# Derandomization with Conditional Expectations

#### Emin Karayel

#### March 17, 2025

#### Abstract

The Method of Conditional Expectations [4] (sometimes also called "Method of Conditional Probabilities") is one of the prominent derandomization techniques. Given a randomized algorithm, it allows the construction of a deterministic algorithm with a result that matches the average-case quality of the randomized algorithm.

Using this technique, this entry starts with a simple example, an algorithm that obtains a cut that crosses at least half of the edges. This is a well-known approximate solution to the Max-Cut problem. It is followed by a more complex and interesting result: an algorithm that returns an independent set matching (or exceeding) the Caro-Wei bound [3]:  $\frac{n}{d+1}$  where n is the vertex count and d is the average degree of the graph.

Both algorithms are efficient and deterministic, and follow from the derandomization of a probabilistic existence proof.

#### Contents

1 Some Preliminary Results		2	
	1.1	On Probability Theory	2
		On Convexity	
	1.3	On subseq and strict-subseq	3
	1.4	On Random Permutations	4
	1.5	On Finite Simple Graphs	6
2	2 Method of Conditional Expectations: Large Cuts		7
3	Method of Pessimistic Estimators: Independent Sets		<b>12</b>

### 1 Some Preliminary Results

```
\begin{trans}{l} {\bf theory} \ Derandomization-Conditional-Expectations-Preliminary \\ {\bf imports} \\ HOL-Combinatorics. Multiset-Permutations \\ Universal-Hash-Families. Pseudorandom-Objects \\ Undirected-Graph-Theory. Undirected-Graphs-Root \\ {\bf begin} \end{trans}
```

### 1.1 On Probability Theory

```
lemma map-pmf-of-set-bij-betw-2:
 assumes bij-betw (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) A (B \times C) A \neq \{\} finite A
 shows map-pmf f (pmf-of-set A) = pmf-of-set B (is ?L = ?R)
 have B \times C \neq \{\} using assms(1,2) unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
 hence \theta: B \neq \{\} C \neq \{\} by auto
 have finite (B \times C)
   unfolding bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF assms(1), symmetric] by (intro\ finite-imageI\ assms(3))
 hence 1: finite B finite C
   using 0 finite-cartesian-productD1 finite-cartesian-productD2 by auto
 have ?L = map-pmf fst (map-pmf (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) (pmf-of-set A))
   unfolding map-pmf-comp by simp
 also have ... = map-pmf fst (pmf-of-set (B \times C))
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=map-pmf] map-pmf-of-set-bij-betw assms reft)
 also have \dots = pmf-of-set B
   using 0 1 by (subst pmf-of-set-prod-eq) (auto simp add:map-fst-pair-pmf)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma integral-bind-pmf:
 \mathbf{fixes}\ f :: \textbf{-} \Rightarrow \mathit{real}
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in set\text{-pmf} \ (bind\text{-pmf} \ p \ q) \Longrightarrow |f \ x| \leq M
 shows (\int x. f x \partial bind-pmf p q) = (\int x. \int y. f y \partial q x \partial p) (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 define clamp where clamp x = (if |x| > M \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } x) for x = (if |x| > M \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } x)
 obtain x where x \in set\text{-pmf} (bind-pmf p q) using set-pmf-not-empty by fast
 hence M-ge-0: M \ge 0 using assms by fastforce
 have a: \land x \ y. \ x \in set\text{-pmf} \ p \Longrightarrow y \in set\text{-pmf} \ (q \ x) \Longrightarrow \neg |f \ y| > M
   using assms by fastforce
 hence (\int x. f x \partial bind\text{-}pmf p q) = (\int x. clamp (f x) \partial bind\text{-}pmf p q)
   unfolding clamp-def by (intro integral-cong-AE AE-pmfI) auto
 also have ... = (\int x. \int y. clamp (f y) \partial q x \partial p) unfolding measure-pmf-bind
   by (subst integral-bind[where K=count-space UNIV and B'=1 and B=M])
     (simp-all add:measure-subprob clamp-def M-ge-0)
 also have ... = ?R unfolding clamp-def using a by (intro integral-cong-AE AE-pmfI) simp-all
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma pmf-of-set-un:
 fixes A B :: 'x set
 assumes A \cup B \neq \{\} A \cap B = \{\} finite (A \cup B)
 defines p \equiv real (card A) / real (card A + card B)
 shows pmf-of-set (A \cup B) = do \{c \leftarrow bernoulli-pmf \ p; \ pmf-of-set (if \ c \ then \ A \ else \ B)\}
   (is ?L = ?R)
```

```
proof (rule pmf-eqI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ x :: \ 'x
   have p-range: 0 \le p p \le 1 unfolding p-def by (auto simp: divide-le-eq)
   have card A + card B > 0 using assms(1,2,3) by auto
   hence a: 1-p = real (card B) / real (card A + card B)
      unfolding p-def by (auto simp:divide-simps)
   have b: of-bool (x \in T) = pmf (pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\ T)\ x * real\ (card\ T)\ if\ finite\ T\ for\ T
      using that by (cases T \neq \{\}) auto
   have pmf ?L x = indicator (A \cup B) x / card (A \cup B) using assms by simp
   also have ... = (of\text{-}bool\ (x \in A) + of\text{-}bool\ (x \in B)) / (card\ A + card\ B) using assms(1-3)
     by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=(/)] arg-cong [where f=real] card-Un-disjoint) auto
  also have ... = (pmf \ (pmf \ of \ set \ A) \ x * card \ A + pmf \ (pmf \ of \ set \ B) \ x * card \ B) / (card \ A + card \
B
      using assms(3) by (intro arg\text{-}cong2[\text{where } f=(/)] arg\text{-}cong2[\text{where } f=(+)] reft b) auto
   also have ... = pmf (pmf-of-set A) x * p + pmf (pmf-of-set B) x * (1 - p)
      unfolding a unfolding p-def by (simp add:divide-simps)
   also have ... = pmf ?R x using p-range by (simp \ add:pmf-bind)
   finally show pmf ?L x = pmf ?R x by simp
qed
If the expectation of a discrete random variable is larger or equal to c, there will be at
least one point at which the random variable is larger or equal to c.
lemma exists-point-above-expectation:
   assumes integrable (measure-pmf M) f
   assumes measure-pmf.expectation M f \geq (c::real)
   shows \exists x \in set\text{-}pmf M. f x \geq c
proof (rule ccontr)
   assume \neg (\exists x \in set\text{-}pmf M. c \leq f x)
   hence AE \ x \ in \ M. \ f \ x < c \ by \ (intro \ AE-pmfI) \ auto
   thus False using measure-pmf.expectation-less[OF assms(1)] assms(2) not-less by auto
qed
1.2
             On Convexity
A translation rule for convexity.
lemma convex-on-shift:
   fixes f :: ('b :: real\text{-}vector) \Rightarrow real
   assumes convex-on S f convex S
   shows convex-on \{x. \ x + a \in S\} (\lambda x. \ f(x+a))
proof -
   have f(((1-t)*_R x + t*_R y) + a) \le (1-t)*_R (x+a) + t*_R (y+a) (is ?L \le ?R)
     if 0 < t \ t < 1 \ x \in \{x. \ x + a \in S\} \ y \in \{x. \ x + a \in S\}  for x \ y \ t
   proof -
     have ?L = f((1-t) *_R (x+a) + t *_R (y+a)) by (simp\ add:algebra-simps)
    also have \dots \le (1-t) * f(x+a) + t * f(y+a) using that by (intro convex-onD[OF assms(1)])
auto
      finally show ?thesis by auto
   moreover have \{x. \ x + a \in S\} = (\lambda x. \ x - a) 'S by (auto simp:image-iff algebra-simps)
   hence convex \{x. \ x + a \in S\} \text{ using } assms(2) \text{ by } auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using assms by (intro convex-onI) auto
qed
```

