

Design Theory

Chelsea Edmonds and Lawrence Paulson

December 14, 2021

Abstract

Combinatorial design theory studies incidence set systems with certain balance and symmetry properties. It is closely related to hypergraph theory. This formalisation presents a general library for formal reasoning on incidence set systems, designs and their applications, including formal definitions and proofs for many key properties, operations, and theorems on the construction and existence of designs. Notably, this includes formalising t -designs, balanced incomplete block designs (BIBD), group divisible designs (GDD), pairwise balanced designs (PBD), design isomorphisms, and the relationship between graphs and designs. A locale-centric approach has been used to manage the relationships between the many different types of designs. Theorems of particular interest include the necessary conditions for existence of a BIBD, Wilson's construction on GDDs, and Bose's inequality on resolvable designs. This formalisation is partly presented in the paper "A Modular First Formalisation of Combinatorial Design Theory", presented at CICM 2021.

Contents

1	Micellaneous Helper Functions on Sets and Multisets	3
1.1	Set Theory Extras	3
1.2	Multiset Helpers	5
1.3	Partitions on Multisets	10
2	Design Theory Basics	14
2.1	Initial setup	14
2.2	Incidence System	14
2.3	Finite Incidence Systems	15
2.4	Designs	16
2.5	Core Property Definitions	17
2.5.1	Replication Number	17
2.5.2	Point Index	18
2.5.3	Intersection Number	20
2.6	Incidence System Set Property Definitions	21

2.7	Basic Constructions on designs	23
2.7.1	Design Complements	23
2.7.2	Multiples	25
2.8	Simple Designs	26
2.9	Proper Designs	27
3	Design Operations	28
3.1	Incidence system definitions	28
3.2	Incidence System Interpretations	32
3.3	Operation Closure for Designs	33
3.4	Combining Set Systems	35
4	Block and Balanced Designs	37
4.1	Block Designs	37
4.1.1	K Block Designs	37
4.1.2	Uniform Block Design	37
4.1.3	Incomplete Designs	39
4.2	Balanced Designs	39
4.2.1	Sub-types of t-wise balance	40
4.2.2	Covering and Packing Designs	41
4.3	Constant Replication Design	42
4.4	T-designs	44
4.5	Steiner Systems	45
4.6	Combining block designs	45
5	BIBD's	47
5.1	BIBD Basics	47
5.2	Necessary Conditions for Existence	47
5.2.1	BIBD Param Relationships	49
5.3	Constructing New bibd's	49
5.3.1	BIBD Complement, Multiple, Combine	50
5.3.2	Derived Designs	50
5.3.3	Residual Designs	51
5.4	Symmetric BIBD's	52
5.4.1	Intersection Property on Symmetric BIBDs	53
5.4.2	Symmetric BIBD is Simple	55
5.4.3	Residual/Derived Sym BIBD Constructions	55
5.5	BIBD's and Other Block Designs	56
6	Resolvable Designs	57
6.1	Resolutions and Resolution Classes	57
6.2	Resolvable Design Locale	58
6.3	Resolvable Block Designs	59
6.3.1	Bose's Inequality	59

7	Group Divisible Designs	60
7.1	Group design	60
7.1.1	Group Type	61
7.1.2	Uniform Group designs	63
7.2	GDD	63
7.2.1	Sub types of GDD's	65
7.3	GDD and PBD Constructions	66
7.3.1	GDD Delete Point construction	66
7.3.2	PBD construction from GDD	67
7.3.3	Wilson's Construction	68
8	Graphs and Designs	70
8.1	Non-empty digraphs	70
8.2	Arcs to Blocks	71
8.3	Graphs are designs	72
8.4	R-regular graphs	73
9	Sub-designs	75
9.1	Sub-system and Sub-design Locales	75
9.2	Reasoning on Sub-designs	77
9.2.1	Reasoning on Incidence Sys property relationships . .	77
9.2.2	Reasoning on Incidence Sys/Design operations . . .	78
10	Design Isomorphisms	79
10.1	Images of Set Systems	79
10.2	Incidence System Isomorphisms	80
10.3	Design Isomorphisms	82
10.3.1	Isomorphism Operation	82
10.3.2	Design Properties/Operations under Isomorphism . .	83

1 Micellaniouus Helper Functions on Sets and Multisets

```
theory Multisets-Extras imports
  HOL-Library.Multiset
  Card-Partitions.Set-Partition
  Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multiset-More
  Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Duplicate-Free-Multiset
  HOL-Library.Disjoint-Sets
begin
```

1.1 Set Theory Extras

A number of extra helper lemmas for reasoning on sets (finite) required for Design Theory proofs

```

lemma card-Pow-filter-one:
  assumes finite A
  shows card {x ∈ Pow A . card x = 1} = card (A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma elem-exists-non-empty-set:
  assumes card A > 0
  obtains x where x ∈ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-self-img-compr: {a | a . a ∈ A} = A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-subset-not-gt-card: finite A ⇒ card ps > card A ⇒ ¬(ps ⊆ A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-inter-lt-single: finite A ⇒ finite B ⇒ card (A ∩ B) ≤ card A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-diff-non-empty-not-subset:
  assumes A ⊆ (B - C)
  assumes C ≠ {}
  assumes A ≠ {}
  assumes B ≠ {}
  shows ¬(A ⊆ C)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-card-diff-ge-zero: finite A ⇒ finite B ⇒ A ≠ B ⇒ card A = card
  B ⇒
    card (A - B) > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-filter-diff: {a ∈ A . P a} - {x} = {a ∈ (A - {x}) . (P a)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-filter-diff-card: card ({a ∈ A . P a} - {x}) = card {a ∈ (A - {x}) .
  (P a)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma obtain-subset-with-card-int-n:
  assumes (n ::int) ≤ of-nat (card S)
  assumes (n ::int) ≥ 0
  obtains T where T ⊆ S of-nat (card T) = (n ::int) finite T
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transform-filter-img-empty-rm:
  assumes ⋀ g . g ∈ G ⇒ g ≠ {}
  shows {g - {x} | g. g ∈ G ∧ g ≠ {x}} = {g - {x} | g. g ∈ G } - {{}}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma bij-betw-inter-subsets: $\text{bij-betw } f A B \implies a1 \subseteq A \implies a2 \subseteq A \implies f'(a1 \cap a2) = (f'a1) \cap (f'a2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Partition related set theory lemmas

lemma partition-on-remove-pt:
assumes partition-on $A G$
shows partition-on $(A - \{x\}) \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in G \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma partition-on-cart-prod:
assumes card $I > 0$
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
assumes $G \neq \{\}$
assumes partition-on $A G$
shows partition-on $(A \times I) \{g \times I \mid g. g \in G\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.2 Multiset Helpers

Generic Size, count and card helpers

lemma count-size-set-repr: $\text{size } \{\# x \in \# A . x = g\# \} = \text{count } A g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mset-nempty-set-nempty: $A \neq \{\# \} \longleftrightarrow (\text{set-mset } A) \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mset-size-ne0-set-card: $\text{size } A > 0 \implies \text{card } (\text{set-mset } A) > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma set-count-size-min: $\text{count } A a \geq n \implies \text{size } A \geq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-size-filter-eq: $\text{finite } A \implies \text{card } \{a \in A . P a\} = \text{size } \{\# a \in \# \text{mset-set } A . P a\# \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma size-multiset-set-mset-const-count:
assumes card $(\text{set-mset } A) = ca$
assumes $\bigwedge p. p \in \# A \implies \text{count } A p = ca2$
shows $\text{size } A = (ca * ca2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma size-multiset-int-count:
assumes of-nat $(\text{card } (\text{set-mset } A)) = (ca :: \text{int})$
assumes $\bigwedge p. p \in \# A \implies \text{of-nat } (\text{count } A p) = (ca2 :: \text{int})$
shows $\text{of-nat } (\text{size } A) = ((ca :: \text{int}) * ca2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mset-union-size*: $\text{size}(A \cup\# B) = \text{size}(A) + \text{size}(B - A)$
(proof)

lemma *mset-union-size-inter*: $\text{size}(A \cup\# B) = \text{size}(A) + \text{size} B - \text{size}(A \cap\# B)$
(proof)

Lemmas for repeat_mset

lemma *repeat-mset-size [simp]*: $\text{size}(\text{repeat-mset } n A) = n * \text{size } A$
(proof)

lemma *repeat-mset-subset-in*:
assumes $\bigwedge a . a \in\# A \implies a \subseteq B$
assumes $X \in\# \text{repeat-mset } n A$
assumes $x \in X$
shows $x \in B$
(proof)

lemma *repeat-mset-not-empty*: $n > 0 \implies A \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{repeat-mset } n A \neq \{\#\}$
(proof)

lemma *elem-in-repeat-in-original*: $a \in\# \text{repeat-mset } n A \implies a \in\# A$
(proof)

lemma *elem-in-original-in-repeat*: $n > 0 \implies a \in\# A \implies a \in\# \text{repeat-mset } n A$
(proof)

Lemmas on image and filter for multisets

lemma *multiset-add-filter-size*: $\text{size}\{\# a \in\# (A1 + A2) . P a \#\} = \text{size}\{\# a \in\# A1 . P a \#\} + \text{size}\{\# a \in\# A2 . P a \#\}$
(proof)

lemma *size-filter-neg*: $\text{size}\{\# a \in\# A . P a \#\} = \text{size } A - \text{size}\{\# a \in\# A . \neg P a \#\}$
(proof)

lemma *filter-filter-mset-cond-simp*:
assumes $\bigwedge a . P a \implies Q a$
shows $\text{filter-mset } P A = \text{filter-mset } P (\text{filter-mset } Q A)$
(proof)

lemma *filter-filter-mset-ss-member*: $\text{filter-mset}(\lambda a . \{x, y\} \subseteq a) A = \text{filter-mset}(\lambda a . \{x, y\} \subseteq a) (\text{filter-mset}(\lambda a . x \in a) A)$
(proof)

lemma *multiset-image-do-nothing*: $(\bigwedge x . x \in\# A \implies f x = x) \implies \text{image-mset } f A = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-mset-filter*: $\text{set-mset } \{\# f a . a \in\# A \#\} = \{f a \mid a. a \in\# A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mset-exists-implies*: $x \in\# \{\# f a . a \in\# A \#\} \implies \exists y \in\# A . x = f y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-mset-image-mset*:
 $\text{filter-mset } P (\text{image-mset } f A) = \text{image-mset } f (\text{filter-mset } (\lambda x. P (f x)) A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mset-bunion-filter*: $\{\# a \in\# A . P a \vee Q a \#\} = \{\# a \in\# A . P a \#\} \cup\# \{\# a \in\# A . Q a \#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mset-inter-filter*: $\{\# a \in\# A . P a \wedge Q a \#\} = \{\# a \in\# A . P a \#\} \cap\# \{\# a \in\# A . Q a \#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-image-mset*: $\text{image-mset } (\lambda x . f x) (\text{image-mset } (\lambda y . g y) A) = \text{image-mset } (\lambda x. f (g x)) A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Big Union over multiset helpers

lemma *mset-big-union-obtain*:
assumes $x \in\# \sum\# A$
obtains a **where** $a \in\# A$ **and** $x \in\# a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-big-union-sum*: $\text{size } (\sum\# (M :: 'a multiset multiset)) = (\sum x \in\# M . \text{size } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Cartesian Product on Multisets

lemma *size-cartesian-product-singleton* [*simp*]: $\text{size } (\{\#a\#} \times\# B) = \text{size } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-cartesian-product-singleton-right* [*simp*]: $\text{size } (A \times\# \{\#b\#}) = \text{size } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-cartesian-product-empty* [*simp*]: $\text{size } (A \times\# \{\#\}) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-add-elem-step-eq*:
assumes $\text{size } (A \times\# B) = \text{size } A * \text{size } B$
shows $\text{size } (\text{add-mset } x A \times\# B) = \text{size } (\text{add-mset } x A) * \text{size } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-cartesian-product*: $\text{size}(A \times\# B) = \text{size } A * \text{size } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-prod-distinct-mset*:
assumes *assm1*: *distinct-mset A*
assumes *assm2*: *distinct-mset B*
shows *distinct-mset* ($A \times\# B$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-product-single-intersect*: $x1 \neq x2 \implies (\{\#x1\#} \times\# A) \cap\# (\{\#x2\#} \times\# B) = \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size-union-distinct-cart-prod*: $x1 \neq x2 \implies \text{size}((\{\#x1\#} \times\# A) \cup\# (\{\#x2\#} \times\# B)) = \text{size}(\{\#x1\#} \times\# A) + \text{size}(\{\#x2\#} \times\# B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size-Union-distinct-cart-prod*: *distinct-mset M* \implies
 $\text{size}(\sum p \in\# M. (\{\#p\#} \times\# B)) = \text{size}(M) * \text{size}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size-Union-distinct-cart-prod-filter*: *distinct-mset M* \implies
 $(\bigwedge p . p \in\# M \implies \text{size}(\{\# b \in\# B . P p b \#\}) = c) \implies$
 $\text{size}(\sum p \in\# M. (\{\#p\#} \times\# \{\# b \in\# B . P p b \#\})) = \text{size}(M) * c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size-Union-distinct-cart-prod-filter2*: *distinct-mset V* \implies
 $(\bigwedge b . b \in\# B \implies \text{size}(\{\# v \in\# V . P v b \#\}) = c) \implies$
 $\text{size}(\sum b \in\# B. (\{\# v \in\# V . P v b \#\} \times\# \{\#b\#})) = \text{size}(B) * c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-product-add-1*: $(\text{add-mset } a A) \times\# B = (\{\#a\#} \times\# B) + (A \times\# B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-product-add-1-filter*: $\{\#m \in\# ((\text{add-mset } a M) \times\# N) . P m \#\} =$
 $\{\#m \in\# (M \times\# N) . P m \#\} + \{\#m \in\# (\{\#a\#} \times\# N) . P m \#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-product-add-1-filter2*: $\{\#m \in\# (M \times\# (\text{add-mset } b N)) . P m \#\} =$
 $\{\#m \in\# (M \times\# N) . P m \#\} + \{\#m \in\# (M \times\# \{\#b\#}) . P m \#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cart-prod-singleton-right-gen*:
assumes $\bigwedge x . x \in\# (A \times\# \{\#b\#}) \implies P x \longleftrightarrow Q (\text{fst } x)$
shows $\{\#x \in\# (A \times\# \{\#b\#}) . P x \#\} = \{\# a \in\# A . Q a \#\} \times\# \{\#b\#}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma cart-prod-singleton-left-gen:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x . x \in \# (\{\#a\#} \times \# B) \implies P x \longleftrightarrow Q (\text{snd } x)$ 
  shows  $\{\#x \in \# (\{\#a\#} \times \# B) . P x\#} = \{\#a\#} \times \# \{\#b \in \# B . Q b\#}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cart-product-singleton-left:  $\{\#m \in \# (\{\#a\#} \times \# N) . \text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m\#} =$ 
 $\{\#a\#} \times \# \{\# n \in \# N . a \in n\#} \langle is ?A = ?B \rangle$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cart-product-singleton-right:  $\{\#m \in \# (N \times \# \{\#b\#}) . \text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m\#} =$ 
 $\{\# n \in \# N . n \in b\#} \times \# \{\# b\#} \langle is ?A = ?B \rangle$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cart-product-add-1-filter-eq:  $\{\#m \in \# ((\text{add-mset } a M) \times \# N) . (\text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m)\#} =$ 
 $\{\#m \in \# (M \times \# N) . (\text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m)\#} + \{\#a\#} \times \# \{\# n \in \# N . a \in n\#}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cart-product-add-1-filter-eq-mirror:  $\{\#m \in \# M \times \# (\text{add-mset } b N) . (\text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m)\#} =$ 
 $\{\#m \in \# (M \times \# N) . (\text{fst } m \in \text{snd } m)\#} + \{\# n \in \# M . n \in b\#} \times \# \{\#b\#}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma set-break-down-left:
  shows  $\{\# m \in \# (M \times \# N) . (\text{fst } m) \in (\text{snd } m)\#} = (\sum m \in \# M. (\{\#m\#} \times \# \{\#n \in \# N . m \in n\#}))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma set-break-down-right:
  shows  $\{\# x \in \# M \times \# N . (\text{fst } x) \in (\text{snd } x)\#} = (\sum n \in \# N. (\{\#m \in \# M . m \in n\#} \times \# \{\#n\#}))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  Reasoning on sums of elements over multisets

lemma sum-over-fun-eq:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x . x \in \# A \implies f x = g x$ 
  shows  $(\sum x \in \# A . f(x)) = (\sum x \in \# A . g(x))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sum-mset-add-diff-nat:
  fixes  $x :: 'a$  and  $f g :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x . x \in \# A \implies f x \geq g x$ 
  shows  $(\sum x \in \# A. f x - g x) = (\sum x \in \# A . f x) - (\sum x \in \# A . g x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sum-mset-add-diff-int:
  fixes x:: 'a and f g :: 'a ⇒ int
  shows (Σ x ∈# A. f x − g x) = (Σ x ∈# A . f x) − (Σ x ∈# A . g x)
  ⟨proof⟩

context ring-1
begin

lemma sum-mset-add-diff: (Σ x ∈# A. f x − g x) = (Σ x ∈# A . f x) − (Σ
x ∈# A . g x)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context ordered-semiring
begin

lemma sum-mset-ge0:(Λ x . f x ≥ 0) ⇒ (Σ x ∈# A. f x ) ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-order-add-mset: (Λ x . f x ≥ 0) ⇒ (Σ x ∈# A. f x ) ≤ (Σ x ∈#
add-mset a A. f x )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-mset-0-left: (Λ x . f x ≥ 0) ⇒ (Σ x ∈# A. f x ) = 0 ⇒ (∀ x ∈#
A .f x = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-mset-0-iff-ge-0:
  assumes (Λ x . f x ≥ 0)
  shows (Σ x ∈# A. f x ) = 0 ↔ (forall x ∈ set-mset A .f x = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma mset-set-size-card-count: (Σ x ∈# A. x) = (Σ x ∈ set-mset A . x * (count
A x))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.3 Partitions on Multisets

A partition on a multiset A is a multiset of multisets, where the sum over P equals A and the empty multiset is not in the partition. Based off set partition definition. We note that unlike set partitions, there is no requirement for elements in the multisets to be distinct due to the definition of union on multisets [1]

lemma mset-size-partition-dep: size {# a ∈# A . P a ∨ Q a #} =

$\text{size } \{\# a \in \# A . P a \#\} + \text{size } \{\# a \in \# A . Q a \#\} - \text{size } \{\# a \in \# A . P a \wedge Q a \#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *partition-on-mset* :: 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
partition-on-mset $A P \longleftrightarrow \sum \# P = A \wedge \{\#\} \notin \# P$

lemma *partition-on-msetI* [intro]: $\sum \# P = A \Rightarrow \{\#\} \notin \# P \Rightarrow \text{partition-on-mset } A P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-msetD1*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Rightarrow \sum \# P = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-msetD2*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Rightarrow \{\#\} \notin \# P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-mset-empty*: $\text{partition-on-mset } \{\#\} P \longleftrightarrow P = \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-mset-all*: $A \neq \{\#\} \Rightarrow \text{partition-on-mset } A \{\#A \#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-mset-singletons*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A (\text{image-mset } (\lambda x . \{\#x\#}))$
 A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-mset-not-empty*: $A \neq \{\#\} \Rightarrow \text{partition-on-mset } A P \Rightarrow P \neq \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-msetI2*: $\sum \# P = A \Rightarrow (\bigwedge p . p \in \# P \Rightarrow p \neq \{\#\}) \Rightarrow \text{partition-on-mset } A P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-mset-elems*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Rightarrow p1 \in \# P \Rightarrow x \in \# p1 \Rightarrow x \in \# A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-mset-sum-size-eq*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Rightarrow (\sum x \in \# P . \text{size } x) = \text{size } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-mset-card*: **assumes** *partition-on-mset* $A P$ **shows** $\text{size } P \leq \text{size } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-on-mset-count-eq*: $\text{partition-on-mset } A P \Rightarrow a \in \# A \Rightarrow (\sum x \in \# P . \text{count } x a) = \text{count } A a$

(proof)

lemma *partition-on-mset-subsets*: *partition-on-mset A P* $\implies x \in\# P \implies x \subseteq\# A$
(proof)

lemma *partition-on-mset-distinct*:
assumes *partition-on-mset A P*
assumes *distinct-mset A*
shows *distinct-mset P*
(proof)

lemma *partition-on-mset-distinct-disjoint*:
assumes *partition-on-mset A P*
assumes *distinct-mset A*
assumes *p1 ∈# P*
assumes *p2 ∈# P – {#p1#}*
shows *p1 ∩# p2 = {#}*
(proof)

lemma *partition-on-mset-diff*:
assumes *partition-on-mset A P*
assumes *Q ⊆# P*
shows *partition-on-mset (A – ∑# Q) (P – Q)*
(proof)

lemma *sigma-over-set-partition-count*:
assumes *finite A*
assumes *partition-on A P*
assumes *x ∈# ∑# (mset-set (mset-set ‘ P))*
shows *count (∑# (mset-set (mset-set ‘ P))) x = 1*
(proof)

lemma *partition-on-mset-set*:
assumes *finite A*
assumes *partition-on A P*
shows *partition-on-mset (mset-set A) (mset-set (image (λ x. mset-set x) P))*
(proof)

lemma *partition-on-mset-distinct-inter*:
assumes *partition-on-mset A P*
assumes *distinct-mset A*
assumes *p1 ∈# P and p2 ∈# P and p1 ≠ p2*
shows *p1 ∩# p2 = {#}*
(proof)

lemma *partition-on-set-mset-distinct*:
assumes *partition-on-mset A P*
assumes *distinct-mset A*

