# Compositional properties of crypto-based components

Maria Spichkova

March 19, 2025

#### Abstract

This paper presents an Isabelle/HOL [1] set of theories which allows to specify crypto-based components and to verify their composition properties wrt. cryptographic aspects. We introduce a formalisation of the security property of data secrecy, the corresponding definitions and proofs. A part of these definitions is based on [3].

Please note that here we import the Isabelle/HOL theory ListExtras.thy, presented in [2].

### Contents

1	Auxiliary data types	2
2	Correctness of the relations between sets of Input/Output channels	2
3	Secrecy: Definitions and properties	4
4	Local Secrets of a component	17
5	Knowledge of Keys and Secrets	22

## 1 Auxiliary data types

theory Secrecy-types

```
imports Main
begin
— We assume disjoint sets: Data of data values,
— Secrets of unguessable values, Keys - set of cryptographic keys.
— Based on these sets, we specify the sets EncType of encryptors that may be
— used for encryption or decryption, and Expression of expression items.
— The specification (component) identifiers should be listed in the set specID,
— the channel indentifiers should be listed in the set chanID.
datatype Keys = CKey \mid CKeyP \mid SKey \mid SKeyP \mid genKey
datatype Secrets = secretD \mid N \mid NA
type-synonym Var = nat
type-synonym Data = nat
                  = kKS Keys \mid sKS Secrets
datatype KS
datatype EncType = kEnc Keys | vEnc Var
datatype \ specID = sComp1 \mid sComp2 \mid sComp3 \mid sComp4
\mathbf{datatype}\ Expression = kE\ Keys \mid sE\ Secrets \mid dE\ Data \mid idE\ specID
datatype chanID = ch1 \mid ch2 \mid ch3 \mid ch4
primrec Expression2KSL:: Expression\ list \Rightarrow KS\ list
where
  Expression2KSL [] = [] |
  Expression 2KSL (x\#xs) =
    ((case \ x \ of \ (kE \ m) \Rightarrow [kKS \ m])
               |(sE\ m) \Rightarrow [sKS\ m]
               |(dE\ m)\Rightarrow[]
               |(idE\ m) \Rightarrow []) @ Expression2KSL\ xs)
primrec KS2Expression:: KS \Rightarrow Expression
where
```

## 2 Correctness of the relations between sets of Input/Output channels

```
theory inout
imports Secrecy-types
begin

consts
  subcomponents :: specID ⇒ specID set
```

 $KS2Expression (kKS m) = (kE m) \mid KS2Expression (sKS m) = (sE m)$ 

end

```
— Mappings, defining sets of input, local, and output channels
```

```
— of a component
```

#### consts

```
ins :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set

loc :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set

out :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set
```

— Predicate insuring the correct mapping from the component identifier

```
— to the set of input channels of a component
```

#### definition

```
inStream :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set \Rightarrow bool where
```

```
inStream \ x \ y \equiv (ins \ x = y)
```

— Predicate insuring the correct mapping from the component identifier

— to the set of local channels of a component

#### definition

```
locStream :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set \Rightarrow bool

where

locStream \ x \ y \equiv (loc \ x = y)
```

— Predicate insuring the correct mapping from the component identifier

— to the set of output channels of a component

#### definition

```
outStream :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set \Rightarrow bool

where

outStream \ x \ y \equiv (out \ x = y)
```

- Predicate insuring the correct relations between
- to the set of input, output and local channels of a component

#### definition

```
correctInOutLoc :: specID \Rightarrow bool
```

#### where

$$\begin{aligned} & correctInOutLoc \ x \equiv \\ & (ins \ x) \cap (out \ x) = \{\} \\ & \wedge (ins \ x) \cap (loc \ x) = \{\} \\ & \wedge (loc \ x) \cap (out \ x) = \{\} \end{aligned}$$

- Predicate insuring the correct relations between
- sets of input channels within a composed component

#### definition

```
correctCompositionIn :: specID \Rightarrow bool
```

```
where
```

```
\begin{array}{l} correctCompositionIn \ x \equiv \\ (ins \ x) = (\bigcup \ (ins \ `(subcomponents \ x)) - (loc \ x)) \\ \wedge \ (ins \ x) \cap (\bigcup \ (out \ `(subcomponents \ x))) = \{\} \end{array}
```

