

Compositional Security-Preserving Refinement for Concurrent Imperative Programs

Toby Murray, Robert Sison, Edward Pierzchalski and Christine Rizkallah

March 17, 2025

Abstract

The paper “Compositional Verification and Refinement of Concurrent Value-Dependent Noninterference” by Murray et. al. [MSPR16] presents a compositional theory of refinement for a value-dependent noninterference property, defined in [Mur15], for concurrent programs. This development formalises that refinement theory, and demonstrates its application on some small examples.

The formalisation is contained in the theory `CompositionalRefinement.thy`.

Examples are also present in the formalisation in the `Examples/` directory.

Contents

1	General Compositional Refinement	2
2	A Simpler Proof Principle for General Compositional Refinement	21
3	Simple Bisimulations and Simple Refinement	25
4	Sound Mode Use Preservation	26
5	Refinement without changing the Memory Model	30
6	Whole System Refinement	33

```
theory CompositionalRefinement
imports Dependent-SIFUM-Type-Systems.Compositionality
begin
```

```
lemma inj-card-le:
```

```

inj (f::'a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ finite (UNIV::'b set) ⇒ card (UNIV::'a set) ≤ card
(UNIV::'b set)
by (blast intro: card-inj-on-le)

```

We define a generic locale for capturing refinement between an abstract and a concrete program. We then define and prove sufficient conditions that preserve local security from the abstract to the concrete program.

Below we define a second locale that is more restrictive than this one. Specifically, this one allows the concrete program to have extra variables not present in the abstract one. These variables might be used, for instance, to implement a runtime stack that was implicit in the semantics of the abstract program; or as temporary storage for expression evaluation that may (appear to be) atomic in the abstract semantics.

The simpler locale below forbids extra variables in the concrete program, making the necessary conditions for preservation of local security simpler.

```

locale sifum-refinement =
abs: sifum-security dmaA C-varsA CA evalA some-val +
conc: sifum-security dmaC C-varsC CC evalC some-val
for dmaA :: ('VarA, 'Val) Mem ⇒ 'VarA ⇒ Sec
and dmaC :: ('VarC, 'Val) Mem ⇒ 'VarC ⇒ Sec
and C-varsA :: 'VarA ⇒ 'VarA set
and C-varsC :: 'VarC ⇒ 'VarC set
and CA :: 'VarA set
and CC :: 'VarC set
and evalA :: ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val) LocalConf rel
and evalC :: ('ComC, 'VarC, 'Val) LocalConf rel
and some-val :: 'Val +
fixes varC-of :: 'VarA ⇒ 'VarC
assumes varC-of-inj: inj varC-of
assumes dma-consistent:
dmaA (λxA. memC (varC-of xA)) xA = dmaC memC (varC-of xA)
assumes C-vars-consistent:
(varC-of ` C-varsA xA) = C-varsC (varC-of xA)
assumes control-vars-are-A-vars:
CC = varC-of ` CA

```

1 General Compositional Refinement

The type of state relations between the abstract and compiled components. The job of a certifying compiler will be to exhibit one of these for each component it compiles. Below we'll define the conditions that such a relation needs to satisfy to give compositional refinement.

```

type-synonym ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val, 'ComC, 'VarC) state-relation =
((ComA, VarA, Val) LocalConf × (ComC, VarC, Val) LocalConf) set

```

```

context sifum-refinement begin

abbreviation

$$\text{conf-abv}_A :: \text{'Com}_A \Rightarrow \text{'Var}_A \text{ Mds} \Rightarrow (\text{'Var}_A, \text{'Val}) \text{ Mem} \Rightarrow (-,-,-) \text{ LocalConf}$$


$$(\langle\langle -, -, - \rangle\rangle_A [0, 0, 0] 1000)$$

where

$$\langle c, mds, mem \rangle_A \equiv ((c, mds), mem)$$


abbreviation

$$\text{conf-abv}_C :: \text{'Com}_C \Rightarrow \text{'Var}_C \text{ Mds} \Rightarrow (\text{'Var}_C, \text{'Val}) \text{ Mem} \Rightarrow (-,-,-) \text{ LocalConf}$$


$$(\langle\langle -, -, - \rangle\rangle_C [0, 0, 0] 1000)$$

where

$$\langle c, mds, mem \rangle_C \equiv ((c, mds), mem)$$


abbreviation

$$\text{eval-abv}_A :: (\text{'Com}_A, \text{'Var}_A, \text{'Val}) \text{ LocalConf} \Rightarrow (-, -, -) \text{ LocalConf} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$


$$(\text{infixl } \langle\sim\rangle_A 70)$$

where

$$x \sim_A y \equiv (x, y) \in \text{eval}_A$$


abbreviation

$$\text{eval-abv}_C :: (\text{'Com}_C, \text{'Var}_C, \text{'Val}) \text{ LocalConf} \Rightarrow (-, -, -) \text{ LocalConf} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$


$$(\text{infixl } \langle\sim\rangle_C 70)$$

where

$$x \sim_C y \equiv (x, y) \in \text{eval}_C$$


definition

$$\text{preserves-modes-mem} :: (\text{'Com}_A, \text{'Var}_A, \text{'Val}, \text{'Com}_C, \text{'Var}_C) \text{ state-relation} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R} \equiv$$


$$(\forall c_A mds_A mem_A c_C mds_C mem_C. (\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$$


$$(\forall x_A. (mem_A x_A) = (mem_C (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x_A))) \wedge$$


$$(\forall m. \text{var}_C\text{-of } 'mds_A m = (\text{range var}_C\text{-of}) \cap mds_C m))$$


definition

$$\text{mem}_A\text{-of} :: (\text{'Var}_C, \text{'Val}) \text{ Mem} \Rightarrow (\text{'Var}_A, \text{'Val}) \text{ Mem}$$

where

$$\text{mem}_A\text{-of } mem_C \equiv (\lambda x_A. (mem_C (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x_A)))$$


definition

$$\text{mds}_A\text{-of} :: \text{'Var}_C \text{ Mds} \Rightarrow \text{'Var}_A \text{ Mds}$$

where

$$\text{mds}_A\text{-of } mds_C \equiv (\lambda m. (\text{inv var}_C\text{-of}) ` (\text{range var}_C\text{-of} \cap mds_C m))$$


lemma low-mds-eq-from-conc-to-abs:

$$\text{conc.low-mds-eq } mds \text{ mem } mem' \implies \text{abs.low-mds-eq } (mds_A\text{-of } mds) (mem_A\text{-of } mem) (mem_A\text{-of } mem')$$


```

```

apply(clarsimp simp: abs.low-mds-eq-def conc.low-mds-eq-def memA-of-def mdsA-of-def)
using varC-of-inj
by (metis IntI control-vars-are-A-vars dma-consistent image-eqI inv-f-f rangeI)

definition
  varA-of :: 'VarC ⇒ 'VarA
where
  varA-of ≡ inv varC-of

lemma preserves-modes-mem-memA-simp:
  ( $\forall x_A. (mem_A x_A) = (mem_C (var_C-of x_A)) \Rightarrow$ 
    $mem_A = mem_A-of mem_C$ 
  unfolding memA-of-def by blast

lemma preserves-modes-mem-mdsA-simp:
  ( $\forall m. var_C-of 'mds_A m = range (var_C-of) \cap mds_C m \Rightarrow$ 
    $mds_A = mds_A-of mds_C$ 
  unfolding mdsA-of-def
  apply(rule ext)
  apply(drule-tac x=m in spec)
  apply(rule equalityI)
  apply clarsimp
  apply(rename-tac xA)
  apply(drule equalityD1)
  apply(drule-tac c=varC-of xA in subsetD)
  apply blast
  unfolding image-def
  apply clarsimp
  apply(rule-tac x=varC-of xA in bexI)
  apply(rule sym)
  apply(rule inv-f-f[OF varC-of-inj])
  apply(drule inj-onD[OF varC-of-inj])
  apply blast+
  apply clarsimp
  apply(rename-tac xA)
  apply(simp add: inv-f-f[OF varC-of-inj])
  apply(drule equalityD2)
  apply(drule-tac c=varC-of xA in subsetD)
  apply blast
  apply clarsimp
  apply(drule inj-onD[OF varC-of-inj])
  apply blast+
  done

```

This version might be more useful. Not sure yet.

```

lemma preserves-modes-mem-def2:
  preserves-modes-mem R =
  ( $\forall c_A mds_A mem_A c_C mds_C mem_C. (\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C$ 

```

```

 $\rangle_C \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$ 
   $mem_A = mem_A\text{-of } mem_C \wedge$ 
   $mds_A = mds_A\text{-of } mds_C)$ 
unfolding preserves-modes-mem-def
apply(rule iffI)
apply(blast dest: preserves-modes-mem-memA-simp preserves-modes-mem-mdsA-simp)
apply safe
  apply(elim allE impE, assumption, elim conjE)
  apply(simp add: memA-of-def)
apply blast
apply clarsimp
apply(rename-tac xA)
apply(elim allE impE, assumption, elim conjE)
apply clarsimp
apply(clarsimp simp: mdsA-of-def image-def)
apply(simp add: inv-f-f[OF varC-of-inj])
apply clarsimp
apply(rename-tac xA)
apply(rule imageI)
apply(elim allE impE, assumption, elim conjE)
apply(clarsimp simp: mdsA-of-def)
apply(subst image-def)
apply clarify
apply(rule-tac x=varC-of xA in bexI)
apply(simp add: inv-f-f[OF varC-of-inj])
apply blast
done

definition
closed-others :: ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val, 'ComC, 'VarC) state-relation  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
closed-others  $\mathcal{R} \equiv$ 
 $(\forall c_A c_C mds_C mem_C mem_C'. (\langle c_A, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_C \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall x. mem_C x \neq mem_C' x \longrightarrow \neg var\text{-asm-not-written } mds_C x) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall x. dma_C mem_C x \neq dma_C mem_C' x \longrightarrow \neg var\text{-asm-not-written } mds_C x) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\langle c_A, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_C' \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R})$ 

definition
stopsC :: ('ComC, 'VarC, 'Val) LocalConf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
stopsC c  $\equiv \forall c'. \neg (c \rightsquigarrow_C c')$ 

lemmas neval-induct = abs.neval.induct[consumes 1, case-names Zero Suc]

lemma strong-low-bisim-neval':
  abs.neval c1 n cn  $\Longrightarrow$  (c1, c1')  $\in \mathcal{R}_A \Longrightarrow$  snd (fst c1) = snd (fst c1')  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  abs.strong-low-bisim-mm  $\mathcal{R}_A \Longrightarrow$ 

```

```

 $\exists c_n'. \text{abs.neval } c_1' n c_n' \wedge (c_n, c_n') \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge \text{snd } (\text{fst } c_n) = \text{snd } (\text{fst } (c_n'))$ 

proof(induct arbitrary:  $c_1'$  rule: neval-induct)



case (Zero  $c_1$   $c_n$ )



hence  $\text{abs.neval } c_1' 0 c_1' \wedge (c_n, c_1') \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge \text{snd } (\text{fst } c_n) = \text{snd } (\text{fst } c_1')$



by(blast intro: abs.neval.intros(1))



thus ?case by blast



next



case ( $\text{Suc } lc_0$   $lc_1$   $n$   $lc_n$   $lc_0'$ )



obtain  $c_0$   $mds_0$   $mem_0$



where [simp]:  $lc_0 = \langle c_0, mds_0, mem_0 \rangle_A$  by (case-tac  $lc_0$ , auto)



obtain  $c_1$   $mds_1$   $mem_1$



where [simp]:  $lc_1 = \langle c_1, mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A$  by (case-tac  $lc_1$ , auto)



from  $\langle \text{snd } (\text{fst } lc_0) = \text{snd } (\text{fst } lc_0') \rangle$  obtain  $c_0' mem_0'$



where [simp]:  $lc_0' = \langle c_0', mds_0, mem_0 \rangle_A$  by (case-tac  $lc_0'$ , auto)



from  $\langle (lc_0, lc_0') \in \mathcal{R}_A \rangle$  [simplified]  $\langle lc_0 \rightsquigarrow_A lc_1 \rangle$  [simplified]  $\langle \text{abs.strong-low-bisim-mm } \mathcal{R}_A \rangle$



obtain  $c_1' mem_1'$  where  $a: \langle c_0', mds_0, mem_0 \rangle_A \rightsquigarrow_A \langle c_1', mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A$  and



$b: (\langle c_1, mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_1', mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$



unfolding abs.strong-low-bisim-mm-def



by blast



from this Suc.hyps Suc(6) obtain  $lc_S'$  where  $\text{abs.neval } \langle c_1', mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A n lc_S'$  and  $(lc_n, lc_S') \in \mathcal{R}_A$  and  $\text{snd } (\text{fst } lc_n) = \text{snd } (\text{fst } lc_S')$



by force



with Suc this a b show ?case by(fastforce intro: abs.neval.intros(2))



qed



lemma strong-low-bisim-neval:



$\text{abs.neval } \langle c_1, mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A n \langle c_n, mds_n, mem_n \rangle_A \implies (\langle c_1, mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_1', mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \implies \text{abs.strong-low-bisim-mm } \mathcal{R}_A \implies$



$\exists c_n' mem_n'. \text{abs.neval } \langle c_1', mds_1, mem_1 \rangle_A n \langle c_n', mds_n, mem_n \rangle_A \wedge (\langle c_n, mds_n, mem_n \rangle_A, \langle c_n', mds_n, mem_n \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$



by(drule strong-low-bisim-neval', simp+)



lemma in-R-dma':



assumes preserves: preserves-modes-mem  $\mathcal{R}$



assumes in-R:  $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$



shows  $dma_A \text{ mem}_A x_A = dma_C \text{ mem}_C (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x_A)$



proof –



from assms have



$mds_A\text{-def}: mds_A = mds_A\text{-of } mds_C \text{ and}$



$mem_A\text{-def}: mem_A = mem_A\text{-of } mem_C$



unfolding preserves-modes-mem-def2 by blast+



have  $dma_A (\text{mem}_A\text{-of } mem_C) x_A = dma_C \text{ mem}_C (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x_A)$



unfolding mem_A-of-def



by(rule dma-consistent)


```

```

thus ?thesis
  by(simp add: memA-def)
qed

lemma in- $\mathcal{R}$ -dma:
  assumes preserves: preserves-modes-mem  $\mathcal{R}$ 
  assumes in- $\mathcal{R}$ :  $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
  shows dmaA memA = (dmaC memC  $\circ$  varC-of)
  unfolding o-def
  using assms by(blast intro: in- $\mathcal{R}$ -dma')

definition
  new-vars-private :: ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val, 'ComC, 'VarC) state-relation  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  new-vars-private  $\mathcal{R} \equiv$ 
   $(\forall c_{1A} mds_A mem_{1A} c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C}.$ 
   $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$ 
   $(\forall c_{1C}' mds_C' mem_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C \longrightarrow$ 
   $(\forall v_C. (mem_{1C}' v_C \neq mem_{1C} v_C \vee dma_C mem_{1C}' v_C < dma_C mem_{1C} v_C)$ 
   $\wedge v_C \notin range var_C\text{-of} \longrightarrow v_C \in mds_C' AsmNoReadOrWrite) \wedge$ 
   $(mds_C AsmNoReadOrWrite - (range var_C\text{-of})) \subseteq (mds_C' AsmNoReadOrWrite$ 
   $- (range var_C\text{-of})))$ 

lemma not-less-eq-is-greater-Sec:
   $(\neg a \leq (b::Sec)) = (a > b)$ 
  unfolding less-Sec-def less-eq-Sec-def using Sec.exhaust by blast

lemma doesnt-have-mode:
   $(x \notin mds_A\text{-of } mds_C m) = (var_C\text{-of } x \notin mds_C m)$ 
  apply(clar simp simp: mdsA-of-def image-def)
  apply(rule iffI)
  apply clar simp
  apply(drule-tac x=varC-of x in bspec)
  apply blast
  apply(simp add: inv-f-f[OF varC-of-inj])
  apply(clarify)
  apply(simp add: inv-f-f[OF varC-of-inj])
  done

lemma new-vars-private-does-the-thing:
  assumes nice: new-vars-private  $\mathcal{R}$ 
  assumes in- $\mathcal{R}_1$ :  $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
  assumes in- $\mathcal{R}_2$ :  $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
  assumes step1C:  $\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C$ 
  assumes step2C:  $\langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C}' \rangle_C$ 

```

```

assumes low-mds-eqC: conc.low-mds-eq mdsC mem1C mem2C
assumes low-mds-eqA': abs.low-mds-eq (mdsA-of mdsC') (memA-of mem1C)
(memA-of mem2C)
shows conc.low-mds-eq mdsC' mem1C' mem2C'
unfolding conc.low-mds-eq-def
proof(clarify)
  let ?mem1A = memA-of mem1C
  let ?mem2A = memA-of mem2C
  let ?mem1A' = memA-of mem1C'
  let ?mem2A' = memA-of mem2C'
  let ?mdsA = mdsA-of mdsC
  let ?mdsA' = mdsA-of mdsC'
  fix xC
  assume is-LowC': dmaC mem1C' xC = Low
  assume is-readableC': xC ∈ CC ∨ xC ∉ mdsC' AsmNoReadOrWrite
  show mem1C' xC = mem2C' xC
  proof(cases dmaC mem1C' xC ≥ dmaC mem1C xC ∧ mem1C' xC = mem1C xC
  ∧ mem2C' xC = mem2C xC ∧ (xC ∈ mdsC AsmNoReadOrWrite → xC ∈ mdsC' AsmNoReadOrWrite))
  assume easy: dmaC mem1C' xC ≥ dmaC mem1C xC ∧ mem1C' xC = mem1C xC
  ∧ mem2C' xC = mem2C xC ∧ (xC ∈ mdsC AsmNoReadOrWrite → xC ∈ mdsC' AsmNoReadOrWrite)
  with is-LowC' have is-LowC: dmaC mem1C xC = Low by (simp add: less-eq-Sec-def)
  from easy is-readableC' have is-readableC: xC ∈ CC ∨ xC ∉ mdsC AsmNoRe-
  adOrWrite by blast
  from is-LowC is-readableC low-mds-eqC have mem1C xC = mem2C xC
  unfolding conc.low-mds-eq-def by blast
  with easy show ?thesis by metis
next
  assume a: ¬ (dmaC mem1C xC ≤ dmaC mem1C' xC ∧
  mem1C' xC = mem1C xC ∧
  mem2C' xC = mem2C xC ∧ (xC ∈ mdsC AsmNoReadOrWrite → xC ∈
  mdsC' AsmNoReadOrWrite))
  hence a-disj: (dmaC mem1C xC > dmaC mem1C' xC ∨
  mem1C' xC ≠ mem1C xC ∨
  mem2C' xC ≠ mem2C xC ∨ (xC ∈ mdsC AsmNoReadOrWrite ∧ xC ∉ mdsC' AsmNoReadOrWrite))
  using not-less-eq-is-greater-Sec by blast
  show mem1C' xC = mem2C' xC
  proof(cases xC ∈ range varC-of)
    assume C-only-var: xC ∉ range varC-of
    with in-R1 step1C nice
    have (mem1C' xC ≠ mem1C xC ∨ dmaC mem1C' xC < dmaC mem1C xC)
    → xC ∈ mdsC' AsmNoReadOrWrite
    unfolding new-vars-private-def by blast
    moreover from C-only-var in-R2 step2C nice have (mem2C' xC ≠ mem2C
    xC) → xC ∈ mdsC' AsmNoReadOrWrite
    unfolding new-vars-private-def by blast
    moreover from C-only-var in-R1 step1C nice have xC ∈ mdsC AsmNoRe-

```

```

adOrWrite —→  $x_C \in mds_C'$  AsmNoReadOrWrite unfolding new-vars-private-def
by blast
moreover from C-only-var is-readable $_C'$  have  $x_C \notin mds_C'$  AsmNoReadOr-
Write
  using control-vars-are-A-vars by blast
  ultimately have False using a-disj by blast
  thus ?thesis by blast
next
  assume in-val $_C$ -of:  $x_C \in range var_C$ -of
  from this obtain  $x_A$  where  $x_C$ -def:  $x_C = var_C$ -of  $x_A$  by blast
  from is-Low $_C'$  have is-Low $_A'$ :  $dma_A$  ?mem $_{1A}'$   $x_A = Low$ 
    using dma-consistent unfolding mem $_A$ -of-def  $x_C$ -def by force
    from is-readable $_C'$  have is-readable $_A'$ :  $x_A \in \mathcal{C}_A \vee x_A \notin ?mds_A'$  AsmNoRe-
adOrWrite
    using control-vars-are-A-vars  $x_C$ -def doesnt-have-mode[symmetric] var $_C$ -of-inj
    inj-image-mem-iff by fast
    with is-Low $_A'$  low-mds-eq $_A'$  have  $x_A$ -eq': ?mem $_{1A}'$   $x_A = ?mem_{2A}'$   $x_A$ 
      unfolding abs.low-mds-eq-def by blast
      thus ?thesis by(simp add: mem $_A$ -of-def  $x_C$ -def)
qed
qed
qed

```

Perhaps surprisingly, we don't necessarily care whether the refinement preserves termination or divergence behaviour from the source to the target program. It can do whatever it likes, so long as it transforms two source programs that are low bisimilar (i.e. perform the same low actions at the same time), into two target ones that perform the same low actions at the same time.