```

assumes  $p \in\# \text{image-mset set-mset } P$ 
assumes  $p' \in\# \text{image-mset set-mset } P$ 
assumes  $p \neq p'$ 
shows  $p \cap p' = \{\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma partition-on-set-mset:
assumes partition-on-mset A P
assumes distinct-mset A
shows partition-on (set-mset A) (set-mset (image-mset set-mset P))
⟨proof⟩

lemma partition-on-mset-eq-imp-eq-carrier:
assumes partition-on-mset A P
assumes partition-on-mset B P
shows  $A = B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma partition-on-mset-add-single:
assumes partition-on-mset A P
shows partition-on-mset (add-mset a A) (add-mset {\#a\#} P)
⟨proof⟩

lemma partition-on-mset-add-part:
assumes partition-on-mset A P
assumes  $X \neq \{\#\}$ 
assumes  $A + X = A'$ 
shows partition-on-mset A' (add-mset X P)
⟨proof⟩

lemma partition-on-mset-add:
assumes partition-on-mset A P
assumes  $X \in\# P$ 
assumes add-mset a X = X'
shows partition-on-mset (add-mset a A) (add-mset X' (P - {\#X\#}))
⟨proof⟩

lemma partition-on-mset-elem-exists-part:
assumes partition-on-mset A P
assumes  $x \in\# A$ 
obtains  $p$  where  $p \in\# P$  and  $x \in\# p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma partition-on-mset-combine:
assumes partition-on-mset A P
assumes partition-on-mset B Q
shows partition-on-mset (A + B) (P + Q)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma partition-on-mset-split:
  assumes partition-on-mset A (P + Q)
  shows partition-on-mset ( $\sum \# P$ ) P
  ⟨proof⟩
end
theory Design-Basics imports Main Multisets-Extras HOL-Library.Disjoint-Sets
begin

```

2 Design Theory Basics

All definitions in this section reference the handbook of combinatorial designs [3]

2.1 Initial setup

Enable coercion of nats to ints to aid with reasoning on design properties

```

declare [[coercion-enabled]]
declare [[coercion of-nat :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  int]]

```

2.2 Incidence System

An incidence system is defined to be a wellformed set system. i.e. each block is a subset of the base point set. Alternatively, an incidence system can be looked at as the point set and an incidence relation which indicates if they are in the same block

```

locale incidence-system =
  fixes point-set :: 'a set ( $\mathcal{V}$ )
  fixes block-collection :: 'a set multiset ( $\mathcal{B}$ )
  assumes wellformed:  $b \in \# \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow b \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
begin

  definition I ≡ { (x, b) . b ∈ #  $\mathcal{B}$   $\wedge$  x ∈ b}

```

```

  definition incident :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    incident p b ≡ (p, b) ∈ I

```

Defines common notation used to indicate number of points (v) and number of blocks (b)

abbreviation v ≡ card \mathcal{V}

abbreviation b ≡ size \mathcal{B}

Basic incidence lemmas

```

lemma incidence-alt-def:
  assumes p ∈  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  assumes b ∈ #  $\mathcal{B}$ 

```

shows *incident p b* \longleftrightarrow *p ∈ b*
(proof)

lemma *wf-invalid-point*: *x* $\notin \mathcal{V} \implies b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies x \notin b
(proof)$

lemma *block-set-nempty-imp-block-ex*: *$\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\} \implies \exists bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$*
(proof)

Abbreviations for all incidence systems

abbreviation *multiplicity* :: *'a set \Rightarrow nat* **where**
multiplicity b ≡ count \mathcal{B} b

abbreviation *incomplete-block* :: *'a set \Rightarrow bool* **where**
incomplete-block bl ≡ card bl < card \mathcal{V} \wedge bl $\in \# \mathcal{B}$

lemma *incomplete-alt-size*: *incomplete-block bl \implies card bl < v*
(proof)

lemma *incomplete-alt-in*: *incomplete-block bl \implies bl $\in \# \mathcal{B}$*
(proof)

lemma *incomplete-alt-imp[intro]*: *card bl < v \implies bl $\in \# \mathcal{B} \implies$ incomplete-block bl*
(proof)

definition *design-support* :: *'a set set* **where**
design-support ≡ set-mset \mathcal{B}

end

2.3 Finite Incidence Systems

These simply require the point set to be finite. As multisets are only defined to be finite, it is implied that the block set must be finite already

locale *finite-incidence-system* = *incidence-system* +
assumes *finite-sets*: *finite \mathcal{V}*
begin

lemma *finite-blocks*: *b $\in \# \mathcal{B} \implies$ finite b*
(proof)

lemma *mset-points-distinct*: *distinct-mset (mset-set \mathcal{V})*
(proof)

lemma *mset-points-distinct-diff-one*: *distinct-mset (mset-set ($\mathcal{V} - \{x\}$))*
(proof)

lemma *finite-design-support*: *finite (design-support)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *block-size-lt-order*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \leq \text{card } \mathcal{V}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.4 Designs

There are many varied definitions of a design in literature. However, the most commonly accepted definition is a finite point set, V and collection of blocks B , where no block in B can be empty

locale *design* = *finite-incidence-system* +
 assumes *blocks-nempty*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies bl \neq \{\}$
begin

lemma *wf-design*: *design* $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-design-iff*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \longleftrightarrow (bl \subseteq \mathcal{V} \wedge \text{finite } \mathcal{V} \wedge bl \neq \{\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Reasoning on non empty properties and non zero parameters

lemma *blocks-nempty-alt*: $\forall bl \in \# \mathcal{B}. bl \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *block-set-nempty-imp-points*: $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\} \implies \mathcal{V} \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *b-non-zero-imp-v-non-zero*: $b > 0 \implies v > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *v-eq0-imp-b-eq-0*: $v = 0 \implies b = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Size lemmas

lemma *block-size-lt-v*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \leq v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *block-size-gt-0*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *design-cart-product-size*: $\text{size } ((\text{mset-set } \mathcal{V}) \times \# \mathcal{B}) = v * b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

Intro rules for design locale

lemma *wf-design-implies*:
 assumes $(\bigwedge b. b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b \subseteq V)$

```

assumes  $\bigwedge b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b \neq \{\}$ 
assumes finite  $V$ 
assumes  $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$ 
assumes  $V \neq \{\}$ 
shows design  $V \mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in incidence-system) finite-sysI[intro]: finite  $\mathcal{V} \implies$  finite-incidence-system
 $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in finite-incidence-system) designI[intro]:  $(\bigwedge b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b \neq \{\}) \implies$ 
 $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$ 
 $\implies \mathcal{V} \neq \{\} \implies$  design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.5 Core Property Definitions

2.5.1 Replication Number

The replication number for a point is the number of blocks that point is incident with

```

definition point-replication-number :: 'a set multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat (infix rep 75)
where
 $B \text{ rep } x \equiv \text{size } \{\#b \in \# B . x \in b\#}$ 

lemma max-point-rep:  $B \text{ rep } x \leq \text{size } B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-number-g0-exists:
assumes  $B \text{ rep } x > 0$ 
obtains  $b$  where  $b \in \# B$  and  $x \in b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-number-on-set-def: finite  $B \implies (\text{mset-set } B) \text{ rep } x = \text{card } \{b \in B . x \in b\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma point-rep-number-split[simp]:  $(A + B) \text{ rep } x = A \text{ rep } x + B \text{ rep } x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma point-rep-singleton-val [simp]:  $x \in b \implies \{\#b\# \text{ rep } x = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma point-rep-singleton-inval [simp]:  $x \notin b \implies \{\#b\# \text{ rep } x = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

context incidence-system
begin

```

```

lemma point-rep-number-alt-def:  $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = \text{size } \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \in b\#\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rep-number-non-zero-system-point:  $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x > 0 \implies x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma point-rep-non-existance [simp]:  $x \notin \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma point-rep-number-inv:  $\text{size } \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \notin b\#\} = b - (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma point-rep-num-inv-non-empty:  $(\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) < b \implies \mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\} \implies \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \notin b\#\} \neq \{\#\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2.5.2 Point Index

The point index of a subset of points in a design, is the number of times those points occur together in a block of the design

definition points-index :: 'a set multiset \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow nat (infix index 75) **where**
 $B \text{ index } ps \equiv \text{size } \{\# b \in \# B . ps \subseteq b\#\}$

```

lemma points-index-empty [simp]:  $\{\#\} \text{ index } ps = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma point-index-distrib:  $(B1 + B2) \text{ index } ps = B1 \text{ index } ps + B2 \text{ index } ps$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma point-index-diff:  $B1 \text{ index } ps = (B1 + B2) \text{ index } ps - B2 \text{ index } ps$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma points-index-singleton:  $\{\# b\#\} \text{ index } ps = 1 \longleftrightarrow ps \subseteq b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma points-index-singleton-zero:  $\neg (ps \subseteq b) \implies \{\# b\#\} \text{ index } ps = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma points-index-sum:  $(\sum \# B) \text{ index } ps = (\sum b \in \# B . (b \text{ index } ps))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma points-index-block-image-add-eq:
  assumes  $x \notin ps$ 
  assumes  $B \text{ index } ps = l$ 
  shows  $\{\# \text{insert } x b . b \in \# B\#\} \text{ index } ps = l$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma points-index-on-set-def [simp]:
  assumes finite B
  shows (mset-set B) index ps = card {b ∈ B. ps ⊆ b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-single-rep-num: B index {x} = B rep x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-pair-rep-num:
  assumes ⋀ b. b ∈# B ⟹ x ∈ b
  shows B index {x, y} = B rep y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-0-left-imp:
  assumes B index ps = 0
  assumes b ∈# B
  shows ¬(ps ⊆ b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-0-right-imp:
  assumes ⋀ b . b ∈# B ⟹ (¬ ps ⊆ b)
  shows B index ps = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-0-iff: B index ps = 0 ⟷ (⋀ b. b ∈# B → (¬ ps ⊆ b))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-gt0-impl-existance:
  assumes B index ps > 0
  shows (∃ bl . (bl ∈# B ∧ ps ⊆ bl))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-one-unique:
  assumes B index ps = 1
  assumes bl ∈# B and ps ⊆ bl and bl' ∈# B and ps ⊆ bl'
  shows bl = bl'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-one-unique-block:
  assumes B index ps = 1
  shows ∃! bl . (bl ∈# B ∧ ps ⊆ bl)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-one-not-unique-block:
  assumes B index ps = 1
  assumes ps ⊆ bl
  assumes bl ∈# B
  assumes bl' ∈# B - {#bl#}

```

shows $\neg ps \subseteq bl'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in incidence-system) *points-index-alt-def*: $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \text{size } \{\#b \in \# \mathcal{B} . ps \subseteq b\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in incidence-system) *points-index-ps-nin*: $\neg (ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}) \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in incidence-system) *points-index-count-bl*:
multiplicity $bl \geq n \implies ps \subseteq bl \implies \text{count } \{\#bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . ps \subseteq bl\# \} bl \geq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in finite-incidence-system) *points-index-zero*:
assumes $\text{card } ps > \text{card } \mathcal{V}$
shows $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in design) *points-index-subset*:
 $x \subseteq \{\#bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . ps \subseteq bl\# \} \implies ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies (\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps) \geq (\text{size } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in design) *points-index-count-min*: *multiplicity* $bl \geq n \implies ps \subseteq bl \implies \mathcal{B}$
index $ps \geq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5.3 Intersection Number

The intersection number of two blocks is the size of the intersection of those blocks. i.e. the number of points which occur in both blocks

definition *intersection-number* :: '*a set* \Rightarrow '*a set* \Rightarrow nat (infix $| \cap |$ 70) **where**
 $b1 | \cap | b2 \equiv \text{card } (b1 \cap b2)$

lemma *intersection-num-non-neg*: $b1 | \cap | b2 \geq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *intersection-number-empty-iff*:
assumes *finite* $b1$
shows $b1 \cap b2 = \{\} \longleftrightarrow b1 | \cap | b2 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *intersect-num-commute*: $b1 | \cap | b2 = b2 | \cap | b1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *n-intersect-number* :: '*a set* \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow '*a set* \Rightarrow nat **where**
n-intersect-number $b1 n b2 \equiv \text{card } \{ x \in \text{Pow } (b1 \cap b2) . \text{card } x = n \}$

notation *n-intersect-number* ((- $| \cap |$ - -) [52, 51, 52] 50)

