) \mid c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land f \ M \ (v \ c') = K \ d \}
      \subseteq g ` \{(c',d) \mid c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land f \ M \ (v \ c') = K \ d\}
    by auto
    from finite-subset[OF\ this\ finI[OF\ 1,\ of\ q\ f]] show ?case.
  have \forall f. \text{ finite } \{b. f M (v c) = b\} by auto
  moreover have \forall f K. \{K d \mid d. f M (v c) = K d\} \subseteq \{b. f M (v c) = K d\}
b} by auto
  ultimately have B: \forall f K. finite \{K \ d \mid d \ f M \ (v \ c) = K \ d\} using
finite-subset by fast
  have \forall f K. theLe o K = id \longrightarrow finite \{d \mid d. f M (v c) = K d\}
  proof (safe, goal-cases)
    case prems: (1 f K)
    then have (c, d) = (\lambda (c,b), (c, theLe b)) (c, K d) for c :: 'a and d
      by (simp add: pointfree-idE)
    then have
      \{d \mid d. \ f \ M \ (v \ c) = K \ d\}
      = (\lambda \ b. \ theLe \ b) \ `\{K \ d \mid d. \ f \ M \ (v \ c) = K \ d\}
      by (force simp: split-beta)
    moreover from B have
      finite ((\lambda b. theLe \ b) \ (K \ d \mid d. f \ M \ (v \ c) = K \ d)
      by auto
    ultimately show ?case by auto
  then have C: \forall f \in K. theLe o : K = id \longrightarrow finite (g ` \{d \mid d. f \mid M \mid (v \mid c)\})
= K d) by auto
  have finI2: \land f g K. theLe \ o \ K = id \Longrightarrow finite (\{g \ d \mid d. \ f M \ (v \ c) = K
d
  proof goal-cases
    case (1 f g K)
   have \{g \ d \ | d. \ f \ M \ (v \ c) = K \ d\} = g \ `\{d \ | \ d. \ f \ M \ (v \ c) = K \ d\}  by auto
    with C 1 show ?case by auto
  qed
  { fix K :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ DBMEntry \ assume \ A: the Le \ o \ K = id
    then have
      finite ((\lambda(c,d), u c - d) \cdot \{(c',d) \mid c' d, 0 < v c' \land v c' \leq n \land c \neq c'\}
\wedge M (v c') (v c) = K d
    by (intro finI1, auto)
```

```
moreover have
```

 $\{u \ c' - d \ | c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c') \ (v \ c) = K \ d\}$ $= ((\lambda(c,d). \ u \ c - d) \ `\{(c',d) \ | \ c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c') \ (v \ c) = K \ d\})$

by auto

ultimately have finite $\{u \ c' - d \ | c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c') \ (v \ c) = K \ d\}$

by auto

moreover have finite $\{-d \mid d. M \ 0 \ (v \ c) = K \ d\}$ using A by (intro finI2, auto)

ultimately have

finite ({ $u \ c' - d \ | c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c') \ (v \ c) = K \ d$ }

$$\cup \{-d \mid d. M \theta (v c) = K d\})$$

by (auto simp: S-Min-Le)

} note fin1 = this

have fin-min-le: finite S-Min-Le unfolding S-Min-Le by (rule fin1, auto) have fin-min-lt: finite S-Min-Lt unfolding S-Min-Lt by (rule fin1, auto)

{ fix $K :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ DBMEntry \ assume \ A: the Le \ o \ K = id$ then have finite $((\lambda(c,d).\ u\ c+d)\ `\{(c',d)\ |\ c'\ d.\ 0 < v\ c' \land v\ c' \leq n \land c \neq c' \land M\ (v\ c)\ (v\ c') = K\ d\})$

by (intro finI1, auto)

moreover have

 $\{u \ c' + d \ | c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c') = K \ d\}$ $= ((\lambda(c,d). \ u \ c + d) \ `\{(c',d) \ | \ c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c') = K \ d\})$

by auto

ultimately have finite $\{u \ c' + d \ | c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c') = K \ d\}$

by auto

moreover have finite $\{d \mid d. \ M \ (v \ c) \ \theta = K \ d\}$ using A by (introfinI2, auto)

ultimately have

finite ({ $u \ c' + d \ | c' \ d. \ 0 < v \ c' \land v \ c' \le n \land c \ne c' \land M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c')$ = $K \ d$ }

$$\cup \{d \mid d. \ M \ (v \ c) \ \theta = K \ d\})$$

by (auto simp: S-Min-Le)

} note fin2 = this

have fin-max-le: finite S-Max-Le unfolding S-Max-Le by (rule fin2, auto) have fin-max-lt: finite S-Max-Lt unfolding S-Max-Lt by (rule fin2, auto)

{ fix l r assume $l \in S$ -Min-Le $r \in S$ -Max-Le then have $l \leq r$

```
unfolding S-Min-Le S-Max-Le
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
     case (1 c1 d1 c2 d2)
     with A have
      dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M' (v c1) (v c2))
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
     moreover have
      M'(v c1) (v c2) = min (dbm-add (M (v c1) (v c)) (M (v c) (v c2)))
(M (v c1) (v c2))
     using A(3,7) 1 unfolding DBM-reset-def by metis
     ultimately have
      dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (dbm-add (M (v c1) (v c)) (M
(v \ c) \ (v \ c2)))
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min' by auto
     with 1 have u c1 - u c2 \le d1 + d2 by auto
     thus ?case
       by (metis (no-types) add-diff-cancel-left diff-0-right diff-add-cancel
diff-eq-diff-less-eq)
   next
     case (2 c' d)
     with A have
      (\forall i \leq n. \ i \neq v \ c \land i > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ i \ 0 = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ i \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ i ) )
(v c) \theta) (M i \theta)
      v c' \neq v c
     unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
    hence (M'(v c') \theta = min (dbm-add (M(v c')(v c)) (M(v c) \theta)) (M
(v \ c') \ \theta))
     using 2 by blast
     moreover from A 2 have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (M' (v
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
    ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (dbm-add (M (v c')
(v c) (M (v c) \theta)
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min3' by fastforce
     with 2 have u c' \leq d + r by auto
    thus ?case by (metis add-diff-cancel-left add-le-cancel-right diff-0-right
diff-add-cancel)
   next
     case (3 d c' d')
     with A have
      (\forall i \leq n. \ i \neq v \ c \land i > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ i = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ 0 \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ v ))
(v c) i) (M 0 i)
      v c' \neq v c
     unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
```

```
hence (M'\ 0\ (v\ c') = min\ (dbm-add\ (M\ 0\ (v\ c))\ (M\ (v\ c')))\ (M\ 0\ (v\ c')))
using 3 by blast
moreover from A 3 have dbm-entry-val u\ None\ (Some\ c')\ (M'\ 0\ (v\ c'))
unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
ultimately have dbm-entry-val u\ None\ (Some\ c')\ (dbm-add\ (M\ 0\ (v\ c))\ (M\ (v\ c)\ (v\ c')))
using dbm-entry-dbm-min2' by fastforce
with 3 have -u\ c' \le d+d' by auto
thus ?case
by (metis\ add-uminus-conv-diff diff-le-eq minus-add-distrib minus-le-iff)
next
case (4\ d)
```

Here is the reason we need the assumption that the zone was not empty before the reset. We cannot deduce anything from the current value of c itself because we reset it. We can only ensure that we can reset the value of c by using the value from the alternative assignment. This case is only relevant if the tightest bounds for d were given by its original lower and upper bounds. If they would overlap, the original zone would be empty.

```
from A(2,5) have
      dbm-entry-val u'' None (Some c) (M 0 (v c))
      dbm-entry-val u'' (Some c) None (M (v c) \theta)
    unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
    with 4 have -u''c \le du''c \le r by auto
    thus ?case by (metis minus-le-iff order.trans)
   qed
 } note EE = this
  { fix l \ r \ assume \ l \in S\text{-}Min\text{-}Le \ r \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Lt
   then have l < r
    unfolding S-Min-Le S-Max-Lt
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
    case (1 c1 d1 c2 d2)
    with A have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M' (v c1) (v c2))
    unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
    moreover have M'(v c1)(v c2) = min(dbm-add(M(v c1)(v c)))
(M (v c) (v c2))) (M (v c1) (v c2))
    using A(3,7) 1 unfolding DBM-reset-def by metis
    ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (dbm-add (M
(v \ c1) \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c2)))
    using dbm-entry-dbm-min' by fastforce
    with 1 have u c1 - u c2 < d1 + d2 by auto
    then show ?case by (metis add.assoc add.commute diff-less-eq)
```

```
next
     case (2 c' d)
     with A have
      (\forall i \leq n. \ i \neq v \ c \land i > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ i \ 0 = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ i \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ i ) )
(v c) \theta) (M i \theta)
       v c' \neq v c
     unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
    hence (M'(v c') \theta = min (dbm-add (M(v c')(v c)) (M(v c) \theta)) (M
(v c') \theta)
     using 2 by blast
     moreover from A 2 have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (M' (v
c') \theta
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
    ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (dbm-add (M (v c')
(v c) (M (v c) \theta)
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min3' by fastforce
     with 2 have u c' < d + r by auto
     thus ?case by (metis add-less-imp-less-right diff-add-cancel gt-swap)
   next
     case (3 d c' da)
     with A have
      (\forall i \leq n. \ i \neq v \ c \land i > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ i = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ 0 \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ v ) )
(v c) i) (M \theta i)
       v c' \neq v c
     unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
     hence (M' \ 0 \ (v \ c') = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ 0 \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c'))) \ (M \ (v \ c')))
\theta (v c'))
     using \beta by blast
     moreover from A 3 have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c') (M' 0 (v
c')
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
     ultimately have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c') (dbm-add (M 0 (v
(c) (M(v c) (v c'))
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min2' by fastforce
     with 3 have -u c' < d + da by auto
     thus ?case by (metis add.commute diff-less-eq uminus-add-conv-diff)
   \mathbf{next}
     case (4 d)
     from A(2,5) have
       dbm-entry-val u'' None (Some c) (M 0 (v c))
       dbm-entry-val u'' (Some c) None (M (v c) \theta)
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
     with 4 have -u''c \le du''c < r by auto
     thus ?case by (metis minus-le-iff neq-iff not-le order.strict-trans)
```

```
qed
  } note EL = this
 { fix l \ r assume l \in S-Min-Lt r \in S-Max-Le
   then have l < r
     unfolding S-Min-Lt S-Max-Le
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
     case (1 c1 d1 c2 d2)
    with A have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M' (v c1) (v c2))
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
     moreover have M'(v c1)(v c2) = min(dbm-add(M(v c1)(v c)))
(M (v c) (v c2))) (M (v c1) (v c2))
     using A(3,7) 1 unfolding DBM-reset-def by metis
     ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (dbm-add (M
(v \ c1) \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c2)))
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min' by fastforce
     with 1 have u c1 - u c2 < d1 + d2 by auto
     thus ?case by (metis add.assoc add.commute diff-less-eq)
   next
     case (2 c' d)
     with A have
      (\forall i \leq n. \ i \neq v \ c \land i > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ i \ 0 = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ i \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ i ) )
(v \ c) \ \theta)) \ (M \ i \ \theta))
       v c' \neq v c
     unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
     hence (M'(v c') \theta = min (dbm-add (M(v c')(v c)) (M(v c) \theta)) (M
(v \ c') \ \theta))
     using 2 by blast
      moreover from A 2 have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (M' (v
c') \theta
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
     ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (dbm-add (M (v c')
(v c) (M (v c) \theta)
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min3' by fastforce
     with 2 have u c' < d + r by auto
     thus ?case by (metis add-less-imp-less-right diff-add-cancel gt-swap)
   next
     case (3 d c' da)
     with A have
      (\forall i \leq n. \ i \neq v \ c \land i > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ i = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ 0 \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ v \ c))
(v c) i) (M \theta i)
       v c' \neq v c
     unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
     hence (M' \ \theta \ (v \ c') = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ \theta \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c'))) \ (M \ (v \ c')) \ (M \ (v \ c')))
\theta (v c'))
```

```
using \beta by blast
     moreover from A 3 have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c') (M' 0 (v
c')
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
     ultimately have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c') (dbm-add (M 0 (v
(c)) (M(vc)(vc'))
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min2' by fastforce
     with 3 have -u c' < d + da by auto
     thus ?case by (metis add.commute diff-less-eq uminus-add-conv-diff)
   next
     case (4 d)
     from A(2,5) have
      dbm-entry-val u'' None (Some c) (M 0 (v c))
      dbm-entry-val u'' (Some c) None (M (v c) \theta)
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
     with 4 have -u''c < du''c \le r by auto
     thus ?case by (meson less-le-trans minus-less-iff)
   qed
 } note LE = this
  { fix l \ r \ \text{assume} \ l \in S\text{-}Min\text{-}Lt \ r \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Lt
   then have l < r
     unfolding S-Min-Lt S-Max-Lt
   proof (safe, goal-cases)
     case (1 c1 d1 c2 d2)
    with A have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M' (v c1) (v c2))
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
     moreover have M'(v c1)(v c2) = min(dbm-add(M(v c1)(v c)))
(M (v c) (v c2))) (M (v c1) (v c2))
     using A(3,7) 1 unfolding DBM-reset-def by metis
    ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (dbm-add (M
(v \ c1) \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c2)))
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min' by fastforce
     with 1 have u c1 - u c2 < d1 + d2 by auto
     then show ?case by (metis add.assoc add.commute diff-less-eq)
     case (2 c' d)
     with A have
      (\forall i \leq n. \ i \neq v \ c \land i > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ i \ 0 = min \ (dbm\text{-}add \ (M \ i \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ i ) )
(v \ c) \ \theta)) \ (M \ i \ \theta))
      v c' \neq v c
     unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
    hence (M'(vc') \theta = min(dbm-add(M(vc')(vc))(M(vc)\theta))(M
(v \ c') \ \theta))
     using 2 by blast
```

```
moreover from A 2 have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (M' (v
c') \theta
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
     ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (dbm-add (M (v c')
(v c) (M (v c) \theta)
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min3' by fastforce
     with 2 have u c' < d + r by auto
     thus ?case by (metis add-less-imp-less-right diff-add-cancel qt-swap)
   next
     case (3 d c' da)
     with A have
      (\forall i \leq n. \ i \neq v \ c \land i > 0 \longrightarrow M' \ 0 \ i = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ 0 \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ )
(v c) i) (M \theta i)
       v c' \neq v c
     unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
     hence (M' \ \theta \ (v \ c') = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ \theta \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c'))) \ (M \ (v \ c')) \ (M \ (v \ c')))
\theta (v c'))
     using \beta by blast
     moreover from A 3 have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c') (M' 0 (v
c'))
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by presburger
     ultimately have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c') (dbm-add (M 0 (v
(c)) (M(vc)(vc'))
     using dbm-entry-dbm-min2' by fastforce
     with 3 have -u c' < d + da by auto
    thus ?case by (metis ab-group-add-class.ab-diff-conv-add-uminus add.commute
diff-less-eq)
   next
     case (4 d)
     from A(2,5) have
       dbm-entry-val u'' None (Some c) (M 0 (v c))
       dbm-entry-val u'' (Some c) None (M (v c) \theta)
     unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
     with 4 have -u'' c \le d u'' c < r by auto
     thus ?case by (metis minus-le-iff neq-iff not-le order.strict-trans)
   ged
 } note LL = this
 obtain d' where d':
   \forall \ t \in \textit{S-Min-Le.} \ d' \geq t \ \forall \ t \in \textit{S-Min-Lt.} \ d' > t
   \forall t \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Le. \ d' \leq t \ \forall t \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Lt. \ d' < t
 proof -
   assume m:
     \wedge d'. \forall t \in S-Min-Le. t < d'; \forall t \in S-Min-Lt. t < d'; \forall t \in S-Max-Le. d' < d'
```

```
t; \forall t \in S\text{-}Max\text{-}Lt. \ d' < t
      \implies thesis
   let ?min-le = Max S-Min-Le
   let ?min-lt = Max S-Min-Lt
   let ?max-le = Min S-Max-Le
   let ?max-lt = Min S-Max-Lt
   show thesis
   proof (cases S-Min-Le = \{\} \land S-Min-Lt = \{\})
     case True
     note T = this
     show thesis
     proof (cases S-Max-Le = \{\} \land S-Max-Lt = \{\})
      case True
      let ?d' = 0 :: 't :: time
      show thesis using True T by (intro m[of ?d']) auto
     next
      case False
      let ?d =
        if S-Max-Le \neq {}
         then if S-Max-Lt \neq {} then min ?max-lt ?max-le else ?max-le
      obtain a :: 'b where a : a < 0 using non-trivial-neg by auto
      let ?d' = min \ \theta \ (?d + a)
      { fix x assume x \in S-Max-Le
        with fin-max-le a have min \theta (Min S-Max-Le + a) \leq x
        by (metis Min.boundedE add-le-same-cancel1 empty-iff less-imp-le
min.coboundedI2)
        then have min \theta (Min S-Max-Le + a) \leq x by auto
      } note 1 = this
      { fix x assume x: x \in S-Max-Lt
       have min \theta (min (Min S-Max-Lt) (Min S-Max-Le) + a) < ?max-lt
     by (meson a add-less-same-cancel1 min.cobounded1 min.strict-coboundedI2
order.strict-trans2)
        also from fin-max-lt x have \dots \leq x by auto
       finally have min \theta (min (Min S-Max-Lt) (Min S-Max-Le) + a) <
\boldsymbol{x} .
      } note 2 = this
      { fix x assume x: x \in S-Max-Le
       have min 0 (min (Min S-Max-Lt) (Min S-Max-Le) + a) \leq ?max-le
        by (metis le-add-same-cancel1 linear not-le a min-le-iff-disj)
        also from fin-max-le x have \dots \leq x by auto
        finally have min \theta (min (Min S-Max-Lt) (Min S-Max-Le) + a) \leq
x .
```

```
} note \beta = this
      show thesis using False T a 1 2 3
        by (intro m[of ?d'], auto)
       (metis\ Min.coboundedI\ add\text{-}less\text{-}same\text{-}cancel1\ fin\text{-}max\text{-}lt\ min.boundedE}
min.orderE
             not-less)
     qed
   next
     case False
     note F = this
     show thesis
     proof (cases S-Max-Le = \{\} \land S-Max-Lt = \{\})
      case True
      let ?l =
        if S-Min-Le \neq \{\}
         then if S-Min-Lt \neq {} then max ?min-lt ?min-le else ?min-le
      obtain a :: 'b where a < \theta using non-trivial-neg by blast
      then have a: -a > 0 using non-trivial-neg by simp
      then obtain a: 'b where a: a > 0 by blast
      let ?d' = ?l + a
        fix x assume x: x \in S-Min-Le
        then have x \leq max?min-lt?min-le x \leq ?min-le using fin-min-le
by (simp\ add:\ max.coboundedI2)+
        then have x \leq max ?min-lt ?min-le + a x \leq ?min-le + a using
a by (simp add: add-increasing2)+
      } note 1 = this
        fix x assume x: x \in S-Min-Lt
        then have x \leq max ?min-lt ?min-le x \leq ?min-lt using fin-min-lt
by (simp add: max.coboundedI1)+
         then have x < ?d' using a x by (auto simp add: add.commute
add-strict-increasing)
      } note 2 = this
      show thesis using True F a 1 2 by ((intro m[of ?d']), auto)
     next
      case False
      let ?r =
        if S-Max-Le \neq \{\}
         then if S-Max-Lt \neq {} then min ?max-lt ?max-le else ?max-le
         else ?max-lt
      let ?l =
        if S-Min-Le \neq \{\}
```

```
then if S-Min-Lt \neq {} then max ?min-lt ?min-le else ?min-le
         else ?min-lt
      have 1: x \le max ?min-lt ?min-le x \le ?min-le if x \in S-Min-Le for x
      by (simp add: max.coboundedI2 that fin-min-le)+
        fix x y assume x: x \in S-Max-Le y \in S-Min-Lt
        then have S-Min-Lt \neq \{\} by auto
      from LE[\mathit{OF\ Max-in}[\mathit{OF\ fin-min-lt}], \mathit{OF\ this}, \mathit{OF\ x(1)}] have ?min-lt
\leq x by auto
      } note \beta = this
        fix x y assume x: x \in S-Max-Le y \in S-Min-Le
        with EE[OF\ Max-in[OF\ fin-min-le],\ OF\ -\ x(1)] have ?min-le \leq x
by auto
      } note 4 = this
        fix x y assume x: x \in S-Max-Lt y \in S-Min-Lt
        then have S-Min-Lt \neq \{\} by auto
      from LL[OF\ Max-in[OF\ fin-min-lt],\ OF\ this,\ OF\ x(1)] have ?min-lt
< x by auto
      } note 5 = this
        fix x y assume x: x \in S-Max-Lt y \in S-Min-Le
        then have S-Min-Le \neq {} by auto
      from EL[OF\ Max-in[OF\ fin-min-le],\ OF\ this,\ OF\ x(1)] have ?min-le
< x by auto
      } note \theta = this
      show thesis
      proof (cases ?l < ?r)
        {f case}\ {\it False}
        then have *: S-Max-Le \neq \{\}
        proof (safe, goal-cases)
          case 1
           with \langle \neg (S\text{-}Max\text{-}Le = \{\}) \wedge S\text{-}Max\text{-}Lt = \{\}) \rangle obtain y where
y:y \in S-Max-Lt by auto
          note 1 = 1 this
          { fix x \ y assume A: x \in S-Min-Le y \in S-Max-Lt
               with EL[OF Max-in[OF fin-min-le] Min-in[OF fin-max-lt]]
               have Max S-Min-Le < Min S-Max-Lt by auto
          } note ** = this
          { fix x y assume A: x \in S-Min-Lt y \in S-Max-Lt
             with LL[OF Max-in[OF fin-min-lt] Min-in[OF fin-max-lt]]
             have Max S-Min-Lt < Min S-Max-Lt by auto
```

```
} note *** = this
         show ?case
         proof (cases S-Min-Le \neq {})
           case True
           note T = this
           show ?thesis
           proof (cases S-Min-Lt \neq {})
             case True
             then show False using 1 T True ** *** by auto
             case False with 1 T ** show False by auto
           qed
         next
           case False
           with 1 False *** \langle \neg (S\text{-}Min\text{-}Le = \{\}) \wedge S\text{-}Min\text{-}Lt = \{\}) \rangle show
?thesis by auto
         qed
        qed
        { fix x y assume A: x \in S-Min-Lt y \in S-Max-Lt
             with LL[OF Max-in[OF fin-min-lt] Min-in[OF fin-max-lt]]
             have Max S-Min-Lt < Min S-Max-Lt by auto
          } note *** = this
        { fix x y assume A: x \in S-Min-Lt y \in S-Max-Le
              with LE[OF Max-in[OF fin-min-lt] Min-in[OF fin-max-le]]
              have Max S-Min-Lt < Min S-Max-Le by auto
        } note **** = this
        from F False have **: S-Min-Le \neq {}
        proof (safe, goal-cases)
         case 1
         show ?case
         proof (cases S-Max-Le \neq \{\})
           case True
           note T = this
           show ?thesis
           proof (cases S-Max-Lt \neq \{\})
             case True
             then show ?thesis using 1 T True **** *** by auto
             case False with 1 T **** show ?thesis by auto
           qed
         next
           with 1 False *** \langle \neg (S\text{-}Max\text{-}Le = \{\}) \wedge S\text{-}Max\text{-}Lt = \{\}) \rangle show
?thesis by auto
```

```
qed
        qed
         fix x assume x: x \in S-Min-Lt
              then have x \leq ?min-lt using fin-min-lt by (simp \ add:
max.coboundedI2)
         also have ?min-lt < ?min-le
         proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases)
           with x ** have 1: ?l = ?min-lt by (auto simp: max.absorb1)
           have 2: ?min-lt < ?max-le using * ****[OF x] by auto
           show False
           proof (cases S-Max-Lt = \{\})
             case False
             then have ?min-lt < ?max-lt using * ***[OF x] by auto
             with 1 2 have ?l < ?r by auto
             with \langle \neg ?l < ?r \rangle show False by auto
           next
             case True
             with 1 2 have ?l < ?r by auto
             with \langle \neg ?l < ?r \rangle show False by auto
           qed
         qed
       finally have x < max ?min-lt ?min-le by (simp add: max.strict-coboundedI2)
        } note 2 = this
        show thesis using F False 1 2 3 4 5 6 * ** by ((intro m[of ?l]),
auto)
      next
        case True
        then obtain d where d: ?l < d \ d < ?r using dense by auto
        let ?d' = d
         fix t assume t \in S-Min-Le
         then have t \leq ?l using 1 by auto
         with d have t \leq d by auto
        }
        moreover {
         \mathbf{fix}\ t\ \mathbf{assume}\ t{:}\ t\in\mathit{S-Min-Lt}
          then have t \leq max?min-lt ?min-le using fin-min-lt by (simp
add: max.coboundedI1)
         with t have t \leq ?l using fin-min-lt by auto
         with d have t < d by auto
        moreover {
```

```
fix t assume t: t \in S-Max-Le
         then have min ?max-lt ?max-le \leq t using fin-max-le by (simp
add: min.coboundedI2)
         then have ?r \le t using fin-max-le t by auto
         with d have d \leq t by auto
         then have d \leq t by (simp\ add:\ min.coboundedI2)
        moreover {
         fix t assume t: t \in S-Max-Lt
          then have min ?max-lt ?max-le \leq t using fin-max-lt by (simp
add: min.coboundedI1)
         then have ?r \le t using fin-max-lt t by auto
         with d have d < t by auto
         then have d < t by (simp \ add: min.strict-coboundedI2)
        ultimately show thesis by ((intro m[of ?d']), auto)
      qed
    qed
   qed
 qed
 have DBM-val-bounded v(u(c := d')) M n unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def
 proof (safe, goal-cases)
   case 1
  with A show ?case unfolding DBM-reset-def DBM-val-bounded-def by
 next
   case (2 c')
   show ?case
   proof (cases c = c')
    case False
    with A(2,7) have v c \neq v c' by auto
     hence *:M' \ \theta \ (v \ c') = min \ (dbm-add \ (M \ \theta \ (v \ c)) \ (M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c')))
(M \ \theta \ (v \ c'))
    using A(2,3,6,7) 2 unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
    from 2 A(2,4) have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c') (M' 0 (v c'))
    unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
     with dbm-entry-dbm-min2 * have dbm-entry-val u None (Some c')
(M \ \theta \ (v \ c')) by auto
    thus ?thesis using False by cases auto
   next
    case True
    note [simp] = True[symmetric]
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases M \theta (v c))
```

```
case (Le\ t)
      hence -t \in S-Min-Le unfolding S-Min-Le by force
      hence d' \ge -t using d' by auto
      thus ?thesis using A Le by (auto simp: minus-le-iff)
    next
      case (Lt\ t)
      hence -t \in S-Min-Lt unfolding S-Min-Lt by force
      hence d' > -t using d' by auto
      thus ?thesis using 2 Lt by (auto simp: minus-less-iff)
    next
      case INF thus ?thesis by auto
    qed
   qed
 next
   case (3 c')
   show ?case
   proof (cases c = c')
    case False
    with A(2,7) have v c \neq v c' by auto
     hence *:M'(v c') \theta = min(dbm-add(M(v c')(v c))(M(v c) \theta))
(M (v c') \theta)
    using A(2,3,6,7) 3 unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
    from 3 A(2,4) have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None (M' (v c') \theta)
    unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
     with dbm-entry-dbm-min3 * have dbm-entry-val u (Some c') None
(M (v c') \theta) by auto
    thus ?thesis using False by cases auto
   next
    case [symmetric, simp]: True
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases M (v c) \theta, goal-cases)
      case (1 t)
      hence t \in S-Max-Le unfolding S-Max-Le by force
      hence d' \leq t using d' by auto
      thus ?case using 1 by (auto simp: minus-le-iff)
    next
      case (2 t)
      hence t \in S-Max-Lt unfolding S-Max-Lt by force
      hence d' < t using d' by auto
      thus ?case using 2 by (auto simp: minus-less-iff)
    next
      case 3 thus ?case by auto
    qed
   qed
```

