

Completeness for FOL

James Margetson, ported by Tom Ridge

March 17, 2025

Contents

1	Permutation Lemmas	1
1.1	perm, count equivalence	1
1.2	Properties closed under Perm and Contr hold for x iff hold for remdups x	2
1.3	List properties closed under Perm, Weak and Contr are mono- tonic in the set of the list	2
2	Base	3
2.1	Integrate with Isabelle libraries?	3
2.2	Summation	3
2.3	Termination Measure	3
2.4	Functions	3
3	Formula	4
3.1	Variables	4
3.2	Predicates	6
3.3	Formulas	6
3.4	formula signs induct, formula signs cases	6
3.5	Frees	7
3.6	Substitutions	8
3.7	Models	9
3.8	model, non empty set and positive atom valuation	9
3.9	Validity	9
4	Sequents	10
4.1	Rules	11
4.2	Deductions	11
4.3	Basic Rule sets	11
4.4	Derived Rules	13
4.5	Standard Rule Sets For Predicate Calculus	14
4.6	Monotonicity for CutFreePC deductions	14
4.7	Tree	15

4.8	Terminal	15
4.9	Inherited	16
4.10	bounded, boundedBy	17
4.11	Inherited Properties- bounded	18
4.12	founded	19
4.13	Inherited Properties- founded	19
4.14	Inherited Properties- finite	20
4.15	path: follows a failing inherited property through tree	20
4.16	Branch	21
4.17	failing branch property: abstracts path defn	22
4.18	Tree induction principles	22
5	Completeness	22
5.1	pseq: type represents a processed sequent	23
5.2	subs: SATAxiom	23
5.3	subs: a CutFreePC justifiable backwards proof step	23
5.4	proofTree(Gamma) says whether tree(Gamma) is a proof	24
5.5	path: considers, contains, costBarrier	24
5.6	path: eventually	24
5.7	path: counter model	24
5.8	subs: finite	25
5.9	inherited: proofTree	25
5.10	pseq: lemma	26
5.11	SATAxiom: proofTree	26
5.12	SATAxioms are deductions: - needed	26
5.13	proofTrees are deductions: subs respects rules - messy start and end	26
5.14	proofTrees are deductions: instance of boundedTreeInduction	27
5.15	contains, considers:	27
5.16	path: nforms = [] implies	27
5.17	path: cases	27
5.18	path: contains not terminal and propagate condition	28
5.19	termination: (for EV contains implies EV considers)	28
5.20	costBarrier: lemmas	28
5.21	costBarrier: exp3 lemmas - bit specific...	28
5.22	costBarrier: decreases whilst contains and unconsiders	28
5.23	path: EV contains implies EV considers	29
5.24	EV contains: common lemma	29
5.25	EV contains: FConj,FDisj,FAll	29
5.26	EV contains: lemmas (temporal related)	30
5.27	EV contains: FAtoms	30
5.28	EEx contains: FEx cases	30
5.29	pseq: creates initial pseq	30

5.30	EV contains: contain any (i,FEx y) means contain all (i,FEx y)	31
5.31	EV contains: contain any (i,FEx y) means contain all (i,FEx y)	31
5.32	EV contains: atoms	31
5.33	counterModel: lemmas	32
5.34	counterModel: all path formula value false - step by step	32
5.35	adequacy	32
6	Soundness	33

1 Permutation Lemmas

```
theory PermutationLemmas
imports HOL-Library.Multiset
begin
```

— following function is very close to that in multisets- now we can make the connection that $x < > y$ iff the multiset of x is the same as that of y

1.1 perm, count equivalence

```
lemma count-eq:
  ‹count-list xs x = Multiset.count (mset xs) x›
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma perm-count: mset A = mset B ⟹ (∀ x. count-list A x = count-list B x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-0: (∀ x. count-list B x = 0) = (B = [])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-Suc: count-list B a = Suc m ⟹ a ∈ set B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-perm: !! B. (∀ x. count-list A x = count-list B x) ⟹ mset A =
  mset B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma perm-count-conv: mset A = mset B ⟷ (∀ x. count-list A x = count-list
B x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.2 Properties closed under Perm and Contr hold for x iff hold for remdups x

```
lemma remdups-append: y ∈ set ys ⟹ remdups (ws@y#ys) = remdups (ws@ys)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma perm-contr':
  assumes perm:  $\bigwedge_{xs\ ys} mset\ xs = mset\ ys \implies (P\ xs = P\ ys)$ 
  and contr':  $\bigwedge_{x\ xs} P(x\#x\#xs) = P(x\#xs)$ 
  shows length xs = n  $\implies (P\ xs = P(\text{remdups}\ xs))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma perm-contr:
  assumes perm:  $\bigwedge_{xs\ ys} mset\ xs = mset\ ys \implies (P\ xs = P\ ys)$ 
  and contr':  $\bigwedge_{x\ xs} P(x\#x\#xs) = P(x\#xs)$ 
  shows (P xs = P (remdups xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.3 List properties closed under Perm, Weak and Contr are monotonic in the set of the list

definition

```

rem :: 'a => 'a list => 'a list where
  rem x xs = filter (%y. y ~ = x) xs

```

```

lemma rem:  $x \notin \text{set}(rem\ x\ xs)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma length-rem:  $\text{length}(\text{rem}\ x\ xs) \leq \text{length}\ xs$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rem-notin:  $x \notin \text{set}\ xs \implies \text{rem}\ x\ xs = xs$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma perm-weak-filter':
  assumes perm:  $\bigwedge_{xs\ ys} mset\ xs = mset\ ys \implies (P\ xs = P\ ys)$ 
  and weak:  $\bigwedge_{x\ xs} P\ xs \implies P(x\#xs)$ 
  and P:  $P(ys@\text{filter}\ Q\ xs)$ 
  shows P (ys@xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma perm-weak-filter:
  assumes perm:  $\bigwedge_{xs\ ys} mset\ xs = mset\ ys \implies (P\ xs = P\ ys)$ 
  and weak:  $\bigwedge_{x\ xs} P\ xs \implies P(x\#xs)$ 
  shows P (filter Q xs)  $\implies P\ xs$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma perm-weak-contr-mono:
  assumes perm:  $\bigwedge_{xs\ ys} mset\ xs = mset\ ys \implies (P\ xs = P\ ys)$ 
  and contr:  $\bigwedge_{x\ xs} P(x\#x\#xs) \implies P(x\#xs)$ 
  and weak:  $\bigwedge_{x\ xs} P\ xs \implies P(x\#xs)$ 
  and xy:  $\text{set}\ x \subseteq \text{set}\ y$ 
  and Px: P x
  shows P y

```

```
<proof>
```

```
end
```

2 Base

```
theory Base
imports PermutationLemmas
begin
```

2.1 Integrate with Isabelle libraries?

```
lemma natset-finite-max:
assumes a: finite A
shows Suc (Max A) ∉ A
<proof>
```

2.2 Summation

```
primrec summation :: (nat ⇒ nat) ⇒ nat ⇒ nat
where
  summation f 0 = f 0
| summation f (Suc n) = f (Suc n) + summation f n
```

2.3 Termination Measure

```
primrec sumList :: nat list ⇒ nat
where
  sumList [] = 0
| sumList (x#xs) = x + sumList xs
```

2.4 Functions

```
abbreviation (input) preImage ≡ vimage
```

```
abbreviation (input) pre f a ≡ f⁻¹ {a}
```

```
definition
```

```
equalOn :: ['a set, 'a => 'b, 'a => 'b] => bool where
equalOn A f g = (∀ x ∈ A. f x = g x)
```

```
lemma preImage-insert: preImage f (insert a A) = pre f a ∪ preImage f A
<proof>
```

```
lemma equalOn-Un: equalOn (A ∪ B) f g = (equalOn A f g ∧ equalOn B f g)
<proof>
```

```
lemma equalOnD: equalOn A f g ⇒ (∀ x ∈ A . f x = g x)
<proof>
```

```

lemma equalOnI:( $\forall x \in A . f x = g x \Rightarrow \text{equalOn } A f g$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma equalOn-UnD:  $\text{equalOn } (A \cup B) f g \Rightarrow \text{equalOn } A f g \& \text{equalOn } B f g$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inj-inv-singleton[simp]:  $\llbracket \text{inj } f; f z = y \rrbracket \Rightarrow \{x . f x = y\} = \{z\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma finite-pre[simp]:  $\text{inj } f \Rightarrow \text{finite } (\text{pre } f x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare finite-vimageI [simp]

end

