

Design Theory

Chelsea Edmonds and Lawrence Paulson

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Combinatorial design theory studies incidence set systems with certain balance and symmetry properties. It is closely related to hypergraph theory. This formalisation presents a general library for formal reasoning on incidence set systems, designs and their applications, including formal definitions and proofs for many key properties, operations, and theorems on the construction and existence of designs. Notably, this includes formalising t -designs, balanced incomplete block designs (BIBD), group divisible designs (GDD), pairwise balanced designs (PBD), design isomorphisms, and the relationship between graphs and designs. A locale-centric approach has been used to manage the relationships between the many different types of designs. Theorems of particular interest include the necessary conditions for existence of a BIBD, Wilson's construction on GDDs, and Bose's inequality on resolvable designs. This formalisation is partly presented in the paper "A Modular First Formalisation of Combinatorial Design Theory", presented at CICM 2021.

Contents

1	Micellaneous Helper Functions on Sets and Multisets	3
1.1	Set Theory Extras	3
1.2	Multiset Helpers	5
1.3	Partitions on Multisets	10
2	Design Theory Basics	14
2.1	Initial setup	14
2.2	Incidence System	14
2.3	Finite Incidence Systems	15
2.4	Designs	16
2.5	Core Property Definitions	17
2.5.1	Replication Number	17
2.5.2	Point Index	18
2.5.3	Intersection Number	20
2.6	Incidence System Set Property Definitions	21

2.7	Basic Constructions on designs	23
2.7.1	Design Complements	23
2.7.2	Multiples	25
2.8	Simple Designs	26
2.9	Proper Designs	27
3	Design Operations	28
3.1	Incidence system definitions	29
3.2	Incidence System Interpretations	32
3.3	Operation Closure for Designs	33
3.4	Combining Set Systems	35
4	Block and Balanced Designs	37
4.1	Block Designs	37
4.1.1	K Block Designs	37
4.1.2	Uniform Block Design	37
4.1.3	Incomplete Designs	39
4.2	Balanced Designs	39
4.2.1	Sub-types of t-wise balance	40
4.2.2	Covering and Packing Designs	41
4.3	Constant Replication Design	42
4.4	T-designs	44
4.5	Steiner Systems	45
4.6	Combining block designs	45
5	BIBD's	47
5.1	BIBD Basics	47
5.2	Necessary Conditions for Existence	47
5.2.1	BIBD Param Relationships	49
5.3	Constructing New bibd's	50
5.3.1	BIBD Complement, Multiple, Combine	50
5.3.2	Derived Designs	50
5.3.3	Residual Designs	52
5.4	Symmetric BIBD's	53
5.4.1	Intersection Property on Symmetric BIBDs	53
5.4.2	Symmetric BIBD is Simple	55
5.4.3	Residual/Derived Sym BIBD Constructions	56
5.5	BIBD's and Other Block Designs	56
6	Resolvable Designs	57
6.1	Resolutions and Resolution Classes	57
6.2	Resolvable Design Locale	58
6.3	Resolvable Block Designs	59
6.3.1	Bose's Inequality	60

7	Group Divisible Designs	60
7.1	Group design	60
7.1.1	Group Type	61
7.1.2	Uniform Group designs	63
7.2	GDD	64
7.2.1	Sub types of GDD's	65
7.3	GDD and PBD Constructions	66
7.3.1	GDD Delete Point construction	66
7.3.2	PBD construction from GDD	67
7.3.3	Wilson's Construction	68
8	Graphs and Designs	70
8.1	Non-empty digraphs	71
8.2	Arcs to Blocks	71
8.3	Graphs are designs	72
8.4	R-regular graphs	73
9	Sub-designs	75
9.1	Sub-system and Sub-design Locales	76
9.2	Reasoning on Sub-designs	77
9.2.1	Reasoning on Incidence Sys property relationships	77
9.2.2	Reasoning on Incidence Sys/Design operations	78
10	Design Isomorphisms	79
10.1	Images of Set Systems	80
10.2	Incidence System Isomorphisms	80
10.3	Design Isomorphisms	82
10.3.1	Isomorphism Operation	82
10.3.2	Design Properties/Operations under Isomorphism	83

1 Micellaneous Helper Functions on Sets and Multisets

```

theory Multisets-Extras imports
  HOL-Library.Multiset
  Card-Partitions.Set-Partition
  Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multiset-More
  Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Duplicate-Free-Multiset
  HOL-Library.Disjoint-Sets
begin

```

1.1 Set Theory Extras

A number of extra helper lemmas for reasoning on sets (finite) required for Design Theory proofs

lemma *card-Pow-filter-one:*

assumes *finite A*

shows $\text{card } \{x \in \text{Pow } A . \text{card } x = 1\} = \text{card } (A)$

<proof>

lemma *elem-exists-non-empty-set:*

assumes $\text{card } A > 0$

obtains *x where* $x \in A$

<proof>

lemma *set-self-imag-compr:* $\{a \mid a . a \in A\} = A$

<proof>

lemma *card-subset-not-gt-card:* $\text{finite } A \implies \text{card } ps > \text{card } A \implies \neg (ps \subseteq A)$

<proof>

lemma *card-inter-lt-single:* $\text{finite } A \implies \text{finite } B \implies \text{card } (A \cap B) \leq \text{card } A$

<proof>

lemma *set-diff-non-empty-not-subset:*

assumes $A \subseteq (B - C)$

assumes $C \neq \{\}$

assumes $A \neq \{\}$

assumes $B \neq \{\}$

shows $\neg (A \subseteq C)$

<proof>

lemma *set-card-diff-ge-zero:* $\text{finite } A \implies \text{finite } B \implies A \neq B \implies \text{card } A = \text{card } B \implies$

$\text{card } (A - B) > 0$

<proof>

lemma *set-filter-diff:* $\{a \in A . P a\} - \{x\} = \{a \in (A - \{x\}) . (P a)\}$

<proof>

lemma *set-filter-diff-card:* $\text{card } (\{a \in A . P a\} - \{x\}) = \text{card } \{a \in (A - \{x\}) . (P a)\}$

<proof>

lemma *obtain-subset-with-card-int-n:*

assumes $(n :: \text{int}) \leq \text{of-nat } (\text{card } S)$

assumes $(n :: \text{int}) \geq 0$

obtains *T where* $T \subseteq S$ $\text{of-nat } (\text{card } T) = (n :: \text{int})$ *finite T*

<proof>

lemma *transform-filter-imag-empty-rm:*

assumes $\bigwedge g . g \in G \implies g \neq \{\}$

shows $\{g - \{x\} \mid g . g \in G \wedge g \neq \{x\}\} = \{g - \{x\} \mid g . g \in G\} - \{\{\}\}$

<proof>

lemma *bij-betw-inter-subsets*: $\text{bij-betw } f \ A \ B \implies a1 \subseteq A \implies a2 \subseteq A$
 $\implies f' (a1 \cap a2) = (f' a1) \cap (f' a2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Partition related set theory lemmas

lemma *partition-on-remove-pt*:
assumes *partition-on* $A \ G$
shows *partition-on* $(A - \{x\}) \ \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in G \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *partition-on-cart-prod*:
assumes $\text{card } I > 0$
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
assumes $G \neq \{\}$
assumes *partition-on* $A \ G$
shows *partition-on* $(A \times I) \ \{g \times I \mid g. g \in G\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.2 Multiset Helpers

Generic Size, count and card helpers

lemma *count-size-set-repr*: $\text{size } \{\# \ x \in \# \ A \ . \ x = g\#\} = \text{count } A \ g$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-nempty-set-nempty*: $A \neq \{\#\} \iff (\text{set-mset } A) \neq \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-size-ne0-set-card*: $\text{size } A > 0 \implies \text{card } (\text{set-mset } A) > 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-count-size-min*: $\text{count } A \ a \geq n \implies \text{size } A \geq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *card-size-filter-eq*: $\text{finite } A \implies \text{card } \{a \in A \ . \ P \ a\} = \text{size } \{\# \ a \in \# \ \text{mset-set } A \ . \ P \ a\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size-multiset-set-mset-const-count*:
assumes $\text{card } (\text{set-mset } A) = ca$
assumes $\bigwedge p. p \in \# \ A \implies \text{count } A \ p = ca2$
shows $\text{size } A = (ca * ca2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size-multiset-int-count*:
assumes $\text{of-nat } (\text{card } (\text{set-mset } A)) = (ca :: \text{int})$
assumes $\bigwedge p. p \in \# \ A \implies \text{of-nat } (\text{count } A \ p) = (ca2 :: \text{int})$
shows $\text{of-nat } (\text{size } A) = ((ca :: \text{int}) * ca2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-union-size*: $size (A \cup\# B) = size (A) + size (B - A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-union-size-inter*: $size (A \cup\# B) = size (A) + size B - size (A \cap\# B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Lemmas for repeat_mset

lemma *repeat-mset-size [simp]*: $size (repeat-mset n A) = n * size A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat-mset-subset-in*:
assumes $\bigwedge a . a \in\# A \implies a \subseteq B$
assumes $X \in\# repeat-mset n A$
assumes $x \in X$
shows $x \in B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat-mset-not-empty*: $n > 0 \implies A \neq \{\#\} \implies repeat-mset n A \neq \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *elem-in-repeat-in-original*: $a \in\# repeat-mset n A \implies a \in\# A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *elem-in-original-in-repeat*: $n > 0 \implies a \in\# A \implies a \in\# repeat-mset n A$
 ⟨proof⟩

Lemmas on image and filter for multisets

lemma *multiset-add-filter-size*: $size \{\# a \in\# (A1 + A2) . P a \#\} = size \{\# a \in\# A1 . P a \#\} +$
 $size \{\# a \in\# A2 . P a \#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size-filter-neg*: $size \{\# a \in\# A . P a \#\} = size A - size \{\# a \in\# A . \neg P a \#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *filter-filter-mset-cond-simp*:
assumes $\bigwedge a . P a \implies Q a$
shows $filter-mset P A = filter-mset P (filter-mset Q A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *filter-filter-mset-ss-member*: $filter-mset (\lambda a . \{x, y\} \subseteq a) A =$
 $filter-mset (\lambda a . \{x, y\} \subseteq a) (filter-mset (\lambda a . x \in a) A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multiset-image-do-nothing*: $(\bigwedge x . x \in\# A \implies f x = x) \implies image-mset f A = A$

<proof>

lemma *set-mset-filter*: $set\text{-}mset \{ \# f a . a \in \# A \# \} = \{ f a \mid a . a \in \# A \}$
<proof>

lemma *mset-exists-impl*: $x \in \# \{ \# f a . a \in \# A \# \} \implies \exists y \in \# A . x = f y$
<proof>

lemma *filter-mset-image-mset*:
 $filter\text{-}mset P (image\text{-}mset f A) = image\text{-}mset f (filter\text{-}mset (\lambda x . P (f x)) A)$
<proof>

lemma *mset-bunion-filter*: $\{ \# a \in \# A . P a \vee Q a \# \} = \{ \# a \in \# A . P a \# \} \cup \# \{ \# a \in \# A . Q a \# \}$
<proof>

lemma *mset-inter-filter*: $\{ \# a \in \# A . P a \wedge Q a \# \} = \{ \# a \in \# A . P a \# \} \cap \# \{ \# a \in \# A . Q a \# \}$
<proof>

lemma *image-image-mset*: $image\text{-}mset (\lambda x . f x) (image\text{-}mset (\lambda y . g y) A) = image\text{-}mset (\lambda x . f (g x)) A$
<proof>

Big Union over multiset helpers

lemma *mset-big-union-obtain*:
assumes $x \in \# \sum \# A$
obtains a **where** $a \in \# A$ **and** $x \in \# a$
<proof>

lemma *size-big-union-sum*: $size (\sum \# (M :: 'a \text{ multiset multiset})) = (\sum x \in \# M . size x)$
<proof>

Cartesian Product on Multisets

lemma *size-cartesian-product-singleton* [*simp*]: $size (\{ \# a \# \} \times \# B) = size B$
<proof>

lemma *size-cartesian-product-singleton-right* [*simp*]: $size (A \times \# \{ \# b \# \}) = size A$
<proof>

lemma *size-cartesian-product-empty* [*simp*]: $size (A \times \# \{ \# \}) = 0$
<proof>

lemma *size-add-elem-step-eq*:
assumes $size (A \times \# B) = size A * size B$
shows $size (add\text{-}mset x A \times \# B) = size (add\text{-}mset x A) * size B$
<proof>

lemma *size-cartesian-product*: $size (A \times\# B) = size A * size B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cart-prod-distinct-mset*:
assumes *assm1*: *distinct-mset A*
assumes *assm2*: *distinct-mset B*
shows *distinct-mset (A ×# B)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cart-product-single-intersect*: $x1 \neq x2 \implies (\{x1\} \times\# A) \cap\# (\{x2\} \times\# B) = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size-union-distinct-cart-prod*: $x1 \neq x2 \implies size ((\{x1\} \times\# A) \cup\# (\{x2\} \times\# B)) = size (\{x1\} \times\# A) + size (\{x2\} \times\# B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size-Union-distinct-cart-prod*: *distinct-mset M* $\implies size (\sum p \in\# M. (\{p\} \times\# B)) = size (M) * size (B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size-Union-distinct-cart-prod-filter*: *distinct-mset M* $\implies (\bigwedge p. p \in\# M \implies size (\{b \in\# B. P p b\}) = c) \implies size (\sum p \in\# M. (\{p\} \times\# \{b \in\# B. P p b\})) = size (M) * c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size-Union-distinct-cart-prod-filter2*: *distinct-mset V* $\implies (\bigwedge b. b \in\# B \implies size (\{v \in\# V. P v b\}) = c) \implies size (\sum b \in\# B. (\{v \in\# V. P v b\} \times\# \{b\})) = size (B) * c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cart-product-add-1*: $(add-mset a A) \times\# B = (\{a\} \times\# B) + (A \times\# B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cart-product-add-1-filter*: $\{m \in\# ((add-mset a M) \times\# N). P m\} = \{m \in\# (M \times\# N). P m\} + \{m \in\# (\{a\} \times\# N). P m\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cart-product-add-1-filter2*: $\{m \in\# (M \times\# (add-mset b N)). P m\} = \{m \in\# (M \times\# N). P m\} + \{m \in\# (M \times\# \{b\}). P m\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cart-prod-singleton-right-gen*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in\# (A \times\# \{b\}) \implies P x \longleftrightarrow Q (fst x)$
shows $\{x \in\# (A \times\# \{b\}). P x\} = \{a \in\# A. Q a\} \times\# \{b\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-prod-singleton-left-gen*:

assumes $\bigwedge x . x \in \# (\{ \#a \} \times \# B) \implies P x \longleftrightarrow Q (\text{snd } x)$

shows $\{ \#x \in \# (\{ \#a \} \times \# B) . P x \# \} = \{ \#a \} \times \# \{ \#b \in \# B . Q b \# \}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-product-singleton-left*: $\{ \#m \in \# (\{ \#a \} \times \# N) . \text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m \# \}$

$=$

$(\{ \#a \} \times \# \{ \#n \in \# N . a \in n \# \})$ (**is** $?A = ?B$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-product-singleton-right*: $\{ \#m \in \# (N \times \# \{ \#b \}) . \text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m \# \} =$

$(\{ \#n \in \# N . n \in b \# \} \times \# \{ \#b \})$ (**is** $?A = ?B$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-product-add-1-filter-eq*: $\{ \#m \in \# ((\text{add-mset } a M) \times \# N) . (\text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m) \# \} =$

$\{ \#m \in \# (M \times \# N) . (\text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m) \# \} + (\{ \#a \} \times \# \{ \#n \in \# N . a \in n \# \})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-product-add-1-filter-eq-mirror*: $\{ \#m \in \# M \times \# (\text{add-mset } b N) . (\text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m) \# \} =$

$\{ \#m \in \# (M \times \# N) . (\text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m) \# \} + (\{ \#n \in \# M . n \in b \# \} \times \# \{ \#b \})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-break-down-left*:

shows $\{ \#m \in \# (M \times \# N) . (\text{fst } m) \in (\text{snd } m) \# \} = (\sum m \in \# M . (\{ \#m \# \} \times \# \{ \#n \in \# N . m \in n \# \}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-break-down-right*:

shows $\{ \#x \in \# M \times \# N . (\text{fst } x) \in (\text{snd } x) \# \} = (\sum n \in \# N . (\{ \#m \in \# M . m \in n \# \} \times \# \{ \#n \# \}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Reasoning on sums of elements over multisets

lemma *sum-over-fun-eq*:

assumes $\bigwedge x . x \in \# A \implies f x = g x$

shows $(\sum x \in \# A . f(x)) = (\sum x \in \# A . g(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-mset-add-diff-nat*:

fixes $x :: 'a$ **and** $f g :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

assumes $\bigwedge x . x \in \# A \implies f x \geq g x$

shows $(\sum x \in \# A . f x - g x) = (\sum x \in \# A . f x) - (\sum x \in \# A . g x)$

<proof>

lemma *sum-mset-add-diff-int*:

fixes $x :: 'a$ **and** $f g :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{int}$

shows $(\sum x \in\# A. f x - g x) = (\sum x \in\# A. f x) - (\sum x \in\# A. g x)$

<proof>

context *ring-1*

begin

lemma *sum-mset-add-diff*: $(\sum x \in\# A. f x - g x) = (\sum x \in\# A. f x) - (\sum x \in\# A. g x)$

<proof>

end

context *ordered-semiring*

begin

lemma *sum-mset-ge0*: $(\bigwedge x. f x \geq 0) \implies (\sum x \in\# A. f x) \geq 0$

