

A Framework for Verifying Depth-First Search Algorithms

Peter Lammich and René Neumann

September 13, 2023

Abstract

This entry presents a framework for the modular verification of DFS-based algorithms, which is described in our [CPP-2015] paper. It provides a generic DFS algorithm framework, that can be parameterized with user-defined actions on certain events (e.g. discovery of new node).

It comes with an extensible library of invariants, which can be used to derive invariants of a specific parameterization.

Using refinement techniques, efficient implementations of the algorithms can easily be derived. Here, the framework comes with templates for a recursive and a tail-recursive implementation, and also with several templates for implementing the data structures required by the DFS algorithm.

Finally, this entry contains a set of re-usable DFS-based algorithms, which illustrate the application of the framework.

[CPP-2015] Peter Lammich, René Neumann: A Framework for Verifying Depth-First Search Algorithms. CPP 2015: 137-146

Contents

1	The DFS Framework	2
1.1	General DFS with Hooks	2
1.1.1	State and Parameterization	2
1.1.2	DFS operations	3
1.1.3	DFS Algorithm	8
1.1.4	Invariants	9
1.1.5	Basic Invariants	16
1.1.6	Total Correctness	20
1.1.7	Non-Failing Parameterization	20
1.2	Basic Invariant Library	22
1.2.1	Basic Timing Invariants	22
1.2.2	Paranthesis Theorem	24
1.2.3	Edge Types	25
1.2.4	White Path Theorem	34
1.3	Invariants for SCCs	35
1.4	Generic DFS and Refinement	38
1.4.1	Generic DFS Algorithm	38
1.4.2	Refinement Between DFS Implementations	43
1.5	Tail-Recursive Implementation	47
1.6	Recursive DFS Implementation	51
1.7	Simple Data Structures	57
1.7.1	Stack, Pending Stack, and Visited Set	57
1.7.2	Simple state without on-stack	63
1.7.3	Simple state without stack and on-stack	64
1.8	Restricting Nodes by Pre-Initializing Visited Set	66
1.9	Basic DFS Framework	70
2	Examples	72
2.1	Simple Cyclicity Checker	72
2.1.1	Framework Instantiation	72
2.1.2	Correctness Proof	74
2.1.3	Implementation	75
2.1.4	Synthesizing Executable Code	77

2.2	Finding a Path between Nodes	79
2.2.1	Including empty Path	80
2.2.2	Restricting the Graph	83
2.2.3	Path of Minimal Length One, with Restriction	84
2.2.4	Path of Minimal Length One, without Restriction	85
2.2.5	Implementation	85
2.2.6	Synthesis of Executable Code	87
2.2.7	Conclusion	90
2.3	Set of Reachable Nodes	90
2.3.1	Preliminaries	91
2.3.2	Framework Instantiation	91
2.3.3	Correctness	92
2.3.4	Synthesis of Executable Implementation	93
2.3.5	Conclusions	95
2.4	Find a Feedback Arc Set	95
2.4.1	Instantiation of the DFS-Framework	96
2.4.2	Correctness Proof	97
2.4.3	Implementation	97
2.4.4	Synthesis of Executable Code	98
2.4.5	Feedback Arc Set with Initialization	99
2.4.6	Conclusion	101
2.5	Nested DFS	102
2.5.1	Auxiliary Lemmas	102
2.5.2	Instantiation of the Framework	102
2.5.3	Correctness Proof	104
2.5.4	Interface	107
2.5.5	Implementation	107
2.5.6	Synthesis of Executable Code	110
2.5.7	Conclusion	111
2.6	Invariants for Tarjan's Algorithm	111
2.7	Tarjan's Algorithm	114
2.7.1	Preliminaries	114
2.7.2	Instantiation of the DFS-Framework	114
2.7.3	Correctness Proof	117
2.7.4	Interface	120

Chapter 1

The DFS Framework

This chapter contains the basic DFS Framework

1.1 General DFS with Hooks

```
theory Param-DFS
imports
  CAVA-Base.CAVA-Base
  CAVA-Automata.Digraph
  Misc/DFS-Framework-Refine-Aux
begin
```

We define a general DFS algorithm, which is parameterized over hook functions at certain events during the DFS.

1.1.1 State and Parameterization

The state of the general DFS. Users may inherit from this state using the record package's inheritance support.

```
record 'v state =
  counter :: nat          — Node counter (timer)
  discovered :: 'v → nat   — Discovered times of nodes
  finished :: 'v → nat    — Finished times of nodes
  pending :: ('v × 'v) set — Edges to be processed next
  stack :: 'v list         — Current DFS stack
  tree-edges :: 'v rel     — Tree edges
  back-edges :: 'v rel     — Back edges
  cross-edges :: 'v rel    — Cross edges
```

abbreviation *NOOP s* ≡ *RETURN (state.more s)*

Record holding the parameterization.

```
record ('v,'s,'es) gen-parameterization =
```

```

on-init :: 'es nres
on-new-root :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 'es nres
on-discover :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 'es nres
on-finish :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 'es nres
on-back-edge :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 'es nres
on-cross-edge :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 'es nres
is-break :: 's ⇒ bool

```

Default type restriction for parameterizations. The event handler functions go from a complete state to the user-defined part of the state (i.e. the fields added by inheritance).

```

type-synonym ('v,'es) parameterization
  = ('v,('v,'es) state-scheme,'es) gen-parameterization

```

Default parameterization, the functions do nothing. This can be used as the basis for specialized parameterizations, which may be derived by updating some fields.

```

definition ⋀ more init. dflt-parametrization more init ≡ (
  on-init = init,
  on-new-root = λ-. RETURN o more,
  on-discover = λ- -. RETURN o more,
  on-finish = λ- -. RETURN o more,
  on-back-edge = λ- -. RETURN o more,
  on-cross-edge = λ- -. RETURN o more,
  is-break = λ-. False )
lemmas dflt-parametrization-simp[simp] =
  gen-parameterization.simps[mk-record-simp, OF dflt-parametrization-def]

```

This locale builds a DFS algorithm from a graph and a parameterization.

```

locale param-DFS-defs =
  graph-defs G
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
  +
  fixes param :: ('v,'es) parameterization
begin

```

1.1.2 DFS operations

Node predicates

First, we define some predicates to check whether nodes are in certain sets

```

definition is-discovered :: 'v ⇒ ('v,'es) state-scheme ⇒ bool
  where is-discovered u s ≡ u ∈ dom (discovered s)

```

```

definition is-finished :: 'v ⇒ ('v,'es) state-scheme ⇒ bool
  where is-finished u s ≡ u ∈ dom (finished s)

```

```

definition is-empty-stack :: ('v,'es) state-scheme ⇒ bool
  where is-empty-stack s ≡ stack s = []

```

Effects on Basic State

We define the effect of the operations on the basic part of the state

definition *discover*

$\text{:: } 'v \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme}$

where

discover u v s \equiv *let*

$d = (\text{discovered } s)(v \mapsto \text{counter } s); c = \text{counter } s + 1;$

$st = v \# \text{stack } s;$

$p = \text{pending } s \cup \{v\} \times E^{\text{``}\{v\}}$

$t = \text{insert } (u, v) (\text{tree-edges } s)$

in s \parallel *discovered* $\mathrel{\mathop:}= d$, *counter* $\mathrel{\mathop:}= c$, *stack* $\mathrel{\mathop:}= st$, *pending* $\mathrel{\mathop:}= p$, *tree-edges* $\mathrel{\mathop:}= t$

lemma *discover-simps[simp]*:

counter (discover u v s) = Suc (counter s)

discovered (discover u v s) = (discovered s)(v \mapsto counter s)

finished (discover u v s) = finished s

stack (discover u v s) = v # stack s

pending (discover u v s) = pending s \cup {v} \times E^{“{v}}

tree-edges (discover u v s) = insert (u, v) (tree-edges s)

cross-edges (discover u v s) = cross-edges s

back-edges (discover u v s) = back-edges s

state.more (discover u v s) = state.more s

{proof}

definition *finish*

$\text{:: } 'v \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme}$

where

finish u s \equiv *let*

$f = (\text{finished } s)(u \mapsto \text{counter } s); c = \text{counter } s + 1;$

$st = tl (\text{stack } s)$

in s \parallel *finished* $\mathrel{\mathop:}= f$, *counter* $\mathrel{\mathop:}= c$, *stack* $\mathrel{\mathop:}= st$

lemma *finish-simps[simp]*:

counter (finish u s) = Suc (counter s)

discovered (finish u s) = discovered s

finished (finish u s) = (finished s)(u \mapsto counter s)

stack (finish u s) = tl (stack s)

pending (finish u s) = pending s

tree-edges (finish u s) = tree-edges s

cross-edges (finish u s) = cross-edges s

back-edges (finish u s) = back-edges s

state.more (finish u s) = state.more s

{proof}

definition *back-edge*

$\text{:: } 'v \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme}$

where

back-edge u v s \equiv *let*

$b = \text{insert } (u,v) \text{ (back-edges } s)$
 $\text{in } s \text{ (back-edges} := b \text{)}$

lemma *back-edge-simps*[simp]:
 $\text{counter } (\text{back-edge } u v s) = \text{counter } s$
 $\text{discovered } (\text{back-edge } u v s) = \text{discovered } s$
 $\text{finished } (\text{back-edge } u v s) = \text{finished } s$
 $\text{stack } (\text{back-edge } u v s) = \text{stack } s$
 $\text{pending } (\text{back-edge } u v s) = \text{pending } s$
 $\text{tree-edges } (\text{back-edge } u v s) = \text{tree-edges } s$
 $\text{cross-edges } (\text{back-edge } u v s) = \text{cross-edges } s$
 $\text{back-edges } (\text{back-edge } u v s) = \text{insert } (u,v) \text{ (back-edges } s)$
 $\text{state.more } (\text{back-edge } u v s) = \text{state.more } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *cross-edge*
 $:: 'v \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('v,'es) \text{ state-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v,'es) \text{ state-scheme}$
where
 $\text{cross-edge } u v s \equiv \text{let}$
 $c = \text{insert } (u,v) \text{ (cross-edges } s)$
 $\text{in } s \text{ (cross-edges} := c \text{)}$

lemma *cross-edge-simps*[simp]:
 $\text{counter } (\text{cross-edge } u v s) = \text{counter } s$
 $\text{discovered } (\text{cross-edge } u v s) = \text{discovered } s$
 $\text{finished } (\text{cross-edge } u v s) = \text{finished } s$
 $\text{stack } (\text{cross-edge } u v s) = \text{stack } s$
 $\text{pending } (\text{cross-edge } u v s) = \text{pending } s$
 $\text{tree-edges } (\text{cross-edge } u v s) = \text{tree-edges } s$
 $\text{cross-edges } (\text{cross-edge } u v s) = \text{insert } (u,v) \text{ (cross-edges } s)$
 $\text{back-edges } (\text{cross-edge } u v s) = \text{back-edges } s$
 $\text{state.more } (\text{cross-edge } u v s) = \text{state.more } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *new-root*
 $:: 'v \Rightarrow ('v,'es) \text{ state-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v,'es) \text{ state-scheme}$
where
 $\text{new-root } v0 s \equiv \text{let}$
 $c = \text{Suc } (\text{counter } s);$
 $d = (\text{discovered } s)(v0 \mapsto \text{counter } s);$
 $p = \{v0\} \times E^{\{v0\}};$
 $st = [v0]$
 $\text{in } s \text{ (counter} := c, \text{discovered} := d, \text{pending} := p, \text{stack} := st\text{)}$

lemma *new-root-simps*[simp]:
 $\text{counter } (\text{new-root } v0 s) = \text{Suc } (\text{counter } s)$
 $\text{discovered } (\text{new-root } v0 s) = (\text{discovered } s)(v0 \mapsto \text{counter } s)$
 $\text{finished } (\text{new-root } v0 s) = \text{finished } s$

```

stack (new-root v0 s) = [v0]
pending (new-root v0 s) = ({v0} × E ∖ {v0})
tree-edges (new-root v0 s) = tree-edges s
cross-edges (new-root v0 s) = cross-edges s
back-edges (new-root v0 s) = back-edges s
state.more (new-root v0 s) = state.more s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition empty-state e
≡ () counter = 0,
discovered = Map.empty,
finished = Map.empty,
pending = {},
stack = [],
tree-edges = {},
back-edges = {},
cross-edges = {},
... = e ()

```

```

lemma empty-state-simps[simp]:
counter (empty-state e) = 0
discovered (empty-state e) = Map.empty
finished (empty-state e) = Map.empty
pending (empty-state e) = {}
stack (empty-state e) = []
tree-edges (empty-state e) = {}
back-edges (empty-state e) = {}
cross-edges (empty-state e) = {}
state.more (empty-state e) = e
⟨proof⟩

```

Effects on Whole State

The effects of the operations on the whole state are defined by combining the effects of the basic state with the parameterization.

```

definition do-cross-edge
:: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ ('v,'es) state-scheme ⇒ ('v,'es) state-scheme nres
where
do-cross-edge u v s ≡ do {
let s = cross-edge u v s;
e ← on-cross-edge param u v s;
RETURN (s(state.more := e))
}

```

```

definition do-back-edge
:: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ ('v,'es) state-scheme ⇒ ('v,'es) state-scheme nres
where
do-back-edge u v s ≡ do {
let s = back-edge u v s;
}

```

```

 $e \leftarrow \text{on-back-edge param } u v s;$ 
 $\text{RETURN } (s(\text{state.more} := e))$ 
}

```

definition *do-known-edge*
 $:: 'v \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme nres}$
where
do-known-edge $u v s \equiv$
if is-finished $v s$ *then*
do-cross-edge $u v s$
else
do-back-edge $u v s$

definition *do-discover*
 $:: 'v \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme nres}$
where
do-discover $u v s \equiv \text{do} \{$
let $s = \text{discover } u v s;$
 $e \leftarrow \text{on-discover param } u v s;$
 $\text{RETURN } (s(\text{state.more} := e))$
}

definition *do-finish*
 $:: 'v \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) \text{ state-scheme nres}$
where
do-finish $u s \equiv \text{do} \{$
let $s = \text{finish } u s;$
 $e \leftarrow \text{on-finish param } u s;$
 $\text{RETURN } (s(\text{state.more} := e))$
}

definition *get-new-root* **where**
get-new-root $s \equiv \text{SPEC } (\lambda v. v \in V0 \wedge \neg \text{is-discovered } v s)$

definition *do-new-root* **where**
do-new-root $v0 s \equiv \text{do} \{$
let $s = \text{new-root } v0 s;$
 $e \leftarrow \text{on-new-root param } v0 s;$
 $\text{RETURN } (s(\text{state.more} := e))$
}

lemmas *op-defs* = *discover-def* *finish-def* *back-edge-def* *cross-edge-def* *new-root-def*
lemmas *do-defs* = *do-discover-def* *do-finish-def* *do-known-edge-def*
do-cross-edge-def *do-back-edge-def* *do-new-root-def*
lemmas *pred-defs* = *is-discovered-def* *is-finished-def* *is-empty-stack-def*

definition *init* $\equiv \text{do} \{$
 $e \leftarrow \text{on-init param};$
 $\text{RETURN } (\text{empty-state } e)$

}

1.1.3 DFS Algorithm

We phrase the DFS algorithm iteratively: While there are undiscovered root nodes or the stack is not empty, inspect the topmost node on the stack: Follow any pending edge, or finish the node if there are no pending edges left.

definition $cond :: ('v, 'es) state\text{-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$
 $cond s \longleftrightarrow (V0 \subseteq \{v. \text{is-discovered } v s\} \longrightarrow \neg \text{is-empty-stack } s)$
 $\wedge \neg \text{is-break param } s$

lemma $cond\text{-alt}:$

$cond = (\lambda s. (V0 \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s) \longrightarrow \text{stack } s \neq \emptyset) \wedge \neg \text{is-break param } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $get\text{-pending} ::$

$('v, 'es) state\text{-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v \times 'v \text{ option} \times ('v, 'es) state\text{-scheme}) nres$

— Get topmost stack node and a pending edge if any. The pending edge is removed.

where $get\text{-pending } s \equiv \text{do } \{$

$\text{let } u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$

$\text{let } Vs = \text{pending } s `` \{u\};$

$\text{if } Vs = \emptyset \text{ then}$

$\text{RETURN } (u, \text{None}, s)$

$\text{else do } \{$

$v \leftarrow \text{RES } Vs;$

$\text{let } s = s \setminus \text{pending} := \text{pending } s - \{(u, v)\};$

$\text{RETURN } (u, \text{Some } v, s)$

$\}$

$\}$

definition $step :: ('v, 'es) state\text{-scheme} \Rightarrow ('v, 'es) state\text{-scheme} nres$

where

$step s \equiv$

$\text{if } \text{is-empty-stack } s \text{ then do } \{$

$v0 \leftarrow \text{get-new-root } s;$

$\text{do-new-root } v0 s$

$\} \text{ else do } \{$

$(u, Vs, s) \leftarrow \text{get-pending } s;$

$\text{case } Vs \text{ of }$

$\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{do-finish } u s$

$\mid \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \text{do } \{$

$\text{if } \text{is-discovered } v s \text{ then}$

$\text{do-known-edge } u v s$

else

```

    }                                do-discover u v s
}
}

definition it-dfs ≡ init ≫= WHILE cond step
definition it-dfsT ≡ init ≫= WHILET cond step
end

```

1.1.4 Invariants

We now build the infrastructure for establishing invariants of DFS algorithms. The infrastructure is modular and extensible, i.e., we can define re-usable libraries of invariants.

For technical reasons, invariants are established in a two-step process:

1. First, we prove the invariant wrt. the parameterization in the *param-DFS* locale.
2. Next, we transfer the invariant to the *DFS-invar-locale*.

```

locale param-DFS =
  fb-graph G + param-DFS-defs G param
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
  and param :: ('v,'es) parameterization
begin

definition is-invar :: (('v, 'es) state-scheme ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool
  — Predicate that states that I is an invariant.
  where is-invar I ≡ is-rwof-invar init cond step I

end

```

Invariants are transferred to this locale, which is parameterized with a state.

```

locale DFS-invar =
  param-DFS G param
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
  and param :: ('v,'es) parameterization
  +
  fixes s :: ('v,'es) state-scheme
  assumes rwof: rwof init cond step s
begin

lemma make-invar-thm: is-invar I ⇒ I s
  — Lemma to transfer an invariant into this locale
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

Establishing Invariants

context *param-DFS*
begin

Include this into refine-rules to discard any information about parameterization

lemmas *indep-invar-rules* =
leof-True-rule[where m=on-init param]
leof-True-rule[where m=on-new-root param v0 s' for v0 s']
leof-True-rule[where m=on-discover param u v s' for u v s']
leof-True-rule[where m=on-finish param v s' for v s']
leof-True-rule[where m=on-cross-edge param u v s' for u v s']
leof-True-rule[where m=on-back-edge param u v s' for u v s']

lemma *rwof-eq-DFS-invar[simp]*:

rwof init cond step = DFS-invar G param

— The DFS-invar locale is equivalent to the strongest invariant of the loop.

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DFS-invar-step: [nofail it-dfs; DFS-invar G param s; cond s]*

$\implies step \ s \leq SPEC (DFS-invar \ G \ param)$

— A step preserves the (best) invariant.

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DFS-invar-step': [nofail (step s); DFS-invar G param s; cond s]*

$\implies step \ s \leq SPEC (DFS-invar \ G \ param)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

We define symbolic names for the preconditions of certain operations

definition *pre-is-break s* \equiv *DFS-invar G param s*

definition *pre-on-new-root v0 s'* \equiv $\exists s.$

DFS-invar G param s \wedge *cond s* \wedge

stack s = [] \wedge *v0* \in *V0* \wedge *v0* \notin *dom (discovered s)* \wedge

s' = new-root v0 s

definition *pre-on-finish u s'* \equiv $\exists s.$

DFS-invar G param s \wedge *cond s* \wedge

stack s \neq [] \wedge *u = hd (stack s)* \wedge *pending s “{u} = {}* \wedge *s' = finish u s*

definition *pre-edge-selected u v s* \equiv

DFS-invar G param s \wedge *cond s* \wedge

stack s \neq [] \wedge *u = hd (stack s)* \wedge *(u, v) \in pending s*

definition *pre-on-cross-edge u v s'* \equiv $\exists s.$ *pre-edge-selected u v s* \wedge

v \in dom (discovered s) \wedge *v \in dom (finished s)*

$\wedge s' = cross\text{-edge } u \ v \ (s \langle pending := pending \ s - \{(u,v)\} \rangle)$

definition $\text{pre-on-back-edge } u \ v \ s' \equiv \exists s. \text{ pre-edge-selected } u \ v \ s \wedge v \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \wedge v \notin \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$
 $\wedge s' = \text{back-edge } u \ v (s(\text{pending} := \text{pending } s - \{(u,v)\}))$

definition $\text{pre-on-discover } u \ v \ s' \equiv \exists s. \text{ pre-edge-selected } u \ v \ s \wedge v \notin \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s)$
 $\wedge s' = \text{discover } u \ v (s(\text{pending} := \text{pending } s - \{(u,v)\}))$

lemmas $\text{pre-on-defs} = \text{pre-on-new-root-def } \text{pre-on-finish-def}$
 $\text{pre-edge-selected-def } \text{pre-on-cross-edge-def } \text{pre-on-back-edge-def}$
 $\text{pre-on-discover-def } \text{pre-is-break-def}$

Next, we define a set of rules to establish an invariant.

lemma $\text{establish-invarI}[\text{case-names init new-root finish cross-edge back-edge discover}]$:

- Establish a DFS invariant (explicit preconditions).
- assumes** $\text{init: on-init param } \leq_n \text{SPEC } (\lambda x. I(\text{empty-state } x))$
- assumes** $\text{new-root: } \bigwedge s s' v_0$.
- $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\text{stack } s = []; v_0 \in V_0; v_0 \notin \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s);$
 $s' = \text{new-root } v_0 s \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-new-root param } v_0 s' \leq_n$
 $\text{SPEC } (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I(s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
- assumes** $\text{finish: } \bigwedge s s' u$.
- $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\text{stack } s \neq []; u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$
 $\text{pending } s `` \{u\} = \{\}$
 $s' = \text{finish } u s \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-finish param } u s' \leq_n$
 $\text{SPEC } (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I(s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
- assumes** $\text{cross-edge: } \bigwedge s s' u v$.
- $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\text{stack } s \neq []; (u, v) \in \text{pending } s; u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$
 $v \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s); v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s);$
 $s' = \text{cross-edge } u \ v (s(\text{pending} := \text{pending } s - \{(u,v)\})) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-cross-edge param } u \ v s' \leq_n$
 $\text{SPEC } (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I(s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
- assumes** $\text{back-edge: } \bigwedge s s' u v$.
- $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\text{stack } s \neq []; (u, v) \in \text{pending } s; u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$
 $v \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s); v \notin \text{dom}(\text{finished } s);$
 $s' = \text{back-edge } u \ v (s(\text{pending} := \text{pending } s - \{(u,v)\})) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-back-edge param } u \ v s' \leq_n$
 $\text{SPEC } (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I(s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$

assumes *discover*: $\bigwedge s s' u v.$
 $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $stack s \neq []; (u, v) \in \text{pending } s; u = hd (stack s);$
 $v \notin \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s);$
 $s' = \text{discover } u v (s(\text{pending} := \text{pending } s - \{(u, v)\})) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-discover param } u v s' \leq_n$
 $SPEC (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$

shows *is-invar I*
(proof)

lemma *establish-invarI'* [case-names *init* *new-root* *finish* *cross-edge* *back-edge* *discover*]:
— Establish a DFS invariant (symbolic preconditions).
assumes *init*: *on-init param* $\leq_n SPEC (\lambda x. I (\text{empty-state } x))$
assumes *new-root*: $\bigwedge s' v0. \text{pre-on-new-root } v0 s'$
 $\implies \text{on-new-root param } v0 s' \leq_n$
 $SPEC (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes *finish*: $\bigwedge s' u. \text{pre-on-finish } u s'$
 $\implies \text{on-finish param } u s' \leq_n$
 $SPEC (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes *cross-edge*: $\bigwedge s' u v. \text{pre-on-cross-edge } u v s'$
 $\implies \text{on-cross-edge param } u v s' \leq_n$
 $SPEC (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes *back-edge*: $\bigwedge s' u v. \text{pre-on-back-edge } u v s'$
 $\implies \text{on-back-edge param } u v s' \leq_n$
 $SPEC (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes *discover*: $\bigwedge s' u v. \text{pre-on-discover } u v s'$
 $\implies \text{on-discover param } u v s' \leq_n$
 $SPEC (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$

shows *is-invar I*
(proof)

lemma *establish-invarI-ND* [case-names *prereq* *init* *new-discover* *finish* *cross-edge* *back-edge*]:
— Establish a DFS invariant (new-root and discover cases are combined).
assumes *prereq*: $\bigwedge u v s. \text{on-discover param } u v s = \text{on-new-root param } v s$
assumes *init*: *on-init param* $\leq_n SPEC (\lambda x. I (\text{empty-state } x))$
assumes *new-discover*: $\bigwedge s s' v.$
 $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $v \notin \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s);$
 $\text{discovered } s' = (\text{discovered } s)(v \mapsto \text{counter } s); \text{finished } s' = \text{finished } s;$
 $\text{counter } s' = \text{Suc } (\text{counter } s); \text{stack } s' = v \# \text{stack } s;$
 $\text{back-edges } s' = \text{back-edges } s; \text{cross-edges } s' = \text{cross-edges } s;$

$\text{tree-edges } s' \supseteq \text{tree-edges } s;$
 $\text{state.more } s' = \text{state.more } s \llbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-new-root param } v \ s' \leq_n$
 $\text{SPEC} (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes $\text{finish}: \bigwedge s \ s' \ u.$
 $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I \ s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\text{stack } s \neq []; u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$
 $\text{pending } s \ \llbracket \{u\} = \{\};$
 $s' = \text{finish } u \ s \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-finish param } u \ s' \leq_n$
 $\text{SPEC} (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes $\text{cross-edge}: \bigwedge s \ s' \ u \ v.$
 $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I \ s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\text{stack } s \neq []; (u, v) \in \text{pending } s; u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$
 $v \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s); v \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s);$
 $s' = \text{cross-edge } u \ v \ (s(\text{pending} := \text{pending } s - \{(u, v)\})) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-cross-edge param } u \ v \ s' \leq_n$
 $\text{SPEC} (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes $\text{back-edge}: \bigwedge s \ s' \ u \ v.$
 $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I \ s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\text{stack } s \neq []; (u, v) \in \text{pending } s; u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$
 $v \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s); v \notin \text{dom } (\text{finished } s);$
 $s' = \text{back-edge } u \ v \ (s(\text{pending} := \text{pending } s - \{(u, v)\})) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-back-edge param } u \ v \ s' \leq_n$
 $\text{SPEC} (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
shows $\text{is-invar } I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{establish-invarI-CB}$ [*case-names prereq init new-root finish cross-back-edge discover*]:
— Establish a DFS invariant (cross and back edge cases are combined).
assumes $\text{prereq}: \bigwedge u \ v \ s. \text{on-back-edge param } u \ v \ s = \text{on-cross-edge param } u \ v \ s$
assumes $\text{init}: \text{on-init param} \leq_n \text{SPEC} (\lambda x. I (\text{empty-state } x))$
assumes $\text{new-root}: \bigwedge s \ s' \ v0.$
 $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I \ s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\text{stack } s = []; v0 \in V0; v0 \notin \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s);$
 $s' = \text{new-root } v0 \ s \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{on-new-root param } v0 \ s' \leq_n$
 $\text{SPEC} (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes $\text{finish}: \bigwedge s \ s' \ u.$
 $\llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I \ s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\text{stack } s \neq []; u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$
 $\text{pending } s \ \llbracket \{u\} = \{\};$

$s' = \text{finish } u \ s]$
 $\implies \text{on-finish param } u \ s' \leq_n$
 $\quad \text{SPEC } (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\quad \longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes cross-back-edge: $\bigwedge s \ s' \ u \ v.$
 $\quad \llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I \ s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\quad \text{stack } s \neq []; (u, v) \in \text{pending } s; u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$
 $\quad v \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s);$
 $\quad \text{discovered } s' = \text{discovered } s; \text{finished } s' = \text{finished } s;$
 $\quad \text{stack } s' = \text{stack } s; \text{tree-edges } s' = \text{tree-edges } s; \text{counter } s' = \text{counter } s;$
 $\quad \text{pending } s' = \text{pending } s - \{(u, v)\};$
 $\quad \text{cross-edges } s' \cup \text{back-edges } s' = \text{cross-edges } s \cup \text{back-edges } s \cup \{(u, v)\};$
 $\quad \text{state.more } s' = \text{state.more } s]$
 $\implies \text{on-cross-edge param } u \ v \ s' \leq_n$
 $\quad \text{SPEC } (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\quad \longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes discover: $\bigwedge s \ s' \ u \ v.$
 $\quad \llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I \ s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\quad \text{stack } s \neq []; (u, v) \in \text{pending } s; u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s);$
 $\quad v \notin \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s);$
 $\quad s' = \text{discover } u \ v \ (s(\text{pending} := \text{pending } s - \{(u, v)\}))$
 $\implies \text{on-discover param } u \ v \ s' \leq_n$
 $\quad \text{SPEC } (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\quad \longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
shows is-invar I
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma establish-invarI-ND-CB [case-names prereq-ND prereq-CB init new-discover finish cross-back-edge]:

— Establish a DFS invariant (new-root/discover and cross/back-edge cases are combined).

assumes prereq:
 $\bigwedge u \ v \ s. \text{on-discover param } u \ v \ s = \text{on-new-root param } v \ s$
 $\bigwedge u \ v \ s. \text{on-back-edge param } u \ v \ s = \text{on-cross-edge param } u \ v \ s$
assumes init: $\text{on-init param} \leq_n \text{SPEC } (\lambda x. I (\text{empty-state } x))$
assumes new-discover: $\bigwedge s \ s' \ v.$
 $\quad \llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I \ s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$
 $\quad v \notin \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s);$
 $\quad \text{discovered } s' = (\text{discovered } s)(v \mapsto \text{counter } s); \text{finished } s' = \text{finished } s;$
 $\quad \text{counter } s' = \text{Suc } (\text{counter } s); \text{stack } s' = v \# \text{stack } s;$
 $\quad \text{back-edges } s' = \text{back-edges } s; \text{cross-edges } s' = \text{cross-edges } s;$
 $\quad \text{tree-edges } s' \supseteq \text{tree-edges } s;$
 $\quad \text{state.more } s' = \text{state.more } s]$
 $\implies \text{on-new-root param } v \ s' \leq_n$
 $\quad \text{SPEC } (\lambda x. \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } (s'(\text{state.more} := x)))$
 $\quad \longrightarrow I (s'(\text{state.more} := x))$
assumes finish: $\bigwedge s \ s' \ u.$
 $\quad \llbracket \text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s; I \ s; \text{cond } s; \neg \text{is-break param } s;$