— Predicate insuring the correct relations between

```
sets of output channels within a composed component
definition
  correctCompositionOut :: specID \Rightarrow bool
where
  correctCompositionOut x \equiv
  (out \ x) = (\bigcup \ (out \ `(subcomponents \ x)) - \ (loc \ x))
 \land (out \ x) \cap (\bigcup \ (ins \ `(subcomponents \ x))) = \{\}
— Predicate insuring the correct relations between
— sets of local channels within a composed component
definition
  correctCompositionLoc :: specID \Rightarrow bool
where
  correctCompositionLoc \ x \equiv
  (loc\ x) = \bigcup\ (ins\ `(subcomponents\ x))
         \cap \bigcup (out '(subcomponents x))
— If a component is an elementary one (has no subcomponents)
— its set of local channels should be empty
lemma subcomponents-loc:
assumes correctCompositionLoc x
      and subcomponents x = \{\}
shows loc x = \{\}
\langle proof \rangle
end
3
      Secrecy: Definitions and properties
theory Secrecy
{f imports} Secrecy-types inout ListExtras
begin
— Encryption, decryption, signature creation and signature verification functions
— For these functions we define only their signatures and general axioms,
— because in order to reason effectively, we view them as abstract functions and
— abstract from their implementation details
consts
  Enc :: Keys \Rightarrow Expression \ list \Rightarrow Expression \ list
  Decr :: Keys \Rightarrow Expression \ list \Rightarrow Expression \ list
  Sign :: Keys \Rightarrow Expression \ list \Rightarrow Expression \ list
  Ext :: Keys \Rightarrow Expression \ list \Rightarrow Expression \ list
— Axioms on relations between encription and decription keys
axiomatization
   EncrDecrKeys :: Keys \Rightarrow Keys \Rightarrow bool
where
ExtSign:
EncrDecrKeys\ K1\ K2 \longrightarrow (Ext\ K1\ (Sign\ K2\ E)) = E\  and
```

```
DecrEnc:
EncrDecrKeys\ K1\ K2 \longrightarrow (Decr\ K2\ (Enc\ K1\ E)) = E
— Set of private keys of a component
consts
specKeys :: specID \Rightarrow Keys set
— Set of unguessable values used by a component
specSecrets :: specID \Rightarrow Secrets set
— Join set of private keys and unguessable values used by a component
definition
  specKeysSecrets :: specID \Rightarrow KS set
where
specKeysSecrets\ C \equiv
 \{y : \exists x. y = (kKS x) \land (x \in (specKeys C))\} \cup
 \{z : \exists s. z = (sKS s) \land (s \in (specSecrets C))\}
— Predicate defining that a list of expression items does not contain
— any private key or unguessable value used by a component
definition
  notSpecKeysSecretsExpr::specID \Rightarrow Expression\ list \Rightarrow bool
where
    notSpecKeysSecretsExpr\ P\ e \equiv
    (\forall x. (kE x) mem e \longrightarrow (kKS x) \notin specKeysSecrets P) \land
    (\forall y. (sE y) mem e \longrightarrow (sKS y) \notin specKeysSecrets P)
— If a component is a composite one, the set of its private keys
— is a union of the subcomponents' sets of the private keys
definition
  correctCompositionKeys :: specID \Rightarrow bool
where
  correctCompositionKeys x \equiv
   subcomponents \ x \neq \{\} \longrightarrow
   specKeys \ x = \bigcup (specKeys \ (subcomponents \ x))
— If a component is a composite one, the set of its unguessable values
— is a union of the subcomponents' sets of the unguessable values
definition
  correctCompositionSecrets :: specID \Rightarrow bool
where
  correctCompositionSecrets \ x \equiv
   subcomponents \ x \neq \{\} \longrightarrow
   specSecrets \ x = \bigcup (specSecrets \ (subcomponents \ x))
— If a component is a composite one, the set of its private keys and
  - unguessable values is a union of the corresponding sets of its subcomponents
definition
  correctCompositionKS :: specID \Rightarrow bool
```

```
where
  correctCompositionKS \ x \equiv
   subcomponents \ x \neq \{\} \longrightarrow
   specKeysSecrets \ x = \bigcup (specKeysSecrets \ (subcomponents \ x))
— Predicate defining set of correctness properties of the component's
— interface and relations on its private keys and unguessable values
definition
  correctComponentSecrecy :: specID \Rightarrow bool
where
  correctComponentSecrecy x \equiv
   correctCompositionKS \ x \land
   correctCompositionSecrets \ x \ \land
   correctCompositionKeys \ x \ \land
   correctCompositionLoc \ x \land
   correctCompositionIn \ x \ \land
   correctCompositionOut \ x \ \land
   correctInOutLoc \ x
— Predicate exprChannel I E defines whether the expression item E can be sent
via the channel I
consts
exprChannel :: chanID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
— Predicate eout M sP M E defines whether the component sP may eventually
— output an expression E if there exists a time interval t of
— an output channel which contains this expression E
definition
  eout :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
where
eout\ sP\ E \equiv
 \exists (ch :: chanID). ((ch \in (out sP)) \land (exprChannel ch E))
— Predicate eout sP E defines whether the component sP may eventually
— output an expression E via subset of channels M,
— which is a subset of output channels of sP,
— and if there exists a time interval t of
— an output channel which contains this expression E
definition
  eoutM :: specID \Rightarrow chanID set \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
where
eoutM\ sP\ M\ E
 \exists (ch :: chanID). ((ch \in (out \ sP)) \land (ch \in M) \land (exprChannel \ ch \ E))
— Predicate ineM sP M E defines whether a component sP may eventually
— get an expression E if there exists a time interval t of
  an input stream which contains this expression E
definition
  ine :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
```

```
where
 ine\ sP\ E \equiv
 \exists (ch :: chanID). ((ch \in (ins \ sP)) \land (exprChannel \ ch \ E))
— Predicate ine sP E defines whether a component sP may eventually
— get an expression E via subset of channels M,
— which is a subset of input channels of sP,
— and if there exists a time interval t of
— an input stream which contains this expression E
definition
  ineM :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \ set \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
where
 ineM\ sP\ M\ E
 \exists (ch :: chanID). ((ch \in (ins \ sP)) \land (ch \in M) \land (exprChannel \ ch \ E))
— This predicate defines whether an input channel ch of a component sP
— is the only one input channel of this component
— via which it may eventually output an expression E
definition
  out\text{-}exprChannelSingle :: specID \Rightarrow chanID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
 out\text{-}exprChannelSingle\ sP\ ch\ E\equiv
  (ch \in (out \ sP)) \land
  (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \land
 (\forall (x :: chanID) (t :: nat). ((x \in (out sP)) \land (x \neq ch) \longrightarrow \neg exprChannel x E))
— This predicate yields true if only the channels from the set chSet,
— which is a subset of input channels of the component sP,
— may eventually output an expression E
definition
 out\text{-}exprChannelSet :: specID \Rightarrow chanID set \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
 out-exprChannelSet sP chSet E \equiv
  ((\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in chSet) \longrightarrow ((x \in (out \ sP)) \land (exprChannel \ x \ E))))
  (\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \notin chSet) \land (x \in (out \ sP)) \longrightarrow \neg \ exprChannel \ x \ E)))
— This redicate defines whether
— an input channel ch of a component sP is the only one input channel
— of this component via which it may eventually get an expression E
definition
 ine\text{-}exprChannelSingle::specID \Rightarrow chanID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
 ine-exprChannelSingle sP ch E \equiv
  (ch \in (ins \ sP)) \land
  (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \land
  (\forall (x :: chanID) (t :: nat). ((x \in (ins \ sP)) \land (x \neq ch) \longrightarrow \neg \ exprChannel \ x \ E))
```

