

CryptHOL

Andreas Lochbihler

March 19, 2025

Abstract

CryptHOL provides a framework for formalising cryptographic arguments in Isabelle/HOL. It shallowly embeds a probabilistic functional programming language in higher order logic. The language features monadic sequencing, recursion, random sampling, failures and failure handling, and black-box access to oracles. Oracles are probabilistic functions which maintain hidden state between different invocations. All operators are defined in the new semantic domain of generative probabilistic values, a codatatype. We derive proof rules for the operators and establish a connection with the theory of relational parametricity. Thus, the resulting proofs are trustworthy and comprehensible, and the framework is extensible and widely applicable.

The framework is used in the accompanying AFP entry “Game-based Cryptography in HOL”. There, we show-case our framework by formalizing different game-based proofs from the literature. This formalisation continues the work described in the author’s ESOP 2016 paper [1].

A tutorial in the AFP entry *Game-based cryptography* explains how CryptHOL can be used to formalize game-based cryptography proofs.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 1 | Miscellaneous library additions | 4 |
| 1.1 | HOL | 4 |
| 1.2 | Relations | 5 |
| 1.3 | Pairs | 7 |
| 1.4 | Sums | 8 |
| 1.5 | Option | 9 |
| 1.5.1 | Predicator and relator | 10 |
| 1.5.2 | Orders on option | 11 |
| 1.5.3 | Filter for option | 12 |
| 1.5.4 | Assert for option | 12 |
| 1.5.5 | Join on options | 13 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1.5.6 | Zip on options | 13 |
| 1.5.7 | Binary supremum on ' <i>a option</i> ' | 14 |
| 1.5.8 | Restriction on ' <i>a option</i> ' | 15 |
| 1.5.9 | Maps | 16 |
| 1.6 | Countable | 16 |
| 1.7 | Extended naturals | 17 |
| 1.8 | Extended non-negative reals | 18 |
| 1.9 | BNF material | 18 |
| 1.10 | Transfer and lifting material | 21 |
| 1.11 | Arithmetic | 23 |
| 1.12 | Chain-complete partial orders and <i>partial-function</i> | 23 |
| 1.13 | Folding over finite sets | 27 |
| 1.14 | Parametrisation of transfer rules | 27 |
| 1.15 | Lists | 27 |
| 1.15.1 | List of a given length | 28 |
| 1.15.2 | The type of lists of a given length | 29 |
| 1.16 | Streams and infinite lists | 29 |
| 1.17 | Monomorphic monads | 30 |
| 1.18 | Measures | 31 |
| 1.19 | Sequence space | 32 |
| 1.20 | Probability mass functions | 32 |
| 1.21 | Subprobability mass functions | 35 |
| 1.21.1 | Embedding of ' <i>a option</i> ' into ' <i>a spmf</i> ' | 46 |
| 1.22 | Applicative instance for ' <i>a set</i> ' | 48 |
| 1.23 | Applicative instance for ' <i>a spmf</i> ' | 49 |
| 1.24 | Exclusive or on lists | 50 |
| 1.25 | The environment functor | 52 |
| 1.26 | Setup for <i>partial-function</i> for sets | 54 |
| 2 | Negligibility | 57 |
| 3 | The resumption-error monad | 60 |
| 3.1 | Setup for <i>partial-function</i> | 64 |
| 3.2 | Setup for lifting and transfer | 68 |
| 4 | Generative probabilistic values | 69 |
| 4.1 | Single-step generative | 69 |
| 4.2 | Type definition | 74 |
| 4.3 | Generalised mapper and relator | 78 |
| 4.4 | Simple, derived operations | 83 |
| 4.5 | Monad structure | 87 |
| 4.6 | Embedding ' <i>a spmf</i> ' as a monad | 90 |
| 4.7 | Embedding ' <i>a option</i> ' as a monad | 94 |
| 4.8 | Embedding resumptions | 95 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 4.9 | Assertions | 96 |
| 4.10 | Order for $('a, 'out, 'in) gpv$ | 98 |
| 4.11 | Bounds on interaction | 99 |
| 4.12 | Typing | 106 |
| | 4.12.1 Interface between gpvs and rpvs / callees | 106 |
| | 4.12.2 Type judgements | 115 |
| 4.13 | Sub-gpvs | 118 |
| 4.14 | Losslessness | 119 |
| 4.15 | Sequencing with failure handling included | 126 |
| 4.16 | Inlining | 129 |
| 4.17 | Running GPVs | 141 |
| 5 | Oracle combinator | 155 |
| 5.1 | Shared state | 155 |
| 5.2 | Shared state with aborts | 158 |
| 5.3 | Disjoint state | 158 |
| 5.4 | Indexed oracles | 160 |
| 5.5 | State extension | 160 |
| 6 | Combining GPVs | 163 |
| 6.1 | Shared state without interrupts | 163 |
| 6.2 | Shared state with interrupts | 164 |
| 6.3 | One-sided shifts | 164 |
| 6.4 | Expectation transformer semantics | 169 |
| 6.5 | Probabilistic termination | 175 |
| 6.6 | Bisimulation for oracles | 180 |
| 6.7 | Applicative instance for $(-, 'out, 'in) gpv$ | 187 |
| 7 | Cyclic groups | 188 |

1 Miscellaneous library additions

```
theory Misc-CryptHOL imports
  Probabilistic-While.while-SPMF
  HOL-Library.Rewrite
  HOL-Library.Simps-Case-Conv
  HOL-Library.Type-Length
  HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
  Coinductive.TLList
  Monad-Normalisation.Monad-Normalisation
  Monomorphic-Monad.Monomorphic-Monad
  Applicative-Lifting.Applicative
begin

hide-const (open) Henstock-Kurzweil-Integration.negligible

declare eq-on-def [simp del]
```

1.1 HOL

```
lemma asm-rl-conv: ( $\text{PROP } P \implies \text{PROP } P$ )  $\equiv \text{Trueprop } \text{True}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
named-theorems if-distrib Distributivity theorems for If
```

```
lemma if-mono-cong:  $\llbracket b \implies x \leq x'; \neg b \implies y \leq y' \rrbracket \implies \text{If } b \ x \ y \leq \text{If } b \ x' \ y'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma if-cong-then:  $\llbracket b = b'; b' \implies t = t'; e = e' \rrbracket \implies \text{If } b \ t \ e = \text{If } b' \ t' \ e'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma if-False-eq:  $\llbracket b \implies \text{False}; e = e' \rrbracket \implies \text{If } b \ t \ e = e'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma imp-OO-imp [simp]:  $(\rightarrow) \text{OO} (\rightarrow) = (\rightarrow)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma inj-on-fun-updD:  $\llbracket \text{inj-on } (f(x := y)) \ A; x \notin A \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } f \ A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma disjoint-notin1:  $\llbracket A \cap B = \{\}; x \in B \rrbracket \implies x \notin A$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Least-le-Least:
  fixes x :: 'a :: wellorder
  assumes Q x
  and Q:  $\bigwedge x. Q \ x \implies \exists y \leq x. P \ y$ 
  shows Least P  $\leq$  Least Q
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma is-empty-image [simp]: Set.is-empty (f ` A) = Set.is-empty A
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

1.2 Relations

inductive *Imagep* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
for *R P*
where *ImagepI*: $\llbracket P x; R x y \rrbracket \implies \text{Imagep } R P y$

lemma *r-r-into-tranclp*: $\llbracket r x y; r y z \rrbracket \implies r \wedge\!\!++ x z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *transp-tranclp-id*:
assumes *transp R*
shows *tranclp R = R*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *transp-inv-image*: *transp r* $\implies \text{transp} (\lambda x y. r (f x) (f y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Domainp-conversep*: *Domainp R⁻¹⁻¹ = Rangep R*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bi-unique-rel-set-bij-betw*:
assumes *unique: bi-unique R*
and *rel: rel-set R A B*
shows $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f A B \wedge (\forall x \in A. R x (f x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *restrict-relp* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\langle\!-\! 1 \!-\! (_ \otimes _) \rangle [53, 54, 54] 53)$
where *restrict-relp R P Q =* $(\lambda x y. R x y \wedge P x \wedge Q y)$

lemma *restrict-relp-apply [simp]*: $(R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y \longleftrightarrow R x y \wedge P x \wedge Q y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relpI [intro?]*: $\llbracket R x y; P x; Q y \rrbracket \implies (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relpE [elim?, cases pred]*:
assumes $(R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y$
obtains (*restrict-relp*) *R x y P x Q y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conversep-restrict-relp [simp]*: $(R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q)^{-1-1} = R^{-1-1} \upharpoonright Q \otimes P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-restrict-relp [simp]*: $R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q \upharpoonright P' \otimes Q' = R \upharpoonright \inf P P' \otimes \inf Q Q'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-cong*:

$\llbracket P = P'; Q = Q'; \wedge x y. \llbracket P x; Q y \rrbracket \implies R x y = R' x y \rrbracket \implies R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q = R' \upharpoonright P' \otimes Q'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-cong-simp*:

$\llbracket P = P'; Q = Q'; \wedge x y. P x =_{simp} Q y =_{simp} R x y = R' x y \rrbracket \implies R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q = R' \upharpoonright P' \otimes Q'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-parametric [transfer-rule]*:

includes *lifting-syntax* shows

$((A \implies B \implies (=)) \implies (A \implies (=)) \implies (B \implies (=)) \implies A \implies B \implies (=))$ *restrict-relp restrict-relp*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-mono*: $\llbracket R \leq R'; P \leq P'; Q \leq Q' \rrbracket \implies R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q \leq R' \upharpoonright P' \otimes Q'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-mono'*:

$\llbracket (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y; \llbracket R x y; P x; Q y \rrbracket \implies R' x y \And P' x \And Q' y \rrbracket \implies (R' \upharpoonright P' \otimes Q') x y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-DomainpD*: *Domainp* $(R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x \implies Domainp R x \wedge P x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-True*: $R \upharpoonright (\lambda-. True) \otimes (\lambda-. True) = R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-False1*: $R \upharpoonright (\lambda-. False) \otimes Q = bot$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relp-False2*: $R \upharpoonright P \otimes (\lambda-. False) = bot$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *rel-prod2* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c \times 'b) \Rightarrow bool$
where *rel-prod2* $R a = (\lambda(c, b). R a b)$

lemma *rel-prod2-simps [simp]*: *rel-prod2* $R a (c, b) \longleftrightarrow R a b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-rel-prod*:

rel-prod $(R \upharpoonright I1 \otimes I2) (S \upharpoonright I1' \otimes I2') = rel\text{-}prod R S \upharpoonright pred\text{-}prod I1 I1' \otimes pred\text{-}prod I2 I2'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-rel-prod1*:

rel-prod ($R \mid I1 \otimes I2$) $S = rel\text{-}prod\ R\ S \mid pred\text{-}prod\ I1\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ True) \otimes pred\text{-}prod\ I2\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ True)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-rel-prod2*:

rel-prod $R\ (S \mid I1 \otimes I2) = rel\text{-}prod\ R\ S \mid pred\text{-}prod\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ True)\ I1 \otimes pred\text{-}prod\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ True)\ I2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

consts *relcompp-witness* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \times 'c \Rightarrow 'b$
specification (*relcompp-witness*)

relcompp-witness1: $(A \ OO\ B)\ (fst\ xy)\ (snd\ xy) \implies A\ (fst\ xy)\ (relcompp\text{-}witness\ A\ B\ xy)$

relcompp-witness2: $(A \ OO\ B)\ (fst\ xy)\ (snd\ xy) \implies B\ (relcompp\text{-}witness\ A\ B\ xy)$
 $(snd\ xy)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *relcompp-witness*[*of* - - (x, y) **for** $x y$, *simplified*] = *relcompp-witness1*
relcompp-witness2

hide-fact (open) *relcompp-witness1* *relcompp-witness2*

lemma *relcompp-witness-eq* [*simp*]: *relcompp-witness* $(=)\ (=)\ (x, x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.3 Pairs

lemma *split-apfst* [*simp*]: *case-prod* $h\ (apfst\ f\ xy) = case\text{-}prod\ (h \circ f)\ xy$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *corec-prod* :: $('s \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b$
where *corec-prod* $f\ g = (\lambda s.\ (f\ s, g\ s))$

lemma *corec-prod-apply*: *corec-prod* $f\ g\ s = (f\ s, g\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *corec-prod-sel* [*simp*]:
 shows *fst-corec-prod*: $fst\ (corec\text{-}prod\ f\ g\ s) = f\ s$
 and *snd-corec-prod*: $snd\ (corec\text{-}prod\ f\ g\ s) = g\ s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apfst-corec-prod* [*simp*]: *apfst* $h\ (corec\text{-}prod\ f\ g\ s) = corec\text{-}prod\ (h \circ f)\ g\ s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apsnd-corec-prod* [*simp*]: *apsnd* $h\ (corec\text{-}prod\ f\ g\ s) = corec\text{-}prod\ f\ (h \circ g)\ s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-corec-prod* [*simp*]: *map-prod f g (corec-prod h k s) = corec-prod (f o h) (g o k) s*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-corec-prod* [*simp*]: *case-prod h (corec-prod f g s) = h (f s) (g s)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-fst-Unity*: *(fst x, ()) = x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *rprod l :: ('a × 'b) × 'c ⇒ 'a × ('b × 'c)* **where** *rprod l = (λ((a, b), c). (a, (b, c)))*

lemma *rprod l-simps* [*simp*]: *rprod l ((a, b), c) = (a, (b, c))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rprod l-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]: **includes** *lifting-syntax shows*
(rel-prod (rel-prod A B) C ==> rel-prod A (rel-prod B C)) rprod l rprod l
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *lprod r :: 'a × ('b × 'c) ⇒ ('a × 'b) × 'c* **where** *lprod r = (λ(a, b, c). ((a, b), c))*

lemma *lprod r-simps* [*simp*]: *lprod r (a, b, c) = ((a, b), c)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lprod r-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]: **includes** *lifting-syntax shows*
(rel-prod A (rel-prod B C) ==> rel-prod (rel-prod A B) C) lprod r lprod r
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lprod r-inverse* [*simp*]: *rprod l (lprod r x) = x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rprod l-inverse* [*simp*]: *lprod r (rprod l x) = x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-prod-mono'* [*mono*]:
pred-prod A B xy → pred-prod A' B' xy
if $\bigwedge x. A x \rightarrow A' x \bigwedge y. B y \rightarrow B' y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *rel-witness-prod* :: *('a × 'b) × ('c × 'd) ⇒ (('a × 'c) × ('b × 'd))* **where**
rel-witness-prod ((a, b), (c, d)) = ((a, c), (b, d))

1.4 Sums

lemma *isLE*:

```
assumes isl x
```

```
obtains l where x = Inl l
```

```
<proof>
```

```
lemma Inl-in-Plus [simp]: Inl x ∈ A <+> B ↔ x ∈ A  
<proof>
```

```
lemma Inr-in-Plus [simp]: Inr x ∈ A <+> B ↔ x ∈ B  
<proof>
```

```
lemma Inl-eq-map-sum-iff: Inl x = map-sum fg y ↔ ( $\exists z$ . y = Inl z  $\wedge$  x = fz)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma Inr-eq-map-sum-iff: Inr x = map-sum fg y ↔ ( $\exists z$ . y = Inr z  $\wedge$  x = gz)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma inj-on-map-sum [simp]:  
[ $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; \text{inj-on } g B \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{map-sum } f g) (A <+> B)$ ]  
<proof>
```

```
lemma inv-into-map-sum:
```

```
inv-into (A <+> B) (map-sum fg) x = map-sum (inv-into A f) (inv-into B g) x  
if x ∈ f`A <+> g`B inj-on f A inj-on g B  
<proof>
```

```
fun rsuml :: ('a + 'b) + 'c ⇒ 'a + ('b + 'c) where  
| rsuml (Inl (Inl a)) = Inl a  
| rsuml (Inl (Inr b)) = Inr (Inl b)  
| rsuml (Inr c) = Inr (Inr c)
```

```
fun lsumr :: 'a + ('b + 'c) ⇒ ('a + 'b) + 'c where  
| lsumr (Inl a) = Inl (Inl a)  
| lsumr (Inr (Inl b)) = Inl (Inr b)  
| lsumr (Inr (Inr c)) = Inr c
```

```
lemma rsuml-lsumr [simp]: rsuml (lsumr x) = x  
<proof>
```

```
lemma lsumr-rsuml [simp]: lsumr (rsuml x) = x  
<proof>
```

1.5 Option

```
declare is-none-bind [simp]
```

```
lemma case-option-collapse: case-option x (λ-. x) y = x  
<proof>
```

lemma *indicator-single-Some*: $\text{indicator} \{ \text{Some } x \} (\text{Some } y) = \text{indicator} \{ x \} y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.5.1 Predicator and relator

lemma *option-pred-mono-strong*:

$\llbracket \text{pred-option } P x; \bigwedge a. \llbracket a \in \text{set-option } x; P a \rrbracket \implies P' a \rrbracket \implies \text{pred-option } P' x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-pred-map [simp]*: $\text{pred-option } P (\text{map-option } f x) = \text{pred-option } (P \circ f) x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-pred-o-map [simp]*: $\text{pred-option } P \circ \text{map-option } f = \text{pred-option } (P \circ f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-pred-bind [simp]*: $\text{pred-option } P (\text{Option.bind } x f) = \text{pred-option } (\text{pred-option } P \circ f) x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-option-conj [simp]*:

$\text{pred-option } (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) = (\lambda x. \text{pred-option } P x \wedge \text{pred-option } Q x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-option-top [simp]*:

$\text{pred-option } (\lambda _. \text{True}) = (\lambda _. \text{True})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-option-restrict-relpI [intro?]*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-option } R x y; \text{pred-option } P x; \text{pred-option } Q y \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-option } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-option-restrict-relpE [elim?]*:

assumes $\text{rel-option } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y$
obtains $\text{rel-option } R x y \text{ pred-option } P x \text{ pred-option } Q y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-option-restrict-relp-iff*:

$\text{rel-option } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y \longleftrightarrow \text{rel-option } R x y \wedge \text{pred-option } P x \wedge \text{pred-option } Q y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-rel-map-restrict-relp*:

shows *option-rel-map-restrict-relp1*:

$\text{rel-option } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) (\text{map-option } f x) = \text{rel-option } (R \circ f \upharpoonright P \circ f \otimes Q) x$

and *option-rel-map-restrict-relp2*:

$\text{rel-option } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x (\text{map-option } g y) = \text{rel-option } ((\lambda x. R x \circ g) \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) y$

```

 $\circ g) x y$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun rel-witness-option :: 'a option  $\times$  'b option  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) option where
  rel-witness-option (Some x, Some y) = Some (x, y)
  | rel-witness-option (None, None) = None
  | rel-witness-option - = None — Just to make the definition complete

lemma rel-witness-option:
  shows set-rel-witness-option:  $\llbracket \text{rel-option } A x y; (a, b) \in \text{set-option} (\text{rel-witness-option} (x, y)) \rrbracket \implies A a b$ 
  and map1-rel-witness-option:  $\text{rel-option } A x y \implies \text{map-option fst} (\text{rel-witness-option} (x, y)) = x$ 
  and map2-rel-witness-option:  $\text{rel-option } A x y \implies \text{map-option snd} (\text{rel-witness-option} (x, y)) = y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rel-witness-option1:
  assumes rel-option A x y
  shows rel-option ( $\lambda a (a', b). a = a' \wedge A a' b$ ) x (rel-witness-option (x, y))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rel-witness-option2:
  assumes rel-option A x y
  shows rel-option ( $\lambda(a, b') b. b = b' \wedge A a b'$ ) (rel-witness-option (x, y)) y
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

1.5.2 Orders on option

```

abbreviation le-option :: 'a option  $\Rightarrow$  'a option  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where le-option  $\equiv$  ord-option (=)

```

```

lemma le-option-bind-mono:
   $\llbracket \text{le-option } x y; \bigwedge a. a \in \text{set-option } x \implies \text{le-option} (f a) (g a) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{le-option} (\text{Option.bind } x f) (\text{Option.bind } y g)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma le-option-refl [simp]: le-option x x
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma le-option-conv-option-ord: le-option = option-ord
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition pcr-Some :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b option  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where pcr-Some R x y  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists z. y = \text{Some } z \wedge R x z$ )

```

```

lemma pcr-Some-simps [simp]: pcr-Some R x (Some y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  R x y
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma pcr-SomeE [cases pred]:
  assumes pcr-Some R x y
  obtains (pcr-Some) z where y = Some z R x z
  {proof}

1.5.3 Filter for option

fun filter-option :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a option ⇒ 'a option
where
  filter-option P None = None
  | filter-option P (Some x) = (if P x then Some x else None)

lemma set-filter-option [simp]: set-option (filter-option P x) = {y ∈ set-option x.
P y}
  {proof}

lemma filter-map-option: filter-option P (map-option f x) = map-option f (filter-option
(P ∘ f) x)
  {proof}

lemma is-none-filter-option [simp]: Option.is-none (filter-option P x) ←→ Op-
tion.is-none x ∨ ¬ P (the x)
  {proof}

lemma filter-option-eq-None-iff [simp]: filter-option P x = None ←→ x = None
y ∧ P y
  {proof}

lemma Some-eq-filter-option-iff [simp]: Some y = filter-option P x ←→ x = Some
y ∧ P y
  {proof}

lemma filter-conv-bind-option: filter-option P x = Option.bind x (λy. if P y then
Some y else None)
  {proof}

1.5.4 Assert for option

primrec assert-option :: bool ⇒ unit option where
  assert-option True = Some ()
  | assert-option False = None

lemma set-assert-option-conv: set-option (assert-option b) = (if b then {()} else
{})
  {proof}

lemma in-set-assert-option [simp]: x ∈ set-option (assert-option b) ←→ b
  {proof}

```

1.5.5 Join on options

```
definition join-option :: 'a option option ⇒ 'a option
where join-option x = (case x of Some y ⇒ y | None ⇒ None)

simp-of-case join-simps [simp, code]: join-option-def

lemma set-join-option [simp]: set-option (join-option x) = ⋃ (set-option ` set-option x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-set-join-option: x ∈ set-option (join-option (Some (Some x)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-join-option: map-option f (join-option x) = join-option (map-option (map-option f) x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-conv-join-option: Option.bind x f = join-option (map-option f x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma join-conv-bind-option: join-option x = Option.bind x id
⟨proof⟩

lemma join-option-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  includes lifting-syntax shows
    (rel-option (rel-option R) ==> rel-option R) join-option join-option
⟨proof⟩

lemma join-option-eq-Some [simp]: join-option x = Some y ↔ x = Some (Some y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Some-eq-join-option [simp]: Some y = join-option x ↔ x = Some (Some y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma join-option-eq-None: join-option x = None ↔ x = None ∨ x = Some None
⟨proof⟩

lemma None-eq-join-option: None = join-option x ↔ x = None ∨ x = Some None
⟨proof⟩
```

1.5.6 Zip on options

```
function zip-option :: 'a option ⇒ 'b option ⇒ ('a × 'b) option
where
  zip-option (Some x) (Some y) = Some (x, y)
```

```

| zip-option - None = None
| zip-option None - = None
⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

lemma zip-option-eq-Some-iff [iff]:
zip-option x y = Some (a, b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = Some a  $\wedge$  y = Some b
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-zip-option [simp]:
set-option (zip-option x y) = set-option x  $\times$  set-option y
⟨proof⟩

lemma zip-map-option1: zip-option (map-option f x) y = map-option (apfst f)
(zip-option x y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma zip-map-option2: zip-option x (map-option g y) = map-option (apsnd g)
(zip-option x y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-zip-option:
map-option (map-prod fg) (zip-option x y) = zip-option (map-option fx) (map-option
g y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma zip-conv-bind-option:
zip-option x y = Option.bind x ( $\lambda x$ . Option.bind y ( $\lambda y$ . Some (x, y)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma zip-option-parametric [transfer-rule]:
includes lifting-syntax shows
( $\text{rel-option } R \implies \text{rel-option } Q \implies \text{rel-option} (\text{rel-prod } R \ Q))$  zip-option
zip-option
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-option-eqI [simp]: rel-option (=) x x
⟨proof⟩

```

1.5.7 Binary supremum on ' a option

```

primrec sup-option :: ' $a$  option  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  option  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  option
where
sup-option x None = x
| sup-option x (Some y) = (Some y)

```

```

lemma sup-option-idem [simp]: sup-option x x = x
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *sup-option-assoc*: *sup-option* (*sup-option* *x* *y*) *z* = *sup-option* *x* (*sup-option* *y* *z*)
(proof)

lemma *sup-option-left-idem*: *sup-option* *x* (*sup-option* *x* *y*) = *sup-option* *x* *y*
(proof)

lemmas *sup-option-ai* = *sup-option-assoc* *sup-option-left-idem*

lemma *sup-option-None* [simp]: *sup-option* *None* *y* = *y*
(proof)

1.5.8 Restriction on '*a option*

primrec (*transfer*) *enforce-option* :: ('*a* ⇒ bool) ⇒ '*a option* ⇒ '*a option* **where**
 enforce-option P (*Some x*) = (*if P x then Some x else None*)
 | *enforce-option P None* = *None*

lemma *set-enforce-option* [simp]: *set-option* (*enforce-option P x*) = {*a* ∈ *set-option* *x*. *P a*}
(proof)

lemma *enforce-map-option*: *enforce-option P* (*map-option f x*) = *map-option f* (*enforce-option (P ∘ f) x*)
(proof)

lemma *enforce-bind-option* [simp]:
enforce-option P (*Option.bind x f*) = *Option.bind x* (*enforce-option P ∘ f*)
(proof)

lemma *enforce-option-alt-def*:
enforce-option P x = *Option.bind x* ($\lambda a. \text{Option.bind} (\text{assert-option} (P a)) (\lambda - :: \text{unit}. \text{Some } a)$)
(proof)

lemma *enforce-option-eq-None-iff* [simp]:
enforce-option P x = *None* ↔ ($\forall a. x = \text{Some } a \rightarrow \neg P a$)
(proof)

lemma *enforce-option-eq-Some-iff* [simp]:
enforce-option P x = *Some y* ↔ *x* = *Some y* ∧ *P y*
(proof)

lemma *Some-eq-enforce-option-iff* [simp]:
Some y = *enforce-option P x* ↔ *x* = *Some y* ∧ *P y*
(proof)

lemma *enforce-option-top* [simp]: *enforce-option* \top = *id*
(proof)

lemma *enforce-option-K-True* [simp]: *enforce-option* ($\lambda_. \text{True}$) $x = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enforce-option-bot* [simp]: *enforce-option* $\perp = (\lambda_. \text{None})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enforce-option-K-False* [simp]: *enforce-option* ($\lambda_. \text{False}$) $x = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enforce-pred-id-option*: *pred-option* $P x \implies \text{enforce-option } P x = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.5.9 Maps

lemma *map-add-apply*: $(m1 ++ m2) x = \text{sup-option } (m1 x) (m2 x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-le-map-upd2*: $\llbracket f \subseteq_m g; \bigwedge y'. f x = \text{Some } y' \implies y' = y \rrbracket \implies f \subseteq_m g(x \mapsto y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-None-iff-not-dom*: $f x = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow x \notin \text{dom } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-ran-le-dom*: $\text{finite } (\text{dom } m) \implies \text{card } (\text{ran } m) \leq \text{card } (\text{dom } m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dom-subset-ran-iff*:
assumes *finite* (*ran m*)
shows $\text{dom } m \subseteq \text{ran } m \longleftrightarrow \text{dom } m = \text{ran } m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We need a polymorphic constant for the empty map such that *transfer-prover* can use a custom transfer rule for *Map.empty*

definition *Map-empty* **where** [simp]: *Map-empty* $\equiv \text{Map.empty}$

lemma *map-le-Some1D*: $\llbracket m \subseteq_m m'; m x = \text{Some } y \rrbracket \implies m' x = \text{Some } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-le-fun-upd2*: $\llbracket f \subseteq_m g; x \notin \text{dom } f \rrbracket \implies f \subseteq_m g(x := y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-eqI*: $\forall x \in \text{dom } m \cup \text{dom } m'. m x = m' x \implies m = m'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.6 Countable

lemma *countable-lfp*:

```

assumes step:  $\bigwedge Y. \text{countable } Y \implies \text{countable } (F Y)$ 
and cont: Order-Continuity.sup-continuous F
shows countable (lfp F)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma countable-lfp-apply:
assumes step:  $\bigwedge Y x. (\bigwedge x. \text{countable } (Y x)) \implies \text{countable } (F Y x)$ 
and cont: Order-Continuity.sup-continuous F
shows countable (lfp F x)
⟨proof⟩

```

1.7 Extended naturals

```

lemma idiff-enat-eq-enat-iff:  $x - \text{enat } n = \text{enat } m \longleftrightarrow (\exists k. x = \text{enat } k \wedge k - n = m)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma eSuc-SUP:  $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{eSuc } (\bigsqcup (f ` A)) = (\bigsqcup_{x \in A} \text{eSuc } (f x))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ereal-of-enat-1:  $\text{ereal-of-enat } 1 = \text{ereal } 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ennreal-real-conv-ennreal-of-enat:  $\text{ennreal } (\text{real } n) = \text{ennreal-of-enat } n$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma enat-add-sub-same2:  $b \neq \infty \implies a + b - b = (a :: \text{enat})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma enat-sub-add:  $y \leq x \implies x - y + z = x + z - (y :: \text{enat})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma SUP-enat-eq-0-iff [simp]:  $\bigsqcup (f ` A) = (0 :: \text{enat}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. f x = 0)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma SUP-enat-add-left:
assumes I ≠ {}
shows ( $\text{SUP } i \in I. f i + c :: \text{enat}$ ) = ( $\text{SUP } i \in I. f i$ ) + c (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma SUP-enat-add-right:
assumes I ≠ {}
shows ( $\text{SUP } i \in I. c + f i :: \text{enat}$ ) = c + ( $\text{SUP } i \in I. f i$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma iadd-SUP-le-iff:  $n + (\text{SUP } x \in A. f x :: \text{enat}) \leq y \longleftrightarrow (\text{if } A = \{\} \text{ then } n \leq y \text{ else } \forall x \in A. n + f x \leq y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *SUP-iadd-le-iff*: $(\text{SUP } x \in A. f x :: \text{enat}) + n \leq y \longleftrightarrow (\text{if } A = \{\} \text{ then } n \leq y \text{ else } \forall x \in A. f x + n \leq y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.8 Extended non-negative reals

lemma *(in finite-measure) nn-integral-indicator-neq-infty*:
 $f -` A \in \text{sets } M \implies (\int^+ x. \text{indicator } A (f x) \partial M) \neq \infty$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *(in finite-measure) nn-integral-indicator-neq-top*:
 $f -` A \in \text{sets } M \implies (\int^+ x. \text{indicator } A (f x) \partial M) \neq \top$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nn-integral-indicator-map*:
assumes [measurable]: $f \in \text{measurable } M N \{x \in \text{space } N. P x\} \in \text{sets } N$
shows $(\int^+ x. \text{indicator } \{x \in \text{space } N. P x\} (f x) \partial M) = \text{emeasure } M \{x \in \text{space } M. P (f x)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.9 BNF material

lemma *transp-rel-fun*: $\llbracket \text{is-equality } Q; \text{transp } R \rrbracket \implies \text{transp} (\text{rel-fun } Q R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-fun-inf*: $\text{inf} (\text{rel-fun } Q R) (\text{rel-fun } Q R') = \text{rel-fun } Q (\text{inf } R R')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reflp-fun1*: **includes** lifting-syntax **shows** $\llbracket \text{is-equality } A; \text{reflp } B \rrbracket \implies \text{reflp} (A \implies B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *type-copy-id'*: *type-definition* $(\lambda x. x) (\lambda x. x) \text{ UNIV}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *type-copy-id*: *type-definition* *id id UNIV*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GrpE* [cases pred]:
assumes BNF-Def.Grp $A f x y$
obtains (Grp) $y = f x x \in A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-fun-Grp-copy-Abs*:
includes lifting-syntax
assumes type-definition Rep Abs A
shows rel-fun (BNF-Def.Grp A Abs) (BNF-Def.Grp B g) = BNF-Def.Grp { $f. f ` A \subseteq B\}$ (Rep $\dashrightarrow g$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-set-Grp*:

rel-set (*BNF-Def.Grp A f*) = *BNF-Def.Grp* {*B*. *B* ⊆ *A*} (*image f*)

{proof}

lemma *rel-set-comp-Grp*:

rel-set R = (*BNF-Def.Grp* {*x*. *x* ⊆ {(*x, y*). *R x y*}} ((‘) *fst*))⁻¹⁻¹ OO *BNF-Def.Grp* {*x*. *x* ⊆ {(*x, y*). *R x y*}} ((‘) *snd*)

{proof}

lemma *Domainp-Grp*: *Domainp* (*BNF-Def.Grp A f*) = ($\lambda x. x \in A$)

{proof}

lemma *pred-prod-conj [simp]*:

shows *pred-prod-conj1*: $\bigwedge P Q R. \text{pred-prod} (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) R = (\lambda x. \text{pred-prod} P R x \wedge \text{pred-prod} Q R x)$

and *pred-prod-conj2*: $\bigwedge P Q R. \text{pred-prod} P (\lambda x. Q x \wedge R x) = (\lambda x. \text{pred-prod} P Q x \wedge \text{pred-prod} P R x)$

{proof}

lemma *pred-sum-conj [simp]*:

shows *pred-sum-conj1*: $\bigwedge P Q R. \text{pred-sum} (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) R = (\lambda x. \text{pred-sum} P R x \wedge \text{pred-sum} Q R x)$

and *pred-sum-conj2*: $\bigwedge P Q R. \text{pred-sum} P (\lambda x. Q x \wedge R x) = (\lambda x. \text{pred-sum} P Q x \wedge \text{pred-sum} P R x)$

{proof}

lemma *pred-list-conj [simp]*: *list-all* ($\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x$) = ($\lambda x. \text{list-all} P x \wedge \text{list-all} Q x$)

{proof}

lemma *pred-prod-top [simp]*:

pred-prod ($\lambda -. \text{True}$) ($\lambda -. \text{True}$) = ($\lambda -. \text{True}$)

{proof}

lemma *rel-fun-conversep*: **includes** *lifting-syntax* **shows**

$(A^{\wedge\wedge -1} ==> B^{\wedge\wedge -1}) = (A ==> B)^{\wedge\wedge -1}$

{proof}

lemma *left-unique-Grp [iff]*:

left-unique (*BNF-Def.Grp A f*) \longleftrightarrow *inj-on f A*

{proof}

lemma *right-unique-Grp [simp, intro!]*: *right-unique* (*BNF-Def.Grp A f*)

{proof}

lemma *bi-unique-Grp [iff]*:

bi-unique (*BNF-Def.Grp A f*) \longleftrightarrow *inj-on f A*

{proof}

lemma *left-total-Grp* [iff]:
 $\text{left-total}(\text{BNF-Def.Grp } A f) \longleftrightarrow A = \text{UNIV}$
(proof)

lemma *right-total-Grp* [iff]:
 $\text{right-total}(\text{BNF-Def.Grp } A f) \longleftrightarrow f ` A = \text{UNIV}$
(proof)

lemma *bi-total-Grp* [iff]:
 $\text{bi-total}(\text{BNF-Def.Grp } A f) \longleftrightarrow A = \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{surj } f$
(proof)

lemma *left-unique-vimage2p* [simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{left-unique } P; \text{inj } f \rrbracket \implies \text{left-unique}(\text{BNF-Def.vimage2p } f g P)$
(proof)

lemma *right-unique-vimage2p* [simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{right-unique } P; \text{inj } g \rrbracket \implies \text{right-unique}(\text{BNF-Def.vimage2p } f g P)$
(proof)

lemma *bi-unique-vimage2p* [simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{bi-unique } P; \text{inj } f; \text{inj } g \rrbracket \implies \text{bi-unique}(\text{BNF-Def.vimage2p } f g P)$
(proof)

lemma *left-total-vimage2p* [simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{left-total } P; \text{surj } g \rrbracket \implies \text{left-total}(\text{BNF-Def.vimage2p } f g P)$
(proof)

lemma *right-total-vimage2p* [simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{right-total } P; \text{surj } f \rrbracket \implies \text{right-total}(\text{BNF-Def.vimage2p } f g P)$
(proof)

lemma *bi-total-vimage2p* [simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{bi-total } P; \text{surj } f; \text{surj } g \rrbracket \implies \text{bi-total}(\text{BNF-Def.vimage2p } f g P)$
(proof)

lemma *vimage2p-eq* [simp]:
 $\text{inj } f \implies \text{BNF-Def.vimage2p } f f (=) = (=)$
(proof)

lemma *vimage2p-conversep*: $\text{BNF-Def.vimage2p } f g R^{\wedge\!-\!1} = (\text{BNF-Def.vimage2p } g f R)^{\wedge\!-\!1}$
(proof)

lemma *rel-fun-refl*: $\llbracket A \leq (=); (=) \leq B \rrbracket \implies (=) \leq \text{rel-fun } A B$
(proof)

lemma *rel-fun-mono-strong*:
 $\llbracket \text{rel-fun } A B f g; A' \leq A; \bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in f ` \{x. \text{Domainp } A' x\}; y \in g ` \{x. \text{Rangep } A' y\} \rrbracket \implies f x = g y \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-fun } A' B' f' g'$
(proof)

$A' x\}; B x y \] \implies B' x y \] \implies \text{rel-fun } A' B' f g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-fun-refl-strong*:
assumes $A \leq (=) \wedge x. x \in f` \{x. \text{Domainp } A x\} \implies B x x$
shows *rel-fun A B ff*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Grp-iff*: *BNF-Def.Grp B g x y \iff y = g x \wedge x \in B* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Rangep-Grp*: *Rangep (BNF-Def.Grp A f) = ($\lambda x. x \in f` A$)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-fun-Grp*:
rel-fun (BNF-Def.Grp UNIV h) $^{-1-1}$ (BNF-Def.Grp A g) = BNF-Def.Grp {f. f` range h $\subseteq A$ } (map-fun h g)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.10 Transfer and lifting material

context includes *lifting-syntax* **begin**

lemma *monotone-parametric [transfer-rule]*:
assumes [*transfer-rule*]: *bi-total A*
shows $((A \implies A \implies (=)) \implies (B \implies B \implies (=)) \implies (A \implies B) \implies (=))$ *monotone monotone*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-ord-parametric [transfer-rule]*:
assumes [*transfer-rule*]: *bi-total C*
shows $((A \implies B \implies (=)) \implies (C \implies A \implies (C \implies B \implies (=)))$ *fun-ord fun-ord*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Plus-parametric [transfer-rule]*:
 $(\text{rel-set } A \implies \text{rel-set } B \implies \text{rel-set } (\text{rel-sum } A B)) \langle + \rangle \langle + \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-fun-parametric [transfer-rule]*:
assumes [*transfer-rule*]: *bi-total A*
shows $((A \implies (=)) \implies (B \implies (=)) \implies (A \implies B \implies (=))$ *pred-fun pred-fun*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-fun-eq-OO*: $((=) \implies A) OO ((=) \implies B) = ((=) \implies A) OO B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

```

lemma Quotient-set-rel-eq:
  includes lifting-syntax
  assumes Quotient R Abs Rep T
  shows (rel-set T ==> rel-set T ==> (=)) (rel-set R) (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Domaininp-eq: Domaininp (=) = ( $\lambda$ - True)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-fun-eq-onpI: eq-onp (pred-fun P Q) f g ==> rel-fun (eq-onp P) (eq-onp Q) f g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-unique-eq-onp: bi-unique (eq-onp P)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-fun-eq-conversep: includes lifting-syntax shows ( $A^{-1-1} \Rightarrow (=)$ )
= ( $A \Rightarrow (=)$ ) $^{-1-1}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-fun-comp:
   $\wedge f g h. \text{rel-fun } A B (f \circ g) h = \text{rel-fun } A (\lambda x. B (f x)) g h$ 
   $\wedge f g h. \text{rel-fun } A B f (g \circ h) = \text{rel-fun } A (\lambda x y. B x (g y)) f h$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-fun-map-fun1: rel-fun (BNF-Def.Grp UNIV h) $^{-1-1}$  A f g ==> rel-fun
(=) A (map-fun h id f) g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-fun2-id: map-fun f g x = g o map-fun f id x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-fun-id2-in: map-fun g h f = map-fun g id (h o f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Domaininp-rel-fun-le: Domaininp (rel-fun A B)  $\leq$  pred-fun (Domaininp A) (Domaininp B)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rel-witness-fun :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'd)
 $\times$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  'e)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'd  $\times$  'e) where
  rel-witness-fun A A' = ( $\lambda(f, g). b. (f (\text{THE } a. A a b), g (\text{THE } c. A' b c))$ )

lemma
  assumes fg: rel-fun (A OO A') B f g
  and A: left-unique A right-total A
  and A': right-unique A' left-total A'
  shows rel-witness-fun1: rel-fun A ( $\lambda x (x', y). x = x' \wedge B x' y$ ) f (rel-witness-fun

```

```

A A' (f, g)
and rel-witness-fun2: rel-fun A' ( $\lambda(x, y') y. y = y' \wedge B x y'$ ) (rel-witness-fun
A A' (f, g)) g
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-witness-fun-eq [simp]: rel-witness-fun (=) (=) (f, g) = ( $\lambda x. (f x, g x)$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

1.11 Arithmetic

```

lemma abs-diff-triangle-ineq2:  $|a - b| \leq |a - c| + |c - b|$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in ordered-ab-semigroup-add) add-left-mono-trans:
 $\llbracket x \leq a + b; b \leq c \rrbracket \implies x \leq a + c$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma of-nat-le-one-cancel-iff [simp]:
fixes n :: nat shows real n ≤ 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  n ≤ 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in linordered-semidom) mult-right-le:  $c \leq 1 \implies 0 \leq a \implies c * a \leq a$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

1.12 Chain-complete partial orders and partial-function

```

lemma fun-ordD: fun-ord ord f g  $\implies$  ord (f x) (g x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma parallel-fixp-induct-strong:
assumes ccpo1: class ccpo luba orda (mk-less orda)
and ccpo2: class ccpo lubb ordb (mk-less ordb)
and adm: ccpo.admissible (prod-lub luba lubb) (rel-prod orda ordb) ( $\lambda x. P (\text{fst } x)$ 
(snd x))
and f: monotone orda orda f
and g: monotone ordb ordb g
and bot: P (luba {}) (lubb {})
and step:  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket \text{orda } x (\text{ccpo.fixp luba orda } f); \text{ordb } y (\text{ccpo.fixp lubb ordb } g); P$ 
x y  $\rrbracket \implies P (f x) (g y)$ 
shows P (ccpo.fixp luba orda f) (ccpo.fixp lubb ordb g)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma parallel-fixp-induct-strong-uc:
assumes a: partial-function-definitions orda luba
and b: partial-function-definitions ordb lubb
and F:  $\bigwedge x. \text{monotone} (\text{fun-ord orda}) \text{ orda } (\lambda f. U1 (F (C1 f)) x)$ 
and G:  $\bigwedge y. \text{monotone} (\text{fun-ord ordb}) \text{ ordb } (\lambda g. U2 (G (C2 g)) y)$ 
and eq1: f ≡ C1 (ccpo.fixp (fun-lub luba) (fun-ord orda) ( $\lambda f. U1 (F (C1 f))$ ))
and eq2: g ≡ C2 (ccpo.fixp (fun-lub lubb) (fun-ord ordb) ( $\lambda g. U2 (G (C2 g))$ ))

```

```

and inverse:  $\bigwedge f. U_1(C_1 f) = f$ 
and inverse2:  $\bigwedge g. U_2(C_2 g) = g$ 
and adm:  $\text{ccpo.admissible}(\text{prod-lub}(\text{fun-lub luba})(\text{fun-lub lubb}))(\text{rel-prod}(\text{fun-ord orda})(\text{fun-ord ordB}))(\lambda x. P(\text{fst } x)(\text{snd } x))$ 
and bot:  $P(\lambda \_. \text{luba} \{\}) (\lambda \_. \text{lubb} \{\})$ 
and step:  $\bigwedge f' g'. [\bigwedge x. \text{orda}(U_1 f' x) (U_1 f x); \bigwedge y. \text{ordB}(U_2 g' y) (U_2 g y); P(U_1 f') (U_2 g')] \implies P(U_1(F f')) (U_2(G g'))$ 
shows  $P(U_1 f) (U_2 g)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas parallel-fixp-induct-strong-1-1 = parallel-fixp-induct-strong-uc[
  of - - - -  $\lambda x. x - \lambda x. x \lambda x. x - \lambda x. x$ ,
  OF - - - - - refl refl]

```

```

lemmas parallel-fixp-induct-strong-2-2 = parallel-fixp-induct-strong-uc[
  of - - - - case-prod - curry case-prod - curry,
  where  $P = \lambda f g. P(\text{curry } f)(\text{curry } g)$ ,
  unfolded case-prod-curry curry-case-prod curry-K,
  OF - - - - - refl refl,
  split-format (complete), unfolded prod.case]
for  $P$ 

```

```

lemma fixp-induct-option': — Stronger induction rule
fixes  $F :: 'c \Rightarrow 'c$  and
   $U :: 'c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}$  and
   $C :: ('b \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}) \Rightarrow 'c$  and
   $P :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
assumes mono:  $\bigwedge x. \text{mono-option}(\lambda f. U(F(C f)) x)$ 
assumes eq:  $f \equiv C(\text{ccpo.fixp}(\text{fun-lub}(\text{flat-lub None}))(\text{fun-ord option-ord})(\lambda f. U(F(C f))))$ 
assumes inverse2:  $\bigwedge f. U(C f) = f$ 
assumes step:  $\bigwedge g x y. [\bigwedge x y. U g x = \text{Some } y \implies P x y; U(F g) x = \text{Some } y; \bigwedge x. \text{option-ord}(U g x)(U f x)] \implies P x y$ 
assumes defined:  $U f x = \text{Some } y$ 
shows  $P x y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

⟨ML⟩

```

lemma bot-fun-least [simp]:  $(\lambda \_. \text{bot} :: 'a :: \text{order-bot}) \leq x$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fun-ord-conv-rel-fun:  $\text{fun-ord} = \text{rel-fun} (=)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

inductive finite-chains ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
for ord
where finite-chainsI:  $(\bigwedge Y. \text{Complete-Partial-Order.chain ord } Y \implies \text{finite } Y) \implies \text{finite-chains ord}$ 

```

```

lemma finite-chainsD: [[ finite-chains ord; Complete-Partial-Order.chain ord Y ]]
  ==> finite Y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-chains-flat-ord [simp, intro!]: finite-chains (flat-ord x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-finite-chains:
  assumes finite: finite-chains ord
  and mono: monotone ord ord' f
  and ccpo: class.ccpo lub ord (mk-less ord)
  and ccpo': class.ccpo lub' ord' (mk-less ord')
  shows mcont lub ord lub' ord' f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-fun-curry: includes lifting-syntax shows
  (A ==> B ==> C) f g <=> (rel-prod A B ==> C) (case-prod f) (case-prod
  g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ccpo) Sup-image-mono:
  assumes ccpo: class.ccpo luba orda lessa
  and mono: monotone orda (≤) f
  and chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain orda A
  and A ≠ {}
  shows Sup (f ` A) ≤ (f (luba A))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ccpo) admissible-le-mono:
  assumes monotone (≤) (≤) f
  shows ccpo.admissible Sup (≤) (λx. x ≤ f x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ccpo) fixp-induct-strong2:
  assumes adm: ccpo.admissible Sup (≤) P
  and mono: monotone (≤) (≤) f
  and bot: P (⊔ {})
  and step: ⋀x. [[ x ≤ ccpo-class.fixp f; x ≤ f x; P x ]] ==> P (f x)
  shows P (ccpo-class.fixp f)
  ⟨proof⟩

context partial-function-definitions begin

lemma fixp-induct-strong2-uc:
  fixes F :: 'c ⇒ 'c
  and U :: 'c ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a
  and C :: ('b ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'c
  and P :: ('b ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool

```

```

assumes mono:  $\bigwedge x. \text{mono-body}(\lambda f. U(F(Cf))x)$ 
and eq:  $f \equiv C(\text{fixp-fun}(\lambda f. U(F(Cf))))$ 
and inverse:  $\bigwedge f. U(Cf) = f$ 
and adm:  $\text{ccpo.admissible lub-fun le-fun } P$ 
and bot:  $P(\lambda -. \text{lub } \{\})$ 
and step:  $\bigwedge f'. [\text{le-fun}(Uf')(Uf); \text{le-fun}(Uf')(U(Ff')); P(Uf')] \implies P(U(Ff'))$ 
shows  $P(Uf)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemmas parallel-fixp-induct-2-4 = parallel-fixp-induct-uc[
  of - - - case-prod - curry  $\lambda f. \text{case-prod}(\text{case-prod}(\text{case-prod } f)) - \lambda f. \text{curry}(\text{curry } (\text{curry } f))$ ,
  where  $P = \lambda f g. P(\text{curry } f)(\text{curry } (\text{curry } (\text{curry } g)))$ ,
  unfolded case-prod-curry curry-case-prod curry-K,
  OF - - - refl refl]
for  $P$ 

lemma (in ccpo) fixp-greatest:
assumes f: monotone ( $\leq$ ) ( $\leq$ ) f
and ge:  $\bigwedge y. f y \leq y \implies x \leq y$ 
shows  $x \leq \text{ccpo.fixp Sup } (\leq) f$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fixp-rolling:
assumes class.ccpo lub1 leq1 (mk-less leq1)
and class.ccpo lub2 leq2 (mk-less leq2)
and f: monotone leq1 leq2 f
and g: monotone leq2 leq1 g
shows  $\text{ccpo.fixp lub1 leq1 } (\lambda x. g(fx)) = g(\text{ccpo.fixp lub2 leq2 } (\lambda x. f(gx)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fixp-lfp-parametric-eq:
includes lifting-syntax
assumes f:  $\bigwedge x. \text{lfp.mono-body } (\lambda f. Ffx)$ 
and g:  $\bigwedge x. \text{lfp.mono-body } (\lambda f. Gfx)$ 
and param:  $((A ==> (=)) ==> A ==> (=)) F G$ 
shows  $(A ==> (=)) (\text{lfp.fixp-fun } F) (\text{lfp.fixp-fun } G)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mono2mono-map-option[THEN option.mono2mono, simp, cont-intro]:
shows monotone-map-option: monotone option-ord option-ord (map-option f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont2mcont-map-option[THEN option.mcont2mcont, simp, cont-intro]:
shows mcont-map-option: mcont (flat-lub None) option-ord (flat-lub None) option-ord (map-option f)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono2mono-set-option* [*THEN lfp.mono2mono*]:
 shows *monotone-set-option*: *monotone option-ord* (\subseteq) *set-option*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mcont2mcont-set-option* [*THEN lfp.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp*]:
 shows *mcont-set-option*: *mcont (flat-lub None) option-ord Union* (\subseteq) *set-option*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eadd-gfp-partial-function-mono* [*partial-function-mono*]:
 $\llbracket \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\geq)) (\geq) f; \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\geq)) (\geq) g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{monotone } (\text{fun-ord } (\geq)) (\geq) (\lambda x. f x + g x :: \text{enat})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-option-mono* [*partial-function-mono*]:
 mono-option B \implies *mono-option* ($\lambda f. \text{map-option } g (B f)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.13 Folding over finite sets

lemma (**in** *comp-fun-commute*) *fold-invariant-remove* [*consumes 1, case-names start step*]:
 assumes *fin: finite A*
 and *start: I A s*
 and *step: $\bigwedge x s A'. \llbracket x \in A'; I A' s; A' \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies I (A' - \{x\}) (f x s)$*
 shows *I {} (Finite-Set.fold f s A)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *comp-fun-commute*) *fold-invariant-insert* [*consumes 1, case-names start step*]:
 assumes *fin: finite A*
 and *start: I {} s*
 and *step: $\bigwedge x s A'. \llbracket I A' s; x \notin A'; x \in A; A' \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies I (\text{insert } x A') (f x s)$*
 shows *I A (Finite-Set.fold f s A)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *comp-fun-idem*) *fold-set-union*:
 assumes *finite A finite B*
 shows *Finite-Set.fold f z (A \cup B) = Finite-Set.fold f (Finite-Set.fold f z A) B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.14 Parametrisation of transfer rules

