Descartes' Rule of Signs

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

In this work, we formally proved Descartes Rule of Signs, which relates the number of positive real roots of a polynomial with the number of sign changes in its coefficient list.

Our proof follows the simple inductive proof given by Arthan [1], which was also used by John Harrison in his HOL Light formalisation. We proved most of the lemmas for arbitrary linearly-ordered integrity domains (e.g. integers, rationals, reals); the main result, however, requires the intermediate value theorem and was therefore only proven for real polynomials.

Contents

1	Sign	n changes and Descartes' Rule of Signs	1
	1.1	Polynomials	2
	1.2	List of partial sums	3
	1.3	Sign changes in a list	4
	1.4	Arthan's lemma	7
	1.5	Roots of a polynomial with a certain property	11
	1.6	Coefficient sign changes of a polynomial	13
	1.7	Proof of Descartes' sign rule	15

1 Sign changes and Descartes' Rule of Signs

```
theory Descartes-Sign-Rule imports

Complex-Main

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial begin

lemma op-plus-0: ((+) \ (0 :: 'a :: monoid-add)) = id by auto

lemma filter-drop While:

filter (\lambda x. \neg P \ x) \ (drop While \ P \ xs) = filter \ (\lambda x. \neg P \ x) \ xs by (induction \ xs) \ simp-all
```

1.1 Polynomials

A real polynomial whose leading and constant coefficients have opposite non-zero signs must have a positive root.

```
lemma pos-root-exI:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{poly}\ \mathit{p}\ \mathit{0}\ *\ \mathit{lead\text{-}coeff}\ \mathit{p}\ <\ (\mathit{0}\ ::\ \mathit{real})
 obtains x where x > \theta poly p x = \theta
 have P: \exists x>0. poly p \ x = (0::real) if lead-coeff p>0 poly p \ 0<0 for p
 proof -
   note that(1)
   also from poly-pinfty-gt-lc[OF \langle lead\text{-}coeff | p > \theta \rangle] obtain x\theta
     where \bigwedge x. x \geq x\theta \implies poly \ p \ x \geq lead\text{-}coeff \ p \ by \ auto
   hence poly p (max x\theta 1) \geq lead-coeff p by auto
   finally have poly p(\max x\theta 1) > 0.
   with that have \exists x. \ x > 0 \land x < max \ x0 \ 1 \land poly \ p \ x = 0
     by (intro poly-IVT mult-neg-pos) auto
   thus \exists x > 0. poly p(x) = 0 by auto
  qed
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases lead-coeff p > 0)
   case True
   with assms have poly p \theta < \theta
     by (auto simp: mult-less-0-iff)
   from P[OF True this] that show ?thesis
     by blast
  \mathbf{next}
   case False
   from False assms have poly (-p) 0 < 0
     by (auto simp: mult-less-0-iff)
   moreover from assms have p \neq 0
     by auto
   with False have lead-coeff (-p) > 0
     by (cases rule: linorder-cases[of lead-coeff p \theta])
        (simp-all add:)
   ultimately show ?thesis using that P[of -p] by auto
 qed
qed
Substitute X with aX in a polynomial p(X). This turns all the X-a factors
in p into factors of the form X-1.
definition reduce-root where
  reduce-root a p = pcompose p [:0, a:]
lemma reduce-root-pCons:
  reduce-root a (pCons c p) = pCons c (smult a (reduce-root a p))
 by (simp add: reduce-root-def pcompose-pCons)
```

```
lemma reduce-root-nonzero [simp]:
  a \neq 0 \Longrightarrow p \neq 0 \Longrightarrow reduce\text{-root } a p \neq (0 :: 'a :: idom poly)
  unfolding reduce-root-def using pcompose-eq-0 [of p [:0, a:]]
  by auto
```

1.2 List of partial sums

```
We first define, for a given list, the list of accumulated partial sums from
left to right: the list psums xs has as its i-th entry \sum_{i=0}^{i} xs_i.
fun psums where
 psums [] = []
 psums [x] = [x]
| psums (x\#y\#xs) = x \# psums ((x+y) \# xs)
lemma length-psums [simp]: length (psums xs) = length xs
 by (induction xs rule: psums.induct) simp-all
lemma psums-Cons:
 psums (x\#xs) = (x :: 'a :: semigroup-add) \# map ((+) x) (psums xs)
 by (induction xs rule: psums.induct) (simp-all add: algebra-simps)
lemma last-psums:
 (xs :: 'a :: monoid-add \ list) \neq [] \Longrightarrow last \ (psums \ xs) = sum-list \ xs
 by (induction xs rule: psums.induct)
    (auto simp add: add.assoc [symmetric] psums-Cons o-def)
lemma psums-0-Cons [simp]:
 psums (0 \# xs :: 'a :: monoid-add list) = 0 \# psums xs
 by (induction xs rule: psums.induct) (simp-all add: algebra-simps)
lemma map-uminus-psums:
 fixes xs :: 'a :: ab-group-add list
 shows map \ uminus \ (psums \ xs) = psums \ (map \ uminus \ xs)
 by (induction xs rule: psums.induct) (simp-all)
\mathbf{lemma}\ psums-replicate-0-append:
 psums (replicate \ n \ (0 :: 'a :: monoid-add) @ xs) =
    replicate n \ \theta \ @ psums \ xs
 by (induction n) (simp-all add: psums-Cons\ op-plus-\theta)
lemma psums-nth: n < length \ xs \implies psums \ xs \ ! \ n = (\sum i \le n. \ xs \ ! \ i)
proof (induction xs arbitrary: n rule: psums.induct[case-names Nil sng rec])
 case (rec \ x \ y \ xs \ n)
 show ?case
 proof (cases n)
   case (Suc\ m)
   from Suc have psums (x \# y \# xs) ! n = psums ((x+y) \# xs) ! m by simp
   also from rec. prems Suc have ... = (\sum i \le m. ((x+y) \# xs) ! i)
     by (intro rec.IH) simp-all
```

```
also have \dots = x + y + (\sum i=1..m. \ (y\#xs) ! \ i)
by (auto\ simp:\ atLeast0AtMost\ [symmetric]\ sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost[of\ 0])
also have (\sum i=1..m. \ (y\#xs) ! \ i) = (\sum i=Suc\ 1..Suc\ m. \ (x\#y\#xs) ! \ i)
by (subst\ sum.shift-bounds-cl-Suc-ivl)\ simp
also from Suc\ have\ x + y + \dots = (\sum i\le n. \ (x\#y\#xs) ! \ i)
by (auto\ simp:\ atLeast0AtMost\ [symmetric]\ sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost\ add-ac)
finally show ?thesis.
qed simp
```

