

Coproduct Measure

Michikazu Hirata

March 19, 2025

Abstract

This entry formalizes the coproduct measure. Let I be a set and $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ measurable spaces. The σ -algebra on $\coprod_{i \in I} M_i = \{(i, x) \mid i \in I \wedge x \in M_i\}$ is defined as the least one making $(\lambda x. (i, x))$ measurable for all $i \in I$. Let μ_i be measures on M_i for all $i \in I$ and A a measurable set of $\coprod_{i \in I} M_i$. The coproduct measure $\coprod_{i \in I} \mu_i$ is defined as follows:

$$\left(\coprod_{i \in I} \mu_i \right) (A) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i(A_i), \quad \text{where } A_i = \{x \mid (i, x) \in A\}.$$

We also prove the relationship with coproduct quasi-Borel spaces: the functor $R : \mathbf{Meas} \rightarrow \mathbf{QBS}$ preserves countable coproducts.

Contents

1 Preliminaries	2
1.1 Metrics and Metrizability	2
1.2 Copy of Extended non-negative reals	2
1.3 Lemmas for Infinite Sum	6
2 Binary Coproduct Measures	8
2.1 The Measurable Space and Measurability	9
2.2 Measures	10
2.3 Finiteness	11
2.4 σ -Finiteness	11
2.5 Non-Negative Integral	11
2.6 Integrability	11
2.7 The Lebesgue Integral	11
3 Coproduct Measures	11
3.1 The Measurable Space and Measurability	12
3.2 Measures	13
3.3 Non-Negative Integral	14
3.4 Integrability	14

3.5	The Lebesgue Integral	14
3.6	Finite Coproduct Measures	15
3.7	Countable Infinite Coproduct Measures	15
3.8	Finiteness	18
3.9	σ -Finiteness	18
4	Additional Properties	18
4.1	s-Finiteness	18
4.2	Standardness	18
4.3	Relationships with Quasi-Borel Spaces	19

1 Preliminaries

```
theory Lemmas-Coproduct-Measure
imports HOL-Probability.Probability
Standard-Borel-Spaces.Abstract-Metrizable-Topology
begin
```

1.1 Metrics and Metrizability

```
lemma metrizable-space-metric-space:
assumes d:Metric-space UNIV d Metric-space.mtopology UNIV d = euclidean
shows class.metric-space d ( $\bigcap e \in \{0 <..\}. \text{principal } \{(x,y). d x y < e\}$ ) open
⟨proof⟩

corollary metrizable-space-metric-space-ex:
assumes metrizable-space (euclidean :: 'a :: topological-space topology)
shows  $\exists (d :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}) F. \text{class.metric-space } d F \text{ open}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma completely-metrizable-space-metric-space:
assumes Metric-space (UNIV :: 'a :: topological-space set) d Metric-space.mtopology
UNIV d = euclidean Metric-space.mcomplete UNIV d
shows class.complete-space d ( $\bigcap e \in \{0 <..\}. \text{principal } \{(x,y). d x y < e\}$ ) open
⟨proof⟩

lemma completely-metrizable-space-metric-space-ex:
assumes completely-metrizable-space (euclidean :: 'a :: topological-space topology)
shows  $\exists (d :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}) F. \text{class.complete-space } d F \text{ open}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

1.2 Copy of Extended non-negative reals

In the proof of the change of ordering of the infinite sum (*infsum*) for *ennreal*, we use *infsum_Sigma* and *compact_uniformly_continuous*. Thus, we need to interpret *ennreal* as a metric space. However, there is no standard metric on *ennreal* even though it is a Polish space (thus, a metrizable space). Hence,

we do not want to give a metric on *ennreal* globally. Instead of defining a metric on *ennreal*, we define a type copy of *ennreal*, then define a metric on the copy and prove the change of ordering of the infinite sum. Finally, we transfer the theorems to the ones for *ennreal*.

```

typedef ennreal' = UNIV :: ennreal set
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-Abs-ennreal': bij Abs-ennreal'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-Abs-ennreal': inj Abs-ennreal'
  ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-ennreal'

instantiation ennreal' :: complete-linorder
begin

  lift-definition top-ennreal' :: ennreal' is top ⟨proof⟩
  lift-definition bot-ennreal' :: ennreal' is 0 ⟨proof⟩
  lift-definition sup-ennreal' :: ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' is sup ⟨proof⟩
  lift-definition inf-ennreal' :: ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' is inf ⟨proof⟩
  lift-definition Inf-ennreal' :: ennreal' set ⇒ ennreal' is Inf ⟨proof⟩
  lift-definition Sup-ennreal' :: ennreal' set ⇒ ennreal' is sup 0 ∘ Sup ⟨proof⟩

  lift-definition less-eq-ennreal' :: ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' ⇒ bool is ( $\leq$ ) ⟨proof⟩
  lift-definition less-ennreal' :: ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' ⇒ bool is ( $<$ ) ⟨proof⟩

  instance
    ⟨proof⟩

  end

  instantiation ennreal' :: infinity
  begin

    definition infinity-ennreal' :: ennreal'
    where
      [simp]:  $\infty = (\text{top} :: \text{ennreal})^\wedge$ 

    instance ⟨proof⟩

  end

  instantiation ennreal' :: {semiring-1-no-zero-divisors, comm-semiring-1}
  begin

    lift-definition one-ennreal' :: ennreal' is 1 ⟨proof⟩
    lift-definition zero-ennreal' :: ennreal' is 0 ⟨proof⟩
  
```