$\langle ML \rangle$

1.15 Lists

lemma *nth-eq-tlI*: *xs ! n = z \implies (x # xs) ! Suc n = z*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma list-all2-append':
  length us = length vs  $\implies$  list-all2 P (xs @ us) (ys @ vs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  list-all2 P xs ys  $\wedge$ 
  list-all2 P us vs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition disjointp :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool) list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where disjointp xs = disjoint-family-on ( $\lambda n.$  {x. (xs ! n) x}) {0..<length xs}

lemma disjointpD:
   $\llbracket$  disjointp xs; (xs ! n) x; (xs ! m) x; n < length xs; m < length xs  $\rrbracket \implies n = m$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma disjointpD':
   $\llbracket$  disjointp xs; P x; Q x; xs ! n = P; xs ! m = Q; n < length xs; m < length xs  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\implies n = m$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf-strict-prefix: wfP strict-prefix
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma strict-prefix-setD:
  strict-prefix xs ys  $\implies$  set xs  $\subseteq$  set ys
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

1.15.1 List of a given length

```

inductive-set nlists :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list set for A n
where nlists:  $\llbracket$  set xs  $\subseteq$  A; length xs = n  $\rrbracket \implies xs \in nlists A n$ 
hide-fact (open) nlists

lemma nlists-alt-def: nlists A n = {xs. set xs  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$  length xs = n}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nlists-empty: nlists {} n = (if n = 0 then {} else {})
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nlists-empty-gt0 [simp]: n > 0  $\implies$  nlists {} n = {}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nlists-0 [simp]: nlists A 0 = {}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Cons-in-nlists-Suc [simp]: x # xs  $\in$  nlists A (Suc n)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\in$  A  $\wedge$  xs  $\in$ 
  nlists A n
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Nil-in-nlists [simp]: []  $\in$  nlists A n  $\longleftrightarrow$  n = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Cons-in-nlists-iff:  $x \# xs \in nlists A n \longleftrightarrow (\exists n'. n = Suc n' \wedge x \in A \wedge xs \in nlists A n')$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-nlists-Suc-iff:  $xs \in nlists A (Suc n) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x xs'. xs = x \# xs' \wedge x \in A \wedge xs' \in nlists A n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma nlists-Suc:  $nlists A (Suc n) = (\bigcup_{x \in A} (\#) x \cdot nlists A n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma replicate-in-nlists [simp, intro]:  $x \in A \implies \text{replicate } n x \in nlists A n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma nlists-eq-empty-iff [simp]:  $nlists A n = \{\} \longleftrightarrow n > 0 \wedge A = \{\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-nlists [simp]:  $\text{finite } A \implies \text{finite } (nlists A n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-nlistsD:
  assumes finite (nlists A n)
  shows finite A ∨ n = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-nlists-iff:  $\text{finite } (nlists A n) \longleftrightarrow \text{finite } A \vee n = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma card-nlists:  $\text{card } (nlists A n) = \text{card } A \wedge n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-nlists-UNIV:  $xs \in nlists UNIV n \longleftrightarrow \text{length } xs = n$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

1.15.2 The type of lists of a given length

```

typedef (overloaded) ('a, 'b :: len0) nlist = nlists (UNIV :: 'a set) (LENGTH('b))
⟨proof⟩

```

setup-lifting type-definition-nlist

1.16 Streams and infinite lists

```

primrec sprefix :: 'a list ⇒ 'a stream ⇒ bool where
  sprefix-Nil: sprefix [] ys = True
  | sprefix-Cons: sprefix (x # xs) ys ←→ x = shd ys ∧ sprefix xs (stl ys)

```

```

lemma sprefix-append: sprefix (xs @ ys) zs ←→ sprefix xs zs ∧ sprefix ys (sdrop (length xs) zs)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma sprefix-stake-same [simp]: sprefix (stake n xs) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma sprefix-same-imp-eq:
  assumes sprefix xs ys sprefix xs' ys
  and length xs = length xs'
  shows xs = xs'
⟨proof⟩

lemma sprefix-shift-same [simp]:
  sprefix xs (xs @- ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sprefix-shift [simp]:
  length xs ≤ length ys ==> sprefix xs (ys @- zs) ↔ prefix xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma prefixeq-stake2 [simp]: prefix xs (stake n ys) ↔ length xs ≤ n ∧ sprefix
  xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma tlength-eq-infinity-iff: tlength xs = ∞ ↔ ¬ tfinite xs
including tlist.lifting ⟨proof⟩

```

1.17 Monomorphic monads

```

context includes lifting-syntax begin
⟨ML⟩

```

```

definition bind-option :: 'm fail ⇒ 'a option ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'm) ⇒ 'm
where bind-option fail x f = (case x of None ⇒ fail | Some x' ⇒ f x') for fail

```

```

simps-of-case bind-option-simps [simp]: bind-option-def

```

```

lemma bind-option-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (M ==> rel-option B ==> (B ==> M) ==> M) bind-option bind-option
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bind-option-K:
  ⋀monad. (x = None ⇒ m = fail) ==> bind-option fail x (λ-. m) = m
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

```

lemma bind-option-option [simp]: monad.bind-option None = Option.bind
⟨proof⟩

```

```

context monad-fail-hom begin

lemma hom-bind-option:  $h (\text{monad.bind-option} \text{ fail1 } x f) = \text{monad.bind-option}$ 
 $\text{fail2 } x (h \circ f)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma bind-option-set [simp]:  $\text{monad.bind-option fail-set} = (\lambda x f. \bigcup (f \text{ ' set-option } x))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma run-bind-option-stateT [simp]:
 $\wedge \text{more. run-state } (\text{monad.bind-option} (\text{fail-state fail}) x f) s =$ 
 $\text{monad.bind-option fail } x (\lambda y. \text{run-state } (f y) s)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma run-bind-option-envT [simp]:
 $\wedge \text{more. run-env } (\text{monad.bind-option} (\text{fail-env fail}) x f) s =$ 
 $\text{monad.bind-option fail } x (\lambda y. \text{run-env } (f y) s)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

1.18 Measures

```

declare sets-restrict-space-count-space [measurable-cong]

lemma (in sigma-algebra) sets-Collect-countable-Ex1:
 $(\wedge i :: 'i :: \text{countable}. \{x \in \Omega. P i x\} \in M) \implies \{x \in \Omega. \exists !i. P i x\} \in M$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-countable-Ex1 [measurable]:
 $(\wedge i :: - :: \text{countable}. \text{Measurable.pred } M (\lambda x. P i x))$ 
 $\implies \text{Measurable.pred } M (\lambda x. \exists !i. P i x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma measurable-snd-count-space [measurable]:
 $A \subseteq B \implies \text{snd } \in \text{measurable } (M1 \otimes_M \text{count-space } A) \text{ (count-space } B)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma integrable-scale-measure [simp]:
 $\llbracket \text{integrable } M f; r < \top \rrbracket \implies \text{integrable } (\text{scale-measure } r M) f$ 
for  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma integral-scale-measure:
assumes integrable  $M f r < \top$ 
shows  $\text{integral}^L (\text{scale-measure } r M) f = \text{enn2real } r * \text{integral}^L M f$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

1.19 Sequence space

lemma (in sequence-space) nn-integral-split:
assumes $f[\text{measurable}]$: $f \in \text{borel-measurable } S$
shows $(\int^+ \omega. f \omega \partial S) = (\int^+ \omega. (\int^+ \omega'. f (\text{comb-seq } i \omega \omega') \partial S) \partial S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in sequence-space) prob-Collect-split:
assumes $f[\text{measurable}]$: $\{x \in \text{space } S. P x\} \in \text{sets } S$
shows $\mathcal{P}(x \text{ in } S. P x) = (\int^+ x. \mathcal{P}(x' \text{ in } S. P (\text{comb-seq } i x x')) \partial S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.20 Probability mass functions

lemma measure-map-pmf-conv-distr:
 $\text{measure-pmf} (\text{map-pmf } f p) = \text{distr} (\text{measure-pmf } p) (\text{count-space } \text{UNIV}) f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation coin-pmf :: bool pmf **where** coin-pmf \equiv pmf-of-set UNIV

The rule rel-pmf-bindI is not complete as a program logic.

notepad begin
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma pred-rel-pmf:
 $\llbracket \text{pred-pmf } P p; \text{rel-pmf } R p q \rrbracket \implies \text{pred-pmf} (\text{Imagep } R P) q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pmf-rel-mono': $\llbracket \text{rel-pmf } P x y; P \leq Q \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-pmf } Q x y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rel-pmf-eqI [simp]: $\text{rel-pmf} (=) x x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rel-pmf-bind-reflI:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set-pmf } p \implies \text{rel-pmf } R (f x) (g x))$
 $\implies \text{rel-pmf } R (\text{bind-pmf } p f) (\text{bind-pmf } p g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pmf-pred-mono-strong:
 $\llbracket \text{pred-pmf } P p; \bigwedge a. \llbracket a \in \text{set-pmf } p; P a \rrbracket \implies P' a \rrbracket \implies \text{pred-pmf } P' p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rel-pmf-restrict-relpI [intro?]:
 $\llbracket \text{rel-pmf } R x y; \text{pred-pmf } P x; \text{pred-pmf } Q y \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-pmf } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rel-pmf-restrict-relpE [elim?]:
assumes $\text{rel-pmf } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y$

obtains $\text{rel-pmf } R \ x \ y \ \text{pred-pmf } P \ x \ \text{pred-pmf } Q \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rel-pmf-restrict-relp-iff}$:

$\text{rel-pmf } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) \ x \ y \longleftrightarrow \text{rel-pmf } R \ x \ y \wedge \text{pred-pmf } P \ x \wedge \text{pred-pmf } Q \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rel-pmf-OO-trans [trans]:

$\llbracket \text{rel-pmf } R \ p \ q; \text{rel-pmf } S \ q \ r \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-pmf } (R \text{ OO } S) \ p \ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pmf-pred-map [simp]: $\text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{map-pmf } f \ p) = \text{pred-pmf } (P \circ f) \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pred-pmf-bind [simp]: $\text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{bind-pmf } p \ f) = \text{pred-pmf } (\text{pred-pmf } P \circ f) \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pred-pmf-return [simp]: $\text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{return-pmf } x) = P \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pred-pmf-of-set [simp]: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{pmf-of-set } A) = \text{Ball } A \ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{pred-pmf-of-multiset}$ [simp]: $M \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{pmf-of-multiset } M) = \text{Ball } (\text{set-mset } M) \ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pred-pmf-cond [simp]:

$\text{set-pmf } p \cap A \neq \{\} \implies \text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{cond-pmf } p \ A) = \text{pred-pmf } (\lambda x. x \in A \longrightarrow P \ x) \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pred-pmf-pair [simp]:

$\text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{pair-pmf } p \ q) = \text{pred-pmf } (\lambda x. \text{pred-pmf } (P \circ \text{Pair } x) \ q) \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pred-pmf-join [simp]: $\text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{join-pmf } p) = \text{pred-pmf } (\text{pred-pmf } P) \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{pred-pmf-bernoulli}$ [simp]: $\llbracket 0 < p; p < 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{bernoulli-pmf } p) = \text{All } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{pred-pmf-geometric}$ [simp]: $\llbracket 0 < p; p < 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{geometric-pmf } p) = \text{All } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma pred-pmf-poisson [simp]:  $0 < \text{rate} \implies \text{pred-pmf } P \ (\text{poisson-pmf rate}) = \text{All } P$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pmf-rel-map-restrict-relp:
  shows pmf-rel-map-restrict-relp1:  $\text{rel-pmf } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) \ (\text{map-pmf } f p) = \text{rel-pmf } (R \circ f \upharpoonright P \circ f \otimes Q) \ p$ 
  and pmf-rel-map-restrict-relp2:  $\text{rel-pmf } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) \ p \ (\text{map-pmf } g q) = \text{rel-pmf } ((\lambda x. R x \circ g) \upharpoonright P \otimes Q \circ g) \ p \ q$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-pmf-conj [simp]:  $\text{pred-pmf } (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) = (\lambda x. \text{pred-pmf } P x \wedge \text{pred-pmf } Q x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-pmf-top [simp]:
   $\text{pred-pmf } (\lambda \_. \text{True}) = (\lambda \_. \text{True})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-pmf-of-setI:
  assumes  $A: A \neq \{\} \text{ finite } A$ 
  and  $B: B \neq \{\} \text{ finite } B$ 
  and  $\text{card}: \bigwedge X. X \subseteq A \implies \text{card } B * \text{card } X \leq \text{card } A * \text{card } \{y \in B. \exists x \in X. R x y\}$ 
  shows  $\text{rel-pmf } R \ (\text{pmf-of-set } A) \ (\text{pmf-of-set } B)$ 
⟨proof⟩

consts rel-witness-pmf ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ pmf} \times 'b \text{ pmf} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ pmf}$ 
specification (rel-witness-pmf)
  set-rel-witness-pmf':  $\text{rel-pmf } A \ (\text{fst } xy) \ (\text{snd } xy) \implies \text{set-pmf } (\text{rel-witness-pmf } A xy) \subseteq \{(a, b). A a b\}$ 
  map1-rel-witness-pmf':  $\text{rel-pmf } A \ (\text{fst } xy) \ (\text{snd } xy) \implies \text{map-pmf } \text{fst } (\text{rel-witness-pmf } A xy) = \text{fst } xy$ 
  map2-rel-witness-pmf':  $\text{rel-pmf } A \ (\text{fst } xy) \ (\text{snd } xy) \implies \text{map-pmf } \text{snd } (\text{rel-witness-pmf } A xy) = \text{snd } xy$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas set-rel-witness-pmf = set-rel-witness-pmf'[of - (x, y) for x y, simplified]
lemmas map1-rel-witness-pmf = map1-rel-witness-pmf'[of - (x, y) for x y, simplified]
lemmas map2-rel-witness-pmf = map2-rel-witness-pmf'[of - (x, y) for x y, simplified]
lemmas rel-witness-pmf = set-rel-witness-pmf map1-rel-witness-pmf map2-rel-witness-pmf

lemma rel-witness-pmf1:
  assumes  $\text{rel-pmf } A \ p \ q$ 
  shows  $\text{rel-pmf } (\lambda a. (a', b). a = a' \wedge A a' b) \ p \ (\text{rel-witness-pmf } A (p, q))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-witness-pmf2:
  assumes rel-pmf A p q
  shows rel-pmf ( $\lambda(a, b') \ b = b' \wedge A \ a \ b'$ ) (rel-witness-pmf A (p, q)) q
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cond-pmf-of-set:
  assumes fin: finite A and nonempty:  $A \cap B \neq \{\}$ 
  shows cond-pmf (pmf-of-set A) B = pmf-of-set ( $A \cap B$ ) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pair-pmf-of-set:
  assumes A: finite A A  $\neq \{\}$ 
  and B: finite B B  $\neq \{\}$ 
  shows pair-pmf (pmf-of-set A) (pmf-of-set B) = pmf-of-set ( $A \times B$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma emeasure-cond-pmf:
  fixes p A
  defines q  $\equiv$  cond-pmf p A
  assumes set-pmf p  $\cap$  A  $\neq \{\}$ 
  shows emeasure (measure-pmf q) B = emeasure (measure-pmf p) ( $A \cap B$ ) /
  emeasure (measure-pmf p) A
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma measure-cond-pmf:
  measure (measure-pmf (cond-pmf p A)) B = measure (measure-pmf p) ( $A \cap B$ )
  / measure (measure-pmf p) A
  if set-pmf p  $\cap$  A  $\neq \{\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma emeasure-measure-pmf-zero-iff: emeasure (measure-pmf p) s = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  set-pmf
p  $\cap$  s  $= \{\}$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

1.21 Subprobability mass functions

```

lemma ord-spmf-return-spmf1: ord-spmf R (return-spmf x) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  lossless-spmf p
 $\wedge (\forall y \in set-spmf p. R \ x \ y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ord-spmf-conv:
  ord-spmf R = rel-spmf R OO ord-spmf (=)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ord-spmf-expand:
  NO-MATCH (=) R  $\implies$  ord-spmf R = rel-spmf R OO ord-spmf (=)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ord-spmf-eqD-measure: ord-spmf (=) p q  $\implies$  measure (measure-spmf p)

```

$A \leq \text{measure}(\text{measure-spmf } q) A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-spmf-measureD*:
assumes *ord-spmf R p q*
shows $\text{measure}(\text{measure-spmf } p) A \leq \text{measure}(\text{measure-spmf } q) \{y. \exists x \in A. R x y\}$
(is $?lhs \leq ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-spmf-bind-pmfI1*:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set-pmf } p \implies \text{ord-spmf } R (f x) q) \implies \text{ord-spmf } R (\text{bind-pmf } p f) q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-spmf-bind-spmfI1*:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set-spmf } p \implies \text{ord-spmf } R (f x) q) \implies \text{ord-spmf } R (\text{bind-spmf } p f) q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *spmf-of-set-empty*: $\text{spmf-of-set } \{\} = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-spmf-of-setI*:
assumes $\text{card}: \bigwedge X. X \subseteq A \implies \text{card } B * \text{card } X \leq \text{card } A * \text{card } \{y \in B. \exists x \in X. R x y\}$
and *eq*: $(\text{finite } A \wedge A \neq \{\}) \longleftrightarrow (\text{finite } B \wedge B \neq \{\})$
shows *rel-spmf R (spmf-of-set A) (spmf-of-set B)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *map-bind-spmf = map-spmf-bind-spmf*

lemma *nn-integral-measure-spmf-conv-measure-pmf*:
assumes [measurable]: $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{count-space } \text{UNIV})$
shows $\text{nn-integral}(\text{measure-spmf } p) f = \text{nn-integral}(\text{restrict-space}(\text{measure-pmf } p)(\text{range } \text{Some})) (f \circ \text{the})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nn-integral-spmf-neq-infinity*: $(\int^+ x. \text{spmf } p x \partial \text{count-space } \text{UNIV}) \neq \infty$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *return-pmf-bind-option*:
 $\text{return-pmf}(\text{Option.bind } x f) = \text{bind-spmf}(\text{return-pmf } x)(\text{return-pmf } \circ f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-spmf-pos-distr*: $\text{rel-spmf } A \text{ OO rel-spmf } B \leq \text{rel-spmf}(A \text{ OO } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-spmf-OO-trans* [trans]:
 $\llbracket \text{rel-spmf } R p q; \text{rel-spmf } S q r \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-spmf}(R \text{ OO } S) p r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-spmf-eq-map-spmf-iff*: $\text{map-spmf } f \ p = \text{map-spmf } g \ q \longleftrightarrow \text{rel-spmf } (\lambda x. f x = g y) \ p \ q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-spmf-eq-map-spmfI*: $\text{rel-spmf } (\lambda x. f x = g y) \ p \ q \implies \text{map-spmf } f \ p = \text{map-spmf } g \ q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *spmf-rel-mono-strong*:
 $\llbracket \text{rel-spmf } A \ f \ g; \bigwedge x. \llbracket x \in \text{set-spmf } f; y \in \text{set-spmf } g; A \ x \ y \rrbracket \implies B \ x \ y \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-spmf } B \ f \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-spmf-eq-empty*: $\text{set-spmf } p = \{\} \longleftrightarrow p = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *measure-pair-spmf-times*:
 $\text{measure } (\text{measure-spmf } (\text{pair-spmf } p \ q)) \ (A \times B) = \text{measure } (\text{measure-spmf } p) \ A * \text{measure } (\text{measure-spmf } q) \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lossless-spmfD-set-spmf-nonempty*: $\text{lossless-spmf } p \implies \text{set-spmf } p \neq \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-spmf-return-pmf*: $\text{set-spmf } (\text{return-pmf } x) = \text{set-option } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-spmf-pmf-assoc*: $\text{bind-spmf } (\text{bind-pmf } p \ f) \ g = \text{bind-pmf } p \ (\lambda x. \text{bind-spmf } (f x) \ g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-spmf-of-set*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{bind-spmf } (\text{spmf-of-set } A) \ f = \text{bind-pmf } (\text{pmf-of-set } A) \ f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-spmf-map-pmf*:
 $\text{bind-spmf } (\text{map-pmf } f \ p) \ g = \text{bind-pmf } p \ (\lambda x. \text{bind-spmf } (\text{return-pmf } (f x)) \ g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-spmf-eqI [simp]*: $\text{rel-spmf } (=) \ x \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-spmf-map-pmf*: $\text{set-spmf } (\text{map-pmf } f \ p) = (\bigcup_{x \in \text{set-pmf } p.} \text{set-option } (f x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-spmf-return-spmf [simp]*: $\text{ord-spmf } (=) \ (\text{return-spmf } x) \ p \longleftrightarrow p =$

```

return-spmf x
⟨proof⟩

declare
  set-bind-spmf [simp]
  set-spmf-return-pmf [simp]

lemma bind-spmf-pmf-commute:
  bind-spmf p (λx. bind-pmf q (f x)) = bind-pmf q (λy. bind-spmf p (λx. f x y))
⟨proof⟩

lemma return-pmf-map-option-conv-bind:
  return-pmf (map-option f x) = bind-spmf (return-pmf x) (return-spmf ∘ f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-return-pmf-iff [simp]: lossless-spmf (return-pmf x) ↔ x ≠ None
⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-map-pmf: lossless-spmf (map-pmf f p) ↔ (∀ x ∈ set-pmf p. f x ≠ None)
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-pmf-spmf-assoc:
  g None = return-pmf None
  ⇒ bind-pmf (bind-spmf p f) g = bind-spmf p (λx. bind-pmf (f x) g)
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation pred-spmf :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a spmf ⇒ bool
where pred-spmf P ≡ pred-pmf (pred-option P)

lemma pred-spmf-def: pred-spmf P p ↔ (∀ x ∈ set-spmf p. P x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma spmf-pred-mono-strong:
  [ pred-spmf P p; ∀a. [ a ∈ set-spmf p; P a ] ⇒ P' a ] ⇒ pred-spmf P' p
⟨proof⟩

lemma spmf-Domainp-rel: Domainp (rel-spmf R) = pred-spmf (Domainp R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-spmf-restrict-relpI [intro?]:
  [ rel-spmf R p q; pred-spmf P p; pred-spmf Q q ] ⇒ rel-spmf (R ∣ P ⊗ Q) p q
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-spmf-restrict-relpE [elim?]:
  assumes rel-spmf (R ∣ P ⊗ Q) x y
  obtains rel-spmf R x y pred-spmf P x pred-spmf Q y
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *rel-spmf-restrict-relp-iff*:
 $\text{rel-spmf } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) x y \longleftrightarrow \text{rel-spmf } R x y \wedge \text{pred-spmf } P x \wedge \text{pred-spmf } Q y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *spmf-pred-map*: $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{map-spmf } f p) = \text{pred-spmf } (P \circ f) p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-bind* [*simp*]: $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{bind-spmf } p f) = \text{pred-spmf } (\text{pred-spmf } P \circ f) p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-return*: $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{return-spmf } x) = P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-return-pmf-None*: $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{return-pmf } \text{None})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-spmf-of-pmf* [*simp*]: $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{spmf-of-pmf } p) = \text{pred-pmf } P p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-of-set* [*simp*]: $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{spmf-of-set } A) = (\text{finite } A \longrightarrow \text{Ball } A P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-assert-spmf* [*simp*]: $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{assert-spmf } b) = (b \longrightarrow P ())$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-pair* [*simp*]:
 $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{pair-spmf } p q) = \text{pred-spmf } (\lambda x. \text{pred-spmf } (P \circ \text{Pair } x) q) p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-spmf-try* [*simp*]:
 $\text{set-spmf } (\text{try-spmf } p q) = \text{set-spmf } p \cup (\text{if lossless-spmf } p \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else set-spmf } q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *try-spmf-bind-out1*:
 $(\bigwedge x. \text{lossless-spmf } (f x)) \implies \text{bind-spmf } (\text{TRY } p \text{ ELSE } q) f = \text{TRY } (\text{bind-spmf } p f) \text{ ELSE } (\text{bind-spmf } q f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-try* [*simp*]:
 $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{try-spmf } p q) = (\text{pred-spmf } P p \wedge (\neg \text{lossless-spmf } p \longrightarrow \text{pred-spmf } P q))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-cond* [*simp*]:
 $\text{pred-spmf } P (\text{cond-spmf } p A) = \text{pred-spmf } (\lambda x. x \in A \longrightarrow P x) p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *spmf-rel-map-restrict-relp*:

shows *spmf-rel-map-restrict-relp1*: $rel\text{-}spmf (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) (map\text{-}spmf f p) = rel\text{-}spmf (R \circ f \upharpoonright P \circ f \otimes Q) p$

and *spmf-rel-map-restrict-relp2*: $rel\text{-}spmf (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) p (map\text{-}spmf g q) = rel\text{-}spmf ((\lambda x. R x \circ g) \upharpoonright P \otimes Q \circ g) p q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-conj*: $pred\text{-}spmf (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) = (\lambda x. pred\text{-}spmf P x \wedge pred\text{-}spmf Q x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *spmf-of-pmf-parametric* [transfer-rule]:

includes lifting-syntax **shows**

$(rel\text{-}pmf A ==> rel\text{-}spmf A) spmf\text{-}of\text{-}pmf spmf\text{-}of\text{-}pmf$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono2mono-return-pmf*[THEN *spmf.mono2mono, simp, cont-intro*]:

shows *monotone-return-pmf*: monotone option-ord (*ord-spmf (=)*) return-pmf

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mcont2mcont-return-pmf*[THEN *spmf.mcont2mcont, simp, cont-intro*]:

shows *mcont-return-pmf*: *mcont* (flat-lub *None*) option-ord lub-spmf (*ord-spmf (=)*) return-pmf

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-spmf-top*:

$pred\text{-}spmf (\lambda _. True) = (\lambda _. True)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-spmf-restrict-relpI'* [intro?]:

$\llbracket rel\text{-}spmf (\lambda x y. P x \longrightarrow Q y \longrightarrow R x y) p q; pred\text{-}spmf P p; pred\text{-}spmf Q q \rrbracket \implies rel\text{-}spmf (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) p q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-spmf-map-pmf-MATCH* [simp]:

assumes NO-MATCH (map-option *g*) *f*

shows *set-spmf* (map-pmf *f p*) = $(\bigcup_{x \in set\text{-}pmf p} set\text{-}option (f x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-spmf-bindI'*:

$\llbracket rel\text{-}spmf A p q; \bigwedge x y. \llbracket A x y; x \in set\text{-}pmf p; y \in set\text{-}pmf q \rrbracket \implies rel\text{-}spmf B (f x) (g y) \rrbracket \implies rel\text{-}spmf B (p \gg f) (q \gg g)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *rel-witness-spmf* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a spmf \times 'b spmf \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) spmf$ **where**

rel-witness-spmf $A = \text{map-pmf} \circ \text{rel-witness-option} \circ \text{rel-witness-spmf}$ (*rel-option* A)

lemma assumes *rel-spmf* A p q
shows *rel-witness-spmf1*: *rel-spmf* $(\lambda a. (a', b). a = a' \wedge A a' b) p$ (*rel-witness-spmf* A (p, q))
and *rel-witness-spmf2*: *rel-spmf* $(\lambda(a, b'). b = b' \wedge A a b') (rel-witness-spmf A (p, q)) q$
<proof>

lemma *weight-assert-spmf* [*simp*]: *weight-spmf* (*assert-spmf* b) = *indicator* {True}
 b
<proof>

definition *enforce-spmf* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ spmf} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ spmf}$ **where**
enforce-spmf $P = \text{map-pmf} (\text{enforce-option } P)$

lemma *enforce-spmf-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]: **includes** *lifting-syntax* **shows**
 $((A \implies (=)) \implies rel-spmf A \implies rel-spmf A)$ *enforce-spmf enforce-spmf*
<proof>

lemma *enforce-return-spmf* [*simp*]:
enforce-spmf P (*return-spmf* x) = (*if* $P x$ *then* *return-spmf* x *else* *return-pmf* *None*)
<proof>

lemma *enforce-return-pmf-None* [*simp*]:
enforce-spmf P (*return-pmf* *None*) = *return-pmf* *None*
<proof>

lemma *enforce-map-spmf*:
enforce-spmf P (*map-spmf* f p) = *map-spmf* f (*enforce-spmf* ($P \circ f$) p)
<proof>

lemma *enforce-bind-spmf* [*simp*]:
enforce-spmf P (*bind-spmf* p f) = *bind-spmf* p (*enforce-spmf* $P \circ f$)
<proof>

lemma *set-enforce-spmf* [*simp*]: *set-spmf* (*enforce-spmf* P p) = { $a \in \text{set-spmf } p.$
 $P a\}$
<proof>

lemma *enforce-spmf-alt-def*:
enforce-spmf P $p = bind-spmf p (\lambda a. bind-spmf (\text{assert-spmf} (P a)) (\lambda \cdot : unit. return-spmf a))$
<proof>

lemma *bind-enforce-spmf* [*simp*]:
bind-spmf (*enforce-spmf* P p) $f = bind-spmf p (\lambda x. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x \text{ else } \text{return-pmf None})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weight-enforce-spmf*:

$weight\text{-}spmf (enforce\text{-}spmf P p) = weight\text{-}spmf p - measure (measure\text{-}spmf p)$
 $\{x. \neg P x\}$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lossless-enforce-spmf* [*simp*]:

$lossless\text{-}spmf (enforce\text{-}spmf P p) \longleftrightarrow lossless\text{-}spmf p \wedge set\text{-}spmf p \subseteq \{x. P x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enforce-spmf-top* [*simp*]: $enforce\text{-}spmf \top = id$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enforce-spmf-K-True* [*simp*]: $enforce\text{-}spmf (\lambda_. True) p = p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enforce-spmf-bot* [*simp*]: $enforce\text{-}spmf \perp = (\lambda_. return\text{-}pmf None)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enforce-spmf-K-False* [*simp*]: $enforce\text{-}spmf (\lambda_. False) p = return\text{-}pmf None$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *enforce-pred-id-spmf*: $enforce\text{-}spmf P p = p$ **if** $pred\text{-}spmf P p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-the-spmf-of-pmf* [*simp*]: $map\text{-}pmf the (spmf\text{-}of\text{-}pmf p) = p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-bind-conv-pair-spmf*:

$bind\text{-}spmf p (\lambda x. bind\text{-}spmf q (f x)) = bind\text{-}spmf (pair\text{-}spmf p q) (\lambda(x, y). f x y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cond-spmf-spmf-of-set*:

$cond\text{-}spmf (spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set A) B = spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set (A \cap B)$ **if** $finite A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pair-spmf-of-set*:

$pair\text{-}spmf (spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set A) (spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set B) = spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set (A \times B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emeasure-cond-spmf*:

$emeasure (measure\text{-}spmf (cond\text{-}spmf p A)) B = emeasure (measure\text{-}spmf p) (A \cap B) / emeasure (measure\text{-}spmf p) A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *measure-cond-spmf*:

$measure (measure\text{-}spmf (cond\text{-}spmf p A)) B = measure (measure\text{-}spmf p) (A \cap B) / measure (measure\text{-}spmf p) A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lossless-cond-spmf* [simp]: *lossless-spmf* (*cond-spmf* p A) \longleftrightarrow *set-spmf* p $\cap A \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *measure-spmf-eq-density*: *measure-spmf* p = *density* (count-space UNIV)
(*spmf* p)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *integral-measure-spmf*:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{banach, second-countable-topology\}$
assumes A : finite A
shows $(\bigwedge a. a \in set-spmf M \implies f a \neq 0 \implies a \in A) \implies (LINT x | measure-spmf M. f x) = (\sum a \in A. spmf M a *_R f a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-set-spmf-eq*:
 $f` set-spmf p = g` set-spmf q$ if ASSUMPTION (*map-spmf* f p = *map-spmf* g q)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-spmf-const*: *map-spmf* ($\lambda x. x$) p = *scale-spmf* (*weight-spmf* p) (*return-spmf* x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cond-return-pmf* [simp]: *cond-pmf* (*return-pmf* x) A = *return-pmf* x if $x \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cond-return-spmf* [simp]: *cond-spmf* (*return-spmf* x) A = (if $x \in A$ then *return-spmf* x else *return-pmf* None)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *measure-range-Some-eq-weight*:
measure (*measure-pmf* p) (range Some) = *weight-spmf* p
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-spmf-eq-return-pmf-None* [simp]:
restrict-spmf p A = *return-pmf* None \longleftrightarrow *set-spmf* $p \cap A = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *mk-lossless* :: $'a spmf \Rightarrow 'a spmf$ **where**
 $mk-lossless p = scale-spmf (inverse (weight-spmf p)) p$

lemma *mk-lossless-idem* [simp]: *mk-lossless* (*mk-lossless* p) = *mk-lossless* p
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mk-lossless-return* [*simp*]: *mk-lossless* (*return-pmf* x) = *return-pmf* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mk-lossless-map* [*simp*]: *mk-lossless* (*map-spmf* p) = *map-spmff* (*mk-lossless* p)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *spmf-mk-lossless* [*simp*]: *spmf* (*mk-lossless* p) x = *spmf* p x / *weight-spmf* p
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-spmf-mk-lossless* [*simp*]: *set-spmf* (*mk-lossless* p) = *set-spmf* p
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mk-lossless-lossless* [*simp*]: *lossless-spmf* p \implies *mk-lossless* p = p
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mk-lossless-eq-return-pmf-None* [*simp*]: *mk-lossless* p = *return-pmf* *None*
 $\longleftrightarrow p = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *return-pmf-None-eq-mk-lossless* [*simp*]: *return-pmf* *None* = *mk-lossless* p
 $\longleftrightarrow p = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mk-lossless-spmf-of-set* [*simp*]: *mk-lossless* (*spmf-of-set* A) = *spmf-of-set* A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weight-mk-lossless*: *weight-spmf* (*mk-lossless* p) = (if $p = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$ then 0 else 1)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mk-lossless-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]: **includes** *lifting-syntax shows*
 $(\text{rel-spmf } A \implies \text{rel-spmf } A)$ *mk-lossless* *mk-lossless*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-spmf-mk-losslessI*:
 $\text{rel-spmf } A p q \implies \text{rel-spmf } A (\text{mk-lossless } p) (\text{mk-lossless } q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-spmf-restrict-spmfI*:
 $\text{rel-spmf } (\lambda x y. (x \in A \wedge y \in B \wedge R x y) \vee x \notin A \wedge y \notin B) p q$
 $\implies \text{rel-spmf } R (\text{restrict-spmf } p A) (\text{restrict-spmf } q B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cond-spmf-alt*: *cond-spmf* p A = *mk-lossless* (*restrict-spmf* p A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cond-spmf-bind*:
 $\text{cond-spmf} (\text{bind-spmf } p \ f) \ A = \text{mk-lossless } (p \gg= (\lambda x. f x \upharpoonright A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-spmf-UNIV* [simp]: $\text{cond-spmf } p \ \text{UNIV} = \text{mk-lossless } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-pmf-singleton*:
 $\text{cond-pmf } p \ A = \text{return-pmf } x \text{ if } \text{set-pmf } p \cap A = \{x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *cond-spmf-fst* :: $('a \times 'b) \text{ spmf} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ spmf}$ **where**
 $\text{cond-spmf-fst } p \ a = \text{map-spmf } \text{snd} (\text{cond-spmf } p (\{a\} \times \text{UNIV}))$

lemma *cond-spmf-fst-return-spmf* [simp]:
 $\text{cond-spmf-fst} (\text{return-spmf } (x, y)) \ x = \text{return-spmf } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-spmf-fst-map-Pair* [simp]: $\text{cond-spmf-fst} (\text{map-spmf } (\text{Pair } x) \ p) \ x = \text{mk-lossless } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-spmf-fst-map-Pair'* [simp]: $\text{cond-spmf-fst} (\text{map-spmf } (\lambda y. (x, f y)) \ p)$
 $x = \text{map-spmf } f \ (\text{mk-lossless } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-spmf-fst-eq-return-None* [simp]: $\text{cond-spmf-fst } p \ x = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$
 $\longleftrightarrow x \notin \text{fst} \ ' \text{set-spmf } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-spmf-fst-map-Pair1*:
 $\text{cond-spmf-fst} (\text{map-spmf } (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) \ p) \ (f x) = \text{return-spmf } (g \ (\text{inv-into} \ (\text{set-spmf } p) \ f \ (f x)))$
 $\text{if } x \in \text{set-spmf } p \text{ inj-on } f \ (\text{set-spmf } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lossless-cond-spmf-fst* [simp]: $\text{lossless-spmf } (\text{cond-spmf-fst } p \ x) \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{fst}$
 $' \text{set-spmf } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-spmf-fst-inverse*:
 $\text{bind-spmf } (\text{map-spmf } \text{fst } p) \ (\lambda x. \text{map-spmf } (\text{Pair } x) \ (\text{cond-spmf-fst } p \ x)) = p$
 $(\text{is } ?\text{lhs} = ?\text{rhs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.21.1 Embedding of '*a* option' into '*a* spmf'

This theoretically follows from the embedding between - *Monomorphic-Monad.id* into - *prob* and the isomorphism between (-, - *prob*) *optionT* and - *spmf*, but we would only get the monomorphic version via this connection. So we do it directly.

```
lemma bind-option-spmf-monad [simp]: monad.bind-option (return-pmf None) x = bind-spmf (return-pmf x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
locale option-to-spmf begin
```

We have to get the embedding into the lifting package such that we can use the parametrisation of transfer rules.

```
definition the-pmf :: 'a pmf ⇒ 'a where the-pmf p = (THE x. p = return-pmf x)
```

```
lemma the-pmf-return [simp]: the-pmf (return-pmf x) = x
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma type-definition-option-spmf: type-definition return-pmf the-pmf {x. ∃ y :: 'a option. x = return-pmf y}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
context begin
private setup-lifting type-definition-option-spmf
abbreviation cr-spmf-option where cr-spmf-option ≡ cr-option
abbreviation pcr-spmf-option where pcr-spmf-option ≡ pcr-option
lemmas Quotient-spmf-option = Quotient-option
  and cr-spmf-option-def = cr-option-def
  and pcr-spmf-option-bi-unique = option.bi-unique
  and Domainp-pcr-spmf-option = option.domain
  and Domainp-pcr-spmf-option-eq = option.domain-eq
  and Domainp-pcr-spmf-option-par = option.domain-par
  and Domainp-pcr-spmf-option-left-total = option.domain-par-left-total
  and pcr-spmf-option-left-unique = option.left-unique
  and pcr-spmf-option-cr-eq = option.pcr-cr-eq
  and pcr-spmf-option-return-pmf-transfer = option.rep-transfer
  and pcr-spmf-option-right-total = option.right-total
  and pcr-spmf-option-right-unique = option.right-unique
  and pcr-spmf-option-def = pcr-option-def
bundle spmf-option-lifting = [[Lifting.lifting-restore-internal Misc-CryptHOL.option.lifting]]
end
```

```
context includes lifting-syntax begin
```

```
lemma return-option-spmf-transfer [transfer-parametric return-spmf-parametric, transfer-rule]:
```

```

 $((=) \implies cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option)$  return-spmf Some
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma map-option-spmf-transfer [transfer-parametric map-spmf-parametric, transfer-rule]:
 $((((=) \implies (=)) \implies cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option) \implies cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option)$  map-spmf
map-option
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fail-option-spmf-transfer [transfer-parametric return-spmf-None-parametric, transfer-rule]:
 $(cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option (return-pmf None) None$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bind-option-spmf-transfer [transfer-parametric bind-spmf-parametric, transfer-rule]:
 $(cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option \implies ((=) \implies cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option) \implies cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option)$ 
bind-spmf Option.bind
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma set-option-spmf-transfer [transfer-parametric set-spmf-parametric, transfer-rule]:
 $(cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option \implies rel-set (=))$  set-spmf set-option
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rel-option-spmf-transfer [transfer-parametric rel-spmf-parametric, transfer-rule]:
 $((((=) \implies (=) \implies (=)) \implies cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option) \implies cr\text{-}spmf\text{-}option)$ 
 $\implies (=) rel\text{-}spmfp rel\text{-}option$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

locale option-le-spmf begin

Embedding where only successful computations in the option monad are related to Dirac spmf.

definition cr-option-le-spmf :: 'a option  $\Rightarrow$  'a spmf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where cr-option-le-spmf x p  $\longleftrightarrow$  ord-spmf (=) (return-pmf x) p

context includes lifting-syntax begin

lemma return-option-le-spmf-transfer [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) \implies cr\text{-}option\text{-}le\text{-}spmf) (\lambda x. x) return\text{-}pmf$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma map-option-le-spmf-transfer [transfer-rule]:
 $((((=) \implies (=)) \implies cr\text{-}option\text{-}le\text{-}spmf) \implies cr\text{-}option\text{-}le\text{-}spmf) map\text{-}option$ 

```

```

map-spmf
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-option-le-spmf-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (cr-option-le-spmf ==> ((=) ==> cr-option-le-spmf) ==> cr-option-le-spmf)
  Option.bind bind-spmf
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

interpretation rel-spmf-characterisation ⟨proof⟩

lemma if-distrib-bind-spmf1 [if-distribs]:
  bind-spmf (if b then x else y) f = (if b then bind-spmf x f else bind-spmf y f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma if-distrib-bind-spmf2 [if-distribs]:
  bind-spmf x (λy. if b then f y else g y) = (if b then bind-spmf x f else bind-spmf x g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-spmf-if-distrib [if-distribs]:
  rel-spmf R (if b then x else y) (if b then x' else y') ←→
    (b → rel-spmf R x x') ∧ (¬b → rel-spmf R y y')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma if-distrib-map-spmf [if-distribs]:
  map-spmf f (if b then p else q) = (if b then map-spmf f p else map-spmf f q)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma if-distrib-restrict-spmf1 [if-distribs]:
  restrict-spmf (if b then p else q) A = (if b then restrict-spmf p A else restrict-spmf q A)
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Set-Applicative imports
  Applicative-Lifting.Applicative-Set
begin

```

1.22 Applicative instance for 'a set

```

lemma ap-set-conv-bind: ap-set f x = Set.bind f (λf. Set.bind x (λx. {f x}))
  ⟨proof⟩

context includes applicative-syntax begin

```

```

lemma in-ap-setI:  $\llbracket f' \in f; x' \in x \rrbracket \implies f' x' \in f \diamond x$ 
<proof>

lemma in-ap-setE [elim!]:
 $\llbracket x \in f \diamond y; \wedge f' y'. \llbracket x = f' y'; f' \in f; y' \in y \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis} \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
<proof>

lemma in-ap-pure-set [iff]:  $x \in \{f\} \diamond y \longleftrightarrow (\exists y' \in y. x = f y')$ 
<proof>

end

end
theory SPMF-Applicative imports
  Applicative-Lifting.Applicative-PMF
  Set-Applicative
  HOL-Probability.SPMF
begin

declare eq-on-def [simp del]

```

1.23 Applicative instance for '*a* spmf'

abbreviation (*input*) pure-spmf :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*a* spmf'
where pure-spmf \equiv return-spmf

definition ap-spmf :: ('*a* \Rightarrow '*b*) spmf \Rightarrow '*a* spmf \Rightarrow '*b* spmf
where ap-spmf *f* *x* = map-spmf ($\lambda(f, x). f x$) (pair-spmf *f* *x*)

lemma ap-spmf-conv-bind: ap-spmf *f* *x* = bind-spmf *f* ($\lambda f. \text{bind-spmf } x (\lambda x. \text{return-spmf } (f x))$)
<proof>

adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap \Leftarrow ap-spmf

context includes applicative-syntax **begin**

lemma ap-spmf-id: pure-spmf ($\lambda x. x$) $\diamond x = x$
<proof>

lemma ap-spmf-comp: pure-spmf (\circ) $\diamond u \diamond v \diamond w = u \diamond (v \diamond w)$
<proof>

lemma ap-spmf-homo: pure-spmf *f* \diamond pure-spmf *x* = pure-spmf (*f* *x*)
<proof>

lemma ap-spmf-interchange: *u* \diamond pure-spmf *x* = pure-spmf ($\lambda f. f x$) $\diamond u$
<proof>

```

lemma ap-spmf-C: return-spmf  $(\lambda f x y. f y x) \diamond f \diamond x \diamond y = f \diamond y \diamond x$ 
⟨proof⟩

applicative spmf (C)
for
  pure: pure-spmf
  ap: ap-spmf
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-ap-spmf [simp]: set-spmf  $(p \diamond q) = set-spmf p \diamond set-spmf q$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-ap-spmf: bind-spmf  $(p \diamond x) f = bind-spmf p (\lambda p. x \gg= (\lambda x. f (p x)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-pmf-ap-return-spmf [simp]: bind-pmf  $(ap-spmf (return-spmf f) p) g$ 
 $= bind-pmf p (g \circ map-option f)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-spmf-conv-ap [applicative-unfold]: map-spmf  $f p = return-spmf f \diamond p$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

1.24 Exclusive or on lists

```

theory List-Bits imports Misc-CryptHOL begin

definition xor :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a :: {uminus,inf,sup} (infixr  $\triangleleft$  67)
where  $x \triangleleft y = inf (sup x y) (- (inf x y))$ 

lemma xor-bool-def [iff]: fixes x y :: bool shows  $x \triangleleft y \longleftrightarrow x \neq y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma xor-commute:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: {semilattice-sup,semilattice-inf,uminus}
  shows  $x \triangleleft y = y \triangleleft x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma xor-assoc:
  fixes x y z :: 'a :: boolean-algebra
  shows  $(x \triangleleft y) \triangleleft z = x \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma xor-left-commute:
  fixes x y z :: 'a :: boolean-algebra
  shows  $x \triangleleft (y \triangleleft z) = y \triangleleft (x \triangleleft z)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma [simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a :: boolean-algebra
  shows xor-bot:  $x \oplus \text{bot} = x$ 
  and bot-xor:  $\text{bot} \oplus x = x$ 
  and xor-top:  $x \oplus \text{top} = -x$ 
  and top-xor:  $\text{top} \oplus x = -x$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma xor-inverse [simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a :: boolean-algebra
  shows  $x \oplus x = \text{bot}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma xor-left-inverse [simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a :: boolean-algebra
  shows  $x \oplus x \oplus y = y$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas xor-ac = xor-assoc xor-commute xor-left-commute

```
definition xor-list :: 'a :: {uminus,inf,sup} list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list (infixr  $\langle \oplus \rangle$  67)
where xor-list xs ys = map (case-prod ( $\oplus$ )) (zip xs ys)
```

```
lemma xor-list-unfold:
  xs [ $\oplus$ ] ys = (case xs of []  $\Rightarrow$  [] | x # xs'  $\Rightarrow$  (case ys of []  $\Rightarrow$  [] | y # ys'  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\oplus$  y # xs' [ $\oplus$ ] ys'))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma xor-list-commute: fixes xs ys :: 'a :: {semilattice-sup,semilattice-inf,uminus} list
shows xs [ $\oplus$ ] ys = ys [ $\oplus$ ] xs
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma xor-list-assoc [simp]:
  fixes xs ys :: 'a :: boolean-algebra list
  shows (xs [ $\oplus$ ] ys) [ $\oplus$ ] zs = xs [ $\oplus$ ] (ys [ $\oplus$ ] zs)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma xor-list-left-commute:
  fixes xs ys zs :: 'a :: boolean-algebra list
  shows xs [ $\oplus$ ] (ys [ $\oplus$ ] zs) = ys [ $\oplus$ ] (xs [ $\oplus$ ] zs)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas xor-list-ac = xor-list-assoc xor-list-commute xor-list-left-commute

```

lemma xor-list-inverse [simp]:
  fixes xs :: 'a :: boolean-algebra list
  shows xs [ $\oplus$ ] xs = replicate (length xs) bot
  <proof>

lemma xor-replicate-bot-right [simp]:
  fixes xs :: 'a :: boolean-algebra list
  shows [ length xs  $\leq$  n; x = bot ]  $\implies$  xs [ $\oplus$ ] replicate n x = xs
  <proof>

lemma xor-replicate-bot-left [simp]:
  fixes xs :: 'a :: boolean-algebra list
  shows [ length xs  $\leq$  n; x = bot ]  $\implies$  replicate n x [ $\oplus$ ] xs = xs
  <proof>

lemma xor-list-left-inverse [simp]:
  fixes xs :: 'a :: boolean-algebra list
  shows length ys  $\leq$  length xs  $\implies$  xs [ $\oplus$ ] (xs [ $\oplus$ ] ys) = ys
  <proof>

lemma length-xor-list [simp]: length (xor-list xs ys) = min (length xs) (length ys)
  <proof>

lemma inj-on-xor-list-nlists [simp]:
  fixes xs :: 'a :: boolean-algebra list
  shows n  $\leq$  length xs  $\implies$  inj-on (xor-list xs) (nlists UNIV n)
  <proof>

lemma one-time-pad:
  fixes xs :: - :: boolean-algebra list
  shows length xs  $\geq$  n  $\implies$  map-spmf (xor-list xs) (spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV n))
= spmf-of-set (nlists UNIV n)
  <proof>

end
theory Environment-Functor imports
  Applicative-Lifting.Applicative-Environment
begin

