

Decreasing-Diagrams-II

By Bertram Felgenhauer

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This theory formalizes a commutation version of decreasing diagrams for Church-Rosser modulo. The proof follows Felgenhauer and van Oostrom (RTA 2013). The theory also provides important specializations, in particular van Oostrom's conversion version (TCS 2008) of decreasing diagrams.

We follow the development described in [1]: Conversions are mapped to Greek strings, and we prove that whenever a local peak (or cliff) is replaced by a joining sequence from a locally decreasing diagram, then the corresponding Greek strings become smaller in a specially crafted well-founded order on Greek strings. Once there are no more local peaks or cliffs are left, the result is a valley that establishes the Church-Rosser modulo property.

As special cases we provide non-commutation versions and the conversion version of decreasing diagrams by van Oostrom [3]. We also formalize extended decreasingness [2].

Contents

1 Preliminaries	2
1.1 Trivialities	2
1.2 Complete lattices and least fixed points	2
1.2.1 A chain-based induction principle	2
1.2.2 Preservation of transitivity, asymmetry, irreflexivity by suprema	3
1.3 Multiset extension	3
1.4 Incrementality of <i>mult1</i> and <i>mult</i>	4
1.5 Well-orders and well-quasi-orders	4
1.6 Splitting lists into prefix, element, and suffix	5
2 Decreasing Diagrams	6
2.1 Greek accents	6
2.2 Comparing Greek strings	6
2.3 Preservation of strict partial orders	7

2.4	Involution	8
2.5	Monotonicity of <i>greek-less r</i>	9
2.6	Well-founded-ness of <i>greek-less r</i>	10
2.7	Basic Comparisons	10
2.8	Labeled abstract rewriting	11
3	Results	13
3.1	Church-Rosser modulo	13
3.2	Commutation and confluence	14
3.3	Extended decreasing diagrams	14

1 Preliminaries

```
theory Decreasing-Diagrams-II-Aux
imports
  Well-Quasi-Orders.Multiset-Extension
  Well-Quasi-Orders.Well-Quasi-Orders
begin
```

1.1 Trivialities

```
abbreviation strict-order R ≡ irrefl R ∧ trans R
```

```
lemma strict-order-strict: strict-order q ==> strict ((λa b. (a, b) ∈ q⁻) = (λa b. (a, b) ∈ q))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mono-lex1: mono (λr. lex-prod r s)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mono-lex2: mono (lex-prod r)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas converse-inward = rtranc-converse[symmetric] converse-Un converse-UNION
converse-relcomp
converse-converse converse-Id
```

1.2 Complete lattices and least fixed points

```
context complete-lattice
begin
```

1.2.1 A chain-based induction principle

```
abbreviation set-chain :: 'a set ⇒ bool where
```

set-chain $C \equiv \forall x \in C. \forall y \in C. x \leq y \vee y \leq x$

```
lemma lfp-chain-induct:
  assumes mono: mono f
  and step:  $\bigwedge x. P x \implies P(f x)$ 
  and chain:  $\bigwedge C. \text{set-chain } C \implies \forall x \in C. P x \implies P(\text{Sup } C)$ 
  shows P (lfp f)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.2.2 Preservation of transitivity, asymmetry, irreflexivity by suprema

```
lemma trans-Sup-of-chain:
  assumes set-chain C and trans:  $\bigwedge R. R \in C \implies \text{trans } R$ 
  shows trans (Sup C)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma asym-Sup-of-chain:
  assumes set-chain C and asym:  $\bigwedge R. R \in C \implies \text{asym } R$ 
  shows asym (Sup C)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma strict-order-lfp:
  assumes mono f and  $\bigwedge R. \text{strict-order } R \implies \text{strict-order } (f R)$ 
  shows strict-order (lfp f)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma trans-lfp:
  assumes mono f and  $\bigwedge R. \text{trans } R \implies \text{trans } (f R)$ 
  shows trans (lfp f)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

