

Derangements

Lukas Bulwahn

December 17, 2016

Abstract

The Derangements Formula describes the number of fixpoint-free permutations as closed-form formula. This theorem is the 88th theorem of the Top 100 Theorems list.

Contents

1 Derangements	1
1.1 Preliminaries	1
1.1.1 Additions to <i>Finite-Set Theory</i>	1
1.1.2 Additions to <i>Permutations Theory</i>	2
1.2 Fixpoint-Free Permutations	3
1.3 Properties of Derangements	3
1.4 Construction of Derangements	4
1.5 Cardinality of Derangements	6
1.5.1 Recursive Characterization	6
1.5.2 Closed-Form Characterization	10
1.5.3 Approximation of Cardinality	10

1 Derangements

theory *Derangements*

imports

\sim /src/HOL/Library/Permutations

\sim /src/HOL/Decision-Procs/Approximation

begin

1.1 Preliminaries

1.1.1 Additions to *Finite-Set Theory*

lemma *card-product-dependent*:

assumes *finite S* $\forall x \in S. \text{finite } (T\ x)$

shows $\text{card } \{(x, y). x \in S \wedge y \in T\ x\} = (\sum x \in S. \text{card } (T\ x))$

using *card-SigmaI[OF assms, symmetric]* **by** (*auto intro!: arg-cong[where f=card]*)

simp add: Sigma-def)

1.1.2 Additions to *Permutations Theory*

lemma *permutes-imp-bij'*:

assumes p permutes S

shows $\text{bij } p$

using *assms* **by** (*meson* *bij-def* *permutes-inj* *permutes-surj*)

lemma *permutesE*:

assumes p permutes S

obtains $\text{bij } p \ \forall x. x \notin S \longrightarrow p \ x = x$

using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *permutes-def* *permutes-imp-bij'*)

lemma *bij-imp-permutes'*:

assumes $\text{bij } p \ \forall x. x \notin A \longrightarrow p \ x = x$

shows p permutes A

using *assms* *bij-imp-permutes* *permutes-superset* **by** *force*

lemma *permutes-swap*:

assumes p permutes S

shows *Fun.swap* $x \ y \ p$ permutes (*insert* x (*insert* $y \ S$))

proof –

from *assms* **have** p permutes (*insert* x (*insert* $y \ S$)) **by** (*meson* *permutes-subset* *subset-insertI*)

moreover **have** *Fun.swap* $x \ y \ \text{id}$ permutes (*insert* x (*insert* $y \ S$)) **by** (*simp* *add*: *permutes-swap-id*)

ultimately show *Fun.swap* $x \ y \ p$ permutes (*insert* x (*insert* $y \ S$))

by (*metis* *comp-id* *comp-swap* *permutes-compose*)

qed

lemma *bij-extends*:

$\text{bij } p \implies p \ x = x \implies \text{bij } (p(x := y, \text{inv } p \ y := x))$

unfolding *bij-def*

proof (*rule* *conjI*; *erule* *conjE*)

assume a : *inj* $p \ p \ x = x$

show *inj* ($p(x := y, \text{inv } p \ y := x)$)

proof (*intro* *injI*)

fix $z \ z'$

assume ($p(x := y, \text{inv } p \ y := x)$) $z = (p(x := y, \text{inv } p \ y := x)) \ z'$

from *this* a **show** $z = z'$

by (*auto* *split*: *if-split-asm* *simp* *add*: *inv-f-eq* *inj-eq*)

qed

next

assume a : *inj* $p \ \text{surj } p \ p \ x = x$

{
fix x'

from a **have** ($p(x := y, \text{inv } p \ y := x)$) $((\text{inv } p)(y := x, x := \text{inv } p \ y)) \ x' = x'$

by (*auto* *split*: *if-split-asm*) (*metis* *surj-f-inv-f*)+

}

from *this* **show** *surj* ($p(x := y, \text{inv } p \ y := x)$) **by** (*metis* *surjI*)

qed

lemma *permutates-add-one*:

assumes p *permutates* S $x \notin S$ $y \in S$

shows $p(x := y, \text{inv } p \ y := x)$ *permutates* (*insert* x S)

proof (*rule* *bij-imp-permutates'*)

from *assms* **show** *bij* ($p(x := y, \text{inv } p \ y := x)$)

by (*meson* *bij-extends* *permutates-def* *permutates-imp-bij'*)

