

Compositional Security-Preserving Refinement for Concurrent Imperative Programs

Toby Murray, Robert Sison, Edward Pierzchalski and Christine Rizkallah

March 17, 2025

Abstract

The paper “Compositional Verification and Refinement of Concurrent Value-Dependent Noninterference” by Murray et. al. [MSPR16] presents a compositional theory of refinement for a value-dependent noninterference property, defined in [Mur15], for concurrent programs. This development formalises that refinement theory, and demonstrates its application on some small examples.

The formalisation is contained in the theory `CompositionalRefinement.thy`.

Examples are also present in the formalisation in the `Examples/` directory.

Contents

1	General Compositional Refinement	2
2	A Simpler Proof Principle for General Compositional Refinement	11
3	Simple Bisimulations and Simple Refinement	12
4	Sound Mode Use Preservation	14
5	Refinement without changing the Memory Model	15
6	Whole System Refinement	18

```
theory CompositionalRefinement  
imports Dependent-SIFUM-Type-Systems.Compositionality  
begin
```

```
lemma inj-card-le:
```

$inj (f::'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Longrightarrow finite (UNIV::'b set) \Longrightarrow card (UNIV::'a set) \leq card (UNIV::'b set)$
 ⟨proof⟩

We define a generic locale for capturing refinement between an abstract and a concrete program. We then define and prove sufficient, conditions that preserve local security from the abstract to the concrete program.

Below we define a second locale that is more restrictive than this one. Specifically, this one allows the concrete program to have extra variables not present in the abstract one. These variables might be used, for instance, to implement a runtime stack that was implicit in the semantics of the abstract program; or as temporary storage for expression evaluation that may (appear to be) atomic in the abstract semantics.

The simpler locale below forbids extra variables in the concrete program, making the necessary conditions for preservation of local security simpler.

locale *sifum-refinement* =
abs: *sifum-security* dma_A $\mathcal{C}\text{-vars}_A$ \mathcal{C}_A $eval_A$ *some-val* +
conc: *sifum-security* dma_C $\mathcal{C}\text{-vars}_C$ \mathcal{C}_C $eval_C$ *some-val*
for $dma_A :: ('Var_A, 'Val) Mem \Rightarrow 'Var_A \Rightarrow Sec$
and $dma_C :: ('Var_C, 'Val) Mem \Rightarrow 'Var_C \Rightarrow Sec$
and $\mathcal{C}\text{-vars}_A :: 'Var_A \Rightarrow 'Var_A set$
and $\mathcal{C}\text{-vars}_C :: 'Var_C \Rightarrow 'Var_C set$
and $\mathcal{C}_A :: 'Var_A set$
and $\mathcal{C}_C :: 'Var_C set$
and $eval_A :: ('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val) LocalConf rel$
and $eval_C :: ('Com_C, 'Var_C, 'Val) LocalConf rel$
and *some-val* :: 'Val +
fixes $var_C\text{-of} :: 'Var_A \Rightarrow 'Var_C$
assumes $var_C\text{-of}\text{-inj}$: $inj\ var_C\text{-of}$
assumes *dma-consistent*:
 $dma_A (\lambda x_A. mem_C (var_C\text{-of } x_A)) x_A = dma_C mem_C (var_C\text{-of } x_A)$
assumes *C-vars-consistent*:
 $(var_C\text{-of } ' \mathcal{C}\text{-vars}_A x_A) = \mathcal{C}\text{-vars}_C (var_C\text{-of } x_A)$

assumes *control-vars-are-A-vars*:
 $\mathcal{C}_C = var_C\text{-of } ' \mathcal{C}_A$

1 General Compositional Refinement

The type of state relations between the abstract and compiled components. The job of a certifying compiler will be to exhibit one of these for each component it compiles. Below we'll define the conditions that such a relation needs to satisfy to give compositional refinement.

type-synonym $('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var_C) state\text{-relation} =$
 $(('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val) LocalConf \times ('Com_C, 'Var_C, 'Val) LocalConf) set$

context *sifum-refinement* **begin**

abbreviation

$conf-abv_A :: 'Com_A \Rightarrow 'Var_A Mds \Rightarrow ('Var_A, 'Val) Mem \Rightarrow (-,-,-) LocalConf$
 $(\langle \langle -, -, - \rangle_A \rangle [0, 0, 0] 1000)$

where

$\langle c, mds, mem \rangle_A \equiv ((c, mds), mem)$

abbreviation

$conf-abv_C :: 'Com_C \Rightarrow 'Var_C Mds \Rightarrow ('Var_C, 'Val) Mem \Rightarrow (-,-,-) LocalConf$
 $(\langle \langle -, -, - \rangle_C \rangle [0, 0, 0] 1000)$

where

$\langle c, mds, mem \rangle_C \equiv ((c, mds), mem)$

abbreviation

$eval-abv_A :: ('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val) LocalConf \Rightarrow (-, -, -) LocalConf \Rightarrow bool$
(infixl $\langle \rightsquigarrow_A \rangle$ **70)**

where

$x \rightsquigarrow_A y \equiv (x, y) \in eval_A$

abbreviation

$eval-abv_C :: ('Com_C, 'Var_C, 'Val) LocalConf \Rightarrow (-, -, -) LocalConf \Rightarrow bool$
(infixl $\langle \rightsquigarrow_C \rangle$ **70)**

where

$x \rightsquigarrow_C y \equiv (x, y) \in eval_C$

definition

$preserves-modes-mem :: ('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var_C) state-relation \Rightarrow bool$

where

$preserves-modes-mem \mathcal{R} \equiv$
 $(\forall c_A mds_A mem_A c_C mds_C mem_C. (\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall x_A. (mem_A x_A) = (mem_C (var_C-of x_A))) \wedge$
 $(\forall m. var_C-of ' mds_A m = (range var_C-of) \cap mds_C m))$

definition

$mem_A-of :: ('Var_C, 'Val) Mem \Rightarrow ('Var_A, 'Val) Mem$

where

$mem_A-of mem_C \equiv (\lambda x_A. (mem_C (var_C-of x_A)))$

definition

$mds_A-of :: 'Var_C Mds \Rightarrow 'Var_A Mds$

where

$mds_A-of mds_C \equiv (\lambda m. (inv var_C-of) ' (range var_C-of \cap mds_C m))$

lemma *low-mds-eq-from-conc-to-abs*:

$conc.low-mds-eq mds mem mem' \Longrightarrow abs.low-mds-eq (mds_A-of mds) (mem_A-of mem) (mem_A-of mem')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{var}_A\text{-of} :: 'Var_C \Rightarrow 'Var_A$

where

$\text{var}_A\text{-of} \equiv \text{inv var}_C\text{-of}$

lemma preserves-modes-mem-mem_A-simp:

$(\forall x_A. (\text{mem}_A x_A) = (\text{mem}_C (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x_A))) \implies$

$\text{mem}_A = \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma preserves-modes-mem-mds_A-simp:

$(\forall m. \text{var}_C\text{-of } ' mds_A m = \text{range } (\text{var}_C\text{-of}) \cap mds_C m) \implies$

$mds_A = mds_A\text{-of mds}_C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This version might be more useful. Not sure yet.

lemma preserves-modes-mem-def2:

$\text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R} =$

$(\forall c_A mds_A mem_A c_C mds_C mem_C. (\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$

$mem_A = mem_A\text{-of mem}_C \wedge$

$mds_A = mds_A\text{-of mds}_C)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{closed-others} :: ('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var_C) \text{ state-relation} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{closed-others } \mathcal{R} \equiv$

$(\forall c_A c_C mds_C mem_C mem_C'. (\langle c_A, mds_A\text{-of mds}_C, mem_A\text{-of mem}_C \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$

$(\forall x. mem_C x \neq mem_C' x \longrightarrow \neg \text{var-asm-not-written } mds_C x) \longrightarrow$

$(\forall x. dma_C mem_C x \neq dma_C mem_C' x \longrightarrow \neg \text{var-asm-not-written } mds_C x) \longrightarrow$