Having the concrete step correspond to zero abstract ones is like expanding abstract code out (think e.g. of side-effect free expression evaluation). Having the concrete step correspond to more than one abstract step is like optimising out abstract code. But importantly, the optimisation needs to look the same for abstract-bisimilar code.

Additionally, we allow the instantiation of this theory to supply an arbitrary predicate that can be used to restrict our consideration to pairs of concrete steps that correspond to each other in terms of progress. This is particularly important for distinguishing between multiple concrete steps derived from the expansion of a single abstract step.

definition

$\text{secure-refinement} :: (\text{'Com}_A, \text{'Var}_A, \text{'Val}) \text{ LocalConf rel} \Rightarrow (\text{'Com}_A, \text{'Var}_A, \text{'Val}, \text{'Com}_C, \text{'Var}_C) \text{ state-relation} \Rightarrow (\text{'Com}_C, \text{'Var}_C, \text{'Val}) \text{ LocalConf rel} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{secure-refinement } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \equiv$
 $\text{closed-others } \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $\text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R} \wedge$

$\text{new-vars-private } \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $\text{conc.closed-glob-consistent } P \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{1A} mds_A \text{ mem}_{1A} c_{1C} mds_C \text{ mem}_{1C}.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall c_{1C}' mds_C' \text{ mem}_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', \text{mem}_{1C}'$
 $)_C \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists n c_{1A}' mds_A' \text{ mem}_{1A}'. \text{abs.neval } \langle c_{1A}, mds_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A n \langle c_{1A}', mds_A',$
 $\text{mem}_{1A}' \rangle_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}', mds_A', \text{mem}_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{2A} \text{ mem}_{2A} c_{2C} \text{ mem}_{2C} c_{2A}' \text{ mem}_{2A}'.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, \text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P \wedge$
 $\text{abs.neval } \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, \text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A n \langle c_{2A}', mds_A', \text{mem}_{2A}' \rangle_A \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists c_{2C}' \text{ mem}_{2C}'. \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', \text{mem}_{2C}'$
 $)_C \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}', mds_A', \text{mem}_{2A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', \text{mem}_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', \text{mem}_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in P))))$

lemma preserves-modes-memD:
 $\llbracket \text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R}; (\langle c_A, mds_A, \text{mem}_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, \text{mem}_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{mem}_A = \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_C \wedge mds_A = mds_A\text{-of } mds_C$
using preserves-modes-mem-def2 **by** blast

lemma secure-refinement-def2:
 $\text{secure-refinement } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \equiv$
 $\text{closed-others } \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $\text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $\text{new-vars-private } \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $\text{conc.closed-glob-consistent } P \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{1A} c_{1C} mds_C \text{ mem}_{1C}.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of } mds_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall c_{1C}' mds_C' \text{ mem}_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', \text{mem}_{1C}'$
 $)_C \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists n c_{1A}'. \text{abs.neval } \langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of } mds_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_A n \langle c_{1A}',$
 $\text{mds}_A\text{-of } mds_C', \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}', \text{mds}_A\text{-of } mds_C', \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds_C',$
 $\text{mem}_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{2A} c_{2C} \text{ mem}_{2C} c_{2A}' \text{ mem}_{2A}'.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of } mds_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of }$
 $\text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of } mds_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in$
 $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P \wedge$
 $\text{abs.neval } \langle c_{2A}, \text{mds}_A\text{-of } mds_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_A n \langle c_{2A}', mds_A\text{-of }$
 $mds_C', \text{mem}_{2A}' \rangle_A \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists c_{2C}' \text{ mem}_{2C}'. \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', \text{mem}_{2C}'$
 $)_C \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}', \text{mds}_A\text{-of } mds_C', \text{mem}_{2A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', \text{mem}_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in$

```

 $\mathcal{R} \wedge$ 
     $(\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in P)))))$ 
apply(rule eq-reflection)
unfolding secure-refinement-def
apply(rule conj-cong)
apply(fastforce)
apply(rule conj-cong)
apply(fastforce)
apply(rule conj-cong)
apply(fastforce)
apply(rule conj-cong, fastforce)
apply(rule iffI)
apply(intro allI conjI impI)
apply((drule spec)+,erule (1) impE)
apply((drule spec)+,erule (1) impE)
using preserves-modes-memD apply metis
apply(intro allI conjI impI)
apply(frule (1) preserves-modes-memD, clarify)
apply((drule spec)+,erule (1) impE)
apply((drule spec)+,erule (1) impE)
using preserves-modes-memD apply metis
done

lemma extra-vars-are-not-control-vars:
 $x \notin \text{range } var_C\text{-of} \implies x \notin \mathcal{C}_C$ 
proof(erule contrapos-nn)
assume  $x \in \mathcal{C}_C$ 
from this obtain  $x_A$  where  $x = var_C\text{-of } x_A$ 
using control-vars-are-A-vars by blast
thus  $x \in \text{range } var_C\text{-of}$  by blast
qed

definition
 $R_C\text{-of} ::$ 
 $((('Com_A \times (\text{Mode} \Rightarrow 'Var_A \text{ set})) \times ('Var_A \Rightarrow 'Val)) \times$ 
 $('Com_A \times (\text{Mode} \Rightarrow 'Var_A \text{ set})) \times ('Var_A \Rightarrow 'Val)) \text{ set} \Rightarrow$ 
 $('Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var_C) \text{ state-relation} \Rightarrow$ 
 $((('Com_C \times (\text{Mode} \Rightarrow 'Var_C \text{ set})) \times ('Var_C \Rightarrow 'Val)) \times$ 
 $('Com_C \times (\text{Mode} \Rightarrow 'Var_C \text{ set})) \times ('Var_C \Rightarrow 'Val)) \text{ set} \Rightarrow$ 
 $((('Com_C \times (\text{Mode} \Rightarrow 'Var_C \text{ set})) \times ('Var_C \Rightarrow 'Val)) \times$ 
 $('Com_C \times (\text{Mode} \Rightarrow 'Var_C \text{ set})) \times ('Var_C \Rightarrow 'Val)) \text{ set}$ 

where
 $R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \equiv \{(x,y). \exists x_A y_A. (x_A,x) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (y_A,y) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (x_A,y_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$ 
 $\text{snd } (\text{fst } x) = \text{snd } (\text{fst } y) — \text{TODO: annoying to have to say } \wedge$ 
 $\text{conc\_low\_mds\_eq } (\text{snd } (\text{fst } x)) (\text{snd } x) (\text{snd } y) \wedge$ 
 $(x,y) \in P\}$ 

lemma abs-low-mds-eq-dmaC-eq:
assumes  $\text{abs\_low\_mds\_eq } (mds_A\text{-of } mds) (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C})$ 

```

shows $dma_C \ mem_{1C} = dma_C \ mem_{2C}$
proof(rule conc.dma-C, rule ballI)
fix x_C
assume $x_C \in \mathcal{C}_C$
from this obtain x_A where $var_C\text{-of } x_A = x_C$ and $x_A \in \mathcal{C}_A$ using control-vars-are-A-vars by blast
from assms $\langle x_A \in \mathcal{C}_A \rangle$ have $(mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) \ x_A = (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C}) \ x_A$
unfolding abs.low-mds-eq-def
using abs.C-Low by blast
thus $(mem_{1C} \ x_C) = (mem_{2C} \ x_C)$
using $\langle var_C\text{-of } x_A = x_C \rangle$ unfolding mem_A-of-def by blast
qed

lemma $R_C\text{-ofD}:$
assumes rr: secure-refinement $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
assumes in-R: $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
shows
 $(\exists c_{1A} c_{2A}. (\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A) \wedge$
 $(mds_C' = mds_C) \wedge$
conc.low-mds-eq $mds_C \ mem_{1C} \ mem_{2C} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$
proof –
have $\mathcal{R}\text{-preserves-modes-mem}: preserves\text{-modes-mem } \mathcal{R}$
using rr **unfolding** secure-refinement-def by blast

from in-R obtain $c_{1A} mds_{1A} mem_{1A} c_{2A} mds_{2A} mem_{2A}$ where
in- \mathcal{R}_1 : $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_{1A}, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ and
in- \mathcal{R}_2 : $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_{2A}, mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ and
in- \mathcal{R}_A : $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_{1A}, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_{2A}, mem_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$ and
pred-holds: $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$ and
mds-eq: $mds_C = mds_C'$ and
mds-eq: conc.low-mds-eq $mds_C \ mem_{1C} \ mem_{2C}$
unfolding $R_C\text{-of-def}$ by force+

from this $\mathcal{R}\text{-preserves-modes-mem}[simplified\ preserves\text{-modes-mem-def2, rule-format, OF in-}\mathcal{R}_1]$ $\mathcal{R}\text{-preserves-modes-mem}[simplified\ preserves\text{-modes-mem-def2, rule-format, OF in-}\mathcal{R}_2]$
show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma $R_C\text{-ofI}:$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \implies$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \implies$

$(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \implies$
 $\text{conc.\textit{low-mds-eq}} mds_C \text{ } mem_{1C} \text{ } mem_{2C} \implies$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P \implies$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
unfolding $R_C\text{-of-def}$ **by** *fastforce*

lemma $R_C\text{-of-sym}:$
assumes $\text{sym } \mathcal{R}_A$
assumes $P\text{-sym: sym } P$
assumes $rr: \text{secure-refinement } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
assumes $mm:$
 $\forall c_1 mds mem_1 c_2 mds mem_2. (\langle c_1, mds, mem_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_2, mds, mem_2 \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \implies$
 $\text{abs.\textit{low-mds-eq}} mds mem_1 mem_2$
shows $\text{sym } (R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P)$
proof(rule *symI*, clarify)
fix $c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C} c_{2C} mds_C' mem_{2C}$
assume $\text{in-}R_C\text{-of: } (\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
from $\text{in-}R_C\text{-of obtain } c_{1A} c_{2A} \text{ where}$
junk:
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(mds_C' = mds_C) \wedge \text{conc.\textit{low-mds-eq}} mds_C mem_{1C} mem_{2C} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$
using $rr \text{ } R_C\text{-ofD}$ **by** *fastforce+*
hence $dma\text{-eq: } dma_C mem_{1C} = dma_C mem_{2C}$
using $\text{abs-low-mds-eq-dmaC-eq[OF mm]}$ **by** *blast*
with *junk* **have** *junk'*:
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(mds_C' = mds_C) \wedge$
 $\text{conc.\textit{low-mds-eq}} mds_C' mem_{2C} mem_{1C} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in P$
using $\langle \text{sym } \mathcal{R}_A \rangle \text{ } P\text{-sym}$ **unfolding** *sym-def* **using** *conc.\textit{low-mds-eq-sym}* **by** *metis*
thus $(\langle c_{2C}, mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
using $R_C\text{-ofI}$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $R_C\text{-of-simp}:$
assumes $rr: \text{secure-refinement } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$
shows $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P =$
 $((\exists c_{1A} c_{2A}. (\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C))$

```

 $\in \mathcal{R} \wedge$ 
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in$ 
 $\mathcal{R} \wedge$ 
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of }$ 
 $mem_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$ 
 $conc.\text{low-mds-eq } mds_C \ mem_{1C} \ mem_{2C} \wedge$ 
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P)$ 
using assms by(blast dest: R_C-ofD intro: R_C-ofI)

```

definition

$A_A\text{-of} :: ('Var_C, 'Val) adaptation \Rightarrow ('Var_A, 'Val) adaptation$

where

$$A_A\text{-of } A \equiv \lambda x_A. \ case \ A \ (var_C\text{-of } x_A) \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ None \mid$$

$$Some \ (v, v') \Rightarrow Some \ (v, v')$$

lemma var-writable_A:

$\neg var\text{-asm-not-written } mds_C \ (var_C\text{-of } x) \implies \neg var\text{-asm-not-written } (mds_A\text{-of }$

$mds_C) \ x$

apply(simp add: var-asm-not-written-def mds_A-of-def)

apply(auto simp: inv-f-f[OF var_C-of-inj])

done

lemma A_A-asm-mem:

assumes A_C-asm-mem: $\forall x. \ case \ A_C \ x \ of \ None \Rightarrow True$

$\mid Some \ (v, v') \Rightarrow$

$mem_{1C} \ x \neq v \vee mem_{2C} \ x \neq v' \longrightarrow \neg var\text{-asm-not-written } mds_C \ x$

shows case (A_A-of A_C) x of None $\Rightarrow True$

$\mid Some \ (v, v') \Rightarrow$

$(mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) \ x \neq v \vee (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C}) \ x \neq v' \longrightarrow \neg$

$var\text{-asm-not-written } (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C) \ x$

apply(split option.splits, simp, intro allI impI)

proof –

fix v v'

assume A_A-not-None: A_A-of A_C x = Some (v, v')

assume A_A-updates-x: mem_A-of mem_{1C} x = v \longrightarrow mem_A-of mem_{2C} x \neq v'

from A_A-not-None **have**

A_C-not-None: A_C (var_C-of x) = Some (v, v')

unfolding A_A-of-def **by** (auto split: option.splits)

from A_A-updates-x **have**

A_C-updates-x: mem_{1C} (var_C-of x) \neq v \vee mem_{2C} (var_C-of x) \neq v'

unfolding mem_A-of-def **by** fastforce

from A_C-not-None A_C-updates-x A_C-asm-mem **have**

$\neg var\text{-asm-not-written } mds_C \ (var_C\text{-of } x)$ **by** (auto split: option.splits)

thus $\neg var\text{-asm-not-written } (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C) \ x$

by(rule var-writable_A)

qed

```

lemma dmaA-adaptation-eq:
  dmaA ((memA-of mem1C) [|1 AA-of AC]) xA = dmaC (mem1C [|1 AC]) (varC-of
xA)
  apply(subst dma-consistent[folded memA-of-def, symmetric])
  apply(rule-tac x=xA in fun-cong)
  apply(rule-tac f=dmaA in arg-cong)
  apply(rule ext)
  apply(clar simp simp: apply-adaptation-def AA-of-def memA-of-def split: option.splits)
done

lemma AA-asm-dma:
  assumes AC-asm-dma:  $\forall x. \text{dma}_C (\text{mem}_{1C} [|_1 A_C]) x \neq \text{dma}_C \text{mem}_{1C} x \longrightarrow$ 
   $\neg \text{var-asm-not-written } mds_C x$ 
  shows dmaA ((memA-of mem1C) [|1 (AA-of AC)]) xA  $\neq$  dmaA (memA-of
mem1C) xA  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg \text{var-asm-not-written } (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C) x_A$ 
  proof(intro impI)
    assume AA-updates-dma: dmaA ((memA-of mem1C) [|1 AA-of AC]) xA  $\neq$  dmaA
  (memA-of mem1C) xA

    with dma-consistent[folded memA-of-def] dmaA-adaptation-eq
    have dmaC (mem1C [|1 AC]) (varC-of xA)  $\neq$  dmaC mem1C (varC-of xA)
    by(metis)

    with AC-asm-dma have  $\neg \text{var-asm-not-written } mds_C (var_C\text{-of } x_A)$  by blast

    thus  $\neg \text{var-asm-not-written } (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C) x_A$  by (rule var-writableA)
  qed

lemma varC-of-in-CC:
  assumes xA  $\in$  CA
  shows varC-of xA  $\in$  CC
  proof -
    from assms obtain yA where xA  $\in$  C-varsA yA
    unfolding abs.C-def by blast

    hence varC-of xA  $\in$  C-varsC (varC-of yA)
    using C-vars-consistent by blast

    thus ?thesis using conc.C-def by blast
  qed

lemma doesnt-have-modeC:
  x  $\notin$  mdsA-of mdsC m  $\implies$  varC-of x  $\notin$  mdsC m
  by(simp add: doesnt-have-mode)

lemma has-modeA: varC-of x  $\in$  mdsC m  $\implies$  x  $\in$  mdsA-of mdsC m
  using doesnt-have-modeC

```

by *fastforce*

lemma $A_A\text{-sec}$:

assumes $A_C\text{-sec}$: $\forall x. \text{dma}_C (\text{mem}_{1C} [\parallel_1 A_C]) x = \text{Low} \wedge (x \notin \text{mds}_C \text{ AsmNoReadWrite} \vee x \in \mathcal{C}_C) \implies$

$\text{mem}_{1C} [\parallel_1 A_C] x = \text{mem}_{2C} [\parallel_2 A_C] x$

shows $\text{dma}_A ((\text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C}) [\parallel_1 A_A\text{-of } A_C]) x = \text{Low} \wedge (x \notin \text{mds}_A\text{-of } \text{mds}_C \text{ AsmNoReadWrite} \vee x \in \mathcal{C}_A) \implies$

