

# Constructing the Reals as Dedekind Cuts of Rationals

Jacques D. Fleuriot and Lawrence C. Paulson

March 29, 2022

## **Abstract**

The type of real numbers is constructed from the positive rationals using the method of Dedekind cuts. This development, briefly described in papers by the authors [1, 2], follows the textbook presentation by Gleason [3]. It's notable that the first formalisation of a significant piece of mathematics, by Jutting [4] in 1977, involved a similar construction.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>The Reals as Dedekind Sections of Positive Rationals</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Dedekind cuts or sections . . . . .	3
1.2	Properties of Ordering . . . . .	5
1.3	Properties of Addition . . . . .	6
1.4	Properties of Multiplication . . . . .	6
1.5	Distribution of Multiplication across Addition . . . . .	7
1.6	Existence of Inverse, a Positive Real . . . . .	7
1.7	Gleason's Lemma 9-3.4, page 122 . . . . .	8
1.8	Gleason's Lemma 9-3.6 . . . . .	8
1.9	Existence of Inverse: Part 2 . . . . .	8
1.10	Subtraction for Positive Reals . . . . .	9
1.11	Completeness of type <i>preal</i> . . . . .	10
1.12	Defining the Reals from the Positive Reals . . . . .	10
1.13	Equivalence relation over positive reals . . . . .	12
1.14	Addition and Subtraction . . . . .	12
1.15	Multiplication . . . . .	13
1.16	Inverse and Division . . . . .	14
1.17	The Real Numbers form a Field . . . . .	14
1.18	The $\leq$ Ordering . . . . .	14
1.19	The Reals Form an Ordered Field . . . . .	15
1.20	Completeness of the reals . . . . .	16
1.21	Theorems About the Ordering . . . . .	16
1.22	Completeness of Positive Reals . . . . .	16
1.23	Completeness . . . . .	17
1.24	The Archimedean Property of the Reals . . . . .	17

**Remark.** This development was part of the Isabelle distribution from about 1999 to 2022. It has been transferred to the AFP, where it may be more useful.

# 1 The Reals as Dedekind Sections of Positive Rationals

Fundamentals of Abstract Analysis [Gleason, p. 121] provides some of the definitions.

```
theory Dedekind-Real
imports Complex-Main
begin
```

```
lemma add-eq-exists:  $\exists x. a+x = (b::'a::ab-group-add)$ 
  <proof>
```

## 1.1 Dedekind cuts or sections

**definition**

```
cut :: rat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
cut A  $\equiv$   $\{ \} \subset A \wedge A \subset \{0<..\} \wedge$ 
   $(\forall y \in A. ((\forall z. 0 < z \wedge z < y \longrightarrow z \in A) \wedge (\exists u \in A. y < u)))$ 
```

**lemma** *cut-of-rat*:

```
assumes q:  $0 < q$  shows cut  $\{r::rat. 0 < r \wedge r < q\}$  (is cut ?A)
<proof>
```

```
typedef preal = Collect cut
  <proof>
```

**lemma** *Abs-preal-induct* [*induct type: preal*]:

```
 $(\bigwedge x. \textit{cut } x \Longrightarrow P (\textit{Abs-preal } x)) \Longrightarrow P x$ 
<proof>
```

**lemma** *cut-Rep-preal* [*simp*]: *cut* (*Rep-preal* *x*)

*<proof>*

**definition**

```
psup :: preal set  $\Rightarrow$  preal where
psup P = Abs-preal  $(\bigcup X \in P. \textit{Rep-preal } X)$ 
```

**definition**

```
add-set :: [rat set, rat set]  $\Rightarrow$  rat set where
add-set A B =  $\{w. \exists x \in A. \exists y \in B. w = x + y\}$ 
```

**definition**

```
diff-set :: [rat set, rat set]  $\Rightarrow$  rat set where
diff-set A B =  $\{w. \exists x. 0 < w \wedge 0 < x \wedge x \notin B \wedge x + w \in A\}$ 
```

**definition**

```
mult-set :: [rat set, rat set]  $\Rightarrow$  rat set where
mult-set A B =  $\{w. \exists x \in A. \exists y \in B. w = x * y\}$ 
```

