

Computational p -Adics

Jeremy Sylvestre University of Alberta (Augustana Campus)

July 7, 2025

Abstract

We develop a framework for reasoning computationally about p -adic fields via formal Laurent series with integer coefficients. We define a ring-class quotient type consisting of equivalence classes of prime-indexed sequences of formal Laurent series, in which every p -adic field is contained as a subring. Via a further quotient of the ring of p -adic integers (that is, the elements of depth at least 0) by the prime ideal (that is, the subring of elements of depth at least 1), we define a ring-class type in which every prime-order finite field is contained as a subring. Finally, some topological properties are developed using depth as a proxy for a metric, Hensel's Lemma is proved, and the compactness of local rings of integers is established.

Contents

1 Distinguished Algebraic Quotients	4
1.1 Quotient groups	4
1.1.1 Class definitions	4
1.1.2 The quotient group type	6
1.2 Quotient rings	9
1.2.1 Class definitions	9
1.2.2 The quotient ring type	9
1.3 Quotients of commutative rings	10
1.3.1 Class definitions	10
1.3.2 Instances and instantiations	13
2 Additional facts about polynomials	15
2.1 General facts	15
2.2 Derivatives	16
2.3 Additive polynomials	16
3 Common structures for adelic types	20
3.1 Preliminaries	20
3.2 Existence of primes	20

3.3	Generic algebraic equality	22
3.4	Indexed equality	25
3.4.1	General structure	25
3.5	Indexed depth functions	39
3.5.1	General structure	39
3.5.2	Depth of inverses and division	52
3.6	Global equality and restriction of places	54
3.6.1	Locales	54
3.6.2	Embedding of base type constants	66
3.7	Topological patterns	94
3.7.1	By place	94
3.7.2	Globally	121
3.8	Notation	135
4	Equivalence of sequences of formal Laurent series relative to divisibility of partial sums by a fixed prime	136
4.1	Preliminaries	136
4.1.1	Lift/transfer of equivalence relations.	136
4.1.2	An additional fact about products/sums	137
4.1.3	An additional fact about algebraic powers of functions	137
4.1.4	Some additional facts about formal power and Laurent series	137
4.2	Partial evaluation of formal power series	139
4.3	Vanishing of formal power series relative to divisibility of all partial sums	143
4.4	Equivalence and depth of formal Laurent series relative to a prime	145
4.4.1	Definition of equivalence and basic facts	145
4.4.2	Depth as maximum subdegree of equivalent series .	154
4.5	Reduction relative to a prime	166
4.5.1	Of scalars	166
4.5.2	Inductive partial reduction of formal Laurent series .	167
4.5.3	Full reduction of formal Laurent series	170
4.5.4	Algebraic properties of reduction	177
4.6	Inversion relative to a prime	181
4.6.1	Of scalars	181
4.6.2	Inductive partial inversion of formal Laurent series .	183
4.6.3	Full inversion of formal Laurent series	192
4.6.4	Algebraic properties of inversion	195
4.7	Topology by place relative to indexed depth for formal Laurent series	198
4.7.1	General pattern for constructing sequence limits . .	198
4.7.2	Completeness	199
4.8	Transfer to prime-indexed sequences of formal Laurent series	201

4.8.1	Equivalence and depth	201
4.8.2	Inversion	207
4.8.3	Topology via global bounded depth	210
5	p-Adic fields as equivalence classes of sequences of formal Laurent series	211
5.1	Preliminaries	211
5.2	The type definition as a quotient	212
5.3	Algebraic instantiations	214
5.4	Equivalence and depth relative to a prime	216
5.5	Embeddings of the base ring, <i>nat</i> , <i>int</i> , and <i>rat</i>	228
5.5.1	Embedding of the base ring	228
5.5.2	Embedding of the field of fractions of the base ring . .	228
5.5.3	Characteristic zero embeddings	229
5.6	Topologies on products of p-adic fields	230
5.6.1	By local place	230
5.6.2	By bounded global depth	233
5.7	Hiding implementation details	240
5.8	The subring of adelic integers	240
5.8.1	Type definition as a subtype of adeles	240
5.8.2	Algebraic instantiations	241
5.8.3	Equivalence and depth relative to a prime	244
5.8.4	Inverses	255
5.8.5	The ideal of primes	265
5.8.6	Topology p-open neighbourhoods	268
5.8.7	Topology via global depth	274
6	Finite fields of prime order as quotients of the ring of integers of p-adic fields	281
6.1	The type	281
6.1.1	The prime ideal as the distinguished ideal of the ring of adelic integers	281
6.1.2	The finite field product type as a quotient	282
6.1.3	Algebraic instantiations	283
6.2	Equivalence relative to a prime	287
6.2.1	Equivalence	287
6.2.2	Embedding of constants	293
6.2.3	Division and inverses	297
6.3	Special case of integer	299
7	Compactness of local ring of integers	300
7.1	Preliminaries	300
7.2	Sequential compactness	302
7.2.1	Creating a Cauchy subsequence	302

7.2.2	Proving sequential compactness	308
7.3	Finite-open-cover compactness	311

theory *Distinguished-Quotients*

imports *Main*

begin

1 Distinguished Algebraic Quotients

We define quotient groups and quotient rings modulo distinguished normal subgroups and ideals, respectively, leading to the construction of a field as a commutative ring with 1 modulo a maximal ideal.

```
class distinguished-subset =
  fixes distinguished-subset :: 'a set ( $\mathcal{N}$ )
```

hide-const *distinguished-subset*

1.1 Quotient groups

1.1.1 Class definitions

Here we set up subclasses of *group-add* with a distinguished subgroup.

```
class group-add-with-distinguished-subgroup = group-add + distinguished-subset +
assumes distset-nonempty:  $\mathcal{N} \neq \{\}$ 
and distset-diff-closed:
 $g \in \mathcal{N} \implies h \in \mathcal{N} \implies g - h \in \mathcal{N}$ 
begin
```

lemma *distset-zero-closed* : $0 \in \mathcal{N}$

proof –

from *distset-nonempty* obtain $g::'a$ where $g \in \mathcal{N}$ by *fast*
 hence $g - g \in \mathcal{N}$ using *distset-diff-closed* by *fast*

thus ?*thesis* by *simp*

qed

lemma *distset-uminus-closed*: $a \in \mathcal{N} \implies -a \in \mathcal{N}$

using *distset-zero-closed* *distset-diff-closed* by *force*

lemma *distset-add-closed*:

$a \in \mathcal{N} \implies b \in \mathcal{N} \implies a + b \in \mathcal{N}$

using *distset-uminus-closed*[of b] *distset-diff-closed*[of $a - b$] by *simp*

lemma *distset-uminus-plus-closed*:

$a \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow b \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow -a + b \in \mathcal{N}$
using distset-uminus-closed distset-add-closed **by** simp

lemma distset-lcoset-closed1:

$a \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow -a + b \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow b \in \mathcal{N}$
using add.assoc[of $a - a$ b] distset-add-closed **by** fastforce

lemma distset-lcoset-closed2:

$b \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow -a + b \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow a \in \mathcal{N}$

proof–

assume $ab: b \in \mathcal{N} -a + b \in \mathcal{N}$

from $ab(2)$ obtain z where $z: z \in \mathcal{N} -a + b = z$ **by** fast

from $z(2)$ have $a = -(z - b)$ **using** add.assoc[of $-a$ $b - b$] **by** simp
 with $ab(1)$ $z(1)$ **show** $a \in \mathcal{N}$ **using** distset-diff-closed **by** simp

qed

lemma reflp-lcosetrel: $-x + x \in \mathcal{N}$

using distset-zero-closed **by** simp

lemma symp-lcosetrel: $-a + b \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow -b + a \in \mathcal{N}$

using distset-uminus-closed **by** (fastforce simp add: minus-add)

lemma transp-lcosetrel:

$-x + z \in \mathcal{N}$ **if** $-x + y \in \mathcal{N}$ **and** $-y + z \in \mathcal{N}$

proof–

from that obtain $a a' :: 'a$

where $a \in \mathcal{N} -x + y = a$ $a' \in \mathcal{N} -y + z = a'$ **by** fast

thus ?thesis **using** add.assoc[of $a - y$ z] distset-add-closed **by** fastforce

qed

end

class group-add-with-distinguished-normal-subgroup = group-add-with-distinguished-subgroup
 $+ \quad$

assumes distset-normal: $((+) g) \cdot \mathcal{N} = (\lambda x. x + g) \cdot \mathcal{N}$

class ab-group-add-with-distinguished-subgroup =

ab-group-add + group-add-with-distinguished-subgroup

begin

subclass group-add-with-distinguished-normal-subgroup

proof (unfold-locales, rule distset-nonempty, rule distset-diff-closed)

show $\bigwedge g. ((+) g) \cdot \mathcal{N} = (\lambda x. x + g) \cdot \mathcal{N}$ **by** (force simp add: add.commute)

qed

end

1.1.2 The quotient group type

Here we define a quotient type relative to the left-coset relation, and instantiate it as a *group-add*.

```

quotient-type (overloaded) 'a coset-by-dist-sbgrp =
  'a::group-add-with-distinguished-subgroup / λg h :: 'a. -g + h ∈ (N::'a set)
  morphisms distinguished-quotient-coset-rep distinguished-quotient-coset
  proof (rule equivP)
    show reflp (λg h :: 'a. -g + h ∈ N) using reflp-lcosetrel by (fast intro: reflpI)
    show symp (λg h :: 'a. -g + h ∈ N) using symp-lcosetrel by (fast intro: sympI)
    show transp (λg h :: 'a. -g + h ∈ N) using transp-lcosetrel
      by (fast intro: transpI)
  qed

lemmas distinguished-quotient-coset-rep-inverse =
  Quotient-abs-rep[OF Quotient-coset-by-dist-sbgrp]
  and coset-by-dist-sbgrp-eq-iff =
  Quotient-rel-rep[OF Quotient-coset-by-dist-sbgrp]
  and distinguished-quotient-coset-eqI =
  Quotient-rel-abs[OF Quotient-coset-by-dist-sbgrp]
  and eq-to-distinguished-quotient-cosetI =
  Quotient-rel-abs2[OF Quotient-coset-by-dist-sbgrp]

lemma coset-coset-by-dist-sbgrp-cases
  [case-names coset, cases type: coset-by-dist-sbgrp]:
  ( $\bigwedge y. z = \text{distinguished-quotient-coset } y \implies P \implies P$ 
  by (induct z) auto

lemmas distinguished-quotient-coset-eq-iff = coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq-iff

lemma distinguished-quotient-coset-rep-coset-equiv:
  – distinguished-quotient-coset-rep (distinguished-quotient-coset r) + r ∈ N
  using Quotient-rep-abs[OF Quotient-coset-by-dist-sbgrp]
  by (simp add: distset-zero-closed)

instantiation coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: 
  (group-add-with-distinguished-normal-subgroup) group-add
begin

lift-definition zero-coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: 'a coset-by-dist-sbgrp
  is 0::'a .

lemmas zero-distinguished-quotient [simp] = zero-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq[symmetric]

lemma zero-distinguished-quotient-eq-iffs:
  (distinguished-quotient-coset x = 0) = (x ∈ N)
  (X = 0) = (distinguished-quotient-coset-rep X ∈ N)
proof-
  have distinguished-quotient-coset x = 0  $\implies x \in N$ 
```

```

proof transfer
  fix  $x::'a$  show  $-x + 0 \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{N}$ 
    using distset-uminus-closed[of  $-x$ ] by simp
  qed
  moreover have  $x \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow \text{distinguished-quotient-coset } x = 0$ 
    by transfer (simp add: distset-uminus-closed)
  ultimately show ( $\text{distinguished-quotient-coset } x = 0$ ) = ( $x \in \mathcal{N}$ ) by fast
  have  $\text{distinguished-quotient-coset-rep } 0 \in \mathcal{N}$ 
    using distinguished-quotient-coset-rep-coset-equiv[of  $0::'a$ ] distset-uminus-closed
    by fastforce
  moreover have  $\text{distinguished-quotient-coset-rep } X \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow X = 0$ 
    using eq-to-distinguished-quotient-cosetI[of  $X 0$ ] by (simp add: distset-uminus-closed)
  ultimately show ( $X = 0$ ) = ( $\text{distinguished-quotient-coset-rep } X \in \mathcal{N}$ ) by fast
qed

```

```

lift-definition plus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp ::=
  ' $a$  coset-by-dist-sbgrp  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  coset-by-dist-sbgrp  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ' $a$  coset-by-dist-sbgrp
  is  $\lambda x y::'a. x + y$ 
proof-
  fix  $w x y z :: 'a$  assume  $wx: -w+x \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $yz: -y+z \in \mathcal{N}$ 
  from this obtain  $a1\ a2$ 
    where  $a1: a1 \in \mathcal{N} -w + x = a1$ 
    and  $a2: a2 \in \mathcal{N} -y + z = a2$ 
    by fast
  from  $a1(1)$  obtain  $a3$  where  $a3: a3 \in \mathcal{N} -y + a1 = a3 + -y$  using dist-set-normal by fast
  from  $a1(2)\ a2(2)\ a3(2)$  have  $-(w+y) + (x+z) = a3 + a2$ 
    using minus-add add.assoc[of  $-y + -w x z$ ] add.assoc[of  $-y -w x$ ] add.assoc[of  $a3 -y z$ ]
    by simp
  with  $a2(1)\ a3(1)$  show  $-(w+y) + (x+z) \in \mathcal{N}$  using distset-add-closed by simp
qed

```

lemmas plus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp [simp] = plus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq

```

lift-definition uminus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp ::=
  ' $a$  coset-by-dist-sbgrp  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  coset-by-dist-sbgrp
  is  $\lambda x::'a. -x$ 
proof-
  fix  $x y :: 'a$  assume  $xy: -x + y \in \mathcal{N}$ 
  from this obtain  $a$  where  $a: a \in \mathcal{N} -x + y = a$  by fast
  from  $a(1)$  obtain  $a'$  where  $a': a' \in \mathcal{N} a + -y = -y + a'$  using distset-normal
  by fast
  from  $a(2)\ a'(2)$  have  $-(-x) + -y = -(-y + a') + -y$ 
    using add.assoc[of  $-x y -y$ ] by simp
  hence  $-(-x) + -y = -a'$  by (simp add: minus-add)
  with  $a'(1)$  show  $-(-x) + -y \in \mathcal{N}$  using distset-uminus-closed by simp

```

qed

lemmas *uminus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp* [*simp*] =
uminus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq

lift-definition *minus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp* ::
'a coset-by-dist-sbgrp \Rightarrow 'a coset-by-dist-sbgrp \Rightarrow
'a coset-by-dist-sbgrp
is $\lambda x y : 'a. x - y$

proof –

fix $w x y z :: 'a$ assume $wx: -w + x \in \mathcal{N}$ and $yz: -y + z \in \mathcal{N}$
from this obtain $a1\ a2$

where $a1: a1 \in \mathcal{N} x = w + a1$

and $a2: a2 \in \mathcal{N} z = y + a2$

by *simp*

from $a1(1)$ obtain $a3$ where $a3: a3 \in \mathcal{N} y + a1 = a3 + y$ using *distset-normal*
by *auto*

from $a2(1)$ obtain $a4$ where $a4: a4 \in \mathcal{N} y + a2 = a4 + y$ using *distset-normal*
by *auto*

from $a3(2)\ a4(2)\ a1(2)\ a2(2)$ have $x - z = w - y + a3 + y - y - a4$

using *add.assoc*[of $w - y\ y\ a1$] *add.assoc*[of $w - y\ a3\ y$]

add.assoc[of $w - y + a3\ y - y$]

by (*simp add: algebra-simps*)

hence $x - z = (w - y) + (a3 - a4)$

using *add.assoc*[of $w - y + a3\ y - y$] *add.assoc*[of $w - y\ a3 - a4$] by *simp*

hence $-(w - y) + (x - z) = a3 - a4$

using *add.assoc*[of $-(w - y)\ w - y\ a3 - a4$, *symmetric*]

left-minus[of $w - y$]

by *simp*

with $a3(1)\ a4(1)$ show $-(w - y) + (x - z) \in \mathcal{N}$ using *distset-diff-closed* by
auto

qed

lemmas *minus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp* [*simp*] = *minus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq*

instance proof

fix $x y z :: 'a$ coset-by-dist-sbgrp

show $x + y + z = x + (y + z)$ by *transfer* (*simp add: distset-zero-closed*
algebra-simps)

show $0 + x = x$ by *transfer* (*simp add: distset-zero-closed*)

show $x + 0 = x$ by *transfer* (*simp add: distset-zero-closed*)

show $-x + x = 0$ by *transfer* (*simp add: distset-zero-closed*)

show $x + -y = x - y$ by *transfer* (*simp add: distset-zero-closed algebra-simps*)

qed

end

instance *coset-by-dist-sbgrp* ::

(*ab-group-add-with-distinguished-subgroup*) *ab-group-add*

```

proof
  show  $\bigwedge x y :: 'a \text{ coset-by-dist-sbgrp}. x + y = y + x$ 
    by transfer (simp add: distset-zero-closed)
  qed auto

```

1.2 Quotient rings

1.2.1 Class definitions

Now we set up subclasses of *ring* with a distinguished ideal.

```

class ring-with-distinguished-ideal = ring + ab-group-add-with-distinguished-subgroup
+
  assumes distset-leftideal :  $a \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow r * a \in \mathcal{N}$ 
  and      distset-rightideal:  $a \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow a * r \in \mathcal{N}$ 

class ring-1-with-distinguished-ideal = ring-1 + ring-with-distinguished-ideal +
  assumes distset-no1:  $1 \notin \mathcal{N}$ 
  — For unitary rings we do not allow the quotient to be trivial to ensure that  $0$  and  $1$  will be different.

```

1.2.2 The quotient ring type

We just reuse the '*a coset-by-dist-sbgrp*' type to define a quotient ring, and instantiate it as a *ring*.

type-synonym '*a distinguished-quotient-ring* = '*a coset-by-dist-sbgrp*
— This is intended to be used with *ring-with-distinguished-ideal* or one of its subclasses.

```

instantiation coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: (ring-with-distinguished-ideal) ring
begin

```

```

lift-definition times-coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: 
  'a distinguished-quotient-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a distinguished-quotient-ring  $\Rightarrow$ 
    'a distinguished-quotient-ring
  is  $\lambda x y :: 'a. x * y$ 
proof-
  fix w x y z :: 'a assume wx:  $-w + x \in \mathcal{N}$  and yz:  $-y + z \in \mathcal{N}$ 
  from this obtain a1 a2
    where a1:  $a1 \in \mathcal{N} -w + x = a1$ 
    and   a2:  $a2 \in \mathcal{N} -y + z = a2$ 
    by     fast
  from a1(2) a2(2) have  $-(w * y) + (x * z) = w * a2 + a1 * z$ 
    by (simp add: algebra-simps)
  with a1(1) a2(1) show  $-(w * y) + (x * z) \in \mathcal{N}$ 
    using distset-leftideal[of - w] distset-rightideal[of - z] distset-add-closed by auto
qed

```

```

lemmas times-coset-by-dist-sbgrp [simp] = times-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq

```

```

instance proof
  fix  $x y z :: 'a distinguished-quotient-ring$ 
  show  $x * y * z = x * (y * z)$  by transfer (simp add: distset-zero-closed
algebra-simps)
  show  $(x + y) * z = x * z + y * z$  by transfer (simp add: distset-zero-closed
algebra-simps)
  show  $x * (y + z) = x * y + x * z$  by transfer (simp add: distset-zero-closed
algebra-simps)
qed

end

instantiation coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: (ring-1-with-distinguished-ideal) ring-1
begin

lift-definition one-coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: 'a distinguished-quotient-ring
  is  $1 :: 'a$  .

lemmas one-coset-by-dist-sbgrp [simp] = one-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq[symmetric]

instance proof
  show  $(0 :: 'a distinguished-quotient-ring) \neq (1 :: 'a distinguished-quotient-ring)$ 
  proof transfer qed (simp add: distset-no1)
next
  fix  $x :: 'a distinguished-quotient-ring$ 
  show  $1 * x = x$  by transfer (simp add: distset-zero-closed algebra-simps)
  show  $x * 1 = x$  by transfer (simp add: distset-zero-closed algebra-simps)
qed

end

```

1.3 Quotients of commutative rings

For a commutative ring, the quotient modulo a prime ideal is an integral domain, and the quotient modulo a maximal ideal is a field.

1.3.1 Class definitions

```

class comm-ring-with-distinguished-ideal = comm-ring + ab-group-add-with-distinguished-subgroup
+
assumes distset-ideal :  $a \in \mathcal{N} \implies r * a \in \mathcal{N}$ 
begin

subclass ring-with-distinguished-ideal
  using distset-ideal by unfold-locales (auto simp add: mult.commute)

definition distideal-extension :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a set$ 
  where distideal-extension  $a \equiv \{x. \exists r z. z \in \mathcal{N} \wedge x = r * a + z\}$ 

```

```

lemma distideal-extension:  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \text{distideal-extension } a$ 
  unfolding distideal-extension-def
  proof clarify
    fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ 
    hence  $x \in \mathcal{N} \wedge x = 0 * a + x$  by simp
    thus  $\exists r z. z \in \mathcal{N} \wedge x = r * a + z$  by fast
  qed

lemma distideal-extension-diff-closed:
   $r \in \text{distideal-extension } a \implies s \in \text{distideal-extension } a \implies$ 
   $r - s \in \text{distideal-extension } a$ 
  unfolding distideal-extension-def
  proof clarify
    fix  $r r' z z'$ 
    assume  $z \in \mathcal{N}$   $z' \in \mathcal{N}$ 
    moreover have  $r * a + z - (r' * a + z') = (r - r') * a + (z - z')$ 
      by (simp add: algebra-simps)
    ultimately show
       $\exists r'' z''. z'' \in \mathcal{N} \wedge r * a + z - (r' * a + z') = r'' * a + z''$ 
      using distset-diff-closed by fast
  qed

lemma distideal-extension-ideal:
   $x \in \text{distideal-extension } a \implies r * x \in \text{distideal-extension } a$ 
  unfolding distideal-extension-def
  proof clarify
    fix  $s r z$  assume  $z \in \mathcal{N}$ 
    thus  $\exists r' z'. z' \in \mathcal{N} \wedge s * (r * a + z) = r' * a + z'$ 
      using distset-ideal by (fastforce simp add: algebra-simps)
  qed

end

class comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-ideal = ring-1 + comm-ring-with-distinguished-ideal
+
assumes distset-no1:
   $1 \notin \mathcal{N}$ 
  — Don't allow the quotient to be trivial.
begin

subclass ring-1-with-distinguished-ideal using distset-no1 by unfold-locales

lemma distideal-extension-by:  $a \in \text{distideal-extension } a$ 
  unfolding distideal-extension-def
  proof
    have  $0 \in \mathcal{N} \wedge a = 1 * a + 0$  using distset-zero-closed by simp
    thus  $\exists r z. z \in \mathcal{N} \wedge a = r * a + z$  by fastforce
  qed

```

```

end

class comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-pideal = comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-ideal
+
assumes distset-pideal:  $r * s \in \mathcal{N} \implies r \in \mathcal{N} \vee s \in \mathcal{N}$ 

class comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-maxideal = comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-ideal
+
assumes distset-maxideal:
  [
     $\mathcal{N} \subseteq I; 1 \notin I;$ 
     $\forall r s. r \in I \wedge s \in I \longrightarrow r - s \in I;$ 
     $\forall a r. a \in I \longrightarrow r * a \in I$ 
  ]  $\implies I = \mathcal{N}$ 
begin

lemma distset-maxideal-vs-distideal-extension:
   $1 \notin \text{distideal-extension } a \implies \text{distideal-extension } a = \mathcal{N}$ 
  using distideal-extension distideal-extension-diff-closed distideal-extension-ideal
  distset-maxideal
  by force

subclass comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-pideal
proof
  fix a b :: 'a
  assume ab:  $a * b \in \mathcal{N}$ 
  have  $\neg(a \notin \mathcal{N} \wedge b \notin \mathcal{N})$ 
  proof clarify
    assume a:  $a \notin \mathcal{N}$  and b:  $b \notin \mathcal{N}$ 
    define I where  $I \equiv \text{distideal-extension } b$ 
    have 1  $\notin I$ 
    unfolding distideal-extension-def I-def
    proof clarify
      fix r z assume rz:  $z \in \mathcal{N}$   $1 = r * b + z$ 
      from rz(2) have a:  $r * (a * b) + a * z \in \mathcal{N}$  using mult-1-right by (simp add: algebra-simps)
      moreover from ab rz(1) have r:  $r * (a * b) \in \mathcal{N}$  and z:  $a * z \in \mathcal{N}$ 
      using distset-ideal by auto
      ultimately show False using a distset-add-closed by fastforce
    qed
    with I-def b show False
    using distset-maxideal-vs-distideal-extension distideal-extension-by by fast
  qed
  thus a:  $a \in \mathcal{N} \vee b \in \mathcal{N}$  by fast
qed

end

```

1.3.2 Instances and instantiations

```

instance coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: (comm-ring-with-distinguished-ideal) comm-ring
proof
  fix x y :: 'a distinguished-quotient-ring
  show x * y = y * x by transfer (simp add: distset-zero-closed algebra-simps)
qed (simp add: algebra-simps)

instance coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: (comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-ideal) comm-ring-1
by standard (auto simp add: algebra-simps)

instance coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: (comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-pideal) idom
proof (standard, transfer)
  fix a b :: 'a show
    -a + 0 ∉ N  $\implies$  -b + 0 ∉ N  $\implies$ 
    -(a * b) + 0 ∉ N
  using distset-pideal distset-uminus-closed by fastforce
qed

lemma inverse-in-quotient-mod-maxideal:
   $\exists y. -(y * x) + 1 \in N \text{ if } x \notin N$ 
  for x :: 'a::comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-maxideal
proof-
  from that have 1 ∉ distideal-extension x  $\implies$  False
  using distset-uminus-closed distset-maxideal-vs-distideal-extension distideal-extension-by
  by auto
  from this obtain y z where yz: z ∈ N 1 = y * x + z
  using distideal-extension-def by fast
  from yz(2) have -(y * x) + 1 = z by (simp add: algebra-simps)
  with yz(1) show  $\exists y. -(y * x) + 1 \in N$  by fast
qed

instantiation coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: (comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-maxideal) field
begin

lift-definition inverse-coset-by-dist-sbgrp :: 
  'a distinguished-quotient-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a distinguished-quotient-ring
  is  $\lambda x::'a. \text{if } x \in N \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\text{SOME } y::'a. -(y * x) + 1 \in N)$ 
proof-
  fix x x' :: 'a
  assume xx': -x + x' ∈ N
  define ix ix'
  where ix ≡ if x ∈ N then 0 else (SOME y::'a. -(y * x) + 1 ∈ N)
  and ix' ≡ if x' ∈ N then 0 else (SOME y::'a. -(y * x') + 1 ∈ N)
  show -ix + ix' ∈ N
  proof (cases x ∈ N)
    case True with xx' ix-def ix'-def show ?thesis
    using distset-lcoset-closed1[of x] distset-zero-closed by auto
  next
    case False

```

```

with  $xx'$  have  $x' \notin \mathcal{N}$  using distset-lcoset-closed2 by fast
with  $ix\text{-def } ix'\text{-def } False$  have  $-((-ix + ix') * x) + ix' * (x + -x') \in \mathcal{N}$ 
  using someI-ex[OF inverse-in-quotient-mod-maxideal]
    distset-uminus-plus-closed[of  $-((ix * x) + 1 - (ix' * x') + 1)$ ]
  by (force simp add: algebra-simps)
moreover have  $ix' * (x + -x') \in \mathcal{N}$ 
  using distset-uminus-closed[OF  $xx'$ ] distset-ideal by auto
ultimately have  $(-ix + ix') * x \in \mathcal{N}$  using distset-lcoset-closed2 by force
with  $False$  show ?thesis using distset-pideal by fast
qed
qed

lemma inverse-coset-by-dist-sbgrp-eq0 [simp]:
 $x \in \mathcal{N} \implies \text{inverse}(\text{distinguished-quotient-coset } x) = 0$ 
by transfer (simp add: distset-zero-closed)

lemma coset-by-dist-sbgrp-inverse-rep:
 $x \notin \mathcal{N} \implies -(y * x) + 1 \in \mathcal{N} \implies$ 
 $\text{inverse}(\text{distinguished-quotient-coset } x) = \text{distinguished-quotient-coset } y$ 
proof transfer
fix  $x y :: 'a$ 
assume  $xy: x \notin \mathcal{N} \quad -(y * x) + 1 \in \mathcal{N}$ 
define  $z :: 'a$  where  $z \equiv (\text{SOME } y. -(y * x) + 1 \in \mathcal{N})$ 
with  $xy$  have  $(-z + y) * x \in \mathcal{N}$ 
  using someI-ex[OF inverse-in-quotient-mod-maxideal]
    distset-diff-closed[of  $-(z * x) + 1 - (y * x) + 1$ ]
  by (fastforce simp add: algebra-simps)
with  $xy(1)$  show  $- (\text{if } x \in \mathcal{N} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } z) + y \in \mathcal{N}$ 
  using distset-pideal by auto
qed

definition divide-coset-by-dist-sbgrp-def [simp]:
 $x \text{ div } y = (x :: 'a \text{ distinguished-quotient-ring}) * \text{inverse } y$ 

lemma coset-by-dist-sbgrp-divide-rep:
 $y \notin \mathcal{N} \implies -(z * y) + 1 \in \mathcal{N} \implies$ 
 $\text{distinguished-quotient-coset } x \text{ div } \text{distinguished-quotient-coset } y$ 
 $= \text{distinguished-quotient-coset } (x * z)$ 
by (simp add: coset-by-dist-sbgrp-inverse-rep)

instance proof
show  $\text{inverse}(0 :: 'a \text{ distinguished-quotient-ring}) = 0$ 
by transfer (simp add: distset-zero-closed)
next
fix  $x :: 'a \text{ distinguished-quotient-ring}$ 
show  $x \neq 0 \implies \text{inverse } x * x = 1$ 
proof transfer
fix  $a :: 'a$ 
assume  $-a + 0 \notin \mathcal{N}$ 

```

```

moreover define ia
  where ia ≡ if a ∈ N then 0 else (SOME b. –(b * a) + 1 ∈ N)
ultimately show –(ia * a) + 1 ∈ N
  using distset-uminus-closed someI-ex[OF inverse-in-quotient-mod-maxideal]
by auto
qed
qed (rule divide-coset-by-dist-sbgrp-def)

end

```

end

end

theory *Polynomial-Extras*

imports *HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial*

begin

2 Additional facts about polynomials

2.1 General facts

lemma *set-strip-while*: set (strip-while *P* *xs*) ⊆ set *xs*
using split-strip-while-append[of *P* *xs*] **by** force

lemma *pCons-induct'* [case-names 0 *pCons0* *pCons*]:
P p
if zero : *P 0*
and *pCons0* : $\bigwedge a. a \neq 0 \implies P (\text{pCons } a \ 0)$
and *pCons-n0* : $\bigwedge a\ p. p \neq 0 \implies P p \implies P (\text{pCons } a \ p)$
proof (induct *p*, rule zero)
case (*pCons a p*) **thus** ?case **by** (cases *p* = 0, simp-all add: *pCons0* *pCons-n0*)
qed

lemma *pCons-decomp*: *pCons a p* = *pCons a 0* + *pCons 0 p*
by (induct *p*, simp-all)

lemma *coeffs-smult-eq-smult-coeffs*:
coeffs (*smult x p*) = *map* ((*) *x*) (strip-while ($\lambda c. x * c = 0$) (*coeffs p*))
proof–
have *coeffs* (*smult x p*) = strip-while ((=) 0) (*map* ((*) *x*) (*coeffs p*))
by (induct *p*) auto
thus ?thesis **by** (simp add: strip-while-map comp-def)
qed

lemma *coeffs-smult-eq-smult-coeffs-no-zero-divisors*:

```

coeffs (smult x p) = map ((*) x) (coeffs p) if x ≠ 0
for x :: 'a::{comm-semiring-0,semiring-no-zero-divisors} and p :: 'a poly
using that cCons-def[of x * -] cCons-def[of - coeffs -]
by (induct p, simp, fastforce)

```

2.2 Derivatives

The type sort on *pderiv* is overly restrictive for algebraic purposes, so here is the relevant material with the type sort relaxed.

```

function polyderiv :: ('a :: comm-monoid-add) poly ⇒ 'a poly
  where polyderiv (pCons a p) = (if p = 0 then 0 else p + pCons 0 (polyderiv p))
    by (auto intro: pCons-cases)

termination polyderiv
  by (relation measure degree) simp-all

declare polyderiv.simps[simp del]

lemma polyderiv-0 [simp]: polyderiv 0 = 0
  using polyderiv.simps [of 0 0] by simp

lemma polyderiv-pCons: polyderiv (pCons a p) = p + pCons 0 (polyderiv p)
  by (simp add: polyderiv.simps)

lemma polyderiv-1 [simp]: polyderiv 1 = 0
  by (simp add: one-pCons polyderiv-pCons)

```

2.3 Additive polynomials

The Binomial Theorem implies that to every polynomial can be associated a finite list of polynomials that describe how the original polynomial evaluates on binomial arguments.

```

function additive-poly-poly :: 'a::comm-semiring-1 poly ⇒ 'a poly poly
  where
    additive-poly-poly (pCons a p) =
      (if p = 0
        then [:a:]
        else smult (pCons 0 1) (additive-poly-poly p) + pCons [:a:] (additive-poly-poly
          p)
      )
    by (auto intro: pCons-cases)

```

```

termination additive-poly-poly
  by (relation measure degree) simp-all

```

```

declare additive-poly-poly.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma additive-poly-poly-0 [simp]: additive-poly-poly 0 = 0

```

```

using additive-poly-poly.simps[of 0 0] by simp

lemma additive-poly-poly-pCons0:
  a ≠ 0  $\implies$  additive-poly-poly [:a:] = [:a;:]
  using additive-poly-poly.simps[of a 0] by argo

lemma additive-poly-poly-pCons:
  p ≠ 0  $\implies$ 
    additive-poly-poly (pCons a p) =
      smult (pCons 0 1) (additive-poly-poly p) + pCons [:a:] (additive-poly-poly p)
  by (simp add: additive-poly-poly.simps)

lemma additive-poly-poly: poly p (x + y) = poly (poly (additive-poly-poly p) [:x:])
y
  by (induct p rule: pCons-induct')
    (simp-all add: algebra-simps additive-poly-poly-pCons0 additive-poly-poly-pCons)

lemma additive-poly-poly-coeff0: coeff (additive-poly-poly p) 0 = p
  by (induct p rule: pCons-induct')
    (simp-all add: additive-poly-poly-pCons0 additive-poly-poly-pCons)

lemma additive-poly-poly-coeff1: coeff (additive-poly-poly p) 1 = polyderiv p
  by (induct p rule: pCons-induct')
    (simp-all add:
      ac-simps additive-poly-poly-pCons0 additive-poly-poly-pCons additive-poly-poly-coeff0
      polyderiv-pCons
    )

lemma additive-poly-poly-eq0-iff: additive-poly-poly p = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  p = 0
  using additive-poly-poly-coeff0[of p] by fastforce

lemma additive-poly-poly-degree: degree (additive-poly-poly p) = degree p
  proof (induct p rule: pCons-induct')
    case (pCons a p)
    moreover have
      degree (smult (pCons 0 1) (additive-poly-poly p)) <
      degree (pCons [:a:] (additive-poly-poly p))
    proof (rule degree-lessI, safe)
      fix k assume k ≥ degree (pCons [:a:] (additive-poly-poly p))
      with pCons(1) show coeff (smult (pCons 0 1) (additive-poly-poly p)) k = 0
        using additive-poly-poly-eq0-iff coeff-eq-0[of - k] by force
    qed (simp add: pCons(1) additive-poly-poly-eq0-iff)
    ultimately show ?case
      using degree-add-eq-right additive-poly-poly-pCons degree-pCons-eq additive-poly-poly-eq0-iff
      by metis
    qed (simp, simp add: additive-poly-poly-pCons0)

lemma poly-additive-poly-poly-pCons:
  poly (additive-poly-poly (pCons a p)) [:x:] =

```

```

 $pCons a (poly (additive-poly-poly p) [:x:]) + smult x (poly (additive-poly-poly p) [:x:])$ 
if  $p \neq 0$ 
  using that additive-poly-poly-pCons pCons-decomp
  by (fastforce simp add: add.assoc[symmetric] add.commute)

lemma poly-additive-poly-poly-eq0-iff:
   $\text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) [:x:] = 0 \longleftrightarrow p = 0$ 
proof
  show  $p = 0 \implies \text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) [:x:] = 0$ 
    using additive-poly-poly-eq0-iff by simp
next
  show  $\text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) [:x:] = 0 \implies p = 0$ 
  proof (induct p rule: pCons-induct')
next
  case (pCons a p)
  moreover define poly-app-x :: 'a poly  $\Rightarrow$  'a poly
    where poly-app-x  $\equiv \lambda p. \text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) [:x:]$ 
  moreover from this pCons(1) have
     $\text{coeff} (\text{poly-app-x} (\text{pCons } a \ p)) (\text{Suc} (\text{degree} (\text{poly-app-x } p))) = \text{lead-coeff} (\text{poly-app-x } p)$ 
    using poly-additive-poly-poly-pCons coeff-eq-0[of poly-app-x p] by fastforce
    ultimately show ?case using leading-coeff-neq-0 by simp
  qed (simp, simp add: additive-poly-poly-pCons0)
qed

lemma degree-poly-additive-poly-poly:
   $\text{degree} (\text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) [:x:]) = \text{degree } p$ 
proof (induct p rule: pCons-induct')
  case (pCons a p)
  define poly-app-x :: 'a poly  $\Rightarrow$  'a poly
    where poly-app-x  $\equiv \lambda p. \text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) [:x:]$ 
  from pCons(1) poly-app-x-def
    have deg:  $\text{degree} (\text{pCons } a (\text{poly-app-x } p)) = \text{Suc} (\text{degree} (\text{poly-app-x } p))$ 
    using degree-pCons-eq[of poly-app-x p a] poly-additive-poly-poly-eq0-iff
    by auto
  from pCons(1) have  $\text{degree} (\text{smult } x (\text{poly-app-x } p)) \leq \text{degree} (\text{poly-app-x } p)$ 
    using degree-smult-le[of x poly-app-x p] by blast
  also have ...  $< \text{Suc} (\text{degree} (\text{poly-app-x } p))$  by blast
  finally have  $\text{degree} (\text{smult } x (\text{poly-app-x } p)) < \text{degree} (\text{pCons } a (\text{poly-app-x } p))$ 
  using deg by argo
  with pCons poly-app-x-def show ?case
    by (metis deg poly-additive-poly-poly-pCons degree-add-eq-left degree-pCons-eq)
  qed (simp, simp add: additive-poly-poly-pCons0)

lemma coeff-poly-additive-poly-poly:
   $\text{coeff} (\text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) [:x:]) n = \text{poly} (\text{coeff} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) n) x$ 
proof-
  have

```

```

 $\forall k \leq n.$ 
 $\text{coeff} (\text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) [:x:]) k = \text{poly} (\text{coeff} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p)$ 
 $k) x$ 
proof (induct p rule: pCons-induct', safe)
  fix  $k$  case ( $p\text{Cons} 0 a$ )
  moreover have  $\text{coeff} [:a:] k = \text{poly} (\text{coeff} [:[:a:]:] k) x$  by (cases k, simp-all)
  ultimately show
     $\text{coeff} (\text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly} [:a:])) [:x:] k =$ 
     $\text{poly} (\text{coeff} (\text{additive-poly-poly} [:a:])) k) x$ 
    using additive-poly-poly-pCons0 by fastforce
next
  case ( $p\text{Cons} a p$ ) fix  $k$  assume  $k \leq n$  with pCons show
     $\text{coeff} (\text{poly} (\text{additive-poly-poly} (p\text{Cons} a p))) [:x:] k =$ 
     $\text{poly} (\text{coeff} (\text{additive-poly-poly} (p\text{Cons} a p)) k) x$ 
    using poly-additive-poly-poly-pCons additive-poly-poly-pCons
      by (cases k) (fastforce, force simp add: add.commute)
qed simp
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma additive-poly-poly-decomp:
 $\text{poly } p (x + y) = (\sum i \leq \text{degree } p. (\text{poly} (\text{coeff} (\text{additive-poly-poly } p) i) x) * y^{\wedge i})$ 
using poly-altdef[of - y] coeff-poly-additive-poly-poly[of p x] additive-poly-poly[of p x y]
 $\text{degree-poly-additive-poly-poly}[of p x]$ 
by presburger

```

end

theory *Parametric-Equiv-Depth*

```

imports
  HOL.Rat
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Fraction-Field
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Primes
  HOL-Library.Function-Algebras
  HOL-Library.Set-Algebras
  HOL.Topological-Spaces
  Polynomial-Extras

```

begin

3 Common structures for adelic types

3.1 Preliminaries

```

lemma ex-least-nat-le':
  fixes P :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  assumes P n
  shows  $\exists k \leq n. (\forall i < k. \neg P i) \wedge P k$ 
  by (metis assms exists-least-iff not-le-imp-less)

lemma ex-ex1-least-nat-le:
  fixes P :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  assumes  $\exists n. P n$ 
  shows  $\exists !k. P k \wedge (\forall i. P i \longrightarrow k \leq i)$ 
  by (smt (verit) assms ex-least-nat-le' le-eq-less-or-eq nle-le)

lemma least-le-least:
  Least Q  $\leq$  Least P
  if P :  $\exists !x. P x \wedge (\forall y. P y \longrightarrow x \leq y)$ 
  and Q :  $\exists !x. Q x \wedge (\forall y. Q y \longrightarrow x \leq y)$ 
  and PQ:  $\forall x. P x \longrightarrow Q x$ 
  for P Q :: 'a::order  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  using PQ Least1-le[OF Q] Least1I[OF P] by blast

lemma linorder-wlog' [case-names le sym]:
  
$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \begin{aligned} & \bigwedge a b. f a \leq f b \implies P a b; \\ & \bigwedge a b. P b a \implies P a b \end{aligned} \rrbracket \implies P a b \\ & \text{for } f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::linorder \\ & \text{by (cases rule: le-cases[of } f a f b]) blast+} \end{aligned}$$


lemma log-pow1-cancel [simp]:
  a > 0  $\implies a \neq 1 \implies \log a (a \text{ powi } b) = b$ 
  by (cases b  $\geq 0$ , simp-all add: power-int-def power-inverse log-inverse)

```

3.2 Existence of primes

```

class factorial-comm-ring = factorial-semiring + comm-ring
begin subclass comm-ring-1 .. end

class factorial-idom = factorial-semiring + idom
begin subclass factorial-comm-ring .. end

class nontrivial-factorial-semiring = factorial-semiring +
  assumes nontrivial-elem-exists:  $\exists x. x \neq 0 \wedge \text{normalize } x \neq 1$ 
begin

lemma primeE:
  obtains p where prime p

```

```

proof-
  from nontrivial-elem-exists obtain x where x: x ≠ 0 normalize x ≠ 1 by fast
  from x(1) obtain A
    where  $\forall x. x \in \# A \longrightarrow \text{prime } x$  normalize (prod-mset A) = normalize x
      by (auto elim: prime-factorization-exists')
    with x(2) obtain p where prime p by fastforce
    with that show thesis by fast
  qed

lemma primes-exist:  $\exists p. \text{prime } p$ 
proof-
  obtain p where prime p by (elim primeE)
  thus ?thesis by fast
qed

end

class nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring = nontrivial-factorial-semiring + comm-ring
begin
  subclass factorial-comm-ring ..
end

class nontrivial-factorial-idom = nontrivial-factorial-semiring + idom
begin
  subclass nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring ..
  subclass factorial-idom ..
end

instance int :: nontrivial-factorial-idom
proof
  have  $(2::\text{int}) \neq 0$  normalize  $(2::\text{int}) \neq 1$  by auto
  thus  $\exists x::\text{int}. x \neq 0 \wedge \text{normalize } x \neq 1$  by fast
qed

typedef (overloaded) 'a prime =
  {p::'a::nontrivial-factorial-semiring. prime p}
  using primes-exist by fast

lemma Rep-prime-n0: Rep-prime p ≠ 0
  using Rep-prime[of p] prime-imp-nonzero by simp

lemma Rep-prime-not-unit:  $\neg \text{is-unit}(\text{Rep-prime } p)$ 
  using Rep-prime[of p] by auto

abbreviation pdvd :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-semiring prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix pdvd 50)
  where p pdvd a  $\equiv$  (Rep-prime p) dvd a
abbreviation pmultiplicity p  $\equiv$  multiplicity (Rep-prime p)

```

```

lemma multiplicity-distinct-primes:
   $p \neq q \implies pmultiplicity\ p\ (\text{Rep-prime}\ q) = 0$ 
  using Rep-prime-inject Rep-prime
    multiplicity-distinct-prime-power[of Rep-prime\ p Rep-prime\ q 1]
  by auto

```

3.3 Generic algebraic equality

context

fixes R

assumes $sympR : symp\ R$

and $transpR : transp\ R$

begin

lemma $transp\text{-left} : R\ x\ z \implies R\ y\ z \implies R\ x\ y$
by (metis $sympR\ sympD\ transpR\ transpD$)

lemma $transp\text{-right} : R\ x\ y \implies R\ x\ z \implies R\ y\ z$
by (metis $sympR\ sympD\ transpR\ transpD$)

lemma $transp\text{-iffs} :$

assumes $R\ x\ y$ **shows** $R\ x\ z \longleftrightarrow R\ y\ z$ **and** $R\ z\ x \longleftrightarrow R\ z\ y$

using assms $transpD[OF\ transpR]$ $transp\text{-left}$ $transp\text{-right}$ **by** (blast, blast)

lemma $transp\text{-cong} : R\ w\ z$ **if** $R\ x\ w$ **and** $R\ y\ z$ **and** $R\ x\ y$
using that $transpD[OF\ transpR]$ $transp\text{-right}$ **by** blast

lemma $transp\text{-cong-sym} : R\ x\ y$ **if** $R\ x\ w$ **and** $R\ y\ z$ **and** $R\ w\ z$
using that $transp\text{-cong}$ $sympD[OF\ sympR]$ **by** blast

end

lemma $equivp\text{-imp-symp} : equivp\ R \implies symp\ R$
by (simp add: equivp-reflp-symp-transp)

locale generic-alg-equality =
 fixes $alg\text{-eq} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$
 assumes $equivp : equivp\ alg\text{-eq}$
begin

lemmas $refl = equivp\text{-reflp} [OF\ equivp]$
and $sym [sym] = equivp\text{-symp} [OF\ equivp]$
and $trans [trans] = equivp\text{-transp} [OF\ equivp]$
and $trans\text{-left} = transp\text{-left} [OF\ equivp\text{-imp-symp}\ equivp\text{-imp-transp}, OF\ equivp\ equivp]$
and $trans\text{-right} = transp\text{-right} [OF\ equivp\text{-imp-symp}\ equivp\text{-imp-transp}, OF\ equivp\ equivp]$
and $trans\text{-iffs} = transp\text{-iffs} [OF\ equivp\text{-imp-symp}\ equivp\text{-imp-transp}, OF\ equivp\ equivp]$

```

equivp equivp]
  and cong      = transp-cong    [OF equivp-imp-symp equivp-imp-transp, OF
equivp equivp]
  and cong-sym   = transp-cong-sym [OF equivp-imp-symp equivp-imp-transp,
OF equivp equivp]

lemma sym-iff: alg-eq x y = alg-eq y x
  using sym by blast

end

locale ab-group-alg-equality = generic-alg-equality alg-eq
  for alg-eq :: 'a::ab-group-add ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
+
  assumes conv-0: alg-eq x y ←→ alg-eq (x - y) 0
begin

lemmas trans0-iff = trans-iffs(1)[of - - 0]

lemma add-equiv0-left: alg-eq (x + y) y if alg-eq x 0
  using that conv-0 by fastforce

lemma add-equiv0-right: alg-eq (x + y) x if alg-eq y 0
  using that conv-0 by fastforce

lemma add:
  alg-eq (x1 + x2) (y1 + y2) if alg-eq x1 y1 and alg-eq x2 y2
  proof (rule iffD2, rule conv-0)
    from that have alg-eq (x1 - y1) 0 and alg-eq (x2 - y2) 0
    using conv-0 by auto
    hence alg-eq ((x1 - y1) + (x2 - y2)) 0 using add-equiv0-left trans by blast
    moreover have (x1 - y1) + (x2 - y2) = (x1 + x2) - (y1 + y2) by simp
    ultimately show alg-eq ((x1 + x2) - (y1 + y2)) 0 by simp
  qed

lemma add-left: alg-eq (x + y) (x + y') if alg-eq y y'
  using that refl add by simp

lemma add-right: alg-eq (x + y) (x' + y) if alg-eq x x'
  using that refl add by simp

lemma add-0-left: alg-eq (x + y) y if alg-eq x 0
  using that add-right[of x 0] by simp

lemma add-0-right: alg-eq (x + y) x if alg-eq y 0
  using that add-left[of y 0] by simp

lemma sum-zeros:

```

```

finite A ==> ∀ a∈A. alg-eq (f a) 0 ==> alg-eq (sum f A) 0
by (induct A rule: finite-induct, simp add: refl, use add in force)

lemma uminus: alg-eq x y ==> alg-eq (-x) (-y)
using conv-0[of x y] conv-0[of -y -x] sym[of -y -x] by force

lemma uminus-iff:
alg-eq x y <=> alg-eq (-x) (-y)
using uminus[of x y] uminus[of -x -y] by fastforce

lemma add-0: alg-eq (x + y) 0 if alg-eq x 0 and alg-eq y 0
using that add[of x 0 y 0] by auto

lemma minus:
alg-eq x1 y1 ==> alg-eq x2 y2 ==>
alg-eq (x1 - x2) (y1 - y2)
using uminus[of x2 y2] add[of x1 y1 -x2 -y2] by simp

lemma minus-0: alg-eq (x - y) 0 if alg-eq x 0 and alg-eq y 0
using that minus[of x 0 y 0] by simp

lemma minus-left: alg-eq (x - y) (x - y') if alg-eq y y'
using that refl minus by simp

lemma minus-right: alg-eq (x - y) (x' - y) if alg-eq x x'
using that refl minus by simp

lemma minus-0-left: alg-eq (x - y) (-y) if alg-eq x 0
using that refl minus-right[of x 0] by simp

lemma minus-0-right: alg-eq (x - y) x if alg-eq y 0
using that refl minus-left[of y 0] by simp

end

locale ring-alg-equality = ab-group-alg-equality alg-eq
for alg-eq :: 'a::comm-ring ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
+
assumes mult-0-right: alg-eq y 0 ==> alg-eq (x * y) 0
begin

lemma mult-0-left: alg-eq x 0 ==> alg-eq (x * y) 0
using mult-0-right[of x y] by (simp add: mult.commute)

lemma mult:
alg-eq x1 y1 ==> alg-eq x2 y2 ==> alg-eq (x1 * x2) (y1 * y2)
using mult-0-right[of x2 - y2 x1] mult-0-left[of x1 - y1 y2] conv-0 trans
by (force simp add: algebra-simps)

```

```

lemma mult-left: alg-eq y y' ==> alg-eq (x * y) (x * y')
  using refl mult by force

lemma mult-right: alg-eq x x' ==> alg-eq (x * y) (x' * y)
  using refl mult by force

end

locale ring-1-alg-equality = ring-alg-equality alg-eq
  for alg-eq :: 'a::comm-ring-1 => 'a => bool
begin

lemma mult-one-left: alg-eq x 1 ==> alg-eq (x * y) y
  using mult-right by fastforce

lemma mult-one-right: alg-eq y 1 ==> alg-eq (x * y) x
  using mult-left by fastforce

lemma prod-ones:
  finite A ==> ∀ a∈A. alg-eq (f a) 1 ==>
    alg-eq (prod f A) 1
  by (induct A rule: finite-induct, simp add: refl, use mult in force)

lemma prod-with-zero:
  alg-eq (prod f (insert a A)) 0 if finite A and alg-eq (f a) 0
  using that prod.insert[of A - {a} a f] mult-0-left by fastforce

lemma pow: alg-eq (x ^ n) (y ^ n) if alg-eq x y
  using that refl mult by (induct n, simp-all)

lemma pow-equiv0: alg-eq (x ^ n) 0 if n > 0 and alg-eq x 0
  by (metis that zero-power pow)

end

```

3.4 Indexed equality

3.4.1 General structure

```

locale p-equality =
  fixes p-equal :: 'a => 'b::comm-ring => 'b => bool
  assumes p-alg-equality: ring-alg-equality (p-equal p)
begin

lemmas p-group-alg-equality = ring-alg-equality.axioms(1)[OF p-alg-equality]
lemmas p-generic-alg-equality = ab-group-alg-equality.axioms(1)[OF p-group-alg-equality]

lemmas equivp      = generic-alg-equality.equivp      [OF p-generic-alg-equality]

```

```

and refl[simp] = generic-alg-equality.refl      [OF p-generic-alg-equality]
and sym [sym] = generic-alg-equality.sym        [OF p-generic-alg-equality]
and trans [trans] = generic-alg-equality.trans   [OF p-generic-alg-equality]
and sym-iff = generic-alg-equality.sym-iff       [OF p-generic-alg-equality]
and trans-left = generic-alg-equality.trans-left [OF p-generic-alg-equality]
and trans-right = generic-alg-equality.trans-right [OF p-generic-alg-equality]
and trans-iffs = generic-alg-equality.trans-iffs [OF p-generic-alg-equality]
and cong = generic-alg-equality.cong            [OF p-generic-alg-equality]
and cong-sym = generic-alg-equality.cong-sym     [OF p-generic-alg-equality]

lemmas conv-0 = ab-group-alg-equality.conv-0      [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and trans0-iff = ab-group-alg-equality.trans0-iff [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and add-equiv0-left = ab-group-alg-equality.add-equiv0-left [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and add-equiv0-right = ab-group-alg-equality.add-equiv0-right [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and add = ab-group-alg-equality.add                [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and add-left = ab-group-alg-equality.add-left      [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and add-right = ab-group-alg-equality.add-right    [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and add-0-left = ab-group-alg-equality.add-0-left  [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and add-0-right = ab-group-alg-equality.add-0-right [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and sum-zeros = ab-group-alg-equality.sum-zeros   [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and uminus = ab-group-alg-equality.uminus         [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and uminus-iff = ab-group-alg-equality.uminus-iff  [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and add-0 = ab-group-alg-equality.add-0           [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and minus = ab-group-alg-equality.minus          [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and minus-0 = ab-group-alg-equality.minus-0       [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and minus-left = ab-group-alg-equality.minus-left [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and minus-right = ab-group-alg-equality.minus-right [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and minus-0-left = ab-group-alg-equality.minus-0-left [OF p-group-alg-equality]
and minus-0-right = ab-group-alg-equality.minus-0-right [OF p-group-alg-equality]

lemmas mult-0-left = ring-alg-equality.mult-0-left [OF p-alg-equality]
and mult-0-right = ring-alg-equality.mult-0-right [OF p-alg-equality]
and mult = ring-alg-equality.mult                [OF p-alg-equality]
and mult-left = ring-alg-equality.mult-left      [OF p-alg-equality]
and mult-right = ring-alg-equality.mult-right    [OF p-alg-equality]

definition globally-p-equal :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  where globally-p-equal-def[simp]: globally-p-equal x y = ( ∀ p::'a. p-equal p x y)

lemma globally-p-equalI[intro]: globally-p-equal x y if ∨ p::'a. p-equal p x y
  using that unfolding globally-p-equal-def by blast

lemma globally-p-equalD: globally-p-equal x y ⇒ p-equal p x y
  unfolding globally-p-equal-def by fast

lemma globally-p-nequalE:
  assumes ¬ globally-p-equal x y
  obtains p where ¬ p-equal p x y
  using assms

```

```

unfolding globally-p-equal-def
by      fast

sublocale globally-p-equality: ring-alg-equality globally-p-equal
proof (standard, intro equivpI)
  show reflp globally-p-equal
    using refl unfolding globally-p-equal-def by (fastforce intro: reflpI)
  show symp globally-p-equal
    using sym unfolding globally-p-equal-def by (fastforce intro: sympI)
  show transp globally-p-equal
    using trans unfolding globally-p-equal-def by (fastforce intro: transpI)
  fix x y :: 'b
  show      globally-p-equal x y = globally-p-equal (x - y) 0
  and       globally-p-equal y 0  $\implies$  globally-p-equal (x * y) 0
  using    conv-0 mult-0-right
  unfolding globally-p-equal-def
  by      auto
qed

lemmas globally-p-equal-equivp
and   globally-p-equal-refl[simp]
and   globally-p-equal-sym
and   globally-p-equal-trans
and   globally-p-equal-trans-left
and   globally-p-equal-trans-right
and   globally-p-equal-trans-iff
and   globally-p-equal-cong
and   globally-p-equal-conv-0
and   globally-p-equal-trans0-iff
and   globally-p-equal-add-equiv0-left = globally-p-equality.add-equiv0-left
and   globally-p-equal-add-equiv0-right = globally-p-equality.add-equiv0-right
and   globally-p-equal-add
and   globally-p-equal-uminus
and   globally-p-equal-uminus-iff
and   globally-p-equal-add-0
and   globally-p-equal-minus
and   globally-p-equal-mult-0-left
and   globally-p-equal-mult-0-right
and   globally-p-equal-mult
and   globally-p-equal-mult-left
and   globally-p-equal-mult-right
      = globally-p-equality.equivp
      = globally-p-equality.refl
      = globally-p-equality.sym
      = globally-p-equality.trans
      = globally-p-equality.trans-left
      = globally-p-equality.trans-right
      = globally-p-equality.trans-iff
      = globally-p-equality.cong
      = globally-p-equality.conv-0
      = globally-p-equality.trans0-iff
      = globally-p-equality.add
      = globally-p-equality.uminus
      = globally-p-equality.uminus-iff
      = globally-p-equality.add-0
      = globally-p-equality.minus
      = globally-p-equality.mult-0-left
      = globally-p-equality.mult-0-right
      = globally-p-equality.mult
      = globally-p-equality.mult-left
      = globally-p-equality.mult-right

lemma globally-p-equal-transfer-equals-rsp:
  p-equal p x1 y1  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-equal p x2 y2
  if globally-p-equal x1 x2 and globally-p-equal y1 y2
  using that trans-iffs globally-p-equalD by blast

end

```

```

locale p-equality-1 = p-equality p-equal
  for p-equal :: 'a ⇒ 'b::comm-ring-1 ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
begin

lemma p-alg-equality-1: ring-1-alg-equality (p-equal p)
  using ring-1-alg-equality.intro p-alg-equality by blast

lemmas mult-one-left = ring-1-alg-equality.mult-one-left [OF p-alg-equality-1]
  and mult-one-right = ring-1-alg-equality.mult-one-right [OF p-alg-equality-1]
  and prod-ones = ring-1-alg-equality.prod-ones [OF p-alg-equality-1]
  and pow = ring-1-alg-equality.pow [OF p-alg-equality-1]
  and pow-equiv0 = ring-1-alg-equality.pow-equiv0 [OF p-alg-equality-1]

end

locale p-equality-no-zero-divisors = p-equality
+
assumes no-zero-divisors:
  ¬ p-equal p x 0 ⇒ ¬ p-equal p y 0 ⇒
  ¬ p-equal p (x * y) 0
begin

lemma mult-equiv-0-iff:
  p-equal p (x * y) 0 ↔
  p-equal p x 0 ∨ p-equal p y 0
  using mult-0-right no-zero-divisors by (fastforce simp add: mult.commute)

end

locale p-equality-no-zero-divisors-1 = p-equality-no-zero-divisors p-equal
  for p-equal :: 
    'a ⇒ 'b::comm-ring-1 ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
+
assumes nontrivial: ∃ x. ¬ p-equal p x 0
begin

sublocale p-equality-1 ..

lemma one-p-nequal-zero: ¬ p-equal p (1::'b) (0::'b)
  using nontrivial mult-equiv-0-iff[of p 1::'b] by fastforce

lemma zero-p-nequal-one: ¬ p-equal p (0::'b) (1::'b)
  using nontrivial one-p-nequal-zero sym by blast

lemma neg-one-p-nequal-zero: ¬ p-equal p (-1::'b) (0::'b)
  using one-p-nequal-zero uminus by fastforce

```

```

lemma pow-equiv-0-iff: p-equal p ( $x \wedge n$ ) 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-equal p x 0  $\wedge$  n  $\neq$  0
proof (cases n)
  case (Suc k) show ?thesis by (simp only: Suc, induct k, simp-all add: mult-equiv-0-iff)
qed (simp add: one-p-nequal-zero)

lemma pow-equiv-base: p-equal p ( $x \wedge n$ ) ( $y \wedge n$ ) if p-equal p x y
  using that mult by (induct n) auto
end

locale p-equality-div-inv = p-equality-no-zero-divisors-1 p-equal
  for p-equal :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b:{comm-ring-1, inverse, divide-trivial}  $\Rightarrow$ 
    'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool
+
  assumes divide-inverse :  $\bigwedge x y :: 'b. x / y = x * \text{inverse } y$ 
  and inverse-inverse :  $\bigwedge x :: 'b. \text{inverse } (\text{inverse } x) = x$ 
  and inverse-mult :  $\bigwedge x y :: 'b. \text{inverse } (x * y) = \text{inverse } x * \text{inverse } y$ 
  and inverse-equiv0-iff: p-equal p ( $\text{inverse } x$ ) 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-equal p x 0
  and divide-self-equiv :  $\neg$  p-equal p x 0  $\Longrightarrow$  p-equal p ( $x / x$ ) 1
begin

  Global algebra facts

  lemma inverse-eq-divide: inverse x = 1 / x for x :: 'b
    using divide-inverse by auto

  lemma inverse-div-inverse: inverse x / inverse y = y / x for x y :: 'b
    by (simp add: divide-inverse inverse-inverse)

  lemma inverse-0[simp]: inverse (0::'b) = 0
    using inverse-eq-divide by simp

  lemma inverse-1[simp]: inverse (1::'b) = 1
    using inverse-eq-divide by simp

  lemma inverse-pow: inverse ( $x \wedge n$ ) = inverse x  $\wedge$  n for x :: 'b
    by (induct n) (simp-all add: inverse-mult)

  lemma power-int-minus: power-int x (-n) = inverse (power-int x n) for x :: 'b
    by (cases n  $\geq$  0) (simp-all add: power-int-def inverse-pow inverse-inverse)

  lemma power-int-minus-divide: power-int x (-n) = 1 / (power-int x n) for x :: 'b
    using power-int-minus inverse-eq-divide by presburger

  lemma power-int-0-left-if: power-int (0 :: 'b) m = (if m = 0 then 1 else 0)
    by (auto simp add: power-int-def zero-power)

```

```

lemma power-int-0-left [simp]:  $m \neq 0 \implies \text{power-int} (0 :: 'b) m = 0$ 
  by (simp add: power-int-0-left-if)

lemma power-int-1-left [simp]:  $\text{power-int} (1 :: 'b) n = 1$ 
  by (auto simp: power-int-def)

lemma inverse-power-int:  $\text{inverse} (\text{power-int} x n) = \text{power-int} x (-n)$  for  $x :: 'b$ 
  using inverse-pow inverse-inverse unfolding power-int-def by (cases n) auto

lemma power-int-inverse:
   $\text{power-int} (\text{inverse} x) n = \text{inverse} (\text{power-int} x n)$  for  $x :: 'b$ 
  proof (cases n > 0)
    case True thus ?thesis using inverse-pow power-int-def by metis
  next
    case False
    moreover define m where  $m \equiv -n$ 
    ultimately have  $m \geq 0$  by fastforce
    hence  $\text{power-int} (\text{inverse} x) (-m) = \text{inverse} (\text{power-int} x (-m))$ 
    proof (induct m rule: int-ge-induct)
      case (step m)
        from step(1) have  $\text{inverse} x \text{powi} -(m + 1) = x * \text{inverse} x \text{powi} (-m)$ 
        using power-Suc[of x nat m]
        by (fastforce simp add: Suc-nat-eq-nat-zadd1 inverse-inverse power-int-def)
        with step show ?case
        by (
          simp add: inverse-inverse inverse-mult add.commute power-int-def
          flip: Suc-nat-eq-nat-zadd1
        )
      qed simp
      with m-def show ?thesis by force
    qed

lemma power-int-mult-distrib:
   $\text{power-int} (x * y) m = \text{power-int} x m * \text{power-int} y m$  for  $x y :: 'b$ 
  by (cases m ≥ 0) (simp-all add: power-int-def power-mult-distrib inverse-mult)

lemma inverse-divide:  $\text{inverse} (a / b) = b / a$  for  $a b :: 'b$ 
  by (metis divide-inverse inverse-mult inverse-inverse mult.commute)

lemma minus-divide-left:  $- (a / b) = (-a) / b$  for  $a b :: 'b$ 
  by (simp add: divide-inverse algebra-simps)

lemma times-divide-times-eq:  $(a / b) * (c / d) = (a * c) / (b * d)$  for  $a b :: 'b$ 
  by (simp add: divide-inverse inverse-mult algebra-simps)

lemma divide-pow:  $(x ^ n) / (y ^ n) = (x / y) ^ n$  for  $x y :: 'b$ 
  proof (induct n)
    case (Suc n) thus ?case using times-divide-times-eq[of x y x ^ n y ^ n] by force
  qed simp

```

```

lemma power-int-divide-distrib:
  power-int (x / y) m = power-int x m / power-int y m for x y :: 'b
  by (cases m ≥ 0) (simp-all add: power-int-def divide-pow inverse-divide inverse-div-inverse)

lemma power-int-one-over: power-int (1 / x) n = 1 / power-int x n for x :: 'b
  by (auto simp add: power-int-inverse simp flip: inverse-eq-divide)

lemma add-divide-distrib: (a + b) / c = (a / c) + (b / c) for a b c :: 'b
  by (simp add: divide-inverse algebra-simps)

lemma diff-divide-distrib: (a - b) / c = (a / c) - (b / c) for a b c :: 'b
  by (simp add: divide-inverse algebra-simps)

lemma times-divide-eq-right: a * (b / c) = (a * b) / c for a b c :: 'b
  using times-divide-times-eq[of a 1] by force

lemma times-divide-eq-left: (b / c) * a = (b * a) / c for a b c :: 'b
  using times-divide-eq-right by (simp add: ac-simps)

lemma divide-divide-eq-left: (a / b) / c = a / (b * c) for a b c :: 'b
  using divide-inverse mult.assoc inverse-mult[of b c] by metis

lemma swap-numerator: a * (b / c) = (a / c) * b for a b c :: 'b
  by (metis times-divide-eq-right mult.commute)

lemma divide-divide-times-eq: (a / b) / (c / d) = (a * d) / (b * c) for a b c d :: 'b
  by (metis divide-inverse inverse-divide times-divide-times-eq)

Algebra facts by place

lemma right-inverse-equiv: p-equal p (x * inverse x) 1 if ¬ p-equal p x 0
  using that divide-self-equiv divide-inverse by force

lemma left-inverse-equiv: p-equal p (inverse x * x) 1 if ¬ p-equal p x 0
  using that right-inverse-equiv by (simp add: mult.commute)

lemma inverse-p-unique:
  p-equal p (inverse x) y if p-equal p (x * y) 1
proof-
  from that have x-n0: ¬ p-equal p x 0
    using mult-0-left trans-right zero-p-nequal-one by blast
  with that have p-equal p (inverse x * x * y) (inverse x * x * inverse x)
    by (metis right-inverse-equiv trans-left mult-left mult.assoc)
moreover
  have p-equal p (inverse x * x * y) y
  and p-equal p (inverse x * x * inverse x) (inverse x)
  using x-n0 left-inverse-equiv mult-right

```

```

    by (fastforce, fastforce)
ultimately show p-equal p (inverse x) y using cong sym by blast
qed

lemma inverse-equiv-imp-equiv:
  p-equal p (inverse a) (inverse b) ==> p-equal p a b
proof (cases p-equal p a 0, metis inverse-equiv0-iff trans-right trans-left)
  case False
  moreover assume ab: p-equal p (inverse a) (inverse b)
  ultimately have ~ p-equal p b 0 using inverse-equiv0-iff trans-right trans-left
  by meson
  moreover from False ab have
    p-equal p b (a * (inverse b * b))
  by (metis mult-left right-inverse-equiv trans-right mult-right mult-1-left mult.assoc)
  ultimately show p-equal p a b
  by (metis left-inverse-equiv mult-left trans sym mult-1-right)
qed

lemma inverse-pcong: p-equal p (inverse x) (inverse y) if p-equal p x y
proof (
  cases p-equal p x 0, metis that trans-right inverse-equiv0-iff trans-left,
  intro inverse-p-unique
)
  case False with that show p-equal p (x * inverse y) 1
  using mult-right right-inverse-equiv cong refl by blast
qed

lemma inverse-equiv-iff-equiv:
  p-equal p (inverse a) (inverse b) <=> p-equal p a b
  using inverse-equiv-imp-equiv inverse-pcong by blast

lemma power-int-equiv-0-iff:
  p-equal p (power-int x n) 0 <=> p-equal p x 0 ∧ n ≠ 0
proof (cases n ≥ 0)
  case False thus ?thesis
  using inverse-pow[of x nat (-n)] inverse-equiv0-iff[of p x ^ nat (-n)]
    pow-equiv-0-iff[of p x nat (-n)]
  by (force simp add: power-int-def)
qed (simp add: pow-equiv-0-iff power-int-def)

lemma power-diff-conv-inverse-equiv:
  m ≤ n ==> p-equal p (x ^ (n - m)) (x ^ n * inverse x ^ m)
  if ~ p-equal p x 0
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n) show ?case
  proof (cases Suc n = m)
    case True show ?thesis
    proof (cases m = 0)
      case False

```

```

moreover have p-equal p 1 (x ^ m * inverse x ^ m)
  using that pow-equiv-0-iff right-inverse-equiv inverse-pow sym by metis
ultimately show ?thesis using Suc ⟨Suc n = m⟩ by simp
qed simp

next
case False
with Suc(2) have mn: m ≤ n by linarith
with Suc(1) have p-equal p (x ^ Suc (n - m)) (x ^ Suc n * inverse x ^ m)
  using mult-left by (simp add: mult.assoc)
moreover from mn have Suc (n - m) = Suc n - m by linarith
ultimately show ?thesis by argo
qed
qed simp

lemma power-int-add-1-equiv:
p-equal p (power-int x (m + 1)) (power-int x m * x)
if ¬ p-equal p x 0 ∨ m ≠ -1
proof (cases p-equal p x 0)
case True
with that have p-equal p (power-int x (m + 1)) 0 and p-equal p (power-int x
m * x) 0
using power-int-equiv-0-iff mult-0-right by auto
thus ?thesis using trans-left by blast
next
case False show ?thesis
proof (cases m + 1 rule: int-cases3)
case zero
hence m = -1 by auto
with False show ?thesis using left-inverse-equiv sym power-int-def by auto
next
case (pos n)
hence nat (m + 1) = Suc (nat m) by auto
moreover from pos have power-int x (m + 1) = x ^ nat (m + 1) by auto
ultimately have power-int x (m + 1) = power-int x m * x by (simp add:
power-int-def)
thus ?thesis by fastforce
next
case (neg n)
hence nat (- m) = Suc n by fastforce
hence power-int x m * x = inverse x ^ n * (inverse x * x) unfolding
power-int-def by auto
with False neg show ?thesis
using mult-left left-inverse-equiv mult-1-right sym unfolding power-int-def
by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma power-int-add-equiv:
¬ p-equal p x 0 ∨ m + n ≠ 0 ==>

```

```

p-equal p (power-int x (m + n)) (power-int x m * power-int x n)
proof (induct m n rule: linorder-wlog)
  fix m n :: int assume mn:  $m \leq n$  and x:  $\neg p\text{-equal } p \ x \ 0 \vee m + n \neq 0$ 
  show p-equal p (power-int x (m + n)) (power-int x m * power-int x n)
  proof (cases p-equal p x 0)
    case True
    moreover from this x have p-equal p (power-int x m) 0  $\vee$  p-equal p (power-int x n) 0
      using power-int-equiv-0-iff by presburger
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using x mult-0-left mult-0-right power-int-equiv-0-iff trans-left by meson
    next
      case False
      have
         $m \leq n \implies$ 
        p-equal p (power-int x (m + n)) (power-int x m * power-int x n)
      proof (induct n rule: int-ge-induct)
        case base show ?case
        proof (cases m ≥ 0)
          case True thus ?thesis
            using nat-add-distrib[of m] power-add[of x] unfolding power-int-def by
            fastforce
        next
          case False thus ?thesis
            using nat-add-distrib[of -m -m] power-add[of inverse x]
            unfolding power-int-def
            by auto
        qed
      next
        case (step n)
        from False have p-equal p (x powi (m + (n + 1))) (x powi (m + n) * x)
          using power-int-add-1-equiv[of p x m + n] by (simp add: add.assoc)
          with step(2) False have p-equal p (x powi (m + (n + 1))) (x powi m * (x
          powi n * x))
          using mult-right trans by (force simp flip: mult.assoc)
          with False show p-equal p (x powi (m + (n + 1))) (x powi m * x powi (n +
          1))
            using trans power-int-add-1-equiv sym mult-left by meson
        qed
        with mn show ?thesis by fast
      qed
    qed (simp add: ac-simps)
  lemma power-int-diff-equiv:
    p-equal p (power-int x (m - n)) (power-int x m / power-int x n)
    if  $\neg p\text{-equal } p \ x \ 0 \vee m \neq n$ 
    using that power-int-add-equiv[of p x m - n] power-int-minus-divide-times-divide-eq-right
    by auto

```

lemma power-int-minus-mult-equiv:
 $p\text{-equal } p (\text{power-int } x (n - 1) * x) (\text{power-int } x n)$
if $\neg p\text{-equal } p x 0 \vee n \neq 0$
using that sym power-int-add-1-equiv[of $p x n - 1$] **by** auto

lemma power-int-not-equiv-zero:
 $\neg p\text{-equal } p x 0 \vee n = 0 \implies \neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{power-int } x n) 0$
by (subst power-int-equiv-0-iff) auto

lemma power-int-equiv-base: $p\text{-equal } p (\text{power-int } x n) (\text{power-int } y n)$ **if** $p\text{-equal } p x y$
by (
 cases $n \geq 0$, metis that power-int-def pow-equiv-base,
 metis that inverse-pcong power-int-def pow-equiv-base
))

lemma divide-equiv-0-iff:
 $p\text{-equal } p (x / y) 0 \longleftrightarrow p\text{-equal } p x 0 \vee p\text{-equal } p y 0$
using divide-inverse mult-equiv-0-iff inverse-equiv0-iff **by** metis

lemma divide-pcong: $p\text{-equal } p (w / x) (y / z)$ **if** $p\text{-equal } p w y$ **and** $p\text{-equal } p x z$
by (metis that divide-inverse inverse-pcong mult)

lemma divide-left-equiv: $p\text{-equal } p x y \implies p\text{-equal } p (x / z) (y / z)$
by (metis mult-right divide-inverse)

lemma divide-right-equiv: $p\text{-equal } p x y \implies p\text{-equal } p (z / x) (z / y)$
by (metis inverse-pcong mult-left divide-inverse)

lemma add-frac-equiv:
 $p\text{-equal } p ((a / b) + (c / d)) ((a * d + c * b) / (b * d))$
if $\neg p\text{-equal } p b 0$ **and** $\neg p\text{-equal } p d 0$

proof-
from that have
 $(a * d + c * b) / (b * d) =$
 $a * d * (\text{inverse } b * \text{inverse } d) + c * b * (\text{inverse } b * \text{inverse } d)$
by (metis add-divide-distrib divide-inverse inverse-mult)
with that show ?thesis
using mult-left[of $p d * \text{inverse } d 1 a / b$] mult-right[of $p b * \text{inverse } b 1 c / d$]
by (simp add: ac-simps divide-inverse right-inverse-equiv add sym)

qed

lemma mult-divide-mult-cancel-right:
 $p\text{-equal } p ((a * b) / (c * b)) (a / c)$ **if** $\neg p\text{-equal } p b 0$

proof-
have $(a * b) / (c * b) = a * b * (\text{inverse } c * \text{inverse } b)$
by (metis divide-inverse inverse-mult)
with that show ?thesis
using mult-left[of $p b * \text{inverse } b 1 a / c$]

```

by   (simp add: ac-simps divide-inverse-right-inverse-equiv sym)
qed

lemma mult-divide-mult-cancel-right2:
p-equal p ((a * c) / (c * b)) (a / b) if ~ p-equal p c 0
by (metis that mult-divide-mult-cancel-right mult.commute)

lemma mult-divide-mult-cancel-left:
p-equal p ((c * a) / (c * b)) (a / b) if ~ p-equal p c 0
by (metis that mult-divide-mult-cancel-right mult.commute)

lemma mult-divide-mult-cancel-left2:
p-equal p ((c * a) / (b * c)) (a / b) if ~ p-equal p c 0
by (metis that mult-divide-mult-cancel-left mult.commute)

lemma mult-divide-cancel-right: p-equal p ((a * b) / b) a if ~ p-equal p b 0
using that mult-divide-mult-cancel-right[of p b a 1] by auto

lemma mult-divide-cancel-left: p-equal p ((a * b) / a) b if ~ p-equal p a 0
using that mult-divide-cancel-right mult.commute by metis

lemma divide-equiv-equiv: (p-equal p (b / c) a) = (p-equal p b (a * c)) if ~ p-equal
p c 0
proof
assume p-equal p (b / c) a
hence p-equal p (b * (inverse c * c)) (a * c) by (metis divide-inverse mult-right
mult.assoc)
with that show p-equal p b (a * c)
by (metis left-inverse-equiv mult-left mult-1-right trans-right)
next
assume p-equal p b (a * c)
hence p-equal p (b / c) (a * (c * inverse c))
by (metis mult-right mult.assoc divide-inverse)
with that show p-equal p (b / c) a
using mult-left right-inverse-equiv trans
by fastforce
qed

lemma neg-divide-equiv-equiv:
p-equal p (-(b / c)) a ↔ p-equal p (-b) (a * c) if ~ p-equal p c 0
using that uminus-iff[of p -(b / c) a] divide-equiv-equiv uminus-iff[of p b] by
force

lemma equiv-divide-imp:
p-equal p (a * c) b ==> p-equal p a (b / c) if ~ p-equal p c 0
using that divide-left-equiv mult-divide-cancel-right trans-right by blast

lemma add-divide-equiv-iff:
p-equal p (x + y / z) ((x * z + y) / z) if ~ p-equal p z 0

```

using that add sym mult-divide-cancel-right **by** (fastforce simp add: add-divide-distrib)

lemma divide-add-equiv-iff: p-equal p (x / z + y) ((x + y * z) / z) **if** \neg p-equal p z 0

using that add-divide-equiv-iff[of p z y x] **by** (simp add: ac-simps)

lemma diff-divide-equiv-iff: p-equal p (x - y / z) ((x * z - y) / z) **if** \neg p-equal p z 0

using that minus sym mult-divide-cancel-right **by** (fastforce simp add: diff-divide-distrib)

lemma minus-divide-add-equiv-iff:

p-equal p (-(x / z) + y) ((-x + y * z) / z) **if** \neg p-equal p z 0

by (metis that minus-divide-left divide-add-equiv-iff)

lemma divide-diff-equiv-iff: p-equal p (x / z - y) ((x - y * z) / z) **if** \neg p-equal p z 0

by (metis that diff-conv-add-uminus minus-mult-left divide-add-equiv-iff)

lemma minus-divide-diff-equiv-iff:

p-equal p (-(x / z) - y) ((-x - y * z) / z) **if** \neg p-equal p z 0

by (metis that divide-diff-equiv-iff minus-divide-left)

lemma divide-mult-cancel-left: p-equal p (a / (a * b)) (1 / b) **if** \neg p-equal p a 0

by (metis that divide-divide-eq-left divide-left-equiv divide-self-equiv)

lemma divide-mult-cancel-right: p-equal p (b / (a * b)) (1 / a) **if** \neg p-equal p b 0

by (metis that divide-mult-cancel-left mult.commute)

lemma diff-frac-equiv:

p-equal p (x / y - w / z) ((x * z - w * y) / (y * z))

if \neg p-equal p y 0 **and** \neg p-equal p z 0

proof-

from that have p-equal p (x / y - w / z) ((x * z + - (w * y)) / (y * z))

using diff-conv-add-uminus minus-divide-left add-frac-equiv minus-mult-left **by** metis

thus ?thesis **by** force

qed

lemma frac-equiv-equiv:

p-equal p (x / y) (w / z) \longleftrightarrow p-equal p (x * z) (w * y)

if \neg p-equal p y 0 **and** \neg p-equal p z 0

by (metis that divide-equiv-equiv times-divide-eq-left sym)

lemma inverse-equiv-1-iff:

p-equal p (inverse x) 1 \longleftrightarrow p-equal p x 1

using one-p-nequal-zero trans-right inverse-equiv0-iff mult-left right-inverse-equiv mult-1-right

mult-right sym mult-1-left

by metis

```

lemma inverse-neg-1-equiv: p-equal p (inverse (-1)) (-1)
  using inverse-p-unique by auto

lemma globally-inverse-neg-1: globally-p-equal (inverse (-1)) (-1)
  using inverse-neg-1-equiv by blast

lemma inverse-uminus-equiv: p-equal p (inverse (-x)) (- inverse x)
  using inverse-mult[of -1 x] inverse-neg-1-equiv mult-right by fastforce

lemma minus-divide-right-equiv: p-equal p (a / (- b)) (- (a / b))
  using divide-inverse inverse-uminus-equiv mult-left trans by fastforce

lemma minus-divide-divide-equiv: p-equal p ((- a) / (- b)) (a / b)
  using refl minus-divide-left minus-divide-right-equiv trans by fastforce

lemma divide-minus1-equiv: p-equal p (x / (-1)) (- x)
  using minus-divide-right-equiv[of p x 1] by simp

lemma divide-cancel-right:
  p-equal p (a / c) (b / c)  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-equal p c 0  $\vee$  p-equal p a b
proof
  assume p-equal p (a / c) (b / c)
  hence p-equal p ((c * a) / c) ((c * b) / c)
    using mult-left times-divide-eq-right by metis
  thus p-equal p c 0  $\vee$  p-equal p a b using mult-divide-cancel-left cong by blast
qed (metis divide-left-equiv divide-equiv-0-iff trans-left)

lemma divide-cancel-left:
  p-equal p (c / a) (c / b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-equal p c 0  $\vee$  p-equal p a b
proof
  assume *: p-equal p (c / a) (c / b)
  show p-equal p c 0  $\vee$  p-equal p a b
  proof (cases p-equal p a 0 p-equal p c 0 rule: case-split[case-product case-split])
    case False-False
      from * False-False(1) have p-equal p ((c * a) / c) ((c * b) / c)
        using divide-equiv-0-iff trans divide-equiv-equiv times-divide-eq-left sym divide-left-equiv
        by metis
      with False-False(2) show ?thesis using mult-divide-cancel-left cong by blast
    qed (simp, metis * divide-equiv-0-iff trans0-iff trans-left, simp)
  qed (metis divide-right-equiv divide-equiv-0-iff trans-left)

lemma divide-equiv-1-iff:
  p-equal p (a / b) 1  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  p-equal p b 0  $\wedge$  p-equal p a b
proof (standard, standard)
  assume p-equal p (a / b) 1
  moreover from this show  $\neg$  p-equal p b 0
    using divide-equiv-0-iff zero-p-nequal-one trans-right by metis

```

```

ultimately show p-equal p a b
  using mult-left mult-1-right times-divide-eq-right mult-divide-cancel-left trans-right
    by metis
qed (metis divide-left-equiv divide-self-equiv trans)

lemma divide-equiv-minus-1-iff:
  p-equal p (a / b) (- 1)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  p-equal p b 0  $\wedge$  p-equal p a (- b)
proof-
  have p-equal p (a / b) (- 1)  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-equal p (- (a / b)) 1
    using uminus-iff by fastforce
  also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  p-equal p (- b) 0  $\wedge$  p-equal p a (- b)
    using minus-divide-right-equiv sym trans-right divide-equiv-1-iff by blast
  finally show ?thesis using uminus-iff by fastforce
qed

end

```

3.5 Indexed depth functions

3.5.1 General structure

```

locale p-equality-depth = p-equality +
  fixes p-depth :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::comm-ring  $\Rightarrow$  int
  assumes depth-of-0[simp]: p-depth p 0 = 0
  and depth-equiv: p-equal p x y  $\Longrightarrow$  p-depth p x = p-depth p y
  and depth-uminus: p-depth p (-x) = p-depth p x
  and depth-pre-nonarch:
     $\neg$  p-equal p x 0  $\Longrightarrow$  p-depth p x < p-depth p y  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    p-depth p (x + y) = p-depth p x
     $\llbracket \neg$  p-equal p x 0;  $\neg$  p-equal p (x + y) 0; p-depth p x = p-depth p y  $\rrbracket$ 
       $\Longrightarrow$  p-depth p (x + y)  $\geq$  p-depth p x
begin

lemma depth-equiv-0: p-equal p x 0  $\Longrightarrow$  p-depth p x = 0
  using depth-of-0 depth-equiv by presburger

lemma depth-equiv-uminus: p-equal p y (-x)  $\Longrightarrow$  p-depth p y = p-depth p x
  using depth-equiv depth-uminus by force

lemma depth-add-equiv0-left: p-equal p x 0  $\Longrightarrow$  p-depth p (x + y) = p-depth p y
  using add-equiv0-left depth-equiv by blast

lemma depth-add-equiv0-right: p-equal p y 0  $\Longrightarrow$  p-depth p (x + y) = p-depth p x
  using add-equiv0-right depth-equiv by blast

lemma depth-add-equiv:
  p-depth p (x + y) = p-depth p (w + z) if p-equal p x w and p-equal p y z
  using that add depth-equiv by auto

lemma depth-diff: p-depth p (x - y) = p-depth p (y - x)

```

using *depth-uminus*[*of p y - x*] **by** *auto*

lemma *depth-diff-equiv0-left*: *p-equal p x 0* \implies *p-depth p (x - y) = p-depth p y*
using *depth-add-equiv0-right*[*of p x - y*] *depth-uminus* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *depth-diff-equiv0-right*: *p-equal p y 0* \implies *p-depth p (x - y) = p-depth p x*
using *depth-diff-equiv0-left*[*of p y x*] *depth-uminus*[*of p x - y*] **by** *simp*

lemma *depth-diff-equiv*:
p-depth p (x - y) = p-depth p (w - z) **if** *p-equal p x w* **and** *p-equal p y z*
using *that minus depth-equiv* **by** *auto*

lemma *depth-pre-nonarch-diff-left*:
p-depth p (x - y) = p-depth p x **if** $\neg \text{p-equal p x 0}$ **and** *p-depth p x < p-depth p y*
using *that depth-pre-nonarch(1)*[*of p x - y*] *depth-uminus* **by** *simp*

lemma *depth-pre-nonarch-diff-right*:
p-depth p (x - y) = p-depth p y **if** $\neg \text{p-equal p y 0}$ **and** *p-depth p y < p-depth p x*
using *that depth-pre-nonarch-diff-left*[*of p y x*] *depth-uminus*[*of p x - y*] **by** *simp*

lemma *depth-nonarch*:
 $\llbracket \neg \text{p-equal p x 0}; \neg \text{p-equal p y 0}; \neg \text{p-equal p (x + y) 0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{p-depth p (x + y)} \geq \min(\text{p-depth p x}, \text{p-depth p y})$
using *depth-pre-nonarch*[*of p x y*] *depth-pre-nonarch*[*of p y x*]
by (*cases p-depth p x p-depth p y rule: linorder-cases, auto simp add: add.commute*)

lemma *depth-nonarch-diff*:
 $\llbracket \neg \text{p-equal p x 0}; \neg \text{p-equal p y 0}; \neg \text{p-equal p (x - y) 0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{p-depth p (x - y)} \geq \min(\text{p-depth p x}, \text{p-depth p y})$
using *depth-nonarch*[*of p x - y*] *depth-uminus uminus-iff* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *depth-sum-nonarch*:
finite A $\implies \neg \text{p-equal p (sum f A) 0} \implies$
 $\text{p-depth p (sum f A)} \geq \text{Min} \{ \text{p-depth p (f a)} \mid a. a \in A \wedge \neg \text{p-equal p (f a) 0} \}$
proof (*induct A rule: finite-induct*)
case (*insert a A*)
define *D*
where *D* $\equiv \lambda A. \{ \text{p-depth p (f a)} \mid a. a \in A \wedge \neg \text{p-equal p (f a) 0} \}$
consider (*fa0*) *p-equal p (f a) 0* |
 (*sum0*) *p-equal p (sum f A) 0* |
 (*neither*) $\neg \text{p-equal p (f a) 0} \wedge \neg \text{p-equal p (sum f A) 0}$
by *blast*
thus *p-depth p (sum f (insert a A))* $\geq \text{Min} (D (\text{insert a A}))$
proof *cases*
case *fa0*
with *insert D-def have* *p-depth p (sum f A) $\geq \text{Min} (D A)$* **using** *add* **by**
fastforce

```

moreover from fa0 insert(1,2) have p-depth p (sum f (insert a A)) = p-depth
p (sum f A)
  using depth-add-equiv0-left by auto
moreover from fa0 D-def have D (insert a A) = D A by blast
ultimately show ?thesis by argo
next
case sum0
with D-def insert(1,2,4) have p-depth p (f a) ∈ D (insert a A) using add by
force
moreover from insert(1,2) sum0 have p-depth p (sum f (insert a A)) =
p-depth p (f a)
  using depth-add-equiv0-right by fastforce
ultimately show ?thesis using D-def insert(1) by force
next
case neither
from D-def neither(1) have D (insert a A) = insert (p-depth p (f a)) (D A)
by blast
hence Min (D (insert a A)) = Min (insert (p-depth p (f a)) (D A)) by simp
also have ... = min (p-depth p (f a)) (Min (D A))
proof (rule Min-insert)
  from D-def insert(1) show finite (D A) by simp
  from D-def insert(1) neither(2) show D A ≠ {} using sum-zeros by fast
qed
finally show ?thesis using neither D-def insert depth-nonarch by fastforce
qed
qed simp

