

Expressiveness of Deep Learning

Alexander Bentkamp

October 11, 2017

Abstract

Deep learning has had a profound impact on computer science in recent years, with applications to search engines, image recognition and language processing, bioinformatics, and more. Recently, Cohen et al. [2] provided theoretical evidence for the superiority of deep learning over shallow learning. For my master's thesis [1], I formalized their mathematical proof using Isabelle/HOL. This formalization simplifies and generalizes the original proof, while working around the limitations of the Isabelle type system. To support the formalization, I developed reusable libraries of formalized mathematics, including results about the matrix rank, the Lebesgue measure, and multivariate polynomials, as well as a library for tensor analysis.

Contents

1	Tensor	3
2	Subtensors	7
3	Tensor Addition	8
4	Tensor Scalar Multiplication	11
5	Tensor Product	12
6	Unit Vectors as Tensors	15
7	Tensor CP-Rank	15
8	Missing Lemmas of Vector_Space	17
9	Missing Lemmas of VS_Connect	18
10	Missing Lemmas of List	18
11	Matrix Rank	19

12 Subadditivity of rank	22
13 Missing Lemmas of Sublist	23
14 Pick	24
15 Sublist	25
16 weave	26
17 Tensor Matricization	27
18 Submatrices	29
19 Submatrix	29
20 CP-Rank and Matrix Rank	30
21 Missing Lemmas of Matrix	31
22 Matrix to Vector Conversion	32
23 Deep Learning Networks	33
24 Concrete Matrices	36
25 Missing Lemmas of Finite_Set	38
26 Deep Network Model	38
27 Less common functions on lists	45
28 Polynomial mapping: combination of almost everywhere zero functions with an algebraic view	49
28.1 Preliminary: auxiliary operations for ‘almost everywhere zero’	50
28.2 Type definition	53
28.3 Additive structure	54
28.4 Multiplicative structure	56
28.5 Single-point mappings	58
28.6 Integral domains	59
28.7 Mapping order	60
28.8 Fundamental mapping notions	60
28.9 Degree	62
28.10 Inductive structure	63
28.11 Quasi-functorial structure	63
28.12 Canonical dense representation of $nat \Rightarrow_0 'a$	65
28.13 Canonical sparse representation of $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$	66

28.14	Size estimation	67
28.15	Further mapping operations and properties	68
29	An abstract type for multivariate polynomials	69
29.1	Abstract type definition	69
29.2	Additive structure	69
29.3	Multiplication by a coefficient	70
29.4	Multiplicative structure	70
29.5	Monomials	71
29.6	Integral domains	73
29.7	Monom coefficient lookup	73
29.8	Insertion morphism	73
29.9	Degree	74
29.10	Pseudo-division of polynomials	75
29.11	Primitive poly, etc	76
30	MPpoly Mapping extension	77
31	MPoly extension	78
32	Nested MPoly	80
33	Polynomials representing the Deep Network Model	83
34	Alternative Lebesgue Measure Definition	86
35	Lebesgue Measure of Polynomial Zero Sets	89
36	Rank and Submatrices	89
37	Shallow Network Model	90
38	Fundamental Theorem of Network Capacity	91

1 Tensor

```
theory Tensor
imports Main
begin
```

```
typedef 'a tensor = {t::nat list × 'a list. length (snd t) = prod-list (fst t)}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition dims::'a tensor ⇒ nat list where
  dims A = fst (Rep-tensor A)
```

definition $vec::'a\ tensor \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
 $vec\ A = snd\ (Rep\text{-}tensor\ A)$

definition $tensor\text{-}from\text{-}vec::nat\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ tensor$ **where**
 $tensor\text{-}from\text{-}vec\ d\ v = Abs\text{-}tensor\ (d,v)$

lemma
assumes $length\ v = prod\text{-}list\ d$
shows $dims\text{-}tensor[simp]:\ dims\ (tensor\text{-}from\text{-}vec\ d\ v) = d$
and $vec\text{-}tensor[simp]:\ vec\ (tensor\text{-}from\text{-}vec\ d\ v) = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tensor\text{-}from\text{-}vec\text{-}simp[simp]:\ tensor\text{-}from\text{-}vec\ (dims\ A)\ (vec\ A) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $length\text{-}vec:\ length\ (vec\ A) = prod\text{-}list\ (dims\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tensor\text{-}eqI[intro]:$
assumes $dims\ A = dims\ B$ **and** $vec\ A = vec\ B$
shows $A=B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $order::'a\ tensor \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $order\ t == length\ (dims\ t)$

inductive $valid\text{-}index::nat\ list \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\triangleleft 50$) **where**
 $Nil:\ [] \triangleleft [] \mid$
 $Cons:\ is \triangleleft ds \Longrightarrow i < d \Longrightarrow i\#\is \triangleleft d\#\ds$

inductive-cases $valid\text{-}indexE[elim]:\ is \triangleleft ds$
inductive-cases $valid\text{-}index\text{-}dimsE[elim]:\ is \triangleleft dims\ A$

lemma $valid\text{-}index\text{-}length:\ is \triangleleft ds \Longrightarrow length\ is = length\ ds$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $valid\text{-}index\text{-}lt:\ is \triangleleft ds \Longrightarrow m < length\ ds \Longrightarrow is!m < ds!m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $valid\text{-}indexI:$
assumes $length\ is = length\ ds$ **and** $\bigwedge m. m < length\ ds \Longrightarrow is!m < ds!m$
shows $is \triangleleft ds$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $valid\text{-}index\text{-}append:$
assumes $is1\text{-}valid:is1 \triangleleft ds1$ **and** $is2\text{-}valid:is2 \triangleleft ds2$
shows $is1 @ is2 \triangleleft ds1 @ ds2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-index-list-all2-iff*: $is \triangleleft ds \iff list\text{-all2} (op <) is ds$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *fixed-length-sublist*:: $'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list$ **where**
fixed-length-sublist $xs\ l\ i = (take\ l\ (drop\ (l*i)\ xs))$

fun *lookup-base*:: $nat\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
lookup-base-Nil: $lookup\text{-base}\ []\ v\ [] = hd\ v\ |$
lookup-base-Cons: $lookup\text{-base}\ (d\ \# ds)\ v\ (i\ \# is) =$
lookup-base $ds\ (fixed\text{-length}\text{-sublist}\ v\ (prod\text{-list}\ ds)\ i)\ is$

definition *lookup*:: $'a\ tensor \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
lookup $A = lookup\text{-base}\ (dims\ A)\ (vec\ A)$

fun *tensor-vec-from-lookup*:: $nat\ list \Rightarrow (nat\ list \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
tensor-vec-from-lookup-Nil: $tensor\text{-vec}\text{-from}\text{-lookup}\ []\ e = [e\ []]\ |$
tensor-vec-from-lookup-Cons: $tensor\text{-vec}\text{-from}\text{-lookup}\ (d\ \# ds)\ e = concat\ (map$
 $(\lambda i. tensor\text{-vec}\text{-from}\text{-lookup}\ ds\ (\lambda is. e\ (i\ \# is)))\ [0..<d])$

definition *tensor-from-lookup*:: $nat\ list \Rightarrow (nat\ list \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a\ tensor$ **where**
tensor-from-lookup $ds\ e = tensor\text{-from}\text{-vec}\ ds\ (tensor\text{-vec}\text{-from}\text{-lookup}\ ds\ e)$

lemma *concat-parts-leg*:

assumes $a * d \leq length\ v$

shows $concat\ (map\ (fixed\text{-length}\text{-sublist}\ v\ d)\ [0..<a]) = take\ (a*d)\ v$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *concat-parts-eq*:

assumes $a * d = length\ v$

shows $concat\ (map\ (fixed\text{-length}\text{-sublist}\ v\ d)\ [0..<a]) = v$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tensor-lookup-base*:

assumes $length\ v = prod\text{-list}\ ds$

and $\bigwedge is. is \triangleleft ds \implies lookup\text{-base}\ ds\ v\ is = e\ is$

shows $tensor\text{-vec}\text{-from}\text{-lookup}\ ds\ e = v$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *tensor-lookup*:

assumes $\bigwedge is. is \triangleleft dims\ A \implies lookup\ A\ is = e\ is$

shows $tensor\text{-from}\text{-lookup}\ (dims\ A)\ e = A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *concat-equal-length*:

assumes $\bigwedge xs. xs \in set\ xss \implies length\ xs = l$

shows $length\ (concat\ xss) = length\ xss * l$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *concat-equal-length-map*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i < a \implies \text{length } (f\ i) = d$

shows $\text{length } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda i. f\ i) [0..<a])) = a*d$

<proof>

lemma *concat-parts*:

assumes $\bigwedge xs. xs \in \text{set } xss \implies \text{length } xs = d$ **and** $i < \text{length } xss$

shows *fixed-length-sublist* ($\text{concat } xss$) $d\ i = xss\ !\ i$

<proof>

lemma *concat-parts'*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i < a \implies \text{length } (f\ i) = d$

and $i < a$

shows *fixed-length-sublist* ($\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda i. f\ i) [0..<a]))\ d\ i = f\ i$

<proof>

lemma *length-tensor-vec-from-lookup*:

$\text{length } (\text{tensor-vec-from-lookup } ds\ e) = \text{prod-list } ds$

<proof>

lemma *lookup-tensor-vec*:

assumes $is \triangleleft ds$

shows *lookup-base* ds ($\text{tensor-vec-from-lookup } ds\ e$) $is = e\ is$

<proof>

lemma *lookup-tensor-from-lookup*:

assumes $is \triangleleft ds$

shows *lookup* ($\text{tensor-from-lookup } ds\ e$) $is = e\ is$

<proof>

lemma *dims-tensor-from-lookup*: $\text{dims } (\text{tensor-from-lookup } ds\ e) = ds$

<proof>

lemma *tensor-lookup-cong*:

assumes $\text{tensor-from-lookup } ds\ e_1 = \text{tensor-from-lookup } ds\ e_2$

and $is \triangleleft ds$

shows $e_1\ is = e_2\ is$ *<proof>*

lemma *tensor-from-lookup-eqI*:

assumes $\bigwedge is. is \triangleleft ds \implies e_1\ is = e_2\ is$

shows $\text{tensor-from-lookup } ds\ e_1 = \text{tensor-from-lookup } ds\ e_2$

<proof>

lemma *tensor-lookup-eqI*:

assumes $\text{dims } A = \text{dims } B$ **and** $\bigwedge is. is \triangleleft (\text{dims } A) \implies \text{lookup } A\ is = \text{lookup } B\ is$

shows $A = B$ *<proof>*

end

2 Subtensors

theory *Tensor-Subtensor*
imports *Tensor*
begin

definition *subtensor*::'a tensor \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a tensor **where**
subtensor A i = *tensor-from-vec* (tl (dims A)) (*fixed-length-sublist* (vec A) (*prod-list* (tl (dims A)))) i

definition *subtensor-combine*::nat list \Rightarrow 'a tensor list \Rightarrow 'a tensor **where**
subtensor-combine ds As = *tensor-from-vec* (length As # ds) (*concat* (map vec As))

lemma *length-fixed-length-sublist*[*simp*]:
assumes (Suc i)*l \leq length xs
shows length (*fixed-length-sublist* xs l i) = l
(*proof*)

lemma *vec-subtensor*[*simp*]:
assumes dims A \neq [] **and** i < hd (dims A)
shows vec (*subtensor* A i) = *fixed-length-sublist* (vec A) (*prod-list* (tl (dims A))) i
(*proof*)

lemma *dims-subtensor*[*simp*]:
assumes dims A \neq [] **and** i < hd (dims A)
shows dims (*subtensor* A i) = tl (dims A)
(*proof*)

lemma *subtensor-combine-subtensor*[*simp*]:
assumes dims A \neq []
shows *subtensor-combine* (tl (dims A)) (map (*subtensor* A) [0..*hd* (dims A)]) = A
(*proof*)

lemma
assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{set } As \implies \text{dims } A = ds$
shows *subtensor-combine-dims*[*simp*]: *dims* (*subtensor-combine* ds As) = length As # ds (**is ?D**)
and *subtensor-combine-vec*[*simp*]: *vec* (*subtensor-combine* ds As) = *concat* (map vec As) (**is ?V**)
(*proof*)

lemma *subtensor-subtensor-combine*:
assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{set } As \implies \text{dims } A = ds$ **and** i < length As
shows *subtensor* (*subtensor-combine* ds As) i = As ! i
(*proof*)

lemma *subtensor-induct*[*case-names order-0 order-step*]:

assumes *order-0*: $\bigwedge A. \text{dims } A = [] \implies P A$
and *order-step*: $\bigwedge A. \text{dims } A \neq [] \implies (\bigwedge i. i < \text{hd } (\text{dims } A) \implies P (\text{subtensor } A i)) \implies P A$
shows $P B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subtensor-combine-induct*[*case-names order-0 order-step*]:
assumes *order-0*: $\bigwedge A. \text{dims } A = [] \implies P A$
and *order-step*: $\bigwedge As \text{ ds}. (\bigwedge A. A \in \text{set } As \implies P A) \implies (\bigwedge A. A \in \text{set } As \implies \text{dims } A = \text{ds}) \implies P (\text{subtensor-combine } \text{ds } As)$
shows $P A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lookup-subtensor1*[*simp*]:
assumes $i \# is \triangleleft \text{dims } A$
shows $\text{lookup } (\text{subtensor } A i) is = \text{lookup } A (i \# is)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lookup-subtensor*:
assumes $is \triangleleft \text{dims } A$
shows $\text{lookup } A is = \text{hd } (\text{vec } (\text{fold } (\lambda i A. \text{subtensor } A i) is A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subtensor-eqI*:
assumes $\text{dims } A \neq []$
and *dims-eq*: $\text{dims } A = \text{dims } B$
and $\bigwedge i. i < \text{hd } (\text{dims } A) \implies \text{subtensor } A i = \text{subtensor } B i$
shows $A = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

3 Tensor Addition

theory *Tensor-Plus*
imports *Tensor HOL.Option Tensor-Subtensor*
begin

definition *vec-plus* $a \ b = \text{map } (\lambda(x,y). \text{plus } x \ y) (\text{zip } a \ b)$

definition *plus-base*: $'a::\text{semigroup-add tensor} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{tensor} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{tensor}$
where *plus-base* $A \ B = (\text{tensor-from-vec } (\text{dims } A) (\text{vec-plus } (\text{vec } A) (\text{vec } B)))$

instantiation *tensor*: $(\text{semigroup-add}) \ \text{plus}$
begin

definition *plus-def*: $A + B = (\text{if } (\text{dims } A = \text{dims } B) \text{ then } \text{plus-base } A \ B$

else undefined)

instance $\langle proof \rangle$
end

lemma *plus-dim1*[simp]: $dims\ A = dims\ B \implies dims\ (A + B) = dims\ A$ $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *plus-dim2*[simp]: $dims\ A = dims\ B \implies dims\ (A + B) = dims\ B$ $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *plus-base*: $dims\ A = dims\ B \implies A + B = plus-base\ A\ B$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fixed-length-sublist-plus*:
assumes $length\ xs1 = c * l$ $length\ xs2 = c * l$ $i < c$
shows $fixed-length-sublist\ (vec-plus\ xs1\ xs2)\ l\ i$
 $= vec-plus\ (fixed-length-sublist\ xs1\ l\ i)\ (fixed-length-sublist\ xs2\ l\ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-plus*[simp]:
assumes $dims\ A = dims\ B$
shows $vec\ (A+B) = vec-plus\ (vec\ A)\ (vec\ B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subtensor-plus*:
fixes $A::'a::semigroup-add\ tensor$ **and** $B::'a::semigroup-add\ tensor$
assumes $i < hd\ (dims\ A)$
and $dims\ A = dims\ B$
and $dims\ A \neq []$
shows $subtensor\ (A + B)\ i = subtensor\ A\ i + subtensor\ B\ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lookup-plus*[simp]:
assumes $dims\ A = dims\ B$
and $is \triangleleft dims\ A$
shows $lookup\ (A + B)\ is = lookup\ A\ is + lookup\ B\ is$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *plus-assoc*:
assumes $dimsA: dims\ A = ds$ **and** $dimsB: dims\ B = ds$ **and** $dimsC: dims\ C = ds$
shows $(A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tensor-comm*[simp]:
fixes $A::'a::ab-semigroup-add\ tensor$
shows $A + B = B + A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $vec0\ n = replicate\ n\ 0$

definition $tensor0::nat\ list \Rightarrow 'a::zero\ tensor$ **where**
 $tensor0\ d = tensor-from-vec\ d\ (vec0\ (prod-list\ d))$

lemma *dims-tensor0*[simp]: $dims\ (tensor0\ d) = d$

and *vec-tensor0[simp]*: $vec (tensor0 d) = vec0 (prod-list d)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lookup-is-in-vec*: $is \triangleleft (dims A) \implies lookup A is \in set (vec A)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lookup-tensor0*:
assumes $is \triangleleft ds$
shows $lookup (tensor0 ds) is = 0$
⟨proof⟩

lemma
fixes $A::'a::monoid-add\ tensor$
shows *tensor-add-0-right[simp]*: $A + tensor0 (dims A) = A$
⟨proof⟩

lemma
fixes $A::'a::monoid-add\ tensor$
shows *tensor-add-0-left[simp]*: $tensor0 (dims A) + A = A$
⟨proof⟩

definition *listsum*:: $nat\ list \Rightarrow 'a::monoid-add\ tensor\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ tensor$ **where**
 $listsum\ ds\ As = foldr (op +) As (tensor0 ds)$

definition *listsum'*:: $'a::monoid-add\ tensor\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ tensor$ **where**
 $listsum'\ As = listsum (dims (hd As)) As$

lemma *listsum-Nil*: $listsum ds [] = tensor0 ds$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *listsum-one*: $listsum (dims A) [A] = A$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *listsum-Cons*: $listsum ds (A \# As) = A + listsum ds As$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *listsum-dims*:
assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in set\ As \implies dims A = ds$
shows $dims (listsum ds As) = ds$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *subtensor0*:
assumes $ds \neq []$ **and** $i < hd\ ds$
shows $subtensor (tensor0 ds) i = tensor0 (tl ds)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *subtensor-listsum*:
assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in set\ As \implies dims A = ds$
and $ds \neq []$ **and** $i < hd\ ds$
shows $subtensor (listsum ds As) i = listsum (tl ds) (map (\lambda A. subtensor A i) As)$

<proof>

lemma *listsum0*:

assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{set } As \implies A = \text{tensor0 } ds$

shows $\text{listsum } ds \ As = \text{tensor0 } ds$

<proof>

lemma *listsum-all-0-but-one*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \neq j \implies i < \text{length } As \implies As!i = \text{tensor0 } ds$

and $\text{dims } (As!j) = ds$

and $j < \text{length } As$

shows $\text{listsum } ds \ As = As!j$

<proof>

lemma *lookup-listsum*:

assumes $is \triangleleft ds$

and $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{set } As \implies \text{dims } A = ds$

shows $\text{lookup } (\text{listsum } ds \ As) \ is = (\sum A \leftarrow As. \text{lookup } A \ is)$

<proof>

end

4 Tensor Scalar Multiplication

theory *Tensor-Scalar-Mult*

imports *Tensor-Plus Tensor-Subtensor*

begin

definition *vec-smult*:: $'a::\text{ring} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ **where**

$\text{vec-smult } \alpha \ \beta = \text{map } (\text{op } * \ \alpha) \ \beta$

lemma *vec-smult0*: $\text{vec-smult } 0 \ as = \text{vec0 } (\text{length } as)$

<proof>

lemma *vec-smult-distr-right*:

shows $\text{vec-smult } (\alpha + \beta) \ as = \text{vec-plus } (\text{vec-smult } \alpha \ as) \ (\text{vec-smult } \beta \ as)$

<proof>

lemma *vec-smult-Cons*:

shows $\text{vec-smult } \alpha \ (a \ \# \ as) = (\alpha * a) \ \# \ \text{vec-smult } \alpha \ as$ *<proof>*

lemma *vec-plus-Cons*:

shows $\text{vec-plus } (a \ \# \ as) \ (b \ \# \ bs) = (a+b) \ \# \ \text{vec-plus } as \ bs$ *<proof>*

lemma *vec-smult-distr-left*:

assumes $\text{length } as = \text{length } bs$

shows $\text{vec-smult } \alpha \ (\text{vec-plus } as \ bs) = \text{vec-plus } (\text{vec-smult } \alpha \ as) \ (\text{vec-smult } \alpha \ bs)$

<proof>

lemma *length-vec-smult*: $\text{length } (\text{vec-smult } \alpha \ v) = \text{length } v$ *<proof>*

definition *smult*::'a::ring \Rightarrow 'a tensor \Rightarrow 'a tensor (**infixl** · 70) **where**
smult $\alpha \ A = (\text{tensor-from-vec } (\text{dims } A) (\text{vec-smult } \alpha \ (\text{vec } A)))$

lemma *tensor-smult0*: **fixes** *A*::'a::ring tensor

shows $0 \cdot A = \text{tensor0 } (\text{dims } A)$

<proof>

lemma *dims-smult[simp]*: $\text{dims } (\alpha \cdot A) = \text{dims } A$

and *vec-smult[simp]*: $\text{vec } (\alpha \cdot A) = \text{map } (\text{op } * \ \alpha) (\text{vec } A)$

<proof>

lemma *tensor-smult-distr-right*: $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot A = \alpha \cdot A + \beta \cdot A$

