

Formalization of CommCSL: A Relational Concurrent Separation Logic for Proving Information Flow Security in Concurrent Programs

Thibault Dardinier
Department of Computer Science
ETH Zurich, Switzerland

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Information flow security ensures that the secret data manipulated by a program does not influence its observable output. Proving information flow security is especially challenging for concurrent programs, where operations on secret data may influence the execution time of a thread and, thereby, the interleaving between threads. Such internal timing channels may affect the observable outcome of a program even if an attacker does not observe execution times. Existing verification techniques for information flow security in concurrent programs attempt to prove that secret data does not influence the relative timing of threads. However, these techniques are often restrictive (for instance because they disallow branching on secret data) and make strong assumptions about the execution platform (ignoring caching, processor instructions with data-dependent execution time, and other common features that affect execution time).

In this entry, we formalize and prove the soundness of COMM-CSL [1], a novel relational concurrent separation logic for proving secure information flow in concurrent programs that lifts these restrictions and does not make any assumptions about timing behavior. The key idea is to prove that all mutating operations performed on shared data commute, such that different thread interleavings do not influence its final value. Crucially, commutativity is required only for an abstraction of the shared data that contains the information that will be leaked to a public output. Abstract commutativity is satisfied by many more operations than standard commutativity, which makes our technique widely applicable.

Contents

1 State Model	3
1.1 Partial Heaps	3
1.2 Fractional Permissions	5
1.3 Permission Heaps	10
1.4 Extended Heaps	15
2 Imperative Concurrent Language	37
2.1 Language Syntax and Semantics	37
2.1.1 Semantics of expressions	38
2.1.2 Semantics of commands	38
2.1.3 Abort semantics	39
2.2 Useful Definitions and Results	40
3 CommCSL	49
3.1 Assertion Language	49
3.2 Rules of the Logic	65
4 Soundness of CommCSL	67
4.1 Abstract Commutativity	67
4.2 Consistency	102
4.3 Safety and Hoare Triples	117
4.3.1 Preliminaries	118
4.3.2 Safety	121
4.3.3 Useful results about safety	125
4.3.4 Hoare triples	133
4.4 Soundness of the Rules	134
4.4.1 Skip	134
4.4.2 Assign	135
4.4.3 Alloc	136
4.4.4 Write	145
4.4.5 Read	154
4.4.6 Share	158
4.4.7 Atomic	172
4.4.8 Parallel	200
4.4.9 If	215
4.4.10 Sequential composition	220
4.4.11 Frame rule	224
4.4.12 Consequence	231
4.4.13 Existential	231
4.4.14 While loops	234
4.4.15 CommCSL is sound	247
4.5 Corollaries	248

1 State Model

1.1 Partial Heaps

In this file, we prove useful lemmas about partial maps. Partial maps are used to define permission heaps (see FractionalHeap.thy) and the family of unique action guard states (see StateModel.thy).

```
theory PartialMap
  imports Main
begin

type-synonym ('a, 'b) map = 'a → 'b

fun compatible-options :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a option ⇒ 'a option ⇒ bool where
  compatible-options f (Some a) (Some b) ←→ f a b
| compatible-options _ _ _ ←→ True

fun merge-option :: ('b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'b option ⇒ 'b option ⇒ 'b option where
  merge-option - None None = None
| merge-option - (Some a) None = Some a
| merge-option - None (Some b) = Some b
| merge-option f (Some a) (Some b) = Some (f a b)

definition merge-options :: ('c ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('b, 'c) map ⇒ ('b, 'c) map ⇒ ('b, 'c) map where
  merge-options f a b p = merge-option f (a p) (b p)

Two maps are compatible iff they are compatible pointwise (i.e., if both
define values, then those values are compatible)

definition compatible-maps :: ('b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a, 'b) map ⇒ ('a, 'b) map ⇒ bool where
  compatible-maps f h1 h2 ←→ (forall hl. compatible-options f (h1 hl) (h2 hl))

lemma compatible-mapsI:
  assumes "A x a b. h1 x = Some a ∧ h2 x = Some b ⇒ f a b"
  shows compatible-maps f h1 h2
  by (metis assms compatible-maps-def compatible-options.elims(3))

definition map-included :: ('a, 'b) map ⇒ ('a, 'b) map ⇒ bool where
  map-included h1 h2 ←→ (forall x. h1 x ≠ None → h1 x = h2 x)

lemma map-includedI:
  assumes "A x r. h1 x = Some r ⇒ h2 x = Some r"
  shows map-included h1 h2
  by (metis assms map-included-def option.exhaust)

lemma compatible-maps-empty:
  compatible-maps f h (Map.empty)
  by (simp add: compatible-maps-def)
```

```

lemma compatible-maps-comm:
  compatible-maps (=) h1 h2  $\longleftrightarrow$  compatible-maps (=) h2 h1
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge a b.$  compatible-maps (=) a b  $\implies$  compatible-maps (=) b a
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) compatible-mapsI compatible-maps-def compatible-options.simps(1))
  then show ?thesis
  by auto
qed

lemma add-heaps-asso:
  (h1 ++ h2) ++ h3 = h1 ++ (h2 ++ h3)
  by auto

lemma compatible-maps-same:
assumes compatible-maps (=) ha hb
  and ha x = Some y
  shows (ha ++ hb) x = Some y
proof (cases hb x)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: assms(2) map-add-Some-iff)
next
  case (Some a)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis (mono-tags) assms(1) assms(2) compatible-maps-def compatible-options.simps(1)
    map-add-def option.simps(5))
qed

lemma compatible-maps-refl:
  compatible-maps (=) h h
  using compatible-maps-def compatible-options.elims(3) by fastforce

lemma map-invo:
  h ++ h = h
  by (simp add: map-add-subsumed2)

lemma included-then-compatible-maps:
assumes map-included h1 h
  and map-included h2 h
  shows compatible-maps (=) h1 h2
proof (rule compatible-mapsI)
  fix x a b assume h1 x = Some a  $\wedge$  h2 x = Some b
  show a = b
  by (metis ‹h1 x = Some a  $\wedge$  h2 x = Some b› assms(1) assms(2) map-included-def
    option.inject option.simps(3))
qed

```

```

lemma commut-charact:
  assumes compatible-maps (=) h1 h2
  shows h1 ++ h2 = h2 ++ h1
proof (rule ext)
  fix x
  show (h1 ++ h2) x = (h2 ++ h1) x
  proof (cases h1 x)
    case None
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: domIff map-add-dom-app-simps(2) map-add-dom-app-simps(3))
  next
    case (Some a)
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms compatible-maps-same)
  qed
qed
end

```

1.2 Fractional Permissions

In this file, we define the type of positive rationals, which we use as permission amounts in extended heaps (see FractionalHeap.thy).

```

theory PosRat
  imports Main HOL.Rat
begin

typedef prat = { r :: rat |r. r > 0} by fastforce

setup-lifting type-definition-prat

lift-definition pwrite :: prat is 1 by simp
lift-definition half :: prat is 1 / 2 by fastforce

lift-definition pgte :: prat ⇒ prat ⇒ bool is (≥) done
lift-definition pgt :: prat ⇒ prat ⇒ bool is (>) done
lift-definition lt :: prat ⇒ prat ⇒ bool is (<) done

lift-definition pmult :: prat ⇒ prat ⇒ prat is (*) by simp
lift-definition padd :: prat ⇒ prat ⇒ prat is (+) by simp

lift-definition pdiv :: prat ⇒ prat ⇒ prat is (/) by simp

lift-definition pmin :: prat ⇒ prat ⇒ prat is (min) by simp
lift-definition pmax :: prat ⇒ prat ⇒ prat is (max) by simp

lemma pmin-comm:
  pmin a b = pmin b a
  by (metis Rep-prat-inverse min.commute pmin.rep-eq)

```

```

lemma pmin-greater:
  pgte a (pmin a b)
  by (simp add: pgte.rep-eq pmin.rep-eq)

lemma pmin-is:
  assumes pgte a b
  shows pmin a b = b
  by (metis Rep-prat-inject assms min-absorb2 pgte.rep-eq pmin.rep-eq)

lemma pmax-comm:
  pmax a b = pmax b a
  by (metis Rep-prat-inverse max.commute pmax.rep-eq)

lemma pmax-smaller:
  pgte (pmax a b) a
  by (simp add: pgte.rep-eq pmax.rep-eq)

lemma pmax-is:
  assumes pgte a b
  shows pmax a b = a
  by (metis Rep-prat-inject assms max.absorb-iff1 pgte.rep-eq pmax.rep-eq)

lemma pmax-is-smaller:
  assumes pgte x a
  and pgte x b
  shows pgte x (pmax a b)
proof (cases pgte a b)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: assms(1) pmax-is)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
  using assms(2) pgte.rep-eq pmax.rep-eq by auto
qed

lemma half-between-0-1:
  pgf pwrite half
  by (simp add: half.rep-eq pgf.rep-eq pwrite.rep-eq)

lemma pgf-implies-pgf:
  assumes pgf a b
  shows pgf a b
  by (meson assms less-imp-le pgf.rep-eq pgf.rep-eq)

lemma half-plus-half:

```

```

padd half half = pwrite
by (metis Rep-prat-inject divide-less-eq-numeral1(1) dual-order.irrefl half.rep-eq
less-divide-eq-numeral1(1) linorder-neqE-linordered-idom mult.right-neutral one-add-one
padd.rep-eq pwrite.rep-eq ring-class.ring-distrib(1))

lemma padd-comm:
padd a b = padd b a
by (metis Rep-prat-inject add.commute padd.rep-eq)

lemma padd-asso:
padd (padd a b) c = padd a (padd b c)
by (metis Rep-prat-inverse group-cancel.add1 padd.rep-eq)

lemma pgte-antisym:
assumes pgte a b
and pgte b a
shows a = b
by (metis Rep-prat-inverse assms(1) assms(2) leD le-less pgte.rep-eq)

lemma sum-larger:
pgt (padd a b) a
using Rep-prat padd.rep-eq pgt.rep-eq by auto

lemma greater-sum-both:
assumes pgte a (padd b c)
shows  $\exists a_1 a_2. a = \text{padd } a_1 a_2 \wedge \text{pgte } a_1 b \wedge \text{pgte } a_2 c$ 
proof -
obtain aa bb cc where aa = Rep-prat a bb = Rep-prat b cc = Rep-prat c
by simp
then have aa  $\geq$  bb + cc
using assms padd.rep-eq pgte.rep-eq by auto
then obtain x where aa = bb + x x  $\geq$  cc
by (metis add.commute add-le-cancel-left diff-add-cancel)
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Abs-prat-inverse Rep-prat Rep-prat-inverse aa =
Rep-prat a <bb = Rep-prat b> <cc = Rep-prat c> dual-order.trans eq-onp-same-args
le-less mem-Collect-eq min-absorb2 min-def order-refl padd.abs-eq pgte.rep-eq)
qed

lemma padd-cancellative:
assumes a = padd x b
and a = padd y b
shows x = y
by (metis Rep-prat-inject add-le-cancel-right assms(1) assms(2) leD less-eq-rat-def
padd.rep-eq)

lemma not-pgte-charact:

```

```

 $\neg \text{pgte } a b \longleftrightarrow \text{pgt } b a$ 
by (meson not-less pgt.rep-eq pgte.rep-eq)

lemma pgte-pgt:
  assumes pgt a b
    and pgte c d
  shows pgt (padd a c) (padd b d)
  using assms(1) assms(2) padd.rep-eq pgt.rep-eq pgte.rep-eq by auto

lemma pmult-distr:
  pmult a (padd b c) = padd (pmult a b) (pmult a c)
  by (metis Rep-prat-inject distrib-left padd.rep-eq pmult.rep-eq)

lemma pmult-comm:
  pmult a b = pmult b a
  by (metis Rep-prat-inject mult.commute pmult.rep-eq)

lemma pmult-special:
  pmult pwrite x = x
  by (metis Rep-prat-inverse comm-monoid-mult-class.mult-1 pmult.rep-eq pwrite.rep-eq)

definition pinv where
  pinv p = pdiv pwrite p

lemma pinv-double-half:
  pmult half (pinv p) = pinv (padd p p)
proof -
  have (Fract 1 2) * ((Fract 1 1) / (Rep-prat p)) = (Fract 1 1) / (Rep-prat p + Rep-prat p)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-rat-def comm-monoid-mult-class.mult-1 divide-rat mult-2 mult-rat rat-number-expand(3) times-divide-times-eq)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis Rep-prat-inject half.rep-eq mult-2 mult-numeral-1-right numeral-One padd.rep-eq pdiv.rep-eq pinv-def pmult.rep-eq pwrite.rep-eq times-divide-times-eq)
qed

lemma pinv-inverts:
  assumes pgte a b
  shows pgte (pinv b) (pinv a)
proof -
  have Rep-prat a ≥ Rep-prat b
  using assms(1) pgte.rep-eq by auto
  then have (Fract 1 1) / Rep-prat b ≥ (Fract 1 1) / Rep-prat a
  by (metis One-rat-def Rep-prat frac-le le-numeral-extra(4) mem-Collect-eq zero-le-one)
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: One-rat-def pdiv.rep-eq pgte.rep-eq pinv-def pwrite.rep-eq)

```

qed

```
lemma pinv-pmult-ok:
  pmult p (pinv p) = pwrite
proof -
  obtain r where r = Rep-prat p by simp
  then have r * ((Fract 1 1) / r) = Fract 1 1
  by (metis Rep-prat less-numeral-extra(3) mem-Collect-eq nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left
times-divide-eq-right)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis One-rat-def Rep-prat-inject ‹r = Rep-prat p› pdiv.rep-eq pinv-def
pmult.rep-eq pwrite.rep-eq)
qed

lemma pinv-pwrite:
  pinv pwrite = pwrite
  by (metis Rep-prat-inverse div-by-1 pdiv.rep-eq pinv-def pwrite.rep-eq)

lemma pmin-pmax:
  assumes pgte x (pmin a b)
  shows x = pmin (pmax x a) (pmax x b)
proof (cases pgte x a)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis pmax-is pmax-smaller pmin-comm pmin-is)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis assms not-pgte-charact pgt-implies-pgte pmax-is pmax-smaller pmin-comm
pmin-is)
qed

lemma pmin-sum:
  padd (pmin a b) c = pmin (padd a c) (padd b c)
  by (metis not-pgte-charact pgt-implies-pgte pgte-pgt pmin-comm pmin-is)

lemma pmin-sum-larger:
  pgte (pmin (padd a1 b1) (padd a2 b2)) (padd (pmin a1 a2) (pmin b1 b2))
proof (cases pgte (padd a1 b1) (padd a2 b2))
  case True
  then have pmin (padd a1 b1) (padd a2 b2) = padd a2 b2
  by (simp add: pmin-is)
  moreover have pgte a2 (pmin a1 a2) ∧ pgte b2 (pmin b1 b2)
  by (metis pmin-comm pmin-greater)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp add: padd.rep-eq pgte.rep-eq)
```

```

next
  case False
    then have pmin (padd a1 b1) (padd a2 b2) = padd a1 b1
      by (metis not-pgte-charact pgf-implies-pgt pmin-comm pmin-is)
    moreover have pgte a1 (pmin a1 a2)  $\wedge$  pgte b1 (pmin b1 b2)
      by (metis pmin-greater)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: padd.rep-eq pgte.rep-eq)
  qed

end

```

1.3 Permission Heaps

In this file, we define permission heaps, (partial) addition between them, and prove useful lemmas.

```

theory FractionalHeap
  imports Main PosRat PartialMap
begin

```

```
type-synonym ('l, 'v) fract-heap = 'l  $\rightarrow$  prat  $\times$  'v
```

Because fractional permissions are at most 1, two permission amounts are compatible if they sum to at most 1.

```

definition compatible-fractions :: ('l, 'v) fract-heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 'v) fract-heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  compatible-fractions h h'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall l p p'. h\ l = \text{Some } p \wedge h'\ l = \text{Some } p' \longrightarrow \text{pgte}\ p\ \text{pwrite}\ (\text{padd}\ (\text{fst}\ p)\ (\text{fst}\ p'))$ )

```

```

definition same-values :: ('l, 'v) fract-heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 'v) fract-heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  same-values h h'  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall l p p'. h\ l = \text{Some } p \wedge h'\ l = \text{Some } p' \longrightarrow \text{snd}\ p = \text{snd}\ p')$ 

```

```

fun fadd-options :: (prat  $\times$  'v) option  $\Rightarrow$  (prat  $\times$  'v) option  $\Rightarrow$  (prat  $\times$  'v) option
where
  fadd-options None x = x
  | fadd-options x None = x
  | fadd-options (Some x) (Some y) = Some (padd (fst x) (fst y), snd x)

```

```

lemma fadd-options-cancellative:
  assumes fadd-options a x = fadd-options b x
  shows a = b
proof (cases x)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis assms fadd-options.elims option.simps(3))
next

```

```

case (Some xx)
then have x = Some xx by simp
then show ?thesis
  apply (cases a)
  apply (cases b)
  apply simp
apply (metis assms fadd-options.simps(1) fadd-options.simps(3) fst-conv not-pgte-charact option.sel padd-comm pgc-implies-pgc sum-larger)
  apply (cases b)
    apply (metis assms fadd-options.simps(1) fadd-options.simps(3) fst-conv not-pgte-charact option.sel padd-comm pgc-implies-pgc sum-larger)

proof –
  fix aa bb assume a = Some aa b = Some bb
  then have snd aa = snd bb
  using Some assms by auto
  moreover have fst aa = fst bb
  using padd-cancellative[of padd (fst aa) (fst xx) fst bb fst xx fst aa]
  Some <a = Some aa> <b = Some bb> assms fadd-options.simps(3) fst-conv option.inject
  by auto
  ultimately show a = b
  by (simp add: <a = Some aa> <b = Some bb> prod-eq-iff)
  qed
qed

```

definition *compatible-fract-heaps* :: $('l, 'v)$ fract-heap \Rightarrow $('l, 'v)$ fract-heap \Rightarrow bool
where

compatible-fract-heaps h h' \longleftrightarrow compatible-fractions h h' \wedge same-values h h'

lemma *compatible-fract-heapsI*:
 assumes $\bigwedge l p p'. h\ l = \text{Some } p \wedge h'\ l = \text{Some } p' \implies \text{pgc-pwrite} (\text{padd} (\text{fst } p) (\text{fst } p'))$
and $\bigwedge l p p'. h\ l = \text{Some } p \wedge h'\ l = \text{Some } p' \implies \text{snd } p = \text{snd } p'$
shows *compatible-fract-heaps h h'*
by (*simp add: assms(1) assms(2) compatible-fract-heaps-def compatible-fractions-def same-values-def*)

lemma *compatible-fract-heapsE*:
 assumes *compatible-fract-heaps h h'*
and *h l = Some p \wedge h' l = Some p'*
shows *pgc-pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))*
and *snd p = snd p'*
apply (*meson assms(1) assms(2) compatible-fract-heaps-def compatible-fractions-def*)
 by (*meson assms(1) assms(2) compatible-fract-heaps-def same-values-def*)

lemma *compatible-fract-heaps-comm*:
 assumes *compatible-fract-heaps h h'*

```

shows compatible-fract-heaps  $h' h$ 
proof (rule compatible-fract-heapsI)
  show  $\bigwedge l p p'. h' l = \text{Some } p \wedge h l = \text{Some } p' \implies \text{pgte } \text{pwrite} (\text{padd} (\text{fst } p) (\text{fst } p'))$ 
    by (metis assms compatible-fract-heapsE(1) padd-comm)
  show  $\bigwedge l p p'. h' l = \text{Some } p \wedge h l = \text{Some } p' \implies \text{snd } p = \text{snd } p'$ 
    using assms compatible-fract-heapsE(2) by fastforce
qed

```

The following definition of the sum of two permission heaps only makes sense if h and h' are compatible

```

definition add-fh ::  $(l, v)$  fract-heap  $\Rightarrow (l, v)$  fract-heap  $\Rightarrow (l, v)$  fract-heap
where
  add-fh  $h h' l = fadd\text{-options} (h l) (h' l)$ 

```

```

definition full-ownership ::  $(l, v)$  fract-heap  $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  full-ownership  $h \longleftrightarrow (\forall l p. h l = \text{Some } p \implies \text{fst } p = \text{pwrite})$ 

```

```

lemma full-ownershipI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge l p. h l = \text{Some } p \implies \text{fst } p = \text{pwrite}$ 
  shows full-ownership  $h$ 
  by (simp add: assms full-ownership-def)

```

```

fun apply-opt where
  apply-opt  $f \text{None} = \text{None}$ 
  | apply-opt  $f (\text{Some } x) = \text{Some} (f x)$ 

```

This function maps a permission heap to a normal partial heap (without permissions).

```

definition normalize ::  $(l, v)$  fract-heap  $\Rightarrow (l \multimap v)$  where
  normalize  $h l = \text{apply-opt } \text{snd} (h l)$ 

```

```

lemma normalize-eq:
  normalize  $h l = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow h l = \text{None}$ 
  normalize  $h l = \text{Some } v \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. h l = \text{Some } (p, v))$  (is ?A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?B)
  apply (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-def apply-opt.elims option.distinct(1))
proof
  show ?B  $\implies$  ?A
    by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-def apply-opt.simps(2) snd-eqD)
  assume ?A then have  $h l \neq \text{None}$ 
    by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-def apply-opt.simps(1) option.distinct(1))
  then obtain p where  $h l = \text{Some } p$ 
    by blast
  then show ?B
    by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-def ‹FractionalHeap.normalize h l = Some v› ‹h l ≠ None› apply-opt.elims option.inject prod.exhaust-sel)
qed

```

```

definition fpdom where
  fpdom h = {x. ∃ v. h x = Some (pwrite, v)}

lemma compatible-then-dom-disjoint:
  assumes compatible-fract-heaps h1 h2
  shows dom h1 ∩ fpdom h2 = {}
    and dom h2 ∩ fpdom h1 = {}
proof -
  have r: ∀ h1 h2. compatible-fract-heaps h1 h2 ⇒ dom h1 ∩ fpdom h2 = {}
  proof -
    fix h1 h2 assume asm0: compatible-fract-heaps h1 h2
    show dom h1 ∩ fpdom h2 = {}
    proof
      show dom h1 ∩ fpdom h2 ⊆ {}
    proof
      fix x assume x ∈ dom h1 ∩ fpdom h2
      then have x ∈ dom h1 ∧ x ∈ fpdom h2 by auto
      then have h1 x ≠ None ∧ h2 x ≠ None
        using domIff fpdom-def[of h2] mem-Collect-eq option.discI
        by auto
      then obtain a b where h1 x = Some a h2 x = Some b by auto
      then have fst b = pwrite ∧ pgte pwrite (padd (fst a) (fst b))
        using ⟨x ∈ dom h1 ∧ x ∈ fpdom h2⟩ asm0 compatible-fract-heapsE(1)
        fpdom-def[of h2] fst-conv mem-Collect-eq option.sel
        by fastforce
      then show x ∈ {}
        by (metis not-pgte-charact padd-comm sum-larger)
    qed
    qed (simp)
  qed
  then show dom h1 ∩ fpdom h2 = {}
    using assms by blast
  show dom h2 ∩ fpdom h1 = {}
    by (simp add: assms compatible-fract-heaps-comm r)
qed

lemma compatible-dom-sum:
  assumes compatible-fract-heaps h1 h2
  shows dom (add-fh h1 h2) = dom h1 ∪ dom h2 (is ?A = ?B)
proof
  show ?B ⊆ ?A
  proof
    fix x assume x ∈ ?B
    show x ∈ ?A
    proof (cases x ∈ dom h1)
      case True
      then show ?thesis using add-fh-def[of h1 h2] domI domIff fadd-options.elims
        by metis
    next
  qed

```

```

case False
then have  $x \in \text{dom } h2$ 
  using  $\langle x \in \text{dom } h1 \cup \text{dom } h2 \rangle$  by auto
then show ?thesis using add-fh-def[of  $h1\ h2$ ] domI domIff fadd-options.elims
  by metis
qed
qed
show ?A  $\subseteq$  ?B
  using UnI1[of - dom  $h1\ \text{dom } h2$ ] UnI2[of - dom  $h1\ \text{dom } h2$ ] add-fh-def[of  $h1\ h2$ ] domIff fadd-options.simps(1) subset-iff[of ?A ?B]
    dom-map-add map-add-None
  by metis
qed

```

Addition of permission heaps is associative.

```

lemma add-fh-assoc:
  add-fh (add-fh a b) c = add-fh a (add-fh b c)
proof (rule ext)
  fix x
  show add-fh (add-fh a b) c x = add-fh a (add-fh b c) x
  proof (cases a x)
    case None
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: add-fh-def)
  next
    case (Some aa)
    then have a x = Some aa by simp
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases b x)
      case None
      then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: Some add-fh-def)
    next
      case (Some bb)
      then have b x = Some bb by simp
      then show ?thesis
      proof (cases c x)
        case None
        then show ?thesis
        by (simp add: Some a x = Some aa add-fh-def)
      next
        case (Some cc)
        then have add-fh (add-fh a b) c x = Some (padd (padd (fst aa) (fst bb)) (fst cc), snd aa)
          by (simp add: a x = Some aa b x = Some bb add-fh-def)
        moreover have add-fh a (add-fh b c) x = Some (padd (fst aa) (padd (fst bb) (fst cc)), snd aa)
          by (simp add: Some a x = Some aa b x = Some bb add-fh-def)
        ultimately show ?thesis

```

```

    by (simp add: padd-assos)
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma add-fh-update:
assumes b x = None
shows add-fh (a(x ↦ p)) b = (add-fh a b)(x ↦ p)
proof (rule ext)
fix l show add-fh (a(x ↦ p)) b l = ((add-fh a b)(x ↦ p)) l
apply (cases l = x)
apply (simp add: add-fh-def assms)
by (simp add: add-fh-def)
qed

end

```

1.4 Extended Heaps

In this file, we define extended heaps, which are triples of a permission heap, a shared action guard state, and a family of unique action guard states. We also define a (partial) addition of two extended heaps. Finally, we prove useful lemmas about them.

```

theory StateModel
imports FractionalHeap HOL-Library.Multiset
begin

```

```

type-synonym loc = nat
type-synonym val = nat

```

We store the initial value with the unique guard

```

type-synonym f-heap = (loc, val) fract-heap
type-synonym 'a gs-heap = (prat × 'a multiset) option
type-synonym ('i, 'a) gu-heap = 'i → 'a list

```

```

type-synonym ('i, 'a) heap = f-heap × 'a gs-heap × ('i, 'a) gu-heap

```

```

type-synonym var = string
type-synonym normal-heap = (nat → nat)
type-synonym store = (var ⇒ nat)

```

```

fun get-fh where get-fh x = fst x
fun get-gs where get-gs x = fst (snd x)
fun get-gu where get-gu x = snd (snd x)

```

Two "heaps" are compatible iff: 1. The fractional heaps have the same common values and sum to at most 1 2. The unique guard heaps are disjoint 3.

The shared guards permissions sum to at most 1

```

definition compatible :: ('i, 'a) heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('i, 'a) heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl ⟨#⟩ 60)
where
  h # h'  $\longleftrightarrow$  compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh h) (get-fh h')  $\wedge$  ( $\forall k$ . get-gu h k = None  $\vee$  get-gu h' k = None)
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall p p'$ . get-gs h = Some p  $\wedge$  get-gs h' = Some p'  $\longrightarrow$  pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p')))

lemma compatibleI:
  assumes compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh h) (get-fh h')
  and  $\bigwedge k$ . get-gu h k = None  $\vee$  get-gu h' k = None
  and  $\bigwedge p p'$ . get-gs h = Some p  $\wedge$  get-gs h' = Some p'  $\Longrightarrow$  pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))
  shows h # h'
  using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) compatible-def by blast

fun add-gu-single where
  add-gu-single None x = x
  | add-gu-single x None = x

definition add-gu where
  add-gu u1 u2 k = add-gu-single (u1 k) (u2 k)

lemma comp-add-gu-comm:
  assumes  $\bigwedge k$ . h k = None  $\vee$  h' k = None
  shows add-gu h h' = add-gu h' h
  proof (rule ext)
    fix k show add-gu h h' k = add-gu h' h k
    by (metis add-gu-def add-gu-single.simps(1) add-gu-single.simps(2) assms not-None-eq)
  qed

fun add-gs :: (prat  $\times$  'a multiset) option  $\Rightarrow$  (prat  $\times$  'a multiset) option  $\Rightarrow$  (prat  $\times$  'a multiset) option
  where
  add-gs None x = x
  | add-gs x None = x
  | add-gs (Some p) (Some p') = Some (padd (fst p) (fst p'), snd p + snd p')

Addition of shared guard states is cancellative.

lemma add-gs-cancellative:
  assumes add-gs a x = add-gs b x
  shows a = b
  apply (cases x)
  apply (metis add-gs.elims assms not-None-eq)
  apply (cases a)
  apply (cases b)
  apply simp
  apply (metis add-gs.simps(1) add-gs.simps(3) assms fst-conv not-pgte-charact

```

```

option.sel padd-comm pgte-implies-pgte sum-larger)
  apply (cases b)
    apply (metis add-gs.simps(1) add-gs.simps(3) assms fst-conv not-pgte-charact
option.sel padd-comm pgte-implies-pgte sum-larger)
proof -
  fix xx aa bb assume x = Some xx a = Some aa b = Some bb
  then have fst aa = fst bb
  using assms padd-cancellative[of padd (fst aa) (fst xx)]
  Pair-inject add-gs.simps(3) option.inject by auto
  moreover have snd aa = snd bb
  using add-left-cancel[of snd xx snd aa snd bb]
  using <a = Some aa> <b = Some bb> <x = Some xx> assms by auto
  ultimately show a = b
  by (simp add: <a = Some aa> <b = Some bb> prod-eq-iff)
qed

```

Addition of shared guard states is commutative.

```

lemma add-gs-comm:
  add-gs a b = add-gs b a
proof (cases a)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis add-gs.elims add-gs.simps(1) add-gs.simps(2))
next
  case (Some aa)
  then have a = Some aa by simp
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases b)
    case None
    then show ?thesis
    using Some by force
  next
    case (Some bb)
    moreover have padd (fst aa) (fst bb) = padd (fst bb) (fst aa) ∧ snd aa + snd
    bb = snd bb + snd aa
    using padd-comm by force
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using <a = Some aa> by force
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma compatible-fheaps-comm:
  assumes compatible-fract-heaps a b
  shows add-fh a b = add-fh b a
proof (rule ext)
  fix x show add-fh a b x = add-fh b a x
  proof (cases a x)
    case None
    then show ?thesis
  
```

```

    by (metis add-fh-def add-fh-def fadd-options.simps(1) fadd-options.simps(2)
option.exhaust-sel)
next
case (Some aa)
then have a x = Some aa by simp
then show ?thesis
proof (cases b x)
case None
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: Some add-fh-def)
next
case (Some bb)
then show ?thesis
using ‹a x = Some aa› add-fh-def[of a b] add-fh-def[of b a] assms compatible-fract-heapsE(2) fadd-options.simps(3) padd-comm
by (metis (full-types))
qed
qed
qed

```

The following function defines addition between two extended heaps.

```

fun plus :: ('i, 'a) heap option ⇒ ('i, 'a) heap option ⇒ ('i, 'a) heap option (infixl
⊕ 63) where
None ⊕ - = None
| - ⊕ None = None
| Some h1 ⊕ Some h2 = (if h1 ## h2 then Some (add-fh (get-fh h1) (get-fh h2),
add-gs (get-gs h1) (get-gs h2), add-gu (get-gu h1) (get-gu h2)) else None)

lemma plus-extract:
assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
shows get-fh x = add-fh (get-fh a) (get-fh b)
and get-gs x = add-gs (get-gs a) (get-gs b)
and get-gu x = add-gu (get-gu a) (get-gu b)
apply (metis assms eq-fst-iff get-fh.simps option.inject option.simps(3) plus.simps(3))
apply (metis assms fst-eqD get-gs.simps option.distinct(1) option.inject plus.simps(3)
snd-conv)
by (metis assms get-gu.elims option.distinct(1) option.sel plus.simps(3) snd-conv)

lemma compatible-eq:
Some a ⊕ Some b = None ↔ ¬ a ## b
by simp

lemma compatible-comm:
a ## b ↔ b ## a
proof -
have ⋀ a b. a ## b ⇒ b ## a
proof -
fix a b assume asm0: a ## b
show b ## a

```

```

proof (rule compatibleI)
  show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh b) (get-fh a)
    using asm0 compatible-def compatible-fract-heaps-comm by blast
  show  $\bigwedge k. \text{get-gu } b \ k = \text{None} \vee \text{get-gu } a \ k = \text{None}$ 
    by (meson asm0 compatible-def)
  show  $\bigwedge p \ p'. \text{get-gs } b = \text{Some } p \wedge \text{get-gs } a = \text{Some } p' \implies \text{pgte } p \text{write } (\text{padd } (\text{fst } p) \ (\text{fst } p'))$ 
    by (metis asm0 compatible-def padd-comm)
  qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by blast
  qed

lemma heap-ext:
  assumes get-fh a = get-fh b
  and get-gs a = get-gs b
  and get-gu a = get-gu b
  shows a = b
  by (metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) get-fh.simps get-gs.simps get-gu.elims
prod.expand)

```

Addition of two extended heaps is commutative.

```

lemma plus-comm:
  a ⊕ b = b ⊕ a
proof –
  have r:  $\bigwedge x \ a \ b. \text{Some } x = \text{Some } a \oplus \text{Some } b \implies \text{Some } x = \text{Some } b \oplus \text{Some } a$ 
  proof –
    fix x a b assume asm0: Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
    then obtain y where Some y = Some b ⊕ Some a
      by (metis compatible-comm plus.simps(3))
    have x = y
    proof (rule heap-ext)
      show get-fh x = get-fh y
        by (metis ‹Some y = Some b ⊕ Some a› asm0 compatible-def compatible-eq
compatible-fheaps-comm plus-extract(1))
      show get-gs x = get-gs y
        by (metis ‹Some y = Some b ⊕ Some a› add-gs-comm asm0 plus-extract(2))
      show get-gu x = get-gu y using comp-add-gu-comm[of get-gu x get-gu y]
        by (metis ‹Some y = Some b ⊕ Some a› asm0 comp-add-gu-comm compatible-def compatible-eq plus-extract(3))
    qed
    then show Some x = Some b ⊕ Some a
      by (simp add: ‹Some y = Some b ⊕ Some a›)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases a ⊕ b)
    case None
    then show ?thesis

```

```

by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) compatible-comm compatible-eq plus.elims)
next
  case (Some ab)
  then have a = Some (the a) ∧ b = Some (the b)
    by (metis option.collapse option.distinct(1) plus.simps(1) plus.simps(2))
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis ‹�x b a. Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b ⇒ Some x = Some b ⊕
      Some a› plus.elims)
  qed
qed

lemma asso2:
  assumes Some a ⊕ Some b = Some ab
    and ¬ b ## c
    shows ¬ ab ## c
proof (cases compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh b) (get-fh c))
  case True
  then have r: (Ǝ k. get-gu b k ≠ None ∧ get-gu c k ≠ None)
    ∨ (Ǝ p p'. get-gs b = Some p ∧ get-gs c = Some p' ∧ pgt (padd (fst p) (fst p')) pwrite)
    by (metis assms(2) compatible-def not-pgte-charact)
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases Ǝ k. get-gu b k ≠ None ∧ get-gu c k ≠ None)
    case True
    then obtain k where get-gu b k ≠ None ∧ get-gu c k ≠ None
      by auto
    then have get-gu ab k ≠ None
      using add-gu-def[of get-gu a get-gu b] add-gu-single.simps(1) assms(1) compatible-def compatible-eq option.distinct(1) plus-extract(3)
      by metis
    then show ?thesis
      by (meson ‹get-gu b k ≠ None ∧ get-gu c k ≠ None› compatible-def)
  next
    case False
    then obtain p p' where get-gs b = Some p ∧ get-gs c = Some p' ∧ pgt (padd (fst p) (fst p')) pwrite
      using r by blast
    moreover have get-gs ab = add-gs (get-gs a) (Some p)
      by (metis assms(1) calculation plus-extract(2))
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases get-gs a)
      case None
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis ‹get-gs ab = add-gs (get-gs a) (Some p)› add-gs.simps(1) calculation compatible-def not-pgte-charact)
    next
      case (Some pa)
      then have get-gs ab = Some (padd (fst pa) (fst p), snd pa + snd p)
        using ‹get-gs ab = add-gs (get-gs a) (Some p)› by auto

```

```

then have pgte (padd (fst pa) (fst p)) (fst p)
  using padd-comm pgt-implies-pgte sum-larger by presburger
then have pgt (padd (padd (fst pa) (fst p)) (fst p')) pwrite
  using calculation padd.rep-eq pgt.rep-eq pgte.rep-eq by auto
then show ?thesis
  by (metis ‹get-gs ab = Some (padd (fst pa) (fst p)), snd pa + snd p›)
calculation compatible-def fst-conv not-pgte-charact)
qed
qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof (cases compatible-fractions (get-fh b) (get-fh c))
  case True
  then have ¬ same-values (get-fh b) (get-fh c)
    using False compatible-fract-heaps-def by blast
  then obtain l pb pc where get-fh b l = Some pb get-fh c l = Some pc snd pc
  ≠ snd pb
    using same-values-def by fastforce
  then obtain pab where get-fh ab l = Some pab snd pab = snd pb
    apply (cases get-fh a l)
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def assms(1) fadd-options.simps(2)
plus-comm plus-extract(1))
    using add-fh-def[of get-fh b get-fh a l] assms(1) fadd-options.simps(3) plus-comm
plus-extract(1) snd-conv
    by metis
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis ‹get-fh c l = Some pc› ‹snd pc ≠ snd pb› compatible-def compatible-fract-heapsE(2))
next
case False
  then obtain pb pc l where get-fh b l = Some pb get-fh c l = Some pc pgt
(padd (fst pb) (fst pc)) pwrite
    using compatible-fractions-def not-pgte-charact by blast

then show ?thesis
proof (cases get-fh a l)
  case None
  then have get-fh ab l = Some pb
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) ‹get-fh b l = Some pb› add-fh-def assms(1)
fadd-options.simps(1) plus-extract(1))
  then show ?thesis
    by (meson ‹get-fh c l = Some pc› ‹pgt (padd (fst pb) (fst pc)) pwrite›
compatible-def compatible-fract-heaps-def compatible-fractions-def not-pgte-charact)
next
case (Some pa)
  then obtain pab where get-fh ab l = Some pab fst pab = padd (fst pa) (fst
pb)
    by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) ‹get-fh b l = Some pb› add-fh-def

```

```

assms(1) fadd-options.simps(3) fst-conv plus-extract(1))
  then have pgte (fst pab) (fst pb)
    by (metis padd-comm pgt-implies-pgte sum-larger)
  then have pgt (padd (fst pab) (fst pc)) pwrite
    using ⟨pgt (padd (fst pb) (fst pc)) pwrite⟩ padd.rep-eq pgt.rep-eq pgte.rep-eq
  by force
  then show ?thesis
    by (meson ⟨get-fh ab l = Some pab⟩ ⟨get-fh c l = Some pc⟩ compatible-def
compatible-fract-heapsE(1) not-pgte-charact)
  qed
  qed
qed

lemma plus-extract-point-fh:
  assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
    and get-fh a l = Some pa
    and get-fh b l = Some pb
  shows snd pa = snd pb ∧ pgte pwrite (padd (fst pa) (fst pb)) ∧ get-fh x l =
Some (padd (fst pa) (fst pb), snd pa)
  using add-fh-def[of get-fh a get-fh b] assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) compatible-def[of a b] compatible-eq
    compatible-fract-heapsE(1)[of get-fh a get-fh b] compatible-fract-heapsE(2)[of
get-fh a get-fh b]
    fadd-options.simps(3)[of pa pb] option.distinct(1) plus-extract(1)[of x a b]
  by metis

lemma asso1:
  assumes Some a ⊕ Some b = Some ab
    and Some b ⊕ Some c = Some bc
  shows Some ab ⊕ Some c = Some a ⊕ Some bc
proof (cases Some ab ⊕ Some c)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh ab) (get-fh c))
    case True
    then have r: ( $\exists k. \text{get-gu } ab \ k \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{get-gu } c \ k \neq \text{None}$ )  $\vee$  ( $\exists p \ p'. \text{get-gs } ab = \text{Some } p \wedge \text{get-gs } c = \text{Some } p'$ 
 $\wedge \text{pgt } (\text{padd } (\text{fst } p) \ (\text{fst } p')) \ \text{pwrite}$ )
      by (metis None compatible-def compatible-eq not-pgte-charact)
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases  $\exists k. \text{get-gu } ab \ k \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{get-gu } c \ k \neq \text{None}$ )
      case True
      then obtain k where get-gu ab k ≠ None ∧ get-gu c k ≠ None
        by presburger
      then have get-gu a k ≠ None ∨ get-gu b k ≠ None
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-gu-def add-gu-single.simps(1) assms(1)
plus-extract(3))
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis ⟨get-gu ab k ≠ None ∧ get-gu c k ≠ None⟩ assms(2) asso2

```

```

compatible-def compatible-eq option.discI plus-comm)
next
  case False
  then obtain pab pc where get-gs ab = Some pab ∧ get-gs c = Some pc
    ∧ pgt (padd (fst pab) (fst pc)) pwrite
    using r by blast
  then show ?thesis
    apply (cases get-gs a)
    apply (metis add-gs.simps(1) assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def compatible-eq not-pgte-charact option.discI plus-extract(2))
    apply (cases get-gs b)
    apply (metis add-gs.simps(1) add-gs.simps(2) assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def compatible-eq not-pgte-charact plus-extract(2))
  proof -
    fix pa pb assume asm: get-gs ab = Some pab ∧ get-gs c = Some pc ∧ pgt
      (padd (fst pab) (fst pc)) pwrite
      get-gs a = Some pa get-gs b = Some pb
      then have pab = (padd (fst pa) (fst pb), snd pa + snd pb)
        by (metis add-gs.simps(3) assms(1) option.sel plus-extract(2))
      then show Some ab ⊕ Some c = Some a ⊕ Some bc
        using None <get-gs a = Some pa> asm
        <get-gs b = Some pb> add-gs.simps(3) assms(2) compatible-def[of a bc]
        compatible-eq fst-conv not-pgte-charact[of pwrite padd (fst pab) (fst pc)]
      padd-asso plus-extract(2)
        by metis
    qed
  qed
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases compatible-fractions (get-fh ab) (get-fh c))
    case True
    then have ¬same-values (get-fh ab) (get-fh c)
      using False compatible-fract-heaps-def
      by blast

    then obtain l pab pc where get-fh ab l = Some pab get-fh c l = Some pc snd
      pab ≠ snd pc
      using same-values-def by blast

    then show ?thesis
      apply (cases get-fh a l)

      apply (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def compatible-eq compatible-fract-heapsE(2) fadd-options.simps(1) option.distinct(1) plus-extract(1))

  proof -
    fix pa assume get-fh ab l = Some pab get-fh c l = Some pc snd pab ≠ snd

```

```

pc get-fh a l = Some pa
  moreover have same-values (get-fh a) (get-fh b)
    by (metis assms(1) compatible-def compatible-fract-heaps-def option.discI
plus.simps(3))
  ultimately have snd pa = snd pab
    apply (cases get-fh b l)
    apply (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def assms(1) fadd-options.simps(2)
option.inject plus-extract(1))
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def assms(1) fadd-options.simps(3)
option.sel plus-extract(1) snd-eqD)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis (full-types) None <get-fh a l = Some pa> <get-fh c l =
Some pc> <snd pab ≠ snd pc> assms(2) asso2 compatible-def compatible-eq com-
patible-fract-heapsE(2) plus-comm)
    qed
  next
    case False
    then obtain l pab pc where get-fh ab l = Some pab get-fh c l = Some pc pgt
(padd (fst pab) (fst pc)) pwrite
      using compatible-fractions-def not-pgte-charact by blast
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases get-fh a l)
      case None
      then have get-fh b l = Some pab
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) <get-fh ab l = Some pab> add-fh-def assms(1)
fadd-options.simps(1) plus-extract(1))
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis <get-fh c l = Some pc> <pgt (padd (fst pab) (fst pc)) pwrite>
assms(2) compatible-def compatible-fract-heapsE(1) not-pgte-charact option.simps(3)
plus.simps(3))
    next
      case (Some pa)
      then have get-fh a l = Some pa by simp
      then show ?thesis
      proof (cases get-fh b l)
        case None
        then have pa = pab
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) Some <get-fh ab l = Some pab> add-fh-def
assms(1) fadd-options.simps(2) option.inject plus-extract(1))
        then show ?thesis
          by (metis Some <get-fh ab l = Some pab> <get-fh c l = Some pc> <pgt (padd
(fst pab) (fst pc)) pwrite> assms(2) asso2 compatible-def compatible-fract-heapsE(1)
not-pgte-charact padd-comm plus.simps(3) plus-comm)
      next
        case (Some pb)
        then have fst pab = padd (fst pa) (fst pb)
        using <get-fh a l = Some pa> <get-fh ab l = Some pab> add-fh-def[of
get-fh a get-fh b] assms(1) compatible-def compatible-eq
          compatible-fract-heapsE(2)[of get-fh a get-fh b] fadd-options.simps(3)

```

```

fst-apfst option.discI option.sel plus-extract(1)[of ab a b] prod.collapse
snd-apfst
  by force
  then have pgf (padd (fst pa) (padd (fst pb) (fst pc))) pwrite
    using <pgf (padd (fst pb) (fst pc)) pwrite> padd-asso by auto
  moreover obtain pbc where get-fh bc l = Some pbc fst pbc = padd (fst
pb) (fst pc)
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Some <get-fh c l = Some pc> add-fh-def
assms(2) fadd-options.simps(3) fst-conv plus-extract(1))
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis None <get-fh a l = Some pa> compatible-def compatible-eq
compatible-fract-heapsE(1) not-pgfe-charact)
qed
qed
qed
qed
next
case (Some x)
then have Some ab ⊕ Some c = Some x by simp
have a ## bc
proof (rule compatibleI)
show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh a) (get-fh bc)
proof (rule compatible-fract-heapsI)
fix l pa pbc assume asm0: get-fh a l = Some pa ∧ get-fh bc l = Some pbc
have pgte pwrite (padd (fst pa) (fst pbc)) ∧ snd pa = snd pbc
proof (cases get-fh c l)
  case None
  then have get-fh b l = Some pbc
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def asm0 assms(2) fadd-options.elims
option.discI plus-extract(1))
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) asm0 assms(1) compatible-def compatible-eq
compatible-fract-heapsE(1) compatible-fract-heapsE(2) option.discI)
next
case (Some pc)
then have get-fh c l = Some pc by simp
then show ?thesis
proof (cases get-fh b l)
  case None
  then have get-fh ab l = Some pa
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def asm0 assms(1) fadd-options.simps(2)
plus-extract(1))
  moreover have pbc = pc
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) None Some add-fh-def asm0 assms(2)
fadd-options.simps(2) option.inject plus-comm plus-extract(1))
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Some <Some ab ⊕ Some c = Some x> compati-
ble-def compatible-eq compatible-fract-heapsE(1) compatible-fract-heapsE(2) option.discI)
next

```

```

case (Some pb)
  then obtain pab where get-fh ab l = Some pab fst pab = padd (fst pa)
(fst pb) snd pab = snd pa
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) add-fh-def asm0 assms(1) fadd-options.simps(3)
fst-conv plus-extract(1) snd-conv)
  then have pgte pwrite (padd (padd (fst pa) (fst pb)) (fst pc))
    by (metis <Some ab ⊕ Some c = Some x> <get-fh c l = Some pc>
compatible-def compatible-eq compatible-fract-heapsE(1) option.distinct(1))
  then have pgte pwrite (padd (fst pa) (fst pbc))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Some <get-fh c l = Some pc> add-fh-def asm0
assms(2) fadd-options.simps(3) fst-conv option.sel padd-asso plus-extract(1))
  moreover have snd pa = snd pb
    by (metis Some asm0 assms(1) compatible-def compatible-fract-heapsE(2)
option.simps(3) plus.simps(3))
  then have snd pa = snd pbc
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Some <get-fh c l = Some pc> add-fh-def
asm0 assms(2) fadd-options.simps(3) option.sel plus-extract(1) snd-conv)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
then show pgte pwrite (padd (fst pa) (fst pbc))
  by auto
show snd pa = snd pbc
  by (simp add: <pgte pwrite (padd (fst pa) (fst pbc)) ∧ snd pa = snd pbc>)
qed

show  $\bigwedge k. \text{get-gu } a \ k = \text{None} \vee \text{get-gu } bc \ k = \text{None}$ 
proof –
  fix k show get-gu a k = None ∨ get-gu bc k = None
  proof (cases get-gu a k)
    case (Some aa)
    then have get-gu b k = None ∨ get-gu c k = None
      by (metis assms(2) compatible-def compatible-eq option.discI)
    then show ?thesis
      using Some <Some ab ⊕ Some c = Some x> add-gu-def[of get-gu a get-gu
    b]
      add-gu-def[of get-gu b get-gu c] add-gu-single.simps(1) add-gu-single.simps(2)
      assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def compatible-eq option.distinct(1)
    plus-extract(3)
      by metis
    qed (simp)
  qed
  fix pa pbc assume get-gs a = Some pa ∧ get-gs bc = Some pbc
  show pgte pwrite (padd (fst pa) (fst pbc))
  proof (cases get-gs b)
    case None
    then show ?thesis by (metis Some <get-gs a = Some pa ∧ get-gs bc = Some
pbc> add-gs.simps(1) add-gs.simps(2) assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def compatible-eq option.discI plus-extract(2))

```

```

next
  case (Some pb)
    then have get-gs b = Some pb by simp
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases get-gs c)
      case None
      then show ?thesis
      by (metis Some <get-gs a = Some pa ∧ get-gs bc = Some pbc> add-gs.simps(2)
assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def compatible-eq option.distinct(1) plus-extract(2))
    next
      case (Some pc)
        then have padd (fst pa) (fst pbc) = padd (fst pa) (padd (fst pb) (fst pc))
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) <get-gs a = Some pa ∧ get-gs bc = Some pbc>
<get-gs b = Some pb> add-gs.simps(3) assms(2) fst-conv option.sel plus-extract(2))
        also have ... = padd (padd (fst pa) (fst pb)) (fst pc)
        using padd-asso by force
        moreover obtain pab where get-gs ab = Some pab
        by (metis <get-gs a = Some pa ∧ get-gs bc = Some pbc> <get-gs b = Some
pb> add-gs.simps(3) assms(1) plus-extract(2))
        then have ppte pwrite (padd (fst pab) (fst pc))
        by (metis Some <Some ab ⊕ Some c = Some x> compatible-def compatible-eq
option.simps(3))
        ultimately show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) <get-gs a = Some pa ∧ get-gs bc = Some pbc>
<get-gs ab = Some pab> <get-gs b = Some pb> add-gs.simps(3) assms(1) fst-conv
option.sel plus-extract(2))
      qed
      qed

qed
then obtain y where Some y = Some a ⊕ Some bc
  by simp
moreover have x = y
proof (rule heap-ext)
  show get-gu x = get-gu y
proof (rule ext)
  fix k show get-gu x k = get-gu y k
  apply (cases get-gu a k)
  using Some add-gu-def[of get-gu a] add-gu-def[of get-gu b] add-gu-def[of
get-gu ab]
  add-gu-single.simps(1) assms(1) assms(2) calculation
  plus-extract(3)[of ab a b] plus-extract(3)[of bc b c] plus-extract(3)[of y a
bc] plus-extract(3)[of x ab c]
  apply simp
  apply (cases get-gu b k)
  using Some add-gu-def[of get-gu a] add-gu-def[of get-gu b] add-gu-def[of
get-gu ab]
  add-gu-single.simps(1) assms(1) assms(2) calculation
  plus-extract(3)[of ab a b] plus-extract(3)[of bc b c] plus-extract(3)[of y a

```

```

bc] plus-extract(3)[of x ab c]
    add-gu-single.simps(1) add-gu-single.simps(2) assms(1) assms(2) calculation
  apply simp
    by (metis assms(1) compatible-def compatible-eq option.simps(3))
qed
show get-gs x = get-gs y
apply (cases get-gs a)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Some add-gs.simps(1) assms(1) assms(2)
calculation plus-extract(2))
  apply (cases get-gs b)
    apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Some add-gs.simps(1) add-gs.simps(2)
assms(1) assms(2) calculation plus-extract(2))
    apply (cases get-gs c)
      apply (metis Some add-gs.simps(1) assms(1) assms(2) calculation plus-comm
plus-extract(2))
proof -
  fix ga gb gc assume asm0: get-gs a = Some ga get-gs b = Some gb get-gs c
= Some gc
  then obtain gab gbc where r: get-gs ab = Some gab get-gs bc = gbc
    by (metis add-gs.simps(3) assms(1) plus-extract(2))
  then have get-gs x = Some (padd (padd (fst ga) (fst gb)) (fst gc), (snd ga +
snd gb) + snd gc)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Some add-gs.simps(3) asm0(1) asm0(2)
asm0(3) assms(1) fst-conv plus-extract(2) snd-conv)
  moreover have get-gs y = Some (padd (fst ga) (padd (fst gb) (fst gc)), snd
ga + (snd gb + snd gc))
    by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) <Some y = Some a ⊕ Some bc>
add-gs.simps(3) asm0(1) asm0(2) asm0(3) assms(2) fst-conv plus-extract(2) prod.exhaust-sel
snd-conv)
  ultimately show get-gs x = get-gs y
    by (simp add: padd-asso)
qed
show get-fh x = get-fh y
  by (metis Some add-fh-asso assms(1) assms(2) calculation plus-extract(1))
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using Some by presburger
qed

lemma simpler-asso:
  (Some a ⊕ Some b) ⊕ Some c = Some a ⊕ (Some b ⊕ Some c)
proof (cases Some a ⊕ Some b)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) asso2 compatible-eq option.exhaust plus.simps(1)
plus-comm)
next
  case (Some ab)
  then have ab: Some ab = Some a ⊕ Some b by simp

```

```

then show ?thesis
proof (cases Some b ⊕ Some c)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis Some asso2 compatible-eq plus.simps(2))
next
  case (Some bc)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis ab asso1)
qed
qed

```

Addition of two extended heaps is associative.

```

lemma plus-asso:
  ( $a \oplus b$ )  $\oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ 
proof (cases a)
  case (Some aa)
  then have aa:  $a = \text{Some } aa$  by simp
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases b)
  case (Some bb)
  then have bb:  $b = \text{Some } bb$  by simp
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases c)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: plus-comm)
next
  case (Some cc)
  then show ?thesis
    using aa bb simpler-asso by blast
  qed
qed (simp)
qed (simp)

```

We define the extension order between extended heaps.

```

definition larger :: ('i, 'a) heap ⇒ ('i, 'a) heap ⇒ bool (infixl ⪯ 55) where
   $a \succeq b \longleftrightarrow (\exists c. \text{Some } a = \text{Some } b \oplus \text{Some } c)$ 

```

The extension order between extended heaps is transitive.

```

lemma larger-trans:
  assumes  $a \succeq b$ 
  and  $b \succeq c$ 
  shows  $a \succeq c$ 
proof –
  obtain r1 where Some a = Some b ⊕ Some r1
  using assms(1) larger-def by blast
  moreover obtain r2 where Some b = Some c ⊕ Some r2
  using assms(2) larger-def by blast

```

```

moreover obtain r where Some r = Some r1 ⊕ Some r2
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) calculation(1) calculation(2) not-Some-eq
plus.simps(1) plus-asso plus-comm)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis larger-def plus-comm simpler-asso)
qed

lemma comp-smaller:
  assumes a ## b
    and Some b = Some c ⊕ Some d
    shows a ## c
  by (metis assms(1) assms(2) option.distinct(1) plus.simps(1) plus.simps(3)
plus-asso)

lemma full-guard-sum-same:
  assumes get-gs a = Some (pwrite, sargs)
    and Some h = Some a ⊕ Some b
    shows get-gs h = Some (pwrite, sargs)
proof (cases get-gs b)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis add-gs.simps(2) assms(1) assms(2) fst-conv get-gs.elims option.sel
option.simps(3) plus.simps(3) snd-eqD)
next
  case (Some a)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def compatible-eq fst-eqD not-pgte-charact
option.simps(3) sum-larger)
qed

lemma full-uguard-sum-same:
  assumes get-gu a k = Some uargs
    and Some h = Some a ⊕ Some b
    shows get-gu h k = Some uargs
proof (cases get-gu b k)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-gu-def add-gu-single.simps(2) assms(1) assms(2)
plus-extract(3))
next
  case (Some a)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def compatible-eq option.simps(3))
qed

lemma smaller-more-compatible:
  assumes a ## b
    and a ⊇ c
    shows c ## b

```

```

by (meson assms(1) assms(2) comp-smaller compatible-comm larger-def)

lemma equiv-sum-get-fh:
assumes get-fh a = get-fh a'
  and get-fh b = get-fh b'
  and Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
  and Some x' = Some a' ⊕ Some b'
shows get-fh x = get-fh x'
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) fst-eqD get-fh.elims option.discI
option.sel plus.simps(3))

lemma addition-cancellative:
assumes Some a = Some b ⊕ Some c
  and Some a = Some b' ⊕ Some c
shows b = b'
proof (rule heap-ext)
show get-gu b = get-gu b'
proof (rule ext)
fix k show get-gu b k = get-gu b' k
apply (cases get-gu a k)
apply (metis assms(1) assms(2) full-uguard-sum-same not-Some-eq)
apply (cases get-gu b k)
using add-gu-def[of get-gu b get-gu c]
add-gu-single.simps(1)[of get-gu c k] assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def[of b
c] compatible-def[of b' c]
option.inject option.simps(3) plus.elims plus-extract(3)[of a b c]
apply metis
proof -
fix ga gb assume get-gu a k = Some ga get-gu b k = Some gb
then have get-gu c k = None
by (metis assms(1) compatible-def compatible-eq option.simps(3))
then show get-gu b k = get-gu b' k
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add-gu-def add-gu-single.simps(1) assms(1)
assms(2) plus-comm plus-extract(3))
qed
qed
show get-gs b = get-gs b'
by (metis add-gs-cancellative assms(1) assms(2) plus-extract(2))
show get-fh b = get-fh b'
proof (rule ext)
fix l show get-fh b l = get-fh b' l
proof (cases get-fh a l)
case None
then have get-fh b l = None
by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def assms(1) fadd-options.elims op-
tion.distinct(1) plus-extract(1))
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) None add-fh-def assms(2) fadd-options.elims
option.distinct(1) plus-extract(1))

```

```

next
  case (Some aa)
  then have get-fh a l = Some aa by simp
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases get-fh c l)
    case None
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def assms(1) assms(2) fadd-options.simps(1)
plus-comm plus-extract(1))
  next
    case (Some cc)
    then have get-fh c l = Some cc by simp
    then show ?thesis using fadd-options-cancellative
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add-fh-def assms(1) assms(2) plus-extract(1))
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
lemma addition-cancellative3:
assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b ⊕ Some c
and Some x = Some a' ⊕ Some b ⊕ Some c
shows a = a'
proof –
  obtain ab ab' where Some ab = Some a ⊕ Some b Some ab' = Some a' ⊕ Some b
  by (metis assms(1) assms(2) not-Some-eq plus.simps(1))
  then have ab = ab'
  by (metis addition-cancellative assms(1) assms(2))
  then show ?thesis
  using <Some ab = Some a ⊕ Some b> <Some ab' = Some a' ⊕ Some b>
  addition-cancellative by blast
qed

lemma larger3:
assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b ⊕ Some c
shows x ⊇ b
proof –
  obtain ab where Some ab = Some a ⊕ Some b
  by (metis assms not-Some-eq plus.simps(1))
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) assms larger-def larger-trans plus-comm)
qed

```

lemma *add-get-fh*:

```

assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
shows get-fh x = add-fh (get-fh a) (get-fh b)
by (metis assms fst-conv get-fh.elims option.discI option.sel plus.simps(3))

lemma sum-gs-one-none:
assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
and get-gs b = None
shows get-gs x = get-gs a
by (metis add-gs.simps(1) assms(2) assms(3) plus-comm plus-extract(2))

lemma sum-gs-one-some:
assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
and get-gs a = Some (pa, ma)
and get-gs b = Some (pb, mb)
shows get-gs x = Some (padd pa pb, ma + mb)
by (metis add-gs.simps(3) assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) fst-conv plus-extract(2)
snd-conv)

definition empty-heap :: ('i, 'a) heap where
empty-heap = (Map.empty, None, λk. None)

lemma dom-normalize:
dom h = dom (normalize h)
by (meson FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(1) domIff subsetI subset-antisym)

lemma sum-second-none-get-fh:
assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
and get-fh b l = None
shows get-fh x l = get-fh a l
proof (cases get-fh a l)
case None
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add-fh-def add-get-fh assms(1) assms(2)
fadd-options.simps(1))
next
case (Some aa)
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def add-get-fh assms(1) assms(2) fadd-options.simps(2))
qed

lemma sum-first-none-get-fh:
assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
and get-fh a l = None
shows get-fh x l = get-fh b l
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) plus-comm sum-second-none-get-fh)

```

```

lemma dom-sum-two:
  assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
  shows dom (get-fh x) = dom (get-fh a) ∪ dom (get-fh b)
  by (metis add-get-fh assms compatible-def compatible-dom-sum compatible-eq option.distinct(1))

lemma dom-three-sum:
  assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b ⊕ Some c
  shows dom (get-fh x) = dom (get-fh a) ∪ dom (get-fh b) ∪ dom (get-fh c)
proof -
  obtain ab where Some ab = Some a ⊕ Some b
  by (metis assms not-Some-eq plus.simps(1))
  then have Some x = Some ab ⊕ Some c
  using assms by presburger
  then have dom (get-fh x) = dom (get-fh ab) ∪ dom (get-fh c)
  by (meson dom-sum-two)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis ‹Some ab = Some a ⊕ Some b› dom-sum-two)
qed

lemma addition-smaller-domain:
  assumes Some a = Some b ⊕ Some c
  shows dom (get-fh b) ⊆ dom (get-fh a)
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Un-subset-iff assms dom-sum-two order-refl)

lemma one-value-sum-same:
  assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
  and get-fh a l = Some (π, v)
  shows ∃ π'. get-fh x l = Some (π', v)
  using assms(1) assms(2) not-Some-eq plus-extract-point-fh[of x a - l (π, v)]
  snd-eqD sum-second-none-get-fh[of x a]
  by metis

lemma compatible-last-two:
  assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b ⊕ Some c
  shows b ## c
  by (metis assms compatible-eq option.discI plus.simps(2) plus-asso)

lemma add-fh-map-empty:
  add-fh h Map.empty = h
proof (rule ext)
  fix x show add-fh h Map.empty x = h x
  by (metis add-fh-def fadd-options.simps(1) fadd-options.simps(2) not-None-eq)
qed

definition bounded where
  bounded h  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall l p. \text{fst } h l = \text{Some } p \longrightarrow \text{pgte } \text{pwrite } (\text{fst } p)$ )

```

```

lemma boundedI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge l p. \text{fst } h l = \text{Some } p \implies \text{pgte } \text{pwrite}(\text{fst } p)$ 
  shows bounded  $h$ 
  by (simp add: assms bounded-def)

lemma boundedE:
  assumes bounded  $h$ 
    and  $\text{fst } h l = \text{Some } p$ 
  shows pgte pwrite (fst p)
  by (meson assms(1) assms(2) bounded-def)

lemma bounded-smaller-sum:
  assumes bounded  $x$ 
    and  $\text{Some } x = \text{Some } a \oplus \text{Some } b$ 
  shows bounded  $a$ 
  proof (rule boundedI)
    fix  $l p$  assume asm0:  $\text{fst } a l = \text{Some } p$ 
    then obtain  $p'$  where  $\text{fst } x l = \text{Some } p'$ 
      by (metis assms(2) get-fh.simps one-value-sum-same prod.collapse)
    then have pgte (fst p') (fst p)
      apply (cases fst b l)
      apply (metis (no-types, lifting) add-fh-def add-get-fh asm0 assms(2) fadd-options.simps(2)
get-fh.elims not-pgte-charact option.sel pgt-implies-pgte)
      using add-fh-def[of fst a fst b l] asm0 assms(2) fadd-options.elims[of fst a l fst
b l]
        fst-eqD get-fh.simps option.discI option.sel pgt-implies-pgte plus.simps(3)[of a
b] sum-larger[of ]
      by metis
    then show pgte pwrite (fst p)
      by (meson ‹fst x l = Some p› assms(1) boundedE dual-order.trans pgte.rep-eq)
  qed

lemma bounded-smaller:
  assumes bounded  $x$ 
    and  $x \succeq a$ 
  shows bounded  $a$ 
  using assms(1) assms(2) bounded-smaller-sum larger-def by blast

lemma sum-perm-smaller:
  assumes  $\text{Some } x = \text{Some } a \oplus \text{Some } b$ 
    and  $\text{fst } a l = \text{Some } (p, v)$ 
  shows  $\exists p'. \text{pgte } p' p \wedge \text{fst } x l = \text{Some } (p', v)$ 
  apply (cases fst b l)
  apply (metis assms(1) assms(2) get-fh.simps order.refl pgte.rep-eq sum-second-none-get-fh)
  apply clarsimp
  using assms(2) fst-eqD get-fh.simps pgt-implies-pgte plus-extract-point-fh[OF assms(1)]
snd-eqD sum-larger

```

by *simp*

```
lemma modus-ponens:
  assumes A
    and A ==> B
  shows B
  using assms by simp
```

lemma fpdom-inclusion:

```
assumes Some h' = Some h ⊕ Some r
  and bounded h'
shows fpdom (fst h) ⊆ fpdom (fst h')
apply rule
unfolding fpdom-def apply simp
apply (erule exE)
subgoal for x v
  apply (rule modus-ponens[of ∃ p. fst h' x = Some (p, v)])
  apply (metis assms(1) get-fh.simps one-value-sum-same)
  apply (erule exE)
subgoal for p
  apply (rule modus-ponens[of pgte p write p])
  apply (metis assms(2) boundedE fst-conv)
  apply (rule modus-ponens[of pgte p pwrite])
  apply (metis Pair-inject assms(1) option.inject sum-perm-smaller)
  using pgte-antisym by blast
done
done
```

lemma fpdom-dom-disjoint:

```
assumes Some h = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2
shows dom (fst h1) ∩ fpdom (fst h2) = {}
apply rule
apply rule
unfolding dom-def fpdom-def apply simp-all
apply (erule conjE)
apply (erule exE)+
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms fst-eqD get-fh.simps not-pgte-charact plus-comm
plus-extract-point-fh sum-larger)
```

lemma fpdom-dom-union:

```
assumes Some h = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2
  and bounded h
shows fpdom (fst h1) ∪ fpdom (fst h2) ⊆ fpdom (fst h)
by (metis assms fpdom-inclusion plus-comm sup-least)
```

lemma full-ownership-then-bounded:

```
assumes full-ownership (fst h)
```

```

shows bounded h
apply (rule boundedI)
by (metis assms full-ownership-def pgte.rep-eq pwrite.rep-eq rel-simps(47))

end

```

2 Imperative Concurrent Language

This file defines the syntax and semantics of the concurrent programming language described in the paper, based on Viktor Vafeiadis' Isabelle soundness proof of CSL [2], and adapted to Isabelle 2016-1 by Qin Yu and James Brotherston (see <https://people.mpi-sws.org/~viktor/cslsound/>). We also prove some useful lemmas about the semantics.

```

theory Lang
imports Main StateModel
begin

```

2.1 Language Syntax and Semantics

type-synonym *state* = *store* × *normal-heap*

```

datatype exp =
  Evar var
  | Enum nat
  | Eplus exp exp

```

```

datatype bexp =
  Beq exp exp
  | Band bexp bexp
  | Bnot bexp
  | Btrue

```

```

datatype cmd =
  Cskip
  | Cassign var exp
  | Cread var exp
  | Cwrite exp exp
  | Calloc var exp
  | Cdispose exp
  | Cseq cmd cmd
  | Cpar cmd cmd
  | Cif bexp cmd cmd
  | Cwhile bexp cmd
  | Catomic cmd

```

Arithmetic expressions (*exp*) consist of variables, constants, and arithmetic operations. Boolean expressions (*bexp*) consist of comparisons between arithmetic expressions. Commands (*cmd*) include the empty command, variable

assignments, memory reads, writes, allocations and deallocations, sequential and parallel composition, conditionals, while loops, local variable declarations, and atomic statements.