$(\langle c_A, mds_A\text{-of mds}_C, mem_A\text{-of mem}_C' \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R})$

definition

$\text{stops}_C :: ('Com_C, 'Var_C, 'Val) \text{ LocalConf} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{stops}_C c \equiv \forall c'. \neg (c \rightsquigarrow_C c')$

lemmas $\text{neval-induct} = \text{abs.neval.induct}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case-names Zero Suc}]$

lemma strong-low-bisim-neval':

$\text{abs.neval } c_1 n c_n \implies (c_1, c_1') \in \mathcal{R}_A \implies \text{snd } (\text{fst } c_1) = \text{snd } (\text{fst } c_1') \implies$

$\text{abs.strong-low-bisim-mm } \mathcal{R}_A \implies$

$\exists c_n'. \text{abs.neval } c_1' n c_n' \wedge (c_n, c_n') \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge \text{snd } (\text{fst } c_n) = \text{snd } (\text{fst } (c_n'))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *strong-low-bisim-neval*:

$abs.neval \langle c_1, mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A \text{ n } \langle c_n, mds_n, mem_n \rangle_A \implies (\langle c_1, mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_1', mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A)$
 $\in \mathcal{R}_A \implies abs.strong-low-bisim-mm \mathcal{R}_A \implies$
 $\exists c_n' mem_n'. abs.neval \langle c_1', mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A \text{ n } \langle c_n', mds_n, mem_n \rangle_A \wedge (\langle c_n, mds_n, mem_n \rangle_A, \langle c_n', mds_n, mem_n \rangle_A)$
 $\in \mathcal{R}_A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in- \mathcal{R} -dma'*:

assumes *preserves: preserves-modes-mem* \mathcal{R}
assumes *in- \mathcal{R}* : $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$
shows $dma_A mem_A x_A = dma_C mem_C (var_C\text{-of } x_A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in- \mathcal{R} -dma*:

assumes *preserves: preserves-modes-mem* \mathcal{R}
assumes *in- \mathcal{R}* : $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$
shows $dma_A mem_A = (dma_C mem_C \circ var_C\text{-of})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

new-vars-private :: $(\text{'Com}_A, \text{'Var}_A, \text{'Val}, \text{'Com}_C, \text{'Var}_C)$ *state-relation* $\implies bool$
where

new-vars-private $\mathcal{R} \equiv$
 $(\forall c_{1A} mds_A mem_{1A} c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C}.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall c_{1C}' mds_C' mem_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall v_C. (mem_{1C}' v_C \neq mem_{1C} v_C \vee dma_C mem_{1C}' v_C < dma_C mem_{1C} v_C)$
 $\wedge v_C \notin range\ var_C\text{-of} \longrightarrow v_C \in mds_C' AsmNoReadOrWrite) \wedge$
 $(mds_C AsmNoReadOrWrite - (range\ var_C\text{-of})) \subseteq (mds_C' AsmNoReadOrWrite$
 $- (range\ var_C\text{-of}))))$

lemma *not-less-eq-is-greater-Sec*:

$(\neg a \leq (b::Sec)) = (a > b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *doesnt-have-mode*:

$(x \notin mds_A\text{-of } mds_C\ m) = (var_C\text{-of } x \notin mds_C\ m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *new-vars-private-does-the-thing*:

assumes *nice: new-vars-private* \mathcal{R}
assumes *in- \mathcal{R}_1* : $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$
assumes *in- \mathcal{R}_2* : $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$

assumes $step_{1C}$: $\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C$
assumes $step_{2C}$: $\langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C}' \rangle_C$
assumes $low\text{-}mds\text{-}eq_C$: $conc.\text{low}\text{-}mds\text{-}eq\ mds_C\ mem_{1C}\ mem_{2C}$
assumes $low\text{-}mds\text{-}eq_A'$: $abs.\text{low}\text{-}mds\text{-}eq\ (mds_A\text{-of}\ mds_C')\ (mem_A\text{-of}\ mem_{1C}')\ (mem_A\text{-of}\ mem_{2C}')$
shows $conc.\text{low}\text{-}mds\text{-}eq\ mds_C'\ mem_{1C}' mem_{2C}'$
<proof>

Perhaps surprisingly, we don't necessarily care whether the refinement preserves termination or divergence behaviour from the source to the target program. It can do whatever it likes, so long as it transforms two source programs that are low bisimilar (i.e. perform the same low actions at the same time), into two target ones that perform the same low actions at the same time.

Having the concrete step correspond to zero abstract ones is like expanding abstract code out (think e.g. of side-effect free expression evaluation). Having the concrete step correspond to more than one abstract step is like optimising out abstract code. But importantly, the optimisation needs to look the same for abstract-bisimilar code.

Additionally, we allow the instantiation of this theory to supply an arbitrary predicate that can be used to restrict our consideration to pairs of concrete steps that correspond to each other in terms of progress. This is particularly important for distinguishing between multiple concrete steps derived from the expansion of a single abstract step.

definition

$secure\text{-}refinement :: ('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val)\ LocalConf\ rel \Rightarrow ('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var_C)\ state\text{-}relation \Rightarrow ('Com_C, 'Var_C, 'Val)\ LocalConf\ rel \Rightarrow bool$

where

$secure\text{-}refinement\ \mathcal{R}_A\ \mathcal{R}\ P \equiv$
 $closed\text{-}others\ \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $preserves\text{-}modes\text{-}mem\ \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $new\text{-}vars\text{-}private\ \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $conc.\text{closed}\text{-}glob\text{-}consistent\ P \wedge$
 $(\forall\ c_{1A}\ mds_A\ mem_{1A}\ c_{1C}\ mds_C\ mem_{1C}.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall\ c_{1C}'\ mds_C'\ mem_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists\ n\ c_{1A}'\ mds_A'\ mem_{1A}'.\ abs.\text{neval}\ \langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A\ n\ \langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\forall\ c_{2A}\ mem_{2A}\ c_{2C}\ mem_{2C}\ c_{2A}'\ mem_{2A}'.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P \wedge$
 $abs.\text{neval}\ \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A\ n\ \langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A}' \rangle_A \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists\ c_{2C}'\ mem_{2C}'. \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C}' \rangle_C$

$\rangle_C \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}', mds_{A'}, mem_{2A'} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_{C'}, mem_{2C'} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}', mds_{C'}, mem_{1C'} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_{C'}, mem_{2C'} \rangle_C) \in P))))$

lemma *preserves-modes-memD*:

$\llbracket \text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R}; (\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \rrbracket \implies$
 $mem_A = mem_A\text{-of } mem_C \wedge mds_A = mds_A\text{-of } mds_C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *secure-refinement-def2*:

secure-refinement $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \equiv$
closed-others $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
new-vars-private $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
conc.closed-glob-consistent $P \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{1A} c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C}.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall c_{1C}' mds_{C'} mem_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_{C'}, mem_{1C}' \rangle_C$
 \longrightarrow
 $(\exists n c_{1A}'. \text{abs.neval } \langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A n \langle c_{1A}',$
 $mds_A\text{-of } mds_{C'}, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}' \rangle_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}', mds_A\text{-of } mds_{C'}, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds_{C'},$
 $mem_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{2A} c_{2C} mem_{2C} c_{2A}' mem_{2A}'.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in$
 $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P \wedge$
 $\text{abs.neval } \langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A n \langle c_{2A}', mds_A\text{-of } mds_{C'}, mem_{2A}' \rangle_A \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists c_{2C}' mem_{2C}'. \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_{C'}, mem_{2C}' \rangle_C$
 $\rangle_C \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}', mds_A\text{-of } mds_{C'}, mem_{2A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_{C'}, mem_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in$
 $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}', mds_{C'}, mem_{1C}' \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_{C'}, mem_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in P))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extra-vars-are-not-control-vars*:

$x \notin \text{range } var_C\text{-of} \implies x \notin \mathcal{C}_C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$R_C\text{-of} ::$
 $((('Com_A \times (Mode \Rightarrow 'Var_A \text{ set})) \times ('Var_A \Rightarrow 'Val)) \times$
 $('Com_A \times (Mode \Rightarrow 'Var_A \text{ set})) \times ('Var_A \Rightarrow 'Val)) \text{ set} \Rightarrow$
 $('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var_C) \text{ state-relation} \Rightarrow$
 $((('Com_C \times (Mode \Rightarrow 'Var_C \text{ set})) \times ('Var_C \Rightarrow 'Val)) \times$
 $('Com_C \times (Mode \Rightarrow 'Var_C \text{ set})) \times ('Var_C \Rightarrow 'Val)) \text{ set} \Rightarrow$

$((('Com_C \times (Mode \Rightarrow 'Var_C \text{ set})) \times ('Var_C \Rightarrow 'Val)) \times ('Com_C \times (Mode \Rightarrow 'Var_C \text{ set})) \times ('Var_C \Rightarrow 'Val)) \text{ set}$

where

$R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \equiv \{(x,y). \exists x_A y_A. (x_A,x) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (y_A,y) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (x_A,y_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge \text{snd } (fst x) = \text{snd } (fst y) \text{ — TODO: annoying to have to say } \wedge \text{conc.low-mds-eq } (\text{snd } (fst x)) (\text{snd } x) (\text{snd } y) \wedge (x,y) \in P\}$

lemma *abs-low-mds-eq-dma_C-eq*:

assumes *abs.low-mds-eq* (*mds_A-of mds*) (*mem_A-of mem_{1C}*) (*mem_A-of mem_{2C}*)
shows *dma_C mem_{1C} = dma_C mem_{2C}*
<proof>

lemma *R_C-ofD*:

assumes *rr: secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
assumes *in-R*: $(\langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C', \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
shows
 $(\exists c_{1A} c_{2A}. (\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (\langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A) \wedge (\text{mds}_C' = \text{mds}_C) \wedge \text{conc.low-mds-eq } \text{mds}_C \text{ mem}_{1C} \text{ mem}_{2C} \wedge (\langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C', \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$
<proof>

lemma *R_C-ofI*:

$(\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \implies (\langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \implies (\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \implies \text{conc.low-mds-eq } \text{mds}_C \text{ mem}_{1C} \text{ mem}_{2C} \implies (\langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P \implies (\langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
<proof>

lemma *R_C-of-sym*:

assumes *sym* \mathcal{R}_A
assumes *P-sym*: *sym P*
assumes *rr: secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
assumes *mm*:
 $\bigwedge c_1 \text{ mds } \text{mem}_1 c_2 \text{ mds } \text{mem}_2. (\langle c_1, \text{mds}, \text{mem}_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_2, \text{mds}, \text{mem}_2 \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \implies \text{abs.low-mds-eq } \text{mds } \text{mem}_1 \text{ mem}_2$
shows *sym* (*R_C-of* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$)
<proof>

lemma R_C -of-simp:

assumes rr : secure-refinement $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$

shows $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C$ -of $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P =$
 $(\exists c_{1A} c_{2A}. (\langle c_{1A}, mds_{A\text{-of}} mds_C, mem_{A\text{-of}} mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_{A\text{-of}} mds_C, mem_{A\text{-of}} mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_{A\text{-of}} mds_C, mem_{A\text{-of}} mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_{A\text{-of}} mds_C, mem_{A\text{-of}} mem_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A) \wedge$
 $conc.low\text{-}mds\text{-}eq\ mds_C\ mem_{1C}\ mem_{2C} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

A_A -of $:: ('Var_C, 'Val)$ adaptation $\Rightarrow ('Var_A, 'Val)$ adaptation

where

A_A -of $A \equiv \lambda x_A. case\ A\ (var_C\text{-of}\ x_A)\ of\ None \Rightarrow None \mid$
 $Some\ (v, v') \Rightarrow Some\ (v, v')$

lemma var -writable $_A$:

$\neg var\text{-}asm\text{-}not\text{-}written\ mds_C\ (var_C\text{-of}\ x) \Rightarrow \neg var\text{-}asm\text{-}not\text{-}written\ (mds_{A\text{-of}} mds_C)\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma A_A -asm-mem:

assumes A_C -asm-mem: $\forall x. case\ A_C\ x\ of\ None \Rightarrow True$

$\mid Some\ (v, v') \Rightarrow$
 $mem_{1C}\ x \neq v \vee mem_{2C}\ x \neq v' \longrightarrow \neg var\text{-}asm\text{-}not\text{-}written\ mds_C\ x$

shows $case\ (A_A\text{-of}\ A_C)\ x\ of\ None \Rightarrow True$

$\mid Some\ (v, v') \Rightarrow$
 $(mem_{A\text{-of}} mem_{1C})\ x \neq v \vee (mem_{A\text{-of}} mem_{2C})\ x \neq v' \longrightarrow \neg$
 $var\text{-}asm\text{-}not\text{-}written\ (mds_{A\text{-of}} mds_C)\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dma_A -adaptation-eq:

$dma_A\ ((mem_{A\text{-of}} mem_{1C})\ [\![\!_1\ A_A\text{-of}\ A_C]\!])\ x_A = dma_C\ (mem_{1C}\ [\![\!_1\ A_C]\!])\ (var_C\text{-of}\ x_A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma A_A -asm-dma:

assumes A_C -asm-dma: $\forall x. dma_C\ (mem_{1C}\ [\![\!_1\ A_C]\!])\ x \neq dma_C\ mem_{1C}\ x \longrightarrow$
 $\neg var\text{-}asm\text{-}not\text{-}written\ mds_C\ x$

shows $dma_A\ ((mem_{A\text{-of}} mem_{1C})\ [\![\!_1\ (A_A\text{-of}\ A_C)\!]\!])\ x_A \neq dma_A\ (mem_{A\text{-of}} mem_{1C})\ x_A \longrightarrow$
 $\neg var\text{-}asm\text{-}not\text{-}written\ (mds_{A\text{-of}} mds_C)\ x_A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma var_C -of-in- \mathcal{C}_C :

assumes $x_A \in \mathcal{C}_A$
shows $\text{var}_C\text{-of } x_A \in \mathcal{C}_C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *doesnt-have-mode_C*:
 $x \notin \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C m \implies \text{var}_C\text{-of } x \notin \text{mds}_C m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *has-mode_A*: $\text{var}_C\text{-of } x \in \text{mds}_C m \implies x \in \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *A_A-sec*:
assumes *A_C-sec*: $\forall x. \text{dma}_C (\text{mem}_{1C} [\![1] A_C]) x = \text{Low} \wedge (x \notin \text{mds}_C \text{AsmNoReadOrWrite} \vee x \in \mathcal{C}_C) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{mem}_{1C} [\![1] A_C] x = \text{mem}_{2C} [\![2] A_C] x$
shows *dma_A* ($(\text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{1C}) [\![1] A_A\text{-of } A_C]) x = \text{Low} \wedge (x \notin \text{mds}_A\text{-of mds}_C \text{AsmNoReadOrWrite} \vee x \in \mathcal{C}_A) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{1C}) [\![1] A_A\text{-of } A_C] x = (\text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{2C}) [\![2] A_A\text{-of } A_C]$
 x
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *apply-adaptation_A*:
 $(\text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{1C}) [\![1] A_A\text{-of } A_C] = \text{mem}_A\text{-of } (\text{mem}_{1C} [\![1] A_C])$
 $(\text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_{1C}) [\![2] A_A\text{-of } A_C] = \text{mem}_A\text{-of } (\text{mem}_{1C} [\![2] A_C])$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *R_C-of-closed-glob-consistent*:
assumes *mm*:
 $\bigwedge c_1 \text{ mds } \text{mem}_1 \ c_2 \text{ mds } \text{mem}_2. (\langle c_1, \text{mds}, \text{mem}_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_2, \text{mds}, \text{mem}_2 \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$
 \implies
 $\text{abs.low-mds-eq mds mem}_1 \text{ mem}_2$
assumes *cgc*: *abs.closed-glob-consistent* \mathcal{R}_A
assumes *rr*: *secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P$
shows *conc.closed-glob-consistent* ($R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *R_C-of-local-preservation*:
assumes *rr*: *secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P$
assumes *bisim*: *abs.strong-low-bisim-mm* \mathcal{R}_A
assumes *in-R_C-of*: $(\langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P$
assumes *step_{1C}*: $\langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', \text{mds}_C', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C$
shows $\exists c_{2C}' \text{ mem}_{2C}'.$
 $\langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', \text{mds}_C', \text{mem}_{2C}' \rangle_C \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}', \text{mds}_C', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', \text{mds}_C', \text{mem}_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P$
 ⟨proof⟩