<proof>

lemma *tensor-smult-distr-left*: $\text{dims } A = \text{dims } B \Longrightarrow \alpha \cdot (A + B) = \alpha \cdot A + \alpha \cdot B$

<proof>

lemma *smult-fixed-length-sublist*:

assumes $\text{length } xs = l * c \ i < c$

shows *fixed-length-sublist* $(\text{vec-smult } \alpha \ xs) \ l \ i = \text{vec-smult } \alpha \ (\text{fixed-length-sublist } xs \ l \ i)$

<proof>

lemma *smult-subtensor*:

assumes $\text{dims } A \neq [] \ i < \text{hd } (\text{dims } A)$

shows $\alpha \cdot \text{subtensor } A \ i = \text{subtensor } (\alpha \cdot A) \ i$

<proof>

lemma *lookup-smult*:

assumes $is \triangleleft \text{dims } A$

shows $\text{lookup } (\alpha \cdot A) \ is = \alpha * \text{lookup } A \ is$

<proof>

lemma *tensor-smult-assoc*:

fixes *A*::'a::ring tensor

shows $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot A) = (\alpha * \beta) \cdot A$

<proof>

end

5 Tensor Product

theory *Tensor-Product*

imports *Tensor-Scalar-Mult Tensor-Subtensor*
begin

instantiation *tensor:: (ring) semigroup-mult*
begin

definition *tensor-prod-def*: $A * B = \text{tensor-from-vec } (\text{dims } A @ \text{dims } B) (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda a. \text{vec-smult } a (\text{vec } B)) (\text{vec } A)))$

abbreviation *tensor-prod-otimes* :: $'a \text{ tensor} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tensor} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tensor}$ (**infixl** \otimes 70)
where $A \otimes B \equiv A * B$

lemma *vec-tensor-prod[simp]*: $\text{vec } (A \otimes B) = \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda a. \text{vec-smult } a (\text{vec } B)) (\text{vec } A))$ (**is** ?V)

and *dims-tensor-prod[simp]*: $\text{dims } (A \otimes B) = \text{dims } A @ \text{dims } B$ (**is** ?D)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tensorprod-subtensor-base*:

shows $\text{concat } (\text{map } f (\text{concat } xss)) = \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda xs. \text{concat } (\text{map } f xs)) xss)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subtensor-combine-tensor-prod*:

assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{set } As \implies \text{dims } A = ds$

shows $\text{subtensor-combine } ds \ As \otimes B = \text{subtensor-combine } (ds @ \text{dims } B) (\text{map } (\lambda A. A \otimes B) \ As)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subtensor-tensor-prod*:

assumes $\text{dims } A \neq []$ **and** $i < \text{hd } (\text{dims } A)$

shows $\text{subtensor } (A \otimes B) \ i = \text{subtensor } A \ i \otimes B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lookup-tensor-prod[simp]*:

assumes *is1-valid*: $is1 \triangleleft \text{dims } A$ **and** *is2-valid*: $is2 \triangleleft \text{dims } B$

shows $\text{lookup } (A \otimes B) \ (is1 @ is2) = \text{lookup } A \ is1 * \text{lookup } B \ is2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *valid-index-split*:

assumes $is \triangleleft ds1 @ ds2$

obtains $is1 \ is2$ **where** $is1 @ is2 = is$ $is1 \triangleleft ds1$ $is2 \triangleleft ds2$
 ⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *tensor-prod-distr-left*:

assumes $\text{dims } A = \text{dims } B$
shows $(A + B) \otimes C = (A \otimes C) + (B \otimes C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor-prod-distr-right*:
assumes $\text{dims } A = \text{dims } B$
shows $C \otimes (A + B) = (C \otimes A) + (C \otimes B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation *tensor* :: (ring-1) monoid-mult
begin

definition *tensor-one-def*: $1 = \text{tensor-from-vec} [] [1]$

lemma *tensor-one-from-lookup*: $1 = \text{tensor-from-lookup} [] (\lambda-. 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma *order-tensor-one*: $\text{order } 1 = 0$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smult-prod-extract1*:
fixes $a::'a::\text{comm-ring-1}$
shows $a \cdot (A \otimes B) = (a \cdot A) \otimes B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smult-prod-extract2*:
fixes $a::'a::\text{comm-ring-1}$
shows $a \cdot (A \otimes B) = A \otimes (a \cdot B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *order-0-multiple-of-one*:
assumes $\text{order } A = 0$
obtains a **where** $A = a \cdot 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smult-1*:
fixes $A::'a::\text{ring-1}$ *tensor*
shows $A = 1 \cdot A$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor0-prod-right[simp]*: $A \otimes \text{tensor0 } ds = \text{tensor0 } (\text{dims } A @ ds)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor0-prod-left[simp]*: $\text{tensor0 } ds \otimes A = \text{tensor0 } (ds @ \text{dims } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subtensor-prod-with-vec*:

assumes $order\ A = 1\ i < hd\ (dims\ A)$
shows $subtensor\ (A \otimes B)\ i = lookup\ A\ [i] \cdot B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

6 Unit Vectors as Tensors

theory *Tensor-Unit-Vec*
imports *Tensor-Product*
begin

definition $unit-vec::nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a::ring-1\ tensor$
where $unit-vec\ n\ i = tensor-from-lookup\ [n]\ (\lambda x. if\ x=[i]\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$

lemma $dims-unit-vec: dims\ (unit-vec\ n\ i) = [n]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lookup-unit-vec:$
assumes $j < n$
shows $lookup\ (unit-vec\ n\ i)\ [j] = (if\ i=j\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subtensor-prod-with-unit-vec:$
fixes $A::'a::ring-1\ tensor$
assumes $j < n$
shows $subtensor\ (unit-vec\ n\ i \otimes A)\ j = (if\ i=j\ then\ A\ else\ (tensor0\ (dims\ A)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subtensor-decomposition:$
assumes $dims\ A \neq []$
shows $listsum\ (dims\ A)\ (map\ (\lambda i. unit-vec\ (hd\ (dims\ A))\ i \otimes subtensor\ A\ i)\ [0..<hd\ (dims\ A)]) = A$ (**is** $?LS = A$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7 Tensor CP-Rank

theory *Tensor-Rank*
imports *Tensor-Unit-Vec*
begin

inductive $cprank-max1::'a::ring-1\ tensor \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $order1: order\ A \leq 1 \Longrightarrow cprank-max1\ A$ |
 $higher-order: order\ A = 1 \Longrightarrow cprank-max1\ B \Longrightarrow cprank-max1\ (A \otimes B)$

lemma $cprank-max1-order0: cprank-max1\ B \Longrightarrow order\ A = 0 \Longrightarrow cprank-max1\ (A \otimes B)$

<proof>

lemma *cprank-max1-order-le1*: $order\ A \leq 0 \implies cprank-max1\ B \implies cprank-max1\ (A \otimes B)$
<proof>

lemma *cprank-max1-prod*: $cprank-max1\ A \implies cprank-max1\ B \implies cprank-max1\ (A \otimes B)$
<proof>

lemma *cprank-max1-prod-list*:
assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in set\ Bs \implies cprank-max1\ B$
shows $cprank-max1\ (prod-list\ Bs)$
<proof>

lemma *cprank-max1-prod-listE*:
fixes $A :: 'a :: comm-ring-1\ tensor$
assumes $cprank-max1\ A$
obtains $Bs\ a\ where\ \bigwedge B. B \in set\ Bs \implies order\ B = 1\ a \cdot prod-list\ Bs = A$
<proof>

inductive *cprank-max* :: $nat \Rightarrow 'a :: ring-1\ tensor \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
cprank-max0: $cprank-max\ 0\ (tensor0\ ds) |$
cprank-max-Suc: $dims\ A = dims\ B \implies cprank-max1\ A \implies cprank-max\ j\ B \implies cprank-max\ (Suc\ j)\ (A+B)$

lemma *cprank-max1*: $cprank-max1\ A \implies cprank-max\ 1\ A$
<proof>

lemma *cprank-max-plus*: $cprank-max\ i\ A \implies cprank-max\ j\ B \implies dims\ A = dims\ B \implies cprank-max\ (i+j)\ (A+B)$
<proof>

lemma *cprank-max-listsum*:
assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in set\ As \implies dims\ A = ds$
and $\bigwedge A. A \in set\ As \implies cprank-max\ n\ A$
shows $cprank-max\ (n * length\ As)\ (listsum\ ds\ As)$
<proof>

lemma *cprank-maxE*:
assumes $cprank-max\ n\ A$
obtains $BS\ where\ (\bigwedge B. B \in set\ BS \implies cprank-max1\ B)$ **and** $(\bigwedge B. B \in set\ BS \implies dims\ A = dims\ B)$ **and** $listsum\ (dims\ A)\ BS = A$ **and** $length\ BS = n$
<proof>

lemma *cprank-maxI*:
assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in set\ BS \implies cprank-max1\ B$
and $\bigwedge B. B \in set\ BS \implies dims\ B = ds$
shows $cprank-max\ (length\ BS)\ (listsum\ ds\ BS)$

<proof>

lemma *cprank-max-0E*: $cprank-max\ 0\ A \implies A = tensor0\ (dims\ A)$ *<proof>*

lemma *listsum-prod-distr-right*:

assumes $(\bigwedge C. C \in set\ CS \implies dims\ C = ds)$

shows $A \otimes listsum\ ds\ CS = listsum\ (dims\ A\ @\ ds)\ (map\ (\lambda C. A \otimes C)\ CS)$

<proof>

lemma *cprank-max-prod-order1*:

assumes $order\ A = 1$

and $cprank-max\ n\ B$

shows $cprank-max\ n\ (A \otimes B)$

<proof>

lemma *cprank-max-upper-bound*:

shows $cprank-max\ (prod-list\ (dims\ A))\ A$

<proof>

definition *cprank* :: $'a::ring-1\ tensor \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$cprank\ A = (LEAST\ n. cprank-max\ n\ A)$

lemma *cprank-upper-bound*: $cprank\ A \leq prod-list\ (dims\ A)$

<proof>

lemma *cprank-max-cprank*: $cprank-max\ (cprank\ A)\ A$

<proof>

end

8 Missing Lemmas of Vector_Space

theory *DL-Missing-Vector-Space*

imports *Jordan-Normal-Form.Missing-VectorSpace*

begin

find-theorems *vectorspace.basis*

lemma (**in** *vectorspace*) *dim1I*:

assumes $gen-set\ \{v\}$

assumes $v \neq \mathbf{0}_V\ v \in carrier\ V$

shows $dim = 1$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *vectorspace*) *dim0I*:

assumes $gen-set\ \{\mathbf{0}_V\}$

shows $dim = 0$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *vectorspace*) *dim-le1I*:

```

assumes gen-set {v}
assumes v ∈ carrier V
shows dim ≤ 1
⟨proof⟩

end

```

9 Missing Lemmas of VS_Connect

```

theory DL-Missing-VS-Connect
imports Jordan-Normal-Form.VS-Connect DL-Missing-Vector-Space
begin

```

```

lemma (in vec-space) fin-dim-span:
assumes finite A A ⊆ carrier V
shows vectorspace.fin-dim class-ring (vs (span A))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in vec-space) fin-dim-span-cols:
assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n nc
shows vectorspace.fin-dim class-ring (vs (span (set (cols A))))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

10 Missing Lemmas of List

