

Declarative Semantics for Functional Languages

Jeremy G. Siek

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We present a semantics for an applied call-by-value lambda-calculus that is compositional, extensional, and elementary. We present four different views of the semantics: 1) as a relational (big-step) semantics that is not operational but instead declarative, 2) as a denotational semantics that does not use domain theory, 3) as a non-deterministic interpreter, and 4) as a variant of the intersection type systems of the Torino group. We prove that the semantics is correct by showing that it is sound and complete with respect to operational semantics on programs and that is sound with respect to contextual equivalence. We have not yet investigated whether it is fully abstract. We demonstrate that this approach to semantics is useful with three case studies. First, we use the semantics to prove correctness of a compiler optimization that inlines function application. Second, we adapt the semantics to the polymorphic lambda-calculus extended with general recursion and prove semantic type soundness. Third, we adapt the semantics to the call-by-value lambda-calculus with mutable references. The paper that accompanies these Isabelle theories is available on arXiv at the following URL:

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.03762>

Contents

1 Syntax of the lambda calculus	2
2 Small-step semantics of CBV lambda calculus	2
3 Big-step semantics of CBV lambda calculus	4
3.1 Big-step semantics is sound wrt. small-step semantics	4
3.2 Big-step semantics is deterministic	6
4 Declarative semantics as a relational semantics	8
5 Declarative semantics as a denotational semantics	8
6 Relational and denotational views are equivalent	8
7 Subsumption and change of environment	9
8 Declarative semantics as a non-deterministic interpreter	10
8.1 Non-determinism monad	10
8.2 Non-deterministic interpreter	11
9 Declarative semantics as a type system	11
10 Declarative semantics with tables as lists	12
10.1 Definition of values for declarative semantics	12
10.2 Properties about values	13
10.3 Declarative semantics as a denotational semantics	14
10.4 Subsumption and change of environment	15
11 Equivalence of denotational and type system views	16

12 Soundness of the declarative semantics wrt. operational	18
12.1 Substitution preserves denotation	18
12.2 Reduction preserves denotation	18
12.3 Progress	19
12.4 Logical relation between values and big-step values	19
12.5 Denotational semantics sound wrt. big-step	20
13 Completeness of the declarative semantics wrt. operational	20
13.1 Reverse substitution preserves denotation	20
13.2 Reverse reduction preserves denotation	21
13.3 Completeness	21
14 Soundness wrt. contextual equivalence	21
14.1 Denotational semantics is a congruence	21
14.2 Auxiliary lemmas	22
14.3 Soundness wrt. contextual equivalence	22
14.4 Denotational equalities regarding reduction	22
15 Correctness of an optimizer	23
16 Semantics and type soundness for System F	23
16.1 Syntax and values	23
16.2 Set monad	24
16.3 Denotational semantics	24
16.4 Types: substitution and semantics	25
16.5 Type system	25
16.6 Well-typed Programs don't go wrong	26
17 Semantics of mutable references	27
17.1 Denotations (values)	27
17.2 Non-deterministic state monad	28
17.3 Denotational semantics	29

1 Syntax of the lambda calculus

```

theory Lambda
imports Main
begin

type-synonym name = nat

datatype exp = EVar name | ENat nat | ELam name exp | EApp exp exp
| EPrim nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat exp exp | EIf exp exp exp

fun lookup :: ('a × 'b) list ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b option where
  lookup [] x = None |
  lookup ((y,v)#ls) x = (if (x = y) then Some v else lookup ls x)

fun FV :: exp ⇒ nat set where
  FV (EVar x) = {x} |
  FV (ENat n) = {} |
  FV (ELam x e) = FV e - {x} |
  FV (EApp e1 e2) = FV e1 ∪ FV e2 |
  FV (EPrim f e1 e2) = FV e1 ∪ FV e2 |
  FV (EIf e1 e2 e3) = FV e1 ∪ FV e2 ∪ FV e3

fun BV :: exp ⇒ nat set where
  BV (EVar x) = {} |
  BV (ENat n) = {} |
  BV (ELam x e) = BV e ∪ {x} |
  BV (EApp e1 e2) = BV e1 ∪ BV e2 |
  BV (EPrim f e1 e2) = BV e1 ∪ BV e2 |
  BV (EIf e1 e2 e3) = BV e1 ∪ BV e2 ∪ BV e3

end

```

2 Small-step semantics of CBV lambda calculus

```

theory SmallStepLam
imports Lambda
begin

The following substitution function is not capture avoiding, so it has a precondition that  $v$  is closed.
With hindsight, we should have used DeBruijn indices instead because we also use substitution in the
optimizing compiler.

fun subst :: name ⇒ exp ⇒ exp ⇒ exp where
  subst x v (EVar y) = (if x = y then v else EVar y) |
  subst x v (ENat n) = ENat n |
  subst x v (ELam y e) = (if x = y then ELam y e else ELam y (subst x v e)) |
  subst x v (EApp e1 e2) = EApp (subst x v e1) (subst x v e2) |
  subst x v (EPrim f e1 e2) = EPrim f (subst x v e1) (subst x v e2) |
  subst x v (EIf e1 e2 e3) = EIf (subst x v e1) (subst x v e2) (subst x v e3)

```

```

inductive isval :: exp ⇒ bool where
  valnat[intro!]: isval (ENat n) |
  vallam[intro!]: isval (ELam x e)

inductive-cases
  isval-var-inv[elim!]: isval (EVar x) and
  isval-app-inv[elim!]: isval (EApp e1 e2) and
  isval-prim-inv[elim!]: isval (EPrim f e1 e2) and
  isval-if-inv[elim!]: isval (EIf e1 e2 e3)

```

```

definition is-val :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-val v  $\equiv$  isval v  $\wedge$  FV v = {}
declare is-val-def[simp]

inductive reduce :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\longleftrightarrow$  55) where
  beta[intro!]:  $\llbracket$  is-val v  $\rrbracket \implies$  EApp (ELam x e) v  $\longrightarrow$  (subst x v e) |
  app-left[intro!]:  $\llbracket$  e1  $\longrightarrow$  e1'  $\rrbracket \implies$  EApp e1 e2  $\longrightarrow$  EApp e1' e2 |
  app-right[intro!]:  $\llbracket$  e2  $\longrightarrow$  e2'  $\rrbracket \implies$  EApp e1 e2  $\longrightarrow$  EApp e1 e2' |
  delta[intro!]: EPrim f (ENat n1) (ENat n2)  $\longrightarrow$  ENat (f n1 n2) |
  prim-left[intro!]:  $\llbracket$  e1  $\longrightarrow$  e1'  $\rrbracket \implies$  EPrim f e1 e2  $\longrightarrow$  EPrim f e1' e2 |
  prim-right[intro!]:  $\llbracket$  e2  $\longrightarrow$  e2'  $\rrbracket \implies$  EPrim f e1 e2  $\longrightarrow$  EPrim f e1 e2' |
  if-zero[intro!]: EIf (ENat 0) thn els  $\longrightarrow$  els |
  if-nz[intro!]: n  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  EIf (ENat n) thn els  $\longrightarrow$  thn |
  if-cond[intro!]:  $\llbracket$  cond  $\longrightarrow$  cond'  $\rrbracket \implies$ 
    EIf cond thn els  $\longrightarrow$  EIf cond' thn els

inductive-cases
  red-var-inv[elim!]: EVar x  $\longrightarrow$  e and
  red-int-inv[elim!]: ENat n  $\longrightarrow$  e and
  red-lam-inv[elim!]: ELam x e  $\longrightarrow$  e' and
  red-app-inv[elim!]: EApp e1 e2  $\longrightarrow$  e'

inductive multi-step :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\longleftrightarrow*$  55) where
  ms-nil[intro!]: e  $\longrightarrow*$  e |
  ms-cons[intro!]:  $\llbracket$  e1  $\longrightarrow$  e2; e2  $\longrightarrow*$  e3  $\rrbracket \implies$  e1  $\longrightarrow*$  e3

definition diverge :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  diverge e  $\equiv$  ( $\forall$  e'. e  $\longrightarrow*$  e'  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  e''. e'  $\longrightarrow$  e''))

definition stuck :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  stuck e  $\equiv$   $\neg$  ( $\exists$  e'. e  $\longrightarrow$  e')
declare stuck-def[simp]

definition goes-wrong :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  goes-wrong e  $\equiv$   $\exists$  e'. e  $\longrightarrow*$  e'  $\wedge$  stuck e'  $\wedge$   $\neg$  isval e'
declare goes-wrong-def[simp]

datatype obs = ONat nat | OFun | OBad

fun observe :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  obs  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  observe (ENat n) (ONat n') = (n = n') |
  observe (ELam x e) OFun = True |
  observe e ob = False

definition run :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  obs  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\Downarrow$  52) where
  run e ob  $\equiv$  (( $\exists$  v. e  $\longrightarrow*$  v  $\wedge$  observe v ob)
     $\vee$  ((diverge e  $\vee$  goes-wrong e)  $\wedge$  ob = OBad))

lemma val-stuck: fixes e::exp assumes val-e: isval e shows stuck e
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma subst-fv-aux: assumes fv: FV v = {} shows FV (subst x v e)  $\subseteq$  FV e - {x}
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma subst-fv: assumes fv-e: FV e  $\subseteq$  {x} and fv-v: FV v = {}
  shows FV (subst x v e) = {}
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma red-pres-fv: fixes e::exp assumes red: e  $\longrightarrow$  e' and fv: FV e = {} shows FV e' = {}
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma reduction-pres-fv: fixes  $e :: exp$  assumes  $r: e \longrightarrow^* e'$  and  $fv: FV e = \{\}$  shows  $FV e' = \{\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

3 Big-step semantics of CBV lambda calculus

```

theory BigStepLam
  imports Lambda SmallStepLam
begin

datatype bval
  = BNat nat
  | BClos name exp (name × bval) list

type-synonym benv = (name × bval) list

inductive eval :: benv ⇒ exp ⇒ bval ⇒ bool (⟨- ⊢ - ↓ → [50,50,50] 51) where
  eval-nat[intro!]:  $\varrho \vdash ENat n \Downarrow BNat n$  |
  eval-var[intro!]:  $\text{lookup } \varrho x = \text{Some } v \implies \varrho \vdash EVar x \Downarrow v$  |
  eval-lam[intro!]:  $\varrho \vdash ELam x e \Downarrow BClos x e \varrho$  |
  eval-app[intro!]:  $\llbracket \varrho \vdash e1 \Downarrow BClos x e \varrho'; \varrho \vdash e2 \Downarrow arg; (x,arg)\#\varrho' \vdash e \Downarrow v \rrbracket \implies \varrho \vdash EApp e1 e2 \Downarrow v$  |
  eval-prim[intro!]:  $\llbracket \varrho \vdash e1 \Downarrow BNat n1; \varrho \vdash e2 \Downarrow BNat n2; n3 = f n1 n2 \rrbracket \implies \varrho \vdash EPrim f e1 e2 \Downarrow BNat n3$  |
  eval-if0[intro!]:  $\llbracket \varrho \vdash e1 \Downarrow BNat 0; \varrho \vdash e3 \Downarrow v3 \rrbracket \implies \varrho \vdash EIF e1 e2 e3 \Downarrow v3$  |
  eval-if1[intro!]:  $\llbracket \varrho \vdash e1 \Downarrow BNat n; n \neq 0; \varrho \vdash e2 \Downarrow v2 \rrbracket \implies \varrho \vdash EIF e1 e2 e3 \Downarrow v2$ 

```

inductive-cases

```

  eval-nat-inv[elim!]:  $\varrho \vdash ENat n \Downarrow v$  and
  eval-var-inv[elim!]:  $\varrho \vdash EVar x \Downarrow v$  and
  eval-lam-inv[elim!]:  $\varrho \vdash ELam x e \Downarrow v$  and
  eval-app-inv[elim!]:  $\varrho \vdash EApp e1 e2 \Downarrow v$  and
  eval-prim-inv[elim!]:  $\varrho \vdash EPrim f e1 e2 \Downarrow v$  and
  eval-if-inv[elim!]:  $\varrho \vdash EIF e1 e2 e3 \Downarrow v$ 

```

3.1 Big-step semantics is sound wrt. small-step semantics