```

lift-definition plus-ennreal' :: ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' is (+) ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition times-ennreal' :: ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' is (*) ⟨proof⟩

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation ennreal' :: minus
begin

lift-definition minus-ennreal' :: ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' is minus ⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instance ennreal' :: numeral ⟨proof⟩

instance ennreal' :: ordered-comm-monoid-add
⟨proof⟩

lemma ennreal'-nonneg[simp]: ∀r :: ennreal'. 0 ≤ r
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-Rep-ennreal'[simp]: (∑ i∈I. Rep-ennreal' (f i)) = Rep-ennreal' (sum
f I)
⟨proof⟩

lemma transfer-sum-ennreal' [transfer-rule]:
rel-fun (rel-fun (=) pcr-ennreal') (rel-fun (=) pcr-ennreal') sum sum
⟨proof⟩

lemma pcr-ennreal'-eq: pcr-ennreal' a b ↔ b = Abs-ennreal' a
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-set-pcr-ennreal'-eq: rel-set pcr-ennreal' A B ↔ B = Abs-ennreal' ` A
⟨proof⟩

lemma transfer-lessThan-ennreal'[transfer-rule]:
rel-fun pcr-ennreal' (rel-set pcr-ennreal') lessThan lessThan
⟨proof⟩

lemma transfer-greaterThan-ennreal'[transfer-rule]:
rel-fun pcr-ennreal' (rel-set pcr-ennreal') greaterThan greaterThan
⟨proof⟩

The transfer rule for generate-topology.

lemma homeomorphism-generating-topology-imp:

```

```

assumes  $bj : bij f$ 
and  $\text{generate-topology } S a$ 
shows  $\text{generate-topology } ((\cdot) f ` S) (f ` a)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

corollary  $\text{homeomorphism-generating-topology-eq}:$ 
assumes  $bjf : bij f$ 
shows  $\text{generate-topology } S a = \text{generate-topology } ((\cdot) f ` S) (f ` a)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{transfer-generate-topology-ennreal}'[\text{transfer-rule}]:$ 
 $\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-set } (\text{rel-set } \text{pcr-ennreal}')) (\text{rel-fun } (\text{rel-set } \text{pcr-ennreal}') (=)) \text{ generate-topology generate-topology}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instantiation  $\text{ennreal}' :: \text{topological-space}$ 
begin

lift-definition  $\text{open-ennreal}' :: \text{ennreal}' \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ is open}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

instance  $\text{ennreal}' :: \text{second-countable-topology}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance  $\text{ennreal}' :: \text{linorder-topology}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{continuous-map-Abs-ennreal}' : \text{continuous-on } \text{UNIV} \text{ Abs-ennreal}'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{continuous-map-Rep-ennreal}' : \text{continuous-on } \text{UNIV} \text{ Rep-ennreal}'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

corollary  $\text{continuous-map-ennreal}'-\text{eq} : \text{continuous-on } A f \longleftrightarrow \text{continuous-on } A (\lambda x. \text{Rep-ennreal}'(f x))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{ennreal-ennreal}'-\text{homeomorphic} :$ 
 $(\text{euclidean} :: \text{ennreal topology}) \text{ homeomorphic-space } (\text{euclidean} :: \text{ennreal}' \text{ topology})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

corollary  $\text{Polish-space-ennreal}' : \text{Polish-space } (\text{euclidean} :: \text{ennreal}' \text{ topology})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instantiation  $\text{ennreal}' :: \text{metric-space}$ 

```

```

begin

definition dist-ennreal' :: ennreal' ⇒ ennreal' ⇒ real
  where dist-ennreal' ≡ SOME d. Metric-space UNIV d ∧
        Metric-space.mtopology UNIV d = euclidean ∧
        Metric-space.mcomplete UNIV d

definition uniformity-ennreal' :: (ennreal' × ennreal') filter
  where uniformity-ennreal' ≡ ⋃ e∈{0<..}. principal {(x,y). dist x y < e}

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.3 Lemmas for Infinite Sum

```

lemma transfer-nhds-ennreal'[transfer-rule]: rel-fun pcr-ennreal' (rel-filter pcr-ennreal')
nhds nhds
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas transfer-filtermap-ennreal'[transfer-rule] = filtermap-parametric[where A=HOL.eq
and B=pcr-ennreal']