 $\textbf{lemma} \textit{ strict-subseq-imp-shorter: strict-subseq } x \textit{ } y \Longrightarrow \textit{length } x < \textit{length } y$ 

```
unfolding strict-subseq-def by (meson linorder-neqE-nat not-subseq-length subseq-same-length)
lemma subseq-distinct: subseq x y \Longrightarrow distinct y \Longrightarrow distinct x
 by (metis distinct-nthsI subseq-conv-nths)
lemma strict-subseq-imp-distinct: strict-subseq x y \Longrightarrow distinct y \Longrightarrow distinct x
 using subseq-distinct unfolding strict-subseq-def by auto
lemma subseq-set: subseq xs ys \Longrightarrow set xs \subseteq set ys
 unfolding strict-subseq-def by (metis set-nths-subset subseq-conv-nths)
lemma strict-subseq-set: strict-subseq x y \Longrightarrow set x \subseteq set y
 unfolding strict-subseq-def by (intro subseq-set) simp
lemma subseq-induct:
 assumes \bigwedge ys. (\bigwedge zs. strict\text{-subseq } zs \ ys \Longrightarrow P \ zs) \Longrightarrow P \ ys
 shows P xs
proof (induction length xs arbitrary:xs rule: nat-less-induct)
 have P ys if strict-subseq ys xs for ys
 proof -
   have length ys < length xs using strict-subseq-imp-shorter that by auto
   thus P ys using 1 by simp
 qed
 thus ?case using assms by blast
qed
lemma subseq-induct':
 assumes P \parallel
 assumes \bigwedge y ys. (\bigwedge zs. \ strict\text{-subseq} \ zs \ (y \# ys) \Longrightarrow P \ zs) \Longrightarrow P \ (y \# ys)
 shows P xs
proof (induction xs rule: subseq-induct)
 case (1 \ ys)
 show ?case
 proof (cases ys)
   case Nil thus ?thesis using assms(1) by simp
   case (Cons ysh yst)
   show ?thesis using 1 unfolding Cons by (rule assms(2)) auto
 qed
qed
lemma strict-subseq-remove1:
 assumes w \in set x
 shows strict-subseq (remove1 \ w \ x) \ x
proof -
 have subseq (remove1 \ w \ x) \ x by (induction \ x) auto
 moreover have remove1 w x \neq x using assms by (simp add: remove1-split)
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding strict-subseq-def by auto
qed
       On Random Permutations
lemma filter-permutations-of-set-pmf:
 assumes finite S
 shows map-pmf (filter P) (pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set S)) =
 pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set \{x \in S. P x\}) (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
```

```
have ?L = map-pmf fst (map-pmf (partition P) (pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set S)))
   by (simp add:map-pmf-comp)
 also have ... = map-pmf fst (pair-pmf ?R (pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set \{x \in S. \neg P x\})))
   by (simp\ add:partition-random-permutations[OF\ assms(1)])
 also have \dots = ?R by (simp\ add:map-fst-pair-pmf)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma permutations-of-set-prefix:
 assumes finite S \ v \in S
 shows measure (pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set S)) \{xs. prefix [v] | xs\} = 1/real (card S)
   (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 have S-ne: S \neq \{\} using assms(2) by auto
 have ?L = (\int vs. indicator \{vs. prefix [v] \ vs \} vs \ \partial pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set S)) by simp
 also have ... = (\int h. of\text{-}bool (v = h) \partial pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set S)
   unfolding random-permutation-of-set[OF assms(1) S-ne]
   apply (subst integral-bind-pmf[where M=1], simp)
   apply (subst integral-bind-pmf[where M=1], simp)
   by (simp add:indicator-def)
 also have ... = (\int h. indicator \{v\} h \partial pmf-of-set S) by (simp add:indicator-def eq-commute)
 also have ... = measure (pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\ S)\ \{v\} by simp
 also have \dots = 1/card \ S using assms(1,2) \ S-ne by (subst measure-pmf-of-set) auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
cond-perm returns all permutations of a set starting with specific prefix.
definition cond-perm where cond-perm V p = (@) p 'permutations-of-set (V - set p)
context fin-sgraph
begin
lemma perm-non-empty-finite:
 permutations-of-set V \neq \{\} finite (permutations-of-set V)
proof -
 have 0 < card (permutations-of-set V) using fin V by (subst card-permutations-of-set) auto
 thus permutations-of-set V \neq \{\} finite (permutations-of-set V) using card-gt-0-iff by blast+
lemma cond-perm-non-empty-finite:
 cond\text{-}perm\ V\ p \neq \{\}\ finite\ (cond\text{-}perm\ V\ p)
proof -
 have 0 < card (permutations-of-set (V - set p))
   using finV by (subst card-permutations-of-set) auto
 also have \dots = card (cond\text{-}perm \ V \ p)
   unfolding cond-perm-def by (intro card-image[symmetric] inj-onI) auto
 finally have card (cond-perm V p) > 0 by simp
 thus cond-perm V p \neq \{\} finite (cond-perm V p) using card-ge-0-finite by auto
qed
lemma cond-perm-alt:
 assumes distinct p set p \subseteq V
 shows cond-perm V p = \{xs \in permutations-of-set V. prefix p xs\}
proof -
 have p@xs \in permutations \text{-} of \text{-} set V \text{ if } xs \in permutations \text{-} of \text{-} set (V-set p) \text{ for } xs
   using permutations-of-setD[OF that] assms by (intro permutations-of-setI) auto
 moreover have xs \in cond\text{-}perm\ V\ p\ \text{if}\ xs \in permutations\text{-}of\text{-}set\ V\ \text{and}\ a:prefix\ p\ xs\ \text{for}\ xs
 proof -
```

```
obtain ys where xs-def:xs = p@ys using a prefixE by auto
   have \theta: distinct (p@ys) set (p@ys) = V
     using permutations-of-setD[OF that(1)] unfolding xs-def by auto
   hence set \ ys = V - set \ p \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
   moreover have distinct ys using 0 by auto
   ultimately have ys \in permutations-of-set (V - set p) by (intro\ permutations-of-setI)
   thus ?thesis unfolding cond-perm-def xs-def by simp
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by (auto simp:cond-perm-def)
lemma cond-permD:
 \textbf{assumes} \ \textit{distinct} \ p \ \textit{set} \ p \subseteq \ \textit{V} \ \textit{xs} \in \textit{cond-perm} \ \textit{V} \ p
 shows distinct xs set xs = V
 using assms(3) permutations-of-setD unfolding cond-perm-alt[OF assms(1,2)] by auto
       On Finite Simple Graphs
1.5
lemma degree-sum: (\sum v \in V. degree \ v) = 2 * card \ E \ (is ?L = ?R)
 have ?L = (\sum v \in V. (\sum e \in E. of\text{-}bool(v \in e)))
   using fin-edges finV unfolding alt-degree-def incident-edges-def vincident-def
   by (simp add:of-bool-def sum.If-cases Int-def)
 also have ... = (\sum e \in E. \ card \ (e \cap V))
   using fin-edges fin V by (subst sum.swap) (simp add:of-bool-def sum.If-cases Int-commute)
 also have ... = (\sum e \in E. \ card \ e)
   using wellformed by (intro sum.cong arg-cong[where f=card] Int-absorb2) auto
 also have ... = 2*card E using two-edges by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
The environment of a set of nodes is the union of it with its neighborhood.
definition environment where environment S = S \cup \{v. \exists s \in S. vert\text{-}adj \ v \ s\}
lemma finite-environment:
 assumes finite S
 shows finite (environment S)
proof -
 have environment S \subseteq S \cup V unfolding environment-def using vert-adj-imp-inV by auto
 thus ?thesis using assms finite-Un finV finite-subset by auto
qed
lemma environment-mono: S \subseteq T \Longrightarrow environment S \subseteq environment T
 unfolding environment-def by auto
lemma environment-sym: x \in environment \{y\} \longleftrightarrow y \in environment \{x\}
 unfolding environment-def vert-adj-def by (auto simp:insert-commute)
lemma environment-self: S \subseteq environment S unfolding environment-def by auto
lemma environment-sym-2: A \cap environment B = \{\} \longleftrightarrow B \cap environment A = \{\}
proof -
 have False if B \cap environment A = \{\}\ x \in A \cap environment B \text{ for } x \in A \cap B \}
 proof (cases x \in B)
   case True thus ?thesis using that environment-self by auto
 next
   case False
   hence x \in \{x. \exists v \in B. vert\text{-}adj \ x \ v\} using that (2) unfolding environment-def by auto
```

```
then obtain v where v-def: v \in B x \in environment \{v\} unfolding environment-def by auto
   have v \in environment\ A\ using\ environment-mono\ that(2)\ environment-sym\ v-def(2)\ by\ blast
   then show ?thesis using v-def(1) that(1) by auto
 qed
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma environment-range: S \subseteq V \Longrightarrow environment S \subseteq V
 unfolding environment-def using vert-adj-imp-inV by auto
lemma environment-union: environment (S \cup T) = environment S \cup environment T
 unfolding environment-def by auto
lemma card-environment: card (environment \{v\}) = 1 + degree v (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 have ?L = card (insert \ v \ \{x, \ v\} \in E\}) unfolding environment-def vert-adj-def by simp
 also have ... = Suc\ (card\ \{x,\ \{x,\ v\}\in E\})
   by (intro card-insert-disjoint finite-subset[OF - finV])
     (auto simp:singleton-not-edge wellformed-alt-fst)
 also have \dots = Suc (card (neighborhood v)) unfolding neighborhood-def vert-adj-def
   by (intro arg-cong[where f = \lambda x. Suc (card x)])
     (auto\ simp:wellformed-alt-fst\ insert-commute)
 also have ... = Suc (degree \ v)
   unfolding alt-degree-def card-incident-sedges-neighborhood by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
ged
end
end
```