```

lemma n-intersect-num-subset-def:  $b1 \cap|_n b2 = \text{card } \{x . x \subseteq b1 \cap b2 \wedge \text{card } x = n\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma n-inter-num-one: finite  $b1 \implies \text{finite } b2 \implies b1 \cap|_1 b2 = b1 \cap|_1 b2$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma n-inter-num-choose: finite  $b1 \implies \text{finite } b2 \implies b1 \cap|_n b2 = (\text{card } (b1 \cap b2) \text{ choose } n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-filter-single:  $x \in A \implies \{a \in A . a = x\} = \{x\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in design) n-inter-num-zero:
assumes  $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$  and  $b2 \in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
shows  $b1 \cap|_0 b2 = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in design) n-inter-num-choose-design:  $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b2 \in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
    implies  $b1 \cap|_n b2 = (\text{card } (b1 \cap b2) \text{ choose } n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in design) n-inter-num-choose-design-inter:  $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b2 \in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
    implies  $b1 \cap|_n b2 = (\text{nat } (b1 \cap|_1 b2) \text{ choose } n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.6 Incidence System Set Property Definitions

```

context incidence-system
begin

```

The set of replication numbers for all points of design

```

definition replication-numbers :: nat set where
replication-numbers  $\equiv \{\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x \mid x . x \in \mathcal{V}\}$ 

```

```

lemma replication-numbers-non-empty:
assumes  $\mathcal{V} \neq \{\}$ 
shows replication-numbers  $\neq \{\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma obtain-point-with-rep:  $r \in \text{replication-numbers} \implies \exists x. x \in \mathcal{V} \wedge \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = r$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma point-rep-number-in-set:  $x \in \mathcal{V} \implies (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) \in \text{replication-numbers}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in finite-incidence-system) replication-numbers-finite: finite replication-numbers

```

(proof)

The set of all block sizes in a system

definition *sys-block-sizes* :: nat set **where**
sys-block-sizes $\equiv \{ \text{card } bl \mid bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \}$

lemma *block-sizes-non-empty-set*:

assumes $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$

shows *sys-block-sizes* $\neq \{\}$

(proof)

lemma *finite-block-sizes*: finite (*sys-block-sizes*)

(proof)

lemma *block-sizes-non-empty*:

assumes $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$

shows $\text{card}(\text{sys-block-sizes}) > 0$

(proof)

lemma *sys-block-sizes-in*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \in \text{sys-block-sizes}$

(proof)

lemma *sys-block-sizes-obtain-bl*: $x \in \text{sys-block-sizes} \implies (\exists bl \in \# \mathcal{B}. \text{card } bl = x)$

(proof)

The set of all possible intersection numbers in a system.

definition *intersection-numbers* :: nat set **where**

intersection-numbers $\equiv \{ b1 \cap b2 \mid b1 b2. b1 \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\#b1\}) \}$

lemma *obtain-blocks-intersect-num*: $n \in \text{intersection-numbers} \implies$

$\exists b1 b2. b1 \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\#b1\}) \wedge b1 \cap b2 = n$

(proof)

lemma *intersect-num-in-set*: $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\#b1\}) \implies b1 \cap b2 \in \text{intersection-numbers}$

(proof)

The set of all possible point indices

definition *point-indices* :: nat \Rightarrow nat set **where**

point-indices t $\equiv \{ \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \mid ps. \text{card } ps = t \wedge ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \}$

lemma *point-indices-elem-in*: $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \in \text{point-indices } t$

(proof)

lemma *point-indices-alt-def*: $\text{point-indices } t = \{ \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \mid ps. \text{card } ps = t \wedge ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \}$

(proof)

end

2.7 Basic Constructions on designs

This section defines some of the most common universal constructions found in design theory involving only a single design

2.7.1 Design Complements

```
context incidence-system
begin
```

The complement of a block are all the points in the design not in that block. The complement of a design is therefore the original point sets, and set of all block complements

```
definition block-complement:: 'a set ⇒ 'a set (-c [56] 55) where
block-complement b ≡ V - b
```

```
definition complement-blocks :: 'a set multiset ((B^C))where
complement-blocks ≡ {# bl^c . bl ∈ # B #}
```

```
lemma block-complement-elem-iff:
```

```
assumes ps ⊆ V
shows ps ⊆ bl^c ↔ (∀ x ∈ ps. x ∉ bl)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma block-complement-inter-empty: bl1^c = bl2 ⇒ bl1 ∩ bl2 = {}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma block-complement-inv:
```

```
assumes bl ∈ # B
assumes bl^c = bl2
shows bl2^c = bl
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma block-complement-subset-points: ps ⊆ (bl^c) ⇒ ps ⊆ V
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma obtain-comp-block-orig:
```

```
assumes bl1 ∈ # B^C
obtains bl2 where bl2 ∈ # B and bl1 = bl2^c
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma complement-same-b [simp]: size B^C = size B
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma block-comp-elem-alt-left: x ∈ bl ⇒ ps ⊆ bl^c ⇒ x ∉ ps
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma block-comp-elem-alt-right: ps ⊆ V ⇒ (∀ x . x ∈ ps ⇒ x ∉ bl) ⇒ ps
⊆ bl^c
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-index:
  assumes  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{B}^C \text{ index } ps = \text{size } \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . (\forall x \in ps . x \notin b) \#\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-index-2:
  assumes  $\{x, y\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{B}^C \text{ index } \{x, y\} = \text{size } \{\# b \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \notin b \wedge y \notin b \#\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-rep-number:
  assumes  $x \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = r$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{B}^C \text{ rep } x = b - r$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-blocks-wf:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}^C \implies bl \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-wf [intro]: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C$ 
⟨proof⟩

interpretation sys-complement: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

context finite-incidence-system
begin
lemma block-complement-size:  $b \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } (b^c) = \text{card } \mathcal{V} - \text{card } b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma block-comp-incomplete: incomplete-block  $bl \implies \text{card } (bl^c) > 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma block-comp-incomplete-nempty: incomplete-block  $bl \implies bl^c \neq \{\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma incomplete-block-proper-subset: incomplete-block  $bl \implies bl \subset \mathcal{V}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-finite: finite-incidence-system  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C$ 
⟨proof⟩

interpretation comp-fin: finite-incidence-system  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

context design
begin
lemma (in design) complement-design:
  assumes  $\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } bl$ 
  shows design  $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B}^C)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2.7.2 Multiples

An easy way to construct new set systems is to simply multiply the block collection by some constant

```

context incidence-system
begin

```

```

abbreviation multiple-blocks :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set multiset where
multiple-blocks n  $\equiv$  repeat-mset n  $\mathcal{B}$ 

```

```

lemma multiple-block-in-original:  $b \in \# \text{multiple-blocks } n \implies b \in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma multiple-block-in:  $n > 0 \implies b \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies b \in \# \text{multiple-blocks } n$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma multiple-blocks-gt:  $n > 0 \implies \text{size}(\text{multiple-blocks } n) \geq \text{size } \mathcal{B}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma block-original-count-le:  $n > 0 \implies \text{count } \mathcal{B} b \leq \text{count}(\text{multiple-blocks } n) b$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma multiple-blocks-sub:  $n > 0 \implies \mathcal{B} \subseteq \# (\text{multiple-blocks } n)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma multiple-1-same:  $\text{multiple-blocks } 1 = \mathcal{B}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma multiple-unfold-1:  $\text{multiple-blocks } (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{multiple-blocks } n) + \mathcal{B}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma multiple-point-rep-num:  $(\text{multiple-blocks } n) \text{ rep } x = (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) * n$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma multiple-point-index:  $(\text{multiple-blocks } n) \text{ index } ps = (\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps) * n$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma repeat-mset-block-point-rel:  $\bigwedge b x . b \in \# \text{multiple-blocks } n \implies x \in b \implies$ 
 $x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma multiple-is-wellformed: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$  (multiple-blocks  $n$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma multiple-blocks-num [simp]: size (multiple-blocks  $n$ ) =  $n * b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

interpretation mult-sys: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$  (multiple-blocks  $n$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma multiple-block-multiplicity [simp]: mult-sys.multiplicity  $n bl$  = (multiplicity
 $bl) * n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma multiple-block-sizes-same:
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  shows sys-block-sizes = mult-sys.sys-block-sizes  $n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context finite-incidence-system
begin

lemma multiple-is-finite: finite-incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$  (multiple-blocks  $n$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context design
begin

lemma multiple-is-design: design  $\mathcal{V}$  (multiple-blocks  $n$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2.8 Simple Designs

Simple designs are those in which the multiplicity of each block is at most one. In other words, the block collection is a set. This can significantly ease reasoning.

```

locale simple-incidence-system = incidence-system +
  assumes simple [simp]:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{multiplicity } bl = 1$ 

begin

lemma simple-alt-def-all:  $\forall bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . \text{multiplicity } bl = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma simple-blocks-eq-sup: mset-set (design-support) =  $\mathcal{B}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma simple-block-size-eq-card: b = card (design-support)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma points-index-simple-def:  $\mathcal{B}$  index ps = card {b ∈ design-support . ps ⊆ b}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma replication-num-simple-def:  $\mathcal{B}$  rep x = card {b ∈ design-support . x ∈ b}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale simple-design = design + simple-incidence-system
  Additional reasoning about when something is not simple
  context incidence-system
  begin
    lemma simple-not-multiplicity: b ∈#  $\mathcal{B}$   $\Rightarrow$  multiplicity b > 1  $\Rightarrow$  ¬ simple-incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma multiple-not-simple:
      assumes n > 1
      assumes  $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$ 
      shows ¬ simple-incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$  (multiple-blocks n)
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  end

```

2.9 Proper Designs

Many types of designs rely on parameter conditions that only make sense for non-empty designs. i.e. designs with at least one block, and therefore given well-formed condition, at least one point. To this end we define the notion of a "proper" design

```

locale proper-design = design +
  assumes b-non-zero: b ≠ 0
  begin

    lemma is-proper: proper-design  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma v-non-zero: v > 0
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma b-positive: b > 0  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma design-points-nempty:  $\mathcal{V} \neq \{\}$ 
  <proof>

lemma design-blocks-nempty:  $\mathcal{B} \neq \{\#\}$ 
  <proof>

end

    Intro rules for a proper design

lemma (in design) proper-designI[intro]:  $b \neq 0 \implies \text{proper-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
  <proof>

lemma proper-designII[intro]:
  assumes design V B and B ≠ {#}
  shows proper-design V B
  <proof>

    Reasoning on construction closure for proper designs

context proper-design
begin

lemma multiple-proper-design:
  assumes  $n > 0$ 
  shows proper-design V (multiple-blocks n)
  <proof>

lemma complement-proper-design:
  assumes  $\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } bl$ 
  shows proper-design V \mathcal{B}^C
  <proof>

end
end
theory Design-Operations imports Design-Basics
begin

```

3 Design Operations

Incidence systems have a number of very typical computational operations which can be used for constructions in design theory. Definitions in this section are based off the handbook of combinatorial designs, hypergraph theory [2], and the GAP design theory library [5]

3.1 Incidence system definitions

```

context incidence-system
begin

```

The basic add point operation only affects the point set of a design

```

definition add-point :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
add-point p  $\equiv$  insert p  $\mathcal{V}$ 

lemma add-existing-point [simp]: p  $\in$   $\mathcal{V} \implies$  add-point p  $=$   $\mathcal{V}$ 
<proof>

lemma add-point-wf: incidence-system (add-point p)  $\mathcal{B}$ 
<proof>

```

An extension of the basic add point operation also adds the point to a given set of blocks

```

definition add-point-to-blocks :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set multiset where
add-point-to-blocks p bs  $\equiv$  {# (insert p b) | b  $\in$  #  $\mathcal{B}$  . b  $\in$  bs#} + {# b  $\in$  #  $\mathcal{B}$  . b  $\notin$  bs#}

lemma add-point-blocks-blocks-alt: add-point-to-blocks p bs =
    image-mset (insert p) (filter-mset ( $\lambda$  b . b  $\in$  bs)  $\mathcal{B}$ ) + (filter-mset ( $\lambda$  b . b  $\notin$  bs)
B)
<proof>

```

```

lemma add-point-existing-blocks:
assumes ( $\bigwedge$  bl . bl  $\in$  bs  $\implies$  p  $\in$  bl)
shows add-point-to-blocks p bs  $=$   $\mathcal{B}$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma add-new-point-rep-number:
assumes p  $\notin$   $\mathcal{V}$ 
shows (add-point-to-blocks p bs) rep p  $=$  size {#b  $\in$  #  $\mathcal{B}$  . b  $\in$  bs#}
<proof>

```

```

lemma add-point-blocks-wf: incidence-system (add-point p) (add-point-to-blocks p bs)
<proof>

```

Basic (weak) delete point operation removes a point from both the point set and from any blocks that contain it to maintain wellformed property

```

definition del-point :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
del-point p  $\equiv$   $\mathcal{V} - \{p\}$ 

```

```

definition del-point-blocks:: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set multiset where
del-point-blocks p  $\equiv$  {# (bl - {p}) . bl  $\in$  #  $\mathcal{B}$  #}

```

```

lemma del-point-block-count: size (del-point-blocks p)  $=$  size  $\mathcal{B}$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma remove-invalid-point-block: p  $\notin$   $\mathcal{V} \implies$  bl  $\in$  #  $\mathcal{B} \implies$  bl - {p}  $=$  bl
<proof>

```

```

lemma del-invalid-point: p  $\notin$   $\mathcal{V} \implies$  (del-point p)  $=$   $\mathcal{V}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *del-invalid-point-blocks*: $p \notin \mathcal{V} \implies (\text{del-point-blocks } p) = \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-point-p-not-in-bl-blocks*: $(\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \notin bl) \implies (\text{del-point-blocks } p) = \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-point-blocks-wf*: $b \in \# (\text{del-point-blocks } p) \implies b \subseteq \mathcal{V} - \{p\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-point-blocks-sub*:
 assumes $b \in \# (\text{del-point-blocks } p)$
 obtains bl **where** $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge b \subseteq bl$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-point-split-blocks*: $\text{del-point-blocks } p =$
 $\{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . p \notin bl\# \} + \{\# bl - \{p\} \mid bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . p \in bl\# \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-point-index-eq*:
 assumes $ps \subseteq (\text{del-point } p)$
 shows $(\text{del-point-blocks } p) \text{ index } ps = \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-point-wf*: *incidence-system* $(\text{del-point } p) (\text{del-point-blocks } p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The concept of a strong delete point comes from hypergraph theory.
When a point is deleted, any blocks containing it are also deleted

definition *str-del-point-blocks* :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a$ set multiset' **where**
 $\text{str-del-point-blocks } p \equiv \{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . p \notin bl\# \}$

lemma *str-del-point-blocks-alt*: $\text{str-del-point-blocks } p = \mathcal{B} - \{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . p \in bl\# \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-point-strong-block-in*: $p \notin bl \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies bl \in \# \text{str-del-point-blocks } p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-point-strong-block-not-in*: $p \in bl \implies bl \notin \# (\text{str-del-point-blocks}) p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-point-strong-block-in-iff*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies bl \in \# \text{str-del-point-blocks } p$
 $\longleftrightarrow p \notin bl$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma delete-point-strong-block-subset: str-del-point-blocks p ⊆#  $\mathcal{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-point-strong-block-in-orig: bl ∈# str-del-point-blocks p ⇒ bl ∈#  $\mathcal{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-invalid-pt-strong-eq: p ∉  $\mathcal{V}$  ⇒  $\mathcal{B} = \text{str-del-point-blocks } p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma strong-del-point-index-alt:
  assumes ps ⊆ (del-point p)
  shows (str-del-point-blocks p) index ps =
     $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps - \{\# bl \in# \mathcal{B} . p \in bl\# \} \text{ index } ps$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma strong-del-point-incidence-wf: incidence-system (del-point p) (str-del-point-blocks p)
  ⟨proof⟩

  Add block operation

definition add-block :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set multiset where
  add-block b ≡  $\mathcal{B} + \{\# b\# \}$ 

lemma add-block-alt: add-block b = add-mset b  $\mathcal{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-block-rep-number-in:
  assumes x ∈ b
  shows (add-block b) rep x =  $\mathcal{B}$  rep x + 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-block-rep-number-not-in: x ∉ b ⇒ (add-block b) rep x =  $\mathcal{B}$  rep x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-block-index-in:
  assumes ps ⊆ b
  shows (add-block b) index ps =  $\mathcal{B}$  index ps + 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-block-index-not-in: ¬(ps ⊆ b) ⇒ (add-block b) index ps =  $\mathcal{B}$  index ps
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Note the add block incidence system is defined slightly differently than textbook definitions due to the modification to the point set. This ensures the operation is closed, where otherwise a condition that $b \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ would be required.