<proof>

lemma *sum-order-add-mset*: $(\bigwedge x. f x \geq 0) \implies (\sum x \in\# A. f x) \leq (\sum x \in\# \text{add-mset } a \ A. f x)$

<proof>

lemma *sum-mset-0-left*: $(\bigwedge x. f x \geq 0) \implies (\sum x \in\# A. f x) = 0 \implies (\forall x \in\# A. f x = 0)$

<proof>

lemma *sum-mset-0-iff-ge-0*:

assumes $(\bigwedge x. f x \geq 0)$

shows $(\sum x \in\# A. f x) = 0 \iff (\forall x \in \text{set-mset } A. f x = 0)$

<proof>

end

lemma *mset-set-size-card-count*: $(\sum x \in\# A. x) = (\sum x \in \text{set-mset } A. x * (\text{count } A \ x))$

<proof>

1.3 Partitions on Multisets

A partition on a multiset A is a multiset of multisets, where the sum over P equals A and the empty multiset is not in the partition. Based off set partition definition. We note that unlike set partitions, there is no requirement for elements in the multisets to be distinct due to the definition of union on multisets [1]

lemma *mset-size-partition-dep*: $\text{size } \{\# a \in \# A . P a \vee Q a \#\} =$
 $\text{size } \{\# a \in \# A . P a \#\} + \text{size } \{\# a \in \# A . Q a \#\} - \text{size } \{\# a \in \# A .$
 $P a \wedge Q a \#\}$
<proof>

definition *partition-on-mset* :: 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
partition-on-mset A P $\longleftrightarrow \sum \#P = A \wedge \{\#\} \notin \# P$

lemma *partition-on-msetI* [intro]: $\sum \#P = A \Longrightarrow \{\#\} \notin \# P \Longrightarrow \text{partition-on-mset}$
A P
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-msetD1*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Longrightarrow \sum \#P = A$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-msetD2*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Longrightarrow \{\#\} \notin \# P$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-empty*: $\text{partition-on-mset } \{\#\} P \longleftrightarrow P = \{\#\}$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-all*: $A \neq \{\#\} \Longrightarrow \text{partition-on-mset } A \{\#A \#\}$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-singletons*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A (\text{image-mset } (\lambda x . \{\#x\#})$
A)
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-not-empty*: $A \neq \{\#\} \Longrightarrow \text{partition-on-mset } A P \Longrightarrow P$
 $\neq \{\#\}$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-msetI2*: $\sum \#P = A \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge p . p \in \# P \Longrightarrow p \neq \{\#\}) \Longrightarrow$
partition-on-mset A P
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-elems*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Longrightarrow p1 \in \# P \Longrightarrow x \in \#$
 $p1 \Longrightarrow x \in \# A$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-sum-size-eq*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Longrightarrow (\sum x \in \# P . \text{size}$
 $x) = \text{size } A$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-card*: **assumes** *partition-on-mset* A P **shows** $\text{size } P \leq$
 $\text{size } A$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-count-eq*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Longrightarrow a \in \# A \Longrightarrow$

$(\sum x \in\# P. \text{count } x a) = \text{count } A a$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-subsets*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \implies x \in\# P \implies x \subseteq\# A$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-distinct*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes *distinct-mset* A
shows *distinct-mset* P
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-distinct-disjoint*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes *distinct-mset* A
assumes $p1 \in\# P$
assumes $p2 \in\# P - \{\#p1\#}$
shows $p1 \cap\# p2 = \{\#\}$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-diff*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes $Q \subseteq\# P$
shows *partition-on-mset* $(A - \sum\# Q) (P - Q)$
<proof>

lemma *sigma-over-set-partition-count*:
assumes *finite* A
assumes *partition-on* $A P$
assumes $x \in\# \sum\# (\text{mset-set } (\text{mset-set } ' P))$
shows $\text{count } (\sum\# (\text{mset-set } (\text{mset-set } ' P))) x = 1$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-set*:
assumes *finite* A
assumes *partition-on* $A P$
shows *partition-on-mset* $(\text{mset-set } A) (\text{mset-set } (\text{image } (\lambda x. \text{mset-set } x) P))$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-distinct-inter*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes *distinct-mset* A
assumes $p1 \in\# P$ **and** $p2 \in\# P$ **and** $p1 \neq p2$
shows $p1 \cap\# p2 = \{\#\}$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-set-mset-distinct*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$

assumes *distinct-mset* A
assumes $p \in\#$ *image-mset set-mset* P
assumes $p' \in\#$ *image-mset set-mset* P
assumes $p \neq p'$
shows $p \cap p' = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-set-mset*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes *distinct-mset* A
shows *partition-on* (*set-mset* A) (*set-mset* (*image-mset set-mset* P))
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-eq-imp-eq-carrier*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes *partition-on-mset* $B P$
shows $A = B$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-add-single*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
shows *partition-on-mset* (*add-mset* $a A$) (*add-mset* $\{ \#a\# \} P$)
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-add-part*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes $X \neq \{\#\}$
assumes $A + X = A'$
shows *partition-on-mset* A' (*add-mset* $X P$)
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-add*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes $X \in\# P$
assumes *add-mset* $a X = X'$
shows *partition-on-mset* (*add-mset* $a A$) (*add-mset* $X' (P - \{\#X\# \})$)
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-elem-exists-part*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes $x \in\# A$
obtains p **where** $p \in\# P$ **and** $x \in\# p$
<proof>

lemma *partition-on-mset-combine*:
assumes *partition-on-mset* $A P$
assumes *partition-on-mset* $B Q$
shows *partition-on-mset* ($A + B$) ($P + Q$)
<proof>

```

lemma partition-on-mset-split:
  assumes partition-on-mset  $A$  ( $P + Q$ )
  shows partition-on-mset  $(\sum \#P)$   $P$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end
theory Design-Basics imports Main Multisets-Extras HOL-Library.Disjoint-Sets
begin

```

2 Design Theory Basics

All definitions in this section reference the handbook of combinatorial designs [3]

2.1 Initial setup

Enable coercion of nats to ints to aid with reasoning on design properties

```

declare  $[[coercion-enabled]]$ 
declare  $[[coercion\ of\ nat :: nat \Rightarrow int]]$ 

```

2.2 Incidence System

An incidence system is defined to be a wellformed set system. i.e. each block is a subset of the base point set. Alternatively, an incidence system can be looked at as the point set and an incidence relation which indicates if they are in the same block

```

locale incidence-system =
  fixes point-set :: 'a set  $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ 
  fixes block-collection :: 'a set multiset  $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ 
  assumes wellformed:  $b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
begin

```

```

definition  $\mathcal{I} \equiv \{ (x, b) . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b \}$ 

```

```

definition incident :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
incident  $p$   $b \equiv (p, b) \in \mathcal{I}$ 

```

Defines common notation used to indicate number of points (v) and number of blocks (b)

```

abbreviation  $v \equiv card \mathcal{V}$ 

```

```

abbreviation  $b \equiv size \mathcal{B}$ 

```

Basic incidence lemmas

```

lemma incidence-alt-def:
  assumes  $p \in \mathcal{V}$ 

```

assumes $b \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $\text{incident } p \ b \longleftrightarrow p \in b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-invalid-point*: $x \notin \mathcal{V} \implies b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies x \notin b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *block-set-nempty-imp-block-ex*: $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\} \implies \exists \text{ bl} . \text{ bl} \in \# \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Abbreviations for all incidence systems

abbreviation *multiplicity* :: 'a set \Rightarrow nat **where**
multiplicity b \equiv count \mathcal{B} b

abbreviation *incomplete-block* :: 'a set \Rightarrow bool **where**
incomplete-block bl \equiv card bl < card $\mathcal{V} \wedge \text{ bl} \in \# \mathcal{B}$

lemma *incomplete-alt-size*: $\text{incomplete-block } \text{bl} \implies \text{card } \text{bl} < v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *incomplete-alt-in*: $\text{incomplete-block } \text{bl} \implies \text{bl} \in \# \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *incomplete-alt-imp[intro]*: $\text{card } \text{bl} < v \implies \text{bl} \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } \text{bl}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *design-support* :: 'a set set **where**
design-support \equiv set-mset \mathcal{B}

end

2.3 Finite Incidence Systems

These simply require the point set to be finite. As multisets are only defined to be finite, it is implied that the block set must be finite already

locale *finite-incidence-system* = *incidence-system* +
assumes *finite-sets*: finite \mathcal{V}
begin

lemma *finite-blocks*: $b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{finite } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-points-distinct*: *distinct-mset* (mset-set \mathcal{V})
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-points-distinct-diff-one*: *distinct-mset* (mset-set ($\mathcal{V} - \{x\}$))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-design-support*: *finite (design-support)*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *block-size-lt-order*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \leq \text{card } \mathcal{V}$
⟨*proof*⟩

end

2.4 Designs

There are many varied definitions of a design in literature. However, the most commonly accepted definition is a finite point set, \mathcal{V} and collection of blocks \mathcal{B} , where no block in \mathcal{B} can be empty

locale *design* = *finite-incidence-system* +
assumes *blocks-nempty*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies bl \neq \{\}$
begin

lemma *wf-design*: *design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wf-design-iff*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \longleftrightarrow (bl \subseteq \mathcal{V} \wedge \text{finite } \mathcal{V} \wedge bl \neq \{\})$
⟨*proof*⟩

Reasoning on non empty properties and non zero parameters

lemma *blocks-nempty-alt*: $\forall bl \in \# \mathcal{B}. bl \neq \{\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *block-set-nempty-imp-points*: $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\} \implies \mathcal{V} \neq \{\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *b-non-zero-imp-v-non-zero*: $b > 0 \implies v > 0$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *v-eq0-imp-b-eq-0*: $v = 0 \implies b = 0$
⟨*proof*⟩

Size lemmas

lemma *block-size-lt-v*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \leq v$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *block-size-gt-0*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl > 0$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *design-cart-product-size*: $\text{size } ((\text{mset-set } \mathcal{V}) \times \# \mathcal{B}) = v * b$
⟨*proof*⟩

end

Intro rules for design locale

lemma *wf-design-implies*:

assumes $(\bigwedge b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b \subseteq V)$
assumes $\bigwedge b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b \neq \{\}$
assumes *finite* V
assumes $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$
assumes $V \neq \{\}$
shows *design* $V \mathcal{B}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (*in incidence-system*) *finite-sysI*[*intro*]: *finite* $\mathcal{V} \implies$ *finite-incidence-system*
 $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (*in finite-incidence-system*) *designI*[*intro*]: $(\bigwedge b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b \neq \{\}) \implies$
 $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$
 $\implies \mathcal{V} \neq \{\} \implies$ *design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

2.5 Core Property Definitions

2.5.1 Replication Number

The replication number for a point is the number of blocks that point is incident with

definition *point-replication-number* :: 'a set multiset \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat (**infix** \langle *rep* \rangle 75)
where
 $B \text{ rep } x \equiv \text{size } \{\#b \in \# B . x \in b\# \}$

lemma *max-point-rep*: $B \text{ rep } x \leq \text{size } B$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *rep-number-g0-exists*:
assumes $B \text{ rep } x > 0$
obtains b **where** $b \in \# B$ **and** $x \in b$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *rep-number-on-set-def*: *finite* $B \implies$ $(\text{mset-set } B) \text{ rep } x = \text{card } \{b \in B . x \in b\}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *point-rep-number-split*[*simp*]: $(A + B) \text{ rep } x = A \text{ rep } x + B \text{ rep } x$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *point-rep-singleton-val* [*simp*]: $x \in b \implies \{\#b\# \} \text{ rep } x = 1$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *point-rep-singleton-ival* [*simp*]: $x \notin b \implies \{\#b\# \} \text{ rep } x = 0$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

context *incidence-system*

begin

lemma *point-rep-number-alt-def*: $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = \text{size } \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \in b\# \}$
<proof>

lemma *rep-number-non-zero-system-point*: $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x > 0 \implies x \in \mathcal{V}$
<proof>

lemma *point-rep-non-existence [simp]*: $x \notin \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = 0$
<proof>

lemma *point-rep-number-inv*: $\text{size } \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \notin b\# \} = b - (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x)$
<proof>

lemma *point-rep-num-inv-non-empty*: $(\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) < b \implies \mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\} \implies \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \notin b\# \} \neq \{\#\}$
<proof>

end

2.5.2 Point Index

The point index of a subset of points in a design, is the number of times those points occur together in a block of the design

definition *points-index* :: 'a set multiset \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow nat (**infix** *<index>* 75) **where**
 $B \text{ index } ps \equiv \text{size } \{\# b \in \# B . ps \subseteq b\# \}$

lemma *points-index-empty [simp]*: $\{\#\} \text{ index } ps = 0$
<proof>

lemma *point-index-distrib*: $(B1 + B2) \text{ index } ps = B1 \text{ index } ps + B2 \text{ index } ps$
<proof>

lemma *point-index-diff*: $B1 \text{ index } ps = (B1 + B2) \text{ index } ps - B2 \text{ index } ps$
<proof>

lemma *points-index-singleton*: $\{\#b\# \} \text{ index } ps = 1 \iff ps \subseteq b$
<proof>

lemma *points-index-singleton-zero*: $\neg (ps \subseteq b) \implies \{\#b\# \} \text{ index } ps = 0$
<proof>

lemma *points-index-sum*: $(\sum \# B) \text{ index } ps = (\sum b \in \# B . (b \text{ index } ps))$
<proof>

lemma *points-index-block-image-add-eq*:
assumes $x \notin ps$
assumes $B \text{ index } ps = l$
shows $\{\# \text{ insert } x b . b \in \# B\# \} \text{ index } ps = l$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-on-set-def* [*simp*]:

assumes *finite B*

shows $(mset-set\ B)\ index\ ps = card\ \{b \in B.\ ps \subseteq b\}$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-single-rep-num*: $B\ index\ \{x\} = B\ rep\ x$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-pair-rep-num*:

assumes $\bigwedge b.\ b \in \# B \implies x \in b$

shows $B\ index\ \{x, y\} = B\ rep\ y$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-0-left-imp*:

assumes $B\ index\ ps = 0$

assumes $b \in \# B$

shows $\neg (ps \subseteq b)$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-0-right-imp*:

assumes $\bigwedge b.\ b \in \# B \implies (\neg ps \subseteq b)$

shows $B\ index\ ps = 0$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-0-iff*: $B\ index\ ps = 0 \iff (\forall b.\ b \in \# B \implies (\neg ps \subseteq b))$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-gt0-impl-existance*:

assumes $B\ index\ ps > 0$

shows $(\exists bl.\ (bl \in \# B \wedge ps \subseteq bl))$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-one-unique*:

assumes $B\ index\ ps = 1$

assumes $bl \in \# B$ **and** $ps \subseteq bl$ **and** $bl' \in \# B$ **and** $ps \subseteq bl'$

shows $bl = bl'$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-one-unique-block*:

assumes $B\ index\ ps = 1$

shows $\exists! bl.\ (bl \in \# B \wedge ps \subseteq bl)$

<proof>

lemma *points-index-one-not-unique-block*:

assumes $B\ index\ ps = 1$

assumes $ps \subseteq bl$

assumes $bl \in \# B$

assumes $bl' \in \# B - \{\#bl\# \}$
shows $\neg ps \subseteq bl'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *incidence-system*) *points-index-alt-def*: $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \text{size } \{\#b \in \# \mathcal{B} . ps \subseteq b\# \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *incidence-system*) *points-index-ps-nin*: $\neg (ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}) \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *incidence-system*) *points-index-count-bl*:
multiplicity $bl \geq n \implies ps \subseteq bl \implies \text{count } \{\#bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . ps \subseteq bl\# \} bl \geq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *finite-incidence-system*) *points-index-zero*:
assumes $\text{card } ps > \text{card } \mathcal{V}$
shows $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *design*) *points-index-subset*:
 $x \subseteq \# \{\#bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . ps \subseteq bl\# \} \implies ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies (\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps) \geq (\text{size } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *design*) *points-index-count-min*: *multiplicity* $bl \geq n \implies ps \subseteq bl \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \geq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5.3 Intersection Number

The intersection number of two blocks is the size of the intersection of those blocks. i.e. the number of points which occur in both blocks

definition *intersection-number* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow nat (infix $\langle |\cap| \rangle$ 70) **where**
 $b1 \ |\cap| \ b2 \equiv \text{card } (b1 \cap b2)$

lemma *intersection-num-non-neg*: $b1 \ |\cap| \ b2 \geq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *intersection-number-empty-iff*:
assumes *finite* $b1$
shows $b1 \cap b2 = \{ \} \longleftrightarrow b1 \ |\cap| \ b2 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *intersect-num-commute*: $b1 \ |\cap| \ b2 = b2 \ |\cap| \ b1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *n-intersect-number* :: 'a set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow nat **where**
n-intersect-number $b1 \ n \ b2 \equiv \text{card } \{ x \in \text{Pow } (b1 \cap b2) . \text{card } x = n \}$

notation *n-intersect-number* ($\langle(-|\cap|-)\rangle$ [52, 51, 52] 50)

lemma *n-intersect-num-subset-def*: $b1 \mid\cap\mid_n b2 = \text{card } \{x . x \subseteq b1 \cap b2 \wedge \text{card } x = n\}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *n-inter-num-one*: $\text{finite } b1 \implies \text{finite } b2 \implies b1 \mid\cap\mid_1 b2 = b1 \mid\cap\mid b2$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *n-inter-num-choose*: $\text{finite } b1 \implies \text{finite } b2 \implies b1 \mid\cap\mid_n b2 = (\text{card } (b1 \cap b2) \text{ choose } n)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *set-filter-single*: $x \in A \implies \{a \in A . a = x\} = \{x\}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma (*in design*) *n-inter-num-zero*:
assumes $b1 \in\#\mathcal{B}$ **and** $b2 \in\#\mathcal{B}$
shows $b1 \mid\cap\mid_0 b2 = 1$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma (*in design*) *n-inter-num-choose-design*: $b1 \in\#\mathcal{B} \implies b2 \in\#\mathcal{B}$
 $\implies b1 \mid\cap\mid_n b2 = (\text{card } (b1 \cap b2) \text{ choose } n)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma (*in design*) *n-inter-num-choose-design-inter*: $b1 \in\#\mathcal{B} \implies b2 \in\#\mathcal{B}$
 $\implies b1 \mid\cap\mid_n b2 = (\text{nat } (b1 \mid\cap\mid b2) \text{ choose } n)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