— This predicate yields true if the component sP may eventually

```
— get an expression E only via the channels from the set chSet,
— which is a subset of input channels of sP
definition
 ine\text{-}exprChannelSet :: specID \Rightarrow chanID set \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
 ine-exprChannelSet sP chSet E \equiv
  ((\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in chSet) \longrightarrow ((x \in (ins \ sP)) \land (exprChannel \ x \ E))))
  (\forall (x :: chanID). ((x \notin chSet) \land (x \in (ins \ sP)) \longrightarrow \neg \ exprChannel \ x \ E)))
— If a list of expression items does not contain any private key
— or unguessable value of a component P, then the first element
— of the list is neither a private key nor unguessable value of P
\mathbf{lemma}\ not Spec Keys Secrets Expr-L1:
assumes notSpecKeysSecretsExpr\ P\ (a\ \#\ l)
           notSpecKeysSecretsExpr\ P\ [a]
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ not Spec Keys Secrets Expr-L2:
assumes notSpecKeysSecretsExpr\ P\ (a\ \#\ l)
shows
           notSpecKeysSecretsExpr\ P\ l
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{correctCompositionIn\text{-}}L1\colon
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and ch \notin loc PQ
      and ch \in ins P
           ch \in ins PQ
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition In\text{-}L2:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and ch \in ins PQ
shows
           (ch \in ins \ P) \lor (ch \in ins \ Q)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma ineM-L1:
assumes ch \in M
      and ch \in ins P
      and exprChannel \ ch \ E
shows
           ineM\ P\ M\ E
\langle proof \rangle
lemma ineM-ine:
assumes ineM P M E
shows
          ine\ P\ E
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-ine-ineM:
assumes \neg ine P E
```

```
shows
           \neg ineM P M E
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eoutM-eout:
assumes eoutM P M E
           eout PE
shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-eout-eoutM:
assumes \neg eout P E
         \neg eoutM P M E
\mathbf{shows}
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition Keys-subcomp 1:
{\bf assumes}\ correct Composition Keys\ C
       and x \in subcomponents C
       and xb \in specKeys \ C
shows \exists x \in subcomponents C. (xb \in specKeys x)
\langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ correct Composition Secrets-subcomp 1:
{\bf assumes}\ correct Composition Secrets\ C
       and x \in subcomponents C
       and s \in specSecrets C
shows \exists x \in subcomponents C. (s \in specSecrets x)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition Keys-subcomp 2:
{\bf assumes}\ correct Composition Keys\ C
      and xb \in subcomponents C
      and xc \in specKeys \ xb
shows xc \in specKeys \ C
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition Secrets-subcomp 2:
assumes correctCompositionSecrets C
       and xb \in subcomponents C
       and xc \in specSecrets \ xb
shows
            xc \in specSecrets C
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{correctCompKS-Keys} \colon
assumes correctCompositionKS C
shows
           correctCompositionKeys\ C
\langle proof \rangle
lemma correctCompKS-Secrets:
{\bf assumes}\ correct Composition KS\ C
{f shows} correctCompositionSecrets C
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct CompKS\text{-}KeysSecrets:
assumes correctCompositionKeys C
      and correctCompositionSecrets C
shows
          correctCompositionKS C
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-subcomp 1:
assumes correctCompositionKS C
      and h1:x \in subcomponents C
      and xa \in specKeys C
shows
          \exists y \in subcomponents C. (xa \in specKeys y)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma correctCompositionKS-subcomp2:
assumes correctCompositionKS C
      and h1:x \in subcomponents C
      and xa \in specSecrets C
          \exists y \in subcomponents C. xa \in specSecrets y
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-subcomp 3:
assumes correctCompositionKS C
      and x \in subcomponents C
      and xa \in specKeys x
shows
          xa \in specKeys C
\langle proof \rangle
lemma correctCompositionKS-subcomp4:
assumes correctCompositionKS C
      and x \in subcomponents C
       and xa \in specSecrets x
\mathbf{shows}
           xa \in specSecrets C
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-PQ:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and ks \in specKeysSecrets PQ
          ks \in specKeysSecrets \ P \lor ks \in specKeysSecrets \ Q
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-neg1:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and ks \notin specKeysSecrets P
      and ks \notin specKeysSecrets Q
shows ks \notin specKeysSecrets PQ
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-neg P:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
       and correctCompositionKS PQ
       and ks \notin specKeysSecrets PQ
shows
            ks \not \in specKeysSecrets \ P
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-neg Q:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
       and correctCompositionKS PQ
       and ks \notin specKeysSecrets PQ
            ks \notin specKeysSecrets Q
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ out\text{-}exprChannelSingle\text{-}Set:
assumes out-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E
           out-exprChannelSet P \{ch\} E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ out\text{-}exprChannelSet\text{-}Single:
assumes out-exprChannelSet P \{ch\} E
           out-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma\ ine-exprChannelSingle-Set:
assumes ine-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E
 shows ine-exprChannelSet P \{ch\} E
\langle proof \rangle
lemma ine-exprChannelSet-Single:
assumes ine-exprChannelSet P \{ch\} E
          ine-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma ine-ins-neg1:
assumes \neg ine P m
      and exprChannel \ x \ m
shows
          x \notin ins P
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 1a:
assumes ine PQE
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
shows ine P E \lor ine Q E
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
theorem TBtheorem1b:
assumes ineM PQ M E
     and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
shows
          ineM\ P\ M\ E\ \lor\ ineM\ Q\ M\ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 2a:
assumes eout PQ E
     and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
     {\bf and}\ correct Composition Out\ PQ
          eout\ P\ E\ \lor\ eout\ Q\ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem2b:
assumes eoutM PQ M E
     and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
     and correctCompositionOut\ PQ
          eoutM \ P \ M \ E \ \lor \ eoutM \ Q \ M \ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{correctCompositionIn-prop1}:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and x \in (ins PQ)
shows (x \in (ins \ P)) \lor (x \in (ins \ Q))
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition Out\text{-}prop 1:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut\ PQ
      and x \in (out PQ)
shows
          (x \in (out \ P)) \lor (x \in (out \ Q))
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 3a:
assumes \neg (ine P E)
      and \neg (ine QE)
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
shows
          \neg (ine PQ E)
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBlemma3b:
assumes h1:\neg (ineM\ P\ M\ E)
      and h2:\neg (ineM Q M E)
      and subPQ: subcomponents PQ = \{P,Q\}
      and cCompI:correctCompositionIn PQ