```

lemma add-block-wf: incidence-system ( $\mathcal{V} \cup b$ ) (add-block b)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *add-block-wf-cond*: $b \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{incidence-system } \mathcal{V} (\text{add-block } b)$
(proof)

Delete block removes a block from the block set. The point set is unchanged

definition *del-block* :: '*a set* \Rightarrow '*a set multiset* **where**
 $\text{del-block } b \equiv \mathcal{B} - \{\#b\}$

lemma *delete-block-subset*: $(\text{del-block } b) \subseteq \#\mathcal{B}$
(proof)

lemma *delete-invalid-block-eq*: $b \notin \#\mathcal{B} \implies \text{del-block } b = \mathcal{B}$
(proof)

lemma *delete-block-wf*: *incidence-system* \mathcal{V} (*del-block* b)
(proof)

The strong delete block operation effectively deletes the block, as well as all points in that block

definition *str-del-block* :: '*a set* \Rightarrow '*a set multiset* **where**
 $\text{str-del-block } b \equiv \{\# bl - b \mid bl \in \#\mathcal{B} . bl \neq b\}$

lemma *strong-del-block-alt-def*: $\text{str-del-block } b = \{\# bl - b \mid bl \in \#\text{removeAll-mset}$
 $b \mathcal{B} \#\}$
(proof)

lemma *strong-del-block-wf*: *incidence-system* $(\mathcal{V} - b)$ (*str-del-block* b)
(proof)

lemma *str-del-block-del-point*:
assumes $\{x\} \notin \#\mathcal{B}$
shows $\text{str-del-block } \{x\} = (\text{del-point-blocks } x)$
(proof)

3.2 Incidence System Interpretations

It is easy to interpret all operations as incidence systems in their own right. These can then be used to prove local properties on the new constructions, as well as reason on interactions between different operation sequences

interpretation *add-point-sys*: *incidence-system* *add-point* $p \mathcal{B}$
(proof)

lemma *add-point-sys-rep-numbers*: *add-point-sys.rep-numbers* $p =$
 $\text{replication-numbers} \cup \{\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } p\}$
(proof)

interpretation *del-point-sys*: *incidence-system* *del-point* $p \text{ del-point-blocks } p$

```

⟨proof⟩

interpretation add-block-sys: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V} \cup bl$  add-block  $bl$ 
⟨proof⟩

interpretation del-block-sys: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$  del-block  $bl$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-del-block-inv:
assumes  $bl \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
shows add-block-sys.del-block  $bl bl = \mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma del-add-block-inv:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies$  del-block-sys.add-block  $bl bl = \mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma del-invalid-add-block-eq:  $bl \notin \# \mathcal{B} \implies$  del-block-sys.add-block  $bl bl = add-block$ 
 $bl$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-delete-point-inv:
assumes  $p \notin \mathcal{V}$ 
shows add-point-sys.del-point  $p p = \mathcal{V}$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

```

3.3 Operation Closure for Designs

```

context finite-incidence-system
begin

lemma add-point-finite: finite-incidence-system (add-point  $p$ )  $\mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-point-to-blocks-finite: finite-incidence-system (add-point  $p$ ) (add-point-to-blocks
 $p bs$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-point-finite:
finite-incidence-system (del-point  $p$ ) (del-point-blocks  $p$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma del-point-order:
assumes  $p \in \mathcal{V}$ 
shows card (del-point  $p$ ) =  $v - 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma strong-del-point-finite: finite-incidence-system (del-point  $p$ ) (str-del-point-blocks
 $p$ )

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma add-block-fin: finite b  $\implies$  finite-incidence-system ( $\mathcal{V} \cup b$ ) (add-block b)
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-block-fin-cond:  $b \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies$  finite-incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$  (add-block b)
⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-block-fin-incidence-sys: finite-incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$  (del-block b)
⟨proof⟩

lemma strong-del-block-fin: finite-incidence-system ( $\mathcal{V} - b$ ) (str-del-block b)
⟨proof⟩

end

context design
begin

lemma add-point-design: design (add-point p)  $\mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-point-design:
assumes  $(\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \in bl \implies \text{card } bl \geq 2)$ 
shows design (del-point p) (del-point-blocks p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma strong-del-point-design: design (del-point p) (str-del-point-blocks p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-block-design:
assumes finite bl
assumes bl  $\neq \{\}$ 
shows design ( $\mathcal{V} \cup bl$ ) (add-block bl)
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-block-design-cond:
assumes bl  $\subseteq \mathcal{V}$  and bl  $\neq \{\}$ 
shows design  $\mathcal{V}$  (add-block bl)
⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-block-design: design  $\mathcal{V}$  (del-block bl)
⟨proof⟩

lemma strong-del-block-des:
assumes  $\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \neg (bl \subset b)$ 
shows design ( $\mathcal{V} - b$ ) (str-del-block b)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

context proper-design
begin
lemma delete-point-proper:
  assumes  $\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \in bl \implies 2 \leq \text{card } bl$ 
  shows proper-design (del-point p) (del-point-blocks p)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma strong-delete-point-proper:
  assumes  $\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \in bl \implies 2 \leq \text{card } bl$ 
  assumes  $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } p < b$ 
  shows proper-design (del-point p) (str-del-point-blocks p)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

3.4 Combining Set Systems

Similar to multiple, another way to construct a new set system is to combine two existing ones. We introduce a new locale enabling us to reason on two different incidence systems

```

locale two-set-systems = sys1: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$  + sys2: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}'$   $\mathcal{B}'$ 
for  $\mathcal{V} :: ('a set)$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{V}' :: ('a set)$  and  $\mathcal{B}'$ 
begin

abbreviation combine-points  $\equiv \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{V}'$ 

notation combine-points  $(\mathcal{V}^+)$ 

abbreviation combine-blocks  $\equiv \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{B}'$ 

notation combine-blocks  $(\mathcal{B}^+)$ 

sublocale combine-sys: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}^+$   $\mathcal{B}^+$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma combine-points-index:  $\mathcal{B}^+ \text{ index } ps = \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps + \mathcal{B}' \text{ index } ps$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma combine-rep-number:  $\mathcal{B}^+ \text{ rep } x = \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x + \mathcal{B}' \text{ rep } x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma combine-multiple1:  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \implies \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}' \implies \mathcal{B}^+ = \text{sys1.multiple-blocks } 2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma combine-multiple2:  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \implies \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}' \implies \mathcal{B}^+ = \text{sys2.multiple-blocks } 2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma combine-multiplicity: combine-sys.multiplicity b = sys1.multiplicity b +
sys2.multiplicity b
⟨proof⟩

lemma combine-block-sizes: combine-sys.sys-block-sizes =
sys1.sys-block-sizes ∪ sys2.sys-block-sizes
⟨proof⟩

end

locale two-fin-set-systems = two-set-systems V B V' B' + sys1: finite-incidence-system
V B +
sys2: finite-incidence-system V' B' for V B V' B'
begin

sublocale combine-fin-sys: finite-incidence-system V+ B+
⟨proof⟩

lemma combine-order: card (V+) ≥ card V
⟨proof⟩

lemma combine-order-2: card (V+) ≥ card V'
⟨proof⟩

end

locale two-designs = two-fin-set-systems V B V' B' + des1: design V B +
des2: design V' B' for V B V' B'
begin

sublocale combine-des: design V+ B+
⟨proof⟩

end

locale two-designs-proper = two-designs +
assumes blocks-nempty: B ≠ {#} ∨ B' ≠ {#}
begin

lemma des1-is-proper: B ≠ {#} ⇒ proper-design V B
⟨proof⟩

lemma des2-is-proper: B' ≠ {#} ⇒ proper-design V' B'
⟨proof⟩

lemma min-one-proper-design: proper-design V B ∨ proper-design V' B'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

sublocale combine-proper-des: proper-design  $\mathcal{V}^+$   $\mathcal{B}^+$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
begin

end

```

4 Block and Balanced Designs

We define a selection of the many different types of block and balanced designs, building up to properties required for defining a BIBD, in addition to several base generalisations

```

theory Block-Designs imports Design-Operations
begin

```

4.1 Block Designs

A block design is a design where all blocks have the same size.

4.1.1 K Block Designs

An important generalisation of a typical block design is the \mathcal{K} block design, where all blocks must have a size x where $x \in \mathcal{K}$

```

locale K-block-design = proper-design +
  fixes sizes :: nat set ( $\mathcal{K}$ )
  assumes block-sizes:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \in \mathcal{K}$ 
  assumes positive-ints:  $x \in \mathcal{K} \implies x > 0$ 
begin

lemma sys-block-size-subset: sys-block-sizes  $\subseteq \mathcal{K}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

4.1.2 Uniform Block Design

The typical uniform block design is defined below

```

locale block-design = proper-design +
  fixes u-block-size :: nat (k)
  assumes uniform [simp]:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl = k$ 
begin

lemma k-non-zero:  $k \geq 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform-alt-def-all:  $\forall bl \in \# \mathcal{B}. \text{card } bl = k$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uniform-unfold-point-set:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } \{p \in \mathcal{V}. p \in bl\} = k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uniform-unfold-point-set-mset:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{size } \{\#\{p \in \# mset-set \mathcal{V}. p \in bl\}\} = k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sys-block-sizes-uniform [simp]:  $\text{sys-block-sizes} = \{k\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sys-block-sizes-uniform-single:  $\text{is-singleton } (\text{sys-block-sizes})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uniform-size-incomp:  $k \leq v - 1 \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } bl$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uniform-complement-block-size:
  assumes  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}^C$ 
  shows  $\text{card } bl = v - k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uniform-complement[intro]:
  assumes  $k \leq v - 1$ 
  shows  $\text{block-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C (v - k)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma block-size-lt-v:  $k \leq v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma (in proper-design) block-designI[intro]:  $(\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl = k) \implies \text{block-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context block-design
begin

lemma block-design-multiple:  $n > 0 \implies \text{block-design } \mathcal{V} (\text{multiple-blocks } n) k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

A uniform block design is clearly a type of K_block_design with a singleton K set

```

sublocale block-design  $\subseteq K\text{-block-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \{k\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

4.1.3 Incomplete Designs

An incomplete design is a design where $k < v$, i.e. no block is equal to the point set

```

locale incomplete-design = block-design +
  assumes incomplete: k < v

begin

lemma incomplete-imp-incomp-block: bl ∈# ℬ ⇒ incomplete-block bl
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma incomplete-imp-proper-subset: bl ∈# ℬ ⇒ bl ⊂ ℤ
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma (in block-design) incomplete-designI[intro]: k < v ⇒ incomplete-design
  ℤ ℬ k
  ⟨proof⟩

context incomplete-design
begin

lemma multiple-incomplete: n > 0 ⇒ incomplete-design ℤ (multiple-blocks n) k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-incomplete: incomplete-design ℤ (ℬC) (v - k)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4.2 Balanced Designs

t -wise balance is a design with the property that all point subsets of size t occur in λ_t blocks

```

locale t-wise-balance = proper-design +
  fixes grouping :: nat (t) and index :: nat ( $\Lambda_t$ )
  assumes t-non-zero: t ≥ 1
  assumes t-lt-order: t ≤ v
  assumes balanced [simp]: ps ⊆ ℤ ⇒ card ps = t ⇒ ℬ index ps =  $\Lambda_t$ 
begin

lemma t-non-zero-suc: t ≥ Suc 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma balanced-alt-def-all: ∀ ps ⊆ ℤ . card ps = t → ℬ index ps =  $\Lambda_t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

lemma (in proper-design) t-wise-balanceI[intro]: t ≤ v  $\implies$  t ≥ 1  $\implies$ 
  ( $\bigwedge ps . ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda_t$ )  $\implies$  t-wise-balance  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} t$ 
 $\Lambda_t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

context t-wise-balance
begin

lemma obtain-t-subset-points:
obtains T where T  $\subseteq \mathcal{V}$  card T = t finite T
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multiple-t-wise-balance-index [simp]:
assumes ps  $\subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
assumes card ps = t
shows (multiple-blocks n) index ps =  $\Lambda_t * n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multiple-t-wise-balance:
assumes n > 0
shows t-wise-balance  $\mathcal{V}$  (multiple-blocks n) t ( $\Lambda_t * n$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma twise-set-pair-index: ps  $\subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies ps2 \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies ps \neq ps2 \implies \text{card } ps = t$ 
 $\implies \text{card } ps2 = t$ 
 $\implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma t-wise-balance-alt: ps  $\subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = l2$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge ps . ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = l2)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma index-1-imp-mult-1 [simp]:
assumes  $\Lambda_t = 1$ 
assumes bl ∈#  $\mathcal{B}$ 
assumes card bl ≥ t
shows multiplicity bl = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4.2.1 Sub-types of t-wise balance

Pairwise balance is when $t = 2$. These are commonly of interest

```

locale pairwise-balance = t-wise-balance  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} 2 \Lambda$ 
  for point-set ( $\mathcal{V}$ ) and block-collection ( $\mathcal{B}$ ) and index ( $\Lambda$ )

```

We can combine the balance properties with K _block design to define

tBD's (t-wise balanced designs), and PBD's (pairwise balanced designs)

```

locale tBD = t-wise-balance + K-block-design +
assumes block-size-gt-t:  $k \in \mathcal{K} \implies k \geq t$ 

locale Λ-PBD = pairwise-balance + K-block-design +
assumes block-size-gt-t:  $k \in \mathcal{K} \implies k \geq 2$ 

sublocale Λ-PBD ⊆ tBD V B 2 Λ K
    ⟨proof⟩

locale PBD = Λ-PBD V B 1 K for point-set (V) and block-collection (B) and
    sizes (K)
begin
lemma multiplicity-is-1:
    assumes bl ∈# B
    shows multiplicity bl = 1
    ⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale PBD ⊆ simple-design
    ⟨proof⟩

```

PBD's are often only used in the case where k is uniform, defined here.

```

locale k-Λ-PBD = pairwise-balance + block-design +
assumes block-size-t:  $2 \leq k$ 

sublocale k-Λ-PBD ⊆ Λ-PBD V B Λ {k}
    ⟨proof⟩

locale k-PBD = k-Λ-PBD V B 1 k for point-set (V) and block-collection (B) and
    u-block-size (k)

sublocale k-PBD ⊆ PBD V B {k}
    ⟨proof⟩

```

4.2.2 Covering and Packing Designs

Covering and packing designs involve a looser balance restriction. Upper/lower bounds are placed on the points index, instead of a strict equality

A t-covering design is a relaxed version of a tBD, where, for all point subsets of size t , a lower bound is put on the points index

```

locale t-covering-design = block-design +
fixes grouping :: nat (t)
fixes min-index :: nat ( $\Lambda_t$ )
assumes covering: ps ⊆ V  $\implies$  card ps = t  $\implies$  B index ps ≥  $\Lambda_t$ 
assumes block-size-t: t ≤ k
assumes t-non-zero: t ≥ 1

```

```

begin

lemma covering-alt-def-all: ∀ ps ⊆ V . card ps = t → B index ps ≥ Λ_t
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in block-design) t-covering-designI [intro]: t ≤ k ⇒ t ≥ 1 ⇒
(Λ ps. ps ⊆ V ⇒ card ps = t ⇒ B index ps ≥ Λ_t) ⇒ t-covering-design V B
k t Λ_t
⟨proof⟩

A t-packing design is a relaxed version of a tBD, where, for all point
subsets of size t, an upper bound is put on the points index

locale t-packing-design = block-design +
fixes grouping :: nat (t)
fixes min-index :: nat (Λ_t)
assumes packing: ps ⊆ V ⇒ card ps = t ⇒ B index ps ≤ Λ_t
assumes block-size-t: t ≤ k
assumes t-non-zero: t ≥ 1
begin

lemma packing-alt-def-all: ∀ ps ⊆ V . card ps = t → B index ps ≤ Λ_t
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in block-design) t-packing-designI [intro]: t ≤ k ⇒ t ≥ 1 ⇒
(Λ ps . ps ⊆ V ⇒ card ps = t ⇒ B index ps ≤ Λ_t) ⇒ t-packing-design V B
k t Λ_t
⟨proof⟩

lemma packing-covering-imp-balance:
assumes t-packing-design V B k t Λ_t
assumes t-covering-design V B k t Λ_t
shows t-wise-balance V B t Λ_t
⟨proof⟩