## 2 Method of Conditional Expectations: Large Cuts

The following is an example of the application of the method of conditional expectations [2, 1] to construct an approximation algorithm that finds a cut of an undirected graph cutting at least half of the edges. This is also the example that Vadhan [4, Section 3.4.2] uses to introduce the "Method of Conditional Expectations".

```
theory Derandomization\text{-}Conditional\text{-}Expectations\text{-}Cut} imports Derandomization\text{-}Conditional\text{-}Expectations\text{-}Preliminary} begin

context fin\text{-}sgraph begin

definition cut\text{-}size where cut\text{-}size C = card \{e \in E.\ e \cap C \neq \{\} \land e - C \neq \{\}\}\}

lemma eval\text{-}cond\text{-}edge:
  assumes L \subseteq U finite\ U\ e \in E
  shows (\int C.\ of\text{-}bool\ (e\cap C \neq \{\} \land e - C \neq \{\}\})\ \partial pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\ \{C.\ L \subseteq C \land C \subseteq U\}) = ((if\ e \subseteq -U \cup L\ then\ of\text{-}bool(e \cap L \neq \{\} \land e \cap -U \neq \{\}\}) ::real\ else\ 1/2))
  (is ?L = ?R)

proof -
  obtain e1\ e2\ where e\text{-}def: e = \{e1,e2\}\ e1 \neq e2\ using two\text{-}edges[OF\ assms(3)]
  by (meson\ card\text{-}2\text{-}iff)

let ?sing\text{-}iff = (\lambda x\ e.\ (if\ x\ then\ \{e\}\ else\ \{\}))
```

```
define R1 where R1 = (if e1 \in L then \{True\} else (if e1 \in U - L then \{False, True\} else
  define R2 where R2 = (if \ e2 \in L \ then \ \{True\} \ else \ (if \ e2 \in U - L \ then \ \{False, True\} \ else
\{False\}))
  have bij: bij-betw (\lambda x. ((e1 \in x, e2 \in x), x - \{e1, e2\})) \{C. L \subseteq C \land C \subseteq U\}
    ((R1 \times R2) \times \{C. L - \{e1, e2\} \subseteq C \land C \subseteq U - \{e1, e2\}\})
    unfolding R1-def R2-def using e-def(2) assms(1)
   by (intro bij-betwI[where g=(\lambda((a,b),x).\ x\cup ?sing-iff\ a\ e1\cup ?sing-iff\ b\ e2)])
    (auto split:if-split-asm)
  have r: map-pmf(\lambda x. (e1 \in x, e2 \in x)) (pmf-of-set \{C. L \subseteq C \land C \subseteq U\}) = pmf-of-set (R1)
\times R2)
    using assms(1,2) map-pmf-of-set-bij-betw-2[OF bij] by auto
  have ?L = \int C. of-bool ((e1 \in C) \neq (e2 \in C)) \partial(pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \{C. L \subseteq C \land C \subseteq U\})
    unfolding e-def(1) using e-def(2) by (intro integral-cong-AE AE-pmfI) auto
  also have ... = \int x. of-bool(fst x \neq snd x) \partial pmf-of-set (R1 \times R2)
    unfolding r[symmetric] by simp
  also have ... = (if \{e1, e2\} \subseteq -U \cup L \text{ then of-bool}(\{e1, e2\} \cap L \neq \{\} \land \{e1, e2\} \cap U \neq \{\}))
else 1/2)
    unfolding R1-def R2-def e-def (1) using e-def (2) assms(1)
   by (auto simp add:integral-pmf-of-set split:if-split-asm)
  also have ... = ?R unfolding e-def by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
If every vertex is selected independently with probability \frac{1}{2} into the cut, it is easy to deduce
that an edge will be cut with probability \frac{1}{2} as well. Thus the expected cut size will be real
graph-size / 2.
lemma exp-cut-size:
  (\int C. real (cut\text{-}size \ C) \ \partial pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ (Pow \ V)) = real \ (card \ E) \ / \ 2 \ (is \ ?L = ?R)
proof -
  have a: False if x \in E x \subseteq -V for x
  proof -
    have x = \{\} using wellformed [OF that(1)] that(2) by auto
   thus False using two-edges[OF\ that(1)] by simp
  qed
  have ?L = (\int C. (\sum e \in E. \text{ of-bool } (e \cap C \neq \{\}) \land e - C \neq \{\})) \partial pmf\text{-of-set } (Pow V))
    using fin-edges by (simp-all add:of-bool-def cut-size-def sum.If-cases Int-def)
  also have ... = (\sum e \in E. (\int C. \text{ of-bool } (e \cap C \neq \{\}) \land e - C \neq \{\}) \partial pmf\text{-of-set } (Pow V)))
    using fin V by (intro Bochner-Integration.integral-sum integrable-measure-pmf-finite)
    (simp add: Pow-not-empty)
  also have ... = (\sum e \in E. (\int C. of\text{-bool } (e \cap C \neq \{\}) \land e - C \neq \{\})) \partial pmf\text{-of-set } \{C. \{\} \subseteq C \land e \in E. (f \cap C)\}
C \subseteq V\}))
    unfolding Pow-def by simp
  also have ... = (\sum e \in E. \ (if \ e \subseteq -V \cup \{\} \ then \ of\text{-bool} \ (e \cap \{\} \neq \{\} \land e \cap -V \neq \{\}) \ else \ 1 \ /
    by (intro sum.cong eval-cond-edge fin V) auto
  also have ... = (\sum e \in E. 1/2) using a by (intro sum.cong) auto
  also have ... = ?\overline{R} by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
For the above it is easy to show that there exists a cut, cutting at least half of the edges.
```

**lemma** exists-cut:  $\exists C \subseteq V$ . real (cut-size C)  $\geq card E/2$ 

```
proof — have \exists x \in set\text{-}pmf \ (pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ (Pow \ V)). \ card \ E \ / \ 2 \le cut\text{-}size \ x \ using \ fin \ V \ exp\text{-}cut\text{-}size [symmetric] by (intro exists-point-above-expectation integrable-measure-pmf-finite)(auto simp:Pow\text{-}not\text{-}empty) moreover have set\text{-}pmf \ (pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ (Pow \ V)) = Pow \ V using fin \ V \ Pow\text{-}not\text{-}empty by (intro set\text{-}pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set) auto ultimately show ?thesis by auto qed
```

#### end

However the above is just an existence proof, but it doesn't provide a method to construct such a cut efficiently. Here, we can apply the method of conditional expectations.

This works because, we can not only compute the expectation of the number of cut edges, when all vertices are chosen at random, but also conditional expectations, when some of the edges are fixed. The idea of the algorithm, is to choose the assignment of vertices into the cut based on which option maximizes the conditional expectation. The latter can be done incrementally for each vertex.

This results in the following efficient algorithm:

```
fun derandomized-max-cut :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set where derandomized-max-cut [R - - = R] derandomized-max-cut (v \# vs) R B E = (if card \{e \in E. \ v \in e \land e \cap R \neq \{\}\} \geq card \ \{e \in E. \ v \in e \land e \cap B \neq \{\}\} \} then derandomized-max-cut vs R (B \cup \{v\}) E else derandomized-max-cut vs (R \cup \{v\}) E (Context\ fin-sgraph\ begin
```

The term *cond-exp* is the conditional expectation, when some of the edges are selected into the cut, and some are selected to be outside the cut, while the remaining vertices are chosen randomly.

```
definition cond-exp where cond-exp R B = (\int C. real (cut-size C) \partial pmf-of-set \{C. R \subseteq C \land C \subseteq V - B\})
```