```

3 Formula

```

theory Formula
  imports Base
  begin

```

3.1 Variables

datatype vbl = X nat

— FIXME there's a lot of stuff about this datatype that is really just a lifting from nat (what else could it be). Makes me wonder whether things wouldn't be clearer if we just identified vbls with nats

primrec deX :: vbl \Rightarrow nat **where** deX (X n) = n

lemma X-deX[simp]: X (deX a) = a
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition zeroX = X 0

primrec
 nextX :: vbl \Rightarrow vbl **where**
 nextX (X n) = X (Suc n)

definition
 vblcase :: [$'a, vbl \Rightarrow 'a, vbl$] \Rightarrow 'a **where**
 vblcase a f n \equiv (@z. (n=zeroX \rightarrow z=a) \wedge (!x. n=nextX x \rightarrow z=f(x)))

declare [[case-translation vblcase zeroX nextX]]

definition

```

freshVar :: vbl set  $\Rightarrow$  vbl where
freshVar  $vs = X \ (\text{LEAST } n. \ n \notin \text{deX} \setminus vs)$ 

lemma  $\text{nextX-}\text{nextX}[\text{iff}]$ :  $\text{nextX } x = \text{nextX } y = (x = y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{inj-}\text{nextX}$ :  $\text{inj nextX}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{ind}$ :
  assumes  $P \text{ zeroX} \wedge v. \ P v \implies P (\text{nextX } v)$ 
  shows  $P v'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{zeroX-}\text{nextX}[\text{iff}]$ :  $\text{zeroX} \neq \text{nextX } a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas  $\text{nextX-}\text{zeroX}[\text{iff}] = \text{not-sym}[\text{OF zeroX-}\text{nextX}]$ 

lemma  $\text{nextX}$ :  $\text{nextX } (X \ n) = X \ (\text{Suc } n)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{vblcase-}\text{zeroX}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{vblcase } a \ b \ \text{zeroX} = a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{vblcase-}\text{nextX}[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{vblcase } a \ b \ (\text{nextX } n) = b \ n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{vbl-cases}$ :  $x = \text{zeroX} \vee (\exists y. \ x = \text{nextX } y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{vbl-casesE}$ :  $\llbracket x = \text{zeroX} \implies P; \wedge y. \ x = \text{nextX } y \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{comp-vblcase}$ :  $f \circ \text{vblcase } a \ b = \text{vblcase } (f \ a) \ (f \circ b)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{equalOn-vblcaseI}'$ :  $\text{equalOn } (\text{preImage nextX } A) \ f \ g \implies \text{equalOn } A \ (\text{vblcase } x \ f) \ (\text{vblcase } x \ g)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{equalOn-vblcaseI}$ :  $(\text{zeroX} \in A \implies x=y) \implies \text{equalOn } (\text{preImage nextX } A) \ f \ g \implies \text{equalOn } A \ (\text{vblcase } x \ f) \ (\text{vblcase } y \ g)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $X\text{-}\text{deX-connection}$ :  $X \ n \in A = (n \in (\text{deX} \setminus A))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{finiteFreshVar}$ :  $\text{finite } A \implies \text{freshVar } A \notin A$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshVarI*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{freshVar } A \notin B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshVarI2*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \bigwedge x . x \notin A \implies P x \rrbracket \implies P (\text{freshVar } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *vblsimps* = *vblcase-zeroX vblcase-nextX zeroX-nextX nextX-zeroX nextX-nextX comp-vblcase*

3.2 Predicates

datatype *predicate* = *Predicate nat*

datatype *signs* = *Pos | Neg*

primrec *sign* :: *signs* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool*
where
 sign Pos x = x
 | *sign Neg x = (¬ x)*

primrec *invSign* :: *signs* \Rightarrow *signs*
where
 invSign Pos = Neg
 | *invSign Neg = Pos*

3.3 Formulas

datatype *formula* =
 FAtom signs predicate (vbl list)
 | *FConj signs formula formula*
 | *FAll signs formula*

3.4 formula signs induct, formula signs cases

lemma *formula-signs-induct* [*case-names FAtomP FAtomN FConjP FConjN FAllP FAllN, cases type: formula*]:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge p vs. P (\text{FAtom } Pos p vs);$
 $\bigwedge p vs. P (\text{FAtom } Neg p vs);$
 $\bigwedge A B . \llbracket P A; P B \rrbracket \implies P (\text{FConj } Pos A B);$
 $\bigwedge A B . \llbracket P A; P B \rrbracket \implies P (\text{FConj } Neg A B);$
 $\bigwedge A . \llbracket P A \rrbracket \implies P (\text{FAll } Pos A);$
 $\bigwedge A . \llbracket P A \rrbracket \implies P (\text{FAll } Neg A)$
 $\rrbracket \implies P A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sizelemmas*: *size A < size (FConj z A B)*
size B < size (FConj z A B)
size A < size (FAll z A)

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
primrec FNot :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  formula
  where
    FNot-FAtom: FNot (FAtom z P vs) = FAtom (invSign z) P vs
    | FNot-FConj: FNot (FConj z A0 A1) = FConj (invSign z) (FNot A0) (FNot A1)
    | FNot-FAll: FNot (FAll z body) = FAll (invSign z) (FNot body)
```

```
primrec neg :: signs  $\Rightarrow$  signs
  where
    neg Pos = Neg
    | neg Neg = Pos
```

```
primrec
  dual :: [(signs  $\Rightarrow$  signs), (signs  $\Rightarrow$  signs), (signs  $\Rightarrow$  signs)]  $\Rightarrow$  formula  $\Rightarrow$  formula
  where
    dual-FAtom: dual p q r (FAtom z P vs) = FAtom (p z) P vs
    | dual-FConj: dual p q r (FConj z A0 A1) = FConj (q z) (dual p q r A0) (dual p q r A1)
    | dual-FAll: dual p q r (FAll z body) = FAll (r z) (dual p q r body)
```

lemma dualCompose: dual p q r \circ dual P Q R = dual (p \circ P) (q \circ Q) (r \circ R)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dualFNot': dual invSign invSign invSign = FNot
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dualFNot: dual invSign id id (FNot A) = FNot (dual invSign id id A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dualId: dual id id id A = A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.5 Frees

```
primrec freeVarsF :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  vbl set
  where
    freeVarsFAtom: freeVarsF (FAtom z P vs) = set vs
    | freeVarsFConj: freeVarsF (FConj z A0 A1) = (freeVarsF A0)  $\cup$  (freeVarsF A1)
    | freeVarsFAll: freeVarsF (FAll z body) = preImage nextX (freeVarsF body)
```

definition
 $freeVarsFL :: formula list \Rightarrow vbl set$ where
 $freeVarsFL \Gamma = Union (freeVarsF ` (set \Gamma))$

lemma freeVarsF-FNot[simp]: freeVarsF (FNot A) = freeVarsF A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma finite-freeVarsF[simp]: finite (freeVarsF A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma freeVarsFL-nil[simp]: freeVarsFL ([] ) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma freeVarsFL-cons: freeVarsFL (A#Γ) = freeVarsF A ∪ freeVarsFL Γ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-freeVarsFL[simp]: finite (freeVarsFL Γ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma freeVarsDual: freeVarsF (dual p q r A) = freeVarsF A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.6 Substitutions

```

primrec subF :: [vbl ⇒ vbl, formula] ⇒ formula
  where
    subFAtom: subF theta (FAtom z P vs) = FAtom z P (map theta vs)
    | subFConj: subF theta (FConj z A0 A1) = FConj z (subF theta A0) (subF theta A1)
    | subFAll: subF theta (FAll z body) =
      FAll z (subF (λv . (case v of zeroX ⇒ zeroX | nextX v ⇒ nextX (theta v))) body)