```

stack s ≠ []; u = hd (stack s);
pending s “{u} = {};
s' = finish u s]
    ⇒ on-finish param u s' ≤n
        SPEC (λx. DFS-invar G param (s'(|state.more := x|))
            → I (s'(|state.more := x|)))
assumes cross-back-edge: ∏s s' u v.
    [DFS-invar G param s; I s; cond s; ¬ is-break param s;
     stack s ≠ []; (u, v) ∈ pending s; u = hd (stack s);
     v ∈ dom (discovered s);
     discovered s' = discovered s; finished s' = finished s;
     stack s' = stack s; tree-edges s' = tree-edges s; counter s' = counter s;
     pending s' = pending s - {(u,v)};
     cross-edges s' ∪ back-edges s' = cross-edges s ∪ back-edges s ∪ {(u,v)};
     state.more s' = state.more s ]
    ⇒ on-cross-edge param u v s' ≤n
        SPEC (λx. DFS-invar G param (s'(|state.more := x|))
            → I (s'(|state.more := x|)))
shows is-invar I
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma is-invarI-full [case-names init new-root finish cross-edge back-edge discover]:

— Establish a DFS invariant not taking into account the parameterization.

assumes init: ∏e. I (empty-state e)

assumes new-root: ∏s s' v0 e.

```

[I s; cond s; DFS-invar G param s; DFS-invar G param s';
 stack s = []; v0 ∉ dom (discovered s); v0 ∈ V0;
 s' = new-root v0 s(|state.more := e|)]
    ⇒ I s'

```

and finish: ∏s s' u e.

```

[I s; cond s; DFS-invar G param s; DFS-invar G param s';
 stack s ≠ []; pending s “{u} = {};
 u = hd (stack s); s' = finish u s(|state.more := e|)]
    ⇒ I s'

```

and cross-edge: ∏s s' u v e.

```

[I s; cond s; DFS-invar G param s; DFS-invar G param s';
 stack s ≠ []; v ∈ pending s “{u}; v ∈ dom (discovered s);
 v ∈ dom (finished s);
 u = hd (stack s);
 s' = (cross-edge u v (s(|pending := pending s - {(u,v)}|))(|state.more := e|))]
    ⇒ I s'

```

and back-edge: ∏s s' u v e.

```

[I s; cond s; DFS-invar G param s; DFS-invar G param s';
 stack s ≠ []; v ∈ pending s “{u}; v ∈ dom (discovered s); v ∉ dom (finished
 s);
 u = hd (stack s);
 s' = (back-edge u v (s(|pending := pending s - {(u,v)}|))(|state.more := e|))]

```

$\implies I s'$
and *discover*: $\bigwedge s s' u v e.$
 $\llbracket I s; cond\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s';$
 $stack\ s \neq []; v \in pending\ s \cup \{u\}; v \notin dom\ (discovered\ s);$
 $u = hd\ (stack\ s);$
 $s' = (discover\ u\ v\ (s(pending := pending\ s - \{(u,v)\})))\ (state.more := e)\rrbracket$
 $\implies I s'$
shows *is-invar I*
(proof)

lemma *is-invarI* [case-names *init* *new-root* *finish* *visited* *discover*]:
— Establish a DFS invariant not taking into account the parameterization, cross/back-edges combined.
assumes *init'*: $\bigwedge e. I\ (empty-state\ e)$
and *new-root'*: $\bigwedge s s' v0\ e.$
 $\llbracket I s; cond\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s';$
 $stack\ s = []; v0 \notin dom\ (discovered\ s); v0 \in V0;$
 $s' = new-root\ v0\ s(state.more := e)\rrbracket$
 $\implies I s'$
and *finish'*: $\bigwedge s s' u\ e.$
 $\llbracket I s; cond\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s';$
 $stack\ s \neq []; pending\ s \cup \{u\} = \{\};$
 $u = hd\ (stack\ s); s' = finish\ u\ s(state.more := e)\rrbracket$
 $\implies I s'$
and *visited'*: $\bigwedge s s' u\ v\ e\ c\ b.$
 $\llbracket I s; cond\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s';$
 $stack\ s \neq []; v \in pending\ s \cup \{u\}; v \in dom\ (discovered\ s);$
 $u = hd\ (stack\ s);$
 $cross\text{-edges}\ s \subseteq c; back\text{-edges}\ s \subseteq b;$
 $s' = s()$
 $pending := pending\ s - \{(u,v)\},$
 $state.more := e,$
 $cross\text{-edges} := c,$
 $back\text{-edges} := b\rrbracket$
 $\implies I s'$
and *discover'*: $\bigwedge s s' u\ v\ e.$
 $\llbracket I s; cond\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s; DFS\text{-invar}\ G\ param\ s';$
 $stack\ s \neq []; v \in pending\ s \cup \{u\}; v \notin dom\ (discovered\ s);$
 $u = hd\ (stack\ s);$
 $s' = (discover\ u\ v\ (s(pending := pending\ s - \{(u,v)\})))\ (state.more := e)\rrbracket$
 $\implies I s'$
shows *is-invar I*
(proof)

end

1.1.5 Basic Invariants

We establish some basic invariants

```

context param-DFS begin

  definition basic-invar  $s \equiv$ 
    set (stack  $s$ ) = dom (discovered  $s$ ) - dom (finished  $s$ )  $\wedge$ 
    distinct (stack  $s$ )  $\wedge$ 
    (stack  $s \neq [] \longrightarrow \text{last}(\text{stack } s) \in V0)$   $\wedge$ 
    dom (finished  $s$ )  $\subseteq$  dom (discovered  $s$ )  $\wedge$ 
    Domain (pending  $s$ )  $\subseteq$  dom (discovered  $s$ ) - dom (finished  $s$ )  $\wedge$ 
    pending  $s \subseteq E$ 

  lemma i-basic-invar: is-invar basic-invar
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

context DFS-invar begin
  lemmas basic-invar = make-invar-thm[OF i-basic-invar]

  lemma pending-ssE: pending  $s \subseteq E$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma pendingD:
   $(u,v) \in \text{pending } s \implies (u,v) \in E \wedge u \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma stack-set-def:
  set (stack  $s$ ) = dom (discovered  $s$ ) - dom (finished  $s$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma stack-discovered:
  set (stack  $s$ )  $\subseteq$  dom (discovered  $s$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma stack-distinct:
  distinct (stack  $s$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma last-stack-in-V0:
  stack  $s \neq [] \implies \text{last}(\text{stack } s) \in V0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma stack-not-finished:
   $x \in \text{set}(\text{stack } s) \implies x \notin \text{dom } (\text{finished } s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma discovered-not-stack-imp-finished:
   $x \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s) \implies x \notin \text{set}(\text{stack } s) \implies x \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma finished-discovered:

```

$\text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finished-no-pending*:
 $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \implies \text{pending } s `` \{v\} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *discovered-eq-finished-un-stack*:
 $\text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) = \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \cup \text{set}(\text{stack } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pending-on-stack*:
 $(v, w) \in \text{pending } s \implies v \in \text{set}(\text{stack } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-stack-imp-empty-pending*:
 $\text{stack } s = [] \implies \text{pending } s = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

context *param-DFS* **begin**

lemma *i-discovered-reachable*:
 $\text{is-invar}(\lambda s. \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \subseteq \text{reachable})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *discovered-closed* $s \equiv$
 $E `` \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s)$
 $\wedge (E - \text{pending } s) `` \text{set}(\text{stack } s) \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s)$

lemma *i-discovered-closed*: *is-invar discovered-closed*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *i-discovered-finite*: *is-invar* ($\lambda s. \text{finite}(\text{dom}(\text{discovered } s))$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *DFS-invar*
begin

lemmas *discovered-reachable* =
i-discovered-reachable [*THEN make-invar-thm*]

lemma *stack-reachable*: *set(stack s) ⊆ reachable*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemmas discovered-closed = i-discovered-closed[THEN make-invar-thm]

lemmas discovered-finite[simp, intro!] = i-discovered-finite[THEN make-invar-thm]
lemma finished-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (dom (finished s))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finished-closed:
  E “ dom (finished s) ⊆ dom (discovered s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finished-imp-succ-discovered:
  v ∈ dom (finished s) ⇒ w ∈ succ v ⇒ w ∈ dom (discovered s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pending-reachable: pending s ⊆ reachable × reachable
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pending-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (pending s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma no-pending-imp-succ-discovered:
  assumes u ∈ dom (discovered s)
  and pending s “ {u} = {}
  and v ∈ succ u
  shows v ∈ dom (discovered s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nc-finished-eq-reachable:
  assumes NC: ¬cond s ¬is-break param s
  shows dom (finished s) = reachable
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nc-V0-finished:
  assumes NC: ¬ cond s ¬ is-break param s
  shows V0 ⊆ dom (finished s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nc-discovered-eq-finished:
  assumes NC: ¬ cond s ¬ is-break param s
  shows dom (discovered s) = dom (finished s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nc-discovered-eq-reachable:
  assumes NC: ¬ cond s ¬ is-break param s
  shows dom (discovered s) = reachable
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nc-fin-closed:

```

```

assumes NC:  $\neg cond\ s$ 
assumes NB:  $\neg is-break\ param\ s$ 
shows  $E `` dom\ (finished\ s) \subseteq dom\ (finished\ s)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.1.6 Total Correctness

We can show termination of the DFS algorithm, independently of the parameterization

```

context param-DFS begin
definition param-dfs-variant ≡ inv-image
  (finite-psupset reachable <*lex*> finite-psubset <*lex*> less-than)
  ( $\lambda s. (dom\ (discovered\ s), pending\ s, length\ (stack\ s))$ )

lemma param-dfs-variant-wf[simp, intro!]:
  assumes [simp, intro!]: finite reachable
  shows wf param-dfs-variant
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma param-dfs-variant-step:
  assumes A: DFS-invar G param s cond s nofail it-dfs
  shows step s ≤ SPEC ( $\lambda s'. (s', s) \in param\text{-}dfs\text{-}variant$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```

context param-DFS begin
lemma it-dfsT-eq-it-dfs:
  assumes [simp, intro!]: finite reachable
  shows it-dfsT = it-dfs
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

1.1.7 Non-Failing Parameterization

The proofs so far have been done modulo failure of the parameterization. In this locale, we assume that the parameterization does not fail, and derive the correctness proof of the DFS algorithm wrt. its invariant.

```

locale DFS =
  param-DFS G param
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
  and param :: ('v, 'es) parameterization
  +
  assumes nofail-on-init:

```

```

nofail (on-init param)

assumes nofail-on-new-root:
  pre-on-new-root v0 s  $\implies$  nofail (on-new-root param v0 s)

assumes nofail-on-finish:
  pre-on-finish u s  $\implies$  nofail (on-finish param u s)

assumes nofail-on-cross-edge:
  pre-on-cross-edge u v s  $\implies$  nofail (on-cross-edge param u v s)

assumes nofail-on-back-edge:
  pre-on-back-edge u v s  $\implies$  nofail (on-back-edge param u v s)

assumes nofail-on-discover:
  pre-on-discover u v s  $\implies$  nofail (on-discover param u v s)

begin
  lemmas nofails = nofail-on-init nofail-on-new-root nofail-on-finish
    nofail-on-cross-edge nofail-on-back-edge nofail-on-discover

  lemma init-leof-invar: init  $\leq_n$  SPEC (DFS-invar G param)
  <proof>

  lemma it-dfs-eq-spec: it-dfs = SPEC ( $\lambda s.$  DFS-invar G param s  $\wedge$   $\neg cond s$ )
  <proof>

  lemma it-dfs-correct: it-dfs  $\leq$  SPEC ( $\lambda s.$  DFS-invar G param s  $\wedge$   $\neg cond s$ )
  <proof>

  lemma it-dfs-SPEC:
    assumes  $\bigwedge s.$  [DFS-invar G param s;  $\neg cond s$ ]  $\implies P s$ 
    shows it-dfs  $\leq$  SPEC P
    <proof>

  lemma it-dfsT-correct:
    assumes finite reachable
    shows it-dfsT  $\leq$  SPEC ( $\lambda s.$  DFS-invar G param s  $\wedge$   $\neg cond s$ )
    <proof>

  lemma it-dfsT-SPEC:
    assumes finite reachable
    assumes  $\bigwedge s.$  [DFS-invar G param s;  $\neg cond s$ ]  $\implies P s$ 
    shows it-dfsT  $\leq$  SPEC P
    <proof>

end

```

end

1.2 Basic Invariant Library

```
theory DFS-Invars-Basic
imports ..../Param-DFS
begin
```

We provide more basic invariants of the DFS algorithm

1.2.1 Basic Timing Invariants

```
abbreviation the-discovered s v ≡ the (discovered s v)
abbreviation the-finished s v ≡ the (finished s v)
```

```
locale timing-syntax
begin
```

```
notation the-discovered (δ)
notation the-finished (φ)
```

end

```
context param-DFS begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩
```

definition timing-common-inv s ≡

— $\delta s v < \varphi s v$

($\forall v \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s). \delta s v < \varphi s v$)

— $v \neq w \rightarrow \delta s v \neq \delta s w \wedge \varphi s v \neq \varphi s w$

— Can't use $\text{card dom} = \text{card ran}$ as the maps may be infinite ...

$\wedge (\forall v \in \text{dom} (\text{discovered } s). \forall w \in \text{dom} (\text{discovered } s). v \neq w \rightarrow \delta s v \neq \delta s w)$

$\wedge (\forall v \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s). \forall w \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s). v \neq w \rightarrow \varphi s v \neq \varphi s w)$

— $\delta s v < \text{counter} \wedge \varphi s v < \text{counter}$

$\wedge (\forall v \in \text{dom} (\text{discovered } s). \delta s v < \text{counter } s)$

$\wedge (\forall v \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s). \varphi s v < \text{counter } s)$

$\wedge (\forall v \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s). \forall w \in \text{succ } v. \delta s w < \varphi s v)$

lemma timing-common-inv:

is-invar timing-common-inv

⟨proof⟩

end end

```
context DFS-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas s-timing-common-inv =

timing-common-inv[THEN make-invar-thm]

```

lemma timing-less-counter:
   $v \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \implies \delta_s v < \text{counter } s$ 
   $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \implies \varphi_s v < \text{counter } s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma disc-lt-fin:
   $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \implies \delta_s v < \varphi_s v$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma disc-unequal:
  assumes  $v \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s)$   $w \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s)$ 
  and  $v \neq w$ 
  shows  $\delta_s v \neq \delta_s w$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fin-unequal:
  assumes  $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$   $w \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$ 
  and  $v \neq w$ 
  shows  $\varphi_s v \neq \varphi_s w$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma finished-succ-fin:
  assumes  $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$ 
  and  $w \in \text{succ } v$ 
  shows  $\delta_s w < \varphi_s v$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end end

context param-DFS begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma i-prev-stack-discover-all:
   $\text{is-invar } (\lambda s. \forall n < \text{length } (\text{stack } s). \forall v \in \text{set } (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{stack } s)). \delta_s (\text{stack } s ! n) > \delta_s v)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end end

context DFS-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas prev-stack-discover-all
  = i-prev-stack-discover-all[THEN make-invar-thm]

lemma prev-stack-discover:
   $\llbracket n < \text{length } (\text{stack } s); v \in \text{set } (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{stack } s)) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \delta_s (\text{stack } s ! n) > \delta_s v$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Suc-stack-discover:
  assumes  $n: n < (\text{length } (\text{stack } s)) - 1$ 
  shows  $\delta_s (\text{stack } s ! n) > \delta_s (\text{stack } s ! \text{Suc } n)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tl-lt-stack-hd-discover*:
 assumes *notempty*: stack $s \neq []$
 and $x \in \text{set}(\text{tl}(\text{stack } s))$
 shows $\delta s x < \delta s (\text{hd}(\text{stack } s))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stack-nth-order*:
 assumes $i : i < \text{length}(\text{stack } s) j < \text{length}(\text{stack } s)$
 shows $\delta s (\text{stack } s ! i) < \delta s (\text{stack } s ! j) \longleftrightarrow i > j$ (**is** $\delta s ?i < \delta s ?j \longleftrightarrow -$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end end

1.2.2 Paranthesis Theorem

context *param-DFS begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax* $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *parenthesis* $s \equiv$
 $\forall v \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s). \forall w \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s).$
 $\delta s v < \delta s w \wedge v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \longrightarrow ($
 $\varphi s v < \delta s w — \text{disjoint}$
 $\vee (\varphi s v > \delta s w \wedge w \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \wedge \varphi s w < \varphi s v))$

lemma *i-parenthesis*: *is-invar parenthesis*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end end

context *DFS-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *parenthesis*:
 assumes $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) w \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s)$
 and $\delta s v < \delta s w$
 shows $\varphi s v < \delta s w — \text{disjoint}$
 $\vee (\varphi s v > \delta s w \wedge w \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \wedge \varphi s w < \varphi s v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *parenthesis-contained*:
 assumes $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) w \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s)$
 and $\delta s v < \delta s w \varphi s v > \delta s w$
 shows $w \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \wedge \varphi s w < \varphi s v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *parenthesis-disjoint*:
 assumes $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) w \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s)$
 and $\delta s v < \delta s w \varphi s w > \varphi s v$
 shows $\varphi s v < \delta s w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma finished-succ-contained:
  assumes  $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$ 
  and  $w \in \text{succ } v$ 
  and  $\delta s v < \delta s w$ 
  shows  $w \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \wedge \varphi s w < \varphi s v$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

end end

```

1.2.3 Edge Types

```

context param-DFS
begin
  abbreviation edges  $s \equiv \text{tree-edges } s \cup \text{cross-edges } s \cup \text{back-edges } s$ 

```

```

lemma is-invar  $(\lambda s. \text{finite}(\text{edges } s))$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

Sometimes it's useful to just chose between tree-edges and non-tree.

```

lemma edgesE-CB:
  assumes  $x \in \text{edges } s$ 
  and  $x \in \text{tree-edges } s \implies P$ 
  and  $x \in \text{cross-edges } s \cup \text{back-edges } s \implies P$ 
  shows  $P$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

definition edges-basic  $s \equiv$ 
   $\text{Field}(\text{back-edges } s) \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \wedge \text{back-edges } s \subseteq E - \text{pending } s$ 
   $\wedge \text{Field}(\text{cross-edges } s) \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \wedge \text{cross-edges } s \subseteq E - \text{pending } s$ 
   $\wedge \text{Field}(\text{tree-edges } s) \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \wedge \text{tree-edges } s \subseteq E - \text{pending } s$ 
   $\wedge \text{back-edges } s \cap \text{cross-edges } s = \{\}$ 
   $\wedge \text{back-edges } s \cap \text{tree-edges } s = \{\}$ 
   $\wedge \text{cross-edges } s \cap \text{tree-edges } s = \{\}$ 

```

```

lemma i-edges-basic:
  is-invar edges-basic
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas (in DFS-invar) edges-basic = i-edges-basic[THEN make-invar-thm]

```

```

lemma i-edges-covered:
  is-invar  $(\lambda s. (E \cap \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \times \text{UNIV}) - \text{pending } s = \text{edges } s)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 
end

```

```

context DFS-invar begin

```

```

lemmas edges-covered =
  i-edges-covered[THEN make-invar-thm]

lemma edges-ss-reachable-edges:
  edges s ⊆ E ∩ reachable × UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nc-edges-covered:
  assumes ¬cond s ¬is-break param s
  shows E ∩ reachable × UNIV = edges s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  tree-edges-ssE: tree-edges s ⊆ E and
  tree-edges-not-pending: tree-edges s ⊆ - pending s and
  tree-edge-is-succ: (v,w) ∈ tree-edges s ==> w ∈ succ v and
  tree-edges-discovered: Field (tree-edges s) ⊆ dom (discovered s) and

  cross-edges-ssE: cross-edges s ⊆ E and
  cross-edges-not-pending: cross-edges s ⊆ - pending s and
  cross-edge-is-succ: (v,w) ∈ cross-edges s ==> w ∈ succ v and
  cross-edges-discovered: Field (cross-edges s) ⊆ dom (discovered s) and

  back-edges-ssE: back-edges s ⊆ E and
  back-edges-not-pending: back-edges s ⊆ - pending s and
  back-edge-is-succ: (v,w) ∈ back-edges s ==> w ∈ succ v and
  back-edges-discovered: Field (back-edges s) ⊆ dom (discovered s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edges-disjoint:
  back-edges s ∩ cross-edges s = {}
  back-edges s ∩ tree-edges s = {}
  cross-edges s ∩ tree-edges s = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tree-edge-imp-discovered:
  (v,w) ∈ tree-edges s ==> v ∈ dom (discovered s)
  (v,w) ∈ tree-edges s ==> w ∈ dom (discovered s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma back-edge-imp-discovered:
  (v,w) ∈ back-edges s ==> v ∈ dom (discovered s)
  (v,w) ∈ back-edges s ==> w ∈ dom (discovered s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cross-edge-imp-discovered:
  (v,w) ∈ cross-edges s ==> v ∈ dom (discovered s)
  (v,w) ∈ cross-edges s ==> w ∈ dom (discovered s)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma edge-imp-discovered:
   $(v,w) \in \text{edges } s \implies v \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s)$ 
   $(v,w) \in \text{edges } s \implies w \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tree-edges-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (tree-edges s)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cross-edges-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (cross-edges s)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma back-edges-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (back-edges s)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma edges-finite: finite (edges s)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

Properties of the DFS Tree

```

context DFS-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
  lemma tree-edge-disc-lt-fin:
     $(v,w) \in \text{tree-edges } s \implies v \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s) \implies \delta s w < \varphi s v$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma back-edge-disc-lt-fin:
     $(v,w) \in \text{back-edges } s \implies v \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s) \implies \delta s w < \varphi s v$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma cross-edge-disc-lt-fin:
     $(v,w) \in \text{cross-edges } s \implies v \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s) \implies \delta s w < \varphi s v$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end end

```

context param-DFS **begin**

```

lemma i-stack-is-tree-path:
  is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{stack } s \neq [] \longrightarrow (\exists v0 \in V0.$ 
     $\text{path } (\text{tree-edges } s) v0 (\text{rev } (\text{tl } (\text{stack } s))) (\text{hd } (\text{stack } s)))$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

```

context DFS-invar **begin**

```

lemmas stack-is-tree-path =

```

i-stack-is-tree-path[THEN make-invar-thm, rule-format]

lemma *stack-is-path*:

stack $s \neq [] \implies \exists v_0 \in V_0. \text{path } E v_0 (\text{rev}(\text{tl}(\text{stack } s))) (\text{hd}(\text{stack } s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hd-succ-stack-is-path*:

assumes ne: stack $s \neq []$
and succ: $v \in \text{succ}(\text{hd}(\text{stack } s))$
shows $\exists v_0 \in V_0. \text{path } E v_0 (\text{rev}(\text{stack } s)) v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tl-stack-hd-tree-path*:

assumes stack $s \neq []$
and $v \in \text{set}(\text{tl}(\text{stack } s))$
shows $(v, \text{hd}(\text{stack } s)) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context param-DFS **begin**

definition *tree-discovered-inv* $s \equiv$
 $(\text{tree-edges } s = \{\}) \longrightarrow \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \subseteq V_0 \wedge (\text{stack } s = []$
 $\vee (\exists v_0 \in V_0. \text{stack } s = [v_0])) \wedge (\text{tree-edges } s \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow (\text{tree-edges } s)^+ `` V_0 \cup V_0 = \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \cup V_0)$

lemma *i-tree-discovered-inv*:

is-invar *tree-discovered-inv*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas (in DFS-invar) *tree-discovered-inv* =
i-tree-discovered-inv[THEN make-invar-thm]

lemma (in DFS-invar) *discovered-iff-tree-path*:

$v \notin V_0 \implies v \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s) \longleftrightarrow (\exists v_0 \in V_0. (v_0, v) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *i-tree-one-predecessor*:

is-invar $(\lambda s. \forall (v, v') \in \text{tree-edges } s. \forall y. y \neq v \longrightarrow (y, v') \notin \text{tree-edges } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in DFS-invar) *tree-one-predecessor*:

assumes $(v, w) \in \text{tree-edges } s$
and $a \neq v$
shows $(a, w) \notin \text{tree-edges } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in DFS-invar) *tree-eq-rule*:

$\llbracket (v,w) \in \text{tree-edges } s; (u,w) \in \text{tree-edges } s \rrbracket \implies v=u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context begin interpretation timing-syntax $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *i-tree-edge-disc*:

is-invar $(\lambda s. \forall (v,v') \in \text{tree-edges } s. \delta s v < \delta s v')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end end

context DFS-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-edge-disc*:

$(v,w) \in \text{tree-edges } s \implies \delta s v < \delta s w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-path-disc*:

$(v,w) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+ \implies \delta s v < \delta s w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-loop-in-tree*:

$(v,v) \notin (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-acyclic*:

acyclic $(\text{tree-edges } s)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-self-loop-in-tree*:

$(v,v) \notin \text{tree-edges } s$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-edge-unequal*:

$(v,w) \in \text{tree-edges } s \implies v \neq w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-path-unequal*:

$(v,w) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+ \implies v \neq w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-subpath'*:

assumes $x: (x,v) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$

and $y: (y,v) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$

and $x \neq y$

shows $(x,y) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+ \vee (y,x) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-subpath*:

assumes $(x,v) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$

and $(y, v) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$
and $\delta s x < \delta s y$
shows $(x, y) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *on-stack-is-tree-path*:
assumes $x: x \in \text{set}(\text{stack } s)$
and $y: y \in \text{set}(\text{stack } s)$
and $\delta s x < \delta s y$
shows $(x, y) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hd-stack-tree-path-finished*:
assumes $\text{stack } s \neq []$
assumes $(\text{hd } (\text{stack } s), v) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$
shows $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-edge-impl-parenthesis*:
assumes $t: (v, w) \in \text{tree-edges } s$
and $f: v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$
shows $w \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$
 $\wedge \delta s v < \delta s w$
 $\wedge \varphi s w < \varphi s v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-path-impl-parenthesis*:
assumes $(v, w) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$
and $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$
shows $w \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$
 $\wedge \delta s v < \delta s w$
 $\wedge \varphi s w < \varphi s v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nc-reachable-v0-parenthesis*:
assumes $C: \neg \text{cond } s \neg \text{is-break param } s$
and $v: v \in \text{reachable } v \notin V_0$
obtains v_0 **where** $v_0 \in V_0$
and $\delta s v_0 < \delta s v \wedge \varphi s v < \varphi s v_0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end end

context *param-DFS begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *paren-imp-tree-reach where*
paren-imp-tree-reach $s \equiv \forall v \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s). \forall w \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s).$
 $\delta s v < \delta s w \wedge (v \notin \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \vee \varphi s v > \varphi s w)$
 $\longrightarrow (v, w) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$

```

lemma paren-imp-tree-reach:
  is-invar paren-imp-tree-reach
  ⟨proof⟩
end end

context DFS-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩

lemmas s-paren-imp-tree-reach =
  paren-imp-tree-reach[THEN make-invar-thm]

lemma parenthesis-impl-tree-path-not-finished:
  assumes v ∈ dom (discovered s)
  and w ∈ dom (finished s)
  and δ s v < δ s w
  and v ∉ dom (finished s)
  shows (v,w) ∈ (tree-edges s)⁺
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma parenthesis-impl-tree-path:
  assumes v ∈ dom (finished s) w ∈ dom (finished s)
  and δ s v < δ s w φ s v > φ s w
  shows (v,w) ∈ (tree-edges s)⁺
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tree-path-iff-parenthesis:
  assumes v ∈ dom (finished s) w ∈ dom (finished s)
  shows (v,w) ∈ (tree-edges s)⁺ ↔ δ s v < δ s w ∧ φ s v > φ s w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma no-pending-succ-impl-path-in-tree:
  assumes v: v ∈ dom (discovered s) pending s “{v} = {}
  and w: w ∈ succ v
  and δ: δ s v < δ s w
  shows (v,w) ∈ (tree-edges s)⁺
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finished-succ-impl-path-in-tree:
  assumes f: v ∈ dom (finished s)
  and s: w ∈ succ v
  and δ: δ s v < δ s w
  shows (v,w) ∈ (tree-edges s)⁺
  ⟨proof⟩
end end