**definition**

*inverse-set* :: *rat set*  $\Rightarrow$  *rat set* **where**  
*inverse-set*  $A \equiv \{x. \exists y. 0 < x \wedge x < y \wedge \text{inverse } y \notin A\}$

**instantiation** *preal* :: {*ord*, *plus*, *minus*, *times*, *inverse*, *one*}  
**begin**

**definition**

*preal-less-def*:  
 $r < s \equiv \text{Rep-preal } r < \text{Rep-preal } s$

**definition**

*preal-le-def*:  
 $r \leq s \equiv \text{Rep-preal } r \subseteq \text{Rep-preal } s$

**definition**

*preal-add-def*:  
 $r + s \equiv \text{Abs-preal } (\text{add-set } (\text{Rep-preal } r) (\text{Rep-preal } s))$

**definition**

*preal-diff-def*:  
 $r - s \equiv \text{Abs-preal } (\text{diff-set } (\text{Rep-preal } r) (\text{Rep-preal } s))$

**definition**

*preal-mult-def*:  
 $r * s \equiv \text{Abs-preal } (\text{mult-set } (\text{Rep-preal } r) (\text{Rep-preal } s))$

**definition**

*preal-inverse-def*:  
 $\text{inverse } r \equiv \text{Abs-preal } (\text{inverse-set } (\text{Rep-preal } r))$

**definition**  $r \text{ div } s = r * \text{inverse } (s::\text{preal})$

**definition**

*preal-one-def*:  
 $1 \equiv \text{Abs-preal } \{x. 0 < x \wedge x < 1\}$

**instance**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

Reduces equality on abstractions to equality on representatives

**declare** *Abs-preal-inject* [*simp*]

**declare** *Abs-preal-inverse* [*simp*]

**lemma** *rat-mem-preal*:  $0 < q \implies \text{cut } \{r::\text{rat}. 0 < r \wedge r < q\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *preal-nonempty*:  $\text{cut } A \implies \exists x \in A. 0 < x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-Ex-mem*:  $\text{cut } A \implies \exists x. x \in A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-exists-bound*:  $\text{cut } A \implies \exists x. 0 < x \wedge x \notin A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-exists-greater*:  $\llbracket \text{cut } A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies \exists u \in A. y < u$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-downwards-closed*:  $\llbracket \text{cut } A; y \in A; 0 < z; z < y \rrbracket \implies z \in A$   
*<proof>*

Relaxing the final premise

**lemma** *preal-downwards-closed'*:  $\llbracket \text{cut } A; y \in A; 0 < z; z \leq y \rrbracket \implies z \in A$   
*<proof>*

A positive fraction not in a positive real is an upper bound. Gleason p. 122 - Remark (1)

**lemma** *not-in-preal-ub*:

**assumes** *A*:  $\text{cut } A$   
**and** *notx*:  $x \notin A$   
**and** *y*:  $y \in A$   
**and** *pos*:  $0 < x$   
**shows**  $y < x$

*<proof>*

preal lemmas instantiated to *Rep-preal X*

**lemma** *mem-Rep-preal-Ex*:  $\exists x. x \in \text{Rep-preal } X$

**thm** *preal-Ex-mem*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Rep-preal-exists-bound*:  $\exists x > 0. x \notin \text{Rep-preal } X$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *not-in-Rep-preal-ub = not-in-preal-ub* [*OF cut-Rep-preal*]

## 1.2 Properties of Ordering

**instance** *preal* :: *order*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-imp-pos*:  $\llbracket \text{cut } A; r \in A \rrbracket \implies 0 < r$

*<proof>*

**instance** *preal* :: *linorder*

*<proof>*

**instantiation** *preal* :: *distrib-lattice*  
**begin**

**definition**  
 $(inf :: preal \Rightarrow preal \Rightarrow preal) = min$

**definition**  
 $(sup :: preal \Rightarrow preal \Rightarrow preal) = max$

**instance**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

### 1.3 Properties of Addition

**lemma** *preal-add-commute*:  $(x::preal) + y = y + x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemmas for proving that addition of two positive reals gives a positive real

**lemma** *mem-add-set*:  
**assumes** *cut A cut B*  
**shows** *cut (add-set A B)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *preal-add-assoc*:  $((x::preal) + y) + z = x + (y + z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**instance** *preal* :: *ab-semigroup-add*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.4 Properties of Multiplication

Proofs essentially same as for addition

**lemma** *preal-mult-commute*:  $(x::preal) * y = y * x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Multiplication of two positive reals gives a positive real.