```

1.25 The environment functor

```

type-synonym ('i, 'a) envir = 'i  $\Rightarrow$  'a

lemma const-apply [simp]: const x i = x
  <proof>

context includes applicative-syntax begin

lemma ap-envir-apply [simp]: (f  $\diamond$  x) i = f i (x i)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *all-envir* :: $('i, \text{bool}) \text{ envir} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *all-envir* $p \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. p x)$

lemma *all-envirI* [Pure.intro!, intro!]: $(\bigwedge x. p x) \implies \text{all-envir } p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-envirE* [Pure.elim 2, elim]: *all-envir* $p \implies (p x \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-envirD*: *all-envir* $p \implies p x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *pred-envir* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ envir} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *pred-envir* $p f = \text{all-envir} (\text{const } p \diamond f)$

lemma *pred-envir-conv*: *pred-envir* $p f \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. p (f x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-envirI* [Pure.intro!, intro!]: $(\bigwedge x. p (f x)) \implies \text{pred-envir } p f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-envirD*: *pred-envir* $p f \implies p (f x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-envirE* [Pure.elim 2, elim]: *pred-envir* $p f \implies (p (f x) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-envir-mono*: $\llbracket \text{pred-envir } p f; \bigwedge x. p (f x) \implies q (g x) \rrbracket \implies \text{pred-envir } q g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *rel-envir* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ envir} \Rightarrow ('i, 'b) \text{ envir} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *rel-envir* $p f g \longleftrightarrow \text{all-envir} (\text{const } p \diamond f \diamond g)$

lemma *rel-envir-conv*: *rel-envir* $p f g \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. p (f x) (g x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-envir-conv-rel-fun*: *rel-envir* = *rel-fun* (=)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-envirI* [Pure.intro!, intro!]: $(\bigwedge x. p (f x) (g x)) \implies \text{rel-envir } p f g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-envirD*: *rel-envir* $p f g \implies p (f x) (g x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma rel-envirE [Pure.elim 2, elim]: rel-envir p f g  $\implies$  (p (f x) (g x)  $\implies$  thesis)
 $\implies$  thesis
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-envir-mono: [ rel-envir p f g;  $\bigwedge x. p (f x) (g x) \implies q (f' x) (g' x)$  ]  $\implies$ 
rel-envir q f' g'
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-envir-mono1: [ pred-envir p f;  $\bigwedge x. p (f x) \implies q (f' x) (g' x)$  ]  $\implies$ 
rel-envir q f' g'
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-envir-mono2: [ rel-envir p f g;  $\bigwedge x. p (f x) (g x) \implies q (f' x)$  ]  $\implies$ 
pred-envir q f'
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

```
theory Partial-Function-Set imports Main begin
```

1.26 Setup for partial-function for sets

```

lemma (in complete-lattice) lattice-partial-function-definition:
partial-function-definitions ( $\leq$ ) Sup
⟨proof⟩

```

```

interpretation set: partial-function-definitions ( $\subseteq$ ) Union
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fun-lub-Sup: fun-lub Sup = (Sup :: -  $\Rightarrow$  - :: complete-lattice)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma set-admissible: set.admissible ( $\lambda f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$  set.  $\forall x y. y \in f x \longrightarrow P x y$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation mono-set  $\equiv$  monotone (fun-ord ( $\subseteq$ )) ( $\subseteq$ )

```

```

lemma fixp-induct-set-scott:
fixes F :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'c
and U :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a set
and C :: ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'a set)  $\Rightarrow$  'c
and P :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and x and y
assumes mono:  $\bigwedge x. \text{mono-set} (\lambda f. U (F (C f)) x)$ 
and eq: f  $\equiv$  C (ccpo.fixp (fun-lub Sup) (fun-ord ( $\leq$ ))) ( $\lambda f. U (F (C f)))$ )

```

```

and inverse2:  $\lambda f. U(C f) = f$ 
and step:  $\lambda f x y. [\lambda x y. y \in U f x \Rightarrow P x y; y \in U(F f) x] \Rightarrow P x y$ 
and enforce-variable-ordering:  $x = x$ 
and elem:  $y \in U f x$ 
shows  $P x y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fixp-Sup-le:
defines le ≡ ((≤) :: - :: complete-lattice ⇒ -)
shows ccpo.fixp Sup le = ccpo-class.fixp
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fun-ord-le: fun-ord (≤) = (≤)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fixp-induct-set:
fixes F :: 'c ⇒ 'c
and U :: 'c ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a set
and C :: ('b ⇒ 'a set) ⇒ 'c
and P :: 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
and x and y
assumes mono:  $\lambda x. \text{mono-set}(\lambda f. U(F(C f)) x)$ 
and eq: f ≡ C (ccpo.fixp (fun-lub Sup) (fun-ord (≤)) (λf. U(F(C f))))
and inverse2:  $\lambda f. U(C f) = f$ 

```

```

and step:  $\lambda f' x y. [\lambda x. U f' x = U f' x; y \in U(F(C(\inf(U f)(\lambda x. \{y. P x y\})))) x] \Rightarrow P x y$ 
    — partial_function requires a quantifier over f', so let's have a fake one
and elem:  $y \in U f x$ 
shows  $P x y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

⟨ML⟩

```

lemma [partial-function-mono]:
shows insert-mono: mono-set A ⇒ mono-set (λf. insert x (A f))
and UNION-mono: [mono-set B;  $\lambda y. \text{mono-set}(\lambda f. C y f)] \Rightarrow \text{mono-set}(\lambda f. \bigcup_{y \in B} f. C y f)$ 
and set-bind-mono: [mono-set B;  $\lambda y. \text{mono-set}(\lambda f. C y f)] \Rightarrow \text{mono-set}(\lambda f. \text{Set.bind}(B f)(\lambda y. C y f))$ 
and Un-mono: [mono-set A; mono-set B] ⇒ mono-set (λf. A f ∪ B f)
and Int-mono: [mono-set A; mono-set B] ⇒ mono-set (λf. A f ∩ B f)
and Diff-mono1: mono-set A ⇒ mono-set (λf. A f - X)
and image-mono: mono-set A ⇒ mono-set (λf. g ` A f)
and vimage-mono: mono-set A ⇒ mono-set (λf. g - ` A f)
⟨proof⟩

```

partial-function (set) test :: 'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ bool ⇒ int set

where

test xs i j = insert 4 (test [] 0 j ∪ test [] 1 True ∩ test [] 2 False - {5} ∪ uminus ‘ test [undefined] 0 True ∪ uminus -‘ test [] 1 False)

interpretation coset: partial-function-definitions (\supseteq) Inter
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fun-lub-Inf: fun-lub Inf = (Inf :: - \Rightarrow - :: complete-lattice)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fun-ord-ge: fun-ord (\geq) = (\geq)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma coset-admissible: coset.admissible ($\lambda f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set}. \forall x y. P x y \rightarrow y \in f x$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation mono-coset \equiv monotone (fun-ord (\supseteq)) (\supseteq)

lemma gfp-eq-fixp:
 fixes f :: 'a :: complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'a
 assumes f: monotone (\geq) (\geq) f
 shows gfp f = ccpo.fixp Inf (\geq) f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fixp-coinduct-set:
 fixes F :: 'c \Rightarrow 'c
 and U :: 'c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a set
 and C :: ('b \Rightarrow 'a set) \Rightarrow 'c
 and P :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool
 and x and y
 assumes mono: $\bigwedge x. \text{mono-coset} (\lambda f. U (F (C f)) x)$
 and eq: f \equiv C (ccpo.fixp (fun-lub Inter) (fun-ord (\geq)) ($\lambda f. U (F (C f))$))
 and inverse2: $\bigwedge f. U (C f) = f$

and step: $\bigwedge f' x y. [\bigwedge x. U f' x = U f' x; \neg P x y] \Rightarrow y \in U (F (C (\sup (\lambda x. \{y. \neg P x y\}) (U f)))) x$
 — partial_function requires a quantifier over f', so let's have a fake one
 and elem: y \notin U f x
 shows P x y
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

abbreviation mono-set' \equiv monotone (fun-ord (\supseteq)) (\supseteq)

lemma [partial-function-mono]:
 shows insert-mono': mono-set' A \Rightarrow mono-set' ($\lambda f. \text{insert } x (A f)$)
 and UNION-mono': $[\text{mono-set}' B; \bigwedge y. \text{mono-set}' (\lambda f. C y f)] \Rightarrow \text{mono-set}'$

```

 $(\lambda f. \bigcup_{y \in B} f. C y f)$ 
and set-bind-mono':  $\llbracket \text{mono-set}' B; \bigwedge y. \text{mono-set}' (\lambda f. C y f) \rrbracket \implies \text{mono-set}'$ 
 $(\lambda f. \text{Set.bind } (B f) (\lambda y. C y f))$ 
and Un-mono':  $\llbracket \text{mono-set}' A; \text{mono-set}' B \rrbracket \implies \text{mono-set}' (\lambda f. A f \cup B f)$ 
and Int-mono':  $\llbracket \text{mono-set}' A; \text{mono-set}' B \rrbracket \implies \text{mono-set}' (\lambda f. A f \cap B f)$ 
{proof}

```

```

context begin
private partial-function (coset) test2 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set
where test2 x = insert x (test2 (Suc x))

```

```

private lemma test2-coinduct:
assumes P x y
and  $\ast$ :  $\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies y = x \vee (P (\text{Suc } x) y \vee y \in \text{test2 } (\text{Suc } x))$ 
shows y  $\in$  test2 x
{proof}

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

2 Negligibility

```

theory Negligible imports
  Complex-Main
  Landau-Symbols.Landau-More
begin

```

```
named-theorems negligible-intros
```

```

definition negligible :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where negligible f  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\forall c > 0. f \in o(\lambda x. \text{inverse } (x \text{ powr } c)))$ 

```

```

lemma negligibleI [intro?]:
 $(\bigwedge c. c > 0 \implies f \in o(\lambda x. \text{inverse } (x \text{ powr } c))) \implies \text{negligible } f$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma negligibleD:
 $\llbracket \text{negligible } f; c > 0 \rrbracket \implies f \in o(\lambda x. \text{inverse } (x \text{ powr } c))$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma negligibleD-real:
assumes negligible f
shows f  $\in$  o( $\lambda x. \text{inverse } (x \text{ powr } c)$ )
{proof}

```

```

lemma negligible-mono:  $\llbracket \text{negligible } g; f \in O(g) \rrbracket \implies \text{negligible } f$ 
{proof}

```

lemma *negligible-le*: $\llbracket \text{negligible } g; \wedge \eta. |f \eta| \leq g \eta \rrbracket \implies \text{negligible } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-K0* [*negligible-intros*, *simp*, *intro!*]: $\text{negligible } (\lambda \cdot. 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-0* [*negligible-intros*, *simp*, *intro!*]: $\text{negligible } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-const-iff* [*simp*]: $\text{negligible } (\lambda \cdot. c :: \text{real}) \longleftrightarrow c = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-negligible-1*: $\neg \text{negligible } (\lambda \cdot. 1 :: \text{real})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-plus* [*negligible-intros*]:
 $\llbracket \text{negligible } f; \text{negligible } g \rrbracket \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. f \eta + g \eta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-uminus* [*simp*]: $\text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. -f \eta) \longleftrightarrow \text{negligible } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-uminusI* [*negligible-intros*]: $\text{negligible } f \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. -f \eta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-minus* [*negligible-intros*]:
 $\llbracket \text{negligible } f; \text{negligible } g \rrbracket \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. f \eta - g \eta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-cmult*: $\text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. c * f \eta) \longleftrightarrow \text{negligible } f \vee c = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-cmultI* [*negligible-intros*]:
 $(c \neq 0 \implies \text{negligible } f) \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. c * f \eta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-multc*: $\text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. f \eta * c) \longleftrightarrow \text{negligible } f \vee c = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-multcI* [*negligible-intros*]:
 $(c \neq 0 \implies \text{negligible } f) \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. f \eta * c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negligible-times* [*negligible-intros*]:
assumes *f*: $\text{negligible } f$
and *g*: $\text{negligible } g$
shows $\text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. f \eta * g \eta :: \text{real})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma negligible-power [negligible-intros]:
  assumes negligible f
  and n > 0
  shows negligible ( $\lambda\eta. f \eta \wedge n :: \text{real}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma negligible-powr [negligible-intros]:
  assumes f: negligible f
  and p: p > 0
  shows negligible ( $\lambda x. |f x| \text{powr } p :: \text{real}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma negligible-abs [simp]: negligible ( $\lambda x. |f x|$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  negligible f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma negligible-absI [negligible-intros]: negligible f  $\implies$  negligible ( $\lambda x. |f x|$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma negligible-powrI [negligible-intros]:
  assumes 0 ≤ k k < 1
  shows negligible ( $\lambda x. k \text{powr } x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma negligible-powerI [negligible-intros]:
  fixes k :: real
  assumes |k| < 1
  shows negligible ( $\lambda n. k \wedge n$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma negligible-inverse-powerI [negligible-intros]: |k| > 1  $\implies$  negligible ( $\lambda\eta. 1 / k \wedge \eta$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

inductive polynomial :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for f
  where f ∈ O( $\lambda x. x \text{powr } n$ )  $\implies$  polynomial f

lemma negligible-times-poly:
  assumes f: negligible f
  and g: g ∈ O( $\lambda x. x \text{powr } n$ )
  shows negligible ( $\lambda x. f x * g x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma negligible-poly-times:
   $\llbracket f \in O(\lambda x. x \text{powr } n); \text{negligible } g \rrbracket \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda x. f x * g x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma negligible-times-polynomial [negligible-intros]:
   $\llbracket \text{negligible } f; \text{polynomial } g \rrbracket \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda x. f x * g x)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma negligible-polynomial-times [negligible-intros]:
   $\llbracket \text{polynomial } f; \text{negligible } g \rrbracket \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda x. f x * g x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma negligible-divide-poly1:
   $\llbracket f \in O(\lambda x. x \text{ powr } n); \text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. 1 / g \eta) \rrbracket \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. \text{real } (f \eta) / g \eta)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma negligible-divide-polynomial1 [negligible-intros]:
   $\llbracket \text{polynomial } f; \text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. 1 / g \eta) \rrbracket \implies \text{negligible } (\lambda \eta. \text{real } (f \eta) / g \eta)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
```

3 The resumption-error monad

```
theory Resumption
imports
  Misc-CryptHOL
  Partial-Function-Set
begin

codatatype (results: 'a, outputs: 'out, 'in) resumption
  = Done (result: 'a option)
  | Pause (output: 'out) (resume: 'in  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) resumption)
where
  resume (Done a) = ( $\lambda \text{inp}. \text{Done } \text{None}$ )

code-datatype Done Pause

primcorec bind-resumption :: ('a, 'out, 'in) resumption
   $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'out, 'in) resumption)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'out, 'in) resumption
where
   $\llbracket \text{is-Done } x; \text{result } x \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow \text{is-Done } (f (\text{the } (\text{result } x))) \rrbracket \implies \text{is-Done } (\text{bind-resumption } x f)$ 
  | result (bind-resumption x f) = result x  $\gg=$  result  $\circ$  f
  | output (bind-resumption x f) = (if is-Done x then output (f (the (result x))) else output x)
  | resume (bind-resumption x f) = ( $\lambda \text{inp}. \text{if is-Done } x \text{ then resume } (f (\text{the } (\text{result } x))) \text{ else bind-resumption } (\text{resume } x \text{ inp}) f$ )

declare bind-resumption.sel [simp del]

adhoc-overloading Monad-Syntax.bind = bind-resumption
```

```

lemma is-Done-bind-resumption [simp]:
  is-Done (x ≫= f)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-Done x  $\wedge$  (result x ≠ None  $\longrightarrow$  is-Done (f (the (result x))))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma result-bind-resumption [simp]:
  is-Done (x ≫= f)  $\Longrightarrow$  result (x ≫= f) = result x ≫= result  $\circ$  f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma output-bind-resumption [simp]:
   $\neg$  is-Done (x ≫= f)  $\Longrightarrow$  output (x ≫= f) = (if is-Done x then output (f (the (result x))) else output x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resume-bind-resumption [simp]:
   $\neg$  is-Done (x ≫= f)  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  resume (x ≫= f) =
  (if is-Done x then resume (f (the (result x)))
   else ( $\lambda$ inp. resume x inp ≫= f))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition DONE :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) resumption
where DONE = Done  $\circ$  Some

definition ABORT :: ('a, 'out, 'in) resumption
where ABORT = Done None

lemma [simp]:
  shows is-Done-DONE: is-Done (DONE a)
  and is-Done-ABORT: is-Done ABORT
  and result-DONE: result (DONE a) = Some a
  and result-ABORT: result ABORT = None
  and DONE-inject: DONE a = DONE b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = b
  and DONE-neq-ABORT: DONE a ≠ ABORT
  and ABORT-neq-DONE: ABORT ≠ DONE a
  and ABORT-eq-Done:  $\bigwedge$ a. ABORT = Done a  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = None
  and Done-eq-ABORT:  $\bigwedge$ a. Done a = ABORT  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = None
  and DONE-eq-Done:  $\bigwedge$ b. DONE a = Done b  $\longleftrightarrow$  b = Some a
  and Done-eq-DONE:  $\bigwedge$ b. Done b = DONE a  $\longleftrightarrow$  b = Some a
  and DONE-neq-Pause: DONE a ≠ Pause out c
  and Pause-neq-DONE: Pause out c ≠ DONE a
  and ABORT-neq-Pause: ABORT ≠ Pause out c
  and Pause-neq-ABORT: Pause out c ≠ ABORT
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resume-ABORT [simp]:
  resume (Done r) = ( $\lambda$ inp. ABORT)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare resumption.sel(3)[simp del]

lemma results-DONE [simp]: results (DONE x) = {x}
⟨proof⟩

lemma results-ABORT [simp]: results ABORT = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma outputs-ABORT [simp]: outputs ABORT = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma outputs-DONE [simp]: outputs (DONE x) = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-Done-cases [cases pred]:
  assumes is-Done r
  obtains (DONE) x where r = DONE x | (ABORT) r = ABORT
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-is-Done-conv-Pause:  $\neg$  is-Done r  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  out c. r = Pause out c)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Done-bind [code]:
  Done a  $\ggg$  f = (case a of None  $\Rightarrow$  Done None | Some a  $\Rightarrow$  f a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma DONE-bind [simp]:
  DONE a  $\ggg$  f = f a
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-resumption-Pause [simp, code]: fixes cont shows
  Pause out cont  $\ggg$  f
  = Pause out ( $\lambda$ inp. cont inp  $\ggg$  f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-DONE [simp]:
  x  $\ggg$  DONE = x
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-bind-resumption:
  fixes r :: ('a, 'in, 'out) resumption
  shows (r  $\ggg$  f)  $\ggg$  g = do { x  $\leftarrow$  r; f x  $\ggg$  g }
⟨proof⟩

lemmas resumption-monad = DONE-bind bind-DONE bind-bind-resumption

lemma ABORT-bind [simp]: ABORT  $\ggg$  f = ABORT
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bind-resumption-is-Done: is-Done f  $\implies$  f  $\gg=$  g = (if result f = None then ABORT else g (the (result f)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-resumption-eq-Done-iff [simp]:
f  $\gg=$  g = Done x  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists y$ . f = DONE y  $\wedge$  g y = Done x)  $\vee$  f = ABORT  $\wedge$  x = None
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-resumption-cong:
assumes x = y
and  $\bigwedge z$ . z ∈ results y  $\implies$  f z = g z
shows x  $\gg=$  f = y  $\gg=$  g
⟨proof⟩

lemma results-bind-resumption:
results (bind-resumption x f) = ( $\bigcup a \in \text{results } x$ . results (f a))
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma outputs-bind-resumption [simp]:
outputs (bind-resumption r f) = outputs r  $\cup$  ( $\bigcup x \in \text{results } r$ . outputs (f x))
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

primrec ensure :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  (unit, 'out, 'in) resumption
where
ensure True = DONE ()
| ensure False = ABORT

lemma is-Done-map-resumption [simp]:
is-Done (map-resumption f1 f2 r)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-Done r
⟨proof⟩

lemma result-map-resumption [simp]:
is-Done r  $\implies$  result (map-resumption f1 f2 r) = map-option f1 (result r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma output-map-resumption [simp]:
 $\neg$  is-Done r  $\implies$  output (map-resumption f1 f2 r) = f2 (output r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma resume-map-resumption [simp]:
 $\neg$  is-Done r
 $\implies$  resume (map-resumption f1 f2 r) = map-resumption f1 f2  $\circ$  resume r
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-resumption-is-DoneD: rel-resumption A B r1 r2  $\implies$  is-Done r1  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-Done r2

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-resumption-resultD1*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-resumption } A \ B \ r1 \ r2; \text{is-Done } r1 \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-option } A \ (\text{result } r1) \ (\text{result } r2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-resumption-resultD2*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-resumption } A \ B \ r1 \ r2; \text{is-Done } r2 \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-option } A \ (\text{result } r1) \ (\text{result } r2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-resumption-outputD1*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-resumption } A \ B \ r1 \ r2; \neg \text{is-Done } r1 \rrbracket \implies B \ (\text{output } r1) \ (\text{output } r2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-resumption-outputD2*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-resumption } A \ B \ r1 \ r2; \neg \text{is-Done } r2 \rrbracket \implies B \ (\text{output } r1) \ (\text{output } r2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-resumption-resumeD1*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-resumption } A \ B \ r1 \ r2; \neg \text{is-Done } r1 \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-resumption } A \ B \ (\text{resume } r1 \text{ inp}) \ (\text{resume } r2 \text{ inp})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-resumption-resumeD2*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-resumption } A \ B \ r1 \ r2; \neg \text{is-Done } r2 \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-resumption } A \ B \ (\text{resume } r1 \text{ inp}) \ (\text{resume } r2 \text{ inp})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-resumption-coinduct*

[consumes 1, case-names Done Pause,
case-conclusion Done is-Done result,
case-conclusion Pause output resume,
coinduct pred: rel-resumption]:

assumes *X: X r1 r2*

and *Done: $\bigwedge r1 r2. X \ r1 \ r2 \implies (\text{is-Done } r1 \leftrightarrow \text{is-Done } r2) \wedge (\text{is-Done } r1 \rightarrow \text{is-Done } r2 \rightarrow \text{rel-option } A \ (\text{result } r1) \ (\text{result } r2))$*

and *Pause: $\bigwedge r1 r2. \llbracket X \ r1 \ r2; \neg \text{is-Done } r1; \neg \text{is-Done } r2 \rrbracket \implies B \ (\text{output } r1) \ (\text{output } r2) \wedge (\forall \text{inp}. X \ (\text{resume } r1 \text{ inp}) \ (\text{resume } r2 \text{ inp}))$*

shows *rel-resumption A B r1 r2*

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.1 Setup for partial-function

context includes lifting-syntax begin

coinductive *resumption-ord :: ('a, 'out, 'in) resumption \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) resumption \Rightarrow bool*

where

Done-Done: flat-ord None a a' \implies resumption-ord (Done a) (Done a')

```

| Done-Pause: resumption-ord ABORT (Pause out c)
| Pause-Pause: ((=) ==> resumption-ord) c c' ==> resumption-ord (Pause out
c) (Pause out c')

inductive-simps resumption-ord-simps [simp]:
  resumption-ord (Pause out c) r
  resumption-ord r (Done a)

lemma resumption-ord-is-DoneD:
  [[ resumption-ord r r'; is-Done r' ]] ==> is-Done r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-ord-resultD:
  [[ resumption-ord r r'; is-Done r' ]] ==> flat-ord None (result r) (result r')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-ord-outputD:
  [[ resumption-ord r r'; ¬ is-Done r ]] ==> output r = output r'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-ord-resumeD:
  [[ resumption-ord r r'; ¬ is-Done r ]] ==> ((=) ==> resumption-ord) (resume
r) (resume r')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-ord-abort:
  [[ resumption-ord r r'; is-Done r; ¬ is-Done r' ]] ==> result r = None
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-ord-coinduct [consumes 1, case-names Done Abort Pause, case-conclusion
Pause output resume, coinduct pred: resumption-ord]:
  assumes X r r'
  and Done:  $\bigwedge r r'. [[ X r r'; is-Done r' ]] \Rightarrow is-Done r \wedge flat-ord None (result r)$ 
  (result r')
  and Abort:  $\bigwedge r r'. [[ X r r'; ¬ is-Done r'; is-Done r ]] \Rightarrow result r = None$ 
  and Pause:  $\bigwedge r r'. [[ X r r'; ¬ is-Done r; ¬ is-Done r' ]]$ 
   $\Rightarrow output r = output r' \wedge ((=) ==> (\lambda r r'. X r r' \vee resumption-ord r r'))$ 
  (resume r) (resume r')
  shows resumption-ord r r'
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma resumption-ord-ABORT [intro!, simp]: resumption-ord ABORT r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-ord-ABORT2 [simp]: resumption-ord r ABORT  $\leftrightarrow r = ABORT$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma resumption-ord-DONE1 [simp]: resumption-ord (DONE x) r  $\longleftrightarrow$  r = DONE x
⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-ord-refl: resumption-ord r r
⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-ord-antisym:
[| resumption-ord r r'; resumption-ord r' r |]
 $\implies r = r'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-ord-trans:
[| resumption-ord r r'; resumption-ord r' r'' |]
 $\implies \text{resumption-ord } r \ r''$ 
⟨proof⟩

primcorec resumption-lub :: ('a, 'out, 'in) resumption set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) resumption
where
   $\forall r \in R. \text{is-Done } r \implies \text{is-Done} (\text{resumption-lub } R)$ 
  | result (resumption-lub R) = flat-lub None (result ` R)
  | output (resumption-lub R) = (THE out. out  $\in$  output ` (R  $\cap$  {r.  $\neg$  is-Done r}))
  | resume (resumption-lub R) = ( $\lambda$ inp. resumption-lub (( $\lambda$ c. c inp) ` resume ` (R  $\cap$  {r.  $\neg$  is-Done r})))

lemma is-Done-resumption-lub [simp]:
  is-Done (resumption-lub R)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall r \in R. \text{is-Done } r$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma result-resumption-lub [simp]:
   $\forall r \in R. \text{is-Done } r \implies \text{result} (\text{resumption-lub } R) = \text{flat-lub None} (\text{result}` R)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma output-resumption-lub [simp]:
   $\exists r \in R. \neg \text{is-Done } r \implies \text{output} (\text{resumption-lub } R) = (\text{THE out. out} \in \text{output}` (R  $\cap$  {r.  $\neg$  is-Done r}))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma resume-resumption-lub [simp]:
   $\exists r \in R. \neg \text{is-Done } r \implies \text{resume} (\text{resumption-lub } R) \text{ inp} =$ 
   $\text{resumption-lub} ((\lambda c. c \text{ inp}) ` \text{resume} ` (R \cap \{r. \neg \text{is-Done } r\}))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma resumption-lub-empty: resumption-lub {} = ABORT
⟨proof⟩

```

context

```

fixes R state inp R'
defines R'-def: R' ≡ (λc. c inp) ` resume ` (R ∩ {r. ¬ is-Done r})
assumes chain: Complete-Partial-Order.chain resumption-ord R
begin

lemma resumption-ord-chain-resume: Complete-Partial-Order.chain resumption-ord
R'
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma resumption-partial-function-definition:
partial-function-definitions resumption-ord resumption-lub
⟨proof⟩

interpretation resumption:
partial-function-definitions resumption-ord resumption-lub
rewrites resumption-lub {} = (ABORT :: ('a, 'b, 'c) resumption)
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

abbreviation mono-resumption ≡ monotone (fun-ord resumption-ord) resumption-ord

lemma mono-resumption-resume:
assumes mono-resumption B
shows mono-resumption (λf. resume (B f) inp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-resumption-mono [partial-function-mono]:
assumes mf: mono-resumption B
and mg: ∀y. mono-resumption (C y)
shows mono-resumption (λf. do { y ← B f; C y f })
⟨proof⟩

lemma fixes f F
defines F ≡ λresults r. case r of resumption.Done x ⇒ set-option x | resumption.Pause out c ⇒ ∪ input. results (c input)
shows results-conv-fixp: results ≡ ccpo.fixp (fun-lub Union) (fun-ord (≤)) F (is - ≡ ?fixp)
and results-mono: ∀x. monotone (fun-ord (≤)) (≤) (λf. F f x) (is PROP ?mono)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcont-case-resumption:
fixes f g
defines h ≡ λr. if is-Done r then f (result r) else g (output r) (resume r) r
assumes mcont1: mcont (flat-lub None) option-ord lub ord f
and mcont2: ∀out. mcont (fun-lub resumption-lub) (fun-ord resumption-ord) lub

```

```

ord (λc. g out c (Pause out c))
  and ccpo: class.ccpo lub ord (mk-less ord)
  and bot: ∏x. ord (f None) x
  shows mcont resumption-lub resumption-ord lub ord (λr. case r of Done x ⇒ f x
| Pause out c ⇒ g out c r)
  (is mcont ?lub ?ord - - ?f)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mcont2mcont-results[THEN mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-results: mcont resumption-lub resumption-ord Union (⊆) results
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mono2mono-results[THEN lfp.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-results: monotone resumption-ord (⊆) results
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fixes f F
  defines F ≡ λoutputs xs. case xs of resumption.Done x ⇒ {} | resumption.Pause
  out c ⇒ insert out (⋃ input. outputs (c input))
  shows outputs-conv-fixp: outputs ≡ ccpo.fixp (fun-lub Union) (fun-ord (⊆)) F (is
  - ≡ ?fixp)
  and outputs-mono: ∏x. monotone (fun-ord (⊆)) (⊆) (λf. F f x) (is PROP ?mono)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mcont2mcont-outputs[THEN lfp.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows mcont-outputs: mcont resumption-lub resumption-ord Union (⊆) outputs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mono2mono-outputs[THEN lfp.mono2mono, cont-intro, simp]:
  shows monotone-outputs: monotone resumption-ord (⊆) outputs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pred-resumption-antimono:
  assumes r: pred-resumption A C r'
  and le: resumption-ord r r'
  shows pred-resumption A C r
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Setup for lifting and transfer

```

declare resumption.rel-eq [id-simps, relator-eq]
declare resumption.rel-mono [relator-mono]

```

```

lemma rel-resumption-OO [relator-distr]:
  rel-resumption A B OO rel-resumption C D = rel-resumption (A OO C) (B OO
D)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma left-total-rel-resumption [transfer-rule]:

```

```

 $\llbracket \text{left-total } R1; \text{left-total } R2 \rrbracket \implies \text{left-total} (\text{rel-resumption } R1 R2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma left-unique-rel-resumption [transfer-rule]:
 $\llbracket \text{left-unique } R1; \text{left-unique } R2 \rrbracket \implies \text{left-unique} (\text{rel-resumption } R1 R2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma right-total-rel-resumption [transfer-rule]:
 $\llbracket \text{right-total } R1; \text{right-total } R2 \rrbracket \implies \text{right-total} (\text{rel-resumption } R1 R2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma right-unique-rel-resumption [transfer-rule]:
 $\llbracket \text{right-unique } R1; \text{right-unique } R2 \rrbracket \implies \text{right-unique} (\text{rel-resumption } R1 R2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-total-rel-resumption [transfer-rule]:
 $\llbracket \text{bi-total } A; \text{bi-total } B \rrbracket \implies \text{bi-total} (\text{rel-resumption } A B)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-unique-rel-resumption [transfer-rule]:
 $\llbracket \text{bi-unique } A; \text{bi-unique } B \rrbracket \implies \text{bi-unique} (\text{rel-resumption } A B)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Quotient-resumption [quot-map]:
 $\llbracket \text{Quotient } R1 \text{ Abs1 Rep1 T1}; \text{Quotient } R2 \text{ Abs2 Rep2 T2} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{Quotient} (\text{rel-resumption } R1 R2) (\text{map-resumption } \text{Abs1 Abs2}) (\text{map-resumption } \text{Rep1 Rep2}) (\text{rel-resumption } T1 T2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Generative probabilistic values

```

theory Generat imports
  Misc-CryptHOL
begin

```

4.1 Single-step generative

```

datatype (generat-pures: 'a, generat-outs: 'b, generat-continuations: 'c) generat
  = Pure (result: 'a)
  | IO (output: 'b) (continuation: 'c)

```

```
datatype-compat generat
```

```
lemma IO-code-cong: out = out'  $\implies$  IO out c = IO out' c ⟨proof⟩
⟨ML⟩
```

```
lemma is-Pure-map-generat [simp]: is-Pure (map-generat f g h x) = is-Pure x
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *result-map-generat* [simp]: *is-Pure x* \implies *result (map-generat f g h x) = f (result x)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *output-map-generat* [simp]: \neg *is-Pure x* \implies *output (map-generat f g h x) = g (output x)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *continuation-map-generat* [simp]: \neg *is-Pure x* \implies *continuation (map-generat f g h x) = h (continuation x)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [simp]:
 shows *map-generat-eq-Pure*:
 map-generat f g h generat = Pure x \longleftrightarrow $(\exists x'. generat = Pure x' \wedge x = f x')$
 and *Pure-eq-map-generat*:
 Pure x = map-generat f g h generat \longleftrightarrow $(\exists x'. generat = Pure x' \wedge x = f x')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [simp]:
 shows *map-generat-eq-IO*:
 map-generat f g h generat = IO out c \longleftrightarrow $(\exists out' c'. generat = IO out' c' \wedge out = g out' \wedge c = h c')$
 and *IO-eq-map-generat*:
 IO out c = map-generat f g h generat \longleftrightarrow $(\exists out' c'. generat = IO out' c' \wedge out = g out' \wedge c = h c')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-PureE* [cases pred]:
 assumes *is-Pure generat*
 obtains (*Pure*) *x* **where** *generat = Pure x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-is-PureE*:
 assumes \neg *is-Pure generat*
 obtains (*IO*) *out c* **where** *generat = IO out c*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-generatI*:
 $\llbracket is\text{-}Pure x \longleftrightarrow is\text{-}Pure y;$
 $\llbracket is\text{-}Pure x; is\text{-}Pure y \rrbracket \implies A (\text{result } x) (\text{result } y);$
 $\llbracket \neg is\text{-}Pure x; \neg is\text{-}Pure y \rrbracket \implies Out (\text{output } x) (\text{output } y) \wedge R (\text{continuation } x) (\text{continuation } y) \rrbracket$
 $\implies rel\text{-}generat A Out R x y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-generatD'*:

```

rel-generat A Out R x y
 $\implies (\text{is-Pure } x \longleftrightarrow \text{is-Pure } y) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{is-Pure } x \longrightarrow \text{is-Pure } y \longrightarrow A (\text{result } x) (\text{result } y)) \wedge$ 
 $(\neg \text{is-Pure } x \longrightarrow \neg \text{is-Pure } y \longrightarrow \text{Out} (\text{output } x) (\text{output } y) \wedge R (\text{continuation } x) (\text{continuation } y))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-generatD:
assumes rel-generat A Out R x y
shows rel-generat-is-PureD: is-Pure x  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-Pure y
and rel-generat-resultD: is-Pure x  $\vee$  is-Pure y  $\implies$  A (result x) (result y)
and rel-generat-outputD:  $\neg$  is-Pure x  $\vee$   $\neg$  is-Pure y  $\implies$  Out (output x) (output y)
and rel-generat-continuationD:  $\neg$  is-Pure x  $\vee$   $\neg$  is-Pure y  $\implies$  R (continuation x) (continuation y)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-generat-mono:
 $\llbracket \text{rel-generat } A B C x y; \bigwedge x y. A x y \implies A' x y; \bigwedge x y. B x y \implies B' x y; \bigwedge x y. C x y \implies C' x y \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{rel-generat } A' B' C' x y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-generat-mono' [mono]:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge x y. A x y \longrightarrow A' x y; \bigwedge x y. B x y \longrightarrow B' x y; \bigwedge x y. C x y \longrightarrow C' x y \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{rel-generat } A B C x y \longrightarrow \text{rel-generat } A' B' C' x y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-generat-same:
rel-generat A B C r r  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall x \in \text{generat-pures } r. A x x) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall \text{out} \in \text{generat-outs } r. B \text{out out}) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall c \in \text{generat-conts } r. C c c)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rel-generat-reflI:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge y. y \in \text{generat-pures } x \implies A y y;$ 
 $\bigwedge \text{out}. \text{out} \in \text{generat-outs } x \implies B \text{out out};$ 
 $\bigwedge \text{cont}. \text{cont} \in \text{generat-conts } x \implies C \text{cont cont} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{rel-generat } A B C x x$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reflp-rel-generat [simp]: reflp (rel-generat A B C)  $\longleftrightarrow$  reflp A  $\wedge$  reflp B  $\wedge$ 
reflp C
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma transp-rel-generatI:
assumes transp A transp B transp C
shows transp (rel-generat A B C)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-generat-inf*:

inf (*rel-generat A B C*) (*rel-generat A' B' C'*) = *rel-generat* (*inf A A'*) (*inf B B'*) (*inf C C'*)
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-generat-Pure1*: *rel-generat A B C* (*Pure x*) = $(\lambda r. \exists y. r = \text{Pure } y \wedge A x y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-generat-IO1*: *rel-generat A B C* (*IO out c*) = $(\lambda r. \exists out' c'. r = \text{IO out}' c' \wedge B \text{ out out}' \wedge C c c')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-is-Pure-conv*: $\neg \text{is-Pure } r \longleftrightarrow (\exists out c. r = \text{IO out } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-generat-outs* [simp]: *finite (generat-outs generat)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *countable-generat-outs* [simp]: *countable (generat-outs generat)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case-map-generat*:

case-generat pure io (map-generat a b d r) =
case-generat (pure o a) (λout. io (b out) o d) r
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *continuation-in-generat-conts*:

$\neg \text{is-Pure } r \implies \text{continuation } r \in \text{generat-conts } r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *dest-IO* :: ('a, 'out, 'c) generat \Rightarrow ('out \times 'c) option
where

| *dest-IO (Pure -)* = None
| *dest-IO (IO out c)* = Some (out, c)

lemma *dest-IO-eq-None-iff* [simp]: *dest-IO generat = Some (out, c) \longleftrightarrow generat = IO out c*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dest-IO-eq-None-iff* [simp]: *dest-IO generat = None \longleftrightarrow is-Pure generat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dest-IO-comp-Pure* [simp]: *dest-IO o Pure = (λ-. None)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-dest-IO*: $\text{dom dest-IO} = \{x. \neg \text{is-Pure } x\}$
(proof)

definition *generat-lub* :: $('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('out \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'out') \Rightarrow ('cont \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'cont')$

$\Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'cont) \text{ generat set} \Rightarrow ('b, 'out', 'cont') \text{ generat}$
where

generat-lub lub1 lub2 lub3 A =
 $(if \exists x \in A. \text{is-Pure } x \text{ then Pure } (\text{lub1 } (\text{result} ' (A \cap \{f. \text{is-Pure } f\})))$
 $\quad else IO (\text{lub2 } (\text{output} ' (A \cap \{f. \neg \text{is-Pure } f\}))) (\text{lub3 } (\text{continuation} ' (A \cap \{f. \neg \text{is-Pure } f\}))))$

lemma *is-Pure-generat-lub* [simp]:
 $\text{is-Pure } (\text{generat-lub } \text{lub1 } \text{lub2 } \text{lub3 } A) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. \text{is-Pure } x)$
(proof)

lemma *result-generat-lub* [simp]:
 $\exists x \in A. \text{is-Pure } x \implies \text{result } (\text{generat-lub } \text{lub1 } \text{lub2 } \text{lub3 } A) = \text{lub1 } (\text{result} ' (A \cap \{f. \text{is-Pure } f\}))$
(proof)

lemma *output-generat-lub*:
 $\forall x \in A. \neg \text{is-Pure } x \implies \text{output } (\text{generat-lub } \text{lub1 } \text{lub2 } \text{lub3 } A) = \text{lub2 } (\text{output} ' (A \cap \{f. \neg \text{is-Pure } f\}))$
(proof)

lemma *continuation-generat-lub*:
 $\forall x \in A. \neg \text{is-Pure } x \implies \text{continuation } (\text{generat-lub } \text{lub1 } \text{lub2 } \text{lub3 } A) = \text{lub3 } (\text{continuation} ' (A \cap \{f. \neg \text{is-Pure } f\}))$
(proof)

lemma *generat-lub-map* [simp]:
 $\text{generat-lub } \text{lub1 } \text{lub2 } \text{lub3 } (\text{map-generat } f g h ' A) = \text{generat-lub } (\text{lub1 } \circ (\cdot) f) (\text{lub2 } \circ (\cdot) g) (\text{lub3 } \circ (\cdot) h) A$
(proof)

lemma *map-generat-lub* [simp]:
 $\text{map-generat } f g h (\text{generat-lub } \text{lub1 } \text{lub2 } \text{lub3 } A) = \text{generat-lub } (f \circ \text{lub1}) (g \circ \text{lub2}) (h \circ \text{lub3}) A$
(proof)

abbreviation *generat-lub'* :: $('cont \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'cont') \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'cont) \text{ generat set}$
 $\Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'cont') \text{ generat}$
where *generat-lub'* $\equiv \text{generat-lub } (\lambda A. \text{THE } x. x \in A) (\lambda A. \text{THE } x. x \in A)$

fun *rel-witness-generat* :: $('a, 'c, 'e) \text{ generat} \times ('b, 'd, 'f) \text{ generat} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b, 'c$

```

× 'd, 'e × 'f) generat where
  rel-witness-generat (Pure x, Pure y) = Pure (x, y)
| rel-witness-generat (IO out c, IO out' c') = IO (out, out') (c, c')

lemma rel-witness-generat:
  assumes rel-generat A C R x y
  shows pures-rel-witness-generat: generat-pures (rel-witness-generat (x, y)) ⊆ {(a,
b). A a b}
    and outs-rel-witness-generat: generat-outs (rel-witness-generat (x, y)) ⊆ {(c,
d). C c d}
    and conts-rel-witness-generat: generat-conts (rel-witness-generat (x, y)) ⊆ {(e,
f). R e f}
    and map1-rel-witness-generat: map-generat fst fst fst (rel-witness-generat (x,
y)) = x
    and map2-rel-witness-generat: map-generat snd snd snd (rel-witness-generat (x,
y)) = y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas set-rel-witness-generat = pures-rel-witness-generat outs-rel-witness-generat
conts-rel-witness-generat

lemma rel-witness-generat1:
  assumes rel-generat A C R x y
  shows rel-generat (λa (a', b). a = a' ∧ A a' b) (λc (c', d). c = c' ∧ C c' d) (λr
(r', s). r = r' ∧ R r' s) x (rel-witness-generat (x, y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-witness-generat2:
  assumes rel-generat A C R x y
  shows rel-generat (λ(a, b'). b. b = b' ∧ A a b') (λ(c, d'). d. d = d' ∧ C c d')
(λ(r, s'). s. s = s' ∧ R r s') (rel-witness-generat (x, y)) y
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

theory Generative-Probabilistic-Value imports
  Resumption
  Generat
  HOL-Types-To-Sets.Types-To-Sets
begin

```

```
  hide-const (open) Done
```

4.2 Type definition

```

context notes [[bnf-internals]] begin

codatatype (results'-gpv: 'a, outs'-gpv: 'out, 'in) gpv

```

```
= GPV (the-gpv: ('a, 'out, 'in => ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv) generat spmf)
```

end

declare *gpv.rel-eq* [*relator-eq*]

Reactive values are like generative, except that they take an input first.

type-synonym ('a, 'out, 'in) *rpv* = 'in => ('a, 'out, 'in) *gpv*

$\langle ML \rangle$

typ ('a, 'out, 'in) *rpv*

Effectively, ('a, 'out, 'in) *gpv* and ('a, 'out, 'in) *rpv* are mutually recursive.

lemma *eq-GPV-iff*: $f = GPV g \longleftrightarrow \text{the-gpv } f = g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *gpv.set*[*simp del*]

declare *gpv.set-map*[*simp*]

lemma *rel-gpv-def'*:

rel-gpv A B gpv gpv' <->

$(\exists gpv''. (\forall (x, y) \in \text{results}'\text{-}gpv gpv''. A x y) \wedge (\forall (x, y) \in \text{outs}'\text{-}gpv gpv''. B x y))$

\wedge

map-gpv fst fst gpv'' = gpv \wedge *map-gpv snd snd gpv'' = gpv'*

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *results'-rpv* :: ('a, 'out, 'in) *rpv* \Rightarrow 'a set
where *results'-rpv rpv* = *range rpv* $\gg=$ *results'-gpv*

definition *outs'-rpv* :: ('a, 'out, 'in) *rpv* \Rightarrow 'out set
where *outs'-rpv rpv* = *range rpv* $\gg=$ *outs'-gpv*

abbreviation *rel-rpv*

$:: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('out \Rightarrow 'out' \Rightarrow \text{bool})$

$\Rightarrow ('in \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv) \Rightarrow ('in \Rightarrow ('b, 'out', 'in) gpv) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where *rel-rpv A B* \equiv *rel-fun (=) (rel-gpv A B)*

lemma *in-results'-rpv* [*iff*]: $x \in \text{results}'\text{-rpv rpv} \longleftrightarrow (\exists \text{input. } x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv (rpv input)})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-outs-rpv* [*iff*]: $\text{out} \in \text{outs}'\text{-rpv rpv} \longleftrightarrow (\exists \text{input. } \text{out} \in \text{outs}'\text{-gpv (rpv input)})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results'-GPV* [*simp*]:

results'-gpv (GPV r) =

(set-spmf r $\gg=$ generat-pures) \cup

((set-spmf r $\gg=$ generat-consts) $\gg=$ results'-rpv)

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma outs'-GPV [simp]:
  outs'-gpv (GPV r) =
    (set-spmf r  $\gg$  generat-outs)  $\cup$ 
    ((set-spmf r  $\gg$  generat-conts)  $\gg$  outs'-rpv)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs'-gpv-unfold:
  outs'-gpv r =
    (set-spmf (the-gpv r)  $\gg$  generat-outs)  $\cup$ 
    ((set-spmf (the-gpv r)  $\gg$  generat-conts)  $\gg$  outs'-rpv)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs'-gpv-induct [consumes 1, case-names Out Cont, induct set: outs'-gpv]:
  assumes x:  $x \in$  outs'-gpv gpv
  and Out:  $\bigwedge$  generat gpv.  $\llbracket$  generat  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv);  $x \in$  generat-outs generat  $\rrbracket \implies P$  gpv
  and Cont:  $\bigwedge$  generat gpv c input.
     $\llbracket$  generat  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); c  $\in$  generat-conts generat;  $x \in$  outs'-gpv (c input); P (c input)  $\rrbracket \implies P$  gpv
  shows P gpv
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs'-gpv-cases [consumes 1, case-names Out Cont, cases set: outs'-gpv]:
  assumes x  $\in$  outs'-gpv gpv
  obtains (Out) generat where generat  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv)  $x \in$  generat-outs generat
    | (Cont) generat c input where generat  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv) c  $\in$  generat-conts generat  $x \in$  outs'-gpv (c input)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs'-gpvI [intro?]:
  shows outs'-gpv-Out:  $\llbracket$  generat  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv);  $x \in$  generat-outs generat  $\rrbracket \implies x \in$  outs'-gpv gpv
  and outs'-gpv-Cont:  $\llbracket$  generat  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); c  $\in$  generat-conts generat;  $x \in$  outs'-gpv (c input)  $\rrbracket \implies x \in$  outs'-gpv gpv
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma results'-gpv-induct [consumes 1, case-names Pure Cont, induct set: results'-gpv]:
  assumes x:  $x \in$  results'-gpv gpv
  and Pure:  $\bigwedge$  generat gpv.  $\llbracket$  generat  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv);  $x \in$  generat-pures generat  $\rrbracket \implies P$  gpv
  and Cont:  $\bigwedge$  generat gpv c input.
     $\llbracket$  generat  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); c  $\in$  generat-conts generat;  $x \in$  results'-gpv (c input); P (c input)  $\rrbracket \implies P$  gpv
  shows P gpv
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma results'-gpv-cases [consumes 1, case-names Pure Cont, cases set: results'-gpv]:
  assumes  $x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv gpv}$ 
  obtains (Pure) generat where generat  $\in \text{set-spmf}(\text{the-gpv gpv})$   $x \in \text{generat-pures}$ 
  generat
    | (Cont) generat c input where generat  $\in \text{set-spmf}(\text{the-gpv gpv})$   $c \in \text{generat-consts}$ 
    generat x  $\in \text{results}'\text{-gpv}(c \text{ input})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma results'-gpvI [intro?]:
  shows results'-gpv-Pure:  $\llbracket \text{generat} \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{the-gpv gpv}); x \in \text{generat-pures}$ 
  generat  $\rrbracket \implies x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv gpv}$ 
  and results'-gpv-Cont:  $\llbracket \text{generat} \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{the-gpv gpv}); c \in \text{generat-consts}$ 
  generat;  $x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv}(c \text{ input}) \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv gpv}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma left-unique-rel-gpv [transfer-rule]:
   $\llbracket \text{left-unique } A; \text{left-unique } B \rrbracket \implies \text{left-unique}(\text{rel-gpv } A \ B)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma right-unique-rel-gpv [transfer-rule]:
   $\llbracket \text{right-unique } A; \text{right-unique } B \rrbracket \implies \text{right-unique}(\text{rel-gpv } A \ B)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-unique-rel-gpv [transfer-rule]:
   $\llbracket \text{bi-unique } A; \text{bi-unique } B \rrbracket \implies \text{bi-unique}(\text{rel-gpv } A \ B)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma left-total-rel-gpv [transfer-rule]:
   $\llbracket \text{left-total } A; \text{left-total } B \rrbracket \implies \text{left-total}(\text{rel-gpv } A \ B)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma right-total-rel-gpv [transfer-rule]:
   $\llbracket \text{right-total } A; \text{right-total } B \rrbracket \implies \text{right-total}(\text{rel-gpv } A \ B)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-total-rel-gpv [transfer-rule]:  $\llbracket \text{bi-total } A; \text{bi-total } B \rrbracket \implies \text{bi-total}(\text{rel-gpv } A \ B)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

declare gpv.map-transfer[transfer-rule]

lemma if-distrib-map-gpv [if-distribbs]:
  map-gpv f g (if b then gpv else gpv') = (if b then map-gpv f g gpv else map-gpv f g gpv')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gpv-pred-mono-strong:
   $\llbracket \text{pred-gpv } P \ Q \ x; \bigwedge a. \llbracket a \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv } x; P \ a \rrbracket \implies P' \ a; \bigwedge b. \llbracket b \in \text{outs}'\text{-gpv}$ 

