A Proof from THE BOOK: The Partial Fraction Expansion of the Cotangent

Manuel Eberl

March 19, 2025

Abstract

In this article, I formalise a proof from THE BOOK [1, Chapter 23]; namely a formula that was called 'one of the most beautiful formulas involving elementary functions':

$$\pi \cot(\pi z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z+n} + \frac{1}{z-n} \right)$$

The proof uses Herglotz's trick to show the real case and analytic continuation for the complex case.

Contents

1	$\mathbf{Th}\epsilon$	Partial-Fraction Formula for the Cotangent Function
	1.1	Auxiliary lemmas
	1.2	Definition of auxiliary function
	1.3	Holomorphicity and continuity
	1.4	Functional equations
	1.5	The limit at 0
	1.6	Final result

1 The Partial-Fraction Formula for the Cotangent Function

 ${\bf theory}\ Cotangent - PFD - Formula \\ {\bf imports}\ HOL - Complex - Analysis. Complex - Analysis\ HOL - Real - Asymp. Real -$

1.1 Auxiliary lemmas

begin

```
lemma uniformly-on-image:
uniformly-on (f \cdot A) g = filtercomap (\lambda h. h \circ f) (uniformly-on A (g \circ f))
unfolding uniformly-on-def by (simp \ add: filtercomap\text{-}INF)
```

lemma uniform-limit-image:

lemma discrete-imp-not-islimpt:

```
uniform-limit (f 'A) g h F \longleftrightarrow uniform-limit A (\lambda x y. g x (f y)) (\lambda x. h (f x)) F by (simp add: uniformly-on-image filterlim-filtercomap-iff o-def)
```

```
lemma Ints-add-iff1 [simp]: x \in \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow x + y \in \mathbb{Z} \longleftrightarrow y \in \mathbb{Z}
by (metis Ints-add Ints-diff add.commute add-diff-cancel-right')
```

```
lemma Ints-add-iff2 [simp]: y \in \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow x + y \in \mathbb{Z} \longleftrightarrow x \in \mathbb{Z} by (metis Ints-add Ints-diff add-diff-cancel-right')
```

If a set is discrete (i.e. the difference between any two points is bounded from below), it has no limit points:

```
assumes e: 0 < e

and d: \forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. \ dist \ y \ x < e \longrightarrow y = x

shows \neg x \ is limpt \ S

proof

assume x \ is limpt \ S

hence x \ is limpt \ S - \{x\}

by (meson is limpt-punctured)

moreover from assms have closed (S - \{x\})

by (intro discrete-imp-closed) auto

ultimately show False

unfolding closed-limpt by blast
```

In particular, the integers have no limit point:

```
lemma Ints-not-limpt: \neg((x :: 'a :: real\text{-}normed\text{-}algebra\text{-}1) is limpt \mathbb{Z}) by (rule discrete-imp-not-is limpt [of 1]) (auto elim!: Ints-cases simp: dist-of-int)
```

The following lemma allows evaluating telescoping sums of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (f(n) - f(n+k))$$

where $f(n) \longrightarrow 0$, i.e. where all terms except for the first k are cancelled by later summands.

```
lemma sums-long-telescope:
 fixes f::nat \Rightarrow 'a:: \{topological\text{-}group\text{-}add, topological\text{-}comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add, ab\text{-}group\text{-}add}\}
 assumes lim: f \longrightarrow 0
 shows (\lambda n. f n - f (n + c)) sums (\sum k < c. f k) (is - sums ?S)
proof -
  thm tendsto-diff
 have (\lambda N. ?S - (\sum n < c. f (N + n))) \longrightarrow ?S - 0
  by (intro tendsto-intros tendsto-null-sum filterlim-compose[OF assms]; real-asymp)
  hence (\lambda N. ?S - (\sum n < c. f (N + n))) \longrightarrow ?S
   by simp
  moreover have eventually (\lambda N. ?S - (\sum n < c. f(N + n)) = (\sum n < N. fn - n)
f(n+c)) sequentially
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of c]
  proof eventually-elim
   case (elim\ N)
   have (\sum n < N. f n - f (n + c)) = (\sum n < N. f n) - (\sum n < N. f (n + c))
     by (simp only: sum-subtractf)
   also have (\sum n < N. f n) = (\sum n \in \{... < c\} \cup \{c... < N\}. f n)
     using elim by (intro sum.cong) auto
   also have ... = (\sum n < c. f n) + (\sum n \in \{c.. < N\}. f n)
     by (subst sum.union-disjoint) auto
   also have (\sum n < N. f(n + c)) = (\sum n \in \{c.. < N+c\}. fn)
     using elim by (intro sum.reindex-bij-witness[of - \lambda n. n - c \lambda n. n + c]) auto
   also have ... = (\sum n \in \{c.. < N\} \cup \{N.. < N+c\}. f n)
     using elim by (intro sum.cong) auto
   also have ... = (\sum n \in \{c... < N\}. f n) + (\sum n \in \{N... < N+c\}. f n)
     by (subst sum.union-disjoint) auto
   also have (\sum n \in \{N... < N+c\}. \ f \ n) = (\sum n < c. \ f \ (N + n))
     by (intro sum.reindex-bij-witness[of - \lambda n. n + N \lambda n. n - N]) auto
   finally show ?case
     by simp
 qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
   unfolding sums-def by (rule Lim-transform-eventually)
qed
```

1.2 Definition of auxiliary function

The following function is the infinite sum appearing on the right-hand side of the cotangent formula. It can be written either as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x+n} + \frac{1}{x-n} \right)$$

or as

$$2x\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 - n^2} \ .$$

```
definition cot-pfd :: 'a :: {real-normed-field, banach} \Rightarrow 'a where cot-pfd x = (\sum n. \ 2 * x \ / \ (x \ 2 - of-nat \ (Suc \ n) \ 2))
```

The sum in the definition of *cot-pfd* converges uniformly on compact sets. This implies, in particular, that *cot-pfd* is holomorphic (and thus also continuous).