1.3 Sign changes in a list

Next, we define the number of sign changes in a sequence. Intuitively, this is the number of times that, when passing through the list, a sign change between one element and the next element occurs (while ignoring all zero entries).

We implement this by filtering all zeros from the list of signs, removing all adjacent equal elements and taking the length of the resulting list minus one.

```
definition sign\text{-}changes :: ('a :: \{sgn, zero\} \ list) \Rightarrow nat \text{ where}
 sign-changes \ xs = length \ (remdups-adj \ (filter \ (\lambda x. \ x \neq 0) \ (map \ sgn \ xs))) - 1
lemma sign-changes-Nil [simp]: sign-changes [] = 0
 by (simp add: sign-changes-def)
lemma sign-changes-singleton [simp]: sign-changes [x] = 0
 by (simp add: sign-changes-def)
lemma sign-changes-cong:
 assumes map \ sgn \ xs = map \ sgn \ ys
 shows sign-changes xs = sign-changes ys
 using assms unfolding sign-changes-def by simp
lemma sign-changes-Cons-ge: sign-changes (x \# xs) \geq sign-changes xs
 unfolding sign-changes-def by (simp add: remdups-adj-Cons split: list.split)
lemma sign-changes-Cons-Cons-different:
 fixes x y :: 'a :: linordered-idom
 assumes x * y < \theta
 shows sign-changes (x \# y \# xs) = 1 + sign-changes (y \# xs)
proof -
 from assms have sgn x = -1 \land sgn y = 1 \lor sgn x = 1 \land sgn y = -1
   by (auto simp: mult-less-0-iff)
 thus ?thesis by (fastforce simp: sign-changes-def)
qed
lemma sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same:
 fixes x y :: 'a :: linordered-idom
```

```
shows x * y > 0 \Longrightarrow sign\text{-}changes (x \# y \# xs) = sign\text{-}changes (y \# xs)
 by (subst (asm) zero-less-mult-iff) (fastforce simp: sign-changes-def)
lemma sign-changes-0-Cons [simp]:
  sign\text{-}changes (0 \# xs :: 'a :: idom\text{-}abs\text{-}sgn \ list) = sign\text{-}changes \ xs
 by (simp add: sign-changes-def)
lemma sign-changes-two:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: linordered-idom
 shows sign-changes [x,y] =
          (if x > 0 \land y < 0 \lor x < 0 \land y > 0 then 1 else 0)
 by (auto simp: sgn-if sign-changes-def mult-less-0-iff)
lemma sign-changes-induct [case-names nil sing zero nonzero]:
  assumes P \ [] \ \bigwedge x. \ P \ [x] \ \bigwedge xs. \ P \ xs \Longrightarrow P \ (0 \# xs)
         \bigwedge x \ y \ xs. \ x \neq 0 \Longrightarrow P((x + y) \# xs) \Longrightarrow P(x \# y \# xs)
 \mathbf{shows} \quad P \ xs
proof (induction length xs arbitrary: xs rule: less-induct)
 \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{less}\ \mathit{xs})
 show ?case
 proof (cases xs rule: psums.cases)
   fix x y xs' assume xs = x \# y \# xs'
   with assms less show ?thesis by (cases x = 0) auto
  qed (insert less assms, auto)
qed
lemma sign-changes-filter:
 fixes xs::'a::linordered-idom\ list
 shows sign-changes (filter (\lambda x. \ x \neq 0) \ xs) = sign-changes xs
 by (simp add: sign-changes-def filter-map o-def sgn-0-0)
lemma sign-changes-Cons-Cons-0:
 fixes xs :: 'a :: linordered-idom \ list
 shows sign-changes (x \# 0 \# xs) = sign-changes (x \# xs)
 by (subst (1 2) sign-changes-filter [symmetric]) simp-all
lemma sign-changes-uminus:
  fixes xs :: 'a :: linordered-idom \ list
 shows sign-changes (map uminus xs) = sign-changes xs
proof -
 \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{sign-changes}\ (\mathit{map}\ \mathit{uminus}\ \mathit{xs}) =
         length (remdups-adj [x \leftarrow map \ sgn \ (map \ uminus \ xs) \ . \ x \neq 0]) - 1
  unfolding sign-changes-def ...
  also have map \ sgn \ (map \ uminus \ xs) = map \ uminus \ (map \ sgn \ xs)
   by (auto simp: sgn-minus)
  also have remdups-adj (filter (\lambda x. \ x \neq 0) \ldots) =
                map uminus (remdups-adj (filter (\lambda x. \ x \neq 0) (map sgn \ xs)))
   by (subst filter-map, subst remdups-adj-map-injective)
      (simp-all add: o-def)
```

```
also have length ... -1 = sign\text{-}changes xs by (simp add: sign\text{-}changes\text{-}def)
 finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma sign-changes-replicate: sign-changes (replicate n(x) = 0
 by (simp add: sign-changes-def remdups-adj-replicate filter-replicate)
lemma sign-changes-decompose:
 assumes x \neq (0 :: 'a :: linordered-idom)
 shows sign-changes (xs @ x \# ys) =
           sign\text{-}changes\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ +\ sign\text{-}changes\ (x\ \#\ ys)
proof -
 have sign-changes (xs @ x \# ys) =
          length (remdups-adj ([x\leftarrow map\ sgn\ xs\ .\ x\neq 0] @
                  sqn \ x \# [x \leftarrow map \ sqn \ ys \ . \ x \neq 0])) - 1
   by (simp add: sqn-0-0 assms sign-changes-def)
 also have ... = sign-changes (xs @ [x]) + sign-changes (x # ys)
   by (subst remdups-adj-append) (simp add: sign-changes-def assms sgn-0-0)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
If the first and the last entry of a list are non-zero, its number of sign changes
is even if and only if the first and the last element have the same sign. This
will be important later to establish the base case of Descartes' Rule. (if
there are no positive roots, the number of sign changes is even)
lemma even-sign-changes-iff:
 assumes xs \neq ([] :: 'a :: linordered-idom list) hd <math>xs \neq 0 last xs \neq 0
 shows even (sign\text{-}changes\ xs) \longleftrightarrow sgn\ (hd\ xs) = sgn\ (last\ xs)
using assms
proof (induction length xs arbitrary: xs rule: less-induct)
 case (less xs)
 show ?case
 proof (cases xs)
   case (Cons \ x \ xs')
   note x = this
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases xs')
     case (Cons y xs'')
     note y = this
     show ?thesis
     proof (rule linorder-cases[of x*y \theta])
      assume xy: x*y = 0
     with x y less(1,3,4) show ?thesis by (auto simp: sign-changes-Cons-Cons-0)
     next
      assume xy: x*y > 0
      with less(1,4) show ?thesis
        by (auto simp add: x y sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same zero-less-mult-iff)
     next
      assume xy: x*y < \theta
```

```
moreover from xy have sgn \ x = -sgn \ y by (auto \ simp: \ mult-less-0-iff) moreover have even \ (sign-changes \ (y \# xs'')) \longleftrightarrow sgn \ (hd \ (y \# xs'')) = sgn \ (last \ (y \# xs'')) using xy \ less.prems by (intro \ less) \ (auto \ simp: \ xy) moreover from xy \ less.prems have sgn \ y = sgn \ (last \ xs) \longleftrightarrow -sgn \ y \neq sgn \ (last \ xs) by (auto \ simp: \ sgn-if) ultimately show ?thesis by (auto \ simp: \ sign-changes-Cons-Cons-different \ xy) qed (auto \ simp: \ x) qed (insert \ less.prems, \ simp-all) qed
```