The following is the crucial property of conditional expectations, the average of choosing a vertex in/out is the same as not fixing that vertex. This means that at least one choice will not decrease the conditional expectation.

```
lemma cond-exp-split:
  assumes R \subseteq V \ B \subseteq V \ R \cap B = \{\} \ v \in V - R - B \}
  shows cond-exp \ R \ B = (cond-exp \ (R \cup \{v\}) \ B + cond-exp \ R \ (B \cup \{v\}))/2 (is ?L = ?R)
  proof -
  let ?A = \{C. \ R \cup \{v\} \subseteq C \land C \subseteq V - B\} \}
  let ?B = \{C. \ R \subseteq C \land C \subseteq V - (B \cup \{v\})\} \}
  define p where p = real \ (card \ ?A) \ / \ (card \ ?A + card \ ?B)

  have a: \{C. \ R \subseteq C \land C \subseteq V - B\} = ?A \cup ?B \ using \ assms \ by \ auto 
  have b: ?A \cap ?B = \{\} \ using \ assms \ by \ auto 
  have c: finite \ (?A \cup ?B) \ using \ finV \ by \ auto 
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by auto
  hence g: ?A \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
  hence g: Auto B \ne \{\} by g: Auto
```

```
have card ?A = card ?B  using assms(1-4)
    by (intro bij-betw-same-card[where f=\lambda x. \ x-\{v\}] bij-betwI[where g=insert\ v]) auto
  moreover have card ?A > 0 using g c card-gt-0-iff by auto
  ultimately have p-val: p = 1/2 unfolding p-def by auto
  have ?L = (\int b.(\int C. real (cut\text{-}size C) \partial pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set (if b then ?A else ?B)) \partial bernoulli-pmf p)
    using e unfolding cond-exp-def a pmf-of-set-un[OF d b c] p-def
    by (subst integral-bind-pmf[where M=card E]) auto
  also have ... = ((\int C. real(cut\text{-}size\ C)\ \partial pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\ ?A) + (\int C. real(cut\text{-}size\ C)\ \partial pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set
    unfolding p-val by (subst integral-bernoulli-pmf) simp-all
  also have \dots = ?R unfolding cond-exp-def by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma cond-exp-cut-size:
 assumes R \subseteq V B \subseteq V R \cap B = \{\}
 shows cond-exp\ B = real\ (card\ \{e \in E.\ e \cap R \neq \{\} \land e \cap B \neq \{\}\}) + real\ (card\ \{e \in E.\ e \cap V - R - B \neq \{\}\}\})
    (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
  have a: finite \{C. R \subseteq C \land C \subseteq V - B\} \{C. R \subseteq C \land C \subseteq V - B\} \neq \{\} using fin V assms
  have b:e \subseteq -V \cup B \cup R if cthat: e \in E \ e \cap R \neq \{\} \ e \cap B \neq \{\} for e
  proof -
    obtain e1 where e1: e1 \in e \cap R using cthat(2) by auto
    obtain e2 where e2: e2 \in e \cap B using cthat(3) by auto
    have e1 \neq e2 using e1 e2 assms(3) by auto
    hence card \{e1, e2\} = 2 by auto
    hence e = \{e1, e2\} using two\text{-}edges[OF\ cthat(1)]\ e1\ e2
     by (intro card-seteq[symmetric]) (auto intro!:card-ge-0-finite)
    thus ?thesis using e1 e2 by simp
  have ?L = (\int C. (\sum e \in E. \text{ of-bool } (e \cap C \neq \{\}) \land e - C \neq \{\})) \partial pmf\text{-of-set } \{C. R \subseteq C \land C\}
\subset V-B
    unfolding cond-exp-def using fin-edges
    by (simp-all add:of-bool-def cut-size-def sum.If-cases Int-def)
  also have ... = (\sum e \in E. (\int C. \text{ of-bool } (e \cap C \neq \{\}) \land e - C \neq \{\})) \partial pmf\text{-of-set } \{C. R \subseteq C \land e \in E. (f \cap C)\}
C \subseteq V - B\}))
    using a by (intro Bochner-Integration integral-sum integrable-measure-pmf-finite) auto
  also have ... = (\sum e \in E. ((if \ e \subseteq -(V-B) \cup R \ then \ of\text{-}bool(e \cap R \neq \{\} \land e \cap -(V-B) \neq \{\})::real
else 1/2)))
    using finV assms(1,3) by (intro sum.cong eval-cond-edge) auto
 also have ... = real (card \{e \in E. \ e \subseteq -V \cup B \cup R \land e \cap R \neq \{\} \land e \cap -(V-B) \neq \{\}\}\}) + real (card \{e \in E. \ e \subseteq -V \cup B \cup R \land e \cap R \neq \{\} \land e \cap -(V-B) \neq \{\}\}\})
\neg e \subseteq -V \cup B \cup R\}) / 2
    using fin-edges by (simp add: sum.If-cases of-bool-def Int-def)
  also have \dots = ?R using wellformed assms b
    by (intro arg-cong[where f=card] arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong[where f=real]
        arg\text{-}cong2[\mathbf{where}\ f=(/)]\ refl\ Collect\text{-}cong\ order\text{-}antisym)\ auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
Indeed the algorithm returns a cut with the promised approximation guarantee.
theorem derandomized-max-cut:
  assumes vs \in permutations-of-set V
  defines C \equiv derandomized-max-cut\ vs\ \{\}\ \{\}\ E
  shows C \subseteq V 2 * cut\text{-size } C \ge card E
```

```
proof -
  define R :: 'a \ set \ where \ R = \{\}
  define B :: 'a \ set \ where \ B = \{\}
  have a:cut-size (derandomized-max-cut vs R B E) \geq cond-exp R B \wedge
      (derandomized-max-cut\ vs\ R\ B\ E)\subseteq V
   if distinct vs set vs \cap R = \{\} set vs \cap B = \{\} R \cap B = \{\} \bigcup \{set vs, R, B\} = V
  proof (induction vs arbitrary: R B)
    case Nil
    have cond-exp R B = real (card \{e \in E. \ e \cap R \neq \{\}\} \land e \cap B \neq \{\}\}) + real (card \{e \in E. \ e \cap V - R - B\}
\neq \{\}\}) / 2
     using Nil by (intro cond-exp-cut-size) auto
    also have ... = real (card \{e \in E. \ e \cap R \neq \{\} \land e \cap B \neq \{\}\}\})+real (card (\{\}::'a \ set \ set \ ))/2 using
Nil
     by (intro arg-cong[where f = card] arg-cong2[where f = (+)] arg-cong2[where f = (/)]
          arg\text{-}cong[\mathbf{where}\ f = real])\ auto
    also have ... = real (card \{e \in E. \ e \cap R \neq \{\} \land e \cap B \neq \{\}\}) by simp
    also have ... = real (cut-size R) using Nil wellformed unfolding cut-size-def
     by (intro arg-cong[where f = card] arg-cong2[where f = (+)] arg-cong[where f = real]) auto
    finally have cond\text{-}exp\ R\ B = real\ (cut\text{-}size\ R) by simp
   thus ?case using Nil by auto
  next
    case (Cons \ vh \ vt)
   let ?NB = \{e \in E. \ vh \in e \land e \cap B \neq \{\}\}
   let ?NR = \{e \in E. \ vh \in e \land e \cap R \neq \{\}\}
    define t where t = real (card \{e \in E. e \cap V - R - (B \cup \{vh\}) \neq \{\}\}) / 2
   have t-alt: t = real (card \{e \in E. e \cap V - (R \cup \{vh\}) - B \neq \{\}\}) / 2
     unfolding t-def by (intro arg-cong[where f=\lambda x. real (card x) /2]) auto
   have cond\text{-}exp\ R\ (B\cup\{vh\})-card\ ?NR=real(card\ \{e\in E.\ e\cap R\neq \{\}\land e\cap (B\cup\{vh\})\neq \{\}\}\})-(card\ P)
(NR)+t
     using Cons(2-6) unfolding t-def by (subst cond-exp-cut-size) auto
    also have ... = real(card \{e \in E. e \cap R \neq \{\} \land e \cap (B \cup \{vh\}) \neq \{\}\} - card ?NR) + t
     using fin-edges by (intro of-nat-diff[symmetric] arg-cong2[where f=(+)] card-mono) auto
    also have ... = real(card\ (\{e \in E.\ e \cap R \neq \{\} \land e \cap (B \cup \{vh\}) \neq \{\}\} - ?NR)) + t
       using fin-edges by (intro arg-cong[where f = (\lambda x. real x + t)] card-Diff-subset[symmetric])
   also have ... = real(card (\{e \in E. e \cap (R \cup \{vh\}) \neq \{\} \land e \cap B \neq \{\}\} - ?NB)) + t
     by (intro arg-cong[where f = (\lambda x. \ real \ (card \ x) + t)]) auto
    also have ... = real(card \{e \in E. e \cap (R \cup \{vh\}) \neq \{\} \land e \cap B \neq \{\}\} - card ?NB) + t
     using fin-edges by (intro arg-cong[where f=(\lambda x. real x+t)] card-Diff-subset) auto
    also have ... = real(card \{e \in E. e \cap (R \cup \{vh\}) \neq \{\} \land e \cap B \neq \{\}\}) - (card ?NB) + t
     using fin-edges by (intro of-nat-diff arg-cong2[where f=(+)] card-mono) auto
    also have ... = cond-exp (R \cup \{vh\}) B -card ?NB
     using Cons(2-6) unfolding t-alt by (subst cond-exp-cut-size) auto
   finally have d:cond-exp \ R \ (B \cup \{vh\}) - cond-exp \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \ B = real \ (card \ ?NR) - card \ ?NB
     by (simp\ add:ac\text{-}simps)
   have split: cond-exp R B = (cond-exp (R \cup \{vh\})) B + cond-exp (B \cup \{vh\})) / 2
     using Cons(2-6) by (intro cond-exp-split) auto
    have dvt: distinct vt using Cons(2) by simp
    show ?case
    proof (cases card ?NR \geq card ?NB)
     case True
     have 0: set \ vt \cap R = \{\} \ set \ vt \cap (B \cup \{vh\}) = \{\} \ R \cap (B \cup \{vh\}) = \{\} \ \bigcup \{set \ vt, R, B \cup \{vh\}\} = V \}
       using Cons(2-6) by auto
```

```
have cond-exp R B \leq cond-exp R (B \cup \{vh\}) unfolding split using d True by simp
                      thus ?thesis using True\ Cons(1)[OF\ dvt\ 0] by simp
                       case False
                      \textbf{have} \ \theta : set \ vt \cap (R \cup \{vh\}) = \{\} \ set \ vt \cap B = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ \bigcup \ \{set \ vt, R \cup \{vh\}, B\} = V = \{\} \ (R \cup \{vh\}) \cap B = \{\} \ (R \cup \{v
                               using Cons(2-6) by auto
                       have cond-exp R B \leq cond-exp (R \cup \{vh\}) B unfolding split using d False by simp
                       thus ?thesis using False\ Cons(1)[OF\ dvt\ 0] by simp
               qed
       qed
       moreover have e \cap V \neq \{\} if e \in E for e
               using Int-absorb2[OF wellformed[OF that]] two-edges[OF that] by auto
       hence \{e \in E. \ e \cap V \neq \{\}\} = E by auto
       hence cond\text{-}exp \{\} \{\} = graph\text{-}size / 2 \text{ by } (subst cond\text{-}exp\text{-}cut\text{-}size) auto
       ultimately show C \subseteq V 2 * cut\text{-size } C \ge card E
               unfolding C-def R-def B-def using permutations-of-setD[OF assms(1)] by auto
qed
end
end
```

### 3 Method of Pessimistic Estimators: Independent Sets

A generalization of the the method of conditional expectations is the method of pessimistic estimators. Where the conditional expectations are conservatively approximated. The following example is such a case.

Starting with a probabilistic proof of Caro-Wei's theorem [1, Section: The Probabilistic Lens: Turán's theorem], this section constructs a deterministic algorithm that finds such a set.

```
{\bf theory}\ Derandomization-Conditional-Expectations-Independent-Set} \ {\bf imports}\ Derandomization-Conditional-Expectations-Cut} \ {\bf begin}
```