```
type-synonym env = (name × exp) list
```

```

fun psubst :: env ⇒ exp ⇒ exp where
  psubst  $\varrho$  ( $ENat n$ ) =  $ENat n$  |
  psubst  $\varrho$  ( $EVar x$ ) =
    (case  $\text{lookup } \varrho x$  of
      None ⇒  $EVar x$ 
      | Some  $v \Rightarrow v$ ) |
  psubst  $\varrho$  ( $ELam x e$ ) =  $ELam x (\text{psubst } ((x,EVar x)\#\varrho) e)$  |
  psubst  $\varrho$  ( $EApp e1 e2$ ) =  $EApp (\text{psubst } \varrho e1) (\text{psubst } \varrho e2)$  |
  psubst  $\varrho$  ( $EPrim f e1 e2$ ) =  $EPrim f (\text{psubst } \varrho e1) (\text{psubst } \varrho e2)$  |
  psubst  $\varrho$  ( $EIF e1 e2 e3$ ) =  $EIF (\text{psubst } \varrho e1) (\text{psubst } \varrho e2) (\text{psubst } \varrho e3)$ 

```

```

inductive bs-val :: bval ⇒ exp ⇒ bool and
  bs-env :: benv ⇒ env ⇒ bool where
  bs-nat[intro!]:  $bs\text{-val } (BNat n) (ENat n)$  |
  bs-clos[intro!]:  $\llbracket bs\text{-env } \varrho \varrho'; FV (ELam x (\text{psubst } ((x,EVar x)\#\varrho') e)) = \{\} \rrbracket \implies bs\text{-val } (BClos x e \varrho) (ELam x (\text{psubst } ((x,EVar x)\#\varrho') e))$  |

```

bs-nil[intro!]: $bs\text{-}env \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \mid$
bs-cons[intro!]: $\llbracket bs\text{-}val w v; bs\text{-}env \varrho \varrho' \rrbracket \implies bs\text{-}env ((x,w)\#\varrho) ((x,v)\#\varrho')$

inductive-cases $bs\text{-}env\text{-}inv1[elim!]$: $bs\text{-}env ((x, w) \# \varrho) \varrho' \text{ and}$
 $bs\text{-}clos\text{-}inv[elim!]$: $bs\text{-}val (BClos x e \varrho'') v1 \text{ and}$
 $bs\text{-}nat\text{-}inv[elim!]$: $bs\text{-}val (BNat n) v$

lemma $bs\text{-}val\text{-}is\text{-}val[intro!]$: $bs\text{-}val w v \implies is\text{-}val v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lookup\text{-}bs\text{-}env$: $\llbracket bs\text{-}env \varrho \varrho'; lookup \varrho x = Some w \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists v. lookup \varrho' x = Some v \wedge bs\text{-}val w v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $app\text{-}red\text{-}cong1$: $e1 \longrightarrow^* e1' \implies EApp e1 e2 \longrightarrow^* EApp e1' e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $app\text{-}red\text{-}cong2$: $e2 \longrightarrow^* e2' \implies EApp e1 e2 \longrightarrow^* EApp e1 e2'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prim\text{-}red\text{-}cong1$: $e1 \longrightarrow^* e1' \implies EPrim f e1 e2 \longrightarrow^* EPrim f e1' e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prim\text{-}red\text{-}cong2$: $e2 \longrightarrow^* e2' \implies EPrim f e1 e2 \longrightarrow^* EPrim f e1 e2'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $if\text{-}red\text{-}cong1$: $e1 \longrightarrow^* e1' \implies EIf e1 e2 e3 \longrightarrow^* EIf e1' e2 e3$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $multi\text{-}step\text{-}trans$: $\llbracket e1 \longrightarrow^* e2; e2 \longrightarrow^* e3 \rrbracket \implies e1 \longrightarrow^* e3$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subst\text{-}id\text{-}fv$: $x \notin FV e \implies subst x v e = e$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $sdom :: env \Rightarrow name \text{ set where}$
 $sdom \varrho \equiv \{x. \exists v. lookup \varrho x = Some v \wedge v \neq EVar x\}$

definition $closed\text{-}env :: env \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$
 $closed\text{-}env \varrho \equiv (\forall x v. x \in sdom \varrho \longrightarrow lookup \varrho x = Some v \longrightarrow FV v = \{\})$

definition $equiv\text{-}env :: env \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$
 $equiv\text{-}env \varrho \varrho' \equiv (sdom \varrho = sdom \varrho' \wedge (\forall x. x \in sdom \varrho \longrightarrow lookup \varrho x = lookup \varrho' x))$

lemma $sdom\text{-}cons\text{-}xx[simp]$: $sdom ((x, EVar x) \# \varrho) = sdom \varrho - \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sdom\text{-}cons\text{-}v[simp]$: $FV v = \{\} \implies sdom ((x, v) \# \varrho) = insert x (sdom \varrho)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lookup\text{-}some\text{-}in\text{-}dom$: $\llbracket lookup \varrho x = Some v; v \neq EVar x \rrbracket \implies x \in sdom \varrho$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lookup\text{-}none\text{-}notin\text{-}dom$: $lookup \varrho x = None \implies x \notin sdom \varrho$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $psubst\text{-}change$: $equiv\text{-}env \varrho \varrho' \implies psubst \varrho e = psubst \varrho' e$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subst\text{-}psubst$: $\llbracket closed\text{-}env \varrho; FV v = \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $subst x v (psubst ((x, EVar x) \# \varrho) e) = psubst ((x, v) \# \varrho) e$

$\langle proof \rangle$

inductive-cases *bsenv-nil*[*elim!*]: *bs-env* [] ϱ'

lemma *bs-env-dom*: *bs-env* ϱ $\varrho' \implies \text{set}(\text{map} \text{ fst} \varrho) = \text{sdom } \varrho'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *closed-env-cons*[*intro!*]: *FV v* = {} $\implies \text{closed-env } \varrho'' \implies \text{closed-env } ((a, v) \# \varrho'')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bs-env-closed*: *bs-env* ϱ $\varrho' \implies \text{closed-env } \varrho'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *psubst-fv*: *closed-env* $\varrho \implies \text{FV}(\text{psubst } \varrho e) = \text{FV } e - \text{sdom } \varrho$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *big-small-step*:
assumes *ev*: $\varrho \vdash e \Downarrow w$ **and** *r-rp*: *bs-env* ϱ ϱ' **and** *fv-e*: *FV e* $\subseteq \text{set}(\text{map} \text{ fst} \varrho)$
shows $\exists v. \text{psubst } \varrho' e \longrightarrow^* v \wedge \text{is-val } v \wedge \text{bs-val } w v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *psubst-id*: *FV e* $\cap \text{sdom } \varrho = \{\}$ $\implies \text{psubst } \varrho e = e$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *bs-observe* :: *bval* \Rightarrow *obs* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
bs-observe (*BNat n*) (*ONat n'*) = (*n* = *n'*) |
bs-observe (*BClos x e* ϱ) *OFun* = *True* |
bs-observe *e ob* = *False*

theorem *sound-wrt-small-step*:
assumes *e-v*: [] $\vdash e \Downarrow v$ **and** *fv-e*: *FV e* = {}
shows $\exists v' \text{ ob. } e \longrightarrow^* v' \wedge \text{isval } v' \wedge \text{observe } v' \text{ ob}$
 $\wedge \text{bs-observe } v \text{ ob}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 Big-step semantics is deterministic

theorem *big-step-fun*:
assumes *ev*: $\varrho \vdash e \Downarrow v$ **and** *evp*: $\varrho \vdash e \Downarrow v'$ **shows** *v* = *v'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory *ValuesFSet*
imports *Main Lambda HOL-Library.FSet*
begin

datatype *val* = *VNat nat* | *VFun (val × val) fset*

type-synonym *func* = *(val × val) fset*

inductive *val-le* :: *val* \Rightarrow *val* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 52) **where**
vnat-le[*intro!*]: (*VNat n*) \sqsubseteq (*VNat n*) |
vfun-le[*intro!*]: *fset t1* \subseteq *fset t2* \implies (*VFun t1*) \sqsubseteq (*VFun t2*)

type-synonym *env* = *((name × val) list)*

definition *env-le* :: *env* \Rightarrow *env* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 52) **where**
 $\varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho' \equiv \forall x v. \text{lookup } \varrho x = \text{Some } v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. \text{lookup } \varrho' x = \text{Some } v' \wedge v \sqsubseteq v')$

definition *env-eq* :: *env* \Rightarrow *env* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \approx 50) **where**

```

 $\varrho \approx \varrho' \equiv (\forall x. \text{lookup } \varrho x = \text{lookup } \varrho' x)$ 

fun vadd ::  $(\text{val} \times \text{nat}) \times (\text{val} \times \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
  vadd  $((-,v),(-,u)) r = v + u + r$ 

primrec vsize ::  $\text{val} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
  vsize  $(\text{VNat } n) = 1$  |
  vsize  $(\text{VFun } t) = 1 + \text{ffold } \text{vadd } 0$ 
     $(\text{fimage } (\text{map-prod } (\lambda v. (v, \text{vsize } v)) (\lambda v. (v, \text{vsize } v))) t)$ 

abbreviation vprod-size ::  $\text{val} \times \text{val} \Rightarrow (\text{val} \times \text{nat}) \times (\text{val} \times \text{nat})$  where
  vprod-size  $\equiv \text{map-prod } (\lambda v. (v, \text{vsize } v)) (\lambda v. (v, \text{vsize } v))$ 

abbreviation fsize ::  $\text{func} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
  fsize  $t \equiv 1 + \text{ffold } \text{vadd } 0 (\text{fimage } \text{vprod-size } t)$ 

interpretation vadd-vprod: comp-fun-commute vadd  $\circ$  vprod-size
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma vprod-size-inj: inj-on vprod-size (fset A)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fsize-def2: fsize t  $= 1 + \text{ffold } (\text{vadd } \circ \text{vprod-size}) 0 t$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fsize-finsert-in[simp]:
  assumes v12-t:  $(v1, v2) \in t$  shows fsize (finsert (v1, v2) t) = fsize t
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fsize-finsert-notin[simp]:
  assumes v12-t:  $(v1, v2) \notin t$ 
  shows fsize (finsert (v1, v2) t) = vsize v1 + vsize v2 + fsize t
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end
theory ValuesFSetProps
  imports ValuesFSet
begin

inductive-cases
  vfun-le-inv[elim!]: VFun t1 ⊑ VFun t2 and
  le-fun-nat-inv[elim!]: VFun t2 ⊑ VNat x1 and
  le-any-nat-inv[elim!]: v ⊑ VNat n and
  le-nat-any-inv[elim!]: VNat n ⊑ v and
  le-fun-any-inv[elim!]: VFun t ⊑ v and
  le-any-fun-inv[elim!]: v ⊑ VFun t

proposition val-le-refl[simp]: fixes v::val shows v ⊑ v  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

proposition val-le-trans[trans]: fixes v2::val shows  $\llbracket v1 \sqsubseteq v2; v2 \sqsubseteq v3 \rrbracket \implies v1 \sqsubseteq v3$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fsubset[intro!]: fset A ⊆ fset B  $\implies A \subseteq B$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

proposition val-le-antisymm: fixes v1::val shows  $\llbracket v1 \sqsubseteq v2; v2 \sqsubseteq v1 \rrbracket \implies v1 = v2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma le-nat-any[simp]: VNat n ⊑ v  $\implies v = VNat n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma le-any-nat[simp]:  $v \sqsubseteq VNat\ n \implies v = VNat\ n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma le-nat-nat[simp]:  $VNat\ n \sqsubseteq VNat\ n' \implies n = n'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

4 Declarative semantics as a relational semantics

```

theory RelationalSemFSet
  imports Lambda ValuesFSet
begin

inductive rel-sem :: env  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\cdot \vdash \cdot \Rightarrow \cdot$ ) [52,52,52] 51) where
  rnat[intro!]:  $\varrho \vdash ENat\ n \Rightarrow VNat\ n$  |
  rprim[intro!]:  $\llbracket \varrho \vdash e1 \Rightarrow VNat\ n1; \varrho \vdash e2 \Rightarrow VNat\ n2 \rrbracket \implies \varrho \vdash EPrim\ f\ e1\ e2 \Rightarrow VNat\ (f\ n1\ n2)$  |
  rvar[intro!]:  $\llbracket \text{lookup } \varrho\ x = \text{Some } v'; v \sqsubseteq v' \rrbracket \implies \varrho \vdash EVar\ x \Rightarrow v$  |
  rlam[intro!]:  $\llbracket \forall v\ v'. (v, v') \in fset\ t \longrightarrow (x, v)\# \varrho \vdash e \Rightarrow v' \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \varrho \vdash ELam\ x\ e \Rightarrow VFun\ t$  |
  rapp[intro!]:  $\llbracket \varrho \vdash e1 \Rightarrow VFun\ t; \varrho \vdash e2 \Rightarrow v2; (v3, v3') \in fset\ t; v3 \sqsubseteq v2; v \sqsubseteq v3' \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \varrho \vdash EApp\ e1\ e2 \Rightarrow v$  |
  rifnz[intro!]:  $\llbracket \varrho \vdash e1 \Rightarrow VNat\ n; n \neq 0; \varrho \vdash e2 \Rightarrow v \rrbracket \implies \varrho \vdash EIf\ e1\ e2\ e3 \Rightarrow v$  |
  rifz[intro!]:  $\llbracket \varrho \vdash e1 \Rightarrow VNat\ n; n = 0; \varrho \vdash e3 \Rightarrow v \rrbracket \implies \varrho \vdash EIf\ e1\ e2\ e3 \Rightarrow v$ 

end
theory DeclSemAsDenotFSet
  imports Lambda ValuesFSet
begin