from *assms* **show** $\forall z. z \notin \text{insert } x \ S \longrightarrow (p(x := y, \text{inv } p \ y := x)) \ z = z$

by (*metis* *fun-upd-apply* *insertCI* *permutates-def* *permutates-inverses(1)*)

qed

lemma *permutations-skip-one*:

assumes p *permutates* S $x : S$

shows $p(x := x, \text{inv } p \ x := p \ x)$ *permutates* ($S - \{x\}$)

proof (*rule* *bij-imp-permutates'*)

from *assms* **show** $\forall z. z \notin S - \{x\} \longrightarrow (p(x := x, \text{inv } p \ x := p \ x)) \ z = z$

by (*auto* *elim*: *permutatesE* *simp* *add*: *bij-inv-eq-iff*)

(*simp* *add*: *assms(1)* *permutates-in-image* *permutates-inv*)

from *assms* **have** *inj* ($p(x := x, \text{inv } p \ x := p \ x)$)

by (*intro* *injI*) (*auto* *split*: *if-split-asm*; *metis* *permutates-inverses(2)*)**+**

from *assms* **this** **show** *bij* ($p(x := x, \text{inv } p \ x := p \ x)$)

by (*metis* *UNIV-I* *bij-betw-imageI* *bij-betw-swap-iff* *permutates-inj* *permutates-surj* *surj-f-inv-f* *swap-def*)

qed

lemma *permutates-drop-cycle-size-two*:

assumes p *permutates* S $p(p \ x) = x$

shows *Fun.swap* x ($p \ x$) p *permutates* ($S - \{x, p \ x\}$)

using *assms* **by** (*auto* *intro*!: *bij-imp-permutates'* *elim*!: *permutatesE*) (*metis* *swap-apply(1,3)*)

1.2 Fixpoint-Free Permutations

definition *derangements* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow *nat*) *set*

where

derangements $S = \{p. p \text{ permutates } S \wedge (\forall x \in S. p \ x \neq x)\}$

lemma *derangementsI*:

assumes p *permutates* S $\bigwedge x. x \in S \Longrightarrow p \ x \neq x$

shows $p \in$ *derangements* S

using *assms* **unfolding** *derangements-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *derangementsE*:

assumes $d :$ *derangements* S

obtains d *permutates* S $\forall x \in S. d \ x \neq x$

using *assms* **unfolding** *derangements-def* **by** *auto*

1.3 Properties of Derangements

lemma *derangements-inv*:

assumes $d: d \in \text{derangements } S$
shows $\text{inv } d \in \text{derangements } S$
using *assms*
by (*auto intro!*: *derangementsI elim!*: *derangementsE simp add: permutes-inv permutes-inv-eq*)

lemma *derangements-in-image*:
assumes $d \in \text{derangements } A \ x \in A$
shows $d \ x \in A$
using *assms* **by** (*auto elim: derangementsE simp add: permutes-in-image*)

lemma *derangements-in-image-strong*:
assumes $d \in \text{derangements } A \ x \in A$
shows $d \ x \in A - \{x\}$
using *assms* **by** (*auto elim: derangementsE simp add: permutes-in-image*)

lemma *derangements-inverse-in-image*:
assumes $d \in \text{derangements } A \ x \in A$
shows $\text{inv } d \ x \in A$
using *assms* **by** (*auto intro: derangements-in-image derangements-inv*)

lemma *derangements-fixpoint*:
assumes $d \in \text{derangements } A \ x \notin A$
shows $d \ x = x$
using *assms* **by** (*auto elim!: derangementsE simp add: permutes-def*)

lemma *derangements-no-fixpoint*:
assumes $d \in \text{derangements } A \ x \in A$
shows $d \ x \neq x$
using *assms* **by** (*auto elim: derangementsE*)

lemma *finite-derangements*:
assumes *finite* A
shows *finite* (*derangements* A)
using *assms* **unfolding** *derangements-def*
by (*auto simp add: finite-permutations*)