$(\text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C}) [\parallel_1 A_A\text{-of } A_C] x = (\text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{2C}) [\parallel_2 A_A\text{-of } A_C]$

x

proof(*clarify*)

assume $x\text{-is-Low}$: $\text{dma}_A ((\text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C}) [\parallel_1 A_A\text{-of } A_C]) x = \text{Low}$

assume $x\text{-is-readable}$: $x \notin \text{mds}_A\text{-of } \text{mds}_C \text{ AsmNoReadWrite} \vee x \in \mathcal{C}_A$

from $x\text{-is-Low}$ **have** $x\text{-is-Low}_C$: $\text{dma}_C (\text{mem}_{1C} [\parallel_1 A_C]) (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x) = \text{Low}$

using $\text{dma}_A\text{-adaptation-eq}$ **by** *simp*

from $x\text{-is-readable}$ **have** $\text{var}_C\text{-of } x \notin \text{mds}_C \text{ AsmNoReadWrite} \vee \text{var}_C\text{-of } x \in \mathcal{C}_C$

using $\text{doesnt-have-mode}_C \text{ var}_C\text{-of-in-}\mathcal{C}_C$ **by** *blast*

with $A_C\text{-sec}$ $x\text{-is-Low}_C$ **have** $\text{mem}_{1C} [\parallel_1 A_C] (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x) = \text{mem}_{2C} [\parallel_2 A_C]$
 $(\text{var}_C\text{-of } x)$

by *blast*

thus $(\text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C}) [\parallel_1 A_A\text{-of } A_C] x = (\text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{2C}) [\parallel_2 A_A\text{-of } A_C] x$

by(*auto simp*: $\text{mem}_A\text{-of-def apply-adaptation-def } A_A\text{-of-def split: option.splits}$)

qed

lemma $\text{apply-adaptation}_A$:

$(\text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C}) [\parallel_1 A_A\text{-of } A_C] = \text{mem}_A\text{-of } (\text{mem}_{1C} [\parallel_1 A_C])$

$(\text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C}) [\parallel_2 A_A\text{-of } A_C] = \text{mem}_A\text{-of } (\text{mem}_{1C} [\parallel_2 A_C])$

by(*auto simp*: $\text{mem}_A\text{-of-def } A_A\text{-of-def apply-adaptation-def split: option.splits}$)

lemma $R_C\text{-of-closed-glob-consistent}$:

assumes mm :

$\bigwedge c_1 mds mem_1 c_2 mds mem_2. (\langle c_1, mds, mem_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_2, mds, mem_2 \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$

\implies

$\text{abs.low-mds-eq mds mem}_1 \text{ mem}_2$

assumes cgc : $\text{abs.closed-glob-consistent } \mathcal{R}_A$

assumes rr : $\text{secure-refinement } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$

shows $\text{conc.closed-glob-consistent } (R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P)$

unfolding $\text{conc.closed-glob-consistent-def}$

proof(*clarify*)

fix $c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C} c_{2C} mem_{2C} A_C$

assume $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$

from $this rr$ **obtain** $c_{1A} c_{2A}$ **where**

in- \mathcal{R}_A : $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$ **and**

in- \mathcal{R}_1 : $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, \text{mem}_A\text{-of } \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ **and**

$\in \mathcal{R}$
 $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_2: (\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C)$
and
 $mds\text{-eq}: conc.\text{low-}mds\text{-eq } mds_C \ mem_{1C} \ mem_{2C}$
and
 $P: (\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$
by (*blast dest: R_C-ofD*)
assume $A_C\text{-asm-mem}: \forall x. \ case \ A_C \ x \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ True$
 $| \ Some \ (v, v') \Rightarrow$
 $mem_{1C} \ x \neq v \vee mem_{2C} \ x \neq v' \longrightarrow \neg$
 $var\text{-asm-not-written } mds_C \ x$
hence $A_A\text{-asm-mem}: \forall x. \ case \ (A_A\text{-of } A_C) \ x \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ True$
 $| \ Some \ (v, v') \Rightarrow$
 $(mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) \ x \neq v \vee (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C}) \ x \neq v' \longrightarrow \neg$
 $var\text{-asm-not-written } (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C) \ x$
by(*metis A_A-asm-mem*)

assume $A_C\text{-asm-dma}: \forall x. \ dma_C \ (mem_{1C} \ [||_1 \ A_C]) \ x \neq dma_C \ mem_{1C} \ x \longrightarrow \neg$
 $var\text{-asm-not-written } mds_C \ x$
hence $A_A\text{-asm-dma}: \forall x_A. \ dma_A \ ((mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) \ [||_1 \ (A_A\text{-of } A_C)]) \ x_A \neq$
 $dma_A \ (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) \ x_A \longrightarrow \neg \ var\text{-asm-not-written } (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C) \ x_A$
by(*metis A_A-asm-dma*)

assume $A_C\text{-sec}: \forall x. \ dma_C \ (mem_{1C} \ [||_1 \ A_C]) \ x = Low \wedge (x \notin mds_C \ AsmNoReadOrWrite \vee x \in \mathcal{C}_C) \longrightarrow$
 $mem_{1C} \ [||_1 \ A_C] \ x = mem_{2C} \ [||_2 \ A_C] \ x$
hence $A_A\text{-sec}: \forall x. \ dma_A \ ((mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) \ [||_1 \ A_A\text{-of } A_C]) \ x = Low \wedge (x \notin$
 $mds_A\text{-of } mds_C \ AsmNoReadOrWrite \vee x \in \mathcal{C}_A) \longrightarrow$
 $(mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) \ [||_1 \ A_A\text{-of } A_C] \ x = (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C}) \ [||_2 \ A_A\text{-of } A_C] \ x$
by(*metis A_A-sec*)

from rr have others: closed-others \mathcal{R}
unfolding secure-refinement-def by blast
from rr have P-cgc: conc.closed-glob-consistent P
unfolding secure-refinement-def by blast
let $?mem_{1C}' = (mem_{1C} \ [||_1 \ A_C])$ **and**
 $?mem_{2C}' = (mem_{2C} \ [||_2 \ A_C])$ **and**
 $?mem_{1A} = (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C})$ **and**
 $?mem_{2A} = (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C})$ **and**
 $?mem_{1A}' = (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) \ [||_1 \ A_A\text{-of } A_C]$ **and**
 $?mem_{2A}' = (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C}) \ [||_2 \ A_A\text{-of } A_C]$

have mem'-simps:
 $?mem_{1A}' = mem_A\text{-of } ?mem_{1C}'$
 $?mem_{2A}' = mem_A\text{-of } ?mem_{2C}'$ **by**(*simp add: apply-adaptation_A*)

from cgc in- \mathcal{R}_A $A_A\text{-asm-mem } A_A\text{-asm-dma } A_A\text{-sec have}$
 $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_A': (\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}) \ [||_1 \ A_A\text{-of } A_C] \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A},$
 $mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, (mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C}) \ [||_2 \ A_A\text{-of } A_C] \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$ **unfolding abs.closed-glob-consistent-def**

by *blast*

```

from AC-asm-mem AC-asm-dma have
  AC-asm-mem1':  $\forall x. \text{mem}_{1C} x \neq ?\text{mem}_{1C}' x \longrightarrow \neg \text{var-asm-not-written } mds_C$ 
  x and
  AC-asm-dma1':  $\forall x. \text{dma}_C \text{ mem}_{1C} x \neq \text{dma}_C ?\text{mem}_{1C}' x \longrightarrow \neg \text{var-asm-not-written } mds_C$ 
  x
  unfolding apply-adaptation-def by(force split: option.splits)+

from AC-asm-mem have
  AC-asm-mem2':  $\forall x. \text{mem}_{2C} x \neq ?\text{mem}_{2C}' x \longrightarrow \neg \text{var-asm-not-written } mds_C$ 
  x
  unfolding apply-adaptation-def by(force split: option.splits)

from in-R1 AC-asm-mem1' AC-asm-dma1' others have
  in-R1': ( $\langle c_{1A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, ?\text{mem}_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, ?\text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C \in \mathcal{R}$ )
  unfolding closed-others-def mem'-simp by blast

from mm[OF in-RA] have
  dmaC-eq:  $\text{dma}_C \text{ mem}_{1C} = \text{dma}_C \text{ mem}_{2C}$  by(rule abs-low-mds-eq-dmaC-eq)
  have dmaC-eq':  $\text{dma}_C ?\text{mem}_{1C}' = \text{dma}_C ?\text{mem}_{2C}'$ 
  apply(rule abs-low-mds-eq-dmaC-eq[OF mm])
  apply(simp add: mem'-simp[symmetric])
  by(rule in-RA')

from dmaC-eq dmaC-eq' AC-asm-dma1' have
  AC-asm-dma2':  $\forall x. \text{dma}_C \text{ mem}_{2C} x \neq \text{dma}_C ?\text{mem}_{2C}' x \longrightarrow \neg \text{var-asm-not-written } mds_C$ 
  x
  by simp

from in-R2 AC-asm-mem2' AC-asm-dma2' others have
  in-R2': ( $\langle c_{2A}, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, ?\text{mem}_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, ?\text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C \in \mathcal{R}$ )
  unfolding closed-others-def mem'-simp by blast

have mds-eq': conc.low-mds-eq mdsC ?mem1C' ?mem2C'
  using AC-sec unfolding conc.low-mds-eq-def by blast

from P P-cgc AC-asm-mem AC-asm-dma AC-sec have P': ( $\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, ?\text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, ?\text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C \in P$ )
  unfolding conc.closed-glob-consistent-def by blast
from in-RA' in-R1' in-R2' mem'-simp RC-ofI mds-eq' P' show
  ( $\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, ?\text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, ?\text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$ )
  by(metis)
qed

lemma RC-of-local-preservation:
assumes rr: secure-refinement  $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$ 
assumes bisim: abs.strong-low-bisim-mm  $\mathcal{R}_A$ 
assumes in-RC-of: ( $\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, \text{mem}_{2C} \rangle_C \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$ )
```

assumes $\text{step1}_C: \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds'_C, mem_{1C}' \rangle_C$
shows $\exists c_{2C}' \text{mem}_{2C}'$.
 $\langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds'_C, mem_{2C}' \rangle_C \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$

proof –
from rr in- R_C -of have
 $P: (\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$
by(blast dest: R_C -ofD)

let $?mds_A = mds_A\text{-of } mds_C$ **and**
 $?mem_{1A} = mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}$ **and**
 $?mem_{2A} = mem_A\text{-of } mem_{2C}$ **and**
 $?mds_A' = mds_A\text{-of } mds'_C$ **and**
 $?mem_{1A}' = mem_A\text{-of } mem_{1C}'$

from rr in- R_C -of obtain $c_{1A} \ c_{2A}$ **where**
 $\text{in-}\mathcal{R}_1: (\langle c_{1A}, ?mds_A, ?mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ **and**
 $\text{in-}\mathcal{R}_2: (\langle c_{2A}, ?mds_A, ?mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ **and**
 $\text{in-}\mathcal{R}_A: (\langle c_{1A}, ?mds_A, ?mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, ?mds_A, ?mem_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$ **and**
 $\text{low-mds-mds}_C: \text{conc.low-mds-eq } mds_C \ mem_{1C} \ mem_{2C}$
by(blast dest: R_C -ofD)+

from rr in- \mathcal{R}_1 in- \mathcal{R}_A in- \mathcal{R}_2 step1 $_C$ obtain $n \ c_{1A}'$ **where**
 $a: (\text{abs.neval } \langle c_{1A}, ?mds_A, ?mem_{1A} \rangle_A \ n \ \langle c_{1A}', ?mds_A', ?mem_{1A}' \rangle_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}', ?mds_A', ?mem_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds'_C, mem_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{2A}' \text{mem}_{2A}')$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P \wedge$
 $\text{abs.neval } \langle c_{2A}, ?mds_A, ?mem_{2A} \rangle_A \ n \ \langle c_{2A}', ?mds_A', ?mem_{2A}' \rangle_A \rightarrow$
 $(\exists c_{2C}' \text{mem}_{2C}'. \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds'_C, mem_{2C}' \rangle_C)$
 $\rangle_C \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}', ?mds_A', ?mem_{2A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds'_C, mem_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}', mds'_C, mem_{1C}' \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C}' \rangle_C \in P))$

unfolding *secure-refinement-def2*
by metis

show $?thesis$
proof –
from a have $\text{neval}_1{}_A: \text{abs.neval } \langle c_{1A}, ?mds_A, ?mem_{1A} \rangle_A \ n \ \langle c_{1A}', ?mds_A', ?mem_{1A}' \rangle_A$ **and**
 $\text{in-}\mathcal{R}_1': (\langle c_{1A}', ?mds_A', ?mem_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds'_C, mem_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$
by blast+
from *strong-low-bisim-neval[OF neval_{1A} in- \mathcal{R}_A bisim]* **obtain** $c_{2A}' \text{mem}_{2A}'$
where
 $\text{neval}_2{}_A: \text{abs.neval } \langle c_{2A}, ?mds_A, ?mem_{2A} \rangle_A \ n \ \langle c_{2A}', ?mds_A', ?mem_{2A}' \rangle_A$ **and**
 $\text{in-}\mathcal{R}_A'\text{-help}: (\langle c_{1A}', ?mds_A', ?mem_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}', ?mds_A', ?mem_{2A}' \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$
unfolding *abs.strong-low-bisim-mm-def*
by blast

from a in- \mathcal{R}_A in- \mathcal{R}_2 neval_{2A} P obtain $c_{2C}' \text{mem}_{2C}'$ **where**

```

step2C: ⟨c2C, mdsC, mem2C⟩C ~~~C ⟨c2C', mdsC', mem2C'⟩C and
      in- $\mathcal{R}_2'$ -help: ((⟨c2A', ?mdsA', mem2A'⟩A, ⟨c2C', mdsC', mem2C'⟩C) ∈  $\mathcal{R}$ 
and
P': ((⟨c1C', mdsC', mem1C'⟩C, ⟨c2C', mdsC', mem2C'⟩C) ∈ P
by blast

let ?mem2A' = memA-of mem2C'
from in- $\mathcal{R}_2'$ -help rr preserves-modes-memD have mem2A' = ?mem2A'
  unfolding secure-refinement-def by metis
with in- $\mathcal{R}_2'$ -help in- $\mathcal{R}_A'$ -help have
  in- $\mathcal{R}_2'$ : ((⟨c2A', ?mdsA', ?mem2A'⟩A, ⟨c2C', mdsC', mem2C'⟩C) ∈  $\mathcal{R}$  and
  in- $\mathcal{R}_A'$ : ((⟨c1A', ?mdsA', ?mem1A'⟩A, ⟨c2A', ?mdsA', ?mem2A'⟩A) ∈  $\mathcal{R}_A$ 
  by simp+
  have conc.low-mds-eq mdsC' mem1C' mem2C'
    apply(rule new-vars-private-does-the-thing[where  $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}$ , OF - in- $\mathcal{R}_1$  in- $\mathcal{R}_2$ 
      step1C step2C low-mds-mdsC])
    using rr apply(fastforce simp: secure-refinement-def)
    using in- $\mathcal{R}_A'$  bisim unfolding abs.strong-low-bisim-mm-def by blast

  with step2C in- $\mathcal{R}_1'$  in- $\mathcal{R}_2'$  in- $\mathcal{R}_A'$  in- $\mathcal{R}_2'$  P' show ?thesis
    by(blast intro: RC-ofI)
  qed
qed

```

Security of the concrete system should follow straightforwardly from security of the abstract one, via the compositionality theorem, presuming that the compiler also preserves the sound use of modes.

```

lemma RC-of-strong-low-bisim-mm:
  assumes abs: abs.strong-low-bisim-mm  $\mathcal{R}_A$ 
  assumes rr: secure-refinement  $\mathcal{R}_A$   $\mathcal{R}$  P
  assumes P-sym: sym P
  shows conc.strong-low-bisim-mm (RC-of  $\mathcal{R}_A$   $\mathcal{R}$  P)
  unfolding conc.strong-low-bisim-mm-def
  apply(intro conjI)
    apply(rule RC-of-sym)
    using abs rr P-sym unfolding abs.strong-low-bisim-mm-def apply blast+
    apply(rule RC-of-closed-glob-consistent)
    using abs unfolding abs.strong-low-bisim-mm-def apply blast+
    using rr apply blast
    apply safe
    apply(fastforce simp: RC-of-def)
    apply(rule RC-of-local-preservation)
      apply(rule rr)
      apply(rule abs)
    apply assumption+
  done

```

2 A Simpler Proof Principle for General Compositional Refinement

Here we make use of the fact that the source language we are working in is assumed deterministic. This allows us to invert the direction of refinement and thereby to derive a simpler condition for secure compositional refinement.

The simpler condition rests on an ordinary definition of refinement, and has the user prove separately that the coupling invariant P is self-preserving. This allows proofs about coupling invariant properties to be disentangled from the proof of refinement itself.

Given a bisimulation \mathcal{R}_A , this definition captures the essence of the extra requirements on a refinement relation \mathcal{R} needed to ensure that the refined program is also secure. These requirements are essentially that:

1. The enabledness of the compiled code depends only on Low abstract data;
2. The length of the abstract program to which a single step of the concrete program corresponds depends only on Low abstract data;
3. The coupling invariant is maintained.

The second requirement we express via the parameter *abs-steps* that, given an abstract and corresponding concrete configuration, yields the number of execution steps of the abstract configuration to which a single step of the concrete configuration corresponds.