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ uniform\text{-}limit\text{-}cot\text{-}pfd\text{-}complex:
 assumes R > \theta
 shows uniform-limit (cball\ 0\ R::complex\ set)
          (\lambda N x. \sum n < N. 2 * x / (x ^2 - of-nat (Suc n) ^2)) cot-pfd sequentially
  unfolding cot-pfd-def
proof (rule Weierstrass-m-test-ev)
  have eventually (\lambda N. \text{ of-nat } (N+1) > R) \text{ at-top}
   by real-asymp
  thus \forall_F \ N \ in \ sequentially. \ \forall (x::complex) \in cball \ 0 \ R. \ norm \ (2 * x / (x ^2 -
of-nat (Suc\ N) \ \widehat{\ } 2)) \leq
         2 * R / (real (N + 1) ^2 - R ^2)
  proof eventually-elim
   case (elim\ N)
   show ?case
   proof safe
     fix x :: complex assume x :: x \in cball \ \theta \ R
     have (1 + real N)^2 - R^2 \leq norm ((1 + of-nat N :: complex) ^2) - norm
      using x by (auto intro: power-mono simp: norm-power simp flip: of-nat-Suc)
     also have ... \leq norm (x^2 - (1 + of\text{-}nat \ N :: complex)^2)
       by (metis norm-minus-commute norm-triangle-ineq2)
     finally show norm (2 * x / (x^2 - (of\text{-nat } (Suc N))^2)) \le 2 * R / (real (N))^2)
+1)^2 - R^2
       unfolding norm-mult norm-divide using \langle R \geq 0 \rangle x elim
       by (intro mult-mono frac-le) (auto intro: power-strict-mono)
   qed
 qed
next
 show summable (\lambda N. 2 * R / (real (N + 1) ^2 - R ^2))
  proof (rule summable-comparison-test-bigo)
   show (\lambda N.\ 2*R\ /\ (real\ (N+1)\ \widehat{\ }2-R\ \widehat{\ }2))\in O(\lambda N.\ 1\ /\ real\ N\ \widehat{\ }2)
     by real-asymp
 \mathbf{next}
   show summable (\lambda n. norm (1 / real n ^2))
     using inverse-power-summable [of 2] by (simp add: field-simps)
 qed
qed
lemma sums-cot-pfd-complex:
 fixes x :: complex
 shows (\lambda n. \ 2 * x / (x ^2 - of-nat (Suc n) ^2)) sums cot-pfd x
 using tendsto-uniform-limitI[OF\ uniform-limit-cot-pfd-complex[of\ norm\ x],\ of\ x]
 by (simp add: sums-def)
```

```
lemma sums-cot-pfd-complex'-aux:
 fixes x :: 'a :: \{banach, real-normed-field, field-char-0\}
 assumes x \notin \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}
 shows 2 * x / (x ^2 - of - nat (Suc n) ^2) =
          1 / (x + of\text{-}nat (Suc n)) + 1 / (x - of\text{-}nat (Suc n))
proof -
 have neg1: x + of\text{-}nat (Suc \ n) \neq 0
   using assms by (subst add-eq-0-iff2) (auto simp del: of-nat-Suc)
 have neq2: x - of\text{-}nat (Suc \ n) \neq 0
   \mathbf{using} \ assms \ \mathbf{by} \ (auto \ simp \ del: \ of\text{-}nat\text{-}Suc)
 have neg3: x \hat{2} - of-nat (Suc n) 2 \neq 0
   using assms by (auto simp del: of-nat-Suc simp: power2-eq-iff)
 show ?thesis using neq1 neq2 neq3
   by (simp add: divide-simps del: of-nat-Suc) (auto simp: power2-eq-square alge-
bra-simps)
qed
lemma sums-cot-pfd-complex':
 fixes x :: complex
 assumes x \notin \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}
 shows (\lambda n. \ 1 \ / \ (x + of\text{-}nat\ (Suc\ n)) + 1 \ / \ (x - of\text{-}nat\ (Suc\ n))) sums cot-pfd
 using sums-cot-pfd-complex[of x] sums-cot-pfd-complex'-aux[OF assms] by simp
{f lemma}\ summable	ext{-}cot	ext{-}pfd	ext{-}complex:
  fixes x :: complex
 shows summable (\lambda n. \ 2 * x / (x ^2 - of\text{-nat} (Suc \ n) ^2))
 using sums-cot-pfd-complex[of x] by (simp\ add:\ sums-iff)
lemma summable-cot-pfd-real:
 fixes x :: real
 shows summable (\lambda n. \ 2 * x / (x ^2 - of\text{-}nat (Suc \ n) ^2))
proof -
 have summable (\lambda n. complex-of-real (2 * x / (x ^2 - of-nat (Suc n) ^2)))
   using summable-cot-pfd-complex[of of-real x] by simp
 also have ?this \longleftrightarrow ?thesis
   by (rule summable-of-real-iff)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma sums-cot-pfd-real:
 fixes x :: real
 shows (\lambda n. \ 2 * x / (x ^2 - of-nat (Suc n) ^2)) sums cot-pfd x
 using summable-cot-pfd-real[of x] by (simp \ add: \ cot-pfd-def \ sums-iff)
lemma cot\text{-}pfd\text{-}complex\text{-}of\text{-}real [simp]: <math>cot\text{-}pfd (complex\text{-}of\text{-}real x) = of\text{-}real (cot\text{-}pfd)
x)
 using sums-of-real [OF sums-cot-pfd-real [of x], where ?'a = complex]
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ uniform\text{-}limit\text{-}cot\text{-}pfd\text{-}real\text{:}
 assumes R \geq \theta
 shows uniform-limit (cball 0 R :: real set)
           (\lambda N x. \sum n < N. 2 * x / (x ^2 - of-nat (Suc n) ^2)) cot-pfd sequentially
proof -
  have uniform-limit (cball 0 R)
            (\lambda N \ x. \ Re \ (\sum n < N. \ 2 * x / (x ^2 - of-nat (Suc n) ^2))) (\lambda x. \ Re
(cot\text{-}pfd\ x))\ sequentially
    by (intro uniform-limit-intros uniform-limit-cot-pfd-complex assms)
  hence uniform-limit (of-real 'cball 0 R)
            (\lambda N \ x. \ Re \ (\sum n < N. \ 2 \ * \ x \ / \ (x \ \widehat{\ } 2 \ - \ of\mbox{-nat} \ (Suc \ n) \ \widehat{\ } 2))) \ (\lambda x. \ Re
(cot\text{-}pfd\ x))\ sequentially
    by (rule uniform-limit-on-subset) auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: uniform-limit-image)
qed
1.3
        Holomorphicity and continuity
lemma has-field-derivative-cot-pfd-complex:
  fixes z :: complex
  assumes z: z \in -(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})
  shows (cot-pfd has-field-derivative (-Polygamma\ 1\ (1+z)-Polygamma\ 1
(1-z))(at z)
proof -
  define f :: nat \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex
    where f = (\lambda N x. \sum n < N. 2 * x / (x ^2 - of -nat (Suc n) ^2))
  \mathbf{define}\ f'::\ nat\ \Rightarrow\ complex\ \Rightarrow\ complex
    where f' = (\lambda N x. \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n < N. -1/(x + of\text{-nat }(Suc n)) ^2 - 1/(x - of\text{-nat }(Suc n))) ^2
(Suc\ n)) \ \widehat{\ } 2)
 have \exists g' : \forall x \in (\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}). (cot-pfd has-field-derivative g'(x)) (at x \in (\lambda n, f'(n)))
x) \longrightarrow g' x
  proof (rule has-complex-derivative-uniform-sequence)
    show open (-(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}) :: complex set)
     by (intro open-Compl closed-subset-Ints) auto
  next
    fix n :: nat and x :: complex
    assume x: x \in -(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})
    have nz: x^2 - (of\text{-}nat (Suc n))^2 \neq 0 for n
    proof
     assume x^2 - (of\text{-}nat\ (Suc\ n))^2 = 0
hence (of\text{-}nat\ (Suc\ n))^2 = x^2
       by algebra
      hence x = of\text{-}nat (Suc \ n) \lor x = -of\text{-}nat (Suc \ n)
       by (subst (asm) eq-commute, subst (asm) power2-eq-iff) auto
     moreover have (of-nat (Suc n) :: complex) \in \mathbb{Z} (-of-nat (Suc n) :: complex)
```