1.4 Construction of Derangements

lemma *derangements-empty[simp]*:
 $\text{derangements } \{\} = \{\text{id}\}$
unfolding *derangements-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *derangements-singleton[simp]*:
 $\text{derangements } \{x\} = \{\}$
unfolding *derangements-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *derangements-swap*:
assumes $d \in \text{derangements } S \ x \notin S \ y \notin S \ x \neq y$
shows *Fun.swap* $x \ y \ d \in \text{derangements } (\text{insert } x \ (\text{insert } y \ S))$

proof (*rule derangementsI*)
from *assms* **show** *Fun.swap x y d permutes (insert x (insert y S))*
 by (*auto intro: permutes-swap elim: derangementsE*)
from *assms* **have** *s: d x = x d y = y*
 by (*auto intro: derangements-fixpoint*)
 {
 fix *x'*
 assume *x' : insert x (insert y S)*
from *s assms (x ≠ y)* **this show** *Fun.swap x y d x' ≠ x'*
 by (*cases x' = x; cases x' = y (auto dest: derangements-no-fixpoint)*)
 }
qed

lemma *derangements-skip-one*:
assumes *d: d ∈ derangements S and x ∈ S d (d x) ≠ x*
shows *d(x := x, inv d x := d x) ∈ derangements (S - {x})*
proof -
from *d* **have** *bij: bij d*
 by (*auto elim: derangementsE simp add: permutes-imp-bij'*)
from *d (x : S)* **have** *that: d x : S - {x}*
 by (*auto dest: derangements-in-image derangements-no-fixpoint*)
from *d (d (d x) ≠ x) bij* **have** $\forall x' \in S - \{x\}. (d(x := x, inv d x := d x)) x' \neq x'$
 by (*auto elim!: derangementsE simp add: bij-inv-eq-iff*)
from *d (x : S)* **this show** *derangements: d(x:=x, inv d x:= d x) : derangements (S - {x})*
 by (*meson derangementsE derangementsI permutations-skip-one*)
qed

lemma *derangements-add-one*:
assumes *d ∈ derangements S x ∉ S y ∈ S*
shows *d(x := y, inv d y := x) ∈ derangements (insert x S)*
proof (*rule derangementsI*)
from *assms* **show** *d(x := y, inv d y := x) permutes (insert x S)*
 by (*auto intro: permutes-add-one elim: derangementsE*)
next
 fix *z*
 assume *z : insert x S*
from *assms this derangements-inverse-in-image[OF assms(1), of y]*
show *(d(x := y, inv d y := x)) z ≠ z* **by** (*auto elim: derangementsE*)
qed

lemma *derangements-drop-minimal-cycle*:
assumes *d ∈ derangements S d (d x) = x*
shows *Fun.swap x (d x) d ∈ derangements (S - {x, d x})*
proof (*rule derangementsI*)
from *assms* **show** *Fun.swap x (d x) d permutes (S - {x, d x})*
 by (*meson derangementsE permutes-drop-cycle-size-two*)
next

```

fix y
assume  $y \in S - \{x, d\}$ 
from assms this show  $\text{Fun.swap } x \ (d \ x) \ d \ y \neq y$ 
  by (auto elim: derangementsE)
qed

```

1.5 Cardinality of Derangements

1.5.1 Recursive Characterization

```

fun count-derangements ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 

```

```

where

```

```

  count-derangements 0 = 1
| count-derangements (Suc 0) = 0
| count-derangements (Suc (Suc n)) = (n + 1) * (count-derangements (Suc n) +
count-derangements n)

```

```

lemma card-derangements:

```

```

  assumes finite S  $\text{card } S = n$ 

```

```

  shows  $\text{card } (\text{derangements } S) = \text{count-derangements } n$ 

```

```

using assms

```

```

proof (induct n arbitrary: S rule: count-derangements.induct)

```

```

  case 1

```

```

    from this show ?case by auto

```

```

next

```

```

  case 2

```

```

    from this derangements-singleton finite-derangements show ?case

```

```

      using Finite-Set.card-0-eq card-eq-SucD count-derangements.simps(2) by fastforce

```

```

next

```

```

  case (3 n)

```

```

    from 3(4) obtain x where  $x \in S$  using card-eq-SucD insertI1 by auto

```

```

    let ?D1 =  $(\lambda(y, d). \text{Fun.swap } x \ y \ d) \ ' \{(y, d). y \in S \ \& \ y \neq x \ \& \ d : \text{derangements}$ 

```

```

    ( $S - \{x, y\}\})$ 

```

```

    let ?D2 =  $(\lambda(y, f). f(x:=y, \text{inv } f \ y := x)) \ ' ((S - \{x\}) \times \text{derangements } (S -$ 