```
next
   case (4 c1 c2)
   show ?case
   proof (cases \ c = c1)
    case False
    note F1 = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases c = c2)
      case False
      with A(2,6,7) F1 have v c \neq v c1 v c \neq v c2 by auto
      hence *:M'(v c1)(v c2) = min(dbm-add(M(v c1)(v c))(M(v c2))
(v \ c2)) \ (M \ (v \ c1) \ (v \ c2))
      using A(2,3,6,7) 4 unfolding DBM-reset-def by auto
      from 4 A(2,4) have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M' (v
c1) (v c2)
      unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
      with dbm-entry-dbm-min * have dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some
c2) (M (v c1) (v c2)) by auto
      thus ?thesis using F1 False by cases auto
    next
      case [symmetric, simp]: True
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases M (v c1) (v c), goal-cases)
       case (1 t)
        hence u \ c1 - t \in S-Min-Le unfolding S-Min-Le using A F1 4
by blast
       hence d' \ge u \ c1 - t \ using \ d' by auto
           hence t + d' \ge u c1 by (metis le-swap add-le-cancel-right
diff-add-cancel)
       hence u \ c1 - d' \le t by (metis add-le-imp-le-right diff-add-cancel)
       thus ?case using 1 F1 by auto
      next
       case (2 t)
        hence u \ c1 - t \in S-Min-Lt unfolding S-Min-Lt using A 4 F1
by blast
       hence d' > u c1 - t using d' by auto
      hence d' + t > u c1 by (metis add-strict-right-mono diff-add-cancel)
          hence u \ c1 - d' < t by (metis gt-swap add-less-cancel-right
diff-add-cancel)
       thus ?case using 2 F1 by auto
       case 3 thus ?case by auto
      qed
    qed
```

```
next
     case True
     note T = this
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases \ c = c2)
       case False
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases M (v c) (v c2), goal-cases)
        case (1 t)
        hence u c2 + t \in S-Max-Le unfolding S-Max-Le using A 4 False
by blast
         hence d' \le u \ c2 + t \ using \ d' by auto
        hence d' - u c2 \le t
       by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ add\text{-}diff\text{-}cancel\text{-}left\ add\text{-}ac(1)\ add\text{-}le\text{-}cancel\text{-}right)
            add-right-cancel diff-add-cancel)
        thus ?case using 1 T False by auto
       \mathbf{next}
        case (2 t)
        hence u \ c2 + t \in S-Max-Lt unfolding S-Max-Lt using A 4 False
by blast
        hence d' < u c2 + t using d' by auto
            hence d' - u \ c2 < t \ by \ (metis \ gt\text{-swap} \ add\text{-less-cancel-right})
diff-add-cancel)
        thus ?case using 2 T False by force
        case 3 thus ?case using T by auto
       qed
     next
       case [symmetric, simp]: True
      from A \not = \text{have } *:dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u'' (Some\ c1)\ (Some\ c1)\ (M\ (v\ c1))
(v \ c1)
       unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
       show ?thesis using True T
       proof (cases\ M\ (v\ c1)\ (v\ c1),\ goal\text{-}cases)
        case (1 t)
        with * have 0 \le t by auto
        thus ?case using 1 by auto
       next
        case (2 t)
        with * have \theta < t by auto
        thus ?case using 2 by auto
         case 3 thus ?case by auto
       qed
```

```
qed
   qed
 qed
 thus ?thesis using A(1) by blast
qed
lemma DBM-reset-sound2:
 assumes v \in n DBM-reset M \cap (v \in n) d M' DBM-val-bounded v \in n d M' n
 shows u c = d
using assms unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def DBM-reset-def
by fastforce
lemma DBM-reset-sound":
 fixes M \ v \ c \ n \ d
 defines M' \equiv reset M n (v c) d
 assumes clock-numbering' v n v c \le n DBM-val-bounded v u M' n
        DBM-val-bounded v u'' M n
 obtains d' where DBM-val-bounded v (u(c := d')) M n
proof -
 assume A: \wedge d'. DBM-val-bounded v (u(c := d')) M n \Longrightarrow thesis
 from assms DBM-reset-reset[of v c n M d]
 have *:DBM-reset M n (v c) d M' by (auto\ simp\ add:\ M'-def)
 with DBM-reset-sound' [of v n c M d M', OF - - this] assms obtain d'
 DBM-val-bounded v (u(c := d')) M n by auto
 with A show thesis by auto
qed
lemma DBM-reset-sound:
 fixes M \ v \ c \ n \ d
 defines M' \equiv reset \ M \ n \ (v \ c) \ d
 assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ v \ c \le n
        u \in [M']_{v,n}
 obtains d' where u(c := d') \in [M]_{v,n}
proof (cases [M]_{v,n} = \{\})
 case False
 then obtain u'where DBM-val-bounded v u' M n unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def
by auto
 from DBM-reset-sound" [OF assms(3-4) - this] assms(1,5) that show
 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
next
 case True
 with DBM-reset-complete-empty'[OF assms(2) - - DBM-reset-reset, of v
```

```
c M u d assms show ?thesis
 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by simp
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-complete':
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u M n clock-numbering' v n \forall c \in set cs. v
 shows \exists u'. DBM-val-bounded vu' (reset' M n cs v d) n
using assms
proof (induction cs)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
 case (Cons\ c\ cs)
 let ?M' = reset' M n cs v d
 let ?M'' = reset ?M' n (v c) d
 from Cons obtain u' where u': DBM-val-bounded v u'?M' n by fastforce
 from Cons(3,4) have 0 < v \ c \ v \ c \le n by auto
 from DBM-reset-reset[OF this] have **: DBM-reset ?M' n (v c) d ?M"
by fast
 from Cons(4) have v c \leq n by auto
 from DBM-reset-complete[of v n c ?M' d ?M", OF Cons(3) this ** u']
 have DBM-val-bounded v (u'(c := d)) (reset (reset' M n cs v d) n (v c)
d) n by fast
 thus ?case by auto
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-complete:
 assumes DBM-val-bounded v u M n clock-numbering' v n \forall c \in set cs. v
c \leq n
 shows DBM-val-bounded v ([cs \rightarrow d]u) (reset' M n cs v d) n
using assms
proof (induction cs)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons\ c\ cs)
 let ?M' = reset' M n cs v d
 let ?M'' = reset ?M' n (v c) d
 from Cons have *: DBM-val-bounded v ([cs \rightarrow d]u) (reset' M n cs v d) n
by fastforce
 from Cons(3,4) have 0 < v \ c \ v \ c \le n by auto
 from DBM-reset-reset[OF this] have **: DBM-reset ?M' n (v c) d ?M"
by fast
 from Cons(4) have v \in auto
 from DBM-reset-complete[of v n c ?M' d ?M", OF Cons(3) this ** *]
```

```
have ***:DBM-val-bounded v ([c\#cs\rightarrow d]u) (reset (reset' M n cs v d) n
(v \ c) \ d) \ n \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
 have reset' M n (c \# cs) v d = reset (reset' M n cs v d) n (v c) d by auto
 with *** show ?case by presburger
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-sound-empty:
 assumes clock-numbering' v \ n \ \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n
        \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ (reset' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d) \ n
 shows \neg DBM-val-bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n
using assms DBM-reset'-complete by metis
fun set-clocks :: 'c list \Rightarrow 't::time list\Rightarrow ('c,'t) cval \Rightarrow ('c,'t) cval
where
 set-clocks [] - u = u
 set-clocks - [] u = u
 set-clocks (c\#cs) (t\#ts) u = (set-clocks cs ts (u(c:=t)))
lemma DBM-reset'-sound':
 fixes M v c n d cs
 assumes clock-numbering' v \ n \ \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n
        DBM-val-bounded v u (reset' M n cs v d) n DBM-val-bounded v u''
M n
 shows \exists ts. DBM-val-bounded v (set-clocks cs ts u) M n
using assms
proof (induction cs arbitrary: M u)
 case Nil
 hence DBM-val-bounded v (set-clocks [] [] u) M n by auto
 thus ?case by blast
 case (Cons \ c' \ cs)
 let ?M' = reset' M n (c' \# cs) v d
 let ?M'' = reset' M n cs v d
 from DBM-reset'-complete[OF Cons(5) Cons(2)] Cons(3)
 have u'': DBM-val-bounded v ([cs \rightarrow d]u'') ?M" n by fastforce
 from Cons(3,4) have v c' \le n DBM-val-bounded v u (reset ?M" n (v c')
d) n by auto
 from DBM-reset-sound"[OF\ Cons(2)\ this\ u'']
 obtain d'where **: DBM-val-bounded v(u(c':=d'))? M'' n by blast
 from Cons.IH[OF\ Cons.prems(1)\ -**\ Cons.prems(4)]\ Cons.prems(2)
 obtain ts where ts:DBM-val-bounded v (set-clocks cs ts (u(c':=d'))) M
 hence DBM-val-bounded v (set-clocks (c' \# cs) (d' \# ts) u) M n by auto
 thus ?case by fast
```

qed

```
lemma DBM-reset'-resets:
 fixes M \ v \ c \ n \ d \ cs
 assumes \forall k \leq n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ \forall \ c \in set
cs. \ v \ c < n
        DBM-val-bounded v u (reset' M n cs v d) n
 shows \forall c \in set \ cs. \ u \ c = d
using assms
proof (induction cs arbitrary: M u)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
 case (Cons\ c'\ cs)
 let ?M' = reset' M n (c' \# cs) v d
 let ?M'' = reset' M n cs v d
 from Cons(4,5) have v c' \leq n DBM-val-bounded v u (reset ?M" n (v c')
d) n by auto
 from DBM-reset-sound2[OF this(1) - Cons(5), of ?M" d] DBM-reset-reset[OF
- this(1), of ?M''d Cons(3)
 have c':u c'=d by auto
 from Cons(4,5) have v c' \leq n DBM-val-bounded v u (reset ?M" n (v c')
d) n by auto
 with DBM-reset-sound [OF Cons.prems(1,2) this(1)]
 obtain d' where **:DBM-val-bounded v (u(c' := d')) ?M'' n unfolding
DBM-zone-repr-def by blast
  from Cons.IH[OF\ Cons.prems(1,2)\ -\ **]\ Cons.prems(3)\ \mathbf{have}\ \forall\ c{\in}set
cs. (u(c':=d')) c=d by auto
 thus ?case using c'
   by (auto split: if-split-asm)
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-resets':
 fixes M :: ('t :: time) DBM and v c n d cs
 assumes clock-numbering' v \ n \ \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n \ DBM-val-bounded v
u (reset' M n cs v d) n
        DBM-val-bounded v u'' M n
 shows \forall c \in set \ cs. \ u \ c = d
using assms
proof (induction cs arbitrary: M u)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons\ c'\ cs)
 let ?M' = reset' M n (c' \# cs) v d
 let ?M'' = reset' M n cs v d
```

```
from DBM-reset'-complete[OF Cons(5) Cons(2)] Cons(3)
 have u'': DBM-val-bounded v ([cs \rightarrow d]u'') ?M" n by fastforce
 from Cons(3,4) have v c' \leq n DBM-val-bounded v u (reset ?M" n (v c')
d) n by auto
 from DBM-reset-sound2[OF this(1) - Cons(4), of ?M" d] DBM-reset-reset[OF
- this(1), of ?M''d Cons(2)
 have c':u c'=d by auto
 from Cons(3,4) have v c' \le n DBM-val-bounded v u (reset ?M" n (v c')
d) n by auto
 from DBM-reset-sound"[OF\ Cons(2)\ this\ u'']
 obtain d'where **: DBM-val-bounded v (u(c' := d')) ? M'' n by blast
 from Cons.IH[OF\ Cons.prems(1)\ -**\ Cons.prems(4)]\ Cons.prems(2)
 have \forall c \in set \ cs. \ (u(c' := d')) \ c = d \ by \ auto
 thus ?case using c'
   by (auto split: if-split-asm)
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-neg-diag-preservation':
 fixes M :: ('t :: time) DBM
 assumes k \le n M k k < 0 clock-numbering v \forall c \in set cs. v c \le n
 shows reset' M n cs v d k k < \theta using assms
proof (induction cs)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons\ c\ cs)
 then have IH: reset' M n cs v d k k < 0 by auto
 from Cons.prems have v c > 0 \ v c \le n by auto
 from DBM-reset-reset[OF this, of reset' M n cs v d d] \langle k \leq n \rangle
  have reset (reset' M n cs v d) n (v c) d k k \leq reset' M n cs v d k
unfolding DBM-reset-def
   by (cases v c = k, cases k = 0, auto simp: less[symmetric])
 with IH show ?case by auto
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-complete-empty':
 assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n
        \forall c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n \ \forall \ u \ . \ \neg \ DBM-val-bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n
 shows \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded \ v \ u \ (reset' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d) \ n \ using \ assms
proof (induction cs)
 case Nil then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons\ c\ cs)
 then have \forall u. \neg DBM-val-bounded v u (reset' M n cs v d) n by auto
 from Cons.prems(2,3) DBM-reset-complete-empty'[OF Cons.prems(1) -
```

```
- DBM-reset-reset this
 show ?case by auto
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-complete-empty:
 assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n
         \forall c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n \ \forall \ u \ . \ \neg \ DBM-val-bounded \ v \ u \ M \ n
 shows \forall u . \neg DBM-val-bounded v u (reset'(FWMn) n cs v d) n using
assms
proof -
 note A = assms
 from A(4) have [M]_{v,n} = \{\} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
 with FW-zone-equiv[OF A(1)] have [FW \ M \ n]_{v,n} = \{\} by auto
  with FW-detects-empty-zone[OF\ A(1)]\ A(2) obtain i where i: i \leq n
FW M n i i < Le 0 by blast
 with DBM-reset'-neg-diag-preservation' A(2,3) have
   reset' (FW M n) n cs v d i i < Le 0
 by (auto simp: neutral)
 with fw-mono[of\ i\ n\ i\ reset'\ (FW\ M\ n)\ n\ cs\ v\ d\ n]\ i
 have FW (reset' (FW M n) n cs v d) n i i < Le 0 by auto
  with FW-detects-empty-zone [OF A(1), of reset' (FW M n) n cs v d]
A(2,3) i
 have [FW \ (reset' \ (FW \ M \ n) \ n \ cs \ v \ d) \ n]_{v,n} = \{\} by auto
 with FW-zone-equiv [OF\ A(1),\ of\ reset'\ (FW\ M\ n)\ n\ cs\ v\ d]\ A(3,4)
 show ?thesis by (auto simp: DBM-zone-repr-def)
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-empty':
 assumes \forall k \leq n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ \forall \ c \in set
cs. v c < n
 shows [M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [reset'(FWMn) \ n \ cs \ v \ d]_{v,n} = \{\}
proof
 let ?M' = reset' (FW M n) n cs v d
 assume A: [M]_{v,n} = \{\}
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded v u M n unfolding }DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def
by auto
 with DBM-reset'-complete-empty[OF assms] show [?M']_{v,n} = \{\} unfold-
ing DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
next
 let ?M' = reset' (FW M n) n cs v d
 assume A: [?M']_{v,n} = \{\}
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded v u ?M' n unfolding DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def
by auto
 from DBM-reset'-sound-empty[OF assms(2,3) this] have \forall u. \neg DBM-val-bounded
```

```
v \ u \ (FW \ M \ n) \ n \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
 with FW-zone-equiv[OF\ assms(1)] show [M]_{v,n} = \{\} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def
by auto
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-empty:
 assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ \forall \ c \in set
cs. v c \leq n
  shows [M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [reset' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d]_{v,n} = \{\}
proof
  let ?M' = reset' M n cs v d
  assume A: [M]_{v,n} = \{\}
  hence \forall u . \neg DBM-val-bounded v u M n unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def
  with DBM-reset'-complete-empty'[OF assms] show [?M']_{v,n} = \{\} un-
folding DBM-zone-repr-def by auto
  let ?M' = reset' M n cs v d
 assume A: [?M']_{v,n} = \{\}
 hence \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded v u ?M' n unfolding DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def
by auto
 from DBM-reset'-sound-empty[OF assms(2,3) this] have \forall u. \neg DBM-val-bounded
v u M n by auto
 with FW-zone-equiv[OF\ assms(1)]\ \mathbf{show}\ [M]_{v,n} = \{\}\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ DBM-zone-repr-def
by auto
qed
lemma DBM-reset'-sound:
  assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n
   and \forall c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n
   and u \in [reset' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d]_{v,n}
  shows \exists ts. set\text{-}clocks \ cs \ ts \ u \in [M]_{v,n}
proof -
 from DBM-reset'-empty[OF \ assms(1-3)] \ assms(4) obtain u' where u'
\in [M]_{v,n} by blast
 with DBM-reset'-sound'[OF assms(2,3)] assms(4) show ?thesis unfold-
ing DBM-zone-repr-def by blast
qed
       Misc Preservation Lemmas
3.5
```

 $a \neq \infty \Longrightarrow b \neq \infty \Longrightarrow get\text{-}const\ a \in \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow get\text{-}const\ b \in \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow get\text{-}const$

lemma get-const-sum[simp]:

 $(a+b) \in \mathbb{Z}$

```
by (cases \ a) \ (cases \ b, \ auto \ simp: \ add) +
lemma sum-not-inf-dest:
  assumes a + b \neq (\infty :: -DBMEntry)
  shows a \neq (\infty :: -DBMEntry) \land b \neq (\infty :: -DBMEntry)
using assms by (cases a; cases b; simp add: add)
lemma sum-not-inf-int:
  assumes a + b \neq (\infty :: -DBMEntry) get-const a \in \mathbb{Z} get-const b \in \mathbb{Z}
  shows get\text{-}const\ (a+b)\in\mathbb{Z}
using assms sum-not-inf-dest by fastforce
lemma int-fw-upd:
 \forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ m \ i j \neq \infty \longrightarrow get\text{-}const \ (m \ i j) \in \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow k \leq n \Longrightarrow i
\leq n \Longrightarrow j \leq n
  \implies i' \le n \implies j' \le n \implies (fw\text{-upd } m \ k \ i \ j \ i' \ j') \ne \infty
  \implies get\text{-}const\ (fw\text{-}upd\ m\ k\ i\ j\ i'\ j')\in\mathbb{Z}
proof (goal-cases)
  case 1
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases i = i' \land j = j')
    case True
     with 1 show ?thesis by (fastforce simp: fw-upd-def upd-def min-def
dest: sum-not-inf-dest)
  next
    case False
    with 1 show ?thesis by (auto simp : fw-upd-def upd-def)
  qed
qed
abbreviation dbm-int M n \equiv \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i \neq \infty \longrightarrow get\text{-}const (M
i j \in \mathbb{Z}
abbreviation dbm-int-all\ M \equiv \forall i.\ \forall j.\ M\ i\ j \neq \infty \longrightarrow get-const\ (M\ i\ j)
lemma dbm-intI:
  dbm-int-all M \Longrightarrow dbm-int M n
by auto
lemma fwi-int-preservation:
  dbm-int (fwi\ M\ n\ k\ i\ j)\ n\ \mathbf{if}\ dbm-int M\ n\ k\le n
 apply (induction - (i, j) arbitrary: i j rule: wf-induct[of less-than <*lex*>
less-than)
```

```
apply force
 subgoal for i j
   using that
    by (cases i; cases j) (auto 4 3 dest: sum-not-inf-dest simp: min-def
fw-upd-def upd-def)
 done
lemma fw-int-preservation:
 dbm-int (fw\ M\ n\ k)\ n\ \mathbf{if}\ dbm-int M\ n\ k \leq n
 using \langle k \leq n \rangle apply (induction k)
 using that apply simp
  apply (rule fwi-int-preservation; auto)
 using that by (simp) (rule fwi-int-preservation; auto)
lemma FW-int-preservation:
 assumes dbm-int M n
 shows dbm-int (FW M n) n
 using fw-int-preservation [OF assms(1)] by auto
lemma FW-int-all-preservation:
 assumes dbm-int-all M
 shows dbm-int-all (FW M n)
using assms
apply clarify
subgoal for i j
apply (cases i \leq n)
apply (cases j \leq n)
by (auto simp: FW-int-preservation [OF dbm-intI [OF assms(1)]] FW-out-of-bounds1
FW-out-of-bounds2)
done
lemma And-int-all-preservation[intro]:
 assumes dbm-int-all M1 dbm-int-all M2
 shows dbm-int-all (And M1 M2)
using assms by (auto simp: min-def)
lemma And-int-preservation:
 assumes dbm-int M1 n dbm-int M2 n
 shows dbm-int (And M1 M2) n
using assms by (auto simp: min-def)
lemma up-int-all-preservation:
 dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all\ (M::(('t::\{time, ring\text{-}1\})\ DBM)) \Longrightarrow dbm\text{-}int\text{-}all\ (up\ M)
 unfolding up-def min-def add[symmetric] by (auto dest: sum-not-inf-dest
```

```
split: if-split-asm)
lemma up-int-preservation:
  dbm\text{-}int\ (M::(('t::\{time,\ ring\text{-}1\})\ DBM))\ n\Longrightarrow dbm\text{-}int\ (up\ M)\ n
 unfolding up-def min-def add[symmetric] by (auto dest: sum-not-inf-dest
split: if-split-asm)
lemma DBM-reset-int-preservation':
  assumes dbm-int M n DBM-reset M n k d M' d \in \mathbb{Z} k \le n
  shows dbm-int M' n
proof clarify
  fix i j
  assume A: i \leq n \ j \leq n \ M' \ i \ j \neq \infty
  from assms(2) show get\text{-}const\ (M'\ i\ j) \in \mathbb{Z} unfolding DBM\text{-}reset\text{-}def
   apply (cases i = k; cases j = k)
      apply simp
   subgoal using A \ assms(1,4) by presburger
     apply (cases j = \theta)
   subgoal using assms(3) by simp
   subgoal using A by simp
    apply simp
    apply (cases i = \theta)
   subgoal using assms(3) by simp
   subgoal using A by simp
   using A apply simp
   apply (simp split: split-min, safe)
   subgoal
   proof goal-cases
     case 1
     then have *: M i k + M k j \neq \infty unfolding add min-def by meson
     with sum-not-inf-dest have M i k \neq \infty M k j \neq \infty by auto
     with 1(3,4) assms(1,4) have get-const (M \ i \ k) \in \mathbb{Z} get-const (M \ k)
(j) \in \mathbb{Z} by auto
      with sum-not-inf-int[folded add, OF *] show ?case unfolding add
by auto
   qed
   subgoal
   proof goal-cases
     \mathbf{case}\ 1
     then have *: M \ i \ j \neq \infty unfolding add min-def by meson
     with 1(3,4) assms(1,4) show ?case by auto
   qed
  done
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma DBM-reset-int-preservation:
 fixes M :: ('t :: \{time, ring-1\}) DBM
 assumes dbm-int M n d \in \mathbb{Z} 0 < k k \le n
 shows dbm-int (reset M \ n \ k \ d) n
using assms(3-) DBM-reset-int-preservation'[OF assms(1) DBM-reset-reset
assms(2)] by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ DBM\text{-}reset\text{-}int\text{-}all\text{-}preservation:
 fixes M :: ('t :: \{time, ring-1\}) DBM
 assumes dbm-int-all M d \in \mathbb{Z}
 shows dbm-int-all (reset M n k d)
using assms
apply clarify
subgoal for i j
  by (cases i = k; cases j = k;
      auto simp: reset-def min-def add[symmetric] dest!: sum-not-inf-dest
done
lemma DBM-reset'-int-all-preservation:
 fixes M :: ('t :: \{time, ring-1\}) DBM
 assumes dbm-int-all M d \in \mathbb{Z}
 shows dbm-int-all (reset' M n cs v d) using assms
by (induction cs) (simp | rule DBM-reset-int-all-preservation)+
lemma DBM-reset'-int-preservation:
 fixes M :: ('t :: \{time, ring-1\}) DBM
 assumes dbm-int M n d \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall c. \ v \ c > 0 \ \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n
 shows dbm-int (reset' M n cs v d) n using assms
proof (induction cs)
 case Nil then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons\ c\ cs)
 from Cons.IH[OF Cons.prems(1,2,3)] Cons.prems(4) have dbm-int (reset'
M n cs v d) n
   by fastforce
 from DBM-reset-int-preservation[OF this Cons.prems(2), of v c] Cons.prems(3,4)
show ?case
   by auto
qed
lemma reset-set1:
```

```
\forall c \in set \ cs. \ ([cs \rightarrow d]u) \ c = d
by (induction cs) auto
lemma reset-set11:
 \forall c. c \notin set \ cs \longrightarrow ([cs \rightarrow d]u) \ c = u \ c
by (induction cs) auto
lemma reset-set2:
  \forall c. c \notin set \ cs \longrightarrow (set\text{-}clocks \ cs \ ts \ u)c = u \ c
proof (induction cs arbitrary: ts u)
  case Nil then show ?case by auto
  case Cons then show ?case
  proof (cases ts, goal-cases)
  case Nil then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (2 a') then show ?case by auto
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma reset-set:
  assumes \forall c \in set \ cs. \ u \ c = d
  shows [cs \rightarrow d](set\text{-}clocks\ cs\ ts\ u) = u
proof
  \mathbf{fix} c
  show ([cs \rightarrow d]set\text{-}clocks\ cs\ ts\ u) c = u\ c
  proof (cases \ c \in set \ cs)
    case True
    hence ([cs \rightarrow d]set\text{-}clocks\ cs\ ts\ u)\ c = d\ using\ reset\text{-}set1\ by\ fast
   also have d = u c using assms True by auto
    finally show ?thesis by auto
  \mathbf{next}
    case False
    hence ([cs \rightarrow d]set\text{-}clocks\ cs\ ts\ u)\ c = set\text{-}clocks\ cs\ ts\ u\ c by (simp\ add:
reset-set11)
    also with False have \dots = u \ c \ by \ (simp \ add: \ reset-set2)
    finally show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
         Unused theorems
3.5.1
lemma canonical-cyc-free:
```

canonical $M \ n \Longrightarrow \forall \ i \leq n$. $M \ i \ i \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \textit{cyc-free} \ M \ n$

```
by (auto dest!: canonical-len)
lemma canonical-cyc-free2:
  \textit{canonical } M \; n \Longrightarrow \textit{cyc-free} \; M \; n \longleftrightarrow (\forall \, i \leq n. \; M \; i \; i \geq 0)
  apply safe
  apply (simp add: cyc-free-diag-dest')
  using canonical-cyc-free by blast
lemma DBM-reset'-diag-preservation:
  fixes M :: ('t :: time) DBM
  assumes \forall k \leq n. M \ k \ k \leq 0 \ clock-numbering \ v \ \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n
  shows \forall k \le n. reset' M n cs v d k k \le 0 using assms
proof (induction cs)
  case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons\ c\ cs)
  then have IH: \forall k \le n. reset' M n cs v d k k \le 0 by auto
  from Cons.prems have v c > 0 \ v c \le n by auto
 of v c, OF this
  show ?case by simp
qed
theory DBM-Misc
 imports
    Main
    HOL.Real
begin
lemma finite-set-of-finite-funs2:
  fixes A :: 'a \ set
    and B :: 'b \ set
    and C :: 'c \ set
   and d :: 'c
  assumes finite A
    and finite B
    and finite C
 shows finite \{f. \ \forall \ x. \ \forall \ y. \ (x \in A \land y \in B \longrightarrow f \ x \ y \in C) \land (x \notin A \longrightarrow f \}
x \ y = d) \land (y \notin B \longrightarrow f \ x \ y = d)
proof -
 let ?S = \{f. \ \forall x. \ \forall y. \ (x \in A \land y \in B \longrightarrow f \ x \ y \in C) \land (x \notin A \longrightarrow f \ x \ y)\}
=d) \land (y \notin B \longrightarrow f x y = d)
 let ?R = \{g. \ \forall x. \ (x \in B \longrightarrow g \ x \in C) \land (x \notin B \longrightarrow g \ x = d)\}
```

```
let ?Q = \{f. \ \forall \ x. \ (x \in A \longrightarrow f \ x \in ?R) \land (x \notin A \longrightarrow f \ x = (\lambda y. \ d))\} from finite\text{-}set\text{-}of\text{-}finite\text{-}funs[OF \ assms(2,3)]} have finite\ ?R. from finite\text{-}set\text{-}of\text{-}finite\text{-}funs[OF \ assms(1) \ this, \ of \ \lambda \ y. \ d]} have finite\ ?Q. moreover have ?S = ?Q by force+ ultimately show ?thesis by simp qed end
```

3.6 Extrapolation of DBMs

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ DBM\text{-}Normalization \\ \textbf{imports} \\ DBM\text{-}Basics \\ DBM\text{-}Misc \\ HOL\text{-}Eisbach\text{.}Eisbach \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

NB: The journal paper on extrapolations based on lower and upper bounds [1] provides slightly incorrect definitions that would always set (lower) bounds of the form M 0 i to ∞ . To fix this, we use two invariants that can also be found in TChecker's DBM library, for instance:

- 1. Lower bounds are always nonnegative, i.e. $\forall i \leq n$. $M \ 0 \ i \leq 0$ (see extra-lup-lower-bounds).
- 2. Entries to the diagonal is always normalized to $Le\ \theta$, $Lt\ \theta$ or ∞ . This makes it again obvious that the set of normalized DBMs is finite.

lemmas dbm-less-simps[simp] = dbm-lt-code-simps[folded DBM.less]

```
lemma dbm-less-eq-simps[simp]:

Le \ a \le Le \ b \longleftrightarrow a \le b

Le \ a \le Lt \ b \longleftrightarrow a < b

Lt \ a \le Le \ b \longleftrightarrow a \le b

Lt \ a \le Le \ b \longleftrightarrow a \le b

unfolding less-eq \ dbm-le-def by auto

lemma Le-less-Lt[simp]: Le \ x < Lt \ x \longleftrightarrow False

using leD by blast
```

3.6.1 Classical extrapolation

This is the implementation of the classical extrapolation operator $(Extra_M)$.