```
\in \mathbb{Z}
       by (intro Ints-minus Ints-of-nat)+
     ultimately show False using x
       by (auto simp del: of-nat-Suc)
   ged
   have nz1: x + of-nat (Suc \ k) \neq 0 for k
     using x by (subst add-eq-0-iff2) (auto simp del: of-nat-Suc)
   have nz2: x - of\text{-}nat (Suc \ k) \neq 0 \text{ for } k
     using x by (auto simp del: of-nat-Suc)
   have ((\lambda x. \ 2 * x / (x^2 - (of\text{-}nat (Suc k))^2)) has\text{-}field\text{-}derivative}
          -1 / (x + of\text{-}nat (Suc k))^2 - 1 / (x - of\text{-}nat (Suc k))^2) (at x) for k ::
nat
   proof -
       have ((\lambda x. inverse (x + of-nat (Suc k)) + inverse (x - of-nat (Suc k)))
has-field-derivative
            - inverse ((x + of\text{-nat }(Suc \ k)) \hat{\ } 2) - inverse ((x - of\text{-nat }(Suc \ k))) \hat{\ }
2) (at x)
       by (rule derivative-eq-intros refl nz1 nz2)+ (simp add: power2-eq-square)
     also have ?this \longleftrightarrow ?thesis
     proof (intro DERIV-cong-ev)
       have eventually (\lambda t. \ t \in -(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})) (nhds \ x) using x
         by (intro eventually-nhds-in-open open-Compl closed-subset-Ints) auto
      thus eventually (\lambda t. inverse (t + of\text{-}nat (Suc k)) + inverse (t - of\text{-}nat (Suc k)))
k)) =
                            2 * t / (t^2 - (of\text{-}nat (Suc k))^2)) (nhds x)
       proof eventually-elim
         case (elim\ t)
         thus ?case
           using sums-cot-pfd-complex'-aux[of\ t\ k] by (auto simp\ add: field-simps)
     qed (auto simp: field-simps)
     finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   thus (f \ n \ has\text{-field-derivative} \ f' \ n \ x) \ (at \ x)
     unfolding f-def f'-def by (intro DERIV-sum)
   fix x :: complex assume x: x \in -(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})
   have open (-(\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}) :: complex set)
     by (intro open-Compl closed-subset-Ints) auto
   then obtain r where r: r > \theta chall x r \subseteq -(\mathbb{Z} - \{\theta\})
     using x open-contains-cball by blast
   have uniform-limit (cball x r) f cot-pfd sequentially
     using uniform-limit-cot-pfd-complex[of norm <math>x + r] unfolding f-def
   proof (rule uniform-limit-on-subset)
     show cball\ x\ r\subseteq cball\ \theta\ (cmod\ x+r)
       by (subst cball-subset-cball-iff) auto
```

```
ged (use \langle r > \theta \rangle in auto)
    thus \exists d > 0. cball x \ d \subseteq -(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}) \land uniform\text{-}limit (cball } x \ d) \ f \ cot\text{-}pfd
sequentially
     using r by (intro\ exI[of - r]) auto
  then obtain g' where g': \Lambda x. x \in -(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}) \Longrightarrow (cot\text{-pfd has-field-derivative})
g'(x) (at(x))
                          \bigwedge x. \ x \in -(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}) \Longrightarrow (\lambda n. \ f' \ n \ x) \longrightarrow g' \ x \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
  have (\lambda n. f' n z) \longrightarrow -Polygamma 1 (1 + z) - Polygamma 1 (1 - z)
  proof -
   have (\lambda n. -inverse\ (((1+z) + of-nat\ n) \cap Suc\ 1) -
              inverse (((1-z) + of\text{-nat } n) \cap Suc \ 1)) sums
           (-((-1) \ \widehat{} \ Suc \ 1 * Polygamma \ 1 \ (1 + z) \ / \ fact \ 1) \ -
           (-1) ^{\circ} Suc 1 * Polygamma 1 (1-z) / fact 1)
      using z by (intro sums-diff sums-minus Polygamma-LIMSEQ) (auto simp:
add-eq-0-iff)
   also have ... = -Polygamma\ 1\ (1+z) - Polygamma\ 1\ (1-z)
     by simp
   also have (\lambda n. -inverse (((1+z) + of-nat n) \cap Suc 1) - inverse (((1-z)
+ of\text{-}nat \ n) \cap Suc \ 1)) =
              (\lambda n. -1/(z + of\text{-nat }(Suc \ n)) \hat{2} - 1/(z - of\text{-nat }(Suc \ n)) \hat{2})
     by (simp add: f'-def field-simps power2-eq-square)
   finally show ?thesis
     unfolding sums-def f'-def.
  qed
 with g'(2)[OF\ z] have g'\ z = -Polygamma\ 1\ (1+z) - Polygamma\ 1\ (1-z)
   using LIMSEQ-unique by blast
  with g'(1)[OF\ z] show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
lemma has-field-derivative-cot-pfd-complex' [derivative-intros]:
 assumes (g \text{ has-field-derivative } g') \text{ } (at x \text{ within } A) \text{ and } g x \notin \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}
 shows ((\lambda x. cot\text{-}pfd (g x :: complex)) has\text{-}field\text{-}derivative}
           (-Polygamma\ 1\ (1+q\ x)-Polygamma\ 1\ (1-q\ x))*q') (at x within
A)
 using DERIV-chain2 [OF has-field-derivative-cot-pfd-complex assms(1)] assms(2)
by auto
lemma Polygamma-real-conv-complex: x \neq 0 \Longrightarrow Polygamma \ n \ x = Re \ (Polygamma
n (of-real x)
  by (simp add: Polygamma-of-real)
lemma has-field-derivative-cot-pfd-real [derivative-intros]:
  assumes (g \text{ has-field-derivative } g') (at x \text{ within } A) and g x \notin \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}
           ((\lambda x.\ cot\text{-}pfd\ (g\ x::\ real))\ has\text{-}field\text{-}derivative}
           (-Polygamma\ 1\ (1+g\ x)-Polygamma\ 1\ (1-g\ x))*g') (at x within
A)
```