```

```

lemma obtains-depth-sum-nonarch-witness:
assumes finite A and ¬ p-equal p (sum f A) 0
obtains a
  where a ∈ A and ¬ p-equal p (f a) 0 and p-depth p (sum f A) ≥ p-depth p (f
a)
proof-
  define D where D ≡ {p-depth p (f a) | a. a ∈ A ∧ ¬ p-equal p (f a) 0}
  from assms D-def obtain a
    where a: a ∈ A ∧ ¬ p-equal p (f a) 0 Min D = p-depth p (f a)
    using sum-zeros[of A p f] obtains-Min[of D] by auto
  from assms D-def a(3) have p-depth p (sum f A) ≥ p-depth p (f a)
    using depth-sum-nonarch by fastforce
  with that a(1,2) show thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma depth-add-cancel-imp-eq-depth:
p-depth p x = p-depth p y if ¬ p-equal p x 0 and p-depth p (x + y) > p-depth p
x
using that depth-pre-nonarch(1)[of p x y] depth-pre-nonarch(1)[of p y x] depth-add-equiv0-right
by (fastforce simp add: ac-simps)

```

```

lemma depth-diff-cancel-imp-eq-depth-left:

```

$p\text{-depth } p\ x = p\text{-depth } p\ y$ if $\neg p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0$ and $p\text{-depth } p\ (x - y) > p\text{-depth } p\ x$
using that $\text{depth-add-cancel-imp-eq-depth}[\text{of } p\ x - y]$ depth-uminus **by** *auto*

lemma $\text{depth-diff-cancel-imp-eq-depth-right}$:
 $p\text{-depth } p\ x = p\text{-depth } p\ y$ if $\neg p\text{-equal } p\ y\ 0$ and $p\text{-depth } p\ (x - y) > p\text{-depth } p\ y$
by (*metis* that $\text{depth-diff-cancel-imp-eq-depth-left}$ depth-diff)

lemma level-closed-add :
 $p\text{-depth } p\ (x + y) \geq n$
 if $\neg p\text{-equal } p\ (x + y)\ 0$ and $p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n$ and $p\text{-depth } p\ y \geq n$
proof–
consider
 $p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \mid p\text{-equal } p\ y\ 0 \mid$
 $(\text{both-}n0) \neg p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \neg p\text{-equal } p\ y\ 0$
by *blast*
thus $?thesis$
proof *cases*
 case $\text{both-}n0$ with that **show** $?thesis$ **using** depth-nonarch **by** *fastforce*
qed (*simp add:* $\text{that}(3)$ $\text{depth-add-equiv0-left}$, *simp add:* $\text{that}(2)$ $\text{depth-add-equiv0-right}$)
qed

lemma level-closed-diff :
 $p\text{-depth } p\ (x - y) \geq n$
 if $\neg p\text{-equal } p\ (x - y)\ 0$ and $p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n$ and $p\text{-depth } p\ y \geq n$
using that $\text{level-closed-add}[\text{of } p\ x - y]$ depth-uminus **by** *auto*

definition $p\text{-depth-set} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set}$
where $p\text{-depth-set } p\ n = \{x. \neg p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n\}$

definition $global\text{-depth-set} :: \text{int} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set}$
where
 $global\text{-depth-set } n =$
 $\{x. \forall p. \neg p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n\}$

lemma $global\text{-depth-set}: global\text{-depth-set } n = (\bigcap p. p\text{-depth-set } p\ n)$
unfolding $p\text{-depth-set-def}$ $global\text{-depth-set-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $p\text{-depth-setD}$:
 $\neg p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \implies x \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n \implies$
 $p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n$
unfolding $p\text{-depth-set-def}$ **by** *blast*

lemma $nonpos\text{-}p\text{-depth-setD}$:
 $n \leq 0 \implies x \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n \implies p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n$
by (*cases* $p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0$, *simp-all add:* depth-equiv-0 $p\text{-depth-setD}$)

lemma $global\text{-depth-setD}$:

$\neg p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \implies x \in \text{global-depth-set } n \implies$
 $p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n$
unfolding *global-depth-set-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *nonpos-global-depth-setD*:
 $n \leq 0 \implies x \in \text{global-depth-set } n \implies p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n$
by (*cases* *p-equal p x 0*, *simp-all add: depth-equiv-0 global-depth-setD*)

lemma *p-depth-set-0*: $0 \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n$
unfolding *p-depth-set-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *global-depth-set-0*: $0 \in \text{global-depth-set } n$
unfolding *global-depth-set-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *p-depth-set-equiv0*: $p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \implies x \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n$
unfolding *p-depth-set-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *p-depth-setI*:
 $x \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n$ **if** $\neg p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n$
using that **unfolding** *p-depth-set-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *p-depth-set-by-equivI*:
 $x \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n$ **if** *p-equal p x y* **and** $y \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n$
by (*metis that trans p-depth-setD depth-equiv p-depth-setI*)

lemma *global-depth-setI*:
 $x \in \text{global-depth-set } n$
if $\bigwedge p. \neg p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \implies p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n$
using that **unfolding** *global-depth-set-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *global-depth-setI'*: $x \in \text{global-depth-set } n$ **if** $\bigwedge p. p\text{-depth } p\ x \geq n$
by (*intro global-depth-setI, rule that*)

lemma *global-depth-setI''*: $x \in \text{global-depth-set } n$ **if** $\bigwedge p. x \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n$
using that *global-depth-set* **by** *blast*

lemma *p-depth-set-antimono*:
 $n \leq m \implies p\text{-depth-set } p\ n \supseteq p\text{-depth-set } p\ m$
unfolding *p-depth-set-def* **by** *force*

lemma *global-depth-set-antimono*:
 $n \leq m \implies \text{global-depth-set } n \supseteq \text{global-depth-set } m$
unfolding *global-depth-set-def* **by** *force*

lemma *p-depth-set-add*:
 $x + y \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n$ **if** $x \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n$ **and** $y \in p\text{-depth-set } p\ n$
proof (*intro p-depth-setI, clarify*)
assume *nequiv0*: $\neg p\text{-equal } p\ (x + y)\ 0$
consider *p-equal p x 0 | p-equal p y 0 | $\neg p\text{-equal } p\ x\ 0 \wedge \neg p\text{-equal } p\ y\ 0$*

```

by blast
thus p-depth p (x + y) ≥ n
by (
  cases, metis that(2) nequiv0 add-0-left depth-equiv trans p-depth-setD,
  metis that(1) nequiv0 add-0-right depth-equiv trans p-depth-setD,
  metis that nequiv0 level-closed-add p-depth-setD
)
qed

lemma global-depth-set-add:
  x + y ∈ global-depth-set n if x ∈ global-depth-set n and y ∈ global-depth-set n
  using that p-depth-set-add global-depth-set by simp

lemma p-depth-set-uminus: −x ∈ p-depth-set p n if x ∈ p-depth-set p n
  using that uminus depth-uminus unfolding p-depth-set-def by fastforce

lemma global-depth-set-uminus: −x ∈ global-depth-set n if x ∈ global-depth-set n
  using that p-depth-set-uminus global-depth-set by simp

lemma p-depth-set-minus:
  x − y ∈ p-depth-set p n if x ∈ p-depth-set p n and y ∈ p-depth-set p n
  using that p-depth-set-add p-depth-set-uminus by fastforce

lemma global-depth-set-minus:
  x − y ∈ global-depth-set n if x ∈ global-depth-set n and y ∈ global-depth-set n
  using that p-depth-set-minus global-depth-set by simp

lemma p-depth-set-elt-set-plus:
  x + o p-depth-set p n = p-depth-set p n if x ∈ p-depth-set p n
  unfolding elt-set-plus-def
proof (standard, safe)
  fix y assume y: y ∈ p-depth-set p n
  define z where z ≡ y − x
  with that y have z ∈ p-depth-set p n using p-depth-set-minus by simp
  with z-def show ∃z∈p-depth-set p n. y = x + z by force
qed (simp add: that p-depth-set-add)

lemma global-depth-set-elt-set-plus:
  x + o global-depth-set n = global-depth-set n if x ∈ global-depth-set n
  unfolding elt-set-plus-def
proof (standard, safe)
  fix y assume y: y ∈ global-depth-set n
  define z where z ≡ y − x
  with that y have z ∈ global-depth-set n using global-depth-set-minus by simp
  with z-def show ∃z∈global-depth-set n. y = x + z by force
qed (simp add: that global-depth-set-add)

lemma p-depth-set-elt-set-plus-closed:
  x + o p-depth-set p m ⊆ p-depth-set p n if m ≥ n and x ∈ p-depth-set p n

```

using that set-plus-mono p-depth-set-antimono p-depth-set-elt-set-plus **by** metis

lemma global-depth-set-elt-set-plus-closed:

$x + o \in \text{global-depth-set } m \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } n$

if $m \geq n$ **and** $x \in \text{global-depth-set } n$

using that set-plus-mono global-depth-set-antimono global-depth-set-elt-set-plus **by** metis

lemma p-depth-set-plus-coeffs:

$\text{set } xs \subseteq \text{p-depth-set } p \ n \implies$

$\text{set } ys \subseteq \text{p-depth-set } p \ n \implies$

$\text{set } (\text{plus-coeffs } xs \ ys) \subseteq \text{p-depth-set } p \ n$

proof (induct xs ys rule: list-induct2')

case ($\lambda x \ x \ xs \ y \ ys$) **thus** ?case

using cCons-def[of $x + y$ plus-coeffs xs ys] p-depth-set-add **by** auto

qed simp-all

lemma global-depth-set-plus-coeffs:

$\text{set } (\text{plus-coeffs } xs \ ys) \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } n$

if $\text{set } xs \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } n$ **and** $\text{set } ys \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } n$

using that p-depth-set-plus-coeffs[of xs - n ys] global-depth-set[of n] **by** fastforce

lemma p-depth-set-poly-pCons:

$\text{set } (\text{coeffs } (\text{pCons } a \ f)) \subseteq \text{p-depth-set } p \ n$

if $a \in \text{p-depth-set } p \ n$ **and** $\text{set } (\text{coeffs } f) \subseteq \text{p-depth-set } p \ n$

by (cases a = 0 \wedge f = 0) (simp add: p-depth-set-0, use that in auto)

lemma p-depth-set-poly-add:

$\text{set } (\text{coeffs } (f + g)) \subseteq \text{p-depth-set } p \ n$

if $\text{set } (\text{coeffs } f) \subseteq \text{p-depth-set } p \ n$ **and** $\text{set } (\text{coeffs } g) \subseteq \text{p-depth-set } p \ n$

using that coeffs-plus-eq-plus-coeffs p-depth-set-plus-coeffs **by** metis

lemma global-depth-set-poly-add:

$\text{set } (\text{coeffs } (f + g)) \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } n$

if $\text{set } (\text{coeffs } f) \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } n$

and $\text{set } (\text{coeffs } g) \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } n$

using that p-depth-set-poly-add[of f - n g] global-depth-set **by** fastforce

end

locale p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors =

p-equality-no-zero-divisors + p-equality-depth

+ **assumes** depth-mult-additive:

$\neg p\text{-equal } p \ (x * y) \ 0 \implies p\text{-depth } p \ (x * y) = p\text{-depth } p \ x + p\text{-depth } p \ y$

begin

lemma depth-mult3-additive:

$p\text{-depth } p \ (x * y * z) = p\text{-depth } p \ x + p\text{-depth } p \ y + p\text{-depth } p \ z$

if $\neg p\text{-equal } p (x * y * z) 0$
using that mult-0-left depth-mult-additive by fastforce

lemma *p-depth-set-times*:
*x * y ∈ p-depth-set p n*
if n ≥ 0 and x ∈ p-depth-set p n and y ∈ p-depth-set p n
proof (intro *p-depth-setI*, clarify)
assume $\neg p\text{-equal } p (x * y) 0$
moreover from this have $\neg p\text{-equal } p x 0$ and $\neg p\text{-equal } p y 0$
by (metis mult-0-left, metis mult-0-right)
ultimately show $p\text{-depth } p (x * y) \geq n$
using that *p-depth-setD*[of *p x*] *p-depth-setD*[of *p y*] depth-mult-additive by
fastforce
qed

lemma *global-depth-set-times*:
*x * y ∈ global-depth-set n*
if n ≥ 0 and x ∈ global-depth-set n and y ∈ global-depth-set n
using that *p-depth-set-times* *global-depth-set* by simp

lemma *poly-p-depth-set-poly*:
set (coeffs f) ⊆ p-depth-set p n ⇒ poly f x ∈ p-depth-set p n
if n ≥ 0 and x ∈ p-depth-set p n
by (induct *f*, simp add: *p-depth-set-0*, use that *p-depth-set-times* *p-depth-set-add* in force)

lemma *poly-global-depth-set-poly*:
poly f x ∈ global-depth-set n
if n ≥ 0
and *x ∈ global-depth-set n*
and *set (coeffs f) ⊆ global-depth-set n*
using that *poly-p-depth-set-poly* *global-depth-set*
by fastforce

lemma *p-depth-set-ideal*:
*x * y ∈ p-depth-set p n*
if x ∈ p-depth-set p 0 and y ∈ p-depth-set p n
proof (intro *p-depth-setI*, clarify)
assume $\neg p\text{-equal } p (x * y) 0$
moreover from this have $\neg p\text{-equal } p x 0$ and $\neg p\text{-equal } p y 0$
by (metis mult-0-left, metis mult-0-right)
ultimately show $p\text{-depth } p (x * y) \geq n$
using that *p-depth-setD*[of *p x*] *p-depth-setD*[of *p y*] depth-mult-additive by
fastforce
qed

lemma *global-depth-set-ideal*:
*x * y ∈ global-depth-set n*
if x ∈ global-depth-set 0 and y ∈ global-depth-set n

using that p -depth-set-ideal global-depth-set **by** simp

lemma poly- p -depth-set-poly-ideal:

set (coeffs f) \subseteq p -depth-set $p n \implies$ poly $f x \in p$ -depth-set $p n$

if $x \in p$ -depth-set $p 0$

using that p -depth-set-0 p -depth-set-ideal p -depth-set-add **by** (induct f) auto

lemma poly-global-depth-set-poly-ideal:

poly $f x \in$ global-depth-set n

if $x \in$ global-depth-set 0 **and** set (coeffs f) \subseteq global-depth-set n

using that poly- p -depth-set-poly-ideal global-depth-set **by** fastforce

lemma p -depth-set-poly-smult:

set (coeffs (smult x f)) \subseteq p -depth-set $p n$

if $n \geq 0$ **and** $x \in p$ -depth-set $p n$ **and** set (coeffs f) \subseteq p -depth-set $p n$

proof –

have set (coeffs (smult x f)) = (*) $x \cdot$ set (strip-while ($\lambda c. x * c = 0$) (coeffs f))

using coeffs-smult-eq-smult-coeffs set-map **by** metis

also have ... \subseteq set (map ((*) x) (coeffs f))

using set-strip-while image-mono set-map **by** fastforce

finally show ?thesis **using** that p -depth-set-times **by** fastforce

qed

lemma global-depth-set-poly-smult:

set (coeffs (smult x f)) \subseteq global-depth-set n

if $n \geq 0$

and $x \in$ global-depth-set n

and set (coeffs f) \subseteq global-depth-set n

using that p -depth-set-poly-smult global-depth-set

by fastforce

lemma p -depth-set-poly-smult-ideal:

set (coeffs (smult x f)) \subseteq p -depth-set $p n$

if $x \in p$ -depth-set $p 0$ **and** set (coeffs f) \subseteq p -depth-set $p n$

proof –

have set (coeffs (smult x f)) = (*) $x \cdot$ set (strip-while ($\lambda c. x * c = 0$) (coeffs f))

using coeffs-smult-eq-smult-coeffs set-map **by** metis

also have ... \subseteq set (map ((*) x) (coeffs f))

using set-strip-while image-mono set-map **by** fastforce

finally show ?thesis **using** that p -depth-set-ideal **by** fastforce

qed

lemma global-depth-set-poly-smult-ideal:

set (coeffs (smult x f)) \subseteq global-depth-set n

if $x \in$ global-depth-set 0 **and** set (coeffs f) \subseteq global-depth-set n

using that p -depth-set-poly-smult-ideal global-depth-set **by** fastforce

lemma p -depth-set-poly-times:

set (coeffs f) \subseteq p -depth-set $p n \implies$

```

set (coeffs g) ⊆ p-depth-set p n ==>
set (coeffs (f * g)) ⊆ p-depth-set p n
if n ≥ 0
proof (induct f)
case (pCons a f)
hence set (coeffs (f * g)) ⊆ p-depth-set p n by auto
moreover from pCons(1,3) have a ∈ p-depth-set p n by fastforce
ultimately show ?case
using that pCons(4) mult-pCons-left p-depth-set-poly-add p-depth-set-poly-smult
      p-depth-set-poly-pCons p-depth-set-0
      by auto
qed simp

lemma global-depth-set-poly-times:
set (coeffs (f * g)) ⊆ global-depth-set n
if n ≥ 0
and set (coeffs g) ⊆ global-depth-set n
and set (coeffs f) ⊆ global-depth-set n
using that p-depth-set-poly-times[of n f - g] global-depth-set by fastforce

lemma p-depth-set-poly-times-ideal:
set (coeffs f) ⊆ p-depth-set p 0 ==>
set (coeffs g) ⊆ p-depth-set p n ==>
set (coeffs (f * g)) ⊆ p-depth-set p n
proof (induct f)
case (pCons a f)
hence set (coeffs (f * g)) ⊆ p-depth-set p n by auto
moreover from pCons(1,3) have a ∈ p-depth-set p 0 by fastforce
ultimately show ?case
using pCons(4) mult-pCons-left p-depth-set-poly-add p-depth-set-poly-smult-ideal
      p-depth-set-poly-pCons p-depth-set-0
      by simp
qed simp

lemma global-depth-set-poly-times-ideal:
set (coeffs (f * g)) ⊆ global-depth-set n
if set (coeffs f) ⊆ global-depth-set 0
and set (coeffs g) ⊆ global-depth-set n
using that p-depth-set-poly-times-ideal[of f - g] global-depth-set by fastforce

end

locale p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors-1 =
  p-equality-no-zero-divisors-1 + p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors
begin

lemma depth-of-1[simp]: p-depth p 1 = 0
  using one-p-nequal-zero depth-mult-additive[of p 1] by auto

```

```

lemma depth-of-neg1: p-depth p (-1) = 0
  using depth-uminus by auto

lemma depth-pow-additive: p-depth p (x ^ n) = int n * p-depth p x
  proof (cases p-equal p x 0)
    case True thus ?thesis using pow-equiv-0-iff depth-equiv-0 by (cases n = 0)
    auto
  next
    case False show ?thesis
    proof (induct n)
      case (Suc n)
        from False have p-depth p (x ^ Suc n) = p-depth p x + p-depth p (x ^ n)
        using pow-equiv-0-iff depth-mult-additive by force
        also from Suc have ... = (int n + 1) * p-depth p x by algebra
        finally show ?case by auto
    qed simp
  qed

lemma depth-prod-summative:
  finite X  $\implies$   $\neg$  p-equal p ( $\prod X$ ) 0  $\implies$ 
    p-depth p ( $\prod X$ ) = sum (p-depth p) X
  by (induct X rule: finite-induct, simp, use depth-mult-additive mult-0-right in force)

lemma p-depth-set-1: 1  $\in$  p-depth-set p 0
  using p-depth-setI by simp

lemma pos-p-depth-set-1: n > 0  $\implies$  1  $\notin$  p-depth-set p n
  using one-p-nequal-zero p-depth-setD by fastforce

lemma global-depth-set-1: 1  $\in$  global-depth-set 0
  using p-depth-set-1 global-depth-set by blast

lemma pos-global-depth-set-1: n > 0  $\implies$  1  $\notin$  global-depth-set n
  using pos-p-depth-set-1 global-depth-set by blast

lemma p-depth-set-neg1: -1  $\in$  p-depth-set p 0
  using depth-of-neg1 p-depth-setI by simp

lemma global-depth-set-neg1: -1  $\in$  global-depth-set 0
  using p-depth-set-neg1 global-depth-set by blast

lemma p-depth-set-pow:
  x ^ Suc k  $\in$  p-depth-set p n if n  $\geq$  0 and x  $\in$  p-depth-set p n
  by (induct k, simp-all add: that p-depth-set-times)

lemma global-depth-set-pow:
  x ^ Suc k  $\in$  global-depth-set n if n  $\geq$  0 and x  $\in$  global-depth-set n

```

using that $p\text{-depth-set-pow}$ $global\text{-depth-set}$ **by** *simp*

lemma $p\text{-depth-set-0-pow}$: $x \wedge k \in p\text{-depth-set } p \ 0$ **if** $x \in p\text{-depth-set } p \ 0$
by (*induct k, simp-all add: that $p\text{-depth-set-1}$ $p\text{-depth-set-times}$*)

lemma $global\text{-depth-set-0-pow}$: $x \wedge k \in global\text{-depth-set } 0$ **if** $x \in global\text{-depth-set } 0$
using that $p\text{-depth-set-0-pow}$ $global\text{-depth-set}$ **by** *simp*

lemma $p\text{-depth-set-of-nat}$: $of\text{-nat } n \in p\text{-depth-set } p \ 0$
using $p\text{-depth-set-0}$ $p\text{-depth-set-1}$ $p\text{-depth-set-add}$ **by** (*induct n*) *simp-all*

lemma $global\text{-depth-set-of-nat}$: $of\text{-nat } n \in global\text{-depth-set } 0$
using $p\text{-depth-set-of-nat}$ $global\text{-depth-set}$ **by** *blast*

lemma $p\text{-depth-set-of-int}$: $of\text{-int } n \in p\text{-depth-set } p \ 0$
using $p\text{-depth-set-of-nat}$ $p\text{-depth-set-neg1}$ $p\text{-depth-set-minus}$ **by** (*induct n*) *simp-all*

lemma $global\text{-depth-set-of-int}$: $of\text{-int } n \in global\text{-depth-set } 0$
using $p\text{-depth-set-of-int}$ $global\text{-depth-set}$ **by** *blast*

lemma $p\text{-depth-set-polyderiv}$:
 $set (coeffs f) \subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p \ n \implies$
 $set (coeffs (polyderiv f)) \subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p \ n$
proof (*induct f*)
case ($pCons a f$)
from $pCons(1)$ **have**
 $set (coeffs (polyderiv (pCons a f))) =$
 $set (plus-coeffs (coeffs f) (coeffs (pCons 0 (polyderiv f))))$
using $polyderiv-pCons coeffs-plus-eq-plus-coeffs$ **by** *metis*
moreover from $pCons(1,3)$ **have** $set (coeffs f) \subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p \ n$ **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show ?case
using $pCons(2)$ $p\text{-depth-set-poly-pCons}$ $p\text{-depth-set-0}$ $p\text{-depth-set-plus-coeffs}$ **by**
presburger
qed *simp*

lemma $global\text{-depth-set-polyderiv}$:
 $set (coeffs (polyderiv f)) \subseteq global\text{-depth-set } n$
if $set (coeffs f) \subseteq global\text{-depth-set } n$
using that $p\text{-depth-set-polyderiv}$ $global\text{-depth-set}$ **by** *fastforce*

lemma $poly\text{-p-depth-set-polyderiv}$:
 $poly (polyderiv f) \ x \in p\text{-depth-set } p \ n$
if $n \geq 0$ **and** $set (coeffs f) \subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p \ n$ **and** $x \in p\text{-depth-set } p \ n$
using that $poly\text{-p-depth-set-poly}$ $p\text{-depth-set-polyderiv}$ **by** *blast*

lemma $poly\text{-global-depth-set-polyderiv}$:
 $poly (polyderiv f) \ x \in global\text{-depth-set } n$
if $n \geq 0$
and $set (coeffs f) \subseteq global\text{-depth-set } n$

and $x \in \text{global-depth-set } n$
using that $\text{poly-p-depth-set-polyderiv global-depth-set by fastforce}$

lemma $p\text{-set-depth-additive-poly-poly}:$
 $\text{set}(\text{coeffs } f) \subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p m \implies$
 $\text{set}(\text{coeffs}(\text{coeff}(\text{additive-poly-poly } f) n)) \subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p m$
if $m \geq 0$
proof (*induct f arbitrary: n rule: pCons-induct'*)
case ($p\text{Cons} a$) **thus** ?case **using** additive-poly-poly-pCons0 **by** (cases n, fast-force, fastforce)
next
case ($p\text{Cons } a f$) **show** ?case
proof (cases n)
case 0 with $p\text{Cons}(1,3)$ **show** ?thesis **by** (simp add: additive-poly-poly-coeff0 cCons-def)
next
case ($Suc k$)
moreover have
 $\text{set}(\text{coeffs}(\text{pCons } 0 1 * \text{coeff}(\text{additive-poly-poly } f) n + \text{coeff}(\text{additive-poly-poly } f) k))$
 $\subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p m$
by (
intro p-depth-set-poly-add p-depth-set-poly-times-ideal that pCons(2),
simp add: p-depth-set-0 p-depth-set-1, use pCons(1,3) in auto
)
ultimately show
 $\text{set}(\text{coeffs}(\text{coeff}(\text{additive-poly-poly } (\text{pCons } a f)) n)) \subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p m$
using $p\text{Cons}(1)$ additive-poly-poly-pCons coeff-add coeff-smult coeff-pCons-Suc
by fastforce
qed
qed simp

lemma $\text{global-depth-set-additive-poly-poly}:$
 $\text{set}(\text{coeffs}(\text{coeff}(\text{additive-poly-poly } f) n)) \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } m$
if $m \geq 0$ **and** $\text{set}(\text{coeffs } f) \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } m$
using that $p\text{-set-depth-additive-poly-poly global-depth-set by fastforce}$

lemma $\text{poly-p-depth-set-additive-poly-poly}:$
 $\text{poly}(\text{coeff}(\text{additive-poly-poly } f) n) x \in p\text{-depth-set } p m$
if $m \geq 0$ **and** $\text{set}(\text{coeffs } f) \subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p m$ **and** $x \in p\text{-depth-set } p m$
using that $\text{poly-p-depth-set-poly p-set-depth-additive-poly-poly by auto}$

lemma $\text{poly-global-depth-set-additive-poly-poly}:$
 $\text{poly}(\text{coeff}(\text{additive-poly-poly } f) n) x \in \text{global-depth-set } m$
if $m \geq 0$
and $\text{set}(\text{coeffs } f) \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } m$
and $x \in \text{global-depth-set } m$
using that $\text{poly-p-depth-set-additive-poly-poly global-depth-set by fastforce}$

```

lemma sum-poly-additive-poly-poly-depth-bound:
  fixes p :: 'a and f :: 'b poly and x y :: 'b and a b n :: nat
  defines g ≡ λi. poly (coeff (additive-poly-poly f) (Suc i)) x * y ^ (Suc i)
  defines S ≡ sum g {a..b}
  assumes coeffs: set (coeffs f) ⊆ p-depth-set p 0
    and arg : x ∈ p-depth-set p 0
    and sum : ¬ p-equal p S 0
  obtains j where j ∈ {a..b} and p-depth p S ≥ int (Suc j) * p-depth p y
  proof –
    from S-def sum obtain j where j:
      j ∈ {a..b} ¬ p-equal p (g j) 0 p-depth p S ≥ p-depth p (g j)
      using obtains-depth-sum-nonarch-witness by blast
    from g-def j(2)
      have y-coeff-n0: ¬ p-equal p (poly (coeff (additive-poly-poly f) (Suc j)) x) 0
      using mult-0-left[of p - y ^ Suc j]
      by blast
    from g-def j(2,3) have
      p-depth p (g j) =
        p-depth p (poly (coeff (additive-poly-poly f) (Suc j)) x) +
        int (Suc j) * p-depth p y
      using depth-mult-additive[of p - y ^ Suc j] depth-pow-additive[of p y Suc j] by
      auto
    also from coeffs arg
      have ... ≥ int (Suc j) * p-depth p y
      using y-coeff-n0 poly-p-depth-set-additive-poly-poly[of 0 f p x Suc j]
        p-depth-setD[of p poly (coeff (additive-poly-poly f) (Suc j)) x 0]
      by auto
    finally have p-depth p (g j) ≥ int (Suc j) * p-depth p y by blast
    with j(3) have p-depth p S ≥ int (Suc j) * p-depth p y by simp
    with j(1) that show thesis by blast
  qed
end

```

3.5.2 Depth of inverses and division

```

locale p-equality-depth-div-inv =
  p-equality-div-inv + p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors-1
begin

lemma inverse-depth: p-depth p (inverse x) = - p-depth p x
  proof (cases p-equal p x 0)
    case False
    from False have p-depth p (x * inverse x) = 0
      using depth-equiv right-inverse-equiv depth-of-1 by metis
    moreover from False have ¬ p-equal p (x * inverse x) 0
      using right-inverse-equiv trans-right one-p-nequal-zero by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis using depth-mult-additive by simp
  qed (simp add: inverse-equiv0-iff depth-equiv-0)

```

```

lemma depth-pow-i-additive: p-depth p (power-int x n) = n * p-depth p x
proof (cases n ≥ 0)
  case True thus ?thesis using depth-pow-additive unfolding power-int-def by
  auto
next
  case False
  moreover from this have (– int (nat (–n))) = n by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using power-int-def inverse-pow inverse-depth depth-pow-additive minus-mult-left
  by metis
qed

lemma p-depth-set-inverse: inverse x ∈ p-depth-set p 0 if p-depth p x = 0
  using that inverse-depth p-depth-setI by force

lemma divide-depth: p-depth p (x / y) = p-depth p x – p-depth p y if ¬ p-equal
  p (x / y) 0
  using that by (simp add: divide-inverse depth-mult-additive inverse-depth)

lemma p-depth-set-divide:
  x / y ∈ p-depth-set p n if x ∈ p-depth-set p n and p-depth p y = 0
  by (
    auto intro : p-depth-setI
    simp add: that p-depth-set-equiv0 divide-equiv-0-iff p-depth-setD divide-depth
  )

lemma p-depth-poly-deriv-quotient:
  p-depth p ((poly f a) / (poly (polyderiv f) a)) ≥ n
  if n ≥ 0
  and set (coeffs f) ⊆ p-depth-set p n
  and a ∈ p-depth-set p n
  and ¬ p-equal p (poly f a) 0
  and ¬ p-equal p (poly (polyderiv f) a) 0
  and p-depth p (poly f a) ≥ 2 * p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a)
  using that divide-equiv-0-iff divide-depth poly-p-depth-set-polyderiv poly-p-depth-set-poly
        p-depth-setD[of p poly (polyderiv f) a n]
  by force

lemma p-depth-set-poly-deriv-quotient:
  (poly f a) / (poly (polyderiv f) a) ∈ p-depth-set p n
  if n ≥ 0
  and set (coeffs f) ⊆ p-depth-set p n
  and a ∈ p-depth-set p n
  and ¬ p-equal p (poly f a) 0
  and ¬ p-equal p (poly (polyderiv f) a) 0
  and p-depth p (poly f a) ≥ 2 * p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a)
  using that divide-equiv-0-iff p-depth-poly-deriv-quotient p-depth-setI
  by presburger

```

```
end
```

3.6 Global equality and restriction of places

3.6.1 Locales

```
locale global-p-equality = p-equality
+
fixes p-restrict :: 'b::comm-ring ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
assumes p-restrict-equiv : P p ⇒ p-equal p (p-restrict x P) x
and p-restrict-equiv0 : ¬ P p ⇒ p-equal p (p-restrict x P) 0
begin

lemma p-restrict-equiv-sym: P p ⇒ p-equal p x (p-restrict x P)
  using p-restrict-equiv sym by presburger

lemma p-restrict-equiv0-iff:
  p-equal p (p-restrict x P) 0 ←→ p-equal p x 0 ∨ ¬ P p
  by (metis p-restrict-equiv p-restrict-equiv0 trans trans-right)

lemma p-restrict-restrict-equiv-conj:
  p-equal p (p-restrict (p-restrict x P) Q) (p-restrict x (λp. P p ∧ Q p))
proof-
  consider (both) Q p P p | (Q) Q p ∉ P p | (nQ) ∉ Q p by blast
  thus ?thesis
  proof cases
    case both thus ?thesis using p-restrict-equiv trans-left by metis
  next
    case Q thus ?thesis using p-restrict-equiv p-restrict-equiv0 trans-left by
      metis
  next
    case nQ thus ?thesis using p-restrict-equiv0 trans-left by metis
  qed
qed

lemma p-restrict-restrict-equiv: p-equal p (p-restrict (p-restrict x P) P) (p-restrict
x P)
  using p-restrict-restrict-equiv-conj[of p x P P] by metis

lemma p-restrict-0-equiv0: p-equal p (p-restrict 0 P) 0
  by (metis p-restrict-equiv p-restrict-equiv0)

lemma p-restrict-add-equiv: p-equal p (p-restrict (x + y) P) (p-restrict x P +
p-restrict y P)
  by (
    cases P p, metis p-restrict-equiv sym add trans,
    metis p-restrict-equiv0 trans-left add-0-left
  )
```

lemma *p-restrict-add-mixed-equiv*:
p-equal p (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q) (x + y) if P p and Q p
using that p-restrict-equiv add by simp

lemma *p-restrict-add-mixed-equiv-drop-right*:
p-equal p (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q) x if P p and ¬ Q p
using that p-restrict-equiv[of P p x] p-restrict-equiv0[of Q p y] add by fastforce

lemma *p-restrict-add-mixed-equiv-drop-left*:
p-equal p (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q) y if ¬ P p and Q p
using that p-restrict-equiv[of Q p y] p-restrict-equiv0[of P p x] add by fastforce

lemma *p-restrict-add-mixed-equiv-drop-both*:
p-equal p (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q) 0 if ¬ P p and ¬ Q p
using that p-restrict-equiv0[of P p x] p-restrict-equiv0[of Q p y] add by fastforce

lemma *p-restrict-uminus-equiv*: *p-equal p (p-restrict (‐x) P) (‐ p-restrict x P)*
by (
cases P p, metis p-restrict-equiv sym uminus trans,
metis p-restrict-equiv0 uminus trans-left minus-zero
))

lemma *p-restrict-minus-equiv*: *p-equal p (p-restrict (x – y) P) (p-restrict x P – p-restrict y P)*
by (
cases P p, metis p-restrict-equiv sym minus trans,
metis p-restrict-equiv0 minus trans-left diff-zero
))

lemma *p-restrict-minus-mixed-equiv*:
p-equal p (p-restrict x P – p-restrict y Q) (x – y) if P p and Q p
using that p-restrict-equiv minus by simp

lemma *p-restrict-minus-mixed-equiv-drop-right*:
p-equal p (p-restrict x P – p-restrict y Q) x if P p and ¬ Q p
using that p-restrict-equiv[of P p x] p-restrict-equiv0[of Q p y] minus by fastforce

lemma *p-restrict-minus-mixed-equiv-drop-left*:
p-equal p (p-restrict x P – p-restrict y Q) (‐y) if ¬ P p and Q p
using that p-restrict-equiv[of Q p y] p-restrict-equiv0[of P p x] minus by fastforce

lemma *p-restrict-minus-mixed-equiv-drop-both*:
p-equal p (p-restrict x P – p-restrict y Q) 0 if ¬ P p and ¬ Q p
using that p-restrict-equiv0[of P p x] p-restrict-equiv0[of Q p y] minus by fastforce

lemma *p-restrict-times-equiv*:
*p-equal p ((p-restrict x P) * (p-restrict y Q))*
*(p-restrict (x * y) (λp. P p ∧ Q p))*

proof–

```

consider (both)  $P p Q p \mid (P) P p \neg Q p \mid (nP) \neg P p$  by blast
thus ?thesis
proof cases
  case both thus ?thesis using p-restrict-equiv sym mult trans by metis
next
  case  $P$  thus ?thesis
    using p-restrict-equiv p-restrict-equiv0 mult trans-left mult-zero-right by metis
next
  case  $nP$  thus ?thesis
    using p-restrict-equiv p-restrict-equiv0 mult trans-left mult-zero-left by metis
qed
qed

lemma p-restrict-times-equiv':
  p-equal  $p ((p\text{-restrict } x P) * (p\text{-restrict } y P)) (p\text{-restrict } (x * y) P)$ 
  using p-restrict-times-equiv[of  $p x P y P$ ] by simp

lemma p-restrict-p-equalI:
  p-equal  $p (p\text{-restrict } x P) y$ 
  if  $P p \rightarrow p\text{-equal } p x y$ 
  and  $\neg P p \rightarrow p\text{-equal } p y 0$ 
  by (
    cases  $P p$ , metis that(1) p-restrict-equiv trans, metis that(2) p-restrict-equiv0
    trans-left
  )

lemma p-restrict-p-equal-p-restrictI:
  p-equal  $p (p\text{-restrict } x P) (p\text{-restrict } y Q)$ 
  if  $(P p \wedge Q p) \rightarrow p\text{-equal } p x y$ 
  and  $(P p \wedge \neg Q p) \rightarrow p\text{-equal } p x 0$ 
  and  $(\neg P p \wedge Q p) \rightarrow p\text{-equal } p y 0$ 
proof (cases  $P p Q p$  rule: case-split[case-product case-split])
  case True-True thus ?thesis using that(1) p-restrict-equiv sym cong by metis
next
  case True-False thus ?thesis using that(2) p-restrict-equiv p-restrict-equiv0 sym
  cong by metis
next
  case False-True thus ?thesis using that(3) p-restrict-equiv p-restrict-equiv0 sym
  cong by metis
next
  case False-False thus ?thesis using p-restrict-equiv0 refl cong by metis
qed

lemma p-restrict-p-equal-p-restrict-by-sameI:
  p-equal  $p (p\text{-restrict } x P) (p\text{-restrict } y P)$  if  $P p \rightarrow p\text{-equal } p x y$ 
  using that p-restrict-p-equal-p-restrictI by auto

end

```

```

locale global-p-equality-div-inv =
  p-equality-div-inv p-equal + global-p-equality p-equal p-restrict
  for p-equal :: 
    'a ⇒ 'b:{comm-ring-1, inverse, divide-trivial} ⇒
    'b ⇒ bool
  and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
begin

lemma inverse-p-restrict-equiv: p-equal p (inverse (p-restrict x P)) (p-restrict (inverse
x) P)
  by (
    cases p-equal p x 0 ∨ ¬ P p,
    metis p-restrict-equiv0-iff inverse-equiv0-iff trans-left,
    intro inverse-p-unique, metis p-restrict-times-equiv' p-restrict-equiv right-inverse-equiv
trans
  )

end

locale global-p-equal = global-p-equality
+ assumes global-imp-eq: globally-p-equal x y ⇒ x = y
begin

lemma global-eq-iff: globally-p-equal x y ↔ x = y
  using global-imp-eq by fastforce

lemma p-restrict-true[simp]: p-restrict x (λx. True) = x
  using p-restrict-equiv[of λx. True] global-imp-eq by blast

lemma p-restrict-false[simp]: p-restrict x (λx. False) = 0
  using p-restrict-equiv0[of λx. False] global-imp-eq by blast

lemma p-restrict-restrict:
  p-restrict (p-restrict x P) Q = p-restrict x (λp. P p ∧ Q p)
  using p-restrict-restrict-equiv-conj global-imp-eq by blast

lemma p-restrict-restrict'[simp]: p-restrict (p-restrict x P) P = p-restrict x P
  using p-restrict-restrict by fastforce

lemma p-restrict-image-restrict: p-restrict x P = x if x ∈ (λz. p-restrict z P) ` B
proof-
  from that obtain z where x = p-restrict z P by blast
  thus ?thesis using p-restrict-restrict' by auto
qed

lemma p-restrict-zero: p-restrict 0 P = 0
  using p-restrict-0-equiv0 global-imp-eq by blast

```

```

lemma p-restrict-add: p-restrict (x + y) P = p-restrict x P + p-restrict y P
  using p-restrict-add-equiv global-imp-eq by blast

lemma p-restrict-uminus: p-restrict (-x) P = - p-restrict x P
  using p-restrict-uminus-equiv global-imp-eq by blast

lemma p-restrict-minus: p-restrict (x - y) P = p-restrict x P - p-restrict y P
  using p-restrict-minus-equiv global-imp-eq by blast

lemma p-restrict-times:
  (p-restrict x P) * (p-restrict y Q) = p-restrict (x * y) (λp. P p ∧ Q p)
  using p-restrict-times-equiv global-imp-eq by blast

lemma times-p-restrict: x * (p-restrict y P) = p-restrict (x * y) P
  using p-restrict-times[of x λp. True] by auto

lemmas p-restrict-pre-finite-addele-simps =
  p-restrict-zero p-restrict-add p-restrict-uminus p-restrict-minus p-restrict-times

lemma p-equal-0-iff-p-restrict-eq-0: p-equal p x 0 ↔ p-restrict x ((=) p) = 0
proof (standard, rule global-imp-eq, standard)
  fix q show p-equal p x 0 ⇒ p-equal q (p-restrict x ((=) p)) 0
    using p-restrict-equiv[of (=) p] trans p-restrict-equiv0[of (=) p]
    by (cases p = q, blast, blast)
qed (metis (full-types) p-restrict-equiv-sym)

lemma p-equal-iff-p-restrict-eq:
  p-equal p x y ↔ p-restrict x ((=) p) = p-restrict y ((=) p)
  using conv-0 p-equal-0-iff-p-restrict-eq-0 p-restrict-minus by auto

lemma p-restrict-eqI:
  y = p-restrict x P
  if P: ∏p. P p ⇒ p-equal p y x
  and not-P: ∏p. ¬ P p ⇒ p-equal p y 0
proof (intro global-imp-eq, standard)
  fix p :: 'a show p-equal p y (p-restrict x P)
    by (cases P p, metis P p-restrict-equiv trans-left, metis not-P p-restrict-equiv0
    trans-left)
qed

lemma p-restrict-eq-p-restrict-mixedI:
  p-restrict x P = p-restrict y Q
  if both: ∏p::'a. P p ⇒ Q p ⇒ p-equal p x y
  and P : ∏p::'a. P p ⇒ ¬ Q p ⇒ p-equal p x 0
  and Q : ∏p::'a. ¬ P p ⇒ Q p ⇒ p-equal p y 0
  by (
    intro p-restrict-eqI, metis both Q p-restrict-equiv trans p-restrict-equiv0 trans-left,
    metis P p-restrict-equiv trans p-restrict-equiv0

```

)

```
lemma p-restrict-eq-p-restrictI:
  p-restrict x P = p-restrict y P if  $\bigwedge p::'a. P p \implies p\text{-equal } p x y$ 
  by (intro p-restrict-eqI, metis that trans p-restrict-equiv, simp add: p-restrict-equiv0)

lemma p-restrict-eq-p-restrict-iff:
  p-restrict x P = p-restrict y P  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\forall p::'a. P p \implies p\text{-equal } p x y)$ 
  by (standard, safe, metis p-restrict-equiv trans-right, simp add: p-restrict-eq-p-restrictI)

lemma p-restrict-eq-zero-iff:
  p-restrict x P = 0  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall p. P p \implies p\text{-equal } p x 0)$ 
proof-
  have (p-restrict x P = 0) = ( $\forall p. p\text{-equal } p (p\text{-restrict } x P) 0$ )
  using global-imp-eq by auto
  thus ?thesis by (metis p-restrict-equiv p-restrict-equiv0 trans trans-right)
qed

lemma p-restrict-decomp:
  x = p-restrict x P + p-restrict x ( $\lambda p. \neg P p$ )
proof (intro global-imp-eq, standard)
  fix p show p-equal p x (p-restrict x P + p-restrict x ( $\lambda p. \neg P p$ ))
  using p-restrict-add-mixed-equiv-drop-left p-restrict-add-mixed-equiv-drop-right
  sym by metis
qed

lemma restrict-complement:
  range ( $\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x P$ ) + range ( $\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x (\lambda p. \neg P p)$ )
  = UNIV
  using p-restrict-decomp[of - P]
  set-plus-intro[of
    p-restrict - P range ( $\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x P$ )
    p-restrict - ( $\lambda p. \neg P p$ )
    range ( $\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x (\lambda p. \neg P p)$ )
  ]
by fastforce

end

locale global-p-equal-no-zero-divisors =
  global-p-equal p-equal p-restrict + p-equality-no-zero-divisors p-equal
  for p-equal :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::comm-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and p-restrict :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'b

locale global-p-equal-no-zero-divisors-1 =
  global-p-equal-no-zero-divisors p-equal p-restrict + p-equality-no-zero-divisors-1
```

```

p-equal
for p-equal :: 'a ⇒ 'b::comm-ring-1 ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
begin

lemma pow-eq-0-iff: x ^ n = 0 ↔ x = 0 ∧ n ≠ 0 for x :: 'b
using global-eq-iff pow-equiv-0-iff[of - x n] by force

end

locale global-p-equal-div-inv =
  global-p-equality-div-inv p-equal p-restrict
+ global-p-equal p-equal p-restrict
for p-equal :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{comm-ring-1, inverse, divide-trivial} ⇒
  'b ⇒ bool
and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
begin

lemma power-int-eq-0-iff:
  power-int x n = 0 ↔ x = 0 ∧ n ≠ 0 for x :: 'b
  using global-eq-iff power-int-equiv-0-iff[of - x n] by fastforce

lemma inverse-eq0-iff: inverse x = 0 ↔ x = 0 for x :: 'b
  using inverse-equiv0-iff global-eq-iff by fastforce

lemma inverse-neg-1 [simp]: inverse (-1::'b) = -1
  using global-imp-eq globally-inverse-neg-1 by force

lemma inverse-uminus: inverse (-x) = - inverse x for x :: 'b
  using global-imp-eq inverse-uminus-equiv by blast

lemma global-right-inverse: x * inverse x = 1 if ∀ p. ¬ p-equal p x 0
  using that global-imp-eq right-inverse-equiv by fast

lemma right-inverse: x * inverse x = p-restrict 1 (λp. ¬ p-equal p x 0)
proof (intro global-imp-eq, standard)
  fix p :: 'a show p-equal p (x * inverse x) (p-restrict 1 (λp. ¬ p-equal p x 0))
  by (
    cases p-equal p x 0, metis inverse-equiv0-iff mult-0-right p-restrict-equiv0 trans-left,
    metis right-inverse-equiv p-restrict-equiv trans-left
  )
qed

lemma global-left-inverse: inverse x * x = 1 if ∀ p. ¬ p-equal p x 0
  using that global-imp-eq left-inverse-equiv by fast

lemma left-inverse: inverse x * x = p-restrict 1 (λp. ¬ p-equal p x 0)

```

```

by (metis right-inverse mult.commute)

lemma inverse-unique: inverse x = y if x * y = 1 for x y :: 'b
  using that inverse-p-unique global-imp-eq[of inverse x y] by fastforce

lemma inverse-p-restrict: inverse (p-restrict x P) = p-restrict (inverse x) P
  using global-imp-eq inverse-p-restrict-equiv by blast

lemma power-diff-conv-inverse:
   $x^{\wedge}(n - m) = x^{\wedge}n * \text{inverse } x^{\wedge}m$  if  $m < n$ 
  for  $x :: 'b$ 
proof (intro global-imp-eq, standard)
  fix p show p-equal p ( $x^{\wedge}(n - m)$ ) ( $x^{\wedge}n * \text{inverse } x^{\wedge}m$ )
  proof (cases p-equal p x 0)
    case True
    from that True have p-equal p ( $x^{\wedge}n * \text{inverse } x^{\wedge}m$ ) 0
      using pow-equiv-0-iff inverse-equiv0-iff mult-0-left mult-0-right by force
    moreover from that True have p-equal p ( $x^{\wedge}(n - m)$ ) 0 using pow-equiv-0-iff
    by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis using trans-left by fast
  next
    case False with that show ?thesis using power-diff-conv-inverse-equiv by simp
    qed
  qed

lemma power-int-add-1: power-int x (m + 1) = power-int x m * x if  $m \neq -1$  for
x :: 'b
  using that power-int-add-1-equiv by (auto intro: global-imp-eq)

lemma power-int-add:
  power-int x (m + n) = power-int x m * power-int x n
  if  $(\forall p. \neg p\text{-equal } p x 0) \vee m + n \neq 0$  for  $x :: 'b$ 
  using that power-int-add-equiv by (auto intro: global-imp-eq)

lemma power-int-diff:
  power-int x (m - n) = power-int x m / power-int x n if  $m \neq n$  for  $x :: 'b$ 
  using that power-int-diff-equiv by (auto intro: global-imp-eq)

lemma power-int-minus-mult: power-int x (n - 1) * x = power-int x n if  $n \neq 0$ 
for  $x :: 'b$ 
  using that power-int-minus-mult-equiv by (auto intro: global-imp-eq)

lemma power-int-not-eq-zero:
   $x \neq 0 \vee n = 0 \implies \text{power-int } x n \neq 0$  for  $x :: 'b$ 
  by (subst power-int-eq-0-iff) auto

lemma minus-divide-right:  $a / (-b) = -(a / b)$  for  $a b :: 'b$ 
  using global-imp-eq minus-divide-right-equiv by blast

```

```

lemma minus-divide-divide:  $(- a) / (- b) = a / b$  for  $a b :: 'b$ 
  using global-imp-eq minus-divide-divide-equiv by blast

lemma divide-minus1 [simp]:  $x / (-1) = - x$  for  $x :: 'b$ 
  using global-imp-eq divide-minus1-equiv by blast

lemma divide-self:  $x / x = p\text{-restrict } 1 (\lambda p. \neg p\text{-equal } p x 0)$ 
  by (metis divide-inverse right-inverse)

lemma global-divide-self:  $x / x = 1$  if  $\forall p. \neg p\text{-equal } p x 0$ 
  by (metis that global-right-inverse divide-inverse)

lemma global-mult-divide-mult-cancel-right:
   $(a * b) / (c * b) = a / c$  if  $\forall p. \neg p\text{-equal } p b 0$ 
  using that global-imp-eq mult-divide-mult-cancel-right[of - b a c] by fastforce

lemma global-mult-divide-mult-cancel-left:
   $(c * a) / (c * b) = a / b$  if  $\forall p. \neg p\text{-equal } p c 0$ 
  by (metis that global-mult-divide-mult-cancel-right mult.commute)

lemma divide-p-restrict-left:  $(p\text{-restrict } x P) / y = p\text{-restrict } (x / y) P$ 
  using p-restrict-eqI[of P (p-restrict x P) / y] p-restrict-equiv[of P - x]
    p-restrict-equiv0[of P - x] divide-left-equiv
  by fastforce

lemma divide-p-restrict-right:  $x / (p\text{-restrict } y P) = p\text{-restrict } (x / y) P$ 
  using p-restrict-eqI[of P x / (p-restrict y P)] p-restrict-equiv[of P - y]
    p-restrict-equiv0[of P - y] divide-right-equiv
  by fastforce

lemma inj-divide-right:
   $\text{inj } (\lambda b. b / a) \longleftrightarrow (\forall p. \neg p\text{-equal } p a 0)$ 
  unfolding inj-def
  proof (standard, safe)
    fix p
    assume inj:  $\forall x y :: 'b. x / a = y / a \longrightarrow x = y$ 
    and a : p-equal p a 0
    from a have (p-restrict 1 ((=) p)) / a = (p-restrict 0 ((=) p)) / a
      by (simp add: p-restrict-eq-p-restrictI divide-equiv-0-iff divide-p-restrict-left)
    with inj show False using p-restrict-eq-p-restrict-iff one-p-nequal-zero by blast
  next
    fix x y :: 'b
    assume a:  $\forall p. \neg p\text{-equal } p a 0$  and xy:  $x / a = y / a$ 
    thus x = y
      using times-divide-eq-right[of a x a] times-divide-eq-right[of a y a]
        mult-divide-cancel-left[of - a x] mult-divide-cancel-left[of - a y]
        cong global-imp-eq[of x y]
      by fastforce
  qed

```

end

locale *global-p-equality-depth* = *global-p-equality* + *p-equality-depth*
begin

lemma *globally-p-equal-p-depth*: *globally-p-equal* $x y \implies p\text{-depth } p x = p\text{-depth } p y$
using *depth-equiv* *globally-p-equalD* **by** *simp*

lemma *p-restrict-depth*:

$P p \implies p\text{-depth } p (p\text{-restrict } x P) = p\text{-depth } p x$
 $\neg P p \implies p\text{-depth } p (p\text{-restrict } x P) = 0$
by (*metis p-restrict-equiv depth-equiv*, *metis p-restrict-equiv0 depth-equiv-0*)

lemma *p-restrict-add-mixed-depth-drop-right*:

$p\text{-depth } p (p\text{-restrict } x P + p\text{-restrict } y Q) = p\text{-depth } p x \text{ if } P p \text{ and } \neg Q p$
using that *p-restrict-add-mixed-equiv-drop-right* *depth-equiv* **by** *auto*

lemma *p-restrict-add-mixed-depth-drop-left*:

$p\text{-depth } p (p\text{-restrict } x P + p\text{-restrict } y Q) = p\text{-depth } p y \text{ if } \neg P p \text{ and } Q p$
using that *p-restrict-add-mixed-equiv-drop-left* *depth-equiv* **by** *auto*

lemma *p-depth-set-p-restrict*:

$p\text{-restrict } x P \in p\text{-depth-set } p n \text{ if } P p \longrightarrow x \in p\text{-depth-set } p n$

proof (*rule p-depth-set-by-equivI*)
show *p-equal* $p (p\text{-restrict } x P)$ (*if* $P p$ *then* x *else* 0)
using *p-restrict-equiv* *p-restrict-equiv0* **by** *simp*
from that **show** (*if* $P p$ *then* x *else* 0) $\in p\text{-depth-set } p n$
using *p-depth-set-0* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *global-depth-set-p-restrict*:

$p\text{-restrict } x P \in global\text{-depth-set } n \text{ if } x \in global\text{-depth-set } n$
using that *p-depth-set-p-restrict* *global-depth-set* **by** *auto*

lemma *p-depth-set-closed-under-p-restrict-image*:

$(\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x P) ` p\text{-depth-set } p n \subseteq p\text{-depth-set } p n$
using *p-depth-set-p-restrict* **by** *blast*

lemma *global-depth-set-closed-under-p-restrict-image*:

$(\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x P) ` global\text{-depth-set } n \subseteq global\text{-depth-set } n$
using *global-depth-set-p-restrict* **by** *blast*

lemma *global-depth-set-p-restrictI*:

$p\text{-restrict } x P \in global\text{-depth-set } n$
if $\bigwedge p. P p \implies x \in p\text{-depth-set } p n$
by (

```

intro global-depth-setI,
metis that p-restrict-equiv p-restrict-equiv0 trans p-depth-setD p-restrict-depth(1)
)

lemma p-depth-set-image-under-one-p-restrict-image:
  ( $\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p))`p\text{-depth-set } p n \subseteq \text{global-depth-set } n$ 
  using global-depth-set-p-restrictI by force

end

locale global-p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors-1 =
  p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors-1 p-equal p-depth
+ global-p-equality-depth p-equal p-restrict p-depth
  for p-equal :: 
    'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::comm-ring-1  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and p-restrict :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'b
  and p-depth :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  int

locale global-p-equality-depth-div-inv =
  p-equality-depth-div-inv + global-p-equality-depth
begin

sublocale global-p-equality-div-inv ..

lemma global-depth-set-inverse:
  p-restrict (inverse x) ( $\lambda p. p\text{-depth } p x = 0) \in \text{global-depth-set } 0$ 
  using global-depth-set-p-restrictI p-depth-set-inverse by simp

lemma global-depth-set-divide:
  p-restrict (x / y) ( $\lambda p. p\text{-depth } p y = 0) \in \text{global-depth-set } n$ 
  if  $x \in \text{global-depth-set } n$ 
  using that global-depth-set-p-restrictI global-depth-set p-depth-set-divide by auto

lemma global-depth-set-divideI:
  p-restrict (x / y) ( $\lambda p. p\text{-depth } p y = 0) \in \text{global-depth-set } n$ 
  if  $\bigwedge p. p\text{-depth } p y = 0 \implies x \in p\text{-depth-set } p n$ 
  using that global-depth-set-p-restrictI global-depth-set p-depth-set-divide by auto

end

locale global-p-equal-depth = global-p-equal + global-p-equality-depth
begin

lemma p-depth-set-vs-global-depth-set-image-under-one-p-restrict-image:
  ( $\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p))`p\text{-depth-set } p n =$ 
  ( $\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p))`\text{global-depth-set } n$ 

```

```

proof (standard, standard, clarify)
fix x assume x ∈ p-depth-set p n
hence p-restrict x ((=) p) ∈ global-depth-set n
  using p-depth-set-image-under-one-p-restrict-image by fast
thus p-restrict x ((=) p) ∈ (λx. p-restrict x ((=) p)) ‘global-depth-set n
  using p-restrict-restrict'[of x (=) p, symmetric] by blast
qed (use global-depth-set in fast)

end

locale global-p-equal-depth-no-zero-divisors =
  global-p-equal-no-zero-divisors + p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors
begin
sublocale global-p-equal-depth ..
end

locale global-p-equal-depth-div-inv =
  global-p-equality-depth-div-inv + global-p-equal-depth-no-zero-divisors
begin
sublocale global-p-equal-div-inv ..

lemma p-depth-set-eq-coset:
  p-depth-set p n = (w powi n) *o (p-depth-set p 0)
  if p-depth p w = 1 and ∀ p. ¬ p-equal p w 0
  unfolding elt-set-times-def
proof safe
fix x assume x ∈ p-depth-set p n
moreover define y where y ≡ w powi (-n) * x
ultimately have y ∈ p-depth-set p 0
  using that(1) mult-0-right p-depth-setD depth-mult-additive depth-powи-additive
  by (intro p-depth-setI, clarify, fastforce)
moreover from y-def have x = w powi n * y
  using that(2) power-int-equiv-0-iff global-right-inverse power-int-minus
  by (force simp flip: mult.assoc)
ultimately show ∃ y ∈ p-depth-set p 0. x = w powi n * y by metis
next
fix x assume x ∈ p-depth-set p 0
thus w powi n * x ∈ p-depth-set p n
  using that(1) mult-0-right p-depth-setD depth-mult-additive depth-powи-additive
  by (intro p-depth-setI, clarify, fastforce)
qed

lemma global-depth-set-eq-coset:
  global-depth-set n = (w powi n) *o (global-depth-set 0) if ∀ p. p-depth p w = 1
  unfolding elt-set-times-def
proof safe
fix x assume x: x ∈ global-depth-set n

```

```

define y where y ≡ w powi (-n) * x
from that have ∀ p. ¬ p-equal p w 0 using depth-equiv-0 by fastforce
with y-def have x = w powi n * y
  using power-int-equiv-0-iff global-right-inverse power-int-minus mult.assoc mult-1-left
by metis
moreover from x y-def have y ∈ global-depth-set 0
  using that mult-0-right global-depth-setD depth-mult-additive depth-pow-additive
    by (intro global-depth-setI, fastforce)
ultimately show ∃ y ∈ global-depth-set 0. x = w powi n * y by metis
next
fix x assume x ∈ global-depth-set 0
thus w powi n * x ∈ global-depth-set n
  using that mult-0-right global-depth-setD depth-mult-additive depth-pow-additive
    by (intro global-depth-setI, fastforce)
qed

lemma p-depth-set-eq-coset':
  p-depth-set p 0 = (w powi (-n)) *o (p-depth-set p n)
  if p-depth p w = 1 and ∀ p. ¬ p-equal p w 0
proof-
  from that(2) have w powi (-n) * w powi n = 1
  using power-int-minus power-int-equiv-0-iff global-left-inverse by simp
  with that show ?thesis
  using p-depth-set-eq-coset set-times-rearrange2 power-int-minus set-one-times
  by metis
qed

lemma global-depth-set-eq-coset':
  global-depth-set 0 = (w powi (-n)) *o (global-depth-set n) if ∀ p. p-depth p w =
  1
proof-
  from that have ∀ p. ¬ p-equal p w 0 using depth-equiv-0 by fastforce
  hence w powi (-n) * w powi n = 1
  using power-int-minus power-int-equiv-0-iff global-left-inverse by presburger
  with that show ?thesis
  using global-depth-set-eq-coset set-times-rearrange2 power-int-minus set-one-times
  by metis
qed

end

```

3.6.2 Embedding of base type constants

```

locale global-p-equality-w-consts = global-p-equality p-equal p-restrict
for p-equal :: 'a ⇒ 'b::comm-ring-1 ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
+
fixes func-of-consts :: ('a ⇒ 'c::ring-1) ⇒ 'b

```

```

assumes consts-1 [simp]: func-of-consts 1 = 1
and consts-diff [simp]: func-of-consts (f - g) = func-of-consts f - func-of-consts
g
and consts-mult [simp]:
  func-of-consts (f * g) = func-of-consts f * func-of-consts g
begin

abbreviation consts ≡ func-of-consts
abbreviation const a ≡ consts (λp. a)

lemma consts-0 [simp]: consts 0 = 0
  using consts-diff[of 0 0] by force

lemma const-0 [simp]: const 0 = 0
  using consts-0 unfolding zero-fun-def by simp

lemma const-1 [simp]: const 1 = 1
  using consts-1 unfolding one-fun-def by simp

lemma consts-uminus [simp]: consts (- f) = - consts f
  using consts-diff[of 0 f] by fastforce

lemma const-uminus [simp]: const (-a) = - const a
  using consts-uminus unfolding fun-Compl-def by auto

lemma const-diff [simp]: const (a - b) = const a - const b
  using consts-diff unfolding fun-diff-def by auto

lemma consts-add [simp]: consts (f + g) = consts f + consts g
proof-
  have consts f + consts g = consts (f - (-g)) by (simp flip: diff-minus-eq-add)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma const-add [simp]: const (a + b) = const a + const b
  using consts-add unfolding plus-fun-def by auto

lemma const-mult [simp]: const (a * b) = const a * const b
  using consts-mult unfolding times-fun-def by auto

lemma const-of-nat: const (of-nat n) = of-nat n
  by (induct n) simp-all

lemma const-of-int: const (of-int z) = of-int z
  by (cases z rule: int-cases2, simp-all add: const-of-nat)

end

```

```

locale global-p-equal-w-consts =
  global-p-equal p-equal p-restrict
+ global-p-equality-w-consts p-equal p-restrict func-of-consts
  for p-equal :: 
    'a ⇒ 'b::comm-ring-1 ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
  and func-of-consts :: ('a ⇒ 'c::ring-1) ⇒ 'b

locale global-p-equality-w-inj-consts = global-p-equality-w-consts
+
  assumes p-equal-func-of-consts-0: p-equal p (consts f) 0 ←→ f p = 0
begin

  lemmas p-equal-func-of-const-0 = p-equal-func-of-consts-0[of - λp. -]

  lemma p-equal-func-of-consts:
    p-equal p (consts f) (consts g) ←→ f p = g p
    by (metis conv-0 consts-diff p-equal-func-of-consts-0 fun-diff-def right-minus-eq)

  lemma globally-p-equal-func-of-consts:
    globally-p-equal (func-of-consts f) (func-of-consts g) ←→
      ( ∀ p. f p = g p )
    using p-equal-func-of-consts unfolding globally-p-equal-def by auto

  lemma const-p-equal: p-equal p (const a) (const b) ⇒ a = b
    using p-equal-func-of-consts by force

end

locale global-p-equality-w-inj-consts-char-0 =
  global-p-equality-w-inj-consts p-equal p-restrict func-of-consts
  for p-equal :: 
    'a ⇒ 'b::comm-ring-1 ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
  and func-of-consts :: ('a ⇒ 'c::ring-char-0) ⇒ 'b
begin

  lemma const-of-nat-p-equal-0-iff: p-equal p (of-nat n) 0 ←→ n = 0
    by (metis const-of-nat p-equal-func-of-const-0 of-nat-eq-0-iff)

  lemma const-of-int-p-equal-0-iff: p-equal p (of-int z) 0 ←→ z = 0
    by (metis const-of-int of-int-eq-0-iff p-equal-func-of-const-0)

end

locale global-p-equality-div-inv-w-inj-consts =

```

```

p-equality-div-inv + global-p-equality-w-inj-consts
begin

lemma consts-divide-self-equiv: p-equal p ((consts f) / (consts f)) 1 if f p ≠ 0
  using that
  by (simp add: p-equal-func-of-consts divide-self-equiv flip: consts-0)

lemma const-divide-self-equiv: p-equal p ((const c) / (const c)) 1 if c ≠ 0
  using that by (simp add: consts-divide-self-equiv)

end

locale global-p-equal-w-inj-consts =
  global-p-equal p-equal p-restrict
  + global-p-equality-w-inj-consts p-equal p-restrict
  for p-equal :: 
    'a ⇒ 'b:comm-ring-1 ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
begin

lemma consts-eq-iff: consts f = consts g ↔ f = g
  using global-eq-iff globally-p-equal-func-of-consts by blast

lemma consts-eq-0-iff: consts f = 0 ↔ f = 0
  using consts-eq-iff by force

lemma inj-consts: inj consts
  using consts-eq-iff injI by meson

lemma const-eq-iff: const a = const b ↔ a = b
  by (metis consts-eq-iff)

lemma const-eq-0-iff: const a = 0 ↔ a = 0
  using consts-eq-0-iff unfolding zero-fun-def by metis

lemma inj-const: inj const
  using const-eq-iff injI by meson

end

locale global-p-equal-div-inv-w-inj-consts =
  global-p-equal-div-inv + global-p-equality-w-inj-consts
begin

sublocale global-p-equality-div-inv-w-inj-consts ..

lemma consts-divide-self:

```

```

 $(consts f) / (consts f) = p\text{-restrict } 1 (\lambda p:\text{'a}. f p \neq 0)$ 
by (simp add: p-equal-func-of-consts-0 divide-self)

lemma const-right-inverse [simp]:  $const a * inverse (const a) = 1$  if  $a \neq 0$ 
using that global-right-inverse p-equal-func-of-const-0 by blast

lemma const-left-inverse [simp]:  $inverse (const a) * const a = 1$  if  $a \neq 0$ 
by (metis that const-right-inverse mult.commute)

lemma const-divide-self:  $(const c) / (const c) = 1$  if  $c \neq 0$ 
using that by (simp add: divide-inverse)

lemma mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-right:
 $(y * const a) / (z * const a) = y / z$  if  $a \neq 0$ 
using that global-mult-divide-mult-cancel-right p-equal-func-of-const-0 by blast

lemma mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-left:
 $(const a * y) / (const a * z) = y / z$  if  $a \neq 0$ 
by (metis that mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-right mult.commute)

lemma mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-left-right:
 $(const a * y) / (z * const a) = y / z$  if  $a \neq 0$ 
by (metis that mult.commute mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-left)

lemma mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-right-left:
 $(y * const a) / (const a * z) = y / z$  if  $a \neq 0$ 
by (metis that mult.commute mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-left)

end

locale global-p-equal-div-inv-w-inj-consts-char-0 =
global-p-equality-w-inj-consts-char-0 p-equal p-restrict func-of-consts
+ global-p-equal-div-inv-w-inj-consts p-equal p-restrict func-of-consts
for p-equal :: 
'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b:{comm-ring-1, ring-char-0, inverse, divide-trivial}  $\Rightarrow$ 
'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and p-restrict :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'b
and func-of-consts :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'c:ring-char-0)  $\Rightarrow$  'b
begin

context
fixes n :: nat
assumes n  $\neq 0$ 
begin

lemma left-inverse-const-of-nat[simp]:  $inverse (of\text{-nat } n :: 'b) * of\text{-nat } n = 1$ 
by (metis ‹n  $\neq 0$ 
```

```

lemma right-inverse-const-of-nat[simp]: of-nat n * inverse (of-nat n :: 'b) = 1
  by (metis left-inverse-const-of-nat mult.commute)

lemma divide-self-const-of-nat[simp]: (of-nat n :: 'b) / of-nat n = 1
  by (metis ‹n ≠ 0› of-nat-eq-0-iff const-of-nat const-divide-self)

lemma mult-const-of-nat-divide-const-mult-of-nat-cancel-right:
  (a * of-nat n) / (b * of-nat n) = a / b for a b :: 'b
  using ‹n ≠ 0› const-of-nat of-nat-eq-0-iff
    mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-right
  by metis

lemma mult-const-of-nat-divide-const-mult-of-nat-cancel-left:
  (of-nat n * a) / (of-nat n * b) = a / b for a b :: 'b
  by (metis mult-const-of-nat-divide-const-mult-of-nat-cancel-right mult.commute)

end

context
  fixes z :: int
  assumes z ≠ 0
begin

lemma left-inverse-const-of-int[simp]: inverse (of-int z :: 'b) * of-int z = 1
  by (metis ‹z ≠ 0› const-of-int const-left-inverse of-int-eq-0-iff)

lemma right-inverse-const-of-int[simp]: of-int z * inverse (of-int z :: 'b) = 1
  by (metis left-inverse-const-of-int mult.commute)

lemma divide-self-const-of-int[simp]: (of-int z :: 'b) / of-int z = 1
  by (metis ‹z ≠ 0› of-int-eq-0-iff const-of-int const-divide-self)

lemma mult-const-of-int-divide-const-mult-of-int-cancel-right:
  (a * of-int z) / (b * of-int z) = a / b for a b :: 'b
  by (
    metis ‹z ≠ 0› const-of-int of-int-eq-0-iff
      mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-right
  )

lemma mult-const-of-int-divide-const-mult-of-int-cancel-left:
  (of-int z * a) / (of-int z * b) = a / b for a b :: 'b
  by (metis mult-const-of-int-divide-const-mult-of-int-cancel-right mult.commute)

end

context
  includes rat.lifting
begin

```

```

lift-definition const-of-rat :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  'b
  is  $\lambda x.$  of-int (fst x) / of-int (snd x)
  unfolding ratrel-def
proof (clarify, unfold fst-conv snd-conv)
  fix a b c d :: int
  assume ratrel:  $b \neq 0$   $d \neq 0$   $a * d = c * b$ 
  define oi :: int  $\Rightarrow$  'b where  $oi \equiv$  of-int
  moreover from this ratrel(1,3) have  $(oi a / oi b) * (oi d / oi d) = oi c / oi d$ 
    by (metis of-int-mult times-divide-eq-right divide-self-const-of-int mult-1-right
      mult.commute)
  ultimately show  $oi a / oi b = oi c / oi d$  using ratrel(2) by fastforce
qed

lemma inj-const-of-rat: inj const-of-rat
proof
  fix x y show const-of-rat x = const-of-rat y  $\Longrightarrow$  x = y
  proof (transfer, clarify, unfold ratrel-iff fst-conv snd-conv, clarify)
    fix a b c d :: int
    define oi :: int  $\Rightarrow$  'b where  $oi \equiv$  of-int
    assume ratrel:  $b \neq 0$   $d \neq 0$   $oi a / oi b = oi c / oi d$ 
    from oi-def ratrel(1,3) have  $oi a * oi d = (oi d / oi d) * oi c * oi b$ 
      by (metis swap-numerator divide-self-const-of-int mult-1-right mult.assoc
        mult.commute)
    with oi-def ratrel(2) show  $a * d = c * b$  using of-int-eq-iff by fastforce
    qed
qed

lemma const-of-rat-rat: const-of-rat (Rat.Fract a b) = (of-int a :: 'b) / of-int b
  by transfer simp

lemma const-of-rat-0 [simp]: const-of-rat 0 = 0
  by transfer simp

lemma const-of-rat-1 [simp]: const-of-rat 1 = 1
  by transfer simp

lemma const-of-rat-minus: const-of-rat (- a) = - const-of-rat a
  by (transfer, simp add: minus-divide-left)

lemma const-of-rat-add: const-of-rat (a + b) = const-of-rat a + const-of-rat b
  proof (transfer, clarify, unfold fst-conv snd-conv of-int-add of-int-mult)
    fix a b c d :: int
    assume b:  $b \neq 0$  and d:  $d \neq 0$ 
    define w x y z :: 'b
      where w  $\equiv$  of-int a and x  $\equiv$  of-int b
      and y  $\equiv$  of-int c and z  $\equiv$  of-int d
    from d z-def have  $(w * z + y * x) / (x * z) = w / x + (y * x) / (x * z)$ 
      by (
        metis add-divide-distrib mult-const-of-int-divide-const-mult-of-int-cancel-right

```

```

)
with b x-def show (w * z + y * x) / (x * z) = w / x + y / z
  by (metis mult.commute mult-const-of-int-divide-const-mult-of-int-cancel-right)
qed

lemma const-of-rat-mult: const-of-rat (a * b) = const-of-rat a * const-of-rat b
  by (transfer, simp, metis times-divide-times-eq)

lemma const-of-rat-p-equal-0-iff: p-equal p (const-of-rat a) 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = 0
  by (transfer fixing: p-equal p, simp, metis divide-equiv-0-iff const-of-int-p-equal-0-iff)

end

lemma const-of-rat-eq-0-iff [simp]: const-of-rat a = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = 0
  using const-of-rat-p-equal-0-iff global-eq-iff by fastforce

lemma const-of-rat-neg-one [simp]: const-of-rat (- 1) = -1
  by (simp add: const-of-rat-minus)

lemma const-of-rat-diff: const-of-rat (a - b) = const-of-rat a - const-of-rat b
  using const-of-rat-add[of a - b] by (simp add: const-of-rat-minus)

lemma const-of-rat-sum: const-of-rat ( $\sum a \in A. f a$ ) = ( $\sum a \in A. \text{const-of-rat} (f a)$ )
  by (induct rule: infinite-finite-induct) (auto simp: const-of-rat-add)

lemma const-of-rat-prod: const-of-rat ( $\prod a \in A. f a$ ) = ( $\prod a \in A. \text{const-of-rat} (f a)$ )
  by (induct rule: infinite-finite-induct) (auto simp: const-of-rat-mult)

lemma const-of-rat-inverse: const-of-rat (inverse a) = inverse (const-of-rat a)
  by (cases a = 0, simp, rule inverse-unique[symmetric], simp flip: const-of-rat-mult)

lemma left-inverse-const-of-rat[simp]:
  inverse (const-of-rat a) * const-of-rat a = 1 if a ≠ 0
  by (metis that const-of-rat-inverse const-of-rat-mult Fields.left-inverse const-of-rat-1)

lemma right-inverse-const-of-rat[simp]:
  const-of-rat a * inverse (const-of-rat a) = 1 if a ≠ 0
  by (metis that left-inverse-const-of-rat mult.commute)

lemma const-of-rat-divide: const-of-rat (a / b) = const-of-rat a / const-of-rat b
  by (metis Fields.divide-inverse const-of-rat-mult const-of-rat-inverse divide-inverse)

lemma divide-self-const-of-rat[simp]: (const-of-rat a) / (const-of-rat a) = 1 if a ≠ 0
  by (metis that divide-inverse right-inverse-const-of-rat)

lemma const-of-rat-power: const-of-rat (a ^ n) = (const-of-rat a) ^ n
  by (induct n) (simp-all add: const-of-rat-mult)

```

```
lemma const-of-rat-eq-iff [simp]: const-of-rat a = const-of-rat b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = b
by (metis inj-const-of-rat inj-def)
```

```
lemma zero-eq-const-of-rat-iff [simp]: 0 = const-of-rat a  $\longleftrightarrow$  0 = a
by simp
```

```
lemma const-of-rat-eq-1-iff [simp]: const-of-rat a = 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = 1
using const-of-rat-eq-iff [of - 1] by simp
```

```
lemma one-eq-const-of-rat-iff [simp]: 1 = const-of-rat a  $\longleftrightarrow$  1 = a
by simp
```

Collapse nested embeddings.

```
lemma const-of-rat-of-nat-eq [simp]: const-of-rat (of-nat n) = of-nat n
by (induct n) (simp-all add: const-of-rat-add)
```

```
lemma const-of-rat-of-int-eq [simp]: const-of-rat (of-int z) = of-int z
by (cases z rule: int-diff-cases) (simp add: const-of-rat-diff)
```

Product of all p-adic embeddings of the field of rationals.

```
definition range-const-of-rat :: 'b set ( $\mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$ )
where  $\mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} = \text{range const-of-rat}$ 
```

```
lemma range-const-of-rat-cases [cases set: range-const-of-rat]:
assumes  $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$ 
obtains (const-of-rat) r where  $q = \text{const-of-rat } r$ 
proof -
  from assms have  $q \in \text{range const-of-rat}$  by (simp only: range-const-of-rat-def)
  then obtain r where  $q = \text{const-of-rat } r \dots$ 
  then show thesis ..
qed
```

```
lemma range-const-of-rat-cases':
assumes  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$ 
obtains a b where  $b > 0$  coprime a b  $x = \text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b$ 
proof -
  from assms obtain r where  $x = \text{const-of-rat } r$ 
  by (auto simp: range-const-of-rat-def)
  obtain a b where quot: quotient-of r = (a,b) by force
  have  $b > 0$  using quotient-of-denom-pos[OF quot].
  moreover have coprime a b using quotient-of-coprime[OF quot].
  moreover have  $x = \text{of-int } a / \text{of-int } b$  unfolding { $x = \text{const-of-rat } r$ }
    quotient-of-div[OF quot] by (simp add: const-of-rat-divide)
  ultimately show ?thesis using that by blast
qed
```

```
lemma range-const-of-rat-of-rat [simp]: const-of-rat r  $\in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$ 
by (simp add: range-const-of-rat-def)
```

lemma *range-const-of-rat-of-int* [simp]: *of-int* $z \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (*subst const-of-rat-of-int-eq [symmetric]*) (*rule range-const-of-rat-of-rat*)

lemma *Ints-subset-range-const-of-rat*: $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
using *Ints-cases range-const-of-rat-of-int* **by** *blast*

lemma *range-const-of-rat-of-nat* [simp]: *of-nat* $n \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (*subst const-of-rat-of-nat-eq [symmetric]*) (*rule range-const-of-rat-of-rat*)

lemma *Nats-subset-range-const-of-rat*: $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
using *Ints-subset-range-const-of-rat Nats-subset-Ints* **by** *blast*

lemma *range-const-of-rat-0* [simp]: $0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
unfolding *range-const-of-rat-def* **by** (*rule range-eqI, rule const-of-rat-0 [symmetric]*)

lemma *range-const-of-rat-1* [simp]: $1 \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
unfolding *range-const-of-rat-def* **by** (*rule range-eqI, rule const-of-rat-1 [symmetric]*)

lemma *range-const-of-rat-add* [simp]:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $a + b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (*metis range-const-of-rat-cases range-const-of-rat-of-rat const-of-rat-add*)

lemma *range-const-of-rat-minus-iff* [simp]:
 $- a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow$
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (
metis range-const-of-rat-cases range-const-of-rat-of-rat add.inverse-inverse
const-of-rat-minus
))

lemma *range-const-of-rat-diff* [simp]:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $a - b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (*metis range-const-of-rat-add range-const-of-rat-minus-iff diff-conv-add-uminus*)

lemma *range-const-of-rat-mult* [simp]:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $a * b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (*metis range-const-of-rat-cases range-const-of-rat-of-rat const-of-rat-mult*)

lemma *range-const-of-rat-inverse* [simp]:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
inverse $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (*metis range-const-of-rat-cases range-const-of-rat-of-rat const-of-rat-inverse*)

lemma range-const-of-rat-divide [simp]:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $a / b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (simp add: divide-inverse)

lemma range-const-of-rat-power [simp]:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $a^{\wedge n} \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (metis range-const-of-rat-cases range-const-of-rat-of-rat const-of-rat-power)

lemma range-const-of-rat-sum [intro]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}) \implies$
 $\text{sum } f A \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (induction A rule: infinite-finite-induct) auto

lemma range-const-of-rat-prod [intro]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}) \implies$
 $\text{prod } f A \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (induction A rule: infinite-finite-induct) auto

lemma range-const-of-rat-induct [case-names const-of-rat, induct set: range-const-of-rat]:
 $q \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $(\bigwedge r. P(\text{const-of-rat } r)) \implies P q$
by (rule range-const-of-rat-cases) auto

lemma p-adic-Rats-p-equal-0-iff:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$
 $p\text{-equal } p a 0 \longleftrightarrow a = 0$
by (induct a rule: range-const-of-rat-induct, simp, rule const-of-rat-p-equal-0-iff)

lemma range-const-of-rat-infinite: $\neg \text{finite } \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (auto dest!: finite-imageD simp: inj-on-def infinite-UNIV-char-0 range-const-of-rat-def)

lemma left-inverse-range-const-of-rat:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies a \neq 0 \implies$
 $\text{inverse } a * a = 1$
by (
 induct a rule: range-const-of-rat-induct, rule left-inverse-const-of-rat,
 simp add: const-of-rat-p-equal-0-iff
)

lemma right-inverse-range-const-of-rat:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies a \neq 0 \implies$
 $a * \text{inverse } a = 1$
by (metis left-inverse-range-const-of-rat mult.commute)

lemma divide-self-range-const-of-rat:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies a \neq 0 \implies$

$a / a = 1$
by (metis divide-inverse right-inverse-range-const-of-rat)

lemma range-const-of-rat-add-iff:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \vee \\ b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies \\ a + b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow \\ a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \wedge b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

by (metis range-const-of-rat-add range-const-of-rat-diff add-diff-cancel add-diff-cancel-left')

lemma range-const-of-rat-diff-iff:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \vee \\ b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \implies \\ a - b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow \\ a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \wedge b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

by (metis range-const-of-rat-add-iff diff-add-cancel)

lemma range-const-of-rat-mult-iff:

$$\begin{aligned} a * b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow \\ a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \wedge b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \\ \text{if} \\ a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\} \vee \\ b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

proof-

have *:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge a \ b :: 'b. \\ a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\} \implies \\ a * b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow \\ b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

proof

fix $a \ b :: 'b$

assume $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\}$

and $a * b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$

thus $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$

using range-const-of-rat-mult[*of inverse a*] left-inverse-range-const-of-rat[*of a*]
by (fastforce simp flip: mult.assoc)

qed simp

show ?thesis

proof (cases $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\}$)

case True **thus** ?thesis **using** *[*of a b*] **by** fast

next

case False

with that **have** $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\}$ **by** blast

moreover from this **have**

$$\begin{aligned} a * b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow \\ a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \\ \text{by} (\text{metis } * \text{ mult.commute}) \end{aligned}$$

ultimately show ?thesis **by** blast

qed

qed

lemma range-const-of-rat-inverse-iff [simp]:
 $a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
by (metis range-const-of-rat-inverse inverse-inverse)

lemma range-const-of-rat-divide-iff:

$a / b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} \wedge b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p}$
if

$a \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\} \vee b \in \mathbb{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\}$

using that range-const-of-rat-inverse inverse-inverse[of b] range-const-of-rat-inverse-iff
divide-inverse[of a b] range-const-of-rat-mult-iff[of a inverse b]
by force

end

lemma Fract-eq0-iff: Fraction-Field.Fract a $b = 0 \longleftrightarrow a = 0 \vee b = 0$
by transfer simp

locale global-p-equal-div-inv-w-inj-idom-consts =
global-p-equal-div-inv-w-inj-consts p-equal p-restrict func-of-consts
+ global-p-equality-w-inj-consts p-equal p-restrict func-of-consts
for p-equal ::
 $'a \Rightarrow 'b : \{comm-ring-1, inverse, divide-trivial\} \Rightarrow 'b$
 $'b \Rightarrow bool$
and p-restrict :: $'b \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'b$
and func-of-consts :: $('a \Rightarrow 'c:idom) \Rightarrow 'b$
begin

lift-definition const-of-fract :: 'c fract $\Rightarrow 'b$
is $\lambda x: 'c \times 'c. const(fst x) / const(snd x)$
proof (clarify, unfold fractrel-iff fst-conv snd-conv, clarify)
fix a b c $d :: 'c$
assume fractrel: $b \neq 0$ $d \neq 0$ $a * d = c * b$
from fractrel(1,3) **have** $(const a / const b) * (const d / const d) = const c / const d$
by (metis const-mult times-divide-eq-right const-divide-self mult-1-right swap-numerator)
with fractrel(2) **show** $const a / const b = const c / const d$
using const-divide-self **by** fastforce

qed

lemma inj-const-of-fract: inj const-of-fract
proof

fix x y **show** const-of-fract $x = const-of-fract y \implies x = y$
proof (transfer, clarify, unfold fractrel-iff fst-conv snd-conv, clarify)
fix a b c $d :: 'c$

```

assume fractrel:  $b \neq 0 \wedge d \neq 0 \wedge \text{const } a / \text{const } b = \text{const } c / \text{const } d$ 
  from fractrel(1,3) have  $\text{const } d * \text{const } a = \text{const } d * (\text{const } c / \text{const } d * \text{const } b)$ 
    by (metis swap-numerator const-divide-self mult-1-right)
    with fractrel(2) have  $d * a = c * b$ 
      by (metis mult.assoc swap-numerator const-divide-self mult-1-left const-mult const-eq-iff)
      thus  $a * d = c * b$  by algebra
    qed
  qed

lemma const-of-fract-0 [simp]:  $\text{const-of-fract } 0 = 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma const-of-fract-1 [simp]:  $\text{const-of-fract } 1 = 1$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma const-of-fract-Fract:  $\text{const-of-fract}(\text{Fraction-Field.Fract } a b) = \text{const } a / \text{const } b$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma const-of-fract-p-eq-0-iff [simp]:  $p\text{-equal } p (\text{const-of-fract } x) 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$ 
  by (cases x)
    (simp add: const-of-fract-Fract divide-equiv-0-iff p-equal-func-of-const-0 Fract-eq0-iff)

lemma const-of-fract-equal-0-iff [simp]:  $\text{const-of-fract } x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$ 
  by (simp flip: global-eq-iff)

lemma const-of-fract-minus:  $\text{const-of-fract } (-a) = -\text{const-of-fract } a$ 
  by (transfer, simp add: minus-divide-left fun-Compl-def)

lemma const-of-fract-add:  $\text{const-of-fract } (a + b) = \text{const-of-fract } a + \text{const-of-fract } b$ 
  by (
    transfer, clarify,
    simp add: add-divide-distrib mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-right
    mult-const-divide-mult-const-cancel-right-left
  )
  )

lemma const-of-fract-mult:  $\text{const-of-fract } (a * b) = \text{const-of-fract } a * \text{const-of-fract } b$ 
  by (transfer, simp add: times-divide-times-eq)

lemma const-of-fract-neg-one [simp]:  $\text{const-of-fract } (-1) = -1$ 
  by (simp add: const-of-fract-minus)

lemma const-of-fract-diff:  $\text{const-of-fract } (a - b) = \text{const-of-fract } a - \text{const-of-fract } b$ 
  using const-of-fract-add[of a - b] by (simp add: const-of-fract-minus)