```
fun norm-upper :: ('t::linorder) DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry where
norm-upper e t = (if Le t \prec e then \infty else e)

fun norm-lower :: ('t::linorder) DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry where
norm-lower e t = (if e \prec Lt t then Lt t else e)

definition
norm-diag e = (if e \prec Le 0 then Lt 0 else if e = Le 0 then e else \infty)
```

Note that literature pretends that $\mathbf{0}$ would have a bound of negative infinity in k and thus defines normalization uniformly. The easiest way to get around this seems to explicate this in the definition as below.

```
definition norm :: ('t :: linordered-ab-group-add) DBM \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't \ DBM
where

norm \ M \ k \ n \equiv \lambda i \ j.

let \ ub = if \ i > 0 \ then \ k \ i \quad else \ 0 \ in
let \ lb = if \ j > 0 \ then \ - k \ j \ else \ 0 \ in
if \ i \leq n \land j \leq n \ then
if \ i \neq j \ then \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ (M \ i \ j) \ ub) \ lb \ else \ norm-diag
(M \ i \ j)
else \ M \ i \ j
```

3.6.2 Extrapolations based on lower and upper bounds

This is the implementation of the LU-bounds based extrapolation operation $(Extra-\{LU\})$.

 $('t :: linordered-ab-group-add) \ DBM \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow nat$

```
{\bf definition}\ {\it extra-lu}::
```

```
\Rightarrow 't \ DBM
\mathbf{where}
extra-lu \ M \ l \ u \ n \equiv \lambda i \ j.
let \ ub = if \ i > 0 \ then \ l \ i \quad else \ 0 \ in
let \ lb = if \ j > 0 \ then \ - u \ j \ else \ 0 \ in
if \ i \leq n \land j \leq n \ then
if \ i \neq j \ then \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ (M \ i \ j) \ ub) \ lb \ else \ norm-diag
(M \ i \ j)
```

lemma norm-is-extra:

else M i j

```
norm \ M \ k \ n = extra-lu \ M \ k \ n

unfolding norm-def \ extra-lu-def ...
```

This is the implementation of the LU-bounds based extrapolation operation $(Extra-\{LU\}^+)$.

```
definition extra-lup ::
```

```
('t :: linordered-ab-group-add) DBM \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't \ DBM
```

where

```
extra-lup M l u n \equiv \lambda i j.

let ub = if i > 0 then Lt (l i) else Le 0;

lb = if j > 0 then Lt (-u j) else Lt 0

in

if i \leq n \land j \leq n then

if i \neq j then

if ub \prec M i j then \infty

else if i > 0 \land M 0 i \prec Lt (-l i) then \infty

else if i > 0 \land M 0 j \prec lb then \infty

else if i = 0 \land M 0 j \prec lb then Lt (-u j)

else M i j

else norm-diag (M i j)
```

method solve = csimp?; safe?; (csimp | meson Lt-le-LeI le-less le-less-trans less-asym'); fail

lemma

```
assumes \forall i \leq n. \ i > 0 \longrightarrow M \ 0 \ i \leq 0 \ \forall i \leq n. \ U \ i \geq 0 shows
```

extra-lu-lower-bounds: $\forall i \leq n. \ i > 0 \longrightarrow extra-lu \ M \ L \ U \ n \ 0 \ i \leq 0$ and

```
norm-lower-bounds: \forall i \leq n. \ i > 0 \longrightarrow norm \ M \ U \ n \ 0 \ i \leq 0 \ and extra-lup-lower-bounds: \forall i \leq n. \ i > 0 \longrightarrow extra-lup \ M \ L \ U \ n \ 0 \ i \leq 0 \ using assms unfolding extra-lu-def norm-def by -(csimp; force)+
```

lemma extra-lu-le-extra-lup:

```
assumes canonical: canonical M n
     and canonical-lower-bounds: \forall i \leq n. \ i > 0 \longrightarrow M \ 0 \ i \leq 0
 shows extra-lu M l u n i j \leq extra-lup M l u n i j
proof -
 have M \ \theta \ j \leq M \ i \ j \ \text{if} \ i \leq n \ j \leq n \ i > \theta
 proof -
   have M \theta i < \theta
     using canonical-lower-bounds \langle i \leq n \rangle \langle i > 0 \rangle by simp
   then have M \ \theta \ i + M \ i \ j \leq M \ i \ j
     by (simp add: add-decreasing)
   also have M \ \theta \ j \leq M \ \theta \ i + M \ i \ j
     using canonical that by auto
   finally (xtrans) show ?thesis.
 qed
 then show ?thesis
    unfolding extra-lu-def Let-def by (cases i \leq n; cases j \leq n) (simp;
safe?; solve)+
qed
lemma extra-lu-subs-extra-lup:
 assumes canonical: canonical M n and canonical-lower-bounds: \forall i \leq n.
i > 0 \longrightarrow M \ 0 \ i \leq 0
   shows [extra-lu\ M\ L\ U\ n]_{v,n}\subseteq [extra-lu\ p\ M\ L\ U\ n]_{v,n}
 using assms
 by (auto intro: extra-lu-le-extra-lup simp: DBM.less-eq[symmetric] elim!:
DBM-le-subset[rotated])
3.6.3
        Extrapolations are widening operators
lemma extra-lu-mono:
 assumes \forall c. \ v \ c > 0 \ u \in [M]_{v,n}
 shows u \in [extra-lu\ M\ L\ U\ n]_{v,n} (is u \in [?M2]_{v,n})
proof -
 note A = assms
 note M1 = A(2)[unfolded DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def]
 show ?thesis
   unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
 proof safe
   show Le 0 \leq ?M2 \ 0 \ 0
   using A unfolding extra-lu-def DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
dbm-le-def norm-diag-def
     by auto
 next
   fix c assume v c \leq n
```

```
with M1 have M1: dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (M 0 (v c)) by
auto
   from \langle v | c \leq n \rangle A have *:
     ?M2 \ 0 \ (v \ c) = norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ (M \ 0 \ (v \ c)) \ 0) \ (- \ U \ (v \ c))
   unfolding extra-lu-def by auto
   show dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (?M2 0 (v c))
   proof (cases M \theta (v c) \prec Lt (- U (v c)))
     case True
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases Le \theta \prec M \theta \ (v \ c))
      case True with * show ?thesis by auto
     next
      case False
      with * True have ?M2 \ \theta \ (v \ c) = Lt \ (- \ U \ (v \ c)) by auto
      moreover from True dbm-entry-val-mono2[OF M1] have
        dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Lt (-U(vc)))
      by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
     qed
   next
     case False
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases Le \theta \prec M \theta \ (v \ c))
      case True with * show ?thesis by auto
     next
      case F: False
      with M1 * False show ?thesis by auto
     qed
   qed
 next
   fix c assume v c \leq n
   with M1 have M1: dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (M (v c) \theta) by
auto
   from \langle v | c \leq n \rangle A have *:
     ?M2 (v c) 0 = norm-lower (norm-upper (M (v c) 0) (L (v c))) 0
   unfolding extra-lu-def by auto
   show dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (?M2 (v c) \theta)
   proof (cases Le (L (v c)) \prec M (v c) 0)
     case True
    with A(1,2) \langle v | c \leq n \rangle have ?M2 \langle v | c \rangle = \infty unfolding extra-lu-def
by auto
     then show ?thesis by auto
   next
     case False
```

```
show ?thesis
     proof (cases M (v c) \theta \prec Lt \theta)
      case True with False * dbm-entry-val-mono3[OF M1] show ?thesis
by auto
     next
      case F: False
      with M1 * False show ?thesis by auto
     qed
   qed
 next
   fix c1 c2 assume v c1 \le n v c2 \le n
   with M1 have M1: dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M (v c1)
(v \ c2) by auto
   show dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (?M2 (v c1) (v c2))
   proof (cases v c1 = v c2)
     case True
     with M1 show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: extra-lu-def norm-diag-def dbm-entry-val.simps dbm-lt.simps)
         (meson diff-less-0-iff-less le-less-trans less-le-trans)+
   next
     case False
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases\ Le\ (L\ (v\ c1)) \prec M\ (v\ c1)\ (v\ c2))
      case True
      with A(1,2) \langle v | c1 \leq n \rangle \langle v | c2 \leq n \rangle \langle v | c1 \neq v | c2 \rangle have ?M2 (v | c1)
(v \ c2) = \infty
        unfolding extra-lu-def by auto
      then show ?thesis by auto
     next
      case False
      with A(1,2) \langle v | c1 \leq n \rangle \langle v | c2 \leq n \rangle \langle v | c1 \neq v | c2 \rangle have *:
        ?M2 (v c1) (v c2) = norm-lower (M (v c1) (v c2)) (- U (v c2))
        unfolding extra-lu-def by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases M (v c1) (v c2) \prec Lt (- U (v c2)))
        case True
        with dbm-entry-val-mono1[OF M1] have
          dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (Lt (-U(v c2)))
          by auto
        then have u c1 - u c2 < - U (v c2) by auto
        with * True show ?thesis by auto
        case False with M1 * show ?thesis by auto
      qed
```

```
qed
   qed
  qed
qed
lemma norm-mono:
  assumes \forall c. \ v \ c > 0 \ u \in [M]_{v,n}
  shows u \in [norm \ M \ k \ n]_{v,n}
  using assms unfolding norm-is-extra by (rule extra-lu-mono)
         Finiteness of extrapolations
abbreviation dbm-default M n \equiv (\forall i > n. \forall j. M \ i \ j = 0) \land (\forall j > n.
\forall i. M i j = 0
lemma norm-default-preservation:
  dbm-default M n \Longrightarrow dbm-default (norm M k n) n
  by (simp add: norm-def norm-diag-def DBM.neutral dbm-lt.simps)
lemma extra-lu-default-preservation:
  dbm-default M n \Longrightarrow dbm-default (extra-lu M L U n) n
  by (simp add: extra-lu-def norm-diag-def DBM.neutral dbm-lt.simps)
instance int:: linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add by (standard; simp)
lemmas finite-subset-rev[intro?] = finite-subset[rotated]
lemmas [intro?] = finite-subset
lemma extra-lu-finite:
  fixes L U :: nat \Rightarrow nat
  shows finite \{extra-lu\ M\ L\ U\ n\mid M.\ dbm-default\ M\ n\}
proof -
  let ?u = Max \{L \ i \mid i. \ i \leq n\} let ?l = -Max \{U \ i \mid i. \ i \leq n\}
  let ?S = (Le ` \{d :: int. ?l \leq d \land d \leq ?u\}) \cup (Lt ` \{d :: int. ?l \leq d \land d\})
\leq ?u) \cup \{Le \ \theta, Lt \ \theta, \infty\}
  from finite-set-of-finite-funs2[of {0..n} {0..n} ?S] have fin:
   finite \{f. \ \forall x \ y. \ (x \in \{0..n\} \land y \in \{0..n\} \longrightarrow f \ x \ y \in ?S)\}
               \wedge (x \notin \{0..n\} \longrightarrow f \ x \ y = 0) \wedge (y \notin \{0..n\} \longrightarrow f \ x \ y = 0)\}
(is finite ?R)
   by auto
  \{ \text{ fix } M :: int DBM \text{ assume } A: dbm-default M n \}
   let ?M = extra-lu\ M\ L\ U\ n
   from extra-lu-default-preservation [OF A] have A: dbm-default ?M n.
   { fix i j assume i \in \{0..n\} j \in \{0..n\}
```

```
then have B: i \leq n \ j \leq n
        by auto
      have ?M \ i \ j \in ?S
      proof (cases ?M i j \in \{Le \ \theta, Lt \ \theta, \infty\})
        case True then show ?thesis
          by auto
      next
        case F: False
        note not-inf = this
        have ?l \leq get\text{-}const \ (?M \ i \ j) \land get\text{-}const \ (?M \ i \ j) \leq ?u
        proof (cases i = 0)
          case True
          show ?thesis
          proof (cases j = \theta)
            {f case}\ {\it True}
            with \langle i = \theta \rangle A F \text{ show } ?thesis
              unfolding extra-lu-def by (auto simp: neutral norm-diag-def)
          next
            case False
            with \langle i = 0 \rangle B not-inf have ?M i j \leq Le \ 0 \ Lt \ (-int \ (U \ j)) \leq
?M i j
               unfolding extra-lu-def by (auto simp: Let-def less[symmetric]
intro: any-le-inf)
           with not-inf have get-const (?M \ i \ j) \leq 0 - U \ j \leq get\text{-const} (?M \ i \ j) \leq 0 - U \ j \leq get\text{-const}
i j
              by (cases ?M i j; auto)+
            moreover from \langle j \leq n \rangle have -Uj \geq ?l
              by (auto intro: Max-ge)
            ultimately show ?thesis
              by auto
          qed
        \mathbf{next}
          case False
          then have i > \theta by simp
          show ?thesis
          proof (cases j = \theta)
            case True
            with \langle i \rangle 0 \rangle A(1) B \text{ not-inf have } Lt \ 0 \leq ?M \ i \ j ?M \ i \ j \leq Le
(int (L i))
               unfolding extra-lu-def by (auto simp: Let-def less[symmetric]
intro: any-le-inf)
           with not-inf have 0 \leq get\text{-}const \ (?M \ i \ j) \ get\text{-}const \ (?M \ i \ j) \leq L
i
              by (cases ?M i j; auto)+
```

```
moreover from \langle i \leq n \rangle have L \ i \leq ?u
            by (auto intro: Max-ge)
          ultimately show ?thesis
            by auto
         next
          case False
          with \langle i \rangle 0 \rangle A(1) B \text{ not-inf } F \text{ have}
            Lt(-int(Uj)) \leq ?Mij?Mij \leq Le(int(Li))
            unfolding extra-lu-def
            by (auto simp: Let-def less[symmetric] neutral norm-diag-def
                  intro: any-le-inf split: if-split-asm)
          with not-inf have -Uj \leq get\text{-}const \ (?Mij) \ get\text{-}const \ (?Mij)
\leq L i
            by (cases ?M i j; auto)+
          moreover from \langle i \leq n \rangle \langle j \leq n \rangle have ?l \leq -UjLi \leq ?u
            by (auto intro: Max-ge)
          ultimately show ?thesis
            by auto
         qed
       qed
       then show ?thesis by (cases ?M i j; auto elim: Ints-cases)
     qed
   } moreover
   { fix i j assume i \notin \{0..n\}
     with A have ?M \ i \ j = \theta by auto
   } moreover
   { fix i j assume j \notin \{0..n\}
     with A have ?M \ i \ j = 0 by auto
   \} moreover note the = calculation
 } then have \{extra-lu\ M\ L\ U\ n\mid M.\ dbm-default\ M\ n\}\subseteq ?R
     by blast
 with fin show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma normalized-integral-dbms-finite:
 finite { norm M (k :: nat \Rightarrow nat) n \mid M. dbm-default M n}
 unfolding norm-is-extra by (rule extra-lu-finite)
```

4 DBMs as Constraint Systems

theory DBM-Constraint-Systems

end

```
imports
   DBM-Operations
   DBM	ext{-}Normalization
begin
4.1
      Misc
lemma Max-le-MinI:
 assumes finite S finite T S \neq \{\}\ T \neq \{\}\ \land x \ y. \ x \in S \Longrightarrow y \in T \Longrightarrow x
 shows Max S \leq Min T by (simp \ add: \ assms)
lemma Min-insert-cases:
 assumes x = Min (insert \ a \ S) finite S
 obtains (default) x = a \mid (elem) \ x \in S
 by (metis Min-in assms finite.insertI insertE insert-not-empty)
lemma cval-add-simp[simp]:
 (u \oplus d) x = u x + d
 unfolding cval-add-def by simp
lemmas [simp] = any-le-inf
lemma Le-in-between:
 assumes a < b
 obtains d where a \leq Le \ d \ Le \ d \leq b
 using assms by atomize-elim (cases a; cases b; auto)
lemma DBMEntry-le-to-sum:
 fixes e \ e' :: 't :: time \ DBMEntry
 assumes e' \neq \infty e \leq e'
 shows - e' + e \le \theta
  using assms by (cases e; cases e') (auto simp: DBM.neutral DBM.add
uminus)
lemma DBMEntry-le-add:
 fixes a b c :: 't :: time DBMEntry
 assumes a \leq b + c \ c \neq \infty
 \mathbf{shows} - c + a \le b
 using assms
 by (cases a; cases b; cases c) (auto simp: DBM.neutral DBM.add uminus
algebra-simps)
```

lemma *DBM-triv-emptyI*:

```
assumes M 0 0 < 0 shows [M]_{v,n} = \{\} using assms unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def DBM.less-eq[symmetric] DBM.neutral by auto
```

4.2 Definition and Semantics of Constraint Systems

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{datatype} \ ('x, \ 'v) \ constr = \\ Lower \ 'x \ 'v \ DBMEntry \ | \ Upper \ 'x \ 'v \ DBMEntry \ | \ Diff \ 'x \ 'x \ 'v \ DBMEntry \end{array}$$

type-synonym (
$$'x$$
, $'v$) $cs = ('x, 'v)$ $constr set$

inductive entry-sem (
$$\leftarrow \models_e \rightarrow [62, 62] 62$$
) where $v \models_e Lt \ x \ \text{if} \ v < x \mid v \models_e Le \ x \ \text{if} \ v \le x \mid v \models_e \infty$

inductive constr-sem (
$$\leftarrow \models_c \rightarrow [62, 62] 62$$
) where $u \models_c Lower \ x \ e \ \mathbf{if} \ - u \ x \models_e e \mid u \models_c Upper \ x \ e \ \mathbf{if} \ u \ x \models_e e \mid u \models_c Diff \ x \ y \ e \ \mathbf{if} \ u \ x - u \ y \models_e e$

definition
$$cs\text{-}sem$$
 ($\leftarrow \models_{cs} \rightarrow [62, 62] 62$) where $u \models_{cs} cs \longleftrightarrow (\forall c \in cs. \ u \models_{c} c)$

definition cs-models (
$$\leftarrow \models \rightarrow [62, 62] 62$$
) where $cs \models c \equiv \forall u. \ u \models_{cs} cs \longrightarrow u \models_{c} c$

definition
$$cs$$
-equiv ($\leftarrow \equiv_{cs} \rightarrow [62, 62] 62$) where $cs \equiv_{cs} cs' \equiv \forall u. \ u \models_{cs} cs \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} cs'$

definition

closure
$$cs \equiv \{c. \ cs \models c\}$$

definition

 $bot-cs = \{Lower \ undefined \ (Lt \ 0), \ Upper \ undefined \ (Lt \ 0)\}$

lemma constr-sem-less-eq-iff:

$$u \models_{c} Lower \ x \ e \longleftrightarrow Le \ (-u \ x) \le e$$

 $u \models_{c} Upper \ x \ e \longleftrightarrow Le \ (u \ x) \le e$
 $u \models_{c} Diff \ x \ y \ e \longleftrightarrow Le \ (u \ x - u \ y) \le e$

by (cases e; auto simp: constr-sem.simps entry-sem.simps)+

```
lemma constr-sem-mono:
 assumes e \leq e'
  shows
   u \models_c Lower \ x \ e \implies u \models_c Lower \ x \ e'
   u \models_c Upper \ x \ e \implies u \models_c Upper \ x \ e'
   u \models_c Diff x y e \Longrightarrow u \models_c Diff x y e'
  using assms unfolding constr-sem-less-eq-iff by simp+
lemma constr-sem-triv[simp, intro]:
  u \models_c Upper x \infty u \models_c Lower y \infty u \models_c Diff x y \infty
  unfolding constr-sem.simps entry-sem.simps by auto
lemma cs-sem-antimono:
  assumes cs \subseteq cs' u \models_{cs} cs'
  shows u \models_{cs} cs
  using assms unfolding cs-sem-def by auto
lemma cs-equivD[intro, dest]:
  assumes u \models_{cs} cs \ cs \equiv_{cs} cs'
  shows u \models_{cs} cs'
  using assms unfolding cs-equiv-def by auto
lemma cs-equiv-sym:
  cs \equiv_{cs} cs' if cs' \equiv_{cs} cs
  using that unfolding cs-equiv-def by fast
lemma cs-equiv-union:
  cs \equiv_{cs} cs \cup cs'  if cs \equiv_{cs} cs'
  using that unfolding cs-equiv-def cs-sem-def by blast
lemma cs-equiv-alt-def:
  cs \equiv_{cs} cs' \longleftrightarrow (\forall c. cs \models c \longleftrightarrow cs' \models c)
  unfolding cs-equiv-def cs-models-def cs-sem-def by auto
lemma closure-equiv:
  closure cs \equiv_{cs} cs
  unfolding cs-equiv-alt-def closure-def cs-models-def cs-sem-def by auto
lemma closure-superset:
  cs \subseteq closure \ cs
  unfolding closure-def cs-models-def cs-sem-def by auto
lemma bot-cs-empty:
  \neg (u :: ('c \Rightarrow 't :: linordered-ab-group-add)) \models_{cs} bot-cs
```

```
unfolding bot-cs-def cs-sem-def by (auto elim!: constr-sem.cases en-
try-sem.cases)
lemma finite-bot-cs:
  finite bot-cs
  unfolding bot-cs-def by auto
definition cs-vars where
  cs-vars cs = \bigcup (set1-constr ' cs)
definition map-cs-vars where
  map\text{-}cs\text{-}vars\ v\ cs = map\text{-}constr\ v\ id\ `cs
lemma constr-sem-rename-vars:
  assumes inj-on v S set1-constr c \subseteq S
  shows (u \ o \ inv\text{-}into \ S \ v) \models_c map\text{-}constr \ v \ id \ c \longleftrightarrow u \models_c c
  using assms
  by (cases c) (auto intro!: constr-sem.intros elim!: constr-sem.cases simp:
DBMEntry.map-id)
lemma cs-sem-rename-vars:
  assumes inj-on v (cs-vars cs)
 shows (u \ o \ inv\text{-}into \ (cs\text{-}vars \ cs) \ v) \models_{cs} map\text{-}cs\text{-}vars \ v \ cs \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} cs
 using assms constr-sem-rename-vars unfolding map-cs-vars-def cs-sem-def
cs-vars-def by blast
4.3
       Conversion of DBMs to Constraint Systems and Back
definition dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs :: nat \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('v :: \{linorder, zero\}) DBM
\Rightarrow ('x, 'v) cs where
  dbm-to-cs n v M \equiv if M 0 0 < 0 then bot-cs else
   \{Lower\ x\ (M\ \theta\ (v\ x))\mid x.\ v\ x\leq n\}\cup
   \{Upper\ x\ (M\ (v\ x)\ \theta)\mid x.\ v\ x\leq n\}\cup
   \{Diff\ x\ y\ (M\ (v\ x)\ (v\ y))\mid x\ y.\ v\ x\leq n\wedge v\ y\leq n\}
lemma dbm-entry-val-Lower-iff:
  dbm-entry-val u None (Some x) e \longleftrightarrow u \models_c Lower x e
  by (cases e) (auto simp: constr-sem-less-eq-iff)
lemma dbm-entry-val-Upper-iff:
  dbm-entry-val u (Some x) None e \longleftrightarrow u \models_c Upper x e
  by (cases e) (auto simp: constr-sem-less-eq-iff)
```

lemma dbm-entry-val-Diff-iff:

```
dbm-entry-val u (Some x) (Some y) e \longleftrightarrow u \models_c Diff x y e
  by (cases e) (auto simp: constr-sem-less-eq-iff)
lemmas dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff =
  dbm-entry-val-Lower-iff
  dbm-entry-val-Upper-iff
  dbm-entry-val-Diff-iff
theorem dbm-to-cs-correct:
  u \vdash_{v,n} M \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ M
  apply (rule iffI)
 unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff dbm-to-cs-def
  subgoal
   by (auto simp: DBM.neutral DBM.less-eq[symmetric] cs-sem-def)
   using bot-cs-empty by (cases M 0 0 < 0, auto simp: DBM.neutral
DBM.less-eq[symmetric] \ cs-sem-def)
definition
  cs-to-dbm v cs \equiv if (\forall u. \neg u \models_{cs} cs) then (\lambda- -. Lt 0) else (
      if i = 0 then
       if j = 0 then
          Le \ 0
          Min \ (insert \ \infty \ \{e. \ \exists \ x. \ Lower \ x \ e \in cs \land v \ x = j\})
      else
       if j = 0 then
          Min \ (insert \ \infty \ \{e. \ \exists \ x. \ Upper \ x \ e \in cs \land v \ x = i\})
          Min \ (insert \ \infty \ \{e. \ \exists \ x \ y. \ Diff \ x \ y \ e \in cs \land v \ x = i \land v \ y = j\})
  )
lemma finite-dbm-to-cs:
  assumes finite \{x. \ v \ x \leq n\}
  shows finite (dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ M)
  using [[simproc add: finite-Collect]] unfolding dbm-to-cs-def
  by (auto intro: assms simp: finite-bot-cs)
lemma empty-dbm-empty:
  u \vdash_{v,n} (\lambda - - Lt \ \theta) \longleftrightarrow False
 unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def by (auto simp: DBM.less-eq[symmetric])
fun expr-of-constr where
  expr-of-constr (Lower - e) = e
```

```
expr-of-constr (Upper - e) = e
  expr-of-constr (Diff - - e) = e
lemma cs-to-dbm1:
  assumes \forall x \in cs\text{-}vars\ cs.\ v\ x > 0 \land v\ x \leq n\ finite\ cs
  assumes u \vdash_{v,n} cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm\ v\ cs
  shows u \models_{cs} cs
proof (cases \forall u. \neg u \models_{cs} cs)
  case True
  with assms(3) show ?thesis
    unfolding cs-to-dbm-def by (simp add: empty-dbm-empty)
next
  case False
  show u \models_{cs} cs
    unfolding cs-sem-def
  proof (rule ballI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ c
    assume c \in cs
    show u \models_c c
    proof (cases c)
     case (Lower x e)
      with assms(1) \langle c \in cs \rangle have *: 0 < v \times x \times x \leq n
        by (auto simp: cs-vars-def)
      let ?S = \{e. \exists x'. Lower x' e \in cs \land v x' = v x\}
      let ?e = Min (insert \infty ?S)
      have ?S \subseteq expr-of-constr ' cs
        by force
      with \langle finite \ cs \rangle \ \langle c \in cs \rangle \ \langle c = - \rangle have ?e \le e
        using finite-subset finite-imageI by (blast intro: Min-le)
     moreover from * assms(3) False have dbm-entry-val u None (Some
x) ? e
        unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def cs-to-dbm-def by (auto 4 4)
      ultimately have dbm-entry-val u None (Some x) (e)
        \mathbf{by} - (rule\ dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\text{-}mono[folded\ DBM.less\text{-}eq])
      then show ?thesis
        unfolding dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff[symmetric] \langle c = - \rangle.
    next
      case (Upper \ x \ e)
      with assms(1) \langle c \in cs \rangle have *: 0 < v \times x \times x \leq n
        by (auto simp: cs-vars-def)
      let ?S = \{e. \exists x'. Upper x' e \in cs \land v x' = v x\}
      let ?e = Min \ (insert \ \infty \ ?S)
      have ?S \subseteq expr-of-constr ' cs
        by force
```