```

5 Declarative semantics as a denotational semantics

```

fun E :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  env  $\Rightarrow$  val set where
  Enat:  $E(ENat\ n)\ \varrho = \{ v. v = VNat\ n \}$  |
  Evar:  $E(EVar\ x)\ \varrho = \{ v. \exists v'. \text{lookup } \varrho\ x = \text{Some } v' \wedge v \sqsubseteq v' \}$  |
  Elam:  $E(ELam\ x\ e)\ \varrho = \{ v. \exists f. v = VFun\ f \wedge (\forall v1\ v2. (v1, v2) \in fset\ f$ 
     $\longrightarrow v2 \in E\ e\ ((x, v1)\# \varrho)) \}$  |
  Eapp:  $E(EApp\ e1\ e2)\ \varrho = \{ v3. \exists f\ v2\ v2'\ v3'. VFun\ f \in E\ e1\ \varrho \wedge v2 \in E\ e2\ \varrho \wedge (v2', v3') \in fset\ f \wedge v2' \sqsubseteq v2 \wedge v3 \sqsubseteq v3' \}$  |
  Eprim:  $E(EPrim\ f\ e1\ e2)\ \varrho = \{ v. \exists n1\ n2. VNat\ n1 \in E\ e1\ \varrho \wedge VNat\ n2 \in E\ e2\ \varrho \wedge v = VNat\ (f\ n1\ n2) \}$  |
  Eif:  $E(EIf\ e1\ e2\ e3)\ \varrho = \{ v. \exists n. VNat\ n \in E\ e1\ \varrho \wedge (n = 0 \longrightarrow v \in E\ e3\ \varrho) \wedge (n \neq 0 \longrightarrow v \in E\ e2\ \varrho) \}$ 

```

```
end
```

6 Relational and denotational views are equivalent

```

theory EquivRelationalDenotFSet
  imports RelationalSemFSet DeclSemAsDenotFSet
begin

```

```

lemma denot-implies-rel:  $(v \in E\ e\ \varrho) \implies (\varrho \vdash e \Rightarrow v)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma rel-implies-denot:  $\varrho \vdash e \Rightarrow v \implies v \in E\ e\ \varrho$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

theorem equivalence-relational-denotational:  $(v \in E\ e\ \varrho) = (\varrho \vdash e \Rightarrow v)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

7 Subsumption and change of environment

theory *ChangeEnv*

imports *Main Lambda DeclSemAsDenotFSet ValuesFSetProps*

begin

lemma *e-prim-intro[intro]*: $\llbracket VNat\ n1 \in E\ e1\ \varrho; VNat\ n2 \in E\ e2\ \varrho; v = VNat\ (f\ n1\ n2) \rrbracket \implies v \in E\ (EPrim\ f\ e1\ e2)\ \varrho \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-prim-elim[elim]*: $\llbracket v \in E\ (EPrim\ f\ e1\ e2)\ \varrho; \wedge\ n1\ n2. \llbracket VNat\ n1 \in E\ e1\ \varrho; VNat\ n2 \in E\ e2\ \varrho; v = VNat\ (f\ n1\ n2) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-app-elim[elim]*: $\llbracket v3 \in E\ (EAApp\ e1\ e2)\ \varrho; \wedge\ f\ v2\ v2'\ v3'. \llbracket VFun\ f \in E\ e1\ \varrho; v2 \in E\ e2\ \varrho; (v2', v3') \in fset\ f; v2' \sqsubseteq v2; v3 \sqsubseteq v3' \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-app-intro[intro]*: $\llbracket VFun\ f \in E\ e1\ \varrho; v2 \in E\ e2\ \varrho; (v2', v3') \in fset\ f; v2' \sqsubseteq v2; v3 \sqsubseteq v3' \rrbracket \implies v3 \in E\ (EAApp\ e1\ e2)\ \varrho \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-lam-intro[intro]*: $\llbracket v = VFun\ f; \forall\ v1\ v2. (v1, v2) \in fset\ f \longrightarrow v2 \in E\ e\ ((x, v1)\#\varrho) \rrbracket \implies v \in E\ (ELam\ x\ e)\ \varrho \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-lam-intro2[intro]*: $\llbracket VFun\ f \in E\ (ELam\ x\ e)\ \varrho; v2 \in E\ e\ ((x, v1)\#\varrho) \rrbracket \implies VFun\ (finsert\ (v1, v2)\ f) \in E\ (ELam\ x\ e)\ \varrho \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-lam-intro3[intro]*: $VFun\ \{\|\} \in E\ (ELam\ x\ e)\ \varrho \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-if-intro[intro]*: $\llbracket VNat\ n \in E\ e1\ \varrho; n = 0 \longrightarrow v \in E\ e3\ \varrho; n \neq 0 \longrightarrow v \in E\ e2\ \varrho \rrbracket \implies v \in E\ (EIf\ e1\ e2\ e3)\ \varrho \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-var-intro[elim]*: $\llbracket lookup\ \varrho\ x = Some\ v'; v \sqsubseteq v' \rrbracket \implies v \in E\ (EVar\ x)\ \varrho \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-var-elim[elim]*: $\llbracket v \in E\ (EVar\ x)\ \varrho; \wedge\ v'. \llbracket lookup\ \varrho\ x = Some\ v'; v \sqsubseteq v' \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-lam-elim[elim]*: $\llbracket v \in E\ (ELam\ x\ e)\ \varrho; \wedge\ f. \llbracket v = VFun\ f; \forall\ v1\ v2. (v1, v2) \in fset\ f \longrightarrow v2 \in E\ e\ ((x, v1)\#\varrho) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-lam-elim2[elim]*: $\llbracket VFun\ (finsert\ (v1, v2)\ f) \in E\ (ELam\ x\ e)\ \varrho; \llbracket v2 \in E\ e\ ((x, v1)\#\varrho) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *e-if-elim[elim]*: $\llbracket v \in E\ (EIf\ e1\ e2\ e3)\ \varrho; \wedge\ n. \llbracket VNat\ n \in E\ e1\ \varrho; n = 0 \longrightarrow v \in E\ e3\ \varrho; n \neq 0 \longrightarrow v \in E\ e2\ \varrho \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$

```

⟨proof⟩

definition xenv-le :: name set ⇒ env ⇒ env ⇒ bool ( $\langle \cdot \vdash \cdot \sqsubseteq \cdot \rangle [51,51,51] 52$ ) where
   $X \vdash \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho' \equiv \forall x v. x \in X \wedge \text{lookup } \varrho x = \text{Some } v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. \text{lookup } \varrho' x = \text{Some } v' \wedge v \sqsubseteq v')$ 
declare xenv-le-def[simp]

proposition change-env-le: fixes v::val and ρ::env
  assumes de:  $v \in E e \varrho$  and vp-v:  $v' \sqsubseteq v$  and rr:  $FV e \vdash \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$ 
  shows  $v' \in E e \varrho'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
proposition e-sub:  $\llbracket v \in E e \varrho; v' \sqsubseteq v \rrbracket \implies v' \in E e \varrho$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma env-le-ext: fixes ρ::env assumes rr:  $\varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$  shows  $((x,v)\#\varrho) \sqsubseteq ((x,v)\#\varrho')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma change-env: fixes ρ::env assumes de:  $v \in E e \varrho$  and rr:  $FV e \vdash \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$  shows  $v \in E e \varrho'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma raise-env: fixes ρ::env assumes de:  $v \in E e \varrho$  and rr:  $\varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$  shows  $v \in E e \varrho'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma env-eq-refl[simp]: fixes ρ::env shows  $\varrho \approx \varrho$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma env-eq-ext: fixes ρ::env assumes rr:  $\varrho \approx \varrho'$  shows  $((x,v)\#\varrho) \approx ((x,v)\#\varrho')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-implies-le: fixes ρ::env shows  $\varrho \approx \varrho' \implies \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma env-swap: fixes ρ::env assumes rr:  $\varrho \approx \varrho'$  and ve:  $v \in E e \varrho$  shows  $v \in E e \varrho'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma env-strengthen:  $\llbracket v \in E e \varrho; \forall x. x \in FV e \longrightarrow \text{lookup } \varrho' x = \text{lookup } \varrho x \rrbracket \implies v \in E e \varrho'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

8 Declarative semantics as a non-deterministic interpreter

```

theory DeclSemAsNDInterpFSet
  imports Lambda ValuesFSet
begin

8.1 Non-determinism monad

type-synonym 'a M = 'a set

definition set-bind :: 'a M ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b M) ⇒ 'b M where
  set-bind m f ≡ { v. ∃ v'. v' ∈ m ∧ v ∈ f v' }
declare set-bind-def[simp]

syntax -set-bind :: [pttrns,'a M,'b] ⇒ 'c ((⟨ - ← - ; // - ⟩ 0)
syntax-consts -set-bind ≡ set-bind
translations P ← E; F ≡ CONST set-bind E (λP. F)

definition return :: 'a ⇒ 'a M where
  return v ≡ {v}
declare return-def[simp]

```

```

definition zero :: 'a M where
  zero ≡ {}
declare zero-def[simp]

unbundle no binomial-syntax

definition choose :: 'a set ⇒ 'a M where
  choose S ≡ S
declare choose-def[simp]

definition down :: val ⇒ val M where
  down v ≡ (v' ← UNIV; if v' ⊑ v then return v' else zero)
declare down-def[simp]

definition mapM :: 'a fset ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b M) ⇒ ('b fset) M where
  mapM as f ≡ ffold (λa. λr. (b ← f a; bs ← r; return (finsert b bs))) (return ({||})) as

```

8.2 Non-deterministic interpreter

```

abbreviation apply-fun :: val M ⇒ val M ⇒ val M where
  apply-fun V1 V2 ≡ (v1 ← V1; v2 ← V2;
    case v1 of VFun f ⇒
      (v2',v3') ← choose (fset f);
      if v2' ⊑ v2 then return v3' else zero
    | - ⇒ zero)

fun E :: exp ⇒ env ⇒ val set where
  Enat2: E (ENat n) ρ = return (VNat n) |
  Evar2: E (EVar x) ρ = (case lookup ρ x of None ⇒ zero | Some v ⇒ down v) |
  Elam2: E (ELam x e) ρ = (vs ← choose UNIV;
    t ← mapM vs (λ v. (v' ← E e ((x,v)#ρ); return (v, v')));
    return (VFun t)) |
  Eapp2: E (EApp e1 e2) ρ = apply-fun (E e1 ρ) (E e2 ρ) |
  Eprim2: E (EPrim f e1 e2) ρ = (v1 ← E e1 ρ; v2 ← E e2 ρ;
    case (v1,v2) of
      (VNat n1, VNat n2) ⇒ return (VNat (f n1 n2))
    | (VNat n1, VFun t2) ⇒ zero
    | (VFun t1, v2) ⇒ zero) |
  Eif2[eta-contract = false]: E (EIf e1 e2 e3) ρ = (v1 ← E e1 ρ;
    case v1 of
      (VNat n) ⇒ if n ≠ 0 then E e2 ρ else E e3 ρ
    | (VFun t) ⇒ zero)

end

```

9 Declarative semantics as a type system

```

theory InterTypeSystem
  imports Lambda
begin

datatype ty = TNat nat | TFun funty
  and funty = TArrow ty ty (infix →→ 55) | TInt funty funty (infix ↗ 56) | TTop (⊤)

inductive subtype :: ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (infix <:: 52)
  and fsubtype :: funty ⇒ funty ⇒ bool (infix <::: 52) where
    sub-refl: A <: A |
    sub-funty[intro!]: f1 <: f2 ⇒ TFun f1 <: TFun f2 |
    sub-fun[intro!]: [ T1 <: T1'; T1' <: T1; T2 <: T2'; T2' <: T2 ] ⇒ (T1 → T2) <: (T1' → T2') |
    sub-inter-l1[intro!]: T1 ⊓ T2 <: T1 |