```

lemma *const-of-fract-sum*:

$$\text{const-of-fract}(\sum a \in A. f a) = (\sum a \in A. \text{const-of-fract}(f a))$$
by (*induct rule: infinite-finite-induct*) (*auto simp: const-of-fract-add*)

lemma *const-of-fract-prod*:

$$\text{const-of-fract}(\prod a \in A. f a) = (\prod a \in A. \text{const-of-fract}(f a))$$
by (*induct rule: infinite-finite-induct*) (*auto simp: const-of-fract-mult*)

lemma *const-of-fract-inverse*: $\text{const-of-fract}(\text{inverse } a) = \text{inverse}(\text{const-of-fract } a)$
by (*cases a = 0, simp, rule inverse-unique[symmetric], simp flip: const-of-fract-mult*)

lemma *left-inverse-const-of-fract*[simp]:

$$\text{inverse}(\text{const-of-fract } a) * \text{const-of-fract } a = 1 \text{ if } a \neq 0$$
by (*metis that const-of-fract-inverse const-of-fract-mult Fields.left-inverse const-of-fract-1*)

lemma *right-inverse-const-of-fract*[simp]:

$$(\text{const-of-fract } a) * \text{inverse}(\text{const-of-fract } a) = 1 \text{ if } a \neq 0$$
by (*metis that left-inverse-const-of-fract mult.commute*)

lemma *const-of-fract-divide*: $\text{const-of-fract}(a / b) = \text{const-of-fract } a / \text{const-of-fract } b$
by (*metis divide-inverse const-of-fract-mult const-of-fract-inverse Fields.divide-inverse*)

lemma *p-adic-prod-divide-self-of-fract*[simp]:

$$(\text{const-of-fract } a) / (\text{const-of-fract } a) = 1 \text{ if } a \neq 0$$
by (*metis that divide-inverse right-inverse-const-of-fract*)

lemma *const-of-fract-power*: $\text{const-of-fract}(a \wedge n) = (\text{const-of-fract } a) \wedge n$
by (*induct n*) (*simp-all add: const-of-fract-mult*)

lemma *const-of-fract-eq-iff* [simp]:

$$\text{const-of-fract } a = \text{const-of-fract } b \longleftrightarrow a = b$$
by (*metis inj-const-of-fract inj-def*)

lemma *zero-eq-const-of-fract-iff* [simp]: $0 = \text{const-of-fract } a \longleftrightarrow 0 = a$
by *simp*

lemma *const-of-fract-eq-1-iff* [simp]: $\text{const-of-fract } a = 1 \longleftrightarrow a = 1$
using *const-of-fract-eq-iff* [*of - 1*] **by** *simp*

lemma *one-eq-const-of-fract-iff* [simp]: $1 = \text{const-of-fract } a \longleftrightarrow 1 = a$
by *simp*

Collapse nested embeddings.

lemma *const-of-fract-numer-eq-const* [simp]: $\text{const-of-fract}(\text{Fraction-Field.Fract } a 1) = \text{const } a$
by (*metis const-of-fract-Fract const-1 div-by-1*)

lemma *const-of-fract-of-nat-eq* [*simp*]: *const-of-fract* (*of-nat n*) = *of-nat n*
by (*induct n*) (*simp-all add: const-of-fract-add*)

lemma *const-of-fract-of-int-eq* [*simp*]: *const-of-fract* (*of-int z*) = *of-int z*
by (*cases z rule: int-diff-cases*) (*simp add: const-of-fract-diff*)

Product of all p-adic embeddings of the field of fractions of the base ring.

definition *range-const-of-fract* :: '*b set* ($\mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$)
where $\mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} = \text{range const-of-fract}$

lemma *range-const-of-fract-cases* [*cases set: range-const-of-fract*]:
assumes $q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$
obtains (*const-of-fract*) *r where* $q = \text{const-of-fract } r$
proof –
from assms have $q \in \text{range const-of-fract}$ **by** (*simp only: range-const-of-fract-def*)
then obtain *r where* $q = \text{const-of-fract } r ..$
then show *thesis* ..
qed

lemma *range-const-of-fract-cases'*:
assumes $x \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$
obtains *a b where* $b \neq 0$ $x = \text{const } a / \text{const } b$
proof –
from assms obtain *r where* $x = \text{const-of-fract } r$ **by** (*auto simp: range-const-of-fract-def*)
moreover obtain *a b where* *Fract: b ≠ 0 r = Fraction-Field.Fract a b*
using Fract-cases by meson
ultimately have $x = \text{const } a / \text{const } b$ **using** *const-of-fract-Fract* **by blast**
with that Fract(1) show *thesis* **by fast**
qed

lemma *range-const-of-fract-of-fract* [*simp*]:
const-of-fract r ∈ Q_{forall p}
by (*simp add: range-const-of-fract-def*)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-const* [*simp*]: *const a ∈ Q_{forall p}*
unfolding *range-const-of-fract-def*
by (*standard, rule const-of-fract-numer-eq-const[symmetric], simp*)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-of-int* [*simp*]: *of-int z ∈ Q_{forall p}*
by (*subst const-of-fract-of-int-eq [symmetric]*) (*rule range-const-of-fract-of-fract*)

lemma *Ints-subset-range-const-of-fract*: $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$
using *Ints-cases range-const-of-fract-of-int* **by** *blast*

lemma *range-const-of-fract-of-nat* [*simp*]: *of-nat n ∈ Q_{forall p}*
by (*subst const-of-fract-of-nat-eq [symmetric]*) (*rule range-const-of-fract-of-fract*)

lemma *Nats-subset-range-const-of-fract*: $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$

using *Ints-subset-range-const-of-fract Nats-subset-Ints* **by** *blast*

lemma *range-const-of-fract-0* [*simp*]: $0 \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$
unfolding *range-const-of-fract-def* **by** (*rule range-eqI, rule const-of-fract-0 [symmetric]*)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-1* [*simp*]: $1 \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$
unfolding *range-const-of-fract-def* **by** (*rule range-eqI, rule const-of-fract-1 [symmetric]*)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-add* [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} &\implies \\ b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} &\implies \\ a + b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

by (*metis range-const-of-fract-cases range-const-of-fract const-of-fract-add*)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-minus-iff* [*simp*]:

$$- a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow$$

$$a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$$

by (

metis range-const-of-fract-cases range-const-of-fract-of-fract add.inverse-inverse const-of-fract-minus

)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-diff* [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} &\implies \\ b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} &\implies \\ a - b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

by (*metis range-const-of-fract-add range-const-of-fract-minus-iff diff-conv-add-uminus*)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-mult* [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} &\implies \\ b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} &\implies \\ a * b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

by (*metis range-const-of-fract-cases range-const-of-fract const-of-fract-mult*)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-inverse* [*simp*]:

$$a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \implies$$

$$\text{inverse } a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$$

by (*metis range-const-of-fract-cases range-const-of-fract const-of-fract-inverse*)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-divide* [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} &\implies \\ b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} &\implies \\ a / b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

by (*simp add: divide-inverse*)

lemma *range-const-of-fract-power* [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} &\implies \\ a \hat{\wedge} n \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

by (*metis range-const-of-fract-cases range-const-of-fract const-of-fract-power*)

lemma range-const-of-fract-sum [intro]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \in Q_{\forall p}) \implies$
 $\text{sum } f A \in Q_{\forall p}$
by (induction A rule: infinite-finite-induct) auto

lemma range-const-of-fract [intro]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \in Q_{\forall p}) \implies$
 $\text{prod } f A \in Q_{\forall p}$
by (induction A rule: infinite-finite-induct) auto

lemma range-const-of-fract-induct [case-names const-of-fract, induct set: range-const-of-fract]:
 $q \in Q_{\forall p} \implies$
 $(\bigwedge r. P(\text{const-of-fract } r)) \implies P q$
by (rule range-const-of-fract-cases) auto

lemma p-adic-Fracts-p-equal-0-iff:
 $a \in Q_{\forall p} \implies$
 $\text{p-equal } p a 0 \longleftrightarrow a = 0$
by (induct a rule: range-const-of-fract-induct, simp)

lemma range-const-of-fract-infinite:
 $\text{infinite } Q_{\forall p}$ if infinite (UNIV :: 'c set)
using that finite-imageD[OF - inj-const] range-const-of-fract-const
finite-subset[of range const]
by blast

lemma left-inverse-range-const-of-fract:
 $a \in Q_{\forall p} \implies a \neq 0 \implies$
 $\text{inverse } a * a = 1$
by (induct a rule: range-const-of-fract-induct, rule left-inverse-const-of-fract, simp)

lemma right-inverse-range-const-of-fract:
 $a \in Q_{\forall p} \implies a \neq 0 \implies$
 $a * \text{inverse } a = 1$
by (metis left-inverse-range-const-of-fract mult.commute)

lemma divide-self-range-const-of-fract:
 $a \in Q_{\forall p} \implies a \neq 0 \implies$
 $a / a = 1$
by (metis divide-inverse right-inverse-range-const-of-fract)

lemma range-const-of-fract-add-iff:
 $a \in Q_{\forall p} \vee$
 $b \in Q_{\forall p} \implies$
 $a + b \in Q_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow$
 $a \in Q_{\forall p} \wedge b \in Q_{\forall p}$

using range-const-of-fract-add range-const-of-fract-diff add-diff-cancel add-diff-cancel-left'
by metis

lemma range-const-of-fract-diff-iff:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \vee \\ b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \implies \\ a - b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow \\ a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \wedge b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

by (metis range-const-of-fract-add-iff diff-add-cancel)

lemma range-const-of-fract-mult-iff:

$$\begin{aligned} a * b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow \\ a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \wedge b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \\ \text{if} \\ a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\} \vee \\ b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

proof-

have *:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge a b :: 'b. \\ a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\} \implies \\ a * b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow \\ b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \end{aligned}$$

proof

fix a b :: 'b

assume a ∈ Q_{forall p} - {0}

and a * b ∈ Q_{forall p}

thus b ∈ Q_{forall p}

using range-const-of-fract-mult[of inverse a] left-inverse-range-const-of-fract[of a]

by (fastforce simp flip: mult.assoc)

qed simp

show ?thesis

proof (cases a ∈ Q_{forall p} - {0})

case True thus ?thesis using *[of a b] by fast

next

case False

with that have b ∈ Q_{forall p} - {0} by blast

moreover from this have

a * b ∈ Q_{forall p} ↔

a ∈ Q_{forall p}

by (metis * mult.commute)

ultimately show ?thesis by blast

qed

qed

lemma range-const-of-fract-inverse-iff [simp]:

inverse a ∈ Q_{forall p} ↔

a ∈ Q_{forall p}

by (metis range-const-of-fract-inverse inverse-inverse)

```

lemma range-const-of-fract-divide-iff:
   $a / b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \longleftrightarrow a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} \wedge b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p}$ 
  if
     $a \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\} \vee b \in \mathcal{Q}_{\forall p} - \{0\}$ 
  using that range-const-of-fract-inverse inverse-inverse[of  $b$ ]
    range-const-of-fract-inverse-iff divide-inverse[of  $a$   $b$ ]
    range-const-of-fract-mult-iff[of  $a$  inverse  $b$ ]
  by force

end

locale global-p-equality-w-inj-index-consts = global-p-equality-w-inj-consts
+
  fixes index-inj :: ' $a \Rightarrow c$ '
  assumes index-inj : inj index-inj
  and index-inj-nzero: index-inj  $p \neq 0$ 
begin

  abbreviation global-uniformizer  $\equiv$  consts index-inj
  abbreviation index-const  $p \equiv$  const (index-inj  $p$ )

  lemma global-uniformizer-locally-uniformizes:  $p\text{-equal } p \text{ global-uniformizer } (\text{index-const } p)$ 
  using p-equal-func-of-consts by auto

  lemma index-const-globally-nequiv-0:  $\neg p\text{-equal } q \text{ (index-const } p)$  0
  using p-equal-func-of-consts-0 index-inj-nzero by fast

  lemma global-uniformizer-globally-nequiv-0:  $\neg p\text{-equal } p \text{ global-uniformizer } 0$ 
  using p-equal-func-of-consts-0 index-inj-nzero by simp

end

locale global-p-equality-no-zero-divisors-1-w-inj-index-consts =
  global-p-equality-w-inj-index-consts + p-equality-no-zero-divisors-1
begin

  lemma index-const-pow-globally-nequiv-0:  $\neg p\text{-equal } q \text{ (index-const } p \wedge n)$  0
  using index-const-globally-nequiv-0 pow-equiv-0-iff by fast

  lemma global-uniformizer-pow-globally-nequiv-0:  $\neg p\text{-equal } q \text{ (global-uniformizer } \wedge n)$  0
  using global-uniformizer-globally-nequiv-0 pow-equiv-0-iff by blast

end

```

```

locale global-p-equality-depth-w-inj-index-consts =
  p-equality-depth p-equal p-depth
+ global-p-equality-w-inj-index-consts p-equal p-restrict func-of-consts index-inj
  for p-equal :: 'a ⇒ 'b::comm-ring-1 ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
  and p-depth :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ int
  and func-of-consts :: ('a ⇒ 'c::{factorial-semiring,ring-1}) ⇒ 'b
  and index-inj :: 'a ⇒ 'c
+
assumes p-depth-func-of-consts:
  p-depth p (func-of-consts f) = int (multiplicity (index-inj p) (f p))
  and index-inj-nunit : ¬ is-unit (index-inj p)
  and index-inj-coprime:
    p ≠ q ⇒ multiplicity (index-inj p) (index-inj q) = 0
begin

lemma p-depth-set-consts: func-of-consts f ∈ p-depth-set p 0
  using p-depth-func-of-consts p-depth-setI by simp

lemma global-depth-set-consts: func-of-consts f ∈ global-depth-set 0
  using p-depth-set-consts global-depth-set by blast

lemma p-depth-1[simp]: p-depth p (1::'b) = 0
  using p-depth-func-of-consts[of p 1] by simp

lemma p-depth-index-const: p-depth p (index-const p) = 1
  using p-depth-func-of-consts index-inj-nzero index-inj-nunit multiplicity-self by
  fastforce

lemma p-depth-index-const': p-depth q (index-const p) = of-bool (q = p)
  by (cases q = p, simp-all add: p-depth-index-const p-depth-func-of-consts in-
  dex-inj-coprime)

lemma p-depth-global-uniformizer: p-depth p (global-uniformizer::'b) = 1
  using p-depth-func-of-consts index-inj-nzero index-inj-nunit multiplicity-self by
  fastforce

lemma p-depth-consts-func-ge0: p-depth p (consts f) ≥ 0
  using p-depth-func-of-consts by fastforce

end

locale global-p-equal-depth-no-zero-divisors-w-inj-index-consts =
  global-p-equal p-equal p-restrict
+ global-p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors-1 p-equal p-restrict p-depth
+ global-p-equality-depth-w-inj-index-consts p-equal p-restrict p-depth func-of-consts
  index-inj
  for p-equal :: 'a ⇒ 'b::comm-ring-1 ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool

```

```

and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
and p-depth :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ int
and func-of-consts :: ('a ⇒ 'c::{factorial-semiring,ring-1}) ⇒ 'b
and index-inj :: 'a ⇒ 'c
begin

sublocale global-p-equal-w-inj-consts ..
sublocale global-p-equal-depth-no-zero-divisors ..

lemma p-depth-index-const-pow: p-depth p ((index-const p)  $\wedge$  n) = int n
  using p-depth-index-const depth-pow-additive by auto

lemma p-depth-index-const-pow': p-depth q ((index-const p)  $\wedge$  n) = int n * of-bool
  (q = p)
  using p-depth-index-const' depth-pow-additive by fastforce

lemma p-depth-global-uniformizer-pow: p-depth p (global-uniformizer  $\wedge$  n) = int n
  using p-depth-global-uniformizer depth-pow-additive by auto

end

locale global-p-equal-depth-div-inv-w-inj-index-consts =
  global-p-equal-depth-div-inv p-equal p-depth p-restrict
  + global-p-equal-depth-no-zero-divisors-w-inj-index-consts
    p-equal p-restrict p-depth func-of-consts index-inj
  for p-equal :: 
    'a ⇒ 'b::{comm-ring-1, inverse, divide-trivial} ⇒
    'b ⇒ bool
  and p-depth :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ int
  and p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b
  and func-of-consts :: ('a ⇒ 'c::{factorial-semiring,ring-1}) ⇒ 'b
  and index-inj :: 'a ⇒ 'c
begin

lemma index-const-powi-globally-nequiv-0:  $\neg$  p-equal q (index-const p powi n) 0
  using index-const-globally-nequiv-0 power-int-equiv-0-iff by simp

lemma global-uniformizer-powi-globally-nequiv-0:  $\neg$  p-equal q (global-uniformizer
  powi n) 0
  using global-uniformizer-globally-nequiv-0 power-int-equiv-0-iff by blast

lemma index-const-powi-add:
  (index-const p) powi (m + n) = (index-const p) powi m * (index-const p) powi n
  using power-int-add index-const-globally-nequiv-0 by blast

lemma global-uniformizer-powi-add:
  global-uniformizer powi (m + n) = global-uniformizer powi m * global-uniformizer
  powi n
  using power-int-add global-uniformizer-globally-nequiv-0 by blast

```

```

lemma p-depth-index-const-pow: p-depth p (index-const p powi n) = n
  by (cases n ≥ 0)
    (simp-all add: power-int-def p-depth-index-const-pow inverse-depth flip: inverse-pow)

lemma p-depth-index-const-pow': p-depth q (index-const p powi n) = n * of-bool
(q = p)
  by (
  cases q = p, simp add: p-depth-index-const-pow, cases n ≥ 0,
  simp-all add: power-int-def p-depth-index-const-pow' inverse-depth flip: inverse-pow
  )

lemma p-depth-global-uniformizer-pow: p-depth p (global-uniformizer powi n) = n
  by (
  cases n ≥ 0,
  simp-all add: power-int-def p-depth-global-uniformizer-pow inverse-depth flip: inverse-pow
  )

lemma local-uniformizer-locally-uniformizes:
  p-depth p ((index-const p) powi (-n) * x) = 0 if p-depth p x = n
  using that depth-equiv-0 mult-0-right index-const-pow-globally-nequiv-0 no-zero-divisors
    depth-mult-additive p-depth-index-const-pow
  by (cases p-equal p x 0) auto

lemma global-uniformizer-locally-uniformizes:
  p-depth p (global-uniformizer powi (-n) * x) = 0 if p-depth p x = n
  using that depth-equiv-0 mult-0-right global-uniformizer-pow-globally-nequiv-0 no-zero-divisors
    depth-mult-additive p-depth-global-uniformizer-pow
  by (cases p-equal p x 0) auto

lemma p-depth-set-eq-index-const-coset:
  p-depth-set p n = ((index-const p) powi n) *o (p-depth-set p 0)
  using p-depth-set-eq-coset p-depth-index-const index-const-globally-nequiv-0 by blast

lemma p-depth-set-eq-index-const-coset':
  p-depth-set p 0 = ((index-const p) powi (-n)) *o (p-depth-set p n)
  using p-depth-set-eq-coset' p-depth-index-const index-const-globally-nequiv-0 by blast

lemma p-depth-set-eq-global-uniformizer-coset:
  p-depth-set p n = (global-uniformizer powi n) *o (p-depth-set p 0)
  using p-depth-set-eq-coset p-depth-global-uniformizer global-uniformizer-globally-nequiv-0 by blast

```

```

lemma p-depth-set-eq-global-uniformizer-coset':
  p-depth-set p 0 = (global-uniformizer powi (-n)) *o (p-depth-set p n)
  using p-depth-set-eq-coset' p-depth-global-uniformizer global-uniformizer-globally-nequiv-0
  by blast

lemma global-depth-set-eq-global-uniformizer-coset:
  global-depth-set n = (global-uniformizer powi n) *o (global-depth-set 0)
  using global-depth-set-eq-coset p-depth-global-uniformizer by simp

lemma global-depth-set-eq-global-uniformizer-coset':
  global-depth-set 0 = (global-uniformizer powi (-n)) *o (global-depth-set n)
  using global-depth-set-eq-coset' p-depth-global-uniformizer by simp

definition shift-p-depth :: 'a ⇒ int ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b
  where shift-p-depth p n x = x * (consts (λq. if q = p then index-inj p else 1))
  powi n

lemma shift-p-depth-0[simp]: shift-p-depth p n 0 = 0
  by (simp add: shift-p-depth-def)

lemma shift-p-depth-by-0[simp]: shift-p-depth p 0 x = x
  unfolding shift-p-depth-def by fastforce

lemma shift-p-depth-add[simp]:
  shift-p-depth p n (x + y) = shift-p-depth p n x + shift-p-depth p n y
  using shift-p-depth-def distrib-right[of x y] by presburger

lemma shift-p-depth-uminus[simp]:
  shift-p-depth p n (- x) = - shift-p-depth p n x
  using shift-p-depth-def mult-minus-left[of x] by presburger

lemma shift-p-depth-minus[simp]:
  shift-p-depth p n (x - y) = shift-p-depth p n x - shift-p-depth p n y
  using shift-p-depth-add[of p n x - y] by auto

lemma shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place: p-equal p (shift-p-depth p n x) (x * (index-const
p powi n))
  using shift-p-depth-def p-equal-func-of-consts power-int-equiv-base mult-left by
simp

lemma shift-p-depth-equiv-at-other-places:
  p-equal q (shift-p-depth p n x) x if q ≠ p
  using that p-equal-func-of-consts[of q - 1] power-int-equiv-base power-int-1-left
  shift-p-depth-def mult-left
  by fastforce

lemma shift-p-depth-equiv0-iff:
  p-equal q (shift-p-depth p n x) 0 ←→ p-equal q x 0

```

```

using shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place trans0-iff mult-equiv-0-iff power-int-equiv-0-iff
    index-const-globally-nequiv-0 shift-p-depth-equiv-at-other-places
by (metis (full-types))

lemma shift-p-depth-equiv-iff:
  p-equal q (shift-p-depth p n x) (shift-p-depth p n y) = p-equal q x y
using conv-0 shift-p-depth-minus shift-p-depth-equiv0-iff by metis

lemma shift-p-depth-trivial-iff:
  shift-p-depth p n x = x  $\longleftrightarrow$  n = 0  $\vee$  p-equal p x 0
proof
  assume shift-p-depth p n x = x
  hence p-equal p (x * (1 - index-const p powi n)) 0
  using shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place conv-0 mult-1-right right-diff-distrib by metis
  thus n = 0  $\vee$  p-equal p x 0
  using mult-equiv-0-iff conv-0 sym depth-equiv p-depth-1 p-depth-index-const-pow
  by metis
next
  assume n = 0  $\vee$  p-equal p x 0
  moreover have n = 0  $\implies$  shift-p-depth p n x = x by simp
  moreover have p-equal p x 0  $\implies$  p-equal p (shift-p-depth p n x) x
  using shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place mult-0-left trans trans-left by blast
  ultimately have p-equal p (shift-p-depth p n x) x by fastforce
  thus shift-p-depth p n x = x using global-imp-eq shift-p-depth-equiv-at-other-places
  by force
qed

lemma shift-p-depth-times-right: shift-p-depth p n (x * y) = x * shift-p-depth p n y
proof (intro global-imp-eq, standard)
  fix q show p-equal q (shift-p-depth p n (x * y)) (x * shift-p-depth p n y)
  proof (cases q = p)
    case True thus p-equal q (shift-p-depth p n (x * y)) (x * shift-p-depth p n y)
    using shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place[of p n x * y] mult.assoc[of x y]
      shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place mult-left sym trans
    by presburger
  qed (use shift-p-depth-equiv-at-other-places mult-left sym trans in fast)
qed

lemma shift-p-depth-times-left: shift-p-depth p n (x * y) = shift-p-depth p n x * y
using shift-p-depth-times-right mult.commute by metis

lemma shift-shift-p-depth: shift-p-depth p n (shift-p-depth p m x) = shift-p-depth
  p (m + n) x
proof (intro global-imp-eq, standard)
  fix q show p-equal q (shift-p-depth p n (shift-p-depth p m x)) (shift-p-depth p (m
  + n) x)
  proof (cases q = p)
    case True

```

```

thus ?thesis
  using shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place[of p n shift-p-depth p m x]
    shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place[of p m x]
    mult-right trans mult.assoc[of x, symmetric]
    index-const-globally-nequiv-0
    power-int-add[of index-const p m n]
    shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place[of p m + n x]
    trans-left[of
      p shift-p-depth p n (shift-p-depth p m x) x * index-const p powi (m + n)
      shift-p-depth p (m + n) x
    ]
  by presburger
next
  case False
  hence p-equal q (shift-p-depth p n (shift-p-depth p m x)) x
    and p-equal q ((shift-p-depth p (m + n) x)) x
    using shift-p-depth-equiv-at-other-places trans
    by (blast, blast)
  thus ?thesis using trans-left by blast
qed
qed

lemma shift-shift-p-depth-image:
  shift-p-depth p n ` shift-p-depth p m ` A = shift-p-depth p (m + n) ` A
proof safe
  fix a assume a: a ∈ A
  have *: shift-p-depth p n (shift-p-depth p m a) = shift-p-depth p (m + n) a
    using shift-shift-p-depth by auto
  from a show shift-p-depth p n (shift-p-depth p m a) ∈ shift-p-depth p (m + n)
  ` A
    using * by fast
  from a show shift-p-depth p (m + n) a ∈ shift-p-depth p n ` shift-p-depth p m ` A
    using *[symmetric] by fast
qed

lemma shift-p-depth-at-place:
  p-depth p (shift-p-depth p n x) = p-depth p x + n if n = 0 ∨ ¬ p-equal p x 0
proof (cases n = 0)
  case True
  moreover from this have p-equal p (shift-p-depth p n x) x
    using shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place power-int-0-right mult-1-right by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using depth-equiv by fastforce
next
  case False
  with that have ¬ p-equal p (x * (index-const p powi n)) 0
    using no-zero-divisors power-int-equiv-0-iff index-const-globally-nequiv-0 by
    auto
  hence p-depth p (shift-p-depth p n x) = p-depth p x + p-depth p (index-const p

```

```

powi n)
  using depth-equiv shift-p-depth-equiv-at-place depth-mult-additive by metis
  thus ?thesis using p-depth-index-const-powi by simp
qed

lemma shift-p-depth-at-other-places:
  p-depth q (shift-p-depth p n x) = p-depth q x if q ≠ p
  using that depth-equiv shift-p-depth-equiv-at-other-places by blast

lemma p-depth-set-shift-p-depth-closed:
  shift-p-depth p n x ∈ p-depth-set p m if p-depth p x ≥ m - n
  using that shift-p-depth-equiv0-iff shift-p-depth-at-place p-depth-setI by auto

lemma global-depth-set-shift-p-depth-closed:
  shift-p-depth p n x ∈ global-depth-set m
  if x ∈ global-depth-set m
  and ¬ p-equal p x 0 → p-depth p x ≥ m - n
  using that p-depth-set-shift-p-depth-closed p-depth-setD shift-p-depth-at-other-places
    shift-p-depth-equiv0-iff global-depth-setD global-depth-setI
  by metis

lemma shift-p-depth-mem-p-depth-set:
  p-depth p x ≥ m - n
  if ¬ p-equal p x 0 and shift-p-depth p n x ∈ p-depth-set p m
  using that shift-p-depth-equiv0-iff shift-p-depth-at-place
    p-depth-setD[of p shift-p-depth p n x]
  by fastforce

lemma shift-p-depth-mem-global-depth-set:
  p-depth p x ≥ m - n
  if ¬ p-equal p x 0 and shift-p-depth p n x ∈ global-depth-set m
  using that shift-p-depth-equiv0-iff shift-p-depth-at-place
    global-depth-setD[of p shift-p-depth p n x]
  by fastforce

lemma shift-p-depth-p-depth-set: shift-p-depth p n ` p-depth-set p m = p-depth-set
p (m + n)
proof safe
fix x assume x ∈ p-depth-set p m
thus shift-p-depth p n x ∈ p-depth-set p (m + n)
  using shift-p-depth-at-place shift-p-depth-equiv0-iff
  unfolding p-depth-set-def
  by simp
next
fix x assume x ∈ p-depth-set p (m + n)
hence ¬ p-equal p x 0 → p-depth p x ≥ m + n
  using p-depth-setD by blast
hence
  ¬ p-equal p (shift-p-depth p (-n) x) 0 →

```

$p\text{-depth } p (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p (-n) x) \geq m$
using $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-at-place shift-}p\text{-depth-equiv0-iff}$ **by** force
hence $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p (-n) x \in p\text{-depth-set } p m$ **using** $p\text{-depth-setI}$ **by** simp
moreover have $x = \text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p (-n) x)$
using $\text{shift-shift-}p\text{-depth}$ **by** force
ultimately show $x \in \text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n ` p\text{-depth-set } p m$ **by** blast
qed

lemma $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-cong}:$
 $p\text{-equal } q (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n x) (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n y)$ **if** $p\text{-equal } q x y$
using $\text{that cong-sym shift-}p\text{-depth-equiv-at-place mult-right}$
 $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-equiv-at-other-places}$
by $(\text{cases } q = p, \text{blast}, \text{blast})$

lemma $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-p-restrict}:$
 $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n (\text{p-restrict } x P) = \text{p-restrict} (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n x) P$
proof (*intro global-imp-eq, standard*)
fix q
show $p\text{-equal } q (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n (\text{p-restrict } x P)) (\text{p-restrict} (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n x) P)$
by (
cases P q,
metis p-restrict-equiv shift-}p\text{-depth-cong trans-right,
metis p-restrict-equiv0 shift-}p\text{-depth-cong shift-}p\text{-depth-0 trans-left
 $)$
qed

lemma $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-p-restrict-global-depth-set-memI}:$
 $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n (\text{p-restrict } x ((=) p)) \in \text{global-depth-set } m$
if $\neg p\text{-equal } p x 0 \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p x \geq m - n$
using $\text{that shift-}p\text{-depth-p-restrict shift-}p\text{-depth-equiv0-iff shift-}p\text{-depth-at-place}$
 $\text{global-depth-set-p-restrictI } p\text{-depth-setI}$
by fastforce

lemma $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-p-restrict-global-depth-set-memI}':$
 $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n (\text{p-restrict } x ((=) p)) \in \text{global-depth-set } (m + n)$
if $x \in p\text{-depth-set } p m$
proof-
from $\text{that have p-restrict } x ((=) p) \in p\text{-depth-set } p m$
using $p\text{-depth-set-p-restrict}$ **by** simp
hence $\text{p-restrict} (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n x) ((=) p) \in p\text{-depth-set } p (m + n)$
using $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-p-depth-set shift-}p\text{-depth-p-restrict}[of } p n x (=) p]$ **by** force
hence $\text{p-restrict} (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n x) ((=) p) \in \text{global-depth-set } (m + n)$
using $\text{global-depth-set-p-restrictI}[of } (=) p]$ **by** force
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-p-restrict}[of } p n x (=) p]$ **by** auto
qed

lemma $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-p-restrict-global-depth-set-image}:$
 $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p n ` (\lambda x. \text{p-restrict } x ((=) p)) ` \text{global-depth-set } m$

```

= ( $\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p)) \cdot \text{global-depth-set } (m + n)$ 
proof safe
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{global-depth-set } m$ 
  hence
     $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p\ n\ (p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p)) \in \text{global-depth-set } (m + n)$ 
    using  $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-restrict-global-depth-set-memI}' \text{ global-depth-set by blast}$ 
  moreover have
     $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p\ n\ (p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p)) =$ 
     $p\text{-restrict } (\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p\ n\ (p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p))) ((=) p)$ 
    using  $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-restrict } p\text{-restrict-restrict}' \text{ by presburger}$ 
  ultimately show
     $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p\ n\ (p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p)) \in$ 
     $(\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p)) \cdot \text{global-depth-set } (m + n)$ 
    by fast
next
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{global-depth-set } (m + n)$ 
  moreover define  $y$  where  $y \equiv \text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p\ (-n)\ (p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p))$ 
  ultimately have  $y \in \text{global-depth-set } m$ 
  using  $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-restrict-global-depth-set-memI}'[\text{of } x\ p\ m + n - n]$ 
     $\text{global-depth-set}[\text{of } m + n]$ 
  by simp
  moreover from  $y\text{-def}$  have
     $p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p) = \text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p\ n\ (p\text{-restrict } y ((=) p))$ 
    using  $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-restrict}[\text{of } p\ n\ y ((=) p)]\ p\text{-restrict-restrict}'[\text{of } x ((=) p)]$ 
       $\text{shift-shift-}p\text{-depth}[\text{of } p\ n - n\ p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p)]$ 
    by force
  ultimately show
     $p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p) \in$ 
     $\text{shift-}p\text{-depth } p\ n\ (\lambda x. p\text{-restrict } x ((=) p)) \cdot \text{global-depth-set } m$ 
    using  $y\text{-def}$  by fast
qed
end

```

3.7 Topological patterns

3.7.1 By place

Convergence of sequences as measured by depth

```

context  $p\text{-equality-depth}$ 
begin

definition  $p\text{-limseq-condition} ::$ 
  ' $a \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow$ 
     $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where
   $p\text{-limseq-condition } p\ X\ x\ n\ K =$ 
   $(\forall k \geq K. \neg p\text{-equal } p\ (X\ k)\ x \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p\ (X\ k - x) > n)$ 

```

lemma *p-limseq-conditionI* [*intro*]:
p-limseq-condition p X x n K
if
 $\wedge k. k \geq K \implies \neg p\text{-equal } p (X k) x \implies$
 $p\text{-depth } p (X k - x) > n$
using that unfolding *p-limseq-condition-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *p-limseq-conditionD*:
p-depth p (X k - x) > n
if *p-limseq-condition p X x n K* **and** $k \geq K$ **and** $\neg p\text{-equal } p (X k) x$
using that unfolding *p-limseq-condition-def* **by** *blast*

abbreviation *p-limseq* ::
 $'a \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool$
where *p-limseq p X x* $\equiv (\forall n. \exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x n K)$

lemma *p-limseq-p-cong*:
p-limseq p X x
if $\forall n \geq N. p\text{-equal } p (X n) (Y n)$ **and** *p-equal p x y* **and** *p-limseq p Y y*

proof
fix *n*
from that(3) obtain *M where M: p-limseq-condition p Y y n M* **by** *fastforce*
define *K where K ≡ max M N*
with *M* **that(1,2) have** *p-limseq-condition p X x n K*
using *trans[of p X - Y - y] trans-left[of p X - y x] p-limseq-conditionD[of p Y y n M]*
 $\quad \quad \quad depth\text{-diff-equiv}$
by *fastforce*
thus $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x n K$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *p-limseq-p-constant*: *p-limseq p X x* **if** $\forall n. p\text{-equal } p (X n) x$
using that by fast

lemma *p-limseq-constant*: *p-limseq p (λn. x) x*
using p-limseq-p-constant by auto

lemma *p-limseq-p-eventually-constant*:
p-limseq p X x **if** $\forall_F k$ **in sequentially.** *p-equal p (X k) x*

proof
fix *n*
from that obtain *K where K: ∀ k ≥ K. p-equal p (X k) x*
using eventually-sequentially by auto
hence *p-limseq-condition p X x n K* **by** *blast*
thus $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x n K$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *p-limseq-0* [*simp*]: *p-limseq p 0 0*
using p-limseq-p-constant by simp

```

lemma p-limseq-0-iff: p-limseq p 0 x  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-equal p x 0
proof
  have  $\neg$  p-equal p x 0  $\implies$   $\neg$  p-limseq p 0 x
  proof
    assume x:  $\neg$  p-equal p x 0 p-limseq p 0 x
    have  $\forall n$ . p-depth p x > n
    proof
      fix n
      from x obtain K :: nat where  $\forall k \geq K$ . p-depth p x > n
      using p-limseq-conditionD zero-fun-apply diff-0 depth-uminus sym by metis
      thus p-depth p x > n by fast
    qed
    thus False by fast
  qed
  thus p-limseq p 0 x  $\implies$  p-equal p x 0 by blast
  qed (use sym in force)

lemma p-limseq-add: p-limseq p (X + Y) (x + y) if p-limseq p X x and p-limseq p Y y
proof
  fix n
  from that obtain K-x K-y
  where K-x: p-limseq-condition p X x n K-x
  and K-y: p-limseq-condition p Y y n K-y
  by blast
  define K where K  $\equiv$  max K-x K-y
  have p-limseq-condition p (X + Y) (x + y) n K
  proof (standard, unfold plus-fun-apply)
    fix k assume k:  $k \geq K \neg$  p-equal p (X k + Y k) (x + y)
    from K-def k(1) have k-K-x-y: k  $\geq K$ -x k  $\geq K$ -y by auto
    have *:
    
$$\bigwedge X Y x y.$$

    
$$p\text{-equal } p(X k) x \implies p\text{-depth } p(Y k - y) > n \implies$$

    
$$p\text{-depth } p(X k + Y k - (x + y)) > n$$

  proof-
    fix X Y x y
    assume X: p-equal p (X k) x and Y: p-depth p (Y k - y) > n
    have p-depth p (X k + Y k - (x + y)) = p-depth p (X k + (Y k - (x + y)))
    by (simp add: algebra-simps)
    also from X have ... = p-depth p (x + (Y k - (x + y)))
    using add-right depth-equiv by blast
    also have ... = p-depth p (Y k - y) by fastforce
    finally show p-depth p (X k + Y k - (x + y)) > n using Y by metis
  qed
  from k(2) consider
  (x-not-y) p-equal p (X k) x  $\neg$  p-equal p (Y k) y |
  (y-not-x)  $\neg$  p-equal p (X k) x p-equal p (Y k) y |
  (neither)  $\neg$  p-equal p (X k) x  $\neg$  p-equal p (Y k) y |

```

```

using add by blast
thus p-depth p (X k + Y k - (x + y)) > n
proof cases
  case x-not-y
    from K-y k-K-x-y(2) x-not-y(2) have p-depth p (Y k - y) > n
      using p-limseq-conditionD by auto
    with x-not-y(1) show ?thesis using * by blast
  next
    case y-not-x
      from K-x k-K-x-y(1) y-not-x(1) have p-depth p (X k - x) > n
        using p-limseq-conditionD by auto
      with y-not-x(2) have p-depth p (Y k + X k - (y + x)) > n using * by force
        thus ?thesis by (simp add: algebra-simps)
  next
    case neither
      moreover from K-x K-y k-K-x-y this have min (p-depth p (X k - x))
        (p-depth p (Y k - y)) > n
        using p-limseq-conditionD by simp
      moreover from k(2) have ¬ p-equal p (X k - x + (Y k - y)) 0
        using conv-0 by (simp add: algebra-simps)
      ultimately have p-depth p (X k - x + (Y k - y)) > n
        using conv-0 depth-nonarch[of p X k - x Y k - y] by auto
        thus ?thesis by (simp add: algebra-simps)
  qed
qed
thus ∃ K. p-limseq-condition p (X + Y) (x + y) n K by blast
qed

```

lemma p-limseq-uminus: p-limseq p (−X) (−x) **if** p-limseq p X x
proof

```

fix n from that obtain K where p-limseq-condition p X x n K by metis
hence p-limseq-condition p (−X) (−x) n K
  using uminus depth-diff unfolding p-limseq-condition-def by auto
thus ∃ K. p-limseq-condition p (−X) (−x) n K by blast
qed

```

lemma p-limseq-diff: p-limseq p (X − Y) (x − y) **if** p-limseq p X x **and** p-limseq p Y y
 using that p-limseq-uminus[of p Y y] p-limseq-add[of p X x − Y − y] by force

lemma p-limseq-conv-0: p-limseq p X x ↔ p-limseq p (λn. X n − x) 0
proof

```

assume p-limseq p X x
moreover have X − (λn. x) = (λn. X n − x) by fastforce
ultimately show p-limseq p (λn. X n − x) 0
  using p-limseq-diff[of p X x λn. x] p-limseq-constant by force
next
  assume p-limseq p (λn. X n − x) 0
  moreover have (λn. X n − x) + (λn. x) = X by force

```

ultimately show $p\text{-limseq } p \ X \ x$
using $p\text{-limseq-add}[of \ p \ \lambda n. \ X \ n - x \ 0 \ \lambda n. \ x]$ $p\text{-limseq-constant}$ **by** $simp$
qed

lemma $p\text{-limseq-unique}$: $p\text{-equal } p \ x \ y$ **if** $p\text{-limseq } p \ X \ x$ **and** $p\text{-limseq } p \ X \ y$
using $that \ p\text{-limseq-diff}[of \ p \ X \ x \ X \ y]$ $p\text{-limseq-0-iff}[of \ p \ x - y]$ $conv-0[of \ p]$ **by**
auto

lemma $p\text{-limseq-eventually-nequiv-0}$:
 $\forall_F k \ in \ sequentially. \neg \ p\text{-equal } p \ (X \ k) \ 0$
if $\neg \ p\text{-equal } p \ x \ 0$ **and** $p\text{-limseq } p \ X \ x$
proof–
have $\neg (\forall K. \exists k \geq K. \ p\text{-equal } p \ (X \ k) \ 0)$
proof
assume $\forall K. \exists k \geq K. \ p\text{-equal } p \ (X \ k) \ 0$
with $that \ bave \forall n. \ p\text{-depth } p \ x > n$
by (*metis p-limseq-conditionD trans-right depth-diff-equiv0-left*)
thus *False* **by** *blast*
qed
thus *?thesis* **using** *eventually-sequentially* **by** *force*
qed

lemma $p\text{-limseq-bdd-depth}$:
assumes $\neg \ p\text{-equal } p \ x \ 0$ **and** $p\text{-limseq } p \ X \ x$
obtains d **where** $\forall k. \neg \ p\text{-equal } p \ (X \ k) \ 0 \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p \ (X \ k) \leq d$
proof–
from *assms(2)* **obtain** K **where** $K: p\text{-limseq-condition } p \ X \ x \ (p\text{-depth } p \ x) \ K$
by *auto*
define D **where** $D \equiv \{p\text{-depth } p \ x\} \cup \{p\text{-depth } p \ (X \ k) \mid k. \ k < K\}$
define d **where** $d \equiv \text{Max } D$
have $\forall k. \neg \ p\text{-equal } p \ (X \ k) \ 0 \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p \ (X \ k) \leq d$
proof *clarify*
fix k **show** $p\text{-depth } p \ (X \ k) \leq d$
proof (*cases* $k \geq K$)
case *True*
with *assms(1)* K **have** $p\text{-depth } p \ (X \ k) \leq p\text{-depth } p \ x$
using *depth-equiv depth-pre-nonarch-diff-right* [$of \ p \ x \ X \ k$] $p\text{-limseq-conditionD}$
by *fastforce*
also from $D\text{-def}$ **have** $\dots \leq d$ **using** *Max-ge* [$of \ D \ p\text{-depth } p \ x$] **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
next
case *False* **with** $D\text{-def}$ **show** *?thesis* **using** *Max-ge* [$of \ D \ p\text{-depth } p \ (X \ k)$] **by** *fastforce*
qed
qed
with $that \ bshow \ thesis \ by \ blast$
qed

lemma $p\text{-limseq-eventually-bdd-depth}$:

$\forall_F k$ in sequentially. $p\text{-depth } p (X k) \leq p\text{-depth } p x$
 if $\neg p\text{-equal } p x 0$ and $p\text{-limseq } p X x$

proof–
 from that(2) obtain K where K : $p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x (p\text{-depth } p x) K$ by auto
 have $\forall k \geq K. p\text{-depth } p (X k) \leq p\text{-depth } p x$
proof clarify
 fix k assume $k \geq K$
 with that(1) K show $p\text{-depth } p (X k) \leq p\text{-depth } p x$
 using depth-equiv depth-pre-nonarch-diff-right[of $p x X k$] $p\text{-limseq-conditionD}$
 by fastforce
qed
 thus ?thesis using eventually-sequentially by blast
qed

lemma $p\text{-limseq-delayed-iff}:$
 $p\text{-limseq } p X x \longleftrightarrow p\text{-limseq } p (\lambda n. X (N + n)) x$

proof (standard, safe)
 fix n
 assume $p\text{-limseq } p X x$
 from this obtain K where $p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x n K$ by fastforce
 hence $p\text{-limseq-condition } p (\lambda n. X (N + n)) x n K$
 unfolding $p\text{-limseq-condition-def}$ by simp
 thus $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p (\lambda n. X (N + n)) x n K$ by blast

next
 fix n
 assume $p\text{-limseq } p (\lambda n. X (N + n)) x$
 from this obtain M where M : $p\text{-limseq-condition } p (\lambda n. X (N + n)) x n M$
 by auto
 define K where $K \equiv M + N$
 have $p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x n K$
proof
 fix k assume $k \geq K$ and $X: \neg p\text{-equal } p (X k) x$
 from $k K\text{-def}$ have $N + (k - N) = k$ by simp
 moreover from $k K\text{-def}$ have $k - N \geq M$ by auto
 moreover from $k K\text{-def}$ X have $\neg p\text{-equal } p (X (N + (k - N))) x$
 by (simp add: algebra-simps)
 ultimately show $p\text{-depth } p (X k - x) > n$ using M $p\text{-limseq-conditionD}$ by metis
qed
 thus $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x n K$ by blast
qed

lemma $p\text{-depth-set-p-limseq-closed}:$
 $x \in p\text{-depth-set } p n$
 if $p\text{-limseq } p X x$ and $\forall_F k$ in sequentially. $X k \in p\text{-depth-set } p n$

proof (intro $p\text{-depth-setI}$, clarify)
 assume $x = 0: \neg p\text{-equal } p x 0$
 from that(1) obtain K where K : $p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x n K$ by blast

```

from that(2) obtain N where N:  $\forall k \geq N. X k \in p\text{-depth-set } p n$ 
  using eventually-sequentially by fastforce
define k where k ≡ max N K
show p-depth p x ≥ n
proof (cases p-equal p (X k) x)
  case True with N x-n0 k-def show ?thesis
    using trans-right[of p - x] p-depth-setD[of p X k] depth-equiv by fastforce
next
  case False
  with K k-def have X-x: p-depth p (X k - x) > n
    using p-limseq-conditionD by force
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p-equal p (X k) 0)
    case True thus ?thesis using X-x depth-diff-equiv0-left by simp
  next
  case False
  with N x-n0 k-def show p-depth p x ≥ n
    using X-x depth-pre-nonarch-diff-right[of p x X k] p-depth-setD[of p - n] by
fastforce
qed
qed
qed

```

Cauchy sequences by place

```

definition p-cauchy-condition :: 
  'a ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'b) ⇒ int ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where
  p-cauchy-condition p X n K =
    ( ∀ k1 ≥ K. ∀ k2 ≥ K.
      ¬ p-equal p (X k1) (X k2) → p-depth p (X k1 - X k2) > n
    )
lemma p-cauchy-conditionI:
  p-cauchy-condition p X n K
  if
     $\bigwedge k k'. k \geq K \implies k' \geq K \implies$ 
     $\neg p\text{-equal } p (X k) (X k') \implies p\text{-depth } p (X k - X k') > n$ 
  using that unfolding p-cauchy-condition-def by blast

```

```

lemma p-cauchy-conditionD:
  p-depth p (X k - X k') > n
  if p-cauchy-condition p X n K and k ≥ K and k' ≥ K
  and  $\neg p\text{-equal } p (X k) (X k')$ 
  using that unfolding p-cauchy-condition-def by blast

```

```

lemma p-cauchy-condition-mono-seq-tail:
  p-cauchy-condition p X n K ⇒ p-cauchy-condition p X n K'
  if  $K' \geq K$ 
  using that unfolding p-cauchy-condition-def by auto

```

lemma *p-cauchy-condition-mono-depth*:

p-cauchy-condition p X n K \implies *p-cauchy-condition p X m K*
if $m \leq n$
using that unfolding *p-cauchy-condition-def* **by** *fastforce*

abbreviation *p-cauchy p X* \equiv $(\forall n. \exists K. p\text{-cauchy-condition } p X n K)$

lemma *p-cauchy-condition-LEAST*:

p-cauchy-condition p X n (LEAST K. p-cauchy-condition p X n K)
if *p-cauchy p X*
using that Least1I[OF ex-ex1-least-nat-le] **by** *blast*

lemma *p-cauchy-condition-LEAST-mono*:

$(\text{LEAST } K. p\text{-cauchy-condition } p X m K) \leq (\text{LEAST } K. p\text{-cauchy-condition } p X n K)$
if *p-cauchy p X and m ≤ n*
using that least-le-least[OF ex-ex1-least-nat-le ex-ex1-least-nat-le]
p-cauchy-condition-mono-depth
by *force*

definition *p-consec-cauchy-condition* ::
 $'a \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$
where
p-consec-cauchy-condition p X n K =
 $(\forall k \geq K.$
 $\neg p\text{-equal } p(X(Suc k)) (X k) \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p(X(Suc k) - X k) > n$
 $)$

lemma *p-consec-cauchy-conditionI*:

p-consec-cauchy-condition p X n K
if
 $\bigwedge k. k \geq K \implies \neg p\text{-equal } p(X(Suc k)) (X k) \implies$
 $p\text{-depth } p(X(Suc k) - X k) > n$
using that unfolding *p-consec-cauchy-condition-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *p-consec-cauchy*:

p-cauchy p X = $(\forall n. \exists K. p\text{-consec-cauchy-condition } p X n K)$

proof (*standard, safe*)

fix *n*

assume *p-cauchy p X*

from this obtain *K where K: p-cauchy-condition p X n K* **by** *auto*

hence *p-consec-cauchy-condition p X n K*

using *p-cauchy-conditionD* **by** (*force intro: p-consec-cauchy-conditionI*)

thus $\exists K. p\text{-consec-cauchy-condition } p X n K$ **by** *blast*

next

fix *n*

assume $\forall n. \exists K. p\text{-consec-cauchy-condition } p X n K$

from this obtain *K where K: p-consec-cauchy-condition p X n K* **by** *blast*

```

have p-cauchy-condition p X n K
proof (intro p-cauchy-conditionI)
fix k k' show
k ≥ K ==> k' ≥ K ==>
  ¬ p-equal p (X k) (X k') ==> p-depth p (X k - X k') > n
proof (induct k' k rule: linorder-wlog)
case (le a b)
define c where c ≡ b - a
have
a ≥ K ==> ¬ p-equal p (X (a + c)) (X a) ==>
  p-depth p (X (a + c) - X a) > n
proof (induct c)
case (Suc c)
have decomp: X (a + Suc c) - X a = (X (a + Suc c) - X (a + c)) + (X
(a + c) - X a)
by fastforce
consider p-equal p (X (a + Suc c)) (X (a + c)) |
  p-equal p (X (a + c)) (X a) |
  ¬ p-equal p (X (a + Suc c)) (X (a + c))
  ¬ p-equal p (X (a + c)) (X a)
by blast
thus ?case
proof (cases, metis Suc trans decomp conv-0 depth-add-equiv0-left)
case 2
with Suc(3) have ¬ p-equal p (X (a + Suc c)) (X (a + c)) using trans
by blast
with K Suc(2) have p-depth p (X (a + Suc c) - X (a + c)) > n
using p-consec-cauchy-condition-def[of p X n K] by force
with 2 show ?thesis using decomp conv-0 depth-add-equiv0-right by
presburger
next
case 3
moreover from K Suc(2) 3(1) have p-depth p (X (a + Suc c) - X (a
+ c)) > n
using p-consec-cauchy-condition-def[of p X n K] by force
moreover from 3(2) Suc(1,2) have p-depth p (X (a + c) - X a) > n
by fast
ultimately show ?thesis using Suc(3) decomp conv-0 depth-nonarch[of
p] by fastforce
qed
qed simp
with le c-def show ?case by force
qed (metis sym depth-diff)
qed
thus ∃ K. p-cauchy-condition p X n K by blast
qed

end

```

context *p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors*

begin

lemma *p-limseq-mult-both-0*: *p-limseq p (X * Y) 0 if p-limseq p X 0 and p-limseq p Y 0*

proof

fix *n*

from that obtain *K-X K-Y*

where *p-limseq-condition p X 0 |n| K-X*

and *p-limseq-condition p Y 0 |n| K-Y*

by metis

moreover define *K* where *K ≡ max K-X K-Y*

ultimately have *p-limseq-condition p (X * Y) 0 n K*

using *K-def mult-0-left mult-0-right depth-mult-additive*

unfolding *p-limseq-condition-def*

by fastforce

thus $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p (X * Y) 0 n K$ by blast

qed

lemma *p-limseq-constant-mult-0*: *p-limseq p ($\lambda n. x * Y n$) 0 if p-limseq p Y 0*

proof

fix *n*

from that obtain *K* where *p-limseq-condition p Y 0 (n - p-depth p x) K* by auto

hence *p-limseq-condition p ($\lambda n. x * Y n$) 0 n K*

using *mult-0-right depth-mult-additive unfolding p-limseq-condition-def* by auto

thus $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p (\lambda n. x * Y n) 0 n K$ by auto

qed

lemma *p-limseq-mult-0-right*: *p-limseq p (X * Y) 0 if p-limseq p X x and p-limseq p Y 0*

proof –

from that(1) have *p-limseq p ($\lambda n. X n - x$) 0* using *p-limseq-conv-0* by blast

with that(2) have *p-limseq p (($\lambda n. X n - x$) * Y) 0* using *p-limseq-mult-both-0* by blast

moreover have $(\lambda n. X n - x) * Y = X * Y - (\lambda n. x * Y n)$

unfolding *times-fun-def fun-diff-def* by (auto simp add: algebra-simps)

ultimately have *p-limseq p (X * Y - ($\lambda n. x * Y n$)) 0* by auto

with that(2) have *p-limseq p (X * Y - ($\lambda n. x * Y n$) + ($\lambda n. x * Y n$)) 0*

using *p-limseq-constant-mult-0[of p Y x]*

*p-limseq-add[of p X * Y - ($\lambda n. x * Y n$) 0 λn. x * Y n]*

by simp

thus ?thesis by (simp add: algebra-simps)

qed

lemma *p-limseq-mult*: *p-limseq p (X * Y) (x * y) if p-limseq p X x and p-limseq p Y y*

proof –

```

from that have p-limseq p (X * (λn. Y n - y)) 0
  using p-limseq-conv-0 p-limseq-mult-0-right by simp
moreover from that have p-limseq p (λn. y * (X n - x)) 0
  using p-limseq-conv-0 p-limseq-constant-mult-0 by blast
ultimately have p-limseq p (X * (λn. Y n - y) + (λn. y * (X n - x))) 0
  using p-limseq-add[of p X * (λn. Y n - y) 0] by force
moreover have
  X * (λn. Y n - y) + (λn. y * (X n - x)) = (λn. (X * Y) n - x * y)
  by (auto simp add: algebra-simps)
ultimately show p-limseq p (X * Y) (x * y) using p-limseq-conv-0 by metis
qed

lemma poly-continuous-p-open-nbhd:
  p-limseq p (λn. poly f (X n)) (poly f x) if p-limseq p X x
proof (induct f)
  case (pCons a f)
  have (λn. poly (pCons a f) (X n)) = (λn. a) + X * (λn. poly f (X n))
  by auto
  with that pCons(2) show ?case using p-limseq-constant p-limseq-add p-limseq-mult
  by simp
qed (simp flip: zero-fun-def)

end

context p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors-1
begin

lemma p-limseq-pow: p-limseq p (λk. (X k) ^ n) (x ^ n) if p-limseq p X x
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n)
  moreover have (λk. X k ^ Suc n) = X * (λk. X k ^ n) by auto
  ultimately show ?case using that p-limseq-mult by simp
qed (simp add: p-limseq-constant)

lemma p-limseq-poly: p-limseq p (λn. poly f (X n)) (poly f x) if p-limseq p X x
proof (induct f)
  case (pCons a f)
  have (λn. poly (pCons a f) (X n)) = (λn. a) + X * (λn. poly f (X n))
  by auto
  with that pCons(2) show ?case using p-limseq-mult p-limseq-add p-limseq-constant
  by auto
qed (simp flip: zero-fun-def)

end

context p-equality-depth-div-inv
begin

lemma p-limseq-inverse:

```

$p\text{-limseq } p (\lambda n. \text{ inverse} (X n)) (\text{inverse } x)$
if $\neg p\text{-equal } p x 0$ **and** $p\text{-limseq } p X x$
proof
fix n
from that obtain $K1$ **where** $K1: \forall k \geq K1. p\text{-depth } p (X k) \leq p\text{-depth } p x$
using $p\text{-limseq}-\text{eventually-bdd-depth}$ **eventually-sequentially by** *fastforce*
from that obtain $K2$ **where** $K2: \forall k \geq K2. \neg p\text{-equal } p (X k) 0$
using $p\text{-limseq}-\text{eventually-nequiv-0}$ **eventually-sequentially by** *force*
from that(2) obtain $K3$
where $K3: p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x (|n| + 2 * p\text{-depth } p x) K3$
by *fast*
define K **where** $K \equiv \text{Max } \{K1, K2, K3\}$
have $p\text{-limseq-condition } p (\lambda n. \text{ inverse} (X n)) (\text{inverse } x) n K$
proof
fix k **assume** $k: k \geq K$ **and** $X-k: \neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{inverse} (X k)) (\text{inverse } x)$
from $k(1)$ $K\text{-def } K2$ **have** $p\text{-equal } p (\text{inverse} (X k) * X k) 1$ **using** *left-inverse-equiv*
by *simp*
moreover from that(1) have $p\text{-equal } p (x * \text{inverse } x) 1$ **using** *right-inverse-equiv*
by *simp*
ultimately have $p\text{-equal } p (\text{inverse} (X k) * (x - X k) * \text{inverse } x) (\text{inverse} (X k) - \text{inverse } x)$
using $\text{minus mult-left}[of p x * \text{inverse } x 1 \text{ inverse} (X k)]$
 $\text{mult-right}[of p \text{ inverse} (X k) * X k 1 \text{ inverse } x]$
by *(simp add: algebra-simps)*
with $X-k$ **have**
 $p\text{-depth } p (\text{inverse} (X k) - \text{inverse } x) =$
 $p\text{-depth } p (\text{inverse} (X k)) + p\text{-depth } p (X k - x) + p\text{-depth } p (\text{inverse } x)$
using *depth-equiv trans-right conv-0 depth-mult3-additive depth-diff by metis*
also from $k X-k K\text{-def } K1 K2 K3$ **have** $\dots > n$
using *inverse-depth inverse-equiv-iff-equiv p-limseq-conditionD*
by *(fastforce simp add: algebra-simps)*
finally show $p\text{-depth } p (\text{inverse} (X k) - \text{inverse } x) > n$ **by** *force*
qed
thus $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p (\lambda n. \text{ inverse} (X n)) (\text{inverse } x) n K$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma $p\text{-limseq-divide}:$
 $p\text{-limseq } p (\lambda n. X n / Y n) (x / y)$
if $\neg p\text{-equal } p y 0$ **and** $p\text{-limseq } p X x$ **and** $p\text{-limseq } p Y y$
proof-
have $(\lambda n. X n / Y n) = X * (\lambda n. \text{ inverse} (Y n))$ **using** *divide-inverse by force*
with that show $?thesis$ **using** $p\text{-limseq-mult}$ $p\text{-limseq-inverse}$ $p\text{-divide-inverse}$ **by**
simp
qed

end

context *global-p-equality-depth*
begin

lemma *p-limseq-p-restrict-iff*:
p-limseq p ($\lambda n. p\text{-restrict } (X n) P$) $x \longleftrightarrow p\text{-limseq } p X x$ **if** $P p$
using that *sym p-restrict-equiv p-limseq-p-cong*[of 0 $p \lambda n. p\text{-restrict } (X n) P X x$
 $x]$
p-limseq-p-cong[of 0 $p X \lambda n. p\text{-restrict } (X n) P x x$]
by *auto*

lemma *p-limseq-p-restricted*: *p-limseq p* ($\lambda n. p\text{-restrict } (X n) P$) 0 **if** $\neg P p$
using that *p-restrict-equiv0 p-limseq-p-cong* **by** *blast*

end

Base of open sets at local places

context *global-p-equal-depth*
begin

abbreviation *p-open-nbhd* :: '*a* \Rightarrow *int* \Rightarrow '*b* set
where *p-open-nbhd p n x* \equiv $x +_o p\text{-depth-set } p n$

abbreviation *local-p-open-nbhds p* \equiv { *p-open-nbhd p n x* | $n x. True$ }
abbreviation *p-open-nbhds* \equiv ($\bigcup p. local-p-open-nbhds p$)

lemma *p-open-nbhd*: $x \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p n x$
unfolding *elt-set-plus-def* **using** *p-depth-set-0* **by** *force*

lemma *local-p-open-nbhd-is-open*: *generate-topology* (*local-p-open-nbhds p*) (*p-open-nbhd p n x*)
using *generate-topology.intros(4)[of - local-p-open-nbhds p]* **by** *blast*

lemma *p-open-nbhd-is-open*: *generate-topology* *p-open-nbhds* (*p-open-nbhd p n x*)
using *generate-topology.intros(4)[of - p-open-nbhds]* **by** *blast*

lemma *local-p-open-nbhds-are-coarser*:
generate-topology (*local-p-open-nbhds p*) *S* \implies *generate-topology* *p-open-nbhds S*
proof (
induct S rule: generate-topology.induct, rule generate-topology.UNIV,
use generate-topology.Int in blast, use generate-topology.UN in blast
 $)$
case (*Basis S*)
hence *S* $\in p\text{-open-nbhds} **by** *blast*
thus ?*case* **using** *generate-topology.Basis* **by** *meson*
qed$

lemma *p-open-nbhd-diff-depth*:
p-depth p ($y - x$) $\geq n$ **if** $\neg p\text{-equal } p y x$ **and** $y \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p n x$
proof –
from that(2) **have** $y - x \in p\text{-depth-set } p n$
unfolding *elt-set-plus-def* **by** *fastforce*

with that(1) show ?thesis using conv-0 p-depth-setD by blast
qed

lemma p-open-nbhd-eq-circle:

$p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ x = \{y. \neg p\text{-equal } p\ y\ x \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p\ (y - x) \geq n\}$

proof safe

fix y assume $y \notin p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ x$ **p-equal** $p\ y\ x$
thus False using conv-0 p-depth-set-equiv0 **unfolding** elt-set-plus-def **by force**
next
fix y assume $n \leq p\text{-depth } p\ (y - x)$
thus $y \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ x$
unfolding elt-set-plus-def **using** p-depth-setI **by force**
qed (simp add: p-open-nbhd-diff-depth)

lemma p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre:

$p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ y = p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ x \text{ if } y \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ x$

proof –

have *:

$\bigwedge x\ y. y \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ x \implies p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ y \subseteq p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ x$

proof clarify

fix $x\ y\ z$ **assume** $yx: y \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ x$ **and** $zy: z \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ y$
have $\neg p\text{-equal } p\ z\ x \longrightarrow p\text{-depth } p\ (z - x) \geq n$

proof

assume $zx: \neg p\text{-equal } p\ z\ x$

have $zyx: z - x = (z - y) + (y - x)$ **by auto**

consider

$p\text{-equal } p\ z\ y \mid p\text{-equal } p\ y\ x \mid (\text{neq}) \neg p\text{-equal } p\ z\ y \neg p\text{-equal } p\ y\ x$
by fast

thus $p\text{-depth } p\ (z - x) \geq n$

proof (

cases,

$metis zyx\ yx\ zx\ yx\ trans\ conv-0\ depth-add-equiv0-left\ p\text{-open-nbhd-diff-depth},$
 $metis zyx\ zy\ zx\ trans\ conv-0\ depth-add-equiv0-right\ p\text{-open-nbhd-diff-depth}$

)

case neq

with $yx\ zy$ **have** $p\text{-depth } p\ (z - y) \geq n$ **and** $p\text{-depth } p\ (y - x) \geq n$

using p-open-nbhd-diff-depth **by simp-all**

hence $\min(p\text{-depth } p\ (z - y)) (p\text{-depth } p\ (y - x)) \geq n$ **by presburger**

moreover from neq zyx zx

have $p\text{-depth } p\ (z - x) \geq \min(p\text{-depth } p\ (z - y)) (p\text{-depth } p\ (y - x))$

by (metis conv-0 depth-nonarch)

ultimately show ?thesis **by linarith**

qed

qed

thus $z \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ x$ **using** p-open-nbhd-eq-circle **by auto**

qed

moreover from that **have** $x \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p\ n\ y$

```

using p-open-nbhd-eq-circle sym depth-diff by force
ultimately show ?thesis using that by auto
qed

lemma p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre':
x ∈ p-open-nbhd p n y ↔ y ∈ p-open-nbhd p n x
using p-open-nbhd p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre by blast

lemma p-open-nbhd-p-restrict:
p-open-nbhd p n (p-restrict x P) = p-open-nbhd p n x if P p
proof (intro set-eqI)
fix y from that show
y ∈ p-open-nbhd p n (p-restrict x P) ↔
y ∈ p-open-nbhd p n x
using p-open-nbhd-eq-circle[of p-restrict x P p n]
p-open-nbhd-eq-circle[of x p n] p-restrict-equiv[of P p x]
depth-diff-equiv[of p y y p-restrict x P x]
trans[of p y p-restrict x P x] trans-left[of p y x p-restrict x P]
by force
qed

lemma p-restrict-p-open-nbhd-mem-iff:
y ∈ p-open-nbhd p n x ↔ p-restrict y P ∈ p-open-nbhd p n x if P p
using that p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre' p-open-nbhd-p-restrict by auto

lemma p-open-nbhd-p-restrict-add-mixed-drop-right:
p-open-nbhd p n (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q) = p-open-nbhd p n x
if P p and ¬ Q p
proof (intro set-eqI)
fix z
from that have *: p-equal p (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q) x
using p-restrict-add-mixed-equiv-drop-right by simp
hence
z ∈ p-open-nbhd p n (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q) ↔
¬ p-equal p z x →
p-depth p (z - (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q)) ≥ n
using p-open-nbhd-eq-circle trans trans-left by blast
moreover have p-depth p (z - (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q)) = p-depth p (z
- x)
using * depth-diff-equiv by auto
ultimately show
z ∈ p-open-nbhd p n (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q) ↔
z ∈ p-open-nbhd p n x
using p-open-nbhd-eq-circle by auto
qed

lemma p-open-nbhd-p-restrict-add-mixed-drop-left:
p-open-nbhd p n (p-restrict x P + p-restrict y Q) = p-open-nbhd p n y
if ¬ P p and Q p

```

using that $p\text{-open-nbhd-}p\text{-restrict-add-mixed-drop-right}[of Q p P y x]$ **by** (*simp add: add.commute*)

lemma $p\text{-open-nbhd-antimono}:$

$p\text{-open-nbhd } p n x \subseteq p\text{-open-nbhd } p m x$ **if** $m \leq n$
using that $p\text{-open-nbhd-eq-circle}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $p\text{-open-nbhds-open-subopen}:$

$\text{generate-topology (local-}p\text{-open-nbhds } p) A =$
 $(\forall a \in A. \exists n. p\text{-open-nbhd } p n a \subseteq A)$

proof

show

$\text{generate-topology (local-}p\text{-open-nbhds } p) A \implies$
 $\forall a \in A. \exists n. p\text{-open-nbhd } p n a \subseteq A$

proof (*induct A rule: generate-topology.induct*)

case (*Int A B*) **show** ?case

proof

fix x **assume** $x: x \in A \cap B$

from x *Int(2)* **obtain** $n\text{-}A$ **where** $p\text{-open-nbhd } p n\text{-}A x \subseteq A$ **by** *blast*
moreover from x *Int(4)* **obtain** $n\text{-}B$ **where** $p\text{-open-nbhd } p n\text{-}B x \subseteq B$ **by**

blast

moreover define n **where** $n = \max n\text{-}A n\text{-}B$

ultimately have $p\text{-open-nbhd } p n x \subseteq A \cap B$

using $p\text{-open-nbhd-antimono}[of n\text{-}A n x p] p\text{-open-nbhd-antimono}[of n\text{-}B n x p]$ **by** *auto*

thus $\exists n. p\text{-open-nbhd } p n x \subseteq A \cap B$ **by** *blast*

qed

qed (*simp, fast, use p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre in blast*)

next

assume $*: \forall a \in A. \exists n. p\text{-open-nbhd } p n a \subseteq A$

define f **where** $f \equiv \lambda a. (\text{SOME } n. p\text{-open-nbhd } p n a \subseteq A)$

have $A = (\bigcup a \in A. p\text{-open-nbhd } p (f a) a)$

proof *safe*

fix a **show** $a \in A \implies a \in (\bigcup a \in A. p\text{-open-nbhd } p (f a) a)$

using $p\text{-open-nbhd}$ **by** *blast*

next

fix $x a$ **assume** $a: a \in A$ **and** $x: x \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p (f a) a$

from $a *$ **have** *ex-n*: $\exists n. p\text{-open-nbhd } p n a \subseteq A$ **by** *fastforce*

from $x f\text{-def}$ **show** $x \in A$ **using** *someI-ex[OF ex-n]* **by** *blast*

qed

moreover have $\forall a \in A. \text{generate-topology (local-}p\text{-open-nbhds } p) (p\text{-open-nbhd } p (f a) a)$

using *generate-topology.Basis[of - local- p-open-nbhds p]* **by** *blast*

ultimately show *generate-topology (local- p-open-nbhds p) A*

using *generate-topology.UN[of (λa. p-open-nbhd p (f a) a) ‘A local- p-open-nbhds p]*

by *fastforce*

qed

```

lemma p-restrict-p-open-set-mem-iff:
  a ∈ A  $\longleftrightarrow$  p-restrict a P ∈ A
  if generate-topology (local-p-open-nbhd p) A and P p
  using that p-open-nbhd-open-subopen p-open-nbhd p-restrict-p-open-nbhd-mem-iff
  by fast

lemma hausdorff:
   $\exists n. (p\text{-open-nbhd } p \ n \ x) \cap (p\text{-open-nbhd } p \ n \ y) = \{\} \text{ if } x \neq y$ 
proof-
  from that obtain p :: 'a where p:  $\neg$  p-equal p x y using global-imp-eq by blast
  define n where n ≡ p-depth p (x - y) + 1
  have (p-open-nbhd p n x) ∩ (p-open-nbhd p n y) = {}
  unfolding elt-set-plus-def
  proof (intro equals0I, clarify)
    fix a b
    assume a : a ∈ p-depth-set p n
    and b : b ∈ p-depth-set p n
    and ab: x + a = y + b
    from ab have x - y = b - a by (simp add: algebra-simps)
    with p a b have p-depth p (x - y) ≥ n
    using p-depth-set-minus conv-0 p-depth-setD by metis
    with n-def show False by linarith
  qed
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

sublocale t2-p-open-nbhd: t2-space generate-topology p-open-nbhd
proof (intro class.t2-space.intro, rule topological-space-generate-topology, unfold-locales)
  fix x y :: 'b assume x ≠ y
  from this obtain p and n where pn: (p-open-nbhd p n x) ∩ (p-open-nbhd p n y) = {}
  using hausdorff by presburger
  thus
     $\exists V \ V'. \text{generate-topology } p\text{-open-nbhd } V \wedge \text{generate-topology } p\text{-open-nbhd } V'$ 
  ∧
    x ∈ V ∧ y ∈ V' ∧ V ∩ V' = {}
  using p-open-nbhd-is-open p-open-nbhd by meson
qed

abbreviation p-open-nbhd-tendsto ≡ t2-p-open-nbhd.tendsto
abbreviation p-open-nbhd-convergent ≡ t2-p-open-nbhd.convergent
abbreviation p-open-nbhd-LIMSEQ ≡ t2-p-open-nbhd.LIMSEQ

lemma locally-limD:  $\exists K. \text{p-limseq-condition } p \ X \ x \ n \ K \text{ if } p\text{-open-nbhd-LIMSEQ}$ 
X x
proof-
  from that have  $\exists K. \forall k \geq K. X \ k \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p \ (n + 1) \ x$ 
  using p-open-nbhd-is-open p-open-nbhd
  unfolding t2-p-open-nbhd.tendsto-def eventually-sequentially

```

by presburger
thus $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x n K$ **using** $p\text{-open-nbhd-eq-circle}$ **by** force
qed

lemma *globally-limseq-imp-locally-limseq*:
 $p\text{-limseq } p X x$ **if** $p\text{-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ } X x$
using that locally-limD **by** blast

lemma *globally-limseq-iff-locally-limseq*: $p\text{-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ } X x = (\forall p. p\text{-limseq } p X x)$

proof (standard, standard, use *globally-limseq-imp-locally-limseq* in fast)

assume $X: \forall p. p\text{-limseq } p X x$
show $p\text{-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ } X x$

unfolding t2-p-open-nbhds.tendsto-def eventually-sequentially

proof clarify

fix $S :: 'b \text{ set}$

have

generate-topology $p\text{-open-nbhds } S \implies x \in S \implies (\forall p. p\text{-limseq } p X x) \implies \exists K. \forall k \geq K. X k \in S$

proof (induct S rule: *generate-topology.induct*)

case (*Int A B*)

from *Int(2,4–6)* **obtain** Ka and Kb

where $\forall k \geq Ka. X k \in A$

and $\forall k \geq Kb. X k \in B$

by blast

from this **have** $\forall k \geq \max Ka Kb. X k \in A \cap B$ **by** fastforce

thus ?case **by** blast

next

case (*Basis S*)

from *Basis(1,2)* **obtain** $p n$ **where** $S = p\text{-open-nbhd } p n x$

using *p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre* **by** blast

moreover from *Basis(3)* **have** $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p X x (n - 1) K$ **by**

blast

ultimately show ?case **using** *p-limseq-conditionD* *p-open-nbhd-eq-circle* **by** fastforce

qed (simp, blast)

with X **show**

generate-topology $p\text{-open-nbhds } S \implies$

$x \in S \implies \exists K. \forall k \geq K. X k \in S$

by fast

qed

qed

lemma *p-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ-eventually-p-constant*:

p-equal $p x c$

if $p\text{-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ } X x$ **and** $\forall_F k$ in sequentially. *p-equal* $p (X k) c$

proof –

have $\neg (\neg p\text{-equal } p x c)$

proof

```

assume contra:  $\neg p\text{-equal } p \ x \ c$ 
define d where  $d \equiv \max 1 (p\text{-depth } p (x - c))$ 
from that(1) obtain K1 where K1:  $p\text{-limseq-condition } p \ X \ x \ d \ K1$ 
  using locally-limD by blast
from that(2) obtain K2 where K2:  $\forall k \geq K2. \ p\text{-equal } p (X k) c$ 
  using eventually-sequentially by auto
define K where  $K \equiv \max K1 \ K2$ 
show False
proof (cases p-equal p (X K) x)
  case True with contra K2 K-def show False using trans-right[of p X K] by
simp
next
  case False
    with K1 K-def have p-depth p (X K - x) > d using p-limseq-conditionD
  by simp
    with d-def K2 K-def show False using depth-diff-equiv[of p X K c x x]
    depth-diff by simp
      qed
    qed
    thus ?thesis by blast
  qed

lemma p-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ-p-restrict:
  p-equal p x 0 if p-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ ( $\lambda n. \ p\text{-restrict } (X n) P) \ x \ \text{and} \ \neg P \ p$ 
  using that globally-limseq-iff-locally-limseq sym p-restrict-equiv0 p-limseq-0-iff
  p-limseq-p-cong[of 0 p 0  $\lambda n. \ p\text{-restrict } (X n) P \ x \ x]$ 
  by auto

lemma global-depth-set-p-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ-closed:
   $x \in \text{global-depth-set } n$ 
  if p-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ X x
  and  $\forall F \ k \text{ in sequentially}. \ X k \in \text{global-depth-set } n$ 
proof (intro global-depth-setI")
  fix p
  from that(2) have  $\forall F \ k \text{ in sequentially}. \ X k \in p\text{-depth-set } p \ n$ 
  using eventually-sequentially global-depth-set by force
  with that(1) show  $x \in p\text{-depth-set } p \ n$ 
  using globally-limseq-imp-locally-limseq p-depth-set-p-limseq-closed by blast
qed

end

context global-p-equal-depth-div-inv-w-inj-index-consts
begin

lemma shift-p-depth-p-open-nbhd:
  shift-p-depth p n ` p-open-nbhd p m x = p-open-nbhd p (m + n) (shift-p-depth p n x)
proof safe

```

```

fix y assume y:  $y \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p m x$ 
show shift-p-depth p n y  $\in p\text{-open-nbhd } p (m + n)$  (shift-p-depth p n x)
  unfolding p-open-nbhd-eq-circle
proof clarify
  assume *:  $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{shift-p-depth } p n y) (\text{shift-p-depth } p n x)$ 
  hence  $\neg p\text{-equal } p y x$  using shift-p-depth-equiv-iff by force
  moreover from this y have p-depth p (y - x) + n  $\geq m + n$ 
    using p-open-nbhd-eq-circle by auto
  ultimately show p-depth p (shift-p-depth p n y - shift-p-depth p n x)  $\geq m +$ 
n
  using conv-0 shift-p-depth-at-place shift-p-depth-minus by metis
qed
next
fix y assume y:  $y \in p\text{-open-nbhd } p (m + n)$  (shift-p-depth p n x)
have shift-p-depth p (-n) y  $\in p\text{-open-nbhd } p m x$ 
proof (cases n = 0, use y in auto)
  case n: False show ?thesis
  proof (cases p-equal p y (shift-p-depth p n x))
    case True
    hence p-equal p (shift-p-depth p (-n) y) x
    using shift-p-depth-equiv-iff[of p p -n y] shift-shift-p-depth[of p -n n x] by
force
    thus shift-p-depth p (-n) y  $\in p\text{-open-nbhd } p m x$  using p-open-nbhd-eq-circle
by simp
next
  case False
  moreover from this y have p-depth p (y - shift-p-depth p n x) + (-n)  $\geq m$ 
    using p-open-nbhd-diff-depth by fastforce
  moreover have shift-p-depth p (-n) (shift-p-depth p n x) = x
    using shift-shift-p-depth by simp
  ultimately have p-depth p (shift-p-depth p (-n) y - x)  $\geq m$ 
    using n conv-0 shift-p-depth-at-place shift-p-depth-minus by metis
  with False show shift-p-depth p (-n) y  $\in p\text{-open-nbhd } p m x$ 
    using p-open-nbhd-eq-circle shift-p-depth-equiv-iff[of p p -n y shift-p-depth
p n x]
      shift-shift-p-depth
    by blast
  qed
  qed
  moreover have y = shift-p-depth p n (shift-p-depth p (-n) y)
    using shift-shift-p-depth by auto
  ultimately show y  $\in \text{shift-p-depth } p n` p\text{-open-nbhd } p m x$  by blast
qed

lemma shift-p-depth-p-open-set:
  generate-topology (local-p-open-nbhds p) (shift-p-depth p n ` A)
  if generate-topology (local-p-open-nbhds p) A
proof (rule iffD2, rule p-open-nbhds-open-subopen, clarify)
  fix a assume a  $\in A$ 

```

```

with that obtain m where p-open-nbhd p m a ⊆ A
  using p-open-nbhd-open-subopen by fastforce
hence shift-p-depth p n ` p-open-nbhd p m a ⊆ shift-p-depth p n ` A by fast
moreover have
  shift-p-depth p n ` p-open-nbhd p m a = p-open-nbhd p (m + n) (shift-p-depth
p n a)
  using shift-p-depth-p-open-nbhd by blast
ultimately
  show ∃ m. p-open-nbhd p m (shift-p-depth p n a) ⊆ shift-p-depth p n ` A
  by fast
qed

end

locale p-complete-global-p-equal-depth = global-p-equal-depth +
assumes complete:
  p-cauchy p X ==> p-open-nbhd-convergent (λn. p-restrict (X n) ((=) p))
begin

lemma p-cauchyE:
  assumes p-cauchy p X
  obtains x where p-open-nbhd-LIMSEQ (λn. p-restrict (X n) ((=) p)) x
  using assms complete t2-p-open-nbhd.convergent-def
  by blast

end

Hensel's Lemma.

context p-equality-div-inv
begin

— A sequence to approach a root from within the ring of integers.

primrec hensel-seq :: 'a ⇒ 'b poly ⇒ 'b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b
  where hensel-seq p f x 0 = x |
    hensel-seq p f x (Suc n) =
      if p-equal p (poly f (hensel-seq p f x n)) 0 then hensel-seq p f x n
      else
        hensel-seq p f x n - (poly f (hensel-seq p f x n)) / (poly (polyderiv f) (hensel-seq
          p f x n))
      )

lemma constant-hensel-seq-case:
  k ≥ n ==> hensel-seq p f x k = hensel-seq p f x n
  if p-equal p (poly f (hensel-seq p f x n)) 0
proof (induct k)
  case (Suc k) thus ?case by (cases n = Suc k, simp, use that in force)
qed simp

end

```

```

context p-equality-depth-div-inv
begin

— Context for inductive steps leading to Hensel's Lemma.

context
  fixes p :: 'a and f :: 'b poly and x :: 'b and n :: nat
  assumes f-deg : degree f > 0
  and f-depth : set (coeffs f) ⊆ p-depth-set p 0
  and d-hensel: hensel-seq p f x n ∈ p-depth-set p 0
  and f' : ¬ p-equal p (poly f (hensel-seq p f x n)) 0
  and deriv-f : ¬ p-equal p (poly (polyderiv f) (hensel-seq p f x n)) 0
  and df' :
    p-depth p (poly f (hensel-seq p f x n)) >
    2 * p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) (hensel-seq p f x n))
begin

lemma hensel-seq-step-1:
  p-depth p (poly f (hensel-seq p f x (Suc n))) >
  p-depth p (poly f ((hensel-seq p f x n)))
  if next-f: ¬ p-equal p (poly f (hensel-seq p f x (Suc n))) 0
proof (cases f)
  case (pCons c q)
  define a a' where a ≡ hensel-seq p f x n and a' ≡ hensel-seq p f x (Suc n)
  define b where b ≡ - ((poly f a) / (poly (polyderiv f) a))
  define c-add-poly :: nat ⇒ 'b poly
    where c-add-poly ≡ coeff (additive-poly-poly f)
  define sum-fun Suc-sum-fun :: nat ⇒ 'b
    where sum-fun ≡ λi. poly (c-add-poly i) a * b ^ i
    and Suc-sum-fun ≡ λi. poly (c-add-poly (Suc i)) a * b ^ (Suc i)
  define S :: 'b where S ≡ sum Suc-sum-fun {1..degree q}
  moreover from sum-fun-def Suc-sum-fun-def have Suc-sum-fun: Suc-sum-fun
  = sum-fun ∘ Suc
  by auto
  moreover have {0..degree q} = {..degree q} by auto
  moreover from f-deg pCons have degree f = Suc (degree q) by auto
  ultimately have poly f a' = poly f a + poly (polyderiv f) a * b + S
  using f a-def a'-def b-def c-add-poly-def sum-fun-def additive-poly-poly-decomp[of
  f a b]
    sum-up-index-split[of sum-fun 0] sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost-Suc-shift[of
  sum-fun 0]
      sum-up-index-split[of sum-fun ∘ Suc 0] additive-poly-poly-coeff0[of f]
      additive-poly-poly-coeff1[of f]
  by (simp add: ac-simps)
  with deriv-f a-def b-def have equiv-sum: p-equal p (poly f a') S
  using minus-divide-left times-divide-eq-right mult-divide-cancel-left add-left
  add-right-inverse add-equiv0-left
  by metis
  with next-f a'-def have ¬ p-equal p S 0 using trans by fast

```

from this f -depth d -hensel a -def c -add-poly-def Suc -sum-fun-def S -def **obtain** j
where j :
 $j \in \{1..degree q\}$ p -depth p $S \geq int(Suc j) * p$ -depth p b
using sum-poly-additive-poly-poly-depth-bound[of f p] **by** force
from f -depth f deriv- f d -hensel df a -def b -def **have** p -depth p $b \geq 0$
using p -depth-poly-deriv-quotient depth-uminus[of p b] **by** fastforce
moreover from $j(1)$ **have** $2 \leq int(Suc j)$ **by** force
ultimately have $int(Suc j) * p$ -depth p $b \geq 2 * p$ -depth p b **using** mult-right-mono
by blast
moreover from f deriv- f a -def b -def
have p -depth p $b = p$ -depth p ($poly f a$) $- p$ -depth p ($poly (polyderiv f) a$)
using divide-equiv-0-iff depth-uminus divide-depth **by** metis
ultimately show p -depth p ($poly f a'$) $> p$ -depth p ($poly f a$)
using $j(2)$ df a -def equiv-sum depth-equiv **by** force
qed

lemma hensel-seq-step-2:

$\neg p$ -equal p ($poly (polyderiv f)$ ($hensel$ -seq $p f x (Suc n)$)) 0
 p -depth p ($poly (polyderiv f)$ ($hensel$ -seq $p f x (Suc n)$)) =
 p -depth p ($poly (polyderiv f)$ ($hensel$ -seq $p f x n$))

proof –

define $a a'$ **where** $a \equiv hensel$ -seq $p f x n$ **and** $a' \equiv hensel$ -seq $p f x (Suc n)$
define b **where** $b \equiv - ((poly f a) / (poly (polyderiv f) a))$
from f deriv- f a -def b -def
have $db: p$ -depth p $b = p$ -depth p ($poly f a$) $- p$ -depth p ($poly (polyderiv f) a$)
using divide-equiv-0-iff depth-uminus divide-depth **by** metis

have

p -depth p ($poly (polyderiv f) (a')$) = p -depth p ($poly (polyderiv f) a$) \wedge

$\neg p$ -equal p ($poly (polyderiv f) a'$) 0

proof (cases degree ($polyderiv f$))

case ($Suc m$)

define c -add-poly :: nat \Rightarrow 'b poly

where c -add-poly \equiv coeff (additive-poly-poly ($polyderiv f$))

define sum-fun :: nat \Rightarrow 'b

where sum-fun $\equiv \lambda i. poly (c$ -add-poly $i) a * b ^ i$

define S :: 'b **where** $S \equiv sum (sum$ -fun $\circ Suc) \{0..m\}$

from f a-def a' -def b -def Suc c -add-poly-def sum-fun-def S -def **have** diff-eq-sum:

$poly (polyderiv f) a' - poly (polyderiv f) a = S$

using additive-poly-poly-decomp[of $polyderiv f$ a b] sum-up-index-split[of sum-fun 0]

$sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost-Suc-shift[sum-fun 0]$

by (simp add: algebra-simps additive-poly-poly-coeff0)

show ?thesis

proof (cases p -equal $p S 0$, safe)

case True

from True **show**

p -depth p ($poly (polyderiv f) a'$) = p -depth p ($poly (polyderiv f) a$)

```

using diff-eq-sum conv-0 depth-equiv by metis
from deriv-f a-def True show p-equal p (poly (polyderiv f) a') 0 ==> False
  using diff-eq-sum conv-0 trans-right by blast
next
  case False
  from this f-depth d-hensel a-def S-def sum-fun-def c-add-poly-def obtain j
    where j: p-depth p S ≥ int (Suc j) * p-depth p b
    using p-depth-set-polyderiv[of f p 0]
      sum-poly-additive-poly-poly-depth-bound[of polyderiv f p a b 0 m]
    by force
    from f-depth d-hensel deriv-f a-def have p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a) ≥ 0
      using poly-p-depth-set-polyderiv[of 0 f p a] p-depth-setD[of p poly (polyderiv f) a 0]
    by simp
    hence
      p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a) ≤
        int (Suc j) * (2 * p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a) - p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a))
      using mult-right-mono[of 1 int (Suc j)] by auto
    also from df a-def have ... < int (Suc j) * p-depth p b using db by simp
    finally have *:
      p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a) <
        p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a' - poly (polyderiv f) a)
      using j diff-eq-sum by fastforce
    with deriv-f a-def
      show p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a') = p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a)
        using depth-diff-cancel-imp-eq-depth-right by blast
      show p-equal p (poly (polyderiv f) a') 0 ==> False
        using * depth-diff-equiv0-left by fastforce
    qed
qed (elim degree-eq-zeroE, simp, use deriv-f in fastforce)

thus p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) (a')) = p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a)
  and ¬ p-equal p (poly (polyderiv f) a') 0
  by auto

```

qed

end

— Context for Hensel's Lemma.

context

```

fixes p :: 'a and f :: 'b poly and x :: 'b
assumes f-deg : degree f > 0
and f-depth : set (coeffs f) ⊆ p-depth-set p 0
and d-init : x ∈ p-depth-set p 0
and init-deriv :
  ¬ p-equal p (poly f x) 0 —→ ¬ p-equal p (poly (polyderiv f) x) 0
and d-init-deriv:

```

```

 $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f x) 0 \longrightarrow$ 
 $p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } f x) > 2 * p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) x)$ 
begin

lemma
  hensel-seq-adelic-int :  $\text{hensel-seq } p f x n \in p\text{-depth-set } p 0$  (is ?A)
  and hensel-seq-poly-depth :
     $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) 0 \longrightarrow$ 
     $p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) \geq p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } f x) + \text{int } n$ 
    (is ?B)
  and hensel-seq-deriv :
     $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) 0 \longrightarrow$ 
     $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) 0$ 
    (is ?C)
  and hensel-seq-deriv-depth:
     $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) 0 \longrightarrow$ 
     $p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) = p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) x)$ 
    (is ?D)
  and hensel-seq-depth-ineq:
     $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) 0 \longrightarrow$ 
     $p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) >$ 
     $2 * p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n))$ 
    (is ?E)

proof –
  have ?A  $\wedge$  ?B  $\wedge$  ?C  $\wedge$  ?D  $\wedge$  ?E
  proof (induct n, use d-init init-deriv d-init-deriv in force)
    case (Suc n)
    define a a' where a  $\equiv$  hensel-seq p f x n and a'  $\equiv$  hensel-seq p f x (Suc n)
    show ?case
    proof (cases p-equal p (poly f a) 0)
      case False show ?thesis
      proof (fold a-def a'-def, safe)
        from False Suc a-def a'-def
        have prev-int : a  $\in$  p-depth-set p 0
        and prev-poly-depth : p-depth p (poly f a)  $\geq$  p-depth p (poly f x) + int n
        and prev-deriv :  $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) a) 0$ 
        and prev-deriv-depth:
           $p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) a) = p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) x)$ 
        and prev-depth-ineq : p-depth p (poly f a) > 2 * p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a)
        by auto
        define b where b  $\equiv$  (poly f a) / (poly (polyderiv f) a)
        with f-depth False a-def a'-def b-def show a'  $\in$  p-depth-set p 0
        using prev-int prev-deriv prev-depth-ineq p-depth-set-minus
        p-depth-set-poly-deriv-quotient[of 0 f p]

```

```

by force

from f-deg f-depth a-def a'-def False
show next-deriv: p-equal p (poly (polyderiv f) a') 0 ==> False
using hensel-seq-step-2(1) prev-int prev-deriv prev-depth-ineq by blast

from f-deg f-depth a-def a'-def False show next-deriv-depth:
p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a') = p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) x)
by (metis hensel-seq-step-2(2) prev-int prev-deriv prev-depth-ineq prev-deriv-depth)

moreover assume *: ¬ p-equal p (poly f a') 0

ultimately
show p-depth p (poly f a') > 2 * p-depth p (poly (polyderiv f) a')
using f-deg f-depth a-def a'-def False hensel-seq-step-1 prev-int prev-deriv
prev-depth-ineq next-deriv prev-depth-ineq prev-deriv-depth next-deriv-depth
by force

from f-deg f-depth a-def a'-def False
show p-depth p (poly f a') ≥ p-depth p (poly f x) + int (Suc n)
using * hensel-seq-step-1 prev-int prev-deriv prev-depth-ineq next-deriv
prev-poly-depth
by force

qed
qed (simp add: a-def Suc)
qed

thus ?A ?B ?C ?D ?E by auto

qed

lemma hensel-seq-cauchy: p-cauchy p (hensel-seq p f x)
proof (rule iffD2, rule p-consec-cauchy, clarify)
fix n
show ∃ K. p-consec-cauchy-condition p (hensel-seq p f x) n K
proof (cases ∃ N. p-equal p (poly f (hensel-seq p f x N)) 0)
case True
from this obtain N where N: p-equal p (poly f (hensel-seq p f x N)) 0 by fast
have p-consec-cauchy-condition p (hensel-seq p f x) n N
proof (intro p-consec-cauchy-conditionI)
fix k
assume k ≥ N
and ¬ p-equal p (hensel-seq p f x (Suc k)) (hensel-seq p f x k)
with N show p-depth p (hensel-seq p f x (Suc k) - hensel-seq p f x k) > n
using constant-hensel-seq-case[of p f x N k] by auto
qed
thus ?thesis by blast
next

```

```

case False
hence *:  $\forall n. \neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) 0$  by fast
define d where  $d \equiv p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } f x) - p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) x)$ 
define K where  $K \equiv \text{nat} (n - d + 1)$ 
have  $p\text{-consec-cauchy-condition } p (\text{hensel-seq } p f x) n K$ 
proof (intro  $p\text{-consec-cauchy-conditionI}$ )
  fix k assume  $k \geq K$ 
  moreover define a a'
    where  $a \equiv \text{hensel-seq } p f x k$  and  $a' \equiv \text{hensel-seq } p f x (\text{Suc } k)$ 
  moreover define b where  $b \equiv -((\text{poly } f a) / (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) a))$ 
  moreover from this a-def
    have  $p\text{-depth } p b = p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } f a) - p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) a)$ 
    using *  $\text{hensel-seq-deriv divide-equiv-0-iff depth-uminus divide-depth}$  by
    metis
  ultimately show  $p\text{-depth } p (a' - a) > n$ 
  using d-def K-def *  $\text{hensel-seq-deriv-depth hensel-seq-poly-depth}$  by fastforce
  qed
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

lemma p-limseq-poly-hensel-seq:  $p\text{-limseq } p (\lambda n. \text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) 0$ 
proof
  fix n
  define K where  $K \equiv \text{nat} (n - p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } f x) + 1)$ 
  have  $p\text{-limseq-condition } p (\lambda n. \text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) 0 n K$ 
  proof (intro  $p\text{-limseq-conditionI}$ )
    fix k assume  $k \geq K$  and  $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x k)) 0$ 
    with K-def show  $p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x k) - 0) > n$ 
      using hensel-seq-poly-depth by fastforce
    qed
    thus  $\exists K. p\text{-limseq-condition } p (\lambda n. \text{poly } f (\text{hensel-seq } p f x n)) 0 n K$  by fast
  qed
  end
end

locale p-complete-global-p-equal-depth-div-inv =
  global-p-equal-depth-div-inv + p-complete-global-p-equal-depth
begin

lemma hensel:
  fixes p :: 'a and f :: 'b poly and x :: 'b
  assumes degree f > 0
  and set (coeffs f)  $\subseteq$  p-depth-set p 0
  and x  $\in$  p-depth-set p 0
  and

```

$\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f x) 0 \longrightarrow \neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) x) 0$
and
 $\neg p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f x) 0 \longrightarrow$
 $p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } f x) > 2 * p\text{-depth } p (\text{poly } (\text{polyderiv } f) x)$
obtains z **where** $z \in p\text{-depth-set } p 0$ **and** $p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f z) 0$
proof–
define X **where** $X \equiv \text{hensel-seq } p f x$
define $\text{res-}X$ **where** $\text{res-}X \equiv \lambda n. p\text{-restrict } (X n) ((=) p)$
from $\text{assms } X\text{-def res-}X\text{-def obtain } z$ **where** $p\text{-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ } \text{res-}X z$
using $\text{hensel-seq-cauchy } p\text{-cauchyE}$ **by** *blast*
hence $p\text{-limseq } p \text{ res-}X z$ **using** $\text{globally-limseq-iff-locally-limseq}$ **by** *blast*
with $\text{res-}X\text{-def have } X\text{-to-}z: p\text{-limseq } p X z$ **using** $p\text{-limseq-}p\text{-restrict-iff}$ **by** *blast*
with $\text{assms } X\text{-def have } p\text{-equal } p (\text{poly } f z) 0$
using $\text{poly-continuous-}p\text{-open-nbhds } p\text{-limseq-poly-hensel-seq } p\text{-limseq-unique}$ **by** *metis*
moreover from $\text{assms } X\text{-def have } z \in p\text{-depth-set } p 0$
using $X\text{-to-}z \text{ hensel-seq-adelic-int } p\text{-depth-set-}p\text{-limseq-closed}$ **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show *thesis* **using** *that* **by** *blast*
qed
end

3.7.2 Globally

We use bounded depth to create a global metric.

```

context  $p\text{-equality-depth}$ 
begin

definition  $bdd\text{-global-depth} :: 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
where
 $bdd\text{-global-depth } x =$ 
 $(\text{if } \text{globally-}p\text{-equal } x 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{Inf } \{\text{nat } (p\text{-depth } p x + 1) \mid p. \neg p\text{-equal } p x 0\})$ 
— The plus-one is to distinguish depth-0 from negative depth.

lemma  $bdd\text{-global-depth-0}[simp]: \text{globally-}p\text{-equal } x 0 \implies bdd\text{-global-depth } x = 0$ 
unfolding  $bdd\text{-global-depth-def}$  by simp

lemma  $bdd\text{-global-depthD}:$ 
 $bdd\text{-global-depth } x = \text{Inf } \{\text{nat } (p\text{-depth } p x + 1) \mid p. \neg p\text{-equal } p x 0\}$ 
if  $\neg \text{globally-}p\text{-equal } x 0$ 
using that unfolding  $bdd\text{-global-depth-def}$  by argo

lemma  $bdd\text{-global-depthD-as-image}:$ 
 $bdd\text{-global-depth } x = (\text{INF } p \in \{p. \neg p\text{-equal } p x 0\}. \text{nat } (p\text{-depth } p x + 1))$ 
if  $\neg \text{globally-}p\text{-equal } x 0$ 
using that  $\text{image-Collect}$  unfolding  $bdd\text{-global-depth-def}$  by metis

lemma  $bdd\text{-global-depth-cong}:$ 

```

```

bdd-global-depth x = bdd-global-depth y if globally-p-equal x y
proof (cases globally-p-equal x 0)
  case True with that show ?thesis using globally-p-equal-trans-right[of x y 0] by
    fastforce
  next
  case False
  moreover from that have {p. ¬ p-equal p x 0} = {p. ¬ p-equal p y 0}
    using trans[of - x y 0] trans-right[of - x y 0] by auto
  moreover from that
    have (λp. nat (p-depth p x + 1)) = (λp. nat (p-depth p y + 1))
      using depth-equiv[of - x y]
      by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using that globally-p-equal-trans bdd-global-depthD-as-image
  by metis
qed

lemma bdd-global-depth-le:
  bdd-global-depth x ≤ nat (p-depth p x + 1) if ¬ p-equal p x 0
  using that bdd-global-depthD-as-image cINF-lower[of λp. nat (p-depth p x + 1)]
  by fastforce

lemma bdd-global-depth-greatest:
  bdd-global-depth x ≥ B
  if ¬ p-equal p x 0
  and ∀ q. ¬ p-equal q x 0 → nat (p-depth q x + 1) ≥ B
  using that bdd-global-depthD-as-image
    cINF-greatest[of
      {p. ¬ p-equal p x 0} B λp. nat (p-depth p x + 1)
    ]
  by fastforce

lemma bdd-global-depth-witness:
  assumes ¬ globally-p-equal x 0
  obtains p where ¬ p-equal p x 0 and bdd-global-depth x = nat (p-depth p x + 1)
proof-
  define D where D ≡ {nat (p-depth p x + 1) | p. ¬ p-equal p x 0}
  from assms obtain q where ¬ p-equal q x 0 by blast
  with D-def have nat (p-depth q x + 1) ∈ D by force
  with assms D-def obtain p
    where ¬ p-equal p x 0
    and bdd-global-depth x = nat (p-depth p x + 1)
    using wellorder-InfI[of - D] bdd-global-depthD
    by auto
  with that show thesis by meson
qed

lemma bdd-global-depth-eq-0:
  bdd-global-depth x = 0 if p-depth p x < 0

```

using that depth-equiv-0 bdd-global-depth-le[of p x] by fastforce

```

lemma bdd-global-depth-eq-0-iff:
  bdd-global-depth x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists p.$  p-depth p x < 0)  $\vee$  (globally-p-equal x 0)
  (is ?L = ?R)
proof
  assume L: ?L show ?R
  proof clarify
    assume  $\neg$  globally-p-equal x 0
    from this obtain p where  $\neg$  p-equal p x 0 and bdd-global-depth x = nat
      (p-depth p x + 1)
    using bdd-global-depth-witness[of x] by auto
    with L have p-depth p x < 0 by force
    thus  $\exists p.$  p-depth p x < 0 by blast
  qed
next
  assume ?R thus ?L by (cases globally-p-equal x 0, use bdd-global-depth-eq-0 in
  auto)
qed

```

```

lemma bdd-global-depth-diff: bdd-global-depth (x - y) = bdd-global-depth (y - x)
  using depth-diff conv-0 sym-iff[of - x y] unfolding bdd-global-depth-def by simp

```

```

lemma bdd-global-depth-uminus: bdd-global-depth (- x) = bdd-global-depth x
  using depth-uminus uminus-iff[of - x 0] unfolding bdd-global-depth-def by auto

```

```

lemma bdd-global-depth-nonarch:
  bdd-global-depth (x + y)  $\geq$  min (bdd-global-depth x) (bdd-global-depth y)
  if  $\neg$  globally-p-equal (x + y) 0
proof-
  consider globally-p-equal x 0 | globally-p-equal y 0 |
    (n0)  $\neg$  globally-p-equal x 0  $\neg$  globally-p-equal y 0
  by blast
  thus ?thesis
proof cases
  case n0
  define N :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where N  $\equiv$   $\lambda x.$  {p.  $\neg$  p-equal p x 0}
  define dp1 where dp1  $\equiv$   $\lambda x p.$  p-depth p x + 1
  define trunc-dp1 where trunc-dp1  $\equiv$   $\lambda x p.$  nat (dp1 x p)
  define inf-trunc-dp1 :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  nat
    where inf-trunc-dp1  $\equiv$   $\lambda x.$  (INF p $\in$ N x. trunc-dp1 x p)
  from inf-trunc-dp1-def have inf-trunc-dp1:
     $\wedge p x. p \in N x \implies$  inf-trunc-dp1 x  $\leq$  trunc-dp1 x p
    using cINF-lower by fast
  define choose-dpth :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int where
    choose-dpth  $\equiv$   $\lambda p.$ 
      if p-equal p x 0 then dp1 y p
      else if p-equal p y 0 then dp1 x p

```

```

else min (dp1 x p) (dp1 y p)
from that n0 N-def
have nempty: N x ≠ {} N y ≠ {} N (x + y) ≠ {}
using globally-p-equalI
by (blast, blast, blast)
have
Inf ((nat ∘ choose-dpth) ` (N x ∪ N y)) =
min (inf-trunc-dp1 x) (inf-trunc-dp1 y)
proof (intro cInf-eq-non-empty)
fix n assume n ∈ (nat ∘ choose-dpth) ` (N x ∪ N y)
from this obtain p where p ∈ N x ∪ N y n = nat (choose-dpth p) by auto
thus min (inf-trunc-dp1 x) (inf-trunc-dp1 y) ≤ n
using N-def trunc-dp1-def choose-dpth-def inf-trunc-dp1[of p x] inf-trunc-dp1[of
p y]
by auto
next
fix n
assume *:
Λm. m ∈ (nat ∘ choose-dpth) ` (N x ∪ N y) ==>
n ≤ m
have n ≤ inf-trunc-dp1 x
unfolding inf-trunc-dp1-def
proof (intro cInf-greatest)
fix m assume m ∈ trunc-dp1 x ` N x
from this obtain p where p ∈ N x m = trunc-dp1 x p by blast
with N-def trunc-dp1-def choose-dpth-def * show n ≤ m
by (cases p ∈ N y, fastforce, fastforce)
qed (simp add: nempty(1))
moreover have n ≤ inf-trunc-dp1 y
unfolding inf-trunc-dp1-def
proof (intro cInf-greatest)
fix m assume m ∈ trunc-dp1 y ` N y
from this obtain p where p ∈ N y m = trunc-dp1 y p by blast
with N-def trunc-dp1-def choose-dpth-def * show n ≤ m
by (cases p ∈ N x, fastforce, fastforce)
qed (simp add: nempty(2))
ultimately
show n ≤ min (inf-trunc-dp1 x) (inf-trunc-dp1 y)
by auto
qed (simp add: nempty(1))
moreover from n0(1) have inf-trunc-dp1 x = bdd-global-depth x
using bdd-global-depthD-as-image
unfolding N-def inf-trunc-dp1-def trunc-dp1-def dp1-def
by auto
moreover from n0(2) have inf-trunc-dp1 y = bdd-global-depth y
using bdd-global-depthD-as-image
unfolding N-def inf-trunc-dp1-def trunc-dp1-def dp1-def
by auto
ultimately have

```

```

min (bdd-global-depth x) (bdd-global-depth y) =
  Inf ((nat ∘ choose-dpth) ` (N x ∪ N y))
  by presburger
also have ... ≤ inf-trunc-dp1 (x + y)
  unfolding inf-trunc-dp1-def
proof (intro cINF-mono nempty(3))
  fix p assume p: p ∈ N (x + y)
  with N-def have nequiv0:
    ▷ p-equal p (x + y) 0 ▷ p-equal p x 0 ∨ ▷ p-equal p y 0
    using add[of p x 0 y 0] by auto
  from nequiv0(2) consider
    p-equal p x 0 | p-equal p y 0 ▷ p-equal p x 0 |
    (neither) ▷ p-equal p x 0 ▷ p-equal p y 0
    by blast
  hence (nat ∘ choose-dpth) p ≤ trunc-dp1 (x + y) p
  proof cases
    case neither with nequiv0(1) show (nat ∘ choose-dpth) p ≤ trunc-dp1 (x
+ y) p
      using depth-nonarch
      by (fastforce simp add: choose-dpth-def trunc-dp1-def dp1-def)
  qed (
    simp-all add: choose-dpth-def trunc-dp1-def dp1-def depth-add-equiv0-left
    depth-add-equiv0-right
  )
  moreover from N-def nequiv0(2) have p ∈ N x ∪ N y by force
  ultimately show
    ∃ q ∈ N x ∪ N y. (nat ∘ choose-dpth) q ≤ trunc-dp1 (x + y) p
    by blast
  qed simp
  finally show ?thesis
  using that N-def inf-trunc-dp1-def trunc-dp1-def dp1-def bdd-global-depthD-as-image
  by simp
  qed simp-all
qed

definition bdd-global-dist :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ real where
  bdd-global-dist x y =
    (if globally-p-equal x y then 0 else inverse (2 ^ bdd-global-depth (x - y)))

lemma bdd-global-dist-eqD [simp]: bdd-global-dist x y = 0 if globally-p-equal x y
  using that unfolding bdd-global-dist-def by simp

lemma bdd-global-distD:
  bdd-global-dist x y = inverse (2 ^ bdd-global-depth (x - y)) if ▷ globally-p-equal
  x y
  using that unfolding bdd-global-dist-def by fastforce

lemma bdd-global-dist-cong:
  bdd-global-dist w x = bdd-global-dist y z

```

```

if globally-p-equal w y and globally-p-equal x z
proof (cases globally-p-equal w x)
  case True with that show ?thesis using globally-p-equal-cong using bdd-global-dist-eqD
  by metis
next
  case False with that show ?thesis
  using globally-p-equal-sym globally-p-equal-cong bdd-global-distD globally-p-equal-minus
    bdd-global-depth-cong[of w - x y - z]
  by metis
qed

lemma bdd-global-dist-nonneg: bdd-global-dist x y ≥ 0
  unfolding bdd-global-dist-def by auto

lemma bdd-global-dist-bdd: bdd-global-dist x y ≤ 1
  using le-imp-inverse-le[of 1 2 ^ bdd-global-depth (x - y)]
  unfolding bdd-global-dist-def
  by auto

lemma bdd-global-dist-lessI:
  bdd-global-dist x y < e
  if pos: e > 0
  and depth:
    ∧ p. ¬ p-equal p x y ==>
      p-depth p (x - y) ≥ ⌊ log 2 (inverse e) ⌋
  proof (cases e > 1)
    case True
    moreover have bdd-global-dist x y ≤ 1 by (rule bdd-global-dist-bdd)
    ultimately show ?thesis by linarith
  next
    case False
    hence small: e ≤ 1 by linarith
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases globally-p-equal x y)
      case False
      define d where d ≡ ⌊ log 2 (inverse e) ⌋
      have
        ∀ p. ¬ p-equal p x y —>
          nat (p-depth p (x - y) + 1) ≥ nat (d + 1)
      proof clarify
        fix p assume ¬ p-equal p x y
        with depth d-def have *: p-depth p (x - y) ≥ d by simp
        moreover from pos d-def have d ≥ 0
        using small le-imp-inverse-le zero-le-log-cancel-iff[of 2 inverse e] by force
        ultimately have p-depth p (x - y) + 1 > 0 by linarith
        with * show nat (p-depth p (x - y) + 1) ≥ nat (d + 1)
        using le-nat-iff[of p-depth p (x - y) + 1 nat d] by simp
      qed
      with False have bdd-global-depth (x - y) ≥ nat (d + 1)
    qed
  qed

```

```

using conv-0 bdd-global-depth-greatest by auto
with d-def have bdd-global-depth (x - y) ≥ d + 1 by auto
with pos d-def have e > inverse (2 ^ bdd-global-depth (x - y))
  using real-of-int-floor-add-one-gt[of log 2 (inverse e)]
    log-pow-cancel[of 2 bdd-global-depth (x - y)]
    log-less-cancel-iff[of 2 inverse e 2 ^ bdd-global-depth (x - y)]
    less-imp-inverse-less
    by fastforce
  moreover from False have bdd-global-dist x y = inverse (2 ^ bdd-global-depth
(x - y))
    using bdd-global-distD by force
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed (simp add: pos)
qed

lemma bdd-global-dist-less-imp:
  p-depth p (x - y) ≥ ⌊log 2 (inverse e)⌋
  if e > 0 and e ≤ 1 and ¬ p-equal p x y and bdd-global-dist x y < e
proof-
  from that have log 2 (inverse e) < bdd-global-depth (x - y)
  using bdd-global-distD inverse-less-imp-less[of - inverse e] log-less[of 2 inverse
e]
  by fastforce
  hence ⌊log 2 (inverse e)⌋ < bdd-global-depth (x - y) by linarith
  with that(3) have ⌊log 2 (inverse e)⌋ < int (nat (p-depth p (x - y) + 1))
  using conv-0 bdd-global-depth-le by fastforce
  moreover from that(1,2) have ⌊log 2 (inverse e)⌋ ≥ 0
  using le-imp-inverse-le zero-le-log-cancel-iff[of 2 inverse e] by force
  ultimately show ?thesis by linarith
qed

lemma bdd-global-dist-less-pow2-iff:
  bdd-global-dist x y < inverse (2 ^ n) ↔
  (forall p. ¬ p-equal p x y → p-depth p (x - y) ≥ int n)
proof (cases globally-p-equal x y)
  case False show ?thesis
  proof (standard, standard, clarify)
    fix p assume ¬ p-equal p x y
    moreover assume bdd-global-dist x y < inverse (2 ^ n)
    ultimately show p-depth p (x - y) ≥ int n
    using le-imp-inverse-le[of 1 2 ^ n] bdd-global-dist-less-imp[of inverse (2 ^ n)
p x y]
    by force
  next
    assume ∀ p. ¬ p-equal p x y → p-depth p (x - y) ≥ int n
    thus bdd-global-dist x y < inverse (2 ^ n) by (auto intro: bdd-global-dist-lessI)
  qed
qed simp

```

```

lemma bdd-global-dist-sym: bdd-global-dist x y = bdd-global-dist y x
  using globally-p-equal-sym bdd-global-depth-diff unfolding bdd-global-dist-def by
  force

lemma bdd-global-dist-conv0: bdd-global-dist x y = bdd-global-dist (x - y) 0
  using globally-p-equal-conv-0 unfolding bdd-global-dist-def by simp

lemma bdd-global-dist-conv0': bdd-global-dist x y = bdd-global-dist 0 (x - y)
  using bdd-global-dist-conv0 bdd-global-dist-sym by simp

lemma bdd-global-dist-nonarch:
  bdd-global-dist x y ≤ max (bdd-global-dist x z) (bdd-global-dist y z)
proof (cases globally-p-equal x y)
  case True thus ?thesis
    using bdd-global-dist-nonneg[of x z] bdd-global-dist-nonneg[of y z] by simp
next
  case xy: False show ?thesis
  proof (cases globally-p-equal x z)
    case True thus ?thesis
      using bdd-global-dist-cong[of x z y y] bdd-global-dist-sym by auto
next
  case xx: False show ?thesis
  proof (cases globally-p-equal y z)
    case True thus ?thesis
      using bdd-global-dist-cong[of y z x x] bdd-global-dist-sym by auto
next
  case False with xy xz show ?thesis
  proof (induct x y rule: linorder-wlog'[of λx. bdd-global-dist x z])
    case (le x y)
      from le(2) have ¬ globally-p-equal ((x - z) + (z - y)) 0
      using globally-p-equal-conv-0[of x y] by fastforce
      moreover from le(1,3,4) have bdd-global-depth (x - z) ≥ bdd-global-depth
      (y - z)
        using bdd-global-distD inverse-le-imp-le by auto
        ultimately have bdd-global-depth (x - y) ≥ bdd-global-depth (y - z)
        using bdd-global-depth-nonarch[of x - z z - y] bdd-global-depth-diff[of y
      z] by auto
        with le(1,2,4)
        show bdd-global-dist x y ≤ max (bdd-global-dist x z) (bdd-global-dist y z)
        using le-imp-inverse-le bdd-global-distD
        by auto
next
  case (sym x y)
  hence bdd-global-dist y x ≤ max (bdd-global-dist y z) (bdd-global-dist x z)
    using globally-p-equal-sym[of y x] by blast
    thus ?case using bdd-global-dist-sym max.commute by metis
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

qed

```
lemma bdd-global-dist-ball-multicentre:
  {z. bdd-global-dist y z < e} = {z. bdd-global-dist x z < e}
  if bdd-global-dist x y < e
proof-
  define B where B ≡ λx. {z. bdd-global-dist x z < e}
  moreover have ∀x y. bdd-global-dist x y < e ⇒ B y ⊆ B x
    unfolding B-def
  proof clarify
    fix x y z
    assume bdd-global-dist x y < e and bdd-global-dist y z < e
    thus bdd-global-dist x z < e
      using bdd-global-dist-nonarch[of x z y] bdd-global-dist-sym by simp
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using that bdd-global-dist-sym by fastforce
  qed

lemma bdd-global-dist-ball-at-0:
  {z. bdd-global-dist 0 z < inverse (2 ^ n)} = global-depth-set (int n)
  using bdd-global-dist-less-pow2-iff bdd-global-dist-sym unfolding global-depth-set-def
  by auto

lemma bdd-global-dist-ball-UNIV:
  {z. bdd-global-dist x z < e} = UNIV if e > 1
  using that bdd-global-dist-bdd order-le-less-subst1[of - id 1 e] by fastforce

lemma bdd-global-dist-ball-at-0-normalize:
  {z. bdd-global-dist 0 z < e} = global-depth-set (lfloor log 2 (inverse e) floor)
  if e > 0 and e ≤ 1
  using that bdd-global-dist-less-imp bdd-global-dist-sym bdd-global-dist-lessI
  unfolding global-depth-set-def
  by fastforce

lemma bdd-global-dist-ball-translate:
  {z. bdd-global-dist x z < e} = x +o {z. bdd-global-dist 0 z < e}
  unfolding elt-set-plus-def
proof safe
  fix y assume bdd-global-dist x y < e
  hence bdd-global-dist 0 (y - x) < e
    using bdd-global-dist-sym bdd-global-dist-conv0' by presburger
  moreover have y = x + (y - x) by auto
  ultimately show ∃ b ∈ {z. bdd-global-dist 0 z < e}. y = x + b by fast
next
  fix y assume bdd-global-dist 0 y < e
  thus bdd-global-dist x (x + y) < e
    using bdd-global-dist-conv0'[of x + y x] bdd-global-dist-sym by auto
qed
```

```

lemma bdd-global-dist-left-translate-continuous:
  bdd-global-dist (x + y) (x + z) < e if bdd-global-dist y z < e
  using that bdd-global-dist-conv0[of y z] bdd-global-dist-conv0[of x + y x + z] by
  simp

lemma bdd-global-dist-right-translate-continuous:
  bdd-global-dist (y + x) (z + x) < e if bdd-global-dist y z < e
  using that bdd-global-dist-conv0[of y z] bdd-global-dist-conv0[of y + x z + x] by
  simp

definition global-cauchy-condition :: 
  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    global-cauchy-condition X n K =
      ( $\forall k1 \geq K. \forall k2 \geq K. \forall p.$ 
        $\neg p\text{-equal } p(X k1)(X k2) \longrightarrow \text{nat}(p\text{-depth } p(X k1 - X k2)) > n$ 
      )
    )

lemma global-cauchy-conditionI:
  global-cauchy-condition X n K
  if
     $\wedge p k k'. k \geq K \implies k' \geq K \implies$ 
     $\neg p\text{-equal } p(X k)(X k') \implies \text{nat}(p\text{-depth } p(X k - X k')) > n$ 
  using that unfolding global-cauchy-condition-def by blast

lemma global-cauchy-conditionD:
  nat(p-depth p(X k - X k')) > n
  if global-cauchy-condition X n K and k  $\geq K$  and k'  $\geq K$ 
  and  $\neg p\text{-equal } p(X k)(X k')$ 
  using that unfolding global-cauchy-condition-def by blast

lemma global-cauchy-condition-mono-seq-tail:
  global-cauchy-condition X n K  $\implies$  global-cauchy-condition X n K'
  if K'  $\geq K$ 
  using that unfolding global-cauchy-condition-def by auto

lemma global-cauchy-condition-mono-depth:
  global-cauchy-condition X n K  $\implies$  global-cauchy-condition X m K
  if m  $\leq n$ 
  using that unfolding global-cauchy-condition-def by fastforce

abbreviation globally-cauchy X  $\equiv$  ( $\forall n. \exists K. \text{global-cauchy-condition } X n K$ )

lemma global-cauchy-condition-LEAST:
  global-cauchy-condition X n (LEAST K. global-cauchy-condition X n K) if globally-cauchy X
  using that Least1I[OF ex-ex1-least-nat-le] by blast

lemma global-cauchy-condition-LEAST-mono:

```

```

(LEAST K. global-cauchy-condition X m K) ≤ (LEAST K. global-cauchy-condition
X n K)
  if globally-cauchy X and m ≤ n
  using that least-le-least[OF ex-ex1-least-nat-le ex-ex1-least-nat-le]
    global-cauchy-condition-mono-depth
  by force

definition bdd-global-uniformity :: ('b × 'b) filter
  where bdd-global-uniformity = (INF e∈{0 <..}. principal {(x, y). bdd-global-dist
x y < e})

end

context global-p-equal-depth
begin

sublocale metric-space-by-bdd-depth:
  metric-space bdd-global-dist bdd-global-uniformity
  λ U. ∀ x∈U.
    eventually (λ(x', y). x' = x → y ∈ U) bdd-global-uniformity

proof
  fix x y z
  define dxy dxz dyz
    where dxy ≡ bdd-global-dist x y
    and dxz ≡ bdd-global-dist x z
    and dyz ≡ bdd-global-dist y z
  hence dxy ≤ max dxz dyz using bdd-global-dist-nonarch by metis
  also from dxz-def dyz-def have ... ≤ max dxz dyz + min dxz dyz
    using bdd-global-dist-def by auto
  finally show dxy ≤ dxz + dyz by auto
  from dxy-def show (dxy = 0) = (x = y) using global-eq-iff unfolding bdd-global-dist-def
  by simp
qed (simp only: bdd-global-uniformity-def, simp)

lemma nonneg-global-depth-set-LIMSEQ-closed:
  x ∈ global-depth-set (int n)
  if lim: metric-space-by-bdd-depth.LIMSEQ X x
  and seq: ∀ F k in sequentially. X k ∈ global-depth-set (int n)
proof (intro global-depth-setI)
  fix p assume p: ¬ p-equal p x 0
  from seq obtain K where K: ∀ k≥K. X k ∈ global-depth-set (int n)
    using eventually-sequentially by fastforce
  from lim have ∀ F k in sequentially. bdd-global-dist (X k) x < inverse (2 ^ n)
    using metric-space-by-bdd-depth.tendsToD[of X x sequentially inverse (2 ^ n)]
  by fastforce
  from this obtain K' :: nat
    where K': ∀ k≥K'. bdd-global-dist (X k) x < inverse (2 ^ n)
    using eventually-sequentially
    by meson

```

```

define m where m ≡ max K K'
consider
  (eq) p-equal p (X m) x |
  (0) ¬ p-equal p (X m) x p-equal p (X m) 0 |
  (n0) ¬ p-equal p (X m) x ¬ p-equal p (X m) 0
  by blast
thus p-depth p x ≥ int n
proof cases
  case eq
    moreover from this K m-def have X m ∈ global-depth-set (int n) by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using p trans-right global-depth-setD depth-equiv by
    metis
  next
    case 0 with K' m-def show ?thesis
      using bdd-global-dist-less-pow2-iff[of X m x n] depth-diff-equiv0-left by auto
  next
    case n0
    with p K K' m-def show ?thesis
      using bdd-global-dist-less-pow2-iff[of X m x n] global-depth-setD[of p X m int
      n]
        depth-pre-nonarch-diff-right[of p x X m]
      by force
  qed
qed

lemma globally-cauchy-vs-bdd-metric-Cauchy:
  globally-cauchy X = metric-space-by-bdd-depth.Cauchy X for X :: nat ⇒ 'b
proof
  assume X: globally-cauchy X show metric-space-by-bdd-depth.Cauchy X
  proof (intro metric-space-by-bdd-depth.metric-CauchyI)
    fix e :: real assume pos: e > 0
    show ∃ M. ∀ m≥M. ∀ n≥M. bdd-global-dist (X m) (X n) < e
    proof (cases e > 1)
      case True
      hence ∀ m≥0. ∀ n≥0. bdd-global-dist (X m) (X n) < e
        using bdd-global-dist-bdd order-le-less-subst1[of - id 1 e] by fastforce
      thus ?thesis by blast
    next
      case False
      hence small: e ≤ 1 by simp
      define d where d ≡ ⌊ log 2 (inverse e) ⌋
      from X obtain M where M: global-cauchy-condition X (nat d) M by fast
      have ∀ m≥M. ∀ n≥M. bdd-global-dist (X m) (X n) < e
      proof (clarify, intro bdd-global-dist-lessI pos)
        fix m n :: nat and p :: 'a
        assume m ≥ M and n ≥ M and ¬ p-equal p (X m) (X n)
        with M have nat (p-depth p (X m - X n)) > nat d using global-cauchy-conditionD
        by blast
        moreover from d-def pos small have d ≥ 0
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

    using le-imp-inverse-le zero-le-log-cancel-iff[of 2 inverse e] by force
    ultimately show p-depth p (X m - X n) ≥ ⌊ log 2 (inverse e) ⌋
      using d-def by simp
qed
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
next
assume X: metric-space-by-bdd-depth.Cauchy X show globally-cauchy X
proof
fix n
from X obtain M
  where M:
    ∀ m≥M. ∀ m'≥M. bdd-global-dist (X m) (X m') < inverse (2 ^ Suc n)
  using metric-space-by-bdd-depth.metric-CauchyD[of X inverse (2 ^ Suc n)]
  by auto
hence global-cauchy-condition X n M
  using bdd-global-dist-less-pow2-iff[of - - Suc n]
  by (fastforce intro: global-cauchy-conditionI)
thus ∃ M. global-cauchy-condition X n M by fast
qed
qed

end

context global-p-equal-depth-no-zero-divisors
begin

lemma bdd-global-dist-bdd-mult-continuous:
bdd-global-dist (w * x) (w * y) < e
if pos : e > 0
and bdd : ∀ p. ¬ p-equal p w 0 → p-depth p w ≥ n
and input: bdd-global-dist x y < min (e * 2 powi (n - 1)) 1
proof (cases e > 1, use bdd-global-dist-ball-UNIV in blast, intro bdd-global-dist-lessI
pos)
case False
fix p assume p: ¬ p-equal p (w * x) (w * y)
from p have w: ¬ p-equal p w 0
  using mult-0-left cong[of p 0 w * x 0 w * y] sym by force
from p have xy: ¬ p-equal p x y using mult-left by force
from p have wxy: ¬ p-equal p (w * (x - y)) 0
  using conv-0 right-diff-distrib[of w x y] by auto
define d' where d' ≡ e * 2 powi (n - 1)
define d where d ≡ min d' 1
define m where m ≡ ⌊ log 2 (inverse d) ⌋
from pos d-def d'-def have d > 0 d ≤ 1 by auto
with input m-def d-def d'-def have m ≤ p-depth p (x - y)
  using xy bdd-global-dist-less-imp[of d p x y] by fast
with bdd have *: n + m ≤ p-depth p (w * x - w * y)

```

```

using w wxy right-diff-distrib[of w x y] depth-mult-additive[of p w x - y]
      bdd-global-depth-le[of p w]
by force
moreover have n + m ≥ log 2 (inverse e)
proof (cases e * 2 powi (n - 1) < 1)
case True
moreover from m-def have real-of-int (n + m) ≥ real-of-int n + (- log 2 d
- 1)
      using real-of-int-floor-ge-diff-one log-inverse by fastforce
      ultimately show ?thesis using d-def d'-def pos by (simp add: log-mult
log-inverse algebra-simps)
next
case False
moreover from this pos have log 2 (e * 2 powi (n - 1)) ≥ 0
      by (simp add: le-imp-inverse-le log-mono log-inverse)
      ultimately show ?thesis using pos m-def d-def d'-def
      by (fastforce simp add: log-mult log-inverse)
qed
ultimately show p-depth p (w * x - w * y) ≥ ⌈ log 2 (inverse e) ⌉ by linarith
qed

lemma bdd-global-dist-limseq-bdd-mult:
metric-space-by-bdd-depth.LIMSEQ (λk. w * X k) (w * x)
if bdd: ∀ p. ∼ p-equal p w 0 → p-depth p w ≥ n
and seq: metric-space-by-bdd-depth.LIMSEQ X x
proof
fix e :: real assume e: e > 0
define d where d ≡ min (e * 2 powi (n - 1)) 1
with e have d: d > 0 by auto
with seq obtain K :: nat where ∀ k≥K. bdd-global-dist (X k) x < d
      using metric-space-by-bdd-depth.tendstoD[of X x] eventually-sequentially by
meson
with bdd e d-def have ∀ k≥K. bdd-global-dist (w * X k) (w * x) < e
      using bdd-global-dist-bdd-mult-continuous by blast
thus ∀ F k in sequentially. bdd-global-dist (w * X k) (w * x) < e
      using eventually-sequentially by blast
qed

end

context global-p-equal-depth-div-inv-w-inj-index-consts
begin

lemma bdd-global-dist-limseq-global-uniformizer-powि-mult:
metric-space-by-bdd-depth.LIMSEQ
      (λk. global-uniformizer powi n * X k) (global-uniformizer powi n * x)
if metric-space-by-bdd-depth.LIMSEQ X x
using that bdd-global-dist-limseq-bdd-mult[of - n] p-depth-global-uniformizer-powि
by auto

```

```

lemma global-depth-set-LIMSEQ-closed:
  x ∈ global-depth-set n
  if lim : metric-space-by-bdd-depth.LIMSEQ X x
  and depth: ∀ F k in sequentially. X k ∈ global-depth-set n
proof-
  define Y and y
  where Y ≡ λk. global-uniformizer powi (-n) * X k
  and y ≡ global-uniformizer powi (-n) * x
  from depth Y-def have ∀ F k in sequentially. Y k ∈ global-depth-set 0
  using global-depth-set-eq-global-uniformizer-coset'[of n] eventually-sequentially
  by auto
  with Y-def y-def lim have y ∈ global-depth-set 0
  using bdd-global-dist-limseq-global-uniformizer-powi-mult
    nonneg-global-depth-set-LIMSEQ-closed[of Y y 0]
  by simp
  moreover from y-def have global-uniformizer powi n * y = x
  using global-uniformizer-powi-add[of n -n] by (simp add: ac-simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using global-depth-set-eq-global-uniformizer-coset[of n] by blast
qed

end

```

3.8 Notation

```

consts
  p-equal :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  globally-p-equal :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  ((-/ ≈_p / -) [51, 51] 50)
  p-restrict :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'b (infixl prerestrict 70)
  p-depth :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ int
  p-depth-set :: 'a ⇒ int ⇒ 'b set (P@-)
  global-depth-set :: int ⇒ 'b set (P_<)
  global-unfrmzr-pows :: ('a ⇒ 'c) ⇒ 'b

abbreviation p-equal-notation :: 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  ((-/ ≈ / -) [51, 51, 51] 50)
  where p-equal-notation x p y ≡ p-equal p x y

abbreviation p-nequal-notation :: 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  ((-/ ≈ / -) [51, 51, 51] 50)
  where p-nequal-notation x p y ≡ ¬ p-equal p x y

abbreviation p-depth-notation :: 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ int
  ((-°) [51, 51] 50)
  where p-depth-notation x p ≡ p-depth p x

```

```

abbreviation p-depth-set-0-notation :: 'a ⇒ 'b set ( $\mathcal{O}_{@-}$ )
  where p-depth-set-0-notation p ≡ p-depth-set p 0

abbreviation p-depth-set-1-notation :: 'a ⇒ 'b set ( $\mathcal{P}_{@-}$ )
  where p-depth-set-1-notation p ≡ p-depth-set p 1

abbreviation global-depth-set-0-notation :: 'b set ( $\mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ )
  where global-depth-set-0-notation ≡ global-depth-set 0

abbreviation global-depth-set-1-notation :: 'b set ( $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$ )
  where global-depth-set-1-notation ≡ global-depth-set 1

abbreviation p ≡ global-unfrmzr-pows

end

```

```

theory FLS-Prime-Equiv-Depth

imports
  Parametric-Equiv-Depth
  HOL.Equiv-Relations
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Formal-Laurent-Series

```

```

begin

unbundle fps-syntax

```

4 Equivalence of sequences of formal Laurent series relative to divisibility of partial sums by a fixed prime

4.1 Preliminaries

4.1.1 Lift/transfer of equivalence relations.

```

lemma reflp-transfer:
  reflp r ⟹ reflp (λx y. r (f x) (f y))
  unfolding reflp-def by fast

```

```

lemma symp-transfer:
  symp r ⟹ symp (λx y. r (f x) (f y))
  unfolding symp-def by fast

```

```

lemma transp-transfer:
  transp r ⟹ transp (λx y. r (f x) (f y))
  unfolding transp-def by fast

```

lemma *equivp-transfer*:
equivp r \implies *equivp* ($\lambda x\ y.\ r\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y)$)
using *relfp-transfer symp-transfer transp-transfer*
equivp-reflp-symp-transp[of *r*] *equivp-reflp-symp-transp*[of $\lambda x\ y.\ r\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y)$]
by *fast*

4.1.2 An additional fact about products/sums

lemma (in *comm-monoid-set*) *atLeastAtMost-int-shift-bounds*:
F g {m..n} = *F* (*g* \circ *plus m* \circ *int*) {..nat (*n* − *m*)}
if *m* ≤ *n*
for *m n* :: *int*
proof (rule *reindex-bij-witness*)
define *i* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *int* **and** *j* :: *int* \Rightarrow *nat*
where *i* ≡ *plus m* \circ *int*
and *j* ≡ ($\lambda x.$ *nat* (*x* − *m*))
fix *a b* **from** *i-def j-def* **that**
show *a* ∈ {*m..n*} \implies *i* (*j a*) = *a*
and *a* ∈ {*m..n*} \implies *j a* ∈ {..nat (*n* − *m*)}
and *b* ∈ {..nat (*n* − *m*)} \implies *j* (*i b*) = *b*
and *b* ∈ {..nat (*n* − *m*)} \implies *i b* ∈ {*m..n*}
and *a* ∈ {*m..n*} \implies (*g* \circ (+) *m* \circ *int*) (*j a*) = *g a*
by *auto*
qed

4.1.3 An additional fact about algebraic powers of functions

lemma *pow-fun-apply*: (*f* \wedge *n*) *x* = (*f x*) \wedge *n*
by (*induct n, simp-all*)

4.1.4 Some additional facts about formal power and Laurent series

lemma *fps-shift-fps-mult-by-fps-const*:
fixes *c* :: 'a::{'comm-monoid-add,mult-zero}
shows *fps-shift n* (*fps-const c* * *f*) = *fps-const c* * *fps-shift n f*
and *fps-shift n* (*f* * *fps-const c*) = *fps-shift n f* * *fps-const c*
by (*auto intro: fps-ext*)

lemma *fps-shift-mult-Suc*:
fixes *f g* :: 'a::{'comm-monoid-add,mult-zero} *fps*
shows *fps-shift* (*Suc n*) (*f*g*)
= *fps-const (f\$0)* * *fps-shift* (*Suc n*) *g* + *fps-shift n* (*fps-shift 1 f* * *g*)
and *fps-shift* (*Suc n*) (*f*g*)
= *fps-shift n* (*f* * *fps-shift 1 g*) + *fps-shift* (*Suc n*) *f* * *fps-const (g\$0)*
proof—
show *fps-shift* (*Suc n*) (*f*g*)
= *fps-const (f\$0)* * *fps-shift* (*Suc n*) *g* + *fps-shift n* (*fps-shift 1 f* * *g*)
proof (*intro fps-ext*)
fix *k*

```

have {0 <.. Suc (k + n)} = {Suc 0 .. Suc (k + n)} by auto
thus
  fps-shift (Suc n) (f*g) $ k
  = (fps-const (f$0) * fps-shift (Suc n) g + fps-shift n (fps-shift 1 f * g)) $ k
  using fps-mult-nth[of - g]
    sum.head[of 0 k + Suc n λi. f$i * g$(k + Suc n - i)]
    sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost-Suc-shift[of λi. f$i * g$(k + Suc n - i) 0 k+n]
  by simp
qed
show fps-shift (Suc n) (f*g)
  = fps-shift n (f * fps-shift 1 g) + fps-shift (Suc n) f * fps-const (g$0)
proof (intro fps-ext)
  fix k
  have ∀ i ∈ {0..k + n}. Suc (k + n) - i = Suc (k + n - i) by auto
  thus fps-shift (Suc n) (f*g) $ k
    = (fps-shift n (f * fps-shift 1 g) + fps-shift (Suc n) f * fps-const (g$0)) $
      k
    using fps-mult-nth[of f] by simp
qed
qed

lemma fls-subdegree-minus':
  fls-subdegree (f - g) = fls-subdegree f
  if f ≠ 0 and fls-subdegree g > fls-subdegree f
  using that by (auto intro: fls-subdegree-eqI)

lemma fls-regpart-times-fps-X:
  fixes f :: 'a::comm-monoid-add,mult-zero,monoid-mult' fls
  assumes fls-subdegree f ≥ 0
  shows fls-regpart f * fps-X = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-1) f)
  and   fps-X * fls-regpart f = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-1) f)
proof-
  show fls-regpart f * fps-X = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-1) f)
  proof (intro fps-ext)
    fix n show (fls-regpart f * fps-X) $ n = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-1) f) $ n
    using assms by (cases n) auto
  qed
  thus fps-X * fls-regpart f = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-1) f)
    by (simp add: fps-mult-fps-X-commute)
qed

lemma fls-regpart-times-fps-X-power:
  fixes f :: 'a::semiring-1 fls
  assumes fls-subdegree f ≥ 0
  shows fls-regpart f * fps-X ^ n = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-n) f)
  and   fps-X ^ n * fls-regpart f = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-n) f)
proof-
  show fps-X ^ n * fls-regpart f = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-n) f)
  proof (induct n)

```

```

case (Suc n)
from assms Suc
  have A: fps-X  $\wedge$  Suc n * fls-regpart f = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-(int n + 1)))
 $f)$ 
  using fls-shift-nonneg-subdegree[of -int n f]
  by (simp add: mult.assoc fls-regpart-times-fps-X(2))
  have B:  $-(\text{int } n + 1) = -\text{int} (\text{Suc } n)$  by simp
  have fls-regpart (fls-shift (-(int n + 1)) f) = fls-regpart (fls-shift ( - int (Suc n)) f)
    using arg-cong[OF B] by simp
    with A show ?case by simp
  qed simp
  thus fls-regpart f * fps-X  $\wedge$  n = fls-regpart (fls-shift (-n) f)
    by (simp flip: fps-mult-fps-X-power-commute)
  qed

lemma fls-base-factor-uminus: fls-base-factor (-f) =  $-\text{fls-base-factor } f$ 
  unfolding fls-base-factor-def by simp

```

4.2 Partial evaluation of formal power series

Make a degree-n polynomial out of a formal power series.

definition *poly-of-fps*
where *poly-of-fps f n* = *Abs-poly (λi. if i ≤ n then f\$i else 0)*

lemma *if-then-MOST-zero*:
 $\forall \infty x. (\text{if } P x \text{ then } f x \text{ else } 0) = 0$
if $\forall \infty x. \neg P x$
proof (*rule iffD2, rule MOST-iff-cofinite*)
have $\{x. (\text{if } P x \text{ then } f x \text{ else } 0) \neq 0\} \subseteq \{x. \neg \neg P x\}$ **by auto**
with that show finite $\{x. (\text{if } P x \text{ then } f x \text{ else } 0) \neq 0\}$
using *iffD1[OF MOST-iff-cofinite]* *finite-subset* **by blast**
qed

lemma *truncated-fps-eventually-zero*:
 $(\lambda i. \text{if } i \leq n \text{ then } f\$i \text{ else } 0) \in \{g. \forall \infty n. g n = 0\}$
by (*auto intro: if-then-MOST-zero iffD2[OF eventually-cofinite]*)

lemma *coeff-poly-of-fps[simp]*:
coeff (poly-of-fps f n) i = $(\text{if } i \leq n \text{ then } f\$i \text{ else } 0)$
unfolding *poly-of-fps-def*
using *truncated-fps-eventually-zero[of n f]*
Abs-poly-inverse[of λi. if i ≤ n then f\$i else 0]
by *simp*

lemma *poly-of-fps-0[simp]*: *poly-of-fps 0 n* = 0
by (*auto intro: poly-eqI*)

lemma *poly-of-fps-0th-conv-pCons[simp]*: *poly-of-fps f 0* = [:*f\$0:*]

```

proof (intro poly-eqI)
  fix i
  show coeff (poly-of-fps f 0) i = coeff [:f$0:] i
    by (cases i) auto
qed

lemma poly-of-fps-degree: degree (poly-of-fps f n) ≤ n
  by (auto intro: degree-le)

lemma poly-of-fps-Suc:
  poly-of-fps f (Suc n) = poly-of-fps f n + monom (f $ Suc n) (Suc n)
proof (intro poly-eqI)
  fix m
  show
    coeff (poly-of-fps f (Suc n)) m =
      coeff (poly-of-fps f n + monom (f $ Suc n) (Suc n)) m
    by (cases m ≤ Suc n) auto
qed

lemma poly-of-fps-Suc-nth-eq0:
  poly-of-fps f (Suc n) = poly-of-fps f n if f $ Suc n = 0
proof (intro poly-eqI)
  fix i from that show coeff (poly-of-fps f (Suc n)) i = coeff (poly-of-fps f n) i
    by (cases i Suc n rule: linorder-cases) auto
qed

lemma poly-of-fps-Suc-conv-pCons-shift:
  poly-of-fps f (Suc n) = pCons (f$0) (poly-of-fps (fps-shift 1 f) n)
proof (intro poly-eqI)
  fix i
  show coeff (poly-of-fps f (Suc n)) i
    = coeff (pCons (f$0) (poly-of-fps (fps-shift 1 f) n)) i
  by (cases i) auto
qed

abbreviation fps-parteval f x n ≡ poly (poly-of-fps f n) x
abbreviation fls-base-parteval f ≡ fps-parteval (fls-base-factor-to-fps f)

lemma fps-parteval-0[simp]: fps-parteval 0 x n = 0
  by (induct n) auto

lemma fps-parteval-0th[simp]: fps-parteval f x 0 = f $ 0
  by simp

lemma fps-parteval-Suc:
  fps-parteval f x (Suc n) = fps-parteval f x n + (f $ Suc n) * x ^ Suc n
  for f :: 'a::comm-semiring-1 fps
  by (simp add: poly-of-fps-Suc poly-monom)

```

```

lemma fps-parteval-Suc-cons:
  fps-parteval f x (Suc n) = f$0 + x * fps-parteval (fps-shift 1 f) x n
  by (simp add: poly-of-fps-Suc-cons-pCons-shift)

lemma fps-parteval-at-0[simp]: fps-parteval f 0 n = f $ 0
  by (cases n) (auto simp add: fps-parteval-Suc-cons)

lemma fps-parteval-conv-sum:
  fps-parteval f x n = (∑ k≤n. f$k * x^k) for x :: 'a::comm-semiring-1
  by (induct n) (auto simp add: fps-parteval-Suc)

lemma fls-base-parteval-conv-sum:
  fls-base-parteval f x n = (∑ k≤n. f$$((fls-subdegree f + int k) * x^k))
  for f :: 'a::comm-semiring-1 fls and x :: 'a and n :: nat
  using fps-parteval-conv-sum[of fls-base-factor-to-fps f] fls-base-factor-to-fps-nth[of
f]
  by presburger

lemma fps-parteval-fps-const[simp]:
  fps-parteval (fps-const c) x n = c
  by (induct n) (auto simp add: fps-parteval-Suc-cons fps-shift-fps-const)

lemma fps-parteval-1[simp]: fps-parteval 1 x n = 1
  using fps-parteval-fps-const[of 1] by simp

lemma fps-parteval-mult-by-fps-const:
  fps-parteval (fps-const c * f) x n = c * fps-parteval f x n
  using mult.commute[of c x] mult.assoc[of x c] mult.assoc[of c x]
  by (induct n arbitrary: f)
    (auto simp add: fps-parteval-Suc-cons fps-shift-fps-mult-by-fps-const(1) dis-
trib-left)

lemma fps-parteval-plus[simp]:
  fps-parteval (f + g) x n = fps-parteval f x n + fps-parteval g x n
  by (induct n arbitrary: f g)
    (auto simp add: fps-parteval-Suc-cons fps-shift-add algebra-simps)

lemma fps-parteval-uminus[simp]:
  fps-parteval (-f) x n = - fps-parteval f x n for f :: 'a::comm-ring fps
  by (induct n arbitrary: f) (auto simp add: fps-parteval-Suc-cons fps-shift-uminus)

lemma fps-parteval-minus[simp]:
  fps-parteval (f - g) x n = fps-parteval f x n - fps-parteval g x n
  for f :: 'a::comm-ring fps
  using fps-parteval-plus[of f - g] by simp

lemma fps-parteval-mult-fps-X:
  fixes f :: 'a::comm-semiring-1 fps
  shows fps-parteval (f * fps-X) x (Suc n) = x * fps-parteval f x n

```

```

and   fps-parteval (fps-X * f) x (Suc n) = x * fps-parteval f x n
using fps-shift-times-fps-X'[of f]
by   (auto simp add: fps-parteval-Suc-cons simp flip: fps-mult-fps-X-commute)

lemma fps-parteval-mult-fps-X-power:
  fixes f :: 'a::comm-semiring-1 fps
  assumes n ≥ m
  shows   fps-parteval (f * fps-X ^ m) x n = x ^ m * fps-parteval f x (n - m)
  and    fps-parteval (fps-X ^ m * f) x n = x ^ m * fps-parteval f x (n - m)
proof-
  have
    n ≥ m ==> fps-parteval (f * fps-X ^ m) x n = x ^ m * fps-parteval f x (n - m)
  proof (induct m arbitrary: n)
    case (Suc m) thus ?case
      using fps-shift-times-fps-X'[of f * fps-X^m]
      by (cases n)
        (auto simp add: fps-parteval-Suc-cons mult.assoc simp flip: power-Suc2)
    qed simp
    with assms show fps-parteval (f * fps-X ^ m) x n = x ^ m * fps-parteval f x (n - m)
      by fast
    thus fps-parteval (fps-X ^ m * f) x n = x ^ m * fps-parteval f x (n - m)
      by (simp add: fps-mult-fps-X-power-commute)
  qed

lemma fps-parteval-mult-right:
  fps-parteval (f * g) x n =
    fps-parteval (Abs-fps (λi. f$i * fps-parteval g x (n - i))) x n
  proof (induct n arbitrary: f)
    case (Suc n)
    have 1:
      poly-of-fps (Abs-fps (λi. f $ Suc i * fps-parteval g x (n - i))) n
      = poly-of-fps (Abs-fps (λi. f $ Suc i * fps-parteval g x (Suc n - Suc i))) n
      by (intro poly-eqI) auto
    from Suc have
      fps-parteval (fps-shift 1 f * g) x n
      = fps-parteval (Abs-fps (λi. f $ Suc i * fps-parteval g x (n - i))) x n
      by simp
    with 1 have
      fps-parteval (f * g) x (Suc n)
      = f$0 * fps-parteval g x (Suc n)
      + x * fps-parteval (Abs-fps (λi. f $ Suc i * fps-parteval g x (n - i))) x n

      using fps-shift-mult-Suc(1)[of 0 f g]
      by (simp add: fps-parteval-Suc-cons fps-parteval-mult-by-fps-const algebra-simps)
      thus ?case by (simp add: fps-parteval-Suc-cons fps-shift-def)
    qed simp

lemma fps-parteval-mult-left:

```

```


$$\begin{aligned} \text{fps-parteval } (f * g) x n &= \\ &\quad \text{fps-parteval } (\text{Abs-fps } (\lambda i. \text{fps-parteval } f x (n - i) * g\$i)) x n \\ \text{using } \text{fps-parteval-mult-right}[of g f] \\ \text{by } (simp add: mult.commute) \end{aligned}$$


lemma fps-parteval-mult-conv-sum:
  fixes  $f g :: 'a::comm-semiring-1 \text{fps}$ 
  shows  $\text{fps-parteval } (f * g) x n = (\sum k \leq n. f\$k * \text{fps-parteval } g x (n - k) * x^k)$ 
  and  $\text{fps-parteval } (f * g) x n = (\sum k \leq n. \text{fps-parteval } f x (n - k) * g\$k * x^k)$ 
  using fps-parteval-mult-right[of f g n x]
     $\text{fps-parteval-conv-sum}[of \text{Abs-fps } (\lambda i. f\$i * \text{fps-parteval } g x (n - i))]$ 
     $\text{fps-parteval-mult-left}[of f g n x]$ 
     $\text{fps-parteval-conv-sum}[of \text{Abs-fps } (\lambda i. \text{fps-parteval } f x (n - i) * g\$i)]$ 
  by auto

lemma fps-parteval-fls-base-factor-to-fps-diff:
   $\text{fls-base-parteval } (f - g) x n = \text{fls-base-parteval } f x n$ 
  if  $f \neq 0$  and  $\text{fls-subdegree } g > \text{fls-subdegree } f + (\text{int } n)$ 
  for  $f g :: 'a::comm-ring-1 \text{fls}$ 
  using that
  by (induct n) (auto simp add: fps-parteval-Suc fls-subdegree-minus')

```

4.3 Vanishing of formal power series relative to divisibility of all partial sums

```

definition fps-pvanishes ::  $'a::comm-semiring-1 \Rightarrow 'a \text{fps} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  where fps-pvanishes p f  $\equiv$   $(\forall n::\text{nat}. p \wedge \text{Suc } n \text{ dvd } (\text{fps-parteval } f p n))$ 

```

```

lemma fps-pvanishesD:  $\text{fps-pvanishes } p f \implies p \wedge \text{Suc } n \text{ dvd } (\text{fps-parteval } f p n)$ 
  unfolding fps-pvanishes-def by simp

```

```

lemma fps-pvanishesI:
   $\text{fps-pvanishes } p f \text{ if } \bigwedge n::\text{nat}. p \wedge \text{Suc } n \text{ dvd } (\text{fps-parteval } f p n)$ 
  using that unfolding fps-pvanishes-def by simp

```

```

lemma fps-pvanishes-0[simp]:  $\text{fps-pvanishes } p 0$ 
  by (auto intro: fps-pvanishesI)

```

```

lemma fps-pvanishes-at-0[simp]:  $\text{fps-pvanishes } 0 f = (f\$0 = 0)$ 
  unfolding fps-pvanishes-def by auto

```

```

lemma fps-pvanishes-at-unit:  $\text{fps-pvanishes } p f \text{ if } \text{is-unit } p$ 
  by (metis that is-unit-power-iff unit-imp-dvd fps-pvanishesI)

```

```

lemma fps-pvanishes-one-iff:
   $\text{fps-pvanishes } p 1 = \text{is-unit } p$ 
  using fps-pvanishes-def is-unit-power-iff[of p Suc -] by auto

```

```

lemma fps-pvanishes-uminus[simp]:

```

*fps-pvanishes p ($-f$) if fps-pvanishes p f for f :: 'a::comm-ring-1 fps
using fps-pvanishesD[OF that] iffD2[OF dvd-minus-iff] by (fastforce intro: fps-pvanishesI)*

```

lemma fps-pvanishes-add[simp]:
  fps-pvanishes p (f + g) if fps-pvanishes p f and fps-pvanishes p g
  for f :: 'a::comm-semiring-1 fps
proof (intro fps-pvanishesI)
  fix n from that show p ^ Suc n dvd fps-parteval (f+g) p n
  using fps-pvanishesD[of p - n]
    dvd-add[of p ^ Suc n fps-parteval f p n fps-parteval g p n]
  by auto
qed

lemma fps-pvanishes-minus[simp]:
  fps-pvanishes p (f - g) if fps-pvanishes p f and fps-pvanishes p g
  for f :: 'a::comm-ring-1 fps
  using that fps-pvanishes-uminus[of p g] fps-pvanishes-add[of p f - g] by simp

lemma fps-pvanishes-mult: fps-pvanishes p (f * g) if fps-pvanishes p g
proof (intro fps-pvanishesI)
  fix n
  have p ^ Suc n dvd (∑ i≤n. f\$i * fps-parteval g p (n - i) * p ^ i)
  proof (intro dvd-sum)
    fix k assume k ∈ {..n}
    with that show p ^ Suc n dvd f \$ k * fps-parteval g p (n - k) * p ^ k
    using fps-pvanishesD[of p g] power-add[of p Suc (n - k) k] by (simp add:
      mult-dvd-mono)
  qed
  thus p ^ Suc n dvd fps-parteval (f * g) p n by (simp add: fps-parteval-mult-conv-sum(1))
qed

lemma fps-pvanishes-mult-fps-X-iff:
  assumes (p::'a::idom) ≠ 0
  shows fps-pvanishes p f ↔ fps-pvanishes p (f * fps-X)
  and fps-pvanishes p f ↔ fps-pvanishes p (fps-X * f)
proof-
  show fps-pvanishes p f ↔ fps-pvanishes p (f * fps-X)
  proof
    assume b: fps-pvanishes p (f * fps-X)
    show fps-pvanishes p f
    proof (intro fps-pvanishesI)
      fix n from b assms show p ^ Suc n dvd fps-parteval f p n
      using fps-pvanishesD[of p f * fps-X Suc n] fps-parteval-mult-fps-X(1)[of f n
      p]
        by simp
    qed
    qed (auto intro: fps-pvanishes-mult simp add: mult.commute)
    thus fps-pvanishes p f ↔ fps-pvanishes p (fps-X * f)
    by (simp add: fps-mult-fps-X-commute)
  
```

qed

```
lemma fps-pvanishes-mult-fps-X-power-iff:
  assumes (p::'a::idom) ≠ 0
  shows   fps-pvanishes p f ⟷ fps-pvanishes p (f * fps-X ^ n)
  and     fps-pvanishes p f ⟷ fps-pvanishes p (fps-X ^ n * f)
proof-
  show fps-pvanishes p f ⟷ fps-pvanishes p (fps-X ^ n * f)
  proof (induct n)
    case (Suc n) with assms show ?case
      using fps-pvanishes-mult-fps-X-iff(2)[of p fps-X ^ n * f]
      by (simp add: mult.assoc)
  qed simp
  thus fps-pvanishes p f ⟷ fps-pvanishes p (f * fps-X ^ n)
    by (simp flip: fps-mult-fps-X-power-commute)
qed
```

4.4 Equivalence and depth of formal Laurent series relative to a prime

4.4.1 Definition of equivalence and basic facts

abbreviation $\text{fps-primevanishes } p \equiv \text{fps-pvanishes} (\text{Rep-prime } p)$

overloading

```
p-equal-fls ≡
  p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime ⇒
  'a fls ⇒ 'a fls ⇒ bool
```

begin

```
definition p-equal-fls :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime ⇒
  'a fls ⇒ 'a fls ⇒ bool
```

where

```
p-equal-fls p f g ≡ fps-primevanishes p (fls-base-factor-to-fps (f - g))
```

end

context

```
fixes p :: 'a :: nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime
begin
```

lemma $p\text{-equal-flsD}:$

```
f ≈_p g ⇒ fps-primevanishes p (fls-base-factor-to-fps (f - g))
for f g :: 'a fls
unfolding p-equal-fls-def by simp
```

```
lemma p-equal-fls-imp-p-dvd: p dvd ((f - g) §§ (fls-subdegree (f - g)))
if f ≈_p g
for f g :: 'a fls
```

```

proof-
  have int (0::nat) = 0 by simp
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis
      that p-equal-flsD fps-pvanishesD power-Suc0-right fps-parteval-0th
      fls-base-factor-to-fps-nth add-0-right
    )
  qed

lemma p-equal-flsI:
  fps-primevanishes p (fls-base-factor-to-fps (f - g))  $\implies$  f  $\simeq_p$  g
  for f g :: 'a fls
  unfolding p-equal-fls-def by simp

lemma p-equal-fls-conv-p-equal-0:
  f  $\simeq_p$  g  $\longleftrightarrow$  (f - g)  $\simeq_p$  0
  for f g :: 'a fls
  unfolding p-equal-fls-def by auto

lemma p-equal-fls-refl: f  $\simeq_p$  f for f:: 'a fls
  by (auto intro: p-equal-flsI)

lemma p-equal-fls-sym:
  f  $\simeq_p$  g  $\implies$  g  $\simeq_p$  f for f g :: 'a fls
  using p-equal-flsD fps-pvanishes-uminus fls-uminus-subdegree[of f-g]
  unfolding p-equal-fls-def
  by fastforce

lemma p-equal-fls-shift-iff:
  f  $\simeq_p$  g  $\longleftrightarrow$  (fls-shift n f)  $\simeq_p$  (fls-shift n g)
  for f g :: 'a fls
  using fls-base-factor-shift[of n f - g] unfolding p-equal-fls-def by auto

lemma p-equal-fls-extended-sum-iff:
  f  $\simeq_p$  g  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall$  n. (Rep-prime p)  $\wedge$  Suc n dvd
   ( $\sum$  k≤n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * (Rep-prime p)  $\wedge$  k))
  if N ≤ min (fls-subdegree f) (fls-subdegree g)

proof-
  define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
  define dfg where dfg ≡ fls-subdegree (f - g)
  define D where D ≡ nat (dfg - N - 1)
  from that dfg-def D-def
  have D: f ≠ g  $\implies$  N ≠ dfg  $\implies$  dfg - N - 1 ≥ 0
  using fls-subdegree-minus
  by force
  have
    (f  $\simeq_p$  g) =
    ( $\forall$  n. pp  $\wedge$  Suc n dvd ( $\sum$  k≤n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp  $\wedge$  k))

```

```

proof (standard, standard)
  fix n :: nat
  assume fg: f ≈p g
  consider
    f = g           |
    N = dfg         |
  (n-le-D) f ≠ g N ≠ dfg n ≤ D |
  (n-gt-D) f ≠ g N ≠ dfg n > D
  by fastforce
  thus pp ^ Suc n dvd (∑ k≤n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k)
  proof cases
    case n-le-D
      with D-def have ∀ k≤n. N + int k < dfg using D by linarith
      with dfg-def show ?thesis using fls-eq0-below-subdegree[of - f - g] by simp
  next
    case n-gt-D
      with D-def that
        have N-k : ∀ k≤D. N + int k < dfg
        and N-D-k: ∀ k. N + int (Suc D + k) = dfg + int k
        using D
        by (force, linarith)
      from dfg-def have ∀ k≤D. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) = 0
      using N-k fls-eq0-below-subdegree[of - f - g] by blast
      hence partsum: (∑ k≤D. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k) = 0 by force
      from pp-def ‹f ≈p g› obtain k
        where k: fls-base-parteval (f - g) pp (n - Suc D) = pp ^ Suc (n - Suc
      D) * k
        using p-equal-flsD fps-pvanishesD by blast
      from ‹n > D› have exp: Suc D + Suc (n - Suc D) = Suc n by auto
      from ‹n > D› have
        (∑ k≤n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k) =
        (∑ k≤D. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k) +
        (∑ k=Suc D..n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k)
        using sum-up-index-split[of - D n - D] by auto
      also from ‹n > D› have
        ... = (∑ k≤n - Suc D.
          (f - g) $$ (N + int (Suc D + k)) * pp ^ (Suc D + k))
        using partsum atLeast0AtMost[of n - Suc D]
          sum.atLeastAtMost-shift-bounds[
            of λk. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k 0 Suc D n - Suc D
          ]
        by auto
      also from D-def have
        ... = (∑ k≤n - Suc D. (f - g) $$ (dfg + int k) * (pp ^ Suc D * pp ^ k))
        using N-D-k power-add[of pp Suc D] by force
      also have
        ... = pp ^ Suc D * (∑ k≤n - Suc D. (f - g) $$ (dfg + int k) * pp ^ k)
        by (simp add: algebra-simps sum-distrib-left)
      also have ... = pp ^ Suc D * (pp ^ Suc (n - Suc D) * k)

```

```

using k dfg-def fls-base-parteval-conv-sum[of f - g n - Suc D pp] by
presburger
also have ... = pp ^ (Suc D + Suc (n - Suc D)) * k
  by (simp add: algebra-simps power-add)
finally have (∑ k≤n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k) = pp ^ Suc n * k
  using ⟨n > D⟩ by auto
thus ?thesis by auto
qed (simp, metis fg dfg-def pp-def fls-base-parteval-conv-sum p-equal-flsD fps-pvanishesD)
next
assume *: ∀ n. pp ^ Suc n dvd (∑ k≤n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k)
consider f = g | N = dfg | f ≠ g N ≠ dfg by blast
thus f ≈ p g
proof cases
  case 1 thus ?thesis by (simp add: p-equal-fls-refl)
next
case 2 with pp-def dfg-def * show ?thesis
  using p-equal-flsI fps-pvanishesI fls-base-parteval-conv-sum by metis
next
case 3 show ?thesis
proof (intro p-equal-flsI fps-pvanishesI)
  fix n
  from 3 dfg-def D-def
  have ND : ∀ k. N + int (Suc D + k) = dfg + int k
  and dfg-N-n: nat (dfg - N) + n = Suc D + n
  and sum-0 : (∑ k≤D. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k) = 0
  using D fls-eq0-below-subdegree[of - f - g]
  by (fastforce, simp, simp)
have
  (∑ k≤nat (dfg - N) + n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k) =
    (∑ k = Suc D..Suc D + n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k)
  using dfg-N-n sum-0
    sum-up-index-split[of λk. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k D Suc n]
  by auto
also have
  ... = sum ((λk. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k) ∘ (+) (Suc D))
  {0..n}
  using add.commute[of Suc D n] add-0-left[of Suc D]
    sum.atLeastAtMost-shift-bounds[
      of λk. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k 0 Suc D n
    ]
  by argo
also have ... = pp ^ Suc D * (∑ k≤n. (f - g) $$ (dfg + int k) * pp ^ k)
  using ND by (force simp add: algebra-simps atLeast0AtMost power-add
sum-distrib-left)
finally have
  (∑ k≤nat (dfg - N) + n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k) =
    pp ^ Suc D * fls-base-parteval (f - g) pp n
  using dfg-def fls-base-parteval-conv-sum[of f - g n pp] by force
moreover have Suc (nat (dfg - N) + n) = Suc D + Suc n using dfg-N-n

```

```

by presburger
  moreover from pp-def have pp ^ Suc D ≠ 0 using Rep-prime-n0 by auto
    ultimately have pp ^ Suc n dvd fls-base-parteval (f - g) pp n
      using * power-add dvd-times-left-cancel-iff by metis
      with pp-def show Rep-prime p ^ Suc n dvd fls-base-parteval (f - g)
(Rep-prime p) n
  by blast
qed
qed
qed
with pp-def show ?thesis by fast
qed

lemma p-equal-fls-extended-intsum-iff:
f ≈p g ↔
  (∀ n ≥ N. (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc (nat (n - N)) dvd
   (∑ k=N..n. (f - g) $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N)))
  if N ≤ min (fls-subdegree f) (fls-subdegree g)
proof (standard, clarify)
  assume fg: f ≈p g
  fix n::int assume n: n ≥ N
  define m where m ≡ nat (n - N)
  from that fg have
    (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc m dvd (∑ k≤m. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * (Rep-prime p) ^ k)
    using p-equal-fls-extended-sum-iff by blast
  moreover from n m-def have
    (∑ k=N..n. (f - g) $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N)) =
    (∑ k≤m. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * (Rep-prime p) ^ k)
    by (simp add: sum.atLeastAtMost-int-shift-bounds algebra-simps)
  ultimately show
    (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc (nat (n - N)) dvd
    (∑ k=N..n. (f - g) $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N))
    using m-def by argo
next
  assume dvd-sum:
  ∀ n ≥ N. (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc (nat (n - N)) dvd
  (∑ k=N..n. (f - g) $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N))
  show f ≈p g
  proof (rule iffD2, rule p-equal-fls-extended-sum-iff, rule that, safe)
    fix n::nat
    have N-n: N + int n ≥ N by fastforce
    moreover have nat (N + int n - N) = n by simp
    ultimately have
      (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc n dvd
      (∑ k=N..N + int n. (f - g) $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N))
      using dvd-sum by fastforce
    moreover from N-n have
      (∑ k≤n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * Rep-prime p ^ k) =
      (∑ k=N..N + int n. (f - g) $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N))

```

```

by (simp add: sum.atLeastAtMost-int-shift-bounds)
ultimately show
  Rep-prime p ^ Suc n dvd (∑ k≤n. (f - g) $$ (N + int k) * Rep-prime p ^ k)
    by presburger
qed
qed

lemma fls-p-equal0-extended-sum-iff:
  f ≈p 0 ↔
    (∀ n. (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc n dvd
      (∑ k≤n. f $$ (N + int k) * (Rep-prime p) ^ k))
  if N ≤ fls-subdegree f
proof-
  define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
  have d0-case:
    ∑ f N. fls-subdegree f = 0 ⇒ N ≤ 0 ⇒
    f ≈p 0 ↔
      (∀ n. pp ^ Suc n dvd (∑ k≤n. f $$ (N + int k) * pp ^ k))
  proof-
    fix f N from pp-def
    show fls-subdegree f = 0 ⇒ N ≤ 0 ⇒ ?thesis f N
    using p-equal-fls-extended-sum-iff[of N f 0]
    by simp
  qed
  have f ≈p 0 ↔ (fls-base-factor f) ≈p 0
    using p-equal-fls-shift-iff[of f 0] fls-base-factor-def by auto
  also from that pp-def have
    ... = (∀ n. pp ^ Suc n dvd (∑ k≤n. f $$ ((N + int k)) * pp ^ k))
    using fls-base-factor-subdegree[of f] d0-case[of fls-base-factor f N - fls-subdegree
f]
    by auto
  finally show ?thesis using pp-def by blast
qed

lemma fls-p-equal0-extended-intsum-iff:
  f ≈p 0 ↔
    (∀ n≥N. (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc (nat (n - N)) dvd
      (∑ k=N..n. f $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N)))
  if N ≤ fls-subdegree f
proof (standard, clarify)
  assume f: f ≈p 0
  fix n::int assume n: n ≥ N
  define m where m ≡ nat (n - N)
  from that f have
    (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc m dvd (∑ k≤m. f $$ (N + int k) * (Rep-prime p) ^ k)
    using fls-p-equal0-extended-sum-iff by blast
  moreover from n m-def have
    (∑ k=N..n. f $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N)) =
      (∑ k≤m. f $$ (N + int k) * (Rep-prime p) ^ k)

```

```

by (simp add: sum.atLeastAtMost-int-shift-bounds algebra-simps)
ultimately show
  (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc (nat (n - N)) dvd
  (∑ k=N..n. f$$k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N))
using m-def by argo
next
assume dvd-sum:
  ∀ n≥N. (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc (nat (n - N)) dvd
  (∑ k=N..n. f$$k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N))
show f ≈p 0
proof (rule iffD2, rule fls-p-equal0-extended-sum-iff, rule that, safe)
fix n::nat
have N-n: N + int n ≥ N by fastforce
moreover have nat (N + int n - N) = n by simp
ultimately have
  (Rep-prime p) ^ Suc n dvd
  (∑ k=N..N + int n. f$$k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N))
using dvd-sum by fastforce
moreover from N-n have
  (∑ k≤n. f $$ (N + int k) * Rep-prime p ^ k) =
  (∑ k=N..N + int n. f$$k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - N))
by (simp add: sum.atLeastAtMost-int-shift-bounds)
ultimately show
  Rep-prime p ^ Suc n dvd (∑ k≤n. f $$ (N + int k) * Rep-prime p ^ k)
  by presburger
qed
qed

end

context
fixes p :: 'a :: nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
begin

lemma fls-1-not-p-equal-0: (1::'a fls) ⊢≈p 0
  using fps-pvanishes-one-iff not-prime-unit Rep-prime[of p] unfolding p-equal-fls-def
  by auto

lemma p-equal-fls0-nonneg-subdegree:
  f ≈p 0 ↔ fps-primevanishes p (fls-regpart f)
  if fls-subdegree f ≥ 0
proof-
define n where n ≡ nat (fls-subdegree f)
moreover have
  f ≈p 0 ↔
    fps-primevanishes p (fls-base-factor-to-fps f * fps-X ^ n)
  unfolding p-equal-fls-def
  using Rep-prime[of p] fps-pvanishes-mult-fps-X-power-iff(1)[of Rep-prime
p]

```

```

by      simp
ultimately show ?thesis
using fls-base-factor-subdegree[of f] fls-repart-times-fps-X-power(1)[of fls-base-factor
f n]
by    (auto simp add: that)
qed

lemma p-equal-fls-nonneg-subdegree:
f ≈p g ↔ fps-primevanishes p (fls-repart (f - g))
if fls-subdegree f ≥ 0 and fls-subdegree g ≥ 0
proof-
from that have fls-subdegree (f - g) ≥ 0
using fls-subdegree-minus[of f g] by (cases f = g) auto
thus ?thesis using p-equal-fls-conv-p-equal-0[of p f g] p-equal-fls0-nonneg-subdegree
by blast
qed

lemma p-equal-fls-trans-to-0:
f ≈p g if f ≈p 0 and g ≈p 0 for f g :: 'a fls
proof (rule iffD2, rule p-equal-fls-shift-iff, rule iffD2, rule p-equal-fls-nonneg-subdegree)
define n where n ≡ min (fls-subdegree f) (fls-subdegree g)
from n-def show fls-subdegree (fls-shift n f) ≥ 0 fls-subdegree (fls-shift n g) ≥ 0
using fls-shift-nonneg-subdegree[of n f] fls-shift-nonneg-subdegree[of n g] by auto
with that show fps-primevanishes p (fls-repart (fls-shift n f - fls-shift n g))
using p-equal-fls0-nonneg-subdegree[of fls-shift n f] p-equal-fls-shift-iff[of p f 0]
p-equal-fls0-nonneg-subdegree[of fls-shift n g] p-equal-fls-shift-iff[of p g 0]
by (auto intro: fps-pvanishes-minus)
qed

lemma p-equal-fls-trans:
f ≈p g ⇒ g ≈p h ⇒
f ≈p h
for f g h :: 'a fls
using p-equal-fls-conv-p-equal-0[of p f g] p-equal-fls-conv-p-equal-0[of p h g]
p-equal-fls-sym[of p g h] p-equal-fls-trans-to-0[of f-g h-g]
p-equal-fls-conv-p-equal-0[of p f-g h-g] p-equal-fls-conv-p-equal-0[of p f h]
by auto
end

global-interpretation p-equality-fls:
p-equality-1
p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒
'a fls ⇒ 'a fls ⇒ bool
proof (unfold-locales, intro equivpI)
fix p :: 'a prime
define E :: 'a fls ⇒ 'a fls ⇒ bool where E ≡ p-equal p
show reflp E
by (auto intro: reflpI simp add: E-def p-equal-fls-refl)

```

```

show symp E using p-equal-fls-sym by (auto intro: sympI simp add: E-def)
show transp E
  using E-def p-equal-fls-trans[of p] by (fastforce intro: transpI)

fix x y :: 'a fls
show y ≈p 0 ==> x * y ≈p 0
  using p-equal-flsD fps-pvanishes-mult fls-base-factor-to-fps-mult[of x y]
  unfolding p-equal-fls-def
  by fastforce

qed (rule p-equal-fls-conv-p-equal-0)

overloading
globally-p-equal-fls ≡
  globally-p-equal :: 
    'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom fls ⇒ 'a fls ⇒ bool
begin

definition globally-p-equal-fls :: 
  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom fls ⇒ 'a fls ⇒ bool
  where globally-p-equal-fls[simp]:
    globally-p-equal-fls = p-equality-fls.globally-p-equal

end

context
  fixes p :: 'a :: nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
begin

lemma p-equal-fls-const-iff:
  (fls-const c) ≈p (fls-const d) ↔ c = d for c d :: 'a

proof
  define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
  assume (fls-const c) ≈p (fls-const d)
  with pp-def have 1: ∀ n::nat. pp ^ Suc n dvd (c - d)
  using p-equal-flsD unfolding fps-pvanishes-def by (fastforce simp add: fls-minus-const)
  have infinite {n. pp ^ n dvd (c - d)}
  proof
    assume finite {n. pp ^ n dvd (c - d)}
    moreover have Suc (Max {n. pp ^ n dvd (c - d)}) ∈ {n. pp ^ n dvd (c - d)} using 1 by simp
    ultimately have Suc (Max {n. pp ^ n dvd (c - d)}) ≤ Max {n. pp ^ n dvd (c - d)} by simp
    thus False by simp
  qed
  with pp-def show c = d using Rep-prime-not-unit finite-divisor-powers[of c - d] by fastforce
qed simp

```

```

lemma p-equal-fls-const-0-iff:
  (fls-const c)  $\simeq_p 0 \longleftrightarrow c = 0$  for c :: 'a
  using p-equal-fls-const-iff[of c 0] by simp

lemma p-equal-fls-X-intpow-iff:
  ((fls-X-intpow m :: 'a fls)  $\simeq_p$  (fls-X-intpow n)) = (m = n)
  proof (induction m n rule: linorder-less-wlog, standard)
    define pp and X :: int  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls
    where pp  $\equiv$  Rep-prime p and X  $\equiv$  fls-X-intpow
    fix m n :: int assume eq: (X m)  $\simeq_p$  (X n) and mn: m < n
    with pp-def X-def have
      pp  $\wedge$  Suc (nat (n - m)) dvd ( $\sum_{k=m..n}$  (X m - X n)  $\wedge$  pp  $\wedge$  nat (k - m))
      using p-equal-fls-extended-intsum-iff[of m X m X n p]
      by auto
    moreover have
      ( $\sum_{k=m..n}$  (X m - X n)  $\wedge$  pp  $\wedge$  nat (k - m)) =
      ( $\sum_{k \in \{m,n\}}$  (X m - X n)  $\wedge$  pp  $\wedge$  nat (k - m))
    proof (rule sum.mono-neutral-right, safe)
      from mn show m  $\in$  {m..n} and n  $\in$  {m..n} by auto
      fix i
      assume i : i  $\in$  {m..n} i  $\neq$  m
      and Xi: (X m - X n)  $\wedge$  i * pp  $\wedge$  nat (i - m)  $\neq$  0
      with X-def have X m  $\wedge$  i = 0 by simp
      moreover from X-def have i < n  $\implies$  X n  $\wedge$  i = 0 by force
      ultimately show i = n using i(1) Xi by fastforce
    qed
    ultimately have pp  $\wedge$  Suc (nat (n - m)) dvd 1 - (pp  $\wedge$  nat (n - m))
    using mn X-def by auto
    from this obtain k where 1 - (pp  $\wedge$  nat (n - m)) = pp  $\wedge$  Suc (nat (n - m))
    * k by fast
    hence 1 = pp  $\wedge$  nat (n - m) * (1 + pp * k) by (simp add: algebra-simps)
    with pp-def mn show m = n
    using dvdI[of 1] Rep-prime-not-unit is-unit-power-iff[of pp] by fastforce
  qed (auto simp add: p-equal-fls-sym)

lemma fls-X-intpow-nequiv0: (fls-X-intpow m :: 'a fls)  $\neg\simeq_p 0$ 
  using p-equal-fls-shift-iff fls-1-not-p-equal-0 by fastforce

end

```

4.4.2 Depth as maximum subdegree of equivalent series

Each nonzero equivalence class will have a maximum subdegree.