```

Properties of Cross Edges

```

context param-DFS begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma i-cross-edges-finished: is-invar ( $\lambda s. \forall (u,v) \in \text{cross-edges } s.$   

 $v \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s) \wedge (u \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s) \rightarrow \varphi s v < \varphi s u)$ )  

<proof>

end end

context DFS-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax <proof>
lemmas cross-edges-finished  

 $= i\text{-cross-edges-finished}[\text{THEN make-invar-thm}]$ 

lemma cross-edges-target-finished:  

 $(u,v) \in \text{cross-edges } s \implies v \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s)$   

<proof>

lemma cross-edges-finished-decr:  

 $\llbracket (u,v) \in \text{cross-edges } s; u \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s) \rrbracket \implies \varphi s v < \varphi s u$   

<proof>

lemma cross-edge-unequal:  

assumes cross:  $(v,w) \in \text{cross-edges } s$   

shows  $v \neq w$   

<proof>
end end

```

Properties of Back Edges

```

context param-DFS begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax <proof>

lemma i-back-edge-impl-tree-path:  

 $\text{is-invar } (\lambda s. \forall (v,w) \in \text{back-edges } s. (w,v) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+ \vee w = v)$   

<proof>

end end

context DFS-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax <proof>

lemma back-edge-impl-tree-path:  

 $\llbracket (v,w) \in \text{back-edges } s; v \neq w \rrbracket \implies (w,v) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$   

<proof>

lemma back-edge-disc:  

assumes  $(v,w) \in \text{back-edges } s$   

shows  $\delta s w \leq \delta s v$   

<proof>

lemma back-edges-tree-disjoint:  

 $\text{back-edges } s \cap \text{tree-edges } s = \{\}$   

<proof>

```

```

lemma back-edges-tree-pathes-disjoint:
  back-edges s  $\cap$  (tree-edges s)+ = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma back-edge-finished:
  assumes (v,w) ∈ back-edges s
  and w ∈ dom (finished s)
  shows v ∈ dom (finished s)  $\wedge$  φ s v ≤ φ s w
  ⟨proof⟩

end end

context param-DFS begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩

lemma i-disc-imp-back-edge-or-pending:
  is-invar ( $\lambda s. \forall (v,w) \in E.$ 
    v ∈ dom (discovered s)  $\wedge$  w ∈ dom (discovered s)
     $\wedge \delta s v \geq \delta s w$ 
     $\wedge (w \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s) \longrightarrow v \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s) \wedge \varphi s w \geq \varphi s v)$ 
     $\longrightarrow (v,w) \in \text{back-edges } s \vee (v,w) \in \text{pending } s)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
end end

context DFS-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩

lemma disc-imp-back-edge-or-pending:
   $\llbracket w \in \text{succ } v; v \in \text{dom} (\text{discovered } s); w \in \text{dom} (\text{discovered } s); \delta s w \leq \delta s v;$ 
   $(w \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s) \implies v \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s) \wedge \varphi s v \leq \varphi s w) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies (v, w) \in \text{back-edges } s \vee (v, w) \in \text{pending } s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finished-imp-back-edge:
   $\llbracket w \in \text{succ } v; v \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s); w \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s);$ 
   $\delta s w \leq \delta s v; \varphi s v \leq \varphi s w \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies (v, w) \in \text{back-edges } s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finished-not-finished-imp-back-edge:
   $\llbracket w \in \text{succ } v; v \in \text{dom} (\text{finished } s); w \in \text{dom} (\text{discovered } s);$ 
   $w \notin \text{dom} (\text{finished } s);$ 
   $\delta s w \leq \delta s v \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies (v, w) \in \text{back-edges } s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finished-self-loop-in-back-edges:
  assumes v ∈ dom (finished s)
  and (v,v) ∈ E
  shows (v,v) ∈ back-edges s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end end
```

```
context DFS-invar begin

  context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩

    lemma tree-cross-acyclic:
      acyclic (tree-edges s ∪ cross-edges s) (is acyclic ?E)
      ⟨proof⟩
    end

    lemma cycle-contains-back-edge:
      assumes cycle: (u,u) ∈ (edges s)⁺
      shows ∃ v w. (u,v) ∈ (edges s)* ∧ (v,w) ∈ back-edges s ∧ (w,u) ∈ (edges s)*
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma cycle-needs-back-edge:
      assumes back-edges s = {}
      shows acyclic (edges s)
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma back-edge-closes-cycle:
      assumes back-edges s ≠ {}
      shows ¬ acyclic (edges s)
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma back-edge-closes-reachable-cycle:
      back-edges s ≠ {} ⇒ ¬ acyclic (E ∩ reachable × UNIV)
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma cycle-iff-back-edges:
      acyclic (edges s) ⇔ back-edges s = {}
      ⟨proof⟩
    end
```

1.2.4 White Path Theorem

```
context DFS begin
  context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩

    definition white-path where
      white-path s x y ≡ x ≠ y
      → (exists p. path E x p y ∧
          (δ s x < δ s y ∧ (∀ v ∈ set (tl p). δ s x < δ s v)))

    lemma white-path:
      it-dfs ≤ SPEC(λs. ∀ x ∈ reachable. ∀ y ∈ reachable. ¬ is-break param s →
```

```

white-path s x y  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(x,y) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^*$ )
⟨proof⟩
end end

```

```
end
```

1.3 Invariants for SCCs

```

theory DFS-Invars-SCC
imports
  DFS-Invars-Basic
begin

definition scc-root' :: ('v × 'v) set ⇒ ('v,'es) state-scheme ⇒ 'v ⇒ 'v set ⇒ bool
  — v is a root of its scc iff all the discovered parts of the scc can be reached by
  tree edges from v
  where
    scc-root' E s v scc  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-scc E scc
      ∧ v ∈ scc
      ∧ v ∈ dom (discovered s)
      ∧ scc ∩ dom (discovered s) ⊆ (tree-edges s)* “ {v}

context param-DFS-defs begin
abbreviation scc-root ≡ scc-root' E
lemmas scc-root-def = scc-root'-def

lemma scc-rootI:
  assumes is-scc E scc
  and v ∈ dom (discovered s)
  and v ∈ scc
  and scc ∩ dom (discovered s) ⊆ (tree-edges s)* “ {v}
  shows scc-root s v scc
⟨proof⟩

definition scc-roots s = {v. ∃ scc. scc-root s v scc}
end

context DFS-invar begin
lemma scc-root-is-discovered:
  scc-root s v scc  $\implies$  v ∈ dom (discovered s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma scc-root-scc-tree-rtranc:
  assumes scc-root s v scc
  and x ∈ scc x ∈ dom (discovered s)
  shows (v,x) ∈ (tree-edges s)*
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scc-root-scc-reach:
  assumes scc-root s r scc
  and v ∈ scc
  shows (r,v) ∈ E*
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scc-reach-scc-root:
  assumes scc-root s r scc
  and v ∈ scc
  shows (v,r) ∈ E*
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scc-root-scc-tree-tranc:
  assumes scc-root s v scc
  and x ∈ scc x ∈ dom (discovered s) x ≠ v
  shows (v,x) ∈ (tree-edges s)⁺
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scc-root-unique-scc:
  scc-root s v scc ⇒ scc-root s v scc' ⇒ scc = scc'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scc-root-unique-root:
  assumes scc1: scc-root s v scc
  and scc2: scc-root s v' scc
  shows v = v'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scc-root-unique-is-scc:
  assumes scc-root s v scc
  shows scc-root s v (scc-of E v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scc-root-finished-impl-scc-finished:
  assumes v ∈ dom (finished s)
  and scc-root s v scc
  shows scc ⊆ dom (finished s)
  ⟨proof⟩

context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩
lemma scc-root-disc-le:
  assumes scc-root s v scc
  and x ∈ scc x ∈ dom (discovered s)
  shows δ s v ≤ δ s x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scc-root-fin-ge:
  assumes scc-root s v scc
  and v ∈ dom (finished s)

```

and $x \in scc$
shows $\varphi s v \geq \varphi s x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scc-root-is-Min-disc*:
assumes *scc-root s v scc*
shows $Min(\delta s' (scc \cap dom(discovered s))) = \delta s v$ (**is** *Min ?S = -*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Min-disc-is-scc-root*:
assumes $v \in scc$ $v \in dom(discovered s)$
and *is-scc E scc*
and $min: \delta s v = Min(\delta s' (scc \cap dom(discovered s)))$
shows *scc-root s v scc*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scc-root-iff-Min-disc*:
assumes *is-scc E scc r ∈ scc r ∈ dom(discovered s)*
shows $scc-root s r scc \longleftrightarrow Min(\delta s' (scc \cap dom(discovered s))) = \delta s r$ (**is** *?L ↔ ?R*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scc-root-exists*:
assumes *is-scc E scc*
and *scc: scc ∩ dom(discovered s) ≠ {}*
shows $\exists r. scc-root s r scc$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scc-root-of-node-exists*:
assumes $v \in dom(discovered s)$
shows $\exists r. scc-root s r (scc-of E v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scc-root-transfer'*:
assumes $discovered s = discovered s'$ $tree-edges s = tree-edges s'$
shows $scc-root s r scc \longleftrightarrow scc-root s' r scc$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scc-root-transfer*:
assumes *inv: DFS-invar G param s'*
assumes *r-d: r ∈ dom(discovered s)*
assumes *d: dom(discovered s) ⊆ dom(discovered s')*
 $\forall x \in dom(discovered s). \delta s x = \delta s' x$
 $\forall x \in dom(discovered s') - dom(discovered s). \delta s' x \geq counter s$
and *t: tree-edges s ⊆ tree-edges s'*
shows $scc-root s r scc \longleftrightarrow scc-root s' r scc$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end end

```
end
```

1.4 Generic DFS and Refinement

```
theory General-DFS-Structure
imports ..../Param-DFS
begin
```

We define the generic structure of DFS algorithms, and use this to define a notion of refinement between DFS algorithms.

named-theorems *DFS-code-unfold* ‹DFS framework: Unfolding theorems to prepare term for automatic refinement›

```
lemmas [DFS-code-unfold] =
REC-annot-def
GHOST-elim-Let
comp-def
```

1.4.1 Generic DFS Algorithm

```
record ('v,'s) gen-dfs-struct =
gds-init :: 's nres
gds-is-break :: 's ⇒ bool
gds-is-empty-stack :: 's ⇒ bool
gds-new-root :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
gds-get-pending :: 's ⇒ ('v × 'v option × 's) nres
gds-finish :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
gds-is-discovered :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ bool
gds-is-finished :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ bool
gds-back-edge :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
gds-cross-edge :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
gds-discover :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
```

```
locale gen-dfs-defs =
fixes gds :: ('v,'s) gen-dfs-struct
fixes V0 :: 'v set
begin

definition gen-step s ≡
if gds-is-empty-stack gds s then do {
  v0 ← SPEC (λv0. v0 ∈ V0 ∧ ¬gds-is-discovered gds v0 s);
  gds-new-root gds v0 s
} else do {
  (u, Vs, s) ← gds-get-pending gds s;
  case Vs of
```

```

None  $\Rightarrow$  gds-finish gds u s
| Some v  $\Rightarrow$  do {
  if gds-is-discovered gds v s then (
    if gds-is-finished gds v s then
      gds-cross-edge gds u v s
    else
      gds-back-edge gds u v s
  ) else
    gds-discover gds u v s
}
}

definition gen-cond s
 $\equiv (V0 \subseteq \{v. \text{gds-is-discovered gds } v \text{ } s\} \longrightarrow \neg \text{gds-is-empty-stack gds } s)$ 
 $\wedge \neg \text{gds-is-break gds } s$ 

definition gen-dfs
 $\equiv \text{gds-init gds} \gg \text{ WHILE gen-cond gen-step}$ 

definition gen-dfsT
 $\equiv \text{gds-init gds} \gg \text{ WHILET gen-cond gen-step}$ 

abbreviation gen-discovered s  $\equiv \{v . \text{gds-is-discovered gds } v \text{ } s\}$ 

abbreviation gen-rwof  $\equiv \text{rwof (gds-init gds) gen-cond gen-step}$ 

definition pre-new-root v0 s  $\equiv$ 
gen-rwof s  $\wedge$  gds-is-empty-stack gds s  $\wedge$   $\neg \text{gds-is-break gds } s$ 
 $\wedge v0 \in V0 - \text{gen-discovered } s$ 

definition pre-get-pending s  $\equiv$ 
gen-rwof s  $\wedge \neg \text{gds-is-empty-stack gds s}$   $\wedge \neg \text{gds-is-break gds } s$ 

definition post-get-pending u Vs s0 s  $\equiv \text{pre-get-pending s0}$ 
 $\wedge \text{inres (gds-get-pending gds s0) (u, Vs, s)}$ 

definition pre-finish u s0 s  $\equiv \text{post-get-pending u None s0 s}$ 
definition pre-cross-edge u v s0 s  $\equiv$ 
post-get-pending u (Some v) s0 s  $\wedge \text{gds-is-discovered gds } v \text{ } s$ 
 $\wedge \text{gds-is-finished gds } v \text{ } s$ 
definition pre-back-edge u v s0 s  $\equiv$ 
post-get-pending u (Some v) s0 s  $\wedge \text{gds-is-discovered gds } v \text{ } s$ 
 $\wedge \neg \text{gds-is-finished gds } v \text{ } s$ 
definition pre-discover u v s0 s  $\equiv$ 
post-get-pending u (Some v) s0 s  $\wedge \neg \text{gds-is-discovered gds } v \text{ } s$ 

lemmas pre-defs = pre-new-root-def pre-get-pending-def post-get-pending-def
pre-finish-def pre-cross-edge-def pre-back-edge-def pre-discover-def

```

```

definition gen-step-assert  $s \equiv$ 
  if gds-is-empty-stack gds  $s$  then do {
     $v0 \leftarrow SPEC(\lambda v0. v0 \in V0 \wedge \neg gds\text{-is-discovered } gds v0 s);$ 
    ASSERT (pre-new-root  $v0 s$ );
    gds-new-root gds  $v0 s$ 
  } else do {
    ASSERT (pre-get-pending  $s$ );
    let  $s0 = GHOST s$ ;
     $(u, Vs, s) \leftarrow gds\text{-get-pending } gds s$ ;
    case  $Vs$  of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  do { ASSERT (pre-finish  $u s0 s$ ); gds-finish gds  $u s$  }
      | Some  $v \Rightarrow$  do {
        if gds-is-discovered gds  $v s$  then do {
          if gds-is-finished gds  $v s$  then do {
            ASSERT (pre-cross-edge  $u v s0 s$ );
            gds-cross-edge gds  $u v s$ 
          } else do {
            ASSERT (pre-back-edge  $u v s0 s$ );
            gds-back-edge gds  $u v s$ 
          }
        } else do {
          ASSERT (pre-discover  $u v s0 s$ );
          gds-discover gds  $u v s$ 
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```

definition gen-dfs-assert
 $\equiv gds\text{-init } gds \gg WHILE gen\text{-cond gen-step-assert}$

definition gen-dfsT-assert
 $\equiv gds\text{-init } gds \gg WHILET gen\text{-cond gen-step-assert}$

abbreviation gen-rwof-assert $\equiv rwof(gds\text{-init } gds) \text{ gen-cond gen-step-assert}$

lemma gen-step-eq-assert: $\llbracket \text{gen-cond } s; \text{gen-rwof } s \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{gen-step } s = \text{gen-step-assert } s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma gen-dfs-eq-assert: $\text{gen-dfs} = \text{gen-dfs-assert}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma gen-dfsT-eq-assert: $\text{gen-dfsT} = \text{gen-dfsT-assert}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma gen-rwof-eq-assert:
assumes NF: nofail gen-dfs

```

shows gen-rwof = gen-rwof-assert
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-dfs-le-gen-dfsT: gen-dfs ≤ gen-dfsT
⟨proof⟩

end

locale gen-dfs = gen-dfs-defs gds V0
  for gds :: ('v,'s) gen-dfs-struct
  and V0 :: 'v set

record ('v,'s,'es) gen-basic-dfs-struct =
  gbs-init :: 'es ⇒ 's nres
  gbs-is-empty-stack :: 's ⇒ bool
  gbs-new-root :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
  gbs-get-pending :: 's ⇒ ('v × 'v option × 's) nres
  gbs-finish :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
  gbs-is-discovered :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ bool
  gbs-is-finished :: 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ bool
  gbs-back-edge :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
  gbs-cross-edge :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
  gbs-discover :: 'v ⇒ 'v ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres

locale gen-param-dfs-defs =
  fixes gbs :: ('v,'s,'es) gen-basic-dfs-struct
  fixes param :: ('v,'s,'es) gen-parameterization
  fixes upd-ext :: ('es⇒'es) ⇒ 's ⇒ 's
  fixes V0 :: 'v set
begin

definition do-action bf ef s ≡ do {
  s ← bf s;
  e ← ef s;
  RETURN (upd-ext (λ-. e) s)
}

definition do-init ≡ do {
  e ← on-init param;
  gbs-init gbs e
}

definition do-new-root v0

```

```

 $\equiv \text{do-action } (\text{gbs-new-root } gbs \text{ } v0) \text{ } (\text{on-new-root param } v0)$ 

definition do-finish  $u$   

 $\equiv \text{do-action } (\text{gbs-finish } gbs \text{ } u) \text{ } (\text{on-finish param } u)$ 

definition do-back-edge  $u \text{ } v$   

 $\equiv \text{do-action } (\text{gbs-back-edge } gbs \text{ } u \text{ } v) \text{ } (\text{on-back-edge param } u \text{ } v)$ 

definition do-cross-edge  $u \text{ } v$   

 $\equiv \text{do-action } (\text{gbs-cross-edge } gbs \text{ } u \text{ } v) \text{ } (\text{on-cross-edge param } u \text{ } v)$ 

definition do-discover  $u \text{ } v$   

 $\equiv \text{do-action } (\text{gbs-discover } gbs \text{ } u \text{ } v) \text{ } (\text{on-discover param } u \text{ } v)$ 

lemmas do-action-defs[DFS-code-unfold] =  

  do-action-def do-init-def do-new-root-def  

  do-finish-def do-back-edge-def do-cross-edge-def do-discover-def

definition gds  $\equiv ()$   

  gds-init = do-init,  

  gds-is-break = is-break param,  

  gds-is-empty-stack = gbs-is-empty-stack gbs,  

  gds-new-root = do-new-root,  

  gds-get-pending = gbs-get-pending gbs,  

  gds-finish = do-finish,  

  gds-is-discovered = gbs-is-discovered gbs,  

  gds-is-finished = gbs-is-finished gbs,  

  gds-back-edge = do-back-edge,  

  gds-cross-edge = do-cross-edge,  

  gds-discover = do-discover  

()

lemmas gds-simps[simp,DFS-code-unfold]  

= gen-dfs-struct.simps[mk-record-simp, OF gds-def]

sublocale gen-dfs-defs gds V0 {proof}
end

locale gen-param-dfs = gen-param-dfs-defs gbs param upd-ext V0
for gbs :: ('v,'s,'es) gen-basic-dfs-struct
and param :: ('v,'s,'es) gen-parameterization
and upd-ext :: ('es=>'es) => 's => 's
and V0 :: 'v set

context param-DFS-defs begin

definition gbs  $\equiv ()$   

  gbs-init = RETURN o empty-state,  

  gbs-is-empty-stack = is-empty-stack ,

```

```

gbs-new-root = RETURN oo new-root ,
gbs-get-pending = get-pending ,
gbs-finish = RETURN oo finish ,
gbs-is-discovered = is-discovered ,
gbs-is-finished = is-finished ,
gbs-back-edge = RETURN ooo back-edge ,
gbs-cross-edge = RETURN ooo cross-edge ,
gbs-discover = RETURN ooo discover
)

lemmas gbs-simps[simp] = gen-basic-dfs-struct.simps[mk-record-simp, OF gbs-def]

sublocale gen-dfs: gen-param-dfs-defs gbs param state.more-update V0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-cond-simp[simp]: gen-dfs.gen-cond = cond
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-step-simp[simp]: gen-dfs.gen-step = step
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-init-simp[simp]: gen-dfs.do-init = init
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-dfs-simp[simp]: gen-dfs.gen-dfs = it-dfs
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-dfsT-simp[simp]: gen-dfs.gen-dfsT = it-dfsT
⟨proof⟩

end

context param-DFS begin
sublocale gen-dfs: gen-param-dfs gbs param state.more-update V0 ⟨proof⟩
end

```

1.4.2 Refinement Between DFS Implementations

```

locale gen-dfs-refine-defs =
c: gen-dfs-defs gdsi V0i + a: gen-dfs-defs gds V0
for gdsi V0i gds V0

locale gen-dfs-refine =
c: gen-dfs gdsi V0i + a: gen-dfs gds V0 + gen-dfs-refine-defs gdsi V0i gds V0
for gdsi V0i gds V0 +
fixes V S
assumes BIJV[relator-props]: bijective V
assumes V0-param[param]: (V0i, V0) ∈ V set-rel
assumes is-discovered-param[param]:
(gds-is-discovered gdsi, gds-is-discovered gds) ∈ V → S → bool-rel

```

```

assumes is-finished-param[param]:
  (gds-is-finished gdsi,gds-is-finished gds) $\in V \rightarrow S \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 
assumes is-empty-stack-param[param]:
  (gds-is-empty-stack gdsi,gds-is-empty-stack gds) $\in S \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 
assumes is-break-param[param]:
  (gds-is-break gdsi,gds-is-break gds) $\in S \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 
assumes init-refine[refine]:
  gds-init gdsi  $\leq \Downarrow S$  (gds-init gds)
assumes new-root-refine[refine]:
   $\llbracket a.\text{pre-new-root } v0\ s; (v0i, v0) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{gds-new-root } gdsi\ v0i\ si \leq \Downarrow S$  (gds-new-root gds v0 s)
assumes get-pending-refine[refine]:
   $\llbracket a.\text{pre-get-pending } s; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{gds-get-pending } gdsi\ si \leq \Downarrow (V \times_r (V \text{option-rel} \times_r S))$  (gds-get-pending gds s)
assumes finish-refine[refine]:
   $\llbracket a.\text{pre-finish } v\ s0\ s; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{gds-finish } gdsi\ vi\ si \leq \Downarrow S$  (gds-finish gds v s)
assumes cross-edge-refine[refine]:
   $\llbracket a.\text{pre-cross-edge } u\ v\ s0\ s; (ui, u) \in V; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{gds-cross-edge } gdsi\ ui\ vi\ si \leq \Downarrow S$  (gds-cross-edge gds u v s)
assumes back-edge-refine[refine]:
   $\llbracket a.\text{pre-back-edge } u\ v\ s0\ s; (ui, u) \in V; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{gds-back-edge } gdsi\ ui\ vi\ si \leq \Downarrow S$  (gds-back-edge gds u v s)
assumes discover-refine[refine]:
   $\llbracket a.\text{pre-discover } u\ v\ s0\ s; (ui, u) \in V; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{gds-discover } gdsi\ ui\ vi\ si \leq \Downarrow S$  (gds-discover gds u v s)

begin
  term gds-is-discovered gdsi

  lemma select-v0-refine[refine]:
    assumes s-param:  $(si, s) \in S$ 
    shows SPEC ( $\lambda v0. v0 \in V0i \wedge \neg \text{gds-is-discovered gdsi v0 si}$ )
       $\leq \Downarrow V$  (SPEC ( $\lambda v0. v0 \in V0 \wedge \neg \text{gds-is-discovered gds v0 s}$ ))
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

    lemma gen-rwof-refine:
      assumes NF: nofail (a.gen-dfs)
      assumes RW: c.gen-rwof s
      obtains s' where  $(s, s') \in S$  and a.gen-rwof s'
       $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

    lemma gen-step-refine[refine]:  $(si, s) \in S \implies c.\text{gen-step } si \leq \Downarrow S$  (a.gen-step-assert s)
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma gen-dfs-refine[refine]:  $c.\text{gen-dfs} \leq \Downarrow S a.\text{gen-dfs}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma gen-dfsT-refine[refine]:  $c.\text{gen-dfs}T \leq \Downarrow S a.\text{gen-dfs}T$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale gbs-refinement =
   $c: \text{gen-param-dfs } gbsi \text{ parami upd-exti } V0i +$ 
   $a: \text{gen-param-dfs } gbs \text{ param upd-ext } V0$ 
  for  $gbsi \text{ parami upd-exti } V0i \text{ gbs param upd-ext } V0 +$ 
  fixes  $V S ES$ 
  assumes  $BIJV: \text{bijective } V$ 
  assumes  $V0\text{-param[param]}\colon (V0i, V0) \in \langle V \rangle \text{set-rel}$ 

  assumes  $\text{is-discovered-param[param]}\colon$ 
     $(gbs\text{-is-discovered } gbsi, gbs\text{-is-discovered } gbs) \in V \rightarrow S \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 

  assumes  $\text{is-finished-param[param]}\colon$ 
     $(gbs\text{-is-finished } gbsi, gbs\text{-is-finished } gbs) \in V \rightarrow S \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 

  assumes  $\text{is-empty-stack-param[param]}\colon$ 
     $(gbs\text{-is-empty-stack } gbsi, gbs\text{-is-empty-stack } gbs) \in S \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 

  assumes  $\text{is-break-param[param]}\colon$ 
     $(is\text{-break parami}, is\text{-break param}) \in S \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 

  assumes  $\text{gbs-init-refine[refine]}\colon (ei, e) \in ES \implies gbs\text{-init } gbsi ei \leq \Downarrow S (gbs\text{-init } gbs e)$ 

  assumes  $\text{gbs-new-root-refine[refine]}\colon$ 
     $\llbracket a.\text{pre-new-root } v0 s; (v0i, v0) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies gbs\text{-new-root } gbsi v0i si \leq \Downarrow S (gbs\text{-new-root } gbs v0 s)$ 

  assumes  $\text{gbs-get-pending-refine[refine]}\colon$ 
     $\llbracket a.\text{pre-get-pending } s; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies gbs\text{-get-pending } gbsi si$ 
     $\leq \Downarrow (V \times_r \langle V \rangle \text{option-rel} \times_r S) (gbs\text{-get-pending } gbs s)$ 

  assumes  $\text{gbs-finish-refine[refine]}\colon$ 
     $\llbracket a.\text{pre-finish } v s0 s; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies gbs\text{-finish } gbsi vi si \leq \Downarrow S (gbs\text{-finish } gbs v s)$ 

  assumes  $\text{gbs-cross-edge-refine[refine]}\colon$ 

```

```

 $\llbracket a.\text{pre-cross-edge } u v s0 s; (ui, u) \in V; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies gbs\text{-cross-edge } gbsi ui vi si \leq \Downarrow S \text{ (} gbs\text{-cross-edge } gbs u v s \text{)}$ 

assumes gbs-back-edge-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket a.\text{pre-back-edge } u v s0 s; (ui, u) \in V; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies gbs\text{-back-edge } gbsi ui vi si \leq \Downarrow S \text{ (} gbs\text{-back-edge } gbs u v s \text{)}$ 

assumes gbs-discover-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket a.\text{pre-discover } u v s0 s; (ui, u) \in V; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies gbs\text{-discover } gbsi ui vi si \leq \Downarrow S \text{ (} gbs\text{-discover } gbs u v s \text{)}$ 

locale param-refinement =
  c: gen-param-dfs gbsi parami upd-exti V0i +
  a: gen-param-dfs gbs param upd-ext V0
  for gbsi parami upd-exti V0i gbs param upd-ext V0 +
  fixes V S ES
  assumes upd-ext-param[param]: (upd-exti, upd-ext) ∈ (ES → ES) → S → S
  assumes on-init-refine[refine]: on-init parami ≤ ∘ ES (on-init param)
  assumes is-break-param[param]:
    (is-break parami, is-break param) ∈ S → bool-rel
  assumes on-new-root-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket a.\text{pre-new-root } v0 s; (v0i, v0) \in V; (si, s) \in S;$ 
 $(si', s') \in S; nf\text{-inres } (gbs\text{-new-root } gbs v0 s) s' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies on\text{-new-root } parami v0i si' \leq \Downarrow ES \text{ (} on\text{-new-root } param v0 s' \text{)}$ 
  assumes on-finish-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket a.\text{pre-finish } v s0 s; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S; (si', s') \in S;$ 
 $nf\text{-inres } (gbs\text{-finish } gbs v s) s' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies on\text{-finish } parami vi si' \leq \Downarrow ES \text{ (} on\text{-finish } param v s' \text{)}$ 
  assumes on-cross-edge-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket a.\text{pre-cross-edge } u v s0 s; (ui, u) \in V; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S;$ 
 $(si', s') \in S; nf\text{-inres } (gbs\text{-cross-edge } gbs u v s) s' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies on\text{-cross-edge } parami ui vi si' \leq \Downarrow ES \text{ (} on\text{-cross-edge } param u v s' \text{)}$ 
  assumes on-back-edge-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket a.\text{pre-back-edge } u v s0 s; (ui, u) \in V; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S;$ 
 $(si', s') \in S; nf\text{-inres } (gbs\text{-back-edge } gbs u v s) s' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies on\text{-back-edge } parami ui vi si' \leq \Downarrow ES \text{ (} on\text{-back-edge } param u v s' \text{)}$ 
  assumes on-discover-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket a.\text{pre-discover } u v s0 s; (ui, u) \in V; (vi, v) \in V; (si, s) \in S;$ 
 $(si', s') \in S; nf\text{-inres } (gbs\text{-discover } gbs u v s) s' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies on\text{-discover } parami ui vi si' \leq \Downarrow ES \text{ (} on\text{-discover } param u v s' \text{)}$ 

```