```

```

     $\{x\}))$ 

```

```

    from  $\langle x \in S \rangle$  have subset1: ?D1  $\subseteq \text{derangements } S$ 

```

```

    proof (auto)

```

```

      fix y d

```

```

      assume  $y \in S \ y \neq x$ 

```

```

      assume  $d : d \in \text{derangements } (S - \{x, y\})$ 

```

```

      from  $\langle x : S \rangle \langle y : S \rangle$  have  $S : S = \text{insert } x \ (\text{insert } y \ (S - \{x, y\}))$  by auto

```

```

      from  $d \langle x : S \rangle \langle y : S \rangle \langle y \neq x \rangle$  show  $\text{Fun.swap } x \ y \ d \in \text{derangements } S$ 

```

```

      by (subst S) (auto intro!: derangements-swap)

```

```

    qed

```

```

    have subset2: ?D2  $\subseteq \text{derangements } S$ 

```

```

    proof (rule subsetI, erule imageE, simp split: prod.split-asm, (erule conjE)+)

```

```

      fix d y

```

```

      assume  $d : \text{derangements } (S - \{x\}) \ y : S \ y \neq x$ 

```

```

      from this have  $d(x := y, \text{inv } d \ y := x) \in \text{derangements } (\text{insert } x \ (S - \{x\}))$ 

```

```

      by (intro derangements-add-one) auto

```

```

from this ⟨x : S⟩ show d(x := y, inv d y := x) ∈ derangements S
  using insert-Diff by fastforce
qed
have split: derangements S = ?D1 ∪ ?D2
proof
  from subset1 subset2 show ?D1 ∪ ?D2 ⊆ derangements S by simp
next
  show derangements S ⊆ ?D1 ∪ ?D2
  proof
    fix d
    assume d: d : derangements S
    show d : ?D1 ∪ ?D2
    proof (cases d (d x) = x)
      case True
        from ⟨x : S⟩ d have d x ∈ S d x ≠ x
          by (auto simp add: derangements-in-image derangements-no-fixpoint)
        from d True have Fun.swap x (d x) d ∈ derangements (S - {x, d x})
          by (rule derangements-drop-minimal-cycle)
        from ⟨d x ∈ S⟩ ⟨d x ≠ x⟩ this have d : ?D1
          by (auto intro: image-eqI[where x = (d x, Fun.swap x (d x) d)])
        from this show ?thesis by auto
      case False
        from d have bij: bij d
          by (auto elim: derangementsE simp add: permutes-imp-bij')
        from d ⟨x : S⟩ have that: d x : S - {x}
          by (intro derangements-in-image-strong)
        from d ⟨x : S⟩ False have derangements: d(x:=x, inv d x:= d x) : derange-
ments (S - {x})
          by (auto intro: derangements-skip-one)
        from this have bij (d(x := x, inv d x:= d x))
          by (metis derangementsE permutes-imp-bij')+
        from this have a: inv (d(x := x, inv d x := d x)) (d x) = inv d x
          by (metis bij-inv-eq-iff fun-upd-same)
        from bij have x: d (inv d x) = x by (meson bij-inv-eq-iff)
        from d derangements-inv[of d] ⟨x : S⟩ have inv d x ≠ x d x ≠ x
          by (auto dest: derangements-no-fixpoint)
        from this a x have d-eq: d = d(inv d x := d x, x := d x, inv (d(x := x,
inv d x := d x)) (d x) := x)
          by auto
        from derangements that have (d x, d(x:=x, inv d x:=d x)) : ((S - {x}) ×
derangements (S - {x})) by auto
        from d-eq this have d : ?D2
          by (auto intro: image-eqI[where x = (d x, d(x:=x, inv d x:=d x))])
        from this show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
have no-intersect: ?D1 ∩ ?D2 = {}