```

lemma no-greatest-p-equal-subdegree:
  f  $\simeq_p 0$ 
  if  $\forall n :: \text{int}$ . ( $\exists g$ . fls-subdegree g > n  $\wedge$  f  $\simeq_p$  g)
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime and f :: 'a fls
  proof (cases f = 0)
    case False show ?thesis

```

```

proof (intro p-equal-flsI fps-pvanishesI)
  fix n :: nat
  define pp where pp  $\equiv$  Rep-prime p
  from that obtain g where g: fls-subdegree g  $>$  fls-subdegree f + int n f  $\simeq_p$  g
    by blast
  with False pp-def have fls-base-parteval (f - g) pp n = fls-base-parteval (f - 0) pp n
    using fps-parteval-fls-base-factor-to-fps-diff by auto
  with False pp-def g(2) show pp  $\wedge$  Suc n dvd fls-base-parteval (f - 0) pp n
    using p-equal-flsD fps-pvanishesD by metis
  qed
qed (simp add: p-equal-fls-refl)

```

abbreviation

p-equal-fls-simplified-set p f \equiv
 $\{g. f \simeq_p g \wedge \text{fls-subdegree } g \geq \text{fls-subdegree } f\}$

— We restrict to those equivalent series that represent a simplification of the given series so that the image of the collection under taking subdegrees is finite.

context

fixes *p* :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime
and *f* :: 'a fls
begin

lemma *p-equal-fls-simplified-nempty*:
 fls-subdegree '(*p-equal-fls-simplified-set p f*) $\neq \{\}$
using *p-equal-fls-refl*[*of p f*] **by** fast

lemma *p-equal-fls-simplified-finite*:
 finite (fls-subdegree '(*p-equal-fls-simplified-set p f*)) **if** *f* $\neg\simeq_p 0$
proof—
from that **obtain** *n:int*
where $\forall g. f \simeq_p g \longrightarrow \neg \text{fls-subdegree } g > n$
using no-greatest-*p-equal-subdegree*[*of p f*]
by auto
 hence *n*: $\forall g. f \simeq_p g \longrightarrow \text{fls-subdegree } g \leq n$ **by** auto
 define *deg-diff* **where** *deg-diff* $\equiv (\lambda n. n - \text{fls-subdegree } f)$
 define *D* **where** *D* $\equiv \text{fls-subdegree} '(p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f)$
 show finite *D*
proof (*rule finite-imageD*)
 show finite (*deg-diff* ' *D*)
proof (*rule finite-imageD*)
 have nat ' *deg-diff* ' *D* $\subseteq \{m:\text{nat}. m \leq \text{nat} (\text{deg-diff } n)\}$
 using *n D-def deg-diff-def* **by** auto
 thus finite (nat ' *deg-diff* ' *D*) **using** finite-subset **by** blast
 from *D-def deg-diff-def* **show** inj-on nat (*deg-diff* ' *D*)
 by (auto intro: inj-onI)
qed
from *deg-diff-def* **show** inj-on *deg-diff D* **by** (auto intro: inj-onI)

```

qed
qed

end

overloading

p-depth-fls  $\equiv$



p-depth :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls  $\Rightarrow$  int



p-depth-const  $\equiv$



p-depth :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  int

begin

definition

p-depth-fls :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls  $\Rightarrow$  int

where

p-depth-fls p f  $\equiv$



(if f  $\simeq_p$  0 then 0 else Max (fls-subdegree ' (p-equal-fls-simplified-set p f)))

definition

p-depth-const :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  int

where

p-depth-const p c  $\equiv$  p-depth p (fls-const c)

end

context

fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring prime

begin

lemma p-depth-fls-eqI:


fop = fls-subdegree g



if f  $\neg\simeq_p$  0 and f  $\simeq_p$  g



and  $\bigwedge h. f \simeq_p h \implies$  fls-subdegree h  $\leq$  fls-subdegree g



for f g :: 'a fls

proof-

define M where M  $\equiv$  Max (fls-subdegree ' (p-equal-fls-simplified-set p f))



with that have M = fls-subdegree g



using p-equal-fls-simplified-finite



by (force intro: Max-eqI simp add: p-equal-fls-refl)



with that(1) M-def show ?thesis by (auto simp add: p-depth-fls-def)

qed

lemma p-depth-fls-p-equal0[simp]:


$(f^{op}) = 0$  if f  $\simeq_p$  0 for f :: 'a fls



using that by (simp add: p-depth-fls-def)

lemma p-depth-fls0[simp]:  $(0::'a fls)^{op} = 0$ 

by (simp add: p-depth-fls-def p-equal-fls-refl)


```

lemma *p-depth-fls-n0D*:

```

 $f^{\circ p} = \text{Max} (\text{fls-subdegree} ` (\text{p-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f))$ 
if  $f \not\simeq_p 0$ 
for  $f :: 'a \text{ fls}$ 
using that by (simp add: p-depth-fls-def)

```

lemma *p-depth-flsE*:

```

fixes  $f :: 'a \text{ fls}$ 
assumes  $f \not\simeq_p 0$ 
obtains  $g$  where  $f \simeq_p g$  and  $\text{fls-subdegree } g = (f^{\circ p})$ 
and  $\forall h. f \simeq_p h \longrightarrow \text{fls-subdegree } h \leq \text{fls-subdegree } g$ 
proof –
  define  $M$  where  $M \equiv \text{Max} (\text{fls-subdegree} ` (\text{p-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f))$ 
  with assms have  $M \in \text{fls-subdegree} ` (\text{p-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f)$ 
  using p-equal-fls-simplified-finite[of p f] p-equal-fls-simplified-nempty[of p f] by
simp
  from this obtain  $g$  where  $g: g \in \text{p-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f M = \text{fls-subdegree } g$  by fast
  from  $g(1)$  have  $f \simeq_p g$  by blast
  moreover from  $g(2)$   $M\text{-def assms have } 2: \text{fls-subdegree } g = (f^{\circ p})$ 
    by (fastforce simp add: p-depth-fls-def)
  moreover have
     $\forall h. f \simeq_p h \longrightarrow \text{fls-subdegree } h \leq \text{fls-subdegree } g$ 
  proof clarify
    fix  $h$  assume  $f \simeq_p h$  show  $\text{fls-subdegree } h \leq \text{fls-subdegree } g$ 
    proof (cases fls-subdegree  $h \geq \text{fls-subdegree } f)
      case True with assms  $\langle f \simeq_p h \rangle M\text{-def } g$  show ?thesis
        using p-equal-fls-simplified-finite by force
    next
      case False
      moreover from assms M-def g have  $\text{fls-subdegree } f \leq \text{fls-subdegree } g$ 
        using p-equal-fls-simplified-finite by blast
        ultimately show ?thesis by simp
    qed
  qed
  ultimately show thesis using that by simp
qed$ 
```

lemma *p-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree*:

```

 $(f^{\circ p}) \geq \text{fls-subdegree } g$ 
if  $f \not\simeq_p 0$  and  $f \simeq_p g$ 
for  $f g :: 'a \text{ fls}$ 
proof (cases fls-subdegree  $g \geq \text{fls-subdegree } f)
  case True with that show ?thesis
    using p-equal-fls-simplified-finite by (fastforce simp add: p-depth-fls-def)
  next
    case False
    moreover from that(1) have  $\text{fls-subdegree } f \leq (f^{\circ p})$ 
      using p-equal-fls-simplified-finite by (fastforce simp add: p-equal-fls-refl p-depth-fls-def)$ 
```

ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma p-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree':
 $(f^{op}) \geq \text{fls-subdegree } f$ **if** $f \not\simeq_p 0$ **for** $f g :: 'a \text{ fls}$
using that p-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree p-equal-fls-refl **by** blast

lemma p-equal-fls-simplified-set-shift:
 $p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p (\text{fls-shift } n f) = \text{fls-shift } n ' p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f$
if $f \not\simeq_p 0$
for $f :: 'a \text{ fls}$
proof–
have *:
 $\bigwedge f n. (f :: 'a \text{ fls}) \not\simeq_p 0 \implies$
 $\text{fls-shift } n ' p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f \subseteq$
 $p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p (\text{fls-shift } n f)$
proof (intro subsetI, clarify)
fix $n :: \text{int}$
and $f g :: 'a \text{ fls}$
assume $f \neq 0$: $f \not\simeq_p 0$
and $eq :: f \simeq_p g$
and $deg :: \text{fls-subdegree } f \leq \text{fls-subdegree } g$
from $f \neq 0$ **eq have** $f \neq 0$ $g \neq 0$ **using** p-equal-fls-refl **by** auto
with deg **have** $\text{fls-subdegree } (\text{fls-shift } n f) \leq \text{fls-subdegree } (\text{fls-shift } n g)$ **by**
simp
moreover from eq **have** $(\text{fls-shift } n f) \simeq_p (\text{fls-shift } n g)$
using p-equal-fls-shift-iff **by** fast
ultimately show
 $(\text{fls-shift } n f) \simeq_p (\text{fls-shift } n g) \wedge$
 $\text{fls-subdegree } (\text{fls-shift } n f) \leq \text{fls-subdegree } (\text{fls-shift } n g)$
by fast
qed
have $f \simeq_p 0 \longleftrightarrow (\text{fls-shift } n f) \simeq_p 0$
using p-equal-fls-shift-iff[of p f 0] **by** simp
with that have
 $p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p (\text{fls-shift } n f) \subseteq$
 $\text{fls-shift } n ' p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f$
using *[of fls-shift n f -n] **by** force
with that show
 $p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p (\text{fls-shift } n f) = \text{fls-shift } n ' p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f$
using *[of f n] **by** fast
qed

lemma p-equal-fls-simplified-set-shift-subdegrees:
 $\text{fls-subdegree } ' p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p (\text{fls-shift } n f) =$
 $(\lambda x. x - n) ' \text{fls-subdegree } ' p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f$
if $f \not\simeq_p 0$

```

for  $f :: 'a fls$ 
proof-
  from that have
     $fls\text{-subdegree} 'p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p (fls\text{-shift } n f) =$ 
     $fls\text{-subdegree} ' fls\text{-shift } n ' p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f$ 
    using p-equal-fls-simplified-set-shift by fast
  moreover from that have  $\forall g \in p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f. g \neq 0$  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by force
qed

lemma p-depth-fls-shift:
   $(fls\text{-shift } n f)^{op} = (f^{op}) - n$  if  $f \sim_p 0$ 
  for  $f :: 'a fls$ 
proof-
  from that have sf-nequiv-0:  $(fls\text{-shift } n f) \sim_p 0$ 
  using p-equal-fls-shift-iff by fastforce
  with that have
     $(fls\text{-shift } n f)^{op} =$ 
     $\text{Max } ((\lambda x. x - n) ' fls\text{-subdegree} ' p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f)$ 
    using p-depth-fls-n0D p-equal-fls-simplified-set-shift-subdegrees
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
  using p-equal-fls-simplified-finite[OF that] p-equal-fls-simplified-nempty
  p-depth-fls-n0D[OF that]
  Max-add-commute[of
     $fls\text{-subdegree} ' p\text{-equal-fls-simplified-set } p f$ 
     $\lambda x. x$ 
     $-n$ 
  ]
  by auto
qed

end

context
  fixes  $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime$ 
  and  $f g :: 'a fls$ 
begin

lemma p-depth-fls-p-equal:  $(f^{op}) = (g^{op})$  if  $f \simeq_p g$ 
proof (cases  $f \simeq_p 0$ )
  case True with that show ?thesis using p-equality-fls.trans0-iff by fastforce
next
  case False
  with that have  $g: g \sim_p 0$  using p-equality-fls.trans0-iff by blast
  from this obtain  $h$  where  $h$ :
     $g \simeq_p h$   $fls\text{-subdegree } h = (g^{op})$ 
    by (elim p-depth-flsE)
  from that h(1) have  $f \simeq_p h$  using p-equality-fls.trans by fast

```

moreover from that $g \ h(2)$ have

$$\bigwedge h'. f \simeq_p h' \implies \text{fls-subdegree } h' \leq \text{fls-subdegree } h$$

using $p\text{-equality-fls.trans-right}$ $p\text{-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree}$ by metis

ultimately show $?thesis$

using $\text{False } h(2) \ p\text{-depth-fls-eqI}$ by metis

qed

lemma $p\text{-depth-fls-can-simplify-iff}:$

$$p \text{ pdvd } g \iff (\text{fls-subdegree } g) = (\text{fls-subdegree } g < (f^{\circ p}))$$

if $f\text{-nequiv0}: f \not\simeq_p 0$

and $fg\text{-equiv} : f \simeq_p g$

proof

define $gdeg$ where $gdeg \equiv \text{fls-subdegree } g$

define gth where $gth \equiv g \text{ gdeg}$

define pp where $pp \equiv \text{Rep-prime } p$

assume $p\text{-dvd}: p \text{ pdvd } gth$

from this obtain k where $k: gth = pp * k$ unfolding $pp\text{-def}$ $gth\text{-def}$ $gdeg\text{-def}$ by (elim $dvdE$)

define h where

$$h \equiv g - \text{fls-shift}(-gdeg) (\text{fls-const } gth) + \text{fls-shift}(-(gdeg + 1)) (\text{fls-const } k)$$

from $f\text{-nequiv0}$ $fg\text{-equiv}$ have $g \neq 0$ by blast

with $gth\text{-def}$ $gdeg\text{-def}$ have

$$\text{fls-subdegree}(\text{fls-shift}(-gdeg) (\text{fls-const } gth) - \text{fls-shift}(-(gdeg + 1)) (\text{fls-const } k)) =$$

$$gdeg$$

by (auto intro: fls-subdegree-eqI)

with $h\text{-def}$ have $g-h: \text{fls-base-factor-to-fps}(g - h) = \text{fps-const } gth - \text{fps-const } k$ * fps-X

by (auto intro: fps-ext)

have $p-g-h: \bigwedge n. pp \wedge \text{Suc } n \text{ dvd } \text{fls-base-parteval}(g - h) \ pp \ n$

proof –

fix n

from $k \ p\text{-dvd}$ show $pp \wedge \text{Suc } n \text{ dvd } \text{fls-base-parteval}(g - h) \ pp \ n$

using $g-h$ by (cases n) (auto simp add: $\text{fps-parteval-mult-fps-X}(1)$)

qed

with $pp\text{-def}$ have $g \simeq_p h$ by (fastforce intro: $p\text{-equal-flsI}$ fps-pvanishesI)

moreover have $gdeg < \text{fls-subdegree } h$

proof (intro $\text{fls-subdegree-greaterI}$)

show $h \neq 0$

proof

assume $h = 0$

with $pp\text{-def}$ have $g \simeq_p 0$

using $p-g-h$ by (fastforce intro: $p\text{-equal-flsI}$ fps-pvanishesI)

with $f\text{-nequiv0}$ $fg\text{-equiv}$ show False using $p\text{-equality-fls.trans0-iff}$ by blast

qed

qed (simp add: $h\text{-def}$ $gdeg\text{-def}$ $gth\text{-def}$)

ultimately show $gdeg < (f^{\circ p})$

using $gdeg\text{-def}$ that $p\text{-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree}[of p f h]$

$p\text{-equal-fls-trans}[of p f g h]$

```

by force
next
define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
assume g: fls-subdegree g < (fop)
with that obtain h where h: f ≈p h fls-subdegree h = (fop)
  by (elim p-depth-flsE)
from f-nequiv0 fg-equiv have g-n0: g ≠ 0 by blast
with g h(2) have fls-subdegree (g - h) = fls-subdegree g by (auto intro: fls-subdegree-eqI)
moreover from fg-equiv h(1) pp-def
  have pp ^ Suc 0 dvd (fps-parteval (fls-regpart (fls-base-factor (g - h))) pp 0)
    using p-equality-fls.trans-right p-equal-flsD fps-pvanishesD
    by blast
ultimately show p pdvd g $$ (fls-subdegree g) by (simp add: pp-def g h(2))
qed

lemma p-depth-fls-rep-iff:
(fop) = fls-subdegree g ↔
  ¬ p pdvd g $$ (fls-subdegree g)
if f ≈p 0 and f ≈p g
using that p-depth-fls-can-simplify-iff p-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree by fastforce

end

global-interpretation p-depth-fls:
p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors-1
p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒
  'a fls ⇒ 'a fls ⇒ bool
p-depth :: 'a prime ⇒ 'a fls ⇒ int
proof (unfold-locales, intro notI)
fix p :: 'a prime and f g :: 'a fls
define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
assume f-nequiv0: f ≈p 0
and g-nequiv0: g ≈p 0
and fg-equiv0: (f * g) ≈p 0
from f-nequiv0 obtain s-f
  where s-f: f ≈p s-f fls-subdegree s-f = (fop)
    using p-depth-flsE
    by blast
from g-nequiv0 obtain s-g
  where s-g: g ≈p s-g fls-subdegree s-g = (gop)
    using p-depth-flsE
    by blast
from s-f(1) s-g(1) fg-equiv0 have (s-f * s-g) ≈p 0
  using p-equality-fls.mult p-equality-fls.trans0-iff by blast
hence fps-primevanishes p (fls-base-factor-to-fps (s-f * s-g)) using p-equal-flsD
by fastforce
with pp-def have pp ^ Suc 0 dvd fls-base-parteval (s-f * s-g) pp 0
  using fps-pvanishesD by fast
with pp-def have p pdvd s-f $$ (fls-subdegree s-f) * s-g $$ (fls-subdegree s-g)

```

```

using fls-base-factor-to-fps-mult[of s-f s-g] by fastforce
hence
  p pdvd s-f $$ (fls-subdegree s-f) ∨ p pdvd s-g $$ (fls-subdegree s-g)
    using Rep-prime prime-dvd-multD by auto
  with pp-def f-nequiv0 s-f g-nequiv0 s-g show False by (metis p-depth-fls-rep-iff)
next
  fix p :: 'a prime
  show ∃x::'a fls. x ≈p 0 using fls-1-not-p-equal-0 by auto
next
  fix p :: 'a :: nontrivial-factorial-idom prime and f g :: 'a fls
  assume fg-nequiv0: (f * g) ≈p 0
  from fg-nequiv0
    have f-nequiv0: f ≈p 0
    and g-nequiv0: g ≈p 0
    by (auto simp add: p-equality-fls.mult-0-left p-equality-fls.mult-0-right)
  from f-nequiv0 obtain s-f
    where s-f: f ≈p s-f fls-subdegree s-f = (fop)
    using p-depth-flsE
    by blast
  from g-nequiv0 obtain s-g
    where s-g: g ≈p s-g fls-subdegree s-g = (gop)
    using p-depth-flsE
    by blast
  from s-f(1) s-g(1) have equiv: (f * g) ≈p (s-f * s-g)
    using p-equality-fls.mult by fast
  with fg-nequiv0 have s-f ≠ 0 s-g ≠ 0 by auto
  hence deg: fls-subdegree (s-f * s-g) = fls-subdegree s-f + fls-subdegree s-g by
    simp
  moreover have (s-f * s-g)op = fls-subdegree (s-f * s-g)
    proof (rule iffD2, rule p-depth-fls-rep-iff)
      from fg-nequiv0 show (s-f * s-g) ≈p 0
        using equiv p-equality-fls.trans0-iff by fast
      from s-f-nequiv0 have ¬ p pdvd s-f $$ (fls-subdegree s-f)
        by (metis p-depth-fls-rep-iff)
      moreover from s-g g-nequiv0 have ¬ p pdvd s-g $$ (fls-subdegree s-g)
        by (metis p-depth-fls-rep-iff)
      moreover have
        (s-f * s-g) $$ (fls-subdegree (s-f * s-g)) =
          s-f $$ (fls-subdegree s-f) *
          s-g $$ (fls-subdegree s-g)
        using deg by simp
      ultimately show ¬ p pdvd (s-f * s-g) $$ (fls-subdegree (s-f * s-g))
        using Rep-prime prime-dvd-multD by auto
    qed simp
  ultimately show (f * g)op = (fop) + (gop)
    using s-f(2) s-g(2) equiv p-depth-fls-p-equal by metis
next
  fix p :: 'a prime and f :: 'a fls
  show (−fop) = (fop)

```

```

proof (cases  $f \simeq_p 0$ )
  case True thus ?thesis using p-equality-fls.uminus[of  $p f 0$ ] by simp
  next
    case False
      moreover from this obtain h
        where  $f \simeq_p h$ 
        and fls-subdegree  $h = (f^{op})$ 
        by (elim p-depth-flsE)
        ultimately show  $(-f)^{op} = (f^{op})$ 
        using p-depth-fls-rep-iff p-equality-fls.uminus[of  $p f h$ ] p-equality-fls.uminus[of
 $p -f 0$ ]
          by p-depth-fls-rep-iff
          by fastforce
  qed
  next
    fix p :: 'a prime and f g :: 'a fls
    assume f:  $f \neg\simeq_p 0$  and d-f-g:  $(f^{op}) < (g^{op})$ 
    show  $((f + g)^{op}) = (f^{op})$ 
    proof (cases  $g \simeq_p 0$ )
      case True thus ?thesis using p-equality-fls.add-0-right[of  $p g f$ ] p-depth-fls-p-equal
      by auto
      next
        case False
        from f obtain s-f
          where s-f:  $f \simeq_p s-f$  fls-subdegree  $s-f = (f^{op})$ 
          using p-depth-flsE
          by blast
        from False obtain s-g
          where s-g:  $g \simeq_p s-g$  fls-subdegree  $s-g = (g^{op})$ 
          using p-depth-flsE
          by blast
        from f d-f-g s-f s-g(2) have deg: fls-subdegree  $(s-f + s-g) = \text{fls-subdegree } s-f$ 
          using nth-fls-subdegree-zero-iff[of s-f] by (auto intro: fls-subdegree-eqI)
        from s-f s-g have  $(f + g) \simeq_p (s-f + s-g)$ 
          using p-equality-fls.add by blast
        moreover from s-f s-g(2) f d-f-g
          have *:  $\neg p \text{ pdvd } (s-f + s-g) \text{ and } (\text{fls-subdegree } (s-f + s-g))$ 
          using deg p-depth-fls-rep-iff
          by fastforce
        moreover have  $(f + g) \neg\simeq_p 0$ 
        proof
          define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
          assume  $(f + g) \simeq_p 0$ 
          with s-f(1) s-g(1) have f-g:  $(s-f + s-g) \simeq_p 0$ 
            by (meson p-equality-fls.add p-equality-fls.trans-right)
          with pp-def have pp ^ Suc 0 dvd fls-base-parceval  $(s-f + s-g) \text{ pp } 0$ 
            using p-equal-flsD[of p - 0] fps-pvanishesD[of pp - 0] by fastforce
          with pp-def show False using * by simp
  qed

```

```

ultimately have  $(f + g)^{\circ p} = \text{fls-subdegree } (s-f + s-g)$ 
  using  $p\text{-depth-fls}-\text{rep-iff}$  by blast
  with  $s-f(2)$  show ?thesis using deg by auto
qed
next
fix  $p :: 'a \text{ prime}$  and  $f g :: 'a \text{ fls}$ 
assume  $f : f \neg\simeq_p 0$ 
and  $fg : f + g \neg\simeq_p 0$ 
and  $d\text{-}f\text{-}g : (f^{\circ p}) = (g^{\circ p})$ 
show  $(f^{\circ p}) \leq ((f + g)^{\circ p})$ 
proof (cases  $g \simeq_p 0$ )
  case True thus ?thesis
    using  $p\text{-equality-fls.add-0-right}[of p g f] p\text{-depth-fls-p-equal}[of p]$  by presburger
next
case False
from f obtain s-f
  where  $s-f : f \simeq_p s-f \text{ fls-subdegree } s-f = (f^{\circ p})$ 
  using  $p\text{-depth-flsE}$ 
  by blast
from False obtain s-g
  where  $s-g : g \simeq_p s-g \text{ fls-subdegree } s-g = (g^{\circ p})$ 
  using  $p\text{-depth-flsE}$ 
  by blast
from s-f s-g have  $(f + g) \simeq_p (s-f + s-g)$ 
  using  $p\text{-equality-fls.add}$  by fast
moreover from this fg d-f-g s-f(2) s-g(2)
  have  $\text{fls-subdegree } (s-f + s-g) \geq \text{fls-subdegree } s-f$ 
  by (force intro: fls-subdegree-geI)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using fg s-f(2) p-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree by fastforce
qed
qed (simp, rule p-depth-fls-p-equal)

context
fixes  $p :: 'a \text{::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime}$ 
begin

lemma p-depth-fls1[simp]:  $(1 :: 'a \text{ fls})^{\circ p} = 0$ 
  by (rule FLS-Prime-Equiv-Depth.p-depth-fls.depth-of-1)

lemma p-depth-fls-X:  $(\text{fls-}X :: 'a \text{ fls})^{\circ p} = 1$ 
  using fls-1-not-p-equal-0 p-equal-fls-shift-iff[of p fls-X :: 'a fls 0 1]
  p-depth-fls-shift[of p - 1]
  by fastforce

lemma p-depth-fls-X-inv:  $(\text{fls-}X\text{-inv} :: 'a \text{ fls})^{\circ p} = -1$ 
  by (metis fls-1-not-p-equal-0 fls-X-inv-conv-shift-1 p-depth-fls-shift p-depth-fls1
diff-0)

```

```

lemma p-depth-fls-X-intpow: ((fls-X-intpow n)::'a fls)op = n
  using p-depth-fls-shift[of p] fls-1-not-p-equal-0 by fastforce

lemma p-depth-const:
  cop = pmultiplicity p c for c :: 'a
proof (cases c = 0)
  define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
  case False
    moreover from pp-def have ¬is-unit pp using Rep-prime-not-unit by simp
    ultimately obtain k where k: c = pp ^ pmultiplicity p c * k ∙ p pdvd k
      unfolding pp-def by (elim multiplicity-decompose')
    from k(2) have k-n0: fls-const k ≠ 0 using fls-const-nonzero[of k] by blast
    define g and S
      where g ≡ fls-shift (‐ pmultiplicity p c) (fls-const k)
      and S ≡ fls-base-parteval (fls-const c – g) pp
    have ⋀n. pp ^ Suc n dvd S n
  proof –
    fix n show pp ^ Suc n dvd S n
  proof (cases n < pmultiplicity p c)
    case True
      with False pp-def S-def g-def k show ?thesis
        using k-n0 fps-parteval-fls-base-factor-to-fps-diff[of fls-const c n g pp]
          fls-const-nonzero[of c] dvd-power-le[of pp pp Suc n multiplicity pp c]
        by force
    next
    case False
      from k(1) g-def have fls-subdegree (fls-const c – g) = 0
        by (cases pmultiplicity p c = 0) (auto intro: fls-subdegree-eqI)
      with g-def False S-def k(1) show ?thesis
        using fls-times-conv-repart[of fls-X ^ (pmultiplicity p c) fls-const k]
          fps-parteval-mult-fps-X-power(2)[of pmultiplicity p c n fps-const k]
        by (simp add: fls-X-power-times-conv-shift(1) fls-X-pow-conv-fps-X-pow)
    qed
  qed
  with S-def have fls-const c ≈p g using pp-def p-equal-flsI fps-pvanishesI by
    blast
  moreover from g-def pp-def k(2) have ∙ p pdvd g $$ (fls-subdegree g) using
    k-n0 by simp
  moreover from False have fls-const c ≈p 0
    using p-equal-fls-const-0-iff by meson
  ultimately have cop = fls-subdegree g
    by (metis p-depth-const-def p-depth-fls-rep-iff)
  with g-def pp-def show ?thesis using k-n0 by simp
  qed (simp add: p-depth-const-def)

lemma p-depth-prime-const: (Rep-prime p)op = 1
  using Rep-prime[of p] p-depth-const by simp

end

```

4.5 Reduction relative to a prime

4.5.1 Of scalars

```

class nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel = nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring + euclidean-semiring-cancel
begin
subclass nontrivial-factorial-idom ..
subclass euclidean-ring-cancel ..
end

instance int :: nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel ..

abbreviation pdiv :: 
'a ⇒ 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime ⇒ 'a (infix pdiv 70)
where a pdiv p ≡ a div (Rep-prime p)

consts p-modulo :: 'a ⇒ 'b prime ⇒ 'a (infixl pmod 70)

overloading p-modulo-scalar ≡ p-modulo :: 'a ⇒ 'a prime ⇒ 'a
begin
definition p-modulo-scalar :: 
'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel ⇒ 'a prime ⇒ 'a
where p-modulo-scalar-def[simp]: p-modulo-scalar a p ≡ a mod (Rep-prime p)
end

class nontrivial-unique-euclidean-ring = nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring + unique-euclidean-semiring
+ assumes division-segment-prime: prime p ⇒ division-segment p = 1
begin
subclass nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel ..
end

instance int :: nontrivial-unique-euclidean-ring
proof
fix p:int assume p: prime p
hence ¬ p < 0 using normalize-prime[of p] by force
thus division-segment p = 1 using division-segment-int-def by fastforce
qed

lemma division-segment-1: division-segment (1::'a::unique-euclidean-semiring) =
1
proof-
have is-unit (division-segment (1::'a)) by force
from this obtain k where 1 = division-segment (1::'a) * k by blast
moreover have division-segment (1::'a) = division-segment 1 * division-segment
1
using division-segment-mult[of 1 1] by force
ultimately show division-segment (1::'a) = 1
using mult.assoc mult-1-right by metis
qed

```

```

lemma one-pdiv: (1::'a) pdiv p = 0
  and one-pmod: (1::'a) pmod p = 1
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-unique-euclidean-ring prime
proof-
  define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
  have ((1::'a) pdiv p, 1 pmod p) = (0, (1::'a))
    unfolding p-modulo-scalar-def
  proof (intro euclidean-relationI)
    show is-unit (Rep-prime p) ⟹ 1 = 0 ∧ 1 = 0 * Rep-prime p
      using Rep-prime[of p] by auto
    from pp-def have division-segment (1::'a) = division-segment pp
      using Rep-prime division-segment-1 division-segment-prime[of pp] by force
    moreover from pp-def have euclidean-size (1::'a) < euclidean-size (pp *
      (1::'a))
      using Rep-prime-n0 Rep-prime-not-unit euclidean-size-times-nonunit[of pp
      1::'a] by auto
    ultimately show
      division-segment (1::'a) = division-segment (Rep-prime p) ∧
      euclidean-size (1::'a) < euclidean-size (Rep-prime p) ∧
      1 = 0 * Rep-prime p + 1
      using pp-def by auto
  qed (simp-all add: Rep-prime-n0)
  thus (1::'a) pdiv p = 0 and (1::'a) pmod p = 1 by auto
qed

```

4.5.2 Inductive partial reduction of formal Laurent series

This inductive function reduces one term at a time. The *nat* argument specifies how far beyond the subdegree to reduce, so that all terms to *one less* than the *nat* argument beyond the subdegree are reduced, and the term at the *nat* argument beyond the subdegree is updated to include the carry.

```

primrec fls-partially-p-modulo-rep :: 
  'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel fls ⇒
  nat ⇒ 'a prime ⇒ (int ⇒ 'a)
where fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f 0 p = ($$) f |
  fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (Suc N) p =
    (fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p) (
      fls-subdegree f + int N :=
        (fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p (fls-subdegree f + int N)) pmod p,
      fls-subdegree f + int N + 1 :=
        f $$ (fls-subdegree f + int N + 1) +
        (fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p (fls-subdegree f + int N)) pdiv p
    )

```

lemma fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-zero[simp]:
 $\text{fls-partially-p-modulo-rep } 0 \text{ } N \text{ } p \text{ } n = 0$

proof-
have $\forall n. \text{fls-partially-p-modulo-rep } 0 \text{ } N \text{ } p \text{ } n = 0$ **by** (induct N) auto

```

thus ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-zeros-below:
  fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p n = 0 if n < fls-subdegree f
  using that by (induct N) simp-all

lemma fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-func-lower-bound:
  ∀ n<fls-subdegree f. fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (nat (n + 1 - fls-subdegree f))
  p n = 0
  by simp

lemma fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-agrees:
  fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p n = fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f M p n
  if n ≤ fls-subdegree f + int N - 1 and M ≥ N
proof-
  have *:
    ∀ K. fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p n = fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (N + K)
  p n
  proof-
    fix K from that(1) show
      fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p n = fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (N + K) p n
      by (induct K) auto
  qed
  from that(2) show ?thesis using *[of M - N] by simp
qed

lemma fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-func-partially:
  n > fls-subdegree f + int N ==> fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p n = f $$ n
  by (induct N, simp-all)

lemma fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-cong:
  fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p n = fls-partially-p-modulo-rep g N p n
  if fls-subdegree f = fls-subdegree g and ∀ k≤n. f $$ k = g $$ k
proof-
  have
    ∀ k≤n. fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p k = fls-partially-p-modulo-rep g N p k
  proof (induct N, safe)
    case (Suc N)
    fix k assume k ≤ n
    moreover consider
      k = fls-subdegree f + int N | k = fls-subdegree f + int N + 1 |
      k < fls-subdegree f + int N | k > fls-subdegree f + int N + 1
      by fastforce
    ultimately
      show fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (Suc N) p k = fls-partially-p-modulo-rep g
        (Suc N) p k
      by cases (auto simp add: that Suc)
  qed (simp add: that(2))

```

thus ?*thesis* **by** auto
qed

lemma *fls-partially-reduced-nth-vs-rep*:
 $N \leq n + 1 - \text{fls-subdegree } f \implies \text{fls-partially-p-modulo-rep } f N p = (\$\$) f$
if $\forall k \leq n. (f \$\$ k) \text{ pdiv } p = 0$
proof (*induct N*)
case (*Suc N*) **thus** *fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (Suc N) p = (\\$\\$) f*
using that *Suc div-mult-mod-eq*[of *f* \\$\\$ (*fls-subdegree f* + *int N*) *Rep-prime p*]
by *simp*
qed *simp*

lemma *fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-carry*:
 $(\sum k=\text{fls-subdegree } f..N. (f \$\$ k - \text{fls-partially-p-modulo-rep } f (\text{nat } (N - \text{fls-subdegree } f)) p k) * (\text{Rep-prime } p) \wedge \text{nat } (k - \text{fls-subdegree } f) = 0$
proof (*cases N ≥ fls-subdegree f, induct N rule: int-ge-induct*)
case (*step N*)
define *pp and d* :: 'a *fls* ⇒ *int and fpmod-rep*
where *pp* ≡ *Rep-prime p and d* ≡ *fls-subdegree*
and *fpmod-rep* ≡ $(\lambda n. \text{fls-partially-p-modulo-rep } f n p)$
define *S* **where**
 $S \equiv (\sum k=d(f)..N+1. (f \$\$ k - \text{fpmod-rep } (\text{nat } (N + 1 - d(f))) k) * pp \wedge \text{nat } (k - d(f)))$
from *d-def step(1)* **have** *natN: nat (N + 1 - d(f)) = Suc (nat (N - d(f)))*
by (*simp add: Suc-nat-eq-nat-zadd1*)
from *d-def step(1)* **have** {*d(f)..N + 1*} = *insert (N + 1) (insert N {d(f)..N - 1})*
by *auto*
moreover from *d-def fpmod-rep-def step(1)* **have**
 $\forall k \leq N - 1. \text{fpmod-rep } (\text{nat } (N + 1 - d(f))) k = \text{fpmod-rep } (\text{nat } (N - d(f))) k$
using *fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-agrees*[of - *f* *nat (N - d(f)) nat (N + 1 - d(f))*]
by *simp*
ultimately have
 $S = (f \$\$ N - ((\text{fpmod-rep } (\text{nat } (N - d(f))) N \text{ pdiv } p) * pp + (\text{fpmod-rep } (\text{nat } (N - d(f))) N) \text{ pmod } p))$
 $* pp \wedge \text{nat } (N - d(f)) + (\sum k \in \{d(f)..N - 1\}. (f \$\$ k - \text{fpmod-rep } (\text{nat } (N - d(f))) k) * pp \wedge \text{nat } (k - d(f)))$
using *d-def fpmod-rep-def S-def step(1) natN*
by (*simp add: algebra-simps*)
moreover from *d-def step(1)* **have** *df-to-N: {d(f)..N} = insert N {d(f)..N - 1}*
by *fastforce*
ultimately have
 $S = (\sum k \in \{d(f)..N\}. (f \$\$ k - \text{fpmod-rep } (\text{nat } (N - d(f))) k) * pp \wedge \text{nat } (k - d(f)))$
using *pp-def S-def by (simp add: algebra-simps)*

```

with pp-def d-def fpmod-rep-def S-def show ?case using step(2) by presburger
qed simp-all

```

4.5.3 Full reduction of formal Laurent series

Now we collect the reduced terms into a formal Laurent series.

```

overloading p-modulo-fls  $\equiv$  p-modulo :: 'a fls  $\Rightarrow$  'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls
begin
definition p-modulo-fls :: 
  'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel fls  $\Rightarrow$  'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls
  where
    p-modulo-fls f p  $\equiv$ 
      Abs-fls ( $\lambda n$ . fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (nat (n + 1 - fls-subdegree f)) p n)
end

lemma p-modulo-fls-nth:
  (f pmod p) $$ n = fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (nat (n + 1 - fls-subdegree f)) p n
  using nth-Abs-fls-lower-bound fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-func-lower-bound
  unfolding p-modulo-fls-def
  by meson

lemma p-modulo-fls-nth':
  int N  $\geq$  n + 1 - fls-subdegree f  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  (f pmod p) $$ n = fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p n
  proof (induct N)
  case (Suc N) thus ?case
    by (cases int (Suc N) = n + 1 - fls-subdegree f, metis p-modulo-fls-nth nat-int,
    force)
  qed (simp add: p-modulo-fls-nth)

context
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime
begin

lemma fls-pmod-reduced[simp]:
  ((f pmod p) $$ n) pdiv p = 0
  using fls-partially-p-modulo-rep.simps(2)[of f nat (n - fls-subdegree f) p]
  by (
    cases n fls-subdegree f - 1 rule: linorder-cases,
    simp-all add: p-modulo-fls-nth Suc-nat-eq-nat-zadd1 algebra-simps
  )

lemma fls-pmod-pmod-nth[simp]:
  ((f pmod p) $$ n) pmod p = (f pmod p) $$ n for
  f :: 'a fls
  using div-mult-mod-eq[of (f pmod p) $$ n Rep-prime p] by auto

lemma fls-pmod-carry:
  ( $\sum k=fls-subdegree f..N$ . (f - (f pmod p)) $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - fls-subdegree f)) =

```

```

(fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (nat (N + 1 - fls-subdegree f)) p (N + 1) - f $$ (N + 1)) *
(Rep-prime p) ^ nat (N + 1 - fls-subdegree f)
proof (cases N ≥ fls-subdegree f - 1)
case True
define d :: 'a fls ⇒ int and fpmod-rep
where d ≡ fls-subdegree
and fpmod-rep ≡ (λn. fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f n p)
from d-def True have {d(f)..N + 1} = insert (N+1) {d(f)..N} by auto
moreover from d-def fpmod-rep-def True
have ∀ k≤N. fpmod-rep (nat (N + 1 - d(f))) k = (f pmod p) $$ k
using p-modulo-fls-nth'[of - f nat (N + 1 - d(f))]
by force
ultimately show ?thesis
using d-def fpmod-rep-def fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-carry[of f N + 1 p]
by (simp add: algebra-simps)
qed simp

lemma fls-pmod-carry':
(∑ k=fls-subdegree f..N. (f - (f pmod p)) $$ k * (Rep-prime p) ^ nat (k - fls-subdegree f)) =
(fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f (nat (N - fls-subdegree f)) p N pdiv p) *
(Rep-prime p) ^ Suc (nat (N - fls-subdegree f))
if N ≥ fls-subdegree f
using that fls-pmod-carry[of f N]
fls-partially-p-modulo-rep.simps(2)[off nat (N - fls-subdegree f) p]
by (simp add: algebra-simps Suc-nat-eq-nat-zadd1)

lemma fls-p-reduced-is-zero-iff:
f = 0 ←→ f ≈p 0 ∧ (∀ n. f $$ n pdiv p = 0)
for f :: 'a fls
proof (standard, safe)
assume f-0: f ≈p 0 and f-reduced: ∀ n. f $$ n pdiv p = 0
define pp and d :: 'a fls ⇒ int
where pp ≡ Rep-prime p and d ≡ fls-subdegree
show f = 0
proof (intro fls-eqI)
fix n have ∀ k≤n. f $$ k = 0
proof (cases n ≥ d(f) - 1, induct n rule: int-ge-induct, safe)
case (step n)
fix k assume k: k ≤ n + 1
define S where S ≡ (∑ k=d(f)..n + 1. f $$ k * pp ^ nat (k - d(f)))
with f-0 d-def pp-def step(1) have pp ^ Suc (nat (n + 1 - d f)) dvd S
using fls-p-equal0-extended-intsum-iff[of - f] by fastforce
from this obtain T where T: S = pp ^ Suc (nat (n + 1 - d f)) * T by
(elim dvdE)
from step(1) have {d(f)..n + 1} = insert (n + 1) {d(f)..n} by fastforce
with S-def pp-def step(2)
have S = ((f $$ (n+1) pdiv p) * pp + f $$ (n+1) pmod p) * pp ^ nat (n + 1

```

```


$$- d(f))$$


$$\quad \text{by force}$$


$$\quad \text{moreover from } f\text{-reduced have } f\$(n+1) \text{ pdiv } p = 0 \text{ by blast}$$


$$\quad \text{ultimately have } f\$(n + 1) = 0$$


$$\quad \text{using pp-def } T \text{ Rep-prime-n0[of } p\text{] dvd-imp-mod-0[of } pp\text{] mod-mod-trivial[of } f\$(n + 1) \text{ pp]}$$


$$\quad \text{by force}$$


$$\quad \text{with } k \text{ step}(2) \text{ show } f \$\$ k = 0 \text{ by (cases } k = n + 1\text{) auto}$$


$$\quad \text{qed (simp-all add: d-def)}$$


$$\quad \text{thus } f \$\$ n = 0 \$\$ n \text{ by auto}$$


$$\quad \text{qed}$$


$$\text{qed simp-all}$$


lemma p-modulo-fls-eq0[simp]:

$$f \text{ pmod } p = 0 \text{ if } f \simeq_p 0 \text{ for } f :: 'a fls$$

proof-

$$\quad \text{define } d :: 'a fls \Rightarrow int \text{ and fpmod-rep}$$


$$\quad \text{where } d \equiv \text{fls-subdegree}$$


$$\quad \text{and } \text{fpmod-rep} \equiv (\lambda n. \text{fls-partially-}p\text{-modulo-rep } f n p)$$


$$\quad \text{have } \forall n. \forall k \leq n. (f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ k = 0$$


$$\quad \text{proof}$$


$$\quad \text{fix } n \text{ show } \forall k \leq n. (f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ k = 0$$


$$\quad \text{proof (cases } n \geq d(f), \text{ induct } n \text{ rule: int-ge-induct, safe)}$$


$$\quad \text{case base fix } k \text{ assume } k: k \leq d(f)$$


$$\quad \text{show } (f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ k = 0$$


$$\quad \text{proof (cases } k = d(f)\text{)}$$


$$\quad \text{case True}$$


$$\quad \text{with that } d\text{-def show ?thesis}$$


$$\quad \text{using fls-p-equal0-extended-intsum-iff[of - f] p-modulo-fls-nth[of } f\text{] by fastforce}$$


$$\quad \text{next}$$


$$\quad \text{case False with } k \text{ d-def show ?thesis by (simp add: p-modulo-fls-nth)}$$


$$\quad \text{qed}$$


$$\quad \text{next}$$


$$\quad \text{case (step } n\text{)}$$


$$\quad \text{fix } k \text{ assume } k: k \leq n + 1$$


$$\quad \text{define } pp \text{ where } pp \equiv \text{Rep-prime } p$$


$$\quad \text{define } S \text{ where } S \equiv (\sum k=d(f)..n + 1. f \$\$ k * pp \wedge nat(k - d(f)))$$


$$\quad \text{with that } d\text{-def pp-def step(1) have } pp \wedge \text{Suc(nat(n + 1 - d f)) dvd } S$$


$$\quad \text{using fls-p-equal0-extended-intsum-iff[of - f] by fastforce}$$


$$\quad \text{from this obtain } T \text{ where } T: S = pp \wedge \text{Suc(nat(n + 1 - d f)) * T by (elim dvdE)}$$


$$\quad \text{moreover from step(1) have } \{d(f)..n + 1\} = \text{insert}(n + 1) \{d(f)..n\} \text{ by fastforce}$$


$$\quad \text{ultimately have }$$


$$\quad (f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ (n+1) * pp \wedge nat(n + 1 - d(f)) =$$


$$\quad (T - \text{fpmod-rep(nat(n + 1 - d(f)))}) (n + 1) \text{ pdiv } p * pp * pp \wedge nat(n + 1 - d(f))$$


$$\quad \text{using d-def fpmod-rep-def pp-def S-def step fls-pmod-carry'[of } f n + 1]$$


```

```

by (simp add: algebra-simps)
with pp-def have (f pmod p) $$ (n+1) = 0
  using Rep-prime-n0[of p] dvd-mult-cancel-right fls-pmod-reduced[of f n + 1]
by simp
  with k step(2) show (f pmod p) $$ k = 0 by (cases k = n + 1) auto
qed (simp add: d-def p-modulo-fls-nth)
qed
thus f pmod p = 0 using fls-eqI[of f pmod p 0] by auto
qed

lemma fls-pmod-eq0-iff:
  f pmod p = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  f  $\simeq_p$  0 for f :: 'a fls
proof (standard, rule iffD2, rule fls-p-equal0-extended-intsum-iff, safe)
  define pp and d :: 'a fls  $\Rightarrow$  int
    where pp  $\equiv$  Rep-prime p and d  $\equiv$  fls-subdegree
  show d(f)  $\leq$  d(f) by blast
  fix n assume f pmod p = 0 and n  $\geq$  d(f)
  with pp-def d-def
    show pp  $\wedge$  Suc (nat (n - d(f))) dvd ( $\sum_{k=d(f)}^n$  f) $$ k * pp  $\wedge$  nat (k - d(f)))
      using fls-pmod-carry'
      by force
qed simp

lemma fls-pmod-equiv: f  $\simeq_p$  f pmod p for f :: 'a fls
proof (cases f pmod p = 0)
  case True thus ?thesis using fls-pmod-eq0-iff by simp
next
  case False show ?thesis
proof (rule iffD2, rule p-equal-fls-extended-intsum-iff, safe)
  define pp and d :: 'a fls  $\Rightarrow$  int
    where pp  $\equiv$  Rep-prime p and d  $\equiv$  fls-subdegree
  from False d-def have d(f)  $\leq$  d(f pmod p)
    by (auto intro: fls-subdegree-geI simp add: p-modulo-fls-nth)
  thus d(f)  $\leq$  min (d(f)) (d(f pmod p)) by fastforce
  fix n assume n  $\geq$  d(f)
  with d-def pp-def show
    pp  $\wedge$  Suc (nat (n - d(f))) dvd ( $\sum_{k=d(f)}^n$  (f - f pmod p)) $$ k * pp  $\wedge$  nat (k - d(f)))
      using fls-pmod-carry'[of f] by auto
qed
qed

lemma fls-p-reduced-subdegree-unique:
  fls-subdegree g = (f  $\circ^p$ )
  if f  $\simeq_p$  g and  $\forall n. (g \text{ } \$\$ \text{ } n) \text{ pdiv } p = 0$ 
  for f g :: 'a fls
proof (cases f  $\simeq_p$  0)
  case True

```

```

with that have  $g = 0$  using fls-p-reduced-is-zero-iff p-equality-fls.trans-right by
blast
with True show ?thesis by (simp add: p-depth-fls-def)
next
case False show ?thesis
proof (intro p-depth-fls-eqI[symmetric], rule False, rule that(1))
fix h assume  $h: f \simeq_p h$ 
from that(1) h
have fls-subdegree  $h > fls-subdegree g \implies p \text{ pdvd } g$  $$ (fls-subdegree g)
using fls-pmod-equiv p-equality-fls.trans-right[of p f]
p-equal-fls-extended-intsum-iff[of fls-subdegree g g h]
by fastforce
moreover from that(2) have  $(g \text{ fls-subdegree } g) \text{ pdiv } p = 0$  by blast
ultimately show fls-subdegree  $h \leq fls-subdegree g$ 
using False that(1) nth-fls-subdegree-nonzero[of g] by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma fls-pmod-subdegree:
fls-subdegree  $(f \text{ pmod } p) = (f^{op})$  for  $f :: 'a \text{ fls}$ 
using fls-p-reduced-subdegree-unique fls-pmod-reduced fls-pmod-equiv by blast

lemma fls-pmod-depth:
 $(f \text{ pmod } p)^{op} = (f^{op})$  for  $f :: 'a \text{ fls}$ 
by (metis p-depth-fls.depth-equiv fls-pmod-equiv)

lemma fls-pmod-subdegree-ge:
fls-subdegree  $(f \text{ pmod } p) \geq fls-subdegree f$  if  $f \neg\simeq_p 0$ 
for  $f :: 'a \text{ fls}$ 
using that fls-pmod-subdegree p-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree' by auto

lemma fls-pmod-nth-below-subdegree:
 $(f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ n } = 0$  if  $n < fls-subdegree f$  for  $f :: 'a \text{ fls}$ 
using that fls-pmod-subdegree-ge[of f] by fastforce

lemma fls-pmod-nth-cong:
 $(f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ n } = (g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ n }$  if  $\forall k \leq n. f \text{ n } k = g \text{ n } k$  for  $f g :: 'a \text{ fls}$ 
proof-
consider
 $f = 0 \text{ g } = 0 \mid (f \text{ n } 0) f \neq 0 \text{ g } = 0 \mid (g \text{ n } 0) f = 0 \text{ g } \neq 0 \mid$ 
(f-n0-upper)  $f \neq 0 \text{ n } \geq fls-subdegree f \mid$ 
(g-n0-lower)  $g \neq 0 \text{ n } < fls-subdegree f$ 
by linarith
thus ?thesis
proof cases
case f-n0 with that show ?thesis
using fls-subdegree-geI[of f n + 1] by (simp add: fls-pmod-nth-below-subdegree)
next
case g-n0 with that show ?thesis

```

```

using fls-subdegree-geI[of g n + 1] by (simp add: fls-pmod-nth-below-subdegree)
next
  case g-n0-lower with that show ?thesis
    using fls-subdegree-geI[of g n + 1] by (simp add: fls-pmod-nth-below-subdegree)
next
  case f-n0-upper
    from f-n0-upper(2) have  $\bigwedge k. k < \text{fls-subdegree } f \implies k \leq n$  by simp
    with that have  $\bigwedge k. k < \text{fls-subdegree } f \implies g \$\$ k = 0$  by fastforce
    with that f-n0-upper show ?thesis
      using nth-fls-subdegree-nonzero fls-subdegree-eqI p-modulo-fls-nth
        fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-cong
      by metis
qed simp
qed

lemma fls-partially-reduced-nth-vs-pmod:
  ( $f \text{ pmod } p$ )  $\$ \$ n = f \$ \$ n$  if  $\forall k \leq n. (f \$ \$ k) \text{ pdiv } p = 0$ 
proof (cases  $n \geq \text{fls-subdegree } f$ )
  case True with that show ?thesis
    by (simp add: p-modulo-fls-nth fls-partially-reduced-nth-vs-rep)
qed (force simp add: fls-pmod-nth-below-subdegree)

lemma fls-pmod-eqI:
   $f \text{ pmod } p = g$  if  $f \simeq_p g$  and  $\forall n. (g \$ \$ n) \text{ pdiv } p = 0$ 
proof (intro fls-eq-above-subdegreeI, safe)
  define d :: 'a fls  $\Rightarrow$  int where  $d \equiv \text{fls-subdegree}$ 
  with that have  $\forall: d(f \text{ pmod } p) \geq (f^{\circ p})$   $d(g) \geq (f^{\circ p})$ 
    using fls-pmod-subdegree fls-p-reduced-subdegree-unique by (simp, presburger)
  thus  $d(f \text{ pmod } p) \geq (f^{\circ p}) - 1$   $d(g) \geq (f^{\circ p}) - 1$  by auto
  fix n assume n:  $n \geq (f^{\circ p}) - 1$ 
  hence  $\forall k \leq n. (f \text{ pmod } p) \$ \$ k = g \$ \$ k$ 
  proof (induct n rule: int-ge-induct)
    case base from that show ?case using fls-pmod-subdegree fls-p-reduced-subdegree-unique
  by simp
  next
    case (step n) show ?case
    proof clarify
      define pp where  $pp \equiv \text{Rep-prime } p$ 
      fix k assume k:  $k \leq n + 1$ 
      define S where
         $S \equiv (\sum k=(f^{\circ p})..n + 1.$ 
         $(f \text{ pmod } p - g) \$ \$ k * pp \wedge \text{nat}(k - (f^{\circ p}))$ 
      define A
        where A  $\equiv$  (if  $n + 1 = (f^{\circ p})$  then {} else  $\{(f^{\circ p})..n\}$ )
      with step(1) have  $\{(f^{\circ p})..n + 1\} = \text{insert}(n + 1) A$  by force
      moreover from A-def step(2) have  $\forall k \in A. (f \text{ pmod } p - g) \$ \$ k = 0$  by
      simp
      ultimately have
         $S = (f \text{ pmod } p - g) \$ \$ (n + 1) * pp \wedge \text{nat}(n + 1 - (f^{\circ p}))$ 
    qed
  qed

```

```

using S-def A-def by force
moreover from step(1) that(1) d-def pp-def S-def
have pp ^ Suc (nat (n + 1 - (f^p))) dvd S
using * p-equality-fls.trans-right fls-pmod-equiv
p-equal-fls-extended-intsum-iff[of (f^p) f pmod p g]
by force
ultimately have p pdvd ((f pmod p) - g) $$ (n + 1) using pp-def
Rep-prime-n0 by auto
with pp-def that(2)
have (f pmod p) $$ (n + 1) = ((g$$(n+1)) pdiv p) * pp + (g$$(n+1))
pmod p
using mod-eq-dvd-iff fls-pmod-reduced div-mult-mod-eq[of (f pmod p) $$ (n
+ 1) pp]
by force
with pp-def k show (f pmod p) $$ k = g $$ k
using step(2) by (cases k = n + 1) (auto simp add: div-mult-mod-eq)
qed
qed
thus (f pmod p) $$ n = g $$ n by fast
qed

lemma fls-pmod-eq-pmod-iff:
f pmod p = g pmod p  $\longleftrightarrow$  f  $\simeq_p$  g for f g :: 'a fls
proof
show f pmod p = g pmod p  $\implies$  f  $\simeq_p$  g
by (metis fls-pmod-equiv p-equality-fls.refl p-equality-fls.cong)
show f  $\simeq_p$  g  $\implies$  f pmod p = g pmod p
using fls-pmod-equiv p-equality-fls.trans fls-pmod-reduced fls-pmod-eqI by meson
qed

lemma fls-pmod-equiv-pmod-iff:
(f pmod p)  $\simeq_p$  (g pmod p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  f  $\simeq_p$  g
for f g :: 'a fls
using fls-pmod-equiv p-equality-fls.cong p-equality-fls.sym by meson

lemma fls-p-modulo-iff:
f pmod p = g  $\longleftrightarrow$  f  $\simeq_p$  g  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  n. (g $$ n) pdiv p = 0)
using fls-pmod-equiv fls-pmod-reduced fls-pmod-eqI by blast

lemma fls-pmod-pmod[simp]: (f pmod p) pmod p = f pmod p for f :: 'a fls
by (metis fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-trans fls-pmod-reduced fls-pmod-eqI)

lemma fls-pmod-cong:
f pmod p = g pmod p if f  $\simeq_p$  g for f g :: 'a fls
using that fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-trans fls-pmod-reduced fls-pmod-eqI by me-
son

end

```

4.5.4 Algebraic properties of reduction

```

lemma fls-pmod-1 [simp]:
  ( $1::'a\text{ fls}$ ) pmod p = 1 for p :: 'a::nontrivial-unique-euclidean-ring prime
  by (intro fls-pmod-eqI, simp-all add: one-pdiv)

context
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime
  begin

lemma fls-pmod-0: ( $0::'a\text{ fls}$ ) pmod p = 0
  by simp

lemma fls-pmod-uminus-equiv: ( $-f$ ) pmod p  $\simeq_p$   $-(f \text{ pmod } p)$  for f :: 'a fls
  using fls-pmod-equiv p-equality-fls.uminus p-equality-fls.trans-right by blast

lemma fls-pmod-equiv-add:
  ( $f + g$ ) pmod p = ( $f' + g'$ ) pmod p if  $f \simeq_p f'$  and  $g \simeq_p g'$ 
  for f f' g g' :: 'a fls
  using that p-equality-fls.add fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-trans fls-pmod-reduced fls-pmod-eqI
  by meson

lemma fls-pmod-const-add:
  ( $\text{fls-const } c + f$ ) pmod p = fls-const c + f pmod p if fls-subdegree f > 0 and c
  pdiv p = 0
  for c :: 'a and f :: 'a fls
  proof (intro fls-pmod-eqI, safe)
    fix n from that show (fls-const c + f pmod p)  $\$\$ n$  pdiv p = 0
    by (cases n 0::int rule: linorder-cases, simp-all add: fls-pmod-nth-below-subdegree)
  qed (simp add: fls-pmod-equiv p-equality-fls.add)

lemma fls-pmod-add-equiv:
  ( $f + g$ ) pmod p  $\simeq_p$  (f pmod p) + (g pmod p) for f g :: 'a fls
  by (metis fls-pmod-equiv fls-pmod-equiv-add p-equality-fls.trans-left)

lemma fls-pmod-mismatched-add-nth:
   $n < \text{fls-subdegree } g \implies ((f + g) \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ n = (f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ n$ 
   $((f + g) \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ (\text{fls-subdegree } g) =$ 
   $((f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ (\text{fls-subdegree } g) + g \$\$ (\text{fls-subdegree } g)) \text{ pmod } p$ 
  if fls-subdegree g > fls-subdegree f
  for f :: 'a fls
  proof-
    assume n:  $n < \text{fls-subdegree } g$ 
    show  $((f + g) \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ n = (f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ n$ 
    proof (cases f = 0)
      case True with n show ?thesis using fls-pmod-nth-below-subdegree by auto
    next
      case False
      with that have fls-subdegree (f + g) = fls-subdegree f by (simp add: fls-subdegree-eqI)
      with n show ?thesis

```

```

using fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-cong[of f + g] by (simp add: p-modulo-fls-nth)
qed
next
define pp and d :: 'a fls ⇒ int and pmod-rep
  where pp ≡ Rep-prime p and d ≡ fls-subdegree
  and pmod-rep ≡ (λf N. fls-partially-p-modulo-rep f N p)
show
  ((f + g) pmod p) $$ (fls-subdegree g) =
    ((f pmod p) $$ (fls-subdegree g) + g $$ (fls-subdegree g)) pmod p
proof (cases f = 0)
  case False
  with that d-def have dfg: d(f + g) = d(f) by (simp add: fls-subdegree-eqI)
  with that d-def pmod-rep-def pp-def show ?thesis
    using fls-partially-p-modulo-rep.simps(2)[of f + g nat (d(g) - d(f))]
      fls-partially-p-modulo-rep.simps(2)[of f + g nat (d(g) - d(f) - 1)]
      fls-partially-p-modulo-rep.simps(2)[of f nat (d(g) - d(f) - 1)]
      fls-partially-p-modulo-rep-cong[of f + g f d(g) - 1 nat (d(g) - d(f) -
1)]
      fls-partially-p-modulo-rep.simps(2)[of f nat (d(g) - d(f))]
    by (simp add: p-modulo-fls-nth Suc-nat-eq-nat-zadd1 algebra-simps mod-simps)
qed (simp add: d-def pmod-rep-def p-modulo-fls-nth)
qed

lemma fls-pmod-equiv-minus:
  (f - g) pmod p = (f' - g') pmod p if f ≈p f' and g ≈p g'
  for f f' g g' :: 'a fls
  using that p-equality-fls.minus fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-trans fls-pmod-reduced
fls-pmod-eqI
  by meson

lemma fls-pmod-minus-equiv:
  (f - g) pmod p ≈p ((f pmod p) - (g pmod p)) for f g :: 'a fls
  by (metis fls-pmod-equiv fls-pmod-equiv-minus p-equality-fls.trans-left)

lemma fls-pmod-equiv-times:
  (f * g) pmod p = (f' * g') pmod p if f ≈p f' and g ≈p g'
  for f f' g g' :: 'a fls
  using that p-equality-fls.mult fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-trans fls-pmod-reduced
fls-pmod-eqI
  by meson

lemma fls-pmod-times-equiv:
  (f * g) pmod p ≈p (f pmod p) * (g pmod p) for f g :: 'a fls
  by (metis fls-pmod-equiv fls-pmod-equiv-times p-equality-fls.trans-left)

lemma fls-pmod-diff-cancel:
  (f pmod p) $$ n = (g pmod p) $$ n if n < ((f - g)op)
  for f g :: 'a fls
proof -

```

consider
 $f \simeq_p 0 \mid g \simeq_p 0 \mid$
 $(\text{nonzero}) f \neg\simeq_p 0 \ g \neg\simeq_p 0$
by fast
thus ?thesis
using that
proof cases
case nonzero
hence $n < ((f - g)^{\circ p}) \implies (f \text{ pmod } p) \ \& \ n = (g \text{ pmod } p)$
proof (induct f g rule: linorder-wlog'[of $\lambda f. f^{\circ p}$])
case (le f g)
have *:
 $\bigwedge n. n < ((f - g)^{\circ p}) \implies$
 $((f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ pmod } p) \ \& \ n = 0$
using p-depth-fls.depth-diff-equiv fls-pmod-equiv fls-pmod-subdegree fls-eq0-below-subdegree
by metis
from le(2) consider
 $(\text{diff-le}) \quad ((f - g)^{\circ p}) \leq (f^{\circ p}) \mid$
 $(\text{diff-gt}) \quad ((f - g)^{\circ p}) > (g^{\circ p}) \mid$
 (diff-betw)
 $(f^{\circ p}) < ((f - g)^{\circ p})$
 $((f - g)^{\circ p}) \leq (g^{\circ p})$
by linarith
thus $(f \text{ pmod } p) \ \& \ n = (g \text{ pmod } p)$
proof cases
case diff-le with le(1,2) show ?thesis by (simp add: fls-pmod-subdegree)
next
case diff-betw
from le(2) diff-betw(2) have $\forall k \leq n. ((f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) \ \& \ k) \text{ pdiv } p$
 $= 0$
by (auto simp add: fls-pmod-subdegree)
with le(2) have $(f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) \ \& \ n = 0$
using * fls-partially-reduced-nth-vs-pmod by metis
thus ?thesis by auto
next
case diff-gt
have $\neg (f^{\circ p}) < (g^{\circ p})$
proof
assume lt: $(f^{\circ p}) < (g^{\circ p})$
moreover from this
have $\forall k \leq (f^{\circ p}). ((f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) \ \& \ k) \text{ pdiv } p = 0$
by (auto simp add: fls-pmod-subdegree)
ultimately have $(f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) \ \& \ (f^{\circ p}) = 0$
using diff-gt * fls-partially-reduced-nth-vs-pmod[of - f pmod p - g pmod p]
 $p]$
by fastforce
with le(3) lt show False
using fls-pmod-subdegree[of - p] fls-pmod-eq0-iff nth-fls-subdegree-nonzero
by force

```

qed
with le(1) have df-dg:  $(f^{op}) = (g^{op})$  by linarith
define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
have
 $n < ((f - g)^{op}) \implies$ 
 $\forall k \leq n. (f \bmod p) \$\$ k = (g \bmod p) \$\$ k$ 
proof (cases  $n \geq (f^{op})$ )
  case True
  have strong:
     $\bigwedge N. N < ((f - g)^{op}) \implies$ 
     $\forall k < N. (f \bmod p) \$\$ k = (g \bmod p) \$\$ k \implies$ 
     $\neg (f \bmod p) \$\$ N \neq (g \bmod p) \$\$ N$ 
  proof
    fix N
    assume  $N : N < ((f - g)^{op})$ 
     $\forall k < N. (f \bmod p) \$\$ k = (g \bmod p) \$\$ k$ 
    and neq:  $(f \bmod p) \$\$ N \neq (g \bmod p) \$\$ N$ 
    hence  $(f \bmod p - g \bmod p) \$\$ N \neq 0$  by simp
    with N(2) have fls-subdegree  $(f \bmod p - g \bmod p) = N$ 
      using fls-subdegree-eqI[of f pmod p - g pmod p N] by auto
    hence  $((f \bmod p - g \bmod p) \bmod p) \$\$ N = ((f \bmod p - g \bmod p) \bmod p) \$\$ N$ 
      by (simp add: p-modulo-fls-nth)
    with N(1) have  $((f \bmod p - g \bmod p) \$\$ N) \bmod p = 0$ 
      using * by metis
    hence  $((f \bmod p) \$\$ N) \bmod p = ((g \bmod p) \$\$ N) \bmod p$ 
      using mod-eq-dvd-iff by auto
    with neq show False using fls-pmod-pmod-nth[of f] fls-pmod-pmod-nth[of g] by metis
  qed
  from True show
     $n < ((f - g)^{op}) \implies$ 
     $\forall k \leq n. (f \bmod p) \$\$ k = (g \bmod p) \$\$ k$ 
  proof (induct n rule: int-ge-induct, safe)
    case base
    fix k
    from diff-gt have  $(f^{op}) < (f - g^{op})$  using df-dg by auto
    moreover have k-lt:  $\forall k < f^{op}. (f \bmod p) \$\$ k = (g \bmod p) \$\$ k$ 
      using df-dg by (auto simp add: fls-pmod-subdegree)
    ultimately have
       $\neg (f \bmod p) \$\$ (f^{op}) \neq (g \bmod p) \$\$ (f^{op})$ 
       $k < (f^{op}) \implies (f \bmod p) \$\$ k = (g \bmod p) \$\$ k$ 
      using strong by (presburger, blast)
    moreover assume k ≤ (f^{op})
    ultimately show  $(f \bmod p) \$\$ k = (g \bmod p) \$\$ k$  by fastforce
  next
    case (step n)
    fix k

```

```

from step(2,3) have  $\neg (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } (n + 1) \neq (g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } (n + 1)$ 
  using strong by auto
  hence  $k = n + 1 \implies (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k = (g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k$  by fastforce
  moreover from step(2,3)
    have  $k \leq n \implies (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k = (g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k$ 
    by auto
  moreover assume  $k \leq n + 1$ 
  ultimately show  $(f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k = (g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k$  by fastforce
qed
next
  case False thus  $\forall k \leq n. (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k = (g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k$ 
    using df-dg by (auto simp add: fls-pmod-subdegree)
  qed
  with le(2) show ?thesis by fast
qed
qed (simp add: p-depth-fls.depth-diff)
with that show ?thesis by blast
qed (
  fastforce simp add: p-depth-fls.depth-diff-equiv0-left fls-pmod-eq0-iff fls-pmod-subdegree,
  fastforce simp add: p-depth-fls.depth-diff-equiv0-right fls-pmod-eq0-iff fls-pmod-subdegree
)
qed

lemma fls-pmod-diff-cancel-iff:
   $((f - g)^{\circ p}) > n \iff (\forall k \leq n. (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k = (g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k)$ 
  if  $f \not\sim_p g$ 
  for  $f g :: 'a fls$ 
proof
  assume  $\forall k \leq n. (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k = (g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ ## } k$ 
  moreover from that have  $f \text{ pmod } p \neq g \text{ pmod } p$  using fls-pmod-eq-pmod-iff by
  auto
  ultimately have fls-subdegree  $(f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) > n$ 
    using fls-subdegree-greaterI[of f pmod p - g pmod p] by auto
  with that have fls-subdegree  $((f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ pmod } p) > n$ 
    using fls-pmod-subdegree-ge[of p f pmod p - g pmod p] fls-pmod-equiv-pmod-iff
      p-equality-fls.conv-0
    by fastforce
  thus  $((f - g)^{\circ p}) > n$ 
    using fls-pmod-subdegree fls-pmod-equiv p-depth-fls.depth-diff-equiv by metis
qed (simp add: fls-pmod-diff-cancel)

end

```

4.6 Inversion relative to a prime

4.6.1 Of scalars

```

class bezout-semiring = semiring-gcd +
  assumes bezout:  $\exists u v. u * x + v * y = \text{gcd } x y$ 

```

```

class bezout-ring = ring-gcd +
  assumes bezout:  $\exists u v. u * x + v * y = \text{gcd } x y$ 
begin
subclass bezout-semiring using bezout by unfold-locales
subclass idom ..
end

instance int :: bezout-ring by (standard, rule bezout-int)

class nontrivial-factorial-euclidean-bezout = nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel + be-
zout-semiring
begin
subclass bezout-ring by (standard, rule bezout)
end

class nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout =
nontrivial-unique-euclidean-ring + bezout-semiring
begin
subclass nontrivial-factorial-euclidean-bezout ..
end

instance int :: nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout ..

lemma prime-residue-inverse-ex1:
 $\exists !x. x \text{ pdiv } p = 0 \wedge (x * a) \text{ pmod } p = 1$  if  $\neg p \text{ pdvd } a$ 
  for a :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout and p :: 'a prime
proof (intro ex-ex1I, safe)
  define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
  with that obtain u v where uv:  $u * pp + v * a = 1$ 
    using Rep-prime[of p] prime-imp-coprime bezout[of pp a] coprime-iff-gcd-eq-1[of
    pp a]
    by auto
  define y where y ≡ v pmod p
  with pp-def have (y * a) pmod p = 1
    by (metis
      uv p-modulo-scalar-def mod-add-left-eq mod-mult-self2-is-0 add-0-left mod-mult-left-eq
      one-pmod
    )
  moreover from y-def have y pdiv p = 0 by auto
  ultimately show  $\exists x. x \text{ pdiv } p = 0 \wedge (x * a) \text{ pmod } p = 1$  by fast

fix x x'
assume x : x pdiv p = 0 x * a pmod p = 1
  and x' : x' pdiv p = 0 x' * a pmod p = 1
from pp-def x(1) have x pmod p = x using div-mult-mod-eq[of x pp] by auto
with x'(2) have x = ((x pmod p) * (x' * a) pmod p)) pmod p by auto
also from pp-def x(2) have ... = (x' * 1) pmod p
  by (metis p-modulo-scalar-def mult.assoc mult.commute mod-mult-right-eq)

```

finally show $x = x'$ **using** $pp\text{-}def\ x'(1)\ div\text{-}mult\text{-}mod\text{-}eq[of\ x'\ pp]$ **by** $simp$
qed

consts $pinverse :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ prime $\Rightarrow 'a$
 $((-^{1-}) [51, 51] 50)$

overloading $pinverse\text{-}scalar \equiv pinverse :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ prime $\Rightarrow 'a$
begin
definition $pinverse\text{-}scalar ::$
 $'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout \Rightarrow 'a$ prime $\Rightarrow 'a$
where
 $pinverse\text{-}scalar\ a\ p \equiv$
 $(if\ p\ pdvd\ a\ then\ 0\ else$
 $(THE\ x.\ x\ pdiv\ p = 0 \wedge (x * a)\ pmod\ p = 1))$
end

context
fixes $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout$ prime
begin

lemma $pinverse\text{-}scalar: ((a^{-1p}) * a) \ pmod\ p = 1$ **if** $\neg p\ pdvd\ a$ **for** $a :: 'a$
using *that theI'[OF prime-residue-inverse-ex1]* **unfolding** $pinverse\text{-}scalar\text{-}def$ **by**
auto

lemma $pinverse\text{-}scalar\text{-}reduced: (a^{-1p})\ pdiv\ p = 0$ **for** $a :: 'a$
using *theI'[OF prime-residue-inverse-ex1]* **unfolding** $pinverse\text{-}scalar\text{-}def$ **by**
auto

lemma $pinverse\text{-}scalar\text{-}nzero: (a^{-1p}) \neq 0$ **if** $\neg p\ pdvd\ a$ **for** $a :: 'a$
using *that pinverse-scalar by fastforce*

lemma $pinverse\text{-}scalar\text{-}zero[simp]: ((0::'a)^{-1p}) = 0$
unfolding $pinverse\text{-}scalar\text{-}def$ **by** *force*

lemma $pinverse\text{-}scalar\text{-}one[simp]: ((1::'a)^{-1p}) = 1$
using *Rep-prime-not-unit the1-equality[OF prime-residue-inverse-ex1, of p 1]*
one-pdiv one-pmod
unfolding $pinverse\text{-}scalar\text{-}def$
by *auto*

end

4.6.2 Inductive partial inversion of formal Laurent series

Inductively define a depth-zero partial inverse representative function. It is effectively a polynomial of degree equal to the *nat* argument.

primrec $fls\text{-}partially\text{-}pinverse\text{-}rep ::$
 $'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout$ $fls \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow$
 $'a$ prime $\Rightarrow (int \Rightarrow 'a)$

where

```
fls-partially-pinverse-rep f 0 p n =
  (if n = 0
    then ((f pmod p) $$ (fop))-1p
    else 0)
| fls-partially-pinverse-rep f (Suc N) p =
  (fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p)(
    int (Suc N) := -(
      (
        (
          fls-shift (fop) (Abs-fls (fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p)) *
          (f pmod p)
        ) pmod p
      ) $$ (int (Suc N)) * (((f pmod p) $$ (fop))-1p)
    ) pmod p
  )
```

lemma fls-partially-pinverse-rep-func-lower-bound:

```
  ∀ n < 0. fls-partially-pinverse-rep f (nat n) p n = 0
  by fastforce
```

lemma fls-partially-pinverse-rep-at-0:

```
  fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p 0 = (((f pmod p) $$ (fop))-1p)
  by (induct N) simp-all
```

lemma fls-partially-pinverse-rep-func-lower-bound':

```
  ∀ n < 0. fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p n = 0
  by (induct N) simp-all
```

lemmas Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth =

```
  nth-Abs-fls-lower-bound[OF fls-partially-pinverse-rep-func-lower-bound']
```

lemma fls-partially-pinverse-rep-func-truncates:

```
  n > int N ==> fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p n = 0
  by (induct N, simp-all)
```

context

```
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime
  begin
```

lemma pinverse-scalar-fls-pmod-base:

```
  (
    (((f pmod p) $$ (fop))-1p) * (f pmod p) $$ (fop)
  ) pmod p = 1
  if f  $\not\sim_p$  0
  for f :: 'a fls
  using that fls-pmod-eq0-iff fls-pmod-subdegree[of f]
  nth-fls-subdegree-nonzero[of f pmod p]
  pinverse-scalar[of p (f pmod p) $$ (fop)]
```

```

fls-pmod-reduced[of f p (fop)]
by fastforce

lemma fls-partially-pinverse-rep-eq-zero:
  fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p = 0 if f ≈p 0
  for f :: 'a fls
proof (induct N)
  case 0 from that show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc N) show ?case
  proof
    fix n show fls-partially-pinverse-rep f (Suc N) p n = 0 n
    proof (cases n = int (Suc N))
      case True
      from Suc have
        fls-shift (fop) (Abs-fls (fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p)) = 0
        using fls-shift-zero unfolding zero-fls-def zero-fun-def by fastforce
        with True show ?thesis using fls-pmod-0 by auto
    qed (simp add: Suc)
  qed
qed

lemma fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nzero-at-0:
  fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p ≠ 0 if f ≈p 0 for f :: 'a fls
  using that fls-partially-pinverse-rep-at-0[of f] pinverse-scalar-fls-pmod-base by
fastforce

lemma fls-partially-pinverse-rep-eq-zero-iff:
  fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p = 0 ↔ f ≈p 0
  for f :: 'a fls
  using fls-partially-pinverse-rep-eq-zero[of f N] fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nzero-at-0[of
f N]
  by fastforce

lemma Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nzero:
  Abs-fls (fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p) ≠ 0 if f ≈p 0
  for f :: 'a fls
  using that fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nzero-at-0 Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth[of
f N p]
  by fastforce

lemma Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-eq-zero-iff:
  Abs-fls (fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p) = 0 ↔ f ≈p 0
  for f :: 'a fls
  using fls-eq-iff Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth[of f]
  fls-partially-pinverse-rep-eq-zero-iff[of f N]
  by fastforce

lemma Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-subdegree:

```

```

fls-subdegree (Abs-fls (fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p)) = 0 if f ⊨~_p 0
for f :: 'a fls
using that fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nzero-at-0 fls-partially-pinverse-rep-func-lower-bound'
    Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth fls-subdegree-eqI
by metis

lemma fls-shift-pinv-rep-subdegree:
  fls-subdegree (fls-shift m (Abs-fls (fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p))) = -m
  if f ⊨~_p 0
  for f :: 'a fls
  using that Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nzero Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-subdegree
  by fastforce

lemma fls-partially-pinverse-rep-cong:
  fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p (n - K) = fls-partially-pinverse-rep g N p (n - K)
  if f^o = K and g^o = K and ∀ k ≤ n. f $$ k = g $$ k
  for f g :: 'a fls
proof-
  have equiv0-case:
    ∧ f g :: 'a fls.
    f ⊨~_p 0 ⇒ g^o = 0 ⇒
    ∀ k ≤ n. f $$ k = g $$ k ⇒
    fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p n = fls-partially-pinverse-rep g N p n
proof-
  fix f g :: 'a fls
  assume f: f ⊨~_p 0 and g: g^o = 0
  and fg: ∀ k ≤ n. f $$ k = g $$ k
  show fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p n = fls-partially-pinverse-rep g N p n
  proof (cases g ⊨~_p 0, metis f fls-partially-pinverse-rep-eq-zero)
    case False
    have ∀ k ≤ n. fls-partially-pinverse-rep g N p k = 0
    proof (induct N)
      case 0 with f g fg show ?case using fls-pmod-nth-cong[of 0 g f] by auto
    next
    case (Suc N) show ?case
    proof clarify
      fix k assume k: k ≤ n show fls-partially-pinverse-rep g (Suc N) p k = 0
      proof (cases k = int (Suc N))
        case False with k Suc show ?thesis by force
      next
      case True
      moreover define G where G ≡ Abs-fls (fls-partially-pinverse-rep g N p)
      moreover have ((G * (g pmod p)) pmod p) $$ int (Suc N) = (0 pmod p) $$ int (Suc N)
      proof (rule fls-pmod-nth-cong, clarify)
        fix j assume j ≤ int (Suc N)
        with f g fg G-def True False k show (G * (g pmod p)) $$ j = 0 $$ j
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

using fls-times-nth(1)[of G] fls-pmod-subdegree[of g p]
Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-subdegree[of g] fls-pmod-nth-cong[of
- g f]
p-modulo-fls-eq0[of p f]
by auto
qed
ultimately show fls-partially-pinverse-rep g (Suc N) p k = 0 using g
by simp
qed
qed
qed
with f show ?thesis using fls-partially-pinverse-rep-eq-zero by fastforce
qed
qed
consider
f ≈p 0 |
g ≈p 0 |
(nonzero) f ≈p 0 g ≈p 0
by blast
thus ?thesis
using that equiv0-case
proof cases
case nonzero
from that have base:
n ≥ K ⇒
(((f pmod p) $$ K)^{-1p}) = (((g pmod p) $$ K)^{-1p})
using fls-pmod-nth-cong[of K f g] by simp
have
∀ k ≤ n.
fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p (k - K) = fls-partially-pinverse-rep g N p (k
- K)
proof (induct N, simp add: that(1,2), safe, rule base, simp)
case (Suc N)
fix k assume k: k ≤ n
show
fls-partially-pinverse-rep f (Suc N) p (k - K) =
fls-partially-pinverse-rep g (Suc N) p (k - K)
proof (cases k: int (Suc N) + K)
case False with Suc k show ?thesis by fastforce
next
case True
with k have K: n ≥ K by linarith
define A :: 'a fls ⇒ 'a fls
where A ≡ (λf. Abs-fls (fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p))
have
((fls-shift K (A f) * (f pmod p)) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N)) =
((fls-shift K (A g) * (g pmod p)) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N))
proof (rule fls-pmod-nth-cong, clarify)
fix j assume j: j ≤ int (Suc N)

```

with k *True* **have** $\forall i \in \{0..j\}. i + K \leq n$ **by** *simp*
with $Suc A$ -def **have** $\forall i \in \{0..j\}. A f \$\$ i = A g \$\$ i$
using *Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth*[*of - N p*] **by** *fastforce*
moreover from that(3) True j k have
 $\forall i \in \{0..j\}. (f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ (K + j - i) = (g \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ (K + j - i)$
using *fls-pmod-nth-cong*[*of K + j - - f g p*] **by** *simp*
ultimately show
 $(\text{fls-shift } K (A f) * (f \text{ pmod } p)) \$\$ j = (\text{fls-shift } K (A g) * (g \text{ pmod } p))$
 $\$\$ j$
using *that(1,2) nonzero A-def fls-shift-pinv-rep-subdegree fls-pmod-subdegree*[*of - p*]
 $\text{fls-times-nth}(1)[\text{of fls-shift } K (A -)]$
by *auto*
qed
with *that(1,2) True A-def show*
 $\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } f (\text{Suc } N) p (k - K) =$
 $\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } g (\text{Suc } N) p (k - K)$
using *base K by simp*
qed
qed
thus *?thesis by auto*
qed (*simp-all add: p-depth-fls.depth-equiv-0*)
qed

lemma *fls-pinv-rep-times-f-subdegree*:
fls-subdegree (
 $\text{fls-shift } (f^{\circ p}) (\text{Abs-fls } (\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } f N p)) *$
 $(f \text{ pmod } p)$
 $) = 0$
if $f \negsim_p 0$
for $f :: 'a \text{ fls}$
proof-
from *that*
have $f \text{ pmod } p \neq 0$ **and** $\text{Abs-fls } (\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } f N p) \neq 0$
using *fls-pmod-eq0-iff Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nzero* **by** (*blast, blast*)
with *that show ?thesis*
using *fls-shift-pinv-rep-subdegree fls-pmod-subdegree*
 $\text{fls-shift-eq0-iff}[\text{of } (f^{\circ p})]$
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *Abs-fls-pinv-rep-Suc*:
fixes $f :: 'a \text{ fls}$ **and** $N :: \text{nat}$
defines
 $c \equiv$
 $- ($
 $($
 $($
 $\text{fls-shift } (f^{\circ p}) (\text{Abs-fls } (\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } f N p)) *$

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& (f \text{ pmod } p) \\
& ) \text{ pmod } p \\
& ) \$\$ (\text{int}(\text{Suc } N)) * (((f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ (f^{\circ p}))^{-1p}) \\
& ) \text{ pmod } p
\end{aligned}$$

assumes  $f: f \not\sim_p 0$   

shows  


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Abs-fls}(\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } f (\text{Suc } N) p) = \\
& \quad \text{Abs-fls}(\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } f N p) + \text{fls-shift}(-\text{int}(\text{Suc } N)) (\text{fls-const } c)
\end{aligned}$$

proof (intro fls-eqI)  

define  $\text{Abs-inv-rep}$  and  $s$   

where  $\text{Abs-inv-rep} \equiv (\lambda N. \text{Abs-fls}(\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } f N p))$   

and  $s \equiv \text{fls-shift}(-\text{int}(\text{Suc } N)) (\text{fls-const } c)$   

fix  $n$  show  $\text{Abs-inv-rep}(\text{Suc } N) \$\$ n = (\text{Abs-inv-rep } N + s) \$\$ n$   

proof-  

consider  $(\text{zero}) n = 0 \mid (\text{Suc } N) n = \text{Suc } N \mid (\text{neither}) n \neq 0 n \neq \text{Suc } N$   

by blast  

thus ?thesis  

proof cases  

case zero  

moreover from this Abs-inv-rep-def have  


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Abs-inv-rep}(\text{Suc } N) \$\$ n = (((f \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ (f^{\circ p}))^{-1p}) \\
& \text{by} (\text{metis Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth fls-partially-pinverse-rep-at-0})
\end{aligned}$$

ultimately show ?thesis  

using  $\text{Abs-inv-rep-def } s\text{-def } \text{Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth}[of f N]$   


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep-at-0}[of f N] \\
& \text{by force}
\end{aligned}$$

next  

case SucN with Abs-inv-rep-def s-def c-def show ?thesis  

using  $\text{Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth}[of f N]$   


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth}[of f \text{ Suc } N] \\
& \text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep-func-truncates}[of N n]
\end{aligned}$$

by fastforce  

next  

case neither with Abs-inv-rep-def s-def show ?thesis  

using  $\text{Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth}[of f N]$   


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth}[of f \text{ Suc } N] \\
& \text{by fastforce}
\end{aligned}$$

qed  

qed  

qed  

lemma fls-pinv-rep-times-f-nth:  


$$\begin{aligned}
& (( \\
& \quad \text{fls-shift}(f^{\circ p}) (\text{Abs-fls}(\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } f N p)) * \\
& \quad (f \text{ pmod } p) \\
& ) \text{ pmod } p) \$\$ n = 0 \\
& \text{if } f: f \not\sim_p 0 \text{ and } n: n \geq 1 n \leq \text{int } N \\
& \text{for } f :: 'a \text{ fls and } n :: \text{int}
\end{aligned}$$


```

```

proof-
  define pp where pp ≡ Rep-prime p
  define Abs-inv-rep
    where Abs-inv-rep ≡ (λN. Abs-fls (fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p))
  define g where
    g ≡ (λN. fls-shift (fop) (Abs-inv-rep N) * (f pmod p))
  from f g-def Abs-inv-rep-def have dg: ∀N. fls-subdegree (g N) = 0
    using fls-pinv-rep-times-f-subdegree by presburger
  have ∀k∈{1..int N}. (g N pmod p) $$ k = 0
  proof (induct N)
    case (Suc N)
    define c where
      c ≡ -((
        ((g N) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N)) * (((f pmod p) $$ (fop))-1p)
      ) pmod p
    define s where s ≡ fls-shift ((fop) - int (Suc N)) (fls-const c)
    from that s-def c-def g-def Abs-inv-rep-def
    have g-SucN-decomp: g (Suc N) = g N + s * (f pmod p)
    using Abs-fls-pinv-rep-Suc[of f N]
    by (simp add: algebra-simps)
    from pp-def have fpmode-base:
      (f pmod p) $$ (fop) pmod p = (f pmod p) $$ (fop)
      using fls-pmod-reduced[of f p fop]
      div-mult-mod-eq[of (f pmod p) $$ (fop) pp]
      by simp
    show ?case
    proof (cases c = 0, safe)
      case True fix k assume k: k ∈ {1..int (Suc N)}
      from f pp-def True c-def have
        (((g N) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N))) pmod p = 0
        using fpmode-base pinverse-scalar-fls-pmod-base[of f]
        mod-mult-right-eq[of
          -((g N) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N))
          (((f pmod p) $$ (fop))-1p) *
          (f pmod p) $$ (fop))
          pp
        ]
      by (auto simp add: algebra-simps)
      with pp-def have ((g N) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N)) = 0
      using fls-pmod-reduced div-mult-mod-eq[of ((g N) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N))]
      pp] by simp
      moreover have {1..int (Suc N)} = insert (int (Suc N)) {1..int N} by force
      ultimately show (g (Suc N) pmod p) $$ k = 0 using k Suc s-def True
      g-SucN-decomp by auto
    next
      case False fix k assume k: k ∈ {1..int (Suc N)}
      from False s-def have s-n0: s ≠ 0 using fls-shift-eq0-iff[of - fls-const c] by
      auto
      moreover from False s-def

```

```

have ds: fls-subdegree s = - ((fop) - int (Suc N))
by simp
ultimately have d-s-fpmod: fls-subdegree (s * (f pmod p)) = int (Suc N)
using f fls-pmod-eq0-iff[of f p] fls-pmod-subdegree[of f p]
fls-subdegree-mult[of s f pmod p]
by auto
show (g (Suc N) pmod p) $$ k = 0
proof (cases k = int (Suc N))
case True
with pp-def s-def c-def have
(g (Suc N) pmod p) $$ k =
(
  (g N pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N)) +
  (
    -( 
      ((g N) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N)) *
      (((f pmod p) $$ (fop))-1p)
    ) pmod p
    * ((f pmod p) $$ (fop) pmod p)
  ) pmod p
) pmod p
using g-SucN-decomp dg ds d-s-fpmod fpmod-base
fls-pmod-mismatched-add-nth(2)[of g N s * (f pmod p)]
fls-times-base[of s f pmod p]
fls-pmod-subdegree[of f p]
mod-add-right-eq[of (g N pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N)) - pp]
by simp
with f pp-def show ?thesis
using pinverse-scalar-fls-pmod-base[of f]
mod-mult-right-eq[of
  - ((g N) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N))
  (((f pmod p) $$ (fop))-1p) *
  ((f pmod p) $$ (fop))
  pp
]
mod-add-right-eq[of
  (g N pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N)) - ((g N) pmod p) $$ (int (Suc N))
pp
]
by (simp add: mod-mult-eq algebra-simps)
next
case False
with Suc k show ?thesis
using g-SucN-decomp dg d-s-fpmod by (simp add: fls-pmod-mismatched-add-nth(1))
qed
qed
qed simp
with n g-def Abs-inv-rep-def show ?thesis by presburger
qed

```

end

4.6.3 Full inversion of formal Laurent series

Now we collect the terms of the infinite sequence of partial inversion polynomials into a formal Laurent series, shifted to the opposite depth as the original.

```

overloading pinverse-fls ≡ pinverse :: 'a fls ⇒ 'a prime ⇒ 'a fls
begin
definition pinverse-fls :: 
  'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout fls ⇒ 'a prime ⇒ 'a fls
  where
    pinverse-fls f p ≡
      (if f ≈p 0 then 0 else
       fls-shift (fop)
       (Abs-fls (λn. fls-partially-pinverse-rep f (nat n) p n)))
  end

context
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime
begin

lemma pinverse-fls-nth:
  (f-1p) $$ n =
    fls-partially-pinverse-rep f (nat (n + (fop))) p (n + (fop))
  if f ≈p 0
  using that nth-Abs-fls-lower-bound[OF fls-partially-pinverse-rep-func-lower-bound]
  unfolding pinverse-fls-def
  by auto

lemma pinverse-fls-nth':
  int N ≥ n + (fop) ⇒
  (f-1p) $$ n = fls-partially-pinverse-rep f N p (n + (fop))
  if f ≈p 0
  proof (induct N)
    case 0 with that show ?case by (simp add: pinverse-fls-nth)
  next
    case (Suc N) with that show ?case using nat-int[of Suc N]
      by (cases n + (fop) = int (Suc N)) (auto simp add: pinverse-fls-nth)
  qed

lemma pinverse-fls-eq0[simp]:
  (f-1p) = 0 if f ≈p 0 for f :: 'a fls
  using that unfolding pinverse-fls-def by auto

lemma fls-pinv-eq0-iff:
  (f-1p) = 0 ⇔ f ≈p 0 for f :: 'a fls
  using pinverse-scalar-fls-pmod-base pinverse-fls-nth[of f -(fop)] by fastforce

```

```

lemma fls-pinv-subdegree:
  fls-subdegree  $(f^{-1}p) = -(f^{\circ p})$  for  $f :: 'a$  fls
  using fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nzero-at-0[of p f 0] fls-partially-pinverse-rep-func-lower-bound
  by (cases f  $\simeq_p 0$ )
    (simp, fastforce intro: fls-subdegree-eqI simp add: pinverse-fls-nth)

lemma fls-pinv-reduced[simp]:  $((f^{-1}p) \parallel n) \text{ pdiv } p = 0$ 
proof (cases f  $\simeq_p 0$ )
  case False show ?thesis
  proof (cases n fls-subdegree  $(f^{-1}p)$  rule: linorder-cases)
    case greater
      hence  $n + (f^{\circ p}) - 1 \geq 0$  using fls-pinv-subdegree[of f] by force
      hence nat  $(n + (f^{\circ p})) = Suc(nat(n + (f^{\circ p}) - 1))$  by linarith
      with False show ?thesis by (fastforce simp add: pinverse-fls-nth)
    qed (simp, fastforce simp add: False pinverse-fls-nth fls-pinv-subdegree pinverse-scalar-reduced)
  qed simp

lemma pinverse-times-p-modulo-fls:
   $((f^{-1}p) * (f \text{ pmod } p)) \text{ pmod } p = 1$  if  $f \not\simeq_p 0$ 
  for  $f :: 'a$  fls
  proof (intro fls-eq-above-subdegreeI, safe)
    define f-0 where  $f-0 \equiv (f \text{ pmod } p) \parallel (f^{\circ p})$ 
    from that have d-prod: fls-subdegree  $((f^{-1}p) * (f \text{ pmod } p)) = 0$ 
    using fls-pmod-eq0-iff[of f] fls-pinv-eq0-iff[of f]
    by (auto simp add: fls-pmod-subdegree fls-pinv-subdegree)
    with that have base:  $((f^{-1}p) * (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ pmod } p) \parallel 0 = 1$ 
    using pinverse-scalar-fls-pmod-base fls-times-base[of  $f^{-1}p$  f pmod p]
    by (auto simp add: p-modulo-fls-nth pinverse-fls-nth fls-pmod-subdegree
      fls-pinv-subdegree)
    hence  $((f^{-1}p) * (f \text{ pmod } p)) \not\simeq_p 0$  by fastforce
    thus  $0 \leq \text{fls-subdegree } ((f^{-1}p) * (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ pmod } p)$ 
      using d-prod fls-pmod-subdegree-ge by metis
    fix k::int assume k:  $k \geq 0$ 
    show  $((f^{-1}p) * (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ pmod } p) \parallel k = 1 \parallel k$ 
    proof (cases k = 0)
      case False
      define s where
         $s \equiv \text{fls-shift } (f^{\circ p}) (\text{Abs-fls } (\text{fls-partially-pinverse-rep } f (\text{nat } k) p))$ 
      from that s-def have fls-subdegree s =  $-(f^{\circ p})$ 
      using Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nzero Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-subdegree
        by fastforce
      with that s-def
      have  $((f^{-1}p) * (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ pmod } p) \parallel k = (s * (f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ pmod } p) \parallel k$ 
      using fls-times-nth(1)[of  $f^{-1}p$ ] fls-times-nth(1)[of s]
        pinverse-fls-nth'[of f - nat k] Abs-fls-partially-pinverse-rep-nth[of f]
      by (auto intro: fls-pmod-nth-cong simp add: fls-pmod-subdegree fls-pinv-subdegree)
      with that k False s-def show ?thesis using fls-pinv-rep-times-f-nth by fastforce
    qed
  qed