```

lemma size-subF: size (subF theta A) = size (A::formula)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma subFNot: subF theta (FNot A) = FNot (subF theta A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma subFDual: subF theta (dual p q r A) = dual p q r (subF theta A)
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

```

instanceF :: [vbl, formula] ⇒ formula where
  instanceF w body = subF (λv. case v of zeroX ⇒ w | nextX v ⇒ v) body

lemma size-instance: size (instanceF v A) = size A  

  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma instanceFDual: instanceF u (dual p q r A) = dual p q r (instanceF u A)
 ⟨proof⟩

3.7 Models

```

typeddecl
  object

```

```

axiomatization obj :: nat ⇒ object
where inj-obj: inj obj

```

3.8 model, non empty set and positive atom valuation

```

definition model = {z :: (object set * ([predicate,object list] ⇒ bool)). (fst z ≠ {})}

```

```

typedef model = model
⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

objects :: model ⇒ object set where
objects M = fst (Rep-model M)

```

definition

```

evalP :: model ⇒ predicate ⇒ object list ⇒ bool where
evalP M = snd (Rep-model M)

```

```

lemma objectsNonEmpty: objects M ≠ {}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma modelsNonEmptyI: fst (Rep-model M) ≠ {}
⟨proof⟩

```

3.9 Validity

```

primrec evalF :: [model,vbl ⇒ object,formula] ⇒ bool
where
  evalFAtom: evalF M φ (FAtom z P vs) = sign z (evalP M P (map φ vs))
  | evalFConj: evalF M φ (FConj z A0 A1) = sign z (sign z (evalF M φ A0) ∧
  sign z (evalF M φ A1))
  | evalFAll: evalF M φ (FAll z body) =
    sign z (forall x ∈ objects M. sign z (evalF M (λv . (case v of zeroX ⇒ x | nextX
    v ⇒ φ v)) body))

```

definition

```

valid :: formula ⇒ bool where
valid F ≡ (forall M φ. evalF M φ F = True)

```

```

lemma evalF-FAll: evalF M φ (FAll Pos A) = (forall x ∈ objects M. (evalF M (vblcase
x φ) A))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma evalF-FEx: evalF M φ (FAll Neg A) = (exists x ∈ objects M. (evalF M (vblcase
x φ) A))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma evalF-arg2-cong:  $x = y \implies \text{evalF } M p x = \text{evalF } M p y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma evalF-FNot:  $!!\varphi. \text{evalF } M \varphi (\text{FNot } A) = (\neg \text{evalF } M \varphi A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma evalF-equiv:  $\text{equalOn } (\text{freeVarsF } A) f g \implies \text{evalF } M f A = \text{evalF } M g A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma evalF-subF-eq:  $\text{evalF } M \varphi (\text{subF } \theta A) = \text{evalF } M (\varphi \circ \theta) A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma evalF-instance:  $\text{evalF } M \varphi (\text{instanceF } u A) = \text{evalF } M (\text{vblcase } (\varphi u) \varphi)$ 
   $A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma instanceF-E:  $\text{instanceF } g \text{ formula} \neq \text{FAll signs formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

4 Sequents

```

theory Sequents
imports Formula
begin

```

```

type-synonym sequent = formula list

```

definition

```

evalS :: [model,vbl ⇒ object,formula list] ⇒ bool where
  evalS M φ fs ≡ (∃f ∈ set fs . evalF M φ f = True)

```

```

lemma evalS-nil[simp]: evalS M φ [] = False
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma evalS-cons[simp]: evalS M φ (A # Γ) = (evalF M φ A ∨ evalS M φ Γ)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma evalS-append: evalS M φ (Γ @ Δ) = (evalS M φ Γ ∨ evalS M φ Δ)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma evalS-equiv: (equalOn (freeVarsFL Γ) f g) ⇒ (evalS M f Γ = evalS M g Γ)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

definition

