

# Context-Free Grammars and Languages

Tobias Nipkow, Markus Gschoßmann, Felix Krayer, Fabian Lehr,  
Bruno Philipp, August Martin Stimpfle, Kaan Taskin, Akihisa Yamada

May 29, 2025

## Abstract

This is a basic library of definitions and results about context-free grammars and languages. It includes context-free grammars and languages, parse trees, Chomsky normal form, pumping lemmas and the relationship of right-linear grammars to finite automata.

## Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>1 Context-Free Grammars</b>  | <b>3</b>  |
| 1.1 Symbols and Context-Free Grammars . . . . .   | 3         |
| 1.1.1 Finiteness Lemmas . . . . .   | 6         |
| 1.2 Derivations . . . . .   | 6         |
| 1.2.1 The standard derivations $\Rightarrow$ , $\Rightarrow^*$ , $\Rightarrow(n)$ . . . . . | 6         |
| 1.2.2 Customized Induction Principles . . . . .   | 8         |
| 1.2.3 (De)composing derivations . . . . .   | 8         |
| 1.2.4 Leftmost/Rightmost Derivations . . . . .  | 12        |
| 1.3 Substitution in Lists . . . . .   | 17        |
| 1.4 Epsilon-Freeness . . . . .  | 17        |
| <b>2 Parse Trees</b>  | <b>18</b> |
| <b>3 Renaming Nonterminals</b>  | <b>19</b> |
| <b>4 Disjoint Union of Sets of Productions</b>  | <b>21</b> |
| 4.1 Disjoint Concatenation . . . . .  | 23        |
| 4.2 Disjoint Union including start fork . . . . .   | 23        |
| <b>5 Context-Free Languages</b>   | <b>24</b> |
| 5.1 Auxiliary: $lfp$ as Kleene Fixpoint . . . . .   | 24        |
| 5.2 Basic Definitions . . . . .   | 24        |
| 5.3 Closure Properties . . . . .  | 25        |
| 5.4 CFG as an Equation System . . . . .   | 25        |
| 5.5 $Lang\_lfp = Lang$ . . . . .  | 26        |

|           |   |           |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| <b>6</b>  | <b>Elimination of Unit Productions</b>                                | <b>27</b> |
| <b>7</b>  | <b>Elimination of Epsilon Productions</b>                             | <b>30</b> |
| <b>8</b>  | <b>Conversion to Chomsky Normal Form</b>                              | <b>33</b> |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>Pumping Lemma for Context Free Grammars</b>                        | <b>41</b> |
| <b>10</b> | <b><math>a^n b^n c^n</math> is Not Context-Free</b>                   | <b>44</b> |
| <b>11</b> | <b>CFLs Are Not Closed Under Intersection</b>                         | <b>46</b> |
| <b>12</b> | <b>Inlining a Single Production</b>                                   | <b>47</b> |
| <b>13</b> | <b>Transforming Long Productions Into a Binary Cascade</b>            | <b>49</b> |
| <b>14</b> | <b>Right-Linear Grammars</b>  | <b>52</b> |
| 14.1      | From <i>rlin</i> to <i>rlin2</i> . . . . .                            | 53        |
| 14.2      | Properties of <i>rlin2</i> derivations . . . . .                      | 57        |
| <b>15</b> | <b>Strongly Right-Linear Grammars as a Nondeterministic Automaton</b> | <b>58</b> |
| <b>16</b> | <b>Relating Strongly Right-Linear Grammars and Automata</b>           | <b>60</b> |
| 16.1      | From Strongly Right-Linear Grammar to NFA . . . . .                   | 60        |
| 16.2      | From DFA to Strongly Right-Linear Grammar . . . . .                   | 61        |
| <b>17</b> | <b>Pumping Lemma for Strongly Right-Linear Grammars</b>               | <b>62</b> |
| 17.1      | Properties of <i>nxts_nts</i> and <i>nxts_nts0</i> . . . . .          | 63        |
| 17.2      | Pumping Lemma . . . . .   | 64        |
| <b>18</b> | <b><math>a^n b^n</math> is Not Regular</b>                            | <b>65</b> |

# 1 Context-Free Grammars

```
theory Context_Free_Grammar
imports HOL-Library.Infinite_Typeclass
begin

definition fresh :: ('n::infinite) set ⇒ 'n where
fresh A = (SOME x. x ∉ A)

lemma fresh_finite: finite A ⇒ fresh A ∈ A
⟨proof⟩

declare relpowp.simps(2)[simp del]

lemma bex_pair_conv: (∃(x,y) ∈ R. P x y) ←→ (∃x y. (x,y) ∈ R ∧ P x y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma in_image_map_prod: fgp ∈ map_prod f g ` R ←→ (∃(x,y) ∈ R. fgp = (f
x,y))
⟨proof⟩
```