1.4 Arthan's lemma

context begin

We first prove an auxiliary lemma that allows us to assume w.l.o.g. that the first element of the list is non-negative, similarly to what Arthan does in his proof.

```
private lemma arthan-wlog [consumes 3, case-names nonneg lift]:
  fixes xs::'a::linordered-idom\ list
  assumes xs \neq [] last xs \neq 0 x + y + sum-list xs = 0
  assumes \bigwedge x \ y \ xs. \ xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow last \ xs \neq 0 \Longrightarrow
             x + y + sum\text{-}list \ xs = 0 \Longrightarrow x \ge 0 \Longrightarrow P \ x \ y \ xs
  assumes \bigwedge x \ y \ xs. \ xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow P \ x \ y \ xs \Longrightarrow P \ (-x) \ (-y) \ (map \ uminus \ xs)
  shows P x y xs
proof (cases x \ge \theta)
  assume x: \neg(x \ge \theta)
  from assms have map uminus xs \neq [] by simp
  moreover from x \ assms(1,2,3) \ have P(-x)(-y) \ (map \ uminus \ xs)
    using uminus-sum-list-map[of \lambda x. x xs, symmetric]
   by (intro assms) (auto simp: last-map algebra-simps o-def neg-eq-iff-add-eq-0)
 ultimately have P(-(-x))(-(-y)) (map uminus (map uminus xs)) by (rule
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: o-def)
qed (simp-all add: assms)
```