```

theory DL-Missing-List
imports Main
begin

```

```

lemma nth-map-zip:
assumes i < length xs
assumes i < length ys
shows map f (zip xs ys) ! i = f (xs ! i, ys ! i)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nth-map-zip2:
assumes i < length (map f (zip xs ys))
shows map f (zip xs ys) ! i = f (xs ! i, ys ! i)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun find-first where
find-first a [] = undefined |
find-first a (x # xs) = (if x = a then 0 else Suc (find-first a xs))

```

lemma *find-first-le*:
assumes $a \in \text{set } xs$
shows $\text{find-first } a \ xs < \text{length } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-find-first*:
assumes $a \in \text{set } xs$
shows $xs ! (\text{find-first } a \ xs) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-first-unique*:
assumes *distinct* xs
and $i < \text{length } xs$
shows $\text{find-first } (xs ! i) \ xs = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

11 Matrix Rank

theory *DL-Rank*
imports *DL-Missing-VS-Connect DL-Missing-List*
Jordan-Normal-Form.Determinant
Jordan-Normal-Form.Missing-VectorSpace
Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix
begin

lemma (**in** *vectorspace*) *full-dim-span*:
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } V$
and *finite* S
and $\text{vectorspace.dim } K \ (\text{span-vs } S) = \text{card } S$
shows *lin-indpt* S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *vectorspace*) *dim-span*:
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } V$
and *finite* S
and *maximal* $U \ (\lambda T. T \subseteq S \wedge \text{lin-indpt } T)$
shows $\text{vectorspace.dim } K \ (\text{span-vs } S) = \text{card } U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (**in** *vec-space*) *rank* $:: 'a \ \text{mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
where $\text{rank } A = \text{vectorspace.dim class-ring } (\text{span-vs } (\text{set } (\text{cols } A)))$

lemma (**in** *vec-space*) *rank-card-indpt*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ nc$
assumes *maximal* $S \ (\lambda T. T \subseteq \text{set } (\text{cols } A) \wedge \text{lin-indpt } T)$
shows $\text{rank } A = \text{card } S$

<proof>

lemma *maximal-exists-superset:*

assumes *finite S*

assumes *maxc: $\bigwedge A. P A \implies A \subseteq S$ and $P B$*

shows $\exists A. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{maximal } A \wedge P A$

<proof>

lemma (*in vec-space*) *rank-ge-card-indpt:*

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$

assumes $U \subseteq \text{set } (\text{cols } A)$

assumes *lin-indpt U*

shows $\text{rank } A \geq \text{card } U$

<proof>

lemma (*in vec-space*) *lin-indpt-full-rank:*

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$

assumes *distinct (cols A)*

assumes *lin-indpt (set (cols A))*

shows $\text{rank } A = nc$

<proof>

lemma (*in vec-space*) *rank-le-nc:*

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$

shows $\text{rank } A \leq nc$

<proof>

lemma (*in vec-space*) *full-rank-lin-indpt:*

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$

assumes $\text{rank } A = nc$

assumes *distinct (cols A)*

shows *lin-indpt (set (cols A))*

<proof>

lemma (*in vec-space*) *mat-mult-eq-lincomb:*

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$

assumes *distinct (cols A)*

shows $A *_{\mathbf{v}} (\text{vec } nc \ (\lambda i. a \ (\text{col } A \ i))) = \text{lincomb } a \ (\text{set } (\text{cols } A))$

<proof>

lemma (*in vec-space*) *lincomb-eq-mat-mult:*

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$

assumes $v \in \text{carrier-vec } nc$

assumes *distinct (cols A)*

shows $\text{lincomb } (\lambda a. v \ \$ \ \text{find-first } a \ (\text{cols } A)) \ (\text{set } (\text{cols } A)) = (A *_{\mathbf{v}} v)$

<proof>

lemma (*in vec-space*) *lin-depI:*

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$
assumes $v \in \text{carrier-vec } nc \text{ } v \neq 0_v \text{ } nc \text{ } A *_{v} v = 0_v \text{ } n$
assumes $\text{distinct } (\text{cols } A)$
shows $\text{lin-dep } (\text{set } (\text{cols } A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in vec-space*) *lin-depE*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$
assumes $\text{lin-dep } (\text{set } (\text{cols } A))$
assumes $\text{distinct } (\text{cols } A)$
obtains v **where** $v \in \text{carrier-vec } nc \text{ } v \neq 0_v \text{ } nc \text{ } A *_{v} v = 0_v \text{ } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in vec-space*) *non-distinct-low-rank*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } n$
and $\neg \text{distinct } (\text{cols } A)$
shows $\text{rank } A < n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The theorem "det non-zero \longleftrightarrow full rank" is practically proven in `det_0_iff_vec_prod_zero_field`, but without an actual definition of the rank.

lemma (*in vec-space*) *det-zero-low-rank*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } n$
and $\text{det } A = 0$
shows $\text{rank } A < n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *det-identical-cols*:
assumes $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } n$
and $ij: i \neq j$
and $i: i < n$ **and** $j: j < n$
and $r: \text{col } A \ i = \text{col } A \ j$
shows $\text{det } A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in vec-space*) *low-rank-det-zero*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } n$
and $\text{det } A \neq 0$
shows $\text{rank } A = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in vec-space*) *det-rank-iff*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } n$
shows $\text{det } A \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{rank } A = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12 Subadditivity of rank

Subadditivity is the property of rank, that $\text{rank}(A + B) \leq \text{rank} A + \text{rank} B$.

lemma (in *module*) *lincomb-add*:

assumes *finite* ($b1 \cup b2$)

assumes $b1 \cup b2 \subseteq \text{carrier } M$

assumes $x1 = \text{lincomb } a1 \ b1 \ a1 \in (b1 \rightarrow \text{carrier } R)$

assumes $x2 = \text{lincomb } a2 \ b2 \ a2 \in (b2 \rightarrow \text{carrier } R)$

assumes $x = x1 \oplus_M x2$

shows $\text{lincomb } (\lambda v. (\lambda v. \text{if } v \in b1 \text{ then } a1 \ v \ \text{else } \mathbf{0})) \ v \oplus (\lambda v. \text{if } v \in b2 \text{ then } a2 \ v \ \text{else } \mathbf{0}) \ v) \ (b1 \cup b2) = x$

<proof>

lemma (in *vectorspace*) *dim-subadditive*:

assumes *subspace* $K \ W1 \ V$

and *vectorspace.fin-dim* $K \ (vs \ W1)$

assumes *subspace* $K \ W2 \ V$

and *vectorspace.fin-dim* $K \ (vs \ W2)$

shows $\text{vectorspace.dim } K \ (vs \ (\text{subspace-sum } W1 \ W2)) \leq \text{vectorspace.dim } K \ (vs \ W1) + \text{vectorspace.dim } K \ (vs \ W2)$

<proof>

lemma (in *module*) *nested-submodules*:

assumes *submodule* $R \ W \ M$

assumes *submodule* $R \ X \ M$

assumes $X \subseteq W$

shows *submodule* $R \ X \ (md \ W)$

<proof>

lemma (in *vectorspace*) *nested-subspaces*:

assumes *subspace* $K \ W \ V$

assumes *subspace* $K \ X \ V$

assumes $X \subseteq W$

shows *subspace* $K \ X \ (vs \ W)$

<proof>

lemma (in *vectorspace*) *subspace-dim*:

assumes *subspace* $K \ X \ V$ *fin-dim* *vectorspace.fin-dim* $K \ (vs \ X)$

shows $\text{vectorspace.dim } K \ (vs \ X) \leq \text{dim}$

<proof>

lemma (in *vectorspace*) *fin-dim-subspace-sum*:

assumes *subspace* $K \ W1 \ V$

assumes *subspace* $K \ W2 \ V$

assumes *vectorspace.fin-dim* $K \ (vs \ W1)$ *vectorspace.fin-dim* $K \ (vs \ W2)$

shows $\text{vectorspace.fin-dim } K \ (vs \ (\text{subspace-sum } W1 \ W2))$

<proof>

lemma (in *vec-space*) *rank-subadditive*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$
assumes $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$
shows $\text{rank } (A + B) \leq \text{rank } A + \text{rank } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *vec-space*) *span-zero*: $\text{span } \{\text{zero } V\} = \{\text{zero } V\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *vec-space*) *dim-zero-vs*: $\text{vectorspace.dim class-ring } (\text{span-vs } \{\}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *vec-space*) *rank-0I*: $\text{rank } (0_m \text{ } n \text{ } nc) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *vec-space*) *rank-le-1-product-entries*:
fixes $f g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$
assumes $\bigwedge r \ c. r < \text{dim-row } A \implies c < \text{dim-col } A \implies A \$\$ (r,c) = f \ r * g \ c$
shows $\text{rank } A \leq 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

13 Missing Lemmas of Sublist

theory *DL-Missing-Sublist*
imports *Main*
begin

lemma *nths-only-one*:
assumes $\{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in I\} = \{j\}$
shows $\text{nths } xs \ I = [xs!j]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nths-replicate*:
 $\text{nths } (\text{replicate } n \ x) \ A = (\text{replicate } (\text{card } \{i. i < n \wedge i \in A\}) \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-nths-even*:
assumes $\text{even } (\text{length } xs)$
shows $\text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ (\text{Collect } \text{even})) = \text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ (\text{Collect } \text{odd}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nths-map*:
 $\text{nths } (\text{map } f \ xs) \ A = \text{map } f \ (\text{nths } xs \ A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14 Pick

fun *pick* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
pick *S* 0 = (LEAST *a*. *a* \in *S*) |
pick *S* (*Suc* *n*) = (LEAST *a*. *a* \in *S* \wedge *a* $>$ *pick* *S* *n*)

lemma *pick-in-set-inf*:
assumes *infinite* *S*
shows *pick* *S* *n* \in *S*
(*proof*)

lemma *pick-mono-inf*:
assumes *infinite* *S*
shows *m* $<$ *n* \implies *pick* *S* *m* $<$ *pick* *S* *n*
(*proof*)

lemma *pick-eq-iff-inf*:
assumes *infinite* *S*
shows *x* = *y* \iff *pick* *S* *x* = *pick* *S* *y*
(*proof*)

lemma *card-le-pick-inf*:
assumes *infinite* *S*
and *pick* *S* *n* \geq *i*
shows *card* {*a* \in *S*. *a* $<$ *i*} \leq *n*
(*proof*)

lemma *card-pick-inf*:
assumes *infinite* *S*
shows *card* {*a* \in *S*. *a* $<$ *pick* *S* *n*} = *n*
(*proof*)

lemma
assumes *n* $<$ *card* *S*
shows
 pick-in-set-le: *pick* *S* *n* \in *S* **and**
 card-pick-le: *card* {*a* \in *S*. *a* $<$ *pick* *S* *n*} = *n* **and**
 pick-mono-le: *m* $<$ *n* \implies *pick* *S* *m* $<$ *pick* *S* *n*
(*proof*)

lemma *card-le-pick-le*:
assumes *n* $<$ *card* *S*
and *pick* *S* *n* \geq *i*
shows *card* {*a* \in *S*. *a* $<$ *i*} \leq *n*
(*proof*)

lemma
assumes *n* $<$ *card* *S* \vee *infinite* *S*
shows

pick-in-set: $\text{pick } S \ n \in S$ **and**
card-le-pick: $i \leq \text{pick } S \ n \implies \text{card } \{a \in S. a < i\} \leq n$ **and**
card-pick: $\text{card } \{a \in S. a < \text{pick } S \ n\} = n$ **and**
pick-mono: $m < n \implies \text{pick } S \ m < \text{pick } S \ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pick-card*:
 $\text{pick } I \ (\text{card } \{a \in I. a < i\}) = (\text{LEAST } a. a \in I \wedge a \geq i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pick-card-in-set*: $i \in I \implies \text{pick } I \ (\text{card } \{a \in I. a < i\}) = i$
 ⟨proof⟩

15 Sublist

lemma *nth-nths-card*:
assumes $j < \text{length } xs$
and $j \in J$
shows $\text{nths } xs \ J \ ! \ \text{card } \{j0. j0 < j \wedge j0 \in J\} = xs!j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pick-reduce-set*:
assumes $i < \text{card } \{a. a < m \wedge a \in I\}$
shows $\text{pick } I \ i = \text{pick } \{a. a < m \wedge a \in I\} \ i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nth-nths*:
assumes $i < \text{card } \{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in I\}$
shows $\text{nths } xs \ I \ ! \ i = xs \ ! \ \text{pick } I \ i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pick-UNIV*: $\text{pick } \text{UNIV} \ j = j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pick-le*:
assumes $n < \text{card } \{a. a < i \wedge a \in S\}$
shows $\text{pick } S \ n < i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prod-list-complementary-nthss*:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{comm-monoid-mult}$
shows $\text{prod-list } (\text{map } f \ xs) = \text{prod-list } (\text{map } f \ (\text{nths } xs \ A)) * \text{prod-list } (\text{map } f \ (\text{nths } xs \ (-A)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nths-zip*: $\text{nths } (\text{zip } xs \ ys) \ I = \text{zip } (\text{nths } xs \ I) \ (\text{nths } ys \ I)$
 ⟨proof⟩

16 weave

definition $weave :: nat \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list$ **where**

$weave \ A \ xs \ ys = map \ (\lambda i. \ if \ i \in A \ then \ xs!(card \ \{a \in A. \ a < i\}) \ else \ ys!(card \ \{a \in -A. \ a < i\})) \ [0..<length \ xs + length \ ys]$

lemma *length-weave*:

shows $length \ (weave \ A \ xs \ ys) = length \ xs + length \ ys$

<proof>

lemma *nth-weave*:

assumes $i < length \ (weave \ A \ xs \ ys)$

shows $weave \ A \ xs \ ys \ ! \ i = (if \ i \in A \ then \ xs!(card \ \{a \in A. \ a < i\}) \ else \ ys!(card \ \{a \in -A. \ a < i\}))$

<proof>

lemma *weave-append1*:

assumes $length \ xs + length \ ys \in A$

assumes $length \ xs = card \ \{a \in A. \ a < length \ xs + length \ ys\}$

shows $weave \ A \ (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ ys = weave \ A \ xs \ ys \ @ \ [x]$

<proof>

lemma *weave-append2*:

assumes $length \ xs + length \ ys \notin A$

assumes $length \ ys = card \ \{a \in -A. \ a < length \ xs + length \ ys\}$

shows $weave \ A \ xs \ (ys \ @ \ [y]) = weave \ A \ xs \ ys \ @ \ [y]$

<proof>

lemma *nths-nth*:

assumes $n \in A \ n < length \ xs$

shows $nths \ xs \ A \ ! \ (card \ \{i. \ i < n \ \wedge \ i \in A\}) = xs \ ! \ n$

<proof>

lemma *list-all2-nths*:

assumes $list-all2 \ P \ (nths \ xs \ A) \ (nths \ ys \ A)$

and $list-all2 \ P \ (nths \ xs \ (-A)) \ (nths \ ys \ (-A))$

shows $list-all2 \ P \ xs \ ys$

<proof>

lemma *nths-weave*:

assumes $length \ xs = card \ \{a \in A. \ a < length \ xs + length \ ys\}$

assumes $length \ ys = card \ \{a \in -A. \ a < length \ xs + length \ ys\}$

shows $nths \ (weave \ A \ xs \ ys) \ A = xs \ \wedge \ nths \ (weave \ A \ xs \ ys) \ (-A) = ys$

<proof>

lemma *set-weave*:

assumes $length \ xs = card \ \{a \in A. \ a < length \ xs + length \ ys\}$

assumes $length \ ys = card \ \{a \in -A. \ a < length \ xs + length \ ys\}$

shows $set \ (weave \ A \ xs \ ys) = set \ xs \ \cup \ set \ ys$

<proof>

lemma *weave-complementary-nthss*[simp]:
weave A (nth xs A) (nth xs $(-A)$) = xs
<proof>

lemma *length-nths'*:
length (nth xs I) = card { $i \in I. i < \text{length } xs$ }
<proof>

end

17 Tensor Matricization

theory *Tensor-Matricization*
imports *Tensor-Plus*
Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix DL-Missing-Sublist
begin

fun *digit-decode* :: $\text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
digit-decode [] [] = 0 |
digit-decode ($d \# ds$) ($i \# is$) = $i + d * \text{digit-decode } ds \ is$

fun *digit-encode* :: $\text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$ **where**
digit-encode [] a = [] |
digit-encode ($d \# ds$) a = $a \bmod d \# \text{digit-encode } ds \ (a \text{ div } d)$

lemma *digit-encode-decode*[simp]:
assumes $is \triangleleft ds$
shows *digit-encode* ds (*digit-decode* ds is) = is
<proof>

lemma *digit-decode-encode*[simp]:
shows *digit-decode* ds (*digit-encode* ds a) = $a \bmod (\text{prod-list } ds)$
<proof>

lemma *digit-decode-encode-lt*[simp]:
assumes $a < \text{prod-list } ds$
shows *digit-decode* ds (*digit-encode* ds a) = a
<proof>

lemma *digit-decode-lt*:
assumes $is \triangleleft ds$
shows *digit-decode* ds $is < \text{prod-list } ds$
<proof>

lemma *digit-encode-valid-index:*

assumes $a < \text{prod-list } ds$

shows $\text{digit-encode } ds \ a \triangleleft ds$

<proof>

lemma *length-digit-encode:*

shows $\text{length } (\text{digit-encode } ds \ a) = \text{length } ds$

<proof>

lemma *digit-encode-0:*

$\text{prod-list } ds \ \text{dvd } a \implies \text{digit-encode } ds \ a = \text{replicate } (\text{length } ds) \ 0$

<proof>

lemma *valid-index-weave:*

assumes $is1 \triangleleft (\text{nths } ds \ A)$

and $is2 \triangleleft (\text{nths } ds \ (-A))$

shows $\text{weave } A \ is1 \ is2 \triangleleft ds$

and $\text{nths } (\text{weave } A \ is1 \ is2) \ A = is1$

and $\text{nths } (\text{weave } A \ is1 \ is2) \ (-A) = is2$

<proof>

definition *matricize* :: $\text{nat set} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{tensor} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{mat}$ **where**

matricize $r\text{modes } T = \text{mat}$

$(\text{prod-list } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } T) \ r\text{modes}))$

$(\text{prod-list } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } T) \ (-r\text{modes})))$

$(\lambda(r, c). \ \text{Tensor.lookup } T \ (\text{weave } r\text{modes}$

$(\text{digit-encode } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } T) \ r\text{modes}) \ r)$

$(\text{digit-encode } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } T) \ (-r\text{modes})) \ c)$

$)$

definition *dematricize*:: $\text{nat set} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{tensor}$ **where**

dematricize $r\text{modes } A \ ds = \text{tensor-from-lookup } ds$

$(\lambda is. \ A \ \$\$ \ (\text{digit-decode } (\text{nths } ds \ r\text{modes}) \ (\text{nths } is \ r\text{modes}),$

$\text{digit-decode } (\text{nths } ds \ (-r\text{modes})) \ (\text{nths } is \ (-r\text{modes})))$

$)$

lemma *dims-matricize:*

$\text{dim-row } (\text{matricize } r\text{modes } T) = \text{prod-list } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } T) \ r\text{modes})$

$\text{dim-col } (\text{matricize } r\text{modes } T) = \text{prod-list } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } T) \ (-r\text{modes}))$

<proof>

lemma *dims-dematricize:* $\text{Tensor.dims } (\text{dematricize } r\text{modes } A \ ds) = ds$

<proof>

lemma *valid-index-nths:*

assumes $is \triangleleft ds$

shows $\text{nths } is \ A \triangleleft \text{nths } ds \ A$

<proof>

lemma *dematricize-matricize:*

shows *dematricize rmodes (matricize rmodes T) (Tensor.dims T) = T*

<proof>

lemma *matricize-dematricize:*

assumes *dim-row A = prod-list (nth ds rmodes)*

and *dim-col A = prod-list (nth ds (-rmodes))*

shows *matricize rmodes (dematricize rmodes A ds) = A*

<proof>

lemma *matricize-add:*

assumes *dims A = dims B*

shows *matricize I A + matricize I B = matricize I (A+B)*

<proof>

lemma *matricize-0:*

shows *matricize I (tensor0 ds) = 0_m (dim-row (matricize I (tensor0 ds))) (dim-col (matricize I (tensor0 ds)))*

<proof>

end

18 Submatrices

theory *DL-Submatrix*

imports *Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix DL-Missing-Sublist*

begin

19 Submatrix

definition *submatrix* :: 'a mat \Rightarrow nat set \Rightarrow nat set \Rightarrow 'a mat **where**

submatrix A I J = mat (card {i. i < dim-row A \wedge i \in I}) (card {j. j < dim-col A \wedge j \in J}) (λ (i,j). A \$\$ (pick I i, pick J j))

lemma *dim-submatrix:* *dim-row (submatrix A I J) = card {i. i < dim-row A \wedge i \in I}*

dim-col (submatrix A I J) = card {j. j < dim-col A \wedge j \in J}

<proof>

lemma *submatrix-index:*

assumes *i < card {i. i < dim-row A \wedge i \in I}*

assumes *j < card {j. j < dim-col A \wedge j \in J}*

shows *submatrix A I J \$\$ (i,j) = A \$\$ (pick I i, pick J j)*

<proof>

lemma *set-le-in:* *{a. a < n \wedge a \in I} = {a \in I. a < n} *<proof>**

lemma *submatrix-index-card*:
assumes $i < \dim\text{-row } A$ $j < \dim\text{-col } A$ $i \in I$ $j \in J$
shows $\text{submatrix } A \ I \ J \ \text{\$ \$ } (\text{card } \{a \in I. a < i\}, \text{card } \{a \in J. a < j\}) = A \ \text{\$ \$ } (i, j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *submatrix-split*: $\text{submatrix } A \ I \ J = \text{submatrix } (\text{submatrix } A \ \text{UNIV } J) \ I$
 UNIV
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

20 CP-Rank and Matrix Rank

theory *DL-Rank-CP-Rank*
imports *Tensor-Rank DL-Rank Tensor-Matricization DL-Submatrix DL-Missing-Vector-Space*
begin

abbreviation $\text{mrank } A == \text{vec-space.rank } (\dim\text{-row } A) \ A$

no-notation *normal-rel* (**infixl** $\triangleleft 60$)

lemma *lookup-order1-prod*:
assumes $\bigwedge B. B \in \text{set } Bs \implies \text{Tensor.order } B = 1$
assumes $is \triangleleft \text{dims } (\text{prod-list } Bs)$
shows $\text{lookup } (\text{prod-list } Bs) \ is = \text{prod-list } (\text{map } (\lambda(i,B). \text{lookup } B \ [i]) \ (\text{zip } is \ Bs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *matricize-cprank-max1*:
fixes $A :: 'a :: \text{field}$ *tensor*
assumes *cprank-max1* A
shows $\text{mrank } (\text{matricize } I \ A) \leq 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *matrix-rank-le-cprank-max*:
fixes $A :: ('a :: \text{field})$ *tensor*
assumes *cprank-max* $r \ A$
shows $\text{mrank } (\text{matricize } I \ A) \leq r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *matrix-rank-le-cp-rank*:
fixes $A :: ('a :: \text{field})$ *tensor*
shows $\text{mrank } (\text{matricize } I \ A) \leq \text{cprank } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

21 Missing Lemmas of Matrix

```

theory DL-Missing-Matrix
imports Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix
begin

```

```

lemma dim-vec-of-list[simp] : dim-vec (vec-of-list as) = length as <proof>

```

```

lemma list-vec: list-of-vec (vec-of-list xs) = xs
<proof>

```

```

lemma vec-list: vec-of-list (list-of-vec v) = v
<proof>

```

```

lemma index-vec-of-list:  $i < \text{length } xs \implies (\text{vec-of-list } xs) \$ i = xs ! i$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma nth-list-of-vec:  $i < \text{dim-vec } v \implies (\text{list-of-vec } v) ! i = v \$ i$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma vec-of-list-index: vec-of-list xs $ j = xs ! j
<proof>

```

```

lemma list-of-vec-index: list-of-vec v ! j = v $ j
<proof>

```

```

lemma list-of-vec-map: list-of-vec xs = map (op $ xs) [0.. $\text{dim-vec } xs$ ] <proof>

```

```

definition component-mult v w = vec (min (dim-vec v) (dim-vec w)) ( $\lambda i. v \$ i * w \$ i$ )

```

```

definition vec-set::'a vec  $\implies$  'a set (set_v)
where vec-set v = vec-index v ' {.. $\text{dim-vec } v$ }

```

```

lemma index-component-mult:
assumes  $i < \text{dim-vec } v$   $i < \text{dim-vec } w$ 
shows component-mult v w $ i = v $ i * w $ i
<proof>

```

```

lemma dim-component-mult:
dim-vec (component-mult v w) = min (dim-vec v) (dim-vec w)
<proof>

```

```

lemma vec-setE:
assumes  $a \in \text{set}_v v$ 
obtains i where  $v \$ i = a$   $i < \text{dim-vec } v$  <proof>

```