2.1.1 Semantics of expressions

Denotational semantics for arithmetic and boolean expressions.

primrec

edenot :: *exp* \Rightarrow *store* \Rightarrow *nat*

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{edenot } (\text{Evar } v) s &= s v \\ \mid \text{edenot } (\text{Enum } n) s &= n \\ \mid \text{edenot } (\text{Eplus } e1 e2) s &= \text{edenot } e1 s + \text{edenot } e2 s \end{aligned}$$

primrec

bdenot :: *bexp* \Rightarrow *store* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bdenot } (\text{Beq } e1 e2) s &= (\text{edenot } e1 s = \text{edenot } e2 s) \\ \mid \text{bdenot } (\text{Band } b1 b2) s &= (\text{bdenot } b1 s \wedge \text{bdenot } b2 s) \\ \mid \text{bdenot } (\text{Bnot } b) s &= (\neg \text{bdenot } b s) \\ \mid \text{bdenot } \text{Btrue} - &= \text{True} \end{aligned}$$

2.1.2 Semantics of commands

We give a standard small-step operational semantics to commands with configurations being command-state pairs.

inductive

red :: *cmd* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *cmd* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *bool*

and *red-rtrans* :: *cmd* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *cmd* \Rightarrow *state* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{red-Seq1[intro]}: \text{red } (\text{Cseq } \text{Cskip } C) \sigma C \sigma &\\ \mid \text{red-Seq2[elim]}: \text{red } C1 \sigma C1' \sigma' \implies \text{red } (\text{Cseq } C1 C2) \sigma (\text{Cseq } C1' C2) \sigma' &\\ \mid \text{red-If1[intro]}: \text{bdenot } B (\text{fst } \sigma) \implies \text{red } (\text{Cif } B C1 C2) \sigma C1 \sigma &\\ \mid \text{red-If2[intro]}: \neg \text{bdenot } B (\text{fst } \sigma) \implies \text{red } (\text{Cif } B C1 C2) \sigma C2 \sigma &\\ \mid \text{red-Atomic[intro]}: \text{red-rtrans } C \sigma \text{Cskip } \sigma' \implies \text{red } (\text{Catomic } C) \sigma \text{Cskip } \sigma' &\\ \mid \text{red-Par1[elim]}: \text{red } C1 \sigma C1' \sigma' \implies \text{red } (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) \sigma (\text{Cpar } C1' C2) \sigma' &\\ \mid \text{red-Par2[elim]}: \text{red } C2 \sigma C2' \sigma' \implies \text{red } (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) \sigma (\text{Cpar } C1' C2') \sigma' &\\ \mid \text{red-Par3[intro]}: \text{red } (\text{Cpar } \text{Cskip } C) \sigma (\text{Cskip } \sigma) &\\ \mid \text{red-Loop[intro]}: \text{red } (\text{Cwhile } B C) \sigma (\text{Cif } B (\text{Cseq } C (\text{Cwhile } B C)) \text{Cskip}) \sigma &\\ \mid \text{red-Assign[intro]}: [\sigma = (s, h); \sigma' = (s(x := \text{edenot } E s), h)] \implies \text{red } (\text{Cassign } x E) \sigma \text{Cskip } \sigma' &\\ \mid \text{red-Read[intro]}: [\sigma = (s, h); h(\text{edenot } E s) = \text{Some } v; \sigma' = (s(x := v), h)] \implies \text{red } (\text{Cread } x E) \sigma \text{Cskip } \sigma' &\\ \mid \text{red-Write[intro]}: [\sigma = (s, h); \sigma' = (s, h(\text{edenot } E s \mapsto \text{edenot } E' s))] \implies \text{red } (\text{Cwrite } E E') \sigma \text{Cskip } \sigma' &\\ \mid \text{red-Alloc[intro]}: [\sigma = (s, h); v \notin \text{dom } h; \sigma' = (s(x := v), h(v \mapsto \text{edenot } E s))] \implies \text{red } (\text{Calloc } x E) \sigma \text{Cskip } \sigma' & \end{aligned}$$

```

| red-Free[intro]:  $\llbracket \sigma = (s, h); \sigma' = (s, h(\text{edenot } E s := \text{None})) \rrbracket \implies \text{red } (C\text{dispose } E) \sigma \text{ Cskip } \sigma'$ 
| NoStep: red-rtrans  $C \sigma C \sigma$ 
| OneMoreStep:  $\llbracket \text{red } C \sigma C' \sigma'; \text{red-rtrans } C' \sigma' C'' \sigma'' \rrbracket \implies \text{red-rtrans } C \sigma C'' \sigma''$ 

```

inductive-cases red-par-cases: $\text{red } (C\text{par } C1 C2) \sigma C' \sigma'$
inductive-cases red-atomic-cases: $\text{red } (\text{Catomic } C) \sigma C' \sigma'$

2.1.3 Abort semantics

primrec

accesses :: $cmd \Rightarrow store \Rightarrow nat \ set$

where

accesses Cskip	$s = \{\}$
accesses (Cassign $x E$)	$s = \{\}$
accesses (Cread $x E$)	$s = \{\text{edenot } E s\}$
accesses (Cwrite $E E'$)	$s = \{\text{edenot } E s\}$
accesses (Calloc $x E$)	$s = \{\}$
accesses (Cdispose E)	$s = \{\text{edenot } E s\}$
accesses (Cseq $C1 C2$)	$s = \text{accesses } C1 s$
accesses (Cpar $C1 C2$)	$s = \text{accesses } C1 s \cup \text{accesses } C2 s$
accesses (Cif $B C1 C2$)	$s = \{\}$
accesses (Cwhile $B C$)	$s = \{\}$
accesses (Catomic C)	$s = \{\}$

primrec

writes :: $cmd \Rightarrow store \Rightarrow nat \ set$

where

writes Cskip	$s = \{\}$
writes (Cassign $x E$)	$s = \{\}$
writes (Cread $x E$)	$s = \{\}$
writes (Cwrite $E E'$)	$s = \{\text{edenot } E s\}$
writes (Calloc $x E$)	$s = \{\}$
writes (Cdispose E)	$s = \{\text{edenot } E s\}$
writes (Cseq $C1 C2$)	$s = \text{writes } C1 s$
writes (Cpar $C1 C2$)	$s = \text{writes } C1 s \cup \text{writes } C2 s$
writes (Cif $B C1 C2$)	$s = \{\}$
writes (Cwhile $B C$)	$s = \{\}$
writes (Catomic C)	$s = \{\}$

inductive

aborts :: $cmd \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$

where

aborts-Seq[intro]: $\text{aborts } C1 \sigma \implies \text{aborts } (\text{Cseq } C1 C2) \sigma$

```

| aborts-Atomic[intro]:  $\llbracket \text{red-rtrans } C \sigma \ C' \sigma' ; \text{aborts } C' \sigma' \rrbracket \implies \text{aborts} (\text{Catomic } C) \sigma$ 
| aborts-Par1[intro]:  $\text{aborts } C1 \sigma \implies \text{aborts} (\text{Cpar } C1 \ C2) \sigma$ 
| aborts-Par2[intro]:  $\text{aborts } C2 \sigma \implies \text{aborts} (\text{Cpar } C1 \ C2) \sigma$ 
| aborts-Read[intro]:  $\text{edenot } E (\text{fst } \sigma) \notin \text{dom} (\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{aborts} (\text{Cread } x \ E) \sigma$ 
| aborts-Write[intro]:  $\text{edenot } E (\text{fst } \sigma) \notin \text{dom} (\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{aborts} (\text{Cwrite } E \ E') \sigma$ 
| aborts-Free[intro]:  $\text{edenot } E (\text{fst } \sigma) \notin \text{dom} (\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{aborts} (\text{Cdispose } E) \sigma$ 
| aborts-Race1[intro]:  $\text{accesses } C1 (\text{fst } \sigma) \cap \text{writes } C2 (\text{fst } \sigma) \neq \{\} \implies \text{aborts} (\text{Cpar } C1 \ C2) \sigma$ 
| aborts-Race2[intro]:  $\text{writes } C1 (\text{fst } \sigma) \cap \text{accesses } C2 (\text{fst } \sigma) \neq \{\} \implies \text{aborts} (\text{Cpar } C1 \ C2) \sigma$ 

```

inductive-cases *abort-atomic-cases*: $\text{aborts} (\text{Catomic } C) \sigma$

2.2 Useful Definitions and Results

The free variables of expressions, boolean expressions, and commands are defined as expected:

```

primrec
  fvE :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  var set
where
  fvE (Evar v) = {v}
  | fvE (Enum n) = {}
  | fvE (Eplus e1 e2) = (fvE e1  $\cup$  fvE e2)
  | fvE (Eseq e1 e2) = (fvE e1  $\cup$  fvE e2)
  | fvB (Band b1 b2) = (fvB b1  $\cup$  fvB b2)
  | fvB (Bnot b) = (fvB b)
  | fvB (Btrue) = {}

primrec
  fvB :: bexp  $\Rightarrow$  var set
where
  fvB (Beq e1 e2) = (fvE e1  $\cup$  fvE e2)
  | fvB (Band b1 b2) = (fvB b1  $\cup$  fvB b2)
  | fvB (Bnot b) = (fvB b)
  | fvB (Btrue) = {}

primrec
  fvC :: cmd  $\Rightarrow$  var set
where
  fvC (Cskip) = {}
  | fvC (Cassign v E) = ({v}  $\cup$  fvE E)
  | fvC (Cread v E) = ({v}  $\cup$  fvE E)
  | fvC (Cwrite E1 E2) = (fvE E1  $\cup$  fvE E2)
  | fvC (Calloc v E) = ({v}  $\cup$  fvE E)
  | fvC (Cdispose E) = (fvE E)
  | fvC (Cseq C1 C2) = (fvC C1  $\cup$  fvC C2)
  | fvC (Cpar C1 C2) = (fvC C1  $\cup$  fvC C2)
  | fvC (Cif B C1 C2) = (fvB B  $\cup$  fvC C1  $\cup$  fvC C2)
  | fvC (Cwhile B C) = (fvB B  $\cup$  fvC C)
  | fvC (Catomic C) = (fvC C)

```

```

primrec
  wrC :: cmd  $\Rightarrow$  var set
where
  wrC (Cskip)      = {}
  | wrC (Cassign v E) = {v}
  | wrC (Cread v E)  = {v}
  | wrC (Cwrite E1 E2) = {}
  | wrC (Calloc v E) = {v}
  | wrC (Cdispose E) = {}
  | wrC (Cseq C1 C2) = (wrC C1  $\cup$  wrC C2)
  | wrC (Cpar C1 C2) = (wrC C1  $\cup$  wrC C2)
  | wrC (Cif B C1 C2) = (wrC C1  $\cup$  wrC C2)
  | wrC (Cwhile B C) = (wrC C)
  | wrC (Catomic C) = (wrC C)

```

```

primrec
  subE :: var  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp
where
  subE x E (Evar y)      = (if x = y then E else Evar y)
  | subE x E (Enum n)    = Enum n
  | subE x E (Eplus e1 e2) = Eplus (subE x E e1) (subE x E e2)

```

```

primrec
  subB :: var  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  bexp  $\Rightarrow$  bexp
where
  subB x E (Beq e1 e2) = Beq (subE x E e1) (subE x E e2)
  | subB x E (Band b1 b2) = Band (subB x E b1) (subB x E b2)
  | subB x E (Bnot b)    = Bnot (subB x E b)
  | subB x E Btrue       = Btrue

```

Basic properties of substitutions:

```

lemma subE-assign:
  edenot (subE x E e) s = edenot e (s(x := edenot E s))
  by (induct e, simp-all)

lemma subB-assign:
  bdenot (subB x E b) s = bdenot b (s(x := edenot E s))
  proof (induct b)
    case (Beq x1 x2)
    then show ?case
      using bdenot.simps(1) subB.simps(1) subE-assign by presburger
  qed (simp-all)

```

```

inductive-cases red-skip-cases: red Cskip σ C' σ'
inductive-cases aborts-skip-cases: aborts Cskip σ

```

```

lemma skip-simps[simp]:

```

```

¬ red Cskip σ C' σ'
¬ aborts Cskip σ
using red-skip-cases apply blast
using aborts-skip-cases by blast

```

definition

```

agrees :: 'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool
where
  agrees X s s' ≡ ∀ x ∈ X. s x = s' x

```

lemma *agrees-union*:

```

  agrees (A ∪ B) s s' ←→ agrees A s s' ∧ agrees B s s'
  by (meson Un-iff agrees-def)

```

Proposition 4.1: Properties of basic properties of *red*.

lemma *agreesI*:

```

  assumes ∀ x. x ∈ X ⇒ s x = s' x
  shows agrees X s s'
  using agrees-def assms by blast

```

lemma *red-properties*:

```

  red C σ C' σ' ⇒ fvC C' ⊆ fvC C ∧ wrC C' ⊆ wrC C ∧ agrees (¬ wrC C) (fst σ') (fst σ)
  red-rtrans C σ C' σ' ⇒ fvC C' ⊆ fvC C ∧ wrC C' ⊆ wrC C ∧ agrees (¬ wrC C) (fst σ') (fst σ)

```

proof (induct rule: red-red-rtrans.inducts)

case (OneMoreStep C σ C' σ' C'' σ'')

then have fvC C'' ⊆ fvC C

by blast

moreover have wrC C'' ⊆ wrC C

using OneMoreStep.hyps(2) OneMoreStep.hyps(4) **by** blast

moreover have agrees (¬ wrC C) (fst σ'') (fst σ)

proof (rule agreesI)

fix x **assume** x ∈ ¬ wrC C

then have x ∈ ¬ wrC C' ∧ x ∈ ¬ wrC C''

using OneMoreStep.hyps(2) OneMoreStep.hyps(4) **by** blast

then show fst σ'' x = fst σ x

by (metis OneMoreStep.hyps(2) OneMoreStep.hyps(4) ⟨x ∈ ¬ wrC C⟩ agrees-def)

qed

ultimately show ?case **by** simp

qed (auto simp add: agrees-def)

Proposition 4.2: Semantics does not depend on variables not free in the term

lemma *exp-agrees*: *agrees* (fvE E) s s' ⇒ edenot E s = edenot E s'
by (simp add: agrees-def, induct E, auto)

lemma *bexp-agrees*:

```

agrees (fvB B) s s'  $\implies$  bdenot B s = bdenot B s'
proof (induct B)
  case (Beq x1 x2)
    then have agrees (fvE x1) s s'  $\wedge$  agrees (fvE x2) s s'
      by (simp add: agrees-def)
    then show ?case using exp-agrees
      by force
  next
    case (Band B1 B2)
      then show ?case
        by (simp add: agrees-def)
  qed (simp-all)

lemma red-not-in-fv-not-touched:
  red C σ C' σ'  $\implies$  x  $\notin$  fvC C  $\implies$  fst σ x = fst σ' x
  red-rtrans C σ C' σ'  $\implies$  x  $\notin$  fvC C  $\implies$  fst σ x = fst σ' x
  proof (induct arbitrary; rule: red-red-rtrans.inducts)
    case (OneMoreStep C σ C' σ' C'' σ'')
      then show fst σ x = fst σ'' x
        by (metis red-properties(1) subsetD)
  qed (auto)

lemma agrees-update1:
  assumes agrees X s s'
  shows agrees X (s(x := v)) (s'(x := v))
  proof (rule agreesI)
    fix y show y  $\in$  X  $\implies$  (s(x := v)) y = (s'(x := v)) y
    apply (cases y = x)
    apply simp
    using agrees-def assms by fastforce
  qed

lemma agrees-update2:
  assumes agrees X s s'
  and x  $\notin$  X
  shows agrees X (s(x := v)) (s'(x := v'))
  proof (rule agreesI)
    fix y show y  $\in$  X  $\implies$  (s(x := v)) y = (s'(x := v')) y
    apply (cases y = x)
    using assms(2) apply blast
    using agrees-def assms(1) by fastforce
  qed

lemma red-agrees-aux:
  red C σ C' σ'  $\implies$  ( $\forall$  s h. agrees X (fst σ) s  $\wedge$  snd σ = h  $\wedge$  fvC C  $\subseteq$  X  $\longrightarrow$ 
    ( $\exists$  s' h'. red C (s, h) C' (s', h')  $\wedge$  agrees X (fst σ') s'  $\wedge$  snd σ' = h'))
  red-rtrans C σ C' σ'  $\implies$  ( $\forall$  s h. agrees X (fst σ) s  $\wedge$  snd σ = h  $\wedge$  fvC C  $\subseteq$  X
   $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  s' h'. red-rtrans C (s, h) C' (s', h')  $\wedge$  agrees X (fst σ') s'  $\wedge$  snd σ' = h'))

```

```

proof (induct rule: red-red-rtrans.inducts)
  case (red-If1 B σ C1 C2)
    then show ?case
    proof (clarify)
      fix X s h
      assume asm0: bdenot B (fst σ) agrees X (fst σ) s fvC (Cif B C1 C2) ⊆ X
      then have bdenot B s
        using Un-iff agrees-def[of X fst σ s] bexp-agrees fvC.simps(9) in-mono
        agrees-def[of fvB B]
        by fastforce
        then show  $\exists s' h'. \text{red } (\text{Cif } B \ C1 \ C2) \ (s, \ \text{snd } \sigma) \ C1 \ (s', \ h') \wedge \text{agrees } X \ (\text{fst } \sigma) \ s' \wedge \ \text{snd } \sigma = h'$ 
        by (metis asm0(2) fst-eqD red-red-rtrans.red-If1)
      qed
    next
    case (red-If2 B σ C1 C2)
      then show ?case
      proof (clarify)
        fix X s h
        assume asm0: ¬ bdenot B (fst σ) agrees X (fst σ) s fvC (Cif B C1 C2) ⊆ X
        then have ¬ bdenot B s
        using Un-subset-iff agrees-def[of X] agrees-def[of fvB B] bexp-agrees fvC.simps(9)
        in-mono
        by metis
        then show  $\exists s' h'. \text{red } (\text{Cif } B \ C1 \ C2) \ (s, \ \text{snd } \sigma) \ C2 \ (s', \ h') \wedge \text{agrees } X \ (\text{fst } \sigma) \ s' \wedge \ \text{snd } \sigma = h'$ 
        by (metis asm0(2) fst-eqD red-red-rtrans.red-If2)
      qed
    next
    case (red-Assign σ ss hh σ' x E)
      then show ?case
      proof (clarify)
        fix X s h
        assume asm0: σ' = (ss(x := edenot E ss), hh) σ = (ss, hh) agrees X (fst (ss, hh)) s fvC (Cassign x E) ⊆ X
        then have edenot E s = edenot E ss
        using exp-agrees fst-conv fvC.simps(2)
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Un-subset-iff agrees-def in-mono)
        then have red (Cassign x E) (ss, snd (s, h)) Cskip (ss(x := edenot E s), h)
        by force
        moreover have agrees X (fst (s(x := edenot E s), h)) (ss(x := edenot E s))
        proof (rule agreesI)
          fix y assume y ∈ X
          show fst (s(x := edenot E s), h) y = (ss(x := edenot E s)) y
            apply (cases x = y)
            apply simp
            by (metis ‹y ∈ X› agrees-def asm0(3) fstI fun-upd-other)
        qed
        ultimately show  $\exists s' h'. \text{red } (\text{Cassign } x \ E) \ (s, \ \text{snd } (ss, hh)) \ Cskip \ (s', \ h') \wedge$ 

```

```

agrees X (fst (ss(x := edenot E ss), hh)) s' ∧ snd (ss(x := edenot E ss), hh) = h'
  using <edenot E s = edenot E ss>
  by (metis agrees-update1 asm0(3) fst-conv red-red-rtrans.red-Assign snd-conv)
qed
next
  case (red-Read σ ss hh E v σ' x)
  have ∃s h. agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC (Cread x E) ⊆ X ==> (∃s' h'.
    red (Cread x E) (s, h) Cskip (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s' ∧ snd σ' = h')
  proof -
    fix s h assume asm0: agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC (Cread x E) ⊆ X
    then have hh (edenot E s) = Some v
      using red-Read(1) red-Read(2) exp-agrees fstI fvC.simps(3) Un-subset-iff
    agrees-def[of fvE E] in-mono
      agrees-def[of X] by metis
    then have agrees X (fst σ') (s(x := v))
      by (metis asm0(1) agrees-update1 fstI red-Read.hyps(1) red-Read.hyps(3)
    red-Read.prem)
    then show ∃s' h'. red (Cread x E) (s, h) Cskip (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s'
    ∧ snd σ' = h'
      using <hh (edenot E s) = Some v> red-Read.hyps(1) red-Read.hyps(3)
    red-Read.prem
      by (metis asm0 red-red-rtrans.red-Read snd-conv)
    qed
    then show ?case by blast
  next
    case (red-Write σ ss hh σ' E E')
    have ∃s h. agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC (Cwrite E E') ⊆ X ==> (∃s' h'.
      red (Cwrite E E') (s, h) Cskip (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s' ∧ snd σ' = h')
    proof -
      fix s h assume asm0: agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC (Cwrite E E') ⊆ X
      then have edenot E ss = edenot E s ∧ edenot E' ss = edenot E' s
        using red-Write(1) exp-agrees fstI fvC.simps(4)
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Un-subset-iff agrees-def in-mono)
      then show ∃s' h'. red (Cwrite E E') (s, h) Cskip (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s'
      ∧ snd σ' = h'
        by (metis fst-conv asm0 red-Write.hyps(1) red-Write.hyps(2) red-Write.prem
      red-red-rtrans.red-Write snd-conv)
      qed
      then show ?case by blast
    next
      case (red-Alloc σ ss hh v σ' x E)
      have ∃s h. agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC (Calloc x E) ⊆ X ==> (∃s' h'.
        red (Calloc x E) (s, h) Cskip (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s' ∧ snd σ' = h')
      proof -
        fix s h assume asm0: agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC (Calloc x E) ⊆ X
        then have edenot E ss = edenot E s
          using red-Alloc(1) exp-agrees fst-conv fvC.simps(5)
          by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Un-iff agrees-def in-mono)

```

```

then have agrees X (fst σ') (s(x := v))
by (metis agrees-update1 asm0 fstI red-Alloc.hyps(1) red-Alloc.hyps(3) red-Alloc.prems)
then show ∃ s' h'. red (Calloc x E) (s, h) Cskip (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s'
∧ snd σ' = h'
by (metis ‹edenot E ss = edenot E s› red-Alloc.hyps(1) red-Alloc.hyps(2)
red-Alloc.hyps(3) red-Alloc.prems red-red-rtrans.red-Alloc snd-eqD asm0)
qed
then show ?case by blast
next
case (red-Free σ ss hh σ' E)
have ⋀ s h. agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC (Cdispose E) ⊆ X ⟹ (∃ s'
h'. red (Cdispose E) (s, h) Cskip (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s' ∧ snd σ' = h')
proof –
  fix s h assume asm0: agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC (Cdispose E) ⊆ X
  then have edenot E ss = edenot E s
  using red-Free(1) exp-agrees fst-eqD fvC.simps(6)
  by (metis agrees-def in-mono)
  then show ∃ s' h'. red (Cdispose E) (s, h) Cskip (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s'
∧ snd σ' = h'
  using red-Free.hyps(1) red-Free.hyps(2) red-Free.prems asm0 by fastforce
qed
then show ?case by blast
next
case (NoStep C σ)
then show ?case
  using red-red-rtrans.NoStep by blast
next
case (OneMoreStep C σ C' σ' C'' σ'')
have ⋀ s h. agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC C ⊆ X ⟹ (∃ s' h'. red-rtrans
C (s, h) C'' (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ'') s' ∧ snd σ'' = h')
proof –
  fix s h assume asm0: agrees X (fst σ) s ∧ snd σ = h ∧ fvC C ⊆ X
  then obtain h' s' where red C (s, h) C' (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s' ∧ snd
σ' = h'
  using OneMoreStep(2) by auto
  then obtain h'' s'' where red-rtrans C' (s', h') C'' (s'', h'') ∧ agrees X (fst
σ'') s'' ∧ snd σ'' = h''
  using OneMoreStep.hyps(4) asm0 red-properties(1) by fastforce
  then show ∃ s' h'. red-rtrans C (s, h) C'' (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ'') s' ∧ snd
σ'' = h'
  using ‹red C (s, h) C' (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s' ∧ snd σ' = h'›
red-red-rtrans.OneMoreStep by blast
qed
then show ?case by blast
qed (fastforce+)

```

lemma red-agrees[rule-format]:

red C σ C' σ' ⟹ ∀ X s. agrees X (fst σ) s → snd σ = h → fvC C ⊆ X →
 (∃ s' h'. red C (s, h) C' (s', h') ∧ agrees X (fst σ') s' ∧ snd σ' = h')

```
using red-agrees-aux(1) by blast
```

```
lemma writes-accesses: writes C s ⊆ accesses C s
  by (induct C arbitrary: s, auto)
```

```
lemma accesses-agrees: agrees (fvC C) s s' ⇒ accesses C s = accesses C s'
  apply (induct C arbitrary: s s')
  apply (simp-all add: exp-agrees agrees-def)
  by blast
```

```
lemma writes-agrees: agrees (fvC C) s s' ⇒ writes C s = writes C s'
  apply (induct C arbitrary: s s')
  apply (simp-all add: exp-agrees agrees-def)
  by blast
```

```
lemma aborts-agrees:
  assumes aborts C σ
    and agrees (fvC C) (fst σ) s
    and snd σ = h
    shows aborts C (s, h)
  using assms
  proof (induct arbitrary: s h rule: aborts.induct)
    case (aborts-Atomic C σ C' σ')
      then obtain s' where red-rtrans C (s, h) C' (s', snd σ') ∧ agrees (fvC C) (fst σ') s'
        by (metis dual-order.refl fvC.simps(11) red-agrees-aux(2))
      moreover have agrees (fvC C') (fst σ') s'
        using calculation red-properties(2)
        by (meson agrees-def in-mono)
      ultimately show ?case
        using aborts-Atomic.hyps(3) by blast
  next
    case (aborts-Read E σ x)
    then show ?case
      using aborts.aborts-Read[of E σ x] exp-agrees[of E fst σ s] fst-conv fvC.simps(3)
      snd-conv
        by (simp add: aborts.aborts-Read agrees-def)
  next
    case (aborts-Write E σ E')
    then show ?case
      using aborts.aborts-Write[of E σ E'] exp-agrees[of - fst σ s] fst-conv fvC.simps(4)[of E E']
      snd-conv
        by (simp add: aborts.aborts-Write agrees-def)
  next
    case (aborts-Free E σ)
    then show ?case
      using exp-agrees by auto
```

```

next
  case (aborts-Par1 C1 σ C2)
  then have agrees (fvC C1) (fst σ) s
    by (simp add: agrees-def)
  then show ?case using aborts.aborts-Par1
    by (simp add: aborts-Par1.hyps(2) aborts-Par1.prems(2))
next
  case (aborts-Par2 C2 σ C1)
  then have agrees (fvC C2) (fst σ) s
    by (simp add: agrees-def)
  then show ?case using aborts.aborts-Par2
    by (simp add: aborts-Par2.hyps(2) aborts-Par2.prems(2))
next
  case (aborts-Seq C1 σ C2)
  then have agrees (fvC C1) (fst σ) s
    by (simp add: agrees-def)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: aborts.aborts-Seq aborts-Seq.hyps(2) aborts-Seq.prems(2))
next
  case (aborts-Race1 C1 σ C2)
  then show ?case using accesses-agrees[of C1 fst σ s] writes-agrees[of C2 fst σ
s] aborts.aborts-Race1[of C1 (s, h) C2]
    by (simp add: agrees-union)
next
  case (aborts-Race2 C1 σ C2)
  then show ?case using accesses-agrees[of C2 fst σ s] writes-agrees[of C1 fst σ
s] aborts.aborts-Race2[of C1 (s, h) C2]
    by (simp add: agrees-union)
qed

corollary exp-agrees2[simp]:
  x ∉ fvE E  $\implies$  edenot E (s(x := v)) = edenot E s
  by (rule exp-agrees, simp add: agrees-def)

lemma agrees-update:
  assumes a ∉ S
  shows agrees S s (s(a := v))
  by (simp add: agrees-def assms)

lemma agrees-comm:
  agrees S s s'  $\longleftrightarrow$  agrees S s' s
  by (metis agrees-def)

lemma not-in-dom:
  assumes x ∉ dom s
  shows s x = None
  using assms by auto

```

```

lemma agrees-minusD:
  agrees ( $\neg X$ )  $x y \implies X \cap Y = \{\} \implies$  agrees  $Y x y$ 
  by (metis Int-Un-eq(2) agrees-union compl-le-swap1 inf.order-iff inf-shunt)

end

```

3 CommCSL

In this file, we define the assertion language and the rules of CommCSL.

```

theory CommCSL
  imports Lang StateModel
begin

definition no-guard :: ('i, 'a) heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  no-guard  $h \longleftrightarrow$  get-gs  $h = \text{None} \wedge (\forall k. \text{get-gu } h k = \text{None})$ 

typedef 'a precondition = { pre :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool) | pre.  $\forall a b. \text{pre } a b \longrightarrow (\text{pre}$ 
 $b a \wedge \text{pre } a a)$  }
  using Sup2-E by auto

lemma charact-rep-prec:
  assumes Rep-precondition pre a b
  shows Rep-precondition pre b a  $\wedge$  Rep-precondition pre a a
  using Rep-precondition assms by fastforce

typedef ('i, 'a) indexed-precondition = { pre :: ('i  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool) | pre.  $\forall a b$ 
 $k. \text{pre } k a b \longrightarrow (\text{pre } k b a \wedge \text{pre } k a a)$  }
  using Sup2-E by auto

lemma charact-rep-indexed-prec:
  assumes Rep-indexed-precondition pre k a b
  shows Rep-indexed-precondition pre k b a  $\wedge$  Rep-indexed-precondition pre k a a
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Abs-indexed-precondition-cases Rep-indexed-precondition-cases
  Rep-indexed-precondition-inverse assms mem-Collect-eq)

type-synonym 'a list-exp = store  $\Rightarrow$  'a list

```

3.1 Assertion Language

```

datatype ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion =
  Bool bexp
  | Emp
  | And ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion
  | Star ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion    ( $\langle\cdot * \cdot\rangle$  70)
  | Low bexp
  | LowExp exp
  | PointsTo exp prat exp

```

```

| Exists var ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion
| EmptyFullGuards
| PreSharedGuards 'a precondition
| PreUniqueGuards ('i, 'a) indexed-precondition

| View normal-heap  $\Rightarrow$  'v ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion store  $\Rightarrow$  'v
| SharedGuard prat store  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset
| UniqueGuard 'i 'a list-exp

| Imp bexp ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion
| NoGuard

inductive PRE-shared-simpler :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  Empty: PRE-shared-simpler spre {#} {#}
  | Step: [ PRE-shared-simpler spre a b ; spre xa xb ]  $\Longrightarrow$  PRE-shared-simpler spre
  (a + {# xa #}) (b + {# xb #})

```

```

definition PRE-unique :: ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'b list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  PRE-unique upre uargs uargs'  $\longleftrightarrow$  length uargs = length uargs'  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  i. i  $\geq$  0  $\wedge$ 
  i < length uargs'  $\longrightarrow$  upre (uargs ! i) (uargs' ! i))

```

The following function defines the validity of CommCSL assertions, which corresponds to Figure 7 from the paper.

```

fun hyper-sat :: (store  $\times$  ('i, 'a) heap)  $\Rightarrow$  (store  $\times$  ('i, 'a) heap)  $\Rightarrow$  ('i, 'a, nat)
assertion  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\cdot$ , -  $\models$  - [51, 65, 66] 50) where
  (s, -), (s', -)  $\models$  Bool b  $\longleftrightarrow$  bdenot b s  $\wedge$  bdenot b s'
  | (-, h), (-, h')  $\models$  Emp  $\longleftrightarrow$  dom (get-fh h) = {}  $\wedge$  dom (get-fh h') = {}
  |  $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma'$   $\models$  And A B  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma'$   $\models$  A  $\wedge$   $\sigma$ ,  $\sigma'$   $\models$  B

  | (s, h), (s', h')  $\models$  Star A B  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  h1 h2 h1' h2'. Some h = Some h1  $\oplus$  Some
  h2  $\wedge$  Some h' = Some h1'  $\oplus$  Some h2'
   $\wedge$  (s, h1), (s', h1')  $\models$  A  $\wedge$  (s, h2), (s', h2')  $\models$  B)
  | (s, h), (s', h')  $\models$  Low e  $\longleftrightarrow$  bdenot e s = bdenot e s'

  | (s, h), (s', h')  $\models$  PointsTo loc p x  $\longleftrightarrow$  get-fh h (edenot loc s) = Some (p, edenot
  x s)  $\wedge$  get-fh h' (edenot loc s') = Some (p, edenot x s')
   $\wedge$  dom (get-fh h) = {edenot loc s}  $\wedge$  dom (get-fh h') = {edenot loc s'}
  | (s, h), (s', h')  $\models$  Exists x A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  v v'. (s(x := v), h), (s'(x := v'), h')  $\models$  A)

  | (s, h), (s', h')  $\models$  EmptyFullGuards  $\longleftrightarrow$  (get-gs h = Some (pwrite, {#}))  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  k.
  get-gu h k = Some [])  $\wedge$  get-gs h' = Some (pwrite, {#})  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  k. get-gu h' k = Some [])
  | (s, h), (s', h')  $\models$  PreSharedGuards spre  $\longleftrightarrow$ 

```

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists sargs\ sargs'.\ get-gs h = Some (pwrite, sargs) \wedge get-gs h' = Some (pwrite, \\
& sargs') \wedge PRE\text{-}shared\text{-}simpler (Rep\text{-}precondition spre) sargs\ sargs' \\
& \wedge get-fh h = Map.empty \wedge get-fh h' = Map.empty) \\
|& (s, h), (s', h') \models PreUniqueGuards upre \longleftrightarrow \\
& (\exists uargs\ uargs'.\ (\forall k.\ get-gu h k = Some (uargs k)) \wedge (\forall k.\ get-gu h' k = Some \\
& (uargs' k)) \wedge (\forall k.\ PRE\text{-}unique (Rep\text{-}indexed\text{-}precondition upre k) (uargs k) (uargs' \\
& k)) \wedge get-fh h = Map.empty \wedge get-fh h' = Map.empty) \\
|& (s, h), (s', h') \models View f J e \longleftrightarrow ((s, h), (s', h') \models J \wedge f (normalize (get-fh h)) \\
& = e s \wedge f (normalize (get-fh h')) = e s') \\
|& (s, h), (s', h') \models SharedGuard \pi ms \longleftrightarrow ((\forall k.\ get-gu h k = None \wedge get-gu h' k \\
& = None) \wedge get-gs h = Some (\pi, ms s) \wedge get-gs h' = Some (\pi, ms s') \\
& \wedge get-fh h = Map.empty \wedge get-fh h' = Map.empty) \\
|& (s, h), (s', h') \models UniqueGuard k lexp \longleftrightarrow (get-gs h = None \wedge get-gu h k = Some \\
& (lexp s) \wedge get-gu h' k = Some (lexp s') \wedge get-gs h' = None \\
& \wedge get-fh h = Map.empty \wedge get-fh h' = Map.empty \wedge (\forall k'. k' \neq k \rightarrow \\
& get-gu h k' = None \wedge get-gu h' k' = None)) \\
|& (s, h), (s', h') \models LowExp e \longleftrightarrow edenot e s = edenot e s' \\
|& (s, h), (s', h') \models Imp b A \longleftrightarrow bdenot b s = bdenot b s' \wedge (bdenot b s \rightarrow (s, h), \\
& (s', h') \models A) \\
|& (s, h), (s', h') \models NoGuard \longleftrightarrow (get-gs h = None \wedge (\forall k.\ get-gu h k = None) \wedge \\
& get-gs h' = None \wedge (\forall k.\ get-gu h' k = None))
\end{aligned}$$


```

lemma sat-PreUniqueE:

```

assumes (s, h), (s', h')  $\models$  PreUniqueGuards upre
shows  $\exists$  uargs uargs'. ( $\forall$  k. get-gu h k = Some (uargs k))  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  k. get-gu h' k = Some (uargs' k))  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  k. PRE-unique (Rep-indexed-precondition upre k) (uargs k) (uargs' k))
using assms by auto

```

lemma decompose-heap-triple:

```

h = (get-fh h, get-gs h, get-gu h)
by simp

```

definition depends-only-on :: (store \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow var set \Rightarrow bool **where**
 depends-only-on e S \longleftrightarrow (\forall s s'. agrees S s s' \rightarrow e s = e s')

lemma depends-only-onI:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge$  s s' :: store. agrees S s s'  $\Longrightarrow$  e s = e s'
shows depends-only-on e S
using assms depends-only-on-def by blast

```

definition fvS :: (store \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow var set **where**

$fV S e = (\text{SOME } S. \text{ depends-only-on } e S)$

```

lemma fVSE:
  assumes agrees (fV S e) s s'
  shows e s = e s'
proof -
  have depends-only-on e UNIV
  proof (rule depends-only-onI)
    fix s s' :: store assume agrees UNIV s s'
    have s = s'
    proof (rule ext)
      fix x :: var have x ∈ UNIV
      by auto
      then show s x = s' x
      by (meson ‹agrees UNIV s s'› agrees-def)
    qed
    then show e s = e s' by simp
  qed
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis assms depends-only-on-def fV-def someI-ex)
qed

```

```

fun fVA :: ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion ⇒ var set where
  fVA (Bool b) = fVB b
| fVA (And A B) = fVA A ∪ fVA B
| fVA (Star A B) = fVA A ∪ fVA B
| fVA (Low e) = fVB e
| fVA Emp = {}
| fVA (PointsTo v va vb) = fVE v ∪ fVE vb
| fVA (Exists x A) = fVA A − {x}
| fVA (SharedGuard - e) = fVS e
| fVA (UniqueGuard - e) = fVS e
| fVA (View view A e) = fVA A ∪ fVS e
| fVA (PreSharedGuards -) = {}
| fVA (PreUniqueGuards -) = {}
| fVA EmptyFullGuards = {}
| fVA (LowExp x) = fVE x
| fVA (Imp b A) = fVB b ∪ fVA A

```

```

definition subS :: var ⇒ exp ⇒ (store ⇒ 'v) ⇒ (store ⇒ 'v) where
  subS x E e = (λs. e (s(x := edenot E s)))

```

```

lemma subS-assign:
  subS x E e s ⇔ e (s(x := edenot E s))
  by (simp add: subS-def)

```

```

fun collect-existentials :: ('i, 'a, nat) assertion ⇒ var set where
  collect-existentials (And A B) = collect-existentials A ∪ collect-existentials B

```

```

| collect-existentials (Star A B) = collect-existentials A ∪ collect-existentials B
| collect-existentials (Exists x A) = collect-existentials A ∪ {x}
| collect-existentials (View view A e) = collect-existentials A
| collect-existentials (Imp - A) = collect-existentials A
| collect-existentials - = {}

fun subA :: var ⇒ exp ⇒ ('i, 'a, nat) assertion ⇒ ('i, 'a, nat) assertion where
  subA x E (And A B) = And (subA x E A) (subA x E B)
  subA x E (Star A B) = Star (subA x E A) (subA x E B)
  subA x E (Bool B) = Bool (subB x E B)
  subA x E (Low e) = Low (subB x E e)
  subA x E (LowExp e) = LowExp (subE x E e)
  subA x E (UniqueGuard k e) = UniqueGuard k (subS x E e)
  subA x E (SharedGuard π e) = SharedGuard π (subS x E e)
  subA x E (View view A e) = View view (subA x E A) (subS x E e)
  subA x E (PointsTo loc π e) = PointsTo (subE x E loc) π (subE x E e)
  subA x E (Exists y A) = (if x = y then Exists y A else Exists y (subA x E A))
  subA x E (Imp b A) = Imp (subB x E b) (subA x E A)
  subA - - A = A

lemma subA-assign:
  assumes collect-existentials A ∩ fvE E = {}
  shows (s, h), (s', h') ⊨ subA x E A ↔ (s(x := edenot E s), h), (s'(x := edenot E s'), h') ⊨ A
  using assms
  proof (induct A arbitrary: s h s' h')
    case (And A1 A2)
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: disjoint-iff-not-equal)
  next
    case (Star A1 A2)
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: disjoint-iff-not-equal)
  next
    case (Bool x)
    then show ?case
      by (metis hyper-sat.simps(1) subA.simps(3) subB-assign)
  next
    case (Low x2)
    then show ?case
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) hyper-sat.simps(5) subA.simps(4) subB-assign)
  next
    case (LowExp x2)
    then show ?case
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) hyper-sat.simps(14) subA.simps(5) subE-assign)
  next
    case (PointsTo x1a x2 x3)
    then show ?case
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) hyper-sat.simps(6) subA.simps(9) subE-assign)

```

```

next
  case (Exists  $y$   $A$ )
  then have  $\text{asm}0$ : collect-existentials  $A \cap \text{fv}_E E = \{\}$ 
    by auto
  show ?case (is ? $A \longleftrightarrow ?B$ )
  proof
    show ? $A \implies ?B$ 
  proof -
    assume ? $A$ 
    show ? $B$ 
    proof (cases  $x = y$ )
      case True
        then show ?thesis by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹? $A$ › fun-upd-upd hyper-sat.simps(7) subA.simps(10))
      next
        case False
        then obtain  $v v'$  where  $(s(y := v), h), (s'(y := v'), h') \models \text{sub}_A x E A$ 
          using ‹ $(s, h), (s', h') \models \text{sub}_A x E (\text{Exists } y A)$ › by auto
          then have  $((s(y := v))(x := \text{edenot } E (s(y := v))), h), ((s'(y := v'))(x := \text{edenot } E (s'(y := v'))), h') \models A$ 
            using Exists  $\text{asm}0$  by blast
          moreover have  $y \notin \text{fv}_E E$ 
            using Exists.prems by force
          then have  $\text{edenot } E (s(y := v)) = \text{edenot } E s \wedge \text{edenot } E (s'(y := v')) = \text{edenot } E s'$ 
            by (metis agrees-update exp-agrees)
          moreover have  $(s(y := v))(x := \text{edenot } E s) = (s(x := \text{edenot } E s))(y := v)$ 
             $\wedge (s'(y := v'))(x := \text{edenot } E s') = (s'(x := \text{edenot } E s'))(y := v')$ 
            by (simp add: False fun-upd-twist)
          ultimately show ?thesis using False hyper-sat.simps(7)
            by metis
        qed
      qed
      assume ? $B$ 
      show ? $A$ 
      proof (cases  $x = y$ )
        case True
        then show ?thesis
          using ‹ $(s(x := \text{edenot } E s), h), (s'(x := \text{edenot } E s'), h') \models \text{Exists } y A$ › by fastforce
        next
          case False
          then obtain  $v v'$  where  $((s(x := \text{edenot } E s))(y := v), h), ((s'(x := \text{edenot } E s'))(y := v'), h') \models A$ 
            using ‹ $(s(x := \text{edenot } E s), h), (s'(x := \text{edenot } E s'), h') \models \text{Exists } y A$ › hyper-sat.simps(7) by blast
            moreover have  $(s(y := v))(x := \text{edenot } E s) = (s(x := \text{edenot } E s))(y := v)$ 
               $\wedge (s'(y := v'))(x := \text{edenot } E s') = (s'(x := \text{edenot } E s'))(y := v')$ 

```

```

by (simp add: False fun-upd-twist)
then have ((s(y := v))(x := edenot E (s(y := v))), h), ((s'(y := v'))(x := edenot E (s'(y := v'))), h') ⊢ A
  using Exists.prem calculation by auto
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis Exists.hyps False asm0 hyper-sat.simps(7) subA.simps(10))
qed
qed
next
case (View x1a A x3)
then show ?case
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) collect-existentials.simps(4) hyper-sat.simps(11)
subA.simps(8) subS-def)
next
case (SharedGuard x1a x2)
then show ?case
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) hyper-sat.simps(12) subA.simps(7) subS-def)
next
case (UniqueGuard x)
then show ?case
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) hyper-sat.simps(13) subA.simps(6) subS-def)
next
case (Imp b A)
then show ?case
  by (metis collect-existentials.simps(5) hyper-sat.simps(15) subA.simps(11)
subB-assign)
qed (auto)

lemma PRE-uniqueI:
assumes length uargs = length uargs'
  and ∀i. i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length uargs' ⇒ upre (uargs ! i) (uargs' ! i)
shows PRE-unique upre uargs uargs'
using assms PRE-unique-def by blast

lemma PRE-unique-implies-tl:
assumes PRE-unique upre (ta # qa) (tb # qb)
shows PRE-unique upre qa qb
proof (rule PRE-uniqueI)
show length qa = length qb
  by (metis PRE-unique-def assms diff-Suc-1 length-Cons)
fix i assume 0 ≤ i ∧ i < length qb
then have upre ((ta # qa) ! (i + 1)) ((tb # qb) ! (i + 1))
  using assms PRE-unique-def [of upre ‹ta # qa› ‹tb # qb›]
  by (auto simp add: less-Suc-eq-le dest: spec [of - ‹Suc i›])
then show upre (qa ! i) (qb ! i)
  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma charact-PRE-unique:
  assumes PRE-unique (Rep-indexed-precondition pre k) a b
  shows PRE-unique (Rep-indexed-precondition pre k) b a ∧ PRE-unique (Rep-indexed-precondition pre k) a a
  using assms
  proof (induct length a arbitrary: a b)
    case 0
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: PRE-unique-def)
  next
    case (Suc n)
    then obtain ha ta hb tb where a = ha # ta b = hb # tb
      by (metis One-nat-def PRE-unique-def Suc-le-length-iff le-add1 plus-1-eq-Suc)
    then have n = length ta
      using Suc.hyps(2) by auto
    then have PRE-unique (Rep-indexed-precondition pre k) tb ta ∧ PRE-unique (Rep-indexed-precondition pre k) ta ta
      by (metis PRE-unique-implies-tl Suc.hyps(1) Suc.preds ‹a = ha # ta› ‹b = hb # tb›)
    then show ?case
      by (metis PRE-unique-def Suc.preds charact-rep-indexed-prec)
  qed

lemma charact-PRE-shared-simpler:
  assumes PRE-shared-simpler rpre a b
  and Rep-precondition pre = rpre
  shows PRE-shared-simpler (Rep-precondition pre) b a ∧ PRE-shared-simpler (Rep-precondition pre) a a
  using assms
  proof (induct arbitrary: pre rule: PRE-shared-simpler.induct)
    case (Empty spre)
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: PRE-shared-simpler.Empty)
  next
    case (Step spre a b xa xb)
    then have spre xb xa ∧ spre xa xa using charact-rep-prec by metis
    then show ?case
      by (metis PRE-shared-simpler.Step Step.hyps(2) Step.preds)
  qed

lemma always-sat-refl-aux:
  assumes (s, h), (s', h') ⊨ A
  shows (s, h), (s, h) ⊨ A
  using assms
  proof (induct A arbitrary: s h s' h')
    case (Star A B)
    then obtain ha hb ha' hb' where Some h = Some ha ⊕ Some hb Some h' = Some ha' ⊕ Some hb'

```

```

 $(s, ha), (s', ha') \models A$   $(s, hb), (s', hb') \models B$ 
by auto
then have  $(s, ha), (s, ha) \models A \wedge (s, hb), (s, hb) \models B$ 
  using Star.hyps(1) Star.hyps(2) by blast
then show ?case
  using ‹Some h = Some ha ⊕ Some hb› hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
next
  case (Exists x A)
  then show ?case
    by (meson hyper-sat.simps(7))
next
  case (PreSharedGuards x)
  then show ?case
    using charact-PRE-shared-simpler by force
next
  case (PreUniqueGuards upre)
  then obtain uargs uargs' where ( $\forall k. get-gu h k = Some (uargs k)$ )  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall k. get-gu h' k = Some (uargs' k)$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall k. PRE\text{-unique} (Rep\text{-indexed-precondition}$ 
    upre k) (uargs k) (uargs' k))  $\wedge$  get-fh h = Map.empty  $\wedge$  get-fh h' = Map.empty
    using hyper-sat.simps(10)[of s h s' h' upre] by blast
  then show (s, h), (s, h)  $\models$  PreUniqueGuards upre
    using charact-PRE-unique hyper-sat.simps(10)[of s h s h upre]
    by metis
qed (auto)

lemma always-sat-refl:
assumes  $\sigma, \sigma' \models A$ 
shows  $\sigma, \sigma \models A$ 
by (metis always-sat-refl-aux assms prod.exhaust-sel)

lemma agrees-same-aux:
assumes agrees (fvA A) s s'''
and  $(s, h), (s', h') \models A$ 
shows  $(s'', h), (s', h') \models A$ 
using assms
proof (induct A arbitrary: s s' s'' h h')
  case (Bool b)
  then show ?case by (simp add: bexp-agrees)
next
  case (And A1 A2)
  then show ?case using fvA.simps(2) hyper-sat.simps(3)
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) UnCI agrees-def)
next
  case (Star A B)
  then obtain ha hb ha' hb' where Some h = Some ha ⊕ Some hb Some h' =
    Some ha' ⊕ Some hb'
     $(s, ha), (s', ha') \models A$   $(s, hb), (s', hb') \models B$ 
    by auto
  then have  $(s'', ha), (s', ha') \models A \wedge (s'', hb), (s', hb') \models B$ 

```

```

using Star.hyps[of s s'' - s' -] Star.prem(1)
by (simp add: agrees-def)
then show ?case
  using ‹Some h = Some ha ⊕ Some hb› ‹Some h' = Some ha' ⊕ Some hb'›
hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
next
  case (Low e)
  then have bdenot e s = bdenot e s'' by (metis bexp-agrees fvA.simps(4))
  then show ?case using Low by simp
next
  case (LowExp e)
  then have edenot e s = edenot e s'' by (metis exp-agrees fvA.simps(14))
  then show ?case using LowExp by simp
next
  case (PointsTo l π v)
  then have edenot l s = edenot l s'' ∧ edenot v s = edenot v s'' by (simp add: agrees-def exp-agrees)
  then show ?case using PointsTo by auto
next
  case (Exists x A)
  then obtain v v' where (s(x := v), h), (s'(x := v'), h') ⊢ A by auto
  moreover have agrees (fvA A) (s(x := v)) (s''(x := v)) proof (rule agreesI)
    fix y show y ∈ fvA A ⇒ (s(x := v)) y = (s''(x := v)) y
    apply (cases y = x)
    apply simp
    using Diff-iff[of y fvA A {x}] Exists.prem(1) agrees-def empty-iff fun-upd-apply[of s x v] fun-upd-apply[of s'' x v] fvA.simps(7) insert-iff by metis
    qed
  ultimately have (s''(x := v), h), (s'(x := v'), h') ⊢ A using Exists.hyps by blast
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (View x1a A e)
  then have (s'', h), (s', h') ⊢ A ∧ e s = e s'' using fvA.simps(10) fvSE hyper-sat.simps(11) agrees-union by metis
  then show ?case using View.prem(2) by auto
next
  case (SharedGuard x1a x2)
  then show ?case using fvSE by auto
next
  case (UniqueGuard x)
  then show ?case using fvSE by auto

```

```

next
  case (Imp b A)
  then show ?case
    by (metis agrees-union bexp-agrees fvA.simps(15) hyper-sat.simps(15))
qed (auto)

lemma agrees-same:
  assumes agrees (fvA A) s s''
  shows  $(s, h), (s', h') \models A \longleftrightarrow (s'', h), (s', h') \models A$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) agrees-def agrees-same-aux assms)

lemma sat-comm-aux:
   $(s, h), (s', h') \models A \implies (s', h'), (s, h) \models A$ 
proof (induct A arbitrary: s h s' h')
  case (Star A B)
  then obtain ha hb ha' hb' where Some h = Some ha ⊕ Some hb Some h' = Some ha' ⊕ Some hb'
     $(s, ha), (s', ha') \models A$   $(s, hb), (s', hb') \models B$ 
    by auto
  then have  $(s', ha'), (s, ha) \models A \wedge (s', hb'), (s, hb) \models B$ 
  using Star.hyps(1) Star.hyps(2) by presburger
  then show ?case
    using  $\langle \text{Some } h = \text{Some } ha \oplus \text{Some } hb \rangle$   $\langle \text{Some } h' = \text{Some } ha' \oplus \text{Some } hb' \rangle$ 
    hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
next
  case (Exists x A)
  then obtain v v' where  $(s(x := v), h), (s'(x := v'), h') \models A$ 
    by auto
  then have  $(s'(x := v'), h'), (s(x := v), h) \models A$ 
    using Exists.hyps by blast
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (PreSharedGuards x)
  then show ?case
    by (meson charact-PRE-shared-simpler hyper-sat.simps(9))
next
  case (PreUniqueGuards upre)
  then obtain uargs uargs' where  $(\forall k. \text{get-gu } h k = \text{Some } (\text{uargs } k)) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall k. \text{get-gu } h' k = \text{Some } (\text{uargs}' k)) \wedge (\forall k. \text{PRE-unique } (\text{Rep-indexed-precondition}$ 
    upre k)  $(\text{uargs } k)$   $(\text{uargs}' k)) \wedge \text{get-fh } h = \text{Map.empty} \wedge \text{get-fh } h' = \text{Map.empty}$ 
    using hyper-sat.simps(10)[of s h s' h' upre] by blast
  then show  $(s', h'), (s, h) \models \text{PreUniqueGuards upre}$ 
    using charact-PRE-unique hyper-sat.simps(10)[of s' h' s h upre]
    by metis
qed (auto)

lemma sat-comm:
   $\sigma, \sigma' \models A \longleftrightarrow \sigma', \sigma \models A$ 
  using sat-comm-aux surj-pair by metis

```

definition *precise* **where**

precise $J \longleftrightarrow (\forall s1 H1 h1 h1' s2 H2 h2 h2'. H1 \succeq h1 \wedge H1 \succeq h1' \wedge H2 \succeq h2 \wedge H2 \succeq h2' \wedge (s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models J \wedge (s1, h1'), (s2, h2') \models J \longrightarrow h1' = h1 \wedge h2' = h2)$

lemma *preciseI*:

assumes $\bigwedge s1 H1 h1 h1' s2 H2 h2 h2'. H1 \succeq h1 \wedge H1 \succeq h1' \wedge H2 \succeq h2 \wedge H2 \succeq h2' \implies (s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models J \implies (s1, h1'), (s2, h2') \models J \implies h1' = h1 \wedge h2' = h2$

shows *precise* J
using *assms precise-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *preciseE*:

assumes *precise* J
and $H1 \succeq h1 \wedge H1 \succeq h1' \wedge H2 \succeq h2 \wedge H2 \succeq h2'$
and $(s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models J \wedge (s1, h1'), (s2, h2') \models J$
shows $h1' = h1 \wedge h2' = h2$
using *assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) precise-def* **by** *blast*

definition *unary* **where**

unary $J \longleftrightarrow (\forall s h s' h'. (s, h), (s, h) \models J \wedge (s', h'), (s', h') \models J \longrightarrow (s, h), (s', h') \models J)$

lemma *unaryI*:

assumes $\bigwedge s1 h1 s2 h2. (s1, h1), (s1, h1) \models J \wedge (s2, h2), (s2, h2) \models J \implies (s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models J$
shows *unary* J
using *assms unary-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *unary-smallerI*:

assumes $\bigwedge \sigma1 \sigma2. \sigma1, \sigma1 \models J \wedge \sigma2, \sigma2 \models J \implies \sigma1, \sigma2 \models J$
shows *unary* J
using *assms unary-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *unaryE*:

assumes *unary* J
and $(s, h), (s, h) \models J \wedge (s', h'), (s', h') \models J$
shows $(s, h), (s', h') \models J$
using *assms(1) assms(2) unary-def* **by** *blast*

definition *entails* :: $('i, 'a, nat) \text{ assertion} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ assertion} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
entails $A B \longleftrightarrow (\forall \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models A \longrightarrow \sigma, \sigma' \models B)$

lemma *entailsI*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x y. x, y \models A \implies x, y \models B$ 
shows entails A B
using assms entails-def by blast

lemma sat-points-to:
assumes  $(s, h :: ('i, 'a) heap), (s, h) \models PointsTo a \pi e$ 
shows get-fh h = [edenot a s  $\mapsto (\pi, edenot e s)$ ]
proof -
  have get-fh h (edenot a s) = Some ( $\pi, edenot e s$ )  $\wedge$  dom (get-fh h) = {edenot a s}
  using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis
    by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma unary-inv-then-view:
assumes unary J
shows unary (View f J e)
proof (rule unaryI)
fix s h s' h'
assume asm0:  $(s, h), (s, h) \models View f J e \wedge (s', h'), (s', h') \models View f J e$ 
then show  $(s, h), (s', h') \models View f J e$ 
  by (meson assms hyper-sat.simps(11) unaryE)
qed

```

```

lemma precise-inv-then-view:
assumes precise J
shows precise (View f J e)
proof (rule preciseI)
fix s1 H1 h1' s2 H2 h2' h2'
assume asm0:  $H1 \succeq h1 \wedge H1 \succeq h1' \wedge H2 \succeq h2 \wedge H2 \succeq h2' (s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models View f J e$ 
 $(s1, h1'), (s2, h2') \models View f J e$ 
then show  $h1' = h1 \wedge h2' = h2$ 
  by (meson assms hyper-sat.simps(11) preciseE)
qed

```

```

fun syntactic-unary :: ('i, 'a, nat) assertion  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  syntactic-unary (Bool b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
| syntactic-unary (And A B)  $\longleftrightarrow$  syntactic-unary A  $\wedge$  syntactic-unary B
| syntactic-unary (Star A B)  $\longleftrightarrow$  syntactic-unary A  $\wedge$  syntactic-unary B
| syntactic-unary (Low e)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
| syntactic-unary Emp  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
| syntactic-unary (PointsTo v va vb)  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
| syntactic-unary (Exists x A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  syntactic-unary A
| syntactic-unary (SharedGuard - e)  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
| syntactic-unary (UniqueGuard - e)  $\longleftrightarrow$  True

```

```

| syntactic-unary (View view A e)  $\longleftrightarrow$  syntactic-unary A
| syntactic-unary (PreSharedGuards -)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
| syntactic-unary (PreUniqueGuards -)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
| syntactic-unary EmptyFullGuards  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
| syntactic-unary (LowExp x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
| syntactic-unary (Imp b A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False

lemma syntactic-unary-implies-unary:
assumes syntactic-unary A
shows unary A
using assms
proof (induct A)
case (And A1 A2)
show ?case
proof (rule unary-smallerI)
fix σ1 σ2
assume σ1, σ1  $\models$  And A1 A2  $\wedge$  σ2, σ2  $\models$  And A1 A2
then have σ1, σ2  $\models$  A1  $\wedge$  σ1, σ2  $\models$  A2
using And unary-def
by (metis hyper-sat.simps(3) prod.exhaust-sel syntactic-unary.simps(2))
then show σ1, σ2  $\models$  And A1 A2
using hyper-sat.simps(3) by blast
qed
next
case (Star A B)
then have unary A  $\wedge$  unary B by simp
show ?case
proof (rule unaryI)
fix s1 h1 s2 h2
assume asm0: (s1, h1), (s1, h1)  $\models$  Star A B  $\wedge$  (s2, h2), (s2, h2)  $\models$  Star A
B
then obtain a1 b1 a2 b2 where Some h1 = Some a1  $\oplus$  Some b1 (s1, a1),
(s1, a1)  $\models$  A (s1, b1), (s1, b1)  $\models$  B
Some h2 = Some a2  $\oplus$  Some b2 (s2, a2), (s2, a2)  $\models$  A (s2, b2), (s2, b2)  $\models$ 
B
by (meson always-sat-refl hyper-sat.simps(4))
then have (s1, a1), (s2, a2)  $\models$  A  $\wedge$  (s1, b1), (s2, b2)  $\models$  B
using <unary A  $\wedge$  unary B> unaryE by blast
then show (s1, h1), (s2, h2)  $\models$  Star A B
using <Some h1 = Some a1  $\oplus$  Some b1> <Some h2 = Some a2  $\oplus$  Some b2>
hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
qed
next
case (Exists x A)
then have unary A by simp
show ?case
proof (rule unaryI)
fix s1 h1 s2 h2
assume (s1, h1), (s1, h1)  $\models$  Exists x A  $\wedge$  (s2, h2), (s2, h2)  $\models$  Exists x A

```

```

then obtain v1 v2 where (s1(x := v1), h1), (s1(x := v1), h1) ⊨ A ∧ (s2(x
:= v2), h2), (s2(x := v2), h2) ⊨ A
  by (meson always-sat-refl hyper-sat.simps(7))
then have (s1(x := v1), h1), (s2(x := v2), h2) ⊨ A
  using ‹unary A› unary-def by blast
then show (s1, h1), (s2, h2) ⊨ Exists x A by auto
qed
next
case (View view A x)
then have unary A by simp
show ?case
proof (rule unaryI)
  fix s1 h1 s2 h2
  assume asm0: (s1, h1), (s1, h1) ⊨ View view A x ∧ (s2, h2), (s2, h2) ⊨
View view A x
  then have (s1, h1), (s2, h2) ⊨ A
  by (meson ‹unary A› hyper-sat.simps(11) unaryE)
  then show (s1, h1), (s2, h2) ⊨ View view A x
  using asm0 by fastforce
qed
qed (auto simp add: unary-def)

```

The following record defines resource contexts (Section 3.5).

