Descartes' Rule of Signs

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

In this work, we formally proved Descartes Rule of Signs, which relates the number of positive real roots of a polynomial with the number of sign changes in its coefficient list.

Our proof follows the simple inductive proof given by Arthan [1], which was also used by John Harrison in his HOL Light formalisation. We proved most of the lemmas for arbitrary linearly-ordered integrity domains (e.g. integers, rationals, reals); the main result, however, requires the intermediate value theorem and was therefore only proven for real polynomials.

Contents

Digi	changes and Descartes' Rule of Signs	T
1.1	Polynomials	2
1.2	List of partial sums	2
1.3	Sign changes in a list	3
1.4	Arthan's lemma	5
1.5	Roots of a polynomial with a certain property	5
1.6	Coefficient sign changes of a polynomial	6
1.7	Proof of Descartes' sign rule	7

1 Sign changes and Descartes' Rule of Signs

theory Descartes-Sign-Rule imports Complex-Main HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial begin

lemma op-plus-0: ((+) (0 :: 'a :: monoid-add)) = id $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma filter-drop While: filter $(\lambda x. \neg P x)$ (drop While P xs) = filter $(\lambda x. \neg P x) xs$ $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1 Polynomials

A real polynomial whose leading and constant coefficients have opposite non-zero signs must have a positive root.

lemma pos-root-exI: **assumes** poly $p \ 0 * lead-coeff \ p < (0 :: real)$ **obtains** x where x > 0 poly $p \ x = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$

Substitute X with aX in a polynomial p(X). This turns all the X-a factors in p into factors of the form X-1.

```
definition reduce-root where
reduce-root a \ p = pcompose \ p \ [:0, \ a:]
```

```
lemma reduce-root-pCons:
reduce-root a (pCons \ c \ p) = pCons \ c (smult \ a (reduce-root \ a \ p)) 
<math>\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma reduce-root-nonzero [simp]:

a \neq 0 \implies p \neq 0 \implies reduce-root a \ p \neq (0 :: 'a :: idom \ poly)

\langle proof \rangle
```

1.2 List of partial sums

We first define, for a given list, the list of accumulated partial sums from left to right: the list *psums xs* has as its *i*-th entry $\sum_{j=0}^{i} xs_i$.

```
fun psums where
    psums [] = []
| psums [x] = [x]
| psums (x#y#xs) = x # psums ((x+y) # xs)
```

```
lemma length-psums [simp]: length (psums xs) = length xs \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma psums-Cons: psums (x#xs) = (x :: 'a :: semigroup-add) # map ((+) x) (psums xs) $<math>\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma last-psums:
```

 $(xs :: 'a :: monoid-add \ list) \neq [] \implies last \ (psums \ xs) = sum-list \ xs \ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma psums-0-Cons [simp]:

 $psums \ (0 \# xs :: \ 'a :: \ monoid-add \ list) = 0 \ \# \ psums \ xs \ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma map-uminus-psums: fixes xs :: 'a :: ab-group-add list **shows** map uminus (psums xs) = psums (map uminus xs) $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma psums-replicate-0-append:
psums (replicate n (0 :: 'a :: monoid-add) @ xs) =
replicate n 0 @ psums xs
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma psums-nth: $n < length xs \implies psums xs ! n = (\sum i \le n. xs ! i) \langle proof \rangle$

1.3 Sign changes in a list

Next, we define the number of sign changes in a sequence. Intuitively, this is the number of times that, when passing through the list, a sign change between one element and the next element occurs (while ignoring all zero entries).

We implement this by filtering all zeros from the list of signs, removing all adjacent equal elements and taking the length of the resulting list minus one.

```
definition sign-changes :: ('a :: {sgn,zero} list) \Rightarrow nat where
  sign-changes xs = length (remdups-adj (filter (<math>\lambda x. x \neq 0) (map sgn xs))) - 1
lemma sign-changes-Nil [simp]: sign-changes [] = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sign-changes-singleton [simp]: sign-changes [x] = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sign-changes-cong:
 assumes map sqn xs = map sqn ys
 shows sign-changes xs = sign-changes ys
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sign-changes-Cons-ge: sign-changes (x \# xs) \ge sign-changes xs
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sign-changes-Cons-Cons-different:
 fixes x y :: 'a :: linordered-idom
 assumes x * y < \theta
 shows sign-changes (x \# y \# xs) = 1 + sign-changes (y \# xs)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: linordered-idom
 shows x * y > 0 \implies sign-changes (x \# y \# xs) = sign-changes (y \# xs)
 \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma sign-changes-0-Cons [simp]: sign-changes (0 # xs :: 'a :: idom-abs-sgn list) = sign-changes xs $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *sign-changes-two*: fixes x y :: 'a :: linordered-idom**shows** sign-changes [x,y] = $(if x > 0 \land y < 0 \lor x < 0 \land y > 0 then 1 else 0)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** sign-changes-induct [case-names nil sing zero nonzero]: assumes $P [] \land x. P [x] \land xs. P xs \Longrightarrow P (0 \# xs)$ $\bigwedge x \ y \ xs. \ x \neq 0 \implies P((x + y) \ \# \ xs) \implies P(x \ \# \ y \ \# \ xs)$ shows P xs $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *sign-changes-filter*: fixes xs :: 'a :: linordered-idom list **shows** sign-changes (filter $(\lambda x. x \neq 0) xs$) = sign-changes xs $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** sign-changes-Cons-Cons-0: fixes xs :: 'a :: linordered-idom list **shows** sign-changes (x # 0 # xs) = sign-changes (x # xs) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *sign-changes-uminus*: fixes xs :: 'a :: linordered-idom list **shows** sign-changes $(map \ uminus \ xs) = sign-changes \ xs$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** sign-changes-replicate: sign-changes (replicate n x) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** sign-changes-decompose: assumes $x \neq (0 :: 'a :: linordered-idom)$ **shows** sign-changes (xs @ x # ys) = sign-changes (xs @ [x]) + sign-changes (x # ys) $\langle proof \rangle$

If the first and the last entry of a list are non-zero, its number of sign changes is even if and only if the first and the last element have the same sign. This will be important later to establish the base case of Descartes' Rule. (if there are no positive roots, the number of sign changes is even)