We now show that the α and β in Arthan's proof have the necessary properties: their difference is non-negative and even.

```
{\bf private\ lemma}\ \it arthan\hbox{-}\it aux1:
```

```
fixes xs: 'a: \{linordered\text{-}idom\} \ list assumes xs \neq [] \ last \ xs \neq 0 \ x + y + sum\text{-}list \ xs = 0 defines v \equiv \lambda xs. \ int \ (sign\text{-}changes \ xs) shows v \ (x \# y \# xs) - v \ ((x + y) \# xs) \geq v \ (psums \ (x \# y \# xs)) - v \ (psums \ ((x + y) \# xs)) \land even \ (v \ (x \# y \# xs) - v \ ((x + y) \# xs) - v)
```

```
(v (psums (x \# y \# xs)) - v (psums ((x + y) \# xs))))
using assms(1-3)
proof (induction rule: arthan-wlog)
 have uminus-v: v \ (map \ uminus \ xs) = v \ xs \ for \ xs \ by \ (simp \ add: v-def \ sign-changes-uminus)
  case (lift x y xs)
  note lift(2)
  also have v (psums (x\#y\#xs)) - v (psums ((x+y)\#xs)) =
                  v (psums (-x \# -y \# map uminus xs)) -
                  v (psums ((-x + - y) \# map uminus xs))
    by (subst (1 2) uminus-v [symmetric]) (simp add: map-uminus-psums)
  also have v(x \# y \# xs) - v((x + y) \# xs) =
                 v(-x \# -y \# map \ uminus \ xs) - v((-x + -y) \# map \ uminus \ xs)
    by (subst (12) uminus-v [symmetric]) simp
  finally show ?case.
next
  case (nonneq x y xs)
  define p where p = (LEAST n. xs! n \neq 0)
  define xs1 :: 'a \ list \ \mathbf{where} \ xs1 = replicate \ p \ \theta
  define xs2 where xs2 = drop (Suc p) xs
  from nonneg have xs ! (length xs - 1) \neq 0 by (simp add: last-conv-nth)
  hence p-nz: xs \mid p \neq 0 unfolding p-def by (rule LeastI)
    fix q assume q < p hence xs ! q = 0
      using Least-le[of \lambda n. xs! n \neq 0 q] unfolding p-def by force
  } note less-p-zero = this
  from Least-le[of \lambda n. xs! n \neq 0 \text{ length } xs - 1] nonneg
    have p \leq length \ xs - 1 unfolding p-def by (auto simp: last-conv-nth)
  with nonneg have p-less-length: p < length xs by (cases xs) simp-all
  from p-less-length less-p-zero have take p xs = replicate p \theta
    by (subst list-eq-iff-nth-eq) auto
  with p-less-length have xs-decompose: xs = xs1 @ xs! p \# xs2
    unfolding xs1-def xs2-def
    by (subst append-take-drop-id [of p, symmetric],
        subst Cons-nth-drop-Suc) simp-all
  have v-decompose: v(xs'@xs) = v(xs'@[xs!p]) + v(xs!p \# xs2) for xs'
  proof -
    have xs' @ xs = (xs' @ xs1) @ xs! p \# xs2 by (subst xs-decompose) simp
    also have v \dots = v (xs' \otimes [xs! p]) + v (xs! p \# xs2) unfolding v-def
      by (subst\ sign-changes-decompose[OF\ p-nz],
          subst (1 2 3 4) sign-changes-filter [symmetric]) (simp-all add: xs1-def)
    finally show ?thesis.
  qed
  have psums-decompose: psums xs = replicate \ p \ 0 \ @ \ psums \ (xs! \ p \ \# \ xs2)
    by (subst xs-decompose) (simp add: xs1-def psums-replicate-0-append)
  have v-psums-decompose: sign-changes (xs' @ psums xs) = sign-changes (xs' @ psums xs)
```

```
[xs!p]) +
       sign-changes (xs!p \# map ((+) (xs!p)) (psums xs2)) for xs'
 proof -
   fix xs' :: 'a \ list
   have sign-changes (xs' \otimes psums xs) =
          sign-changes (xs' @ xs! p \# map ((+) (xs!p)) (psums xs2))
     \textbf{by} \ (\textit{subst psums-decompose}, \ \textit{subst} \ (\textit{1 2}) \ \textit{sign-changes-filter} \ [\textit{symmetric}])
       (simp-all add: psums-Cons)
   also have ... = sign-changes (xs' @ [xs!p]) +
                  sign-changes (xs!p \# map ((+) (xs!p)) (psums xs2))
     \mathbf{by}\ (subst\ sign\text{-}changes\text{-}decompose[OF\ p\text{-}nz])\ simp\text{-}all
   finally show sign-changes (xs' @ psums xs) = \dots.
 qed
 show ?case
 proof (cases x > 0)
   assume \neg(x > \theta)
   with nonneg show ?thesis by (auto simp: v-def)
 next
   assume x: x > \theta
   show ?thesis
   proof (rule linorder-cases[of y \theta])
     assume y: y > \theta
     from x and this have xy: x + y > 0 by (rule add-pos-pos)
     with y have sign-changes ((x + y) \# xs) = sign-changes (y \# xs)
      by (intro sign-changes-cong) auto
     moreover have sign-changes (x \# psums ((x + y) \# xs)) =
                   sign-changes (psums ((x+y) \# xs))
    using x xy by (subst (12) psums-Cons) (simp-all add: sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same)
     ultimately show ?thesis using x y
      by (simp add: v-def algebra-simps sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same)
   next
     assume y: y = \theta
     with x show ?thesis
      by (simp add: v-def sign-changes-Cons-Cons-0 psums-Cons
                   o-def sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same)
   next
     assume y: y < \theta
     with x have different: x * y < 0 by (rule mult-pos-neg)
     show ?thesis
     proof (rule linorder-cases [of x + y \theta])
      assume xy: x + y < 0
      with x have different': x * (x + y) < \theta by (rule mult-pos-neg)
      have (\lambda t. \ t + (x + y)) = ((+) \ (x + y)) by (rule ext) simp
       moreover from y xy have sign-changes ((x+y) \# xs) = sign-changes (y)
\# xs
        by (intro sign-changes-cong) auto
       ultimately show ?thesis using xy different different' y
         by (simp add: v-def sign-changes-Cons-Cons-different psums-Cons o-def
```

```
add-ac)
     next
      assume xy: x + y = 0
      show ?case
      proof (cases xs ! p > 0)
        assume p: xs ! p > 0
        from p y have different': y * xs ! p < 0 by (intro\ mult-neg-pos)
       with v-decompose of [x, y] v-decompose of [x+y] x xy p different different'
            v-psums-decompose[of [x]] v-psums-decompose[of []]
     show ?thesis by (auto simp add: algebra-simps v-def sign-changes-Cons-Cons-0
                 sign-changes-Cons-Cons-different\ sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same)
      next
        assume \neg (xs \mid p > \theta)
        with p-nz have p: xs \mid p < \theta by simp
        from p y have same: y * xs ! p > 0 by (intro mult-neg-neg)
        from p \ x have different': x * xs ! p < 0 by (intro mult-pos-neg)
        from v-decompose [of [x, y]] v-decompose [of [x+y]] xy different different'
same
            v\text{-}psums\text{-}decompose[of\ [x]]\ v\text{-}psums\text{-}decompose[of\ []]
     show ?thesis by (auto simp add: algebra-simps v-def sign-changes-Cons-Cons-0
                 sign-changes-Cons-Cons-different sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same)
      qed
     next
      assume xy: x + y > 0
      from x and this have same: x * (x + y) > 0 by (rule mult-pos-pos)
      show ?case
      proof (cases xs ! p > 0)
        assume p: xs \mid p > 0
        from xy \ p have same': (x + y) * xs ! p > 0 by (intro\ mult-pos-pos)
        from p y have different': y * xs ! p < 0 by (intro\ mult-neg-pos)
        have (\lambda t. \ t + (x + y)) = ((+) \ (x + y)) by (rule ext) simp
       with v-decompose [of [x, y]] v-decompose [of [x+y]] different different' same
same'
        show ?thesis by (auto simp add: algebra-simps v-def psums-Cons o-def
                 sign-changes-Cons-Cons-different\ sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same)
      next
        assume \neg (xs \mid p > \theta)
        with p-nz have p: xs \mid p < 0 by simp
        from xy p have different': (x + y) * xs ! p < 0 by (rule mult-pos-neg)
        from y p have same': y * xs ! p > 0 by (rule mult-neg-neg)
        have (\lambda t. \ t + (x + y)) = ((+) \ (x + y)) by (rule ext) simp
       with v-decompose [of [x, y]] v-decompose [of [x+y]] different different' same
same'
        show ?thesis by (auto simp add: algebra-simps v-def psums-Cons o-def
                 sign-changes-Cons-Cons-different sign-changes-Cons-Cons-same)
      qed
```

```
qed
qed
qed
qed
```