```

4.3 Constant Replication Design

When the replication number for all points in a design is constant, it is the design replication number.

```

locale constant-rep-design = proper-design +
fixes design-rep-number :: nat (r)
assumes rep-number [simp]: x ∈ V ⇒ B rep x = r

begin

lemma rep-number-alt-def-all: ∀ x ∈ V . B rep x = r

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rep-number-unfold-set: $x \in \mathcal{V} \implies \text{size } \{\#bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \in bl\# \} = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rep-numbers-constant [simp]: replication-numbers = {r}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma replication-number-single: is-singleton (replication-numbers)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma constant-rep-point-pair: $x1 \in \mathcal{V} \implies x2 \in \mathcal{V} \implies x1 \neq x2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x1 = \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma constant-rep-alt: $x1 \in \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x1 = r2 \implies (\bigwedge x . x \in \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = r2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma constant-rep-point-not-0:
 assumes $x \in \mathcal{V}$
 shows $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rep-not-zero: $r \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma r-gzero: $r > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma r-lt-eq-b: $r \leq b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma complement-rep-number:
 assumes $\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{incomplete-block } bl$
 shows constant-rep-design $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}^C (b - r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma multiple-rep-number:
 assumes $n > 0$
 shows constant-rep-design $\mathcal{V} (\text{multiple-blocks } n) (r * n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

lemma (in proper-design) constant-rep-designI [intro]: $(\bigwedge x . x \in \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = r) \implies \text{constant-rep-design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.4 T-designs

All the before mentioned designs build up to the concept of a t-design, which has uniform block size and is t-wise balanced. We limit t to be less than k , so the balance condition has relevance

```

locale t-design = incomplete-design + t-wise-balance +
  assumes block-size-t: t ≤ k
begin

lemma point-indices-balanced: point-indices t = {Λt}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma point-indices-singleton: is-singleton (point-indices t)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma t-designI [intro]:
  assumes incomplete-design V B k
  assumes t-wise-balance V B t Λt
  assumes t ≤ k
  shows t-design V B k t Λt
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale t-design ⊆ t-covering-design V B k t Λt
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale t-design ⊆ t-packing-design V B k t Λt
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma t-design-pack-cov [intro]:
  assumes k < card V
  assumes t-covering-design V B k t Λt
  assumes t-packing-design V B k t Λt
  shows t-design V B k t Λt
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale t-design ⊆ tBD V B t Λt {k}
  ⟨proof⟩

context t-design
begin

lemma multiple-t-design: n > 0 ⇒ t-design V (multiple-blocks n) k t (Λt * n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma t-design-min-v: v > 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

4.5 Steiner Systems

Steiner systems are a special type of t-design where $\Lambda_t = 1$

```
locale steiner-system = t-design  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$  k t 1
  for point-set ( $\mathcal{V}$ ) and block-collection ( $\mathcal{B}$ ) and u-block-size (k) and grouping (t)
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma block-multiplicity [simp]:
  assumes bl  $\in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows multiplicity bl = 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
sublocale steiner-system  $\subseteq$  simple-design
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in t-design) steiner-systemI[intro]:  $\Lambda_t = 1 \implies$  steiner-system  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$  k t
  ⟨proof⟩
```

4.6 Combining block designs

We define some closure properties for various block designs under the combine operator. This is done using locales to reason on multiple instances of the same type of design, building on what was presented in the design operations theory

```
locale two-t-wise-eq-points = two-designs-proper  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$  + des1: t-wise-balance
 $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$  t  $\Lambda_t$  +
  des2: t-wise-balance  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}'$  t  $\Lambda_t'$  for  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$  t  $\Lambda_t$   $\mathcal{B}' \Lambda_t'$ 
begin
```

```
lemma combine-t-wise-balance-index:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = t \implies \mathcal{B}^+$  index  $ps = (\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma combine-t-wise-balance: t-wise-balance  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$  t  $(\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
sublocale combine-t-wise-des: t-wise-balance  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$  t  $(\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
locale two-k-block-designs = two-designs-proper  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$  + des1: block-design  $\mathcal{V}$ 
 $\mathcal{B}$  k +
```

```

des2: block-design  $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' k$  for  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$ 
begin

lemma block-design-combine: block-design  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k$ 
⟨proof⟩

sublocale combine-block-des: block-design  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale two-rep-designs-eq-points = two-designs-proper  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' + des1:$  constant-rep-design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} r +$ 
des2: constant-rep-design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}' r'$  for  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} r \mathcal{B}' r'$ 
begin

lemma combine-rep-number: constant-rep-design  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ (r + r')$ 
⟨proof⟩

sublocale combine-const-rep: constant-rep-design  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ (r + r')$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale two-incomplete-designs = two-k-block-designs  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' + des1:$  incomplete-design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k +$ 
des2: incomplete-design  $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' k$  for  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$ 
begin

lemma combine-is-incomplete: incomplete-design  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k$ 
⟨proof⟩

sublocale combine-incomplete: incomplete-design  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

locale two-t-designs-eq-points = two-incomplete-designs  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}' +$ 
two-t-wise-eq-points  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} t \Lambda_t \mathcal{B}' \Lambda_t' + des1:$  t-design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k t \Lambda_t +$ 
des2: t-design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}' k t \Lambda_t'$  for  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{B}' t \Lambda_t \Lambda_t'$ 
begin

lemma combine-is-t-des: t-design  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k t (\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$ 
⟨proof⟩

sublocale combine-t-des: t-design  $\mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+ k t (\Lambda_t + \Lambda_t')$ 
⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

```
theory BIBD imports Block-Designs
begin
```

5 BIBD's

BIBD's are perhaps the most commonly studied type of design in combinatorial design theory, and usually the first type of design explored in a design theory course. These designs are a type of t-design, where $t = 2$

5.1 BIBD Basics

```
locale bibd = t-design V B k 2 Λ
  for point-set (V) and block-collection (B)
  and u-block-size (k) and index (Λ)

begin

lemma min-block-size-2: k ≥ 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma points-index-pair: y ∈ V ⇒ x ∈ V ⇒ x ≠ y ⇒ size ({# bl ∈# B .
  {x, y} ⊆ bl#}) = Λ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma index-one-empty-rm-blv [simp]:
  assumes Λ = 1 and blv ∈# B and p ⊆ blv and card p = 2
  shows {#bl ∈# remove1-mset blv B . p ⊆ bl#} = {#}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma index-one-alt-bl-not-exist:
  assumes Λ = 1 and blv ∈# B and p ⊆ blv and card p = 2
  shows ⋀ bl. bl ∈# remove1-mset blv B ⇒ ¬(p ⊆ bl)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

5.2 Necessary Conditions for Existence

The necessary conditions on the existence of a (v, k, λ) -bibd are one of the fundamental first theorems on designs. Proofs based off MATH3301 lecture notes [4] and Stinson [6]

```
lemma necess-cond-1-rhs:
  assumes x ∈ V
  shows size ({# p ∈# (mset-set (V - {x}) ×# {# bl ∈# B . x ∈ bl #}). fst p
  ∈ snd p#}) = Λ * (v - 1)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma necess-cond-1-lhs:
  assumes  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
  shows  $\text{size}(\{\# p \in \# (\text{mset-set } (\mathcal{V} - \{x\}) \times \# \{\# bl \in \# \mathcal{B} . x \in bl \#\}). \text{fst } p \in \text{snd } p\#\})$   

 $= (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) * (k - 1)$   

 $(\mathbf{is} \text{ size}(\{\# p \in \# (?M \times \# ?B). \text{fst } p \in \text{snd } p\#\}) = (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) * (k - 1))$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma r-constant:  $x \in \mathcal{V} \implies (\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) * (k - 1) = \Lambda * (v - 1)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *replication-number-value*:

```

  assumes  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
  shows  $(\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x) = \Lambda * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k - 1)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma r-constant-alt:  $\forall x \in \mathcal{V}. \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x = \Lambda * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k - 1)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

Using the first necessary condition, it is possible to show that a bibd has a constant replication number

```

sublocale bibd  $\subseteq$  constant-rep-design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} (\Lambda * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k - 1))$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in t-design) bibdI [intro]:  $t = 2 \implies \text{bibd } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \Lambda_t$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

context bibd
begin

```

abbreviation $r \equiv (\Lambda * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k - 1))$

```

lemma necessary-condition-one:
  shows  $r * (k - 1) = \Lambda * (v - 1)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma bibd-point-occ-rep:
  assumes  $x \in bl$ 
  assumes  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $(\mathcal{B} - \{\# bl\#}) \text{ rep } x = r - 1$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma necess-cond-2-lhs:  $\text{size}(\{\# x \in \# (\text{mset-set } \mathcal{V} \times \# \mathcal{B}) . (\text{fst } x) \in (\text{snd } x)\#}) = v * r$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma necess-cond-2-rhs:  $\text{size}(\{\# x \in \# (\text{mset-set } \mathcal{V} \times \# \mathcal{B}) . (\text{fst } x) \in (\text{snd } x)\})$ 

```

```
#} = b*k
(is size {# x ∈ # (?M × # ?B). (fst x) ∈ (snd x)} #} = b*k)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *necessary-condition-two*:
shows $v * r = b * k$
⟨proof⟩

theorem *admissability-conditions*:
 $r * (k - 1) = \Lambda * (v - 1)$
 $v * r = b * k$
⟨proof⟩

5.2.1 BIBD Param Relationships

lemma *bibd-block-number*: $b = \Lambda * v * (v - 1) \text{ div } (k * (k-1))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *symmetric-condition-1*: $\Lambda * (v - 1) = k * (k - 1) \implies b = v \wedge r = k$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *index-lt-replication*: $\Lambda < r$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *index-not-zero*: $\Lambda \geq 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *r-ge-two*: $r \geq 2$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *block-num-gtrep*: $b > r$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *bibd-subset-occ*:
assumes $x \subseteq bl$ **and** $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $\text{card } x = 2$
shows $\text{size } \{# blk \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{# bl\}). x \subseteq blk \#} = \Lambda - 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *necess-cond-one-param-balance*: $b > v \implies r > k$
⟨proof⟩

5.3 Constructing New bibd's

There are many constructions on bibd's to establish new bibds (or other types of designs). This section demonstrates this using both existing constructions, and by defining new constructions.

5.3.1 BIBD Complement, Multiple, Combine

```

lemma comp-params-index-pair:
  assumes {x, y} ⊆ V
  assumes x ≠ y
  shows BC index {x, y} = b + Λ - 2*r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-bibd-index:
  assumes ps ⊆ V
  assumes card ps = 2
  shows BC index ps = b + Λ - 2*r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-bibd:
  assumes k ≤ v - 2
  shows bibd V BC (v - k) (b + Λ - 2*r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multiple-bibd: n > 0 ==> bibd V (multiple-blocks n) k (Λ * n)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale two-bibd-eq-points = two-t-designs-eq-points V B k B' 2 Λ Λ'
  + des1: bibd V B k Λ + des2: bibd V B' k Λ' for V B k B' Λ Λ'
begin

lemma combine-is-bibd: bibd V+ B+ k (Λ + Λ')
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale combine-bibd: bibd V+ B+ k (Λ + Λ')
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

5.3.2 Derived Designs

A derived bibd takes a block from a valid bibd as the new point sets, and the intersection of that block with other blocks as it's block set

```

locale bibd-block-transformations = bibd +
  fixes block :: 'a set (bl)
  assumes valid-block: bl ∈# B
begin

definition derived-blocks :: 'a set multiset ((BD)) where
  BD ≡ {# bl ∩ b . b ∈# (B - {#bl#}) #}

lemma derive-define-flip: {# b ∩ bl . b ∈# (B - {#bl#}) #} = BD

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma derived-points-order: card bl = k
⟨proof⟩

lemma derived-block-num: bl ∈# ℬ ⇒ size ℬD = b - 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma derived-is-wellformed: b ∈# ℬD ⇒ b ⊆ bl
⟨proof⟩

lemma derived-point-subset-orig: ps ⊆ bl ⇒ ps ⊂ ℤ
⟨proof⟩

lemma derived-obtain-orig-block:
assumes b ∈# ℬD
obtains b2 where b = b2 ∩ bl and b2 ∈# remove1-mset bl ℬ
⟨proof⟩

sublocale derived-incidence-sys: incidence-system bl ℬD
⟨proof⟩

sublocale derived-fin-incidence-system: finite-incidence-system bl ℬD
⟨proof⟩

lemma derived-blocks-nempty:
assumes ⋀ b. b ∈# remove1-mset bl ℬ ⇒ bl |∩| b > 0
assumes bld ∈# ℬD
shows bld ≠ {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma derived-is-design:
assumes ⋀ b. b ∈# remove1-mset bl ℬ ⇒ bl |∩| b > 0
shows design bl ℬD
⟨proof⟩

lemma derived-is-proper:
assumes ⋀ b. b ∈# remove1-mset bl ℬ ⇒ bl |∩| b > 0
shows proper-design bl ℬD
⟨proof⟩

```

5.3.3 Residual Designs

Similar to derived designs, a residual design takes the complement of a block bl as its new point set, and the complement of all other blocks with respect to bl.

```

definition residual-blocks :: 'a set multiset ((ℬR)) where
ℬR ≡ {# b - bl . b ∈# (ℬ - {#bl#}) #}

```

```

lemma residual-order: card ( $bl^c$ ) = v - k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma residual-block-num: size ( $\mathcal{B}^R$ ) = b - 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma residual-obtain-orig-block:
  assumes  $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R$ 
  obtains  $bl2$  where  $b = bl2 - bl$  and  $bl2 \in \# remove1-mset bl \mathcal{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma residual-blocks-ss: assumes  $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R$  shows  $b \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma residual-blocks-exclude:  $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R \implies x \in b \implies x \notin bl$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma residual-is-wellformed:  $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R \implies b \subseteq (bl^c)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale residual-incidence-sys: incidence-system  $bl^c \mathcal{B}^R$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma residual-is-finite: finite ( $bl^c$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale residual-fin-incidence-sys: finite-incidence-system  $bl^c \mathcal{B}^R$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma residual-blocks-nempty:
  assumes  $bld \in \# \mathcal{B}^R$ 
  assumes multiplicity  $bl = 1$ 
  shows  $bld \neq \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma residual-is-design: multiplicity  $bl = 1 \implies design (bl^c) \mathcal{B}^R$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma residual-is-proper:
  assumes multiplicity  $bl = 1$ 
  shows proper-design ( $bl^c$ )  $\mathcal{B}^R$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

5.4 Symmetric BIBD's

Symmetric bibd's are those where the order of the design equals the number of blocks

```

locale symmetric-bibd = bibd +
  assumes symmetric: b = v
begin

lemma rep-value-sym: r = k
  {proof}

lemma symmetric-condition-2:  $\Lambda * (v - 1) = k * (k - 1)$ 
  {proof}

lemma sym-design-vk-gt-kl:
  assumes k ≥  $\Lambda + 2$ 
  shows v - k > k -  $\Lambda$ 
{proof}

end

context bibd
begin

lemma symmetric-bibdI: b = v  $\implies$  symmetric-bibd  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \Lambda$ 
{proof}

lemma symmetric-bibdII:  $\Lambda * (v - 1) = k * (k - 1) \implies$  symmetric-bibd  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \Lambda$ 
{proof}

lemma symmetric-not-admissible:  $\Lambda * (v - 1) \neq k * (k - 1) \implies \neg$  symmetric-bibd
 $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \Lambda$ 
{proof}
end

context symmetric-bibd
begin

```

5.4.1 Intersection Property on Symmetric BIBDs

Below is a proof of an important property on symmetric BIBD's regarding the equivalence of intersection numbers and the design index. This is an intuitive counting proof, and involved significantly more work in a formal environment. Based of Lecture Note [4]