Note that a more specialised version of this definition, fixing the coupling invariant P to be the one that relates all configurations with identical programs and mode states, appeared in Murray et al., CSF 2016. Here we generalise the theory to support a wider class of coupling invariants.

definition

simpler-refinement-safe

where

simpler-refinement-safe $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \text{ abs-steps} \equiv$

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall c_{1A} mds_A mem_{1A} c_{2A} mem_{2A} c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C} c_{2C} mem_{2C}. ((c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A})_A, (c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A})_A) \\ & \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge \\ & ((c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A})_A, (c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C})_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge ((c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A})_A, (c_{2C}, \\ & mds_C, mem_{2C})_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge \\ & ((c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C})_C, (c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C})_C) \in P \longrightarrow \\ & (stop_{c_{1C}} (c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C})_C = stop_{c_{2C}} (c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C})_C) \wedge \\ & (\text{abs-steps } (c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A})_A (c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C})_C = \text{abs-steps } \\ & (c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A})_A (c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C})_C) \wedge \\ & (\forall mds_{1C}' mds_{2C}' mem_{1C}' mem_{2C}' c_{1C}' c_{2C}'. (c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C})_C \rightsquigarrow_C \\ & (c_{1C}', mds_{1C}', mem_{1C}')_C) \wedge \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_{2C}', \\
& mem_{2C}' \rangle_C \longrightarrow \\
& (\langle c_{1C}', mds_{1C}', mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_{2C}', \\
& mem_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in P \wedge \\
& mds_{1C}' = mds_{2C}')
\end{aligned}$$

definition

secure-refinement-simpler

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{secure-refinement-simpler } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \text{ abs-steps} \equiv \\
& \text{closed-others } \mathcal{R} \wedge \\
& \text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R} \wedge \\
& \text{new-vars-private } \mathcal{R} \wedge \\
& \text{simpler-refinement-safe } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \text{ abs-steps} \wedge \\
& \text{conc.closed-glob-consistent } P \wedge \\
& (\forall c_{1A} mds_A mem_{1A} c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C}. \\
& (\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow \\
& (\forall c_{1C}' mds_C' mem_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \\
& \rangle_C \longrightarrow \\
& (\exists c_{1A}' mds_A' mem_{1A}'. \text{abs.neval } \langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A (\text{abs-steps } \langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A \\
& \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A \wedge \\
& (\langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R})))
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *secure-refinement-simpler*:

assumes *rrs*: *secure-refinement-simpler* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$ *abs-steps*

shows *secure-refinement* $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$

unfolding *secure-refinement-def*

proof(safe)

from *rrs* **show** *closed-others* \mathcal{R}

unfolding *secure-refinement-simpler-def* **by** *blast*

next

from *rrs* **show** *preserves-modes-mem* \mathcal{R}

unfolding *secure-refinement-simpler-def* **by** *blast*

next

from *rrs* **show** *new-vars-private* \mathcal{R}

unfolding *secure-refinement-simpler-def* **by** *blast*

next

fix $c_{1A} mds_A mem_{1A} c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C} c_{1C}' mds_C' mem_{1C}'$

let $?n = \text{abs-steps } \langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C$

assume *in-R1*: $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$

and *eval1C*: $\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C$

with *rrs obtain* $c_{1A}' mds_A' mem_{1A}'$ **where**

neval1: *abs.neval* $\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A ?n \langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A$ **and**

in-R1': $(\langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$

unfolding *secure-refinement-simpler-def* **by** *metis*

have $(\forall c_{2A} mem_{2A} c_{2C} mem_{2C} c_{2A}' mem_{2A}'.$

$(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$

$(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$

$(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P \wedge \text{abs.neval } \langle c_{2A},$

```

 $mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A ?n \langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A} \rangle_A \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists c_{2C}' mem_{2C}').$ 
 $\langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C \wedge$ 
 $(\langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$ 
 $(\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P)$ 

proof(clar simp)
  fix  $c_{2A}$   $mem_{2A}$   $c_{2C}$   $mem_{2C}$   $c_{2A}'$   $mem_{2A}'$ 
  assume
     $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_A: (\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A$  and
     $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_2: (\langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$  and
     $neval_2: abs.neval \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A ?n \langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A} \rangle_A$  and
     $in\text{-}P: (\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$ 
    have  $\forall c_{2C}' mem_{2C}'.$   $\langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C$ 
 $\longrightarrow (\langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$ 
  proof(clarify)
    fix  $c_{2C}' mem_{2C}'$ 
    assume  $eval_{2C}: \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C$ 
    from  $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_2 eval_{2C}$   $in\text{-}P rrs$  obtain
       $c_{2A}'' mds_A'' mem_{2A}''$  where
         $neval_2': abs.neval \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A (abs\text{-}steps \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A$ 
 $\langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \langle c_{2A}'', mds_A'', mem_{2A}'' \rangle_A$  and
         $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_2': (\langle c_{2A}'', mds_A'', mem_{2A}'' \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
        unfolding secure-refinement-simpler-def by blast
        let  $?n' = (abs\text{-}steps \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C)$ 
        from  $rrs$  have  $pe: simpler\text{-}refinement\text{-}safe \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P abs\text{-}steps$ 
        unfolding secure-refinement-simpler-def by blast
        with  $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_A$   $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_1$   $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_2$   $in\text{-}P$ 
        have  $?n' = ?n$ 
        unfolding simpler-refinement-safe-def by fastforce
        with  $neval_2 neval_2' abs.neval-det$ 
        have [simp]:  $c_{2A}'' = c_{2A}'$  and [simp]:  $mds_A'' = mds_A'$  and [simp]:  $mem_{2A}'' = mem_{2A}'$ 
        by auto
        from  $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_2'$  have  $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_2': (\langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
        by simp
        from  $eval_{1C} eval_{2C}$   $in\text{-}P$  have
           $in\text{-}P': (\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$ 
          using  $rrs$  unfolding secure-refinement-simpler-def
            simpler-refinement-safe-def
          using  $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_A$   $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_1$   $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_2$   $in\text{-}P$  by auto
          with  $in\text{-}\mathcal{R}_2'$ 
          show  $(\langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$ 
             $(\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$  by blast
  qed
  moreover have  $\exists c_{2C}' mem_{2C}'.$   $\langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C$ 
  proof –
    from  $rrs$  have  $pe: simpler\text{-}refinement\text{-}safe \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P abs\text{-}steps$ 

```

```

unfolding secure-refinement-simpler-def by blast
with in- $\mathcal{R}_A$  in- $\mathcal{R}_1$  in- $\mathcal{R}_2$  in- $P$  have  $\text{stop}_{SC} \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C = \text{stop}_{SC} \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C$ 
unfold simpler-refinement-safe-def by blast
moreover from eval1C have  $\neg \text{stop}_{SC} \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C$ 
unfold stopSC-def by blast
ultimately have  $\neg \text{stop}_{SC} \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C$ 
by simp
from this obtain  $c_{2C}' mds_C'' mem_{2C}''$  where eval2C $' : \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C'', mem_{2C}'' \rangle_C$ 
unfold stopSC-def by auto
with pe eval1C in- $\mathcal{R}_A$  in- $\mathcal{R}_1$  in- $\mathcal{R}_2$  in- $P$  have in- $P' : (\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C'', mem_{2C}'' \rangle_C) \in P$ 
and [simp]:  $mds_C'' = mds_C'$ 
unfold simpler-refinement-safe-def by blast+
from in- $P'$  eval2C $'$ 
show  $\exists c_{2C}' mem_{2C}'. \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C$ 
by fastforce
qed
ultimately show
 $\exists c_{2C}' mem_{2C}'. \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C \wedge (\langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P$ 
by blast
qed
with neval1 in- $\mathcal{R}_1$  in- $\mathcal{R}_1'$ 
show  $\exists n c_{1A}' mds_A' mem_{1A}'$ .
    abs.neval  $\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A n \langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A} \rangle_A \wedge$ 
     $(\langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$ 
     $(\forall c_{2A} mem_{2A} c_{2C} mem_{2C} c_{2A}' mem_{2A}'.$ 
         $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$ 
         $(\langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$ 
         $(\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P \wedge$ 
        abs.neval  $\langle c_{2A}, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A n \langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A} \rangle_A \longrightarrow$ 
         $(\exists c_{2C}' mem_{2C}'.$ 
             $\langle c_{2C}, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C \wedge$ 
             $(\langle c_{2A}', mds_A', mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$ 
             $(\langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C} \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds_C', mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in P)$ 
        by auto
next
show conc.closed-glob-consistent  $P$ 
using rrs unfold secure-refinement-simpler-def by blast
qed

```

3 Simple Bisimulations and Simple Refinement

We derive the theory of simple refinements from Murray et al. CSF 2016 from the above *simpler* theory of secure refinement.

definition

bisim-simple

where

bisim-simple $\mathcal{R}_A \equiv \forall c_{1A} mds mem_{1A} c_{2A} mem_{2A}. (\langle c_{1A}, mds, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds, mem_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \longrightarrow$

$$c_{1A} = c_{2A}$$

definition

simple-refinement-safe

where

simple-refinement-safe $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R}$ *abs-steps* \equiv
 $\forall c_A mds_A mem_{1A} mem_{2A} c_C mds_C mem_{1C} mem_{2C}. (\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_A, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge (\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(stops_C \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C = stops_C \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \wedge$
 $(abs-steps \langle c_A, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C = abs-steps \langle c_A, mds_A, mem_{2A} \rangle_A \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C) \wedge$
 $(\forall mds_{1C}' mds_{2C}' mem_{1C}' mem_{2C}' c_{1C}' c_{2C}'. \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_{1C}', mem_{1C} \rangle_C \wedge$
 $\langle c_C, mds_C, mem_{2C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds_{2C}', mem_{2C} \rangle_C \longrightarrow$
 $c_{1C}' = c_{2C}' \wedge mds_{1C}' = mds_{2C}')$

definition

secure-refinement-simple

where

secure-refinement-simple $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R}$ *abs-steps* \equiv
closed-others $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
new-vars-private $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
simple-refinement-safe $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R}$ *abs-steps* \wedge
bisim-simple $\mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{1A} mds_A mem_{1A} c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C}.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall c_{1C}' mds_C' mem_{1C}'. \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists c_{1A}' mds_A' mem_{1A}'. abs.neval \langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A (abs-steps \langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}))$

definition

I simple

where

I simple $\equiv \{(\langle c, mds, mem \rangle_C, \langle c', mds', mem' \rangle_C) | c mds mem c' mds' mem'. c = c'\}$

```

lemma  $\mathcal{I}\text{simple-closed-glob-consistent}$ :
   $\text{conc.closed-glob-consistent } \mathcal{I}\text{simple}$ 
  by(auto simp: conc.closed-glob-consistent-def  $\mathcal{I}\text{simple-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{secure-refinement-simple}$ :
  assumes  $srs$ :  $\text{secure-refinement-simple } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} \text{ abs-steps}$ 
  shows  $\text{secure-refinement-simpler } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} \mathcal{I}\text{simple abs-steps}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{secure-refinement-simpler-def}$ 
  proof(safe | clar simp)+
    from  $srs$  show  $\text{closed-others } \mathcal{R}$ 
      unfolding  $\text{secure-refinement-simple-def}$  by blast
  next
    from  $srs$  show  $\text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R}$ 
      unfolding  $\text{secure-refinement-simple-def}$  by blast
  next
    from  $srs$  show  $\text{new-vars-private } \mathcal{R}$ 
      unfolding  $\text{secure-refinement-simple-def}$  by blast
  next
    show  $\text{conc.closed-glob-consistent } \mathcal{I}\text{simple}$  by (rule  $\mathcal{I}\text{simple-closed-glob-consistent}$ )
  next
    from  $srs$  have  $\text{safe: simple-refinement-safe } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} \text{ abs-steps}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{secure-refinement-simple-def}$  by blast
    from  $srs$  have  $\text{simple: bisim-simple } \mathcal{R}_A$ 
    unfolding  $\text{secure-refinement-simple-def}$  by fastforce

    from  $\text{safe simple}$  show  $\text{simpler-refinement-safe } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} \mathcal{I}\text{simple abs-steps}$ 
    by(fastforce simp: simpler-refinement-safe-def  $\mathcal{I}\text{simple-def}$  simple-refinement-safe-def
      bisim-simple-def)
  next
    fix  $c_{1A} mds_A mem_{1A} c_{1C} mds_C mem_{1C} c_{1C}' mds_C' mem_{1C}'$ 
    show  $(\langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \implies$ 
       $\langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C \implies$ 
       $\exists c_{1A}' mds_A' mem_{1A}'.$ 
         $\text{abs.neval } \langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A (\text{abs-steps } \langle c_{1A}, mds_A, mem_{1A} \rangle_A \langle c_{1C}, mds_C, mem_{1C} \rangle_C)$ 
         $\langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A \wedge$ 
         $(\langle c_{1A}', mds_A', mem_{1A}' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds_C', mem_{1C}' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
      using  $srs$  unfolding  $\text{secure-refinement-simple-def}$  by blast
  qed

```

4 Sound Mode Use Preservation

Prove that

acquiring a mode on the concrete version of an abstract variable x , and then mapping the new concrete mode state to the corresponding abstract mode state,

is equivalent to

first mapping the initial concrete mode state to its corresponding abstract mode state and then acquiring the mode on the abstract variable x .

This lemma essentially justifies why a concrete program doing $\text{Acq}(\text{var}_C\text{-of } x) \text{ SomeMode}$ is the right way to implement the abstract program doing $\text{Acq } x \text{ SomeMode}$.

lemma *mode-acquire-refinement-helper*:

```

 $mds_A\text{-of } (mds_C(\text{SomeMode} := \text{insert } (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x) (mds_C \text{ SomeMode}))) =$ 
 $(mds_A\text{-of } mds_C)(\text{SomeMode} := \text{insert } x (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C \text{ SomeMode}))$ 
apply(clar simp simp:  $mds_A\text{-of-def}$ )
apply(rule ext)
apply(force simp: image-def inv-f-f[ $\text{OF var}_C\text{-of-inj}$ ])
done
```

lemma *mode-release-refinement-helper*:

```

 $mds_A\text{-of } (mds_C(\text{SomeMode} := \{y \in mds_C \text{ SomeMode}. y \neq (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x)\})) =$ 
 $(mds_A\text{-of } mds_C)(\text{SomeMode} := \{y \in (mds_A\text{-of } mds_C \text{ SomeMode}). y \neq x\})$ 
apply(clar simp simp:  $mds_A\text{-of-def}$ )
apply(rule ext)
apply(force simp: image-def inv-f-f[ $\text{OF var}_C\text{-of-inj}$ ])
done
```

definition

preserves-locally-sound-mode-use :: ($'Com_A, 'Var_A, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var_C$) state-relation
 \Rightarrow bool

where

```

preserves-locally-sound-mode-use  $\mathcal{R} \equiv$ 
 $\forall lc_A lc_C.$ 
 $(abs.\text{locally-sound-mode-use } lc_A \wedge (lc_A, lc_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$ 
 $conc.\text{locally-sound-mode-use } lc_C)$ 
```

lemma *secure-refinement-loc-reach*:

```

assumes  $rr: \text{secure-refinement } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$ 
assumes  $in-\mathcal{R}: (\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
assumes  $loc\text{-reach}_C: \langle c'_C, mds'_C, mem'_C \rangle_C \in conc.\text{loc-reach } \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C$ 
shows  $\exists c'_A mds'_A mem'_A.$ 
 $(\langle c'_A, mds'_A, mem'_A \rangle_A, \langle c'_C, mds'_C, mem'_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$ 
 $\langle c'_A, mds'_A, mem'_A \rangle_A \in abs.\text{loc-reach } \langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A$ 
using loc-reach $_C$  proof(induct rule: conc.loc-reach.induct)
case (refl) show ?case
using in- $\mathcal{R}$  abs.loc-reach.refl by force
next
case (step  $c'_C mds'_C mem'_C c''_C mds''_C mem''_C$ )
from step(2) obtain  $c'_A mds'_A mem'_A$  where
 $in-\mathcal{R}': (\langle c'_A, mds'_A, mem'_A \rangle_A, \langle c'_C, mds'_C, mem'_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$  and
 $loc\text{-reach}_A: \langle c'_A, mds'_A, mem'_A \rangle_A \in abs.\text{loc-reach } \langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A$ 
```

```

    by blast
from rr in- $\mathcal{R}'$  step(3)
obtain n  $c_A'' mds_A'' mem_A''$  where
  neval $_A$ : abs.neval  $\langle c_A', mds_A', mem_A' \rangle_A$  n  $\langle c_A'', mds_A'', mem_A'' \rangle_A$  and
  in- $\mathcal{R}''$ :  $(\langle c_A'', mds_A'', mem_A'' \rangle_A, \langle c_C'', mds_C'', mem_C'' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
  unfolding secure-refinement-def by blast
from neval $_A$  loc-reach $_A$  have  $\langle c_A'', mds_A'', mem_A'' \rangle_A \in abs.loc-reach \langle c_A, mds_A,$ 
 $mem_A \rangle_A$ 
  using abs.neval-loc-reach
  by blast
with in- $\mathcal{R}''$  show ?case by blast
next
  case (mem-diff  $c_C' mds_C' mem_C' mem_C''$ )
  from mem-diff(2) obtain  $c_A' mds_A' mem_A'$  where
    in- $\mathcal{R}'$ :  $(\langle c_A', mds_A', mem_A' \rangle_A, \langle c_C', mds_C', mem_C' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$  and
    loc-reach $_A$ :  $\langle c_A', mds_A', mem_A' \rangle_A \in abs.loc-reach \langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A$ 
    by blast
  from rr have mm: preserves-modes-mem  $\mathcal{R}$  and co: closed-others  $\mathcal{R}$ 
    unfolding secure-refinement-def by blast+
  from preserves-modes-memD[OF mm in- $\mathcal{R}'$ ] have
    mem $_A'$ -def:  $mem_A' = mem_A$ -of  $mem_C'$  and mds $_A'$ -def:  $mds_A' = mds_A$ -of
     $mds_C'$ 
    by simp+
    hence in- $\mathcal{R}'$ :  $(\langle c_A', mds_A$ -of  $mds_C', mem_A$ -of  $mem_C' \rangle_A, \langle c_C', mds_C', mem_C' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
    and loc-reach $_A$ :  $(\langle c_A', mds_A$ -of  $mds_C', mem_A$ -of  $mem_C' \rangle_A) \in abs.loc-reach \langle c_A,$ 
 $mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A$ 
    using in- $\mathcal{R}'$  loc-reach $_A$  by simp+
    with mem-diff(3) co
    have  $(\langle c_A', mds_A$ -of  $mds_C', mem_A$ -of  $mem_C'' \rangle_A, \langle c_C', mds_C', mem_C'' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
    unfolding closed-others-def by blast
    moreover have  $\langle c_A', mds_A$ -of  $mds_C', mem_A$ -of  $mem_C'' \rangle_A \in abs.loc-reach \langle c_A,$ 
 $mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A$ 
      apply(rule abs.loc-reach.mem-diff)
      apply(rule loc-reach $_A$ )
      using mem-diff(3)
      using calculation in- $\mathcal{R}'$  in- $\mathcal{R}$ -dma' mem $_A$ -of-def mm var-writable $_A$  by fastforce

ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

definition preserves-local-guarantee-compliance :: 
  ('Com $_A$ , 'Var $_A$ , 'Val, 'Com $_C$ , 'Var $_C$ ) state-relation  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  preserves-local-guarantee-compliance  $\mathcal{R} \equiv$ 
   $\forall cm_A mem_A cm_C mem_C.$ 
  abs.respects-own-guarantees  $cm_A \wedge$ 
   $((cm_A, mem_A), (cm_C, mem_C)) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$ 
  conc.respects-own-guarantees  $cm_C$ 

```

```

lemma preserves-local-guarantee-compliance-def2:
  preserves-local-guarantee-compliance  $\mathcal{R} \equiv$ 
     $\forall c_A mds_A mem_A c_C mds_C mem_C.$ 
       $abs.respects\text{-own\text{-}guarantees}(c_A, mds_A) \wedge$ 
       $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow$ 
       $conc.respects\text{-own\text{-}guarantees}(c_C, mds_C)$ 
unfolding preserves-local-guarantee-compliance-def
by simp

lemma locally-sound-mode-use-preservation:
assumes rr: secure-refinement  $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$ 
assumes preserves-guarantee-compliance: preserves-local-guarantee-compliance  $\mathcal{R}$ 
shows preserves-locally-sound-mode-use  $\mathcal{R}$ 
unfolding preserves-locally-sound-mode-use-def
proof(clar simp)
  fix  $c_A mds_A mem_A c_C mds_C mem_C$ 
  assume locally-soundA:  $abs.locally\text{-sound\text{-}mode\text{-}use}(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A)$  and
    in- $\mathcal{R}$ :  $(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$ 

  show conc.locally-sound-mode-use  $\langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C$ 
  unfolding conc.locally-sound-mode-use-def2
  proof(clar simp)
    fix  $c_C' mds_C' mem_C'$ 
    assume loc-reachC:  $\langle c_C', mds_C', mem_C' \rangle_C \in conc.loc-reach(\langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C)$ 

  from rr in- $\mathcal{R}$  loc-reachC
  obtain  $c_A' mds_A' mem_A'$  where
    in- $\mathcal{R}'$ :  $(\langle c_A', mds_A', mem_A' \rangle_A, \langle c_C', mds_C', mem_C' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}$  and
    loc-reachA:  $\langle c_A', mds_A', mem_A' \rangle_A \in abs.loc-reach(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A)$ 
    using secure-refinement-loc-reach by blast

  from locally-soundA loc-reachA
  have respects-guaranteesA':  $abs.respects\text{-own\text{-}guarantees}(c_A', mds_A')$ 
  unfolding abs.locally-sound-mode-use-def2 by auto

  with preserves-guarantee-compliance in- $\mathcal{R}'$ 
  show conc.respects-own-guarantees  $(c_C', mds_C')$ 
  unfolding preserves-local-guarantee-compliance-def by blast
qed
qed

end