```

locale gen-param-dfs-refine-defs =
  c: gen-param-dfs-defs gbsi parami upd-exti V0i +
  a: gen-param-dfs-defs gbs param upd-ext V0
  for gbsi parami upd-exti V0i gbs param upd-ext V0
begin
  sublocale gen-dfs-refine-defs c.gds V0i a.gds V0 <proof>
end

locale gen-param-dfs-refine =
  gbs-refinement where V=V and S=S and ES=ES
  + param-refinement where V=V and S=S and ES=ES
  + gen-param-dfs-refine-defs
  for V :: ('vi×'v) set and S:: ('si×'s) set and ES :: ('esi×'es) set
begin

  sublocale gen-dfs-refine c.gds V0i a.gds V0 V S
  <proof>

end

end

```

1.5 Tail-Recursive Implementation

```

theory Tailrec-Impl
imports General-DFS-Structure
begin

locale tailrec-impl-defs =
  graph-defs G + gen-dfs-defs gds V0
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
  and gds :: ('v,'s)gen-dfs-struct
begin
  definition [DFS-code-unfold]: tr-impl-while-body ≡ λs. do {
    (u,Vs,s) ← gds-get-pending gds s;
    case Vs of
      None ⇒ gds-finish gds u s
    | Some v ⇒ do {
      if gds-is-discovered gds v s then do {
        if gds-is-finished gds v s then
          gds-cross-edge gds u v s
        else
          gds-back-edge gds u v s
      } else
        gds-discover gds u v s
    }
  }

```

definition *tailrec-implT* **where** [DFS-code-unfold]:
tailrec-implT \equiv do {
 s \leftarrow *gds-init gds*;

 FOREACHci
 $(\lambda it\ s.$
 $gen-rwof\ s$
 $\wedge (\neg gds-is-break\ gds\ s \longrightarrow gds-is-empty-stack\ gds\ s)$
 $\wedge V0-it \subseteq gen-discovered\ s)$
 V0
 $(Not\ o\ gds-is-break\ gds)$
 $(\lambda v0\ s.\ do\ {$
 $let\ —\ ghost:\ s0 = s;$
 $if\ gds-is-discovered\ gds\ v0\ s\ then$
 $RETURN\ s$
 $else\ do\ {$
 $s \leftarrow gds-new-root\ gds\ v0\ s;$
 WHILEIT
 $(\lambda s.\ gen-rwof\ s \wedge insert\ v0\ (gen-discovered\ s0) \subseteq gen-discovered\ s)$
 $(\lambda s.\ \neg gds-is-break\ gds\ s \wedge \neg gds-is-empty-stack\ gds\ s)$
 $tr-impl-while-body\ s$
 $\}$
 $\})\ s$
 $\})$
 }

definition *tailrec-impl* **where** [DFS-code-unfold]:
tailrec-impl \equiv do {
 s \leftarrow *gds-init gds*;

 FOREACHci
 $(\lambda it\ s.$
 $gen-rwof\ s$
 $\wedge (\neg gds-is-break\ gds\ s \longrightarrow gds-is-empty-stack\ gds\ s)$
 $\wedge V0-it \subseteq gen-discovered\ s)$
 V0
 $(Not\ o\ gds-is-break\ gds)$
 $(\lambda v0\ s.\ do\ {$
 $let\ —\ ghost:\ s0 = s;$
 $if\ gds-is-discovered\ gds\ v0\ s\ then$
 $RETURN\ s$
 $else\ do\ {$
 $s \leftarrow gds-new-root\ gds\ v0\ s;$
 WHILEI
 $(\lambda s.\ gen-rwof\ s \wedge insert\ v0\ (gen-discovered\ s0) \subseteq gen-discovered\ s)$
 $(\lambda s.\ \neg gds-is-break\ gds\ s \wedge \neg gds-is-empty-stack\ gds\ s)$
 $(\lambda s.\ do\ {$
 $(u, Vs, s) \leftarrow gds-get-pending\ gds\ s;$
 $case\ Vs\ of$
 $None \Rightarrow gds-finish\ gds\ u\ s$

```

| Some v => do {
|   if gds-is-discovered gds v s then do {
|     if gds-is-finished gds v s then
|       gds-cross-edge gds u v s
|     else
|       gds-back-edge gds u v s
|   } else
|     gds-discover gds u v s
| }
| ) s
}
} s
}
}

```

end

Implementation of general DFS with outer foreach-loop

```

nofail (gds-init gds  $\gg=$  WHILE gen-cond gen-step)
begin
  lemma gds-init-refine: gds-init gds
     $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda s. \text{gen-rwof } s \wedge \text{gds-is-empty-stack } gds \ s)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma gds-new-root-refine:
    assumes PNR: pre-new-root v0 s
    shows gds-new-root gds v0 s
       $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda s'. \text{gen-rwof } s'$ 
         $\wedge \text{insert } v0 (\text{gen-discovered } s) \subseteq \text{gen-discovered } s')$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma get-pending-nofail:
    assumes A: pre-get-pending s
    shows nofail (gds-get-pending gds s)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma gds-get-pending-refine:
    assumes PRE: pre-get-pending s
    shows gds-get-pending gds s  $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda(u, Vs, s').$ 
      post-get-pending u Vs s s'
       $\wedge \text{gen-discovered } s \subseteq \text{gen-discovered } s')$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma gds-finish-refine:
    assumes PRE: pre-finish u s0 s
    shows gds-finish gds u s  $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda s'. \text{gen-rwof } s'$ 
       $\wedge \text{gen-discovered } s \subseteq \text{gen-discovered } s')$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma gds-cross-edge-refine:
    assumes PRE: pre-cross-edge u v s0 s
    shows gds-cross-edge gds u v s  $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda s'. \text{gen-rwof } s'$ 
       $\wedge \text{gen-discovered } s \subseteq \text{gen-discovered } s')$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma gds-back-edge-refine:
    assumes PRE: pre-back-edge u v s0 s
    shows gds-back-edge gds u v s  $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda s'. \text{gen-rwof } s'$ 
       $\wedge \text{gen-discovered } s \subseteq \text{gen-discovered } s')$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma gds-discover-refine:
    assumes PRE: pre-discover u v s0 s
    shows gds-discover gds u v s  $\leq \text{SPEC} (\lambda s'. \text{gen-rwof } s'$ 

```

```

 $\wedge \text{gen-discovered } s \subseteq \text{gen-discovered } s')$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma gen-step-disc-incr:
  assumes nofail gen-dfs
  assumes gen-rwof s insert v0 (gen-discovered s0) ⊆ gen-discovered s
  assumes  $\neg \text{gds-is-break gds } s \neg \text{gds-is-empty-stack gds } s$ 
  shows gen-step s ≤ SPEC (λs. insert v0 (gen-discovered s0) ⊆ gen-discovered
s)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem tailrec-impl: tailrec-impl ≤ gen-dfs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tr-impl-while-body-gen-step:
  assumes [simp]:  $\neg \text{gds-is-empty-stack gds } s$ 
  shows tr-impl-while-body s ≤ gen-step s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tailrecT-impl: tailrec-implT ≤ gen-dfsT
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

1.6 Recursive DFS Implementation

```

theory Rec-Impl
imports General-DFS-Structure
begin

locale rec-impl-defs =
  graph-defs G + gen-dfs-defs gds V0
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
  and gds :: ('v,'s)gen-dfs-struct
  +
  fixes pending :: 's ⇒ 'v rel
  fixes stack :: 's ⇒ 'v list
  fixes choose-pending :: 'v ⇒ 'v option ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
begin

  definition gen-step' s ≡ do { ASSERT (gen-rwof s);
    if gds-is-empty-stack gds s then do {
      v0 ← SPEC (λv0. v0 ∈ V0 ∧ ¬ gds-is-discovered gds v0 s);
      gds-new-root gds v0 s
    } else do {

```

```

let  $u = \text{hd}(\text{stack } s)$ ;
 $Vs \leftarrow \text{SELECT } (\lambda v. (u,v) \in \text{pending } s)$ ;
 $s \leftarrow \text{choose-pending } u \text{ } Vs \text{ } s$ ;
case  $Vs$  of
  None  $\Rightarrow$   $\text{gds-finish } gds \text{ } u \text{ } s$ 
| Some  $v \Rightarrow$ 
  if  $\text{gds-is-discovered } gds \text{ } v \text{ } s$ 
  then if  $\text{gds-is-finished } gds \text{ } v \text{ } s$  then  $\text{gds-cross-edge } gds \text{ } u \text{ } v \text{ } s$ 
  else  $\text{gds-back-edge } gds \text{ } u \text{ } v \text{ } s$ 
  else  $\text{gds-discover } gds \text{ } u \text{ } v \text{ } s$ 
}
}

```

definition $\text{gen-dfs}' \equiv \text{gds-init } gds \gg= \text{ WHILE gen-cond gen-step}'$
abbreviation $\text{gen-rwof}' \equiv \text{rwof } (\text{gds-init } gds) \text{ } \text{gen-cond gen-step}'$

definition rec-impl **where** [DFS-code-unfold]:

```

 $\text{rec-impl} \equiv \text{do } \{$ 
 $s \leftarrow \text{gds-init } gds;$ 

```

```

 $\text{FOREACHci}$ 
 $(\lambda it \text{ } s.$ 
 $\quad \text{gen-rwof}' \text{ } s$ 
 $\quad \wedge (\neg \text{gds-is-break } gds \text{ } s \longrightarrow \text{gds-is-empty-stack } gds \text{ } s$ 
 $\quad \quad \wedge V0 - it \subseteq \text{gen-discovered } s))$ 
 $V0$ 
 $(\text{Not o } \text{gds-is-break } gds)$ 
 $(\lambda v0 \text{ } s. \text{ do } \{$ 
 $\quad \text{let } s0 = \text{HOST } s;$ 
 $\quad \text{if } \text{gds-is-discovered } gds \text{ } v0 \text{ } s \text{ then}$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{RETURN } s$ 
 $\quad \text{else do } \{$ 
 $\quad \quad s \leftarrow \text{gds-new-root } gds \text{ } v0 \text{ } s;$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{if } \text{gds-is-break } gds \text{ } s \text{ then}$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{RETURN } s$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{else do } \{$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{REC-annot}$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad (\lambda(u,s). \text{ gen-rwof}' \text{ } s \wedge \neg \text{gds-is-break } gds \text{ } s$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \wedge (\exists \text{stk}. \text{ stack } s = u \# \text{stk})$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \wedge E \cap \{u\} \times \text{UNIV} \subseteq \text{pending } s)$ 
 $\quad \quad (\lambda(u,s) \text{ } s'.$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{gen-rwof}' \text{ } s'$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \wedge (\neg \text{gds-is-break } gds \text{ } s' \longrightarrow$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{stack } s' = \text{tl } (\text{stack } s)$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \wedge \text{pending } s' = \text{pending } s - \{u\} \times \text{UNIV}$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \wedge \text{gen-discovered } s' \supseteq \text{gen-discovered } s$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad ))$ 
 $\quad \quad (\lambda D \text{ } (u,s). \text{ do } \{$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad s \leftarrow \text{FOREACHci}$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad (\lambda it \text{ } s'. \text{ gen-rwof}' \text{ } s'$ 

```

```

 $\wedge (\neg gds\text{-}is\text{-}break gds s' \longrightarrow$ 
 $stack s' = stack s$ 
 $\wedge pending s' = (pending s - \{u\} \times (E^{\{u\}} - it))$ 
 $\wedge gen\text{-}discovered s' \supseteq gen\text{-}discovered s \cup (E^{\{u\}} - it)$ 
 $))$ 
 $(E^{\{u\}}) (\lambda s. \neg gds\text{-}is\text{-}break gds s)$ 
 $(\lambda v s. do \{$ 
 $s \leftarrow choose\text{-}pending u (Some v) s;$ 
 $if gds\text{-}is\text{-}discovered gds v s then do \{$ 
 $if gds\text{-}is\text{-}finished gds v s then$ 
 $gds\text{-}cross\text{-}edge gds u v s$ 
 $else$ 
 $gds\text{-}back\text{-}edge gds u v s$ 
 $\} else do \{$ 
 $s \leftarrow gds\text{-}discover gds u v s;$ 
 $if gds\text{-}is\text{-}break gds s then RETURN s else D (v,s)$ 
 $\}$ 
 $)$ 
 $s;$ 
 $if gds\text{-}is\text{-}break gds s then$ 
 $RETURN s$ 
 $else do \{$ 
 $s \leftarrow choose\text{-}pending u (None) s;$ 
 $s \leftarrow gds\text{-}finish gds u s;$ 
 $RETURN s$ 
 $\}$ 
 $) (v0, s)$ 
 $\}$ 
 $) s$ 
 $\}$ 

```

definition *rec-impl-for-paper* **where** *rec-impl-for-paper* \equiv *do* {
 $s \leftarrow gds\text{-}init gds;$
 $FOREACHc V0 (Not o gds\text{-}is\text{-}break gds) (\lambda v0 s. do \{$
 $if gds\text{-}is\text{-}discovered gds v0 s then RETURN s$
 $else do \{$
 $s \leftarrow gds\text{-}new\text{-}root gds v0 s;$
 $if gds\text{-}is\text{-}break gds s then RETURN s$
 $else do \{$
 $REC (\lambda D (u, s). do \{$
 $s \leftarrow FOREACHc (E^{\{u\}}) (\lambda s. \neg gds\text{-}is\text{-}break gds s) (\lambda v s. do \{$
 $s \leftarrow choose\text{-}pending u (Some v) s;$
 $if gds\text{-}is\text{-}discovered gds v s then do \{$
 $if gds\text{-}is\text{-}finished gds v s then gds\text{-}cross\text{-}edge gds u v s$
 $else gds\text{-}back\text{-}edge gds u v s$
 $\} else do \{$
 $s \leftarrow gds\text{-}discover gds u v s;$
 $if gds\text{-}is\text{-}break gds s then RETURN s else D (v, s)$

```

        }
    })
    s;
    if gds-is-break gds s then RETURN s
    else do {
        s ← choose-pending u (None) s;
        gds-finish gds u s
    }
}
} (v0,s)
}
}s
}

end

locale rec-impl =
fb-graph G + gen-dfs gds V0 + rec-impl-defs G gds pending stack choose-pending
for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
and gds :: ('v,'s)gen-dfs-struct
and pending :: 's ⇒ 'v rel
and stack :: 's ⇒ 'v list
and choose-pending :: 'v ⇒ 'v option ⇒ 's ⇒ 's nres
+
assumes [simp]: gds-is-empty-stack gds s ⇔ stack s = []
assumes init-spec:
gds-init gds ≤n SPEC (λs. stack s = [] ∧ pending s = {})
assumes new-root-spec:
[pre-new-root v0 s]
⇒ gds-new-root gds v0 s ≤n SPEC (λs'.
stack s' = [v0] ∧ pending s' = {v0} × E“{v0} ∧
gen-discovered s' = insert v0 (gen-discovered s))

assumes get-pending-fmt: [ pre-get-pending s ] ⇒
do {
let u = hd (stack s);
vo ← SELECT (λv. (u,v) ∈ pending s);
s ← choose-pending u vo s;
RETURN (u,vo,s)
}
≤ gds-get-pending gds s

assumes choose-pending-spec: [pre-get-pending s; u = hd (stack s);
case vo of
None ⇒ pending s “ {u} = {}
| Some v ⇒ v ∈ pending s “ {u}
] ⇒
choose-pending u vo s ≤n SPEC (λs'.

```

```

(case vo of
  None  $\Rightarrow$  pending  $s' = \text{pending } s$ 
  | Some  $v$   $\Rightarrow$  pending  $s' = \text{pending } s - \{(u,v)\} \wedge$ 
    stack  $s' = \text{stack } s \wedge$ 
    ( $\forall x. \text{gds-is-discovered gds } x \ s' = \text{gds-is-discovered gds } x \ s)$ 
     $\wedge \forall u \in \text{stack } s. \forall v \in \text{stack } s'. \neg (u, v) \in E$ 
)
assumes finish-spec:  $\llbracket \text{pre-finish } u \ s0 \ s \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{gds-finish gds } u \ s \leq_n \text{SPEC } (\lambda s'.$ 
  pending  $s' = \text{pending } s \wedge$ 
  stack  $s' = \text{tl } (\text{stack } s) \wedge$ 
  ( $\forall x. \text{gds-is-discovered gds } x \ s' = \text{gds-is-discovered gds } x \ s)$ )
assumes cross-edge-spec: pre-cross-edge  $u \ v \ s0 \ s$ 
 $\implies \text{gds-cross-edge gds } u \ v \ s \leq_n \text{SPEC } (\lambda s'.$ 
  pending  $s' = \text{pending } s \wedge \text{stack } s' = \text{stack } s \wedge$ 
  ( $\forall x. \text{gds-is-discovered gds } x \ s' = \text{gds-is-discovered gds } x \ s)$ )
assumes back-edge-spec: pre-back-edge  $u \ v \ s0 \ s$ 
 $\implies \text{gds-back-edge gds } u \ v \ s \leq_n \text{SPEC } (\lambda s'.$ 
  pending  $s' = \text{pending } s \wedge \text{stack } s' = \text{stack } s \wedge$ 
  ( $\forall x. \text{gds-is-discovered gds } x \ s' = \text{gds-is-discovered gds } x \ s)$ )
assumes discover-spec: pre-discover  $u \ v \ s0 \ s$ 
 $\implies \text{gds-discover gds } u \ v \ s \leq_n \text{SPEC } (\lambda s'.$ 
  pending  $s' = \text{pending } s \cup (\{v\} \times E - \{v\}) \wedge \text{stack } s' = v \# \text{stack } s \wedge$ 
  gen-discovered  $s' = \text{insert } v \ (\text{gen-discovered } s)$ )

```

begin

lemma gen-step'-refine:
 $\llbracket \text{gen-rwof } s; \text{gen-cond } s \rrbracket \implies \text{gen-step}' \ s \leq \text{gen-step } s$
(proof)

lemma gen-dfs'-refine: $\text{gen-dfs}' \leq \text{gen-dfs}$
(proof)

lemma gen-rwof'-imp-rwof:
assumes NF: nofail gen-dfs
assumes A: gen-rwof' s
shows gen-rwof s
(proof)

lemma reachable-invar:
 $\text{gen-rwof}' \ s \implies \text{set } (\text{stack } s) \subseteq \text{reachable} \wedge \text{pending } s \subseteq E$
 $\wedge \text{set } (\text{stack } s) \subseteq \text{gen-discovered } s \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{stack } s)$
 $\wedge \text{pending } s \subseteq \text{set } (\text{stack } s) \times \text{UNIV}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mk-spec-aux*:

$\llbracket m \leq_n SPEC \Phi; m \leq SPEC gen-rwof' \rrbracket \implies m \leq SPEC (\lambda s. gen-rwof' s \wedge \Phi$
 $s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *post-choose-pending u vo s0 s* \equiv

$gen-rwof' s0$
 $\wedge gen-cond s0$
 $\wedge stack s0 \neq []$
 $\wedge u = hd (stack s0)$
 $\wedge inres (choose-pending u vo s0) s$
 $\wedge stack s = stack s0$
 $\wedge (\forall x. gds-is-discovered gds x s = gds-is-discovered gds x s0)$
 $\wedge \dots$
 $\wedge (case vo of$
 $None \Rightarrow pending s0 `` \{u\} = \{ \} \wedge pending s = pending s0$
 $| Some v \Rightarrow v \in pending s0 `` \{u\} \wedge pending s = pending s0 - \{(u,v)\})$

context

assumes *nofail*:

nofail (*gds-init* *gds* \ggg WHILE *gen-cond* *gen-step*)

assumes *nofail2*:

nofail (*gen-dfs*)

begin

lemma *pcp-imp-pgp*:

post-choose-pending u vo s0 s \implies *post-get-pending u vo s0 s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

schematic-goal *gds-init-refine*: ?prop

$\langle proof \rangle$

schematic-goal *gds-new-root-refine*:

$\llbracket pre-new-root v0 s; gen-rwof' s \rrbracket \implies gds-new-root gds v0 s \leq SPEC ?\Phi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic-goal *gds-choose-pending-refine*:

assumes 1: *pre-get-pending s*

assumes 2: *gen-rwof' s*

assumes [simp]: *u = hd (stack s)*

assumes 3: *case vo of*

None \Rightarrow *pending s* “ $\{u\} = \{ \}$

$| Some v \Rightarrow v \in pending s `` \{u\}$

shows *choose-pending u vo s* $\leq SPEC (post-choose-pending u vo s)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

schematic-goal *gds-finish-refine*:

```

   $\llbracket \text{pre-finish } u \text{ } s0 \text{ } s; \text{post-choose-pending } u \text{ } \text{None} \text{ } s0 \text{ } s \rrbracket \implies \text{gds-finish } gds \text{ } u \text{ } s \leq \text{SPEC } ?\Phi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

schematic-goal *gds-cross-edge-refine*:

```

   $\llbracket \text{pre-cross-edge } u \text{ } v \text{ } s0 \text{ } s; \text{post-choose-pending } u \text{ } (\text{Some } v) \text{ } s0 \text{ } s \rrbracket \implies \text{gds-cross-edge}$ 
   $gds \text{ } u \text{ } v \text{ } s \leq \text{SPEC } ?\Phi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

schematic-goal *gds-back-edge-refine*:

```

   $\llbracket \text{pre-back-edge } u \text{ } v \text{ } s0 \text{ } s; \text{post-choose-pending } u \text{ } (\text{Some } v) \text{ } s0 \text{ } s \rrbracket \implies \text{gds-back-edge}$ 
   $gds \text{ } u \text{ } v \text{ } s \leq \text{SPEC } ?\Phi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

schematic-goal *gds-discover-refine*:

```

   $\llbracket \text{pre-discover } u \text{ } v \text{ } s0 \text{ } s; \text{post-choose-pending } u \text{ } (\text{Some } v) \text{ } s0 \text{ } s \rrbracket \implies \text{gds-discover}$ 
   $gds \text{ } u \text{ } v \text{ } s \leq \text{SPEC } ?\Phi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

```

```

lemma rec-impl-aux:  $\llbracket \text{xd} \notin \text{Domain } P \rrbracket \implies P - \{y\} \times (\text{succ } y - \text{ita}) - \{(y, xd)\} - \{xd\} \times \text{UNIV} =$ 
 $P - \text{insert } (y, \text{xd}) (\{y\} \times (\text{succ } y - \text{ita}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma rec-impl: rec-impl  $\leq$  gen-dfs
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

end

1.7 Simple Data Structures

```

theory Simple-Impl
imports
  ..../Structural/Rec-Impl
  ..../Structural/Tailrec-Impl
begin

```

We provide some very basic data structures to implement the DFS state

1.7.1 Stack, Pending Stack, and Visited Set

```

record 'v simple-state =
  ss-stack :: ('v  $\times$  'v set) list
  on-stack :: 'v set

```

visited :: '*v* set

definition [to-relAPP]: *simple-state-rel* *erel* $\equiv \{ (s, s') .$
 $ss\text{-stack } s = map (\lambda u. (u, pending s' `` \{u\})) (stack s') \wedge$
 $on\text{-stack } s = set (stack s') \wedge$
 $visited s = dom (discovered s') \wedge$
 $dom (finished s') = dom (discovered s') - set (stack s') \wedge$ — TODO: Hmm, this
is an invariant of the abstract
 $set (stack s') \subseteq dom (discovered s') \wedge$
 $(simple\text{-state}.more s, state.more s') \in erel$
 $\}$

lemma *simple-state-relI*:

assumes

$dom (finished s') = dom (discovered s') - set (stack s')$

$set (stack s') \subseteq dom (discovered s')$

$(m', state.more s') \in erel$

shows (()

$ss\text{-stack} = map (\lambda u. (u, pending s' `` \{u\})) (stack s'),$

$on\text{-stack} = set (stack s'),$

$visited = dom (discovered s'),$

$\dots = m'$

$\emptyset, s' \in \langle erel \rangle simple\text{-state-rel}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *simple-state-more-refine*[param]:

$(simple\text{-state}.more\text{-update}, state.more\text{-update})$

$\in (R \rightarrow R) \rightarrow \langle R \rangle simple\text{-state-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle simple\text{-state-rel}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

We outsource the definitions in a separate locale, as we want to re-use them for similar implementations

locale *pre-simple-impl* = *graph-defs*
begin

definition *init-impl* *e*

$\equiv RETURN (\ ss\text{-stack} = [], on\text{-stack} = \{\}, visited = \{\}, \dots = e \)$

definition *is-empty-stack-impl* *s* $\equiv (ss\text{-stack } s = [])$

definition *is-discovered-impl* *u s* $\equiv (u \in visited s)$

definition *is-finished-impl* *u s* $\equiv (u \in visited s - (on\text{-stack } s))$

definition *finish-impl* *u s* $\equiv do \{$

ASSERT ($ss\text{-stack } s \neq [] \wedge u \in on\text{-stack } s$);

let *s* = *s*(|*ss-stack* := *tl* (*ss-stack s*));

let *s* = *s*(|*on-stack* := *on-stack s* - {*u*}|);

RETURN *s*

$\}$

```

definition get-pending-impl  $s \equiv$  do {
  ASSERT ( $ss\text{-stack } s \neq []$ );
  let  $(u, Vs) = hd (ss\text{-stack } s)$ ;
  if  $Vs = []$  then
    RETURN  $(u, None, s)$ 
  else do {
     $v \leftarrow SPEC (\lambda v. v \in Vs)$ ;
    let  $Vs = Vs - \{v\}$ ;
    let  $s = s[] ss\text{-stack} := (u, Vs) \# tl (ss\text{-stack } s) []$ ;
    RETURN  $(u, Some v, s)$ 
  }
}

definition discover-impl  $u v s \equiv$  do {
  ASSERT ( $v \notin on\text{-stack } s \wedge v \notin visited s$ );
  let  $s = s[] ss\text{-stack} := (v, E^{\{v\}}) \# ss\text{-stack } s []$ ;
  let  $s = s[] on\text{-stack} := insert v (on\text{-stack } s) []$ ;
  let  $s = s[] visited := insert v (visited s) []$ ;
  RETURN  $s$ 
}

definition new-root-impl  $v0 s \equiv$  do {
  ASSERT ( $v0 \notin visited s$ );
  let  $s = s[] ss\text{-stack} := [(v0, E^{\{v0\}})] []$ ;
  let  $s = s[] on\text{-stack} := \{v0\} []$ ;
  let  $s = s[] visited := insert v0 (visited s) []$ ;
  RETURN  $s$ 
}

definition gbs  $\equiv$  (
  gbs-init = init-impl,
  gbs-is-empty-stack = is-empty-stack-impl ,
  gbs-new-root = new-root-impl ,
  gbs-get-pending = get-pending-impl ,
  gbs-finish = finish-impl ,
  gbs-is-discovered = is-discovered-impl ,
  gbs-is-finished = is-finished-impl ,
  gbs-back-edge =  $(\lambda u v s. RETURN s)$  ,
  gbs-cross-edge =  $(\lambda u v s. RETURN s)$  ,
  gbs-discover = discover-impl
)

lemmas gbs-simps[simp, DFS-code-unfold] = gen-basic-dfs-struct.simps[mk-record-simp,
OF gbs-def]

lemmas impl-defs[DFS-code-unfold]
= init-impl-def is-empty-stack-impl-def new-root-impl-def
get-pending-impl-def finish-impl-def is-discovered-impl-def
is-finished-impl-def discover-impl-def