Security of the concrete system should follow straightforwardly from security

of the abstract one, via the compositionality theorem, presuming that the compiler also preserves the sound use of modes.

lemma *R_C-of-strong-low-bisim-mm*:

assumes *abs*: *abs.strong-low-bisim-mm* \mathcal{R}_A

assumes *rr*: *secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$

assumes *P-sym*: *sym* P

shows *conc.strong-low-bisim-mm* (*R_C-of* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$)

<proof>

2 A Simpler Proof Principle for General Compositional Refinement

Here we make use of the fact that the source language we are working in is assumed deterministic. This allows us to invert the direction of refinement and thereby to derive a simpler condition for secure compositional refinement.

The simpler condition rests on an ordinary definition of refinement, and has the user prove separately that the coupling invariant P is self-preserving. This allows proofs about coupling invariant properties to be disentangled from the proof of refinement itself.

Given a bisimulation \mathcal{R}_A , this definition captures the essence of the extra requirements on a refinement relation \mathcal{R} needed to ensure that the refined program is also secure. These requirements are essentially that:

1. The enabledness of the compiled code depends only on Low abstract data;
2. The length of the abstract program to which a single step of the concrete program corresponds depends only on Low abstract data;
3. The coupling invariant is maintained.

The second requirement we express via the parameter *abs-steps* that, given an abstract and corresponding concrete configuration, yields the number of execution steps of the abstract configuration to which a single step of the concrete configuration corresponds.

Note that a more specialised version of this definition, fixing the coupling invariant P to be the one that relates all configurations with identical programs and mode states, appeared in Murray et al., CSF 2016. Here we generalise the theory to support a wider class of coupling invariants.

definition

simpler-refinement-safe

where

simpler-refinement-safe $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \text{ abs-steps} \equiv$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall c_{1A} \text{ mds}_A \text{ mem}_{1A} \ c_{2A} \ \text{mem}_{2A} \ c_{1C} \ \text{mds}_C \ \text{mem}_{1C} \ c_{2C} \ \text{mem}_{2C}. (\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A) \\
& \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge \\
& (\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (\langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, \\
& \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge \\
& ((c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C})_C, (c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C})_C) \in P \longrightarrow \\
& (\text{stops}_C \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C = \text{stops}_C \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \wedge \\
& (\text{abs-steps} \langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C = \text{abs-steps} \\
& \langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \wedge \\
& (\forall \text{mds}_{1C}' \ \text{mds}_{2C}' \ \text{mem}_{1C}' \ \text{mem}_{2C}' \ c_{1C}' \ c_{2C}'. \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \\
& \langle c_{1C}', \text{mds}_{1C}', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C \wedge \langle c_{2C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', \text{mds}_{2C}', \\
& \text{mem}_{2C}' \rangle_C \longrightarrow \\
& (\langle c_{1C}', \text{mds}_{1C}', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', \text{mds}_{2C}', \\
& \text{mem}_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in P \wedge \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{mds}_{1C}' = \text{mds}_{2C}')
\end{aligned}$$

definition

secure-refinement-simpler

where

secure-refinement-simpler $\mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P \ \text{abs-steps} \equiv$

closed-others $\mathcal{R} \wedge$

preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \wedge$

new-vars-private $\mathcal{R} \wedge$

simpler-refinement-safe $\mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P \ \text{abs-steps} \wedge$

conc.closed-glob-consistent $P \wedge$

$(\forall c_{1A} \ \text{mds}_A \ \text{mem}_{1A} \ c_{1C} \ \text{mds}_C \ \text{mem}_{1C}.$

$(\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$

$(\forall c_{1C}' \ \text{mds}_{1C}' \ \text{mem}_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', \text{mds}_{1C}', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C$

\longrightarrow

$(\exists c_{1A}' \ \text{mds}_{1A}' \ \text{mem}_{1A}'. \text{abs.neval} \langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A (\text{abs-steps} \langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A$

$\langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \langle c_{1A}', \text{mds}_{1A}', \text{mem}_{1A}' \rangle_A \wedge$

$(\langle c_{1A}', \text{mds}_{1A}', \text{mem}_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', \text{mds}_{1C}', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}))$

lemma *secure-refinement-simpler*:

assumes *rrs*: *secure-refinement-simpler* $\mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P \ \text{abs-steps}$

shows *secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P$

(proof)

3 Simple Bisimulations and Simple Refinement

We derive the theory of simple refinements from Murray et al. CSF 2016 from the above *simpler* theory of secure refinement.

definition

bisim-simple

where

bisim-simple $\mathcal{R}_A \equiv \forall c_{1A} \ \text{mds} \ \text{mem}_{1A} \ c_{2A} \ \text{mem}_{2A}. (\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \longrightarrow$

$$c_{1A} = c_{2A}$$

definition*simple-refinement-safe***where**

simple-refinement-safe $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R}$ *abs-steps* \equiv
 $\forall c_A \text{ mds}_A \text{ mem}_{1A} \text{ mem}_{2A} c_C \text{ mds}_C \text{ mem}_{1C} \text{ mem}_{2C}. (\langle c_A, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_A, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_A, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_C, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (\langle c_A, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_C, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{stops}_C \langle c_C, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C = \text{stops}_C \langle c_C, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \wedge$
 $(\text{abs-steps} \langle c_A, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A \langle c_C, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C = \text{abs-steps} \langle c_A, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A \langle c_C, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{mds}_{1C}' \text{ mds}_{2C}' \text{ mem}_{1C}' \text{ mem}_{2C}' c_{1C}' c_{2C}'. \langle c_C, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', \text{mds}_{1C}', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C \wedge$
 $\langle c_C, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', \text{mds}_{2C}', \text{mem}_{2C}' \rangle_C \longrightarrow$
 $c_{1C}' = c_{2C}' \wedge \text{mds}_{1C}' = \text{mds}_{2C}')$

definition*secure-refinement-simple***where**

secure-refinement-simple $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R}$ *abs-steps* \equiv
closed-others $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
new-vars-private $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
simple-refinement-safe $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R}$ *abs-steps* \wedge
bisim-simple $\mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{1A} \text{ mds}_A \text{ mem}_{1A} c_{1C} \text{ mds}_C \text{ mem}_{1C}. (\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall c_{1C}' \text{ mds}_{1C}' \text{ mem}_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', \text{mds}_{1C}', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists c_{1A}' \text{ mds}_{1A}' \text{ mem}_{1A}'. \text{abs.neval} \langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A (\text{abs-steps} \langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A \langle c_{1C}, \text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \langle c_{1A}', \text{mds}_{1A}', \text{mem}_{1A}' \rangle_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}', \text{mds}_{1A}', \text{mem}_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', \text{mds}_{1C}', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}))$

definition*Isimple***where**

Isimple $\equiv \{(\langle c, \text{mds}, \text{mem} \rangle_C, \langle c', \text{mds}', \text{mem}' \rangle_C) \mid c \text{ mds mem } c' \text{ mds}' \text{ mem}'. c = c'\}$

lemma *Isimple-closed-glob-consistent:**conc.closed-glob-consistent Isimple**<proof>***lemma** *secure-refinement-simple:***assumes** *srs: secure-refinement-simple* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R}$ *abs-steps***shows** *secure-refinement-simpler* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R}$ *Isimple abs-steps**<proof>*

4 Sound Mode Use Preservation

Prove that

acquiring a mode on the concrete version of an abstract variable x , and then mapping the new concrete mode state to the corresponding abstract mode state,

is equivalent to

first mapping the initial concrete mode state to its corresponding abstract mode state and then acquiring the mode on the abstract variable x .