```
proof -
   have *: complex-of-real\ (g\ x) \notin \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}
       using assms(2) by auto
   have **: (1 + g x) \neq 0 (1 - g x) \neq 0
       using assms(2) by (auto simp: add-eq-0-iff)
   have ((\lambda x. Re\ ((cot\text{-}pfd \circ (\lambda x.\ of\text{-}real\ (g\ x)))\ x))\ has\text{-}field\text{-}derivative}
                      (-Polygamma\ 1\ (1+g\ x)-Polygamma\ 1\ (1-g\ x))*g')\ (at\ x\ within
A)
       by (rule derivative-eq-intros has-vector-derivative-real-field
                        field\text{-}vector\text{-}diff\text{-}chain\text{-}within assms refl *)+
             (use ** in \land auto simp: Polygamma-real-conv-complex))
   thus ?thesis
       by simp
qed
lemma holomorphic-on-cot-pfd [holomorphic-intros]:
   assumes A \subseteq -(\mathbb{Z} - \{0\})
   shows cot-pfd holomorphic-on A
proof -
   have cot-pfd holomorphic-on (-(\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}))
       unfolding holomorphic-on-def
       \textbf{using} \ \textit{has-field-derivative-cot-pfd-complex} \ \textit{field-differentiable-at-within}
                field-differentiable-def by fast
    thus ?thesis
       by (rule holomorphic-on-subset) (use assms in auto)
qed
lemma holomorphic-on-cot-pfd' [holomorphic-intros]:
   assumes f holomorphic-on A \land x. x \in A \Longrightarrow f x \notin \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}
   shows (\lambda x. cot\text{-}pfd (f x)) holomorphic-on A
   using holomorphic-on-compose[OF\ assms(1)\ holomorphic-on-cot-pfd]\ assms(2)
   by (auto simp: o-def)
lemma continuous-on-cot-pfd-complex [continuous-intros]:
   assumes continuous-on A f \land z. z \in A \Longrightarrow f z \notin \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}
   shows continuous-on A (\lambda x. cot-pfd (f x :: complex))
   by (rule\ continuous-on-compose2[OF\ holomorphic-on-imp-continuous-on[OF\ holomorph
               holomorphic-on-cot-pfd[OF\ order.reft]]\ assms(1)])\ (use\ assms(2)\ {\bf in}\ auto)
lemma continuous-on-cot-pfd-real [continuous-intros]:
   assumes continuous-on A f \land z. z \in A \Longrightarrow f z \notin \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}
   shows continuous-on A (\lambda x. cot-pfd (f x :: real))
proof -
   have continuous-on A (\lambda x. Re (cot-pfd (of-real (f x))))
       by (rule continuous-intros assms)+ (use assms in auto)
    thus ?thesis
       by simp
qed
```

1.4 Functional equations

In this section, we will show three few functional equations for the function *cot-pfd*. The first one is trivial; the other two are a bit tedious and not very insightful, so I will not comment on them.

```
cot-pfd is an odd function:
lemma cot\text{-}pfd\text{-}complex\text{-}minus [simp]: cot\text{-}pfd (-x :: complex) = -cot\text{-}pfd x
proof -
 have (\lambda n. \ 2 * (-x) / ((-x) \widehat{2} - of\text{-nat } (Suc \ n) \widehat{2})) =
       (\lambda n. - (2 * x / (x ^2 - of\text{-}nat (Suc n) ^2)))
   by simp
 also have ... sums - cot - pfd x
   by (intro sums-minus sums-cot-pfd-complex)
 finally show ?thesis
   using sums-cot-pfd-complex[of -x] sums-unique2 by blast
qed
lemma cot\text{-}pfd\text{-}real\text{-}minus [simp]: cot\text{-}pfd (-x :: real) = -cot\text{-}pfd x
  using cot-pfd-complex-minus[of of-real x]
 unfolding of-real-minus [symmetric] cot-pfd-complex-of-real of-real-eq-iff.
1 / x + cot - pfd x is periodic with period 1:
lemma cot-pfd-plus-1-complex:
 assumes x \notin \mathbb{Z}
 shows cot\text{-}pfd\ (x+1::complex) = cot\text{-}pfd\ x-1\ /\ (x+1)+1\ /\ x
proof -
 have *: x \hat{\ } 2 \neq of-nat n \hat{\ } 2 if x \notin \mathbb{Z} for x :: complex and n
   using that by (metis Ints-of-nat minus-in-Ints-iff power2-eq-iff)
 have **: x + of-nat n \neq 0 if x \notin \mathbb{Z} for x :: complex and n
   using that by (metis Ints-0 Ints-add-iff2 Ints-of-nat)
 have [simp]: x \neq 0
   using assms by auto
 have [simp]: x + 1 \neq 0
   using assms by (metis ** of-nat-1)
 have [simp]: x + 2 \neq 0
   using **[of x 2] assms by simp
 have lim: (\lambda n. 1 / (x + of\text{-nat } (Suc \ n))) \longrightarrow 0
  by (intro tendsto-divide-0[OF tendsto-const] tendsto-add-filterlim-at-infinity[OF
tendsto-const
             filterlim-compose[OF tendsto-of-nat] filterlim-Suc)
  have sum1: (\lambda n. 1 / (x + of-nat (Suc n)) - 1 / (x + of-nat (Suc n + 2)))
sums
         (\sum n < 2. 1 / (x + of\text{-}nat (Suc n)))
   using sums-long-telescope [OF lim, of 2] by (simp add: algebra-simps)
  have (\lambda n. \ 2 * x / (x^2 - (of\text{-}nat (Suc n))^2) - 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2) - (x + 1)^2)
(of\text{-}nat\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n)))^2))
```