```

```

qed (simp add: base)
qed simp

lemma pinverse-fls:
  ((f-1p) * f) pmod p = 1 if f ≈p 0 for f :: 'a fls
  using that pinverse-times-p-modulo-fls fls-pmod-equiv[of p f]
    fls-pmod-equiv-times[of p f-1p f-1p]
  by fastforce

lemma pinverse-fls-mult-equiv1:
  ((f-1p) * f) ≈p 1 if f ≈p 0 for f :: 'a fls
  by (metis that pinverse-fls fls-pmod-equiv)

lemma pinverse-fls-mult-equiv1':
  (f * (f-1p)) ≈p 1 if f ≈p 0 for f :: 'a fls
  by (metis that pinverse-fls-mult-equiv1 mult.commute)

lemma p-modulo-pinverse-fls[simp]:
  (f-1p) pmod p = (f-1p) for f :: 'a fls
  using fls-pmod-reduced fls-pmod-eqI by force

lemma fls-pinv-equiv0-iff:
  (f-1p) ≈p 0 ↔ f ≈p 0
  for f :: 'a fls
proof
  assume ((f-1p) ≈p 0)
  hence (f-1p) pmod p = 0 by (simp del: p-modulo-pinverse-fls)
  thus (f ≈p 0) using fls-pinv-eq0-iff by auto
qed simp

lemma fls-pinv-depth:
  (f-1p)op = -(fop) for f :: 'a fls
proof (cases f ≈p 0)
  case False
  hence (f-1p)op = fls-subdegree (f-1p)
  using fls-pinv-equiv0-iff[of f] fls-pinv-reduced[of f fls-subdegree (f-1p)]
    fls-pinv-eq0-iff[of f] nth-fls-subdegree-nonzero[of f-1p]
    p-depth-fls-rep-iff[of p - f-1p]
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis using fls-pinv-subdegree by presburger
qed simp

lemma fls-pinv-eqI:
  (f-1p) = g if g * f ≈p 1 and ∀ n. (g §§ n) pdiv p = 0
  for f g :: 'a fls
proof-
  from that(1) have f ≈p 0
  using p-equality-fls.mult-0-right fls-1-not-p-equal-0 p-equality-fls.trans-right by
  blast

```

```

moreover from that(1)
have  $g * ((f^{-1p}) * f) \simeq_p (f^{-1p})$ 
using p-equality-fls.mult-one-left[of  $p g * f f^{-1p}$ ]
by (simp add: ac-simps)
ultimately show ?thesis
using that(2) fls-pmod-eqI[of  $p - g$ ] p-equality-fls.mult-left pinverse-fls-mult-equiv1
p-equality-fls.trans-right[of  $p - - g$ ]
by fastforce
qed

lemma fls-pinv-cong:
 $(f^{-1p}) = (g^{-1p})$  if  $f \simeq_p g$  for  $f :: 'a fls$ 
proof (cases  $g \simeq_p 0$ )
  case True with that show ?thesis using p-equal-fls-trans by fastforce
next
  case False
  moreover from that have  $(g^{-1p}) * f \simeq_p (g^{-1p}) * g$ 
    using p-equality-fls.mult-left by fast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using pinverse-fls-mult-equiv1 p-equal-fls-trans fls-pinv-eqI fls-pinv-reduced by
blast
qed

lemma pinverse-p-modulo-fls:
 $(f \text{ pmod } p)^{-1p} = (f^{-1p})$  for  $f :: 'a fls$ 
using fls-pinv-cong p-equal-fls-sym fls-pmod-equiv by blast

lemma fls-pinv-X-intpow:  $(\text{fls-X-intpow } n :: 'a fls)^{-1p} = \text{fls-X-intpow } (-n)$ 
by (intro fls-pinv-eqI, simp add: fls-times-both-shifted-simp, auto simp add: one-pdiv)

lemma fls-pinv-uminus:  $(-f)^{-1p} = (- (f^{-1p})) \text{ pmod } p$  for  $f :: 'a fls$ 
proof (cases  $f \simeq_p 0$ )
  case True thus ?thesis using p-equality-fls.uminus by fastforce
next
  case False
  hence  $((- (f^{-1p})) \text{ pmod } p * -f) \simeq_p 1$ 
    using fls-pmod-equiv[of  $p (- (f^{-1p})) \text{ pmod } p * -f$ ]
      fls-pmod-equiv[of  $p (- (f^{-1p}))$ ] p-equal-fls-refl[of  $p -f$ ]
      p-equal-fls-sym[of  $p (- (f^{-1p})) (- (f^{-1p})) \text{ pmod } p$ ]
      fls-pmod-equiv-times[of  $p - (- (f^{-1p}))$ ] pinverse-fls
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis using fls-pinv-eqI fls-pmod-reduced by blast
qed

end

```

4.6.4 Algebraic properties of inversion

context

```

fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime
begin

lemma fls-pinv0: (0 :: 'a fls)-1p = 0
  by simp

lemma fls-pinv1[simp]: (1 :: 'a fls)-1p = 1
  by (intro fls-pinv-eqI, simp-all, rule one-pdiv)

lemma fls-pinv-pinv:
  ((f-1p)-1p) = f pmod p for f :: 'a fls
proof (cases f ≈p 0)
  case False thus ?thesis
    using pinverse-times-p-modulo-fls fls-pmod-equiv mult.commute fls-pmod-reduced
    fls-pinv-eqI
    by metis
qed simp

lemma fls-pinv-pinv-equiv:
  ((f-1p)-1p) ≈p f for f :: 'a fls
  using fls-pinv-pinv[of f] fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-sym by fastforce

lemma fls-pinv-mult:
  (f * g)-1p = ((f-1p) * (g-1p)) pmod p
  for f g :: 'a fls
proof-
  have case0:
    ⋀ f g :: 'a fls.
    f ≈p 0 ==>
    (f * g)-1p = ((f-1p) * (g-1p)) pmod p
  proof-
    fix f g :: 'a fls assume f ≈p 0
    thus (f * g)-1p = ((f-1p) * (g-1p)) pmod p
      using p-equality-fls.mult-0-left by fastforce
  qed
  consider
    f ≈p 0 | g ≈p 0 |
    (neither) f ≈p 0 g ≈p 0
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases, metis case0, metis case0 mult.commute, intro fls-pinv-eqI)
    case neither
    hence ((f-1p) * f * ((g-1p) * g)) ≈p 1
      using p-equality-fls.mult pinverse-fls-mult-equiv1
      by fastforce
    moreover have
      (((f-1p) * (g-1p)) pmod p * (f * g)) ≈p
      ((f-1p) * f * ((g-1p) * g))
      using fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-sym p-equality-fls.mult-right[of p - - f * g]
  qed
qed

```

```

by (fastforce simp add: algebra-simps)
ultimately
show ((f-1p) * (g-1p) pmod p) * (f * g)) ≈p 1
using p-equal-fls-trans
by blast
show ∀ n. ((f-1p) * (g-1p) pmod p) $$ n pdiv p = 0
using fls-pmod-reduced by fast
qed
qed

lemma fls-pinv-mult-equiv:
((f * g)-1p) ≈p (f-1p) * (g-1p)
for f g :: 'a fls
by (metis fls-pinv-mult fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-sym)

lemma fls-pinv-pow:
(f ^ n)-1p = ((f-1p) ^ n) pmod p
for f g :: 'a fls
proof (induct n)
case (Suc n) thus ?case
using fls-pinv-mult fls-pmod-equiv[of p (f-1p) ^ n]
fls-pmod-equiv-times[of p f-1p f-1p]
by simp
qed simp

lemma fls-pinv-pow-equiv:
((f ^ n)-1p) ≈p (f-1p) ^ n for f :: 'a fls
by (metis fls-pinv-pow fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-sym)

lemma fls-pinv-diff-cancel-lead-coeff:
(((f-1p) - (g-1p))op) > -n
if f : f ≈p 0 fop = n
and g : g ≈p 0 gop = n
and fg: f ≈p g ((f - g)op) > n
for f g :: 'a fls
proof-
from fg(1)
have inv-diff-n0: ((f-1p) - (g-1p)) ≈p 0
by (metis p-equality-fls.conv-0 fls-pinv-cong fls-pinv-pinv fls-pmod-eq-pmod-iff)
moreover have fls-subdegree ((f-1p) - (g-1p)) > -n
proof (rule fls-subdegree-greaterI)
show (f-1p) - (g-1p) ≠ 0 using inv-diff-n0 by fastforce
fix k :: int
from fg(2) have fg': ((f pmod p - g pmod p)op) > n
using fls-pmod-depth fls-pmod-equiv fls-pmod-equiv-minus[of p ff pmod p g g
pmod p]
by metis
hence fls-subdegree ((f pmod p - g pmod p) pmod p) > n by (metis fls-pmod-subdegree)
hence ((f pmod p - g pmod p) pmod p) $$ n = 0 by simp

```

moreover have

$$((f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ pmod } p) \text{ $$ } n =$$

fls-partially-p-modulo-rep

$$(f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) (\text{nat } (n + 1 - \text{fls-subdegree } (f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p))) \text{ p } n$$

using *p-modulo-fls-nth*[of $f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p$ n] **by** *fastforce*

moreover from $f(2)$ $g(2)$ fg' **have** *fls-subdegree* $(f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) \geq n$

using *fls-subdegree-minus*[of $f \text{ pmod } p$ $g \text{ pmod } p$]

$$\text{fls-pmod-subdegree}[of f p] \text{ fls-pmod-subdegree}[of g p]$$

by *force*

ultimately have $((f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ $$ } n) \text{ pmod } p = ((g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ $$ } n) \text{ pmod } p$

using *mod-eq-dvd-iff* **by** (*cases* *fls-subdegree* $(f \text{ pmod } p - g \text{ pmod } p) = n$, *force*, *simp*)

hence $(f \text{ pmod } p) \text{ $$ } n = (g \text{ pmod } p) \text{ $$ } n$ **using** *fls-pmod-pmod-nth* **by** *metis*

moreover from $f(2)$ $g(2)$

have $k < -n \implies ((f^{-1}p) - (g^{-1}p)) \text{ $$ } k = 0$

by (*simp add: fls-pinv-subdegree*)

ultimately

show $k \leq -n \implies ((f^{-1}p) - (g^{-1}p)) \text{ $$ } k = 0$

using *f g*

by (*cases* $k = -n$, *simp add: pinverse-fls-nth, simp*)

qed

ultimately show ?thesis **using** *p-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree'* **by** *fastforce*

qed

end

4.7 Topology by place relative to indexed depth for formal Laurent series

4.7.1 General pattern for constructing sequence limits

definition *fls-condition-lim* ::

$$'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls}) \Rightarrow$$

$$(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls}$$

where

$$\text{fls-condition-lim } p \text{ F P} =$$

$$\text{Abs-fls } (\lambda n. (\text{F } (\text{LEAST } K. \text{ P } (\text{nat } n) \text{ K}) \text{ pmod } p) \text{ $$ } n)$$

lemma *fls-condition-lim-fun-ex-lower-bound*:

fixes $p :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime$

and $F :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls}$

and $P :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

defines

$$f \equiv (\lambda n. (\text{F } (\text{LEAST } K. \text{ P } (\text{nat } n) \text{ K}) \text{ pmod } p) \text{ $$ } n)$$

and

$$N \equiv \min 0 (\text{fls-subdegree } ((\text{F } (\text{LEAST } K. \text{ P } 0 \text{ K})) \text{ pmod } p))$$

shows $\forall n < N. f n = 0$

using *assms* **by** *simp*

```

lemma fls-condition-lim-nth:
  fls-condition-lim p F P $$ n = ((F (LEAST K. P (nat n) K)) pmod p) $$ n
  using nth-Abs-fls-lower-bound[OF fls-condition-lim-fun-ex-lower-bound, of F]
    fls-condition-lim-def[of p F]
  by auto

```

```

lemma fls-pmod-condition-lim: (fls-condition-lim p F P) pmod p = fls-condition-lim
  p F P
  by (intro fls-pmod-eqI, simp-all add: fls-condition-lim-nth)

```

4.7.2 Completeness

abbreviation fls-p-limseq-condition	\equiv	p-depth-fls.p-limseq-condition
abbreviation fls-p-limseq	\equiv	p-depth-fls.p-limseq
abbreviation fls-p-cauchy-condition	\equiv	p-depth-fls.p-cauchy-condition
abbreviation fls-p-cauchy	\equiv	p-depth-fls.p-cauchy

```

lemma fls-p-cauchy-condition-pmod-uniformity:
  ((F k) pmod p) $$ m = ((F k') pmod p) $$ m
  if fls-p-cauchy-condition p F n K and k  $\geq$  K and k'  $\geq$  K and m  $\leq$  n
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime and F :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls
  using that fls-pmod-cong[of p] fls-pmod-diff-cancel[of m p F k F k']
    p-depth-fls.p-cauchy-conditionD
  by fastforce

```

abbreviation
 $\text{fls-p-cauchy-lim } p \ X \equiv$
 $\text{fls-condition-lim } p \ X \ (\lambda n. \text{fls-p-cauchy-condition } p \ X \ (\text{int } n))$

```

lemma fls-p-cauchy-limseq: fls-p-limseq p F (fls-p-cauchy-lim p F) if fls-p-cauchy
  p F
  proof
    fix n
    define K where K  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda n. \text{LEAST } K. \text{fls-p-cauchy-condition } p \ F \ (\text{int } (\text{nat } n))$ )
    K
    have fls-p-limseq-condition p F (fls-p-cauchy-lim p F) n (K n)
    proof
      fix k assume k: k  $\geq$  K n F k  $\neg\sim_p$  (fls-p-cauchy-lim p F)
      have fls-subdegree ((F k pmod p) – fls-p-cauchy-lim p F)  $>$  n
      proof (intro fls-subdegree-greaterI)
        from k(2) show (F k) pmod p – (fls-p-cauchy-lim p F)  $\neq$  0
          using fls-p-modulo-iff by auto
        fix j assume j: j  $\leq$  n
        have ((F (K j)) pmod p) $$ j = ((F k) pmod p) $$ j
        proof (intro fls-p-cauchy-condition-pmod-uniformity)
          from that K-def show fls-p-cauchy-condition p F (int (nat j)) (K j)
            using p-depth-fls.p-cauchy-condition-LEAST[of p F] by blast
          from j consider j < 0 n < 0 | j < 0 n  $\geq$  0 | j  $\geq$  0 n  $\geq$  0
            by linarith

```

thus $k \geq K j$
using that $K\text{-def } k(1) j p\text{-depth-fls.p-cauchy-condition-LEAST-mono}$
by cases (auto, fastforce, fastforce)
qed simp-all
with $K\text{-def have}$ $(F k \bmod p) \$\$ j = \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p F \$\$ j$
by (metis fls-condition-lim-nth)
thus $(F k \bmod p - \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p F) \$\$ j = 0$ **by** simp
qed
moreover from $k(2)$ **have**
 $((F k - \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p F)^{\circ p}) \geq$
 $\text{fls-subdegree } ((F k \bmod p) - \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p F)$
using p-equality-fls.conv-0[of p] p-equality-fls.minus[of p] fls-pmod-equiv[of p]
by (auto intro: p-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree)
ultimately show $((F k - \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p F)^{\circ p}) > n$ **by** force
qed
thus $\exists K. \text{fls-}p\text{-limseq-condition } p F (\text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p F) n K$ **by** blast
qed

lemma fls-p-cauchy-lim-unique:
 $\text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p F = \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p G$
if fls-p-cauchy $p G$ **and** $\forall n \geq N. (F n) \simeq_p (G n)$
proof-
have fls-p-cauchy $p F$
proof
fix n
from that(1) **obtain** M **where** $M: \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-condition } p G n M$ **by** force
define K **where** $K \equiv \max M N$
have fls-p-cauchy-condition $p F n K$
proof (intro p-depth-fls.p-cauchy-conditionI)
fix $k k'$ **assume** $kk': k \geq K k' \geq K (F k) \not\simeq_p (F k')$
moreover from that(2) $K\text{-def } kk'(1,2)$
have $F-kk': (F k) \simeq_p (G k) (F k') \simeq_p (G k')$
by auto
ultimately have $k \geq M$ **and** $k' \geq M$ **and** $(G k) \not\simeq_p (G k')$
using K-def p-equality-fls.sym p-equality-fls.cong **by** (fastforce, fastforce, metis)
with M **show** $((F k - F k')^{\circ p}) > n$
using F-kk' p-depth-fls.p-cauchy-conditionD[of $p G n M k k'$] p-depth-fls.depth-diff-equiv
by metis
qed
thus $\exists K. \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-condition } p F n K$ **by** blast
qed
moreover from that **have** fls-p-limseq $p F (\text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p G)$
using fls-p-cauchy-limseq[of $p G$]
 $p\text{-depth-fls.p-limseq-p-cong}[of N p F G \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim } p G \text{fls-}p\text{-cauchy-lim }$
 $p G]$
by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis fls-p-cauchy-limseq p-depth-fls.p-limseq-unique fls-pmod-cong fls-pmod-condition-lim)

qed

4.8 Transfer to prime-indexed sequences of formal Laurent series

4.8.1 Equivalence and depth

The equivalence is by divisibility of the difference of each pair of corresponding terms by the index for those terms.

type-synonym $'a \text{ fls-pseq} = 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls}$

overloading

$p\text{-equal-fls-pseq} \equiv$

$p\text{-equal} :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-semiring prime} \Rightarrow$

$'a \text{ fls-pseq} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$p\text{-restrict-fls-pseq} \equiv$

$p\text{-restrict} ::$

$'a \text{ fls-pseq} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$

$p\text{-depth-fls-pseq} \equiv$

$p\text{-depth} :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq} \Rightarrow \text{int}$

$g\text{lobal-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq} \equiv$

$g\text{lobal-unfrmzr-pows} :: ('a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$

begin

definition $p\text{-equal-fls-pseq} ::$

$'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-semiring prime} \Rightarrow$

$'a \text{ fls-pseq} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $p\text{-equal-fls-pseq-def[simp]}: p\text{-equal-fls-pseq } p \ X \ Y \equiv (X \ p) \simeq_p (Y \ p)$

definition $p\text{-restrict-fls-pseq} ::$

$'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-semiring fls-pseq} \Rightarrow$

$('a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$

where

$p\text{-restrict-fls-pseq } X \ P \equiv (\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. \text{ if } P \ p \text{ then } X \ p \text{ else } 0)$

definition $p\text{-depth-fls-pseq} ::$

$'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-semiring prime} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq} \Rightarrow \text{int}$

where $p\text{-depth-fls-pseq-def[simp]}: p\text{-depth-fls-pseq } p \ X \equiv (X \ p)^{\circ p}$

definition $g\text{lobal-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq} ::$

$('a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-semiring prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$

where $g\text{lobal-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq } f \equiv (\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. \text{ fls-X-intpow } (f \ p))$

end

global-interpretation $p\text{-equality-depth-fls-pseq}:$

$g\text{lobal-p-equality-depth-no-zero-divisors-1}$

$p\text{-equal} :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom prime} \Rightarrow$

$'a \text{ fls-pseq} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

```

p-restrict :: 
  'a fls-pseq  $\Rightarrow$  ('a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls-pseq
p-depth :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls-pseq  $\Rightarrow$  int

proof

  fix p :: 'a prime
  define
    E :: 'a fls-pseq  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls-pseq  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    where E  $\equiv$  p-equal p
    thus equivp E
      using equivp-transfer p-equality-fls.equivp
      unfolding p-equal-fls-pseq-def
      by fast

  fix X Y :: 'a fls-pseq
  show (X  $\simeq_p$  Y) = ((X - Y)  $\simeq_p$  0) using p-equality-fls.conv-0 by auto
  have ( $\lambda q::'$ a prime. (1::'a fls))  $\neg\simeq_p$  0
    by (auto simp add: fls-1-not-p-equal-0)
  thus  $\exists X::'$ a fls-pseq. X  $\neg\simeq_p$  0 by auto

  show
     $\|$ 
      X  $\neg\simeq_p$  0; X + Y  $\neg\simeq_p$  0;
      (Xop) = (Yop)
     $\| \Rightarrow$  (Xop)  $\leq$  ((X + Y)op)
    using p-depth-fls.depth-pre-nonarch(2) by fastforce

  qed (
    simp-all add:
    p-equality-fls.mult-0-right p-depth-fls.no-zero-divisors p-restrict-fls-pseq-def
    p-depth-fls.depth-equiv p-depth-fls.depth-uminus p-depth-fls.depth-pre-nonarch(1)
    p-depth-fls.depth-mult-additive
  )
)

overloading

  globally-p-equal-fls-pseq  $\equiv$ 
    globally-p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom fls-pseq  $\Rightarrow$ 
      'a fls-pseq  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    p-depth-set-fls-pseq  $\equiv$ 
      p-depth-set :: 
        'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls-pseq set
    global-depth-set-fls-pseq  $\equiv$ 
      global-depth-set :: int  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls-pseq set

begin

  definition globally-p-equal-fls-pseq :: 
    'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom fls-pseq  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls-pseq  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    where globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-def[simp]:
      globally-p-equal-fls-pseq = p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal

```

```

definition p-depth-set-fls-pseq ::  

  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  'a fls-pseq set  

  where p-depth-set-fls-pseq-def[simp]:  

    p-depth-set-fls-pseq = p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.p-depth-set

definition global-depth-set-fls-pseq ::  

  int  $\Rightarrow$  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom fls-pseq set  

  where global-depth-set-fls-pseq-def[simp]:  

    global-depth-set-fls-pseq = p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.global-depth-set

end

lemma fls-pseq-globally-reduced:  

   $X \simeq_{\forall p} (\lambda p. (X p) \text{ pmod } p)$   

  for X :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel fls-pseq  

  unfolding p-equal-fls-pseq-def using fls-pmod-equiv by fastforce

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows0-fls-pseq:  

  ( $\wp (0 :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime \Rightarrow \text{int}) :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$ ) = 1  

  unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def by auto

context  

  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
begin

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-nequiv0:  

  ( $\wp f :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq} \neg\simeq_p 0$  for f :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int  

   by (simp add: global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def fls-X-intpow-nequiv0)

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq:  

  ( $\wp f :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq}^{\circ p} = f p$  for f :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int  

   by (simp add: global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def p-depth-fls-X-intpow))

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-pequiv-iff:  

  ( $\wp f :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq} \simeq_p (\wp g) \longleftrightarrow f p = g p$   

   for f g :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int  

   using p-equal-fls-X-intpow-iff unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def by auto

end

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-prod-fls-pseq:  

  ( $\wp f :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq} * (\wp g) = \wp (f + g)$   

   for f g :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$  int
  proof (standard)
    fix p :: 'a prime
    define pf pg :: 'a fls-pseq
      where pf  $\equiv$   $\wp f$  and pg  $\equiv$   $\wp g$ 
      hence pf p * pg p = fls-shift (- f p + - g p) (1 * 1)

```

```

using fls-times-both-shifted-simp unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def by
metis
thus (pf * pg) p = pf (f + g) p unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def by
simp
qed

context
fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
begin

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-nzero:
(pf f :: 'a fls-pseq) ⊑p 0 for f :: 'a prime ⇒ int
proof –
define P :: ('a prime ⇒ int) ⇒ 'a fls-pseq where P ≡ pf
hence ∗: ∀f. P f ⊑p 0 ⇒ f p = 0
using global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.depth-equiv-0 by
metis
from P-def have ¬ P f ⊑p 0
using *[of f] *[of f + (λp. 1)] global-unfrmzr-pows-prod-fls-pseq[of f]
p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.mult-0-left[of p - P (λp. 1)]
by fastforce
with P-def show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma prod-w-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq:
(X * pf)°p = (X°p) + fp if X ⊑p 0
for X :: 'a fls-pseq and f :: 'a prime ⇒ int
using that global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-nzero p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.no-zero-divisors
global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.depth-mult-additive
by metis

lemma normalize-depth-fls-pseq:
(X * pf (λp::'a prime. -(X°p)))°p = 0
for X :: 'a fls-pseq
using prod-w-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.depth-equiv-0
p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.mult-0-left[of p X]
by fastforce

end

lemma normalized-depth-fls-pseq-product-additive:
(X * pf (λp::'a prime. -(X°p))) *
(Y * pf (λp::'a prime. -(Y°p))) =
((X * Y) * pf (λp::'a prime. -((X°p) + (Y°p))))
for X Y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom fls-pseq
by (simp add: algebra-simps global-unfrmzr-pows-prod-fls-pseq plus-fun-def)

context
fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime

```

```

begin

lemma normalized-depth-fls-pseq-product-equiv:
  ( $X * \mathbf{p} (\lambda p :: 'a \text{ prime}. -(X^{op}))$ ) *
  ( $Y * \mathbf{p} (\lambda p :: 'a \text{ prime}. -(Y^{op}))$ )  $\simeq_p$ 
  ( $(X * Y) * \mathbf{p} (\lambda p :: 'a \text{ prime}. -((X * Y)^{op}))$ )
  for  $X Y :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$ 
proof (cases  $X * Y \simeq_p 0$ )
  case True thus ?thesis
    using normalized-depth-fls-pseq-product-additive[of  $X Y$ ]
    p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.trans-left[of  $p = 0$ ]
    p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.mult-0-left[of  $p$ ]
    by presburger
  next
  case False thus ?thesis
    using global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-pequiv-iff[of  $p$ ]
    p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.depth-mult-additive[of  $p X Y$ ]
    normalized-depth-fls-pseq-product-additive[of  $X Y$ ]
    p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.mult-left[of  $p = X * Y$ ]
    by simp
  qed

lemma trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq:
  ( $\mathbf{p} f :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$ )  $\simeq_p 1$  if  $f p = 0$  for  $f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ 
  using that unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def by auto

lemma prod-w-trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq:
   $X * \mathbf{p} f \simeq_p X$  if  $f p = 0$ 
  for  $f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}$  and  $X :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$ 
  using that trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.mult-left
  by fastforce

end

lemma pow-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq:
  ( $\mathbf{p} f :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$ )  $\wedge n = (\mathbf{p} (\lambda p :: 'a \text{ prime}. \text{int } n * f p))$ 
  for  $f :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom} \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ 
  by (
    induct  $n$ , simp flip: zero-fun-def one-fun-def, rule global-unfrmzr-pows0-fls-pseq,
    simp add: global-unfrmzr-pows-prod-fls-pseq plus-fun-def algebra-simps
  )

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-inv:
  ( $\mathbf{p} (-f) :: 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$ ) * ( $\mathbf{p} f$ ) = 1
  for  $f :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom} \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ 
  using global-unfrmzr-pows-prod-fls-pseq[of  $-ff$ ] global-unfrmzr-pows0-fls-pseq by
  fastforce

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-decomp:

```

```


$$X = ($$


$$(X * \mathfrak{p} (\lambda p::'a prime. -(X^{op}))) *$$


$$\mathfrak{p} (\lambda p::'a prime. X^{op})$$


$$)$$

for  $X :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom fls-pseq$ 
proof-
have

$$-(\lambda p::'a prime. X^{op}) = (\lambda p::'a prime. -(X^{op}))$$

by force
thus ?thesis
using global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-inv[of  $\lambda p::'a prime. (X^{op})$ ]
by (simp add: algebra-simps)
qed

context
fixes  $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime$ 
begin

lemma global-unfrmzr-pth-fls-pseq:

$$(\mathfrak{p} (1 :: ('a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int)) p :: 'a fls) \simeq_p$$


$$(\text{fls-const } (\text{Rep-prime } p))$$

proof (rule iffD2, rule p-equal-fls-extended-intsum-iff, safe)
define pp where pp  $\equiv$  Rep-prime p
define p1p :: 'a fls where p1p  $\equiv$   $\mathfrak{p} (1 :: 'a prime \Rightarrow \text{int}) p$ 
from pp-def p1p-def
show  $0 \leq \min (\text{fls-subdegree } p1p) (\text{fls-subdegree } (\text{fls-const } pp))$ 
unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def
by simp
fix n::int assume n  $\geq 0$ 
moreover have

$$n \geq 1 \Rightarrow$$


$$(\sum_{k=0..n. (\mathfrak{p} 1p - \text{fls-const } pp) \$\$ k * pp \wedge \text{nat } (k - 0)}) = 0$$

proof (induct n rule: int-ge-induct)
case base
have {0..1::int} = {0,1} by fastforce
thus ?case unfolding p1p-def global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def by fastforce
next
case (step n)
moreover from step(1) have {0..n + 1} = insert (n + 1) {0..n} by fastforce
ultimately show ?case unfolding p1p-def global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def by
fastforce
qed
ultimately show

$$pp \wedge \text{Suc } (\text{nat } (n - 0)) \text{ dvd } (\sum_{k=0..n. (\mathfrak{p} 1p - \text{fls-const } pp) \$\$ k * pp \wedge \text{nat } (k - 0)})$$

by (
  cases n = 0 n = 1 rule: case-split[case-product case-split],
  simp-all add: p1p-def global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def
)

```

qed

lemma *global-unfrmzr-fls-pseq*:
 $(\mathfrak{p} (1 :: ('a prime \(\Rightarrow\) int)) :: 'a fls-pseq) \simeq_p (\lambda p :: 'a prime. fls-const (Rep-prime p))$
using *p-equal-fls-pseq-def* *global-unfrmzr-pth-fls-pseq* **by** *fast*

end

lemma *normalize-depth-fls-pseqE*:
fixes $X :: 'a :: \text{nontrivial-factorial-idom}$ *fls-pseq*
obtains $X\text{-}0$
where $\forall p :: 'a \text{ prime}. X\text{-}0^{\circ p} = 0$
and $X = X\text{-}0 * \mathfrak{p} (\lambda p :: 'a \text{ prime}. X^{\circ p})$
using *normalize-depth-fls-pseq* *global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-decomp*
by *blast*

4.8.2 Inversion

abbreviation *global-pinverse-fls-pseq* ::
 $'a :: \text{nontrivial-factorial-comm-ring}$ *fls-pseq* $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ fls-pseq}$
 $((-^{1\vee p}) [51] 50)$
where *global-pinverse-fls-pseq* $X \equiv (\lambda p. (X p)^{-1p})$

context

fixes $X :: 'a :: \text{nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout}$ *fls-pseq*
begin

lemma *global-pinverse-fls-pseq-eq0* [*simp*]:
 $(X^{-1\vee p}) = 0$ **if** $X \simeq_{\forall p} 0$
using *that p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equalD* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *global-pinverse-fls-pseq-eq0-iff*:
 $(X^{-1\vee p}) = 0 \longleftrightarrow X \simeq_{\forall p} 0$
proof
assume $X : (X^{-1\vee p}) = 0$
have $\forall p. X p \simeq_p 0$
proof
fix $p :: 'a \text{ prime}$
have $\neg (X \neg\simeq_p 0)$
proof
assume $X \neg\simeq_p 0$
hence $(X^{-1\vee p}) p \neq 0$ **using** *fls-pinv-eq0-iff* **by** *auto*
with X **show** *False* **using** *zero-fun-def* **by** *metis*
qed
thus $X p \simeq_p 0$ **by** *fastforce*
qed
thus $X \simeq_{\forall p} 0$ **by** *simp*

```

qed (rule global-pinverse-fls-pseq-eq0)

lemma global-pinverse-fls-pseq-equiv0-iff:

$$(X^{-1\forall p}) \simeq_{\forall p} 0 \longleftrightarrow$$


$$X \simeq_{\forall p} 0$$

using fls-pinv-equiv0-iff
unfolding globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-def p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-def
by fastforce

lemma global-left-pinverse-fls-pseq:

$$(X^{-1\forall p}) * X \simeq_{\forall p}$$


$$(\lambda p. \text{of-bool } (X \neg\simeq_p 0))$$

using pinverse-fls-mult-equiv1 by fastforce

lemma global-right-pinverse-fls-pseq:

$$X * (X^{-1\forall p}) \simeq_{\forall p}$$


$$(\lambda p. \text{of-bool } (X \neg\simeq_p 0))$$

using global-left-pinverse-fls-pseq mult.commute[of X] by presburger

lemma global-left-pinverse-fls-pseq':

$$(X^{-1\forall p}) * X \simeq_p 1 \text{ if } X \neg\simeq_p 0$$

for p :: 'a prime
using that global-left-pinverse-fls-pseq p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equalD[of - - p]
by fastforce

lemma global-right-pinverse-fls-pseq':

$$X * (X^{-1\forall p}) \simeq_p 1 \text{ if } X \neg\simeq_p 0$$

for p :: 'a prime
using that global-left-pinverse-fls-pseq' by (simp add: mult.commute)

lemma global-pinverse-pinverse-fls-pseq:

$$((X^{-1\forall p})^{-1\forall p})$$


$$\simeq_{\forall p} X$$

using fls-pinv-pinv-equiv by fastforce

lemma global-pinverse-mult-fls-pseq:

$$((X * Y)^{-1\forall p}) \simeq_{\forall p}$$


$$(X^{-1\forall p}) * (Y^{-1\forall p})$$

using fls-pinv-mult-equiv by fastforce

lemma global-pinverse-pow-fls-pseq:

$$((X \wedge n)^{-1\forall p}) \simeq_{\forall p}$$


$$(X^{-1\forall p}) \wedge n$$

by (simp, standard, metis pow-fun-apply fls-pinv-pow-equiv)

lemma global-pinverse-uminus-fls-pseq:

$$((-X)^{-1\forall p}) \simeq_{\forall p}$$


$$- (X^{-1\forall p})$$


```

by (*simp, standard, metis fls-pinv-uminus fls-pmod-equiv p-equal-fls-sym*)

end

lemma *globally-pinverse-pcong*:

$$(X^{-1\vee p}) \simeq_p (Y^{-1\vee p})$$

if $X \simeq_p Y$

for $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime$ **and** $X Y :: 'a fls-pseq$

using that *fls-pinv-cong*

by *fastforce*

lemma *globally-pinverse-cong*:

$$(X^{-1\vee p}) \simeq_{\vee p} (Y^{-1\vee p})$$

if $X \simeq_{\vee p} Y$

for $X Y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout fls-pseq$

using that *p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equalD globally-pinverse-pcong* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *globally-pinverse-global-unfrmzr-pows*:

$$(\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a fls-pseq)^{-1\vee p} = \mathfrak{p} (-f)$$

for $f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime \Rightarrow int$

using *fls-pinv-X-intpow unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *global-pinverse-fls-pseq0*:

$$\left((0 :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout fls-pseq) ^{-1\vee p} \right) = 0$$

by *fastforce*

lemma *global-pinverse-fls-pseq1*:

$$\left((1 :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout fls-pseq) ^{-1\vee p} \right) = 1$$

by *auto*

lemma *global-pinverse-diff-cancel-lead-coeff*:

$$\left((X^{-1\vee p}) - (Y^{-1\vee p}) \right)^{\circ p} > -n$$

if $X \not\simeq_p 0$ **and** $X^{\circ p} = n$

and $Y \not\simeq_p 0$ **and** $Y^{\circ p} = n$

and $X \not\simeq_p Y$ **and** $((X - Y)^{\circ p}) > n$

for $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime$

and $X Y :: 'a fls-pseq$

using that *fls-pinv-diff-cancel-lead-coeff* **by** *auto*

4.8.3 Topology via global bounded depth

abbreviation *fls-pseq-bdd-global-depth* \equiv *p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.bdd-global-depth*
abbreviation *fls-pseq-bdd-global-dist* \equiv *p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.bdd-global-dist*
abbreviation *fls-pseq-globally-cauchy* \equiv *p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-cauchy*
abbreviation
fls-pseq-global-cauchy-condition \equiv *p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.global-cauchy-condition*

lemma *fls-pseq-global-cauchy-condition-pmod-uniformity*:
 $((X k p) \text{ pmod } p) \text{ \$\$ } m = ((X k' p) \text{ pmod } p) \text{ \$\$ } m$
if *fls-pseq-global-cauchy-condition X n K and k ≥ K and k' ≥ K and m ≤ int n*
for *p :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime and X :: nat ⇒ 'a fls-pseq using that fls-pmod-cong[of p] fls-pmod-diff-cancel[of m p X k p X k' p]*
p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.global-cauchy-conditionD
by *fastforce*

abbreviation
fls-pseq-cauchy-lim X \equiv
 $\lambda p. \text{fls-condition-lim } p (\lambda n. X n p) (\text{fls-pseq-global-cauchy-condition } X)$

lemma *fls-pseq-cauchy-condition-lim*:
 $\forall_F k \text{ in sequentially. fls-pseq-bdd-global-dist } (X k) (\text{fls-pseq-cauchy-lim } X) < e$
if *pos: e > 0 and cauchy: fls-pseq-globally-cauchy X*

proof–
define *limval where*
limval \equiv
 $\lambda p. \text{fls-condition-lim } p (\lambda n. (X n) p) (\text{fls-pseq-global-cauchy-condition } X)$
show $\forall_F k \text{ in sequentially. fls-pseq-bdd-global-dist } (X k) \text{ limval} < e$
proof (*cases e > 1*)
case *True*
hence $\bigwedge n. \text{fls-pseq-bdd-global-dist } (X n) \text{ limval} < e$
using *p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.bdd-global-dist-bdd order-le-less-subst1[of - id 1 e]*
by *fastforce*
thus ?thesis by simp

next
case *False show ?thesis*
proof (*standard, intro p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.bdd-global-dist-lessI pos*)
fix n :: nat and p :: 'a prime
define *d where d ≡ ⌊log 2 (inverse e)⌋*
define *K :: int ⇒ nat*
where K ≡ λn. (LEAST K. fls-pseq-global-cauchy-condition X (nat n) K)
assume *n: n ≥ K d X n ⊰ p limval*
have *fls-subdegree (((X n p) pmod p) – limval p) ≥ d*
proof (*intro fls-subdegree-geI*)
from n(2) show (X n p) pmod p – limval p ≠ 0 using fls-p-modulo-iff by auto
fix j assume j: j < d
have ((X (K j) p) pmod p) \\$\\$ j = ((X n p) pmod p) \\$\\$ j

```

proof (intro fls-pseq-global-cauchy-condition-pmod-uniformity)
  from cauchy K-def show fls-pseq-global-cauchy-condition X (nat j) (K j)
    using p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.global-cauchy-condition-LEAST[of X nat
j]
    by fastforce
  from cauchy n j K-def show K j ≤ n
    using p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.global-cauchy-condition-LEAST-mono[of
X nat j nat d]
    by fastforce
qed simp-all
with K-def limval-def have (X n p pmod p) $$ j = limval p $$ j
  by (metis fls-condition-lim-nth)
thus (X n p pmod p - limval p) $$ j = 0 by auto
qed
moreover from n(2) have
((X n - limval)^op) ≥
  fls-subdegree ((X n p pmod p) - limval p)
  using p-equality-fls.conv-0[of p] p-equality-fls.minus[of p] fls-pmod-equiv[of
p]
  by (auto intro: p-depth-fls-ge-p-equal-subdegree)
ultimately show ((X n - limval)^op) ≥ d by simp
qed
qed
qed
end

```

theory pAdic-Product

```

imports
  FLS-Prime-Equiv-Depth
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Fraction-Field
  HOL-Analysis.Elementary-Metric-Spaces

```

begin

5 p-Adic fields as equivalence classes of sequences of formal Laurent series

5.1 Preliminaries

```

lemma inj-on-vimage-image-eq:
  (f -` (f ` B)) ∩ A = B if inj-on f A and B ⊆ A
  using that unfolding inj-on-def by fast

```

5.2 The type definition as a quotient

```

quotient-type (overloaded) 'a p-adic-prod
  = 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom fls-pseq / globally-p-equal
  by (simp, rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-equivp)

lemmas rep-p-adic-prod-inverse = Quotient3-abs-rep[OF Quo-
tient3-p-adic-prod]
and p-adic-prod-lift-globally-p-equal = Quotient3-rel-abs[OF Quotient3-p-adic-prod]
and globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-equals-rsp = equals-rsp[OF Quotient3-p-adic-prod]
and p-adic-prod-eq-iff
  = Quotient3-rel-rep[OF Quotient3-p-adic-prod, symmetric]
and globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-rep-p-adic-prod-refl
  = Quotient3-rep-reflp[OF Quotient3-p-adic-prod]
and globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-rep-abs-p-adic-prod
  = rep-abs-rsp[OF Quotient3-p-adic-prod] rep-abs-rsp-left[OF Quotient3-p-adic-prod]

lemma p-adic-prod-globally-p-equal-self:
(rep-p-adic-prod (abs-p-adic-prod r))  $\simeq_{\forall p} r$ 
using Quotient3-rep-abs[OF Quotient3-p-adic-prod]
  p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-refl
by auto

lemma rep-p-adic-prod-p-equal-self: rep-p-adic-prod (abs-p-adic-prod r)  $\simeq_p r$ 
for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime and r :: 'a fls-pseq
using p-adic-prod-globally-p-equal-self p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equalD
by auto

lemma rep-p-adic-prod-set-inverse: abs-p-adic-prod ` (rep-p-adic-prod ` A) = A
proof
  show abs-p-adic-prod ` rep-p-adic-prod ` A  $\subseteq$  A
  proof
    fix x assume x  $\in$  abs-p-adic-prod ` rep-p-adic-prod ` A
    from this obtain a where a  $\in$  A x = abs-p-adic-prod (rep-p-adic-prod a) by
    fast
    thus x  $\in$  A using rep-p-adic-prod-inverse by metis
  qed
  show A  $\subseteq$  abs-p-adic-prod ` rep-p-adic-prod ` A
  proof
    fix a assume a  $\in$  A
    thus a  $\in$  abs-p-adic-prod ` rep-p-adic-prod ` A
      using rep-p-adic-prod-inverse[of a] by force
  qed
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-cases [case-names abs-p-adic-prod, cases type: p-adic-prod]:
C if  $\bigwedge X. x = \text{abs-p-adic-prod } X \implies C$ 
by (metis that rep-p-adic-prod-inverse)

lemmas two-p-adic-prod-cases = p-adic-prod-cases[case-product p-adic-prod-cases]

```

```

and three-p-adic-prod-cases =
  p-adic-prod-cases[case-product p-adic-prod-cases[case-product p-adic-prod-cases]]]

lemma p-adic-prod-reduced-cases [case-names abs-p-adic-prod]:
  fixes x :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel p-adic-prod
  obtains X where x = abs-p-adic-prod X and  $\forall p. (X p) \text{ pmod } p = X p$ 
  proof (cases x)
    case (abs-p-adic-prod X)
    define Y where Y  $\equiv (\lambda p. (X p) \text{ pmod } p)$ 
    with abs-p-adic-prod have x = abs-p-adic-prod Y
      using fls-pseq-globally-reduced p-adic-prod-lift-globally-p-equal by blast
      moreover from Y-def have  $\forall p. (Y p) \text{ pmod } p = Y p$  by fastforce
      ultimately show ?thesis using that by blast
  qed

lemma p-adic-prod-unique-rep:
   $\exists! X. x = \text{abs-p-adic-prod } X \wedge (\forall p. (X p) \text{ pmod } p = X p)$ 
  for x :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel p-adic-prod
  proof (intro ex-ex1I, safe)
    obtain X where x = abs-p-adic-prod X  $\wedge (\forall p. (X p) \text{ pmod } p = X p)$ 
      using p-adic-prod-reduced-cases by meson
    thus  $\exists X. x = \text{abs-p-adic-prod } X \wedge (\forall p. X p \text{ pmod } p = X p)$  by fast
  next
    fix X X' :: 'a fls-pseq
    assume  $\forall p. X p \text{ pmod } p = X' p$ 
    and  $\forall p. X' p \text{ pmod } p = X' p$ 
    and abs-p-adic-prod X = abs-p-adic-prod X'
    thus X = X' using p-adic-prod.abs-eq-iff[of X X'] fls-pmod-cong by fastforce
  qed

abbreviation
  reduced-rep-p-adic-prod x  $\equiv$ 
    (THE X. x = abs-p-adic-prod X  $\wedge (\forall p. (X p) \text{ pmod } p = X p))$ 

lemma reduced-rep-p-adic-prod-is-rep:
  x = abs-p-adic-prod (reduced-rep-p-adic-prod x)
  for x :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel p-adic-prod
  using theI'[OF p-adic-prod-unique-rep] by fast

lemma reduced-rep-p-adic-prod-is-reduced:
  (reduced-rep-p-adic-prod x p) pmod p = reduced-rep-p-adic-prod x p
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime and X :: 'a p-adic-prod
  using theI'[OF p-adic-prod-unique-rep] by fast

lemma abs-p-adic-prod-inverse:
  reduced-rep-p-adic-prod (abs-p-adic-prod X) = X if  $\forall p. (X p) \text{ pmod } p = X p$ 
  for X :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel fls-pseq
  by (intro the1-equality p-adic-prod-unique-rep, simp add: that)

```

```

lemma p-adic-prod-seq-cases [case-names abs-p-adic-prod]:
  C if  $\bigwedge F. X = (\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (F n)) \Rightarrow C$ 
proof-
  define F where  $F \equiv \lambda n. \text{rep-p-adic-prod } (X n)$ 
  hence  $X = (\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (F n))$ 
    using rep-p-adic-prod-inverse by metis
  with that show C by auto
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-seq-reduced-cases [case-names abs-p-adic-prod]:
  fixes X :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel p-adic-prod
  obtains F
    where  $X = (\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (F n))$ 
    and  $\forall (n::nat) (p::'a \text{ prime}). (F n p) \text{ pmod } p = F n p$ 
proof-
  define F where  $F \equiv \lambda n. \text{reduced-rep-p-adic-prod } (X n)$ 
  from F-def have  $X = (\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (F n))$ 
    using reduced-rep-p-adic-prod-is-rep by blast
  moreover from F-def have  $\forall (n::nat) (p::'a \text{ prime}). (F n p) \text{ pmod } p = F n p$ 
    using reduced-rep-p-adic-prod-is-reduced by blast
  ultimately show thesis using that by simp
qed

```

5.3 Algebraic instantiations

The product of p-adic fields form a ring.

```

instantiation p-adic-prod :: (nontrivial-factorial-idom) comm-ring-1
begin

```

```

lift-definition zero-p-adic-prod :: 'a p-adic-prod is 0::'a fls-pseq .

```

```

lift-definition one-p-adic-prod :: 'a p-adic-prod is 1::'a fls-pseq .

```

```

lift-definition plus-p-adic-prod :: 
  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
  is  $\lambda X Y. X + Y$ 
  using p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-add by force

```

```

lift-definition uminus-p-adic-prod :: 'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
  is  $\lambda X. - X$ 
  using p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-uminus by auto

```

```

lift-definition minus-p-adic-prod :: 
  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
  is  $\lambda X Y. X - Y$ 
  using p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-minus by force

```

```

lift-definition times-p-adic-prod :: 
  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod

```

```

is  $\lambda X Y. X * Y$ 
using p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-mult by fastforce

instance
proof
fix a b c :: 'a p-adic-prod
show a + b + c = a + (b + c) by transfer (simp add: add.assoc)
show a + b = b + a by transfer (simp add: add.commute)
show 1 * a = a by transfer simp
show 0 + a = a by transfer simp
show - a + a = 0 by transfer simp
show a - b = a + - b by transfer simp
show a * b * c = a * (b * c) by transfer (simp add: mult.assoc)
show (a + b) * c = a * c + b * c by transfer (simp add: distrib-right)
show a * b = b * a by transfer (simp add: mult.commute)
have  $\neg (0::'a \text{ fls-pseq}) \simeq_{\forall p} (1::'a \text{ fls-pseq})$ 
using p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equalD p-equal-fls-sym fls-1-not-p-equal-0
by fastforce
thus  $(0::'a \text{ p-adic-prod}) \neq (1::'a \text{ p-adic-prod})$  by transfer simp
qed

end

lemma pow-p-adic-prod-abs-eq:
 $(\text{abs-p-adic-prod } X) \wedge n = \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (X \wedge n)$ 
for X :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom fls-pseq
proof (induct n)
case 0
have  $(\text{abs-p-adic-prod } X) \wedge 0 = (1 :: 'a \text{ p-adic-prod})$  by auto
moreover have  $\text{abs-p-adic-prod } (X \wedge 0) = (1 :: 'a \text{ p-adic-prod})$ 
using one-p-adic-prod.abs-eq by simp
ultimately show ?case by presburger
next
case (Suc n) thus  $(\text{abs-p-adic-prod } X) \wedge \text{Suc } n = \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (X \wedge \text{Suc } n)$ 
using times-p-adic-prod.abs-eq by auto
qed

```

We can create inverses at the nonzero places.

```

instantiation p-adic-prod :: 
  (nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout) {divide-trivial, inverse}
begin

lift-definition divide-p-adic-prod :: 
  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
  is  $\lambda X Y. X * (Y^{-1 \vee p})$ 
proof-
fix X X' Y Y' :: 'a fls-pseq
assume X  $\simeq_{\forall p} X'$  and Y  $\simeq_{\forall p} Y'$ 
thus

```

```


$$X * (Y^{-1 \vee p}) \simeq_{\vee p} X' * (Y'^{-1 \vee p})$$

using globally-pinverse-cong[of Y Y'] p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-mult[of
X X']
    by fastforce
qed

lift-definition inverse-p-adic-prod :: 'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
is global-pinverse-fls-pseq
by (rule globally-pinverse-cong)

instance
proof
    show  $\bigwedge a::'a$  p-adic-prod. a div 0 = 0 by transfer (simp flip: zero-fun-def)
    show  $\bigwedge a::'a$  p-adic-prod. a div 1 = a by transfer (simp flip: one-fun-def)
    show  $\bigwedge a::'a$  p-adic-prod. 0 div a = 0 by transfer (simp flip: zero-fun-def)
qed

end

```

5.4 Equivalence and depth relative to a prime

overloading

```

p-equal-p-adic-prod  $\equiv$ 
  p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$ 
    'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  bool
p-restrict-p-adic-prod  $\equiv$ 
  p-restrict :: 'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
p-depth-p-adic-prod  $\equiv$  p-depth :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  int
global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod  $\equiv$ 
  global-unfrmzr-pows :: ('a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int)  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
begin

lift-definition p-equal-p-adic-prod :: 
  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$ 
    'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  is p-equal
  by (
    simp only: globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-def,
    rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-transfer-equals-rsp, simp-all
  )

lift-definition p-restrict-p-adic-prod :: 
  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
  is  $\lambda X P p.$  if P p then X p else 0
  using p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equalD by fastforce

```

```

lift-definition p-depth-p-adic-prod :: 
  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ int
  is p-depth
  by (
    simp only: globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-def,
    rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-p-depth
  )

lift-definition global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod :: 
  ('a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ int) ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod
  is global-unfrmzr-pows .

end

lemma p-equal-p-adic-prod-abs-eq0: (abs-p-adic-prod X ≈p 0) = (X ≈p 0)
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime and X :: 'a fls-pseq
  using p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq[of p X 0:'a fls-pseq]
  by (simp add: zero-p-adic-prod.abs-eq)

lemma p-equal-p-adic-prod-abs-eq1: (abs-p-adic-prod X ≈p 1) = (X ≈p 1)
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime and X :: 'a fls-pseq
  using p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq[of p X 1:'a fls-pseq]
  by (simp add: one-p-adic-prod.abs-eq)

global-interpretation p-equality-p-adic-prod:
  p-equality-no-zero-divisors-1
  p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒
    'a p-adic-prod ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ bool
proof
  fix p :: 'a prime

  define E :: 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ bool
    where E ≡ p-equal p

  show equivp E
    unfolding E-def p-equal-p-adic-prod-def
  proof-
    define F :: 'a fls-pseq ⇒ 'a fls-pseq ⇒ bool
      and G :: 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ bool
      where F ≡ p-equal p
      and
        G ≡
          map-fun id (map-fun rep-p-adic-prod (map-fun rep-p-adic-prod id)) p-equal
    hence G = (λx y. F (rep-p-adic-prod x) (rep-p-adic-prod y)) by force
    with F-def show equivp G using equivp-transfer p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.equivp
  by fast
qed

```

```

show  $\exists x::'a p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}. x \neg\simeq_p 0$ 
by (transfer, rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.nontrivial)

fix x y :: 'a p-adic-prod
show  $(x \simeq_p y) = (x - y \simeq_p 0)$ 
and  $y \simeq_p 0 \implies x * y \simeq_p 0$ 
by (
  transfer, rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.conv-0,
  transfer, rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.mult-0-right
)

assume x  $\neg\simeq_p 0$  and y  $\neg\simeq_p 0$ 
thus x * y  $\neg\simeq_p 0$ 
using p-depth-fls.no-zero-divisors[of p]
by (cases x, cases y)
  (auto simp add: p-equal-p-adic-prod-abs-eq0 times-p-adic-prod.abs-eq)

qed

overloading
globally-p-equal-p-adic-prod  $\equiv$ 
globally-p-equal :: 
  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

definition globally-p-equal-p-adic-prod :: 
  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where globally-p-equal-p-adic-prod-def[simp]:
  globally-p-equal-p-adic-prod = p-equality-p-adic-prod.globally-p-equal

end

global-interpretation global-p-depth-p-adic-prod:
global-p-equal-depth-no-zero-divisors-w-inj-index-consts
p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  bool
p-restrict :: 
  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  ('a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
p-depth :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  int
 $\lambda f. abs\text{-}p\text{-adic}\text{-prod} (\lambda p. fls\text{-}const (f p))$ 
Rep-prime
proof (unfold-locales, fold globally-p-equal-p-adic-prod-def)

fix p :: 'a prime

fix x y :: 'a p-adic-prod

show  $x \simeq_{\forall p} y \implies x = y$  by (simp, transfer, simp)

```

```

fix P :: 'a prime ⇒ bool
show P p ==> x prerestrict P ≈p x by transfer simp
show ¬ P p ==> x prerestrict P ≈p 0 by transfer simp

show ((0::'a p-adic-prod)op) = 0 by transfer simp
show x ≈p y ==> (xop) = (yop)
  by (transfer, rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.depth-equiv, simp)
show (‐ xop) = (xop)
  by (transfer, rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.depth-uminus)
show
  x ¬≈p 0 ==> (xop) < (yop)
  ==> (x + yop) = (xop)
  by (transfer, rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.depth-pre-nonarch(1), simp, simp)
show
  []
  x ¬≈p 0; x + y ¬≈p 0;
  (xop) = (yop)
  ] ==> (xop) ≤ ((x + y)op)
  using p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.depth-pre-nonarch(2)[of p] by (transfer, simp
add: mult.commute)
show
  x * y ¬≈p 0 ==>
  (x * yop) = (xop) + (yop)
  by (transfer, rule p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.depth-mult-additive, simp)

define F :: ('a prime ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod
  where F ≡ λf. abs-p-adic-prod (λp. fls-const (f p))

show F 1 = 1 by (simp add: F-def one-p-adic-prod.abs-eq flip: one-fun-def)

fix f g :: 'a prime ⇒ 'a
show F (f - g) = F f - F g
  and F (f * g) = F f * F g
  and (F f ≈p 0) = (f p = 0)
  and ((F f)op) = int (pmultiplicity p (f p))
  by (simp-all
    add: F-def fls-minus-const fun-diff-def minus-p-adic-prod.abs-eq times-p-adic-prod.abs-eq
      times-fun-def p-equal-p-adic-prod-abs-eq0 p-equal-fls-const-0-iff p-depth-const-def
      p-depth-p-adic-prod.abs-eq
    flip: p-depth-const
  )
qed (
  metis Rep-prime-inject injI, rule Rep-prime-n0, rule Rep-prime-not-unit,
  rule multiplicity-distinct-primes
)
lemma p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq:

```

```

((abs-p-adic-prod X - abs-p-adic-prod Y)op) = ((X - Y)op)
for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime and X Y :: 'a fls-pseq
using minus-p-adic-prod.abs-eq p-depth-p-adic-prod.abs-eq by metis

lemma p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq':
((abs-p-adic-prod X - abs-p-adic-prod Y)op) = ((X p - Y p)op)
for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime and X Y :: 'a fls-pseq
using p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq by auto

overloading
p-depth-set-p-adic-prod ≡
p-depth-set :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ int ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod set
global-depth-set-p-adic-prod ≡
global-depth-set :: int ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod set
begin

definition p-depth-set-p-adic-prod :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ int ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod set
where p-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def[simp]:
p-depth-set-p-adic-prod = global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-depth-set

definition global-depth-set-p-adic-prod :: int ⇒ 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod set
where global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def[simp]:
global-depth-set-p-adic-prod = global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set

end

lemma p-depth-set-p-adic-prod-eq-projection:
(( $\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ ) :: 'a p-adic-prod set) =
abs-p-adic-prod ' $\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ 
for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
proof safe
fix x :: 'a p-adic-prod
assume x: x ∈  $\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ 
show x ∈ abs-p-adic-prod ' $\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ 
proof (cases x)
case (abs-p-adic-prod X)
with x have X ∈  $\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ 
using p-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-depth-setD
p-equal-p-adic-prod-abs-eq0 p-depth-p-adic-prod.abs-eq
p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.p-depth-setI p-depth-set-fls-pseq-def
by metis
with abs-p-adic-prod show x ∈ abs-p-adic-prod ' $\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$  by fast
qed
qed (
metis p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.p-depth-setD p-depth-set-fls-pseq-def p-equal-p-adic-prod-abs-eq0
p-depth-p-adic-prod.abs-eq p-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def

```

```

global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-depth-setI
)

lemma global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-eq-projection:
  ((P_{\forall p}^n) :: 'a p-adic-prod set) =
    abs-p-adic-prod ` (P_{\forall p}^n)
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
  proof safe
    fix x :: 'a p-adic-prod
    assume x: x \in P_{\forall p}^n
    show x \in abs-p-adic-prod ` P_{\forall p}^n
    proof (cases x)
      case (abs-p-adic-prod X)
      with x have X \in P_{\forall p}^n
      using global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-setD
        p-equal-p-adic-prod-abs-eq0 p-depth-p-adic-prod.abs-eq
        p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.global-depth-setI global-depth-set-fls-pseq-def
        by metis
      with abs-p-adic-prod show x \in abs-p-adic-prod ` P_{\forall p}^n
        by fast
    qed
  qed (
    metis p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.global-depth-setD global-depth-set-fls-pseq-def
    p-equal-p-adic-prod-abs-eq0 p-depth-p-adic-prod.abs-eq global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-setI
  )

context
  fixes x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod
begin

lemma p-adic-prod-p-restrict-depth:
  (x prestrict P)^{op} = (if P p then x^{op} else 0)
  for P :: 'a prime \Rightarrow bool
  by (transfer, simp)

lemma p-adic-prod-global-depth-setI:
  x \in P_{\forall p}^n
  if \bigwedge p::'a prime. x \sim_p 0 \implies (x^{op}) \geq n
  using that global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-setI global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def
  by auto

lemma p-adic-prod-global-depth-setI':
  x \in P_{\forall p}^n
  if \bigwedge p::'a prime. (x^{op}) \geq n
  using that global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-setI' global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def
  by auto

lemma p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-p-restrictI:

```

```

p-restrict x P ∈ ℙ_{∀ p}^n
if ∨p::'a prime. P p ⇒ x ∈ ℙ_{@ p}^n
using that global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-p-restrictI
      global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def
by auto

lemma p-adic-prod-p-depth-setI:
x ∈ ℙ_{@ p}^n
if x ⊑_p 0 → (x^o_p) ≥ n
for p :: 'a prime
using that global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-depth-setI p-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def by
auto

end

context
fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime
begin

lemma p-adic-prod-diff-depth-gt-equiv-trans:
((x - z)^o_p) > n
if xy: x ⊑_p y
and yz: y ⊑_p z ((y - z)^o_p) > n
for x y z :: 'a p-adic-prod

proof (cases x y z rule: three-p-adic-prod-cases)
case (abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod X Y Z)
from xy abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod(1,2)
have XY: X ⊑_p Y using p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq by auto
moreover from yz(1) abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod(2,3)
have YZ: Y ⊑_p Z using p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq by auto
moreover from yz(2) abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod(2,3)
have ((Y p - Z p)^o_p) > n using p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq' by metis
ultimately have ∀k≤n. ((X p) pmod p) $$ k = ((Z p) pmod p) $$ k
using fls-pmod-eq-pmod-iff[of X p p Y p] fls-pmod-diff-cancel-iff by fastforce
moreover have (X p) ⊑_p (Z p) using XY YZ p-equality-fls.trans-right by auto
ultimately show n < ((x - z)^o_p)
using abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod(1,3) fls-pmod-diff-cancel-iff
      p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq'
by metis
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-diff-depth-gt0-equiv-trans:
((x - z)^o_p) > n
if n ≥ 0 and x ⊑_p y and ((y - z)^o_p) > n
for x y z :: 'a p-adic-prod
using that p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0 p-adic-prod-diff-depth-gt-equiv-trans
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv-0[of p y - z]
by fastforce

```

```

lemma p-adic-prod-diff-depth-gt-trans:
   $((x - z)^{op}) > n$ 
  if  $xy: x \sim_p y ((x - y)^{op}) > n$ 
  and  $yz: y \sim_p z ((y - z)^{op}) > n$ 
  and  $xz: x \sim_p z$ 
  for  $x y z :: 'a p-adic-prod$ 
proof (cases  $x y z$  rule: three-p-adic-prod-cases)
  case (abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod  $X Y Z$ )
  moreover from this  $xy(2) yz(2)$ 
    have  $((X p - Y p)^{op}) > n$ 
    and  $((Y p - Z p)^{op}) > n$ 
    using p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq'
    by (metis, metis)
  ultimately have  $\forall k \leq n. ((X p) \bmod p) \$\$ k = ((Z p) \bmod p) \$\$ k$ 
  using  $xy(1) yz(1)$  by (simp add: p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq fls-pmod-diff-cancel-iff)
  with  $xz$  abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod(1,3)
    show  $n < ((x - z)^{op})$ 
    using p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq[of  $p X Z$ ] fls-pmod-diff-cancel-iff[of  $p X p Z p$ ]
      p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq[of  $p X Z$ ]
    by simp
  qed

lemma p-adic-prod-diff-depth-gt0-trans:
   $((x - z)^{op}) > n$ 
  if  $n \geq 0$ 
  and  $((x - y)^{op}) > n$ 
  and  $((y - z)^{op}) > n$ 
  and  $x \sim_p z$ 
  for  $x y z :: 'a p-adic-prod$ 
  using that p-adic-prod-diff-depth-gt-trans
    p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0[of  $p x y$ ] p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0[of  $p y z$ ]
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv-0[of  $p x - y$ ]
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv-0[of  $p y - z$ ]
  by auto

end

lemma p-adic-prod-diff-cancel-lead-coeff:
   $((\text{inverse } x - \text{inverse } y)^{op}) > -n$ 
  if  $x : x \sim_p 0 x^{op} = n$ 
  and  $y : y \sim_p 0 y^{op} = n$ 
  and  $xy: x \sim_p y ((x - y)^{op}) > n$ 
  for  $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime$ 
  and  $x y :: 'a p-adic-prod$ 
proof (cases  $x y$  rule: two-p-adic-prod-cases)
  case (abs-p-adic-prod-abs-p-adic-prod  $X Y$ )
  with  $x(1) y(1) xy(1)$ 
    have  $X \sim_p 0$ 
    and  $Y \sim_p 0$ 

```

```

and    $X \negsim_p Y$ 
using  $p\text{-equal-}p\text{-adic-prod-abs-eq0}$   $p\text{-equal-}p\text{-adic-prod.abs-eq}$ 
by   (fast, fast, fast)
moreover from  $\text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod-abs-}p\text{-adic-prod}$   $x(2)$   $y(2)$   $xy(2)$ 
have  $X^{\circ p} = n$ 
and  $Y^{\circ p} = n$ 
and  $((X - Y)^{\circ p}) > n$ 
using  $p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod.abs-eq}$ 
by   (metis, metis, metis minus-}p\text{-adic-prod.abs-eq))
moreover from  $\text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod-abs-}p\text{-adic-prod}$  have
 $((\text{inverse } x - \text{inverse } y)^{\circ p}) =$ 
 $((X^{-1 \vee p}) -$ 
 $(Y^{-1 \vee p})$ 
 $)^{\circ p})$ 
using  $\text{inverse-}p\text{-adic-prod.abs-eq}[of X]$   $\text{inverse-}p\text{-adic-prod.abs-eq}[of Y]$ 
 $p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq}[of$ 
 $p X^{-1 \vee p} Y^{-1 \vee p}$ 
 $]$ 
by   argo
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\text{global-pinverse-diff-cancel-lead-coeff}$  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{global-unfrmzr-pows-}p\text{-adic-prod0}:$ 
 $(\mathfrak{p} (\theta :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}) :: 'a p\text{-adic-prod}) = 1$ 
by (
  transfer,
  metis  $p\text{-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-}p\text{-equal-refl}$   $\text{globally-}p\text{-equal-fls-pseq-def}$ 
   $\text{global-unfrmzr-pows0-fls-pseq}$ 
)
context
fixes  $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom \text{ prime}$ 
begin

lemma  $\text{global-unfrmzr-pows-}p\text{-adic-prod-nequiv0}:$ 
 $(\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a p\text{-adic-prod}) \negsim_p 0 \text{ for } f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ 
by (transfer, rule  $\text{global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-nequiv0}$ )

lemma  $\text{global-unfrmzr-pows-}p\text{-adic-prod}:$ 
 $(\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a p\text{-adic-prod})^{\circ p} = f p \text{ for } f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ 
by (transfer, rule  $\text{global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq}$ )

lemma  $\text{global-unfrmzr-pows-}p\text{-adic-prod-depth-set}:$ 
fixes  $f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ 
defines  $n \equiv f p$ 
shows  $(\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a p\text{-adic-prod}) \in \mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ 
using  $p\text{-adic-prod-p-depth-setI}[of p] \text{ n-def global-unfrmzr-pows-}p\text{-adic-prod}[of f]$ 
by force

```

```

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-pequiv-iff:
  ((p f :: 'a p-adic-prod) ≈p (p g)) = (f p = g p)
  for f g :: 'a prime ⇒ int
  by (transfer, rule global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-pequiv-iff)

end

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set:
  (p f :: 'a p-adic-prod) ∈ Pforall pn
  if ∀ p. f p ≥ n for f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ int
  by (
    metis that global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-setI
    global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod
  )

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0:
  (p (int ∘ f) :: 'a p-adic-prod) ∈ Oforall p
  for f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ nat
  using global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set[of 0 int ∘ f] by simp

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-prod-p-adic-prod:
  (p f :: 'a p-adic-prod) * (p g) = p (f + g)
  for f g :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ int
  by (
    transfer, simp, standard,
    simp only: global-unfrmzr-pows-prod-fls-pseq p-equality-fls.refl flip: times-fun-apply
  )

context
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
begin

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-nzero:
  (p f :: 'a p-adic-prod) ≈p 0 for f :: 'a prime ⇒ int
  by (transfer, rule global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-nzero)

lemma prod-w-global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod:
  x ≈p 0 ⟹
  (x * p f)op = (xop) + f p
  for f :: 'a prime ⇒ int and x :: 'a p-adic-prod
  by (transfer, rule prod-w-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq, simp)

lemma p-adic-prod-normalize-depth:
  (x * p (λp:'a prime. -(xop)))op = 0
  for x :: 'a p-adic-prod
  by (transfer, rule normalize-depth-fls-pseq)

end

```

```

lemma p-adic-prod-normalized-depth-product-equiv:
  
$$(x * \mathfrak{p} (\lambda p :: 'a prime. -(x^{op}))) * (y * \mathfrak{p} (\lambda p :: 'a prime. -(y^{op}))) = ((x * y) * \mathfrak{p} (\lambda p :: 'a prime. -((x * y)^{op})))$$

for x y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod
proof transfer
  fix X Y :: 'a fls-pseq
  define d :: 'a fls-pseq  $\Rightarrow$  'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int
    where d  $\equiv$   $\lambda X p. - (X^{op})$ 
  thus
    
$$(X * \mathfrak{p} (d X)) * (Y * \mathfrak{p} (d Y)) \simeq_{\forall p} (X * Y * \mathfrak{p} (d (X * Y)))$$

  using times-fun-apply p-equal-fls-pseq-def normalized-depth-fls-pseq-product-equiv
  by fastforce
  qed

context
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
  begin

    lemma trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod:
      
$$f p = 0 \implies (\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a p-adic-prod) \simeq_p 1$$

      for f :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int
      by (transfer, rule trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq, simp)

    lemma prod-w-trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod:
      
$$f p = 0 \implies x * \mathfrak{p} f \simeq_p x$$

      for f :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int and x :: 'a p-adic-prod
      by (transfer, rule prod-w-trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq, simp)

    end

    lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-pow:
      
$$(\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a p-adic-prod) \wedge n = (\mathfrak{p} (\lambda p. \text{int } n * f p))$$

      for f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$  int
      by (
        transfer,
        metis globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-def p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-refl
        pow-global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq
      )
    lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-inv:
      
$$(\mathfrak{p} (-f) :: 'a p-adic-prod) * (\mathfrak{p} f) = 1$$

      for f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$  int
      by (
        transfer,
        metis globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-def p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-refl
        global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-inv
      )
  
```

```

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-inverse:
  inverse (p f :: 'a p-adic-prod) = p (-f)
  for f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime  $\Rightarrow$  int
proof (transfer)
  fix f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime  $\Rightarrow$  int
  have ((p f)-1p) = (p (- f)) :: 'a fls-pseq
    using global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def[of f] global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-def[of
-f]
      fls-pinv-X-intpow
    by auto
  thus
    ((p f)-1p)  $\simeq_{\forall p}$ 
    (p (- f)) :: 'a fls-pseq
    by auto
qed

```

```

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-decomp:
  x = (
    (x * p (λp:'a prime. -(xop))) *
    p (λp:'a prime. xop)
  )
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime and x :: 'a p-adic-prod
  by (
    transfer,
    metis global-unfrmzr-pows-fls-pseq-decomp p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.globally-p-equal-refl
      globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-def
  )

```

```

lemma p-adic-prod-normalize-depthE:
  fixes x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod
  obtains x-0
  where  $\forall p:'a \text{ prime}. x \cdot 0^{op} = 0$ 
  and x = x-0 * p (λp:'a prime. xop)
  using p-adic-prod-normalize-depth global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-decomp
  by blast

```

```

global-interpretation p-adic-prod-div-inv:
  global-p-equal-depth-div-inv
  p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime  $\Rightarrow$ 
    'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  p-depth :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  int
  p-restrict ::
    'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  ('a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
proof
  fix p :: 'a prime and x y :: 'a p-adic-prod
  show x / y = x * inverse y by transfer simp
  show inverse (inverse x) = x by transfer (rule global-pinverse-pinverse-fls-pseq)
  show inverse (x * y) = inverse x * inverse y by transfer (rule global-pinverse-mult-fls-pseq)

```

```

show (inverse x  $\simeq_p$  0) = (x  $\simeq_p$  0)
  by transfer (simp add: fls-pinv-equiv0-iff)
show x  $\neg\simeq_p$  0  $\implies$  x / x  $\simeq_p$  1
  by transfer (simp add: pinverse-fls-mult-equiv1')
qed

```

5.5 Embeddings of the base ring, *nat*, *int*, and *rat*

5.5.1 Embedding of the base ring

abbreviation *p-adic-prod-consts* \equiv *global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.consts*
abbreviation *p-adic-prod-const* \equiv *global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.const*

```

lemma p-depth-p-adic-prod-consts:
  (p-adic-prod-consts f) $^{\text{op}}$  = ((f p) $^{\text{op}}$ )
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime and f :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  by (simp add: p-depth-p-adic-prod.abs-eq flip: p-depth-const-def)

lemmas p-depth-p-adic-prod-const = p-depth-p-adic-prod-consts[of -  $\lambda p.$  -]

lemma p-adic-prod-global-unfrmzr:
  ( $\wp$  (1 :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$  int) :: 'a p-adic-prod) =
    p-adic-prod-consts Rep-prime
proof (rule global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-imp-eq, standard)
  fix p :: 'a prime
  define p1-fls-pseq :: 'a fls-pseq and p1-pre :: 'a p-adic-prod
    where p1-fls-pseq  $\equiv$   $\wp$  (1 :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int)
      and p1-pre  $\equiv$   $\wp$  (1 :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int)
  have
    (abs-p-adic-prod p1-fls-pseq)  $\simeq_p$ 
      (abs-p-adic-prod ( $\lambda p$ ::'a prime. fls-const (Rep-prime p)))
    unfolding p1-fls-pseq-def p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq
    by (rule global-unfrmzr-fls-pseq)
  thus p1-pre  $\simeq_p$  (p-adic-prod-consts Rep-prime)
    unfolding p1-fls-pseq-def p1-pre-def global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-def by
    simp
qed

```

5.5.2 Embedding of the field of fractions of the base ring

global-interpretation *p-adic-prod-embeds*:
global-p-equal-div-inv-w-inj-idom-consts
p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime \Rightarrow
 'a p-adic-prod \Rightarrow 'a p-adic-prod \Rightarrow bool
p-restrict ::
 'a p-adic-prod \Rightarrow ('a prime \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a p-adic-prod
 $\lambda f.$ abs-p-adic-prod ($\lambda p.$ fls-const (f p))
 ..

global-interpretation *p-adic-prod-depth-embeds*:

```

global-p-equal-depth-div-inv-w-inj-index-consts
p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime =>
  'a p-adic-prod => 'a p-adic-prod => bool
p-depth :: 'a prime => 'a p-adic-prod => int
p-restrict :: 
  'a p-adic-prod => ('a prime => bool) => 'a p-adic-prod
  λf. abs-p-adic-prod (λp. fls-const (f p))
Rep-prime
  ..
abbreviation p-adic-prod-shift-p-depth ≡ p-adic-prod-depth-embeds.shift-p-depth
abbreviation p-adic-prod-of-fract ≡ p-adic-prod-embeds.const-of-fract

lemma p-adic-prod-of-fract-depth:
  (p-adic-prod-of-fract (Fraction-Field.Fract a b) :: 'a p-adic-prod)°p =
    (a°p) – (b°p)
  if a ≠ 0 and b ≠ 0
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime
  and a b :: 'a
  using that global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-equal-func-of-const-0
    p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-equiv-0-iff p-adic-prod-embeds.const-of-fract-Fract
    p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-depth p-depth-p-adic-prod-const
  by metis

```

Product of all p-adic embeddings of the field of fractions of the base ring.

```

abbreviation p-adic-Fracts-prod :: 
  'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout p-adic-prod set
  (Q_∞_p)
  where Q_∞_p ≡ p-adic-prod-embeds.range-const-of-fract

```

5.5.3 Characteristic zero embeddings

```

class nontrivial-factorial-idom-char-0 = nontrivial-factorial-idom + semiring-char-0
begin
  subclass ring-char-0 ..
end

instance int :: nontrivial-factorial-idom-char-0 ..

class nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout-char-0 =
  nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout + nontrivial-factorial-idom-char-0

instance int :: nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout-char-0 ..

instance p-adic-prod :: (nontrivial-factorial-idom-char-0) ring-char-0
  by (
    standard, standard,
    metis global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.const-of-nat global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.const-eq-iff
    of-nat-eq-iff

```

```

)
global-interpretation p-adic-prod-consts-char-0:
  global-p-equality-w-inj-consts-char-0
    p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom-char-0 prime =>
      'a p-adic-prod => 'a p-adic-prod => bool
    p-restrict :: 
      'a p-adic-prod => ('a prime => bool) => 'a p-adic-prod
      λf. abs-p-adic-prod (λp. fls-const (f p))
    ..
global-interpretation p-adic-prod-div-inv-consts-char-0:
  global-p-equal-div-inv-w-inj-consts-char-0
    p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout-char-0 prime =>
      'a p-adic-prod => 'a p-adic-prod => bool
    p-restrict :: 
      'a p-adic-prod => ('a prime => bool) => 'a p-adic-prod
      λf. abs-p-adic-prod (λp. fls-const (f p))
    ..
lemma p-adic-prod-of-nat-depth:
  (of-nat n :: 'a p-adic-prod)op = ((of-nat n :: 'a)op)
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
  by (metis global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.const-of-nat p-depth-p-adic-prod-const)

lemma p-adic-prod-of-int-depth:
  (of-int z :: 'a p-adic-prod)op = ((of-int z :: 'a)op)
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
  by (metis global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.const-of-int p-depth-p-adic-prod-const)

abbreviation p-adic-prod-of-rat ≡ p-adic-prod-div-inv-consts-char-0.const-of-rat

lemma p-adic-prod-of-rat-depth:
  (p-adic-prod-of-rat (Rat.Fract a b) :: 'a p-adic-prod)op =
    ((of-int a :: 'a)op) – ((of-int b :: 'a)op)
  if a ≠ 0 and b ≠ 0
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout-char-0 prime
  and a b :: int
  using that p-adic-prod-consts-char-0.const-of-int-p-equal-0-iff
    p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-equiv-0-iff p-adic-prod-div-inv-consts-char-0.const-of-rat-rat
    p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-depth p-adic-prod-of-int-depth
  by metis

```

5.6 Topologies on products of p-adic fields

5.6.1 By local place

Completeness

abbreviation p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd ≡ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd

```

abbreviation p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhs ≡ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhs
abbreviation
  p-adic-prod-p-limseq-condition ≡ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq-condition
abbreviation
  p-adic-prod-p-cauchy-condition ≡ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-cauchy-condition
abbreviation p-adic-prod-p-limseq ≡ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq
abbreviation p-adic-prod-p-cauchy ≡ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-cauchy
abbreviation
  p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhs-limseq ≡ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhs-LIMSEQ
abbreviation
  p-adic-prod-local-p-open-nbhs ≡ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.local-p-open-nbhs

lemma abs-p-adic-prod-seq-cases [case-names abs-p-adic-prod]:
  C if  $\bigwedge F. X = (\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (F n)) \implies C$ 
proof-
  have X =  $(\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (\text{rep-p-adic-prod } (X n)))$ 
  using rep-p-adic-prod-inverse by metis
  with that show C by fast
qed

lemma abs-p-adic-prod-p-limseq-condition:
  p-adic-prod-p-limseq-condition p  $(\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (F n)) (\text{abs-p-adic-prod } X)$ 
  n K =
    fls-p-limseq-condition p  $(\lambda n. F n p) (X p) n K$ 
  unfolding global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq-condition-def p-depth-fls.p-limseq-condition-def
  by (auto simp add: p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq)

lemma abs-p-adic-prod-p-limseq:
  p-adic-prod-p-limseq p  $(\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (F n)) (\text{abs-p-adic-prod } X) =$ 
    fls-p-limseq p  $(\lambda n. F n p) (X p)$ 
  using abs-p-adic-prod-p-limseq-condition by fast

lemma abs-p-adic-prod-p-cauchy-condition:
  p-adic-prod-p-cauchy-condition p  $(\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (F n)) n K =$ 
    fls-p-cauchy-condition p  $(\lambda n. F n p) n K$ 
  unfolding global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-cauchy-condition-def p-depth-fls.p-cauchy-condition-def
  by (auto simp add: p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq)

lemma abs-p-adic-prod-p-cauchy:
  p-adic-prod-p-cauchy p  $(\lambda n. \text{abs-p-adic-prod } (F n)) = \text{fls-p-cauchy } p (\lambda n. F n p)$ 
  using abs-p-adic-prod-p-cauchy-condition by blast

lemma p-adic-prod-p-restrict-cauchy-lim:
  p-adic-prod-p-limseq q
   $(\lambda n. p\text{-restrict } (X n) ((=) p))$ 
   $(\text{abs-p-adic-prod } (\lambda q.$ 
    if  $q = p$  then fls-p-cauchy-lim p  $(\lambda n. \text{rep-p-adic-prod } (X n) p)$  else 0
  ))
  if p-adic-prod-p-cauchy p X

```

```

proof (cases X rule: abs-p-adic-prod-seq-cases)
  case (abs-p-adic-prod F)
    define limval where
      limval ≡
        abs-p-adic-prod (λq.
          if q = p then fls-p-cauchy-lim p (λn. rep-p-adic-prod (X n) p) else 0
        )
    show p-adic-prod-p-limseq q (λn. X n prerestrict (=) p) limval
    proof (cases p = q)
      case True
      with that abs-p-adic-prod have F: fls-p-cauchy q (λn. F n q)
        using abs-p-adic-prod-p-cauchy by blast
        have fls-p-limseq q (λn. F n q) (fls-p-cauchy-lim q (λn. F n q))
          using F fls-p-cauchy-limseq by blast
        moreover have
          fls-p-cauchy-lim q (λn. rep-p-adic-prod (abs-p-adic-prod (F n)) q) =
            fls-p-cauchy-lim q (λn. F n q)
          by (
            intro fls-p-cauchy-lim-unique F, clarify,
            use abs-p-adic-prod p-adic-prod-globally-p-equal-self globally-p-equal-fls-pseq-def
              in auto
            )
        ultimately have p-adic-prod-p-limseq q X limval
        using True limval-def abs-p-adic-prod abs-p-adic-prod-p-limseq by force
        moreover from True have ∀n. (p-restrict (X n) ((=) p)) ≈q (X n)
          using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv by blast
        ultimately show ?thesis
          using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq-p-cong[of 0 q - X limval limval] by
            auto
        next
          case False
          with abs-p-adic-prod limval-def show ?thesis
            using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv0[of (=) p q]
              p-equal-p-adic-prod-abs-eq0[of q]
              global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq-p-cong[of
                0 q λn. (X n) prerestrict (=) p 0 limval
              ]
              global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq-0-iff[of q limval]
            by fastforce
        qed
      qed

```

global-interpretation p-complete-p-adic-prod:
 p-complete-global-p-equal-depth
 p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime ⇒
 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ bool
 p-restrict ::
 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ ('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod

```

p-depth :: 'a prime ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ int
proof
  fix p :: 'a prime and X :: nat ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod
  define res-X :: nat ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod and limval :: 'a p-adic-prod
    where res-X ≡ λn. p-restrict (X n) ((=) p)
    and
      limval ≡
        abs-p-adic-prod (λq.
          if q = p then fls-p-cauchy-lim p (λn. rep-p-adic-prod (X n) p) else 0
        )
  moreover assume p-adic-prod-p-cauchy p X
  ultimately have ∀ q. p-adic-prod-p-limseq q res-X limval
    using p-adic-prod-p-restrict-cauchy-lim by blast
  hence p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhds-limseq res-X limval
    using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.globally-limseq-iff-locally-limseq by fast
  thus global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhds-convergent res-X
    using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.t2-p-open-nbhds.convergent-def by auto
qed

```

```

global-interpretation p-complete-p-adic-prod-div-inv:
  p-complete-global-p-equal-depth-div-inv
  p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime ⇒
    'a p-adic-prod ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ bool
  p-depth :: 'a prime ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ int
  p-restrict ::
    'a p-adic-prod ⇒ ('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod
  ..