```

5 Refinement without changing the Memory Model

Here we define a locale which restricts the refinement to be between an abstract and concrete programs that share identical memory models: i.e. have the same set of variables. This allows us to derive simpler versions of the conditions that are likely to be easier to work with for initial experimentation.

```

locale sifum-refinement-same-mem =
  abs: sifum-security dma C-vars C evalA some-val +
  conc: sifum-security dma C-vars C evalC some-val
  for dma :: ('Var, 'Val) Mem  $\Rightarrow$  'Var  $\Rightarrow$  Sec
  and C-vars :: 'Var  $\Rightarrow$  'Var set
  and C :: 'Var set
  and evalA :: ('ComA, 'Var, 'Val) LocalConf rel
  and evalC :: ('ComC, 'Var, 'Val) LocalConf rel
  and some-val :: 'Val

sublocale sifum-refinement-same-mem  $\subseteq$ 
  gen-refine: sifum-refinement dma dma C-vars C evalA evalC
  some-val id
  by(unfold-locales, simp-all)

context sifum-refinement-same-mem begin

  lemma [simp]:
    gen-refine.new-vars-private R
    unfolding gen-refine.new-vars-private-def
    by simp

  definition
    preserves-modes-mem :: ('ComA, 'Var, 'Val, 'ComC, 'Var) state-relation  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    preserves-modes-mem R  $\equiv$ 
     $(\forall c_A mds_A mem_A c_C mds_C mem_C. (\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in R \longrightarrow$ 
     $mem_A = mem_C \wedge mds_A = mds_C)$ 

  definition
    closed-others :: ('ComA, 'Var, 'Val, 'ComC, 'Var) state-relation  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    closed-others R  $\equiv$ 
     $(\forall c_A mds mem c_C mem'. (\langle c_A, mds, mem \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds, mem' \rangle_C) \in R \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall x. mem x \neq mem' x \longrightarrow \neg var\text{-asm-not-written } mds x) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall x. dma mem x \neq dma mem' x \longrightarrow \neg var\text{-asm-not-written } mds x) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\langle c_A, mds, mem' \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds, mem' \rangle_C) \in R)$ 

  lemma [simp]:

```

gen-refine.mds_A-of $x = x$
by(*simp add:* *gen-refine.mds_A-of-def*)

lemma [*simp*]:

gen-refine.mem_A-of $x = x$
by(*simp add:* *gen-refine.mem_A-of-def*)

lemma [*simp*]:

preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \implies$
gen-refine.closed-others $\mathcal{R} = \text{closed-others } \mathcal{R}$
unfolding *closed-others-def*
gen-refine.closed-others-def
preserves-modes-mem-def
by *auto*

lemma [*simp*]:

gen-refine.preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} = \text{preserves-modes-mem } \mathcal{R}$
unfolding *gen-refine.preserves-modes-mem-def2* *preserves-modes-mem-def*
by *simp*

definition

secure-refinement :: (*'Com_A, 'Var, 'Val LocalConf rel* \Rightarrow (*'Com_A, 'Var, 'Val, 'Com_C, 'Var) state-relation* \Rightarrow
 $('Com_C, 'Var, 'Val) LocalConf rel \Rightarrow \text{bool}$)

where

secure-refinement $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \equiv$
closed-others $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \wedge$
conc.closed-glob-consistent $P \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{1A} mds mem_1 c_{1C}.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \rightarrow$
 $(\forall c_{1C}' mds' mem_1'. \langle c_{1C}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{1C}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_C \rightarrow$
 $(\exists n c_{1A}'. \text{abs.neval } \langle c_{1A}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_A n \langle c_{1A}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1A}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_A, \langle c_{1C}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\forall c_{2A} mem_2 c_{2C} c_{2A}' mem_2'.$
 $(\langle c_{1A}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_A, \langle c_{2A}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_A) \in \mathcal{R}_A \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}, mds, mem_1 \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_C) \in P \wedge$
 $\text{abs.neval } \langle c_{2A}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_A n \langle c_{2A}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_A \rightarrow$
 $(\exists c_{2C}'. \langle c_{2C}, mds, mem_2 \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c_{2C}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_C \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{2A}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_A, \langle c_{2C}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \wedge$
 $(\langle c_{1C}', mds', mem_1' \rangle_C, \langle c_{2C}', mds', mem_2' \rangle_C) \in P)))))$

lemma *preserves-modes-memD*:

preserves-modes-mem $\mathcal{R} \implies$

$(\langle c_A, mds_A, mem_A \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R} \implies$
 $mem_A = mem_C \wedge mds_A = mds_C$
by(*auto simp: preserves-modes-mem-def*)

```

lemma [simp]:
  gen-refine.secure-refinement  $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P = \text{secure-refinement } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$ 
  unfolding gen-refine.secure-refinement-def secure-refinement-def
  apply safe
    apply fastforce
    apply fastforce
    defer
      apply fastforce
      apply fastforce
      apply fastforce
      defer
    apply ((drule spec)+, erule (1) impE)
    apply ((drule spec)+, erule (1) impE)
    apply (clarify)
    apply(rename-tac n  $c_{1A}' mds_A' mem_{1A}'$ )
    apply(rule-tac x=n in exI)
    apply(rule-tac x= $c_{1A}'$  in exI)
    apply(fastforce dest: preserves-modes-memD)
    apply (frule (1) preserves-modes-memD)
    apply clarify
    apply ((drule spec)+, erule (1) impE)
    apply ((drule spec)+, erule (1) impE)
    apply clarify
    apply(blast dest: preserves-modes-memD)
  done

lemma  $R_C\text{-of-strong-low-bisim-mm}$ :
  assumes abs: abs.strong-low-bisim-mm  $\mathcal{R}_A$ 
  assumes rr: secure-refinement  $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$ 
  assumes P-sym: sym P
  shows conc.strong-low-bisim-mm (gen-refine. $R_C\text{-of } \mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P$ )
  using abs rr gen-refine. $R_C\text{-of-strong-low-bisim-mm}[OF \dashv P\text{-sym}]$ 
  by simp

end

context sifum-refinement begin
lemma use-secure-refinement-helper:
  secure-refinement  $\mathcal{R}_A \mathcal{R} P \implies$ 
   $((cm_A, mem_A), (cm_C, mem_C)) \in \mathcal{R} \implies (cm_C, mem_C) \rightsquigarrow_C (cm_C', mem_C') \implies$ 
   $(\exists cm_A' mem_A' n. \text{abs.neval}(cm_A, mem_A) n (cm_A', mem_A')) \wedge$ 
   $((cm_A', mem_A'), (cm_C', mem_C')) \in \mathcal{R}$ 
  apply(case-tac  $cm_A$ , case-tac  $cm_C$ )
  apply clarsimp
  apply(clarsimp simp: secure-refinement-def)
  by (metis surjective-pairing)

lemma closed-othersD:
  closed-others  $\mathcal{R} \implies$ 

```

```

( $\langle c_A, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_C \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C \in \mathcal{R} \implies$ 
 $(\bigwedge x. mem_C' x \neq mem_C x \vee dma_C mem_C' x \neq dma_C mem_C x \implies \neg var\text{-asm-not-written}$ 
 $mds_C x) \implies$ 
 $(\langle c_A, mds_A\text{-of } mds_C, mem_A\text{-of } mem_C \rangle_A, \langle c_C, mds_C, mem_C \rangle_C \in \mathcal{R}$ 
unfolding closed-others-def
by auto
end

record ('a, 'Val, 'VarC, 'ComC, 'VarA, 'ComA) componentwise-refinement =
  priv-mem :: 'VarC set
  RA-rel :: ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val) LocalConf rel
  R-rel :: ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val, 'ComC, 'VarC) state-relation
  P-rel :: ('ComC, 'VarC, 'Val) LocalConf rel

```

6 Whole System Refinement

A locale to capture componentwise refinement of an entire system.

```

locale sifum-refinement-sys =
  sifum-refinement dmaA dmaC C-varsA C-varsC CA CC evalA evalC some-val
  varC-of
    for dmaA :: ('VarA, 'Val) Mem  $\Rightarrow$  'VarA  $\Rightarrow$  Sec
    and dmaC :: ('VarC, 'Val) Mem  $\Rightarrow$  'VarC  $\Rightarrow$  Sec
    and C-varsA :: 'VarA  $\Rightarrow$  'VarA set
    and C-varsC :: 'VarC  $\Rightarrow$  'VarC set
    and CA :: 'VarA set
    and CC :: 'VarC set
    and evalA :: ('ComA, 'VarA, 'Val) LocalConf rel
    and evalC :: ('ComC, 'VarC, 'Val) LocalConf rel
    and some-val :: 'Val
    and varC-of :: 'VarA  $\Rightarrow$  'VarC +
      fixes cms :: ('a::wellorder, 'Val, 'VarC, 'ComC, 'VarA, 'ComA) component-
      wise-refinement list
      fixes priv-memC :: 'VarC set list
      defines priv-memC-def: priv-memC  $\equiv$  map priv-mem cms
      assumes priv-mem-disjoint:  $i < length cms \implies j < length cms \implies i \neq j \implies$ 
      priv-memC ! i  $\cap$  priv-memC ! j = {}
      assumes new-vars-priv:  $\text{range var}_C\text{-of} = \bigcup (\text{set priv-mem}_C)$ 
      assumes new-privs-preserved:  $\langle c, mds, mem \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c', mds', mem \rangle_C \implies x \notin$ 
      range varC-of  $\implies$ 
         $(x \in mds m) = (x \in mds' m)$ 
      assumes secure-refinements:
         $i < length cms \implies \text{secure-refinement } (\mathcal{R}_A\text{-rel } (cms ! i)) (\mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (cms ! i)) (P\text{-rel}$ 
         $(cms ! i))$ 
      assumes local-guarantee-preservation:
         $i < length cms \implies \text{preserves-local-guarantee-compliance } (\mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (cms ! i))$ 
      assumes bisims:
         $i < length cms \implies \text{abs.strong-low-bisim-mm } (\mathcal{R}_A\text{-rel } (cms ! i))$ 
      assumes Ps-sym:

```

```

 $\bigwedge a b. i < \text{length } cms \implies \text{sym} (\text{P-rel} (cms ! i))$ 
assumes Ps-refl-on-low-mds-eq:
 $i < \text{length } cms \implies \text{conc.low-mds-eq } mds_C \text{ mem}_C \text{ mem}'_C \implies (\langle c_C, mds_C, \text{mem}_C \rangle_C, \langle c_C, mds_C, \text{mem}'_C \rangle_C) \in (\text{P-rel} (cms ! i))$ 

context sifum-security begin
lemma neval-modifies-helper:
assumes nevaln: neval lcn m lcn'
assumes lcn-def: lcn = (cms ! n, mem)
assumes lcn'-def: lcn' = (cmn', mem')
assumes len: n < length cms
assumes modified: mem x ≠ mem' x ∨ dma mem x ≠ dma mem' x
shows ∃ k cmn'' mem'' cmn''' mem'''. k < m ∧ neval (cms ! n, mem) k (cmn'', mem'')
 $\wedge$ 
 $(cmn'', mem'') \rightsquigarrow (cmn''', mem''') \wedge$ 
 $(mem'' x \neq mem''' x \vee \text{dma mem''} x \neq \text{dma mem''' x})$ 
using nevaln lcn-def lcn'-def modified len
proof(induct arbitrary: cms cmn' mem mem' rule: neval.induct)
case (neval-0 lcn lcn')
from neval-0 show ?case by simp
next
case (neval-S-n lcn lcn'' m lcn')
obtain cmn'' mem'' where lcn''-def: lcn'' = (cmn'', mem'') by fastforce
show ?case
proof(cases mem x ≠ mem'' x ∨ dma mem x ≠ dma mem'' x)
assume a: mem x ≠ mem'' x ∨ dma mem x ≠ dma mem'' x
let ?k = 0::nat
let ?cmn'' = cms ! n
let ?mem'' = mem
have ?k < Suc m  $\wedge$ 
neval (cms ! n, mem) ?k (?cmn'', ?mem'')  $\wedge$ 
(?cmn'', ?mem'')  $\rightsquigarrow$  (cmn'', mem'')  $\wedge$  (?mem'' x ≠ mem'' x ∨
dma ?mem'' x ≠ dma mem'' x)
apply (rule conjI, simp add: neval.neval-0)+
apply (simp only: a)
by (simp add: neval-S-n(1)[simplified neval-S-n lcn''-def])
thus ?case by blast
next
assume a:  $\neg$  (mem x ≠ mem'' x ∨ dma mem x ≠ dma mem'' x)
hence unchanged: mem'' x = mem x  $\wedge$  dma mem'' x = dma mem x
by (blast intro: sym)
define cms'' where cms'' = cms[n := cmn'']
have len'': n < length cms''
by(simp add: cms''-def neval-S-n)
hence lcn''-def2: lcn'' = (cms'' ! n, mem'')
by(simp add: lcn''-def cms''-def)
from
neval-S-n(3)[OF lcn''-def2 neval-S-n(5), simplified unchanged neval-S-n len'']

```

```

obtain k cmn''' mem''' cmn'''' mem'''' where
  hyp:  k < m ∧
    neval (cms'' ! n, mem'') k (cmn''', mem'''') ∧
    (cmn''', mem''') ~> (cmn'''', mem''''') ∧
    (mem''' x ≠ mem'''' x ∨ dma mem''' x ≠ dma mem'''' x)
  by blast
have neval (cms ! n, mem) (Suc k) (cmn''', mem'''')
  apply(rule neval.neval-S-n)
  prefer 2
  using hyp apply fastforce
  apply(simp add: cms''-def neval-S-n)
  by(rule neval-S-n(1)[simplified neval-S-n len''-def])
moreover have Suc k < Suc m using hyp by auto
ultimately show ?case using hyp by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma neval-sched-Nil [simp]:
  (cms, mem) →[] (cms, mem)
by simp

lemma reachable-mode-states-refl:
  map snd cms ∈ reachable-mode-states (cms, mem)
  apply(clarsimp simp: reachable-mode-states-def)
  using neval-sched-Nil by blast

lemma neval-reachable-mode-states:
  assumes neval: neval lc n lc'
  assumes lc-def: lc = (cms ! k, mem)
  assumes len: k < length cms
  shows map snd (cms[k := (fst lc')]) ∈ reachable-mode-states (cms, mem)
  using neval lc-def len proof(induct arbitrary: cms mem rule: neval.induct)
  case (neval-0 x y)
    thus ?case
      apply simp
      apply(drule sym, simp add: len reachable-mode-states-refl)
      done
  next
  case (neval-S-n x y n z)
    define cms' where cms' = cms[k := fst y]
    define mem' where mem' = snd y
    have y-def: y = (cms' ! k, mem')
      by(simp add: cms'-def mem'-def neval-S-n)
    moreover have len': k < length cms'
      by(simp add: cms'-def neval-S-n)
    ultimately have hyp: map snd (cms'[k := fst z]) ∈ reachable-mode-states (cms', mem')
      using neval-S-n by metis
    have map snd (cms'[k := fst z]) = map snd (cms[k := fst z])

```

```

unfolding cms'-def
by simp
moreover have (cms, mem)  $\rightsquigarrow_k$  (cms', mem')
  using meval-intro neval-S-n y-def cms'-def mem'-def len' by fastforce
ultimately show ?case
  using reachable-modes-subset subsetD hyp by fastforce
qed

lemma meval-sched-sound-mode-use:
  sound-mode-use gc  $\implies$  meval-sched sched gc gc'  $\implies$  sound-mode-use gc'
proof(induct rule: meval-sched.induct)
case (1 gc)
  thus ?case by simp
next
case (2 n ns gc gc')
  from 2(3) obtain gc'' where meval-abv gc n gc'' and a: meval-sched ns gc''
  gc' by force
  with 2(2) sound-modes-invariant have b: sound-mode-use gc'' by (metis surjective-pairing)
  show ?case by (rule 2(1)[OF b a])
qed

lemma neval-meval:
  neval lcn k lcn'  $\implies$  n < length cms  $\implies$  lcn = (cms ! n,mem)  $\implies$  lcn' = (cmn', mem')  $\implies$ 
  meval-sched (replicate k n) (cms,mem) (cms[n := cmn'],mem')
proof(induct arbitrary: cms mem cmn' mem' rule: neval.induct)
case (neval-0 lcn lcn')
  thus ?case by fastforce
next
case (neval-S-n lcn lcn'' k lcn')
  define cms'' where [simp]: cms'' = cms[n := fst lcn'']
  define mem'' where [simp]: mem'' = snd lcn''
  have len'' [simp]: n < length cms'' by (simp add: neval-S-n(4))
  have lcn''-def: lcn'' = (cms'' ! n, mem'') using len'' by simp
  have hyp: (cms'', mem'')  $\rightarrow$  replicate k n (cms''[n := cmn'], mem')
    by (rule neval-S-n(3)[OF len'' lcn''-def neval-S-n(6)])
  have meval: (cms, mem)  $\rightsquigarrow_n$  (cms'', mem'')
    using cms''-def neval-S-n.hyps(1) neval-S-n.prems(1) neval-S-n.prems(2) by
    fastforce
  from hyp meval show ?case
    by fastforce
qed

lemma meval-sched-app:
  meval-sched as gc gc'  $\implies$  meval-sched bs gc' gc''  $\implies$  meval-sched (as@bs) gc gc''
proof(induct as arbitrary: gc gc' bs)
case Nil thus ?case by simp

```

```

next
case (Cons a as)
  from Cons(2)
  obtain gc''' where a: meval-abv gc a gc''' and as: meval-sched as gc''' gc' by
force
  from Cons(1)[OF as Cons(3)] a
  have gc → a # (as @ bs) gc'''
    by (metis meval-sched.simps)
  thus ?case by simp
qed

end

context sifum-refinement-sys begin

lemma conc-respects-priv:
  assumes xnin:  $x_C \notin \text{range } \var_C\text{-of}$ 
  assumes modified $_C$ :  $\text{mem}_C x_C \neq \text{mem}'_C x_C \vee \text{dma}_C \text{ mem}_C x_C \neq \text{dma}'_C \text{ mem}_C'$ 
  assumes eval $_C$ :  $(\text{cms}_C ! n, \text{mem}_C) \rightsquigarrow_C (\text{cm}_C n', \text{mem}'_C)$ 
  assumes in-Rn:  $((\text{cms}_A ! n, \text{mem}_A), \text{cms}_C ! n, \text{mem}_C) \in \mathcal{R}_n$ 
  assumes preserves: preserves-local-guarantee-compliance  $\mathcal{R}_n$ 
  assumes sound-mode-use $_A$ : abs.sound-mode-use ( $\text{cms}_A, \text{mem}_A$ )
  assumes nlen:  $n < \text{length } \text{cms}$ 
  assumes len-eq:  $\text{length } \text{cms}_A = \text{length } \text{cms}$ 
  assumes len-eq':  $\text{length } \text{cms}_C = \text{length } \text{cms}$ 
  shows  $x_C \notin (\text{snd } (\text{cms}_C ! n)) \text{ GuarNoWrite} \wedge x_C \notin (\text{snd } (\text{cms}_C ! n)) \text{ GuarNoRe-}$ 
adOrWrite
proof -
  from sound-mode-use $_A$  have abs.respects-own-guarantees ( $\text{cms}_A ! n$ )
  using nlen len-eq abs.locally-sound-respects-guarantees
  unfolding abs.sound-mode-use-def list-all-length
  by fastforce
  with in-Rn have 1: conc.respects-own-guarantees ( $\text{cms}_C ! n$ )
  using preserves
  unfolding preserves-local-guarantee-compliance-def
  by metis
  with eval $_C$  modified $_C$  have 2:  $\neg \text{conc.doesnt-modify } (\text{fst } (\text{cms}_C ! n)) x_C$ 
  unfolding conc.doesnt-modify-def
  by (metis surjective-pairing)
  then have  $\neg \text{conc.doesnt-read-or-modify } (\text{fst } (\text{cms}_C ! n)) x_C$ 
  using conc.doesnt-read-or-modify-doesnt-modify by metis
  with 1 2 show ?thesis
  unfolding conc.respects-own-guarantees-def
  by metis
qed

lemma modified-variables-are-not-assumed-not-written:
  fixes cms $_A$  mem $_A$  cms $_C$  mem $_C$  cm $_C n'$  mem $'_C$   $\mathcal{R}_n$  cm $_A n'$  mem $'_A$  m $_A$   $\mathcal{R}_i$ 