```
sums (\cot -pfd \ x - (\cot -pfd \ (x+1) - 2 * (x+1) / ((x+1)^2 - (of-nat)^2))
(Suc \ \theta) \ \widehat{\ } \ 2))))
       using sums-cot-pfd-complex[of x + 1]
       by (intro sums-diff sums-cot-pfd-complex, subst sums-Suc-iff) auto
    also have 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of-nat (Suc \theta)^2)) = 2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^
(x * (x + 2))
       by (simp add: algebra-simps power2-eq-square)
    also have (\lambda n. \ 2 * x / (x^2 - (of\text{-}nat (Suc n))^2) -
                                   2 * (x + 1) / ((x + 1)^2 - (of\text{-nat } (Suc \ (Suc \ n)))^2)) =
                         (\lambda n. \ 1 \ / \ (x + of\text{-nat} \ (Suc \ n)) - 1 \ / \ (x + of\text{-nat} \ (Suc \ n + 2)))
       using *[of x] *[of x + 1] **[of x] **[of x + 1] assms
       apply (intro ext)
       apply (simp add: divide-simps del: of-nat-add of-nat-Suc)
       apply (simp add: algebra-simps power2-eq-square)
   finally have sum2: (\lambda n. 1 / (x + of\text{-}nat (Suc n)) - 1 / (x + of\text{-}nat (Suc n + of\text{-}nat (Suc n))))
2))) sums
                                               (cot\text{-}pfd\ x-cot\text{-}pfd\ (x+1)+2*(x+1)/(x*(x+2)))
       by (simp add: algebra-simps)
    have cot\text{-}pfd\ x - cot\text{-}pfd\ (x+1) + 2*(x+1) / (x*(x+2)) =
                (\sum n < 2. 1 / (x + of\text{-}nat (Suc n)))
       using sum1 sum2 sums-unique2 by blast
    hence cot\text{-}pfd\ x - cot\text{-}pfd\ (x+1) = -2*(x+1)\ /\ (x*(x+2)) + 1\ /\ (x+1)
 (1) + 1 / (x + 2)
       by (simp add: eval-nat-numeral divide-simps) algebra?
    also have ... = 1 / (x + 1) - 1 / x
       by (simp add: divide-simps) algebra?
    finally show ?thesis
       by algebra
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ cot	ext{-}pfd	ext{-}plus	ext{-}1	ext{-}real:
   assumes x \notin \mathbb{Z}
    shows cot\text{-}pfd\ (x+1::real) = cot\text{-}pfd\ x-1\ /\ (x+1)+1\ /\ x
proof -
    have cot\text{-}pfd (complex\text{-}of\text{-}real\ (x+1)) = cot\text{-}pfd\ (of\text{-}real\ x) - 1 / (of\text{-}real\ x+1)
1) + 1 / of-real x
        using cot-pfd-plus-1-complex[of x] assms by simp
    also have ... = complex-of-real (cot-pfd x - 1 / (x + 1) + 1 / x)
       by simp
    finally show ?thesis
       unfolding cot-pfd-complex-of-real of-real-eq-iff.
qed
cot-pfd satisfies the following functional equation:
```

$$2f(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right) + \frac{2}{x+1}$$

```
lemma cot-pfd-funeq-complex:
   fixes x :: complex
   assumes x \notin \mathbb{Z}
   shows 2 * cot\text{-pfd} \ x = cot\text{-pfd} \ (x / 2) + cot\text{-pfd} \ ((x + 1) / 2) + 2 / (x + 1)
proof -
    define f :: complex \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow complex where f = (\lambda x \ n. \ 1 \ / \ (x + of\text{-}nat \ (Suc
n)))
   define q :: complex \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow complex where q = (\lambda x \ n. \ 1 \ / \ (x - of-nat \ (Suc
n)))
   define h :: complex \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow complex where h = (\lambda x \ n. \ 2 * (f \ x \ (n+1) + g))
(x \ n)
   have sums: (\lambda n. f x n + g x n) sums cot-pfd x if x \notin \mathbb{Z} for x
       unfolding f-def g-def using that by (intro sums-cot-pfd-complex') auto
   have x / 2 \notin \mathbb{Z}
   proof
       assume x / 2 \in \mathbb{Z}
       hence 2 * (x / 2) \in \mathbb{Z}
           by (intro Ints-mult) auto
       thus False using assms by simp
    qed
    moreover have (x + 1) / 2 \notin \mathbb{Z}
   proof
       assume (x+1) / 2 \in \mathbb{Z}
       hence 2 * ((x + 1) / 2) - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}
           by (intro Ints-mult Ints-diff) auto
       thus False using assms by (simp add: field-simps)
   qed
    ultimately have (\lambda n. (f(x/2) n + g(x/2) n) + (f((x+1)/2) n + g(x/2) n)
((x+1) / 2) n) sums
                                        (cot\text{-}pfd\ (x\ /\ 2)\ +\ cot\text{-}pfd\ ((x\ +\ 1)\ /\ 2))
       by (intro sums-add sums)
   also have (\lambda n. (f(x / 2) n + g(x / 2) n) + (f((x+1) / 2) n + g((x+1) / 2) n)
(2) \ n)) =
                        (\lambda n. \ h \ x \ (2 * n) + h \ x \ (2 * n + 1))
   proof
       \mathbf{fix} \ n :: nat
       have (f(x / 2) n + g(x / 2) n) + (f((x+1) / 2) n + g((x+1) / 2) n) =
                   (f(x/2) n + f((x+1)/2) n) + (g(x/2) n + g((x+1)/2) n)
           by algebra
       also have f(x/2) n + f((x+1)/2) n = 2 * (fx(2*n+1) + fx(2*n+1)) + f(x(2*n+1) + f(x(2*n+1))) + f(x(2*n+1) + f(x(2*n+1))) + f(x(2*n+1)) + f(
(n + 2)
           by (simp add: f-def field-simps)
       also have g(x/2) n + g((x+1)/2) n = 2 * (g x (2 * n) + g x (2 * n + n))
1))
           by (simp add: g-def field-simps)
       also have 2 * (f x (2 * n + 1) + f x (2 * n + 2)) + ... =
```