```
with \langle finite \ cs \rangle \ \langle c \in cs \rangle \ \langle c = - \rangle have ?e \le e
        using finite-subset finite-imageI by (blast intro: Min-le)
       moreover from * assms(3) False have dbm-entry-val u (Some x)
None ?e
        unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def cs-to-dbm-def by (auto 4 4)
      ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some x) None e
        \mathbf{by} - (rule\ dbm-entry-val-mono[folded\ DBM.less-eq])
      then show ?thesis
        unfolding dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff <math>\langle c = - \rangle.
    next
      case (Diff x y e)
      with assms(1) \langle c \in cs \rangle have *: 0 < v \ x \ v \ x \le n \ 0 < v \ y \ v \ y \le n
        by (auto simp: cs-vars-def)
      let ?S = \{e. \exists x' y'. Diff x' y' e \in cs \land v x' = v x \land v y' = v y\}
      let ?e = Min (insert \infty ?S)
      have ?S \subseteq expr-of-constr ' cs
        by force
      with \langle finite \ cs \rangle \ \langle c \in cs \rangle \ \langle c = - \rangle have ?e \le e
        using finite-subset finite-image by (blast intro: Min-le)
       moreover from * assms(3) False have dbm-entry-val u (Some x)
(Some \ y) \ ?e
       unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def cs-to-dbm-def by (auto 4 4)
      ultimately have dbm-entry-val u (Some x) (Some y) e
       \mathbf{by} - (rule\ dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\text{-}mono[folded\ DBM.less\text{-}eq])
      then show ?thesis
        unfolding dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff <math>\langle c = - \rangle.
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma cs-to-dbm2:
  assumes \forall x. \ v \ x \leq n \longrightarrow v \ x > 0 \ \forall x \ y. \ v \ x \leq n \land v \ y \leq n \land v \ x = v \ y
\longrightarrow x = y
 assumes finite cs
  assumes u \models_{cs} cs
  shows u \vdash_{v,n} cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm\ v\ cs
proof (cases \ \forall \ u. \ \neg u \models_{cs} \ cs)
  case True
  with assms show ?thesis
    unfolding cs-to-dbm-def by (simp add: empty-dbm-empty)
next
  case False
 let ?M = cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm \ v \ cs
  show u \vdash_{v,n} cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm \ v \ cs
```

```
unfolding DBM-val-bounded-def DBM.less-eq[symmetric]
 proof (safe)
   show Le 0 \le cs-to-dbm v \ cs \ 0 \ 0
     using False unfolding cs-to-dbm-def by auto
 next
   \mathbf{fix} \ x :: 'a
   assume v x \le n
   let ?S = \{e. \exists x'. Lower x' e \in cs \land v x' = v x\}
   from \langle v | x \leq n \rangle assms have v | x > 0
     by simp
   with False have ?M \ \theta \ (v \ x) = Min \ (insert \ \infty \ ?S)
     unfolding cs-to-dbm-def by auto
   moreover have finite ?S
   proof -
     have ?S \subseteq expr-of-constr ' cs
       by force
     also have finite ...
       using \langle finite \ cs \rangle by (rule \ finite-image I)
     finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   ultimately show dbm-entry-val u None (Some x) (?M \ \theta \ (v \ x))
     using assms(2-) \langle v | x \leq n \rangle
     apply (cases rule: Min-insert-cases)
      apply auto[]
     apply (simp add: dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff cs-sem-def, metis)
     done
 \mathbf{next}
   \mathbf{fix} \ x :: 'a
   assume v x \leq n
   let ?S = \{e. \exists x'. Upper x' e \in cs \land v x' = v x\}
   from \langle v | x \leq n \rangle assms have v | x > 0
     by simp
   with False have ?M(v x) 0 = Min(insert \infty ?S)
     unfolding cs-to-dbm-def by auto
   moreover have finite ?S
   proof -
     have ?S \subseteq expr-of-constr ' cs
       by force
     also have finite ...
       using \( \int \text{finite } cs \) by \( \text{rule finite-image} I \)
     finally show ?thesis.
   ultimately show dbm-entry-val u (Some x) None (cs-to-dbm v cs (v x)
\theta)
```

```
using \langle v | x \leq n \rangle \ assms(2-)
      apply (cases rule: Min-insert-cases)
       apply auto
      apply (simp add: dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff cs-sem-def, metis)
  next
    fix x y :: 'a
    assume v x \le n \ v \ y \le n
    let ?S = \{e. \exists x' y'. Diff x' y' e \in cs \land v x' = v x \land v y' = v y\}
    \mathbf{from} \ \langle v \ x \leq n \rangle \ \langle v \ y \leq n \rangle \ assms \ \mathbf{have} \ v \ x > 0 \ v \ y > 0
      by auto
    with False have ?M(v x)(v y) = Min(insert \infty ?S)
      unfolding cs-to-dbm-def by auto
    moreover have finite ?S
    proof -
      have ?S \subseteq expr-of-constr ' cs
        by force
      also have finite ...
        using \( \text{finite } cs \) by \( \text{rule } \text{finite-image} I \)
      finally show ?thesis.
    qed
    ultimately show dbm-entry-val u (Some x) (Some y) (cs-to-dbm v cs
(v x) (v y)
      using \langle v | x \leq n \rangle \langle v | y \leq n \rangle \ assms(2-)
      apply (cases rule: Min-insert-cases)
       apply auto
      apply (simp add: dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff cs-sem-def, metis)
      done
  qed
qed
theorem cs-to-dbm-correct:
  assumes \forall x \in cs\text{-}vars\ cs.\ v\ x \leq n\ \forall x.\ v\ x \leq n \longrightarrow v\ x > 0
    \forall x y. \ v \ x \leq n \land v \ y \leq n \land v \ x = v \ y \longrightarrow x = y
  shows u \vdash_{v,n} cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm\ v\ cs \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} cs
  using assms by (blast intro: cs-to-dbm1 cs-to-dbm2)
corollary cs-to-dbm-correct':
  assumes
    bij-betw v (cs-vars cs) \{1..n\} \ \forall x. \ v \ x \leq n \longrightarrow v \ x > 0 \ \forall x. \ x \notin cs-vars
cs \longrightarrow v \ x > n
    finite cs
  shows u \vdash_{v,n} cs\text{-}to\text{-}dbm\ v\ cs \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} cs
```

```
proof (rule cs-to-dbm-correct, safe)
 fix x assume x \in cs-vars cs
 then show v x < n
   using assms(1) unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
next
 fix x assume v x \leq n
 then show 0 < v x
   using assms(2) by blast
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y :: 'a
 assume A: v x \leq n v y \leq n v x = v y
 with A assms show x = y
   unfolding bij-betw-def by (auto dest!: inj-onD)
next
 show finite cs
   by (rule assms)
qed
```

4.4 Application: Relaxation On Constraint Systems

The following is a sample application of viewing DBMs as constraint systems. We show define an equivalent of the up operation on DBMs, prove it correct, and then derive an alternative correctness proof for up.

definition

```
 \begin{aligned} &up\text{-}cs\ cs = \{c.\ c \in cs \land (case\ c\ of\ Upper\ -\ - \Rightarrow False\ |\ - \Rightarrow\ True)\} \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} &\textbf{lemma}\ Lower\text{-}shiftI: \\ &u\oplus d\models_c\ Lower\ x\ e\ \textbf{if}\ u\models_c\ Lower\ x\ e\ (d::\ 't::linordered\text{-}ab\text{-}group\text{-}add) \end{aligned} \\ &\geq 0 \\ &\textbf{using}\ that\ diff\text{-}mono\ less\text{-}trans\ not\text{-}less\text{-}iff\text{-}gr\text{-}or\text{-}eq} \\ &\textbf{by}\ (cases\ e;fastforce\ simp:\ constr\text{-}sem\text{-}less\text{-}eq\text{-}iff) \end{aligned}   \begin{aligned} &\textbf{lemma}\ Upper\text{-}shiftI: \\ &u\oplus d\models_c\ Upper\ x\ e\ \textbf{if}\ u\models_c\ Upper\ x\ e\ (d::\ 't::linordered\text{-}ab\text{-}group\text{-}add) \end{aligned} \\ &\leq 0 \\ &\textbf{using}\ that\ add\text{-}less\text{-}le\text{-}mono} \\ &\textbf{by}\ (cases\ e)\ (fastforce\ simp:\ constr\text{-}sem\text{-}less\text{-}eq\text{-}iff\ add.\ commute\ add\text{-}decreasing}) + \end{aligned}
```

lemma up-cs-complete:

lemma Diff-shift:

 $u \oplus d \models_{c} Diff x \ y \ e \longleftrightarrow u \models_{c} Diff x \ y \ e \ \textbf{for} \ d :: 't :: linordered-ab-group-add$

by (cases e) (auto simp: constr-sem-less-eq-iff)

```
u \oplus d \models_{cs} up\text{-}cs \ cs \ \mathbf{if} \ u \models_{cs} cs \ d \geq 0 \ \mathbf{for} \ d :: 't :: linordered\text{-}ab\text{-}group\text{-}add
  using that unfolding up-cs-def cs-sem-def
  apply clarsimp
  subgoal for x
   by (cases x) (auto simp: Diff-shift intro: Lower-shiftI)
  done
definition
  lower-upper-closed cs \equiv \forall x \ y \ e \ e'.
    Lower x \ e \in cs \land Upper \ y \ e' \in cs \longrightarrow (\exists \ e''. \ Diff \ y \ x \ e'' \in cs \land e'' \le e
+ e'
lemma up-cs-sound:
  assumes u \models_{cs} up\text{-}cs \ cs \ lower\text{-}upper\text{-}closed \ cs \ finite \ cs
  obtains u' and d :: 't :: time where <math>d \ge 0 \ u' \models_{cs} cs \ u = u' \oplus d
proof -
  define U and L and LT where
    U \equiv \{e + Le (-u x) \mid x e. Upper x e \in cs \land e \neq \infty\}
    and L \equiv \{-e + Le (-u x) \mid x e. Lower x e \in cs \land e \neq \infty\}
    and LT \equiv \{Le (-d - u x) \mid x d. Lower x (Lt d) \in cs\}
  note defs = U-def L-def LT-def
  let ?l = Max L and ?u = Min U
  have LT \subseteq L
    by (force simp: DBM-arith-defs defs)
  have Diff-semD: u \models_c Diff \ y \ x \ (e + e') \ \text{if Lower} \ x \ e \in cs \ Upper \ y \ e' \in
cs for x y e e'
  proof -
    from assms that obtain e'' where Diff y x e'' \in cs e'' \leq e + e'
      unfolding lower-upper-closed-def cs-equiv-def by blast
    with assms(1) show ?thesis
      unfolding cs-sem-def up-cs-def by (auto intro: constr-sem-mono)
  qed
  have Lower-semD: u \models_c Lower \ x \ e \ \text{if } Lower \ x \ e \in cs \ \text{for} \ x \ e
    using that assms unfolding cs-sem-def up-cs-def by auto
  have Lower-boundI: -e + Le (-u x) \le 0 if Lower x e \in cs \ e \ne \infty for x
   using Lower-semD[OF\ that(1)]\ that(2)\ unfolding\ constr-sem-less-eq-iff
   by (intro DBMEntry-le-to-sum)
  from \langle finite \ cs \rangle have finite \ L
    unfolding defs
    by (force intro: finite-subset[where B = (\lambda c. \ case \ c \ of \ Lower \ x \ e \Rightarrow -
e + Le (-u x) (cs]
  from \langle finite\ cs \rangle have finite\ U
```

```
unfolding defs
    by (force intro: finite-subset[where B = (\lambda c. \ case \ c \ of \ Upper \ x \ e \Rightarrow e
+ Le (- u x)) (cs]
  note L-ge = Max-ge[OF \land finite L \land] and U-le = Min-le[OF \land finite U \land]
  have L-\theta: Max L \leq \theta if L \neq \{\}
   by (intro Max.boundedI \langle finite L \rangle that) (auto intro: Lower-boundI simp:
  have L-U: Max L \leq Min U \text{ if } L \neq \{\} U \neq \{\}
   apply (intro Max-le-MinI \langle finite L \rangle \langle finite U \rangle that)
   apply (clarsimp simp: defs)
   apply (drule (1) Diff-semD)
   subgoal for x y e e'
     unfolding constr-sem-less-eq-iff
        by (cases e; cases e'; simp add: DBM-arith-defs; simp add: alge-
bra-simps)
   done
  consider
   (L-empty) L = \{\} \mid (Lt-empty) LT = \{\} \mid (L-gt-Lt) Max L > Max LT \mid (L-gt-Lt)
    (Lt-Max) x d where Lower x (Lt d) \in cs Le (-d - u x) \in LT Max L
= Le (-d - u x)
     by (smt\ (verit)\ finite-subset\ Max-in\ Max-mono\ \langle finite\ L\rangle\ \langle LT\ \subseteq\ L\rangle
less-le mem-Collect-eq defs)
  note L-Lt-cases = this
  have Lt-Max-rule: -c - u x < 0
   if Lower x (Lt c) \in cs Max L = Le (-c - ux) L \neq \{\} for cx
   using that
   by (metis DBMEntry.distinct(1) L-0 Le-le-LeD Le-less-Lt Lower-semD
          add.inverse-inverse constr-sem-less-eq-iff(1) eq-iff-diff-eq-0 less-le
neutral)
  have LT-0-boundI: \exists d \leq 0. (\forall l \in L. \ l \leq Le \ d) \land (\forall l \in LT. \ l < Le \ d)
if \langle L \neq \{\} \rangle
  proof -
   obtain d where d: ?l \le Le \ d \ d \le 0
     by (metis L-0 \langle L \neq \{\} \rangle neutral order-refl)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases rule: L-Lt-cases)
     case L-empty
     with \langle L \neq \{\} \rangle show ?thesis
       by simp
   next
     case Lt-empty
     then show ?thesis
       by (smt\ (verit)\ L-ge d(1,2)\ empty-iff leD\ leI\ less-le-trans)
   next
```

```
case L-gt-Lt
      then show ?thesis
        by (smt (verit) finite-subset Max-ge \langle finite L \rangle \langle LT \subseteq L \rangle d(1,2) leD
leI less-le-trans)
    next
      case (Lt-Max x c)
      define d where d \equiv -c - u x
      from Lt-Max(1,3) \langle L \neq \{\} \rangle have d < \theta
        unfolding d-def by (rule Lt-Max-rule)
      then obtain d' where d': d < d' d' < \theta
        using dense by auto
      have \forall l \in L. l < Le d'
      proof safe
        \mathbf{fix}\ l
        assume l \in L
        then have l \leq Le \ d
         unfolding d-def \langle Max L = - \rangle [symmetric] by (rule L-ge)
        also from d' have ... < Le \ d'
         by auto
        finally show l < Le \ d'.
      qed
      with Lt-Max(1,3) d' \langle finite L \rangle \langle L \neq \{\} \rangle \langle LT \subseteq L \rangle show ?thesis
        by (intro\ exI[of\ -\ d'])\ auto
    qed
  qed
  consider
      (none) \quad L = \{\} \ U = \{\}
    |(upper)| L = \{\} U \neq \{\}
    | (lower) L \neq \{\} U = \{\}
     (proper) L \neq \{\} U \neq \{\}
    by force
```

The main statement of of the proof. Note that most of the lengthiness of the proof is owed to the third conjunct. Our initial hope was that this conjunct would not be needed.

```
then obtain d where d: d \le 0 \ \forall \ l \in L. l \le Le \ d \ \forall \ l \in LT. l < Le \ d \ \forall \ u \in U. Le d \le u proof cases
case none
then show ?thesis
by (intro\ that[of\ 0])\ (auto\ simp:\ defs)
next
case upper
obtain d where Le\ d \le Min\ U\ d \le 0
```

```
by (smt\ (verit)\ DBMEntry.distinct(3)\ add-inf(2)\ any-le-inf\ neg-le-0-iff-le
DBM.neutral
          order.not-eq-order-implies-strict sum-gt-neutral-dest')
   then show ?thesis
     using upper \langle finite \ U \rangle by (intro \ that[of \ d]) (auto \ simp: \ defs)
 next
   case lower
   obtain d where d: Max L \leq Le \ d \ d \leq \theta
     by (smt (verit) L-0 lower(1) neutral order-refl)
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases rule: L-Lt-cases)
     case L-empty
     with lower(1) show ?thesis
       by simp
   next
     case Lt-empty
     then show ?thesis
      by (metis (lifting) L-ge d(1,2) empty-iff leD leI less-le-trans lower(2)
that)
   next
     case L-gt-Lt
     then show ?thesis
       using LT-0-boundI lower(1,2) that by blast
   next
     case (Lt\text{-}Max\ x\ c)
     define d where d \equiv -c - u x
     from Lt-Max(1,3) lower(1) have d < \theta
       unfolding d-def by (rule Lt-Max-rule)
     then obtain d' where d': d < d' d' < \theta
       using dense by auto
     have \forall l \in L. l < Le d'
     proof safe
       \mathbf{fix} l
       assume l \in L
       then have l \leq Le \ d
        unfolding d-def \langle Max L = - \rangle [symmetric] by (rule L-ge)
       also from d' have ... < Le d'
        by auto
       finally show l < Le d'.
     with Lt-Max(1,3) d' \langle finite L \rangle lower \langle LT \subseteq L \rangle show ?thesis
       by (intro\ that[of\ d'])\ auto
   qed
 next
```

```
case proper
   with L-U L-0 have Max L \leq Min \ U \ Max \ L \leq 0
     by auto
   from \langle finite\ U \rangle \langle U \neq \{\} \rangle have ?u \in U
     unfolding U-def by (rule Min-in)
   have main:
     \exists d'. -d - u \ x < d' \land Le \ d' < ?u
     if Lower x (Lt d) \in cs Le (-d - u x) \in LT ?l = Le (-d - u x) for d
   proof (cases ?u)
     case (Le d')
     with \langle ?u \in U \rangle obtain e \ y where *: Le d' = e + Le \ (-u \ y) Upper y
e \in cs
       unfolding U-def by auto
     then obtain d1 where e = Le \ d1
       by (cases e) (auto simp: DBM-arith-defs)
     with * have d' = d1 - u y
       by (auto simp: DBM-arith-defs)
     from Diff-semD[OF \langle Lower\ x\ (Lt\ d) \in cs \rangle \langle Upper\ y\ e \in -\rangle] have u\ y
- u x < d + d1
     unfolding constr-sem-less-eq-iff \langle e = - \rangle by (simp add: DBM-arith-defs)
     then have -d - u x < d'
       unfolding \langle d' = - \rangle by (simp add: algebra-simps)
     then obtain d1 where -d - u x < d1 d1 < d'
       using dense by auto
     with \langle ?u = -\rangle show ?thesis
       by (intro exI[where x = d1]) auto
   next
     case (Lt d')
     with \langle ?u \in U \rangle obtain e \ y where *: Lt d' = e + Le \ (-u \ y) Upper y
e \in cs
       unfolding U-def by auto
     then obtain d1 where e = Lt \ d1
       by (cases e) (auto simp: DBM-arith-defs)
     with * have d' = d1 - u y
       by (auto simp: DBM-arith-defs)
     from Diff-semD[OF \langle Lower\ x\ (Lt\ d) \in cs \rangle \langle Upper\ y\ e \in \neg \rangle] have u\ y
-ux < d+d1
     unfolding constr-sem-less-eq-iff \langle e = - \rangle by (simp add: DBM-arith-defs)
     then have -d - u x < d'
       unfolding \langle d' = - \rangle by (simp add: algebra-simps)
     then obtain d1 where -d - u x < d1 d1 < d'
       using dense by auto
     with \langle ?u = \rightarrow \text{show } ?thesis
```

```
by (intro exI[where x = d1]) auto
   next
    case INF
    with \langle ?u \in U \rangle show ?thesis
      using Lt-Max-rule proper(1) that (1,3) by fastforce
   qed
   consider (eq) Max L = Min U \mid (0) Min U > 0 \mid (qt) Max L < Min
U Min U < 0
    using \langle Max \ L \leq Min \ U \rangle by fastforce
   then show ?thesis
   proof cases
    case eq
    from proper \langle finite L \rangle \langle finite U \rangle have ?l \in L ?u \in U
      by - (rule Max-in Min-in; assumption)+
    then obtain x y e e' where *:
      ?l = -e + Le (-u x) Lower x e \in cs e \neq \infty
      ?u = e' + Le (-u y) Upper y e' \in cs e' \neq \infty
      unfolding defs by auto
    with \langle ?l = ?u \rangle obtain d where d: ?l = Le \ d
      apply (cases e; cases e'; simp add: DBM-arith-defs)
      subgoal for a b
      proof -
        assume prems: -a - u x = b - u y e = Le a e' = Lt b
        from * have u \models_c Diff y \ x \ (e + e')
          by (intro\ Diff-semD)
        with prems have False
        by (simp add: DBM-arith-defs constr-sem-less-eq-iff algebra-simps)
        then show ?thesis ..
      qed
      done
    from \langle ?l \leq 0 \rangle have **: d \leq 0 \ \forall \ l \in L. l \leq Le \ d \ \forall \ u \in U. Le d \leq u
        apply (simp add: DBM.neutral d)
       apply (auto simp: d[symmetric] intro: L-ge)[]
      apply (auto simp: d[symmetric] eq intro: U-le L-ge)[]
      done
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases rule: L-Lt-cases)
      case L-empty
      with \langle L \neq \{\} \rangle show ?thesis
        by simp
    next
      case Lt-empty
      with ** show ?thesis
        by (intro\ that[of\ d]) auto
```

```
next
       case L-gt-Lt
       with ** show ?thesis
         by (intro\ that[of\ d];\ simp)
             next
       case (Lt-Max y d1)
       from main[OF\ this] obtain d' where d' > -d1 - u\ y\ Le\ d' < Min
U
         by auto
       with ** Lt-Max(3)[symmetric] d eq show ?thesis
         by (intro\ that[of\ d'];\ simp)
      qed
   next
      case \theta
      from LT-0-boundI[OF \langle L \neq \{\} \rangle] obtain d where d \leq 0 \ \forall \ l \in L. \ l \leq
Le d \ \forall \ l \in LT. l < Le \ d
       by safe
      with \langle Max \ L < \theta \rangle \langle finite \ L \rangle \langle finite \ U \rangle proper \theta show ?thesis
       by (intro that[of d]) (auto simp: DBM.neutral intro: order-trans)
   next
      case gt
      then obtain d where d: Max L \leq Le \ d \ Le \ d \leq Min \ U
       by (elim Le-in-between)
      with \langle - \langle \theta \rangle have Le \ d < \theta
       by auto
      then have d \leq 0
       by (simp add: neutral)
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases rule: L-Lt-cases)
       case L-empty
       with \langle L \neq \{\} \rangle show ?thesis
         by simp
      next
       case Lt-empty
       with d \langle d \leq \theta \rangle show ?thesis
         using proper \langle finite \ L \rangle \langle finite \ U \rangle by (intro\ that[of\ d]) (auto\ intro:
L-ge U-le)
     next
       case L-qt-Lt
       with d \triangleleft d \leq 0 \triangleleft proper \triangleleft finite L \triangleleft \triangleleft finite U \triangleleft show ?thesis
         apply (intro\ that[of\ d])
            apply (auto intro: L-ge U-le)[2]
                apply (meson finite-subset Max-ge \langle LT \subseteq L \rangle le-less-trans
```

```
less-le-trans)
         apply simp
         done
      next
        case (Lt-Max y d1)
        from main[OF\ this] obtain d' where d': d' > -d1 - u y Le d' <
Min U
         by auto
        with d have d-bounds: ?l < Le \ d' \ Le \ d' \le ?u
         unfolding \langle ?l = \rightarrow  by auto
        from \langle ?l < Le \ d' \rangle have \forall \ l \in L. \ l < Le \ d'
          using Max-less-iff \langle finite L \rangle by blast
        moreover from \langle Le \ d' \leq ?u \rangle \langle ?u < \theta \rangle have d' \leq \theta
          by (metis Le-le-LeD le-less-trans neutral order.strict-iff-order)
        with d Lt-Max(3)[symmetric] d-bounds d' \langle LT \subseteq L \rangle show ?thesis
         using proper \langle finite L \rangle \langle finite U \rangle
         by (intro that of d'; auto)
      qed
    qed
 \mathbf{qed}
  have u \oplus d \models_{cs} cs
    unfolding cs-sem-def
  proof safe
    fix c :: ('a, 't) constr
    assume c \in cs
    show u \oplus d \models_c c
    proof (cases c)
      case (Lower x e)
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases \ e = \infty)
        case True
        with \langle c = \rightarrow \text{show ?} thesis
         by (auto simp: constr-sem-less-eq-iff)
      next
        case False
        with \langle c = - \rangle \langle c \in - \rangle have -e + Le(-u x) \in L
          unfolding defs by auto
        with d have -e + Le (-u x) \le Le d
         by auto
        then show ?thesis
         using d(3) \langle c \in \neg \rangle unfolding \langle c = \neg \rangle constr-sem-less-eq-iff
          apply (cases e; simp add: defs DBM-arith-defs)
        \mathbf{apply}\ (metis\ diff-le-eq\ minus-add-distrib\ minus-le-iff\ uminus-add-conv-diff)
        apply (metis ab-group-add-class.ab-diff-conv-add-uminus leD le-less
```

```
less-diff-eq
               minus-diff-eq neg-less-iff-less)
         done
      qed
   next
      case (Upper \ x \ e)
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases e = \infty)
       case True
       with \langle c = \rightarrow \mathbf{show} ? thesis
         by (auto simp: constr-sem-less-eq-iff)
      next
       case False
       with \langle c = - \rangle \langle c \in - \rangle have e + Le(-u x) \in U
         by (auto simp: defs)
       with d show ?thesis
       by (cases e) (auto simp: \langle c = - \rangle constr-sem-less-eq-iff DBM-arith-defs
algebra-simps)
      qed
   next
      case (Diff x y e)
      with assms \langle c \in cs \rangle show ?thesis
       by (auto simp: Diff-shift cs-sem-def up-cs-def)
   qed
  qed
  with \langle d \leq \theta \rangle show ?thesis
   by (intro that [of -d \ u \oplus d]; simp add: cval-add-def)
qed
```

Note that if we compare this proof to $[\![\forall c.\ 0 < ?\!v\ c \land (\forall x\ y.\ ?\!v\ x \le ?\!n \land ?\!v\ y \le ?\!n \land ?\!v\ x = ?\!v\ y \longrightarrow x = y);\ ?\!u \in [\![up\ ?\!M]\!]_{?\!v,?\!n}] \Longrightarrow ?\!u \in [\!?\!M]\!]_{?\!v,?\!n}$, we can see that we have not gained much. Settling on DBM entry arithmetic as done above was not the optimal choice for this proof, while it can drastically simply some other proofs. Also, note that the final theorem we obtain below (DBM-up-correct) is slightly stronger than what we would get with $[\![\forall c.\ 0 < ?\!v\ c \land (\forall x\ y.\ ?\!v\ x \le ?\!n \land ?\!v\ y \le ?\!n \land ?\!v\ x = ?\!v\ y \longrightarrow x = y);\ ?\!u \in [\![up\ ?\!M]\!]_{?\!v,?\!n}] \Longrightarrow ?\!u \in [\!?\!M]\!]_{?\!v,?\!n}$. Finally, note that a more elegant definition of lower-upper-closed would probably be: definition lower-upper-closed $cs \equiv \forall x\ y\ e\ e'.\ cs \models Lower\ x\ e \land cs \models Upper\ y\ e' \longrightarrow (\exists\ e''.\ cs \models Diff\ y\ x\ e'' \land e'' \le e + e')$ This would mean that in the proof we would have to replace minimum and maximum by supremum and infimum. The advantage would be that the finiteness assumption could be removed. However, as our DBM entries do not come with $-\infty$, they do not form a complete lattice. Thus we would either have to

make this extension or directly refer to the embedded values directly, which would again have to form a complete lattice. Both variants come with some technical inconvenience.