```

record ('i, 'a, 'v) single-context =
  view :: (loc → val) ⇒ 'v
  abstract-view :: 'v ⇒ 'v
  saction :: 'v ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'v
  uaction :: 'i ⇒ 'v ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'v
  invariant :: ('i, 'a, 'v) assertion

type-synonym ('i, 'a, 'v) cont = ('i, 'a, 'v) single-context option

```

definition no-guard-assertion **where**

```

no-guard-assertion A ←→ (forall s1 h1 s2 h2. (s1, h1), (s2, h2) ⊨ A → no-guard
h1 ∧ no-guard h2)

```

Axiom that says that view only depends on the part of the heap described by the invariant inv.

definition view-function-of-inv :: ('i, 'a, nat) single-context ⇒ bool **where**

```

view-function-of-inv Γ ←→ (forall (h :: ('i, 'a) heap) (h' :: ('i, 'a) heap) s. (s, h), (s,
h) ⊨ invariant Γ ∧ (h' ⊑ h)
  → view Γ (normalize (get-fh h)) = view Γ (normalize (get-fh h')))

```

definition wf-indexed-precondition :: ('i ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool **where**

```

wf-indexed-precondition pre ←→ (forall a b k. pre k a b → (pre k b a ∧ pre k a a))

```

definition wf-precondition :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool **where**

```

wf-precondition pre ←→ (forall a b. pre a b → (pre b a ∧ pre a a))

```

```

lemma wf-precondition-rep-prec:
  assumes wf-precondition pre
  shows Rep-precondition (Abs-precondition pre) = pre
  using Abs-precondition-inverse[of pre] assms mem-Collect-eq wf-precondition-def[of
pre]
  by blast

lemma wf-indexed-precondition-rep-prec:
  assumes wf-indexed-precondition pre
  shows Rep-indexed-precondition (Abs-indexed-precondition pre) = pre
  using Abs-indexed-precondition-inverse[of pre] assms mem-Collect-eq wf-indexed-precondition-def[of
pre]
  by blast

definition LowView where
  LowView f A x = (Exists x (And (View f A (λs. s x)) (LowExp (Evar x)))))

lemma LowViewE:
  assumes (s, h), (s', h') ⊢ LowView f A x
    and x ∉ fvA A
  shows (s, h), (s', h') ⊢ A ∧ f (normalize (get-fh h)) = f (normalize (get-fh
h'))
  proof –
    obtain v v' where (s(x := v), h), (s'(x := v'), h') ⊢ And (View f A (λs. s x))
    (LowExp (Evar x))
      by (metis LowView-def assms(1) hyper-sat.simps(7))
    then obtain (s(x := v), h), (s'(x := v'), h') ⊢ View f A (λs. s x)
      (s(x := v), h), (s'(x := v'), h') ⊢ LowExp (Evar x)
    using hyper-sat.simps(3) by blast
    then obtain (s(x := v), h), (s'(x := v'), h') ⊢ A v = v'
      f (normalize (get-fh h)) = f (normalize (get-fh h'))
      by simp
    moreover have (s, h), (s', h') ⊢ A
      by (meson agrees-same agrees-update assms(2) calculation(1) sat-comm-aux)
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed

lemma LowViewI:
  assumes (s, h), (s', h') ⊢ A
    and f (normalize (get-fh h)) = f (normalize (get-fh h'))
    and x ∉ fvA A
  shows (s, h), (s', h') ⊢ LowView f A x
  proof –
    let ?s = s(x := f (normalize (get-fh h)))
    let ?s' = s'(x := f (normalize (get-fh h')))
    have (?s, h), (?s', h') ⊢ A

```

```

by (meson agrees-same-aux agrees-update assms(1) assms(3) sat-comm-aux)
then have (?s, h), (?s', h')  $\models$  And (View f A ( $\lambda s. s x$ )) (LowExp (Evar x))
using assms(2) by auto
then show ?thesis using LowView-def
by (metis hyper-sat.simps(7))
qed

```

```

definition disjoint :: ('a set)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a set)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where disjoint h1 h2 = (h1  $\cap$  h2 = {})

```

```

definition unambiguous where
unambiguous A x  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall s1 h1 s2 h2 v1 v2 v1' v2'$ . ( $s1(x := v1)$ , h1), ( $s2(x := v2)$ , h2)  $\models$  A
 $\wedge$  ( $s1(x := v1')$ , h1), ( $s2(x := v2')$ , h2)  $\models$  A  $\longrightarrow$   $v1 = v1' \wedge v2 = v2'$ )

```

```

definition all-axioms :: ('v  $\Rightarrow$  'w)  $\Rightarrow$  ('v  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'v)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('i
 $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'v)  $\Rightarrow$  ('i  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
all-axioms  $\alpha$  sact spre uact upre  $\longleftrightarrow$ 

```

— Every action's relational precondition is sufficient to preserve the low-ness of the abstract view of the resource value:

```

( $\forall v v' sarg sarg'. \alpha v = \alpha v' \wedge spre sarg sarg' \longrightarrow \alpha (sact v sarg) = \alpha (sact v' sarg')$ )  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall v v' uarg uarg'. \alpha v = \alpha v' \wedge upre i uarg uarg' \longrightarrow \alpha (uact i v uarg) = \alpha (uact i v' uarg')$ )  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall sarg sarg'. spre sarg sarg' \longrightarrow spre sarg' sarg')$   $\wedge$ 
( $\forall uarg uarg'. upre i uarg uarg' \longrightarrow upre i uarg' uarg')$   $\wedge$ 

```

— All relevant pairs of actions commute w.r.t. the abstract view:

```

( $\forall v v' sarg sarg'. \alpha v = \alpha v' \wedge spre sarg sarg \wedge spre sarg' sarg' \longrightarrow \alpha (sact (sact v sarg) sarg') = \alpha (sact (sact v' sarg') sarg))$   $\wedge$ 
( $\forall v v' sarg uarg i. \alpha v = \alpha v' \wedge spre sarg sarg \wedge upre i uarg uarg \longrightarrow \alpha (sact (uact i v uarg) sarg) = \alpha (uact i (sact v' sarg) uarg))$   $\wedge$ 
( $\forall v v' uarg uarg' i i'. i \neq i' \wedge \alpha v = \alpha v' \wedge upre i uarg uarg \wedge upre i' uarg' uarg' \longrightarrow \alpha (uact i' (uact i v uarg) uarg') = \alpha (uact i (uact i' v' uarg') uarg))$ 

```

3.2 Rules of the Logic

```

inductive CommCSL :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont  $\Rightarrow$  ('i, 'a, nat) assertion  $\Rightarrow$  cmd  $\Rightarrow$  ('i,
'a, nat) assertion  $\Rightarrow$  bool
( $\langle - \vdash \{ - \} - \{ - \} \rangle [51,0,0] 81$ ) where
RuleSkip:  $\Delta \vdash \{P\}$  Cskip {P}
| RuleAssign:  $\llbracket \bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = Some \Gamma \implies x \notin fvA \text{ (invariant } \Gamma \text{)} ; \text{ collect-existentials}$ 
 $P \cap fvE E = \{ \} \rrbracket \implies \Delta \vdash \{ subA x E P \}$  Cassign x E {P}
| RuleNew:  $\llbracket x \notin fvE E; \bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = Some \Gamma \implies x \notin fvA \text{ (invariant } \Gamma \text{)} \wedge \text{view-function-of-inv}$ 
 $\Gamma \rrbracket \implies \Delta \vdash \{ Emp \}$  Calloc x E {PointsTo (Evar x) pwrite E}
| RuleWrite:  $\llbracket \bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = Some \Gamma \implies \text{view-function-of-inv } \Gamma ; v \notin fvE loc \rrbracket$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \implies \Delta \vdash \{\text{Exists } v (\text{PointsTo loc pwrite} (\text{Evar } v))\} \ C \text{write loc } E \ \{\text{PointsTo loc pwrite } E\} \\
| \ & \llbracket \bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{fvA} \ (\text{invariant } \Gamma) \wedge \text{view-function-of-inv } \Gamma ; x \notin \text{fvE} \\
& E \cup \text{fvE } e \rrbracket \implies \\
& \Delta \vdash \{\text{PointsTo } E \ \pi \ e\} \ C \text{read } x \ E \ \{\text{And } (\text{PointsTo } E \ \pi \ e) \ (\text{Bool } (\text{Beq } (\text{Evar } x) \\
& e))\} \\
| \ & \text{RuleShare: } \llbracket \Gamma = \emptyset \mid \text{view} = f, \text{abstract-view} = \alpha, \text{saction} = \text{sact}, \text{uaction} = \text{uact}, \\
& \text{invariant} = J \rrbracket ; \text{all-axioms } \alpha \ \text{sact spre uact upre} ; \\
& \text{Some } \Gamma \vdash \{\text{Star } P \ \text{EmptyFullGuards}\} \ C \ \{\text{Star } Q \ (\text{And } (\text{PreSharedGuards} (\text{Abs-precondition} \\
& \text{spre})) \ (\text{PreUniqueGuards} (\text{Abs-indexed-precondition upre})))\}; \\
& \text{view-function-of-inv } \Gamma ; \text{unary } J ; \text{precise } J ; \text{wf-indexed-precondition upre} ; \\
& \text{wf-precondition spre} ; x \notin \text{fvA } J ; \\
& \text{no-guard-assertion } (\text{Star } P \ (\text{LowView } (\alpha \circ f) \ J \ x)) \rrbracket \implies \text{None} \vdash \{\text{Star } P \\
& (\text{LowView } (\alpha \circ f) \ J \ x)\} \ C \ \{\text{Star } Q \ (\text{LowView } (\alpha \circ f) \ J \ x)\} \\
| \ & \text{RuleAtomicUnique: } \llbracket \Gamma = \emptyset \mid \text{view} = f, \text{abstract-view} = \alpha, \text{saction} = \text{sact}, \text{uaction} \\
& = \text{uact}, \text{invariant} = J \rrbracket ; \\
& \text{no-guard-assertion } P ; \text{no-guard-assertion } Q ; \\
& \text{None} \vdash \{\text{Star } P \ (\text{View } f \ J \ (\lambda s. \ s \ x))\} \ C \ \{\text{Star } Q \ (\text{View } f \ J \ (\lambda s. \ \text{uact index} (s \\
& x) \ (\text{map-to-arg} (s \ \text{uarg}))))\} ; \\
& \text{precise } J ; \text{unary } J ; \text{view-function-of-inv } \Gamma ; x \notin \text{fvC } C \cup \text{fvA } P \cup \text{fvA } Q \cup \\
& \text{fvA } J ; \text{uarg} \notin \text{fvC } C ; \\
& l \notin \text{fvC } C ; x \notin \text{fvS } (\lambda s. \ \text{map-to-list} (s \ l)) ; x \notin \text{fvS } (\lambda s. \ \text{map-to-arg} (s \ \text{uarg}) \ \# \\
& \text{map-to-list} (s \ l)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies \text{Some } \Gamma \vdash \{\text{Star } P \ (\text{UniqueGuard index} (\lambda s. \ \text{map-to-list} (s \ l)))\} \ \text{Catomic } C \\
& \{\text{Star } Q \ (\text{UniqueGuard index} (\lambda s. \ \text{map-to-arg} (s \ \text{uarg}) \ \# \text{map-to-list} (s \ l)))\} \\
| \ & \text{RuleAtomicShared: } \llbracket \Gamma = \emptyset \mid \text{view} = f, \text{abstract-view} = \alpha, \text{saction} = \text{sact}, \text{uaction} \\
& = \text{uact}, \text{invariant} = J \rrbracket ; \text{no-guard-assertion } P ; \text{no-guard-assertion } Q ; \\
& \text{None} \vdash \{\text{Star } P \ (\text{View } f \ J \ (\lambda s. \ s \ x))\} \ C \ \{\text{Star } Q \ (\text{View } f \ J \ (\lambda s. \ \text{sact} (s \ x) \\
& (\text{map-to-arg} (s \ \text{sarg}))))\} ; \\
& \text{precise } J ; \text{unary } J ; \text{view-function-of-inv } \Gamma ; x \notin \text{fvC } C \cup \text{fvA } P \cup \text{fvA } Q \cup \text{fvA} \\
& J ; \text{sarg} \notin \text{fvC } C ; \\
& ms \notin \text{fvC } C ; x \notin \text{fvS } (\lambda s. \ \text{map-to-multiset} (s \ ms)) ; x \notin \text{fvS } (\lambda s. \ \{\# \text{map-to-arg} \\
& (s \ \text{sarg}) \ \# \} + \text{map-to-multiset} (s \ ms)) \rrbracket \\
& \implies \text{Some } \Gamma \vdash \{\text{Star } P \ (\text{SharedGuard } \pi (\lambda s. \ \text{map-to-multiset} (s \ ms)))\} \ \text{Catomic } C \\
& \{\text{Star } Q \ (\text{SharedGuard } \pi (\lambda s. \ \{\# \text{map-to-arg} (s \ \text{sarg}) \ \# \} + \text{map-to-multiset} (s \\
& ms)))\} \\
| \ & \text{RulePar: } \llbracket \Delta \vdash \{P1\} \ C1 \ \{Q1\} ; \Delta \vdash \{P2\} \ C2 \ \{Q2\} ; \text{disjoint } (\text{fvA } P1 \cup \text{fvC} \\
& C1 \cup \text{fvA } Q1) \ (\text{wrC } C2) ; \\
& \text{disjoint } (\text{fvA } P2 \cup \text{fvC } C2 \cup \text{fvA } Q2) \ (\text{wrC } C1) ; \bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{disjoint} \\
& (\text{fvA } (\text{invariant } \Gamma)) \ (\text{wrC } C2) ; \\
& \bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{disjoint } (\text{fvA } (\text{invariant } \Gamma)) \ (\text{wrC } C1) ; \text{precise } P1 \vee \\
& \text{precise } P2 \rrbracket \\
& \implies \Delta \vdash \{\text{Star } P1 \ P2\} \ Cpar \ C1 \ C2 \ \{\text{Star } Q1 \ Q2\} \\
| \ & \text{RuleIf1: } \llbracket \Delta \vdash \{\text{And } P \ (\text{Bool } b)\} \ C1 \ \{Q\} ; \Delta \vdash \{\text{And } P \ (\text{Bool } (\text{Bnot } b))\} \ C2 \\
& \{Q\} \rrbracket \\
& \implies \Delta \vdash \{\text{And } P \ (\text{Low } b)\} \ Cif \ b \ C1 \ C2 \ \{Q\} \\
| \ & \text{RuleIf2: } \llbracket \Delta \vdash \{\text{And } P \ (\text{Bool } b)\} \ C1 \ \{Q\} ; \Delta \vdash \{\text{And } P \ (\text{Bool } (\text{Bnot } b))\} \ C2 \\
& \{Q\} ; \text{unary } Q \rrbracket \\
& \implies \Delta \vdash \{P\} \ Cif \ b \ C1 \ C2 \ \{Q\}
\end{aligned}$$

```

| RuleSeq:  $\llbracket \Delta \vdash \{P\} C_1 \{R\} ; \Delta \vdash \{R\} C_2 \{Q\} \rrbracket \implies \Delta \vdash \{P\} C_{seq} C_1 C_2 \{Q\}$ 
| RuleFrame:  $\llbracket \Delta \vdash \{P\} C \{Q\} ; disjoint(fvA R) (wrC C) ; precise P \vee precise R \rrbracket \implies \Delta \vdash \{Star P R\} C \{Star Q R\}$ 
| RuleCons:  $\llbracket \Delta \vdash \{P'\} C \{Q'\} ; entails P P' ; entails Q' Q \rrbracket \implies \Delta \vdash \{P\} C \{Q\}$ 
| RuleExists:  $\llbracket \Delta \vdash \{P\} C \{Q\} ; x \notin fvC C ; \wedge \Gamma. \Delta = Some \Gamma \implies x \notin fvA (invariant \Gamma) ; unambiguous P x \rrbracket \implies \Delta \vdash \{Exists x P\} C \{Exists x Q\}$ 
| RuleWhile1:  $\Delta \vdash \{And I (Bool b)\} C \{And I (Low b)\} \implies \Delta \vdash \{And I (Low b)\} Cwhile b C \{And I (Bool (Bnot b))\}$ 
| RuleWhile2:  $\llbracket unary I ; \Delta \vdash \{And I (Bool b)\} C \{I\} \rrbracket \implies \Delta \vdash \{I\} Cwhile b C \{And I (Bool (Bnot b))\}$ 

end

```

4 Soundness of CommCSL

4.1 Abstract Commutativity

In this file, we prove lemma 4.2 from the paper: Essentially, conditions (1)-(4) from Section 2 are sufficient to ensure that the abstraction of the final shared value is low.

```

theory AbstractCommutativity
imports Main CommCSL HOL-Library.Multiset
begin

datatype ('i, 'a, 'b) action = Shared (get-s: 'a) | Unique (get-i: 'i) (get-u: 'b)

We consider a family of unique actions indexed by the type 'i

lemma subtract:
assumes all-axioms α sact spre uact upre
shows α v = α v' ∧ spre sarg sarg' ⟹ α (sact v sarg) = α (sact v' sarg')
using all-axioms-def[of α sact spre uact upre] assms by fast

lemma uabstract:
assumes all-axioms α sact spre uact upre
shows α v = α v' ∧ upre i uarg uarg' ⟹ α (uact i v uarg) = α (uact i v' uarg')
using all-axioms-def[of α sact spre uact upre] assms by fast

lemma spre-refl:
assumes all-axioms α sact spre uact upre
shows spre sarg sarg' ⟹ spre sarg' sarg'
using all-axioms-def[of α sact spre uact upre] assms by fast

lemma upre-refl:
assumes all-axioms α sact spre uact upre
shows upre i uarg uarg' ⟹ upre i uarg' uarg'

```

using all-axioms-def[of α sact spre uact upre] assms **by** fast

lemma ss-com:

assumes all-axioms α sact spre uact upre

shows $\alpha v = \alpha v' \implies \text{spre sarg sarg} \wedge \text{spre sarg' sarg'} \implies \alpha (\text{sact (sact v sarg)} sarg') = \alpha (\text{sact (sact v' sarg') sarg})$

using all-axioms-def[of α sact spre uact upre] assms **by** blast

lemma su-com:

assumes all-axioms α sact spre uact upre

shows $\alpha v = \alpha v' \implies \text{spre sarg sarg} \wedge \text{upre i uarg uarg} \implies \alpha (\text{sact (uact i v uarg)} sarg) = \alpha (\text{uact i (sact v' sarg) uarg})$

using all-axioms-def[of α sact spre uact upre] assms **by** blast

lemma uu-com:

assumes all-axioms α sact spre uact upre

and $i \neq i'$

and $\alpha v = \alpha v'$

and $\text{upre } i' \text{ uarg' uarg'}$

and $\text{upre } i \text{ uarg uarg}$

shows $\alpha (\text{uact } i' (\text{uact } i v \text{ uarg}) \text{ uarg}') = \alpha (\text{uact } i (\text{uact } i' v' \text{ uarg'}) \text{ uarg})$

proof –

have $\bigwedge v v' \text{ uarg uarg' } i i'.$

$i \neq i' \wedge \alpha v = \alpha v' \wedge \text{upre } i \text{ uarg uarg} \wedge \text{upre } i' \text{ uarg' uarg}' \longrightarrow \alpha (\text{uact } i' (\text{uact } i v \text{ uarg}) \text{ uarg}') = \alpha (\text{uact } i (\text{uact } i' v' \text{ uarg'}) \text{ uarg})$

using all-axioms-def[of α sact spre uact upre] assms(1)

by blast

then show ?thesis

using assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) **by** blast

qed

definition PRE-shared :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where

$\text{PRE-shared spre sargs sargs'} \longleftrightarrow (\exists ms. \text{image-mset fst ms} = \text{sargs} \wedge \text{image-mset snd ms} = \text{sargs}' \wedge (\forall x \in \# ms. \text{spre (fst x) (snd x)}))$

lemma PRE-shared-same-size:

assumes PRE-shared spre sargs sargs'

shows size sargs = size sargs'

proof –

obtain ms **where** image-mset fst ms = sargs \wedge image-mset snd ms = sargs' \wedge $(\forall x \in \# ms. \text{spre (fst x) (snd x)})$

by (metis PRE-shared-def assms)

then have size sargs = size ms \wedge size ms = size sargs'

by force

then show ?thesis

by simp

qed

```

definition is-Unique :: ('i, 'a, 'b) action  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-Unique a  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  is-Shared a

definition is-Unique-i :: 'i  $\Rightarrow$  ('i, 'a, 'b) action  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-Unique-i i a  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-Unique a  $\wedge$  get-i a = i

The following definition expresses that a sequence of actions corresponds to
some interleaving of a multiset of shared actions and a family of sequences of
unique actions, by projecting the sequence of actions on each type of action.

definition possible-sequence :: 'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  ('i  $\Rightarrow$  'b list)  $\Rightarrow$  ('i, 'a, 'b) action list
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  possible-sequence sargs uargs s  $\longleftrightarrow$  (( $\forall$  i. uargs i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i) s))
 $\wedge$  sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset s)))

lemma possible-sequenceI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge$  i. uargs i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i) s)
  and sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset s))
  shows possible-sequence sargs uargs s
  using assms(1) assms(2) possible-sequence-def by blast

fun remove-at-index :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'd list  $\Rightarrow$  'd list where
  remove-at-index - [] = []
  | remove-at-index 0 (x # xs) = xs
  | remove-at-index (Suc n) (x # xs) = x # (remove-at-index n xs)

lemma remove-at-index:
  assumes n < length l
  shows length (remove-at-index n l) = length l - 1
  and i  $\geq$  0  $\wedge$  i < n  $\implies$  remove-at-index n l ! i = l ! i
  and i  $\geq$  n  $\wedge$  i < length l - 1  $\implies$  remove-at-index n l ! i = l ! (i + 1)
  using assms
  proof (induct l arbitrary: n i)
    case (Cons a l)
    {
      case 1
      then show ?case
      proof (cases n)
        case (Suc k)
        then show ?thesis
        using 1 Cons.hyps(1) by force
      qed (simp)
    next
      case 2
      then show ?case
      proof (cases n)
        case (Suc k)
        then show ?thesis
    
```

```

using 2.prems(1) 2.prems(2) Cons.hyps(2) Suc-less-eq2 less-Suc-eq-0-disj
by auto
qed (simp)
next
case 3
then show ?case
proof (cases n)
case (Suc k)
then have remove-at-index (Suc k) (a # l) ! i = (a # l) ! (i + 1)
apply (cases i)
using 3.prems(1) apply blast
using 3.prems(1) Cons.hyps(3) Suc-less-eq2 by auto
then show remove-at-index n (a # l) ! i = (a # l) ! (i + 1)
using Suc by blast
qed (simp)
}
qed (auto)

fun insert-at :: nat ⇒ 'd ⇒ 'd list ⇒ 'd list where
insert-at 0 x l = x # l
| insert-at - x [] = [x]
| insert-at (Suc n) x (h # xs) = h # (insert-at n x xs)

lemma insert-at-index:
assumes n ≤ length l
shows length (insert-at n x l) = length l + 1
and i ≥ 0 ∧ i < n ⇒ insert-at n x l ! i = l ! i
and n ≥ 0 ⇒ insert-at n x l ! n = x
and i > n ∧ i < length l + 1 ⇒ insert-at n x l ! i = l ! (i - 1)
using assms
proof (induct l arbitrary: n i)
case (Cons a l)
{
case 1
then show ?case by (cases n) (simp-all add: Cons.hyps(1))
next
case 2
then show ?case apply (cases n)
apply blast
using Cons.hyps(2) less-Suc-eq-0-disj by force
next
case 3
then show ?case apply (cases n)
apply simp
by (simp add: Cons.hyps(3))
next
case 4
then show ?case apply (cases n)
apply simp

```

```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) 4.prems(1) 4.prems(2) Cons.hyps(4) Nat.add-0-right
One-nat-def Suc-le-length-iff Suc-less-eq Suc-pred add-Suc-right bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum
insert-at.simps(3) less-zeroE list.inject list.size(4) nat.simps(3) nth-Cons' nth-Cons-Suc)
}
qed (simp-all)

lemma list-ext:
assumes length a = length b
and  $\bigwedge i. i \geq 0 \wedge i < \text{length } a \implies a ! i = b ! i$ 
shows a = b
by (meson assms(1) assms(2) bot-nat-0.extremum nth-equalityI)

lemma mset-remove-index:
assumes i  $\geq 0 \wedge i < \text{length } l$ 
shows mset l = mset (remove-at-index i l) + {# l ! i #}
using assms
proof (induct l arbitrary: i)
case (Cons a l)
then show ?case
proof (cases i)
case (Suc k)
then show ?thesis
using Cons.hyps Cons.prems by force
qed (auto)
qed (simp)

lemma filter-remove:
assumes k  $\geq 0 \wedge k < \text{length } s$ 
and  $\neg P(s ! k)$ 
shows filter P (remove-at-index k s) = filter P s
using assms
proof (induct k arbitrary: s)
case 0
then have s = hd s # tl s
by simp
then show ?case
by (metis 0.prems(2) filter.simps(2) nth-Cons-0 remove-at-index.simps(2))
next
case (Suc k)
then show ?case
by (cases s) simp-all
qed

lemma exists-index-in-sequence-shared:
assumes a  $\in \# \text{sargs}$ 
and possible-sequence sargs uargs s
shows  $\exists i. i \geq 0 \wedge i < \text{length } s \wedge s ! i = \text{Shared } a \wedge \text{possible-sequence } (\text{sargs} - \{\# a \#\}) \text{ uargs} (\text{remove-at-index } i s)$ 
proof -

```

```

have a ∈# image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset s))
  by (metis assms(1) assms(2) possible-sequence-def)
then have Shared a ∈ set s
  by fastforce
then obtain i where i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Shared a
  by (meson bot-nat-0.extremum in-set-conv-nth)
let ?s = remove-at-index i s
have sargs - {# a #} = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset ?s))
proof -
  have sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset s))
    using possible-sequence-def assms(2) by blast
  moreover have mset s = mset ?s + {# Shared a #}
    by (metis ‹0 ≤ i ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Shared a› mset-remove-index)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
moreover have ∀i. uargs i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i) ?s)
  by (metis ‹0 ≤ i ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Shared a› action.disc(1) assms(2)
filter-remove is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def possible-sequence-def)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using ‹0 ≤ i ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Shared a› possible-sequence-def by blast
qed

lemma head-possible-exists-first-unique:
assumes a = hd (uargs j)
  and uargs j ≠ []
  and possible-sequence sargs uargs s
shows ∃i. i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Unique j a ∧ (∀k. k ≥ 0 ∧ k < i
→ ¬ is-Unique-i j (s ! k))
using assms
proof (induct s arbitrary: sargs uargs)
case Nil
  then show ?case by (simp add: possible-sequence-def)
next
case (Cons x xs)
  then show ∃i≥0. i < length (x # xs) ∧ (x # xs) ! i = Unique j a ∧ (∀k. 0 ≤
k ∧ k < i → ¬ is-Unique-i j ((x # xs) ! k))
  proof (cases x)
  case (Shared sarg)
  moreover have possible-sequence (sargs - {# sarg #}) uargs xs
  proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
    show sargs - {#sarg#} = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset xs))
      using Cons.preds(3) action.disc(1) action.sel(1) add-mset-remove-trivial[of
sarg]
      calculation
        filter-mset-add-mset image-mset-add-mset mset.simps(2) possible-sequence-def[of
sargs uargs x # xs]
      by auto
    fix i show uargs i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i) xs)
  qed
  qed
qed

```

```

using Cons.prems(3) action.disc(1) calculation filter-remove is-Unique-def
is-Unique-i-def
    le-numeral-extra(3) length-greater-0-conv list.discI nth-Cons-0 possi-
ble-sequence-def[of sargs uargs x # xs]
        remove-at-index.simps(2)
    by metis
qed
then obtain i where i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length xs ∧ xs ! i = Unique j a ∧ (∀ k. 0 ≤
k ∧ k < i → ¬ is-Unique-i j (xs ! k))
using Cons.hyps[of uargs] Cons.prems(1) Cons.prems(2) by auto
moreover have ∏ k. 0 ≤ k ∧ k < i + 1 → ¬ is-Unique-i j ((x # xs) ! k)
proof
    fix k assume 0 ≤ k ∧ k < i + 1
    then show ¬ is-Unique-i j ((x # xs) ! k)
        apply (cases k)
        apply (simp add: Shared is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def)
        by (simp add: calculation(2))
    qed
ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-less-eq bot-nat-0.extremum length-Cons nth-Cons-Suc)
next
case (Unique k uarg)
then show ?thesis
proof (cases j = k)
    case True
    then have uargs j = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i j) (x # xs))
        by (meson Cons.prems(3) possible-sequence-def)
    then have uarg = a
        by (simp add: True Unique Cons.prems(1) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def)
    then show ?thesis
        using True Unique by fastforce
next
case False
moreover have possible-sequence sargs (uargs(k := tl (uargs k))) xs
proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
    show sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset xs))
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Cons.prems(3) Unique action.disc(2)
filter-mset-add-mset mset.simps(2) possible-sequence-def)
    fix i show (uargs(k := tl (uargs k))) i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i)
xs)
        proof (cases i = k)
            case True
            then show ?thesis
            using Cons.prems(3) Unique action.disc(2) action.sel(2) filter.simps(2)
fun-upd-same
            is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def list.sel(3) map-tl possible-sequence-def[of
sargs uargs x # xs]
            by metis
next

```

```

case False
then show ?thesis
    using Cons.prem(3) Unique action.sel(2) filter-remove fun-upd-apply
is-Unique-i-def
    le-numeral-extra(3) length-greater-0-conv list.discI nth-Cons-0 possi-
ble-sequence-def[of sargs uargs x # xs]
        remove-at-index.simps(2) by metis
    qed
    qed
then obtain i where i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length xs ∧ xs ! i = Unique j a ∧ (forall k. 0
≤ k ∧ k < i → ¬ is-Unique-i j (xs ! k))
    by (metis Cons.hyps Cons.prem(1) Cons.prem(2) calculation fun-upd-other)
moreover have ∀k. 0 ≤ k ∧ k < i + 1 → ¬ is-Unique-i j ((x # xs) ! k)
proof
    fix k assume 0 ≤ k ∧ k < i + 1
    then show ¬ is-Unique-i j ((x # xs) ! k)
        apply (cases k)
        apply (metis False Unique action.sel(2) is-Unique-i-def nth-Cons-0)
        by (simp add: calculation(2))
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-less-eq bot-nat-0.extremum length-Cons nth-Cons-Suc)
    qed
    qed
    qed

lemma remove-at-index-filter:
assumes i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length s ∧ P (s ! i)
    and ∀j. j ≥ 0 ∧ j < i ⇒ ¬ P (s ! j)
shows tl (map get-u (filter P s)) = map get-u (filter P (remove-at-index i s))
using assms
proof (induct s arbitrary: i)
    case (Cons a s)
    then show ?case
    proof (cases i)
        case 0
        then show ?thesis
            using Cons.prem(1) by auto
        next
            case (Suc k)
            then have tl (map get-u (filter P s)) = map get-u (filter P (remove-at-index k
s))
                apply (cases s)
                apply simp
                by (metis Cons.hyps Cons.prem(1) Cons.prem(2) Suc-less-eq bot-nat-0.extremum
length-Cons nth-Cons-Suc)
            then show ?thesis
                by (metis Cons.prem(2) Suc bot-nat-0.extremum filter.simps(2) nth-Cons-0
remove-at-index.simps(3) zero-less-Suc)

```

```

qed
qed (simp)

definition tail-kth where
tail-kth uargs k = uargs(k := tl (uargs k))

lemma exists-index-in-sequence-unique:
assumes a = hd (uargs k)
and uargs k ≠ []
and possible-sequence sargs uargs s
shows ∃ i. i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Unique k a ∧ possible-sequence sargs
(tail-kth uargs k) (remove-at-index i s)
∧ (∀ j. j ≥ 0 ∧ j < i → ¬ is-Unique-i k (s ! j))
proof -
obtain i where i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Unique k a ∧ (∀ j. j ≥ 0 ∧ j <
i → ¬ is-Unique-i k (s ! j))
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) head-possible-exists-first-unique)
let ?s = remove-at-index i s
have sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset ?s))
by (metis ‹0 ≤ i ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Unique k a ∧ (∀ j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j < i →
¬ is-Unique-i k (s ! j))› action.disc(2) add.right-neutral assms(3) filter-single-mset
filter-union-mset mset-remove-index possible-sequence-def)
moreover have tl (uargs k) = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i k) ?s)
proof -
have uargs k = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i k) s)
by (meson assms(3) possible-sequence-def)
then show ?thesis
by (metis ‹0 ≤ i ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Unique k a ∧ (∀ j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j < i →
¬ is-Unique-i k (s ! j))› action.disc(2) action.sel(2) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def
remove-at-index-filter)
qed
moreover have possible-sequence sargs (tail-kth uargs k) (remove-at-index i s)
proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
show sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset (remove-at-index i
s)))
by (simp add: calculation(1))
fix ia show tail-kth uargs k ia = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i ia) (remove-at-index
i s))
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ‹0 ≤ i ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Unique k a ∧ (∀ j.
0 ≤ j ∧ j < i → ¬ is-Unique-i k (s ! j))› action.sel(2) assms(3) calculation(2) fil-
ter-remove fun-upd-other fun-upd-same is-Unique-i-def possible-sequence-def tail-kth-def)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using ‹0 ≤ i ∧ i < length s ∧ s ! i = Unique k a ∧ (∀ j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j < i →
¬ is-Unique-i k (s ! j))› by blast
qed

lemma possible-sequence-where-is-unique:
assumes possible-sequence sargs uargs (Unique k a # s)

```

```

shows  $a = \text{hd}(\text{uargs } k)$ 
proof -
  let  $?s = \text{Unique } k \ a \ # \ s$ 
  have  $\text{Unique } k \ a = \text{hd}(\text{filter is-Unique } ?s)$ 
    by (simp add: is-Unique-def)
  then have  $a = \text{hd}(\text{map get-u}(\text{filter is-Unique } ?s))$ 
    by (simp add: is-Unique-def)
  then show  $?thesis$ 
    using action.disc(2) action.sel(2) assms filter.simps(2) hd-map is-Unique-def
    is-Unique-i-def list.discI list.sel(1) possible-sequence-def[of sargs uargs Unique
k a # s]
      by metis
qed

lemma possible-sequence-where-is-shared:
  assumes possible-sequence sargs uargs (Shared a # s)
  shows  $a \in \# \text{sargs}$ 
proof -
  let  $?s = \text{Shared } a \ # \ s$ 
  have  $a \in \text{set}(\text{map get-s}(\text{filter is-Shared } ?s))$ 
    by simp
  then show  $?thesis$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms mset-filter mset-map possible-sequence-def
set-mset-mset)
qed

lemma PRE-unique-tlI:
  assumes PRE-unique upre qa qb
    and upre ta tb
  shows PRE-unique upre (ta # qa) (tb # qb)
proof (rule PRE-uniqueI)
  show  $\text{length}(\text{ta} \ # \ \text{qa}) = \text{length}(\text{tb} \ # \ \text{qb})$ 
    using PRE-unique-def assms(1) by auto
  fix  $i$ 
  show  $0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{length}(\text{tb} \ # \ \text{qb}) \implies \text{upre}((\text{ta} \ # \ \text{qa}) ! \ i) ((\text{tb} \ # \ \text{qb}) ! \ i)$ 
  proof (cases i)
    case  $0$ 
    then show  $?thesis$ 
      using assms(2) by auto
  next
    case  $(\text{Suc } k)$ 
    assume  $0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{length}(\text{tb} \ # \ \text{qb})$ 
    then have  $(\text{ta} \ # \ \text{qa}) ! \ i = \text{qa} ! \ k \wedge (\text{tb} \ # \ \text{qb}) ! \ i = \text{qb} ! \ k$ 
      by (simp add: Suc)
    then show  $?thesis$  using assms(1) PRE-unique-def
      using Suc <0 ≤ i ∧ i < length(tb # qb)> by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

fun abstract-pre :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('i ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('i, 'a, 'b) action
⇒ ('i, 'a, 'b) action ⇒ bool where
  abstract-pre spre upre (Shared sarg) (Shared sarg') ←→ spre sarg sarg'
| abstract-pre spre upre (Unique k uarg) (Unique k' uarg') ←→ k = k' ∧ upre k
uarg uarg'
| abstract-pre spre upre - - ←→ False

definition PRE-sequence :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('i => 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('i,
'a, 'b) action list ⇒ ('i, 'a, 'b) action list ⇒ bool where
  PRE-sequence spre upre s s' ←→ length s = length s' ∧ (∀ i. i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length
s → abstract-pre spre upre (s ! i) (s' ! i))

lemma PRE-sequenceE:
  assumes PRE-sequence spre upre s s'
    and i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length s
  shows abstract-pre spre upre (s ! i) (s' ! i)
  using PRE-sequence-def assms(1) assms(2) by blast

lemma PRE-sequenceI:
  assumes length s = length s'
    and ∏ i. i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length s ⇒ abstract-pre spre upre (s ! i) (s' ! i)
  shows PRE-sequence spre upre s s'
  by (simp add: PRE-sequence-def assms(1) assms(2))

lemma PRE-sequenceI-rec:
  assumes PRE-sequence spre upre s s'
    and abstract-pre spre upre a b
  shows PRE-sequence spre upre (a # s) (b # s')
  using PRE-sequence-def[of spre upre a # s b # s'] PRE-sequence-def[of spre
upre s s']
  assms(1) assms(2) less-Suc-eq-0-disj length-Cons less-Suc-eq-le nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc
  by force

lemma PRE-sequenceE-rec:
  assumes PRE-sequence spre upre (a # s) (b # s')
  shows PRE-sequence spre upre s s'
    and abstract-pre spre upre a b
  using PRE-sequence-def[of spre upre a # s b # s'] PRE-sequence-def[of spre
upre s s']
  apply (metis Suc-less-eq assms bot-nat-0.extremum diff-Suc-1 length-Cons nth-Cons-Suc)
  by (metis PRE-sequenceE assms length-Cons list.size(3) nth-Cons-0 remdups-adj.simps(1)
remdups-adj-length zero-less-Suc)

fun compute :: ('v ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'v) ⇒ ('i ⇒ 'v ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'v) ⇒ 'v ⇒ ('i, 'a, 'b) action
list ⇒ 'v where
  compute sact uact v0 [] = v0
| compute sact uact v0 (Shared sarg # s) = sact (compute sact uact v0 s) sarg
| compute sact uact v0 (Unique k uarg # s) = uact k (compute sact uact v0 s) uarg

```

```

lemma obtain-other-elem-ms:
  assumes PRE-shared spre sargs sargs'
    and sarg ∈# sargs
  shows ∃ sarg'. sarg' ∈# sargs' ∧ spre sarg sarg' ∧ PRE-shared spre (sargs − {# sarg #}) (sargs' − {# sarg' #})
proof −
  obtain ms where asm: image-mset fst ms = sargs ∧ image-mset snd ms = sargs'
  ∧ (∀ x ∈# ms. spre (fst x) (snd x))
    using PRE-shared-def assms(1) by blast
  then obtain x where x ∈# ms fst x = sarg
    using assms(2) by auto
  then have snd x ∈# sargs' ∧ spre sarg (snd x)
    using asm by force
  moreover have PRE-shared spre (sargs − {# sarg #}) (sargs' − {# snd x #})
proof −
  let ?ms = ms − {# x #}
  have image-mset fst ?ms = (sargs − {# sarg #}) ∧ image-mset snd ?ms =
  (sargs' − {# snd x #})
    by (simp add: ‹fst x = sarg› ‹x ∈# ms› asm image-mset-Diff)
  moreover have ∀y. y ∈# ?ms ⇒ spre (fst y) (snd y)
    by (meson asm in-diffD)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using PRE-shared-def by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by blast
  qed

lemma exists-aligned-sequence:
  assumes possible-sequence sargs uargs s
    and possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s'
    and PRE-shared spre sargs sargs'
    and ∀k. PRE-unique (upre k) (uargs k) (uargs' k)

  shows ∃ s''. possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s'' ∧ PRE-sequence spre upre s s''
  using assms
proof (induct s arbitrary: sargs uargs sargs' uargs' s')
  case Nil
  then have sargs = mset [] ∧ (∀ k. uargs k = [])
    by (simp add: possible-sequence-def)
  then have sargs' = {#} ∧ (∀ k. uargs' k = [])
    by (metis Nil.prem(3) Nil.prem(4) PRE-shared-same-size PRE-unique-def
length-0-conv mset.simps(1) size-eq-0-iff-empty)
  then show ∃ s''. possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s'' ∧ PRE-sequence spre upre []
  s''
    by (simp add: PRE-sequence-def possible-sequence-def)

```

```

next
  case (Cons act s)

    show  $\exists s''. \text{possible-sequence } sargs' \text{ uargs}' s'' \wedge \text{PRE-sequence } spre \text{ upre } (\text{act } \# s) s''$ 
    proof (cases act)
      case (Shared sarg)

        then have sarg  $\in \# sargs$ 
        by (metis Cons.prems(1) possible-sequence-where-is-shared)
        then obtain sarg' where sarg'  $\in \# sargs'$  spre sarg sarg' PRE-shared spre (sargs - {# sarg #}) (sargs' - {# sarg' #})
        by (metis Cons.prems(3) obtain-other-elem-ms)
        let ?sargs = sargs - {# sarg #}
        let ?sargs' = sargs' - {# sarg' #}
        have possible-sequence ?sargs uargs s
        proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
          show  $\bigwedge i. \text{uargs } i = \text{map get-u } (\text{filter } (\text{is-Unique-}i \ i) \ s)$ 
          using Cons.prems(1) Shared.action.disc(1) filter.simps(2) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def
          possible-sequence-def[of sargs uargs act # s]
          by metis
          have sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset(Shared sarg # s)))
          using Cons.prems(1) Shared.possible-sequence-def by blast
          then show sargs - {#sarg#} = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset s)) by simp
          qed

        obtain i where  $i \geq 0 \wedge i < \text{length } s' \wedge s'! \ i = \text{Shared sarg}' \wedge \text{possible-sequence } ?sargs' \text{ uargs}' \text{ (remove-at-index } i \ s')$ 
        by (meson Cons.prems(2) ‹sarg'  $\in \# sargs'$ › exists-index-in-sequence-shared)

        then obtain s'' where possible-sequence ?sargs' uargs' s''  $\wedge \text{PRE-sequence } spre \text{ upre } s \ s''$ 
        using Cons.hyps Cons.prems(4) ‹PRE-shared spre (sargs - {#sarg#}) (sargs - {#sarg'#})› ‹possible-sequence (sargs - {#sarg#}) uargs s› by blast

        let ?s'' = Shared sarg' # s''
        have possible-sequence sargs' uargs' ?s''
        proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
          show  $\bigwedge i. \text{uargs } i = \text{map get-u } (\text{filter } (\text{is-Unique-}i \ i) (\text{Shared sarg}' \ # s''))$ 
          by (metis ‹possible-sequence (sargs' - {#sarg'#}) uargs' s''  $\wedge \text{PRE-sequence } spre \text{ upre } s \ s''$ › action.disc(1) filter.simps(2) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def possible-sequence-def)
          show sargs' = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset (Shared sarg' # s'')))
          using ‹possible-sequence (sargs' - {#sarg'#}) uargs' s''  $\wedge \text{PRE-sequence } spre \text{ upre } s \ s''$ › ‹sarg'  $\in \# sargs'$ ›

```

```

insert-DiffM[of sargs' sargs'] possible-sequence-def[of sargs' - {#sarg'#}]
uargs' s'']
action.disc(1) action.sel(1) filter-mset-add-mset msed-map-invL mset.simps(2)
by auto
qed

moreover have PRE-sequence spre upre (act # s) ?s"
by (simp add: PRE-sequenceI-rec Shared <possible-sequence (sargs' - {#sarg'#}) uargs' s'' & PRE-sequence spre upre s s'' <spre sarg sarg'>)

ultimately show ∃ s''. possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s'' ∧ PRE-sequence spre upre (act # s) s'' by auto
next
case (Unique k uarg)
then have hd (uargs k) = uarg
by (metis Cons.prems(1) possible-sequence-where-is-unique)
moreover have uargs k ≠ []
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cons.prems(1) Unique action.disc(2) action.sel(2) dropWhile-eq-Cons-conv dropWhile-eq-self-iff filter.simps(2) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def list.map-disc-iff possible-sequence-def)
ultimately have uargs k = uarg # tl (uargs k)
by fastforce
moreover have uargs' k = hd (uargs' k) # tl (uargs' k)
by (metis Cons.prems(4) PRE-unique-def calculation length-Cons list.exhaustsel list.size(3) nat.simps(3))
ultimately have upre k uarg (hd (uargs' k))
by (metis Cons.prems(4) PRE-unique-def length-greater-0-conv list.simps(3) list.size(3) nth-Cons-0 remdups-adj.simps(1) remdups-adj-length)
moreover have PRE-unique (upre k) (tl (uargs k)) (tl (uargs' k))
by (metis Cons.prems(4) PRE-unique-implies-tl <uargs k = uarg # tl (uargs k)> <uargs' k = hd (uargs' k) # tl (uargs' k)>)
moreover have possible-sequence sargs (tail-kth uargs k) s
proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
show ∀i. tail-kth uargs k i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i) s)
proof -
fix i
obtain ii where asms: ii ≥ 0 ii < length (act # s) ∧
(act # s) ! ii = Unique k uarg ∧
possible-sequence sargs (tail-kth uargs k) (remove-at-index ii (act # s)) ∧
(∀j. 0 ≤ j < ii → ¬ is-Unique-i k ((act # s) ! j))
by (metis Cons.prems(1) <hd (uargs k) = uarg> <uargs k ≠ []> exists-index-in-sequence-unique)
then show tail-kth uargs k i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i) s)
by (metis Unique action.disc(2) action.sel(2) bot-nat-0.extremum bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def nth-Cons-0 possible-sequence-def remove-at-index.simps(2))
qed
show sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset s))
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Cons.prems(1) Unique action.disc(2) filter-mset-add-mset mset.simps(2) possible-sequence-def)

```

```

qed
let ?uarg' = hd (uargs' k)

obtain i where i ≥ 0 ∧ i < length s' ∧ s' ! i = Unique k ?uarg' ∧ possible-sequence sargs' (tail-kth uargs' k) (remove-at-index i s')
  by (metis Cons.prems(2) ⟨uargs' k = hd (uargs' k) # tl (uargs' k)⟩ exists-index-in-sequence-unique list.discI)
then obtain s'' where possible-sequence sargs' (tail-kth uargs' k) s'' ∧ PRE-sequence spre upre s s'''
  using Cons.hyps[of sargs tail-kth uargs k sargs' tail-kth uargs' k] Cons.prems(3)
  ⟨possible-sequence sargs (tail-kth uargs k) s⟩ calculation(2)
    Cons.prems(4) fun-upd-other fun-upd-same tail-kth-def
  by metis

let ?s''' = Unique k (hd (uargs' k)) # s'''
have PRE-sequence spre upre (act # s) ?s'''
  by (simp add: PRE-sequenceI-rec Unique ⟨possible-sequence sargs' (tail-kth uargs' k) s''' ∧ PRE-sequence spre upre s s'''⟩ calculation(1))
moreover have possible-sequence sargs' uargs' ?s'''
proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
  show ∀i. uargs' i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i) (Unique k (hd (uargs' k)) # s'''))
  proof -
    fix i
    obtain ii where ii-def: ii ≥ 0 ∧ ii < length s' ∧ s' ! ii = Unique k (hd (uargs' k)) ∧ possible-sequence sargs' (tail-kth uargs' k) (remove-at-index ii s') ∧ (∀j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j < ii → ¬ is-Unique-i k (s' ! j))
      by (metis Cons.prems(2) ⟨uargs' k = hd (uargs' k) # tl (uargs' k)⟩ exists-index-in-sequence-unique list.discI)
    then show uargs' i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i) (Unique k (hd (uargs' k)) # s'''))
      using filter-remove[of ii s' is-Unique-i i] remove-at-index-filter[of ii s' is-Unique-i i]
        Cons.prems(2) ⟨possible-sequence sargs' (tail-kth uargs' k) s'' ∧ PRE-sequence spre upre s s'''⟩
          uargs' k = hd (uargs' k) # tl (uargs' k) action.sel(2) action.sel(3)
          filter.simps(2)[of is-Unique-i i Unique k (hd (uargs' k)) s'']
            is-Unique-i-def list.simps(9)[of get-u]
            possible-sequence-def[of sargs' tail-kth uargs' k remove-at-index ii s']
            possible-sequence-def[of sargs' uargs' s'']
            possible-sequence-def[of sargs' tail-kth uargs' k s''] ii-def
        by metis
    qed
  show sargs' = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset (Unique k (hd (uargs' k)) # s'')))
    using ⟨possible-sequence sargs' (tail-kth uargs' k) s'' ∧ PRE-sequence spre upre s s'''⟩ possible-sequence-def by auto
qed

```

```

ultimately show  $\exists s''. \text{possible-sequence } s \text{args}' u \text{args}' s'' \wedge \text{PRE-sequence } s \text{pre}$ 
 $\text{upre } (\text{act } \# s) s'' \text{ by blast}$ 
qed
qed

lemma insert-remove-same-list:
assumes  $k \geq 0 \wedge k < \text{length } s$ 
and  $s ! k = x$ 
shows  $s = \text{insert-at } k x (\text{remove-at-index } k s)$ 
proof (rule list-ext)
show  $\text{length } s = \text{length } (\text{insert-at } k x (\text{remove-at-index } k s))$ 
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred add.commute assms(1) insert-at-index(1) length-greater-0-conv
less-Suc-eq-le linorder-not-le list.size(3) plus-1-eq-Suc remove-at-index(1))
fix i assume asm0:  $0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{length } s$ 
show  $s ! i = \text{insert-at } k x (\text{remove-at-index } k s) ! i$ 
proof (cases i < k)
case True
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def Suc-pred asm0 assms(1) insert-at-index(2)
less-Suc-eq-le order-le-less-trans remove-at-index(1) remove-at-index(2))
next
case False
then have  $i \geq k$  by simp
then show ?thesis
proof (cases i = k)
case True
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def Suc-pred assms(1) assms(2) in-
sert-at-index(3) less-Suc-eq-le order-le-less-trans remove-at-index(1))
next
case False
then have  $i > k$ 
using ⟨ $k \leq i$ ⟩ nless-le by blast
then show  $s ! i = \text{insert-at } k x (\text{remove-at-index } k s) ! i$ 
apply (cases i)
apply blast
using Groups.add-ac(2) One-nat-def Suc-less-eq Suc-pred asm0 assms(1)
insert-at-index(4)[of  $k - i$  x]
less-Suc-eq-le order-le-less-trans plus-1-eq-Suc remove-at-index(1)[of  $k$  s]
remove-at-index(3)[of  $k$  s ]
by fastforce
qed
qed
qed

lemma swap-works:
assumes  $\text{length } s = \text{length } s'$ 
and  $k < \text{length } s - 1$ 
and  $\bigwedge i. i \geq 0 \wedge i < \text{length } s \wedge i \neq k \wedge i \neq k + 1 \implies s ! i = s' ! i$ 

```

```

and  $s ! k = s' ! (k + 1)$ 
and  $s' ! k = s ! (k + 1)$ 
and PRE-sequence spre upre  $s s$ 
and  $\alpha v0 = \alpha v0'$ 
and  $\neg (\exists k'. is\text{-Unique-}i k' (s ! k) \wedge is\text{-Unique-}i k' (s ! (k + 1)))$ 
and all-axioms  $\alpha$  sact spre uact upre
shows  $\alpha (compute\ sact\ uact\ v0\ s) = \alpha (compute\ sact\ uact\ v0'\ s')$  (is ?A = ?B)
using assms
proof (induct k arbitrary:  $s s'$ )
  case 0
    then obtain  $x1\ x2\ xs$  where  $s = x1 \# x2 \# xs$ 
    by (metis Suc-length-conv Suc-pred add-0 le-add-diff-inverse less-diff-conv less-imp-le-nat
plus-1-eq-Suc)
    then have  $hd\ s' = x2$ 
    by (metis 0.prems(1) 0.prems(2) 0.prems(5) One-nat-def add-0 hd-conv-nth
length-greater-0-conv length-tl list.sel(2) nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc)
    moreover have  $hd\ (tl\ s') = x1$ 
    by (metis 0.prems(1) 0.prems(2) 0.prems(4) Suc-eq-plus1 < $s = x1 \# x2 \# xs$ > hd-conv-nth length-greater-0-conv length-tl nth-Cons-0 nth-tl)
    ultimately obtain  $xs'$  where  $s' = x2 \# x1 \# xs'$ 
    by (metis 0.prems(1) 0.prems(2) length-greater-0-conv length-tl list.collapse
list.sel(2))
    moreover have  $xs = xs'$ 
    proof (rule list-ext)
      show  $length\ xs = length\ xs'$ 
      using 0.prems(1) < $s = x1 \# x2 \# xs$ > calculation by auto
      fix i assume  $0 \leq i \wedge i < length\ xs$ 
      then show  $xs ! i = xs' ! i$ 
      by (metis 0.prems(3) Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-less-eq < $s = x1 \# x2 \# xs$ > bot-nat-0.extremum
calculation diff-Suc-1 length-Cons nat.simps(3) nth-Cons-Suc)
    qed
    have PRE-sequence spre upre  $xs\ xs$ 
      apply (cases  $x1$ ) apply (cases  $x2$ )
      using 0.prems(6) < $s = x1 \# x2 \# xs$ > PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) by blast+
    then have  $\alpha (compute\ sact\ uact\ v0\ xs) = \alpha (compute\ sact\ uact\ v0'\ xs)$ 
      using assms(7)
    proof (induct xs)
      case Nil
      then show ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons a xs)
      then have  $\alpha (compute\ sact\ uact\ v0\ xs) = \alpha (compute\ sact\ uact\ v0'\ xs)$ 
        using PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) by blast
      then show  $\alpha (compute\ sact\ uact\ v0\ (a \# xs)) = \alpha (compute\ sact\ uact\ v0'\ (a \# xs))$ 
        proof (cases a)
          case (Shared x1)
          then show ?thesis
          by (metis <all-axioms  $\alpha$  sact spre uact upre> Cons.simps(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(2))
    
```

```

⟨α (compute sact uact v0 xs) = α (compute sact uact v0' xs)⟩ abstract-pre.simps(1)
compute.simps(2) substract
next
  case (Unique x2)
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis ⟨all-axioms α sact spre uact upre⟩ Cons.prems(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(2)
⟨α (compute sact uact v0 xs) = α (compute sact uact v0' xs)⟩ abstract-pre.simps(2)
compute.simps(3) uabstract)
    qed
  qed
  then show ?case
  proof (cases x1)
    case (Shared sarg1)
    then have x1 = Shared sarg1 by simp
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases x2)
      case (Shared sarg2)
      then show α (compute sact uact v0 s) = α (compute sact uact v0' s')
      using ⟨all-axioms α sact spre uact upre⟩ 0.prems(2) 0.prems(5) 0.prems(6)
One-nat-def
  PRE-sequenceE-rec(2)[of spre upre x1 x2 # xs x1 x2 # xs]
  PRE-sequence-def[of spre upre s s] Suc-eq-plus1
  ⟨α (compute sact uact v0 xs) = α (compute sact uact v0' xs)⟩ ⟨s = x1 #
x2 # xs⟩
    ⟨x1 = Shared sarg1⟩ ⟨xs = xs'⟩
    abstract-pre.simps(1)[of spre upre sarg2 sarg2]
    abstract-pre.simps(1)[of spre upre sarg1 sarg1]
    calculation compute.simps(2)[of sact uact v0]
    calculation compute.simps(2)[of sact uact v0']
    nth-Cons-0 ss-com[of α sact spre uact upre] zero-less-diff zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one
    by metis
next
  case (Unique uarg2)
  then show ?thesis
  using ⟨all-axioms α sact spre uact upre⟩ 0.prems(6) PRE-sequenceE-rec(1)[of
spre upre x1 x2 # xs x1 x2 # xs]
  PRE-sequenceE-rec(2)[of spre upre ]
  Shared ⟨α (compute sact uact v0 xs) = α (compute sact uact v0' xs)⟩ ⟨s =
x1 # x2 # xs⟩ ⟨xs = xs'⟩
  abstract-pre.simps(1)[of spre upre] abstract-pre.simps(2)[of spre upre]
  calculation
    compute.simps(2)[of sact uact ] compute.simps(3)[of sact uact]
    su-com[of α sact spre uact upre]
  by metis
qed
next
  case (Unique k1 uarg1)
  then have x1 = Unique k1 uarg1 by simp
  then show ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases x2)
  case (Shared sarg2)
    then have spre sarg2 sarg2  $\wedge$  upre k1 uarg1 uarg1
      by (metis 0.prems(6) PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) Unique
<math>s = x1 \# x2 \# xs</math> abstract-pre.simps(1) abstract-pre.simps(2))
    then show ?thesis
      using <all-axioms >α sact spre uact upre> Unique <α (compute sact uact v0 xs)
= α (compute sact uact v0' xs)>
      <math>s = x1 \# x2 \# xs</math> <math>s' = x2 \# x1 \# xs'</math> <math>xs = xs'</math> compute.simps(2)[of
sact uact]
      compute.simps(3)[of sact uact] su-com[of >α sact spre uact upre]
      by (metis Shared)
  next
    case (Unique k2 uarg2)
    then have k1 ≠ k2
      by (metis 0.prems(5) 0.prems(8) Suc-eq-plus1 <math>\wedge thesis. (\wedge_{xs'. s' = x2 \# x1 \# xs'} \Rightarrow thesis) \Rightarrow thesis</math> <math>s = x1 \# x2 \# xs</math> <math>x1 = Unique k1 uarg1</math>
action.disc(2) action.sel(2) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def nth-Cons-0)
      then have upre k2 uarg2 uarg2  $\wedge$  upre k1 uarg1 uarg1
      by (metis 0.prems(6) PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) Unique
<math>s = x1 \# x2 \# xs</math> <math>x1 = Unique k1 uarg1</math> abstract-pre.simps(2))
      then show ?thesis
      using <all-axioms >α sact spre uact upre> Unique <α (compute sact uact v0 xs)
= α (compute sact uact v0' xs)>
      <math>s = x1 \# x2 \# xs</math> <math>s' = x2 \# x1 \# xs'</math> <math>xs = xs'</math> compute.simps(2)[of
sact uact]
      uu-com[of >α sact spre uact upre k1 k2 compute sact uact v0' xs compute sact
uact v0 xs]
      <math>k1 \neq k2</math> <math>x1 = Unique k1 uarg1</math> compute.simps(3)
      by auto
  qed
  qed
  next
    case (Suc k)
    then obtain x xs x' xs' where s = x # xs s' = x' # xs'
      by (metis diff-0-eq-0 length-0-conv neq-Nil-conv not-less-zero)
    then have x = x'
      using Suc.prems(3) by force
    moreover have α (compute sact uact v0 (tl s)) = α (compute sact uact v0' (tl
s'))
      proof (rule Suc(1))
        show length (tl s) = length (tl s')
        by (simp add: Suc.prems(1))
        show k < length (tl s) - 1
        using Suc.prems(2) by auto
        show  $\bigwedge i. 0 \leq i \wedge i < length (tl s) \wedge i \neq k \wedge i \neq k + 1 \Rightarrow tl s ! i = tl s' ! i$ 
        by (metis Suc.prems(3) Suc-eq-plus1 <math>\langle length (tl s) = length (tl s') \rangle</math> length-tl
less-diff-conv nat.inject nat-le-linear not-less-eq-eq nth-tl)

```

```

show tl s ! k = tl s' ! (k + 1)
by (metis Suc.prems(4) Suc.eq-plus1 ‹s = x # xs› ‹s' = x' # xs'› add-diff-cancel-right'
add-gr-0 le-neq-implies-less list.sel(3) not-one-le-zero nth-Cons-pos zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one)
show tl s' ! k = tl s ! (k + 1)
by (metis Suc.prems(5) Suc.eq-plus1 ‹s = x # xs› ‹s' = x' # xs'› list.sel(3))
nth-Cons-Suc)
show PRE-sequence spre upre (tl s) (tl s)
by (metis Suc.prems(6) ‹s = x # xs› PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) list.sel(3))
show α v0 = α v0'
by (simp add: assms(7))
show ¬ (¬ ∃ k'. is-Unique-i k' (tl s ! k) ∧ is-Unique-i k' (tl s ! (k + 1)))
using Suc.prems(8) ‹s = x # xs› by force
show all-axioms α sact spre uact upre
by (simp add: Suc.prems(9))
qed
ultimately show ?case
proof (cases x)
case (Shared x1)
then show ?thesis
using ‹all-axioms α sact spre uact upre› PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) Suc.prems(6)
⟨α (compute sact uact v0 (tl s)) = α (compute sact uact v0' (tl s'))› ‹s = x # xs›
⟨s' = x' # xs'› ⟨x = x'› subtract
by fastforce
next
case (Unique x2)
then show ?thesis
using ‹all-axioms α sact spre uact upre› PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) Suc.prems(6)
⟨α (compute sact uact v0 (tl s)) = α (compute sact uact v0' (tl s'))› ‹s = x
# xs› ‹s' = x' # xs'›
⟨x = x'› uabstract[of α sact spre uact upre]
by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma mset-remove:
assumes k ≥ 0 ∧ k < length s
shows mset s = mset (remove-at-index k s) + {# s ! k #}
using assms
proof (induct s arbitrary: k)
case Nil
then show ?case
by simp
next
case (Cons a s)
then show ?case
using less-Suc-eq-0-disj by auto
qed

lemma abstract-pre-refl:

```

```

assumes abstract-pre spre upre a b
  and all-axioms α sact spre uact upre
shows abstract-pre spre upre b b
apply (cases a)
apply (cases b)
using abstract-pre.simps(1) assms spre-refl apply metis
using assms apply force
apply (cases b)
using assms apply force
using abstract-pre.simps(2) assms upre-refl by metis

lemma PRE-sequence-refl:
assumes PRE-sequence spre upre s s'
  and all-axioms α sact spre uact upre
shows PRE-sequence spre upre s' s'
proof (rule PRE-sequenceI)
show length s' = length s'
  by simp
fix i assume 0 ≤ i ∧ i < length s'
then show abstract-pre spre upre (s' ! i) (s' ! i)
  by (metis PRE-sequence-def abstract-pre-refl assms)
qed

lemma PRE-sequence-removes:
assumes PRE-sequence spre upre s s
shows PRE-sequence spre upre (remove-at-index n s) (remove-at-index n s)
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: s)
case 0
then show ?case
  by (metis PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) nat.simps(3) remove-at-index.elims)
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
apply (cases s)
apply force
by (metis PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) PRE-sequenceI-rec re-
move-at-index.simps(3))
qed

lemma PRE-sequence-insert:
assumes abstract-pre spre upre x x
  and PRE-sequence spre upre s s
shows PRE-sequence spre upre (insert-at n x s) (insert-at n x s)
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: s)
case 0
then show ?case
  by (simp add: PRE-sequenceI-rec)

```

```

next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
    apply (cases s)
      apply (simp add: PRE-sequenceI-rec)
      by (metis PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) PRE-sequenceI-rec insert-at.simps(3))
  qed

lemma empty-possible-sequence:
  assumes possible-sequence sargs uargs []
  and possible-sequence sargs uargs s'
  shows s' = []
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume s' ≠ []
    then obtain x q where s' = x # q
      by (meson neq-Nil-conv)
    then show False
    proof (cases x)
      case (Shared x1)
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis <s' = x # q> assms(1) assms(2) exists-index-in-sequence-shared less-zeroE list.size(3) possible-sequence-where-is-shared)
    next
      case (Unique k uarg)
      then have uargs k ≠ []
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) <s' = x # q> action.disc(2) action.sel(2) assms(2) filter.simps(2) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def list.discI list.map-disc-iff possible-sequence-def)
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis assms(1) exists-index-in-sequence-unique less-nat-zero-code list.size(3))
    qed
  qed

lemma it-all-commutes:
  assumes possible-sequence sargs uargs s
  and possible-sequence sargs uargs s'
  and α v0 = α v0'
  and PRE-sequence spre upre s s
  and PRE-sequence spre upre s' s'
  and all-axioms α sact uact upre
  shows α (compute sact uact v0 s) = α (compute sact uact v0' s')
  using assms
  proof (induct size s arbitrary: sargs uargs s s')
    case 0
    then have s = [] ∧ s' = []
      by (simp add: empty-possible-sequence)
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: 0.prems(1) 0.prems(2) assms(3))
  next

```

```

case (Suc n)
moreover obtain x s1 where s = x # s1
  by (meson Suc.hyps(2) Suc-length-conv)
then have abstract-pre spre upre x x
  using Suc.prems(4) PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) by blast
then show ?case
proof (cases x)
  case (Shared sarg)
    then have Shared sarg ∈ set s'
    by (metis Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) ‹s = x # s1› exists-index-in-sequence-shared
      nth-mem possible-sequence-where-is-shared)
    then obtain k where k ≥ 0 ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x
      by (metis Shared bot-nat-0.extremum in-set-conv-nth)

let ?s' = remove-at-index k s'
have length ?s' = length s' - 1
  by (simp add: ‹0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x› remove-at-index(1))
moreover have k < length s'
  by (simp add: ‹0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x›)
then have s' = insert-at k x ?s'
  by (simp add: ‹0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x› insert-remove-same-list)
define i :: nat where i = k
have i ≥ 0 ∧ i ≤ k ==>  $\alpha$  (compute sact uact v0' (insert-at (k - i) x ?s') =
   $\alpha$  (compute sact uact v0' s')
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    using ‹s' = insert-at k x (remove-at-index k s')› by auto
next
  case (Suc i)
    then have  $\alpha$  (compute sact uact v0' (insert-at (k - i) x (remove-at-index k
      s'))) =  $\alpha$  (compute sact uact v0' s')
      using Suc-leD by blast
    moreover have  $\alpha$  (compute sact uact v0' (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index
      k s'))) =  $\alpha$  (compute sact uact v0' (insert-at (k - i) x (remove-at-index k s')))
    proof (rule swap-works)
      show length (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) = length
        (insert-at (k - i) x (remove-at-index k s'))
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-pred' ‹0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k
          = x› ‹length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' - 1› diff-le-self insert-at-index(1)
          less-Suc-eq-le order-le-less-trans)
      show PRE-sequence spre upre (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k
        s')) (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s'))
        proof -
          have PRE-sequence spre upre (remove-at-index k s') (remove-at-index k
            s') using ‹PRE-sequence spre upre s' s'›
            using PRE-sequence-removes by auto
          then show ?thesis using PRE-sequence-insert ‹abstract-pre spre upre x
            x› by blast

```

```

qed
show  $\alpha v0' = \alpha v0'$  by simp
let ?k =  $k - Suc i$ 

show ?k < length (insert-at ( $k - Suc i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s')) - 1
using One-nat-def Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Suc-le-lessD <k < length s'>
<length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' - 1>
<s' = insert-at k x (remove-at-index k s')> diff-le-self diff-zero
insert-at-index(1)[of  $k - Suc i - x$ ] insert-at-index(1)[of  $k - x$ ] less-Suc-eq-le
order-le-less-trans
by simp
show insert-at ( $k - Suc i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s') ! ?k = insert-at ( $k - i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (?k + 1)
apply (cases k)
using Suc.prems apply blast
apply (cases ?k)
apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-leI <k - Suc i <
length (insert-at ( $k - Suc i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s')) - 1> add-diff-cancel-right'
diff-diff-cancel diff-zero insert-at-index(1) insert-at-index(3) le-numeral-extra(3)
length-greater-0-conv list.size(3) nat-less-le plus-1-eq-Suc)
proof -
fix nat nata assume r:  $k = Suc\ n\at\ k - Suc\ i = Suc\ nata$ 
moreover have insert-at ( $k - i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s') ! ( $k - i$ ) = x
by (metis Suc-pred' <k < length s'> <length (remove-at-index k s') =
length s' - 1> bot-nat-0.extremum diff-le-self insert-at-index(3) less-Suc-eq-le
order-le-less-trans)
moreover have  $\bigwedge x.$  insert-at ( $k - Suc i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s') ! ( $k - Suc i$ ) = x
by (metis Suc-leI Suc-le-mono Suc-pred' <0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k =
x> <length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' - 1> bot-nat-0.extremum diff-le-self
insert-at-index(3) order-le-less-trans)
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-lessD)
qed
show insert-at ( $k - i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s') ! ?k = insert-at ( $k - Suc i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (?k + 1)
proof -
have insert-at ( $k - i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s') ! ( $k - Suc i$ ) = remove-at-index k s' ! ( $k - Suc i$ )
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-leI
Suc-le-lessD <k < length s'> <length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' - 1> add-leE
insert-at-index(2) le-add-diff-inverse2 le-add-same-cancel2 lessI less-Suc-eq-le)
moreover have length (insert-at ( $k - Suc i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s')) =
length (remove-at-index k s') + 1
by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 <0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x>
<length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' - 1> add-le-imp-le-diff insert-at-index(1)
less-eq-Suc-le less-imp-diff-less)
then have insert-at ( $k - Suc i$ ) x (remove-at-index k s') ! ( $k - Suc i + 1$ ) = remove-at-index k s' ! ( $k - Suc i + 1 - 1$ )

```

```

by (metis ‹k = Suc i < length (insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) - 1› add-diff-cancel-right' insert-at-index(4) less-add-one less-diff-conv less-imp-le-nat)
ultimately show ?thesis
by simp
qed

show ¬ (Ǝ k'. is-Unique-i k' (insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (k = Suc i)) ∧
is-Unique-i k' (insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (k = Suc i + 1)))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def Shared Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc ‹k < length s'› length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' - 1› action.disc(1) add-leE diff-zero insert-at-index(3) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def le-add-diff-inverse2 le-add-same-cancel2 less-Suc-eq-le order-le-less-trans)

show ⋀ j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j < length (insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) ∧ j ≠ ?k ∧ j ≠ ?k + 1 ⇒
insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! j = insert-at (k - i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! j
proof (clarify)
fix j assume 0 ≤ j j < length (insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) j ≠ k - Suc i j ≠ k - Suc i + 1
moreover have length (insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) = length (remove-at-index k s') + 1
by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def Shared Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc ‹k < length s'› length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' - 1› add-leE diff-zero insert-at-index(1) le-add-diff-inverse2 less-Suc-eq-le order-le-less-trans)
moreover have k - Suc i ≤ length (remove-at-index k s')
using ‹k - Suc i < length (insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) - 1› calculation(5) by force
ultimately show insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! j = insert-at (k - i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! j
apply (cases j < k - Suc i)
using insert-at-index(2)[of k = Suc i remove-at-index k s' j x] insert-at-index(2)[of k - i remove-at-index k s' j x]
apply (metis Suc.prems Suc-diff-le Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-leI ‹k = Suc i < length (insert-at (k = Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) - 1› diff-Suc-1 diff-Suc-Suc less-Suc-eq)
by (simp add: insert-at-index(4) nat-neq-iff)
qed
show all-axioms α sact spre uact upre
by (simp add: assms(6))
qed
ultimately show ?case
by presburger
qed
then have α (compute sact uact v0' (x # ?s')) = α (compute sact uact v0' s')
using i-def by force
moreover have α (compute sact uact v0 s1) = α (compute sact uact v0' ?s')