```

lemmas p-adic-prod-hensel = p-complete-p-adic-prod-div-inv.hensel

5.6.2 By bounded global depth

The metric

```

instantiation p-adic-prod :: (nontrivial-factorial-idom) metric-space
begin

```

```

definition dist = global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist
declare dist-p-adic-prod-def [simp]

```

```

definition uniformity = global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-uniformity
declare uniformity-p-adic-prod-def [simp]

```

```

definition open-p-adic-prod :: 'a p-adic-prod set ⇒ bool
  where
    open-p-adic-prod U = (forall x ∈ U.
      eventually (λ(x', y). x' = x → y ∈ U) uniformity
    )

```

instance

```

by (
  standard,
simp-all add: global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-uniformity-def open-p-adic-prod-def
  global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.metric-space-by-bdd-depth.dist-triangle2
)
end

abbreviation p-adic-prod-global-depth ≡ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-depth

lemma bdd-global-depth-p-adic-prod-abs-eq:
  p-adic-prod-global-depth (abs-p-adic-prod X) = fls-pseq-bdd-global-depth X
proof (cases X ≈_p 0)
  case True
  hence abs-p-adic-prod X = 0
    using p-adic-prod-lift-globally-p-equal zero-p-adic-prod.abs-eq by metis
  with True show ?thesis by force
next
  case False
  hence abs-p-adic-prod X ≠ 0
    using p-adic-prod.abs-eq-iff zero-p-adic-prod.abs-eq by metis
  hence ¬ abs-p-adic-prod X ≈_p 0
    using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-eq-iff by auto
  hence
    p-adic-prod-global-depth (abs-p-adic-prod X) =
      (INF p∈{p::'a prime. abs-p-adic-prod X ≈_p 0}.
       nat (((abs-p-adic-prod X)^o_p) + 1))
    using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-depthD-as-image[of abs-p-adic-prod X]
    by fastforce
  also have
    ... = (INF p∈{p::'a prime. X ≈_p 0}.
            nat (((abs-p-adic-prod X)^o_p) + 1))
    using p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq zero-p-adic-prod.abs-eq by metis
  finally show ?thesis
    using False p-depth-p-adic-prod.abs-eq[of - X]
      p-equality-depth-fls-pseq.bdd-global-depthD-as-image
    by force
qed

lemma dist-p-adic-prod-abs-eq:
  dist (abs-p-adic-prod X) (abs-p-adic-prod Y) = fls-pseq-bdd-global-dist X Y
proof (cases X ≈_p Y)
  case True
  hence abs-p-adic-prod X = abs-p-adic-prod Y using p-adic-prod-lift-globally-p-equal
  by metis
  with True show ?thesis by fastforce
next
  case False

```

```

from False have abs-p-adic-prod X ≠ abs-p-adic-prod Y
  using p-adic-prod.abs-eq-iff by metis
hence ¬ abs-p-adic-prod X ≈p abs-p-adic-prod Y
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-eq-iff by auto
hence
  dist (abs-p-adic-prod X) (abs-p-adic-prod Y) =
    inverse (2 ^ p-adic-prod-global-depth (abs-p-adic-prod X – abs-p-adic-prod Y))
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-distD by auto
also have ... = inverse (2 ^ p-adic-prod-global-depth (abs-p-adic-prod (X –
Y)))
  using minus-p-adic-prod.abs-eq by metis
finally show ?thesis
  using False bdd-global-depth-p-adic-prod-abs-eq p-equality-depth-fls-pseqbdd-global-distD
  by fastforce
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-metric-is-finer:
  generate-topology p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhs U ==> open U
proof (induct U rule: generate-topology.induct)
  case (Basis U) show open U
    proof (intro Elementary-Metric-Spaces.openI)
      fix u assume u ∈ U
      moreover from Basis obtain p n x where U = p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n
      x
        by fast
      ultimately have U: U = p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n u
        using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre by fast
      have ball u (inverse (2 ^ nat n)) ⊆ U
        unfolding U global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle ball-def
        using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-sym[of u]
          global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-less-pow2-iff[of - u nat n]
        by auto
      thus ∃ e>0. ball u e ⊆ U by force
    qed
  qed (simp, fast, fast)

lemma p-adic-prod-metric-is-finer-than-purely-local:
  generate-topology (p-adic-prod-local-p-open-nbhs p) U ==>
  open U
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
  and U :: 'a p-adic-prod set
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.local-p-open-nbhs-are-coarser p-adic-prod-metric-is-finer
  by blast

lemma p-adic-prod-limseq-abs-eq:
  (λn. abs-p-adic-prod (X n)) —→ abs-p-adic-prod x
  ↔
  (∀ e>0. ∀ F n in sequentially. fls-pseqbdd-global-dist (X n) x < e)
proof –

```

have

$$\forall n. \text{dist} (\text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod} (X n)) (\text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod} x) =$$

$$\text{fls-pseq-bdd-global-dist} (X n) x$$

using $\text{dist-}p\text{-adic-prod-abs-eq}[of X - x]$ **by** *blast*

thus $?thesis$

using $\text{tendsto-iff}[of \lambda n. \text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod} (X n) \text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod} x]$ **by** *presburger*

qed

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-bdd-metric-LIMSEQ}$:

$X \longrightarrow x = \text{global-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod.metric-space-by-bdd-depth.LIMSEQ } X x$

for $X :: nat \Rightarrow 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p\text{-adic-prod}$ **and** $x :: 'a p\text{-adic-prod}$

unfolding $\text{tendsto-iff global-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod.metric-space-by-bdd-depth.tendsto-iff}$

$\text{dist-}p\text{-adic-prod-def}$

by *fast*

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-global-depth-set-lim-closed}$:

$x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$

if $\text{lim} : X \longrightarrow x$

and $\text{depth} : \forall F k \text{ in sequentially. } X k \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$

for $X :: nat \Rightarrow 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout p\text{-adic-prod}$

and $x :: 'a p\text{-adic-prod}$

using $\text{that p-}p\text{-adic-prod-bdd-metric-LIMSEQ p-}p\text{-adic-prod-depth-embeds.global-depth-set-LIMSEQ-closed}$

by *fastforce*

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-metric-ball-multicentre}$:

$\text{ball } y e = \text{ball } x e \text{ if } y \in \text{ball } x e$

for $x y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p\text{-adic-prod}$

using $\text{that global-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-ball-multicentre}$

unfolding $\text{ball-def dist-}p\text{-adic-prod-def}$

by *blast*

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-metric-ball-at-0}$:

$\text{ball} (0 :: 'a :: \text{nontrivial-factorial-idom } p\text{-adic-prod}) (\text{inverse} (2 \wedge n)) = \text{global-depth-set}$

$(int n)$

using $\text{global-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-ball-at-0}$

unfolding $\text{ball-def dist-}p\text{-adic-prod-def global-depth-set-}p\text{-adic-prod-def}$

by *auto*

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-metric-ball-UNIV}$:

$\text{ball } x e = \text{UNIV} \text{ if } e > 1$

for $x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p\text{-adic-prod}$

using $\text{that global-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-ball-UNIV}$

unfolding $\text{ball-def dist-}p\text{-adic-prod-def}$

by *blast*

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-metric-ball-at-0-normalize}$:

$\text{ball} (0 :: 'a :: \text{nontrivial-factorial-idom } p\text{-adic-prod}) e =$

$\text{global-depth-set} \lfloor \log 2 (\text{inverse } e) \rfloor$

if $e > 0$ **and** $e \leq 1$

using that global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-ball-at-0-normalize
unfolding ball-def dist-p-adic-prod-def global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def
by blast

lemma p-adic-prod-metric-ball-translate:
 $\text{ball } x \ e = x + o \ \text{ball } 0 \ e$ **for** $x :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom}$ p-adic-prod
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-ball-translate
unfolding ball-def dist-p-adic-prod-def
by blast

lemma p-adic-prod-left-translate-metric-continuous:
continuous-on UNIV $((+) \ x)$ **for** $x :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom}$ p-adic-prod
proof
fix $e :: \text{real}$ **and** $z :: 'a$ p-adic-prod
assume $e: e > 0$
moreover have $\forall y. \text{dist } y \ z < e \longrightarrow \text{dist } (x + y) \ (x + z) \leq e$
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-left-translate-continuous[of
 - - $e \ x$
]
unfolding dist-p-adic-prod-def
by fastforce
ultimately show
 $\exists d > 0. \forall x' \in \text{UNIV}.$
 $\text{dist } x' \ z < d \longrightarrow \text{dist } (x + x') \ (x + z) \leq e$
by auto
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-right-translate-metric-continuous:
continuous-on UNIV $(\lambda z. \ z + x)$ **for** $x :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom}$ p-adic-prod
proof –
have $(\lambda z. \ z + x) = (+) \ x$ **by** (auto simp add: add.commute)
thus ?thesis **using** p-adic-prod-left-translate-metric-continuous **by** metis
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-left-bdd-mult-bdd-metric-continuous:
continuous-on UNIV $((*) \ x)$
if bdd:
 $\forall p::'a \text{ prime}. \ x \not\simeq_p 0 \longrightarrow$
 $(x^{\circ p}) \geq n$
for $x :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom}$ p-adic-prod
proof
fix $e :: \text{real}$ **and** $z :: 'a$ p-adic-prod
assume $e: e > 0$
moreover define d **where** $d \equiv \min (e * 2 \text{ powi } (n - 1)) \ 1$
ultimately have $\forall y. \text{dist } y \ z < d \longrightarrow \text{dist } (x * y) \ (x * z) \leq e$
using bdd global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-bdd-mult-continuous **by** fast-force
moreover from $e \ d\text{-def}$ **have** $d > 0$ **by** auto
ultimately show

```

 $\exists d > 0. \forall y \in UNIV. dist y z < d \longrightarrow dist (x * y) (x * z) \leq e$ 
  by blast
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-bdd-metric-limseq-bdd-mult:
  ( $\lambda k. w * X k$ ) ————— ( $w * x$ )
  if bdd:
     $\forall p::'a\ prime. w \neg\sim_p 0 \longrightarrow$ 
    ( $w^{op}$ )  $\geq n$ 
  and seq:  $X \longrightarrow x$ 
  for  $w x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod$  and  $X :: nat \Rightarrow 'a p-adic-prod$ 
  using bdd seq p-adic-prod-left-bdd-mult-bdd-metric-continuous continuous-on-tends-to-compose
  by fastforce

lemma p-adic-prod-nonpos-global-depth-set-open:
  ball x 1  $\subseteq (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n)$ 
  if  $n \leq 0$  and  $x \in (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n)$ 
  for  $x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod$ 
proof-
  have ball x 1 =  $x +_o$  ball 0 1 using p-adic-prod-metric-ball-translate by blast
  also have ... =  $x +_o (\mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$ 
  using p-adic-prod-metric-ball-at-0[of 0] by auto
  finally show ?thesis
  using that global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-elt-set-plus-closed[of n 0]
by auto
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-open:
  open (( $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ ) :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod set)
proof (cases n  $\geq 0$ )
  case True
  hence ( $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ ) = ball (0::'a p-adic-prod) (inverse (2 ^ nat n))
  using p-adic-prod-metric-ball-at-0 by fastforce
  thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case False thus ?thesis
  using p-adic-prod-nonpos-global-depth-set-open[of n]
  Elementary-Metric-Spaces.openI[of
    ( $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ ) :: 'a p-adic-prod set
  ]
  by force
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-ball-abs-eq:
  abs-p-adic-prod ‘ { Y. fls-pseq-bdd-global-dist X Y < e } =
  ball (abs-p-adic-prod X) e
  for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod
proof-
  define B B'

```

where $B \equiv \{ Y. \text{fls-pseq-bdd-global-dist } X Y < e \}$
and $B' \equiv \text{ball}(\text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod } X) e$
moreover have $\bigwedge y. y \in B' \implies y \in \text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod } 'B$
proof–
fix y **assume** $y: y \in B'$
show $y \in \text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod } 'B$
proof (*cases* y)
case ($\text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod } Y$)
moreover from *this* B' -def y **have** $\text{fls-pseq-bdd-global-dist } X Y < e$
using *mem-ball dist-}p-adic-prod-abs-eq* **by** *metis*
ultimately show ?thesis **using** B -def **by** *blast*
qed
qed
ultimately show $\text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod } 'B = B'$
using *dist-}p-adic-prod-abs-eq[of X]* **by** *fastforce*
qed

Completeness

abbreviation $p\text{-adic-prod-globally-cauchy} \equiv \text{global-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod.global-}p\text{-cauchy}$
abbreviation
 $p\text{-adic-prod-global-cauchy-condition} \equiv \text{global-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod.global-}p\text{-cauchy-condition}$

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-global-cauchy-condition-abs-eq}:$
 $p\text{-adic-prod-global-cauchy-condition } (\lambda n. \text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod } (X n)) =$
 $\text{fls-pseq-global-cauchy-condition } X$
unfolding *global-}p-adic-prod.global-}p-cauchy-condition-def*
 $p\text{-equality-depth-fls-pseq.global-}p\text{-cauchy-condition-def}$
by (
standard, standard,
metis p-equal-}p-adic-prod.abs-eq p-depth-}p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq
)

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-globally-cauchy-abs-eq}:$
 $p\text{-adic-prod-globally-cauchy } (\lambda n. \text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod } (X n)) = \text{fls-pseq-globally-cauchy }$
 X
using *p-equal-}p-adic-prod.global-}p-cauchy-condition-abs-eq* **by** *metis*

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-globally-cauchy-vs-bdd-metric-Cauchy}:$
 $p\text{-adic-prod-globally-cauchy } X = \text{Cauchy } X$
for $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom}$ $p\text{-adic-prod}$
using *global-}p-adic-prod.global-}p-cauchy-vs-bdd-metric-Cauchy*
unfolding *global-}p-adic-prod.metric-space-by-bdd-depth.Cauchy-def Cauchy-def*
by *auto*

abbreviation
 $p\text{-adic-prod-cauchy-lim } X \equiv$
 $\text{abs-}p\text{-adic-prod } (\lambda p.$
 $\text{fls-condition-lim } p$
 $(\lambda n. \text{reduced-rep-}p\text{-adic-prod } (X n) p)$

```

        (p-adic-prod-global-cauchy-condition X)
      )

lemma p-adic-prod-bdd-metric-complete':
  X —→ p-adic-prod-cauchy-lim X if Cauchy X
proof (cases X rule: p-adic-prod-seq-reduced-cases)
  case (abs-p-adic-prod F) with that show ?thesis
    using p-adic-prod-globally-cauchy-vs-bdd-metric-Cauchy[of λn. abs-p-adic-prod
(F n)]
      p-adic-prod-globally-cauchy-abs-eq fls-pseq-cauchy-condition-lim
      p-adic-prod-global-cauchy-condition-abs-eq[of F] abs-p-adic-prod-inverse[of
F -]
      p-adic-prod-limseq-abs-eq[of F]
    by fastforce
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-bdd-metric-complete:
  complete (UNIV :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel p-adic-prod set)
  using p-adic-prod-bdd-metric-complete' completeI by blast

```

5.7 Hiding implementation details

```

lifting-update p-adic-prod.lifting
lifting-forget p-adic-prod.lifting

```

5.8 The subring of adelic integers

5.8.1 Type definition as a subtype of adeles

```

typedef (overloaded) 'a adelic-int =
  ℬ_{v_p} :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod set
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-0 by auto

lemma Abs-adelic-int-inverse':
  Rep-adelic-int (Abs-adelic-int x) = x if x ∈ ℬ_{v_p}
  using that Abs-adelic-int-inverse by fast

lemma Abs-adelic-int-cases [case-names Abs-adelic-int, cases type: adelic-int]:
  P if
    ∃x. z = Abs-adelic-int x ⇒
    x ∈ ℬ_{v_p} ⇒ P
proof-
  define x where x ≡ Rep-adelic-int z
  hence z = Abs-adelic-int x using Rep-adelic-int-inverse by metis
  moreover from x-def have x ∈ ℬ_{v_p} using Rep-adelic-int by blast
  ultimately show P using that by fast
qed

lemmas two-Abs-adelic-int-cases = Abs-adelic-int-cases[case-product Abs-adelic-int-cases]
  and three-Abs-adelic-int-cases =

```

Abs-adelic-int-cases[case-product *Abs-adelic-int-cases*[case-product *Abs-adelic-int-cases*]]

```

lemma adelic-int-seq-cases [case-names Abs-adelic-int]:
  fixes  $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom}$  adelic-int
  obtains  $F :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ p-adic-prod}$ 
    where  $X = (\lambda n. \text{Abs-adelic-int } (F n))$ 
    and  $\text{range } F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
proof-
  define  $F$  where  $F \equiv \lambda n. \text{Rep-adelic-int } (X n)$ 
  hence  $X = (\lambda n. \text{Abs-adelic-int } (F n))$ 
  using Rep-adelic-int-inverse by metis
  moreover from  $F\text{-def}$  have  $\text{range } F \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
  using Rep-adelic-int by blast
  ultimately show thesis using that by presburger
qed

```

5.8.2 Algebraic instantiations

```

instantiation adelic-int :: (nontrivial-factorial-idom) comm-ring-1
begin

```

```

definition 0 = Abs-adelic-int 0

```

```

lemma zero-adelic-int-rep-eq: Rep-adelic-int 0 = 0
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-0[of 0] Abs-adelic-int-inverse
  by (simp add: zero-adelic-int-def)

```

```

definition 1 ≡ Abs-adelic-int 1

```

```

lemma one-adelic-int-rep-eq: Rep-adelic-int 1 = 1
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-1 Abs-adelic-int-inverse
  by (simp add: one-adelic-int-def)

```

```

definition  $x + y = \text{Abs-adelic-int } (\text{Rep-adelic-int } x + \text{Rep-adelic-int } y)$ 

```

```

lemma plus-adelic-int-rep-eq: Rep-adelic-int ( $a + b$ ) = Rep-adelic-int  $a + \text{Rep-adelic-int } b$ 
  using Rep-adelic-int global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-add Abs-adelic-int-inverse
  unfolding plus-adelic-int-def
  by fastforce

```

```

lemma plus-adelic-int-abs-eq:
   $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \implies$ 
   $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \implies$ 
   $\text{Abs-adelic-int } x + \text{Abs-adelic-int } y = \text{Abs-adelic-int } (x + y)$ 
  using Abs-adelic-int-inverse[of  $x$ ] Abs-adelic-int-inverse[of  $y$ ]
  unfolding plus-adelic-int-def
  by simp

```

```

definition  $-x = \text{Abs-adelic-int} (-\text{Rep-adelic-int } x)$ 

lemma  $\text{uminus-adelic-int-rep-eq}: \text{Rep-adelic-int} (-x) = -\text{Rep-adelic-int } x$ 
  using  $\text{Rep-adelic-int global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-uminus}$ 
         $\text{Abs-adelic-int-inverse}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{uminus-adelic-int-def}$ 
  by      auto

lemma  $\text{uminus-adelic-int-abs-eq}:$ 
 $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \implies -\text{Abs-adelic-int } x = \text{Abs-adelic-int} (-x)$ 
  using  $\text{Abs-adelic-int-inverse}[of x]$  unfolding  $\text{uminus-adelic-int-def}$  by simp

definition  $\text{minus-adelic-int} ::$ 
 $'a \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int}$ 
  where  $\text{minus-adelic-int} \equiv (\lambda x y. x + -y)$ 

lemma  $\text{minus-adelic-int-rep-eq}: \text{Rep-adelic-int} (x - y) = \text{Rep-adelic-int } x - \text{Rep-adelic-int } y$ 
  using  $\text{plus-adelic-int-rep-eq} \text{ uminus-adelic-int-rep-eq}$  by (simp add:  $\text{minus-adelic-int-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{minus-adelic-int-abs-eq}:$ 
 $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \implies$ 
 $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \implies$ 
 $\text{Abs-adelic-int } x - \text{Abs-adelic-int } y = \text{Abs-adelic-int} (x - y)$ 
  using  $\text{global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-uminus}[of y]$ 
  by      (simp add:
             $\text{minus-adelic-int-def} \text{ uminus-adelic-int-abs-eq}$ 
             $\text{plus-adelic-int-abs-eq}$ 
          )
)

definition  $x * y = \text{Abs-adelic-int} (\text{Rep-adelic-int } x * \text{Rep-adelic-int } y)$ 

lemma  $\text{times-adelic-int-rep-eq}: \text{Rep-adelic-int} (x * y) = \text{Rep-adelic-int } x * \text{Rep-adelic-int } y$ 
  using  $\text{Rep-adelic-int global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-times Abs-adelic-int-inverse}$ 
         $\text{unfoldings times-adelic-int-def}$ 
  by      force

lemma  $\text{times-adelic-int-abs-eq}:$ 
 $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \implies$ 
 $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \implies$ 
 $\text{Abs-adelic-int } x * \text{Abs-adelic-int } y = \text{Abs-adelic-int} (x * y)$ 
  using  $\text{Abs-adelic-int-inverse}[of x] \text{ Abs-adelic-int-inverse}[of y]$ 
  unfolding  $\text{times-adelic-int-def}$ 
  by      simp

instance
proof

```

```

fix a b c :: 'a adelic-int

show a + b = b + a by (cases a, cases b) (simp add: plus-adelic-int-abs-eq
add.commute)
show 0 + a = a
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-0 plus-adelic-int-abs-eq[of
0]
unfolding zero-adelic-int-def
by (cases a) auto
show 1 * a = a
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-1 times-adelic-int-abs-eq[of 1]
by (cases a) (simp add: one-adelic-int-def)
show - a + a = 0
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-uminus uminus-adelic-int-abs-eq
plus-adelic-int-abs-eq
unfolding zero-adelic-int-def
by (cases a) fastforce
show a - b = a + - b by (simp add: minus-adelic-int-def)
show a * b = b * a by (cases a, cases b) (simp add: times-adelic-int-abs-eq
mult.commute)

show a + b + c = a + (b + c)
proof (cases a b c rule: three-Abs-adelic-int-cases)
case (Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int x y z) thus ?thesis
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-add[of x]
  global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-add[of y]
  by (simp add: plus-adelic-int-abs-eq add.assoc)
qed

show a * b * c = a * (b * c)
proof (cases a b c rule: three-Abs-adelic-int-cases)
case (Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int x y z) thus a * b * c = a *
(b * c)
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-times[of 0 x]
  global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-times[of 0 y]
  by (simp add: times-adelic-int-abs-eq mult.assoc)
qed

show (a + b) * c = a * c + b * c
proof (cases a b c rule: three-Abs-adelic-int-cases)
case (Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int x y z) thus (a + b) * c = a *
c + b * c
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-add[of x]
  global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-times[of 0 x]
  global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-times[of 0 y]
  by (simp add: plus-adelic-int-abs-eq times-adelic-int-abs-eq distrib-right)
qed

show (0::'a adelic-int) ≠ 1

```

```

using      Abs-adelic-int-inject[of 0:'a p-adic-prod 1]
              global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-0
              global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-1
unfolding zero-adelic-int-def one-adelic-int-def
by         auto

qed

end

lemma pow-adelic-int-rep-eq: Rep-adelic-int ( $x^{\wedge} n$ ) = (Rep-adelic-int  $x$ )  $\wedge n$ 
  by (induct  $n$ , simp-all add: one-adelic-int-rep-eq times-adelic-int-rep-eq)

lemma pow-adelic-int-abs-eq:
  ( $Abs\text{-}adic\text{-}int x$ )  $\wedge n$  =  $Abs\text{-}adic\text{-}int (x^{\wedge} n)$  if  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
  using that global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-0-pow times-adelic-int-abs-eq
  by (induct  $n$ , simp add: one-adelic-int-def, fastforce)

```

5.8.3 Equivalence and depth relative to a prime

overloading

```

p-equal-adelic-int ≡
p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒
  'a adelic-int ⇒ 'a adelic-int ⇒ bool
p-restrict-adelic-int ≡
  p-restrict :: 'a adelic-int ⇒
    ('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a adelic-int
p-depth-adelic-int ≡
  p-depth :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ 'a adelic-int ⇒ int
global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int ≡
  global-unfrmzr-pows :: ('a prime ⇒ nat) ⇒ 'a adelic-int

```

begin

```

definition p-equal-adelic-int ::

  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒
    'a adelic-int ⇒ 'a adelic-int ⇒ bool
  where p-equal-adelic-int  $p x y \equiv$  (Rep-adelic-int  $x$ )  $\simeq_p$  (Rep-adelic-int  $y$ )

```

```

definition p-restrict-adelic-int ::

  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int ⇒
    ('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a adelic-int
  where
    p-restrict-adelic-int  $x P \equiv$  Abs-adelic-int ((Rep-adelic-int  $x$ ) prerestrict  $P$ )

```

```

definition p-depth-adelic-int ::

  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ 'a adelic-int ⇒ int
  where p-depth-adelic-int  $p x \equiv$  ((Rep-adelic-int  $x$ ) $^{op}$ )

```

definition global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int ::

```

('a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒ nat) ⇒ 'a adelic-int
where
  global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int f ≡ Abs-adelic-int (global-unfrmzr-pows (int ∘
f))

end

context
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
begin

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq:
  (Abs-adelic-int x) ≈p (Abs-adelic-int y) ←→
    x ≈p y
    if x ∈ Oforall p
    and y ∈ Oforall p
    for x y :: 'a p-adic-prod
    using that
    by (simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-def Abs-adelic-int-inverse)

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0:
  (Abs-adelic-int x) ≈p 0 ←→ x ≈p 0
  if x ∈ Oforall p for x :: 'a p-adic-prod
  using that p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-0
  unfolding zero-adelic-int-def
  by auto

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-rep-eq0:
  (Rep-adelic-int x) ≈p 0 ←→ x ≈p 0
  for x :: 'a adelic-int
  using p-equal-adelic-int-def zero-adelic-int-rep-eq by metis

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq1:
  (Abs-adelic-int x) ≈p 1 ←→ x ≈p 1
  if x ∈ Oforall p for x :: 'a p-adic-prod
  using that p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-1
  unfolding one-adelic-int-def
  by auto

lemma p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq:
  (Abs-adelic-int x)op = (xop)
  if x ∈ Oforall p for x :: 'a p-adic-prod
  using that by (simp add: p-depth-adelic-int-def Abs-adelic-int-inverse)

lemma adelic-int-depth: (xop) ≥ 0 for x :: 'a adelic-int
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.nonpos-global-depth-setD p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq
  by (cases x) auto

end

```

```

lemma p-restrict-adelic-int-rep-eq:
  Rep-adelic-int (x prestrict P) = (Rep-adelic-int x) prestrict P
  for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int
  and P :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  using Rep-adelic-int global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-p-restrict
    Abs-adelic-int-inverse
  unfolding p-restrict-adelic-int-def
  by fastforce

lemma p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq:
  (Abs-adelic-int x) prestrict P = Abs-adelic-int (x prestrict P)
  if x  $\in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
  for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod and P :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  using that Abs-adelic-int-inverse' p-restrict-adelic-int-def by metis

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-rep-eq:
  Rep-adelic-int ( $\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a$  adelic-int) =  $\mathfrak{p} (\text{int} \circ f)$ 
  for f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  using global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0 Abs-adelic-int-inverse'
    global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def
  by metis

global-interpretation p-equality-adelic-int:
  p-equality-no-zero-divisors-1
  p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime  $\Rightarrow$ 
    'a adelic-int  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int  $\Rightarrow$  bool
proof

  fix p :: 'a prime

  show equivp (p-equal p :: 'a adelic-int  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
  using equivp-transfer p-equality-p-adic-prod.equivp unfolding p-equal-adelic-int-def
  by fast

  fix x y :: 'a adelic-int

  show ( $x \simeq_p y$ ) = ( $x - y \simeq_p 0$ )
  using p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0
  by (simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-def minus-adelic-int-rep-eq zero-adelic-int-rep-eq)

  show  $y \simeq_p 0 \implies x * y \simeq_p 0$ 
  using p-equality-p-adic-prod.mult-0-right
  by (simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-def times-adelic-int-rep-eq zero-adelic-int-rep-eq)

  have ( $1 :: 'a$  adelic-int)  $\neg\simeq_p 0$ 
  unfolding p-equal-adelic-int-def
  by (metis
    zero-adelic-int-rep-eq one-adelic-int-rep-eq)

```

```

      p-equality-p-adic-prod.one-p-nequal-zero
    )
thus  $\exists x::'a \text{ adelic-int. } x \neg\simeq_p 0$  by blast

assume  $x \neg\simeq_p 0$  and  $y \neg\simeq_p 0$ 
thus  $x * y \neg\simeq_p 0$ 
using p-equality-p-adic-prod.no-zero-divisors
by (simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-def times-adelic-int-rep-eq zero-adelic-int-rep-eq)

qed

overloading
globally-p-equal-adelic-int  $\equiv$ 
globally-p-equal ::  $'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom} \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
begin

definition globally-p-equal-adelic-int ::  $'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom} \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where globally-p-equal-adelic-int-def[simp]:
globally-p-equal-adelic-int = p-equality-adelic-int.globally-p-equal

end

global-interpretation global-p-depth-adelic-int:
global-p-equal-depth-no-zero-divisors-w-inj-index-consts
p-equal ::  $'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom} \text{ prime} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
p-restrict ::  $'a \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int}$ 
p-depth ::  $'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ 
 $\lambda f. \text{Abs-adelic-int} (\text{p-adic-prod-consts } f)$ 
Rep-prime
proof (unfold-locales, fold globally-p-equal-adelic-int-def)
fix  $p :: 'a \text{ prime}$ 
fix  $x y :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}$ 
show  $x \simeq_{\forall p} y \implies x = y$ 
by (
  cases x, cases y,
  use p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-imp-eq in fast-force
)
fix  $P :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
show  $P p \implies x \text{ prerestrict } P \simeq_p x$ 
by (

```

```

cases x,
metis global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-p-restrict
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv
)
show  $\neg P p \implies x \text{ prerestrict } P \simeq_p 0$ 
by (
  cases x,
  metis global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-p-restrict
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv0
)

show  $((0::'a adelic-int)^{\circ p}) = 0$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-of-0
by (simp add: p-depth-adelic-int-def zero-adelic-int-rep-eq)

show  $x \simeq_p y \implies (x^{\circ p}) = (y^{\circ p})$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv
by (simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-def p-depth-adelic-int-def)

show  $(- x^{\circ p}) = (x^{\circ p})$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-uminus
by (simp add: p-depth-adelic-int-def uminus-adelic-int-rep-eq)

show
   $x \neg\simeq_p 0 \implies$ 
   $(x^{\circ p}) < (y^{\circ p}) \implies$ 
   $((x + y)^{\circ p}) = (x^{\circ p})$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-pre-nonarch(1)
by (
  simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-def p-depth-adelic-int-def zero-adelic-int-rep-eq
  plus-adelic-int-rep-eq
)

show
  [
     $x \neg\simeq_p 0;$ 
     $(x + y) \neg\simeq_p 0;$ 
     $(x^{\circ p}) = (y^{\circ p})$ 
  ]  $\implies (x + y^{\circ p}) \geq (x^{\circ p})$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-pre-nonarch(2)
by (
  fastforce
  simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-def p-depth-adelic-int-def zero-adelic-int-rep-eq
  plus-adelic-int-rep-eq
)

show

```

```


$$(x * y) \neg\sim_p 0 \implies$$


$$(x * y^{\circ p}) = (x^{\circ p}) + (y^{\circ p})$$

using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-mult-additive
by (
  simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-def p-depth-adelic-int-def zero-adelic-int-rep-eq
            times-adelic-int-rep-eq
)
show Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-consts 1) = 1
by (metis global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.consts-1 one-adelic-int-def)

fix f g :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a

show
  Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-consts (f - g)) =
    Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-consts f) - Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-consts g)
by (
  metis global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.consts-diff
        global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-consts minus-adelic-int-abs-eq
)
show
  Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-consts (f * g)) =
    Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-consts f) * Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-consts g)
by (
  metis global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.consts-mult
        global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-consts times-adelic-int-abs-eq
)
show (Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-consts f) \sim_p 0) = (f p = 0)
by (
  metis global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-consts
        p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-equal-func-of-consts-0
)
show (Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-consts f)^{\circ p}) = int (pmultiplicity p (f p))
by (
  metis global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-consts
        p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-depth-func-of-consts
)
qed
(
  metis Rep-prime-inject injI, rule Rep-prime-n0, rule Rep-prime-not-unit,
  rule multiplicity-distinct-primes
)
lemma p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq:
  ((Abs-adelic-int x - Abs-adelic-int y)^{\circ p}) = ((x - y)^{\circ p})

```

```

if  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
for  $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime$  and  $x y :: 'a p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}$ 
using that global-depth-set-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}\text{-def minus}\text{-adelic}\text{-int}\text{-abs}\text{-eq} p\text{-depth}\text{-adelic}\text{-int}\text{-abs}\text{-eq}
      global-p\text{-depth}\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}}.global-depth-set-minus
by metis

lemma  $p\text{-depth}\text{-adelic}\text{-int}\text{-diff}\text{-abs}\text{-eq}'$ :
 $((Abs\text{-adelic}\text{-int} (abs\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}} X) - Abs\text{-adelic}\text{-int} (abs\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}} Y))^{\circ p}) =$ 
 $((X - Y)^{\circ p})$ 
if  $X: X \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$  and  $Y: Y \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
for  $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime$  and  $X Y :: 'a fls\text{-pseq}$ 
proof-
have  $abs\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}} X \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
by (
  simp, intro global-p\text{-depth}\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}}.global-depth-setI,
  metis X p\text{-equal}\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}}.abs\text{-eq0} p\text{-equality}\text{-depth}\text{-fls}\text{-pseq}.global-depth-setD
    global-depth-set-fls\text{-pseq}\text{-def} p\text{-depth}\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}}.abs\text{-eq}
)
moreover have  $abs\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}} Y \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
by (
  simp, intro global-p\text{-depth}\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}}.global-depth-setI,
  metis Y p\text{-equal}\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}}.abs\text{-eq0} p\text{-equality}\text{-depth}\text{-fls}\text{-pseq}.global-depth-setD
    global-depth-set-fls\text{-pseq}\text{-def} p\text{-depth}\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}}.abs\text{-eq}
)
ultimately show ?thesis by (metis p\text{-depth}\text{-adelic}\text{-int}\text{-diff}\text{-abs}\text{-eq} p\text{-depth}\text{-p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}}.diff\text{-abs}\text{-eq})
qed

context
fixes  $p :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime$ 
begin

lemma adelic-int-diff-depth-gt-equiv-trans:
 $((a - c)^{\circ p}) > n$ 
if  $a \simeq_p b$  and  $b \not\simeq_p c$  and  $((b - c)^{\circ p}) > n$ 
for  $a b c :: 'a adelic\text{-int}$ 
by (
  cases a, cases b, cases c,
  metis that p\text{-depth}\text{-adelic}\text{-int}\text{-diff}\text{-abs}\text{-eq} p\text{-equal}\text{-adelic}\text{-int}\text{-abs}\text{-eq}
    p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}\text{-diff}\text{-depth}\text{-gt}\text{-equiv}\text{-trans}
)
)

lemma adelic-int-diff-depth-gt0-equiv-trans:
 $((a - c)^{\circ p}) > n$ 
if  $n \geq 0$  and  $a \simeq_p b$  and  $((b - c)^{\circ p}) > n$ 
for  $a b c :: 'a adelic\text{-int}$ 
using that p\text{-equality}\text{-adelic}\text{-int}.conv-0 adelic-int-diff-depth-gt-equiv-trans
      global-p\text{-depth}\text{-adelic}\text{-int}.depth-equiv-0[of p b - c]
by fastforce

```

```

lemma adelic-int-diff-depth-gt-trans:
   $((a - c)^{op}) > n$ 
  if  $a \not\simeq_p b$  and  $((a - b)^{op}) > n$ 
  and  $b \not\simeq_p c$  and  $((b - c)^{op}) > n$ 
  and  $a \not\simeq_p c$ 
  for  $a b c :: 'a adelic-int$ 
  by (
    cases a, cases b, cases c,
    metis that p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq
    p-adic-prod-diff-depth-gt-trans
  )
)

lemma adelic-int-diff-depth-gt0-trans:
   $((a - c)^{op}) > n$ 
  if  $n \geq 0$ 
  and  $((a - b)^{op}) > n$ 
  and  $((b - c)^{op}) > n$ 
  and  $a \not\simeq_p c$ 
  for  $a b c :: 'a adelic-int$ 
  using that adelic-int-diff-depth-gt-trans
    p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0[of p a b] p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0[of p b c]
    global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-equiv-0[of p a - b]
    global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-equiv-0[of p b - c]
  by auto

lemma adelic-int-prestrict-zero-depth:
   $1 \leq ((x \text{ prestrict } (\lambda p :: 'a prime. (x^{op}) = 0) - x)^{op})$ 
  if  $x \text{ prestrict } (\lambda p :: 'a prime. (x^{op}) = 0) \not\simeq_p x$ 
  for  $x :: 'a adelic-int$ 
  using that adelic-int-depth[of p x] global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-equiv[of p]
    global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-equiv[of \lambda p. (x^{op}) = 0]
    global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-equiv0[of \lambda p. (x^{op}) = 0 p x]
    p-equality-adelic-int.minus-right[of p - x] global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-uminus[of
    p x]
  by force

end

lemma adelic-int-normalize-depth:
   $(x * \mathfrak{p} (\lambda p :: 'a prime. -(x^{op}))) \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
  for  $x :: 'a :: \text{nontrivial-factorial-idom}$  p-adic-prod
  using p-adic-prod-normalize-depth[of - x] by (fastforce intro: p-adic-prod-global-depth-setI')

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows0-adelic-int:
   $(\mathfrak{p} (0 :: 'a :: \text{nontrivial-factorial-idom} \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) :: 'a adelic-int) = 1$ 
proof-
  have int o (0 :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  nat) = (0 :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  int) by auto
  thus ?thesis
  using global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod0

```

```

unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def one-adelic-int-def
  by      metis
qed

context
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
begin

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int:
  ( $\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}$ ) $^{op} = \text{int } (f p)$  for  $f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  using   global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0 p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq[of
  - p]
    global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod[of p]
  unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def
  by      fastforce

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-pequiv-iff:
   $((\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}) \simeq_p (\mathfrak{p} g)) = (f p = g p)$ 
  for  $f g :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
proof-
  have  $((\text{int } \circ f) p = (\text{int } \circ g) p) = (f p = g p)$  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
  using   global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0 p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq
    global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-pequiv-iff
  unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def
  by      blast
qed

end

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-prod-adelic-int:
   $(\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}) * (\mathfrak{p} g) = \mathfrak{p} (f + g)$ 
  for  $f g :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
proof-
  have  $(\text{int } \circ f) + (\text{int } \circ g) = \text{int } \circ (f + g)$  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
  using   global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0 times-adelic-int-abs-eq
    global-unfrmzr-pows-prod-p-adic-prod
  unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def
  by      metis
qed

context
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
begin

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-nzero:
   $(\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}) \neg\simeq_p 0$  for  $f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  using   global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0 p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0

```

```

global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-nzero
unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def
by      blast

lemma prod-w-global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int:
  ( $x * p f$ ) $^{\circ p}$  =  $(x^{\circ p}) + \text{int}(f p)$ 
  if  $x \sim_p 0$  for  $f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  and  $x :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}$ 
proof (cases x)
  case (Abs-adelic-int a)
  moreover have  $(\lambda x. \text{int}(f x)) = \text{int} \circ f$  by auto
  moreover from that Abs-adelic-int have  $a \sim_p 0$ 
    using p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0[of a p] by blast
  ultimately have
     $((x * p f)^{\circ p}) =$ 
     $(a^{\circ p}) + \text{int}(f p)$ 
  using that times-adelic-int-abs-eq global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0
    p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-times[of 0 - p (int o f)]
    prod-w-global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod[of p a f]
  unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def
  by      fastforce
  with Abs-adelic-int show ?thesis using p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq by fastforce
qed

lemma trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int:
   $(p f :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}) \sim_p 1$  if  $f p = 0$  for  $f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  using that p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq1 global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0
    trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod[of - p]
  unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def
  by      force

lemma prod-w-trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int:
   $x * p f \sim_p x$  if  $f p = 0$ 
  for  $f :: 'a \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  and  $x :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}$ 
  using that p-equality-adelic-int.mult-one-right trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int
  by blast

end

lemma pow-global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int:
   $(p f :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}) \wedge n = (p ((\lambda p. n) * f))$ 
  for  $f :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom} \text{ prime} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
proof-
  have  $(\lambda p. \text{int } n * (\text{int} \circ f) p) = \text{int} \circ ((\lambda p. n) * f)$  by auto
  thus ?thesis
  using global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0 pow-adelic-int-abs-eq
    global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-pow
  unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def
  by      metis

```

qed

abbreviation *adelic-int-consts* \equiv *global-p-depth-adelic-int.consts*
abbreviation *adelic-int-const* \equiv *global-p-depth-adelic-int.const*

lemma *adelic-int-p-depth-consts*:
 (*adelic-int-consts* *f*)^{op} = ((*f p*)^{op})
 for *p* :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime **and** *f* :: 'a prime \Rightarrow 'a
 using *global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def* *global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-consts*
 p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq *p-depth-p-adic-prod-consts*
 by *metis*

lemmas *adelic-int-p-depth-const* = *adelic-int-p-depth-consts*[*of* - $\lambda p.$ -]

lemma *adelic-int-global-unfrmzr*:
 (*p* (1 :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime \Rightarrow nat) :: 'a *adelic-int*)
 = *adelic-int-consts Rep-prime*

proof –
 define *natfun-1* :: 'a prime \Rightarrow nat **and** *intfun-1* :: 'a prime \Rightarrow int
 where *natfun-1* \equiv 1 **and** *intfun-1* \equiv 1
 moreover from *this have* *int* \circ *natfun-1* = *intfun-1* **by auto**
 ultimately show ?thesis
 using *p-adic-prod-global-unfrmzr unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def*
 by *metis*
qed

lemma *adelic-int-normalize-depthE*:
 fixes *x* :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom *adelic-int*
 obtains *x-0*
 where $\forall p::'a \text{ prime}. x-0^{op} = 0$
 and *x* = *x-0* * *p* ($\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. \text{nat}(x^{op})$)
 proof (*cases* *x*)
 define *f* :: 'a prime \Rightarrow nat
 where *f* \equiv $\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. \text{nat}(x^{op})$
 case (*Abs-adelic-int a*)
 obtain *a-0* **where** *a-0*:
 $\forall p::'a \text{ prime}. a-0^{op} = 0$
 a = *a-0* * *p* ($\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. (a^{op})$)
 by (*elim p-adic-prod-normalize-depthE*)
 from *a-0(1)* **have** *a-0-int*: *a-0* $\in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
 using *p-adic-prod-global-depth-setI*'[*of 0 a-0*] **by auto**
 moreover from *f-def Abs-adelic-int*
 have ($\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. (a^{op})$) = *int* \circ *f*
 using *p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq adelic-int-depth*
 by *fastforce*
 ultimately have *x* = *Abs-adelic-int a-0* * *p f*
 using *f-def Abs-adelic-int(1) a-0(2) times-adelic-int-abs-eq*
 global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set[*of 0 int* \circ *f*]
 global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def[*of f*]

```

    by fastforce
  moreover from a-0(1) have  $\forall p::'a \text{ prime}. (\text{Abs-adelic-int } (a-0))^{\circ p} = 0$ 
    using a-0-int p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq by metis
    ultimately show thesis using that f-def by presburger
qed

```

5.8.4 Inverses

We can create inverses at depth-zero places.

```

instantiation adelic-int :: 
  (nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout) {divide-trivial, inverse}
begin

definition inverse-adelic-int :: 
  'a adelic-int  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int
  where
    inverse-adelic-int x =
      Abs-adelic-int (
        (inverse (Rep-adelic-int x)) prerestrict ( $\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. x^{\circ p} = 0$ )
      )

definition divide-adelic-int :: 
  'a adelic-int  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int
  where divide-adelic-int-def[simp]: divide-adelic-int x y = x * inverse y

instance
proof
  fix x :: 'a adelic-int
  have  $(\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. (0::'a adelic-int)^{\circ p} = 0) = (\lambda p. \text{True})$ 
    using global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-of-0 by fast
  hence  $x \text{ div } 0 = x * \text{Abs-adelic-int } (0 \text{ prerestrict } (\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. \text{True}))$ 
    using inverse-adelic-int-def[of 0]
    unfolding zero-adelic-int-rep-eq p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-0
    by simp
  thus  $x \text{ div } 0 = 0$ 
    by (metis global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-true mult-zero-right zero-adelic-int-def)
  have  $(\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. (1::'a adelic-int)^{\circ p} = 0) = (\lambda p. \text{True})$ 
    using global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-depth-1 by fast
  hence  $x \text{ div } 1 = x * \text{Abs-adelic-int } (1 \text{ prerestrict } (\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. \text{True}))$ 
    using inverse-adelic-int-def[of 1]
    unfolding one-adelic-int-rep-eq p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-1
    by simp
  thus  $x \text{ div } 1 = x$ 
    by (metis global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-true mult-1-right one-adelic-int-def)
qed simp

end

lemma inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq:

```

```

inverse (Abs-adelic-int x) =
  Abs-adelic-int (inverse x prerestrict (λp::'a prime. x^op = 0))
if x ∈ Oforall p
for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout p-adic-prod
using that Abs-adelic-int-inverse[of x] p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq[of x]
unfolding inverse-adelic-int-def
by auto

lemma divide-adelic-int-abs-eq:
  Abs-adelic-int x / Abs-adelic-int y =
    Abs-adelic-int ((x / y) prerestrict (λp::'a prime. y^op = 0))
  if x ∈ Oforall p and y ∈ Oforall p
  for x y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout p-adic-prod
  using that divide-adelic-int-def inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def
    p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse times-adelic-int-abs-eq
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.times-p-restrict p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-inverse
  by metis

lemma p-depth-adelic-int-inverse-diff-abs-eq:
  ((inverse (Abs-adelic-int x) - inverse (Abs-adelic-int y))^op) =
    ((inverse x - inverse y)^op)
  if x ∈ Oforall p x^op = 0
  and y ∈ Oforall p y^op = 0
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime and x y :: 'a p-adic-prod
  using that inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse
    p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq[of
      inverse x prerestrict (λp::'a prime. (x^op) = 0)
    ]
    global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-diff-equiv[of
  p]
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv[of - p]
  by fastforce

context
  fixes x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int
begin

lemma inverse-adelic-int-rep-eq:
  Rep-adelic-int (inverse x) =
    (inverse (Rep-adelic-int x)) prerestrict (λp::'a prime. x^op = 0)
  using Rep-adelic-int p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse Abs-adelic-int-inverse
  unfolding inverse-adelic-int-def
  by (auto simp add: p-depth-adelic-int-def)

lemma adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff:
  inverse x ≈p 0 ↔
    (x ≈p 0 ∨ (x^op) ≠ 0)
  for p :: 'a prime
  proof (cases x)

```

```

case (Abs-adelic-int a)
thus ?thesis
using inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a] p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse[of a]
    p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0[of - p]
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv0[of - p inverse a]
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv[of - p inverse a]
    p-equality-p-adic-prod.trans0-iff[of p - inverse a]
    p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-equiv0-iff[of p] p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a]
by auto
qed

lemma adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff':
inverse x ≈p 0  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(x \approx_p 0 \vee (x^{op}) \geq 1)$ 
for p :: 'a prime
using adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff adelic-int-depth[of p x] by force
end

lemma adelic-int-inverse-eq0-iff:
inverse x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall p::'a prime. x^{op} = 0 \longrightarrow x \approx_p 0)$ 
for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int
proof (standard, clarify)
  fix p :: 'a prime assume inverse x = 0 x^{op} = 0 thus x ≈p 0
  using adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff by fastforce
next
  assume x: ∀ p::'a prime. x^{op} = 0  $\longrightarrow$  x ≈p 0
  show inverse x = 0
  proof (intro global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-imp-eq, standard)
    fix p :: 'a prime
    from x show inverse x ≈p 0
    using adelic-int-depth[of p x] adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff
    by (cases x^{op} = 0, fast, fastforce)
  qed
qed

lemma divide-adelic-int-rep-eq:
Rep-adelic-int (x / y) =
 $(Rep-adelic-int x / Rep-adelic-int y) \text{ prerestrict } (\lambda p::'a prime. y^{op} = 0)$ 
for x y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int
using divide-adelic-int-def times-adelic-int-rep-eq inverse-adelic-int-rep-eq
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.times-p-restrict p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-inverse
by metis

lemma adelic-int-divide-by-pequiv0:
x / y ≈p 0 if y ≈p 0
for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime and x y :: 'a adelic-int

```

using that *divide-adelic-int-def adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff p-equality-adelic-int.mult-0-right*
by *metis*

lemma *adelic-int-divide-by-pos-depth*:

x / y ≈_p 0 if (y^{op}) > 0

for *p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime* **and** *x y :: 'a adelic-int*
using that *adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff p-equality-adelic-int.mult-0-right[of p]* **by**
force

lemma *adelic-int-inverse0[simp]*:

inverse (0::'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int) = 0
using *adelic-int-inverse-eq0-iff* **by** *force*

lemma *adelic-int-inverse1[simp]*:

inverse (1::'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int) = 1

proof –

have *(λp::'a prime. (1::'a p-adic-prod)^{op} = 0) = (λp. True)*

by *simp*

thus *?thesis*

using *global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def one-adelic-int-def inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq*
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-1 p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-1
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-true

by *metis*

qed

lemma *adelic-int-inverse-mult*:

*inverse (x * y) = inverse x * inverse y*

for *x y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int*

proof (

intro global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-imp-eq, standard, cases x y rule: two-Abs-adelic-int-cases
)

case (*Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int a b*)

fix *p :: 'a prime*

define *P :: 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ 'a prime ⇒ bool*

where *P ≡ (λz p. z^{op} = 0)*

define *iab iab' ia ib*

where *iab ≡ inverse (a * b) prerestrict (P (a * b))*

and *iab' ≡ inverse (a * b) prerestrict (λp. P a p ∧ P b p)*

and *ia ≡ inverse a prerestrict (P a)*

and *ib ≡ inverse b prerestrict (P b)*

from *P-def Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int(2,4) have*

*P (a * b) p ⇒ inverse (a * b) ≈_p 0 ⇒*

P a p ∧ P b p

using *p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-equiv0-iff global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-mult-additive*

global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.nonpos-global-depth-setD[of 0 a p]

global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.nonpos-global-depth-setD[of 0 b p]

global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def

by *force*

moreover from *P-def* **have**

```

 $\neg P(a * b) p \implies P a p \implies P b p \implies$ 
 $inverse(a * b) \simeq_p 0$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-mult-additive p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-equiv0-iff
by force
ultimately have iab  $\simeq_p$  iab'
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-p-equal-p-restrictI[of - p]
unfolding iab-def iab'-def
by force
moreover from iab'-def ia-def ib-def have iab'  $\simeq_p$  ia * ib
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-times-equiv[of p - P a - P b]
p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-mult p-equality-p-adic-prod.sym by metis
ultimately have iab  $\simeq_p$  ia * ib using p-equality-p-adic-prod.trans by metis
with Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int P-def iab-def ia-def ib-def show
 $inverse(x * y) \simeq_p$ 
 $(inverse x * inverse y)$ 
using global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-times-times-adelic-int-abs-eq
p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq[of
 $inverse(a * b) prestrict(P(a * b))$ 
 $(inverse a prestrict(P a)) * (inverse b prestrict(P b))$ 
]
inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a] inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of b]
inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a * b]
by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma adelic-int-inverse-pcong:
 $(inverse x) \simeq_p (inverse y)$  if  $x \simeq_p y$ 
for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime and x y :: 'a adelic-int
proof (cases x y rule: two-Abs-adelic-int-cases)
case (Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int a b)
with that have a  $\simeq_p$  b using p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq by blast
moreover define d :: 'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where d  $\equiv \lambda z p. z^{op} = 0$ 
ultimately have (inverse a prestrict (d a))  $\simeq_p$  (inverse b prestrict (d b))
by (
auto
intro : global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-p-equal-p-restrictI
simp add: p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-pcong global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv
)
with Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int d-def show ?thesis
using inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a] inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of b] p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq
p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse[of a]
p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse[of b]
by auto
qed

```

```

context
fixes x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int

```

```

begin

lemma adelic-int-inverse-uminus: inverse (-x) = - inverse x
proof (cases x)
  case (Abs-adelic-int a) thus ?thesis
    using global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-uminus[of a]
      uminus-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a] p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-uminus[of a]
      uminus-adelic-int-abs-eq[of inverse a prestrict -]
      p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse[of a]
      inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of -a] inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a]
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-uminus[of inverse a]
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-uminus[of - a]
    by fastforce
qed

lemma adelic-int-inverse-inverse:
  inverse (inverse x) = x prestrict (λp::'a prime. x^op = 0)
proof (cases x)
  case (Abs-adelic-int a)
  moreover define P where P ≡ (λp::'a prime. a^op = 0)
  moreover from this
    have (λp::'a prime. P p ∧ (inverse a prestrict P)^op = 0) = P
    using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-depth[of P]
      p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-depth[of - a]
    by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse[of a]
      p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-inverse[of a]
      inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of inverse a prestrict P] p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq
      p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-p-restrict[of inverse a P] p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-restrict[of a P]
    by fastforce
qed

lemma adelic-int-inverse-pow: inverse (x ^ n) = (inverse x) ^ n
by (induct n, simp-all add: adelic-int-inverse-mult)

lemma adelic-int-divide-self':
  x / x ≈p 1 if x ≈p 0 and x^op = 0
  for p :: 'a prime
proof (cases x)
  case (Abs-adelic-int a)
  define P :: 'a prime ⇒ bool
    where P ≡ (λp. (a^op) = 0)
  moreover from that Abs-adelic-int have a ≈p 0 a^op = 0
    using that p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0 p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq by (blast, fast-force)
  ultimately

```

```

have Abs-adelic-int (a / a prerestrict P)  $\simeq_p$  (Abs-adelic-int 1)
using Abs-adelic-int(2) global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv[of P p]
      p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-right-equiv[of p - a]
      p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-self-equiv[of p] p-equality-p-adic-prod.trans[of p - a / a 1]
      p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-p-restrict-right[of a a]
      p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-divide[of a 0]
      p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-1
by fastforce
with Abs-adelic-int P-def show ?thesis using divide-adelic-int-abs-eq one-adelic-int-def
by metis
qed

lemma adelic-int-global-divide-self:
x / x = 1
if  $\forall p::'a \text{ prime}. x \neg\simeq_p 0$ 
and  $\forall p::'a \text{ prime}. x^{op} = 0$ 
using that global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-imp-eq adelic-int-divide-self' by fast-force

lemma adelic-int-divide-self:
x / x =
 $1 \text{ prerestrict } (\lambda p::'a \text{ prime}. x \neg\simeq_p 0 \wedge x^{op} = 0)$ 
proof (intro global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-imp-eq standard)
define P :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool'
where P  $\equiv$   $(\lambda p. x \neg\simeq_p 0 \wedge x^{op} = 0)$ 
fix p :: 'a prime show x / x  $\simeq_p 1$  prerestrict P
proof (cases P p)
case True with P-def show ?thesis
using p-equality-adelic-int.trans-left adelic-int-divide-self[of p]
global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-equiv[of - p]
by force
next
case False
moreover from this P-def have x / x  $\simeq_p 0$ 
using divide-adelic-int-def adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff
p-equality-adelic-int.mult-0-right
by metis
ultimately show ?thesis
using global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-equiv0 p-equality-adelic-int.trans-left
by metis
qed
qed

lemma adelic-int-p-restrict-inverse:
(inverse x) prerestrict P = inverse (x prerestrict P) for P :: 'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool'
proof (cases x)
case (Abs-adelic-int a)
moreover have

```

```

 $(\lambda p::'a prime. a^{op} = 0 \wedge P p) =$ 
 $(\lambda p::'a prime. P p \wedge (a \text{ prestrict } P)^{op} = 0)$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv
by metis
ultimately show ?thesis
using inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a] inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a prestrict P]
  p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse[of a] p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq[of
- P]
  p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-p-restrict[of a]
  global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-restrict[of inverse a]
  global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-p-restrict[of a 0 P]
by force
qed

lemma adelic-int-inverse-depth: (inverse x)op = 0 for p :: 'a prime
proof (cases x)
  case (Abs-adelic-int X)
  hence
    (inverse x)op =
    ((inverse X prestrict ( $\lambda p::'a prime. X^{op} = 0$ ))op)
  using inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-inverse
    p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv[of - p inverse X]
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv[of p - inverse X]
    p-adic-prod-div-inv.inverse-depth[of p X] global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv-0[of
p]
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv0[of - p inverse X]
  by (cases Xop = 0, presburger, presburger)
qed

end

lemma adelic-int-consts-divide-self:
  (adelic-int-consts f) / (adelic-int-consts f) =
  1 prestrict ( $\lambda p::'a prime. f p \neq 0 \wedge (f p)^{op} = 0$ )
  for f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  using adelic-int-divide-self[of adelic-int-consts f] adelic-int-p-depth-consts[of - f]
    global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-equal-func-of-consts-0[of - f]
  by presburger

lemma adelic-int-const-divide-self:
  (adelic-int-const c) / (adelic-int-const c) =
  1 prestrict ( $\lambda p::'a prime. c^{op} = 0$ )
  if c  $\neq$  0 for c :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout
  using that by (simp del: divide-adelic-int-def add: adelic-int-consts-divide-self)

lemma adelic-int-consts-divide-self':

```

```

(adelic-int-consts f) / (adelic-int-consts f)  $\simeq_p 1$ 
if  $f \neq 0$  and  $(f p)^{\circ p} = 0$ 
for  $f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime \Rightarrow 'a$ 
using that adelic-int-consts-divide-self[of f] global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-equiv[of
- p]
by presburger

lemma adelic-int-divide-depth:
 $(x / y)^{\circ p} = (x^{\circ p})$  if  $x / y \not\simeq_p 0$ 
for  $x y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int$  and  $p :: 'a$ 
prime
proof (cases x y rule: two-Abs-adelic-int-cases)
case (Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int a b)
from that Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int(3,4) have db:  $b^{\circ p} = 0$ 
using p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq adelic-int-depth[of p y] adelic-int-divide-by-pos-depth[of
p y]
by fastforce
moreover have  $a / b \not\simeq_p 0$ 
proof
assume  $a / b \simeq_p 0$  with that Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int db show False
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv[of - p a / b]
p-equality-p-adic-prod.trans[of p - a / b 0]
p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-divide[of a 0 b]
p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0 divide-adelic-int-abs-eq[of a b]
by force
qed
ultimately show  $(x / y)^{\circ p} = (x^{\circ p})$ 
using Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int divide-adelic-int-abs-eq
p-adic-prod-div-inv.global-depth-set-divide[of - 0] p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq[of
- p]
p-adic-prod-div-inv.divide-depth[of p a b]
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv[of p - a / b]
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv[of - p a / b]
by fastforce
qed

lemma global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-inverse:
inverse ( $\mathfrak{p} f :: 'a adelic-int$ ) = 1 restrict ( $\lambda p :: 'a prime. f p = 0$ )
for  $f :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime \Rightarrow nat$ 
proof (intro global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-imp-eq, standard)
fix  $p :: 'a prime$ 
define  $P :: 'a prime \Rightarrow bool$  where  $P \equiv (\lambda p. f p = 0)$ 
define  $\mathfrak{pnif} :: 'a p-adic-prod$  and  $\mathfrak{pf} :: 'a adelic-int$ 
where  $\mathfrak{pnif} \equiv \mathfrak{p} (- (int \circ f))$  and  $\mathfrak{pf} \equiv \mathfrak{p} f$ 
from P-def  $\mathfrak{pnif}$ -def  $\mathfrak{pf}$ -def have inverse  $\mathfrak{pf} = Abs-adelic-int (\mathfrak{pnif} \text{ prestrict } P)$ 
using global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-0[of f]
inverse-adelic-int-abs-eq[of  $\mathfrak{p} (int \circ f)$ ]
global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod[of - int  $\circ f$ ]
global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-inverse[of int  $\circ f$ ]

```

```

unfolding global-unfrmzr-pows-adelic-int-def
by fastforce
moreover from P-def pnif-def have pnif prestrict P  $\simeq_p 1$  prestrict P
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-p-equal-p-restrict-by-sameI[of P p]
    trivial-global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod[of - p]
by force
moreover have pnif prestrict P  $\in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
proof (intro p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-p-restrictI)
  fix p :: 'a prime assume P p
  moreover from pnif-def have pnif  $\in p\text{-depth-set } p (- (\text{int} \circ f) p)$ 
    using global-unfrmzr-pows-p-adic-prod-depth-set[of - (int  $\circ f)]$  by simp
    ultimately show pnif  $\in \mathcal{O}_{@p}$  using P-def by fastforce
  qed
  ultimately show (inverse pf)  $\simeq_p (1 \text{ prestrict } P)$ 
  using one-adelic-int-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-1
    p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-p-restrict
  by metis
qed

lemma adelic-int-diff-cancel-lead-coeff:
((inverse x - inverse y) $^{\circ p}$ )  $> 0$ 
if x : x  $\neg\simeq_p 0$  x $^{\circ p} = 0$ 
and y : y  $\neg\simeq_p 0$  y $^{\circ p} = 0$ 
and xy: x  $\neg\simeq_p y$  ((x - y) $^{\circ p}$ )  $> 0$ 
for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime
and x y :: 'a adelic-int
proof (cases x y rule: two-Abs-adelic-int-cases)
define P :: 'a p-adic-prod  $\Rightarrow$  'a prime  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where P  $\equiv \lambda a p. a^{\circ p} = 0$ 
case (Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int a b)
moreover from this x(1) y(1) xy(1)
  have a  $\neg\simeq_p 0$ 
  and b  $\neg\simeq_p 0$ 
  and a  $\neg\simeq_p b$ 
  using p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0 p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq
  by (fast, fast, fast)
moreover from Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int x(2) y(2) xy(2)
  have a $^{\circ p} = 0$ 
  and b $^{\circ p} = 0$ 
  and ((a - b) $^{\circ p}$ )  $> 0$ 
  by (
    metis p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq, metis p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq,
    metis p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq
  )
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using p-depth-adelic-int-inverse-diff-abs-eq p-adic-prod-diff-cancel-lead-coeff by
  fastforce
qed

```

5.8.5 The ideal of primes

overloading

```

 $p\text{-depth-set-adelic-int} \equiv$ 
 $p\text{-depth-set} ::$ 
 $'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow 'a adelic-int set$ 
 $global\text{-depth-set-adelic-int} \equiv$ 
 $global\text{-depth-set} :: int \Rightarrow 'a adelic-int set$ 
begin
```

```

definition  $p\text{-depth-set-adelic-int} ::$ 
 $'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow 'a adelic-int set$ 
where  $p\text{-depth-set-adelic-int-def[simp]}:$ 
 $p\text{-depth-set-adelic-int} = global\text{-}p\text{-depth-adelic-int}.p\text{-depth-set}$ 
```

```

definition  $global\text{-depth-set-adelic-int} ::$ 
 $int \Rightarrow 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int set$ 
where  $global\text{-depth-set-adelic-int-def[simp]}:$ 
 $global\text{-depth-set-adelic-int} = global\text{-}p\text{-depth-adelic-int}.global\text{-depth-set}$ 
```

end

context

```

fixes  $x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int$ 
begin
```

```

lemma  $adelic\text{-int-global-depth-setI}:$ 
 $x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
if  $\bigwedge p :: 'a \text{ prime. } x \negsim_p 0 \implies (x^{op}) \geq n$ 
using that  $global\text{-}p\text{-depth-adelic-int}.global\text{-depth-setI}$  by auto
```

```

lemma  $adelic\text{-int-global-depth-setI}':$ 
 $x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
if  $\bigwedge p :: 'a \text{ prime. } (x^{op}) \geq n$ 
using that  $global\text{-}p\text{-depth-adelic-int}.global\text{-depth-setI}'$  by auto
```

```

lemma  $adelic\text{-int-global-depth-set-p-restrictI}:$ 
 $p\text{-restrict } x P \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
if  $\bigwedge p :: 'a \text{ prime. } P p \implies x \in \mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ 
using that  $global\text{-}p\text{-depth-adelic-int}.global\text{-depth-set-p-restrictI}$  by auto
```

end

context

```

fixes  $p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime$ 
begin
```

```

lemma  $adelic\text{-int-p-depth-setI}:$ 
 $x \in \mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ 
if  $x \negsim_p 0 \longrightarrow (x^{op}) \geq n$ 
```

```

for  $x :: 'a adelic-int$ 
using that global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-depth-setI by auto

lemma nonpos-p-depth-set-adelic-int:
 $(\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n) = (\text{UNIV} :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int set)$ 
if  $n \leq 0$ 
proof safe
fix  $x :: 'a adelic-int$  show  $x \in (\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n)$ 
proof (intro adelic-int-p-depth-setI, clarify)
  from that show  $n \leq (x^o)$ 
  using adelic-int-depth[of p x] by fastforce
qed
qed simp

lemma p-depth-set-adelic-int-eq-projection:
 $((\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n) :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int set) =$ 
  Abs-adelic-int ‘ $((\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$ 
proof safe
fix  $x :: 'a adelic-int$  assume  $x \in \mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ 
thus
   $x \in$ 
  Abs-adelic-int ‘ $((\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$ 
using p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0 global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-depth-setD
  p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq p-adic-prod-p-depth-setI
  by (cases x) fastforce
next
fix  $a :: 'a p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}$ 
assume  $a \in \mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$   $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
thus Abs-adelic-int  $a \in \mathcal{P}_{@p}^n$ 
  using p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-depth-setD
  p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq
  by (fastforce intro: adelic-int-p-depth-setI)
qed

lemma lift-p-depth-set-adelic-int:
Rep-adelic-int ‘
 $((\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n) :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int set)$ 
 $= (\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
proof-
have
  Rep-adelic-int ‘ Abs-adelic-int ‘
   $((\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$ 
 $= (\mathcal{P}_{@p}^n) \cap \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
using Abs-adelic-int-inverse by force
thus ?thesis using p-depth-set-adelic-int-eq-projection by metis
qed

end

```

```

lemma nonpos-global-depth-set-adelic-int:
  ( $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ ) = (UNIV :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int set)
  if  $n \leq 0$ 
    using that nonpos-p-depth-set-adelic-int global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-set
  by fastforce

lemma nonneg-global-depth-set-adelic-int-eq-projection:
  (( $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ ) :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int set) =
    Abs-adelic-int ' $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
  if  $n: n \geq 0$ 
  proof safe
    fix  $x :: 'a$  adelic-int assume  $x: x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
    show  $x \in$  Abs-adelic-int ' $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
    proof (cases  $x$ )
      case (Abs-adelic-int  $a$ )
        moreover from this  $x$  have  $a \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
        using p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0 global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-setD
          p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq
        by (fastforce intro: p-adic-prod-global-depth-setI)
        ultimately show ?thesis by fast
    qed
  next
    fix  $a :: 'a$  p-adic-prod assume  $a \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
    moreover from this  $n$  have  $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
    using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-antimono by auto
    ultimately show Abs-adelic-int  $a \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
    using n p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-setD
      p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq
    by (fastforce intro: adelic-int-global-depth-setI)
  qed

lemma lift-nonneg-global-depth-set-adelic-int:
  Rep-adelic-int ' $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$  :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int set)
  =  $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
  if  $n: n \geq 0$ 
  proof-
    from  $n$  have
      Rep-adelic-int ' $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$  :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int set)
      =  $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$ 
    using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-antimono Abs-adelic-int-inverse
    by force
    with  $n$  show ?thesis using nonneg-global-depth-set-adelic-int-eq-projection by
    metis
  qed

```

5.8.6 Topology p-open neighbourhoods

General properties of p-open sets

abbreviation *adelic-int-p-open-nbhd* \equiv *global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-open-nbhd*
abbreviation *adelic-int-p-open-nbhd*s \equiv *global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-open-nbhd*s
abbreviation *adelic-int-local-p-open-nbhd*s \equiv *global-p-depth-adelic-int.local-p-open-nbhd*s

lemma *adelic-int-nonpos-p-open-nbhd*:
adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n x = UNIV if n ≤ 0
for *p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime*
proof–
from *that have adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n x = adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n 0*
using *global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle[of 0 p n]*
adelic-int-depth[of p x]
global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre[of x 0 p n]
by *simp*
moreover have adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n 0 = UNIV
proof safe
fix *y :: 'a adelic-int from that show y ∈ adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n 0*
using *global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle[of 0 p n]* *adelic-int-depth[of p y]*
by *auto*
qed simp
ultimately show ?thesis by presburger
qed

lemma *adelic-int-p-open-nbhd-bound*:
adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n x = adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p (int (nat n)) x
using *adelic-int-nonpos-p-open-nbhd[of n x p]* *adelic-int-nonpos-p-open-nbhd[of 0 x p]* **by** *simp*

lemma *adelic-int-p-open-nbhd-abs-eq*:
adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n (Abs-adelic-int x) =
Abs-adelic-int ‘(p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n x ∩ O_{forall p})
if *x ∈ O_{forall p}*
for *p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime*
proof safe
fix *y assume y: y ∈ adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n (Abs-adelic-int x)*
show
y ∈ Abs-adelic-int ‘(p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n x ∩ O_{forall p})
proof (cases y)
case (*Abs-adelic-int z*)
with *that y have z ∈ p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n x*
using *global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq[of z x p]*
p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq[of z x p]
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle
by *fastforce*
with *Abs-adelic-int show ?thesis by blast*

```

qed
next
fix z assume z:  $z \in p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p n$   $x z \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
with that show  $\text{Abs-adelic-int } z \in \text{adelic-int-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p n$  ( $\text{Abs-adelic-int } x$ )
using global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq[of
z x p]
p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq[of z x p] global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle
by fastforce
qed

lemma adelic-int-p-open-nbhd-rep-eq:
Rep-adelic-int ` adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n x =
p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n (Rep-adelic-int x)  $\cap \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
proof (cases x)
case (Abs-adelic-int z)
hence
Rep-adelic-int ` adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p n x =
Rep-adelic-int ` Abs-adelic-int ` (p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n z  $\cap \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ )
using adelic-int-p-open-nbhd-abs-eq by metis
also have ... = p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n z  $\cap \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
using Abs-adelic-int-inverse by force
finally show ?thesis using Abs-adelic-int Abs-adelic-int-inverse by force
qed

lemma adelic-int-local-depth-ring-lift-cover:
fixes n :: int
and p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime
and A :: 'a adelic-int set set
defines
(A' :: 'a p-adic-prod set set) ≡
(λA.
(λx. x prerestrict ((=) p)) ` Rep-adelic-int ` A +
range (λx. x prerestrict ((≠) p))
) ` A
assumes nonneg: n ≥ 0
and cover:
(λx. x prerestrict ((=) p)) ` (P_{v p}^n) ⊆
∪ A
shows
(λx. x prerestrict ((=) p)) ` (P_{v p}^n) ⊆
∪ A'
proof clarify
fix x :: 'a p-adic-prod
assume x: x ∈ (P_{v p}^n)
with nonneg have x-O: x ∈ O_{v p}
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-antimono by auto
from x nonneg have res-x-P: x prerestrict ((=) p) ∈ (P_{v p}^n)

```

```

using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-closed-under-p-restrict-image
by fastforce
with nonneg have res-x-O: x prestrict ((=) p) ∈ ℬ_{v_p}
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-antimono by auto
from nonneg
have Abs-adelic-int (x prestrict ((=) p)) ∈ (P_{v_p}^n)
  using res-x-P nonneg-global-depth-set-adelic-int-eq-projection
  by fast
moreover have
  Abs-adelic-int (x prestrict ((=) p)) =
    (Abs-adelic-int (x prestrict ((=) p))) prestrict ((=) p)
  using res-x-O p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq by fastforce
ultimately obtain A where A: A ∈ ℬ Abs-adelic-int (x prestrict ((=) p)) ∈ A
  using cover by blast
from A(2) have x prestrict ((=) p) ∈ Rep-adelic-int ` A
  using res-x-O Abs-adelic-int-inverse by force
moreover have x prestrict ((=) p) = (x prestrict ((=) p)) prestrict ((=) p)
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-restrict' by simp
moreover have restr-0: (0 :: 'a p-adic-prod) = 0 prestrict ((≠) p)
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-zero by metis
ultimately have
  x prestrict ((=) p) + 0 prestrict ((≠) p) ∈
    (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ` Rep-adelic-int ` A +
    range (λx. x prestrict ((≠) p))
  by fast
with A(1) ℬ'-def show x prestrict ((=) p) ∈ ∪ ℬ' using restr-0 by auto
qed

lemma adelic-int-lift-local-p-open:
  fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime
  and A :: 'a adelic-int set
  defines
    ℬ' ≡
      (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ` Rep-adelic-int ` A +
      range (λx. x prestrict ((≠) p))
  assumes adelic-int-p-open: generate-topology (adelic-int-local-p-open-nbhds p) A
  shows generate-topology (p-adic-prod-local-p-open-nbhds p) ℬ'
  unfolding ℬ'-def set-plus-def
proof (rule iffD2, rule global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhds-open-subopen, clarify)
  define f f' :: 'a p-adic-prod ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod
    where f ≡ λx. x prestrict ((=) p)
    and f' ≡ λx. x prestrict ((≠) p)
  fix a b assume a: a ∈ A
  with adelic-int-p-open obtain n where n: adelic-int-p-open-nbhd p (int (nat n))
  a ⊆ A
  using global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-open-nbhds-open-subopen[of p A]
    adelic-int-p-open-nbhd-bound[of a p]
  by presburger

```

hence *:
 $p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}\text{-}p\text{-open}\text{-nbhd } p (\text{int } (\text{nat } n)) (\text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int } a)$
 $\cap \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
 $\subseteq \text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int} ' A$
using adelic-int-p-open-nbhd-rep-eq by blast
have
 $p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}\text{-}p\text{-open}\text{-nbhd } p (\text{int } (\text{nat } n)) (f (\text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int } a) + f' b) \subseteq$
 $f ' \text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int} ' A + \text{range } f'$
proof
fix y
assume $y \in p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}\text{-}p\text{-open}\text{-nbhd } p (\text{int } (\text{nat } n)) (f (\text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int } a) + f')$
 $b)$
with $f\text{-def } f'\text{-def have}$ $y: y \in p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}\text{-}p\text{-open}\text{-nbhd } p (\text{int } (\text{nat } n)) (\text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int } a)$
by (*simp add: global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-p-restrict-add-mixed-drop-right*)
have $f y: f y \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
unfolding $f\text{-def } \text{global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def}$
proof (
intro $\text{global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-p-restrictI}$
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-depth-setI},
clarify
 $)$
assume $y\text{-n0}: y \not\simeq_p 0$
show $(y^{\circ p}) \geq 0$
proof (cases $y \simeq_p (\text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int } a)$ **)**
case *True thus ?thesis*
using $\text{global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-equiv p-depth-adelic-int-def adelic-int-depth}$
by *metis*
next
case *y-a-nequiv: False*
hence *y-a-depth: $((y - \text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int } a)^{\circ p}) \geq \text{int } (\text{nat } n)$*
using $y \text{ global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle}$ **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
proof (cases $\text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int } a \simeq_p 0$ **)**
case *True thus ?thesis*
using *y-a-depth*
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-diff-equiv0-right[*of p Rep-adelic-int a y]*
by *linarith*
next
case *False*
from *y-n0 have*
 $(y^{\circ p}) < ((\text{Rep}\text{-adelic}\text{-int } a)^{\circ p}) \implies ?\text{thesis}$
using *y-a-depth*
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-pre-nonarch-diff-left[
of p y Rep-adelic-int a
 $]$
by *linarith*
moreover from *False have*

```

 $(y^{\circ p}) \geq ((Rep\text{-}adelic\text{-}int } a)^{\circ p}) \implies$ 
 $?thesis$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-pre-nonarch-diff-right[
    of p Rep\text{-}adelic\text{-}int a y
]
p-depth-adelic-int-def[of p a] adelic-int-depth[of p a]
by simp
ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
qed
qed
with y f-def have f y ∈ Rep\text{-}adelic\text{-}int ` A
using * global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre'[of y]
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre'[of f y]
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-p-restrict
by fast
moreover from f-def f'-def have y = f (f y) + f' y
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-restrict'
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-decomp
by auto
ultimately show y ∈ f ` Rep\text{-}adelic\text{-}int ` A + range f'
using set-plus-def by fast
qed
thus
 $\exists n. p\text{-adic\text{-}prod\text{-}p\text{-}open\text{-}nbhd } p n (f (Rep\text{-}adelic\text{-}int a) + f' b)$ 
 $\subseteq \{c. \exists a \in f ` Rep\text{-}adelic\text{-}int ` A. \exists b \in range f'. c = a + b\}$ 
using set-plus-def by blast
qed

```

Sequences

abbreviation

adelic-int-p-limseq-condition ≡ global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-limseq-condition

abbreviation

adelic-int-p-cauchy-condition ≡ global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-cauchy-condition

abbreviation adelic-int-p-limseq ≡ global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-limseq

abbreviation adelic-int-p-cauchy ≡ global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-cauchy

abbreviation adelic-int-p-open-nbhds-limseq ≡ global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-open-nbhds-LIMSEQ

context

```

fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
and X :: nat ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod
and Y :: nat ⇒ 'a adelic-int
defines Y ≡ λn. Abs-adelic-int (X n)
assumes range-X: range X ⊆ Oforall p

```

begin

lemma adelic-int-p-limseq-condition-abs-eq:

```

adelic-int-p-limseq-condition p Y (Abs-adelic-int x) =
p-adic-prod-p-limseq-condition p X x

```

```

if  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
proof (standard, standard)
fix  $n :: int$  and  $N :: nat$ 
from range-X have  $\forall n. X n \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$  by blast
with that Y-def have
adelic-int-p-limseq-condition p Y (Abs-adelic-int x) n N =
 $(\forall k \geq N. (X k) \sim_{\simeq_p} x \longrightarrow$ 
 $((X k - x)^{\circ p}) > n)$ 
using global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-limseq-condition-def p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq
p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq
by metis
thus
adelic-int-p-limseq-condition p Y (Abs-adelic-int x) n N =
p-adic-prod-p-limseq-condition p X n N
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq-condition-def by blast
qed

lemma adelic-int-p-limseq-abs-eq:
adelic-int-p-limseq p Y (Abs-adelic-int x) = p-adic-prod-p-limseq p X x
if  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
using that adelic-int-p-limseq-condition-abs-eq by auto

lemma adelic-int-p-cauchy-condition-abs-eq:
adelic-int-p-cauchy-condition p Y = p-adic-prod-p-cauchy-condition p X
proof (standard, standard)
fix  $n :: int$  and  $K :: nat$ 
from range-X have  $\forall n. X n \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$  by blast
moreover from this Y-def
have  $\forall n n'. ((Y n - Y n')^{\circ p}) = ((X n - X n')^{\circ p})$ 
using p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq
by blast
ultimately have
adelic-int-p-cauchy-condition p Y n K =
 $(\forall k1 \geq K. \forall k2 \geq K.$ 
 $(X k1) \sim_{\simeq_p} (X k2) \longrightarrow$ 
 $((X k1 - X k2)^{\circ p}) > n)$ 
using Y-def global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-cauchy-condition-def p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq
by metis
thus adelic-int-p-cauchy-condition p Y n K = p-adic-prod-p-cauchy-condition p
X n K
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-cauchy-condition-def by blast
qed

lemma adelic-int-p-cauchy-abs-eq: adelic-int-p-cauchy p Y = p-adic-prod-p-cauchy
p X
using adelic-int-p-cauchy-condition-abs-eq by simp

end

```

```

lemma adelic-int-p-open-nbhd-limseq-abs-eq:
  adelic-int-p-open-nbhd-limseq ( $\lambda n. \text{Abs-adelic-int } (X n)$ ) ( $\text{Abs-adelic-int } x$ ) =
    p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd-limseq X x
  if range  $X \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
  using that adelic-int-p-limseq-abs-eq
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.globally-limseq-iff-locally-limseq[of X x]
    global-p-depth-adelic-int.globally-limseq-iff-locally-limseq[of
       $\lambda n. \text{Abs-adelic-int } (X n)$   $\text{Abs-adelic-int } x$ 
    ]
  by blast

```

5.8.7 Topology via global depth

The metric

```

instantiation adelic-int :: (nontrivial-factorial-idom) metric-space
begin

definition dist = global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-dist
declare dist-adelic-int-def [simp]

definition uniformity = global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-uniformity
declare uniformity-adelic-int-def [simp]

definition open-adelic-int :: 'a adelic-int set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  open-adelic-int U =
    ( $\forall x \in U.$ 
     eventually ( $\lambda(x', y). x' = x \longrightarrow y \in U$ ) uniformity
    )

instance
  by (
    standard,
    simp-all add: global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-uniformity-def open-adelic-int-def
    global-p-depth-adelic-int.metric-space-by-bdd-depth.dist-triangle2
  )

end

abbreviation adelic-int-global-depth  $\equiv$  global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-depth

lemma adelic-int-bdd-global-depth-abs-eq:
  adelic-int-global-depth ( $\text{Abs-adelic-int } x$ ) = p-adic-prod-global-depth x
  if  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$  for  $x :: 'a :: \text{nontrivial-factorial-idom}$  p-adic-prod
  proof (cases  $x = 0$ )
    case True thus ?thesis
      using global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-depth-0
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-depth-0
      unfolding zero-adelic-int-def

```

```

by      force
next
  case False
    moreover from this that have Abs-adelic-int x ≠ 0
    using   Abs-adelic-int-inject global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-0
    unfolding zero-adelic-int-def
    by      auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using that Abs-adelic-int-inject global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-depthD
      p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq0 p-depth-adelic-int-abs-eq
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-depthD
      global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-eq-iff
    by      fastforce
qed

lemma dist-adelic-int-abs-eq:
  dist (Abs-adelic-int x) (Abs-adelic-int y) = dist x y
  if x ∈ Oforall p and y ∈ Oforall p
  for x y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod
proof (cases x = y)
  case True with that show ?thesis
    using Abs-adelic-int-inject global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-dist-eqD
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-dist-eqD
    by      auto
next
  case False with that show ?thesis
    using dist-adelic-int-def Abs-adelic-int-inject global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-distD
      minus-adelic-int-abs-eq global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-minus
      adelic-int-bdd-global-depth-abs-eq global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.bdd-global-distD dist-p-adic-prod-def
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-eq-iff global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-eq-iff
    by      metis
qed

lemma adelic-int-limseq-abs-eq:
  (λn. Abs-adelic-int (X n)) —→ Abs-adelic-int x
  ⇔ X —→ x
  if range X ⊆ Oforall p and x ∈ Oforall p
proof-
  from that have ∀ n. dist (Abs-adelic-int (X n)) (Abs-adelic-int x) = dist (X n)
  x
    using dist-adelic-int-abs-eq[of X - x] by blast
    thus ?thesis
    using tendsto-iff[of λn. Abs-adelic-int (X n) Abs-adelic-int x] tendsto-iff[of X
  x]
    by      presburger
qed

lemma adelic-int-bdd-metric-LIMSEQ:

```

$X \longrightarrow x = \text{global-}p\text{-depth-adelic-int.metric-space-by-bdd-depth.LIMSEQ } X x$
for $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int}$ **and** $x :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}$
unfolding $\text{tendsto-iff global-}p\text{-depth-adelic-int.metric-space-by-bdd-depth.tendsto-iff}$
 $\text{dist-adelic-int-def}$
by fast

lemma $\text{adelic-int-global-depth-set-lim-closed}:$
 $x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$
if $\text{lim} : X \longrightarrow x$
and $\text{depth: } \forall_F k \text{ in sequentially. } X k \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$
for $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int}$
and $x :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}$
proof (
 cases $n \leq 0$, use *nonpos-global-depth-set-adelic-int* **in** *blast*,
 cases $X x$ rule: *adelic-int-seq-cases*[case-product *Abs-adelic-int-cases*]
)
case *False* **case** (*Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int F a*)
with lim **have** $F \longrightarrow a$ **using** *adelic-int-limseq-abs-eq* **by** *blast*
moreover have
 $\forall_F k \text{ in sequentially. } F k \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$
proof-
from $\text{depth obtain K where } K: \forall k \geq K. X k \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$
using *eventually-sequentially* **by** *auto*
have $\forall k \geq K. F k \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$
proof *clarify*
 fix k **assume** $k \geq K$
 with *False Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int(1)* K **obtain** z
 where $z \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$
 and $\text{Abs-adelic-int}(F k) = \text{Abs-adelic-int} z$
 using *nonneg-global-depth-set-adelic-int-eq-projection*[of n]
 by *auto*
 with *False Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int(2)*
 show $F k \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$
 using *Abs-adelic-int-inject*[of $F k z$]
 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-antimono[of 0 n]
 by *auto*
 qed
 thus $?thesis$ **using** *eventually-sequentially* **by** *blast*
qed
ultimately have $a \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n$
using *p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-lim-closed* **by** *auto*
with *False Abs-adelic-int-Abs-adelic-int(3)* **show** $?thesis$
using *nonneg-global-depth-set-adelic-int-eq-projection*[of n] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $\text{adelic-int-metric-ball-multicentre}:$
 $\text{ball } y e = \text{ball } x e$ **if** $y \in \text{ball } x e$ **for** $x y :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int}$
using *that global-}p\text{-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-dist-ball-multicentre*
unfolding *ball-def dist-adelic-int-def*

```

by      blast

lemma adelic-int-metric-ball-at-0:
  ball (0::'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int) (inverse (2 ^ n)) = global-depth-set
  (int n)
  using   global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-dist-ball-at-0
  unfolding ball-def dist-adelic-int-def global-depth-set-adelic-int-def
  by      auto

lemma adelic-int-metric-ball-at-0-normalize:
  ball (0::'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int) e =
    global-depth-set ⌊ log 2 (inverse e)⌋
  if e > 0 and e ≤ 1
  using   that global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-dist-ball-at-0-normalize
  unfolding ball-def dist-adelic-int-def global-depth-set-adelic-int-def
  by      blast

lemma adelic-int-metric-ball-translate:
  ball x e = x +o ball 0 e for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int
  using   global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-dist-ball-translate
  unfolding ball-def dist-adelic-int-def
  by      blast

lemma adelic-int-metric-ball-UNIV:
  ball x e = UNIV if e ≥ 1 for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int
proof (cases e = 1)
  case False with that have e > 1 by linarith
  thus ?thesis
    using   that global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-dist-ball-UNIV
    unfolding ball-def dist-adelic-int-def
    by      blast
next
  case True
  have ball x e = x +o ball 0 e using adelic-int-metric-ball-translate by auto
  also from True have ... = x +o O_yp
  using adelic-int-metric-ball-at-0-normalize by force
  finally show ?thesis
  using global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-set-elt-set-plus nonpos-global-depth-set-adelic-int
  by      fastforce
qed

lemma adelic-int-left-translate-metric-continuous:
  continuous-on UNIV ((+) x) for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int
proof
  fix e :: real and z :: 'a adelic-int
  assume e: e > 0
  moreover have ∀ y. dist y z < e → dist (x + y) (x + z) ≤ e
  using global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-dist-left-translate-continuous[of
    - - e x

```

```

]
unfolding dist-p-adic-prod-def
by fastforce
ultimately show
 $\exists d > 0. \forall x' \in \text{UNIV}. dist x' z < d \longrightarrow dist(x + x') (x + z) \leq e$ 
by auto
qed

lemma adelic-int-right-translate-metric-continuous:
continuous-on UNIV ( $\lambda z. z + x$ ) for  $x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom$  adelic-int
proof-
have ( $\lambda z. z + x$ ) = (+) x by (auto simp add: add.commute)
thus ?thesis using adelic-int-left-translate-metric-continuous by metis
qed

lemma adelic-int-left-mult-bdd-metric-continuous:
continuous-on UNIV ((*) x)
for  $x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom$  adelic-int
proof
fix  $e :: \text{real}$  and  $z :: 'a$  adelic-int
assume  $e: e > 0$ 
moreover define  $d$  where  $d \equiv \min(e * \text{inverse } 2) 1$ 
moreover have  $\text{inverse}(2::\text{real}) = 2 \text{ powi } (-1)$  using power-int-def by force
ultimately have  $\forall y. dist y z < d \longrightarrow dist(x * y) (x * z) \leq e$ 
using global-p-depth-adelic-int.bdd-global-dist-bdd-mult-continuous[of e x 0] adelic-int-depth
by fastforce
moreover from e d-def have  $d > 0$  by auto
ultimately show
 $\exists d > 0. \forall y \in \text{UNIV}. dist y z < d \longrightarrow dist(x * y) (x * z) \leq e$ 
by blast
qed

lemma adelic-int-bdd-metric-limseq-mult:
 $(\lambda k. w * X k) \longrightarrow (w * x)$  if  $X \longrightarrow x$ 
for  $w x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom$  adelic-int and  $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$  adelic-int
using that adelic-int-left-mult-bdd-metric-continuous continuous-on-tends-to-compose
by fastforce

lemma adelic-int-global-depth-set-open:
open  $((\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n) :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom$  adelic-int set)
proof (cases  $n \geq 0$ )
case True
hence  $(\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n) = \text{ball}(0 :: 'a \text{ adelic-int}) (\text{inverse}(2 \wedge \text{nat } n))$ 
using adelic-int-metric-ball-at-0 by fastforce
thus ?thesis by simp
next
case False
hence  $(\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n) = (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ adelic-int set})$ 

```

```

using nonpos-global-depth-set-adelic-int[of n] by simp
thus ?thesis using open-UNIV by fastforce
qed

lemma adelic-int-ball-abs-eq:
  Abs-adelic-int ` ball x e = ball (Abs-adelic-int x) e
  if x ∈ ℬ_{v_p} and e ≤ 1
  for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod
proof safe
  fix y assume y ∈ ball x e
  moreover from this that(2) have y ∈ ball x 1 by fastforce
  ultimately show Abs-adelic-int y ∈ ball (Abs-adelic-int x) e
  using that(1) p-adic-prod-nonpos-global-depth-set-open mem-ball dist-adelic-int-abs-eq
  by fastforce
next
  fix y assume y ∈ ball (Abs-adelic-int x) e
  with that(1) show y ∈ Abs-adelic-int ` ball x e
  unfolding ball-def using dist-adelic-int-abs-eq by (cases y) fastforce
qed

lemma open-adelic-int-abs-eq:
  open U = open (Abs-adelic-int ` U) if U ⊆ (ℬ_{v_p})
  for U :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod set
proof (standard, standard, clarify)
  fix u assume u: u ∈ U
  moreover assume open U
  ultimately obtain e where e: e > 0 ball u e ⊆ U
  by (elim Elementary-Metric-Spaces.openE)
  define e' where e' ≡ min e 1
  with e(2) have ball u e' ⊆ U by force
  with that u e'-def have ball (Abs-adelic-int u) e' ⊆ Abs-adelic-int ` U
  using adelic-int-ball-abs-eq[of u e'] by auto
  moreover from e(1) e'-def have e' > 0 by auto
  ultimately show ∃ e>0. ball (Abs-adelic-int u) e ⊆ Abs-adelic-int ` U
  using e(1) e'-def by blast
next
  assume U: open (Abs-adelic-int ` U)
  show open U
  proof
    have inj-abs: inj-on Abs-adelic-int (ℬ_{v_p})
    using Abs-adelic-int-inject inj-onI by meson
    fix u assume u: u ∈ U
    with U obtain e where e: e > 0 ball (Abs-adelic-int u) e ⊆ Abs-adelic-int ` U
    using Elementary-Metric-Spaces.openE by blast
    define e' where e' ≡ min e 1
    with that e(2) u have Abs-adelic-int ` ball u e' ⊆ Abs-adelic-int ` U
    using adelic-int-ball-abs-eq[of u e'] by fastforce
    moreover from that u e'-def have ball u e' ⊆ (ℬ_{v_p})

```

```

using p-adic-prod-nonpos-global-depth-set-open[of 0] by fastforce
ultimately have ball u e' ⊆ U using that inj-on-vimage-image-eq[OF inj-abs]
by blast
moreover from e(1) e'-def have e' > 0 by simp
ultimately show ∃ e>0. ball u e ⊆ U by blast
qed
qed

```

Completeness

```

abbreviation adelic-int-globally-cauchy ≡ global-p-depth-adelic-int.globally-cauchy
abbreviation adelic-int-global-cauchy-condition ≡ global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-cauchy-condition

```

```

lemma adelic-int-global-cauchy-condition-abs-eq:
  adelic-int-global-cauchy-condition (λn. Abs-adelic-int (X n)) =
    p-adic-prod-global-cauchy-condition X
  if range X ⊆ ℬ_p
  unfolding global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-cauchy-condition-def
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-cauchy-condition-def
  by (
    standard, standard,
    metis that subsetD rangeI p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq
  )

```

```

lemma adelic-int-globally-cauchy-abs-eq:
  adelic-int-globally-cauchy (λn. Abs-adelic-int (X n)) = p-adic-prod-globally-cauchy
  X
  if range X ⊆ ℬ_p
  using that adelic-int-global-cauchy-condition-abs-eq by metis

```

```

lemma adelic-int-globally-cauchy-vs-bdd-metric-Cauchy:
  adelic-int-globally-cauchy X = Cauchy X
  for X :: nat ⇒ 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int
  using global-p-depth-adelic-int.globally-cauchy-vs-bdd-metric-Cauchy
  unfolding global-p-depth-adelic-int.metric-space-by-bdd-depth.Cauchy-def Cauchy-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma adelic-int-Cauchy-abs-eq:
  Cauchy (λn. Abs-adelic-int (X n)) = Cauchy X
  if range X ⊆ ℬ_p
  for X :: nat ⇒ 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom p-adic-prod
  using that adelic-int-globally-cauchy-vs-bdd-metric-Cauchy adelic-int-globally-cauchy-abs-eq
    p-adic-prod-globally-cauchy-vs-bdd-metric-Cauchy
  by fast

```

abbreviation

```

  adelic-int-cauchy-lim X ≡
    Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-cauchy-lim (λn. Rep-adelic-int (X n)))

```

```

lemma adelic-int-bdd-metric-complete':
  X —→ adelic-int-cauchy-lim X if Cauchy X
  for X :: nat ⇒ 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int
proof (cases X rule: adelic-int-seq-cases)
  case (Abs-adelic-int F)
    with that have F —→ p-adic-prod-cauchy-lim F
    using adelic-int-Cauchy-abs-eq p-adic-prod-bdd-metric-complete' by blast
  moreover from this Abs-adelic-int(2)
    have p-adic-prod-cauchy-lim F ∈ ℬ_{p,0}
    using eventually-sequentially p-adic-prod-global-depth-set-lim-closed[of F]
    by force
    ultimately have X —→ Abs-adelic-int (p-adic-prod-cauchy-lim F)
    using Abs-adelic-int adelic-int-limseq-abs-eq by blast
  moreover have F = (λn. Rep-adelic-int (X n))
    unfolding Abs-adelic-int(1)
  proof
    fix n from Abs-adelic-int(2) show F n = Rep-adelic-int (Abs-adelic-int (F n))
      using Abs-adelic-int-inverse[of F n] by fastforce
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by meson
  qed

lemma adelic-int-bdd-metric-complete:
  complete (UNIV :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int set)
  using adelic-int-bdd-metric-complete' completeI by blast
end

```

theory Fin-Field-Product

```

imports
  Distinguished-Quotients
  pAdic-Product

```

begin

6 Finite fields of prime order as quotients of the ring of integers of p-adic fields

6.1 The type

6.1.1 The prime ideal as the distinguished ideal of the ring of adelic integers

```

instantiation adelic-int :: 
  (nontrivial-factorial-idom) comm-ring-1-with-distinguished-ideal

```

```

begin

definition distinguished-subset-adelic-int :: 'a adelic-int set
  where distinguished-subset-adelic-int-def[simp]:
    distinguished-subset-adelic-int ≡  $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$ 

instance
proof (standard, safe)
  show ( $\mathcal{N} :: 'a$  adelic-int set) = {}  $\Rightarrow$  False
    using global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-set-0 by auto
next
  fix g h :: 'a adelic-int
  assume g ∈  $\mathcal{N}$  and h ∈  $\mathcal{N}$ 
  thus g - h ∈  $\mathcal{N}$ 
    using global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-set-minus by auto
next
  fix r x :: 'a adelic-int
  assume x ∈  $\mathcal{N}$ 
  thus r * x ∈  $\mathcal{N}$ 
    using global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-set-ideal nonpos-global-depth-set-adelic-int
      by fastforce
next
  define n where n ≡ (1::'a adelic-int)
  moreover assume n ∈  $\mathcal{N}$ 
  ultimately show False using global-p-depth-adelic-int.pos-global-depth-set-1 by
  force
qed

end

lemma distinguished-subset-adelic-int-inverse:
  inverse x = 0 if x ∈  $\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$ 
  for x :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int
  using that global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-setD adelic-int-inverse-eq0-iff by
  fastforce

```

6.1.2 The finite field product type as a quotient

Create type wrapper *coset-by-dist-sbgrp* over *adic-int*.

```

typedef (overloaded) 'a adelic-int-quot =
  UNIV :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp set
  ..