```

lemma vec-setI:
assumes  $v \$ i = a$   $i < \text{dim-vec } v$ 
shows  $a \in \text{set}_v v$  <proof>

```

lemma *set-list-of-vec*:
set (list-of-vec v) = set_v v \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *length-list-of-vec[simp]* : *length (list-of-vec v) = dim-vec v* \langle *proof* \rangle

end

22 Matrix to Vector Conversion

theory *DL-Flatten-Matrix*
imports *HOL.Real Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix*
begin

definition *extract-matrix* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mat}$ **where**
extract-matrix a m n = mat m n $(\lambda(i,j). a (i*n + j))$

definition *flatten-matrix* :: $'a \text{ mat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
flatten-matrix A k = A $\S\S (k \text{ div } \text{dim-col } A, k \text{ mod } \text{dim-col } A)$

lemma *two-digit-le*:
fixes $i\ j :: \text{nat}$
assumes $i < m\ j < n$
shows $i*n + j < m*n$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *extract-matrix-cong*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i < m * n \implies a\ i = b\ i$
shows *extract-matrix a m n = extract-matrix b m n*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *extract-matrix-flatten-matrix*:
extract-matrix (flatten-matrix A) (dim-row A) (dim-col A) = A
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *flatten-matrix-extract-matrix*:
shows $\bigwedge k. k < m*n \implies \text{flatten-matrix} (\text{extract-matrix } a\ m\ n)\ k = a\ k$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *index-extract-matrix*:
assumes $i < m\ j < n$
shows *extract-matrix a m n* $\S\S (i,j) = a (i*n + j)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *dim-extract-matrix*:
shows *dim-row (extract-matrix as m n) = m*
and *dim-col (extract-matrix as m n) = n*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

23 Deep Learning Networks

theory *DL-Network*

imports *Tensor-Product HOL.Real DL-Missing-Matrix*

Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix Tensor-Unit-Vec DL-Flatten-Matrix DL-Missing-List

begin

This symbol is used for the Tensor product:

no-notation *Group.monoid.mult* (**infixl** \otimes_1 70)

datatype *'a convnet* = *Input nat* | *Conv 'a 'a convnet* | *Pool 'a convnet 'a convnet*

fun *input-sizes* :: *'a convnet* \Rightarrow *nat list* **where**

input-sizes (*Input M*) = [*M*] |

input-sizes (*Conv A m*) = *input-sizes m* |

input-sizes (*Pool m1 m2*) = *input-sizes m1* @ *input-sizes m2*

fun *count-weights* :: $(\text{nat} \times \text{nat})$ *convnet* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

count-weights (*Input M*) = 0 |

count-weights (*Conv (r0, r1) m*) = $r0 * r1 + \text{count-weights } m$ |

count-weights (*Pool m1 m2*) = *count-weights m1* + *count-weights m2*

fun *output-size* :: $(\text{nat} \times \text{nat})$ *convnet* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

output-size (*Input M*) = *M* |

output-size (*Conv (r0,r1) m*) = *r0* |

output-size (*Pool m1 m2*) = *output-size m1*

inductive *valid-net* :: $(\text{nat} \times \text{nat})$ *convnet* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

valid-net (*Input M*) |

output-size m = *r1* \Longrightarrow *valid-net m* \Longrightarrow *valid-net* (*Conv (r0,r1) m*) |

output-size m1 = *output-size m2* \Longrightarrow *valid-net m1* \Longrightarrow *valid-net m2* \Longrightarrow *valid-net* (*Pool m1 m2*)

fun *insert-weights* :: $(\text{nat} \times \text{nat})$ *convnet* \Rightarrow $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real mat convnet}$

where

insert-weights (*Input M*) *w* = *Input M* |

insert-weights (*Conv (r0,r1) m*) *w* = *Conv*

(*extract-matrix w r0 r1*)

(*insert-weights m* ($\lambda i. w (i+r0*r1)$)) |

insert-weights (*Pool m1 m2*) *w* = *Pool*

(*insert-weights m1 w*)

(*insert-weights m2* ($\lambda i. w (i+(\text{count-weights } m1))$))

fun *remove-weights* :: *real mat convnet* \Rightarrow $(\text{nat} \times \text{nat})$ *convnet* **where**

remove-weights (*Input M*) = *Input M* |

remove-weights (Conv A m) = Conv (*dim-row* A , *dim-col* A) (*remove-weights* m)
 |
remove-weights (Pool $m1$ $m2$) = Pool (*remove-weights* $m1$) (*remove-weights* $m2$)

abbreviation *output-size'* == (λm . *output-size* (*remove-weights* m))

abbreviation *valid-net'* == (λm . *valid-net* (*remove-weights* m))

fun *evaluate-net* :: real mat convnet \Rightarrow real vec list \Rightarrow real vec **where**

evaluate-net (Input M) *inputs* = hd *inputs* |
evaluate-net (Conv A m) *inputs* = $A *_v$ *evaluate-net* m *inputs* |
evaluate-net (Pool $m1$ $m2$) *inputs* = *component-mult*
 (*evaluate-net* $m1$ (take (*length* (*input-sizes* $m1$)) *inputs*))
 (*evaluate-net* $m2$ (drop (*length* (*input-sizes* $m1$)) *inputs*))

definition *mat-tensorlist-mult* :: real mat \Rightarrow real tensor vec \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow real tensor vec

where *mat-tensorlist-mult* A Ts ds

= *Matrix.vec* (*dim-row* A) (λj . *tensor-from-lookup* ds (λis . ($A *_v$ (*map-vec* (λT . *Tensor.lookup* T is) Ts)) $\$j$))

lemma *insert-weights-cong*:

assumes ($\bigwedge i$. $i < \text{count-weights } m \implies w1\ i = w2\ i$)

shows *insert-weights* m $w1$ = *insert-weights* m $w2$

<proof>

lemma *dims-mat-tensorlist-mult*:

assumes $T \in \text{set}_v$ (*mat-tensorlist-mult* A Ts ds)

shows *Tensor.dims* T = ds

<proof>

fun *tensors-from-net* :: real mat convnet \Rightarrow real tensor vec **where**

tensors-from-net (Input M) = *Matrix.vec* M (λi . *unit-vec* M i) |
tensors-from-net (Conv A m) = *mat-tensorlist-mult* A (*tensors-from-net* m) (*input-sizes* m) |
tensors-from-net (Pool $m1$ $m2$) = *component-mult* (*tensors-from-net* $m1$) (*tensors-from-net* $m2$)

lemma *output-size-correct-tensors*:

assumes *valid-net'* m

shows *output-size'* m = *dim-vec* (*tensors-from-net* m)

<proof>

lemma *output-size-correct*:

assumes *valid-net'* m

and *map dim-vec inputs* = *input-sizes* m

shows *output-size'* m = *dim-vec* (*evaluate-net* m *inputs*)

<proof>

lemma *input-sizes-remove-weights*: $input\text{-}sizes\ m = input\text{-}sizes\ (remove\text{-}weights\ m)$

<proof>

lemma *dims-tensors-from-net*:

assumes $T \in set_v\ (tensors\text{-}from\text{-}net\ m)$

shows $Tensor.dims\ T = input\text{-}sizes\ m$

<proof>

notation $Matrix.unit\text{-}vec\ (unit_v)$

definition $base\text{-}input :: real\ mat\ convnet \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow real\ vec\ list$ **where**

$base\text{-}input\ m\ is = (map\ (\lambda(n, i). unit_v\ n\ i)\ (zip\ (input\text{-}sizes\ m)\ is))$

lemma *base-input-length*:

assumes $is \triangleleft input\text{-}sizes\ m$

shows $input\text{-}sizes\ m = map\ dim\text{-}vec\ (base\text{-}input\ m\ is)$

<proof>

lemma *nth-mat-tensorlist-mult*:

assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in set_v\ Ts \implies dims\ A = ds$

assumes $i < dim\text{-}row\ A$

assumes $dim\text{-}vec\ Ts = dim\text{-}col\ A$

shows $mat\text{-}tensorlist\text{-}mult\ A\ Ts\ ds\ \$\ i = listsum\ ds\ (map\ (\lambda j. (A\ \$\$ (i, j)) \cdot Ts)\ j)\ [0..<dim\text{-}vec\ Ts]$

(**is** $= listsum\ ds\ ?Ts'$)

<proof>

lemma *lookup-tensors-from-net*:

assumes $valid\text{-}net'\ m$

and $is \triangleleft input\text{-}sizes\ m$

and $j < output\text{-}size'\ m$

shows $Tensor.lookup\ (tensors\text{-}from\text{-}net\ m\ \$\ j)\ is = evaluate\text{-}net\ m\ (base\text{-}input\ m\ is)\ \$\ j$

<proof>

lemma *insert-remove-weights*:

obtains w **where** $m = insert\text{-}weights\ (remove\text{-}weights\ m)\ w$

<proof>

lemma *remove-insert-weights*:

shows $remove\text{-}weights\ (insert\text{-}weights\ m\ w) = m$

<proof>

lemma *finite-valid-index*: $finite\ \{is.\ is \triangleleft ds\}$

<proof>

lemma *setsum-valid-index-split*:

$(\sum is \mid is \triangleleft ds1\ @\ ds2. f\ is) = (\sum is1 \mid is1 \triangleleft ds1. (\sum is2 \mid is2 \triangleleft ds2. f\ (is1\ @$

is2)))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *prod-lessThan-split*:

fixes $g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **shows** $\text{prod } g \{.. $n+m$ \} = \text{prod } g \{.. n \} * \text{prod } (\lambda x. g (x+n)) \{.. m \}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *evaluate-net-from-tensors*:

assumes *valid-net' m*

and $\text{map } \text{dim-vec } \text{inputs} = \text{input-sizes } m$

and $j < \text{output-size}' m$

shows $\text{evaluate-net } m \text{ inputs } \$ j$

$= (\sum_{is \in \{is. is \triangleleft \text{input-sizes } m\}}. (\prod_{k < \text{length } \text{inputs}. \text{inputs } ! k \$ (is!k)}) * \text{Tensor.lookup } (\text{tensors-from-net } m \$ j) is)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tensors-from-net-eqI*:

assumes *valid-net' m1 valid-net' m2 input-sizes m1 = input-sizes m2*

assumes $\bigwedge \text{inputs}. \text{input-sizes } m1 = \text{map } \text{dim-vec } \text{inputs} \implies \text{evaluate-net } m1 \text{ inputs} = \text{evaluate-net } m2 \text{ inputs}$

shows $\text{tensors-from-net } m1 = \text{tensors-from-net } m2$

⟨*proof*⟩

end

24 Concrete Matrices

theory *DL-Concrete-Matrices*

imports *HOL.Real Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix DL-Missing-Matrix*

begin

The following definition allows non-square-matrices, `mat_one (mat_one n)` only allows square matrices.

definition *eye-matrix*:: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real } \text{mat}$

where $\text{eye-matrix } nr \ nc = \text{mat } nr \ nc (\lambda(r, c). \text{if } r=c \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma *eye-matrix-dim*: $\text{dim-row } (\text{eye-matrix } nr \ nc) = nr \ \text{dim-col } (\text{eye-matrix } nr \ nc) = nc$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *row-eye-matrix*:

assumes $i < nr$

shows $\text{row } (\text{eye-matrix } nr \ nc) \ i = \text{unit-vec } nc \ i$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *unit-eq-0[simp]*:

assumes $i: i \geq n$

shows $\text{unit-vec } n \ i = 0_v \ n$

<proof>

lemma *mult-eye-matrix:*

assumes $i < nr$

shows $(eye\text{-matrix } nr \ (dim\text{-vec } v) *_{\nu} v) \$ i = (if\ i < dim\text{-vec } v\ then\ v \$ i\ else\ 0)$

(is $?a \$ i = ?b$)

<proof>

definition *all1-vec::nat \Rightarrow real vec*

where $all1\text{-vec } n = vec\ n \ (\lambda i. 1)$

definition *all1-matrix::nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real mat*

where $all1\text{-matrix } nr\ nc = mat\ nr\ nc \ (\lambda(r, c). 1)$

lemma *all1-matrix-dim: dim-row (all1-matrix nr nc) = nr dim-col (all1-matrix nr nc) = nc*

<proof>

lemma *row-all1-matrix:*

assumes $i < nr$

shows $row \ (all1\text{-matrix } nr\ nc) \ i = all1\text{-vec } nc$

<proof>

lemma *all1-vec-scalar-prod:*

shows $all1\text{-vec} \ (length\ xs) \cdot (vec\text{-of-list } xs) = sum\text{-list } xs$

<proof>

lemma *mult-all1-matrix:*

assumes $i < nr$

shows $((all1\text{-matrix } nr \ (dim\text{-vec } v)) *_{\nu} v) \$ i = sum\text{-list} \ (list\text{-of-vec } v) \ (is\ ?a \$ i = sum\text{-list} \ (list\text{-of-vec } v))$

<proof>

definition *copy-first-matrix::nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real mat*

where $copy\text{-first-matrix } nr\ nc = mat\ nr\ nc \ (\lambda(r, c). if\ c = 0\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$

lemma *copy-first-matrix-dim: dim-row (copy-first-matrix nr nc) = nr dim-col (copy-first-matrix nr nc) = nc*

<proof>

lemma *row-copy-first-matrix:*

assumes $i < nr$

shows $row \ (copy\text{-first-matrix } nr\ nc) \ i = unit\text{-vec } nc \ 0$

<proof>

lemma *mult-copy-first-matrix:*

```

assumes  $i < nr$  and  $dim-vec\ v > 0$ 
shows  $(copy-first-matrix\ nr\ (dim-vec\ v)\ *_v\ v)\ \$\ i = v\ \$\ 0$  (is  $?a\ \$\ i = v\ \$\ 0$ )
<proof>

end

```

25 Missing Lemmas of Finite_Set

```

theory DL-Missing-Finite-Set
imports Main
begin

```

```

lemma card-even[simp]:  $card\ \{a \in Collect\ even.\ a < 2 * n\} = n$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma card-odd[simp]:  $card\ \{a \in Collect\ odd.\ a < 2 * n\} = n$ 
<proof>

```

```

end

```

26 Deep Network Model

```

theory DL-Deep-Model
imports DL-Network Tensor-Matricization DL-Submatrix DL-Concrete-Matrices
DL-Missing-Finite-Set DL-Missing-Sublist Jordan-Normal-Form.Determinant
begin

```

```

hide-const(open) Polynomial.order

```

```

fun deep-model and deep-model' where
deep-model'  $Y\ [] = Input\ Y\ |$ 
deep-model'  $Y\ (r\ \#\ rs) = Pool\ (deep-model\ Y\ r\ rs)\ (deep-model\ Y\ r\ rs)\ |$ 
deep-model  $Y\ r\ rs = Conv\ (Y,r)\ (deep-model'\ r\ rs)$ 

```

```

abbreviation deep-model'-l  $rs == deep-model'\ (rs!0)\ (tl\ rs)$ 

```

```

abbreviation deep-model-l  $rs == deep-model\ (rs!0)\ (rs!1)\ (tl\ (tl\ rs))$ 

```

```

lemma valid-deep-model: valid-net  $(deep-model\ Y\ r\ rs)$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma valid-deep-model': valid-net  $(deep-model'\ r\ rs)$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma input-sizes-deep-model':

```

```

assumes  $length\ rs \geq 1$ 

```

```

shows input-sizes  $(deep-model'-l\ rs) = replicate\ (2^{length\ rs - 1})\ (last\ rs)$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *input-sizes-deep-model*:
assumes $\text{length } rs \geq 2$
shows $\text{input-sizes } (\text{deep-model-l } rs) = \text{replicate } (2^{(\text{length } rs - 2)}) (\text{last } rs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *evaluate-net-Conv-id*:
assumes $\text{valid-net}' m$
and $\text{input-sizes } m = \text{map dim-vec input}$
and $j < nr$
shows $\text{evaluate-net } (\text{Conv } (\text{eye-matrix } nr (\text{output-size}' m)) m) \text{ input } \$ j$
 $= (\text{if } j < \text{output-size}' m \text{ then } \text{evaluate-net } m \text{ input } \$ j \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensors-from-net-Conv-id*:
assumes $\text{valid-net}' m$
and $i < nr$
shows $\text{tensors-from-net } (\text{Conv } (\text{eye-matrix } nr (\text{output-size}' m)) m) \$ i$
 $= (\text{if } i < \text{output-size}' m \text{ then } \text{tensors-from-net } m \$ i \text{ else } \text{tensor0 } (\text{input-sizes } m))$
 $(\text{is } ?a \$ i = ?b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *evaluate-net-Conv-copy-first*:
assumes $\text{valid-net}' m$
and $\text{input-sizes } m = \text{map dim-vec input}$
and $j < nr$
and $\text{output-size}' m > 0$
shows $\text{evaluate-net } (\text{Conv } (\text{copy-first-matrix } nr (\text{output-size}' m)) m) \text{ input } \$ j$
 $= \text{evaluate-net } m \text{ input } \$ 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensors-from-net-Conv-copy-first*:
assumes $\text{valid-net}' m$
and $i < nr$
and $\text{output-size}' m > 0$
shows $\text{tensors-from-net } (\text{Conv } (\text{copy-first-matrix } nr (\text{output-size}' m)) m) \$ i =$
 $\text{tensors-from-net } m \$ 0$
 $(\text{is } ?a \$ i = ?b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *evaluate-net-Conv-all1*:
assumes $\text{valid-net}' m$
and $\text{input-sizes } m = \text{map dim-vec input}$
and $i < nr$
shows $\text{evaluate-net } (\text{Conv } (\text{all1-matrix } nr (\text{output-size}' m)) m) \text{ input } \$ i$
 $= \text{Groups-List.sum-list } (\text{list-of-vec } (\text{evaluate-net } m \text{ input}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensors-from-net-Conv-all1*:
assumes $\text{valid-net}' m$

and $i < nr$
shows *tensors-from-net* (Conv (all1-matrix nr (output-size' m)) m) \$ i
= listsum (input-sizes m) (list-of-vec (tensors-from-net m))
(is ?a \$ i = ?b)
⟨proof⟩

fun *witness* and *witness'* **where**
witness' Y [] = Input Y |
witness' Y (r # rs) = Pool (witness Y r rs) (witness Y r rs) |
witness Y r rs = Conv ((if length rs = 0 then eye-matrix else (if length rs = 1
then all1-matrix else copy-first-matrix)) Y r) (witness' r rs)

abbreviation *witness-l* rs == *witness* (rs!0) (rs!1) (tl (tl rs))
abbreviation *witness'-l* rs == *witness'* (rs!0) (tl rs)

lemma *witness-is-deep-model: remove-weights* (*witness* Y r rs) = *deep-model* Y r
rs
⟨proof⟩

lemma *witness'-is-deep-model: remove-weights* (*witness'* Y rs) = *deep-model'* Y
rs
⟨proof⟩

lemma *witness-valid: valid-net'* (*witness* Y r rs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *witness'-valid: valid-net'* (*witness'* Y rs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *witness-l0'*: *witness'* Y [M] =
(Pool
(Conv (eye-matrix Y M) (Input M))
(Conv (eye-matrix Y M) (Input M))
)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *witness-l1*: *witness* Y r0 [M] =
Conv (all1-matrix Y r0) (*witness'* r0 [M])
⟨proof⟩

lemma *tensors-ht-l0*:
assumes $j < r0$
shows *tensors-from-net* (Conv (eye-matrix r0 M) (Input M)) \$ j
= (if $j < M$ then unit-vec M j else tensor0 [M])
⟨proof⟩

lemma *tensor-prod-unit-vec*:
unit-vec M j \otimes unit-vec M j = *tensor-from-lookup* [M,M] ($\lambda is. \text{if } is=[j,j] \text{ then } 1$
else 0) (is ?A=?B)

<proof>

lemma *tensors-ht-l0'*:

assumes $j < r0$

shows *tensors-from-net* (witness' r0 [M]) \$ j

= (if $j < M$ then *unit-vec* M j \otimes *unit-vec* M j else *tensor0* [M,M]) (is - = ?b)

<proof>

lemma *lookup-tensors-ht-l0'*:

assumes $j < r0$

and $is \triangleleft [M,M]$

shows (*Tensor.lookup* (*tensors-from-net* (witness' r0 [M]) \$ j)) is = (if $is = [j,j]$ then 1 else 0)

<proof>

lemma *lookup-tensors-ht-l1*:

assumes $j < r1$

and $is \triangleleft [M,M]$

shows *Tensor.lookup* (*tensors-from-net* (witness r1 r0 [M]) \$ j) is

= (if $is!0 = is!1 \wedge is!0 < r0$ then 1 else 0)

<proof>

lemma *length-output-deep-model*:

assumes *remove-weights* m = *deep-model-l* rs

shows *dim-vec* (*tensors-from-net* m) = rs ! 0

<proof>

lemma *length-output-deep-model'*:

assumes *remove-weights* m = *deep-model'-l* rs

shows *dim-vec* (*tensors-from-net* m) = rs ! 0

<proof>

lemma *length-output-witness*:

dim-vec (*tensors-from-net* (witness-l rs)) = rs ! 0

<proof>

lemma *length-output-witness'*:

dim-vec (*tensors-from-net* (witness'-l rs)) = rs ! 0

<proof>

lemma *dims-output-deep-model*:

assumes $\text{length } rs \geq 2$

and $\bigwedge r. r \in \text{set } rs \implies r > 0$

and $j < rs!0$

and *remove-weights* m = *deep-model-l* rs

shows *Tensor.dims* (*tensors-from-net* m \$ j) = *replicate* ($2^{(\text{length } rs - 2)}$) (last rs)

<proof>

lemma *dims-output-witness*:
assumes $\text{length } rs \geq 2$
and $\bigwedge r. r \in \text{set } rs \implies r > 0$
and $j < rs!0$
shows $\text{Tensor.dims } (\text{tensors-from-net } (\text{witness-l } rs) \$ j) = \text{replicate } (2^{(\text{length } rs - 2)}) (\text{last } rs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dims-output-deep-model'*:
assumes $\text{length } rs \geq 1$
and $\bigwedge r. r \in \text{set } rs \implies r > 0$
and $j < rs!0$
and $\text{remove-weights } m = \text{deep-model}'\text{-l } rs$
shows $\text{Tensor.dims } (\text{tensors-from-net } m \$ j) = \text{replicate } (2^{(\text{length } rs - 1)}) (\text{last } rs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dims-output-witness'*:
assumes $\text{length } rs \geq 1$
and $\bigwedge r. r \in \text{set } rs \implies r > 0$
and $j < rs!0$
shows $\text{Tensor.dims } (\text{tensors-from-net } (\text{witness}'\text{-l } rs) \$ j) = \text{replicate } (2^{(\text{length } rs - 1)}) (\text{last } rs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{ten2mat} == \text{matricize } \{n. \text{even } n\}$
abbreviation $\text{mat2ten} == \text{dematricize } \{n. \text{even } n\}$

locale *deep-model-correct-params* =
fixes $rs::\text{nat list}$
assumes $\text{deep:length } rs \geq 3$
and $\text{no-zeros}:\bigwedge r. r \in \text{set } rs \implies 0 < r$
begin

definition $r = \min (\text{last } rs) (\text{last } (\text{butlast } rs))$
definition $N\text{-half} = 2^{(\text{length } rs - 3)}$
definition $\text{weight-space-dim} = \text{count-weights}(\text{deep-model-l } rs)$

end

locale *deep-model-correct-params-y* = *deep-model-correct-params* +
fixes $y::\text{nat}$
assumes $y\text{-valid}:y < rs ! 0$
begin

definition $A \text{ ws} = \text{tensors-from-net } (\text{insert-weights } (\text{deep-model-l } rs) \text{ ws}) \$ y$
definition $A' \text{ ws} = \text{ten2mat } (A \text{ ws})$

lemma *dims-tensor-deep-model*:

assumes *remove-weights* $m = \text{deep-model-l } rs$

shows $\text{dims } (\text{tensors-from-net } m \ \$ \ y) = \text{replicate } (2 * N\text{-half}) \ (\text{last } rs)$
<proof>

lemma *order-tensor-deep-model*:

assumes *remove-weights* $m = \text{deep-model-l } rs$

shows $\text{order } (\text{tensors-from-net } m \ \$ \ y) = 2 * N\text{-half}$
<proof>

lemma *dims-A*:

shows $\text{Tensor.dims } (A \ ws) = \text{replicate } (2 * N\text{-half}) \ (\text{last } rs)$
<proof>

lemma *order-A*:

shows $\text{order } (A \ ws) = 2 * N\text{-half}$ *<proof>*

lemma *dims-A'*:

shows $\text{dim-row } (A' \ ws) = \text{prod-list } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } (A \ ws)) \ \{n. \text{ even } n\})$
and $\text{dim-col } (A' \ ws) = \text{prod-list } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } (A \ ws)) \ \{n. \text{ odd } n\})$
<proof>

lemma *dims-A'-pow*:

shows $\text{dim-row } (A' \ ws) = (\text{last } rs) \ ^ \ N\text{-half}$ $\text{dim-col } (A' \ ws) = (\text{last } rs) \ ^ \ N\text{-half}$
<proof>

definition $Aw = \text{tensors-from-net } (\text{witness-l } rs) \ \$ \ y$

definition $Aw' = \text{ten2mat } Aw$

definition $\text{witness-weights} = (\text{SOME } ws. \text{witness-l } rs = \text{insert-weights } (\text{deep-model-l } rs) \ ws)$

lemma *witness-weights:witness-l rs = insert-weights (deep-model-l rs) witness-weights*
<proof>

lemma *Aw-def'*: $Aw = A \ \text{witness-weights}$ *<proof>*

lemma *Aw'-def'*: $Aw' = A' \ \text{witness-weights}$ *<proof>*

lemma *dims-Aw*: $\text{Tensor.dims } Aw = \text{replicate } (2 * N\text{-half}) \ (\text{last } rs)$
<proof>

lemma *order-Aw*: $\text{order } Aw = 2 * N\text{-half}$
<proof>

lemma *dims-Aw'*:

$\dim\text{-row } Aw' = \text{prod-list } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } Aw) \{n. \text{even } n\})$

$\dim\text{-col } Aw' = \text{prod-list } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } Aw) \{n. \text{odd } n\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dims-Aw'-pow*: $\dim\text{-row } Aw' = (\text{last } rs) \wedge N\text{-half } \dim\text{-col } Aw' = (\text{last } rs) \wedge N\text{-half}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *witness-tensor*:

assumes $is \triangleleft \text{Tensor.dims } Aw$

shows $\text{Tensor.lookup } Aw \text{ is}$

$= (\text{if } \text{nths } is \{n. \text{even } n\} = \text{nths } is \{n. \text{odd } n\} \wedge (\forall i \in \text{set } is. i < \text{last } (\text{butlast } rs)) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *witness-matricization*:

assumes $i < \dim\text{-row } Aw' \text{ and } j < \dim\text{-col } Aw'$

shows $Aw' \text{ $$$ } (i, j)$

$= (\text{if } i=j \wedge (\forall i0 \in \text{set } (\text{digit-encode } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } Aw) \{n. \text{even } n\}) i). i0 < \text{last } (\text{butlast } rs)) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *rows-with-1* = $\{i. (\forall i0 \in \text{set } (\text{digit-encode } (\text{nths } (\text{Tensor.dims } Aw) \{n. \text{even } n\}) i). i0 < \text{last } (\text{butlast } rs))\}$

lemma *card-low-digits*:

assumes $m > 0 \wedge d. d \in \text{set } ds \implies m \leq d$

shows $\text{card } \{i. i < \text{prod-list } ds \wedge (\forall i0 \in \text{set } (\text{digit-encode } ds i). i0 < m)\} = m \wedge (\text{length } ds)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-rows-with-1*: $\text{card } \{i \in \text{rows-with-1}. i < \dim\text{-row } Aw'\} = r \wedge N\text{-half}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *infinite-rows-with-1*: *infinite rows-with-1*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *witness-submatrix*: *submatrix* $Aw' \text{ rows-with-1 rows-with-1} = 1_m (r \wedge N\text{-half})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *witness-det*: $\det (\text{submatrix } Aw' \text{ rows-with-1 rows-with-1}) \neq 0 \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