      and chM:ch \in M
```

```
and chPQ:ch \in ins PQ
      and eCh:exprChannel\ ch\ E
{f shows} False
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem3b:
assumes \neg (ineM P M E)
      and \neg (ineM Q M E)
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
          \neg (ineM PQ M E)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 4a - empty:
assumes (ine P E) \vee (ine Q E)
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
     and loc\ PQ = \{\}
          ine\ PQ\ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem 4a-P:
assumes ine P E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and \exists ch. (ch \in (ins \ P) \land exprChannel \ ch \ E \land ch \notin (loc \ PQ))
shows
          ine\ PQ\ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem 4b-P:
assumes ineM P M E
     and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
     and \exists ch. ((ch \in (ins Q)) \land (exprChannel ch E) \land
                     (ch \notin (loc PQ)) \land (ch \in M))
shows
          ineM\ PQ\ M\ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem 4a-PQ:
assumes (ine P E) \vee (ine Q E)
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and \exists ch. (((ch \in (ins P)) \lor (ch \in (ins Q))) \land
                      (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \land (ch \notin (loc\ PQ)))
shows
          ine PQ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem 4b-PQ:
assumes (ineM\ P\ M\ E) \lor (ineM\ Q\ M\ E)
```

```
and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and \exists ch. (((ch \in (ins P)) \lor (ch \in (ins Q))) \land
                      (ch \in M) \land (exprChannel \ ch \ E) \land (ch \notin (loc \ PQ)))
shows
            ineM PQ M E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem 4a-notP1:
assumes ine P E
      and \neg ine Q E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and \exists ch. ((ine-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E) \land (ch \in (loc\ PQ)))
           \neg ine PQ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem4b-notP1:
assumes ineM P M E
      and \neg ineM Q M E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and \exists ch. ((ine-exprChannelSingle\ P\ ch\ E) \land (ch \in M)
                  \land (ch \in (loc \ PQ)))
shows
          \neg \ ine M \ PQ \ M \ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem 4a-not P2:
assumes \neg ine Q E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and ine-exprChannelSet P ChSet E
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSet) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
shows
          \neg ine PQ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 4b - not P2:
assumes \neg ineM Q M E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and ine-exprChannelSet\ P\ ChSet\ E
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSet) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
          \neg \ ine M \ PQ \ M \ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem 4a-notPQ:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and ine-exprChannelSet\ P\ ChSetP\ E
      and ine-exprChannelSet\ Q\ ChSetQ\ E
```

```
and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
shows
           \neg ine PQ E
\langle proof \rangle
lemma ineM-Un1:
assumes ineM P A E
          ineM P (A Un B) E
\mathbf{shows}
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 4b - not PQ:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and ine-exprChannelSet\ P\ ChSetP\ E
      {\bf and} \ ine\text{-}exprChannelSet \ Q \ ChSetQ \ E
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
             \neg \ ineM \ PQ \ M \ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ ine-nonempty\text{-}exprChannelSet:
assumes ine-exprChannelSet P ChSet E
      and ChSet \neq \{\}
           ine\ P\ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma ine-empty-exprChannelSet:
assumes ine-exprChannelSet P ChSet E
      and ChSet = \{\}
\mathbf{shows}
           \neg ine P E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 5a-empty:
assumes (eout \ P \ E) \lor (eout \ Q \ E)
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut PQ
      and loc\ PQ = \{\}
           eout PQ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 45 a-P:
assumes eout P E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut\ PQ
      and \exists ch. ((ch \in (out P)) \land (exprChannel ch E) \land (ch \in (out P)) \land (out P))
                       (ch \notin (loc PQ)))
           eout PQ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
theorem TBtheore54b-P:
assumes eoutM P M E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut PQ
      and \exists ch. ((ch \in (out \ Q)) \land (exprChannel \ ch \ E) \land
                     (ch \notin (loc PQ)) \land (ch \in M))
shows
           eoutM\ PQ\ M\ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 5a-PQ:
assumes (eout \ P \ E) \lor (eout \ Q \ E)
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut\ PQ
      and \exists ch. (((ch \in (out P)) \lor (ch \in (out Q))) \land
                     (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \land (ch \notin (loc\ PQ)))
           eout PQ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 5b-PQ:
assumes (eoutM \ P \ M \ E) \lor (eoutM \ Q \ M \ E)
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut\ PQ
      and \exists ch. (((ch \in (out P)) \lor (ch \in (out Q))) \land (ch \in M)
                    \land (exprChannel\ ch\ E) \land (ch \notin (loc\ PQ)))
shows
           eoutM\ PQ\ M\ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 5a - not P1:
assumes eout P E
      and \neg eout \ Q \ E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut PQ
      and \exists ch. ((out\text{-}exprChannelSingle P ch E) \land (ch \in (loc PQ)))
shows
           \neg eout PQ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 5b - not P1:
assumes eoutM P M E
      and \neg eoutM \ Q \ M \ E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut\ PQ
      and \exists ch. ((out\text{-}exprChannelSingle P ch E) \land (ch \in M)
                 \land (ch \in (loc \ PQ)))
shows
           \neg eoutM PQ M E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 5a - not P2:
assumes \neg eout \ Q \ E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
```

```
and correctCompositionOut PQ
      and out-exprChannelSet\ P\ ChSet\ E
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSet) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
           \neg eout PQ E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TB theorem 5b-not P2:
assumes \neg eoutM \ Q \ M \ E
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut\ PQ
      and out-exprChannelSet P ChSet E
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSet) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
           \neg eoutM PQ M E
shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem5a-notPQ:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut PQ
      {\bf and} \ out\text{-}exprChannelSet \ P \ ChSetP \ E
      and out-exprChannelSet Q ChSetQ E
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
shows
          \neg eout PQ E
\langle proof \rangle
theorem TBtheorem5b-notPQ:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionOut PQ
      and out-exprChannelSet P ChSetP E
      and out-exprChannelSet Q ChSetQ E
      and M = ChSetP \cup ChSetQ
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetP) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
      and \forall (x :: chanID). ((x \in ChSetQ) \longrightarrow (x \in (loc\ PQ)))
shows
          \neg eoutM PQ M E
\langle proof \rangle
end
```