2.6 Incidence System Set Property Definitions

context *incidence-system*

begin

The set of replication numbers for all points of design

definition *replication-numbers* :: *nat set* **where**
 $\text{replication-numbers} \equiv \{\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x \mid x . x \in \mathcal{V}\}$

lemma *replication-numbers-non-empty*:
assumes $\mathcal{V} \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{replication-numbers} \neq \{\}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *obtain-point-with-rep*: $r \in \text{replication-numbers} \implies \exists x . x \in \mathcal{V} \wedge \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = r$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *point-rep-number-in-set*: $x \in \mathcal{V} \implies (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) \in \text{replication-numbers}$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma (in *finite-incidence-system*) *replication-numbers-finite: finite replication-numbers*
 ⟨proof⟩

The set of all block sizes in a system

definition *sys-block-sizes* :: *nat set* **where**
sys-block-sizes ≡ { *card bl* | *bl*. *bl* ∈# *B* }

lemma *block-sizes-non-empty-set*:
assumes *B* ≠ {#}
shows *sys-block-sizes* ≠ {}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-block-sizes: finite (sys-block-sizes)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *block-sizes-non-empty*:
assumes *B* ≠ {#}
shows *card (sys-block-sizes)* > 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sys-block-sizes-in: bl* ∈# *B* ⇒ *card bl* ∈ *sys-block-sizes*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sys-block-sizes-obtain-bl: x* ∈ *sys-block-sizes* ⇒ (∃ *bl* ∈# *B*. *card bl* = *x*)
 ⟨proof⟩

The set of all possible intersection numbers in a system.

definition *intersection-numbers* :: *nat set* **where**
intersection-numbers ≡ { *b1* |∩| *b2* | *b1 b2* . *b1* ∈# *B* ∧ *b2* ∈# (*B* - {#*b1*#}) }

lemma *obtain-blocks-intersect-num: n* ∈ *intersection-numbers* ⇒
 ∃ *b1 b2*. *b1* ∈# *B* ∧ *b2* ∈# (*B* - {#*b1*#}) ∧ *b1* |∩| *b2* = *n*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *intersect-num-in-set: b1* ∈# *B* ⇒ *b2* ∈# (*B* - {#*b1*#}) ⇒ *b1* |∩| *b2*
 ∈ *intersection-numbers*
 ⟨proof⟩

The set of all possible point indices

definition *point-indices* :: *nat ⇒ nat set* **where**
point-indices *t* ≡ { *B* *index ps* | *ps*. *card ps* = *t* ∧ *ps* ⊆ *V* }

lemma *point-indices-elem-in: ps* ⊆ *V* ⇒ *card ps* = *t* ⇒ *B* *index ps* ∈ *point-indices*
t
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *point-indices-alt-def: point-indices* *t* = { *B* *index ps* | *ps*. *card ps* = *t* ∧ *ps*
 ⊆ *V* }
 ⟨proof⟩

end

2.7 Basic Constructions on designs

This section defines some of the most common universal constructions found in design theory involving only a single design

2.7.1 Design Complements

context *incidence-system*

begin

The complement of a block are all the points in the design not in that block. The complement of a design is therefore the original point sets, and set of all block complements

definition *block-complement*:: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set ($\langle \cdot^c \rangle$ [56] 55) **where**
block-complement $b \equiv \mathcal{V} - b$

definition *complement-blocks* :: 'a set multiset ($\langle (\mathcal{B}^C) \rangle$) **where**
complement-blocks $\equiv \{ \# \text{ } bl^c \text{ } . \text{ } bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \# \}$

lemma *block-complement-elem-iff*:

assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

shows $ps \subseteq bl^c \iff (\forall x \in ps. x \notin bl)$

<proof>

lemma *block-complement-inter-empty*: $bl1^c = bl2 \implies bl1 \cap bl2 = \{ \}$

<proof>

lemma *block-complement-inv*:

assumes $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$

assumes $bl^c = bl2$

shows $bl2^c = bl$

<proof>

lemma *block-complement-subset-points*: $ps \subseteq (bl^c) \implies ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

<proof>

lemma *obtain-comp-block-orig*:

assumes $bl1 \in \# \mathcal{B}^C$

obtains $bl2$ **where** $bl2 \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $bl1 = bl2^c$

<proof>

lemma *complement-same-b* [simp]: $\text{size } \mathcal{B}^C = \text{size } \mathcal{B}$

<proof>

lemma *block-comp-elem-alt-left*: $x \in bl \implies ps \subseteq bl^c \implies x \notin ps$

<proof>

lemma *block-comp-elem-alt-right*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies (\bigwedge x . x \in ps \implies x \notin bl) \implies ps \subseteq bl^c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *complement-index*:
 assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
 shows $\mathcal{B}^C \text{ index } ps = \text{size } \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . (\forall x \in ps . x \notin b) \#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *complement-index-2*:
 assumes $\{x, y\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
 shows $\mathcal{B}^C \text{ index } \{x, y\} = \text{size } \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \notin b \wedge y \notin b \#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *complement-rep-number*:
 assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$ and \mathcal{B} rep $x = r$
 shows $\mathcal{B}^C \text{ rep } x = \mathcal{B} - r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *complement-blocks-wf*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}^C \implies bl \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *complement-wf [intro]*: *incidence-system* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *sys-complement*: *incidence-system* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C$
 ⟨proof⟩
 end

context *finite-incidence-system*

begin

lemma *block-complement-size*: $b \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } (b^c) = \text{card } \mathcal{V} - \text{card } b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *block-comp-incomplete*: *incomplete-block* $bl \implies \text{card } (bl^c) > 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *block-comp-incomplete-nempty*: *incomplete-block* $bl \implies bl^c \neq \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *incomplete-block-proper-subset*: *incomplete-block* $bl \implies bl \subset \mathcal{V}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *complement-finite*: *finite-incidence-system* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *comp-fin*: *finite-incidence-system* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

context *design*

begin

lemma (*in design*) *complement-design*:

assumes $\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } bl$

shows *design* $\mathcal{V} (\mathcal{B}^C)$

<proof>

end

2.7.2 Multiples

An easy way to construct new set systems is to simply multiply the block collection by some constant

context *incidence-system*

begin

abbreviation *multiple-blocks* :: *nat* \implies 'a *set multiset* **where**

multiple-blocks *n* \equiv *repeat-mset* *n* \mathcal{B}

lemma *multiple-block-in-original*: $b \in \# \text{multiple-blocks } n \implies b \in \# \mathcal{B}$

<proof>

lemma *multiple-block-in*: $n > 0 \implies b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b \in \# \text{multiple-blocks } n$

<proof>

lemma *multiple-blocks-gt*: $n > 0 \implies \text{size } (\text{multiple-blocks } n) \geq \text{size } \mathcal{B}$

<proof>

lemma *block-original-count-le*: $n > 0 \implies \text{count } \mathcal{B} \ b \leq \text{count } (\text{multiple-blocks } n) \ b$

<proof>

lemma *multiple-blocks-sub*: $n > 0 \implies \mathcal{B} \subseteq \# (\text{multiple-blocks } n)$

<proof>

lemma *multiple-1-same*: *multiple-blocks* 1 = \mathcal{B}

<proof>

lemma *multiple-unfold-1*: *multiple-blocks* (Suc *n*) = (*multiple-blocks* *n*) + \mathcal{B}

<proof>

lemma *multiple-point-rep-num*: (*multiple-blocks* *n*) *rep* *x* = (\mathcal{B} *rep* *x*) * *n*

<proof>

lemma *multiple-point-index*: (*multiple-blocks* *n*) *index* *ps* = (\mathcal{B} *index* *ps*) * *n*

<proof>

lemma *repeat-mset-block-point-rel*: $\bigwedge b x. b \in \# \text{ multiple-blocks } n \implies x \in b \implies x \in \mathcal{V}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *multiple-is-wellformed*: *incidence-system* \mathcal{V} (*multiple-blocks* n)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *multiple-blocks-num* [*simp*]: *size* (*multiple-blocks* n) = $n * b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *mult-sys*: *incidence-system* \mathcal{V} (*multiple-blocks* n)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *multiple-block-multiplicity* [*simp*]: *mult-sys.multiplicity* $n \text{ bl} = (\text{multiplicity } \text{bl}) * n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *multiple-block-sizes-same*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows *sys-block-sizes* = *mult-sys.sys-block-sizes* n
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

context *finite-incidence-system*
begin

lemma *multiple-is-finite*: *finite-incidence-system* \mathcal{V} (*multiple-blocks* n)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

context *design*
begin

lemma *multiple-is-design*: *design* \mathcal{V} (*multiple-blocks* n)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

2.8 Simple Designs

Simple designs are those in which the multiplicity of each block is at most one. In other words, the block collection is a set. This can significantly ease reasoning.

locale *simple-incidence-system* = *incidence-system* +
assumes *simple* [*simp*]: $\text{bl} \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{multiplicity } \text{bl} = 1$

begin

lemma *simple-alt-def-all*: $\forall bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . \text{multiplicity } bl = 1$
<proof>

lemma *simple-blocks-eq-sup*: $\text{mset-set } (\text{design-support}) = \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

lemma *simple-block-size-eq-card*: $b = \text{card } (\text{design-support})$
<proof>

lemma *points-index-simple-def*: $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \text{card } \{b \in \text{design-support} . ps \subseteq b\}$
<proof>

lemma *replication-num-simple-def*: $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = \text{card } \{b \in \text{design-support} . x \in b\}$
<proof>

end

locale *simple-design* = *design* + *simple-incidence-system*

Additional reasoning about when something is not simple

context *incidence-system*

begin

lemma *simple-not-multiplicity*: $b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{multiplicity } b > 1 \implies \neg \text{simple-incidence-system}$
 $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

lemma *multiple-not-simple*:

assumes $n > 1$

assumes $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$

shows $\neg \text{simple-incidence-system } \mathcal{V} (\text{multiple-blocks } n)$

<proof>

end

2.9 Proper Designs

Many types of designs rely on parameter conditions that only make sense for non-empty designs. i.e. designs with at least one block, and therefore given well-formed condition, at least one point. To this end we define the notion of a "proper" design

locale *proper-design* = *design* +

assumes *b-non-zero*: $b \neq 0$

begin

lemma *is-proper*: *proper-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ *<proof>*

lemma *v-non-zero*: $v > 0$

```

    <proof>

lemma b-positive:  $b > 0$  <proof>

lemma design-points-nempty:  $\mathcal{V} \neq \{\}$ 
    <proof>

lemma design-blocks-nempty:  $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$ 
    <proof>

end

    Intro rules for a proper design

lemma (in design) proper-designI[intro]:  $b \neq 0 \implies \text{proper-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
    <proof>

lemma proper-designII[intro]:
    assumes design  $V B$  and  $B \neq \{\#\}$ 
    shows proper-design  $V B$ 
    <proof>

    Reasoning on construction closure for proper designs

context proper-design
begin

lemma multiple-proper-design:
    assumes  $n > 0$ 
    shows proper-design  $\mathcal{V}$  (multiple-blocks  $n$ )
    <proof>

lemma complement-proper-design:
    assumes  $\bigwedge bl . bl \in \#\mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } bl$ 
    shows proper-design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C$ 
    <proof>

end
end
theory Design-Operations imports Design-Basics
begin

```

3 Design Operations

Incidence systems have a number of very typical computational operations which can be used for constructions in design theory. Definitions in this section are based off the handbook of combinatorial designs, hypergraph theory [2], and the GAP design theory library [5]

3.1 Incidence system definitions

context *incidence-system*

begin

The basic add point operation only affects the point set of a design

definition *add-point* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

add-point p \equiv insert p \mathcal{V}

lemma *add-existing-point* [*simp*]: p \in $\mathcal{V} \implies$ *add-point* p = \mathcal{V}

<proof>

lemma *add-point-wf*: *incidence-system* (*add-point* p) \mathcal{B}

<proof>

An extension of the basic add point operation also adds the point to a given set of blocks

definition *add-point-to-blocks* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set set \Rightarrow 'a set multiset **where**

add-point-to-blocks p bs \equiv {# (insert p b) | b \in # \mathcal{B} . b \in bs#} + {# b \in # \mathcal{B} . b \notin bs#}

lemma *add-point-blocks-blocks-alt*: *add-point-to-blocks* p bs =

image-mset (insert p) (filter-mset (λ b . b \in bs) \mathcal{B}) + (filter-mset (λ b . b \notin bs) \mathcal{B})

<proof>

lemma *add-point-existing-blocks*:

assumes (\bigwedge bl . bl \in bs \implies p \in bl)

shows *add-point-to-blocks* p bs = \mathcal{B}

<proof>

lemma *add-new-point-rep-number*:

assumes p \notin \mathcal{V}

shows (*add-point-to-blocks* p bs) rep p = size {#b \in # \mathcal{B} . b \in bs#}

<proof>

lemma *add-point-blocks-wf*: *incidence-system* (*add-point* p) (*add-point-to-blocks* p bs)

<proof>

Basic (weak) delete point operation removes a point from both the point set and from any blocks that contain it to maintain wellformed property

definition *del-point* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

del-point p \equiv $\mathcal{V} - \{p\}$

definition *del-point-blocks*:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set multiset **where**

del-point-blocks p \equiv {# (bl - {p}) . bl \in # \mathcal{B} #}

lemma *del-point-block-count*: size (*del-point-blocks* p) = size \mathcal{B}

<proof>

lemma *remove-invalid-point-block*: $p \notin \mathcal{V} \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies bl - \{p\} = bl$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *del-invalid-point*: $p \notin \mathcal{V} \implies (\text{del-point } p) = \mathcal{V}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *del-invalid-point-blocks*: $p \notin \mathcal{V} \implies (\text{del-point-blocks } p) = \mathcal{B}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-p-not-in-bl-blocks*: $(\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \notin bl) \implies (\text{del-point-blocks } p) = \mathcal{B}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-blocks-wf*: $b \in \# (\text{del-point-blocks } p) \implies b \subseteq \mathcal{V} - \{p\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-blocks-sub*:
assumes $b \in \# (\text{del-point-blocks } p)$
obtains bl **where** $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge b \subseteq bl$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-split-blocks*: $\text{del-point-blocks } p =$
 $\{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . p \notin bl\# \} + \{\# bl - \{p\} \mid bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . p \in bl\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-index-eq*:
assumes $ps \subseteq (\text{del-point } p)$
shows $(\text{del-point-blocks } p) \text{ index } ps = \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-wf*: $\text{incidence-system } (\text{del-point } p) (\text{del-point-blocks } p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

The concept of a strong delete point comes from hypergraph theory. When a point is deleted, any blocks containing it are also deleted

definition *str-del-point-blocks* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ set multiset **where**
 $\text{str-del-point-blocks } p \equiv \{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . p \notin bl\#\}$

lemma *str-del-point-blocks-alt*: $\text{str-del-point-blocks } p = \mathcal{B} - \{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . p \in bl\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-strong-block-in*: $p \notin bl \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies bl \in \# \text{str-del-point-blocks } p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-strong-block-not-in*: $p \in bl \implies bl \notin \# (\text{str-del-point-blocks } p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-strong-block-in-iff*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies bl \in \# \text{str-del-point-blocks } p$
 $\longleftrightarrow p \notin bl$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-strong-block-subset*: $\text{str-del-point-blocks } p \subseteq \# \mathcal{B}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-strong-block-in-orig*: $bl \in \# \text{str-del-point-blocks } p \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-invalid-pt-strong-eq*: $p \notin \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} = \text{str-del-point-blocks } p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *strong-del-point-index-alt*:
assumes $ps \subseteq (\text{del-point } p)$
shows $(\text{str-del-point-blocks } p) \text{ index } ps =$
 $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps - \{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . p \in bl\# \} \text{ index } ps$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *strong-del-point-incidence-wf*: $\text{incidence-system } (\text{del-point } p) (\text{str-del-point-blocks } p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Add block operation

definition *add-block* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set multiset **where**
add-block $b \equiv \mathcal{B} + \{\#b\# \}$

lemma *add-block-alt*: $\text{add-block } b = \text{add-mset } b \mathcal{B}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *add-block-rep-number-in*:
assumes $x \in b$
shows $(\text{add-block } b) \text{ rep } x = \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x + 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *add-block-rep-number-not-in*: $x \notin b \implies (\text{add-block } b) \text{ rep } x = \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *add-block-index-in*:
assumes $ps \subseteq b$
shows $(\text{add-block } b) \text{ index } ps = \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps + 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *add-block-index-not-in*: $\neg (ps \subseteq b) \implies (\text{add-block } b) \text{ index } ps = \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps$
 ⟨proof⟩

Note the add block incidence system is defined slightly differently than textbook definitions due to the modification to the point set. This ensures

the operation is closed, where otherwise a condition that $b \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ would be required.