```

```

lemma transfer-filterlim-ennreal'[transfer-rule]:
  rel-fun (rel-fun (=) pcr-ennreal') (rel-fun (rel-filter pcr-ennreal') (rel-fun (rel-filter
(=)) (=))) filterlim filterlim
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma transfer-The-ennreal:
  assumes P:∃!x. P x
  and rel-fun pcr-ennreal' (=) P P'
  shows The P' = Abs-ennreal' (The P)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma transfer-infsum-ennreal'[transfer-rule]:
  rel-fun (rel-fun (=) pcr-ennreal') (rel-fun (=) pcr-ennreal') infsum (infsum :: ('a
⇒ -) ⇒ - ⇒ -)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inf-sum-Rep-Abs-ennreal':infsum f A = Rep-ennreal' (infsum ((λx. Abs-ennreal'
(f x))) A)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma continuous-on-add-ennreal':
  fixes f g :: 'a::topological-space ⇒ ennreal'
  shows continuous-on A f ⇒ continuous-on A g ⇒ continuous-on A (λx. f x
+ g x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uniformly-continuous-add-ennreal': isUCont ( $\lambda(x:\text{ennreal}', y). x + y$ )
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma infsum-eq-suminf:
  assumes f summable-on UNIV
  shows ( $\sum_{n \in \text{UNIV}} f n$ ) = suminf f
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma infsum-Sigma-ennreal':
  fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal'
  shows infsum f (Sigma A B) = infsum ( $\lambda x. \text{infsum} (\lambda y. f (x, y)) (B x)$ ) A
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma infsum-swap-ennreal':
  fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal'
  shows infsum ( $\lambda x. \text{infsum} (\lambda y. f x y) B$ ) A = infsum ( $\lambda y. \text{infsum} (\lambda x. f x y) A$ )
  B
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma infsum-Sigma-ennreal:
  fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
  shows infsum f (Sigma A B) = infsum ( $\lambda x. \text{infsum} (\lambda y. f (x, y)) (B x)$ ) A
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma infsum-swap-ennreal:
  fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
  shows infsum ( $\lambda x. \text{infsum} (\lambda y. f x y) B$ ) A = infsum ( $\lambda y. \text{infsum} (\lambda x. f x y) A$ )
  B
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma has-sum-cmult-right-ennreal:
  fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
  assumes c <  $\top$  (f has-sum a) A
  shows (( $\lambda x. c * f x$ ) has-sum c * a) A
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma infsum-cmult-right-ennreal:
  fixes f :: -  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
  assumes c <  $\top$ 
  shows ( $\sum_{x \in A} f x$ ) = c * infsum f A
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ennreal-sum-SUP-eq:
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
  assumes finite A  $\wedge x. x \in A \implies \text{incseq} (\lambda j. f j x)$ 
  shows ( $\sum_{i \in A} f n i$ ) = ( $\bigcup_{n \in A} \sum_{i \in A} f n i$ )
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ennreal-infsum-Sup-eq:
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ - ⇒ ennreal
  assumes ∀x. x ∈ A ⇒ incseq (λj. f j x)
  shows (∑∞x∈A. (SUP j. f j x)) = (SUP j. (∑∞x∈A. f j x)) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bounded-infsum-summable:
  assumes ∀x. x ∈ A ⇒ f x ≥ 0 (∑∞x∈A. ennreal (f x)) < top
  shows f summable-on A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma infsum-less-top-dest:
  fixes f :: - ⇒ - : ordered-comm-monoid-add, topological-comm-monoid-add, t2-space,
  complete-linorder, linorder-topology
  assumes (∑∞x∈A. f x) < top ∀x. x ∈ A ⇒ f x ≥ 0 x ∈ A
  shows f x < top
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma infsum-ennreal-eq:
  assumes f summable-on A ∀x. x ∈ A ⇒ f x ≥ 0
  shows (∑∞x∈A. ennreal (f x)) = ennreal (∑∞x∈A. f x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-summable-on-integrable-iff:
  fixes f :: - ⇒ - : banach, second-countable-topology
  shows Infinite-Sum.abs-summable-on f A ↔ integrable (count-space A) f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma infsum-eq-integral:
  fixes f :: - ⇒ - : banach, second-countable-topology
  assumes Infinite-Sum.abs-summable-on f A
  shows infsum f A = integralL (count-space A) f
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

theory Coproduct-Measure
  imports Lemmas-Coproduct-Measure
  HOL-Analysis.Analysis
begin

```

2 Binary Coproduct Measures

```

definition copair-measure :: ['a measure, 'b measure] ⇒ ('a + 'b) measure (infixr
  ⋃M 65) where
  M ⋃M N = measure-of (space M <+> space N)
    ({}{Inl ` A | A ∈ sets M} ∪ {}{Inr ` A | A ∈ sets N})
    (λA. emeasure M (Inl - ` A) + emeasure N (Inr - ` A))

```

2.1 The Measurable Space and Measurability

lemma

shows space-copair-measure: space (copair-measure $M N$) = space $M <+>$ space N

and sets-copair-measure-sigma:

sets (copair-measure $M N$)