## 1.1 Symbols and Context-Free Grammars

Most of the theory is based on arbitrary sets of productions. Finiteness of the set of productions is only required where necessary. Finiteness of the type of terminal symbols is only required where necessary. Whenever fresh nonterminals need to be invented, the type of nonterminals is assumed to be infinite.

```
datatype ('n,'t) sym = Nt 'n | Tm 't

type_synonym ('n,'t) syms = ('n,'t) sym list

type_synonym ('n,'t) prod = 'n × ('n,'t) syms

type_synonym ('n,'t) prods = ('n,'t) prod list
type_synonym ('n,'t) Prods = ('n,'t) prod set

datatype ('n,'t) cfg = cfg (prods : ('n,'t) prods) (start : 'n)
datatype ('n,'t) Cfg = Cfg (Prods : ('n,'t) Prods) (Start : 'n)

definition isTm :: ('n, 't) sym ⇒ bool where
isTm S = (∃ a. S = Tm a)

definition isNt :: ('n, 't) sym ⇒ bool where
isNt S = (∃ A. S = Nt A)

fun destTm :: ('n, 't) sym ⇒ 't where
destTm (Tm a) = a
```

```

lemma isTm_simps[simp]:
  ‹isTm (Nt A) = False›
  ‹isTm (Tm a)›
  {proof}

lemma filter_isTm_map_Tm[simp]: ‹filter isTm (map Tm xs) = map Tm xs›
  {proof}

lemma destTm_o_Tm[simp]: ‹destTm o Tm = id›
  {proof}

definition nts_syms :: ('n,'t)syms  $\Rightarrow$  'n set where
  nts_syms w = {A. Nt A  $\in$  set w}

definition tms_syms :: ('n,'t)syms  $\Rightarrow$  't set where
  tms_syms w = {a. Tm a  $\in$  set w}

definition Nts :: ('n,'t)Prods  $\Rightarrow$  'n set where
  Nts P = ( $\bigcup$ (A,w) $\in$ P. {A}  $\cup$  nts_syms w)

definition Tms :: ('n,'t)Prods  $\Rightarrow$  't set where
  Tms P = ( $\bigcup$ (A,w) $\in$ P. tms_syms w)

abbreviation nts :: ('n,'t) prods  $\Rightarrow$  'n set where
  nts P  $\equiv$  Nts (set P)

definition Syms :: ('n,'t)Prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n,'t) sym set where
  Syms P = ( $\bigcup$ (A,w) $\in$ P. {Nt A}  $\cup$  set w)

abbreviation tms :: ('n,'t) prods  $\Rightarrow$  't set where
  tms P  $\equiv$  Tms (set P)

abbreviation syms :: ('n,'t) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n,'t) sym set where
  syms P  $\equiv$  Syms (set P)

definition Lhss :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  'n set where
  Lhss P = ( $\bigcup$ (A,w)  $\in$  P. {A})

abbreviation lhss :: ('n, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  'n set where
  lhss ps  $\equiv$  Lhss(set ps)

definition Rhs_Nts :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  'n set where
  Rhs_Nts P = ( $\bigcup$ (_,w) $\in$ P. nts_syms w)

definition Rhss :: ('n  $\times$  'a) set  $\Rightarrow$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  Rhss P A = {w. (A,w)  $\in$  P}

lemma inj_Nt: inj Nt

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\_Tm\_inject\_iff[simp]$ :  $map\ Tm\ xs = map\ Tm\ ys \longleftrightarrow xs = ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\_Nt\_eq\_map\_Nt\_iff[simp]$ :  $map\ Nt\ u = map\ Nt\ v \longleftrightarrow u = v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $map\_Nt\_eq\_map\_Tm\_iff[simp]$ :  $map\ Nt\ u = map\ Tm\ v \longleftrightarrow u = [] \wedge v = []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $map\_Tm\_eq\_map\_Nt\_iff[simp] = eq\_iff\_swap[OF\ map\_Nt\_eq\_map\_Tm\_iff]$

**lemma**  $nts\_syms\_Nil[simp]$ :  $nts\_syms\ [] = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nts\_syms\_Cons[simp]$ :  $nts\_syms\ (a \# v) = (\text{case } a \text{ of } Nt\ A \Rightarrow \{A\} \mid \_ \Rightarrow \{\}) \cup nts\_syms\ v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nts\_syms\_append[simp]$ :  $nts\_syms\ (u @ v) = nts\_syms\ u \cup nts\_syms\ v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nts\_syms\_map\_Nt[simp]$ :  $nts\_syms\ (map\ Nt\ w) = set\ w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nts\_syms\_map\_Tm[simp]$ :  $nts\_syms\ (map\ Tm\ w) = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $in\_Nts\_iff\_in\_Syms$ :  $B \in Nts\ P \longleftrightarrow Nt\ B \in Syms\ P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nts\_Un$ :  $Nts\ (P1 \cup P2) = Nts\ P1 \cup Nts\ P2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nts\_Lhss\_Rhs\_Nts$ :  $Nts\ P = Lhss\ P \cup Rhs\_Nts\ P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nts\_nts\_syms$ :  $w \in Rhss\ P\ A \implies nts\_syms\ w \subseteq Nts\ P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Syms\_simps[simp]$ :  
 $Syms\ \{\} = \{\}$   
 $Syms(insert\ (A, w)\ P) = \{Nt\ A\} \cup set\ w \cup Syms\ P$   
 $Syms(P \cup P') = Syms\ P \cup Syms\ P'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Lhss\_simps[simp]$ :

$Lhss \{ \} = \{ \}$   
 $Lhss(insert (A, w) P) = \{ A \} \cup Lhss P$   
 $Lhss(P \cup P') = Lhss P \cup Lhss P'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.1.1 Finiteness Lemmas

**lemma** *finite\_nts\_syms*:  $\text{finite}(\text{nts\_syms } w)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite\_nts*:  $\text{finite}(\text{nts } ps)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fresh\_nts*:  $\text{fresh}(\text{nts } ps) \notin \text{nts } ps$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite\_nts\_prods\_start*:  $\text{finite}(\text{nts}(\text{prods } g) \cup \{ \text{start } g \})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fresh\_nts\_prods\_start*:  $\text{fresh}(\text{nts}(\text{prods } g) \cup \{ \text{start } g \}) \notin \text{nts}(\text{prods } g) \cup \{ \text{start } g \}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite\_Nts*:  $\text{finite } P \implies \text{finite}(\text{Nts } P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite\_Rhss*:  $\text{finite } P \implies \text{finite}(\text{Rhss } P A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.2 Derivations

### 1.2.1 The standard derivations $\Rightarrow$ , $\Rightarrow^*$ , $\Rightarrow(n)$

**inductive** *derive* ::  $('n, 't) \text{ Prods} \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ syms} \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ syms} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
 $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} \Rightarrow / \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 50] 50) \text{ where}$   
 $(A, \alpha) \in P \implies P \vdash u @ [Nt A] @ v \Rightarrow u @ \alpha @ v$

**abbreviation** *deriven*  $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} / \Rightarrow (\underline{\_}) / \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 0, 50] 50) \text{ where}$   
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow(n) v \equiv (\text{derive } P) \hat{\wedge}^n u v$

**abbreviation** *derives*  $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} / \Rightarrow^*/ \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 50] 50) \text{ where}$   
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v \equiv ((\text{derive } P) \hat{\wedge}^{**}) u v$

**definition** *Ders* ::  $('n, 't) \text{ Prods} \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ syms set} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{Ders } P A = \{ w. P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* w \}$

**abbreviation** *ders* ::  $('n, 't) \text{ prods} \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ syms set} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{ders } ps \equiv \text{Ders } (\text{set } ps)$

**lemma** *DersI*:

**assumes**  $P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* w$  **shows**  $w \in Ders P A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $DersD$ :  
**assumes**  $w \in Ders P A$  **shows**  $P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $DersE = DersD[elim\_format]$

**definition**  $Lang :: ('n, 't) Prods \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 't list set$  **where**  
 $Lang P A = \{w. P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* map Tm w\}$

**abbreviation**  $lang :: ('n, 't) prods \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 't list set$  **where**  
 $lang ps A \equiv Lang (set ps) A$

**abbreviation**  $LangS :: ('n, 't) Cfg \Rightarrow 't list set$  **where**  
 $LangS G \equiv Lang (Prods G) (Start G)$

**abbreviation**  $langS :: ('n, 't) cfg \Rightarrow 't list set$  **where**  
 $langS g \equiv lang (prods g) (start g)$

**lemma**  $Lang\_Ders: map Tm ` (Lang P A) \subseteq Ders P A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Lang\_eqI\_derives$ :  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge v. R \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* map Tm v \longleftrightarrow S \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* map Tm v$   
**shows**  $Lang R A = Lang S A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $derive\_iff: R \vdash u \Rightarrow v \longleftrightarrow (\exists (A, w) \in R. \exists u_1 u_2. u = u_1 @ Nt A \# u_2 \wedge v = u_1 @ w @ u_2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $not\_derive\_from\_Tms: \neg P \vdash map Tm as \Rightarrow w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $deriven\_from\_TmsD: P \vdash map Tm as \Rightarrow (n) w \implies w = map Tm as$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $derives\_from\_Tms\_iff: P \vdash map Tm as \Rightarrow^* w \longleftrightarrow w = map Tm as$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Un\_derive: R \cup S \vdash y \Rightarrow z \longleftrightarrow R \vdash y \Rightarrow z \vee S \vdash y \Rightarrow z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $derives\_rule$ :  
**assumes**  $2: (A, w) \in R$  **and**  $1: R \vdash x \Rightarrow^* y @ Nt A \# z$  **and**  $3: R \vdash y @ w @ z \Rightarrow^* v$   
**shows**  $R \vdash x \Rightarrow^* v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derives\_Cons\_rule:

assumes 1:  $(A, w) \in R$  and 2:  $R \vdash w @ u \Rightarrow^* v$  shows  $R \vdash Nt A \# u \Rightarrow^* v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derive\_mono:  $P \subseteq P' \implies P \vdash u \Rightarrow^*(n) v \implies P' \vdash u \Rightarrow^*(n) v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derives\_mono:  $P \subseteq P' \implies P \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v \implies P' \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** Lang\_mono:  $P \subseteq P' \implies \text{Lang } P A \subseteq \text{Lang } P' A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.2.2 Customized Induction Principles

**lemma** derive\_induct[consumes 1, case\_names 0 Suc]:

assumes  $P \vdash xs \Rightarrow^*(n) ys$   
and  $Q 0 xs$   
and  $\bigwedge u A v w. [P \vdash xs \Rightarrow^*(n) u @ [Nt A] @ v; Q n (u @ [Nt A] @ v); (A, w) \in P] \implies Q (Suc n) (u @ w @ v)$   
shows  $Q n ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derives\_induct[consumes 1, case\_names base step]:

assumes  $P \vdash xs \Rightarrow^* ys$   
and  $Q xs$   
and  $\bigwedge u A v w. [P \vdash xs \Rightarrow^* u @ [Nt A] @ v; Q (u @ [Nt A] @ v); (A, w) \in P] \implies Q (u @ w @ v)$   
shows  $Q ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** converse\_derives\_induct[consumes 1, case\_names base step]:

assumes  $P \vdash xs \Rightarrow^* ys$   
and  $Q ys$   
and Base:  $Q ys$   
and Step:  $\bigwedge u A v w. [P \vdash u @ [Nt A] @ v \Rightarrow^* ys; Q (u @ w @ v); (A, w) \in P] \implies Q (u @ [Nt A] @ v)$   
shows  $Q xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.2.3 (De)composing derivations

**lemma** derive\_append:

$\mathcal{G} \vdash u \Rightarrow v \implies \mathcal{G} \vdash u @ w \Rightarrow v @ w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derive\_prepend:

$\mathcal{G} \vdash u \Rightarrow v \implies \mathcal{G} \vdash w @ u \Rightarrow w @ v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deriven\_append*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow (n) v \implies P \vdash u @ w \Rightarrow (n) v @ w$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriven\_prepend*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow (n) v \implies P \vdash w @ u \Rightarrow (n) w @ v$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_append*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow * v \implies P \vdash u @ w \Rightarrow * v @ w$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_prepend*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow * v \implies P \vdash w @ u \Rightarrow * w @ v$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derive\_append\_decomp*:

$$\begin{aligned} P \vdash u @ v \Rightarrow w &\iff \\ (\exists u'. w = u' @ v \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow u') \vee (\exists v'. w = u @ v' \wedge P \vdash v \Rightarrow v') \\ (\text{is } ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r) \end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriven\_append\_decomp*:

$$\begin{aligned} P \vdash u @ v \Rightarrow (n) w &\iff \\ (\exists n1 n2 w1 w2. n = n1 + n2 \wedge w = w1 @ w2 \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow (n1) w1 \wedge P \vdash v \\ \Rightarrow (n2) w2) \\ (\text{is } ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r) \end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_append\_decomp*:

$$P \vdash u @ v \Rightarrow * w \iff (\exists u' v'. P \vdash u \Rightarrow * u' \wedge P \vdash v \Rightarrow * v' \wedge w = u' @ v')$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_concat*:

$$\forall i \in \text{set } is. P \vdash f i \Rightarrow * g i \implies P \vdash concat(map f is) \Rightarrow * concat(map g is)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_concat1*:

$$\forall i \in \text{set } is. P \vdash [f i] \Rightarrow * g i \implies P \vdash map f is \Rightarrow * concat(map g is)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derive\_Cons*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow v \implies P \vdash a \# u \Rightarrow a \# v$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_Cons*:

$$R \vdash u \Rightarrow * v \implies R \vdash a \# u \Rightarrow * a \# v$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derive\_from\_empty[simp]*:

$$P \vdash [] \Rightarrow w \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriven\_from\_empty[simp]*:

$$P \vdash [] \Rightarrow (n) w \longleftrightarrow n = 0 \wedge w = []$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_from\_empty[simp]*:

$$\mathcal{G} \vdash [] \Rightarrow^* w \longleftrightarrow w = []$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriven\_start1*:

**assumes**  $P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow (n) \text{ map } Tm w$

**shows**  $\exists \alpha m. n = Suc m \wedge P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow (m) (\text{map } Tm w) \wedge (A, \alpha) \in P$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_start1*:  $P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* \text{map } Tm w \implies \exists \alpha. P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow^* \text{map } Tm w \wedge (A, \alpha) \in P$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *Lang\_empty\_if\_notin\_Lhss*:  $A \notin Lhss P \implies \text{Lang } P A = \{\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derive\_Tm\_Cons*:

$$P \vdash Tm a \# u \Rightarrow v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. v = Tm a \# w \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow w)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriven\_Tm\_Cons*:

$$P \vdash Tm a \# u \Rightarrow (n) v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. v = Tm a \# w \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow (n) w)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_Tm\_Cons*:

$$P \vdash Tm a \# u \Rightarrow^* v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. v = Tm a \# w \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow^* w)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derives\_Tm[simp]*:  $P \vdash [Tm a] \Rightarrow^* w \longleftrightarrow w = [Tm a]$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derive\_singleton*:  $P \vdash [a] \Rightarrow u \longleftrightarrow (\exists A. (A, u) \in P \wedge a = Nt A)$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriven\_singleton*:  $P \vdash [a] \Rightarrow (n) u \longleftrightarrow ($

- case n of 0*  $\Rightarrow u = [a]$
- $| Suc m \Rightarrow \exists (A, w) \in P. a = Nt A \wedge P \vdash w \Rightarrow (m) u$
- $(\text{is } ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriven\_Cons\_decomp*:

$$P \vdash a \# u \Rightarrow(n) v \longleftrightarrow (\exists v2. v = a\#v2 \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow(n) v2) \vee (\exists n1 n2 A w v1 v2. n = Suc(n1 + n2) \wedge v = v1 @ v2 \wedge a = Nt A \wedge (A, w) \in P \wedge P \vdash w \Rightarrow(n1) v1 \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow(n2) v2)$$

(**is** ?l = ?r)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *derives\_Cons\_decomp*:

$$P \vdash s \# u \Rightarrow* v \longleftrightarrow (\exists v2. v = s\#v2 \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow* v2) \vee (\exists A w v1 v2. v = v1 @ v2 \wedge s = Nt A \wedge (A, w) \in P \wedge P \vdash w \Rightarrow* v1 \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow* v2) \text{ (**is** ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)}$$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *deriven\_Suc\_decomp\_left*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow(Suc n) v \longleftrightarrow (\exists p A u2 w v1 v2 n1 n2. u = p @ Nt A \# u2 \wedge v = p @ v1 @ v2 \wedge n = n1 + n2 \wedge (A, w) \in P \wedge P \vdash w \Rightarrow(n1) v1 \wedge P \vdash u2 \Rightarrow(n2) v2) \text{ (**is** ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)}$$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *derives\_NilD*:  $P \vdash w \Rightarrow* [] \implies s \in set w \implies P \vdash [s] \Rightarrow* []$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *derive\_decomp\_Tm*:  $P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow(n) map Tm \beta \implies \exists \beta s ns. \beta = concat \beta s \wedge length \alpha = length \beta s \wedge length \alpha = length ns \wedge sum\_list ns = n \wedge (\forall i < length \beta s. P \vdash [\alpha ! i] \Rightarrow(ns!i) map Tm (\beta s ! i))$

(**is** \_  $\implies \exists \beta s ns. ?G \alpha \beta n \beta s ns$ )  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *derives\_simul\_rules*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge A w. (A, w) \in P \implies P' \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow* w$   
**shows**  $P \vdash w \Rightarrow* w' \implies P' \vdash w \Rightarrow* w'$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *derives\_set\_subset*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow* v \implies set v \subseteq set u \cup Sym s P$$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *derives\_nts\_syms\_subset*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow* v \implies nts\_syms v \subseteq nts\_syms u \cup Nts P$$

⟨proof⟩

Bottom-up definition of  $\Rightarrow*$ . Single definition yields more compact inductions. But *derives\_induct* may already do the job.

**inductive** *derives\_bu* :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) syms  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) syms  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
 $((?_ \vdash / (_ \Rightarrow bu / _)) [50, 0, 50] 50)$  **for**  $P :: ('n, 't) Prods$

**where**

*bu\_refl*:  $P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow bu \alpha$  |  
*bu\_prod*:  $(A, \alpha) \in P \implies P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow bu \alpha$  |  
*bu\_embed*:  $\llbracket P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow bu \alpha_1 @ \alpha_2 @ \alpha_3; P \vdash \alpha_2 \Rightarrow bu \beta \rrbracket \implies P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow bu \alpha_1 @ \beta @ \alpha_3$

**lemma** *derives\_if\_bu*:  $P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow bu \beta \implies P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *derives\_bu\_if*:  $P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta \implies P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow bu \beta$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *derives\_bu\_iff*:  $P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow bu \beta \longleftrightarrow P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta$   
*{proof}*

#### 1.2.4 Leftmost/Rightmost Derivations

**inductive** *derivel* :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) syms  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) syms  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
 $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} \Rightarrow l / \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $(A, \alpha) \in P \implies P \vdash map Tm u @ Nt A \# v \Rightarrow l map Tm u @ \alpha @ v$

**abbreviation** *derivals*  $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} \Rightarrow l^*/ \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow l^* v \equiv ((derivel P) \wedge^{**}) u v$

**abbreviation** *derivals1*  $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} \Rightarrow l+/ \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow l+ v \equiv ((derivel P) \wedge^{++}) u v$

**abbreviation** *deriveln*  $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} \Rightarrow l'(\underline{\_})/ \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow l(n) v \equiv ((derivel P) \wedge^n) u v$

**inductive** *deriver* :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) syms  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) syms  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
 $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} \Rightarrow r/ \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $(A, \alpha) \in P \implies P \vdash u @ Nt A \# map Tm v \Rightarrow r u @ \alpha @ map Tm v$

**abbreviation** *derivers*  $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} \Rightarrow r^*/ \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow r^* v \equiv ((deriver P) \wedge^{**}) u v$

**abbreviation** *derivers1*  $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} \Rightarrow r+/ \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow r+ v \equiv ((deriver P) \wedge^{++}) u v$

**abbreviation** *derivern*  $((\underline{\lambda} \vdash / (\underline{\_} \Rightarrow r'(\underline{\_})/ \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow r(n) v \equiv ((deriver P) \wedge^n) u v$

**lemma** *derivel\_iff*:  $R \vdash u \Rightarrow l v \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\exists (A, w) \in R. \exists u1 u2. u = map Tm u1 @ Nt A \# u2 \wedge v = map Tm u1 @ w @ u2)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *derive1\_from\_empty[simp]*:  
 $P \vdash [] \Rightarrow l w \longleftrightarrow \text{False } \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deriveLn\_from\_empty[simp]*:  
 $P \vdash [] \Rightarrow l(n) w \longleftrightarrow n = 0 \wedge w = []$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deriveVs\_from\_empty[simp]*:  
 $\mathcal{G} \vdash [] \Rightarrow l* w \longleftrightarrow w = []$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Un\_derivel*:  $R \cup S \vdash y \Rightarrow l z \longleftrightarrow R \vdash y \Rightarrow l z \vee S \vdash y \Rightarrow l z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *derive1\_append*:  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow l v \implies P \vdash u @ w \Rightarrow l v @ w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deriveLn\_append*:  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow l(n) v \implies P \vdash u @ w \Rightarrow l(n) v @ w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deriveVs\_append*:  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow l* v \implies P \vdash u @ w \Rightarrow l* v @ w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deriveVs1\_append*:  
 $P \vdash u \Rightarrow l+ v \implies P \vdash u @ w \Rightarrow l+ v @ w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *derive1\_Tm\_Cons*:  
 $P \vdash Tm a \# u \Rightarrow l v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. v = Tm a \# w \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow l w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deriveLn\_Tm\_Cons*:  
 $P \vdash Tm a \# u \Rightarrow l(n) v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. v = Tm a \# w \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow l(n) w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deriveVs\_Tm\_Cons*:  
 $P \vdash Tm a \# u \Rightarrow l* v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. v = Tm a \# w \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow l* w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *derive1\_Nt\_Cons*:  
 $P \vdash Nt A \# u \Rightarrow l v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. (A, w) \in P \wedge v = w @ u)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deriveVs1\_Nt\_Cons*:  
 $P \vdash Nt A \# u \Rightarrow l+ v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. (A, w) \in P \wedge P \vdash w @ u \Rightarrow l* v)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *derivals\_Nt\_Cons*:

$$P \vdash Nt A \# u \Rightarrow l* v \longleftrightarrow v = Nt A \# u \vee (\exists w. (A, w) \in P \wedge P \vdash w @ u \Rightarrow l* v)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriveln\_Nt\_Cons*:

$$P \vdash Nt A \# u \Rightarrow l(n) v \longleftrightarrow (\text{case } n \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow v = Nt A \# u$$

$$\quad | \quad \text{Suc } m \Rightarrow \exists w. (A, w) \in P \wedge P \vdash w @ u \Rightarrow l(m) v)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derivel\_map\_Tm\_append*:

$$P \vdash \text{map } Tm w @ u \Rightarrow l v \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. v = \text{map } Tm w @ x \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow l x)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriveln\_map\_Tm\_append*:

$$P \vdash \text{map } Tm w @ u \Rightarrow l(n) v \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. v = \text{map } Tm w @ x \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow l(n) x)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derivals\_map\_Tm\_append*:

$$P \vdash \text{map } Tm w @ u \Rightarrow l* v \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. v = \text{map } Tm w @ x \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow l* x)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derivel\_not\_elim\_Tm*:

**assumes**  $P \vdash xs \Rightarrow l \text{ map } Nt w$

**shows**  $\exists v. xs = \text{map } Nt v$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriveln\_not\_elim\_Tm*:

**assumes**  $P \vdash xs \Rightarrow l(n) \text{ map } Nt w$

**shows**  $\exists v. xs = \text{map } Nt v$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *decomp\_derivel\_map\_Nts*:

**assumes**  $P \vdash \text{map } Nt Xs \Rightarrow l \text{ map } Nt Zs$

**shows**  $\exists X Xs' Ys. Xs = X \# Xs' \wedge P \vdash [Nt X] \Rightarrow l \text{ map } Nt Ys \wedge Zs = Ys @ Xs'$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derivel\_imp\_derive*:  $P \vdash u \Rightarrow l v \implies P \vdash u \Rightarrow v$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriveln\_imp\_deriven*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow l(n) v \implies P \vdash u \Rightarrow (n) v$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *derivals\_imp\_derives*:

$$P \vdash u \Rightarrow l* v \implies P \vdash u \Rightarrow * v$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** deriveln\_iff\_deriven:

$P \vdash u \Rightarrow l(n) \text{ map } Tm v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash u \Rightarrow (n) \text{ map } Tm v$

(**is** ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derivels\_iff\_derives:  $P \vdash u \Rightarrow l* \text{ map } Tm v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash u \Rightarrow * \text{ map } Tm v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** deriver\_iff:  $R \vdash u \Rightarrow r v \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists (A,w) \in R. \exists u1 u2. u = u1 @ Nt A \# \text{map } Tm u2 \wedge v = u1 @ w @ \text{map } Tm u2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** deriver\_imp\_derive:  $R \vdash u \Rightarrow r v \implies R \vdash u \Rightarrow v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derivern\_imp\_deriven:  $R \vdash u \Rightarrow r(n) v \implies R \vdash u \Rightarrow (n) v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derivers\_imp\_derives:  $R \vdash u \Rightarrow r* v \implies R \vdash u \Rightarrow * v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** deriver\_iff\_rev\_derivel:

$P \vdash u \Rightarrow r v \longleftrightarrow \text{map\_prod id rev} ` P \vdash \text{rev } u \Rightarrow l \text{ rev } v$  (**is** ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** rev\_deriver\_iff\_derivel:

$\text{map\_prod id rev} ` P \vdash u \Rightarrow r v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash \text{rev } u \Rightarrow l \text{ rev } v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derivern\_iff\_rev\_deriveln:

$P \vdash u \Rightarrow r(n) v \longleftrightarrow \text{map\_prod id rev} ` P \vdash \text{rev } u \Rightarrow l(n) \text{ rev } v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** rev\_derivern\_iff\_deriveln:

$\text{map\_prod id rev} ` P \vdash u \Rightarrow r(n) v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash \text{rev } u \Rightarrow l(n) \text{ rev } v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** derivers\_iff\_rev\_derivels:

$P \vdash u \Rightarrow r* v \longleftrightarrow \text{map\_prod id rev} ` P \vdash \text{rev } u \Rightarrow l* \text{ rev } v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** rev\_derivers\_iff\_derivels:

$\text{map\_prod id rev} ` P \vdash u \Rightarrow r* v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash \text{rev } u \Rightarrow l* \text{ rev } v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** rev\_derive:

*map\_prod id rev* ‘  $P \vdash u \Rightarrow v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash \text{rev } u \Rightarrow \text{rev } v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *rev\_deriven*:

*map\_prod id rev* ‘  $P \vdash u \Rightarrow (n) v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash \text{rev } u \Rightarrow (n) \text{ rev } v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *rev\_derives*:

*map\_prod id rev* ‘  $P \vdash u \Rightarrow * v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash \text{rev } u \Rightarrow * \text{ rev } v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *derivern\_if\_deriven*:  $P \vdash u \Rightarrow r(n) \text{ map Tm } v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash u \Rightarrow (n) \text{ map Tm } v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriverson\_if\_derives*:  $P \vdash u \Rightarrow r * \text{ map Tm } v \longleftrightarrow P \vdash u \Rightarrow * \text{ map Tm } v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriver\_append\_map\_Tm*:

$P \vdash u @ \text{map Tm } w \Rightarrow r v \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. v = x @ \text{map Tm } w \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow r x)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *derivern\_append\_map\_Tm*:

$P \vdash u @ \text{map Tm } w \Rightarrow r(n) v \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. v = x @ \text{map Tm } w \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow r(n) x)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriver\_snoc\_Nt*:

$P \vdash u @ [Nt A] \Rightarrow r v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. (A, w) \in P \wedge v = u @ w)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriver\_singleton*:

$P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow r v \longleftrightarrow (A, v) \in P$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriverson1\_snoc\_Nt*:

$P \vdash u @ [Nt A] \Rightarrow r+ v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. (A, w) \in P \wedge P \vdash u @ w \Rightarrow r* v)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriverson\_snoc\_Nt*:

$P \vdash u @ [Nt A] \Rightarrow r* v \longleftrightarrow v = u @ [Nt A] \vee (\exists w. (A, w) \in P \wedge P \vdash u @ w \Rightarrow r* v)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *derivern\_snoc\_Tm*:

$P \vdash u @ [Tm a] \Rightarrow r(n) v \longleftrightarrow (\exists w. v = w @ [Tm a] \wedge P \vdash u \Rightarrow r(n) w)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *derivern\_snoc\_Nt*:

$P \vdash u @ [Nt A] \Rightarrow r(n) v \longleftrightarrow ($