```
lemma up-cs-sem:
 fixes cs :: ('x, 'v :: time) \ cs
 assumes lower-upper-closed cs finite cs
 shows \{u.\ u \models_{cs} up\text{-}cs\ cs\} = \{u \oplus d \mid u\ d.\ u \models_{cs} cs \land d \geq 0\}
 by safe (metis up-cs-sound up-cs-complete assms)+
definition
  close-lu :: ('t::linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add) DBM \Rightarrow 't DBM
  close-lu M \equiv \lambda i j. if i > 0 then min (dbm-add (M i 0) (M 0 j)) (M i j)
else M i j
definition
 up' :: ('t::linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add) DBM \Rightarrow 't DBM
 up' M \equiv \lambda i j. if i > 0 \land j = 0 then \infty else M i j
lemma up-alt-def:
 up \ M = up' (close-lu \ M)
 by (intro ext) (simp add: up-def up'-def close-lu-def)
lemma close-lu-equiv:
 fixes M :: 't :: time DBM
 shows dbm-to-cs n v M \equiv_{cs} dbm-to-cs n v (close-lu M)
 unfolding cs-equiv-def dbm-to-cs-correct[symmetric]
   DBM-val-bounded-def close-lu-def dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff
 unfolding min-def DBM.add[symmetric]
 unfolding constr-sem-less-eq-iff
 unfolding DBM.less-eq[symmetric] DBM.neutral[symmetric]
 apply (auto simp:)[]
          apply (force simp add: add-increasing2)
         apply (metis (full-types) le \theta) +
 subgoal premises prems for u c1 c2
 proof -
   have Le (u c1 - u c2) = Le (u c1) + Le (- u c2)
     by (simp add: DBM-arith-defs)
   also from prems have ... \leq M \ (v \ c1) \ \theta + M \ \theta \ (v \ c2)
     by (intro add-mono) auto
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
```

```
by (smt (verit) leI le-zero-eq order-trans | metis le0)+
lemma close-lu-closed:
 lower-upper-closed (dbm-to-cs n v (close-lu M)) if M 0 0 \geq 0
 using that unfolding lower-upper-closed-def dbm-to-cs-def close-lu-def
 apply (clarsimp; safe)
 subgoal
   by auto
 subgoal for x y
   by (auto simp: DBM.add[symmetric])
         (metis add.commute add.right-neutral add-left-mono min.absorb2
min.cobounded1)
 by (simp add: add-increasing2)
lemma close-lu-closed': — Unused
 lower-upper-closed\ (dbm-to-cs\ n\ v\ (close-lu\ M)\ \cup\ dbm-to-cs\ n\ v\ M)\ {f if}\ M
0 \ 0 \geq 0
 using that unfolding lower-upper-closed-def dbm-to-cs-def close-lu-def
 apply (clarsimp; safe)
 subgoal
   by auto
 subgoal for x y
  by (metis DBM.add add.commute add.right-neutral add-left-mono min.absorb2
min.cobounded1)
 subgoal for x y
   by (metis DBM.add add.commute min.cobounded1)
 by (simp add: add-increasing2)
lemma up-cs-up'-equiv:
 fixes M :: 't :: time DBM
 assumes M \ \theta \ \theta \ge \theta \ clock-numbering v
 shows up-cs (dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ M) \equiv_{cs} dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ (up' \ M)
 using assms
 unfolding up'-def up-cs-def cs-equiv-def dbm-to-cs-correct[symmetric]
   DBM-val-bounded-def close-lu-def dbm-entry-val-constr-sem-iff
 by (auto split: if-split-asm
   simp: dbm-to-cs-def cs-sem-def DBM.add[symmetric] DBM.less-eq[symmetric]
DBM.neutral)
lemma up-equiv-conq: — Unused
 fixes cs \ cs' :: ('x, 'v :: time) \ cs
 assumes cs \equiv_{cs} cs' finite cs finite cs' lower-upper-closed cs lower-upper-closed
cs'
 shows up\text{-}cs \ cs \equiv_{cs} up\text{-}cs \ cs'
```

```
using assms unfolding cs-equiv-def by (metis up-cs-complete up-cs-sound)
```

```
lemma DBM-up-correct:
  fixes M :: 't :: time DBM
  assumes clock-numbering v finite \{x. \ v \ x \leq n\}
  shows u \in ([M]_{v,n})^{\uparrow} \longleftrightarrow u \in [up\ M]_{v,n}
proof (cases M \theta \theta \geq \theta)
  case True
  have u \in ([M]_{v,n})^{\uparrow} \longleftrightarrow (\exists d \ u'. \ u' \vdash_{v,n} M \land d \geq 0 \land u = u' \oplus d)
    {\bf unfolding}\ \textit{DBM-zone-repr-def zone-delay-def}\ {\bf by}\ \textit{auto}
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow (\exists d \ u'. \ u' \models_{cs} dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ M \land d \ge 0 \land u = u' \oplus
d
    unfolding dbm-to-cs-correct ..
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow (\exists d \ u'. \ u' \models_{cs} dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ (close\text{-}lu \ M) \land d \ge 0 \land
u = u' \oplus d
    using cs-equivD close-lu-equiv cs-equiv-sym by metis
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} up\text{-}cs (dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ (close\text{-}lu \ M))
  proof -
    let ?cs = dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ (close\text{-}lu \ M)
    \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{lower-upper-closed}\ ?cs
       by (intro close-lu-closed True)
    moreover have finite ?cs
       by (intro finite-dbm-to-cs assms)
     ultimately have \{u.\ u \models_{cs} up\text{-}cs ?cs\} = \{u \oplus d \mid u \ d.\ u \models_{cs} ?cs \land 0\}
       by (rule\ up\text{-}cs\text{-}sem)
    then show ?thesis
       by (auto 4 3)
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ (up' \ (close\text{-}lu \ M))
  proof -
   from \langle M \ \theta \ \theta \geq \theta \rangle have up-cs (dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ (close\text{-}lu \ M)) \equiv_{cs} dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs
n \ v \ (up' \ (close-lu \ M))
     by (intro up-cs-up'-equiv[OF - \langle clock-numbering v \rangle], simp add: close-lu-def)
    then show ?thesis
       using cs-equivD cs-equiv-sym by metis
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow u \models_{cs} dbm\text{-}to\text{-}cs \ n \ v \ (up \ M)
    unfolding up-alt-def ...
  also have \ldots \longleftrightarrow u \vdash_{v,n} up M
    unfolding dbm-to-cs-correct ..
  also have \ldots \longleftrightarrow u \in [up \ M]_{v,n}
    unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def by blast
  finally show ?thesis.
```

```
next
 case False
 then have M \theta \theta < \theta
   by auto
 then have up \ M \ \theta \ \theta < \theta
   unfolding up-def by auto
 with \langle M \ \theta \ \theta < \theta \rangle have [M]_{v,n} = \{\} [up \ M]_{v,n} = \{\}
   by (auto intro!: DBM-triv-emptyI)
 then show ?thesis
   unfolding zone-delay-def by blast
qed
end
5
     Implementation of DBM Operations
theory DBM-Operations-Impl
 imports
   DBM-Operations
   DBM	ext{-}Normalization
   Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF
   HOL-Library. IArray
begin
5.1
      Misc
lemma fold-last:
 fold f (xs @ [x]) a = f x (fold f xs a)
by simp
5.2
      Reset
definition
 reset-canonical M \ k \ d =
   (\lambda \ i \ j. \ if \ i = k \land j = 0 \ then \ Le \ d
       else if i = 0 \land j = k then Le (-d)
       else if i = k \land j \neq k then Le d + M \circ j
       else if i \neq k \land j = k then Le (-d) + M i 0
       else\ M\ i\ j
   )
— However, DBM entries are NOT a member of this typeclass.
lemma canonical-is-cyc-free:
```

fixes $M:: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('b:: \{linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add, linordered-ab-monoid-add\})$

```
assumes canonical M n
 shows cyc-free M n
proof (cases \forall i \leq n. \ 0 \leq M \ i \ i)
 case True
 with assms show ?thesis by (rule canonical-cyc-free)
next
 case False
 then obtain i where i \leq n M i i < 0 by auto
 then have M i i + M i i < M i i using add-strict-left-mono by fastforce
 with \langle i \leq n \rangle assms show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
lemma dbm-neg-add:
 fixes a :: ('t :: time) DBMEntry
 assumes a < \theta
 shows a + a < \theta
using assms unfolding neutral add less
by (cases a) auto
instance linordered-ab-group-add \subseteq linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add by stan-
dard auto
lemma Le-cancel-1 [simp]:
 fixes d :: 'c :: linordered-ab-group-add
 shows Le d + Le(-d) = Le \theta
unfolding add by simp
lemma Le-cancel-2[simp]:
 fixes d :: 'c :: linordered-ab-group-add
 shows Le(-d) + Le(d) = Le(0)
unfolding add by simp
lemma reset-canonical-canonical':
 canonical\ (reset\text{-}canonical\ M\ k\ (d:: 'c:: linordered\text{-}ab\text{-}group\text{-}add))\ n
 if M \ 0 \ 0 = 0 \ M \ k \ k = 0 canonical M \ n \ k > 0 for k \ n :: nat
proof -
 have add-mono-neutr': a \le a + b if b \ge Le(0 :: 'c) for a b
  using that unfolding neutral[symmetric] by (simp add: add-increasing2)
 have add-mono-neutl': a \le b + a if b \ge Le(0 :: 'c) for a \ b
  using that unfolding neutral[symmetric] by (simp add: add-increasing)
 show ?thesis
   using that
   unfolding reset-canonical-def neutral
   apply (clarsimp split: if-splits)
```

```
apply safe
                apply (simp add: add-mono-neutr'; fail)
                apply (simp add: comm; fail)
               apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl'; fail)
              apply (simp add: comm; fail)
             apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl'; fail)
            apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl'; fail)
            apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl'; fail)
           apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl' add-mono-neutr'; fail)
       apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric] add-mono-neutl' add-mono-neutr';
fail)
      apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric] add-mono-neutl' add-mono-neutr'
comm; fail)
      apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric] add-mono-neutl' add-mono-neutr';
fail)
   subgoal premises prems for i j k
   proof -
    from prems have M i k \leq M i 0 + M 0 k
    also have \dots \leq Le (-d) + M i \theta + (Le d + M \theta k)
      apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric], simp add: comm, simp add:
add.assoc[symmetric])
      using prems(1) that(1) by auto
    finally show ?thesis.
   subgoal premises prems for i j k
   proof -
    from prems have Le 0 \le M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0
      by force
    also have \dots \leq Le \ d + M \ \theta \ j + (Le \ (-d) + M \ j \ \theta)
      apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric], simp add: comm, simp add:
add.assoc[symmetric])
      using prems(1) that(1) by (auto simp: add.commute)
    finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   subgoal premises prems for i j k
   proof -
    from prems have Le 0 \le M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0
      by force
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add.assoc add-mono-neutr')
   subgoal premises prems for i j k
   proof -
```

```
from prems have M \ 0 \ k \le M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ k
      by force
     then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add-left-mono add.assoc)
   subgoal premises prems for i j
   proof -
     from prems have M i \theta \leq M i j + M j \theta
      by force
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: ab-semigroup-add-class.add.left-commute add-mono-right)
   subgoal premises prems for i j
   proof -
     from prems have Le 0 \le M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0
      by force
     then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: ab-semigroup-add-class.add.left-commute add-mono-neutr')
   subgoal premises prems for i j
   proof -
     from prems have M i \theta \leq M i j + M j \theta
      by force
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: ab-semigroup-add-class.add.left-commute add-mono-right)
   qed
   done
qed
lemma reset-canonical-canonical:
 canonical\ (reset\text{-}canonical\ M\ k\ (d:: 'c:: linordered\text{-}ab\text{-}group\text{-}add))\ n
 if \forall i \leq n. Mii = 0 canonical Mnk > 0 for kn :: nat
proof -
 have add-mono-neutr': a \le a + b if b \ge Le(0 :: 'c) for a \ b
  using that unfolding neutral[symmetric] by (simp add: add-increasing2)
 have add-mono-neutl': a \le b + a if b \ge Le(0 :: 'c) for a \ b
   using that unfolding neutral[symmetric] by (simp add: add-increasing)
 show ?thesis
   using that
   unfolding reset-canonical-def neutral
   apply (clarsimp split: if-splits)
   apply safe
                 apply (simp add: add-mono-neutr'; fail)
                apply (simp add: comm; fail)
```

```
apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl'; fail)
              apply (simp add: comm; fail)
             apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl'; fail)
            apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl'; fail)
            apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl'; fail)
           apply (simp add: add-mono-neutl' add-mono-neutr'; fail)
       apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric] add-mono-neutl' add-mono-neutr';
fail
      apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric] add-mono-neutl' add-mono-neutr'
comm; fail)
      apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric] add-mono-neutl' add-mono-neutr';
fail
   subgoal premises prems for i j k
   proof -
    from prems have M i k \leq M i \theta + M \theta k
      by auto
    also have \dots \leq Le(-d) + Mi0 + (Led + M0k)
      apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric], simp add: comm, simp add:
add.assoc[symmetric])
      using prems(1) that(1) by (auto simp: add.commute)
    finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   subgoal premises prems for i j k
   proof -
    from prems have Le 0 \le M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0
      by force
    also have \dots \leq Le \ d + M \ \theta \ j + (Le \ (-d) + M \ j \ \theta)
      apply (simp add: add.assoc[symmetric], simp add: comm, simp add:
add.assoc[symmetric])
      using prems(1) that (1) by (auto simp: add.commute)
    finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   subgoal premises prems for i j k
    from prems have Le 0 \le M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0
      by force
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add.assoc add-mono-neutr')
   subgoal premises prems for i j k
   proof -
    from prems have M \ 0 \ k \le M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ k
      by force
    then show ?thesis
```

```
by (simp add: add-left-mono add.assoc)
   qed
   subgoal premises prems for i j
   proof -
     from prems have M i \theta \leq M i j + M j \theta
      by force
     then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: ab-semigroup-add-class.add.left-commute add-mono-right)
   subgoal premises prems for ij
   proof -
     from prems have Le 0 \le M \ 0 \ j + M \ j \ 0
      by force
     then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: ab-semigroup-add-class.add.left-commute add-mono-neutr')
   qed
   subgoal premises prems for i j
   proof -
     from prems have M i \theta \leq M i j + M j \theta
      by force
     then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: ab-semigroup-add-class.add.left-commute add-mono-right)
   qed
   done
qed
lemma canonicalD[simp]:
 assumes canonical M n i \leq n j \leq n k \leq n
 shows min(dbm-add(Mik)(Mkj))(Mij) = Mij
using assms unfolding add[symmetric] min-def by fastforce
lemma reset-reset-canonical:
 assumes canonical M n k > 0 k \le n  clock-numbering v
 shows [reset M n k d]<sub>v,n</sub> = [reset-canonical M k d]<sub>v,n</sub>
proof safe
 fix u assume u \in [reset\ M\ n\ k\ d]_{v,n}
 show u \in [reset\text{-}canonical\ M\ k\ d]_{v,n}
 unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
 proof (safe, goal-cases)
   case 1
   with \langle u \in \rightarrow \text{have} \rangle
     Le \ 0 \le reset \ M \ n \ k \ d \ 0 \ 0
   unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def less-eq by auto
```

```
also have \dots = M \ \theta \ \theta unfolding reset-def using assms by auto
     finally show ?case unfolding less-eq reset-canonical-def using \langle k \rangle
\theta \rightarrow \mathbf{by} \ auto
  next
    case (2 c)
    from \langle clock\text{-}numbering \rightarrow \mathbf{have} \ v \ c > \theta \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
    show ?case
    proof (cases v \ c = k)
      case True
      with \langle v | c > 0 \rangle \langle u \in \neg \rangle \langle v | c \leq n \rangle show ?thesis
    unfolding reset-canonical-def DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
reset-def by auto
    next
      case False
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases v c = k)
        case True
        with \langle v | c > 0 \rangle \langle u \in \neg \rangle \langle v | c \leq n \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle show ?thesis
      unfolding reset-canonical-def DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
reset-def
        by auto
      \mathbf{next}
        case False
         with \langle v | c > \theta \rangle \langle k > \theta \rangle \langle v | c \leq n \rangle \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle canonical - - \rangle \langle u \in - \rangle
have
           dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (M 0 (v c))
           unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def reset-def by
auto
        with False \langle k > 0 \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-canonical-def by
auto
      qed
    qed
  next
    case (3 c)
    from \langle clock\text{-}numbering \rightarrow \mathbf{have} \ v \ c > \theta \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
    show ?case
    proof (cases v \ c = k)
      case True
      with \langle v | c > 0 \rangle \langle u \in \neg \rangle \langle v | c \leq n \rangle show ?thesis
    unfolding reset-canonical-def DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
reset-def by auto
    \mathbf{next}
      case False
      show ?thesis
```

```
proof (cases \ v \ c = k)
         case True
         with \langle v | c > 0 \rangle \langle u \in \neg \rangle \langle v | c \leq n \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle show ?thesis
      unfolding reset-canonical-def DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def
reset-def
         by auto
       next
         case False
          with \langle v | c > 0 \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle \langle v | c \leq n \rangle \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle canonical - - \rangle \langle u \in - \rangle
have
           dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (M (v c) \theta)
           unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def reset-def by
auto
         with False \langle k > 0 \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-canonical-def by
auto
      qed
    qed
  next
    case (4 c1 c2)
    from \langle clock\text{-}numbering \rightarrow \mathbf{have} \ v \ c1 > 0 \ v \ c2 > 0 \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
    show ?case
    proof (cases \ v \ c1 = k)
       case True
       show ?thesis
       proof (cases v c2 = k)
         case True
         with \langle v \ c1 = k \rangle \langle v \ c1 > 0 \rangle \langle v \ c2 > 0 \rangle \langle u \in -\rangle \langle v \ c1 \leq n \rangle \langle v \ c2 \leq
n \rightarrow \langle canonical - - \rangle
         have reset-canonical M k d (v c1) (v c2) = M k k
         unfolding reset-canonical-def by auto
        moreover from True \langle v \ c1 = k \rangle \langle v \ c1 > 0 \rangle \langle v \ c2 > 0 \rangle \langle v \ c1 \leq n \rangle
\langle v \ c2 \le n \rangle
         have reset M n k d (v c1) (v c2) = M k k unfolding reset-def by
auto
         moreover from \langle u \in - \rangle \langle v | c1 = k \rangle \langle v | c2 = k \rangle \langle k \leq n \rangle have
           dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (reset M n k d k k)
         unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by auto metis
         ultimately show ?thesis using \langle v | c1 = k \rangle \langle v | c2 = k \rangle by auto
       next
         {f case}\ {\it False}
         with \langle v \ c1 = k \rangle \langle v \ c1 > 0 \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle \langle v \ c1 \leq n \rangle \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle canonical \rangle
- -> \langle u \in -\rangle have
           dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) None (Le d)
           unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def reset-def by
```

```
auto
         moreover from \langle v \ c2 \neq k \rangle \ \langle k > 0 \rangle \ \langle v \ c2 \leq n \rangle \ \langle k \leq n \rangle \ \langle canonical
- -> \langle u \in -\rangle have
           dbm-entry-val u None (Some c2) (M 0 (v c2))
           unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def reset-def by
auto
         ultimately show ?thesis using False \langle k \rangle 0 \rangle \langle v | c1 = k \rangle \langle v | c2 \rangle
\theta
      unfolding reset-canonical-def add by (auto intro: dbm-entry-val-add-4)
      qed
    next
      case False
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases v \ c2 = k)
         case True
        from \langle v \ c1 \neq k \rangle \langle v \ c1 > 0 \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle \langle v \ c1 \leq n \rangle \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle canonical \rangle
- -> \langle u \in -\rangle have
           dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) None (M (v c1) \theta)
           unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def reset-def by
auto
         moreover from \langle v | c2 = k \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle \langle v | c2 \leq n \rangle \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle canonical \rangle
- -> \langle u \in -\rangle have
           dbm-entry-val u None (Some c2) (Le (-d))
           unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def reset-def by
auto
         ultimately show ?thesis using False \langle k > 0 \rangle \langle v | c2 = k \rangle \langle v | c1 >
\theta \rangle \langle v \ c2 > \theta \rangle
         unfolding reset-canonical-def
           apply simp
           apply (subst add.commute)
         by (auto intro: dbm-entry-val-add-4[folded add])
      next
         case False
         from \langle u \in \neg \rangle \langle v \ c1 \leq n \rangle \langle v \ c2 \leq n \rangle have
          dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (reset M n k d (v c1) (v c2))
         unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
       with \langle v \ c1 \neq k \rangle \langle v \ c2 \neq k \rangle \langle v \ c1 \leq n \rangle \langle v \ c2 \leq n \rangle \langle k \leq n \rangle \langle canonical \rangle
- → have
           dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M (v c1) (v c2))
           unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def reset-def by
auto
      with \langle v | c1 \neq k \rangle \langle v | c2 \neq k \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-canonical-def
by auto
      qed
```

```
qed
  qed
next
  fix u assume u \in [reset\text{-}canonical\ M\ k\ d]_{v,n}
 \mathbf{note}\ unfolds = DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def\ DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\text{-}def\ reset\text{-}canonical\text{-}def
  show u \in [reset\ M\ n\ k\ d]_{v,n}
  \mathbf{unfolding}\ DBM\text{-}zone\text{-}repr\text{-}def\ DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\text{-}def
  proof (safe, goal-cases)
    case 1
    with \langle u \in \rightarrow \text{have} \rangle
      Le 0 \le reset-canonical M \ k \ d \ 0 \ 0
    unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def less-eq by auto
    also have \dots = M \ \theta \ \theta unfolding reset-canonical-def using assms by
    finally show ?case unfolding less-eq reset-def using \langle k > 0 \rangle \langle k \leq n \rangle
\langle canonical - - \rangle by auto
  next
    case (2 c)
    with assms have v c > \theta by auto
    note A = this \ assms(1-3) \ \langle v \ c \leq n \rangle
    show ?case
    proof (cases\ v\ c = k)
      case True
      with A \langle u \in \neg \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-def unfolds by auto
    next
      case False
      with A \langle u \in \neg \rangle show ?thesis unfolding unfolds reset-def by auto
    qed
  next
    case (3 c)
    with assms have v c > 0 by auto
    note A = this \ assms(1-3) \ \langle v \ c \leq n \rangle
    show ?case
    proof (cases \ v \ c = k)
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      with A \langle u \in \neg \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-def unfolds by auto
    next
      case False
      with A \langle u \in \neg \rangle show ?thesis unfolding unfolds reset-def by auto
    qed
  next
    case (4 c1 c2)
    with assms have v c1 > 0 v c2 > 0 by auto
    note A = this \ assms(1-3) \ \langle v \ c1 \le n \rangle \ \langle v \ c2 \le n \rangle
```

```
show ?case
   proof (cases \ v \ c1 = k)
     case True
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases v c2 = k)
       case True
       with \langle u \in - \rangle \ A \ \langle v \ c1 = k \rangle have
         dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (reset-canonical M \ k \ d \ k \ k)
       unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by auto metis
       with A \langle v | c1 = k \rangle have
         dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M k k)
       unfolding reset-canonical-def by auto
      with A \langle v | c1 = k \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-def unfolds by auto
     next
       case False
      with A \langle v | c1 = k \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-def unfolds by auto
     qed
   next
     case False
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases v c2 = k)
       case False
       with \langle u \in \neg A \langle v \ c1 \neq k \rangle have
          dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (reset-canonical M k d (v
c1) (v c2)
       unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def DBM-val-bounded-def by auto
       with A \langle v \ c1 \neq k \rangle \langle v \ c2 \neq k \rangle have
         dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (M (v c1) (v c2))
       unfolding reset-canonical-def by auto
      with A \langle v | c1 \neq k \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-def unfolds by auto
     next
       case True
      with A \langle v | c1 \neq k \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-def unfolds by auto
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma reset-canonical-diag-preservation:
 fixes k :: nat
 assumes k > 0
 shows \forall i \leq n. (reset-canonical M k d) i i = M i i
using assms unfolding reset-canonical-def by auto
```

```
definition reset" where
  reset'' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d = fold \ (\lambda \ c \ M. \ reset-canonical \ M \ (v \ c) \ d) \ cs \ M
lemma reset"-diag-preservation:
  assumes clock-numbering v
  shows \forall i \leq n. (reset'' M n cs v d) i i = M i i
  apply (induction cs arbitrary: M)
  unfolding reset"-def apply auto
  using reset-canonical-diag-preservation by simp blast
lemma reset-resets:
  assumes \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ v \ c \le n
  shows [reset M n (v c) d]_{v,n} = {u(c := d) | u. u \in [M]_{v,n}}
proof safe
  fix u assume u: u \in [reset\ M\ n\ (v\ c)\ d]_{v,n}
  with assms have
    u c = d
 by (auto intro: DBM-reset-sound2[OF - DBM-reset-reset] simp: DBM-zone-repr-def)
  moreover from DBM-reset-sound[OF assms u] obtain d' where
   u(c := d') \in [M]_{v,n} \text{ (is } ?u \in -)
  by auto
  ultimately have u = ?u(c := d) by auto
  with \langle ?u \in \neg \rangle show \exists u'. u = u'(c := d) \land u' \in [M]_{v,n} by blast
  fix u assume u: u \in [M]_{v,n}
  with DBM-reset-complete [OF\ assms(2,3)\ DBM-reset-reset ]\ assms
  show u(c := d) \in [reset \ M \ n \ (v \ c) \ d]_{v,n} unfolding DBM-zone-repr-def
by auto
qed
lemma reset-eq':
  assumes prems: \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ v
c \leq n
     and eq: [M]_{v,n} = [M']_{v,n}
  shows [reset\ M\ n\ (v\ c)\ d]_{v,n} = [reset\ M'\ n\ (v\ c)\ d]_{v,n}
using reset-resets[OF prems] eq by blast
lemma reset-eq:
  assumes prems: \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n
     and k: k > 0 \ k \le n
     and eq: [M]_{v,n} = [M']_{v,n}
  shows [reset M n k d]_{v,n} = [reset M' n k d]_{v,n}
using reset-eq'[OF\ prems\ -\ eq]\ prems(1)\ k by blast
```

```
lemma FW-reset-commute:
  assumes prems: \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ k
> 0 k \le n
 shows [FW (reset \ M \ n \ k \ d) \ n]_{v,n} = [reset \ (FW \ M \ n) \ n \ k \ d]_{v,n}
using reset-eq[OF\ prems]\ FW-zone-equiv[OF\ prems(1)] by blast
lemma reset-canonical-diag-presv:
  fixes k :: nat
  assumes M i i = Le 0 k > 0
  shows (reset-canonical M k d) i i = Le \theta
unfolding reset-canonical-def using assms by auto
lemma reset-cycle-free:
  fixes M :: ('t :: time) DBM
  assumes cycle-free M n
     and prems: \forall k \le n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k) \ clock-numbering' \ v \ n \ k > 0)
0 \ k < n
  shows cycle-free (reset M \ n \ k \ d) n
proof -
 from assms cyc-free-not-empty cycle-free-diag-equiv have [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\} by
  with reset-resets [OF prems(1,2)] prems(1,3,4) have [reset M n k d]<sub>v,n</sub>
\neq \{\} by fast
  with not-empty-cyc-free[OF prems(1)] cycle-free-diag-equiv show ?thesis
by metis
qed
lemma reset'-reset"-equiv:
  assumes canonical M n d \ge 0 \ \forall i \le n. M i i = 0
          clock-numbering' v \ n \ \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c \leq n
      and surj: \forall k \leq n. \ k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
  shows [reset' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d]_{v,n} = [reset'' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d]_{v,n}
proof -
  from assms(3,4,5) surj have
   \forall i \leq n. \ M \ i \ i \geq 0 \ M \ 0 \ 0 = Le \ 0 \ \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ M \ (v \ c) \ (v \ c) = Le \ 0
  unfolding neutral by auto
  note assms = assms(1,2) this assms(4-)
  from \langle clock\text{-}numbering' \ v \ n \rangle have clock\text{-}numbering \ v \ by \ auto
  {\bf from} \ \ canonical\text{-} \ cyc\text{-} free \ \ assms(1,3) \ \ \ cycle\text{-} free\text{-} \ diag\text{-} \ equiv \ \ {\bf have} \ \ \ cycle\text{-} free
M n  by metis
  have reset' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d = foldr \ (\lambda \ c \ M. \ reset \ M \ n \ (v \ c) \ d) \ cs \ M \ by
(induction cs) auto
  then have
```