```

proof –
have that: $\bigwedge d. d \in ?D1 \implies d (d x) = x$
using *Diff-iff Diff-insert2 derangements-fixpoint insertI1 swap-apply(2)* **by**
fastforce
have $\bigwedge d. d \in ?D2 \implies d (d x) \neq x$
proof –
fix *d*
assume *a: d ∈ ?D2*
from *a* **obtain** *y d'* **where** *d: d = d'(x := y, inv d' y := x)*
d' ∈ derangements (S - {x}) y ∈ S - {x}
by *auto*
from *d(2)* **have** *inv: inv d' ∈ derangements (S - {x})*
by *(rule derangements-inv)*
from *d* **have** *inv-x: inv d' y ≠ x*
by *(auto dest: derangements-inverse-in-image)*
from *inv* **have** *inv-y: inv d' y ≠ y*
using *d(3) derangements-no-fixpoint* **by** *blast*
from *d inv-x* **have** *1: d x = y* **by** *auto*
from *d inv-y* **have** *2: d y = d' y* **by** *auto*
from *d(2, 3)* **have** *3: d' y ∈ S - {x}*
by *(auto dest: derangements-in-image)*
from *1 2 3* **show** *d (d x) ≠ x* **by** *auto*
qed
from *this that* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
have *inj: inj-on (λ(y, f). Fun.swap x y f) {(y, f). y ∈ S & y ≠ x & f :*
derangements (S - {x, y})}
unfolding *inj-on-def*
by *(clarify; metis DiffD2 derangements-fixpoint insertI1 insert-commute swap-apply(1)*
swap-nilpotent)
have *eq: {(y, f). y ∈ S & y ≠ x & f : derangements (S - {x, y})} = {(y, f).*
y ∈ S - {x} & f : derangements (S - {x, y})}
by *simp*
have *eq': {(y, f). y ∈ S & y ≠ x & f : derangements (S - {x, y})} = Sigma*
(S - {x}) (%y. derangements (S - {x, y}))
unfolding *Sigma-def* **by** *auto*
have *card: $\bigwedge y. y \in S - \{x\} \implies \text{card} (\text{derangements } (S - \{x, y\})) =$*
count-derangements n
proof –
fix *y*
assume *y ∈ S - {x}*
from *3(3, 4) (x ∈ S) this* **have** *card (S - {x, y}) = n*
by *(metis Diff-insert2 card-Diff-singleton diff-Suc-1 finite-Diff)*
from *3(3) 3(2)[OF - this]* **show** *card (derangements (S - {x, y})) = count-derangements*
n **by** *auto*
qed
from *3(3, 4) (x : S)* **have** *card2: card (S - {x}) = Suc n* **by** *(simp add:*
card.insert-remove insert-absorb)
from *inj* **have** *card ?D1 = card {(y, f). y ∈ S - {x} ∧ f ∈ derangements (S*


```

- {x, y}}
  by (simp add: card-image)
also from 3(3) have ... = (∑ y∈S - {x}. card (derangements (S - {x, y})))
  by (subst card-product-dependent) (simp add: finite-derangements)+
finally have card-1: card ?D1 = (Suc n) * count-derangements n
  using card card2 by auto
have inj-2: inj-on (λ(y, f). f(x := y, inv f y := x)) ((S - {x}) × derangements
(S - {x}))
proof -
  {
    fix d d' y y'
    assume 1: d ∈ derangements (S - {x}) d' ∈ derangements (S - {x})
    assume 2: y ∈ S y ≠ x y' ∈ S y' ≠ x
    assume eq: d(x := y, inv d y := x) = d'(x := y', inv d' y' := x)
    from 1 2 eq ⟨x ∈ S⟩ have y: y = y'
      by (metis Diff-insert-absorb derangements-in-image derangements-inv
fun-upd-same fun-upd-twist insert-iff mk-disjoint-insert)
    have d = d'
  proof
    fix z
    from 1 have d-x: d x = d' x
      by (auto dest!: derangements-fixpoint)
    from 1 have bij: bij d bij d'
      by (metis derangementsE permutes-imp-bij')+
    from this have d-d: d (inv d y) = y d' (inv d' y') = y'
      by (simp add: bij-is-surj surj-f-inv-f)+
    from 1 2 bij have neq: d (inv d' y') ≠ x ∧ d' (inv d y) ≠ x
      by (metis Diff-iff bij-inv-eq-iff derangements-fixpoint singletonI)
    from eq have (d(x := y, inv d y := x)) z = (d'(x := y', inv d' y' := x)) z
  by auto
    from y d-x d-d neq this show d z = d' z by (auto split: if-split-asm)
  qed
  from y this have y = y' & d = d' by auto
  }
  from this show ?thesis
    unfolding inj-on-def by auto
  qed
  from 3(3) 3(1)[OF - card2] have card3: card (derangements (S - {x})) =
count-derangements (Suc n)
    by auto
  from 3(3) inj-2 have card-2: card ?D2 = (Suc n) * count-derangements (Suc
n)
    by (simp only: card-image) (auto simp add: card-cartesian-product card3 card2)
  from ⟨finite S⟩ have finite1: finite ?D1
    unfolding eq' by (auto intro: finite-derangements)
  from ⟨finite S⟩ have finite2: finite ?D2
    by (auto intro: finite-cartesian-product finite-derangements)
  show ?case
    by (simp add: split card-Un-disjoint finite1 finite2 no-intersect card-1 card-2