```

$x; Q b \] \implies Q' b \] \implies \text{pred-gpv } P' Q' x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-gpv-top* [*simp*]:
 $\text{pred-gpv } (\lambda \cdot. \text{True}) (\lambda \cdot. \text{True}) = (\lambda \cdot. \text{True})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-gpv-conj* [*simp*]:
shows *pred-gpv-conj1*: $\bigwedge P Q R. \text{pred-gpv } (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) R = (\lambda x. \text{pred-gpv } P R x \wedge \text{pred-gpv } Q R x)$
and *pred-gpv-conj2*: $\bigwedge P Q R. \text{pred-gpv } P (\lambda x. Q x \wedge R x) = (\lambda x. \text{pred-gpv } P Q x \wedge \text{pred-gpv } P R x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-restrict-relp1I* [*intro?*]:
 $\llbracket \text{rel-gpv } R R' x y; \text{pred-gpv } P P' x; \text{pred-gpv } Q Q' y \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-gpv } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) (R' \upharpoonright P' \otimes Q') x y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-restrict-relpE* [*elim?*]:
assumes $\text{rel-gpv } (R \upharpoonright P \otimes Q) (R' \upharpoonright P' \otimes Q') x y$
obtains $\text{rel-gpv } R R' x y \text{ pred-gpv } P P' x \text{ pred-gpv } Q Q' y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gpv-pred-map* [*simp*]: $\text{pred-gpv } P Q (\text{map-gpv } f g \text{ gpv}) = \text{pred-gpv } (P \circ f) (Q \circ g) \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.3 Generalised mapper and relator

context includes *lifting-syntax begin*

primcorec *map-gpv'* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('out \Rightarrow 'out') \Rightarrow ('ret \Rightarrow 'ret) \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'ret) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow ('b, 'out', 'ret') \text{ gpv}$
where
 $\text{map-gpv}' f g h \text{ gpv} =$
 $\text{GPV } (\text{map-spmf } (\text{map-generat } f g ((\circ) (\text{map-gpv}' f g h))) (\text{map-spmf } (\text{map-generat } id id (\text{map-fun } h id)) (\text{the-gpv } \text{gpv})))$

declare *map-gpv'.sel* [*simp del*]

lemma *map-gpv'-sel* [*simp*]:
 $\text{the-gpv } (\text{map-gpv}' f g h \text{ gpv}) = \text{map-spmf } (\text{map-generat } f g (h \dashrightarrow \text{map-gpv}' f g h)) (\text{the-gpv } \text{gpv})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-gpv'-GPV* [*simp*]:
 $\text{map-gpv}' f g h (\text{GPV } p) = \text{GPV } (\text{map-spmf } (\text{map-generat } f g (h \dashrightarrow \text{map-gpv}' f g h)) p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map\text{-}gpv'\text{-}id$: $map\text{-}gpv' id id id = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map\text{-}gpv'\text{-}comp$: $map\text{-}gpv' f g h (map\text{-}gpv' f' g' h' gpv) = map\text{-}gpv' (f \circ f') (g \circ g') (h' \circ h) gpv$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

functor gpv : $map\text{-}gpv'$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map\text{-}gpv\text{-}conv\text{-}map\text{-}gpv'$: $map\text{-}gpv f g = map\text{-}gpv' f g id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

coinductive $rel\text{-}gpv'' :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('out \Rightarrow 'out' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('ret \Rightarrow 'ret' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'ret) gpv \Rightarrow ('b, 'out', 'ret') gpv \Rightarrow bool$
for $A C R$

where

$rel\text{-}spmf (rel\text{-}generat A C (R ==> rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R)) (the\text{-}gpv gpv) (the\text{-}gpv gpv')$
 $\implies rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R gpv gpv'$

lemma $rel\text{-}gpv''\text{-}coinduct$ [consumes 1, case-names $rel\text{-}gpv''$, coinduct pred: $rel\text{-}gpv''$]:
 $\llbracket X gpv gpv';$
 $\wedge gpv gpv'. X gpv gpv'$
 $\implies rel\text{-}spmf (rel\text{-}generat A C (R ==> (\lambda gpv gpv'. X gpv gpv' \vee rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R gpv gpv')))$
 $(the\text{-}gpv gpv) (the\text{-}gpv gpv') \rrbracket$
 $\implies rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R gpv gpv'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rel\text{-}gpv''D$:
 $rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R gpv gpv'$
 $\implies rel\text{-}spmf (rel\text{-}generat A C (R ==> rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R)) (the\text{-}gpv gpv) (the\text{-}gpv gpv')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rel\text{-}gpv''\text{-}GPV$ [simp]:
 $rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R (GPV p) (GPV q) \longleftrightarrow$
 $rel\text{-}spmf (rel\text{-}generat A C (R ==> rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R)) p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rel\text{-}gpv\text{-}conv\text{-}rel\text{-}gpv''$: $rel\text{-}gpv A C = rel\text{-}gpv'' A C (=)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rel\text{-}gpv''\text{-}eq$:
 $rel\text{-}gpv'' (=) (=) (=) = (=)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-mono*:

assumes $A \leq A' C \leq C' R' \leq R$

shows $\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \leq \text{rel-gpv}'' A' C' R'$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-conversep*: $\text{rel-gpv}'' A^{-1-1} C^{-1-1} R^{-1-1} = (\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R)^{-1-1}$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-pos-distr*:

$\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \text{ OO } \text{rel-gpv}'' A' C' R' \leq \text{rel-gpv}'' (A \text{ OO } A') (C \text{ OO } C') (R \text{ OO } R')$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *left-unique-rel-gpv''*:

$\llbracket \text{left-unique } A; \text{left-unique } C; \text{left-total } R \rrbracket \implies \text{left-unique } (\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R)$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *right-unique-rel-gpv''*:

$\llbracket \text{right-unique } A; \text{right-unique } C; \text{right-total } R \rrbracket \implies \text{right-unique } (\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R)$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *bi-unique-rel-gpv'' [transfer-rule]*:

$\llbracket \text{bi-unique } A; \text{bi-unique } C; \text{bi-total } R \rrbracket \implies \text{bi-unique } (\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R)$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-map-gpv1*:

$\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R (\text{map-gpv } f g \text{ gpv}) \text{ gpv}' = \text{rel-gpv}'' (\lambda a. A (f a)) (\lambda c. C (g c)) R \text{ gpv gpv}'$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-map-gpv2*:

$\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \text{ gpv } (\text{map-gpv } f g \text{ gpv}') = \text{rel-gpv}'' (\lambda a b. A a (f b)) (\lambda c d. C c (g d)) R \text{ gpv gpv}'$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemmas $\text{rel-gpv}''\text{-map-gpv} = \text{rel-gpv}''\text{-map-gpv1[abs-def]} \text{ rel-gpv}''\text{-map-gpv2}$

lemma *rel-gpv''-map-gpv' [simp]*:

shows $\bigwedge f g h \text{ gpv}. \text{NO-MATCH } id f \vee \text{NO-MATCH } id g$

$\implies \text{rel-gpv}'' A C R (\text{map-gpv}' f g h \text{ gpv}) = \text{rel-gpv}'' (\lambda a. A (f a)) (\lambda c. C (g c)) R (\text{map-gpv}' id id h \text{ gpv})$

and $\bigwedge f g h \text{ gpv gpv}'. \text{NO-MATCH } id f \vee \text{NO-MATCH } id g$

$\implies \text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \text{ gpv } (\text{map-gpv}' f g h \text{ gpv}') = \text{rel-gpv}'' (\lambda a b. A a (f b)) (\lambda c d. C c (g d)) R \text{ gpv } (\text{map-gpv}' id id h \text{ gpv}')$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemmas $\text{rel-gpv-map-gpv}' = \text{rel-gpv}''\text{-map-gpv}'$ [**where** $R=(=)$, *folded rel-gpv-conv-rel-gpv'*]

definition *rel-witness-gpv* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('g \Rightarrow 'f \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ gpv} \times ('d, 'e, 'f) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'd, 'b \times 'e, 'g) \text{ gpv}$ **where**

rel-witness-gpv A C R R' = *corec-gpv (*

map-spmf (map-generat id id (\lambda(rpv, rpv')). (Inr o rel-witness-fun R R' (rpv, rpv'))) o rel-witness-generat) o

rel-witness-spmf (rel-generat A C (rel-fun (R OO R') (rel-gpv'' A C (R OO R')))) o map-prod the-gpv the-gpv)

lemma *rel-witness-gpv-sel [simp]*:

the-gpv (rel-witness-gpv A C R R' (gpv, gpv')) =

map-spmf (map-generat id id (\lambda(rpv, rpv'). (rel-witness-gpv A C R R' o rel-witness-fun R R' (rpv, rpv'))) o rel-witness-generat)

(rel-witness-spmf (rel-generat A C (rel-fun (R OO R') (rel-gpv'' A C (R OO R')))) (the-gpv gpv, the-gpv gpv'))

\langle proof \rangle

lemma assumes *rel-gpv'' A C (R OO R') gpv gpv'*

and *R: left-unique R right-total R*

and *R': right-unique R' left-total R'*

shows *rel-witness-gpv1: rel-gpv'' (\lambda a (a', b). a = a' \wedge A a' b) (\lambda c (c', d). c = c' \wedge C c' d) R gpv (rel-witness-gpv A C R R' (gpv, gpv'))* (**is** ?thesis1)

and *rel-witness-gpv2: rel-gpv'' (\lambda(a, b') b. b = b' \wedge A a b') (\lambda(c, d') d. d = d' \wedge C c d') R' (rel-witness-gpv A C R R' (gpv, gpv')) gpv'* (**is** ?thesis2)

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *rel-gpv''-neg-distr:*

assumes *R: left-unique R right-total R*

and *R': right-unique R' left-total R'*

shows *rel-gpv'' (A OO A') (C OO C') (R OO R') \leq rel-gpv'' A C R OO rel-gpv'' A' C' R'*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *rel-gpv''-mono' [mono]*:

assumes $\bigwedge x y. A x y \longrightarrow A' x y$

and $\bigwedge x y. C x y \longrightarrow C' x y$

and $\bigwedge x y. R' x y \longrightarrow R x y$

shows *rel-gpv'' A C R gpv gpv' \longrightarrow rel-gpv'' A' C' R' gpv gpv'*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *left-total-rel-gpv':*

[*left-total A; left-total C; left-unique R; right-total R*] \implies *left-total (rel-gpv'' A C R)*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *right-total-rel-gpv':*

[*right-total A; right-total C; right-unique R; left-total R*] \implies *right-total (rel-gpv'' A C R)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bi-total-rel-gpv'* [*transfer-rule*]:

$\llbracket bi\text{-}total A; bi\text{-}total C; bi\text{-}unique R; bi\text{-}total R \rrbracket \implies bi\text{-}total (rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-fun-conversep-grp-grp*:

$rel\text{-}fun (conversep (BNF\text{-}Def.Grp UNIV f)) (BNF\text{-}Def.Grp B g) = BNF\text{-}Def.Grp \{x. (x \circ f) ' UNIV \subseteq B\} (map\text{-}fun f g)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Quotient-gpv*:

assumes *Q1*: *Quotient R1 Abs1 Rep1 T1*

and *Q2*: *Quotient R2 Abs2 Rep2 T2*

and *Q3*: *Quotient R3 Abs3 Rep3 T3*

shows *Quotient (rel-gpv'' R1 R2 R3) (map-gpv' Abs1 Abs2 Rep3) (map-gpv'*

Rep1 Rep2 Abs3) (rel-gpv'' T1 T2 T3)

(is Quotient ?R ?abs ?rep ?T)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-gpv-parametric'*:

$(rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R \implies rel\text{-}spmf (rel\text{-}generat A C (R \implies rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R)))$

the-gpv the-gpv

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GPV-parametric'*:

$(rel\text{-}spmf (rel\text{-}generat A C (R \implies rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R)) \implies rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R)$

GPV GPV

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *corec-gpv-parametric'*:

$((S \implies rel\text{-}spmf (rel\text{-}generat A C (R \implies rel\text{-}sum (rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R) S))) \implies S \implies rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R)$

corec-gpv corec-gpv

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-gpv'-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]:

$((A \implies A') \implies (C \implies C') \implies (R' \implies R) \implies rel\text{-}gpv'' A C R \implies rel\text{-}gpv'' A' C' R')$

map-gpv' map-gpv'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-gpv-parametric':* $((A \implies A') \implies (C \implies C') \implies rel\text{-}gpv''$

$A C R \implies rel\text{-}gpv'' A' C' R')$

map-gpv map-gpv

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

4.4 Simple, derived operations

primcorec *Done* :: '*a* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*out*, '*in*) *gpv*'
where *the-gpv* (*Done a*) = *return-spmf* (*Pure a*)

primcorec *Pause* :: '*out* \Rightarrow ('*in* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*out*, '*in*) *gpv*) \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*out*, '*in*) *gpv*'
where *the-gpv* (*Pause out c*) = *return-spmf* (*IO out c*)

primcorec *lift-spmf* :: '*a* *spmf* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*out*, '*in*) *gpv*'
where *the-gpv* (*lift-spmf p*) = *map-spmf* *Pure p*

definition *Fail* :: ('*a*, '*out*, '*in*) *gpv*'
where *Fail* = *GPV* (*return-pmf None*)

definition *React* :: ('*in* \Rightarrow '*out* \times ('*a*, '*out*, '*in*) *rpv*) \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*out*, '*in*) *rpv*'
where *React f input* = *case-prod* *Pause* (*f input*)

definition *rFail* :: ('*a*, '*out*, '*in*) *rpv*'
where *rFail* = (λ -.*Fail*)

lemma *Done-inject* [*simp*]: *Done x* = *Done y* \longleftrightarrow *x* = *y*
{proof}

lemma *Pause-inject* [*simp*]: *Pause out c* = *Pause out' c'* \longleftrightarrow *out* = *out'* \wedge *c* = *c'*
{proof}

lemma [*simp*]:
shows *Done-neq-Pause*: *Done x* \neq *Pause out c*
and *Pause-neq-Done*: *Pause out c* \neq *Done x*
{proof}

lemma *outs'-gpv-Done* [*simp*]: *outs'-gpv* (*Done x*) = {}
{proof}

lemma *results'-gpv-Done* [*simp*]: *results'-gpv* (*Done x*) = {*x*}
{proof}

lemma *pred-gpv-Done* [*simp*]: *pred-gpv P Q* (*Done x*) = *P x*
{proof}

lemma *outs'-gpv-Pause* [*simp*]: *outs'-gpv* (*Pause out c*) = *insert out* (\bigcup *input*.
outs'-gpv (*c input*))
{proof}

lemma *results'-gpv-Pause* [*simp*]: *results'-gpv* (*Pause out rpv*) = *results'-rvp rpv*
{proof}

lemma *pred-gpv-Pause* [*simp*]: *pred-gpv P Q* (*Pause x c*) = (*Q x* \wedge *All* (*pred-gpv*
P Q o c))
{proof}

lemma *lift-spmf-return* [simp]: *lift-spmf* (*return-spmf* x) = *Done* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lift-spmf-None* [simp]: *lift-spmf* (*return-pmf* *None*) = *Fail*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-gpv-lift-spmf* [simp]: *the-gpv* (*lift-spmf* r) = *map-spmf* *Pure* r
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *outs'-gpv-lift-spmf* [simp]: *outs'-gpv* (*lift-spmf* p) = {}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results'-gpv-lift-spmf* [simp]: *results'-gpv* (*lift-spmf* p) = *set-spmf* p
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-gpv-lift-spmf* [simp]: *pred-gpv* P Q (*lift-spmf* p) = *pred-spmf* P p
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lift-spmf-inject* [simp]: *lift-spmf* p = *lift-spmf* q \longleftrightarrow p = q
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-lift-spmf*: *map-gpv* f g (*lift-spmf* p) = *lift-spmf* (*map-spmf* f p)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lift-map-spmf*: *lift-spmf* (*map-spmf* f p) = *map-gpv* f *id* (*lift-spmf* p)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [simp]:
shows *Fail-neq-Pause*: *Fail* ≠ *Pause* *out* c
and *Pause-neq-Fail*: *Pause* *out* c ≠ *Fail*
and *Fail-neq-Done*: *Fail* ≠ *Done* x
and *Done-neq-Fail*: *Done* x ≠ *Fail*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Add *unit* closure to circumvent SML value restriction

definition *Fail'* :: *unit* ⇒ ('*a*, '*out*, '*in*) *gpv*
where [*code del*]: *Fail'* - = *Fail*

lemma *Fail-code* [code-unfold]: *Fail* = *Fail'* ()
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fail'-code* [code]:
Fail' x = *GPV* (*return-pmf* *None*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fail-sel* [simp]:
the-gpv *Fail* = *return-pmf* *None*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fail-eq-GPV-iff* [*simp*]: $\text{Fail} = \text{GPV } f \longleftrightarrow f = \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *outs'-gpv-Fail* [*simp*]: $\text{outs}'\text{-gpv } \text{Fail} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *results'-gpv-Fail* [*simp*]: $\text{results}'\text{-gpv } \text{Fail} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-gpv-Fail* [*simp*]: $\text{pred-gpv } P \ Q \ \text{Fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *React-inject* [*iff*]: $\text{React } f = \text{React } f' \longleftrightarrow f = f'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *React-apply* [*simp*]: $f \text{ input} = (\text{out}, c) \implies \text{React } f \text{ input} = \text{Pause out } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rFail-apply* [*simp*]: $\text{rFail input} = \text{Fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]:
shows *rFail-neq-React*: $\text{rFail} \neq \text{React } f$
and *React-neq-rFail*: $\text{React } f \neq \text{rFail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-FailI* [*simp*]: $\text{rel-gpv } A \ C \ \text{Fail Fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-Done* [*iff*]: $\text{rel-gpv } A \ C \ (\text{Done } x) \ (\text{Done } y) \longleftrightarrow A \ x \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-Done* [*iff*]: $\text{rel-gpv}'' \ A \ C \ R \ (\text{Done } x) \ (\text{Done } y) \longleftrightarrow A \ x \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-Pause* [*iff*]:
 $\text{rel-gpv } A \ C \ (\text{Pause out } c) \ (\text{Pause out}' c') \longleftrightarrow C \text{ out out}' \wedge (\forall x. \text{rel-gpv } A \ C \ (c \ x) \ (c' \ x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-Pause* [*iff*]:
 $\text{rel-gpv}'' \ A \ C \ R \ (\text{Pause out } c) \ (\text{Pause out}' c') \longleftrightarrow C \text{ out out}' \wedge (\forall x \ x'. R \ x \ x')$
 $\longrightarrow \text{rel-gpv}'' \ A \ C \ R \ (c \ x) \ (c' \ x')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-lift-spmf* [*iff*]: $\text{rel-gpv } A \ C \ (\text{lift-spmf } p) \ (\text{lift-spmf } q) \longleftrightarrow \text{rel-spmf } A \ p \ q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma rel-gpv''-lift-spmf [iff]:
  rel-gpv'' A C R (lift-spmf p) (lift-spmf q)  $\longleftrightarrow$  rel-spmf A p q
  <proof>

context includes lifting-syntax begin
lemmas Fail-parametric [transfer-rule] = rel-gpv-FailI

lemma Fail-parametric' [simp]: rel-gpv'' A C R Fail Fail
  <proof>

lemma Done-parametric [transfer-rule]: (A ==> rel-gpv A C) Done Done
  <proof>

lemma Done-parametric': (A ==> rel-gpv'' A C R) Done Done
  <proof>

lemma Pause-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (C ==> ((=) ==> rel-gpv A C) ==> rel-gpv A C) Pause Pause
  <proof>

lemma Pause-parametric':
  (C ==> (R ==> rel-gpv'' A C R) ==> rel-gpv'' A C R) Pause Pause
  <proof>

lemma lift-spmf-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (rel-spmf A ==> rel-gpv A C) lift-spmf lift-spmf
  <proof>

lemma lift-spmf-parametric':
  (rel-spmf A ==> rel-gpv'' A C R) lift-spmf lift-spmf
  <proof>
end

lemma map-gpv-Done [simp]: map-gpv f g (Done x) = Done (f x)
  <proof>

lemma map-gpv'-Done [simp]: map-gpv' f g h (Done x) = Done (f x)
  <proof>

lemma map-gpv-Pause [simp]: map-gpv f g (Pause x c) = Pause (g x) (map-gpv f g o c)
  <proof>

lemma map-gpv'-Pause [simp]: map-gpv' f g h (Pause x c) = Pause (g x) (map-gpv' f g h o c o h)
  <proof>

lemma map-gpv-Fail [simp]: map-gpv f g Fail = Fail

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-gpv'-Fail* [simp]: $map\text{-}gpv' f g h Fail = Fail$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.5 Monad structure

primcorec *bind-gpv* :: $('a, 'out, 'in) gpv \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('b, 'out, 'in) gpv) \Rightarrow ('b, 'out, 'in) gpv$

where

```
the-gpv (bind-gpv r f) =
  map-spmf (map-generat id id ((\circ) (case-sum id (\lambda r. bind-gpv r f))))
  (the-gpv r \gg=
    (case-generat
      (\lambda x. map-spmf (map-generat id id ((\circ) Inl)) (the-gpv (f x)))
      (\lambda out c. return-spmf (IO out (\lambda input. Inr (c input))))))
```

declare *bind-gpv.sel* [simp del]

adhoc-overloading *Monad-Syntax.bind* \equiv *bind-gpv*

lemma *bind-gpv-unfold* [code]:

```
r \gg= f = GPV (
  do {
    generat \leftarrow the-gpv r;
    case generat of Pure x \Rightarrow the-gpv (f x)
    | IO out c \Rightarrow return-spmf (IO out (\lambda input. c input \gg= f))
  })
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma *bind-gpv-code-cong*: $f = f' \implies bind\text{-}gpv f g = bind\text{-}gpv f' g$ $\langle proof \rangle$
 $\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpvsel*:

```
the-gpv (r \gg= f) =
  do {
    generat \leftarrow the-gpv r;
    case generat of Pure x \Rightarrow the-gpv (f x)
    | IO out c \Rightarrow return-spmf (IO out (\lambda input. bind-gpv (c input) f))
  }
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma *bind-gpvsel'* [simp]:

```
the-gpv (r \gg= f) =
  do {
    generat \leftarrow the-gpv r;
    if is-Pure generat then the-gpv (f (result generat))
    else return-spmf (IO (output generat) (\lambda input. bind-gpv (continuation generat
      input) f))
```

}

lemma *Done-bind-gpv* [simp]: $\text{Done } a \gg= f = f a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv-Done* [simp]: $f \gg= \text{Done} = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *if-distrib-bind-gpv2* [if-distribs]:
 $\text{bind-gpv gpv } (\lambda y. \text{if } b \text{ then } f y \text{ else } g y) = (\text{if } b \text{ then bind-gpv gpv } f \text{ else bind-gpv gpv } g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lift-spmf-bind*: $\text{lift-spmf } r \gg= f = GPV (r \gg= \text{the-gpv} \circ f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-gpv-bind-gpv-lift-spmf* [simp]:
 $\text{the-gpv} (\text{bind-gpv} (\text{lift-spmf } p) f) = \text{bind-spmf } p (\text{the-gpv} \circ f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lift-spmf-bind-spmf*: $\text{lift-spmf } (p \gg= f) = \text{lift-spmf } p \gg= (\lambda x. \text{lift-spmf } (f x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lift-bind-spmf*: $\text{lift-spmf } (\text{bind-spmf } p f) = \text{bind-gpv} (\text{lift-spmf } p) (\text{lift-spmf } \circ f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GPV-bind*:
 $GPV f \gg= g =$
 $GPV (f \gg= (\lambda \text{generat. case generat of Pure } x \Rightarrow \text{the-gpv} (g x) \mid IO \text{ out } c \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf } (IO \text{ out } (\lambda \text{input. } c \text{ input} \gg= g))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GPV-bind'*:
 $GPV f \gg= g = GPV (f \gg= (\lambda \text{generat. if is-Pure generat then the-gpv} (g (\text{result generat})) \text{ else return-spmf } (IO (\text{output generat}) (\lambda \text{input. continuation generat input} \gg= g)))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv-assoc*:
fixes $f :: ('a, 'out, 'in) \text{ gpv}$
shows $(f \gg= g) \gg= h = f \gg= (\lambda x. g x \gg= h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-gpv-bind-gpv*: $\text{map-gpv } f g (\text{bind-gpv gpv } h) = \text{bind-gpv} (\text{map-gpv id } g \text{ gpv}) (\lambda x. \text{map-gpv } f g (h x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-gpv-id-bind-gpv*: $\text{map-gpv } f \text{id} (\text{bind-gpv } g) = \text{bind-gpv } g (\text{map-gpv } f \text{id} \circ g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-gpv-conv-bind*:
 $\text{map-gpv } f (\lambda x. x) = \text{bind-gpv } x (\lambda x. \text{Done } (f x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-map-gpv*: $\text{bind-gpv } (\text{map-gpv } f \text{id} \text{ gpv}) g = \text{bind-gpv } g (\text{gpv } (g \circ f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *outs-bind-gpv*:
 $\text{outs}'\text{-gpv } (\text{bind-gpv } x f) = \text{outs}'\text{-gpv } x \cup (\bigcup_{x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv } x} \text{outs}'\text{-gpv } (f x))$
(is $?lhs = ?rhs$ **)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv-Fail* [simp]: $\text{Fail} \gg= f = \text{Fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv-eq-Fail*:
 $\text{bind-gpv } g \text{pv } f = \text{Fail} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{the-gpv } g \text{pv}). \text{is-Pure } x) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv } g \text{pv}. f x = \text{Fail})$
(is $?lhs = ?rhs$ **)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context includes *lifting-syntax* **begin**

lemma *bind-gpv-parametric* [transfer-rule]:
 $(\text{rel-gpv } A C \implies (A \implies \text{rel-gpv } B C) \implies \text{rel-gpv } B C) \text{ bind-gpv}$
 bind-gpv
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv-parametric'*:
 $(\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \implies (A \implies \text{rel-gpv}'' B C R) \implies \text{rel-gpv}'' B C R)$
 bind-gpv bind-gpv
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *monad-gpv* [locale-witness]: $\text{monad Done bind-gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *monad-fail-gpv* [locale-witness]: $\text{monad-fail Done bind-gpv Fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-bindI*:
 $\llbracket \text{rel-gpv } A C \text{ gpv } g \text{pv}'; \bigwedge x y. A x y \implies \text{rel-gpv } B C (f x) (g y) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{rel-gpv } B C (\text{bind-gpv } g \text{pv } f) (\text{bind-gpv } g \text{pv}' g)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv-cong*:

$\llbracket gpv = gpv'; \wedge x. x \in results' \text{-} gpv gpv' \implies f x = g x \rrbracket \implies bind \text{-} gpv gpv f = bind \text{-} gpv gpv' g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *bind-rpv* :: $('a, 'in, 'out) rpv \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('b, 'in, 'out) gpv) \Rightarrow ('b, 'in, 'out) rpv$

where $bind \text{-} rpv rpv f = (\lambda input. bind \text{-} gpv (rvp input) f)$

lemma *bind-rpv-apply [simp]*: $bind \text{-} rpv rpv f input = bind \text{-} gpv (rvp input) f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

adhoc-overloading *Monad-Syntax.bind* \equiv *bind-rpv*

lemma *bind-rpv-code-cong*: $rvp = rpv' \implies bind \text{-} rpv rpv f = bind \text{-} rpv rpv' f$ $\langle proof \rangle$
 $\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *bind-rpv-rDone [simp]*: $bind \text{-} rpv rpv Done = rpv$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv-Pause [simp]*: $bind \text{-} gpv (Pause out rpv) f = Pause out (bind \text{-} rpv rpv f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-rpv-React [simp]*: $bind \text{-} rpv (React f) g = React (apsnd (\lambda rpv. bind \text{-} rpv rpv g) \circ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-rpv-assoc*: $bind \text{-} rpv (bind \text{-} rpv rpv f) g = bind \text{-} rpv rpv ((\lambda gpv. bind \text{-} gpv gpv g) \circ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-rpv-Done [simp]*: $bind \text{-} rpv Done f = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results'-rvp-Done [simp]*: $results' \text{-} rpv Done = UNIV$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.6 Embedding '*a spmf* as a monad

lemma *neg-fun-distr3*:

includes *lifting-syntax*

assumes 1: *left-unique R right-total R*

assumes 2: *right-unique S left-total S*

shows $(R OO R' \implies S OO S') \leq ((R \implies S) OO (R' \implies S'))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
locale spmf-to-gpv begin
```

The lifting package cannot handle free term variables in the merging of transfer rules, so for the embedding we define a specialised relator *rel-gpv'* which acts only on the returned values.

```
definition rel-gpv' :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'out, 'in) gpv
 $\Rightarrow$  bool
where rel-gpv' A = rel-gpv A (=)
```

```
lemma rel-gpv'-eq [relator-eq]: rel-gpv' (=) = (=)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rel-gpv'-mono [relator-mono]: A  $\leq$  B  $\implies$  rel-gpv' A  $\leq$  rel-gpv' B
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rel-gpv'-distr [relator-distr]: rel-gpv' A OO rel-gpv' B = rel-gpv' (A OO
B)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma left-unique-rel-gpv' [transfer-rule]: left-unique A  $\implies$  left-unique (rel-gpv'
A)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma right-unique-rel-gpv' [transfer-rule]: right-unique A  $\implies$  right-unique (rel-gpv'
A)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bi-unique-rel-gpv' [transfer-rule]: bi-unique A  $\implies$  bi-unique (rel-gpv' A)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma left-total-rel-gpv' [transfer-rule]: left-total A  $\implies$  left-total (rel-gpv' A)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma right-total-rel-gpv' [transfer-rule]: right-total A  $\implies$  right-total (rel-gpv' A)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bi-total-rel-gpv' [transfer-rule]: bi-total A  $\implies$  bi-total (rel-gpv' A)
⟨proof⟩
```

We cannot use *setup-lifting* because ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv contains type variables which do not appear in '*a* spmf'.

```
definition cr-spmf-gpv :: 'a spmf  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where cr-spmf-gpv p gpv  $\longleftrightarrow$  gpv = lift-spmf p
```

```
definition spmf-of-gpv :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  'a spmf
where spmf-of-gpv gpv = (THE p. gpv = lift-spmf p)
```

```
lemma spmf-of-gpv-lift-spmf [simp]: spmf-of-gpv (lift-spmf p) = p
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *rel-spmf-setD2*:

$$[\![\text{rel-spmf } A \ p \ q; \ y \in \text{set-spmf } q]!] \implies \exists x \in \text{set-spmf } p. \ A \ x \ y$$

(proof)

lemma *rel-gpv-lift-spmf1*: *rel-gpv A B (lift-spmf p) gpv* \longleftrightarrow $(\exists q. \ gpv = \text{lift-spmf } q \wedge \text{rel-spmf } A \ p \ q)$

(proof)

lemma *rel-gpv-lift-spmf2*: *rel-gpv A B gpv (lift-spmf q)* \longleftrightarrow $(\exists p. \ gpv = \text{lift-spmf } p \wedge \text{rel-spmf } A \ p \ q)$

(proof)

definition *pcr-spmf-gpv* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ spmf} \Rightarrow ('b, 'out, 'in) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where *pcr-spmf-gpv A* = *cr-spmf-gpv OO rel-gpv A (=)*

lemma *pcr-cr-eq-spmf-gpv*: *pcr-spmf-gpv (=) = cr-spmf-gpv*

(proof)

lemma *left-unique-cr-spmf-gpv*: *left-unique cr-spmf-gpv*

(proof)

lemma *left-unique-pcr-spmf-gpv [transfer-rule]*:

$$\text{left-unique } A \implies \text{left-unique } (\text{pcr-spmf-gpv } A)$$

(proof)

lemma *right-unique-cr-spmf-gpv*: *right-unique cr-spmf-gpv*

(proof)

lemma *right-unique-pcr-spmf-gpv [transfer-rule]*:

$$\text{right-unique } A \implies \text{right-unique } (\text{pcr-spmf-gpv } A)$$

(proof)

lemma *bi-unique-cr-spmf-gpv*: *bi-unique cr-spmf-gpv*

(proof)

lemma *bi-unique-pcr-spmf-gpv [transfer-rule]*: *bi-unique A* \implies *bi-unique (pcr-spmf-gpv A)*

(proof)

lemma *left-total-cr-spmf-gpv*: *left-total cr-spmf-gpv*

(proof)

lemma *left-total-pcr-spmf-gpv [transfer-rule]*: *left-total A ==> left-total (pcr-spmf-gpv A)*

(proof)

context includes *lifting-syntax begin*

```

lemma return-spmf-gpv-transfer':
  ((=) ===> cr-spmf-gpv) return-spmf Done
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma return-spmf-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (A ===> pcr-spmf-gpv A) return-spmf Done
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-spmf-gpv-transfer':
  (cr-spmf-gpv ===> ((=) ===> cr-spmf-gpv) ===> cr-spmf-gpv) bind-spmf
  bind-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-spmf-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (pcr-spmf-gpv A ===> (A ==> pcr-spmf-gpv B) ===> pcr-spmf-gpv B)
  bind-spmf bind-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-spmf-gpv-transfer':
  ((=) ===> cr-spmf-gpv) ( $\lambda x. x$ ) lift-spmf
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-spmf-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (rel-spmf A ===> pcr-spmf-gpv A) ( $\lambda x. x$ ) lift-spmf
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fail-spmf-gpv-transfer': cr-spmf-gpv (return-pmf None) Fail
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fail-spmf-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]: pcr-spmf-gpv A (return-pmf None)
  Fail
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-spmf-gpv-transfer':
  ((=) ===> R ===> cr-spmf-gpv ===> cr-spmf-gpv) ( $\lambda f g. map-spmf f$ )
  map-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-spmf-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((A ===> B) ===> R ===> pcr-spmf-gpv A ===> pcr-spmf-gpv B) ( $\lambda f g.$ 
  map-spmf f) map-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

4.7 Embedding '*a* option as a monad

```

locale option-to-gpv begin

interpretation option-to-spmf ⟨proof⟩
interpretation spmf-to-gpv ⟨proof⟩

definition cr-option-gpv :: 'a option ⇒ ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ bool
where cr-option-gpv x gpv ←→ gpv = (lift-spmf ∘ return-pmf) x

lemma cr-option-gpv-conv-OO:
  cr-option-gpv = cr-spmf-option-1-1 OO cr-spmf-gpv
⟨proof⟩

context includes lifting-syntax begin

These transfer rules should follow from merging the transfer rules, but this
has not yet been implemented.

lemma return-option-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ==> cr-option-gpv) Some Done
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-option-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (cr-option-gpv ==> ((=) ==> cr-option-gpv) ==> cr-option-gpv) Option.bind bind-gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma fail-option-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]: cr-option-gpv None Fail
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-option-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  ((=) ==> R ==> cr-option-gpv ==> cr-option-gpv) (λf g. map-option f)
map-gpv
⟨proof⟩

end

end

locale option-le-gpv begin

interpretation option-le-spmf ⟨proof⟩
interpretation spmf-to-gpv ⟨proof⟩

definition cr-option-le-gpv :: 'a option ⇒ ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ bool
where cr-option-le-gpv x gpv ←→ gpv = (lift-spmf ∘ return-pmf) x ∨ x = None

context includes lifting-syntax begin

lemma return-option-le-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:

```

```

((=) ==> cr-option-le-gpv) Some Done
⟨proof⟩

lemma bind-option-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (cr-option-le-gpv ==> ((=) ==> cr-option-le-gpv) ==> cr-option-le-gpv)
  Option.bind bind-gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma fail-option-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  cr-option-le-gpv None Fail
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-option-gpv-transfer [transfer-rule]:
  (((=) ==> (=)) ==> cr-option-le-gpv ==> cr-option-le-gpv) map-option
  ( $\lambda f$ . map-gpv  $f$  id)
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

4.8 Embedding resumptions

```

primcorec lift-resumption :: ('a, 'out, 'in) resumption  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv
where
  the-gpv (lift-resumption r) =
    (case r of resumption.Done None  $\Rightarrow$  return-spmf None
     | resumption.Done (Some x')  $\Rightarrow$  return-spmf (Pure x')
     | resumption.Pause out c  $\Rightarrow$  map-spmf (map-generat id id (( $\circ$ ) lift-resumption))
     (return-spmf (IO out c)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma the-gpv-lift-resumption:
  the-gpv (lift-resumption r) =
    (if is-Done r then if Option.is-none (resumption.result r) then return-spmf None
     else return-spmf (Pure (the (resumption.result r))))
    else return-spmf (IO (resumption.output r) (lift-resumption  $\circ$  resume r)))
  ⟨proof⟩

declare lift-resumption.simps [simp del]

lemma lift-resumption-Done [code]:
  lift-resumption (resumption.Done x) = (case x of None  $\Rightarrow$  Fail | Some x'  $\Rightarrow$  Done
  x')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-resumption-DONE [simp]:
  lift-resumption (DONE x) = Done x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lift-resumption-ABORT [simp]:
  lift-resumption ABORT = Fail
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-resumption-Pause [simp, code]:
  lift-resumption (resumption.Pause out c) = Pause out (lift-resumption o c)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-resumption-Done-Some [simp]: lift-resumption (resumption.Done (Some x)) = Done x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma results'-gpv-lift-resumption [simp]:
  results'-gpv (lift-resumption r) = results r (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outs'-gpv-lift-resumption [simp]:
  outs'-gpv (lift-resumption r) = outputs r (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-gpv-lift-resumption [simp]:
  ⋀ A. pred-gpv A C (lift-resumption r) = pred-resumption A C r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-resumption-bind: lift-resumption (r ≈ f) = lift-resumption r ≈
lift-resumption o f
  ⟨proof⟩

4.9 Assertions

definition assert-gpv :: bool ⇒ (unit, 'out, 'in) gpv
where assert-gpv b = (if b then Done () else Fail)

lemma assert-gpv-simps [simp]:
  assert-gpv True = Done ()
  assert-gpv False = Fail
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]:
  shows assert-gpv-eq-Done: assert-gpv b = Done x ↔ b
  and Done-eq-assert-gpv: Done x = assert-gpv b ↔ b
  and Pause-neq-assert-gpv: Pause out rpv ≠ assert-gpv b
  and assert-gpv-neq-Pause: assert-gpv b ≠ Pause out rpv
  and assert-gpv-eq-Fail: assert-gpv b = Fail ↔ ¬ b
  and Fail-eq-assert-gpv: Fail = assert-gpv b ↔ ¬ b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma assert-gpv-inject [simp]: assert-gpv b = assert-gpv b' ↔ b = b'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma assert-gpv-sel [simp]:
  the-gpv (assert-gpv b) = map-spmf Pure (assert-spmf b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma the-gpv-bind-assert [simp]:
  the-gpv (bind-gpv (assert-gpv b) f) =
    bind-spmf (assert-spmf b) (the-gpv ∘ f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-gpv-assert [simp]: pred-gpv P Q (assert-gpv b) = (b → P ())
  ⟨proof⟩

primcorec try-gpv :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv ⇒ ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv ⇒ ('a, 'call, 'ret)
gpv (TRY - ELSE → [0,60] 59)
where
  the-gpv (TRY gpv ELSE gpv') =
    map-spmf (map-generat id id (λc input. case c input of Inl gpv ⇒ try-gpv gpv
gpv' | Inr gpv' ⇒ gpv'))
      (try-spmf (map-spmf (map-generat id id (map-fun id Inl)) (the-gpv gpv))
        (map-spmf (map-generat id id (map-fun id Inr)) (the-gpv gpv'))))

lemma try-gpv-sel:
  the-gpv (TRY gpv ELSE gpv') =
    TRY map-spmf (map-generat id id (λc input. TRY c input ELSE gpv')) (the-gpv
gpv) ELSE the-gpv gpv'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma try-gpv-Done [simp]: TRY Done x ELSE gpv' = Done x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma try-gpv-Fail [simp]: TRY Fail ELSE gpv' = gpv'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma try-gpv-Pause [simp]: TRY Pause out c ELSE gpv' = Pause out (λinput.
TRY c input ELSE gpv')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma try-gpv-Fail2 [simp]: TRY gpv ELSE Fail = gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-try-spmf: lift-spmf (TRY p ELSE q) = TRY lift-spmf p ELSE lift-spmf
q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma try-assert-gpv: TRY assert-gpv b ELSE gpv' = (if b then Done () else gpv')
  ⟨proof⟩

context includes lifting-syntax begin

```

```

lemma try-gpv-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (rel-gpv A C ==> rel-gpv A C ==> rel-gpv A C) try-gpv try-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma try-gpv-parametric':
  (rel-gpv'' A C R ==> rel-gpv'' A C R ==> rel-gpv'' A C R) try-gpv try-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma map-try-gpv: map-gpv f g (TRY gpv ELSE gpv') = TRY map-gpv f g gpv
ELSE map-gpv f g gpv'
⟨proof⟩

lemma map'-try-gpv: map-gpv' f g h (TRY gpv ELSE gpv') = TRY map-gpv' f g
h gpv ELSE map-gpv' f g h gpv'
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma try-bind-assert-gpv:
 $\text{TRY} (\text{assert-gpv } b \geqslant f) \text{ ELSE } gpv = (\text{if } b \text{ then } \text{TRY} (f ()) \text{ ELSE } gpv \text{ else } gpv)$
⟨proof⟩

4.10 Order for ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv

coinductive ord-gpv :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ bool

where

ord-spmf (rel-generat (=) (=) (rel-fun (=) ord-gpv)) f g ⇒ ord-gpv (GPV f)
(GPV g)

inductive-simps ord-gpv-simps [simp]:
ord-gpv (GPV f) (GPV g)

lemma ord-gpv-coinduct [consumes 1, case-names ord-gpv, coinduct pred: ord-gpv]:
assumes X f g
and step: $\bigwedge f g. X f g \Rightarrow \text{ord-spmf} (\text{rel-generat } (=) (=) (\text{rel-fun } (=) \text{ord-gpv})) (the-gpv f) (the-gpv g)$
shows ord-gpv f g
⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-gpv-the-gpvD:
ord-gpv f g ⇒ ord-spmf (rel-generat (=) (=) (rel-fun (=) ord-gpv)) (the-gpv f)
(the-gpv g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma reflp-equality: reflp (=)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-gpv-reflI [simp]: ord-gpv f f
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma reflp-ord-gpv: reflp ord-gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-gpv-trans:
assumes ord-gpv f g ord-gpv g h
shows ord-gpv f h
⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-gpv-compp: (ord-gpv OO ord-gpv) = ord-gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma transp-ord-gpv [simp]: transp ord-gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-gpv-antisym:
 $\llbracket \text{ord-gpv } f g; \text{ord-gpv } g f \rrbracket \implies f = g$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma RFail-least [simp]: ord-gpv Fail f
⟨proof⟩

```

4.11 Bounds on interaction

```

context
  fixes consider :: 'out ⇒ bool
  notes monotone-SUP[partial-function-mono] [[function-internals]]
begin
⟨ML⟩

partial-function (lfp-strong) interaction-bound :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ enat
where
  interaction-bound gpv =
    (SUP generat∈set-spmf (the-gpv gpv). case generat of Pure - ⇒ 0
     | IO out c ⇒ if consider out then eSuc (SUP input. interaction-bound (c input))
     else (SUP input. interaction-bound (c input)))

lemma interaction-bound-fixp-induct [case-names adm bottom step]:
   $\llbracket \text{ccpo.admissible } (\text{fun-lub Sup}) (\text{fun-ord } (\leq)) P;$ 
   $P (\lambda_.\ 0);$ 
   $\wedge \text{interaction-bound}'.$ 
   $\llbracket P \text{ interaction-bound}';$ 
   $\wedge_{gpv.} \text{interaction-bound}' gpv \leq \text{interaction-bound } gpv;$ 
   $\wedge_{gpv.} \text{interaction-bound}' gpv \leq (\text{SUP generat∈set-spmf (the-gpv gpv). case generat of Pure - } \Rightarrow 0$ 
   $| \text{IO out c } \Rightarrow \text{if consider out then eSuc } (\text{SUP input. interaction-bound}' (c input))$ 
   $\text{else } (\text{SUP input. interaction-bound}' (c input)))$ 
   $\llbracket$ 
   $\implies P (\lambda gpv. \bigsqcup \text{generat∈set-spmf (the-gpv gpv). case generat of Pure x } \Rightarrow 0$ 

```

$\begin{aligned} & | IO \text{ out } c \Rightarrow \text{if consider out then } eSuc (\bigsqcup \text{input. interaction-bound}' (c \text{ input})) \\ & \quad \text{else } (\bigsqcup \text{input. interaction-bound}' (c \text{ input}))) \bigsqcup \\ & \quad \implies P \text{ interaction-bound} \end{aligned}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bound-IO*:

$\begin{aligned} & IO \text{ out } c \in \text{set-spmf (the-gpv gpv)} \\ & \implies (\text{if consider out then } eSuc (\text{interaction-bound} (c \text{ input})) \text{ else } \text{interaction-bound} (c \text{ input})) \leq \text{interaction-bound gpv} \end{aligned}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bound-IO-consider*:

$\begin{aligned} & [IO \text{ out } c \in \text{set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); consider out }] \\ & \implies eSuc (\text{interaction-bound} (c \text{ input})) \leq \text{interaction-bound gpv} \end{aligned}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bound-IO-ignore*:

$\begin{aligned} & [IO \text{ out } c \in \text{set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); } \neg \text{consider out}] \\ & \implies \text{interaction-bound} (c \text{ input}) \leq \text{interaction-bound gpv} \end{aligned}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bound-Done* [simp]: $\text{interaction-bound} (\text{Done } x) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bound-Fail* [simp]: $\text{interaction-bound Fail} = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bound-Pause* [simp]:

$\begin{aligned} & \text{interaction-bound} (\text{Pause out } c) = \\ & (\text{if consider out then } eSuc (\text{SUP input. interaction-bound} (c \text{ input})) \text{ else } (\text{SUP} \\ & \text{input. interaction-bound} (c \text{ input}))) \end{aligned}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bound-lift-spmf* [simp]: $\text{interaction-bound} (\text{lift-spmf } p) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bound-assert-gpv* [simp]: $\text{interaction-bound} (\text{assert-gpv } b) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bound-bind-step*:

assumes *IH*: $\bigwedge p. \text{interaction-bound}' (p \gg f) \leq \text{interaction-bound } p + (\bigsqcup_{x \in \text{results}'-gpv} p. \text{interaction-bound}' (f x))$
and *unfold*: $\bigwedge gpv. \text{interaction-bound}' gpv \leq (\bigsqcup_{\text{generat} \in \text{set-spmf (the-gpv gpv)}} \text{generat})$.
case generat of Pure x => 0
 $\quad | IO \text{ out } c \Rightarrow \text{if consider out then } eSuc (\bigsqcup \text{input. interaction-bound}' (c \text{ input})) \text{ else } \bigsqcup \text{input. interaction-bound}' (c \text{ input}))$
shows $(\bigsqcup_{\text{generat} \in \text{set-spmf (the-gpv (p \gg f))}} \text{generat})$.
case generat of Pure x => 0
 $\quad | IO \text{ out } c \Rightarrow$

```

    if consider out then eSuc ( $\bigcup$  input. interaction-bound' (c input))
    else  $\bigcup$  input. interaction-bound' (c input))
 $\leq$  interaction-bound p +
 $(\bigcup_{x \in \text{results}'-gpv} p.$ 
 $\bigcup_{\text{generat} \in \text{set-spmf}} (\text{the-gpv} (f x)).$ 
case generat of Pure x  $\Rightarrow$  0
| IO out c  $\Rightarrow$ 
    if consider out then eSuc ( $\bigcup$  input. interaction-bound' (c input))
    else  $\bigcup$  input. interaction-bound' (c input))
(is (SUP generat'  $\in$  ?bind. ?g generat')  $\leq$  ?p + ?f)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma interaction-bound-bind:
defines ib1  $\equiv$  interaction-bound
shows interaction-bound (p  $\gg=$  f)  $\leq$  ib1 p + (SUP x  $\in$  results'-gpv p. interaction-bound (f x))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma interaction-bound-bind-lift-spmf [simp]:
interaction-bound (lift-spmf p  $\gg=$  f) = (SUP x  $\in$  set-spmf p. interaction-bound (f x))
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

lemma interaction-bound-map-gpv':
assumes surj h
shows interaction-bound consider (map-gpv' f g h gpv) = interaction-bound (consider  $\circ$  g) gpv
⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation interaction-any-bound :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  enat
where interaction-any-bound  $\equiv$  interaction-bound ( $\lambda$ - True)

```

```

lemma interaction-any-bound-coinduct [consumes 1, case-names interaction-bound]:
assumes X: X gpv n
and *:  $\bigwedge_{gpv n out c input. [\bigcup X gpv n; IO out c \in \text{set-spmf} (\text{the-gpv gpv})]}$ 
 $\implies \exists n'. (X (c input) n' \vee \text{interaction-any-bound} (c input) \leq n') \wedge eSuc n' \leq n$ 
shows interaction-any-bound gpv  $\leq$  n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

context includes lifting-syntax begin
lemma interaction-bound-parametric':
assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-total R
shows ((C ==> (=)) ==> rel-gpv'' A C R ==> (=)) interaction-bound
interaction-bound
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma interaction-bound-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  ((C ==> (=)) ==> rel-gpv A C ==> (=)) interaction-bound interaction-bound
  ⟨proof⟩
end

There is no nice interaction-bound equation for ( $\geqslant$ ), as it computes an exact bound, but we only need an upper bound. As enat is hard to work with (and  $\infty$  does not constrain a gpv in any way), we work with nat.

inductive interaction-bounded-by :: ('out  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for consider gpv n where
  interaction-bounded-by: [ interaction-bound consider gpv  $\leq$  n ]  $\implies$  interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n

lemmas interaction-bounded-byI = interaction-bounded-by
hide-fact (open) interaction-bounded-by

context includes lifting-syntax begin
lemma interaction-bounded-by-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  ((C ==> (=)) ==> rel-gpv A C ==> (=) ==> (=)) interaction-bounded-by
  interaction-bounded-by
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-by-parametric':
  notes interaction-bound-parametric'[transfer-rule]
  assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-total R
  shows ((C ==> (=)) ==> rel-gpv'' A C R ==> (=) ==> (=))
    interaction-bounded-by interaction-bounded-by
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma interaction-bounded-by-mono:
  [ interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n; n  $\leq$  m ]  $\implies$  interaction-bounded-by
  consider gpv m
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-by-contD:
  [ interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n; IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); consider out ]
   $\implies$  n > 0  $\wedge$  interaction-bounded-by consider (c input) (n - 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-by-contD-ignore:
  [ interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n; IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv) ]
   $\implies$  interaction-bounded-by consider (c input) n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-byI-epred:

```

```

assumes ⋀ out c. [ IO out c ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); consider out ]  $\implies n \neq 0$ 
 $\wedge (\forall \text{input}. \text{interaction-bounded-by consider } (c \text{ input}) (n - 1))$ 
and ⋀ out c input. [ IO out c ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv);  $\neg$  consider out ]  $\implies$ 
interaction-bounded-by consider (c input) n
shows interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-IO:
[ IO out c ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n; con-
sider out ]
 $\implies n \neq 0 \wedge \text{interaction-bounded-by consider } (c \text{ input}) (n - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-0: interaction-bounded-by consider gpv 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  in-
teraction-bound consider gpv = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation interaction-bounded-by' :: ('out  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  nat
 $\Rightarrow$  bool
where interaction-bounded-by' consider gpv n  $\equiv$  interaction-bounded-by consider
gpv (enat n)