```

```

sub-inter-l2[intro!]: T1 ⊓ T2 <:: T2 |
sub-inter-r[intro!]: [ T3 <:: T1; T3 <:: T2 ] ==> T3 <:: T1 ⊓ T2 |
sub-fun-top[intro!]: T1 → T2 <:: ⊤ |
sub-top-top[intro!]: ⊤ <:: ⊤ |
fsub-refl[intro!]: T <:: T |
sub-trans[trans]: [ T1 <:: T2; T2 <:: T3 ] ==> T1 <:: T3

definition ty-eq :: ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (infix ≈ 50) where
  A ≈ B ≡ A <: B ∧ B <: A
definition fty-eq :: funty ⇒ funty ⇒ bool (infix ≃ 50) where
  F1 ≃ F2 ≡ F1 <:: F2 ∧ F2 <:: F1

type-synonym tyenv = (name × ty) list

inductive wt :: tyenv ⇒ exp ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (<- ⊢ - : - [51,51,51] 51) where
  wt-var[intro!]: lookup Γ x = Some T ==> Γ ⊢ EVar x : T |
  wt-nat[intro!]: Γ ⊢ ENat n : TNat n |
  wt-lam[intro!]: [ (x,A) #Γ ⊢ e : B ] ==> Γ ⊢ ELam x e : TFun (A → B) |
  wt-app[intro!]: [ Γ ⊢ e1 : TFun (A → B); Γ ⊢ e2 : A ] ==> Γ ⊢ EApp e1 e2 : B |
  wt-top[intro!]: Γ ⊢ ELam x e : TFun ⊤ |
  wt-inter[intro!]: [ Γ ⊢ ELam x e : TFun A; Γ ⊢ ELam x e : TFun B ] ==> Γ ⊢ ELam x e : TFun (A ⊓ B) |
  wt-sub[intro!]: [ Γ ⊢ e : A; A <: B ] ==> Γ ⊢ e : B |
  wt-prim[intro!]: [ Γ ⊢ e1 : TNat n1; Γ ⊢ e2 : TNat n2 ] ==> Γ ⊢ EPrim f e1 e2 : TNat (f n1 n2) |
  wt-ifz[intro!]: [ Γ ⊢ e1 : TNat 0; Γ ⊢ e3 : B ] ==> Γ ⊢ EIf e1 e2 e3 : B |
  wt-ifnz[intro!]: [ Γ ⊢ e1 : TNat n; n ≠ 0; Γ ⊢ e2 : B ] ==> Γ ⊢ EIf e1 e2 e3 : B

```

end

10 Declarative semantics with tables as lists

The semantics that represents function tables as lists is largely obsolete, being replaced by the finite set representation. However, the proof of equivalence to the intersection type system still uses the version based on lists.

10.1 Definition of values for declarative semantics

```

theory Values
  imports Main Lambda
begin

datatype val = VNat nat | VFun (val × val) list

type-synonym func = (val × val) list

inductive val-le :: val ⇒ val ⇒ bool (infix ⊑ 52)
and fun-le :: func ⇒ func ⇒ bool (infix ⊑ 52) where
  vnat-le[intro!]: (VNat n) ⊑ (VNat n) |
  vfun-le[intro!]: t1 ⊑ t2 ==> (VFun t1) ⊑ (VFun t2) |
  fun-le[intro!]: (∀ v1 v2. (v1,v2) ∈ set t1 —>
    (∃ v3 v4. (v3,v4) ∈ set t2
      ∧ v1 ⊑ v3 ∧ v3 ⊑ v1 ∧ v2 ⊑ v4 ∧ v4 ⊑ v2))
    ==> t1 ⊑ t2

type-synonym env = ((name × val) list)

```

```

definition env-le :: env ⇒ env ⇒ bool (infix ⊑ 52) where
   $\varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho' \equiv \forall x v. \text{lookup } \varrho x = \text{Some } v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. \text{lookup } \varrho' x = \text{Some } v' \wedge v \sqsubseteq v')$ 

definition env-eq :: env ⇒ env ⇒ bool (infix ≈ 50) where
   $\varrho \approx \varrho' \equiv (\forall x. \text{lookup } \varrho x = \text{lookup } \varrho' x)$ 

end

```

10.2 Properties about values

```

theory ValueProps
  imports Values
begin

```

```

inductive-cases fun-le-inv[elim]: t1 ⊑ t2 and
  vfun-le-inv[elim!]: VFun t1 ⊑ VFun t2 and
  le-fun-nat-inv[elim!]: VFun t2 ⊑ VNat x1 and
  le-fun-cons-inv[elim!]: (v1, v2) # t1 ⊑ t2 and
  le-any-nat-inv[elim!]: v ⊑ VNat n and
  le-nat-any-inv[elim!]: VNat n ⊑ v and
  le-fun-any-inv[elim!]: VFun t ⊑ v and
  le-any-fun-inv[elim!]: v ⊑ VFun t

```

```

lemma fun-le-cons: (a # t1) ⊑ t2 ⟹ t1 ⊑ t2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

function val-size :: val ⇒ nat and fun-size :: func ⇒ nat where
  val-size (VNat n) = 0 |
  val-size (VFun t) = 1 + fun-size t |
  fun-size [] = 0 |
  fun-size ((v1, v2) # t) = 1 + val-size v1 + val-size v2 + fun-size t
  ⟨proof⟩
termination val-size ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma val-size-mem: (a, b) ∈ set t ⟹ val-size a + val-size b < fun-size t
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma val-size-mem-l: (a, b) ∈ set t ⟹ val-size a < fun-size t
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma val-size-mem-r: (a, b) ∈ set t ⟹ val-size b < fun-size t
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma val-fun-le-refl: ∀ v t. n = val-size v + fun-size t ⟹ v ⊑ v ∧ t ⊑ t
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

proposition val-le-refl[simp]: fixes v::val shows v ⊑ v
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fun-le-refl[simp]: fixes t::func shows t ⊑ t
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition val-eq :: val ⇒ val ⇒ bool (infix ≈ 52) where
  val-eq v1 v2 ≡ (v1 ⊑ v2 ∧ v2 ⊑ v1)

```

```

definition fun-eq :: func ⇒ func ⇒ bool (infix ≈ 52) where
  fun-eq t1 t2 ≡ (t1 ⊑ t2 ∧ t2 ⊑ t1)

```

```

lemma vfun-eq[intro!]: t ~ t' ⟹ VFun t ~ VFun t'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma val-eq-refl[simp]: fixes v::val shows v ~ v
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma val-eq-symm: fixes v1::val and v2::val shows v1 ~ v2 ⟹ v2 ~ v1

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-le-fun-le-trans*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall v2 t2. n = \text{val-size } v2 + \text{fun-size } t2 \rightarrow \\ & (\forall v1 v3. v1 \sqsubseteq v2 \rightarrow v2 \sqsubseteq v3 \rightarrow v1 \sqsubseteq v3) \\ & \wedge (\forall t1 t3. t1 \lesssim t2 \rightarrow t2 \lesssim t3 \rightarrow t1 \lesssim t3) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

proposition *val-le-trans*: **fixes** $v2::\text{val}$ **shows** $\llbracket v1 \sqsubseteq v2; v2 \sqsubseteq v3 \rrbracket \implies v1 \sqsubseteq v3$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-le-trans*: $\llbracket t1 \lesssim t2; t2 \lesssim t3 \rrbracket \implies t1 \lesssim t3$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-eq-trans*: **fixes** $v1::\text{val}$ **and** $v2::\text{val}$ **and** $v3::\text{val}$

assumes $v12: v1 \sim v2$ **and** $v23: v2 \sim v3$ **shows** $v1 \sim v3$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-eq-refl*[simp]: **fixes** $t::\text{func}$ **shows** $t \sim t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-eq-trans*: **fixes** $t1::\text{func}$ **and** $t2::\text{func}$ **and** $t3::\text{func}$

assumes $t12: t1 \sim t2$ **and** $t23: t2 \sim t3$ **shows** $t1 \sim t3$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-fun-le*:

$$\llbracket t1' \lesssim t1; t2' \lesssim t2 \rrbracket \implies t1' @ t2' \lesssim t1 @ t2$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-fun-equiv*:

$$\llbracket t1' \sim t1; t2' \sim t2 \rrbracket \implies t1' @ t2' \sim t1 @ t2$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-leq-symm*: $t2 @ t1 \lesssim t1 @ t2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-eq-symm*: $t2 @ t1 \sim t1 @ t2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-nat-any*[simp]: $VNat n \sqsubseteq v \implies v = VNat n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-any-nat*[simp]: $v \sqsubseteq VNat n \implies v = VNat n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-nat-nat*[simp]: $VNat n \sqsubseteq VNat n' \implies n = n'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

10.3 Declarative semantics as a denotational semantics

theory *DeclSemAsDenot*

imports *Lambda Values*

begin

fun $E :: exp \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow val \ set$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned} Enat: E (ENat n) \varrho = & \{ v. v = VNat n \} | \\ Evar: E (EVar x) \varrho = & \{ v. \exists v'. \text{lookup } \varrho x = \text{Some } v' \wedge v \sqsubseteq v' \} | \\ Elam: E (ELam x e) \varrho = & \{ v. \exists f. v = VFun f \wedge (\forall v1 v2. (v1, v2) \in \text{set } f \\ & \longrightarrow v2 \in E e ((x, v1) \# \varrho)) \} | \end{aligned}$$

```

Eapp: E (EApp e1 e2)  $\varrho$  = { v3.  $\exists f v2 v2' v3' .$ 
     $VFun f \in E e1 \varrho \wedge v2 \in E e2 \varrho \wedge (v2', v3') \in set f \wedge v2' \sqsubseteq v2 \wedge v3 \sqsubseteq v3' } |$ 
Eprim: E (EPrim f e1 e2)  $\varrho$  = { v.  $\exists n1 n2 . VNat n1 \in E e1 \varrho$ 
     $\wedge VNat n2 \in E e2 \varrho \wedge v = VNat (f n1 n2) } |$ 
Eif: E (EIf e1 e2 e3)  $\varrho$  = { v.  $\exists n . VNat n \in E e1 \varrho$ 
     $\wedge (n = 0 \rightarrow v \in E e3 \varrho) \wedge (n \neq 0 \rightarrow v \in E e2 \varrho) } |$ 

```

end

10.4 Subsumption and change of environment

```

theory DenotLam5
imports Main Lambda DeclSemAsDenot ValueProps
begin

lemma e-prim-intro[intro]:  $\llbracket VNat n1 \in E e1 \varrho; VNat n2 \in E e2 \varrho; v = VNat (f n1 n2) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies v \in E (EPrim f e1 e2) \varrho \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-prim-elim[elim]:  $\llbracket v \in E (EPrim f e1 e2) \varrho;$ 
 $\wedge n1 n2. \llbracket VNat n1 \in E e1 \varrho; VNat n2 \in E e2 \varrho; v = VNat (f n1 n2) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-app-intro[intro]:  $\llbracket v3 \in E (EApp e1 e2) \varrho;$ 
 $\wedge f v2 v2' v3'. \llbracket VFun f \in E e1 \varrho; v2 \in E e2 \varrho; (v2', v3') \in set f; v2' \sqsubseteq v2; v3 \sqsubseteq v3' \rrbracket \implies P$ 
 $\rrbracket \implies P$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-app-elim[elim]:  $\llbracket VNat n \in E e1 \varrho; v2 \in E e2 \varrho; (v2', v3') \in set f; v2' \sqsubseteq v2; v3 \sqsubseteq v3' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies v3 \in E (EApp e1 e2) \varrho \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-lam-intro[intro]:  $\llbracket v = VFun f;$ 
 $\forall v1 v2. (v1, v2) \in set f \rightarrow v2 \in E e ((x, v1)\# \varrho) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies v \in E (ELam x e) \varrho$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-lam-intro2[intro]:  $\llbracket VFun f \in E (ELam x e) \varrho; v2 \in E e ((x, v1)\# \varrho) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies VFun ((v1, v2)\# f) \in E (ELam x e) \varrho$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-lam-intro3[intro]:  $VFun [] \in E (ELam x e) \varrho$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-if-intro[intro]:  $\llbracket VNat n \in E e1 \varrho; n = 0 \rightarrow v \in E e3 \varrho; n \neq 0 \rightarrow v \in E e2 \varrho \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies v \in E (EIf e1 e2 e3) \varrho$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-var-intro[elim]:  $\llbracket lookup \varrho x = Some v'; v \sqsubseteq v' \rrbracket \implies v \in E (EVar x) \varrho$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-var-elim[elim]:  $\llbracket v \in E (EVar x) \varrho;$ 
 $\wedge v'. \llbracket lookup \varrho x = Some v'; v \sqsubseteq v' \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-lam-intro[intro]:  $\llbracket v \in E (ELam x e) \varrho;$ 
 $\wedge f. \llbracket v = VFun f; \forall v1 v2. (v1, v2) \in set f \rightarrow v2 \in E e ((x, v1)\# \varrho) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-lam-elim2[elim]:  $\llbracket VFun ((v1, v2)\# f) \in E (ELam x e) \varrho;$ 
 $\llbracket v2 \in E e ((x, v1)\# \varrho) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $e\text{-if-elim}[elim]$: $\llbracket v \in E \ (EIf\ e1\ e2\ e3) \ \varrho; \wedge\ n. \llbracket VNat\ n \in E\ e1\ \varrho; n = 0 \longrightarrow v \in E\ e3\ \varrho; n \neq 0 \longrightarrow v \in E\ e2\ \varrho \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $xenv\text{-le} :: name\ set \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow bool$ ($\cdot \vdash \cdot \sqsubseteq \cdot \rightarrow [51,51,51] 52$) **where**
 $X \vdash \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho' \equiv \forall x. v. x \in X \wedge lookup\ \varrho\ x = Some\ v \longrightarrow (\exists v'. lookup\ \varrho'\ x = Some\ v' \wedge v \sqsubseteq v')$
declare $xenv\text{-le}\text{-def}[simp]$