hide-fact (open) Henstock-Kurzweil-Integration.integral-sum

The following represents a greedy algorithm that walks through the vertices in a given order and adds it to a result set, if and only if it preserves independence of the set.

```
fun indep\text{-}set :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ list where indep\text{-}set \ [] \ E = [] \ | indep\text{-}set \ (v\#vt) \ E = v\#indep\text{-}set \ (filter \ (\lambda w.\ \{v,w\} \notin E) \ vt) \ E context fin\text{-}sgraph begin \text{lemma } indep\text{-}set\text{-}range: \ subseq \ (indep\text{-}set \ p \ E) \ p} \text{proof } (induction \ p \ rule: subseq\text{-}induct') \text{case } 1 \ \text{thus } ?case \ \text{by } simp \text{next} \text{case } (2 \ ph \ pt) \text{have } subseq \ (filter \ (\lambda w.\ \{ph,\ w\} \notin E) \ pt) \ pt \ \text{by } simp also have strict\text{-}subseq \ ... \ (ph\#pt) \ \text{unfolding } strict\text{-}subseq\text{-}def \ \text{by } auto \text{finally have } strict\text{-}subseq \ (filter \ (\lambda w.\ \{ph,\ w\} \notin E) \ pt) \ (ph\#pt) \ \text{by } simp hence subseq \ (indep\text{-}set \ (ph\#pt) \ E) \ (ph\#filter \ (\lambda w.\ \{ph,\ w\} \notin E) \ pt) \text{unfolding } indep\text{-}set.simps \ \text{by } (intro \ 2 \ subseq\text{-}Cons2)
```

```
also have subseq ... (ph\#pt) by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma is-independent-set-insert:
 assumes is-independent-set A \ x \in V - environment A
 shows is-independent-set (insert x A)
 using assms unfolding is-independent-alt vert-adj-def environment-def
 by (simp add:insert-commute singleton-not-edge)
Correctness properties of indep-set:
theorem indep-set-correct:
 assumes distinct p set p \subseteq V
 shows distinct (indep-set p E) set (indep-set p E) \subseteq V is-independent-set (set (indep-set p E))
proof -
 show distinct (indep-set p E) using indep-set-range assms(1) subseq-distinct by auto
 show set (indep\text{-set }p\ E)\subseteq V using indep\text{-set-range} assms(2)
   by (metis (full-types) list-emb-set subset-code(1))
 show is-independent-set (set (indep-set p E))
   using assms(1,2)
 proof (induction p rule:subseq-induct')
   case 1
   then show ?case by (auto simp add:is-independent-set-def all-edges-def)
   case (2 \ y \ ys)
   have subseq (filter (\lambda w. \{y, w\} \notin E) ys) ys by simp
   also have strict-subseq ... (y\#ys) by (simp\ add:\ list-emb-Cons strict-subseq-def)
   finally have strict-subseq (filter (\lambda w. {y, w} \notin E) ys) (y \# ys) by simp
   moreover have False if y \in environment (set (indep-set (filter (\lambda w. \{y, w\} \notin E) ys) E))
   proof -
     have y \in environment (set (filter (<math>\lambda w. \{y,w\} \notin E) \ ys))
       using that environment-mono subseq-set[OF indep-set-range] by blast
     hence \exists z \in (set (filter (\lambda w. \{y,w\} \notin E) ys)). \{z,y\} \in E
       using 2(2) unfolding environment-def vert-adj-def by simp
     then show ?thesis by (simp add:insert-commute)
   ultimately have is-independent-set (insert y (set (indep-set (filter (\lambda w. \{y, w\} \notin E) ys) E)))
     using 2(2,3) by (intro is-independent-set-insert 2) auto
   thus ?case by simp
 qed
qed
While for an individual call of indep-set it is not possible to derive a non-trivial bound
on the size of the resulting independent set, it is possible to estimate its performance on
average, i.e., with respect to a random choice on the order it visits the vertices. This will
be derived in the following:
definition is-first where
 is-first v p = prefix [v] (filter (\lambda y. y \in environment \{v\}) p)
lemma is-first-subseq:
 assumes is-first v p distinct p subseq q p v \in set q
 shows is-first v q
proof -
 let ?f = (\lambda y. \ y \in environment \{v\})
```

```
obtain q1 q2 where q-def: q = q1@v\#q2 using assms(4) by (meson\ split-list)
 obtain p1 p2 where p-def: p = p1@p2 subseq q1 p1 subseq (v#q2) p2
   using assms(3) list-emb-appendD unfolding q-def by blast
 have v \in set \ p2 using p\text{-}def(3) list-emb-set by force
 hence \theta: v \notin set \ p1 \ using \ assms(2) \ unfolding \ p-def(1) \ by \ auto
 have filter ?f p1 = []
 proof (cases filter ?f p1)
   case Nil thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   case (Cons \ p1h \ p2h)
   hence p1h = v using assms(1) unfolding is-first-def p-def(1) by simp
   hence False using 0 Cons by (metis filter-eq-ConsD in-set-conv-decomp)
   then show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 hence filter ?f \ q1 = [] using p\text{-}def(2) by (metis (full-types) filter-empty-conv list-emb-set)
 moreover have v \in environment \{v\} unfolding environment-def by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding q-def is-first-def by simp
qed
lemma is-first-imp-in-set:
 assumes is-first v p
 shows v \in set p
proof -
 have v \in set (filter (\lambda y. \ y \in environment \ \{v\}) \ p)
   using assms unfolding is-first-def by (meson prefix-imp-subseq subseq-singleton-left)
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
Let us observe that a node, which comes first in the ordering of the vertices with respect to
its neighbors, will definitely be in the independent set. (This is only a sufficient condition,
but not a necessary condition.)
lemma set-indep-set:
 assumes distinct p set p \subseteq V is-first v p
 shows v \in set (indep-set p E)
 using assms
proof (induction p rule:subseq-induct)
 case (1 \ ys)
 hence i:v \in set (indep-set zs E) if is-first v zs strict-subseq zs ys for zs
   using strict-subseq-imp-distinct strict-subseq-set that by (intro 1(1)) blast+
 define ysh yst where ysht-def: ysh = hd ys yst = tl ys
 have split-ys: ys = ysh\#yst if ys \neq [] using that unfolding ysht-def by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (cases)
   case a then show ?thesis using 1(4) by (simp add:is-first-def)
   case b then show ?thesis unfolding split-ys[OF\ b(1)] by simp
 next
   case c
   have \theta:subseq (filter (\lambda w. {ysh, w} \notin E) yst) ys unfolding split-ys[OF c(1)] by auto
   have v \in set \ ys \ using \ 1(4) \ is-first-imp-in-set \ by \ auto
   hence v \in set \ yst \ using \ c \ unfolding \ split-ys[OF \ c(1)] \ by \ simp
   moreover have ysh \neq v using c(2) split-ys[OF c(1)] by simp
   hence ysh \notin environment \{v\} using I(4) unfolding is-first-def split-ys[OF c(1)] by auto
   hence \{ysh,v\} \notin E unfolding environment-def vert-adj-def by auto
```

```
ultimately have v \in set (filter (\lambda w. \{ysh, w\} \notin E) yst) by simp
   hence is-first v (filter (\lambda w. {ysh, w} \notin E) yst) by (intro is-first-subseq[OF 1(4)] 0 1(2))
   moreover have length yst < length ys using split-ys[OF c(1)] by auto
   hence length (filter (\lambda w. {ysh, w} \notin E) yst) < length ys
     using length-filter-le dual-order.strict-trans2 by blast
   hence filter (\lambda w. \{ysh, w\} \notin E) yst \neq ys by auto
   hence strict-subseq (filter (\lambda w. {ysh, w} \notin E) yst) ys
     using 0 unfolding strict-subseq-def by auto
   ultimately have v \in set (indep-set (filter (\lambda w. {ysh, w} \notin E) yst) E) by (intro i)
   then show ?thesis unfolding split-ys[OF c(1)] by simp
 qed
qed
Using the above we can establish the following lower-bound on the expected size of an
independent set obtained by indep-set:
theorem exp-indep-set:
 defines \Omega \equiv pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set V)
 shows (\int vs. real (length (indep-set vs E)) \partial \Omega) \geq (\sum v \in V. 1 / (degree v + 1::real))
   (is ?L \geq ?R)
proof -
 let ?perm = (\lambda x. pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set x))
 have a: finite (set-pmf \Omega) unfolding \Omega-def using perm-non-empty-finite by simp
 have b:distinct y set y \subseteq V if y \in set\text{-pmf }\Omega for y
   using that perm-non-empty-finite permutations-of-setD unfolding \Omega-def by auto
 have ?R = (\sum v \in V. \ 1 \ / \ real \ (card \ (environment \ \{v\}))) unfolding card-environment by simp
 also have ... = (\sum v \in V. measure (?perm (environment \{v\})) \{vs. prefix[v] vs\})
  using finite-environment environment-self by (intro sum.cong permutations-of-set-prefix[symmetric])
 also have ... = (\sum v \in V. (\int vs. indicator \{vs. prefix [v] vs\} vs \partial ?perm (environment \{v\} \cap V)))
   using Int-absorb2[OF environment-range] by (intro sum.cong reft) simp
  also have ...=(\sum v \in V.(\int vs. \ of\text{-}bool(prefix[v]vs) \ \partial map\text{-}pmf \ (filter\ (\lambda x.\ x \in environment\ \{v\}))
\Omega))
   unfolding \Omega-def filter-permutations-of-set-pmf[OF finV]
   by (intro sum.cong arg-cong2[where f=measure-pmf.expectation])
     (simp-all add:Int-def conj-commute of-bool-def indicator-def)
 also have ... = (\sum v \in V. (\int vs. of\text{-}bool(is\text{-}first \ v \ vs) \ \partial\Omega))
   unfolding is-first-def by (intro sum.cong) simp-all
 also have ... = (\int vs. (\sum v \in V. of\text{-}bool(is\text{-}first \ v \ vs)) \ \partial\Omega)
   by (intro integral-sum[symmetric] integrable-measure-pmf-finite[OF a])
 also have ... \leq (\int vs. \ real \ (card \ (set \ (indep-set \ vs \ E))) \ \partial \Omega)
   using finV b by (intro integral-mono-AE AE-pmfI integrable-measure-pmf-finite[OF a])
    (auto intro!:card-mono set-indep-set)
also have \dots < ?L
 by (intro integral-mono-AE AE-pmfI integrable-measure-pmf-finite[OF a] of-nat-mono card-length)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
The function \lambda x. 1 / (x + 1) is convex.
lemma inverse-x-plus-1-convex: convex-on \{-1 < ...\} (\lambda x. 1 / (x+1::real))
proof -
 have convex-on \{x. \ x+1 \in \{0<...\}\}\ (\lambda x. \ inverse\ (x+1::real))
   by (intro convex-on-shift[OF convex-on-inverse]) auto
 moreover have \{x. (0::real) < x + 1\} = \{-1 < ...\} by (auto simp:algebra-simps)
 ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:inverse-eq-divide)
qed
lemma caro-wei-aux: card V / (2*card E / card V + 1) \le (\sum v \in V. 1 / (degree v+1))
```

```
proof -
 have card V / (2*card E / card V + 1) = card V* (1 / (((2*card E)::real) / card V + 1))
 also have ... = card V*(1 / ((\sum v \in V. (1 / real (card V)) *_R degree v) + 1))
   unfolding degree-sum[symmetric] by (simp add:sum-divide-distrib)
 also have ... \leq card\ V * (\sum v \in V.\ (1\ /\ card\ V) * (1\ /\ (degree\ v+(1::real))))
 proof (cases\ V = \{\})
   case True thus ?thesis by simp
 \mathbf{next}
   case False thus ?thesis
      using finV by (intro mult-left-mono convex-on-sum [OF - inverse-x-plus-1-convex] finV)
auto
 also have ... = (\sum v \in V. 1/(degree v+1))
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{finV} \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ \mathit{sum-distrib-left} \ \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{intro} \ \mathit{sum.cong} \ \mathit{refl}) \ \mathit{auto}
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
A corollary of the exp-indep-set is Caro-Wei's theorem:
corollary caro-wei:
 \exists\,S\subseteq\,V.\ \textit{is-independent-set}\ S\,\wedge\,\,\textit{card}\ S\,\geq\,\textit{card}\ V\,\,/\,\,(2*\textit{card}\ E\,\,/\,\,\textit{card}\ V\,+\,1)
proof -
 let ?\Omega = pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ (permutations\text{-}of\text{-}set \ V)
 let ?w = real (card V) / (real (2*card E) / card V + 1)
 have a: finite (set-pmf ?\Omega) using perm-non-empty-finite by simp
 have (\int vs. real (length (indep-set vs E)) \partial ?\Omega) \ge ?w
   using exp-indep-set caro-wei-aux by simp
 then obtain vs where vs-def: vs \in set-pmf ?\Omega real (length (indep-set vs E)) \geq ?w
   using exists-point-above-expectation integrable-measure-pmf-finite[OF a] by blast
 define S where S = set (indep-set vs E)
 have vs-range: distinct vs set vs \subseteq V
   using vs\text{-}def(1) perm-non-empty-finite permutations-of-setD by auto
 have b:S \subseteq V is-independent-set S and c: distinct (indep-set vs E)
   unfolding S-def using indep-set-correct[OF vs-range] by auto
 have real (card\ S) = length\ (indep-set\ vs\ E) using c distinct-card unfolding S-def by auto
 also have ... \geq ?w using vs\text{-}def(2) by auto
 finally have real (card S) \ge ?w by simp
 thus ?thesis using b c by auto
qed
```