```

named-theorems interaction-bound

lemmas interaction-bounded-by-start = interaction-bounded-by-mono

```

method interaction-bound-start = (rule interaction-bounded-by-start)
method interaction-bound-step uses add simp =
((match conclusion in interaction-bounded-by - - -  $\Rightarrow$  fail | -  $\Rightarrow$  ⟨solves ⟨clar simp
simp add: simp⟩⟩) | rule add interaction-bound)
method interaction-bound-rec uses add simp =
(interaction-bound-step add: add simp: simp; (interaction-bound-rec add: add
simp: simp)?)
method interaction-bound uses add simp =
( interaction-bound-start, interaction-bound-rec add: add simp: simp)

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-Done [simp]: interaction-bounded-by consider (Done
x) n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-DoneI [interaction-bound]:
interaction-bounded-by consider (Done x) 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-Fail [simp]: interaction-bounded-by consider Fail n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-FailI [interaction-bound]: interaction-bounded-by con-
sider Fail 0

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-lift-spmf* [*simp*]: *interaction-bounded-by consider (lift-spmf p) n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-lift-spmfI* [*interaction-bound*]:
 interaction-bounded-by consider (lift-spmf p) 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-assert-gpv* [*simp*]: *interaction-bounded-by consider (assert-gpv b) n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-assert-gpvI* [*interaction-bound*]:
 interaction-bounded-by consider (assert-gpv b) 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-Pause* [*simp*]:
 interaction-bounded-by consider (Pause out c) n \longleftrightarrow
 (*if consider out then 0 < n \wedge (\forall input. *interaction-bounded-by consider (c input) (n - 1)*) else (\forall input. *interaction-bounded-by consider (c input) n*)*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-PauseI* [*interaction-bound*]:
 (\bigwedge input. *interaction-bounded-by consider (c input) (n input)*)
 \implies *interaction-bounded-by consider (Pause out c) (if consider out then 1 + (SUP input. n input) else (SUP input. n input))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-bindI* [*interaction-bound*]:
 \llbracket *interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n; \bigwedge x. x \in results'-gpv gpv \implies interaction-bounded-by consider (f x) (m x) \rrbracket
 \implies *interaction-bounded-by consider (gpv $\geqslant f$) (n + (SUP x \in results'-gpv gpv. m x))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$*

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-bind-PauseI* [*interaction-bound*]:
 (\bigwedge input. *interaction-bounded-by consider (c input $\geqslant f$) (n input)*)
 \implies *interaction-bounded-by consider (Pause out c $\geqslant f$) (if consider out then SUP input. n input + 1 else SUP input. n input)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-bind-lift-spmf* [*simp*]:
 interaction-bounded-by consider (lift-spmf p $\geqslant f$) n \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in set-spmf p. *interaction-bounded-by consider (f x) n*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-bind-lift-spmfI* [*interaction-bound*]:

$(\wedge x. x \in set-spmf p \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (f x) (n x))$
 $\implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (lift-spmf p \gg f) (SUP x \in set-spmf p. n x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-bind-DoneI [interaction-bound]*:
 $interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (f x) n \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (Done x \gg f) n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-if [interaction-bound]*:
 $\llbracket b \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider gpv1 n; \neg b \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider gpv2 m \rrbracket$
 $\implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (if b then gpv1 else gpv2) (if b then n else m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-case-bool [interaction-bound]*:
 $\llbracket b \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider t bt; \neg b \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider f bf \rrbracket$
 $\implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (case-bool t f b) (if b then bt else bf)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-case-sum [interaction-bound]*:
 $\llbracket \wedge y. x = Inl y \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (l y) (bl y);$
 $\quad \wedge y. x = Inr y \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (r y) (br y) \rrbracket$
 $\implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (case-sum l r x) (case-sum bl br x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-case-prod [interaction-bound]*:
 $(\wedge a b. x = (a, b) \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (f a b) (n a b))$
 $\implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (case-prod f x) (case-prod n x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-let [interaction-bound]*: — This rule unfolds let's
 $interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (f t) m \implies interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by consider (Let t f) m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-map-gpv-id [interaction-bound]*:
assumes *[interaction-bound]*: $interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by P gpv n$
shows $interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by P (map-gpv f id gpv) n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *interaction-any-bounded-by :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow bool*
where $interaction\text{-}any\text{-}bounded\text{-}by \equiv interaction\text{-}bounded\text{-}by (\lambda_. True)$

lemma *interaction-any-bounded-by-map-gpv'*
assumes $interaction\text{-}any\text{-}bounded\text{-}by gpv n$
and $surj h$

shows *interaction-any-bounded-by* (*map-gpv' f g h gpv*) *n*
<proof>

4.12 Typing

4.12.1 Interface between gpvs and rpvs / callees

lemma *is-empty-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]: *rel-fun* (*rel-set A*) (=) *Set.is-empty*
Set.is-empty
<proof>

typedef ('call, 'ret) *I* = *UNIV* :: ('call \Rightarrow 'ret set) set *<proof>*

setup-lifting *type-definition-I*

lemma *outs-I-tparametric*:
includes *lifting-syntax*
assumes [*transfer-rule*]: *bi-total A*
shows ((*A* ==> *rel-set B*) ==> *rel-set A*) ($\lambda \text{resp}.$ {out. resp out $\neq \{\}$ })
 $(\lambda \text{resp}.$ {out. resp out $\neq \{\}$ })
<proof>

lift-definition *outs-I* :: ('call, 'ret) *I* \Rightarrow 'call set **is** $\lambda \text{resp}.$ {out. resp out $\neq \{\}$ }

parametric *outs-I-tparametric* *<proof>*

lift-definition *responses-I* :: ('call, 'ret) *I* \Rightarrow 'call \Rightarrow 'ret set **is** $\lambda x.$ *x* **parametric**

id-transfer[unfolded *id-def*] *<proof>*

lift-definition *rel-I* :: ('call \Rightarrow 'call' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('ret \Rightarrow 'ret' \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('call,
'i' ret) *I* \Rightarrow ('call', 'ret') *I* \Rightarrow bool

is $\lambda C R \text{ resp1 resp2. rel-set } C \{ \text{out. resp1 out } \neq \{\} \} \{ \text{out. resp2 out } \neq \{\} \} \wedge$
rel-fun *C* (*rel-set R*) *resp1 resp2*
<proof>

lemma *rel-II* [*intro?*]:

$\llbracket \text{rel-set } C (\text{outs-I } I1) (\text{outs-I } I2); \wedge x y. C x y \implies \text{rel-set } R (\text{responses-I } I1 x) (\text{responses-I } I2 y) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{rel-I } C R I1 I2$
<proof>

lemma *rel-I-eq* [*relator-eq*]: *rel-I* (=) (=) = (=)
<proof>

lemma *rel-I-conversep* [*simp*]: *rel-I* *C*⁻¹⁻¹ *R*⁻¹⁻¹ = (*rel-I* *C* *R*)⁻¹⁻¹
<proof>

lemma *rel-I-conversep1-eq* [*simp*]: *rel-I* *C*⁻¹⁻¹ (=) = (*rel-I* *C* (=))⁻¹⁻¹
<proof>

lemma *rel-I-conversep2-eq* [*simp*]: *rel-I* (=) *R*⁻¹⁻¹ = (*rel-I* (=) *R*)⁻¹⁻¹
<proof>

```

lemma responses- $\mathcal{I}$ -empty-iff: responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out = {}  $\longleftrightarrow$  out  $\notin$  outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$ 
including  $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma in-outs- $\mathcal{I}$ -iff-responses- $\mathcal{I}$ : out  $\in$  outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$   $\longleftrightarrow$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out  $\neq$  {}
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lift-definition  $\mathcal{I}$ -full :: ('call, 'ret)  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\lambda$ - UNIV  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -full-sel [simp]:
shows outs- $\mathcal{I}$ -full: outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full = UNIV
and responses- $\mathcal{I}$ -full: responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$ -full x = UNIV
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

context includes lifting-syntax begin
lemma outs- $\mathcal{I}$ -parametric [transfer-rule]: (rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C R ==> rel-set C) outs- $\mathcal{I}$ 
outs- $\mathcal{I}$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma responses- $\mathcal{I}$ -parametric [transfer-rule]:
(rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C R ==> C ==> rel-set R) responses- $\mathcal{I}$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

end

definition  $\mathcal{I}$ -trivial :: ('out, 'in)  $\mathcal{I}$   $\Rightarrow$  bool
where  $\mathcal{I}$ -trivial  $\mathcal{I}$   $\longleftrightarrow$  outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  = UNIV

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -trivialI [intro?]: ( $\bigwedge x. x \in$  outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$ )  $\implies$   $\mathcal{I}$ -trivial  $\mathcal{I}$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -trivialD:  $\mathcal{I}$ -trivial  $\mathcal{I}$   $\implies$  outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  = UNIV
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -trivial- $\mathcal{I}$ -full [simp]:  $\mathcal{I}$ -trivial  $\mathcal{I}$ -full
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lifting-update  $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$ 
lifting-forget  $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$ 

context includes  $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$  begin

lift-definition  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform :: 'out set  $\Rightarrow$  'in set  $\Rightarrow$  ('out, 'in)  $\mathcal{I}$  is  $\lambda A B x.$  if  $x \in$ 
A then B else {}  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma outs- $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform [simp]: outs- $\mathcal{I}$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform A B) = (if B = {} then {} else
A)
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma responses- $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform [simp]: responses- $\mathcal{I}$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform  $A$   $B$ )  $x = (\text{if } x \in A$ 
then  $B$  else  $\{\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform-UNIV [simp]:  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform UNIV UNIV =  $\mathcal{I}$ -full
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition map- $\mathcal{I}$  :: ('out' ⇒ 'out) ⇒ ('in ⇒ 'in') ⇒ ('out, 'in)  $\mathcal{I}$  ⇒ ('out',
'in')  $\mathcal{I}$ 
is  $\lambda f g \text{ resp } x. g \text{ '} \text{ resp } (f x)$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outs- $\mathcal{I}$ -map- $\mathcal{I}$  [simp]:
outs- $\mathcal{I}$  (map- $\mathcal{I}$   $f g \mathcal{I}$ ) =  $f -^{'}$  outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma responses- $\mathcal{I}$ -map- $\mathcal{I}$  [simp]:
responses- $\mathcal{I}$  (map- $\mathcal{I}$   $f g \mathcal{I}$ )  $x = g \text{ '} \text{ responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} (f x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma map- $\mathcal{I}$ - $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform [simp]:
map- $\mathcal{I}$   $f g$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform  $A$   $B$ ) =  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform ( $f -^{'}$   $A$ ) ( $g -^{'}$   $B$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma map- $\mathcal{I}$ -id [simp]: map- $\mathcal{I}$  id id  $\mathcal{I}$  =  $\mathcal{I}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma map- $\mathcal{I}$ -id0: map- $\mathcal{I}$  id id = id
⟨proof⟩

lemma map- $\mathcal{I}$ -comp [simp]: map- $\mathcal{I}$   $f g$  (map- $\mathcal{I}$   $f' g' \mathcal{I}$ ) = map- $\mathcal{I}$  ( $f' \circ f$ ) ( $g \circ g'$ )
 $\mathcal{I}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma map- $\mathcal{I}$ -cong: map- $\mathcal{I}$   $f g \mathcal{I}$  = map- $\mathcal{I}$   $f' g' \mathcal{I}'$ 
if  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'$  and  $f: f = f'$  and  $\bigwedge x y. [\![ x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}'; y \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' x ]\!] \implies$ 
 $g y = g' y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lifting-update  $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$ 
lifting-forget  $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$ 
end

functor map- $\mathcal{I}$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -eqI:  $[\![ \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} = \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}'; \bigwedge x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \implies \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} x =$ 
 $\text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' x ]\!] \implies \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'$ 
including  $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation  $\mathcal{I} :: (\text{type}, \text{type})$  order begin

```

```

definition less-eq- $\mathcal{I}$  :: ('a, 'b)  $\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  where le- $\mathcal{I}$ -def: less-eq- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \longleftrightarrow \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \subseteq \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \wedge (\forall x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}. \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' x \subseteq \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} x)$ 

definition less- $\mathcal{I}$  :: ('a, 'b)  $\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  where less- $\mathcal{I}$  = mk-less ( $\leq$ )

instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation  $\mathcal{I}$  :: (type, type) order-bot begin
  definition bot- $\mathcal{I}$  :: ('a, 'b)  $\mathcal{I}$  where bot- $\mathcal{I}$  =  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform {} UNIV
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma outs- $\mathcal{I}$ -bot [simp]: outs- $\mathcal{I}$  bot = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma responses- $\mathcal{I}$ -bot [simp]: responses- $\mathcal{I}$  bot x = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outs- $\mathcal{I}$ -mono:  $\mathcal{I} \leq \mathcal{I}' \implies \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \subseteq \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma responses- $\mathcal{I}$ -mono:  $\llbracket \mathcal{I} \leq \mathcal{I}'; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' x \subseteq \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform-empty [simp]:  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform {} A = bot
  ⟨proof⟩ including  $\mathcal{I}$ .lifting ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform-mono:
   $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform A B  $\leq \mathcal{I}$ -uniform C D if A  $\subseteq$  C D  $\subseteq$  B D = {}  $\longrightarrow$  B = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

context begin
  qualified inductive resultsp-gpv :: ('out, 'in)  $\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) \text{gpv} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
    for  $\Gamma$  x
  where
    Pure: Pure x  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv)  $\implies$  resultsp-gpv  $\Gamma$  x gpv
    | IO:
       $\llbracket \text{IO out } c \in \text{set-spmf (the-gpv gpv)}; \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma \text{ out}; \text{resultsp-gpv } \Gamma x (c \text{ input}) \rrbracket \implies \text{resultsp-gpv } \Gamma x \text{ gpv}$ 
  definition results-gpv :: ('out, 'in)  $\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) \text{gpv} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ 

```

```

where results-gpv  $\Gamma$  gpv  $\equiv \{x. \text{resultsp-gpv } \Gamma x \text{ gpv}\}$ 

lemma resultsp-gpv-results-gpv-eq [pred-set-conv]: resultsp-gpv  $\Gamma$  x gpv  $\longleftrightarrow x \in$  results-gpv  $\Gamma$  gpv
<proof>

context begin
(ML)

lemmas intros [intro?] = resultsp-gpv.intros[to-set]
and Pure = Pure[to-set]
and IO = IO[to-set]
and induct [consumes 1, case-names Pure IO, induct set: results-gpv] = resultsp-gpv.induct[to-set]
and cases [consumes 1, case-names Pure IO, cases set: results-gpv] = resultsp-gpv.cases[to-set]
and simps = resultsp-gpv.simps[to-set]
end

inductive-simps results-gpv-GPV [to-set, simp]: resultsp-gpv  $\Gamma$  x (GPV gpv)

end

lemma results-gpv-Done [iff]: results-gpv  $\Gamma$  (Done x) = {x}
<proof>

lemma results-gpv-Fail [iff]: results-gpv  $\Gamma$  Fail = {}
<proof>

lemma results-gpv-Pause [simp]:
results-gpv  $\Gamma$  (Pause out c) = ( $\bigcup_{\text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I}} \text{out. results-gpv } \Gamma (c \text{ input})$ )
<proof>

lemma results-gpv-lift-spmf [iff]: results-gpv  $\Gamma$  (lift-spmf p) = set-spmf p
<proof>

lemma results-gpv-assert-gpv [simp]: results-gpv  $\Gamma$  (assert-gpv b) = (if b then {} else {})
<proof>

lemma results-gpv-bind-gpv [simp]:
results-gpv  $\Gamma$  (gpv >> f) = ( $\bigcup_{x \in \text{results-gpv}} \text{gpv. results-gpv } \Gamma (f x)$ )
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
<proof>

lemma results-gpv-I-full: results-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full = results'-gpv
<proof>

lemma results'-bind-gpv [simp]:
results'-gpv (bind-gpv gpv f) = ( $\bigcup_{x \in \text{results'-gpv}} \text{gpv. results'-gpv } (f x)$ )

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results-gpv-map-gpv-id* [simp]: *results-gpv* \mathcal{I} (*map-gpv f id gpv*) = $f` results-gpv \mathcal{I} gpv$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results-gpv-map-gpv-id'* [simp]: *results-gpv* \mathcal{I} (*map-gpv f (λx. x) gpv*) = $f` results-gpv \mathcal{I} gpv$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-gpv-bind* [simp]: *pred-gpv P Q (bind-gpv gpv f)* = *pred-gpv (pred-gpv P Q ∘ f) Q gpv*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results'-gpv-bind-option* [simp]:
 $results'`gpv (monad.bind-option Fail x f) = (\bigcup_{y \in set-option x. results'`gpv (f y)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results'-gpv-map-gpv'*:
assumes *surj h*
shows *results'-gpv (map-gpv' f g h gpv)* = $f` results'`gpv gpv$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv-bind-option-assoc*:
 $bind-gpv (monad.bind-option Fail x f) g = monad.bind-option Fail x (\lambda x. bind-gpv (f x) g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context begin
qualified inductive *outsp-gpv* :: ('out, 'in) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow 'out \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv \Rightarrow bool
for \mathcal{I} x **where**
IO: *IO x c ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv)* \implies *outsp-gpv* \mathcal{I} x *gpv*
| Cont: $\llbracket IO out rpv \in set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); input \in responses-\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} out; outsp-gpv \mathcal{I} x (rpv input) \rrbracket$
 \implies *outsp-gpv* \mathcal{I} x *gpv*

definition *outs-gpv* :: ('out, 'in) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv \Rightarrow 'out set
where *outs-gpv* \mathcal{I} *gpv* \equiv { x . *outsp-gpv* \mathcal{I} x *gpv*}

lemma *outsp-gpv-outs-gpv-eq* [pred-set-conv]: *outsp-gpv* \mathcal{I} x = $(\lambda gpv. x \in outs-gpv \mathcal{I} gpv)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context begin
 $\langle ML \rangle$

lemmas *intros* [intro?] = *outsp-gpv.intros[to-set]*
and *IO* = *IO[to-set]*
and *Cont* = *Cont[to-set]*

```

and induct [consumes 1, case-names IO Cont, induct set: outs-gpv] = outsp-gpv.induct[to-set]
and cases [consumes 1, case-names IO Cont, cases set: outs-gpv] = outsp-gpv.cases[to-set]
and simps = outsp-gpv.simps[to-set]
end

inductive-simps outs-gpv-GPV [to-set, simp]: outsp-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$   $x$  (GPV gpv)
end

lemma outs-gpv-Done [iff]: outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (Done x) = {}
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs-gpv-Fail [iff]: outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  Fail = {}
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs-gpv-Pause [simp]:
outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (Pause out c) = insert out ( $\bigcup_{input \in responses-\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}$  out. outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (c input))
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs-gpv-lift-spmf [iff]: outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (lift-spmf p) = {}
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs-gpv-assert-gpv [simp]: outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (assert-gpv b) = {}
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs-gpv-bind-gpv [simp]:
outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (gpv  $\gg f$ ) = outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  $\cup$  ( $\bigcup_{x \in results-gpv} \mathcal{I}$  gpv. outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (f x))
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs-gpv- $\mathcal{I}$ -full: outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full = outs'-gpv
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs'-bind-gpv [simp]:
outs'-gpv (bind-gpv gpv f) = outs'-gpv gpv  $\cup$  ( $\bigcup_{x \in results'-gpv} \mathcal{I}$  gpv. outs'-gpv (f x))
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs-gpv-map-gpv-id [simp]: outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (map-gpv f id gpv) = outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs-gpv-map-gpv-id' [simp]: outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (map-gpv f ( $\lambda x. x$ ) gpv) = outs-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma outs'-gpv-bind-option [simp]:

```

$\text{outs}'\text{-gpv} (\text{monad.bind-option } \text{Fail } x f) = (\bigcup_{y \in \text{set-option } x} \text{outs}'\text{-gpv} (f y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rel-gpv}''\text{-Grp}$: includes lifting-syntax shows

$\text{rel-gpv}'' (\text{BNF-Def.Grp } A f) (\text{BNF-Def.Grp } B g) (\text{BNF-Def.Grp } \text{UNIV } h)^{-1-1}$
 $=$
 $\text{BNF-Def.Grp } \{x. \text{results-gpv} (\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV } (\text{range } h)) x \subseteq A \wedge \text{outs-gpv}$
 $(\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV } (\text{range } h)) x \subseteq B\} (\text{map-gpv}' f g h)$
 $\langle \text{is } ?\text{lhs} = ?\text{rhs} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive $\text{pred-gpv}' :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('out \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'in \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) \text{ gpv}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ for $P Q X \text{ gpv}$ where
 $\text{pred-gpv}' P Q X \text{ gpv}$
 $\text{if } \bigwedge x. x \in \text{results-gpv} (\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV } X) \text{ gpv} \implies P x \wedge \text{out. out} \in \text{outs-gpv}$
 $(\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV } X) \text{ gpv} \implies Q \text{ out}$

lemma $\text{pred-gpv-conv-pred-gpv}'$: $\text{pred-gpv } P Q = \text{pred-gpv}' P Q \text{ UNIV}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rel-gpv}''\text{-map-gpv}'1$:

$\text{rel-gpv}'' A C (\text{BNF-Def.Grp } \text{UNIV } h)^{-1-1} \text{ gpv gpv}' \implies \text{rel-gpv}'' A C (=)$
 $(\text{map-gpv}' \text{id id h gpv}) \text{ gpv}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rel-gpv}''\text{-map-gpv}'2$:

$\text{rel-gpv}'' A C (\text{eq-on } (\text{range } h)) \text{ gpv gpv}' \implies \text{rel-gpv}'' A C (\text{BNF-Def.Grp } \text{UNIV } h)^{-1-1} \text{ gpv } (\text{map-gpv}' \text{id id h gpv}')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

fixes $A :: 'a \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $C :: 'c \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $R :: 'b \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

begin

private lemma $f11$: $\text{Pure } x \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv}) \implies$
 $\text{Domainp } (\text{rel-generat } A C (\text{rel-fun } R (\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R))) (\text{Pure } x) \implies \text{Domainp}$
 $A x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** $f21$: $\text{IO out c} \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv}) \implies$
 $\text{rel-generat } A C (\text{rel-fun } R (\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R)) (\text{IO out c}) \text{ ba} \implies \text{Domainp } C \text{ out}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** $f12$:
assumes $\text{IO out c} \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv})$
and $\text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} (\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV } \{x. \text{Domainp } R x\}) \text{ out}$
and $x \in \text{results-gpv} (\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV } \{x. \text{Domainp } R x\}) (c \text{ input})$
and $\text{Domainp } (\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R) \text{ gpv}$
shows $\text{Domainp } (\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R) (c \text{ input})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** $f22$:
assumes $\text{IO out' rpv} \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv})$

```

and input ∈ responses- $\mathcal{I}$  ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform UNIV {x. Domainp R x}) out'
and out ∈ outs-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform UNIV {x. Domainp R x}) (rvp input)
and Domainp (rel-gpv'' A C R) gpv
shows Domainp (rel-gpv'' A C R) (rvp input)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Domainp-rel-gpv''-le:
  Domainp (rel-gpv'' A C R) ≤ pred-gpv' (Domainp A) (Domainp C) {x. Domainp R x}
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma map-gpv'-id12: map-gpv' f g h gpv = map-gpv' id id h (map-gpv f g gpv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-gpv''-refl: [ ( = ) ≤ A; ( = ) ≤ C; R ≤ ( = ) ] ⇒ ( = ) ≤ rel-gpv'' A C R
⟨proof⟩

context
fixes A A' :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
and C C' :: 'c ⇒ 'd ⇒ bool
and R R' :: 'e ⇒ 'f ⇒ bool

begin

private abbreviation foo where
foo ≡ (λ fx fy gpvx gpvy g1 g2.
  ∀ x y. x ∈ fx ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform UNIV (Collect (Domainp R'))) gpvx →
  y ∈ fy ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform UNIV (Collect (Rangep R'))) gpvy → g1 x y
  → g2 x y)

private lemma f1: foo results-gpv results-gpv gpv gpv' A A' ⇒
  x ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv) ⇒ y ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv') ⇒
  a ∈ generat-conts x ⇒ b ∈ generat-conts y ⇒ R' a' α ⇒ R' β b' ⇒
  foo results-gpv results-gpv (a a') (b b') A A'
⟨proof⟩ lemma f2: foo outs-gpv outs-gpv gpv gpv' C C' ⇒
  x ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv) ⇒ y ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv') ⇒
  a ∈ generat-conts x ⇒ b ∈ generat-conts y ⇒ R' a' α ⇒ R' β b' ⇒
  foo outs-gpv outs-gpv (a a') (b b') C C'
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-gpv''-mono-strong:
  [ rel-gpv'' A C R gpv gpv';
    ∀ x y. [ [ x ∈ results-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform UNIV {x. Domainp R' x}) gpv; y ∈ results-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform UNIV {x. Rangep R' x}) gpv'; A x y ] ⇒ A' x y; ]
    ∀ x y. [ [ x ∈ outs-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform UNIV {x. Domainp R' x}) gpv; y ∈ outs-gpv ( $\mathcal{I}$ -uniform UNIV {x. Rangep R' x}) gpv'; C x y ] ⇒ C' x y; ]

```

```

 $R' \leq R \llbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{rel-gpv}'' A' C' R' \text{gpv gpv}'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

lemma *rel-gpv''-refl-strong*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{results-gpv} (\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV} \{x. \text{Domainp } R x\}) \text{gpv} \implies A x x$

and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{outs-gpv} (\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV} \{x. \text{Domainp } R x\}) \text{gpv} \implies C x x$

and $R \leq (=)$

shows *rel-gpv'' A C R gpv gpv*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-refl-eq-on*:

$\llbracket \bigwedge x. x \in \text{results-gpv} (\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV } X) \text{gpv} \implies A x x; \bigwedge \text{out}. \text{out} \in \text{outs-gpv} (\mathcal{I}\text{-uniform } \text{UNIV } X) \text{gpv} \implies B \text{out out} \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{rel-gpv}'' A B (\text{eq-on } X) \text{gpv gpv}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-gpv'-mono' [mono]*:

pred-gpv' A C R gpv \longrightarrow *pred-gpv' A' C' R gpv*

if $\bigwedge x. A x \longrightarrow A' x \bigwedge x. C x \longrightarrow C' x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.12.2 Type judgements

coinductive *WT-gpv :: ('out, 'in) I* \Rightarrow *('a, 'out, 'in) gpv* \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \langle (-) / \vdash g (-) \rangle \rangle$ [100, 0] 99)

for Γ

where

$(\bigwedge \text{out } c. \text{IO out } c \in \text{set-spmf gpv} \implies \text{out} \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma \wedge (\forall \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma$

$\text{out. } \Gamma \vdash g c \text{ input} \checkmark))$

$\implies \Gamma \vdash g \text{ GPV gpv} \checkmark$

lemma *WT-gpv-coinduct [consumes 1, case-names WT-gpv, case-conclusion WT-gpv out cont, coinduct pred: WT-gpv]*:

assumes $*: X \text{gpv}$

and *step: $\bigwedge \text{gpv out c}$* .

$\llbracket X \text{gpv}; \text{IO out } c \in \text{set-spmf (the-gpv gpv)} \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{out} \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma \wedge (\forall \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma \text{out. } X (c \text{ input}) \vee \Gamma \vdash g c \text{ input} \checkmark)$

shows $\Gamma \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-simps*:

$\Gamma \vdash g \text{ GPV gpv} \checkmark \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall \text{out } c. \text{IO out } c \in \text{set-spmf gpv} \longrightarrow \text{out} \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma \wedge (\forall \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma$

$\text{out. } \Gamma \vdash g c \text{ input} \checkmark))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-}gpvI$:

$(\bigwedge out c. IO out c \in set\text{-}spmf (the\text{-}gpv gpv) \implies out \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma \wedge (\forall input \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma$
 $\Gamma out. \Gamma \vdash g c input \checkmark))$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash g gpv \checkmark$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-}gpvD$:

assumes $\Gamma \vdash g gpv \checkmark$
shows $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}OutD$: $IO out c \in set\text{-}spmf (the\text{-}gpv gpv) \implies out \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma$
and $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}ContD$: $\llbracket IO out c \in set\text{-}spmf (the\text{-}gpv gpv); input \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma$
 $out \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \vdash g c input \checkmark$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}mono$:

assumes $WT: \mathcal{I}1 \vdash g gpv \checkmark$
and $outs: outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}1 \subseteq outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}2$
and $responses: \bigwedge x. x \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}1 \implies responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}2 x \subseteq responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}1 x$
shows $\mathcal{I}2 \vdash g gpv \checkmark$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}Done$ [iff]: $\Gamma \vdash g Done x \checkmark$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}Fail$ [iff]: $\Gamma \vdash g Fail \checkmark$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}PauseI$:

$\llbracket out \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma; \bigwedge input. input \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma out \implies \Gamma \vdash g c input \checkmark \rrbracket$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash g Pause out c \checkmark$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}Pause$ [iff]:

$\Gamma \vdash g Pause out c \checkmark \longleftrightarrow out \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma \wedge (\forall input \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \Gamma out. \Gamma \vdash g c$
 $input \checkmark)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}bindI$:

$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash g gpv \checkmark; \bigwedge x. x \in results\text{-}gpv \Gamma gpv \implies \Gamma \vdash g f x \checkmark \rrbracket$
 $\implies \Gamma \vdash g gpv \gg= f \checkmark$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}bindD2$:

assumes $WT: \Gamma \vdash g gpv \gg= f \checkmark$
and $x: x \in results\text{-}gpv \Gamma gpv$
shows $\Gamma \vdash g f x \checkmark$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-bindD1*: $\Gamma \vdash g \text{ gpv} \gg f \vee \implies \Gamma \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-bind [simp]*: $\Gamma \vdash g \text{ gpv} \gg f \vee \longleftrightarrow \Gamma \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee \wedge (\forall x \in \text{results-gpv} \Gamma \text{ gpv}. \Gamma \vdash g f x \vee)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-full [simp, intro!]*: $\mathcal{I}\text{-full} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-lift-spmf [simp, intro!]*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ lift-spmf } p \vee$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-coinduct-bind [consumes 1, case-names WT-gpv, case-conclusion WT-gpv out cont]*:

assumes $*: X \text{ gpv}$

and $\text{step}: \bigwedge \text{gpv out } c. [\![X \text{ gpv}; \text{IO out } c \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv})]\!]$
 $\implies \text{out} \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \text{ }\mathcal{I} \text{ out} \wedge (\forall \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \text{ }\mathcal{I} \text{ out}.$

$X (c \text{ input}) \vee$

$\mathcal{I} \vdash g c \text{ input} \vee \vee$

$(\exists (gpv' :: ('b, 'call, 'ret) \text{ gpv}) f. c \text{ input} = gpv' \gg f \wedge \mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv' \vee \wedge (\forall x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv'}. X (f x)))$

shows $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *\mathcal{I} -trivial-WT-gpvD [simp]*: $\mathcal{I}\text{-trivial } \mathcal{I} \implies \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *\mathcal{I} -trivial-WT-gpvI*:

assumes $\bigwedge \text{gpv} :: ('a, 'out, 'in) \text{ gpv}. \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$

shows *\mathcal{I} -trivial \mathcal{I}*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv- \mathcal{I} -mono*: $[\![\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee; \mathcal{I} \leq \mathcal{I}']\!] \implies \mathcal{I}' \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *results-gpv-mono*:

assumes $le: \mathcal{I}' \leq \mathcal{I}$ **and** *WT*: $\mathcal{I}' \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$

shows *results-gpv \mathcal{I} gpv \subseteq results-gpv \mathcal{I}' gpv*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-outs-gpv*:

assumes $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$

shows *outs-gpv \mathcal{I} gpv \subseteq outs- \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-map-gpv'*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ map-gpv' } f g h \text{ gpv} \vee \text{if map-}\mathcal{I} \text{ }g h \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-map-gpv*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ map-gpv } f g \text{ gpv} \vee \text{if map-}\mathcal{I} \text{ } g \text{ id } \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *results-gpv-map-gpv'* [*simp*]:

$\text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} (\text{map-gpv}' f g h \text{ gpv}) = f' (\text{results-gpv } (\text{map-}\mathcal{I} \text{ } g h \mathcal{I}) \text{ gpv})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-parametric'*: **includes lifting-syntax shows**

bi-unique C \implies (rel- \mathcal{I} C R $\implies\implies$ rel-gpv'' A C R $\implies\implies$ (=)) WT-gpv WT-gpv
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-map-gpv-id* [*simp*]: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ map-gpv } f \text{ id } \text{gpv} \vee \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-outs-gpvI*:
assumes *outs-gpv* \mathcal{I} *gpv* \subseteq *outs- \mathcal{I}* \mathcal{I}
shows $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-iff-outs-gpv*:
 $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee \longleftrightarrow \text{outs-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \subseteq \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.13 Sub-gpvs

```
context begin
qualified inductive sub-gpvsp :: ('out, 'in)  $\mathcal{I}$   $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for  $\mathcal{I}$  x
where
  base:
    [ IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); input  $\in$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out; x = c input ]
     $\implies$  sub-gpvsp  $\mathcal{I}$  x gpv
  | cont:
    [ IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); input  $\in$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out; sub-gpvsp  $\mathcal{I}$  x (c input) ]
     $\implies$  sub-gpvsp  $\mathcal{I}$  x gpv
```

qualified lemma *sub-gpvsp-base*:

```
[ IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); input  $\in$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out ]
 $\implies$  sub-gpvsp  $\mathcal{I}$  (c input) gpv
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

definition *sub-gpvs* :: ('out, 'in) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv set
where *sub-gpvs* \mathcal{I} *gpv* \equiv {x. sub-gpvsp \mathcal{I} x gpv}

lemma *sub-gpvsp-sub-gpvs-eq* [*pred-set-conv*]: *sub-gpvsp* \mathcal{I} x gpv \longleftrightarrow x \in *sub-gpvs* \mathcal{I} gpv
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

context begin
⟨ML⟩

lemmas intros [intro?] = sub-gpvsp.intros[to-set]
and base = sub-gpvsp-base[to-set]
and cont = cont[to-set]
and induct [consumes 1, case-names Pure IO, induct set: sub-gpvs] = sub-gpvsp.induct[to-set]
and cases [consumes 1, case-names Pure IO, cases set: sub-gpvs] = sub-gpvsp.cases[to-set]
and simps = sub-gpvsp.simps[to-set]
end
end

lemma WT-sub-gpvsD:
assumes I ⊢ g gpv √ and gpv' ∈ sub-gpvs I gpv
shows I ⊢ g gpv' √
⟨proof⟩

lemma WT-sub-gpvsI:
[ [ ⊢ out c. IO out c ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv) ⇒ out ∈ outs-I Γ;
    ⊢ gpv'. gpv' ∈ sub-gpvs Γ gpv ⇒ Γ ⊢ g gpv' √ ]
  ⇒ Γ ⊢ g gpv √ ]
⟨proof⟩

```

4.14 Losslessness

A gpv is lossless iff we are guaranteed to get a result after a finite number of interactions that respect the interface. It is colossless if the interactions may go on for ever, but there is no non-termination.

We define both notions of losslessness simultaneously by mimicking what the (co)inductive package would do internally. Thus, we get a constant which is parametrised by the choice of the fixpoint, i.e., for non-recursive gpvs, we can state and prove both versions of losslessness in one go.

```

context
fixes co :: bool and I :: ('out, 'in) I
and F :: (('a, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ bool) ⇒ (('a, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ bool)
and co' :: bool
defines F ≡ λgen-lossless-gpv gpv. ∃ pa. gpv = GPV pa ∧
  lossless-spmf pa ∧ (∀ out c input. IO out c ∈ set-spmf pa → input ∈ responses-I
  I out → gen-lossless-gpv (c input))
and co' ≡ co — We use a copy of co such that we can do case distinctions on co'
without the simplifier rewriting the co in the local abbreviations for the constants.
begin

```

```

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-mono: mono F
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition gen-lossless-gpv :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where gen-lossless-gpv = (if co' then gfp else lfp) F

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-unfold: gen-lossless-gpv = F gen-lossless-gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-True: co' = True  $\implies$  gen-lossless-gpv  $\equiv$  gfp F
and gen-lossless-gpv-False: co' = False  $\implies$  gen-lossless-gpv  $\equiv$  lfp F
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-cases [elim?, cases pred]:
assumes gen-lossless-gpv gpv
obtains (gen-lossless-gpv) p where gpv = GPV p lossless-spmf p
 $\wedge$  out c input. [IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf p; input  $\in$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out]  $\implies$  gen-lossless-gpv
(c input)
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-lossless-gpvD:
assumes gen-lossless-gpv gpv
shows gen-lossless-gpv-lossless-spmfD: lossless-spmf (the-gpv gpv)
and gen-lossless-gpv-continuationD:
[ IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); input  $\in$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out ]  $\implies$  gen-lossless-gpv
(c input)
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-intros:
[ lossless-spmf p;
 $\wedge$  out c input. [IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf p; input  $\in$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out]  $\implies$ 
gen-lossless-gpv (c input)]
 $\implies$  gen-lossless-gpv (GPV p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-lossless-gpvI [intro?]:
[ lossless-spmf (the-gpv gpv);
 $\wedge$  out c input. [ IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); input  $\in$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out ]
 $\implies$  gen-lossless-gpv (c input)]
 $\implies$  gen-lossless-gpv gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-simps:
gen-lossless-gpv gpv  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
( $\exists$  p. gpv = GPV p  $\wedge$  lossless-spmf p  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  out c input.
IO out c  $\in$  set-spmf p  $\longrightarrow$  input  $\in$  responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  out  $\longrightarrow$  gen-lossless-gpv
(c input)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-Done [iff]: gen-lossless-gpv (Done x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-Fail [iff]:  $\neg \text{gen-lossless-gpv Fail}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-Pause [simp]:
  gen-lossless-gpv (Pause out c)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \text{ out. } \text{gen-lossless-gpv}$ 
  (c input))
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-lift-spmf [iff]: gen-lossless-gpv (lift-spmf p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lossless-spmf
p
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma gen-lossless-gpv-assert-gpv [iff]: gen-lossless-gpv co  $\mathcal{I}$  (assert-gpv b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  b
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation lossless-gpv :: ('out, 'in)  $\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where lossless-gpv ≡ gen-lossless-gpv False

abbreviation colossless-gpv :: ('out, 'in)  $\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where colossless-gpv ≡ gen-lossless-gpv True

lemma lossless-gpv-induct [consumes 1, case-names lossless-gpv, induct pred]:
  assumes *: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
  and step:  $\bigwedge p. [\![ \text{lossless-spmf } p; \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \text{ out} ]\!] \implies \text{lossless-gpv}$ 
 $\mathcal{I}$  (c input);
 $\bigwedge \text{out } c \text{ input. } [\![ \text{IO out } c \in \text{set-spmf } p; \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \text{ out} ]\!] \implies P (c$ 
input)
 $\implies P (\text{GPV } p)$ 
shows P gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma colossless-gpv-coinduct
[consumes 1, case-names colossless-gpv, case-conclusion colossless-gpv lossless-spmf
continuation, coinduct pred]:
  assumes *: X gpv
  and step:  $\bigwedge \text{gpv. } X \text{ gpv} \implies \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv}) \wedge (\forall \text{out } c \text{ input. }$ 
 $\text{IO out } c \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv}) \longrightarrow \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \text{ out} \longrightarrow X (c$ 
input)  $\vee \text{colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} (c \text{ input})$ )
shows colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemmas lossless-gpvI = gen-lossless-gpvI[where co=False]
  and lossless-gpvD = gen-lossless-gpvD[where co=False]
  and lossless-gpv-lossless-spmfD = gen-lossless-gpv-lossless-spmfD[where co=False]
  and lossless-gpv-continuationD = gen-lossless-gpv-continuationD[where co=False]

```

```

lemmas colossalss-gpvI = gen-lossless-gpvI[where co=True]
  and colossalss-gpvD = gen-lossless-gpvD[where co=True]
  and colossalss-gpv-lossless-spmfD = gen-lossless-gpv-lossless-spmfD[where co=True]
  and colossalss-gpv-continuationD = gen-lossless-gpv-continuationD[where co=True]

lemma gen-lossless-bind-gpvI:
  assumes gen-lossless-gpv co I gpv  $\wedge$  x  $\in$  results-gpv I gpv  $\implies$  gen-lossless-gpv
  co I (fx)
  shows gen-lossless-gpv co I (gpv  $\gg$  f)
  {proof}

lemmas lossless-bind-gpvI = gen-lossless-bind-gpvI[where co=False]
  and colossalss-bind-gpvI = gen-lossless-bind-gpvI[where co=True]

lemma gen-lossless-bind-gpvD1:
  assumes gen-lossless-gpv co I (gpv  $\gg$  f)
  shows gen-lossless-gpv co I gpv
  {proof}

lemmas lossless-bind-gpvD1 = gen-lossless-bind-gpvD1[where co=False]
  and colossalss-bind-gpvD1 = gen-lossless-bind-gpvD1[where co=True]

lemma gen-lossless-bind-gpvD2:
  assumes gen-lossless-gpv co I (gpv  $\gg$  f)
  and x  $\in$  results-gpv I gpv
  shows gen-lossless-gpv co I (fx)
  {proof}

lemmas lossless-bind-gpvD2 = gen-lossless-bind-gpvD2[where co=False]
  and colossalss-bind-gpvD2 = gen-lossless-bind-gpvD2[where co=True]

lemma gen-lossless-bind-gpv [simp]:
  gen-lossless-gpv co I (gpv  $\gg$  f)  $\longleftrightarrow$  gen-lossless-gpv co I gpv  $\wedge$   $(\forall x \in results-gpv
  I gpv. gen-lossless-gpv co I (fx))
  {proof}

lemmas lossless-bind-gpv = gen-lossless-bind-gpv[where co=False]
  and colossalss-bind-gpv = gen-lossless-bind-gpv[where co=True]

context includes lifting-syntax begin

lemma rel-gpv''-lossless-gpvD1:
  assumes rel: rel-gpv'' A C R gpv gpv'
  and gpv: lossless-gpv I gpv
  and [transfer-rule]: rel-I C R I I'
  shows lossless-gpv I' gpv'
  {proof}

lemma rel-gpv''-lossless-gpvD2:$ 
```

$\llbracket \text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \text{ gpv gpv}'; \text{ lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}'; \text{ rel-}\mathcal{I} C R \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-lossless-gpvD1*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-gpv } A C \text{ gpv gpv}'; \text{ lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}; \text{ rel-}\mathcal{I} C (=) \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \rrbracket \implies \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-lossless-gpvD2*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-gpv } A C \text{ gpv gpv}'; \text{ lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}'; \text{ rel-}\mathcal{I} C (=) \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-colossless-gpvD1*:

assumes *rel*: $\text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \text{ gpv gpv}'$

and *gpv*: $\text{colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$

and [transfer-rule]: $\text{rel-}\mathcal{I} C R \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}'$

shows $\text{colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv''-colossless-gpvD2*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \text{ gpv gpv}'; \text{ colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}'; \text{ rel-}\mathcal{I} C R \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-colossless-gpvD1*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-gpv } A C \text{ gpv gpv}'; \text{ colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}; \text{ rel-}\mathcal{I} C (=) \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \rrbracket \implies \text{colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rel-gpv-colossless-gpvD2*:

$\llbracket \text{rel-gpv } A C \text{ gpv gpv}'; \text{ colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}'; \text{ rel-}\mathcal{I} C (=) \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gen-lossless-gpv-parametric'*:

$((=) \implies \text{rel-}\mathcal{I} C R \implies \text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \implies (=))$

$\text{gen-lossless-gpv } \text{gen-lossless-gpv}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gen-lossless-gpv-parametric* [transfer-rule]:

$((=) \implies \text{rel-}\mathcal{I} C (=) \implies \text{rel-gpv } A C \implies (=))$

$\text{gen-lossless-gpv } \text{gen-lossless-gpv}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *gen-lossless-gpv-map-full* [simp]:

gen-lossless-gpv b I-full (map-gpv f g gpv) = gen-lossless-gpv b I-full gpv
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
(proof)

lemma *gen-lossless-gpv-map-id* [simp]:
gen-lossless-gpv b I (map-gpv f id gpv) = gen-lossless-gpv b I gpv
(proof)

lemma *results-gpv-try-gpv* [simp]:
results-gpv I (TRY gpv ELSE gpv') =
results-gpv I gpv ∪ (if colossless-gpv I gpv then {} else results-gpv I gpv')
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
(proof)

lemma *results'-gpv-try-gpv* [simp]:
results'-gpv (TRY gpv ELSE gpv') =
results'-gpv gpv ∪ (if colossless-gpv I-full gpv then {} else results'-gpv gpv')
(proof)

lemma *outs'-gpv-try-gpv* [simp]:
outs'-gpv (TRY gpv ELSE gpv') =
outs'-gpv gpv ∪ (if colossless-gpv I-full gpv then {} else outs'-gpv gpv')
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
(proof)

lemma *pred-gpv-try* [simp]:
pred-gpv P Q (try-gpv gpv gpv') = (pred-gpv P Q gpv ∧ (¬ colossless-gpv I-full gpv → pred-gpv P Q gpv'))
(proof)

lemma *lossless-WT-gpv-induct* [consumes 2, case-names lossless-gpv]:
assumes *lossless: lossless-gpv I gpv*
and *WT: I ⊢ g gpv √*
and *step: ∏p. [*
lossless-spmf p;
∏out c. IO out c ∈ set-spmf p ⇒ out ∈ outs-I I;
∏out c input. [IO out c ∈ set-spmf p; out ∈ outs-I I ⇒ input ∈ responses-I I out] ⇒ lossless-gpv I (c input);
∏out c input. [IO out c ∈ set-spmf p; out ∈ outs-I I ⇒ input ∈ responses-I I out] ⇒ I ⊢ g c input √;
∏out c input. [IO out c ∈ set-spmf p; out ∈ outs-I I ⇒ input ∈ responses-I I out] ⇒ P (c input)]
⇒ P (GPV p)
shows *P gpv*
(proof)

lemma *lossless-gpv-induct-strong* [consumes 1, case-names lossless-gpv]:
assumes *gpv: lossless-gpv I gpv*
and *step:*

```

 $\bigwedge p. \llbracket \text{lossless-spmf } p;$ 
 $\quad \bigwedge gpv. gpv \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} (\text{GPV } p) \implies \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} gpv;$ 
 $\quad \bigwedge gpv. gpv \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} (\text{GPV } p) \implies P gpv \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P (\text{GPV } p)$ 
shows  $P gpv$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lossless-sub-gpvsI:
assumes spmf: lossless-spmf (the-gpv gpv)
and sub:  $\bigwedge gpv'. gpv' \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} gpv \implies \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} gpv'$ 
shows lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I} gpv$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lossless-sub-gpvsD:
assumes lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I} gpv gpv' \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} gpv$ 
shows lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I} gpv'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lossless-WT-gpv-induct-strong [consumes 2, case-names lossless-gpv]:
assumes lossless: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I} gpv$ 
and WT:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \vee$ 
and step:  $\bigwedge p. \llbracket \text{lossless-spmf } p;$ 
 $\quad \bigwedge out c. IO out c \in \text{set-spmf } p \implies out \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I};$ 
 $\quad \bigwedge gpv. gpv \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} (\text{GPV } p) \implies \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} gpv;$ 
 $\quad \bigwedge gpv. gpv \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} (\text{GPV } p) \implies \mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \vee;$ 
 $\quad \bigwedge gpv. gpv \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} (\text{GPV } p) \implies P gpv \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P (\text{GPV } p)$ 
shows  $P gpv$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma try-gpv-gen-lossless: — TODO: generalise to arbitrary typings ?
gen-lossless-gpv b  $\mathcal{I}$ -full gpv  $\implies (\text{TRY } gpv \text{ ELSE } gpv') = gpv$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemmas try-gpv-lossless [simp] = try-gpv-gen-lossless[where b=False]
and try-gpv-colossless [simp] = try-gpv-gen-lossless[where b=True]

```

```

lemma try-gpv-bind-gen-lossless: — TODO: generalise to arbitrary typings?
gen-lossless-gpv b  $\mathcal{I}$ -full gpv  $\implies \text{TRY bind-gpv } gpv f \text{ ELSE } gpv' = \text{bind-gpv } gpv$ 
 $(\lambda x. \text{TRY } f x \text{ ELSE } gpv')$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemmas try-gpv-bind-lossless = try-gpv-bind-gen-lossless[where b=False]
and try-gpv-bind-colossless = try-gpv-bind-gen-lossless[where b=True]

```

```

lemma try-gpv-cong:
 $\llbracket gpv = gpv''; \neg \text{colossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}\text{-full } gpv'' \implies gpv' = gpv''' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{try-gpv } gpv gpv' = \text{try-gpv } gpv'' gpv'''$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

context fixes  $B :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c$  set and  $x :: 'a$  begin

primcorec  $mk\text{-}lossless\text{-}gpv :: ('a, 'b, 'c) gpv \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) gpv$  where
   $\text{the-}gpv (mk\text{-}lossless\text{-}gpv gpv) =$ 
     $\text{map-}spmf (\lambda \text{generat. case generat of Pure } x \Rightarrow \text{Pure } x$ 
     $| IO \text{ out } c \Rightarrow IO \text{ out } (\lambda \text{input. if input} \in B \text{ out then } mk\text{-}lossless\text{-}gpv (c input)$ 
     $\text{else Done } x))$ 
     $(\text{the-}gpv gpv)$ 

end

lemma  $WT\text{-}gpv\text{-}mk\text{-}lossless\text{-}gpv$ :
  assumes  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$ 
  and  $\text{outs: outs-} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' = \text{outs-} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{I}' \vdash g mk\text{-}lossless\text{-}gpv (\text{responses-} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}) x gpv \checkmark$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

4.15 Sequencing with failure handling included

definition $catch\text{-}gpv :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv \Rightarrow ('a \text{ option}, 'out, 'in) gpv$ **where** $catch\text{-}gpv gpv = TRY \text{ map-}gpv \text{ Some id gpv ELSE Done None}$

lemma $catch\text{-}gpv\text{-}Done$ [simp]: $catch\text{-}gpv (\text{Done } x) = \text{Done} (\text{Some } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $catch\text{-}gpv\text{-}Fail$ [simp]: $catch\text{-}gpv \text{ Fail} = \text{Done None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $catch\text{-}gpv\text{-}Pause$ [simp]: $catch\text{-}gpv (\text{Pause out rpv}) = \text{Pause out} (\lambda \text{input.}$
 $catch\text{-}gpv (\text{rvp input}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $catch\text{-}gpv\text{-}lift\text{-}spmf$ [simp]: $catch\text{-}gpv (\text{lift-}spmf p) = \text{lift-}spmf (\text{spmf-of-}pmf p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $catch\text{-}gpv\text{-}assert$ [simp]: $catch\text{-}gpv (\text{assert-}gpv b) = \text{Done} (\text{assert-option } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $catch\text{-}gpv\text{-sel}$ [simp]:
 $\text{the-}gpv (catch\text{-}gpv gpv) =$
 $TRY \text{ map-}spmf (\text{map-generat Some id } (\lambda \text{rvp input. } catch\text{-}gpv (\text{rvp input})))$
 $(\text{the-}gpv gpv)$
 $\text{ELSE return-}spmf (\text{Pure None})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $catch\text{-}gpv\text{-}bind\text{-}gpv$: $catch\text{-}gpv (\text{bind-}gpv gpv f) = \text{bind-}gpv (catch\text{-}gpv gpv)$
 $(\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{Done None} | \text{Some } x' \Rightarrow catch\text{-}gpv (f x'))$

```

⟨proof⟩

context includes lifting-syntax begin
lemma catch-gpv-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (rel-gpv A C ==> rel-gpv (rel-option A) C) catch-gpv catch-gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma catch-gpv-parametric':
  notes [transfer-rule] = try-gpv-parametric' map-gpv-parametric' Done-parametric'
  shows (rel-gpv'' A C R ==> rel-gpv'' (rel-option A) C R) catch-gpv catch-gpv
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma catch-gpv-map': catch-gpv (map-gpv' f g h gpv) = map-gpv' (map-option
f) g h (catch-gpv gpv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma catch-gpv-map: catch-gpv (map-gpv f g gpv) = map-gpv (map-option f) g
(catch-gpv gpv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma colossless-gpv-catch-gpv [simp]: colossless-gpv I-full (catch-gpv gpv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma colossless-gpv-catch-gpv-conv-map:
  colossless-gpv I-full gpv ==> catch-gpv gpv = map-gpv Some id gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma catch-gpv-catch-gpv [simp]: catch-gpv (catch-gpv gpv) = map-gpv Some id
(catch-gpv gpv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma case-map-resumption:
  case-resumption done pause (map-resumption f g r) =
  case-resumption (done o map-option f) (λout c. pause (g out)) (map-resumption
f g o c)) r
⟨proof⟩

lemma catch-gpv-lift-resumption [simp]: catch-gpv (lift-resumption r) = lift-resumption
(map-resumption Some id r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma results-gpv-catch-gpv:
  results-gpv I (catch-gpv gpv) = Some ` results-gpv I gpv ∪ (if colossless-gpv I
gpv then {} else {None})
⟨proof⟩

lemma Some-in-results-gpv-catch-gpv [simp]:
  Some x ∈ results-gpv I (catch-gpv gpv) ↔ x ∈ results-gpv I gpv

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *None-in-results-gpv-catch-gpv* [simp]:

$\text{None} \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} (\text{catch-gpv gpv}) \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{colossal-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results'-gpv-catch-gpv*:

$\text{results}'\text{-gpv} (\text{catch-gpv gpv}) = \text{Some} \cdot \text{results}'\text{-gpv gpv} \cup (\text{if colossal-gpv } \mathcal{I}\text{-full gpv then } \{\} \text{ else } \{\text{None}\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Some-in-results'-gpv-catch-gpv* [simp]:

$\text{Some } x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv} (\text{catch-gpv gpv}) \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv gpv}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *None-in-results'-gpv-catch-gpv* [simp]:

$\text{None} \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv} (\text{catch-gpv gpv}) \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{colossal-gpv } \mathcal{I}\text{-full gpv}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results'-gpv-catch-gpvE*:

assumes $x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv} (\text{catch-gpv gpv})$

obtains (*Some*) x'

where $x = \text{Some } x' \quad x' \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv gpv}$

$| \quad (\text{colossal}) \quad x = \text{None} \quad \neg \text{colossal-gpv } \mathcal{I}\text{-full gpv}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *outs'-gpv-catch-gpv* [simp]: $\text{outs}'\text{-gpv} (\text{catch-gpv gpv}) = \text{outs}'\text{-gpv gpv}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred-gpv-catch-gpv* [simp]: $\text{pred-gpv} (\text{pred-option } P) \ Q (\text{catch-gpv gpv}) =$

$\text{pred-gpv } P \ Q \text{ gpv}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *bind-gpv'* :: $('a, 'call, 'ret) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ option} \Rightarrow ('b, 'call, 'ret) \text{ gpv})$

where $\text{bind-gpv}' \text{ gpv} \equiv \text{bind-gpv} (\text{catch-gpv gpv})$

lemma *bind-gpv'-assoc* [simp]: $\text{bind-gpv}' (\text{bind-gpv}' \text{ gpv } f) \ g = \text{bind-gpv}' \text{ gpv} (\lambda x.$

$\text{bind-gpv}' (f x) \ g)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv'-bind-gpv*: $\text{bind-gpv}' (\text{bind-gpv gpv } f) \ g = \text{bind-gpv}' \text{ gpv} (\text{case-option}$

$(g \text{ None}) (\lambda y. \text{bind-gpv}' (f y) \ g))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bind-gpv'-cong*:

$\llbracket \text{gpv} = \text{gpv}'; \wedge x. x \in \text{Some} \cdot \text{results}'\text{-gpv gpv}' \vee (\neg \text{colossal-gpv } \mathcal{I}\text{-full gpv} \wedge x$

```
= None) ==> f x = f' x ]]
    ==> bind-gpv' gpv f = bind-gpv' gpv' f'
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bind-gpv'-cong2:
  [[ gpv = gpv';  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{results}'\text{-}gpv$  gpv' ==> f (Some x) = f' (Some x);  $\neg \text{colossal}\text{-}gpv$  I-full gpv ==> f None = f' None ]]
    ==> bind-gpv' gpv f = bind-gpv' gpv' f'
⟨proof⟩
```

4.16 Inlining

```
lemma gpv-coinduct-bind [consumes 1, case-names Eq-gpv]:
  fixes gpv gpv' :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv
  assumes *: R gpv gpv'
  and step:  $\bigwedge \text{gpv gpv'}. R \text{gpv gpv}'$ 
    ==> rel-spmf (rel-generat (=) (=) (rel-fun (=) ( $\lambda \text{gpv gpv'}. R \text{gpv gpv}' \vee \text{gpv} = \text{gpv}' \vee (\exists \text{gpv2 :: ('b, 'call, 'ret) gpv}. \exists \text{gpv2' :: ('c, 'call, 'ret) gpv}. \exists f f'. \text{gpv} = \text{bind-gpv gpv2 f} \wedge \text{gpv}' = \text{bind-gpv gpv2' f'} \wedge \text{rel-gpv} (\lambda x y. R (f x) (f' y)) (=) \text{gpv2 gpv2'})))$ )
  shows gpv = gpv'
⟨proof⟩
```

Inlining one gpv into another. This may throw out arbitrarily many interactions between the two gpvs if the inlined one does not call its callee. So we define it as the coiteration of a least-fixpoint search operator.