end

1.3 Multiset extension

```
lemma mulex-iff-mult: mulex r M N  $\longleftrightarrow (M, N) \in \text{mult } \{(M, N) . r M N\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma multI:
  assumes trans r M = I + K N = I + J J ≠ {#}  $\forall k \in \text{set-mset } K. \exists j \in \text{set-mset } J. (k, j) \in r$ 
  shows (M, N) ∈ mult r
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma multE:
  assumes trans r and (M, N) ∈ mult r
  obtains I J K where M = I + K N = I + J J ≠ {#}  $\forall k \in \text{set-mset } K. \exists j \in \text{set-mset } J. (k, j) \in r$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *mult-on-union*: $(M, N) \in \text{mult } r \implies (K + M, K + N) \in \text{mult } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-on-union'*: $(M, N) \in \text{mult } r \implies (M + K, N + K) \in \text{mult } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-on-add-mset*: $(M, N) \in \text{mult } r \implies (\text{add-mset } k M, \text{add-mset } k N) \in \text{mult } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-empty[simp]*: $(M, \{\#\}) \notin \text{mult } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-singleton[simp]*: $(x, y) \in r \implies (\text{add-mset } x M, \text{add-mset } y M) \in \text{mult } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-mult[simp]*: $(\{\#\}, N) \in \text{mult } R \longleftrightarrow N \neq \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-mult*: *trans* (*mult* *R*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *strict-order-mult*:
assumes *irrefl* *R* **and** *trans* *R*
shows *irrefl* (*mult* *R*) **and** *trans* (*mult* *R*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-of-image-mset*:
assumes *trans* *R* **and** *trans* *R'*
and $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{set-mset } N \implies y \in \text{set-mset } M \implies (x, y) \in R \implies (f x, f y) \in R'$
and $(N, M) \in \text{mult } R$
shows (*image-mset* *f* *N*, *image-mset* *f* *M*) $\in \text{mult } R'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.4 Incrementality of *mult1* and *mult*

lemma *mono-mult1*: *mono* *mult1*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mono-mult*: *mono* *mult*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.5 Well-orders and well-quasi-orders

lemma *wf-iff-wfp-on*:
wf *p* \longleftrightarrow *wfp-on* ($\lambda a b. (a, b) \in p$) *UNIV*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma well-order-implies-wqo:
  assumes well-order r
  shows wqo-on ( $\lambda a\ b.\ (a,\ b) \in r$ ) UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

1.6 Splitting lists into prefix, element, and suffix

fun list-splits :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list  $\times$  'a  $\times$  'a list) list where
  list-splits [] = []
  | list-splits (x # xs) = ([] , x , xs) # map ( $\lambda(xs,\ x',\ xs').\ (x \# xs,\ x',\ xs')$ ) (list-splits xs)

lemma list-splits-empty[simp]:
  list-splits xs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma elem-list-splits-append:
  assumes (ys, y, zs)  $\in$  set (list-splits xs)
  shows ys @ [y] @ zs = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma elem-list-splits-length:
  assumes (ys, y, zs)  $\in$  set (list-splits xs)
  shows length ys < length xs and length zs < length xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma elem-list-splits-elem:
  assumes (xs, y, ys)  $\in$  set (list-splits zs)
  shows y  $\in$  set zs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-splits-append:
  list-splits (xs @ ys) = map ( $\lambda(xs',\ x',\ ys').\ (xs',\ x',\ ys' @ ys)$ ) (list-splits xs) @
    map ( $\lambda(xs',\ x',\ ys').\ (xs @ xs',\ x',\ ys')$ ) (list-splits ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-splits-rev:
  list-splits (rev xs) = map ( $\lambda(xs,\ x,\ ys).\ (rev\ ys,\ x,\ rev\ xs)$ ) (rev (list-splits xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-splits-map:
  list-splits (map f xs) = map ( $\lambda(xs,\ x,\ ys).\ (map\ f\ xs,\ f\ x,\ map\ f\ ys)$ ) (list-splits xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

2 Decreasing Diagrams

```
theory Decreasing-Diagrams-II
imports
  Decreasing-Diagrams-II-Aux
  HOL-Cardinals.Wellorder-Extension
  Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting
begin
```

2.1 Greek accents

```
datatype accent = Acute | Grave | Macron
```

```
lemma UNIV-accent: UNIV = { Acute, Grave, Macron }
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma finite-accent: finite (UNIV :: accent set)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
type-synonym 'a letter = accent × 'a
```