```

```

proof (rule Suc(1))
  show  $n = \text{length } s1$ 
    using Suc.hyps(2)  $\langle s = x \# s1 \rangle$  by auto
  show  $\alpha v0 = \alpha v0'$ 
    using assms(3) by auto
  show PRE-sequence spre upre s1 s1
    using PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) Suc.prems(4)  $\langle s = x \# s1 \rangle$  by blast
  show possible-sequence (sargs - {#sarg#}) uargs s1
  proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
    show  $\bigwedge i. uargs i = \text{map get-u} (\text{filter (is-Unique-i } i) s1)$ 
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Shared Suc.hyps(2) Suc.prems(1)  $\langle s = x \# s1 \rangle$  action.disc(1) filter-remove is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def le-numeral-extra(3) nth-Cons-0 possible-sequence-def remove-at-index.simps(2) zero-less-Suc)
    show sargs - {#sarg#} = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset s1))
      using Shared Suc.prems(1)  $\langle s = x \# s1 \rangle$  action.disc(1)[of sarg] action.sel(1)[of sarg] add-mset-diff-bothsides diff-empty
      filter-mset-add-mset mset-map-invL mset.simps(2) possible-sequence-def[of sargs uargs s]
        by simp
  qed

  show possible-sequence (sargs - {#sarg#}) uargs (remove-at-index k s')
  proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
    show  $\bigwedge i. uargs i = \text{map get-u} (\text{filter (is-Unique-i } i) (\text{remove-at-index } k s'))$ 
      proof (rule list-ext)
        have filter is-Unique (remove-at-index k s') = filter is-Unique s'
        by (simp add: Shared  $\langle 0 \leq k \wedge k < \text{length } s' \wedge s' ! k = x \rangle$  filter-remove is-Unique-def)
        then show  $\bigwedge i. \text{length} (uargs i) = \text{length} (\text{map get-u} (\text{filter (is-Unique-i } i) (\text{remove-at-index } k s')))$ 
        by (metis Shared Suc.prems(2)  $\langle 0 \leq k \wedge k < \text{length } s' \wedge s' ! k = x \rangle$  action.disc(1) filter-remove is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def possible-sequence-def)
        show  $\bigwedge i. ia. 0 \leq ia \wedge ia < \text{length} (uargs i) \implies uargs i ! ia = \text{map get-u} (\text{filter (is-Unique-i } i) (\text{remove-at-index } k s')) ! ia$ 
        by (metis Shared Suc.prems(2)  $\langle 0 \leq k \wedge k < \text{length } s' \wedge s' ! k = x \rangle$  action.disc(1) filter-remove is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def possible-sequence-def)
      qed
      have sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset s'))
      using Suc.prems(2) possible-sequence-def by blast
      show sargs - {#sarg#} = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset (remove-at-index k s')))
  proof -
    have mset s' = mset (remove-at-index k s') + {#x#}
    using  $\langle 0 \leq k \wedge k < \text{length } s' \wedge s' ! k = x \rangle$  mset-remove-index by blast
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: Shared  $\langle sargs = \text{image-mset get-s} (\text{filter-mset is-Shared (mset s')}) \rangle$ )
  qed

```

```

qed
show PRE-sequence spre upre (remove-at-index k s') (remove-at-index k s')
using Suc.preds(5) PRE-sequence-removes by blast
show all-axioms α sact spre uact upre by (simp add: assms(6))
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using ‹all-axioms α sact spre uact upre› PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) Shared
Suc.preds(4) ‹s = x # s1› abstract-pre.simps(1) compute.simps(2) subtract
by fastforce
next
case (Unique ind uarg)
let ?uargs = uargs ind
have hd ?uargs = uarg
by (metis Unique ‹s = x # s1› calculation(3) possible-sequence-where-is-unique)
moreover have ?uargs ≠ []
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Suc.preds(1) Unique ‹s = x # s1› ac-
tion.disc(2) action.sel(2) filter.simps(2) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def list.distinct(1)
list.map-disc-iff possible-sequence-def)
ultimately have ?uargs = uarg # tl ?uargs
by force
then obtain k where k ≥ 0 ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x ∧ j. j ≥ 0 ∧ j < k
Longrightarrow ¬ is-Unique-i ind (s' ! j)
by (metis Suc.preds(2) Unique ‹hd (uargs ind) = uarg› ‹uargs ind ≠ []›
exists-index-in-sequence-unique)
let ?s' = remove-at-index k s'
have length ?s' = length s' - 1
by (simp add: ‹0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x› remove-at-index(1))
moreover have k < length s'
by (simp add: ‹0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x›)
then have s' = insert-at k x ?s'
by (simp add: ‹0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x› insert-remove-same-list)
define i :: nat where i = k
have i ≥ 0 ∧ i ≤ kLongrightarrow α (compute sact uact v0' (insert-at (k - i) x ?s')) =
α (compute sact uact v0' s')
proof (induct i)
case 0
then show ?case
using ‹s' = insert-at k x (remove-at-index k s')› by auto
next
case (Suc i)
then have α (compute sact uact v0' (insert-at (k - i) x (remove-at-index k
s'))) = α (compute sact uact v0' s')
using Suc-leD by blast
moreover have α (compute sact uact v0' (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index
k s'))) = α (compute sact uact v0' (insert-at (k - i) x (remove-at-index k s')))
proof (rule swap-works)
show length (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) = length
(insert-at (k - i) x (remove-at-index k s'))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-pred' ‹0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k =
```

```

 $x \cdot \langle \text{length} (\text{remove-at-index } k s') = \text{length } s' - 1 \rangle \text{ insert-at-index}(1) \text{ less-Suc-eq-le}$ 
 $\text{less-imp-diff-less order-le-less-trans})$ 
show PRE-sequence spre upre (insert-at (k – Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) (insert-at (k – Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s'))
proof –
have PRE-sequence spre upre (remove-at-index k s') (remove-at-index k s')
using <PRE-sequence spre upre s' s'
by (simp add: PRE-sequence-removes)
then show ?thesis
using <abstract-pre spre upre x x> PRE-sequence-insert by blast
qed

show  $\alpha v0' = \alpha v0'$  by simp
let ?k = k – Suc i

show ?k < length (insert-at (k – Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) – 1
using One-nat-def Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Suc-le-lessD <k < length s'>
<length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' – 1> <s' = insert-at k x
(remove-at-index k s')>
diff-le-self diff-zero insert-at-index(1)[of k remove-at-index k s' x] insert-at-index(1)[of k – Suc i remove-at-index k s' x]
less-Suc-eq-le order-le-less-trans
by simp
show insert-at (k – Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! ?k = insert-at (k – i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (?k + 1)
proof –
have insert-at (k – Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (k – Suc i) = x
by (metis Suc-pred' <k < length s'> <length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' – 1> bot-nat-0.extremum diff-self-eq-0 insert-at-index(3) less-Suc-eq-le less-imp-diff-less)
moreover have insert-at (k – i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (k – i) = x
by (metis Suc-pred' <k < length s'> <length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' – 1> bot-nat-0.extremum insert-at-index(3) less-Suc-eq-le less-imp-diff-less less-nat-zero-code not-gr-zero)
ultimately show ?thesis
by (simp add: Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Suc-le-lessD)
qed

have insert-at (k – i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (k – Suc i) = remove-at-index k s' ! (k – Suc i)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-leI Suc-le-lessD <k < length s'> <length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' – 1> add-leE insert-at-index(2) le-add-diff-inverse2 le-add-same-cancel2 lessI less-Suc-eq-le)
then
show insert-at (k – i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! ?k = insert-at (k – Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (?k + 1)
using One-nat-def Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc <k – Suc i < length (insert-at (k – Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')) – 1>
<k < length s'> <length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' – 1>

```

```

add-diff-cancel-right'
  add-leE diff-zero insert-at-index(1)[of k - Suc i remove-at-index k s' x]
  insert-at-index(4)[of k - Suc i remove-at-index k s']
    le-add-diff-inverse2 less-Suc-eq-le
    less-add-same-cancel1 less-diff-conv order-le-less-trans zero-less-one
  by simp

  have insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (k - Suc i + 1) =
  remove-at-index k s' ! (k - Suc i + 1 - 1)
    using <insert-at (k - i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (k - Suc i) = insert-at
    (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (k - Suc i + 1)> <insert-at (k - i) x
    (remove-at-index k s') ! (k - Suc i) = remove-at-index k s' ! (k - Suc i)> by auto
    then have ¬ is-Unique-i ind (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s')
    ! (?k + 1))
      by (metis One-nat-def Suc.prems Suc-le-lessD <¬j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j < k ⇒ ¬
      is-Unique-i ind (s' ! j)> <k < length s'> add-diff-cancel-right' add-leE diff-Suc-less
      le-add2 le-add-same-cancel2 plus-1-eq-Suc remove-at-index(2))
      then show ¬ (¬k'. is-Unique-i k' (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index
      k s') ! (k - Suc i)) ∧
      is-Unique-i k' (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! (k - Suc i
      + 1)))
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Unique <k
        < length s'> <length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' - 1> action.sel(2) diff-zero
        insert-at-index(3) is-Unique-i-def le-add2 le-add-diff-inverse le-add-same-cancel2
        less-Suc-eq-le order-le-less-trans)
        show <¬j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j < length (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k
        s')) ∧ j ≠ ?k ∧ j ≠ ?k + 1 ⇒
          insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! j = insert-at (k - i) x
          (remove-at-index k s') ! j
        proof -
          fix j assume 0 ≤ j ∧ j < length (insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index
          k s')) ∧ j ≠ ?k ∧ j ≠ ?k + 1
            moreover have k - Suc i ≤ length (remove-at-index k s')
              using <0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x> <length (remove-at-index k
              s') = length s' - 1> by force
            moreover have k - i ≤ length (remove-at-index k s')
              using <k < length s'> <length (remove-at-index k s') = length s' - 1> by
              linarith
            then show insert-at (k - Suc i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! j = insert-at
            (k - i) x (remove-at-index k s') ! j
              apply (cases j < k - i)
              apply (metis Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Suc-le-lessD calculation(1) calcu-
              lation(2) insert-at-index(2) less-Suc-eq)
              by (metis Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-lessD calcula-
              tion(1) calculation(2) insert-at-index(1) insert-at-index(4) linorder-le-less-linear
              linorder-neqE-nat)
            qed
            show all-axioms α sact spre uact upre by (simp add: assms(6))
        qed

```

```

ultimately show ?case
  by presburger
qed
then have  $\alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0' (x \# ?s')) = \alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0' s')$ 
  using i-def by force
moreover have  $\alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0 s1) = \alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0' ?s')$ 
proof (rule Suc(1))
  show all-axioms  $\alpha$  sact spre uact upre by (simp add: assms(6))
  show  $n = \text{length } s1$ 
    using Suc.hyps(2)  $\langle s = x \# s1 \rangle$  by auto
  show  $\alpha v0 = \alpha v0'$ 
    using assms(3) by auto
  show PRE-sequence spre upre s1 s1
    using Suc.prems(4)  $\langle s = x \# s1 \rangle$  PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) by blast
  show possible-sequence sargs (tail-kth uargs ind) s1
  proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
    show  $\bigwedge i. \text{tail-kth } uargs \text{ ind } i = \text{map get-u } (\text{filter } (\text{is-Unique-}i) s1)$ 
    proof -
      fix i show tail-kth uargs ind i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i) s1)
      proof (cases i = ind)
        case True
        then have tail-kth uargs ind i = tl ?uargs
          by (simp add: tail-kth-def)
        then show ?thesis using exists-index-in-sequence-unique[of uarg uargs
          ind sargs s]
          by (metis Suc.prems(1) Unique ⟨hd (uargs ind) = uarg⟩ ⟨s = x
          # s1⟩ ⟨uargs ind ≠ []⟩ action.disc(2) action.sel(2) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def
          le-eq-less-or-eq nth-Cons-0 possible-sequence-def remove-at-index.simps(2))
        next
        case False
        then show ?thesis
          using Suc.hyps(2) Suc.prems(1) Unique ⟨s = x # s1⟩ action.sel(2)
          filter-remove
            fun-upd-apply is-Unique-i-def le-numeral-extra(3) nth-Cons-0[of x s1]
            possible-sequence-def[of sargs uargs s]
            remove-at-index.simps(2)[of x s1] tail-kth-def zero-less-Suc
            by metis
      qed
    qed
    show sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset s1))
      by (metis Suc.prems(1) Unique ⟨s = x # s1⟩ action.disc(2) filter-mset-add-mset
      mset.simps(2) possible-sequence-def)
    qed
    show possible-sequence sargs (tail-kth uargs ind) (remove-at-index k s')
      proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
        show  $\bigwedge i. \text{tail-kth } uargs \text{ ind } i = \text{map get-u } (\text{filter } (\text{is-Unique-}i) i) (\text{remove-at-index }$ 
         $k s')$ 
          using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) Unique ⟨ $0 \leq k \wedge k < \text{length } s' \wedge s' ! k$ 
           $= x \rangle \langle \bigwedge j. 0 \leq j \wedge j < k \implies \neg \text{is-Unique-}i \text{ ind } (s' ! j) \rangle$ 

```

```

    <possible-sequence sargs (tail-kth uargs ind) s1> <s = x # s1> action.sel(2)
filter.simps(2)
    filter-remove fun-upd-same is-Unique-i-def possible-sequence-def[of sargs
tail-kth uargs ind s1]
        possible-sequence-def[of sargs uargs s] possible-sequence-def[of sargs uargs
s']
            remove-at-index-filter tail-kth-def
            by metis
        show sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset (remove-at-index
k s'))))
            by (metis Suc.prems(2) Unique <0 ≤ k ∧ k < length s' ∧ s' ! k = x>
action.disc(2) filter-remove mset-filter possible-sequence-def)
qed
show PRE-sequence spre upre (remove-at-index k s') (remove-at-index k s')
    using PRE-sequence-removes Suc.prems(5) by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using Unique <abstract-pre spre upre x x> <s = x # s1> abstract-pre.simps(2)[of
]
    assms(6) compute.simps(3)[of sact uact] uabstract[of α sact spre uact upre ]
    by metis
qed
qed

lemma PRE-sequence-same-abstract:
assumes PRE-sequence spre upre s s'
    and α v0 = α v0'
    and all-axioms α sact spre uact upre
shows α (compute sact uact v0 s) = α (compute sact uact v0' s')
using assms
proof (induct s' arbitrary: s v0 v0')
case Nil
then show ?case
    by (simp add: PRE-sequence-def)
next
case (Cons act' s')
then show ?case
proof (cases act')
case (Shared sarg')
then obtain sarg s0 where s = Shared sarg # s0 spre sarg sarg' PRE-sequence
spre upre s0 s'
    by (metis Cons.prems(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) PRE-sequence-def
abstract-pre.simps(1) abstract-pre.simps(3) action.exhaust length-0-conv neq-Nil-conv)
then show ?thesis
    using Cons.hyps Cons.prems(2) Cons.prems(3) Shared sabstract by fastforce
next
case (Unique k uarg')
then obtain uarg s0 where s = Unique k uarg # s0 upre k uarg uarg'
PRE-sequence spre upre s0 s'

```

```

by (metis Cons.prems(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(1) PRE-sequenceE-rec(2) PRE-sequence-def
abstract-pre.simps(2) abstract-pre.simps(4) action.exhaust length-0-conv neq-Nil-conv)
then show ?thesis
using Cons.hyps Cons.prems(2) Unique assms(3) uabstract by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma simple-possible-PRE-seq:
assumes possible-sequence sargs uargs s
and possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s'
and PRE-shared spre sargs sargs'
and  $\bigwedge k$ . PRE-unique (upre k) (uargs k) (uargs' k)
and all-axioms  $\alpha$  sact spre uact upre
shows PRE-sequence spre upre s' s'
proof (rule PRE-sequenceI)
show length s' = length s' by simp
fix i assume  $0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{length } s'$ 
then show abstract-pre spre upre (s' ! i) (s' ! i)
proof (cases s' ! i)
case (Shared sarg')
then have Shared sarg'  $\in \# \text{filter-mset is-Shared} (\text{mset } s')$ 
using  $\langle 0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{length } s' \rangle \text{ nth-mem-mset}$  by fastforce
then have sarg'  $\in \# \text{sargs}'$ 
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) action.sel(1) assms(2) imageI possible-sequence-def
set-image-mset)
moreover obtain ms where image-mset fst ms = sargs  $\wedge$  image-mset snd ms
= sargs'  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x \in \# ms$ . spre (fst x) (snd x))
using PRE-shared-def assms(3) by blast
then obtain x where x  $\in \# ms$  snd x = sarg'
using calculation by fastforce
then show ?thesis
using Shared  $\langle \text{image-mset fst ms} = \text{sargs} \wedge \text{image-mset snd ms} = \text{sargs}' \wedge$ 
 $(\forall x \in \# ms$ . spre (fst x) (snd x))  $\rangle \text{ spre-refl}$ 
by (metis abstract-pre.simps(1) assms(5))
next
case (Unique k uarg')
then have Unique k uarg'  $\in \text{set} (\text{filter is-Unique } s')$ 
by (metis  $\langle 0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{length } s' \rangle \text{ is-Unique-def action.disc(2) filter-set}$ 
member-filter nth-mem)
then have uarg'  $\in \text{set} (\text{map get-u} (\text{filter (is-Unique-i } k) s'))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) action.sel(2) action.sel(3) filter-set image-eqI
is-Unique-i-def list.set-map member-filter)
then obtain i where i  $\geq 0 \wedge i < \text{length } (uargs' k) \wedge uarg' = (uargs' k) ! i$ 
by (metis assms(2) gr-implies-not-zero in-set-conv-nth linorder-le-less-linear
possible-sequence-def)
then have upre k ((uargs k) ! i) ((uargs' k) ! i)
using PRE-unique-def assms(4) by blast
then show ?thesis
using Unique  $\langle 0 \leq i \wedge i < \text{length } (uargs' k) \wedge uarg' = uargs' k ! i \rangle$  assms(5)

```

```

upre-refl by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma main-lemma:
assumes possible-sequence sargs uargs s
and possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s'
and PRE-shared spre sargs sargs'
and  $\bigwedge k$ . PRE-unique (upre k) (uargs k) (uargs' k)
and  $\alpha v0 = \alpha v0'$ 
and all-axioms  $\alpha$  sact spre uact upre

shows  $\alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0 s) = \alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0' s')$ 
proof -
obtain s'' where possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s''  $\wedge$  PRE-sequence spre upre s
s'')
using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) exists-aligned-sequence by blast
have  $\alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0' s'') = \alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0' s')$ 
proof (rule it-all-commutes)
show possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s''
by (simp add: <possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s''  $\wedge$  PRE-sequence spre upre s
s'')
show possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s'
by (simp add: assms(2))
show  $\alpha v0' = \alpha v0'$ 
by simp
show PRE-sequence spre upre s'' s''
using <possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s''  $\wedge$  PRE-sequence spre upre s s''>
PRE-sequence-refl assms(6) by blast
show PRE-sequence spre upre s' s'
using simple-possible-PRE-seq assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(6)
by blast
show all-axioms  $\alpha$  sact spre uact upre
using assms(6) by auto
qed
moreover have  $\alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0' s'') = \alpha (\text{compute sact uact } v0 s)$ 
using PRE-sequence-same-abstract <possible-sequence sargs' uargs' s''  $\wedge$  PRE-sequence
spre upre s s''> assms(1) assms(5) assms(6) by metis
ultimately show ?thesis
by auto
qed

```

The following inductive predicate captures all possible final values that can be reached with some interleaving of the actions described a multiset and a family of sequences of actions.

```

inductive reachable-value :: ('v  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'v)  $\Rightarrow$  ('i  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'v)  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  'a
multiset  $\Rightarrow$  ('i  $\Rightarrow$  'b list)  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

```

Self: reachable-value sact uact v0 {#} ( $\lambda k. []$ ) v0
| SharedStep: reachable-value sact uact v0 sargs uargs v1  $\implies$  reachable-value sact
  uact v0 (sargs + {# sarg #}) uargs (sact v1 sarg)
| UniqueStep: reachable-value sact uact v0 sargs uargs v1  $\implies$  reachable-value sact
  uact v0 sargs (uargs(k := uarg # uargs k)) (uact k v1 uarg)

lemma reachable-then-possible-sequence-and-compute:
  assumes reachable-value sact uact v0 sargs uargs v1
  shows  $\exists s.$  possible-sequence sargs uargs s  $\wedge$  v1 = compute sact uact v0 s
  using assms
  proof (induct rule: reachable-value.induct)
    case (Self sact uact v0)
      have possible-sequence {#} ( $\lambda k. []$ ) []  $\wedge$  v0 = compute sact uact v0 []
        by (simp add: possible-sequenceI)
      then show ?case by blast
    next
      case (SharedStep sact uact v0 sargs uargs v1 sarg)
        then obtain s where possible-sequence sargs uargs s  $\wedge$  v1 = compute sact uact
        v0 s by blast
        let ?s = Shared sarg # s
        have possible-sequence (sargs + {#sarg#}) uargs ?s
        proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
          show  $\bigwedge i.$  uargs i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i) (Shared sarg # s))
            by (metis ‹possible-sequence sargs uargs s  $\wedge$  v1 = compute sact uact v0 s›
              action.disc(1) filter.simps(2) is-Unique-def is-Unique-i-def possible-sequence-def)
          show sargs + {#sarg#} = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset (Shared
            sarg # s)))
            using ‹possible-sequence sargs uargs s  $\wedge$  v1 = compute sact uact v0 s› possible-
            sequence-def by auto
          qed
          then show ?case
          using ‹possible-sequence sargs uargs s  $\wedge$  v1 = compute sact uact v0 s› by auto
        next
          case (UniqueStep sact uact v0 sargs uargs v1 k uarg)
            then obtain s where possible-sequence sargs uargs s  $\wedge$  v1 = compute sact uact
            v0 s by blast
            let ?s = Unique k uarg # s
            have possible-sequence sargs (uargs(k := uarg # uargs k)) ?s
            proof (rule possible-sequenceI)
              show  $\bigwedge i.$  (uargs(k := uarg # uargs k)) i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i)
                (Unique k uarg # s))
              proof -
                fix i show (uargs(k := uarg # uargs k)) i = map get-u (filter (is-Unique-i i)
                  (Unique k uarg # s))
                proof (cases i = k)
                  case True
                  then show ?thesis
                  using Cons-eq-map-conv ‹possible-sequence sargs uargs s  $\wedge$  v1 = compute
                  sact uact v0 s›

```

```

action.disc(2) action.sel(2) action.sel(3) filter.simps(2) fun-upd-same
is-Unique-def
    is-Unique-i-def possible-sequence-def[of sargs uargs s]
        by fastforce
next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
        by (metis <possible-sequence sargs uargs s ∧ v1 = compute sact uact v0 s>
action.sel(2) filter.simps(2) fun-upd-other is-Unique-i-def possible-sequence-def)
qed
qed
show sargs = image-mset get-s (filter-mset is-Shared (mset (Unique k uarg # s)))
    using <possible-sequence sargs uargs s ∧ v1 = compute sact uact v0 s> possible-sequence-def by force
qed
then show ?case using <possible-sequence sargs uargs s ∧ v1 = compute sact uact v0 s>
    by (metis compute.simps(3))
qed

lemma PRE-shared-simpler-implies:
assumes PRE-shared-simpler spre a b
shows PRE-shared spre a b
using assms
proof (induct rule: PRE-shared-simpler.induct)
case (Empty spre)
then show ?case
    by (simp add: PRE-shared-def)
next
case (Step spre a b xa xb)
then obtain ms where image-mset fst ms = a ∧ image-mset snd ms = b ∧
(∀ x∈#ms. spre (fst x) (snd x))
    by (metis PRE-shared-def)
then have image-mset fst (ms + {# (xa, xb) #}) = (a + {#xa#}) ∧ image-mset
    snd (ms + {# (xa, xb) #}) = (b + {#xb#}) ∧ (∀ x∈#(ms + {# (xa, xb) #}). spre
    (fst x) (snd x))
    using Step.hyps(3) by auto
then show ?case using PRE-shared-def by blast
qed

```

The following theorem corresponds to Lemma 4.2 in the paper.

theorem main-result:

```

assumes reachable-value sact uact v0 sargs uargs v
    and reachable-value sact uact v0' sargs' uargs' v'
    and PRE-shared-simpler spre sargs sargs'
    and ∏k. PRE-unique (upre k) (uargs k) (uargs' k)
    and α v0 = α v0'
    and all-axioms α sact spre uact upre

```

```

shows  $\alpha v = \alpha v'$ 
proof -
  obtain  $s s'$  where possible-sequence  $sargs uargs s \wedge v = compute sact uact v0 s$ 
  possible-sequence  $sargs' uargs' s' \wedge v' = compute sact uact v0' s'$ 
    using assms(1) assms(2) reachable-then-possible-sequence-and-compute
    by metis
  then show ?thesis
    by (meson PRE-shared-simpler-implies assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) assms(6)
main-lemma)
qed

end

```

4.2 Consistency

In this file, we define several notions and prove many lemmas about guard states, which are useful to prove that the rules of the logic are sound.

```

theory Guards
  imports StateModel CommCSL AbstractCommutativity
begin

```

A state is "consistent" iff: 1. All its permissions are full 2. Has unique guards iff has shared guard 3. The values in the fractional heaps are "reachable" wrt to the sequence and multiset of actions 4. Has exactly guards for the names in "scope"

```

definition reachable :: "('i, 'a, 'v) single-context \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) heap \Rightarrow bool where
  reachable scont v0 h \longleftrightarrow (\forall sargs uargs. get-gs h = Some (pwrite, sargs) \wedge (\forall k.
  get-gu h k = Some (uargs k))
  \longrightarrow reachable-value (saction scont) (uaction scont) v0 sargs uargs (view scont
  (normalize (get-fh h))))

```

```

lemma reachableI:
  assumes \A sargs uargs. get-gs h = Some (pwrite, sargs) \wedge (\forall k. get-gu h k =
  Some (uargs k))
  \Longrightarrow reachable-value (saction scont) (uaction scont) v0 sargs uargs (view scont
  (normalize (get-fh h)))
  shows reachable scont v0 h
  by (metis assms reachable-def)

```

```

lemma reachableE:
  assumes reachable scont v0 h
  and get-gs h = Some (pwrite, sargs)
  and \A k. get-gu h k = Some (uargs k)
  shows reachable-value (saction scont) (uaction scont) v0 sargs uargs (view scont
  (normalize (get-fh h)))
  by (meson assms reachable-def)

```

```

definition all-guards :: "('i, 'a) heap \Rightarrow bool where

```

all-guards h \longleftrightarrow ($\exists v.$ *get-gs h = Some (pwrite, v)*) \wedge ($\forall k.$ *get-gu h k ≠ None*)

lemma *no-guardI*:
assumes *get-gs h = None*
and $\bigwedge k.$ *get-gu h k = None*
shows *no-guard h*
using *assms(1) assms(2) no-guard-def by blast*

definition *semi-consistent* :: ($'i, 'a, 'v$) *single-context* \Rightarrow $'v \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) heap \Rightarrow bool$
where
semi-consistent $\Gamma v0 h \longleftrightarrow all\text{-guards } h \wedge reachable \Gamma v0 h$

lemma *semi-consistentE*:
assumes *semi-consistent* $\Gamma v0 h$
shows $\exists sargs uargs.$ *get-gs h = Some (pwrite, sargs) \wedge ($\forall k.$ *get-gu h k = Some (uargs k)*)
 \wedge *reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs uargs (view Γ (normalize (get-fh h)))*
proof –
let $?uargs = \lambda k.$ (*SOME x.* *get-gu h k = Some x*)
have $\bigwedge k.$ *get-gu h k = Some (?uargs k)*
proof –
fix k **have** $\exists x.$ *get-gu h k = Some x*
by (*meson all-guards-def assms option.exhaust-sel semi-consistent-def*)
then show *get-gu h k = Some (?uargs k)*
by *fastforce*
qed
moreover obtain *sargs where get-gs h = Some (pwrite, sargs)*
by (*meson all-guards-def assms semi-consistent-def*)
ultimately have *reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs ?uargs (view Γ (normalize (get-fh h)))*
by (*meson assms reachableE semi-consistent-def*)
then show *?thesis*
using $\langle \bigwedge k.$ *get-gu h k = Some (SOME x.* *get-gu h k = Some x)* $\rangle \langle get\text{-gs } h = Some (pwrite, sargs) \rangle$ **by** *fastforce*
qed*

lemma *semi-consistentI*:
assumes *all-guards h*
and *reachable* $\Gamma v0 h$
shows *semi-consistent* $\Gamma v0 h$
by (*simp add: assms(1) assms(2) semi-consistent-def*)

lemma *no-guard-then-smaller-same*:
assumes *Some h = Some a \oplus Some b*
and *no-guard h*
shows *no-guard a*
proof (*rule no-guardI*)
show *get-gs a = None*

```

by (metis add-gs.elims assms(1) assms(2) no-guard-def option.simps(3) plus-extract(2))
fix k
have get-gu h k = None
  by (meson assms(2) no-guard-def)
then show get-gu a k = None
  by (metis assms(1) full-uguard-sum-same option.exhaust)
qed

lemma all-guardsI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge k. \text{get-gu } h \ k \neq \text{None}$ 
  and  $\exists v. \text{get-gs } h = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, v)$ 
  shows all-guards h
  using all-guards-def assms(1) assms(2) by blast

lemma all-guards-same:
  assumes all-guards a
  and Some h = Some a  $\oplus$  Some b
  shows all-guards h
proof (rule all-guardsI)
  show  $\exists v. \text{get-gs } h = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, v)$ 
  using all-guards-def assms(1) assms(2) full-sguard-sum-same by blast
  fix k have get-gu a k  $\neq$  None
  by (meson all-guards-def assms(1))
  then show get-gu h k  $\neq$  None
  apply (cases get-gu b k)
  apply (metis assms(2) full-uguard-sum-same not-Some-eq)
  by (metis assms(2) full-uguard-sum-same option.discI plus-comm)
qed

definition empty-unique where
  empty-unique - = None

definition remove-guards :: ('i, 'a) heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('i, 'a) heap where
  remove-guards h = (get-fh h, None, empty-unique)

lemma remove-guards-smaller:
  h  $\succeq$  remove-guards h
proof -
  have remove-guards h  $\# \#$  (Map.empty, get-gs h, get-gu h)
  proof (rule compatibleI)
    show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh (remove-guards h)) (get-fh (Map.empty,
    get-gs h, get-gu h))
    using compatible-fract-heapsI by force
    show  $\bigwedge k. \text{get-gu } (\text{remove-guards } h) \ k = \text{None} \vee \text{get-gu } (\text{Map.empty}, \text{get-gs } h,$ 
     $\text{get-gu } h) \ k = \text{None}$ 
    by (simp add: empty-unique-def remove-guards-def)
    show  $\bigwedge p \ p'. \text{get-gs } (\text{remove-guards } h) = \text{Some } p \wedge \text{get-gs } (\text{Map.empty}, \text{get-gs } h,$ 
     $\text{get-gu } h) = \text{Some } p' \implies \text{pgte } \text{pwrite } (\text{padd } (\text{fst } p) (\text{fst } p'))$ 
    by (simp add: remove-guards-def)

```

```

qed
then obtain x where Some x = Some (remove-guards h) ⊕ Some (Map.empty,
get-gs h, get-gu h)
by auto
moreover have x = h
proof (rule heap-ext)
show get-fh x = get-fh h
by (metis add-fh-map-empty add-get-fh calculation fst-conv get-fh.elims re-
move-guards-def)
show get-gs x = get-gs h
by (metis calculation fst-eqD get-gs.elims plus-comm remove-guards-def snd-eqD
sum-gs-one-none)
show get-gu x = get-gu h
proof (rule ext)
fix k
have get-gu (remove-guards h) k = None
by (simp add: empty-unique-def remove-guards-def)
then show get-gu x k = get-gu h k
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) add-gu-def add-gu-single.simps(1) calculation
get-gu.elims plus-extract(3) snd-eqD)
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using larger-def by blast
qed

lemma no-guard-remove:
assumes Some a = Some b ⊕ Some c
and no-guard c
shows get-gs a = get-gs b
and get-gu a = get-gu b
using assms(1) assms(2) no-guard-def sum-gs-one-none apply blast
proof (rule ext)
fix k
have get-gu c k = None
by (meson assms(2) no-guard-def)
then show get-gu a k = get-gu b k
by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-gu-def add-gu-single.simps(1) assms(1) plus-comm
plus-extract(3))
qed

lemma full-guard-comp-then-no:
assumes a ## b
and all-guards a
shows no-guard b
proof (rule no-guardI)
show ∃k. get-gu b k = None
by (meson all-guards-def assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def)
show get-gs b = None

```

```

proof (rule ccontr)
  assume get-gs b ≠ None
  then obtain gb where get-gs b = Some gb
    by blast
  moreover obtain v where get-gs a = Some (pwrite, v)
    by (meson all-guards-def assms(2))
  moreover have pgt (padd pwrite (fst gb)) pwrite
    using sum-larger by auto
  ultimately show False
    by (metis assms(1) compatible-def fst-eqD not-pgte-charact)
  qed
qed

lemma sum-of-no-guards:
  assumes no-guard a
    and no-guard b
    and Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
  shows no-guard x
  by (metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) no-guard-def no-guard-remove(1) no-guard-remove(2))

lemma no-guard-remove-guards:
  no-guard (remove-guards h)
  by (simp add: empty-unique-def no-guard-def remove-guards-def)

lemma get-fh-remove-guards:
  get-fh (remove-guards h) = get-fh h
  by (simp add: remove-guards-def)

definition pair-sat :: (store × ('i, 'a) heap) set ⇒ (store × ('i, 'a) heap) set ⇒ ('i, 'a, nat) assertion ⇒ bool where
  pair-sat S S' Q ←→ (∀σ σ'. σ ∈ S ∧ σ' ∈ S' → σ, σ' ⊨ Q)

lemma pair-satI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge s h s' h'. (s, h) \in S \wedge (s', h') \in S' \implies (s, h), (s', h') \models Q$ 
  shows pair-sat S S' Q
  by (simp add: assms pair-sat-def)

lemma pair-sat-smallerI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in S \wedge \sigma' \in S' \implies \sigma, \sigma' \models Q$ 
  shows pair-sat S S' Q
  by (simp add: assms pair-sat-def)

lemma pair-satE:
  assumes pair-sat S S' Q
    and (s, h) ∈ S ∧ (s', h') ∈ S'
    shows (s, h), (s', h') ⊨ Q
  using assms(1) assms(2) pair-sat-def by blast

definition add-states :: (store × ('i, 'a) heap) set ⇒ (store × ('i, 'a) heap) set ⇒

```

```

(store × ('i, 'a) heap) set where
  add-states S1 S2 = {(s, H) | s H h1 h2. Some H = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2 ∧ (s,
  h1) ∈ S1 ∧ (s, h2) ∈ S2}

lemma add-states-sat-star:
  assumes pair-sat SA SA' A
    and pair-sat SB SB' B
  shows pair-sat (add-states SA SB) (add-states SA' SB') (Star A B)
proof (rule pair-satI)
  fix s h s' h'
  assume asm0: (s, h) ∈ add-states SA SB ∧ (s', h') ∈ add-states SA' SB'
  then obtain ha hb ha' hb' where (s, ha) ∈ SA (s, hb) ∈ SB (s', ha') ∈ SA' (s',
  hb') ∈ SB'
    Some h = Some ha ⊕ Some hb Some h' = Some ha' ⊕ Some hb'
    using add-states-def[of SA SB] add-states-def[of SA' SB'] fst-eqD mem-Collect-eq
    snd-conv
    by auto
  then show (s, h), (s', h') ⊨ Star A B
    by (meson assms(1) assms(2) hyper-sat.simps(4) pair-sat-def)
qed

lemma add-states-subset:
  assumes S1 ⊆ S1'
  shows add-states S1 S2 ⊆ add-states S1' S2
proof
  fix x assume x ∈ add-states S1 S2
  then show x ∈ add-states S1' S2
  using add-states-def[of S1 S2] add-states-def[of S1' S2] assms mem-Collect-eq[of
  x] subsetD[of S1 S1']
  by blast
qed

lemma add-states-comm:
  add-states S1 S2 = add-states S2 S1
proof -
  have ⋀S1 S2. add-states S1 S2 ⊆ add-states S2 S1
  proof
    fix S1 S2
    show add-states S1 S2 ⊆ add-states S2 S1
    proof
      fix x assume x ∈ add-states S1 S2
      then obtain h1 h2 where Some (snd x) = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2 (fst x, h1)
        ∈ S1 (fst x, h2) ∈ S2
        using add-states-def[of S1 S2] fst-conv mem-Collect-eq[of x] snd-eqD
        by auto
      moreover have Some (snd x) = Some h2 ⊕ Some h1
        by (simp add: calculation(1) plus-comm)
      ultimately show x ∈ add-states S2 S1
        using add-states-def[of S2 S1] mem-Collect-eq[of x] surjective-pairing[of x]
    qed
  qed

```

```

by blast
qed
qed
then show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

The following lemma is the reason why we require many assertions to be precise in the logic.

lemma *magic-lemma*:

```

assumes Some x1 = Some a1 ⊕ Some j1
and Some x2 = Some a2 ⊕ Some j2
and (s1, x1), (s2, x2) ⊨ Star A J
and (s1, j1), (s2, j2) ⊨ J
and precise J
shows (s1, a1), (s2, a2) ⊨ A
proof -
obtain a1' a2' j1' j2' where Some x1 = Some a1' ⊕ Some j1'
Some x2 = Some a2' ⊕ Some j2' (s1, j1'), (s2, j2') ⊨ J (s1, a1'), (s2, a2')
= A
using assms(3) hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
have j1 = j1' ∧ j2 = j2'
using assms(5)
proof (rule preciseE)
show x1 ⊑ j1' ∧ x1 ⊑ j1 ∧ x2 ⊑ j2' ∧ x2 ⊑ j2
by (metis ‹Some x1 = Some a1' ⊕ Some j1'› ‹Some x2 = Some a2' ⊕ Some j2'› assms(1) assms(2) larger-def plus-comm)
show (s1, j1'), (s2, j2') ⊨ J ∧ (s1, j1), (s2, j2) ⊨ J
by (simp add: ‹(s1, j1'), (s2, j2') ⊨ J› assms(4))
qed
then have a1 = a1' ∧ a2 = a2'
using ‹Some x1 = Some a1' ⊕ Some j1'› ‹Some x2 = Some a2' ⊕ Some j2'›
addition-cancellative assms(1) assms(2) by blast
then show ?thesis
using ‹(s1, a1'), (s2, a2') ⊨ A› by blast
qed

```

lemma *full-no-guard-same-normalize*:

```

assumes full-ownership (get-fh h) ∧ no-guard h
and full-ownership (get-fh h') ∧ no-guard h'
and normalize (get-fh h) = normalize (get-fh h')
shows h = h'
proof (rule heap-ext)
show get-gu h = get-gu h'
apply (rule ext)
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) no-guard-def)
show get-gs h = get-gs h'
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) no-guard-def)
show get-fh h = get-fh h'

```

```

proof (rule ext)
  fix l show get-fh h l = get-fh h' l
    apply (cases get-fh h l)
    apply (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(1) assms(3))
    apply (cases get-fh h' l)
    apply (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(1) assms(3))
    by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-def apply-opt.simps(2) assms(1) assms(2)
      assms(3) full-ownership-def prod.collapse)
    qed
qed

lemma get-fh-same-then-remove-guards-same:
  assumes get-fh a = get-fh b
  shows remove-guards a = remove-guards b
  by (metis assms remove-guards-def)

lemma remove-guards-sum:
  assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
  shows Some (remove-guards x) = Some (remove-guards a) ⊕ Some (remove-guards
  b)
  proof –
    have remove-guards a ## remove-guards b
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms compatible-def compatible-eq get-fh-remove-guards
      no-guard-def no-guard-remove-guards option.distinct(1))
    then obtain y where Some y = Some (remove-guards a) ⊕ Some (remove-guards
    b)
    by auto
    moreover have remove-guards x = y
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨remove-guards a ## remove-guards b⟩ add-get-fh
      assms calculation get-fh-remove-guards get-gu.simps no-guard-def no-guard-remove(1)
      no-guard-remove(2) no-guard-remove-guards option.inject plus.simps(3) plus-extract(2)
      remove-guards-def snd-eqD)
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed

lemma no-guard-smaller:
  assumes a ⊇ b
  shows remove-guards a ⊇ remove-guards b
  using assms larger-def remove-guards-sum by blast

definition add-empty-guards :: ('i, 'a) heap ⇒ ('i, 'a) heap where
  add-empty-guards h = (get-fh h, Some (pwrite, {#}), (λ_. Some []))

lemma no-guard-map-empty-compatible:
  assumes no-guard a
    and get-fh b = Map.empty
  shows a ## b
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) assms(2) compatible-def compatible-fract-heapsI)

```

```

no-guard-def option.simps(3))

lemma no-guard-add-empty-is-add:
  assumes no-guard h
  shows Some (add-empty-guards h) = Some h ⊕ Some (Map.empty, Some (pwrite,
  {#}), (λ-. Some []))
  proof -
    obtain x where Some x = Some h ⊕ Some (Map.empty, Some (pwrite, {#}),
  (λ-. Some []))
    by (simp add: assms no-guard-map-empty-compatible)
    moreover have add-empty-guards h = x
    proof (rule heap-ext)
      show get-fh (add-empty-guards h) = get-fh x
      by (metis add-empty-guards-def add-fh-map-empty add-get-fh calculation
fst-conv get-fh.elims)
      show get-gs (add-empty-guards h) = get-gs x
      by (metis add-empty-guards-def assms calculation get-gs.elims no-guard-remove(1)
plus-comm snd-eqD)
      show get-gu (add-empty-guards h) = get-gu x
      by (metis add-empty-guards-def assms calculation get-gu.elims no-guard-remove(2)
plus-comm snd-eqD)
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed

lemma no-guard-and-sat-p-empty-guards:
  assumes (s, h), (s', h') ⊨ A
    and no-guard h ∧ no-guard h'
  shows (s, add-empty-guards h), (s', add-empty-guards h') ⊨ Star A EmptyFull-
Guards
  proof -
    have (s, (Map.empty, Some (pwrite, {#}), (λ-. Some []))), (s', (Map.empty,
  Some (pwrite, {#}), (λ-. Some []))) ⊨ EmptyFullGuards
    by simp
    then show ?thesis
    using assms(1) assms(2) hyper-sat.simps(4) no-guard-add-empty-is-add by
blast
  qed

lemma no-guard-add-empty-guards-sum:
  assumes no-guard x
    and Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
  shows Some (add-empty-guards x) = Some (add-empty-guards a) ⊕ Some b
  using assms(1) assms(2) no-guard-add-empty-is-add[of a] no-guard-add-empty-is-add[of
x]
    no-guard-then-smaller-same[of x a b] plus-asso plus-comm
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))

lemma semi-consistent-empty-no-guard-initial-value:

```

```

assumes no-guard h
shows semi-consistent Γ (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) (add-empty-guards h)
proof (rule semi-consistentI)
  show all-guards (add-empty-guards h)
    by (simp add: add-empty-guards-def all-guards-def)
  show reachable Γ (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) (add-empty-guards h)
    proof (rule reachableI)
      fix sargs uargs
      assume asm0: get-gs (add-empty-guards h) = Some (pwrite, sargs) ∧ (∀ k. get-gu (add-empty-guards h) k = Some (uargs k))
      then have sargs = {#} ∧ uargs = (λk. [])
        by (metis add-empty-guards-def fst-conv get-gs.simps get-gu.simps option.sel snd-conv)
      then show reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) sargs uargs
        (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh (add-empty-guards h))))
        by (simp add: Self add-empty-guards-def)
    qed
qed

lemma no-guards-remove-same:
  assumes no-guard h
  shows h = remove-guards (add-empty-guards h)
  by (metis add-empty-guards-def addition-cancellative assms fst-conv get-fh.elims get-fh-remove-guards no-guard-add-empty-is-add no-guard-remove-guards)

lemma no-guards-remove:
  no-guard h ↔ h = remove-guards h
  by (metis get-fh-remove-guards no-guard-remove-guards no-guards-remove-same remove-guards-def)

definition add-sguard-to-no-guard :: ('i, 'a) heap ⇒ prat ⇒ 'a multiset ⇒ ('i, 'a)
heap where
  add-sguard-to-no-guard h π ms = (get-fh h, Some (π, ms), (λ-. None))

lemma get-fh-add-sguard:
  get-fh (add-sguard-to-no-guard h π ms) = get-fh h
  by (simp add: add-sguard-to-no-guard-def)

lemma add-sguard-as-sum:
  assumes no-guard h
  shows Some (add-sguard-to-no-guard h π ms) = Some h ⊕ Some (Map.empty, Some (π, ms), (λ-. None))
proof -
  obtain x where Some x = Some h ⊕ Some (Map.empty, Some (π, ms), (λ-. None))

```

```

by (simp add: assms no-guard-map-empty-compatible)
moreover have  $x = \text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } h \pi ms$ 
proof (rule heap-ext)
  show  $\text{get-fh } x = \text{get-fh } (\text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } h \pi ms)$ 
  by (metis add-fh-map-empty add-get-fh calculation fst-conv get-fh.elims get-fh-add-sguard)
  show  $\text{get-gs } x = \text{get-gs } (\text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } h \pi ms)$ 
  by (metis add-sguard-to-no-guard-def assms calculation get-gs.elims no-guard-def
plus-comm snd-eqD sum-gs-one-none)
  show  $\text{get-gu } x = \text{get-gu } (\text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } h \pi ms)$ 
  by (metis add-sguard-to-no-guard-def assms calculation get-gu.simps no-guard-remove(2)
plus-comm snd-conv)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

definition add-uguard-to-no-guard :: ' $i \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ heap} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}$ '  

where  

  add-uguard-to-no-guard  $k h l = (\text{get-fh } h, \text{None}, (\lambda-. \text{None})(k := \text{Some } l))$ 

lemma get-fh-add-uguard:
   $\text{get-fh } (\text{add-uguard-to-no-guard } k h l) = \text{get-fh } h$ 
  by (simp add: add-uguard-to-no-guard-def)

lemma prove-sum:
  assumes  $a \# \# b$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x. \text{Some } x = \text{Some } a \oplus \text{Some } b \implies x = y$ 
  shows  $\text{Some } y = \text{Some } a \oplus \text{Some } b$ 
  using assms(1) assms(2) by fastforce

lemma add-uguard-as-sum:
  assumes no-guard  $h$ 
  shows  $\text{Some } (\text{add-uguard-to-no-guard } k h l) = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } (\text{Map.empty},$ 
 $\text{None}, (\lambda-. \text{None})(k := \text{Some } l))$ 
proof (rule prove-sum)
  show  $h \# \# (\text{Map.empty}, \text{None}, [k \mapsto l])$ 
  by (simp add: assms no-guard-map-empty-compatible)
  fix  $x$  assume asm0:  $\text{Some } x = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } (\text{Map.empty}, \text{None}, [k \mapsto l])$ 
  show  $x = \text{add-uguard-to-no-guard } k h l$ 
  proof (rule heap-ext)
    show  $\text{get-fh } x = \text{get-fh } (\text{add-uguard-to-no-guard } k h l)$ 
    by (metis add-fh-map-empty add-get-fh asm0 fst-conv get-fh.elims get-fh-add-uguard)
    show  $\text{get-gs } x = \text{get-gs } (\text{add-uguard-to-no-guard } k h l)$ 
    by (metis add-uguard-to-no-guard-def asm0 assms get-gs.elims no-guard-def
plus-comm snd-eqD sum-gs-one-none)
    show  $\text{get-gu } x = \text{get-gu } (\text{add-uguard-to-no-guard } k h l)$ 
    by (metis add-uguard-to-no-guard-def asm0 assms get-gu.elims no-guard-remove(2)
plus-comm snd-eqD)
  qed

```

qed

```
lemma no-guard-and-no-heap:
  assumes Some h = Some p ⊕ Some g
    and no-guard p
    and get-fh g = Map.empty
  shows remove-guards h = p
proof (rule heap-ext)
  show get-fh (remove-guards h) = get-fh p
  proof -
    have get-fh (remove-guards h) = get-fh h
    using get-fh-remove-guards by blast
    moreover have get-fh h = add-fh (get-fh p) (get-fh g)
      using add-get-fh assms(1) by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (metis assms(1) assms(3) ext get-fh.simps sum-second-none-get-fh)
qed
show get-gs (remove-guards h) = get-gs p
  by (metis assms(2) no-guard-def no-guard-remove-guards)
show get-gu (remove-guards h) = get-gu p
  by (metis ‹get-fh (remove-guards h) = get-fh p› assms(2) get-fh-remove-guards
no-guards-remove remove-guards-def)
qed
```

```
lemma decompose-guard-remove-easy:
  Some h = Some (remove-guards h) ⊕ Some (Map.empty, get-gs h, get-gu h)
proof (rule prove-sum)
  show remove-guards h ## (Map.empty, get-gs h, get-gu h)
  by (simp add: no-guard-map-empty-compatible no-guard-remove-guards)
  fix x assume asm0: Some x = Some (remove-guards h) ⊕ Some (Map.empty,
get-gs h, get-gu h)
  show x = h
  proof (rule heap-ext)
    show get-fh x = get-fh h
    by (metis add-fh-map-empty add-get-fh asm0 fst-conv get-fh.elims get-fh-remove-guards)
    show get-gs x = get-gs h
    by (metis asm0 fst-conv get-gs.simps no-guard-remove(1) no-guard-remove-guards
plus-comm snd-conv)
    show get-gu x = get-gu h
    by (metis asm0 get-gu.elims no-guard-remove(2) no-guard-remove-guards
plus-comm snd-eqD)
  qed
qed
```

```
lemma all-guards-no-guard-propagates:
  assumes all-guards x
```

```

and Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
and no-guard a
shows all-guards b
by (metis all-guards-def assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) no-guard-def no-guard-remove(2)
plus-comm sum-gs-one-none)

lemma all-guards-exists-uargs:
assumes all-guards x
shows ∃ uargs. ∀ k. get-gu x k = Some (uargs k)
proof -
let ?uargs = λk. the (get-gu x k)
have ∀k. get-gu x k = Some (?uargs k)
by (metis all-guards-def assms option.collapse)
then show ?thesis
by fastforce
qed

lemma all-guards-sum-known-one:
assumes Some x = Some a ⊕ Some b
and all-guards x
and ∀k. get-gu a k = None
and get-gs a = Some (π, ms)
shows ∃ π' msf uargs. (∀k. get-gu b k = Some (uargs k)) ∧
((π = pwrite ∧ get-gs b = None ∧ msf = {#}) ∨ (pwrite = padd π π' ∧ get-gs
b = Some (π', msf)))
proof (cases π = pwrite)
case True
then have get-gs b = None
using add-gs.simps(2)[of (π, ms)] add-gs-cancellative add-gs-comm assms(1)
assms(4) full-sguard-sum-same
plus-extract(2)[of x a b]
by metis
moreover obtain uargs where ∀k. get-gu x k = Some (uargs k)
using all-guards-exists-uargs assms(2) by blast
moreover have ∀k. get-gu b k = Some (uargs k)
proof -
fix k
have get-gu a k = None
using assms(3) by auto
then show get-gu b k = Some (uargs k)
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add-gu-def add-gu-single.simps(1) assms(1)
calculation(2) plus-extract(3))
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
using True by blast
next
case False
then obtain π' msf where get-gs b = Some (π', msf)
by (metis all-guards-def assms(1) assms(2) assms(4) fst-conv option.exhaustsel)

```

```

option.sel prod.exhaust-sel sum-gs-one-none)
moreover obtain v where get-gs x = Some (pwrite, v)
  by (meson all-guards-def assms(2))
ultimately have pwrite = padd π π'
  by (metis Pair-inject assms(1) assms(4) option.inject sum-gs-one-some)
then show ?thesis
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) ‹get-gs b = Some (π', msf)› add-gu-def
add-gu-single.simps(1) all-guards-exists-uargs assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) plus-extract(3))
qed

fun add-pwrite-option where
  add-pwrite-option None = None
| add-pwrite-option (Some x) = Some (pwrite, x)

definition denormalize :: normal-heap ⇒ ('i, 'a) heap where
  denormalize H = ((λl. add-pwrite-option (H l)), None, (λ-. None))

lemma denormalize-properties:
  shows no-guard (denormalize H)
  and full-ownership (get-fh (denormalize H))
  and normalize (get-fh (denormalize H)) = H
  and full-ownership (get-fh h) ∧ no-guard h ⇒ denormalize (normalize (get-fh h)) = h
  and full-ownership (get-fh h) ⇒ denormalize (normalize (get-fh h)) = remove-guards h
    apply (simp add: denormalize-def no-guardI)
    using full-ownershipI[of get-fh (denormalize H)] add-pwrite-option.elims denormalize-def fst-conv get-fh.elims option.distinct(1) option.sel apply metis
proof -
  show normalize (get-fh (denormalize H)) = H
  proof (rule ext)
    fix l show normalize (get-fh (denormalize H)) l = H l
      by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(1) FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2)
add-pwrite-option.elims denormalize-def fst-conv get-fh.elims)
    qed
  show full-ownership (get-fh h) ∧ no-guard h ⇒ denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h)) = h
  proof -
    assume asm0: full-ownership (get-fh h) ∧ no-guard h
    show denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h)) = h
    proof (rule heap-ext)
      show get-fh (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-fh h
      proof (rule ext)
        fix x show get-fh (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) x = get-fh h x
        proof (cases get-fh h x)
          case None
          then show ?thesis
            by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(1) add-pwrite-option.simps(1))
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

denormalize-def fst-conv get-fh.elims)
next
  case (Some p)
  then have fst p = pwrite
    by (meson asm0 full-ownership-def)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize_eq(2) Some add-pwrite-option.simps(2))
denormalize-def fst-conv get-fh.elims prod.collapse)
qed
qed
show get-gs (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-gs h
  by (metis asm0 denormalize_def fst-conv get-gs.elims no-guard-def snd-eqD)
show get-gu (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-gu h
  by (metis <get-fh (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-fh
       >get-gs (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-gs h> asm0
denormalize_def full-no-guard-same-normalize get-gu.simps no-guard-def snd-conv)
qed
qed
assume asm0: full-ownership (get-fh h)
show denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h)) = remove-guards h
proof (rule heap-ext)
  show get-fh (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-fh (remove-guards
h)
  proof (rule ext)
    fix x show get-fh (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) x =
get-fh (remove-guards h) x
      proof (cases get-fh h x)
        case None
        then show ?thesis
          by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize_eq(1) add-pwrite-option.simps(1))
denormalize_def fst-eqD get-fh.elims get-fh-remove-guards)
next
  case (Some p)
  then have fst p = pwrite
    by (meson asm0 full-ownership-def)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize_eq(2) Some add-pwrite-option.simps(2))
denormalize-def fst-conv get-fh.elims get-fh-remove-guards prod.collapse)
qed
qed
show get-gs (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-gs
(remove-guards h)
  by (simp add: denormalize_def remove-guards-def)
show get-gu (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-gu
(remove-guards h)
  by (metis <get-fh (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-fh
       >get-gs (denormalize (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) = get-gs
       (remove-guards h)> asm0 denormalize_def full-no-guard-same-normalize
       get-fh-remove-guards get-gu.simps no-guard-def no-guard-remove-guards
       snd-conv)

```

```

qed
qed

lemma no-guard-then-sat-star-uguard:
  assumes no-guard h ∧ no-guard h'
    and (s, h), (s', h') ⊨ Q
    shows (s, add-uguard-to-no-guard k h (e s)), (s', add-uguard-to-no-guard k h'
(e s')) ⊨ Star Q (UniqueGuard k e)
  proof -
    obtain Some (add-uguard-to-no-guard k h (e s)) = Some h ⊕ Some (Map.empty,
None, [k ↦ e s])
      Some (add-uguard-to-no-guard k h' (e s')) = Some h' ⊕ Some (Map.empty,
None, [k ↦ e s'])
    by (simp add: add-uguard-as-sum assms(1))
    moreover have (s, (Map.empty, None, [k ↦ e s])), (s', (Map.empty, None, [k
↪ e s'])) ⊨ UniqueGuard k e
      by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using assms(2) by fastforce
  qed

lemma no-guard-then-sat-star:
  assumes no-guard h ∧ no-guard h'
    and (s, h), (s', h') ⊨ Q
    shows (s, add-sguard-to-no-guard h π (ms s)), (s', add-sguard-to-no-guard h' π
(ms s')) ⊨ Star Q (SharedGuard π ms)
  proof -
    obtain Some (add-sguard-to-no-guard h π (ms s)) = Some h ⊕ Some (Map.empty,
Some (π, ms s), (λ-. None))
      Some (add-sguard-to-no-guard h' π (ms s')) = Some h' ⊕ Some (Map.empty,
Some (π, ms s'), (λ-. None))
    using add-sguard-as-sum assms(1) by blast
    moreover have (s, (Map.empty, Some (π, ms s), (λ-. None))), (s', (Map.empty,
Some (π, ms s'), (λ-. None))) ⊨ SharedGuard π ms
      by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using assms(2) by fastforce
  qed

end

```

4.3 Safety and Hoare Triples

In this file, the meaning of Hoare triples (Definition 4.1), through a notion of safety (see Section 4 and Appendix C). We also prove useful lemmas for the soundness proof.

```

theory Safety
  imports Guards
begin

```

4.3.1 Preliminaries

definition *sat-inv* :: *store* \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) *heap* \Rightarrow ('i, 'a, nat) *single-context* \Rightarrow *bool*
where

sat-inv s hj $\Gamma \longleftrightarrow (s, hj), (s, hj) \models invariant \Gamma \wedge no-guard hj$

lemma *sat-invI*:

assumes $(s, hj), (s, hj) \models invariant \Gamma$
and *no-guard hj*
shows *sat-inv s hj* Γ
by (*simp add: assms(1) assms(2) sat-inv-def*)

s and s' can differ on variables outside of vars, does not change anything.
upper-fvs S vars means that vars is an upper-bound of "fv S"

definition *upper-fvs* :: *(store × ('i, 'a) heap) set* \Rightarrow *var set* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
upper-fvs S vars $\longleftrightarrow (\forall s s' h. (s, h) \in S \wedge agrees vars s s' \rightarrow (s', h) \in S)$

Only need to agree on vars

definition *upperize where*

upperize S vars = { $\sigma' | \sigma \sigma'. \sigma \in S \wedge snd \sigma = snd \sigma' \wedge agrees vars (fst \sigma) (fst \sigma')$ }

definition *close-var where*

close-var S x = { $((fst \sigma)(x := v), snd \sigma) | \sigma v. \sigma \in S$ }

lemma *upper-fvsI*:

assumes $\bigwedge s s' h. (s, h) \in S \wedge agrees vars s s' \implies (s', h) \in S$
shows *upper-fvs S vars*
using *assms upper-fvs-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *pair-sat-comm*:

assumes *pair-sat S S' A*
shows *pair-sat S' S A*

proof (*rule pair-satI*)

fix *s h s' h'* **assume** $(s, h) \in S' \wedge (s', h') \in S$
then show $(s, h), (s', h') \models A$

using *assms pair-sat-def sat-comm* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *in-upperize*:

$(s', h) \in upperize S vars \longleftrightarrow (\exists s. (s, h) \in S \wedge agrees vars s s')$ (**is** ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)

proof

show ?A \implies ?B
by (*simp add: upperize-def*)
show ?B \implies ?A
using *upperize-def* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *upper-fvs-upperize*:

```

upper-fvs (upperize S vars) vars
proof (rule upper-fvsI)
  fix  $s s' h$ 
  assume  $(s, h) \in \text{upperize } S \text{ vars} \wedge \text{agrees vars } s s'$ 
  then obtain  $s''$  where  $(s'', h) \in S \wedge \text{agrees vars } s'' s$ 
    by (meson in-upperize)
  then have  $\text{agrees vars } s'' s'$ 
    using  $\langle (s, h) \in \text{upperize } S \text{ vars} \wedge \text{agrees vars } s s' \rangle \text{ agrees-def}[of \text{ vars } s s']$ 
       $\text{agrees-def}[of \text{ vars } s'' s] \text{ agrees-def}[of \text{ vars } s'' s']$ 
    by (simp)
  then show  $(s', h) \in \text{upperize } S \text{ vars}$ 
    using  $\langle (s'', h) \in S \wedge \text{agrees vars } s'' s \rangle \text{ upperize-def}$  by fastforce
qed

lemma upperize-larger:
   $S \subseteq \text{upperize } S \text{ vars}$ 
proof
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in S$ 
  moreover have  $\text{agrees vars } (\text{fst } x) (\text{fst } x)$ 
    using agrees-def by blast
  ultimately show  $x \in \text{upperize } S \text{ vars}$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) CollectI upperize-def)
qed

lemma pair-sat-upperize:
  assumes pair-sat  $S S' A$ 
  shows pair-sat ( $\text{upperize } S (\text{fvA } A)$ )  $S' A$ 
proof (rule pair-satI)
  fix  $s h s' h'$ 
  assume  $\text{asm0}: (s, h) \in \text{upperize } S (\text{fvA } A) \wedge (s', h') \in S'$ 
  then obtain  $s''$  where  $\text{agrees } (\text{fvA } A) s s'' (s'', h) \in S$ 
    using agrees-def[ $of \text{ fvA } A s s'$ ] in-upperize[ $of \text{ s h S fvA } A$ ]
    by (metis agrees-def)
  then show  $(s, h), (s', h') \models A$ 
    using agrees-same  $\text{asm0 assms pair-sat-def}$  by blast
qed

lemma in-close-var:
   $(s', h) \in \text{close-var } S x \longleftrightarrow (\exists s v. (s, h) \in S \wedge s' = s(x := v))$  (is  $?A \longleftrightarrow ?B$ )
proof
  show  $?A \implies ?B$ 
    using close-var-def[ $of \text{ S x}$ ] mem-Collect-eq prod.inject surjective-pairing
    by auto
  show  $?B \implies ?A$ 
    using close-var-def by fastforce
qed

lemma pair-sat-close-var:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{fvA } A$ 

```

```

and pair-sat  $S S' A$ 
shows pair-sat (close-var  $S x$ )  $S' A$ 
proof (rule pair-satI)
  fix  $s h s' h'$ 
  assume  $(s, h) \in \text{close-var } S x \wedge (s', h') \in S'$ 
  then show  $(s, h), (s', h') \models A$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) agrees-same agrees-update assms in-close-var pair-sat-def)
qed

lemma pair-sat-close-var-double:
  assumes pair-sat  $S S' A$ 
  and  $x \notin \text{fv} A$ 
  shows pair-sat (close-var  $S x$ ) (close-var  $S' x$ )  $A$ 
  using assms pair-sat-close-var pair-sat-comm by blast

lemma close-var-subset:
   $S \subseteq \text{close-var } S x$ 
proof
  fix  $y$  assume  $y \in S$ 
  then have  $\text{fst } y = (\text{fst } y)(x := (\text{fst } y x))$ 
  by simp
  then show  $y \in \text{close-var } S x$ 
  by (metis `y ∈ S` in-close-var prod.exhaustsel)
qed

lemma upper-fvs-close-vars:
  upper-fvs (close-var  $S x$ )  $(-\{x\})$ 
proof (rule upper-fvsi)
  fix  $s s' h$ 
  assume  $(s, h) \in \text{close-var } S x \wedge \text{agrees } (-\{x\}) s s'$ 
  have  $s(x := s' x) = s'$ 
  proof (rule ext)
    fix  $y$  show  $(s(x := s' x)) y = s' y$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ComplII `s, h ∈ close-var S x ∧ agrees (-{x}) s s'` agrees-def fun-upd-apply singleton-iff)
  qed
  then show  $(s', h) \in \text{close-var } S x$ 
  by (metis `s, h ∈ close-var S x ∧ agrees (-{x}) s s'` fun-upd-upd in-close-var)
qed

lemma sat-inv-agrees:
  assumes sat-inv  $s h \Gamma$ 
  and agrees (fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ ))  $s s'$ 
  shows sat-inv  $s' h \Gamma$ 
  by (meson agrees-same assms sat-comm sat-inv-def)

lemma abort-iff-fvC:
  assumes agrees (fvC  $C$ )  $s s'$ 
  shows aborts  $C (s, h) \longleftrightarrow \text{aborts } C (s', h)$ 

```

```

using aborts-agrees assms fst-conv snd-eqD
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) agrees-def)

lemma view-function-of-invE:
  assumes view-function-of-inv  $\Gamma$ 
    and sat-inv  $s h \Gamma$ 
    and  $(h' :: ('i, 'a) heap) \succeq h$ 
  shows view  $\Gamma$  (normalize (get-fh  $h$ )) = view  $\Gamma$  (normalize (get-fh  $h'$ ))
  using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) sat-inv-def view-function-of-inv-def by blast

```

4.3.2 Safety

```

fun no-abort :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont  $\Rightarrow$  cmd  $\Rightarrow$  store  $\Rightarrow$  ('i, 'a) heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  no-abort None  $C s h \longleftrightarrow (\forall hf H. Some H = Some h \oplus Some hf \wedge full-ownership (get-fh H) \wedge no-guard H$ 
   $\longrightarrow \neg \text{aborts } C (s, \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H)))$ 
  | no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ )  $C s h \longleftrightarrow (\forall hf H hj v0. Some H = Some h \oplus Some hj \oplus Some hf \wedge full-ownership (get-fh H) \wedge semi-consistent \Gamma v0 H \wedge sat-inv s hj \Gamma$ 
   $\longrightarrow \neg \text{aborts } C (s, \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H)))$ 

```

```

lemma no-abortI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge (hf :: ('i, 'a) heap) (H :: ('i, 'a) heap). Some H = Some h \oplus Some hf \wedge \Delta = None \wedge full-ownership (get-fh H) \wedge no-guard H \implies \neg \text{aborts } C (s, \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
    and  $\bigwedge H hf hj v0 \Gamma. \Delta = Some \Gamma \wedge Some H = Some h \oplus Some hj \oplus Some hf \wedge full-ownership (get-fh H) \wedge semi-consistent \Gamma v0 H \wedge sat-inv s hj \Gamma$ 
     $\implies \neg \text{aborts } C (s, \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
    shows no-abort  $\Delta C s (h :: ('i, 'a) heap)$ 
    apply (cases  $\Delta$ )
  using assms(1) no-abort.simps(1) apply blast
  using assms(2) no-abort.simps(2) by blast

```

```

lemma no-abortSomeI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge H hf hj v0. Some H = Some h \oplus Some hj \oplus Some hf \wedge full-ownership (get-fh H) \wedge semi-consistent \Gamma v0 H \wedge sat-inv s hj \Gamma$ 
   $\implies \neg \text{aborts } C (s, \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
  shows no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ )  $C s (h :: ('i, 'a) heap)$ 
  using assms no-abort.simps(2) by blast

```

```

lemma no-abortNoneI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge (hf :: ('i, 'a) heap) (H :: ('i, 'a) heap). Some H = Some h \oplus Some hf \wedge full-ownership (get-fh H) \wedge no-guard H \implies \neg \text{aborts } C (s, \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
  shows no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont)  $C s (h :: ('i, 'a) heap)$ 
  using assms no-abort.simps(1) by blast

```

```

lemma no-abortE:
  assumes no-abort  $\Delta C s h$ 

```

shows $\text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \implies \Delta = \text{None} \implies \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \implies \text{no-guard } H \implies \neg \text{aborts } C(s, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$
and $\Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \implies \text{sat-inv } s \text{ } hj \text{ } \Gamma \implies \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \implies \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma \text{ } v0 \text{ } H$
 $\implies \neg \text{aborts } C(s, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$
using *assms no-abort.simps(1)* **apply** *blast*
by (*metis assms no-abort.simps(2)*)

We define the notion of safety, central to the meaning of Hoare triples, as follows (Definition C.1 in the appendix).

```

fun safe :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('i, 'a, nat) cont  $\Rightarrow$  cmd  $\Rightarrow$  (store  $\times$  ('i, 'a) heap)  $\Rightarrow$  (store  $\times$  ('i, 'a) heap) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  safe 0 - - - -  $\longleftrightarrow$  True