```

lemma intersect-mult-set-eq-block:
  assumes blv ∈ #  $\mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $p \in \# \sum_{\#} \{ \# mset-set (bl \cap blv) . bl \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\# blv\}) \# \} \longleftrightarrow p \in blv$ 
{proof}

lemma intersect-mult-set-block-subset-iff:
  assumes blv ∈ #  $\mathcal{B}$ 
  assumes  $p \in \# \sum_{\#} \{ \# mset-set \{y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge card y = 2\} . b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{\# blv\}) \# \}$ 

```

shows $p \subseteq blv$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma intersect-mult-set-block-subset-card:

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $p \in \# \sum_{\#} \{ \# mset-set \{ y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge card y = 2 \} . b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}$
shows $card p = 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma intersect-mult-set-block-with-point-exists:

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $p \subseteq blv$ **and** $\Lambda \geq 2$ **and** $card p = 2$
shows $\exists x \in \# remove1-mset blv \mathcal{B}. p \in \# mset-set \{ y . y \subseteq blv \wedge y \subseteq x \wedge card y = 2 \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma intersect-mult-set-block-subset-iff-2:

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$ **and** $p \subseteq blv$ **and** $\Lambda \geq 2$ **and** $card p = 2$
shows $p \in \# \sum_{\#} \{ \# mset-set \{ y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge card y = 2 \} . b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sym-sum-mset-inter-sets-count:

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $p \in blv$
shows $count (\sum_{\#} \{ \# mset-set (bl \cap blv) . bl \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}) p = r - 1$
(is $count (\sum_{\#} ?M) p = r - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sym-sum-mset-inter-sets-size:

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $size (\sum_{\#} \{ \# mset-set (bl \cap blv) . bl \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}) = k * (r - 1)$
(is $size (\sum_{\#} ?M) = k * (r - 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sym-sum-inter-num:

assumes $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$
shows $(\sum b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# b1 \# \}). b1 \cap b2) = k * (r - 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sym-sum-mset-inter2-sets-count:

assumes $blv \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $p \subseteq blv$
assumes $card p = 2$
shows $count (\sum_{\#} \{ \# mset-set \{ y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge card y = 2 \} . b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{ \# blv \# \}) \# \}) p = \Lambda - 1$
(is $count (\sum_{\#} ?M) p = \Lambda - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sym-sum-mset-inter2-sets-size:
  assumes blv  $\in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows size  $(\sum \#\{\#mset-set \{y . y \subseteq blv \cap b2 \wedge card y = 2\}. b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{#blv\})\}) =$ 
     $(k \ choose 2) * (\Lambda - 1)$ 
    (is size  $(\sum \# ?M) = (k \ choose 2) * (\Lambda - 1)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sum-choose-two-inter-num:
  assumes b1  $\in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $(\sum b2 \in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{#b1\}). ((b1 | \cap| b2) \ choose 2)) = ((\Lambda * (\Lambda - 1) \ div 2) * (v - 1))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sym-sum-inter-num-sq:
  assumes b1  $\in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $(\sum bl \in \# (remove1-mset b1 \mathcal{B}). (b1 | \cap| bl) \hat{\wedge} 2) = \Lambda \hat{\wedge} 2 * (v - 1)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sym-sum-inter-num-to-zero:
  assumes b1  $\in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $(\sum bl \in \# (remove1-mset b1 \mathcal{B}). (int (b1 | \cap| bl) - (int \Lambda)) \hat{\wedge} 2) = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem sym-block-intersections-index [simp]:
  assumes b1  $\in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  assumes b2  $\in \# (\mathcal{B} - \{#b1\})$ 
  shows  $b1 | \cap| b2 = \Lambda$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.4.2 Symmetric BIBD is Simple

```

lemma sym-block-mult-one [simp]:
  assumes bl  $\in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows multiplicity bl = 1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

```

sublocale symmetric-bibd  $\subseteq$  simple-design
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.4.3 Residual/Derived Sym BIBD Constructions

Using the intersect result, we can reason further on residual and derived designs. Proofs based off lecture notes [4]

```

locale symmetric-bibd-block-transformations = symmetric-bibd + bibd-block-transformations
begin

```

```

lemma derived-block-size [simp]:
  assumes  $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^D$ 
  shows  $\text{card } b = \Lambda$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma derived-points-index [simp]:
  assumes  $ps \subseteq bl$ 
  assumes  $\text{card } ps = 2$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{B}^D \text{ index } ps = \Lambda - 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sym-derive-design-bibd:
  assumes  $\Lambda > 1$ 
  shows  $\text{bibd } bl \mathcal{B}^D \Lambda (\Lambda - 1)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma residual-block-size [simp]:
  assumes  $b \in \# \mathcal{B}^R$ 
  shows  $\text{card } b = k - \Lambda$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma residual-index [simp]:
  assumes  $ps \subseteq bl^c$ 
  assumes  $\text{card } ps = 2$ 
  shows  $(\mathcal{B}^R) \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sym-residual-design-bibd:
  assumes  $k \geq \Lambda + 2$ 
  shows  $\text{bibd } (bl^c) \mathcal{B}^R (k - \Lambda) \Lambda$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

5.5 BIBD's and Other Block Designs

BIBD's are closely related to other block designs by indirect inheritance

```

sublocale bibd  $\subseteq k\text{-}\Lambda\text{-PBD } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \Lambda k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma incomplete-PBD-is-bibd:
  assumes  $k < \text{card } V$  and  $k\text{-}\Lambda\text{-PBD } V B \Lambda k$ 
  shows  $\text{bibd } V B k \Lambda$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in bibd) bibd-to-pbdI[intro]:
  assumes  $\Lambda = 1$ 
  shows  $k\text{-PBD } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

locale incomplete-PBD = incomplete-design + k-Λ-PBD

sublocale incomplete-PBD ⊆ bibd
⟨proof⟩

end

```

6 Resolvable Designs

Resolvable designs have further structure, and can be "resolved" into a set of resolution classes. A resolution class is a subset of blocks which exactly partitions the point set. Definitions based off the handbook [3] and Stinson [6]. This theory includes a proof of an alternate statement of Bose's theorem

```

theory Resolvable-Designs imports BIBD
begin

```

6.1 Resolutions and Resolution Classes

A resolution class is a partition of the point set using a set of blocks from the design A resolution is a group of resolution classes partitioning the block collection

```

context incidence-system
begin

```

```

definition resolution-class :: 'a set set ⇒ bool where
resolution-class S ←→ partition-on V S ∧ (∀ bl ∈ S . bl ∈# B)

```

```

lemma resolution-classI [intro]: partition-on V S ⇒ (∀ bl . bl ∈ S ⇒ bl ∈# B)
⇒ resolution-class S
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma resolution-classD1: resolution-class S ⇒ partition-on V S
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma resolution-classD2: resolution-class S ⇒ bl ∈ S ⇒ bl ∈# B
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma resolution-class-empty-iff: resolution-class {} ←→ V = {}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma resolution-class-complete: V ≠ {} ⇒ V ∈# B ⇒ resolution-class {V}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma resolution-class-union: resolution-class S ⇒ ∪ S = V

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in finite-incidence-system) resolution-class-finite: resolution-class S ==>
finite S
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in design) resolution-class-sum-card: resolution-class S ==> (∑ bl ∈ S .
card bl) = v
⟨proof⟩

definition resolution:: 'a set multiset multiset ⇒ bool where
resolution P ↔ partition-on-mset B P ∧ (∀ S ∈# P . distinct-mset S ∧ resolution-class (set-mset S))

lemma resolutionI : partition-on-mset B P ==> (∏ S . S ∈# P ==> distinct-mset S) ==>
(∏ S . S ∈# P ==> resolution-class (set-mset S)) ==> resolution P
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in proper-design) resolution-blocks: distinct-mset B ==> disjoint (set-mset B) ==>
∪(set-mset B) = V ==> resolution {#B#}
⟨proof⟩

end

```

6.2 Resolvable Design Locale

A resolvable design is one with a resolution P

```

locale resolvable-design = design +
  fixes partition :: 'a set multiset multiset (P)
  assumes resolvable: resolution P
begin

lemma resolutionD1: partition-on-mset B P
⟨proof⟩

lemma resolutionD2: S ∈# P ==> distinct-mset S
⟨proof⟩

lemma resolutionD3: S ∈# P ==> resolution-class (set-mset S)
⟨proof⟩

lemma resolution-class-blocks-disjoint: S ∈# P ==> disjoint (set-mset S)
⟨proof⟩

lemma resolution-not-empty: B ≠ {#} ==> P ≠ {#}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma resolution-blocks-subset:  $S \in \# \mathcal{P} \implies S \subseteq \# \mathcal{B}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma (in incidence-system) resolvable-designI [intro]: resolution  $\mathcal{P} \implies$  design  $\mathcal{V}$ 
 $\mathcal{B} \implies$ 
  resolvable-design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{P}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

6.3 Resolvable Block Designs

An RBIBD is a resolvable BIBD - a common subclass of interest for block designs

```

locale r-block-design = resolvable-design + block-design
begin
lemma resolution-class-blocks-constant-size:  $S \in \# \mathcal{P} \implies bl \in \# S \implies \text{card } bl = k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma resolution-class-size1:
  assumes  $S \in \# \mathcal{P}$ 
  shows  $v = k * \text{size } S$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma resolution-class-size2:
  assumes  $S \in \# \mathcal{P}$ 
  shows  $\text{size } S = v \text{ div } k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma resolvable-necessary-cond-v:  $k \text{ dvd } v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

```

locale rbibd = r-block-design + bibd

```

```

begin

```

```

lemma resolvable-design-num-res-classes:  $\text{size } \mathcal{P} = r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma resolvable-necessary-cond-b:  $r \text{ dvd } b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

6.3.1 Bose's Inequality

Boses inequality is an important theorem on RBIBD's. This is a proof of an alternate statement of the thm, which does not require a linear algebraic

approach, taken directly from Stinson [6]

```
theorem bose-inequality-alternate:  $b \geq v + r - 1 \longleftrightarrow r \geq k + \Lambda$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
end
end
```

7 Group Divisible Designs

Definitions in this section taken from the handbook [3] and Stinson [6]

```
theory Group-Divisible-Designs imports Resolvable-Designs
begin
```

7.1 Group design

We define a group design to have an additional parameter G which is a partition on the point set V . This is not defined in the handbook, but is a precursor to GDD's without index constraints

```
locale group-design = proper-design +
  fixes groups :: 'a set set ( $\mathcal{G}$ )
  assumes group-partitions: partition-on  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{G}$ 
  assumes groups-size: card  $\mathcal{G} > 1$ 
begin

lemma groups-not-empty:  $\mathcal{G} \neq \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma num-groups-lt-points: card  $\mathcal{G} \leq v$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma groups-disjoint: disjoint  $\mathcal{G}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma groups-disjoint-pairwise:  $G1 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G2 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G1 \neq G2 \implies \text{disjnt } G1$ 
 $G2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma point-in-one-group:  $x \in G1 \implies G1 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G2 \in \mathcal{G} \implies G1 \neq G2 \implies x$ 
 $\notin G2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma point-has-unique-group:  $x \in \mathcal{V} \implies \exists !G. x \in G \wedge G \in \mathcal{G}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-number-point-group-one:
  assumes  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
  shows card { $g \in \mathcal{G} . x \in g\} = 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma point-in-group:  $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies x \in G \implies x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma point-subset-in-group:  $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies ps \subseteq G \implies ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma group-subset-point-subset:  $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies G' \subseteq G \implies ps \subseteq G' \implies ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma groups-finite: finite  $\mathcal{G}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma group-elements-finite:  $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{finite } G$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma v-equals-sum-group-sizes:  $v = (\sum G \in \mathcal{G}. \text{card } G)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gdd-min-v:  $v \geq 2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-group-size:  $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } G \geq 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma group-size-lt-v:
  assumes  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ 
  shows  $\text{card } G < v$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

7.1.1 Group Type

GDD's have a "type", which is defined by a sequence of group sizes g_i , and the number of groups of that size a_i : $g_1^{a_1} g_2^{a_2} \dots g_n^{a_n}$

```

definition group-sizes :: nat set where
  group-sizes ≡ {card G | G . G ∈ ℐ}

definition groups-of-size :: nat ⇒ nat where
  groups-of-size g ≡ card { G ∈ ℐ . card G = g }

definition group-type :: (nat × nat) set where
  group-type ≡ {(g, groups-of-size g) | g . g ∈ group-sizes }

lemma group-sizes-min:  $x \in \text{group-sizes} \implies x \geq 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma group-sizes-max:  $x \in \text{group-sizes} \implies x < v$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *group-size-implies-group-existance*: $x \in \text{group-sizes} \implies \exists G. G \in \mathcal{G} \wedge \text{card } G = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *groups-of-size-zero*: $\text{groups-of-size } 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *groups-of-size-max*:
assumes $g \geq v$
shows *groups-of-size* $g = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *group-type-contained-sizes*: $(g, a) \in \text{group-type} \implies g \in \text{group-sizes}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *group-type-contained-count*: $(g, a) \in \text{group-type} \implies \text{card } \{G \in \mathcal{G} . \text{card } G = g\} = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *group-card-in-sizes*: $g \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } g \in \text{group-sizes}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *group-card-non-zero-groups-of-size-min*:
assumes $g \in \mathcal{G}$
assumes $\text{card } g = a$
shows *groups-of-size* $a \geq 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *elem-in-group-sizes-min-of-size*:
assumes $a \in \text{group-sizes}$
shows *groups-of-size* $a \geq 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *group-card-non-zero-groups-of-size-max*:
shows *groups-of-size* $a \leq v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *group-card-in-type*: $g \in \mathcal{G} \implies \exists x . (\text{card } g, x) \in \text{group-type} \wedge x \geq 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *partition-groups-on-size*: *partition-on* $\mathcal{G} \{ \{ G \in \mathcal{G} . \text{card } G = g \} \mid g . g \in \text{group-sizes} \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *group-size-partition-covers-points*: $\bigcup (\bigcup \{ \{ G \in \mathcal{G} . \text{card } G = g \} \mid g . g \in \text{group-sizes} \}) = \mathcal{V}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *groups-of-size-alt-def-count*: $\text{groups-of-size } g = \text{count } \{ \# \text{ card } G . G \in \#$

```

mset-set  $\mathcal{G}$  #} g
⟨proof⟩

lemma v-sum-type-rep: v = ( $\sum_{g \in \text{group-sizes}} g * (\text{groups-of-size } g)$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.1.2 Uniform Group designs

A group design requiring all groups are the same size

```

locale uniform-group-design = group-design +
  fixes u-group-size :: nat (m)
  assumes uniform-groups:  $G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } G = m$ 

begin

lemma m-positive:  $m \geq 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform-groups-alt:  $\forall G \in \mathcal{G}. \text{card } G = m$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform-groups-group-sizes:  $\text{group-sizes} = \{m\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform-groups-group-size-singleton:  $\text{is-singleton } (\text{group-sizes})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-filter-eq-P-forall:  $\forall x \in X. P x \implies \text{Set.filter } P X = X$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform-groups-groups-of-size-m:  $\text{groups-of-size } m = \text{card } \mathcal{G}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform-groups-of-size-not-m:  $x \neq m \implies \text{groups-of-size } x = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.2 GDD

A GDD extends a group design with an additional index parameter. Each pair of elements must occur either Λ times if in diff groups, or 0 times if in the same group

```

locale GDD = group-design +
  fixes index :: int ( $\Lambda$ )
  assumes index-ge-1:  $\Lambda \geq 1$ 

```

```

assumes index-together:  $G \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow x \in G \Rightarrow y \in G \Rightarrow x \neq y \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ index } \{x, y\} = 0$ 
assumes index-distinct:  $G1 \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow G2 \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow G1 \neq G2 \Rightarrow x \in G1 \Rightarrow y \in G2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ index } \{x, y\} = \Lambda$ 
begin

lemma points-sep-groups-ne:  $G1 \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow G2 \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow G1 \neq G2 \Rightarrow x \in G1 \Rightarrow y \in G2 \Rightarrow x \neq y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-together-alt-ss:  $ps \subseteq G \Rightarrow G \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \text{card } ps = 2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-distinct-alt-ss:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow \text{card } ps = 2 \Rightarrow (\bigwedge G . G \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \neg ps \subseteq G) \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma gdd-index-options:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow \text{card } ps = 2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0 \vee \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-zero-implies-same-group:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow \text{card } ps = 2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0 \Rightarrow \exists G \in \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq G$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-zero-implies-same-group-unique:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow \text{card } ps = 2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0 \Rightarrow \exists! G \in \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq G$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-not-zero-impl-diff-group:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow \text{card } ps = 2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda \Rightarrow (\bigwedge G . G \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \neg ps \subseteq G)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-zero-implies-one-group:
assumes  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
and  $\text{card } ps = 2$ 
and  $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0$ 
shows  $\text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\#} = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma index-distinct-group-num-alt-def:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow \text{card } ps = 2 \Rightarrow \text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\#} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma index-non-zero-implies-no-group:
  assumes  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
  and  $\text{card } ps = 2$ 
  and  $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda$ 
  shows  $\text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\# \} = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gdd-index-non-zero-iff:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies$ 
   $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \Lambda \longleftrightarrow \text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\# \} = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gdd-index-zero-iff:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies$ 
   $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{size } \{\#b \in \# \text{ mset-set } \mathcal{G} . ps \subseteq b\# \} = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma points-index-upper-bound:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps \leq \Lambda$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma index-1-imp-mult-1:
  assumes  $\Lambda = 1$ 
  assumes  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  assumes  $\text{card } bl \geq 2$ 
  shows  $\text{multiplicity } bl = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma simple-if-block-size-gt-2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge bl . \text{card } bl \geq 2$ 
  assumes  $\Lambda = 1$ 
  shows simple-design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