```

modelAssigns :: [model] ⇒ (vbl ⇒ object) set where
  modelAssigns M = { φ . range φ ⊆ objects M }

```

lemma *modelAssigns-iff* [simp]: $f \in \text{modelAssigns } M \longleftrightarrow \text{range } f \subseteq \text{objects } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

validS :: formula list \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $\text{validS } fs \equiv (\forall M. \forall \varphi \in \text{modelAssigns } M . \text{evalS } M \varphi fs = \text{True})$

4.1 Rules

type-synonym *rule* = sequent * (sequent set)

definition

concR :: *rule* \Rightarrow sequent **where**
 $\text{concR} = (\lambda(\text{conc}, \text{prems}). \text{conc})$

definition

premsR :: *rule* \Rightarrow sequent set **where**
 $\text{premsR} = (\lambda(\text{conc}, \text{prems}). \text{prems})$

definition

mapRule :: (formula \Rightarrow formula) \Rightarrow rule \Rightarrow rule **where**
 $\text{mapRule} = (\lambda f (\text{conc}, \text{prems}) . (\text{map } f \text{ conc}, (\text{map } f) \text{ ` prems}))$

lemma *mapRuleI*: $\llbracket A = \text{map } f a; B = \text{map } f ` b \rrbracket \implies (A, B) = \text{mapRule } f (a, b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 Deductions

lemmas *Powp-mono* [mono] = *Pow-mono* [to-pred pred-subset-eq]

inductive-set

deductions :: rule set \Rightarrow formula list set
for *rules* :: rule set

where

inferI: $\llbracket ((\text{conc}, \text{prems}) \in \text{rules}; \text{prems} \in \text{Pow}(\text{deductions}(\text{rules}))) \rrbracket \implies \text{conc} \in \text{deductions}(\text{rules})$

lemma *mono-deductions*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies \text{deductions}(A) \subseteq \text{deductions}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.3 Basic Rule sets

definition

Axioms = { $z. \exists p \text{ vs. } z = ([FAtom \text{ Pos } p \text{ vs}, FAtom \text{ Neg } p \text{ vs}], \{\})$ }

definition

Conjs = { $z. \exists A0 A1 \Delta \Gamma. z = (FConj \text{ Pos } A0 A1 \# \Gamma @ \Delta, \{A0 \# \Gamma, A1 \# \Delta\})$ }

definition

$$Disjs = \{z. \exists A0 A1 \quad \Gamma. z = (FConj Neg A0 A1 \# \Gamma, \{A0 \# A1 \# \Gamma\})\}$$

definition

$$Alls = \{z. \exists A x \quad \Gamma. z = (FAll Pos A \# \Gamma, \{instanceF x A \# \Gamma\}) \wedge x \notin freeVarsFL (FAll Pos A \# \Gamma)\}$$

definition

$$Exs = \{z. \exists A x \quad \Gamma. z = (Fall Neg A \# \Gamma, \{instanceF x A \# \Gamma\})\}$$

definition

$$Weak = \{z. \exists A \quad \Gamma. z = (A \# \Gamma, \{\Gamma\})\}$$

definition

$$Contrs = \{z. \exists A \quad \Gamma. z = (A \# \Gamma, \{A \# A \# \Gamma\})\}$$

definition

$$Cuts = \{z. \exists C \Delta \quad \Gamma. z = (\Gamma @ \Delta, \{C \# \Gamma, FNot C \# \Delta\})\}$$

definition

$$Perms = \{z. \exists \Gamma \Delta \quad . z = (\Gamma, \{\Delta\}) \wedge mset \Gamma = mset \Delta\}$$

definition

$$DAxioms = \{z. \exists p vs. \quad z = ([FAtom Neg p vs, FAtom Pos p vs], \{\})\}$$

lemma *AxiomI*: $\llbracket Axioms \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies [FAtom Pos p vs, FAtom Neg p vs] \in deductions(A)$

(proof)

lemma *DAxiomsI*: $\llbracket DAxioms \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies [FAtom Neg p vs, FAtom Pos p vs] \in deductions(A)$

(proof)

lemma *DisjI*: $\llbracket A0 \# A1 \# \Gamma \in deductions(A); Disjs \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies (FConj Neg A0 A1 \# \Gamma) \in deductions(A)$

(proof)

lemma *ConjI*: $\llbracket (A0 \# \Gamma) \in deductions(A); (A1 \# \Delta) \in deductions(A); Conjs \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies FConj Pos A0 A1 \# \Gamma @ \Delta \in deductions(A)$

(proof)

lemma *AllI*: $\llbracket instanceF w A \# \Gamma \in deductions(R); w \notin freeVarsFL (FAll Pos A \# \Gamma); Alls \subseteq R \rrbracket \implies (FAll Pos A \# \Gamma) \in deductions(R)$

(proof)

lemma *ExI*: $\llbracket instanceF w A \# \Gamma \in deductions(R); Exs \subseteq R \rrbracket \implies (Fall Neg A \# \Gamma) \in deductions(R)$

(proof)

lemma *WeakI*: $\llbracket \Gamma \in \text{deductions } R; \text{Weaks} \subseteq R \rrbracket \implies A \# \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(R)$
(proof)

lemma *ContrI*: $\llbracket A \# A \# \Gamma \in \text{deductions } R; \text{Contrs} \subseteq R \rrbracket \implies A \# \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(R)$
(proof)

lemma *PermI*: $\llbracket \text{Gamma}' \in \text{deductions } R; \text{mset } \Gamma = \text{mset } \text{Gamma}'; \text{Perms} \subseteq R \rrbracket \implies \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(R)$
(proof)

4.4 Derived Rules

lemma *WeakI1*: $\llbracket \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(A); \text{Weaks} \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies (\Delta @ \Gamma) \in \text{deductions}(A)$
(proof)

lemma *WeakI2*: $\llbracket \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(A); \text{Perms} \subseteq A; \text{Weaks} \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies (\Gamma @ \Delta) \in \text{deductions}(A)$
(proof)

lemma *SATAxiomI*: $\llbracket \text{Axioms} \subseteq A; \text{Weaks} \subseteq A; \text{Perms} \subseteq A; \text{forms} = [\text{FAtom Pos } n \text{ vs}, \text{FAtom Neg } n \text{ vs}] @ \Gamma \rrbracket \implies \text{forms} \in \text{deductions}(A)$
(proof)

lemma *DisjI1*: $\llbracket (A1 \# \Gamma) \in \text{deductions}(A); \text{Disjs} \subseteq A; \text{Weaks} \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{FConj Neg } A0 \text{ A1} \# \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(A)$
(proof)

lemma *DisjI2*: $\llbracket (A0 \# \Gamma) \in \text{deductions}(A); \text{Disjs} \subseteq A; \text{Weaks} \subseteq A; \text{Perms} \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{FConj Neg } A0 \text{ A1} \# \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(A)$
(proof)

lemma *perm-tmp4*: $\text{Perms} \subseteq R \implies A @ (a \# \text{list}) @ (a \# \text{list}) \in \text{deductions } R$
 $\implies (a \# a \# A) @ \text{list} @ \text{list} \in \text{deductions } R$
(proof)

lemma *weaken-append*:

$\text{Contrs} \subseteq R \implies \text{Perms} \subseteq R \implies A @ \Gamma @ \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(R) \implies A @ \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(R)$
(proof)

lemma *ListWeakI*: $\text{Perms} \subseteq R \implies \text{Contrs} \subseteq R \implies x \# \Gamma @ \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(R)$
 $\implies x \# \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(R)$
(proof)

lemma *ConjI'*: $\llbracket (A0 \# \Gamma) \in \text{deductions}(A); (A1 \# \Gamma) \in \text{deductions}(A); \text{Contrs} \subseteq A; \text{Conjs} \subseteq A; \text{Perms} \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{FConj Pos } A0 \text{ A1} \# \Gamma \in \text{deductions}(A)$
(proof)

4.5 Standard Rule Sets For Predicate Calculus

definition

PC :: rule set **where**

PC = Union {Perms, Axioms, Conjs, Disjs, Alls, Exs, Weaks, Contrs, Cuts}

definition

CutFreePC :: rule set **where**

CutFreePC = Union {Perms, Axioms, Conjs, Disjs, Alls, Exs, Weaks, Contrs}

lemma rulesInPCs: Axioms ⊆ PC Axioms ⊆ CutFreePC

Conjs ⊆ PC Conjs ⊆ CutFreePC

Disjs ⊆ PC Disjs ⊆ CutFreePC

Alls ⊆ PC Alls ⊆ CutFreePC

Exs ⊆ PC Exs ⊆ CutFreePC

Weaks ⊆ PC Weaks ⊆ CutFreePC

Contrs ⊆ PC Contrs ⊆ CutFreePC

Perms ⊆ PC Perms ⊆ CutFreePC

Cuts ⊆ PC

CutFreePC ⊆ PC

{proof}

4.6 Monotonicity for CutFreePC deductions

definition

inDed :: formula list ⇒ bool **where**

inDed xs ≡ xs ∈ deductions CutFreePC

lemma perm: mset xs = mset ys ⇒ (inDed xs = inDed ys)

{proof}

lemma contr: inDed (x#x#xs) ⇒ inDed (x#xs)

{proof}

lemma weak: inDed xs ⇒ inDed (x#xs)

{proof}

lemma inDed-mono'[simplified inDed-def]: set x ⊆ set y ⇒ inDed x ⇒ inDed y
{proof}

lemma inDed-mono[simplified inDed-def]: inDed x ⇒ set x ⊆ set y ⇒ inDed y
{proof}

end

theory Tree

imports Main

begin

4.7 Tree

inductive-set

```
tree :: ['a ⇒ 'a set,'a] ⇒ (nat * 'a) set
for subs :: 'a ⇒ 'a set and γ :: 'a
```

— This set represents the nodes in a tree which may represent a proof of γ .
Only storing the annotation and its level.

where

```
tree0: (0,γ) ∈ tree subs γ
```

```
| tree1: [(n,delta) ∈ tree subs γ; sigma ∈ subs delta]
  ==> (Suc n,sigma) ∈ tree subs γ
```

declare tree.cases [elim]

declare tree.intro [intro]

lemma tree0Eq: $(0,y) \in \text{tree subs } \gamma = (y = \gamma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma tree1Eq:

```
(Suc n,Y) ∈ tree subs γ ←→ (∃ sigma ∈ subs γ . (n,Y) ∈ tree subs sigma)
⟨ proof ⟩
```

definition

```
incLevel :: nat * 'a ⇒ nat * 'a where
incLevel = (% (n,a). (Suc n,a))
```

lemma injIncLevel: inj incLevel
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma treeEquation: $\text{tree subs } \gamma = \text{insert } (0,\gamma) (\bigcup_{\delta \in \text{subs } \gamma} \text{incLevel} \ ' \text{tree subs } \delta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

```
fans :: ['a ⇒ 'a set] ⇒ bool where
fans subs ≡ (∀ x. finite (subs x))
```

4.8 Terminal

definition

```
terminal :: ['a ⇒ 'a set,'a] ⇒ bool where
terminal subs delta ≡ subs(delta) = {}
```

lemma terminalD: $\text{terminal subs } \Gamma \implies x \sim: \text{subs } \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma terminalI: $x \in \text{subs } \Gamma \implies \neg \text{terminal subs } \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.9 Inherited

definition

```

inherited :: [ $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, (\text{nat} * 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
inherited subs  $P \equiv (\forall A B. (P A \wedge P B) = P (A \text{ Un } B))$ 
 $\wedge (\forall A. P A = P (\text{incLevel} ' A))$ 
 $\wedge (\forall n \Gamma A. \neg(\text{terminal subs } \Gamma) \longrightarrow P A = P (\text{insert} (n, \Gamma) A))$ 
 $\wedge (P \{\})$ 

```

— FIXME tjr why does it have to be invariant under inserting nonterminal nodes?

lemma $\text{inheritedUn}[\text{rule-format}]: \text{inherited subs } P \longrightarrow P A \longrightarrow P B \longrightarrow P (A \text{ Un } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inheritedIncLevel}[\text{rule-format}]: \text{inherited subs } P \longrightarrow P A \longrightarrow P (\text{incLevel} ' A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inheritedEmpty}[\text{rule-format}]: \text{inherited subs } P \longrightarrow P \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inheritedInsert}[\text{rule-format}]:$
 $\text{inherited subs } P \longrightarrow \sim(\text{terminal subs } \Gamma) \longrightarrow P A \longrightarrow P (\text{insert} (n, \Gamma) A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inheritedI}[\text{rule-format}]: [\forall A B. (P A \wedge P B) = P (A \text{ Un } B);$
 $\forall A. P A = P (\text{incLevel} ' A);$
 $\forall n \Gamma A. \sim(\text{terminal subs } \Gamma) \longrightarrow P A = P (\text{insert} (n, \Gamma) A);$
 $P \{\}]] \implies \text{inherited subs } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inheritedUnEq}[\text{rule-format, symmetric}]: \text{inherited subs } P \longrightarrow (P A \wedge P B) = P (A \text{ Un } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inheritedIncLevelEq}[\text{rule-format, symmetric}]: \text{inherited subs } P \longrightarrow P A = P (\text{incLevel} ' A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inheritedInsertEq}[\text{rule-format, symmetric}]: \text{inherited subs } P \longrightarrow \sim(\text{terminal subs } \Gamma) \longrightarrow P A = P (\text{insert} (n, \Gamma) A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{inheritedUnD} = \text{iffD1}[OF \text{ inheritedUnEq}]$

lemmas $\text{inheritedInsertD} = \text{inheritedInsertEq}[THEN \text{ iffD1}]$