proposition $change\text{-env}\text{-le}$: **fixes** $v::val$ **and** $\varrho::env$
assumes $de: v \in E\ e\ \varrho$ **and** $vp\text{-}v: v' \sqsubseteq v$ **and** $rr: FV\ e \vdash \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$
shows $v' \in E\ e\ \varrho'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

proposition $e\text{-sub}$: $\llbracket v \in E\ e\ \varrho; v' \sqsubseteq v \rrbracket \implies v' \in E\ e\ \varrho$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $env\text{-le}\text{-ext}$: **fixes** $\varrho::env$ **assumes** $rr: \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$ **shows** $((x,v)\#\varrho) \sqsubseteq ((x,v)\#\varrho')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $change\text{-env}$: **fixes** $\varrho::env$ **assumes** $de: v \in E\ e\ \varrho$ **and** $rr: FV\ e \vdash \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$ **shows** $v \in E\ e\ \varrho'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $raise\text{-env}$: **fixes** $\varrho::env$ **assumes** $de: v \in E\ e\ \varrho$ **and** $rr: \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$ **shows** $v \in E\ e\ \varrho'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $env\text{-eq}\text{-refl}[simp]$: **fixes** $\varrho::env$ **shows** $\varrho \approx \varrho$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $env\text{-eq}\text{-ext}$: **fixes** $\varrho::env$ **assumes** $rr: \varrho \approx \varrho'$ **shows** $((x,v)\#\varrho) \approx ((x,v)\#\varrho')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eq\text{-implies}\text{-le}$: **fixes** $\varrho::env$ **shows** $\varrho \approx \varrho' \implies \varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $env\text{-swap}$: **fixes** $\varrho::env$ **assumes** $rr: \varrho \approx \varrho'$ **and** $ve: v \in E\ e\ \varrho$ **shows** $v \in E\ e\ \varrho'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $env\text{-strengthen}$: $\llbracket v \in E\ e\ \varrho; \forall x. x \in FV\ e \longrightarrow lookup\ \varrho'\ x = lookup\ \varrho\ x \rrbracket \implies v \in E\ e\ \varrho'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

11 Equivalence of denotational and type system views

theory $EquivDenotInterTypes$
imports $InterTypeSystem\ DeclSemAsDenot\ DenotLam5$
begin

fun $V :: ty \Rightarrow val$ **and** $Vf :: funty \Rightarrow (val \times val)$ **list** **where**
 $V(TNat\ n) = VNat\ n \mid$
 $V(TFun\ f) = VFun\ (Vff) \mid$
 $Vf(A \rightarrow B) = [(VA, VB)] \mid$
 $Vf(A \sqcap B) = VfA @ VfB \mid$
 $Vf\top = []$

fun $Venv :: tyenv \Rightarrow env$ **where**
 $Venv[] = [] \mid$
 $Venv((x,A)\#\Gamma) = (x, VA)\#Venv\ \Gamma$

function $T :: val \Rightarrow ty$ **and** $Tf :: (val \times val)$ **list** **Rightarrow** $funty$ **where**

```

 $T(VNat\ n) = TNat\ n \mid$ 
 $T(VFun\ t) = TFun\ (Tf\ t) \mid$ 
 $Tf\ [] = \top \mid$ 
 $Tf\ ((v_1, v_2)\#t) = (T\ v_1 \rightarrow T\ v_2) \sqcap Tf\ t$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
termination  $T\ \langle proof \rangle$ 

fun  $Tenv :: env \Rightarrow tyenv$  where
 $Tenv\ [] = [] \mid$ 
 $Tenv\ ((x, v)\#\varrho) = (x, T\ v)\#\ Tenv\ \varrho$ 

lemma  $sub-inter-left1: A <:: C \implies A \sqcap B <:: C$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $sub-inter-left2: B <:: C \implies A \sqcap B <:: C$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $vf-nil[simp]: Vf\ (Tf\ []) = [] \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $vf-cons[simp]: Vf\ (Tf\ ((v, v')\#t)) = (V\ (T\ v), V\ (T\ v'))\#(Vf\ (Tf\ t)) \langle proof \rangle$ 

proposition  $vt-id:$  shows  $V\ (T\ v) = v$  and  $Vf\ (Tf\ t) = t$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $lookup-tenv:$ 
 $lookup\ \varrho\ x = Some\ v \implies lookup\ (Tenv\ \varrho)\ x = Some\ (T\ v)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

proposition  $table-mem-sub:$ 
 $(v, v') \in set\ t \implies Tf\ t <:: (T\ v) \rightarrow (T\ v')$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $Tf-top: Tf\ t <:: \top$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $le-sub-flip-aux:$ 
 $\forall v\ v'\ t\ t'. n = val-size\ v + val-size\ v' + fun-size\ t + fun-size\ t' \longrightarrow$ 
 $(v \sqsubseteq v' \longrightarrow T\ v' <: T\ v) \wedge (t \lesssim t' \longrightarrow Tf\ t' <:: Tf\ t)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

proposition  $le-sub-flip: v \sqsubseteq v' \implies T\ v' <: T\ v \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $le-sub-fun-flip: t \lesssim t' \implies Tf\ t' <:: Tf\ t \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $Tf-append: Tf\ (t1 @ t2) <:: Tf\ t1 \sqcap Tf\ t2$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $append-Tf: Tf\ t1 \sqcap Tf\ t2 <:: Tf\ (t1 @ t2)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

proposition  $tv-id:$  shows  $T\ (V\ A) \approx A$  and  $Tf\ (Vf\ F) \simeq F$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $denot-lam-implies-ts:$ 
assumes  $et: \forall v\ \varrho. v \in E\ e\ \varrho \longrightarrow Tenv\ \varrho \vdash e : T\ v$  and
 $fe: \forall v_1\ v_2. (v_1, v_2) \in set\ f \longrightarrow v_2 \in E\ e\ ((x, v_1)\# \varrho)$ 
shows  $Tenv\ \varrho \vdash ELam\ x\ e : TFun\ (Tf\ f)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $denot-implies-ts:$ 
assumes  $ve: v \in E\ e\ \varrho$  shows  $Tenv\ \varrho \vdash e : T\ v$ 

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma venv-lookup: assumes  $lx: lookup \Gamma x = Some A$  shows  $lookup (Venv \Gamma) x = Some (V A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma append-fun-equiv:  $\llbracket t1' \sim t1; t2' \sim t2 \rrbracket \implies t1' @ t2' \sim t1 @ t2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma append-eq-symm:  $t2 @ t1 \sim t1 @ t2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sub-le-flip:  $(A <: B \rightarrow V B \sqsubseteq V A) \wedge (f1 <: f2 \rightarrow (Vf f2) \lesssim (Vf f1))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
theorem ts-implies-denot:  
  assumes wte:  $\Gamma \vdash e : A$  shows  $V A \in E e (Venv \Gamma)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

12 Soundness of the declarative semantics wrt. operational

```
theory DenotSoundFSet  
  imports SmallStepLam BigStepLam ChangeEnv  
begin
```

12.1 Substitution preserves denotation

```
lemma subst-app:  $subst x v (EApp e1 e2) = EApp (subst x v e1) (subst x v e2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma subst-prim:  $subst x v (EPrim f e1 e2) = EPrim f (subst x v e1) (subst x v e2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma subst-lam-eq:  $subst x v (ELam x e) = ELam x e$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma subst-lam-neq:  $y \neq x \implies subst x v (ELam y e) = ELam y (subst x v e)$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma subst-if:  $subst x v (EIf e1 e2 e3) = EIf (subst x v e1) (subst x v e2) (subst x v e3)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma substitution:  
  fixes  $\Gamma : env$  and  $A : val$   
  assumes wte:  $B \in E e \Gamma'$  and wtv:  $A \in E v []$   
    and gp:  $\Gamma' \approx (x, A) \# \Gamma$  and v: is-val v  
  shows  $B \in E (subst x v e) \Gamma$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

12.2 Reduction preserves denotation

```
lemma subject-reduction: fixes  $e : exp$  assumes v:  $v \in E e \varrho$  and r:  $e \rightarrow e'$  shows  $v \in E e' \varrho$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
theorem preservation: assumes v:  $v \in E e \varrho$  and rr:  $e \rightarrow^* e'$  shows  $v \in E e' \varrho$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma canonical-nat: assumes v:  $VNat n \in E v \varrho$  and vv: isval v shows  $v = ENat n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma canonical-fun: assumes v:  $VFun f \in E v \varrho$  and vv: isval v shows  $\exists x. v = ELam x e$ 
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

12.3 Progress

theorem *progress*: **assumes** $v: v \in E e \varrho$ **and** $r: \varrho = []$ **and** $fve: FV e = \{\}$
shows $is-val e \vee (\exists e'. e \rightarrow e')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.4 Logical relation between values and big-step values

fun *good-entry* :: *name* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow *benv* \Rightarrow $(val \times bval\ set) \times (val \times bval\ set)$ \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
good-entry $x e \varrho ((v1, g1), (v2, g2)) r = ((\forall v \in g1. \exists v'. (x, v) \# \varrho \vdash e \Downarrow v' \wedge v' \in g2) \wedge r)$

primrec *good* :: *val* \Rightarrow *bval\ set* **where**
Gnat: *good* (*VNat* n) = { *BNat* n } |
Gfun: *good* (*VFun* f) = { $vc. \exists x e \varrho. vc = BClos x e \varrho$
 $\wedge (ffold (good-entry x e \varrho) True (fimage (map-prod (\lambda v. (v, good v)) (\lambda v. (v, good v))) f)) \}$ }

inductive *good-env* :: *benv* \Rightarrow *env* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
genv-nil[intro!]: *good-env* [] [] |
genv-cons[intro!]: $\llbracket v \in good v'; good-env \varrho \varrho' \rrbracket \implies good-env ((x, v) \# \varrho) ((x, v') \# \varrho')$

inductive-cases

genv-any-nil-inv: *good-env* ϱ [] **and**
genv-any-cons-inv: *good-env* ϱ ($b \# \varrho'$)

lemma *lookup-good*:
assumes $l: lookup \varrho' x = Some A$ **and** *EE*: *good-env* $\varrho \varrho'$
shows $\exists v. lookup \varrho x = Some v \wedge v \in good A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *good-prod* :: *val* \times *val* \Rightarrow $(val \times bval\ set) \times (val \times bval\ set)$ **where**
good-prod \equiv *map-prod* ($\lambda v. (v, good v)$) ($\lambda v. (v, good v)$)

lemma *good-prod-inj*: *inj-on* *good-prod* (*fset A*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *good-fun* :: *func* \Rightarrow *name* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow *benv* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
good-fun $f x e \varrho \equiv (ffold (good-entry x e \varrho) True (fimage good-prod f))$

lemma *good-fun-def2*:
good-fun $f x e \varrho = ffold (good-entry x e \varrho \circ good-prod) True f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gfun-elim*: $w \in good (VFun f) \implies \exists x e \varrho. w = BClos x e \varrho \wedge good-fun f x e \varrho$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gfun-mem-iff*: *good-fun* $f x e \varrho = (\forall v1 v2. (v1, v2) \in fset f \rightarrow$
 $(\forall v \in good v1. \exists v'. (x, v) \# \varrho \vdash e \Downarrow v' \wedge v' \in good v2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gfun-mem*: $\llbracket (v1, v2) \in fset f; good-fun f x e \varrho \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall v \in good v1. \exists v'. (x, v) \# \varrho \vdash e \Downarrow v' \wedge v' \in good v2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gfun-intro*: $(\forall v1 v2. (v1, v2) \in fset f \rightarrow (\forall v \in good v1. \exists v'. (x, v) \# \varrho \vdash e \Downarrow v' \wedge v' \in good v2))$
 $\implies good-fun f x e \varrho$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sub-good*: **fixes** $v::val$ **assumes** $wv: w \in good v$ **and** $vp-v: v' \sqsubseteq v$ **shows** $w \in good v'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.5 Denotational semantics sound wrt. big-step