lemma *add-block-wf: incidence-system* $(\mathcal{V} \cup b)$ (*add-block* b)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-block-wf-cond: $b \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies$ incidence-system* \mathcal{V} (*add-block* b)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Delete block removes a block from the block set. The point set is unchanged

definition *del-block* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set multiset **where**
del-block $b \equiv \mathcal{B} - \{\#b\# \}$

lemma *delete-block-subset: (del-block* $b) \subseteq \# \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-invalid-block-eq: $b \notin \# \mathcal{B} \implies$ del-block* $b = \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-block-wf: incidence-system* \mathcal{V} (*del-block* b)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The strong delete block operation effectively deletes the block, as well as all points in that block

definition *str-del-block* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set multiset **where**
str-del-block $b \equiv \{\# bl - b \mid bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . bl \neq b \#\}$

lemma *strong-del-block-alt-def: str-del-block* $b = \{\# bl - b . bl \in \# \text{removeAll-mset}$
 $b \mathcal{B} \#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *strong-del-block-wf: incidence-system* $(\mathcal{V} - b)$ (*str-del-block* b)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *str-del-block-del-point:*
assumes $\{x\} \notin \# \mathcal{B}$
shows *str-del-block* $\{x\} = (\text{del-point-blocks } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 Incidence System Interpretations

It is easy to interpret all operations as incidence systems in there own right. These can then be used to prove local properties on the new constructions, as well as reason on interactions between different operation sequences

interpretation *add-point-sys: incidence-system* *add-point* $p \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-point-sys-rep-numbers: add-point-sys.replication-numbers* $p =$

replication-numbers $\cup \{\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } p\}$
<proof>

interpretation *del-point-sys: incidence-system del-point p del-point-blocks p*
<proof>

interpretation *add-block-sys: incidence-system $\mathcal{V} \cup bl$ add-block bl*
<proof>

interpretation *del-block-sys: incidence-system \mathcal{V} del-block bl*
<proof>

lemma *add-del-block-inv:*
assumes $bl \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
shows *add-block-sys.del-block bl bl = \mathcal{B}*
<proof>

lemma *del-add-block-inv: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{del-block-sys.add-block bl bl} = \mathcal{B}$*
<proof>

lemma *del-invalid-add-block-eq: $bl \notin \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{del-block-sys.add-block bl bl} = \text{add-block bl}$*
<proof>

lemma *add-delete-point-inv:*
assumes $p \notin \mathcal{V}$
shows *add-point-sys.del-point p p = \mathcal{V}*
<proof>
end

3.3 Operation Closure for Designs

context *finite-incidence-system*
begin

lemma *add-point-finite: finite-incidence-system (add-point p) \mathcal{B}*
<proof>

lemma *add-point-to-blocks-finite: finite-incidence-system (add-point p) (add-point-to-blocks p bs)*
<proof>

lemma *delete-point-finite:*
finite-incidence-system (del-point p) (del-point-blocks p)
<proof>

lemma *del-point-order:*
assumes $p \in \mathcal{V}$
shows *card (del-point p) = $v - 1$*

<proof>

lemma *strong-del-point-finite:finite-incidence-system* (*del-point* p) (*str-del-point-blocks* p)
<proof>

lemma *add-block-fin: finite* $b \implies$ *finite-incidence-system* ($\mathcal{V} \cup b$) (*add-block* b)
<proof>

lemma *add-block-fin-cond: $b \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies$ finite-incidence-system* \mathcal{V} (*add-block* b)
<proof>

lemma *delete-block-fin-incidence-sys: finite-incidence-system* \mathcal{V} (*del-block* b)
<proof>

lemma *strong-del-block-fin: finite-incidence-system* ($\mathcal{V} - b$) (*str-del-block* b)
<proof>

end

context *design*

begin

lemma *add-point-design: design* (*add-point* p) \mathcal{B}
<proof>

lemma *delete-point-design:*
 assumes $(\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \in bl \implies \text{card } bl \geq 2)$
 shows *design* (*del-point* p) (*del-point-blocks* p)
<proof>

lemma *strong-del-point-design: design* (*del-point* p) (*str-del-point-blocks* p)
<proof>

lemma *add-block-design:*
 assumes *finite* bl
 assumes $bl \neq \{\}$
 shows *design* ($\mathcal{V} \cup bl$) (*add-block* bl)
<proof>

lemma *add-block-design-cond:*
 assumes $bl \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ **and** $bl \neq \{\}$
 shows *design* \mathcal{V} (*add-block* bl)
<proof>

lemma *delete-block-design: design* \mathcal{V} (*del-block* bl)
<proof>

lemma *strong-del-block-des:*
 assumes $\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \neg (bl \subset b)$

shows *design* ($\mathcal{V} - b$) (*str-del-block* b)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

context *proper-design*

begin

lemma *delete-point-proper*:

assumes $\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \in bl \implies 2 \leq \text{card } bl$
shows *proper-design* (*del-point* p) (*del-point-blocks* p)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *strong-delete-point-proper*:

assumes $\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \in bl \implies 2 \leq \text{card } bl$
assumes $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } p < b$
shows *proper-design* (*del-point* p) (*str-del-point-blocks* p)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

3.4 Combining Set Systems

Similar to multiple, another way to construct a new set system is to combine two existing ones. We introduce a new locale enabling us to reason on two different incidence systems

locale *two-set-systems* = *sys1*: *incidence-system* \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} + *sys2*: *incidence-system* \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'

for $\mathcal{V} :: ('a \text{ set})$ **and** \mathcal{B} **and** $\mathcal{V}' :: ('a \text{ set})$ **and** \mathcal{B}'

begin

abbreviation *combine-points* $\equiv \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{V}'$

notation *combine-points* ($\langle \mathcal{V}^+ \rangle$)

abbreviation *combine-blocks* $\equiv \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}'$

notation *combine-blocks* ($\langle \mathcal{B}^+ \rangle$)

sublocale *combine-sys*: *incidence-system* \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *combine-points-index*: $\mathcal{B}^+ \text{ index } ps = \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps + \mathcal{B}' \text{ index } ps$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *combine-rep-number*: $\mathcal{B}^+ \text{ rep } x = \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x + \mathcal{B}' \text{ rep } x$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *combine-multiple1*: $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \implies \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}' \implies \mathcal{B}^+ = \text{sys1.multiple-blocks } 2$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *combine-multiple2*: $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \implies \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}' \implies \mathcal{B}^+ = \text{sys2.multiple-blocks } 2$
<proof>

lemma *combine-multiplicity*: $\text{combine-sys.multiplicity } b = \text{sys1.multiplicity } b + \text{sys2.multiplicity } b$
<proof>

lemma *combine-block-sizes*: $\text{combine-sys.sys-block-sizes} = \text{sys1.sys-block-sizes} \cup \text{sys2.sys-block-sizes}$
<proof>

end

locale *two-fin-set-systems* = *two-set-systems* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' + \text{sys1: finite-incidence-system } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} + \text{sys2: finite-incidence-system } \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$ **for** $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$
begin

sublocale *combine-fin-sys*: *finite-incidence-system* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$
<proof>

lemma *combine-order*: $\text{card } (\mathcal{V}^+) \geq \text{card } \mathcal{V}$
<proof>

lemma *combine-order-2*: $\text{card } (\mathcal{V}^+) \geq \text{card } \mathcal{V}'$
<proof>

end

locale *two-designs* = *two-fin-set-systems* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' + \text{des1: design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} + \text{des2: design } \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$ **for** $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$
begin

sublocale *combine-des*: *design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$
<proof>

end

locale *two-designs-proper* = *two-designs* + **assumes** *blocks-nempty*: $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\} \vee \mathcal{B}' \neq \{\#\}$
begin

lemma *des1-is-proper*: $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{proper-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

lemma *des2-is-proper*: $\mathcal{B}' \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{proper-design } \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$
<proof>

lemma *min-one-proper-design*: *proper-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \vee$ *proper-design* $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *combine-proper-des*: *proper-design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$
 ⟨*proof*⟩
end

end

4 Block and Balanced Designs

We define a selection of the many different types of block and balanced designs, building up to properties required for defining a BIBD, in addition to several base generalisations

theory *Block-Designs* **imports** *Design-Operations*
begin

4.1 Block Designs

A block design is a design where all blocks have the same size.

4.1.1 K Block Designs

An important generalisation of a typical block design is the \mathcal{K} block design, where all blocks must have a size x where $x \in \mathcal{K}$

locale *K-block-design* = *proper-design* +
fixes *sizes* :: *nat set* ($\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle$)
assumes *block-sizes*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \in \mathcal{K}$
assumes *positive-ints*: $x \in \mathcal{K} \implies x > 0$
begin

lemma *sys-block-size-subset*: *sys-block-sizes* $\subseteq \mathcal{K}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

4.1.2 Uniform Block Design

The typical uniform block design is defined below

locale *block-design* = *proper-design* +
fixes *u-block-size* :: *nat* ($\langle k \rangle$)
assumes *uniform [simp]*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl = k$
begin

lemma *k-non-zero*: $k \geq 1$

<proof>

lemma *uniform-alt-def-all*: $\forall bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . \text{card } bl = k$
<proof>

lemma *uniform-unfold-point-set*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } \{p \in \mathcal{V} . p \in bl\} = k$
<proof>

lemma *uniform-unfold-point-set-mset*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{size } \{\#p \in \# \text{mset-set } \mathcal{V} . p \in bl \# \} = k$
<proof>

lemma *sys-block-sizes-uniform* [*simp*]: $\text{sys-block-sizes} = \{k\}$
<proof>

lemma *sys-block-sizes-uniform-single*: *is-singleton* (*sys-block-sizes*)
<proof>

lemma *uniform-size-incomp*: $k \leq v - 1 \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } bl$
<proof>

lemma *uniform-complement-block-size*:
 assumes $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}^C$
 shows $\text{card } bl = v - k$
<proof>

lemma *uniform-complement*[*intro*]:
 assumes $k \leq v - 1$
 shows $\text{block-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C (v - k)$
<proof>

lemma *block-size-lt-v*: $k \leq v$
<proof>

end

lemma (*in proper-design*) *block-designI*[*intro*]: $(\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl = k) \implies \text{block-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k$
<proof>

context *block-design*
begin

lemma *block-design-multiple*: $n > 0 \implies \text{block-design } \mathcal{V} (\text{multiple-blocks } n) k$
<proof>

end

A uniform block design is clearly a type of *K_block_design* with a singleton *K* set

sublocale *block-design* \subseteq *K-block-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \{k\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.1.3 Incomplete Designs

An incomplete design is a design where $k < v$, i.e. no block is equal to the point set

locale *incomplete-design* = *block-design* +
assumes *incomplete*: $k < v$

begin

lemma *incomplete-imp-incomp-block*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } bl$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *incomplete-imp-proper-subset*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies bl \subset \mathcal{V}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma (**in** *block-design*) *incomplete-designI*[*intro*]: $k < v \implies \text{incomplete-design}$
 $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *incomplete-design*

begin

lemma *multiple-incomplete*: $n > 0 \implies \text{incomplete-design } \mathcal{V} (\text{multiple-blocks } n) k$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *complement-incomplete*: *incomplete-design* $\mathcal{V} (\mathcal{B}^C) (v - k)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

4.2 Balanced Designs

t -wise balance is a design with the property that all point subsets of size t occur in λ_t blocks

locale *t-wise-balance* = *proper-design* +
fixes *grouping* :: $\text{nat } (\langle t \rangle)$ **and** *index* :: $\text{nat } (\langle \Lambda_t \rangle)$
assumes *t-non-zero*: $t \geq 1$
assumes *t-lt-order*: $t \leq v$
assumes *balanced* [*simp*]: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda_t$
begin

lemma *t-non-zero-suc*: $t \geq \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *balanced-alt-def-all*: $\forall ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} . \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda_t$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (*in proper-design*) *t-wise-balanceI*[*intro*]: $t \leq v \implies t \geq 1 \implies$
 $(\bigwedge ps . ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda_t) \implies t\text{-wise-balance } \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B} \ t$
 Λ_t
 ⟨proof⟩

context *t-wise-balance*
begin

lemma *obtain-t-subset-points*:
obtains *T* **where** $T \subseteq \mathcal{V} \ \text{card } T = t \ \text{finite } T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multiple-t-wise-balance-index* [*simp*]:
assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
assumes $\text{card } ps = t$
shows (*multiple-blocks* *n*) $\text{index } ps = \Lambda_t * n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multiple-t-wise-balance*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows $t\text{-wise-balance } \mathcal{V} \ (\text{multiple-blocks } n) \ t \ (\Lambda_t * n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *twice-set-pair-index*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies ps2 \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies ps \neq ps2 \implies \text{card } ps = t$
 $\implies \text{card } ps2 = t$
 $\implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *t-wise-balance-alt*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = l2$
 $\implies (\bigwedge ps . ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = l2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *index-1-imp-mult-1* [*simp*]:
assumes $\Lambda_t = 1$
assumes $bl \in \# \ \mathcal{B}$
assumes $\text{card } bl \geq t$
shows *multiplicity* *bl* = 1
 ⟨proof⟩

end

4.2.1 Sub-types of t-wise balance

Pairwise balance is when $t = 2$. These are commonly of interest

locale *pairwise-balance* = *t-wise-balance* \vee \mathcal{B} \geq Λ
for *point-set* ($\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$) **and** *block-collection* ($\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$) **and** *index* ($\langle \Lambda \rangle$)

We can combine the balance properties with K _block design to define tBD's (t-wise balanced designs), and PBD's (pairwise balanced designs)

locale *tBD* = *t-wise-balance* + *K-block-design* +
assumes *block-size-gt-t*: $k \in \mathcal{K} \implies k \geq t$

locale Λ -*PBD* = *pairwise-balance* + *K-block-design* +
assumes *block-size-gt-t*: $k \in \mathcal{K} \implies k \geq 2$

sublocale Λ -*PBD* \subseteq *tBD* \vee \mathcal{B} \geq Λ \mathcal{K}
 \langle *proof* \rangle

locale *PBD* = Λ -*PBD* \vee \mathcal{B} \geq \mathcal{K} **for** *point-set* ($\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$) **and** *block-collection* ($\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$) **and**
sizes ($\langle \mathcal{K} \rangle$)

begin

lemma *multiplicity-is-1*:

assumes $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$

shows *multiplicity* $bl = 1$

\langle *proof* \rangle

end

sublocale *PBD* \subseteq *simple-design*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

PBD's are often only used in the case where k is uniform, defined here.

locale k - Λ -*PBD* = *pairwise-balance* + *block-design* +
assumes *block-size-t*: $2 \leq k$

sublocale k - Λ -*PBD* \subseteq Λ -*PBD* \vee \mathcal{B} Λ $\{k\}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

locale k -*PBD* = k - Λ -*PBD* \vee \mathcal{B} \geq k **for** *point-set* ($\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$) **and** *block-collection* ($\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$)
and *u-block-size* ($\langle k \rangle$)

sublocale k -*PBD* \subseteq *PBD* \vee \mathcal{B} $\{k\}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

4.2.2 Covering and Packing Designs

Covering and packing designs involve a looser balance restriction. Upper/lower bounds are placed on the points index, instead of a strict equality

A t-covering design is a relaxed version of a tBD, where, for all point subsets of size t, a lower bound is put on the points index

locale *t-covering-design* = *block-design* +
fixes *grouping* :: *nat* ($\langle t \rangle$)

fixes *min-index* :: nat ($\langle \Lambda_t \rangle$)
assumes *covering*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \geq \Lambda_t$
assumes *block-size-t*: $t \leq k$
assumes *t-non-zero*: $t \geq 1$
begin

lemma *covering-alt-def-all*: $\forall ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} . \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \geq \Lambda_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma (**in** *block-design*) *t-covering-designI* [*intro*]: $t \leq k \implies t \geq 1 \implies$
 $(\bigwedge ps . ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \geq \Lambda_t) \implies t\text{-covering-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 $k \ t \ \Lambda_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A t -packing design is a relaxed version of a t BD, where, for all point subsets of size t , an upper bound is put on the points index

locale *t-packing-design* = *block-design* +
fixes *grouping* :: nat ($\langle t \rangle$)
fixes *min-index* :: nat ($\langle \Lambda_t \rangle$)
assumes *packing*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \leq \Lambda_t$
assumes *block-size-t*: $t \leq k$
assumes *t-non-zero*: $t \geq 1$
begin

lemma *packing-alt-def-all*: $\forall ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} . \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \leq \Lambda_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma (**in** *block-design*) *t-packing-designI* [*intro*]: $t \leq k \implies t \geq 1 \implies$
 $(\bigwedge ps . ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \leq \Lambda_t) \implies t\text{-packing-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 $k \ t \ \Lambda_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *packing-covering-imp-balance*:
assumes *t-packing-design* $V \ B \ k \ t \ \Lambda_t$
assumes *t-covering-design* $V \ B \ k \ t \ \Lambda_t$
shows *t-wise-balance* $V \ B \ t \ \Lambda_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.3 Constant Replication Design

When the replication number for all points in a design is constant, it is the design replication number.

locale *constant-rep-design* = *proper-design* +
fixes *design-rep-number* :: nat ($\langle r \rangle$)
assumes *rep-number* [*simp*]: $x \in \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = r$

begin

lemma *rep-number-alt-def-all*: $\forall x \in \mathcal{V}. \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = r$
<proof>

lemma *rep-number-unfold-set*: $x \in \mathcal{V} \implies \text{size } \{\#bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \in bl\# \} = r$
<proof>

lemma *rep-numbers-constant* [*simp*]: *replication-numbers* = $\{r\}$
<proof>

lemma *replication-number-single*: *is-singleton* (*replication-numbers*)
<proof>

lemma *constant-rep-point-pair*: $x1 \in \mathcal{V} \implies x2 \in \mathcal{V} \implies x1 \neq x2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x1 = \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x2$
<proof>

lemma *constant-rep-alt*: $x1 \in \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x1 = r2 \implies (\bigwedge x . x \in \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = r2)$
<proof>

lemma *constant-rep-point-not-0*:
assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$
shows $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x \neq 0$
<proof>

lemma *rep-not-zero*: $r \neq 0$
<proof>

lemma *r-gzero*: $r > 0$
<proof>

lemma *r-lt-eq-b*: $r \leq b$
<proof>

lemma *complement-rep-number*:
assumes $\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } bl$
shows *constant-rep-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C (b - r)$
<proof>

lemma *multiple-rep-number*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows *constant-rep-design* $\mathcal{V} (\text{multiple-blocks } n) (r * n)$
<proof>
end

lemma (**in** *proper-design*) *constant-rep-designI* [*intro*]: $(\bigwedge x . x \in \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x$