7.2.1 Sub types of GDD's

In literature, a GDD is usually defined in a number of different ways, including factors such as block size limitations

```

locale K- $\Lambda$ -GDD = K-block-design + GDD

locale k- $\Lambda$ -GDD = block-design + GDD

sublocale k- $\Lambda$ -GDD  $\subseteq$  K- $\Lambda$ -GDD  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \{k\} \mathcal{G} \Lambda$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale K-GDD = K- $\Lambda$ -GDD  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{G} 1$ 
  for point-set ( $\mathcal{V}$ ) and block-collection ( $\mathcal{B}$ ) and sizes ( $\mathcal{K}$ ) and groups ( $\mathcal{G}$ )

locale k-GDD = k- $\Lambda$ -GDD  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} k \mathcal{G} 1$ 
  for point-set ( $\mathcal{V}$ ) and block-collection ( $\mathcal{B}$ ) and u-block-size ( $k$ ) and groups ( $\mathcal{G}$ )

```

```

sublocale  $k\text{-GDD} \subseteq K\text{-GDD}$   $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \{k\} \mathcal{G}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in  $K\text{-GDD}$ ) multiplicity-1:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \geq 2 \implies \text{multiplicity } bl = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale  $RGDD = GDD + \text{resolvable-design}$ 

```

7.3 GDD and PBD Constructions

GDD's are commonly studied alongside PBD's (pairwise balanced designs). Many constructions have been developed for designs to create a GDD from a PBD and vice versa. In particular, Wilsons Construction is a well known construction, which is formalised in this section. It should be noted that many of the more basic constructions in this section are often stated without proof/all the necessary assumptions in textbooks/course notes.

```

context  $GDD$ 
begin

```

7.3.1 GDD Delete Point construction

```

lemma delete-point-index-zero:
  assumes  $G \in \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$ 
  and  $y \in G$  and  $z \in G$  and  $z \neq y$ 
  shows (del-point-blocks  $x$ ) index  $\{y, z\} = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma delete-point-index:
  assumes  $G1 \in \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$ 
  assumes  $G2 \in \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$ 
  assumes  $G1 \neq G2$  and  $y \in G1$  and  $z \in G2$ 
  shows del-point-blocks  $x$  index  $\{y, z\} = \Lambda$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma delete-point-group-size:
  assumes  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } \mathcal{G} > 2$ 
  shows  $1 < \text{card } \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma GDD-by-deleting-point:
  assumes  $\bigwedge bl. bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies x \in bl \implies 2 \leq \text{card } bl$ 
  assumes  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } \mathcal{G} > 2$ 
  shows  $GDD (\text{del-point } x) (\text{del-point-blocks } x) \{g - \{x\} \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G} \wedge g \neq \{x\}\} \wedge$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

context *K-GDD begin*

7.3.2 PBD construction from GDD

Two well known PBD constructions involve taking a GDD and either combining the groups and blocks to form a new block collection, or by adjoining a point

First prove that combining the groups and block set results in a constant index

```
lemma kgdd1-points-index-group-block:
  assumes  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
  and  $\text{card } ps = 2$ 
  shows  $(\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \mathcal{G}) \text{ index } ps = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

Combining blocks and the group set forms a PBD

```
lemma combine-block-groups-pairwise: pairwise-balance  $\mathcal{V} (\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \mathcal{G}) 1$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma combine-block-groups-PBD:
  assumes  $\bigwedge G. G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } G \in \mathcal{K}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge k. k \in \mathcal{K} \implies k \geq 2$ 
  shows  $\text{PBD } \mathcal{V} (\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \mathcal{G}) \mathcal{K}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

Prove adjoining a point to each group set results in a constant points index

```
lemma kgdd1-index-adjoin-group-block:
  assumes  $x \notin \mathcal{V}$ 
  assumes  $ps \subseteq \text{insert } x \mathcal{V}$ 
  assumes  $\text{card } ps = 2$ 
  shows  $(\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \{\text{insert } x g \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G}\}) \text{ index } ps = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pairwise-by-adjoining-point:
  assumes  $x \notin \mathcal{V}$ 
  shows  $\text{pairwise-balance } (\text{add-point } x) (\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \{ \text{insert } x g \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G}\}) 1$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma PBD-by-adjoining-point:
  assumes  $x \notin \mathcal{V}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge k. k \in \mathcal{K} \implies k \geq 2$ 
  shows  $\text{PBD } (\text{add-point } x) (\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \{ \text{insert } x g \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G}\}) (\mathcal{K} \cup \{( \text{card } g ) + 1 \mid g. g \in \mathcal{G}\})$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

7.3.3 Wilson's Construction

Wilson's construction involves the combination of multiple k-GDD's. This proof was based of Stinson [6]

lemma *wilsons-construction-proper*:

assumes $\text{card } I = w$
assumes $w > 0$
assumes $\bigwedge n. n \in \mathcal{K}' \implies n \geq 2$
assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{\{x\} \times I \mid x . x \in B\}$
shows *proper-design* $(\mathcal{V} \times I) (\sum B \in \# \mathcal{B}. (f B))$ (**is** *proper-design* ?Y ?B)
(proof)

lemma *pair-construction-block-sizes*:

assumes $K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{\{x\} \times I \mid x . x \in B\}$
assumes $B \in \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $b \in \# (f B)$
shows $\text{card } b \in \mathcal{K}'$
(proof)

lemma *wilsons-construction-index-0*:

assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{\{x\} \times I \mid x . x \in B\}$
assumes $G \in \{GG \times I \mid GG. GG \in \mathcal{G}\}$
assumes $X \in G$
assumes $Y \in G$
assumes $X \neq Y$
shows $(\sum \# (\text{image-mset } f \mathcal{B})) \text{ index } \{X, Y\} = 0$
(proof)

lemma *wilsons-construction-index-1*:

assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{\{x\} \times I \mid x . x \in B\}$
assumes $G1 \in \{G \times I \mid G. G \in \mathcal{G}\}$
assumes $G2 \in \{G \times I \mid G. G \in \mathcal{G}\}$
assumes $G1 \neq G2$
and $(x, ix) \in G1$ **and** $(y, iy) \in G2$
shows $(\sum \# (\text{image-mset } f \mathcal{B})) \text{ index } \{(x, ix), (y, iy)\} = (1 :: \text{int})$
(proof)

theorem *Wilsons-Construction*:

assumes $\text{card } I = w$
assumes $w > 0$
assumes $\bigwedge n. n \in \mathcal{K}' \implies n \geq 2$
assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies K\text{-GDD } (B \times I) (f B) \mathcal{K}' \{\{x\} \times I \mid x . x \in B\}$
shows $K\text{-GDD } (\mathcal{V} \times I) (\sum B \in \# \mathcal{B}. (f B)) \mathcal{K}' \{G \times I \mid G . G \in \mathcal{G}\}$
(proof)

end

context *pairwise-balance*
begin

```

lemma PBD-by-deleting-point:
  assumes v > 2
  assumes  $\bigwedge bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl \geq 2$ 
  shows pairwise-balance (del-point x) (del-point-blocks x)  $\Lambda$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
end

context k-GDD
begin

lemma bibd-from-kGDD:
  assumes k > 1
  assumes  $\bigwedge g . g \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{card } g = k - 1$ 
  assumes  $x \notin \mathcal{V}$ 
  shows bibd (add-point x) ( $\mathcal{B} + \text{mset-set } \{ \text{insert } x g \mid g . g \in \mathcal{G} \}$ ) (k) 1
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context PBD
begin

lemma pbd-points-index1:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \text{card } ps = 2 \implies \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pbd-index1-points-imply-unique-block:
  assumes  $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B} \text{ and } b2 \in \# \mathcal{B} \text{ and } b1 \neq b2$ 
  assumes  $x \neq y \text{ and } \{x, y\} \subseteq b1 \text{ and } x \in b2$ 
  shows  $y \notin b2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma strong-delete-point-groups-index-zero:
  assumes  $G \in \{b - \{x\} \mid b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b\}$ 
  assumes  $xa \in G \text{ and } y \in G \text{ and } xa \neq y$ 
  shows (str-del-point-blocks x) index {xa, y} = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma strong-delete-point-groups-index-one:
  assumes  $G1 \in \{b - \{x\} \mid b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b\}$ 
  assumes  $G2 \in \{b - \{x\} \mid b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b\}$ 
  assumes  $G1 \neq G2 \text{ and } xa \in G1 \text{ and } y \in G2$ 
  shows (str-del-point-blocks x) index {xa, y} = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blocks-with-x-partition:
  assumes  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
  shows partition-on ( $\mathcal{V} - \{x\}$ )  $\{b - \{x\} \mid b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma KGDD-by-deleting-point:
  assumes  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
  assumes  $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x < b$ 
  assumes  $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x > 1$ 
  shows K-GDD (del-point  $x$ ) (str-del-point-blocks  $x$ )  $\mathcal{K} \{ b - \{x\} \mid b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b \}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma card-singletons-eq:  $\text{card } \{\{a\} \mid a . a \in A\} = \text{card } A$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma KGDD-from-PBD: K-GDD  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{K} \{\{x\} \mid x . x \in \mathcal{V}\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context bibd
begin
lemma kGDD-from-bibd:
  assumes  $\Lambda = 1$ 
  assumes  $x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
  shows k-GDD (del-point  $x$ ) (str-del-point-blocks  $x$ )  $\text{k} \{ b - \{x\} \mid b . b \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x \in b \}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end

```

8 Graphs and Designs

There are many links between graphs and design - most fundamentally that graphs are designs

```

theory Designs-And-Graphs imports Block-Designs Graph-Theory.Digraph Graph-Theory.Digraph-Components
begin

```

8.1 Non-empty digraphs

First, we define the concept of a non-empty digraph. This mirrors the idea of a "proper design" in the design theory library

```

locale non-empty-digraph = wf-digraph +
  assumes arcs-not-empty:  $\text{arcs } G \neq \{\}$ 

begin

lemma verts-not-empty:  $\text{verts } G \neq \{\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

8.2 Arcs to Blocks

A digraph uses a pair of points to define an ordered edge. In the case of simple graphs, both possible orderings will be in the arcs set. Blocks are inherently unordered, and as such a method is required to convert between the two representations

context graph
begin

definition arc-to-block :: ' $b \Rightarrow 'a$ set **where**
arc-to-block $e \equiv \{\text{tail } G e, \text{head } G e\}$

lemma arc-to-block-to-ends: $\{\text{fst } (\text{arc-to-ends } G e), \text{snd } (\text{arc-to-ends } G e)\} =$
arc-to-block e
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma arc-to-block-to-ends-swap: $\{\text{snd } (\text{arc-to-ends } G e), \text{fst } (\text{arc-to-ends } G e)\}$
= arc-to-block e
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma arc-to-ends-to-block: arc-to-block $e = \{x, y\} \implies$
arc-to-ends $G e = (x, y) \vee \text{arc-to-ends } G e = (y, x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma arc-to-block-sym: arc-to-ends $G e1 = (u, v) \implies \text{arc-to-ends } G e2 = (v, u)$
 \implies
arc-to-block $e1 = \text{arc-to-block } e2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition arcs-blocks :: ' a set multiset **where**
arcs-blocks $\equiv \text{mset-set } (\text{arc-to-block } ` (\text{arcs } G))$

lemma arcs-blocks-ends: $(x, y) \in \text{arcs-ends } G \implies \{x, y\} \in \# \text{arcs-blocks}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma arc-ends-blocks-subset: $E \subseteq \text{arcs } G \implies (x, y) \in ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) ` E) \implies$
 $\{x, y\} \in (\text{arc-to-block } ` E)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma arc-blocks-end-subset: **assumes** $E \subseteq \text{arcs } G$ **and** $\{x, y\} \in (\text{arc-to-block } ` E)$
shows $(x, y) \in ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) ` E) \vee (y, x) \in ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) ` E)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma arcs-ends-blocks: $\{x, y\} \in \# \text{arcs-blocks} \implies (x, y) \in \text{arcs-ends } G \wedge (y, x) \in \text{arcs-ends } G$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma arcs-blocks-iff: {x, y} ∈# arcs-blocks ↔ (x, y) ∈ arcs-ends G ∧ (y, x)
∈ arcs-ends G
⟨proof⟩

lemma arcs-ends-wf: (x, y) ∈ arcs-ends G ⇒ x ∈ verts G ∧ y ∈ verts G
⟨proof⟩

lemma arcs-blocks-elem: bl ∈# arcs-blocks ⇒ ∃ x y . bl = {x, y}
⟨proof⟩

lemma arcs-ends-blocks-wf:
assumes bl ∈# arcs-blocks
shows bl ⊆ verts G
⟨proof⟩

lemma arcs-blocks-simple: bl ∈# arcs-blocks ⇒ count (arcs-blocks) bl = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma arcs-blocks-ne: arcs G ≠ {} ⇒ arcs-blocks ≠ {#}
⟨proof⟩

end

```

8.3 Graphs are designs

Prove that a graph is a number of different types of designs

```

sublocale graph ⊆ design verts G arcs-blocks
⟨proof⟩

sublocale graph ⊆ simple-design verts G arcs-blocks
⟨proof⟩

locale non-empty-graph = graph + non-empty-digraph

sublocale non-empty-graph ⊆ proper-design verts G arcs-blocks
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in graph) graph-block-size: assumes bl ∈# arcs-blocks shows card bl =
2
⟨proof⟩

sublocale non-empty-graph ⊆ block-design verts G arcs-blocks 2
⟨proof⟩

```

8.4 R-regular graphs

To reason on r-regular graphs and their link to designs, we require a number of extensions to lemmas reasoning around the degrees of vertices

```
context sym-digraph
begin
```

```
lemma in-out-arcs-reflexive:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies (e \in (\text{in-arcs } G v) \implies \exists e'. (e' \in (\text{out-arcs } G v) \wedge \text{head } G e' = \text{tail } G e))$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma out-in-arcs-reflexive:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies (e \in (\text{out-arcs } G v) \implies \exists e'. (e' \in (\text{in-arcs } G v) \wedge \text{tail } G e' = \text{head } G e))$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
context nomulti-digraph
begin
```

```
lemma in-arcs-single-per-vert:
assumes  $v \in \text{verts } G$  and  $u \in \text{verts } G$ 
assumes  $e1 \in \text{in-arcs } G v$  and  $e2 \in \text{in-arcs } G v$ 
assumes  $\text{tail } G e1 = u$  and  $\text{tail } G e2 = u$ 
shows  $e1 = e2$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma out-arcs-single-per-vert:
assumes  $v \in \text{verts } G$  and  $u \in \text{verts } G$ 
assumes  $e1 \in \text{out-arcs } G v$  and  $e2 \in \text{out-arcs } G v$ 
assumes  $\text{head } G e1 = u$  and  $\text{head } G e2 = u$ 
shows  $e1 = e2$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

Some helpers on the transformation arc definition

```
context graph
begin
```

```
lemma arc-to-block-is-inj-in-arcs: inj-on arc-to-block (in-arcs G v)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma arc-to-block-is-inj-out-arcs: inj-on arc-to-block (out-arcs G v)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma in-out-arcs-reflexive-uniq:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies (e \in (\text{in-arcs } G v) \implies \exists! e'. (e' \in (\text{out-arcs } G v) \wedge \text{head } G e' = \text{tail } G e))$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma out-in-arcs-reflexive-uniq:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies e \in (\text{out-arcs } G v) \implies$ 
 $\exists! e'. (e' \in (\text{in-arcs } G v) \wedge \text{tail } G e' = \text{head } G e)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-eq-out-arc-ends:  $(u, v) \in ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) \cup (\text{in-arcs } G v)) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(v, u) \in ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) \cup (\text{out-arcs } G v))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-degree-eq-card-arc-ends:  $\text{in-degree } G v = \text{card } ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) \cup (\text{in-arcs } G v))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-degree-eq-card-arc-blocks:  $\text{in-degree } G v = \text{card } (\text{arc-to-block} \cup (\text{in-arcs } G v))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-degree-eq-card-arc-blocks:  $\text{out-degree } G v = \text{card } (\text{arc-to-block} \cup (\text{out-arcs } G v))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-degree-eq-card-arc-ends:  $\text{out-degree } G v = \text{card } ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) \cup (\text{out-arcs } G v))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-betw-in-out-arcs:  $\text{bij-betw } (\lambda (u, v) . (v, u)) ((\text{arc-to-ends } G) \cup (\text{in-arcs } G v))$ 
 $((\text{arc-to-ends } G) \cup (\text{out-arcs } G v))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-eq-out-degree:  $\text{in-degree } G v = \text{out-degree } G v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-out-arcs-blocks:  $\text{arc-to-block} \cup (\text{in-arcs } G v) = \text{arc-to-block} \cup (\text{out-arcs } G v)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