**lemma** *mem-mult-set*:  
**assumes** *cut A cut B*  
**shows** *cut (mult-set A B)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *preal-mult-assoc*:  $((x::preal) * y) * z = x * (y * z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**instance** *preal* :: *ab-semigroup-mult*

*<proof>*

Positive real 1 is the multiplicative identity element

**lemma** *preal-mult-1*:  $(1::preal) * z = z$

*<proof>*

**instance** *preal* :: *comm-monoid-mult*

*<proof>*

## 1.5 Distribution of Multiplication across Addition

**lemma** *mem-Rep-preal-add-iff*:

$(z \in \text{Rep-preal}(r+s)) = (\exists x \in \text{Rep-preal } r. \exists y \in \text{Rep-preal } s. z = x + y)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *mem-Rep-preal-mult-iff*:

$(z \in \text{Rep-preal}(r*s)) = (\exists x \in \text{Rep-preal } r. \exists y \in \text{Rep-preal } s. z = x * y)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *distrib-subset1*:

$\text{Rep-preal } (w * (x + y)) \subseteq \text{Rep-preal } (w * x + w * y)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-mult-distrib-mean*:

**assumes** *a*:  $a \in \text{Rep-preal } w$

**and** *b*:  $b \in \text{Rep-preal } w$

**and** *d*:  $d \in \text{Rep-preal } x$

**and** *e*:  $e \in \text{Rep-preal } y$

**shows**  $\exists c \in \text{Rep-preal } w. a * d + b * e = c * (d + e)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *distrib-subset2*:

$\text{Rep-preal } (w * x + w * y) \subseteq \text{Rep-preal } (w * (x + y))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-mult-distrib2*:  $(w * ((x::preal) + y)) = (w * x) + (w * y)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-mult-distrib*:  $((x::preal) + y) * w = (x * w) + (y * w)$

*<proof>*

**instance** *preal* :: *comm-semiring*

*<proof>*

## 1.6 Existence of Inverse, a Positive Real

**lemma** *mem-inverse-set*:

**assumes** *cut A* **shows** *cut* (*inverse-set A*)

*<proof>*

## 1.7 Gleason's Lemma 9-3.4, page 122

**lemma** *Gleason9-34-exists:*

**assumes**  $A$ : *cut*  $A$

**and**  $\forall x \in A. x + u \in A$

**and**  $0 \leq z$

**shows**  $\exists b \in A. b + (\text{of-int } z) * u \in A$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Gleason9-34-contr:*

**assumes**  $A$ : *cut*  $A$

**shows**  $\llbracket \forall x \in A. x + u \in A; 0 < u; 0 < y; y \notin A \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Gleason9-34:*

**assumes** *cut*  $A$   $0 < u$

**shows**  $\exists r \in A. r + u \notin A$

*<proof>*

## 1.8 Gleason's Lemma 9-3.6

**lemma** *lemma-gleason9-36:*

**assumes**  $A$ : *cut*  $A$

**and**  $x: 1 < x$

**shows**  $\exists r \in A. r * x \notin A$

*<proof>*

## 1.9 Existence of Inverse: Part 2

**lemma** *mem-Rep-preal-inverse-iff:*

$(z \in \text{Rep-preal}(\text{inverse } r)) \longleftrightarrow (0 < z \wedge (\exists y. z < y \wedge \text{inverse } y \notin \text{Rep-preal } r))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Rep-preal-one:*

$\text{Rep-preal } 1 = \{x. 0 < x \wedge x < 1\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subset-inverse-mult-lemma:*

**assumes**  $xpos: 0 < x$  **and**  $xless: x < 1$

**shows**  $\exists v \ u \ y. 0 < v \wedge v < y \wedge \text{inverse } y \notin \text{Rep-preal } R \wedge$

$u \in \text{Rep-preal } R \wedge x = v * u$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subset-inverse-mult:*