= sigma-sets (space $M <+>$ space N) ($\{Inl \cdot A \mid A \in \text{sets } M\} \cup \{Inr \cdot A \mid A \in \text{sets } N\}$)

and Inl-measurable[measurable]: $Inl \in M \rightarrow_M M \oplus_M N$

and Inr-measurable[measurable]: $Inr \in N \rightarrow_M M \oplus_M N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sets-copair-measure-cong:

sets $M1 = sets M2 \implies sets N1 = sets N2 \implies sets (M1 \oplus_M N1) = sets (M2 \oplus_M N2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-image-Inl[measurable]: $A \in \text{sets } M \implies Inl \cdot A \in \text{sets } (M \oplus_M N)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-image-Inr[measurable]: $A \in \text{sets } N \implies Inr \cdot A \in \text{sets } (M \oplus_M N)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-vimage-Inl:

assumes [measurable]: $A \in \text{sets } (M \oplus_M N)$

shows $Inl -^{\cdot} A \in \text{sets } M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-vimage-Inr:

assumes [measurable]: $A \in \text{sets } (M \oplus_M N)$

shows $Inr -^{\cdot} A \in \text{sets } N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma in-sets-copair-measure-iff:

$A \in \text{sets } (\text{copair-measure } M N) \longleftrightarrow Inl -^{\cdot} A \in \text{sets } M \wedge Inr -^{\cdot} A \in \text{sets } N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-copair-Inl-Inr:

assumes [measurable]: $(\lambda x. f (Inl x)) \in M \rightarrow_M L$ $(\lambda x. f (Inr x)) \in N \rightarrow_M L$

shows $f \in M \oplus_M N \rightarrow_M L$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary measurable-copair-measure-iff:

$f \in M \oplus_M N \rightarrow_M L \longleftrightarrow (\lambda x. f (Inl x)) \in M \rightarrow_M L \wedge (\lambda x. f (Inr x)) \in N \rightarrow_M L$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-copair-dest1:

assumes [measurable]: $f \in L \rightarrow_M M \oplus_M N$ and $f -` (\text{Inl} ` \text{space } M) \cap \text{space } L = \text{space } L$

obtains f' where $f' \in L \rightarrow_M M \wedge x. x \in \text{space } L \implies f x = \text{Inl } (f' x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma measurable-copair-dest2:

assumes [measurable]: $f \in L \rightarrow_M M \oplus_M N$ and $f -` (\text{Inr} ` \text{space } N) \cap \text{space } L = \text{space } L$

obtains f' where $f' \in L \rightarrow_M N \wedge x. x \in \text{space } L \implies f x = \text{Inr } (f' x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma measurable-copair-dest3:

assumes [measurable]: $f \in L \rightarrow_M M \oplus_M N$

and $f -` (\text{Inl} ` \text{space } M) \cap \text{space } L \subset \text{space } L$

$f -` (\text{Inr} ` \text{space } N) \cap \text{space } L \subset \text{space } L$

obtains $f' f''$ where $f' \in L \rightarrow_M M$ $f'' \in L \rightarrow_M N$

$\wedge x. x \in \text{space } L \implies x \in f -` \text{Inl} ` \text{space } M \implies f x = \text{Inl } (f' x)$

$\wedge x. x \in \text{space } L \implies x \notin f -` \text{Inl} ` \text{space } M \implies f x = \text{Inr } (f'' x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Measures

lemma emeasure-copair-measure:

assumes [measurable]: $A \in \text{sets } (M \oplus_M N)$

shows $\text{emeasure } (M \oplus_M N) A = \text{emeasure } M (\text{Inl} -` A) + \text{emeasure } N (\text{Inr} -` A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma emeasure-copair-measure-space:

$\text{emeasure } (M \oplus_M N) (\text{space } (M \oplus_M N)) = \text{emeasure } M (\text{space } M) + \text{emeasure } N (\text{space } N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary

shows emeasure-copair-measure-Inl: $A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } (M \oplus_M N) (\text{Inl} ` A) = \text{emeasure } M A$

and emeasure-copair-measure-Inr: $B \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } (M \oplus_M N) (\text{Inr} ` B) = \text{emeasure } N B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma measure-copair-measure:

assumes [measurable]: $A \in \text{sets } (M \oplus_M N)$ $\text{emeasure } (M \oplus_M N) A < \infty$

shows $\text{measure } (M \oplus_M N) A = \text{measure } M (\text{Inl} -` A) + \text{measure } N (\text{Inr} -` A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

shows measure-copair-measure-Inl: $A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{measure } (M \oplus_M N) (\text{Inl} ` A) = \text{measure } M A$