```
h x (2 * n) + h x (2 * n + 1)
     unfolding h-def by (simp add: algebra-simps)
    finally show (f(x / 2) n + g(x / 2) n) + (f((x+1) / 2) n + g((x+1) / 2) n)
(2) \ n) =
                 h x (2 * n) + h x (2 * n + 1).
  qed
  finally have sum1:
   (\lambda n. \ h \ x \ (2 * n) + h \ x \ (2 * n + 1)) \ sums \ (cot\text{-pfd} \ (x \ / \ 2) + cot\text{-pfd} \ ((x + 1))
/(2)).
 have f x \longrightarrow \theta unfolding f-def
   by (intro tendsto-divide-0[OF tendsto-const]
             tendsto-add-filterlim-at-infinity[OF tendsto-const]
             filterlim-compose[OF tendsto-of-nat] filterlim-Suc)
 hence (\lambda n. \ 2 * (fx n + gx n) + 2 * (fx (Suc n) - fx n)) sums (2 * cot\text{-pfd})
x + 2 * (0 - f x 0)
   by (intro sums-add sums sums-mult telescope-sums assms)
  also have (\lambda n. \ 2*(fx\ n+g\ x\ n)+2*(fx\ (Suc\ n)-fx\ n))=h\ x
   by (simp add: h-def algebra-simps fun-eq-iff)
  finally have *: h \times sums (2 * cot\text{-}pfd \times x - 2 * f \times \theta)
   by simp
  have (\lambda n. sum (h x) \{n * 2... < n * 2 + 2\}) sums (2 * cot-pfd x - 2 * f x 0)
   using sums-group[OF *, of 2] by simp
  also have (\lambda n. sum (h x) \{n*2...< n*2+2\}) = (\lambda n. h x (2*n) + h x (2*n + 1))
1))
   by (simp add: mult-ac)
 finally have sum2: (\lambda n. \ h \ x \ (2 * n) + h \ x \ (2 * n + 1)) \ sums \ (2 * cot-pfd \ x - 1)
2 * f x 0.
  have cot-pfd (x / 2) + cot-pfd ((x + 1) / 2) = 2 * cot-pfd x - 2 * f x 0
   using sum1 sum2 sums-unique2 by blast
  also have 2 * f x 0 = 2 / (x + 1)
   by (simp add: f-def)
 finally show ?thesis by algebra
qed
lemma cot-pfd-funeq-real:
  fixes x :: real
  assumes x \notin \mathbb{Z}
 \mathbf{shows} \quad \textit{2} * \textit{cot-pfd} \; \textit{x} = \textit{cot-pfd} \; (\textit{x} \; / \; \textit{2}) + \textit{cot-pfd} \; ((\textit{x} \; + \; \textit{1}) \; / \; \textit{2}) + \textit{2} \; / \; (\textit{x} \; + \; \textit{1})
proof -
  have complex-of-real (2 * cot\text{-pfd } x) = 2 * cot\text{-pfd } (complex-of\text{-real } x)
   by simp
 also have ... = complex-of-real (cot-pfd (x / 2) + cot-pfd ((x + 1) / 2) + 2 / 2
(x + 1)
  using assms by (subst cot-pfd-funeq-complex) (auto simp flip: cot-pfd-complex-of-real)
  finally show ?thesis
   by (simp only: of-real-eq-iff)
```

1.5 The limit at 0

```
lemma cot\text{-}pfd\text{-}real\text{-}tendsto\text{-}0: cot\text{-}pfd -0 \rightarrow (0 :: real)
proof -
  have filterlim cot-pfd (nhds \theta) (at (\theta :: real) within ball \theta 1)
  proof (rule swap-uniform-limit)
   show uniform-limit (ball 0 1)
            (\lambda N \ x. \sum n < N. \ 2 * x \ / \ (x^2 - (real \ (Suc \ n))^2)) cot-pfd sequentially
    using uniform-limit-cot-pfd-real[OF zero-le-one] by (rule uniform-limit-on-subset)
auto
   have ((\lambda x. \ 2 * x / (x^2 - (real (Suc n))^2)) \longrightarrow 0) (at 0 within ball 0.1) for
   proof (rule filterlim-mono)
      show ((\lambda x. \ 2 * x \ / \ (x^2 - (real \ (Suc \ n))^2)) \longrightarrow \theta) \ (at \ \theta)
       by real-asymp
   ged (auto simp: at-within-le-at)
   thus \forall_F \ N \ in \ sequentially.
            ((\lambda x. \sum n < N. 2 * x / (x^2 - (real (Suc n))^2)) \longrightarrow 0) (at 0 within ball
0.1)
      by (intro always-eventually allI tendsto-null-sum)
  qed auto
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: at-within-open-NO-MATCH)
qed
```

1.6 Final result

To show the final result, we first prove the real case using Herglotz's trick, following the presentation in 'Proofs from THE BOOK'. [1, Chapter 23].

```
lemma cot\text{-}pfd\text{-}formula\text{-}real:
   assumes x \notin \mathbb{Z}
   shows pi * cot (pi * x) = 1 / x + cot\text{-}pfd x

proof —
   have ev\text{-}not\text{-}int: eventually (\lambda x. \ r \ x \notin \mathbb{Z}) (at \ x)
   if filterlim \ r \ (at \ (r \ x)) \ (at \ x) for r :: real \Rightarrow real and x :: real

proof (rule \ eventually\text{-}compose\text{-}filterlim[OF - that])
   show eventually \ (\lambda x. \ x \notin \mathbb{Z}) \ (at \ (r \ x))
   using Ints\text{-}not\text{-}limpt[of \ r \ x] \ islimpt\text{-}iff\text{-}eventually by blast
   qed
```

We define the function h(z) as the difference of the left-hand side and right-hand side. The left-hand side and right-hand side have singularities at the integers, but we will later see that these can be removed as h tends to θ there.

```
define f :: real \Rightarrow real where f = (\lambda x. \ pi * cot \ (pi * x)) define g :: real \Rightarrow real where g = (\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ x + cot - pfd \ x)
```