```

```
end
```

Simple implementation of a DFS. This locale assumes a refinement of the parameters, and provides an implementation via a stack and a visited set.

```
locale simple-impl-defs =
  a: param-DFS-defs G param
  + c: pre-simple-impl
  + gen-param-dfs-refine-defs
  where gbsi = c.gbs
  and gbs = a.gbs
  and upd-exti = simple-state.more-update
  and upd-ext = state.more-update
  and V0i = a.V0
  and V0 = a.V0
begin

  sublocale tailrec-impl-defs G c.gds <proof>

  definition get-pending s ≡ ⋃(set (map (λ(u, Vs). {u} × Vs) (ss-stack s)))
  definition get-stack s ≡ map fst (ss-stack s)
  definition choose-pending
    :: 'v ⇒ 'v option ⇒ ('v, 'd) simple-state-scheme ⇒ ('v, 'd) simple-state-scheme
  nres
    where [DFS-code-unfold]:
  choose-pending u vo s ≡
    case vo of
      None ⇒ RETURN s
    | Some v ⇒ do {
      ASSERT (ss-stack s ≠ []);
      let (u, Vs) = hd (ss-stack s);
      RETURN (s⟨ ss-stack := (u, Vs - {v}) # tl (ss-stack s) ⟩)
    }
  sublocale rec-impl-defs G c.gds get-pending get-stack choose-pending <proof>
end

locale simple-impl =
  a: param-DFS
  + simple-impl-defs
  + param-refinement
  where gbsi = c.gbs
  and gbs = a.gbs
  and upd-exti = simple-state.more-update
  and upd-ext = state.more-update
  and V0i = a.V0
  and V0 = a.V0
```

and $V=Id$
and $S = \langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel$
begin

lemma *init-impl*: $(ei, e) \in ES \implies c.init-impl ei \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel) (RETURN (a.empty-state e))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *new-root-impl*:
 $\llbracket a.gen-dfs.pre-new-root v0 s; (v0i, v0) \in Id; (si, s) \in \langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel \rrbracket$
 $\implies c.new-root-impl v0 si \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel) (RETURN (a.new-root v0 s))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-pending-impl*:
 $\llbracket a.gen-dfs.pre-get-pending s; (si, s) \in \langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel \rrbracket$
 $\implies c.get-pending-impl si \leq \Downarrow(Id \times_r Id \times_r \langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel) (a.get-pending s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inres-get-pending-None-conv*: $inres (a.get-pending s0) (v, None, s) \longleftrightarrow s=s0 \wedge v=hd(stack s0) \wedge pending s0 - \{hd(stack s0)\} = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inres-get-pending-Some-conv*: $inres (a.get-pending s0) (v, Some Vs, s) \longleftrightarrow v = hd(stack s) \wedge s = s0 \{pending := pending s0 - \{(hd(stack s0), Vs)\}\} \wedge (hd(stack s0), Vs) \in pending s0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finish-impl*:
 $\llbracket a.gen-dfs.pre-finish v s0 s; (vi, v) \in Id; (si, s) \in \langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel \rrbracket$
 $\implies c.finish-impl v si \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel) (RETURN (a.finish v s))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cross-edge-impl*:
 $\llbracket a.gen-dfs.pre-cross-edge u v s0 s; (ui, u) \in Id; (vi, v) \in Id; (si, s) \in \langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel \rrbracket$
 $\implies (si, a.cross-edge u v s) \in \langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *back-edge-impl*:
 $\llbracket a.gen-dfs.pre-back-edge u v s0 s; (ui, u) \in Id; (vi, v) \in Id; (si, s) \in \langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel \rrbracket$
 $\implies (si, a.back-edge u v s) \in \langle ES \rangle simple-state-rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *discover-impl*:

```

 $\llbracket a.gen\text{-}dfs.\text{pre-discover } u \ v \ s0 \ s; (ui, u) \in Id; (vi, v) \in Id; (si, s) \in \langle ES \rangle \text{simple-state-rel} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies c.\text{discover-impl } ui \ vi \ si \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle \text{simple-state-rel}) \text{ (RETURN } (a.\text{discover } u$ 
 $v \ s))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

sublocale gen-param-dfs-refine
  where gbsi = c.gbs
  and gbs = a.gbs
  and upd-exti = simple-state.more-update
  and upd-ext = state.more-update
  and V0i = a.V0
  and V0 = a.V0
  and V = Id
  and S = ⟨ES⟩simple-state-rel
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Main outcome of this locale: The simple DFS-Algorithm, which is a general DFS scheme itself (and thus open to further refinements), and a refinement theorem that states correct refinement of the original DFS

```

lemma simple-refine[refine]:  $c.\text{gen-dfs} \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle \text{simple-state-rel}) \ a.\text{it-dfs}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma simple-refineT[refine]:  $c.\text{gen-dfsT} \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle \text{simple-state-rel}) \ a.\text{it-dfsT}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Link with tail-recursive implementation

```

sublocale tailrec-impl G c.gds
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma simple-tailrec-refine[refine]:  $\text{tailrec-impl} \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle \text{simple-state-rel}) \ a.\text{it-dfs}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma simple-tailrecT-refine[refine]:  $\text{tailrec-implT} \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle \text{simple-state-rel}) \ a.\text{it-dfsT}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Link to recursive implementation

```

lemma reachable-invar:
  assumes c.gen-rwof s
  shows set (map fst (ss-stack s)) ⊆ visited s
     $\wedge$  distinct (map fst (ss-stack s))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

sublocale rec-impl G c.gds get-pending get-stack choose-pending
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma simple-rec-refine[refine]:  $\text{rec-impl} \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle \text{simple-state-rel}) \ a.\text{it-dfs}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

end

Autoref Setup

record ('si,'nsi)simple-state-impl =
  ss-stack-impl :: 'si
  ss-on-stack-impl :: 'nsi
  ss-visited-impl :: 'nsi

definition [to-relAPP]: ss-impl-rel s-rel vis-rel erel ≡
  {((ss-stack-impl = si, ss-on-stack-impl = osi, ss-visited-impl = visi, ... = mi),
   (ss-stack = s, on-stack = os, visited = vis, ... = m) |
   si osi visi mi s os vis m.
   (si, s) ∈ s-rel ∧
   (osi, os) ∈ vis-rel ∧
   (visi, vis) ∈ vis-rel ∧
   (mi, m) ∈ erel
  }

consts
  i-simple-state :: interface ⇒ interface ⇒ interface ⇒ interface

lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of ss-impl-rel i-simple-state]

term simple-state-ext

lemma [autoref-rules, param]:
  fixes s-rel ps-rel vis-rel erel
  defines R ≡ ⟨s-rel,vis-rel,erelss-impl-rel
  shows
    (ss-stack-impl, ss-stack) ∈ R → s-rel
    (ss-on-stack-impl, on-stack) ∈ R → vis-rel
    (ss-visited-impl, visited) ∈ R → vis-rel
    (simple-state-impl.more, simple-state.more) ∈ R → erel
    (ss-stack-impl-update, ss-stack-update) ∈ (s-rel → s-rel) → R → R
    (ss-on-stack-impl-update, on-stack-update) ∈ (vis-rel → vis-rel) → R → R
    (ss-visited-impl-update, visited-update) ∈ (vis-rel → vis-rel) → R → R
    (simple-state-impl.more-update, simple-state.more-update) ∈ (erel → erel) → R
    → R
    (simple-state-impl-ext, simple-state-ext) ∈ s-rel → vis-rel → vis-rel → erel → R
    ⟨proof⟩

```

1.7.2 Simple state without on-stack

We can further refine the simple implementation and drop the on-stack set

```

record ('si,'nsi)simple-state-nos-impl =
  ssnos-stack-impl :: 'si
  ssnos-visited-impl :: 'nsi

```

```

definition [to-relAPP]: ssnos-impl-rel s-rel vis-rel erel ≡
{((ssnos-stack-impl = si, ssnos-visited-impl = visi, ... = mi),
 (ss-stack = s, on-stack = os, visited = vis, ... = m)) |
 si visi mi s os vis m.
 (si, s) ∈ s-rel ∧
 (visi, vis) ∈ vis-rel ∧
 (mi, m) ∈ erel
}

lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of ssnos-impl-rel i-simple-state]

definition op-nos-on-stack-update
:: (- set ⇒ - set) ⇒ (-,-)simple-state-scheme ⇒ -
where op-nos-on-stack-update ≡ on-stack-update

context begin interpretation autoref-syn ⟨proof⟩
lemma [autoref-op-pat-def]: op-nos-on-stack-update f s
≡ OP (op-nos-on-stack-update f)$s ⟨proof⟩

end

lemmas ssnos-unfolds — To be unfolded before autoref when using ssnos-impl-rel
= op-nos-on-stack-update-def[symmetric]

lemma [autoref-rules, param]:
fixes s-rel vis-rel erel
defines R ≡ ⟨s-rel,vis-rel,erel⟩ssnos-impl-rel
shows
(ssnos-stack-impl, ss-stack) ∈ R → s-rel
(ssnos-visited-impl, visited) ∈ R → vis-rel
(simple-state-nos-impl.more, simple-state.more) ∈ R → erel
(ssnos-stack-impl-update, ss-stack-update) ∈ (s-rel → s-rel) → R → R
(λx. x, op-nos-on-stack-update f) ∈ R → R
(ssnos-visited-impl-update, visited-update) ∈ (vis-rel → vis-rel) → R → R
(simple-state-nos-impl.more-update, simple-state.more-update) ∈ (erel → erel) →
R → R
(λns - ps vs. simple-state-nos-impl-ext ns ps vs, simple-state-ext)
∈ s-rel → ANY-rel → vis-rel → erel → R
⟨proof⟩

```

1.7.3 Simple state without stack and on-stack

Even further refinement yields an implementation without a stack. Note that this only works for structural implementations that provide their own stack (e.g., recursive)!

```

record ('si,'nsi)simple-state-ns-impl =
ssns-visited-impl :: 'nsi

```

```

definition [to-relAPP]: ssns-impl-rel (R::('a×'b) set) vis-rel erel ≡

```

```

{((ssns-visited-impl = visi, ... = mi),
 (ss-stack = s, on-stack = os, visited = vis, ... = m)) |
 visi mi s os vis m.
 (visi, vis) ∈ vis-rel ∧
 (mi, m) ∈ erel
}

lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of ssns-impl-rel i-simple-state]

definition op-ns-on-stack-update
 :: (- set ⇒ - set) ⇒ (-,-)simple-state-scheme ⇒ -
 where op-ns-on-stack-update ≡ on-stack-update

definition op-ns-stack-update
 :: (- list ⇒ - list) ⇒ (-,-)simple-state-scheme ⇒ -
 where op-ns-stack-update ≡ ss-stack-update

context begin interpretation autoref-syn ⟨proof⟩
lemma [autoref-op-pat-def]: op-ns-on-stack-update f s
 ≡ OP (op-ns-on-stack-update f)$s ⟨proof⟩

lemma [autoref-op-pat-def]: op-ns-stack-update f s
 ≡ OP (op-ns-stack-update f)$s ⟨proof⟩

end

context simple-impl-defs begin
thm choose-pending-def[unfolded op-ns-stack-update-def[symmetric], no-vars]

lemma choose-pending-ns-unfold: choose-pending u vo s = (
  case vo of None ⇒ RETURN s
  | Some v ⇒ do {
    - ← ASSERT (ss-stack s ≠ []);
    RETURN
    (op-ns-stack-update
     (let
      (u, Vs) = hd (ss-stack s)
      in (λ-. (u, Vs - {v}) # tl (ss-stack s))
     )
     s
    )
   })
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas ssns-unfolds — To be unfolded before autoref when using ssns-impl-rel.
Attention: This lemma conflicts with the standard unfolding lemma in DFS-code-unfold,
so has to be placed first in an unfold-statement!
= op-ns-on-stack-update-def[symmetric] op-ns-stack-update-def[symmetric]

```

```

choose-pending-ns-unfold

end

lemma [autoref-rules, param]:
  fixes s-rel vis-rel erel ANY-rel
  defines R  $\equiv \langle \text{ANY-rel}, \text{vis-rel}, \text{erel} \rangle ssns\text{-impl-rel}$ 
  shows
     $(ssns\text{-visited-impl}, \text{visited}) \in R \rightarrow \text{vis-rel}$ 
     $(\text{simple-state-ns-impl.more}, \text{simple-state.more}) \in R \rightarrow \text{erel}$ 
     $\bigwedge f. (\lambda x. x, \text{op-ns-stack-update } f) \in R \rightarrow R$ 
     $\bigwedge f. (\lambda x. x, \text{op-ns-on-stack-update } f) \in R \rightarrow R$ 
     $(ssns\text{-visited-impl-update}, \text{visited-update}) \in (\text{vis-rel} \rightarrow \text{vis-rel}) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R$ 
     $(\text{simple-state-ns-impl.more-update}, \text{simple-state.more-update}) \in (\text{erel} \rightarrow \text{erel}) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R$ 
     $(\lambda \cdot \text{ - ps vs. simple-state-ns-impl-ext ps vs, simple-state-ext})$ 
       $\in \text{ANY1-rel} \rightarrow \text{ANY2-rel} \rightarrow \text{vis-rel} \rightarrow \text{erel} \rightarrow R$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma [refine-transfer-post-simp]:
   $\bigwedge a m. a(\text{simple-state-nos-impl.more} := m::\text{unit}) = a$ 
   $\bigwedge a m. a(\text{simple-state-impl.more} := m::\text{unit}) = a$ 
   $\bigwedge a m. a(\text{simple-state-ns-impl.more} := m::\text{unit}) = a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

1.8 Restricting Nodes by Pre-Initializing Visited Set

```

theory Restr-Impl
imports Simple-Impl
begin

```

Implementation of node and edge restriction via pre-initialized visited set. We now further refine the simple implementation in case that the graph has the form $G' = (\text{rel-restrict } E R, V0 - R)$ for some *fb-graph* $G = (E, V0)$. If, additionally, the parameterization is not "too sensitive" to the visited set, we can pre-initialize the visited set with R , and use the $V0$ and E of G . This may be a more efficient implementation than explicitly restricting $V0$ and E , as it saves additional membership queries in R on each successor function call.

Moreover, in applications where the restriction is updated between multiple calls, we can use one linearly accessed restriction set.

```

definition restr-rel R  $\equiv \{ (s, s') .$ 

```

```

(ss-stack s, ss-stack s') ∈⟨Id ×r {(U, U')} . U - R = U'⟩ list-rel
∧ on-stack s = on-stack s'
∧ visited s = visited s' ∪ R ∧ visited s' ∩ R = {}
∧ simple-state.more s = simple-state.more s' }

lemma restr-rel-simps:
assumes (s,s') ∈ restr-rel R
shows visited s = visited s' ∪ R
and simple-state.more s = simple-state.more s'
⟨proof⟩

lemma
assumes (s,s') ∈ restr-rel R
shows restr-rel-stackD: (ss-stack s, ss-stack s') ∈ ⟨Id ×r {(U, U')} . U - R = U'⟩ list-rel
and restr-rel-vis-djD: visited s' ∩ R = {}
⟨proof⟩

context fixes R :: 'v set begin
definition [to-relAPP]: restr-simple-state-rel ES ≡ { (s,s') .
(ss-stack s, map (λu. (u,pending s' “{u}))) (stack s'))
∈⟨Id ×r {(U, U')} . U - R = U'⟩ list-rel ∧
on-stack s = set (stack s') ∧
visited s = dom (discovered s') ∪ R ∧ dom (discovered s') ∩ R = {} ∧
dom (finished s') = dom (discovered s') - set (stack s') ∧
set (stack s') ⊆ dom (discovered s') ∧
(simple-state.more s, state.more s') ∈ ES
}
end

lemma restr-simple-state-rel-combine:
⟨ES⟩ restr-simple-state-rel R = restr-rel R O ⟨ES⟩ simple-state-rel
⟨proof⟩

```

Locale that assumes a simple implementation, makes some additional assumptions on the parameterization (intuitively, that it is not too sensitive to adding nodes from R to the visited set), and then provides a new implementation with pre-initialized visited set.

```

locale restricted-impl-defs =
graph-defs G +
a: simple-impl-defs graph-restrict G R
for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
and R
begin
sublocale pre-simple-impl G ⟨proof⟩

```

abbreviation rel ≡ restr-rel R

definition gbs' ≡ gbs ()

```

gbs-init :=  $\lambda e. \text{RETURN}$ 
 $(\text{ss-stack} = [], \text{on-stack} = \{\}, \text{visited} = R, \dots = e) \)$ 

lemmas gbs'-simps[simp, DFS-code-unfold]
= gen-basic-dfs-struct.simps[mk-record-simp, OF gbs'-def[unfolded gbs-simps]]

sublocale gen-param-dfs-defs gbs' parami simple-state.more-update V0 ⟨proof⟩

sublocale tailrec-impl-defs G gds ⟨proof⟩
end

locale restricted-impl =
fb-graph +
a: simple-impl graph-restrict G R +
restricted-impl-defs +

assumes [simp]: on-cross-edge parami = ( $\lambda u v s. \text{RETURN} (\text{simple-state.more } s)$ )
assumes [simp]: on-back-edge parami = ( $\lambda u v s. \text{RETURN} (\text{simple-state.more } s)$ )

assumes is-break-refine:
 $\llbracket (s,s') \in \text{restr-rel } R \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{is-break parami } s \longleftrightarrow \text{is-break parami } s'$ 

assumes on-new-root-refine:
 $\llbracket (s,s') \in \text{restr-rel } R \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{on-new-root parami } v0 s \leq \text{on-new-root parami } v0 s'$ 

assumes on-finish-refine:
 $\llbracket (s,s') \in \text{restr-rel } R \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{on-finish parami } u s \leq \text{on-finish parami } u s'$ 

assumes on-discover-refine:
 $\llbracket (s,s') \in \text{restr-rel } R \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{on-discover parami } u v s \leq \text{on-discover parami } u v s'$ 

begin

lemmas rel-def = restr-rel-def[where R=R]
sublocale gen-param-dfs gbs' parami simple-state.more-update V0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-break-param'[param]: (is-break parami, is-break parami) ∈ rel → bool-rel
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *do-init-refine*[*refine*]: $\text{do-init} \leq \downarrow \text{rel } (\text{a.c.do-init})$
(proof)

lemma *gen-cond-param*: $(\text{gen-cond}, \text{a.c.gen-cond}) \in \text{rel} \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$
(proof)

lemma *cross-back-id*[*simp*]:
 $\text{do-cross-edge } u \ v \ s = \text{RETURN } s$
 $\text{do-back-edge } u \ v \ s = \text{RETURN } s$
 $\text{a.c.do-cross-edge } u \ v \ s = \text{RETURN } s$
 $\text{a.c.do-back-edge } u \ v \ s = \text{RETURN } s$
(proof)

lemma *pred-rel-simps*:
assumes $(s, s') \in \text{rel}$
shows $\text{a.c.is-discovered-impl } u \ s \longleftrightarrow \text{a.c.is-discovered-impl } u \ s' \vee u \in R$
and $\text{a.c.is-empty-stack-impl } s \longleftrightarrow \text{a.c.is-empty-stack-impl } s'$
(proof)

lemma *no-pending-refine*:
assumes $(s, s') \in \text{rel} \neg \text{a.c.is-empty-stack-impl } s'$
shows $(\text{hd } (\text{ss-stack } s) = (u, \{\})) \implies \text{hd } (\text{ss-stack } s') = (u, \{\})$
(proof)

lemma *do-new-root-refine*[*refine*]:
 $\llbracket (v0i, v0) \in \text{Id}; (si, s) \in \text{rel}; v0 \notin R \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{do-new-root } v0i \ si \leq \downarrow \text{rel } (\text{a.c.do-new-root } v0 \ s)$
(proof)

lemma *do-finish-refine*[*refine*]:
 $\llbracket (s, s') \in \text{rel}; (u, u') \in \text{Id} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{do-finish } u \ s \leq \downarrow \text{rel } (\text{a.c.do-finish } u' \ s')$
(proof)

lemma *aux-cnv-pending*:
 $\llbracket (s, s') \in \text{rel};$
 $\neg \text{is-empty-stack-impl } s; vs \in Vs; vs \notin R;$
 $\text{hd } (\text{ss-stack } s) = (u, Vs) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{hd } (\text{ss-stack } s') = (u, \text{insert } vs \ (Vs - R))$

(proof)

lemma *get-pending-refine*:
assumes $(s, s') \in \text{rel}$ $\text{gen-cond } s \neg \text{is-empty-stack-impl } s$
shows
 $\text{get-pending-impl } s \leq (\text{sup}$

```


$$(\Downarrow(Id \times_r \langle Id \rangle option-rel \times_r rel) (inf
  (get-pending-impl s')
  (SPEC (\lambda(-, Vs, -). case Vs of None \Rightarrow True | Some v \Rightarrow v \notin R))))$$


$$(\Downarrow(Id \times_r \langle Id \rangle option-rel \times_r rel) (
  SPEC (\lambda(u, Vs, s''). \exists v. Vs = Some v \wedge v \in R \wedge s'' = s')$$

))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma do-discover-refine[refine]:

```


$$\llbracket (s, s') \in rel; (u, u') \in Id; (v, v') \in Id; v' \notin R \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{do-discover } u \ v \ s \leq \Downarrow \text{rel } (a.c.\text{do-discover } u' \ v' \ s')$$


```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma aux-R-node-discovered: $\llbracket (s, s') \in rel; v \in R \rrbracket \implies \text{is-discovered-impl } v \ s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma re-refine-aux: $\text{gen-dfs} \leq \Downarrow \text{rel } a.c.\text{gen-dfs}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem re-refine-aux2: $\text{gen-dfs} \leq \Downarrow(\text{rel } O \ \langle ES \rangle \text{simple-state-rel}) \ a.a.\text{it-dfs}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem re-refine: $\text{gen-dfs} \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle \text{restr-simple-state-rel } R) \ a.a.\text{it-dfs}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale tailrec-impl G gds

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma tailrec-refine: $\text{tailrec-impl} \leq \Downarrow(\langle ES \rangle \text{restr-simple-state-rel } R) \ a.a.\text{it-dfs}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

1.9 Basic DFS Framework

theory DFS-Framework
imports

```

Param-DFS
Invars/DFS-Invars-Basic
Impl/Structural/Tailrec-Impl
Impl/Structural/Rec-Impl
Impl/Data/Simple-Impl
Impl/Data/Restr-Impl

```

begin

Entry point for the DFS framework, with basic invariants, tail-recursive and recursive implementation, and basic state data structures.

end

Chapter 2

Examples

This chapter contains examples of using the DFS Framework. Most examples are re-usable algorithms, that can easily be integrated into other (refinement framework based) developments.

The cyclicity checker example contains a detailed description of how to use the DFS framework, and can be used as a guideline for own DFS-framework based developments.

2.1 Simple Cyclicity Checker

```
theory Cyc-Check
imports ..../DFS-Framework
  CAVA-Automata.Digraph-Impl
  ..../Misc/Impl-Rev-Array-Stack
begin
```

This example presents a simple cyclicity checker: Given a directed graph with start nodes, decide whether it's reachable part is cyclic.

The example tries to be a tutorial on using the DFS framework, explaining every required step in detail.

We define two versions of the algorithm, a partial correct one assuming only a finitely branching graph, and a total correct one assuming finitely many reachable nodes.

2.1.1 Framework Instantiation

Define a state, based on the DFS-state. In our case, we just add a break-flag.

```
record 'v cycc-state = 'v state +
  break :: bool
```

Some utility lemmas for the simplifier, to handle idiosyncrasies of the record package.

```
lemma break-more-cong: state.more s = state.more s'  $\implies$  break s = break s'  

  <proof>
```

```
lemma [simp]: s( state.more := ( break = foo ) ) = s ( break := foo )  

  <proof>
```

Define the parameterization. We start at a default parameterization, where all operations default to skip, and just add the operations we are interested in: Initially, the break flag is false, it is set if we encounter a back-edge, and once set, the algorithm shall terminate immediately.

```
definition cycc-params :: ('v,unit cycc-state-ext) parameterization  

where cycc-params  $\equiv$  dflt-parametrization state.more  

  (RETURN ( break = False )) ()  

  on-back-edge := λ---. RETURN ( break = True ),  

  is-break := break ()  

lemmas cycc-params-simp[simp] =  

  gen-parameterization.simps[mk-record-simp, OF cycc-params-def[simplified]]
```

```
interpretation cycc: param-DFS-defs where param=cycc-params for G <proof>
```

We now can define our cyclicity checker. The partially correct version asserts a finitely branching graph:

```
definition cyc-checker G  $\equiv$  do {  

  ASSERT (fb-graph G);  

  s  $\leftarrow$  cycc.it-dfs TYPE('a) G;  

  RETURN (break s)  

}
```

The total correct variant asserts finitely many reachable nodes.

```
definition cyc-checkerT G  $\equiv$  do {  

  ASSERT (graph G  $\wedge$  finite (graph-defs.reachable G));  

  s  $\leftarrow$  cycc.it-dfsT TYPE('a) G;  

  RETURN (break s)  

}
```

Next, we define a locale for the cyclicity checker's precondition and invariant, by specializing the *param-DFS* locale.

```
locale cycc = param-DFS G cycc-params for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme  

begin
```

We can easily show that our parametrization does not fail, thus we also get the DFS-locale, which gives us the correctness theorem for the DFS-scheme

```
sublocale DFS G cycc-params  

<proof>
```

thm it-dfs-correct — Partial correctness

thm it-dfsT-correct — Total correctness if set of reachable states is finite

```

end

lemma cycclI:
  assumes fb-graph G
  shows cyccl G
  {proof}

lemma cycclI':
  assumes graph G
  and FR: finite (graph-defs.reachable G)
  shows cyccl G
  {proof}

```

Next, we specialize the *DFS-invar* locale to our parameterization. This locale contains all proven invariants. When proving new invariants, this locale is available as assumption, thus allowing us to re-use already proven invariants.

```
locale cyccl-invar = DFS-invar where param = cyccl-params + cyccl
```

The lemmas to establish invariants only provide the *DFS-invar* locale. This lemma is used to convert it into the *cyccl-invar* locale.

```

lemma cyccl-invar-eq[simp]:
  shows DFS-invar G cyccl-params s  $\longleftrightarrow$  cyccl-invar G s
  {proof}

```

2.1.2 Correctness Proof

We now enter the *cyccl-invar* locale, and show correctness of our cyclicity checker.

```
context cyccl-invar begin
```

We show that we break if and only if there are back edges. This is straightforward from our parameterization, and we can use the *establish-invarI* rule provided by the DFS framework.

We use this example to illustrate the general proof scheme:

```

lemma (in cyccl) i-brk-eq-back: is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{break } s \longleftrightarrow \text{back-edges } s \neq \{\}$ )
  {proof}

```

For technical reasons, invariants are proved in the basic locale, and then transferred to the invariant locale:

```
lemmas brk-eq-back = i-brk-eq-back[THEN make-invar-thm]
```

The above lemma is simple enough to have a short apply-style proof:

```

lemma (in cyccl) i-brk-eq-back-short-proof:
  is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{break } s \longleftrightarrow \text{back-edges } s \neq \{\}$ )
  {proof}

```

Now, when we know that the break flag indicates back-edges, we can easily prove correctness, using a lemma from the invariant library:

```
thm cycle-iff-back-edges
lemma cycc-correct-aux:
  assumes NC:  $\neg cond\ s$ 
  shows break $\ s \longleftrightarrow \neg acyclic\ (E \cap reachable \times UNIV)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Again, we have a short two-line proof:

```
lemma cycc-correct-aux-short-proof:
  assumes NC:  $\neg cond\ s$ 
  shows break $\ s \longleftrightarrow \neg acyclic\ (E \cap reachable \times UNIV)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

end

Finally, we define a specification for cyclicity checking, and prove that our cyclicity checker satisfies the specification:

```
definition cyc-checker-spec G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  SPEC ( $\lambda r. r \longleftrightarrow \neg acyclic\ (g\text{-}E\ G \cap ((g\text{-}E\ G)^* \cdot g\text{-}V0\ G) \times UNIV))$ )}
```

```
theorem cyc-checker-correct: cyc-checker G ≤ cyc-checker-spec G
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The same for the total correct variant:

```
definition cyc-checkerT-spec G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (graph G  $\wedge$  finite (graph-defs.reachable G));
  SPEC ( $\lambda r. r \longleftrightarrow \neg acyclic\ (g\text{-}E\ G \cap ((g\text{-}E\ G)^* \cdot g\text{-}V0\ G) \times UNIV))$ )}
```

```
theorem cyc-checkerT-correct: cyc-checkerT G ≤ cyc-checkerT-spec G
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2.1.3 Implementation

The implementation has two aspects: Structural implementation and data implementation. The framework provides recursive and tail-recursive implementations, as well as a variety of data structures for the state.

We will choose the *simple-state* implementation, which provides a stack, an on-stack and a visited set, but no timing information.

Note that it is common for state implementations to omit details from the very detailed abstract state. This means, that the algorithm's operations must not access these details (e.g. timing). However, the algorithm's correctness proofs may still use them.

We extend the state template to add a break flag

```
record 'v cycc-state-impl = 'v simple-state +
  break :: bool
```

Definition of refinement relation: The break-flag is refined by identity.

```
definition cycc-erel ≡ {
  (⟨ cycc-state-impl.break = b ⟩, ⟨ cycc-state.break = b ⟩) | b. True }
```

abbreviation cycc-rel ≡ (cycc-erel)/simple-state-rel

Implementation of the parameters

```
definition cycc-params-impl
  :: ('v,'v cycc-state-impl,unit cycc-state-impl-ext) gen-parameterization
where cycc-params-impl
  ≡ dflt-parametrization simple-state.more (RETURN ⟨ break = False ⟩) ⟨
    on-back-edge := λu v s. RETURN ⟨ break = True ⟩,
    is-break := break ⟩
lemmas cycc-params-impl-simp[simp,DFS-code-unfold] =
  gen-parameterization.simps[mk-record-simp, OF cycc-params-impl-def[simplified]]
```

Note: In this simple case, the reformulation of the extension state and parameterization is just redundant. However, in general the refinement will also affect the parameterization.

```
lemma break-impl: (si,s) ∈ cycc-rel
  ⟹ cycc-state-impl.break si = cycc-state.break s
  ⟨proof⟩
```

interpretation cycc-impl: simple-impl-defs G cycc-params-impl cycc-params
 for G ⟨proof⟩

The above interpretation creates an iterative and a recursive implementation

```
term cycc-impl.tailrec-impl term cycc-impl.rec-impl
term cycc-impl.tailrec-implT — Note, for total correctness we currently only support tail-recursive implementations.
```

We use both to derive a tail-recursive and a recursive cyclicity checker:

```
definition [DFS-code-unfold]: cyc-checker-impl G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  s ← cycc-impl.tailrec-impl TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (break s)
}
```

```
definition [DFS-code-unfold]: cyc-checker-rec-impl G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  s ← cycc-impl.rec-impl TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (break s)
}
```

```
definition [DFS-code-unfold]: cyc-checker-implT G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (graph G ∧ finite (graph-defs.reachable G));
```

```

 $s \leftarrow cycc\text{-}impl.\text{tailrec}\text{-}implT\ TYPE('a) G;$ 
 $\text{RETURN } (\text{break } s)$ 
}

```

To show correctness of the implementation, we integrate the locale of the simple implementation into our cyclicity checker's locale:

```

context cyc begin
  sublocale simple-impl  $G$  cycc-params cycc-params-impl cycc-erel
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

We get that our implementation refines the abstract DFS algorithm.