```

case n of 0 => v = u @ [Nt A]
| Suc m => ∃ w. (A,w) ∈ P ∧ P ⊢ u @ w ⇒ r(m) v
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** derivern\_singleton:

```

P ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒ r(n) v ←→ (
  case n of 0 => v = [Nt A]
  | Suc m => ∃ w. (A,w) ∈ P ∧ P ⊢ w ⇒ r(m) v
  ⟨proof⟩
)

```

### 1.3 Substitution in Lists

Function *substs y ys xs* replaces every occurrence of *y* in *xs* with the list *ys*

```

fun substs :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
  substs y ys [] = []
  substs y ys (x#xs) = (if x = y then ys @ substs y ys xs else x # substs y ys xs)

```

Alternative definition, but apparently no simpler to use: *substs y ys xs*  
 $= \text{concat}(\text{map}(\lambda x. \text{if } x = y \text{ then } ys \text{ else } [x]) xs)$

**abbreviation** substsNt A ≡ substs (Nt A)

**lemma** substs\_append[simp]: *substs y ys (xs @ xs')* = *substs y ys xs @ substs y ys xs'*  
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** substs\_skip: *y*  $\notin$  set *xs*  $\implies$  *substs y ys xs* = *xs*  
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** substsNT\_map\_Tm[simp]: *substsNt A α (map Tm w)* = *map Tm w*  
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** substs\_len: *length (substs y [y'] xs)* = *length xs*  
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** substs\_rev: *y'*  $\notin$  set *xs*  $\implies$  *substs y' [y] (substs y [y'] xs)* = *xs*  
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** substs\_der:  
 $(B,v) \in P \implies P \vdash u \Rightarrow^* \text{substs } (\text{Nt } B) v u$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

### 1.4 Epsilon-Freeness

**definition** Eps\_free **where** Eps\_free R = ( $\forall (A,r) \in R. r \neq []$ )

**abbreviation** eps\_free rs == Eps\_free(set rs)

**lemma** Eps\_freeI:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge A r. (A,r) \in R \implies r \neq []$  **shows** Eps\_free R

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Eps\_free Nil*: *Eps\_free R*  $\implies (A,[]) \notin R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Eps\_freeE\_Cons*: *Eps\_free R*  $\implies (A,w) \in R \implies \exists a\ u. w = a\#u$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Eps\_free\_derives Nil*:  
**assumes** *R*: *Eps\_free R* **shows** *R*  $\vdash l \Rightarrow^* [] \longleftrightarrow l = []$  (**is**  $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Eps\_free\_derivels Nil*: *Eps\_free R*  $\implies R \vdash l \Rightarrow^* [] \longleftrightarrow l = []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Eps\_free\_deriveln Nil*: *Eps\_free R*  $\implies R \vdash l \Rightarrow^* l(n) [] \implies l = []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *decomp\_deriveln\_map\_Nts*:  
**assumes** *Eps\_free P*  
**shows** *P*  $\vdash Nt X \# map Nt Xs \Rightarrow^* l(n) map Nt Zs \implies \exists Ys'. Ys' @ Xs = Zs \wedge P \vdash [Nt X] \Rightarrow^* l(n) map Nt Ys'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

## 2 Parse Trees

**theory** *Parse\_Tree*  
**imports** *Context\_Free\_Grammar*  
**begin**

**datatype** ('n,'t) *tree* = *Sym* ('n,'t) *sym* | *Rule* 'n ('n,'t) *tree list*  
**datatype\_compat** *tree*

**fun** *root* :: ('n,'t) *tree*  $\Rightarrow$  ('n,'t) *sym* **where**  
*root*(*Sym s*) = *s* |  
*root*(*Rule A ts*) = *Nt A*

**fun** *fringe* :: ('n,'t) *tree*  $\Rightarrow$  ('n,'t) *syms* **where**  
*fringe*(*Sym s*) = [*s*] |  
*fringe*(*Rule A ts*) = *concat*(*map fringe ts*)

**abbreviation** *fringes ts*  $\equiv$  *concat*(*map fringe ts*)

**fun** *parse\_tree* :: ('n,'t) *Prods*  $\Rightarrow$  ('n,'t) *tree*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*parse\_tree* *P* (*Sym s*) = *True* |  
*parse\_tree* *P* (*Rule A ts*) = (( $\forall t \in set ts. \text{parse\_tree } P t$ )  $\wedge$  (*A, map root ts*)  $\in P$ )

```

lemma fringe_steps_if_parse_tree: parse_tree P t  $\implies$  P  $\vdash [root\ t] \Rightarrow^* fringe\ t$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun subst_pt and subst_pts where
  subst_pt t' 0 (Sym _) = t' |
  subst_pt t' m (Rule A ts) = Rule A (subst_pts t' m ts) |
  subst_pts t' m (t#ts) =
    (let n = length(fringe t) in if m < n then subst_pt t' m t # ts
     else t # subst_pts t' (m-n) ts)

lemma fringe_subst_pt: i < length(fringe t)  $\implies$ 
  fringe(subst_pt t' i t) = take i (fringe t) @ fringe t' @ drop (Suc i) (fringe t)
and
  fringe_subst_pts: i < length(fringes ts)  $\implies$ 
    fringes (subst_pts t' i ts) =
      take i (fringes ts) @ fringe t' @ drop (Suc i) (fringes ts)
⟨proof⟩

lemma root_subst_pt: [ i < length(fringe t); fringe t ! i = Nt A; root t' = Nt A ]
 $\implies$  root (subst_pt t' i t) = root t and
  map_root_subst_pts: [ i < length(fringes ts); fringes ts ! i = Nt A; root t' = Nt A ]
 $\implies$  map root (subst_pts t' i ts) = map root ts
⟨proof⟩

lemma parse_tree_subst_pt:
  [ parse_tree P t; i < length(fringe t); fringe t ! i = Nt A; parse_tree P t'; root t' = Nt A ]
 $\implies$  parse_tree P (subst_pt t' i t)
and parse_tree_subst_pts:
  [  $\forall t \in set\ ts.$  parse_tree P t; i < length(fringes ts); fringes ts ! i = Nt A;
  parse_tree P t'; root t' = Nt A ]
 $\implies$   $\forall t' \in set(subst_pts t' i ts).$  parse_tree P t'
⟨proof⟩

lemma parse_tree_if_derives: P  $\vdash [Nt\ A] \Rightarrow^* w \implies \exists t.$  parse_tree P t  $\wedge$  fringe t = w  $\wedge$  root t = Nt A
⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 3 Renaming Nonterminals

```

theory Renaming_CFG
imports Context_Free_Grammar
begin

```

This theory provides lemmas that relate derivations w.r.t. some set of productions  $P$  to derivations w.r.t. a renaming of the nonterminals in  $P$ .

```

fun rename_sym :: ('old => 'new) => ('old,'t) sym => ('new,'t) sym where
  rename_sym f (Nt n) = Nt (f n) |
  rename_sym f (Tm t) = Tm t

abbreviation rename_syms f ≡ map (rename_sym f)

fun rename_prod :: ('old => 'new) => ('old,'t) prod => ('new,'t) prod where
  rename_prod f (A,w) = (f A, rename_syms f w)

abbreviation rename_Prods f P ≡ rename_prod f ` P

lemma rename_sym_o_Tm[simp]: rename_sym f ∘ Tm = Tm
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Nt_notin_rename_syms_if_notin_range:
  x ∉ range f ⇒ Nt x ∉ set (rename_syms f w)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in_Nts_rename_Prods: B ∈ Nts (rename_Prods f P) = (∃ A ∈ Nts P. f
  A = B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rename_preserves_deriven:
  P ⊢ α ⇒(n) β ⇒ rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f α ⇒(n) rename_syms
  f β
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rename_preserves_derives:
  P ⊢ α ⇒* β ⇒ rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f α ⇒* rename_syms f β
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rename_preserves_derivel:
  assumes P ⊢ α ⇒l β
  shows rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f α ⇒l rename_syms f β
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rename_preserves_deriveln:
  P ⊢ α ⇒l(n) β ⇒ rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f α ⇒l(n) rename_syms
  f β
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rename_preserves_derivels:
  P ⊢ α ⇒l* β ⇒ rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f α ⇒l* rename_syms f
  β
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rename_deriven_iff_inj:
  fixes P :: ('a,'t)Prods
  assumes inj_on f (Nts P ∪ nts_syms α ∪ nts_syms β)

```

```

shows rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f α ⇒(n) rename_syms f β ↔ P ⊢
α ⇒(n) β (is ?l ↔ ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rename_derives_iff_inj:
assumes inj_on f (Nts P ∪ nts_syms α ∪ nts_syms β)
shows rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f α ⇒* rename_syms f β ↔ P ⊢
α ⇒* β
⟨proof⟩

lemma rename_deriveln_iff_inj:
fixes P :: ('a,'t)Prods
assumes inj_on f (Nts P ∪ nts_syms α ∪ nts_syms β)
shows rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f α ⇒l(n) rename_syms f β ↔ P ⊢
α ⇒l(n) β (is ?l ↔ ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rename_derivels_iff_inj:
assumes inj_on f (Nts P ∪ nts_syms α ∪ nts_syms β)
shows rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f α ⇒l* rename_syms f β ↔ P ⊢
α ⇒l* β
⟨proof⟩

lemma Lang_rename_Prods:
assumes inj_on f (Nts P ∪ {S})
shows Lang (rename_Prods f P) (f S) = Lang P S
⟨proof⟩

lemma derives_preserves_renaming:
assumes rename_Prods f P ⊢ rename_syms f u ⇒* fv
shows ∃ v. fv = rename_syms f v
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 4 Disjoint Union of Sets of Productions

```

theory Disjoint_Union_CFG
imports
  Regular-Sets.Regular_Set
  Context_Free_Grammar
begin

```

This theory provides lemmas relevant when combining the productions of two grammars with disjoint sets of nonterminals. In particular that the languages of the nonterminals of one grammar is unchanged by adding productions involving only disjoint nonterminals.

```

lemma derivel_disj_Un_if:

```

```

assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
  and P ∪ P' ⊢ u ⇒l v
  and nts_syms u ∩ Lhss P' = {}
shows P ⊢ u ⇒l v ∧ nts_syms v ∩ Lhss P' = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma derive_disj_Un_if:
assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
  and P ∪ P' ⊢ u ⇒ v
  and nts_syms u ∩ Lhss P' = {}
shows P ⊢ u ⇒ v ∧ nts_syms v ∩ Lhss P' = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma deriveln_disj_Un_if:
assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
shows [ P ∪ P' ⊢ u ⇒l(n) v; nts_syms u ∩ Lhss P' = {} ] ==>
  P ⊢ u ⇒l(n) v ∧ nts_syms v ∩ Lhss P' = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma deriven_disj_Un_if:
assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
shows [ P ∪ P' ⊢ u ⇒(n) v; nts_syms u ∩ Lhss P' = {} ] ==>
  P ⊢ u ⇒(n) v ∧ nts_syms v ∩ Lhss P' = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma derive_disj_Un_iff:
assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
  and nts_syms u ∩ Lhss P' = {}
shows P ∪ P' ⊢ u ⇒l v ↔ P ⊢ u ⇒l v
⟨proof⟩

lemma derive_disj_Un_iff:
assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
  and nts_syms u ∩ Lhss P' = {}
shows P ∪ P' ⊢ u ⇒ v ↔ P ⊢ u ⇒ v
⟨proof⟩

lemma deriveln_disj_Un_iff:
assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
  and nts_syms u ∩ Lhss P' = {}
shows P ∪ P' ⊢ u ⇒l(n) v ↔ P ⊢ u ⇒l(n) v
⟨proof⟩

lemma deriven_disj_Un_iff:
assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
  and nts_syms u ∩ Lhss P' = {}
shows P ∪ P' ⊢ u ⇒(n) v ↔ P ⊢ u ⇒(n) v
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma derives_disj_Un_iff:
  assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
    and nts_syms u ∩ Lhss P' = {}
  shows P ∪ P' ⊢ u ⇒* v ←→ P ⊢ u ⇒* v
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Lang_disj_Un1:
  assumes Rhs_Nts P ∩ Lhss P' = {}
    and S ∉ Lhss P'
  shows Lang P S = Lang (P ∪ P') S
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 4.1 Disjoint Concatenation

```

lemma Lang_concat_disj:
  assumes Nts P1 ∩ Nts P2 = {} S ∉ Nts P1 ∪ Nts P2 ∪ {S1,S2} S1 ∉ Nts P2
  S2 ∉ Nts P1
  shows Lang ({(S, [Nt S1,Nt S2])} ∪ (P1 ∪ P2)) S = Lang P1 S1 @@ Lang P2
  S2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 4.2 Disjoint Union including start fork

```

lemma derive_from_isolated_fork:
  [ A ∉ Lhss P; {(A,α1),(A,α2)} ∪ P ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒ β ] ⇒ β = α1 ∨ β = α2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma derives_fork_if_derives1:
  assumes P ⊢ [Nt B1] ⇒* map Tm w
  shows {(A,[Nt B1]), (A,[Nt B2])} ∪ P ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm w (is ?P ⊢ _ ⇒*)
  _
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma derives_disj_if_derives_fork:
  assumes A ∉ Nts P ∪ {B1,B2}
  and {(A,[Nt B1]), (A,[Nt B2])} ∪ P ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm w (is ?P ⊢ _ ⇒* _)
  shows P ⊢ [Nt B1] ⇒* map Tm w ∨ P ⊢ [Nt B2] ⇒* map Tm w
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Lang_distrib_eq_Un_Lang2:
  assumes A ∉ Nts P ∪ {B1,B2}
  shows Lang ({(A,[Nt B1]),(A,[Nt B2])} ∪ P) A = (Lang P B1 ∪ Lang P B2)
  (is Lang ?P _ = _ is ?L1 = ?L2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Lang_disj_Un2:
  assumes Nts P1 ∩ Nts P2 = {} S ∉ Nts(P1 ∪ P2) ∪ {S1,S2} S1 ∉ Nts P2 S2
  ∉ Nts P1
  shows Lang ({(S,[Nt S1]), (S,[Nt S2])} ∪ (P1 ∪ P2)) S = Lang P1 S1 ∪ Lang
  P2 S2

```

```
(proof)
```

```
end
```

## 5 Context-Free Languages

```
theory Context_Free_Language
imports
```

```
  Regular_Sets.Regular_Set
  Renaming_CFG
  Disjoint_Union_CFG
begin
```

### 5.1 Auxiliary: *lfp* as Kleene Fixpoint

```
definition omega_chain :: (nat ⇒ ('a::complete_lattice)) ⇒ bool where
  omega_chain C = (∀ i. C i ≤ C(Suc i))
```

```
definition omega_cont :: (('a::complete_lattice) ⇒ ('b::complete_lattice)) ⇒ bool
where
  omega_cont f = (∀ C. omega_chain C → f(SUP n. C n) = (SUP n. f(C n)))
```

```
lemma omega_chain_mono: omega_chain C ⇒ i ≤ j ⇒ C i ≤ C j
(proof)
```

```
lemma mono_if_omega_cont: fixes f :: ('a::complete_lattice) ⇒ ('b::complete_lattice)
  assumes omega_cont f shows mono f
(proof)
```

```
lemma omega_chain_iterates: fixes f :: ('a::complete_lattice) ⇒ 'a
  assumes mono f shows omega_chain(λn. (f^n) bot)
(proof)
```

```
theorem Kleene_lfp:
  assumes omega_cont f shows lfp f = (SUP n. (f^n) bot) (is _ = ?U)
(proof)
```

### 5.2 Basic Definitions

This definition depends on the type of nonterminals of the grammar.

```
definition CFL :: 'n itself ⇒ 't list set ⇒ bool where
  CFL (TYPE('n)) L = (∃ P S::'n. L = Lang P S ∧ finite P)
```