```

field-simps)
qed

1.5.2 Closed-Form Characterization

lemma *count-derangements*:

count-derangements $n = \text{fact } n * (\sum k \in \{0..n\}. (-1) ^ k / \text{fact } k)$

proof (*induct* n *rule*: *count-derangements.induct*)

case ($\exists n$)

let $?f = \lambda n. \text{fact } n * (\sum k = 0..n. (-1) ^ k / \text{fact } k)$

have $\text{real } (\text{count-derangements } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))) = (n + 1) * (\text{count-derangements } (n + 1) + \text{count-derangements } n)$

unfolding *count-derangements.simps* **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = \text{real } (n + 1) * (\text{real } (\text{count-derangements } (n + 1)) + \text{real } (\text{count-derangements } n))$

by (*simp only*: *of-nat-mult of-nat-add*)

also have $\dots = (n + 1) * (?f (n + 1) + ?f n)$

unfolding $\exists(2) \exists(1)[\text{unfolded } \text{Suc-eq-plus1}] \dots$

also have $(n + 1) * (?f (n + 1) + ?f n) = ?f (n + 2)$

proof –

define f **where** $f n = ((\text{fact } n) :: \text{real}) * (\sum k = 0..n. (-1) ^ k / \text{fact } k)$ **for** n

have $f\text{-eq}: \bigwedge n. f (n + 1) = (n + 1) * f n + (-1) ^ (n + 1)$

proof –

fix n

have $?f (n + 1) = (n + 1) * \text{fact } n * (\sum k = 0..n. (-1) ^ k / \text{fact } k) + \text{fact } (n + 1) * ((-1) ^ (n + 1) / \text{fact } (n + 1))$

by (*simp add*: *field-simps*)

also have $\dots = (n + 1) * ?f n + (-1) ^ (n + 1)$ **by** (*simp del*: *One-nat-def*)

finally show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $f\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*

qed

from this have $f\text{-eq}' : \bigwedge n. (n + 1) * f n = f (n + 1) + (-1) ^ n$ **by** *auto*

from $f\text{-eq}'[\text{of } n]$ **have** $(n + 1) * (f (n + 1) + f n) = ((n + 1) * f (n + 1)) + f (n + 1) + (-1) ^ n$

by (*simp only*: *distrib-left of-nat-add of-nat-1*)

also have $\dots = (n + 2) * f (n + 1) + (-1) ^ (n + 2)$

by (*simp del*: *One-nat-def add-2-eq-Suc' add*: *algebra-simps*) *simp*

also from $f\text{-eq}'[\text{of } n + 1]$ **have** $\dots = f (n + 2)$ **by** *simp*

finally show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $f\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*

qed

finally show $?case$ **by** *simp*

qed (*auto*)

1.5.3 Approximation of Cardinality

lemma *approximation*:

assumes $n \neq 0$

shows $\text{abs}(\text{real } (\text{count-derangements } n) - \text{fact } n / \text{exp } 1) < 1 / 2$

proof (*cases* $n \geq 5$)