```
lemma denot-terminates: assumes vp-e:  $v' \in E e \varrho'$  and ge: good-env  $\varrho \varrho'$ 
  shows  $\exists v. \varrho \vdash e \Downarrow v \wedge v \in \text{good } v'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

theorem sound-wrt-op-sem:

```
assumes E-e-n:  $E e [] = E (\text{ENat } n) []$  and fv-e:  $FV e = \{\}$  shows  $e \Downarrow \text{ONat } n$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

end

13 Completeness of the declarative semantics wrt. operational

```
theory DenotCompleteFSet
  imports ChangeEnv SmallStepLam DenotSoundFSet
begin
```

13.1 Reverse substitution preserves denotation

```
fun join :: val  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  val option (infix  $\sqcup$  60) where
   $(\text{VNat } n) \sqcup (\text{VNat } n') = (\text{if } n = n' \text{ then Some } (\text{VNat } n) \text{ else None}) |$ 
   $(\text{VFun } f) \sqcup (\text{VFun } f') = \text{Some } (\text{VFun } (f \sqcup| f')) |$ 
   $v \sqcup v' = \text{None}$ 
```

lemma combine-values:

```
assumes vv: isval v and v1v:  $v1 \in E v \varrho$  and v2v:  $v2 \in E v \varrho$ 
shows  $\exists v3. v3 \in E v \varrho \wedge (v1 \sqcup v2 = \text{Some } v3)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma le-union1: fixes v1::val assumes v12: $v1 \sqcup v2 = \text{Some } v12$ shows $v1 \sqsubseteq v12$
⟨proof⟩

lemma le-union2: $v1 \sqcup v2 = \text{Some } v12 \implies v2 \sqsubseteq v12$
⟨proof⟩

lemma le-union-left: $\llbracket v1 \sqcup v2 = \text{Some } v12; v1 \sqsubseteq v3; v2 \sqsubseteq v3 \rrbracket \implies v12 \sqsubseteq v3$
⟨proof⟩

lemma e-val: isval v $\implies \exists v'. v' \in E v \varrho$
⟨proof⟩

lemma reverse-subst-lam:

```
assumes fl:  $\text{VFun } f \in E (\text{ELam } x e) \varrho$ 
and vv: is-val v and ls:  $\text{ELam } x e = \text{ELam } x (\text{subst } y v e')$  and xy:  $x \neq y$ 
and IH:  $\forall v1 v2. v2 \in E (\text{subst } y v e') ((x, v1) \# \varrho)$ 
 $\rightarrow (\exists \varrho' v'. v' \in E v [] \wedge v2 \in E e' \varrho' \wedge \varrho' \approx (y, v') \# (x, v1) \# \varrho)$ 
shows  $\exists \varrho' v''. v'' \in E v [] \wedge \text{VFun } f \in E (\text{ELam } x e') \varrho' \wedge \varrho' \approx ((y, v'') \# \varrho)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma lookup-ext-none: $\llbracket \text{lookup } \varrho y = \text{None}; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies \text{lookup } ((x, v) \# \varrho) y = \text{None}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma rev-subst-var:

```
assumes ev:  $e = \text{EVar } y \wedge v = e'$  and vv: is-val v and vp-E:  $v' \in E e' \varrho$ 
shows  $\exists \varrho' v''. v'' \in E v [] \wedge v' \in E e \varrho' \wedge \varrho' \approx ((y, v'') \# \varrho)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma reverse-subst-pres-denot:

```
assumes vep:  $v' \in E e' \varrho$  and vv: is-val v and ep:  $e' = \text{subst } y v e$ 
shows  $\exists \varrho' v''. v'' \in E v [] \wedge v' \in E e \varrho' \wedge \varrho' \approx ((y, v'') \# \varrho)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

13.2 Reverse reduction preserves denotation

```

lemma reverse-step-pres-denot:
  fixes  $e::exp$  assumes  $e\text{-ep}: e \rightarrow e'$  and  $v\text{-ep}: v \in E e' \varrho$ 
  shows  $v \in E e \varrho$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reverse-multi-step-pres-denot:
  fixes  $e::exp$  assumes  $e\text{-ep}: e \rightarrow^* e'$  and  $v\text{-ep}: v \in E e' \varrho$  shows  $v \in E e \varrho$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

13.3 Completeness

```

theorem completeness:
  assumes  $ev: e \rightarrow^* v$  and  $vv: \text{is-val } v$ 
  shows  $\exists v'. v' \in E e \varrho \wedge v' \in E v []$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem reduce-pres-denot: fixes  $e::exp$  assumes  $r: e \rightarrow e'$  shows  $E e = E e'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem multi-reduce-pres-denot: fixes  $e::exp$  assumes  $r: e \rightarrow^* e'$  shows  $E e = E e'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem complete-wrt-op-sem:
  assumes  $e\text{-n}: e \Downarrow \text{ONat } n$  shows  $E e [] = E (\text{ENat } n) []$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

14 Soundness wrt. contextual equivalence

14.1 Denotational semantics is a congruence

```

theory DenotCongruenceFSet
  imports ChangeEnv DenotSoundFSet DenotCompleteFSet
begin

lemma e-lam-cong[cong]:  $E e = E e' \implies E (\text{ELam } x e) = E (\text{ELam } x e')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-app-cong[cong]:  $\llbracket E e1 = E e1'; E e2 = E e2' \rrbracket \implies E (\text{EApp } e1 e2) = E (\text{EApp } e1' e2')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-prim-cong[cong]:  $\llbracket E e1 = E e1'; E e2 = E e2' \rrbracket \implies E (\text{EPrim } f e1 e2) = E (\text{EPrim } f e1' e2')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma e-if-cong[cong]:  $\llbracket E e1 = E e1'; E e2 = E e2'; E e3 = E e3' \rrbracket \implies E (\text{EIF } e1 e2 e3) = E (\text{EIF } e1' e2' e3')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

datatype ctx = CHole | CLam name ctx | CAppL ctx exp | CAppR exp ctx
  | CPrimL nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ctx exp | CPrimR nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat exp ctx
  | CIf1 ctx exp exp | CIf2 exp ctx exp | CIf3 exp exp ctx

fun plug :: ctx ⇒ exp ⇒ exp where
  plug CHole e = e |
  plug (CLam x C) e = ELam x (plug C e) |
  plug (CAppL C e2) e = EApp (plug C e) e2 |
  plug (CAppR e1 C) e = EApp e1 (plug C e) |
  plug (CPrimL f C e2) e = EPrim f (plug C e) e2 |

```

```


$$\begin{aligned} \text{plug } (\text{CPrimR } f \ e1 \ C) \ e &= \text{EPrim } f \ e1 \ (\text{plug } C \ e) \mid \\ \text{plug } (\text{CIf1 } C \ e2 \ e3) \ e &= \text{EIf } (\text{plug } C \ e) \ e2 \ e3 \mid \\ \text{plug } (\text{CIf2 } e1 \ C \ e3) \ e &= \text{EIf } e1 \ (\text{plug } C \ e) \ e3 \mid \\ \text{plug } (\text{CIf3 } e1 \ e2 \ C) \ e &= \text{EIf } e1 \ e2 \ (\text{plug } C \ e) \end{aligned}$$


```

lemma congruence: $E \ e = E \ e' \implies E \ (\text{plug } C \ e) = E \ (\text{plug } C \ e')$
(proof)

14.2 Auxiliary lemmas

lemma diverge-denot-empty: **assumes** $d: \text{diverge } e$ **and** $fve: \text{FV } e = \{\}$ **shows** $E \ e [] = \{\}$
(proof)

lemma goes-wrong-denot-empty:
assumes $gw: \text{goes-wrong } e$ **and** $fv-e: \text{FV } e = \{\}$ **shows** $E \ e [] = \{\}$
(proof)

lemma denot-empty-diverge: **assumes** $E \ e [] = \{\}$ **and** $fv-e: \text{FV } e = \{\}$
shows $\text{diverge } e \vee \text{goes-wrong } e$
(proof)

lemma val-ty-observe:
 $\llbracket A \in E \ v [] ; A \in E \ v' [] ;$
 $\text{observe } v \ \text{ob} ; \text{isval } v' ; \text{isval } v \rrbracket \implies \text{observe } v' \ \text{ob}$
(proof)

14.3 Soundness wrt. contextual equivalence

lemma soundness-wrt-ctx-equiv-aux[rule-format]:
assumes $e12: E \ e1 = E \ e2$
and $fv-e1: \text{FV } (\text{plug } C \ e1) = \{\}$ **and** $fv-e2: \text{FV } (\text{plug } C \ e2) = \{\}$
shows $\text{run } (\text{plug } C \ e1) \ \text{ob} \longrightarrow \text{run } (\text{plug } C \ e2) \ \text{ob}$
(proof)

definition ctx-equiv :: $\text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \simeq 51) **where**
 $e \simeq e' \equiv \forall C \ \text{ob}. \ \text{FV } (\text{plug } C \ e) = \{\} \wedge \text{FV } (\text{plug } C \ e') = \{\} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{run } (\text{plug } C \ e) \ \text{ob} = \text{run } (\text{plug } C \ e') \ \text{ob}$

theorem denot-sound-wrt-ctx-equiv: **assumes** $e12: E \ e1 = E \ e2$ **shows** $e1 \simeq e2$
(proof)

end

14.4 Denotational equalities regarding reduction

theory DenotEqualitiesFSet
imports DenotCongruenceFSet
begin

theorem eval-prim[simp]: **assumes** $e1: E \ e1 = E \ (\text{ENat } n1)$ **and** $e2: E \ e2 = E \ (\text{ENat } n2)$
shows $E(\text{EPrim } f \ e1 \ e2) = E(\text{ENat } (f \ n1 \ n2))$
(proof)

theorem eval-ifz[simp]: **assumes** $e1: E \ e1 = E(\text{ENat } 0)$ **shows** $E(\text{EIf } e1 \ e2 \ e3) = E(e3)$
(proof)

theorem eval-ifnz[simp]: **assumes** $e1: E(e1) = E(\text{ENat } n)$ **and** $\text{nz}: n \neq 0$
shows $E(\text{EIf } e1 \ e2 \ e3) = E(e2)$
(proof)

theorem eval-app-lam: **assumes** $vv: \text{is-val } v$

```

shows  $E(EApp (ELam x e) v) = E (\text{subst } x v e)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

15 Correctness of an optimizer

```

theory Optimizer
imports Lambda DenotEqualitiesFSet
begin

fun is-value :: exp ⇒ bool where
  is-value (ENat n) = True |
  is-value (ELam x e) = (FV e = {}) |
  is-value _ = False

lemma is-value-is-val[simp]: is-value e ⇒ isval e ∧ FV e = {}
⟨proof⟩

fun opt :: exp ⇒ nat ⇒ exp where
  opt (EVar x) k = EVar x |
  opt (ENat n) k = ENat n |
  opt (ELam x e) k = ELam x (opt e k) |
  opt (EApp e1 e2) 0 = EApp (opt e1 0) (opt e2 0) |
  opt (EApp e1 e2) (Suc k) =
    (let e1' = opt e1 (Suc k) in let e2' = opt e2 (Suc k) in
     (case e1' of
      ELam x e ⇒ if is-value e2' then opt (subst x e2' e) k
      else EApp e1' e2'
     | _ ⇒ EApp e1' e2')) |
  opt (EPrim f e1 e2) k =
    (let e1' = opt e1 k in let e2' = opt e2 k in
     (case (e1', e2') of
      (ENat n1, ENat n2) ⇒ ENat (f n1 n2)
     | _ ⇒ EPrim f e1' e2')) |
  opt (EIf e1 e2 e3) k =
    (let e1' = opt e1 k in let e2' = opt e2 k in let e3' = opt e3 k in
     (case e1' of
      ENat n ⇒ if n = 0 then e3' else e2'
     | _ ⇒ EIf e1' e2' e3')))

lemma opt-correct-aux: E e = E (opt e k)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem opt-correct: e ≈ opt e k
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

16 Semantics and type soundness for System F