$\text{Rep-preal } 1 \subseteq \text{Rep-preal}(\text{inverse } r * r)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *inverse-mult-subset:*  $\text{Rep-preal}(\text{inverse } r * r) \subseteq \text{Rep-preal } 1$

*<proof>*



**lemma** *preal-mult-inverse*:  $\text{inverse } r * r = (1::\text{preal})$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-mult-inverse-right*:  $r * \text{inverse } r = (1::\text{preal})$   
*<proof>*

Theorems needing *Gleason9-34*

**lemma** *Rep-preal-self-subset*:  $\text{Rep-preal } (r) \subseteq \text{Rep-preal}(r + s)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Rep-preal-sum-not-subset*:  $\sim \text{Rep-preal } (r + s) \subseteq \text{Rep-preal}(r)$   
*<proof>*

at last, Gleason prop. 9-3.5(iii) page 123

**proposition** *preal-self-less-add-left*:  $(r::\text{preal}) < r + s$   
*<proof>*

## 1.10 Subtraction for Positive Reals

gleason prop. 9-3.5(iv), page 123: proving  $a < b \implies \exists d. a + d = b$ . We define the claimed  $D$  and show that it is a positive real

**lemma** *mem-diff-set*:

**assumes**  $r < s$

**shows** *cut* (*diff-set* (*Rep-preal*  $s$ ) (*Rep-preal*  $r$ ))

*<proof>*

**lemma** *mem-Rep-preal-diff-iff*:

$r < s \implies$

$(z \in \text{Rep-preal } (s - r)) \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists x. 0 < x \wedge 0 < z \wedge x \notin \text{Rep-preal } r \wedge x + z \in \text{Rep-preal } s)$

*<proof>*

**proposition** *less-add-left*:

**fixes**  $r::\text{preal}$

**assumes**  $r < s$

**shows**  $r + (s - r) = s$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-less2-mono1*:  $r < (s::\text{preal}) \implies r + t < s + t$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-less2-mono2*:  $r < (s::\text{preal}) \implies t + r < t + s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-right-less-cancel*:  $r + t < s + t \implies r < (s::\text{preal})$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-left-less-cancel*:  $t + r < t + s \implies r < (s::\text{preal})$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-less-cancel-left* [*simp*]:  $(t + (r::preal) < t + s) \longleftrightarrow (r < s)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-less-cancel-right* [*simp*]:  $((r::preal) + t < s + t) = (r < s)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-le-cancel-left* [*simp*]:  $(t + (r::preal) \leq t + s) = (r \leq s)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-le-cancel-right* [*simp*]:  $((r::preal) + t \leq s + t) = (r \leq s)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-right-cancel*:  $(r::preal) + t = s + t \implies r = s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-add-left-cancel*:  $c + a = c + b \implies a = (b::preal)$   
*<proof>*

**instance** *preal* :: *linordered-ab-semigroup-add*  
*<proof>*

## 1.11 Completeness of type *preal*

Prove that supremum is a cut

Part 1 of Dedekind sections definition

**lemma** *preal-sup*:  
**assumes** *le*:  $\bigwedge X. X \in P \implies X \leq Y$  **and**  $P \neq \{\}$   
**shows** *cut*  $(\bigcup X \in P. \text{Rep-}preal(X))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *preal-psup-le*:  
 $\llbracket \bigwedge X. X \in P \implies X \leq Y; x \in P \rrbracket \implies x \leq psup P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *psup-le-ub*:  $\llbracket \bigwedge X. X \in P \implies X \leq Y; P \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies psup P \leq Y$   
*<proof>*

Supremum property

**proposition** *preal-complete*:  
**assumes** *le*:  $\bigwedge X. X \in P \implies X \leq Y$  **and**  $P \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $(\exists X \in P. Z < X) \longleftrightarrow (Z < psup P)$  (**is** *?lhs = ?rhs*)  
*<proof>*

## 1.12 Defining the Reals from the Positive Reals

Here we do quotients the old-fashioned way

**definition**

$realrel :: ((preal * preal) * (preal * preal)) \text{ set where}$   
 $realrel = \{p. \exists x1\ y1\ x2\ y2. p = ((x1,y1),(x2,y2)) \wedge x1+y2 = x2+y1\}$