```
definition letter-less :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ ('a letter × 'a letter) set where
[simp]: letter-less R = {(a,b). (snd a, snd b) ∈ R}
```

```
lemma mono-letter-less: mono letter-less
⟨proof⟩
```

2.2 Comparing Greek strings

```
type-synonym 'a greek = 'a letter list
```

```
definition adj-msog :: 'a greek ⇒ 'a greek ⇒ ('a letter × 'a greek) ⇒ ('a letter ×
'a greek)
where
```

```
adj-msog xs zs l ≡
  case l of (y,ys) ⇒ (y, case fst y of Acute ⇒ ys @ zs | Grave ⇒ xs @ ys | Macron
⇒ ys)
```

```
definition ms-of-greek :: 'a greek ⇒ ('a letter × 'a greek) multiset where
ms-of-greek as = mset
  (map (λ(xs, y, zs) ⇒ adj-msog xs zs (y, [])) (list-splits as))
```

```
lemma adj-msog-adj-msog[simp]:
  adj-msog xs zs (adj-msog xs' zs' y) = adj-msog (xs @ xs') (zs' @ zs) y
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma compose-adj-msog[simp]: adj-msog xs zs ∘ adj-msog xs' zs' = adj-msog (xs
@ xs') (zs' @ zs)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *adj-msog-single*:

adj-msog xs zs (x, []) = (x, (case fst x of Grave ⇒ xs | Acute ⇒ zs | Macron ⇒ []))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ms-of-greek-elem*:

assumes $(x, xs) \in \text{set-mset}(\text{ms-of-greek } ys)$
shows $x \in \text{set } ys$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ms-of-greek-shorter*:

assumes $(x, t) \in \# \text{ms-of-greek } s$
shows $\text{length } s > \text{length } t$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *msog-append*: $\text{ms-of-greek}(xs @ ys) = \text{image-mset}(\text{adj-msog } [] \text{ } ys) (\text{ms-of-greek } xs) + \text{image-mset}(\text{adj-msog } xs \text{ } []) (\text{ms-of-greek } ys)$
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *nest* :: $('a \times 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ greek} \times 'a \text{ greek}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ greek} \times 'a \text{ greek}) \text{ set}$ **where**

[simp]: $\text{nest } r \text{ } s = \{(a, b). (\text{ms-of-greek } a, \text{ ms-of-greek } b) \in \text{mult}(\text{letter-less } r \text{ } <\!\!*\!\!> \text{ } s)\}$

lemma *mono-nest*: $\text{mono}(\text{nest } r)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nest-mono*[*mono-set*]: $x \subseteq y \implies (a, b) \in \text{nest } r \text{ } x \longrightarrow (a, b) \in \text{nest } r \text{ } y$
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *greek-less* :: $('a \times 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ greek} \times 'a \text{ greek}) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{greek-less } r = \text{lfp}(\text{nest } r)$

lemma *greek-less-unfold*:

$\text{greek-less } r = \text{nest } r (\text{greek-less } r)$
⟨*proof*⟩

2.3 Preservation of strict partial orders

lemma *strict-order-letter-less*:

assumes *strict-order r*
shows *strict-order (letter-less r)*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *strict-order-nest*:

assumes $r: \text{strict-order } r \text{ and } R: \text{strict-order } R$
shows *strict-order (nest r R)*
⟨*proof*⟩

```

lemma strict-order-greek-less:
  assumes strict-order r
  shows strict-order (greek-less r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trans-letter-less:
  assumes trans r
  shows trans (letter-less r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trans-order-nest: trans (nest r R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trans-greek-less[simp]: trans (greek-less r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mono-greek-less: mono greek-less
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.4 Involution