```

theory SystemF
imports Main HOL-Library.FSet
begin

```

16.1 Syntax and values

```
type-synonym name = nat
```

```

datatype ty = TVar nat | TNat | Fun ty ty (infix <→> 60) | Forall ty

datatype exp = EVar name | ENat nat | ELam ty exp | EApp exp exp
| EAbs exp | EInst exp ty | EFix ty exp

datatype val = VNat nat | Fun (val × val) fset | Abs val option | Wrong

fun val-le :: val ⇒ val ⇒ bool (infix ⊑ 52) where
  (VNat n) ⊑ (VNat n') = (n = n') |
  (Fun f) ⊑ (Fun f') = (fset f ⊑ fset f') |
  (Abs None) ⊑ (Abs None) = True |
  Abs (Some v) ⊑ Abs (Some v') = v ⊑ v' |
  Wrong ⊑ Wrong = True |
  (v::val) ⊑ v' = False

```

16.2 Set monad

```

definition set-bind :: 'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b set) ⇒ 'b set where
  set-bind m f ≡ { v. ∃ v'. v' ∈ m ∧ v ∈ f v' }
declare set-bind-def[simp]

syntax -set-bind :: [pttrns,'a set,'b] ⇒ 'c ((- ← -;/-) 0)
syntax-consts -set-bind ≡ set-bind
translations P ← E; F ≡ CONST set-bind E (λP. F)

definition errset-bind :: val set ⇒ (val ⇒ val set) ⇒ val set where
  errset-bind m f ≡ { v. ∃ v'. v' ∈ m ∧ v' ≠ Wrong ∧ v ∈ f v' } ∪ { v. v = Wrong ∧ Wrong ∈ m }
declare errset-bind-def[simp]

syntax -errset-bind :: [pttrns,val set,val] ⇒ 'c ((- := -;/-) 0)
syntax-consts -errset-bind ≡ errset-bind
translations P := E; F ≡ CONST errset-bind E (λP. F)

definition return :: val ⇒ val set where
  return v ≡ { v'. v' ⊑ v }
declare return-def[simp]

```

16.3 Denotational semantics

```

type-synonym tyenv = (val set) list
type-synonym env = val list

inductive iterate :: (env ⇒ val set) ⇒ env ⇒ val ⇒ bool where
  iterate-none[intro!]: iterate Ee ρ (Fun {}) |
  iterate-again[intro!]: [ iterate Ee ρ f; f' ∈ Ee (f#ρ) ] ==> iterate Ee ρ f'

abbreviation apply-fun :: val set ⇒ val set ⇒ val set where
  apply-fun V1 V2 ≡ (v1 := V1; v2 := V2;
    case v1 of Fun f ⇒
      (v2',v3') ← fset f;
      if v2' ⊑ v2 then return v3' else {}
    | - ⇒ return Wrong)

fun E :: exp ⇒ env ⇒ val set where
  Enat: E (ENat n) ρ = return (VNat n) |
  Evar: E (EVar n) ρ = return (ρ!n) |
  Elam: E (ELam τ e) ρ = { v. ∃ f. v = Fun f ∧ (∀ v1 v2'. (v1,v2') ∈ fset f →
    (exists v2. v2 ∈ E e (v1#ρ) ∧ v2' ⊑ v2)) } |
  Eapp: E (EApp e1 e2) ρ = apply-fun (E e1 ρ) (E e2 ρ) |
  Efix: E (EFix τ e) ρ = { v. iterate (E e) ρ v } |
  EAbs: E (EAbs e) ρ = { v. (exists v'. v = Abs (Some v') ∧ v' ∈ E e ρ) }

```

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \vee (v = \text{Abs } \text{None} \wedge E e \varrho = \{\}) \} \mid \\
Einst: E (EInst e \tau) \varrho = & \\
(v := E e \varrho; & \\
\text{case } v \text{ of} & \\
\text{Abs } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} & \\
\mid \text{Abs } (\text{Some } v') \Rightarrow \text{return } v' & \\
\mid \text{-} \Rightarrow \text{return } \text{Wrong} &
\end{aligned}$$


```

16.4 Types: substitution and semantics

```

fun shift :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty where
  shift k c TNat = TNat |
  shift k c (TVar n) = (if  $c \leq n$  then TVar ( $n + k$ ) else TVar n) |
  shift k c ( $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$ ) = (shift k c  $\sigma$ )  $\rightarrow$  (shift k c  $\sigma'$ ) |
  shift k c (Forall  $\sigma$ ) = Forall (shift k (Suc c)  $\sigma$ )

fun subst :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty where
  subst k  $\tau$  TNat = TNat |
  subst k  $\tau$  (TVar n) = (if  $k = n$  then  $\tau$ 
    else if  $k < n$  then TVar ( $n - 1$ )
    else TVar n) |
  subst k  $\tau$  ( $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$ ) = (subst k  $\tau$   $\sigma$ )  $\rightarrow$  (subst k  $\tau$   $\sigma'$ ) |
  subst k  $\tau$  (Forall  $\sigma$ ) = Forall (subst (Suc k) (shift (Suc 0) 0  $\tau$ )  $\sigma$ )

fun T :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  tyenv  $\Rightarrow$  val set where
  Tnat: T TNat  $\varrho$  = { $v. \exists n. v = VNat n$ } |
  Tvar: T (TVar n)  $\varrho$  = (if  $n < \text{length } \varrho$  then
    { $v. \exists v'. v' \in \varrho!n \wedge v \sqsubseteq v' \wedge v \neq \text{Wrong}$ }
    else {}) |
  Tfun: T ( $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ )  $\varrho$  = { $v. \exists f. v = \text{Fun } f \wedge$ 
     $(\forall v1 v2'. (v1, v2') \in \text{fset } f \longrightarrow$ 
     $v1 \in T \sigma \varrho \longrightarrow (\exists v2. v2 \in T \tau \varrho \wedge v2' \sqsubseteq v2))\}$  |
  Tall: T (Forall  $\tau$ )  $\varrho$  = { $v. (\exists v'. v = \text{Abs } (\text{Some } v') \wedge (\forall V. v' \in T \tau (V \# \varrho)))$ 
     $\vee v = \text{Abs } \text{None}$ }

```

16.5 Type system

```

type-synonym tyctx = (ty  $\times$  nat) list  $\times$  nat

definition wf-tyvar :: tyctx  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf-tyvar  $\Gamma$  n  $\equiv$  n  $<$  snd  $\Gamma$ 

definition push-ty :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  tyctx  $\Rightarrow$  tyctx where
  push-ty  $\tau$   $\Gamma$   $\equiv$  (( $\tau$ , snd  $\Gamma$ ) # fst  $\Gamma$ , snd  $\Gamma$ )
definition push-tyvar :: tyctx  $\Rightarrow$  tyctx where
  push-tyvar  $\Gamma$   $\equiv$  (fst  $\Gamma$ , Suc (snd  $\Gamma$ ))

definition good-ctx :: tyctx  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  good-ctx  $\Gamma$   $\equiv$   $\forall n. n < \text{length } (\text{fst } \Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{snd } ((\text{fst } \Gamma)!n) \leq \text{snd } \Gamma$ 

definition lookup :: tyctx  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ty option where
  lookup  $\Gamma$  n  $\equiv$  (if  $n < \text{length } (\text{fst } \Gamma)$  then
    let k = snd  $\Gamma$  - snd ((fst  $\Gamma$ )!n) in
    Some (shift k 0 (fst ((fst  $\Gamma$ )!n)))
    else None)

inductive well-typed :: tyctx  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\cdot \vdash \cdot : \cdot [55, 55, 55] 54$ ) where
  wtnat[intro!]:  $\Gamma \vdash ENat n : TNat$  |
  wtvar[intro!]:  $\llbracket \text{lookup } \Gamma n = \text{Some } \tau \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash EVar n : \tau$  |
  wtapp[intro!]:  $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau; \Gamma \vdash e' : \sigma \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash EApp e e' : \tau$  |
  wtlam[intro!]:  $\llbracket \text{push-ty } \sigma \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash ELam \sigma e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$  |
  wtfix[intro!]:  $\llbracket \text{push-ty } (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \Gamma \vdash e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash EFix (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) e : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$  |

```

wtabs[intro!]: $\llbracket \text{push-tyvar } \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash EAbs\ e : \text{Forall } \tau \mid$
wtinst[intro!]: $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : \text{Forall } \tau \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash EInst\ e\ \sigma : (\text{subst } 0\ \sigma\ \tau)$

inductive wfenv :: env \Rightarrow tyenv \Rightarrow tyctx \Rightarrow bool ($\langle\vdash, -, - : \rightarrow [55,55,55] \rangle$) where
wfnil[intro!]: $\vdash \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket : (\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket, 0) \mid$
wfvbind[intro!]: $\vdash \llbracket \cdot \vdash \varrho, \eta : \Gamma; v \in T \tau \eta \rrbracket \implies \vdash (v\#\varrho), \eta : \text{push-ty } \tau \Gamma \mid$
wftbind[intro!]: $\vdash \llbracket \cdot \vdash \varrho, \eta : \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \vdash \varrho, (V\#\eta) : \text{push-tyvar } \Gamma$

inductive-cases

wtnat-inv[elim!]: $\Gamma \vdash ENat\ n : \tau \text{ and}$
wtvar-inv[elim!]: $\Gamma \vdash EVar\ n : \tau \text{ and}$
wtapp-inv[elim!]: $\Gamma \vdash EApp\ e\ e' : \tau \text{ and}$
wtlam-inv[elim!]: $\Gamma \vdash ELam\ \sigma\ e : \tau \text{ and}$
wtfix-inv[elim!]: $\Gamma \vdash EFix\ \sigma\ e : \tau \text{ and}$
wtabs-inv[elim!]: $\Gamma \vdash EAbs\ e : \tau \text{ and}$
wtinst-inv[elim!]: $\Gamma \vdash EInst\ e\ \sigma : \tau$

lemma wfenv-good-ctx: $\vdash \varrho, \eta : \Gamma \implies \text{good-ctx } \Gamma$
(proof)

16.6 Well-typed Programs don't go wrong

lemma nth-append1[simp]: $n < \text{length } \varrho_1 \implies (\varrho_1 @ \varrho_2)!n = \varrho_1!n$
(proof)

lemma nth-append2[simp]: $n \geq \text{length } \varrho_1 \implies (\varrho_1 @ \varrho_2)!n = \varrho_2!(n - \text{length } \varrho_1)$
(proof)

lemma shift-append-preserves-T-aux:
shows $T\ \tau\ (\varrho_1 @ \varrho_3) = T\ (\text{shift } (\text{length } \varrho_2)\ (\text{length } \varrho_1)\ \tau)\ (\varrho_1 @ \varrho_2 @ \varrho_3)$
(proof)

lemma shift-append-preserves-T: **shows** $T\ \tau\ \varrho_3 = T\ (\text{shift } (\text{length } \varrho_2)\ 0\ \tau)\ (\varrho_2 @ \varrho_3)$
(proof)

lemma drop-shift-preserves-T:
assumes $k : k \leq \text{length } \varrho$ **shows** $T\ \tau\ (\text{drop } k\ \varrho) = T\ (\text{shift } k\ 0\ \tau)\ \varrho$
(proof)

lemma shift-cons-preserves-T: **shows** $T\ \tau\ \varrho = T\ (\text{shift } (\text{Suc } 0)\ 0\ \tau)\ (b\#\varrho)$
(proof)

lemma compose-shift: **shows** $\text{shift } (j+k)\ c\ \tau = \text{shift } j\ c\ (\text{shift } k\ c\ \tau)$
(proof)

lemma shift-zero-id[simp]: $\text{shift } 0\ c\ \tau = \tau$
(proof)

lemma lookup-wfenv: **assumes** $r\text{-}g : \vdash \varrho, \eta : \Gamma \text{ and } ln : \text{lookup } \Gamma\ n = \text{Some } \tau$
shows $\exists\ v. \varrho!n = v \wedge v \in T\ \tau\ \eta$
(proof)

lemma less-wrong[elim!]: $\llbracket v \sqsubseteq \text{Wrong}; v = \text{Wrong} \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
(proof)

lemma less-nat[elim!]: $\llbracket v \sqsubseteq VNat\ n; v = VNat\ n \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
(proof)

lemma less-fun[elim!]: $\llbracket v \sqsubseteq \text{Fun } f; \wedge\ f'. \llbracket v = \text{Fun } f'; fset\ f' \subseteq fset\ f \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
(proof)

```

lemma less-refl[simp]:  $v \sqsubseteq v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma less-trans: fixes  $v1::val$  and  $v2::val$  and  $v3::val$ 
  shows  $\llbracket v1 \sqsubseteq v2; v2 \sqsubseteq v3 \rrbracket \implies v1 \sqsubseteq v3$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma T-down-closed: assumes  $vt: v \in T \tau \eta$  and  $vp\text{-}v: v' \sqsubseteq v$ 
  shows  $v' \in T \tau \eta$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma wrong-not-in-T:  $Wrong \notin T \tau \eta$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fun-app: assumes  $vmn: V \subseteq T (m \rightarrow n) \eta$  and  $v2s: V' \subseteq T m \eta$ 
  shows  $apply\text{-}fun V V' \subseteq T n \eta$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma T-eta:  $\{v. \exists v'. v' \in T \sigma (\eta) \wedge v \sqsubseteq v' \wedge v \neq Wrong\} = T \sigma \eta$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma compositionality:  $T \tau (\eta1 @ (T \sigma (\eta1 @ \eta2)) \# \eta2) = T (subst (length \eta1) \sigma \tau) (\eta1 @ \eta2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma iterate-sound:
  assumes it: iterate  $Ee \varrho v$ 
    and IH:  $\forall v. v \in T (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \eta \longrightarrow Ee (v \# \varrho) \subseteq T (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \eta$ 
  shows  $v \in T (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \eta$  ⟨proof⟩

theorem welltyped-dont-go-wrong:
  assumes wte:  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$  and wfr:  $\vdash \varrho, \eta : \Gamma$ 
  shows  $E e \varrho \subseteq T \tau \eta$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

17 Semantics of mutable references