```
[FW (reset' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d) \ n]_{v,n} = [FW (foldr (\lambda \ c \ M. \ reset \ M \ n \ (v \ c) \ d)]_{v,n}
(cs\ M)\ n|_{v,n}
 by simp
 also have ... = [foldr (\lambda c \ M. \ reset\text{-}canonical \ M \ (v \ c) \ d) \ cs \ M]_{v,n}
 using assms
  apply (induction cs)
   apply (force simp: FW-canonical-id)
  apply simp
  subgoal premises prems for a cs
  proof -
    let ?l = FW (reset (foldr (\lambda c M. reset M n (v c) d) cs M) n (v a) d)
n
    let ?m = reset (foldr (\lambda c M. reset-canonical M (v c) d) cs M) n (v a)
d
     let ?r = reset-canonical (foldr (\lambda c M. reset-canonical M (v c) d) cs
M) (v a) d
    have foldr (\lambda c\ M. reset-canonical M\ (v\ c)\ d) cs M\ 0\ 0 = Le\ 0
      apply (induction cs)
      using prems by (force intro: reset-canonical-diag-presv)+
     from prems(6) have canonical (foldr (\lambda c M. reset-canonical M (v c)
d) cs M) n
      apply (induction cs)
      using \langle canonical\ M\ n \rangle apply force
      apply simp
      apply (rule reset-canonical-canonical'[unfolded neutral])
      using assms apply simp
      subgoal premises - for a cs
        apply (induction cs)
      using assms(4) \langle clock-numbering v \rangle by (force intro: reset-canonical-diag-presv)+
      subgoal premises prems for a cs
        apply (induction cs)
      using prems \langle clock-numbering v \rangle by (force\ intro:\ reset-canonical-diag-presv)+
       apply (simp; fail)
      using \langle clock\text{-}numbering \ v \rangle by metis
     have [FW \ (reset \ (foldr \ (\lambda c \ M. \ reset \ M \ n \ (v \ c) \ d) \ cs \ M) \ n \ (v \ a) \ d)
n]_{v,n}
    = [reset\ (FW\ (foldr\ (\lambda c\ M.\ reset\ M\ n\ (v\ c)\ d)\ cs\ M)\ n)\ n\ (v\ a)\ d]_{v,n}
      using assms(8-) prems(7-) by - (rule FW-reset-commute; auto)
    also from prems have ... = [?m]_{v,n} by - (rule reset-eq; auto)
    also from (canonical (foldr - - -) n) prems have
      \ldots = [?r]_{v,n}
      \mathbf{by} - (rule\ reset\text{-}reset\text{-}canonical;\ simp)
    finally show ?thesis.
  qed
```

```
done
 also have ... = [reset'' \ M \ n \ cs \ v \ d]_{v,n} unfolding reset''-def
  apply (rule arg-cong[where f = \lambda M. [M]_{v,n})
  apply (rule fun-cong[where x = M])
  apply (rule foldr-fold)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply simp
  subgoal for x y M
  proof -
    from \langle clock\text{-}numbering\ v \rangle have v\ x > 0\ v\ y > 0 by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases\ v\ x = v\ y)
      case True
      then show ?thesis unfolding reset-canonical-def by force
    next
      case False
     with \langle v|x>0\rangle \langle v|y>0\rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-canonical-def
by fastforce
    qed
  qed
 done
 finally show ?thesis using FW-zone-equiv[OF surj] by metis
qed
Eliminating the clock numbering
definition reset''' where
 reset''' \ M \ n \ cs \ d = fold \ (\lambda \ c \ M. \ reset-canonical \ M \ c \ d) \ cs \ M
lemma reset"-reset":
 assumes \forall c \in set \ cs. \ v \ c = c
 shows reset'' M n cs v d = reset''' M n cs d
using assms
apply (induction cs arbitrary: M)
unfolding reset"-def reset"'-def by simp+
type-synonym 'a DBM' = nat \times nat \Rightarrow 'a DBMEntry
definition
 reset	ext{-}canonical	ext{-}upd
   (M :: ('a :: \{linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add, uminus\}) DBM') (n:: nat)
(k:: nat) d =
    fold (\lambda i M. if i = k then M else M((k, i)) := Le d + M(0,i), (i, k) :=
Le(-d) + M(i, \theta))
       (map\ nat\ [1..n])
```

```
lemma one-upto-Suc:
 [1..<Suc\ i+1] = [1..<i+1] @ [Suc\ i]
 by simp
lemma one-upto-Suc':
 [1..Suc\ i] = [1..i] @ [Suc\ i]
 by (simp add: upto-rec2)
lemma one-upto-Suc'':
 [1..1 + i] = [1..i] @ [Suc i]
 by (simp add: upto-rec2)
lemma reset-canonical-upd-diag-id:
 fixes k n :: nat
 assumes k > 0
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M \ n \ k \ d) (k, k) = M \ (k, k)
unfolding reset-canonical-upd-def using assms by (induction n) (auto simp:
upto-rec2)
\mathbf{lemma}\ reset\text{-}canonical\text{-}upd\text{-}out\text{-}of\text{-}bounds\text{-}id1:
 fixes i j k n :: nat
 assumes i \neq k \ i > n
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M \ n \ k \ d) (i, j) = M \ (i, j)
using assms by (induction n) (auto simp add: reset-canonical-upd-def upto-rec2)
lemma reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds-id2:
 fixes i j k n :: nat
 assumes j \neq k j > n
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M \ n \ k \ d) (i, j) = M \ (i, j)
using assms by (induction n) (auto simp add: reset-canonical-upd-def upto-rec2)
lemma reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds1:
 fixes i j k n :: nat
 assumes k \leq n \ i > n
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M \ n \ k \ d) (i, j) = M \ (i, j)
using assms reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds-id1 by (metis not-le)
lemma reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds2:
 fixes i j k n :: nat
 assumes k \leq n \ j > n
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d) (i, j) = M (i, j)
```

(M((k, 0) := Le d, (0, k) := Le (-d)))

```
lemma reset-canonical-upd-id1:
 fixes k n :: nat
 assumes k > 0 i > 0 i \le n i \ne k
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d) (i, k) = Le(-d) + M(i, \theta)
using assms apply (induction n)
apply (simp add: reset-canonical-upd-def; fail)
subgoal for n
 apply (simp add: reset-canonical-upd-def)
 apply (subst one-upto-Suc')
 using reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds-id1 [unfolded reset-canonical-upd-def,
where j = \theta and M = M
by fastforce
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{reset-canonical-upd-id2}\colon
 fixes k n :: nat
 assumes k > 0 i > 0 i < n i \neq k
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d) (k, i) = Le d + M(0,i)
unfolding reset-canonical-upd-def using assms apply (induction n)
apply (simp add: upto-rec2; fail)
subgoal for n
 apply (simp add: one-upto-Suc")
 using reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds-id2 [unfolded reset-canonical-upd-def,
where i = 0 and M = M
by fastforce
done
lemma reset-canonical-updid-0-1:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes k > 0
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d) (0, k) = Le(-d)
using assms by (induction n) (auto simp add: reset-canonical-upd-def upto-rec2)
lemma reset-canonical-updid-0-2:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes k > 0
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M \ n \ k \ d) (k, \ \theta) = Le \ d
using assms by (induction n) (auto simp add: reset-canonical-upd-def upto-rec2)
lemma reset-canonical-upd-id:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes i \neq k j \neq k
```

using assms reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds-id2 by (metis not-le)

```
shows (reset-canonical-upd M \ n \ k \ d) (i,j) = M \ (i,j)
using assms by (induction n; simp add: reset-canonical-upd-def upto-rec2)
lemma reset-canonical-upd-reset-canonical:
 fixes ijkn: nat and M:: nat \times nat \Rightarrow ('a::\{linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add, uminus\})
DBMEntry
 assumes k > 0 i \le n j \le n \forall i \le n. \forall j \le n. M(i, j) = M'ij
 shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d)(i,j) = (reset-canonical M' k d) i j
(is ?M(i,j) = -)
proof (cases i = k)
 case True
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases j = k)
   case True
   with \langle i = k \rangle assms reset-canonical-upd-diag-id[where M = M] show
? the sis
   by (auto simp: reset-canonical-def)
 next
   case False
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases j = \theta)
     case False
     with \langle i = k \rangle \langle j \neq k \rangle assms have
       ?M(i,j) = Le \ d + M(0,j)
     using reset-canonical-upd-id2[where M = M] by fastforce
       with \langle i = k \rangle \langle j \neq k \rangle \langle j \neq 0 \rangle assms show ?thesis unfolding re-
set-canonical-def by auto
   next
     case True
    with \langle i = k \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle show ?thesis by (simp add: reset-canonical-updid-0-2
reset-canonical-def)
   qed
 qed
next
 case False
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases j = k)
   case True
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases i = \theta)
     case False
     with \langle j = k \rangle \langle i \neq k \rangle assms have
       ?M(i,j) = Le(-d) + M(i,0)
     using reset-canonical-upd-id1 [where M = M] by fastforce
```

```
with \langle j = k \rangle \langle i \neq k \rangle \langle i \neq 0 \rangle assms show ?thesis unfolding re-
set-canonical-def by force
   next
     \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    with \langle j = k \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle show ?thesis by (simp add: reset-canonical-updid-0-1
reset-canonical-def)
   qed
 \mathbf{next}
   case False
   with \langle i \neq k \rangle assms show ?thesis by (simp add: reset-canonical-upd-id
reset-canonical-def)
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma reset-canonical-upd-reset-canonical':
  fixes i j k n :: nat
 assumes k > 0 i \le n j \le n
  shows (reset-canonical-upd M n k d)(i,j) = (reset-canonical (curry M) k
d) i \ j \ (is \ ?M(i,j) = -)
proof (cases i = k)
  case True
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases j = k)
   case True
    with \langle i = k \rangle assms reset-canonical-upd-diag-id show ?thesis by (auto
simp add: reset-canonical-def)
  next
   case False
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases j = \theta)
     case False
     with \langle i = k \rangle \langle j \neq k \rangle assms have
        ?M(i,j) = Le d + M(0,j)
     using reset-canonical-upd-id2[where M = M] by fastforce
    with \langle i = k \rangle \langle j \neq k \rangle \langle j \neq 0 \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-canonical-def
by simp
   next
     case True
    with \langle i = k \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle show ?thesis by (simp add: reset-canonical-updid-0-2
reset-canonical-def)
   qed
 qed
next
  case False
```

```
show ?thesis
 proof (cases j = k)
   case True
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases i = \theta)
     case False
     with \langle i = k \rangle \langle i \neq k \rangle assms have
       ?M(i,j) = Le(-d) + M(i,0)
     using reset-canonical-upd-id1 [where M = M] by fastforce
    with \langle j = k \rangle \langle i \neq k \rangle \langle i \neq 0 \rangle show ?thesis unfolding reset-canonical-def
by simp
   next
     case True
   with \langle j = k \rangle \langle k > 0 \rangle show ?thesis by (simp add: reset-canonical-updid-0-1
reset-canonical-def)
   qed
 next
   case False
    with \langle i \neq k \rangle show ?thesis by (simp add: reset-canonical-upd-id re-
set-canonical-def)
 qed
qed
lemma reset-canonical-upd-canonical:
 canonical\ (curry\ (reset\text{-}canonical\text{-}upd\ M\ n\ k\ (d::'c::\{linordered\text{-}ab\text{-}group\text{-}add,uminus\})))
 if \forall i \leq n. M(i, i) = 0 canonical (curry M) n k > 0 for k n :: nat
 using reset-canonical-canonical[of n curry M k] that
 by (auto simp: reset-canonical-upd-reset-canonical')
definition reset'-upd where
 reset'-upd M n cs d = fold (\lambda c M. reset-canonical-upd M n c d) cs M
lemma reset'''-reset'-upd:
 fixes n:: nat and cs:: nat list
 assumes \forall c \in set \ cs. \ c \neq 0 \ i \leq n \ j \leq n \ \forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ M \ (i, j) =
M'ij
 shows (reset'-upd\ M\ n\ cs\ d)\ (i,j)=(reset'''\ M'\ n\ cs\ d)\ i\ j
using assms
apply (induction cs arbitrary: M M')
unfolding reset'-upd-def reset'''-def
apply (simp; fail)
subgoal for c cs M M'
using reset-canonical-upd-reset-canonical[where M = M] by auto
```

done

```
lemma reset'''-reset'-upd':
 \mathbf{fixes}\ n ::\ nat\ \mathbf{and}\ cs ::\ nat\ list\ \mathbf{and}\ M :: ('a :: \{linordered\text{-}cancel\text{-}ab\text{-}monoid\text{-}add, uminus\})
DBM'
 assumes \forall c \in set \ cs. \ c \neq 0 \ i \leq n \ j \leq n
 shows (reset'-upd M n cs d) (i, j) = (reset''' (curry M) n cs d) i j
using reset'''-reset'-upd[where M = M and M' = curry M, OF assms]
by simp
lemma reset'-upd-out-of-bounds1:
 fixes i j k n :: nat
 assumes \forall c \in set \ cs. \ c \leq n \ i > n
 shows (reset'-upd M n cs d) (i, j) = M (i, j)
using assms
by (induction cs arbitrary: M, auto simp: reset'-upd-def intro: reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds-id1)
lemma reset'-upd-out-of-bounds2:
 fixes i j k n :: nat
 assumes \forall c \in set \ cs. \ c \leq n \ j > n
 shows (reset'-upd M n cs d) (i, j) = M (i, j)
by (induction cs arbitrary: M, auto simp: reset'-upd-def intro: reset-canonical-upd-out-of-bounds-id2)
lemma reset-canonical-int-preservation:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes dbm-int M \ n \ d \in \mathbb{Z}
 shows dbm-int (reset-canonical M k d) n
using assms unfolding reset-canonical-def by (auto dest: sum-not-inf-dest)
{f lemma} reset-canonical-upd-int-preservation:
 assumes dbm-int (curry\ M)\ n\ d\in \mathbb{Z}\ k>0
 shows dbm-int (curry (reset-canonical-upd M n k d)) n
using reset-canonical-int-preservation [OF assms(1,2)] reset-canonical-upd-reset-canonical'
by (metis \ assms(3) \ curry-conv)
lemma reset'-upd-int-preservation:
 assumes dbm-int (curry M) n \ d \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall \ c \in set \ cs. \ c \neq 0
 shows dbm-int (curry (reset'-upd M n cs d)) n
using assms
apply (induction cs arbitrary: M)
unfolding reset'-upd-def
apply (simp; fail)
apply (drule reset-canonical-upd-int-preservation; auto)
```

done

```
lemma reset-canonical-upd-diag-preservation:
 fixes i :: nat
 assumes k > 0
 shows \forall i \leq n. (reset-canonical-upd M \ n \ k \ d) (i, i) = M \ (i, i)
using reset-canonical-diag-preservation reset-canonical-upd-reset-canonical'
assms
by (metis curry-conv)
lemma reset'-upd-diag-preservation:
 assumes \forall c \in set \ cs. \ c > 0 \ i \leq n
 shows (reset'-upd M n cs d) (i, i) = M (i, i)
using assms
by (induction cs arbitrary: M; simp add: reset'-upd-def reset-canonical-upd-diag-preservation)
lemma upto-from-1-upt:
 fixes n :: nat
 shows map \ nat \ [1..int \ n] = [1..< n+1]
by (induction n) (auto simp: one-upto-Suc'')
lemma reset-canonical-upd-alt-def:
 reset-canonical-upd (M :: ('a :: \{linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add,uminus\})
DBM') ( n:: nat) (k:: nat) d =
   fold
     (\lambda i M.
       if i = k then
        M
       else do {
        let m0i = op\text{-}mtx\text{-}get\ M(0,i);
        let mi\theta = op\text{-}mtx\text{-}get\ M(i,\ \theta);
        M((k, i) := Le \ d + m\theta i, (i, k) := Le \ (-d) + mi\theta)
       }
     [1..< n+1]
     (M((k, 0) := Le d, (0, k) := Le (-d)))
```

unfolding reset-canonical-upd-def by (simp add: upto-from-1-upt cong: if-cong)

5.3 Relaxation

named-theorems dbm-entry-simps

```
lemma [dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}simps]:
 a + \infty = \infty
unfolding add by (cases a) auto
lemma [dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}simps]:
 \infty + b = \infty
unfolding add by (cases b) auto
lemmas any-le-inf[dbm-entry-simps]
lemma up-canonical-preservation:
 assumes canonical M n
 shows canonical (up M) n
unfolding up-def using assms by (simp add: dbm-entry-simps)
definition up-canonical :: 't DBM \Rightarrow 't DBM where
 up-canonical M = (\lambda \ i \ j. \ if \ i > 0 \land j = 0 \ then \ \infty \ else \ M \ i \ j)
lemma up-canonical-eq-up:
 assumes canonical M n i \le n \ j \le n
 shows up-canonical M i j = up M i j
unfolding up-canonical-def up-def using assms by simp
lemma DBM-up-to-equiv:
 assumes \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i j = M' i j
 shows [M]_{v,n} = [M']_{v,n}
apply safe
apply (rule DBM-le-subset)
using assms by (auto simp: add[symmetric] intro: DBM-le-subset)
lemma up-canonical-equiv-up:
 assumes canonical M n
 shows [up\text{-}canonical\ M]_{v,n} = [up\ M]_{v,n}
apply (rule DBM-up-to-equiv)
unfolding up-canonical-def up-def using assms by simp
lemma up-canonical-diag-preservation:
 assumes \forall i \leq n. \ M \ i \ i = 0
 shows \forall i \leq n. (up\text{-}canonical M) i i = 0
unfolding up-canonical-def using assms by auto
no-notation Ref.update (\langle - := - \rangle 62)
definition up-canonical-upd :: 't DBM' \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBM' where
```

```
up-canonical-upd M n = fold (\lambda i M. M((i,\theta) := \infty)) [1..< n+1] M
lemma up-canonical-upd-rec:
 up-canonical-upd\ M\ (Suc\ n) = (up-canonical-upd\ M\ n)\ ((Suc\ n,\ 0) := \infty)
unfolding up-canonical-upd-def by auto
lemma up-canonical-out-of-bounds1:
 fixes i :: nat
 assumes i > n
 shows up-canonical-upd M n (i, j) = M(i,j)
using assms by (induction n) (auto simp: up-canonical-upd-def)
lemma up-canonical-out-of-bounds2:
 fixes j :: nat
 assumes i > 0
 shows up-canonical-upd M n (i, j) = M(i,j)
using assms by (induction n) (auto simp: up-canonical-upd-def)
lemma up-canonical-upd-up-canonical:
 assumes i \leq n \ j \leq n \ \forall \ i \leq n. \ \forall \ j \leq n. \ M \ (i, j) = M' \ i \ j
 shows (up\text{-}canonical\text{-}upd\ M\ n)\ (i,j) = (up\text{-}canonical\ M')\ i\ j
using assms
proof (induction n)
  then show ?case by (simp add: up-canonical-upd-def up-canonical-def;
fail)
\mathbf{next}
 case (Suc \ n)
 show ?case
 proof (cases j = Suc n)
   case True
  with Suc. prems show ?thesis by (simp add: up-canonical-out-of-bounds2
up-canonical-def)
 next
   case False
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases i = Suc \ n)
     case True
     with Suc.prems \langle j \neq \rightarrow \mathbf{show} ? thesis
   by (simp add: up-canonical-out-of-bounds1 up-canonical-def up-canonical-upd-rec)
   next
     with Suc \langle j \neq - \rangle show ?thesis by (auto simp: up-canonical-upd-rec)
   qed
```

```
qed
qed
lemma up-canonical-int-preservation:
 assumes dbm-int M n
 shows dbm-int (up-canonical M) n
using assms unfolding up-canonical-def by auto
lemma up-canonical-upd-int-preservation:
 assumes dbm-int (curry M) n
 shows dbm-int (curry (up-canonical-upd M n)) n
using up-canonical-int-preservation [OF assms] up-canonical-upd-up-canonical [where
M' = curry M
by (auto simp: curry-def)
lemma up-canonical-diag-preservation':
 (up\text{-}canonical\ M)\ i\ i=M\ i\ i
unfolding up-canonical-def by auto
lemma up-canonical-upd-diag-preservation:
 (up\text{-}canonical\text{-}upd\ M\ n)\ (i,\ i) = M\ (i,\ i)
unfolding up-canonical-upd-def by (induction n) auto
5.4
      Intersection
definition
  unbounded-dbm n = (\lambda (i, j). (if i = j \lor i > n \lor j > n then Le 0 else
\infty))
definition And-upd :: nat \Rightarrow ('t::\{linorder, zero\}) \ DBM' \Rightarrow 't \ DBM' \Rightarrow 't
DBM' where
 And-upd \ n \ A \ B =
   fold (\lambda i M.
     fold\ (\lambda j\ M.\ M((i,j) := min\ (A(i,j))\ (B(i,j))))\ [0...< n+1]\ M)
   [0..< n+1]
   (unbounded-dbm \ n)
lemma fold-loop-inv-rule:
 assumes I \theta x
 assumes \bigwedge i \ x. I \ i \ x \Longrightarrow i \le n \Longrightarrow I \ (Suc \ i) \ (f \ i \ x)
 assumes \bigwedge x. I \ n \ x \Longrightarrow Q \ x
 shows Q (fold f [0..<n] x)
proof -
 from assms(2) have I \ n \ (fold \ f \ [0..< n] \ x)
```

```
proof (induction \ n)
   case \theta
   show ?case
     by simp (rule assms)
 next
   case (Suc \ n)
   show ?case
     using Suc by auto
 qed
 then show ?thesis
   by (rule\ assms(3))
qed
lemma And-upd-min:
 assumes i \leq n \ j \leq n
 shows And-upd n A B (i, j) = min (A(i,j)) (B(i,j))
 unfolding And-upd-def
 apply (rule fold-loop-inv-rule [where I = \lambda k M. \forall i < k. \forall j \le n. M(i,j) =
min (A(i,j)) (B(i,j))
   apply (simp; fail)
 subgoal for k x
   apply (rule fold-loop-inv-rule where I =
       \lambda j' M. \ \forall i \leq k.
        if i = k then
          (\forall j < j'. M(i,j) = min (A(i,j)) (B(i,j)))
         else
          (\forall j \leq n. \ M(i,j) = min \ (A(i,j)) \ (B(i,j)))])
   by (simp-all) (metis Suc-eq-plus1 less-Suc-eq-le)
 using assms by auto
lemma And-upd-And:
 assumes i \leq n \ j \leq n
   \forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ A(i,j) = A'ij \ \forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ B(i,j) = B'ij
 shows And-upd n A B (i, j) = And A' B' i j
 using assms by (auto simp: And-upd-min)
      Inclusion
5.5
definition pointwise-cmp where
 pointwise-cmp P n M M' = (\forall i \leq n, \forall j \leq n, P (M i j) (M' i j))
lemma subset-eq-pointwise-le:
 fixes M :: real DBM
 assumes canonical M n \forall i \leq n. M i i = 0 \forall i \leq n. M' i i = 0
```

```
and prems: clock-numbering' v \ n \ \forall k \le n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
 shows [M]_{v,n} \subseteq [M']_{v,n} \longleftrightarrow pointwise-cmp (\leq) n M M'
unfolding pointwise-cmp-def
apply safe
subgoal for i j
 apply (cases i = j)
  using assms apply (simp; fail)
 apply (rule DBM-canonical-subset-le)
 using assms(1-3) prems by (auto simp: cyc-free-not-empty[OF canoni-
cal-cyc-free])
by (auto simp: less-eq intro: DBM-le-subset)
definition check-diag :: nat \Rightarrow ('t :: \{linorder, zero\}) DBM' \Rightarrow bool where
  check-diag n M \equiv \exists i \leq n. M(i, i) < Le 0
lemma check-diag-empty:
 fixes n :: nat and v
 assumes surj: \forall k \leq n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = k)
 assumes check-diag n M
 shows [curry M]_{v,n} = \{\}
using assms neg-diag-empty[OF surj, where M = curry M] unfolding
check-diag-def neutral by auto
lemma check-diag-alt-def:
  check-diag n M = list-ex (\lambda i. op-mtx-qet M(i, i) < Le 0) [0... < Suc n]
unfolding check-diag-def list-ex-iff by fastforce
definition dbm-subset :: nat \Rightarrow ('t :: \{linorder, zero\}) DBM' \Rightarrow 't DBM'
\Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
  dbm-subset n \ M \ M' \equiv check-diag n \ M \lor pointwise-cmp \ (\leq) \ n \ (curry \ M)
(curry M')
lemma dbm-subset-reft:
  dbm-subset n M M
unfolding dbm-subset-def pointwise-cmp-def by simp
lemma dbm-subset-trans:
 assumes dbm-subset n M1 M2 dbm-subset n M2 M3
 shows dbm-subset n M1 M3
using assms unfolding dbm-subset-def pointwise-cmp-def check-diag-def
by fastforce
lemma canonical-nonneg-diag-non-empty:
 assumes canonical M n \forall i \le n. 0 \le M i i \forall c. v c \le n \longrightarrow 0 < v c
```

```
shows [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}
  apply (rule cyc-free-not-empty)
  apply (rule canonical-cyc-free)
using assms by auto
The type constraint in this lemma is due to [canonical ?M ?n; [?M]_{?v,?n}]
\subseteq [?M']_{?v,?n}; [?M]_{?v,?n} \neq \{\}; ?i \leq ?n; ?j \leq ?n; ?i \neq ?j; \forall c. 0 < ?v c \land ?v c 
k \longrightarrow (\exists c. ?v \ c = k) \implies ?M ?i ?j \le ?M' ?i ?j. Proving it for a more
general class of types is possible but also tricky due to a missing setup for
arithmetic.
lemma subset-eq-dbm-subset:
     fixes M :: real DBM'
     assumes canonical (curry M) n \vee check-diag n M \forall i \leq n. M (i, i) \leq
0 \ \forall \ i < n. \ M'(i, i) < 0
             and cn: clock-numbering' v n and surj: \forall k \le n. \ 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. \ v \ c = a)
k
     shows [curry\ M]_{v,n} \subseteq [curry\ M']_{v,n} \longleftrightarrow dbm\text{-subset}\ n\ M\ M'
proof (cases check-diag n M)
     case True
     with check-diag-empty[OF surj] show ?thesis unfolding dbm-subset-def
by auto
next
     case F: False
     with assms(1) have canonical: canonical (curry M) n by fast
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases check-diag n M')
         case True
         from F cn have
              [curry\ M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}
            apply -
            apply (rule canonical-nonneg-diag-non-empty[OF canonical])
         unfolding check-diag-def neutral[symmetric] by auto
         moreover from F True have
              \neg dbm-subset n M M'
        unfolding dbm-subset-def pointwise-cmp-def check-diag-def by fastforce
       ultimately show ?thesis using check-diag-empty[OF surj True] by auto
     next
         case False
         with F assms(2,3) have
             \forall i \leq n. \ M(i, i) = 0 \ \forall i \leq n. \ M'(i, i) = 0
         unfolding check-diag-def neutral[symmetric] by fastforce+
         with F False show ?thesis unfolding dbm-subset-def
```

by (subst subset-eq-pointwise-le[OF canonical - - cn surj]; auto)

```
qed
qed
lemma pointwise-cmp-alt-def:
 pointwise-cmp\ P\ n\ M\ M'=
   list-all (\lambda i. list-all (\lambda j. P (M i j) (M' i j)) [0..<Suc n]) [0..<Suc n]
unfolding pointwise-cmp-def by (fastforce simp: list-all-iff)
lemma dbm-subset-alt-def[code]:
 dbm-subset n M M' =
   (list-ex (\lambda i. op-mtx-get M (i, i) < Le 0) [0..<Suc n] \vee
   list-all (\lambda i. list-all (\lambda j. (op-mtx-get M (i, j) \leq op-mtx-get M' (i, j)))
[0..<Suc\ n])\ [0..<Suc\ n])
by (simp add: dbm-subset-def check-diag-alt-def pointwise-cmp-alt-def)
definition pointwise-cmp-alt-def where
 pointwise-cmp-alt-def P n M M' = fold (\lambda i b. fold (\lambda j b. P (M i j) (M'
i \ j) \ \land \ b) \ [1..<Suc \ n] \ b) \ [1..<Suc \ n] \ True
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{list-all-foldli}\colon
 list-all P xs = foldli xs (\lambda x. x = True) (\lambda x -. P x) True
apply (induction xs)
 apply (simp; fail)
subgoal for x xs
 apply simp
 apply (induction xs)
by auto
done
lemma list-ex-foldli:
 list-ex P xs = foldli xs Not (\lambda x y. P x \lor y) False
apply (induction xs)
 apply (simp; fail)
subgoal for x xs
 apply simp
 apply (induction xs)
by auto
done
5.6
       Extrapolations
context
 fixes
     upd\text{-}entry :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow ('t::\{linordered\text{-}ab\text{-}group\text{-}add\})
```