```

lemmas inheritedIncLevelD = inheritedIncLevelEq[THEN iffD1]

lemma inheritedUNEq:
  finite A  $\implies$  inherited subs P  $\implies$  ( $\forall x \in A$ . P (B x)) = P ( $\bigcup_{a \in A}$ . B a)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas inheritedUN = inheritedUNEq[THEN iffD1]

lemmas inheritedUND[rule-format] = inheritedUNEq[THEN iffD2]

lemma inheritedPropagateEq:
  assumes inherited subs P
  and fans subs
  and  $\neg$  (terminal subs delta)
  shows P(tree subs delta) = ( $\forall \sigma \in \text{subs } \delta$ . P(tree subs sigma))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inheritedPropagate:
   $\llbracket \neg P(\text{tree subs delta}); \text{inherited subs } P; \text{fans subs}; \neg \text{terminal subs delta} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists \sigma \in \text{subs } \delta. \neg P(\text{tree subs } \sigma)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inheritedViaSub:
   $\llbracket \text{inherited subs } P; \text{fans subs}; P(\text{tree subs delta}); \sigma \in \text{subs } \delta \rrbracket \implies P(\text{tree subs } \sigma)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inheritedJoin:
   $\llbracket \text{inherited subs } P; \text{inherited subs } Q \rrbracket \implies \text{inherited subs } (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inheritedJoinI:
   $\llbracket \text{inherited subs } P; \text{inherited subs } Q; R = (\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{inherited subs } R$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

4.10 bounded, boundedBy

definition

```

boundedBy :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat * 'a) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  boundedBy N A  $\equiv$  ( $\forall (n, \delta) \in A$ . n < N)

```

definition

```

bounded :: (nat * 'a) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  bounded A  $\equiv$  ( $\exists N$  . boundedBy N A)

```

lemma boundedByEmpty[simp]: boundedBy N {}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma boundedByInsert: boundedBy N (insert (n,delta) B)      = (n < N ∧
boundedBy N B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedByUn: boundedBy N (A Un B) = (boundedBy N A ∧ boundedBy
N B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedByIncLevel': boundedBy (Suc N) (incLevel ` A) = boundedBy N A
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedByAdd1: boundedBy N B ==> boundedBy (N+M) B
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedByAdd2: boundedBy M B ==> boundedBy (N+M) B
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedByMono: boundedBy m B ==> m < M ==> boundedBy M B
⟨proof⟩

lemmas boundedByMonoD = boundedByMono

lemma boundedBy0: boundedBy 0 A = (A = {})
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedBySuc': boundedBy N A ==> boundedBy (Suc N) A
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedByIncLevel: boundedBy n (incLevel ` (tree subs γ)) ←→ (Ǝ m . n
= Suc m ∧ boundedBy m (tree subs γ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedByUN: boundedBy N (UN x:A. B x) = (!x:A. boundedBy N (B x))
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedBySuc[rule-format]: sigma ∈ subs Γ ==> boundedBy (Suc n) (tree
subs Γ) ==> boundedBy n (tree subs sigma)
⟨proof⟩

```

4.11 Inherited Properties- bounded

```

lemma boundedEmpty: bounded {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedUn: bounded (A Un B) ←→ (bounded A ∧ bounded B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma boundedIncLevel: bounded (incLevel` A) ←→ (bounded A)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *boundedInsert*: *bounded* (*insert a B*) \longleftrightarrow (*bounded B*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inheritedBounded*: *inherited subs bounded*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.12 founded

definition

founded :: [$'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}, (\text{nat} * 'a) \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
founded subs Pred = $(\%A. !(n, \text{delta}):A. \text{terminal subs delta} \longrightarrow \text{Pred delta})$

lemma *foundedD*: *founded subs P* (*tree subs delta*) \Longrightarrow *terminal subs delta* \Longrightarrow *P*
delta
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foundedMono*: $\llbracket \text{founded subs } P \ A; \forall x. P \ x \longrightarrow Q \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{founded subs } Q \ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foundedSubs*: *founded subs P* (*tree subs Γ*) \Longrightarrow $\sigma \in \text{subs } \Gamma \Longrightarrow \text{founded subs } P$ (*tree subs σ*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.13 Inherited Properties- founded

lemma *foundedInsert*: $\neg \text{terminal subs delta} \Longrightarrow \text{founded subs } P$ (*insert (n, delta)*
B) = (*founded subs P B*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foundedUn*: (*founded subs P (A Un B)*) = (*founded subs P A* \wedge *founded subs P B*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foundedIncLevel*: *founded subs P (incLevel ' A)* = (*founded subs P A*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foundedEmpty*: *founded subs P {}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inheritedFounded*: *inherited subs (founded subs P)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.14 Inherited Properties- finite

lemma *finiteUn*: *finite (A Un B)* = (*finite A* \wedge *finite B*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finiteIncLevel*: $\text{finite}(\text{incLevel} \ 'A) = \text{finite} A$
(proof)

lemma *inheritedFinite*: $\text{inherited subs finite}$
(proof)

4.15 path: follows a failing inherited property through tree

definition

$\text{failingSub} :: [a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, (\text{nat} * 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $\text{failingSub subs } P \gamma \equiv (\text{SOME } \sigma. (\sigma : \text{subs } \gamma \wedge \neg P(\text{tree subs } \sigma)))$

lemma *failingSubProps*:

$\llbracket \text{inherited subs } P; \neg P(\text{tree subs } \gamma); \neg \text{terminal subs } \gamma; \text{fans subs} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{failingSub subs } P \gamma \in \text{subs } \gamma \wedge \neg P(\text{tree subs } (\text{failingSub subs } P \gamma))$
(proof)

lemma *failingSubFailsI*:

$\llbracket \text{inherited subs } P; \neg P(\text{tree subs } \gamma); \neg \text{terminal subs } \gamma; \text{fans subs} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg P(\text{tree subs } (\text{failingSub subs } P \gamma))$
(proof)

lemmas *failingSubFailsE* = *failingSubFailsI*[THEN *notE*]

lemma *failingSubSubs*:

$\llbracket \text{inherited subs } P; \neg P(\text{tree subs } \gamma); \neg \text{terminal subs } \gamma; \text{fans subs} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{failingSub subs } P \gamma \in \text{subs } \gamma$
(proof)

primrec *path* :: $[a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, 'a, (\text{nat} * 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow 'a$
where

$\text{path} 0: \text{path subs } \gamma P 0 = \gamma$
 $| \text{path} \text{Suc}: \text{path subs } \gamma P (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{if terminal subs } (\text{path subs } \gamma P n)$
 $\quad \text{then path subs } \gamma P n$
 $\quad \text{else failingSub subs } P (\text{path subs } \gamma P n))$

lemma *pathFailsP*:

$\llbracket \text{inherited subs } P; \text{fans subs}; \neg P(\text{tree subs } \gamma) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg P(\text{tree subs } (\text{path subs } \gamma P n))$
(proof)

lemmas *PpathE* = *pathFailsP*[THEN *notE*]

lemma *pathTerminal*:

$\llbracket \text{inherited subs } P; \text{fans subs}; \text{terminal subs } \gamma \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{terminal subs } (\text{path subs } \gamma P n)$
(proof)

```

lemma pathStarts: path subs  $\gamma$  P 0 =  $\gamma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pathSubs:
  [[inherited subs P; fans subs;  $\neg P$  (tree subs  $\gamma$ );  $\neg$  terminal subs (path subs  $\gamma$  P n)]]
     $\implies$  path subs  $\gamma$  P (Suc n)  $\in$  subs (path subs  $\gamma$  P n)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pathStops: terminal subs (path subs  $\gamma$  P n)  $\implies$  path subs  $\gamma$  P (Suc n) =
  path subs  $\gamma$  P n
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

4.16 Branch

definition