**definition**  $Real = UNIV // realrel$

**typedef**  $real = Real$

**morphisms**  $Rep-Real\ Abs-Real$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This doesn't involve the overloaded "real" function: users don't see it

**definition**

$real-of-preal :: preal \Rightarrow real \text{ where}$   
 $real-of-preal\ m = Abs-Real\ (realrel\ \{\{(m + 1, 1)\}\})$

**instantiation**  $real :: \{zero, one, plus, minus, uminus, times, inverse, ord, abs, sgn\}$

**begin**

**definition**

$real-zero-def: 0 = Abs-Real(realrel\ \{\{(1, 1)\}\})$

**definition**

$real-one-def: 1 = Abs-Real(realrel\ \{\{(1 + 1, 1)\}\})$

**definition**

$real-add-def: z + w =$   
 $the\ elem\ (\bigcup (x,y) \in Rep-Real\ z. \bigcup (u,v) \in Rep-Real\ w.$   
 $\{ Abs-Real(realrel\ \{\{(x+u, y+v)\}\}) \})$

**definition**

$real-minus-def: - r = the\ elem\ (\bigcup (x,y) \in Rep-Real\ r. \{ Abs-Real(realrel\ \{\{(y,x)\}\}) \})$

**definition**

$real-diff-def: r - (s::real) = r + - s$

**definition**

$real-mult-def:$   
 $z * w =$   
 $the\ elem\ (\bigcup (x,y) \in Rep-Real\ z. \bigcup (u,v) \in Rep-Real\ w.$   
 $\{ Abs-Real(realrel\ \{\{(x*u + y*v, x*v + y*u)\}\}) \})$

**definition**

$real-inverse-def: inverse\ (r::real) \equiv (THE\ s. (r = 0 \wedge s = 0) \vee s * r = 1)$

**definition**

$real-divide-def: r\ div\ (s::real) \equiv r * inverse\ s$

**definition**

*real-le-def*:  $z \leq (w::real) \equiv$   
 $(\exists x y u v. x+v \leq u+y \wedge (x,y) \in Rep-Real z \wedge (u,v) \in Rep-Real w)$

**definition**

*real-less-def*:  $x < (y::real) \equiv x \leq y \wedge x \neq y$

**definition**

*real-abs-def*:  $|r::real| = (if\ r < 0\ then\ -\ r\ else\ r)$

**definition**

*real-sgn-def*:  $sgn\ (x::real) = (if\ x=0\ then\ 0\ else\ if\ 0 < x\ then\ 1\ else\ -\ 1)$

**instance**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

### 1.13 Equivalence relation over positive reals

**lemma** *realrel-iff* [*simp*]:  $((x1,y1),(x2,y2)) \in realrel = (x1 + y2 = x2 + y1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *preal-trans-lemma*:

**assumes**  $x + y1 = x1 + y$  **and**  $x + y2 = x2 + y$

**shows**  $x1 + y2 = x2 + (y1::preal)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-realrel*: *equiv UNIV realrel*

$\langle proof \rangle$

Reduces equality of equivalence classes to the *Dedekind-Real.realrel* relation:  $(Dedekind-Real.realrel\ \{\{x\} = Dedekind-Real.realrel\ \{\{y\}) = ((x, y) \in Dedekind-Real.realrel)$

**lemmas** *equiv-realrel-iff* [*simp*] =

*eq-equiv-class-iff* [*OF equiv-realrel UNIV-I UNIV-I*]

**lemma** *realrel-in-real* [*simp*]:  $realrel\ \{(x,y)\} \in Real$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** *Abs-Real-inject* [*simp*] *Abs-Real-inverse* [*simp*]

Case analysis on the representation of a real number as an equivalence class of pairs of positive reals.