```
context
  fixes callee :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  'call  $\Rightarrow$  ('ret  $\times$  's, 'call', 'ret') gpv
  notes [[function-internals]]
begin

  partial-function (spmf) inline1
    :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  's
     $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  's + 'call'  $\times$  ('ret  $\times$  's, 'call', 'ret') rpv  $\times$  ('a, 'call, 'ret) rpv) spmf
  where
    inline1 gpv s =
      the-gpv gpv  $\gg$ 
      case-generat ( $\lambda x. \text{return-spmf} (\text{Inl} (x, s))$ )
      ( $\lambda \text{out rpv. the-gpv} (\text{callee s out}) \gg$ 
        case-generat ( $\lambda (x, y). \text{inline1} (\text{rvp } x) y$ )
        ( $\lambda \text{out rpv'. return-spmf} (\text{Inr} (\text{out}, \text{rvp}', \text{rvp}))$ )))
  lemma inline1-unfold:
    inline1 gpv s =
      the-gpv gpv  $\gg$ 
      case-generat ( $\lambda x. \text{return-spmf} (\text{Inl} (x, s))$ )
      ( $\lambda \text{out rpv. the-gpv} (\text{callee s out}) \gg$ 
```

```

case-generat ( $\lambda(x, y). \text{inline1 } (\text{rvp } x) y$ )
 $(\lambda \text{out rvp'}. \text{return-spmf } (\text{Inr } (\text{out}, \text{rvp}', \text{rvp})))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma inline1-fixp-induct [case-names adm bottom step]:
assumes ccpo.admissible (fun-lub lub-spmf) (fun-ord (ord-spmf (=))) ( $\lambda \text{inline1}'.$ 
 $P (\lambda \text{gpv } s. \text{inline1}' (\text{gpv}, s))$ )
and  $P (\lambda \text{- -}. \text{return-pmf None})$ 
and  $\bigwedge \text{inline1}' . P \text{ inline1}' \implies P (\lambda \text{gpv } s. \text{the-gpv gpv} \gg= \text{case-generat } (\lambda x.$ 
 $\text{return-spmf } (\text{Inl } (x, s))) (\lambda \text{out rpv. the-gpv (callee s out)} \gg= \text{case-generat } (\lambda(x,$ 
 $y). \text{inline1}' (\text{rvp } x) y) (\lambda \text{out rvp'}. \text{return-spmf } (\text{Inr } (\text{out}, \text{rvp}', \text{rvp})))))$ 
shows  $P \text{ inline1}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma inline1-fixp-induct-strong [case-names adm bottom step]:
assumes ccpo.admissible (fun-lub lub-spmf) (fun-ord (ord-spmf (=))) ( $\lambda \text{inline1}'.$ 
 $P (\lambda \text{gpv } s. \text{inline1}' (\text{gpv}, s))$ )
and  $P (\lambda \text{- -}. \text{return-pmf None})$ 
and  $\bigwedge \text{inline1}' . [\bigwedge \text{gpv } s. \text{ord-spmf } (=) (\text{inline1}' \text{ gpv } s) (\text{inline1 } \text{gpv } s); P \text{ inline1}'$ 
 $]$ 
 $\implies P (\lambda \text{gpv } s. \text{the-gpv gpv} \gg= \text{case-generat } (\lambda x. \text{return-spmf } (\text{Inl } (x, s))) (\lambda \text{out rpv. the-gpv (callee s out)} \gg= \text{case-generat } (\lambda(x, y). \text{inline1}' (\text{rvp } x) y) (\lambda \text{out rvp'}. \text{return-spmf } (\text{Inr } (\text{out}, \text{rvp}', \text{rvp})))))$ 
shows  $P \text{ inline1}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma inline1-fixp-induct-strong2 [case-names adm bottom step]:
assumes ccpo.admissible (fun-lub lub-spmf) (fun-ord (ord-spmf (=))) ( $\lambda \text{inline1}'.$ 
 $P (\lambda \text{gpv } s. \text{inline1}' (\text{gpv}, s))$ )
and  $P (\lambda \text{- -}. \text{return-pmf None})$ 
and  $\bigwedge \text{inline1}' .$ 
 $[\bigwedge \text{gpv } s. \text{ord-spmf } (=) (\text{inline1}' \text{ gpv } s) (\text{inline1 } \text{gpv } s);$ 
 $\bigwedge \text{gpv } s. \text{ord-spmf } (=) (\text{inline1}' \text{ gpv } s) (\text{the-gpv gpv} \gg= \text{case-generat } (\lambda x.$ 
 $\text{return-spmf } (\text{Inl } (x, s))) (\lambda \text{out rpv. the-gpv (callee s out)} \gg= \text{case-generat } (\lambda(x,$ 
 $y). \text{inline1}' (\text{rvp } x) y) (\lambda \text{out rvp'}. \text{return-spmf } (\text{Inr } (\text{out}, \text{rvp}', \text{rvp}))));$ 
 $P \text{ inline1}' ]$ 
 $\implies P (\lambda \text{gpv } s. \text{the-gpv gpv} \gg= \text{case-generat } (\lambda x. \text{return-spmf } (\text{Inl } (x, s))) (\lambda \text{out rpv. the-gpv (callee s out)} \gg= \text{case-generat } (\lambda(x, y). \text{inline1}' (\text{rvp } x) y) (\lambda \text{out rvp'}. \text{return-spmf } (\text{Inr } (\text{out}, \text{rvp}', \text{rvp})))))$ 
shows  $P \text{ inline1}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Iterate *local.inline1* over all interactions. We'd like to use ($\gg=$) before the recursive call, but primcorec does not support this. So we emulate ($\gg=$) by effectively defining two mutually recursive functions (sum type in the argument) where the second is exactly ($\gg=$) specialised to call *inline* in the bind.

```

primcorec inline-aux
 $:: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv \times 's + ('ret \Rightarrow ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv) \times ('ret \times 's, 'call', 'ret')$ 

```

```

gpv
  ⇒ ('a × 's, 'call', 'ret') gpv
where
  ⋀state. the-gpv (inline-aux state) =
  (case state of Inl (c, s) ⇒ map-spmf (λresult.
    case result of Inl (x, s) ⇒ Pure (x, s)
    | Inr (out, oracle, rpv) ⇒ IO out (λinput. inline-aux (Inr (rpv, oracle input))))
  (inline1 c s)
  | Inr (rpv, c) ⇒
    map-spmf (λresult.
      case result of Inl (Inl (x, s)) ⇒ Pure (x, s)
      | Inl (Inr (out, oracle, rpv)) ⇒ IO out (λinput. inline-aux (Inr (rpv, oracle
      input)))
      | Inr (out, c) ⇒ IO out (λinput. inline-aux (Inr (rpv, c input))))
    (bind-spmf (the-gpv c) (λgenerat. case generat of Pure (x, s') ⇒ (map-spmf Inl
    (inline1 (rpv x) s'))
    | IO out c ⇒ return-spmf (Inr (out, c)))
  )))

```

declare inline-aux.simps[simp del]

```

definition inline :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv ⇒ 's ⇒ ('a × 's, 'call', 'ret') gpv
where inline c s = inline-aux (Inl (c, s))

lemma inline-aux-Inr:
  inline-aux (Inr (rpv, oracl)) = bind-gpv oracl (λ(x, s). inline (rpv x) s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inline-sel:
  the-gpv (inline c s) =
  map-spmf (λresult. case result of Inl xs ⇒ Pure xs
  | Inr (out, oracle, rpv) ⇒ IO out (λinput. bind-gpv (oracle
  input) (λ(x, s'). inline (rpv x) s')) (inline1 c s))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inline1-Fail [simp]: inline1 Fail s = return-pmf None
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inline-Fail [simp]: inline Fail s = Fail
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inline1-Done [simp]: inline1 (Done x) s = return-spmf (Inl (x, s))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inline-Done [simp]: inline (Done x) s = Done (x, s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inline1-lift-spmf [simp]: inline1 (lift-spmf p) s = map-spmf (λx. Inl (x,
s)) p

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inline-lift-spmf* [*simp*]: *inline* (*lift-spmf p*) *s* = *lift-spmf* (*map-spmf* ($\lambda x.$ *(x, s)*) *p*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inline1-Pause*:
 inline1 (*Pause out c*) *s* =
 the-gpv (*callee s out*) \geqq ($\lambda res. case res of Inl (x, s') \Rightarrow inline1 (c x) s' | IO out' c' \Rightarrow return-spmf (Inr (out', c', c))$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inline-Pause* [*simp*]:
 inline (*Pause out c*) *s* = *callee s out* \geqq ($\lambda (x, s'). inline (c x) s'$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inline1-bind-gpv*:
 fixes *gpv f s*
 defines [*simp*]: *inline11* \equiv *inline1* **and** [*simp*]: *inline12* \equiv *inline1* **and** [*simp*]:
 inline13 \equiv *inline1*
 shows *inline11* (*bind-gpv gpv f*) *s* = *bind-spmf* (*inline12 gpv s*)
 ($\lambda res. case res of Inl (x, s') \Rightarrow inline13 (f x) s' | Inr (out, rpv', rpv) \Rightarrow return-spmf (Inr (out, rpv', bind-rpv rpv f))$)
 (**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inline-bind-gpv* [*simp*]:
 inline (*bind-gpv gpv f*) *s* = *bind-gpv* (*inline gpv s*) ($\lambda (x, s'). inline (f x) s'$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *set-inline1-lift-spmf1*: *set-spmf* (*inline1* ($\lambda s x. lift-spmf (p s x)$) *gpv s*) \subseteq
 range Inl
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-set-inline1-lift-spmf1*: *y* \in *set-spmf* (*inline1* ($\lambda s x. lift-spmf (p s x)$)
 gpv s) $\implies \exists r s'. y = Inl (r, s')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inline-lift-spmf1*:
 fixes *p* **defines** *callee* $\equiv \lambda s c. lift-spmf (p s c)$
 shows *inline callee gpv s* = *lift-spmf* (*map-spmf projl* (*inline1 callee gpv s*))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context includes *lifting-syntax* **begin**
lemma *inline1-parametric'*:
 $((S ==> C ==> rel-gpv'' (rel-prod R S) C' R') ==> rel-gpv'' A C R ==> S$

```

====> rel-spmf (rel-sum (rel-prod A S) (rel-prod C' (rel-prod (R' ===>
rel-gpv'' (rel-prod R S) C' R') (R ===> rel-gpv'' A C R)))))
inline1 inline1
(is (- ===> ?R) - -)
⟨proof⟩

lemma inline1-parametric [transfer-rule]:
((S ===> C ===> rel-gpv (rel-prod (=) S) C') ===> rel-gpv A C ===> S
===> rel-spmf (rel-sum (rel-prod A S) (rel-prod C' (rel-prod (rel-rpv (rel-prod
(=) S) C') (rel-rpv A C))))) )
inline1 inline1
⟨proof⟩

lemma inline-parametric':
notes [transfer-rule] = inline1-parametric' the-gpv-parametric' corec-gpv-parametric'
shows ((S ===> C ===> rel-gpv'' (rel-prod R S) C' R') ===> rel-gpv'' A
C R ===> S ===> rel-gpv'' (rel-prod A S) C' R')
inline inline
⟨proof⟩

lemma inline-parametric [transfer-rule]:
((S ===> C ===> rel-gpv (rel-prod (=) S) C') ===> rel-gpv A C ===> S
===> rel-gpv (rel-prod A S) C')
inline inline
⟨proof⟩
end

Associativity rule for inline

context
fixes callee1 :: 's1 => 'c1 => ('r1 × 's1, 'c, 'r) gpv
and callee2 :: 's2 => 'c2 => ('r2 × 's2, 'c1, 'r1) gpv
begin

partial-function (spmf) inline2 :: ('a, 'c2, 'r2) gpv => 's2 => 's1
⇒ ('a × ('s2 × 's1) + 'c × ('r1 × 's1, 'c, 'r) rpv × ('r2 × 's2, 'c1, 'r1) rpv ×
('a, 'c2, 'r2) rpv) spmf
where
  inline2 gpv s2 s1 =
  bind-spmf (the-gpv gpv)
  (case-generat (λx. return-spmf (Inl (x, s2, s1)))
    (λout rpv. bind-spmf (inline1 callee1 (callee2 s2 out) s1)
      (case-sum (λ((r2, s2), s1). inline2 (rpv r2) s2 s1)
        (λ(x, rpv'', rpv'). return-spmf (Inr (x, rpv'', rpv', rpv))))))

```

lemma inline2-fixp-induct [case-names adm bottom step]:
assumes ccpo.admissible (fun-lub lub-spmf) (fun-ord (ord-spmf (=))) (λinline2.
 $P(\lambda gpv s2 s1. \text{inline2}((gpv, s2), s1))$)
and $P(\lambda \dots. \text{return-spmf None})$
and $\bigwedge \text{inline2}' . P \text{inline2}' \Rightarrow$

```

P (λgpv s2 s1. bind-spmf (the-gpv gpv) (λgenerat. case generat of
  Pure x ⇒ return-spmf (Inl (x, s2, s1))
  | IO out rpv ⇒ bind-spmf (inline1 callee1 (callee2 s2 out) s1) (λlr. case lr
of
  Inl ((r2, s2), c) ⇒ inline2' (rpv r2) s2 c
  | Inr (x, rpv'', rpv') ⇒ return-spmf (Inr (x, rpv'', rpv', rpv)))))
shows P inline2
⟨proof⟩

lemma inline1-inline-conv-inline2:
fixes gpv' :: ('r2 × 's2, 'c1, 'r1) gpv
shows inline1 callee1 (inline callee2 gpv s2) s1 =
map-spmf (map-sum (λ(x, (s2, s1)). ((x, s2), s1))
  (λ(x, rpv'', rpv', rpv). (x, rpv'', λr1. rpv' r1 ≈ (λ(r2, s2). inline callee2 (rpv
r2) s2))))
  (inline2 gpv s2 s1)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma inline1-inline-conv-inline2':
inline1 (λ(s2, s1) c2. map-gpv (λ((r, s2), s1). (r, s2, s1)) id (inline callee1
(callee2 s2 c2) s1)) gpv (s2, s1) =
map-spmf (map-sum id (λ(x, rpv'', rpv', rpv). (x, λr. bind-gpv (rpv'' r)
  (λ(r1, s1). map-gpv (λ((r2, s2), s1). (r2, s2, s1)) id (inline callee1 (rpv'
r1) s1)), rpv)))
  (inline2 gpv s2 s1)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma inline-assoc:
inline callee1 (inline callee2 gpv s2) s1 =
map-gpv (λ(r, s2, s1). ((r, s2), s1)) id (inline (λ(s2, s1) c2. map-gpv (λ((r,
s2), s1). (r, s2, s1)) id (inline callee1 (callee2 s2 c2) s1)) gpv (s2, s1))
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma set-inline2-lift-spmf1: set-spmf (inline2 (λs x. lift-spmf (p s x)) callee gpv
s s') ⊆ range Inl
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-set-inline2-lift-spmf1: y ∈ set-spmf (inline2 (λs x. lift-spmf (p s x)) callee gpv
s s') ⇒ ∃r s s'. y = Inl (r, s, s')
⟨proof⟩

context
fixes consider' :: 'call ⇒ bool
and consider :: 'call' ⇒ bool
and callee :: 's ⇒ 'call ⇒ ('ret × 's, 'call', 'ret') gpv

```

```

notes [[function-internals]]
begin

private partial-function (spmf) inline1'
:: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv => 's
=> ('a × 's + 'call × 'call' × ('ret × 's, 'call', 'ret') rpv × ('a, 'call, 'ret) rpv)
spmf
where
  inline1' gpv s =
    the-gpv gpv ≈≈
    case-generat (λx. return-spmf (Inl (x, s)))
    (λout rpv. the-gpv (callee s out)) ≈≈
    case-generat (λ(x, y). inline1' (rpv x) y)
    (λout' rpv'. return-spmf (Inr (out, out', rpv', rpv)))))

private lemma inline1'-fixp-induct [case-names adm bottom step]:
  assumes ccpo.admissible (fun-lub lub-spmf) (fun-ord (ord-spmf (=))) (λinline1'.
  P (λgpv s. inline1' (gpv, s)))
  and P (λ- -. return-pmf None)
  and ∫inline1'. P inline1' ==> P (λgpv s. the-gpv gpv ≈≈ case-generat (λx.
  return-spmf (Inl (x, s))) (λout rpv. the-gpv (callee s out)) ≈≈ case-generat (λ(x,
  y). inline1' (rpv x) y) (λout' rpv'. return-spmf (Inr (out, out', rpv', rpv)))))

  shows P inline1'
⟨proof⟩ lemma inline1-conv-inline1': inline1 callee gpv s = map-spmf (map-sum
id snd) (inline1' gpv s)
⟨proof⟩

context
fixes q :: enat
assumes q: ∫s x. consider' x ==> interaction-bound consider (callee s x) ≤ q
and ignore: ∫s x. ¬ consider' x ==> interaction-bound consider (callee s x) = 0
begin

private lemma interaction-bound-inline1'-aux:
  interaction-bound consider' gpv ≤ p
  ==> set-spmf (inline1' gpv s) ⊆ {Inr (out', out, c', rpv) | out' out c' rpv.
  if consider' out'
    then (∀ input. (if consider out then eSuc (interaction-bound consider (c'
    input)) else interaction-bound consider (c' input)) ≤ q) ∧
    (∀ x. eSuc (interaction-bound consider' (rpv x)) ≤ p)
    else ¬ consider out ∧ (∀ input. interaction-bound consider (c' input) = 0) ∧
    (∀ x. interaction-bound consider' (rpv x) ≤ p)}
    ∪ range Inl
⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bound-inline1':
  [ ] Inr (out', out, c', rpv) ∈ set-spmf (inline1' gpv s); interaction-bound consider'
  gpv ≤ p [ ]
  ==> if consider' out' then

```

```

(if consider out then eSuc (interaction-bound consider (c' input)) else
interaction-bound consider (c' input)) ≤ q ∧
eSuc (interaction-bound consider' (rpv x)) ≤ p
else ¬ consider out ∧ interaction-bound consider (c' input) = 0 ∧ interaction-bound consider' (rpv x) ≤ p
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma interaction-bounded-by-inline1:
[ $\text{Inr}(\text{out}', \text{out}, c', \text{rpv}) \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{inline1}' \text{gpv } s);$ 
interaction-bounded-by consider' gpv p;
 $\wedge s. \text{consider}' x \implies \text{interaction-bounded-by consider (callee } s x) q;$ 
 $\wedge s. \neg \text{consider}' x \implies \text{interaction-bounded-by consider (callee } s x) 0$ ]
 $\implies$  if consider' out' then
(if consider out then  $q \neq 0 \wedge \text{interaction-bounded-by consider (c' input)} (q - 1)$ 
else interaction-bounded-by consider (c' input) q) ∧
 $p \neq 0 \wedge \text{interaction-bounded-by consider' (rpv x)} (p - 1)$ 
else ¬ consider out ∧ interaction-bounded-by consider (c' input) 0 ∧ interaction-bounded-by consider' (rpv x) p
⟨proof⟩

declare enat-0-iff [simp]

lemma interaction-bounded-by-inline [interaction-bound]:
assumes p: interaction-bounded-by consider' gpv p
and q:  $\wedge s. \text{consider}' x \implies \text{interaction-bounded-by consider (callee } s x) q$ 
and ignore:  $\wedge s. \neg \text{consider}' x \implies \text{interaction-bounded-by consider (callee } s x) 0$ 
shows interaction-bounded-by consider (inline callee gpv s) ( $p * q$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma interaction-bounded-by-inline-invariant:
includes lifting-syntax
fixes consider' :: 'call  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and consider :: 'call'  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and callee :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  'call  $\Rightarrow$  ('ret  $\times$  's, 'call', 'ret') gpv
and gpv :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv
assumes p: interaction-bounded-by consider' gpv p
and q:  $\wedge s. [\text{I } s; \text{consider}' x] \implies \text{interaction-bounded-by consider (callee } s x) q$ 
and ignore:  $\wedge s. [\text{I } s; \neg \text{consider}' x] \implies \text{interaction-bounded-by consider (callee } s x) 0$ 
and I: I s
and invariant:  $\wedge s x y s'. [(y, s') \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv}(\text{callee } s x); \text{I } s] \implies \text{I } s'$ 
shows interaction-bounded-by consider (inline callee gpv s) ( $p * q$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

context
  fixes  $\mathcal{I} :: ('call, 'ret) \mathcal{I}$ 
  and  $\mathcal{I}' :: ('call', 'ret') \mathcal{I}$ 
  and  $callee :: 's \Rightarrow 'call \Rightarrow ('ret \times 's, 'call', 'ret') gpv$ 
  assumes  $results: \bigwedge s. x \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies results\text{-}gpv \mathcal{I}' (callee s x) \subseteq responses\text{-}\mathcal{I}$ 
 $\mathcal{I} x \times UNIV$ 
begin

lemma inline1-in-sub-gpvs-callee:
  assumes  $Inr (out, callee', rpv') \in set\text{-}spmf (inline1 callee gpv s)$ 
  and  $WT: \mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$ 
  shows  $\exists call \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}. \exists s. \forall x \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' out. callee' x \in sub\text{-}gpvs \mathcal{I}' (callee s call)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inline1-in-sub-gpvs:
  assumes  $Inr (out, callee', rpv') \in set\text{-}spmf (inline1 callee gpv s)$ 
  and  $(x, s') \in results\text{-}gpv \mathcal{I}' (callee' input)$ 
  and  $input \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' out$ 
  and  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$ 
  shows  $rpv' x \in sub\text{-}gpvs \mathcal{I} gpv$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context
  assumes  $WT: \bigwedge x s. x \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies \mathcal{I}' \vdash g callee s x \checkmark$ 
begin

lemma WT-gpv-inline1:
  assumes  $Inr (out, rpv, rpv') \in set\text{-}spmf (inline1 callee gpv s)$ 
  and  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$ 
  shows  $out \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' (\text{is } ?thesis1)$ 
  and  $input \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' out \implies \mathcal{I}' \vdash g rpv input \checkmark (\text{is PROP } ?thesis2)$ 
  and  $\llbracket input \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' out; (x, s') \in results\text{-}gpv \mathcal{I}' (rpv input) \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{I} \vdash g rpv' x \checkmark (\text{is PROP } ?thesis3)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma WT-gpv-inline:
  assumes  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{I}' \vdash g inline callee gpv s \checkmark$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context
  fixes  $gpv :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv$ 
  assumes  $gpv: lossless\text{-}gpv \mathcal{I} gpv \mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$ 
begin

```

```

lemma lossless-spmf-inline1:
  assumes lossless:  $\bigwedge s. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{lossless-spmf}(\text{the-gpv}(\text{callee } s \ x))$ 
  shows lossless-spmf (inline1 callee gpv s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-gpv-inline1:
  assumes *: Inr (out, rpv, rpv') ∈ set-spmf (inline1 callee gpv s)
  and **: input ∈ responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}'$  out
  and lossless:  $\bigwedge s. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}'(\text{callee } s \ x)$ 
  shows lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'(rpv \text{ input})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-results-inline1:
  assumes Inr (out, rpv, rpv') ∈ set-spmf (inline1 callee gpv s)
  and  $(x, s') \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}'(rpv \text{ input})$ 
  and input ∈ responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}'$  out
  shows lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}(rpv' \ x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemmas lossless-inline1[rotated 2] = lossless-spmf-inline1 lossless-gpv-inline1 lossless-results-inline1

lemma lossless-inline[rotated]:
  fixes gpv :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv
  assumes gpv: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$ 
  and lossless:  $\bigwedge s. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}'(\text{callee } s \ x)$ 
  shows lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'(\text{inline callee gpv } s)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

definition id-oracle :: 's ⇒ 'call ⇒ ('ret × 's, 'call, 'ret) gpv
where id-oracle s x = Pause x (λx. Done (x, s))

lemma inline1-id-oracle:
  inline1 id-oracle gpv s =
    map-spmf (λgenerat. case generat of Pure x ⇒ Inl (x, s) | IO out c ⇒ Inr (out,
      λx. Done (x, s), c)) (the-gpv gpv)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inline-id-oracle [simp]: inline id-oracle gpv s = map-gpv (λx. (x, s)) id gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

locale raw-converter-invariant =
  fixes  $\mathcal{I}$  :: ('call, 'ret)  $\mathcal{I}$ 
  and  $\mathcal{I}'$  :: ('call', 'ret')  $\mathcal{I}$ 
  and callee :: 's ⇒ 'call ⇒ ('ret × 's, 'call', 'ret') gpv

```

```

and  $I :: 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
assumes  $\text{results-callee}: \bigwedge s. [\![x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}; I s]\!] \implies \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{callee } s \ x)$ 
 $\subseteq \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} x \times \{s. I s\}$ 
and  $\text{WT-callee}: \bigwedge x s. [\![x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}; I s]\!] \implies \mathcal{I}' \vdash g \text{ callee } s \ x \ \checkmark$ 
begin

context begin
private lemma aux:
   $\text{set-spmf } (\text{inline1 callee gpv } s) \subseteq \{\text{Inr } (\text{out}, \text{callee}', \text{rpv}') \mid \text{out callee}' \text{ rpv}'.$ 
   $\exists \text{call} \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}. \exists s. I s \wedge (\forall x \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \text{ out. callee}' x \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I}'$ 
   $(\text{callee } s \text{ call}))\} \cup$ 
   $\{\text{Inl } (x, s') \mid x s'. x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \wedge I s'\}$ 
  (is  $\text{?concl } (\text{inline1 callee}) \text{ gpv } s$  is  $- \subseteq \text{?rhs1} \cup \text{?rhs2 gpv})$ 
  if  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee I s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inline1-in-sub-gpvs-callee:
  assumes  $\text{Inr } (\text{out}, \text{callee}', \text{rpv}') \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{inline1 callee gpv } s)$ 
  and  $\text{WT: } \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$ 
  and  $s: I s$ 
  shows  $\exists \text{call} \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}. \exists s. I s \wedge (\forall x \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \text{ out. callee}' x \in \text{sub-gpvs }$ 
   $\mathcal{I}' (\text{callee } s \text{ call}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inline1-Inl-results-gpv:
  assumes  $\text{Inl } (x, s') \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{inline1 callee gpv } s)$ 
  and  $\text{WT: } \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$ 
  and  $s: I s$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \wedge I s'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

lemma inline1-in-sub-gpvs:
  assumes  $\text{Inr } (\text{out}, \text{callee}', \text{rpv}') \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{inline1 callee gpv } s)$ 
  and  $(x, s') \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{callee}' \text{ input})$ 
  and  $\text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \text{ out}$ 
  and  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$ 
  and  $I s$ 
  shows  $\text{rpv}' x \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \wedge I s'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma WT-gpv-inline1:
  assumes  $\text{Inr } (\text{out}, \text{rpv}, \text{rpv}') \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{inline1 callee gpv } s)$ 
  and  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$ 
  and  $I s$ 
  shows  $\text{out} \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \text{ (is ?thesis1)}$ 
  and  $\text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \text{ out} \implies \mathcal{I}' \vdash g \text{ rpv input} \vee \text{(is PROP ?thesis2)}$ 
  and  $[\![ \text{input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \text{ out}; (x, s') \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{rpv input}) ]!] \implies \mathcal{I}$ 
   $\vdash g \text{ rpv}' x \vee \wedge I s' \text{ (is PROP ?thesis3)}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-inline-invar*:
 assumes $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark$
 and $I s$
 shows $\mathcal{I}' \vdash g \text{ inline callee gpv } s \checkmark$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *WT-gpv-inline'*:
 assumes $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{callee } s x) \subseteq \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} x \times \text{UNIV}$
 and $\bigwedge x. s. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}' \vdash g \text{ callee } s x \checkmark$
 and $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark$
 shows $\mathcal{I}' \vdash g \text{ inline callee gpv } s \checkmark$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results-gpv-sub-gpvs*: $gpv' \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}' \subseteq \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-results-gpv-sub-gpvs*: $\llbracket x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}'; gpv' \in \text{sub-gpvs } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \rrbracket \Rightarrow x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *raw-converter-invariant* **begin**

lemma *results-gpv-inline-aux*:
 assumes $(x, s') \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{inline-aux callee } y)$
 shows $\llbracket y = \text{Inl } (gpv, s); \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark; I s \rrbracket \Rightarrow x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \wedge I s'$
 and $\llbracket y = \text{Inr } (rpv, \text{callee}'); \forall (z, s') \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ callee}'. \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ rpv } z \checkmark \wedge I s' \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow \exists (z, s'') \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ callee}'. x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} (rpv z) \wedge I s'' \wedge I s'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results-gpv-inline*:
 $\llbracket (x, s') \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{inline callee gpv } s); \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark; I s \rrbracket \Rightarrow x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \wedge I s'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *inline-map-gpv*:
 inline callee (map-gpv f g gpv) s = map-gpv (apfst f) id (inline (λs x. callee s (g x)) gpv s)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.17 Running GPVs

```

type-synonym ('call, 'ret, 's) callee = 's ⇒ 'call ⇒ ('ret × 's) spmf

context fixes callee :: ('call, 'ret, 's) callee notes [[function-internals]] begin

partial-function (spmf) exec-gpv :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv ⇒ 's ⇒ ('a × 's) spmf
where
  exec-gpv c s =
    the-gpv c ≈≈
      case-generat (λx. return-spmf (x, s))
      (λout c. callee s out ≈≈ (λ(x, y). exec-gpv (c x) y))

abbreviation run-gpv :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) gpv ⇒ 's ⇒ 'a spmf
where run-gpv gpv s ≡ map-spmf fst (exec-gpv gpv s)

lemma exec-gpv-fixp-induct [case-names adm bottom step]:
  assumes ccpo.admissible (fun-lub lub-spmf) (fun-ord (ord-spmf (=))) (λf. P (λc
  s. f (c, s)))
  and P (λ- -. return-pmf None)
  and ⋀exec-gpv. P exec-gpv ⇒
    P (λc s. the-gpv c ≈≈ case-generat (λx. return-spmf (x, s)) (λout c. callee s
    out ≈≈ (λ(x, y). exec-gpv (c x) y)))
  shows P exec-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-fixp-induct-strong [case-names adm bottom step]:
  assumes ccpo.admissible (fun-lub lub-spmf) (fun-ord (ord-spmf (=))) (λf. P (λc
  s. f (c, s)))
  and P (λ- -. return-pmf None)
  and ⋀exec-gpv'. ⌒ ⋀c s. ord-spmf (=) (exec-gpv' c s) (exec-gpv c s); P exec-gpv'
  ⌒ ⇒ P (λc s. the-gpv c ≈≈ case-generat (λx. return-spmf (x, s)) (λout c. callee
  s out ≈≈ (λ(x, y). exec-gpv' (c x) y)))
  shows P exec-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-fixp-induct-strong2 [case-names adm bottom step]:
  assumes ccpo.admissible (fun-lub lub-spmf) (fun-ord (ord-spmf (=))) (λf. P (λc
  s. f (c, s)))
  and P (λ- -. return-pmf None)
  and ⋀exec-gpv'.
    ⌒ ⋀c s. ord-spmf (=) (exec-gpv' c s) (exec-gpv c s);
    ⋀c s. ord-spmf (=) (exec-gpv' c s) (the-gpv c ≈≈ case-generat (λx. return-spmf
    (x, s)) (λout c. callee s out ≈≈ (λ(x, y). exec-gpv' (c x) y)));
    P exec-gpv'
  ⌒ ⇒ P (λc s. the-gpv c ≈≈ case-generat (λx. return-spmf (x, s)) (λout c. callee
  s out ≈≈ (λ(x, y). exec-gpv' (c x) y)))
  shows P exec-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

lemma exec-gpv-conv-inline1:
  exec-gpv callee gpv s = map-spmf projl (inline1 ( $\lambda s\ c.$  lift-spmf (callee s c) :: (-, unit, unit) gpv) gpv s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-simps:
  exec-gpv callee gpv s =
    the-gpv gpv ≈≈
      case-generat ( $\lambda x.$  return-spmf (x, s))
      ( $\lambda out\ rpv.$  callee s out ≈≈ ( $\lambda (x, y).$  exec-gpv callee (rpv x) y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-lift-spmf [simp]:
  exec-gpv callee (lift-spmf p) s = bind-spmf p ( $\lambda x.$  return-spmf (x, s))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-Done [simp]: exec-gpv callee (Done x) s = return-spmf (x, s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-Fail [simp]: exec-gpv callee Fail s = return-pmf None
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma if-distrib-exec-gpv [if-distribs]:
  exec-gpv callee (if b then x else y) s = (if b then exec-gpv callee x s else exec-gpv
  callee y s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas exec-gpv-fixp-parallel-induct [case-names adm bottom step] =
  parallel-fixp-induct-2-2[OF partial-function-definitions-spmf partial-function-definitions-spmf
  exec-gpv.mono exec-gpv.mono exec-gpv-def exec-gpv-def, unfolded lub-spmf-empty]

context includes lifting-syntax begin

lemma exec-gpv-parametric':
  ((S ==> CALL ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod R S)) ==> rel-gpv'' A CALL R
  ==> S ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod A S))
  exec-gpv exec-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  ((S ==> CALL ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod ((=) :: 'ret  $\Rightarrow$  -) S)) ==> rel-gpv
  A CALL ==> S ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod A S))
  exec-gpv exec-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

lemma exec-gpv-bind: exec-gpv callee (c ≈ f) s = exec-gpv callee c s ≈ (λ(x,
s') ⇒ exec-gpv callee (f x) s')
⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-map-gpv-id:
exec-gpv oracle (map-gpv f id gpv) σ = map-spmf (apfst f) (exec-gpv oracle gpv
σ)
⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-Pause [simp]:
exec-gpv callee (Pause out f) s = callee s out ≈ (λ(x, s'). exec-gpv callee (f x)
s')
⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-bind-lift-spmf:
exec-gpv callee (bind-gpv (lift-spmf p) f) s = bind-spmf p (λx. exec-gpv callee (f
x) s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-bind-option [simp]:
exec-gpv oracle (monad.bind-option Fail x f) s = monad.bind-option (return-pmf
None) x (λa. exec-gpv oracle (f a) s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-spmf-exec-gpv:
— We don't get an equivalence here because states are threaded through in
exec-gpv.
[| pred-gpv A C gpv; pred-fun S (pred-fun C (pred-spmf (pred-prod (λ-. True) S)))
callee; S s |]
⇒ pred-spmf (pred-prod A S) (exec-gpv callee gpv s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-inline:
fixes callee :: ('c, 'r, 's) callee
and gpv :: 's' ⇒ 'c' ⇒ ('r' × 's', 'c, 'r) gpv
shows exec-gpv callee (inline gpv c' s') s =
map-spmf (λ(x, s', s). ((x, s'), s)) (exec-gpv (λ(s', s) y. map-spmf (λ((x, s'),
s), (x, s', s)) (exec-gpv callee (gpv s' y) s)) c' (s', s))
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-spmf-exec-gpv:
assumes callee: ∀s x. ord-spmf (=) (callee1 s x) (callee2 s x)
shows ord-spmf (=) (exec-gpv callee1 gpv s) (exec-gpv callee2 gpv s)
⟨proof⟩

context fixes callee :: ('call, 'ret, 's) callee notes [[function-internals]] begin

```

```

partial-function (spmf) execp-resumption :: ('a, 'call, 'ret) resumption  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$ 
('a  $\times$  's) spmf
where
execp-resumption r s = (case r of resumption.Done x  $\Rightarrow$  return-spmf (map-option
( $\lambda$ a. (a, s)) x)
| resumption.Pause out c  $\Rightarrow$  bind-spmf (callee s out) ( $\lambda$ (input, s'). execp-resumption (c input) s'))

simps-of-case execp-resumption-simps [simp]: execp-resumption.simps

lemma execp-resumption-ABORT [simp]: execp-resumption ABORT s = return-spmf
None
{proof}

lemma execp-resumption-DONE [simp]: execp-resumption (DONE x) s = return-spmf
(x, s)
{proof}

lemma exec-gpv-lift-resumption: exec-gpv callee (lift-resumption r) s = execp-resumption
r s
{proof}

lemma mcont2mcont-execp-resumption [THEN spmf.mcont2mcont, cont-intro, simp]:
shows mcont-execp-resumption:
mcont resumption-lub resumption-ord lub-spmf (ord-spmf (=)) ( $\lambda$ r. execp-resumption
r s)
{proof}

lemma execp-resumption-bind [simp]:
execp-resumption (r  $\gg=$  f) s = execp-resumption r s  $\gg=$  (\lambda(x, s'). execp-resumption
(f x) s')
{proof}

lemma pred-spmf-execp-resumption:
 $\bigwedge A. \llbracket \text{pred-resumption } A \text{ } C \text{ } r; \text{pred-fun } S \text{ } (\text{pred-fun } C \text{ } (\text{pred-spmf } (\text{pred-prod } (\lambda\text{-True}) \text{ } S))) \text{callee; } S \text{ } s \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{pred-spmf } (\text{pred-prod } A \text{ } S) \text{ } (\text{execp-resumption } r \text{ } s)$ 
{proof}

end

inductive WT-callee :: ('call, 'ret) I  $\Rightarrow$  ('call  $\Rightarrow$  ('ret  $\times$  's) spmf)  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle \langle$ -)
 $\vdash c / (-) \vee [100, 0] 99$ )
for I callee
where
WT-callee:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge call \text{ } ret \text{ } s. \llbracket call \in \text{outs-}I \text{ } I; (ret, s) \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{callee } call) \rrbracket \implies ret \in \text{responses-}I \text{ } I \text{ } call \rrbracket$ 

```

$\implies \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } \checkmark$

lemmas $WT\text{-callee}I = WT\text{-callee}$
hide-fact $WT\text{-callee}$

lemma $WT\text{-callee}D$: $\llbracket \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } \checkmark; (ret, s) \in set\text{-}spmf(\text{callee out}); out \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies ret \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} out$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-callee-full}$ [*intro!*, *simp*]: $\mathcal{I}\text{-full} \vdash c \text{ callee } \checkmark$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $WT\text{-callee-parametric}$ [*transfer-rule*]:
 includes *lifting-syntax*
 assumes [*transfer-rule*]: *bi-unique R*
 shows (*rel-I C R* $\implies (C \implies rel\text{-}spmf(rel\text{-}prod R S)) \implies (=)$)
WT-callee *WT-callee*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *callee-invariant-on-base* =
 fixes *callee* :: '*s* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow ('*b* \times '*s*) *spmf*
 and *I* :: '*s* \Rightarrow *bool*
 and *I* :: ('*a*, '*b*) *I*

locale *callee-invariant-on* = *callee-invariant-on-base callee I I*
 for *callee* :: '*s* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow ('*b* \times '*s*) *spmf*
 and *I* :: '*s* \Rightarrow *bool*
 and *I* :: ('*a*, '*b*) *I*
 +
 assumes *callee-invariant*: $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in set\text{-}spmf(\text{callee } s \text{ } x); I s; x \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies I s'$
 and *WT-callee*: $\bigwedge s. I s \implies \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } s \checkmark$
begin

lemma *callee-invariant'*: $\llbracket (y, s') \in set\text{-}spmf(\text{callee } s \text{ } x); I s; x \in outs\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies I s' \wedge y \in responses\text{-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exec-gpv-invariant'*:
 $\llbracket I s; \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark \rrbracket \implies set\text{-}spmf(\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s) \subseteq \{(x, s'). I s'\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exec-gpv-invariant*:
 $\llbracket (x, s') \in set\text{-}spmf(\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s); I s; \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark \rrbracket \implies I s'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interaction-bounded-by-exec-gpv-count'*:
 fixes *count*
 assumes *bound*: *interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n*

```

and count:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s x); I s; \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq eSuc(\text{count } s)$   

and ignore:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s x); I s; \neg \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq \text{count } s$   

and WT:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$   

and I:  $I s$   

shows set-spmf (exec-gpv callee gpv s)  $\subseteq \{(x, s'). \text{count } s' \leq n + \text{count } s\}$   

⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-by-exec-gpv-count:  

fixes count  

assumes bound: interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n  

and xs':  $(x, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s)$   

and count:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s x); I s; \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq eSuc(\text{count } s)$   

and ignore:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s x); I s; \neg \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq \text{count } s$   

and WT:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$   

and I:  $I s$   

shows count s'  $\leq n + \text{count } s$   

⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-by'-exec-gpv-count:  

fixes count  

assumes bound: interaction-bounded-by' consider gpv n  

and xs':  $(x, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s)$   

and count:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s x); I s; \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq Suc(\text{count } s)$   

and ignore:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s x); I s; \neg \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq \text{count } s$   

and outs:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$   

and I:  $I s$   

shows count s'  $\leq n + \text{count } s$   

⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-spmf-calleeI:  $\llbracket I s; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{pred-spmf}(\text{pred-prod}(\lambda\text{-True})$   

 $I)$  (callee s x)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-exec-gpv:  

assumes gpv: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  

and callee:  $\bigwedge s \text{ out}. \llbracket \text{out} \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}; I s \rrbracket \implies \text{lossless-spmf}(\text{callee } s \text{ out})$   

and WT-gpv:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$   

and I:  $I s$   

shows lossless-spmf (exec-gpv callee gpv s)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma in-set-spmf-exec-gpv-into-results-gpv:  

assumes *:  $(x, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s)$ 

```

```

and WT-gpv :  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \vee$ 
and  $I : I s$ 
shows  $x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma callee-invariant-on-alt-def:
callee-invariant-on =  $(\lambda \text{callee } I \mathcal{I}. \text{callee } I \mathcal{I})$ 
 $(\forall s \in \text{Collect } I. \forall x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}. \forall (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{callee } s x). I s') \wedge$ 
 $(\forall s \in \text{Collect } I. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } s \vee)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma callee-invariant-on-parametric [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax
assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-unique R bi-total S
shows  $((S \implies C \implies \text{rel-spmf } (\text{rel-prod } R S)) \implies (S \implies (=)) \implies \text{rel-}\mathcal{I} C R \implies (=))$ 
callee-invariant-on callee-invariant-on
⟨proof⟩

lemma callee-invariant-on-cong:
 $\llbracket I = I'; \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} = \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' ;$ 
 $\wedge s. \llbracket I' s; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' \rrbracket \implies \text{set-spmf } (\text{callee } s x) \subseteq \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} x \times \text{Collect } I' \longleftrightarrow \text{set-spmf } (\text{callee}' s x) \subseteq \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' x \times \text{Collect } I'$ 
 $\implies \text{callee-invariant-on callee } I \mathcal{I} = \text{callee-invariant-on callee}' I' \mathcal{I}'$ 
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation callee-invariant ::  $('s \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 's) \text{ spmf}) \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where callee-invariant callee I ≡ callee-invariant-on callee I I-full

interpretation oi-True: callee-invariant-on callee λ-. True I-full for callee
⟨proof⟩

lemma callee-invariant-on-return-spmf [simp]:
callee-invariant-on  $(\lambda s x. \text{return-spmf } (f s x)) I \mathcal{I} \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. \forall x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}. I s \rightarrow I (\text{snd } (f s x)) \wedge \text{fst } (f s x) \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma callee-invariant-return-spmf [simp]:
callee-invariant  $(\lambda s x. \text{return-spmf } (f s x)) I \longleftrightarrow (\forall s x. I s \rightarrow I (\text{snd } (f s x)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma callee-invariant-restrict-relp:
includes lifting-syntax
assumes  $(S \implies C \implies \text{rel-spmf } (\text{rel-prod } R S)) \text{ callee1 callee2}$ 
and callee-invariant callee1 I1
and callee-invariant callee2 I2
shows  $((S \upharpoonright I1 \otimes I2) \implies C \implies \text{rel-spmf } (\text{rel-prod } R (S \upharpoonright I1 \otimes I2)))$ 
callee1 callee2

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *callee-invariant-on-True* [simp]: *callee-invariant-on callee* ($\lambda_. \text{True}$) $\mathcal{I} \longleftrightarrow (\forall s. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } s \checkmark)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lossless-exec-gpv*:
 $\llbracket \text{lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}; \bigwedge s \text{ out. out} \in \text{outs-} \mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I} \implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{callee } s \text{ out});$
 $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark; \bigwedge s. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } s \checkmark \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-set-spmf-exec-gpv-into-results'-gpv*:
 assumes $*: (x, s') \in \text{set-spmf} (\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s)$
 shows $x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv gpv}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context **fixes** $\mathcal{I} :: ('out, 'in) \mathcal{I}$ **begin**

primcorec *restrict-gpv* :: $('a, 'out, 'in) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) \text{ gpv}$
where
 $\text{restrict-gpv gpv} = GPV ($
 $\text{map-pmf} (\text{case-option None} (\text{case-generat} (\text{Some} \circ \text{Pure}))$
 $(\lambda out c. \text{if } out \in \text{outs-} \mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I} \text{ then Some } (\text{IO out} (\lambda input. \text{if } input \in \text{responses-} \mathcal{I}$
 $\mathcal{I} \text{ out then restrict-gpv } (c \text{ input}) \text{ else Fail})$
 $\text{else None}))$
 $(\text{the-gpv gpv}))$

lemma *restrict-gpv-Done* [simp]: *restrict-gpv (Done x) = Done x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-gpv-Fail* [simp]: *restrict-gpv Fail = Fail*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-gpv-Pause* [simp]: *restrict-gpv (Pause out c) = (if out \in outs- \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \text{ then Pause out } (\lambda input. \text{if } input \in \text{responses-} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \text{ out then restrict-gpv } (c \text{ input}) \text{ else Fail}) \text{ else Fail})*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-gpv-bind* [simp]: *restrict-gpv (bind-gpv gpv f) = bind-gpv (restrict-gpv gpv) (\lambda x. restrict-gpv (f x))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *WT-restrict-gpv* [simp]: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ restrict-gpv gpv } \checkmark$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exec-gpv-restrict-gpv*:
 assumes $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark$ **and** *WT-callee*: $\bigwedge s. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } s \checkmark$