Ideally one would existentially quantify over '*n*' on the right-hand side, but we cannot quantify over types in HOL. But we can prove that the type is irrelevant because we can always use another type via renaming.

```
lemma arb_inj_on_finite_infinite: finite(A :: 'a set) ⇒ ∃ f :: 'a ⇒ 'b::infinite.
  inj_on f A
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma CFL_change_Nt_type: assumes CFL TYPE('t1::infinite) L shows CFL
TYPE('t2::infinite) L
⟨proof⟩
```

For hiding the infinite type of nonterminals:

```
abbreviation cfl :: 'a lang ⇒ bool where
cfl L ≡ CFL (TYPE(nat)) L
```

### 5.3 Closure Properties

```
lemma CFL_Un_closed:
assumes CFL TYPE('n1) L1 CFL TYPE('n2) L2
shows CFL TYPE((‘n1 + ‘n2) option) (L1 ∪ L2)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma CFL_concat_closed:
assumes CFL TYPE('n1) L1 and CFL TYPE('n2) L2
shows CFL TYPE((‘n1 + ‘n2) option) (L1 @@ L2)
⟨proof⟩
```

### 5.4 CFG as an Equation System

A CFG can be viewed as a system of equations. The least solution is denoted by  $Lang\_lfp$ .

```
definition inst_sym :: ('n ⇒ 't lang) ⇒ ('n, 't) sym ⇒ 't lang where
inst_sym L s = (case s of Tm a ⇒ {[a]} | Nt A ⇒ L A)
```

```
definition concats :: 'a lang list ⇒ 'a lang where
concats Ls = foldr (@@) Ls {[]}
```

```
definition inst_syms :: ('n ⇒ 't lang) ⇒ ('n, 't) syms ⇒ 't lang where
inst_syms L w = concats (map (inst_sym L) w)
```

```
definition subst_lang :: ('n, 't) Prods ⇒ ('n ⇒ 't lang) ⇒ ('n ⇒ 't lang) where
subst_lang P L = (λA. ⋃w ∈ Rhss P A. inst_syms L w)
```

```
definition Lang_lfp :: ('n, 't) Prods ⇒ 'n ⇒ 't lang where
Lang_lfp P = lfp (subst_lang P)
```

Now we show that this  $lfp$  is a Kleene fixpoint.

```
lemma inst_sym_Sup_range: inst_sym (Sup(range F)) = (λs. UN i. inst_sym
(F i) s)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma foldr_map_mono: F ≤ G ⇒ foldr (@@) (map F xs) Ls ⊆ foldr (@@)
(map G xs) Ls
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *inst\_sym\_mono*:  $F \leq G \implies \text{inst\_sym } F s \subseteq \text{inst\_sym } G s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *foldr\_conc\_map\_inst\_sym*:  
**assumes** *omega\_chain L*  
**shows** *foldr (@@) (map (λs. ∪ i. inst\_sym (L i) s) xs) Ls = (∪ i. foldr (@@) (map (inst\_sym (L i)) xs) Ls)*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omega\_cont\_Lang\_lfp*: *omega\_cont (subst\_lang P)*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *Lang\_lfp\_SUP*: *Lang\_lfp P = (SUP n. ((subst\_lang P) ^n) (λA. {}))*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 5.5 $\text{Lang\_lfp} = \text{Lang}$

We prove that the fixpoint characterization of the language defined by a CFG is equivalent to the standard language definition via derivations. Both directions are proved separately

**lemma** *inst\_syms\_mono*:  $(\bigwedge A. R A \subseteq R' A) \implies w \in \text{inst_syms } R \alpha \implies w \in \text{inst_syms } R' \alpha$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *omega\_cont\_Lang\_lfp\_iterates*: *omega\_chain (λn. ((subst\_lang P) ^n) (λA. {}))*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in\_subst\_langD\_inst\_syms*:  $w \in \text{subst_lang P L A} \implies \exists \alpha. (A, \alpha) \in P \wedge w \in \text{inst_syms L} \alpha$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *foldr\_conc\_conc*: *foldr (@@) xs [] @@ A = foldr (@@) xs A*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *derives\_if\_inst\_syms*:  
 $w \in \text{inst_syms } (\lambda A. \{w. P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* \text{map Tm } w\}) \alpha \implies P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow^* \text{map Tm } w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *derives\_if\_in\_subst\_lang*:  $w \in ((\text{subst_lang P}) ^n) (\lambda A. \{ \}) A \implies P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* \text{map Tm } w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *derives\_if\_Lang\_lfp*:  $w \in \text{Lang_lfp P A} \implies P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* \text{map Tm } w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Lang\_lfp\_subset\_Lang*:  $\text{Lang_lfp P A} \subseteq \text{Lang P A}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The other direction:

**lemma** *inst\_syms\_decomp*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\forall i < \text{length } ws. \ ws ! i \in \text{inst\_sym } L (\alpha ! i); \ \text{length } \alpha = \text{length } ws] \\ & \implies \text{concat } ws \in \text{inst\_syms } L \alpha \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Lang\_lfp\_if\_derives\_aux*:  $P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow (n) \text{ map } Tm w \implies w \in ((\text{subst\_lang } P) \hat{\wedge}_n (\lambda A. \ \{\}) \ A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Lang\_lfp\_if\_derives*:  $P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* \text{map } Tm w \implies w \in \text{Lang\_lfp } P A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *Lang\_lfp\_eq\_Lang*:  $\text{Lang\_lfp } P A = \text{Lang } P A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 6 Elimination of Unit Productions

**theory** *Unit\_Elimination*

**imports** *Context\_Free\_Grammar*

**begin**

**definition** *unit\_prods* ::  $('n, 't) \text{ prods} \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ Prods where}$   
 $\text{unit\_prods } ps = \{(l, r) \in \text{set } ps. \ \exists A. \ r = [Nt A]\}$

**definition** *unit\_rtc* ::  $('n, 't) \text{ Prods} \Rightarrow ('n \times 'n) \text{ set where}$   
 $\text{unit\_rtc } Ps = \{(A, B). \ Ps \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* [Nt B] \wedge \{A, B\} \subseteq Nts Ps\}$

**definition** *unit\_rm* ::  $('n, 't) \text{ prods} \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ Prods where}$   
 $\text{unit\_rm } ps = (\text{set } ps - \text{unit\_prods } ps)$

**definition** *new\_prods* ::  $('n, 't) \text{ prods} \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ Prods where}$   
 $\text{new\_prods } ps = \{(A, r). \ \exists B. \ (B, r) \in (\text{unit\_rm } ps) \wedge (A, B) \in \text{unit\_rtc } (\text{unit\_prods } ps)\}$

**definition** *unit\_elim\_rel* ::  $('n, 't) \text{ prods} \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ prods} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $\text{unit\_elim\_rel } ps \ ps' \equiv \text{set } ps' = (\text{unit\_rm } ps \cup \text{new\_prods } ps)$

**definition** *Unit\_free* ::  $('n, 't) \text{ Prods} \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $\text{Unit\_free } P = (\nexists A \ B. \ (A, [Nt B]) \in P)$

```
lemma Unit_free_if_unit_elim_rel: unit_elim_rel ps ps'  $\implies$  Unit_free (set ps')
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma unit_elim_rel_Eps_free:
```

```
  assumes Eps_free (set ps) and unit_elim_rel ps ps'  
  shows Eps_free (set ps')
```

```
{proof}
```

```
fun uprods :: ('n, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) prods where
```

```
uprods [] = [] |
```

```
uprods (p#ps) = (if  $\exists A.$  (snd p) = [Nt A] then p#uprods ps else uprods ps)
```

```
lemma unit_prods_uprods: set (uprods ps) = unit_prods ps
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma finiteunit_prods: finite (unit_prods ps)
```

```
{proof}
```

```
definition NtsCross :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n  $\times$  'n) set where
```

```
NtsCross Ps = {(A, B). A  $\in$  Nts Ps  $\wedge$  B  $\in$  Nts Ps }
```

```
lemma finite_unit_rtc:
```

```
  assumes finite ps  
  shows finite (unit_rtc ps)
```

```
{proof}
```

```
definition nPSlambda :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n  $\times$  'n)  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) Prods where  
nPSlambda Ps d = {fst d}  $\times$  {r. (snd d, r)  $\in$  Ps}
```

```
lemma npsImage:  $\bigcup ((nPSlambda (unit_rm ps)) \cdot (unit_rtc (unit_prods ps))) =$   
new_prods ps
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma finite_nPSlambda:
```

```
  assumes finite Ps  
  shows finite (nPSlambda Ps d)
```

```
lemma finite_new_prods: finite (new_prods ps)
```

```
lemma finiteunit_elim_relRules: finite (unit_rm ps  $\cup$  new_prods ps)
```

**lemma** *unit\_elim\_rel\_exists*:  $\forall ps. \exists ps'. \text{unit\_elim\_rel } ps \text{ } ps'$   
*(proof)*

**definition** *unit\_elim* **where**  
 $\text{unit\_elim } ps = (\text{SOME } ps'. \text{unit\_elim\_rel } ps \text{ } ps')$

**lemma** *unit\_elim\_rel\_unit\_elim*:  $\text{unit\_elim\_rel } ps \text{ } (\text{unit\_elim } ps)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inNonUnitProds*:  
 $p \in \text{unit\_rm } ps \implies p \in \text{set } ps$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *psubDeriv*:  
**assumes**  $ps \vdash u \Rightarrow v$   
**and**  $\forall p \in ps. p \in ps'$   
**shows**  $ps' \vdash u \Rightarrow v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *psubRtcDeriv*:  
**assumes**  $ps \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v$   
**and**  $\forall p \in ps. p \in ps'$   
**shows**  $ps' \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *unit\_prods\_deriv*:  
**assumes**  $\text{unit\_prods } ps \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v$   
**shows**  $\text{set } ps \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *unit\_elim\_rel\_r3*:  
**assumes**  $\text{unit\_elim\_rel } ps \text{ } ps' \text{ and } \text{set } ps' \vdash u \Rightarrow v$   
**shows**  $\text{set } ps \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *unit\_elim\_rel\_r4*:  
**assumes**  $\text{set } ps' \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v$   
**and**  $\text{unit\_elim\_rel } ps \text{ } ps'$   
**shows**  $\text{set } ps \vdash u \Rightarrow^* v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deriv\_unit\_rtc*:  
**assumes**  $\text{set } ps \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow [Nt B]$   
**shows**  $(A, B) \in \text{unit\_rtc } (\text{unit\_prods } ps)$   
*(proof)*

```

lemma unit_elim_rel_r12:
  assumes unit_elim_rel ps ps' (A, α) ∈ set ps'
  shows (A, α) ∉ unit_prods ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unit_elim_rel_r14:
  assumes unit_elim_rel ps ps'
  and set ps ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒ [Nt B] set ps' ⊢ [Nt B] ⇒ v
  shows set ps' ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒ v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unit_elim_rel_r20_aux:
  assumes set ps ⊢ l @ [Nt A] @ r ⇒* map Tm v
  shows ∃α. set ps ⊢ l @ [Nt A] @ r ⇒ l @ α @ r ∧ set ps ⊢ l @ α @ r ⇒* map
  Tm v ∧ (A, α) ∈ set ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unit_elim_rel_r20:
  assumes set ps ⊢ u ⇒* map Tm v unit_elim_rel ps ps'
  shows set ps' ⊢ u ⇒* map Tm v
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem unit_elim_rel_lang_eq: unit_elim_rel ps ps' ⇒ lang ps' S = lang ps
S
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary lang_unit_elim: lang (unit_elim ps) A = lang ps A
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 7 Elimination of Epsilon Productions

```

theory Epsilon_Elimination
imports Context_Free_Grammar
begin

inductive nullable :: ('n,'t) prods ⇒ ('n,'t) sym ⇒ bool
for ps where
  NullableSym:
    [(A, w) ∈ set ps; ∀ s ∈ set w. nullable ps s]
    ⇒ nullable ps (Nt A)

abbreviation nullables ps w ≡ (∀ s ∈ set w. nullable ps s)

lemma nullables_if:
  assumes set ps ⊢ u ⇒* v
  and u=[a] nullables ps v
  shows nullables ps u

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** nullable\_if: set ps  $\vdash [a] \Rightarrow^* [] \implies \text{nullable } ps \ a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** nullable\_aux:  $\forall s \in \text{set gamma}. \text{nullable } ps \ s \wedge \text{set } ps \vdash [s] \Rightarrow^* [] \implies \text{set } ps \vdash \gamma \Rightarrow^* []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** if\_nullable: nullable ps a  $\implies \text{set } ps \vdash [a] \Rightarrow^* []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** nullable\_iff: nullable ps a  $\longleftrightarrow \text{set } ps \vdash [a] \Rightarrow^* []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** eps\_closure :: ('n, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) syms  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) syms list **where**  
  eps\_closure ps [] = [] |  
  eps\_closure ps (s#sl) = (  
    if nullable ps s then (map ((#) s) (eps\_closure ps sl)) @ eps\_closure ps sl  
    else map ((#) s) (eps\_closure ps sl)))

**definition** eps\_elim :: ('n, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) Prods **where**  
  eps\_elim ps  $\equiv \{(l, r). \exists r. (l, r) \in \text{set } ps \wedge r' \in \text{set} (\text{eps\_closure } ps \ r) \wedge (r' \neq [])\}$

**definition** eps\_elim\_rel :: ('n, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
  eps\_elim\_rel ps ps'  $\equiv \text{set } ps' = \text{eps\_elim } ps$

**lemma** Eps\_free\_if\_eps\_elim\_rel: eps\_elim\_rel ps ps'  $\implies \text{Eps\_free}(\text{set } ps')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** eps\_elim\_fun :: ('n, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) prod  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) Prods **where**  
  eps\_elim\_fun ps p =  $\{(l', r'). l' = \text{fst } p \wedge r' \in \text{set} (\text{eps\_closure } ps (\text{snd } p)) \wedge (r' \neq [])\}$

**lemma** eps\_elim\_fun\_eq: eps\_elim ps =  $\bigcup ((\text{eps\_elim\_fun } ps) \setminus \text{set } ps)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** finite\_eps\_elim: finite (eps\_elim ps)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** eps\_elim\_rel\_exists:  $\forall ps. \exists ps'. \text{eps\_elim\_rel } ps \ ps'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** eps\_closure\_nullable: []  $\in \text{set} (\text{eps\_closure } ps w) \implies \text{nullables } ps w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** eps\_elim\_rel\_1:  $r' \in \text{set} (\text{eps\_closure } ps \ r) \implies \text{set } ps \vdash r \Rightarrow^* r'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma eps_elim_rel_r2:
  assumes set ps' ⊢ u ⇒ v and eps_elim_rel ps ps'
  shows set ps ⊢ u ⇒* v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_elim_rel_r3:
  assumes set ps' ⊢ u ⇒* v and eps_elim_rel ps ps'
  shows set ps ⊢ u ⇒* v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_elim_rel_r5: r ∈ set (eps_closure ps r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_elim_rel_r4:
  assumes (l,r) ∈ set ps
    and eps_elim_rel ps ps'
    and (r' ≠ [])
    and r' ∈ set (eps_closure ps r)
  shows (l,r') ∈ set ps'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_elim_rel_r7:
  assumes eps_elim_rel ps ps'
    and set ps ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒ v
    and v' ∈ set (eps_closure ps v) ∧ (v' ≠ [])
  shows set ps' ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒ v'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_elim_rel_r12a:
  assumes x' ∈ set (eps_closure ps x)
    and y' ∈ set (eps_closure ps y)
  shows (x'@y') ∈ set (eps_closure ps (x@y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_elim_rel_r12b:
  assumes x' ∈ set (eps_closure ps x)
    and y' ∈ set (eps_closure ps y)
    and z' ∈ set (eps_closure ps z)
  shows (x'@y'@z') ∈ set (eps_closure ps (x@y@z))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_elim_rel_r14:
  assumes r' ∈ set (eps_closure ps (x@y))
  shows ∃ x' y'. (r' = x'@y') ∧ x' ∈ set (eps_closure ps x) ∧ y' ∈ set (eps_closure ps y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_elim_rel_r15:

```

```

assumes set ps  $\vdash [Nt S] \Rightarrow^* u$ 
and eps_elim_rel ps ps'
and  $v \in \text{set}(\text{eps\_closure } ps \ u) \wedge (v \neq [])$ 
shows set ps'  $\vdash [Nt S] \Rightarrow^* v$ 
⟨proof⟩

theorem eps_elim_rel_eq_if_noe:
assumes eps_elim_rel ps ps'
and []  $\notin \text{lang } ps \ S$ 
shows lang ps S = lang ps' S
⟨proof⟩

lemma noe_lang_eps_elim_rel_aux:
assumes ps  $\vdash [Nt S] \Rightarrow^* w \ w = []$ 
shows  $\exists A. \ ps \vdash [Nt S] \Rightarrow^* [Nt A] \wedge (A, w) \in ps$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma noe_lang_eps_elim_rel: eps_elim_rel ps ps'  $\implies [] \notin \text{lang } ps' \ S$ 
⟨proof⟩

theorem eps_elim_rel_lang_eq: eps_elim_rel ps ps'  $\implies \text{lang } ps' \ S = \text{lang } ps \ S$ 
– {[]}
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 8 Conversion to Chomsky Normal Form

```

theory Chomsky_Normal_Form
imports Unit_Elimination Epsilon_Elimination
begin

definition CNF :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
CNF P  $\equiv (\forall (A, \alpha) \in P. (\exists B \ C. \alpha = [Nt B, Nt C]) \vee (\exists t. \alpha = [Tm t]))$ 

lemma Nts_correct: A  $\notin$  Nts P  $\implies (\#S \alpha. (S, \alpha) \in P \wedge (Nt A \in \{Nt S\} \cup \text{set} \alpha))$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition uniformize :: 'n::infinite  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
uniformize A t S ps ps'  $\equiv$ 
exists l r p s. (l, r)  $\in$  set ps  $\wedge$  (r = p@[Tm t]@s)
 $\wedge$  (p  $\neq$  []  $\vee$  s  $\neq$  [])  $\wedge$  A = fresh(nts ps  $\cup$  {S})
 $\wedge$  ps' = ((removeAll (l, r) ps) @ [(A, [Tm t]), (l, p@[Nt A]@s)]))

```

```

lemma uniformize_Eps_free:
  assumes Eps_free (set ps)
  and uniformize A t S ps ps'
  shows Eps_free (set ps')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uniformize_Unit_free:
  assumes Unit_free (set ps)
  and uniformize A t S ps ps'
  shows Unit_free (set ps')
  ⟨proof⟩

definition prodTms :: ('n,'t) prod ⇒ nat where
prodTms p ≡ (if length (snd p) ≤ 1 then 0 else length (filter (isTm) (snd p)))

definition prodNts :: ('n,'t) prod ⇒ nat where
prodNts p ≡ (if length (snd p) ≤ 2 then 0 else length (filter (isNt) (snd p)))

fun badTmsCount :: ('n,'t) prods ⇒ nat where
badTmsCount ps = sum_list(map prodTms ps)

lemma badTmsCountSet: (∀ p ∈ set ps. prodTms p = 0) ←→ badTmsCount ps = 0
⟨proof⟩

fun badNtsCount :: ('n,'t) prods ⇒ nat where
badNtsCount ps = sum_list(map prodNts ps)

lemma badNtsCountSet: (∀ p ∈ set ps. prodNts p = 0) ←→ badNtsCount ps = 0
⟨proof⟩

definition uniform :: ('n, 't) Prods ⇒ bool where
uniform P ≡ ∀(A, α) ∈ P. (♯t. Tm t ∈ set α) ∨ (∃t. α = [Tm t])

lemma uniform_badTmsCount:
  uniform (set ps) ←→ badTmsCount ps = 0
⟨proof⟩

definition binary :: ('n, 't) Prods ⇒ bool where
binary P ≡ ∀(A, α) ∈ P. length α ≤ 2

lemma binary_badNtsCount:
  assumes uniform (set ps) badNtsCount ps = 0
  shows binary (set ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma count_bin_un: (binary (set ps) ∧ uniform (set ps)) ←→ (badTmsCount ps = 0 ∧ badNtsCount ps = 0)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition binarizeNt :: 'n::infinite  $\Rightarrow$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  ('n,'t)prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n,'t)prods
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'  $\equiv$  (
   $\exists l r p s. (l,r) \in set\ ps \wedge (r = p @ [Nt\ B1, Nt\ B2] @ s)$ 
   $\wedge (p \neq [] \vee s \neq []) \wedge (A = fresh(nts\ ps \cup \{S\}))$ 
   $\wedge ps' = ((removeAll\ (l,r)\ ps) @ [(A, [Nt\ B1, Nt\ B2]), (l, p @ [Nt\ A] @ s)]))$ 

lemma binarizeNt_Eps_free:
  assumes Eps_free (set ps)
  and binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'
  shows Eps_free (set ps')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma binarizeNt_Unit_free:
  assumes Unit_free (set ps)
  and binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'
  shows Unit_free (set ps')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fresh_nts_single: fresh(nts ps  $\cup$  {S})  $\notin$  nts ps  $\cup$  {S}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma binarizeNt_aux1:
  assumes binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'
  shows A  $\neq$  B1  $\wedge$  A  $\neq$  B2
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma derives_sub:
  assumes P  $\vdash [Nt\ A] \Rightarrow u$  and P  $\vdash xs \Rightarrow p @ [Nt\ A] @ s$ 
  shows P  $\vdash xs \Rightarrow^* p @ u @ s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cnf_r1Tm:
  assumes uniformize A t S ps ps'
  and set ps  $\vdash lhs \Rightarrow rhs$ 
  shows set ps'  $\vdash lhs \Rightarrow^* rhs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cnf_r1Nt:
  assumes binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'
  and set ps  $\vdash lhs \Rightarrow rhs$ 
  shows set ps'  $\vdash lhs \Rightarrow^* rhs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma slemma1_1:
  assumes uniformize A t S ps ps'

```

**and**  $(A, \alpha) \in \text{set } ps'$

**shows**  $\alpha = [Tm t]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *slemma1\_1Nt*:

**assumes** *binarizeNt A B<sub>1</sub> B<sub>2</sub> S ps ps'*

**and**  $(A, \alpha) \in \text{set } ps'$

**shows**  $\alpha = [Nt B_1, Nt B_2]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *slemma4\_1*:

**assumes**  $Nt A \notin \text{set } rhs$

**shows**  $\forall \alpha. rhs = \text{substsNt } A \alpha rhs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *slemma4\_3\_1*:

**assumes**  $lhs = A$

**shows**  $\alpha = \text{substsNt } A \alpha [Nt lhs]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *slemma4\_4*:

**assumes** *uniformize A t S ps ps'*

**and**  $(l, r) \in \text{set } ps$

**shows**  $Nt A \notin \text{set } r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *slemma4\_4Nt*:

**assumes** *binarizeNt A B<sub>1</sub> B<sub>2</sub> S ps ps'*

**and**  $(l, r) \in \text{set } ps$

**shows**  $(Nt A) \notin \text{set } r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lemma1*:

**assumes** *uniformize A t S ps ps'*

**and**  $\text{set } ps' \vdash lhs \Rightarrow rhs$

**shows**  $\text{substsNt } A [Tm t] lhs = \text{substsNt } A [Tm t] rhs$

$\vee \text{set } ps \vdash \text{substsNt } A [Tm t] lhs \Rightarrow \text{substsNt } A [Tm t] rhs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lemma1Nt*:

**assumes** *binarizeNt A B<sub>1</sub> B<sub>2</sub> S ps ps'*

**and**  $\text{set } ps' \vdash lhs \Rightarrow rhs$

**shows**  $(\text{substsNt } A [Nt B_1, Nt B_2] lhs = \text{substsNt } A [Nt B_1, Nt B_2] rhs)$

$\vee ((\text{set } ps) \vdash (\text{substsNt } A [Nt B_1, Nt B_2] lhs) \Rightarrow \text{substsNt } A [Nt B_1, Nt B_2]$

$rhs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lemma3*:

```

assumes set ps' ⊢ lhs ⇒* rhs
and uniformize A t S ps ps'
shows set ps ⊢ substsNt A [Tm t] lhs ⇒* substsNt A [Tm t] rhs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma3Nt:
assumes set ps' ⊢ lhs ⇒* rhs
and binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'
shows set ps ⊢ substsNt A [Nt B1, Nt B2] lhs ⇒* substsNt A [Nt B1, Nt B2] rhs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma4:
assumes uniformize A t S ps ps'
shows lang ps' S ⊆ lang ps S
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma4Nt:
assumes binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'
shows lang ps' S ⊆ lang ps S
⟨proof⟩

lemma slemma5_1:
assumes set ps ⊢ u ⇒* v
and uniformize A t S ps ps'
shows set ps' ⊢ u ⇒* v
⟨proof⟩

lemma slemma5_1Nt:
assumes set ps ⊢ u ⇒* v
and binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'
shows set ps' ⊢ u ⇒* v
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma5:
assumes uniformize A t S ps ps'
shows lang ps S ⊆ lang ps' S
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma5Nt:
assumes binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'
shows lang ps S ⊆ lang ps' S
⟨proof⟩

lemma cnf_lemma1: uniformize A t S ps ps' ⇒ lang ps S = lang ps' S
⟨proof⟩

lemma cnf_lemma1Nt: binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps' ⇒ lang ps S = lang ps' S
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uniformizeRtc_Eps_free:
  assumes ( $\lambda x y. \exists A t. \text{uniformize } A t S x y) \hat{**} ps ps'$ 
  and Eps_free (set ps)
  shows Eps_free (set ps')
  (proof)

lemma binarizeNtRtc_Eps_free:
  assumes ( $\lambda x y. \exists A t B_1 B_2. \text{binarizeNt } A B_1 B_2 S x y) \hat{**} ps ps'$ 
  and Eps_free (set ps)
  shows Eps_free (set ps')
  (proof)

lemma uniformizeRtc_Unit_free:
  assumes ( $\lambda x y. \exists A t. \text{uniformize } A t S x y) \hat{**} ps ps'$ 
  and Unit_free (set ps)
  shows Unit_free (set ps')
  (proof)

lemma binarizeNtRtc_Unit_free:
  assumes ( $\lambda x y. \exists A t B_1 B_2. \text{binarizeNt } A B_1 B_2 S x y) \hat{**} ps ps'$ 
  and Unit_free (set ps)
  shows Unit_free (set ps')
  (proof)

lemma uniformize_Nts:
  assumes uniformize A t S ps ps' S  $\in$  Nts (set ps)
  shows S  $\in$  Nts (set ps')
  (proof)

lemma uniformizeRtc_Nts:
  assumes ( $\lambda x y. \exists A t. \text{uniformize } A t S x y) \hat{**} ps ps' S \in Nts (set ps)$ 
  shows S  $\in$  Nts (set ps')
  (proof)

theorem cnf_lemma2:
  assumes ( $\lambda x y. \exists A t. \text{uniformize } A t S x y) \hat{**} ps ps'$ 
  shows lang ps S = lang ps' S
  (proof)

theorem cnf_lemma2Nt:
  assumes ( $\lambda x y. \exists A t B_1 B_2. \text{binarizeNt } A B_1 B_2 S x y) \hat{**} ps ps'$ 
  shows lang ps S = lang ps' S
  (proof)

theorem cnf_lemma:

```

```

assumes ( $\lambda x y. \exists A t. uniformize A t S x y) \sim** ps ps'$ 
and ( $\lambda x y. \exists A B_1 B_2. binarizeNt A B_1 B_2 S x y) \sim** ps' ps''$ 
shows lang ps S = lang ps'' S
⟨proof⟩

lemma badTmsCount_append: badTmsCount (ps@ps') = badTmsCount ps + badTmsCount ps'
⟨proof⟩

lemma badNtsCount_append: badNtsCount (ps@ps') = badNtsCount ps + badNtsCount ps'
⟨proof⟩

lemma badTmsCount_removeAll:
assumes prodTms p > 0 p ∈ set ps
shows badTmsCount (removeAll p ps) < badTmsCount ps
⟨proof⟩

lemma badNtsCount_removeAll:
assumes prodNts p > 0 p ∈ set ps
shows badNtsCount (removeAll p ps) < badNtsCount ps
⟨proof⟩

lemma badTmsCount_removeAll2:
assumes prodTms p > 0 p ∈ set ps prodTms p' < prodTms p
shows badTmsCount (removeAll p ps) + prodTms p' < badTmsCount ps
⟨proof⟩

lemma badNtsCount_removeAll2:
assumes prodNts p > 0 p ∈ set ps prodNts p' < prodNts p
shows badNtsCount (removeAll p ps) + prodNts p' < badNtsCount ps
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma6_a:
assumes uniformize A t S ps ps' shows badTmsCount (ps') < badTmsCount ps
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma6_b:
assumes binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps' shows badNtsCount ps' < badNtsCount ps
⟨proof⟩

lemma badTmsCount0_removeAll: badTmsCount ps = 0  $\implies$  badTmsCount (removeAll (l,r) ps) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma slemma15_a:
assumes binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'

```

```

and badTmsCount ps = 0
shows badTmsCount ps' = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma15_a:
assumes (λx y. ∃ A B1 B2. binarizeNt A B1 B2 S x y) ^** ps ps'
and badTmsCount ps = 0
shows badTmsCount ps' = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma noTms_prodTms0:
assumes prodTms (l,r) = 0
shows length r ≤ 1 ∨ (∀ a ∈ set r. isNt a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma badTmsCountNot0:
assumes badTmsCount ps > 0
shows ∃ l r t. (l,r) ∈ set ps ∧ length r ≥ 2 ∧ Tm t ∈ set r
⟨proof⟩

lemma badNtsCountNot0:
assumes badNtsCount ps > 0
shows ∃ l r. (l, r) ∈ set ps ∧ length r ≥ 3
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_longer2: length l ≥ 2 ∧ x ∈ set l ⇒ (∃ hd tl . l = hd@[x]@tl ∧ (hd ≠ [] ∨ tl ≠ []))
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_longer3: length l ≥ 3 ⇒ (∃ hd tl x y. l = hd@[x]@[y]@tl ∧ (hd ≠ [] ∨ tl ≠ []))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma8_a: badTmsCount ps > 0 ⇒ ∃ ps' A t. uniformize A t S ps ps'
⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma8_b:
assumes badTmsCount ps = 0 and badNtsCount ps > 0
shows ∃ ps' A B1 B2. binarizeNt A B1 B2 S ps ps'
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniformize_2: ∃ ps'. (λx y. ∃ A t. uniformize A t S x y) ^** ps ps' ∧
(badTmsCount ps' = 0)
⟨proof⟩

lemma binarizeNt_2:
assumes badTmsCount ps = 0
shows ∃ ps'. (λx y. ∃ A B1 B2. binarizeNt A B1 B2 S x y) ^** ps ps' ∧
(badNtsCount ps' = 0)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
theorem cnf_noe_nou: fixes ps :: ('n::infinite,'t)prods
  assumes Eps_free (set ps) and Unit_free (set ps)
  shows  $\exists ps'::('n,'t)prods. uniform (set ps') \wedge binary (set ps') \wedge lang ps S = lang ps' S \wedge Eps_free (set ps') \wedge Unit_free (set ps')$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

Alternative form more similar to the one Jana Hofmann used:

```
lemma CNF_eq: CNF P  $\longleftrightarrow$  (uniform P  $\wedge$  binary P  $\wedge$  Eps_free P  $\wedge$  Unit_free P)
⟨proof⟩
```

Main Theorem: existence of CNF with the same language except for the empty word []:

```
theorem cnf_exists:
  fixes ps :: ('n::infinite,'t) prods
  shows  $\exists ps'::('n,'t)prods. CNF(set ps') \wedge lang ps' S = lang ps S - \{[]\}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

Some helpful properties:

```
lemma cnf_length_derive:
  assumes CNF P P ⊢ [Nt S] ⇒* α
  shows length α ≥ 1
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cnf_length_derive2:
  assumes CNF P P ⊢ [Nt A, Nt B] ⇒* α
  shows length α ≥ 2
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cnf_single_derive:
  assumes CNF P P ⊢ [Nt S] ⇒* [Tm t]
  shows (S, [Tm t]) ∈ P
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cnf_word:
  assumes CNF P P ⊢ [Nt S] ⇒* map Tm w
  and length w ≥ 2
  shows  $\exists A B u v. (S, [Nt A, Nt B]) \in P \wedge P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow* map Tm u \wedge P \vdash [Nt B] \Rightarrow* map Tm v \wedge u @ v = w \wedge u \neq [] \wedge v \neq []$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

end

## 9 Pumping Lemma for Context Free Grammars

```
theory Pumping_Lemma_CFG
imports
```

*List\_Power.List\_Power  
Chomsky\_Normal\_Form*

**begin**

Paths in the (implicit) parse tree of the derivation of some terminal word;  
specialized for productions in CNF.