```

theory MutableRef
  imports Main HOL-Library.FSet
begin

datatype ty = TNat | TFun ty ty (infix ‹→› 60) | TPair ty ty | TRef ty

type-synonym name = nat

datatype exp = EVar name | ENat nat | ELam ty exp | EApp exp exp
  | EPrim nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat exp exp | EIf exp exp exp
  | EPair exp exp | EFst exp | ESnd exp
  | ERef exp | ERead exp | EWrite exp exp

```

17.1 Denotations (values)

```

datatype val = VNat nat | VFun (val × val) fset | VPair val val | VAddr nat | Wrong

type-synonym func = (val × val) fset
type-synonym store = func

inductive val-le :: val ⇒ val ⇒ bool (infix ‹⊑› 52) where
  vnat-le[intro!]:  $(VNat n) \sqsubseteq (VNat n)$  |

```

```

vaddr-le[intro!]: (VAddr a) ⊑ (VAddr a) |
wrong-le[intro!]: Wrong ⊑ Wrong |
vfun-le[intro!]: t1 ⊑ t2 ==> (VFun t1) ⊑ (VFun t2) |
vpair-le[intro!]: [ v1 ⊑ v1'; v2 ⊑ v2' ] ==> (VPair v1 v2) ⊑ (VPair v1' v2')

```

```

primrec vsize :: val ⇒ nat where
vsize (VNat n) = 1 |
vsize (VFun t) = 1 + ffold (λ((-,v), (-,u)).λr. v + u + r) 0
(fimage (map-prod (λ v. (v,vsize v)) (λ v. (v,vsize v))) t) |
vsize (VPair v1 v2) = 1 + vsize v1 + vsize v2 |
vsize (VAddr a) = 1 |
vsize Wrong = 1

```

17.2 Non-deterministic state monad

type-synonym '*a M* = *store* ⇒ ('*a* × *store*) set

```

definition bind :: 'a M ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b M) ⇒ 'b M where
bind m f μ1 ≡ { (v,μ3). ∃ v' μ2. (v',μ2) ∈ m μ1 ∧ (v,μ3) ∈ f v' μ2 }
declare bind-def[simp]

```

syntax -bind :: [*ptrns*,'*a M*,'*b*] ⇒ '*c* ((- ← -;/-) 0)

syntax-consts -bind ≡ bind

translations *P* ← *E*; *F* == CONST bind *E* (λ*P*. *F*)

unbundle no binomial-syntax

```

definition choose :: 'a set ⇒ 'a M where
choose S μ ≡ { (a,μ1). a ∈ S ∧ μ1=μ }
declare choose-def[simp]

```

```

definition return :: 'a ⇒ 'a M where
return v μ ≡ { (v,μ) }
declare return-def[simp]

```

```

definition zero :: 'a M where
zero μ ≡ {}
declare zero-def[simp]

```

```

definition err-bind :: val M ⇒ (val ⇒ val M) ⇒ val M where
err-bind m f ≡ (x ← m; if x = Wrong then return Wrong else f x)
declare err-bind-def[simp]

```

```

syntax -errset-bind :: [ptrns,val M,val] ⇒ 'c ((- := -;/-) 0)
syntax-consts -errset-bind ≡ err-bind
translations P := E; F == CONST err-bind E (λP. F)

```

```

definition down :: val ⇒ val M where
down v μ1 ≡ { (v',μ). v' ⊑ v ∧ μ = μ1 }
declare down-def[simp]

```

```

definition get-store :: store M where
get-store μ ≡ { (μ,μ) }
declare get-store-def[simp]

```

```

definition put-store :: store ⇒ unit M where
put-store μ ≡ λ-. { ((),μ) }
declare put-store-def[simp]

```

```

definition mapM :: 'a fset ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b M) ⇒ ('b fset) M where
mapM as f ≡ ffold (λa. λr. (b ← f a; bs ← r; return (finsert b bs))) (return {||}) as

```

```

definition run :: store  $\Rightarrow$  val M  $\Rightarrow$  (val  $\times$  store) set where
  run  $\sigma$  m  $\equiv$  m  $\sigma$ 
declare run-def[simp]

definition sdom :: store  $\Rightarrow$  nat set where
  sdom  $\mu$   $\equiv$  {a.  $\exists$  v. (VAddr a,v)  $\in$  fset  $\mu$  }

definition max-addr :: store  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  max-addr  $\mu$  = ffold ( $\lambda$ a. $\lambda$ r. case a of (VAddr n,-)  $\Rightarrow$  max n r | -  $\Rightarrow$  r) 0  $\mu$ 

17.3 Denotational semantics

abbreviation apply-fun :: val M  $\Rightarrow$  val M  $\Rightarrow$  val M where
  apply-fun V1 V2  $\equiv$  (v1 := V1; v2 := V2;
    case v1 of VFun f  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (p, p')  $\leftarrow$  choose (fset f);  $\mu$ 0  $\leftarrow$  get-store;
      (case (p,p') of (VPair v (VFun  $\mu$ ), VPair v' (VFun  $\mu$ '))  $\Rightarrow$ 
        if v  $\sqsubseteq$  v2  $\wedge$  (VFun  $\mu$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  (VFun  $\mu$ 0) then (-  $\leftarrow$  put-store  $\mu$ '; down v')
        else zero
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  zero)
    | -  $\Rightarrow$  return Wrong)

fun nvals :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (val fset) M where
  nvals 0 = return {||}
  nvals (Suc k) = (v  $\leftarrow$  choose UNIV; L  $\leftarrow$  nvals k; return (finsert v L))

definition vals :: (val fset) M where
  vals  $\equiv$  (n  $\leftarrow$  choose UNIV; nvals n)
declare vals-def[simp]

fun npairs :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  func M where
  npairs 0 = return {||}
  npairs (Suc k) = (v  $\leftarrow$  choose UNIV; v'  $\leftarrow$  choose {v::val. True};
    P  $\leftarrow$  npairs k; return (finsert (v,v') P))

definition tables :: func M where
  tables  $\equiv$  (n  $\leftarrow$  choose {k::nat. True}; npairs n)
declare tables-def[simp]

definition read :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  val M where
  read a  $\equiv$  ( $\mu$   $\leftarrow$  get-store; if a  $\in$  sdom  $\mu$  then
    ((v1,v2)  $\leftarrow$  choose (fset  $\mu$ ); if v1 = VAddr a then return v2 else zero)
    else return Wrong)
declare read-def[simp]

definition update :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  val  $\Rightarrow$  val M where
  update a v  $\equiv$  ( $\mu$   $\leftarrow$  get-store;
    -  $\leftarrow$  put-store (finsert (VAddr a,v)) (ffilter ( $\lambda$ (v,v'). v  $\neq$  VAddr a)  $\mu$ ));
    return (VAddr a))
declare update-def[simp]

type-synonym env = val list

fun E :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  env  $\Rightarrow$  val M where
  Enat: E (ENat n)  $\varrho$  = return (VNat n) |
  Evar: E (EVar n)  $\varrho$  = (if n < length  $\varrho$  then down ( $\varrho$ !n) else return Wrong) |
  Elam: E (ELam A e)  $\varrho$  = (L  $\leftarrow$  vals;
    t  $\leftarrow$  mapM L ( $\lambda$  v. ( $\mu$   $\leftarrow$  tables; (v', $\mu$ ')  $\leftarrow$  choose (run  $\mu$  (E e (v# $\varrho$ ))));
      return (VPair v (VFun  $\mu$ ), VPair v' (VFun  $\mu$ '))));
    return (VFun t)) |

```

```

Eapp: E (EApp e1 e2)  $\varrho$  = apply-fun (E e1  $\varrho$ ) (E e2  $\varrho$ ) |
Eprim: E (EPrim f e1 e2)  $\varrho$  = (v1 := E e1  $\varrho$ ; v2 := E e2  $\varrho$ ;
           case (v1, v2) of (VNat n1, VNat n2)  $\Rightarrow$  return (VNat (f n1 n2))
           | -  $\Rightarrow$  return Wrong) |
Eif: E (EIf e1 e2 e3)  $\varrho$  = (v1 := E e1  $\varrho$ ; case v1 of VNat n  $\Rightarrow$  (if n = 0 then E e3  $\varrho$  else E e2  $\varrho$ )
           | -  $\Rightarrow$  return Wrong) |
Epair: E (EPair e1 e2)  $\varrho$  = (v1 := E e1  $\varrho$ ; v2 := E e2  $\varrho$ ; return (VPair v1 v2)) |
Efst: E (EFst e)  $\varrho$  = (v := E e  $\varrho$ ; case v of VPair v1 v2  $\Rightarrow$  return v1 | -  $\Rightarrow$  return Wrong) |
Esnd: E (ESnd e)  $\varrho$  = (v := E e  $\varrho$ ; case v of VPair v1 v2  $\Rightarrow$  return v2 | -  $\Rightarrow$  return Wrong) |
Eref: E (ERef e)  $\varrho$  = (v := E e  $\varrho$ ;  $\mu$   $\leftarrow$  get-store; a  $\leftarrow$  choose UNIV;
           if a  $\in$  sdom  $\mu$  then zero
           else (-  $\leftarrow$  put-store (finser (VAddr a, v)  $\mu$ );
                 return (VAddr a))) |
Eread: E (ERead e)  $\varrho$  = (v := E e  $\varrho$ ; case v of VAddr a  $\Rightarrow$  read a | -  $\Rightarrow$  return Wrong) |
Ewrite: E (EWrite e1 e2)  $\varrho$  = (v1 := E e1  $\varrho$ ; v2 := E e2  $\varrho$ ;
           case v1 of VAddr a  $\Rightarrow$  update a v2 | -  $\Rightarrow$  return Wrong)

```

```

end
theory MutableRefProps
imports MutableRef
begin

inductive-cases
vfun-le-inv[elim!]: VFun t1  $\sqsubseteq$  VFun t2 and
le-fun-nat-inv[elim!]: VFun t2  $\sqsubseteq$  VNat x1 and
le-any-nat-inv[elim!]: v  $\sqsubseteq$  VNat n and
le-nat-any-inv[elim!]: VNat n  $\sqsubseteq$  v and
le-fun-any-inv[elim!]: VFun t  $\sqsubseteq$  v and
le-any-fun-inv[elim!]: v  $\sqsubseteq$  VFun t and
le-pair-any-inv[elim!]: VPair v1 v2  $\sqsubseteq$  v and
le-any-pair-inv[elim!]: v  $\sqsubseteq$  VPair v1 v2 and
le-addr-any-inv[elim!]: VAddr a  $\sqsubseteq$  v and
le-any-addr-inv[elim!]: v  $\sqsubseteq$  VAddr a and
le-wrong-any-inv[elim!]: Wrong  $\sqsubseteq$  v and
le-any-wrong-inv[elim!]: v  $\sqsubseteq$  Wrong

```

```
proposition val-le-refl: v  $\sqsubseteq$  v  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
proposition val-le-trans: [ v1  $\sqsubseteq$  v2; v2  $\sqsubseteq$  v3 ]  $\implies$  v1  $\sqsubseteq$  v3
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
proposition val-le-antisymm: [ v1  $\sqsubseteq$  v2; v2  $\sqsubseteq$  v1 ]  $\implies$  v1 = v2
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
```

```
end
```