## 4 Local Secrets of a component

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory} \ \ CompLocalSecrets} \\ \textbf{imports} \ \ Secrecy \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

- Set of local secrets: the set of secrets which does not belong to
- the set of private keys and unguessable values, but are transmitted
- via local channels or belongs to the local secrets of its subcomponents

axiomatization

 $LocalSecrets :: specID \implies KS set$ 

```
where
LocalSecretsDef:
LocalSecrets\ A =
 \{(m::KS).\ m \notin specKeysSecrets\ A \land
             ((\exists x y. ((x \in loc A) \land m = (kKS y) \land (exprChannel x (kE y))))
             |(\exists x z. ((x \in loc A) \land m = (sKS z) \land (exprChannel x (sE z))))|)|
  \cup (\bigcup (LocalSecrets '(subcomponents A)))
{\bf lemma}\ Local Secrets Composition 1:
assumes ls \in LocalSecrets P
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
          ls \in LocalSecrets PQ
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ \ Local Secrets Composition\text{-}exprChannel\text{-}k\text{:}
assumes exprChannel x (kE Keys)
      and \neg ine P (kE Keys)
      and \neg ine Q (kE Keys)
      and \neg (x \notin ins \ P \land x \notin ins \ Q)
shows False
\langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma} \ \ Local Secrets Composition-expr Channel-s:
assumes exprChannel x (sE Secrets)
      and \neg ine P (sE Secrets)
      and \neg ine Q (sE Secrets)
      and \neg (x \notin ins P \land x \notin ins Q)
shows False
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-k}:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionLoc\ PQ
      and \neg ine P (kE Keys)
      and \neg ine Q (kE Keys)
      and kKS Keys \notin LocalSecrets P
      and kKS Keys \notin LocalSecrets Q
           kKS \ Keys \notin LocalSecrets \ PQ
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{LocalSecretsComposition-neg-k} :
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionLoc PQ
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and (kKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
      and (kKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
      and \neg ine P (kE m)
      and \neg ine Q (kE m)
      and (kKS \ m) \notin ((LocalSecrets \ P) \cup (LocalSecrets \ Q))
```

```
shows
            (kKS \ m) \notin (LocalSecrets \ PQ)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{LocalSecretsComposition-neg-s} :
assumes subPQ:subcomponents PQ = \{P,Q\}
       and cCompLoc:correctCompositionLoc\ PQ
       and cCompKS:correctCompositionKS PQ
       and notKSP:(sKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
       and notKSQ:(sKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
       and \neg ine P (sE m)
      and \neg ine Q (sE m)
      and notLocSeqPQ:(sKS\ m) \notin ((LocalSecrets\ P) \cup (LocalSecrets\ Q))
shows (sKS \ m) \notin (LocalSecrets \ PQ)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma LocalSecretsComposition-neg:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionLoc\ PQ
       and correctCompositionKS PQ
       and ks \notin specKeysSecrets P
       and ks \notin specKeysSecrets Q
       and h1: \forall m. \ ks = kKS \ m \longrightarrow (\neg \ ine \ P \ (kE \ m) \land \neg \ ine \ Q \ (kE \ m))
      and h2: \forall m. \ ks = sKS \ m \longrightarrow (\neg \ ine \ P \ (sE \ m) \land \neg \ ine \ Q \ (sE \ m))
       and ks \notin ((LocalSecrets P) \cup (LocalSecrets Q))
shows
         ks \notin (LocalSecrets PQ)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{LocalSecretsComposition-neg1-s}:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionLoc PQ
      and \neg ine P(sE|s)
      and \neg ine Q (sE s)
      and sKS \ s \notin Local Secrets \ P
      and sKS \ s \notin LocalSecrets \ Q
shows
            sKS \ s \notin LocalSecrets \ PQ
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{LocalSecretsComposition-neg1}:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
       and correctCompositionLoc\ PQ
       and h1: \forall m. \ ks = kKS \ m \longrightarrow (\neg \ ine \ P \ (kE \ m) \land \neg \ ine \ Q \ (kE \ m))
      and h2: \forall m. \ ks = sKS \ m \longrightarrow (\neg \ ine \ P \ (sE \ m) \land \neg \ ine \ Q \ (sE \ m))
      and ks \notin LocalSecrets P
      and ks \notin LocalSecrets Q
shows
            ks \notin LocalSecrets PQ
\langle proof \rangle
lemma LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-k:
assumes kKS \ k \in LocalSecrets \ PQ
```

```
and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionLoc\ PQ
      and \neg ine Q(kE|k)
      and kKS \ k \notin LocalSecrets \ P
      and kKS \ k \notin LocalSecrets \ Q
shows
           ine P(kE|k)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{LocalSecretsComposition-ine1-s} :
assumes sKS \ s \in LocalSecrets \ PQ
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionLoc PQ
      and \neg ine Q (sE s)
      and sKS \ s \notin Local Secrets \ P
      and sKS \ s \notin LocalSecrets \ Q
           ine P(sE|s)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{LocalSecretsComposition-ine2-k} :
assumes kKS \ k \in LocalSecrets \ PQ
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionLoc\ PQ
      and \neg ine P(kE|k)
      and kKS \ k \notin LocalSecrets \ P
      and kKS \ k \notin LocalSecrets \ Q
shows ine Q(kE|k)
\langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ \textit{Local Secrets Composition-ine 2-s}:
assumes sKS \ s \in LocalSecrets \ PQ
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionLoc PQ
      and \neg ine P(sE|s)
      and sKS \ s \notin LocalSecrets \ P
      and sKS \ s \notin LocalSecrets \ Q
shows
           ine Q(sEs)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{LocalSecretsComposition-neg-loc-k}:
assumes kKS \ key \notin LocalSecrets \ P
      and exprChannel ch (kE key)
      and kKS \ key \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
shows
           ch \notin loc P
\langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ \textit{Local Secrets Composition-neg-loc-s}:
assumes sKS secret \notin LocalSecrets P
      and exprChannel ch (sE secret)
      and sKS secret \notin specKeysSecrets P
```

```
shows
          ch \notin loc P
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-expr Channel-k-P:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and kKS \ key \notin LocalSecrets \ PQ
      and ch \in ins P
      and exprChannel\ ch\ (kE\ key)
      and kKS \ key \notin specKeysSecrets \ PQ
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
          ch \in ins PQ \land exprChannel ch (kE key)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-expr Channel-k-Pex:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and kKS \ key \notin LocalSecrets \ PQ
      and ch \in ins P
      and exprChannel\ ch\ (kE\ key)
      and kKS \ key \notin specKeysSecrets \ PQ
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
          \exists ch. ch \in ins PQ \land exprChannel ch (kE key)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-expr Channel-k-Q:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and kKS \ key \notin LocalSecrets \ PQ
      and ch \in ins Q
      and h1:exprChannel ch (kE key)
      and kKS \ key \notin specKeysSecrets \ PQ
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
shows
          ch \in ins PQ \land exprChannel ch (kE key)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-expr Channel-k-Qex:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
       and correctCompositionKS PQ
       and kKS \ key \notin LocalSecrets \ PQ
       and ch \in ins Q
       and exprChannel\ ch\ (kE\ key)
       and kKS \ key \notin specKeysSecrets \ PQ
       and correctCompositionIn PQ
shows
          \exists ch. ch \in ins PQ \land exprChannel ch (kE key)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-expr Channel-s-P:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
```

```
and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and sKS secret \notin LocalSecrets PQ
      and ch \in ins P
      and exprChannel ch (sE secret)
      and sKS secret \notin specKeysSecrets PQ
      {\bf and}\ correct Composition In\ PQ
          ch \in ins \ PQ \land exprChannel \ ch \ (sE \ secret)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-exprChannel-s-Pex:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and sKS secret \notin LocalSecrets PQ
      and ch \in ins P
      and exprChannel\ ch\ (sE\ secret)
      and sKS secret \notin specKeysSecrets PQ
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
\mathbf{shows}
          \exists ch. ch \in ins PQ \land exprChannel ch (sE secret)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-expr Channel-s-Q:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and sKS secret \notin LocalSecrets PQ
      and ch \in ins Q
      and h1:exprChannel ch (sE secret)
      and sKS secret \notin specKeysSecrets PQ
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
shows
          ch \in ins \ PQ \land exprChannel \ ch \ (sE \ secret)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition KS-expr Channel-s-Qex:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and sKS secret \notin LocalSecrets PQ
      and ch \in ins Q
      and exprChannel ch (sE secret)
      and sKS secret \notin specKeysSecrets PQ
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
shows
          \exists ch. ch \in ins PQ \land exprChannel ch (sE secret)
\langle proof \rangle
end
```