```

shows exec-gpv callee (restrict-gpv gpv) s = exec-gpv callee gpv s
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-outs'-restrict-gpvD: x ∈ outs'-gpv (restrict-gpv gpv) ⇒ x ∈ outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma outs'-restrict-gpv: outs'-gpv (restrict-gpv gpv) ⊆ outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-restrict-gpvI: [ lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv;  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$  ] ⇒ lossless-gpv
 $\mathcal{I}$  (restrict-gpv gpv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-restrict-gpvD: [ lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (restrict-gpv gpv);  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$  ] ⇒
lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma colossless-restrict-gpvD:
[ colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (restrict-gpv gpv);  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$  ] ⇒ colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma colossless-restrict-gpvI:
[ colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv;  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark$  ] ⇒ colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (restrict-gpv gpv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-colossless-restrict-gpv [simp]:
 $\mathcal{I} \vdash g gpv \checkmark \Rightarrow$  gen-lossless-gpv b  $\mathcal{I}$  (restrict-gpv gpv) ↔ gen-lossless-gpv b  $\mathcal{I}$ 
gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bound-restrict-gpv:
interaction-bound consider (restrict-gpv gpv) ≤ interaction-bound consider gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-by-restrict-gpvI [interaction-bound, simp]:
interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n ⇒ interaction-bounded-by consider (restrict-gpv
gpv) n
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma restrict-gpv-parametric':
includes lifting-syntax
notes [transfer-rule] = the-gpv-parametric' Fail-parametric' corec-gpv-parametric'
assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-unique C bi-unique R
shows (rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C R ==> rel-gpv'' A C R ==> rel-gpv'' A C R) restrict-gpv
restrict-gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma restrict-gpv-parametric [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows

```

$\text{bi-unique } C \implies (\text{rel-}\mathcal{I} \text{ } C \text{ } (=) \implies \text{rel-gpv } A \text{ } C \implies \text{rel-gpv } A \text{ } C) \text{ restrict-gpv}$
 restrict-gpv
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-restrict-gpv*: $\text{map-gpv } f \text{ id } (\text{restrict-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}) = \text{restrict-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ (map-gpv }$
 $f \text{ id gpv)}$
for $\text{gpv} :: ('a, 'out, 'ret) \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *callee-invariant-on*) *exec-gpv-restrict-gpv-invariant*:
assumes $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee \text{and } I \text{ s}$
shows $\text{exec-gpv } \text{callee } (\text{restrict-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}) \text{ s} = \text{exec-gpv } \text{callee } \text{gpv } \text{s}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-results-gpv-restrict-gpvD*:
assumes $x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ (restrict-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv)}$
shows $x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *results-gpv-restrict-gpv*:
 $\text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ (restrict-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}) \subseteq \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-results'-gpv-restrict-gpvD*:
 $x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv } (\text{restrict-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}) \implies x \in \text{results}'\text{-gpv gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

primcorec *enforce- \mathcal{I} -gpv* :: $('out, 'in) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow ('a, 'out, 'in) \text{ gpv}$
where
 $\text{enforce-}\mathcal{I}\text{-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} = \text{GPV}$
 $(\text{map-spmf } (\text{map-generat id id } ((\circ) (\text{enforce-}\mathcal{I}\text{-gpv } \mathcal{I})))$
 $(\text{map-spmf } (\lambda \text{generat. case generat of Pure } x \Rightarrow \text{Pure } x \mid \text{IO out rpv} \Rightarrow \text{IO out}$
 $(\lambda \text{input. if input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I} \text{ out then rpv input else Fail}))$
 $(\text{enforce-spmf } (\text{pred-generat } \top (\lambda x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I}) \top) (\text{the-gpv gpv})))$

lemma *enforce- \mathcal{I} -gpv-Done* [*simp*]: $\text{enforce-}\mathcal{I}\text{-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ (Done } x) = \text{Done } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enforce- \mathcal{I} -gpv-Fail* [*simp*]: $\text{enforce-}\mathcal{I}\text{-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ Fail} = \text{Fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enforce- \mathcal{I} -gpv-Pause* [*simp*]:
 $\text{enforce-}\mathcal{I}\text{-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ (Pause out rpv)} =$
 $(\text{if out} \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I} \text{ then Pause out } (\lambda \text{input. if input} \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I} \text{ out then}$
 $\text{enforce-}\mathcal{I}\text{-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ (rvp input) else Fail) else Fail})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enforce- \mathcal{I} -gpv-lift-spmf* [*simp*]: $\text{enforce-}\mathcal{I}\text{-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ (lift-spmf } p) = \text{lift-spmf } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv-bind-gpv [simp]:
  enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (bind-gpv gpv f) = bind-gpv (enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv) (enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv
 $\mathcal{I} \circ f$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv-parametric':
  includes lifting-syntax
  notes [transfer-rule] = corec-gpv-parametric' the-gpv-parametric' Fail-parametric'
  assumes [transfer-rule]: bi-unique C bi-unique R
  shows (rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C R ==> rel-gpv'' A C R ==> rel-gpv'' A C R) enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv
  enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv-parametric [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows
  bi-unique C ==> (rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C (=) ==> rel-gpv A C ==> rel-gpv A C) enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv
  enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma WT-enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv [simp]:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g$  enforce- $\mathcal{I}$ -gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv √
  ⟨proof⟩

context fixes  $\mathcal{I}$  :: ('out, 'in)  $\mathcal{I}$  begin

inductive finite-gpv :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ bool
where
  finite-gpvI:
  ( $\bigwedge$  out c input. [ IO out c ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); input ∈ responses- $\mathcal{I}$  out ]]
  ==> finite-gpv (c input)) ==> finite-gpv gpv

lemmas finite-gpv-induct[consumes 1, case-names finite-gpv, induct pred] = finite-gpv.induct

lemma finite-gpvD: [ finite-gpv gpv; IO out c ∈ set-spmf (the-gpv gpv); input ∈ responses- $\mathcal{I}$  out ] ==> finite-gpv (c input)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-Fail [simp]: finite-gpv Fail
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-Done [simp]: finite-gpv (Done x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-Pause [simp]: finite-gpv (Pause x c)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  input ∈ responses- $\mathcal{I}$ 
 $\mathcal{I}$  x. finite-gpv (c input))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-lift-spmf [simp]: finite-gpv (lift-spmf p)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma finite-gpv-bind [simp]:
  finite-gpv (gpv  $\gg=$  f)  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite-gpv gpv  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$ . finite-gpv (f x))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context includes lifting-syntax begin

lemma finite-gpv-rel''D1:
  assumes rel-gpv'' A C R gpv gpv' and finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv and  $\mathcal{I} : \text{rel-}\mathcal{I} \text{ C R } \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}'$ 
  shows finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  gpv'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-relD1: [ rel-gpv A C gpv gpv'; finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv; rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C (=)  $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}$  ]
   $\implies$  finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-rel''D2: [ rel-gpv'' A C R gpv gpv'; finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv'; rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C R  $\mathcal{I}' \mathcal{I}$  ]
   $\implies$  finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-relD2: [ rel-gpv A C gpv gpv'; finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv'; rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C (=)  $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$  ]
   $\implies$  finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-parametric': (rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C R ==> rel-gpv'' A C R ==> (=))
  finite-gpv finite-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-parametric [transfer-rule]: (rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C (=) ==> rel-gpv A C ==>
  (=)) finite-gpv finite-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma finite-gpv-map [simp]: finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (map-gpv f id gpv) = finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-assert [simp]: finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (assert-gpv b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-gpv-try [simp]:
  finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (TRY gpv ELSE gpv')  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  $\wedge$  (colossalless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
   $\vee$  finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv')
  (is ?lhs = -)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lossless-gpv-conv-finite:
  lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  $\wedge$  colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
  (is ?loss  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?fin  $\wedge$  ?co)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma colossless-gpv-try [simp]:
  colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (TRY gpv ELSE gpv')  $\longleftrightarrow$  colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  $\vee$  colossless-gpv
   $\mathcal{I}$  gpv'
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?gpv  $\vee$  ?gpv')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-gpv-try [simp]:
  lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  (TRY gpv ELSE gpv')  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  $\wedge$  (lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  $\vee$  lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-any-bounded-by-imp-finite:
  assumes interaction-any-bounded-by gpv (enat n)
  shows finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$ -full gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-restrict-gpvI [simp]: finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  gpv  $\implies$  finite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  (restrict-gpv
 $\mathcal{I}$  gpv)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-by-exec-gpv-bad-count:
  fixes count and bad and n :: enat and k :: real
  assumes bound: interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n
  and good:  $\neg$  bad s
  and count:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s x); \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq \text{Suc}(\text{count } s)$ 
  and ignore:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s x); \neg \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq \text{count } s$ 
  and bad:  $\bigwedge s' x. \llbracket \neg \text{bad } s'; \text{count } s' < n + \text{count } s; \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{spmf}(\text{map-spmf}(\text{bad } \circ \text{snd})(\text{callee } s' x)) \text{ True} \leq k$ 
  and consider:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s x); \neg \text{bad } s; \text{bad } s'; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{consider } x$ 
  and k-nonneg: k  $\geq 0$ 
  and WT-gpv:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$ 
  and WT-callee:  $\bigwedge s. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } s \checkmark$ 
  shows spmf (map-spmf (bad  $\circ$  snd) (exec-gpv callee gpv s)) True  $\leq$  ennreal k *
  n
  ⟨proof⟩

context callee-invariant-on begin

lemma interaction-bounded-by-exec-gpv-bad-count:
  includes lifting-syntax

```

```

fixes count and bad and n :: enat
assumes bound: interaction-bounded-by consider gpv n
and I: I s
and good:  $\neg$  bad s
and count:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s \ x); I \ s; \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq \text{Suc}(\text{count } s)$ 
and ignore:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s \ x); I \ s; \neg \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq \text{count } s$ 
and bad:  $\bigwedge s' x. \llbracket I \ s'; \neg \text{bad } s'; \text{count } s' < n + \text{count } s; \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{spmf}(\text{map-spmf}(\text{bad} \circ \text{snd})(\text{callee } s' \ x)) \text{True} \leq k$ 
and consider:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s \ x); I \ s; \neg \text{bad } s; \text{bad } s'; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{consider } x$ 
and k-nonneg: k  $\geq$  0
and WT-gpv:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$ 
shows spmf (map-spmf (bad  $\circ$  snd) (exec-gpv callee gpv s)) True  $\leq$  ennreal k *
n
⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-by'-exec-gpv-bad-count:
fixes count and bad and n :: nat
assumes bound: interaction-bounded-by' consider gpv n
and I: I s
and good:  $\neg$  bad s
and count:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s \ x); I \ s; \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq \text{Suc}(\text{count } s)$ 
and ignore:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s \ x); I \ s; \neg \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{count } s' \leq \text{count } s$ 
and bad:  $\bigwedge s' x. \llbracket I \ s'; \neg \text{bad } s'; \text{count } s' < n + \text{count } s; \text{consider } x; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{spmf}(\text{map-spmf}(\text{bad} \circ \text{snd})(\text{callee } s' \ x)) \text{True} \leq k$ 
and consider:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. \llbracket (y, s') \in \text{set-spmf}(\text{callee } s \ x); I \ s; \neg \text{bad } s; \text{bad } s'; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{consider } x$ 
and k-nonneg: k  $\geq$  0
and WT-gpv:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$ 
shows spmf (map-spmf (bad  $\circ$  snd) (exec-gpv callee gpv s)) True  $\leq$  k * n
⟨proof⟩

lemma interaction-bounded-by-exec-gpv-bad:
assumes interaction-any-bounded-by gpv n
and I s  $\neg$  bad s
and bad:  $\bigwedge s x. \llbracket I \ s; \neg \text{bad } s; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I}$   

 $\mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{spmf}(\text{map-spmf}(\text{bad} \circ \text{snd})(\text{callee } s \ x)) \text{True} \leq k$ 
and k-nonneg: 0  $\leq$  k
and WT-gpv:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$ 
shows spmf (map-spmf (bad  $\circ$  snd) (exec-gpv callee gpv s)) True  $\leq$  k * n
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

5 Oracle combinators

```

theory Computational-Model imports
  Generative-Probabilistic-Value
begin

type-synonym security = nat
type-synonym advantage = security ⇒ real

type-synonym ('σ, 'call, 'ret) oracle' = 'σ ⇒ 'call ⇒ ('ret × 'σ) spmf
type-synonym ('σ, 'call, 'ret) oracle = security ⇒ ('σ, 'call, 'ret) oracle' × 'σ

⟨ML⟩
typ ('σ, 'call, 'ret) oracle

```

5.1 Shared state

context includes $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$ and lifting-syntax begin

```

lift-definition plus- $\mathcal{I}$  :: ('out, 'ret)  $\mathcal{I}$  ⇒ ('out', 'ret')  $\mathcal{I}$  ⇒ ('out + 'out', 'ret + 'ret')  $\mathcal{I}$  (infix  $\oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$  500)
is  $\lambda \text{resp1 } \text{resp2}. \lambda \text{out}. \text{case out of Inl out}' \Rightarrow \text{Inl} ` \text{resp1 out}' \mid \text{Inr out}' \Rightarrow \text{Inr} ` \text{resp2 out}' \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma plus- $\mathcal{I}$ -sel [simp]:
  shows outs-plus- $\mathcal{I}$ : outs- $\mathcal{I}$  (plus- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}l$   $\mathcal{I}r$ ) = outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}l <+>$  outs- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}r$ 
  and responses-plus- $\mathcal{I}$ -Inl: responses- $\mathcal{I}$  (plus- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}l$   $\mathcal{I}r$ ) (Inl  $x$ ) = Inl ` responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}l$   $x$ 
  and responses-plus- $\mathcal{I}$ -Inr: responses- $\mathcal{I}$  (plus- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}l$   $\mathcal{I}r$ ) (Inr  $y$ ) = Inr ` responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}r$   $y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vimage-Inl-Plus [simp]: Inl -` (A <+> B) = A
  and vimage-Inr-Plus [simp]: Inr -` (A <+> B) = B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vimage-Inl-image-Inr: Inl -` Inr ` A = {}
  and vimage-Inr-image-Inl: Inr -` Inl ` A = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma plus- $\mathcal{I}$ -parametric [transfer-rule]:
  (rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C R ==> rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C' R' ==> rel- $\mathcal{I}$  (rel-sum C C') (rel-sum R R')) plus- $\mathcal{I}$ 
plus- $\mathcal{I}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lifting-update $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$
 lifting-forget $\mathcal{I}.\text{lifting}$

```

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -trivial-plus- $\mathcal{I}$  [simp]:  $\mathcal{I}$ -trivial ( $\mathcal{I}_1 \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}_2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\mathcal{I}$ -trivial  $\mathcal{I}_1 \wedge \mathcal{I}$ -trivial  $\mathcal{I}_2$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

end

lemma map- $\mathcal{I}$ -plus- $\mathcal{I}$  [simp]:
map- $\mathcal{I}$  (map-sum f1 f2) (map-sum g1 g2) ( $\mathcal{I}1 \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}2$ ) = map- $\mathcal{I}$  f1 g1  $\mathcal{I}1 \oplus_{\mathcal{I}}$ 
map- $\mathcal{I}$  f2 g2  $\mathcal{I}2$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma le-plus- $\mathcal{I}$ -iff [simp]:
 $\mathcal{I}1 \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}2 \leq \mathcal{I}1' \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}2' \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{I}1 \leq \mathcal{I}1' \wedge \mathcal{I}2 \leq \mathcal{I}2'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\mathcal{I}$ -full-le-plus- $\mathcal{I}$ :  $\mathcal{I}\text{-full} \leq \text{plus-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}1 \mathcal{I}2$  if  $\mathcal{I}\text{-full} \leq \mathcal{I}1 \mathcal{I}\text{-full} \leq \mathcal{I}2$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma plus- $\mathcal{I}$ -mono:  $\text{plus-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}1 \mathcal{I}2 \leq \text{plus-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}1' \mathcal{I}2'$  if  $\mathcal{I}1 \leq \mathcal{I}1' \mathcal{I}2 \leq \mathcal{I}2'$ 
⟨proof⟩

context
fixes left :: ('s, 'a, 'b) oracle
and right :: ('s, 'c, 'd) oracle
and s :: 's
begin

primrec plus-oracle :: 'a + 'c ⇒ (('b + 'd) × 's) spmf
where
plus-oracle (Inl a) = map-spmf (apfst Inl) (left s a)
| plus-oracle (Inr b) = map-spmf (apfst Inr) (right s b)

lemma lossless-plus-oracleI [intro, simp]:
 $\llbracket \wedge a. x = \text{Inl } a \implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{left } s a);$ 
 $\wedge b. x = \text{Inr } b \implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{right } s b) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{plus-oracle } x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-oracle-split:
 $P (\text{plus-oracle } lr) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall x. lr = \text{Inl } x \longrightarrow P (\text{map-spmf} (\text{apfst Inl}) (\text{left } s x))) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall y. lr = \text{Inr } y \longrightarrow P (\text{map-spmf} (\text{apfst Inr}) (\text{right } s y)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-oracle-split-asm:
 $P (\text{plus-oracle } lr) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg ((\exists x. lr = \text{Inl } x \wedge \neg P (\text{map-spmf} (\text{apfst Inl}) (\text{left } s x))) \vee$ 
 $(\exists y. lr = \text{Inr } y \wedge \neg P (\text{map-spmf} (\text{apfst Inr}) (\text{right } s y))))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

notation plus-oracle (infix  $\oplus_O$  500)

context
  fixes left :: ('s, 'a, 'b) oracle'
  and right :: ('s, 'c, 'd) oracle'
begin

lemma WT-plus-oracleI [intro!]:
   $\llbracket \mathcal{I}l \vdash c \text{ left } s \checkmark; \mathcal{I}r \vdash c \text{ right } s \checkmark \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{I}l \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}r \vdash c (\text{left} \oplus_O \text{right}) s \checkmark$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma WT-plus-oracleD1:
  assumes  $\mathcal{I}l \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}r \vdash c (\text{left} \oplus_O \text{right}) s \checkmark$  (is ? $\mathcal{I}$   $\vdash c$  ? $\text{callee}$   $s \checkmark$ )
  shows  $\mathcal{I}l \vdash c \text{ left } s \checkmark$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma WT-plus-oracleD2:
  assumes  $\mathcal{I}l \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}r \vdash c (\text{left} \oplus_O \text{right}) s \checkmark$  (is ? $\mathcal{I}$   $\vdash c$  ? $\text{callee}$   $s \checkmark$ )
  shows  $\mathcal{I}r \vdash c \text{ right } s \checkmark$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma WT-plus-oracle-iff [simp]:  $\mathcal{I}l \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}r \vdash c (\text{left} \oplus_O \text{right}) s \checkmark \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{I}l \vdash c \text{ left } s \checkmark \wedge \mathcal{I}r \vdash c \text{ right } s \checkmark$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma callee-invariant-on-plus-oracle [simp]:
  callee-invariant-on ( $\text{left} \oplus_O \text{right}$ ) I ( $\mathcal{I}l \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}r$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  callee-invariant-on left I  $\mathcal{I}l \wedge$  callee-invariant-on right I  $\mathcal{I}r$ 
  (is ? $\text{lhs}$   $\longleftrightarrow$  ? $\text{rhs}$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma callee-invariant-plus-oracle [simp]:
  callee-invariant ( $\text{left} \oplus_O \text{right}$ ) I  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  callee-invariant left I  $\wedge$  callee-invariant right I
  (is ? $\text{lhs}$   $\longleftrightarrow$  ? $\text{rhs}$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma plus-oracle-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  includes lifting-syntax shows
   $((S \implies A \implies \text{rel-spmf} (\text{rel-prod } B \ S))$ 
   $\implies (S \implies C \implies \text{rel-spmf} (\text{rel-prod } D \ S))$ 
   $\implies S \implies \text{rel-sum } A \ C \implies \text{rel-spmf} (\text{rel-prod} (\text{rel-sum } B \ D) \ S))$ 
  plus-oracle plus-oracle
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rel-spmf-plus-oracle:
   $\llbracket \bigwedge q1' q2'. \llbracket q1 = \text{Inl } q1'; q2 = \text{Inl } q2' \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-spmf} (\text{rel-prod } B \ S) (\text{left1 } s1 \ q1') (\text{left2 } s2 \ q2');$ 

```

```

 $\bigwedge q1' q2'. \llbracket q1 = \text{Inr } q1'; q2 = \text{Inr } q2' \rrbracket \implies \text{rel-spmf} (\text{rel-prod } D S) (\text{right1 } s1 q1') (\text{right2 } s2 q2');$ 
 $S s1 s2; \text{rel-sum } A C q1 q2 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{rel-spmf} (\text{rel-prod} (\text{rel-sum } B D) S) ((\text{left1} \oplus_O \text{right1}) s1 q1) ((\text{left2} \oplus_O$ 
 $\text{right2}) s2 q2)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

```

5.2 Shared state with aborts

context

```

fixes left :: ('s, 'a, 'b option) oracle'
and right :: ('s, 'c, 'd option) oracle'
and s :: 's

```

begin

```

primrec plus-oracle-stop :: 'a + 'c  $\Rightarrow$  (('b + 'd) option  $\times$  's) spmf
where
plus-oracle-stop (Inl a) = map-spmf (apfst (map-option Inl)) (left s a)
| plus-oracle-stop (Inr b) = map-spmf (apfst (map-option Inr)) (right s b)

```

lemma lossless-plus-oracle-stopI [intro, simp]:

```

 $\llbracket \bigwedge a. x = \text{Inl } a \implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{left } s a);$ 
 $\bigwedge b. x = \text{Inr } b \implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{right } s b) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{lossless-spmf} (\text{plus-oracle-stop } x)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma plus-oracle-stop-split:

```

P (plus-oracle-stop lr)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
( $\forall x. lr = \text{Inl } x \longrightarrow P (\text{map-spmf} (\text{apfst} (\text{map-option Inl})) (\text{left } s x))) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall y. lr = \text{Inr } y \longrightarrow P (\text{map-spmf} (\text{apfst} (\text{map-option Inr})) (\text{right } s y)))$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma plus-oracle-stop-split-asm:

```

P (plus-oracle-stop lr)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg ((\exists x. lr = \text{Inl } x \wedge \neg P (\text{map-spmf} (\text{apfst} (\text{map-option Inl})) (\text{left } s x))) \vee$ 
 $(\exists y. lr = \text{Inr } y \wedge \neg P (\text{map-spmf} (\text{apfst} (\text{map-option Inr})) (\text{right } s y))))$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

notation plus-oracle-stop (**infix** $\langle \oplus_O^S \rangle$ 500)

5.3 Disjoint state

context

```

fixes left :: ('s1, 'a, 'b) oracle'
and right :: ('s2, 'c, 'd) oracle'

```

begin

```

fun parallel-oracle :: ('s1 × 's2, 'a + 'c, 'b + 'd) oracle'
where
  parallel-oracle (s1, s2) (Inl a) = map-spmf (map-prod Inl (λs1'. (s1', s2))) (left
  s1 a)
  | parallel-oracle (s1, s2) (Inr b) = map-spmf (map-prod Inr (Pair s1)) (right s2
  b)

lemma parallel-oracle-def:
  parallel-oracle = (λ(s1, s2). case-sum (λa. map-spmf (map-prod Inl (λs1'. (s1',
  s2))) (left s1 a)) (λb. map-spmf (map-prod Inr (Pair s1)) (right s2 b)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lossless-parallel-oracle [simp]:
  lossless-spmf (parallel-oracle s1s2 xy) ←→
  (forall x. xy = Inl x → lossless-spmf (left (fst s1s2) x)) ∧
  (forall y. xy = Inr y → lossless-spmf (right (snd s1s2) y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma parallel-oracle-split:
  P (parallel-oracle s1s2 lr) ←→
  (forall s1 s2 x. s1s2 = (s1, s2) → lr = Inl x → P (map-spmf (map-prod Inl (λs1'.
  (s1', s2))) (left s1 x))) ∧
  (forall s1 s2 y. s1s2 = (s1, s2) → lr = Inr y → P (map-spmf (map-prod Inr (Pair
  s1)) (right s2 y)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma parallel-oracle-split-asm:
  P (parallel-oracle s1s2 lr) ←→
  ¬ ((exists s1 s2 x. s1s2 = (s1, s2) ∧ lr = Inl x ∧ ¬ P (map-spmf (map-prod Inl
  (λs1'. (s1', s2))) (left s1 x))) ∨
  (exists s1 s2 y. s1s2 = (s1, s2) ∧ lr = Inr y ∧ ¬ P (map-spmf (map-prod Inr
  (Pair s1)) (right s2 y))))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma WT-parallel-oracle [intro!, simp]:
  [[ Il ⊢c left sl ✓; Ir ⊢c right sr ✓ ]] ⇒ plus-Ι Il Ir ⊢c parallel-oracle (sl, sr)
  ✓
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma callee-invariant-parallel-oracleI [simp, intro]:
  assumes callee-invariant-on left Il Il callee-invariant-on right Ir Ir
  shows callee-invariant-on parallel-oracle (pred-prod Il Ir) (Il ⊕Ι Ir)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma parallel-oracle-parametric:
  includes lifting-syntax shows

```

```
((S1 ==> CALL1 ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod (=) S1))
 ==> (S2 ==> CALL2 ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod (=) S2))
 ==> rel-prod S1 S2 ==> rel-sum CALL1 CALL2 ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod
(=) (rel-prod S1 S2)))
    parallel-oracle parallel-oracle
⟨proof⟩
```

5.4 Indexed oracles

```
definition family-oracle :: ('i ⇒ ('s, 'a, 'b) oracle') ⇒ ('i ⇒ 's, 'i × 'a, 'b) oracle'
where family-oracle f s = (λ(i, x). map-spmf (λ(y, s'). (y, s(i := s')))) (f i (s i) x)
```

```
lemma family-oracle-apply [simp]:
  family-oracle f s (i, x) = map-spmf (apsnd (fun-upd s i)) (f i (s i) x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lossless-family-oracle:
  lossless-spmf (family-oracle f s ix) ←→ lossless-spmf (f (fst ix) (s (fst ix)) (snd
ix))
⟨proof⟩
```

5.5 State extension

```
definition extend-state-oracle :: ('call, 'ret, 's) callee ⇒ ('call, 'ret, 's' × 's) callee
(↔ [1000] 1000)
where extend-state-oracle callee = (λ(s', s) x. map-spmf (λ(y, s). (y, (s', s))) (callee s x))
```

```
lemma extend-state-oracle-simps [simp]:
  extend-state-oracle callee (s', s) x = map-spmf (λ(y, s). (y, (s', s))) (callee s x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
context includes lifting-syntax begin
lemma extend-state-oracle-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  ((S ==> C ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod R S)) ==> rel-prod S' S ==> C
 ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod R (rel-prod S' S)))
  extend-state-oracle extend-state-oracle
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma extend-state-oracle-transfer:
  ((S ==> C ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod R S))
 ==> rel-prod2 S ==> C ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod R (rel-prod2 S)))
  (λoracle. oracle) extend-state-oracle
⟨proof⟩
end
```

```
lemma callee-invariant-extend-state-oracle-const [simp]:
  callee-invariant †oracle (λ(s', s). I s')
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma callee-invariant-extend-state-oracle-const':
  callee-invariant †oracle ( $\lambda s. I (fst s)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

definition lift-stop-oracle :: ('call, 'ret, 's) callee  $\Rightarrow$  ('call, 'ret option, 's) callee
where lift-stop-oracle oracle s x = map-spmf (apfst Some) (oracle s x)

lemma lift-stop-oracle-apply [simp]: lift-stop-oracle oracle s x = map-spmf (apfst
Some) (oracle s x)
  ⟨proof⟩

context includes lifting-syntax begin

lemma lift-stop-oracle-transfer:
  ((S ==> C ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod R S)) ==> (S ==> C ==>
rel-spmf (rel-prod (pcr-Some R) S)))
  ( $\lambda x. x$ ) lift-stop-oracle
  ⟨proof⟩

end

definition extend-state-oracle2 :: ('call, 'ret, 's) callee  $\Rightarrow$  ('call, 'ret, 's × 's')
callee ( $\langle - \rangle$  [1000] 1000)
where extend-state-oracle2 callee = ( $\lambda(s, s') x. map-spmf (\lambda(y, s). (y, (s, s'))) (callee s x)$ )

lemma extend-state-oracle2-simps [simp]:
  extend-state-oracle2 callee (s, s') x = map-spmf ( $\lambda(y, s). (y, (s, s'))$ ) (callee s x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma extend-state-oracle2-parametric [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows
  ((S ==> C ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod R S)) ==> rel-prod S S' ==> C
==> rel-spmf (rel-prod R (rel-prod S S')))
  extend-state-oracle2 extend-state-oracle2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma callee-invariant-extend-state-oracle2-const [simp]:
  callee-invariant oracle† ( $\lambda(s, s'). I s'$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma callee-invariant-extend-state-oracle2-const':
  callee-invariant oracle† ( $\lambda s. I (snd s)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma extend-state-oracle2-plus-oracle:
  extend-state-oracle2 (plus-oracle oracle1 oracle2) = plus-oracle (extend-state-oracle2
oracle1) (extend-state-oracle2 oracle2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma parallel-oracle-conv-plus-oracle:
  parallel-oracle oracle1 oracle2 = plus-oracle (oracle1†) (†oracle2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-sum-parallel-oracle: includes lifting-syntax shows
  (id ---> map-sum fg ---> map-spmf (map-prod (map-sum h k) id)) (parallel-oracle
  oracle1 oracle2)
  = parallel-oracle ((id ---> f ---> map-spmf (map-prod h id)) oracle1) ((id
  ---> g ---> map-spmf (map-prod k id)) oracle2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-sum-plus-oracle: includes lifting-syntax shows
  (id ---> map-sum fg ---> map-spmf (map-prod (map-sum h k) id)) (plus-oracle
  oracle1 oracle2)
  = plus-oracle ((id ---> f ---> map-spmf (map-prod h id)) oracle1) ((id
  ---> g ---> map-spmf (map-prod k id)) oracle2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-rsuml-plus-oracle: includes lifting-syntax shows
  (id ---> rsuml ---> (map-spmf (map-prod lsumr id))) (oracle1 ⊕O (oracle2
  ⊕O oracle3)) =
  ((oracle1 ⊕O oracle2) ⊕O oracle3)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-lsumr-plus-oracle: includes lifting-syntax shows
  (id ---> lsumr ---> (map-spmf (map-prod rsuml id))) ((oracle1 ⊕O oracle2)
  ⊕O oracle3) =
  (oracle1 ⊕O (oracle2 ⊕O oracle3))
  ⟨proof⟩

context includes lifting-syntax begin

definition lift-state-oracle
  :: ('s ⇒ 'a ⇒ (('b × 't) × 's) spmf) ⇒ ('s' ⇒ 'a ⇒ (('b × 't) × 's') spmf))
  ⇒ ('t × 's ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('b × 't × 's) spmf) ⇒ ('t × 's' ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('b × 't × 's') spmf) where
    lift-state-oracle F oracle =
      (λ(t, s') a. map-spmf rprod1 (F ((Pair t ---> id ---> map-spmf lprod1)
      oracle) s' a))

lemma lift-state-oracle-simps [simp]:
  lift-state-oracle F oracle (t, s') a = map-spmf rprod1 (F ((Pair t ---> id --->
  map-spmf lprod1) oracle) s' a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-state-oracle-parametric [transfer-rule]: includes lifting-syntax shows
  (((S ==> A ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod (rel-prod B T) S)) ==> S') ==> A ==> rel-spmf (rel-prod (rel-prod B T) S'))

```

```

=====> (rel-prod T S =====> A =====> rel-spmf (rel-prod B (rel-prod T S)))
=====> rel-prod T S' =====> A =====> rel-spmf (rel-prod B (rel-prod T S')))

lift-state-oracle lift-state-oracle
⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-state-oracle-extend-state-oracle:
  includes lifting-syntax
  assumes ⋀B. Transfer.Rel (((=) =====> (=) =====> rel-spmf (rel-prod B (=)))
=====> (=) =====> (=) =====> rel-spmf (rel-prod B (=))) G F

  shows lift-state-oracle F (extend-state-oracle oracle) = extend-state-oracle (G
oracle)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-state-oracle-compose:
  lift-state-oracle F (lift-state-oracle G oracle) = lift-state-oracle (F ∘ G) oracle
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-state-oracle-id [simp]: lift-state-oracle id = id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rprod-l-extend-state-oracle: includes lifting-syntax shows
  (rprod-l ---> id ---> map-spmf (map-prod id lprod-r)) (extend-state-oracle
(extend-state-oracle oracle)) =
  extend-state-oracle oracle
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

6 Combining GPVs

6.1 Shared state without interrupts

```

context
  fixes left :: 's ⇒ 'x1 ⇒ ('y1 × 's, 'call, 'ret) gpv
  and right :: 's ⇒ 'x2 ⇒ ('y2 × 's, 'call, 'ret) gpv
begin

primrec plus-intercept :: 's ⇒ 'x1 + 'x2 ⇒ (('y1 + 'y2) × 's, 'call, 'ret) gpv
where
  plus-intercept s (Inl x) = map-gpv (apfst Inl) id (left s x)
  | plus-intercept s (Inr x) = map-gpv (apfst Inr) id (right s x)

end

```

```

lemma plus-intercept-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  includes lifting-syntax shows
  ((S =====> X1 =====> rel-gpv (rel-prod Y1 S) C)
=====> (S =====> X2 =====> rel-gpv (rel-prod Y2 S) C)

```

```

====> S ====> rel-sum X1 X2 ====> rel-gpv (rel-prod (rel-sum Y1 Y2) S)
C)
plus-intercept plus-intercept
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma interaction-bounded-by-plus-intercept [interaction-bound]:
  fixes left right
  shows [  $\wedge x'. x = \text{Inl } x' \Rightarrow \text{interaction-bounded-by } P (\text{left } s x') (n x');$ 
     $\wedge y. x = \text{Inr } y \Rightarrow \text{interaction-bounded-by } P (\text{right } s y) (m y)$  ]
     $\Rightarrow \text{interaction-bounded-by } P (\text{plus-intercept left right } s x) (\text{case } x \text{ of Inl } x \Rightarrow n$ 
 $x \mid \text{Inr } y \Rightarrow m y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

6.2 Shared state with interrupts

```

context
  fixes left :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  'x1  $\Rightarrow$  ('y1 option  $\times$  's, 'call, 'ret) gpv
  and right :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  'x2  $\Rightarrow$  ('y2 option  $\times$  's, 'call, 'ret) gpv
begin

primrec plus-intercept-stop :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  'x1 + 'x2  $\Rightarrow$  (('y1 + 'y2) option  $\times$  's, 'call,
'ret) gpv
where
  plus-intercept-stop s (Inl x) = map-gpv (apfst (map-option Inl)) id (left s x)
  | plus-intercept-stop s (Inr x) = map-gpv (apfst (map-option Inr)) id (right s x)

end

```

```

lemma plus-intercept-stop-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  includes lifting-syntax shows
    ((S ===> X1 ===> rel-gpv (rel-prod (rel-option Y1) S) C)
     ===> (S ===> X2 ===> rel-gpv (rel-prod (rel-option Y2) S) C)
     ===> S ===> rel-sum X1 X2 ===> rel-gpv (rel-prod (rel-option (rel-sum Y1
Y2)) S) C)
  plus-intercept-stop plus-intercept-stop
⟨proof⟩

```

6.3 One-sided shifts

```

primcorec (transfer) left-gpv :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out + 'out', 'in + 'in') gpv
where
  the-gpv (left-gpv gpv) =
    map-spmf (map-generat id Inl ( $\lambda rpv \text{ input. case input of Inl } input' \Rightarrow$  left-gpv
 $(rpv \text{ input}') \mid - \Rightarrow \text{Fail}$ ) (the-gpv gpv))

abbreviation left-rpv :: ('a, 'out, 'in) rpv  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out + 'out', 'in + 'in') rpv
where
  left-rpv rpv  $\equiv$   $\lambda \text{ input. case input of Inl } input' \Rightarrow$  left-gpv (rpv input')  $\mid - \Rightarrow \text{Fail}$ 

```

```

primcorec (transfer) right-gpv :: ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out' + 'out, 'in' + 'in)
gpv where
  the-gpv (right-gpv gpv) =
    map-spmf (map-generat id Inr ( $\lambda rpv\ input.\ case\ input\ of\ Inr\ input' \Rightarrow right-gpv$ 
(rvp input') | -  $\Rightarrow$  Fail)) (the-gpv gpv)
abbreviation right-rpv :: ('a, 'out, 'in) rvp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'out' + 'out, 'in' + 'in) rvp
where
  right-rpv rvp  $\equiv$   $\lambda input.\ case\ input\ of\ Inr\ input' \Rightarrow right-gpv$  (rvp input') | -  $\Rightarrow$ 
Fail

context
  includes lifting-syntax
  notes [transfer-rule] = corec-gpv-parametric' Fail-parametric' the-gpv-parametric'
begin

lemmas left-gpv-parametric = left-gpv.transfer

lemma left-gpv-parametric':
  (rel-gpv'' A C R ==> rel-gpv'' A (rel-sum C C') (rel-sum R R')) left-gpv left-gpv
  <proof>

lemmas right-gpv-parametric = right-gpv.transfer

lemma right-gpv-parametric':
  (rel-gpv'' A C' R' ==> rel-gpv'' A (rel-sum C C') (rel-sum R R')) right-gpv
right-gpv
  <proof>

end

lemma left-gpv-Done [simp]: left-gpv (Done x) = Done x
  <proof>

lemma right-gpv-Done [simp]: right-gpv (Done x) = Done x
  <proof>

lemma left-gpv-Pause [simp]:
  left-gpv (Pause x rpv) = Pause (Inl x) ( $\lambda input.\ case\ input\ of\ Inl\ input' \Rightarrow left-gpv$ 
(rvp input') | -  $\Rightarrow$  Fail)
  <proof>

lemma right-gpv-Pause [simp]:
  right-gpv (Pause x rpv) = Pause (Inr x) ( $\lambda input.\ case\ input\ of\ Inr\ input' \Rightarrow$ 
right-gpv (rvp input') | -  $\Rightarrow$  Fail)
  <proof>

lemma left-gpv-map: left-gpv (map-gpv f g gpv) = map-gpv f (map-sum g h)
(left-gpv gpv)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-gpv-map*: $right\text{-}gpv (map\text{-}gpv f g gpv) = map\text{-}gpv f (map\text{-}sum h g)$
 $(right\text{-}gpv gpv)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results'-gpv-left-gpv* [simp]:
 $results'\text{-}gpv (left\text{-}gpv gpv :: ('a, 'out + 'out', 'in + 'in') gpv) = results'\text{-}gpv gpv$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *results'-gpv-right-gpv* [simp]:
 $results'\text{-}gpv (right\text{-}gpv gpv :: ('a, 'out' + 'out, 'in' + 'in) gpv) = results'\text{-}gpv gpv$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-gpv-Inl-transfer*: $rel\text{-}gpv'' (=) (\lambda l r. l = Inl r) (\lambda l r. l = Inl r) (left\text{-}gpv$
 $gpv) gpv$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-gpv-Inr-transfer*: $rel\text{-}gpv'' (=) (\lambda l r. l = Inr r) (\lambda l r. l = Inr r)$
 $(right\text{-}gpv gpv) gpv$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exec-gpv-plus-oracle-left*: $exec\text{-}gpv (plus\text{-}oracle oracle1 oracle2) (left\text{-}gpv$
 $gpv) s = exec\text{-}gpv oracle1 gpv s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exec-gpv-plus-oracle-right*: $exec\text{-}gpv (plus\text{-}oracle oracle1 oracle2) (right\text{-}gpv$
 $gpv) s = exec\text{-}gpv oracle2 gpv s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-gpv-bind-gpv*: $left\text{-}gpv (bind\text{-}gpv gpv f) = bind\text{-}gpv (left\text{-}gpv gpv) (left\text{-}gpv$
 $\circ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inline1-left-gpv*:
 $inline1 (\lambda s q. left\text{-}gpv (callee s q)) gpv s =$
 $map\text{-}spmf (map\text{-}sum id (map\text{-}prod Inl (map\text{-}prod left\text{-}rpv id))) (inline1 callee$
 $gpv s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-gpv-inline*: $left\text{-}gpv (inline callee gpv s) = inline (\lambda s q. left\text{-}gpv (callee$
 $s q)) gpv s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-gpv-bind-gpv*: $right\text{-}gpv (bind\text{-}gpv gpv f) = bind\text{-}gpv (right\text{-}gpv gpv)$
 $(right\text{-}gpv \circ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inline1-right-gpv*:

$$\text{inline1 } (\lambda s q. \text{right-gpv} (\text{callee } s q)) \text{ gpv } s = \\ \text{map-spmf} (\text{map-sum id} (\text{map-prod Inr} (\text{map-prod right-rpv id}))) (\text{inline1 callee} \\ \text{gpv } s) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *right-gpv-inline*: $\text{right-gpv} (\text{inline callee gpv } s) = \text{inline} (\lambda s q. \text{right-gpv} \\ (\text{callee } s q)) \text{ gpv } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-left-gpv*: $\mathcal{I}1 \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark \implies \mathcal{I}1 \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}2 \vdash g \text{ left-gpv gpv } \checkmark$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *WT-gpv-right-gpv*: $\mathcal{I}2 \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark \implies \mathcal{I}1 \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}2 \vdash g \text{ right-gpv gpv } \checkmark$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *results-gpv-left-gpv [simp]*: $\text{results-gpv} (\mathcal{I}1 \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}2) (\text{left-gpv gpv}) = \text{re-} \\ \text{sults-gpv } \mathcal{I}1 \text{ gpv}$
 $(\text{is } ?\text{lhs} = ?\text{rhs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *results-gpv-right-gpv [simp]*: $\text{results-gpv} (\mathcal{I}1 \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}2) (\text{right-gpv gpv}) = \text{re-} \\ \text{sults-gpv } \mathcal{I}2 \text{ gpv}$
 $(\text{is } ?\text{lhs} = ?\text{rhs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *left-gpv-Fail [simp]*: $\text{left-gpv Fail} = \text{Fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-gpv-Fail [simp]*: $\text{right-gpv Fail} = \text{Fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rsuml-lsumr-left-gpv-left-gpv:map-gpv'* $\text{id rsuml lsumr} (\text{left-gpv} (\text{left-gpv} \\ \text{gpv})) = \text{left-gpv gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rsuml-lsumr-left-gpv-right-gpv: map-gpv' id rsuml lsumr* $(\text{left-gpv} (\text{right-gpv} \\ \text{gpv})) = \text{right-gpv} (\text{left-gpv gpv})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rsuml-lsumr-right-gpv: map-gpv' id rsuml lsumr* $(\text{right-gpv gpv}) = \text{right-gpv} \\ (\text{right-gpv gpv})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-gpv'-map-gpv-swap*:
 $\text{map-gpv}' f g h (\text{map-gpv } f' \text{ id gpv}) = \text{map-gpv} (f \circ f') \text{ id } (\text{map-gpv}' \text{ id } g h \text{ gpv})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lsumr-rsuml-left-gpv*: *map-gpv' id lsumr rsuml (left-gpv gpv) = left-gpv (left-gpv gpv)*
(proof)

lemma *lsumr-rsuml-right-gpv-left-gpv*:
map-gpv' id lsumr rsuml (right-gpv (left-gpv gpv)) = left-gpv (right-gpv gpv)
(proof)

lemma *lsumr-rsuml-right-gpv-right-gpv*:
map-gpv' id lsumr rsuml (right-gpv (right-gpv gpv)) = right-gpv gpv
(proof)

lemma *in-set-spmf-extend-state-oracle [simp]*:
x ∈ set-spmf (extend-state-oracle oracle s y) ↔
fst (snd x) = fst s ∧ (fst x, snd (snd x)) ∈ set-spmf (oracle (snd s) y)
(proof)

lemma *extend-state-oracle-plus-oracle*:
extend-state-oracle (plus-oracle oracle1 oracle2) = plus-oracle (extend-state-oracle oracle1) (extend-state-oracle oracle2)
(proof)

definition *stateless-callee* :: ('a ⇒ ('b, 'out, 'in) gpv) ⇒ ('s ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('b × 's, 'out, 'in) gpv) **where**
stateless-callee callee s = map-gpv (λb. (b, s)) id ∘ callee

lemma *stateless-callee-parametric'*:
includes *lifting-syntax notes [transfer-rule] = map-gpv-parametric'* **shows**
 $((A \implies rel-gpv'' B C R) \implies S \implies A \implies (rel-gpv'' (rel-prod B S) C R))$
stateless-callee stateless-callee
(proof)

lemma *id-oracle-alt-def*: *id-oracle = stateless-callee (λx. Pause x Done)*
(proof)

context

fixes *left* :: 's1 ⇒ 'x1 ⇒ ('y1 × 's1, 'call1, 'ret1) gpv
and *right* :: 's2 ⇒ 'x2 ⇒ ('y2 × 's2, 'call2, 'ret2) gpv
begin

fun *parallel-intercept* :: 's1 × 's2 ⇒ 'x1 + 'x2 ⇒ (('y1 + 'y2) × ('s1 × 's2), 'call1 + 'call2, 'ret1 + 'ret2) gpv
where
parallel-intercept (s1, s2) (Inl a) = left-gpv (map-gpv (map-prod Inl (λs1'. (s1', s2))) id (left s1 a))
| parallel-intercept (s1, s2) (Inr b) = right-gpv (map-gpv (map-prod Inr (Pair

```
s1)) id (right s2 b))
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

6.4 Expectation transformer semantics

```
theory GPV-Expectation imports
```

```
Computational-Model
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma le-enn2realI: [| ennreal x ≤ y; y = ⊤ |] ==> x ≤ 0 ==> x ≤ ennreal y  
<proof>
```

```
lemma enn2real-leD: [| ennreal x < y; x ≠ ⊤ |] ==> x < ennreal y  
<proof>
```

```
lemma ennreal-mult-le-self2I: [| y > 0 ==> x ≤ 1 |] ==> x * y ≤ y for x y :: ennreal  
<proof>
```

```
lemma ennreal-leI: x ≤ ennreal y ==> ennreal x ≤ y  
<proof>
```

```
lemma enn2real-INF: [| A ≠ {}; ∀ x ∈ A. f x < ⊤ |] ==> enn2real (INF x ∈ A. f x)  
= (INF x ∈ A. enn2real (f x))  
<proof>
```