Now we can prove the main lemma of the proof by induction over the list with our specialised induction rule for *sign-changes*. It states that for a non-empty list whose last element is non-zero and whose sum is zero, the difference of the sign changes in the list and in the list of its partial sums is odd and positive.

```
lemma arthan:
 fixes xs :: 'a :: linordered-idom \ list
 assumes xs \neq [] last xs \neq 0 sum-list xs = 0
 shows sign-changes xs > sign-changes (psums xs) \land sign
          odd (sign-changes xs - sign-changes (psums xs))
using assms
proof (induction xs rule: sign-changes-induct)
 case (nonzero \ x \ y \ xs)
 show ?case
 proof (cases \ xs = [])
   {f case} False
   define \alpha where \alpha = int (sign-changes (x \# y \# xs)) - int (sign-changes ((x \# y \# xs))) - int (sign-changes (x \# y \# xs)))
+ y) # xs))
  define \beta where \beta = int (sign-changes (psums (x # y # xs))) - int (sign-changes)
(psums\ ((x+y)\ \#\ xs)))
   from nonzero False have \alpha \geq \beta \wedge even (\alpha - \beta) unfolding \alpha-def \beta-def
     by (intro arthan-aux1) auto
   from False and nonzero.prems have
      sign-changes\ (psums\ ((x+y)\ \#\ xs)) < sign-changes\ ((x+y)\ \#\ xs)\ \land
       odd (sign-changes ((x + y) \# xs) – sign-changes (psums ((x + y) \# xs)))
     by (intro nonzero.IH) (auto simp: add.assoc)
   with arthan-aux1[of\ xs\ x\ y]\ nonzero(4,5)\ False(1)\ show\ ?thesis\ by\ force
 qed (insert nonzero.prems, auto split: if-split-asm simp: sign-changes-two add-eq-0-iff)
qed (auto split: if-split-asm simp: add-eq-0-iff)
```

 \mathbf{end}

1.5 Roots of a polynomial with a certain property

```
The set of roots of a polynomial p that fulfil a given property P: definition roots-with P p = \{x. P x \land poly p x = 0\}
```