## 5 Knowledge of Keys and Secrets

theory KnowledgeKeysSecrets imports CompLocalSecrets begin An component A knows a secret m (or some secret expression m) that does not belong to its local sectrets, if

- A may eventually get the secret m,
- m belongs to the set  $LS_A$  of its local secrets,
- A knows some list of expressions  $m_2$  which is an concatenations of m and some list of expressions  $m_1$ ,
- m is a concatenation of some lists of secrets  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , and A knows both these secrets,
- A knows some secret key  $k^{-1}$  and the result of the encryption of the m with the corresponding public key,
- A knows some public key k and the result of the signature creation of the m with the corresponding private key,
- m is an encryption of some secret  $m_1$  with a public key k, and A knows both  $m_1$  and k,
- m is the result of the signature creation of the  $m_1$  with the key k, and A knows both  $m_1$  and k.

```
primrec
  know :: specID \Rightarrow KS \Rightarrow bool
where
 know\ A\ (kKS\ m) =
 ((ine\ A\ (kE\ m))\ \lor\ ((kKS\ m)\in (LocalSecrets\ A)))\ |
 know \ A \ (sKS \ m) =
 ((ine\ A\ (sE\ m))\ \lor\ ((sKS\ m)\in (LocalSecrets\ A)))
axiomatization
  knows :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \ list \Rightarrow bool
where
knows-emptyexpression:
  knows \ C \ [] = True \ \mathbf{and}
know1k:
  knows C [KS2Expression (kKS m1)] = know C (kKS m1) and
know1s:
  knows C[KS2Expression (sKS m2)] = know C (sKS m2) and
knows2a:
  knows\ A\ (e1\ @\ e)\longrightarrow knows\ A\ e\ {\bf and}
knows2b:
  knows\ A\ (e\ @\ e1)\longrightarrow knows\ A\ e\ {\bf and}
  (knows\ A\ e1) \land (knows\ A\ e2) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ (e1\ @\ e2) and
  (IncrDecrKeys \ k1 \ k2) \land (know \ A \ (kKS \ k2)) \land (knows \ A \ (Enc \ k1 \ e))
    \rightarrow knows \ A \ e
and
knows 5:
  (IncrDecrKeys \ k1 \ k2) \land (know \ A \ (kKS \ k1)) \land (knows \ A \ (Sign \ k2 \ e))
```

```
\longrightarrow knows \ A \ e
and
knows6:
  (know\ A\ (kKS\ k)) \land (knows\ A\ e1) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ (Enc\ k\ e1)
and
knows7:
  (know\ A\ (kKS\ k)) \land (knows\ A\ e1) \longrightarrow knows\ A\ (Sign\ k\ e1)
\mathbf{primrec} eoutKnowCorrect :: specID \Rightarrow KS \Rightarrow bool
where
eout	ext{-}know	ext{-}k:
  eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (kKS\ m) =
  ((eout \ C \ (kE \ m)) \longleftrightarrow (m \in (specKeys \ C) \lor (know \ C \ (kKS \ m)))))
eout-know-s:
   eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (sKS\ m) =
  ((eout \ C \ (sE \ m)) \longleftrightarrow (m \in (specSecrets \ C) \lor (know \ C \ (sKS \ m))))
definition eoutKnowsECorrect :: specID \Rightarrow Expression \Rightarrow bool
where
  eoutKnowsECorrect\ C\ e \equiv
   ((eout \ C \ e) \longleftrightarrow
   ((\exists k. e = (kE \ k) \land (k \in specKeys \ C)) \lor
    (\exists s. e = (sE s) \land (s \in specSecrets C)) \lor
    (knows \ C \ [e]))
\mathbf{lemma}\ eoutKnowCorrect\text{-}L1k:
assumes eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (kKS\ m)
      and eout C(kE m)
shows
            m \in (specKeys\ C) \lor (know\ C\ (kKS\ m))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eoutKnowCorrect-L1s:
assumes eoutKnowCorrect\ C\ (sKS\ m)
      and eout C (sE m)
            m \in (specSecrets \ C) \lor (know \ C \ (sKS \ m))
shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eoutKnowsECorrect-L1:
assumes eoutKnowsECorrect\ C\ e
       and eout Ce
shows (\exists k. e = (kE \ k) \land (k \in specKeys \ C)) \lor
            (\exists s. e = (sE \ s) \land (s \in specSecrets \ C)) \lor
            (knows \ C \ [e])
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ know2knows\text{-}k\text{:}
assumes know \ A \ (kKS \ m)
shows
            knows\ A\ [kE\ m]
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma knows2know-k:
assumes knows \ A \ [kE \ m]
shows know A (kKS m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma know2knowsPQ-k:
assumes know\ P\ (kKS\ m)\ \lor\ know\ Q\ (kKS\ m)
shows
           knows\ P\ [kE\ m]\ \lor\ knows\ Q\ [kE\ m]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows2knowPQ-k:
assumes knows\ P\ [kE\ m]\ \lor\ knows\ Q\ [kE\ m]
            know \ P \ (kKS \ m) \ \lor \ know \ Q \ (kKS \ m)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows1k:
 know\ A\ (kKS\ m) = knows\ A\ [kE\ m]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma know2knows-neg-k:
assumes \neg know \ A \ (kKS \ m)
            \neg knows \ A \ [kE \ m]
shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows2know-neg-k:
assumes \neg knows \ A \ [kE \ m]
shows
          \neg know \ A \ (kKS \ m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma know2knows-s:
assumes know \ A \ (sKS \ m)
shows
           knows \ A \ [sE \ m]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows2know-s:
assumes knows \ A \ [sE \ m]
shows
           know\ A\ (sKS\ m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma know2knowsPQ-s:
assumes know \ P \ (sKS \ m) \ \lor \ know \ Q \ (sKS \ m)
           knows \ P \ [sE \ m] \ \lor \ knows \ Q \ [sE \ m]
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ knows2knowPQ\text{-}s\text{:}
assumes knows\ P\ [sE\ m]\ \lor\ knows\ Q\ [sE\ m]
shows
           know\ P\ (sKS\ m)\ \lor\ know\ Q\ (sKS\ m)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma knows1s:
  know\ A\ (sKS\ m) = knows\ A\ [sE\ m]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma know2knows-neg-s:
assumes \neg know \ A \ (sKS \ m)
shows \neg knows \ A \ [sE \ m]
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ knows2know-neg-s:
assumes \neg knows \ A \ [sE \ m]
shows
          \neg know \ A \ (sKS \ m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows2:
assumes e2 = e1 @ e \lor e2 = e @ e1
      and knows \ A \ e2
          knows A e
\mathbf{shows}
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ correct Composition In Loc-expr Channel:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and ch:ins P
      and exprChannel ch m