```
lemma monotone-times-ennreal1: monotone (≤) (≤) (λx. x * y :: ennreal)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma monotone-times-ennreal2: monotone (≤) (≤) (λx. y * x :: ennreal)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma mono2mono-times-ennreal[THEN lfp.mono2mono2, cont-intro, simp]:  
shows monotone-times-ennreal: monotone (rel-prod (≤) (≤)) (≤) (λ(x, y). x *  
y :: ennreal)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma mcont-times-ennreal1: mcont Sup (≤) Sup (≤) (λy. x * y :: ennreal)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma mcont-times-ennreal2: mcont Sup (≤) Sup (≤) (λy. y * x :: ennreal)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma mcont2mcont-times-ennreal [cont-intro, simp]:  
 [| mcont lub ord Sup (≤) (λx. f x);  
   mcont lub ord Sup (≤) (λx. g x) |]  
 ==> mcont lub ord Sup (≤) (λx. f x * g x :: ennreal)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ereal-INF-cmult*: $0 < c \implies (\inf_{i \in I} c * f i) = \text{ereal } c * (\inf_{i \in I} f i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ereal-INF-multc*: $0 < c \implies (\inf_{i \in I} f i * c) = (\inf_{i \in I} f i) * \text{ereal } c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INF-mult-left-ennreal*:
 assumes $I = \{\} \implies c \neq 0$
 and $\llbracket c = \top; \exists i \in I. f i > 0 \rrbracket \implies \exists p > 0. \forall i \in I. f i \geq p$
 shows $c * (\inf_{i \in I} f i) = (\inf_{i \in I} c * f i :: \text{ennreal})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **including** *ennreal.lifting*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pmf-map-spmf-None*: $\text{pmf} (\text{map-spmf } f p) \text{ None} = \text{pmf } p \text{ None}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nn-integral-try-spmf*:
 nn-integral (*measure-spmf* (*try-spmf* $p q$)) $f = \text{nn-integral} (\text{measure-spmf } p) f +$
 nn-integral (*measure-spmf* q) $f * \text{pmf } p \text{ None}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INF-UNION*: $(\inf z \in \bigcup x \in A. B x. f z) = (\inf x \in A. \inf z \in B x. f z)$
for $f :: - \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{complete-lattice}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *nn-integral-spmf* :: $'a \text{ spmf} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{ennreal}) \Rightarrow \text{ennreal}$ **where**
 $\text{nn-integral-spmf } p = \text{nn-integral} (\text{measure-spmf } p)$

lemma *nn-integral-spmf-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]:
 includes *lifting-syntax*
 shows $(\text{rel-spmf } A \implies (A \implies (=)) \implies (=)) \text{ nn-integral-spmf nn-integral-spmf}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weight-spmf-mcont2mcont* [*THEN lfp.mcont2mcont, cont-intro*]:
 shows *weight-spmf-mcont*: $\text{mcont} (\text{lub-spmf}) (\text{ord-spmf } (=)) \text{ Sup } (\leq) (\lambda p. \text{ennreal} (\text{weight-spmf } p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono2mono-nn-integral-spmf* [*THEN lfp.mono2mono, cont-intro*]:
 shows *monotone-nn-integral-spmf*: $\text{monotone} (\text{ord-spmf } (=)) (\leq) (\lambda p. \text{integral}^N (\text{measure-spmf } p) f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cont-nn-integral-spmf*:
 cont lub-spmf (*ord-spmf* $(=)$) $\text{Sup } (\leq) (\lambda p :: 'a \text{ spmf}. \text{nn-integral} (\text{measure-spmf } p) f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mcont2mcont-nn-integral-spmf* [THEN *lfp.mcont2mcont, cont-intro*]:
 shows *mcont-nn-integral-spmf*:
 mcont lub-spmf (ord-spmf (=)) Sup (≤) (λp :: 'a spmf. nn-integral (measure-spmf p) f)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nn-integral-mono2mono*:
 assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in space M \implies monotone ord (\leq) (\lambda f. F f x)$
 shows *monotone ord (≤) (λf. nn-integral M (F f))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nn-integral-mono-lfp* [partial-function-mono]:
 — *Partial_Function.mono_tac* does not like conditional assumptions (more precisely the case splitter)
 $(\bigwedge x. lfp.mono-body (\lambda f. F f x)) \implies lfp.mono-body (\lambda f. nn-integral M (F f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INF-mono-lfp* [partial-function-mono]:
 $(\bigwedge x. lfp.mono-body (\lambda f. F f x)) \implies lfp.mono-body (\lambda f. INF x \in M. F f x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *parallel-fxpx-induct-1-2 = parallel-fxpx-induct-uc*[
 of - - - λx. x - λx. x case-prod - curry,
 where $P = \lambda f g. P f (curry g)$,
 unfolded case-prod-curry curry-case-prod curry-K,
 OF - - - refl refl]
 for *P*

lemma *monotone-ennreal-add1*: *monotone (≤) (≤) (λx. x + y :: ennreal)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *monotone-ennreal-add2*: *monotone (≤) (≤) (λy. x + y :: ennreal)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono2mono-ennreal-add*[THEN *lfp.mono2mono2, cont-intro, simp*]:
 shows *monotone-eadd*: *monotone (rel-prod (≤) (≤)) (≤) (λ(x, y). x + y :: ennreal)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ennreal-add-partial-function-mono* [partial-function-mono]:
 $\llbracket \text{monotone (fun-ord (≤)) (≤) f; monotone (fun-ord (≤)) (≤) g} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{monotone (fun-ord (≤)) (≤) } (\lambda x. f x + g x :: ennreal)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context
 fixes *fail* :: *ennreal*

```

and  $\mathcal{I}$  :: ('out, 'ret)  $\mathcal{I}$ 
and  $f$  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
notes [[function-internals]]
begin

partial-function (lfp-strong) expectation-gpv :: ('a, 'out, 'ret) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
  expectation-gpv gpv =
    ( $\int^+$  generat. (case generat of Pure  $x \Rightarrow f x$ 
      | IO out  $c \Rightarrow \text{INF}_{r \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I} \text{ out. expectation-gpv } (c r)$ )
     $\partial\text{measure-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv})$ 
    + fail * pmf (the-gpv gpv) None

lemma expectation-gpv-fixp-induct [case-names adm bottom step]:
  assumes lfp.admissible P
  and P ( $\lambda$ -. 0)
  and  $\bigwedge \text{expectation-gpv}'$ .  $\llbracket \bigwedge_{\text{gpv. }} \text{expectation-gpv}' \text{ gpv} \leq \text{expectation-gpv gpv; } P$ 
   $\text{expectation-gpv}' \rrbracket \implies$ 
     $P (\lambda_{\text{gpv. }} (\int^+ \text{generat. (case generat of Pure } x \Rightarrow f x \mid \text{IO out } c \Rightarrow \text{INF}_{r \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I} \text{ out. expectation-gpv}' (c r)) \partial\text{measure-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv})) + \text{fail}$ 
    * pmf (the-gpv gpv) None
  shows P expectation-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-Done [simp]: expectation-gpv (Done x) = f x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-Fail [simp]: expectation-gpv Fail = fail
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-lift-spmf [simp]:
  expectation-gpv (lift-spmf p) = ( $\int^+ x. f x \partial\text{measure-spmf } p$ ) + fail * pmf p None
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-Pause [simp]:
  expectation-gpv (Pause out c) = ( $\text{INF}_{r \in \text{responses-}\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I} \text{ out. expectation-gpv } (c r)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context begin
private definition weight-spmf' p = weight-spmf p
lemmas weight-spmf'-parametric = weight-spmf-parametric [folded weight-spmf'-def]
lemma expectation-gpv-parametric':
  includes lifting-syntax notes weight-spmf'-parametric[transfer-rule]
  shows ((=) ==> rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C R ==> (A ==> (=)) ==> rel-gpv'' A C R
  ==> (=)) expectation-gpv expectation-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

lemma expectation-gpv-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  includes lifting-syntax
  shows ((=) ==> rel- $\mathcal{I}$  C (=) ==> (A ==> (=)) ==> rel-gpv A C
  ==> (=)) expectation-gpv expectation-gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-cong:
  fixes fail fail'
  assumes fail: fail = fail'
  and  $\mathcal{I}$ :  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'$ 
  and gpv: gpv = gpv'
  and f:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}' \implies f x = g x$ 
  shows expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  f gpv = expectation-gpv fail'  $\mathcal{I}'$  g gpv'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-cong-fail:
  colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv ==> expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  f gpv = expectation-gpv fail'  $\mathcal{I}$  f
  gpv for fail
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-mono:
  fixes fail fail'
  assumes fail: fail ≤ fail'
  and fg: f ≤ g
  shows expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  f gpv ≤ expectation-gpv fail'  $\mathcal{I}$  g gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-mono-strong:
  fixes fail fail'
  assumes fail:  $\neg$  colossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv ==> fail ≤ fail'
  and fg:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}$  gpv ==> f x ≤ g x
  shows expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  f gpv ≤ expectation-gpv fail'  $\mathcal{I}$  g gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-bind [simp]:
  fixes  $\mathcal{I}$  f g fail
  defines expectation-gpv1 ≡ expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  f
  and expectation-gpv2 ≡ expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  (expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  f ∘ g)
  shows expectation-gpv1 (bind-gpv gpv g) = expectation-gpv2 gpv (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-try-gpv [simp]:
  fixes fail  $\mathcal{I}$  f gpv'
  defines expectation-gpv1 ≡ expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  f
  and expectation-gpv2 ≡ expectation-gpv (expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  f gpv')  $\mathcal{I}$  f
  shows expectation-gpv1 (try-gpv gpv gpv') = expectation-gpv2 gpv
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *expectation-gpv-restrict-gpv*:
 $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark \implies \text{expectation-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} f \text{ (restrict-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv)} = \text{expectation-gpv}$
fail $\mathcal{I} f \text{ gpv for fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expectation-gpv-const-le*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark \implies \text{expectation-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} (\lambda \cdot. c) \text{ gpv}$
 $\leq \max c \text{ fail for fail}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expectation-gpv-no-results*:
 $\llbracket \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} = \{\}; \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark \rrbracket \implies \text{expectation-gpv } 0 \mathcal{I} f \text{ gpv} = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expectation-gpv-cmult*:
fixes *fail*
assumes $0 < c \text{ and } c \neq \top$
shows $c * \text{expectation-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} f \text{ gpv} = \text{expectation-gpv } (c * \text{fail}) \mathcal{I} (\lambda x. c * f x) \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expectation-gpv-le-exec-gpv*:
assumes *callee*: $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-} \mathcal{I} \implies \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{callee } s \ x)$
and *WT-gpv*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark$
and *WT-callee*: $\bigwedge s. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } s \checkmark$
shows $\text{expectation-gpv } 0 \mathcal{I} f \text{ gpv} \leq \int^+ (x, s). f x \partial \text{measure-spmf } (\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *weight-gpv* :: $(\text{'out}, \text{'ret}) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'out}, \text{'ret}) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
where $\text{weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} = \text{enn2real } (\text{expectation-gpv } 0 \mathcal{I} (\lambda \cdot. 1) \text{ gpv})$

lemma *weight-gpv-Done* [*simp*]: $\text{weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} (\text{Done } x) = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weight-gpv-Fail* [*simp*]: $\text{weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ Fail} = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weight-gpv-lift-spmf* [*simp*]: $\text{weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} (\text{lift-spmf } p) = \text{weight-spmf } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weight-gpv-Pause* [*simp*]:
 $(\bigwedge r. r \in \text{responses-} \mathcal{I} \implies \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ c } r \checkmark)$
 $\implies \text{weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} (\text{Pause } \text{out } c) = (\text{if } \text{out} \in \text{outs-} \mathcal{I} \text{ then } \text{INF } r \in \text{responses-} \mathcal{I} \text{ out. weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} (c \ r) \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weight-gpv-nonneg*: $0 \leq \text{weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weight-gpv-le-1*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee \Rightarrow \text{weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \leq 1$
(proof)

theorem *weight-exec-gpv*:

assumes *callee*: $\bigwedge s. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{callee } s \ x)$
and *WT-gpv*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
and *WT-callee*: $\bigwedge s. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ callee } s \vee$
shows $\text{weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \leq \text{weight-spmf } (\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s)$
(proof)

lemma (in *callee-invariant-on*) *weight-exec-gpv*:

assumes *callee*: $\bigwedge s. \llbracket x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mid I \ s \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{callee } s \ x)$
and *WT-gpv*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
and *I*: $I \ s$
shows $\text{weight-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \leq \text{weight-spmf } (\text{exec-gpv callee gpv } s)$
including *lifting-syntax*
(proof)

6.5 Probabilistic termination

definition *pgen-lossless-gpv* :: ennreal $\Rightarrow ('c, 'r) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c, 'r) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *pgen-lossless-gpv fail* $\mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} = (\text{expectation-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} (\lambda_. 1) \text{ gpv} = 1)$ **for** *fail*

abbreviation *plossless-gpv* :: $('c, 'r) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c, 'r) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *plossless-gpv* $\equiv \text{pgen-lossless-gpv } 0$

abbreviation *pfinite-gpv* :: $('c, 'r) \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c, 'r) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *pfinite-gpv* $\equiv \text{pgen-lossless-gpv } 1$

lemma *pgen-lossless-gpvI* [intro?]: $\text{expectation-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} (\lambda_. 1) \text{ gpv} = 1 \Rightarrow \text{pgen-lossless-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$ **for** *fail*
(proof)

lemma *pgen-lossless-gpvD*: $\text{pgen-lossless-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow \text{expectation-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} (\lambda_. 1) \text{ gpv} = 1$ **for** *fail*
(proof)

lemma *lossless-imp-plossless-gpv*:

assumes *lossless-gpv* $\mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
shows *plossless-gpv* $\mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
(proof)

lemma *finite-imp-pfinite-gpv*:

assumes *finite-gpv* $\mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
shows *pfinite-gpv* $\mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
(proof)

lemma *plossless-gpv-lossless-spmfD*:

assumes *lossless*: *plossless-gpv* \mathcal{I} *gpv*
and *WT*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$
shows *lossless-spmf* (*the-gpv gpv*)
{proof}

lemma

shows *plossless-gpv-ContD*:

$\llbracket \text{plossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv; IO out } c \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv}); \text{input} \in \text{responses-} \mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I} \text{ out; } \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{plossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} (c \text{ input})$

and *pfinite-gpv-ContD*:

$\llbracket \text{pfinite-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv; IO out } c \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{the-gpv gpv}); \text{input} \in \text{responses-} \mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I} \text{ out; } \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{pfinite-gpv } \mathcal{I} (c \text{ input})$

{proof}

lemma *plossless-iff-colossless-pfinite*:

assumes *WT*: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \vee$

shows *plossless-gpv* \mathcal{I} *gpv* \longleftrightarrow *colossless-gpv* \mathcal{I} *gpv* \wedge *pfinite-gpv* \mathcal{I} *gpv*

{proof}

lemma *pgen-lossless-gpv-Done* [*simp*]: *pgen-lossless-gpv fail* \mathcal{I} (*Done x*) **for** *fail*
{proof}

lemma *pgen-lossless-gpv-Fail* [*simp*]: *pgen-lossless-gpv fail* \mathcal{I} *Fail* \longleftrightarrow *fail = 1 for fail*
{proof}

lemma *pgen-lossless-gpv-PauseI* [*simp, intro!*]:

$\llbracket \text{out} \in \text{outs-} \mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I}; \bigwedge r. r \in \text{responses-} \mathcal{I} \text{ } \mathcal{I} \text{ out} \implies \text{pgen-lossless-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} (c \text{ r}) \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{pgen-lossless-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} (\text{Pause out } c) \text{ for fail}$

{proof}

lemma *pgen-lossless-gpv-bindI* [*simp, intro!*]:

$\llbracket \text{pgen-lossless-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv; } \bigwedge x. x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv} \implies \text{pgen-lossless-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} (f x) \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{pgen-lossless-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} (\text{bind-gpv gpv } f) \text{ for fail}$

{proof}

lemma *pgen-lossless-gpv-lift-spmf* [*simp*]:

pgen-lossless-gpv fail \mathcal{I} (*lift-spmf p*) \longleftrightarrow *lossless-spmf p* \vee *fail = 1 for fail*

{proof}

lemma *expectation-gpv-top-pfinite*:

assumes *pfinite-gpv* \mathcal{I} *gpv*

shows *expectation-gpv* \top \mathcal{I} ($\lambda \cdot. \top$) *gpv* = \top

{proof}

lemma *pfinite-INF-le-expectation-gpv*:

```

fixes fail  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv  $f$ 
defines  $c \equiv \min (\text{INF } x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}. f x)$  fail
assumes fin: pfinite-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
shows  $c \leq \text{expectation-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} f \text{ gpv}$  (is ?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma plossless-INF-le-expectation-gpv:
fixes fail
assumes plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv and  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$ 
shows ( $\text{INF } x \in \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}. f x$ )  $\leq \text{expectation-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} f \text{ gpv}$  (is ?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-le-inline:
fixes  $\mathcal{I}'$ 
defines expectation-gpv2  $\equiv$  expectation-gpv 0  $\mathcal{I}'$ 
assumes callee:  $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies \text{plossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{callee } s x)$ 
and callee':  $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{callee } s x) \subseteq \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}$ 
 $x \times \text{UNIV}$ 
and WT-gpv:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$ 
and WT-callee:  $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies \mathcal{I}' \vdash g \text{ callee } s x \checkmark$ 
shows expectation-gpv 0  $\mathcal{I} f \text{ gpv} \leq \text{expectation-gpv2 } (\lambda(x, s). f x)$  (inline callee
gpv s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma plossless-inline:
assumes lossless: plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
and WT:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$ 
and callee:  $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies \text{plossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{callee } s x)$ 
and callee':  $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies \text{results-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{callee } s x) \subseteq \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}$ 
 $x \times \text{UNIV}$ 
and WT-callee:  $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies \mathcal{I}' \vdash g \text{ callee } s x \checkmark$ 
shows plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  (inline callee gpv s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma plossless-exec-gpv:
assumes lossless: plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
and WT:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$ 
and callee:  $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{callee } s x)$ 
and callee':  $\bigwedge s. x. x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \implies \text{set-spmf } (\text{callee } s x) \subseteq \text{responses-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} x \times$ 
 $\text{UNIV}$ 
shows lossless-spmf (exec-gpv callee gpv s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv- $\mathcal{I}$ -mono:
defines expectation-gpv'  $\equiv$  expectation-gpv
assumes le:  $\mathcal{I} \leq \mathcal{I}'$ 
and WT:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$ 

```

shows expectation-gpv fail $\mathcal{I} f \text{gpv} \leq \text{expectation-gpv}' \text{ fail } \mathcal{I}' f \text{gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pgen-lossless-gpv-mono:
assumes *: pgen-lossless-gpv fail $\mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
and le: $\mathcal{I} \leq \mathcal{I}'$
and WT: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$
and fail: $\text{fail} \leq 1$
shows pgen-lossless-gpv fail $\mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma plossless-gpv-mono:
 $\llbracket \text{plossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}; \mathcal{I} \leq \mathcal{I}'; \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark \rrbracket \implies \text{plossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pfinitite-gpv-mono:
 $\llbracket \text{pfinitite-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}; \mathcal{I} \leq \mathcal{I}'; \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark \rrbracket \implies \text{pfinitite-gpv } \mathcal{I}' \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pgen-lossless-gpv-parametric': **includes** lifting-syntax **shows**
 $((=) \implies \text{rel-}\mathcal{I} C R \implies \text{rel-gpv}'' A C R \implies ((=)) \text{ pgen-lossless-gpv}$
 pgen-lossless-gpv
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pgen-lossless-gpv-parametric: **includes** lifting-syntax **shows**
 $((=) \implies \text{rel-}\mathcal{I} C (=) \implies \text{rel-gpv} A C \implies ((=)) \text{ pgen-lossless-gpv}$
 pgen-lossless-gpv
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pgen-lossless-gpv-map-gpv-id [simp]:
 $\text{pgen-lossless-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} (\text{map-gpv } f \text{ id } \text{gpv}) = \text{pgen-lossless-gpv fail } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context raw-converter-invariant **begin**

lemma expectation-gpv-le-inline:
defines expectation-gpv2 \equiv expectation-gpv 0 \mathcal{I}'
assumes callee: $\bigwedge s x. \llbracket x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}; I s \rrbracket \implies \text{plossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{callee } s x)$
and WT-gpv: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$
and I: $I s$
shows expectation-gpv 0 $\mathcal{I} f \text{ gpv} \leq \text{expectation-gpv2 } (\lambda(x, s). f x) (\text{inline callee gpv } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma plossless-inline:
assumes lossless: plossless-gpv $\mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
and WT: $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv} \checkmark$
and callee: $\bigwedge s x. \llbracket I s; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies \text{plossless-gpv } \mathcal{I}' (\text{callee } s x)$
and I: $I s$

```

shows plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  (inline callee gpv  $s$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma expectation-left-gpv [simp]:
  expectation-gpv fail  $(\mathcal{I} \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}') f$  (left-gpv gpv) = expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I} f$  gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-right-gpv [simp]:
  expectation-gpv fail  $(\mathcal{I} \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}') f$  (right-gpv gpv) = expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}' f$  gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma pgen-lossless-left-gpv [simp]: pgen-lossless-gpv fail  $(\mathcal{I} \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}')$  (left-gpv gpv)
= pgen-lossless-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma pgen-lossless-right-gpv [simp]: pgen-lossless-gpv fail  $(\mathcal{I} \oplus_{\mathcal{I}} \mathcal{I}')$  (right-gpv gpv)
= pgen-lossless-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I}'$  gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in raw-converter-invariant) expectation-gpv-le-inline-invariant:
  defines expectation-gpv2 ≡ expectation-gpv 0  $\mathcal{I}'$ 
  assumes callee:  $\bigwedge s x. [\![ x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}; I s ]\!] \implies$  plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  (callee  $s x$ )
    and WT-gpv:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g$  gpv √
    and  $I: I s$ 
  shows expectation-gpv 0  $\mathcal{I} f$  gpv ≤ expectation-gpv2  $(\lambda(x, s). f x)$  (inline callee gpv  $s$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in raw-converter-invariant) plossless-inline-invariant:
  assumes lossless: plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
  and WT:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g$  gpv √
  and callee:  $\bigwedge s x. [\![ x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}; I s ]\!] \implies$  plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  (callee  $s x$ )
  and  $I: I s$ 
  shows plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  (inline callee gpv  $s$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

context callee-invariant-on begin

lemma raw-converter-invariant: raw-converter-invariant  $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}' (\lambda s x. \text{lift-spmf} (\text{callee } s x)) I$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in callee-invariant-on) plossless-exec-gpv:
  assumes lossless: plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
  and WT:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g$  gpv √
  and callee:  $\bigwedge s x. [\![ x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}; I s ]\!] \implies$  lossless-spmf (callee  $s x$ )
  and  $I: I s$ 

```

```

shows lossless-spmf (exec-gpv callee gpv s)
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma expectation-gpv-mk-lossless-gpv:
  fixes  $\mathcal{I}$   $y$ 
  defines  $rhs \equiv$  expectation-gpv 0  $\mathcal{I}$  ( $\lambda\_. y$ )
  assumes  $WT: \mathcal{I}' \vdash g$  gpv √
    and  $outs: outs-\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I} = outs-\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}'$ 
  shows expectation-gpv 0  $\mathcal{I}'$  ( $\lambda\_. y$ ) gpv ≤  $rhs$  (mk-lossless-gpv (responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}'$ )
x gpv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma plossless-gpv-mk-lossless-gpv:
  assumes plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
  and  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g$  gpv √
    and  $outs-\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I} = outs-\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}'$ 
  shows plossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}'$  (mk-lossless-gpv (responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$ ) x gpv)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in callee-invariant-on) exec-gpv-mk-lossless-gpv:
  assumes  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g$  gpv √
    and  $I s$ 
  shows exec-gpv callee (mk-lossless-gpv (responses- $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I}$ ) x gpv)  $s = exec-gpv$  callee
gpv  $s$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma expectation-gpv-map-gpv' [simp]:
  expectation-gpv fail  $\mathcal{I} f$  (map-gpv'  $g h k$  gpv) =
  expectation-gpv fail (map- $\mathcal{I}$   $h k$   $\mathcal{I}$ ) ( $f \circ g$ ) gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma plossless-gpv-map-gpv' [simp]:
  pgen-lossless-gpv  $b$   $\mathcal{I}$  (map-gpv'  $f g h$  gpv) ←→ pgen-lossless-gpv  $b$  (map- $\mathcal{I}$   $g h$   $\mathcal{I}$ )
gpv
⟨proof⟩

end

theory GPV-Bisim imports
  GPV-Expectation
begin

```

6.6 Bisimulation for oracles

Bisimulation is a consequence of parametricity

```

lemma exec-gpv-oracle-bisim':
  assumes *:  $X s1 s2$ 
  and bisim:  $\bigwedge s1 s2 x. X s1 s2 \Rightarrow \text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(a, s1') (b, s2')). a = b \wedge X s1' s2' \wedge (\text{oracle1 } s1 x) (\text{oracle2 } s2 x)$ 
  shows rel-spmf  $(\lambda(a, s1') (b, s2')). a = b \wedge X s1' s2' \wedge (\text{exec-gpv oracle1 gpv } s1) (\text{exec-gpv oracle2 gpv } s2)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma exec-gpv-oracle-bisim:
  assumes *:  $X s1 s2$ 
  and bisim:  $\bigwedge s1 s2 x. X s1 s2 \Rightarrow \text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(a, s1') (b, s2')). a = b \wedge X s1' s2' \wedge (\text{oracle1 } s1 x) (\text{oracle2 } s2 x)$ 
  and R:  $\bigwedge x s1' s2'. \llbracket X s1' s2'; (x, s1') \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{exec-gpv oracle1 gpv } s1); (x, s2') \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{exec-gpv oracle2 gpv } s2) \rrbracket \Rightarrow R(x, s1')(x, s2')$ 
  shows rel-spmf R  $(\text{exec-gpv oracle1 gpv } s1) (\text{exec-gpv oracle2 gpv } s2)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma run-gpv-oracle-bisim:
  assumes  $X s1 s2$ 
  and  $\bigwedge s1 s2 x. X s1 s2 \Rightarrow \text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(a, s1') (b, s2')). a = b \wedge X s1' s2' \wedge (\text{oracle1 } s1 x) (\text{oracle2 } s2 x)$ 
  shows run-gpv oracle1 gpv s1 = run-gpv oracle2 gpv s2
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context
  fixes joint-oracle ::  $(s1 \times s2) \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow ((b \times s1) \times (b \times s2)) \text{ spmf}$ 
  and oracle1 ::  $s1 \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow (b \times s1) \text{ spmf}$ 
  and bad1 ::  $s1 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  and oracle2 ::  $s2 \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow (b \times s2) \text{ spmf}$ 
  and bad2 ::  $s2 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
begin

  partial-function (spmf) exec-until-bad ::  $(x, a, b) \text{ gpv} \Rightarrow s1 \Rightarrow s2 \Rightarrow ((x \times s1) \times (x \times s2)) \text{ spmf}$ 
  where
    exec-until-bad gpv s1 s2 =
      (if bad1 s1 ∨ bad2 s2 then pair-spmf  $(\text{exec-gpv oracle1 gpv } s1) (\text{exec-gpv oracle2 gpv } s2)$ 
      else bind-spmf (the-gpv gpv) ( $\lambda \text{generat}$ .
        case generat of Pure x ⇒ return-spmf  $((x, s1), (x, s2))$ 
        | IO out f ⇒ bind-spmf (joint-oracle  $(s1, s2)$  out)  $(\lambda((x, s1'), (y, s2')).$ 
          if bad1 s1' ∨ bad2 s2' then pair-spmf  $(\text{exec-gpv oracle1 } (f x) s1') (\text{exec-gpv oracle2 } (f y) s2')$ 
          else exec-until-bad  $(f x) s1' s2')$ ))

lemma exec-until-bad-fixp-induct [case-names adm bottom step]:
  assumes ccpo.admissible (fun-lub lub-spmf) (fun-ord (ord-spmf (=))) ( $\lambda f. P(\lambda \text{gpv } s1 s2. f((\text{gpv}, s1), s2)))$ )
  and P ( $\lambda \dots. \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$ )

```

```

and  $\wedge_{exec-until-bad'}$ .  $P$   $exec-until-bad' \implies$ 
 $P (\lambda_{gpv} s1\ s2. if\ bad1\ s1 \vee bad2\ s2\ then\ pair-spmf\ (exec-gpv\ oracle1\ gpv\ s1)$ 
 $(exec-gpv\ oracle2\ gpv\ s2)$ 
 $else\ bind-spmf\ (the-gpv\ gpv)\ (\lambda generat.$ 
 $case\ generat\ of\ Pure\ x \Rightarrow return-spmf\ ((x,\ s1),\ (x,\ s2))$ 
 $| IO\ out\ f \Rightarrow bind-spmf\ (joint-oracle\ (s1,\ s2)\ out)\ (\lambda((x,\ s1'),\ (y,\ s2')).$ 
 $if\ bad1\ s1' \vee bad2\ s2'\ then\ pair-spmf\ (exec-gpv\ oracle1\ (f\ x)\ s1')\ (exec-gpv$ 
 $oracle2\ (f\ y)\ s2')$ 
 $else\ exec-until-bad'\ (f\ x)\ s1'\ s2'))$ 
shows  $P$   $exec-until-bad$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma  $exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad-plossless$ :
fixes  $s1 :: 's1$  and  $s2 :: 's2$  and  $X :: 's1 \Rightarrow 's2 \Rightarrow bool$ 
and  $oracle1 :: 's1 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 's1) spmf$ 
and  $oracle2 :: 's2 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 's2) spmf$ 
assumes  $*: if\ bad2\ s2\ then\ X\text{-bad}\ s1\ s2\ else\ X\ s1\ s2$ 
and  $bad: bad1\ s1 = bad2\ s2$ 
and  $bisim: \wedge s1\ s2\ x. \llbracket X\ s1\ s2; x \in outs-\mathcal{I}\ \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies rel-spmf\ (\lambda(a,\ s1')\ (b,\ s2')).$ 
 $bad1\ s1' = bad2\ s2' \wedge (if\ bad2\ s2'\ then\ X\text{-bad}\ s1'\ s2'\ else\ a = b \wedge X\ s1'\ s2')$ 
 $(oracle1\ s1\ x)\ (oracle2\ s2\ x)$ 
and  $bad-sticky1: \wedge s2. bad2\ s2 \implies callee-invariant-on\ oracle1\ (\lambda s1. bad1\ s1 \wedge$ 
 $X\text{-bad}\ s1\ s2)\ \mathcal{I}$ 
and  $bad-sticky2: \wedge s1. bad1\ s1 \implies callee-invariant-on\ oracle2\ (\lambda s2. bad2\ s2 \wedge$ 
 $X\text{-bad}\ s1\ s2)\ \mathcal{I}$ 
and  $lossless1: \wedge s1\ x. \llbracket bad1\ s1; x \in outs-\mathcal{I}\ \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies lossless-spmf\ (oracle1\ s1\ x)$ 
and  $lossless2: \wedge s2\ x. \llbracket bad2\ s2; x \in outs-\mathcal{I}\ \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies lossless-spmf\ (oracle2\ s2\ x)$ 
and  $lossless: plossless-gpv\ \mathcal{I}\ gpv$ 
and  $WT-oracle1: \wedge s1. \mathcal{I} \vdash c\ oracle1\ s1 \checkmark$ 
and  $WT-oracle2: \wedge s2. \mathcal{I} \vdash c\ oracle2\ s2 \checkmark$ 
and  $WT-gpv: \mathcal{I} \vdash g\ gpv \checkmark$ 
shows  $rel-spmf\ (\lambda(a,\ s1')\ (b,\ s2')). bad1\ s1' = bad2\ s2' \wedge (if\ bad2\ s2'\ then\ X\text{-bad}\ s1'\ s2'\ else\ a = b \wedge X\ s1'\ s2'))$ 
 $(exec-gpv\ oracle1\ gpv\ s1)\ (exec-gpv\ oracle2\ gpv\ s2)$ 
 $\langle is\ rel-spmf\ ?R\ ?p\ ?q \rangle$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad'$ :
fixes  $s1 :: 's1$  and  $s2 :: 's2$  and  $X :: 's1 \Rightarrow 's2 \Rightarrow bool$ 
and  $oracle1 :: 's1 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 's1) spmf$ 
and  $oracle2 :: 's2 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 's2) spmf$ 
assumes  $*: if\ bad2\ s2\ then\ X\text{-bad}\ s1\ s2\ else\ X\ s1\ s2$ 
and  $bad: bad1\ s1 = bad2\ s2$ 
and  $bisim: \wedge s1\ s2\ x. \llbracket X\ s1\ s2; x \in outs-\mathcal{I}\ \mathcal{I} \rrbracket \implies rel-spmf\ (\lambda(a,\ s1')\ (b,\ s2')).$ 
 $bad1\ s1' = bad2\ s2' \wedge (if\ bad2\ s2'\ then\ X\text{-bad}\ s1'\ s2'\ else\ a = b \wedge X\ s1'\ s2')$ 
 $(oracle1\ s1\ x)\ (oracle2\ s2\ x)$ 
and  $bad-sticky1: \wedge s2. bad2\ s2 \implies callee-invariant-on\ oracle1\ (\lambda s1. bad1\ s1 \wedge$ 

```

$X\text{-bad } s1\ s2) \mathcal{I}$
and $\text{bad-sticky2: } \bigwedge s1. \text{bad1 } s1 \implies \text{callee-invariant-on oracle2 } (\lambda s2. \text{bad2 } s2 \wedge X\text{-bad } s1\ s2) \mathcal{I}$
and $\text{lossless1: } \bigwedge s1\ x. [\![\text{bad1 } s1; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}]\!] \implies \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{oracle1 } s1\ x)$
and $\text{lossless2: } \bigwedge s2\ x. [\![\text{bad2 } s2; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}]\!] \implies \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{oracle2 } s2\ x)$
and $\text{lossless: lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
and $\text{WT-oracle1: } \bigwedge s1. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ oracle1 } s1 \checkmark$
and $\text{WT-oracle2: } \bigwedge s2. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ oracle2 } s2 \checkmark$
and $\text{WT-gpv: } \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark$
shows $\text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(a, s1') (b, s2'). \text{bad1 } s1' = \text{bad2 } s2' \wedge (\text{if bad2 } s2' \text{ then } X\text{-bad } s1' s2' \text{ else } a = b \wedge X\text{-bad } s1' s2'))$ ($\text{exec-gpv oracle1 gpv } s1$) ($\text{exec-gpv oracle2 gpv } s2$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad-invariant:}$
fixes $s1 :: 's1$ **and** $s2 :: 's2$ **and** $X :: 's1 \Rightarrow 's2 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $I1 :: 's1 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $I2 :: 's2 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{oracle1 :: } 's1 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 's1) \text{ spmf}$
and $\text{oracle2 :: } 's2 \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 's2) \text{ spmf}$
assumes $*: \text{if bad2 } s2 \text{ then } X\text{-bad } s1\ s2 \text{ else } X\ s1\ s2$
and $\text{bad: bad1 } s1 = \text{bad2 } s2$
and $\text{bisim: } \bigwedge s1\ s2\ x. [\![X\ s1\ s2; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}; I1\ s1; I2\ s2]\!] \implies \text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(a, s1') (b, s2'). \text{bad1 } s1' = \text{bad2 } s2' \wedge (\text{if bad2 } s2' \text{ then } X\text{-bad } s1' s2' \text{ else } a = b \wedge X\text{-bad } s1' s2'))$ ($\text{oracle1 } s1\ x$) ($\text{oracle2 } s2\ x$)
and $\text{bad-sticky1: } \bigwedge s2. [\![\text{bad2 } s2; I2\ s2]\!] \implies \text{callee-invariant-on oracle1 } (\lambda s1. \text{bad1 } s1 \wedge X\text{-bad } s1\ s2) \mathcal{I}$
and $\text{bad-sticky2: } \bigwedge s1. [\![\text{bad1 } s1; I1\ s1]\!] \implies \text{callee-invariant-on oracle2 } (\lambda s2. \text{bad2 } s2 \wedge X\text{-bad } s1\ s2) \mathcal{I}$
and $\text{lossless1: } \bigwedge s1\ x. [\![\text{bad1 } s1; I1\ s1; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}]\!] \implies \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{oracle1 } s1\ x)$
and $\text{lossless2: } \bigwedge s2\ x. [\![\text{bad2 } s2; I2\ s2; x \in \text{outs-}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}]\!] \implies \text{lossless-spmf } (\text{oracle2 } s2\ x)$
and $\text{lossless: lossless-gpv } \mathcal{I} \text{ gpv}$
and $\text{WT-gpv: } \mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark$
and $I1: \text{callee-invariant-on oracle1 } I1 \mathcal{I}$
and $I2: \text{callee-invariant-on oracle2 } I2 \mathcal{I}$
and $s1: I1\ s1$
and $s2: I2\ s2$
shows $\text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(a, s1') (b, s2'). \text{bad1 } s1' = \text{bad2 } s2' \wedge (\text{if bad2 } s2' \text{ then } X\text{-bad } s1' s2' \text{ else } a = b \wedge X\text{-bad } s1' s2'))$ ($\text{exec-gpv oracle1 gpv } s1$) ($\text{exec-gpv oracle2 gpv } s2$)
including *lifting-syntax*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad:}$
assumes $*: \text{if bad2 } s2 \text{ then } X\text{-bad } s1\ s2 \text{ else } X\ s1\ s2$
and $\text{bad: bad1 } s1 = \text{bad2 } s2$
and $\text{bisim: } \bigwedge s1\ s2\ x. X\ s1\ s2 \implies \text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(a, s1') (b, s2'). \text{bad1 } s1' = \text{bad2 } s2' \wedge (\text{if bad2 } s2' \text{ then } X\text{-bad } s1' s2' \text{ else } a = b \wedge X\text{-bad } s1' s2'))$ ($\text{oracle1 } s1\ x$) ($\text{oracle2 } s2\ x$)

```

s2 x)
and bad-sticky1:  $\bigwedge s2. \text{bad2 } s2 \implies \text{callee-invariant-on oracle1 } (\lambda s1. \text{bad1 } s1 \wedge X\text{-bad } s1 \ s2) \mathcal{I}$ 
and bad-sticky2:  $\bigwedge s1. \text{bad1 } s1 \implies \text{callee-invariant-on oracle2 } (\lambda s2. \text{bad2 } s2 \wedge X\text{-bad } s1 \ s2) \mathcal{I}$ 
and lossless1:  $\bigwedge s1 x. \text{bad1 } s1 \implies \text{lossless-spmf (oracle1 } s1 \ x)$ 
and lossless2:  $\bigwedge s2 x. \text{bad2 } s2 \implies \text{lossless-spmf (oracle2 } s2 \ x)$ 
and lossless: lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}$  gpv
and WT-oracle1:  $\bigwedge s1. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ oracle1 } s1 \checkmark$ 
and WT-oracle2:  $\bigwedge s2. \mathcal{I} \vdash c \text{ oracle2 } s2 \checkmark$ 
and WT-gpv:  $\mathcal{I} \vdash g \text{ gpv } \checkmark$ 
and R:  $\bigwedge a s1 b s2. [\text{bad1 } s1 = \text{bad2 } s2; \neg \text{bad2 } s2] \implies a = b \wedge X \ s1 \ s2; \text{bad2 } s2 \implies X\text{-bad } s1 \ s2 ] \implies R (a, s1) (b, s2)$ 
shows rel-spmf R (exec-gpv oracle1 gpv s1) (exec-gpv oracle2 gpv s2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-oracle-bisim-bad-full:
assumes X s1 s2
and bad1 s1 = bad2 s2
and  $\bigwedge s1 s2 x. X \ s1 \ s2 \implies \text{rel-spmf } (\lambda(a, s1') (b, s2'). \text{bad1 } s1' = \text{bad2 } s2' \wedge (\neg \text{bad2 } s2' \rightarrow a = b \wedge X \ s1' \ s2')) \ (\text{oracle1 } s1 \ x) \ (\text{oracle2 } s2 \ x)$ 
and callee-invariant oracle1 bad1
and callee-invariant oracle2 bad2
and  $\bigwedge s1 x. \text{bad1 } s1 \implies \text{lossless-spmf (oracle1 } s1 \ x)$ 
and  $\bigwedge s2 x. \text{bad2 } s2 \implies \text{lossless-spmf (oracle2 } s2 \ x)$ 
and lossless-gpv  $\mathcal{I}\text{-full gpv}$ 
and R:  $\bigwedge a s1 b s2. [\text{bad1 } s1 = \text{bad2 } s2; \neg \text{bad2 } s2] \implies a = b \wedge X \ s1 \ s2 ] \implies R (a, s1) (b, s2)$ 
shows rel-spmf R (exec-gpv oracle1 gpv s1) (exec-gpv oracle2 gpv s2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-enn2ereal: max (enn2ereal x) (enn2ereal y) = enn2ereal (max x y)
including ennreal.lifting ⟨proof⟩

lemma identical-until-bad:
assumes bad-eq: map-spmf bad p = map-spmf bad q
and not-bad: measure (measure-spmf (map-spmf (λx. (f x, bad x)) p)) (A × {False}) = measure (measure-spmf (map-spmf (λx. (f x, bad x)) q)) (A × {False})
shows |measure (measure-spmf (map-spmf f p)) A - measure (measure-spmf (map-spmf f q)) A| ≤ spmf (map-spmf bad p) True
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in callee-invariant-on) exec-gpv-bind-materialize:
fixes f :: 's ⇒ 'r spmf
and g :: 'x × 's ⇒ 'r ⇒ 'y spmf
and s :: 's
defines exec-gpv2 ≡ exec-gpv
assumes cond:  $\bigwedge s x y s'. [(y, s') \in \text{set-spmf } (\text{callee } s \ x); I \ s] \implies f \ s = f \ s'$ 
and I:  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}\text{-full}$ 

```

```

shows bind-spmf (exec-gpv callee gpv s) ( $\lambda as.$  bind-spmf ( $f (snd as)$ ) ( $g as$ )) =
  exec-gpv2 ( $\lambda(r, s) x.$  bind-spmf (callee s x) ( $\lambda(y, s'). if I s' \wedge r = None then$ 
 $map\text{-}spmf (\lambda r. (y, (Some r, s'))) (f s')$   $else return\text{-}spmf (y, (r, s')))) gpv (None,$ 
 $s)$ 
 $\gg= (\lambda(a, r, s). case r of None \Rightarrow bind\text{-}spmf (f s) (g (a, s)) | Some r' \Rightarrow g (a,$ 
 $s) r')$ 
 $(\mathbf{is} ?lhs = ?rhs \mathbf{is} - = bind\text{-}spmf (exec\text{-}gpv2 ?callee2 - -) -)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

primcorec gpv-stop :: ('a, 'c, 'r) gpv  $\Rightarrow$  ('a option, 'c, 'r option) gpv
where
  the-gpv (gpv-stop gpv) =
    map-spmf (map-generat Some id ( $\lambda rpv input.$  case input of None  $\Rightarrow$  Done None
    | Some input'  $\Rightarrow$  gpv-stop (rpv input')))
    (the-gpv gpv)

lemma gpv-stop-Done [simp]: gpv-stop (Done x) = Done (Some x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gpv-stop-Fail [simp]: gpv-stop Fail = Fail
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gpv-stop-Pause [simp]: gpv-stop (Pause out rpv) = Pause out ( $\lambda input.$  case
input of None  $\Rightarrow$  Done None | Some input'  $\Rightarrow$  gpv-stop (rpv input'))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gpv-stop-lift-spmf [simp]: gpv-stop (lift-spmf p) = lift-spmf (map-spmf
Some p)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gpv-stop-bind [simp]:
  gpv-stop (bind-gpv gpv f) = bind-gpv (gpv-stop gpv) ( $\lambda x.$  case x of None  $\Rightarrow$  Done
None | Some x'  $\Rightarrow$  gpv-stop (f x'))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context includes lifting-syntax begin

lemma gpv-stop-parametric':
  notes [transfer-rule] = the-gpv-parametric' the-gpv-parametric' Done-parametric'
  corec-gpv-parametric'
  shows (rel-gpv'' A C R ==> rel-gpv'' (rel-option A) C (rel-option R)) gpv-stop
  gpv-stop
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gpv-stop-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  shows (rel-gpv A C ==> rel-gpv (rel-option A) C) gpv-stop gpv-stop
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gpv-stop-transfer:

```

```


$$(rel-gpv'' A B C ==> rel-gpv'' (\text{pcr-Some } A) B (\text{pcr-Some } C)) (\lambda x. x) \text{ gpv-stop}$$


$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


end

lemma gpv-stop-map' [simp]:

$$\text{gpv-stop} (\text{map-gpv}' f g h \text{ gpv}) = \text{map-gpv}' (\text{map-option } f) g (\text{map-option } h)$$


$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma interaction-bound-gpv-stop [simp]:

$$\text{interaction-bound consider} (\text{gpv-stop } \text{gpv}) = \text{interaction-bound consider } \text{gpv}$$


$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


abbreviation exec-gpv-stop :: ('s  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  ('r option  $\times$  's) spmf)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c, 'r)

$$\text{gpv} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('a \text{ option} \times 's) \text{ spmf}$$

where exec-gpv-stop callee gpv  $\equiv$  exec-gpv callee (gpv-stop gpv)

abbreviation inline-stop :: ('s  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  ('r option  $\times$  's, 'c', 'r') spmf)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c, 'r)

$$\text{gpv} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('a \text{ option} \times 's, 'c', 'r') \text{ gpv}$$

where inline-stop callee gpv  $\equiv$  inline callee (gpv-stop gpv)

context
fixes joint-oracle :: 's1  $\Rightarrow$  's2  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  (('r option  $\times$  's1) option  $\times$  ('r option  $\times$  's2) option) pmf
and callee1 :: 's1  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  ('r option  $\times$  's1) spmf
notes [[function-internals]]
begin

partial-function (spmf) exec-until-stop :: ('a option, 'c, 'r) spmf  $\Rightarrow$  's1  $\Rightarrow$  's2  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$\text{bool} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ option} \times 's1 \times 's2) \text{ spmf}$$

where

$$\text{exec-until-stop } \text{gpv } s1 \text{ s2 } b =$$


$$(\text{if } b \text{ then}$$


$$\text{bind-spmf} (\text{the-gpv } \text{gpv}) (\lambda \text{generat. case generat of}$$


$$\text{Pure } x \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf} (x, s1, s2)$$


$$| \text{IO out } rpv \Rightarrow \text{bind-pmf} (\text{joint-oracle } s1 \text{ s2 out}) (\lambda(a, b).$$


$$\text{case a of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{return-pmf } \text{None}$$


$$| \text{Some } (r1, s1') \Rightarrow (\text{case b of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{undefined} | \text{Some } (r2, s2') \Rightarrow$$


$$\text{(case } (r1, r2) \text{ of } (\text{None}, \text{None}) \Rightarrow \text{exec-until-stop } (\text{Done None}) \text{ s1' s2'}$$


$$\text{True}$$


$$| (\text{Some } r1', \text{Some } r2') \Rightarrow \text{exec-until-stop } (rpv \text{ r1'}) \text{ s1' s2' True}$$


$$| (\text{None}, \text{Some } r2') \Rightarrow \text{exec-until-stop } (\text{Done None}) \text{ s1' s2' True}$$


$$| (\text{Some } r1', \text{None}) \Rightarrow \text{exec-until-stop } (rpv \text{ r1'}) \text{ s1' s2' False}))$$


$$\text{else}$$


$$\text{bind-spmf} (\text{the-gpv } \text{gpv}) (\lambda \text{generat. case generat of}$$


$$\text{Pure } x \Rightarrow \text{return-spmf} (\text{None}, s1, s2)$$


$$| \text{IO out } rpv \Rightarrow \text{bind-spmf} (\text{callee1 } s1 \text{ out}) (\lambda(r1, s1').$$


$$\text{case r1 of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{exec-until-stop } (\text{Done None}) \text{ s1' s2' False})$$


```

```

| Some r1' ⇒ exec-until-stop (rv r1') s1' s2 False)))
end

lemma ord-spmf-exec-gpv-stop:
  fixes callee1 :: ('c, 'r option, 's) callee
  and callee2 :: ('c, 'r option, 's) callee
  and S :: 's ⇒ 's ⇒ bool
  and gpv :: ('a, 'c, 'r) gpv
  assumes bisim:
    ∧ s1 s2 x. [S s1 s2; ¬ stop s2] ⇒
      ord-spmf (λ(r1, s1') (r2, s2'). le-option r2 r1 ∧ S s1' s2' ∧ (r2 = None ∧ r1
      ≠ None ↔ stop s2'))
      (callee1 s1 x) (callee2 s2 x)
    and init: S s1 s2
    and go: ¬ stop s2
    and sticking: ∧ s1 s2 x y s1'. [(y, s1') ∈ set-spmf (callee1 s1 x); S s1 s2; stop
      s2] ⇒ S s1' s2
    shows ord-spmf (rel-prod (ord-option ⊤)⁻¹⁻¹ S) (exec-gpv-stop callee1 gpv s1)
    (exec-gpv-stop callee2 gpv s2)
    ⟨proof⟩

end
theory GPV-Applicative imports
  Generative-Probabilistic-Value
  SPMF-Applicative
begin

```

6.7 Applicative instance for $(-, 'out, 'in)$ gpv

```

definition ap-gpv :: ('a ⇒ 'b, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv ⇒ ('b, 'out, 'in)
gpv
where ap-gpv f x = bind-gpv f (λf'. bind-gpv x (λx'. Done (f' x')))

adhoc-overloading Applicative.ap ≡ ap-gpv

abbreviation (input) pure-gpv :: 'a ⇒ ('a, 'out, 'in) gpv
where pure-gpv ≡ Done

context includes applicative-syntax begin

lemma ap-gpv-id: pure-gpv (λx. x) ◊ x = x
⟨proof⟩

lemma ap-gpv-comp: pure-gpv (○) ◊ u ◊ v ◊ w = u ◊ (v ◊ w)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ap-gpv-homo: pure-gpv f ◊ pure-gpv x = pure-gpv (f x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ap-gpv-interchange:  $u \diamond \text{pure-gpv } x = \text{pure-gpv } (\lambda f. f x) \diamond u$ 
⟨proof⟩

applicative gpv
for
  pure: pure-gpv
  ap: ap-gpv
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-conv-ap-gpv: map-gpv  $f (\lambda x. x)$  gpv = pure-gpv  $f \diamond \text{gpv}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-ap:
  exec-gpv callee  $(f \diamond x)$   $\sigma =$ 
  exec-gpv callee  $f \sigma \geqslant (\lambda(f', \sigma'). \text{pure-spmf } (\lambda(x', \sigma''). (f' x', \sigma'')) \diamond \text{exec-gpv}$ 
  callee  $x \sigma')$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma exec-gpv-ap-pure [simp]:
  exec-gpv callee  $(\text{pure-gpv } f \diamond x)$   $\sigma = \text{pure-spmf } (\text{apfst } f) \diamond \text{exec-gpv callee } x \sigma$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

7 Cyclic groups

```

theory Cyclic-Group imports
  HOL-Algebra.Coset
begin

record 'a cyclic-group = 'a monoid +
  generator :: 'a (⟨g1⟩)

locale cyclic-group = group G
  for G :: ('a, 'b) cyclic-group-scheme (structure)
  +
  assumes generator-closed [intro, simp]: generator G ∈ carrier G
  and generator: carrier G ⊆ range (λn :: nat. generator G [⊤]_G n)
begin

lemma generatorE [elim?]:
  assumes x ∈ carrier G
  obtains n :: nat where x = generator G [⊤] n
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-on-generator: inj-on (([⊤]) g) {.. < order G}

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-carrier*: *finite (carrier G)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *carrier-conv-generator*: *carrier G = ($\lambda n. g[n]$) ` {.. $<order G$ }*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij-betw-generator-carrier*:
bij-betw ($\lambda n :: nat. g[n]$) {.. $<order G$ } (carrier G)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *order-gt-0*: *order G > 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma (in monoid) order-in-range-Suc: *order G ∈ range Suc \longleftrightarrow finite (carrier G)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Cyclic-Group-SPMF imports*
Cyclic-Group
HOL-Probability.SPMF
begin

definition *sample-uniform :: nat \Rightarrow nat spmf*
where *sample-uniform n = spmf-of-set {.. n }*

lemma *spmf-sample-uniform*: *spmf (sample-uniform n) x = indicator {.. n } x / n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weight-sample-uniform*: *weight-spmf (sample-uniform n) = indicator (range Suc) n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weight-sample-uniform-0 [simp]*: *weight-spmf (sample-uniform 0) = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weight-sample-uniform-gt-0 [simp]*: *0 < n \implies weight-spmf (sample-uniform n) = 1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lossless-sample-uniform [simp]*: *lossless-spmf (sample-uniform n) \longleftrightarrow 0 < n*

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma set-spmf-sample-uniform [simp]:  $0 < n \implies \text{set-spmf}(\text{sample-uniform } n) = \{\dots < n\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cyclic-group) sample-uniform-one-time-pad:
assumes [simp]:  $c \in \text{carrier } G$ 
shows
 $\text{map-spmf}(\lambda x. \mathbf{g}[\lceil x \otimes c\rceil] (\text{sample-uniform}(\text{order } G)) =$ 
 $\text{map-spmf}(\lambda x. \mathbf{g}[\lceil x\rceil] (\text{sample-uniform}(\text{order } G))$ 
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

end
theory CryptHOL imports
  GPV-Bisim
  GPV-Applicative
  Computational-Model
  Negligible
  Cyclic-Group-SPMF
  List-Bits
  Environment-Functor
begin

end

```

References

- [1] A. Lochbihler. Probabilistic functions and cryptographic oracles in higher order logic. In P. Thiemann, editor, *Programming Languages and Systems (ESOP 2016)*, volume 9632 of *LNCS*, pages 503–531. Springer, 2016.