```

$\mid \text{safe } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ None } C (s, h) S \longleftrightarrow (C = \text{Cskip} \longrightarrow (s, h) \in S) \wedge \text{no-abort } (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}) C s h \wedge \text{accesses } C s \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes } C s \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h) \wedge$
 $(\forall H \text{ hf } C' s' h'. \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H$
 $\wedge \text{red } C (s, \text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s', h')$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}) C' (s', h'') S)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mid \text{safe } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{Some } \Gamma) C (s, h) S \longleftrightarrow (C = \text{Cskip} \rightarrow (s, h) \in S) \wedge \text{no-abort} \\
& (\text{Some } \Gamma) C s h \wedge \text{accesses } C s \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes } C s \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h) \wedge \\
& (\forall H \text{ hf } C' s' h' h j v0. \text{ Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } h j \oplus \text{Some } h f \wedge \text{full-ownership} \\
& (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s h j \Gamma \\
& \wedge \text{red } C (s, \text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s', h') \\
& \longrightarrow (\exists h'' H' h j'. \text{ full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv} \\
& s' h j' \Gamma \\
& \wedge h' = \text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } h j' \oplus \text{Some } h f \wedge \\
& \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (s', h'') S)
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *safeNoneI*:

$\text{assumes } C = \text{Cskip} \implies (s, h) \in S$
 and no-abort None C s h
 and accesses C s ⊆ dom (fst h) ∧ writes C s ⊆ fpdom (fst h)
 and $\bigwedge H hf C' s' h'. \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership} (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \wedge \text{red } C (s, \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s', h')$
 $\implies (\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership} (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C' (s', h'') S)$
shows safe (Suc n) (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, h :: ('i, 'a) heap) S
using assms by auto

lemma *safeSomeI*:

assumes $C = Cskip \implies (s, h) \in S$
and no-abort (*Some* Γ) $C s h$

and accesses $C s \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes } C s \subseteq \text{fpdom}(\text{fst } h)$
and $\bigwedge H \text{hf } C' s' h' hj v0. \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge$
 $\text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H)$
 $\wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s hj \Gamma \wedge \text{red } C(s, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$
 $C'(s', h')$
 $\implies (\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv}$
 $s' hj' \Gamma$
 $\wedge h' = \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge$
 $\text{safe } n(\text{Some } \Gamma) C'(s', h'') S)$
shows $\text{safe}(\text{Suc } n)(\text{Some } \Gamma) C(s, h :: ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}) S$
using assms by auto

lemma $\text{safeI}:$
fixes $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}$
assumes $C = \text{Cskip} \implies (s, h) \in S$
and $\text{no-abort } \Delta C s h$
and $\text{accesses } C s \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes } C s \subseteq \text{fpdom}(\text{fst } h)$
and $\bigwedge H \text{hf } C' s' h'. \Delta = \text{None} \implies \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge$
 $\text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \wedge \text{red } C(s, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)) C'(s', h')$
 $\implies (\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n(\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}) C'(s', h'') S)$
and $\bigwedge H \text{hf } C' s' h' hj v0 \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H)$
 $\wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s hj \Gamma \wedge \text{red } C(s, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$
 $C'(s', h')$
 $\implies (\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv}$
 $s' hj' \Gamma$
 $\wedge h' = \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n(\text{Some } \Gamma) C'(s', h'') S)$
shows $\text{safe}(\text{Suc } n) \Delta C(s, h :: ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}) S$
proof (cases Δ)
case None
then show $?thesis$
using assms by auto
next
case ($\text{Some } \Gamma$)
then show $?thesis$ **using** safeSomeI assms
by simp
qed

lemma $\text{safeSomeAltI}:$
assumes $C = \text{Cskip} \implies (s, h) \in S$
and $\bigwedge H \text{hf } hj v0. \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership}$
 $(\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s hj \Gamma$
 $\implies \neg \text{aborts } C(s, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$
and $\bigwedge H \text{hf } C' s' h' hj v0. \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge$

```

full-ownership (get-fh H)
  ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H ∧ sat-inv s hj Γ ⇒ red C (s, normalize (get-fh
H)) C' (s', h')
  ⇒ (exists h'' H' hj'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧ sat-inv
s' hj' Γ
  ∧ h' = normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧
safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') S
  and accesses C s ⊆ dom (fst h) ∧ writes C s ⊆ fpdom (fst h)
  shows safe (Suc n) (Some Γ) C (s, h :: ('i, 'a) heap) S
  using assms(1)
proof (rule safeSomeI)
  show no-abort (Some Γ) C s h using assms(2) no-abortSomeI by blast
  show (exists H hf C' s' h' hj v0.
    Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H)
    ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H ∧ sat-inv s hj Γ ∧ red C (s, FractionalHeap.normalize
    (get-fh H)) C' (s', h') ⇒
    (exists h'' H' hj'.
      full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
      semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧ sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize
      (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C'
      (s', h'') S)
    using assms(3) by blast
qed (auto simp add: assms)

lemma safeAccessesE:
  assumes safe (Suc n) Δ C σ S
  shows accesses C (fst σ) ⊆ dom (fst (snd σ)) ∧ writes C (fst σ) ⊆ fpdom (fst
(snd σ))
  apply (cases Δ)
  using assms safe.simps(2)[of n C fst σ snd σ S] safe.simps(3)[of n - C fst σ snd
σ S] by simp-all

lemma safeSomeE:
  assumes safe (Suc n) (Some Γ) C (s, h :: ('i, 'a) heap) S
  shows C = Cskip ⇒ (s, h) ∈ S
  and no-abort (Some Γ) C s h
  and Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf ⇒ full-ownership (get-fh H)
  ⇒ semi-consistent Γ v0 H ⇒ sat-inv s hj Γ ⇒ red C (s, normalize
  (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
  ⇒ (exists h'' H' hj'.
    full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧ sat-inv
s' hj' Γ
    ∧ h' = normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧
safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') S)
  using assms safe.simps(3)[of n Γ C s h S] by blast+

lemma safeNoneE:
  assumes safe (Suc n) (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, h :: ('i, 'a) heap) S
  shows C = Cskip ⇒ (s, h) ∈ S
  and no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C s h

```

and $\text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \implies \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \implies \text{no-guard}$
 $H \implies \text{red } C(s, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)) C'(s', h')$
 $\implies (\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n(\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}) C'(s', h'') S)$
using $\text{assms safe.simps}(2)[\text{of } n C s h S]$ **by** blast+

lemma $\text{safeNoneE-bis}:$
fixes $\text{no-cont} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}$
assumes $\text{safe}(\text{Suc } n) \text{ no-cont } C(s, h :: ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}) S$
and $\text{no-cont} = \text{None}$
shows $C = \text{Cskip} \implies (s, h) \in S$
and $\text{no-abort no-cont } C s h$
and $\text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \implies \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \implies \text{no-guard}$
 $H \implies \text{red } C(s, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)) C'(s', h')$
 $\implies (\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n \text{ no-cont } C'(s', h'') S)$
using $\text{assms safe.simps}(2)[\text{of } n C s h S]$ **by** blast+

4.3.3 Useful results about safety

lemma $\text{no-abort-larger}:$
assumes $h' \succeq h$
and $\text{no-abort } \Gamma C s h$
shows $\text{no-abort } \Gamma C s h'$
proof (rule no-abortI)
show $\bigwedge \text{hf } H. \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \Gamma = \text{None} \wedge \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \implies \neg \text{aborts } C(s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$
using $\text{assms}(1) \text{ assms}(2) \text{ larger-def larger-trans no-abort.simps}(1)$ **by** blast
show $\bigwedge H \text{ hf } hj v0 \Gamma'.$
 $\Gamma = \text{Some } \Gamma' \wedge \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h' \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma' v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s hj \Gamma' \implies$
 $\neg \text{aborts } C(s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$
proof –
fix $H \text{ hf } hj v0 \Gamma'$
assume $\text{asm0}: \Gamma = \text{Some } \Gamma' \wedge \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h' \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma' v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s hj \Gamma'$
moreover obtain r **where** $\text{Some } h' = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } r$
using $\text{assms}(1) \text{ larger-def}$ **by** blast
then obtain hf' **where** $\text{Some } hf' = \text{Some } hf \oplus \text{Some } r$
by ($\text{metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)}$) **calculation** $\text{not-None-eq plus.simps}(1)$
 $\text{plus-asso plus-comm})$
then have $\text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf'$
by ($\text{metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)}$) $\langle \text{Some } h' = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } r \rangle$ **calculation**
 $\text{plus-asso plus-comm})$
then show $\neg \text{aborts } C(s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$
using $\text{assms}(2) \text{ calculation no-abortE}(2)$ **by** blast
qed
qed

```

lemma safe-larger-set-aux:
  fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont$ 
  assumes safe  $n \Delta C (s, h) S$ 
    and  $S \subseteq S'$ 
  shows safe  $n \Delta C (s, h) S'$ 
  using assms
  proof (induct n arbitrary: s h C)
    case (Suc n)
    show ?case
      proof (rule safeI)
        show  $C = Cskip \implies (s, h) \in S'$ 
          by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Suc.prems(1) assms(2) not-Some-eq
safeNoneE-bis(1) safeSomeE(1) subset-iff)
        show no-abort  $\Delta C s h$ 
          apply (cases  $\Delta$ )
          using Suc.prems(1) safeNoneE-bis(2) apply blast
          using Suc.prems(1) safeSomeE(2) by blast

        show  $\bigwedge H hf C' s' h'.$ 
         $\Delta = None \implies$ 
        Some  $H = Some h \oplus Some hf \wedge full-ownership (get-fh H) \wedge no-guard H \wedge$ 
red  $C (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h') \implies$ 
 $\exists h'' H'. full-ownership (get-fh H') \wedge no-guard H' \wedge h' = Fractional-$ 
 $Heap.normalize (get-fh H') \wedge Some H' = Some h'' \oplus Some hf \wedge safe n (None$ 
 $:: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') S'$ 
        using Suc.hyps Suc.prems(1) assms(2) safeNoneE(3)[of n C s h] by blast

      show  $\bigwedge H hf C' s' h' hj v0 \Gamma.$ 
       $\Delta = Some \Gamma \implies$ 
      Some  $H = Some h \oplus Some hj \oplus Some hf \wedge$ 
full-ownership (get-fh H)  $\wedge semi-consistent \Gamma v0 H \wedge sat-inv s hj \Gamma \wedge red C$ 
(s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))  $C' (s', h') \implies$ 
 $\exists h'' H' hj'.$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
  semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H' \wedge sat-inv s' hj' \Gamma \wedge h' = FractionalHeap.normalize$ 
(get-fh H')  $\wedge Some H' = Some h'' \oplus Some hj' \oplus Some hf \wedge safe n (Some \Gamma) C'$ 
(s', h'') S'
      proof -
        fix  $H hf C' s' h' hj v0 \Gamma$ 
        assume asm0:  $\Delta = Some \Gamma$  Some  $H = Some h \oplus Some hj \oplus Some hf \wedge$ 
full-ownership (get-fh H)  $\wedge semi-consistent \Gamma v0 H \wedge sat-inv s hj \Gamma \wedge red C$ 
(s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))  $C' (s', h')$ 
        then show  $\exists h'' H' hj'.$  full-ownership (get-fh H')  $\wedge semi-consistent \Gamma v0 H'$ 
 $\wedge sat-inv s' hj' \Gamma$ 
 $\wedge h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') \wedge Some H' = Some h'' \oplus Some$ 
h'  $\oplus Some hf \wedge safe n (Some \Gamma) C' (s', h'') S'$ 
        using safeSomeE(3)[of n  $\Gamma C s h S$ ] Suc.hyps Suc.prems(1) assms(2) by
blast

```

```

qed
show accesses C s ⊆ dom (fst h) ∧ writes C s ⊆ fpdom (fst h)
  by (metis Suc.prems(1) fst-conv safeAccessesE snd-conv)
qed
qed (simp)

lemma safe-larger-set:
assumes safe n Δ C σ S
  and S ⊆ S'
shows safe n Δ C σ S'
using assms safe-larger-set-aux[of n Δ C fst σ snd σ S S']
by auto

lemma safe-smaller-aux:
fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
assumes m ≤ n
  and safe n Δ C (s, h) S
shows safe m Δ C (s, h) S
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: s h C m)
case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (cases m)
case (Suc k)
then have k ≤ n
  using Suc.prems(1) by fastforce
moreover have safe (Suc k) Δ C (s, h) S
proof (rule safeI)
show C = Cskip ⇒ (s, h) ∈ S
  using Suc.prems(2) safe.elims(2) by blast
show no-abort Δ C s h
  apply (cases Δ)
  using Suc.prems(2) safeNoneE(2) apply blast
  using Suc.prems(2) safeSomeE(2) by blast
show ⋀H hf C' s' h'.
Δ = None ⇒
  Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ no-guard H ∧
  red C (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h') ⇒
    ∃h'' H'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧ h' = Fractional-
    Heap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe k (None
    :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') S
  proof -
    fix H hf C' s' h'
    assume asm0: Δ = None Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership
      (get-fh H) ∧ no-guard H ∧ red C (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s',
      h')
    then obtain h'' H' where full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧ h'
      = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe
      n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') S
  
```

```

using Suc.prems(2) safeNoneE(3) by blast
  then show  $\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } k$   

 $(\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C'(s', h'') S$ 
    using Suc.hyps asm0(1) calculation by blast
qed
show accesses C s  $\subseteq$  dom (fst h)  $\wedge$  writes C s  $\subseteq$  fpdom (fst h)
  by (metis Suc.prems(2) fst-eqD safeAccessesE snd-eqD)
fix H hf C' s' h' hj v0 Γ
assume asm0:  $\Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \text{ Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge$   

 $\text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s \ hj \ \Gamma \wedge \text{red } C$   

 $(s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)) C'(s', h')$ 
then show  $\exists h'' H' hj'.$   

 $\text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge$   

 $\text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv } s' \ hj' \ \Gamma \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}$   

 $(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } k (\text{Some } \Gamma) C'$   

 $(s', h'') S$ 
  using Suc.prems(2) safeSomeE(3)[of n Γ C s h S H hj hf v0 C' s' h'']
Suc.hyps
  using calculation by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using Suc by auto
qed (simp)
qed (simp)

lemma safe-smaller:
assumes m ≤ n
  and safe n Δ C σ S
shows safe m Δ C σ S
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) safe-smaller-aux surj-pair)

```

If it is safe to execute n steps of C in the state (s0, h), then it is also safe to execute it in the state (s1, h), provided that s0 and s1 agree on the values of variables that are free in C, the invariant, and the postcondition.

```

lemma safe-free-vars-aux:
fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
assumes safe n Δ C (s0, h) S
  and agrees (fvC C ∪ vars) s0 s1
  and upper-fvs S vars
  and  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{agrees}(\text{fvA } (\text{invariant } \Gamma)) s0 s1$ 
shows safe n Δ C (s1, h) S
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: s0 h s1 C)
  case (Suc n)
  show ?case
  proof (rule safeI)
    show C = Cskip  $\implies (s1, h) \in S$ 
    by (metis Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) agrees-union assms(3) not-Some-eq)
  
```

```

safeNoneE-bis(1) safeSomeE(1) upper-fvs-def)
  show no-abort  $\Delta$  C s1 h
  proof (rule no-abortI)
    show  $\bigwedge_{hf} H$ . Some  $H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \Delta = \text{None} \wedge \text{full-ownership}$ 
 $(\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \implies \neg \text{aborts } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
    using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) abort-iff-fvC agrees-union no-abortE(1)
safeNoneE(2) by blast
  show  $\bigwedge_{hf} H$  hf hj v0  $\Gamma$ .  $\Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \wedge \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some }$ 
 $hf \wedge \text{full-ownership} (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s1 hj \Gamma \implies$ 
 $\neg \text{aborts } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
  proof -
    fix H hf hj v0  $\Gamma$ 
    assume asm0:  $\Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \wedge \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf$ 
 $\wedge \text{full-ownership} (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s1 hj \Gamma$ 
    then have sat-inv s0 hj  $\Gamma$ 
      using Suc.prems(4) agrees-def sat-inv-agrees
      by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting))
    then have  $\neg \text{aborts } C (s0, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
      using Suc.prems(1) asm0 no-abort.simps(2) safeSomeE(2) by blast
    then show  $\neg \text{aborts } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
      using Suc.prems(2) abort-iff-fvC agrees-union by blast
  qed
qed
show  $\bigwedge_{hf} H$  hf C' s1' h'.
 $\Delta = \text{None} \implies$ 
 $\text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership} (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \wedge$ 
 $\text{red } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s1', h') \implies$ 
 $\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership} (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{Fractional-}$ 
 $\text{Heap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s1', h'') S$ 
  proof -
    fix H hf C' s1' h'
    assume asm0:  $\Delta = \text{None}$ 
      Some  $H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership} (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \wedge$ 
 $\text{red } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s1', h')$ 
      then obtain s0' where red C (s0, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s0', h') agrees (fvC C  $\cup$  vars) s1' s0'
        using red-agrees[of C (s1, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s1', h') fvC C  $\cup$  vars]
        using Suc.prems(2) agrees-def fst-conv snd-conv sup-ge1
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
      then obtain h'' H' where
        r: full-ownership (get-fh H')  $\wedge$  no-guard H'  $\wedge$  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s0', h'') S
        using Suc.prems(1) asm0(1) asm0(2) safeNoneE(3) by blast
      then have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s1', h'') S
        using Suc.hyps[of C' s0' h'' s1']
        using agrees (fvC C  $\cup$  vars) s1' s0' agrees-union asm0(1) asm0(2)

```

```

assms(3) option.distinct(1) red-properties(1)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) agrees-def subset-iff)
  then show  $\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C' (s1', h'') S$ 
    using r by blast
  qed
  show accesses C s1  $\subseteq \text{dom}(\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes } C s1 \subseteq \text{fpdom}(\text{fst } h)$ 
    by (metis Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) accesses-agrees writes-agrees agrees-union
        fst-conv safeAccessesE snd-conv)
  fix H hf C' s1' h' hj v0  $\Gamma$ 
  assume asm0:  $\Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma$ 
  Some H = Some h  $\oplus$  Some hj  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  full-ownership(get-fh H)  $\wedge$ 
  semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H  $\wedge$  sat-inv s1 hj  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$  red C (s1, normalize(get-fh H)) C'
  (s1', h')
  then obtain s0' where red C (s0, FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H)) C'
  (s0', h') agrees (fvC C  $\cup$  vars  $\cup$  fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ )) s1' s0'
    using red-agrees[of C (s1, FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H)) C' (s1', h')]
    fvC C  $\cup$  vars  $\cup$  fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ )
    using Suc.prems(2) Suc.prems(4) agrees-comm agrees-union fst-conv snd-conv
    sup-assoc sup-ge1
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  moreover have sat-inv s0 hj  $\Gamma$ 
    using Suc.prems(4) agrees-comm asm0(1) asm0(2) sat-inv-agrees by blast
  ultimately obtain h'' H' hj' where r: full-ownership(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  semi-consistent
   $\Gamma$  v0 H'  $\wedge$  sat-inv s0' hj'  $\Gamma$ 
     $\wedge$  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some hj'
     $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C' (s0', h'') S
    using Suc.prems(1) asm0(1) asm0(2) safeSomeE(3)[of n  $\Gamma$  C s0 h S H hj
    hf]
    by blast
  then have sat-inv s1' hj'  $\Gamma$ 
    using ⟨agrees (fvC C  $\cup$  vars  $\cup$  fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ )) s1' s0'⟩ agrees-comm
    agrees-union sat-inv-agrees by blast
  moreover have safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C' (s1', h'') S
    using Suc.hyps[of C' s0' h'' s1'] ⟨agrees (fvC C  $\cup$  vars  $\cup$  fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ ))
    s1' s0'⟩ ⟨red C (s0, FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H)) C' (s0', h')⟩
    agrees-def agrees-union asm0(1) assms(3) option.inject r red-properties
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) subset-Un-eq)
  ultimately show  $\exists h'' H' hj'.$ 
    full-ownership(get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
    semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H'  $\wedge$ 
    sat-inv s1' hj'  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H'
    = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some hj'  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C' (s1', h'') S
    using r by blast
  qed
qed (simp)

```

```

lemma safe-free-vars-None:
  assumes safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, h) S
    and agrees (fvC C ∪ vars) s s'
    and upper-fvs S vars
  shows safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s', h) S
  by (meson assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) not-Some-eq safe-free-vars-aux)

lemma safe-free-vars-Some:
  assumes safe n (Some Γ) C (s, h) S
    and agrees (fvC C ∪ vars ∪ fvA (invariant Γ)) s s'
    and upper-fvs S vars
  shows safe n (Some Γ) C (s', h) S
  by (metis agrees-union assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) option.inject safe-free-vars-aux)

lemma safe-free-vars:
  fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
  assumes safe n Δ C (s, h) S
    and agrees (fvC C ∪ vars) s s'
    and upper-fvs S vars
    and ⋀Γ. Δ = Some Γ ==> agrees (fvA (invariant Γ)) s s'
  shows safe n Δ C (s', h) S
  proof (cases Δ)
    case None
    then show ?thesis
      using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) safe-free-vars-None by blast
  next
    case (Some Γ)
    then show ?thesis
      using agrees-union assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) safe-free-vars-Some
    by blast
  qed

```

```

lemma restrict-safe-to-bounded:
  assumes safe n Δ C (s, h) S
    and bounded h
  shows safe n Δ C (s, h) (Set.filter (bounded ∘ snd) S)
  using assms
  proof (induct n arbitrary: s h C)
    case (Suc n)
    show ?case
      proof (rule safeI)
        have C = Cskip ==> (s, h) ∈ S
        using Suc.prem(1) safe.elims(2) by blast
        then show C = Cskip ==> (s, h) ∈ Set.filter (bounded ∘ snd) S
          by (simp add: Suc.prem(2))
        show no-abort Δ C s h using Suc.prem(1) safe.elims(2) by blast
        show accesses C s ⊆ dom (fst h) ∧ writes C s ⊆ fpdom (fst h)
          by (metis Suc.prem(1) fst-conv safeAccessesE snd-conv)

```

```

fix H hf C' s' h'
assume asm0:  $\Delta = \text{None} \vee \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \wedge \text{red } C(s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)) C'(s', h')$ 
then obtain h'' H' where full-ownership(get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
no-guard H'  $\wedge$ 
h' = FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some
hf  $\wedge$  safe n None C'(s', h'') S
using Suc.preds(1) safeNoneE(3) by blast
then have safe n None C'(s', h'') (Set.filter(bounded o snd) S)
using Suc(1)[of C' s' h''] apply simp
using <[safe n  $\Delta$  C'(s', h'') S; bounded h''] => safe n  $\Delta$  C'(s', h'')
(Set.filter(bounded o snd) S) <full-ownership(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  no-guard H'  $\wedge$  h' =
FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe
n None C'(s', h'') S> asm0(1) bounded-smaller-sum full-ownership-then-bounded
by blast
then show  $\exists h'' H'.$ 
full-ownership(get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
no-guard H'  $\wedge$ 
h' = FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some
hf  $\wedge$  safe n None C'(s', h'') (Set.filter(bounded o snd) S)
using <full-ownership(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  no-guard H'  $\wedge$  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize
(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n None C'(s', h'') S> by
blast
next
fix H hf C' s' h' hj v0  $\Gamma$ 
assume asm0:  $\Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \vee \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge$ 
full-ownership(get-fh H)  $\wedge$  semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H  $\wedge$  sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$  red C
(s, FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H)) C'(s', h')
then obtain h'' H' hj' where full-ownership(get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H'  $\wedge$ 
sat-inv s' hj'  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$ 
h' = FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some
hj'  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C'(s', h'') S
using Suc.preds(1) safeSomeE(3) by blast
then have safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C'(s', h'') (Set.filter(bounded o snd) S)
using Suc(1)[of C' s' h''] apply simp
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) <[safe n  $\Delta$  C'(s', h'') S; bounded h''] =>
safe n  $\Delta$  C'(s', h'') (Set.filter(bounded o snd) S) <full-ownership(get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H'  $\wedge$  sat-inv s' hj'  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh
H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some hj'  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C'(s', h'')
S> asm0(1) bounded-smaller full-ownership-then-bounded larger3 plus-comm)
then show  $\exists h'' H' hj'.$ 
full-ownership(get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H'  $\wedge$ 
sat-inv s' hj'  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$ 
h' = FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some
hj'  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C'(s', h'') (Set.filter(bounded o snd) S)

```

```

using ‹full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧ sat-inv s' hj' Γ
∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj'
⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') S› by blast

```

```

qed
qed (simp)

```

4.3.4 Hoare triples

The following defines when Hoare triples are valid, based on Definition 4.1.

```

definition hoare-triple-valid :: "('i, 'a, nat) cont ⇒ ('i, 'a, nat) assertion ⇒ cmd
⇒ ('i, 'a, nat) assertion ⇒ bool
(‐‐ |= {‐} - {‐} [51,0,0] 81) where
hoare-triple-valid Γ P C Q ←→ (‐‐ Σ. (‐‐ σ n. σ, σ |= P ∧ bounded (snd σ) →
safe n Γ C σ (Σ σ)) ∧
(‐‐ σ σ'. σ, σ' |= P → pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') Q))

```

```

lemma hoare-triple-validI:
assumes ‐‐ s h n. (s, h), (s, h) |= P ⇒ safe n Γ C (s, h) (Σ (s, h))
and ‐‐ s h s' h'. (s, h), (s', h') |= P ⇒ pair-sat (Σ (s, h)) (Σ (s', h')) Q
shows hoare-triple-valid Γ P C Q
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) hoare-triple-valid-def prod.collapse)

```

```

lemma hoare-triple-validI-bounded:
assumes ‐‐ s h n. (s, h), (s, h) |= P ⇒ bounded h ⇒ safe n Γ C (s, h) (Σ (s,
h))
and ‐‐ s h s' h'. (s, h), (s', h') |= P ⇒ pair-sat (Σ (s, h)) (Σ (s', h')) Q
shows hoare-triple-valid Γ P C Q
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) hoare-triple-valid-def prod.collapse)

```

```

lemma hoare-triple-valid-smallerI:
assumes ‐‐ σ n. σ, σ |= P ⇒ safe n Γ C σ (Σ σ)
and ‐‐ σ σ'. σ, σ' |= P ⇒ pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') Q
shows hoare-triple-valid Γ P C Q
using assms hoare-triple-valid-def by metis

```

```

lemma hoare-triple-valid-smallerI-bounded:
assumes ‐‐ σ n. σ, σ |= P ⇒ bounded (snd σ) ⇒ safe n Γ C σ (Σ σ)
and ‐‐ σ σ'. σ, σ' |= P ⇒ pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') Q
shows hoare-triple-valid Γ P C Q
using assms hoare-triple-valid-def by metis

```

```

lemma hoare-triple-validE:
assumes hoare-triple-valid Γ P C Q
shows ‐‐ Σ. (‐‐ σ n. σ, σ |= P ∧ bounded (snd σ) → safe n Γ C σ (Σ σ)) ∧
(‐‐ σ σ'. σ, σ' |= P → pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') Q)
using assms hoare-triple-valid-def by blast

```

```

lemma hoare-triple-valid-simplerE:

```

```

assumes hoare-triple-valid  $\Gamma P C Q$ 
  and  $\sigma, \sigma' \models P$ 
  and bounded (snd  $\sigma$ )
  and bounded (snd  $\sigma'$ )
shows  $\exists S S'. \text{safe } n \Gamma C \sigma S \wedge \text{safe } n \Gamma C \sigma' S' \wedge \text{pair-sat } S S' Q$ 
by (meson always-sat-refl assms hoare-triple-validE sat-comm)

end

```

4.4 Soundness of the Rules

In this file, we prove that each rule of the logic is sound. We do this by assuming that the Hoare triples in the premise of the rule hold semantically (as defined in Safety.thy), and then proving that the Hoare triple in the conclusion also holds semantically. We prove soundness of the logic (with some corollaries) at the end of the file.

For each rule, we first prove an important lemma about the safety of the statement (i.e., under which conditions is executing this statement safe, and what conditions will hold about the set of states that can be reached by executing this statement). We then use this lemma to prove the rule of the logic, by constructing the set of states that will be reached, proving that safety holds, and proving that the final set of states satisfies the postcondition.

```

theory Soundness
  imports Safety AbstractCommutativity
begin

```

4.4.1 Skip

```

lemma safe-skip:
  fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$ 
  assumes  $(s, h) \in S$ 
  shows safe  $n \Delta Cskip (s, h) S$ 
  using assms
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases  $\Delta$ )
    case None
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Suc.prems)
  next
    case (Some a)
    then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms)
  qed
qed (simp)

```

theorem *rule-skip*:

hoare-triple-valid $\Gamma P \text{ Cskip } P$

proof (*rule hoare-triple-validI*)

let $\text{?}\Sigma = \lambda\sigma. \{\sigma\}$

show $\bigwedge s h n. (s, h), (s, h) \models P \implies \text{safe } n \Gamma \text{ Cskip } (s, h) (\text{?}\Sigma (s, h))$

by (*simp add: safe-skip*)

show $\bigwedge s h s' h'. (s, h), (s', h') \models P \implies \text{pair-sat } \{(s, h)\} \{(s', h')\} P$

by (*metis pair-sat-smallerI singleton-iff*)

qed

4.4.2 Assign

inductive-cases *red-assign-cases*: $\text{red } (\text{Cassign } x E) \sigma C' \sigma'$
inductive-cases *aborts-assign-cases*: $\text{aborts } (\text{Cassign } x E) \sigma$

lemma *safe-assign*:

fixes $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$

assumes $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{fvA } (\text{invariant } \Gamma)$

shows $\text{safe } m \Delta (\text{Cassign } x E) (s, h) \{ (s(x := \text{edenot } E s), h) \}$

proof (*induct m*)

case (*Suc n*)

show $\text{safe } (\text{Suc } n) \Delta (\text{Cassign } x E) (s, h) \{ (s(x := \text{edenot } E s), h) \}$

proof (*rule safeI*)

show $\text{no-abort } \Delta (\text{Cassign } x E) s h$

using *aborts-assign-cases no-abortI* **by** *blast*

show $\bigwedge H hf C' s' h'.$

$\Delta = \text{None} \implies$

$\text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \wedge$

$\text{red } (\text{Cassign } x E) (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s', h') \implies$

$\exists h'' H'.$

$\text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge$

$\text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' =$

$\text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n \text{ None } C' (s', h'') \{ (s(x := \text{edenot } E s), h) \}$

by (*metis Pair-inject insertI1 red-assign-cases safe-skip*)

show $\text{accesses } (\text{Cassign } x E) s \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes } (\text{Cassign } x E) s \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h)$

by *simp*

fix $H hf C' s' h' hj v0 \Gamma$

assume $\text{asm0: } \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \text{ Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge$

$\text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s hj \Gamma \wedge \text{red } (\text{Cassign } x E) (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s', h')$

then have $\text{sat-inv } (s(x := \text{edenot } E s)) hj \Gamma$

by (*meson agrees-update assms sat-inv-agrees*)

then show $\exists h'' H' hj'. \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv } s' hj' \Gamma \wedge$

$h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some }$

```

 $hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (s', h'') \{(s(x := \text{edenot } E s), h)\}$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) asm0(2) insertI1 old.prod.inject red-assign-cases
  safe-skip)
  qed (simp)
qed (simp)

```

theorem assign-rule:

```

fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{fv}A \text{ (invariant } \Gamma)$ 
  and collect-existentials  $P \cap \text{fv}E = \{\}$ 
shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta (\text{sub}A x E P) (\text{Cassign } x E) P$ 
proof -
  define  $\Sigma :: \text{store} \times ('i, 'a) \text{ heap} \Rightarrow (\text{store} \times ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}) \text{ set}$  where  $\Sigma = (\lambda \sigma.$ 
 $\{((\text{fst } \sigma)(x := \text{edenot } E (\text{fst } \sigma)), \text{snd } \sigma)\})$ 

  show ?thesis
  proof (rule hoare-triple-validI)
    show  $\bigwedge s h n. (s, h), (s, h) \models \text{sub}A x E P \implies \text{safe } n \Delta (\text{Cassign } x E) (s, h)$ 
 $(\Sigma (s, h))$ 
    using assms safe-assign by (metis  $\Sigma$ -def fst-eqD snd-eqD)
    show  $\bigwedge s h s' h'. (s, h), (s', h') \models \text{sub}A x E P \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma (s, h)) (\Sigma (s', h')) P$ 
    by (metis assms(2)  $\Sigma$ -def fst-conv pair-sat-smallerI singleton-iff snd-conv
    subA-assign)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

4.4.3 Alloc

inductive-cases red-alloc-cases: red ($\text{Calloc } x E$) σ $C' \sigma'$
inductive-cases aborts-alloc-cases: aborts ($\text{Calloc } x E$) σ

lemma safe-new-None:

```

safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) ( $\text{Calloc } x E$ ) (s, (Map.empty, gs, gu)) \{ (s(x := a), (Map.empty(a \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu)) | a. True \}
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n)
  show ?case
  proof (rule safeNoneI)
    show  $\text{Calloc } x E = \text{Cskip} \implies (s, \text{Map.empty}, gs, gu) \in \{(s(x := a), [a \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)], gs, gu) | a. \text{True}\}$  by simp
    show no-abort None ( $\text{Calloc } x E$ ) s (Map.empty, gs, gu)
    using aborts-alloc-cases no-abort.simps(1) by blast
    fix H hf C' s' h'
    assume asm0: Some H = Some (Map.empty, gs, gu)  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$ 
      full-ownership (get-fh H)  $\wedge$  no-guard H  $\wedge$  red ( $\text{Calloc } x E$ ) (s, Fractional-Heap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')

```

```

show  $\exists h'' H'.$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh  $H'$ )  $\wedge$ 
  no-guard  $H' \wedge$ 
   $h' = FractionalHeap.normalize\ (get-fh\ H')$   $\wedge$ 
   $Some\ H' = Some\ h'' \oplus Some\ hf \wedge safe\ n\ (None :: ('i, 'a, nat)\ cont)\ C'$ 
 $(s', h'') \{(s(x := a), [a \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s)], gs, gu) | a. True\}$ 
proof (rule red-alloc-cases)
  show red (Calloc  $x E$ )  $(s, FractionalHeap.normalize\ (get-fh\ H))\ C' (s', h')$ 
    using asm0 by blast
    fix  $sa\ h\ v$ 
    assume asm1:  $(s, FractionalHeap.normalize\ (get-fh\ H)) = (sa, h)\ C' = Cskip$ 
 $(s', h') = (sa(x := v), h(v \mapsto edenot E sa))$ 
 $v \notin dom\ h$ 
    then have  $v \notin dom\ (get-fh\ H)$ 
      by (simp add: dom-normalize)
    then have  $v \notin dom\ (get-fh\ hf)$ 
      by (metis asm0 fst-conv get-fh.simps no-guard-and-no-heap no-guard-then-smaller-same
no-guards-remove plus-comm)
  moreover have (Map.empty( $v \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E sa)$ ),  $gs, gu\ \#\# hf$ )
  proof (rule compatibleI)
    show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh  $([v \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu)$ )
 $(get-fh\ hf)$ 
    proof (rule compatible-fract-heapsI)
      fix  $l\ p\ p'$ 
      assume asm0:  $get-fh\ ([v \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu)\ l = Some\ p \wedge$ 
 $get-fh\ hf\ l = Some\ p'$ 
      then show pgte pwrite (padd (fst  $p$ ) (fst  $p'$ ))
        by (metis calculation domIff fst-conv fun-upd-other get-fh.elims option.distinct(1))
      show snd p = snd p'
        by (metis asm0 calculation domIff fst-conv fun-upd-other get-fh.elims option.distinct(1))
      qed
      show  $\bigwedge k. get-gu\ ([v \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu)\ k = None \vee get-gu\ hf\ k = None$ 
        by (metis asm0 compatible-def compatible-eq get-gu.simps option.discI snd-conv)
      show  $\bigwedge p\ p'. get-gs\ ([v \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu) = Some\ p \wedge get-gs\ hf = Some\ p' \implies pgte\ pwrite\ (padd\ (fst\ p)\ (fst\ p'))$ 
        by (metis asm0 no-guard-def no-guard-then-smaller-same option.simps(3)
plus-comm)
      qed
      then obtain  $H'$  where  $Some\ H' = Some\ (Map.empty\ (v \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E sa)), gs, gu) \oplus Some\ hf$ 
        by auto
      moreover have  $(s', (Map.empty\ (v \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E sa)), gs, gu)) \in \{(s(x := a), [a \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s)], gs, gu) | a. True\}$ 

```

```

using asm1(1) asm1(3) by blast
then have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', (Map.empty(v ↦ (pwrite,
edenot E sa)), gs, gu)) {((s(x := a), [a ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)], gs, gu) |a. True}
by (simp add: asm1(2) safe-skip)
moreover have full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧ h' = Fractional-
Heap.normalize (get-fh H')
proof -
have full-ownership (get-fh H')
proof (rule full-ownershipI)
fix l p
assume get-fh H' l = Some p
show fst p = pwrite
proof (cases l = v)
case True
then have get-fh hf l = None
using calculation(1) by blast
then have get-fh H' l = (Map.empty(v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa))) l
by (metis calculation(2) fst-conv get-fh.simps sum-second-none-get-fh)
then show ?thesis
using True ⟨get-fh H' l = Some p⟩ by fastforce
next
case False
then have get-fh ([v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu) l = None
by simp
then show fst p = pwrite
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ⟨get-fh H' l = Some p⟩ asm0 calculation(2)
fst-conv full-ownership-def get-fh.elims sum-first-none-get-fh)
qed
qed
moreover have no-guard H'
proof -
have no-guard hf
by (metis asm0 no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm)
moreover have no-guard (Map.empty, gs, gu)
using asm0 no-guard-then-smaller-same by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis ⟨Some H' = Some ([v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs,
gu) ⊕ Some hf⟩ decompose-heap-triple no-guard-remove(1) no-guard-remove(2)
no-guards-remove remove-guards-def snd-conv)
qed
moreover have h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')
proof (rule ext)
fix l show h' l = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') l
proof (cases l = v)
case True
then have get-fh (Map.empty(v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)), gs, gu) l =
Some (pwrite, edenot E sa)
by auto
then have get-fh hf l = None

```

```

using True <v ∉ dom (get-fh hf)> by force
then show h' l = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') l
  apply (cases h' l)
  using True asm1(3) apply auto[1]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) FractionalHeap.normalize-def True <Some
H' = Some ([v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some hf> <get-fh ([v ↦ (pwrite,
edenot E sa)], gs, gu) l = Some (pwrite, edenot E sa)> apply-opt.simps(2) asm1(3)
fun-upd-same snd-conv sum-second-none-get-fh)
next
  case False
    then have get-fh (Map.empty(v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)), gs, gu) l =
None
    by simp
    then have get-fh H' l = get-fh hf l
      using <Some H' = Some ([v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some
hf> sum-first-none-get-fh by blast
      moreover have get-fh H l = get-fh hf l
      by (metis asm0 fst-conv get-fh.elims plus-comm sum-second-none-get-fh)
      ultimately show ?thesis
      proof (cases get-fh hf l)
        case None
        then show ?thesis
        by (metis False FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(1) <get-fh H l = get-fh
hf l> <get-fh H' l = get-fh hf l> asm1(1) asm1(3) fun-upd-apply old.prod.inject)
      next
        case (Some f)
        then show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) False FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(1)
FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2) <get-fh H l = get-fh hf l> <get-fh H' l = get-fh hf
l> asm1(1) asm1(3) domD not-in-dom fun-upd-apply old.prod.inject)
        qed
      qed
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
    by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ∃ h'' H'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧
h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some
hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C'(s', h'') { (s(x := a), [a ↦ (pwrite, edenot
E s)], gs, gu) | a. True}
    by blast
  qed
  qed (simp)
qed (simp)

lemma safe-new-Some:
assumes x ∉ fvA (invariant Γ)
  and view-function-of-inv Γ
shows safe n (Some Γ) (Calloc x E) (s, (Map.empty, gs, gu)) { (s(x := a),

```

```

(Map.empty(a ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu)) |a. True }
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n)
    show ?case
      proof (rule safeSomeI)
        show Calloc x E = Cskip ==> (s, Map.empty, gs, gu) ∈ {(s(x := a), [a ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)], gs, gu) |a. True} by simp
        show no-abort (Some Γ) (Calloc x E) s (Map.empty, gs, gu)
          using aborts-alloc-cases no-abort.simps(2) by blast
        fix H hf C' s' h' hj v0
        assume asm0: Some H = Some (Map.empty, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf ∧
          full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H ∧ sat-inv s hj Γ ∧ red
          (Calloc x E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
        then obtain hjf where Some hjf = Some hj ⊕ Some hf
          by (metis plus.simps(2) plus.simps(3) plus-asso)
        then have Some H = Some (Map.empty, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf
          by (metis asm0 plus-asso)

        show ∃ h'' H' hj'.
          full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
          semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
          sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧
          h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧
          Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s',
          h'') {(s(x := a), [a ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)], gs, gu) |a. True}
          proof (rule red-alloc-cases)
            show red (Calloc x E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
              using asm0 by blast
            fix sa h v
            assume asm1: (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) = (sa, h) C' = Cskip
            (s', h') = (sa(x := v), h(v ↦ edenot E sa))
            v ∉ dom h
            then have v ∉ dom (get-fh H)
              by (simp add: dom-normalize)
            then have v ∉ dom (get-fh hjf)
              by (metis (no-types, lifting) ‹Some H = Some (Map.empty, gs, gu) ⊕ Some
              hjf› addition-smaller-domain in-mono plus-comm)

            moreover have (Map.empty(v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)), gs, gu) #≡ hjf
            proof (rule compatibleI)
              show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh ([v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu))
              (get-fh hjf)
              proof (rule compatible-fract-heapsI)
                fix l p p'
                assume asm2: get-fh ([v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu) l = Some p ∧
                get-fh hjf l = Some p'
                then show pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))

```

```

    by (metis calculation domIff fst-conv fun-upd-other get-fh.elims option.distinct(1))
    show snd p = snd p'
        using asm2 calculation domIff fst-conv fun-upd-other get-fh.elims option.distinct(1) by metis
    qed
    show  $\bigwedge k. \text{get-gu} ([v \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E \text{ sa})], gs, gu) k = \text{None} \vee \text{get-gu}_{\text{hjf}} k = \text{None}$ 
        by (metis ‹Some H = Some (Map.empty, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf› compatible-def compatible-eq get-gu.simps option.discI snd-conv)
        show  $\bigwedge p p'. \text{get-gs} ([v \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E \text{ sa})], gs, gu) = \text{Some } p \wedge \text{get-gs}_{\text{hjf}} = \text{Some } p' \implies \text{pgte } \text{pwrite} (\text{padd} (\text{fst } p) (\text{fst } p'))$ 
        by (metis ‹Some H = Some (Map.empty, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf› compatible-def compatible-eq get-gs.simps option.simps(3) snd-eqD)
    qed
    then obtain H' where Some H' = Some (Map.empty(v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)), gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf
        by auto
        moreover have (s', (Map.empty(v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)), gs, gu)) ∈ {(s(x := a), [a ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)], gs, gu) | a. True}
            using asm1(1) asm1(3) by blast
        then have safe n (Some Γ) C'(s', (Map.empty(v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)), gs, gu)) { (s(x := a), [a ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)], gs, gu) | a. True }
            by (simp add: asm1(2) safe-skip)

moreover have full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧ h' =
FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')
proof –
    have full-ownership (get-fh H')
    proof (rule full-ownershipI)
        fix l p
        assume get-fh H' l = Some p
        show fst p = pwrite
        proof (cases l = v)
            case True
            then have get-fh hjf l = None
            using calculation(1) by blast
            then have get-fh H' l = (Map.empty(v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa))) l
            by (metis calculation(2) fst-conv get-fh.simps sum-second-none-get-fh)
            then show ?thesis
            using True ‹get-fh H' l = Some p› by fastforce
        next
            case False
            then have get-fh H' l = get-fh hjf l using sum-first-none-get-fh[of H' - hjf l]
            using calculation(2) by force
            then show ?thesis
            by (metis (no-types, lifting) ‹Some H = Some (Map.empty, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf› ‹get-fh H' l = Some p› asm0 fst-conv full-ownership-def get-fh.elims

```

```

plus-comm sum-second-none-get-fh)
qed
qed
moreover have  $h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H')$ 
proof (rule ext)
fix  $l$  show  $h' l = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') l$ 
proof (cases  $l = v$ )
case True
then have get-fh ( $\text{Map.empty}(v \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E sa)), gs, gu$ )  $l =$ 
Some ( $\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E sa$ )
by auto
then have get-fh  $hjf l = \text{None}$ 
using  $\text{True} \langle v \notin \text{dom}(\text{get-fh } hjf) \rangle$  by force
then show ?thesis
apply (cases  $h' l$ )
using  $\text{True} \text{asm1}(3)$  apply auto[1]
by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $\text{FractionalHeap.normalize-def}$   $\text{True} \langle \text{Some } H' = \text{Some} ([v \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E sa)], gs, gu) \oplus \text{Some } hjf \rangle \langle \text{get-fh} ([v \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E sa)], gs, gu) l = \text{Some} (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E sa) \rangle$  apply-opt.simps(2) asm1(3) fun-upd-same snd-conv sum-second-none-get-fh)
next
case False
then have get-fh ( $\text{Map.empty}(v \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E sa)), gs, gu$ )  $l =$ 
None
by simp
then have get-fh  $H' l = \text{get-fh } hjf l$ 
using  $\langle \text{Some } H' = \text{Some} ([v \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E sa)], gs, gu) \oplus \text{Some } hjf \rangle$  sum-first-none-get-fh by blast
moreover have get-fh  $H l = \text{get-fh } hjf l$ 
by (metis  $\langle \text{Some } H = \text{Some} (\text{Map.empty}, gs, gu) \oplus \text{Some } hjf \rangle$  fst-eqD get-fh.simps sum-first-none-get-fh)
ultimately show ?thesis
proof (cases get-fh  $hjf l$ )
case None
then show ?thesis
by (metis False  $\text{FractionalHeap.normalize-eq}(1)$   $\langle \text{get-fh } H l = \text{get-fh } hjf l \rangle \langle \text{get-fh } H' l = \text{get-fh } hjf l \rangle$  asm1(1) asm1(3) fun-upd-apply old.prod.inject)
next
case (Some  $f$ )
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) False  $\text{FractionalHeap.normalize-eq}(1)$   $\text{FractionalHeap.normalize-eq}(2)$   $\langle \text{get-fh } H l = \text{get-fh } hjf l \rangle \langle \text{get-fh } H' l = \text{get-fh } hjf l \rangle$  asm1(1) asm1(3) domD not-in-dom fun-upd-apply old.prod.inject)
qed
qed
qed
moreover have semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H'$ 
proof (rule semi-consistentI)
have get-gs  $H' = \text{get-gs } H$ 

```

```

    by (metis ‹Some H = Some (Map.empty, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf› ‹Some H' =
= Some ([v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf› fst-conv get-gs.simps
option.discI option.sel plus.simps(3) snd-conv)
    moreover have get-gu H' = get-gu H
    by (metis ‹Some H = Some (Map.empty, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf› ‹Some H' =
Some ([v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf› get-gu.simps option.discI
option.sel plus.simps(3) snd-conv)
    ultimately show all-guards H'
    by (metis all-guards-def asm0 semi-consistent-def)
    show reachable Γ v0 H'
    proof (rule reachableI)
        fix sargs uargs
        assume get-gs H' = Some (pwrite, sargs) ∧ (∀ k. get-gu H' k = Some
(uargs k))
        then have reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs uargs (view
Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)))
        by (metis ‹get-gs H' = get-gs H› ‹get-gu H' = get-gu H› asm0 reachableE
semi-consistent-def)
        moreover have view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) = view Γ
(FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H'))
        proof -
            have view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) = view Γ
(FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj))
            using view-function-of-invE[of Γ s hj H] by (simp add: asm0 assms(2)
larger3)
            moreover have view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')) = view
Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj))
            using view-function-of-invE[of Γ s hj H']
            by (metis ‹Some H' = Some ([v ↦ (pwrite, edenot E sa)], gs, gu) ⊕
Some hjf› ‹Some hj = Some hj ⊕ Some hf› asm0 assms(2) larger3 plus-comm)
            ultimately show ?thesis by simp
        qed
        ultimately show reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs uargs
(view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')))
        by simp
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
    by auto
qed

moreover have sat-inv s' hj Γ
proof (rule sat-invI)
    show no-guard hj
    using asm0 sat-inv-def by blast
    have agrees (fvA (invariant Γ)) s s'
        using asm1(1) asm1(3) assms
        by (simp add: agrees-update)
    then show (s', hj), (s', hj) ⊢ invariant Γ

```

```

  using asm0 sat-inv-agrees sat-inv-def by blast
qed

ultimately show  $\exists h'' H' h j'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma$ 
 $v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv } s' h j' \Gamma \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge$ 
 $\text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } h j' \oplus \text{Some } h f \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (s',$ 
 $h'') \{(s(x := a), [a \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)], gs, gu) | a. \text{True}\}$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ‹Some h j f = Some h j ∘ Some h f› plus-asso)
qed
qed (simp)
qed (simp)

```

```

lemma safe-new:
fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat)$  cont
assumes  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{fvA} (\text{invariant } \Gamma) \wedge \text{view-function-of-inv } \Gamma$ 
shows  $\text{safe } n \Delta (\text{Calloc } x E) (s, (\text{Map.empty}, gs, gu)) \{(s(x := a), (\text{Map.empty}(a \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu)) | a. \text{True}\}$ 
apply (cases  $\Delta$ )
using safe-new-None safe-new-Some assms by blast+

```

```

theorem new-rule:
fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat)$  cont
assumes  $x \notin \text{fvE } E$ 
  and  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{fvA} (\text{invariant } \Gamma) \wedge \text{view-function-of-inv } \Gamma$ 
shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta \text{ Emp } (\text{Calloc } x E) (\text{PointsTo } (Evar x) \text{ pwrite } E)$ 
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI)
define  $\Sigma :: \text{store} \times ('i, 'a) \text{ heap} \Rightarrow (\text{store} \times ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}) \text{ set}$  where  $\Sigma = (\lambda(s,$ 
 $h). \{(s(x := a), (\text{Map.empty}(a \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h)) | a.$ 
 $\text{True}\})$ 

show  $\bigwedge s h n. (s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Emp} \implies \text{safe } n \Delta (\text{Calloc } x E) (s, h) (\Sigma (s, h))$ 
proof -
  fix  $s h n$  assume  $(s, h :: ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}), (s, h) \models \text{Emp}$  then have  $\text{get-fh } h =$ 
 $\text{Map.empty}$ 
    by simp
  then have  $h = (\text{Map.empty}, \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h)$  using decompose-heap-triple
    by metis
  moreover have  $\text{safe } n \Delta (\text{Calloc } x E) (s, \text{Map.empty}, \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h) \{(s(x := a), [a \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)], \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h) | a. \text{True}\}$ 
    using safe-new assms(2) by blast
  moreover have  $\Sigma (s, h) = \{(s(x := a), (\text{Map.empty}(a \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h)) | a. \text{True}\}$ 
    using  $\Sigma\text{-def}$  by force
  ultimately show  $\text{safe } n \Delta (\text{Calloc } x E) (s, h) (\Sigma (s, h))$ 
    by presburger
qed
fix  $s1 h1 s2 h2$ 

```

```

assume ( $s_1, h_1 :: ('i, 'a) heap$ ), ( $s_2, h_2 \models Emp$ 
show pair-sat (case ( $s_1, h_1$ ) of ( $s, h$ )  $\Rightarrow \{(s(x := a), [a \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s)], get-gs h, get-gu h) | a. True\}$ )
  (case ( $s_2, h_2$ ) of ( $s, h$ )  $\Rightarrow \{(s(x := a), [a \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s)], get-gs h, get-gu h) | a. True\}$ ) (PointsTo (Evar x) pwrite E)
proof (rule pair-satI)
  fix  $s_1' h_1' s_2' h_2'$ 
  assume  $asm0: (s_1', h_1') \in (case (s_1, h_1) of (s, h) \Rightarrow \{(s(x := a), [a \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s)], get-gs h, get-gu h) | a. True\}) \wedge$ 
     $(s_2', h_2') \in (case (s_2, h_2) of (s, h) \Rightarrow \{(s(x := a), [a \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s)], get-gs h, get-gu h) | a. True\})$ 
  then obtain  $a_1 a_2$  where  $s_1' = s_1(x := a_1)$   $s_2' = s_2(x := a_2)$   $h_1' = ([a_1 \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s_1)], get-gs h_1, get-gu h_1)$ 
     $h_2' = ([a_2 \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s_2)], get-gs h_2, get-gu h_2)$ 
  by blast
  then show  $(s_1', h_1'), (s_2', h_2') \models PointsTo (Evar x) pwrite E$ 
  by (simp add: assms(1))
qed
qed

```

4.4.4 Write

```

inductive-cases red-write-cases: red (Cwrite x E)  $\sigma$  C'  $\sigma'$ 
inductive-cases aborts-write-cases: aborts (Cwrite x E)  $\sigma$ 

```

```

lemma safe-write-None:
assumes  $fh(\text{edenot loc } s) = \text{Some } (pwrite, v)$ 
shows safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cwrite loc E) (s, (fh, gs, gu)) { (s,
  (fh(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu)) }
using assms
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n)
  show ?case
  proof (rule safeNoneI)
    show Cwrite loc E = Cskip  $\Longrightarrow (s, fh, gs, gu) \in \{(s, fh(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu)\}$ 
    by simp
    show no-abort None (Cwrite loc E) s (fh, gs, gu)
    proof (rule no-abortNoneI)
      fix hf H assume  $asm0: \text{Some } H = \text{Some } (fh, gs, gu) \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge$ 
        full-ownership (get-fh H)  $\wedge$  no-guard H
      then have edenot loc s  $\in \text{dom}(\text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$ 
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Suc.prems addition-smaller-domain dom-def
        dom-normalize fst-conv get-fh.simps mem-Collect-eq option.discI subsetD)
      then show  $\neg \text{aborts} (\text{Cwrite loc } E) (s, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H))$ 
      by (metis aborts-write-cases fst-eqD snd-eqD)
    qed
    show accesses (Cwrite loc E) s  $\subseteq \text{dom}(\text{fst}(fh, gs, gu)) \wedge$  writes (Cwrite loc
      E) s  $\subseteq \text{fpdom}(\text{fst}(fh, gs, gu))$ 

```

using assms fpdom-def by fastforce

```

fix H hf C' s' h'
assume asm0: Some H = Some (fh, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership (get-fh
H) ∧ no-guard H
∧ red (Cwrite loc E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
then have get-fh hf (edenot loc s) = None
proof -
  have compatible-fract-heaps fh (get-fh hf)
  by (metis asm0 compatible-def compatible-eq fst-conv get-fh.elims option.discI)
  then show ?thesis using compatible-then-dom-disjoint(2)[of fh get-fh hf]
    assms disjoint-iff-not-equal[of dom (get-fh hf) fpdom fh] not-in-dom fp-
    dom-def mem-Collect-eq
    by fastforce
qed

show ∃ h'' H'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧ h' = Fractional-
Heap.normalize (get-fh H')
∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n None C' (s', h'') {(s, fh(edenot loc
s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu)}
proof (rule red-write-cases)
  show red (Cwrite loc E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
  using asm0 by blast
  fix sa h
  assume asm1: (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) = (sa, h) C' = Cskip
    (s', h') = (sa, h(edenot loc sa ↦ edenot E sa))
  then obtain s = sa h' = h(edenot loc s ↦ edenot E s) by blast

  let ?h = (fh(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu)
  have ?h #≡ hf
  proof (rule compatibleI)
    show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh (fh(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E
s)), gs, gu)) (get-fh hf)
    proof (rule compatible-fract-heapsI)
      fix l p p' assume asm2: get-fh (fh(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)),
gs, gu) l = Some p ∧ get-fh hf l = Some p'
      then show pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))
      apply (cases l = edenot loc s)
      apply (metis Suc.prems asm0 fst-conv fun-upd-same get-fh.elims option.sel
plus-extract-point-fh)
      by (metis asm0 fst-conv fun-upd-other get-fh.elims plus-extract-point-fh)
      show snd p = snd p'
      apply (cases l = edenot loc s)
      using ⟨pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))⟩ asm2 not-pgte-charact sum-larger
apply fastforce
      by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) asm0 asm2 fst-eqD get-fh.simps
map-upd-Some-unfold plus-extract-point-fh)
    qed
  qed

```

```

show  $\bigwedge k. \text{get-gu}(\text{fh}(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu) \ k = \text{None}$ 
 $\vee \text{get-gu hf } k = \text{None}$ 
    by (metis asm0 compatible-def compatible-eq get-gu.simps option.discI
snd-conv)
        show  $\bigwedge p p'. \text{get-gs}(\text{fh}(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu) = \text{Some}$ 
 $p \wedge \text{get-gs hf} = \text{Some } p' \implies \text{pgte pwrite}(\text{padd}(\text{fst } p)(\text{fst } p'))$ 
            by (metis asm0 no-guard-def no-guard-then-smaller-same option.simps(3)
plus-comm)
        qed
    then obtain  $H'$  where  $\text{Some } H' = \text{Some } ?h \oplus \text{Some } hf$  by auto
    moreover have  $H' = ((\text{get-fh } H)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs}$ 
 $H, \text{get-gu } H)$ 
    proof (rule heap-ext)
        show  $\text{get-fh } H' = \text{get-fh}((\text{get-fh } H)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)),$ 
 $\text{get-gs } H, \text{get-gu } H)$ 
            using calculation asm0 by (metis <get-fh hf (edenot loc s) = None>
add-fh-update add-get-fh fst-conv get-fh.simps)
            show  $\text{get-gs } H' = \text{get-gs}((\text{get-fh } H)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)),$ 
 $\text{get-gs } H, \text{get-gu } H)$ 
                using calculation asm0
                by (metis fst-conv get-gs.simps plus-extract(2) snd-conv)
                show  $\text{get-gu } H' = \text{get-gu}((\text{get-fh } H)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)),$ 
 $\text{get-gs } H, \text{get-gu } H)$ 
                    using add-fh-update[of get-fh hf edenot E s fh (pwrite, edenot E s)] asm0
calculation
                    by (metis get-gu.elims plus-extract(3) snd-conv)
                qed
            moreover have  $\text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C' (s', ?h) \{(s, \text{fh}(\text{edenot}$ 
 $\text{loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu)\}$ 
                using <s = sa> asm1(2) asm1(3) safe-skip by fastforce
            moreover have full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧ h' = Fractional-Heap.normalize (get-fh H')
            proof –
                have full-ownership (get-fh H')
                proof (rule full-ownershipI)
                    fix  $l p$ 
                    assume asm: get-fh H' l = Some p
                    then show  $\text{fst } p = \text{pwrite}$ 
                    proof (cases l = edenot loc s)
                        case True
                        then show ?thesis
                            using asm calculation(2) by fastforce
                    next
                        case False
                        then show ?thesis
                            by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) asm asm0 calculation(2) fst-eqD
full-ownership-def get-fh.simps map-upd-Some-unfold)
                    qed
                qed

```

```

moreover have no-guard  $H'$  using asm0
  by (simp add: ‹ $H' = ((\text{get-fh } H)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)),$ 
  get-gs  $H$ , get-gu  $H$ )› no-guard-def)

moreover have  $h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H')$ 
proof (rule ext)
  fix  $l$  show  $h' l = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') l$ 
  proof(cases  $l = \text{edenot loc } s$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2) ‹ $H' = ((\text{get-fh } H)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs } H, \text{get-gu } H)$ › ‹ $h' = h(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto \text{edenot } E s)$ › fst-conv fun-upd-same get-fh.elims)
    next
    case False
      then have FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh  $H')$   $l = \text{Fractional-}$ 
      Heap.normalize(get-fh  $H$ )  $l$ 
      using FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2)[of get-fh  $H' l$ ]
      FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2)[of get-fh  $H l$ ] ‹ $H' = ((\text{get-fh } H)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs } H, \text{get-gu } H)$ ›
      fst-conv fun-upd-other[of  $l$  edenot loc  $s$  get-fh  $H$ ] get-fh.simps
      option.exhaust
      by metis
      then show ?thesis
      using False ‹ $h' = h(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto \text{edenot } E s)$ › asm1(1) by force
    qed
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
    by auto
  qed
  ultimately show  $\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' =$ 
  FractionalHeap.normalize(get-fh  $H')$   $\wedge$ 
  Some  $H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n \text{ None } C' (s', h'')$   $\{(s, fh(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu)\}$ 
  by (metis ‹Some  $H' = \text{Some } (fh(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu) \oplus \text{Some } hf$ › ‹ $s = sa$ › asm1(2) asm1(3) fst-conv insertI1 safe-skip)
  qed
  qed
qed (simp)

```

```

lemma safe-write-Some:
assumes fh (edenot loc  $s$ ) = Some (pwrite,  $v$ )
  and view-function-of-inv  $\Gamma$ 
shows safe  $n$  (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Curite loc  $E$ )  $(s, (fh, gs, gu)) \{ (s, (fh(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu)) \}$ 
using assms
proof (induct  $n$ )

```

```

case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (rule safeSomeI)
  show Cwrite loc E = Cskip  $\implies$   $(s, fh, gs, gu) \in \{(s, fh(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu)\}$ 
    by simp
  show no-abort (Some Γ) (Cwrite loc E) s (fh, gs, gu)
  proof (rule no-abortSomeI)
    fix H hf hj v0
    assume asm0: Some H = Some (fh, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf  $\wedge$ 
      full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H ∧ sat-inv s hj Γ
    then have edenot loc s ∈ dom (get-fh H)
      by (metis Un-iff assms(1) domI dom-three-sum fst-conv get-fh.simps)
    then have edenot loc s ∈ dom (normalize (get-fh H))
      by (simp add: dom-normalize)
    then show  $\neg \text{aborts} (\text{Cwrite loc } E) (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
      by (metis aborts-write-cases fst-eqD snd-eqD)
    qed
    show accesses (Cwrite loc E) s ⊆ dom (fst (fh, gs, gu)) ∧ writes (Cwrite loc E) s ⊆ fpdom (fst (fh, gs, gu))
      using Suc.prems(1) fpdom-def by fastforce

fix H hf C' s' h' hj v0

assume asm0: Some H = Some (fh, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf  $\wedge$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H ∧ sat-inv s hj Γ ∧ red (Cwrite loc E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
  then obtain hjf where hjf-def: Some hjf = Some hj ⊕ Some hf
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) option.exhaust-sel plus.simps(1) plus-asso plus-comm)
  then have asm00: Some H = Some (fh, gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf
    by (metis asm0 plus-asso)
  then have get-fh hjf (edenot loc s) = None
  proof –
    have compatible-fract-heaps fh (get-fh hjf)
    by (metis asm00 compatible-def compatible-eq fst-conv get-fh.elims option.discI)
    then show ?thesis using compatible-then-dom-disjoint(2)[of fh get-fh hjf]
      assms disjoint-iff-not-equal[of dom (get-fh hjf) fpdom fh] not-in-dom fpdom-def mem-Collect-eq
      by fastforce
  qed

  show  $\exists h'' H' h'j'. \text{full-ownership (get-fh } H') \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv } s' h'j' \Gamma \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge$ 
     $\text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } h'j' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (s', h'') \{(s, fh(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu)\}$ 
  proof (rule red-write-cases)
    show red (Cwrite loc E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')

```

```

using asm0 by blast
fix sa h
assume asm1: (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) = (sa, h) C' = Cskip
(s', h') = (sa, h(edenot loc sa ↦ edenot E sa))
then obtain s = sa h' = h(edenot loc s ↦ edenot E s) by blast

let ?h = (fh(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu)
have ?h #≡ hjf
proof (rule compatibleI)
  show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh (fh(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu)) (get-fh hjf)
    proof (rule compatible-fract-heapsI)
      fix l p p' assume asm2: get-fh (fh(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu) l = Some p ∧ get-fh hjf l = Some p'
        then show pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))
          apply (cases l = edenot loc s)
            apply (metis Suc.preds(1) asm00 fst-conv fun-upd-same get-fh.elims
option.sel plus-extract-point-fh)
              by (metis asm00 fst-conv fun-upd-other get-fh.elims plus-extract-point-fh)
            show snd p = snd p'
              apply (cases l = edenot loc s)
                using ⟨pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))⟩ asm2 not-pgte-charact sum-larger
            apply fastforce
              by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) asm00 asm2 fst-eqD get-fh.simps
map-upd-Some-unfold plus-extract-point-fh)
            qed
        show ∃k. get-gu (fh(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu) k = None
        ∨ get-gu hjf k = None
          by (metis asm00 compatible-def compatible-eq get-gu.simps option.discI
snd-conv)
        show ∃p p'. get-gs (fh(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu) = Some
p ∧ get-gs hjf = Some p' ⟹ pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))
          by (metis asm00 compatible-def compatible-eq get-gs.simps option.discI
snd-conv)
        qed
      then obtain H' where Some H' = Some ?h ⊕ Some hjf by auto
      moreover have H' = ((get-fh H)(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)), get-gs
H, get-gu H)
        proof (rule heap-ext)
          show get-gs H' = get-gs ((get-fh H)(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)),
get-gs H, get-gu H)
            using asm00 calculation
            by (metis fst-conv get-gs.simps plus-extract(2) snd-conv)
          show get-gu H' = get-gu ((get-fh H)(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)),
get-gs H, get-gu H)
            using asm00 calculation
            by (metis get-gu.simps plus-extract(3) snd-conv)
          show get-fh H' = get-fh ((get-fh H)(edenot loc s ↦ (pwrite, edenot E s)),
get-gs H, get-gu H)
            using asm00 calculation
            by (metis get-fh.simps plus-extract(4) snd-conv)
        qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

proof (rule ext)
  fix l show get-fh H' l = get-fh ((get-fh H)(edenot loc s ↪ (pwrite, edenot E s)), get-gs H, get-gu H) l
    using add-fh-update[of get-fh hif edenot E s fh (pwrite, edenot E s)]
    by (metis <get-fh hif (edenot loc s) = None> add-fh-update add-get-fh asm00 calculation fst-conv get-fh.elims)
  qed
  qed
  moreover have safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', ?h) {(s, fh(edenot loc s ↪ (pwrite, edenot E s)), gs, gu)}
    using <s = sa> asm1(2) asm1(3) safe-skip by fastforce
  moreover have full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')
  proof –
    have full-ownership (get-fh H')
    proof (rule full-ownershipI)
      fix l p
      assume asm: get-fh H' l = Some p
      then show fst p = pwrite
      proof (cases l = edenot loc s)
        case True
        then show ?thesis
        using asm calculation(2) by fastforce
      next
        case False
        then show ?thesis
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) asm asm0 calculation(2) fst-eqD full-ownership-def get-fh.simps map-upd-Some-unfold)
      qed
    qed
    moreover have h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')
    proof (rule ext)
      fix l show h' l = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') l
      proof (cases l = edenot loc s)
        case True
        then show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2) <H' = ((get-fh H)(edenot loc s ↪ (pwrite, edenot E s)), get-gs H, get-gu H)> <h' = h(edenot loc s ↪ edenot E s)> fst-conv fun-upd-same get-fh.elims)
      next
        case False
        then have FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') l = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H) l
        using FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2)[of get-fh H' l]
        FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2)[of get-fh H l] <H' = ((get-fh H)(edenot loc s ↪ (pwrite, edenot E s)), get-gs H, get-gu H)>
        fst-conv fun-upd-other[of l edenot loc s get-fh H] get-fh.simps option.exhaust
        by metis

```