27 Less common functions on lists

theory *PP-More-List2*

imports

Main

begin

definition *strip-while* :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list

where

strip-while P = rev \circ dropWhile P \circ rev

lemma *strip-while-rev* [simp]:

strip-while P (rev xs) = rev (dropWhile P xs)

<proof>

lemma *strip-while-Nil* [simp]:

strip-while P [] = []

<proof>

lemma *strip-while-append* [simp]:

\neg P x \Longrightarrow *strip-while* P (xs @ [x]) = xs @ [x]

<proof>

lemma *strip-while-append-rec* [simp]:

P x \Longrightarrow *strip-while* P (xs @ [x]) = *strip-while* P xs

<proof>

lemma *strip-while-Cons* [simp]:

\neg P x \Longrightarrow *strip-while* P (x # xs) = x # *strip-while* P xs

<proof>

lemma *strip-while-eq-Nil* [simp]:

strip-while P xs = [] \longleftrightarrow ($\forall x \in \text{set } xs. P x$)

<proof>

lemma *strip-while-eq-Cons-rec*:

strip-while P (x # xs) = x # *strip-while* P xs \longleftrightarrow \neg (P x \wedge ($\forall x \in \text{set } xs. P x$))

<proof>

lemma *strip-while-not-last* [simp]:

\neg P (last xs) \Longrightarrow *strip-while* P xs = xs

<proof>

lemma *split-strip-while-append*:

fixes xs :: 'a list

obtains ys zs :: 'a list

where *strip-while* P xs = ys **and** $\forall x \in \text{set } zs. P x$ **and** xs = ys @ zs

<proof>

lemma *strip-while-snoc* [simp]:

$strip_while\ P\ (xs\ @\ [x]) = (if\ P\ x\ then\ strip_while\ P\ xs\ else\ xs\ @\ [x])$
<proof>

lemma *strip-while-map*:

$strip_while\ P\ (map\ f\ xs) = map\ f\ (strip_while\ (P\ \circ\ f)\ xs)$
<proof>

definition *no-leading* :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool

where

$no_leading\ P\ xs \longleftrightarrow (xs \neq [] \longrightarrow \neg P\ (hd\ xs))$

lemma *no-leading-Nil* [simp, intro!]:

$no_leading\ P\ []$
<proof>

lemma *no-leading-Cons* [simp, intro!]:

$no_leading\ P\ (x\ \#\ xs) \longleftrightarrow \neg P\ x$
<proof>

lemma *no-leading-append* [simp]:

$no_leading\ P\ (xs\ @\ ys) \longleftrightarrow no_leading\ P\ xs \wedge (xs = [] \longrightarrow no_leading\ P\ ys)$
<proof>

lemma *no-leading-dropWhile* [simp]:

$no_leading\ P\ (dropWhile\ P\ xs)$
<proof>

lemma *dropWhile-eq-obtain-leading*:

assumes $dropWhile\ P\ xs = ys$

obtains zs **where** $xs = zs\ @\ ys$ **and** $\bigwedge z. z \in set\ zs \implies P\ z$ **and** *no-leading* $P\ ys$

<proof>

lemma *dropWhile-idem-iff*:

$dropWhile\ P\ xs = xs \longleftrightarrow no_leading\ P\ xs$
<proof>

abbreviation *no-trailing* :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool

where

$no_trailing\ P\ xs \equiv no_leading\ P\ (rev\ xs)$

lemma *no-trailing-unfold*:

$no_trailing\ P\ xs \longleftrightarrow (xs \neq [] \longrightarrow \neg P\ (last\ xs))$
<proof>

lemma *no-trailing-Nil* [simp, intro!]:

no-trailing P []
<proof>

lemma *no-trailing-Cons* [simp]:
no-trailing P ($x \# xs$) \longleftrightarrow *no-trailing* P $xs \wedge (xs = [] \longrightarrow \neg P x)$
<proof>

lemma *no-trailing-append-Cons* [simp]:
no-trailing P ($xs @ y \# ys$) \longleftrightarrow *no-trailing* P ($y \# ys$)
<proof>

lemma *no-trailing-strip-while* [simp]:
no-trailing P (*strip-while* P xs)
<proof>

lemma *strip-while-eq-obtain-trailing*:
assumes *strip-while* P $xs = ys$
obtains zs **where** $xs = ys @ zs$ **and** $\bigwedge z. z \in \text{set } zs \implies P z$ **and** *no-trailing* P ys
<proof>

lemma *strip-while-idem-iff*:
strip-while P $xs = xs \longleftrightarrow$ *no-trailing* P xs
<proof>

lemma *no-trailing-map*:
no-trailing P (*map* f xs) = *no-trailing* ($P \circ f$) xs
<proof>

lemma *no-trailing-upt* [simp]:
no-trailing P [$n..<m$] \longleftrightarrow ($n < m \longrightarrow \neg P (m - 1)$)
<proof>

definition *nth-default* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$
where
nth-default $dflt$ xs $n = (\text{if } n < \text{length } xs \text{ then } xs ! n \text{ else } dflt)$

lemma *nth-default-nth*:
 $n < \text{length } xs \implies$ *nth-default* $dflt$ xs $n = xs ! n$
<proof>

lemma *nth-default-beyond*:
 $\text{length } xs \leq n \implies$ *nth-default* $dflt$ xs $n = dflt$
<proof>

lemma *nth-default-Nil* [simp]:
nth-default $dflt$ [] $n = dflt$
<proof>

lemma *nth-default-Cons*:

$nth\text{-default } dflt (x \# xs) n = (case\ n\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow x \mid Suc\ n' \Rightarrow nth\text{-default } dflt\ xs\ n')$
(proof)

lemma *nth-default-Cons-0* [simp]:

$nth\text{-default } dflt (x \# xs) 0 = x$
(proof)

lemma *nth-default-Cons-Suc* [simp]:

$nth\text{-default } dflt (x \# xs) (Suc\ n) = nth\text{-default } dflt\ xs\ n$
(proof)

lemma *nth-default-replicate-dflt* [simp]:

$nth\text{-default } dflt (replicate\ n\ dflt) m = dflt$
(proof)

lemma *nth-default-append*:

$nth\text{-default } dflt (xs @ ys) n =$
(if $n < length\ xs$ then $nth\ xs\ n$ else $nth\text{-default } dflt\ ys\ (n - length\ xs)$)
(proof)

lemma *nth-default-append-trailing* [simp]:

$nth\text{-default } dflt (xs @ replicate\ n\ dflt) = nth\text{-default } dflt\ xs$
(proof)

lemma *nth-default-snoc-default* [simp]:

$nth\text{-default } dflt (xs @ [dflt]) = nth\text{-default } dflt\ xs$
(proof)

lemma *nth-default-eq-dflt-iff*:

$nth\text{-default } dflt\ xs\ k = dflt \iff (k < length\ xs \implies xs\ !\ k = dflt)$
(proof)

lemma *in-enumerate-iff-nth-default-eq*:

$x \neq dflt \implies (n, x) \in set\ (enumerate\ 0\ xs) \iff nth\text{-default } dflt\ xs\ n = x$
(proof)

lemma *last-conv-nth-default*:

assumes $xs \neq []$
shows $last\ xs = nth\text{-default } dflt\ xs\ (length\ xs - 1)$
(proof)

lemma *nth-default-map-eq*:

$f\ dflt' = dflt \implies nth\text{-default } dflt\ (map\ f\ xs) n = f\ (nth\text{-default } dflt'\ xs\ n)$
(proof)

lemma *finite-nth-default-neq-default* [simp]:

$finite\ \{k. nth\text{-default } dflt\ xs\ k \neq dflt\}$

<proof>

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-nth-default*:

sorted-list-of-set { k . *nth-default* *dflt* *xs* $k \neq \text{dflt}$ } = *map fst* (*filter* ($\lambda(-, x).$ $x \neq \text{dflt}$) (*enumerate* 0 *xs*))

<proof>

lemma *map-nth-default*:

map (*nth-default* x *xs*) [0..*length xs*] = *xs*

<proof>

lemma *range-nth-default* [*simp*]:

range (*nth-default* *dflt* *xs*) = *insert dflt* (*set xs*)

<proof>

lemma *nth-strip-while*:

assumes $n < \text{length}$ (*strip-while* P *xs*)

shows *strip-while* P *xs* ! n = *xs* ! n

<proof>

lemma *length-strip-while-le*:

length (*strip-while* P *xs*) \leq *length xs*

<proof>

lemma *nth-default-strip-while-dflt* [*simp*]:

nth-default dflt (*strip-while* ($op = \text{dflt}$) *xs*) = *nth-default dflt xs*

<proof>

lemma *nth-default-eq-iff*:

nth-default dflt xs = *nth-default dflt ys*

\longleftrightarrow *strip-while* (*HOL.eq dflt*) *xs* = *strip-while* (*HOL.eq dflt*) *ys* (**is** $?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q$)

<proof>

end

28 Polynomial mapping: combination of almost everywhere zero functions with an algebraic view

theory *PP-Poly-Mapping*

imports

HOL-Library.Groups-Big-Fun

HOL-Library.Fun-Lexorder

PP-More-List2

begin

28.1 Preliminary: auxiliary operations for ‘almost everywhere zero’

A central notion for polynomials are functions being ‘almost everywhere zero’. For these we provide some auxiliary definitions and lemmas.

lemma *finite-mult-not-eq-zero-leftI*:

fixes $f :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{mult-zero}$
assumes $\text{finite } \{a. f\ a \neq 0\}$
shows $\text{finite } \{a. g\ a * f\ a \neq 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-mult-not-eq-zero-rightI*:

fixes $f :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{mult-zero}$
assumes $\text{finite } \{a. f\ a \neq 0\}$
shows $\text{finite } \{a. f\ a * g\ a \neq 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-mult-not-eq-zero-prodI*:

fixes $f\ g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{semiring-0}$
assumes $\text{finite } \{a. f\ a \neq 0\}$ (**is** $\text{finite } ?F$)
assumes $\text{finite } \{b. g\ b \neq 0\}$ (**is** $\text{finite } ?G$)
shows $\text{finite } \{(a, b). f\ a * g\ b \neq 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-not-eq-zero-sumI*:

fixes $f\ g :: 'a :: \text{monoid-add} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{semiring-0}$
assumes $\text{finite } \{a. f\ a \neq 0\}$ (**is** $\text{finite } ?F$)
assumes $\text{finite } \{b. g\ b \neq 0\}$ (**is** $\text{finite } ?G$)
shows $\text{finite } \{a + b \mid a\ b. f\ a \neq 0 \wedge g\ b \neq 0\}$ (**is** $\text{finite } ?FG$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-mult-not-eq-zero-sumI*:

fixes $f\ g :: 'a :: \text{monoid-add} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{semiring-0}$
assumes $\text{finite } \{a. f\ a \neq 0\}$
assumes $\text{finite } \{b. g\ b \neq 0\}$
shows $\text{finite } \{a + b \mid a\ b. f\ a * g\ b \neq 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-Sum-any-not-eq-zero-weakenI*:

assumes $\text{finite } \{a. \exists b. f\ a\ b \neq 0\}$
shows $\text{finite } \{a. \text{Sum-any } (f\ a) \neq 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *zero*

begin

definition *when* :: $'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** *when* 20)

where

$(a\ \text{when } P) = (\text{if } P\ \text{then } a\ \text{else } 0)$

Case distinctions always complicate matters, particularly when nested. The *op when* operation allows to minimise these if $0::'a$ is the false-case value and makes proof obligations much more readable.

lemma *when [simp]*:

$P \implies (a \text{ when } P) = a$
 $\neg P \implies (a \text{ when } P) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *when-simps [simp]*:

$(a \text{ when } \text{True}) = a$
 $(a \text{ when } \text{False}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *when-cong*:

assumes $P \longleftrightarrow Q$
and $Q \implies a = b$
shows $(a \text{ when } P) = (b \text{ when } Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-when [simp]*:

$(0 \text{ when } P) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *when-when*:

$(a \text{ when } P \text{ when } Q) = (a \text{ when } P \wedge Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *when-commute*:

$(a \text{ when } Q \text{ when } P) = (a \text{ when } P \text{ when } Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *when-neq-zero [simp]*:

$(a \text{ when } P) \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow P \wedge a \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *monoid-add*

begin

lemma *when-add-distrib*:

$(a + b \text{ when } P) = (a \text{ when } P) + (b \text{ when } P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *semiring-1*

begin

lemma *zero-power-eq*:

$$0 \wedge n = (1 \text{ when } n = 0)$$

<proof>

end

context *comm-monoid-add*

begin

lemma *Sum-any-when-equal* [*simp*]:

$$(\sum a. (f a \text{ when } a = b)) = f b$$

<proof>

lemma *Sum-any-when-equal'* [*simp*]:

$$(\sum a. (f a \text{ when } b = a)) = f b$$

<proof>

lemma *Sum-any-when-independent*:

$$(\sum a. g a \text{ when } P) = ((\sum a. g a) \text{ when } P)$$

<proof>

lemma *Sum-any-when-dependent-prod-right*:

$$(\sum (a, b). g a \text{ when } b = h a) = (\sum a. g a)$$

<proof>

lemma *Sum-any-when-dependent-prod-left*:

$$(\sum (a, b). g b \text{ when } a = h b) = (\sum b. g b)$$

<proof>

end

context *cancel-comm-monoid-add*

begin

lemma *when-diff-distrib*:

$$(a - b \text{ when } P) = (a \text{ when } P) - (b \text{ when } P)$$

<proof>

end

context *group-add*

begin

lemma *when-uminus-distrib*:

$$(- a \text{ when } P) = - (a \text{ when } P)$$

<proof>

end

context *mult-zero*
begin

lemma *mult-when*:
 $a * (b \text{ when } P) = (a * b \text{ when } P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *when-mult*:
 $(a \text{ when } P) * b = (a * b \text{ when } P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

28.2 Type definition

The following type is of central importance:

typedef (**overloaded**) (*'a, 'b*) *poly-mapping* $((- \Rightarrow_0 /-) [1, 0] 0) =$
 $\{f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{zero}. \text{finite } \{x. f x \neq 0\}\}$
morphisms *lookup Abs-poly-mapping*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lookup-Abs-poly-mapping*:
 $\text{finite } \{x. f x \neq 0\} \Longrightarrow \text{lookup } (\text{Abs-poly-mapping } f) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-lookup [simp]*:
 $\text{finite } \{k. \text{lookup } f k \neq 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-lookup-nat [simp]*:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}$
shows $\text{finite } \{k. 0 < \text{lookup } f k\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-mapping-eqI*:
assumes $\bigwedge k. \text{lookup } f k = \text{lookup } g k$
shows $f = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We model the universe of functions being ‘almost everywhere zero’ by means of a separate type $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$. For convenience we provide a suggestive infix syntax which is a variant of the usual function space syntax. Conversion between both types happens through the morphisms

$$\text{lookup}::('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$$

$$\text{Abs-poly-mapping}::('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$$

satisfying

Abs-poly-mapping (*lookup* ?*x*) = ?*x*

finite {*x*. ?*f* *x* ≠ (0::?'*b*)} ⇒ *lookup* (*Abs-poly-mapping* ?*f*) =
?*f*

Luckily, we have rarely to deal with those low-level morphisms explicitly but rely on Isabelle’s *lifting* package with its method *transfer* and its specification tool *lift-definition*.

setup-lifting *type-definition-poly-mapping*

'*a* ⇒₀ '*b* serves distinctive purposes:

1. A clever nesting as (*nat* ⇒₀ *nat*) ⇒₀ '*a* later in theory *MPoly* gives a suitable representation type for polynomials ‘almost for free’: Interpreting *nat* ⇒₀ *nat* as a mapping from variable identifiers to exponents yields monomials, and the whole type maps monomials to coefficients. Lets call this the *ultimate interpretation*.
2. A further more specialised type isomorphic to *nat* ⇒₀ '*a* is apt to direct implementation using code generation [?].

Note that despite the names ‘mapping’ and ‘lookup’ suggest something implementation-near, it is best to keep '*a* ⇒₀ '*b* as an abstract *algebraic* type providing operations like ‘addition’, ‘multiplication’ without any notion of key-order, data structures etc. This implementations-specific notions are easily introduced later for particular implementations but do not provide any gain for specifying logical properties of polynomials.

28.3 Additive structure

The additive structure covers the usual operations 0, + and (unary and binary) −. Recalling the ultimate interpretation, it is obvious that these have just lift the corresponding operations on values to mappings.

Isabelle has a rich hierarchy of algebraic type classes, and in such situations of pointwise lifting a typical pattern is to have instantiations for a considerable number of type classes.

The operations themselves are specified using *lift-definition*, where the proofs of the ‘almost everywhere zero’ property can be significantly involved.

The *lookup* operation is supposed to be usable explicitly (unless in other situations where the morphisms between types are somehow internal to the *lifting* package). Hence it is good style to provide explicit rewrite rules how *lookup* acts on operations immediately.

instantiation *poly-mapping* :: (*type*, *zero*) *zero*
begin

lift-definition *zero-poly-mapping* :: '*a* ⇒₀ '*b*

```

is  $\lambda k. 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma lookup-zero [simp]:
   $lookup\ 0\ k = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instantiation poly-mapping :: (type, monoid-add) monoid-add
begin

lift-definition plus-poly-mapping ::
   $(a \Rightarrow_0 b) \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow_0 b) \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow_0 b$ 
  is  $\lambda f1\ f2\ k. f1\ k + f2\ k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma lookup-add:
   $lookup\ (f + g)\ k = lookup\ f\ k + lookup\ g\ k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance poly-mapping :: (type, comm-monoid-add) comm-monoid-add
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instantiation poly-mapping :: (type, cancel-comm-monoid-add) cancel-comm-monoid-add
begin

lift-definition minus-poly-mapping ::  $(a \Rightarrow_0 b) \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow_0 b) \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow_0 b$ 
  is  $\lambda f1\ f2\ k. f1\ k - f2\ k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

instantiation poly-mapping :: (type, ab-group-add) ab-group-add
begin

lift-definition uminus-poly-mapping ::  $(a \Rightarrow_0 b) \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow_0 b$ 

```

is *uminus*
⟨*proof*⟩

instance
⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *lookup-uminus* [*simp*]:
 $lookup (- f) k = - lookup f k$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lookup-minus*:
 $lookup (f - g) k = lookup f k - lookup g k$
⟨*proof*⟩

28.4 Multiplicative structure

instantiation *poly-mapping* :: (*zero*, *zero-neq-one*) *zero-neq-one*
begin

lift-definition *one-poly-mapping* :: '*a* \Rightarrow_0 '*b*
is $\lambda k. 1$ when $k = 0$
⟨*proof*⟩

instance
⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *lookup-one*:
 $lookup 1 k = (1$ when $k = 0)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lookup-one-zero* [*simp*]:
 $lookup 1 0 = 1$
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *prod-fun* :: ('*a* \Rightarrow '*b*) \Rightarrow ('*a* \Rightarrow '*b*) \Rightarrow '*a*::*monoid-add* \Rightarrow '*b*::*semiring-0*
where

$$prod-fun f1 f2 k = (\sum l. f1 l * (\sum q. (f2 q$$
 when $k = l + q)))$

lemma *prod-fun-unfold-prod*:
fixes $f g :: 'a :: monoid-add \Rightarrow 'b :: semiring-0$
assumes *fin-f*: *finite* {*a*. $f a \neq 0$ }
assumes *fin-g*: *finite* {*b*. $g b \neq 0$ }
shows $prod-fun f g k = (\sum (a, b). f a * g b$ when $k = a + b)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *finite-prod-fun*:
fixes $f1\ f2 :: 'a :: \text{monoid-add} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{semiring-0}$
assumes $fin1: \text{finite } \{l. f1\ l \neq 0\}$
and $fin2: \text{finite } \{q. f2\ q \neq 0\}$
shows $\text{finite } \{k. \text{prod-fun } f1\ f2\ k \neq 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{monoid-add}, \text{semiring-0}) \text{ semiring-0}$
begin

lift-definition *times-poly-mapping* :: $('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$
is *prod-fun*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *lookup-mult*:
 $\text{lookup } (f * g)\ k = (\sum l. \text{lookup } f\ l * (\sum q. \text{lookup } g\ q \text{ when } k = l + q))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{comm-monoid-add}, \text{comm-semiring-0}) \text{ comm-semiring-0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{monoid-add}, \text{semiring-0-cancel}) \text{ semiring-0-cancel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{comm-monoid-add}, \text{comm-semiring-0-cancel}) \text{ comm-semiring-0-cancel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{monoid-add}, \text{semiring-1}) \text{ semiring-1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{comm-monoid-add}, \text{comm-semiring-1}) \text{ comm-semiring-1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{monoid-add}, \text{semiring-1-cancel}) \text{ semiring-1-cancel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{monoid-add}, \text{ring}) \text{ ring}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{comm-monoid-add}, \text{comm-ring}) \text{ comm-ring}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: $(\text{monoid-add}, \text{ring-1}) \text{ ring-1}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*comm-monoid-add*, *comm-ring-1*) *comm-ring-1*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