**lemma** *eq-Abs-Real* [*case-names Abs-Real, cases type: real*]:

$(\bigwedge x y. z = Abs-Real(realrel\ \{(x,y)\}) \implies P) \implies P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.14 Addition and Subtraction

**lemma** *real-add*:

$Abs-Real (realrel\{\{x,y\}\}) + Abs-Real (realrel\{\{u,v\}\}) =$   
 $Abs-Real (realrel\{\{x+u, y+v\}\})$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-minus*:  $- Abs-Real(realrel\{\{x,y\}\}) = Abs-Real(realrel\{\{y,x\}\})$   
 <proof>

**instance** *real* :: *ab-group-add*  
 <proof>

## 1.15 Multiplication

**lemma** *real-mult-congruent2-lemma*:  
 $!!(x1::preal). \llbracket x1 + y2 = x2 + y1 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $x * x1 + y * y1 + (x * y2 + y * x2) =$   
 $x * x2 + y * y2 + (x * y1 + y * x1)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-mult-congruent2*:  
 $(\lambda p1 p2.$   
 $(\lambda(x1,y1). (\lambda(x2,y2).$   
 $\{ Abs-Real (realrel\{\{x1*x2 + y1*y2, x1*y2+y1*x2\}\}) \}) p2) p1)$   
*respects2 realrel*  
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-mult*:  
 $Abs-Real((realrel\{\{x1,y1\}\}) * Abs-Real((realrel\{\{x2,y2\}\})) =$   
 $Abs-Real(realrel\{\{x1*x2+y1*y2,x1*y2+y1*x2\}\})$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-mult-commute*:  $(z::real) * w = w * z$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-mult-assoc*:  $((z1::real) * z2) * z3 = z1 * (z2 * z3)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-mult-1*:  $(1::real) * z = z$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-add-mult-distrib*:  $((z1::real) + z2) * w = (z1 * w) + (z2 * w)$   
 <proof>

one and zero are distinct

**lemma** *real-zero-not-eq-one*:  $0 \neq (1::real)$   
 <proof>

**instance** *real* :: *comm-ring-1*  
 <proof>

## 1.16 Inverse and Division

**lemma** *real-zero-iff*: *Abs-Real* (*realrel* “{(x, x)}”) = 0  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *real-mult-inverse-left-ex*:  
assumes  $x \neq 0$  obtains  $y::real$  where  $y*x = 1$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *real-mult-inverse-left*:  
fixes  $x :: real$   
assumes  $x \neq 0$  shows *inverse*  $x * x = 1$   
⟨*proof*⟩

## 1.17 The Real Numbers form a Field

**instance** *real* :: *field*  
⟨*proof*⟩

## 1.18 The $\leq$ Ordering

**lemma** *real-le-refl*:  $w \leq (w::real)$   
⟨*proof*⟩

The arithmetic decision procedure is not set up for type *preal*. This lemma is currently unused, but it could simplify the proofs of the following two lemmas.

**lemma** *preal-eq-le-imp-le*:  
assumes *eq*:  $a+b = c+d$  and *le*:  $c \leq a$   
shows  $b \leq (d::preal)$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *real-le-lemma*:  
assumes *l*:  $u1 + v2 \leq u2 + v1$   
and  $x1 + v1 = u1 + y1$   
and  $x2 + v2 = u2 + y2$   
shows  $x1 + y2 \leq x2 + (y1::preal)$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *real-le*:  
 $Abs-Real(realrel\{\{x1,y1\}\}) \leq Abs-Real(realrel\{\{x2,y2\}\}) \iff x1 + y2 \leq x2 + y1$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *real-le-antisym*:  $\llbracket z \leq w; w \leq z \rrbracket \implies z = (w::real)$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *real-trans-lemma*:  
assumes  $x + v \leq u + y$

**and**  $u + v' \leq u' + v$   
**and**  $x^2 + v^2 = u^2 + y^2$   
**shows**  $x + v' \leq u' + (y::\text{preal})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *real-le-trans*:  $\llbracket i \leq j; j \leq k \rrbracket \implies i \leq (k::\text{real})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**instance** *real :: order*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**instance** *real :: linorder*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**instantiation** *real :: distrib-lattice*  
**begin**