```
lemmas impl-refine = simple-tailrec-refine simple-rec-refine simple-tailrecT-refine
```

Unfortunately, the combination of locales and abbreviations gets to its limits here, so we state the above lemma a bit more readable:

```

lemma
  cycc-impl.tailrec-impl  $TYPE('more) G \leq \Downarrow cycc\text{-}rel it\text{-}dfs$ 
  cycc-impl.rec-impl  $TYPE('more) G \leq \Downarrow cycc\text{-}rel it\text{-}dfs$ 
  cycc-impl.tailrec-implT  $TYPE('more) G \leq \Downarrow cycc\text{-}rel it\text{-}dfsT$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

Finally, we get correctness of our cyclicity checker implementations

```
lemma cyc-checker-impl-refine: cyc-checker-impl  $G \leq \Downarrow Id$  (cyc-checker  $G$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma cyc-checker-rec-impl-refine:
  cyc-checker-rec-impl  $G \leq \Downarrow Id$  (cyc-checker  $G$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma cyc-checker-implT-refine: cyc-checker-implT  $G \leq \Downarrow Id$  (cyc-checkerT  $G$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

2.1.4 Synthesizing Executable Code

Our algorithm's implementation is still abstract, as it uses abstract data structures like sets and relations. In a last step, we use the Autoref tool to derive an implementation with efficient data structures.

Again, we derive our state implementation from the template provided by the framework. The break-flag is implemented by a Boolean flag. Note that, in general, the user-defined state extensions may be data-refined in this step.

```
record ('si, 'nsi, 'psi) cycc-state-impl' = ('si, 'nsi) simple-state-impl +
  break-impl :: bool
```

We define the refinement relation for the state extension

```
definition [to-relAPP]: cycc-state-erel erel ≡ {
  ((break-impl = bi, ... = mi), (break = b, ... = m)) | bi mi b m.
  (bi,b) ∈ bool-rel ∧ (mi,m) ∈ erel}
```

And register it with the Autoref tool:

consts

```
i-cycc-state-ext :: interface ⇒ interface
```

```
lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of cycc-state-erel i-cycc-state-ext]
```

We show that the record operations on our extended state are parametric, and declare these facts to Autoref:

lemma [autoref-rules]:

fixes ns-rel vis-rel erel

defines R ≡ ⟨ns-rel, vis-rel, ⟨erel⟩cycc-state-erel⟩ss-impl-rel

shows

```
(cycc-state-impl'-ext, cycc-state-impl-ext) ∈ bool-rel → erel → ⟨erel⟩cycc-state-erel
(break-impl, cycc-state-impl.break) ∈ R → bool-rel
⟨proof⟩
```

Finally, we can synthesize an implementation for our cyclicity checker, using the standard Autoref-approach:

schematic-goal cyc-checker-impl:

defines V ≡ Id :: ('v × 'v::hashable) set

assumes [unfolded V-def, autoref-rules]:

$(Gi, G) \in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext}$

notes [unfolded V-def, autoref-tyrel] =

TYRELI[where $R = \langle V \rangle dft\text{-ahs-rel}$]

TYRELI[where $R = \langle V \times_r \langle V \rangle list\text{-set-rel} \rangle ras-rel$]

shows nres-of (?c::?c dres) ≤↓?R (cyc-checker-impl G)

⟨proof⟩

concrete-definition cyc-checker-code uses cyc-checker-impl

export-code cyc-checker-code checking SML

Combining the refinement steps yields a correctness theorem for the cyclicity checker implementation:

theorem cyc-checker-code-correct:

assumes 1: fb-graph G

assumes 2: $(Gi, G) \in \langle Rm, Id \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext}$

assumes 4: cyc-checker-code Gi = dRETURN x

shows $x \longleftrightarrow (\neg\text{acyclic } (g\text{-}E G \cap ((g\text{-}E G)^* \parallel g\text{-}V0 G) \times \text{UNIV}))$

⟨proof⟩

We can repeat the same boilerplate for the recursive version of the algorithm:

schematic-goal cyc-checker-rec-impl:

defines V ≡ Id :: ('v × 'v::hashable) set

assumes [unfolded V-def, autoref-rules]:

$(Gi, G) \in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext}$

```

notes [unfolded V-def, autoref-tyrel] =
  TYRELI[where R=⟨V⟩dflt-ahs-rel]
  TYRELI[where R=⟨V ×r ⟨V⟩list-set-rel⟩ras-rel]
  shows nres-of (?c::?'c dres) ≤↓?R (cyc-checker-rec-impl G)
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition cyc-checker-rec-code uses cyc-checker-rec-impl
prepare-code-thms cyc-checker-rec-code-def
export-code cyc-checker-rec-code checking SML

```

```

lemma cyc-checker-rec-code-correct:
assumes 1: fb-graph G
assumes 2: (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, Id⟩g-impl-rel-ext
assumes 4: cyc-checker-rec-code Gi = dRETURN x
shows x ←→ (¬acyclic (g-E G ∩ ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G) × UNIV))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

And, again, for the total correct version. Note that we generate a plain implementation, not inside a monad:

```

schematic-goal cyc-checker-implT:
defines V ≡ Id :: ('v × 'v::hashable) set
assumes [unfolded V-def, autoref-rules]:
  (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, V⟩g-impl-rel-ext
notes [unfolded V-def, autoref-tyrel] =
  TYRELI[where R=⟨V⟩dflt-ahs-rel]
  TYRELI[where R=⟨V ×r ⟨V⟩list-set-rel⟩ras-rel]
shows RETURN (?c::?'c) ≤↓?R (cyc-checker-implT G)
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition cyc-checker-codeT uses cyc-checker-implT
export-code cyc-checker-codeT checking SML

```

```

theorem cyc-checker-codeT-correct:
assumes 1: graph G finite (graph-defs.reachable G)
assumes 2: (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, Id⟩g-impl-rel-ext
shows cyc-checker-codeT Gi ←→ (¬acyclic (g-E G ∩ ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G) × UNIV))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

2.2 Finding a Path between Nodes

```

theory DFS-Find-Path
imports
  ..../DFS-Framework
  CAVA-Automata.Digraph-Impl
  ..../Misc/Impl-Rev-Array-Stack
begin

```

We instantiate the DFS framework to find a path to some reachable node

that satisfies a given predicate. We present four variants of the algorithm: Finding any path, and finding path of at least length one, combined with searching the whole graph, and searching the graph restricted to a given set of nodes. The restricted variants are efficiently implemented by pre-initializing the visited set (cf. *DFS-Framework.Restr-Impl*).

The restricted variants can be used for incremental search, ignoring already searched nodes in further searches. This is required, e.g., for the inner search of nested DFS (Buchi automaton emptiness check).

2.2.1 Including empty Path

```

record 'v fp0-state = 'v state +
  ppath :: ('v list × 'v) option

type-synonym 'v fp0-param = ('v, ('v,unit) fp0-state-ext) parameterization

lemma [simp]: s () state.more := () ppath = foo () = s () ppath := foo ()
  <proof>

abbreviation no-path ≡ () ppath = None ()
abbreviation a-path p v ≡ () ppath = Some (p,v) ()

definition fp0-params :: ('v ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'v fp0-param
where fp0-params P ≡ ()
  on-init = RETURN no-path,
  on-new-root = λv0 s. if P v0 then RETURN (a-path [] v0) else RETURN no-path,
  on-discover = λu v s. if P v
    then — v is already on the stack, so we need to pop it again
      RETURN (a-path (rev (tl (stack s))) v)
    else RETURN no-path,
  on-finish = λu s. RETURN (state.more s),
  on-back-edge = λu v s. RETURN (state.more s),
  on-cross-edge = λu v s. RETURN (state.more s),
  is-break = λs. ppath s ≠ None ()

lemmas fp0-params-simps[simp]
  = gen-parameterization.simps[mk-record-simp], OF fp0-params-def]

interpretation fp0: param-DFS-defs where param = fp0-params P
  for G P <proof>

locale fp0 = param-DFS G fp0-params P
  for G and P :: 'v ⇒ bool
  begin

    lemma [simp]:
      ppath (empty-state (ppath = e)) = e
      <proof>

```

```

lemma [simp]:
   $\text{ppath } (\text{s}(\text{state.more} := \text{state.more } s')) = \text{ppath } s'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale DFS where param = fp0-params P
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

lemma fp0I: assumes fb-graph G shows fp0 G
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

locale fp0-invar = fp0 +
  DFS-invar where param = fp0-params P

lemma fp0-invar-eq[simp]:
  DFS-invar G (fp0-params P) = fp0-invar G P
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context fp0 begin

  lemma i-no-path-no-P-discovered:
    is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{ppath } s = \text{None} \longrightarrow \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s) \cap \text{Collect } P = \{\}$ )
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma i-path-to-P:
    is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{ppath } s = \text{Some } (vs, v) \longrightarrow P v$ )
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma i-path-invar:
    is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{ppath } s = \text{Some } (vs, v) \longrightarrow$ 
       $(vs \neq [] \longrightarrow \text{hd } vs \in V0 \wedge \text{path } E (\text{hd } vs) vs v)$ 
       $\wedge (vs = [] \longrightarrow v \in V0 \wedge \text{path } E v vs v)$ 
       $\wedge (\text{distinct } (vs@[v]))$ 
    )
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

context fp0-invar
begin
  lemmas no-path-no-P-discovered
  = i-no-path-no-P-discovered[THEN make-invar-thm, rule-format]

  lemmas path-to-P
  = i-path-to-P[THEN make-invar-thm, rule-format]

  lemmas path-invar
  = i-path-invar[THEN make-invar-thm, rule-format]

```

```

lemma path-invar-nonempty:
  assumes ppath s = Some (vs,v)
  and vs ≠ []
  shows hd vs ∈ V0 path E (hd vs) vs v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma path-invar-empty:
  assumes ppath s = Some (vs,v)
  and vs = []
  shows v ∈ V0 path E v vs v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fp0-correct:
  assumes ¬cond s
  shows case ppath s of
    None ⇒ ¬(∃ v0 ∈ V0. ∃ v. (v0,v) ∈ E* ∧ P v)
    | Some (p,v) ⇒ (∃ v0 ∈ V0. path E v0 p v ∧ P v ∧ distinct (p@[v]))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context fp0 begin
  lemma fp0-correct: it-dfs ≤ SPEC (λs. case ppath s of
    None ⇒ ¬(∃ v0 ∈ V0. ∃ v. (v0,v) ∈ E* ∧ P v)
    | Some (p,v) ⇒ (∃ v0 ∈ V0. path E v0 p v ∧ P v ∧ distinct (p@[v])))
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

Basic Interface

Use this interface, rather than the internal stuff above!

```

type-synonym 'v fp-result = ('v list × 'v) option
definition find-path0-pred G P ≡ λr. case r of
  None ⇒ (g-E G)* “ g-V0 G ∩ Collect P = {}
  | Some (vs,v) ⇒ P v ∧ distinct (vs@[v]) ∧ (∃ v0 ∈ g-V0 G. path (g-E G) v0 vs
v)

definition find-path0-spec
  :: ('v, -) graph-rec-scheme ⇒ ('v ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'v fp-result nres
  — Searches a path from the root nodes to some target node that satisfies a given
  predicate. If such a path is found, the path and the target node are returned
where
  find-path0-spec G P ≡ do {
    ASSERT (fb-graph G);
    SPEC (find-path0-pred G P)
  }

definition find-path0

```

```

:: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('v  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'v fp-result nres
where find-path0 G P  $\equiv$  do {
  ASSERT (fp0 G);
  s  $\leftarrow$  fp0.it-dfs TYPE('more) G P;
  RETURN (ppath s)
}

lemma find-path0-correct:
  shows find-path0 G P  $\leq$  find-path0-spec G P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas find-path0-spec-rule[refine-vcg] =
  ASSERT-le-defI[OF find-path0-spec-def]
  ASSERT-leof-defI[OF find-path0-spec-def]

```

2.2.2 Restricting the Graph

Extended interface, propagating set of already searched nodes (restriction)

definition restr-invar

— Invariant for a node restriction, i.e., a transition closed set of nodes known to not contain a target node that satisfies a predicate.

where

restr-invar E R P \equiv E “ R \subseteq R \wedge R \cap Collect P = {}

lemma restr-invar-triv[simp, intro!]: restr-invar E {} P
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma restr-invar-imp-not-reachable: restr-invar E R P \implies E* “ R \cap Collect P = {}
 ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym 'v fpr-result = 'v set + ('v list \times 'v)

definition find-path0-restr-pred G P R \equiv λr .

case r of

 Inl R' \Rightarrow R' = R \cup (g-E G)* “ g-V0 G \wedge restr-invar (g-E G) R' P

 | Inr (vs,v) \Rightarrow P v \wedge (\exists v0 \in g-V0 G – R. path (rel-restrict (g-E G) R) v0 vs v)

definition find-path0-restr-spec

— Find a path to a target node that satisfies a predicate, not considering nodes from the given node restriction. If no path is found, an extended restriction is returned, that contains the start nodes

where find-path0-restr-spec G P R \equiv do {

 ASSERT (fb-graph G \wedge restr-invar (g-E G) R P);

 SPEC (find-path0-restr-pred G P R)}

lemmas find-path0-restr-spec-rule[refine-vcg] =
 ASSERT-le-defI[*OF* find-path0-restr-spec-def]
 ASSERT-leof-defI[*OF* find-path0-restr-spec-def]

```

definition find-path0-restr
  :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('v  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'v fpr-result nres
  where find-path0-restr G P R  $\equiv$  do {
    ASSERT (fb-graph G);
    ASSERT (fp0 (graph-restrict G R));
    s  $\leftarrow$  fp0.it-dfs TYPE('more) (graph-restrict G R) P;
    case ppath s of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  do {
        ASSERT (dom (discovered s) = dom (finished s));
        RETURN (Inl (R  $\cup$  dom (finished s)))
      }
      | Some (vs,v)  $\Rightarrow$  RETURN (Inr (vs,v))
    }
}

```

```

lemma find-path0-restr-correct:
  shows find-path0-restr G P R  $\leq$  find-path0-restr-spec G P R
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.2.3 Path of Minimal Length One, with Restriction

```

definition find-path1-restr-pred G P R  $\equiv$   $\lambda r.$ 
  case r of
    Inl R'  $\Rightarrow$  R' = R  $\cup$  (g-E G)+ “ g-V0 G  $\wedge$  restr-invar (g-E G) R' P
    | Inr (vs,v)  $\Rightarrow$  P v  $\wedge$  vs  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  v0  $\in$  g-V0 G. path (g-E G  $\cap$  UNIV  $\times$  -R) v0 vs v)

```

definition find-path1-restr-spec

- Find a path of length at least one to a target node that satisfies P. Takes an initial node restriction, and returns an extended node restriction.
- where** find-path1-restr-spec G P R \equiv do {
 ASSERT (fb-graph G \wedge restr-invar (g-E G) R P);
 SPEC (find-path1-restr-pred G P R)}

```

lemmas find-path1-restr-spec-rule[refine-vcg] =
  ASSERT-le-defI[OF find-path1-restr-spec-def]
  ASSERT-leof-defI[OF find-path1-restr-spec-def]

```

```

definition find-path1-restr
  :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('v  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  'v fpr-result nres
  where find-path1-restr G P R  $\equiv$ 
    FOREACHc (g-V0 G) is-Inl ( $\lambda v0$  s. do {
      ASSERT (is-Inl s); — TODO: Add FOREACH-condition as precondition in autoref!
      let R = projl s;
      f0  $\leftarrow$  find-path0-restr-spec (G () g-V0 := g-E G “ {v0} () P R;
      case f0 of

```

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& Inl \dashv \Rightarrow RETURN f0 \\
& | Inr (vs, v) \Rightarrow RETURN (Inr (v0 \# vs, v)) \\
\} & ) (Inl R)
\end{aligned}$$


definition find-path1-tailrec-invar  $G P R0 it s \equiv$   

case  $s$  of  

 $Inl R \Rightarrow R = R0 \cup (g\text{-}E G)^+ `` (g\text{-}V0 G - it) \wedge restr\text{-}invar (g\text{-}E G) R P$   

 $| Inr (vs, v) \Rightarrow P v \wedge vs \neq [] \wedge (\exists v0 \in g\text{-}V0 G - it. path (g\text{-}E G) \cap UNIV \times$   

 $-R0) v0 vs v)$


```

lemma *find-path1-restr-correct*:
shows *find-path1-restr* $G P R \leq find\text{-}path1\text{-}restr\text{-}spec G P R$
(proof)

definition *find-path1-pred* $G P \equiv \lambda r.$
case r **of**
 $None \Rightarrow (g\text{-}E G)^+ `` g\text{-}V0 G \cap Collect P = []$
 $| Some (vs, v) \Rightarrow P v \wedge vs \neq [] \wedge (\exists v0 \in g\text{-}V0 G. path (g\text{-}E G) v0 vs v)$
definition *find-path1-spec*
— Find a path of length at least one to a target node that satisfies a given predicate.
where *find-path1-spec* $G P \equiv do \{$
 $ASSERT (fb\text{-}graph G);$
 $SPEC (find\text{-}path1\text{-}pred G P)\}$

lemmas *find-path1-spec-rule[refine-vcg]* =
ASSERT-le-defI[OF find-path1-spec-def]
ASSERT-leof-defI[OF find-path1-spec-def]

2.2.4 Path of Minimal Length One, without Restriction

definition *find-path1*
 $:: ('v, 'more) graph\text{-}rec\text{-}scheme \Rightarrow ('v \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'v fp\text{-}result nres$
where *find-path1* $G P \equiv do \{$
 $r \leftarrow find\text{-}path1\text{-}restr\text{-}spec G P \};$
case r **of**
 $Inl \dashv \Rightarrow RETURN None$
 $| Inr vs \Rightarrow RETURN (Some vs)$
 $\}$

lemma *find-path1-correct*:
shows *find-path1* $G P \leq find\text{-}path1\text{-}spec G P$
(proof)

2.2.5 Implementation

record $'v fp0\text{-}state\text{-}impl = 'v simple\text{-}state +$
 $ppath :: ('v list \times 'v) option$

```

definition fp0-erel ≡ {
  (⟨fp0-state-impl.ppath = p⟩, ⟨fp0-state.ppath = p⟩) | p. True }

abbreviation fp0-rel R ≡ ⟨fp0-erel⟩ restr-simple-state-rel R

abbreviation no-path-impl ≡ ⟨fp0-state-impl.ppath = None⟩
abbreviation a-path-impl p v ≡ ⟨fp0-state-impl.ppath = Some (p,v)⟩

lemma fp0-rel-ppath-cong[simp]:
  (s,s') ∈ fp0-rel R ⇒ fp0-state-impl.ppath s = fp0-state.ppath s'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fp0-ss-rel-ppath-cong[simp]:
  (s,s') ∈ ⟨fp0-erel⟩ simple-state-rel ⇒ fp0-state-impl.ppath s = fp0-state.ppath s'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fp0i-cong[cong]: simple-state.more s = simple-state.more s'
  ⇒ fp0-state-impl.ppath s = fp0-state-impl.ppath s'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fp0-erelI: p=p'
  ⇒ (⟨fp0-state-impl.ppath = p⟩, ⟨fp0-state.ppath = p'⟩) ∈ fp0-erel
  ⟨proof⟩

definition fp0-params-impl
  :: - ⇒ ('v,'v fp0-state-impl,('v,unit)fp0-state-impl-ext) gen-parameterization
  where fp0-params-impl P ≡ ⟨
    on-init = RETURN no-path-impl,
    on-new-root = λv0 s.
      if P v0 then RETURN (a-path-impl [] v0) else RETURN no-path-impl,
    on-discover = λu v s.
      if P v then RETURN (a-path-impl (map fst (rev (tl (CAST (ss-stack s))))) v)
      else RETURN no-path-impl,
    on-finish = λu s. RETURN (simple-state.more s),
    on-back-edge = λu v s. RETURN (simple-state.more s),
    on-cross-edge = λu v s. RETURN (simple-state.more s),
    is-break = λs. ppath s ≠ None ⟩

lemmas fp0-params-impl-simp[simp, DFS-code-unfold]
  = gen-parameterization.simps[mk-record-simp, OF fp0-params-impl-def]

interpretation fp0-impl:
  restricted-impl-defs fp0-params-impl P fp0-params P G R
  for G P R ⟨proof⟩

locale fp0-restr = fb-graph
begin
  sublocale fp0?: fp0 graph-restrict G R
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

sublocale impl: restricted-impl G fp0-params P fp0-params-impl P
  fp0-erel R
  {proof}
end

definition find-path0-restr-impl G P R  $\equiv$  do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  ASSERT (fp0 (graph-restrict G R));
  s  $\leftarrow$  fp0-impl.tailrec-impl TYPE('a) G R P;
  case ppath s of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  RETURN (Inl (visited s))
    | Some (vs,v)  $\Rightarrow$  RETURN (Inr (vs,v))
}

lemma find-path0-restr-impl[refine]:
  shows find-path0-restr-impl G P R
     $\leq \Downarrow (\langle Id, Id \times_r Id \rangle \text{sum-rel})$ 
    (find-path0-restr G P R)
{proof}

definition find-path0-impl G P  $\equiv$  do {
  ASSERT (fp0 G);
  s  $\leftarrow$  fp0-impl.tailrec-impl TYPE('a) G {} P;
  RETURN (ppath s)
}

lemma find-path0-impl[refine]: find-path0-impl G P
   $\leq \Downarrow (\langle Id \times_r Id \rangle \text{option-rel})$  (find-path0 G P)
{proof}

```

2.2.6 Synthesis of Executable Code

```

record ('v,'si,'nsi)fp0-state-impl' = ('si,'nsi)simple-state-nos-impl +
ppath-impl :: ('v list  $\times$  'v) option

definition [to-relAPP]: fp0-state-erel erel  $\equiv$  {
   $\{(\langle ppath-impl = pi, \dots = mi \rangle, \langle ppath = p, \dots = m \rangle) \mid pi \text{ mi } p \text{ m.}$ 
   $(pi,p) \in \langle \langle Id \rangle \text{list-rel} \times_r Id \rangle \text{option-rel} \wedge (mi,m) \in erel\}$ 
}

consts
i-fp0-state-ext :: interface  $\Rightarrow$  interface

lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of fp0-state-erel i-fp0-state-ext]

term fp0-state-impl-ext
lemma [autoref-rules]:

```

```

fixes ns-rel vis-rel erel
defines R ≡ ⟨ns-rel,vis-rel,⟨erel⟩fp0-state-erel⟩ssnos-impl-rel
shows
  (fp0-state-impl'-ext, fp0-state-impl-ext)
  ∈ ⟨⟨Id⟩list-rel ×r Id⟩option-rel → erel → ⟨erel⟩fp0-state-erel
  (ppath-impl, fp0-state-impl.ppath) ∈ R → ⟨⟨Id⟩list-rel ×r Id⟩option-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

schematic-goal find-path0-code:
fixes G :: ('v :: hashable, -) graph-rec-scheme
assumes [autoref-rules]:
  (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, Id⟩g-impl-rel-ext
  (Pi, P) ∈ Id → bool-rel
notes [autoref-tyrel] = TYRELI[where R=⟨Id:('v×'v) set⟩dflt-ahs-rel]
shows (nres-of (?c::?'c dres), find-path0-impl G P) ∈ ?R
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

concrete-definition find-path0-code uses find-path0-code
export-code find-path0-code checking SML

```

```

lemma find-path0-autoref-aux:
assumes Vid: Rv = (Id :: 'a :: hashable rel)
shows (λG P. nres-of (find-path0-code G P), find-path0-spec)
  ∈ ⟨Rm, Rv⟩g-impl-rel-ext → (Rv → bool-rel)
  → ⟨⟨⟨Rv⟩list-rel ×r Rv⟩option-rel⟩nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩
lemmas find-path0-autoref[autoref-rules] = find-path0-autoref-aux[OF PREFER-id-D]

```

```

schematic-goal find-path0-restr-code:
fixes vis-rel :: ('v×'v) set ⇒ ('visi×'v set) set
notes [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of vis-rel i-set for I]
assumes [autoref-rules]: (op-vis-insert, insert) ∈ Id → ⟨Id⟩vis-rel → ⟨Id⟩vis-rel
assumes [autoref-rules]: (op-vis-memb, (∈)) ∈ Id → ⟨Id⟩vis-rel → bool-rel
assumes [autoref-rules]:
  (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, Id⟩g-impl-rel-ext
  (Pi, P) ∈ Id → bool-rel
  (Ri, R) ∈ ⟨Id⟩vis-rel
shows (nres-of (?c::?'c dres),
  find-path0-restr-impl
  G
  P
  (R:::_r⟨Id⟩vis-rel)) ∈ ?R
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

concrete-definition find-path0-restr-code uses find-path0-restr-code
export-code find-path0-restr-code checking SML

```

```

lemma find-path0-restr-autoref-aux:
  assumes 1: (op-vis-insert, insert) $\in Rv \rightarrow \langle Rv \rangle vis\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle Rv \rangle vis\text{-}rel
  assumes 2: (op-vis-memb, (ε)) $\in Rv \rightarrow \langle Rv \rangle vis\text{-}rel \rightarrow bool\text{-}rel
  assumes Vid: Rv = Id
  shows ( $\lambda G P R. nres\text{-}of (find\text{-}path0\text{-}restr\text{-}code op\text{-}vis\text{-}insert op\text{-}vis\text{-}memb G P R)$ ,
    find-path0-restr-spec)
     $\in \langle Rm, Rv \rangle g\text{-impl\text{-}rel\text{-}ext} \rightarrow (Rv \rightarrow bool\text{-}rel) \rightarrow \langle Rv \rangle vis\text{-}rel \rightarrow$ 
     $\langle \langle \langle Rv \rangle vis\text{-}rel, \langle Rv \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r Rv \rangle sum\text{-}rel \rangle nres\text{-}rel$ 
    {proof}
lemmas find-path0-restr-autoref[autoref-rules] = find-path0-restr-autoref-aux[OF GEN-OP-D GEN-OP-D PREFER-id-D]$$ 
```

```

schematic-goal find-path1-restr-code:
  fixes vis-rel :: ('v × 'v) set  $\Rightarrow$  ('visi × 'v set) set
  notes [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of vis-rel i-set for I]
  assumes [autoref-rules]: (op-vis-insert, insert) $\in Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle vis\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle vis\text{-}rel
  assumes [autoref-rules]: (op-vis-memb, (ε)) $\in Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle vis\text{-}rel \rightarrow bool\text{-}rel
  assumes [autoref-rules]:
    (Gi, G)  $\in \langle Rm, Id \rangle g\text{-impl\text{-}rel\text{-}ext}$ 
    (Pi, P) $\in Id \rightarrow bool\text{-}rel$ 
    (Ri, R) $\in \langle Id \rangle vis\text{-}rel$ 
  shows (nres-of ?c, find-path1-restr G P R)
     $\in \langle \langle \langle Id \rangle vis\text{-}rel, \langle Id \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r Id \rangle sum\text{-}rel \rangle nres\text{-}rel$ 
    {proof}$$ 
```

concrete-definition find-path1-restr-code **uses** find-path1-restr-code
export-code find-path1-restr-code **checking SML**

```

lemma find-path1-restr-autoref-aux:
  assumes G: (op-vis-insert, insert) $\in V \rightarrow \langle V \rangle vis\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle V \rangle vis\text{-}rel
    (op-vis-memb, (ε)) $\in V \rightarrow \langle V \rangle vis\text{-}rel \rightarrow bool\text{-}rel
  assumes Vid[simp]: V = Id
  shows ( $\lambda G P R. nres\text{-}of (find\text{-}path1\text{-}restr\text{-}code op\text{-}vis\text{-}insert op\text{-}vis\text{-}memb G P R), find\text{-}path1\text{-}restr\text{-}spec)$ 
     $\in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl\text{-}rel\text{-}ext} \rightarrow (V \rightarrow bool\text{-}rel) \rightarrow \langle V \rangle vis\text{-}rel \rightarrow$ 
     $\langle \langle \langle V \rangle vis\text{-}rel, \langle V \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r V \rangle sum\text{-}rel \rangle nres\text{-}rel$ 
{proof}$$ 
```

```

lemmas find-path1-restr-autoref[autoref-rules] = find-path1-restr-autoref-aux[OF GEN-OP-D GEN-OP-D PREFER-id-D]

```

```

schematic-goal find-path1-code:
  assumes Vid: V = (Id :: 'a :: hashable rel)
  assumes [unfolded Vid, autoref-rules]:
    (Gi, G)  $\in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl\text{-}rel\text{-}ext}$ 
    (Pi, P) $\in V \rightarrow bool\text{-}rel$ 

```

```

notes [autoref-tyrel] = TYRELI[where  $R = \langle (Id :: ('a \times 'a :: \text{hashable}) \text{set}) \rangle$  dflt-ahs-rel]
shows (nres-of ?c, find-path1 G P)
 $\in \langle \langle \langle V \rangle \text{list-rel} \times_r V \rangle \text{option-rel} \rangle$  nres-rel
⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition find-path1-code uses find-path1-code

export-code find-path1-code checking SML

lemma find-path1-code-autoref-aux:
assumes Vid:  $V = (Id :: 'a :: \text{hashable rel})$ 
shows ( $\lambda G P.$  nres-of (find-path1-code G P), find-path1-spec)
 $\in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext} \rightarrow (V \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}) \rightarrow \langle \langle \langle V \rangle \text{list-rel} \times_r V \rangle \text{option-rel} \rangle$  nres-rel
⟨proof⟩

lemmas find-path1-autoref[autoref-rules] = find-path1-code-autoref-aux[OF PRE-FER-id-D]

```

2.2.7 Conclusion

We have synthesized an efficient implementation for an algorithm to find a path to a reachable node that satisfies a predicate. The algorithm comes in four variants, with and without empty path, and with and without node restriction.