28.5 Single-point mappings

lift-definition *single* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero
is $\lambda k v k'. (v \text{ when } k = k')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-single* [*iff*]:
inj (*single* *k*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lookup-single*:
lookup (*single* *k* *v*) *k'* = (*v* when *k* = *k'*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lookup-single-eq* [*simp*]:
lookup (*single* *k* *v*) *k* = *v*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lookup-single-not-eq*:
 $k \neq k' \implies \text{lookup } (\text{single } k \ v) \ k' = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-zero* [*simp*]:
single *k* 0 = 0
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-one* [*simp*]:
single 0 1 = 1
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-add*:
single *k* (*a* + *b*) = *single* *k* *a* + *single* *k* *b*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-uminus*:
single *k* (- *a*) = - *single* *k* *a*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-diff*:
single *k* (*a* - *b*) = *single* *k* *a* - *single* *k* *b*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *single-numeral* [*simp*]:
single 0 (*numeral* *n*) = *numeral* *n*

<proof>

lemma *lookup-numeral*:

lookup (numeral n) k = (numeral n when k = 0)

<proof>

lemma *single-of-nat [simp]*:

single 0 (of-nat n) = of-nat n

<proof>

lemma *lookup-of-nat*:

lookup (of-nat n) k = (of-nat n when k = 0)

<proof>

lemma *of-nat-single*:

of-nat = single 0 ∘ of-nat

<proof>

lemma *mult-single*:

*single k a * single l b = single (k + l) (a * b)*

<proof>

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*monoid-add, semiring-char-0*) *semiring-char-0*

<proof>

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*monoid-add, ring-char-0*) *ring-char-0*

<proof>

lemma *single-of-int [simp]*:

single 0 (of-int k) = of-int k

<proof>

lemma *lookup-of-int*:

lookup (of-int l) k = (of-int l when k = 0)

<proof>

28.6 Integral domains

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*{ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add, linorder}*, *ring-no-zero-divisors*)
ring-no-zero-divisors

— The *linorder* constraint is a pragmatic device for the proof maybe it can be dropped

<proof>

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*{ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add, linorder}*, *ring-1-no-zero-divisors*)
ring-1-no-zero-divisors

<proof>

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*{ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add, linorder}*, *idom*) *idom*

<proof>

28.7 Mapping order

instantiation *poly-mapping* :: (*linorder*, {*zero*, *linorder*}) *linorder*
begin

lift-definition *less-poly-mapping* :: (*'a* \Rightarrow_0 *'b*) \Rightarrow (*'a* \Rightarrow_0 *'b*) \Rightarrow *bool*
is *less-fun*
<proof>

lift-definition *less-eq-poly-mapping* :: (*'a* \Rightarrow_0 *'b*) \Rightarrow (*'a* \Rightarrow_0 *'b*) \Rightarrow *bool*
is $\lambda f g. \text{less-fun } f g \vee f = g$
<proof>

instance *<proof>*

end

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*linorder*, {*ordered-comm-monoid-add*, *ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le*, *linorder*}) *ordered-ab-semigroup-add*
<proof>

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*linorder*, {*ordered-comm-monoid-add*, *ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le*, *cancel-comm-monoid-add*, *linorder*}) *linordered-cancel-ab-semigroup-add*
<proof>

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*linorder*, {*ordered-comm-monoid-add*, *ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le*, *cancel-comm-monoid-add*, *linorder*}) *ordered-comm-monoid-add*
<proof>

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*linorder*, {*ordered-comm-monoid-add*, *ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le*, *cancel-comm-monoid-add*, *linorder*}) *ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add*
<proof>

instance *poly-mapping* :: (*linorder*, *linordered-ab-group-add*) *linordered-ab-group-add*
<proof>

For pragmatism we leave out the final elements in the hierarchy: *linordered-ring*, *linordered-ring-strict*, *linordered-idom*; remember that the order instance is a mere technical device, not a deeper algebraic property.

28.8 Fundamental mapping notions

lift-definition *keys* :: (*'a* \Rightarrow_0 *'b::zero*) \Rightarrow *'a set*
is $\lambda f. \{k. f k \neq 0\}$ *<proof>*

lift-definition *range* :: (*'a* \Rightarrow_0 *'b::zero*) \Rightarrow *'b set*
is $\lambda f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b. \text{Set.range } f - \{0\}$ *<proof>*

lemma *finite-keys* [*simp*]:

$finite (keys f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-in-keys-iff-lookup-eq-zero* [*simp*]:

$k \notin keys f \iff lookup f k = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lookup-not-eq-zero-eq-in-keys* [*simp*]:

$lookup f k \neq 0 \iff k \in keys f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lookup-eq-zero-in-keys-contradict* [*dest*]:

$lookup f k = 0 \implies \neg k \in keys f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-range* [*simp*]: $finite (PP-Poly-Mapping.range p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-keys-lookup-in-range* [*simp*]:

$k \in keys f \implies lookup f k \in range f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *keys-zero* [*simp*]:

$keys 0 = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-zero* [*simp*]:

$range 0 = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *keys-add-subset*:

$keys (f + g) \subseteq keys f \cup keys g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *keys-one* [*simp*]:

$keys 1 = \{0\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-one* [*simp*]:

$range 1 = \{1\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *keys-single* [*simp*]:

$keys (single k v) = (if v = 0 then \{\} else \{k\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-single* [*simp*]:

$\text{range } (\text{single } k \ v) = (\text{if } v = 0 \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{v\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *keys-mult*:

$\text{keys } (f * g) \subseteq \{a + b \mid a \ b. a \in \text{keys } f \wedge b \in \text{keys } g\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *setsum-keys-plus-distrib*:

assumes *hom-0*: $\bigwedge k. f \ k \ 0 = 0$
and *hom-plus*: $\bigwedge k. k \in \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } p \cup \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } q$
 $\implies f \ k \ (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } p \ k + \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } q \ k) = f \ k$
 $(\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } p \ k) + f \ k \ (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } q \ k)$
shows
 $(\sum_{k \in \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } (p + q)}. f \ k \ (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } (p + q) \ k))$
 $=$
 $(\sum_{k \in \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } p}. f \ k \ (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } p \ k)) +$
 $(\sum_{k \in \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } q}. f \ k \ (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } q \ k))$
(is ?lhs = ?p + ?q)
 ⟨proof⟩

28.9 Degree

definition *degree* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 'a::\text{zero}) \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

where

$\text{degree } f = \text{Max } (\text{insert } 0 \ (\text{Suc } ` \text{keys } f))$

lemma *degree-zero* [*simp*]:

$\text{degree } 0 = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-one* [*simp*]:

$\text{degree } 1 = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-single-zero* [*simp*]:

$\text{degree } (\text{single } k \ 0) = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-single-not-zero* [*simp*]:

$v \neq 0 \implies \text{degree } (\text{single } k \ v) = \text{Suc } k$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-zero-iff* [*simp*]:

$\text{degree } f = 0 \iff f = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-greater-zero-in-keys*:

assumes $0 < \text{degree } f$
shows $\text{degree } f - 1 \in \text{keys } f$

<proof>

lemma *in-keys-less-degree:*

$n \in \text{keys } f \implies n < \text{degree } f$

<proof>

lemma *beyond-degree-lookup-zero:*

$\text{degree } f \leq n \implies \text{lookup } f \ n = 0$

<proof>

lemma *degree-add:*

$\text{degree } (f + g) \leq \max (\text{degree } f) (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.degree } g)$

<proof>

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-keys:*

$\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{keys } f) = \text{filter } (\lambda k. k \in \text{keys } f) [0..<\text{degree } f] (\text{is } - = ?r)$

<proof>

28.10 Inductive structure

lift-definition *update* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{zero}) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$

is $\lambda k \ v \ f. f(k := v)$

<proof>

lemma *update-induct* [case-names const update]:

assumes *const'*: $P \ 0$

assumes *update'*: $\bigwedge f \ a \ b. a \notin \text{keys } f \implies b \neq 0 \implies P \ f \implies P (\text{update } a \ b \ f)$

shows $P \ f$

<proof>

lemma *lookup-update:*

$\text{lookup } (\text{update } k \ v \ f) \ k' = (\text{if } k = k' \ \text{then } v \ \text{else } \text{lookup } f \ k')$

<proof>

lemma *keys-update:*

$\text{keys } (\text{update } k \ v \ f) = (\text{if } v = 0 \ \text{then } \text{keys } f - \{k\} \ \text{else } \text{insert } k (\text{keys } f))$

<proof>

28.11 Quasi-functorial structure

lift-definition *map* :: $('b::\text{zero}) \Rightarrow ('c::\text{zero})$

$\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'c::\text{zero})$

is $\lambda g \ f \ k. g (f \ k)$ when $f \ k \neq 0$

<proof>

context

fixes $f :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a$

assumes *inj-f*: $\text{inj } f$

begin

lift-definition *map-key* :: ('a ⇒₀ 'c::zero) ⇒ 'b ⇒₀ 'c
 is λp. p ∘ f
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *map-key-compose*:
 assumes [transfer-rule]: inj f inj g
 shows *map-key* f (map-key g p) = map-key (g ∘ f) p
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-key-id*:
 map-key (λx. x) p = p
 ⟨proof⟩

context
 fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b
 assumes inj-f [transfer-rule]: inj f
begin

lemma *map-key-map*:
 map-key f (map g p) = map g (map-key f p)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-key-plus*:
 map-key f (p + q) = map-key f p + map-key f q
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *keys-map-key*:
 keys (map-key f p) = f -' keys p
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-key-zero* [simp]:
 map-key f 0 = 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-key-single* [simp]:
 map-key f (single (f k) v) = single k v
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *mult-map-scale-conv-mult*: map (op * s) p = single 0 s * p
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-single* [simp]:
 (c = 0 ⇒ f 0 = 0) ⇒ map f (single x c) = single x (f c)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-eq-zero-iff*: $map\ f\ p = 0 \iff (\forall k \in keys\ p. f\ (lookup\ p\ k) = 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

28.12 Canonical dense representation of $nat \Rightarrow_0 'a$

abbreviation *no-trailing-zeros* :: $'a :: zero\ list \Rightarrow bool$

where

no-trailing-zeros $\equiv no-trailing\ (op = 0)$

lift-definition *nth* :: $'a\ list \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow_0 'a::zero)$

is *nth-default 0*

⟨proof⟩

The opposite direction is directly specified on (later) type *nat-mapping*.

lemma *nth-Nil* [*simp*]:

nth [] = 0

⟨proof⟩

lemma *nth-singleton* [*simp*]:

nth [v] = *single 0 v*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *nth-replicate* [*simp*]:

nth (*replicate n 0 @ [v]*) = *single n v*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *nth-strip-while* [*simp*]:

nth (*strip-while* (*op = 0*) *xs*) = *nth xs*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *nth-strip-while'* [*simp*]:

nth (*strip-while* ($\lambda k. k = 0$) *xs*) = *nth xs*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *nth-eq-iff*:

$nth\ xs = nth\ ys \iff strip-while\ (HOL.eq\ 0)\ xs = strip-while\ (HOL.eq\ 0)\ ys$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *lookup-nth* [*simp*]:

lookup (*nth xs*) = *nth-default 0 xs*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *keys-nth* [*simp*]:

keys (*nth xs*) = *fst* ' $\{(n, v) \in set\ (enumerate\ 0\ xs). v \neq 0\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *range-nth* [*simp*]:

range (*nth xs*) = *set xs* - {0}

⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-nth*:

no-trailing-zeros xs \implies *degree (nth xs) = length xs*
<proof>

lemma *nth-trailing-zeros [simp]*:

nth (xs @ replicate n 0) = nth xs
<proof>

lemma *nth-idem*:

*nth (List.map (lookup f) [0..*degree f*]) = f*
<proof>

lemma *nth-idem-bound*:

assumes *degree f \leq n*
shows *nth (List.map (lookup f) [0..*n*]) = f*
<proof>

28.13 Canonical sparse representation of $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$

lift-definition *the-value* :: $('a \times 'b)$ list \Rightarrow $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero$

is $\lambda xs k.$ case map-of xs k of None \Rightarrow 0 | Some v \Rightarrow v
<proof>

definition *items* :: $('a::linorder \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b)$ list

where

items f = List.map ($\lambda k.$ (k, lookup f k)) (sorted-list-of-set (keys f))

For the canonical sparse representation we provide both directions of morphisms since the specification of ordered association lists in theory *OAL-ist* will support arbitrary linear orders *linorder* as keys, not just natural numbers *nat*.

lemma *the-value-items [simp]*:

the-value (items f) = f
<proof>

lemma *lookup-the-value*:

lookup (the-value xs) k = (case map-of xs k of None \Rightarrow 0 | Some v \Rightarrow v)
<proof>

lemma *items-the-value*:

assumes *sorted (List.map fst xs)* **and** *distinct (List.map fst xs)* **and** $0 \notin \text{snd } \text{set } xs$
shows *items (the-value xs) = xs*
<proof>

lemma *the-value-Nil [simp]*:

the-value [] = 0
<proof>

lemma *the-value-Cons* [simp]:
 $the_value\ (x\ \#\ xs) = update\ (fst\ x)\ (snd\ x)\ (the_value\ xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *items-zero* [simp]:
 $items\ 0 = []$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *items-one* [simp]:
 $items\ 1 = [(0, 1)]$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *items-single* [simp]:
 $items\ (single\ k\ v) = (if\ v = 0\ then\ []\ else\ [(k, v)])$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-set-items-iff* [simp]:
 $(k, v) \in set\ (items\ f) \longleftrightarrow k \in keys\ f \wedge lookup\ f\ k = v$
 ⟨proof⟩

28.14 Size estimation

context
 fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat$
 and $g :: 'b :: zero \Rightarrow nat$
begin

definition *poly-mapping-size* :: $('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow nat$
where

$$poly_mapping_size\ m = g\ 0 + (\sum k \in keys\ m. Suc\ (f\ k + g\ (lookup\ m\ k)))$$

lemma *poly-mapping-size-0* [simp]:
 $poly_mapping_size\ 0 = g\ 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *poly-mapping-size-single* [simp]:
 $poly_mapping_size\ (single\ k\ v) = (if\ v = 0\ then\ g\ 0\ else\ g\ 0 + f\ k + g\ v + 1)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *keys-less-poly-mapping-size*:
 $k \in keys\ m \implies f\ k + g\ (lookup\ m\ k) < poly_mapping_size\ m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lookup-le-poly-mapping-size*:
 $g\ (lookup\ m\ k) \leq poly_mapping_size\ m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *poly-mapping-size-estimation*:
 $k \in keys\ m \implies y \leq f\ k + g\ (lookup\ m\ k) \implies y < poly_mapping_size\ m$

<proof>

lemma *poly-mapping-size-estimation2*:

assumes $v \in \text{range } m$ **and** $y \leq g v$

shows $y < \text{poly-mapping-size } m$

<proof>

end

lemma *poly-mapping-size-one* [*simp*]:

$\text{poly-mapping-size } f g 1 = g 0 + f 0 + g 1 + 1$

<proof>

lemma *poly-mapping-size-cong* [*fundef-cong*]:

$m = m' \implies g 0 = g' 0 \implies (\bigwedge k. k \in \text{keys } m' \implies f k = f' k)$

$\implies (\bigwedge v. v \in \text{range } m' \implies g v = g' v)$

$\implies \text{poly-mapping-size } f g m = \text{poly-mapping-size } f' g' m'$

<proof>

instantiation *poly-mapping* :: (*type*, *zero*) *size*

begin

definition *size* = *poly-mapping-size* ($\lambda-. 0$) ($\lambda-. 0$)

instance *<proof>*

end

28.15 Further mapping operations and properties

It is like in algebra: there are many definitions, some are also used

lift-definition *mapp* ::

$('a \implies 'b :: \text{zero} \implies 'c :: \text{zero}) \implies ('a \implies_0 'b) \implies ('a \implies_0 'c)$

is $\lambda f p k. (\text{if } k \in \text{keys } p \text{ then } f k (\text{lookup } p k) \text{ else } 0)$

<proof>

lemma *mapp-cong* [*fundef-cong*]:

$\llbracket m = m'; \bigwedge k. k \in \text{keys } m' \implies f k (\text{lookup } m' k) = f' k (\text{lookup } m' k) \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{mapp } f m = \text{mapp } f' m'$

<proof>

lemma *lookup-mapp*:

$\text{lookup } (\text{mapp } f p) k = (f k (\text{lookup } p k) \text{ when } k \in \text{keys } p)$

<proof>

lemma *keys-mapp-subset*: $\text{keys } (\text{mapp } f p) \subseteq \text{keys } p$

<proof>

hide-const (**open**) *lookup single update keys range map map-key degree nth the-value*

items foldr mapp

end

29 An abstract type for multivariate polynomials

theory *PP-MPoly*
imports *PP-Poly-Mapping*
begin

29.1 Abstract type definition

typedef (overloaded) *'a mpoly* =
 UNIV :: ((*nat* \Rightarrow_0 *nat*) \Rightarrow_0 *'a::zero*) *set*
 morphisms *mapping-of MPoly*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

setup-lifting *type-definition-mpoly*

thm *mapping-of-inverse* **thm** *MPoly-inverse*
thm *mapping-of-inject* **thm** *MPoly-inject*
thm *mapping-of-induct* **thm** *MPoly-induct*
thm *mapping-of-cases* **thm** *MPoly-cases*

29.2 Additive structure

instantiation *mpoly* :: (*zero*) *zero*
begin

lift-definition *zero-mpoly* :: *'a mpoly*
 is *0* :: (*nat* \Rightarrow_0 *nat*) \Rightarrow_0 *'a* \langle *proof* \rangle

instance \langle *proof* \rangle

end

instantiation *mpoly* :: (*monoid-add*) *monoid-add*
begin

lift-definition *plus-mpoly* :: *'a mpoly* \Rightarrow *'a mpoly* \Rightarrow *'a mpoly*
 is *Groups.plus* :: ((*nat* \Rightarrow_0 *nat*) \Rightarrow_0 *'a*) \Rightarrow - \langle *proof* \rangle

instance
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

instance *mpoly* :: (*comm-monoid-add*) *comm-monoid-add*

<proof>

instantiation *mpoly* :: (*cancel-comm-monoid-add*) *cancel-comm-monoid-add*
begin

lift-definition *minus-mpoly* :: 'a *mpoly* \Rightarrow 'a *mpoly* \Rightarrow 'a *mpoly*
is *Groups.minus* :: ((*nat* \Rightarrow_0 *nat*) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow - *<proof>*

instance
<proof>

end

instantiation *mpoly* :: (*ab-group-add*) *ab-group-add*
begin

lift-definition *uminus-mpoly* :: 'a *mpoly* \Rightarrow 'a *mpoly*
is *Groups.uminus* :: ((*nat* \Rightarrow_0 *nat*) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow - *<proof>*

instance
<proof>

end

29.3 Multiplication by a coefficient

lift-definition *smult* :: 'a::{*times,zero*} \Rightarrow 'a *mpoly* \Rightarrow 'a *mpoly*
is $\lambda a. PP\text{-Poly}\text{-Mapping.map (Groups.times a) :: ((nat \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow -$
<proof>