This lemma essentially justifies why a concrete program doing $Acq (var_C\text{-of } x) \text{ SomeMode}$ is a the right way to implement the abstract program doing $Acq x \text{ SomeMode}$.

lemma *mode-acquire-refinement-helper*:

$$\begin{aligned} & mds_A\text{-of } (mds_C(\text{SomeMode} := \text{insert } (var_C\text{-of } x) (mds_C \text{ SomeMode}))) = \\ & (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C)(\text{SomeMode} := \text{insert } x (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C \text{ SomeMode})) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *mode-release-refinement-helper*:

$$\begin{aligned} & mds_A\text{-of } (mds_C(\text{SomeMode} := \{y \in mds_C \text{ SomeMode}. y \neq (var_C\text{-of } x)\})) = \\ & (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C)(\text{SomeMode} := \{y \in (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C \text{ SomeMode}). y \neq x\}) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

definition

preserves-locally-sound-mode-use :: ('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var_C) state-relation
⇒ bool

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{preserves-locally-sound-mode-use } \mathcal{R} \equiv \\ & \forall lc_A \ lc_C. \\ & (abs.\text{locally-sound-mode-use } lc_A \wedge (lc_A, lc_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \\ & \text{conc.}\text{locally-sound-mode-use } lc_C) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *secure-refinement-loc-reach*:

assumes *rr*: *secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \ \mathcal{R} \ P$

assumes *in- \mathcal{R}* : $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$

assumes *loc-reach_C*: $\langle c_C', mds_C', mem_C' \rangle_C \in \text{conc.}\text{loc-reach } \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C$

shows $\exists c_A' \ mds_A' \ mem_A'$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (\langle c_A', mds_A', mem_A' \rangle_A, \langle c_C', mds_C', mem_C' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge \\ & \langle c_A', mds_A', mem_A' \rangle_A \in \text{abs.}\text{loc-reach } \langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

definition *preserves-local-guarantee-compliance* ::

('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var_C) state-relation ⇒ bool

where

preserves-local-guarantee-compliance $\mathcal{R} \equiv$
 $\forall cm_A mem_A cm_C mem_C.$
 $abs.respects-own-guarantees cm_A \wedge$
 $((cm_A, mem_A), (cm_C, mem_C)) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $conc.respects-own-guarantees cm_C$

lemma *preserves-local-guarantee-compliance-def2*:
preserves-local-guarantee-compliance $\mathcal{R} \equiv$
 $\forall c_A mds_A mem_A c_C mds_C mem_C.$
 $abs.respects-own-guarantees (c_A, mds_A) \wedge$
 $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $conc.respects-own-guarantees (c_C, mds_C)$
<proof>

lemma *locally-sound-mode-use-preservation*:
assumes *rr*: *secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
assumes *preserves-guarantee-compliance*: *preserves-local-guarantee-compliance* \mathcal{R}
shows *preserves-locally-sound-mode-use* \mathcal{R}
<proof>

end

5 Refinement without changing the Memory Model

Here we define a locale which restricts the refinement to be between an abstract and concrete programs that share identical memory models: i.e. have the same set of variables. This allows us to derive simpler versions of the conditions that are likely to be easier to work with for initial experimentation.

locale *sifum-refinement-same-mem* =
 $abs: sifum-security dma \mathcal{C}\text{-vars } \mathcal{C} eval_A some\text{-val} +$
 $conc: sifum-security dma \mathcal{C}\text{-vars } \mathcal{C} eval_C some\text{-val}$
for $dma :: ('Var, 'Val) Mem \Rightarrow 'Var \Rightarrow Sec$
and $\mathcal{C}\text{-vars} :: 'Var \Rightarrow 'Var\ set$
and $\mathcal{C} :: 'Var\ set$
and $eval_A :: ('Com_A, 'Var, 'Val) LocalConf\ rel$
and $eval_C :: ('Com_C, 'Var, 'Val) LocalConf\ rel$
and $some\text{-val} :: 'Val$

sublocale *sifum-refinement-same-mem* \subseteq
 $gen\text{-refine}: sifum-refinement\ dma\ dma\ \mathcal{C}\text{-vars}\ \mathcal{C}\text{-vars}\ \mathcal{C}\ \mathcal{C}\ eval_A\ eval_C$
 $some\text{-val}\ id$
<proof>

context *sifum-refinement-same-mem* **begin**

lemma [*simp*]:

gen-refine.new-vars-private \mathcal{R}
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

preserves-modes-mem :: ('Com_A, 'Var, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var) state-relation ⇒ bool

where

preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \equiv$
 $(\forall c_A \text{ mds}_A \text{ mem}_A c_C \text{ mds}_C \text{ mem}_C. (\langle c_A, \text{ mds}_A, \text{ mem}_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, \text{ mds}_C, \text{ mem}_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{mem}_A = \text{mem}_C \wedge \text{mds}_A = \text{mds}_C)$

definition

closed-others :: ('Com_A, 'Var, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var) state-relation ⇒ bool

where

closed-others $\mathcal{R} \equiv$
 $(\forall c_A \text{ mds} \text{ mem} c_C \text{ mem}'. (\langle c_A, \text{ mds}, \text{ mem} \rangle_A, \langle c_C, \text{ mds}, \text{ mem}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall x. \text{mem} x \neq \text{mem}' x \longrightarrow \neg \text{var-asm-not-written mds } x) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall x. \text{dma mem } x \neq \text{dma mem}' x \longrightarrow \neg \text{var-asm-not-written mds } x) \longrightarrow$
 $(\langle c_A, \text{ mds}, \text{ mem}' \rangle_A, \langle c_C, \text{ mds}, \text{ mem}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R})$

lemma [*simp*]:

gen-refine.mds_A-of $x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*simp*]:

gen-refine.mem_A-of $x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*simp*]:

preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \implies$
gen-refine.closed-others $\mathcal{R} = \text{closed-others } \mathcal{R}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*simp*]:

gen-refine.preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} = \text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

secure-refinement :: ('Com_A, 'Var, 'Val) LocalConf rel ⇒ ('Com_A, 'Var, 'Val,
 'Com_C, 'Var) state-relation ⇒
 ('Com_C, 'Var, 'Val) LocalConf rel ⇒ bool

where

secure-refinement $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \equiv$
 $\text{closed-others } \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $\text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $\text{conc.closed-glob-consistent } P \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{1A} \text{ mds} \text{ mem}_1 c_{1C}. (\langle c_{1A}, \text{ mds}, \text{ mem}_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, \text{ mds}, \text{ mem}_1 \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall c_{1C}' mds' mem_1'. \langle c_{1C}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_C \implies \\
& (\exists n c_{1A}'. \text{abs.neval} \langle c_{1A}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_A n \langle c_{1A}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_A \wedge \\
& \quad (\langle c_{1A}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge \\
& (\forall c_{2A} mem_2 c_{2C} c_{2A}' mem_2'. \\
& \quad (\langle c_{1A}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge \\
& \quad (\langle c_{2A}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge \\
& \quad (\langle c_{1C}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_C) \in P \wedge \\
& \quad \text{abs.neval} \langle c_{2A}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_A n \langle c_{2A}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_A \implies \\
& \quad (\exists c_{2C}' . \langle c_{2C}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_C \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\langle c_{2A}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge \\
& \quad \quad (\langle c_{1C}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_C) \in P)))))
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *preserves-modes-memD*:

preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \implies$
 $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \implies$
 $mem_A = mem_C \wedge mds_A = mds_C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]:

gen-refine.secure-refinement $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P = \text{secure-refinement} \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *R_C-of-strong-low-bisim-mm*:

assumes *abs*: *abs.strong-low-bisim-mm* \mathcal{R}_A
assumes *rr*: *secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
assumes *P-sym*: *sym* P
shows *conc.strong-low-bisim-mm* (*gen-refine.R_C-of* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *sifum-refinement begin*

lemma *use-secure-refinement-helper*:

secure-refinement $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \implies$
 $((cm_A, mem_A), (cm_C, mem_C)) \in \mathcal{R} \implies (cm_C, mem_C) \rightsquigarrow_C (cm_C', mem_C') \implies$
 $(\exists cm_A' mem_A' n. \text{abs.neval} (cm_A, mem_A) n (cm_A', mem_A') \wedge$
 $\quad ((cm_A', mem_A'), (cm_C', mem_C')) \in \mathcal{R})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *closed-othersD*:

closed-others $\mathcal{R} \implies$
 $(\langle c_A, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_C \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \implies$
 $(\bigwedge x. mem_C' x \neq mem_C x \vee dma_C mem_C' x \neq dma_C mem_C x \implies \neg \text{var-asm-not-written}$
 $mds_C x) \implies$
 $(\langle c_A, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_C \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

record (*'a*, *'Val*, *'Var_C*, *'Com_C*, *'Var_A*, *'Com_A*) *componentwise-refinement* =

```

priv-mem :: 'VarC set
 $\mathcal{R}_A$ -rel :: ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val) LocalConf rel
 $\mathcal{R}$ -rel :: ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val, 'ComC, 'VarC) state-relation
P-rel :: ('ComC, 'VarC, 'Val) LocalConf rel

```

6 Whole System Refinement

A locale to capture componentwise refinement of an entire system.

```

locale sifum-refinement-sys =
  sifum-refinement dmaA dmaC C-varsA C-varsC CA CC evalA evalC some-val
  varC-of
  for dmaA :: ('VarA, 'Val) Mem ⇒ 'VarA ⇒ Sec
  and dmaC :: ('VarC, 'Val) Mem ⇒ 'VarC ⇒ Sec
  and C-varsA :: 'VarA ⇒ 'VarA set
  and C-varsC :: 'VarC ⇒ 'VarC set
  and CA :: 'VarA set
  and CC :: 'VarC set
  and evalA :: ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val) LocalConf rel
  and evalC :: ('ComC, 'VarC, 'Val) LocalConf rel
  and some-val :: 'Val
  and varC-of :: 'VarA ⇒ 'VarC +
  fixes cms :: ('a::wellorder, 'Val, 'VarC, 'ComC, 'VarA, 'ComA) component-
  wise-refinement list
  fixes priv-memC :: 'VarC set list
  defines priv-memC-def: priv-memC ≡ map priv-mem cms
  assumes priv-mem-disjoint: i < length cms ⇒ j < length cms ⇒ i ≠ j ⇒
  priv-memC ! i ∩ priv-memC ! j = {}
  assumes new-vars-priv: – range varC-of = ∪ (set priv-memC)
  assumes new-privs-preserved: ⟨c, mds, mem⟩C ∼C ⟨c', mds', mem'⟩C ⇒ x ∉
  range varC-of ⇒
  (x ∈ mds m) = (x ∈ mds' m)
  assumes secure-refinements:
  i < length cms ⇒ secure-refinement ( $\mathcal{R}_A$ -rel (cms ! i)) ( $\mathcal{R}$ -rel (cms ! i)) (P-rel
  (cms ! i))
  assumes local-guarantee-preservation:
  i < length cms ⇒ preserves-local-guarantee-compliance ( $\mathcal{R}$ -rel (cms ! i))
  assumes bisims:
  i < length cms ⇒ abs.strong-low-bisim-mm ( $\mathcal{R}_A$ -rel (cms ! i))
  assumes Ps-sym:
  ∧ a b. i < length cms ⇒ sym (P-rel (cms ! i))
  assumes Ps-refl-on-low-mds-eq:
  i < length cms ⇒ conc.low-mds-eq mdsC memC memC' ⇒ ((cC, mdsC,
  memC)C, ⟨cC, mdsC, memC'⟩C) ∈ (P-rel (cms ! i))

context sifum-security begin
lemma neval-modifies-helper:
  assumes nevaln: neval lcn m lcn'

```

assumes *lcn-def*: $lcn = (cms ! n, mem)$
assumes *lcn'-def*: $lcn' = (cmn', mem')$
assumes *len*: $n < length\ cms$
assumes *modified*: $mem\ x \neq mem'\ x \vee dma\ mem\ x \neq dma\ mem'\ x$
shows $\exists k\ cmn''\ mem''\ cmn''' \ mem'''. k < m \wedge neval\ (cms ! n, mem)\ k\ (cmn'', mem'')$
 \wedge
 $(cmn'', mem'') \rightsquigarrow (cmn''', mem''') \wedge$
 $(mem''\ x \neq mem''' \ x \vee dma\ mem''\ x \neq dma\ mem''' \ x)$
 <proof>

lemma *neval-sched-Nil* [*simp*]:
 $(cms, mem) \rightarrow []\ (cms, mem)$
 <proof>

lemma *reachable-mode-states-refl*:
 $map\ snd\ cms \in reachable-mode-states\ (cms, mem)$
 <proof>

lemma *neval-reachable-mode-states*:
assumes *neval*: $neval\ lc\ n\ lc'$
assumes *lc-def*: $lc = (cms ! k, mem)$
assumes *len*: $k < length\ cms$
shows $map\ snd\ (cms[k := (fst\ lc')]) \in reachable-mode-states\ (cms, mem)$
 <proof>

lemma *meval-sched-sound-mode-use*:
 $sound-mode-use\ gc \implies meval-sched\ sched\ gc\ gc' \implies sound-mode-use\ gc'$
 <proof>

lemma *neval-meval*:
 $neval\ lcn\ k\ lcn' \implies n < length\ cms \implies lcn = (cms ! n, mem) \implies lcn' = (cmn', mem')$
 \implies
 $meval-sched\ (replicate\ k\ n)\ (cms, mem)\ (cms[n := cmn'], mem')$
 <proof>

lemma *meval-sched-app*:
 $meval-sched\ as\ gc\ gc' \implies meval-sched\ bs\ gc'\ gc'' \implies meval-sched\ (as@bs)\ gc\ gc''$
 <proof>

end

context *sifum-refinement-sys* **begin**

lemma *conc-respects-priv*:
assumes *xnin*: $x_C \notin range\ var_C\ of$
assumes *modified_C*: $mem_C\ x_C \neq mem_{C'}\ x_C \vee dma_C\ mem_C\ x_C \neq dma_{C'}\ mem_{C'}\ x_C$
 x_C
assumes *eval_C*: $(cms_C ! n, mem_C) \rightsquigarrow_C (cm_C n', mem_{C'})$

assumes $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}n$: $((cms_A ! n, mem_A), cms_C ! n, mem_C) \in \mathcal{R}n$
assumes $preserves$: $preserves\text{-}local\text{-}guarantee\text{-}compliance \mathcal{R}n$
assumes $sound\text{-}mode\text{-}use_A$: $abs.\text{sound}\text{-}mode\text{-}use (cms_A, mem_A)$
assumes $nlen$: $n < length\ cms$
assumes $len\text{-}eq$: $length\ cms_A = length\ cms$
assumes $len\text{-}eq'$: $length\ cms_C = length\ cms$
shows $x_C \notin (snd (cms_C ! n))\ GuarNoWrite \wedge x_C \notin (snd (cms_C ! n))\ GuarNoReadOrWrite$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $modified\text{-}variables\text{-}are\text{-}not\text{-}assumed\text{-}not\text{-}written$:

fixes $cms_A mem_A cms_C mem_C cm_C n' mem_C' \mathcal{R}n cm_{A'} n' mem_{A'} m_A \mathcal{R}i$
assumes $sound\text{-}mode\text{-}use_A$: $abs.\text{sound}\text{-}mode\text{-}use (cms_A, mem_A)$
assumes $pmmn$: $preserves\text{-}modes\text{-}mem \mathcal{R}n$
assumes $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}n$: $((cms_A ! n, mem_A), (cms_C ! n, mem_C)) \in \mathcal{R}n$
assumes $pmmi$: $preserves\text{-}modes\text{-}mem \mathcal{R}i$
assumes $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}i$: $((cms_A ! i, mem_A), (cms_C ! i, mem_C)) \in \mathcal{R}i$
assumes $nlen$: $n < length\ cms$
assumes len_A : $length\ cms_A = length\ cms$
assumes len_C : $length\ cms_C = length\ cms$
assumes $priv\text{-}is\text{-}asm\text{-}priv$: $\bigwedge i. i < length\ cms \implies priv\text{-}mem_C ! i \subseteq snd (cms_C ! i)\ AsmNoReadOrWrite$
assumes $priv\text{-}is\text{-}guar\text{-}priv$: $\bigwedge i j. i < length\ cms \implies j < length\ cms \implies i \neq j \implies priv\text{-}mem_C ! i \subseteq snd (cms_C ! j)\ GuarNoReadOrWrite$
assumes $new\text{-}asms\text{-}only\text{-}for\text{-}priv$: $\bigwedge i. i < length\ cms \implies (snd (cms_C ! i)\ AsmNoReadOrWrite \cup snd (cms_C ! i)\ AsmNoWrite) \cap (-\ range\ var_C\text{-}of) \subseteq priv\text{-}mem_C ! i$
assumes $eval_C n$: $(cms_C ! n, mem_C) \rightsquigarrow_C (cm_C n', mem_C')$
assumes $neval_{A'} n$: $abs.\text{neval} (cms_A ! n, mem_A) m_A (cm_{A'} n', mem_{A'})$
assumes $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}n'$: $((cm_{A'} n', mem_{A'}), (cm_C n', mem_C')) \in \mathcal{R}n$
assumes $modified_C$: $mem_C x_C \neq mem_C' x_C \vee dma_C mem_C x_C \neq dma_C mem_C' x_C$
assumes neq : $i \neq n$
assumes $ilen$: $i < length\ cms$
assumes $preserves$: $preserves\text{-}local\text{-}guarantee\text{-}compliance \mathcal{R}n$
shows $\neg var\text{-}asm\text{-}not\text{-}written (snd (cms_C ! i)) x_C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$priv\text{-}is\text{-}asm\text{-}priv :: 'Var_C\ Mds\ list \Rightarrow bool$

where

$priv\text{-}is\text{-}asm\text{-}priv\ mdss_C \equiv \forall i < length\ cms. priv\text{-}mem_C ! i \subseteq (mdss_C ! i)\ AsmNoReadOrWrite$

definition

$priv\text{-}is\text{-}guar\text{-}priv :: 'Var_C\ Mds\ list \Rightarrow bool$

where

$priv\text{-}is\text{-}guar\text{-}priv\ mdss_C \equiv \forall i < length\ cms. (\forall j < length\ cms. i \neq j \longrightarrow priv\text{-}mem_C ! i \subseteq (mdss_C ! j))$

GuarNoReadOrWrite)

definition

new-asms-only-for-priv :: 'Var_C Mds list ⇒ bool

where

new-asms-only-for-priv mdss_C ≡

∀ *i* < length *cms*.

((*mdss_C ! i*) *AsmNoReadOrWrite* ∪ (*mdss_C ! i*) *AsmNoWrite*) ∩ (− range *var_C-of*) ⊆ *priv-mem_C ! i*

definition

new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only :: 'Var_C Mds list ⇒ bool

where

new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only mdss_C ≡

∀ *i* < length *cms*.

(*mdss_C ! i*) *AsmNoWrite* ∩ (− range *var_C-of*) = {}

definition

modes-respect-priv :: 'Var_C Mds list ⇒ bool

where

modes-respect-priv mdss_C ≡ *priv-is-asm-priv mdss_C* ∧ *priv-is-guar-priv mdss_C*

∧

new-asms-only-for-priv mdss_C ∧

new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only mdss_C

definition

ignores-old-vars :: ('Var_C Mds list ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool

where

ignores-old-vars P ≡ ∀ *mdss mdss'*. length *mdss* = length *mdss'* ∧ length *mdss'* = length *cms* →

(map (λ*x m*. *x m* ∩ (− range *var_C-of*)) *mdss*) = (map (λ*x m*. *x m* ∩ (− range *var_C-of*)) *mdss'*) →

P mdss = *P mdss'*

lemma *ignores-old-vars-conj*:

assumes *Rdef*: (∧*x*. *R x* = (*P x* ∧ *Q x*))

assumes *iP*: *ignores-old-vars P*

assumes *iQ*: *ignores-old-vars Q*

shows *ignores-old-vars R*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nth-map-eq'*:

length *xs* = length *ys* ⇒ map *f xs* = map *g ys* ⇒ *i* < length *xs* ⇒ *f (xs ! i)*

= *g (ys ! i)*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nth-map-eq*:

map *f xs* = map *g ys* ⇒ *i* < length *xs* ⇒ *f (xs ! i)* = *g (ys ! i)*

<proof>

lemma *nth-in-Union-over-set:*

$i < \text{length } xs \implies xs ! i \subseteq \bigcup (\text{set } xs)$

<proof>

lemma *priv-are-new-vars:*

$x \in \text{priv-mem}_C ! i \implies i < \text{length } cms \implies x \notin \text{range } \text{var}_C\text{-of}$

<proof>

lemma *priv-is-asm-priv-ignores-old-vars:*

ignores-old-vars priv-is-asm-priv

<proof>

lemma *priv-is-guar-priv-ignores-old-vars:*

ignores-old-vars priv-is-guar-priv

<proof>

lemma *new-asms-only-for-priv-ignores-old-vars:*

ignores-old-vars new-asms-only-for-priv

<proof>

lemma *new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only-ignores-old-vars:*

ignores-old-vars new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only

<proof>

lemma *modes-respect-priv-ignores-old-vars:*

ignores-old-vars modes-respect-priv

<proof>

lemma *ignores-old-varsD:*

$\text{ignores-old-vars } P \implies \text{length } mdss = \text{length } mdss' \implies \text{length } mdss' = \text{length } cms \implies$

$(\text{map } (\lambda x m. x m \cap (- \text{range } \text{var}_C\text{-of})) mdss) = (\text{map } (\lambda x m. x m \cap (- \text{range } \text{var}_C\text{-of})) mdss') \implies$

$P mdss = P mdss'$

<proof>

lemma *new-privs-preserved':*

$\langle c, mds, mem \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c', mds', mem' \rangle_C \implies (mds m \cap (- \text{range } \text{var}_C\text{-of})) = (mds' m \cap (- \text{range } \text{var}_C\text{-of}))$

<proof>

lemma *map-nth-eq:*

$\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \implies (\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies f (xs ! i) = g (ys ! i)) \implies$