```

then show ?thesis
  using False ‹ $h' = h(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto \text{edenot } E s)$ › asm1(1) by force
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by auto
qed
moreover have Some  $H' = \text{Some } ?h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf$ 
  by (metis calculation(1) hff-def simpler-assumption)
moreover have semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H'$ 
proof (rule semi-consistentI)
  show all-guards  $H'$ 
  by (metis all-guards-def asm0 calculation(2) fst-conv get-gs.simps get-gu.simps
semi-consistent-def snd-conv)
have view  $\Gamma (\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H')) = \text{view } \Gamma (\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
proof -
  have view  $\Gamma (\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H')) = \text{view } \Gamma (\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } hj))$ 
  by (metis asm0 assms(2) calculation(5) larger3 view-function-of-invE)
  then show ?thesis using assms(2) larger3 view-function-of-invE
  by (metis asm0)
qed
then show reachable  $\Gamma v0 H'$ 
by (metis asm0 calculation(2) fst-eqD get-gs.simps get-gu.simps reachableE
reachableI semi-consistent-def snd-eqD)
qed
ultimately show  $\exists h'' H' hj'.$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh  $H')$   $\wedge$ 
  semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H' \wedge$ 
  sat-inv  $s' hj' \Gamma \wedge$ 
   $h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge$ 
   $\text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (s',$ 
   $h'') \{(s, fh(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu)\}$ 
  using ‹ $s = sa$ › asm0 asm1(2) asm1(3) by blast
qed
qed
qed (simp)

```

```

lemma safe-write:
fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$ 
assumes  $fh (\text{edenot loc } s) = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, v)$ 
  and  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{view-function-of-inv } \Gamma$ 
shows  $\text{safe } n \Delta (C\text{write loc } E) (s, (fh, gs, gu)) \{ (s, (fh(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite},$ 
   $\text{edenot } E s)), gs, gu)) \}$ 
apply (cases  $\Delta$ )
using safe-write-None safe-write-Some assms by blast+

```

```

theorem write-rule:
fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$ 

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{view-function-of-inv } \Gamma$ 
and  $v \notin \text{fv} E \text{ loc}$ 
shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta (\text{Exists } v (\text{PointsTo loc pwrite (Evar } v))) (C\text{write loc } E) (\text{PointsTo loc pwrite } E)$ 
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI)

define  $\Sigma :: \text{store} \times ('i, 'a) \text{ heap} \Rightarrow (\text{store} \times ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}) \text{ set where}$ 
 $\Sigma = (\lambda(s, h). \{ (s, ((\text{get-fh } h)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h)) \})$ 

show  $\bigwedge s h n. (s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Exists } v (\text{PointsTo loc pwrite (Evar } v)) \implies \text{safe } n \Delta (C\text{write loc } E) (s, h) (\Sigma (s, h))$ 
proof –
  fix  $s h n$  assume  $(s, h :: ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}), (s, h) \models \text{Exists } v (\text{PointsTo loc pwrite (Evar } v))$ 
  then obtain  $vv$  where  $(s(v := vv), h), (s(v := vv), h) \models \text{PointsTo loc pwrite (Evar } v)$ 
    by (meson hyper-sat.simps(6) hyper-sat.simps(7))
  then have  $\text{get-fh } h (\text{edenot loc } (s(v := vv))) = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, vv)$ 
    by simp
  then have  $\text{get-fh } h (\text{edenot loc } s) = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, vv)$ 
    using assms(2) by auto
  then show  $\text{safe } n \Delta (C\text{write loc } E) (s, h) (\Sigma (s, h))$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $\Sigma$ -def assms(1) decompose-heap-triple old.prod.case
safe-write)
  qed
  fix  $s1 h1 s2 h2$ 
  assume  $(s1, h1 :: ('i, 'a) \text{ heap}), (s2, h2) \models \text{Exists } v (\text{PointsTo loc pwrite (Evar } v))$ 
  then obtain  $v1 v2$  where  $\text{get-fh } h1 (\text{edenot loc } s1) = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, v1) \text{ get-fh } h2 (\text{edenot loc } s2) = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, v2)$ 
    using assms(2) by auto

show pair-sat (case  $(s1, h1)$  of  $(s, h) \Rightarrow \{(s, ((\text{get-fh } h)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h))\}$ 
 $\quad (\text{case } (s2, h2) \text{ of } (s, h) \Rightarrow \{(s, ((\text{get-fh } h)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h))\}) (\text{PointsTo loc pwrite } E)$ 
proof (rule pair-satI)
  fix  $s1' h1' s2' h2'$ 
  assume  $\text{asm0: } (s1', h1') \in (\text{case } (s1, h1) \text{ of } (s, h) \Rightarrow \{(s, ((\text{get-fh } h)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h))\}) \wedge$ 
 $\quad (s2', h2') \in (\text{case } (s2, h2) \text{ of } (s, h) \Rightarrow \{(s, ((\text{get-fh } h)(\text{edenot loc } s \mapsto (\text{pwrite}, \text{edenot } E s)), \text{get-gs } h, \text{get-gu } h))\})$ 
  then show  $(s1', h1'), (s2', h2') \models \text{PointsTo loc pwrite } E$ 
    using  $\langle (s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models \text{Exists } v (\text{PointsTo loc pwrite (Evar } v)) \rangle \text{ assms(2)}$ 
by auto
  qed
qed

```

4.4.5 Read

```

inductive-cases red-read-cases: red (Cread x E) σ C' σ'
inductive-cases aborts-read-cases: aborts (Cread x E) σ

lemma safe-read-None:
  safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cread x E) (s, ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu))
  { (s(x := v), ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu)) }
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n)
  show ?case
  proof (rule safeNoneI)

    show no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cread x E) s ([edenot E s ↦ (π,
    v)], gs, gu)
    proof (rule no-abortNoneI)
      fix hf H
      assume asm0: Some H = Some ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some hf
      ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ no-guard H
      then have edenot E s ∈ dom (get-fh H)
        by (metis Un-iff dom-eq-singleton-conv dom-sum-two fst-eqD get-fh.elims
        insert-iff)
      then have edenot E s ∈ dom (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
        by (simp add: dom-normalize)
      then show ¬ aborts (Cread x E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
        by (metis aborts-read-cases fst-eqD snd-eqD)
    qed

    show accesses (Cread x E) s ⊆ dom (fst ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu)) ∧
    writes (Cread x E) s ⊆ fpdom (fst ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu))
    by simp

    fix H hf C' s' h'
    assume asm0: Some H = Some ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some hf ∧
    full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ no-guard H ∧ red (Cread x E) (s, Fractional-
    Heap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
    let ?S = { (s(x := v), ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu)) }

    show ∃ h'' H'.
      full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
      no-guard H' ∧
      h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧
      Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C'
      (s', h'') ?S
    proof (rule red-read-cases)

      show red (Cread x E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
        using asm0 by blast
    
```

```

fix sa h va
assume (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) = (sa, h) C' = Cskip (s',
h') = (sa(x := va), h)
h (edenot E sa) = Some va
then have s = sa
by force
then have va = v
proof -
have  $\exists \pi'. \text{get-fh } H \text{ (edenot } E \text{ s)} = \text{Some } (\pi', v)$ 
proof (rule one-value-sum-same)
show Some H = Some ([edenot E s  $\mapsto$  ( $\pi$ , v)], gs, gu)  $\oplus$  Some hf
using asm0 by fastforce
qed (simp)
then show ?thesis
by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2) Pair-inject ⟨(s, Fractional-
Heap.normalize (get-fh H)) = (sa, h)⟩ ⟨h (edenot E sa) = Some va⟩ option.sel)
qed

then have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', ([edenot E s  $\mapsto$  ( $\pi$ , v)], gs, gu)) ?S
using ⟨(s', h') = (sa(x := va), h)⟩ ⟨C' = Cskip⟩ ⟨s = sa⟩ safe-skip by
fastforce

then show  $\exists h'' H'.$ 
full-ownership (get-fh H')  $\wedge$  no-guard H'  $\wedge$ 
h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some
hf  $\wedge$  safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') { (s(x := v), [edenot E s  $\mapsto$  ( $\pi$ , v)], gs, gu) }
using ⟨(s', h') = (sa(x := va), h)⟩ ⟨(s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) =
(sa, h)⟩ asm0 by blast
qed
qed (simp)
qed (simp)

lemma safe-read-Some:
assumes view-function-of-inv  $\Gamma$ 
and  $x \notin \text{fv}A$  (invariant  $\Gamma$ )
shows safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Cread x E) (s, ([edenot E s  $\mapsto$  ( $\pi$ , v)], gs, gu)) { (s(x := v), ([edenot E s  $\mapsto$  ( $\pi$ , v)], gs, gu)) }
proof (induct n)
case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (rule safeSomeI)

show no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Cread x E) s ([edenot E s  $\mapsto$  ( $\pi$ , v)], gs, gu)
proof (rule no-abortSomeI)
fix hf H hj v0
assume asm0: Some H = Some ([edenot E s  $\mapsto$  ( $\pi$ , v)], gs, gu)  $\oplus$  Some hf
 $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  full-ownership (get-fh H)  $\wedge$  semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H  $\wedge$  sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma$ 

```

```

then obtain hjf where Some H = Some ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu) ⊕
Some hjf
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) plus.simps(2) plus.simps(3) plus-asso)
then have edenot E s ∈ dom (get-fh H)
  by (metis Un-iff dom-eq-singleton-conv dom-sum-two fst-eqD get-fh.elims
insert-iff)
then have edenot E s ∈ dom (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
  by (simp add: dom-normalize)
then show ¬ aborts (Cread x E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
  by (metis aborts-read-cases fst-eqD snd-eqD)
qed
show accesses (Cread x E) s ⊆ dom (fst ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu)) ∧
writes (Cread x E) s ⊆ fpdom (fst ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu))
by simp

fix H hf C' s' h' hj v0
assume asm0: Some H = Some ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some hj ⊕
Some hf ∧
  full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H ∧ sat-inv s hj Γ ∧ red
(Cread x E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
then obtain hjf where Some hjf = Some hj ⊕ Some hf
  using compatible-last-two by (metis plus.simps(3) plus-asso)
then have Some H = Some ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf
  by (metis asm0 plus-asso)

let ?S = { (s(x := v), ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu)) }

show ∃ h'' H' hj'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧ sat-inv
s' hj' Γ ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧
Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s',
h'') {(s(x := v), [edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu)}
proof (rule red-read-cases)

show red (Cread x E) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
  using asm0 by blast
fix sa h va
assume (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) = (sa, h) C' = Cskip (s',
h') = (sa(x := va), h)
h (edenot E sa) = Some va
then have s = sa
  by force
then have va = v
proof –
  have ∃ π'. get-fh H (edenot E s) = Some (π', v)
  proof (rule one-value-sum-same)
    show Some H = Some ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some hjf
      by (simp add: ‹Some H = Some ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu) ⊕ Some
hjf›)
qed (simp)

```

```

then show ?thesis
  by (metis FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2) Pair-inject `⟨s, Fractional-
Heap.normalize (get-fh H)⟩ = (sa, h)` `⟨h (edenot E sa) = Some va⟩ option.sel`)
qed

then have safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu)) ?S
  using `⟨(s', h') = (sa(x := va), h)` `⟨C' = Cskip` `⟨s = sa⟩ safe-skip` by
fastforce
  moreover have sat-inv s' hj Γ
    by (metis `⟨(s', h') = (sa(x := va), h)` `⟨s = sa⟩ agrees-update asm0 assms(2)`
prod.inject sat-inv-agrees)
  ultimately show ∃ h'' H' hj'.
    full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧ sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧
    h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧
    Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s',
    h'') { (s(x := v), [edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu) }
    using `⟨(s', h') = (sa(x := va), h)` `⟨(s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) =
    (sa, h)` asm0 by blast
    qed
    qed (simp)
  qed (simp)

lemma safe-read:
  fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
  assumes ⋀Γ. Δ = Some Γ ⟹ x ∉ fvA (invariant Γ) ∧ view-function-of-inv Γ
  shows safe n Δ (Cread x E) (s, ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu)) { (s(x := v),
  ([edenot E s ↦ (π, v)], gs, gu)) }
  apply (cases Δ)
  using safe-read-None safe-read-Some assms by blast+

theorem read-rule:
  fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
  assumes ⋀Γ. Δ = Some Γ ⟹ x ∉ fvA (invariant Γ) ∧ view-function-of-inv Γ
    and x ∉ fvE E ∪ fvE e
  shows hoare-triple-valid Δ (PointsTo E π e) (Cread x E) (And (PointsTo E π
e) (Bool (Beq (Evar x) e)))
  proof (rule hoare-triple-validI)

  define Σ :: store × ('i, 'a) heap ⇒ (store × ('i, 'a) heap) set where
    Σ = (λ(s, h). { (s(x := edenot e s), ([edenot E s ↦ (π, edenot e s)], get-gs h,
    get-gu h)) })

  show ⋀s h n. (s, h), (s, h) ⊨ PointsTo E π e ⟹ safe n Δ (Cread x E) (s, h)
  (Σ (s, h))
  proof –
    fix s h n
    assume (s, h :: ('i, 'a) heap), (s, h) ⊨ PointsTo E π e
    then have get-fh h = [edenot E s ↦ (π, edenot e s)]
    using sat-points-to by blast

```

```

then have  $h = ([edenot E s \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s)], get-gs h, get-gu h)$ 
  by (metis decompose-heap-triple)
then have  $safe n \Delta (Cread x E) (s, ([edenot E s \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s)], get-gs h,$ 
 $get-gu h))$ 
   $\{ (s(x := edenot e s), ([edenot E s \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s)], get-gs h, get-gu h)) \}$ 
  using assms safe-read by blast
then show  $safe n \Delta (Cread x E) (s, h) (\Sigma (s, h))$ 
  using  $\Sigma\text{-def } \langle h = ([edenot E s \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s)], get-gs h, get-gu h) \rangle$  by auto
qed

fix  $s1 h1 s2 h2$ 
assume  $(s1, h1 :: ('i, 'a) heap), (s2, h2) \models PointsTo E \pi e$ 

show  $pair\text{-sat} (case (s1, h1) of (s, h) \Rightarrow \{ (s(x := edenot e s), [edenot E s \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s)], get-gs h, get-gu h) \})$ 
   $(case (s2, h2) of (s, h) \Rightarrow \{ (s(x := edenot e s), [edenot E s \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s)], get-gs h, get-gu h) \}) (And (PointsTo E \pi e) (Bool (Beq (Evar x) e)))$ 
proof (rule pair-satI)
  fix  $s1' h1' s2' h2'$ 
  assume  $asm0: (s1', h1') \in (case (s1, h1) of (s, h) \Rightarrow \{ (s(x := edenot e s), [edenot E s \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s)], get-gs h, get-gu h) \}) \wedge$ 
     $(s2', h2') \in (case (s2, h2) of (s, h) \Rightarrow \{ (s(x := edenot e s), [edenot E s \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s)], get-gs h, get-gu h) \})$ 
  then obtain  $s1' = s1(x := edenot e s1) h1' = ([edenot E s1 \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s1)], get-gs h1, get-gu h1)$ 
     $s2' = s2(x := edenot e s2) h2' = ([edenot E s2 \mapsto (\pi, edenot e s2)], get-gs h2, get-gu h2)$ 
    by force
  then show  $(s1', h1'), (s2', h2') \models And (PointsTo E \pi e) (Bool (Beq (Evar x) e))$ 
    using assms(2) by auto
  qed
qed

```

4.4.6 Share

```

lemma share-no-abort:
assumes no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ )  $C s (h :: ('i, 'a) heap)$ 
  and Some ( $h' :: ('i, 'a) heap$ ) = Some  $h \oplus$  Some  $hj$ 
  and sat-inv  $s h j \Gamma$ 
  and get-gs  $h$  = Some (pwrite, sargs)
  and  $\bigwedge k. get-gu h k$  = Some (uargs  $k$ )
  and reachable-value (saction  $\Gamma$ ) (uaction  $\Gamma$ )  $v0 sargs uargs (view \Gamma (normalize (get-fh hj)))$ 
  and view-function-of-inv  $\Gamma$ 
shows no-abort None  $C s (remove-guards h')$ 
proof (rule no-abortI)
  show  $\bigwedge H hf hj v0 \Gamma.$ 
     $None = Some \Gamma \wedge$ 

```

$\text{Some } H = \text{Some}(\text{remove-guards } h') \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s hj \Gamma \implies$
 $\neg \text{aborts } C(s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)) \text{ by blast}$

```

fix hf H :: ('i, 'a) heap
assume asm0: Some H = Some (remove-guards h') ⊕ Some hf ∧ None = None
∧ full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ no-guard H

have compatible h' hf
proof (rule compatibleI)
  show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh h') (get-fh hf)
  by (metis asm0 compatible-def compatible-eq fst-eqD get-fh.simps option.distinct(1)
remove-guards-def)
  show ∀k. get-gu h' k = None ∨ get-gu hf k = None
  by (metis asm0 no-guard-def no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm)
fix p p' assume get-gs h' = Some p ∧ get-gs hf = Some p'
then show pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))
  by (metis asm0 no-guard-def no-guard-then-smaller-same option.distinct(1)
plus-comm)
qed
then obtain H' where Some H' = Some h' ⊕ Some hf
  by simp
then have get-fh H' = get-fh H
  by (metis asm0 fst-eqD get-fh.simps option.discI remove-guards-def option.sel
plus.simps(3))

have ¬ aborts C (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H'))
proof (rule no-abortE(2))
  show no-abort (Some Γ) C s h
  using assms by blast
  show Some Γ = Some Γ by blast
  show full-ownership (get-fh H')
  using ‹get-fh H' = get-fh H› asm0 by presburger
  show semi-consistent Γ v0 H'
  proof (rule semi-consistentI)
    show all-guards H'
    by (metis ‹Some H' = Some h' ⊕ Some hf› all-guards-def all-guards-same
assms(2) assms(4) assms(5) option.discI)

  have view Γ (normalize (get-fh hj)) = view Γ (normalize (get-fh H'))
  using assms(7)
  proof (rule view-function-of-invE)
    show H' ⊇ hj
    using larger-trans
    by (simp add: ‹Some H' = Some h' ⊕ Some hf› assms(2) larger3)
    show sat-inv s hj Γ
    by (simp add: assms(3))
qed

```

```

show reachable  $\Gamma$   $v0 H'$ 
proof (rule reachableI)
fix sargs' uargs'
assume asm1: get-gs  $H' = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, \text{sargs}')$   $\wedge$   $(\forall k. \text{get-gu } H' k = \text{Some } (uargs' k))$ 
then have sargs = sargs'
by (metis ‹Some  $H' = \text{Some } h' \oplus \text{Some } hf$ › assms(2) assms(4) full-sguard-sum-same
option.inject snd-conv)
moreover have uargs = uargs'
proof (rule ext)

fix k
show uargs k = uargs' k
using full-uguard-sum-same[of  $h' k - H' hf$ ]
by (metis ‹Some  $H' = \text{Some } h' \oplus \text{Some } hf$ › asm1 assms(2) assms(5)
full-uguard-sum-same option.inject)
qed
ultimately show reachable-value (saction  $\Gamma$ ) (uaction  $\Gamma$ )  $v0 \text{sargs}' \text{uargs}'$ 
(view  $\Gamma$  (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh  $H'$ )))
using ‹view  $\Gamma$  (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh  $hj$ )) = view  $\Gamma$  (FractionalHeap.normalize
(get-fh  $H'$ ))› assms(6) by presburger
qed
qed
show Some  $H' = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf$ 
using ‹Some  $H' = \text{Some } h' \oplus \text{Some } hf$ › assms(2) by presburger
show sat-inv s  $hj \Gamma$ 
by (simp add: assms(3))
qed

then show  $\neg \text{aborts } C (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh } H))$ 
using ‹get-fh  $H' = \text{get-fh } H$ › by auto
qed

definition S-after-share where
S-after-share  $S \Gamma v0 = \{ (s, \text{remove-guards } h') \mid h \text{ } hj \text{ } h' \text{ } s. \text{ semi-consistent } \Gamma v0$ 
 $h' \wedge \text{Some } h' = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \wedge (s, h) \in S \wedge \text{sat-inv } s \text{ } hj \Gamma \}$ 

lemma share-lemma:
assumes safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ )  $C (s, h :: ('i, 'a) \text{heap}) S$ 
and Some ( $h' :: ('i, 'a) \text{heap}$ ) = Some  $h \oplus \text{Some } hj$ 
and sat-inv s  $hj \Gamma$ 
and semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 h'$ 
and view-function-of-inv  $\Gamma$ 
and bounded  $h'$ 
shows safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont)  $C (s, \text{remove-guards } h') (S\text{-after-share}$ 
 $S \Gamma v0)$ 
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary:  $C s h h' hj$ )
case (Suc n)

```

```

let ?S' = S-after-share S Γ v0

have is-in-s':  $\bigwedge h \ hj \ h'. \ Some \ h' = Some \ h \oplus Some \ hj \wedge (s, h) \in S \wedge sat-inv \ s \ hj \Gamma \wedge semi-consistent \Gamma \ v0 \ h' \implies (s, remove-guards \ h') \in ?S'$ 
proof -
  fix h hj h' assume Some h' = Some h ⊕ Some hj ∧ (s, h) ∈ S ∧ sat-inv s hj
   $\Gamma \wedge semi-consistent \Gamma \ v0 \ h'$ 
  then show (s, remove-guards h') ∈ ?S'
    using S-after-share-def[of S Γ v0] mem-Collect-eq by blast
  qed
  show ?case
  proof (rule safeNoneI)

  show C = Cskip  $\implies (s, remove-guards \ h') \in ?S'$ 
  proof -
    assume C = Cskip
    show (s, remove-guards h') ∈ ?S'
    proof (rule is-in-s')
      show Some h' = Some h ⊕ Some hj ∧ (s, h) ∈ S ∧ sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma \wedge$ 
       $semi-consistent \Gamma \ v0 \ h'$ 
        using Suc.prems ‹C = Cskip› safeSomeE(1) sat-inv-def by blast
      qed
    qed

  obtain sargs uargs where get-gs h' = Some (pwrite, sargs) ∧
  ( $\forall k. \ get-gu \ h' \ k = Some \ (uargs \ k)) \wedge reachable-value \ (saction \ \Gamma) \ (uaction \ \Gamma) \ v0 \ sargs \ uargs \ (view \ \Gamma \ (FractionalHeap.normalize \ (get-fh \ h')))$ 
    by (meson Suc.prems(4) semi-consistentE)
  show no-abort None C s (remove-guards h')
  proof (rule share-no-abort)
    show no-abort (Some Γ) C s h
      using Suc.prems(1) safeSomeE(2) by blast
    show Some h' = Some h ⊕ Some hj
      using Suc.prems(2) by blast
    show sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma$ 
      using Suc.prems(3) by auto
    show get-gs h = Some (pwrite, sargs)
      by (metis Suc.prems(2) ‹get-gs h' = Some (pwrite, sargs) \wedge (\forall k. get-gu h' k
      = Some (uargs k)) \wedge reachable-value (saction \ \Gamma) (uaction \ \Gamma) v0 sargs uargs (view
      \ \Gamma \ (FractionalHeap.normalize \ (get-fh \ h'))› ‹sat-inv s hj \ \Gamma› no-guard-remove(1)
      sat-inv-def)
    show  $\bigwedge k. \ get-gu \ h \ k = Some \ (uargs \ k)$ 
      by (metis Suc.prems(2) ‹get-gs h' = Some (pwrite, sargs) \wedge (\forall k. get-gu h' k
      = Some (uargs k)) \wedge reachable-value (saction \ \Gamma) (uaction \ \Gamma) v0 sargs uargs (view
      \ \Gamma \ (FractionalHeap.normalize \ (get-fh \ h'))› ‹sat-inv s hj \ \Gamma› no-guard-remove(2)
      sat-inv-def)
    show reachable-value (saction \ \Gamma) (uaction \ \Gamma) v0 sargs uargs (view \ \Gamma \ (FractionalHeap.normalize

```

```

(get-fh hj)))
  by (metis Suc.prems(2) Suc.prems(3) <get-gs h' = Some (pwrite, sargs) ∧
    (∀ k. get-gu h' k = Some (uargs k)) ∧ reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0
    sargs uargs (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h'))) assms(5) larger-def
    plus-comm view-function-of-invE)
  show view-function-of-inv Γ
  by (simp add: assms(5))
qed

have accesses C s ⊆ dom (fst h) ∧ writes C s ⊆ fpdom (fst h)
  using Suc.prems(1) by force
moreover have dom (fst h) ⊆ dom (fst h')
  by (metis Suc.prems(2) addition-smaller-domain get-fh.simps)
moreover have fpdom (fst h) ⊆ fpdom (fst h')
  by (simp add: Suc.prems(2) Suc(7) fpdom-inclusion)
ultimately show accesses C s ⊆ dom (fst (remove-guards h')) ∧ writes C s ⊆
fpdom (fst (remove-guards h'))
  unfolding remove-guards-def by force

fix H hf C' s' h'a
assume asm0: Some H = Some (remove-guards h') ⊕ Some hf ∧
  full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ no-guard H ∧ red C (s, FractionalHeap.normalize
  (get-fh H)) C' (s', h'a)

have compatible h' hf
proof (rule compatibleI)
  show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh h') (get-fh hf)
  by (metis asm0 compatible-def compatible-eq fst-eqD get-fh.simps option.distinct(1)
    remove-guards-def)
  show ∃k. get-gu h' k = None ∨ get-gu hf k = None
  by (metis asm0 no-guard-def no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm)
  fix p p' assume get-gs h' = Some p ∧ get-gs hf = Some p'
  then show pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))
  by (metis asm0 no-guard-def no-guard-then-smaller-same option.distinct(1)
    plus-comm)
qed
then obtain Hg where Some Hg = Some h' ⊕ Some hf
  by simp
then have get-fh Hg = get-fh H
  by (metis asm0 fst-eqD get-fh.elims option.discI remove-guards-def option.sel
    plus.simps(3))

have ∃ h'' H' hj'.
  full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
  semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
  sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧ h'a = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' =
  Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') S
  using Suc(2)

```

```

proof (rule safeSomeE(3)[of n Γ C s h S Hg hj hf v0 C' s' h'a])
  show Some Hg = Some h ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(2) ‹Some Hg = Some h' ⊕ Some hf›)
  show full-ownership (get-fh Hg)
    using ‹get-fh Hg = get-fh H› asm0 by presburger
  show sat-inv s hj Γ
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(3))
  show red C (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh Hg)) C' (s', h'a)
    using ‹get-fh Hg = get-fh H› asm0 by presburger
  show semi-consistent Γ v0 Hg
  proof (rule semi-consistentI)
    show all-guards Hg
    by (meson Suc.prems(4) ‹Some Hg = Some h' ⊕ Some hf› all-guards-same
semi-consistent-def)
    have view Γ (normalize (get-fh hj)) = view Γ (normalize (get-fh Hg))
      using assms(5)
    proof (rule view-function-of-invE)
      show Hg ⊇ hj
        using larger-trans
        using ‹Some Hg = Some h ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf› larger3 by blast
      show sat-inv s hj Γ
        by (simp add: ‹sat-inv s hj Γ›)
    qed
    show reachable Γ v0 Hg
    proof (rule reachableI)
      fix sargs' uargs'
      assume asm1: get-gs Hg = Some (pwrite, sargs') ∧ (∀ k. get-gu Hg k =
Some (uargs' k))
      then have sargs = sargs'
        by (metis Pair-inject ‹Some Hg = Some h' ⊕ Some hf› ‹get-gs h' =
Some (pwrite, sargs) ∧ (∀ k. get-gu h' k = Some (uargs k)) ∧ reachable-value
(saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs uargs (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh
h')))) full-sguard-sum-same option.inject)
        moreover have uargs = uargs'
        proof (rule ext)
          fix k
          show uargs k = uargs' k
            by (metis ‹Some Hg = Some h' ⊕ Some hf› ‹get-gs h' = Some
(pwrite, sargs) ∧ (∀ k. get-gu h' k = Some (uargs k)) ∧ reachable-value (saction Γ)
(uaction Γ) v0 sargs uargs (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h')))) asm1
full-uguard-sum-same option.inject)
        qed
        ultimately show reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs' uargs'
(view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh Hg)))
        by (metis Suc.prems(2) ‹get-gs h' = Some (pwrite, sargs) ∧ (∀ k.
get-gu h' k = Some (uargs k)) ∧ reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs
uargs (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h')))) ‹sat-inv s hj Γ› ‹view Γ
(FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj)) = view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh
Hg))› assms(5) larger-def plus-comm view-function-of-invE)

```

```

qed
qed
qed
then obtain  $h'' H' h j'$  where  $asm1 : full\text{-ownership} (get\text{-}fh H') \wedge semi\text{-consistent}$ 
 $\Gamma v0 H' \wedge$ 
 $sat\text{-}inv s' h j' \Gamma \wedge h'a = FractionalHeap.normalize (get\text{-}fh H') \wedge Some H' =$ 
 $Some h'' \oplus Some h j' \oplus Some hf \wedge safe n (Some \Gamma) C' (s', h'') S$ 
by blast
obtain  $h j''$  where  $Some h j'' = Some h'' \oplus Some h j'$ 
by (metis  $asm1$  not-Some-eq plus.simps(1))
moreover obtain  $sargs' uargs'$  where new-guards-def:
 $get\text{-}gs H' = Some (pwrite, sargs') \wedge (\forall k. get\text{-}gu H' k = Some (uargs' k)) \wedge$ 
reachable-value (saction  $\Gamma$ ) (uaction  $\Gamma$ )  $v0 sargs' uargs'$  (view  $\Gamma$  (FractionalHeap.normalize
( $get\text{-}fh H'$ )))
by (meson  $asm1$  semi-consistentE)

have  $safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', remove\text{-}guards h j'') ?S'$ 
proof (rule Suc(1)[of  $C' s' h'' h j''$ ])

show  $safe n (Some \Gamma) C' (s', h'') S$ 
using  $asm1$  by blast
show  $Some h j'' = Some h'' \oplus Some h j'$ 
using  $\langle Some h j'' = Some h'' \oplus Some h j' \rangle$  by blast
show  $sat\text{-}inv s' h j' \Gamma$ 
using  $asm1$  by fastforce

have no-guard  $hf$ 
by (metis  $asm0$  no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm)
moreover have no-guard  $h j'$ 
using  $\langle sat\text{-}inv s' h j' \Gamma \rangle$  sat-inv-def by blast

have view  $\Gamma (normalize (get\text{-}fh h j')) = view \Gamma (normalize (get\text{-}fh H'))$ 
using assms(5)
proof (rule view-function-of-invE)
show  $H' \succeq h j'$ 
using larger-trans
using  $asm1$  larger3 by blast
show  $sat\text{-}inv s' h j' \Gamma$ 
by (simp add:  $asm1$ )
qed

obtain  $uargs' sargs'$  where args':  $get\text{-}gs H' = Some (pwrite, sargs') \wedge (\forall k.$ 
 $get\text{-}gu H' k = Some (uargs' k)) \wedge reachable\text{-}value (saction \Gamma) (uaction \Gamma) v0 sargs'$ 
 $uargs'$ 
 $(view \Gamma (FractionalHeap.normalize$ 
( $get\text{-}fh H'$ )))
using semi-consistentE[of  $\Gamma v0 H'$ ]  $asm1$ 
by blast

```

```

then have get-gs  $hj'' = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, \text{sargs}')$   $\wedge (\forall k. \text{get-gu } hj'' k = \text{Some } (uargs' k))$ 
by (metis ‹Some  $hj'' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj'$ › asm1 calculation no-guard-remove(1)
no-guard-remove(2))

show semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 hj''$ 
proof (rule semi-consistentI)

show all-guards  $hj''$ 
by (metis ‹get-gs  $hj'' = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, \text{sargs}')$   $\wedge (\forall k. \text{get-gu } hj'' k = \text{Some } (uargs' k)) \wedge \text{all-guards-def option.discI}$ )
have view  $\Gamma (\text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H')) = \text{view } \Gamma (\text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } hj'))$ 
by (metis ‹Some  $hj'' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj'$ › ‹view  $\Gamma (\text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } hj')) = \text{view } \Gamma (\text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H'))$ › asm1 assms(5)
larger-def plus-comm view-function-of-invE)
then show reachable  $\Gamma v0 hj''$ 
by (metis ‹get-gs  $hj'' = \text{Some } (\text{pwrite}, \text{sargs}')$   $\wedge (\forall k. \text{get-gu } hj'' k = \text{Some } (uargs' k)) \wedge \text{args}' \text{ ext get-fh.simps new-guards-def option.sel reachable-def snd-conv}$ )
qed
show view-function-of-inv  $\Gamma$ 
by (simp add: assms(5))
show bounded  $hj''$ 
proof (rule bounded-smaller)
show bounded  $H'$ 
by (metis asm1 full-ownership-then-bounded get-fh.simps)
show  $H' \succeq hj''$ 
by (metis ‹Some  $hj'' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj'$ › asm1 larger-def)
qed
qed

let ? $h'' = \text{remove-guards } hj''$ 
have  $hj'' \# \# hf$ 
by (metis asm1 calculation option.simps(3) plus.simps(3))
then obtain  $H''$  where Some  $H'' = \text{Some } ?h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf$ 
by (simp add: remove-guards-smaller smaller-more-compatible)

then have get-fh  $H'' = \text{get-fh } H'$ 
by (metis asm1 calculation equiv-sum-get-fh get-fh-remove-guards)
moreover have no-guard  $H''$ 
by (metis ‹Some  $H'' = \text{Some } (\text{remove-guards } hj'') \oplus \text{Some } hf$ › asm0 no-guard-remove-guards
no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm sum-of-no-guards)

ultimately show  $\exists h'' H'.$ 
full-ownership (get-fh  $H') \wedge$ 
no-guard  $H' \wedge h'a = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' =$ 
 $\text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C' (s', h'') ?S'$ 
by (metis ‹Some  $H'' = \text{Some } (\text{remove-guards } hj'') \oplus \text{Some } hf$ › ‹safe n None

```

```
 $C' (s', \text{remove-guards } h j'') ?S' \rightarrow \text{asm1})$ 
```

```
qed
```

```
qed (simp)
```

definition *no-need-guards* **where**

```
no-need-guards A  $\longleftrightarrow$   $(\forall s1\ h1\ s2\ h2.\ (s1,\ h1),\ (s2,\ h2) \models A \longrightarrow (s1,\ \text{remove-guards } h1),\ (s2,\ \text{remove-guards } h2) \models A)$ 
```

lemma *has-guard-then-safe-none*:

```
assumes  $\neg \text{no-guard } h$ 
```

```
and  $C = Cskip \implies (s, h) \in S$ 
```

```
and  $\text{accesses } C\ s \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes } C\ s \subseteq \text{fpdom}(\text{fst } h)$ 
```

```
shows  $\text{safe } n\ (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont})\ C\ (s, h) \in S$ 
```

```
proof (induct n)
```

```
case (Suc n)
```

```
show ?case
```

```
proof (rule safeNoneI)
```

```
show  $C = Cskip \implies (s, h) \in S$ 
```

```
by (simp add: assms(2))
```

```
show  $\text{no-abort } \text{None } C\ s\ h$ 
```

```
using assms(1) no-abortNoneI no-guard-then-smaller-same by blast
```

```
show  $\text{accesses } C\ s \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes } C\ s \subseteq \text{fpdom}(\text{fst } h)$ 
```

```
using assms(3) by blast
```

```
show  $\bigwedge H\ hf\ C'\ s'\ h'$ 
```

```
Some H = Some h  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  full-ownership (get-fh H)  $\wedge$  no-guard H  $\wedge$   
red C (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')  $\implies$ 
```

```
 $\exists h''\ H'.$ 
```

```
full-ownership (get-fh H')  $\wedge$  no-guard H'  $\wedge$  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize  
(get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n None C' (s', h'') S
```

```
using assms(1) no-guard-then-smaller-same by blast
```

```
qed
```

```
qed (simp)
```

theorem *share-rule*:

```
fixes  $\Gamma :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ single-context}$ 
```

```
assumes  $\Gamma = \emptyset \text{ view } = f, \text{abstract-view } = \alpha, \text{saction } = \text{sact}, \text{uaction } = \text{uact},$   
 $\text{invariant } = J \emptyset$ 
```

```
and all-axioms  $\alpha$  sact spre uact upre
```

```
and hoare-triple-valid (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Star P EmptyFullGuards) C (Star Q (And  
(PreSharedGuards (Abs-precondition spre)) (PreUniqueGuards (Abs-indexed-precondition  
upre))))
```

```
and view-function-of-inv  $\Gamma$ 
```

```
and unary J  $\wedge$  precise J
```

```
and wf-indexed-precondition upre  $\wedge$  wf-precondition spre
```

```
and  $x \notin \text{fvA } J$ 
```

```
and no-guard-assertion (Star P (LowView ( $\alpha \circ f$ ) J x))
```

```

shows hoare-triple-valid (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Star P (LowView ( $\alpha \circ f$ ) J x)) C (Star Q (LowView ( $\alpha \circ f$ ) J x))
proof -
  let ?P = Star P EmptyFullGuards
  let ?Q = Star Q (And (PreSharedGuards (Abs-precondition spre)) (PreUniqueGuards (Abs-indexed-precondition upre)))
obtain  $\Sigma$  where asm0:  $\bigwedge \sigma n. \sigma, \sigma \models \text{Star } P \text{ EmptyFullGuards} \implies \text{bounded}$   

 $(\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
 $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models \text{Star } P \text{ EmptyFullGuards} \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (\text{Star } Q (\text{And}$   

 $(\text{PreSharedGuards (Abs-precondition spre)}) (\text{PreUniqueGuards (Abs-indexed-precondition}$   

 $\text{upre)}))$ 
  using hoare-triple-validE[of Some  $\Gamma$  ?P C ?Q] assms(3) by blast

Steps: 1) Remove the hj and add empty-guards 2) Apply sigma 3) Remove  

the guards and add hj, using S-after-share

define input- $\Sigma$  where input- $\Sigma$  =  $(\lambda \sigma. \{ (\text{fst } \sigma, \text{add-empty-guards } hp) | hp \text{ hj.}$   

 $\text{Some } (\text{snd } \sigma) = \text{Some } hp \oplus \text{Some } hj \wedge$   

 $(\text{fst } \sigma, hp), (\text{fst } \sigma, hp) \models P \wedge \text{sat-inv } (\text{fst } \sigma) hj \Gamma\})$ 

define  $\Sigma'$  where  $\Sigma' = (\lambda \sigma. \bigcup p \in \text{input-}\Sigma. \sigma. \text{S-after-share } (\Sigma p) \Gamma (f (\text{normalize}$   

 $(\text{get-fh } (\text{snd } \sigma)))))$ 

show ?thesis
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI-bounded)
  show  $\bigwedge s h n. (s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Star } P (\text{LowView } (\alpha \circ f) J x) \implies \text{bounded } h$   

 $\implies \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, h) (\Sigma' (s, h))$ 
proof -
  fix s h n assume asm1:  $(s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Star } P (\text{LowView } (\alpha \circ f) J x) \text{ bounded } h$ 
  then obtain hp hj where no-guard h Some h = Some hp  $\oplus$  Some hj (s, hp),  

(s, hp)  $\models P$ 
     $(s, hj), (s, hj) \models \text{LowView } (\alpha \circ f) J x$ 
    by (meson always-sat-refl assms(8) hyper-sat.simps(4) no-guard-assertion-def)
    then have sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma$ 
    by (metis LowViewE assms(1) assms(7) no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm  

sat-inv-def select-convs(5))
    then have (s, add-empty-guards hp)  $\in$  input- $\Sigma$  (s, h)
    using ‹(s, hp), (s, hp)  $\models P\oplus$  Some hj› input- $\Sigma$ -def
  by force

  let ?v0 = f (normalize (get-fh h))
  let ?p = (s, add-empty-guards hp)

  have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, remove-guards (add-empty-guards  

h)) (S-after-share ( $\Sigma$  ?p)  $\Gamma$  ?v0)
  proof (rule share-lemma)
    show safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C ?p ( $\Sigma$  ?p)
    proof (rule asm0(1))

```

```

show (s, add-empty-guards hp), (s, add-empty-guards hp)  $\models$  Star P
EmptyFullGuards
  using <(s, hp), (s, hp)  $\models$  P> <Some h = Some hp  $\oplus$  Some hj> <no-guard
h> no-guard-and-sat-p-empty-guards no-guard-then-smaller-same by blast
    show bounded (snd (s, add-empty-guards hp))
    unfolding bounded-def add-empty-guards-def apply simp
      by (metis <Some h = Some hp  $\oplus$  Some hj> asm1(2) boundedE
bounded-smaller-sum fst-eqD)
    qed
    show Some (add-empty-guards h) = Some (add-empty-guards hp)  $\oplus$  Some
hj
    using <Some h = Some hp  $\oplus$  Some hj> <no-guard h> no-guard-add-empty-guards-sum
by blast
    show sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma$ 
    using <sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma$ > by auto
    show view-function-of-inv  $\Gamma$ 
      by (simp add: assms(4))
    show semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  (f (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh h))) (add-empty-guards
h)
      by (metis <no-guard h> assms(1) select-convs(1) semi-consistent-empty-no-guard-initial-value)
    show bounded (add-empty-guards h)
    unfolding bounded-def add-empty-guards-def apply simp
      by (metis asm1(2) boundedE fst-eqD)
    qed
  moreover have (S-after-share ( $\Sigma$  ?p)  $\Gamma$  ?v0)  $\subseteq$   $\Sigma'$  (s, h)
    using  $\Sigma'$ -def <(s, add-empty-guards hp)  $\in$  input- $\Sigma$  (s, h)> by auto
    ultimately show safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, h) ( $\Sigma'$  (s, h))
      by (metis <no-guard h> no-guards-remove-same safe-larger-set)
  qed

fix s1 h1 s2 h2
assume (s1, h1), (s2, h2)  $\models$  Star P (LowView ( $\alpha \circ f$ ) J x)
then obtain hp1 hj1 hp2 hj2 where asm1: Some h1 = Some hp1  $\oplus$  Some hj1
  Some h2 = Some hp2  $\oplus$  Some hj2 (s1, hp1), (s2, hp2)  $\models$  P no-guard h1
no-guard h2
  (s1, hj1), (s2, hj2)  $\models$  LowView ( $\alpha \circ f$ ) J x
  using assms(8) hyper-sat.simps(4) no-guard-assertion-def by blast
  then obtain (s1, hj1), (s2, hj2)  $\models$  J  $\alpha$  (f (normalize (get-fh hj1))) =  $\alpha$  (f
(normalize (get-fh hj2)))
  by (metis LowViewE assms(7) comp-apply)

show pair-sat ( $\Sigma'$  (s1, h1)) ( $\Sigma'$  (s2, h2)) (Star Q (LowView ( $\alpha \circ f$ ) J x))
proof (rule pair-satI)
  fix s1' h1' s2' h2'
  assume asm2: (s1', h1')  $\in$   $\Sigma'$  (s1, h1)  $\wedge$  (s2', h2')  $\in$   $\Sigma'$  (s2, h2)
  then obtain p1 p2 where p-assms: p1  $\in$  input- $\Sigma$  (s1, h1) p2  $\in$  input- $\Sigma$  (s2,
h2)
    (s1', h1')  $\in$  S-after-share ( $\Sigma$  p1)  $\Gamma$  (f (normalize (get-fh h1)))
    (s2', h2')  $\in$  S-after-share ( $\Sigma$  p2)  $\Gamma$  (f (normalize (get-fh h2)))

```

```

using  $\Sigma'$ -def by force
moreover have pair-sat ( $\Sigma p_1$ ) ( $\Sigma p_2$ ) (Star Q (And (PreSharedGuards
(Abs-precondition spre)) (PreUniqueGuards (Abs-indexed-precondition upre)))))
proof (rule asm0(2))
obtain  $hj1' \ h j2' \ hp1' \ hp2'$  where  $snd p_1 = add\text{-empty\text{-}guards} \ hp1' \ snd p_2$ 
=  $add\text{-empty\text{-}guards} \ hp2'$ 
 $Some \ h1 = Some \ hp1' \oplus Some \ hj1' \ Some \ h2 = Some \ hp2' \oplus Some \ hj2'$ 
sat-inv  $s1 \ hj1' \Gamma$  sat-inv  $s2 \ hj2' \Gamma$ 
fst  $p_1 = s1 \ fst \ p_2 = s2$ 
using p-assms(1) p-assms(2) input- $\Sigma$ -def by auto
moreover have  $hj1 = hj1' \wedge hj2 = hj2'$ 
proof (rule preciseE)
show precise J
by (simp add: assms(5))
show  $h1 \succeq hj1' \wedge h1 \succeq hj1 \wedge h2 \succeq hj2' \wedge h2 \succeq hj2$ 
by (metis asm1(1) asm1(2) calculation(3) calculation(4) larger-def
plus-comm)
show  $(s1, hj1'), (s2, hj2') \models J \wedge (s1, hj1), (s2, hj2) \models J$ 
by (metis ‹(s1, hj1), (s2, hj2) \models J› assms(1) assms(5) calculation(5)
calculation(6) sat-inv-def select-convs(5) unaryE)
qed
then have  $hp1 = hp1' \wedge hp2 = hp2'$ 
using addition-cancellative asm1(1) asm1(2) calculation(3) calculation(4)
by blast
then show  $p_1, p_2 \models Star \ P \ EmptyFullGuards$ 
using no-guard-and-sat-p-empty-guards[of fst  $p_1 \ snd \ p_1 \ fst \ p_2 \ snd \ p_2 \ P$ ]
by (metis asm1(3) asm1(4) asm1(5) calculation(1) calculation(2) calculation(3)
calculation(4) calculation(7) calculation(8) no-guard-and-sat-p-empty-guards
no-guard-then-smaller-same prod.exhaust-sel)
qed

let ?v1 = f (normalize (get-fh h1))
let ?v2 = f (normalize (get-fh h2))

obtain  $hj1' \ hg1 \ H1 \ hj2' \ hg2 \ H2$  where asm3:  $h1' = remove\text{-guards} \ H1$ 
semi-consistent  $\Gamma \ ?v1 \ H1$ 
 $Some \ H1 = Some \ hg1 \oplus Some \ hj1' \ (s1', hg1) \in \Sigma \ p1 \ sat\text{-inv} \ s1' \ hj1' \Gamma$ 
 $h2' = remove\text{-guards} \ H2 \ semi\text{-consistent} \ \Gamma \ ?v2 \ H2$ 
 $Some \ H2 = Some \ hg2 \oplus Some \ hj2' \ (s2', hg2) \in \Sigma \ p2 \ sat\text{-inv} \ s2' \ hj2' \Gamma$ 
using p-assms(3) S-after-share-def[of  $\Sigma \ p1 \ \Gamma \ ?v1$ ] p-assms(4) S-after-share-def[of
 $\Sigma \ p2 \ \Gamma \ ?v2$ ] by blast

then have  $(s1', hg1), (s2', hg2) \models Star \ Q \ (And \ (PreSharedGuards \ (Abs\text{-precondition}
spre)) \ (PreUniqueGuards \ (Abs\text{-indexed\text{-}precondition} \ upre)))$ 
using ‹pair-sat ( $\Sigma p_1$ ) ( $\Sigma p_2$ ) (Star Q (And (PreSharedGuards (Abs-precondition
spre)) (PreUniqueGuards (Abs-indexed-precondition upre))))› pair-satE by blast
then obtain  $q1 \ g1 \ q2 \ g2$  where  $Some \ hg1 = Some \ q1 \oplus Some \ g1 \ Some \ hg2$ 
=  $Some \ q2 \oplus Some \ g2$ 

```

$(s1', q1), (s2', q2) \models Q (s1', g1), (s2', g2) \models \text{PreSharedGuards} (\text{Abs-precondition spre})$
 $(s1', g1), (s2', g2) \models \text{PreUniqueGuards} (\text{Abs-indexed-precondition upre})$
by (meson hyper-sat.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(4))
moreover have Rep-precondition (Abs-precondition spre) = spre \wedge Rep-indexed-precondition (Abs-indexed-precondition upre) = upre
by (simp add: assms(6) wf-indexed-precondition-rep-prec wf-precondition-rep-prec)
ultimately obtain sargs1 sargs2 **where**
 get-gs g1 = Some (purite, sargs1) get-gs g2 = Some (purite, sargs2)
 $\text{PRE-shared-simpler spre sargs1 sargs2}$
 get-fh g1 = Map.empty get-fh g2 = Map.empty
by auto
moreover obtain uargs1 uargs2 **where**
 unique-facts: $\bigwedge k. \text{get-gu } g1 k = \text{Some } (uargs1 k) \wedge \text{get-gu } g2 k = \text{Some } (uargs2 k)$ \wedge PRE-unique (upre k) (uargs1 k) (uargs2 k)
 using sat-PreUniqueE[$\text{OF} \langle (s1', g1), (s2', g2) \models \text{PreUniqueGuards} (\text{Abs-indexed-precondition upre}) \rangle$]
by (metis <Rep-precondition (Abs-precondition spre) = spre \wedge Rep-indexed-precondition (Abs-indexed-precondition upre) = upre)
moreover obtain get-gs H1 = Some (purite, sargs1) $\bigwedge k. \text{get-gu } H1 k = \text{Some } (uargs1 k)$
 by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) <Some hg1 = Some q1 \oplus Some g1> asm3(3) calculation(1) calculation(6) full-sguard-sum-same full-uguard-sum-same plus-comm)
then have reach1: reachable-value sact uact ?v1 sargs1 uargs1 (f (normalize (get-fh H1)))
 by (metis asm3(2) assms(1) reachableE select-convs(1) select-convs(3) select-convs(4) semi-consistent-def)
moreover obtain get-gs H2 = Some (purite, sargs2) $\bigwedge k. \text{get-gu } H2 k = \text{Some } (uargs2 k)$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) <Some hg2 = Some q2 \oplus Some g2> asm3(8) calculation(2) calculation(6) full-sguard-sum-same full-uguard-sum-same plus-comm)
then have reach2: reachable-value sact uact ?v2 sargs2 uargs2 (f (normalize (get-fh H2)))
 by (metis asm3(7) assms(1) reachableE semi-consistent-def simps(1) simps(3) simps(4))
moreover have $\alpha (f (\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } h1))) = \alpha (f (\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } hj1)))$
 using view-function-of-invE[of $\Gamma s1 hj1 h1$]
 by (metis <(s1, hj1), (s2, hj2) $\models J$ > always-sat-refl asm1(1) asm1(4) assms(1) assms(4) larger-def no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm sat-inv-def select-convs(1) select-convs(5))
moreover have $\alpha (f (\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } h2))) = \alpha (f (\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } hj2)))$
 using view-function-of-invE[of $\Gamma s2 hj2 h2$]
 by (metis <(s1, hj1), (s2, hj2) $\models J$ > always-sat-refl asm1(2) asm1(5) assms(1) assms(4) larger-def no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm sat-comm sat-inv-def select-convs(1) select-convs(5))
ultimately have low-abstract-view: $\alpha (f (\text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H1))) = \alpha (f (\text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H2)))$
 using reach1 reach2 main-result[of sact uact ?v1 sargs1 uargs1 f (normalize (get-fh H1)) ?v2 sargs2 uargs2 f (normalize (get-fh H2)) spre upre α]

```

using ‹α (f (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj1))) = α (f (FractionalHeap.normalize
(get-fh hj2)))› assms(2) by presburger
moreover have α (f (normalize (get-fh H1))) = α (f (normalize (get-fh
hj1')))
using view-function-of-invE[of Γ s1' hj1' H1]
by (metis asm3(3) asm3(5) assms(1) assms(4) larger-def plus-comm
select-convs(1))
moreover have α (f (normalize (get-fh H2))) = α (f (normalize (get-fh
hj2')))
using view-function-of-invE[of Γ s2' hj2' H2]
by (metis asm3(10) asm3(8) assms(1) assms(4) larger-def plus-comm
select-convs(1))
moreover have (s1', hj1'), (s2', hj2') ⊨ J
by (metis asm3(10) asm3(5) assms(1) assms(5) sat-inv-def select-convs(5)
unaryE)
ultimately have (s1', hj1'), (s2', hj2') ⊨ LowView (α ∘ f) J x
by (simp add: LowViewI assms(7))
moreover have Some h1' = Some q1 ⊕ Some hj1'
proof -
have Some h1' = Some (remove-guards hg1) ⊕ Some (remove-guards hj1')
using asm3(1) asm3(3) remove-guards-sum by blast
moreover have remove-guards hg1 = remove-guards q1
by (metis ‹Some hg1 = Some q1 ⊕ Some g1› ‹get-fh g1 = Map.empty›
get-fh-remove-guards no-guard-and-no-heap no-guard-remove-guards no-guards-remove
remove-guards-sum)
moreover have remove-guards hj1' = hj1'
by (metis asm3(5) no-guards-remove sat-inv-def)
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis ‹Some hg1 = Some q1 ⊕ Some g1› ‹get-gs g1 = Some (pwrite,
sargs1)› unique-facts all-guards-def full-guard-comp-then-no no-guards-remove
option.distinct(1) plus.simps(3) plus-comm)
qed
moreover have Some h2' = Some q2 ⊕ Some hj2'
proof -
have Some h2' = Some (remove-guards hg2) ⊕ Some (remove-guards hj2')
using asm3(6) asm3(8) remove-guards-sum by blast
moreover have remove-guards hg2 = remove-guards q2
by (metis ‹Some hg2 = Some q2 ⊕ Some g2› ‹get-fh g2 = Map.empty›
get-fh-remove-guards no-guard-and-no-heap no-guard-remove-guards no-guards-remove
remove-guards-sum)
moreover have remove-guards hj2' = hj2'
by (metis asm3(10) no-guards-remove sat-inv-def)
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis ‹Some hg2 = Some q2 ⊕ Some g2› ‹get-gs g2 = Some (pwrite,
sargs2)› unique-facts all-guards-def full-guard-comp-then-no no-guards-remove
option.distinct(1) plus.simps(3) plus-comm)
qed
ultimately show (s1', h1'), (s2', h2') ⊨ Star Q (LowView (α ∘ f) J x)
by (meson LowViewI ‹(s1', q1), (s2', q2) ⊨ Q› assms(7) hyper-sat.simps(9))

```

```
hyper-sat.simps(4))
```

```
qed
```

```
qed
```

```
qed
```

4.4.7 Atomic

lemma red-rtrans-induct:

```
assumes red-rtrans C σ C' σ'
```

```
and  $\bigwedge C \sigma. P C \sigma C \sigma$ 
```

```
and  $\bigwedge C \sigma C' \sigma' C'' \sigma''. \text{red } C \sigma C' \sigma' \implies \text{red-rtrans } C' \sigma' C'' \sigma'' \implies P C'$ 
```

```
 $\sigma' C'' \sigma'' \implies P C \sigma C'' \sigma''$ 
```

```
shows  $P C \sigma C' \sigma'$ 
```

```
using red-red-rtrans.inducts[of  $\lambda \dots. \text{True } P$ ] assms by auto
```

lemma safe-atomic:

```
assumes red-rtrans C1 σ1 C2 σ2
```

```
and  $\sigma_1 = (s_1, H_1)$ 
```

```
and  $\sigma_2 = (s_2, H_2)$ 
```

```
and  $\bigwedge n. \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C1 (s_1, h) S$ 
```

```
and  $H = \text{denormalize } H_1$ 
```

```
and  $\text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf$ 
```

```
and full-ownership (get-fh H)  $\wedge$  no-guard H
```

```
shows  $\neg \text{aborts } C2 \sigma_2 \wedge (C2 = \text{Cskip} \longrightarrow$ 
```

```
 $(\exists h1 H'. \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h1 \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge H2 = \text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } (H')) \wedge$   
 $\text{no-guard } H' \wedge \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge (s_2, h1) \in S)$ 
```

```
using assms
```

proof (induction arbitrary: s1 H1 h rule: red-rtrans-induct[of C1 σ1 C2 σ2])

```
case 1
```

```
then show ?case by (simp add: assms(1))
```

```
next
```

```
case (? C σ)
```

```
then have  $\neg \text{aborts } C (s_1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
```

```
using no-abortE(1) safe.simps(2) by blast
```

```
then have  $\neg \text{aborts } C \sigma$ 
```

```
by (metis 2.prems(2) 2.prems(5) denormalize-properties(3))
```

```
moreover have safe (Suc 1) ( $\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$ ) C (s1, h) S
```

```
using 2.prems(4) by blast
```

```
then have  $C = \text{Cskip} \implies (s_2, h) \in S$ 
```

```
by (metis 2.prems(2) 2.prems(3) Pair-inject safeNoneE(1))
```

```
then have  $C = \text{Cskip} \implies \text{Some } H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge H2 = \text{Fractional-}$   
 $\text{Heap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \wedge \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge (s_2, h) \in S$ 
```

```
by (metis 2.prems(3) 2.prems(2) 2.prems(6) 2.prems(5) 2.prems(7) denormalize-properties(3) old.prod.inject)
```

```
ultimately show ?case
```

```
by blast
```

```
next
```

```
case (? C σ C' σ' C'' σ'')
```

```

obtain s0 H0 where σ' = (s0, H0) using prod.exhaust-sel by blast

have safe (Suc 0) (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s1, h) S
  using 3.prems(4) by force
then have ∃ h'' H'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧ H0 = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe 0 (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s0, h'') S
proof (rule safeNoneE(3)[of 0 C s1 h S H hf C' s0 H0])
  show Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf using 3.prems(6) by blast
  show full-ownership (get-fh H) using 3.prems(7) by blast
  show no-guard H using 3.prems(7) by auto
  show red C (s1, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s0, H0)
    by (metis 3.hyps(1) 3.prems(2) 3.prems(5) ⟨σ' = (s0, H0)⟩ denormalize-properties(3))
qed
then obtain h0 H0' where
  r1: full-ownership (get-fh H0') ∧ no-guard H0' ∧ H0 = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H0') ∧ Some H0' = Some h0 ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe 0 (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s0, h0) S
  by blast
then have Some (denormalize H0) = Some h0 ⊕ Some hf
  by (metis denormalize-properties(4))
have ih:
  ¬ aborts C'' σ'' ∧ (C'' = Cskip →
  (∃ h1 H'. Some H' = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf ∧ H2 = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ (s2, h1) ∈ S))
proof (rule 3(3)[of s0 H0 h0 H0'])
  show σ' = (s0, H0) by (simp add: ⟨σ' = (s0, H0)⟩)
  show σ'' = (s2, H2)
    by (simp add: 3.prems(3))
  show H0' = denormalize H0 by (metis denormalize-properties(4) r1)
  show Some H0' = Some h0 ⊕ Some hf using r1 by blast
  show full-ownership (get-fh H0') ∧ no-guard H0' using r1 by blast
  show red-rtrans C' σ' C'' σ''
    by (simp add: 3.hyps(2))

fix n
have safe (Suc n) (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s1, h) S
  using 3.prems(4) by force
then have ∃ h'' H'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧ H0 = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s0, h'') S
proof (rule safeNoneE(3)[of n C s1 h S H hf C' s0 H0])
  show Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf using 3.prems(6) by blast
  show full-ownership (get-fh H) using 3.prems(7) by blast
  show no-guard H using 3.prems(7) by auto
  show red C (s1, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s0, H0)
    by (metis 3.hyps(1) 3.prems(2) 3.prems(5) ⟨σ' = (s0, H0)⟩ denormalize-properties(3))

```

```

ize-properties(3))
qed
then obtain h3 H3' where
r2: full-ownership (get-fh H3') ∧ no-guard H3' ∧ H0 = FractionalHeap.normalize
(get-fh H3') ∧ Some H3' = Some h3 ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat)
cont) C' (s0, h3) S
by blast
then have h3 = h0
by (metis ‹Some (denormalize H0) = Some h0 ⊕ Some hf› addition-cancellative
denormalize-properties(4))
moreover have H3' = H0'
by (metis ‹Some H0' = Some h0 ⊕ Some hf› calculation option.inject r2)
ultimately show safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s0, h0) S using r2
by blast
qed
then show ?case by blast
qed

theorem atomic-rule-unique:
fixes Γ :: ('i, 'a, nat) single-context

fixes map-to-list :: nat ⇒ 'a list
fixes map-to-arg :: nat ⇒ 'a

assumes Γ = () view = f, abstract-view = α, saction = sact, uaction = uact,
invariant = J ()
and hoare-triple-valid (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Star P (View f J (λs. s x)))
C (Star Q (View f J (λs. uact index (s x) (map-to-arg (s uarg)))))

and precise J ∧ unary J
and view-function-of-inv Γ
and x ∉ fvC C ∪ fvA P ∪ fvA Q ∪ fvA J

and uarg ∉ fvC C
and l ∉ fvC C

and x ∉ fvS (λs. map-to-list (s l))
and x ∉ fvS (λs. map-to-arg (s uarg) # map-to-list (s l))

and no-guard-assertion P
and no-guard-assertion Q

shows hoare-triple-valid (Some Γ) (Star P (UniqueGuard index (λs. map-to-list
(s l))) (Catomic C)
(Star Q (UniqueGuard index (λs. map-to-arg (s uarg) #
map-to-list (s l)))))

proof -
let ?J = View f J (λs. s x)
let ?J' = View f J (λs. uact index (s x) (map-to-arg (s uarg)))

```

```

let ?pre-l = ( $\lambda s. \text{map-to-list} (s l)$ )
let ?G = UniqueGuard index ?pre-l
let ?l =  $\lambda s. \text{map-to-arg} (s uarg) \# \text{map-to-list} (s l)$ 
let ?G' = UniqueGuard index ?l

have unaries: unary ?J  $\wedge$  unary ?J'
  by (simp add: assms(3) unary-inv-then-view)
moreover have precises: precise ?J  $\wedge$  precise ?J'
  by (simp add: assms(3) precise-inv-then-view)

obtain  $\Sigma$  where asm0:  $\bigwedge n \sigma. \sigma, \sigma \models \text{Star } P ?J \implies \text{bounded } (\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe}$ 
n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C  $\sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
 $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models \text{Star } P ?J \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (\text{Star } Q ?J')$ 
using assms(2) hoare-triple-valid-def by blast

define start where start = ( $\lambda \sigma. \{ (s, h) \mid s \text{ } h \text{ } hj. \text{agrees } (-\{x\}) (\text{fst } \sigma) s \wedge \text{Some}$ 
 $h = \text{Some } (\text{remove-guards } (\text{snd } \sigma)) \oplus \text{Some } hj \wedge (s, hj), (s, hj) \models ?J\}$ )
define end-qj where end-qj = ( $\lambda \sigma. \bigcup \sigma' \in \text{start } \sigma. \Sigma \sigma'$ )
define  $\Sigma'$  where  $\Sigma' = (\lambda \sigma. \{ (s, \text{add-uguard-to-no-guard index } hq (?l s)) \mid s \text{ } hq \text{ } h$ 
 $hj. (s, h) \in \text{end-qj } \sigma \wedge \text{Some } h = \text{Some } hq \oplus \text{Some } hj \wedge (s, hj), (s, hj) \models ?J'\})$ 

let ? $\Sigma'$  =  $\lambda \sigma. \text{close-var } (\Sigma' \sigma) x$ 

show hoare-triple-valid (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Star P ?G) (Catomic C) (Star Q ?G')
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI-bounded)
  show  $\bigwedge s h s' h'. (s, h), (s', h') \models \text{Star } P ?G \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma' (s, h)) (\Sigma' (s', h')) (\text{Star } Q ?G')$ 
  proof -
    fix s1 h1 s2 h2
    assume asm1:  $(s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models \text{Star } P ?G$ 
    then obtain p1 p2 g1 g2 where r0: Some h1 = Some p1  $\oplus$  Some g1
      Some h2 = Some p2  $\oplus$  Some g2
       $(s1, p1), (s2, p2) \models P (s1, g1), (s2, g2) \models ?G$ 
      using hyper-sat.simps(4) by auto
    then obtain remove-guards h1 = p1 remove-guards h2 = p2
      by (meson assms(10) hyper-sat.simps(13) no-guard-and-no-heap no-guard-assertion-def)

    have pair-sat ( $\Sigma' (s1, h1)$ ) ( $\Sigma' (s2, h2)$ ) (Star Q ?G')
    proof (rule pair-satI)
      fix s1' hqg1 s2' hqg2  $\sigma 2'$ 
      assume asm2:  $(s1', hqg1) \in \Sigma' (s1, h1) \wedge (s2', hqg2) \in \Sigma' (s2, h2)$ 
      then obtain h1' hj1' h2' hj2' hq1 hq2 where r:  $(s1', h1') \in \text{end-qj } (s1, h1)$ 
        Some h1' = Some hq1  $\oplus$  Some hj1'
         $(s1', hj1'), (s1', hj1') \models ?J' (s2', h2') \in \text{end-qj } (s2, h2)$  Some h2' =
        Some hq2  $\oplus$  Some hj2'  $(s2', hj2'), (s2', hj2') \models ?J'$ 
        hqg1 = add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq1 (?l s1') hqg2 = add-uguard-to-no-guard
        index hq2 (?l s2')
        using  $\Sigma'$ -def by blast
      then obtain  $\sigma 1' \sigma 2'$  where  $\sigma 1' \in \text{start } (s1, h1)$   $\sigma 2' \in \text{start } (s2, h2)$   $(s1',$ 

```

$h1' \in \Sigma \sigma_1' (s2', h2') \in \Sigma \sigma_2'$
using end-qj-def **by** blast
then obtain $hj1 \ hj2$ **where** agrees $(-\{x\}) s1 (fst \sigma_1')$ Some $(snd \sigma_1') =$
 $Some \ p1 \oplus Some \ hj1 (fst \sigma_1', hj1), (fst \sigma_1', hj1) \models ?J$
 $agrees \ (-\{x\}) s2 (fst \sigma_2')$ Some $(snd \sigma_2') = Some \ p2 \oplus Some \ hj2 (fst$
 $\sigma_2', hj2), (fst \sigma_2', hj2) \models ?J$
using start-def ⟨remove-guards $h1 = p1$ ⟩ ⟨remove-guards $h2 = p2$ ⟩ **by**
force

moreover have $(fst \sigma_1', hj1), (fst \sigma_2', hj2) \models ?J$
using calculation(3) calculation(6) unaries unaryE **by** blast
moreover have $(fst \sigma_1', p1), (fst \sigma_2', p2) \models P$
proof –
have $fvA \ P \subseteq -\{x\}$
using assms(5) **by** force
then have agrees $(fvA \ P) (fst \sigma_1')$ $s1 \wedge$ agrees $(fvA \ P) (fst \sigma_2')$ $s2$
using calculation(1) calculation(4)
by (metis agrees-comm agrees-union subset-Un-eq)
then show ?thesis **using** r0(3)
by (meson agrees-same sat-comm)
qed

ultimately have $\sigma_1', \sigma_2' \models Star \ P \ ?J$ **using** hyper-sat.simps(4)[of $fst \sigma_1'$
 $snd \ \sigma_1' \ fst \ \sigma_2' \ snd \ \sigma_2'$] prod-collapse
by metis
then have pair-sat $(\Sigma \ \sigma_1') (\Sigma \ \sigma_2') (Star \ Q \ ?J')$
using asm0(2)[of $\sigma_1' \ \sigma_2'$] **by** blast
then have $(s1', h1'), (s2', h2') \models Star \ Q \ ?J'$
using ⟨ $(s1', h1') \in \Sigma \ \sigma_1'$ ⟩ ⟨ $(s2', h2') \in \Sigma \ \sigma_2'$ ⟩ pair-sat-def **by** blast
moreover have $(s1', hj1'), (s2', hj2') \models ?J'$
using r(3) r(6) unaries unaryE **by** blast
moreover have $(s1', hq1), (s2', hq2) \models Q$ **using** magic-lemma
using calculation(1) calculation(2) precises r(2) r(5) **by** blast
have $(s1', add-uguard-to-no-guard \ index \ hq1 \ (?l \ s1')), (s2', add-uguard-to-no-guard$
 $index \ hq2 \ (?l \ s2')) \models Star \ Q \ ?G'$
proof (rule no-guard-then-sat-star-uguard)
show no-guard $hq1 \wedge$ no-guard $hq2$
using ⟨ $(s1', hq1), (s2', hq2) \models Q$ ⟩ assms(11) no-guard-assertion-def **by**
blast
show $(s1', hq1), (s2', hq2) \models Q$
using ⟨ $(s1', hq1), (s2', hq2) \models Q$ ⟩ by auto
qed
then show $(s1', hqg1), (s2', hqg2) \models Star \ Q \ ?G'$
using r(7) r(8) **by** force
qed
then show pair-sat $(? \Sigma' (s1, h1)) (? \Sigma' (s2, h2)) (Star \ Q \ ?G')$
proof (rule pair-sat-close-var-double)
show $x \notin fvA (Star \ Q (UniqueGuard \ index \ (\lambda s. map-to-arg (s uarg) \ #$
 $map-to-list (s l))))$