      and \forall x. x \in ins PQ \longrightarrow \neg exprChannel x m
shows
           ch: loc PQ
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eout-know-nonKS-k:
assumes m \notin specKeys A
       and eout A (kE m)
       and eoutKnowCorrect\ A\ (kKS\ m)
            know\ A\ (kKS\ m)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \quad eout\text{-}know\text{-}nonKS\text{-}s\text{:}
assumes m \notin specSecrets A
       and eout \ A \ (sE \ m)
       and eoutKnowCorrect\ A\ (sKS\ m)
\mathbf{shows}
           know\ A\ (sKS\ m)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{not-know-k-not-ine} :
assumes \neg know \ A \ (kKS \ m)
shows \neg ine A (kE m)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma not-know-s-not-ine:
assumes \neg know \ A \ (sKS \ m)
\mathbf{shows}
         \neg ine A (sE m)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ not\text{-}know\text{-}k\text{-}not\text{-}eout:
assumes m \notin specKeys A
       and \neg know \ A \ (kKS \ m)
       and eoutKnowCorrect\ A\ (kKS\ m)
shows
            \neg eout A (kE m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-know-s-not-eout:
assumes m \notin specSecrets A
       and \neg know \ A \ (sKS \ m)
       and eoutKnowCorrect A (sKS m)
shows
            \neg eout \ A \ (sE \ m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma adv-not-know1:
assumes out P \subseteq ins A
       and \neg know \ A \ (kKS \ m)
          \neg eout P (kE m)
\mathbf{shows}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma adv-not-know2:
assumes out P \subseteq ins A
      and \neg know \ A \ (sKS \ m)
shows
           \neg eout P (sE m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma LocalSecrets-L1:
assumes (kKS) key \in LocalSecrets P
      and (kKS \ key) \notin \bigcup (LocalSecrets \ `subcomponents \ P)
shows
          kKS \ key \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
\langle proof \rangle
lemma LocalSecrets-L2:
assumes kKS \ key \in LocalSecrets \ P
      and kKS \ key \in specKeysSecrets \ P
           kKS \ key \in \bigcup (LocalSecrets \ `subcomponents \ P)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma know-composition1:
assumes notKSP: m \notin specKeysSecrets P
      and notKSQ:m \notin specKeysSecrets Q
      and know P m
      and subPQ: subcomponents PQ = \{P,Q\}
      and cCompI:correctCompositionIn\ PQ
```

```
and cCompKS:correctCompositionKS PQ
shows
          know\ PQ\ m
\langle proof \rangle
lemma know-composition 2:
assumes m \notin specKeysSecrets P
      and m \notin specKeysSecrets Q
      and know \ Q \ m
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and correctCompositionKS\ PQ
shows
          know PQ m
\langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ know-composition:
assumes m \notin specKeysSecrets P
      and m \notin specKeysSecrets Q
       and know \ P \ m \lor know \ Q \ m
       and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
\mathbf{shows}
          know PQ m
\langle proof \rangle
theorem know-composition-neg-ine-k:
assumes \neg know P (kKS key)
      and \neg know \ Q \ (kKS \ key)
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
     and correctCompositionIn PQ
\mathbf{shows}
          \neg (ine PQ (kE key))
\langle proof \rangle
theorem know-composition-neg-ine-s:
assumes \neg know P (sKS secret)
     and \neg know \ Q \ (sKS \ secret)
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
          \neg (ine PQ (sE secret))
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ know-composition\text{-}neg1:
\mathbf{assumes}\ notknow P : \neg\ know\ P\ m
      and notknowQ:\neg know \ Q \ m
      and subPQ:subcomponents\ PQ = \{P,Q\}
      and cCompLoc:correctCompositionLoc\ PQ
     and cCompI:correctCompositionIn\ PQ
shows
         \neg know PQ m
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma know-decomposition:
assumes knowPQ:know\ PQ\ m
      and subPQ:subcomponents PQ = \{P,Q\}
      and cCompI:correctCompositionIn PQ
      and cCompLoc:correctCompositionLoc\ PQ
shows know \ P \ m \lor know \ Q \ m
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eout-knows-nonKS-k:
assumes m \notin (specKeys \ A)
        and eout A (kE m)
        and eoutKnowsECorrect\ A\ (kE\ m)
  shows knows \ A \ [kE \ m]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eout-knows-nonKS-s:
assumes h1:m \notin specSecrets A
        and h2:eout\ A\ (sE\ m)
        and h3:eoutKnowsECorrect\ A\ (sE\ m)
  shows knows A [sE m]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-knows-k-not-ine:
assumes \neg knows \ A \ [kE \ m]
          \neg ine A (kE m)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-knows-s-not-ine:
assumes \neg knows \ A \ [sE \ m]
         \neg ine A (sE m)
\mathbf{shows}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-knows-k-not-eout:
assumes m \notin specKeys A
      and \neg knows \ A \ [kE \ m]
      and eoutKnowsECorrect\ A\ (kE\ m)
shows
           \neg eout \ A \ (kE \ m)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}knows\text{-}s\text{-}not\text{-}eout:
assumes m \notin specSecrets A
      and \neg knows \ A \ [sE \ m]
      and eoutKnowsECorrect\ A\ (sE\ m)
shows
           \neg \ eout \ A \ (sE \ m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma adv-not-knows1:
assumes out P \subseteq ins A
      and \neg knows \ A \ [kE \ m]
```

```
shows
           \neg eout P (kE m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma adv-not-knows2:
assumes out P \subseteq ins A
       and \neg knows \ A \ [sE \ m]
           \neg eout P (sE m)
shows
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ knows\text{-}decomposition\text{-}1\text{-}k\text{:}
assumes kKS \ a \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
      and kKS a \notin specKeysSecrets Q
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and knows PQ [kE a]
      {\bf and}\ correct Composition In\ PQ
      and correctCompositionLoc PQ
shows knows P [kE \ a] \lor knows Q [kE \ a]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows-decomposition-1-s:
assumes sKS \ a \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