```

branch :: ['a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set, 'a, nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a]  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
branch subs  $\Gamma$  f  $\leftrightarrow$  f 0 =  $\Gamma$ 
   $\wedge$  (!n . terminal subs (f n)  $\longrightarrow$  f (Suc n) = f n)
   $\wedge$  (!n .  $\neg$  terminal subs (f n)  $\longrightarrow$  f (Suc n)  $\in$  subs (f n))

```

```

lemma branch0: branch subs  $\Gamma$  f  $\implies$  f 0 =  $\Gamma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma branchStops: branch subs  $\Gamma$  f  $\implies$  terminal subs (f n)  $\implies$  f (Suc n) = f n
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma branchSubs: branch subs  $\Gamma$  f  $\implies$   $\neg$  terminal subs (f n)  $\implies$  f (Suc n)  $\in$ 
  subs (f n)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma branchI: [[f 0 =  $\Gamma$ ;
   $\forall$  n . terminal subs (f n)  $\longrightarrow$  f (Suc n) = f n;
   $\forall$  n .  $\neg$  terminal subs (f n)  $\longrightarrow$  f (Suc n)  $\in$  subs (f n)]]  $\implies$  branch subs  $\Gamma$  f
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma branchTerminalPropagates: branch subs  $\Gamma$  f  $\implies$  terminal subs (f m)  $\implies$ 
  terminal subs (f (m + n))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma branchTerminalMono:
  branch subs  $\Gamma$  f  $\implies$  m < n  $\implies$  terminal subs (f m)  $\implies$  terminal subs (f n)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma branchPath:
  [[inherited subs P; fans subs;  $\neg P$  (tree subs  $\gamma$ )]]
     $\implies$  branch subs  $\gamma$  (path subs  $\gamma$  P)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

4.17 failing branch property: abstracts path defn

```

lemma failingBranchExistence:
   $\llbracket \text{inherited subs } P; \text{fans subs}; \neg P(\text{tree subs } \gamma) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists f . \text{branch subs } \gamma f \wedge (\forall n . \neg P(\text{tree subs } (f n)))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
  infBranch ::  $[a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, a, nat \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
    infBranch subs  $\Gamma f \longleftrightarrow f 0 = \Gamma \wedge (\forall n. f(Suc n) \in \text{subs } (f n))$ 

lemma infBranchI:  $\llbracket f 0 = \Gamma; \forall n . f(Suc n) \in \text{subs } (f n) \rrbracket \implies \text{infBranch subs } \Gamma f$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

4.18 Tree induction principles

lemma boundedTreeInduction':
   $\llbracket \text{fans subs};$ 
   $\forall \delta. \neg \text{terminal subs } \delta \longrightarrow (\forall \sigma \in \text{subs } \delta. P \sigma) \longrightarrow P \delta$ 
 $\rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \forall \Gamma. \text{boundedBy } m (\text{tree subs } \Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{founded subs } P (\text{tree subs } \Gamma) \longrightarrow P \Gamma$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma boundedTreeInduction:
   $\llbracket \text{fans subs};$ 
   $\text{bounded } (\text{tree subs } \Gamma); \text{founded subs } P (\text{tree subs } \Gamma);$ 
 $\bigwedge \delta. \llbracket \neg \text{terminal subs } \delta; (\forall \sigma \in \text{subs } \delta. P \sigma) \rrbracket \implies P \delta$ 
 $\rrbracket \implies P \Gamma$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma boundedTreeInduction2:
   $\llbracket \text{fans subs};$ 
   $\forall \delta. (\forall \sigma \in \text{subs } \delta. P \sigma) \longrightarrow P \delta$ 
 $\implies \text{boundedBy } m (\text{tree subs } \Gamma) \longrightarrow P \Gamma$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

5 Completeness

```

theory Completeness
imports Tree Sequents
begin

```

5.1 pseq: type represents a processed sequent

```

type-synonym atom = (signs * predicate * vbl list)
type-synonym nform = (nat * formula)
type-synonym pseq = (atom list * nform list)

```

definition

sequent :: *pseq* \Rightarrow *formula list* **where**
sequent = $(\lambda(atoms,nforms) . map\ snd\ nforms @ map\ (\lambda(z,p,vs) . FAtom\ z\ p\ vs) atoms)$

definition

pseq :: *formula list* \Rightarrow *pseq* **where**
pseq *fs* = $([],map\ (\lambda f.(0,f))\ fs)$

definition *atoms* :: *pseq* \Rightarrow *atom list* **where** *atoms* = *fst*
definition *nforms* :: *pseq* \Rightarrow *nform list* **where** *nforms* = *snd*

5.2 subs: SATAxiom

definition

SATAxiom :: *formula list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
SATAxiom *fs* \equiv $(\exists n\ vs . FAtom\ Pos\ n\ vs \in set\ fs \wedge FAtom\ Neg\ n\ vs \in set\ fs)$

5.3 subs: a CutFreePC justifiable backwards proof step

definition

subsFAtom :: [*atom list*, (*nat * formula*) *list*, *signs*, *predicate*, *vbl list*] \Rightarrow *pseq* *set*
where
subsFAtom *atms* *nAs* *z P vs* = { $((z,P,vs)\#atms,nAs)$ }

definition

subsFConj :: [*atom list*, (*nat * formula*) *list*, *signs*, *formula*, *formula*] \Rightarrow *pseq* *set*
where
subsFConj *atms* *nAs* *z A0 A1* =
(case *z* of
| *Pos* \Rightarrow { $(atms,(0,A0)\#nAs),(atms,(0,A1)\#nAs)$ }
| *Neg* \Rightarrow { $(atms,(0,A0)\#(0,A1)\#nAs)$ })

definition

subsFAll :: [*atom list*, (*nat * formula*) *list*, *nat*, *signs*, *formula*, *vbl set*] \Rightarrow *pseq* *set*
where
subsFAll *atms* *nAs* *n z A frees* =
(case *z* of
| *Pos* \Rightarrow { let *v* = *freshVar* *frees* in $(atms,(0,instanceF\ v\ A)\#nAs)$ }
| *Neg* \Rightarrow { $(atms,(0,instanceF\ (X\ n)\ A)\#nAs @ [(Suc\ n,FAll\ Neg\ A)])$ })

definition

subs :: *pseq* \Rightarrow *pseq* *set* **where**
subs = $(\lambda pseq .$
 if *SATAxiom* (*sequent* *pseq*) *then*
 { }
 else let $(atms,nforms) = pseq$
 in case *nforms* *of*
 [] \Rightarrow { }
 | *nA* $\#$ *nAs* \Rightarrow *let* $(n,A) = nA$

```

in  (case A of
      FAtom z P vs => subsFAtom atms nAs z P vs
      | FConj z A0 A1 => subsFConj atms nAs z A0 A1
      | FAll z A      => subsFAll atms nAs n z A
(freeVarsFL (sequent pseq)))

```

5.4 proofTree(Gamma) says whether tree(Gamma) is a proof

definition

```

proofTree :: (nat * pseq) set => bool where
proofTree A <-> bounded A ∧ founded subs (SATAxiom ∘ sequent) A

```

5.5 path: considers, contains, costBarrier

definition

```

considers :: [nat => pseq, nat * formula, nat] => bool where
considers f nA n = (case (snd (f n)) of [] => False | x#xs => x=nA)

```

definition

```

contains :: [nat => pseq, nat * formula, nat] => bool where
contains f nA n <-> nA ∈ set (snd (f n))

```

abbreviation (input) power3 ≡ power (3::nat)

definition

```

costBarrier :: [nat * formula, pseq] => nat where
costBarrier nA = (λ(atms, nAs).
let barrier = takeWhile (λx. nA ≠ x) nAs
in let costs = map (power3 ∘ size ∘ snd) barrier
in sumList costs)

```

5.6 path: eventually

definition