The number of roots of a polynomial p with a given property P, where multiple roots are counted multiple times.

```
definition count-roots-with P p = (\sum x \in roots\text{-with } P p. order x p)

abbreviation pos\text{-}roots \equiv roots\text{-with } (\lambda x. \ x > 0)

abbreviation count\text{-}pos\text{-}roots \equiv count\text{-}roots\text{-with } (\lambda x. \ x > 0)
```

```
lemma finite-roots-with [simp]:
  (p :: 'a :: linordered - idom poly) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow finite (roots - with P p)
 by (rule finite-subset[OF - poly-roots-finite[of p]]) (auto simp: roots-with-def)
lemma count-roots-with-times-root:
  assumes p \neq 0 P (a :: 'a :: linordered-idom)
 shows count-roots-with P([:a, -1:] * p) = Suc (count-roots-with P p)
proof -
  define q where q = [:a, -1:] * p
 from assms have a: a \in roots-with P \neq by (simp-all add: roots-with-def q-def)
  have q-nz: q \neq 0 unfolding q-def by (rule no-zero-divisors) (simp-all add:
assms)
  have count-roots-with P = (\sum x \in roots\text{-with } P = q. \text{ order } x \neq q) by (simp \text{ add}: x \in roots\text{-with } P = q. \text{ order } x \neq q)
count-roots-with-def)
 also from a q-nz have ... = order a q + (\sum x \in roots\text{-with } P \ q - \{a\}. \ order \ x \ q)
   by (subst sum.remove) simp-all
 also have order a = order \ a \ [:a, -1:] + order \ a \ p \ unfolding \ q-def
   by (subst order-mult[OF no-zero-divisors]) (simp-all add: assms)
 also have order a : [a, -1:] = 1
   by (subst order-smult [of -1, symmetric])
      (insert order-power-n-n[of a 1], simp-all add: order-1)
 also have (\sum x \in roots\text{-}with\ P\ q\ -\ \{a\}.\ order\ x\ q) = (\sum x \in roots\text{-}with\ P\ q\ -\ \{a\}.
order \ x \ p)
  proof (intro sum.cong refl)
   fix x assume x: x \in roots-with P q - \{a\}
   from assms have order x = order x = [a, -1] + order x p unfolding q-def
     by (subst order-mult[OF no-zero-divisors]) (simp-all add: assms)
   also from x have order x : [a, -1] = 0 by (intro order-01) simp-all
   finally show order x q = order x p by simp
  qed
 also from a q-nz have 1 + order \ a \ p + (\sum x \in roots\text{-with } P \ q - \{a\}. \ order \ x \ p)
                         1 + (\sum x \in roots\text{-}with P \ q. \ order \ x \ p)
   by (subst add.assoc, subst sum.remove[symmetric]) simp-all
  also from q-nz have (\sum x \in roots\text{-}with \ P \ q. \ order \ x \ p) = (\sum x \in roots\text{-}with \ P \ p.
order \ x \ p)
  proof (intro sum.mono-neutral-right)
   show roots-with P p \subseteq roots-with P q
     by (auto simp: roots-with-def q-def simp del: mult-pCons-left)
   show \forall x \in roots\text{-}with P q - roots\text{-}with P p. order <math>x p = 0
     by (auto simp: roots-with-def q-def order-root simp del: mult-pCons-left)
  qed simp-all
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: q-def count-roots-with-def)
qed
```

1.6 Coefficient sign changes of a polynomial

```
abbreviation (input) coeff-sign-changes f \equiv sign\text{-}changes (coeffs f)
```

We first show that when building a polynomial from a coefficient list, the coefficient sign sign changes of the resulting polynomial are the same as the same sign changes in the list.

Note that constructing a polynomial from a list removes all trailing zeros.

```
lemma sign\text{-}changes\text{-}coeff\text{-}sign\text{-}changes:} assumes Poly\ xs = (p::'a:: linordered\text{-}idom\ poly) shows sign\text{-}changes\ xs = coeff\text{-}sign\text{-}changes\ p} proof — have coeffs\ p = coeffs\ (Poly\ xs) by (subst\ assms)\ (rule\ refl) also have \ldots = strip\text{-}while\ ((=)\ 0)\ xs by simp also have filter\ ((\neq)\ 0)\ \ldots = filter\ ((\neq)\ 0)\ xs unfolding strip\text{-}while\text{-}def\ o\text{-}def by (subst\ rev\text{-}filter\ [symmetric],\ subst\ filter\text{-}drop\ While)\ (simp\ ald:\ rev\text{-}filter) also have sign\text{-}changes\ \ldots = sign\text{-}changes\ xs by (simp\ add:\ sign\text{-}changes\text{-}filter) finally show ?thesis\ by\ (simp\ add:\ sign\text{-}changes\text{-}filter) qed
```

By applying reduce-root a, we can assume w.l.o.g. that the root in question is 1, since applying root reduction does not change the number of sign changes.

```
lemma coeff-sign-changes-reduce-root:
    assumes a > (0 :: 'a :: linordered-idom)
    shows coeff-sign-changes (reduce-root a p) = coeff-sign-changes p
proof (intro sign-changes-cong, induction p)
    case (pCons\ c\ p)
    have map sgn\ (coeffs\ (reduce-root\ a\ (pCons\ c\ p))) = cCons\ (sgn\ c)\ (map\ sgn\ (coeffs\ (reduce-root\ a\ p)))
    using assms\ by\ (auto\ simp\ add:\ cCons-def\ sgn-0-0\ sgn-mult\ reduce-root-pCons\ coeffs-smult)
    also note pCons.IH
    also have cCons\ (sgn\ c)\ (map\ sgn\ (coeffs\ p)) = map\ sgn\ (coeffs\ (pCons\ c\ p))
    using assms\ by\ (auto\ simp\ add:\ cCons-def\ sgn-0-0)
    finally show ?case.

qed (simp-all\ add:\ reduce-root-def)
```

Multiplying a polynomial with a positive constant also does not change the number of sign changes. (in fact, any non-zero constant would also work, but the proof is slightly more difficult and positive constants suffice in our use case)

```
lemma coeff-sign-changes-smult:

assumes a > (0 :: 'a :: linordered-idom)

shows coeff-sign-changes (smult a p) = coeff-sign-changes p

using assms by (auto intro!: sign-changes-cong simp: sgn-mult coeffs-smult)
```

context

begin

We now show that a polynomial with an odd number of sign changes contains a positive root. We first assume that the constant coefficient is non-zero. Then it is clear that the polynomial's sign at 0 will be the sign of the constant coefficient, whereas the polynomial's sign for sufficiently large inputs will be the sign of the leading coefficient.