```

```

assumes sound-mode-useA: abs.sound-mode-use (cmsA, memA)
assumes pmmn: preserves-modes-mem Rn
assumes in-Rn: ((cmsA ! n, memA), (cmsC ! n, memC)) ∈ Rn
assumes pmmi: preserves-modes-mem R
assumes in-Ri: ((cmsA ! i, memA), (cmsC ! i, memC)) ∈ Ri
assumes nlen: n < length cms
assumes lenA: length cmsA = length cms
assumes lenC: length cmsC = length cms
assumes priv-is-asm-priv:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length cms} \implies \text{priv-mem}_C ! i \subseteq \text{snd} (\text{cms}_C ! i)$  AsmNoReadOrWrite
assumes priv-is-guar-priv:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length cms} \implies j < \text{length cms} \implies i \neq j \implies \text{priv-mem}_C ! i \subseteq \text{snd} (\text{cms}_C ! j)$  GuarNoReadOrWrite
assumes new-asms-only-for-priv:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length cms} \implies (\text{snd} (\text{cms}_C ! i) \text{AsmNoReadOrWrite} \cup \text{snd} (\text{cms}_C ! i) \text{AsmNoWrite}) \cap (- \text{range var}_C\text{-of}) \subseteq \text{priv-mem}_C ! i$ 
assumes evalCn: (cmsC ! n, memC) ~C (cmCn', memC)
assumes nevalAn: abs.neval (cmsA ! n, memA) mA (cmAn', memA)
assumes in-Rn': ((cmAn', memA'), (cmCn', memC')) ∈ Rn
assumes modifiedC: memC xC ≠ memC' xC ∨ dmaC memC xC ≠ dmaC memC' xC
assumes neq: i ≠ n
assumes ilen: i < length cms
assumes preserves: preserves-local-guarantee-compliance Rn
shows  $\neg \text{var-asm-not-written} (\text{snd} (\text{cms}_C ! i)) x_C$ 
proof(cases xC ∈ range varC-of)
  assume xC ∈ range varC-of
  from this obtain xA where xC-def: xC = varC-of xA by blast
  obtain cAn mdsAn where [simp]: cmsA ! n = (cAn, mdsAn) by fastforce
  obtain cCn mdsCn where [simp]: cmsC ! n = (cCn, mdsCn) by fastforce
  obtain cCn' mdsCn' where [simp]: cmCn' = (cCn', mdsCn') by fastforce
  obtain cAn' mdsAn' where [simp]: cmAn' = (cAn', mdsAn') by fastforce

  from in-Rn pmmn have [simp]: memA = memA-of memC and [simp]: mdsAn = mdsA-of mdsCn
    using preserves-modes-memD by auto
  from in-Rn' pmmn have [simp]: memA' = memA-of memC' and [simp]: mdsAn' = mdsA-of mdsCn'
    using preserves-modes-memD by auto

  from modifiedC dma-consistent have
    modifiedA: memA xA ≠ memA' xA ∨ dmaA memA xA ≠ dmaA memA' xA
    by (simp add: memA-of-def xC-def)

  from lenA nlen have nlenA: n < length cmsA by simp
  from lenA ilen have ilenA: i < length cmsA by simp

  from abs.neval-modifies-helper[OF nevalAn HOL.refl HOL.refl nlenA modifiedA] obtain kA cmAn'' memA'' cmAn''' memA''' where kA < mA

```

```

and nevalAn'': abs.neval (cmsA ! n, memA) kA (cmAn'', memA'')
and evalAn'': (cmAn'', memA'') ~A (cmAn''', memA''')
and modifiedA'': (memA'' xA ≠ memA''' xA ∨ dmaA memA'' xA ≠ dmaA
memA''' xA) by blast
let ?cAn'' = fst cmAn''
let ?mdsAn'' = snd cmAn''
from evalAn'' modifiedA'' have modifiesA'' : ¬ abs.doesnt-modify ?cAn'' xA
unfolding abs.doesnt-modify-def
by (metis surjective-pairing)
have loc-reachA'' : (cmAn'', memA'') ∈ abs.loc-reach (cmsA ! n, memA)
apply(rule abs.neval-loc-reach)
apply(rule nevalAn'')
using abs.loc-reach.refl by simp
have locally-sound-mode-useAn: abs.locally-sound-mode-use (cmsA ! n, memA)
using sound-mode-useA nlenA
unfolding abs.sound-mode-use-def
using list-all-length by fastforce
from modifiesA'' loc-reachA'' locally-sound-mode-useAn abs.doesnt-read-or-modify-doesnt-modify
have no-guarAn: xA ∉ ?mdsAn'' GuarNoReadOrWrite ∧ xA ∉ ?mdsAn'' GuarNoWrite
unfolding abs.locally-sound-mode-use-def
by (metis surjective-pairing)
let ?mdssA'' = map snd (cmsA[n := fst (cmAn'', memA'')])
have ?mdssA'' ∈ abs.reachable-mode-states (cmsA, memA)
apply(rule abs.neval-reachable-mode-states)
apply(rule nevalAn'')
apply(rule HOL.refl)
by(rule nlenA)
hence compat: abs.compatible-modes ?mdssA'' 
using sound-mode-useA
by(simp add: abs.globally-sound-mode-use-def)
have n: ?mdssA'' ! n = ?mdsAn''
by(simp add: nlenA)
let ?mdsAi = snd (cmsA ! i)
have i: ?mdssA'' ! i = ?mdsAi
apply(simp add: ilenA)
by(metis nth-list-update-neq neq)
from nlenA have nlenA'' : n < length ?mdssA'' by simp
from ilenA have ilenA'' : i < length ?mdssA'' by simp
with compat n i nlenA'' ilenA'' no-guarAn neq
have no-asmAi: xA ∉ ?mdsAi AsmNoWrite ∧ xA ∉ ?mdsAi AsmNoReadOrWrite
unfolding abs.compatible-modes-def
by metis

obtain cAi mdsAi where [simp]: cmsA ! i = (cAi, mdsAi) by fastforce
obtain cCi mdsCi where [simp]: cmsC ! i = (cCi, mdsCi) by fastforce

from in- $\mathcal{R}$ i pmxi have [simp]: mdsAi = mdsA-of mdsCi
using preserves-modes-memD by auto
have [simp]: ?mdsAi = mdsAi by simp

```

```

from no-asmAi have no-asmCi:  $x_C \notin mds_C i \text{ AsmNoWrite} \wedge x_C \notin mds_C i \text{ Asm-}$   

NoReadOrWrite
  using  $x_C\text{-def } mds_A\text{-of-def}$ 
  using doesnt-have-mode by auto
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding var-asm-not-written-def
    by simp
next
  let ? $mds_C n = snd (cms_C ! n)$ 
  let ? $mds_C i = snd (cms_C ! i)$ 

  assume new-var:  $x_C \notin range var_C\text{-of}$ 
  from conc-respects-priv[OF new-var modifiedC evalCn in- $\mathcal{R}$ n preserves sound-mode-useA  

nlen lenA lenC]
  have  $x_C \notin ?mds_C n \text{ GuarNoWrite} \wedge x_C \notin ?mds_C n \text{ GuarNoReadOrWrite} .$ 
  with priv-is-guar-priv nlen ilen neq
  have  $x_C \notin priv\text{-mem}_C ! i$ 
    by blast
  with new-var new-asms-only-for-priv ilen
  have  $x_C \notin ?mds_C i \text{ AsmNoReadOrWrite} \cup ?mds_C i \text{ AsmNoWrite}$ 
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding var-asm-not-written-def
    by simp
qed

definition
  priv-is-asm-priv :: 'VarC Mds list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  priv-is-asm-priv mdssC  $\equiv$   $\forall i < length cms. \text{priv-mem}_C ! i \subseteq (mdss_C ! i) \text{ Asm-}$   

NoReadOrWrite

definition
  priv-is-guar-priv :: 'VarC Mds list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  priv-is-guar-priv mdssC  $\equiv$ 
     $\forall i < length cms. (\forall j < length cms. i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{priv-mem}_C ! i \subseteq (mdss_C ! j) \text{ GuarNoReadOrWrite})$ 

definition
  new-asms-only-for-priv :: 'VarC Mds list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  new-asms-only-for-priv mdssC  $\equiv$ 
     $\forall i < length cms.$ 
     $((mdss_C ! i) \text{ AsmNoReadOrWrite} \cup (mdss_C ! i) \text{ AsmNoWrite}) \cap (- range$ 
     $var_C\text{-of}) \subseteq \text{priv-mem}_C ! i$ 

definition
  new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only :: 'VarC Mds list  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

where

new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only $mdss_C \equiv$
 $\forall i < \text{length } cms.$
 $(mdss_C ! i) \text{ AsmNoWrite} \cap (- \text{ range } var_C\text{-of}) = \{\}$

definition

modes-respect-priv :: $'Var_C \text{ Mds list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

modes-respect-priv $mdss_C \equiv \text{priv-is-asm-priv } mdss_C \wedge \text{priv-is-guar-priv } mdss_C$
 \wedge
 $\text{new-asms-only-for-priv } mdss_C \wedge$
 $\text{new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only } mdss_C$

definition

ignores-old-vars :: $('Var_C \text{ Mds list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

ignores-old-vars $P \equiv \forall mdss \text{ } mdss'. \text{ length } mdss = \text{length } mdss' \wedge \text{length } mdss' =$
 $\text{length } cms \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{map } (\lambda x m. x m \cap (- \text{ range } var_C\text{-of})) \text{ } mdss) = (\text{map } (\lambda x m. x m \cap (- \text{ range } var_C\text{-of})) \text{ } mdss') \longrightarrow$
 $P \text{ } mdss = P \text{ } mdss'$

lemma *ignores-old-vars-conj*:

assumes $R\text{def}: (\lambda x. R x = (P x \wedge Q x))$
assumes $iP: \text{ignores-old-vars } P$
assumes $iQ: \text{ignores-old-vars } Q$
shows *ignores-old-vars* R
unfolding *ignores-old-vars-def*
apply (*simp add*: $R\text{def}$)
apply (*intro impI allI*)
apply (*rule conj-cong*)
apply (*erule (1)* $iP[\text{unfolded ignores-old-vars-def}, \text{rule-format}]$)
apply (*erule (1)* $iQ[\text{unfolded ignores-old-vars-def}, \text{rule-format}]$)
done

lemma *nth-map-eq'*:

$\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \implies \text{map } f \text{ } xs = \text{map } g \text{ } ys \implies i < \text{length } xs \implies f (xs ! i) = g (ys ! i)$
apply (*induct xs ys rule: list-induct2*)
apply *simp*
apply (*case-tac i*)
apply *force*
by (*metis length-map nth-map*)

lemma *nth-map-eq*:

$\text{map } f \text{ } xs = \text{map } g \text{ } ys \implies i < \text{length } xs \implies f (xs ! i) = g (ys ! i)$
apply (*rule nth-map-eq'*)
apply (*erule map-eq-imp-length-eq*)

```

apply assumption+
done

lemma nth-in-Union-over-set:
   $i < \text{length } xs \implies xs ! i \subseteq \bigcup (\text{set } xs)$ 
  by (simp add: Union-upper)

lemma priv-are-new-vars:
   $x \in \text{priv-mem}_C ! i \implies i < \text{length } cms \implies x \notin \text{range } \text{var}_C\text{-of}$ 
  using new-vars-priv nth-in-Union-over-set subsetD
  using priv-memC-def by fastforce

lemma priv-is-asm-priv-ignores-old-vars:
  ignores-old-vars priv-is-asm-priv
  apply(clarsimp simp: ignores-old-vars-def priv-is-asm-priv-def)
  apply(rule all-cong)
  apply(drule nth-map-eq)
  apply simp
  apply(blast dest: priv-are-new-vars fun-cong)
done

lemma priv-is-guar-priv-ignores-old-vars:
  ignores-old-vars priv-is-guar-priv
  apply(clarsimp simp: ignores-old-vars-def priv-is-guar-priv-def)
  apply(rule all-cong)
  apply(rule all-cong)
  apply(rule imp-cong)
  apply(rule HOL.refl)
  apply(frule nth-map-eq)
  apply simp
  apply(drule-tac i=j in nth-map-eq)
  apply simp
  apply(blast dest: priv-are-new-vars fun-cong)
done

lemma new-asms-only-for-priv-ignores-old-vars:
  ignores-old-vars new-asms-only-for-priv
  apply(clarsimp simp: ignores-old-vars-def new-asms-only-for-priv-def)
  apply(rule all-cong)
  apply(drule nth-map-eq)
  apply simp
  apply(blast dest: priv-are-new-vars fun-cong)
done

lemma new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only-ignores-old-vars:
  ignores-old-vars new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only
  apply(clarsimp simp: ignores-old-vars-def new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only-def)
  apply(rule all-cong)
  apply(drule nth-map-eq)

```

```

apply simp
apply(blast dest: priv-are-new-vars fun-cong)
done

lemma modes-respect-priv-ignores-old-vars:
  ignores-old-vars modes-respect-priv
  apply(rule ignores-old-vars-conj)
    apply(subst modes-respect-priv-def)
      apply(rule HOL.refl)
    apply(rule priv-is-asm-priv-ignores-old-vars)
    apply(rule ignores-old-vars-conj)
      apply(rule HOL.refl)
    apply(rule priv-is-guar-priv-ignores-old-vars)
    apply(rule ignores-old-vars-conj)
      apply(rule HOL.refl)
    apply(rule new-asms-only-for-priv-ignores-old-vars)
  apply(rule new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only-ignores-old-vars)
done

lemma ignores-old-varsD:
  ignores-old-vars P  $\implies$  length mdss = length mdss'  $\implies$  length mdss' = length cms  $\implies$ 
  (map ( $\lambda x m. x m \cap (- range var_C\text{-}of))$  mdss) = (map ( $\lambda x m. x m \cap (- range var_C\text{-}of))$  mdss')  $\implies$ 
  P mdss = P mdss'
  unfolding ignores-old-vars-def
  by force

lemma new-privs-preserved':
   $\langle c, mds, mem \rangle_C \rightsquigarrow_C \langle c', mds', mem' \rangle_C \implies (mds m \cap (- range var_C\text{-}of)) =$ 
   $(mds' m \cap (- range var_C\text{-}of))$ 
  using new-privs-preserved by blast

lemma map-nth-eq:
  length xs = length ys  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies f (xs ! i) = g (ys ! i)$ )  $\implies$ 
  map f xs = map g ys
  apply(induct xs ys rule: list-induct2)
    apply simp
    apply force
  done

lemma ignores-old-vars-conc-meval:
  assumes ignores: ignores-old-vars P
  assumes meval: conc.meval-abv gcC n gc'C
  assumes len-eq: length (fst gcC) = length cms
  shows P (map snd (fst gcC)) = P (map snd (fst gc'C))
  proof -
    obtain cmsC memC where [simp]: gcC = (cmsC, memC) by fastforce
    obtain cms'C mem'C where [simp]: gc'C = (cms'C, mem'C) by fastforce

```