```
lemma even-sign-changes-iff:

assumes xs \neq ([] :: 'a :: linordered-idom list) hd <math>xs \neq 0 last xs \neq 0

shows even (sign-changes xs) \longleftrightarrow sgn (hd xs) = sgn (last xs)

\langle proof \rangle
```

1.4 Arthan's lemma

context begin

We first prove an auxiliary lemma that allows us to assume w.l.o.g. that the first element of the list is non-negative, similarly to what Arthan does in his proof.

private lemma arthan-wlog [consumes 3, case-names nonneg lift]: fixes xs :: 'a :: linordered-idom list assumes $xs \neq []$ last $xs \neq 0$ x + y + sum-list xs = 0assumes $\bigwedge x \ y \ xs. \ xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow last \ xs \neq 0 \Longrightarrow$ x + y + sum-list $xs = 0 \implies x \ge 0 \implies P x y xs$ assumes $\bigwedge x \ y \ xs. \ xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow P \ x \ y \ xs \Longrightarrow P \ (-x) \ (-y) \ (map \ uminus \ xs)$ shows P x y xs $\langle proof \rangle$

We now show that the α and β in Arthan's proof have the necessary properties: their difference is non-negative and even.

```
private lemma arthan-aux1:
  fixes xs :: 'a :: \{linordered-idom\}\ list
 assumes xs \neq [] last xs \neq 0 x + y + sum-list xs = 0
 defines v \equiv \lambda xs. int (sign-changes xs)
 shows v (x \# y \# xs) - v ((x + y) \# xs) \ge
            v \ (psums \ (x \ \# \ y \ \# \ xs)) \ - \ v \ (psums \ ((x \ + \ y) \ \# \ xs)) \ \land
        even (v (x \# y \# xs) - v ((x + y) \# xs) -
                 (v \ (psums \ (x \ \# \ y \ \# \ xs))) - v \ (psums \ ((x + y) \ \# \ xs))))
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

Now we can prove the main lemma of the proof by induction over the list with our specialised induction rule for sign-changes. It states that for a non-empty list whose last element is non-zero and whose sum is zero, the difference of the sign changes in the list and in the list of its partial sums is odd and positive.

```
lemma arthan:
```

fixes xs :: 'a :: linordered-idom list assumes $xs \neq []$ last $xs \neq 0$ sum-list xs = 0**shows** sign-changes xs > sign-changes (psums xs) \land odd (sign-changes xs - sign-changes (psums xs)) $\langle proof \rangle$

end

1.5Roots of a polynomial with a certain property

The set of roots of a polynomial p that fulfil a given property P: **definition** roots-with $P p = \{x. P x \land poly p x = 0\}$

The number of roots of a polynomial p with a given property P, where multiple roots are counted multiple times.

definition count-roots-with $P \ p = (\sum x \in roots\text{-with } P \ p. \text{ order } x \ p)$

abbreviation $pos\text{-}roots \equiv roots\text{-}with \ (\lambda x. \ x > 0)$ **abbreviation** $count\text{-}pos\text{-}roots \equiv count\text{-}roots\text{-}with \ (\lambda x. \ x > 0)$

lemma finite-roots-with [simp]: (p :: 'a :: linordered-idom poly) $\neq 0 \implies$ finite (roots-with P p) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma count-roots-with-times-root: **assumes** $p \neq 0$ P (a :: 'a :: linordered-idom) **shows** count-roots-with P ([:a, -1:] * p) = Suc (count-roots-with P p) $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6 Coefficient sign changes of a polynomial

abbreviation (*input*) coeff-sign-changes $f \equiv$ sign-changes (coeffs f)

We first show that when building a polynomial from a coefficient list, the coefficient sign sign changes of the resulting polynomial are the same as the same sign changes in the list.