```

definition inv-letter :: 'a letter ⇒ 'a letter where
  inv-letter l ≡
    case l of (a, x) ⇒ (case a of Grave ⇒ Acute | Acute ⇒ Grave | Macron ⇒
    Macron, x)

lemma inv-letter-pair[simp]:
  inv-letter (a, x) = (case a of Grave ⇒ Acute | Acute ⇒ Grave | Macron ⇒
  Macron, x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-inv-letter[simp]:
  snd (inv-letter x) = snd x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-letter-involut[simp]:
  inv-letter (inv-letter x) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-letter-mono[simp]:
  assumes (x, y) ∈ letter-less r
  shows (inv-letter x, inv-letter y) ∈ letter-less r
  ⟨proof⟩

definition inv-greek :: 'a greek ⇒ 'a greek where
  inv-greek s = rev (map inv-letter s)

lemma inv-greek-involut[simp]:

```

inv-greek (*inv-greek* s) = s
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-greek-append*:

inv-greek ($s @ t$) = *inv-greek* $t @ inv-greek s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *inv-msog* :: ($'a letter \times 'a greek$) multiset \Rightarrow ($'a letter \times 'a greek$) multiset
where

inv-msog M = *image-mset* ($\lambda(x, t). (inv-letter x, inv-greek t)$) M

lemma *inv-msog-involut[simp]*:

inv-msog (*inv-msog M*) = M
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ms-of-greek-inv-greek*:

ms-of-greek (*inv-greek M*) = *inv-msog* (*ms-of-greek M*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-greek-mono*:

assumes *trans r* **and** $(s, t) \in greek-less r$
shows (*inv-greek s*, *inv-greek t*) $\in greek-less r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5 Monotonicity of *greek-less r*

lemma *greek-less-rempty[simp]*:

$(a, \emptyset) \in greek-less r \longleftrightarrow False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *greek-less-nonempty*:

assumes $b \neq \emptyset$
shows $(a, b) \in greek-less r \longleftrightarrow (a, b) \in nest r (greek-less r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *greek-less-lempty[simp]*:

$(\emptyset, b) \in greek-less r \longleftrightarrow b \neq \emptyset$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *greek-less-singleton*:

$(a, b) \in letter-less r \implies ([a], [b]) \in greek-less r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ms-of-greek-cons*:

ms-of-greek ($x \# s$) = $\{\# adj-msog \emptyset s (x, \emptyset) \#\} + image-mset (adj-msog [x] \emptyset)$
 $(ms-of-greek s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *greek-less-cons-mono*:

assumes *trans r*
shows $(s, t) \in \text{greek-less } r \implies (x \# s, x \# t) \in \text{greek-less } r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *greek-less-app-mono2*:
assumes *trans r and* $(s, t) \in \text{greek-less } r$
shows $(p @ s, p @ t) \in \text{greek-less } r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *greek-less-app-mono1*:
assumes *trans r and* $(s, t) \in \text{greek-less } r$
shows $(s @ p, t @ p) \in \text{greek-less } r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.6 Well-founded-ness of *greek-less r*

lemma *greek-embed*:
assumes *trans r*
shows *list-emb* $(\lambda a b. (a, b) : \text{reflcl}(\text{letter-less } r)) a b \implies (a, b) \in \text{reflcl}(\text{greek-less } r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wqo-letter-less*:
assumes $t : \text{trans } r$ **and** $w : \text{wqo-on } (\lambda a b. (a, b) \in r^=) \text{ UNIV}$
shows $w \text{qo-on } (\lambda a b. (a, b) \in (\text{letter-less } r)^=) \text{ UNIV}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-greek-less*:
assumes *wf r and trans r*
shows *wf (greek-less r)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.7 Basic Comparisons

lemma *pairwise-imp-mult*:
assumes $N \neq \{\#\}$ **and** $\forall x \in \text{set-mset } M. \exists y \in \text{set-mset } N. (x, y) \in r$
shows $(M, N) \in \text{mult } r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singleton-greek-less*:
assumes $as : \text{snd} ` \text{set as} \subseteq \text{under } r b$
shows $(as, [(a,b)]) \in \text{greek-less } r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *peak-greek-less*:
assumes $as : \text{snd} ` \text{set as} \subseteq \text{under } r a$ **and** $b' : b' \in \{[(\text{Grave}, b)], []\}$
and $cs : \text{snd} ` \text{set cs} \subseteq \text{under } r a \cup \text{under } r b$ **and** $a' : a' \in \{[(\text{Acute}, a)], []\}$
and $bs : \text{snd} ` \text{set bs} \subseteq \text{under } r b$
shows $(as @ b' @ cs @ a' @ bs, [(\text{Acute}, a), (\text{Grave}, b)]) \in \text{greek-less } r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma rcliff-greek-less1:
  assumes trans r
  and as: snd ` set as  $\subseteq$  under r a  $\cap$  under r b and b': b'  $\in \{[(Grave,b)],[]\}$ 
  and cs: snd ` set cs  $\subseteq$  under r b and a': a' = [(Macron,a)]
  and bs: snd ` set bs  $\subseteq$  under r b
  shows (as @ b' @ cs @ a' @ bs, [(Macron,a),(Grave,b)])  $\in$  greek-less r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rcliff-greek-less2:
  assumes trans r
  and as: snd ` set as  $\subseteq$  under r a and b': b'  $\in \{[(Grave,b)],[]\}$ 
  and cs: snd ` set cs  $\subseteq$  under r a  $\cup$  under r b
  shows (as @ b' @ cs, [(Macron,a),(Grave,b)])  $\in$  greek-less r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-inv-greek [simp]: snd ` set (inv-greek as) = snd ` set as
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lcliff-greek-less1:
  assumes trans r
  and as: snd ` set as  $\subseteq$  under r a and b': b' = [(Macron,b)]
  and cs: snd ` set cs  $\subseteq$  under r a and a': a'  $\in \{[(Acute,a)],[]\}$ 
  and bs: snd ` set bs  $\subseteq$  under r a  $\cap$  under r b
  shows (as @ b' @ cs @ a' @ bs, [(Acute,a),(Macron,b)])  $\in$  greek-less r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lcliff-greek-less2:
  assumes trans r
  and cs: snd ` set cs  $\subseteq$  under r a  $\cup$  under r b and a': a'  $\in \{[(Acute,a)],[]\}$ 
  and bs: snd ` set bs  $\subseteq$  under r b
  shows (cs @ a' @ bs, [(Acute,a),(Macron,b)])  $\in$  greek-less r
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.8 Labeled abstract rewriting