```

from meval obtain cmn' memC' where
  evalCn: (cmsC ! n, memC) ~>C (cmn', memC') and len: n < length cmsC and
  cmsC'-def: cmsC' = cmsC[n := cmn']
    using conc.meval.cases by fastforce
have
  P (map snd cmsC) = P (map snd cmsC')
  apply(rule ignores-old-varsD[OF ignores])
    apply(simp add: cmsC'-def)
    using len-eq apply (simp add: cmsC'-def)
  apply(rule map-nth-eq)
    apply (simp add: cmsC'-def)
  apply(case-tac i = n)
    apply simp
    apply(rule ext)
    apply(simp add: cmsC'-def)
    using evalCn new-privs-preserved' apply(metis surjective-pairing)
    by (simp add: cmsC'-def)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma ignores-old-vars-conc-meval-sched:
  assumes ignores: ignores-old-vars P
  assumes meval-sched: conc.meval-sched sched gcC gcC'
  assumes len-eq: length (fst gcC) = length cms
  shows P (map snd (fst gcC)) = P (map snd (fst gcC'))
  using meval-sched len-eq proof(induct rule: conc.meval-sched.induct)
    case (1 gc gc')
      thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (2 n ns gc gc')
    from 2(2) obtain gc'' where b: conc.meval-abv gc n gc'' and a: conc.meval-sched
    ns gc'' gc' by force
    with 2 have length (fst gc'') = length cms
      using conc.meval.cases
        by (metis length-list-update surjective-pairing)
    with 2 a b show ?case
      using ignores-old-vars-conc-meval ignores by metis
  qed

lemma meval-sched-modes-respect-priv:
  conc.meval-sched sched gcC gcC'  $\implies$  length (fst gcC) = length cms  $\implies$ 
  modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gcC))  $\implies$ 
  modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gcC'))
  by(blast dest!: ignores-old-vars-conc-meval-sched[OF modes-respect-priv-ignores-old-vars])

lemma meval-modes-respect-priv:
  conc.meval-abv gcC n gcC'  $\implies$  length (fst gcC) = length cms  $\implies$ 
  modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gcC))  $\implies$ 
  modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gcC'))

```

by(blast dest!: ignores-old-vars-conc-meval[*OF modes-respect-priv-ignores-old-vars*])

lemma traces-refinement:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{gc_C} \text{gc}_C' \text{ sched}_C \text{ gc}_A. \text{ conc.meval-sched } \text{ sched}_C \text{ gc}_C \text{ gc}_C' \Rightarrow \\ \text{length } (\text{fst } \text{gc}_A) = \text{length } \text{cms} \Rightarrow \text{length } (\text{fst } \text{gc}_C) = \text{length } \text{cms} \Rightarrow \\ (\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } \text{cms} \Rightarrow ((\text{fst } \text{gc}_A ! i, \text{snd } \text{gc}_A), (\text{fst } \text{gc}_C ! i, \text{snd } \text{gc}_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel} \\ (\text{cms} ! i)) \Rightarrow \\ \text{abs.sound-mode-use } \text{gc}_A \Rightarrow \text{modes-respect-priv } (\text{map } \text{snd } (\text{fst } \text{gc}_C)) \Rightarrow \\ \exists \text{ sched}_A \text{ gc}_A'. \text{ abs.meval-sched } \text{ sched}_A \text{ gc}_A \text{ gc}_A' \wedge \\ (\forall i. i < \text{length } \text{cms} \rightarrow ((\text{fst } \text{gc}_A' ! i, \text{snd } \text{gc}_A'), (\text{fst } \text{gc}_C' ! i, \text{snd } \text{gc}_C')) \\ \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! i)) \wedge \\ \text{abs.sound-mode-use } \text{gc}_A' \end{aligned}$$

proof –

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fix } \text{gc}_C \text{ gc}_C' \text{ sched}_C \text{ gc}_A \\ \text{assume meval}_C: \text{ conc.meval-sched } \text{ sched}_C \text{ gc}_C \text{ gc}_C' \\ \text{and len-eq [simp]: } \text{length } (\text{fst } \text{gc}_A) = \text{length } \text{cms} \\ \text{and len-eq'[simp]: } \text{length } (\text{fst } \text{gc}_C) = \text{length } \text{cms} \\ \text{and in-R: } (\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } \text{cms} \Rightarrow ((\text{fst } \text{gc}_A ! i, \text{snd } \text{gc}_A), (\text{fst } \text{gc}_C ! i, \text{snd } \text{gc}_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! i)) \\ \text{and sound-mode-use}_A: \text{ abs.sound-mode-use } \text{gc}_A \\ \text{and modes-respect-priv: } \text{ modes-respect-priv } (\text{map } \text{snd } (\text{fst } \text{gc}_C)) \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \text{ sched}_A \text{ gc}_A'. \text{ abs.meval-sched } \text{ sched}_A \text{ gc}_A \text{ gc}_A' \wedge \\ (\forall i. i < \text{length } \text{cms} \rightarrow ((\text{fst } \text{gc}_A' ! i, \text{snd } \text{gc}_A'), (\text{fst } \text{gc}_C' ! i, \text{snd } \text{gc}_C')) \\ \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! i)) \wedge \\ \text{abs.sound-mode-use } \text{gc}_A' \end{aligned}$$

proof(induct arbitrary: gc_A rule: $\text{conc.meval-sched.induct}$)

case (1 $\text{cms}_C \text{ cms}_C'$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{from 1(1) have } \text{cms}_C'\text{-def [simp]: } \text{cms}_C' = \text{cms}_C \text{ by simp} \\ \text{with 1 have abs.meval-sched } \sqcap \text{ gc}_A \text{ gc}_A' \wedge \\ (\forall i < \text{length } \text{cms}. \\ ((\text{fst } \text{gc}_A ! i, \text{snd } \text{gc}_A), \text{fst } \text{cms}_C' ! i, \text{snd } \text{cms}_C') \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! i)) \wedge \\ \text{abs.sound-mode-use } \text{gc}_A' \end{aligned}$$

by simp

thus ?case by blast

next

case (2 $n \text{ ns } \text{gc}_C \text{ gc}_C'$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{obtain } \text{cms}_C \text{ mem}_C \text{ where } \text{gc}_C\text{-def [simp]: } \text{gc}_C = (\text{cms}_C, \text{mem}_C) \text{ by force} \\ \text{obtain } \text{cms}_A \text{ mem}_A \text{ where } \text{gc}_A\text{-def [simp]: } \text{gc}_A = (\text{cms}_A, \text{mem}_A) \text{ by force} \\ \text{from 2(2) } \text{gc}_C\text{-def obtain } \text{cms}_C'' \text{ mem}_C'' \text{ where} \\ \text{meval}_C: ((\text{cms}_C, \text{mem}_C), n, (\text{cms}_C'', \text{mem}_C'')) \in \text{conc.meval} \text{ and} \\ \text{meval-sched}_C: \text{ conc.meval-sched } \text{ ns } (\text{cms}_C'', \text{mem}_C'') \text{ gc}_C' \\ \text{by force} \end{aligned}$$

let ?cmCn = $\text{cms}_C ! n$

let ?cmAn = $\text{cms}_A ! n$

let ?Rn = $\mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! n)$

from meval_C obtain cmCn'' where

```

eval_C n: (?cm_C n, mem_C) ~>_C (cm_C n'', mem_C '') and
len: n < length cms_C and
  cms_C ''-def: cms_C '' = cms_C [n := cm_C n''] by (blast elim: conc.meval.cases)
from len have len [simp]: n < length cms by (simp add: 2[simplified])
from cms_C ''-def 2 have
  len-cms_C '' [simp]: length cms_C '' = length cms by simp
from 2 len have
  in-Rn: ((?cm_A n, mem_A), (?cm_C n, mem_C)) ∈ ?Rn
  by simp

with eval_C n use-secure-refinement-helper[OF secure-refinements[OF len]]
obtain cm_A n'' mem_A n'' m_A where
  neval_A n: abs.neval (?cm_A n, mem_A) m_A (cm_A n'', mem_A '') and
  in-Rn'': ((cm_A n'', mem_A''), (cm_C n'', mem_C'')) ∈ ?Rn
  by blast+

define cms_A '' where cms_A '' = cms_A [n := cm_A n'']
define gc_A '' where [simp]: gc_A '' = (cms_A '', mem_A '')
have len-cms_A '' [simp]: length cms_A '' = length cms by (simp add: cms_A ''-def
2[simplified])

have in-Rn'': (∀i. i < length cms ⇒ ((cms_A '' ! i, mem_A''), cms_C '' ! i, mem_C''))
  ∈ R-rel (cms ! i))
proof -
  fix i
  assume i < length cms
  show ?thesis i
  proof(cases i = n)
    assume i = n
    hence cms_A '' ! i = cm_A n''
    using cms_A ''-def len-cms_A '' len by simp
    moreover from ⟨i = n⟩ have cms_C '' ! i = cm_C n''
    using cms_C ''-def len-cms_C '' len by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using in-Rn'' ⟨i = n⟩
    by simp
  next
    obtain c_A i mds_A i where cms_A i-def [simp]: (cms_A ! i) = (c_A i, mds_A i) by
    fastforce
    obtain c_C i mds_C i where cms_C i-def [simp]: (cms_C ! i) = (c_C i, mds_C i) by
    fastforce
    hence mds_C i-def: mds_C i = snd (cms_C ! i) by simp

    from 2(5) ⟨i < length cms⟩ have
      in-Ri: ((cms_A ! i, mem_A), (cms_C ! i, mem_C)) ∈ R-rel (cms ! i)
      by force

from in-Rn'' secure-refinements len preserves-modes-memD
have mem_A n''-def [simp]: mem_A n'' = mem_A -of mem_C ''

```

```

unfolding secure-refinement-def
by (metis surjective-pairing)

from in-Ri secure-refinements < $i < \text{length } cms$ > preserves-modes-memD
  cmsAi-def cmsCi-def
have memA-def [simp]: memA = memA-of memC and
  mdsAi-def [simp]: mdsAi = mdsA-of mdsCi
unfolding secure-refinement-def
by metis+

assume i ≠ n
hence cmsA'' ! i = cmsA ! i
  using cmsA''-def len-cmsA'' len by simp
moreover from < $i \neq n$ > have cmsC'' ! i = cmsC ! i
  using cmsC''-def len-cmsC'' len by simp
ultimately show ?thesis

  using 2(5)[of i] < $i \neq n$ > < $i < \text{length } cms$ >
  apply simp
  apply(rule closed-othersD)
    apply(rule secure-refinements[OF < $i < \text{length } cms$ >, unfolded se-
cure-refinement-def, THEN conjunct1])
      apply assumption
      apply(simp only: mdsCi-def)
        apply(rule-tac Rn=R-rel (cms ! n) and Ri=R-rel (cms ! i) in modi-
fied-variables-are-not-assumed-not-written)
          apply(rule 2(6)[unfolded gcA-def])
            using secure-refinements len secure-refinement-def apply blast
              apply(rule in-Rn)
              using secure-refinements secure-refinement-def apply blast
                apply(rule in-Ri)
                apply(rule len)
                using 2 apply simp
                using 2 apply simp
                using 2(7) unfolding modes-respect-priv-def priv-is-asm-priv-def
gcC-def
  using 2.prem(3) apply auto[1]
  using 2(7) unfolding modes-respect-priv-def priv-is-guar-priv-def
gcC-def
  using 2.prem(3) apply auto[1]
  using 2(7) unfolding modes-respect-priv-def new-asms-only-for-priv-def
gcC-def
  using 2.prem(3) apply auto[1]
  apply(rule evalCn)
  apply(rule nevalAn)
  apply(rule in-Rn')
  apply fastforce
  apply assumption
  apply assumption

```

```

apply(rule local-guarantee-preservation)
by simp
qed
qed

have meval-schedA: abs.meval-sched (replicate mA n) gcA (cmsA "", memA "")
  apply(simp add: cmsA "-def")
  apply(rule abs.neval-meval[OF - - HOL.refl HOL.refl])
  apply(rule nevalAn)
  using 2.prems(2) by auto

have sound-mode-useA "": abs.sound-mode-use (cmsA "", memA "")
  apply(rule abs.meval-sched-sound-mode-use)
  apply(rule 2(6))
  by(rule meval-schedA)

have respects"': modes-respect-priv (map snd cmsC "")
  apply(rule meval-modes-respect-priv[where gcC'=(cmsC "", memC ""), simplified])
  apply(rule mevalC)
  using 2.prems(3) gcC-def apply blast
  using 2 by simp

from respects" 2(1)[OF meval-schedC, where gcA 7 = gcA "]
in- $\mathcal{R}$ " sound-mode-useA "
obtain schedA gcA''
  where meval-schedA "': abs.meval-sched schedA gcA " gcA' and
        in- $\mathcal{R}$ ': ( $\forall i < \text{length } cms$ . ((fst gcA' ! i, snd gcA'), fst gcc' ! i, snd gcc')  $\in$ 
         $\mathcal{R}$ -rel (cms ! i)) and
        sound-mode-useA "': abs.sound-mode-use gcA' by fastforce
  define final-schedA where final-schedA = (replicate mA n) @ schedA
  have meval-final-schedA: abs.meval-sched final-schedA gcA gcA''
    using meval-schedA " meval-schedA abs.meval-sched-app final-schedA-def
    gcA "-def by blast

  from meval-final-schedA in- $\mathcal{R}$ ' sound-mode-useA '
  show ?case by blast
qed
qed

end

context sifum-security begin

definition
restrict-modes :: 'Var Mds list  $\Rightarrow$  'Var set  $\Rightarrow$  'Var Mds list
where
restrict-modes mdss X  $\equiv$  map ( $\lambda mds\ m$ . mds m  $\cap$  X) mdss

lemma restrict-modes-length [simp]:

```

```

length (restrict-modes mdss X) = length mdss
by(auto simp: restrict-modes-def)

lemma compatible-modes-by-case-distinction:
assumes compat-X: compatible-modes (restrict-modes mdss X)
assumes compat-compX: compatible-modes (restrict-modes mdss (-X ))
shows compatible-modes mdss
unfolding compatible-modes-def
proof(safe)
fix i x j
assume ilen: i < length mdss
assume jlen: j < length mdss
assume neq: j ≠ i
assume asm: x ∈ (mdss ! i) AsmNoReadOrWrite
show x ∈ (mdss ! j) GuarNoReadOrWrite
proof(cases x ∈ X)
assume xin: x ∈ X
let ?mdssX = restrict-modes mdss X
from asm xin have x ∈ (?mdssX ! i) AsmNoReadOrWrite
  unfolding restrict-modes-def
  using ilen by auto

with compat-X jlen ilen neq
have x ∈ (?mdssX ! j) GuarNoReadOrWrite
  unfolding compatible-modes-def
  by auto
with xin jlen show ?thesis
  unfolding restrict-modes-def by auto
next
assume xnin: x ∉ X
let ?mdssX = restrict-modes mdss (- X)
from asm xnin have x ∈ (?mdssX ! i) AsmNoReadOrWrite
  unfolding restrict-modes-def
  using ilen by auto

with compat-compX jlen ilen neq
have x ∈ (?mdssX ! j) GuarNoReadOrWrite
  unfolding compatible-modes-def
  by auto
with xnin jlen show ?thesis
  unfolding restrict-modes-def by auto
qed
next
fix i x j
assume ilen: i < length mdss
assume jlen: j < length mdss
assume neq: j ≠ i
assume asm: x ∈ (mdss ! i) AsmNoWrite
show x ∈ (mdss ! j) GuarNoWrite

```

```

proof(cases  $x \in X$ )
  assume  $x_{in}: x \in X$ 
  let  $?mdss_X = \text{restrict-modes } mdss X$ 
  from  $\text{asm } x_{in} \text{ have } x \in (?mdss_X ! i) \text{ AsmNoWrite}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{restrict-modes-def}$ 
    using  $ilen$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

  with  $\text{compat-X } jlen \text{ ilen neq}$ 
  have  $x \in (?mdss_X ! j) \text{ GuarNoWrite}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{compatible-modes-def}$ 
    by  $\text{auto}$ 
  with  $x_{in} \text{ jlen show } ?thesis$ 
    unfolding  $\text{restrict-modes-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  next
    assume  $x_{nin}: x \notin X$ 
    let  $?mdss_X = \text{restrict-modes } mdss (- X)$ 
    from  $\text{asm } x_{nin} \text{ have } x \in (?mdss_X ! i) \text{ AsmNoWrite}$ 
      unfolding  $\text{restrict-modes-def}$ 
      using  $ilen$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

    with  $\text{compat-compX } jlen \text{ ilen neq}$ 
    have  $x \in (?mdss_X ! j) \text{ GuarNoWrite}$ 
      unfolding  $\text{compatible-modes-def}$ 
      by  $\text{auto}$ 
    with  $x_{nin} \text{ jlen show } ?thesis$ 
      unfolding  $\text{restrict-modes-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  qed
  qed

lemma  $\text{in-restrict-modesD}:$ 
   $i < \text{length } mdss \implies x \in ((\text{restrict-modes } mdss X) ! i) m \implies x \in X \wedge x \in (mdss ! i) m$ 
  by( $\text{auto simp: restrict-modes-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{in-restrict-modesI}:$ 
   $i < \text{length } mdss \implies x \in X \implies x \in (mdss ! i) m \implies x \in ((\text{restrict-modes } mdss X) ! i) m$ 
  by( $\text{auto simp: restrict-modes-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{meval-sched-length}:$ 
   $\text{meval-sched } \text{sched } gc \text{ } gc' \implies \text{length } (\text{fst } gc') = \text{length } (\text{fst } gc)$ 
  apply( $\text{induct sched arbitrary: } gc \text{ } gc'$ )
  by  $\text{auto}$ 

end

context  $sifum-refinement-sys$  begin

```

```

lemma compatible-modes-old-vars:
  assumes compatible-modesA: abs.compatible-modes (map snd cmsA)
  assumes lenA: length cmsA = length cms
  assumes lenC: length cmsC = length cms
  assumes in- $\mathcal{R}$ : ( $\forall i < \text{length cms}. ((\text{cms}_A ! i, \text{mem}_A), (\text{cms}_C ! i, \text{mem}_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel} (\text{cms} ! i)$ )
  shows conc.compatible-modes (conc.restrict-modes (map snd cmsC) (range varC-of))
  unfolding conc.compatible-modes-def
  proof(clar simp)
    fix i x
    assume i-len:  $i < \text{length cms}_C$ 
    let ?cms = cms ! i and
      ?cA = fst (cmsA ! i) and ?mdsA = snd (cmsA ! i) and
      ?cC = fst (cmsC ! i) and ?mdsC = snd (cmsC ! i)

    from in- $\mathcal{R}$  i-len lenC
    have in- $\mathcal{R}$ -i:  $((\text{cms}_A ! i, \text{mem}_A), (\text{cms}_C ! i, \text{mem}_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}$  by simp

    from i-len have i < length (map snd cmsC) by simp
    hence m-x-range:  $\bigwedge m. x \in (\text{conc.restrict-modes} (\text{map snd cms}_C) (\text{range var}_C\text{-of})) ! i \implies x \in \text{range var}_C\text{-of} \wedge x \in (\text{map snd cms}_C ! i) m$ 
      using conc.in-restrict-modesD i-len by blast+
    hence m-xC-i:  $\bigwedge m. x \in (\text{conc.restrict-modes} (\text{map snd cms}_C) (\text{range var}_C\text{-of})) ! i \implies x \in ?\text{mds}_C m$ 
      by (simp add: i-len)

    from secure-refinements i-len lenC
    have secure-refinement ( $\mathcal{R}_A\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}$ ) ( $\mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}$ ) ( $P\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}$ ) by simp
    hence preserves-modes-mem- $\mathcal{R}$ -i: preserves-modes-mem ( $\mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}$ )
    unfolding secure-refinement-def by simp

    from in- $\mathcal{R}$ -i have  $(\langle ?c_A, ?\text{mds}_A, \text{mem}_A \rangle_A, \langle ?c_C, ?\text{mds}_C, \text{mem}_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}$  by clar simp
    with preserves-modes-mem- $\mathcal{R}$ -i
    have  $(\forall x_A. \text{mem}_A x_A = \text{mem}_C (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x_A)) \wedge (\forall m. \text{var}_C\text{-of } ?\text{mds}_A m = \text{range var}_C\text{-of} \cap ?\text{mds}_C m)$ 
      unfolding preserves-modes-mem-def by blast
      with m-xC-i have m-xA:  $\bigwedge m. x \in (\text{conc.restrict-modes} (\text{map snd cms}_C) (\text{range var}_C\text{-of})) ! i \implies \text{var}_A\text{-of } x \in ?\text{mds}_A m$ 
        unfolding varA-of-def using m-x-range inj-image-mem-iff varC-of-inj by fast-force

    show  $(x \in (\text{conc.restrict-modes} (\text{map snd cms}_C) (\text{range var}_C\text{-of})) ! i) \text{ AsmNoRe-adOrWrite} \longrightarrow$ 
       $(\forall j < \text{length cms}_C. j \neq i \longrightarrow$ 
         $x \in (\text{conc.restrict-modes} (\text{map snd cms}_C) (\text{range var}_C\text{-of})) ! j) \text{ GuarNoRe-adOrWrite}) \wedge$ 
         $(x \in (\text{conc.restrict-modes} (\text{map snd cms}_C) (\text{range var}_C\text{-of})) ! i) \text{ AsmNoWrite}$ 
      →

```