We have set up the Autoref tool, to insert this algorithms for the following specifications:

- *find-path0-spec G P* — find path to node that satisfies P .
- *find-path1-spec G P* — find non-empty path to node that satisfies P .
- *find-path0-restr-spec G P R* — find path, with nodes from R already searched.
- *find-path1-restr-spec* — find non-empty path, with nodes from R already searched.

```

thm find-path0-autoref
thm find-path1-autoref
thm find-path0-restr-autoref
thm find-path1-restr-autoref

```

```
end
```

2.3 Set of Reachable Nodes

```
theory Reachable-Nodes
```

```

imports ..../DFS-Framework
CAVA-Automata.Digraph-Impl
../Misc/Impl-Rev-Array-Stack
begin

```

This theory provides a re-usable algorithm to compute the set of reachable nodes in a graph.

2.3.1 Preliminaries

```

lemma gen-obtain-finite-set:
  assumes F: finite S
  assumes E: (e,{}) ∈ ⟨R⟩Rs
  assumes I: (i,insert) ∈ R → ⟨R⟩Rs → ⟨R⟩Rs
  assumes EE: ∀x. x ∈ S ⇒ ∃xi. (xi,x) ∈ R
  shows ∃Si. (Si,S) ∈ ⟨R⟩Rs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma obtain-finite-ahs: finite S ⇒ ∃x. (x,S) ∈ ⟨Id⟩dflt-ahs-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.3.2 Framework Instantiation

```

definition unit-parametrization ≡ dflt-parametrization (λ-. ()) (RETURN ())

```

```

lemmas unit-parametrization-simp[simp, DFS-code-unfold] =
  dflt-parametrization-simp[mk-record-simp, OF, OF unit-parametrization-def]

```

```

interpretation unit-dfs: param-DFS-defs where param=unit-parametrization for
G ⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale unit-DFS = param-DFS G unit-parametrization for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
begin
  sublocale DFS G unit-parametrization
    ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

lemma unit-DFSI[Pure.intro?, intro?]:
  assumes fb-graph G
  shows unit-DFS G
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition find-reachable G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  s ← unit-dfs.it-dfs TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (dom (discovered s))
}

```

```

definition find-reachableT G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  s ← unit-dfs.it-dfsT TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (dom (discovered s))
}

```

2.3.3 Correctness

```

context unit-DFS begin
  lemma find-reachable-correct: find-reachable G ≤ SPEC (λr. r = reachable)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

  lemma find-reachableT-correct:
    finite reachable ==> find-reachableT G ≤ SPEC (λr. r = reachable)
    ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

context unit-DFS begin

```

```

  sublocale simple-impl G unit-parametrization unit-parametrization unit-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

  lemmas impl-refine = simple-tailrecT-refine simple-tailrec-refine simple-rec-refine
end

```

```

interpretation unit-simple-impl:
  simple-impl-defs G unit-parametrization unit-parametrization
  for G ⟨proof⟩

```

```

term unit-simple-impl.tailrec-impl term unit-simple-impl.rec-impl

```

```

definition [DFS-code-unfold]: find-reachable-impl G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  s ← unit-simple-impl.tailrec-impl TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (simple-state.visited s)
}

```

```

definition [DFS-code-unfold]: find-reachable-implT G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  s ← unit-simple-impl.tailrec-implT TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (simple-state.visited s)
}

```

```

definition [DFS-code-unfold]: find-reachable-rec-impl G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  s ← unit-simple-impl.rec-impl TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (visited s)
}

```

}

lemma *find-reachable-impl-refine*:
find-reachable-impl $G \leq \Downarrow \text{Id}$ (*find-reachable* G)
{proof}

lemma *find-reachable-implT-refine*:
find-reachable-implT $G \leq \Downarrow \text{Id}$ (*find-reachableT* G)
{proof}

lemma *find-reachable-rec-impl-refine*:
find-reachable-rec-impl $G \leq \Downarrow \text{Id}$ (*find-reachable* G)
{proof}

2.3.4 Synthesis of Executable Implementation

schematic-goal *find-reachable-impl*:
defines $V \equiv \text{Id} :: ('v \times 'v:\text{hashable}) \text{ set}$
assumes [*unfolded V-def, autoref-rules*]:
 $(Gi, G) \in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext}$
notes [*unfolded V-def, autoref-tyrel*] =
 $\text{TYRELI}[\text{where } R=\langle V \rangle dftt-ahs-rel]$
 $\text{TYRELI}[\text{where } R=\langle V \times_r \langle V \rangle \text{list-set-rel} \rangle \text{ras-rel}]$
shows *nres-of* $(?c::?'c \text{ dres}) \leq \Downarrow ?R$ (*find-reachable-impl* G)
{proof}
concrete-definition *find-reachable-code* **uses** *find-reachable-impl*
export-code *find-reachable-code* **checking SML**

lemma *find-reachable-code-correct*:
assumes 1: *fb-graph* G
assumes 2: $(Gi, G) \in \langle Rm, \text{Id} \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext}$
assumes 4: *find-reachable-code* $Gi = \text{dRETURN } r$
shows $(r, (g\text{-E } G)^* `` g\text{-V0 } G) \in \langle \text{Id} \rangle dftt-ahs-rel$
{proof}

schematic-goal *find-reachable-implT*:
fixes $V :: ('vi \times 'v) \text{ set}$
assumes [*autoref-ga-rules*]: *is-bounded-hashcode* $V \text{ eq } bhc$
assumes [*autoref-rules*]: $(eq, (=)) \in V \rightarrow V \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$
assumes [*autoref-ga-rules*]: *is-valid-def-hm-size* *TYPE* ('vi) sz
assumes [*autoref-rules*]:
 $(Gi, G) \in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext}$
notes [*autoref-tyrel*] =
 $\text{TYRELI}[\text{where } R=\langle V \rangle ahs-rel \text{ bhc}]$
 $\text{TYRELI}[\text{where } R=\langle V \times_r \langle V \rangle \text{list-set-rel} \rangle \text{ras-rel}]$
shows *RETURN* $(?c::?'c) \leq \Downarrow ?R$ (*find-reachable-implT* G)
{proof}

```

concrete-definition find-reachable-codeT for eq bhc sz Gi
  uses find-reachable-implT
export-code find-reachable-codeT checking SML

lemma find-reachable-codeT-correct:
  fixes V :: ('vi × 'v) set
  assumes G: graph G
  assumes FR: finite ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G)
  assumes BHC: is-bounded-hashcode V eq bhc
  assumes EQ: (eq,=) ∈ V → V → bool-rel
  assumes VDS: is-valid-def-hm-size TYPE ('vi) sz
  assumes 2: (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, V⟩g-impl-rel-ext
  shows (find-reachable-codeT eq bhc sz Gi, (g-E G)* “ g-V0 G) ∈ ⟨V⟩ahs-rel bhc
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition all-unit-rel :: (unit × 'a) set **where** all-unit-rel ≡ UNIV

lemma all-unit-refine[simp]:
 ((),x) ∈ all-unit-rel ⟨proof⟩

definition unit-list-rel :: ('c × 'a) set ⇒ (unit × 'a list) set
 where [to-relAPP]: unit-list-rel R ≡ UNIV

lemma unit-list-rel-refine[simp]: (((),y) ∈ ⟨R⟩unit-list-rel
 ⟨proof⟩)

lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of unit-list-rel i-list]

lemma [autoref-rules]:
 ((),[]) ∈ ⟨R⟩unit-list-rel
 (λ_. (),tl) ∈ ⟨R⟩unit-list-rel → ⟨R⟩unit-list-rel
 (λ_ -. (),(#)) ∈ R → ⟨R⟩unit-list-rel → ⟨R⟩unit-list-rel
 ⟨proof⟩

schematic-goal find-reachable-rec-impl:
 defines V ≡ Id :: ('v × 'v::hashable) set
 assumes [unfolded V-def, autoref-rules]:
 (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, V⟩g-impl-rel-ext
 notes [unfolded V-def, autoref-tyrel] =
 TYRELI[**where** R = ⟨V⟩dflt-ahs-rel]
 shows nres-of (?c::?'c dres) ≤↓?R (find-reachable-rec-impl G)
 ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition find-reachable-rec-code **uses** find-reachable-rec-impl
prepare-code-thms find-reachable-rec-code-def
export-code find-reachable-rec-code **checking** SML

```

lemma find-reachable-rec-code-correct:
  assumes 1: fb-graph G
  assumes 2:  $(Gi, G) \in \langle Rm, Id \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext}$ 
  assumes 4: find-reachable-rec-code  $Gi = dRETURN r$ 
  shows  $(r, (g\text{-}E G)^* `` g\text{-}V0 G) \in \langle Id \rangle dftt\text{-ahs-rel}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition [simp]: op-reachable G  $\equiv (g\text{-}E G)^* `` g\text{-}V0 G$ 
lemmas [autoref-op-pat] = op-reachable-def[symmetric]

context begin interpretation autoref-syn  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma autoref-op-reachable[autoref-rules]:
  fixes V ::  $('vi \times 'v)$  set
  assumes G: SIDE-PRECOND (graph G)
  assumes FR: SIDE-PRECOND (finite  $((g\text{-}E G)^* `` g\text{-}V0 G)$ )
  assumes BHC: SIDE-GEN-ALGO (is-bounded-hashcode V eq bhc)
  assumes EQ: GEN-OP eq (=) ( $V \rightarrow V \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ )
  assumes VDS: SIDE-GEN-ALGO (is-valid-def-hm-size TYPE ('vi) sz)
  assumes 2:  $(Gi, G) \in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext}$ 
  shows (find-reachable-codeT eq bhc sz Gi,
     $(OP \text{ op-reachable } ::: \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext} \rightarrow \langle V \rangle ahs\text{-rel bhc}) \$ G \in \langle V \rangle ahs\text{-rel bhc}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2.3.5 Conclusions

We have defined an efficient DFS-based implementation for *op-reachable*, and declared it to Autoref.

end

2.4 Find a Feedback Arc Set

```

theory Feedback-Arcs
imports
  ..../DFS-Framework
  CAVA-Automata.Digraph-Impl
  Reachable-Nodes
begin

```

A feedback arc set is a set of edges that breaks all reachable cycles. In this theory, we define an algorithm to find a feedback arc set.

```

definition is-fas :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme  $\Rightarrow 'v \text{ rel} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  is-fas G EC  $\equiv \neg(\exists u \in (g\text{-}E G)^* `` g\text{-}V0 G. (u, u) \in (g\text{-}E G - EC)^+)$ 

```

```

lemma is-fas-alt:

```

is-fas G $EC = \text{acyclic } ((g\text{-}E G) \cap ((g\text{-}E G)^* \text{ `` } g\text{-}V0 G \times \text{UNIV}) - EC)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4.1 Instantiation of the DFS-Framework

```
record 'v fas-state = 'v state +
  fas :: ('v × 'v) set
```

```
lemma fas-more-cong: state.more s = state.more s'  $\implies$  fas s = fas s'  

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma [simp]: s() state.more := () fas = foo () = s () fas := foo ()  

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
definition fas-params :: ('v, ('v, unit) fas-state-ext) parameterization
where fas-params ≡ dflt-parametrization state.more
  (RETURN () fas = {}) ()
  on-back-edge := λ u v s. RETURN () fas = insert (u, v) (fas s) ()
}
lemmas fas-params-simp[simp] =
  gen-parameterization.simps[mk-record-simp, OF fas-params-def[simplified]]
```

```
interpretation fas: param-DFS-defs where param=fas-params for G  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

Find feedback arc set

```
definition find-fas G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (graph G);
  ASSERT (finite ((g-E G)* `` g-V0 G));
  s ← fas.it-dfsT TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (fas-state.fas s)
}
```

```
locale fas =
  param-DFS G fas-params
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
  +
  assumes finite-reachable[simp, intro!]: finite ((g-E G)* `` g-V0 G)
begin
```

```
sublocale DFS G fas-params
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

end

```
lemma fasI:
  assumes graph G
  assumes finite ((g-E G)* `` g-V0 G)
  shows fas G
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.4.2 Correctness Proof

```

locale fas-invar = DFS-invar where param = fas-params + fas
begin

lemma (in fas) i-fas-eq-back: is-invar ( $\lambda s.$  fas-state.fas  $s =$  back-edges  $s$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemmas fas-eq-back = i-fas-eq-back[THEN make-invar-thm]

lemma find-fas-correct-aux:
  assumes NC:  $\neg cond\ s$ 
  shows is-fas  $G$  (fas-state.fas  $s$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma find-fas-correct:
  assumes graph  $G$ 
  assumes finite  $((g\text{-}E\ G)^* \cup g\text{-}V0\ G)$ 
  shows find-fas  $G \leq SPEC$  (is-fas  $G$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.4.3 Implementation

```

record 'v fas-state-impl = 'v simple-state +
  fas :: ('v × 'v) set

definition fas-erel ≡ {
   $(\emptyset, fas-state-impl.fas = f), (\emptyset, fas-state.fas = f) \mid f. True$  }
abbreviation fas-rel ≡ ⟨fas-erel⟩ simple-state-rel

definition fas-params-impl
  :: ('v, 'v fas-state-impl, ('v, unit) fas-state-impl-ext) gen-parameterization
where fas-params-impl
  ≡ dflt-parametrization simple-state.more (RETURN  $(\emptyset, fas = \{\})$ )  $\emptyset$ 
  on-back-edge :=  $\lambda u v s.$  RETURN  $(\emptyset, fas = insert(u, v)(fas\ s))$ 
lemmas fas-params-impl-simp[simp,DFS-code-unfold] =
  gen-parameterization.simps[mk-record-simp, OF fas-params-impl-def[simplified]]

lemma fas-impl:  $(si, s) \in fas-rel$ 
   $\implies fas-state-impl.fas\ si = fas-state.fas\ s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

interpretation fas-impl: simple-impl-defs  $G$  fas-params-impl fas-params
  for  $G$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

term fas-impl.tailrec-impl term fas-impl.tailrec-implT term fas-impl.rec-impl

definition [DFS-code-unfold]: find-fas-impl G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (graph G);
  ASSERT (finite ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G));
  s ← fas-impl.tailrec-implT TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (fas s)
}

context fas begin

  sublocale simple-impl G fas-params fas-params-impl fas-erel
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemmas impl-refine = simple-tailrec-refine simple-tailrecT-refine simple-rec-refine
  thm simple-refine
  end

  lemma find-fas-impl-refine: find-fas-impl G ≤ ↓Id (find-fas G)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.4.4 Synthesis of Executable Code

```

record ('si,'nsi,'fsi)fas-state-impl' = ('si,'nsi)simple-state-impl +
  fas-impl :: 'fsi

definition [to-relAPP]: fas-state-erel frel erel ≡ {
  ((fas-impl = fi, ... = mi), (fas = f, ... = m)) | fi mi f m.
  (fi,f) ∈ frel ∧ (mi,m) ∈ erel}

consts
  i-fas-state-ext :: interface ⇒ interface ⇒ interface

lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of fas-state-erel i-fas-state-ext]

term fas-update
term fas-state-impl'.fas-impl-update
lemma [autoref-rules]:
  fixes ns-rel vis-rel frel erel
  defines R ≡ ⟨ns-rel,vis-rel,⟨frel,erel⟩fas-state-erel⟩ss-impl-rel
  shows
    (fas-state-impl'-ext, fas-state-impl-ext) ∈ frel → erel → ⟨frel,erel⟩fas-state-erel
    (fas-impl, fas-state-impl.fas) ∈ R → frel
    (fas-state-impl'.fas-impl-update, fas-update) ∈ (frel → frel) → R → R
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

schematic-goal find-fas-impl:
  fixes V :: ('vi×'v) set
  assumes [autoref-ga-rules]: is-bounded-hashcode V eq bhc
  assumes [autoref-rules]: (eq,=) ∈ V → V → bool-rel
  assumes [autoref-ga-rules]: is-valid-def-hm-size TYPE ('vi) sz
  assumes [autoref-rules]:
    (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, V⟩g-impl-rel-ext
  notes [autoref-tyrel] =
    TYRELI[where R=⟨V⟩ahs-rel bhc]
    TYRELI[where R=⟨V ×r V⟩ahs-rel (prod-bhc bhc bhc)]
    TYRELI[where R=⟨V ×r ⟨V⟩list-set-rel⟩ras-rel]
  shows RETURN (?c::?'c) ≤↓?R (find-fas-impl G)
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition find-fas-code for eq bhc sz Gi uses find-fas-impl
export-code find-fas-code checking SML

```

thm find-fas-code.refine

```

lemma find-fas-code-refine[refine]:
  fixes V :: ('vi×'v) set
  assumes is-bounded-hashcode V eq bhc
  assumes (eq,=) ∈ V → V → bool-rel
  assumes is-valid-def-hm-size TYPE ('vi) sz
  assumes 2: (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, V⟩g-impl-rel-ext
  shows RETURN (find-fas-code eq bhc sz Gi) ≤ ↓(⟨V ×r V⟩ahs-rel (prod-bhc bhc
bhc)) (find-fas G)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

context begin interpretation autoref-syn ⟨proof⟩

Declare this algorithm to Autoref:

```

theorem find-fas-code-autoref[autoref-rules]:
  fixes V :: ('vi×'v) set and bhc
  defines RR ≡ ⟨⟨V ×r V⟩ahs-rel (prod-bhc bhc bhc)⟩nres-rel
  assumes BHC: SIDE-GEN-ALGO (is-bounded-hashcode V eq bhc)
  assumes EQ: GEN-OP eq (=) (V → V → bool-rel)
  assumes VDS: SIDE-GEN-ALGO (is-valid-def-hm-size TYPE ('vi) sz)
  assumes 2: (Gi, G) ∈ ⟨Rm, V⟩g-impl-rel-ext
  shows (RETURN (find-fas-code eq bhc sz Gi),
  (OP find-fas
   :: ⟨Rm, V⟩g-impl-rel-ext → RR)$G) ∈ RR
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

2.4.5 Feedback Arc Set with Initialization

This algorithm extends a given set to a feedback arc set. It works in two steps:

1. Determine set of reachable nodes
2. Construct feedback arc set for graph without initial set

```
definition find-fas-init where
  find-fas-init G FI ≡ do {
    ASSERT (graph G);
    ASSERT (finite ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G));
    let nodes = (g-E G)* “ g-V0 G;
    fas ← find-fas () g-V = g-V G, g-E = g-E G – FI, g-V0 = nodes ();
    RETURN (FI ∪ fas)
  }
```

The abstract idea: To find a feedback arc set that contains some set F2, we can find a feedback arc set for the graph with F2 removed, and then join with F2.

```
lemma is-fas-join: is-fas G (F1 ∪ F2) ↔
  is-fas () g-V = g-V G, g-E = g-E G – F2, g-V0 = (g-E G)* “ g-V0 G () F1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma graphI-init:
  assumes graph G
  shows graph () g-V = g-V G, g-E = g-E G – FI, g-V0 = (g-E G)* “ g-V0 G ()
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma find-fas-init-correct:
  assumes [simp, intro!]: graph G
  assumes [simp, intro!]: finite ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G)
  shows find-fas-init G FI ≤ SPEC (λfas. is-fas G fas ∧ FI ⊆ fas)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gen-cast-set[autoref-rules-raw]:
  assumes PRIO-TAG-GEN-ALGO
  assumes INS: GEN-OP ins Set.insert (Rk → ⟨Rk⟩Rs2 → ⟨Rk⟩Rs2)
  assumes EM: GEN-OP emp {} ((Rk)Rs2)
  assumes IT: SIDE-GEN-ALGO (is-set-to-list Rk Rs1 tsl)
  shows (λs. gen-union (λx. foldli (tsl x)) ins s emp, CAST)
    ∈ ((Rk)Rs1) → ((Rk)Rs2)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gen-cast-fun-set-rel[autoref-rules-raw]:
  assumes INS: GEN-OP mem (∈) (Rk → ⟨Rk⟩Rs → bool-rel)
  shows (λs x. mem x s, CAST) ∈ ((Rk)Rs) → ((Rk)fun-set-rel)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma find-fas-init-impl-aux-unfolds:
  Let (E* “V0) = Let (CAST (E* “V0))
```

$(\lambda S. \text{RETURN} (FI \cup S)) = (\lambda S. \text{RETURN} (FI \cup \text{CAST } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

schematic-goal find-fas-init-impl:
  fixes  $V :: ('vi \times 'v)$  set and  $bhc$ 
  assumes [autoref-ga-rules]: is-bounded-hashcode  $V eq bhc$ 
  assumes [autoref-rules]:  $(eq, (=)) \in V \rightarrow V \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ 
  assumes [autoref-ga-rules]: is-valid-def-hm-size  $\text{TYPE} ('vi) sz$ 
  assumes [autoref-rules]:
     $(Gi, G) \in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext}$ 
     $(FIi, FI) \in \langle V \times_r V \rangle \text{fun-set-rel}$ 
  shows  $\text{RETURN} (?c :: ?'c) \leq \Downarrow ?R (\text{find-fas-init } G FI)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

concrete-definition find-fas-init-code for  $eq bhc sz Gi FIi$ 
  uses find-fas-init-impl
  export-code find-fas-init-code checking SML

context begin interpretation autoref-syn  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

The following theorem declares our implementation to Autoref:

```

theorem find-fas-init-code-autoref[autoref-rules]:
  fixes  $V :: ('vi \times 'v)$  set and  $bhc$ 
  defines  $RR \equiv \langle V \times_r V \rangle \text{fun-set-rel}$ 
  assumes SIDE-GEN-ALGO (is-bounded-hashcode  $V eq bhc$ )
  assumes GEN-OP  $eq (=) (V \rightarrow V \rightarrow \text{bool-rel})$ 
  assumes SIDE-GEN-ALGO (is-valid-def-hm-size  $\text{TYPE} ('vi) sz$ )
  shows  $(\lambda Gi FIi. \text{RETURN} (\text{find-fas-init-code} eq bhc sz Gi FIi), \text{find-fas-init})$ 
     $\in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext} \rightarrow RR \rightarrow \langle RR \rangle nres-rel$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

2.4.6 Conclusion

We have defined an algorithm to find a feedback arc set, and one to extend a given set to a feedback arc set. We have registered them to Autoref as implementations for *find-fas* and *find-fas-init*.

For preliminary refinement steps, you need the theorems *find-fas-correct* and *find-fas-init-correct*.

```

thm find-fas-code-autoref find-fas-init-code-autoref
thm find-fas-correct thm find-fas-init-correct

```

```

end

```

2.5 Nested DFS

```
theory Nested-DFS
imports DFS-Find-Path
begin
```

Nested DFS is a standard method for Buchi-Automaton emptiness check.

2.5.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

lemma *closed-restrict-aux*:

```
assumes CL:  $E^* F \subseteq F \cup S$ 
assumes NR:  $E^* U \cap S = \emptyset$ 
assumes SS:  $U \subseteq F$ 
shows  $E^* U \subseteq F$ 
```

— Auxiliary lemma to show that nodes reachable from a finished node must be finished if, additionally, no stack node is reachable
(proof)

2.5.2 Instantiation of the Framework

```
record 'v blue-dfs-state = 'v state +
  lasso :: ('v list × 'v list) option
  red :: 'v set
```

type-synonym $'v\ blue\dfs-param = ('v, ('v, unit)\ blue\dfs-state-ext)\ parameterization$

lemma *lasso-more-cong*[*cong*]: $\text{state.more } s = \text{state.more } s' \implies \text{lasso } s = \text{lasso } s'$
(proof)

lemma *red-more-cong*[*cong*]: $\text{state.more } s = \text{state.more } s' \implies \text{red } s = \text{red } s'$
(proof)

lemma [*simp*]: $s(\text{state.more} := (\text{lasso} = \text{foo}, \text{red} = \text{bar})) = s (\text{lasso} := \text{foo}, \text{red} := \text{bar})$
(proof)

abbreviation *dropWhileNot* $v \equiv \text{dropWhile } ((\neq) v)$
abbreviation *takeWhileNot* $v \equiv \text{takeWhile } ((\neq) v)$

```
locale BlueDFS-defs = graph-defs G
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme +
  fixes accept :: 'v ⇒ bool
begin
```

```
definition blue s ≡ dom (finished s) – red s
definition cyan s ≡ set (stack s)
definition white s ≡ – dom (discovered s)
```

Schwoon-Esparza extension

definition *se-back-edge u v s* \equiv *case lasso s of*

None \Rightarrow

- it's a back edge, so *u* and *v* are both on stack
- we differentiate whether *u* or *v* is the 'culprit'
- to generate a better counter example

if accpt u then

```

let rs = rev (tl (stack s));
ur = rs;
ul = u#dropWhileNot v rs
in RETURN (lippo = Some (ur,ul), red = red s)
else if accpt v then
let rs = rev (stack s);
vr = takeWhileNot v rs;
vl = dropWhileNot v rs
in RETURN (lippo = Some (vr,vl), red = red s)
else NOOP s
| -  $\Rightarrow$  NOOP s
```

```

definition blue-dfs-params :: 'v blue-dfs-param
  where blue-dfs-params = ()
  on-init = RETURN () lasso = None, red = {} (),
  on-new-root = λv0 s. NOOP s,
  on-discover = λu v s. NOOP s,
  on-finish = λu s. if accpt u then run-red-dfs u s else NOOP s,
  on-back-edge = se-back-edge,
  on-cross-edge = λu v s. NOOP s,
  is-break = λs. lasso s ≠ None ()

```

schematic-goal *blue-dfs-params-simps*[*simp*]:

```

on-init blue-dfs-params = ?OI
on-new-root blue-dfs-params = ?ONR
on-discover blue-dfs-params = ?OD
on-finish blue-dfs-params = ?OF
on-back-edge blue-dfs-params = ?OBE
on-cross-edge blue-dfs-params = ?OCE
is-break blue-dfs-params = ?IB
⟨proof⟩

sublocale param-DFS-defs G blue-dfs-params
⟨proof⟩

end

locale BlueDFS = BlueDFS-defs G accpt + param-DFS G blue-dfs-params
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme and accpt :: 'v ⇒ bool

lemma BlueDFSI:
  assumes fb-graph G
  shows BlueDFS G
⟨proof⟩

locale BlueDFS-invar = BlueDFS +
  DFS-invar where param = blue-dfs-params

context BlueDFS-defs begin

lemma BlueDFS-invar-eq[simp]:
  shows DFS-invar G blue-dfs-params s ↔ BlueDFS-invar G accpt s
⟨proof⟩

end

```

2.5.3 Correctness Proof

```

context BlueDFS begin

definition blue-basic-invar s ≡
  case lasso s of
    None ⇒ restr-invar E (red s) (λx. x ∈ set (stack s))
      ∧ red s ⊆ dom (finished s)
    | Some l ⇒ True

lemma (in BlueDFS-invar) red-DFS-precond-aux:
  assumes BI: blue-basic-invar s
  assumes [simp]: lasso s = None
  assumes SNE: stack s ≠ []
  shows

```