```

context
  fixes L R E :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel
  begin

  definition lstep :: 'b letter  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel where
    [simp]: lstep x = (case x of (a, i)  $\Rightarrow$  (case a of Acute  $\Rightarrow$  (L i) $^{-1}$  | Grave  $\Rightarrow$  R i
    | Macron  $\Rightarrow$  E i))

  fun lconv :: 'b greek  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel where
    lconv [] = Id
    | lconv (x # xs) = lstep x O lconv xs

  lemma lconv-append[simp]:

```

$\text{lconv} (xs @ ys) = \text{lconv} xs \ O \ \text{lconv} ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conversion-join-or-peak-or-cliff*:

obtains (*join*) *as bs cs where set as* $\subseteq \{\text{Grave}\}$ **and** *set bs* $\subseteq \{\text{Macron}\}$ **and**
set cs $\subseteq \{\text{Acute}\}$
and *ds = as @ bs @ cs*
| (*peak*) *as bs where ds = as @ ([Acute] @ [Grave]) @ bs*
| (*lcliff*) *as bs where ds = as @ ([Acute] @ [Macron]) @ bs*
| (*rcliff*) *as bs where ds = as @ ([Macron] @ [Grave]) @ bs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-eq-append-split*:

assumes *map f xs = ys1 @ ys2*
obtains *xs1 xs2 where ys1 = map f xs1 ys2 = map f xs2 xs = xs1 @ xs2*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *map-eq-append-splits = map-eq-append-split map-eq-append-split[OF sym]*

abbreviation *conversion' M* \equiv $(\bigcup_{i \in M. R i}) \cup (\bigcup_{i \in M. E i}) \cup (\bigcup_{i \in M. L i}{}^{-1})^*$

abbreviation *valley' M* \equiv $(\bigcup_{i \in M. R i})^* \ O (\bigcup_{i \in M. E i})^* \ O ((\bigcup_{i \in M. L i}{}^{-1})^*)^*$

lemma *conversion-to-lconv*:

assumes *(u, v) ∈ conversion' M*
obtains *xs where snd ‘ set xs ⊆ M and (u, v) ∈ lconv xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *lpeak :: 'b rel ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b greek ⇒ bool where*

lpeak r a b xs \longleftrightarrow $(\exists \text{as } b' \text{ cs } a' \text{ bs. snd ‘ set as} \subseteq \text{under } r a \wedge b' \in \{[(\text{Grave}, b)], []\}) \wedge$
snd ‘ set cs ⊆ under r a ∪ under r b ∧ a' ∈ {[(Acute, a)], []} ∧
snd ‘ set bs ⊆ under r b ∧ xs = as @ b' @ cs @ a' @ bs)

definition *lcliff :: 'b rel ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b greek ⇒ bool where*

lcliff r a b xs \longleftrightarrow $(\exists \text{as } b' \text{ cs } a' \text{ bs. snd ‘ set as} \subseteq \text{under } r a \wedge b' = [(\text{Macron}, b)]) \wedge$
snd ‘ set cs ⊆ under r a ∧ a' ∈ {[(Acute, a)], []} ∧
snd ‘ set bs ⊆ under r a ∩ under r b ∧ xs = as @ b' @ cs @ a' @ bs) ∨
 $(\exists \text{cs } a' \text{ bs. snd ‘ set cs} \subseteq \text{under } r a \cup \text{under } r b \wedge a' \in \{[(\text{Acute}, a)], []\}) \wedge$
snd ‘ set bs ⊆ under r b ∧ xs = cs @ a' @ bs)

definition *rcliff :: 'b rel ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b greek ⇒ bool where*

rcliff r a b xs \longleftrightarrow $(\exists \text{as } b' \text{ cs } a' \text{ bs. snd ‘ set as} \subseteq \text{under } r a \cap \text{under } r b \wedge b' \in \{[(\text{Grave}, b)], []\}) \wedge$