$A) = \text{measure } M A$
and $\text{measure-copair-measure-Inr}: B \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{measure } (M \oplus_M N) (\text{Inr} 'B) = \text{measure } N B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Finiteness

lemma $\text{finite-measure-copair-measure}: \text{finite-measure } M \implies \text{finite-measure } N \implies \text{finite-measure } (M \oplus_M N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 σ -Finiteness

lemma $\text{sigma-finite-measure-copair-measure}:$
assumes $\text{sigma-finite-measure } M \text{ sigma-finite-measure } N$
shows $\text{sigma-finite-measure } (M \oplus_M N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.5 Non-Negative Integral

lemma $\text{nn-integral-copair-measure}:$
assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (M \oplus_M N)$
shows $(\int^+ x. f x \partial(M \oplus_M N)) = (\int^+ x. f (\text{Inl } x) \partial M) + (\int^+ x. f (\text{Inr } x) \partial N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.6 Integrability

lemma $\text{integrable-copair-measure-iff}:$
fixes $f :: 'a + 'b \Rightarrow 'c :: \{\text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$
shows $\text{integrable } (M \oplus_M N) f \longleftrightarrow \text{integrable } M (\lambda x. f (\text{Inl } x)) \wedge \text{integrable } N (\lambda x. f (\text{Inr } x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{interable-copair-measureI}:$
fixes $f :: 'a + 'b \Rightarrow 'c :: \{\text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$
shows $\text{integrable } M (\lambda x. f (\text{Inl } x)) \implies \text{integrable } N (\lambda x. f (\text{Inr } x)) \implies \text{integrable } (M \oplus_M N) f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.7 The Lebesgue Integral

lemma $\text{integral-copair-measure}:$
fixes $f :: 'a + 'b \Rightarrow 'c :: \{\text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$
assumes $\text{integrable } (M \oplus_M N) f$
shows $(\int x. f x \partial(M \oplus_M N)) = (\int x. f (\text{Inl } x) \partial M) + (\int x. f (\text{Inr } x) \partial N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3 Coproduct Measures

definition $\text{coPiM} :: ['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow 'a \text{ measure}] \Rightarrow ('i \times 'a) \text{ measure}$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
coPiM I Mi \equiv & \text{measure-of} \\
& (\text{SIGMA } i:I. \text{ space } (Mi i)) \\
& \{A. A \subseteq (\text{SIGMA } i:I. \text{ space } (Mi i)) \wedge (\forall i \in I. \text{Pair } i -` A \in \text{sets } (Mi i))\} \\
& (\lambda A. (\sum_{\infty} i \in I. \text{emeasure } (Mi i) (\text{Pair } i -` A)))
\end{aligned}$$

syntax

- $coPiM :: pttRN \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 'a measure \Rightarrow ('i \times 'a) measure (\langle \exists \Pi_M -\in-. / - \rangle \ 10)$
translations

$$\Pi_M x \in I. M \rightleftharpoons CONST coPiM I (\lambda x. M)$$

3.1 The Measurable Space and Measurability

lemma

shows $\text{space-coPiM}: \text{space } (coPiM I Mi) = (\text{SIGMA } i:I. \text{ space } (Mi i))$

and sets-coPiM :

$\text{sets } (coPiM I Mi) = \text{sigma-sets } (\text{SIGMA } i:I. \text{ space } (Mi i)) \{A. A \subseteq (\text{SIGMA } i:I. \text{ space } (Mi i)) \wedge (\forall i \in I. \text{Pair } i -` A \in \text{sets } (Mi i))\}$

and $\text{sets-coPiM-eq:sets } (coPiM I Mi) = \{A. A \subseteq (\text{SIGMA } i:I. \text{ space } (Mi i)) \wedge (\forall i \in I. \text{Pair } i -` A \in \text{sets } (Mi i))\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sets-coPiM-cong :

$I = J \implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{sets } (Mi i) = \text{sets } (Ni i)) \implies \text{sets } (coPiM I Mi) = \text{sets } (coPiM J Ni)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-coPiM2 :

assumes [measurable]: $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies f i \in Mi i \rightarrow_M N$

shows $(\lambda(i,x). f i x) \in coPiM I Mi \rightarrow_M N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{measurable-Pair-coPiM}[measurable \ (raw)]$:

assumes $i \in I$

shows $\text{Pair } i \in Mi i \rightarrow_M coPiM I Mi$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{measurable-Pair-coPiM}'$:

assumes $i \in I \ (\lambda(i,x). f i x) \in coPiM I Mi \rightarrow_M N$

shows $f i \in Mi i \rightarrow_M N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{measurable-copair-iff}: (\lambda(i,x). f i x) \in coPiM I Mi \rightarrow_M N \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in I. f i \in Mi i \rightarrow_M N)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{measurable-copair-iff}': f \in coPiM I Mi \rightarrow_M N \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in I. (\lambda x. f (i, x))$

$\in Mi i \rightarrow_M N)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coPair-inverse-space-unit*:

i ∈ *I* ⇒ *A* ∈ sets (*coPiM I Mi*) ⇒ *Pair i* −‘ *A* ∩ space (*Mi i*) = *Pair i* −‘ *A*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *measurable-Pair-vimage*:

assumes *i* ∈ *I* *A* ∈ sets (*coPiM I Mi*)
shows *Pair i* −‘ *A* ∈ sets (*Mi i*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *measurable-Sigma-singleton*[*measurable (raw)*]:

Λ*i* *A*. *i* ∈ *I* ⇒ *A* ∈ sets (*Mi i*) ⇒ {*i*} × *A* ∈ sets (*coPiM I Mi*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *sets-coPiM-countable*:

assumes *countable I*
shows sets (*coPiM I Mi*) = sigma-sets (*SIGMA i:I. space (Mi i)*) (Λ*i* ∈ *I*. (×)
{*i*} ‘ (sets (*Mi i*)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma *measurable-coPiM1'*:

assumes *countable I*
and [*measurable*]: *a* ∈ *N* →_{*M*} *count-space I* Λ *i* ∈ *a* ‘ (space *N*) ⇒ *g i* ∈ *N*
→_{*M*} *Mi i*
shows (λ*x*. (*a x, g (a x) x*)) ∈ *N* →_{*M*} *coPiM I Mi*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *measurable-coPiM1*:

assumes *countable I*
and *a* ∈ *N* →_{*M*} *count-space I* Λ *i* ∈ *I* ⇒ *g i* ∈ *N* →_{*M*} *Mi i*
shows (λ*x*. (*a x, g (a x) x*)) ∈ *N* →_{*M*} *coPiM I Mi*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *measurable-coPiM1-elements*:

assumes *countable I* **and** [*measurable*]: *f* ∈ *N* →_{*M*} *coPiM I Mi*
obtains *a g*

where *a* ∈ *N* →_{*M*} *count-space I*
Λ *i*. *i* ∈ *I* ⇒ space (*Mi i*) ≠ {} ⇒ *g i* ∈ *N* →_{*M*} *Mi i*
f = (λ*x*. (*a x, g (a x) x*))
⟨proof⟩

3.2 Measures

lemma *emeasure-coPiM*:

assumes *A* ∈ sets (*coPiM I Mi*)
shows *emeasure (coPiM I Mi) A* = (Σ_{∞*i* ∈ *I*} *emeasure (Mi i)* (*Pair i* −‘ *A*))
⟨proof⟩

corollary *emeasure-coPiM-space*:

emeasure (coPiM I Mi) (space (coPiM I Mi)) = ($\sum_{\infty i \in I} emeasure (Mi i)$ (space (Mi i)))
(proof)

lemma *emeasure-coPiM-copproj*:

assumes [measurable]: $i \in I$ $A \in sets (Mi i)$
shows $emeasure (coPiM I Mi) (\{i\} \times A) = emeasure (Mi i) A$
(proof)

lemma *measure-coPiM-copproj*: $i \in I \Rightarrow A \in sets (Mi i) \Rightarrow measure (coPiM I Mi) (\{i\} \times A) = measure (Mi i) A$
(proof)

lemma *emeasure-coPiM-less-top-summable*:

assumes [measurable]: $A \in sets (coPiM I Mi)$ $emeasure (coPiM I Mi) A < \infty$
shows ($\lambda i. measure (Mi i) (Pair i -' A)$) summable-on I
(proof)

lemma *measure-coPiM*:

assumes [measurable]: $A \in sets (coPiM I Mi)$ $emeasure (coPiM I Mi) A < \infty$
shows $measure (coPiM I Mi) A = (\sum_{\infty i \in I} measure (Mi i) (Pair i -' A))$
(proof)

3.3 Non-Negative Integral

lemma *nn-integral-coPiM*:

assumes $f \in borel-measurable (coPiM I Mi)$
shows $(\int^+ x. f x \partial coPiM I Mi) = (\sum_{\infty i \in I} (\int^+ x. f (i, x) \partial Mi i))$
(proof)

3.4 Integrability

lemma

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow 'b:\{\text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$
assumes integrable ($coPiM I Mi$) f
shows integrable-coPiM-dest-sum: $(\sum_{\infty i \in I} (\int^+ x. norm (f (i, x)) \partial Mi i)) < \infty$
and integrable-coPiM-dest-integrable: $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow integrable (Mi i) (\lambda x. f (i, x))$
and integrable-coPiM-summable-norm: $(\lambda i. (\int x. norm (f (i, x)) \partial Mi i))$ summable-on I
and integrable-coPiM-abs-summable: Infinite-Sum.abs-summable-on ($\lambda i. (\int x. f (i, x) \partial Mi i)$) I
and integrable-coPiM-summable: $(\lambda i. (\int x. f (i, x) \partial Mi i))$ summable-on I
(proof)

3.5 The Lebesgue Integral

lemma *integral-coPiM*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow 'b:\{\text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$

assumes *integrable* (*coPiM I Mi*) *f*
shows $(\int x. f x \partial \text{coPiM } I Mi) = (\sum_{i \in I} (\int x. f (i, x) \partial Mi i))$
<proof>