= r)
 \implies *constant-rep-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.4 T-designs

All the before mentioned designs build up to the concept of a t -design, which has uniform block size and is t -wise balanced. We limit t to be less than k , so the balance condition has relevance

locale *t-design* = *incomplete-design* + *t-wise-balance* +
assumes *block-size-t*: $t \leq k$
begin

lemma *point-indices-balanced*: *point-indices* $t = \{\Lambda_t\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *point-indices-singleton*: *is-singleton* (*point-indices* t)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *t-designI* [*intro*]:
assumes *incomplete-design* $V B k$
assumes *t-wise-balance* $V B t \Lambda_t$
assumes $t \leq k$
shows *t-design* $V B k t \Lambda_t$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *t-design* \subseteq *t-covering-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k t \Lambda_t$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *t-design* \subseteq *t-packing-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k t \Lambda_t$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *t-design-pack-cov* [*intro*]:
assumes $k < \text{card } V$
assumes *t-covering-design* $V B k t \Lambda_t$
assumes *t-packing-design* $V B k t \Lambda_t$
shows *t-design* $V B k t \Lambda_t$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *t-design* \subseteq *tBD* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} t \Lambda_t \{k\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *t-design*
begin

lemma *multiple-t-design*: $n > 0 \implies$ *t-design* \mathcal{V} (*multiple-blocks* n) $k t (\Lambda_t * n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *t-design-min-v*: $v > 1$

<proof>

end

4.5 Steiner Systems

Steiner systems are a special type of t -design where $\Lambda_t = 1$

locale *steiner-system* = *t-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \text{ k } t \ 1$

for *point-set* ($\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$) **and** *block-collection* ($\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$) **and** *u-block-size* ($\langle k \rangle$) **and** *grouping* ($\langle t \rangle$)

begin

lemma *block-multiplicity* [*simp*]:

assumes $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$

shows *multiplicity* $bl = 1$

<proof>

end

sublocale *steiner-system* \subseteq *simple-design*

<proof>

lemma (**in** *t-design*) *steiner-systemI*[*intro*]: $\Lambda_t = 1 \implies \text{steiner-system } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \text{ k } t$

<proof>

4.6 Combining block designs

We define some closure properties for various block designs under the combine operator. This is done using locales to reason on multiple instances of the same type of design, building on what was presented in the design operations theory

locale *two-t-wise-eq-points* = *two-designs-proper* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}' + \text{des1: } t\text{-wise-balance}$
 $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \text{ t } \Lambda_t +$

des2: t-wise-balance $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}' \text{ t } \Lambda_t'$ **for** $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \text{ t } \Lambda_t \mathcal{B}' \Lambda_t'$

begin

lemma *combine-t-wise-balance-index*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B}^+ \text{ index } ps =$
 $(\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$

<proof>

lemma *combine-t-wise-balance*: *t-wise-balance* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ \text{ t } (\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$

<proof>

sublocale *combine-t-wise-des*: *t-wise-balance* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ \text{ t } (\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$

<proof>

end

locale *two-k-block-designs* = *two-designs-proper* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' +$ *des1*: *block-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k +$
des2: *block-design* $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' k$ **for** $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$
begin

lemma *block-design-combine*: *block-design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k$
<proof>

sublocale *combine-block-des*: *block-design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k$
<proof>

end

locale *two-rep-designs-eq-points* = *two-designs-proper* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}' +$ *des1*: *constant-rep-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} r +$
des2: *constant-rep-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}' r'$ **for** $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} r \mathcal{B}' r'$
begin

lemma *combine-rep-number*: *constant-rep-design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ (r + r')$
<proof>

sublocale *combine-const-rep*: *constant-rep-design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ (r + r')$
<proof>

end

locale *two-incomplete-designs* = *two-k-block-designs* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' +$ *des1*: *incomplete-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k +$
des2: *incomplete-design* $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' k$ **for** $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$
begin

lemma *combine-is-incomplete*: *incomplete-design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k$
<proof>

sublocale *combine-incomplete*: *incomplete-design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k$
<proof>

end

locale *two-t-designs-eq-points* = *two-incomplete-designs* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}'$
 $+ \textit{two-t-wise-eq-points} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} t \Lambda_t \mathcal{B}' \Lambda_t' +$ *des1*: *t-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k t \Lambda_t +$
des2: *t-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}' k t \Lambda_t'$ **for** $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{B}' t \Lambda_t \Lambda_t'$
begin

lemma *combine-is-t-des*: *t-design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k t (\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$
<proof>

sublocale *combine-t-des*: *t-design* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k t (\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end
end

theory *BIBD* **imports** *Block-Designs*
begin

5 BIBD's

BIBD's are perhaps the most commonly studied type of design in combinatorial design theory, and usually the first type of design explored in a design theory course. These designs are a type of t -design, where $t = 2$

5.1 BIBD Basics

locale *bibd = t-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k 2 \Lambda$
for *point-set* ($\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$) **and** *block-collection* ($\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$)
and *u-block-size* ($\langle k \rangle$) **and** *index* ($\langle \Lambda \rangle$)

begin

lemma *min-block-size-2*: $k \geq 2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *points-index-pair*: $y \in \mathcal{V} \implies x \in \mathcal{V} \implies x \neq y \implies \text{size} (\{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . \{x, y\} \subseteq bl\# \}) = \Lambda$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *index-one-empty-rm-bl* [*simp*]:
assumes $\Lambda = 1$ **and** $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $p \subseteq blv$ **and** $\text{card } p = 2$
shows $\{\# bl \in \# \text{remove1-mset } blv \mathcal{B} . p \subseteq bl\# \} = \{\#\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *index-one-alt-bl-not-exist*:
assumes $\Lambda = 1$ **and** $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $p \subseteq blv$ **and** $\text{card } p = 2$
shows $\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \text{remove1-mset } blv \mathcal{B} \implies \neg (p \subseteq bl)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5.2 Necessary Conditions for Existence

The necessary conditions on the existence of a (v, k, λ) -bibd are one of the fundamental first theorems on designs. Proofs based off MATH3301 lecture notes [4] and Stinson [6]

lemma *necess-cond-1-rhs*:

assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$
shows $\text{size} (\{\# p \in \# (\text{mset-set } (\mathcal{V} - \{x\}) \times \# \{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \in bl \#\}) . \text{fst } p \in \text{snd } p\#\}) = \Lambda * (v - 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *necess-cond-1-lhs*:

assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$
shows $\text{size} (\{\# p \in \# (\text{mset-set } (\mathcal{V} - \{x\}) \times \# \{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \in bl \#\}) . \text{fst } p \in \text{snd } p\#\})$
 $= (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) * (k - 1)$
 $(\text{is size } (\{\# p \in \# (?M \times \# ?B) . \text{fst } p \in \text{snd } p\#\}) = (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) * (k - 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r-constant*: $x \in \mathcal{V} \implies (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) * (k - 1) = \Lambda * (v - 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replication-number-value*:

assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$
shows $(\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) = \Lambda * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k - 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r-constant-alt*: $\forall x \in \mathcal{V} . \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = \Lambda * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k - 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

Using the first necessary condition, it is possible to show that a bibd has a constant replication number

sublocale $\text{bibd} \subseteq \text{constant-rep-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} (\Lambda * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k - 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in t-design*) bibdI [*intro*]: $t = 2 \implies \text{bibd } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \Lambda_t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context bibd
begin

abbreviation $r \equiv (\Lambda * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k - 1))$

lemma *necessary-condition-one*:

shows $r * (k - 1) = \Lambda * (v - 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bibd-point-occ-rep*:

assumes $x \in bl$
assumes $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $(\mathcal{B} - \{\# bl \#\}) \text{ rep } x = r - 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *necess-cond-2-lhs*: $\text{size } \{\# x \in \# (\text{mset-set } \mathcal{V} \times \# \mathcal{B}) . (\text{fst } x) \in (\text{snd } x) \# \} = v * r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *necess-cond-2-rhs*: $\text{size } \{\# x \in \# (\text{mset-set } \mathcal{V} \times \# \mathcal{B}) . (\text{fst } x) \in (\text{snd } x) \# \} = b * k$
 (is $\text{size } \{\# x \in \# (?M \times \# ?B) . (\text{fst } x) \in (\text{snd } x) \# \} = b * k$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *necessary-condition-two*:
 shows $v * r = b * k$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *admissability-conditions*:
 $r * (k - 1) = \Lambda * (v - 1)$
 $v * r = b * k$
 ⟨proof⟩

5.2.1 BIBD Param Relationships

lemma *bibd-block-number*: $b = \Lambda * v * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k * (k - 1))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *symmetric-condition-1*: $\Lambda * (v - 1) = k * (k - 1) \implies b = v \wedge r = k$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *index-lt-replication*: $\Lambda < r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *index-not-zero*: $\Lambda \geq 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *r-ge-two*: $r \geq 2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *block-num-gt-rep*: $b > r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bibd-subset-occ*:
 assumes $x \subseteq bl$ and $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$ and $\text{card } x = 2$
 shows $\text{size } \{\# blk \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\# bl \# \}) . x \subseteq blk \# \} = \Lambda - 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *necess-cond-one-param-balance*: $b > v \implies r > k$
 ⟨proof⟩

5.3 Constructing New bibd's

There are many constructions on bibd's to establish new bibds (or other types of designs). This section demonstrates this using both existing constructions, and by defining new constructions.

5.3.1 BIBD Complement, Multiple, Combine

lemma *comp-params-index-pair*:

assumes $\{x, y\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

assumes $x \neq y$

shows \mathcal{B}^C index $\{x, y\} = b + \Lambda - 2*r$

<proof>

lemma *complement-bibd-index*:

assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

assumes $\text{card } ps = 2$

shows \mathcal{B}^C index $ps = b + \Lambda - 2*r$

<proof>

lemma *complement-bibd*:

assumes $k \leq v - 2$

shows *bid* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C (v - k) (b + \Lambda - 2*r)$

<proof>

lemma *multiple-bibd*: $n > 0 \implies \text{bid } \mathcal{V} (\text{multiple-blocks } n) k (\Lambda * n)$

<proof>

end

locale *two-bibd-eq-points = two-t-designs-eq-points* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{B}' 2 \Lambda \Lambda'$

+ *des1*: *bid* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \Lambda$ + *des2*: *bid* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}' k \Lambda'$ **for** $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{B}' \Lambda \Lambda'$

begin

lemma *combine-is-bibd*: *bid* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k (\Lambda + \Lambda')$

<proof>

sublocale *combine-bibd*: *bid* $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k (\Lambda + \Lambda')$

<proof>

end

5.3.2 Derived Designs

A derived bibd takes a block from a valid bibd as the new point sets, and the intersection of that block with other blocks as it's block set

locale *bid-block-transformations = bid* +

fixes *block* :: 'a set (*<bl>*)

assumes *valid-block*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$

begin

definition *derived-blocks* :: 'a set multiset ($\langle \mathcal{B}^D \rangle$) **where**
 $\mathcal{B}^D \equiv \{\# \text{ bl } \cap b . b \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\# \text{ bl} \# \}) \# \}$

lemma *derive-define-flip*: $\{\# b \cap \text{bl} . b \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\# \text{bl} \# \}) \# \} = \mathcal{B}^D$
<proof>

lemma *derived-points-order*: $\text{card bl} = k$
<proof>

lemma *derived-block-num*: $\text{bl} \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{size } \mathcal{B}^D = b - 1$
<proof>

lemma *derived-is-wellformed*: $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^D \implies b \subseteq \text{bl}$
<proof>

lemma *derived-point-subset-orig*: $ps \subseteq \text{bl} \implies ps \subset \mathcal{V}$
<proof>

lemma *derived-obtain-orig-block*:
assumes $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^D$
obtains $b2$ **where** $b = b2 \cap \text{bl}$ **and** $b2 \in \# \text{remove1-mset bl } \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

sublocale *derived-incidence-sys*: *incidence-system* $\text{bl } \mathcal{B}^D$
<proof>

sublocale *derived-fin-incidence-system*: *finite-incidence-system* $\text{bl } \mathcal{B}^D$
<proof>

lemma *derived-blocks-nempty*:
assumes $\bigwedge b . b \in \# \text{remove1-mset bl } \mathcal{B} \implies \text{bl } |\cap| b > 0$
assumes $\text{bld} \in \# \mathcal{B}^D$
shows $\text{bld} \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *derived-is-design*:
assumes $\bigwedge b . b \in \# \text{remove1-mset bl } \mathcal{B} \implies \text{bl } |\cap| b > 0$
shows *design* $\text{bl } \mathcal{B}^D$
<proof>

lemma *derived-is-proper*:
assumes $\bigwedge b . b \in \# \text{remove1-mset bl } \mathcal{B} \implies \text{bl } |\cap| b > 0$
shows *proper-design* $\text{bl } \mathcal{B}^D$
<proof>

5.3.3 Residual Designs

Similar to derived designs, a residual design takes the complement of a block bl as it's new point set, and the complement of all other blocks with respect to bl .

definition *residual-blocks* :: 'a set multiset $\langle \mathcal{B}^R \rangle$ where $\mathcal{B}^R \equiv \{\# b - bl . b \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\# bl \# \}) \# \}$

lemma *residual-order*: $\text{card } (bl^c) = v - k$
<proof>

lemma *residual-block-num*: $\text{size } (\mathcal{B}^R) = b - 1$
<proof>

lemma *residual-obtain-orig-block*:
assumes $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R$
obtains $bl2$ **where** $b = bl2 - bl$ **and** $bl2 \in \# \text{remove1-mset } bl \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

lemma *residual-blocks-ss*: **assumes** $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R$ **shows** $b \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
<proof>

lemma *residual-blocks-exclude*: $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R \implies x \in b \implies x \notin bl$
<proof>

lemma *residual-is-wellformed*: $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R \implies b \subseteq (bl^c)$
<proof>

sublocale *residual-incidence-sys*: *incidence-system* $bl^c \mathcal{B}^R$
<proof>

lemma *residual-is-finite*: *finite* (bl^c)
<proof>

sublocale *residual-fin-incidence-sys*: *finite-incidence-system* $bl^c \mathcal{B}^R$
<proof>

lemma *residual-blocks-nempty*:
assumes $bld \in \# \mathcal{B}^R$
assumes *multiplicity* $bl = 1$
shows $bld \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *residual-is-design*: *multiplicity* $bl = 1 \implies \text{design } (bl^c) \mathcal{B}^R$
<proof>

lemma *residual-is-proper*:
assumes *multiplicity* $bl = 1$
shows *proper-design* $(bl^c) \mathcal{B}^R$

<proof>

end

5.4 Symmetric BIBD's

Symmetric bibd's are those where the order of the design equals the number of blocks

locale *symmetric-bibd* = *bibd* +

assumes *symmetric*: $b = v$

begin

lemma *rep-value-sym*: $r = k$

<proof>

lemma *symmetric-condition-2*: $\Lambda * (v - 1) = k * (k - 1)$

<proof>

lemma *sym-design-vk-gt-kl*:

assumes $k \geq \Lambda + 2$

shows $v - k > k - \Lambda$

<proof>

end

context *bibd*

begin

lemma *symmetric-bibdI*: $b = v \implies \text{symmetric-bibd} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \Lambda$

<proof>

lemma *symmetric-bibdIII*: $\Lambda * (v - 1) = k * (k - 1) \implies \text{symmetric-bibd} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \Lambda$

<proof>

lemma *symmetric-not-admissable*: $\Lambda * (v - 1) \neq k * (k - 1) \implies \neg \text{symmetric-bibd} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \Lambda$

<proof>

end

context *symmetric-bibd*

begin

5.4.1 Intersection Property on Symmetric BIBDs

Below is a proof of an important property on symmetric BIBD's regarding the equivalence of intersection numbers and the design index. This is an intuitive counting proof, and involved significantly more work in a formal environment. Based of Lecture Note [4]

lemma *intersect-mult-set-eq-block:*

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $p \in \# \sum_{\#} \{ \# \text{ mset-set } (bl \cap blv) . bl \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \} \longleftrightarrow p \in blv$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intersect-mult-set-block-subset-iff:*

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $p \in \# \sum_{\#} \{ \# \text{ mset-set } \{ y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge \text{card } y = 2 \} . b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}$
shows $p \subseteq blv$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intersect-mult-set-block-subset-card:*

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $p \in \# \sum_{\#} \{ \# \text{ mset-set } \{ y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge \text{card } y = 2 \} . b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}$
shows $\text{card } p = 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intersect-mult-set-block-with-point-exists:*

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $p \subseteq blv$ **and** $\Lambda \geq 2$ **and** $\text{card } p = 2$
shows $\exists x \in \# \text{remove1-mset } blv \mathcal{B} . p \in \# \text{ mset-set } \{ y . y \subseteq blv \wedge y \subseteq x \wedge \text{card } y = 2 \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intersect-mult-set-block-subset-iff-2:*