$\text{map } f xs = \text{map } g ys$

<proof>

lemma *ignores-old-vars-conc-meval:*

assumes *ignores*: *ignores-old-vars P*
assumes *meval*: *conc.meval-abv gc_C n gc_C'*
assumes *len-eq*: *length (fst gc_C) = length cms*
shows *P (map snd (fst gc_C)) = P (map snd (fst gc_C'))*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ignores-old-vars-conc-meval-sched*:
assumes *ignores*: *ignores-old-vars P*
assumes *meval-sched*: *conc.meval-sched sched gc_C gc_C'*
assumes *len-eq*: *length (fst gc_C) = length cms*
shows *P (map snd (fst gc_C)) = P (map snd (fst gc_C'))*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *meval-sched-modes-respect-priv*:
conc.meval-sched sched gc_C gc_C' \implies *length (fst gc_C) = length cms* \implies
modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gc_C)) \implies
modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gc_C'))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *meval-modes-respect-priv*:
conc.meval-abv gc_C n gc_C' \implies *length (fst gc_C) = length cms* \implies
modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gc_C)) \implies
modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gc_C'))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *traces-refinement*:
 $\bigwedge gc_C gc_C' sched_C gc_A. conc.meval-sched sched_C gc_C gc_C' \implies$
 $length (fst gc_A) = length cms \implies length (fst gc_C) = length cms \implies$
 $(\bigwedge i. i < length cms \implies ((fst gc_A ! i, snd gc_A), (fst gc_C ! i, snd gc_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel}$
 $(cms ! i)) \implies$
 $abs.sound-mode-use gc_A \implies modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gc_C)) \implies$
 $\exists sched_A gc_A'. abs.meval-sched sched_A gc_A gc_A' \wedge$
 $(\forall i. i < length cms \longrightarrow ((fst gc_A' ! i, snd gc_A'), (fst gc_C' ! i, snd gc_C'))$
 $\in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel} (cms ! i)) \wedge$
 $abs.sound-mode-use gc_A'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

context *sifum-security* **begin**

definition

restrict-modes :: 'Var Mds list \Rightarrow 'Var set \Rightarrow 'Var Mds list

where

restrict-modes mdss X \equiv *map* ($\lambda mds m. mds m \cap X$) *mdss*

lemma *restrict-modes-length [simp]*:

length (restrict-modes mdss X) = length mdss

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-modes-by-case-distinction*:

assumes *compat-X*: *compatible-modes* (*restrict-modes mdss X*)

assumes *compat-compX*: *compatible-modes* (*restrict-modes mdss (-X)*)

shows *compatible-modes mdss*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-restrict-modesD*:

$i < \text{length } \text{mdss} \implies x \in ((\text{restrict-modes } \text{mdss } X) ! i) m \implies x \in X \wedge x \in (\text{mdss} ! i) m$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-restrict-modesI*:

$i < \text{length } \text{mdss} \implies x \in X \implies x \in (\text{mdss} ! i) m \implies x \in ((\text{restrict-modes } \text{mdss } X) ! i) m$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *meval-sched-length*:

$\text{meval-sched } \text{sched } gc \ gc' \implies \text{length } (\text{fst } gc') = \text{length } (\text{fst } gc)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *sifum-refinement-sys* **begin**

lemma *compatible-modes-old-vars*:

assumes *compatible-modes_A*: *abs.compatible-modes* (*map snd cms_A*)

assumes *len_A*: $\text{length } \text{cms}_A = \text{length } \text{cms}$

assumes *len_C*: $\text{length } \text{cms}_C = \text{length } \text{cms}$

assumes *in- \mathcal{R}* : $(\forall i < \text{length } \text{cms}. ((\text{cms}_A ! i, \text{mem}_A), \text{cms}_C ! i, \text{mem}_C) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! i))$

shows *conc.compatible-modes* (*conc.restrict-modes* (*map snd cms_C*) (*range var_C-of*))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-modes-new-vars*:

$\text{length } \text{mdss} = \text{length } \text{cms} \implies \text{modes-respect-priv } \text{mdss} \implies \text{conc.compatible-modes} (\text{conc.restrict-modes } \text{mdss } (- \text{range } \text{var}_C\text{-of}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sound-mode-use-preservation*:

$\bigwedge gc_C \ gc_A.$

$\text{length } (\text{fst } gc_A) = \text{length } \text{cms} \implies \text{length } (\text{fst } gc_C) = \text{length } \text{cms} \implies$

$(\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } \text{cms} \implies ((\text{fst } gc_A ! i, \text{snd } gc_A), (\text{fst } gc_C ! i, \text{snd } gc_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! i)) \implies$

$\text{abs.sound-mode-use } gc_A \implies \text{modes-respect-priv } (\text{map snd } (\text{fst } gc_C)) \implies$

$\text{conc.sound-mode-use } gc_C$

<proof>

lemma *refined-prog-secure*:

assumes len_A [simp]: $length\ cms_C = length\ cms$
assumes len_C [simp]: $length\ cms_A = length\ cms$
assumes $in\mathcal{R}$: $(\bigwedge i\ mem_C. i < length\ cms \implies ((cms_A\ !\ i, mem_{A-of\ mem_C}), (cms_C\ !\ i, mem_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel}\ (cms\ !\ i))$
assumes $in\mathcal{R}_A$: $(\bigwedge i\ mem_C\ mem_C'. \llbracket i < length\ cms; conc.\ low\ mds\ eq\ (snd\ (cms_C\ !\ i))\ mem_C\ mem_C' \rrbracket \implies ((cms_A\ !\ i, mem_{A-of\ mem_C}), (cms_A\ !\ i, mem_{A-of\ mem_C'})) \in \mathcal{R}_A\text{-rel}\ (cms\ !\ i))$
assumes $sound\ mode\ use_A$: $(\bigwedge mem_A. abs.\ sound\ mode\ use\ (cms_A, mem_A))$
assumes $modes\ respect\ priv$: $modes\ respect\ priv\ (map\ snd\ cms_C)$
shows $conc.\ prog\ sifum\ secure\ cont\ cms_C$
<proof>

lemma *refined-prog-secure'*:

assumes len_A [simp]: $length\ cms_C = length\ cms$
assumes len_C [simp]: $length\ cms_A = length\ cms$
assumes $in\mathcal{R}$: $(\bigwedge i\ mem_C. i < length\ cms \implies ((cms_A\ !\ i, mem_{A-of\ mem_C}), (cms_C\ !\ i, mem_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel}\ (cms\ !\ i))$
assumes $in\mathcal{R}_A$: $(\bigwedge i\ mem_A\ mem_A'. \llbracket i < length\ cms; abs.\ low\ mds\ eq\ (snd\ (cms_A\ !\ i))\ mem_A\ mem_A' \rrbracket \implies ((cms_A\ !\ i, mem_A), (cms_A\ !\ i, mem_A')) \in \mathcal{R}_A\text{-rel}\ (cms\ !\ i))$
assumes $sound\ mode\ use_A$: $(\bigwedge mem_A. abs.\ sound\ mode\ use\ (cms_A, mem_A))$
assumes $modes\ respect\ priv$: $modes\ respect\ priv\ (map\ snd\ cms_C)$
shows $conc.\ prog\ sifum\ secure\ cont\ cms_C$
<proof>

end

context *sifum-security* **begin**

definition

$reachable\ mems :: ('Com \times (Mode \Rightarrow 'Var\ set))\ list \Rightarrow ('Var, 'Val)\ Mem \Rightarrow ('Var, 'Val)\ Mem\ set$

where

$reachable\ mems\ cms\ mem \equiv \{mem'. \exists sched\ cms'. meval\ sched\ sched\ (cms, mem)\ (cms', mem')\}$

lemma *reachable-mems-refl*:

$mem \in reachable\ mems\ cms\ mem$
<proof>

end

context *sifum-refinement-sys* **begin**

lemma *reachable-mems-refinement*:

```

assumes sys-nonempty: length cms > 0
assumes lenA [simp]: length cmsC = length cms
assumes lenC [simp]: length cmsA = length cms
assumes in-ℛ:  $(\bigwedge i \text{ mem}_C. i < \text{length cms} \implies ((\text{cms}_A ! i, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_C), (\text{cms}_C ! i, \text{mem}_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! i))$ 
assumes sound-mode-useA:  $(\bigwedge \text{mem}_A. \text{abs.sound-mode-use } (\text{cms}_A, \text{mem}_A))$ 
assumes modes-respect-priv: modes-respect-priv (map snd cmsC)
assumes reachableC: memC' ∈ conc.reachable-mems cmsC memC
shows memA-of memC' ∈ abs.reachable-mems cmsA (memA-of memC)
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

References

- [MSPR16] Toby Murray, Robert Sison, Edward Pierzchalski, and Christine Rizkallah. Compositional verification and refinement of concurrent value-dependent noninterference. In *IEEE Computer Security Foundations Symposium*, Lisbon, Portugal, June 2016.
- [Mur15] Toby Murray. On high-assurance information-flow-secure programming languages. In *ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Programming Languages and Analysis for Security*, pages 43–48, Prague, Czech Republic, July 2015.