snd ‘ set cs ⊆ under r b ∧ a' = [(\text{Macron}, a)] ∧
snd ‘ set bs ⊆ under r b ∧ xs = as @ b' @ cs @ a' @ bs) ∨
 $(\exists \text{as } b' \text{ cs. snd ‘ set as} \subseteq \text{under } r a \wedge b' \in \{[(\text{Grave}, b)], []\}) \wedge$

snd ‘ set cs ⊆ under r a ∪ under r b ∧ xs = as @ b’ @ cs)

lemma *dd-commute-modulo-conv*[*case-names wf trans peak lcliff rcliff*]:
assumes *wf r and trans r*
and *pk*: $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in L a \implies (s, u) \in R b \implies \exists xs. lpeak r a b xs \wedge (t, u) \in lconv xs$
and *lc*: $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in L a \implies (s, u) \in E b \implies \exists xs. lcliff r a b xs \wedge (t, u) \in lconv xs$
and *rc*: $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in (E a)^{-1} \implies (s, u) \in R b \implies \exists xs. rcliff r a b xs \wedge (t, u) \in lconv xs$
shows *conversion' UNIV ⊆ valley' UNIV*
{proof}

3 Results

3.1 Church-Rosser modulo

Decreasing diagrams for Church-Rosser modulo, commutation version.

lemma *dd-commute-modulo*[*case-names wf trans peak lcliff rcliff*]:
assumes *wf r and trans r*
and *pk*: $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in L a \implies (s, u) \in R b \implies (t, u) \in \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a) O (R b)^= O \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a \cup \text{under } r b) O ((L a)^{-1})^= O \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r b)$
and *lc*: $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in L a \implies (s, u) \in E b \implies (t, u) \in \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a) O E b O \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a) O ((L a)^{-1})^= O \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a \cap \text{under } r b) \vee (t, u) \in \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a \cup \text{under } r b) O ((L a)^{-1})^= O \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r b)$
and *rc*: $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in (E a)^{-1} \implies (s, u) \in R b \implies (t, u) \in \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a \cap \text{under } r b) O (R b)^= O \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a \cup \text{under } r b) O E a O \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r b) \vee (t, u) \in \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a) O (R b)^= O \text{conversion}'(\text{under } r a \cup \text{under } r b)$
shows *conversion' UNIV ⊆ valley' UNIV*
{proof}

end

Decreasing diagrams for Church-Rosser modulo.

lemma *dd-cr-modulo*[*case-names wf trans symE peak cliff*]:
assumes *wf r and trans r and E: $\bigwedge i. \text{sym } (E i)$*
and *pk*: $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in L a \implies (s, u) \in L b \implies (t, u) \in \text{conversion}' L L E (\text{under } r a) O (L b)^= O \text{conversion}' L L E (\text{under } r a \cup \text{under } r b) O ((L a)^{-1})^= O \text{conversion}' L L E (\text{under } r b)$
and *cl*: $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in L a \implies (s, u) \in E b \implies$