```

EV :: [nat => bool] => bool where
EV f ≡ (exists n . f n)

```

5.7 path: counter model

definition

```

counterM :: (nat => pseq) => object set where
counterM f ≡ range obj

```

definition

```

counterEvalP :: (nat => pseq) => predicate => object list => bool where
counterEvalP f = (λp args . ! i . ¬ (EV (contains f (i, FAtom Pos p (map (X ∘
inv obj) args)))))

```

definition

```

counterModel :: (nat ⇒ pseq) ⇒ model where
counterModel f = Abs-model (counterM f, counterEvalP f)

```

```

primrec counterAssign :: vbl ⇒ object
where counterAssign (X n) = obj n

```

5.8 subs: finite

```

lemma finite-subs: finite (subs γ)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fansSubs: fans subs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subs-def2:
¬ SATAxiom (sequent γ) ⇒
subs γ =
(case nforms γ of
[] ⇒ {}
| nA#nAs ⇒ let (n,A) = nA
in (case A of
FAtom z P vs ⇒ subsFAtom (atoms γ) nAs z P vs
| FConj z A0 A1 ⇒ subsFConj (atoms γ) nAs z A0 A1
| FAll z A ⇒ subsFAll (atoms γ) nAs n z A (freeVarsFL
(sequent γ)))
⟨proof⟩

```

5.9 inherited: proofTree

```

lemma proofTree-def2: proofTree = (λx. bounded x ∧ founded subs (SATAxiom ∘ sequent) x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inheritedProofTree: inherited subs proofTree
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma proofTreeI: [bounded A; founded subs (SATAxiom ∘ sequent) A] ⇒ proofTree
A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma proofTreeBounded: proofTree A ⇒ bounded A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma proofTreeTerminal:
[proofTree A; (n, delta) ∈ A; terminal subs delta] ⇒ SATAxiom (sequent delta)
⟨proof⟩

```

5.10 pseq: lemma

lemma *snd-o-Pair*: $(\text{snd} \circ (\text{Pair } x)) = (\lambda x. x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sequent-pseq*: *sequent* $(\text{pseq } fs) = fs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.11 SATAxiom: proofTree

lemma *SATAxiomTerminal*[rule-format]: *SATAxiom* (*sequent* γ) \implies *terminal subs*
 γ
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SATAxiomBounded*: *SATAxiom* (*sequent* γ) \implies *bounded* (*tree subs* γ)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SATAxiomFounded*: *SATAxiom* (*sequent* γ) \implies *founded subs* (*SATAxiom* \circ *sequent*) (*tree subs* γ)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SATAxiomProofTree*: *SATAxiom* (*sequent* γ) \implies *proofTree* (*tree subs* γ)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SATAxiomEq*: $(\text{proofTree} (\text{tree subs } \gamma) \wedge \text{terminal subs } \gamma) = \text{SATAxiom}$ (*sequent* γ)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.12 SATAxioms are deductions: - needed

lemma *SAT-deduction*:
assumes *SATAxiom* x
shows $x \in \text{deductions CutFreePC}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.13 proofTrees are deductions: subs respects rules - messy start and end

lemma *subsJustified'*:
notes $ss = \text{subs-def2 nforms-def Let-def atoms-def sequent-def subsFAtom-def}$
 $\text{subsFCConj-def subsFAll-def}$
shows $\llbracket \neg \text{SATAxiom} (\text{sequent} (\text{ats}, (n, f) \# \text{list}));$
 $\neg \text{terminal subs} (\text{ats}, (n, f) \# \text{list});$
 $\forall \sigma \in \text{subs} (\text{ats}, (n, f) \# \text{list}). \text{sequent } \sigma \in \text{deductions CutFreePC} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sequent} (\text{ats}, (n, f) \# \text{list}) \in \text{deductions CutFreePC}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subsJustified*:
assumes $\neg \text{terminal subs } \gamma$
and $\forall \sigma \in \text{subs } \gamma. \text{sequent } \sigma \in \text{deductions} (\text{CutFreePC})$

shows sequent $\gamma \in \text{deductions}(\text{CutFreePC})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.14 proofTrees are deductions: instance of boundedTreeInduction

lemmas $\text{proofTreeD} = \text{proofTree-def} [\text{THEN iffD1}]$

lemma $\text{proofTreeDeductionD}:$
assumes $\text{proofTree}(\text{tree subs } \gamma)$
shows sequent $\gamma \in \text{deductions}(\text{CutFreePC})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.15 contains, considers:

lemma $\text{contains-def2}: \text{contains } f iA n = (iA \in \text{set}(\text{nforms}(f n)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{considers-def2}: \text{considers } f iA n = (\exists nAs. \text{nforms}(f n) = iA \# nAs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{containsI} = \text{contains-def2}[\text{THEN iffD2}]$

5.16 path: nforms = [] implies

lemma $\text{nformsNoContains}:$
 $\text{nforms}(f n) = [] \implies \neg \text{contains } f iA n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nformsTerminal}:$ $\text{nforms}(f n) = [] \implies \text{terminal subs}(f n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nformsStops}:$
 $\llbracket \text{branch subs } \gamma f; \bigwedge n. \neg \text{proofTree}(\text{tree subs}(f n)); \text{nforms}(f n) = [] \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{nforms}(f(\text{Suc } n)) = [] \wedge \text{atoms}(f(\text{Suc } n)) = \text{atoms}(f n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.17 path: cases

lemma $\text{terminalNFormCases}:$ $\text{terminal subs}(f n) \vee (\exists i A nAs. \text{nforms}(f n) = (i, A) \# nAs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cases[elim-format]}:$ $\text{terminal subs}(f n) \vee (\neg(\text{terminal subs}(f n) \wedge (\exists i A nAs. \text{nforms}(f n) = (i, A) \# nAs)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.18 path: contains not terminal and propagate condition

lemma $\text{containsNotTerminal}:$

```

assumes branch subs  $\gamma f \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$  contains  $f iA n$ 
shows  $\neg terminal subs (f n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma containsPropagates:
assumes branch subs  $\gamma f$ 
and  $\bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$ 
and contains  $f iA n$ 
shows contains  $f iA (Suc n) \vee considers f iA n$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

5.19 termination: (for EV contains implies EV considers)

```

lemma terminationRule [rule-format]:
 $\mathbf{!} n. P n \longrightarrow (\neg (P (Suc n)) \mid (P (Suc n) \wedge msrFn (Suc n) < (msrFn::nat \Rightarrow nat) n)) \implies P m \longrightarrow (\exists n. P n \wedge \neg (P (Suc n)))$ 
(is -  $\implies ?P m$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

5.20 costBarrier: lemmas

5.21 costBarrier: exp3 lemmas - bit specific...

```

lemma exp1: power3 A + power3 B < 3 * (power3 A * power3 B)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma exp1': power3 A < 3 * ((power3 A) * (power3 B)) + C
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma exp2: Suc 0 < 3 * power3 (B)
⟨proof⟩

```

5.22 costBarrier: decreases whilst contains and unconsiders

```

lemma costBarrierDecreases':
notes ss = subs-def2 nforms-def subsFAtom-def subsFConj-def subsFAll-def cost-Barrier-def
shows  $\llbracket \neg SATAxiom (sequent (a, (num, fm) \# list)); iA \neq (num, fm);$ 
 $\neg proofTree (tree subs (a, (num, fm) \# list));$ 
 $fSucn \in subs (a, (num, fm) \# list); iA \in set list \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies costBarrier iA fSucn < costBarrier iA (a, (num, fm) \# list)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma costBarrierDecreases:
assumes branch subs  $\gamma f$ 
and  $\bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$ 
and contains  $f iA n$ 
and  $\neg considers f iA n$ 
shows costBarrier iA (f (Suc n)) < costBarrier iA (f n)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.23 path: EV contains implies EV considers

lemma *considersContains*: *considers f iA n* \implies *contains f iA n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *containsConsiders*:
assumes *branch subs* γf
and $\bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$
and *EV (contains f iA)*
shows *EV (considers f iA)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.24 EV contains: common lemma

lemma *lemmA*:
assumes *branch subs* γf
and $\bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$
and *EV (contains f (i, A))*
obtains *n nAs where* $\neg SATAxiom (sequent (f n))$
nforms (f n) = (i, A) # nAs f (Suc n) ∈ subs (f n)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.25 EV contains: FConj,FDisj,FAll

lemma *evContainsConj*:
assumes *EV (contains f (i, FConj Pos A0 A1))*
and *branch subs* γf
and $\bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$
shows *EV (contains f (0, A0)) ∨ EV (contains f (0, A1))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evContainsDisj*:
assumes *EV (contains f (i, FConj Neg A0 A1))*
and *branch subs* γf
and $\bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$
shows *EV (contains f (0, A0)) ∧ EV (contains f (0, A1))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evContainsAll*:
assumes *EV (contains f (i, FAll Pos body))*
and *branch subs* γf
and $\bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$
shows $\exists v . EV (contains f (0, instanceF v body))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evContainsEx-instance*:
assumes *EV (contains f (i, FAll Neg body))*
and *branch subs* γf

```

and  $\bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$ 
shows  $EV (contains f (0, instanceF (X i) body))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma evContainsEx-repeat:
assumes  $EV (contains f (i, FAll Neg body))$ 
and branch subs  $\gamma f$ 
and  $\bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n))$ 
shows  $EV (contains f (Suc i, FAll Neg body))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

5.26 EV contains: lemmas (temporal related)

5.27 EV contains: FAtoms