**inductive path** :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  'n list  $\Rightarrow$  't list  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
 $((\lambda \_ \vdash / (\_ / \Rightarrow \langle \_ \rangle / \_)) [50, 0, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
**terminal:**  $(A, [Tm a]) \in P \implies P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle [A] \rangle [a]$  |  
**left:**  $\llbracket (A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \in P \wedge (P \vdash B \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w) \wedge (P \vdash C \Rightarrow \langle q \rangle v) \rrbracket \implies P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle A \# p \rangle (w @ v)$  |  
**right:**  $\llbracket (A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \in P \wedge (P \vdash B \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w) \wedge (P \vdash C \Rightarrow \langle q \rangle v) \rrbracket \implies P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle A \# q \rangle (w @ v)$

**inductive cnf\_derives** :: ('n, 't) Prods  $\Rightarrow$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  't list  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
 $((\lambda \_ \vdash / (\_ / \Rightarrow cnf / \_)) [50, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**  
**step:**  $(A, [Tm a]) \in P \implies P \vdash A \Rightarrow cnf [a]$   
**trans:**  $\llbracket (A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \in P \wedge P \vdash B \Rightarrow cnf w \wedge P \vdash C \Rightarrow cnf v \rrbracket \implies P \vdash A \Rightarrow cnf (w @ v)$

**lemma** *path\_if\_cnf\_derives*:  $P \vdash S \Rightarrow cnf w \implies \exists p. P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *cnf\_derives\_if\_path*:  $P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w \implies P \vdash S \Rightarrow cnf w$   
*{proof}*

**corollary** *cnf\_path*:  $P \vdash S \Rightarrow cnf w \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *cnf\_der*:  
**assumes**  $P \vdash [Nt S] \Rightarrow^* map Tm w CNF P$   
**shows**  $P \vdash S \Rightarrow cnf w$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *der\_cnf*:  
**assumes**  $P \vdash S \Rightarrow cnf w CNF P$   
**shows**  $P \vdash [Nt S] \Rightarrow^* map Tm w$   
*{proof}*

**corollary** *cnf\_der\_eq*:  $CNF P \implies (P \vdash [Nt S] \Rightarrow^* map Tm w \longleftrightarrow P \vdash S \Rightarrow cnf w)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *path\_if\_derives*:  
**assumes**  $P \vdash [Nt S] \Rightarrow^* map Tm w CNF P$   
**shows**  $\exists p. P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** derives\_if\_path:

**assumes**  $P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w \text{ CNF } P$   
**shows**  $P \vdash [Nt S] \Rightarrow^* \text{map } Tm w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$lpath$  = longest path, similar to  $path$ ;  $lpath$  always chooses the longest path in a syntax tree

**inductive**  $lpath :: ('n, 't) Prods \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n \text{ list} \Rightarrow 't \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$((\underline{\_} \vdash / (\underline{\_} / \Rightarrow \langle \underline{\_} \rangle / \underline{\_})) [50, 0, 0, 50] 50)$  **where**

**terminal:**  $(A, [Tm a]) \in P \implies P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle [A] \rangle [a]$  |

**nonTerminals:**  $[(A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \in P \wedge (P \vdash B \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w \wedge (P \vdash C \Rightarrow \langle q \rangle v))]$

$\implies$

$P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle A \# (\text{if } \text{length } p \geq \text{length } q \text{ then } p \text{ else } q) \rangle (w @ v)$

**lemma** path\_lpath:  $P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w \implies \exists p'. (P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p' \rangle w) \wedge \text{length } p' \geq \text{length } p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** lpath\_path:  $(P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w) \implies P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** lpath\_length:  $(P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w) \implies \text{length } w \leq 2^{\text{length } p}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** step\_decomp:

**assumes**  $P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle [A] @ p \rangle w \text{ length } p \geq 1$   
**shows**  $\exists B C p' a b. (A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \in P \wedge w = a @ b \wedge$   
 $((P \vdash B \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle a \wedge P \vdash C \Rightarrow \langle p' \rangle b) \vee (P \vdash B \Rightarrow \langle p' \rangle a \wedge P \vdash C \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle b))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** step\_lp\_decomp:

**assumes**  $P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle [A] @ p \rangle w \text{ length } p \geq 1$   
**shows**  $\exists B C p' a b. (A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \in P \wedge w = a @ b \wedge$   
 $((P \vdash B \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle a \wedge P \vdash C \Rightarrow \langle p' \rangle b \wedge \text{length } p \geq \text{length } p') \vee$   
 $(P \vdash B \Rightarrow \langle p' \rangle a \wedge P \vdash C \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle b \wedge \text{length } p \geq \text{length } p'))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** path\_first\_step:  $P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w \implies \exists q. p = [A] @ q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** no\_empty:  $P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w \implies \text{length } w > 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** substitution:

**assumes**  $P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle p1 @ [X] @ p2 \rangle z$   
**shows**  $\exists v w x. P \vdash X \Rightarrow \langle [X] @ p2 \rangle w \wedge z = v @ w @ x \wedge$   
 $(\forall w' p'. P \vdash X \Rightarrow \langle [X] @ p' \rangle w' \longrightarrow P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle p1 @ [X] @ p' \rangle v @ w' @ x) \wedge$   
 $(\text{length } p1 > 0 \longrightarrow \text{length } (v @ x) > 0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *substitution\_lp*:

**assumes**  $P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle p1 @ [X] @ p2 \rangle z$

**shows**  $\exists v w x. P \vdash X \Rightarrow \langle [X] @ p2 \rangle w \wedge z = v @ w @ x \wedge$

$(\forall w' p'. P \vdash X \Rightarrow \langle [X] @ p' \rangle w' \longrightarrow P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle p1 @ [X] @ p' \rangle v @ w' @ x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *path\_nts*:  $P \vdash S \Rightarrow \langle p \rangle w \implies \text{set } p \subseteq Nts P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inner\_aux*:

**assumes**  $\forall w' p'. P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle [A] @ p3 \rangle w \wedge (P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle [A] @ p' \rangle w' \longrightarrow$   
 $P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle [A] @ p2 @ [A] @ p' \rangle v @ w' @ x)$

**shows**  $P \vdash A \Rightarrow \langle (([A] @ p2) \widehat{\wedge} (Suc i)) @ [A] @ p3 \rangle v \widehat{\wedge} (Suc i) @ w @ x \widehat{\wedge} (Suc i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inner\_pumping*:

**assumes** *CNF P finite P*

**and**  $m = \text{card}(Nts P)$

**and**  $z \in \text{Lang } P S$

**and**  $\text{length } z \geq 2^{\lceil m+1 \rceil}$

**shows**  $\exists u v w x y. z = u @ v @ w @ x @ y \wedge \text{length}(v @ w @ x) \leq 2^{\lceil m+1 \rceil} \wedge \text{length}(v @ x) \geq 1 \wedge (\forall i. u @ (v \widehat{\wedge} i) @ w @ (x \widehat{\wedge} i) @ y \in \text{Lang } P S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *pumping\_property*  $L n \equiv \forall z \in L. \text{length } z \geq n \longrightarrow$

$(\exists u v w x y. z = u @ v @ w @ x @ y \wedge \text{length}(v @ w @ x) \leq n \wedge \text{length}(v @ x) \geq 1$   
 $\wedge (\forall i. u @ (v \widehat{\wedge} i) @ w @ (x \widehat{\wedge} i) @ y \in L))$

**theorem** *Pumping\_Lemma\_CNF*:

**assumes** *CNF P finite P*

**shows**  $\exists n. \text{pumping\_property}(\text{Lang } P S) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *Pumping\_Lemma*:

**assumes** *finite(P :: ('n::infinite,'t)Prods)*

**shows**  $\exists n. \text{pumping\_property}(\text{Lang } P S) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 10 $a^n b^n c^n$ is Not Context-Free

**theory** *AnBnCn\_not\_CFL*

**imports** *Pumping\_Lemma\_CFG*

**begin**

This theory proves that the language  $\bigcup_n \{[a]^n @ [b]^n @ [c]^n\}$  is not context-free using the Pumping lemma.

The formal proof follows the textbook proof (e.g. [1]) closely. The Isabelle proof is about 10% of the length of the Coq proof by Ramos *et al.* [3, 2]. The latter proof suffers from excessive case analyses.

```
declare count_list_pow_list[simp]
```

```
context
```

```
fixes a b c
```

```
assumes neq: a ≠ b b ≠ c c ≠ a
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma c_greater_count0:
```

```
assumes x@y = [a]~n @ [b]~n @ [c]~n length y ≥ n
```

```
shows count_list x c = 0
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma a_greater_count0:
```

```
assumes x@y = [a]~n @ [b]~n @ [c]~n length x ≥ n
```

```
shows count_list y a = 0
```

this prof is easier than  $[\ ?x @ ?y = [a]^?n @ [b]^?n @ [c]^?n; ?n \leq \text{length} ?y] \implies \text{count\_list} ?x c = 0$  since a is at the start of the word rather than at the end

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma a_or_b_zero:
```

```
assumes u@w@y = [a]~n @ [b]~n @ [c]~n length w ≤ n
```

```
shows count_list w a = 0 ∨ count_list w c = 0
```

This lemma uses  $\text{count\_list} w a = 0 \vee \text{count\_list} w c = 0$  similar to all following proofs, focusing on the number of a and c found in w rather than the concrete structure. It is also the merge of the two previous lemmas to make the final proof shorter

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma count_vx_not_zero:
```

```
assumes u@v@w@x@y = [a]~n @ [b]~n @ [c]~n v@x ≠ []
```

```
shows count_list (v@x) a ≠ 0 ∨ count_list (v@x) b ≠ 0 ∨ count_list (v@x) c ≠ 0
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma not_ex_y_count:
```

```
assumes i ≠ k ∨ k ≠ j ∨ i ≠ j count_list w a = i count_list w b = k count_list w c = j
```

```
shows ¬(EX y. w = [a]~y @ [b]~y @ [c]~y)
```

```
{proof}
```

```

lemma not_in_count:
  assumes count_list w a ≠ count_list w b ∨ count_list w b ≠ count_list w c ∨
  count_list w c ≠ count_list w a
  shows w ∉ {word. ∃ n. word = [a]^{n} @ [b]^{n} @ [c]^{n}}

```

This definition of a word not in the language is useful as it allows us to prove a word is not in the language just by knowing the number of each letter in a word

*(proof)*

This is the central and only case analysis, which follows the textbook proofs. The Coq proof by Ramos is considerably more involved and ends up with a total of 24 cases

```

lemma pumping_application:

```

```

  assumes u@v@w@x@y = [a]^{n} @ [b]^{n} @ [c]^{n}
  and count_list (v@w@x) a = 0 ∨ count_list (v@w@x) c = 0 and v@x ≠ []
  shows u@w@y ∉ (⋃ n. {[a]^{n} @ [b]^{n} @ [c]^{n}})

```

In this lemma it is proven that a word  $u @ v^0 @ w @ x^0 @ y$  is not in the language  $\bigcup_n \{[a]^n @ [b]^n @ [c]^n\}$  as this is the easiest counterexample useful for the Pumping lemma

*(proof)*

```

theorem anbncn_not_cfl:

```

```

  assumes finite (P :: ('n::infinite,'a)Prods)
  shows Lang P S ≠ (⋃ n. {[a]^{n} @ [b]^{n} @ [c]^{n}}) (is ⊢ ?E)
(proof)

```

end

end

## 11 CFLs Are Not Closed Under Intersection

```

theory CFL_Not_Intersection_Closed
imports

```

```

  List_Power.List_Power
  Context_Free_Language
  Pumping_Lemma_CFG
  AnBnCn_not_CFL

```

**begin**

The probably first formal proof was given by Ramos *et al.* [3, 2]. The proof below is much shorter.

Some lemmas:

```

lemma Lang_concat:

```

```

  L1 @@ L2 = {word. ∃ w1 ∈ L1. ∃ w2 ∈ L2. word = w1 @ w2}
(proof)

```

```

lemma derive_n_same_repl:
  assumes  $(A, u' @ [Nt A] @ v') \in P$ 
  shows  $P \vdash u @ [Nt A] @ v \Rightarrow (n) u @ (u' \wedge n) @ [Nt A] @ (v' \wedge n) @ v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Now the main proof.

```

lemma an_CFL:  $CFL \text{ TYPE}('n) (\bigcup n. \{[a] \wedge n\}) \text{ (is } CFL \_ ?L)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma anbn_CFL:  $CFL \text{ TYPE}('n) (\bigcup n. \{[a] \wedge n @ [b] \wedge n\}) \text{ (is } CFL \_ ?L)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma intersection_anbncn:

```

```

  assumes  $a \neq b, b \neq c, c \neq a$ 
  and  $\exists x y z. w = [a] \wedge x @ [b] \wedge y @ [c] \wedge z \wedge x = y$ 
  and  $\exists x y z. w = [a] \wedge x @ [b] \wedge y @ [c] \wedge z \wedge y = z$ 
  shows  $\exists x y z. w = [a] \wedge x @ [b] \wedge y @ [c] \wedge z \wedge x = y \wedge y = z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma CFL_intersection_not_closed:

```

```

  fixes  $a b c :: 'a$ 
  assumes  $a \neq b, b \neq c, c \neq a$ 
  shows  $\exists L1 L2 :: 'a \text{ list set.}$ 
     $CFL \text{ TYPE}((n1 + n1) \text{ option}) L1 \wedge CFL \text{ TYPE}((n2 + n2) \text{ option}) L2$ 
     $\wedge (\#(P :: ('x :: infinite, 'a) Prods) S. \text{Lang } P S = L1 \cap L2 \wedge \text{finite } P)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

## 12 Inlining a Single Production

```

theory Inlining1Prod
imports Context_Free_Grammar
begin

```

A single production of  $(A, \alpha)$  can be removed from  $ps$  by inlining (= replacing  $Nt A$  by  $\alpha$  everywhere in  $ps$ ) without changing the language if  $Nt A \notin \text{set } \alpha$  and  $A \notin \text{lhss } ps$ .