Note that constructing a polynomial from a list removes all trailing zeros.

lemma sign-changes-coeff-sign-changes: **assumes** Poly xs = (p :: 'a :: linordered-idom poly) **shows** sign-changes xs = coeff-sign-changes p $\langle proof \rangle$

By applying *reduce-root a*, we can assume w.l.o.g. that the root in question is 1, since applying root reduction does not change the number of sign changes.

```
lemma coeff-sign-changes-reduce-root:

assumes a > (0 :: 'a :: linordered-idom)

shows coeff-sign-changes (reduce-root a p) = coeff-sign-changes p

\langle proof \rangle
```

Multiplying a polynomial with a positive constant also does not change the number of sign changes. (in fact, any non-zero constant would also work, but the proof is slightly more difficult and positive constants suffice in our use case)

lemma coeff-sign-changes-smult: **assumes** a > (0 :: 'a :: linordered-idom)**shows** coeff-sign-changes (smult a p) = coeff-sign-changes $p \langle proof \rangle$

context begin

We now show that a polynomial with an odd number of sign changes contains a positive root. We first assume that the constant coefficient is non-zero. Then it is clear that the polynomial's sign at 0 will be the sign of the constant coefficient, whereas the polynomial's sign for sufficiently large inputs will be the sign of the leading coefficient.

Moreover, we have shown before that in a list with an odd number of sign changes and non-zero initial and last coefficients, the initial coefficient and the last coefficient have opposite and non-zero signs. Then, the polynomial obviously has a positive root.

```
private lemma odd-coeff-sign-changes-imp-pos-roots-aux:

assumes [simp]: p \neq (0 :: real poly) poly <math>p \ 0 \neq 0

assumes odd (coeff-sign-changes p)

obtains x where x > 0 poly p \ x = 0

\langle proof \rangle
```

We can now show the statement without the restriction to a non-zero constant coefficient. We can do this by simply factoring p into the form $p \cdot x^n$, where n is chosen as large as possible. This corresponds to stripping all initial zeros of the coefficient list, which obviously changes neither the existence of positive roots nor the number of coefficient sign changes.

lemma odd-coeff-sign-changes-imp-pos-roots: **assumes** $p \neq (0 :: real poly)$ **assumes** odd (coeff-sign-changes p) **obtains** x where x > 0 poly p = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

1.7 Proof of Descartes' sign rule

For a polynomial $p(X) = a_0 + \ldots + a_n X^n$, we have $[X^i](1-X)p(X) = (\sum_{j=0}^i a_j).$

lemma coeff-poly-times-one-minus-x: **fixes** g :: 'a :: linordered-idom poly **shows** coeff $g \ n = (\sum i \le n. \ coeff \ (g * [:1, -1:]) \ i)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

We apply the previous lemma to the coefficient list of a polynomial and show: given a polynomial p(X) and q(X) = (1 - X)p(X), the coefficient list of p(X) is the list of partial sums of the coefficient list of q(X).

lemma Poly-times-one-minus-x-eq-psums: **fixes** xs :: 'a :: linordered-idom list assumes [simp]: length xs = length ysassumes Poly xs = Poly ys * [:1, -1:]shows ys = psums xs $\langle proof \rangle$

We can now apply our main lemma on the sign changes in lists to the coefficient lists of a nonzero polynomial p(X) and (1-X)p(X): the difference of the changes in the coefficient lists is odd and positive.

lemma sign-changes-poly-times-one-minus-x: **fixes** g :: 'a :: linordered-idom poly **and** a :: 'a **assumes** $nz: g \neq 0$ **defines** $v \equiv coeff$ -sign-changes **shows** $v ([:1, -1:] * g) - v g > 0 \land odd (v ([:1, -1:] * g) - v g)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

We can now lift the previous lemma to the case of p(X) and (a - X)p(X) by substituting X with aX, yielding the polynomials p(aX) and $a \cdot (1 - X) \cdot p(aX)$.

lemma sign-changes-poly-times-root-minus-x: **fixes** g :: 'a :: linordered-idom poly **and** a :: 'a **assumes** $nz: g \neq 0$ **and** pos: a > 0 **defines** $v \equiv coeff$ -sign-changes **shows** v ([:a, -1:] * g) - v g > 0 \land odd (v ([:a, -1:] * g) - v g) $\langle proof \rangle$

Finally, the difference of the number of coefficient sign changes and the number of positive roots is non-negative and even. This follows straightforwardly by induction over the roots.

The main theorem is then an obvious consequence

theorem descartes-sign-rule: **fixes** p :: real poly **assumes** $p \neq 0$ **shows** $\exists d.$ even $d \land coeff$ -sign-changes p = count-pos-roots p + d $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

References

[1] R. D. Arthan. Descartes' rule of signs by an easy induction. 2007.