```

lemma notTerminalNotSATAxiom:  $\neg terminal \text{ subs } \gamma \implies \neg SATAxiom (\text{sequent } \gamma)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma notTerminalNforms:  $\neg terminal \text{ subs } (f n) \implies nforms (f n) \neq []$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma atomsPropagate:
assumes  $f: \text{branch subs } \gamma f \text{ and } x: x \in set (atoms (f n))$ 
shows  $x \in set (atoms (f (Suc n)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

5.28 EV contains: FEx cases

```

lemma evContainsEx0-allRepeats:
 $\llbracket \text{branch subs } \gamma f; \bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n));$ 
 $EV (contains f (0, FAll Neg A)) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies EV (contains f (i, FAll Neg A))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma evContainsEx0-allInstances:
 $\llbracket \text{branch subs } \gamma f; \bigwedge n. \neg proofTree (tree subs (f n));$ 
 $EV (contains f (0, FAll Neg A)) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies EV (contains f (0, instanceF (X i) A))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

5.29 pseq: creates initial pseq

```

lemma containsPSeq0D:  $\text{branch subs } (pseq fs) f \implies contains f (i, A) 0 \implies i=0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

5.30 EV contains: contain any (i,FEx y) means contain all (i,FEx y)

```

lemma natPredCases:

```

obtains $\forall n. P n \mid \neg P 0 \mid n$ **where** $P n \neg P (\text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *containsNotTerminal'*:

$\llbracket \text{branch subs } \gamma f; \bigwedge n. \neg \text{proofTree}(\text{tree subs}(f n)); \text{contains } f iA n \rrbracket \implies \neg (\text{terminal subs}(f n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evContainsExSuc-containsEx*:

assumes $\text{branch subs } (\text{pseq } fs) f$
and $\bigwedge n. \neg \text{proofTree}(\text{tree subs}(f n))$
and $\text{EV}(\text{contains } f (\text{Suc } i, \text{FAll Neg body}))$
shows $\text{EV}(\text{contains } f (i, \text{FAll Neg body}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.31 EV contains: contain any (i,FEx y) means contain all (i,FEx y)

lemma *evContainsEx-containsEx0*:

$\llbracket \text{branch subs } (\text{pseq } fs) f; \bigwedge n. \neg \text{proofTree}(\text{tree subs}(f n));$
 $\text{EV}(\text{contains } f (i, \text{FAll Neg } A)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{EV}(\text{contains } f (0, \text{FAll Neg } A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evContainsExval*:

$\llbracket \text{EV}(\text{contains } f (i, \text{FAll Neg body})); \text{branch subs } (\text{pseq } fs) f;$
 $\bigwedge n. \neg \text{proofTree}(\text{tree subs}(f n)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{EV}(\text{contains } f (0, \text{instanceF } v \text{ body}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.32 EV contains: atoms

lemma *atomsInSequentI*:

$(z, P, vs) \in \text{set}(\text{fst } ps) \implies \text{FAtom } z P vs \in \text{set}(\text{sequent } ps)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evContainsAtom1*:

assumes $\text{branch subs } (\text{pseq } fs) f$
and $\bigwedge n. \neg \text{proofTree}(\text{tree subs}(f n))$
and $\text{EV}(\text{contains } f (i, \text{FAtom } z P vs))$
shows $\exists n. (z, P, vs) \in \text{set}(\text{fst } (f n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *atomsPropagate' = atomsPropagate[simplified atoms-def, simplified]*

lemma *evContainsAtom*:

assumes $\text{branch subs } (\text{pseq } fs) f$
and $\bigwedge n. \neg \text{proofTree}(\text{tree subs}(f n))$
and $\text{EV}(\text{contains } f (i, \text{FAtom } z P vs))$

shows $\exists n. \forall m. FAtom z P vs \in set (sequent (f (n + m)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *notEvContainsBothAtoms*:
 $\llbracket branch\ subs\ (pseq\ fs)\ f; \bigwedge n . \neg proofTree\ (tree\ subs\ (f\ n)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg EV\ (contains\ f\ (i, FAtom\ Pos\ p\ vs)) \vee$
 $\neg EV\ (contains\ f\ (j, FAtom\ Neg\ p\ vs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.33 counterModel: lemmas

lemma *counterModelInRepn*: $(counterM\ f, counterEvalP\ f) \in model$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Abs-counterModel-inverse = counterModelInRepn[THEN Abs-model-inverse]*

lemma *inv-obj-obj*: $inv\ obj\ (obj\ n) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-X-map-counterAssign [simp]*: $map\ X\ (map\ (inv\ obj)\ (map\ counterAssign\ xs)) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *objectsCounterModel*: $objects\ (counterModel\ f) = \{ z . \exists i . z = obj\ i \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inCounterM*: $counterAssign\ v \in objects\ (counterModel\ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evalPCounterModel*: $M = counterModel\ f \implies evalP\ M = counterEvalP\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.34 counterModel: all path formula value false - step by step

lemma *path-evalF*:
assumes $branch\ subs\ (pseq\ fs)\ f \bigwedge n. \neg proofTree\ (tree\ subs\ (f\ n))$
shows $(\exists i . EV\ (contains\ f\ (i, A))) \implies \neg evalF\ (counterModel\ f)\ counterAssign\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.35 adequacy

lemma *counterAssignModelAssign*: $counterAssign \in modelAssigns\ (counterModel\ \gamma)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *branch-contains-initially*: $branch\ subs\ (pseq\ fs)\ f \implies x \in set\ fs \implies contains\ f\ (0, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma validProofTree:
  assumes  $\neg \text{proofTree}(\text{tree subs}(\text{pseq } fs))$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{validS } fs$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

theorem adequacy[simplified sequent-pseq]:  $\text{validS } fs \implies (\text{sequent } (\text{pseq } fs)) \in \text{deductions CutFreePC}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

6 Soundness

```

theory Soundness imports Completeness begin

lemma permutation-validS:  $\text{mset } fs = \text{mset } gs \implies (\text{validS } fs = \text{validS } gs)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma modelAssigns-vblcase:  $\varphi \in \text{modelAssigns } M \implies x \in \text{objects } M \implies \text{vblcase } x \varphi \in \text{modelAssigns } M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma soundnessFAll:
  assumes  $u \notin \text{freeVarsFL } (\text{FAll } Pos A \# \Gamma)$ 
  and  $\text{validS } (\text{instanceF } u A \# \Gamma)$ 
  shows  $\text{validS } (\text{FAll } Pos A \# \Gamma)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma soundnessFEx:  $\text{validS } (\text{instanceF } x A \# \Gamma) \implies \text{validS } (\text{FAll } Neg A \# \Gamma)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma soundnessFCut:  $[\text{validS } (C \# \Gamma); \text{validS } (\text{FNot } C \# \Delta)] \implies \text{validS } (\Gamma @ \Delta)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma soundness:  $fs : \text{deductions}(PC) \implies \text{validS } fs$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

theorem completeness:  $fs \in \text{deductions } (PC) \longleftrightarrow \text{validS } fs$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```