#### end

After establishing the above result, we may ask the question, whether there is a practical algorithm to find such a set. This is where the method of conditional expectations comes to stage.

We are tasked with finding an ordering of the vertices, for which the above algorithm would return an above-average independent set. This is possible, because we can compute the conditional expectation of

measure-pmf.expectation (pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set V)) ( $\lambda vs. \sum v \in V$ . of-bool (is-first vvs))

when we restrict to permutations starting with a given prefix. The latter term is a pessimistic estimator for the size of the independent set for the given ordering (as discussed

```
above.)
It then is possible to obtain a deterministic algorithm that obtains an ordering by incre-
mentally choosing vertices, that maximize the conditional expectation.
The resulting algorithm looks as follows:
function derandomized-indep-set :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a set set \Rightarrow 'a list
 where
   derandomized-indep-set [] p E = indep-set p E []
   derandomized-indep-set (vh\#vt) p E = (
     let node-deg = (\lambda v. real (card \{e \in E. v \in e\}));
         is\text{-}indep = (\lambda v. \ list\text{-}all \ (\lambda w. \ \{v,w\} \notin E) \ p);
         env = (\lambda v. filter is\text{-}indep (v\#filter (\lambda w. \{v,w\} \in E) (vh\#vt)));
         cost = (\lambda v. \ (\sum w \leftarrow env \ v. \ 1 \ /(node-deg \ w+1)) - of-bool(is-indep \ v));
         w = arg\text{-}min\text{-}list cost (vh#vt)
     in derandomized-indep-set (remove1 w (vh\#vt)) (p@[w]) E)
 by pat-completeness auto
termination
proof (relation Wellfounded.measure (\lambda x. length(fst x)))
 fix cost :: 'a \Rightarrow real and w \ vh :: 'a and p \ vt :: 'a \ list and E :: 'a \ set \ set
 define v where v = vh\#vt
 assume w = arg\text{-}min\text{-}list cost (vh # vt)
 hence w \in set \ v \ unfolding \ v\text{-}def \ using \ arg\text{-}min\text{-}list\text{-}in \ by \ blast
 thus ((remove1\ w\ v,\ p\ @\ [w],\ E),\ v,\ p,\ E) \in Wellfounded.measure\ (\lambda x.\ length\ (fst\ x))
   unfolding in-measure by (simp add:length-remove1) (simp add: v-def)
qed auto
context fin-sgraph
begin
lemma is-first-append-1:
 assumes v \notin environment (set p)
 shows is-first v(p@q) = is-first vq
proof -
 have environment \{v\} \cap set \ p = \{\} using environment-sym-2 assms by auto
 hence filter (\lambda y. \ y \in environment \ \{v\}) \ p = [] unfolding filter-empty-conv by auto
 thus ?thesis unfolding is-first-def by simp
qed
lemma is-first-append-2:
 assumes v \in environment (set p)
 shows is-first v(p@q) = is-first vp
proof -
 obtain u where u \in set p \ v \in environment \{u\}
   using assms unfolding environment-def by auto
 hence filter (\lambda y. \ y \in environment \{v\}) \ p \neq []
   using environment-sym unfolding filter-empty-conv by meson
 thus ?thesis unfolding is-first-def by (cases filter (\lambda y, y \in environment \{v\}) p) auto
qed
The conditional expectation of the pessimistic estimator for a given prefix of the ordering
of the vertices.
definition p-estimator where
 p-estimator p = (\int vs. (\sum v \in V. of\text{-}bool(is\text{-}first \ v \ vs)) \ \partial pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ (cond\text{-}perm \ V \ p))
```

shows p-estimator  $p = (\sum v \in V - set \ p. \ p-estimator \ (p@[v])) \ / \ real \ (card \ (V - set \ p)) \ (is \ ?L = left)$ 