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $p \subseteq blv$ **and** $\Lambda \geq 2$ **and** $\text{card } p = 2$
shows $p \in \# \sum_{\#} \{ \# \text{ mset-set } \{ y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge \text{card } y = 2 \} . b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sym-sum-mset-inter-sets-count:*

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $p \in blv$
shows $\text{count } (\sum_{\#} \{ \# \text{ mset-set } (bl \cap blv) . bl \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}) p = r - 1$
 $(\text{is count } (\sum_{\#} ?M) p = r - 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sym-sum-mset-inter-sets-size:*

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $\text{size } (\sum_{\#} \{ \# \text{ mset-set } (bl \cap blv) . bl \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}) = k * (r - 1)$
 $(\text{is size } (\sum_{\#} ?M) = k * (r - 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sym-sum-inter-num:*

assumes $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $(\sum b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# b1 \# \}) . b1 \mid \cap \mid b2) = k * (r - 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sym-sum-mset-inter2-sets-count*:

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $p \subseteq blv$
assumes $card\ p = 2$
shows $count\ (\sum_{\#} \{\#mset-set\ \{y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge card\ y = 2\}. b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\#blv\#\})\})\ p = \Lambda - 1$
(is $count\ (\sum_{\#} ?M)\ p = \Lambda - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sym-sum-mset-inter2-sets-size*:

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $size\ (\sum_{\#} \{\#mset-set\ \{y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge card\ y = 2\}. b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\#blv\#\})\}) =$
 $(k\ choose\ 2) * (\Lambda - 1)$
(is $size\ (\sum_{\#} ?M) = (k\ choose\ 2) * (\Lambda - 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-choose-two-inter-num*:

assumes $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $(\sum b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\#b1\#\}). ((b1 \ | \cap \ | b2)\ choose\ 2)) = ((\Lambda * (\Lambda - 1)\ div\ 2)) * (v - 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sym-sum-inter-num-sq*:

assumes $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $(\sum bl \in \# (remove1-mset\ b1\ \mathcal{B}). (b1 \ | \cap \ | bl)^2) = \Lambda^2 * (v - 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sym-sum-inter-num-to-zero*:

assumes $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $(\sum bl \in \# (remove1-mset\ b1\ \mathcal{B}). (int\ (b1 \ | \cap \ | bl) - (int\ \Lambda))^2) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *sym-block-intersections-index* [*simp*]:

assumes $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\#b1\#\})$
shows $b1 \ | \cap \ | b2 = \Lambda$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4.2 Symmetric BIBD is Simple

lemma *sym-block-mult-one* [*simp*]:

assumes $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $multiplicity\ bl = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

sublocale *symmetric-bibd* \subseteq *simple-design*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5.4.3 Residual/Derived Sym BIBD Constructions

Using the intersect result, we can reason further on residual and derived designs. Proofs based off lecture notes [4]

locale *symmetric-bibd-block-transformations* = *symmetric-bibd* + *bid-block-transformations*
begin

lemma *derived-block-size* [*simp*]:
assumes $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^D$
shows $\text{card } b = \Lambda$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *derived-points-index* [*simp*]:
assumes $ps \subseteq bl$
assumes $\text{card } ps = 2$
shows $\mathcal{B}^D \text{ index } ps = \Lambda - 1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sym-derive-design-bibd*:
assumes $\Lambda > 1$
shows $\text{bibd } bl \mathcal{B}^D \Lambda (\Lambda - 1)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *residual-block-size* [*simp*]:
assumes $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R$
shows $\text{card } b = k - \Lambda$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *residual-index* [*simp*]:
assumes $ps \subseteq bl^c$
assumes $\text{card } ps = 2$
shows $(\mathcal{B}^R) \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sym-residual-design-bibd*:
assumes $k \geq \Lambda + 2$
shows $\text{bibd } (bl^c) \mathcal{B}^R (k - \Lambda) \Lambda$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

5.5 BIBD's and Other Block Designs

BIBD's are closely related to other block designs by indirect inheritance

sublocale *bid* \subseteq *k- Λ -PBD* \vee $\mathcal{B} \Lambda k$

<proof>

lemma *incomplete-PBD-is-bibd*:
 assumes $k < \text{card } V$ **and** $k\text{-}\Lambda\text{-PBD } V B \Lambda k$
 shows $\text{bibd } V B k \Lambda$
<proof>

lemma (*in bibd*) *ibid-to-pbdI*[*intro*]:
 assumes $\Lambda = 1$
 shows $k\text{-PBD } \mathcal{V} B k$
<proof>

locale *incomplete-PBD* = *incomplete-design* + $k\text{-}\Lambda\text{-PBD}$

sublocale *incomplete-PBD* \subseteq *ibid*
<proof>

end

6 Resolvable Designs

Resolvable designs have further structure, and can be "resolved" into a set of resolution classes. A resolution class is a subset of blocks which exactly partitions the point set. Definitions based off the handbook [3] and Stinson [6]. This theory includes a proof of an alternate statement of Bose's theorem

theory *Resolvable-Designs* **imports** *BIBD*
begin

6.1 Resolutions and Resolution Classes

A resolution class is a partition of the point set using a set of blocks from the design A resolution is a group of resolution classes partitioning the block collection

context *incidence-system*
begin

definition *resolution-class* :: 'a set \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $\text{resolution-class } S \iff \text{partition-on } \mathcal{V} S \wedge (\forall bl \in S . bl \in \# \mathcal{B})$

lemma *resolution-classI* [*intro*]: $\text{partition-on } \mathcal{V} S \implies (\bigwedge bl . bl \in S \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B})$
 $\implies \text{resolution-class } S$
<proof>

lemma *resolution-classD1*: $\text{resolution-class } S \implies \text{partition-on } \mathcal{V} S$
<proof>

lemma *resolution-classD2*: *resolution-class* $S \implies bl \in S \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *resolution-class-empty-iff*: *resolution-class* $\{\}$ $\longleftrightarrow \mathcal{V} = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *resolution-class-complete*: $\mathcal{V} \neq \{\} \implies \mathcal{V} \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{resolution-class } \{\mathcal{V}\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *resolution-class-union*: *resolution-class* $S \implies \bigcup S = \mathcal{V}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *finite-incidence-system*) *resolution-class-finite*: *resolution-class* $S \implies$
finite S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *design*) *resolution-class-sum-card*: *resolution-class* $S \implies (\sum bl \in S .$
card $bl) = v$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *resolution*:: 'a set multiset multiset \implies bool **where**
resolution $P \longleftrightarrow \text{partition-on-mset } \mathcal{B} P \wedge (\forall S \in \# P . \text{distinct-mset } S \wedge \text{resolution-class } (\text{set-mset } S))$

lemma *resolutionI* : *partition-on-mset* $\mathcal{B} P \implies (\bigwedge S . S \in \# P \implies \text{distinct-mset } S) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge S . S \in \# P \implies \text{resolution-class } (\text{set-mset } S)) \implies \text{resolution } P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *proper-design*) *resolution-blocks*: *distinct-mset* $\mathcal{B} \implies \text{disjoint } (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}) \implies$
 $\bigcup (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{V} \implies \text{resolution } \{\#\mathcal{B}\# \}$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

6.2 Resolvable Design Locale

A resolvable design is one with a resolution P

locale *resolvable-design* = *design* +
fixes *partition* :: 'a set multiset multiset ($\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$)
assumes *resolvable*: *resolution* \mathcal{P}
begin

lemma *resolutionD1*: *partition-on-mset* $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{P}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *resolutionD2*: $S \in \# \mathcal{P} \implies \text{distinct-mset } S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *resolutionD3*: $S \in \# \mathcal{P} \implies \text{resolution-class } (\text{set-mset } S)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolution-class-blocks-disjoint*: $S \in \# \mathcal{P} \implies \text{disjoint } (\text{set-mset } S)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolution-not-empty*: $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\} \implies \mathcal{P} \neq \{\#\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolution-blocks-subset*: $S \in \# \mathcal{P} \implies S \subseteq \# \mathcal{B}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma (in *incidence-system*) *resolvable-designI* [*intro*]: $\text{resolution } \mathcal{P} \implies \text{design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \implies$
 $\text{resolvable-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

6.3 Resolvable Block Designs

An RBIBD is a resolvable BIBD - a common subclass of interest for block designs

locale *r-block-design* = *resolvable-design* + *block-design*

begin

lemma *resolution-class-blocks-constant-size*: $S \in \# \mathcal{P} \implies \text{bl} \in \# S \implies \text{card bl} = k$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolution-class-size1*:

assumes $S \in \# \mathcal{P}$

shows $v = k * \text{size } S$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolution-class-size2*:

assumes $S \in \# \mathcal{P}$

shows $\text{size } S = v \text{ div } k$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolvable-necessary-cond-v*: $k \text{ dvd } v$

⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *rbibd* = *r-block-design* + *bibd*

begin

lemma *resolvable-design-num-res-classes: size $\mathcal{P} = r$*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *resolvable-necessary-cond-b: r dvd b*
 ⟨proof⟩

6.3.1 Bose's Inequality

Bose's inequality is an important theorem on RBIBD's. This is a proof of an alternate statement of the thm, which does not require a linear algebraic approach, taken directly from Stinson [6]

theorem *bose-inequality-alternate: $b \geq v + r - 1 \iff r \geq k + \lambda$*
 ⟨proof⟩
end
end

7 Group Divisible Designs

Definitions in this section taken from the handbook [3] and Stinson [6]

theory *Group-Divisible-Designs imports Resolvable-Designs*
begin

7.1 Group design

We define a group design to have an additional parameter G which is a partition on the point set V . This is not defined in the handbook, but is a precursor to GDD's without index constraints

locale *group-design = proper-design +*
fixes groups :: 'a set set (\mathcal{G})
assumes group-partitions: partition-on $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{G}$
assumes groups-size: card $\mathcal{G} > 1$
begin

lemma *groups-not-empty: $\mathcal{G} \neq \{\}$*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *num-groups-lt-points: card $\mathcal{G} \leq v$*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *groups-disjoint: disjoint \mathcal{G}*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *groups-disjoint-pairwise: $G1 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G2 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G1 \neq G2 \implies \text{disjnt } G1 \ G2$*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *point-in-one-group*: $x \in G1 \implies G1 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G2 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G1 \neq G2 \implies x \notin G2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *point-has-unique-group*: $x \in \mathcal{V} \implies \exists!G. x \in G \wedge G \in \mathcal{G}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rep-number-point-group-one*:
 assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$
 shows $\text{card } \{g \in \mathcal{G} . x \in g\} = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *point-in-group*: $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies x \in G \implies x \in \mathcal{V}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *point-subset-in-group*: $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies ps \subseteq G \implies ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *group-subset-point-subset*: $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies G' \subseteq G \implies ps \subseteq G' \implies ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *groups-finite*: *finite* \mathcal{G}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *group-elements-finite*: $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{finite } G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *v-equals-sum-group-sizes*: $v = (\sum G \in \mathcal{G}. \text{card } G)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *gdd-min-v*: $v \geq 2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-group-size*: $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } G \geq 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *group-size-lt-v*:
 assumes $G \in \mathcal{G}$
 shows $\text{card } G < v$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.1.1 Group Type

GDD's have a "type", which is defined by a sequence of group sizes g_i , and the number of groups of that size a_i : $g_1^{a_1} g_2^{a_2} \dots g_n^{a_n}$

definition *group-sizes* :: *nat set* **where**
group-sizes $\equiv \{\text{card } G \mid G . G \in \mathcal{G}\}$

definition *groups-of-size* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

groups-of-size $g \equiv \text{card } \{ G \in \mathcal{G} . \text{card } G = g \}$

definition *group-type* :: (nat × nat) set **where**
group-type $\equiv \{ (g, \text{groups-of-size } g) \mid g . g \in \text{group-sizes} \}$

lemma *group-sizes-min*: $x \in \text{group-sizes} \implies x \geq 1$
(*proof*)

lemma *group-sizes-max*: $x \in \text{group-sizes} \implies x < v$
(*proof*)

lemma *group-size-implies-group-existence*: $x \in \text{group-sizes} \implies \exists G. G \in \mathcal{G} \wedge \text{card } G = x$
(*proof*)

lemma *groups-of-size-zero*: *groups-of-size* 0 = 0
(*proof*)

lemma *groups-of-size-max*:
 assumes $g \geq v$
 shows *groups-of-size* $g = 0$
(*proof*)

lemma *group-type-contained-sizes*: $(g, a) \in \text{group-type} \implies g \in \text{group-sizes}$
(*proof*)

lemma *group-type-contained-count*: $(g, a) \in \text{group-type} \implies \text{card } \{ G \in \mathcal{G} . \text{card } G = g \} = a$
(*proof*)

lemma *group-card-in-sizes*: $g \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } g \in \text{group-sizes}$
(*proof*)

lemma *group-card-non-zero-groups-of-size-min*:
 assumes $g \in \mathcal{G}$
 assumes $\text{card } g = a$
 shows *groups-of-size* $a \geq 1$
(*proof*)

lemma *elem-in-group-sizes-min-of-size*:
 assumes $a \in \text{group-sizes}$
 shows *groups-of-size* $a \geq 1$
(*proof*)

lemma *group-card-non-zero-groups-of-size-max*:
 shows *groups-of-size* $a \leq v$
(*proof*)

lemma *group-card-in-type*: $g \in \mathcal{G} \implies \exists x . (\text{card } g, x) \in \text{group-type} \wedge x \geq 1$

<proof>

lemma *partition-groups-on-size*: *partition-on* $\mathcal{G} \{ \{ G \in \mathcal{G} . \text{card } G = g \} \mid g . g \in \text{group-sizes} \}$
<proof>

lemma *group-size-partition-covers-points*: $\bigcup (\bigcup \{ \{ G \in \mathcal{G} . \text{card } G = g \} \mid g . g \in \text{group-sizes} \}) = \mathcal{V}$
<proof>

lemma *groups-of-size-alt-def-count*: *groups-of-size* $g = \text{count} \{ \# \text{card } G . G \in \# \text{mset-set } \mathcal{G} \# \} g$
<proof>

lemma *v-sum-type-rep*: $v = (\sum g \in \text{group-sizes} . g * (\text{groups-of-size } g))$
<proof>

end

7.1.2 Uniform Group designs

A group design requiring all groups are the same size

locale *uniform-group-design* = *group-design* +
fixes *u-group-size* :: *nat* ($\langle m \rangle$)
assumes *uniform-groups*: $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } G = m$

begin

lemma *m-positive*: $m \geq 1$
<proof>

lemma *uniform-groups-alt*: $\forall G \in \mathcal{G} . \text{card } G = m$
<proof>

lemma *uniform-groups-group-sizes*: *group-sizes* = $\{m\}$
<proof>

lemma *uniform-groups-group-size-singleton*: *is-singleton* (*group-sizes*)
<proof>

lemma *set-filter-eq-P-forall*: $\forall x \in X . P x \implies \text{Set.filter } P X = X$
<proof>

lemma *uniform-groups-groups-of-size-m*: *groups-of-size* $m = \text{card } \mathcal{G}$
<proof>

lemma *uniform-groups-of-size-not-m*: $x \neq m \implies \text{groups-of-size } x = 0$
<proof>

end

7.2 GDD

A GDD extends a group design with an additional index parameter. Each pair of elements must occur either Λ times if in diff groups, or 0 times if in the same group

locale *GDD* = *group-design* +
 fixes *index* :: *int* ($\langle \Lambda \rangle$)
 assumes *index-ge-1*: $\Lambda \geq 1$
 assumes *index-together*: $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies x \in G \implies y \in G \implies x \neq y \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } \{x, y\} = 0$
 assumes *index-distinct*: $G1 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G2 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G1 \neq G2 \implies x \in G1 \implies y \in G2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } \{x, y\} = \Lambda$
begin

lemma *points-sep-groups-ne*: $G1 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G2 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G1 \neq G2 \implies x \in G1 \implies y \in G2 \implies x \neq y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-together-alt-ss*: $ps \subseteq G \implies G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-distinct-alt-ss*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies (\bigwedge G . G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \neg ps \subseteq G) \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gdd-index-options*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0 \vee \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-zero-implies-same-group*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0 \implies \exists G \in \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq G$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-zero-implies-same-group-unique*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0 \implies \exists! G \in \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-not-zero-impl-diff-group*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda \implies (\bigwedge G . G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \neg ps \subseteq G)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-zero-implies-one-group*:

assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
and $\text{card } ps = 2$
and $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0$
shows $\text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\# \} = 1$
 <proof>

lemma *index-distinct-group-num-alt-def*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies$
 $\text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\# \} = 0 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$
 <proof>

lemma *index-non-zero-implies-no-group*:
assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
and $\text{card } ps = 2$
and $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$
shows $\text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\# \} = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *gdd-index-non-zero-iff*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies$
 $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda \iff \text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\# \} = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *gdd-index-zero-iff*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies$
 $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0 \iff \text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\# \} = 1$
 <proof>

lemma *points-index-upper-bound*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \leq \Lambda$
 <proof>

lemma *index-1-imp-mult-1*:
assumes $\Lambda = 1$
assumes $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $\text{card } bl \geq 2$
shows $\text{multiplicity } bl = 1$
 <proof>

lemma *simple-if-block-size-gt-2*:
assumes $\bigwedge bl . \text{card } bl \geq 2$
assumes $\Lambda = 1$
shows $\text{simple-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 <proof>

end

7.2.1 Sub types of GDD's

In literature, a GDD is usually defined in a number of different ways, including factors such as block size limitations

locale $K\text{-}\Lambda\text{-GDD} = K\text{-block-design} + \text{GDD}$

locale $k\text{-}\Lambda\text{-GDD} = \text{block-design} + \text{GDD}$

sublocale $k\text{-}\Lambda\text{-GDD} \subseteq K\text{-}\Lambda\text{-GDD} \vee \mathcal{B} \{k\} \mathcal{G} \Lambda$
 ⟨proof⟩

locale $K\text{-GDD} = K\text{-}\Lambda\text{-GDD} \vee \mathcal{B} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{G} 1$
 for point-set ⟨ \mathcal{V} ⟩ and block-collection ⟨ \mathcal{B} ⟩ and sizes ⟨ \mathcal{K} ⟩ and groups ⟨ \mathcal{G} ⟩

locale $k\text{-GDD} = k\text{-}\Lambda\text{-GDD} \vee \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{G} 1$
 for point-set ⟨ \mathcal{V} ⟩ and block-collection ⟨ \mathcal{B} ⟩ and u-block-size ⟨ k ⟩ and groups ⟨ \mathcal{G} ⟩

sublocale $k\text{-GDD} \subseteq K\text{-GDD} \vee \mathcal{B} \{k\} \mathcal{G}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in $K\text{-GDD}$) *multiplicity-1*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \geq 2 \implies \text{multiplicity } bl = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

locale $R\text{GDD} = \text{GDD} + \text{resolvable-design}$

7.3 GDD and PBD Constructions

GDD's are commonly studied alongside PBD's (pairwise balanced designs). Many constructions have been developed for designs to create a GDD from a PBD and vice versa. In particular, Wilson's Construction is a well known construction, which is formalised in this section. It should be noted that many of the more basic constructions in this section are often stated without proof/all the necessary assumptions in textbooks/course notes.