case *False*

```

from assms this have  $n: n = 1 \vee n = 2 \vee n = 3 \vee n = 4$  by auto
have numeral-4-eq-4:  $4 = \text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc} 0)))$  by auto
have  $\text{exp } 1 > (2 :: \text{real})$  by (approximation 4)
have  $\text{exp } 1 < (3 :: \text{real})$  by (approximation 6)
have  $(2 :: \text{real}) < 6 / \text{exp } 1$  by (approximation 6)
have  $(12 :: \text{real}) / \text{exp } 1 < 5$  by (approximation 5)
have  $9 > 24 / \text{exp } (1 :: \text{real})$  by (approximation 9)
have  $(17 :: \text{real}) < 48 / \text{exp } 1$  by (approximation 8)
from  $\langle \text{exp } 1 > 2 \rangle$  have  $1: \text{abs}(\text{real} (\text{count-derangements } 1) - \text{fact } 1 / \text{exp } 1) < 1 / 2$ 
by simp
from  $\langle \text{exp } 1 > 2 \rangle \langle \text{exp } 1 < 3 \rangle$  have  $2: \text{abs}(\text{real} (\text{count-derangements } 2) - \text{fact } 2 / \text{exp } 1) < 1 / 2$ 
by (auto simp add: numeral-2-eq-2 abs-real-def)
from  $\langle 2 < 6 / \text{exp } 1 \rangle \langle 12 / \text{exp } 1 < 5 \rangle$  have  $3: \text{abs}(\text{real} (\text{count-derangements } 3) - \text{fact } 3 / \text{exp } 1) < 1 / 2$ 
by (simp add: numeral-3-eq-3)
from  $\langle 9 > 24 / \text{exp } 1 \rangle \langle 17 < 48 / \text{exp } 1 \rangle$  have  $4: \text{abs}(\text{real} (\text{count-derangements } 4) - \text{fact } 4 / \text{exp } 1) < 1 / 2$ 
by (simp add: numeral-4-eq-4)
from  $1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ n$  show ?thesis by auto
next
case True
have  $\text{exp } 1 < (3 :: \text{real})$  by (approximation 6)
from Maclaurin-exp-le[of - 1 n + 1]
obtain  $t$  where  $t: \text{abs} (t :: \text{real}) \leq \text{abs} (- 1)$ 
and  $\text{exp}: \text{exp} (- 1) = (\sum m < n + 1. (- 1) ^ m / \text{fact } m) + \text{exp } t / \text{fact} (n + 1) * (- 1) ^ (n + 1)$ 
by blast
from  $\text{exp}$  have sum-eq-exp:  $(\sum k = 0..n. (- 1) ^ k / \text{fact } k) = \text{exp} (- 1) - \text{exp } t / \text{fact} (n + 1) * (- 1) ^ (n + 1)$ 
by (simp add: atLeast0AtMost ivl-disj-un(2)[symmetric])
have fact-plus1:  $\text{fact} (n + 1) = (n + 1) * \text{fact } n$  by simp
have eq:  $|\text{real} (\text{count-derangements } n) - \text{fact } n / \text{exp } 1| = \text{exp } t / (n + 1)$ 
by (simp del: One-nat-def add: count-derangements sum-eq-exp exp-minus inverse-eq-divide algebra-simps abs-mult)
(simp add: fact-plus1)
from  $t \langle \text{exp } 1 < 3 \rangle$  have  $\text{exp } t < 3$  by auto
(metis abs-le-iff exp-less-cancel-iff le-less less-le-trans)
from  $\text{this} \langle n \geq 5 \rangle$  show ?thesis by (simp add: eq)
qed

```

definition *round* :: $\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

where

$\text{round } x = \text{floor} (x + 1 / 2)$

lemma *round-eqI*:

assumes $\text{abs}(\text{real} (n :: \text{nat}) - x) < 1 / 2$

shows $\text{round } x = \text{real} (n :: \text{nat})$

```

using assms unfolding round-def
by (simp add: floor-eq[of n] abs-real-def split: if-split-asm)

theorem derangements-formula:
  assumes  $n \neq 0$  finite S card S = n
  shows  $\text{card } (\text{derangements } S) = \text{round } (\text{fact } n / \text{exp } 1)$ 
using assms by (auto intro: round-eqI[symmetric] approximation simp add: card-derangements)

end

```

References

- [1] J. Harrison. Formula for the number of derangements. Available at <https://github.com/jrh13/hol-light/blob/master/100/derangements.ml>.
- [2] Wikipedia. Rencontres numbers — Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, 2014. https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Rencontres_numbers&oldid=616214357, [Online; accessed 18-November-2015].
- [3] Wikipedia. Derangement — Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, 2015. <https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Derangement&oldid=686842426>, [Online; accessed 18-November-2015].
- [4] Wikipedia. Fixpunktfreie Permutation — Wikipedia, Die freie Enzyklopedie, 2015. https://de.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Fixpunktfreie_Permutation&oldid=146073460, [Online; accessed 18-November-2015].