A regular digraph is defined as one where the in degree equals the out degree which in turn equals some fixed integer r

locale regular-digraph = wf-digraph +
  fixes r :: nat
  assumes in-deg-r:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies \text{in-degree } G v = r$ 
  assumes out-deg-r:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies \text{out-degree } G v = r$ 

locale regular-graph = graph + regular-digraph
begin

```

```

lemma rep-vertices-in-blocks [simp]:
  assumes  $x \in \text{verts } G$ 
  shows  $\text{size} \{ \# e \in \# \text{arcs-blocks} . x \in e \# \} = r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

Intro rules for regular graphs

```

lemma graph-in-degree-r-imp-reg[intro]: assumes graph  $G$ 
  assumes  $(\bigwedge v . v \in (\text{verts } G) \implies \text{in-degree } G v = r)$ 
  shows regular-graph  $G r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma graph-out-degree-r-imp-reg[intro]: assumes graph  $G$ 
  assumes  $(\bigwedge v . v \in (\text{verts } G) \implies \text{out-degree } G v = r)$ 
  shows regular-graph  $G r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Regular graphs (non-empty) can be shown to be a constant rep design

locale non-empty-regular-graph = regular-graph + non-empty-digraph

```

sublocale non-empty-regular-graph  $\subseteq$  non-empty-graph
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

sublocale non-empty-regular-graph  $\subseteq$  constant-rep-design verts  $G$  arcs-blocks  $r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

9 Sub-designs

Sub designs are a relationship between two designs using the subset and submultiset relations. This theory defines the concept at the incidence system level, before extending to defining on well defined designs.

```

theory Sub-Designs imports Design-Operations
begin

```

9.1 Sub-system and Sub-design Locales

```

locale sub-set-system = incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$ 
  for  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  +
  assumes points-subset:  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ 
  assumes blocks-subset:  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \# \mathcal{B}$ 
begin

```

```

lemma sub-points:  $x \in \mathcal{U} \implies x \in \mathcal{V}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sub-blocks:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{A} \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sub-blocks-count:  $count \mathcal{A} b \leq count \mathcal{B} b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale sub-incidence-system = sub-set-system + ins: incidence-system  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A}$ 

locale sub-design = sub-incidence-system + des: design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
begin

lemma sub-non-empty-blocks:  $A \in \# \mathcal{A} \implies A \neq \{\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale sub-des: design  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale proper-sub-set-system = incidence-system  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
  for  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  +
  assumes points-psubset:  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$ 
  assumes blocks-subset:  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \# \mathcal{B}$ 
begin

lemma point-sets-ne:  $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

sublocale proper-sub-set-system  $\subseteq$  sub-set-system
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context sub-set-system
begin

lemma sub-is-proper:  $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V} \implies proper\text{-}sub\text{-}set\text{-}system \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale proper-sub-incidence-system = proper-sub-set-system + ins: incidence-system
 $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A}$ 

sublocale proper-sub-incidence-system  $\subseteq$  sub-incidence-system
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

context sub-incidence-system
begin
lemma sub-is-proper:  $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V} \implies$  proper-sub-incidence-system  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale proper-sub-design = proper-sub-incidence-system + des: design  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 

sublocale proper-sub-design ⊆ sub-design
  ⟨proof⟩

context sub-design
begin
lemma sub-is-proper:  $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{V} \implies$  proper-sub-design  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma ss-proper-implies-sub [intro]: proper-sub-set-system  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \implies$  sub-set-system
 $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sub-ssI [intro!]: incidence-system  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \implies \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{A} \subseteq \# \mathcal{B} \implies$ 
sub-set-system  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sub-ss-equality:
  assumes sub-set-system  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
    and sub-set-system  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{A}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

9.2 Reasoning on Sub-designs

9.2.1 Reasoning on Incidence Sys property relationships

```

context sub-incidence-system
begin

```

```

lemma sub-sys-block-sizes: ins.sys-block-sizes ⊆ sys-block-sizes
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sub-point-rep-number-le:  $x \in \mathcal{U} \implies \mathcal{A} \text{ rep } x \leq \mathcal{B} \text{ rep } x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sub-point-index-le:  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{U} \implies \mathcal{A} \text{ index } ps \leq \mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sub-sys-intersection-numbers: ins.intersection-numbers ⊆ intersection-numbers

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

9.2.2 Reasoning on Incidence Sys/Design operations

context incidence-system
begin

lemma sub-set-sysI[intro]: $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{A} \subseteq \# \mathcal{B} \implies$ sub-set-system $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sub-inc-sysI[intro]: incidence-system $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \implies \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies \mathcal{A} \subseteq \# \mathcal{B} \implies$
sub-incidence-system $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma multiple-orig-sub-system:
assumes $n > 0$
shows sub-incidence-system $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}$ (multiple-blocks n)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma add-point-sub-sys: sub-incidence-system $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ (add-point p) \mathcal{B}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma strong-del-point-sub-sys: sub-incidence-system (del-point p) (str-del-point-blocks p) $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma add-block-sub-sys: sub-incidence-system $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ ($\mathcal{V} \cup b$) (add-block b)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma delete-block-sub-sys: sub-incidence-system \mathcal{V} (del-block b) $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma (in two-set-systems) combine-sub-sys: sub-incidence-system $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in two-set-systems) combine-sub-sys-alt: sub-incidence-system $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \mathcal{V}^+ \mathcal{B}^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context design
begin

lemma sub-designI [intro]: design $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \implies$ sub-incidence-system $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \implies$
sub-design $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sub-designII [intro]: design  $\mathcal{U}$   $\mathcal{A} \implies$  sub-incidence-system  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\mathcal{U}$   $\mathcal{A} \implies$ 
sub-design  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\mathcal{U}$   $\mathcal{A}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma multiple-orig-sub-des:
assumes  $n > 0$ 
shows sub-design  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\mathcal{V}$  (multiple-blocks  $n$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-point-sub-des: sub-design  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$  (add-point  $p$ )  $\mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma strong-del-point-sub-des: sub-design (del-point  $p$ ) (str-del-point-blocks  $p$ )  $\mathcal{V}$ 
 $\mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-block-sub-des: finite  $b \implies b \neq \{\} \implies$  sub-design  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$  ( $\mathcal{V} \cup b$ ) (add-block
 $b$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma delete-block-sub-des: sub-design  $\mathcal{V}$  (del-block  $b$ )  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in two-designs) combine-sub-des: sub-design  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\mathcal{V}^+$   $\mathcal{B}^+$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in two-designs) combine-sub-des-alt: sub-design  $\mathcal{V}'$   $\mathcal{B}'$   $\mathcal{V}^+$   $\mathcal{B}^+$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

10 Design Isomorphisms

```

theory Design-Isomorphisms imports Design-Basics Sub-Designs
begin

```

10.1 Images of Set Systems

We loosely define the concept of taking the "image" of a set system, as done in isomorphisms. Note that this is not based off mathematical theory, but is for ease of notation

```

definition blocks-image :: ' $a$  set multiset  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a \Rightarrow 'b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ' $b$  set multiset where
blocks-image  $B f \equiv$  image-mset (( $'$ )  $f$ )  $B$ 

```

```

lemma image-block-set-constant-size: size ( $B$ ) = size (blocks-image  $B f$ )

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in incidence-system) image-set-system-wellformed:
incidence-system ($f \cdot \mathcal{V}$) (blocks-image $\mathcal{B} f$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in finite-incidence-system) image-set-system-finite:
finite-incidence-system ($f \cdot \mathcal{V}$) (blocks-image $\mathcal{B} f$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.2 Incidence System Isomorphisms

Isomorphism's are defined by the Handbook of Combinatorial Designs [3]

locale incidence-system-isomorphism = source: incidence-system $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ + target:
incidence-system $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$
for \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{V}' and \mathcal{B}' + fixes bij-map (π)
assumes bij: bij-betw π $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}'$
assumes block-img: image-mset ((\cdot) π) $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$
begin

lemma iso-eq-order: card \mathcal{V} = card \mathcal{V}'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-eq-block-num: size \mathcal{B} = size \mathcal{B}'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-block-img-alt-rep: $\{\# \pi \cdot bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B}\} = \mathcal{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma inv-iso-block-img: image-mset ((\cdot) (inv-into $\mathcal{V} \pi$)) $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma inverse-incidence-sys-iso: incidence-system-isomorphism $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ (inv-into
 $\mathcal{V} \pi$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-points-map: $\pi \cdot \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-points-inv-map: (inv-into $\mathcal{V} \pi$) $\cdot \mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-points-ss-card:
assumes $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
shows card ps = card ($\pi \cdot ps$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iso-block-in: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies (\pi \cdot bl) \in \# \mathcal{B}'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iso-inv-block-in*: $x \in \# \mathcal{B}' \implies x \in (\cdot) \pi \text{ '} \text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-img-block-orig-exists*: $x \in \# \mathcal{B}' \implies \exists bl . bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \wedge x = \pi \text{ '} bl$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-blocks-map-inj*: $x \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies y \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \pi \text{ '} x = \pi \text{ '} y \implies x = y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-bij-betwn-block-sets*: $\text{bij-betw } ((\cdot) \pi) (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}) (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-bij-betwn-block-sets-inv*: $\text{bij-betw } ((\cdot) (\text{inv-into } \mathcal{V} \pi)) (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B}') (\text{set-mset } \mathcal{B})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-bij-betw-individual-blocks*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{bij-betw } \pi bl (\pi \text{ '} bl)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-bij-betw-individual-blocks-inv*: $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{bij-betw } (\text{inv-into } \mathcal{V} \pi) (\pi \text{ '} bl) bl$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-bij-betw-individual-blocks-inv-alt*:
 $bl \in \# \mathcal{B}' \implies \text{bij-betw } (\text{inv-into } \mathcal{V} \pi) bl ((\text{inv-into } \mathcal{V} \pi) \text{ '} bl)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-inv-block-in-alt*: $(\pi \text{ '} bl) \in \# \mathcal{B}' \implies bl \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-img-block-not-in*:
assumes $x \notin \# \mathcal{B}$
assumes $x \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
shows $(\pi \text{ '} x) \notin \# \mathcal{B}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-block-multiplicity*:
assumes $bl \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
shows $\text{source.multiplicity } bl = \text{target.multiplicity } (\pi \text{ '} bl)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-point-in-block-img-iff*: $p \in \mathcal{V} \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \in bl \longleftrightarrow (\pi p) \in (\pi \text{ '} bl)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-point-subset-block-iff*: $p \subseteq \mathcal{V} \implies bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies p \subseteq bl \longleftrightarrow (\pi \text{ '} p) \subseteq (\pi \text{ '} bl)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma iso-is-image-block:  $\mathcal{B}' = \text{blocks-image } \mathcal{B} \pi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

10.3 Design Isomorphisms

Apply the concept of isomorphisms to designs only

```

locale design-isomorphism = incidence-system-isomorphism  $\mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \pi + \text{source:}$ 
   $\text{design } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B} +$ 
   $\text{target: design } \mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \text{ for } \mathcal{V} \text{ and } \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \mathcal{V}' \text{ and } \mathcal{B}' \text{ and bij-map } (\pi)$ 

```

```

context design-isomorphism
begin

```

```

lemma inverse-design-isomorphism: design-isomorphism  $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$  (inv-into  $\mathcal{V} \pi$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

10.3.1 Isomorphism Operation

Define the concept of isomorphic designs outside the scope of locale

```

definition isomorphic-designs (infixl  $\cong_D$  50) where
 $\mathcal{D} \cong_D \mathcal{D}' \longleftrightarrow (\exists \pi . \text{design-isomorphism } (\text{fst } \mathcal{D}) (\text{snd } \mathcal{D}) (\text{fst } \mathcal{D}') (\text{snd } \mathcal{D}') \pi)$ 

```

```

lemma isomorphic-designs-symmetric:  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}') \implies (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}') \cong_D (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma isomorphic-designs-implies-bij:  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}') \implies \exists \pi . \text{bij-betw } \pi \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma isomorphic-designs-implies-block-map:  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}') \implies \exists \pi . \text{image-mset } ((\cdot) \pi) \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

context design
begin

```

```

lemma isomorphic-designsI [intro]: design  $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}' \implies \text{bij-betw } \pi \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}' \implies \text{image-mset } ((\cdot) \pi) \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$ 
   $\implies (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}')$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma eq-designs-isomorphic:
  assumes  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}'$ 
  assumes  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$ 

```

```
shows  $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}) \cong_D (\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{B}')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
context design-isomorphism  
begin
```

10.3.2 Design Properties/Operations under Isomorphism

```
lemma design-iso-point-rep-num-eq:  
  assumes  $p \in \mathcal{V}$   
  shows  $\mathcal{B} \text{ rep } p = \mathcal{B}' \text{ rep } (\pi \ p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma design-iso-rep-numbers-eq: source.replication-numbers = target.replication-numbers  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma design-iso-block-size-eq:  $bl \in \# \mathcal{B} \implies \text{card } bl = \text{card } (\pi ' bl)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma design-iso-block-sizes-eq: source.sys-block-sizes = target.sys-block-sizes  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma design-iso-points-index-eq:  
  assumes  $ps \subseteq \mathcal{V}$   
  shows  $\mathcal{B} \text{ index } ps = \mathcal{B}' \text{ index } (\pi ' ps)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma design-iso-points-indices-imp:  
  assumes  $x \in \text{source.point-indices } t$   
  shows  $x \in \text{target.point-indices } t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma design-iso-points-indices-eq: source.point-indices  $t = \text{target.point-indices } t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma design-iso-block-intersect-num-eq:  
  assumes  $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$   
  assumes  $b2 \in \# \mathcal{B}$   
  shows  $b1 \cap b2 = (\pi ' b1) \cap (\pi ' b2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma design-iso-inter-numbers-imp:  
  assumes  $x \in \text{source.intersection-numbers}$   
  shows  $x \in \text{target.intersection-numbers}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma design-iso-intersection-numbers: source.intersection-numbers = target.intersection-numbers
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma design-iso-n-intersect-num:
  assumes  $b1 \in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  assumes  $b2 \in \# \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows  $b1 | \cap|_n b2 = ((\pi ' b1) | \cap|_n (\pi ' b2))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subdesign-iso-implies:
  assumes sub-set-system  $V B \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
  shows sub-set-system  $(\pi ' V)$  (blocks-image  $B \pi$ )  $\mathcal{V}' \mathcal{B}'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subdesign-image-is-design:
  assumes sub-set-system  $V B \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
  assumes design  $V B$ 
  shows design  $(\pi ' V)$  (blocks-image  $B \pi$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sub-design-isomorphism:
  assumes sub-set-system  $V B \mathcal{V} \mathcal{B}$ 
  assumes design  $V B$ 
  shows design-isomorphism  $V B (\pi ' V)$  (blocks-image  $B \pi$ )  $\pi$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end
end

```

```

theory Design-Theory-Root
imports

```

```

Multisets-Extras

```

```

Design-Basics

```

```

Design-Operations

```

```

Block-Designs

```

```

BIBD

```

```

Resolvable-Designs

```

```

Group-Divisible-Designs

```

```

Designs-And-Graphs

```

```

Design-Isomorphisms

```

```

Sub-Designs

```

```

begin

```

```

end

```

References

- [1] E. A. Bender. Partitions of multisets. *Discrete Mathematics*, 9(4):301–311, Oct. 1974.
- [2] C. Berge. *Hypergraphs: Combinatorics of Finite Sets*. Number v. 45 in North-Holland Mathematical Library. North Holland : Distributors for the U.S.A. and Canada, Elsevier Science Pub. Co, Amsterdam ; New York, 1989.
- [3] C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz. *Handbook of Combinatorial Designs / Edited by Charles J. Colbourn, Jeffrey H. Dinitz*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2nd edition, 2007.
- [4] S. Herke. Math3301 lecture notes in combinatorial design theory, July 2016.
- [5] L. H. Soicher. Designs, Groups and Computing. In A. Detinko, D. Flannery, and E. O'Brien, editors, *Probabilistic Group Theory, Combinatorics, and Computing*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2070, pages 83–107. Springer, 2013.
- [6] D. Stinson. *Combinatorial Designs: Constructions and Analysis*. Springer, 2004.