lemma p-estimator-split: assumes  $V - set p \neq \{\}$ 

```
?R)
proof -
   let ?q = \lambda x. pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set (V-set\ p-\{x\}))
   have \theta:finite (V - set p) \ V - set p \neq \{\} using finV assms by auto
   have ?L = (\int vs. (\sum v \in V. \text{ of-bool (is-first } v (p@vs))) \partial pmf\text{-of-set (permutations-of-set (} V-\text{set})))
p)))
      using finV unfolding p-estimator-def cond-perm-def
      by (subst map-pmf-of-set-inj[symmetric]) (auto intro:inj-onI)
  also have ...=(\sum x \in V - set \ p.(\int vs.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))\partial ?q\ x))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs))))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ v(p@x\#vs)))/real(card\ (V - set \ p.(\sum v \in V . \ of -bool(is-first \ 
    using \theta unfolding random-permutation-of-set [OF \ \theta] by (subst pmf-expectation-bind-pmf-of-set)
        (simp-all\ add:map-pmf-def[symmetric]\ inverse-eq-divide\ sum-divide-distrib)
   also have ... = (\sum x \in V - set \ p. \ p-estimator \ (p@[x])) \ / real(card \ (V - set \ p))
      using finV Diff-insert unfolding p-estimator-def cond-perm-def
      by (subst map-pmf-of-set-inj[symmetric]) (auto intro:inj-onI simp flip:Diff-insert)
   finally show ?thesis by simp
The fact that the pessimistic estimator can be computed efficiently is the reason we can
apply this method:
lemma p-estimator:
   assumes distinct p set p \subseteq V
   defines P \equiv \{v. \text{ is-first } v p\}
   defines R \equiv V - environment (set p)
   shows p-estimator p = card P + (\sum v \in R. \ 1/(degree \ v + 1::real))
      (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
   let ?p = pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ (cond\text{-}perm \ V \ p)
   let ?q = pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ (permutations\text{-}of\text{-}set \ (V - set \ p))
   define Q where Q = environment (set <math>p) - P
   have P \subseteq V using assms(2) is-first-imp-in-set unfolding P-def by auto
   moreover have environment (set p) \subseteq V using environment-range assms(2) by auto
   ultimately have V-split: V = P \cup Q \cup R unfolding R-def Q-def by auto
   have P \subseteq environment (set p) using environment-def P-def is-first-imp-in-set by auto
   hence \theta: (P \cup Q) \cap R = \{\} P \cap Q = \{\} unfolding R-def Q-def by auto
   have 1: finite P finite R finite (P \cup Q) using V-split fin V by auto
   have a: is-first v (p@vs) if v \in P for v vs
      using that unfolding P-def is-first-def by auto
   have b: \neg is-first v (p@vs) if v \in Q for v vs
      using that unfolding Q-def P-def by (subst is-first-append-2) auto
   have c: (\int vs. \ of-bool \ (is-first \ v \ (p@vs)) \ \partial ?q) = 1 \ / \ (degree \ v + 1::real) \ (is \ ?L1 = ?R1)
      if v-range:v \in R for v
   proof -
     have set p \cap environment \{v\} = \{\} using that environment-sym-2 unfolding R-def by auto
      moreover have environment \{v\} \subseteq V
         using v-range unfolding R-def by (intro environment-range) auto
      ultimately have d:\{x \in V - set \ p. \ x \in environment\{v\}\} = environment\{v\} by auto
      have ?L1 = (\int vs. \ indicator \ \{vs. \ is-first \ v \ (p@vs)\} \ vs \ \partial?q) by (simp \ add:indicator-def)
      also have ... = measure ?q \{vs. is\text{-first } v (p@vs)\} by simp
      also have ... = measure ?q \{vs. is-first v vs\}
```

```
using that unfolding R-def
     by (intro arg-cong2[where f=measure] Collect-cong is-first-append-1) auto
   also have ... = measure (map-pmf (filter (\lambda x. \ x \in environment \{v\})) ?q) {vs. prefix [v] vs}
     unfolding is-first-def by simp
   also have ... =
      measure (pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set \{x \in V - set \ p. \ x \in environment\{v\}\}\)) \{vs. \ prefix \ [v]\}\
vs
     using finV by (subst filter-permutations-of-set-pmf) auto
   also have ... = 1 / real (card (environment \{v\})) unfolding d
     using finite-environment environment-self by (subst permutations-of-set-prefix) auto
   also have \dots = ?R1 unfolding card-environment by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 have ?L = (\int vs. real (\sum v \in V. of\text{-bool (is-first } v vs)) \partial ?p)
   unfolding p-estimator-def using cond-perm-non-empty-finite cond-permD[OF assms(1,2)]
   by (intro integral-cong-AE AE-pmfI arg-cong[where f=real]) auto
 also have ... = (\int vs. (\sum v \in V. of\text{-}bool (is\text{-}first v vs)) \partial ?p) by simp
 also have ... = (\sum v \in V. (\int vs. of\text{-bool } (is\text{-first } v vs) \partial ?p))
   by (intro integral-sum finite-measure.integrable-const-bound [where B=1] AE-pmfI) auto
 also have ... = (\sum v \in V. (\int vs. \ of\text{-bool} \ (is\text{-first} \ v \ vs) \ \partial map\text{-pmf} \ ((@) \ p) \ ?q))
   unfolding cond-perm-def by (subst map-pmf-of-set-inj) (auto intro:inj-onI finV)
 also have ... = (\sum v \in V. (\int vs. of\text{-}bool (is\text{-}first \ v \ (p@vs)) \ \partial?q)) by simp
 also have ... = real (card P) + (\sum v \in R. (\int vs. of\text{-bool (is-first } v (p@vs)) \partial ?q))
   unfolding V-split using 0 1 a b by (simp add: sum.union-disjoint)
 also have \dots = ?R by (simp\ add: c\ cong:sum.cong)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma p-estimator-step:
 assumes distinct (p@[v]) set (p@[v]) \subseteq V
 shows p-estimator (p@[v]) - p-estimator p = of-bool(environment \{v\} \cap set \ p = \{\})
   -(\sum w \in environment \{v\} - environment(set p), 1 / (degree w+1::real))
proof -
 let ?d = \lambda v. \ 1/(degree \ v + 1::real)
 let ?e = \lambda x. environment x
 define \tau :: nat where \tau = of\text{-bool}(environment \{v\} \cap set p = \{\})
 have real-tau: of-bool(environment \{v\} \cap set \ p = \{\}\}) = real \tau unfolding \tau-def by simp
 have v-range: v \in V using assms(2) by auto
 have 3: finite (set (p@[v])) by simp
 have 4: is-first w \ (p \ @ \ [v]) \longleftrightarrow is-first w \ p \ \textbf{if} \ w \neq v \ \textbf{for} \ w
   using that unfolding is-first-def by auto
 have 7:v \notin set \ p \ using \ assms(1) by simp
 hence 5: w \neq v if is-first w p for w using is-first-imp-in-set[OF that] by auto
 have environment \{v\} \cap set \ p = \{\} \longleftrightarrow is\text{-first } v \ (p@[v]) \ (is ?L1 \longleftrightarrow ?R1)
 proof
   assume ?L1
   hence x \notin environment \{v\} if x \in set p for x using that by auto
   moreover have v \in environment \{v\} unfolding environment-def by auto
   ultimately show ?R1 unfolding is-first-def by (simp add:filter-empty-conv)
 next
   assume ?R1
   moreover have v \notin set \ p \ using \ assms(1) by auto
   hence \neg prefix [v] (filter (\lambda y. y \in environment \{v\}) p)
     by (meson filter-is-subset prefix-imp-subseq subseq-singleton-left subset-code (1))
   ultimately have filter (\lambda y. \ y \in environment \{v\}) \ p = []
```

```
unfolding is-first-def filter-append by (cases filter (\lambda y, y \in environment \{v\})) p) auto
   thus ?L1 unfolding filter-empty-conv by auto
 hence \theta: \tau = of\text{-bool} (is-first v (p@[v])) unfolding \tau\text{-def} by simp
 have card \{w. is-first \ w(p@[v])\} = card \{w. is-first \ w(p@[v]) \land w \neq v\} + card \{w. is-first \ v(p@[v]) \land w = v\}
   using is-first-imp-in-set by (subst card-Un-disjoint[symmetric])
     (auto intro:finite-subset[OF - 3] arg-cong[\mathbf{where}\ f = card])
 also have ... = card \{w. \text{ is-first } w \text{ } p \land w \neq v\} + \text{ of-bool (is-first } v \text{ } (p@[v]))
   using 4 by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong[where f=card] Collect-cong) auto
 also have ... = card \{w. is\text{-}first \ w \ p\} + \tau
   using 5 6 by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong[where f=card] Collect-cong) auto
 finally have 2:card \{w. \text{ is-first } w \text{ } (p@[v])\} = card \{w. \text{ is-first } w \text{ } p\} + \tau \text{ by } simp
 have ?e \{v\} \subseteq V using v-range environment-range by auto
 hence V - ?e \ (set \ (p@[v])) \cup (?e \ \{v\} - ?e \ (set \ p)) = V - ?e \ (set \ p)
   unfolding set-append environment-union by auto
 moreover have ?e \{v\} \subseteq ?e (set (p@[v])) unfolding environment-def by auto
 hence (V - ?e (set (p@[v]))) \cap (?e \{v\} - ?e (set p)) = \{\} by blast
 moreover have finite (?e \{v\}) by (intro finite-environment) auto
 ultimately have \beta:
    (\sum v \in V - ?e \ (set \ (p@[v])). \ ?d \ v) + \ (\sum v \in ?e \ \{v\} - ?e \ (set \ p). \ ?d \ v) = \ (\sum v \in V - ?e \ (set \ p). \ ?d
v)
   using finV by (subst\ sum.union-disjoint[symmetric]) auto
 show ?thesis
   using assms 2 3 unfolding real-tau by (subst (1 2) p-estimator) auto
qed
lemma derandomized-indep-set-correct-aux:
 assumes p1@p2 \in permutations\text{-}of\text{-}set\ V
 shows distinct (derandomized-indep-set p1 p2 E) \wedge
   is-independent-set (set (derandomized-indep-set p1 p2 E))
 using assms
proof (induction p1 arbitrary: p2 rule:subseq-induct')
 case 1
 hence distinct (indep-set p2 E) \land is-independent-set (set (indep-set p2 E))
   using permutations-of-setD by (intro conjI indep-set-correct) auto
 thus ?case by simp
next
 case (2 p1h p1t)
 define p1 where p1 = p1h \# p1t
 define node-deg where node-deg = (\lambda v. real (card \{e \in E. v \in e\}))
 define is-indep where is-indep = (\lambda v. \ list-all \ (\lambda w. \ \{v,w\} \notin E) \ p2)
 define env where env = (\lambda v. filter is-indep (v#filter (\lambda w. \{v,w\} \in E) (p1h#p1t)))
 define cost where cost = (\lambda v. (\sum w \leftarrow env \ v. \ 1 \ /(node\text{-}deg \ w+1)) - of\text{-}bool(is\text{-}indep \ v))
 define w where w = arg-min-list cost p1
 have w-set: w \in set \ p1 unfolding w-def p1-def using arg-min-list-in by blast
 have perm: p1@p2 \in permutations-of-set V using 2(2) p1-def by auto
 have dist: distinct p1 distinct p2 set p1 \cap set p2 = {} set p1 \cup set p2 = V
   set p1 = V - set p2 using permutations-of-setD[OF perm] by auto
 have a: set (remove1 w p1 @ p2 @ [w]) = V using w-set dist(4) by (auto simp:set-remove1-eq[OF]
dist(1)])
 have b: distinct (remove1 w p1 @ p2 @ [w]) using dist(1,2,3) w-set by auto
 have c: strict-subseq (remove1 w p1) p1 by (intro strict-subseq-remove1 w-set)
```

```
have distinct (derandomized-indep-set (remove1 w (p1h \# p1t)) (p2 @ [w]) E) \land
   is-independent-set (set (derandomized-indep-set (remove1 w (p1h # p1t)) (p2 @ [w]) E))
   using a b c unfolding p1-def by (intro 2 permutations-of-setI) simp-all
  thus ?case
  unfolding p1-def derandomized-indep-set.simps node-deg-def[symmetric] is-indep-def[symmetric]
   by (simp del:remove1.simps add:Let-def cost-def p1-def env-def w-def)
qed
lemma derandomized-indep-set-length-aux:
  assumes p1@p2 \in permutations-of-set V
  shows length (derandomized-indep-set p1 p2 E) \geq p-estimator p2
  using assms
proof (induction p1 arbitrary: p2 rule:subseq-induct')
  case 1
  have a:set p2 - environment (set p2) = {} using environment-self by auto
  have p-estimator p2 = card \{v. is-first v p2\}
   using permutations-of-setD[OF 1] by (subst p-estimator) (auto simp:a)
  also have ... < card (set (indep-set p2 E))
   using permutations-of-setD[OF 1] set-indep-set by (intro of-nat-mono card-mono) auto
  also have ... \leq length \ (indep-set \ p2 \ E) using card-length by auto
  also have ... = length (derandomized-indep-set [] p2 E) using 1 by simp
  finally show ?case by simp
next
  case (2 p1h p1t)
  define p1 where p1 = p1h \# p1t
  define node-deg where node-deg = (\lambda v. real (card \{e \in E. v \in e\}))
  define is-indep where is-indep = (\lambda v. \ list-all \ (\lambda w. \ \{v,w\} \notin E) \ p2)
  define env where env = (\lambda v. \text{ filter is-indep } (v\#\text{filter } (\lambda w. \{v,w\} \in E) (p1h\#p1t)))
  define cost where cost = (\lambda v. (\sum w \leftarrow env \ v. \ 1 \ /(node\text{-}deg \ w+1)) - of\text{-}bool(is\text{-}indep \ v))
  define w where w = arg-min-list cost p1
  \mathbf{let}~?e = \mathit{environment}
  have perm: p1@p2 \in permutations-of-set V using 2(2) p1-def by auto
  have dist: distinct p1 distinct p2 set p1 \cap set p2 = {} set p1 \cup set p2 = V
   set p1 = V - set p2 set p2 = V - set p1
   using permutations-of-setD[OF perm] by auto
  have w-set: w \in set \ p1 unfolding w-def p1-def using arq-min-list-in by blast
  have v-notin-p2: v \notin set p2 if v \in set p1 for v using dist(5) that by auto
  have is-indep: is-indep v = (environment \{v\} \cap set \ p2 = \{\}) if v \in set \ p1 for v
   unfolding is-indep-def list-all-iff environment-def vert-adj-def using v-notin-p2[OF that]
   by (auto simp add:insert-commute)
  have cost-correct: cost v = p-estimator p2 - p-estimator (p2@[v])
  (is ?L = ?R) if v \in set \ p1 for v
  proof -
   have set (env \ v) = \{x \in \{v\} \cup \{x \in set \ p1. \ \{v, x\} \in E\}. \ is-indep \ x\}
     unfolding env-def p1-def[symmetric] by auto
   also have ... = \{x \in environment \{v\} \cap set \ p1. \ is-indep \ x\}
     using that unfolding environment-def vert-adj-def by (auto simp:insert-commute)
   also have ... = \{x \in environment \{v\} \cap set \ p1. \ set \ p2 \cap environment \{x\} = \{\}\}
     \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{is-indep}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
   also have ... = environment \{v\} \cap set \ p1 - environment \ (set \ p2)
     by (subst environment-sym-2) auto
   also have ... = environment \{v\} \cap (V - set \ p2) - environment (set \ p2)
     using environment-range dist(1-4) that
     by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=(-)] arg-cong2 [where f=(-)] refl) auto
```

```
also have ... = environment \{v\} \cap V - set p2 - environment (set p2) by auto
   also have ... = environment \{v\} \cap V - environment (set p2) using environment-self by auto
   also have ... = environment \{v\} - environment (set p2)
      using that dist(4) by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(-)] refl Int-absorb2 environment-range)
auto
   finally have env-v: set (env v) = environment \{v\} - environment (set p2) by simp
   have \{v,v\} \notin E by (simp\ add:\ singleton\text{-}not\text{-}edge)
   hence v \notin set (filter (\lambda w. \{v, w\} \in E) p1) by simp
   hence distinct (v \# filter (\lambda w. \{v, w\} \in E) p1) using dist(1) by simp
   hence dist-env-v: distinct (env v)
     unfolding env-def p1-def[symmetric] using distinct-filter by blast
   have ?L = (\sum w \leftarrow env \ v. \ 1 \ / \ (node-deg \ w + 1)) - of-bool \ (is-indep \ v)
     unfolding cost-def by simp
   also have ... = (\sum w \leftarrow env \ v. \ 1 \ / \ (node-deg \ w + 1)) - of-bool(environment \ \{v\} \cap set \ p2 = 1)
{})
     by (simp add: is-indep[OF that])
   also have ... = (\sum w \leftarrow env \ v. \ 1 \ / \ (degree \ w + 1)) - of-bool(environment \ \{v\} \cap set \ p2 = \{\})
    \mathbf{unfolding} \ node\text{-}deg\text{-}def \ alt\text{-}degree\text{-}def \ incident\text{-}edges\text{-}def \ vincident\text{-}def \ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add\text{-}ac\text{-}simps)
   also have ... = (\sum v \in ?e \{v\} - ?e (set p2). 1/(degree v+1)) - of-bool(?e \{v\} \cap set p2 = \{\})
     \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst\ sum-list-distinct-conv-sum-set}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{dist-env-v}])\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add:env-v})
   also have ... = -(of\text{-}bool(?e \{v\} \cap set \ p2 = \{\}) - (\sum v \in ?e \{v\} - ?e \ (set \ p2). \ 1/(degree \ v+1)))
     by (simp add:algebra-simps)
   also have ... = -(p\text{-}estimator\ (p2@[v]) - p\text{-}estimator\ (p2))
    using that dist(2-4) by (intro arg-cong[where f=\lambda x. -x] p-estimator-step[symmetric]) auto
   also have \dots = ?R by (simp\ add:algebra-simps)
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 have p1-ne: p1 \neq [] using p1-def by simp
 have card (set p1) * Min (cost 'set p1) = (\sum v \in set p1. Min (cost 'set p1)) by simp
 also have ... \leq (\sum v \in set \ p1. \ cost \ v) by (intro sum-mono) simp also have ... = (\sum v \in set \ p1. \ p\text{-estimator} \ p2 - p\text{-estimator} \ (p2@[v]))
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{intro\ sum.cong\ cost\text{-}correct\ refl})
 also have ... = (\sum v \in V-set p2. p-estimator p2 - p-estimator (p2@[v]))
   using dist(1-4) by (intro\ sum.cong) auto
 also have ... = card (V-set p2) * p-estimator p2 - (<math>\sum v \in V-set p2. p-estimator (p2@[v]))
   unfolding sum-subtractf by simp
 also have ... = 0 using dist(5)[symmetric] p1-ne by (subst\ p-estimator-split) auto
 finally have Min\ (cost\ `set\ p1) \le 0 using p1-ne by (simp\ add:\ mult-le-0-iff)
 hence cost-w-nonpos: cost w \leq 0 unfolding w-def f-arg-min-list-f[OF p1-ne] by argo
 have a: set (remove1 w p1 @ p2 @ [w]) = V
   using w-set dist(4) by (auto simp:set-remove1-eq[OF dist(1)])
 have b: distinct (remove1 w p1 @ p2 @ [w])
   using dist(1,2,3) v-notin-p2[OF w-set] by auto
 have c: strict-subseq (remove1 w p1) p1 by (intro strict-subseq-remove1 w-set)
 have p-estimator p2 \le p-estimator p2 - cost w using cost-w-nonpos by simp
 also have ... = p-estimator (p2@[w]) unfolding cost-correct[OF w-set] by simp
 also have ... \leq length \ (derandomized-indep-set \ (remove1 \ w \ p1) \ (p2@[w]) \ E)
   using c by (intro 2 a b permutations-of-setI) (auto simp:p1-def)
 also have ... = real (length (derandomized-indep-set p1 p2 E))
```

```
unfolding p1-def derandomized-indep-set.simps node-deg-def[symmetric] is-indep-def[symmetric] by (simp del:remove1.simps add:Let-def cost-def p1-def env-def w-def) finally show ?case by (simp add:p1-def) qed
```