      and sKS \ a \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and knows PQ [sE a]
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and correctCompositionLoc PQ
shows knows P [sE \ a] \lor knows Q [sE \ a]
\langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ knows{-}decomposition{-}1:
assumes subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and knows PQ[a]
      {\bf and}\ correct Composition In\ PQ
      and correctCompositionLoc PQ
      and (\exists z. a = kE z) \lor (\exists z. a = sE z)
      and \forall z. a = kE z \longrightarrow
        kKS \ z \notin specKeysSecrets \ P \land kKS \ z \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
      and h7: \forall z. \ a = sE \ z \longrightarrow
        sKS \ z \notin specKeysSecrets \ P \land sKS \ z \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
shows knows P[a] \vee knows Q[a]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows-composition1-k:
assumes (kKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
      and (kKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
      and knows P[kE m]
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
```

```
shows knows PQ [kE m]
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ knows\text{-}composition 1\text{-}s:
assumes (sKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
      and (sKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
      and knows P[sE m]
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
shows knows PQ [sE m]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows-composition2-k:
assumes (kKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
      and (kKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
      and knows \ Q \ [kE \ m]
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
shows knows PQ [kE m]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows-composition2-s:
assumes (sKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
      and (sKS \ m) \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
      and knows \ Q \ [sE \ m]
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
shows knows PQ [sE m]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows-composition-neg1-k:
assumes kKS \ m \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
      and kKS \ m \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
      and \neg knows P [kE m]
      and \neg knows \ Q \ [kE \ m]
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionLoc PQ
      and correctCompositionIn\ PQ
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
shows \neg knows PQ [kE m]
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ knows\text{-}composition\text{-}neg1\text{-}s\text{:}
assumes sKS \ m \notin specKeysSecrets \ P
      and sKS \ m \notin specKeysSecrets \ Q
      and \neg knows P [sE m]
```

```
and \neg knows \ Q \ [sE \ m]
       and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
       {\bf and}\ correct Composition Loc\ PQ
       and correctCompositionIn PQ
       and correctCompositionKS PQ
shows \neg knows PQ [sE m]
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ knows\text{-}concat\text{-}1:
assumes knows P (a \# e)
shows
           knows P[a]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows-concat-2:
assumes knows P (a \# e)
shows knows P e
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows-concat-3:
assumes knows P[a]
       and knows P e
shows knows P (a \# e)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}knows\text{-}conc\text{-}knows\text{-}elem\text{-}not\text{-}knows\text{-}tail\text{:}
assumes \neg knows P (a \# e)
       and knows P[a]
shows \neg knows P e
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}knows\text{-}conc\text{-}not\text{-}knows\text{-}elem\text{-}tail:
assumes \neg knows P (a\#e)
\mathbf{shows}
          \neg knows P[a] \lor \neg knows Pe
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-knows-elem-not-knows-conc:
assumes \neg knows P[a]
shows \neg knows P(a \# e)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}knows\text{-}tail\text{-}not\text{-}knows\text{-}conc:
assumes \neg knows P e
\mathbf{shows}
          \neg knows P (a \# e)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ knows\text{-}composition 3:
 fixes e::Expression list
 assumes knows P e
     and subPQ:subcomponents\ PQ = \{P,Q\}
```

```
and cCompI:correctCompositionIn PQ
    and cCompKS:correctCompositionKS PQ
    and \forall (m::Expression). ((m mem e) \longrightarrow
          ((\exists z1. m = (kE z1)) \lor (\exists z2. m = (sE z2))))
    and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr\ P\ e
    and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr Q e
shows knows PQ e
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows-composition4:
assumes knows \ Q \ e
    and subPQ: subcomponents\ PQ = \{P,Q\}
    and cCompI:correctCompositionIn\ PQ
    and cCompKS:correctCompositionKS PQ
    and \forall m. m mem e \longrightarrow ((\exists z. m = kE z) \lor (\exists z. m = sE z))
    and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr\ P\ e
    and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr\ Q\ e
shows knows PQ e
\langle proof \rangle
lemma knows-composition5:
assumes knows P e \lor knows Q e
      and subcomponents PQ = \{P, Q\}
      and correctCompositionIn PQ
      and correctCompositionKS PQ
      and \forall m. m \text{ mem } e \longrightarrow ((\exists z. m = kE z) \lor (\exists z. m = sE z))
      and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr P e
      and notSpecKeysSecretsExpr Q e
shows knows PQ e
\langle proof \rangle
```

# References

end

- [1] T. Nipkow, L. C. Paulson, and M. Wenzel. *Isabelle/HOL A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic*. LNCS. Springer, 2013.
- [2] M. Spichkova. Stream Processing Components: Isabelle/HOL Formalisation and Case Studies. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Nov. 2013.
- [3] M. Spichkova and J. Jürjens. Formal Specification of Cryptographic Protocols and Their Composition Properties: FOCUS-oriented approach. Technical report, Technische Universität München, 2008.