$\text{substP } ps s u$  replaces every occurrence of the symbol  $s$  with the list of symbols  $u$  on the right-hand sides of the production list  $ps$

```

definition substP ::  $('n, 't) \text{ sym} \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ syms} \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ prods} \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \text{ prods}$ 
where

```

```

   $\text{substP } s u ps = \text{map } (\lambda(A, v). (A, \text{substs } s u v)) ps$ 

```

```

lemma substP_split:  $\text{substP } s u (ps @ ps') = \text{substP } s u ps @ \text{substP } s u ps'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *substP\_skip1*:  $s \notin set v \implies substP s u ((A,v) \# ps) = (A,v) \# substP s u ps$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *substP\_skip2*:  $s \notin syms ps \implies substP s u ps = ps$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *substP\_rev*:  $Nt B \notin syms ps \implies substP (Nt B) [s] (substP s [Nt B] ps) = ps$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *substP\_der*:  
 $(A,u) \in set (substP (Nt B) v ps) \implies set ((B,v) \# ps) \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* u$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A list of symbols  $u$  can be derived before inlining if  $u$  can be derived after inlining.

**lemma** *if\_part*:  
 $set (substP (Nt B) v ps) \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* u \implies set ((B,v) \# ps) \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* u$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

For the other implication we need to take care that  $B$  can be derived in the original  $ps$ . Thus, after inlining,  $B$  must also be substituted in the derived sentence  $u$ :

**lemma** *only\_if\_lemma*:  
**assumes**  $A \neq B$   
**and**  $B \notin lhss ps$   
**and**  $Nt B \notin set v$   
**shows**  $set ((B,v) \# ps) \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* u \implies set (substP (Nt B) v ps) \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* substsNt B v u$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

With the assumption that the non-terminal  $B$  is not in the list of symbols  $u$ ,  $substs u (Nt B) v$  reduces to  $u$

**corollary** *only\_if\_part*:  
**assumes**  $A \neq B$   
**and**  $B \notin lhss ps$   
**and**  $Nt B \notin set v$   
**and**  $Nt B \notin set u$   
**shows**  $set ((B,v) \# ps) \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* u \implies set (substP (Nt B) v ps) \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* u$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Combining the two implications gives us the desired property of language preservation

**lemma** *derives\_inlining*:  
**assumes**  $B \notin lhss ps$  **and**  
 $Nt B \notin set v$  **and**  
 $Nt B \notin set u$  **and**  
 $A \neq B$

```

shows set (substP (Nt B) v ps) ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* u ←→ set ((B,v) # ps) ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒*
u
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 13 Transforming Long Productions Into a Binary Cascade

```

theory Binarize
imports Inlining1Prod
begin

```

```

lemma funpow_fix: fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'a and m :: 'a ⇒ nat
assumes ⋀x. m(f x) < m x ∨ f x = x
shows f((f ^^ m x) x) = (f ^^ m x) x
⟨proof⟩

```

In a binary grammar, every right-hand side consists of at most two symbols. The *binarize* function should convert an arbitrary production list into a binary production list, without changing the language of the grammar. For this we make use of fixpoint iteration and define the function *binarize1* for splitting a production, whose right-hand side exceeds the maximum number of symbols 2, into two productions. The step function is then defined as the auxiliary function *binarize'*. We also define the count function *count* that counts the right-hand sides whose length is more than or equal to 2

```

fun binarize1 :: ('n :: infinite, 't) prods ⇒ ('n, 't) prods ⇒ ('n, 't) prods where
  binarize1 ps' [] = []
| binarize1 ps' ((A, []) # ps) = (A, []) # binarize1 ps' ps
| binarize1 ps' ((A, s0 # u) # ps) =
  (if length u ≤ 1 then (A, s0 # u) # binarize1 ps' ps
   else let B = fresh (nts ps') in (A,[s0, Nt B]) # (B, u) # ps)

definition binarize' :: ('n::infinite, 't) prods ⇒ ('n, 't) prods where
  binarize' ps = binarize1 ps ps

fun count :: ('n::infinite, 't) prods ⇒ nat where
  count [] = 0
| count ((A,u) # ps) = (if length u ≤ 2 then count ps else length u + count ps)

definition binarize :: ('n::infinite, 't) prods ⇒ ('n, 't) prods where
  binarize ps = (binarize' ^^ (count ps)) ps

```

Firstly we show that the *binarize* function transforms a production list into a binary production list

```
lemma count_dec1:
```

```

assumes binarize1 ps' ps ≠ ps
shows count ps > count (binarize1 ps' ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma count_dec':
assumes binarize' ps ≠ ps
shows count ps > count (binarize' ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma binarize_ffpi:
  binarize'((binarize' ^~ count x) x) = (binarize' ^~ count x) x
⟨proof⟩

lemma binarize_binary1:
assumes ps = binarize1 ps' ps
shows (A,w) ∈ set(binarize1 ps' ps) ⇒ length w ≤ 2
⟨proof⟩

lemma binarize_binary':
assumes ps = binarize' ps
shows (A,w) ∈ set(binarize' ps) ⇒ length w ≤ 2
⟨proof⟩

lemma binarize_binary: (A,w) ∈ set(binarize ps) ⇒ length w ≤ 2
⟨proof⟩

```

Now we prove the property of language preservation

```

lemma binarize1_cases:
  binarize1 ps' ps = ps ∨ (∃ A ps'' B u s. set ps = {(A, s#u)} ∪ set ps'' ∧ set
  (binarize1 ps' ps) = {(A,[s,Nt B]),(B,u)} ∪ set ps'' ∧ Nt B ∉ syms ps')
⟨proof⟩

```

We show that a list of terminals  $\text{map } Tm x$  can be derived from the original production set  $ps$  if and only if  $\text{map } Tm x$  can be derived after the transformation of the step function  $\text{binarize}'$ , under the assumption that the starting symbol  $A$  occurs in a left-hand side of at least one production in  $ps$ . We can then extend this property to the  $\text{binarize}$  function

```

lemma binarize_der':
assumes A ∈ lhss ps
shows set ps ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm x ←→ set (binarize' ps) ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map
Tm x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lhss_binarize1:
  lhss ps ⊆ lhss (binarize1 ps' ps)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lhss_binarize'n:
  lhss ps ⊆ lhss ((binarize' ^~ n) ps)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma binarize_der'n:
  assumes A ∈ lhss ps
  shows set ps ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm x ↔ set ((binarize' ^ n) ps) ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒*
    map Tm x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_der:
  assumes A ∈ lhss ps
  shows set ps ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm x ↔ set (binarize ps) ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒*
    map Tm x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lang_binarize_lhss:
  assumes A ∈ lhss ps
  shows lang ps A = lang (binarize ps) A
  ⟨proof⟩
```

As a last step, we generalize the language preservation property to also include non-terminals which only occur at right-hand sides of the production set

```
lemma binarize_syms1:
  assumes Nt A ∈ syms ps
  shows Nt A ∈ syms (binarize1 ps' ps)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_lhss_nts1:
  assumes A ∉ lhss ps
  and A ∈ nts ps'
  shows A ∉ lhss (binarize1 ps' ps)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_lhss_nts'n:
  assumes A ∉ lhss ps
  and A ∈ nts ps
  shows A ∉ lhss ((binarize' ^ n) ps) ∧ A ∈ nts ((binarize' ^ n) ps)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_lhss_nts:
  assumes A ∉ lhss ps
  and A ∈ nts ps
  shows A ∉ lhss (binarize ps) ∧ A ∈ nts (binarize ps)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_nts'n:
  assumes A ∈ nts ps
  shows A ∈ nts ((binarize' ^ n) ps)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma binarize_nts:
  assumes A ∈ nts ps
  shows A ∈ nts (binarize ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lang_binarize:
  assumes A ∈ nts ps
  shows lang (binarize ps) A = lang ps A
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 14 Right-Linear Grammars

```

theory Right_Linear
imports Unit_Elimination Binarize
begin

```

This theory defines (strongly) right-linear grammars and proves that every right-linear grammar can be transformed into a strongly right-linear grammar.

In a *right linear* grammar every production has the form  $A \rightarrow wB$  or  $A \rightarrow w$  where  $w$  is a sequence of terminals:

```

definition rlin :: ('n, 't) Prods ⇒ bool where
  rlin ps = ( ∀(A,w) ∈ ps. ∃ u. w = map Tm u ∨ ( ∃ B. w = map Tm u @ [Nt B]) )

```

```

definition rlin_noterm :: ('n, 't) Prods ⇒ bool where
  rlin_noterm ps = ( ∀(A,w) ∈ ps. w = [] ∨ ( ∃ u B. w = map Tm u @ [Nt B]) )

```

```

definition rlin_bin :: ('n, 't) Prods ⇒ bool where
  rlin_bin ps = ( ∀(A,w) ∈ ps. w = [] ∨ ( ∃ B. w = [Nt B] ∨ ( ∃ a. w = [Tm a, Nt B])) )

```

In a *strongly right linear* grammar every production has the form  $A \rightarrow aB$  or  $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$  where  $a$  is a terminal:

```

definition rlin2 :: ('a, 't) Prods ⇒ bool where
  rlin2 ps = ( ∀(A,w) ∈ ps. w = [] ∨ ( ∃ a B. w = [Tm a, Nt B]) )

```

A straightforward property:

```
lemma rlin_if_rlin2:
```

```
  assumes rlin2 ps
  shows rlin ps
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rlin_cases:
```

```
  assumes rlin_ps: rlin ps
  and elem: (A,w) ∈ ps
```

```

shows ( $\exists B. w = [Nt B]) \vee (\exists u. w = map Tm u \vee (\exists B. w = map Tm u @ [Nt B] \wedge length u > 0))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

### 14.1 From rlin to rlin2

The *finalize* function is responsible of the transformation of a production list from *rlin* to *rlin\_noterm*, while preserving the language. We make use of fixpoint iteration and define the function *finalize1* that adds a fresh non-terminal  $B$  at the end of every production that consists only of terminals and has at least length one. The function also introduces the new production  $(B,[])$  in the production list. The step function of the fixpoint iteration is then the auxiliary function *finalize'*. We also define the count function as *countfin* which counts the the productions that consists only of terminal and has at least length one

```

fun finalize1 :: ('n :: infinite, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) prods where
  finalize1 ps' [] = []
  | finalize1 ps' ((A,[])#ps) = (A,[]) # finalize1 ps' ps
  | finalize1 ps' ((A,w)#ps) =
    (if  $\exists u. w = map Tm u$  then let B = fresh(nts ps') in (A,w @ [Nt B])#(B,[])#ps
     else (A,w) # finalize1 ps' ps)

definition finalize' :: ('n::infinite, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) prods where
  finalize' ps = finalize1 ps ps

fun countfin :: ('n::infinite, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  countfin [] = 0
  | countfin ((A,[])#ps) = countfin ps
  | countfin ((A,w) # ps) = (if  $\exists u. w = map Tm u$  then 1 + countfin ps else countfin ps)

definition finalize :: ('n::infinite, 't) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n, 't) prods where
  finalize ps = (finalize'  $\wedge$  (countfin ps)) ps

```

Firstly we show that *finalize* indeed does the intended transformation

```

lemma countfin_dec:
  assumes finalize1 ps' ps  $\neq$  ps
  shows countfin ps > countfin (finalize1 ps' ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma countfin_dec':
  assumes finalize' ps  $\neq$  ps
  shows countfin ps > countfin (finalize' ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma finalize_ffpi:
  finalize'((finalize'  $\wedge$  countfin x) x) = (finalize'  $\wedge$  countfin x) x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma finalize_rlinnoterm1:
  assumes rlin (set ps)
    and ps = finalize1 ps' ps
  shows rlin_noterm (set ps)
  (proof)

lemma finalize_rlin1:
  rlin (set ps)  $\implies$  rlin (set (finalize1 ps' ps))
  (proof)

lemma finalize_rlin':
  rlin (set ps)  $\implies$  rlin (set (finalize' ps))
  (proof)

lemma finalize_rlin'n:
  rlin (set ps)  $\implies$  rlin (set ((finalize' $\wedge\wedge$ n) ps))
  (proof)

lemma finalize_rlinnoterm':
  assumes rlin (set ps)
    and ps = finalize' ps
  shows rlin_noterm (set ps)
  (proof)

lemma finalize_rlinnoterm:
  rlin (set ps)  $\implies$  rlin_noterm (set (finalize ps))
  (proof)

```

Now proving the language preservation property of *finalize*, similarly to *binarize*

```

lemma finalize1_cases:
  finalize1 ps' ps = ps  $\vee$  ( $\exists A w$  ps'' B. set ps = {(A, w)}  $\cup$  set ps''  $\wedge$  set (finalize1 ps' ps) = {(A, w @ [Nt B]), (B, [])}  $\cup$  set ps''  $\wedge$  Nt B  $\notin$  syms ps')
  (proof)

lemma finalize_der':
  assumes A  $\in$  lhss ps
  shows set ps  $\vdash$  [Nt A]  $\Rightarrow^*$  map Tm x  $\longleftrightarrow$  set (finalize' ps)  $\vdash$  [Nt A]  $\Rightarrow^*$  map Tm x
  (proof)

lemma lhss_finalize1:
  lhss ps  $\subseteq$  lhss (finalize1 ps' ps)
  (proof)

lemma lhss_binarize'n:
  lhss ps  $\subseteq$  lhss ((finalize' $\wedge\wedge$ n) ps)
  (proof)

```

```

lemma finalize_der'n:
  assumes A ∈ lhss ps
  shows set ps ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm x ←→ set ((finalize' ^ n) ps) ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒*
  map Tm x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finalize_der:
  assumes A ∈ lhss ps
  shows set ps ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm x ←→ set (finalize ps) ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm
x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lang_finalize_lhss:
  assumes A ∈ lhss ps
  shows lang ps A = lang (finalize ps) A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finalize_syms1:
  assumes Nt A ∈ syms ps
  shows Nt A ∈ syms (finalize1 ps' ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finalize_nts'n:
  assumes A ∈ nts ps
  shows A ∈ nts ((finalize' ^ n) ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finalize_nts:
  assumes A ∈ nts ps
  shows A ∈ nts (finalize ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finalize_lhss_nts1:
  assumes A ∉ lhss ps
  and A ∈ nts ps'
  shows A ∉ lhss (finalize1 ps' ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finalize_lhss_nts'n:
  assumes A ∉ lhss ps
  and A ∈ nts ps
  shows A ∉ lhss ((finalize' ^ n) ps) ∧ A ∈ nts ((finalize' ^ n) ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finalize_lhss_nts:
  assumes A ∉ lhss ps
  and A ∈ nts ps
  shows A ∉ lhss (finalize ps) ∧ A ∈ nts (finalize ps)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma lang_finalize:
  assumes A ∈ nts ps
  shows lang (finalize ps) A = lang ps A
⟨proof⟩
```

Next step is to define the transformation from  $rlin\_noterm$  to  $rlin\_bin$ . For this we use the function  $binarize$ . The language preservation property of  $binarize$  is already proven

```
lemma binarize_rlinbin1:
  assumes rlin_noterm (set ps)
    and ps = binarize1 ps' ps
  shows rlin_bin (set ps)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_noterm1:
  rlin_noterm (set ps) ==> rlin_noterm (set (binarize1 ps' ps))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_noterm':
  rlin_noterm (set ps) ==> rlin_noterm (set (binarize' ps))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_noterm'n:
  rlin_noterm (set ps) ==> rlin_noterm (set ((binarize'^~n) ps))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_rlinbin':
  assumes rlin_noterm (set ps)
    and ps = binarize' ps
  shows rlin_bin (set ps)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma binarize_rlinbin:
  rlin_noterm (set ps) ==> rlin_bin (set (binarize ps))
⟨proof⟩
```

The last transformation takes a production set from  $rlin\_bin$  and converts it to  $rlin2$ . That is, we need to remove unit productions of the from  $(A, [Nt B])$ . In  $uProds.thy$  is the predicate  $\mathcal{U} ps' ps$  defined that is satisfied if  $ps$  is the same production set as  $ps'$  without the unit productions. The language preservation property is already given

```
lemma uppr_rlin2:
  assumes rlinbin: rlin_bin (set ps')
    and uppr_ps': unit_elim_rel ps' ps
  shows rlin2 (set ps)
⟨proof⟩
```

The transformation *rlin2\_of\_rlin* applies the presented functions in the right order. At the end, we show that *rlin2\_of\_rlin* converts a production set from *rlin* to a production set from *rlin2*, without changing the language

```
definition rlin2_of_rlin :: ('n::infinite,'t) prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n,'t)prods where
  rlin2_of_rlin ps = unit_elim (binarize (finalize ps))
```

```
theorem rlin_to_rlin2:
```

```
  assumes rlin (set ps)
  shows rlin2 (set (rlin2_of_rlin ps))
  (proof)
```

```
lemma lang_rlin2_of_rlin:
```

```
  A  $\in$  Nts (set ps)  $\Rightarrow$  lang (rlin2_of_rlin ps) A = lang ps A
  (proof)
```

## 14.2 Properties of *rlin2* derivations

In the following we present some properties for list of symbols that are derived from a production set satisfying *rlin2*

```
lemma map_Tm_single_nt:
```

```
  assumes map Tm w @ [Tm a, Nt A] = u1 @ [Nt B] @ u2
  shows u1 = map Tm (w @ [a])  $\wedge$  u2 = []
  (proof)
```

A non-terminal can only occur as the rightmost symbol

```
lemma rlin2_derive:
```

```
  assumes P  $\vdash$  v1  $\Rightarrow^*$  v2
  and v1 = [Nt A]
  and v2 = u1 @ [Nt B] @ u2
  and rlin2 P
  shows  $\exists$  w. u1 = map Tm w  $\wedge$  u2 = []
  (proof)
```

A new terminal is introduced by a production of the form  $(C, [Tm x, Nt B])$

```
lemma rlin2_introduce_tm:
```

```
  assumes rlin2 P
  and P  $\vdash$  [Nt A]  $\Rightarrow^*$  map Tm w @ [Tm x, Nt B]
  shows  $\exists$  C. P  $\vdash$  [Nt A]  $\Rightarrow^*$  map Tm w @ [Nt C]  $\wedge$  (C, [Tm x, Nt B])  $\in$  P
  (proof)
```

```
lemma rlin2_nts_derive_eq:
```

```
  assumes rlin2 P
  and P  $\vdash$  [Nt A]  $\Rightarrow^*$  [Nt B]
  shows A = B
  (proof)
```

If the list of symbols consists only of terminals, the last production used is of the form  $B, []$

```

lemma rlin2_tms_eps:
  assumes rlin2 P
    and P ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm w
  shows ∃ B. P ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm w @ [Nt B] ∧ (B,[]) ∈ P
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 15 Strongly Right-Linear Grammars as a Nondeterministic Automaton

```

theory NDA_rlin2
imports Right_Linear
begin

```

We define what is essentially the extended transition function of a nondeterministic automaton but is driven by a set of strongly right-linear productions  $P$ , which are of course just another representation of the transitions of a nondeterministic automaton. Function  $nxts_rlin2_set P M w$  traverses the terminals list  $w$  starting from the set of non-terminals  $M$  according to the productions of  $P$ . At the end it returns the reachable non-terminals.