```
DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry
     and upd-entry-0 :: nat \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't \ DBMEntry \Rightarrow 't \ DBMEntry
begin
definition extra ::
      't \ DBM \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 't) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't \ DBM
where
      extra M l u n \equiv \lambda i j.
          let ub = if i > 0 then l i else 0 in
          let lb = if j > 0 then u j else 0 in
          if i \leq n \land j \leq n then
               if i \neq j then
                     if i > 0 then upd-entry i j lb ub (M i j) else upd-entry-0 j lb (M i j)
                else norm-diag (M i j)
          else\ M\ i\ j
definition upd-line-\theta ::
      't \ DBM' \Rightarrow 't \ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't \ DBM'
where
     upd-line-0\ M\ k\ n =
          fold
               (\lambda j M.
                     M((0, j) := upd\text{-}entry\text{-}0 \ j \ (op\text{-}list\text{-}get \ k \ j) \ (M(0, j))))
                [1..<Suc\ n]
                (M((0, 0) := norm - diag (M((0, 0)))))
\mathbf{definition}\ \mathit{upd-line}::
      't \ DBM' \Rightarrow 't \ list \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't \ DBM'
where
     upd-line M k ub i n =
          fold
               (\lambda j M.
                     if i \neq j then
                          M((i, j) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ j \ (op\text{-}list\text{-}get \ k \ j) \ ub \ (M(i, j)))
                     else M((i, j) := norm\text{-}diag\ (M\ (i, j))))
                [1..<Suc\ n]
                (M((i, \theta) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ \theta \ \theta \ ub \ (M(i, \theta))))
lemma upd-line-Suc-unfold:
     upd-line M k ub i (Suc n) = (let <math>M' = upd-line M k ub i n in
     if i \neq Suc \ n \ then
           M'((i, Suc n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n) \ (op\text{-}list\text{-}get \ k \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'(i, n) := upd\text{-}entry \ i \ (Suc \ n)) \ ub \ (M'
Suc \ n)))
     else\ M'((i, Suc\ n) := norm-diag\ (M'(i, Suc\ n))))
```

```
unfolding upd-line-def by simp
lemma upd-line-out-of-bounds:
 assumes j > n
 shows upd-line M k ub i n (i', j) = M (i', j)
 using assms by (induction n) (auto simp: upd-line-def)
lemma upd-line-alt-def:
 assumes i > 0
 shows
 upd-line M k ub i n (i', j) = (
   let lb = if j > 0 then op-list-get k j else 0 in
   if i' = i \land j \le n then
     if i \neq j then
       upd-entry i j lb ub (M (i, j))
       norm-diag (M (i, j))
   else M(i', j)
 using assms
 apply simp
 apply safe
       apply (induction n, simp add: upd-line-def,
     auto simp: upd-line-out-of-bounds upd-line-Suc-unfold Let-def)+
 done
lemma upd-line-0-alt-def:
 upd-line-0 M k n (i', j) = (
   if i' = 0 \land j \le n then
     if j > 0 then upd-entry-0 j (op-list-get k j) (M (0, j)) else norm-diag
(M(\theta, \theta))
   else M(i', j)
 by (induction \ n) (auto \ simp: \ upd-line-0-def)
definition extra-upd :: 't DBM' \Rightarrow 't list \Rightarrow 't list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBM'
where
  extra-upd\ M\ l\ u\ n \equiv
   fold (\lambda i \ M. upd-line M u (op-list-get l i) i n) [1..<Suc n] (upd-line-0 M
lemma upd-line-0-out-ouf-bounds1:
 assumes i > 0
 shows upd-line-0 M k n (i, j) = M (i, j)
```

```
using assms unfolding upd-line-0-alt-def by simp
lemma upd-line-0-out-ouf-bounds2:
 assumes j > n
 shows upd-line-0 M k n (i, j) = M (i, j)
 using assms unfolding upd-line-0-alt-def by simp
lemma upd-out-of-bounds-aux1:
 assumes i > n
 shows fold (\lambda i M. upd-line M k (op-list-get l i) i m) [1..<Suc n] M (i, j)
= M(i, j)
 using assms
 by (intro fold-invariant[where Q = \lambda i. i > 0 \land i \leq n and P = \lambda M'.
M'(i, j) = M(i, j)
    (auto simp: upd-line-alt-def)
lemma upd-out-of-bounds-aux2:
 assumes j > m
 shows fold (\lambda i M. upd-line M k (op-list-qet l i) i m) [1..<Suc n] M (i, j)
= M(i, j)
 using assms
 by (intro fold-invariant where Q = \lambda i. i > 0 \land i \leq n and P = \lambda M'.
M'(i,j) = M(i,j)
    (auto simp: upd-line-alt-def)
lemma upd-out-of-bounds1:
 assumes i > n
 shows extra-upd M l u n (i, j) = M (i, j)
 using assms unfolding extra-upd-def
 by (subst upd-out-of-bounds-aux1) (auto simp: upd-line-0-out-ouf-bounds1)
lemma upd-out-of-bounds2:
 assumes j > n
 shows extra-upd M l u n (i, j) = M (i, j)
 by (simp only: assms extra-upd-def upd-out-of-bounds-aux2 upd-line-0-out-ouf-bounds2)
definition norm-entry where
 norm-entry x \ l \ u \ i \ j = (
   let ub = if i > 0 then (l!i) else 0 in
   let lb = if j > 0 then (u ! j) else 0 in
   if i \neq j then if i = 0 then upd-entry-0 j lb x else upd-entry i j lb ub x else
```

lemma upd-extra-aux:

norm-diag x)

```
assumes i \leq n \ j \leq m
 shows
 fold (\lambda i \ M. \ upd-line M \ u \ (op-list-get l \ i) \ i \ m) [1..<Suc n] (upd-line-0 M
u m) (i, j)
 = norm\text{-}entry (M (i, j)) l u i j
 using assms upd-out-of-bounds-aux1 [unfolded op-list-get-def]
 apply (induction \ n)
  apply (simp add: upd-line-0-alt-def norm-entry-def; fail)
 apply (auto simp: upd-line-alt-def upt-Suc-append upd-line-0-out-ouf-bounds1
norm-entry-def
     simp del: upt-Suc)
 done
lemma upd-extra-aux':
 assumes i < n \ j < n
 shows extra-upd M l u n (i, j) = extra (curry M) (\lambda i. l! i) (\lambda i. u! i) n
i j
 using assms unfolding extra-upd-def
 by (subst upd-extra-aux[OF assms]) (simp add: norm-entry-def extra-def
norm-diag-def Let-def)
lemma extra-upd-extra":
  extra-upd\ M\ l\ u\ n\ (i,j)=extra\ (curry\ M)\ (\lambda i.\ l\ !\ i)\ (\lambda i.\ u\ !\ i)\ n\ i\ j
 by (cases i > n; cases j > n;
    simp add: upd-out-of-bounds1 upd-out-of-bounds2 extra-def upd-extra-aux')
lemma extra-upd-extra':
 curry\ (extra-upd\ M\ l\ u\ n) = extra\ (curry\ M)\ (\lambda i.\ l\ !\ i)\ (\lambda i.\ u\ !\ i)\ n
 by (simp add: curry-def extra-upd-extra")
lemma extra-upd-extra:
  extra-upd = (\lambda M \ l \ u \ n \ (i, j). \ extra \ (curry \ M) \ (\lambda i. \ l! \ i) \ (\lambda i. \ u! \ i) \ n \ i \ j)
 by (intro ext) (clarsimp simp: extra-upd-extra")
end
lemma norm-is-extra:
 norm\ M\ k\ n =
   extra
     (\lambda - lb \ ub \ e. \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ e \ ub) \ (-lb))
     (\lambda - lb \ e. \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ e \ 0) \ (-lb)) \ M \ k \ n
 unfolding norm-def extra-def Let-def by (intro ext) auto
```

lemma extra-lu-is-extra:

```
extra-lu\ M\ l\ u\ n=
    extra
      (\lambda - lb \ ub \ e. \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ e \ ub) \ (-lb))
      (\lambda - lb \ e. \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ e \ 0) \ (-lb)) \ M \ l \ u \ n
  unfolding extra-def extra-lu-def Let-def by (intro ext) auto
lemma extra-lup-is-extra:
  extra-lup\ M\ l\ u\ n=
    extra
      (\lambda i \ j \ lb \ ub \ e. \ if \ Lt \ ub \prec e \ then \ \infty
        else if M 0 i \prec Lt \ (-ub) \ then \ \infty
        else if M 0 j \prec (if j > 0 then Lt (-lb) else Lt 0) then \infty
        else e
      (\lambda j \ lb \ e. \ if \ Le \ 0 \prec M \ 0 \ j \ then \ \infty
        else if M 0 j \prec (if j > 0 then Lt (- lb) else Lt 0) then Lt (- lb)
        else M \ 0 \ j) \ M \ l \ u \ n
  unfolding extra-def extra-lup-def Let-def by (intro ext) auto
definition
  norm-upd M k =
    extra-upd
      (\lambda - lb \ ub \ e. \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ e \ ub) \ (-lb))
      (\lambda - lb \ e. \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ e \ 0) \ (-lb)) \ M \ k \ k
definition
  extra-lu-upd =
    extra-upd
      (\lambda - lb \ ub \ e. \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ e \ ub) \ (-lb))
      (\lambda - lb \ e. \ norm-lower \ (norm-upper \ e \ 0) \ (-lb))
definition
  extra-lup-upd M =
    extra-upd
      (\lambda i \ j \ lb \ ub \ e. \ if \ Lt \ ub \prec e \ then \ \infty
        else if M(0, i) \prec Lt(-ub) then \infty
        else if M(0, j) \prec (if j > 0 then Lt(-lb) else Lt(0) then \infty
      (\lambda j \ lb \ e. \ if \ Le \ 0 \prec M \ (0, j) \ then \ \infty
        else if M (0, j) \prec (if j > 0 then Lt <math>(-lb) else Lt 0) then Lt (-lb)
        else M(0,j) M
lemma extra-upd-cong:
 assumes \bigwedge i \ j \ x \ y \ e. \ i \le n \Longrightarrow j \le n \Longrightarrow upd\text{-}entry \ i \ j \ x \ y \ e = upd\text{-}entry'
ijxye
```

```
\bigwedge i \ x \ e. \ i \leq n \Longrightarrow upd\text{-}entry\text{-}0 \ i \ x \ e = upd\text{-}entry\text{-}0' \ i \ x \ e
  shows extra-upd upd-entry upd-entry-0 M l u n = extra-upd upd-entry'
upd-entry-0' M l u n
  unfolding extra-upd-def upd-line-def upd-line-0-def
  apply (intro fold-cong)
      apply (auto simp: assms)[4]
  apply (rule ext, rule fold-cong, auto simp: assms)
  done
lemma extra-lup-upd-alt-def:
  extra-lup-upd \ M \ l \ u \ n = (
    let xs = IArray (map (\lambda i. M (0, i)) [0.. < Suc n]) in
    extra-upd
      (\lambda i \ j \ lb \ ub \ e. \ if \ Lt \ ub \prec e \ then \ \infty
        else if (xs !! i) \prec Lt (-ub) then \infty
        else if (xs !! j) \prec (if j > 0 then Lt (- lb) else Lt 0) then <math>\infty
      (\lambda j \ lb \ e. \ if \ Le \ 0 \prec (xs \ !! \ j) \ then \ \infty
        else if (xs !! j) \prec (if j > 0 then Lt (-lb) else Lt 0) then Lt (-lb)
        else (xs !! j))) M l u n
   unfolding extra-lup-upd-def Let-def by (rule extra-upd-cong; clarsimp
simp del: upt-Suc; fail)
lemma extra-lup-upd-alt-def2:
  extra-lup-upd \ M \ l \ u \ n = (
    let xs = map(\lambda i. M(\theta, i)) [\theta... < Suc n] in
    extra-upd
      (\lambda i \ j \ lb \ ub \ e. \ if \ Lt \ ub \prec e \ then \ \infty
        else if (xs ! i) \prec Lt (-ub) then \infty
        else if (xs ! j) \prec (if j > 0 then Lt (-lb) else Lt 0) then <math>\infty
        else \ e)
      (\lambda j \ lb \ e. \ if \ Le \ 0 \prec (xs \ ! \ j) \ then \ \infty
        else if (xs \mid j) \prec (if \mid j > 0 \text{ then } Lt \mid (-lb) \text{ else } Lt \mid 0) \text{ then } Lt \mid (-lb)
        else (xs ! j) M l u n
   unfolding extra-lup-upd-def Let-def by (rule extra-upd-cong; clarsimp
simp del: upt-Suc; fail)
lemma norm-upd-norm: norm-upd = (\lambda M \ k \ n \ (i, j). norm (curry M) (\lambda i.
k \mid i) \ n \ i \ j
  and extra-lu-upd-extra-lu:
    extra-lu-upd = (\lambda M \ l \ u \ n \ (i, j). \ extra-lu \ (curry \ M) \ (\lambda i. \ l! \ i) \ (\lambda i. \ u! \ i)
n i j
  and extra-lup-upd-extra-lup:
    extra-lup-upd = (\lambda M \ l \ u \ n \ (i, j). \ extra-lup \ (curry \ M) \ (\lambda i. \ l! \ i) \ (\lambda i. \ u \ !
```

```
i) n i j
 unfolding norm-upd-def norm-is-extra extra-lu-upd-def extra-lu-is-extra
   extra-lup-upd-def extra-lup-is-extra extra-upd-extra curry-def
 by standard+
lemma norm-upd-norm':
 curry (norm-upd M k n) = norm (curry M) (\lambda i. k!i) n
 unfolding norm-upd-norm by simp
— Copy from Regions Beta, original should be moved
lemma norm-int-preservation:
 assumes dbm-int M n \forall c \leq n. k \in \mathbb{Z}
 shows dbm-int (norm\ M\ k\ n)\ n
 using assms unfolding norm-def norm-diag-def by (auto simp: Let-def)
lemma
 assumes dbm-int M n \forall c \leq n. l c \in \mathbb{Z} \forall c \leq n. u c \in \mathbb{Z}
 shows extra-lu-preservation: dbm-int (extra-lu M l u n) n
   and extra-lup-preservation: dbm-int (extra-lup M l u n) n
 using assms unfolding extra-lu-def extra-lup-def norm-diag-def by (auto
simp: Let-def)
lemma norm-upd-int-preservation:
 fixes M :: ('t :: \{linordered-ab-group-add, ring-1\}) DBM'
 assumes dbm-int (curry M) n \forall c \in set k. c \in \mathbb{Z} length k = Suc n
 shows dbm-int (curry (norm-upd M k n)) n
 using norm-int-preservation [OF assms(1)] assms(2,3) unfolding norm-upd-norm
curry-def by simp
lemma
 fixes M :: ('t :: \{linordered-ab-group-add, ring-1\}) DBM'
 assumes dbm-int (curry\ M)\ n
   \forall c \in set \ l. \ c \in \mathbb{Z} \ length \ l = Suc \ n \ \forall c \in set \ u. \ c \in \mathbb{Z} \ length \ u = Suc \ n
 shows extra-lu-upd-int-preservation: dbm-int (curry (extra-lu-upd M l u
n)) n
   and extra-lup-upd-int-preservation: dbm-int (curry (extra-lup-upd M l u
n)) n
 using extra-lu-preservation[OF\ assms(1)]\ extra-lu-preservation[OF\ assms(1)]
assms(2-)
 unfolding extra-lu-upd-extra-lu extra-lup-upd-extra-lup curry-def by simp+
lemma
 assumes dbm-default (curry M) n
```

dbm-default (curry (norm-upd M k n)) n

shows norm-upd-default:

```
and extra-lu-upd-default: dbm-default (curry (extra-lu-upd M l u n)) n
    and extra-lup-upd-default: dbm-default (curry (extra-lup-upd M l u n))
n
  using assms unfolding
  norm-upd-norm norm-def extra-lu-upd-extra-lu extra-lu-def extra-lup-upd-extra-lup
extra-lup-def
  by auto
end
theory DBM-Imperative-Loops
 imports
    Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF
begin
5.6.1
         Additional proof rules for typical looping constructs
Heap-Monad.fold-map lemma fold-map-ht:
  assumes list-all (\lambda x. < A * true > f x < \lambda r. \uparrow (Q x r) * A >_t) xs
  shows \langle A * true \rangle Heap-Monad.fold-map f xs \langle \lambda rs. \uparrow (list-all2 \ (\lambda x \ r. \ Q)) \rangle
(x \ r) \ xs \ rs) * A>_t
  using assms by (induction xs; sep-auto)
lemma fold-map-ht':
  assumes list-all (\lambda x. < true > f x < \lambda r. \uparrow (Q x r) >_t) xs
  shows < true > Heap-Monad.fold-map f xs <math>< \lambda rs. \uparrow (list-all2 \ (\lambda x \ r. \ Q \ x \ r)
  using assms by (induction xs; sep-auto)
lemma fold-map-ht1:
  assumes \bigwedge x \ xi. < A * R \ x \ xi * true > f \ xi < \lambda r. \ A * \uparrow (Q \ x \ r) >_t
  shows
  < A * list-assn R xs xsi * true>
   Heap	ext{-}Monad.fold	ext{-}map\ f\ xsi
  < \lambda rs. \ A * \uparrow (list-all2 \ (\lambda x \ r. \ Q \ x \ r) \ xs \ rs)>_t
  apply (induction xs arbitrary: xsi)
  apply (sep-auto; fail)
  subgoal for x xs xsi
   by (cases xsi; sep-auto heap: assms)
  done
lemma fold-map-ht2:
  assumes \bigwedge x \ xi. \langle A * R \ x \ xi * true \rangle f \ xi \ \langle \lambda r. \ A * R \ x \ xi * \uparrow (Q \ x \ r) \rangle_t
  < A * list-assn R xs xsi * true>
```

```
Heap-Monad.fold-map f xsi
  < \lambda rs. \ A * list-assn \ R \ xs \ xsi * \uparrow (list-all2 \ (\lambda x \ r. \ Q \ x \ r) \ xs \ rs)>_t
  apply (induction xs arbitrary: xsi)
  apply (sep-auto; fail)
  subgoal for x xs xsi
   apply (cases xsi; sep-auto heap: assms)
    apply (rule cons-rule[rotated 2], rule frame-rule, rprems)
     apply frame-inference
    apply frame-inference
   apply sep-auto
   done
  done
lemma fold-map-ht3:
  assumes \bigwedge x \ xi. < A * R \ x \ xi * true > f \ xi < \lambda r. \ A * Q \ x \ r >_t
 shows < A * list-assn R xs xsi * true > Heap-Monad.fold-map f xsi <math>< \lambda rs.
A * list-assn Q xs rs>_t
  apply (induction xs arbitrary: xsi)
  apply (sep-auto; fail)
  subgoal for x xs xsi
   apply (cases xsi; sep-auto heap: assms)
   apply (rule Hoare-Triple.cons-pre-rule[rotated], rule frame-rule, rprems,
frame-inference)
   apply sep-auto
   done
  done
imp-for' and imp-for lemma imp-for-rule2:
  assumes
   emp \Longrightarrow_A I i a
   \land i \ a. < A * I \ i \ a * true > ci \ a < \lambda r. \ A * I \ i \ a * \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow c \ a) >_t
    \bigwedge i \ a. \ i < j \Longrightarrow c \ a \Longrightarrow < A * I \ i \ a * true > f \ i \ a < \lambda r. \ A * I \ (i + 1)
r>_t
   shows < A * true > imp-for i j ci f a <math>< \lambda r. A * Q r >_t
proof -
  have
    < A * I i a * true >
     imp-for i j ci f a
   <\lambda r. \ A*(Ijr \vee_A (\exists_A i'. \uparrow (i' < j \land \neg c r) * Ii' r))>_t
   using \langle i \leq j \rangle \ assms(2,3)
   apply (induction j - i arbitrary: i a; sep-auto)
```

```
subgoal
      apply (rule ent-star-mono, rule ent-star-mono)
        apply (rule ent-refl, rule ent-disjI1-direct, rule ent-refl)
      done
     apply rprems
    apply sep-auto
      apply (rprems)
       apply sep-auto+
   apply (rule ent-star-mono, rule ent-star-mono, rule ent-reft, rule ent-disj12')
     apply solve-entails
     apply simp+
    done
  then show ?thesis
    apply (rule cons-rule[rotated 2])
    subgoal
      apply (subst merge-true-star[symmetric])
      apply (rule ent-frame-fwd[OF \ assms(1)])
       apply frame-inference+
      done
    apply (rule ent-star-mono)
     apply (rule ent-star-mono, rule ent-refl)
     apply (solve-entails eintros: assms(5) assms(4) ent-disjE)+
    done
qed
lemma imp-for-rule:
  assumes
    emp \Longrightarrow_A I i a
    \bigwedge i \ a. < I \ i \ a * true > ci \ a < \lambda r. \ I \ i \ a * \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow c \ a) >_t
    \bigwedge i \ a. \ i < j \Longrightarrow c \ a \Longrightarrow < I \ i \ a * true > f \ i \ a < \lambda r. \ I \ (i + 1) \ r >_t
    \bigwedge a. \ I \ j \ a \Longrightarrow_A \ Q \ a \ \bigwedge i \ a. \ i < j \Longrightarrow \neg \ c \ a \Longrightarrow I \ i \ a \Longrightarrow_A \ Q \ a
    i < j
  shows \langle true \rangle imp-for i j ci f a \langle \lambda r. Q r \rangle_t
  by (rule cons-rule[rotated 2], rule imp-for-rule2[where A = true])
     (rule assms | sep-auto heap: assms; fail)+
lemma imp-for'-rule2:
  assumes
    emp \Longrightarrow_A I i a
    \bigwedge i \ a. \ i < j \Longrightarrow <A * I \ i \ a * true > f \ i \ a < \lambda r. \ A * I \ (i + 1) \ r >_t
    \bigwedge a. \ I \ j \ a \Longrightarrow_A Q \ a
  shows \langle A * true \rangle imp-for' i j f a \langle \lambda r. A * Q r \rangle_t
  unfolding imp-for-imp-for'[symmetric] using assms(3,4)
```

```
by (sep-auto heap: assms imp-for-rule2[where c = \lambda-. True])
lemma imp-for'-rule:
  assumes
    emp \Longrightarrow_A I i a
    \bigwedge i \ a. \ i < j \Longrightarrow \langle I \ i \ a * true \rangle f \ i \ a \langle \lambda r. \ I \ (i+1) \ r \rangle_t
    \bigwedge a. \ I \ j \ a \Longrightarrow_A Q \ a
    i \leq j
  shows \langle true \rangle imp-for' i j f a \langle \lambda r. Q r \rangle_t
  unfolding imp-for-imp-for'[symmetric] using assms(3,4)
  by (sep-auto heap: assms imp-for-rule[where c = \lambda-. True])
lemma nth-rule:
  assumes is-pure S
    and b < length a
  shows
    < nat-assn\ b\ bi* array-assn\ S\ a\ ai> Array.nth\ ai\ bi
     <\lambda r. \exists_A x. \ nat\text{-}assn \ b \ bi * array\text{-}assn \ S \ a \ ai * S \ x \ r * true * \uparrow (x = a)
   using sepref-fr-rules (165) [unfolded hn-refine-def hn-ctxt-def] assms by
force
lemma imp-for-list-all:
  assumes
    is-pure R n \leq length xs
    \bigwedge x \ xi. < A * R \ x \ xi * true > Pi \ xi < \lambda r. \ A * \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow P \ x) >_t
  < A * array-assn R xs a * true>
    imp-for 0 n Heap-Monad.return
    (\lambda i - do)
      x \leftarrow Array.nth \ a \ i; \ Pi \ x
    })
    True
  <\lambda r. \ A* \ array-assn \ R \ xs \ a*\uparrow(r\longleftrightarrow list-all \ P \ (take \ n \ xs))>_t
  apply (rule imp-for-rule2[where I = \lambda i \ r. \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow list-all \ P \ (take \ i
(xs))])
       apply sep-auto
      apply sep-auto
  subgoal for i b
    using assms(2)
    apply (sep-auto heap: nth-rule)
     apply (rule cons-rule rotated 2], rule frame-rule,
        rule nth-rule[where b = i and a = xs], rule assms)
       apply simp
```

```
apply (simp add: pure-def)
     apply frame-inference
    apply frame-inference
   apply (sep-auto heap: assms(3) simp: pure-def take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
   done
   apply (simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
  apply simp
 unfolding list-all-iff
  apply clarsimp
  apply (metis le-less set-take-subset-set-take subsetCE)
lemma imp-for-list-ex:
 assumes
   is-pure R n \leq length xs
   \bigwedge x \ xi. < A * R \ x \ xi * true > Pi \ xi < \lambda r. \ A * \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow P \ x) >_t
 < A * array-assn R xs a * true>
   imp-for 0 n (\lambda x. Heap-Monad.return (\neg x))
   (\lambda i - do \{
     x \leftarrow Array.nth \ a \ i; \ Pi \ x
   })
   False
  <\lambda r. \ A* \ array-assn \ R \ xs \ a*\uparrow(r\longleftrightarrow list-ex \ P\ (take\ n\ xs))>_t
  apply (rule imp-for-rule2 [where I = \lambda i \ r. \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow list-ex \ P \ (take \ i
(xs))])
      apply sep-auto
     apply sep-auto
 subgoal for i b
   using assms(2)
   apply (sep-auto heap: nth-rule)
    apply (rule cons-rule rotated 2], rule frame-rule, rule nth-rule of - i xs],
rule assms)
      apply simp
     apply (simp add: pure-def)
     apply frame-inference
    apply frame-inference
   apply (sep-auto heap: assms(3) simp: pure-def take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
   done
   \mathbf{apply} \ (simp \ add: \ take\text{-}Suc\text{-}conv\text{-}app\text{-}nth)
  apply simp
 unfolding list-ex-iff
  apply clarsimp
  apply (metis le-less set-take-subset-set-take subsetCE)
```

```
lemma imp-for-list-all2:
  assumes
   is-pure R is-pure S n \leq length \ xs \ n \leq length \ ys
   \bigwedge x \ xi \ y \ yi. < A * R \ x \ xi * S \ y \ yi * true > Pi \ xi \ yi < \lambda r. \ A * \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow P)
(x y)>_t
  shows
  <A*array-assn\ R\ xs\ a\ *array-assn\ S\ ys\ b*true>
   imp-for 0 n Heap-Monad.return
   (\lambda i - do)
     x \leftarrow Array.nth \ a \ i; \ y \leftarrow Array.nth \ b \ i; \ Pi \ x \ y
   })
    True
  <\lambda r. \ A* \ array-assn \ R \ xs \ a* \ array-assn \ S \ ys \ b* \uparrow (r\longleftrightarrow list-all 2\ P
(take \ n \ xs) \ (take \ n \ ys))>_t
  apply (rule imp-for-rule2[where I = \lambda i \ r. \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow list-all2 \ P \ (take \ i
xs) (take \ i \ ys))])
      apply (sep-auto; fail)
     apply (sep-auto; fail)
  subgoal for i -
   supply [simp] = pure-def
   using assms(3,4)
   apply sep-auto
    apply (rule cons-rule[rotated 2], rule frame-rule, rule nth-rule[of - i xs],
rule assms)
      apply force
     apply (simp, frame-inference; fail)
    {\bf apply} \ \textit{frame-inference}
   apply sep-auto
    apply (rule cons-rule rotated 2], rule frame-rule, rule nth-rule of - i ys],
rule assms)
   unfolding pure-def
      apply force
     apply (simp, frame-inference; fail)
    apply frame-inference
   apply sep-auto
   supply [sep-heap-rules] = assms(5)
   apply sep-auto
   subgoal
     unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth apply clarsimp
     subgoal for i'
```