```
define h where h = (\lambda x. \ if \ x \in \mathbb{Z} \ then \ 0 \ else \ f \ x - g \ x)
 have [simp]: h x = 0 if x \in \mathbb{Z} for x
   using that by (simp add: h-def)
It is easy to see that the left-hand side and the right-hand side, and as a
consequence also our function h, are odd and periodic with period 1.
  have odd-h: h(-x) = -h x for x
   by (simp add: h-def minus-in-Ints-iff f-def g-def)
 have per-f: f(x + 1) = fx for x
   by (simp add: f-def algebra-simps cot-def)
 have per-g: g(x + 1) = g x if x \notin \mathbb{Z} for x
   using that by (simp add: g-def cot-pfd-plus-1-real)
  interpret h: periodic-fun-simple' h
   by standard (auto simp: h-def per-f per-g)
h tends to 0 at 0 (and thus at all the integers).
  have h-lim: h - \theta \rightarrow \theta
 proof (rule Lim-transform-eventually)
   have eventually (\lambda x. \ x \notin \mathbb{Z}) (at (0 :: real))
     by (rule ev-not-int) real-asymp
   thus eventually (\lambda x::real.\ pi*cot\ (pi*x)-1\ /\ x-cot\text{-}pfd\ x=h\ x)\ (at\ \theta)
     by eventually-elim (simp add: h-def f-def g-def)
   have (\lambda x :: real. \ pi * cot \ (pi * x) - 1 \ / \ x) - 0 \rightarrow 0
     unfolding cot-def by real-asymp
   hence (\lambda x :: real. \ pi * cot \ (pi * x) - 1 \ / \ x - cot - pfd \ x) - \theta \rightarrow \theta - \theta
     \mathbf{by}\ (intro\ tends to\text{-}intros\ cot\text{-}pfd\text{-}real\text{-}tends to\text{-}\theta)
   thus (\lambda x. \ pi * cot \ (pi * x) - 1 \ / \ x - cot - pfd \ x) - \theta \rightarrow \theta
     by simp
 qed
This means that our h is in fact continuous everywhere:
  have cont-h: continuous-on A h for A
 proof -
   have isCont \ h \ x for x
   proof (cases \ x \in \mathbb{Z})
     case True
     then obtain n where [simp]: x = of-int n
       by (auto elim: Ints-cases)
     show ?thesis unfolding isCont-def
       by (subst at-to-0) (use h-lim in \(\simp\) add: filterlim-filtermap h.plus-of-int\)
   next
     {f case} False
     have continuous-on (-\mathbb{Z}) (\lambda x. f x - g x)
          by (auto simp: f-def g-def sin-times-pi-eq-0 mult.commute[of pi] introl:
continuous-intros)
     hence isCont (\lambda x. f x - g x) x
       by (rule continuous-on-interior)
```

```
(use False in \(auto \simp: interior-open open-Compl[OF closed-Ints]\))
also have eventually (\lambda y. \ y \in -\mathbb{Z}) (nhds x)
using False by (intro eventually-nhds-in-open) auto
hence eventually (\lambda x. \ f \ x - g \ x = h \ x) (nhds x)
by eventually-elim (auto simp: h-def)
hence isCont (\lambda x. \ f \ x - g \ x) \ x \longleftrightarrow isCont \ h \ x
by (rule isCont-cong)
finally show ?thesis.

qed
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: continuous-at-imp-continuous-on)
qed
note [continuous-intros] = continuous-on-compose2[OF cont-h]
```

Through the functional equations of the sine and cosine function, we can derive the following functional equation for f that holds for all non-integer reals:

```
have eq-f: f x = (f(x / 2) + f((x + 1) / 2)) / 2 if x \notin \mathbb{Z} for x
 proof -
   have x / 2 \notin \mathbb{Z}
     using that by (metis Ints-add field-sum-of-halves)
   hence nz1: sin(x/2 * pi) \neq 0
     by (subst sin-times-pi-eq-0) auto
   have (x+1) / 2 \notin \mathbb{Z}
   proof
     assume (x+1) / 2 \in \mathbb{Z}
     hence 2 * ((x + 1) / 2) - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}
       by (intro Ints-mult Ints-diff) auto
     thus False using that by (simp add: field-simps)
   qed
   hence nz2: sin ((x+1)/2 * pi) \neq 0
     by (subst\ sin-times-pi-eq-0) auto
   have nz3: sin(x * pi) \neq 0
     using that by (subst sin-times-pi-eq-0) auto
   \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{eq} \colon \mathit{sin}\ (\mathit{pi}\,\ast\,x) \,=\, 2\,\ast\,\mathit{sin}\ (\mathit{pi}\,\ast\,x\,\,/\,\,2)\,\ast\,\mathit{cos}\ (\mathit{pi}\,\ast\,x\,\,/\,\,2)
            \cos (pi * x) = (\cos (pi * x / 2))^{2} - (\sin (pi * x / 2))^{2}
     using sin-double [of pi * x / 2] cos-double [of pi * x / 2] by simp-all
   show ?thesis using nz1 nz2 nz3
     apply (simp add: f-def cot-def field-simps)
     apply (simp add: add-divide-distrib sin-add cos-add power2-eq-square eq alge-
bra-simps)
     done
 \mathbf{qed}
```

The corresponding functional equation for cot-pfd that we have already shown leads to the same functional equation for g as we just showed for

```
f: have eq-g: g \ x = (g \ (x \ / \ 2) + g \ ((x + 1) \ / \ 2)) \ / \ 2 if x \notin \mathbb{Z} for x using cot\text{-}pfd\text{-}funeq\text{-}real[OF\ that]} by (simp\ add:\ g\text{-}def)
```

This then leads to the same functional equation for h, and because h is continuous everywhere, we can extend the validity of the equation to the full domain.

```
have eq-h: h \ x = (h \ (x \ / \ 2) + h \ ((x + 1) \ / \ 2)) \ / \ 2 for x = (h \ (x \ / \ 2) + h \ ((x + 1) \ / \ 2))
 proof -
   have eventually (\lambda x. \ x \notin \mathbb{Z}) (at \ x) eventually (\lambda x. \ x \ / \ 2 \notin \mathbb{Z}) (at \ x)
         eventually (\lambda x. (x + 1) / 2 \notin \mathbb{Z}) (at x)
     by (rule\ ev\text{-}not\text{-}int;\ real\text{-}asymp)+
   hence eventually (\lambda x. h x - (h (x / 2) + h ((x + 1) / 2)) / 2 = 0) (at x)
   proof eventually-elim
     case (elim\ x)
     thus ?case using eq-f[of x] eq-g[of x]
       by (simp add: h-def field-simps)
   hence (\lambda x. \ h \ x - (h \ (x \ / \ 2) + h \ ((x + 1) \ / \ 2)) \ / \ 2) \ -x \rightarrow 0
     by (simp add: tendsto-eventually)
    moreover have continuous-on UNIV (\lambda x.\ h\ x-(h\ (x\ /\ 2)+h\ ((x+1)\ /
2)) / 2)
     by (auto intro!: continuous-intros)
   ultimately have h x - (h (x / 2) + h ((x + 1) / 2)) / 2 = 0
     by (meson LIM-unique UNIV-I continuous-on-def)
   thus ?thesis
     by simp
 qed
```

Since h is periodic with period 1 and continuous, it must attain a global maximum h somewhere in the interval [0,1]. Let's call this maximum m and let x_0 be some point in the interval [0,1] such that $h(x_0) = m$.