```

definition nxt_rlin2 :: ('n,'t)Prods ⇒ 'n ⇒ 't ⇒ 'n set where
nxt_rlin2 P A a = {B. (A, [Tm a, Nt B]) ∈ P}

```

```

definition nxt_rlin2_set :: ('n,'t)Prods ⇒ 'n set ⇒ 't ⇒ 'n set where
nxt_rlin2_set P M a = (⋃ A∈M. nxt_rlin2 P A a)

```

```

definition nxts_rlin2_set :: ('n,'t)Prods ⇒ 'n set ⇒ 't list ⇒ 'n set where
nxts_rlin2_set P = foldl (nxt_rlin2_set P)

```

```

lemma nxt_rlin2_nts:
  assumes B ∈ nxt_rlin2 P A a
  shows B ∈ Nts P
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nxts_rlin2_set_app:
  nxts_rlin2_set P M (x @ y) = nxts_rlin2_set P (nxts_rlin2_set P M x) y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nxt_rlin2_set_pick:
  assumes B ∈ nxt_rlin2_set P M a
  shows ∃ C ∈ M. B ∈ nxt_rlin2_set P {C} a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nxts_rlin2_set_pick:
  assumes B ∈ nxts_rlin2_set P M w
  shows ∃ C ∈ M. B ∈ nxts_rlin2_set P {C} w
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nxts_rlin2_set_first_step:
  assumes  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} (a \# w)$ 
  shows  $\exists C \in \text{nxt\_rlin2 } P A a. B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{C\} w$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nxts_trans0:
  assumes  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P (\text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} x) z$ 
  shows  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} (x@z)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nxt_mono:
  assumes  $A \subseteq B$ 
  shows  $\text{nxt\_rlin2\_set } P A a \subseteq \text{nxt\_rlin2\_set } P B a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nxts_mono:
  assumes  $A \subseteq B$ 
  shows  $\text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P A w \subseteq \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P B w$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nxts_trans1:
  assumes  $M \subseteq \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} x$ 
    and  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P M z$ 
  shows  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} (x@z)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nxts_trans2:
  assumes  $C \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} x$ 
    and  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{C\} z$ 
  shows  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} (x@z)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nxts_to_mult_derive:
   $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P M w \implies (\exists A \in M. P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* \text{map } Tm w @ [Nt B])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult_derive_to_nxts:
  assumes  $rlin2 P$ 
  shows  $A \in M \implies P \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* \text{map } Tm w @ [Nt B] \implies B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P M w$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Acceptance of a word  $w$  w.r.t.  $P$  (starting from  $A$ ), *accepted*  $P A w$ , means that we can reach an “accepting” nonterminal  $Z$ , i.e. one with a production  $(Z, [])$ . On the automaton level  $Z$  reachable final state. We show that *accepted*  $P A w$  iff  $w$  is in the language of  $A$  w.r.t.  $P$ .

**definition** *accepted*  $P A w = (\exists Z \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} w. (Z, []) \in P)$

```

theorem accepted_if_Lang:
  assumes rlin2 P
    and w ∈ Lang P A
  shows accepted P A w
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem Lang_if_accepted:
  assumes accepted P A w
  shows w ∈ Lang P A
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem Lang_iff_accepted_if_rlin2:
  assumes rlin2 P
  shows w ∈ Lang P A  $\longleftrightarrow$  accepted P A w
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 16 Relating Strongly Right-Linear Grammars and Automata

```

theory Right_Linear_Automata
imports
  NDA_rlin2
  Finite_Automata_HF.Finite_Automata_HF
  HereditarilyFinite.Finitary
begin

```

### 16.1 From Strongly Right-Linear Grammar to NFA

```

definition nfa_rlin2 :: ('n,'t)Prods  $\Rightarrow$  ('n::finitary)  $\Rightarrow$  't nfa where
  nfa_rlin2 P S =
    ⟨ states = hf_of ‘({S} ∪ Nts P),
      init = {hf_of S},
      final = hf_of ‘{A ∈ Nts P. (A,[]) ∈ P},
      nxt = λq a. hf_of ‘nxt_rlin2 P (inv hf_of q) a,
      eps = Id ⟩

```

```

context
  fixes P :: ('n::finitary,'t)Prods
  assumes finite P
begin

```

```

interpretation NFA_rlin2: nfa nfa_rlin2 P S
  ⟨proof⟩
  print_theorems

```

```

lemma nfa_init_nfa_rlin2: nfa.init (nfa_rlin2 P S) = hf_of ‘{S}

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nfa\_final\_nfa\_rlin2*:  $nfa.\text{final} (nfa\_rlin2 P S) = hf\_of ` \{A \in Nts P. (A,[]) \in P\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nfa\_nxt\_nfa\_rlin2*:  $nfa.\text{nxt} (nfa\_rlin2 P S) (hf\_of A) a = hf\_of ` nxt\_rlin2 P A a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nfa\_epsclon\_nfa\_rlin2*:  $M \subseteq \{hf\_of S\} \cup hf\_of ` Nts P \implies nfa.epsclon (nfa\_rlin2 P S) M = M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nfa\_nextl\_nfa\_rlin2*:  $M \subseteq \{S\} \cup Nts P \implies nfa.nextl (nfa\_rlin2 P S) (hf\_of ` M) xs = hf\_of ` nts\_rlin2\_set P M xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lang\_pres\_nfa\_rlin2*: **assumes** *rlin2 P*  
**shows**  $nfa.\text{language} (nfa\_rlin2 P S) = Lang P S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *regular\_if\_rlin2*: **assumes** *rlin2 P*  
**shows**  $\text{regular} (\text{Lang } P S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 16.2 From DFA to Strongly Right-Linear Grammar

**context** *dfa*  
**begin**

We define *Prods\_dfa* that collects the production set from the deterministic finite automata *M*

**definition** *Prods\_dfa* ::  $(hf, 'a) \text{ Prods where}$   
 $Prods\_dfa = (\bigcup q \in dfa.states M. \bigcup x. \{(q, [Tm x, Nt(dfa.nxt M q x)])\}) \cup (\bigcup q \in dfa.final M. \{(q, []\})}$

**lemma** *rlin2\_prods\_dfa*:  $rlin2 (\text{Prods\_dfa})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We show that a word can be derived from the production set *Prods\_dfa* if and only if traversing the word in the deterministic finite automata *M* ends in a final state. The proofs are very similar to those in *DFA\_rlin2.thy*

**lemma** *mult\_derive\_to\_nxtl*:  
 $Prods\_dfa \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow^* \text{map Tm } w @ [Nt B] \implies \text{nextl } A w = B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma nextl_to_mult_derive:
  assumes A ∈ dfa.states M
  shows Prods_dfa ⊢ [Nt A] ⇒* map Tm w @ [Nt (nextl A w)]
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem Prods_dfa_iff_dfa:
  q ∈ dfa.states M ⇒ Prods_dfa ⊢ [Nt q] ⇒* map Tm w ←→ nextl q w ∈ dfa.final
  M
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary dfa_language_eq_Lang: dfa.language M = Lang Prods_dfa (dfa.init M)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

corollary rlin2_if_regular:
  regular L ⇒ ∃ P S::hf. rlin2 P ∧ L = Lang P S
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 17 Pumping Lemma for Strongly Right-Linear Grammars

```

theory Pumping_Lemma-Regular
imports NDA_rlin2 List_Power.List_Power
begin

```

The proof is on the level of strongly right-linear grammars. Currently there is no proof on the automaton level but now it would be easy to obtain one.

```

lemma not_distinct:
  assumes m = card P
  and m ≥ 1
  and ∀ i < length w. w ! i ∈ P
  and length w ≥ Suc m
  shows ∃ xs ys zs y. w = xs @ [y] @ ys @ [y] @ zs ∧ length (xs @ [y] @ ys @
  [y]) ≤ Suc m
  ⟨proof⟩

```

We define the function  $nxts\_nts P a w$  that collects all paths traversing the word  $w$  starting from the non-terminal  $A$  in the production set  $P$ .  $nxts\_nts0$  appends the non-terminal  $A$  in front of every list produced by  $nxts\_nts$

```

fun nxts_nts :: ('n,'t)Prods ⇒ 'n ⇒ 't list ⇒ 'n list set where
  nxts_nts P A [] = {}
  | nxts_nts P A (a#w) = (⋃ B∈nxt_rlin2 P A a. (Cons B) `nxts_nts P B w)

```

```
definition nnts_nts0 where
nnts_nts0 P A w ≡ ((#) A) ` nnts_nts P A w
```

### 17.1 Properties of nnts\_nts and nnts\_nts0

**lemma** nnts\_nts0\_i0:

$\forall e \in \text{nnts\_nts0 } P \ A \ w. \ e!0 = A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** nnts\_nts0\_shift:

**assumes**  $i < \text{length } w$   
**shows**  $\forall e \in \text{nnts\_nts0 } P \ A \ w. \ \exists e' \in \text{nnts\_nts } P \ A \ w. \ e ! (\text{Suc } i) = e' ! i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** nnts\_nts\_pick\_nt:

**assumes**  $e \in \text{nnts\_nts } P \ A \ (a\#w)$   
**shows**  $\exists C \in \text{nxt\_rlin2 } P \ A \ a. \ \exists e' \in \text{nnts\_nts } P \ C \ w. \ e = C\#e'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** nnts\_nts0\_len:

$\forall e \in \text{nnts\_nts0 } P \ A \ w. \ \text{length } e = \text{Suc } (\text{length } w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** nnts\_nts0\_nxt:

**assumes**  $i < \text{length } w$   
**shows**  $\forall e \in \text{nnts\_nts0 } P \ A \ w. \ e!(\text{Suc } i) \in \text{nxt\_rlin2 } P \ (e!i) \ (w!i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** nnts\_nts0\_path:

**assumes**  $i1 \leq \text{length } w$   
**and**  $i2 \leq \text{length } w$   
**and**  $i1 \leq i2$   
**shows**  $\forall e \in \text{nnts\_nts0 } P \ A \ w. \ e!i2 \in \text{nnts\_rlin2\_set } P \ \{e!i1\} \ (\text{drop } i1 \ (\text{take } i2 \ w))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** nnts\_nts0\_path\_start:

**assumes**  $i \leq \text{length } w$   
**shows**  $\forall e \in \text{nnts\_nts0 } P \ A \ w. \ e ! i \in \text{nsts\_rlin2\_set } P \ \{A\} \ (\text{take } i \ w)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** nnts\_nts\_elem:

**assumes**  $i < \text{length } w$   
**shows**  $\forall e \in \text{nnts\_nts } P \ A \ w. \ e ! i \in \text{Nts } P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** nnts\_nts0\_elem:

**assumes**  $A \in \text{Nts } P$

**and**  $i \leq \text{length } w$   
**shows**  $\forall e \in \text{nxts\_nts0 } P A w. e ! i \in \text{Nts } P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nxts\_nts0\_pick*:  
**assumes**  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} w$   
**shows**  $\exists e \in \text{nxts\_nts0 } P A w. \text{last } e = B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 17.2 Pumping Lemma

The following lemma states that in the automata level there exists a cycle occurring in the first  $m$  symbols where  $m$  is the cardinality of the non-terminals set, under the following assumptions

**lemma** *nxts\_split\_cycle*:  
**assumes**  $\text{finite } P$   
**and**  $A \in \text{Nts } P$   
**and**  $m = \text{card } (\text{Nts } P)$   
**and**  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} w$   
**and**  $\text{length } w \geq m$   
**shows**  $\exists x y z C. w = x @ y @ z \wedge \text{length } y \geq 1 \wedge \text{length } (x @ y) \leq m \wedge$   
 $C \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} x \wedge C \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{C\} y \wedge B \in$   
 $\text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{C\} z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We also show that a cycle can be pumped in the automata level

**lemma** *pump\_cycle*:  
**assumes**  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} x$   
**and**  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{B\} y$   
**shows**  $B \in \text{nxts\_rlin2\_set } P \{A\} (x @ (y^{\wedge i}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Combining the previous lemmas we can prove the pumping lemma where the starting non-terminal is in the production set. We simply extend the lemma for non-terminals that are not part of the production set, as these non-terminals will produce the empty language

**lemma** *pumping\_re\_aux*:  
**assumes**  $\text{finite } P$   
**and**  $A \in \text{Nts } P$   
**and**  $m = \text{card } (\text{Nts } P)$   
**and**  $\text{accepted } P A w$   
**and**  $\text{length } w \geq m$   
**shows**  $\exists x y z. w = x @ y @ z \wedge \text{length } y \geq 1 \wedge \text{length } (x @ y) \leq m \wedge (\forall i. \text{accepted}$   
 $P A (x @ (y^{\wedge i}) @ z))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *pumping\_lemma\_re\_nts*:  
**assumes**  $\text{rlin2 } P$

```

and finite P
and A ∈ Nts P
shows ∃ n. ∀ w ∈ Lang P A. length w ≥ n →
    (∃ x y z. w = x@y@z ∧ length y ≥ 1 ∧ length (x@y) ≤ n ∧ (∀ i. x@(y ^ i)@z
    ∈ Lang P A))
    ⟨proof⟩

```

**theorem** pumping\_lemma\_regular:

**assumes** rlin2 P **and** finite P

```

shows ∃ n. ∀ w ∈ Lang P A. length w ≥ n →
    (∃ x y z. w = x@y@z ∧ length y ≥ 1 ∧ length (x@y) ≤ n ∧ (∀ i. x@(y ^ i)@z
    ∈ Lang P A))
    ⟨proof⟩

```

Most of the time pumping lemma is used in the contrapositive form to prove that no right-linear set of productions exists.

**corollary** pumping\_lemma\_regular\_contr:

**assumes** finite P

```

and ∀ n. ∃ w ∈ Lang P A. length w ≥ n ∧ (∀ x y z. w = x@y@z ∧ length y ≥
1 ∧ length (x@y) ≤ n → (∃ i. x@(y ^ i)@z ∉ Lang P A))
shows ¬rlin2 P

```

⟨proof⟩

**end**

## 18 $a^n b^n$ is Not Regular

```

theory AnBn_Not_Regular
imports Pumping_Lemma-Regular
begin

```

```

lemma pow_list_set_if: set (w ^ k) = (if k=0 then {} else set w)
    ⟨proof⟩
lemma in_pow_list_set[simp]: x ∈ set (ys ^ m) ↔ x ∈ set ys ∧ m ≠ 0
    ⟨proof⟩
lemma pow_list_eq_append_if:
    n ≥ m ⇒ x ^ n @ y = x ^ m @ z ↔ z = x ^ (n-m) @ y
    ⟨proof⟩
lemma append_eq_append_conv_if_disj:
    (set xs ∪ set xs') ∩ (set ys ∪ set ys') = {}
    ⇒ xs @ ys = xs' @ ys' ↔ xs = xs' ∧ ys = ys'
    ⟨proof⟩
lemma pow_list_eq_single_append_if[simp]:
    [x ∉ set ys; x ∉ set zs] ⇒ [x] ^ m @ ys = [x] ^ n @ zs ↔ m = n ∧ ys = zs
    ⟨proof⟩

```

The following theorem proves that the language  $a^nb^n$  cannot be pro-

duced by a right linear production set, using the contrapositive form of the pumping lemma

```
theorem not_rlin2_ab:  
  assumes a ≠ b  
  and Lang P A = (⋃ n. {[a] ^n @ [b] ^n}) (is _ = ?AnBn)  
  and finite P  
  shows ¬rlin2 P  
(proof)
```

```
end
```

## References

- [1] J. E. Hopcroft, R. Motwani, and J. D. Ullman. *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*. Addison Wesley, 3rd edition, 2006.
- [2] M. Ramos. Github repository, 2018. Accessed on 8/5/2025. URL: <https://github.com/mvmramos/intersection>.
- [3] M. V. M. Ramos, J. C. B. Almeida, N. Moreira, and R. J. G. B. de Queiroz. Some applications of the formalization of the pumping lemma for context-free languages. In B. Accattoli and C. Olarte, editors, *Proceedings of the 13th Workshop on Logical and Semantic Frameworks with Applications, LSFA 2018*, volume 344 of *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 151–167. Elsevier, 2018. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.entcs.2019.07.010>.