```
by (cases i' = i) auto
     done
   subgoal
     unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth by clarsimp
   apply frame-inference
   done
  unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth apply auto
  done
lemma imp-for-list-all2':
 assumes
   is-pure R is-pure S n \leq length \ xs \ n \leq length \ ys
   \bigwedge x \ xi \ y \ yi. < R \ x \ xi * S \ y \ yi> Pi \ xi \ yi < \lambda r. \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow P \ x \ y)>_t
  < array-assn \ R \ xs \ a \ * array-assn \ S \ ys \ b>
   imp-for 0 n Heap-Monad.return
   (\lambda i - do)
     x \leftarrow Array.nth \ a \ i; \ y \leftarrow Array.nth \ b \ i; \ Pi \ x \ y
   })
    True
  < \lambda r. array-assn R xs a * array-assn S ys b * \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow list-all2 P (take n
xs) (take \ n \ ys))>_t
  by (rule cons-rule[rotated 2], rule imp-for-list-all2[where A = true, ro-
tated 4])
    (sep-auto heap: assms intro: assms)+
end
theory DBM-Operations-Impl-Refine
  imports
   DBM-Operations-Impl
   HOL-Library.IArray
   DBM	ext{-}Imperative	ext{-}Loops
begin
lemma rev-map-fold-append-aux:
  fold (\lambda x xs. f x \# xs) xs zs @ ys = fold (\lambda x xs. f x \# xs) xs (zs@ys)
  by (induction xs arbitrary: zs) auto
lemma rev-map-fold:
  rev (map f xs) = fold (\lambda x xs. f x \# xs) xs []
  by (induction xs; simp add: rev-map-fold-append-aux)
lemma map-rev-fold:
  map \ f \ xs = rev \ (fold \ (\lambda \ x \ xs. \ f \ x \ \# \ xs) \ xs \ [])
```

using rev-map-fold rev-swap by fastforce

```
lemma pointwise-cmp-iff:
  pointwise-cmp P \ n \ M \ M' \longleftrightarrow list-all \ P \ (take \ ((n+1)*(n+1)) \ xs)
(take ((n + 1) * (n + 1)) ys)
 if \forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ xs \ ! \ (i + i * n + j) = M \ i \ j
   \forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ ys \ ! \ (i + i * n + j) = M' \ i \ j
   (n+1)*(n+1) \le length \ xs \ (n+1)*(n+1) \le length \ ys
 using that unfolding pointwise-cmp-def
 unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth
 apply clarsimp
 apply safe
 subgoal premises prems for x
 proof -
   let ?i = x \ div \ (n + 1) \ let \ ?j = x \ mod \ (n + 1)
   from \langle x < - \rangle have ?i < Suc \ n \ ?j \le n
     by (simp add: less-mult-imp-div-less)+
   with prems have
     xs!(?i + ?i * n + ?j) = M?i?jys!(?i + ?i * n + ?j) = M'?i?j
     P(M?i?j)(M'?i?j)
     by auto
   moreover have ?i + ?i * n + ?j = x
   by (metis ab-semigroup-add-class.add.commute mod-div-mult-eq mult-Suc-right
plus-1-eq-Suc)
   ultimately show \langle P (xs \mid x) (ys \mid x) \rangle
     by auto
 qed
 subgoal for i j
   apply (erule allE[of - i], erule impE, simp)
   apply (erule allE[of - i], erule impE, simp)
   apply (erule allE[of - i + i * n + j], erule impE)
   subgoal
     by (rule le-imp-less-Suc) (auto intro!: add-mono simp: algebra-simps)
   apply (erule allE[of - j], erule impE, simp)
   apply (erule allE[of - j], erule impE, simp)
   apply simp
   done
 done
fun intersperse :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list where
 intersperse\ sep\ (x\ \#\ y\ \#\ xs) = x\ \#\ sep\ \#\ intersperse\ sep\ (y\ \#\ xs)\ |
 intersperse - xs = xs
lemma the-pure-id-assn-eq[simp]:
```

```
the-pure (\lambda a \ c. \uparrow (c = a)) = Id
proof -
  have *: (\lambda a \ c. \uparrow (c = a)) = pure \ Id
   unfolding pure-def by simp
 show ?thesis
   by (subst *) simp
qed
lemma pure-eq-conv:
  (\lambda a \ c. \uparrow (c = a)) = id\text{-}assn
 using is-pure-assn-def is-pure-iff-pure-assn is-pure-the-pure-id-eq the-pure-id-assn-eq
by blast
5.7
       Refinement
instance DBMEntry :: ({countable}) countable
  apply (rule
   countable-classI[of
      (\lambda Le\ (a::'a) \Rightarrow to\text{-}nat\ (\theta::nat,a) \mid
          DBM.Lt \ a \Rightarrow to-nat \ (1::nat,a)
           DBM.INF \Rightarrow to\text{-}nat (2::nat,undefined::'a)))
  apply (simp split: DBMEntry.splits)
done
instance DBMEntry :: (\{heap\}) heap ...
definition dbm-subset' :: nat \Rightarrow ('t :: \{linorder, zero\}) DBM' \Rightarrow 't DBM'
\Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
  dbm-subset' n \ M \ M' \equiv pointwise-cmp \ (<) \ n \ (curry \ M) \ (curry \ M')
lemma dbm-subset'-alt-def:
  dbm-subset' n M M' \equiv
    list-all (\lambda i. list-all (\lambda j. (op-mtx-get M (i, j) \leq op-mtx-get M' (i, j)))
[\theta .. < Suc \ n])
     [\theta .. < Suc \ n]
  by (simp add: dbm-subset'-def pointwise-cmp-alt-def neutral)
lemma dbm-subset-alt-def'[code]:
  dbm-subset n \ M \ M' \longleftrightarrow
   list-ex (\lambda i. op-mtx-get M (i, i) < 0) [0..<Suc n] \vee
    list-all (\lambda i. list-all (\lambda j. (op-mtx-get M (i, j) \leq op-mtx-get M' (i, j)))
[0..<Suc\ n])
     [\theta .. < Suc \ n]
 by (simp add: dbm-subset-def check-diag-alt-def pointwise-cmp-alt-def neu-
```

```
tral
definition
 mtx-line-to-iarray m\ M = IArray\ (map\ (\lambda i.\ M\ (0,\ i))\ [0..<Suc\ m])
definition
 mtx-line m (M :: -DBM') = map(\lambda i. M(0, i)) [0..< Suc m]
locale DBM-Impl =
 fixes n :: nat
begin
abbreviation
 mtx-assn :: (nat \times nat \Rightarrow ('a :: \{linordered-ab-monoid-add, heap\})) \Rightarrow 'a
array \Rightarrow assn
where
 mtx-assn \equiv asmtx-assn (Suc n) id-assn
abbreviation clock-assn \equiv nbn-assn (Suc n)
lemmas Relation. IdI [where a = \infty, sepref-import-param]
lemma [sepref-import-param]: ((+),(+)) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow Id by simp
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (uminus, uminus) \in (Id::(-*-)set) \rightarrow Id by simp
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (Lt,Lt) \in Id \rightarrow Id by simp
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (Le, Le) \in Id \rightarrow Id by simp
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (\infty,\infty) \in Id by simp
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (min :: -DBMEntry \Rightarrow -, min) \in Id \rightarrow Id
\rightarrow Id by simp
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (Suc, Suc) \in Id \rightarrow Id by simp
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (norm-lower, norm-lower) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow Id by
simp
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (norm-upper, norm-upper) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow Id by
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (norm-diag, norm-diag) \in Id \rightarrow Id by simp
end
```

```
definition zero-clock :: - :: linordered-cancel-ab-monoid-add where zero-clock = \theta
```

sepref-register zero-clock

```
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (zero-clock, zero-clock) \in Id by simp
lemmas [sepref-opt-simps] = zero-clock-def
context
 fixes n :: nat
begin
interpretation DBM-Impl\ n .
sepref-definition reset-canonical-upd-impl' is
 uncurry2 \ (uncurry \ (\lambda x. \ RETURN \ ooo \ reset-canonical-upd \ x)) ::
  [\lambda(((-,i),j),-). i \le n \land j \le n]_a mtx-assn^d *_a nat-assn^k *_a nat-assn^k *_a
id-assn^k 	o mtx-assn
 unfolding reset-canonical-upd-alt-def op-mtx-set-def[symmetric] by sepref
sepref-definition reset-canonical-upd-impl is
  uncurry2 \ (uncurry \ (\lambda x. \ RETURN \ ooo \ reset-canonical-upd \ x)) ::
  [\lambda(((\cdot,i),j),\cdot). \ i \leq n \ \land \ j \leq n]_a \ mtx-assn^d \ *_a \ nat-assn^k \ *_a \ nat-assn^k \ *_a
id-assn^k 	o mtx-assn
 unfolding reset-canonical-upd-alt-def op-mtx-set-def[symmetric] by sepref
sepref-definition up-canonical-upd-impl is
  uncurry (RETURN oo up-canonical-upd) :: [\lambda(\cdot,i). \ i \leq n]_a \ mtx-assn^d *_a
nat-assn^k 	o mtx-assn
 unfolding up-canonical-upd-def op-mtx-set-def[symmetric] by sepref
lemma [sepref-import-param]:
 (Le \ \theta, \ \theta) \in Id
 unfolding neutral by simp
— Not sure if this is dangerous.
sepref-register \theta
sepref-definition check-diag-impl' is
 uncurry (RETURN oo check-diag) ::
 [\lambda(i, -). \ i \leq n]_a \ nat-assn^k *_a mtx-assn^k \rightarrow bool-assn
 unfolding check-diag-alt-def list-ex-foldli neutral[symmetric] by sepref
lemma [sepref-opt-simps]:
 (x = True) = x
 by simp
```

```
sepref-definition dbm-subset'-impl2 is
  uncurry2 (RETURN ooo dbm-subset') ::
  [\lambda((i, -), -). i \le n]_a \ nat\text{-}assn^k *_a mtx\text{-}assn^k *_a mtx\text{-}assn^k \to bool\text{-}assn^k
unfolding dbm-subset'-alt-def list-all-foldli by sepref
definition
  dbm-subset'-impl' \equiv \lambda m \ a \ b.
    imp-for \ 0 \ ((m+1)*(m+1)) \ Heap-Monad.return
      (\lambda i - do \{
        x \leftarrow Array.nth \ a \ i; \ y \leftarrow Array.nth \ b \ i; \ Heap-Monad.return \ (x \leq y)
      })
      True
lemma imp-for-list-all2-spec:
  \langle a \mapsto_a xs * b \mapsto_a ys \rangle
  imp-for 0 n' Heap-Monad.return
    (\lambda i - do)
      x \leftarrow Array.nth \ a \ i; \ y \leftarrow Array.nth \ b \ i; \ Heap-Monad.return \ (P \ x \ y)
    })
    True
  <\lambda r. \uparrow (r \longleftrightarrow list\text{-all2 } P \ (take \ n' \ xs) \ (take \ n' \ ys)) * a \mapsto_a xs * b \mapsto_a ys >_t
  if n' \leq length \ xs \ n' \leq length \ ys
  apply (rule cons-rule[rotated 2])
     apply (rule imp-for-list-all2' where xs = xs and ys = ys and R =
id-assn and S = id-assn])
        apply (use that in simp; fail)+
    apply (sep-auto simp: pure-def array-assn-def is-array-def)+
  done
lemma dbm-subset'-impl'-refine:
  (uncurry2\ dbm\text{-}subset'\text{-}impl',\ uncurry2\ (RETURN\ \circ\circ\circ\ dbm\text{-}subset'))
\in [\lambda((i, \text{ -}), \text{ -}). \ i = n]_a \ nat\text{-}assn^k \ *_a \ local.mtx\text{-}assn^k \ *_a \ local.mtx\text{-}assn^k \ \rightarrow \\
bool-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfolding dbm-subset'-impl'-def
  unfolding amtx-assn-def hr-comp-def is-amtx-def
  apply (sep-auto heap: imp-for-list-all2-spec simp only:)
    apply (simp; intro add-mono mult-mono; simp; fail)+
  apply sep-auto
  subgoal for b bi ba bia l la a bb
```

```
unfolding dbm-subset'-def by (simp add: pointwise-cmp-iff[where xs
= l \text{ and } ys = la
 subgoal for b bi ba bia l la a bb
    unfolding dbm-subset'-def by (simp add: pointwise-cmp-iff[where xs
= l \text{ and } ys = la
 done
sepref-register check-diag ::
  nat \Rightarrow - :: \{linordered\text{-}cancel\text{-}ab\text{-}monoid\text{-}add, heap}\} \ DBMEntry \ i\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow
bool
sepref-register dbm-subset'::
  nat \Rightarrow 'a :: \{linordered\text{-}cancel\text{-}ab\text{-}monoid\text{-}add, heap\} \ DBMEntry \ i\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow
'a DBMEntry i-mtx \Rightarrow bool
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = dbm-subset'-impl'-refine check-diag-impl'.refine
sepref-definition dbm-subset-impl' is
 uncurry2 (RETURN ooo dbm-subset) ::
 [\lambda((i, -), -). i=n]_a \ nat-assn^k *_a \ mtx-assn^k *_a \ mtx-assn^k \to bool-assn
unfolding dbm-subset-def dbm-subset'-def[symmetric] short-circuit-conv by
sepref
context
 notes [id\text{-}rules] = itypeI[of \ n \ TYPE \ (nat)]
   and [sepref-import-param] = IdI[of n]
begin
sepref-definition dbm-subset-impl is
 uncurry (RETURN oo PR-CONST (dbm-subset n)) :: mtx-assn^k *_a mtx-assn^k
\rightarrow_a bool-assn
  unfolding dbm-subset-def dbm-subset'-def[symmetric] short-circuit-conv
PR-CONST-def by sepref
sepref-definition check-diag-impl is
 RETURN o PR-CONST (check-diag n) :: mtx-assn<sup>k</sup> \rightarrow_a bool-assn
 unfolding check-diag-alt-def list-ex-foldli neutral[symmetric] PR-CONST-def
by sepref
sepref-definition dbm-subset'-impl is
 uncurry (RETURN oo PR-CONST (dbm-subset' n)) :: mtx-assn^k *_a mtx-assn^k
\rightarrow_a bool-assn
 unfolding dbm-subset'-alt-def list-all-foldli PR-CONST-def by sepref
```

```
end
```

```
abbreviation
 iarray-assn x y \equiv pure (br IArray (\lambda -. True)) y x
lemma [sepref-fr-rules]:
 (uncurry (return oo IArray.sub), uncurry (RETURN oo op-list-qet))
 \in iarray-assn^k *_a id-assn^k \rightarrow_a id-assn
unfolding br-def by sepref-to-hoare sep-auto
lemmas \ extra-defs = extra-upd-def \ upd-line-def \ upd-line-0-def
sepref-definition norm-upd-impl is
  uncurry2 (RETURN ooo norm-upd) ::
    [\lambda((-, xs), i). length xs > n \land i \leq n]_a mtx-assn^d *_a iarray-assn^k *_a
nat-assn^k 	o mtx-assn
 unfolding norm-upd-def extra-defs zero-clock-def[symmetric] by sepref
sepref-definition norm-upd-impl' is
  uncurry2 (RETURN ooo norm-upd) ::
  [\lambda((-, xs), i). \ length \ xs > n \land i \le n]_a \ mtx-assn^d *_a (list-assn \ id-assn)^k *_a
nat-assn^k 	o mtx-assn
 unfolding norm-upd-def extra-defs zero-clock-def[symmetric] by sepref
sepref-definition extra-lu-upd-impl is
  uncurry3 (\lambda x. RETURN ooo (extra-lu-upd x)) ::
 [\lambda(((-, ys), xs), i). \ length \ xs > n \land length \ ys > n \land i \leq n]_a
   mtx-assn^d *_a iarray-assn^k *_a iarray-assn^k *_a nat-assn^k \to mtx-assn
 unfolding extra-lu-upd-def extra-defs zero-clock-def [symmetric] by sepref
sepref-definition mtx-line-to-list-impl is
  uncurry (RETURN oo PR-CONST mtx-line) ::
 [\lambda(m, -). \ m \le n]_a \ nat-assn^k *_a mtx-assn^k \to list-assn \ id-assn^k
 unfolding mtx-line-def HOL-list.fold-custom-empty PR-CONST-def map-rev-fold
bv sepref
context
 fixes m :: nat assumes m \leq n
 notes [id\text{-}rules] = itypeI[of\ m\ TYPE\ (nat)]
   and [sepref-import-param] = IdI[of m]
begin
sepref-definition mtx-line-to-list-impl2 is
```

```
RETURN o PR-CONST mtx-line m :: mtx-assn^k \rightarrow_a list-assn id-assn
 unfolding mtx-line-def HOL-list.fold-custom-empty PR-CONST-def map-rev-fold
 apply sepref-dbg-keep
 using \langle m \leq n \rangle
     {\bf apply} \ \textit{sepref-dbg-trans-keep}
    apply sepref-dbg-opt
   apply sepref-dbg-cons-solve
  apply sepref-dbq-cons-solve
 apply sepref-dbg-constraints
 done
end
lemma IArray-impl:
 (return\ o\ IArray,\ RETURN\ o\ id) \in (list-assn\ id-assn)^k \rightarrow_a iarray-assn
 by sepref-to-hoare (sep-auto simp: br-def list-assn-pure-conv pure-eq-conv)
definition
  mtx-line-to-iarray-impl m M = (mtx-line-to-list-impl2 m M \gg return o
IArray)
lemmas mtx-line-to-iarray-impl-ht =
 mtx-line-to-list-impl2.refine[to-hnr, unfolded hn-refine-def hn-ctxt-def, sim-
plified]
lemmas IArray-ht = IArray-impl[to-hnr, unfolded hn-refine-def hn-ctxt-def,
simplified
lemma mtx-line-to-iarray-impl-refine[sepref-fr-rules]:
 (uncurry\ mtx-line-to-iarray-impl,\ uncurry\ (RETURN\ \circ\circ\ mtx-line))
 \in [\lambda(m, -). \ m \le n]_a \ nat-assn^k *_a \ mtx-assn^k \to iarray-assn^k
 unfolding mtx-line-to-iarray-impl-def hfref-def
 apply clarsimp
 {\bf apply} \ \textit{sepref-to-hoare}
 apply (sep-auto
  heap: mtx-line-to-iarray-impl-ht IArray-ht simp: br-def pure-eq-conv list-assn-pure-conv)
 apply (simp add: pure-def)
 done
sepref-register mtx-line :: nat \Rightarrow ('ef) DBMEntry i-mtx \Rightarrow 'ef DBMEntry
list
lemma [sepref-import-param]: (dbm-lt :: -DBMEntry \Rightarrow -, dbm-lt) \in Id \rightarrow
Id \rightarrow Id by simp
```

```
sepref-definition extra-lup-upd-impl is
 uncurry3 (\lambda x. RETURN ooo (extra-lup-upd x)) ::
  [\lambda(((-, ys), xs), i). length xs > n \land length ys > n \land i \leq n]_a
   mtx-assn^d *_a iarray-assn^k *_a iarray-assn^k *_a nat-assn^k \rightarrow mtx-assn
 unfolding extra-lup-upd-alt-def2 extra-defs zero-clock-def[symmetric] mtx-line-def[symmetric]
 by sepref
context
 notes [id\text{-}rules] = itypeI[of \ n \ TYPE \ (nat)]
   and [sepref-import-param] = IdI[of n]
begin
definition
  unbounded-dbm' = unbounded-dbm n
lemma unbounded-dbm-alt-def:
  unbounded-dbm \ n = op-amtx-new (Suc \ n) (Suc \ n) (unbounded-dbm')
 unfolding unbounded-dbm'-def by simp
We need the custom rule here because unbounded-dbm is a higher-order
constant
lemma [sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry0 (return unbounded-dbm'), uncurry0 (RETURN (PR-CONST
(unbounded-dbm'))))
 \in unit\text{-}assn^k \to_a pure (nat\text{-}rel \times_r nat\text{-}rel \to Id)
 by sepref-to-hoare sep-auto
sepref-register PR\text{-}CONST (unbounded-dbm n) :: nat \times nat \Rightarrow int DB-
MEntry :: 'b DBMEntry i-mtx
\mathbf{sepref\text{-}register}\ unbounded\text{-}dbm'::\ nat\ \times\ nat\ \Rightarrow\ \text{-}\ DBMEntry
Necessary to solve side conditions of op-amtx-new
lemma unbounded-dbm'-bounded:
 mtx-nonzero unbounded-dbm' \subseteq \{0... < Suc\ n\} \times \{0... < Suc\ n\}
 unfolding mtx-nonzero-def unbounded-dbm'-def unbounded-dbm-def neu-
tral by auto
We need to pre-process the lemmas due to a failure of TRADE
lemma unbounded-dbm'-bounded-1:
 (a, b) \in mtx-nonzero unbounded-dbm' \Longrightarrow a < Suc n
 using unbounded-dbm'-bounded by auto
```

```
lemma unbounded-dbm'-bounded-2:
 (a, b) \in mtx-nonzero unbounded-dbm' \Longrightarrow b < Suc n
 using unbounded-dbm'-bounded by auto
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = dbm-subset-impl.refine
sepref-register PR\text{-}CONST (dbm\text{-}subset n) :: 'e DBMEntry i\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow 'e
DBMEntry\ i\text{-}mtx \Rightarrow bool
lemma [def-pat-rules]:
 dbm-subset \ n \equiv PR-CONST (dbm-subset n)
 by simp
sepref-definition unbounded-dbm-impl is
 uncurry0 \ (RETURN \ (PR-CONST \ (unbounded-dbm \ n))) :: unit-assn^k \rightarrow_a
mtx-assn
 supply unbounded-dbm'-bounded-1[simp] unbounded-dbm'-bounded-2[simp]
 using unbounded-dbm'-bounded
 apply (subst unbounded-dbm-alt-def)
 unfolding PR-CONST-def by sepref
DBM to List
definition dbm-to-list :: (nat \times nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ \mathbf{where}
 dbm-to-list M \equiv
 rev $ fold (\lambda i xs. fold (\lambda j xs. M (i, j) # xs) [0... < Suc n] [0... < Suc n] []
sepref-definition dbm-to-list-impl is
 RETURN o PR-CONST dbm-to-list :: mtx-assn^k \rightarrow_a list-assn id-assn
 unfolding dbm-to-list-def HOL-list.fold-custom-empty PR-CONST-def by
sepref
5.8
      Pretty-Printing
 fixes show\text{-}clock :: nat \Rightarrow string
   and show-num :: 'a :: {linordered-ab-group-add,heap} \Rightarrow string
begin
definition
 make-string e \ i \ j \equiv
   if i = j then if e < 0 then Some ("EMPTY") else None
   if i = 0 then
   case e of
```

```
DBMEntry.Le a \Rightarrow if \ a = 0 then None else Some (show-clock j @ "
>= " @ show-num (-a))
    | DBMEntry.Lt \ a \Rightarrow Some \ (show-clock \ j @ " > " @ show-num (-a))
    | - \Rightarrow None
    else if j = 0 then
    case e of
      DBMEntry.Le a \Rightarrow Some \ (show\text{-}clock \ i @ " <= " @ show\text{-}num \ a)
    | DBMEntry.Lt \ a \Rightarrow Some \ (show-clock \ i @ " < " @ show-num \ a)
    | - \Rightarrow None
    else
    case e of
      DBMEntry.Le a \Rightarrow Some \ (show\text{-}clock \ i @ " - " @ show\text{-}clock \ j @ "
<= " @ show-num a)
   | DBMEntry.Lt\ a \Rightarrow Some\ (show-clock\ i\ @\ ''-\ ''\ @\ show-clock\ j\ @\ ''<
" @ show-num a)
    | - \Rightarrow None
definition
  dbm-list-to-string xs <math>\equiv
  (concat o intersperse ", " o rev o snd o snd)  fold (\lambda e (i, j, acc)) .
      v = make\text{-string } e i j;
     j = (j + 1) \mod (n + 1);
      i = (if j = 0 then i + 1 else i)
    in
    case \ v \ of
      None \Rightarrow (i, j, acc)
    | Some s \Rightarrow (i, j, s \# acc) |
  ) xs (\theta, \theta, [])
lemma [sepref-import-param]:
 (dbm\text{-}list\text{-}to\text{-}string, PR\text{-}CONST\ dbm\text{-}list\text{-}to\text{-}string) \in \langle Id \rangle list\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle list\text{-}rel
  by simp
definition show-dbm where
  show-dbm\ M \equiv PR-CONST\ dbm-list-to-string\ (dbm-to-list\ M)
sepref-register PR-CONST local.dbm-list-to-string
sepref-register dbm-to-list :: 'b i-mtx \Rightarrow 'b list
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = dbm-to-list-impl.refine
```

```
sepref-definition show-dbm-impl is
  RETURN\ o\ show-dbm:\ mtx-assn^k \rightarrow_a list-assn\ id-assn
  unfolding show-dbm-def by sepref
end
end
end
5.9
        Generate Code
lemma [code]:
  dbm-le\ a\ b = (a = b \lor (a \prec b))
unfolding dbm-le-def by auto
export-code
  norm\text{-}upd\text{-}impl
  reset\mbox{-}canonical\mbox{-}upd\mbox{-}impl
  up\text{-}can onical\text{-}upd\text{-}impl
  dbm-subset-impl
  dbm\text{-}subset
  show\text{-}dbm\text{-}impl
{\bf checking}\,\, SML
export-code
  norm-upd-impl
  reset\text{-}canonical\text{-}upd\text{-}impl
  up\mbox{-}canonical\mbox{-}upd\mbox{-}impl
  dbm\text{-}subset\text{-}impl
  dbm-subset
  show\text{-}dbm\text{-}impl
checking SML-imp
end
{\bf theory}\ \mathit{DBM-Examples}
  imports
    DBM	ext{-}Operations	ext{-}Impl	ext{-}Refine
    FW-More
    Show. Show-Instances
begin
```

5.10 Examples

```
no-notation Ref.update (\langle - := - \rangle 62)
Let us represent the zone y \le x \land x - y \le 2 \land y \ge 1 as a DBM:
definition test-dbm :: int DBM' where
 test-dbm = ((((\lambda(i, j). Le \ 0)((1,2) := Le \ 2))((0, 2) := Le \ (-1)))((1, 0)
(2, 0) := \infty
— Pretty-printing
definition show-test-dbm where
 show-test-dbm M = String.implode (
   show-dbm 2
     (\lambda i.\ if\ i=1\ then\ ''x''\ else\ if\ i=2\ then\ ''y''\ else\ ''f'') show
     M
— Pretty-printing
value [code] show-test-dbm test-dbm
— Canonical form
value [code] show-test-dbm (FW' test-dbm 2)
— Projection onto x axis
value [code] show-test-dbm (reset'-upd (FW' test-dbm 2) 2 [2] 0)
— Note that if reset'-upd is not applied to the canonical form, the result is
incorrect:
value [code] show-test-dbm (reset'-upd test-dbm 2 [2] 0)
— In this case, we already obtained a new canonical form after reset:
value [code] show-test-dbm (FW' (reset'-upd (FW' test-dbm 2) 2 [2] 0) 2)
— Note that FWI can be used to restore the canonical form without running
a full FW'.
— Relaxation, a.k.a computing the "future", or "letting time elapse":
value [code] show-test-dbm (up-canonical-upd (reset'-upd (FW' test-dbm 2)
2[2](0)(2)
— Note that up-canonical-upd always preservers canonical form.
— Intersection
value [code] show-test-dbm (FW' (And-upd 2
   (up\text{-}canonical\text{-}upd\ (reset'\text{-}upd\ (FW'\ test\text{-}dbm\ 2)\ 2\ [2]\ 0)\ 2)
   ((\lambda(i, j). \infty)((1, 0):=Lt 1))) 2)
— Note that up-canonical-upd always preservers canonical form.
```

```
— Checking if DBM represents the empty zone value [code] check-diag 2 (FW' (And-upd 2 (up-canonical-upd (reset'-upd (FW' test-dbm 2) 2 [2] 0) 2) ((\lambda(i, j). \infty)((1, 0):=Lt \ 1))) 2)
```

— Instead of $\lambda(i, j)$. ∞ we could also have been using unbounded-dbm.

end

References

- [1] G. Behrmann, P. Bouyer, K. G. Larsen, and R. Pelánek. Lower and upper bounds in zone-based abstractions of timed automata. *Int. J. Softw. Tools Technol. Transf.*, 8(3):204–215, 2006.
- [2] D. L. Dill. Timing assumptions and verification of finite-state concurrent systems. In J. Sifakis, editor, *Automatic Verification Methods for Finite State Systems*, pages 197–212, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [3] S. Wimmer. Trustworthy Verification of Realtime Systems. PhD thesis, Technical University of Munich, Germany, 2020.
- [4] S. Wimmer and P. Lammich. Verified model checking of timed automata. In *TACAS* (1), volume 10805 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 61–78. Springer, 2018.