**definition**  
 $(\text{inf} :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}) = \text{min}$

**definition**  
 $(\text{sup} :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}) = \text{max}$

**instance**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 1.19 The Reals Form an Ordered Field

**lemma** *real-le-eq-diff*:  $(x \leq y) \longleftrightarrow (x - y \leq (0::\text{real}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *real-add-left-mono*:  
**assumes**  $le: x \leq y$  **shows**  $z + x \leq z + (y::\text{real})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *real-sum-gt-zero-less*:  $(0 < s + (-w::\text{real})) \implies (w < s)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *real-less-sum-gt-zero*:  $(w < s) \implies (0 < s + (-w::\text{real}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *real-mult-order*:  
**fixes**  $x y::\text{real}$   
**assumes**  $0 < x$   $0 < y$   
**shows**  $0 < x * y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *real-mult-less-mono2*:  $[(0::real) < z; x < y] \implies z * x < z * y$   
 <proof>

**instance** *real :: linordered-field*  
 <proof>

## 1.20 Completeness of the reals

The function *real-of-preal* requires many proofs, but it seems to be essential for proving completeness of the reals from that of the positive reals.

**lemma** *real-of-preal-add*:  
 $real-of-preal ((x::preal) + y) = real-of-preal x + real-of-preal y$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-of-preal-mult*:  
 $real-of-preal ((x::preal) * y) = real-of-preal x * real-of-preal y$   
 <proof>

Gleason prop 9-4.4 p 127

**lemma** *real-of-preal-trichotomy*:  
 $\exists m. (x::real) = real-of-preal m \vee x = 0 \vee x = -(real-of-preal m)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-of-preal-less-iff [simp]*:  
 $(real-of-preal m1 < real-of-preal m2) = (m1 < m2)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-of-preal-le-iff [simp]*:  
 $(real-of-preal m1 \leq real-of-preal m2) = (m1 \leq m2)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *real-of-preal-zero-less [simp]*:  $0 < real-of-preal m$   
 <proof>

## 1.21 Theorems About the Ordering

**lemma** *real-gt-zero-preal-Ex*:  $(0 < x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. x = real-of-preal y)$   
 <proof>

## 1.22 Completeness of Positive Reals

Supremum property for the set of positive reals

Let  $P$  be a non-empty set of positive reals, with an upper bound  $y$ . Then  $P$  has a least upper bound (written  $S$ ).

FIXME: Can the premise be weakened to  $\forall x \in P. x \leq y$ ?

**lemma** *posreal-complete*:  
**assumes** *positive-P*:  $\forall x \in P. (0::real) < x$



**and** *not-empty-P*:  $\exists x. x \in P$   
**and** *upper-bound-Ex*:  $\exists y. \forall x \in P. x < y$   
**shows**  $\exists s. \forall y. (\exists x \in P. y < x) = (y < s)$   
 <proof>

### 1.23 Completeness

**lemma** *reals-complete*:  
**fixes**  $S :: \text{real set}$   
**assumes** *notempty-S*:  $\exists X. X \in S$   
**and** *exists-Ub*: *bdd-above S*  
**shows**  $\exists x. (\forall s \in S. s \leq x) \wedge (\forall y. (\forall s \in S. s \leq y) \longrightarrow x \leq y)$   
 <proof>

### 1.24 The Archimedean Property of the Reals

**theorem** *reals-Archimedean*:  
**fixes**  $x :: \text{real}$   
**assumes** *x-pos*:  $0 < x$   
**shows**  $\exists n. \text{inverse (of-nat (Suc n))} < x$   
 <proof>

There must be other proofs, e.g. *Suc* of the largest integer in the cut representing  $x$ .

**lemma** *reals-Archimedean2*:  $\exists n. (x :: \text{real}) < \text{of-nat (n :: nat)}$   
 <proof>

**instance** *real* :: *archimedean-field*  
 <proof>

**end**

## References

- [1] J. D. Fleuriot. On the mechanization of real analysis in Isabelle/HOL. In M. Aagaard and J. Harrison, editors, *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics*, volume LNCS 1869, pages 145–161. Springer, 2000.
- [2] J. D. Fleuriot and L. C. Paulson. Mechanizing nonstandard real analysis. *LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics*, 3:140–190, 2000. <http://www.lms.ac.uk/jcm/3/lms1999-027/>.
- [3] A. Gleason. *Fundamentals of Abstract Analysis*. Taylor & Francis, 1991.
- [4] L. Jutting. *Checking Landau’s “Grundlagen” in the AUTOMATH System*. PhD thesis, Eindhoven University of Technology, 1977. <https://doi.org/10.6100/IR23183>.