3.6 Finite Coproduct Measures

lemma *emeasure-coPiM-finite*:

assumes *finite I A ∈ sets (coPiM I Mi)*
shows *emeasure (coPiM I Mi) A = (sum i ∈ I. emeasure (Mi i) (Pair i -' A))*
<proof>

lemma *emeasure-coPiM-finite-space*:

finite I ⇒ emeasure (coPiM I Mi) (space (coPiM I Mi)) = (sum i ∈ I. emeasure (Mi i) (space (Mi i)))
<proof>

lemma *measure-coPiM-finite*:

assumes *finite I A ∈ sets (coPiM I Mi) emeasure (coPiM I Mi) A < ∞*
shows *measure (coPiM I Mi) A = (sum i ∈ I. measure (Mi i) (Pair i -' A))*
<proof>

lemma *nn-integral-coPiM-finite*:

assumes *finite I f ∈ borel-measurable (coPiM I Mi)*
shows $(\int^+ x. f x \partial (\text{coPiM } I Mi)) = (\sum_{i \in I} (\int^+ x. f (i, x) \partial (Mi i)))$
<proof>

lemma *integrable-coPiM-finite-iff*:

fixes *f :: - ⇒ 'c:{banach, second-countable-topology}*
shows *finite I ⇒ integrable (coPiM I Mi) f ↔ (∀ i ∈ I. integrable (Mi i) (λx. f (i, x)))*
<proof>

lemma *integral-coPiM-finite*:

fixes *f :: - ⇒ 'c:{banach, second-countable-topology}*
assumes *finite I integrable (coPiM I Mi) f*
shows $(\int x. f x \partial (\text{coPiM } I Mi)) = (\sum_{i \in I} (\int x. f (i, x) \partial (Mi i)))$
<proof>

3.7 Countable Infinite Coproduct Measures

lemma *emeasure-coPiM-countable-infinite*:

assumes [measurable]: *bij-betw from-n (UNIV :: nat set) I A ∈ sets (coPiM I Mi)*
shows *emeasure (coPiM I Mi) A = (sum n. emeasure (Mi (from-n n)) (Pair (from-n n) -' A))*
<proof>

lemmas *emeasure-coPiM-countable-infinite' = emeasure-coPiM-countable-infinite[OF bij-betw-from-nat-into]*

lemmas *emeasure-coPiM-nat = emeasure-coPiM-countable-infinite[OF bij-id,simplified]*

```

lemma measure-coPiM-countable-infinite:
  assumes [measurable,simp]: bij-betw from-n (UNIV :: nat set) I A ∈ sets (coPiM I Mi)
    and emeasure (coPiM I Mi) A < ∞
  shows measure (coPiM I Mi) A = (∑ n. measure (Mi (from-n n)) (Pair (from-n n) - ` A))) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
    and summable (λn. measure (Mi (from-n n)) (Pair (from-n n) - ` A)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas measure-coPiM-countable-infinite' = measure-coPiM-countable-infinite[OF bij-betw-from-nat-into]
lemmas measure-coPiM-nat = measure-coPiM-countable-infinite[OF bij-id,simplified id-apply]

lemma nn-integral-coPiM-countable-infinite:
  assumes [measurable]:bij-betw from-n (UNIV :: nat set) I f ∈ borel-measurable (coPiM I Mi)
    shows (∫⁺ x. f x ∂(coPiM I Mi)) = (∑ n. (∫⁺ x. f (from-n n, x) ∂(Mi (from-n n)))) (is - = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemmas nn-integral-coPiM-countable-infinite' = nn-integral-coPiM-countable-infinite[OF bij-betw-from-nat-into]
lemmas nn-integral-coPiM-nat = nn-integral-coPiM-countable-infinite[OF bij-id,simplified id-apply]

lemma
  fixes f :: - ⇒ 'b:{banach, second-countable-topology}
  assumes bij-betw from-n (UNIV :: nat set) I integrable (coPiM I Mi) f
  shows integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-dest-sum:(∑ n. (∫⁺ x. norm (f (from-n n, x)) ∂(Mi (from-n n)))) < ∞
    and integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-dest': ∀n. integrable (Mi (from-n n)) (λx. f (from-n n, x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-dest-sum' = integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-dest-sum[OF bij-betw-from-nat-into]
lemmas integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-dest'' = integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-dest'[OF bij-betw-from-nat-into]
lemmas integrable-coPiM-nat-dest-sum = integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-dest-sum[OF bij-id,simplified id-apply]
lemmas integrable-coPiM-nat-dest = integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-dest'[OF bij-id,simplified id-apply]

lemma
  fixes f :: - ⇒ 'b:{banach, second-countable-topology}
  assumes bij-betw from-n (UNIV :: nat set) I integrable (coPiM I Mi) f
  shows integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable-norm: summable (λn. (∫ x. norm (f (from-n n, x)) ∂(Mi (from-n n)))))
    and integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable-norm': summable (λn. norm

```

```

( $\int x. f (\text{from-}n n, x) \partial(M_i (\text{from-}n n)))$ )
and integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable: summable ( $\lambda n. (\int x. f (\text{from-}n n, x) \partial(M_i (\text{from-}n n)))$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable-norm'''
= integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable-norm[OF bij-betw-from-nat-into]
lemmas integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable-norm''''
= integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable-norm'[OF bij-betw-from-nat-into]
lemmas integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable'
= integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable[OF bij-betw-from-nat-into]
lemmas integrable-coPiM-nat-summable-norm
= integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable-norm[OF bij-id, simplified id-apply]
lemmas integrable-coPiM-nat-summable-norm'
= integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable-norm'[OF bij-id, simplified id-apply]
lemmas integrable-coPiM-nat-summable
= integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-summable[OF bij-id, simplified id-apply]