```

abbreviation

```

adic-int-quot ≡  $\lambda x.$  Abs-adelic-int-quot (distinguished-quotient-coset x)
abbreviation p-adic-prod-int-quot ≡  $\lambda x.$  adelic-int-quot (Abs-adelic-int x)

```

```

lemma adelic-int-quot-cases [case-names adelic-int-quot]:
  obtains y where x = adelic-int-quot y

```

```

proof (cases x)
  case (Abs-adelic-int-quot y) with that show thesis by (cases y) blast
qed

lemma Abs-adelic-int-quot-cases [case-names adelic-int-quot-Abs-adelic-int]:
  obtains z where x = p-adic-prod-int-quot z z ∈ O_∀_p
proof (cases x rule: adelic-int-quot-cases)
  case (adic-int-quot y) with that show thesis by (cases y) blast
qed

lemmas two-adelic-int-quot-cases = adelic-int-quot-cases[case-product adelic-int-quot-cases]
and three-adelic-int-quot-cases =
  adelic-int-quot-cases[case-product adelic-int-quot-cases[case-product adelic-int-quot-cases]]

lemma abs-adelic-int-eq-iff:
  adelic-int-quot x = adelic-int-quot y ←→
  y - x ∈ P_∀_p
  using Abs-adelic-int-quot-inject distinguished-quotient-coset-eq-iff by force

lemma p-adic-prod-int-quot-eq-iff:
  p-adic-prod-int-quot x = p-adic-prod-int-quot y ←→
  y - x ∈ P_∀_p
  if x ∈ O_∀_p and y ∈ O_∀_p
  using that abs-adelic-int-eq-iff[of Abs-adelic-int x Abs-adelic-int y] Abs-adelic-int-inject
  minus-adelic-int-abs-eq[of y x] nonneg-global-depth-set-adelic-int-eq-projection[of
  1]
  global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-minus[of y 0 x]
  global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-antimono[of 0 1]
  by fastforce

```

6.1.3 Algebraic instantiations

```

instantiation adelic-int-quot :: (nontrivial-factorial-idom) comm-ring-1
begin

```

```

definition 0 = Abs-adelic-int-quot 0

```

```

lemma zero-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq: Rep-adelic-int-quot 0 = 0
  using Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse by (simp add: zero-adelic-int-quot-def)

```

```

lemma zero-adelic-int-quot: 0 = adelic-int-quot 0
  using zero-adelic-int-quot-def zero-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq by simp

```

```

definition 1 ≡ Abs-adelic-int-quot 1

```

```

lemma one-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq: Rep-adelic-int-quot 1 = 1
  using Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse by (simp add: one-adelic-int-quot-def)

```

```

lemma one-adelic-int-quot: 1 = adelic-int-quot 1

```

```

using one-adelic-int-quot-def one-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq by simp

definition  $x + y = \text{Abs-adelic-int-quot}(\text{Rep-adelic-int-quot } x + \text{Rep-adelic-int-quot } y)$ 

lemma plus-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq:
 $\text{Rep-adelic-int-quot}(a + b) = \text{Rep-adelic-int-quot } a + \text{Rep-adelic-int-quot } b$ 
using Rep-adelic-int-quot Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse
unfolding plus-adelic-int-quot-def
by blast

lemma plus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq:
 $\text{Abs-adelic-int-quot } x + \text{Abs-adelic-int-quot } y = \text{Abs-adelic-int-quot } (x + y)$ 
using Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse[of x] Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse[of y]
unfolding plus-adelic-int-quot-def
by simp

lemma plus-adelic-int-quot: adelic-int-quot  $x + \text{adic-int-quot } y = \text{adic-int-quot } (x + y)$ 
using plus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq plus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq by fastforce

definition  $-x = \text{Abs-adelic-int-quot}(-\text{Rep-adelic-int-quot } x)$ 

lemma uminus-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq: Rep-adelic-int-quot  $(-x) = -\text{Rep-adelic-int-quot } x$ 
using Rep-adelic-int-quot Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse
unfolding uminus-adelic-int-quot-def
by blast

lemma uminus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq:  $-\text{Abs-adelic-int-quot } x = \text{Abs-adelic-int-quot } (-x)$ 
using Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse[of x] unfolding uminus-adelic-int-quot-def by simp

lemma uminus-adelic-int-quot:  $-\text{adic-int-quot } x = \text{adic-int-quot } (-x)$ 
using uminus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq uminus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq by fast-force

definition minus-adelic-int-quot :: 
 $'a \text{ adelic-int-quot} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int-quot} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ adelic-int-quot}$ 
where minus-adelic-int-quot  $\equiv (\lambda x y. x + -y)$ 

lemma minus-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq:
 $\text{Rep-adelic-int-quot } (x - y) = \text{Rep-adelic-int-quot } x - \text{Rep-adelic-int-quot } y$ 
using plus-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq uminus-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq
by (simp add: minus-adelic-int-quot-def)

lemma minus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq:
 $\text{Abs-adelic-int-quot } x - \text{Abs-adelic-int-quot } y = \text{Abs-adelic-int-quot } (x - y)$ 

```

```

by  (simp add:
      minus-adelic-int-quot-def uminus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq
      plus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq
    )

lemma minus-adelic-int-quot: adelic-int-quot x - adelic-int-quot y = adelic-int-quot
(x - y)
using minus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq minus-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq by fastforce

definition x * y = Abs-adelic-int-quot (Rep-adelic-int-quot x * Rep-adelic-int-quot
y)

lemma times-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq:
  Rep-adelic-int-quot (x * y) = Rep-adelic-int-quot x * Rep-adelic-int-quot y
using Rep-adelic-int-quot Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse
unfolding times-adelic-int-quot-def
by blast

lemma times-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq:
  Abs-adelic-int-quot x * Abs-adelic-int-quot y = Abs-adelic-int-quot (x * y)
using Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse[of x] Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse[of y]
unfolding times-adelic-int-quot-def
by simp

lemma times-adelic-int-quot: adelic-int-quot x * adelic-int-quot y = adelic-int-quot
(x * y)
using times-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq times-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq by auto

instance
proof

fix a b c :: 'a adelic-int-quot

show a + b + c = a + (b + c)
  by (cases a, cases b, cases c) (simp add: plus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq add.assoc)
show a + b = b + a by (cases a, cases b) (simp add: plus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq
add.commute)
show 0 + a = a
  using plus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq[of 0] by (cases a, simp add: zero-adelic-int-quot-def)
show 1 * a = a
  using times-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq[of 1] by (cases a) (simp add: one-adelic-int-quot-def)

show - a + a = 0
  by (cases a)
    (simp add:
      uminus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq plus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq zero-adelic-int-quot-def
    )

show a - b = a + - b by (simp add: minus-adelic-int-quot-def)

```

```

show  $a * b * c = a * (b * c)$ 
by (cases a, cases b, cases c)
      (simp add: times-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq mult.assoc)

show  $a * b = b * a$  by (cases a, cases b) (simp add: times-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq mult.commute)

show  $(a + b) * c = a * c + b * c$ 
by (cases a, cases b, cases c)
      (simp add: plus-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq times-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq distrib-right)

show  $(0::'a adelic-int-quot) \neq 1$ 
using Abs-adelic-int-quot-inject[of 0::'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp 1]
by (simp add: zero-adelic-int-quot-def one-adelic-int-quot-def)

qed

end

instantiation adelic-int-quot :: 
  (nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout) {divide-trivial, inverse}
begin

lift-definition inverse-adelic-int-coset :: 
  'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp
is inverse
unfolding distinguished-subset-adelic-int-def
proof
  fix x y :: 'a adelic-int
  assume xy:  $-y + x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$ 
  show  $-\text{inverse } y + \text{inverse } x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$ 
  proof (intro adelic-int-global-depth-setI)
    fix p :: 'a prime
    assume xy':  $-\text{inverse } y + \text{inverse } x \not\simeq_p 0$ 
    have  $((\text{inverse } x - \text{inverse } y)^{\circ p}) > 0$ 
    proof (intro adelic-int-diff-cancel-lead-coeff)
      from xy' show  $x \not\simeq_p y$ 
      using p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0 adelic-int-inverse-pcong by fastforce
      with xy show  $((x - y)^{\circ p}) > 0$ 
      using p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0 global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-setD
      by fastforce
      moreover from this xy' show  $x \not\simeq_p 0$  and  $y \not\simeq_p 0$ 
      using adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-diff-equiv0-left[of p x]
        global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-diff-equiv0-right[of p y]
        p-equality-adelic-int.minus-0[of p inverse x inverse y]
        by (force, force)
      ultimately show  $(x^{\circ p}) = 0$  and  $(y^{\circ p}) = 0$ 

```

```

using xy' adelic-int-depth adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff
      global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-pre-nonarch-diff-left[of p x y]
      global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-pre-nonarch-diff-right[of p y x]
      p-equality-adelic-int.minus-0[of p inverse x inverse y]
  by   (force, force)
qed
thus ((- inverse y + inverse x)^op) ≥ 1 by force
qed
qed simp

definition inverse-adelic-int-quot :: 'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot
where
  inverse-adelic-int-quot x ≡
    Abs-adelic-int-quot (inverse-adelic-int-coset (Rep-adelic-int-quot x))

lemma inverse-adelic-int-quot: inverse (adelic-int-quot x) = adelic-int-quot (inverse x)
unfolding inverse-adelic-int-quot-def
by   (simp add: Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse inverse-adelic-int-coset.abs-eq)

lemma inverse-adelic-int-quot-0[simp]: inverse (0 :: 'a adelic-int-quot) = 0
by (metis zero-adelic-int-quot inverse-adelic-int-quot adelic-int-inverse0)

lemma inverse-adelic-int-quot-1[simp]: inverse (1 :: 'a adelic-int-quot) = 1
by (metis one-adelic-int-quot inverse-adelic-int-quot adelic-int-inverse1)

definition divide-adelic-int-quot :: 
  'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot
where
  divide-adelic-int-quot-def[simp]: divide-adelic-int-quot x y ≡ x * inverse y

instance by standard simp-all

end

lemma divide-adelic-int-quot: adelic-int-quot x / adelic-int-quot y = adelic-int-quot
(x / y)
for x y :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout adelic-int
using   times-adelic-int-quot inverse-adelic-int-quot
unfolding divide-adelic-int-quot-def divide-adelic-int-def
by     metis

```

6.2 Equivalence relative to a prime

6.2.1 Equivalence

overloading

```

p-equal-adelic-int-coset ≡
p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒
  'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp ⇒
  'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp ⇒ bool

```

```

p-equal-adelic-int-quot ≡
  p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒
    'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ bool
p-restrict-adelic-int-coset ≡
  p-restrict :: 'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp ⇒
    ('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp
p-restrict-adelic-int-quot ≡
  p-restrict :: 'a adelic-int-quot ⇒
    ('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot
begin

lift-definition p-equal-adelic-int-coset ::

  'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒
    'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp ⇒
      'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp ⇒ bool
  is  $\lambda p\ x\ y.\ x \simeq_p y \vee ((x - y)^{op}) \geq 1$ 
  unfolding distinguished-subset-adelic-int-def
proof-
  fix p :: 'a prime and w x y z :: 'a adelic-int
  assume  $-w + y \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$  and  $-x + z \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$ 
  moreover have
     $\bigwedge w\ x\ y\ z :: 'a adelic-int.$ 
     $y - w \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p} \implies$ 
     $z - x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p} \implies$ 
     $w \simeq_p x \vee ((w - x)^{op}) \geq 1 \implies$ 
     $((y - z)^{op}) \geq 1 \vee y \simeq_p z$ 
  proof clarify
    fix w x y z :: 'a adelic-int
    assume wy :  $y - w \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$ 
    and xz :  $z - x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$ 
    and equiv :  $w \simeq_p x \vee ((w - x)^{op}) \geq 1$ 
    and nequiv:  $y \not\simeq_p z$ 
    have 1:  $y - z = w - x + ((y - w) - (z - x))$  by simp
    from wy xz have 2:  $(y - w) - (z - x) \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$ 
    using global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-set-minus by auto
    show  $((y - z)^{op}) \geq 1$ 
  proof (
    cases w  $\simeq_p x$  (y - w)  $\simeq_p (z - x)$ 
    rule: case-split[case-product case-split],
    metis nequiv 1 p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0 p-equality-adelic-int.add-0,
    metis 1 2 p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0 global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-add-equiv0-left
      global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-setD global-depth-set-adelic-int-def,
    metis equiv 1 p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0
      global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-add-equiv0-right
  )
  case False-False
  hence wx:  $w - x \not\simeq_p 0$ 
  and wyxz:  $(y - w) - (z - x) \not\simeq_p 0$ 
  using p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0
)

```

```

by (fast, fast)
with nequiv equiv False-False(1) show ?thesis
using 1 2 global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-nonarch p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0
global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-setD[of p (y - w) - (z - x) 1]
by fastforce
qed
qed
ultimately show
w ≈p x ∨ ((w - x)°p) ≥ 1 ↔
y ≈p z ∨ ((y - z)°p) ≥ 1
by (metis uminus-add-conv-diff symp-lcosetrel distinguished-subset-adelic-int-def)
qed

definition p-equal-adelic-int-quot ::

'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime ⇒
'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ bool
where
p-equal-adelic-int-quot p x y ≡
(Rep-adelic-int-quot x) ≈p (Rep-adelic-int-quot y)

lift-definition p-restrict-adelic-int-coset ::

'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp ⇒
('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp
is λx P. x prerestrict P
by (
simp add: global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-set-p-restrict
flip: global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-minus
)

definition p-restrict-adelic-int-quot ::

'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom adelic-int-quot ⇒
('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot
where
p-restrict-adelic-int-quot x P ≡
Abs-adelic-int-quot ((Rep-adelic-int-quot x) prerestrict P)

end

context
fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime
begin

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-coset-abs-eq0:
distinguished-quotient-coset x ≈p 0 ↔
x ≈p 0 ∨ (x°p) ≥ 1
for x :: 'a adelic-int
unfolding p-equal-adelic-int-coset.abs-eq zero-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq by simp

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-coset-abs-eq1:

```

```

distinguished-quotient-coset  $x \simeq_p 1 \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $x \simeq_p 1 \vee ((x - 1)^{op}) \geq 1$ 
for  $x :: 'a adelic-int$ 
unfolding p-equal-adelic-int-coset.abs-eq one-coset-by-dist-sbgrp.abs-eq by simp

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq:
  (adelic-int-quot  $x$ )  $\simeq_p$  (adelic-int-quot  $y$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $x \simeq_p y \vee ((x - y)^{op}) \geq 1$ 
  for  $x y :: 'a adelic-int$ 
  using Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse[of distinguished-quotient-coset  $x$ ]
  Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse[of distinguished-quotient-coset  $y$ ]
  p-equal-adelic-int-coset.abs-eq
  unfolding p-equal-adelic-int-quot-def
  by auto

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq0:
  adelic-int-quot  $x \simeq_p 0 \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $x \simeq_p 0 \vee (x^{op}) \geq 1$ 
  for  $x :: 'a adelic-int$ 
  using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq unfolding zero-adelic-int-quot by fastforce

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq1:
  adelic-int-quot  $x \simeq_p 1 \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $x \simeq_p 1 \vee ((x - 1)^{op}) \geq 1$ 
  for  $x :: 'a adelic-int$ 
  using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq unfolding one-adelic-int-quot by fastforce

end

lemma p-restrict-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq:
  (adelic-int-quot  $x$ ) restrict  $P =$  adelic-int-quot ( $x$  prerestrict  $P$ )
  for  $P :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime \Rightarrow bool$  and  $x :: 'a adelic-int$ 
  unfolding p-restrict-adelic-int-quot-def
  by (simp add: Abs-adelic-int-quot-inverse p-restrict-adelic-int-coset.abs-eq)

global-interpretation p-equality-adelic-int-quot:
  p-equality-no-zero-divisors-1
  p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'a adelic-int-quot  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int-quot  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  proof

    fix  $p :: 'a prime$ 

    define  $E :: 'a adelic-int-quot \Rightarrow 'a adelic-int-quot \Rightarrow bool$ 
      where  $E \equiv p\text{-equal } p$ 
    have reflp  $E$ 
      unfolding E-def
    proof (intro reflpI)
      fix  $x :: 'a adelic-int-quot$  show  $x \simeq_p x$ 

```

```

    by (cases x rule: adelic-int-quot-cases, simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq)
qed
moreover have symp E
  unfolding E-def
proof (intro sympI)
  fix x y :: 'a adelic-int-quot
  show x ≈p y ==> y ≈p x
    by (
      cases x y rule: two-adelic-int-quot-cases, simp add: p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq,
      metis p-equality-adelic-int.sym global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-diff
    )
qed
moreover have transp E
  unfolding E-def
proof (intro transpI)
  fix x y z :: 'a adelic-int-quot
  assume xy: x ≈p y and yz: y ≈p z
  show x ≈p z
    proof (cases x y z rule: three-adelic-int-quot-cases)
      case (adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot a b c)
      have a ≈p c ∨ ((a - c)°p) ≥ 1
      proof (rule iffD1, rule disj-commute, intro disjCI)
        assume ac: a ≈p c
        with xy yz adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot consider
          (ab) a ≈p b 1 ≤ ((b - c)°p) |
          (bc) b ≈p c 1 ≤ ((a - b)°p) |
          (neither) 1 ≤ ((a - b)°p) 1 ≤ ((b - c)°p)
        using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq p-equality-adelic-int.trans by meson
        thus 1 ≤ ((a - c)°p)
        proof cases
          case ab thus ?thesis using adelic-int-diff-depth-gt0-equiv-trans[of 0 p a b]
        end
      end
      qed
      next
        case bc thus ?thesis
        using adelic-int-diff-depth-gt0-equiv-trans[of 0 p c b a] p-equality-adelic-int.sym
          global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-diff[of p b a]
          global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-diff[of p a c]
        by force
      end
      qed
      next
        case neither with ac show ?thesis
        using adelic-int-diff-depth-gt0-trans[of 0 p a b c] by simp
      end
      qed
      with adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot(1,3) show ?thesis
        using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq by auto
      end
      qed
      qed
      ultimately show equivp E by (auto intro: equivpI)
    end
  end
end

```

```

fix x y :: 'a adelic-int-quot

show (x ≈p y) = (x - y ≈p 0)
by (
  cases x y rule: two-adelic-int-quot-cases,
  simp add: minus-adelic-int-quot p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq
            p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq0,
  metis p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0
)

show y ≈p 0 ==> x * y ≈p 0
proof (cases x y rule: two-adelic-int-quot-cases)
case (adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot a b)
assume y ≈p 0
with adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot(2)
have b ≈p 0 ∨ 1 ≤ (bop)
using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq0
by fast
hence a * b ≈p 0 ∨ 1 ≤ ((a * b)op)
using adelic-int-depth[of p a] global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-mult-additive
      p-equality-adelic-int.mult-0-right
by fastforce
with adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot show ?thesis
  using times-adelic-int-quot p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq0 by metis
qed

have (1::'a adelic-int-quot) ≈p 0
unfolding p-equal-adelic-int-quot-def
by (
  simp only: zero-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq one-adelic-int-quot-rep-eq, transfer,
  simp, rule p-equality-adelic-int.one-p-nequal-zero
)
thus ∃ x::'a adelic-int-quot. x ≈p 0 by blast

show
x ≈p 0 ==> y ≈p 0 ==>
x * y ≈p 0
proof (cases x y rule: two-adelic-int-quot-cases)
case (adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot a b)
assume x: x ≈p 0 and y: y ≈p 0
with adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot
have a: a ≈p 0 (aop) < 1
and b: b ≈p 0 (bop) < 1
using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq0
by (fast, fastforce, fast, fastforce)
from a(1) b(1) have a * b ≈p 0
using p-equality-adelic-int.no-zero-divisors by blast
moreover from this a(2) b(2) have ((a * b)op) < 1
using adelic-int-depth global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-mult-additive by fastforce

```

```

ultimately have adelic-int-quot (a * b)  $\neg\cong_p$  0
  using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq0 by fastforce
with adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot times-adelic-int-quot show x * y  $\neg\cong_p$  0
  by metis
qed

qed

overloading
globally-p-equal-adelic-int-quot ≡
globally-p-equal :: 
'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel adelic-int-quot ⇒
'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ bool
begin

definition globally-p-equal-adelic-int-quot :: 
'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel adelic-int-quot ⇒
'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ bool
where globally-p-equal-adelic-int-quot-def[simp]:
globally-p-equal-adelic-int-quot = p-equality-adelic-int-quot.globally-p-equal

end

```

6.2.2 Embedding of constants

```

global-interpretation global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot:
global-p-equal-w-consts
p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel prime ⇒
'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ bool
p-restrict :: 
'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ ('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒
'a adelic-int-quot
λf. adelic-int-quot (adelic-int-consts f)
proof (unfold-locales, fold globally-p-equal-adelic-int-quot-def)

fix p :: 'a prime
and x y :: 'a adelic-int-quot

show x  $\simeq_{\forall p}$  y  $\implies$  x = y
proof (cases x y rule: two-adelic-int-quot-cases)
case (adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot a b)
moreover assume xy: x  $\simeq_{\forall p}$  y
ultimately have
 $\forall p::'a \text{ prime}.$ 
 $- a + b \neg\cong_p 0 \longrightarrow ((- a + b)^{\circ p}) \geq 1$ 
using p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0[of - b a] p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq[of -
a b]
p-equality-adelic-int.sym[of - a b]
global-p-depth-adelic-int.depth-diff[of - a b]

```

```

    by fastforce
  with adelic-int-quot-adelic-int-quot show x = y
  using distinguished-quotient-coset-eqI[of a b] global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-setI
    by fastforce
qed

fix P :: 'a prime ⇒ bool

show P p ⇒ x prerestrict P ≈p x
  by (
    cases x rule: adelic-int-quot-cases,
    simp add: p-restrict-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq
               global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-equiv
  )

show ¬ P p ⇒ x prerestrict P ≈p 0
  by (
    cases x rule: adelic-int-quot-cases,
    simp add: p-restrict-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq0,
    metis global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-equiv0
  )

fix f g :: 'a prime ⇒ 'a

show
  adelic-int-quot (adelic-int-consts (f - g)) =
  adelic-int-quot (adelic-int-consts f) - adelic-int-quot (adelic-int-consts g)
  using global-p-depth-adelic-int.consts-diff minus-adelic-int-quot by metis

show
  adelic-int-quot (adelic-int-consts (f * g)) =
  adelic-int-quot (adelic-int-consts f) * adelic-int-quot (adelic-int-consts g)
  using global-p-depth-adelic-int.consts-mult times-adelic-int-quot by metis

qed (metis global-p-depth-adelic-int.consts-1 one-adelic-int-quot)

abbreviation adelic-int-quot-consts ≡ global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot.consts
abbreviation adelic-int-quot-const ≡ global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot.const

lemma p-equal-adelic-int-quot-consts-iff:
  (adelic-int-quot-consts f) ≈p (adelic-int-quot-consts g) ↔
  (f p) pmod p = (g p) pmod p
  (is ?L ↔ ?R)
proof-
  have ((λp. fls-const (f p)) - (λp. fls-const (g p))) p = fls-const (f p - g p)
    by (simp add: fls-minus-const)
  have ?L ↔ f p = g p ∨ 1 ≤ int (pmultiplicity p ((f - g) p))
    using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq global-p-depth-adelic-int.consts-diff
          global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-equal-func-of-consts

```

```

global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-depth-func-of-consts
by metis
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R
  using Rep-prime-not-unit multiplicity-gt-zero-iff[of f p - g p]
    mod-eq-dvd-iff[of f p Rep-prime p g p]
  by force
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma p-equal0-adelic-int-quot-consts-iff:
  (adelic-int-quot-consts f)  $\simeq_p$  0  $\longleftrightarrow$  (f p) pmod p = 0
  (is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)
proof-
  have ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  f p pmod p = 0 pmod p
  by (
    metis global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot.consts-0 p-equal-adelic-int-quot-consts-iff
    zero-fun-apply
  )
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma adelic-int-quot-consts-eq-iff:
  adelic-int-quot-consts f = adelic-int-quot-consts g  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall p.$  (f p) pmod p = (g p) pmod p)
  using global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot.global-eq-iff p-equal-adelic-int-quot-consts-iff[of
- f g]
  by auto

lemma adelic-int-quot-consts-eq0-iff:
  (adelic-int-quot-consts f) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall p.$  (f p) pmod p = 0)
  using global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot.global-eq-iff p-equal0-adelic-int-quot-consts-iff[of
- f]
  by auto

lemmas
p-equal-adelic-int-quot-const-iff =
p-equal-adelic-int-quot-consts-iff[of -  $\lambda p.$  -  $\lambda p.$  -]
and p-equal0-adelic-int-quot-const-iff = p-equal0-adelic-int-quot-consts-iff[of -  $\lambda p.$  -]
and adelic-int-quot-const-eq-iff = adelic-int-quot-consts-eq-iff[of  $\lambda p.$  -  $\lambda p.$  -]
and adelic-int-quot-const-eq0-iff = adelic-int-quot-consts-eq0-iff[of  $\lambda p.$  -]

lemma adelic-int-quot-UNIV-eq-consts-range:
  (UNIV::'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel adelic-int-quot set) =
  range (adelic-int-quot-consts)
proof (standard, standard)
  fix x :: 'a adelic-int-quot
  show x  $\in$  range adelic-int-quot-consts

```

```

proof (cases x rule: Abs-adelic-int-quot-cases)
  case (adelic-int-quot-Abs-adelic-int a)
    have p-adic-prod-int-quot a ∈ range adelic-int-quot-consts
    proof (cases a)
      case (abs-p-adic-prod X)
        with adelic-int-quot-Abs-adelic-int(2)
        have dX: ∀ p::'a prime. (Xop) ≥ 0
        using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.nonpos-global-depth-setD
          p-depth-p-adic-prod.abs-eq[of - X]
        by fastforce
      define f :: 'a prime ⇒ 'a where f ≡ λp. (X p pmod p) $$ 0
      have adelic-int-consts f = Abs-adelic-int a ∈ PVp
        unfolding global-depth-set-adelic-int-def
      proof (intro global-p-depth-adelic-int.global-depth-setI)
        fix p :: 'a prime
        assume (adic-int-consts f = Abs-adelic-int a) ≈p 0
        with adelic-int-quot-Abs-adelic-int(2) abs-p-adic-prod
        have nequiv: (fls-const (f p)) ≈p (X p pmod p)
        using p-equality-adelic-int.conv-0
          global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-consts p-equal-adelic-int-abs-eq
          p-equal-p-adic-prod.abs-eq global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def p-equal-fls-pseq-def
            fls-pmod-equiv p-equality-fls.trans-left
        by metis
        have ∀ k<0. (X p pmod p) $$ k = 0
        proof clarify
          fix k :: int assume k < 0
          moreover have (X pop) ≥ 0 using dX by auto
          ultimately show (X p pmod p) $$ k = 0 using fls-pmod-subdegree[of - p]
        by simp
        qed
        moreover have fls-const (f p) ≠ X p pmod p using nequiv by auto
        ultimately have fls-subdegree (fls-const (f p) - X p pmod p) > 0
          by (auto intro: fls-subdegree-greaterI simp add: f-def)
        moreover from adelic-int-quot-Abs-adelic-int(2) abs-p-adic-prod have
          ((adic-int-consts f = Abs-adelic-int a)op) =
            ((fls-const (f p) - X p pmod p)op)
          using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-consts minus-apply[of - X
            p]
            p-depth-adelic-int-diff-abs-eq[of - a p] p-depth-p-adic-prod-diff-abs-eq[of
            p - X]
              p-depth-fls-pseq-def global-depth-set-p-adic-prod-def p-depth-fls.depth-equiv
                p-equality-fls.minus-left fls-pmod-equiv
            by metis
            ultimately show ((adic-int-consts f = Abs-adelic-int a)op) ≥ 1
              using nequiv p-equality-fls.conv-0 p-depth-fls.ge-p-equal-subdegree' by
            fastforce
            qed
            hence
              p-adic-prod-int-quot a =

```

```

p-adic-prod-int-quot (abs-p-adic-prod (λp. fls-const (f p)))
  using Abs-adelic-int-quot-inject distinguished-quotient-coset-eqI by force
  thus adelic-int-quot (Abs-adelic-int a) ∈ range adelic-int-quot-consts by blast
qed
with adelic-int-quot-Abs-adelic-int(1) show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed simp

lemma adelic-int-quot-constsE:
fixes x :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel adelic-int-quot
obtains f where x = adelic-int-quot-consts f
using adelic-int-quot-UNIV-eq-consts-range by blast

lemma adelic-int-quot-reduced-constsE:
fixes x :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel adelic-int-quot
obtains f
  where x = adelic-int-quot-consts f
  and ∀ p::'a prime. (f p) pdiv p = 0
proof-
  obtain g where g: x = adelic-int-quot-consts g
  using adelic-int-quot-constsE by blast
  define f :: 'a prime ⇒ 'a where f ≡ λp. g p pmod p
  hence ∀ p::'a prime. (f p) pdiv p = 0
  using div-mult-mod-eq by fastforce
  moreover from g f-def have x = adelic-int-quot-consts f
  using adelic-int-quot-consts-eq-iff by force
  ultimately show thesis using that by presburger
qed

lemma adelic-int-quot-prestrict-zero-depth-abs-eq:
adelic-int-quot (x prestrict (λp::'a prime. (x^op) = 0)) =
adelic-int-quot x
for x :: 'a::nontrivial-euclidean-ring-cancel adelic-int
proof (intro global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot.global-imp-eq, standard)
fix p :: 'a prime
define P :: 'a prime ⇒ bool where P ≡ λp. (x^op) = 0
hence
  x prestrict P ⊨≈p x ==>
  ((x prestrict P - x)^op) ≥ 1
  using adelic-int-prestrict-zero-depth by fast
thus (adelic-int-quot (x prestrict P)) ⊨≈p (adelic-int-quot x)
  using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq by blast
qed

```

6.2.3 Division and inverses

```

global-interpretation p-equal-div-inv-adelic-int-quot:
global-p-equal-div-inv
p-equal :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime ⇒

```

```

'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ bool
p-restrict :: 
  'a adelic-int-quot ⇒ ('a prime ⇒ bool) ⇒
    'a adelic-int-quot
proof

define A :: 'a adelic-int coset-by-dist-sbgrp ⇒ 'a adelic-int-quot
where A ≡ Abs-adelic-int-quot

fix p :: 'a prime and x y :: 'a adelic-int-quot

show inverse (inverse x) = x
proof (cases x rule: adelic-int-quot-cases)
  case (adelic-int-quot a)
  moreover define P :: 'a prime ⇒ bool
    where P ≡ λp. (aop) = 0
  moreover from this have adelic-int-quot (a prerestrict P) = adelic-int-quot a
    using global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot.global-imp-eq p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq
      adelic-int-prerestrict-zero-depth
    by fast
  ultimately show inverse (inverse x) = x
    using inverse-adelic-int-quot adelic-int-inverse-inverse by metis
qed

show (inverse x ≈p 0) = (x ≈p 0)
by (
  cases x rule: adelic-int-quot-cases,
  simp add: A-def inverse-adelic-int-quot p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq0
    adelic-int-inverse-depth adelic-int-inverse-equiv0-iff'
)
show x ≈p 0 ⟹ x / x ≈p 1
proof (cases x rule: adelic-int-quot-cases)
  case (adelic-int-quot a)
  moreover assume x ≈p 0
  ultimately have a ≈p 0 and aop = 0
    using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq0 adelic-int-depth[of p a] by (blast, fast-force)
  hence a / a ≈p 1
    using adelic-int-divide-self[of a] global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-equiv[of -p]
    by presburger
  with adelic-int-quot show x / x ≈p 1
    using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq1 divide-adelic-int-quot by metis
qed

show inverse (x * y) = inverse x * inverse y
by (
  cases x y rule: two-adelic-int-quot-cases,

```

```

simp add: A-def inverse-adelic-int-quot times-adelic-int-quot adelic-int-inverse-mult
)

```

qed simp

6.3 Special case of integer

context

fixes $p :: \text{int prime}$

begin

lemma inj-on-const-prestrict-single-int-prime:

 inj-on $(\lambda k. \text{adelic-int-quot-const } k \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)) \{0..Rep\text{-prime } p - 1\}$

proof (standard, unfold atLeastAtMost-iff, clarify)

define $pp :: \text{int and } C :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int adelic-int-quot}$

where $pp \equiv Rep\text{-prime } p$

and $C \equiv \lambda k. \text{adelic-int-quot-const } k \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)$

fix $j k :: \text{int}$

show

$\llbracket j \geq 0; j \leq pp - 1; k \geq 0; k \leq pp - 1; C j = C k \rrbracket$

$\implies j = k$

proof (induct $j k$ rule: linorder-wlog)

fix $j k :: \text{int}$

assume $j : j \geq 0 \ j \leq pp - 1$

and $k : k \geq 0 \ k \leq pp - 1$

and $jk : j \leq k \ C j = C k$

from pp-def j(1) k(2) jk(1) **have** $((k - j)^{\circ p}) = 0$

using p-depth-const[of $p k - j$] zdvd-imp-le[of $pp k - j$] not-dvd-imp-multiplicity-0

by (cases $j = k$) auto

hence $\neg ((k - j)^{\circ p}) \geq 1$ **by** presburger

with C-def jk(2) **show** $j = k$

using global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot.p-equal-iff-p-restrict-eq adelic-int-p-depth-const
 global-p-depth-adelic-int.const-diff global-p-depth-adelic-int.const-p-equal[of

$p]$

 p-equal-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq[of p adelic-int-const k adelic-int-const j]

by metis

qed simp

qed

lemma range-prestrict-single-int-prime:

 range $(\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)) =$

$(\lambda k. \text{adelic-int-quot-const } k \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)) \ {0..Rep\text{-prime } p - 1\}$

proof safe

define $pp :: \text{int and } C :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int adelic-int-quot}$

where $pp \equiv Rep\text{-prime } p$

and $C \equiv \lambda k. \text{adelic-int-quot-const } k \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)$

fix $x :: \text{int adelic-int-quot}$

obtain f **where** $f : x = \text{adelic-int-quot-consts } f (f p) \ p \text{div } p = 0$

using adelic-int-quot-reduced-constsE **by** blast

```

from f(2) pp-def have f p ∈ {0..pp - 1}
  using div-mult-mod-eq[of fp Rep-prime p] prime-gt-0-int Rep-prime pos-mod-sign[of
pp f p]
    pos-mod-bound[of pp f p]
  by auto
with f(1) show x prerestrict ((=) p) ∈ C ` {0..pp - 1}
  using p-equal-adelic-int-quot-consts-iff
  unfolding C-def
  by (fastforce intro: global-p-equal-adelic-int-quot.p-restrict-eq-p-restrictI)
qed simp

lemma finite-range-prestrict-single-int-prime:
finite (range (λx:int adelic-int-quot. x prerestrict ((=) p)))
using range-prestrict-single-int-prime finite-image-iff inj-on-const-prestrict-single-int-prime
by simp

lemma card-range-prestrict-single-int-prime:
card (range (λx:int adelic-int-quot. x prerestrict ((=) p))) = nat (Rep-prime p)
using bij-betw-imageI[of - - range (λx. x prerestrict ((=) p))] bij-betw-same-card
  inj-on-const-prestrict-single-int-prime range-prestrict-single-int-prime[symmetric]
by fastforce

end

end

```

theory More-pAdic-Product

imports Fin-Field-Product

begin

7 Compactness of local ring of integers

7.1 Preliminaries

```

lemma infinite-vimageE:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b
assumes infinite (UNIV :: 'a set) and range f ⊆ B and finite B
obtains b where b ∈ B and infinite (f -` {b})
proof-
  from assms(2) have f -` B = UNIV using subsetD rangeI by blast
  with assms(1,3) have ¬ (∀ b∈B. finite (f -` {b}))
    using finite-UN[of B λb. f -` {b}] vimage-eq-UN[of f B] by argo
  from this obtain b where b ∈ B and infinite (f -` {b}) by blast
  with that show ?thesis by fast
qed

```

— This is used to create a subsequence with outputs restricted to a specific image subset.

```

primrec subset-subseq ::  

  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  

  where  

    subset-subseq f A 0 = (LEAST k. f k  $\in$  A) |  

    subset-subseq f A (Suc n) = (LEAST k. k > subset-subseq f A n  $\wedge$  f k  $\in$  A)  

  

lemma  

  assumes infinite (f -` A)  

  shows subset-subseq-strict-mono: strict-mono (subset-subseq f A)  

  and subset-subseq-range : f (subset-subseq f A n) ∈ A  

proof—  

  from assms have f -` A ≠ {} by auto  

  hence  $\exists k. f k \in A$  by blast  

  hence ex-first:  

     $\exists !k. f k \in A \wedge (\forall j. f j \in A \longrightarrow k \leq j)$   

    using ex-ex1-least-nat-le by simp  

  define P where P ≡ λN k. k > N ∧ f k ∈ A  

  have  $\bigwedge N. (f -` A) \cap \{N <..\} \neq \emptyset$   

  proof  

    fix N assume (f -` A) ∩ {N <..} = {}  

    hence (f -` A) ⊆ {..N} by fastforce  

    with assms show False using finite-subset by fast  

  qed  

  with P-def have  $\bigwedge N. \exists k. P N k$  by fast  

  hence ex-next:  

     $\bigwedge N. \exists !k. P N k \wedge (\forall j. P N j \longrightarrow k \leq j)$   

    using ex-ex1-least-nat-le by force  

  

  from P-def show f (subset-subseq f A n) ∈ A  

  using Least1I[OF ex-first] Least1I[OF ex-next] by (cases n) auto  

  

  from P-def have step: ∏n. subset-subseq f A (Suc n) > subset-subseq f A n  

  using Least1I[OF ex-next] by auto  

  

  show strict-mono (subset-subseq f A)  

proof  

  fix m n show  $m < n \implies \text{subset-subseq } f A m < \text{subset-subseq } f A n$   

  proof (induct n)  

    case (Suc n) thus ?case using step[of n] by (cases n = m) simp-all  

  qed simp  

  qed  

qed
```

7.2 Sequential compactness

7.2.1 Creating a Cauchy subsequence

abbreviation

```
p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq-step p X g n ≡
  λk. p-adic-prod-int-quot (p-adic-prod-shift-p-depth p (− int n) ((X (g k) − X
  (g 0))))
```

```
primrec p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq ::

  'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime ⇒
  (nat ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod) ⇒ nat ⇒ (nat ⇒ nat)

  where p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq p X 0 = id |
    p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq p X (Suc n) =
      p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq p X n ∘
      subset-subseq
      (
        p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq-step p X (
          p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq p X n
          ) n
        )
      {SOME z.
        infinite (
          p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq-step p X (
            p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq p X n
            ) n
            − ‘{z}
          )
        }
```

abbreviation

```
p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq p X n ≡
  p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq p X n n
```

lemma restricted-X-infinite-quot-vimage:

```
  ∃z. infinite ((λk. p-adic-prod-int-quot (X k)) − ‘{z})
  if fin-p-quot : finite (range (λx:'a adelic-int-quot. x prestrict ((=) p)))
  and range-X : range X ⊆ O_{v_p}
  and restricted-X: ∀n. X n = (X n) prestrict ((=) p)
  for p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-idom prime and X :: nat ⇒ 'a p-adic-prod
```

proof –

```
  define resp where resp ≡ λx:'a adelic-int-quot. x prestrict ((=) p)
  define X-quot where X-quot ≡ λk. p-adic-prod-int-quot (X k)
```

```
  have range X-quot ⊆ range resp
```

proof safe

fix k

from range-X restricted-X X-quot-def resp-def have

X-quot k = resp (adelic-int-quot (Abs-adelic-int (X k)))

using p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq p-restrict-adelic-int-quot-abs-eq rangeI subsetD by metis

```

thus  $X\text{-quot } k \in \text{range } resp$  by simp
qed
with fin-p-quot resp-def obtain  $b$  where  $b \in \text{range } resp$  and infinite ( $X\text{-quot } -' \{b\}$ )
  using infinite-vimageE by blast
  with X-quot-def show ?thesis by fast
qed

context
fixes  $p$  :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime
and  $X$  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a p-adic-prod
and  $ss$  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)
and  $ss\text{-step}$  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int-quot)
and  $nth\text{-im}$  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int-quot
and  $subss$  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)
defines
 $ss \equiv p\text{-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq } p X$ 
and
 $ss\text{-step} \equiv \lambda n. p\text{-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq-step } p X (ss n) n$ 
and  $nth\text{-im} \equiv \lambda n. (\text{SOME } z. \text{infinite } (ss\text{-step } n -' \{z\}))$ 
and  $subss \equiv \lambda n. \text{subset-subseq } (ss\text{-step } n) \{nth\text{-im } n\}$ 
assumes fin-p-quot : finite (range ( $\lambda x: 'a$  adelic-int-quot.  $x$  prestrict ((=)  $p$ )))
  and range-X : range  $X \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
  and restricted-X:  $\forall n. X n = (X n)$  prestrict ((=)  $p$ )
begin

lemma p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq-step-infinite-quot-vimage:
 $\exists z. \text{infinite } (ss\text{-step } n -' \{z\})$  (is ?A)
and p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq-step-depth:
 $\forall k. (X (ss n k)) \negsim_p (X (ss n 0)) \longrightarrow$ 
 $((X (ss n k) - X (ss n 0))^{\text{op}}) \geq \text{int } n$ 
(is ?B)
proof-
have ?A  $\wedge$  ?B
proof (induct n)
case 0
from range-X have range ( $\lambda k. X k - X 0$ )  $\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-minus by fastforce
moreover from restricted-X have
 $\forall k. X k - X 0 = (X k - X 0)$  prestrict (=)  $p$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-minus by metis
ultimately
have A:  $\exists z. \text{infinite } ((\lambda k. p\text{-adic-prod-int-quot } (X k - X 0)) -' \{z\})$ 
using fin-p-quot restricted-X-infinite-quot-vimage
by blast
from ss-def range-X have B:
 $\forall k. (X (ss 0 k)) \negsim_p (X (ss 0 0)) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{int } 0 \leq ((X (ss 0 k) - X (ss 0 0))^{\text{op}})$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-minus

```

```


p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-setD



by fastforce



with ss-step-def ss-def show



$(\exists z. \text{infinite}(\text{ss-step } 0 - ` \{z\})) \wedge$   

 $(\forall k. (X(\text{ss } 0 k)) \neg \simeq_p (X(\text{ss } 0 0)) \longrightarrow$   

 $\text{int } 0 \leq ((X(\text{ss } 0 k) - X(\text{ss } 0 0))^{\circ p}))$



using A B by simp



next



case (Suc n)



hence inf :  $\exists z. \text{infinite}(\text{ss-step } n - ` \{z\})$



and depth:



$\wedge k. (X(\text{ss } n k)) \neg \simeq_p (X(\text{ss } n 0)) \implies$   

 $\text{int } n \leq ((X(\text{ss } n k) - X(\text{ss } n 0))^{\circ p})$



by auto



from nth-im-def have inf-nth-vimage:  $\text{infinite}(\text{ss-step } n - ` \{\text{nth-im } n\})$



using someI-ex[OF inf] by blast



from subss-def have ss-step-kth:  $\forall k. \text{ss-step } n (\text{subss } n k) = \text{nth-im } n$



using subset-subseq-range[OF inf-nth-vimage] by blast



have



$\wedge k.$   

 $p\text{-adic-prod-shift-p-depth } p (- \text{int } n) ((X(\text{ss } n k) - X(\text{ss } n 0))) \text{ prestrict}$   

 $((=) p))$



$\in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$



using p-adic-prod-depth-embeds.shift-p-depth-p-restrict-global-depth-set-memI  

 $\text{depth } p\text{-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0}$



by fastforce



with restricted-X have depth-set:



$\wedge k.$   

 $p\text{-adic-prod-shift-p-depth } p (- \text{int } n) (X(\text{ss } n k) - X(\text{ss } n 0))$



$\in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$



using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-minus by metis



have B:



$\forall k. (X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) k)) \neg \simeq_p (X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) 0)) \longrightarrow$   

 $((X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) k) - X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) 0))^{\circ p}) \geq \text{int } (\text{Suc } n)$



proof clarify



fix k assume  $k: (X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) k)) \neg \simeq_p (X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) 0))$



from ss-def ss-step-def subss-def nth-im-def



have X-Suc-n-k:  $X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) k) = X(\text{ss } n (\text{subss } n k))$



and X-Suc-n-0:  $X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) 0) = X(\text{ss } n (\text{subss } n 0))$



by auto



from ss-step-def have



$p\text{-adic-prod-shift-p-depth } p (- \text{int } n) (X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) 0) - X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) k))$



$\in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p}$



using depth-set X-Suc-n-k X-Suc-n-0 ss-step-kth p-adic-prod-int-quot-eq-iff  

 $p\text{-adic-prod-depth-embeds.shift-p-depth-minus}$



by fastforce



with k show  $((X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) k) - X(\text{ss } (\text{Suc } n) 0))^{\circ p}) \geq \text{int } (\text{Suc } n)$



using p-adic-prod-depth-embeds.shift-p-depth-mem-global-depth-set


```

```

p-equality-p-adic-prod.sym[of p] global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-diff[of
p]
  p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0[of p X (ss (Suc n) 0)]
    by fastforce
qed
from ss-step-def ss-def have ss-step-Suc-n:
  ss-step (Suc n) = ( $\lambda k.$ 
    p-adic-prod-int-quot (
      p-adic-prod-shift-p-depth p (- int (Suc n)) ((X (ss (Suc n) k) - X (ss
(Suc n) 0))) )
    )
  by presburger
moreover have
   $\exists z. \text{infinite} (\lambda k.$ 
    p-adic-prod-int-quot (
      p-adic-prod-shift-p-depth p (-int (Suc n)) ((X (ss (Suc n) k) - X (ss
(Suc n) 0))) )
    )
  ) - ' {z}
)
proof (intro restricted-X-infinite-quot-vimage, rule fin-p-quot, safe)
fix k
have
  p-adic-prod-shift-p-depth p (- int (Suc n)) (
    (X (ss (Suc n) k) - X (ss (Suc n) 0)) prerestrict ((=) p)
  )  $\in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
using B p-adic-prod-depth-embeds.shift-p-depth-p-restrict-global-depth-set-memI
  p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0
  by fastforce
with restricted-X show
  p-adic-prod-shift-p-depth p (- int (Suc n)) (X (ss (Suc n) k) - X (ss (Suc
n) 0))
   $\in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
  using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-minus by metis
from restricted-X show
  p-adic-prod-shift-p-depth p (- int (Suc n)) (X (ss (Suc n) k) - X (ss (Suc
n) 0)) =
    p-adic-prod-shift-p-depth p (- int (Suc n)) (
      X (ss (Suc n) k) - X (ss (Suc n) 0)
    ) prerestrict (=) p
  using p-adic-prod-depth-embeds.shift-p-depth-p-restrict
    global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-minus
  by metis
qed
ultimately have A:  $\exists z. \text{infinite} (\text{ss-step} (\text{Suc } n) - ' \{z\})$  by presburger
show
  ( $\exists z. \text{infinite} (\text{ss-step} (\text{Suc } n) - ' \{z\})) \wedge$ 

```

```


$$(\forall k. (X (ss (Suc n) k)) \neg\sim_p (X (ss (Suc n) 0)) \longrightarrow
    int (Suc n) \leq ((X (ss (Suc n) k) - X (ss (Suc n) 0))^{\circ p}))$$

using A B by blast
qed
thus ?A and ?B by auto
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq-strict-mono:
strict-mono (subset-subseq (ss-step n) {nth-im n})
using ss-step-def nth-im-def p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq-strict-mono
subset-subseq-strict-mono someI-ex
by fast

lemma p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq-strict-mono': strict-mono (ss n)
proof (induct n)
case (Suc n) from ss-def ss-step-def nth-im-def show strict-mono (ss (Suc n))
using ss-def ss-step-def nth-im-def strict-monoD[OF Suc]
strict-monoD[OF p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq-strict-mono]
by (force intro: strict-mono-onI)
qed (simp add: ss-def strict-mono-id flip: id-def)

lemma p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq-strict-mono:
strict-mono (p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq p X)
proof (rule iffD2, rule strict-mono-Suc-iff, clarify)
fix n :: nat
have Suc n \leq subset-subseq (ss-step n) {nth-im n} (Suc n)
using strict-mono-imp-increasing p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq-strict-mono
by fast
hence n < subset-subseq (ss-step n) {nth-im n} (Suc n) by linarith
with ss-def ss-step-def nth-im-def
show p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq p X n < p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq
p X (Suc n)
using ss-def strict-monoD p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq-strict-mono'
by auto
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq-Cauchy:
p-adic-prod-p-cauchy p (X o p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq p X)
proof (rule iffD2, rule global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-consec-cauchy, clarify)
fix n
define C where C \equiv X o p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subset-subseq p X
have
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-consec-cauchy-condition p C n (nat (n + 1))
unfolding global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-consec-cauchy-condition-def
proof clarify
fix k :: nat
assume k: k \geq nat (n + 1) (C (Suc k)) \neg\sim_p (C k)
define D0 D1 D2
where D0 \equiv C (Suc k) - C k

```

```

and   D1 ≡ X (ss k (subss k (Suc k))) − X (ss k 0)
and   D2 ≡ X (ss k k) − X (ss k 0)
with C-def ss-def ss-step-def subss-def nth-im-def have D0-eq: D0 = D1 − D2
  by (force simp add: algebra-simps)
from k(2) D0-def have D0-nequiv0: D0 ⊥c_p 0
  using p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0 by fast
consider (D1) D1 ⊥c_p 0 | (D2) D2 ⊥c_p 0 |
  (neither) D1 ⊥c_p 0 D2 ⊥c_p 0
  by blast
thus (D0^op) > n
proof cases
  case D1
  moreover from this have D2 ⊥c_p 0
    using D0-eq D0-nequiv0 p-equality-p-adic-prod.minus by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using k(1) D2-def D0-eq global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-diff-equiv0-left
        p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0 p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq-step-depth
        by fastforce
  next
  case D2
  moreover from this have D1 ⊥c_p 0
    using D0-eq D0-nequiv0 p-equality-p-adic-prod.minus by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using k(1) D1-def D0-eq global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-diff-equiv0-right
        p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0 p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq-step-depth
        by fastforce
  next
  case neither
  moreover from this D1-def D2-def
  have k ≤ min (D1^op) (D2^op)
  using p-equality-p-adic-prod.conv-0 p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-seq-step-depth
  by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using k(1) D0-eq D0-nequiv0 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.depth-nonarch-diff
  by fastforce
qed
qed
thus ∃ K. global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-consec-cauchy-condition p C n K by blast
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-limseq:
  x ∈ (λz. z prerestrict ((=) p)) ` O_∀ p
  if p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhds-limseq (X ∘ g) x
proof (intro image-eqI global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-imp-eq, standard)
  fix q :: 'a prime
  show x ⊥c_q x prerestrict ((=) p)
  proof (cases q = p)
    case False
    moreover from that have p-adic-prod-p-limseq q (X ∘ g) x

```

```

using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.globally-limseq-imp-locally-limseq by fast
moreover from restricted-X have  $\forall n. (X \circ g) n = (X \circ g) n$  prestrict ( $=$ ) p
by force
ultimately show  $x \simeq_q (x$  prestrict ( $=$ ) p))
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv0
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq-p-constant
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq-unique p-equality-p-adic-prod.trans-left[of
q x 0]
by (metis (full-types))
qed (simp add: global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv[symmetric])
from that range-X show  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
using eventually-sequentially
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-p-open-nbds-LIMSEQ-closed
by force
qed

end

```

7.2.2 Proving sequential compactness

context

```

fixes p :: 'a::nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime
assumes fin-p-quot: finite (range ( $\lambda x::'a$  adelic-int-quot.  $x$  prestrict ( $=$ ) p)))
begin

```

```

lemma p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-seq-compact':
 $\exists g::nat \Rightarrow nat. \text{strict-mono } g \wedge p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-cauchy } p (X \circ g)$ 
if range-X:
range X  $\subseteq (\lambda x. x$  prestrict ( $=$ ) p)) ` ( $\mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ )
for X :: nat  $\Rightarrow 'a$  p-adic-prod
proof-
define g where g  $\equiv$  p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq p X
from range-X have range X  $\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-closed-under-p-restrict-image
by force
moreover from range-X have  $\forall n. X n = X n$  prestrict ( $=$ ) p)
using subsetD
global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-image-restrict[of
X - ( $=$ ) p  $\mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
]
by force
ultimately have strict-mono g and p-adic-prod-p-cauchy p (X  $\circ$  g)
using g-def fin-p-quot p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-Cauchy[of p X]
p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-strict-mono
by (blast, presburger)
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

lemma p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-seq-compact:

$\exists x \in (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))`(\mathcal{O}_{\forall p}).$
 $\exists g : nat \Rightarrow nat.$
 $\text{strict-mono } g \wedge p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhds-limseq } (X \circ g) x$
if range- X :
 $\text{range } X \subseteq (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))`(\mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$
for $X :: nat \Rightarrow `a p\text{-adic-prod}$
proof-
from range- X **have** 1: $\text{range } X \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
using global- p -depth- p -adic-prod.global-depth-set-closed-under- p -restrict-image
by force
moreover from range- X **have** 2: $\forall n. X n = X n \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)$
using subsetD
 $\text{global-}p\text{-depth-}p\text{-adic-prod.}p\text{-restrict-image-restrict}[$ of
 $X - (=) p \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
 $]$
by force
from range- X **obtain** g **where** $g : \text{strict-mono } g p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-cauchy } p (X \circ g)$
using $p\text{-adic-prod-local-int-ring-seq-compact'}$ **by** blast
from $g(2)$ **obtain** x
where $p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhds-limseq } (\lambda n. (X \circ g) n \text{ prestrict } (=) p) x$
using $p\text{-complete-}p\text{-adic-prod.}p\text{-cauchyE}$
by blast
moreover have $(\lambda n. (X \circ g) n \text{ prestrict } (=) p) = X \circ g$ **using** 2 **by** auto
ultimately have $p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhds-limseq } (X \circ g) x$ **by** auto
moreover from this fin- p -quot
have $x \in (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))`(\mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$
using 1 2 $p\text{-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-limseq}$
by blast
ultimately show ?thesis **using** $g(1)$ **by** blast
qed

lemma adelic-int-local-int-ring-seq-abs:
 $\text{range } X \subseteq (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))`(\mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$
if range- X : $\text{range } X \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
and range-abs- X :
 $\text{range } (\lambda k. \text{Abs-adelic-int } (X k)) \subseteq \text{range } (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))$
for $X :: nat \Rightarrow `a p\text{-adic-prod}$
proof (standard, clarify, rule image-eqI)
fix n
from range- X **show** $* : X n \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ **by** fast
from range-abs- X **obtain** y **where** $\text{Abs-adelic-int } (X n) = y \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)$
by blast
from this **obtain** x
where $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
and $\text{Abs-adelic-int } (X n) = \text{Abs-adelic-int } (x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))$
using Abs-adelic-int-cases p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq
by metis
thus $X n = X n \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)$
using * global- p -depth- p -adic-prod.global-depth-set-p-restrict Abs-adelic-int-inject

```

global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-restrict'
by force
qed

lemma adelic-int-local-int-ring-seq-compact':
   $\exists g:\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}. \text{strict-mono } g \wedge \text{adelic-int-p-cauchy } p (X \circ g)$ 
  if range-X:
    range X  $\subseteq (\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p))`(\mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$ 
  for X :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int
proof (cases X rule : adelic-int-seq-cases)
case (Abs-adelic-int F)
with range-X
have range F  $\subseteq (\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p))`(\mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$ 
using adelic-int-local-int-ring-seq-abs
by fast
with fin-p-quot obtain g where g: strict-mono g p-adic-prod-p-cauchy p (F o g)
using p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-seq-compact' by blast
moreover from Abs-adelic-int(1)
have ( $\lambda n. \text{Abs-adelic-int } ((F \circ g) n)) = X \circ g$ 
by fastforce
moreover from Abs-adelic-int(2)
have range (F o g)  $\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
by auto
ultimately have adelic-int-p-cauchy p (X o g) using adelic-int-p-cauchy-abs-eq
by metis
with g(1) show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma adelic-int-local-int-ring-seq-compact:
 $\exists x \in \text{range } (\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p)).$ 
 $\exists g:\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}.$ 
  strict-mono g  $\wedge$  adelic-int-p-open-nbhdls-limseq (X o g) x
if range-X:
  range X  $\subseteq \text{range } (\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p))$ 
for X :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a adelic-int
proof (cases X rule : adelic-int-seq-cases)
case (Abs-adelic-int F)
with range-X
have range F  $\subseteq (\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p))`(\mathcal{O}_{\forall p})$ 
using adelic-int-local-int-ring-seq-abs
by fast
with fin-p-quot obtain y g
where y :  $y \in (\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } (=) p)`\mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
and g : strict-mono g
and g-y: p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhdls-limseq (F o g) y
using p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-seq-compact by blast
from y have y  $\in \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-closed-under-p-restrict-image
by auto

```

```

moreover from Abs-adelic-int(2)
  have range (F ∘ g) ⊆ O_∀ p
  by force
ultimately have
  adelic-int-p-open-nbhds-limseq
  (λk. Abs-adelic-int ((F ∘ g) k)) (Abs-adelic-int y)
using g-y adelic-int-p-open-nbhds-limseq-abs-eq
by blast
moreover from Abs-adelic-int(1)
  have (λk. Abs-adelic-int ((F ∘ g) k)) = X ∘ g
  by fastforce
ultimately have lim: adelic-int-p-open-nbhds-limseq (X ∘ g) (Abs-adelic-int y)
by force
from y obtain z where z: z ∈ O_∀ p y = z prestrict (=) p by fast
hence Abs-adelic-int y = Abs-adelic-int z prestrict (=) p
  using p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq by fastforce
with g show ?thesis using lim by blast
qed
end

```

```

lemma int-adic-prod-local-int-ring-seq-compact:
  ∃x∈(λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ` (O_∀ p).
  ∃g:nat⇒nat.
    strict-mono g ∧ p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhds-limseq (X ∘ g) x
  if range X ⊆ (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ` (O_∀ p)
  for p :: int prime
  and X :: nat ⇒ int p-adic-prod
  using that p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-seq-compact finite-range-prestrict-single-int-prime
  by blast

```

```

lemma int-adelic-int-local-int-ring-seq-compact:
  ∃x∈range (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)).
  ∃g:nat⇒nat.
    strict-mono g ∧ adelic-int-p-open-nbhds-limseq (X ∘ g) x
  if range X ⊆ range (λx. x prestrict ((=) p))
  for p :: int prime
  and X :: nat ⇒ int adelic-int
  using that adelic-int-local-int-ring-seq-compact finite-range-prestrict-single-int-prime
  by blast

```

7.3 Finite-open-cover compactness

```

function exclusion-sequence :: 
  'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a set) ⇒
  'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a
where exclusion-sequence A f a 0 = a |
  exclusion-sequence A f a (Suc n) =
  (SOME x. x ∈ A ∧ x ∉ (∪ k≤n. f (exclusion-sequence A f a k)))

```

by pat-completeness auto
termination by (relation measure($\lambda(A,f,a,n). n$)) **auto**

lemma
assumes $\neg A \subseteq (\bigcup k \leq n. f(\text{exclusion-sequence } A f a k))$
shows exclusion-sequence-mem: $\text{exclusion-sequence } A f a (\text{Suc } n) \in A$
and exclusion-sequence-excludes:
 $\text{exclusion-sequence } A f a (\text{Suc } n) \notin (\bigcup k \leq n. f(\text{exclusion-sequence } A f a k))$

proof-
from assms have *:
 $\exists x. x \in A \wedge x \notin (\bigcup k \leq n. f(\text{exclusion-sequence } A f a k))$
by blast
show $\text{exclusion-sequence } A f a (\text{Suc } n) \in A$
and
 $\text{exclusion-sequence } A f a (\text{Suc } n) \notin (\bigcup k \leq n. f(\text{exclusion-sequence } A f a k))$
using someI-ex[OF *] **by** auto
qed

context
fixes $p :: 'a::\text{nontrivial-factorial-unique-euclidean-bezout prime}$
assumes fin-p-quot: finite (range ($\lambda x::'a \text{ adelic-int-quot. } x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)$))
begin

lemma $p\text{-adic-prod-local-int-ring-finite-cover}:$
 $\exists C. \text{finite } C \wedge$
 $C \subseteq (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))` \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \wedge$
 $(\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))` \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup c \in C. p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p n c)$
for $C :: 'a \text{ set}$

proof-
define $p\text{-ring} :: 'a \text{ } p\text{-adic-prod set}$
where $p\text{-ring} \equiv (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))` \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
have
 $\neg (\forall C \subseteq p\text{-ring}. C \subseteq (\bigcup c \in C. p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p n c) \longrightarrow \text{infinite } C)$
 $)$

proof (safe)
assume $n:$
 $\forall C \subseteq p\text{-ring}. C \subseteq (\bigcup c \in C. p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p n c) \longrightarrow \text{infinite } C$
define X **where**
 $X \equiv \lambda k. \text{exclusion-sequence } p\text{-ring } (p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p n) (0::'a \text{ } p\text{-adic-prod})$
 k
have partial-dn-cover:
 $\forall k. X` \{..k\} \subseteq p\text{-ring} \longrightarrow$
 $\neg p\text{-ring} \subseteq (\bigcup j \leq k. p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p n (X j))$
proof clarify

```

fix k
assume X ` {..k} ⊆ p-ring
  and p-ring ⊆ (⋃ j≤k. p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n (X j))
with n have infinite (X ` {..k}) by auto
thus False by blast
qed
moreover have partial-range-X: ∀ k. X ` {..k} ⊆ p-ring
proof
  fix k show X ` {..k} ⊆ p-ring
  proof (induct k)
    case 0 from X-def p-ring-def show ?case
      using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-0
      global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-zero
      by fastforce
    next
    case (Suc k)
    hence ¬ p-ring ⊆ (⋃ j≤k. p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n (X j))
      using partial-dn-cover by blast
    with X-def have X (Suc k) ∈ p-ring using exclusion-sequence-mem by
      force
    moreover have {..Suc k} = insert (Suc k) {..k} by auto
    ultimately show ?case using Suc by auto
  qed
qed
ultimately
have dn-cover:
  ∀ k. ¬ p-ring ⊆ (⋃ j≤k. p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n (X j))
  and range-X: range X ⊆ p-ring
  by (fastforce, fast)
from p-ring-def fin-p-quot obtain g
  where g: strict-mono g p-adic-prod-p-cauchy p (X ∘ g)
  using range-X p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-seq-compact'
  by metis
from this obtain K where K: p-adic-prod-p-cauchy-condition p (X ∘ g) n K
by fast
have X (g (Suc K)) ∉ p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n (X (g K))
proof
  assume X (g (Suc K)) ∈ p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n (X (g K))
  moreover from g(1) have g-K: g K < g (Suc K) using strict-monoD by
  blast
  ultimately have
    X (g (Suc K)) ∈ (⋃ j≤g (Suc K) - 1. p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n (X j))
    by force
  with X-def show False
  using g-K dn-cover
    exclusion-sequence-excludes[of p-ring p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p n 0 g
    (Suc K) - 1]
    by simp
qed

```

```

hence  $(X(g(Suc K))) \neg\sim_p (X(gK))$ 
      and  $((X(g(Suc K)) - X(gK))^{\circ p}) < n$ 
      using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle
      by (blast, fastforce)
      with K show False
      using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-cauchy-conditionD[of p X o g n K Suc K
K] by auto
qed
thus ?thesis using p-ring-def by fast
qed

lemma p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-lebesgue-number:
   $\exists d. \forall x \in (\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p))` \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}.$ 
   $\exists A \in \mathcal{A}. p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p \text{ } d \text{ } x \subseteq A$ 
  if cover:
   $(\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p))` \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ 
  and by-opens:
   $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}. \text{generate-topology } (p\text{-adic-prod-local-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p) A$ 
proof-
  define p-ring :: 'a p-adic-prod set
  where p-ring  $\equiv (\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p))` \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
  have
     $\neg (\forall d. \exists x \in p\text{-ring}. \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$ 
     $\neg p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p \text{ } d \text{ } x \subseteq A)$ 
  proof
    assume *:
     $\forall d. \exists x \in p\text{-ring}. \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$ 
     $\neg p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p \text{ } d \text{ } x \subseteq A$ 
    define X where
       $X \equiv \lambda n. \text{SOME } x.$ 
       $x \in p\text{-ring} \wedge$ 
       $(\forall A \in \mathcal{A}. \neg p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p \text{ } (int n) x \subseteq A)$ 
    have range-X: range X  $\subseteq p\text{-ring}$ 
    proof safe
      fix n
      from * have ex-x:
         $\exists x. x \in p\text{-ring} \wedge$ 
         $(\forall A \in \mathcal{A}. \neg p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p \text{ } (int n) x \subseteq A)$ 
        by force
      from X-def show X n  $\in p\text{-ring}$  using someI-ex[OF ex-x] by fast
    qed
    with fin-p-quot obtain a g
    where g: strict-mono g
    and a: p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd-limseq (X o g) a
    using p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-seq-compact
    unfolding X-def p-ring-def
    by blast
    from range-X p-ring-def have range X  $\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
    using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-depth-set-closed-under-p-restrict-image
  
```

by force
 moreover from range- X p-ring-def have $\forall n. X n = X n$ prestrict ((=) p)
 using subsetD
 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-image-restrict[of
 $X - (=) p \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
]
 by force
 ultimately have $a \in p\text{-ring}$
 using p-ring-def fin-p-quot a p-adic-prod-int-convergent-subseq-limseq by blast
 with cover p-ring-def obtain A where $A: A \in \mathcal{A} a \in A$ by blast
 with by-opens obtain n where $n: p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p n a \subseteq A$
 using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-open-subopen by blast
 from a have p-adic-prod-p-limseq p $(X \circ g) a$
 using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.globally-limseq-imp-locally-limseq by fast
 from this obtain K where $K: p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-limseq-condition } p (X \circ g) a n K$
 by presburger
 define k where $k \equiv \max (\text{nat } n) K$
 from * have ex-x:
 $\exists x. x \in p\text{-ring} \wedge$
 $(\forall A \in \mathcal{A}. \neg p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p (\text{int } (g k)) x \subseteq A)$
 by force
 from X-def A(1)
 have X-g-m: $\neg p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p (\text{int } (g k)) (X (g k)) \subseteq A$
 using someI-ex[OF ex-x]
 by fast
 moreover from g k-def have n-g-k: $n \leq \text{int } (g k)$
 using strict-mono-imp-increasing[of g k] by linarith
 ultimately have $X (g k) \sim_p a$
 using n X-g-m global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle
 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre
 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-antimono
 by blast
 with K k-def have $X (g k) \in p\text{-adic-prod-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p n a$
 using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-limseq-conditionD[of p - a n K k]
 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-eq-circle
 by force
 with n show False
 using n-g-k X-g-m global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-circle-multicentre
 global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-open-nbhd-antimono
 by fast
 qed
 thus ?thesis using p-ring-def by force
 qed

lemma p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-compact:
 $\exists \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}. \text{finite } \mathcal{B} \wedge$
 $(\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))` \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{B}$
 if cover:
 $(\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))` \mathcal{O}_{\forall p} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}$

and by-opens:

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{A}. \text{generate-topology } (\text{p-adic-prod-local-p-open-nbhds } p) A$$

proof –

```

define p-ring :: 'a p-adic-prod set
  where p-ring ≡ ( $\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p)$ ) `  $\mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$ 
with cover by-opens obtain d where d:
   $\forall x \in p\text{-ring}. \exists A \in \mathcal{A}. \text{p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd } p d x \subseteq A$ 
  using p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-lebesgue-number by presburger
from p-ring-def obtain C where C:
  finite C C ⊆ p-ring
  p-ring ⊆ ( $\bigcup c \in C. \text{p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd } p d c$ )
  using p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-finite-cover by metis
define f where
  f ≡  $\lambda c. \text{SOME } A. A \in \mathcal{A} \wedge \text{p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd } p d c \subseteq A$ 
define B where B ≡ f ` C
have B ⊆ A
  unfolding B-def
proof safe
  fix c assume c ∈ C
  with d C(2)
    have ex-A:  $\exists A. A \in \mathcal{A} \wedge \text{p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd } p d c \subseteq A$ 
    by blast
    from f-def show f c ∈ A using someI-ex[OF ex-A] by blast
  qed
  moreover from B-def C(1) have finite B by simp
  moreover have p-ring ⊆  $\bigcup \mathcal{B}$ 
  proof
    fix x assume x ∈ p-ring
    with C(3) obtain c where c ∈ C x ∈ p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p d c by
    auto
    from d C(2) c(1)
    have ex-A:  $\exists A. A \in \mathcal{A} \wedge \text{p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd } p d c \subseteq A$ 
    by blast
    from f-def have p-adic-prod-p-open-nbhd p d c ⊆ f c using someI-ex[OF ex-A]
    by auto
    with B-def c show x ∈  $\bigcup \mathcal{B}$  by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using p-ring-def by blast
  qed

lemma p-adic-prod-local-scaled-int-ring-compact:

$$\exists \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}. \text{finite } \mathcal{B} \wedge$$


$$(\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p)) ` (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n)$$


$$\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{B}$$

if cover:

$$(\lambda x. x \text{ prerestrict } ((=) p)) ` (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n)$$


$$\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}$$

and by-opens:

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{A}. \text{generate-topology } (\text{p-adic-prod-local-p-open-nbhds } p) A$$


```

for $\mathcal{A} :: 'a p\text{-adic}\text{-prod set set}$
proof—
define $p\text{-ring } p\text{-depth-ring} :: 'a p\text{-adic}\text{-prod set}$
where $p\text{-ring} \equiv (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
and
 $p\text{-depth-ring} \equiv$
 $(\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)) \cdot (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p}^n)$
from $p\text{-ring-def } p\text{-depth-ring-def}$
have $\text{drop: } p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-shift-}p\text{-depth } p \ n \cdot p\text{-ring} = p\text{-depth-ring}$
and $\text{lift: } p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-shift-}p\text{-depth } p \ (-n) \cdot p\text{-depth-ring} = p\text{-ring}$
by (*simp-all add: p-adic-prod-depth-embeds.shift-p-depth-p-restrict-global-depth-set-image*)
define \mathcal{A}' **where** $\mathcal{A}' \equiv (\cdot) (p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-shift-}p\text{-depth } p \ (-n)) \cdot \mathcal{A}$
from $\text{cover } p\text{-depth-ring-def } \mathcal{A}'\text{-def}$ **have** $p\text{-ring} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}'$ **using** lift **by** blast
moreover from by-opens **have** $\text{by-opens}'$:
 $\forall A' \in \mathcal{A}'. \text{generate-topology } (p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-local-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p) A'$
using $p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-depth-embeds.shift-p-depth-p-open-set unfolding } \mathcal{A}'\text{-def by}$
fast
ultimately obtain \mathcal{B}'
where $\mathcal{B}' : \mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}' \text{ finite } \mathcal{B}' \text{ p-ring} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{B}'$
using fin-p-quot $p\text{-ring-def } p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-local-int-ring-compact}$
by force
define \mathcal{B} **where** $\mathcal{B} \equiv (\cdot) (p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-shift-}p\text{-depth } p \ n) \cdot \mathcal{B}'$
have $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$
unfolding $\mathcal{B}\text{-def}$
proof clarify
fix B' **assume** $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$
with $\mathcal{B}'(1) \mathcal{A}'\text{-def obtain } A$
where $A \in \mathcal{A}$ **and** $B' = p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-shift-}p\text{-depth } p \ (-n) \cdot A$
by blast
thus $p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-shift-}p\text{-depth } p \ n \cdot B' \in \mathcal{A}$
using $p\text{-adic}\text{-prod-depth-embeds.shift-shift-p-depth-image}[of p \ n \ -n]$ **by** simp
qed
moreover from $\mathcal{B}\text{-def } \mathcal{B}'(2)$ **have** $\text{finite } \mathcal{B}$ **by** blast
moreover from $\mathcal{B}\text{-def } \mathcal{B}'(3)$ **have** $p\text{-depth-ring} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{B}$ **using** drop **by** blast
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $p\text{-depth-ring-def}$ **by** blast
qed

lemma $\text{adelic-int-local-int-ring-compact}:$
 $\exists \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}. \text{finite } \mathcal{B} \wedge$
 $\text{range } (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)) \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{B}$
if $\text{fin-p-quot: finite } (\text{range } (\lambda x: 'a \text{ adelic-int-quot. } x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)))$
and $\text{cover: range } (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)) \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}$
and by-opens:
 $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}. \text{generate-topology } (\text{adelic-int-local-}p\text{-open-nbhd } p) A$
for $\mathcal{A} :: 'a \text{ adelic-int set set}$
proof—
define $f f' :: 'a p\text{-adic}\text{-prod} \Rightarrow 'a p\text{-adic}\text{-prod}$
where $f \equiv \lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p)$
and $f' \equiv \lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((\neq) p)$

```

define f-f' where f-f' ≡ λA. f ` Rep-adelic-int ` A + range f'
define p-ring :: 'a p-adic-prod set where p-ring ≡ f ` O_∀p
define A' where A' ≡ f-f' ` A
from cover f-def f'-def f-f'-def p-ring-def A'-def have p-ring ⊆ ∪ A'
    using adelic-int-local-depth-ring-lift-cover[of 0] by fast
moreover from by-opens f-def f'-def f-f'-def A'-def have
    ∀ A'∈A'. generate-topology (p-adic-prod-local-p-open-nbhds p) A'
    using adelic-int-lift-local-p-open by fast
ultimately obtain B'
    where B': B' ⊆ A' finite B' p-ring ⊆ ∪ B'
        using fin-p-quot f-def p-ring-def p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-compact
        by force
define g where g ≡ λB'. SOME B. B ∈ A ∧ B' = f-f' B
define B where B ≡ g ` B'
from B-def B'(2) have finite B by fast
moreover have subcover: B ⊆ A
    unfolding B-def
proof clarify
    fix B' assume B' ∈ B'
    with A'-def B'(1) have ex-B: ∃ B. B ∈ A ∧ B' = f-f' B by blast
    from g-def show g B' ∈ A using someI-ex[OF ex-B] by fastforce
qed
moreover have range (λx. x prerestrict ((=) p)) ⊆ ∪ B
proof clarify
    fix x show x prerestrict (=) p ∈ ∪ B
proof (cases x)
    case (Abs-adelic-int a)
    from p-ring-def Abs-adelic-int(2) B'(3) have f a ∈ ∪ B' by auto
    from this obtain B' where B': B' ∈ B' f a ∈ B' by fast
    from A'-def B'(1) B'(1) have ex-B: ∃ B. B ∈ A ∧ B' = f-f' B by auto
    from B'(1) B-def have g-B': g B' ∈ B by auto
    from g-def B'(2) have f a ∈ f-f' (g B') using someI-ex[OF ex-B] by simp
    with f-f'-def obtain b c where b: b ∈ g B' and bc: f a = f (Rep-adelic-int
b) + f' c
        using set-plus-elim by blast
    have f' c = 0
proof (intro global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-imp-eq, standard)
    fix q :: 'a prime show f' c ≈q 0
proof (cases p = q)
    case True with f'-def show ?thesis
        using global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv0 by fast
next
    case False
    moreover from f-def bc have f' c = f (a - Rep-adelic-int b)
        by (simp add: global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-minus)
    ultimately show ?thesis
        using f-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv0 by auto
qed
qed

```

with $f\text{-def } Abs\text{-adelic-int } bc$ **have**
 $x \text{ prestrict } (=) p = b \text{ prestrict } (=) p)$
using $p\text{-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq } p\text{-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq}$
 $\text{Rep-adelic-int}[of b] \text{ Rep-adelic-int-inverse}[of b]$
by $fastforce$
moreover from $by\text{-opens } b(1)$ **have** $b \text{ prestrict } (=) p \in g B'$
using $subcover g\text{-}B' \text{ global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-p-open-set-mem-iff}$
by $blast$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $g\text{-}B'$ **by** $auto$
qed
qed
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $blast$
qed

lemma $adelic\text{-int-local-scaled-int-ring-compact}:$
 $\exists \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}. \text{finite } \mathcal{B} \wedge$
 $(\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } (=) p) \cdot (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p^n})$
 $\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{B}$
if $\text{fin-p-quot: finite } (\text{range } (\lambda x::'a \text{ adelic-int-quot. } x \text{ prestrict } (=) p))$
and cover:
 $(\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } (=) p) \cdot (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p^n})$
 $\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}$
and by-opens:
 $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}. \text{generate-topology } (\text{adic-int-local-p-open-nbhds } p) A$
for $\mathcal{A} :: 'a \text{ adelic-int set set}$
proof-
show $?thesis$
proof (**cases** $n \leq 0$)
case True
hence
 $(\lambda x::'a \text{ adelic-int. } x \text{ prestrict } (=) p) \cdot (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p^n}) =$
 $\text{range } (\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } (=) p)$
using $\text{nonpos-global-depth-set-adelic-int}$ **by** $auto$
with $\text{fin-p-quot cover by-opens show } ?thesis$
using $\text{adic-int-local-int-ring-compact}$ **by** $fastforce$
next
case False
define $ff' :: 'a \text{ p-adic-prod} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ p-adic-prod}$
where $f \equiv \lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } (=) p$
and $f' \equiv \lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } (\neq) p$
define $f-f' \text{ where } f-f' \equiv \lambda A. f \cdot \text{Rep-adelic-int} 'A + \text{range } f'$
define $p\text{-ring } p\text{-depth-ring} :: 'a \text{ p-adic-prod set}$
where $p\text{-ring} \equiv f \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\forall p}$
and $p\text{-depth-ring} \equiv f \cdot (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p^n})$
define $\mathcal{A}' \text{ where } \mathcal{A}' \equiv f-f' \cdot \mathcal{A}$
from $\text{False cover } f\text{-def } ff'\text{-def } f-f'\text{-def } p\text{-depth-ring-def } \mathcal{A}'\text{-def}$
have $p\text{-depth-ring} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}'$
using $\text{adic-int-local-depth-ring-lift-cover}[of n \ p \ \mathcal{A}]$
by $auto$

moreover from *by-opens f-def f'-def f-f'-def A'-def have*
 $\forall A' \in \mathcal{A}' . \text{generate-topology } (\text{p-adic-prod-local-p-open-nbhd } p) A'$
using adelic-int-lift-local-p-open by fast
ultimately obtain \mathcal{B}' **where** \mathcal{B}' :
 $\mathcal{B}' \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$ **finite** \mathcal{B}' **p-depth-ring** $\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{B}'$
using fin-p-quot f-def p-depth-ring-def p-adic-prod-local-scaled-int-ring-compact[of
 $n]$
by force
define g **where** $g \equiv \lambda B'. \text{SOME } B . B \in \mathcal{A} \wedge B' = f \cdot f' B$
define \mathcal{B} **where** $\mathcal{B} \equiv g` \mathcal{B}'$
from \mathcal{B} -def $\mathcal{B}'(2)$ **have** **finite** \mathcal{B} **by** *fast*
moreover have *subcover*: $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$
unfolding \mathcal{B} -def
proof *clarify*
fix B' **assume** $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$
with \mathcal{A}' -def $\mathcal{B}'(1)$ **have** *ex-B*: $\exists B . B \in \mathcal{A} \wedge B' = f \cdot f' B$ **by** *blast*
from g -def **show** $g B' \in \mathcal{A}$ **using** *someI-ex[OF ex-B]* **by** *fastforce*
qed
moreover have
 $(\lambda x . x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))` (\mathcal{P}_{\forall p^n}) \subseteq$
 $\bigcup \mathcal{B}$
proof *clarify*
fix $x :: \text{'a adelic-int assume}$ $x : x \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p^n}$
show $x \text{ prestrict } (=) p \in \bigcup \mathcal{B}$
proof (*cases* x)
case (*Abs-adelic-int* a)
hence $a = \text{Rep-adelic-int } x$ **using** *Abs-adelic-int-inverse* **by** *fastforce*
with *False* x **have** $a \in \mathcal{P}_{\forall p^n}$
using *lift-nonneg-global-depth-set-adelic-int[of n]* **by** *auto*
with p -depth-ring-def $\mathcal{B}'(3)$ **have** $f a \in \bigcup \mathcal{B}'$
using *nonneg-global-depth-set-adelic-int-eq-projection[of n]* **by** *fast*
from *this obtain* B' **where** $B' : B' \in \mathcal{B}' \wedge f a \in B'$ **by** *fast*
from \mathcal{A}' -def $\mathcal{B}'(1)$ $\mathcal{B}'(1)$ **have** *ex-B*: $\exists B . B \in \mathcal{A} \wedge B' = f \cdot f' B$
by *auto*
from $\mathcal{B}'(1)$ \mathcal{B} -def **have** $g \cdot B' : g B' \in \mathcal{B}$ **by** *auto*
from g -def $\mathcal{B}'(2)$ **have** $f a \in f \cdot f' (g B')$ **using** *someI-ex[OF ex-B]* **by** *simp*
with $f \cdot f'$ -def **obtain** $b c$ **where** $b : b \in g B'$ **and** $bc : f a = f (\text{Rep-adelic-int } b) + f' c$
using *set-plus-elim* **by** *blast*
have $f' c = 0$
proof (*intro global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.global-imp-eq, standard*)
fix $q :: \text{'a prime show}$ $f' c \simeq_q 0$
proof (*cases* $p = q$)
case *True* **with** f' -def **show** ?thesis
using *global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv0* **by** *fast*
next
case *False*
moreover from f -def bc **have** $f' c = f (a - \text{Rep-adelic-int } b)$
by (*simp add: global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-minus*)

```

ultimately show ?thesis
  using f-def global-p-depth-p-adic-prod.p-restrict-equiv0 by auto
qed
qed
with f-def Abs-adelic-int bc have
  x prestrict (=) p = b prestrict ((=) p)
  using p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq p-restrict-adelic-int-abs-eq
    Rep-adelic-int[of b] Rep-adelic-int-inverse[of b]
  by fastforce
moreover from by-opens b(1) have b prestrict ((=) p) ∈ g B'
  using subcover g-B' global-p-depth-adelic-int.p-restrict-p-open-set-mem-iff
by blast
ultimately show ?thesis using g-B' by auto
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
end

lemma int-adic-prod-local-int-ring-compact:
  ∃ B ⊆ A. finite B ∧
    (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ` O_{V_p} ⊆ ∪ B
  if (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ` O_{V_p} ⊆ ∪ A
  and ∀ A ∈ A. generate-topology (p-adic-prod-local-p-open-nbhd p) A
  for p :: int prime
  and A :: int p-adic-prod set set
  using that p-adic-prod-local-int-ring-compact[of p A] finite-range-prestrict-single-int-prime
  by presburger

lemma int-adic-prod-local-scaled-int-ring-compact:
  ∃ B ⊆ A. finite B ∧
    (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ` (P_{V_p}^n)
    ⊆ ∪ B
  if
    (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ` (P_{V_p}^n)
    ⊆ ∪ A
  and ∀ A ∈ A. generate-topology (p-adic-prod-local-p-open-nbhd p) A
  for p :: int prime
  and A :: int p-adic-prod set set
  using that p-adic-prod-local-scaled-int-ring-compact[of p n A]
    finite-range-prestrict-single-int-prime
  by presburger

lemma int-adelic-int-local-int-ring-compact:
  ∃ B ⊆ A. finite B ∧
    range (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ⊆ ∪ B
  if range (λx. x prestrict ((=) p)) ⊆ ∪ A

```

```

and  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ . generate-topology (adelic-int-local-p-open-nbhds p) A
for p :: int prime
and  $\mathcal{A} :: \text{int adelic-int set set}$ 
using that adelic-int-local-int-ring-compact[of p  $\mathcal{A}$ ] finite-range-prestrict-single-int-prime
by presburger

lemma int-adelic-int-local-scaled-int-ring-compact:
 $\exists \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . finite  $\mathcal{B}$   $\wedge$ 
 $(\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))`(\mathcal{P}_{\forall p^n})$ 
 $\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{B}$ 
if
 $(\lambda x. x \text{ prestrict } ((=) p))`(\mathcal{P}_{\forall p^n})$ 
 $\subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}$ 
and  $\forall A \in \mathcal{A}$ . generate-topology (adelic-int-local-p-open-nbhds p) A
for p :: int prime
and  $\mathcal{A} :: \text{int adelic-int set set}$ 
using that adelic-int-local-scaled-int-ring-compact[of p n  $\mathcal{A}$ ]
finite-range-prestrict-single-int-prime
by presburger

end

```

References

- [1] J. W. S. Cassels. *Local Fields*, volume 3 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [2] D. S. Dummit and R. M. Foote. *Abstract Algebra*. Wiley, 3rd edition, 2004.
- [3] J. R. Munkres. *Topology*. Prentice Hall, 2nd edition, 1975.