Moreover, we have shown before that in a list with an odd number of sign changes and non-zero initial and last coefficients, the initial coefficient and the last coefficient have opposite and non-zero signs. Then, the polynomial obviously has a positive root.

```
private lemma odd-coeff-sign-changes-imp-pos-roots-aux:
  assumes [simp]: p \neq (0 :: real \ poly) \ poly \ p \ 0 \neq 0
  assumes odd (coeff-sign-changes p)
  obtains x where x > 0 poly p x = 0
proof -
  from \langle poly \ p \ \theta \neq \theta \rangle
  have [simp]: hd (coeffs p) \neq 0
   by (induct p) auto
  from assms have \neg even (coeff-sign-changes p)
  also have even (coeff\mbox{-}sign\mbox{-}changes\ p) \longleftrightarrow sgn\ (hd\ (coeff\mbox{-}p)) = sgn\ (lead\mbox{-}coeff
   by (auto simp add: even-sign-changes-iff last-coeffs-eq-coeff-degree)
  finally have sqn (hd (coeffs p)) * sqn (lead-coeff p) < 0
   by (auto simp: sgn-if split: if-split-asm)
  also from \langle p \neq \theta \rangle have hd (coeffs p) = poly p \theta by (induction p) auto
  finally have poly p \ \theta * lead\text{-}coeff \ p < \theta \ \text{by} \ (auto \ simp: mult-less-0-iff)
  from pos-root-exI[OF this] that show ?thesis by blast
qed
```

We can now show the statement without the restriction to a non-zero constant coefficient. We can do this by simply factoring p into the form $p \cdot x^n$, where n is chosen as large as possible. This corresponds to stripping all initial zeros of the coefficient list, which obviously changes neither the existence of positive roots nor the number of coefficient sign changes.

```
lemma odd-coeff-sign-changes-imp-pos-roots: assumes p \neq (0 :: real \ poly) assumes odd (coeff-sign-changes p) obtains x where x > 0 poly p x = 0 proof — define s where s = sgn (lead-coeff p) define n where n = order \ 0 \ p define n where n = order \ 0 \ p define n where n = p div [:0, 1:] \ \hat{} n have p: p = [:0, 1:] \ \hat{} n * r unfolding n-def n-def using n-def n-def
```

```
from assms p have r-nz: r \neq 0 by auto
 obtain x where x > \theta poly r x = \theta
 proof (rule odd-coeff-sign-changes-imp-pos-roots-aux)
   show r \neq 0 by fact
   have order 0 p = order 0 p + order 0 r
     by (subst p, insert order-power-n-n[of 0::real n] r-nz)
       (simp del: mult-pCons-left add: order-mult n-def)
   hence order \theta r = \theta by simp
   with r-nz show nz: poly r \ 0 \neq 0 by (simp add: order-root)
   note ⟨odd (coeff-sign-changes p)⟩
   also have p = [:0, 1:] \cap n * r by (simp \ add: \ p)
   also have [:0, 1:] \hat{n} = monom 1 \hat{n}
     by (induction \ n) (simp-all \ add: monom-Suc \ monom-\theta)
   also have coeffs (monom 1 n * r) = replicate n 0 @ coeffs r
     by (induction n) (simp-all add: monom-Suc cCons-def r-nz monom-0)
   also have sign-changes ... = coeff-sign-changes r
     by (subst (1 2) sign-changes-filter [symmetric]) simp
   finally show odd (coeff-sign-changes r).
 thus ?thesis by (intro that[of x]) (simp-all add: p)
qed
end
      Proof of Descartes' sign rule
```

```
For a polynomial p(X) = a_0 + \ldots + a_n X^n, we have [X^i](1-X)p(X) =
\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} a_{j}\right).
lemma coeff-poly-times-one-minus-x:
  fixes g :: 'a :: linordered-idom poly
  shows coeff g n = (\sum i \le n. coeff (g * [:1, -1:]) i)
 by (induction n) simp-all
```

We apply the previous lemma to the coefficient list of a polynomial and show: given a polynomial p(X) and q(X) = (1 - X)p(X), the coefficient list of p(X) is the list of partial sums of the coefficient list of q(X).

```
lemma Poly-times-one-minus-x-eq-psums:
 fixes xs::'a::linordered-idom\ list
 assumes [simp]: length xs = length ys
 assumes Poly xs = Poly ys * [:1, -1:]
 shows ys = psums xs
proof (rule nth-equalityI; safe?)
 fix i assume i: i < length ys
 hence ys ! i = coeff (Poly ys) i
   by (simp add: nth-default-def)
```

```
also from coeff-poly-times-one-minus-x[of\ Poly\ ys\ i] assms have \ldots = (\sum j \le i.\ coeff\ (Poly\ xs)\ j) by simp also from i have \ldots = psums\ xs\ !\ i by (auto\ simp:\ nth-default-def psums-nth) finally show ys\ !\ i=psums\ xs\ !\ i . qed\ simp-all
```