29.4 Multiplicative structure

instantiation *mpoly* :: (*zero-neq-one*) *zero-neq-one*
begin

lift-definition *one-mpoly* :: 'a *mpoly*
is *1* :: ((*nat* \Rightarrow_0 *nat*) \Rightarrow_0 'a) *<proof>*

instance
<proof>

end

instantiation *mpoly* :: (*semiring-0*) *semiring-0*
begin

lift-definition *times-mpoly* :: 'a *mpoly* \Rightarrow 'a *mpoly* \Rightarrow 'a *mpoly*
is *Groups.times* :: ((*nat* \Rightarrow_0 *nat*) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow - *<proof>*

```

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instance mpoly :: (comm-semiring-0) comm-semiring-0
  ⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (semiring-0-cancel) semiring-0-cancel
  ⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (comm-semiring-0-cancel) comm-semiring-0-cancel
  ⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (semiring-1) semiring-1
  ⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (comm-semiring-1) comm-semiring-1
  ⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (semiring-1-cancel) semiring-1-cancel
  ⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (ring) ring
  ⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (comm-ring) comm-ring
  ⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (ring-1) ring-1
  ⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (comm-ring-1) comm-ring-1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

29.5 Monomials

Terminology is not unique here, so we use the notions as follows: A "monomial" and a "coefficient" together give a "term". These notions are significant in connection with "leading", "leading term", "leading coefficient" and "leading monomial", which all rely on a monomial order.

lift-definition *monom* :: (*nat* \Rightarrow_0 *nat*) \Rightarrow 'a::zero \Rightarrow 'a *mpoly*
is *PP-Poly-Mapping.single* :: (*nat* \Rightarrow_0 *nat*) \Rightarrow - ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mapping-of-monom* [*simp*]:
mapping-of (*monom* *m* *a*) = *PP-Poly-Mapping.single* *m* *a*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *monom-zero* [*simp*]:

$$\text{monom } 0 \ 0 = 0$$

<proof>

lemma *monom-one* [*simp*]:

$$\text{monom } 0 \ 1 = 1$$

<proof>

lemma *monom-add*:

$$\text{monom } m \ (a + b) = \text{monom } m \ a + \text{monom } m \ b$$

<proof>

lemma *monom-uminus*:

$$\text{monom } m \ (- a) = - \text{monom } m \ a$$

<proof>

lemma *monom-diff*:

$$\text{monom } m \ (a - b) = \text{monom } m \ a - \text{monom } m \ b$$

<proof>

lemma *monom-numeral* [*simp*]:

$$\text{monom } 0 \ (\text{numeral } n) = \text{numeral } n$$

<proof>

lemma *monom-of-nat* [*simp*]:

$$\text{monom } 0 \ (\text{of-nat } n) = \text{of-nat } n$$

<proof>

lemma *of-nat-monom*:

$$\text{of-nat} = \text{monom } 0 \ \circ \ \text{of-nat}$$

<proof>

lemma *inj-monom* [*iff*]:

$$\text{inj} \ (\text{monom } m)$$

<proof>

lemma *mult-monom*: $\text{monom } x \ a * \text{monom } y \ b = \text{monom } (x + y) \ (a * b)$

<proof>

instance *mpoly* :: (*semiring-char-0*) *semiring-char-0*

<proof>

instance *mpoly* :: (*ring-char-0*) *ring-char-0*

<proof>

lemma *monom-of-int* [*simp*]:

$$\text{monom } 0 \ (\text{of-int } k) = \text{of-int } k$$

<proof>

29.6 Integral domains

instance *mpoly* :: (*ring-no-zero-divisors*) *ring-no-zero-divisors*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

instance *mpoly* :: (*ring-1-no-zero-divisors*) *ring-1-no-zero-divisors*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

instance *mpoly* :: (*idom*) *idom*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

29.7 Monom coefficient lookup

definition *coeff* :: 'a::zero *mpoly* ⇒ (*nat* ⇒₀ *nat*) ⇒ 'a
where
coeff *p* = *PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup* (*mapping-of* *p*)

29.8 Insertion morphism

definition *insertion-fun-natural* :: (*nat* ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ((*nat* ⇒ *nat*) ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a::*comm-semiring-1*
where
insertion-fun-natural *f* *p* = (∑ *m*. *p* *m* * (∏ *v*. *f* *v* ^ *m* *v*))

definition *insertion-fun* :: (*nat* ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ((*nat* ⇒₀ *nat*) ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a::*comm-semiring-1*
where
insertion-fun *f* *p* = (∑ *m*. *p* *m* * (∏ *v*. *f* *v* ^ *PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup* *m* *v*))

N.b. have been unable to relate this to *insertion-fun-natural* using lifting!

lift-definition *insertion-aux* :: (*nat* ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ((*nat* ⇒₀ *nat*) ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ 'a::*comm-semiring-1*
is *insertion-fun* ⟨*proof*⟩

lift-definition *insertion* :: (*nat* ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a *mpoly* ⇒ 'a::*comm-semiring-1*
is *insertion-aux* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *aux*:
PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup *f* = (λ-. 0) ↔ *f* = 0
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *insertion-trivial* [*simp*]:
insertion (λ-. 0) *p* = *coeff* *p* 0
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *insertion-zero* [*simp*]:
insertion *f* 0 = 0
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *insertion-fun-add*:
fixes *f* *p* *q*
shows *insertion-fun* *f* (*PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup* (*p* + *q*)) =
insertion-fun *f* (*PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup* *p*) +

insertion-fun f (PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup q)
<proof>

lemma *insertion-add*:
insertion f (p + q) = insertion f p + insertion f q
<proof>

lemma *insertion-one [simp]*:
insertion f 1 = 1
<proof>

lemma *insertion-fun-mult*:
fixes *f p q*
shows *insertion-fun f (PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup (p * q)) =*
*insertion-fun f (PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup p) **
insertion-fun f (PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup q)
<proof>

lemma *insertion-mult*:
*insertion f (p * q) = insertion f p * insertion f q*
<proof>

29.9 Degree

lift-definition *degree :: 'a::zero mpoly \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat*
is $\lambda p v. \text{Max} (\text{insert } 0 ((\lambda m. \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } m v) \text{ 'PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } p))$ *<proof>*

lift-definition *total-degree :: 'a::zero mpoly \Rightarrow nat*
is $\lambda p. \text{Max} (\text{insert } 0 ((\lambda m. \text{sum} (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } m) (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } m)) \text{ 'PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } p))$ *<proof>*

lemma *degree-zero [simp]*:
degree 0 v = 0
<proof>

lemma *total-degree-zero [simp]*:
total-degree 0 = 0
<proof>

lemma *degree-one [simp]*:
degree 1 v = 0
<proof>

lemma *total-degree-one [simp]*:
total-degree 1 = 0
<proof>

29.10 Pseudo-division of polynomials

lemma *smult-conv-mult*: $smult\ s\ p = monom\ 0\ s * p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *smult-monom* [simp]:
 fixes $c :: - :: mult-zero$
 shows $smult\ c\ (monom\ x\ c') = monom\ x\ (c * c')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *smult-0* [simp]:
 fixes $p :: - :: mult-zero\ mpoly$
 shows $smult\ 0\ p = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mult-smult-left*: $smult\ s\ p * q = smult\ s\ (p * q)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *sdiv* :: $'a::ring-div \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly$
 is $\lambda a. PP-Poly-Mapping.map\ (\lambda b. b\ div\ a) :: ((nat \Rightarrow_0\ nat) \Rightarrow_0\ 'a) \Rightarrow -$
 ⟨proof⟩

‘Polynomial division’ is only possible on univariate polynomials $K[x]$ over a field K , all other kinds of polynomials only allow pseudo-division [1]p.40/41”:

$\forall x\ y :: 'a\ mpoly. y \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists a\ q\ r. smult\ a\ x = q * y + r$

The introduction of pseudo-division below generalises `~/src/HOL/Computational_Algebra/Polynomial.thy`. [1] Winkler, Polynomial Algorithms, 1996. The generalisation raises issues addressed by Wenda Li and commented below. Florian replied to the issues conjecturing, that the abstract `mpoly` needs not be aware of the issues, in case these are only concerned with executability.

definition *pseudo-divmod-rel*
 :: $'a::ring-div \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly \Rightarrow bool$
where
 $pseudo-divmod-rel\ a\ x\ y\ q\ r \iff$
 $smult\ a\ x = q * y + r \wedge (if\ y = 0\ then\ q = 0\ else\ r = 0 \vee degree\ r < degree\ y)$

definition *pdiv* :: $'a::ring-div\ mpoly \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a\ mpoly)$ (**infixl** *pdiv* 70)

where
 $x\ pdiv\ y = (THE\ (a, q). \exists r. pseudo-divmod-rel\ a\ x\ y\ q\ r)$

definition *pmod* :: $'a::ring-div\ mpoly \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly$ (**infixl** *pmod* 70)

where
 $x\ pmod\ y = (THE\ r. \exists a\ q. pseudo-divmod-rel\ a\ x\ y\ q\ r)$

definition *pdivmod* :: $'a::ring-div\ mpoly \Rightarrow 'a\ mpoly \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a\ mpoly) \times 'a\ mpoly$

where

$$pdivmod\ p\ q = (p\ pdiv\ q, p\ pmod\ q)$$

lemma *pdiv-code*:

$$p\ pdiv\ q = fst\ (pdivmod\ p\ q)$$

<proof>

lemma *pmod-code*:

$$p\ pmod\ q = snd\ (pdivmod\ p\ q)$$

<proof>

definition *div* :: 'a::{ring-div,field} mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly (**infixl** *div* 70)

where

$$x\ div\ y = (THE\ q'.\ \exists\ a\ q\ r.\ (pseudo-divmod-rel\ a\ x\ y\ q\ r) \wedge (q' = smult\ (inverse\ a)\ q))$$

definition *mod* :: 'a::{ring-div,field} mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly (**infixl** *mod* 70)

where

$$x\ mod\ y = (THE\ r'.\ \exists\ a\ q\ r.\ (pseudo-divmod-rel\ a\ x\ y\ q\ r) \wedge (r' = smult\ (inverse\ a)\ r))$$

definition *divmod* :: 'a::{ring-div,field} mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly \times 'a mpoly

where

$$divmod\ p\ q = (p\ div\ q, p\ mod\ q)$$

lemma *div-poly-code*:

$$p\ div\ q = fst\ (divmod\ p\ q)$$

<proof>

lemma *mod-poly-code*:

$$p\ mod\ q = snd\ (divmod\ p\ q)$$

<proof>

29.11 Primitive poly, etc

lift-definition *coeffs* :: 'a :: zero mpoly \Rightarrow 'a set

is *PP-Poly-Mapping.range* :: ((nat \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow - *<proof>*

lemma *finite-coeffs [simp]: finite (coeffs p)*

<proof>

[1]p.82 A "primitive" polynomial has coefficients with GCD equal to 1. A polynomial is factored into "content" and "primitive part" for many different purposes.

definition *primitive* :: 'a::{ring-div,semiring-Gcd} mpoly \Rightarrow bool

where

primitive $p \iff \text{Gcd} (\text{coeffs } p) = 1$

definition *content-primitive* :: 'a::{ring-div,GCD.Gcd} mpoly \Rightarrow 'a \times 'a mpoly
where
content-primitive $p =$ (
 let $d = \text{Gcd} (\text{coeffs } p)$
 in $(d, \text{sdiv } d \ p)$)

value let $p = M [1,2,3] (4::\text{int}) + M [2,0,4] 6 + M [2,0,5] 8$
 in *content-primitive* p

end

theory *PP-More-MPoly*
imports *PP-MPoly*
begin

abbreviation *lookup* == *PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup*
abbreviation *keys* == *PP-Poly-Mapping.keys*

30 MPpoly Mapping extension

lemma *lookup-Abs-poly-mapping-when-finite*:
assumes *finite* S
shows *lookup* (*Abs-poly-mapping* $(\lambda x. f \ x \ \text{when } x \in S)$) = $(\lambda x. f \ x \ \text{when } x \in S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *remove-key*::'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b::monoid-add) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) **where**
remove-key $k0 \ f = \text{Abs-poly-mapping} (\lambda k. \text{lookup } f \ k \ \text{when } k \neq k0)$

lemma *remove-key-lookup*:
lookup (*remove-key* $k0 \ f$) $k = (\text{lookup } f \ k \ \text{when } k \neq k0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-key-keys*: *keys* $f - \{k\} = \text{keys} (\text{remove-key } k \ f)$ (**is** ?A = ?B)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-key-sum*: *remove-key* $k \ f + \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } k (\text{lookup } f \ k)$
 = f
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-key-single[simp]*: *remove-key* $v (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v \ n) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-key-add*: *remove-key* $v \ m + \text{remove-key } v \ m' = \text{remove-key } v \ (m + m')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-mapping-induct* [*case-names single sum*]:
fixes $P::('a, 'b::monoid-add) poly\text{-}mapping \Rightarrow bool$
assumes $single:\bigwedge k v. P (PP\text{-}Poly\text{-}Mapping.single\ k\ v)$
and $sum:(\bigwedge f\ g\ k\ v. P\ f \Longrightarrow P\ g \Longrightarrow g = (PP\text{-}Poly\text{-}Mapping.single\ k\ v) \Longrightarrow k \notin keys\ f \Longrightarrow P\ (f+g))$
shows $P\ f \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-lookup*:
assumes $g\ 0 = 0$
shows $lookup\ (PP\text{-}Poly\text{-}Mapping.map\ g\ f)\ x = g\ ((lookup\ f)\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *keys-add*:
assumes $keys\ f \cap keys\ g = \{\}$
shows $keys\ f \cup keys\ g = keys\ (f+g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-when*:
 $f\ 0 = 0 \Longrightarrow f\ (a\ when\ P) = (f\ a\ when\ P) \langle proof \rangle$

31 MPoly extension

lemma *coeff-all-0*: $(\bigwedge m. coeff\ p\ m = 0) \Longrightarrow p=0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *vars*:: $'a::zero\ mpoly \Rightarrow nat\ set$ **where**
 $vars\ p = UNION\ (keys\ (mapping\ of\ p))\ (\lambda m. keys\ m)$

lemma *vars-finite*: $finite\ (vars\ p) \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-monom-single*: $vars\ (monom\ (PP\text{-}Poly\text{-}Mapping.single\ v\ k)\ a) \subseteq \{v\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-monom-keys*:
assumes $a \neq 0$
shows $vars\ (monom\ m\ a) = keys\ m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-monom-subset*:
shows $vars\ (monom\ m\ a) \subseteq keys\ m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-monom-single-cases*: $vars\ (monom\ (PP\text{-}Poly\text{-}Mapping.single\ v\ k)\ a) = (if\ k=0 \vee a=0\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{v\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-monom*:

assumes $a \neq 0$

shows $\text{vars } (\text{monom } m \ (1::'a::\text{zero-neq-one})) = \text{vars } (\text{monom } m \ (a::'a))$
<proof>

lemma *vars-add*: $\text{vars } (p1 + p2) \subseteq \text{vars } p1 \cup \text{vars } p2$
<proof>

lemma *vars-mult*: $\text{vars } (p*q) \subseteq \text{vars } p \cup \text{vars } q$
<proof>

lemma *vars-add-monom*:

assumes $p2 = \text{monom } m \ a \ m \notin \text{keys } (\text{mapping-of } p1)$

shows $\text{vars } (p1 + p2) = \text{vars } p1 \cup \text{vars } p2$
<proof>

lemma *vars-setsum*: $\text{finite } S \implies \text{vars } (\sum_{m \in S}. f \ m) \subseteq (\bigcup_{m \in S}. \text{vars } (f \ m))$
<proof>

lemma *coeff-monom*: $\text{coeff } (\text{monom } m \ a) \ m' = (a \ \text{when } m'=m)$
<proof>

lemma *coeff-add*: $\text{coeff } p \ m + \text{coeff } q \ m = \text{coeff } (p+q) \ m$
<proof>

lemma *coeff-eq*: $\text{coeff } p = \text{coeff } q \longleftrightarrow p=q$ *<proof>*

lemma *coeff-monom-mult*: $\text{coeff } ((\text{monom } m' \ a) * q) \ (m' + m) = a * \text{coeff } q \ m$
<proof>

lemma *one-term-is-monomial*:

assumes $\text{card } (\text{keys } (\text{mapping-of } p)) \leq 1$

obtains m **where** $p = \text{monom } m \ (\text{coeff } p \ m)$
<proof>

definition *remove-term*:: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow 'a::\text{zero mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{mpoly}$ **where**
 $\text{remove-term } m0 \ p = \text{MPoly } (\text{Abs-poly-mapping } (\lambda m. \text{coeff } p \ m \ \text{when } m \neq m0))$

lemma *remove-term-coeff*: $\text{coeff } (\text{remove-term } m0 \ p) \ m = (\text{coeff } p \ m \ \text{when } m \neq m0)$
<proof>

lemma *coeff-keys*: $m \in \text{keys } (\text{mapping-of } p) \longleftrightarrow \text{coeff } p \ m \neq 0$ *<proof>*

lemma *remove-term-keys*:

shows $\text{keys } (\text{mapping-of } p) - \{m\} = \text{keys } (\text{mapping-of } (\text{remove-term } m \ p))$ **(is**
 $?A = ?B)$
<proof>

lemma *remove-term-sum*: $\text{remove-term } m \ p + \text{monom } m \ (\text{coeff } p \ m) = p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mpoly-induct* [case-names monom sum]:
assumes *monom*: $\bigwedge m \ a. P \ (\text{monom } m \ a)$
and *sum*: $(\bigwedge p1 \ p2 \ m \ a. P \ p1 \ \Longrightarrow \ P \ p2 \ \Longrightarrow \ p2 = (\text{monom } m \ a) \ \Longrightarrow \ m \notin \text{keys}$
 $(\text{mapping-of } p1) \ \Longrightarrow \ P \ (p1+p2))$
shows $P \ p$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *monom-pow*: $\text{monom } (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v \ n0) \ a \ ^n = \text{monom } (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single}$
 $v \ (n0*n)) \ (a \ ^n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insertion-fun-single*: $\text{insertion-fun } f \ (\lambda m. (a \ \text{when } (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single}$
 $(v::\text{nat}) \ (n::\text{nat})) = m)) = a * f \ v \ ^n \ (\text{is } ?i = -)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insertion-single[simp]*: $\text{insertion } f \ (\text{monom } (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } (v::\text{nat}))$
 $a) = a * f \ v \ ^n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insertion-fun-irrelevant-vars*:
fixes $p::(\text{nat} \ \Rightarrow_0 \ \text{nat}) \ \Rightarrow \ 'a::\text{comm-ring-1}$
assumes $\bigwedge m \ v. p \ m \ \neq \ 0 \ \Longrightarrow \ \text{lookup } m \ v \ \neq \ 0 \ \Longrightarrow \ f \ v = g \ v$
shows $\text{insertion-fun } f \ p = \text{insertion-fun } g \ p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insertion-aux-irrelevant-vars*:
fixes $p::(\text{nat} \ \Rightarrow_0 \ \text{nat}) \ \Rightarrow_0 \ 'a::\text{comm-ring-1}$
assumes $\bigwedge m \ v. \text{lookup } p \ m \ \neq \ 0 \ \Longrightarrow \ \text{lookup } m \ v \ \neq \ 0 \ \Longrightarrow \ f \ v = g \ v$
shows $\text{insertion-aux } f \ p = \text{insertion-aux } g \ p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insertion-irrelevant-vars*:
fixes $p::'a::\text{comm-ring-1} \ \text{mpoly}$
assumes $\bigwedge v. v \in \text{vars } p \ \Longrightarrow \ f \ v = g \ v$
shows $\text{insertion } f \ p = \text{insertion } g \ p$
 ⟨proof⟩

32 Nested MPoly

definition *reduce-nested-mpoly*: $'a::\text{comm-ring-1} \ \text{mpoly} \ \text{mpoly} \ \Rightarrow \ 'a \ \text{mpoly}$ **where**
 $\text{reduce-nested-mpoly } pp = \text{insertion } (\lambda v. \text{monom } (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v \ 1)) \ pp$

lemma *reduce-nested-mpoly-sum*:
fixes $p1::'a::\text{comm-ring-1} \ \text{mpoly} \ \text{mpoly}$

shows $\text{reduce-nested-mpoly } (p1 + p2) = \text{reduce-nested-mpoly } p1 + \text{reduce-nested-mpoly } p2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reduce-nested-mpoly-prod*:
fixes $p1 :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 } \text{mpoly } \text{mpoly}$
shows $\text{reduce-nested-mpoly } (p1 * p2) = \text{reduce-nested-mpoly } p1 * \text{reduce-nested-mpoly } p2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reduce-nested-mpoly-0*:
shows $\text{reduce-nested-mpoly } 0 = 0$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insertion-nested-poly*:
fixes $pp :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 } \text{mpoly } \text{mpoly}$
shows $\text{insertion } f (\text{insertion } (\lambda v. \text{monom } 0 (f v)) pp) = \text{insertion } f (\text{reduce-nested-mpoly } pp)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *extract-var* :: $'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 } \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 } \text{mpoly}$
where
 $\text{extract-var } p v = (\sum m. \text{monom } (\text{remove-key } v m) (\text{monom } (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v (\text{lookup } m v)) (\text{coeff } p m)))$

lemma *extract-var-finite-set*:
assumes $\{m'. \text{coeff } p m' \neq 0\} \subseteq S$
assumes *finite* S
shows $\text{extract-var } p v = (\sum m \in S. \text{monom } (\text{remove-key } v m) (\text{monom } (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v (\text{lookup } m v)) (\text{coeff } p m)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *extract-var-non-zero-coeff*: $\text{extract-var } p v = (\sum m \in \{m'. \text{coeff } p m' \neq 0\}. \text{monom } (\text{remove-key } v m) (\text{monom } (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v (\text{lookup } m v)) (\text{coeff } p m)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *extract-var-sum*: $\text{extract-var } (p+p') v = \text{extract-var } p v + \text{extract-var } p' v$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *extract-var-monom*:
shows $\text{extract-var } (\text{monom } m a) v = \text{monom } (\text{remove-key } v m) (\text{monom } (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v (\text{lookup } m v)) a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *extract-var-monom-mult*:
shows $\text{extract-var } (\text{monom } (m+m') (a*b)) v = \text{extract-var } (\text{monom } m a) v *$

extract-var (monom m' b) v
<proof>

lemma *extract-var-single*: *extract-var* (monom (PP-Poly-Mapping.single v n) a)
 $v = \text{monom } 0$ (monom (PP-Poly-Mapping.single v n) a)
<proof>

lemma *extract-var-single'*:
assumes $v \neq v'$
shows *extract-var* (monom (PP-Poly-Mapping.single v n) a) $v' = \text{monom}$ (PP-Poly-Mapping.single
 v n) (monom 0 a)
<proof>

lemma *reduce-nested-mpoly-extract-var*:
fixes $p::'a::\text{comm-ring-1}$ mpoly
shows *reduce-nested-mpoly* (*extract-var* p v) = p
<proof>

lemma *vars-extract-var-subset*: *vars* (*extract-var* p v) \subseteq *vars* p
<proof>

lemma *v-not-in-vars-extract-var*: $v \notin \text{vars}$ (*extract-var* p v)
<proof>

lemma *vars-coeff-extract-var*: *vars* (*coeff* (*extract-var* p v) j) \subseteq $\{v\}$
<proof>

definition *replace-coeff*
where *replace-coeff* f $p = \text{MPoly}$ (*Abs-poly-mapping* ($\lambda m. f$ (*lookup* (*mapping-of*
 p) m)))

lemma *coeff-replace-coeff*:
assumes $f\ 0 = 0$
shows *coeff* (*replace-coeff* f p) $m = f$ (*coeff* p m)
<proof>

lemma *replace-coeff-monom*:
assumes $f\ 0 = 0$
shows *replace-coeff* f (monom m a) = monom m (f a)
<proof>

lemma *replace-coeff-add*:
assumes $f\ 0 = 0$
assumes $\bigwedge a\ b. f\ (a+b) = f\ a + f\ b$
shows *replace-coeff* f ($p1 + p2$) = *replace-coeff* f $p1 + \text{replace-coeff}$ f $p2$
<proof>

lemma *insertion-replace-coeff*:

fixes $pp::'a::comm-ring-1\ mpoly\ mpoly$
shows $insertion\ f\ (replace-coeff\ (insertion\ f)\ pp) = insertion\ f\ (reduce-nested-mpoly\ pp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $replace-coeff-extract-var-cong$:
assumes $f\ v = g\ v$
shows $replace-coeff\ (insertion\ f)\ (extract-var\ p\ v) = replace-coeff\ (insertion\ g)\ (extract-var\ p\ v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vars-replace-coeff$:
assumes $f\ 0 = 0$
shows $vars\ (replace-coeff\ f\ p) \subseteq vars\ p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

33 Polynomials representing the Deep Network Model

theory $DL-Deep-Model-Poly$
imports $DL-Deep-Model\ PP-More-MPoly\ Jordan-Normal-Form.Determinant$
begin

definition $polyfun\ N\ f = (\exists p. vars\ p \subseteq N \wedge (\forall x. insertion\ x\ p = f\ x))$

lemma $polyfunI$: $(\bigwedge P. (\bigwedge p. vars\ p \subseteq N \implies (\bigwedge x. insertion\ x\ p = f\ x) \implies P) \implies P) \implies polyfun\ N\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $polyfun-subset$: $N \subseteq N' \implies polyfun\ N\ f \implies polyfun\ N'\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $polyfun-const$: $polyfun\ N\ (\lambda-. c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $polyfun-add$:
assumes $polyfun\ N\ f\ polyfun\ N\ g$
shows $polyfun\ N\ (\lambda x. f\ x + g\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $polyfun-mult$:
assumes $polyfun\ N\ f\ polyfun\ N\ g$
shows $polyfun\ N\ (\lambda x. f\ x * g\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $polyfun-Sum$:
assumes $finite\ I$
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies polyfun\ N\ (f\ i)$

shows *polyfun* N $(\lambda x. \sum_{i \in I}. f\ i\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *polyfun-Prod*:
assumes *finite* I
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{polyfun } N\ (f\ i)$
shows *polyfun* N $(\lambda x. \prod_{i \in I}. f\ i\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *polyfun-single*:
assumes $i \in N$
shows *polyfun* N $(\lambda x. x\ i)$
<proof>

lemma *polyfun-det*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. (A\ x) \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$
assumes $\bigwedge x\ i\ j. i < n \implies j < n \implies \text{polyfun } N\ (\lambda x. (A\ x)\ \$\$ (i,j))$
shows *polyfun* N $(\lambda x. \text{det } (A\ x))$
<proof>

lemma *polyfun-extract-matrix*:
assumes $i < m\ j < n$
shows *polyfun* $\{.. < a + (m * n + c)\}$ $(\lambda f. \text{extract-matrix } (\lambda i. f\ (i + a))\ m\ n\ \$\$ (i,j))$
<proof>

lemma *polyfun-mult-mat-vec*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. v\ x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
assumes $\bigwedge j. j < n \implies \text{polyfun } N\ (\lambda x. v\ x\ \$\ j)$
assumes $\bigwedge x. A\ x \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ n$
assumes $\bigwedge i\ j. i < m \implies j < n \implies \text{polyfun } N\ (\lambda x. A\ x\ \$\$ (i,j))$
assumes $j < m$
shows *polyfun* N $(\lambda x. ((A\ x) *_v (v\ x))\ \$\ j)$
<proof>

lemma *polyfun-evaluate-net-plus-a*:
assumes *map dim-vec inputs = input-sizes* m
assumes *valid-net* m
assumes $j < \text{output-size } m$
shows *polyfun* $\{.. < a + \text{count-weights } m\}$ $(\lambda f. \text{evaluate-net } (\text{insert-weights } m\ (\lambda i. f\ (i + a)))\ \text{inputs}\ \$\ j)$
<proof>

lemma *polyfun-evaluate-net*:
assumes *map dim-vec inputs = input-sizes* m
assumes *valid-net* m
assumes $j < \text{output-size } m$
shows *polyfun* $\{.. < \text{count-weights } m\}$ $(\lambda f. \text{evaluate-net } (\text{insert-weights } m\ f)\ \text{inputs})$

$\$ j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *polyfun-tensors-from-net*:

assumes *valid-net* m

assumes $is \triangleleft input\text{-sizes } m$

assumes $j < output\text{-size } m$

shows $polyfun \{..<count\text{-weights } m\} (\lambda f. Tensor.lookup (tensors\text{-from-net } (insert\text{-weights } m f) \$ j) is)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *polyfun-matricize*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. dims (T x) = ds$

assumes $\bigwedge is. is \triangleleft ds \implies polyfun N (\lambda x. Tensor.lookup (T x) is)$

assumes $\bigwedge x. dim\text{-row } (matricize I (T x)) = nr$

assumes $\bigwedge x. dim\text{-col } (matricize I (T x)) = nc$

assumes $i < nr$

assumes $j < nc$

shows $polyfun N (\lambda x. matricize I (T x) \$\$ (i,j))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\neg (a::nat) < b) = (a \geq b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *polyfun-submatrix*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. (A x) \in carrier\text{-mat } m n$

assumes $\bigwedge x i j. i < m \implies j < n \implies polyfun N (\lambda x. (A x) \$\$ (i,j))$

assumes $i < card \{i. i < m \wedge i \in I\}$

assumes $j < card \{j. j < n \wedge j \in J\}$

assumes *infinite* I *infinite* J

shows $polyfun N (\lambda x. (submatrix (A x) I J) \$\$ (i,j))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context *deep-model-correct-params-y*

begin

definition *witness-submatrix* **where**

witness-submatrix $f = submatrix (A' f) rows\text{-with-1 } rows\text{-with-1}$

lemma *polyfun-tensor-deep-model*:

assumes $is \triangleleft input\text{-sizes } (deep\text{-model-l } rs)$

shows $polyfun \{..<weight\text{-space-dim}\}$

$(\lambda f. Tensor.lookup (tensors\text{-from-net } (insert\text{-weights } (deep\text{-model-l } rs) f) \$ y) is)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *input-sizes-deep-model*: $input\text{-sizes } (deep\text{-model-l } rs) = replicate (2 * N\text{-half})$

$(last rs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *polyfun-matrix-deep-model*:
assumes $i < (\text{last } rs) \wedge N\text{-half}$
assumes $j < (\text{last } rs) \wedge N\text{-half}$
shows $\text{polyfun } \{..<\text{weight-space-dim}\} (\lambda f. A' f \ \S\S (i,j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *polyfun-submatrix-deep-model*:
assumes $i < r \wedge N\text{-half}$
assumes $j < r \wedge N\text{-half}$
shows $\text{polyfun } \{..<\text{weight-space-dim}\} (\lambda f. \text{witness-submatrix } f \ \S\S (i,j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *polyfun-det-deep-model*:
shows $\text{polyfun } \{..<\text{weight-space-dim}\} (\lambda f. \text{det } (\text{witness-submatrix } f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

34 Alternative Lebesgue Measure Definition

theory *Lebesgue-Functional*
imports *HOL-Analysis.Lebesgue-Measure HOL.Topological-Spaces*
begin

Lebesgue_Measure.lborel is defined on the typeclass euclidean_space, which does not allow the space dimension to be dependent on a variable. As the Lebesgue measure of higher dimensions is the product measure of the one dimensional Lebesgue measure, we can easily define a more flexible version of the Lebesgue measure as follows. This version of the Lebesgue measure measures sets of functions from nat to real whose values are undefined for arguments higher than n. These "Extensional Function Spaces" are defined in HOL/Library/FuncSet.

definition *lborel-f* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \text{ measure}$ **where**
 $\text{lborel-f } n = (\Pi_M b \in \{..<n\}. \text{lborel})$

lemma *product-sigma-finite-interval*: $\text{product-sigma-finite } (\lambda b. \text{interval-measure } (\lambda x. x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l-lborel-f-1*: $\text{distr } (\text{lborel-f } 1) \text{ lborel } (\lambda x. x \ 0) = \text{lborel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *space-lborel-f*: $\text{space } (\text{lborel-f } n) = \text{Pi}_E \ \{..<n\} (\lambda-. \text{UNIV}) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *space-lborel-f-subset*: $\text{space } (\text{lborel-f } n) \subseteq \text{space } (\text{lborel-f } (\text{Suc } n))$

<proof>

lemma *space-lborel-add-dim:*

assumes $f \in \text{space } (\text{lborel-f } n)$

shows $f(n:=x) \in \text{space } (\text{lborel-f } (\text{Suc } n))$

<proof>

lemma *integral-lborel-f:*

assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{lborel-f } (\text{Suc } n))$

shows $\text{integral}^N (\text{lborel-f } (\text{Suc } n)) f = \int^+ y. \int^+ x. f (x(n := y)) \partial \text{lborel-f } n$
 ∂lborel

<proof>

lemma *emeasure-lborel-f-Suc:*

assumes $A \in \text{sets } (\text{lborel-f } (\text{Suc } n))$

assumes $\bigwedge y. \{x \in \text{space } (\text{lborel-f } n). x(n := y) \in A\} \in \text{sets } (\text{lborel-f } n)$

shows $\text{emeasure } (\text{lborel-f } (\text{Suc } n)) A = \int^+ y. \text{emeasure } (\text{lborel-f } n) \{x \in \text{space}$
 $(\text{lborel-f } n). x(n := y) \in A\} \partial \text{lborel}$

<proof>

lemma *lborel-f-measurable-add-dim:* $(\lambda f. f(n := x)) \in \text{measurable } (\text{lborel-f } n)$
 $(\text{lborel-f } (\text{Suc } n))$

<proof>

lemma *sets-lborel-f-sub-dim:*

assumes $A \in \text{sets } (\text{lborel-f } (\text{Suc } n))$

shows $\{x. x(n := y) \in A\} \cap \text{space } (\text{lborel-f } n) \in \text{sets } (\text{lborel-f } n)$

<proof>

lemma *lborel-f-measurable-restrict:*

assumes $m \leq n$

shows $(\lambda f. \text{restrict } f \{..<m\}) \in \text{measurable } (\text{lborel-f } n) (\text{lborel-f } m)$

<proof>

lemma *lborel-measurable-sub-dim:* $(\lambda f. \text{restrict } f \{..<n\}) \in \text{measurable } (\text{lborel-f}$
 $(\text{Suc } n)) (\text{lborel-f } n)$

<proof>

lemma *measurable-lborel-component [measurable]:*

assumes $k < n$

shows $(\lambda x. x k) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{lborel-f } n)$

<proof>

end

theory *PP-Univariate*

imports *PP-MPoly PP-More-MPoly HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial*

begin

This file connects univariate MPolys to the theory of univariate polyno-

mials from `~/src/HOL/Computational_Algebra/Polynomial.thy`.

definition *poly-to-mpoly*:: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{comm-monoid-add poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mpoly}$
where *poly-to-mpoly* $v p = \text{MPoly } (\text{Abs-poly-mapping } (\lambda m. (\text{coeff } p (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } m \ v))) \text{ when } \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } m \subseteq \{v\}))$

lemma *poly-to-mpoly-finite*: $\text{finite } \{m::\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}. (\text{coeff } p (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.lookup } m \ v) \text{ when } \text{PP-Poly-Mapping.keys } m \subseteq \{v\}) \neq 0\}$ (is finite ?M)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coeff-poly-to-mpoly*: $\text{PP-MPoly.coeff } (\text{poly-to-mpoly } v \ p) (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v \ k) = \text{Polynomial.coeff } p \ k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *mpoly-to-poly*:: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{comm-monoid-add mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly}$
where *mpoly-to-poly* $v p = \text{Abs-poly } (\lambda k. \text{PP-MPoly.coeff } p (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v \ k))$

lemma *coeff-mpoly-to-poly[simp]*: $\text{Polynomial.coeff } (\text{mpoly-to-poly } v \ p) \ k = \text{PP-MPoly.coeff } p (\text{PP-Poly-Mapping.single } v \ k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mpoly-to-poly-inverse*:
assumes $\text{vars } p \subseteq \{v\}$
shows $\text{poly-to-mpoly } v (\text{mpoly-to-poly } v \ p) = p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-to-mpoly-inverse*: $\text{mpoly-to-poly } v (\text{poly-to-mpoly } v \ p) = p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-to-mpoly0*: $\text{poly-to-mpoly } v \ 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mpoly-to-poly-add*: $\text{mpoly-to-poly } v (p1 + p2) = \text{mpoly-to-poly } v \ p1 + \text{mpoly-to-poly } v \ p2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-eq-insertion*:
assumes $\text{vars } p \subseteq \{v\}$
shows $\text{poly } (\text{mpoly-to-poly } v \ p) \ x = \text{insertion } (\lambda v. \ x) \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Using the new connection between MPoly and univariate polynomials, we can transfer:

lemma *univariate-mpoly-roots-finite*:
fixes $p::'a::\text{idom mpoly}$
assumes $\text{vars } p \subseteq \{v\} \ p \neq 0$
shows $\text{finite } \{x. \text{insertion } (\lambda v. \ x) \ p = 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

35 Lebesgue Measure of Polynomial Zero Sets

theory *Lebesgue-Zero-Set*
imports *PP-MPoly PP-More-MPoly Lebesgue-Functional PP-Univariate*
begin

lemma *measurable-insertion* [*measurable*]:
assumes *vars p* \subseteq $\{..<n\}$
shows $(\lambda f. \text{insertion } f \text{ } p) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{lborel-} f \text{ } n)$
<proof>

This proof follows Richard Caron and Tim Traynor, "The zero set of a polynomial" <http://www1.uwindsor.ca/math/sites/uwindsor.ca.math/files/05-03.pdf>

lemma *lebesgue-mpoly-zero-set*:
fixes *p::real mpoly*
assumes $p \neq 0$ *vars p* \subseteq $\{..<n\}$
shows $\{f \in \text{space } (\text{lborel-} f \text{ } n). \text{insertion } f \text{ } p = 0\} \in \text{null-sets } (\text{lborel-} f \text{ } n)$
<proof>

end

36 Rank and Submatrices

theory *DL-Rank-Submatrix*
imports *DL-Rank DL-Submatrix DL-Missing-Matrix*
begin

lemma *row-submatrix-UNIV*:
assumes $i < \text{card } \{i. i < \text{dim-row } A \wedge i \in I\}$
shows $\text{row } (\text{submatrix } A \text{ } I \text{ } \text{UNIV}) \text{ } i = \text{row } A \text{ } (\text{pick } I \text{ } i)$
<proof>

lemma *distinct-cols-submatrix-UNIV*:
assumes *distinct* $(\text{cols } (\text{submatrix } A \text{ } I \text{ } \text{UNIV}))$
shows *distinct* $(\text{cols } A)$
<proof>

lemma *cols-submatrix-subset*: $\text{set } (\text{cols } (\text{submatrix } A \text{ } \text{UNIV } J)) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{cols } A)$
<proof>

lemma (**in** *vec-space*) *lin-dep-submatrix-UNIV*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$
assumes *lin-dep* $(\text{set } (\text{cols } A))$
assumes *distinct* $(\text{cols } (\text{submatrix } A \text{ } I \text{ } \text{UNIV}))$

shows *LinearCombinations.module.lin-dep class-ring* (module-vec TYPE('a) (card {i. i < n ∧ i ∈ I})) (set (cols (submatrix A I UNIV)))
 (is *LinearCombinations.module.lin-dep class-ring* ?M (set ?S'))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *vec-space*) *rank-gt-minor*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } nc$
assumes $\det (\text{submatrix } A \text{ } I \text{ } J) \neq 0$
shows $\text{card } \{j. j < nc \wedge j \in J\} \leq \text{rank } A$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

37 Shallow Network Model

theory *DL-Shallow-Model*
imports *DL-Network Tensor-Rank*
begin

fun *shallow-model'* **where**
shallow-model' Z M 0 = Conv (Z,M) (Input M) |
shallow-model' Z M (Suc N) = Pool (shallow-model' Z M 0) (shallow-model' Z M N)

definition *shallow-model* **where**
shallow-model Y Z M N = Conv (Y,Z) (shallow-model' Z M N)

lemma *valid-shallow-model'*: *valid-net (shallow-model' Z M N)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *output-size-shallow-model'*: *output-size (shallow-model' Z M N) = Z*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *valid-shallow-model*: *valid-net (shallow-model Y Z M N)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *output-size-shallow-model*: *output-size (shallow-model Y Z M N) = Y*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *input-sizes-shallow-model*: *input-sizes (shallow-model Y Z M N) = replicate (Suc N) M*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cprank-max1-shallow-model'*:
assumes $y < \text{output-size (shallow-model' Z M N)}$
shows *cprank-max1 (tensors-from-net (insert-weights (shallow-model' Z M N) w) \$ y)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cprank-shallow-model*:
assumes *remove-weights* $m = \text{shallow-model } Y \ Z \ M \ N$
assumes $y < Y$
shows $\text{cprank } (\text{tensors-from-net } m \ \$ \ y) \leq Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

38 Fundamental Theorem of Network Capacity

theory *DL-Fundamental-Theorem-Network-Capacity*
imports *DL-Rank-CP-Rank DL-Deep-Model-Poly Lebesgue-Zero-Set DL-Rank-Submatrix*
HOL-Analysis.Complete-Measure DL-Shallow-Model
begin

context *deep-model-correct-params-y*
begin

definition $\text{polynomial-f } w = \det (\text{submatrix } (\text{matricize } \{n. \text{ even } n\} (A \ w)) \ \text{rows-with-1} \ \text{rows-with-1})$

lemma *polyfun-polynomial*:
shows $\text{polyfun } \{.. < \text{weight-space-dim}\} \ \text{polynomial-f}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{polynomial-p} = (\text{SOME } p. \ \text{vars } p \subseteq \{.. < \text{weight-space-dim}\} \wedge (\forall x. \ \text{insertion } x \ p = \text{polynomial-f } x))$

lemma
polynomial-p-not-0: $\text{polynomial-p} \neq 0$ **and**
vars-polynomial-p: $\text{vars } \text{polynomial-p} \subseteq \{.. < \text{weight-space-dim}\}$ **and**
polynomial-pf: $\bigwedge w. \ \text{insertion } w \ \text{polynomial-p} = \text{polynomial-f } w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *if-polynomial-0-rank*:
assumes $\text{polynomial-f } w \neq 0$
shows $r \wedge N\text{-half} \leq \text{cprank } (A \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *if-polynomial-0-evaluate*:
assumes $\text{polynomial-f } wd \neq 0$
assumes $\forall \text{inputs}. \ \text{input-sizes } (\text{deep-model-l } rs) = \text{map } \text{dim-vec } \text{inputs} \longrightarrow \text{evaluate-net}$
 $(\text{insert-weights } (\text{deep-model-l } rs) \ wd) \ \text{inputs}$
 $= \text{evaluate-net } (\text{insert-weights } (\text{shallow-model } (rs \ ! \ 0) \ Z \ (\text{last } rs) \ (2 * N\text{-half} - 1))$
 $ws) \ \text{inputs}$
shows $Z \geq r \wedge N\text{-half}$

<proof>

lemma *if-polynomial-0-evaluate-netex:*

assumes *polynomial-f wd $\neq 0$*

shows $\neg(\exists \text{weights-shallow } Z. Z < r \wedge N\text{-half} \wedge (\forall \text{inputs. input-sizes (deep-model-l rs) = map dim-vec inputs} \longrightarrow$

evaluate-net (insert-weights (deep-model-l rs) wd) inputs

*= evaluate-net (insert-weights (shallow-model (rs ! 0) Z (last rs) (2*N-half-1)) ws) inputs))*

<proof>

theorem *fundamental-theorem-network-capacity:*

AE x in lborel-f weight-space-dim. r \wedge N-half \leq cprank (A x)

<proof>

theorem *fundamental-theorem-network-capacity-v2:*

shows *AE wd in lborel-f weight-space-dim.*

$\neg(\exists ws Z. Z < r \wedge N\text{-half} \wedge (\forall \text{inputs. input-sizes (deep-model-l rs) = map dim-vec inputs} \longrightarrow$

evaluate-net (insert-weights (deep-model-l rs) wd) inputs

*= evaluate-net (insert-weights (shallow-model (rs ! 0) Z (last rs) (2*N-half-1)) ws) inputs))*

<proof>

end

end

References

- [1] A. Bentkamp. An Isabelle Formalization of the Expressiveness of Deep Learning. Master's thesis, Universität des Saarlandes, 2016. http://matryoshka.gforge.inria.fr/bentkamp_msc.thesis.pdf.
- [2] N. Cohen, O. Sharir, and A. Shashua. On the expressive power of deep learning: A tensor analysis. In V. Feldman, A. Rakhlin, and O. Shamir, editors, *Conference on Learning Theory (COLT 2016)*, volume 49 of *JMLR Workshop and Conference Proceedings*, pages 698–728. JMLR.org, 2016.