The main result of this section the algorithm *derandomized-indep-set* obtains an independent set meeting the Caro-Wei bound in polynomial time.

```
theorem derandomized-indep-set:
  assumes p \in permutations-of-set V
  shows
    is-independent-set (set (derandomized-indep-set p \mid \mid E))
    distinct (derandomized-indep-set p [] E)
    \begin{array}{l} \textit{length (derandomized-indep-set $p \ [] \ E)} \geq (\sum v \in \textit{V. 1/ (degree $v$+1)}) \\ \textit{length (derandomized-indep-set $p \ [] \ E)} \geq \textit{card $V \ / (2*card $E \ / \ card \ V + 1)$} \end{array}
proof -
  let ?res = derandomized-indep-set p [] E
  show is-independent-set (set ?res) using assms derandomized-indep-set-correct-aux by auto
  show distinct ?res using assms derandomized-indep-set-correct-aux by auto
  have (\sum v \in V. \ 1/ \ (degree \ v+1)) \leq p\text{-estimator} \ []
   \mathbf{by}\ (subst\ p\text{-}estimator)\ (simp\text{-}all\ add\text{:}environment\text{-}def\ is\text{-}first\text{-}def\ ac\text{-}simps)
  also have ... \leq length ?res using assms derandomized-indep-set-length-aux by auto
  finally show a: (\sum v \in V. 1/(degree v+1)) \leq length ?res by auto
  thus card V / (2*card E / card V + 1) \le length ?res using caro-wei-aux by simp
qed
end
end
```

#### References

- [1] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer. The Probabilistic Method. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2000.
- [2] S. Jukna. Extremal Combinatorics: With Applications in Computer Science, chapter Derandomization, pages 307–318. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001.
- [3] O. Murphy. Lower bounds on the stability number of graphs computed in terms of degrees. Discrete Mathematics, 90(2):207–211, 1991.
- [4] S. P. Vadhan. Pseudorandomness. Foundations and Trends®in Theoretical Computer Science, 7(1-3):1–336, 2012.