```

fb-graph (G () g-V0 := {hd (stack s)} ())
and fb-graph (G () g-E := E ∩ UNIV × – red s, g-V0 := {hd (stack s)} ())
and restr-invar E (red s) (λx. x ∈ set (stack s))
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in BlueDFS-invar) red-dfs-pres-bbi:
assumes BI: blue-basic-invar s
assumes [simp]: lasso s = None and SNE: stack s ≠ []
assumes pending s “ {hd (stack s)} = {}
shows run-red-dfs (hd (stack s)) (finish (hd (stack s)) s) ≤n
SPEC (λe.
  DFS-invar G blue-dfs-params (finish (hd (stack s)) s()state.more := e()))
  → blue-basic-invar (finish (hd (stack s)) s()state.more := e())))
⟨proof⟩

lemma blue-basic-invar: is-invar blue-basic-invar
⟨proof⟩

lemmas (in BlueDFS-invar) s-blue-basic-invar
= blue-basic-invar[THEN make-invar-thm]

lemmas (in BlueDFS-invar) red-DFS-precond
= red-DFS-precond-aux[OF s-blue-basic-invar]

sublocale DFS G blue-dfs-params
⟨proof⟩

end

context BlueDFS-invar
begin

context assumes [simp]: lasso s = None
begin
  lemma red-closed:
    E “ red s ⊆ red s
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma red-stack-disjoint:
    set (stack s) ∩ red s = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma red-finished: red s ⊆ dom (finished s)
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma all-nodes-colored: white s ∪ blue s ∪ cyan s ∪ red s = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma colors-disjoint:
  white s  $\cap$  (blue s  $\cup$  cyan s  $\cup$  red s) = {}
  blue s  $\cap$  (white s  $\cup$  cyan s  $\cup$  red s) = {}
  cyan s  $\cap$  (white s  $\cup$  blue s  $\cup$  red s) = {}
  red s  $\cap$  (white s  $\cup$  blue s  $\cup$  cyan s) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in BlueDFS) i-no-accpt-cycle-in-finish:
  is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{lasso } s = \text{None} \longrightarrow (\forall x. \text{accpt } x \wedge x \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \longrightarrow (x,x) \notin E^+)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma no-accpt-cycle-in-finish:
  [ $\text{lasso } s = \text{None}; \text{accpt } v; v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$ ]  $\Longrightarrow (v,v) \notin E^+$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context BlueDFS
begin
  definition lasso-inv where
    lasso-inv s  $\equiv \forall pr\ pl. \text{lasso } s = \text{Some } (pr,pl) \longrightarrow$ 
     $pl \neq [] \wedge (\exists v0 \in V0. \text{path } E\ v0\ pr\ (\text{hd } pl)) \wedge \text{accpt } (\text{hd } pl) \wedge \text{path } E\ (\text{hd } pl)\ pl\ (\text{hd } pl)$ 

  lemma (in BlueDFS-invar) se-back-edge-lasso-inv:
    assumes b-inv: lasso-inv s
    and ne: stack s  $\neq []$ 
    and R: lasso s = None
    and p:(hd (stack s), v)  $\in$  pending s
    and v: v  $\in$  dom (discovered s) v  $\notin$  dom (finished s)
    and s': s' = back-edge (hd (stack s)) v (s'(|pending := pending s - {(u,v)}|))
    shows se-back-edge (hd (stack s)) v s'
     $\leq \text{SPEC}(\lambda e. \text{DFS-invar } G\ \text{blue-dfs-params } (s'(|state.more := e|)) \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{lasso-inv } (s'(|state.more := e|)))$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma lasso-inv:
    is-invar lasso-inv
    ⟨proof⟩

end

context BlueDFS-invar
begin

```

```
lemmas s-lasso-inv = lasso-inv[THEN make-invar-thm]
```

lemma

```
assumes lasso s = Some (pr,pl)
shows loop-nonempty: pl ≠ []
and accpt-loop: accpt (hd pl)
and loop-is-path: path E (hd pl) pl (hd pl)
and loop-reachable: ∃ v0 ∈ V0. path E v0 pr (hd pl)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma blue-dfs-correct:

```
assumes NC: ¬ cond s
shows case lasso s of
  None ⇒ ¬(∃ v0 ∈ V0. ∃ v. (v0,v) ∈ E* ∧ accpt v ∧ (v,v) ∈ E+)
  | Some (pr,pl) ⇒ (∃ v0 ∈ V0. ∃ v.
    path E v0 pr v ∧ accpt v ∧ pl ≠ [] ∧ path E v pl v)
⟨proof⟩
```

end

2.5.4 Interface

interpretation BlueDFS-defs for G accpt ⟨proof⟩

definition nested-dfs-spec G accpt ≡ λr. case r of

```
None ⇒ ¬(∃ v0 ∈ g-V0 G. ∃ v. (v0,v) ∈ (g-E G)* ∧ accpt v ∧ (v,v) ∈ (g-E G)+)
| Some (pr,pl) ⇒ (∃ v0 ∈ g-V0 G. ∃ v.
  path (g-E G) v0 pr v ∧ accpt v ∧ pl ≠ [] ∧ path (g-E G) v pl v)
```

definition nested-dfs G accpt ≡ do {

```
ASSERT (fb-graph G);
s ← it-dfs TYPE('a) G accpt;
RETURN (lasso s)
}
```

theorem nested-dfs-correct:

```
assumes fb-graph G
shows nested-dfs G accpt ≤ SPEC (nested-dfs-spec G accpt)
⟨proof⟩
```

2.5.5 Implementation

record 'v bdfs-state-impl = 'v simple-state +
lasso-impl :: ('v list × 'v list) option
red-impl :: 'v set

definition bdfs-erel ≡ {((lasso-impl=li,red-impl=ri),(lasso=l, red=r))
| li ri l r. li=l ∧ ri=r}

abbreviation bdfs-rel ≡ ⟨bdfs-erel⟩simple-state-rel

```

definition mk-blue-witness-impl
:: 'v bdfs-state-impl ⇒ 'v fpr-result ⇒ ('v,unit) bdfs-state-impl-ext
where
mk-blue-witness-impl s redS ≡
  case redS of
    Inl R' ⇒ () lasso-impl = None, red-impl = (R' ↳ red-impl ↳)
  | Inr (vs, v) ⇒ let
    rs = rev (map fst (CAST (ss-stack s)))
    in ()
    lasso-impl = Some (rs, vs@dropWhileNot v rs),
    red-impl = red-impl s)

lemma mk-blue-witness-impl[refine]:
[[ (si,s) ∈ bdfs-rel; (ri,r) ∈ ⟨Id,⟨Id⟩list-rel ×r Id⟩sum-rel ]]
⇒ (mk-blue-witness-impl si ri, mk-blue-witness s r) ∈ bdfs-erel
⟨proof⟩

definition cyan-impl s ≡ on-stack s
lemma cyan-impl[refine]: [[(si,s) ∈ bdfs-rel]] ⇒ (cyan-impl si, cyan s) ∈ Id
⟨proof⟩

definition run-red-dfs-impl
:: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme ⇒ 'v ⇒ 'v bdfs-state-impl ⇒ ('v,unit) bdfs-state-impl-ext
nres
where
run-red-dfs-impl G u s ≡ case lasso-impl s of None ⇒ do {
  redS ← red-dfs TYPE('more) G (red-impl s) (λx. x = u ∨ x ∈ cyan-impl
s) u;
  RETURN (mk-blue-witness-impl s redS)
}
| - ⇒ RETURN (simple-state.more s)

lemma run-red-dfs-impl[refine]: [[(Gi,G) ∈ Id; (ui,u) ∈ Id; (si,s) ∈ bdfs-rel]]
⇒ run-red-dfs-impl Gi ui si ≤↓ bdfs-erel (run-red-dfs TYPE('a) G u s)
⟨proof⟩

definition se-back-edge-impl accept u v s ≡ case lasso-impl s of
None ⇒
  if accpt u then
    let rs = rev (map fst (tl (CAST (ss-stack s))));;
    ur = rs;
    ul = u#dropWhileNot v rs
    in RETURN (lasso-impl = Some (ur,ul), red-impl = red-impl s)
  else if accpt v then
    let rs = rev (map fst (CAST (ss-stack s)));
    vr = takeWhileNot v rs;
    vl = dropWhileNot v rs
    in RETURN (lasso-impl = Some (vr,vl), red-impl = red-impl s)

```

```

else RETURN (simple-state.more s)
| - ⇒ RETURN (simple-state.more s)

lemma se-back-edge-impl[refine]: [ (accpti,accpt) ∈ Id; (ui,u) ∈ Id; (vi,v) ∈ Id; (si,s) ∈ bdfs-rel ]
  ==> se-back-edge-impl accpt ui vi si ≤↓ bdfs-erel (se-back-edge accpt u v s)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma NOOP-impl: (si, s) ∈ bdfs-rel
  ==> RETURN (simple-state.more si) ≤↓ bdfs-erel (NOOP s)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition bdfs-params-impl
  :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme ⇒ ('v ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('v, 'v bdfs-state-impl, ('v, unit) bdfs-state-impl-ext)
gen-parameterization
where bdfs-params-impl G accpt ≡ []
  on-init = RETURN (lasso-impl = None, red-impl = {}),
  on-new-root = λv0 s. RETURN (simple-state.more s),
  on-discover = λu v s. RETURN (simple-state.more s),
  on-finish = λu s.
    if accpt u then run-red-dfs-impl G u s else RETURN (simple-state.more s),
  on-back-edge = se-back-edge-impl accpt,
  on-cross-edge = λu v s. RETURN (simple-state.more s),
  is-break = λs. lasso-impl s ≠ None ∅

```

```

lemmas bdfs-params-impl-simps[simp, DFS-code-unfold] =
  gen-parameterization.simps[mk-record-simp, OF bdfs-params-impl-def]

```

```

interpretation impl: simple-impl-defs G bdfs-params-impl G accpt blue-dfs-params
TYPE('a) G accpt
  for G accpt ⟨proof⟩

```

```

context BlueDFS begin

```

```

sublocale impl: simple-impl G blue-dfs-params bdfs-params-impl G accpt bdfs-erel
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas impl = impl.simple-tailrec-refine
end

```

```

definition nested-dfs-impl G accpt ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  s ← impl.tailrec-impl TYPE('a) G accpt;
  RETURN (lasso-impl s)
}

```

```

lemma nested-dfs-impl[refine]:
  assumes ( $Gi, G \in Id$ )
  assumes ( $accpti, accpt \in Id$ )
  shows nested-dfs-impl  $Gi \ accpti \leq \Downarrow (\langle\langle Id \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r \langle Id \rangle list\text{-}rel \rangle option\text{-}rel)$ 
    (nested-dfs  $G \ accpt$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.5.6 Synthesis of Executable Code

```

record ('v,'si,'nsi) bdfs-state-impl' = ('si,'nsi) simple-state-impl +
  lasso-impl' :: ('v list  $\times$  'v list) option
  red-impl' :: 'nsi

definition [to-relAPP]: bdfs-state-erel'  $Vi \equiv \{$ 
   $((lasso\text{-}impl' = li, red\text{-}impl' = ri), (lasso\text{-}impl = l, red\text{-}impl = r)) \mid li \ ri \ l \ r.$ 
   $(li, l) \in \langle\langle Vi \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r \langle Vi \rangle list\text{-}rel \rangle option\text{-}rel \wedge (ri, r) \in \langle Vi \rangle dftt\text{-}ahs\text{-}rel\}$ 
 $\}$ 

consts
  i-bdfs-state-ext :: interface  $\Rightarrow$  interface

lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of bdfs-state-erel' i-bdfs-state-ext]

lemma [autoref-rules]:
  fixes ns-rel vis-rel  $Vi$ 
  defines  $R \equiv \langle ns\text{-}rel, vis\text{-}rel, \langle Vi \rangle bdfs\text{-}state\text{-}erel' \rangle ss\text{-}impl\text{-}rel$ 
  shows
    (bdfs-state-impl'-ext, bdfs-state-impl-ext)
     $\in \langle\langle Vi \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r \langle Vi \rangle list\text{-}rel \rangle option\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle Vi \rangle dftt\text{-}ahs\text{-}rel \rightarrow unit\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle Vi \rangle bdfs\text{-}state\text{-}erel'$ 
    (lasso-impl', lasso-impl)  $\in R \rightarrow \langle\langle Vi \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r \langle Vi \rangle list\text{-}rel \rangle option\text{-}rel$ 
    (red-impl', red-impl)  $\in R \rightarrow \langle Vi \rangle dftt\text{-}ahs\text{-}rel$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic-goal nested-dfs-code:
  assumes Vid:  $V = (Id :: ('v::hashable \times 'v) set)$ 
  assumes [unfolded Vid, autoref-rules]:
    ( $Gi, G \in \langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-}impl\text{-}rel\text{-}ext$ )
    ( $accpti, accpt \in (V \rightarrow bool\text{-}rel)$ )
  notes [unfolded Vid, autoref-tirel] =
    TYRELI[where  $R = \langle V \rangle dftt\text{-}ahs\text{-}rel$ ]
    TYRELI[where  $R = \langle V \rangle ras\text{-}rel$ ]
  shows (nres-of ?c, nested-dfs-impl  $G \ accpt$ )
     $\in \langle\langle\langle V \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r \langle V \rangle list\text{-}rel \rangle option\text{-}rel \rangle nres\text{-}rel$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

concrete-definition nested-dfs-code uses nested-dfs-code

export-code nested-dfs-code checking SML

```

2.5.7 Conclusion

We have implemented an efficiently executable nested DFS algorithm. The following theorem declares this implementation to the Autoref tool, such that it uses it to synthesize efficient code for *nested-dfs*. Note that you will need the lemma *nested-dfs-correct* to link *nested-dfs* to an abstract specification, which is usually done in a previous refinement step.

```
theorem nested-dfs-autoref[autoref-rules]:
  assumes PREFER-id V
  shows  $(\lambda G \text{ accpt. } nres\text{-of } (\text{nested-dfs-code } G \text{ accpt}), \text{nested-dfs}) \in$ 
     $\langle Rm, V \rangle g\text{-impl-rel-ext} \rightarrow (V \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}) \rightarrow$ 
     $\langle \langle \langle V \rangle \text{list-rel} \times_r \langle V \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle \text{option-rel} \rangle nres\text{-rel}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
```

2.6 Invariants for Tarjan's Algorithm

```
theory Tarjan-LowLink
imports
  ../DFS-Framework
  ../Invars/DFS-Invars-SCC
begin

context param-DFS-defs begin

  definition
    lowlink-path  $s v p w \equiv path E v p w \wedge p \neq []$ 
       $\wedge (last p, w) \in cross\text{-edges } s \cup back\text{-edges } s$ 
       $\wedge (length p > 1 \longrightarrow p!1 \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s))$ 
       $\wedge (\forall k < length p - 1. (p!k, p!Suc k) \in tree\text{-edges } s))$ 

  definition
    lowlink-set  $s v \equiv \{w \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s).$ 
       $v = w$ 
       $\vee (v, w) \in E^+ \wedge (w, v) \in E^+$ 
       $\wedge (\exists p. \text{lowlink-path } s v p w)\}$ 

  context begin interpretation timing-syntax  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  abbreviation LowLink where
     $LowLink s v \equiv Min (\delta s ` lowlink-set s v)$ 
  end

  end

  context DFS-invar begin
```

```

lemma lowlink-setI:
  assumes lowlink-path s v p w
  and w ∈ dom (discovered s)
  and (v,w) ∈ E* (w,v) ∈ E*
  shows w ∈ lowlink-set s v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lowlink-set-discovered:
  lowlink-set s v ⊆ dom (discovered s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lowlink-set-finite[simp, intro!]:
  finite (lowlink-set s v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lowlink-set-not-empty:
  assumes v ∈ dom (discovered s)
  shows lowlink-set s v ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lowlink-path-single:
  assumes (v,w) ∈ cross-edges s ∪ back-edges s
  shows lowlink-path s v [v] w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lowlink-path-Cons:
  assumes lowlink-path s v (x#xs) w
  and xs ≠ []
  shows ∃ u. lowlink-path s u xs w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lowlink-path-in-tree:
  assumes p: lowlink-path s v p w
  and j: j < length p
  and k: k < j
  shows (p!k, p!j) ∈ (tree-edges s) +
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lowlink-path-finished:
  assumes p: lowlink-path s v p w
  and j: j < length p j > 0
  shows p!j ∈ dom (finished s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lowlink-path-tree-prepend:
  assumes p: lowlink-path s v p w
  and tree-edges: (u,v) ∈ (tree-edges s) +
  and fin: u ∈ dom (finished s) ∨ (stack s ≠ [] ∧ u = hd (stack s))

```

shows $\exists p. \text{lowlink-path } s u p w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lowlink-path-complex*:
assumes $(u,v) \in (\text{tree-edges } s)^+$
and $u \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s) \vee (\text{stack } s \neq [] \wedge u = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s))$
and $(v,w) \in \text{cross-edges } s \cup \text{back-edges } s$
shows $\exists p. \text{lowlink-path } s u p w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-path-imp-no-lowlink-path*:
assumes $\text{edges } s `` \{v\} = \{\}$
shows $\neg \text{lowlink-path } s v p w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context begin interpretation *timing-syntax* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *LowLink-le-disc*:
assumes $v \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s)$
shows $\text{LowLink } s v \leq \delta s v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *LowLink-lessE*:
assumes $\text{LowLink } s v < x$
and $v \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s)$
obtains w **where** $\delta s w < x$ $w \in \text{lowlink-set } s v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *LowLink-lessI*:
assumes $y \in \text{lowlink-set } s v$
and $\delta s y < \delta s v$
shows $\text{LowLink } s v < \delta s v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *LowLink-eqI*:
assumes $\text{DFS-invar } G \text{ param } s'$
assumes $\text{sub-}m: \text{discovered } s \subseteq_m \text{discovered } s'$
assumes $\text{sub: } \text{lowlink-set } s w \subseteq \text{lowlink-set } s' w$
and $\text{rev-sub: } \text{lowlink-set } s' w \subseteq \text{lowlink-set } s w \cup X$
and $\text{w-disc: } w \in \text{dom } (\text{discovered } s)$
and $X: \bigwedge x. [x \in X; x \in \text{lowlink-set } s' w] \implies \delta s' x \geq \text{LowLink } s w$
shows $\text{LowLink } s w = \text{LowLink } s' w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *LowLink-eq-disc-iff-scc-root*:
assumes $v \in \text{dom } (\text{finished } s) \vee (\text{stack } s \neq [] \wedge v = \text{hd } (\text{stack } s) \wedge \text{pending } s `` \{v\} = \{\})$
shows $\text{LowLink } s v = \delta s v \longleftrightarrow \text{scc-root } s v (\text{scc-of } E v)$

```

⟨proof⟩
end end
end

```

2.7 Tarjan's Algorithm

```

theory Tarjan
imports
  Tarjan-LowLink
begin

```

We use the DFS Framework to implement Tarjan's algorithm. Note that, currently, we only provide an abstract version, and no refinement to efficient code.

2.7.1 Preliminaries

```

lemma tjs-union:
  fixes tjs u
  defines dw ≡ dropWhile ((≠) u) tjs
  defines tw ≡ takeWhile ((≠) u) tjs
  assumes u ∈ set tjs
  shows set tjs = set (tl dw) ∪ insert u (set tw)
⟨proof⟩

```

2.7.2 Instantiation of the DFS-Framework

```

record 'v tarjan-state = 'v state +
  sccs :: 'v set set
  lowlink :: 'v → nat
  tj-stack :: 'v list

```

type-synonym 'v tarjan-param = ('v, ('v, unit) tarjan-state-ext) parameterization

abbreviation the-lowlink s v ≡ the (lowlink s v)

```

context timing-syntax
begin
  notation the-lowlink (ζ)
end

```

```

locale Tarjan-def = graph-defs G
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
begin
  context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩

```

```

    definition tarjan-disc :: 'v ⇒ 'v tarjan-state ⇒ ('v, unit) tarjan-state-ext nres
  where
    tarjan-disc v s = RETURN () sccs = sccs s,

```

$lowlink = (lowlink s)(v \mapsto \delta s v),$
 $tj-stack = v \# tj-stack s)$

definition $tj-stack-pop :: 'v list \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('v list \times 'v set) nres$ **where**
 $tj-stack-pop tjs u = RETURN (tl (dropWhile ((\neq) u) tjs), insert u (set (takeWhile ((\neq) u) tjs)))$

lemma $tj-stack-pop-set:$

$tj-stack-pop tjs u \leq SPEC (\lambda(tjs', scc). u \in set tjs \rightarrow set tjs = set tjs' \cup scc \wedge u \in scc)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $tj-stack-pop-set-leof-rule = weaken-SPEC[OF tj-stack-pop-set, THEN leof-lift]$

definition $tarjan-fin :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v tarjan-state \Rightarrow ('v, unit) tarjan-state-ext nres$
where

```

tarjan-fin v s = do {
    let ll = (if stack s = [] then lowlink s
              else let u = hd (stack s) in
                   (lowlink s)(u \mapsto min (\zeta s u) (\zeta s v)));
    let s' = s[] lowlink := ll [];
    ASSERT (v \in set (tj-stack s));
    ASSERT (distinct (tj-stack s));
    if \zeta s v = \delta s v then do {
        ASSERT (scc-root' E s v (scc-of E v));
        (tjs, scc) \leftarrow tj-stack-pop (tj-stack s) v;
        RETURN (state.more (s'[] tj-stack := tjs, sccs := insert scc (scs s)[]));
    } else do {
        ASSERT (\neg scc-root' E s v (scc-of E v));
        RETURN (state.more s')
    }
}

```

definition $tarjan-back :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow 'v tarjan-state \Rightarrow ('v, unit) tarjan-state-ext$
 $nres$ **where**

```

tarjan-back u v s = (
    if \delta s v < \delta s u \wedge v \in set (tj-stack s) then
        let ul' = min (\zeta s u) (\delta s v)
        in RETURN (state.more (s[] lowlink := (lowlink s)(u \mapsto ul') []))
    else NOOP s)

```

end

definition $tarjan-params :: 'v tarjan-param$ **where**

```

tarjan-params = []
on-init = RETURN ([] scs = {}, lowlink = Map.empty, tj-stack = [] []),
on-new-root = tarjan-disc,
on-discover = \u. tarjan-disc,
on-finish = tarjan-fin,

```

```

on-back-edge = tarjan-back,
on-cross-edge = tarjan-back,
is-break =  $\lambda s. \text{False} \circ$ 

```

schematic-goal *tarjan-params-simps*[simp]:

```

on-init tarjan-params = ?OI
on-new-root tarjan-params = ?ONR
on-discover tarjan-params = ?OD
on-finish tarjan-params = ?OF
on-back-edge tarjan-params = ?OBE
on-cross-edge tarjan-params = ?OCE
is-break tarjan-params = ?IB
⟨proof⟩

```

```

sublocale param-DFS-defs G tarjan-params ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

locale Tarjan = Tarjan-def G +
  param-DFS G tarjan-params
  for G :: ('v, 'more) graph-rec-scheme
begin

```

lemma [simp]:

```

sccs (empty-state (sccs = s, lowlink = l, tj-stack = t)) = s
lowlink (empty-state (sccs = s, lowlink = l, tj-stack = t)) = l
tj-stack (empty-state (sccs = s, lowlink = l, tj-stack = t)) = t
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *sccs-more-cong*[cong]:*state.more* s = *state.more* s' \implies *sccs* s = *sccs* s'

⟨proof⟩

lemma *lowlink-more-cong*[cong]:*state.more* s = *state.more* s' \implies *lowlink* s = *lowlink* s'

⟨proof⟩

lemma *tj-stack-more-cong*[cong]:*state.more* s = *state.more* s' \implies *tj-stack* s = *tj-stack* s'

⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]:

```

s () state.more := (sccs = sc, lowlink = l, tj-stack = t)
= s () sccs := sc, lowlink := l, tj-stack := t
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

locale Tarjan-invar = Tarjan +
  DFS-invar where param = tarjan-params

```

context Tarjan-def begin

lemma Tarjan-invar-eq[simp]:

DFS-invar G tarjan-params s \longleftrightarrow Tarjan-invar G s (**is** ?D \longleftrightarrow ?T)

```

⟨proof⟩
end

```

2.7.3 Correctness Proof

```

context Tarjan begin
  lemma i-tj-stack-discovered:
    is-invar (λs. set (tj-stack s) ⊆ dom (discovered s))
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemmas (in Tarjan-invar) tj-stack-discovered =
    i-tj-stack-discovered[THEN make-invar-thm]

  lemma i-tj-stack-distinct:
    is-invar (λs. distinct (tj-stack s))
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemmas (in Tarjan-invar) tj-stack-distinct =
    i-tj-stack-distinct[THEN make-invar-thm]

  context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩
    lemma i-tj-stack-incr-disc:
      is-invar (λs. ∀k < length (tj-stack s). ∀j < k. δ s (tj-stack s ! j) > δ s (tj-stack s ! k))
      ⟨proof⟩
    end end

  context Tarjan-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩
    lemma tj-stack-incr-disc:
      assumes k < length (tj-stack s)
      and j < k
      shows δ s (tj-stack s ! j) > δ s (tj-stack s ! k)
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma tjs-disc-dw-tw:
      fixes u
      defines dw ≡ dropWhile ((≠) u) (tj-stack s)
      defines tw ≡ takeWhile ((≠) u) (tj-stack s)
      assumes x ∈ set dw y ∈ set tw
      shows δ s x < δ s y
      ⟨proof⟩
    end end

  context Tarjan begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩
    lemma i-sccs-finished-stack-ss-tj-stack:
      is-invar (λs. ∪(sccs s) ⊆ dom (finished s) ∧ set (stack s) ⊆ set (tj-stack s))
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma i-tj-stack-ss-stack-finished:

```

```

is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{set}(\text{tj-stack } s) \subseteq \text{set}(\text{stack } s) \cup \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma i-finished-ss-sccs-tj-stack:
  is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \subseteq \bigcup(\text{sccs } s) \cup \text{set}(\text{tj-stack } s)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
end end

context Tarjan-invar begin
  lemmas finished-ss-sccs-tj-stack =
    i-finished-ss-sccs-tj-stack[THEN make-invar-thm]

  lemmas tj-stack-ss-stack-finished =
    i-tj-stack-ss-stack-finished[THEN make-invar-thm]

  lemma sccs-finished:
     $\bigcup(\text{sccs } s) \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{finished } s)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma stack-ss-tj-stack:
    set(\text{stack } s) \subseteq \text{set}(\text{tj-stack } s)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma hd-stack-in-tj-stack:
    stack s \neq [] \implies \text{hd}(\text{stack } s) \in \text{set}(\text{tj-stack } s)
    ⟨proof⟩
end

context Tarjan begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩
  lemma i-no-finished-root:
    is-invar ( $\lambda s. \text{scc-root } s r \text{ scc} \wedge r \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{scc}. x \notin \text{set}(\text{tj-stack } s))$ )
    ⟨proof⟩
end end

context Tarjan-invar begin
  lemma no-finished-root:
    assumes scc-root s r scc
    and r ∈ dom(finished s)
    and x ∈ scc
    shows x ∉ set(tj-stack s)
    ⟨proof⟩

  context begin interpretation timing-syntax ⟨proof⟩

    lemma tj-stack-reach-stack:
      assumes u ∈ set(tj-stack s)
      shows ∃ v ∈ set(stack s). (u, v) ∈ E* ∧ δ s v ≤ δ s u
      ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tj-stack-reach-hd-stack:
  assumes  $v \in \text{set}(\text{tj-stack } s)$ 
  shows  $(v, \text{hd}(\text{stack } s)) \in E^*$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma empty-stack-imp-empty-tj-stack:
  assumes  $\text{stack } s = []$ 
  shows  $\text{tj-stack } s = []$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma stacks-eq-iff:  $\text{stack } s = [] \longleftrightarrow \text{tj-stack } s = []$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 
end end

context Tarjan begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 
  lemma i-sccs-are-sccs:
     $\text{is-invar } (\lambda s. \forall \text{scc} \in \text{sccs } s. \text{is-scc } E \text{ scc})$ 
     $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 
  end

  lemmas (in Tarjan-invar) sccs-are-sccs =
    i-sccs-are-sccs[THEN make-invar-thm]

context begin interpretation timing-syntax  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

  lemma i-lowlink-eq-LowLink:
     $\text{is-invar } (\lambda s. \forall x \in \text{dom}(\text{discovered } s). \zeta s x = \text{LowLink } s x)$ 
     $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 
  end end

context Tarjan-invar begin context begin interpretation timing-syntax  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

  lemmas lowlink-eq-LowLink =
    i-lowlink-eq-LowLink[THEN make-invar-thm, rule-format]

  lemma lowlink-eq-disc-iff-scc-root:
    assumes  $v \in \text{dom}(\text{finished } s) \vee (\text{stack } s \neq [] \wedge v = \text{hd}(\text{stack } s) \wedge \text{pending } s$ 
    “ $\{v\} = \{\}$ ”
    shows  $\zeta s v = \delta s v \longleftrightarrow \text{scc-root } s v \text{ (scc-of } E v)$ 
     $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

  lemma nc-sccs-eq-reachable:
    assumes NC:  $\neg \text{cond } s$ 
    shows  $\text{reachable} = \bigcup(\text{sccs } s)$ 
     $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 
  end end

context Tarjan begin

```

```

lemma tarjan-fin-nofail:
  assumes pre-on-finish u s'
  shows nofail (tarjan-fin u s')
  {proof}

sublocale DFS G tarjan-params
  {proof}
end

interpretation tarjan: Tarjan-def for G {proof}

```

2.7.4 Interface

```

definition tarjan G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  s ← tarjan.it-dfs TYPE('a) G;
  RETURN (sccs s) }

definition tarjan-spec G ≡ do {
  ASSERT (fb-graph G);
  SPEC (λsccs. ( ∀ scc ∈ sccs. is-scc (g-E G) scc)
    ∧ ∪ sccs = tarjan.reachable TYPE('a) G) }

lemma tarjan-correct:
  tarjan G ≤ tarjan-spec G
  {proof}

end

```