```

 $(\forall j < \text{length } cms_C. j \neq i \longrightarrow$ 
 $x \in (\text{conc}.\text{restrict-modes} (\text{map} \text{ snd } cms_C) (\text{range } var_C\text{-of}) ! j) \text{ GuarNoWrite}))$ 
proof(safe)
fix  $j$ 
assume  $\text{AsmNoRW-}x_C: x \in (\text{conc}.\text{restrict-modes} (\text{map} \text{ snd } cms_C) (\text{range } var_C\text{-of}) ! i) \text{ AsmNoReadOrWrite}$  and
 $j\text{-len}: j < \text{length } cms_C$  and
 $j\text{-not-}i: j \neq i$ 
let  $?cms' = cms ! j$  and
 $?c_A' = fst (cms_A ! j)$  and  $?mds_A' = snd (cms_A ! j)$  and
 $?c_C' = fst (cms_C ! j)$  and  $?mds_C' = snd (cms_C ! j)$ 

from  $\text{AsmNoRW-}x_C \text{ m-x-range}$ 
have  $x\text{-range}: x \in \text{range } var_C\text{-of}$  by simp

from  $\text{AsmNoRW-}x_C \text{ m-x}_A$ 
have  $var_A\text{-of } x \in ?mds_A \text{ AsmNoReadOrWrite}$  by simp
with compatible-modes $_A$ 
have  $\text{GuarNoRW-}x_A: var_A\text{-of } x \in ?mds_A' \text{ GuarNoReadOrWrite}$ 
unfolding abs.compatible-modes-def using i-len len $_A$  len $_C$  j-len j-not-i by
clar simp

from in- $\mathcal{R}$  j-len len $_C$ 
have in- $\mathcal{R}$ -j:  $((cms_A ! j, mem_A), cms_C ! j, mem_C) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?cms'$  by simp

from j-len have j-len':  $j < \text{length } (\text{map} \text{ snd } cms_C)$  by simp

from secure-refinements j-len len $_C$ 
have secure-refinement  $(\mathcal{R}_A\text{-rel } ?cms') (\mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?cms') (P\text{-rel } ?cms')$  by simp
hence preserves-modes-mem- $\mathcal{R}$ -j: preserves-modes-mem  $(\mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?cms')$ 
unfolding secure-refinement-def by simp

from in- $\mathcal{R}$ -j have  $(\langle ?c_A', ?mds_A', mem_A \rangle_A, \langle ?c_C', ?mds_C', mem_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?cms'$  by clar simp
with preserves-modes-mem- $\mathcal{R}$ -j
have  $(\forall x_A. mem_A x_A = mem_C (var_C\text{-of } x_A)) \wedge (\forall m. var_C\text{-of } ?mds_A' m = \text{range } var_C\text{-of} \cap ?mds_C' m)$ 
unfolding preserves-modes-mem-def by blast

with GuarNoRW- $x_A$  j-len j-len' mds $_A$ -of-def x-range conc.in-restrict-modesI
var $_C$ -of-inj
show  $x \in (\text{conc}.\text{restrict-modes} (\text{map} \text{ snd } cms_C) (\text{range } var_C\text{-of}) ! j) \text{ GuarNoReadOrWrite}$ 
unfolding var $_A$ -of-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) doesnt-have-mode f-inv-into-f image-inv-f-f
nth-map)
next

fix  $j$ 

```

```

assume AsmNoWrite-xC:  $x \in (\text{conc}.\text{restrict-modes} (\text{map} \text{ snd } \text{cms}_C) (\text{range} \text{ var}_C\text{-of})) ! i)$  AsmNoWrite and
    j-len:  $j < \text{length } \text{cms}_C$  and
    j-not-i:  $j \neq i$ 
let ?cms' = cms ! j and
    ?cA' = fst (cmsA ! j) and ?mdsA' = snd (cmsA ! j) and
    ?cC' = fst (cmsC ! j) and ?mdsC' = snd (cmsC ! j)

from AsmNoWrite-xC m-x-range
have x-range:  $x \in \text{range } \text{var}_C\text{-of}$  by simp

from AsmNoWrite-xC m-xA
have varA-of x  $\in$  ?mdsA AsmNoWrite by simp
with compatible-modesA
have GuarNoWrite-xA: varA-of x  $\in$  ?mdsA' GuarNoWrite
unfolding abs.compatible-modes-def using i-len lenA lenC j-len j-not-i by
clar simp

from in-R j-len lenC
have in-R-j:  $((\text{cms}_A ! j, \text{mem}_A), \text{cms}_C ! j, \text{mem}_C) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}'$  by simp

from j-len have j-len':  $j < \text{length } (\text{map} \text{ snd } \text{cms}_C)$  by simp

from secure-refinements j-len lenC
have secure-refinement ( $\mathcal{R}_A\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}'$ ) ( $\mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}'$ ) ( $P\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}'$ ) by simp
hence preserves-modes-mem-R-j: preserves-modes-mem ( $\mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}'$ )
unfolding secure-refinement-def by simp

from in-R-j have  $(\langle ?c_A', ?mds_A', \text{mem}_A \rangle_A, \langle ?c_C', ?mds_C', \text{mem}_C \rangle_C) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } ?\text{cms}'$  by clar simp
with preserves-modes-mem-R-j
have  $(\forall x_A. \text{mem}_A x_A = \text{mem}_C (\text{var}_C\text{-of } x_A)) \wedge (\forall m. \text{var}_C\text{-of } ?mds_A' m = \text{range } \text{var}_C\text{-of} \cap ?mds_C' m)$ 
unfolding preserves-modes-mem-def by blast

with GuarNoWrite-xA j-len j-len' mdsA-of-def x-range conc.in-restrict-modesI
varC-of-inj
show x  $\in$  (conc.restrict-modes (map snd cmsC) (range varC-of)) ! j) GuarNoWrite
unfolding varA-of-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) doesnt-have-mode f-inv-into-f image-inv-f-f
nth-map)
qed
qed

lemma compatible-modes-new-vars:
length mdss = length cms  $\implies$  modes-respect-priv mdss  $\implies$  conc.compatible-modes
(conc.restrict-modes mdss (- range varC-of))
unfolding conc.compatible-modes-def
proof(safe)

```

```

let ?X = - range var_C-of
let ?mdss_X = conc.restrict-modes mdss ?X
assume respect: modes-respect-priv mdss
assume len-eq: length mdss = length cms
fix i x_C j
assume ilen: i < length ?mdss_X
assume jlen: j < length ?mdss_X
assume neq: j ≠ i
assume asm_X: x_C ∈ (?mdss_X ! i) AsmNoWrite
from conc.in-restrict-modesD ilen asm_X conc.restrict-modes-length have
  xin: x_C ∈ ?X and
    asm: x_C ∈ (mdss ! i) AsmNoWrite by metis+
from asm have False
  using respect xin ilen conc.restrict-modes-length len-eq
  unfolding modes-respect-priv-def new-asms-NoReadOrWrite-only-def
  by force
thus x_C ∈ (?mdss_X ! j) GuarNoWrite by blast
next
let ?X = - range var_C-of
let ?mdss_X = conc.restrict-modes mdss ?X
assume respect: modes-respect-priv mdss
assume len-eq: length mdss = length cms
fix i x_C j
assume ilen: i < length ?mdss_X
assume jlen: j < length ?mdss_X
assume neq: j ≠ i
assume asm_X: x_C ∈ (?mdss_X ! i) AsmNoReadOrWrite
from conc.in-restrict-modesD ilen asm_X conc.restrict-modes-length have
  xin: x_C ∈ ?X and
    asm: x_C ∈ (mdss ! i) AsmNoReadOrWrite by metis+
from respect asm xin ilen conc.restrict-modes-length len-eq have
  x_C ∈ priv-mem_C ! i
  unfolding modes-respect-priv-def new-asms-only-for-priv-def
  by force
with respect ilen jlen neq conc.restrict-modes-length len-eq have
  x_C ∈ (mdss ! j) GuarNoReadOrWrite
  unfolding modes-respect-priv-def priv-is-guar-priv-def
  by force
with jlen xin conc.in-restrict-modesI show
  x_C ∈ (?mdss_X ! j) GuarNoReadOrWrite by force
qed

```

lemma sound-mode-use-preservation:

$$\bigwedge g_C \, g_A.$$

$$\text{length } (\text{fst } g_A) = \text{length } \text{cms} \implies \text{length } (\text{fst } g_C) = \text{length } \text{cms} \implies$$

$$(\bigwedge i. \, i < \text{length } \text{cms} \implies ((\text{fst } g_A ! i, \text{snd } g_A), (\text{fst } g_C ! i, \text{snd } g_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel}$$

$$(\text{cms} ! i)) \implies$$

$$\text{abs.sound-mode-use } g_A \implies \text{modes-respect-priv } (\text{map } \text{snd } (\text{fst } g_C)) \implies$$

```

conc.sound-mode-use gcc
proof -
fix gcC gcA
assume len-eq [simp]: length (fst gcA) = length cms
and len-eq'[simp]: length (fst gcC) = length cms
and in-R: ( $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } cms \implies ((\text{fst } gc_A ! i, \text{snd } gc_A), (\text{fst } gc_C ! i, \text{snd } gc_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (cms ! i)$ )
and sound-mode-useA: abs.sound-mode-use gcA
and modes-respect-priv: modes-respect-priv (map snd (fst gcC))
have conc.globally-sound-mode-use gcc
unfolding conc.globally-sound-mode-use-def
proof(clarsimp)
fix mdssC'
assume in-reachable-modes: mdssC' ∈ conc.reachable-mode-states gcC
from this obtain cmsC' memC' schedC where
meval-schedC: conc.meval-sched schedC gcC (cmsC', memC') and
mdssC'-def: mdssC' = map snd cmsC'
unfolding conc.reachable-mode-states-def by blast
from traces-refinement[OF meval-schedC, OF len-eq len-eq' in-R sound-mode-useA
modes-respect-priv]
obtain schedA gcA' cmsA' memA' where gcA'-def [simp]: gcA' = (cmsA', memA') and
meval-schedA: abs.meval-sched schedA gcA gcA' and
in-R: ( $\forall i < \text{length } cms.$ 
 $((cms_A' ! i, mem_A'), cms_C' ! i, mem_C') \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (cms ! i)$ )
and sound-mode-useA': abs.sound-mode-use gcA'
by fastforce
let ?mdssA' = map snd cmsA'
have ?mdssA' ∈ abs.reachable-mode-states gcA
unfolding abs.reachable-mode-states-def
using meval-schedA by fastforce
hence compatible-modesA': abs.compatible-modes ?mdssA'
using sound-mode-useA unfolding abs.sound-mode-use-def abs.globally-sound-mode-use-def
by fastforce
let ?X = range varC-of
show conc.compatible-modes mdssC'
proof(rule conc.compatible-modes-by-case-distinction[where X=?X])
show conc.compatible-modes (conc.restrict-modes mdssC' ?X)
apply(simp add: mdssC'-def)
apply(rule compatible-modes-old-vars[OF - - - in-R])
apply(rule compatible-modesA')
using len-eq abs.meval-sched-length[OF meval-schedA] gcA'-def apply simp
using len-eq' conc.meval-sched-length[OF meval-schedC] by simp
next
show conc.compatible-modes (conc.restrict-modes mdssC' (- ?X))
apply(rule compatible-modes-new-vars)
using len-eq' conc.meval-sched-length[OF meval-schedC] mdssC'-def apply
simp
apply(simp add: mdssC'-def)

```

```

apply(rule meval-sched-modes-respect-priv[OF meval-schedC, simplified])
  using modes-respect-priv by simp
qed
qed

moreover have list-all (λ cm. conc.locally-sound-mode-use (cm, (snd gcC))) (fst
gcC)
  unfolding list-all-length
proof(clarify)
  fix i
  assume i < length (fst gcC)
  hence len: i < length cms by simp
  have preserves: preserves-locally-sound-mode-use (R-rel (cms ! i))
    apply(rule locally-sound-mode-use-preservation)
    using secure-refinements len apply blast
    using local-guarantee-preservation len by blast
  have abs.locally-sound-mode-use (fst gcA ! i, snd gcA)
    using sound-mode-useA ⟨i < length cms⟩ len-eq
    unfolding abs.sound-mode-use-def list-all-length
    by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)

from this in-R[OF len] preserves[unfolded preserves-locally-sound-mode-use-def]
show conc.locally-sound-mode-use (fst gcC ! i, snd gcC)
  by blast
qed

ultimately show ?thesis gcC gcA unfolding conc.sound-mode-use-def
by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)
qed

lemma refined-prog-secure:
assumes lenA [simp]: length cmsC = length cms
assumes lenC [simp]: length cmsA = length cms
assumes in-R: (Λ i memC. i < length cms ⇒ ((cmsA ! i, memA-of memC), (cmsC
! i, memC) ∈ R-rel (cms ! i)))
assumes in-RA: (Λ i memC memC'. [| i < length cms; conc.low-mds-eq (snd
(cmsC ! i)) memC memC' |]
  ⇒ ((cmsA ! i, memA-of memC), (cmsA ! i, memA-of memC')) ∈ RA-rel
(cms ! i)))
assumes sound-mode-useA: (Λ memA. abs.sound-mode-use (cmsA, memA))
assumes modes-respect-priv: modes-respect-priv (map snd cmsC)
shows conc.prog-sifum-secure-cont cmsC
apply(rule conc.sifum-compositionality-cont)
apply(clarsimp simp: list-all-length)
apply(clarsimp simp: conc.com-sifum-secure-def conc.low-indistinguishable-def)
apply(rule conc.mm-equiv.intros)
apply(rule RC-of-strong-low-bisim-mm)
  apply(fastforce intro: bisims)
  apply(fastforce intro: secure-refinements)

```

```

apply(fastforce simp: Ps-sym)
apply(clarsimp simp: RC-of-def)
apply(rename-tac i cC mdsC memC memC)
apply(rule-tac x=fst (cmsA ! i) in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=snd (cmsA ! i) in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=memA-of memC in exI)
apply(rule conjI)
  using in- $\mathcal{R}$  apply fastforce
apply(rule-tac x=fst (cmsA ! i) in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=snd (cmsA ! i) in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=memA-of memC' in exI)
apply(rule conjI)
  using in- $\mathcal{R}$  apply fastforce
apply(fastforce simp: in- $\mathcal{R}_A$  Ps-refl-on-low-mds-eq)
apply(clarify)
apply(rename-tac memC)
apply(rule-tac gcA=(cmsA,memA-of memC) in sound-mode-use-preservation)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  using in- $\mathcal{R}$  apply fastforce
apply(rule sound-mode-useA)
apply clarsimp
by(rule modes-respect-priv)

lemma refined-prog-secure':
  assumes lenA [simp]: length cmsC = length cms
  assumes lenC [simp]: length cmsA = length cms
  assumes in- $\mathcal{R}$ : ( $\bigwedge i \text{ mem}_C. i < \text{length cms} \implies ((\text{cms}_A ! i, \text{mem}_A\text{-of mem}_C), (\text{cms}_C ! i, \text{mem}_C)) \in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! i)$ )
  assumes in- $\mathcal{R}_A$ : ( $\bigwedge i \text{ mem}_A \text{ mem}_A'. \llbracket i < \text{length cms}; \text{abs.low-mds-eq } (\text{snd } (\text{cms}_A ! i)) \text{ mem}_A \text{ mem}_A' \rrbracket \implies ((\text{cms}_A ! i, \text{mem}_A), (\text{cms}_A ! i, \text{mem}_A')) \in \mathcal{R}_A\text{-rel } (\text{cms} ! i)$ )
  assumes sound-mode-useA: ( $\bigwedge \text{mem}_A. \text{abs.sound-mode-use } (\text{cms}_A, \text{mem}_A)$ )
  assumes modes-respect-priv: modes-respect-priv (map snd cmsC)
  shows conc.prog-sifum-secure-cont cmsC
apply(rule refined-prog-secure)
  apply(rule lenA)
  apply(rule lenC)
  apply(blast intro: in- $\mathcal{R}$ )
apply(rule in- $\mathcal{R}_A$ )
  apply assumption
apply(subgoal-tac snd (cmsA ! i) = mdsA-of (snd (cmsC ! i)))
  using low-mds-eq-from-conc-to-abs apply fastforce
  apply(rule-tac R1= $\mathcal{R}$ -rel (cms ! i) and cA1=fst (cmsA ! i) and cC1=fst (cmsC ! i) in preserves-modes-memD[THEN conjunct2])
    using secure-refinements unfolding secure-refinement-def apply fast
  apply clarsimp
  using in- $\mathcal{R}$  apply fastforce
  apply(blast intro: sound-mode-useA)

```

```

by(rule modes-respect-priv)

end

context sifum-security begin

definition
  reachable-mems :: ('Com × (Mode ⇒ 'Var set)) list ⇒ ('Var,'Val) Mem ⇒
  ('Var,'Val) Mem set
  where
    reachable-mems cms mem ≡ {mem'. ∃ sched cms'. meval-sched sched (cms,mem)
      (cms',mem')}

lemma reachable-mems-refl:
  mem ∈ reachable-mems cms mem
  apply(clarsimp simp: reachable-mems-def)
  apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)
  apply fastforce
  done

end

context sifum-refinement-sys begin

lemma reachable-mems-refinement:
  assumes sys-nonempty: length cms > 0
  assumes lenA [simp]: length cmsC = length cms
  assumes lenC [simp]: length cmsA = length cms
  assumes in-R: (∀ i memC. i < length cms ⇒ ((cmsA ! i,memA-of memC),(cmsC
  ! i, memC) ∈ R-rel (cms ! i)))
  assumes sound-mode-useA: (∀ memA. abs.sound-mode-use (cmsA, memA))
  assumes modes-respect-priv: modes-respect-priv (map snd cmsC)
  assumes reachableC: memC' ∈ conc.reachable-mems cmsC memC
  shows memA-of memC' ∈ abs.reachable-mems cmsA (memA-of memC)
  proof –
    from reachableC obtain schedC cmsC' where
      meval-schedC: conc.meval-sched schedC (cmsC, memC) (cmsC', memC)
      by (fastforce simp: conc.reachable-mems-def)

    let ?memA = memA-of memC

    have sound-mode-useA: abs.sound-mode-use (cmsA, ?memA)
      by(rule sound-mode-useA)

    from traces-refinement[where gcA=(cmsA,?memA), OF meval-schedC, OF --- -
      sound-mode-useA]
      in-R[of - memC]
      modes-respect-priv
    obtain schedA cmsA' memA' where

```

```

meval-schedA: abs.meval-sched schedA (cmsA, ?memA) (cms'A, mem'A) and
in- $\mathcal{R}'$ : ( $\forall i < \text{length } cms$ .
  ((cms'A ! i, mem'A), cms'C ! i, mem'C)  $\in \mathcal{R}\text{-rel}$  (cms ! i))
by fastforce
hence reachableA: mem'A  $\in$  abs.reachable-mems cmsA ?memA
by(fastforce simp: abs.reachable-mems-def)
from sys-nonempty obtain i where ilen: i < length cms by blast
let ? $\mathcal{R}i = \mathcal{R}\text{-rel}$  (cms ! i)
from ilen secure-refinements have preserves-modes-mem ? $\mathcal{R}i$ 
unfolding secure-refinement-def by blast
from ilen in- $\mathcal{R}'$  preserves-modes-memD[OF this] have
  mem'A-def: mem'A = memA-of mem'C
by(metis surjective-pairing)
with reachableA show ?thesis by simp
qed

end

end

```

References

- [MSPR16] Toby Murray, Robert Sison, Edward Pierzchalski, and Christine Rizkallah. Compositional verification and refinement of concurrent value-dependent noninterference. In *IEEE Computer Security Foundations Symposium*, Lisbon, Portugal, June 2016.
- [Mur15] Toby Murray. On high-assurance information-flow-secure programming languages. In *ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Programming Languages and Analysis for Security*, pages 43–48, Prague, Czech Republic, July 2015.