```
define m where m = Sup (h ` \{0..1\})

have m \in h ` \{0..1\}

unfolding m-def

proof (rule\ closed\text{-}contains\text{-}Sup)

have compact (h ` \{0..1\})

by (intro\ compact\text{-}continuous\text{-}image\ cont\text{-}h) auto

thus bdd\text{-}above (h ` \{0..1\})\ closed (h ` \{0..1\})

by (auto\ intro:\ compact\text{-}imp\text{-}closed\ compact\text{-}imp\text{-}bounded\ bounded\text{-}imp\text{-}bdd\text{-}above})

qed auto

then obtain x0 where x0: x0 \in \{0..1\}\ h\ x0 = m

by blast

have h-le-m: h\ x \le m for x

proof -

have h\ x = h\ (frac\ x)

unfolding frac-def by (rule\ h.minus\text{-}of\text{-}int\ [symmetric])
```

```
also have \dots \leq m unfolding m-def
 proof (rule cSup-upper)
   have frac \ x \in \{0..1\}
     using frac-lt-1[of x] by auto
   thus h (frac x) \in h '\{0...1\}
     bv blast
 \mathbf{next}
   have compact (h ` \{0..1\})
     by (intro compact-continuous-image cont-h) auto
   thus bdd-above (h ` \{0..1\})
     by (auto intro: compact-imp-bounded bounded-imp-bdd-above)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

Through the functional equation for h, we can show that if h attains its maximum at some point x, it also attains it at $\frac{1}{2}x$. By iterating this, it attains the maximum at all points of the form $2^{-n}x_0$.

```
have h-eq-m-iter-aux: h(x / 2) = m if h x = m for x
 using eq-h[of x] that h-le-m[of x / 2] h-le-m[of (x + 1) / 2] by simp
have h-eq-m-iter: h(x\theta / 2 \hat{n}) = m for n
proof (induction \ n)
 case (Suc\ n)
 have h(x0 / 2 \hat{\ } Suc n) = h(x0 / 2 \hat{\ } n / 2)
   by (simp add: field-simps)
 also have \dots = m
   by (rule h-eq-m-iter-aux) (use Suc.IH in auto)
 finally show ?case.
\mathbf{ged} (use x\theta in auto)
```

Since the sequence $n \mapsto 2^{-n}x_0$ tends to 0 and h is continuous, we derive m

```
have (\lambda n. \ h \ (x\theta \ / \ 2 \ \widehat{} \ n)) \longrightarrow h \ \theta
by (rule continuous-on-tendsto-compose[OF cont-h[of UNIV]]) (force | real-asymp)+
moreover from h-eq-m-iter have (\lambda n. \ h \ (x0 \ / \ 2 \ \widehat{\ } n)) \longrightarrow m
  by simp
ultimately have m = h \theta
  using tendsto-unique by force
hence m = 0
  by simp
```

Since h is odd, this means that h is identically zero everywhere, and our result follows.

```
have h x = 0
   using h-le-m[of x] h-le-m[of -x] \langle m = \theta \rangle odd-h[of x] by linarith
   using assms by (simp add: h-def f-def g-def)
qed
```

We now lift the result from the domain $\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Z}$ to $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{Z}$. We do this by noting that $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{Z}$ is connected and the point $\frac{1}{2}$ is both in $\mathbb{C}\backslash\mathbb{Z}$ and a limit point of $\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Z}$.

```
lemma one-half-limit-point-Reals-minus-Ints: (1 / 2 :: complex) islimpt \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Z}
proof (rule islimptI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ T :: complex \ set
 assume 1 / 2 \in T open T
 then obtain r where r: r > 0 ball (1 / 2) r \subseteq T
   \mathbf{using}\ open\text{-}contains\text{-}ball\ \mathbf{by}\ blast
  define y where y = 1 / 2 + min r (1 / 2) / 2
 have y \in \{0 < .. < 1\}
   using r by (auto simp: y-def)
 hence complex-of-real y \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Z}
   by (auto elim!: Ints-cases)
  moreover have complex-of-real y \neq 1 / 2
  proof
   assume complex-of-real y = 1 / 2
   also have 1 / 2 = complex-of-real (1 / 2)
     by simp
   finally have y = 1 / 2
     unfolding of-real-eq-iff.
   with r show False
     by (auto\ simp:\ y\text{-}def)
 moreover have complex-of-real y \in ball (1 / 2) r
   using \langle r > \theta \rangle by (auto simp: y-def dist-norm)
  with r have complex-of-real y \in T
   by blast
 ultimately show \exists y \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Z}. y \in T \land y \neq 1 / 2
   by blast
\mathbf{qed}
theorem cot-pfd-formula-complex:
 \mathbf{fixes}\ z ::\ complex
 assumes z \notin \mathbb{Z}
 shows pi * cot (pi * z) = 1 / z + cot-pfd z
proof -
 let ?f = \lambda z :: complex. \ pi * cot \ (pi * z) - 1 \ / \ z - cot - pfd \ z
 have pi * cot (pi * z) - 1 / z - cot - pfd z = 0
 proof (rule analytic-continuation[where f = ?f])
   show ?f holomorphic-on -\mathbb{Z}
     unfolding cot-def by (intro holomorphic-intros) (auto simp: sin-eq-0)
   show open (-\mathbb{Z} :: complex set) connected (-\mathbb{Z} :: complex set)
    by (auto intro!: path-connected-imp-connected path-connected-complement-countable
countable-int)
 next
   show \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Z} \subseteq (-\mathbb{Z} :: complex set)
     by auto
```

```
\mathbf{next}
   show (1 / 2 :: complex) is limpt \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Z}
     \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule\ one-half-limit-point-Reals-minus-Ints})
    show 1 / (2 :: complex) \in -\mathbb{Z}
     using fraction-not-in-ints[of 2 1, where ?'a = complex] by auto
  next
    show z \in -\mathbb{Z}
     using assms by simp
  \mathbf{next}
    show ?f z = 0 if z \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Z} for z
   proof -
     have complex-of-real pi * cot (complex-of-real pi * z) - 1 / z - cot-pfd z =
            complex-of-real\ (pi*cot\ (pi*Re\ z)-1\ /\ Re\ z-cot-pfd\ (Re\ z))
       using that by (auto elim!: Reals-cases simp: cot-of-real)
     also have \dots = \theta
       by (subst cot-pfd-formula-real) (use that in (auto elim!: Reals-cases))
     finally show ?thesis.
    qed
  qed
  \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
   \mathbf{by} algebra
qed
\quad \text{end} \quad
```

References

[1] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler. *Proofs from THE BOOK*. Springer, 4th edition, 2009.