```

```

lemma
fixes  $f :: - \Rightarrow 'b:\{\text{banach, second-countable-topology}\}$ 
assumes countable  $I$  infinite  $I$  integrable (coPiM  $I M_i$ )  $f$ 
shows integrable-coPiM-countable-infinite-dest: $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{integrable } (M_i i)$ 
 $(\lambda x. f (i, x))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma integrable-coPiM-countable-infiniteI:
fixes  $f :: - \Rightarrow 'b:\{\text{banach, second-countable-topology}\}$ 
assumes bij-betw from-n ( $\text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set}$ )  $I \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (\lambda x. f (i, x)) \in$ 
borel-measurable ( $M_i i$ )
and ( $\sum n. (\int^+ x. \text{norm } (f (\text{from-}n n, x)) \partial(M_i (\text{from-}n n))) < \infty$ )
shows integrable (coPiM  $I M_i$ )  $f$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas integrable-coPiM-countable-infiniteI' = integrable-coPiM-countable-infiniteI[OF
bij-betw-from-nat-into]
lemmas integrable-coPiM-natiI = integrable-coPiM-countable-infiniteI[OF bij-id,
simplified id-apply]

```

```

lemma integral-coPiM-countable-infinite:
fixes  $f :: - \Rightarrow 'b:\{\text{banach, second-countable-topology}\}$ 
assumes bij-betw from-n ( $\text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set}$ )  $I$  integrable (coPiM  $I M_i$ )  $f$ 
shows ( $\int x. f x \partial(\text{coPiM } I M_i) = (\sum n. (\int x. f (\text{from-}n n, x) \partial(M_i (\text{from-}n n))))$ ) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas integral-coPiM-countable-infinite' = integral-coPiM-countable-infinite[OF
bij-betw-from-nat-into]
lemmas integral-coPiM-nat = integral-coPiM-countable-infinite[OF bij-id, simplified
id-apply]

```

3.8 Finiteness

```
lemma finite-measure-coPiM:  
  assumes finite I  $\wedge$  i.  $i \in I \implies$  finite-measure ( $M_i$ )  
  shows finite-measure (coPiM I  $M_i$ )  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

3.9 σ -Finiteness

```
lemma sigma-finite-measure-coPiM:  
  assumes countable I  $\wedge$  i.  $i \in I \implies$  sigma-finite-measure ( $M_i$ )  
  shows sigma-finite-measure (coPiM I  $M_i$ )  
  ⟨proof⟩  
end
```

4 Additional Properties

```
theory Coproduct-Measure-Additional  
imports Coproduct-Measure  
  Standard-Borel-Spaces.StandardBorel  
  S-Finite-Measure-Monad.Kernels  
  S-Finite-Measure-Monad.Measure-QuasiBorel-Adjunction  
begin
```

4.1 s-Finiteness

```
lemma s-finite-measure-copair-measure:  
  assumes s-finite-measure M s-finite-measure N  
  shows s-finite-measure (copair-measure M N)  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma s-finite-measure-coPiM:  
  assumes countable I  $\wedge$  i.  $i \in I \implies$  s-finite-measure ( $M_i$ )  
  shows s-finite-measure (coPiM I  $M_i$ )  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

4.2 Standardness

```
lemma standard-borel-copair-measure:  
  assumes standard-borel M standard-borel N  
  shows standard-borel ( $M \oplus_M N$ )  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

corollary

```
  shows standard-borel-ne-copair-measure1: standard-borel-ne M  $\implies$  standard-borel  
  N  $\implies$  standard-borel-ne ( $M \oplus_M N$ )  
  and standard-borel-ne-copair-measure2: standard-borel M  $\implies$  standard-borel-ne  
  N  $\implies$  standard-borel-ne ( $M \oplus_M N$ )
```

and standard-borel-ne-copair-measure: standard-borel-ne $M \implies$ standard-borel-ne $N \implies$ standard-borel-ne $(M \bigoplus_M N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma standard-borel-coPiM:
assumes countable $I \wedge i. i \in I \implies$ standard-borel $(Mi i)$
shows standard-borel $(coPiM I Mi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma standard-borel-ne-coPiM:
assumes countable $I \wedge i. i \in I \implies$ standard-borel $(Mi i)$
and $i \in I$ space $(Mi i) \neq \{\}$
shows standard-borel-ne $(coPiM I Mi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 Relationships with Quasi-Borel Spaces

Proposition19(3) [1]

lemma r-preserve-copair: measure-to-qbs $(copair-measure M N) = measure-to-qbs$
 $M \bigoplus_Q measure-to-qbs N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma r-preserve-coproduct:
assumes countable I
shows measure-to-qbs $(coPiM I M) = (\prod_Q i \in I. measure-to-qbs (M i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

References

- [1] C. Heunen, O. Kammar, S. Staton, and H. Yang. A convenient category for higher-order probability theory. In *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science*, LICS ’17. IEEE Press, 2017.