```


$$(t, u) \in \text{conversion}' L L E (\text{under } r a) O E b O \text{conversion}' L L E (\text{under } r a)$$


$$O ((L a)^{-1})^= O \text{conversion}' L L E (\text{under } r a \cap \text{under } r b) \vee$$


$$(t, u) \in \text{conversion}' L L E (\text{under } r a \cup \text{under } r b) O ((L a)^{-1})^= O \text{conversion}'$$


$$L L E (\text{under } r b)$$

shows  $\text{conversion}' L L E \text{UNIV} \subseteq \text{valley}' L L E \text{UNIV}$ 

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


```

3.2 Commutation and confluence

abbreviation $\text{conversion}'' L R M \equiv ((\bigcup i \in M. R i) \cup (\bigcup i \in M. L i)^{-1})^*$
abbreviation $\text{valley}'' L R M \equiv (\bigcup i \in M. R i)^* O ((\bigcup i \in M. L i)^{-1})^*$

Decreasing diagrams for commutation.

```

lemma dd-commute[case-names wf trans peak]:
assumes wf r and trans r
and pk:  $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in L a \implies (s, u) \in R b \implies$ 
 $(t, u) \in \text{conversion}'' L R (\text{under } r a) O (R b)^= O \text{conversion}'' L R (\text{under } r a$ 
 $\cup \text{under } r b) O$ 
 $((L a)^{-1})^= O \text{conversion}'' L R (\text{under } r b)$ 
shows commute  $(\bigcup i. L i) (\bigcup i. R i)$ 

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


```

Decreasing diagrams for confluence.

```

lemmas dd-cr[case-names wf trans peak] =
dd-commute[of - L L for L, unfolded CR-iff-self-commute[symmetric]]

```

3.3 Extended decreasing diagrams

```

context
fixes r q :: 'b rel
assumes wf r and trans r and trans q and refl q and compat: r O q  $\subseteq$  r
begin

```

```

private abbreviation (input) down :: ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel) where
down L  $\equiv$   $\lambda i. \bigcup j \in \text{under } q. i. L j$ 

```

```

private lemma Union-down:  $(\bigcup i. \text{down } L i) = (\bigcup i. L i)$ 

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


```

Extended decreasing diagrams for commutation.

```

lemma edd-commute[case-names wf transr transq reflq compat peak]:
assumes pk:  $\bigwedge a b s t u. (s, t) \in L a \implies (s, u) \in R b \implies$ 
 $(t, u) \in \text{conversion}'' L R (\text{under } r a) O (\text{down } R b)^= O \text{conversion}'' L R (\text{under}$ 
 $r a \cup \text{under } r b) O$ 
 $((\text{down } L a)^{-1})^= O \text{conversion}'' L R (\text{under } r b)$ 
shows commute  $(\bigcup i. L i) (\bigcup i. R i)$ 

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


```

Extended decreasing diagrams for confluence.

```
lemmas edd-cr[case-names wf transr transq reflq compat peak] =  
  edd-commute[of L L for L, unfolded CR-iff-self-commute[symmetric]]  
  
end  
  
end
```

References

- [1] B. Felgenhauer and V. van Oostrom. Proof orders for decreasing diagrams. In *Proc. 24th International Conference on Rewriting Techniques and Applications*, number 21 in Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics, pages 174–189, 2013.
- [2] N. Hirokawa and A. Middeldorp. Decreasing diagrams and relative termination. In *Proc. 5th International Joint Conference on Automated Reasoning*, volume 6173 of *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 487–501, 2010.
- [3] V. van Oostrom. Confluence by decreasing diagrams – converted. In *Proc. 19th International Conference on Rewriting Techniques and Applications*, volume 5117 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 306–320, 2008.