context GDD
begin

7.3.1 GDD Delete Point construction

lemma *delete-point-index-zero*:
 assumes $G \in \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$
 and $y \in G$ and $z \in G$ and $z \neq y$
 shows (del-point-blocks x) $\text{index } \{y, z\} = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-index*:
 assumes $G1 \in \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$
 assumes $G2 \in \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$
 assumes $G1 \neq G2$ and $y \in G1$ and $z \in G2$
 shows del-point-blocks x $\text{index } \{y, z\} = \Lambda$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-point-group-size*:

assumes $\{x\} \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } \mathcal{G} > 2$
shows $1 < \text{card } \{g - \{x\} \mid g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GDD-by-deleting-point:*

assumes $\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies x \in bl \implies 2 \leq \text{card } bl$
assumes $\{x\} \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } \mathcal{G} > 2$
shows $GDD (\text{del-point } x) (\text{del-point-blocks } x) \{g - \{x\} \mid g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\} \Delta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *K-GDD begin*

7.3.2 PBD construction from GDD

Two well known PBD constructions involve taking a GDD and either combining the groups and blocks to form a new block collection, or by adjoining a point

First prove that combining the groups and block set results in a constant index

lemma *kgdd1-points-index-group-block:*

assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
and $\text{card } ps = 2$
shows $(\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \mathcal{G}) \text{ index } ps = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Combining blocks and the group set forms a PBD

lemma *combine-block-groups-pairwise: pairwise-balance* $\mathcal{V} (\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \mathcal{G}) 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *combine-block-groups-PBD:*

assumes $\bigwedge G. G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } G \in \mathcal{K}$
assumes $\bigwedge k. k \in \mathcal{K} \implies k \geq 2$
shows $PBD \mathcal{V} (\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \mathcal{G}) \mathcal{K}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Prove adjoining a point to each group set results in a constant points index

lemma *kgdd1-index-adjoin-group-block:*

assumes $x \notin \mathcal{V}$
assumes $ps \subseteq \text{insert } x \mathcal{V}$
assumes $\text{card } ps = 2$
shows $(\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \{\text{insert } x g \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\}) \text{ index } ps = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pairwise-by-adjoining-point:*

assumes $x \notin \mathcal{V}$

shows *pairwise-balance* (*add-point* x) ($\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \{ \text{insert } x \ g \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \}$) 1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *PBD-by-adjoining-point*:

assumes $x \notin \mathcal{V}$
assumes $\bigwedge k. k \in \mathcal{K} \implies k \geq 2$
shows *PBD* (*add-point* x) ($\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \{ \text{insert } x \ g \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \}$) ($\mathcal{K} \cup \{(\text{card } g) + 1 \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G}\}$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

7.3.3 Wilson's Construction

Wilson's construction involves the combination of multiple k-GDD's. This proof was based of Stinson [6]

lemma *wilsons-construction-proper*:

assumes $\text{card } I = w$
assumes $w > 0$
assumes $\bigwedge n. n \in \mathcal{K}' \implies n \geq 2$
assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{ \{x\} \times I \mid x. x \in B \}$
shows *proper-design* ($\mathcal{V} \times I$) ($\sum B \in \# \mathcal{B}. (f B)$) (**is** *proper-design* ?Y ?B)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pair-construction-block-sizes*:

assumes $K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{ \{x\} \times I \mid x. x \in B \}$
assumes $B \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $b \in \# (f B)$
shows $\text{card } b \in \mathcal{K}'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wilsons-construction-index-0*:

assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{ \{x\} \times I \mid x. x \in B \}$
assumes $G \in \{GG \times I \mid GG. GG \in \mathcal{G}\}$
assumes $X \in G$
assumes $Y \in G$
assumes $X \neq Y$
shows ($\sum \# (\text{image-mset } f \mathcal{B})$) *index* $\{X, Y\} = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wilsons-construction-index-1*:

assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{ \{x\} \times I \mid x. x \in B \}$
assumes $G1 \in \{G \times I \mid G. G \in \mathcal{G}\}$
assumes $G2 \in \{G \times I \mid G. G \in \mathcal{G}\}$
assumes $G1 \neq G2$
and $(x, ix) \in G1$ **and** $(y, iy) \in G2$
shows ($\sum \# (\text{image-mset } f \mathcal{B})$) *index* $\{(x, ix), (y, iy)\} = (1 :: \text{int})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Wilsons-Construction*:

assumes $\text{card } I = w$

assumes $w > 0$
assumes $\bigwedge n. n \in \mathcal{K}' \implies n \geq 2$
assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{ \{x\} \times I \mid x. x \in B \}$
shows $K\text{-GDD } (\mathcal{V} \times I) (\sum B \in \# \mathcal{B}. (f B)) \mathcal{K}' \{ G \times I \mid G. G \in \mathcal{G} \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *pairwise-balance*
begin

lemma *PBD-by-deleting-point*:

assumes $v > 2$
assumes $\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \geq 2$
shows *pairwise-balance* (*del-point* x) (*del-point-blocks* x) Λ
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

context *k-GDD*
begin

lemma *bibd-from-kGDD*:

assumes $k > 1$
assumes $\bigwedge g. g \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } g = k - 1$
assumes $x \notin \mathcal{V}$
shows *bibd* (*add-point* x) ($\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \{ \text{insert } x g \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \}$) (k) 1
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *PBD*
begin

lemma *pbd-points-index1*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pbd-index1-points-imply-unique-block*:

assumes $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $b2 \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $b1 \neq b2$
assumes $x \neq y$ **and** $\{x, y\} \subseteq b1$ **and** $x \in b2$
shows $y \notin b2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *strong-delete-point-groups-index-zero*:

assumes $G \in \{ b - \{x\} \mid b. b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b \}$
assumes $xa \in G$ **and** $y \in G$ **and** $xa \neq y$
shows (*str-del-point-blocks* x) *index* $\{xa, y\} = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *strong-delete-point-groups-index-one*:

assumes $G1 \in \{b - \{x\} \mid b. b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b\}$
assumes $G2 \in \{b - \{x\} \mid b. b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b\}$
assumes $G1 \neq G2$ **and** $xa \in G1$ **and** $y \in G2$
shows $(str-del-point-blocks\ x)\ index\ \{xa, y\} = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *blocks-with-x-partition:*

assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$
shows $partition-on\ (\mathcal{V} - \{x\})\ \{b - \{x\} \mid b. b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *KGDD-by-deleting-point:*

assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$
assumes $\mathcal{B}\ rep\ x < b$
assumes $\mathcal{B}\ rep\ x > 1$
shows $K-GDD\ (del-point\ x)\ (str-del-point-blocks\ x)\ \mathcal{K}\ \{b - \{x\} \mid b. b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-singletons-eq:* $card\ \{\{a\} \mid a. a \in A\} = card\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *KGDD-from-PBD:* $K-GDD\ \mathcal{V}\ \mathcal{B}\ \mathcal{K}\ \{\{x\} \mid x. x \in \mathcal{V}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *bibd*

begin

lemma *kGDD-from-bibd:*

assumes $\Lambda = 1$
assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$
shows $k-GDD\ (del-point\ x)\ (str-del-point-blocks\ x)\ \mathcal{K}\ \{b - \{x\} \mid b. b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

8 Graphs and Designs

There are many links between graphs and design - most fundamentally that graphs are designs

theory *Designs-And-Graphs* **imports** *Block-Designs Graph-Theory.Digraph Graph-Theory.Digraph-Component*
begin

8.1 Non-empty digraphs

First, we define the concept of a non-empty digraph. This mirrors the idea of a "proper design" in the design theory library

locale *non-empty-digraph* = *wf-digraph* +
 assumes *arcs-not-empty*: *arcs G* \neq $\{\}$

begin

lemma *verts-not-empty*: *verts G* \neq $\{\}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

8.2 Arcs to Blocks

A digraph uses a pair of points to define an ordered edge. In the case of simple graphs, both possible orderings will be in the arcs set. Blocks are inherently unordered, and as such a method is required to convert between the two representations

context *graph*
begin

definition *arc-to-block* :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a set **where**
 arc-to-block e \equiv {*tail G e*, *head G e*}

lemma *arc-to-block-to-ends*: {*fst (arc-to-ends G e)*, *snd (arc-to-ends G e)*} =
arc-to-block e
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *arc-to-block-to-ends-swap*: {*snd (arc-to-ends G e)*, *fst (arc-to-ends G e)*}
= *arc-to-block e*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *arc-to-ends-to-block*: *arc-to-block e* = {*x*, *y*} \Longrightarrow
 arc-to-ends G e = (*x*, *y*) \vee *arc-to-ends G e* = (*y*, *x*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *arc-to-block-sym*: *arc-to-ends G e1* = (*u*, *v*) \Longrightarrow *arc-to-ends G e2* = (*v*,
u) \Longrightarrow
 arc-to-block e1 = *arc-to-block e2*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

definition *arcs-blocks* :: 'a set multiset **where**
 arcs-blocks \equiv *mset-set (arc-to-block ` (arcs G))*

lemma *arcs-blocks-ends*: (*x*, *y*) \in *arcs-ends G* \Longrightarrow {*x*, *y*} \in # *arcs-blocks*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *arc-ends-blocks-subset*: $E \subseteq \text{arcs } G \implies (x, y) \in ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) \text{ ' } E) \implies$

$\{x, y\} \in (\text{arc-to-block ' } E)$
<proof>

lemma *arc-blocks-end-subset*: **assumes** $E \subseteq \text{arcs } G$ **and** $\{x, y\} \in (\text{arc-to-block ' } E)$

shows $(x, y) \in ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) \text{ ' } E) \vee (y, x) \in ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) \text{ ' } E)$
<proof>

lemma *arcs-ends-blocks*: $\{x, y\} \in \# \text{ arcs-blocks} \implies (x, y) \in \text{arcs-ends } G \wedge (y, x) \in \text{arcs-ends } G$
<proof>

lemma *arcs-blocks-iff*: $\{x, y\} \in \# \text{ arcs-blocks} \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in \text{arcs-ends } G \wedge (y, x) \in \text{arcs-ends } G$
<proof>

lemma *arcs-ends-wf*: $(x, y) \in \text{arcs-ends } G \implies x \in \text{verts } G \wedge y \in \text{verts } G$
<proof>

lemma *arcs-blocks-elem*: $bl \in \# \text{ arcs-blocks} \implies \exists x y . bl = \{x, y\}$
<proof>

lemma *arcs-ends-blocks-wf*:
assumes $bl \in \# \text{ arcs-blocks}$
shows $bl \subseteq \text{verts } G$
<proof>

lemma *arcs-blocks-simple*: $bl \in \# \text{ arcs-blocks} \implies \text{count } (\text{arcs-blocks}) bl = 1$
<proof>

lemma *arcs-blocks-ne*: $\text{arcs } G \neq \{\}$ $\implies \text{arcs-blocks} \neq \{\#\}$
<proof>

end

8.3 Graphs are designs

Prove that a graph is a number of different types of designs

sublocale *graph* \subseteq *design* *verts* *G* *arcs-blocks*
<proof>

sublocale *graph* \subseteq *simple-design* *verts* *G* *arcs-blocks*
<proof>

locale *non-empty-graph* = *graph* + *non-empty-digraph*

sublocale *non-empty-graph* \subseteq *proper-design* *verts G arcs-blocks*
<proof>

lemma (*in graph*) *graph-block-size*: **assumes** $bl \in \#$ *arcs-blocks* **shows** $\text{card } bl = 2$
<proof>

sublocale *non-empty-graph* \subseteq *block-design* *verts G arcs-blocks 2*
<proof>

8.4 R-regular graphs

To reason on r-regular graphs and their link to designs, we require a number of extensions to lemmas reasoning around the degrees of vertices

context *sym-digraph*
begin

lemma *in-out-arcs-reflexive*: $v \in \text{verts } G \implies (e \in (\text{in-arcs } G v) \implies \exists e' . (e' \in (\text{out-arcs } G v) \wedge \text{head } G e' = \text{tail } G e))$
<proof>

lemma *out-in-arcs-reflexive*: $v \in \text{verts } G \implies (e \in (\text{out-arcs } G v) \implies \exists e' . (e' \in (\text{in-arcs } G v) \wedge \text{tail } G e' = \text{head } G e))$
<proof>

end

context *nomulti-digraph*
begin

lemma *in-arcs-single-per-vert*:
assumes $v \in \text{verts } G$ **and** $u \in \text{verts } G$
assumes $e1 \in \text{in-arcs } G v$ **and** $e2 \in \text{in-arcs } G v$
assumes $\text{tail } G e1 = u$ **and** $\text{tail } G e2 = u$
shows $e1 = e2$
<proof>

lemma *out-arcs-single-per-vert*:
assumes $v \in \text{verts } G$ **and** $u \in \text{verts } G$
assumes $e1 \in \text{out-arcs } G v$ **and** $e2 \in \text{out-arcs } G v$
assumes $\text{head } G e1 = u$ **and** $\text{head } G e2 = u$
shows $e1 = e2$
<proof>

end

Some helpers on the transformation arc definition

context *graph*
begin

lemma *arc-to-block-is-inj-in-arcs*: $\text{inj-on arc-to-block } (in\text{-arcs } G v)$

<proof>

lemma *arc-to-block-is-inj-out-arcs*: $\text{inj-on arc-to-block } (out\text{-arcs } G v)$

<proof>

lemma *in-out-arcs-reflexive-uniq*: $v \in \text{verts } G \implies (e \in (in\text{-arcs } G v) \implies$

$\exists! e' . (e' \in (out\text{-arcs } G v) \wedge \text{head } G e' = \text{tail } G e))$

<proof>

lemma *out-in-arcs-reflexive-uniq*: $v \in \text{verts } G \implies e \in (out\text{-arcs } G v) \implies$

$\exists! e' . (e' \in (in\text{-arcs } G v) \wedge \text{tail } G e' = \text{head } G e)$

<proof>

lemma *in-eq-out-arc-ends*: $(u, v) \in ((arc\text{-to-ends } G) \text{ ' } (in\text{-arcs } G v)) \longleftrightarrow$

$(v, u) \in ((arc\text{-to-ends } G) \text{ ' } (out\text{-arcs } G v))$

<proof>

lemma *in-degree-eq-card-arc-ends*: $\text{in-degree } G v = \text{card } ((arc\text{-to-ends } G) \text{ ' } (in\text{-arcs } G v))$

<proof>

lemma *in-degree-eq-card-arc-blocks*: $\text{in-degree } G v = \text{card } (arc\text{-to-block ' } (in\text{-arcs } G v))$

<proof>

lemma *out-degree-eq-card-arc-blocks*: $\text{out-degree } G v = \text{card } (arc\text{-to-block ' } (out\text{-arcs } G v))$

<proof>

lemma *out-degree-eq-card-arc-ends*: $\text{out-degree } G v = \text{card } ((arc\text{-to-ends } G) \text{ ' } (out\text{-arcs } G v))$

<proof>

lemma *bij-betw-in-out-arcs*: $\text{bij-betw } (\lambda (u, v) . (v, u)) ((arc\text{-to-ends } G) \text{ ' } (in\text{-arcs } G v))$

$((arc\text{-to-ends } G) \text{ ' } (out\text{-arcs } G v))$

<proof>

lemma *in-eq-out-degree*: $\text{in-degree } G v = \text{out-degree } G v$

<proof>

lemma *in-out-arcs-blocks*: $arc\text{-to-block ' } (in\text{-arcs } G v) = arc\text{-to-block ' } (out\text{-arcs } G v)$

<proof>

end

A regular digraph is defined as one where the in degree equals the out

degree which in turn equals some fixed integer r

```
locale regular-digraph = wf-digraph +  
  fixes  $r :: \text{nat}$   
  assumes in-deg-r:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies \text{in-degree } G \ v = r$   
  assumes out-deg-r:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies \text{out-degree } G \ v = r$ 
```

```
locale regular-graph = graph + regular-digraph  
begin
```

```
lemma rep-vertices-in-blocks [simp]:  
  assumes  $x \in \text{verts } G$   
  shows  $\text{size } \{\# \ e \in \# \ \text{arcs-blocks} \ . \ x \in e \ \#\} = r$   
<proof>
```

```
end
```