We can now apply our main lemma on the sign changes in lists to the coefficient lists of a nonzero polynomial p(X) and (1-X)p(X): the difference of the changes in the coefficient lists is odd and positive.

```
lemma sign-changes-poly-times-one-minus-x:
 fixes g :: 'a :: linordered-idom poly and a :: 'a
 assumes nz: q \neq 0
 defines v \equiv coeff-sign-changes
 shows v([:1, -1:] * g) - v g > 0 \land odd(v([:1, -1:] * g) - v g)
proof -
 define xs where xs = coeffs ([:1, -1:] * g)
 define ys where ys = coeffs g @ [\theta]
 have ys: ys = psums xs
 proof (rule Poly-times-one-minus-x-eq-psums)
   show length xs = length ys unfolding xs-def ys-def
   by (simp add: length-coeffs nz degree-mult-eq no-zero-divisors del: mult-pCons-left)
   show Poly xs = Poly ys * [:1, -1:] unfolding xs-def ys-def
     by (simp only: Poly-snoc Poly-coeffs) simp
 qed
 have sign-changes (psums xs) < sign-changes xs \land
       odd\ (sign\text{-}changes\ xs\ -\ sign\text{-}changes\ (psums\ xs))
 proof (rule arthan)
   show xs \neq []
     by (auto simp: xs-def nz simp del: mult-pCons-left)
  then show sum-list xs = 0 by (simp add: last-psums [symmetric] ys [symmetric]
ys-def)
   show last xs \neq 0
     by (auto simp: xs-def nz last-coeffs-eq-coeff-degree simp del: mult-pCons-left)
 qed
 with ys have sign-changes ys < sign-changes xs \land
             odd (sign-changes xs - sign-changes ys) by simp
 also have sign\text{-}changes\ xs = v\ ([:1, -1:] * g) unfolding v\text{-}def
   by (intro sign-changes-coeff-sign-changes) (simp-all add: xs-def)
 also have sign-changes ys = v g unfolding v-def
   by (intro sign-changes-coeff-sign-changes) (simp-all add: ys-def Poly-snoc)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
We can now lift the previous lemma to the case of p(X) and (a - X)p(X)
by substituting X with aX, yielding the polynomials p(aX) and a \cdot (1-X).
p(aX).
\mathbf{lemma}\ sign\text{-}changes\text{-}poly\text{-}times\text{-}root\text{-}minus\text{-}x\text{:}
 fixes q :: 'a :: linordered-idom poly and <math>a :: 'a
```

```
assumes nz: g \neq 0 and pos: a > 0
 defines v \equiv coeff-sign-changes
 shows v([:a, -1:] * g) - v g > 0 \land odd(v([:a, -1:] * g) - v g)
proof -
 have 0 < v ([:1, -1:] * reduce-root a g) - v (reduce-root a g) \wedge
          odd\ (v\ ([:1,-1:]*reduce-root\ a\ g)-v\ (reduce-root\ a\ g))
   using nz pos unfolding v-def by (intro sign-changes-poly-times-one-minus-x)
 also have v([:1, -1:] * reduce\text{-root } a g) = v(smult \ a ([:1, -1:] * reduce\text{-root } a
g))
   unfolding v-def by (simp add: coeff-sign-changes-smult pos)
 also have smult a ([:1, -1:] * reduce-root a g) = [:a:] * [:1, -1:] * reduce-root
   by (subst mult.assoc) simp
 also have [:a:] * [:1, -1:] = reduce\text{-root } a [:a, -1:]
   by (simp add: reduce-root-def pcompose-pCons)
 also have ... * reduce-root a = reduce-root a ([:a, -1:] * g)
   unfolding reduce-root-def by (simp only: pcompose-mult)
 also have v \dots = v([:a, -1:] * g) by (simp add: v-def coeff-sign-changes-reduce-root
 also have v (reduce-root a g) = v g by (simp add: v-def coeff-sign-changes-reduce-root
pos
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
Finally, the difference of the number of coefficient sign changes and the num-
ber of positive roots is non-negative and even. This follows straightforwardly
by induction over the roots.
lemma descartes-sign-rule-aux:
 fixes p :: real \ poly
 assumes p \neq 0
 shows coeff-sign-changes p \ge count-pos-roots p \land count
         even (coeff-sign-changes p - count-pos-roots p)
using assms
proof (induction p rule: poly-root-induct[where P = \lambda a. \ a > 0])
 case (root \ a \ p)
 define q where q = [:a, -1:] * p
 from root.prems have p: p \neq 0 by auto
 with root p sign-changes-poly-times-root-minus-x[of p a]
       count-roots-with-times-root[of p \lambda x. x > 0 a] show ?case by (fold q-def)
fast force
next
 case (no\text{-}roots\ p)
 from no-roots have pos-roots p = \{\} by (auto simp: roots-with-def)
 hence [simp]: count-pos-roots p = 0 by (simp add: count-roots-with-def)
 thus ?case using no-roots \langle p \neq 0 \rangle odd-coeff-sign-changes-imp-pos-roots[of p]
   by (auto simp: roots-with-def)
qed simp-all
```

The main theorem is then an obvious consequence

```
theorem descartes-sign-rule: fixes p:: real poly assumes p \neq 0 shows \exists d. even d \land coeff-sign-changes p = count-pos-roots p + d proof define d where d = coeff-sign-changes p - count-pos-roots p show even d \land coeff-sign-changes p = count-pos-roots p + d unfolding d-def using descartes-sign-rule-aux[OF assms] by auto qed end
```

References

[1] R. D. Arthan. Descartes' rule of signs by an easy induction. 2007.