Intro rules for regular graphs

```
lemma graph-in-degree-r-imp-reg[intro]: assumes graph  $G$   
  assumes  $(\bigwedge v . v \in (\text{verts } G) \implies \text{in-degree } G \ v = r)$   
  shows regular-graph  $G \ r$   
<proof>
```

```
lemma graph-out-degree-r-imp-reg[intro]: assumes graph  $G$   
  assumes  $(\bigwedge v . v \in (\text{verts } G) \implies \text{out-degree } G \ v = r)$   
  shows regular-graph  $G \ r$   
<proof>
```

Regular graphs (non-empty) can be shown to be a constant rep design

```
locale non-empty-regular-graph = regular-graph + non-empty-digraph
```

```
sublocale non-empty-regular-graph  $\subseteq$  non-empty-graph  
<proof>
```

```
sublocale non-empty-regular-graph  $\subseteq$  constant-rep-design verts  $G$  arcs-blocks  $r$   
<proof>
```

```
end
```

9 Sub-designs

Sub designs are a relationship between two designs using the subset and submultiset relations This theory defines the concept at the incidence system level, before extending to defining on well defined designs

```
theory Sub-Designs imports Design-Operations  
begin
```

9.1 Sub-system and Sub-design Locales

locale *sub-set-system* = *incidence-system* \vee \mathcal{B}
 for \mathcal{U} **and** \mathcal{A} **and** \mathcal{V} **and** \mathcal{B} +
 assumes *points-subset*: $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
 assumes *blocks-subset*: $\mathcal{A} \subseteq\# \mathcal{B}$
begin

lemma *sub-points*: $x \in \mathcal{U} \implies x \in \mathcal{V}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *sub-blocks*: $bl \in\# \mathcal{A} \implies bl \in\# \mathcal{B}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *sub-blocks-count*: $\text{count } \mathcal{A} \ b \leq \text{count } \mathcal{B} \ b$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

locale *sub-incidence-system* = *sub-set-system* + *ins*: *incidence-system* \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A}

locale *sub-design* = *sub-incidence-system* + *des*: *design* \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}
begin

lemma *sub-non-empty-blocks*: $A \in\# \mathcal{A} \implies A \neq \{\}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

sublocale *sub-des*: *design* \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A}
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

locale *proper-sub-set-system* = *incidence-system* \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}
 for \mathcal{U} **and** \mathcal{A} **and** \mathcal{V} **and** \mathcal{B} +
 assumes *points-psubset*: $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$
 assumes *blocks-subset*: $\mathcal{A} \subseteq\# \mathcal{B}$
begin

lemma *point-sets-ne*: $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

sublocale *proper-sub-set-system* \subseteq *sub-set-system*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

context *sub-set-system*
begin

lemma *sub-is-proper*: $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{proper-sub-set-system } \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B}$

$\langle proof \rangle$
end
locale *proper-sub-incidence-system* = *proper-sub-set-system* + *ins: incidence-system*
 $\mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{A}$
sublocale *proper-sub-incidence-system* \subseteq *sub-incidence-system*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
context *sub-incidence-system*
begin
lemma *sub-is-proper*: $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{proper-sub-incidence-system } \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end
locale *proper-sub-design* = *proper-sub-incidence-system* + *des: design* $\mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B}$
sublocale *proper-sub-design* \subseteq *sub-design*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
context *sub-design*
begin
lemma *sub-is-proper*: $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{proper-sub-design } \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end
lemma *ss-proper-implies-sub* [*intro*]: *proper-sub-set-system* $\mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B} \implies \text{sub-set-system } \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *sub-ssI* [*intro!*]: *incidence-system* $\mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{A} \subseteq \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{sub-set-system } \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *sub-ss-equality*:
assumes *sub-set-system* $\mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{A} \ \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B}$
and *sub-set-system* $\mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{B} \ \mathcal{U} \ \mathcal{A}$
shows $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}$ **and** $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.2 Reasoning on Sub-designs

9.2.1 Reasoning on Incidence Sys property relationships

context *sub-incidence-system*
begin

lemma *sub-sys-block-sizes*: $ins.sys\text{-}block\text{-}sizes \subseteq sys\text{-}block\text{-}sizes$
<proof>

lemma *sub-point-rep-number-le*: $x \in \mathcal{U} \implies \mathcal{A} \text{ rep } x \leq \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x$
<proof>

lemma *sub-point-index-le*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{U} \implies \mathcal{A} \text{ index } ps \leq \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps$
<proof>

lemma *sub-sys-intersection-numbers*: $ins.intersection\text{-}numbers \subseteq intersection\text{-}numbers$
<proof>

end

9.2.2 Reasoning on Incidence Sys/Design operations

context *incidence-system*

begin

lemma *sub-set-sysI[intro]*: $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{A} \subseteq \# \mathcal{B} \implies sub\text{-}set\text{-}system \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

lemma *sub-inc-sysI[intro]*: $incidence\text{-}system \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \implies \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{A} \subseteq \# \mathcal{B} \implies$
 $sub\text{-}incidence\text{-}system \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

lemma *multiple-orig-sub-system*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows $sub\text{-}incidence\text{-}system \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V} \text{ (multiple-blocks } n)$
<proof>

lemma *add-point-sub-sys*: $sub\text{-}incidence\text{-}system \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \text{ (add-point } p) \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

lemma *strong-del-point-sub-sys*: $sub\text{-}incidence\text{-}system \text{ (del-point } p) \text{ (str-del-point-blocks } p) \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

lemma *add-block-sub-sys*: $sub\text{-}incidence\text{-}system \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \text{ (}\mathcal{V} \cup b\text{) (add-block } b)$
<proof>

lemma *delete-block-sub-sys*: $sub\text{-}incidence\text{-}system \mathcal{V} \text{ (del-block } b) \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
<proof>

end

lemma (**in** *two-set-systems*) *combine-sub-sys*: $sub\text{-}incidence\text{-}system \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$
<proof>

lemma (in *two-set-systems*) *combine-sub-sys-alt*: *sub-incidence-system* $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$

<proof>

context *design*

begin

lemma *sub-designI* [*intro*]: *design* $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \implies$ *sub-incidence-system* $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \implies$ *sub-design* $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$

<proof>

lemma *sub-designII* [*intro*]: *design* $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \implies$ *sub-incidence-system* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \implies$ *sub-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A}$

<proof>

lemma *multiple-orig-sub-des*:

assumes $n > 0$

shows *sub-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}$ (*multiple-blocks* n)

<proof>

lemma *add-point-sub-des*: *sub-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ (*add-point* p) \mathcal{B}

<proof>

lemma *strong-del-point-sub-des*: *sub-design* (*del-point* p) (*str-del-point-blocks* p) $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$

<proof>

lemma *add-block-sub-des*: *finite* $b \implies b \neq \{\}$ \implies *sub-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} (\mathcal{V} \cup b)$ (*add-block* b)

<proof>

lemma *delete-block-sub-des*: *sub-design* \mathcal{V} (*del-block* b) $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$

<proof>

end

lemma (in *two-designs*) *combine-sub-des*: *sub-design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$

<proof>

lemma (in *two-designs*) *combine-sub-des-alt*: *sub-design* $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$

<proof>

end

10 Design Isomorphisms

theory *Design-Isomorphisms* **imports** *Design-Basics* *Sub-Designs*

begin

10.1 Images of Set Systems

We loosely define the concept of taking the "image" of a set system, as done in isomorphisms. Note that this is not based off mathematical theory, but is for ease of notation

definition *blocks-image* :: 'a set multiset \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b set multiset **where**
blocks-image B f \equiv *image-mset* ((\cdot) f) B

lemma *image-block-set-constant-size*: *size* (B) = *size* (*blocks-image* B f)
 <proof>

lemma (in *incidence-system*) *image-set-system-wellformed*:
incidence-system (f ' \mathcal{V}) (*blocks-image* B f)
 <proof>

lemma (in *finite-incidence-system*) *image-set-system-finite*:
finite-incidence-system (f ' \mathcal{V}) (*blocks-image* B f)
 <proof>

10.2 Incidence System Isomorphisms

Isomorphism's are defined by the Handbook of Combinatorial Designs [3]

locale *incidence-system-isomorphism* = *source*: *incidence-system* \mathcal{V} B + *target*:
incidence-system \mathcal{V}' B'

for \mathcal{V} and B and \mathcal{V}' and B' + **fixes** *bij-map* ($\langle \pi \rangle$)

assumes *bij*: *bij-betw* π \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}'

assumes *block-img*: *image-mset* ((\cdot) π) B = B'

begin

lemma *iso-eq-order*: *card* \mathcal{V} = *card* \mathcal{V}'
 <proof>

lemma *iso-eq-block-num*: *size* B = *size* B'
 <proof>

lemma *iso-block-img-alt-rep*: $\{\# \pi \text{ ' } bl . bl \in \# B\#\} = B'$
 <proof>

lemma *inv-iso-block-img*: *image-mset* ((\cdot) (*inv-into* \mathcal{V} π)) B' = B
 <proof>

lemma *inverse-incidence-sys-iso*: *incidence-system-isomorphism* \mathcal{V}' B' \mathcal{V} B (*inv-into*
 \mathcal{V} π)
 <proof>

lemma *iso-points-map*: $\pi \text{ ' } \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}'$
 <proof>

lemma *iso-points-inv-map*: (*inv-into* \mathcal{V} π) ' $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}$

<proof>

lemma *iso-points-ss-card*:

assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

shows $\text{card } ps = \text{card } (\pi \text{ ' } ps)$

<proof>

lemma *iso-block-in*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies (\pi \text{ ' } bl) \in \# \mathcal{B}'$

<proof>

lemma *iso-inv-block-in*: $x \in \# \mathcal{B}' \implies x \in (\text{'}) \pi \text{ ' } \text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}$

<proof>

lemma *iso-img-block-orig-exists*: $x \in \# \mathcal{B}' \implies \exists bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x = \pi \text{ ' } bl$

<proof>

lemma *iso-blocks-map-inj*: $x \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies y \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \pi \text{ ' } x = \pi \text{ ' } y \implies x = y$

<proof>

lemma *iso-bij-betwn-block-sets*: $\text{bij-betw } ((\text{'}) \pi) (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}) (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}')$

<proof>

lemma *iso-bij-betwn-block-sets-inv*: $\text{bij-betw } ((\text{'}) (\text{inv-into } \mathcal{V} \pi)) (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}') (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B})$

<proof>

lemma *iso-bij-betw-individual-blocks*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{bij-betw } \pi \text{ } bl (\pi \text{ ' } bl)$

<proof>

lemma *iso-bij-betw-individual-blocks-inv*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{bij-betw } (\text{inv-into } \mathcal{V} \pi) (\pi \text{ ' } bl) \text{ } bl$

<proof>

lemma *iso-bij-betw-individual-blocks-inv-alt*:

$bl \in \# \mathcal{B}' \implies \text{bij-betw } (\text{inv-into } \mathcal{V} \pi) \text{ } bl ((\text{inv-into } \mathcal{V} \pi) \text{ ' } bl)$

<proof>

lemma *iso-inv-block-in-alt*: $(\pi \text{ ' } bl) \in \# \mathcal{B}' \implies bl \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$

<proof>

lemma *iso-img-block-not-in*:

assumes $x \notin \# \mathcal{B}$

assumes $x \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

shows $(\pi \text{ ' } x) \notin \# \mathcal{B}'$

<proof>

lemma *iso-block-multiplicity*:

assumes $bl \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

shows $\text{source.multiplicity } bl = \text{target.multiplicity } (\pi \text{ ' } bl)$

<proof>

lemma *iso-point-in-block-imp-iff*: $p \in \mathcal{V} \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \in bl \iff (\pi \cdot p) \in (\pi \cdot bl)$
<proof>

lemma *iso-point-subset-block-iff*: $p \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \subseteq bl \iff (\pi \cdot p) \subseteq (\pi \cdot bl)$
<proof>

lemma *iso-is-image-block*: $\mathcal{B}' = \text{blocks-image } \mathcal{B} \pi$
<proof>

end

10.3 Design Isomorphisms

Apply the concept of isomorphisms to designs only

locale *design-isomorphism = incidence-system-isomorphism* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \pi + \text{source: } \text{design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} +$
target: design } \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \text{ for } \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{V}' \text{ and } \mathcal{B}' \text{ and bij-map } (\langle \pi \rangle)

context *design-isomorphism*

begin

lemma *inverse-design-isomorphism*: *design-isomorphism* $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ (*inv-into* $\mathcal{V} \pi$)
<proof>

end

10.3.1 Isomorphism Operation

Define the concept of isomorphic designs outside the scope of locale

definition *isomorphic-designs* (**infixl** $\langle \cong_D \rangle$ 50) **where**
 $\mathcal{D} \cong_D \mathcal{D}' \iff (\exists \pi . \text{design-isomorphism } (\text{fst } \mathcal{D}) (\text{snd } \mathcal{D}) (\text{fst } \mathcal{D}') (\text{snd } \mathcal{D}') \pi)$

lemma *isomorphic-designs-symmetric*: $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}') \implies (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}') \cong_D (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$
<proof>

lemma *isomorphic-designs-implies-bij*: $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}') \implies \exists \pi . \text{bij-betw } \pi \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}'$
<proof>

lemma *isomorphic-designs-implies-block-map*: $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}') \implies \exists \pi . \text{image-mset } ((\cdot) \pi) \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$
<proof>

context *design*

begin

lemma *isomorphic-designsI* [intro]: *design* $\mathcal{V}' \ \mathcal{B}' \implies \text{bij-betw } \pi \ \mathcal{V} \ \mathcal{V}' \implies \text{image-mset } ((\cdot) \ \pi) \ \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$
 $\implies (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}')$
 <proof>

lemma *eq-designs-isomorphic*:
assumes $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}'$
assumes $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$
shows $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}')$
 <proof>

end

context *design-isomorphism*
begin

10.3.2 Design Properties/Operations under Isomorphism

lemma *design-iso-point-rep-num-eq*:
assumes $p \in \mathcal{V}$
shows $\mathcal{B} \ \text{rep } p = \mathcal{B}' \ \text{rep } (\pi \ p)$
 <proof>

lemma *design-iso-rep-numbers-eq*: *source.replication-numbers* = *target.replication-numbers*
 <proof>

lemma *design-iso-block-size-eq*: $bl \in \# \ \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl = \text{card } (\pi \ ' \ bl)$
 <proof>

lemma *design-iso-block-sizes-eq*: *source.sys-block-sizes* = *target.sys-block-sizes*
 <proof>

lemma *design-iso-points-index-eq*:
assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
shows $\mathcal{B} \ \text{index } ps = \mathcal{B}' \ \text{index } (\pi \ ' \ ps)$
 <proof>

lemma *design-iso-points-indices-imp*:
assumes $x \in \text{source.point-indices } t$
shows $x \in \text{target.point-indices } t$
 <proof>

lemma *design-iso-points-indices-eq*: *source.point-indices* $t = \text{target.point-indices } t$
 <proof>

lemma *design-iso-block-intersect-num-eq*:
assumes $b1 \in \# \ \mathcal{B}$
assumes $b2 \in \# \ \mathcal{B}$

shows $b1 \mid \cap \mid b2 = (\pi \text{ ' } b1) \mid \cap \mid (\pi \text{ ' } b2)$
(proof)

lemma *design-iso-inter-numbers-imp*:
assumes $x \in \text{source.intersection-numbers}$
shows $x \in \text{target.intersection-numbers}$
(proof)

lemma *design-iso-intersection-numbers*: $\text{source.intersection-numbers} = \text{target.intersection-numbers}$
(proof)

lemma *design-iso-n-intersect-num*:
assumes $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $b2 \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $b1 \mid \cap \mid_n b2 = ((\pi \text{ ' } b1) \mid \cap \mid_n (\pi \text{ ' } b2))$
(proof)

lemma *subdesign-iso-implies*:
assumes *sub-set-system* $V B \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
shows *sub-set-system* $(\pi \text{ ' } V) (\text{blocks-image } B \pi) \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$
(proof)

lemma *subdesign-image-is-design*:
assumes *sub-set-system* $V B \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
assumes *design* $V B$
shows *design* $(\pi \text{ ' } V) (\text{blocks-image } B \pi)$
(proof)

lemma *sub-design-isomorphism*:
assumes *sub-set-system* $V B \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
assumes *design* $V B$
shows *design-isomorphism* $V B (\pi \text{ ' } V) (\text{blocks-image } B \pi) \pi$
(proof)

end
end

theory *Design-Theory-Root*

imports

Multisets-Extras

Design-Basics

Design-Operations

Block-Designs

BIBD

Resolvable-Designs

Group-Divisible-Designs

Designs-And-Graphs
Design-Isomorphisms
Sub-Designs
begin

end

References

- [1] E. A. Bender. Partitions of multisets. *Discrete Mathematics*, 9(4):301–311, Oct. 1974.
- [2] C. Berge. *Hypergraphs: Combinatorics of Finite Sets*. Number v. 45 in North-Holland Mathematical Library. North Holland : Distributors for the U.S.A. and Canada, Elsevier Science Pub. Co, Amsterdam ; New York, 1989.
- [3] C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz. *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs / Edited by Charles J. Colbourn, Jeffrey H. Dinitz*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2nd edition, 2007.
- [4] S. Herke. Math3301 lecture notes in combinatorial design theory, July 2016.
- [5] L. H. Soicher. Designs, Groups and Computing. In A. Detinko, D. Flannery, and E. O’Brien, editors, *Probabilistic Group Theory, Combinatorics, and Computing*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2070, pages 83–107. Springer, 2013.
- [6] D. Stinson. *Combinatorial Designs: Constructions and Analysis*. Springer, 2004.