```

        using assms(5) assms(9) by auto
qed
qed

fix pre-s h k
assume (pre-s, h), (pre-s, h) ⊨ Star P ?G
then obtain pp gg where Some h = Some pp ⊕ Some gg (pre-s, pp), (pre-s,
pp) ⊨ P (pre-s, gg), (pre-s, gg) ⊨ ?G
    using always-sat-refl hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
then have remove-guards h = pp
    using assms(10) hyper-sat.simps(13) no-guard-and-no-heap no-guard-assertion-def
by metis
then have (pre-s, remove-guards h), (pre-s, remove-guards h) ⊨ P
    using ⟨(pre-s, pp), (pre-s, pp) ⊨ P⟩ hyper-sat.simps(9) by blast
then have (pre-s, remove-guards h), (pre-s, remove-guards h) ⊨ P
    by (simp add: no-guard-remove-guards)

show safe k (Some Γ) (Catomic C) (pre-s, h) (?Σ' (pre-s, h))
proof (cases k)
case (Suc n)
moreover have safe (Suc n) (Some Γ) (Catomic C) (pre-s, h) (?Σ' (pre-s,
h))
proof (rule safeSomeAltI)
show Catomic C = Cskip ==> (pre-s, h) ∈ ?Σ' (pre-s, h) by simp

fix H hf hj v0

assume asm2: Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership
(get-fh H) ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H ∧ sat-inv pre-s hj Γ

define v where v = f (normalize (get-fh H))
define s where s = pre-s(x := v)
then have v = s x by simp
moreover have agreements: agrees (fvC C ∪ fvA P ∪ fvA Q ∪ fvA J ∪
fvA (UniqueGuard k ?pre-l)) s pre-s
by (metis UnE agrees-comm agrees-update assms(5) assms(8) fvA.simps(9)
s-def)
have asm1: (s, h), (s, h) ⊨ Star P ?G
using Un-iff[of x] ⟨(pre-s, h), (pre-s, h) ⊨ Star P (UniqueGuard index
(λs. map-to-list (s l)))⟩
agrees-same agrees-update[of x] always-sat-refl assms(5) assms(8)
fvA.simps(3)[of P UniqueGuard index (λs. map-to-list (s l))]
fvA.simps(9)[of index (λs. map-to-list (s l))] s-def
by metis
moreover have asm2-bis: sat-inv s hj Γ
proof (rule sat-inv-agrees)
show sat-inv pre-s hj Γ using asm2 by simp
show agrees (fvA (invariant Γ)) pre-s s
using assms(1) assms(5) s-def

```

```

    by (simp add: agrees-update)
qed
moreover have  $(s, \text{remove-guards } h), (s, \text{remove-guards } h) \models P$ 
    by (meson ‹(pre-s, remove-guards h), (pre-s, remove-guards h) \models P›
        agreements agrees-same agrees-union always-sat-refl)

moreover have  $\text{agrees } (-\{x\}) \text{ pre-s } s$ 
proof (rule agreesI)
fix y assume  $y \in -\{x\}$ 
then have  $y \neq x$ 
by force
then show  $\text{pre-s } y = s$ 
by (simp add: s-def)
qed

moreover obtain  $(s, pp), (s, pp) \models P$   $(s, gg), (s, gg) \models ?G$ 
    by (metis ‹(pre-s, gg), (pre-s, gg) \models \text{UniqueGuard index } (\lambda s. \text{map-to-list } (s l))›
        ‹\text{remove-guards } h = pp› agrees-same-aux agrees-update always-sat-refl-aux
        assms(8) calculation(4) fvA.simps(9) s-def)

let ?hf = remove-guards hf
let ?H = remove-guards H
let ?h = remove-guards h

obtain hhj where  $\text{Some } hhj = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } h$ 
    by (metis asm2 plus.simps(2) plus.simps(3) plus-comm)
then have  $\text{Some } H = \text{Some } hhj \oplus \text{Some } hf$ 
using asm2 by presburger
then have  $\text{Some } (\text{remove-guards } hhj) = \text{Some } ?h \oplus \text{Some } h$ 
    by (metis ‹\text{Some } hhj = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } h› asm2 no-guards-remove
        remove-guards-sum sat-inv-def)

moreover have f (normalize (get-fh hj)) = v
proof -
have view  $\Gamma$  (normalize (get-fh hj)) = view  $\Gamma$  (normalize (get-fh H))
using assms(4) view-function-of-invE
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹\text{Some } hhj = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } h›
asm2 larger-def larger-trans plus-comm)
then show ?thesis using assms(1) v-def by fastforce
qed

then have  $(s, hj), (s, hj) \models ?J$ 
by (metis ‹v = s x› asm2-bis assms(1) hyper-sat.simps(11) sat-inv-def
select-convs(5))

ultimately have  $(s, \text{remove-guards } hhj), (s, \text{remove-guards } hhj) \models \text{Star } P$ 
?J
using ‹(s, remove-guards h), (s, remove-guards h) \models P› hyper-sat.simps(4)

```

```

by blast
moreover have bounded hhj
  apply (rule bounded-smaller-sum[of H])
  apply (metis asm2 full-ownership-then-bounded get-fh.simps)
  using ‹Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf› by blast

ultimately have all-safes: ⋀n. safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s,
remove-guards hhj) (Σ (s, remove-guards hhj))
  using asm0(1) unfolding bounded-def remove-guards-def by simp
  then have ⋀σ1 H1 σ2 H2 s2 C2. red-rtrans C σ1 C2 σ2 ⟹ σ1 = (s,
H1) ⟹ σ2 = (s2, H2) ⟹
    ?H = denormalize H1 ⟹
    ¬ aborts C2 σ2 ∧ (C2 = Cskip ⟹ (Ǝ h1 H'. Some H' = Some h1 ⊕ Some ?hf
    ∧ H2 = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H'))
    ∧ no-guard H' ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ (s2, h1) ∈ Σ (s, remove-guards
hhj)))
proof -
  fix σ1 H1 σ2 H2 s2 C2
  assume ?H = denormalize H1
  assume red-rtrans C σ1 C2 σ2 σ1 = (s, H1) σ2 = (s2, H2)

  then show ¬ aborts C2 σ2 ∧
(C2 = Cskip ⟹
(Ǝ h1 H'.
  Some H' = Some h1 ⊕ Some (remove-guards hf) ∧
  H2 = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ no-guard H' ∧ full-ownership
  (get-fh H') ∧ (s2, h1) ∈ Σ (s, remove-guards hhj)))
  using all-safes
proof (rule safe-atomic)
  show ?H = denormalize H1 using ‹?H = denormalize H1› by simp
  show Some ?H = Some (remove-guards hhj) ⊕ Some ?hf
    using ‹Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf› remove-guards-sum by blast
  show full-ownership (get-fh (remove-guards H)) ∧ no-guard (remove-guards
H)
    by (metis asm2 get-fh-remove-guards no-guard-remove-guards)
  qed
qed
moreover have ?H = denormalize (normalize (get-fh H))
  by (metis asm2 denormalize-properties(5))
ultimately have safe-atomic-simplified: ⋀σ2 H2 s2 C2. red-rtrans C (s,
normalize (get-fh H)) C2 σ2
  ⟹ σ2 = (s2, H2) ⟹ ¬ aborts C2 σ2 ∧ (C2 = Cskip ⟹ (Ǝ h1 H'. Some
H' = Some h1 ⊕ Some ?hf ∧ H2 = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')
  ∧ no-guard H' ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ (s2, h1) ∈ Σ (s, remove-guards
hhj)))
  by presburger

have ¬ aborts (Catomic C) (s, normalize (get-fh H))
proof (rule ccontr)

```

```

assume  $\neg \neg \text{aborts} (\text{Catomic } C) (s, \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
then obtain  $C' \sigma'$  where  $\text{asm3: red-rtrans } C (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H)) C' \sigma'$ 
 $\text{aborts } C' \sigma'$ 
using abort-atomic-cases by blast
then have  $\neg \text{aborts } C' \sigma'$  using safe-atomic-simplified[of  $C' \sigma' \text{ fst } \sigma' \text{ snd } \sigma'$ ] by simp
then show False using asm3(2) by simp
qed
then show  $\neg \text{aborts} (\text{Catomic } C) (\text{pre-}s, \text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
by (metis agreements aborts-agrees agrees-comm agrees-union fst-eqD fvC.simps(11) snd-conv)
fix  $C' \text{ pre-}s' h'$ 
assume red (Catomic  $C$ ) (pre- $s$ , FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh  $H$ ))  $C'$  (pre- $s'$ ,  $h'$ )
then obtain  $s'$  where red (Catomic  $C$ ) ( $s$ , FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh  $H$ ))  $C' (s', h')$ 
 $\text{agrees } (-\{x\}) s' \text{ pre-}s'$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) UnI1 ⟨agrees  $(-\{x\}) \text{ pre-}s$   $s$ ⟩ agrees-comm assms(5) fst-eqD fvC.simps(11) red-agrees snd-conv subset-Compl-singleton)
then obtain  $h1 H'$  where asm3: Some  $H' = \text{Some } h1 \oplus \text{Some} (\text{remove-guards}$   $h_f)$   $C' = \text{Cskip}$ 
 $h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H')$  no-guard  $H' \wedge \text{full-ownership}$  (get-fh  $H'$ )  $(s', h1) \in \Sigma (s, \text{remove-guards } hhj)$ 
using safe-atomic-simplified[of  $C' (s', h') s' h'$ ] by (metis red-atomic-cases)
moreover have  $s x = s' x \wedge s' uarg = s uarg \wedge s l = s' l$  using red-not-in-fv-not-touched
using ⟨red (Catomic  $C$ ) ( $s$ , FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh  $H$ ))  $C' (s', h')$ ⟩
by (metis Un-iff assms(5) assms(6) assms(7) fst-conv fvC.simps(11))
have  $\exists hq' hj'. \text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } hq' \oplus \text{Some } hj' \wedge (s', \text{add-uguard-to-no-guard}$  index  $hq' (?l s') \in \Sigma' (\text{pre-}s, h) \wedge \text{sat-inv } s' hj' \Gamma$ 
 $\wedge f (\text{normalize} (\text{get-fh } hj')) = uact \text{ index } (s' x) (\text{map-to-arg } (s' uarg))$ 
proof –
have pair-sat ( $\Sigma (s, \text{remove-guards } hhj)$ ) ( $\Sigma (s, \text{remove-guards } hhj)$ ) (Star  $Q ?J'$ )
using asm0(2)[of  $(s, \text{remove-guards } hhj)$  ( $s, \text{remove-guards } hhj$ )]
using ⟨ $(s, \text{remove-guards } hhj), (s, \text{remove-guards } hhj) \models \text{Star } P ?J$ ⟩ by blast
then have  $(s', h1), (s', h1) \models \text{Star } Q ?J'$ 
using asm3(5) pair-sat-def by blast
then obtain  $hq' hj'$  where  $\text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } hq' \oplus \text{Some } hj' (s', hq')$ ,
 $(s', hq') \models Q (s', hj'), (s', hj') \models ?J'$ 
using always-sat-refl hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
then have no-guard  $hj'$ 

```

```

    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) calculation(1) calculation(4)
no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm)
  moreover have f (normalize (get-fh hj')) = uact index (s' x) (map-to-arg
(s' uarg))
    using ⟨(s', hj'), (s', hj') ⊢ View f J (λs. uact index (s x) (map-to-arg (s
uarg)))⟩ by auto
  moreover have (s, remove-guards hhj) ∈ start (pre-s, h)
  proof -
    have Some (remove-guards hhj) = Some ?h ⊕ Some hj
    using ⟨Some (remove-guards hhj) = Some (remove-guards h) ⊕ Some
hj⟩ by blast
    moreover have (s, hj), (s, hj) ⊢ ?J
      using ⟨(s, hj), (s, hj) ⊢ ?J⟩ by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis using start-def
      using ⟨agrees (– {x}) pre-s s⟩ by fastforce
  qed
  then have (s', h1) ∈ end-qj (pre-s, h)
  using ⟨end-qj ≡ λσ. ∪ (Σ ‘ start σ)⟩ asm3(5) by blast

  then have (s', add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l s')) ∈ Σ' (pre-s, h)
    using Σ'-def ⟨(s', hj'), (s', hj') ⊢ ?J'⟩ ⟨Some h1 = Some hq' ⊕ Some
hj'⟩ by blast
    ultimately show ∃ hq' hj'.
    Some h1 = Some hq' ⊕ Some hj' ∧
    (s', add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (map-to-arg (s' uarg) # map-to-list (s'
l))) ∈ Σ' (pre-s, h) ∧
    sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧ f (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj')) = uact index (s'
x) (map-to-arg (s' uarg))
    using ⟨(s', hj'), (s', hj') ⊢ ?J'⟩ ⟨Some h1 = Some hq' ⊕ Some hj'⟩
      assms(1) hyper-sat.simps(11) sat-inv-def select-convs(5)
    by fastforce
  qed
  then obtain hq' hj' where Some h1 = Some hq' ⊕ Some hj' (s',
add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l s')) ∈ Σ' (pre-s, h) sat-inv s' hj' Γ
  f (normalize (get-fh hj')) = uact index (s' x) (map-to-arg (s' uarg))
  by blast
  then have safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l s'))
(Σ' (pre-s, h))
  using asm3(2) safe-skip by blast

  moreover have ∃ H''. semi-consistent Γ v0 H'' ∧ Some H'' = Some
(add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l s')) ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf
  proof -
    have Some (add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l s')) = Some hq' ⊕ Some
(Map.empty, None, [index ↦ ?l s'])
    by (metis ⟨Some h1 = Some hq' ⊕ Some hj'⟩ add-uguard-as-sum
calculation(1) calculation(4) no-guard-then-smaller-same)
  obtain hhf where Some hhf = Some h ⊕ Some hf

```

```

    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some
hf› ‹Some hhj = Some h ⊕ Some hj› option.exhaust-sel plus.simps(1) plus-asso
plus-comm)
    then have all-guards hhf
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) all-guards-no-guard-propagates asm2 plus-asso
plus-comm sat-inv-def semi-consistent-def)
    moreover have get-gs h = None ∧ get-qu h index = Some (?pre-l s)
    proof -
        have no-guard pp
        using ‹remove-guards h = pp› no-guard-remove-guards by blast
        then show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) ‹Some h = Some pp ⊕ Some gg› ‹∧thesis. ([s,
pp], (s, pp) ⊢ P; (s, gg), (s, gg) ⊢ UniqueGuard index (λs. map-to-list (s l))] ⇒
thesis) ⇒ thesis› full-uguard-sum-same hyper-sat.simps(13) no-guard-remove(1)
plus-comm)
        qed
        moreover have ∏i'. i' ≠ index ⇒ get-gu h i' = None
        by (metis ‹Some h = Some pp ⊕ Some gg› ‹∧thesis. ([s, pp], (s,
pp) ⊢ P; (s, gg), (s, gg) ⊢ UniqueGuard index (λs. map-to-list (s l))] ⇒ the-
sis) ⇒ thesis› ‹remove-guards h = pp› hyper-sat.simps(13) no-guard-remove(2)
no-guard-remove-guards plus-comm)
        then obtain sargs where get-gu hf index = None ∧ get-gs hf = Some
(pwrite, sargs)
        by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some hhf = Some h ⊕ Some hf›
add-gs.simps(1) all-guards-def calculation(1) calculation(2) compatible-def compat-
ible-eq option.distinct(1) plus-extract(2))
        moreover obtain uargs where ∏i'. i' ≠ index ⇒ get-gu hf i' = Some
(uargs i')
        by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some hhf = Some h ⊕ Some
hf› ‹∧i'. i' ≠ index ⇒ get-gu h i' = None› add-gu-def add-gu-single.simps(1)
all-guards-exists-uargs calculation(1) plus-extract(3))

        then obtain ghf where ghf-def: Some hf = Some (remove-guards hf) ⊕
Some ghf
            get-fh ghf = Map.empty get-gu ghf index = None
            get-gs ghf = Some (pwrite, sargs) ∏i'. i' ≠ index ⇒ get-gu ghf i' = Some
(uargs i')
            using decompose-guard-remove-easy[of hf]
            using calculation(3) by auto

            have (Map.empty, None, [index ↦ ?l s']) ## ghf
            proof (rule compatibleI)
                show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh (Map.empty, None, [index ↦
map-to-arg (s' uarg) # map-to-list (s' l)])) (get-fh ghf)
                    using compatible-fract-heapsI by fastforce
                show ∏k. get-gu (Map.empty, None, [index ↦ map-to-arg (s' uarg) #
map-to-list (s' l)]) k = None ∨ get-gu ghf k = None
                    using ghf-def(3) by auto
            qed (simp)

```

```

then obtain g where g-def: Some g = Some (Map.empty, None, [index
↪ ?l s'])  $\oplus$  Some ghf
  by simp
moreover have H' # g
proof (rule compatibleI)
  have get-fh g = add-fh Map.empty Map.empty
  using add-get-fh[of g (Map.empty, None, [index ↪ ?l s']) ghf]
    g-def <get-fh ghf = Map.empty>
  by fastforce
then have get-fh g = Map.empty
  using add-fh-map-empty by auto
then show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh H') (get-fh g)
  using compatible-fract-heapsI by force
show  $\bigwedge k$ . get-gu H' k = None  $\vee$  get-gu g k = None
  by (meson asm3(4) no-guard-def)
show  $\bigwedge p$  p'. get-gs H' = Some p  $\wedge$  get-gs g = Some p'  $\implies$  pgte pwrite
  (padd (fst p) (fst p'))
  by (metis asm3(4) no-guard-def option.simps(3))
qed
then obtain H'' where Some H'' = Some H'  $\oplus$  Some g
  by simp
then have Some H'' = Some (add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l s'))
 $\oplus$  Some hj'  $\oplus$  Some hf
proof -
  have Some H'' = Some h1  $\oplus$  Some g  $\oplus$  Some (remove-guards hf)
  by (metis <Some H'' = Some H'  $\oplus$  Some g> asm3(1) plus-comm
  simpler-asso)
  moreover have Some (add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l s')) = Some
  hq'  $\oplus$  Some (Map.empty, None, [index ↪ ?l s'])
  using <Some (add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (map-to-arg (s' uarg) #
  map-to-list (s' l))) = Some hq'  $\oplus$  Some (Map.empty, None, [index ↪ map-to-arg
  (s' uarg) # map-to-list (s' l)])> by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) <Some h1 = Some hq'  $\oplus$  Some hj'> g-def
  ghf-def(1) plus-comm simpler-asso)
qed

moreover have semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H''
proof (rule semi-consistentI)
  have get-gs g = Some (pwrite, sargs)
  by (metis full-sguard-sum-same g-def ghf-def(4) plus-comm)
  moreover have get-gu g index = Some (?l s')
  proof (rule full-uguard-sum-same)
    show get-gu (Map.empty, None, [index ↪ ?l s']) index = Some (?l s')
    using get-gu.simps by auto
    show Some g = Some (Map.empty, None, [index ↪ ?l s'])  $\oplus$  Some ghf
    using g-def by auto
qed
moreover have  $\bigwedge i'. i' \neq index \implies$  get-gu g i' = Some (uargs i')

```

```

    by (metis full-uguard-sum-same g-def ghf-def(5) plus-comm)
ultimately have all-guards g
    by (metis all-guardsI option.discI)
then show all-guards H"
by (metis ‹Some H" = Some H' ⊕ Some g› all-guards-same plus-comm)
show reachable Γ v0 H"
proof (rule reachableI)
fix sargs' uargs'
assume get-gs H" = Some (pwrite, sargs') ∧ (∀ k. get-gu H" k = Some
(uargs' k))
then have sargs = sargs'
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Pair-inject ‹Some H" = Some H'
⊕ Some g› ‹get-gs g = Some (pwrite, sargs)› full-sguard-sum-same option.inject
plus-comm)
moreover have uargs' index = ?l s'
by (metis ‹Some H" = Some H' ⊕ Some g› ‹get-gs H" = Some (pwrite, sargs') ∧ (∀ k. get-gu H" k = Some (uargs' k))› ‹get-gu g index
= Some (map-to-arg (s' uarg) # map-to-list (s' l))› asm3(4) no-guard-remove(2)
option.inject plus-comm)
moreover have ∏ i'. i' ≠ index ⇒ uargs' i' = uargs i'
by (metis ‹Some H" = Some H' ⊕ Some g› ‹∏ i'. i' ≠ index ⇒
get-gu g i' = Some (uargs i')› ‹get-gs H" = Some (pwrite, sargs') ∧ (∀ k. get-gu
H" k = Some (uargs' k))› asm3(4) no-guard-remove(2) option.sel plus-comm)
moreover have view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj')) = view
Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H''))
using assms(4) ‹sat-inv s' hj' Γ›
proof (rule view-function-of-invE)
show H" ⊑ hj'
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some H" = Some H' ⊕ Some
g› ‹Some h1 = Some hq' ⊕ Some hj'› asm3(1) larger-def larger-trans plus-comm)
qed
moreover have reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs
(uargs(index := ?l s')) (uact index (s' x) (map-to-arg (s' uarg)))
proof -
have reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs (uargs(index
:= ?pre-l s')) (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)))
proof -
have reachable Γ v0 H
by (meson asm2 semi-consistent-def)
moreover have get-gs H = Some (pwrite, sargs)
by (metis ‹Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf› ‹get-gu hf index =
None ∧ get-gs hf = Some (pwrite, sargs)› full-sguard-sum-same plus-comm)
moreover have get-gu H index = Some (?pre-l s')
by (metis ‹Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf› ‹Some hhj = Some h
⊕ Some hj› ‹get-gs h = None ∧ get-gu h index = Some (map-to-list (s l))› ‹s x =
s' x ∧ s' uarg = s uarg ∧ s l = s' l› full-uguard-sum-same)
moreover have ∏ i. i ≠ index ⇒ get-gu H i = Some (uargs i)
by (metis ‹Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf› ‹∏ i'. i' ≠ index ⇒

```

```

get-gu hf i' = Some (uargs i') full-uguard-sum-same plus-comm)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: reachable-def)
qed
moreover have view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj)) = view
  Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
  using assms(4)
proof (rule view-function-of-invE)
  show sat-inv s hj Γ
    by (simp add: asm2-bis)
  show H ⊇ hj
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some
hj› ‹Some hhj = Some h ⊕ Some hj› larger-def larger-trans plus-comm)
qed
moreover have s' x = v
  using ‹s x = s' x ∧ s' uarg = s uarg ∧ s l = s' l› ‹v = s x› by
presburger
ultimately have reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs
(uargs(index := ?pre-l s')) v
  using ‹f (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj)) = v› assms(1) by
auto
then show ?thesis
  by (metis UniqueStep ‹s' x = v› assms(1) fun-upd-same fun-upd-upd
select-convs(4))
qed
moreover have uargs' = (uargs(index := map-to-arg (s' uarg) #
map-to-list (s' l)))
proof (rule ext)
  fix i show uargs' i = (uargs(index := map-to-arg (s' uarg) #
map-to-list (s' l))) i
    apply (cases i = index)
    using calculation(2) apply auto[1]
    using calculation(3) by force
qed
ultimately show reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs'
uargs' (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H'')))
  using ‹f (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj')) = uact index (s' x)
(map-to-arg (s' uarg))› assms(1) by force
qed
ultimately show ∃H''. semi-consistent Γ v0 H'' ∧ Some H'' = Some
(add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (map-to-arg (s' uarg) # map-to-list (s' l))) ⊕
Some hj' ⊕ Some hf
  by blast
qed
ultimately obtain H'' where semi-consistent Γ v0 H'' ∧
Some H'' = Some (add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (map-to-arg (s' uarg) #
map-to-list (s' l))) ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf by blast
moreover have full-ownership (get-fh H'') ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize

```

```

(get-fh H')
  proof -
    obtain x where Some x = Some (add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l
      s')) ⊕ Some hj'
      by (metis calculation not-Some-eq plus.simps(1))
      then have get-fh H'' = add-fh (add-fh (get-fh (add-uguard-to-no-guard
        index hq' (?l s')))) (get-fh hj') (get-fh hf)
        by (metis add-get-fh calculation)
      moreover have get-fh (add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l s')) = get-fh
        hq' ∧ get-fh hf = get-fh (remove-guards hf)
          by (metis get-fh-add-uguard get-fh-remove-guards)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (metis <Some h1 = Some hq' ⊕ Some hj'> add-get-fh asm3(1) asm3(3)
          asm3(4))
    qed
    moreover have sat-inv pre-s' hj' Γ
    proof (rule sat-inv-agrees)
      show sat-inv s' hj' Γ
        by (simp add: <sat-inv s' hj' Γ>)
      show agrees (fvA (invariant Γ)) s' pre-s'
        using UnCI <agrees (– {x}) s' pre-s'> assms(1) assms(5) select-convs(5)
        subset-Compl-singleton
        by (metis agrees-union sup.orderE)
    qed
    moreover have safe n (Some Γ) C' (pre-s', add-uguard-to-no-guard index
      hq' (?l s')) (?Σ' (pre-s, h))
    proof (rule safe-free-vars-Some)
      show safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', add-uguard-to-no-guard index hq' (?l s'))
        (?Σ' (pre-s, h))
        by (meson <safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', add-uguard-to-no-guard index
          hq' (map-to-arg (s' uarg) # map-to-list (s' l))) (?Σ' (pre-s, h))>
        close-var-subset
        safe-larger-set)
      show agrees (fvC C' ∪ (– {x}) ∪ fvA (invariant Γ)) s' pre-s'
        by (metis UnI2 Un-absorb1 <agrees (– {x}) s' pre-s'> asm3(2) assms(1)
          assms(5) empty-iff fvC.simps(1) inf-sup-aci(5) select-convs(5) subset-Compl-singleton)
      show upper-fvs (close-var (?Σ' (pre-s, h)) x) (– {x})
        by (simp add: upper-fvs-close-vars)
    qed
    ultimately show ∃ h'' H' hj'.
      full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
      semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
      sat-inv pre-s' hj' Γ ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some
      H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf
        ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (pre-s', h'') (?Σ' (pre-s, h)) using <sat-inv s' hj' Γ>
      by blast
    qed (simp)
    ultimately show safe k (Some Γ) (Catomic C) (pre-s, h) (?Σ' (pre-s, h))
  by blast
  qed (simp)

```

qed
qed

theorem atomic-rule-shared:
fixes $\Gamma :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat})$ single-context

fixes map-to-multiset :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ multiset}$
fixes map-to-arg :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$

assumes $\Gamma = (\emptyset \text{ view} = f, \text{abstract-view} = \alpha, \text{saction} = \text{sact}, \text{uaction} = \text{uact}, \text{invariant} = J \emptyset)$

and hoare-triple-valid ($\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}$) ($\text{Star } P (\text{View } f J (\lambda s. s x)) C$)

$(\text{Star } Q (\text{View } f J (\lambda s. \text{sact} (s x) (\text{map-to-arg} (s \text{sarg}))))))$

and precise $J \wedge \text{unary } J$

and view-function-of-inv Γ

and $x \notin \text{fvC } C \cup \text{fvA } P \cup \text{fvA } Q \cup \text{fvA } J$

and $\text{sarg} \notin \text{fvC } C$

and $\text{ms} \notin \text{fvC } C$

and $x \notin \text{fvS} (\lambda s. \text{map-to-multiset} (s \text{ms}))$

and $x \notin \text{fvS} (\lambda s. \{\# \text{map-to-arg} (s \text{sarg}) \#\} + \text{map-to-multiset} (s \text{ms}))$

and no-guard-assertion P

and no-guard-assertion Q

shows hoare-triple-valid ($\text{Some } \Gamma$) ($\text{Star } P (\text{SharedGuard } \pi (\lambda s. \text{map-to-multiset} (s \text{ms}))) (\text{Catomic } C)$)

$(\text{Star } Q (\text{SharedGuard } \pi (\lambda s. \{\# \text{map-to-arg} (s \text{sarg}) \#\} + \text{map-to-multiset} (s \text{ms}))))$

proof –

let $?J = \text{View } f J (\lambda s. s x)$

let $?J' = \text{View } f J (\lambda s. \text{sact} (s x) (\text{map-to-arg} (s \text{sarg})))$

let $?pre-ms = \lambda s. \text{map-to-multiset} (s \text{ms})$

let $?G = \text{SharedGuard } \pi ?pre-ms$

let $?ms = \lambda s. \{\# \text{map-to-arg} (s \text{sarg}) \#\} + \text{map-to-multiset} (s \text{ms})$

let $?G' = \text{SharedGuard } \pi ?ms$

have unaries: unary $?J \wedge \text{unary } ?J'$

by (simp add: assms(3) unary-inv-then-view)

moreover have precises: precise $?J \wedge \text{precise } ?J'$

by (simp add: assms(3) precise-inv-then-view)

obtain Σ **where** $\text{asm0}: \bigwedge n \sigma. \sigma, \sigma \models \text{Star } P ?J \implies \text{bounded} (\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe}$
 $n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}) C \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$

$\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models \text{Star } P ?J \implies \text{pair-sat} (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (\text{Star } Q ?J')$

using `assms(2) hoare-triple-valid-def by blast`

```

define start where start = ( $\lambda\sigma.$  { (s, h) | $s h h j.$  agrees ( $- \{x\}$ ) ( $fst \sigma$ ) s  $\wedge$  Some h = Some (remove-guards ( $snd \sigma$ ))  $\oplus$  Some h $j \wedge (s, h j), (s, h j) \models ?J\}$ )
define end-qj where end-qj = ( $\lambda\sigma.$   $\bigcup_{\sigma' \in start} \sigma. \Sigma \sigma'$ )
define  $\Sigma'$  where  $\Sigma' = (\lambda\sigma.$  { (s, add-sguard-to-no-guard hq  $\pi$  (?ms s)) | $s h q h j, (s, h) \in end-qj \sigma \wedge Some h = Some hq \oplus Some h j \wedge (s, h j), (s, h j) \models ?J'\}$ )

let  $?{\Sigma}' = \lambda\sigma.$  close-var ( $\Sigma' \sigma$ ) x

show hoare-triple-valid (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Star P ?G) (Catomic C) (Star Q ?G')
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI)
  show  $\bigwedge_s h s' h'.$  (s, h), (s', h')  $\models Star P ?G \implies pair\text{-sat} (?{\Sigma}' (s, h)) (?{\Sigma}' (s', h')) (Star Q ?G')$ 
    proof –
      fix s1 h1 s2 h2
      assume asm1: (s1, h1), (s2, h2)  $\models Star P ?G$ 
      then obtain p1 p2 g1 g2 where r0: Some h1 = Some p1  $\oplus$  Some g1
      Some h2 = Some p2  $\oplus$  Some g2
      (s1, p1), (s2, p2)  $\models P (s1, g1), (s2, g2) \models ?G$ 
      using hyper-sat.simps(4) by auto
      then obtain remove-guards h1 = p1 remove-guards h2 = p2
      using assms(10) hyper-sat.simps(12) no-guard-and-no-heap no-guard-assertion-def
      by metis

      have pair-sat ( $\Sigma' (s1, h1)$ ) ( $\Sigma' (s2, h2)$ ) (Star Q ?G')
      proof (rule pair-satI)
        fix s1' hqg1 s2' hqg2  $\sigma' 2'$ 
        assume asm2: (s1', hqg1)  $\in \Sigma' (s1, h1) \wedge (s2', hqg2) \in \Sigma' (s2, h2)$ 
        then obtain h1' hq1' h2' hq2' hq1 hq2 where r: (s1', h1')  $\in end-qj (s1, h1)$  Some h1' = Some hq1  $\oplus$  Some hq1'
        (s1', hq1'), (s1', hq1')  $\models ?J' (s2', h2') \in end-qj (s2, h2)$  Some h2' = Some hq2  $\oplus$  Some hq2' (s2', hq2'), (s2', hq2')  $\models ?J'$ 
        hqg1 = add-sguard-to-no-guard hq1  $\pi$  (?ms s1') hqg2 = add-sguard-to-no-guard hq2  $\pi$  (?ms s2')
        using  $\Sigma'$ -def by blast
        then obtain  $\sigma' 1' \sigma' 2'$  where  $\sigma' 1' \in start (s1, h1) \wedge \sigma' 2' \in start (s2, h2)$  (s1', h1')  $\in \Sigma \sigma' 1' (s2', h2') \in \Sigma \sigma' 2'$ 
        using end-qj-def by blast
        then obtain hq1 hq2 where agrees ( $- \{x\}$ ) s1 ( $fst \sigma' 1'$ ) Some ( $snd \sigma' 1'$ ) = Some p1  $\oplus$  Some hq1 ( $fst \sigma' 1', hq1$ ), ( $fst \sigma' 1', hq1$ )  $\models ?J$ 
          agrees ( $- \{x\}$ ) s2 ( $fst \sigma' 2'$ ) Some ( $snd \sigma' 2'$ ) = Some p2  $\oplus$  Some hq2 ( $fst \sigma' 2', hq2$ ), ( $fst \sigma' 2', hq2$ )  $\models ?J$ 
          using start-def ⟨remove-guards h1 = p1⟩ ⟨remove-guards h2 = p2⟩ by force

        moreover have ( $fst \sigma' 1', hq1$ ), ( $fst \sigma' 2', hq2$ )  $\models ?J$ 
        using calculation(3) calculation(6) unaries unaryE by blast
        moreover have ( $fst \sigma' 1', p1$ ), ( $fst \sigma' 2', p2$ )  $\models P$ 

```

```

proof -
  have  $f\mathit{v}A P \subseteq -\{x\}$ 
    using  $\text{assms}(5)$  by force
  then have  $\text{agrees } (\mathit{f}\mathit{v}A P) (\mathit{fst } \sigma 1') s1 \wedge \text{agrees } (\mathit{f}\mathit{v}A P) (\mathit{fst } \sigma 2') s2$ 
    using  $\text{calculation}(1)$   $\text{calculation}(4)$ 
    by (metis agrees-comm agrees-union subset-Un-eq)
  then show  $?thesis$  using  $r\theta(3)$ 
    by (meson agrees-same sat-comm)
qed

ultimately have  $\sigma 1', \sigma 2' \models \text{Star } P ?J$  using  $\text{hyper-sat.simps}(4)[\mathit{offst } \sigma 1'$ 
 $\mathit{snd } \sigma 1' \mathit{fst } \sigma 2' \mathit{snd } \sigma 2']$  prod.collapse
  by metis
then have  $\text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma 1') (\Sigma \sigma 2') (\text{Star } Q ?J')$ 
  using  $\text{asm}\theta(2)[\mathit{of } \sigma 1' \sigma 2']$  by blast
then have  $(s1', h1'), (s2', h2') \models \text{Star } Q ?J'$ 
  using  $\langle(s1', h1') \in \Sigma \sigma 1' \rangle \langle(s2', h2') \in \Sigma \sigma 2' \rangle$  pair-sat-def by blast
moreover have  $(s1', h1'), (s2', h2') \models ?J'$ 
  using  $r(3) r(6)$  unaries unaryE by blast
moreover have  $(s1', hq1), (s2', hq2) \models Q$  using magic-lemma
  using  $\text{calculation}(1)$   $\text{calculation}(2)$  precises  $r(2)$   $r(5)$  by blast
moreover have  $\text{no-guard } hq1 \wedge \text{no-guard } hq2$ 
  using  $\text{assms}(11)$   $\text{calculation}(3)$  no-guard-assertion-def by blast
ultimately show  $(s1', hqg1), (s2', hqg2) \models \text{Star } Q ?G'$ 
  using  $\text{no-guard-then-sat-star } r(7) r(8)$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed

then show  $\text{pair-sat } (? \Sigma' (s1, h1)) (? \Sigma' (s2, h2)) (\text{Star } Q ?G')$ 
proof (rule pair-sat-close-var-double)
  show  $x \notin \mathit{f}\mathit{v}A (\text{Star } Q (\text{SharedGuard } \pi (\lambda s. \{\#\mathit{map-to-arg } (s \mathit{sarg})\#} +$ 
 $\mathit{map-to-multiset } (s \mathit{ms}))))$ 
  using  $\text{assms}(5)$   $\text{assms}(9)$  by auto
qed
qed

fix  $\mathit{pre-s } h k$ 
assume  $(\mathit{pre-s}, h), (\mathit{pre-s}, h) \models \text{Star } P ?G$ 
then obtain  $pp gg$  where  $\text{Some } h = \text{Some } pp \oplus \text{Some } gg$   $(\mathit{pre-s}, pp), (\mathit{pre-s},$ 
 $pp) \models P (\mathit{pre-s}, gg), (\mathit{pre-s}, gg) \models ?G$ 
  using always-sat-refl  $\text{hyper-sat.simps}(4)$  by blast
then have  $\text{remove-guards } h = pp$ 
  by (meson assms(10) hyper-sat.simps(12) no-guard-and-no-heap no-guard-assertion-def)
then have  $(\mathit{pre-s}, \text{remove-guards } h), (\mathit{pre-s}, \text{remove-guards } h) \models P$ 
  using  $\langle(\mathit{pre-s}, pp), (\mathit{pre-s}, pp) \models P\rangle$   $\text{hyper-sat.simps}(9)$  by blast
then have  $(\mathit{pre-s}, \text{remove-guards } h), (\mathit{pre-s}, \text{remove-guards } h) \models P$ 
  by (simp add: no-guard-remove-guards)

show  $\text{safe } k (\text{Some } \Gamma) (\text{Catomic } C) (\mathit{pre-s}, h) (? \Sigma' (\mathit{pre-s}, h))$ 
proof (cases k)

```

```

case (Suc n)
moreover have safe (Suc n) (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Catomic C) (pre-s, h) ( $\Sigma'$  (pre-s, h))
proof (rule safeSomeAltI)
  show Catomic C = Cskip  $\implies$  (pre-s, h)  $\in$   $\Sigma'$  (pre-s, h) by simp

fix H hf hj v0

assume asm2: Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership
(get-fh H)  $\wedge$  semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H  $\wedge$  sat-inv pre-s hj  $\Gamma$ 

define v where v = f (normalize (get-fh H))
define s where s = pre-s(x := v)
then have v = s x by simp
moreover have agreements: agrees (fvC C ∪ fvA P ∪ fvA Q ∪ fvA J ∪
fvA (SharedGuard π (λs. map-to-multiset (s ms)))) s pre-s
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Un-iff agrees-def assms(5) assms(8)
fun-upd-other fvA.simps(8) s-def)
then have asm1: (s, h), (s, h) ⊨ Star P ?G
— 10s
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ⌜(pre-s, h), (pre-s, h) ⊨ Star P (SharedGuard
 $\pi (\lambda s. \text{map-to-multiset} (s ms)))\rangle \text{agrees-same agrees-union}$  fvA.simps(3) fvA.simps(8)
sat-comm)
moreover have asm2-bis: sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma$ 
proof (rule sat-inv-agrees)
  show sat-inv pre-s hj  $\Gamma$  using asm2 by simp
  show agrees (fvA (invariant Γ)) pre-s s
    using assms(1) assms(5) s-def
    by (simp add: agrees-update)
qed
moreover have (s, remove-guards h), (s, remove-guards h) ⊨ P
by (meson ⌜(pre-s, remove-guards h), (pre-s, remove-guards h) ⊨ P,
agreements agrees-same agrees-union always-sat-refl)
then have (s, remove-guards h), (s, remove-guards h) ⊨ P
by (simp add: no-guard-remove-guards)

moreover have agrees (− {x}) pre-s s
proof (rule agreesI)
  fix y assume y ∈ − {x}
  then have y ≠ x
    by force
  then show pre-s y = s y
    by (simp add: s-def)
qed

moreover obtain (s, pp), (s, pp) ⊨ P (s, gg), (s, gg) ⊨ ?G
using ⌜(pre-s, gg), (pre-s, gg) ⊨ SharedGuard π (λs. map-to-multiset (s
ms))\rangle ⌜remove-guards h = pp\rangle agreements agrees-same agrees-union always-sat-refl-aux
calculation(4) by blast

```

```

let ?hf = remove-guards hf
let ?H = remove-guards H
let ?h = remove-guards h

obtain hhj where Some hhj = Some h ⊕ Some hj
  by (metis asm2 plus.simps(2) plus.simps(3) plus-comm)
then have Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf
  using asm2 by presburger
then have Some (remove-guards hhj) = Some ?h ⊕ Some hj
  by (metis ‹Some hhj = Some h ⊕ Some hj› asm2 no-guards-remove
remove-guards-sum sat-inv-def)

moreover have f (normalize (get-fh hj)) = v
proof -
  have view Γ (normalize (get-fh hj)) = view Γ (normalize (get-fh H))
    using assms(4) view-function-of-invE
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some hhj = Some h ⊕ Some hj›
asm2 larger-def larger-trans plus-comm)
  then show ?thesis using assms(1) v-def by fastforce
qed

then have (s, hj), (s, hj) ⊨ ?J
  by (metis ‹v = s x› asm2-bis assms(1) hyper-sat.simps(11) sat-inv-def
select-convs(5))

ultimately have (s, remove-guards hhj), (s, remove-guards hhj) ⊨ Star P
?J
  using ‹(s, remove-guards h), (s, remove-guards h) ⊨ P› hyper-sat.simps(4)
by blast

moreover have bounded hhj
apply (rule bounded-smaller-sum[OF - ‹Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf›])
  by (metis asm2 full-ownership-then-bounded get-fh.simps)

ultimately have all-safes: ⋀n. safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s,
remove-guards hhj) (Σ (s, remove-guards hhj))
  using asm0(1) unfolding bounded-def remove-guards-def by simp
  then have ⋀σ1 H1 σ2 H2 s2 C2. red-rtrans C σ1 C2 σ2 ⟶ σ1 = (s,
H1) ⟶ σ2 = (s2, H2) ⟶
    ?H = denormalize H1 ⟶
    ¬ aborts C2 σ2 ∧ (C2 = Cskip ⟶ (Ǝ h1 H'. Some H' = Some h1 ⊕ Some ?hf
    ∧ H2 = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H'))
    ∧ no-guard H' ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ (s2, h1) ∈ Σ (s, remove-guards
hhj)))
proof -
  fix σ1 H1 σ2 H2 s2 C2
  assume ?H = denormalize H1
  assume red-rtrans C σ1 C2 σ2 σ1 = (s, H1) σ2 = (s2, H2)

```

```

then show  $\neg \text{aborts } C2 \sigma 2 \wedge$ 
 $(C2 = \text{Cskip} \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists h1 H'.$ 
 $\quad \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h1 \oplus \text{Some } (\text{remove-guards } hf) \wedge$ 
 $\quad H2 = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge \text{full-ownership}$ 
 $(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge (s2, h1) \in \Sigma (s, \text{remove-guards } hhj))$ 
 $\quad \text{using all-safes}$ 
 $\quad \text{proof (rule safe-atomic)}$ 
 $\quad \text{show } ?H = \text{denormalize } H1 \text{ using } \langle ?H = \text{denormalize } H1 \rangle \text{ by simp}$ 
 $\quad \text{show } \text{Some } ?H = \text{Some } (\text{remove-guards } hhj) \oplus \text{Some } ?hf$ 
 $\quad \text{using } \langle \text{Some } H = \text{Some } hhj \oplus \text{Some } hf \rangle \text{ remove-guards-sum by blast}$ 
 $\quad \text{show full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } (\text{remove-guards } H)) \wedge \text{no-guard } (\text{remove-guards } H)$ 
 $\quad \text{by (metis asm2 get-fh-remove-guards no-guard-remove-guards)}$ 
 $\quad \text{qed}$ 
 $\quad \text{qed}$ 
 $\quad \text{moreover have } ?H = \text{denormalize } (\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
 $\quad \text{by (metis asm2 denormalize-properties(5))}$ 
 $\quad \text{ultimately have safe-atomic-simplified: } \bigwedge \sigma 2 H2 s2 C2. \text{red-rtrans } C (s,$ 
 $\text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C2 \sigma 2$ 
 $\implies \sigma 2 = (s2, H2) \implies \neg \text{aborts } C2 \sigma 2 \wedge (C2 = \text{Cskip} \longrightarrow (\exists h1 H'. \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h1 \oplus \text{Some } ?hf \wedge H2 = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H')$ 
 $\wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge (s2, h1) \in \Sigma (s, \text{remove-guards } hhj)))$ 
 $\quad \text{by presburger}$ 

have  $\neg \text{aborts } (\text{Catomic } C) (s, \text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg \neg \text{aborts } (\text{Catomic } C) (s, \text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
then obtain  $C' \sigma'$  where  $\text{asm3: red-rtrans } C (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C' \sigma'$ 
 $\quad \text{aborts } C' \sigma'$ 
 $\quad \text{using abort-atomic-cases by blast}$ 
then have  $\neg \text{aborts } C' \sigma'$  using  $\text{safe-atomic-simplified}[ \text{of } C' \sigma' \text{ fst } \sigma' \text{ snd } \sigma']$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
then show  $\text{False}$  using  $\text{asm3}(2)$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
then show  $\neg \text{aborts } (\text{Catomic } C) (\text{pre-}s, \text{normalize } (\text{get-fh } H))$ 
by  $(\text{metis agreements } \text{aborts-agrees } \text{agrees-comm } \text{agrees-union } \text{fst-eqD}$ 
 $\text{fvC.simps}(11) \text{ snd-conv})$ 

fix  $C' \text{ pre-}s' h'$ 
assume  $\text{red } (\text{Catomic } C) (\text{pre-}s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C'$ 
 $(\text{pre-}s', h')$ 
then obtain  $s'$  where  $\text{red } (\text{Catomic } C) (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s', h')$ 
 $\quad \text{agrees } (- \{x\}) s' \text{ pre-}s'$ 
by  $(\text{metis (no-types, lifting) UnI1 } \langle \text{agrees } (- \{x\}) \text{ pre-}s s \rangle \text{ agrees-comm})$ 

```

assms(5) fst-eqD fvC.simps(11) red-agrees snd-conv subset-Compl-singleton)

then obtain $h1 H'$ **where** $asm3: Some H' = Some h1 \oplus Some (remove-guards h1)$
 $C' = Cskip$
 $h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')$ $no-guard H' \wedge full-ownership (get-fh H')$
 $(s', h1) \in \Sigma (s, remove-guards hhj)$
using $safe-atomic-simplified[of C' (s', h') s' h']$ **by** (*metis red-atomic-cases*)

moreover have $s x = s' x \wedge s sarg = s' sarg \wedge s ms = s' ms$ **using**
 $red-not-in-fv-not-touched$
using $\langle red (Catomic C) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h') \rangle$
by (*metis UnI1 assms(5) assms(6) assms(7) fst-eqD fvC.simps(11)*)

have $\exists hq' hj'. Some h1 = Some hq' \oplus Some hj' \wedge (s', add-sguard-to-no-guard hq' \pi (?ms s')) \in \Sigma' (pre-s, h)$
 $\wedge sat-inv s' hj' \Gamma \wedge f (normalize (get-fh hj')) = sact v (map-to-arg (s' sarg))$
proof –
have $pair-sat (\Sigma (s, remove-guards hhj)) (\Sigma (s, remove-guards hhj)) (Star Q ?J')$
using $asm0(2)[of (s, remove-guards hhj) (s, remove-guards hhj)]$
using $\langle (s, remove-guards hhj), (s, remove-guards hhj) \models Star P ?J \rangle$ **by**
blast
then have $(s', h1), (s', h1) \models Star Q ?J'$
using $asm3(5) pair-sat-def$ **by** *blast*
then obtain $hq' hj'$ **where** $Some h1 = Some hq' \oplus Some hj' (s', hq')$,
 $(s', hq') \models Q (s', hj'), (s', hj') \models ?J'$
using $always-sat-refl hyper-sat.simps(4)$ **by** *blast*
then have $no-guard hj'$
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) calculation(1) calculation(4) no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm*)
moreover have $f (normalize (get-fh hj')) = sact v (map-to-arg (s' sarg))$
using $\langle (s', hj'), (s', hj') \models View f J (\lambda s. sact (s x) (map-to-arg (s sarg))) \rangle$
 $\langle s x = s' x \wedge s sarg = s' sarg \wedge s ms = s' ms \rangle \langle v = s x \rangle$ **by** *fastforce*
moreover have $(s, remove-guards hhj) \in start (pre-s, h)$
proof –
have $Some (remove-guards hhj) = Some ?h \oplus Some h$
using $\langle Some (remove-guards hhj) = Some (remove-guards h) \oplus Some h \rangle$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $(s, hj), (s, hj) \models ?J$
using $\langle (s, hj), (s, hj) \models ?J \rangle$ **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $start-def$
using $\langle agrees (- \{x\}) pre-s s \rangle$ **by** *fastforce*
qed
then have $(s', h1) \in end-qj (pre-s, h)$
using $\langle end-qj \equiv \lambda \sigma. \bigcup (\Sigma ' start \sigma) \rangle$ $asm3(5)$ **by** *blast*

then have $(s', add-sguard-to-no-guard hq' \pi (?ms s')) \in \Sigma' (pre-s, h)$
using $\Sigma'-def \langle (s', hj'), (s', hj') \models ?J' \rangle \langle Some h1 = Some hq' \oplus Some h \rangle$

$hj' \triangleright$ by blast
ultimately show $\exists hq' hj'.$
 $Some h1 = Some hq' \oplus Some hj' \wedge$
 $(s', add\text{-}sguard\text{-}to\text{-}no\text{-}guard hq' \pi (\{\#map\text{-}to\text{-}arg (s' sarg)\#} + map\text{-}to\text{-}multiset (s' ms))) \in \Sigma' (pre\text{-}s, h) \wedge$
 $sat\text{-}inv s' hj' \Gamma \wedge f (FractionalHeap.normalize (get\text{-}fh hj')) = sact v (map\text{-}to\text{-}arg (s' sarg))$
using $\langle(s', hj'), (s', hj') \models View f J (\lambda s. sact (s x) (map\text{-}to\text{-}arg (s sarg))) \rangle$
 $\langle Some h1 = Some hq' \oplus Some hj' \rangle assms(1) sat\text{-}inv\text{-}def$ by fastforce
qed
then obtain $hq' hj'$ **where** $Some h1 = Some hq' \oplus Some hj' (s', add\text{-}sguard\text{-}to\text{-}no\text{-}guard hq' \pi (?ms s')) \in \Sigma' (pre\text{-}s, h)$ $sat\text{-}inv s' hj' \Gamma$
 $f (FractionalHeap.normalize (get\text{-}fh hj')) = sact v (map\text{-}to\text{-}arg (s' sarg))$
by blast
then have $safe n (Some \Gamma) C' (s', add\text{-}sguard\text{-}to\text{-}no\text{-}guard hq' \pi (?ms s'))$
 $(\Sigma' (pre\text{-}s, h))$
using $asm3(2)$ **safe-skip** by blast

moreover have $\exists H''. semi\text{-}consistent \Gamma v0 H'' \wedge Some H'' = Some (add\text{-}sguard\text{-}to\text{-}no\text{-}guard hq' \pi (?ms s')) \oplus Some hj' \oplus Some hf$
proof –
have $Some (add\text{-}sguard\text{-}to\text{-}no\text{-}guard hq' \pi (?ms s')) = Some hq' \oplus Some (Map.empty, Some (\pi, ?ms s'), (\lambda-. None))$
using $\langle Some h1 = Some hq' \oplus Some hj' \rangle add\text{-}sguard\text{-}as\text{-}sum$ $asm3(1)$
 $asm3(4)$ **no-guard-then-smaller-same** by blast

obtain hhf **where** $Some hhf = Some h \oplus Some hf$
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) $\langle Some H = Some hhj \oplus Some hf \rangle$ $\langle Some hhj = Some h \oplus Some hj \rangle$ option.exhaust-sel plus.simps(1) plus-asso plus-comm)
then have $all\text{-}guards hhf$
by (metis (no-types, lifting) $all\text{-}guards\text{-}no\text{-}guard\text{-}propagates$ $asm2$ plus-asso plus-comm $sat\text{-}inv\text{-}def$ semi-consistent-def)

moreover have $get\text{-}gu h = (\lambda-. None) \wedge get\text{-}gs h = Some (\pi, ?pre-ms s)$
proof –
have $no\text{-}guard pp$
using $\langle (pre\text{-}s, pp), (pre\text{-}s, pp) \models P \rangle assms(10)$ **no-guard-assertion-def**
by blast
then show $?thesis$
by (metis $\langle Some h = Some pp \oplus Some gg \rangle \langle \wedge thesis. ([[(s, pp)], (s, pp)] \models P; (s, gg), (s, gg) \models SharedGuard \pi (\lambda s. map\text{-}to\text{-}multiset (s ms))) \Rightarrow thesis \Rightarrow thesis \rangle$ $\langle remove\text{-}guards h = pp \rangle$ decompose-heap-triple fst-conv hyper-sat.simps(12) no-guard-remove(2) plus-comm remove-guards-def snd-conv sum-gs-one-none)
qed
then have $\exists \pi' msf uargs. (\forall k. get\text{-}gu hf k = Some (uargs k)) \wedge$
 $(\pi = pwrite \wedge get\text{-}gs hf = None \wedge msf = \{\#\} \vee pwrite = padd \pi \pi' \wedge get\text{-}gs hf = Some (\pi', msf))$

```

using all-guards-sum-known-one[of hhf h hf π]
using ⟨Some hhf = Some h ⊕ Some hf⟩ calculation by fastforce

then obtain π' uargs msf where (forall k. get-gu hf k = Some (uargs k)) ∧
((π = pwrite ∧ get-gs hf = None ∧ msf = {#}) ∨ (pwrite = padd π π' ∧ get-gs
hf = Some (π', msf)))
by blast

then obtain ghf where ghf-def: Some hf = Some (remove-guards hf) ⊕
Some ghf
get-fh ghf = Map.empty (π = pwrite ∧ get-gs ghf = None ∧ msf = {#})
∨ (padd π π' = pwrite ∧ get-gs ghf = Some (π', msf))
∧ i. get-gu ghf i = Some (uargs i)
using decompose-guard-remove-easy[of hf]
by (metis fst-conv get-fh.elims get-gs.elims get-gu.simps snd-conv)

have (Map.empty, Some (π, ?ms s'), (λ-. None)) ## ghf
proof (rule compatibleI)
show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh (Map.empty, Some (π, ?ms s'), (λ-.
None))) (get-fh ghf)
using compatible-fract-heapsI by fastforce
show ∀k. get-gu (Map.empty, Some (π, {#map-to-arg (s' sarg)#} +
map-to-multiset (s' ms)), Map.empty) k = None ∨ get-gu ghf k = None
by simp
fix p p'
assume get-gs (Map.empty, Some (π, {#map-to-arg (s' sarg)#} +
map-to-multiset (s' ms)), Map.empty) = Some p ∧ get-gs ghf = Some p'
then have p = (π, ?ms s') ∧ p' = (π', msf) ∧ padd π π' = pwrite
using ghf-def by auto
then show pgte pwrite (padd (fst p) (fst p'))
using not-pgte-charact pgt-implies-pgte by auto
qed

then obtain g where g-def: Some g = Some (Map.empty, Some (π, ?ms
s'), (λ-. None)) ⊕ Some ghf
by simp
moreover have H' ## g
proof (rule compatibleI)
have get-fh g = add-fh Map.empty Map.empty using add-get-fh[of g
(Map.empty, Some (π, ?ms s'), (λ-. None)) ghf]
g-def ⟨get-fh ghf = Map.empty⟩
by fastforce
then have get-fh g = Map.empty
using add-fh-map-empty by auto
then show compatible-fract-heaps (get-fh H') (get-fh g)
using compatible-fract-heapsI by force
show ∀k. get-gu H' k = None ∨ get-gu g k = None
by (meson asm3(4) no-guard-def)
show ∀p p'. get-gs H' = Some p ∧ get-gs g = Some p' ⇒ pgte pwrite

```

```

(padd (fst p) (fst p'))
  by (metis asm3(4) no-guard-def option.simps(3))
qed
then obtain H'' where Some H'' = Some H' ⊕ Some g
  by simp
then have Some H'' = Some (add-sguard-to-no-guard hq' π (?ms s')) ⊕
Some hj' ⊕ Some hf
proof -
  have Some H'' = Some h1 ⊕ Some g ⊕ Some (remove-guards hf)
    by (metis ‹Some H'' = Some H' ⊕ Some g› asm3(1) plus-comm
simpler-asso)
  moreover have Some (add-sguard-to-no-guard hq' π (?ms s')) = Some
hq' ⊕ Some (Map.empty, Some (π, ?ms s'), (λ-. None))
    using ‹Some (add-sguard-to-no-guard hq' π ({#map-to-arg (s'
sarg)#+} + map-to-multiset (s' ms))) = Some hq' ⊕ Some (Map.empty, Some (π,
{#map-to-arg (s' sarg)#+} + map-to-multiset (s' ms)), (λ-. None))› by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) ‹Some h1 = Some hq' ⊕ Some hj'› g-def
ghf-def(1) plus-comm simpler-asso)
qed

```

```

moreover have semi-consistent Γ v0 H''
proof (rule semi-consistentI)
  have get-gs g = Some (pwrite, ?ms s' + msf)
  proof (cases π = pwrite)
    case True
      then have π = pwrite ∧ get-gs ghf = None ∧ msf = {#} using
ghf-def(3)
        by (metis not-pgte-charact pgt-implies-pgte sum-larger)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis add.right-neutral fst-conv g-def get-gs.simps snd-conv
sum-gs-one-none)
    next
    case False
    then have padd π π' = pwrite ∧ get-gs ghf = Some (π', msf)
      using ghf-def(3) by blast
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis calculation(2) fst-conv get-gs.elims snd-conv sum-gs-one-some)
qed

moreover have ∃i. get-gu g i = Some (uargs i)
  by (metis full-uguard-sum-same ghf-def(4) g-def plus-comm)
ultimately have all-guards g
  using all-guards-def by blast
then show all-guards H''
by (metis ‹Some H'' = Some H' ⊕ Some g› all-guards-same plus-comm)
show reachable Γ v0 H''

```

```

proof (rule reachableI)
  fix sargs uargs'
  assume get-gs H'' = Some (pwrite, sargs) ∧ (∀ k. get-gu H'' k = Some (uargs' k))
  then have sargs = ?ms s' + msf
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ⟨Some H'' = Some H' ⊕ Some g⟩
      ⟨get-gs g = Some (pwrite, {#map-to-arg (s' sarg)#} + map-to-multiset (s' ms) + msf)⟩ asm3(4) no-guard-remove(1) option.inject plus-comm snd-conv)
  moreover have uargs = uargs'
    apply (rule ext)
    by (metis ⟨Some H'' = Some H' ⊕ Some g⟩ ⟨ $\bigwedge i. \text{get-gu } g i = \text{Some } (\text{uargs } i)$ ⟩ ⟨get-gs H'' = Some (pwrite, sargs) ∧ (∀ k. get-gu H'' k = Some (uargs' k))⟩ asm3(4) no-guard-remove(2) option.sel plus-comm)
  moreover have view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj')) = view
Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H''))
  using assms(4) sat-inv s' hj' Γ
  proof (rule view-function-of-invE)
    show H'' ⊇ hj'
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ⟨Some H'' = Some H' ⊕ Some g⟩
      ⟨Some h1 = Some hq' ⊕ Some hj'⟩ asm3(1) larger-def larger-trans plus-comm)
  qed
  moreover have reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 (?ms s' + msf) uargs (sact v (map-to-arg (s' sarg)))
  proof –
    have reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 (?pre-ms s + msf)
uargs (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)))
  proof –
    have reachable Γ v0 H
    by (meson asm2 semi-consistent-def)
    moreover have get-gs H = Some (pwrite, ?pre-ms s + msf) ∧ (∀ k. get-gu H k = Some (uargs k))
    proof (rule conjI)
      show  $\forall k. \text{get-gu } H k = \text{Some } (\text{uargs } k)$ 
      by (metis ⟨Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf⟩ full-uguard-sum-same ghf-def(1) ghf-def(4) plus-comm)
    moreover have get-gs hhj = Some (π, ?pre-ms s)
    proof –
      have get-gs hj = None
      using asm2 no-guard-def sat-inv-def by blast
      moreover have get-gs h = Some (π, ?pre-ms s)
        using ⟨get-gu h = Map.empty ∧ get-gs h = Some (π, map-to-multiset (s ms))⟩ by blast
      ultimately show ?thesis
      by (metis ⟨Some hhj = Some h ⊕ Some hj⟩ sum-gs-one-none)
    qed
    ultimately show get-gs H = Some (pwrite, ?pre-ms s + msf)
    proof (cases π = pwrite)

```

```

case True
then have  $\pi = \text{pwrite} \wedge \text{get-gs } \text{ghf} = \text{None} \wedge \text{msf} = \{\#\}$  using
ghf-def(3)
by (metis not-pgte-charact pgt-implies-pgte sum-larger)
then show ?thesis
by (metis <Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf> <get-gs hhj = Some (π, map-to-multiset (s ms))> add.right-neutral full-sguard-sum-same)
next
case False
then have  $\text{padd } \pi \pi' = \text{pwrite} \wedge \text{get-gs } \text{ghf} = \text{Some } (\pi', \text{msf})$ 
using ghf-def(3) by blast
then show ?thesis using <Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf>
sum-gs-one-some ghf-def(1)
by (get-gs hhj = Some (π, ?pre-ms s)> asm3(1) asm3(4))
no-guard-remove(1)[of hf ghf remove-guards hf] no-guard-then-smaller-same plus-comm
by metis
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
by (meson reachableE)
qed
moreover have view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj)) = view
Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
using assms(4)
proof (rule view-function-of-invE)
show sat-inv s hj Γ
by (simp add: asm2-bis)
show  $H \succeq hj$ 
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) <Some H = Some hhj ⊕ Some hf> <Some hhj = Some h ⊕ Some hj> larger-def larger-trans plus-comm)
qed
ultimately have reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 (?pre-ms s + msf) uargs v
using <f (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj)) = v> assms(1) by
auto
then show ?thesis
using SharedStep assms(1)
using <s x = s' x ∧ s sarg = s' sarg ∧ s ms = s' ms> by fastforce
qed
ultimately show reachable-value (saction Γ) (uaction Γ) v0 sargs uargs' (view Γ (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')))
using <f (FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh hj')) = sact v (map-to-arg (s' sarg))> assms(1) by force
qed
qed
ultimately show  $\exists H''. \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H'' \wedge \text{Some } H'' = \text{Some } (\text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } hq' \pi (\{\#\text{map-to-arg } (s' sarg)\#} + \text{map-to-multiset } (s' ms))) \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf$ 
by blast

```

```

qed
ultimately obtain  $H''$  where semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H'' \wedge \text{Some } H'' = \text{Some } (\text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } hq' \pi (?ms s')) \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf$ 
 $\wedge \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (s', \text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } hq' \pi (?ms s')) (\Sigma' (\text{pre-}s, h))$  by blast
moreover have full-ownership ( $\text{get-fh } H''$ )  $\wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H'')$ 
proof –
obtain  $x$  where  $\text{Some } x = \text{Some } (\text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } hq' \pi (?ms s')) \oplus \text{Some } hj'$ 
by (metis calculation not-Some-eq plus.simps(1))
then have  $\text{get-fh } H'' = \text{add-fh} (\text{add-fh} (\text{get-fh} (\text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } hq' \pi (?ms s')) (\text{get-fh } hj')) (\text{get-fh } hf))$ 
by (metis add-get-fh calculation)
moreover have  $\text{get-fh} (\text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } hq' \pi (?ms s')) = \text{get-fh } hq' \wedge \text{get-fh } hf = \text{get-fh} (\text{remove-guards } hf)$ 
by (metis get-fh-add-sguard get-fh-remove-guards)
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis <Some  $h1 = \text{Some } hq' \oplus \text{Some } hj'$ > add-get-fh asm3(1) asm3(3) asm3(4))
qed
moreover have sat-inv pre- $s' hj' \Gamma$ 
proof (rule sat-inv-agrees)
show sat-inv  $s' hj' \Gamma$ 
by (simp add: <sat-inv  $s' hj' \Gamma$ >)
show agrees (fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ ))  $s' \text{ pre-}s'$ 
using UnCI <agrees ( $- \{x\}$ )  $s' \text{ pre-}s'by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) agrees-def in-mono)
qed
moreover have safe  $n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (\text{pre-}s', \text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } hq' \pi (?ms s')) (\Sigma' (\text{pre-}s, h))$ 
proof (rule safe-free-vars-Some)
show safe  $n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (s', \text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } hq' \pi (?ms s')) (\Sigma' (\text{pre-}s, h))$ 
by (meson <safe  $n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (s', \text{add-sguard-to-no-guard } hq' \pi (\{\#\#map-to-arg (s' sarg)\#} + map-to-multiset (s' ms))) (\Sigma' (\text{pre-}s, h))$ > close-var-subset safe-larger-set)
show agrees (fvC  $C' \cup (- \{x\}) \cup \text{fvA} (\text{invariant } \Gamma)$ )  $s' \text{ pre-}s'$ 
by (metis UnI2 Un-absorb1 <agrees ( $- \{x\}$ )  $s' \text{ pre-}s'show upper-fvs (close-var ( $\Sigma' (\text{pre-}s, h)$ )  $x$ ) ( $- \{x\}$ )
by (simp add: upper-fvs-close-vars)
qed
ultimately show  $\exists h'' H' hj'.$ 
full-ownership ( $\text{get-fh } H'$ )  $\wedge$ 
semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H' \wedge$ 
sat-inv pre- $s' hj' \Gamma \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf$$$ 
```

```

 $\wedge \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (\text{pre-}s', h'') (\text{?}\Sigma' (\text{pre-}s, h)) \text{ using } \langle \text{sat-inv } s' h' \rangle \Gamma$ 
by blast
qed (simp)
ultimately show safe k (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Catomic C) (pre- $s$ ,  $h$ ) ( $\text{?}\Sigma'$  (pre- $s$ ,  $h$ ))
by blast
qed (simp)
qed
qed

```

4.4.8 Parallel

lemma *par-cases*:

```

assumes red (Cpar C1 C2)  $\sigma$   $C' \sigma'$ 
and  $\bigwedge C1'. C' = Cpar C1' C2 \wedge \text{red } C1 \sigma C1' \sigma' \implies P$ 
and  $\bigwedge C2'. C' = Cpar C1 C2' \wedge \text{red } C2 \sigma C2' \sigma' \implies P$ 
and  $C1 = Cskip \wedge C2 = Cskip \wedge C' = Cskip \wedge \sigma = \sigma' \implies P$ 
shows  $P$ 
using assms(1)
apply (rule red.cases)
apply blast+
apply (simp add: assms(2))
apply (simp add: assms(3))
apply (simp add: assms(4))
apply blast+
done

```

lemma *no-abort-par*:

```

assumes no-abort  $\Gamma$   $C1 s h$ 
and no-abort  $\Gamma$   $C2 s h$ 
and safe (Suc  $n$ )  $\Delta$   $C1 (s, h1) S1$ 
and safe (Suc  $n$ )  $\Delta$   $C2 (s, h2) S2$ 
and Some  $h = \text{Some } h1 \oplus \text{Some } h2$ 
and bounded  $h$ 
shows no-abort  $\Gamma$  (Cpar C1 C2)  $s h \wedge \text{accesses } (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) s \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{fst } h)$ 
 $\wedge \text{writes } (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) s \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h)$ 
proof (rule conjI)
have  $r: \text{accesses } C1 s \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{fst } h1) \wedge \text{accesses } C2 s \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{fst } h2) \wedge \text{writes }$ 
 $C1 s \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h1) \wedge \text{writes } C2 s \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h2)$ 
using assms(3-4)
by (metis fst-eqD safeAccessesE snd-eqD)
then have  $\text{accesses } (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) s \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{fst } h)$ 
by (metis Un-mono accesses.simps(8) assms(5) dom-sum-two get-fh.elims)
moreover have  $\text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h1) \cup \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h2) \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h)$ 
using assms(5) fpdom-dom-union assms(6) by blast
then have  $\text{writes } (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) s \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h)$ 
using ⟨accesses C1 s ⊆ dom (fst h1) ∧ accesses C2 s ⊆ dom (fst h2) ∧ writes
 $C1 s \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h1) \wedge \text{writes } C2 s \subseteq \text{fpdom } (\text{fst } h2)$ ⟩ dual-order.trans by auto
ultimately show  $\text{accesses } (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) s \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes } (\text{Cpar } C1$ 

```

$C2) s \subseteq fpdom(fst h)$ by *simp*

```

have r: accesses C1 s ∩ writes C2 s = {} ∧ accesses C2 s ∩ writes C1 s = {}
  apply (rule conjI)
  using fpdom-dom-disjoint[OF assms(5)] r
  apply blast
  using assms(5) fpdom-dom-disjoint[of h h2 h1] r plus-comm[of Some h1 Some h2]
  by force

show no-abort Γ (Cpar C1 C2) s h
proof (rule no-abortI)
  show ∨hf H.
    Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf ∧ Γ = None ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧
    no-guard H ==>
    ¬ aborts (Cpar C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
  proof -
    fix hf H assume asm0: Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf ∧ Γ = None ∧
    full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ no-guard H
    let ?H = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)

    show ¬ aborts (Cpar C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume ¬ ¬ aborts (Cpar C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
      then have aborts (Cpar C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
    by simp
      then have aborts C1 (s, ?H) ∨ aborts C2 (s, ?H)
      apply (rule aborts.cases)
        apply simp-all
        using r by force+
      then show False
        using asm0 assms(1) assms(2) no-abortE(1) by blast
    qed
    qed
    fix H hf hj v0 Γ'
    assume asm0: Γ = Some Γ' ∧ Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf ∧
    full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ semi-consistent Γ' v0 H ∧ sat-inv s hj Γ'
    let ?H = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)
    show ¬ aborts (Cpar C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume ¬ ¬ aborts (Cpar C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
      then have aborts (Cpar C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) by
      simp
      then have aborts C1 (s, ?H) ∨ aborts C2 (s, ?H)
      apply (rule aborts.cases)
        apply simp-all
        using r by force+
      then show False
        using asm0 assms(1) assms(2) no-abortE(2) by blast
    
```

```

qed
qed
qed

```

lemma parallel-comp-none:

```

assumes safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C1 (s, h1) S1
and safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C2 (s, h2) S2
and Some h = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2

and disjoint (fvC C1 ∪ vars1) (wrC C2)
and disjoint (fvC C2 ∪ vars2) (wrC C1)

and upper-fvs S1 vars1
and upper-fvs S2 vars2

and bounded h

shows safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cpar C1 C2) (s, h) (add-states S1
S2)
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: C1 h1 C2 h2 s h S1 S2)
case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (rule safeNoneI)
show Cpar C1 C2 = Cskip ==> (s, h) ∈ add-states S1 S2
by simp
have r: no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cpar C1 C2) s h ∧ accesses (Cpar
C1 C2) s ⊆ dom (fst h) ∧ writes (Cpar C1 C2) s ⊆ fpdom (fst h)
proof (rule no-abort-par)
show no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C1 s h
using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(3) larger-def no-abort-larger safe.simps(2)
by blast
have h ⊇ h2
by (metis Suc.prems(3) larger-def plus-comm)
then show no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C2 s h
using Suc.prems(2) no-abort-larger safeNoneE-bis(2) by blast

show safe (Suc n) None C1 (s, h1) S1 using Suc(2) by simp
show safe (Suc n) None C2 (s, h2) S2 using Suc(3) by simp
qed (simp-all add: Suc)
then show no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cpar C1 C2) s h by simp
show accesses (Cpar C1 C2) s ⊆ dom (fst h) ∧ writes (Cpar C1 C2) s ⊆ fpdom
(fst h) using r by simp

fix H hf C' s' h'
assume asm0: Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf ∧
full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ no-guard H ∧ red (Cpar C1 C2) (s, Fractional-
Heap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')

```

```

obtain hf1 where Some hf1 = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Suc.prems(3) asm0 plus.simps(1) plus.simps(3)
plus-asso plus-comm)
then have Some H = Some h2 ⊕ Some hf1
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems(3) asm0 plus-asso plus-comm)
obtain hf2 where Some hf2 = Some h2 ⊕ Some hf
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some H = Some h2 ⊕ Some hf1› ‹Some
hf1 = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf› option.exhaust-sel plus.simps(1) plus-asso plus-comm)
then have Some H = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf2
  by (metis Suc.prems(3) asm0 plus-asso)

let ?H = normalize (get-fh H)

show ∃ h'' H'.
  full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
  no-guard H' ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' =
Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') (add-states
S1 S2)
proof (rule par-cases)
show red (Cpar C1 C2) (s, ?H) C' (s', h')
  using asm0 by blast

show C1 = Cskip ∧ C2 = Cskip ∧ C' = Cskip ∧ (s, FractionalHeap.normalize
(get-fh H)) = (s', h') ==>
  ∃ h'' H'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
  no-guard H' ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some
h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') (add-states S1 S2)
proof -
  assume asm1: C1 = Cskip ∧ C2 = Cskip ∧ C' = Cskip ∧ (s, Fractional-
Heap.normalize (get-fh H)) = (s', h')
  then have (s, h1) ∈ S1 ∧ (s, h2) ∈ S2
  using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) safe.simps(2) by blast
  moreover have (s, h) ∈ add-states S1 S2
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Suc.prems(3) add-states-def calculation
mem-Collect-eq)
  ultimately show ∃ h'' H'.
  full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
  no-guard H' ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some
h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') (add-states S1 S2)
    by (metis asm0 asm1 old.prod.inject safe-skip)
qed

show ⋀ C1'. C' = Cpar C1' C2 ∧ red C1 (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh
H)) C1' (s', h') ==>
  ∃ h'' H'.
  full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
  no-guard H' ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H'

```

```

= Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') (add-states
S1 S2)
proof -
  fix C1'
  assume asm1: C' = Cpar C1' C2 ∧ red C1 (s, FractionalHeap.normalize
(get-fh H)) C1' (s', h')
  then obtain h1' H' where asm2: full-ownership (get-fh H') no-guard H'
h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')
  Some H' = Some h1' ⊕ Some hf2 safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C1'
(s', h1') S1
  using Suc.prems(1) asm0 safeNoneE(3)[of n C1 s h1 S1 H hf2 C1' s' h']
⟨Some H = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf2⟩ by blast

moreover have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C2 (s, h2) S2
  by (meson Suc.prems(2) Suc-led le-Suc-eq safe-smaller)

then have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C2 (s', h2) S2
proof (rule safe-free-vars-None)
  show agrees (fvC C2 ∪ vars2) s s'
    using Suc.prems(5) agrees-minusD[of ] agrees-comm asm1 fst-eqD
red-properties(1) disjoint-def inf-commute
  by metis
  show upper-fvs S2 vars2
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(7))
qed

moreover obtain h'' where Some h'' = Some h1' ⊕ Some h2
  by (metis ⟨Some hf2 = Some h2 ⊕ Some hf⟩ calculation(4) not-Some-eq
plus.simps(1) plus-asso)
  have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cpar C1' C2) (s', h'') (add-states
S1 S2)
proof (rule Suc.hyps)
  show safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C1' (s', h1') S1
    using calculation(5) by blast
  show safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C2 (s', h2) S2
    using calculation(6) by auto
  show Some h'' = Some h1' ⊕ Some h2
    using ⟨Some h'' = Some h1' ⊕ Some h2⟩ by blast
  show disjoint (fvC C1' ∪ vars1) (wrC C2)
    using Suc.prems(4) asm1 red-properties(1) Un-iff disjoint-def[of fvC C1
∪ vars1 wrC C2]
    disjoint-def[of fvC C1' ∪ vars1 wrC C2]
    inf-shunt subset-iff by blast
  show disjoint (fvC C2 ∪ vars2) (wrC C1')
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems(5) asm1 disjoint-def inf-commute
inf-shunt red-properties(1) subset-Un-eq sup-assoc)
  show upper-fvs S1 vars1
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(6))
  show upper-fvs S2 vars2

```

```

    by (simp add: Suc.prems(7))
 $\text{show bounded } h''$ 
    apply (rule bounded-smaller[of H])
    using calculation(1) full-ownership-then-bounded apply fastforce
    by (metis ‹Some h'' = Some h1' ⊕ Some h2› ‹Some hf2 = Some h2 ⊕
      Some hf› calculation(4) larger-def plus-asso)
qed

ultimately show ∃ h'' H'.
  full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
  no-guard H' ∧
  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕
  Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') (add-states S1 S2)
  by (metis ‹Some h'' = Some h1' ⊕ Some h2› ‹Some hf2 = Some h2 ⊕
    Some hf› asm1 plus-asso)
qed

show ⋀ C2'. C' = Cpar C1 C2' ∧ red C2 (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh
H)) C2' (s', h') ⟹
  ∃ h'' H'.
    full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
    no-guard H' ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H'
    = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') (add-states
      S1 S2)
proof -
fix C2'
assume asm1: C' = Cpar C1 C2' ∧ red C2 (s, FractionalHeap.normalize
(get-fh H)) C2' (s', h')
then obtain h2' H' where asm2: full-ownership (get-fh H') no-guard H'
h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')
Some H' = Some h2' ⊕ Some hf1 safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C2'
(s', h2') S2
using Suc.prems(1) asm0 safeNoneE(3) Suc.prems(2) ‹Some H = Some
h2 ⊕ Some hf1› by blast

moreover have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C1 (s, h1) S1
by (meson Suc.prems(1) Suc-leD le-Suc-eq safe-smaller)

then have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C1 (s', h1) S1
proof (rule safe-free-vars-None)
show agrees (fvC C1 ∪ vars1) s s'
  using Suc.prems(4) agrees-comm asm1 fst-eqD red-properties(1) dis-
  joint-def[of fvC C1 ∪ vars1 wrC C2]
  agrees-minusD by (metis inf-commute)
show upper-fvs S1 vars1
  by (simp add: Suc.prems(6))
qed

moreover obtain h'' where Some h'' = Some h2' ⊕ Some h1
by (metis ‹Some hf1 = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf› calculation(4) not-Some-eq

```

```

plus.simps(1) plus-asso)
  have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cpar C1 C2') (s', h'') (add-states
S1 S2)
    proof (rule Suc.hyps)
      show safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C1 (s', h1) S1
        using calculation(6) by blast
      show safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C2' (s', h2') S2
        using calculation(5) by auto
      show Some h'' = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2'
        by (simp add: ‹Some h'' = Some h2' ⊕ Some h1› plus-comm)
      show disjoint (fvC C2' ∪ vars2) (wrC C1)
        using Suc.prems(5) asm1 disjoint-def[of fvC C2 ∪ vars2 wrC C1]
disjoint-def[of fvC C2' ∪ vars2 wrC C1]
inf-shunt inf-sup-aci(5) red-properties(1) subset-Un-eq sup.idem sup-assoc
by fast
      show disjoint (fvC C1 ∪ vars1) (wrC C2')
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems(4) asm1 disjoint-def inf-commute
inf-shunt red-properties(1) subset-Un-eq sup-assoc)
      show upper-fvs S1 vars1
        by (simp add: Suc.prems(6))
      show upper-fvs S2 vars2
        by (simp add: Suc.prems(7))
      show bounded h''
        apply (rule bounded-smaller[of H'])
        using calculation(1) full-ownership-then-bounded apply fastforce
        by (metis ‹Some h'' = Some h2' ⊕ Some h1› ‹Some hf1 = Some h1 ⊕
Some hf› calculation(4) larger-def plus-asso)
    qed
  ultimately show ∃ h'' H'.
    full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
    no-guard H' ∧
    h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕
Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') (add-states S1 S2)
    by (metis ‹Some h'' = Some h2' ⊕ Some h1› ‹Some hf1 = Some h1 ⊕
Some hf› asm1 plus-asso)
  qed
  qed
  qed
qed (simp)

lemma parallel-comp-some:
assumes safe n (Some Γ) C1 (s, h1) S1
  and safe n (Some Γ) C2 (s, h2) S2
  and Some h = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2
  and disjoint (fvC C1 ∪ vars1) (wrC C2)
  and disjoint (fvC C2 ∪ vars2) (wrC C1)

```

```

and upper-fvs S1 vars1
and upper-fvs S2 vars2

and disjoint (fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ )) (wrC C2)
and disjoint (fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ )) (wrC C1)

and bounded h

shows safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Cpar C1 C2) (s, h) (add-states S1 S2)
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: C1 h1 C2 h2 s h S1 S2)
  case (Suc n)
    show ?case
      proof (rule safeSomeI)
        show Cpar C1 C2 = Cskip  $\implies$  (s, h)  $\in$  add-states S1 S2
        by simp
        have r: no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Cpar C1 C2) s h  $\wedge$  accesses (Cpar C1 C2) s  $\subseteq$ 
          dom (fst h)  $\wedge$  writes (Cpar C1 C2) s  $\subseteq$  fpdom (fst h)
        proof (rule no-abort-par)
          show no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C1 s h
          using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(3) larger-def no-abort-larger safe.simps(3)
        by blast
        have h  $\succeq$  h2
        by (metis Suc.prems(3) larger-def plus-comm)
        then show no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C2 s h
        using Suc.prems(2) no-abort-larger safeSomeE(2) by blast

        show safe (Suc n) (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C1 (s, h1) S1 using Suc(2) by simp
        show safe (Suc n) (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C2 (s, h2) S2 using Suc(3) by simp
      qed (simp-all add: Suc)

      then show accesses (Cpar C1 C2) s  $\subseteq$  dom (fst h)  $\wedge$  writes (Cpar C1 C2) s
       $\subseteq$  fpdom (fst h)
      by simp
      show no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Cpar C1 C2) s h using r by simp

      fix H hf C' s' h' hj v0
      assume asm0: Some H = Some h  $\oplus$  Some hj  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  full-ownership
        (get-fh H)  $\wedge$ 
        semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H  $\wedge$  sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$  red (Cpar C1 C2) (s, Fractional-
        Heap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')

      obtain hf1 where Some hf1 = Some h1  $\oplus$  Some hf
      by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Suc.prems(3) asm0 plus.simps(1) plus.simps(3)
      plus-asso plus-comm)
      then have Some H = Some h2  $\oplus$  Some hf1  $\oplus$  Some hj
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems(3) asm0 plus-asso plus-comm)
      then have Some H = Some h2  $\oplus$  Some hj  $\oplus$  Some hf1
    
```

```

    by (metis plus-asso plus-comm)
  obtain hf2 where Some hf2 = Some h2 ⊕ Some hf
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some H = Some h2 ⊕ Some hf1 ⊕
      Some hj› ‹Some hf1 = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf› not-Some-eq plus.simps(1) plus-asso
      plus-comm)
    then have Some H = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf2 ⊕ Some hj
      by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some H = Some h2 ⊕ Some hf1 ⊕ Some
        hj› ‹Some hf1 = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf› plus-asso plus-comm)
    then have Some H = Some h1 ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf2
      by (metis plus-asso plus-comm)

  let ?H = normalize (get-fh H)

  show ∃ h'' H' hj'.
    full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
    semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
    sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧
    h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some
    hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') (add-states S1 S2)
    proof (rule par-cases)
      show red (Cpar C1 C2) (s, ?H) C' (s', h')
        using asm0 by blast

      show C1 = Cskip ∧ C2 = Cskip ∧ C' = Cskip ∧ (s, FractionalHeap.normalize
        (get-fh H)) = (s', h') ⟹
        ∃ h'' H' hj'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
        semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
        sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' =
        Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') (add-states S1 S2)
        proof -
          assume asm1: C1 = Cskip ∧ C2 = Cskip ∧ C' = Cskip ∧ (s, Fractional-
            Heap.normalize (get-fh H)) = (s', h')
          then have (s, h1) ∈ S1 ∧ (s, h2) ∈ S2
            using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) safe.simps(3) by blast
          moreover have (s, h) ∈ add-states S1 S2
            by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Suc.prems(3) add-states-def calculation
              mem-Collect-eq)
          ultimately show ∃ h'' H' hj'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
            semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
            sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' =
            Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') (add-states S1 S2)
            by (metis asm0 asm1 old.prod.inject safe-skip)
        qed

      show ⋀ C1'. C' = Cpar C1' C2 ∧ red C1 (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh
        H)) C1' (s', h') ⟹
        ∃ h'' H' hj'. full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
        sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some
        H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') (add-states

```

```

 $S1 \ S2)$ 
proof -
  fix  $C1'$ 
  assume  $asm1: C' = Cpar\ C1'\ C2 \wedge red\ C1\ (s, FractionalHeap.normalize\ (get-fh\ H))\ C1'\ (s', h')$ 
  then obtain  $h1'\ H'\ hj'$  where  $asm2: full-ownership\ (get-fh\ H')\ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize\ (get-fh\ H')$ 
     $semi-consistent\ \Gamma\ v0\ H'\ sat-inv\ s'\ hj'\ \Gamma\ Some\ H' = Some\ h1' \oplus Some\ hj'$ 
     $\oplus Some\ hf2\ safe\ n\ (Some\ \Gamma)\ C1'\ (s', h1')\ S1$ 
    using  $safeSomeE(3)[of\ n\ \Gamma\ C1\ s\ h1\ S1\ H\ hj\ hf2\ v0\ C1'\ s'\ h']\ Suc.prems(1)$ 
   $asm0$ 
    using  $\langle Some\ H = Some\ h1 \oplus Some\ hj \oplus Some\ hf2 \rangle$  by  $blast$ 

  moreover have  $safe\ n\ (Some\ \Gamma)\ C2\ (s, h2)\ S2$ 
    by ( $meson\ Suc.prems(2)\ Suc-leD\ le-Suc-eq\ safe-smaller$ )

  then have  $safe\ n\ (Some\ \Gamma)\ C2\ (s', h2)\ S2$ 
  proof (rule safe-free-vars-Some)
    show  $agrees\ (fvC\ C2 \cup vars2 \cup fvA\ (invariant\ \Gamma))\ s\ s'$ 
    using  $Suc.prems(5)\ Suc.prems(9)\ agrees-minusD\ agrees-comm\ asm1$ 
     $disjoint-def\ fst-eqD\ red-properties(1)$ 
    by (metis agrees-union inf-commute)
    show  $upper-fvs\ S2\ vars2$ 
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(7))
  qed

  moreover have  $h1' \# h2$ 
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) <Some hf2 = Some h2 ⊕ Some hf>
   $calculation(5)\ compatible-eq\ option.discI\ plus.simps(1)\ plus-asso\ plus-comm$ )
  then obtain  $h''$  where  $Some\ h'' = Some\ h1' \oplus Some\ h2$  by  $simp$ 

  have  $safe\ n\ (Some\ \Gamma)\ (Cpar\ C1'\ C2)\ (s', h'')$  (add-states S1 S2)
  proof (rule Suc.hyps)
    show  $safe\ n\ (Some\ \Gamma)\ C1'\ (s', h1')\ S1$ 
    using  $calculation(6)$  by  $blast$ 
    show  $safe\ n\ (Some\ \Gamma)\ C2\ (s', h2)\ S2$ 
    using  $calculation(7)$  by  $auto$ 
    show  $Some\ h'' = Some\ h1' \oplus Some\ h2$ 
    using  $\langle Some\ h'' = Some\ h1' \oplus Some\ h2 \rangle$  by  $blast$ 
    show  $disjoint\ (fvC\ C1' \cup vars1)\ (wrC\ C2)$ 
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Suc.prems(4) asm1 disjoint-Un1
   $disjnt-def\ disjoint-def\ red-properties(1)\ sup.orderE$ )
    show  $disjoint\ (fvC\ C2 \cup vars2)\ (wrC\ C1')$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems(5) asm1 disjoint-def inf-commute
   $inf-shunt\ red-properties(1)\ subset-Un-eq\ sup-assoc$ )
    show  $upper-fvs\ S1\ vars1$ 
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(6))
    show  $upper-fvs\ S2\ vars2$ 
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(7))

```

```

show disjoint (fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ )) (wrC C2)
  by (simp add: Suc.prems(8))
show disjoint (fvA (invariant  $\Gamma$ )) (wrC C1')
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems(9) asm1 disjoint-def inf-commute
inf-shunt red-properties(1) subset-Un-eq sup-assoc)
show bounded h"
  apply (rule bounded-smaller[of H'])
  using calculation(1) full-ownership-then-bounded apply fastforce
  using <Some h" = Some h1'  $\oplus$  Some h2> <Some hf2 = Some h2  $\oplus$  Some
hf> calculation(5) larger3[of H' - h" hf] plus-asso plus-comm[of Some hj']
  by metis

qed
moreover have Some H' = Some h"  $\oplus$  Some hj'  $\oplus$  Some hf
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) <Some h" = Some h1'  $\oplus$  Some h2>
<Some hf2 = Some h2  $\oplus$  Some hf> calculation(5) plus-asso plus-comm)

ultimately show  $\exists h'' H' hj'.$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
  semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H'  $\wedge$ 
  sat-inv s' hj'  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some
H' = Some h"  $\oplus$  Some hj'  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C' (s', h") (add-states
S1 S2)
  using asm1 by blast

qed

show  $\bigwedge C2'. C' = Cpar C1 C2' \wedge red C2 (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh
H)) C2' (s', h') \implies$ 
   $\exists h'' H' hj'.$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
  semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H'  $\wedge$ 
  sat-inv s' hj'  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some
H' = Some h"  $\oplus$  Some hj'  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C' (s', h") (add-states
S1 S2)
proof -
  fix C2'
  assume asm1: C' = Cpar C1 C2'  $\wedge$  red C2 (s, FractionalHeap.normalize
(get-fh H)) C2' (s', h')
    then obtain h2' H' hj' where asm2: full-ownership (get-fh H') h' =
FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')
    semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H' sat-inv s' hj'  $\Gamma$  Some H' = Some h2'  $\oplus$  Some hj'
 $\oplus$  Some hf1 safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C2' (s', h2') S2
    using safeSomeE(3)[of n  $\Gamma$  C2 s h2 S2 H hj hf1 v0 C2' s' h'] Suc.prems(2)
Suc.prems(3)
    using <Some H = Some h2  $\oplus$  Some hj  $\oplus$  Some hf1> asm0 by blast
moreover have safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C1 (s, h1) S1
  by (meson Suc.prems(1) Suc-leD le-Suc-eq safe-smaller)

```

```

then have safe n (Some Γ) C1 (s', h1) S1
proof (rule safe-free-vars-Some)
  show agrees (fvC C1 ∪ vars1 ∪ fvA (invariant Γ)) s s'
    using Suc.prems(4) Suc.prems(8) agrees-minusD agrees-comm asm1
  fst-eqD red-properties(1)
    by (metis agrees-union disjoint-def inf-commute)
  show upper-fvs S1 vars1
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(6))
qed

moreover have h1 #≡ h2'
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Some hf1 = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf›
calculation(5) compatible-eq option.distinct(1) plus.simps(1) plus-asso plus-comm)
then obtain h'' where Some h'' = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2' by simp

have safe n (Some Γ) (Cpar C1 C2') (s', h'') (add-states S1 S2)
proof (rule Suc.hyps)
  show safe n (Some Γ) C1 (s', h1) S1
    using calculation(7) by blast
  show safe n (Some Γ) C2' (s', h2') S2
    using calculation(6) by auto
  show Some h'' = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2'
    using ‹Some h'' = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2'› by blast
  show disjoint (fvC C1 ∪ vars1) (wrC C2')
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems(4) asm1 disjoint-def inf-commute
inf-shunt red-properties(1) subset-Un-eq sup-assoc)
  show disjoint (fvC C2' ∪ vars2) (wrC C1)
    using Suc.prems(5) asm1 red-properties(1)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-subset-iff disjoint-def inf-shunt sub-
set-Un-eq)
  show disjoint (fvA (invariant Γ)) (wrC C2')
    using Suc.prems(8) asm1 red-properties(1)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-subset-iff disjoint-def inf-commute inf-shunt
subset-Un-eq)
  show disjoint (fvA (invariant Γ)) (wrC C1)
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(9))
  show upper-fvs S1 vars1
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(6))
  show upper-fvs S2 vars2
    by (simp add: Suc.prems(7))
  show bounded h''
    apply (rule bounded-smaller[of H'])
    using calculation(1) full-ownership-then-bounded apply fastforce
proof –
  have Some H' = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2' ⊕ Some h2' ⊕ Some hf
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) ‹Some hf1 = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf›
calculation(5) plus-asso plus-comm)
  then show H' ⊑ h''
```

```

    by (simp add: ‹Some h'' = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2› larger3 plus-comm)
qed
qed
moreover have Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf
  by (metis ‹Some h'' = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2› ‹Some hf1 = Some h1 ⊕
Some hf› calculation(5) plus-comm simpler-asso)
ultimately show ∃ h'' H' hj'.
  full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
  semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
  sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧
  h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕
Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') (add-states S1 S2)
  using asm1 by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed (simp)

```

```

lemma parallel-comp:
fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
assumes safe n Δ C1 (s, h1) S1
  and safe n Δ C2 (s, h2) S2
  and Some h = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2
  and disjoint (fvC C1 ∪ vars1) (wrC C2)
  and disjoint (fvC C2 ∪ vars2) (wrC C1)
  and upper-fvs S1 vars1
  and upper-fvs S2 vars2

  and ∀Γ. Δ = Some Γ ⇒ disjoint (fvA (invariant Γ)) (wrC C2)
  and ∀Γ. Δ = Some Γ ⇒ disjoint (fvA (invariant Γ)) (wrC C1)

and bounded h

```

```

shows safe n Δ (Cpar C1 C2) (s, h) (add-states S1 S2)
proof (cases Δ)
  case None
  then show ?thesis
    using assms parallel-comp-none by blast
next
  case (Some Γ)
  then show ?thesis
    using assms parallel-comp-some by blast
qed

```

```

theorem rule-par:
fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont

```

```

assumes hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta P1 C1 Q1$ 
and hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta P2 C2 Q2$ 
and disjoint (fvA P1  $\cup$  fvC C1  $\cup$  fvA Q1) (wrC C2)
and disjoint (fvA P2  $\cup$  fvC C2  $\cup$  fvA Q2) (wrC C1)

and  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{disjoint}(\text{fvA}(\text{invariant } \Gamma)) (\text{wrC } C2)$ 
and  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{disjoint}(\text{fvA}(\text{invariant } \Gamma)) (\text{wrC } C1)$ 

and precise P1  $\vee$  precise P2

shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta (\text{Star } P1 P2) (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) (\text{Star } Q1 Q2)$ 
proof -
obtain  $\Sigma_1$  where r1:  $\bigwedge \sigma. n. \sigma, \sigma \models P1 \implies \text{bounded}(\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n \Delta C1$ 
 $\sigma (\Sigma_1 \sigma) \bigwedge \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models P1 \implies \text{pair-sat}(\Sigma_1 \sigma) (\Sigma_1 \sigma') Q1$ 
using assms(1) hoare-triple-validE by blast
obtain  $\Sigma_2$  where r2:  $\bigwedge \sigma. n. \sigma, \sigma \models P2 \implies \text{bounded}(\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n \Delta C2$ 
 $\sigma (\Sigma_2 \sigma) \bigwedge \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models P2 \implies \text{pair-sat}(\Sigma_2 \sigma) (\Sigma_2 \sigma') Q2$ 
using assms(2) hoare-triple-validE by blast

define pairs where pairs =  $(\lambda(s, h). \{ ((s, h1), (s, h2)) | h1 h2. \text{Some } h = \text{Some } h1 \oplus \text{Some } h2 \wedge (s, h1), (s, h1) \models P1 \wedge (s, h2), (s, h2) \models P2 \})$ 
define  $\Sigma$  where  $\Sigma = (\lambda \sigma. \bigcup (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \text{pairs } \sigma. \text{add-states}(\text{upperize}(\Sigma_1 \sigma_1) (fvA Q1)) (\text{upperize}(\Sigma_2 \sigma_2) (fvA Q2)))$ 

show ?thesis
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI-bounded)
show  $\bigwedge s h n. (s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Star } P1 P2 \implies \text{bounded } h \implies \text{safe } n \Delta (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) (s, h) (\Sigma (s, h))$ 
proof -
fix s h n assume  $(s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Star } P1 P2 \text{ bounded } h$ 
then obtain h1 h2 where asm0:  $\text{Some } h = \text{Some } h1 \oplus \text{Some } h2 (s, h1), (s, h1) \models P1$ 
 $(s, h2), (s, h2) \models P2$ 
using always-sat-refl hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
then have  $((s, h1), (s, h2)) \in \text{pairs } (s, h)$ 
using pairs-def by blast
then have add-states ( $\text{upperize}(\Sigma_1 (s, h1)) (fvA Q1)$ ) ( $\text{upperize}(\Sigma_2 (s, h2)) (fvA Q2)$ )  $\subseteq \Sigma (s, h)$ 
using  $\Sigma$ -def by blast
moreover have safe n  $\Delta (\text{Cpar } C1 C2) (s, h) (\text{add-states}(\text{upperize}(\Sigma_1 (s, h1)) (fvA Q1)) (\text{upperize}(\Sigma_2 (s, h2)) (fvA Q2)))$ 
proof (rule parallel-comp)
show safe n  $\Delta C1 (s, h1) (\text{upperize}(\Sigma_1 (s, h1)) (fvA Q1))$ 
by (metis bounded h asm0(1) asm0(2) bounded-smaller-sum r1(1)
safe-larger-set-aux snd-conv upperize-larger)
show safe n  $\Delta C2 (s, h2) (\text{upperize}(\Sigma_2 (s, h2)) (fvA Q2))$ 
by (metis bounded h asm0(1) asm0(3) bounded-smaller-sum plus-comm
r2(1) safe-larger-set-aux snd-conv upperize-larger)

```

```

show Some h = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2 using asm0 by simp
show disjoint (fvC C1 ∪ fvA Q1) (wrC C2)
  by (metis Un-subset-iff assms(3) disjoint-def inf-shunt)
show disjoint (fvC C2 ∪ fvA Q2) (wrC C1)
  by (metis Un-subset-iff assms(4) disjoint-def inf-shunt)
show upper-fvs (upperize (Σ1 (s, h1)) (fvA Q1)) (fvA Q1)
  by (simp add: upper-fvs-upperize)
show upper-fvs (upperize (Σ2 (s, h2)) (fvA Q2)) (fvA Q2)
  using upper-fvs-upperize by auto
show ∀Γ. Δ = Some Γ ⇒ disjoint (fvA (invariant Γ)) (wrC C2)
  using assms(5) by auto
show ∀Γ. Δ = Some Γ ⇒ disjoint (fvA (invariant Γ)) (wrC C1)
  using assms(6) by blast
show bounded h
  by (simp add: bounded h)
qed
ultimately show safe n Δ (Cpar C1 C2) (s, h) (Σ (s, h))
  using safe-larger-set by blast
qed

fix s h s' h'
assume (s, h), (s', h') ⊨ Star P1 P2
then obtain h1 h2 h1' h2' where asm0: Some h = Some h1 ⊕ Some h2 Some
h' = Some h1' ⊕ Some h2'
  (s, h1), (s', h1') ⊨ P1 (s, h2), (s', h2') ⊨ P2
  by auto

show pair-sat (Σ (s, h)) (Σ (s', h')) (Star Q1 Q2)
proof (rule pair-satI)
fix ss hh ss' hh' assume asm1: (ss, hh) ∈ Σ (s, h) ∧ (ss', hh') ∈ Σ (s', h')

  then obtain σ1 σ2 σ1' σ2' where (σ1, σ2) ∈ pairs (s, h) (σ1', σ2') ∈
pairs (s', h')
    (ss, hh) ∈ add-states (upperize (Σ1 σ1) (fvA Q1)) (upperize (Σ2 σ2) (fvA
Q2))
    (ss', hh') ∈ add-states (upperize (Σ1 σ1') (fvA Q1)) (upperize (Σ2 σ2') (fvA
Q2))
    using Σ-def by blast
  then obtain fst σ1 = s fst σ2 = s fst σ1' = s' fst σ2' = s' Some h = Some
(snd σ1) ⊕ Some (snd σ2)
    Some h' = Some (snd σ1') ⊕ Some (snd σ2')
    (s, snd σ1), (s, snd σ1') ⊨ P1 ∧ (s, snd σ2), (s, snd σ2') ⊨ P2
    (s', snd σ1'), (s', snd σ1') ⊨ P1 ∧ (s', snd σ2'), (s', snd σ2') ⊨ P2
    using case-prod-conv pairs-def by auto

  moreover have snd σ1 = h1 ∧ snd σ2 = h2 ∧ snd σ1' = h1' ∧ snd σ2'
= h2'
  proof (cases precise P1)
    case True

```

```

then have  $\text{snd } \sigma_1 = h_1 \wedge \text{snd } \sigma_1' = h_1'$ 
proof (rule preciseE)
  show  $h \succeq h_1 \wedge h \succeq \text{snd } \sigma_1 \wedge h' \succeq h_1' \wedge h' \succeq \text{snd } \sigma_1'$ 
  using asm0(1) asm0(2) calculation(5) calculation(6) larger-def by blast
  show  $(s, h_1), (s', h_1') \models P_1 \wedge (s, \text{snd } \sigma_1), (s', \text{snd } \sigma_1') \models P_1$ 
    by (metis True  $\langle h \succeq h_1 \wedge h \succeq \text{snd } \sigma_1 \wedge h' \succeq h_1' \wedge h' \succeq \text{snd } \sigma_1' \rangle$ 
always-sat-refl asm0(3) calculation(7) calculation(8) preciseE sat-comm)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis addition-cancellative asm0(1) asm0(2) calculation(5) calculation(6) plus-comm)
  next
    case False
    then have precise P2
      using assms(7) by blast
    then have  $\text{snd } \sigma_2 = h_2 \wedge \text{snd } \sigma_2' = h_2'$ 
    proof (rule preciseE)
      show  $h \succeq h_2 \wedge h \succeq \text{snd } \sigma_2 \wedge h' \succeq h_2' \wedge h' \succeq \text{snd } \sigma_2'$ 
        by (metis asm0(1) asm0(2) calculation(5) calculation(6) larger-def
plus-comm)
      show  $(s, h_2), (s', h_2') \models P_2 \wedge (s, \text{snd } \sigma_2), (s', \text{snd } \sigma_2') \models P_2$ 
        by (metis  $\langle h \succeq h_2 \wedge h \succeq \text{snd } \sigma_2 \wedge h' \succeq h_2' \wedge h' \succeq \text{snd } \sigma_2' \rangle$  'precise
P2' always-sat-refl asm0(4) calculation(7) calculation(8) preciseE sat-comm)
      qed
      then show ?thesis
        using addition-cancellative asm0(1) asm0(2) calculation(5) calculation(6)
        by blast
      qed
      ultimately have pair-sat  $(\Sigma_1 \sigma_1) (\Sigma_1 \sigma_1') Q_1 \wedge \text{pair-sat} (\Sigma_2 \sigma_2) (\Sigma_2 \sigma_2')$  Q2
        by (metis asm0(3) asm0(4) prod.exhaustsel r1(2) r2(2))
      then show  $(ss, hh), (ss', hh') \models \text{Star } Q_1 Q_2$ 
        by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)  $\langle (ss, hh), (ss', hh') \rangle \in \text{add-states}$  (upperize  $(\Sigma_1 \sigma_1')$  (fvA Q1)) (upperize  $(\Sigma_2 \sigma_2')$  (fvA Q2))  $\rangle$   $\langle (ss, hh), (ss', hh') \rangle \in \text{add-states}$  (upperize  $(\Sigma_1 \sigma_1)$  (fvA Q1)) (upperize  $(\Sigma_2 \sigma_2)$  (fvA Q2))  $\rangle$  add-states-sat-star pair-sat-comm
pair-sat-def pair-sat-upperize)
      qed
      qed
    qed

```

4.4.9 If

lemma *if-cases*:

```

assumes red (Cif b C1 C2)  $(s, h) C' (s', h')$ 
and  $C' = C_1 \implies s = s' \wedge h = h' \implies \text{bdenot } b s \implies P$ 
and  $C' = C_2 \implies s = s' \wedge h = h' \implies \neg \text{bdenot } b s \implies P$ 
shows P
using assms(1)
apply (rule red.cases)

```

```

apply blast+
using assms(2) apply fastforce
using assms(3) apply fastforce
apply blast+
done

lemma if-safe-None:
fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont

assumes bdenot b s ==> safe n Δ C1 (s, h) S
  and ¬ bdenot b s ==> safe n Δ C2 (s, h) S
  and Δ = None
shows safe (Suc n) (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cif b C1 C2) (s, h) S
proof (rule safeNoneI)
show Cif b C1 C2 = Cskip ==> (s, h) ∈ S by simp
show no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cif b C1 C2) s h
proof (rule no-abortNoneI)
fix hf H assume Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧
no-guard H
show ¬ aborts (Cif b C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
proof (rule ccontr)
assume ¬ ¬ aborts (Cif b C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
then have aborts (Cif b C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) by
simp
then show False
by (rule aborts.cases) auto
qed
qed
fix H hf C' s' h'
assume asm0: Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧
no-guard H
∧ red (Cif b C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')
show ∃ h'' H'.
full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
no-guard H' ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' =
Some h'' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') S
by (metis asm0 assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) if-cases)
qed (simp)

lemma if-safe-Some:
assumes bdenot b s ==> safe n (Some Γ) C1 (s, h) S
  and ¬ bdenot b s ==> safe n (Some Γ) C2 (s, h) S
shows safe (Suc n) (Some Γ) (Cif b C1 C2) (s, h) S
proof (rule safeSomeI)
show Cif b C1 C2 = Cskip ==> (s, h) ∈ S by simp
show no-abort (Some Γ) (Cif b C1 C2) s h
proof (rule no-abortSomeI)
fix H hf hj v0
assume asm0: Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership

```

```

(get-fh H) ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H ∧ sat-inv s hj Γ
  show ¬ aborts (Cif b C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume ¬ ¬ aborts (Cif b C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
    then have aborts (Cif b C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) by
simp
  then show False
  by (rule aborts.cases) auto
qed
qed
fix H hf C' s' h' hj v0
assume asm0: Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ semi-consistent Γ v0 H
  ∧ sat-inv s hj Γ ∧ red (Cif b C1 C2) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
  C' (s', h')
  show ∃ h'' H' hj'.
    full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
    semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
    sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' =
    Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') S
    by (metis asm0 assms(1) assms(2) if-cases)
qed (simp)

```

```

lemma if-safe:
  fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
  assumes bdenot b s ==> safe n Δ C1 (s, h) S
    and ¬ bdenot b s ==> safe n Δ C2 (s, h) S
  shows safe (Suc n) Δ (Cif b C1 C2) (s, h) S
  apply (cases Δ)
  using assms(1) assms(2) if-safe-None apply blast
  using assms(1) assms(2) if-safe-Some by blast

```

theorem if1-rule:

```

  fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
  assumes hoare-triple-valid Δ (And P (Bool b)) C1 Q
    and hoare-triple-valid Δ (And P (Bool (Bnot b))) C2 Q
  shows hoare-triple-valid Δ (And P (Low b)) (Cif b C1 C2) Q
  proof -
    obtain Σt where safe-t: ∧σ n. σ, σ ⊨ And P (Bool b) ==> bounded (snd σ)
      ==> safe n Δ C1 σ (Σt σ)
      ∧σ σ'. σ, σ' ⊨ And P (Bool b) ==> pair-sat (Σt σ) (Σt σ') Q
      using assms(1) hoare-triple-validE by blast
    obtain Σf where safe-f: ∧σ n. σ, σ ⊨ And P (Bool (Bnot b)) ==> bounded
      (snd σ) ==> safe n Δ C2 σ (Σf σ)
      ∧σ σ'. σ, σ' ⊨ And P (Bool (Bnot b)) ==> pair-sat (Σf σ) (Σf σ') Q
      using assms(2) hoare-triple-validE by blast

```

```

define  $\Sigma$  where  $\Sigma = (\lambda\sigma. \text{if } b\text{denot } b \text{ (fst } \sigma) \text{ then } \Sigma t \sigma \text{ else } \Sigma f \sigma)$ 

show ?thesis
proof (rule hoare-triple-valid-smallerI-bounded)

show  $\bigwedge \sigma n. \sigma, \sigma \models \text{And } P \text{ (Low } b) \implies \text{bounded } (\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n \Delta \text{ (Cif } b C1 C2) \sigma \text{ } (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
proof -
  fix  $\sigma n$ 
  assume  $\text{asm0}: \sigma, \sigma \models \text{And } P \text{ (Low } b) \text{ bounded } (\text{snd } \sigma)$ 
  show  $\text{safe } n \Delta \text{ (Cif } b C1 C2) \sigma \text{ } (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
  proof (cases  $b\text{denot } b \text{ (fst } \sigma)$ )
    case True
    then have  $\text{safe } n \Delta C1 \sigma \text{ } (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
    by (metis  $\Sigma$ -def  $\text{asm0}$  hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3) prod.exhaustsel safe-t(1))
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-n-not-le-n True if-safe nat-le-linear prod.exhaustsel safe-smaller)
  next
    case False
    then have  $\text{safe } n \Delta C2 \sigma \text{ } (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
    by (metis  $\Sigma$ -def  $\text{asm0}$  bdenot.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3) prod.exhaustsel safe-f(1))
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis (mono-tags) False Suc-n-not-le-n if-safe nat-le-linear prod.exhaustsel safe-smaller)
  qed
qed
fix  $\sigma \sigma'$  assume  $\text{asm0}: \sigma, \sigma' \models \text{And } P \text{ (Low } b)$ 
show pair-sat  $(\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') Q$ 
proof (cases  $b\text{denot } b \text{ (fst } \sigma)$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $\Sigma$ -def  $\text{asm0}$  hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(5) prod.exhaustsel safe-t(2))
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $\Sigma$ -def  $\text{asm0}$  bdenot.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(5) prod.exhaustsel safe-f(2))
qed
qed
qed

theorem if2-rule:
fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$ 
assumes hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta \text{ (And } P \text{ (Bool } b)) C1 Q$ 
  and hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta \text{ (And } P \text{ (Bool } (\text{Bnot } b))) C2 Q$ 

```

```

and unary  $Q$ 
shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta P (Cif b C1 C2) Q$ 
proof -
obtain  $\Sigma t$  where safe- $t$ :  $\Lambda \sigma n. \sigma, \sigma \models And P (Bool b) \Rightarrow bounded (snd \sigma)$ 
 $\Rightarrow safe n \Delta C1 \sigma (\Sigma t \sigma)$ 
 $\Lambda \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models And P (Bool b) \Rightarrow pair-sat (\Sigma t \sigma) (\Sigma t \sigma') Q$ 
using assms(1) hoare-triple-validE by blast
obtain  $\Sigma f$  where safe- $f$ :  $\Lambda \sigma n. \sigma, \sigma \models And P (Bool (Bnot b)) \Rightarrow bounded$ 
 $(snd \sigma) \Rightarrow safe n \Delta C2 \sigma (\Sigma f \sigma)$ 
 $\Lambda \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models And P (Bool (Bnot b)) \Rightarrow pair-sat (\Sigma f \sigma) (\Sigma f \sigma') Q$ 
using assms(2) hoare-triple-validE by blast

define  $\Sigma$  where  $\Sigma = (\lambda \sigma. if bdenot b (fst \sigma) then \Sigma t \sigma else \Sigma f \sigma)$ 

show ?thesis
proof (rule hoare-triple-valid-smallerI-bounded)

show  $\Lambda \sigma n. \sigma, \sigma \models P \Rightarrow bounded (snd \sigma) \Rightarrow safe n \Delta (Cif b C1 C2) \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
proof -
fix  $\sigma n$ 
assume asm0:  $\sigma, \sigma \models P bounded (snd \sigma)$ 
show safe  $n \Delta (Cif b C1 C2) \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
proof (cases bdenot b (fst  $\sigma$ ))
case True
then have safe  $n \Delta C1 \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
by (metis Sigma-def asm0 hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3) prod.exhaustsel
safe-t(1))
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-n-not-le-n True if-safe nat-le-linear
prod.exhaustsel safe-smaller)
next
case False
then have safe  $n \Delta C2 \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
by (metis Sigma-def asm0 bdenot.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3)
prod.exhaustsel safe-f(1))
then show ?thesis
by (metis (mono-tags) False Suc-n-not-le-n if-safe nat-le-linear prod.exhaustsel
safe-smaller)
qed
qed
fix  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ 
assume asm0:  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models P$ 
then have asm0-bis:  $\sigma_2, \sigma_1 \models P$ 
by (simp add: sat-comm)
show pair-sat  $(\Sigma \sigma_1) (\Sigma \sigma_2) Q$ 
proof (rule pair-sat-smallerI)
fix  $\sigma'_1 \sigma'_2$ 
assume asm1:  $\sigma'_1 \in \Sigma \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma'_2 \in \Sigma \sigma_2$ 
then have  $\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2 \models Q$ 

```

```

apply (cases bdenot b (fst σ1))
apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Σ-def always-sat-refl asm0 hyper-sat.simps(1)
hyper-sat.simps(3) pair-sat-def safe-t(2) surjective-pairing)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Σ-def always-sat-refl asm0 bdenot.simps(3)
hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3) pair-satE prod.collapse safe-f(2))
moreover have σ2', σ2' ⊢ Q
apply (cases bdenot b (fst σ2))
apply (metis (mono-tags) Σ-def always-sat-refl asm0-bis asm1 entailsI en-
tails-def fst-conv hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3) old.prod.exhaust pair-sat-def
safe-t(2))
using Σ-def always-sat-refl asm0-bis bdenot.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(1)
hyper-sat.simps(3) pair-satE prod.collapse safe-f(2)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) asm1)
ultimately show σ1', σ2' ⊢ Q
by (metis assms(3) eq-fst-iff unaryE)
qed
qed
qed

```

4.4.10 Sequential composition

inductive-cases red-seq-cases: red (Cseq C1 C2) σ C' σ'

```

lemma aborts-seq-aborts-C1:
assumes aborts (Cseq C1 C2) σ
shows aborts C1 σ
using aborts.simps assms cmd.inject(6) by blast

lemma safe-seq-None:
assumes safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C1 (s, h) S1
and ∀m s' h'. m ≤ n ∧ (s', h') ∈ S1 ⇒ safe m (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont)
C2 (s', h') S2
shows safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cseq C1 C2) (s, h) S2
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: C1 s h)
case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (rule safeNoneI)
show no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cseq C1 C2) s h
by (meson Suc.prems(1) aborts-seq-aborts-C1 no-abort.simps(1) safeNoneE-bis(2))
show accesses (Cseq C1 C2) s ⊆ dom (fst h) ∧ writes (Cseq C1 C2) s ⊆ fpdom
(fst h)
by (metis Suc.prems(1) accesses.simps(7) fst-conv safeAccessesE snd-conv
writes.simps(7))
fix H hf C' s' h'
assume asm0: Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf ∧
full-ownership (get-fh H) ∧ no-guard H ∧ red (Cseq C1 C2) (s, Fractional-
Heap.normalize (get-fh H)) C' (s', h')

```

```

show  $\exists h'' H'.$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh  $H'$ )  $\wedge$ 
    no-guard  $H' \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' =$ 
     $\text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C'(s', h') S2$ 
  proof (rule red-seq-cases)
    show red (Cseq  $C1 C2$ ) ( $s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)$ )  $C'(s', h')$ 
      using asm0 by blast
    show  $C1 = \text{Cskip} \implies$ 
       $C' = C2 \implies$ 
       $(s', h') = (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)) \implies$ 
       $\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge$ 
         $\text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some }$ 
         $h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C'(s', h') S2$ 
      using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) asm0 order-refl prod.inject safeNoneE-bis(1)
      by (metis le-SucI)
      fix  $C1'$  assume  $C' = \text{Cseq } C1' C2 \text{ red } C1 (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)) C1'(s', h')$ 
      obtain  $H' h''$  where asm1: full-ownership (get-fh  $H'$ ) no-guard  $H' h' =$ 
         $\text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H')$ 
         $\text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \text{ safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C1'(s', h') S1$ 
      using Suc(2) safeNoneE(3)[of n C1 s h S1 H hf C1' s' h']
      using  $\langle \text{red } C1 (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)) C1'(s', h') \rangle$  asm0
      by blast
    moreover have safe  $n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) (\text{Cseq } C1' C2) (s', h') S2$ 
    using Suc.hyps Suc.prems(2) calculation(5)
    using le-Suc-eq by presburger
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $\langle C' = \text{Cseq } C1' C2 \rangle$  by blast
  qed
  qed (simp)
  qed (simp)

```

```

lemma safe-seq-Some:
  assumes safe  $n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C1 (s, h) S1$ 
  and  $\bigwedge m s' h'. m \leq n \wedge (s', h') \in S1 \implies \text{safe } m (\text{Some } \Gamma) C2 (s', h') S2$ 
  shows safe  $n (\text{Some } \Gamma) (\text{Cseq } C1 C2) (s, h) S2$ 
  using assms
  proof (induct n arbitrary: C1 s h)
    case (Suc n)
    show ?case
      proof (rule safeSomeI)
        show no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Cseq  $C1 C2$ )  $s h$ 
        by (meson Suc.prems(1) aborts-seq-aborts-C1 no-abort.simps(2) safeSomeE(2))
        show accesses (Cseq  $C1 C2$ )  $s \subseteq \text{dom}(\text{fst } h) \wedge \text{writes} (\text{Cseq } C1 C2) s \subseteq \text{fpdom}$ 
           $(\text{fst } h)$ 
        by (metis Suc.prems(1) accesses.simps(7) fst-conv safeAccessesE snd-conv
          writes.simps(7))
        fix  $H hf C' s' h' hj v0$ 

```

```

assume asm0: Some  $H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hj \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge$ 
    full-ownership ( $\text{get-fh } H$ )  $\wedge$  semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H \wedge \text{sat-inv } s \ hj \ \Gamma \wedge \text{red}$ 
    ( $\text{Cseq } C1\ C2$ ) ( $s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H)$ )  $C' (s', h')$ 
show  $\exists h'' H' hj'. \text{full-ownership} (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge$ 
    semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv } s' \ hj' \ \Gamma \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}$ 
    ( $\text{get-fh } H'$ )  $\wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C'$ 
    ( $s', h''$ )  $S2$ 
proof (rule red-seq-cases)
show  $\text{red} (\text{Cseq } C1\ C2) (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s', h')$ 
using asm0 by blast
show  $C1 = \text{Cskip} \implies$ 
 $C' = C2 \implies$ 
 $(s', h') = (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H)) \implies \exists h'' H' hj'. \text{full-ownership}$ 
    ( $\text{get-fh } H'$ )  $\wedge$ 
    semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv } s' \ hj' \ \Gamma \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}$ 
    ( $\text{get-fh } H'$ )
     $\wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C' (s', h'')$ 
 $S2$ 
using Pair-inject Suc.prems(1) Suc-n-not-le-n asm0 assms(2) not-less-eq-eq
safeSomeE(1)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc.prems(2) nat-le-linear)
fix  $C1'$  assume  $C' = \text{Cseq } C1' C2 \text{ red } C1 (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}$ 
    ( $\text{get-fh } H$ )  $C1' (s', h')$ 
obtain  $H' h'' hj'$  where asm1: full-ownership ( $\text{get-fh } H'$ )  $\wedge$ 
    semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv } s' \ hj' \ \Gamma \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}$ 
    ( $\text{get-fh } H'$ )  $\wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hj' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C1'$ 
    ( $s', h''$ )  $S1$ 
using Suc(2) safeSomeE(3)[of  $n \ \Gamma \ C1 \ s \ h \ S1 \ H \ hj \ hf \ v0 \ C1' \ s' \ h'$ ]
using ⟨red  $C1 (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H)) C1' (s', h')$ ⟩ asm0
by blast
moreover have  $\text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) (Cseq C1' C2) (s', h'') S2$ 
by (simp add: Suc.hyps Suc.prems(2) calculation)
ultimately show ?thesis
using ⟨ $C' = \text{Cseq } C1' C2$ ⟩ by blast
qed
qed (simp)
qed (simp)

lemma seq-safe:
fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$ 
assumes  $\text{safe } n \ \Delta \ C1 (s, h) \ S1$ 
    and  $\bigwedge m s' h'. m \leq n \wedge (s', h') \in S1 \implies \text{safe } m \ \Delta \ C2 (s', h') \ S2$ 
shows  $\text{safe } n \ \Delta \ (Cseq C1\ C2) (s, h) \ S2$ 
apply (cases  $\Delta$ )
using assms(1) assms(2) safe-seq-None apply blast
using assms(1) assms(2) safe-seq-Some by blast

theorem seq-rule:
fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$ 

```

```

assumes hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta P C1 R$ 
  and hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta R C2 Q$ 
  shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta P (Cseq C1 C2) Q$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\Sigma_1$  where safe-1:  $\bigwedge \sigma. n. \sigma, \sigma \models P \implies \text{bounded}(\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n \Delta$ 
 $C1 \sigma (\Sigma_1 \sigma)$ 
 $\bigwedge \sigma' \sigma. \sigma, \sigma' \models P \implies \text{pair-sat}(\Sigma_1 \sigma) (\Sigma_1 \sigma') R$ 
  using assms(1) hoare-triple-validE by blast
  obtain  $\Sigma_2$  where safe-2:  $\bigwedge \sigma. n. \sigma, \sigma \models R \implies \text{bounded}(\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n \Delta$ 
 $C2 \sigma (\Sigma_2 \sigma)$ 
 $\bigwedge \sigma' \sigma. \sigma, \sigma' \models R \implies \text{pair-sat}(\Sigma_2 \sigma) (\Sigma_2 \sigma') Q$ 
  using assms(2) hoare-triple-validE by blast

define  $\Sigma$  where  $\Sigma = (\lambda \sigma. (\bigcup \sigma' \in \Sigma_1 \sigma. \Sigma_2 \sigma'))$ 

show ?thesis
proof (rule hoare-triple-valid-smallerI-bounded)
  show  $\bigwedge \sigma. n. \sigma, \sigma \models P \implies \text{bounded}(\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n \Delta (Cseq C1 C2) \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
proof -
  fix  $\sigma$   $n$  assume asm0:  $\sigma, \sigma \models P \text{ bounded } (\text{snd } \sigma)$ 
  then have pair-sat  $(\Sigma_1 \sigma) (\Sigma_1 \sigma) R$ 
    using safe-1(2) by blast

  have safe  $n \Delta (Cseq C1 C2) (\text{fst } \sigma, \text{snd } \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
  proof (rule seq-safe)
    thm restrict-safe-to-bounded
    show safe  $n \Delta C1 (\text{fst } \sigma, \text{snd } \sigma) (\text{Set.filter}(\text{bounded} \circ \text{snd}) (\Sigma_1 \sigma))$ 
      apply (rule restrict-safe-to-bounded)
      using asm0 safe-1(1) by simp-all

    fix  $m s' h'$ 
    assume  $m \leq n \wedge (s', h') \in \text{Set.filter}(\text{bounded} \circ \text{snd}) (\Sigma_1 \sigma)$ 
    then have safe  $m \Delta C2 (s', h') (\Sigma_2 (s', h'))$ 
      using safe-2(1)[of  $(s', h') m$ ] pair-sat  $(\Sigma_1 \sigma) (\Sigma_1 \sigma) R$  unfolding
      pair-sat-def
      by simp
      then show safe  $m \Delta C2 (s', h') (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
        using Sup-upper  $\langle \Sigma \equiv \lambda \sigma. \bigcup (\Sigma_2 \setminus \Sigma_1 \sigma) \rangle$  pair-sat  $(\Sigma_1 \sigma) (\Sigma_1 \sigma) R$ 
        image-iff safe-larger-set asm0(2)
        by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $m \leq n \wedge (s', h') \in \text{Set.filter}(\text{bounded} \circ \text{snd}) (\Sigma_1 \sigma)$ , member-filter)
      qed
      then show safe  $n \Delta (Cseq C1 C2) \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$  by auto
    qed
    fix  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$ 
    assume asm0:  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models P$ 
    show pair-sat  $(\Sigma \sigma_1) (\Sigma \sigma_2) Q$ 
  proof (rule pair-sat-smallerI)

```

```

fix  $\sigma_1'' \sigma_2''$ 
assume  $asm1: \sigma_1'' \in \Sigma \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2'' \in \Sigma \sigma_2$ 
then obtain  $\sigma_1' \sigma_2'$  where  $\sigma_1'' \in \Sigma_2 \sigma_1' \sigma_1' \in \Sigma_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_2'' \in \Sigma_2 \sigma_2'$ 
 $\sigma_2' \in \Sigma_1 \sigma_2$ 
using  $\langle \Sigma \equiv \lambda \sigma. \bigcup (\Sigma_2 \setminus \Sigma_1 \sigma) \rangle$  by blast
then show  $\sigma_1'', \sigma_2'' \models Q$ 
by (meson  $asm0$  pair-sat-def safe-1(2) safe-2(2))
qed
qed
qed

```

4.4.11 Frame rule

```

lemma safe-frame-None:
assumes safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, h) S
and Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf0
and bounded H
shows safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, H) (add-states S {(s'', hf0) | s''.
agrees (– wrC C) s s''})
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: s h H C)
case (Suc n)
show safe (Suc n) (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, H) (add-states S {(s'', hf0) |
s''. agrees (– wrC C) s s''})
proof (rule safeNoneI)
show C = Cskip  $\implies$  (s, H)  $\in$  add-states S {(s', hf0) | s'. agrees (– wrC C) s
s'}
using CollectI Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) add-states-def agrees-def[of – wrC
C s] safeNoneE(1)[of n C s h S]
by fast
show no-abort (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C s H
using Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) larger-def no-abort-larger safeNoneE(2)
by blast

have accesses C s  $\subseteq$  dom (fst h)  $\wedge$  writes C s  $\subseteq$  fpdom (fst h)
using Suc.prems(1) by auto
moreover have dom (fst h)  $\subseteq$  dom (fst H)
by (metis Suc.prems(2) addition-smaller-domain get-fh.simps)
moreover have fpdom (fst h)  $\subseteq$  fpdom (fst H)
using Suc.prems(2) fpdom-inclusion
using Suc.prems(3) by blast

ultimately show accesses C s  $\subseteq$  dom (fst H)  $\wedge$  writes C s  $\subseteq$  fpdom (fst H)
by blast

fix H1 hf1 C' s' h'
assume  $asm0: \text{Some } H1 = \text{Some } H \oplus \text{Some } hf1 \wedge \text{full-ownership} (\text{get-fh } H1)$ 
 $\wedge \text{no-guard } H1 \wedge \text{red } C (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H1)) C' (s', h')$ 
then obtain hf where Some hf = Some hf0  $\oplus$  Some hf1

```

```

by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Suc.prems(2) option.collapse plus.simps(1)
plus-asso plus-comm)
then have Some H1 = Some h ⊕ Some hf
by (metis Suc.prems(2) asm0 plus-asso)
then obtain h'' H' where r: full-ownership (get-fh H')
no-guard H' h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') Some H' = Some h''
⊕ Some hf safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') S
using safeNoneE(3)[of n C s h S H1 hf C' s'] Suc.prems(1) asm0 by blast
then obtain h''' where Some h''' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf0
by (metis ‹Some hf = Some hf0 ⊕ Some hf1› not-Some-eq plus.simps(1)
plus-asso)
then have Some H' = Some h''' ⊕ Some hf1
by (metis ‹Some hf = Some hf0 ⊕ Some hf1› plus-asso r(4))
moreover have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h''') (add-states S
{(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (‐ wrC C') s' s''})
proof (rule Suc.hyps)
show safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') S
using r by simp
show Some h''' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf0
by (simp add: ‹Some h''' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf0›)
show bounded h'''
by (metis bounded-smaller-sum calculation full-ownership-then-bounded
get-fh.simps r(1))
qed
moreover have add-states S {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (‐ wrC C') s' s''} ⊆
add-states S {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (‐ wrC C) s s''}
proof –
have wrC C' ⊆ wrC C
using asm0 red-properties(1) by blast
have {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (‐ wrC C') s' s''} ⊆ {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (‐
wrC C) s s''}
proof
fix x assume x ∈ {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (‐ wrC C') s' s''}
then have agrees (‐ wrC C') s' (fst x) ∧ snd x = hf0 by force
moreover have fvC C' ⊆ fvC C ∧ wrC C' ⊆ wrC C ∧ agrees (‐ wrC C)
s' s
using asm0 red-properties(1) by force

moreover have agrees (‐ wrC C) s (fst x)
proof (rule agreesI)
fix y assume y ∈ – wrC C
show s y = fst x y
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Compl-subset-Compl-iff ‹y ∈ – wrC C›
agrees-def calculation(1) calculation(2) in-mono)
qed
then show x ∈ {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (‐ wrC C) s s''}
using ‹agrees (‐ wrC C') s' (fst x) ∧ snd x = hf0› by force
qed
then show ?thesis

```

```

    by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-states-comm add-states-subset)
qed
ultimately have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h'') (add-states S
{(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (- wrC C) s s''})
  using safe-larger-set by blast
then show  $\exists h'' H'.$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
  no-guard H'  $\wedge$ 
   $h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some }$ 
  hf1  $\wedge$  safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C' (s', h') (add-states S {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (- wrC C) s s''})
  using ‹Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf1› r(1) r(2) r(3) by blast
qed
qed (simp)

lemma safe-frame-Some:
assumes safe n (Some Γ) C (s, h) S
  and Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf0
  and bounded H
shows safe n (Some Γ) C (s, H) (add-states S {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (- wrC
C) s s''})
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: s h H C)
case (Suc n)
let ?R = {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (- wrC C) s s''}
show safe (Suc n) (Some Γ) C (s, H) (add-states S ?R)
proof (rule safeSomeI)
show C = Cskip  $\implies$  (s, H)  $\in$  add-states S ?R
using CollectI Suc.prem(1) Suc.prem(2) add-states-def[of S ?R] agrees-def[of
- wrC C s]
safeSomeE(1)[of n Γ C s h S] by fast
show no-abort (Some Γ) C s H
using Suc.prem(1) Suc.prem(2) larger-def no-abort-larger safeSomeE(2)
by blast

have accesses C s  $\subseteq$  dom (fst h)  $\wedge$  writes C s  $\subseteq$  fpdom (fst h)
using Suc.prem(1) by auto
moreover have dom (fst h)  $\subseteq$  dom (fst H)
by (metis Suc.prem(2) addition-smaller-domain get-fh.simps)
moreover have fpdom (fst h)  $\subseteq$  fpdom (fst H)
using Suc.prem(2) Suc.prem(3) fpdom-inclusion by blast

ultimately show accesses C s  $\subseteq$  dom (fst H)  $\wedge$  writes C s  $\subseteq$  fpdom (fst H)
by blast

fix H1 hf1 C' s' h' hj v0
assume asm0: Some H1 = Some H  $\oplus$  Some hj  $\oplus$  Some hf1  $\wedge$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh H1)  $\wedge$  semi-consistent Γ v0 H1  $\wedge$  sat-inv s hj Γ  $\wedge$  red
  C (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H1)) C' (s', h')

```

```

then obtain hf where Some hf = Some hf0 ⊕ Some hf1
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Suc.prems(2) option.collapse plus.simps(1)
plus-asso plus-comm)
then have Some H1 = Some h ⊕ Some hj ⊕ Some hf
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Suc.prems(2) asm0 plus-asso plus-comm)

then obtain h'' H' hj' where r: full-ownership (get-fh H') ∧
semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧ sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize
(get-fh H') ∧ Some H' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C'
(s', h'') S
  using safeSomeE(3)[of n Γ C s h S H1 hj hf v0 C' s' h'] Suc.prems(1) asm0
by blast

then obtain h''' where Some h''' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf0
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ‹Some hf = Some hf0 ⊕ Some hf1› plus.simps(2)
plus.simps(3) plus-asso plus-comm)
then have Some H' = Some h''' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf1
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ‹Some hf = Some hf0 ⊕ Some hf1› plus-asso
plus-comm r)
moreover have safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h''') (add-states S {(s'', hf0) | s''.
agrees (- wrC C') s' s''})
proof (rule Suc.hyps)
  show safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') S
    using r by simp
  show Some h''' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf0
    by (simp add: ‹Some h''' = Some h'' ⊕ Some hf0›)
  show bounded h'''
    apply (rule bounded-smaller[of H'])
      apply (metis full-ownership-then-bounded get-fh.simps r)
        by (simp add: calculation larger3 plus-comm)
qed
moreover have add-states S {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (- wrC C') s' s''} ⊆
add-states S {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (- wrC C) s s''}
proof -
  have wrC C' ⊆ wrC C
    using asm0 red-properties(1) by blast
  have {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (- wrC C') s' s''} ⊆ {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (-
wrC C) s s''}
proof
  fix x assume x ∈ {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (- wrC C') s' s''}
  then have agrees (- wrC C') s' (fst x) ∧ snd x = hf0 by force
  moreover have fvC C' ⊆ fvC C ∧ wrC C' ⊆ wrC C ∧ agrees (- wrC C)
s' s
    using asm0 red-properties(1) by force
  moreover have agrees (- wrC C) s (fst x)
proof (rule agreesI)
  fix y assume y ∈ - wrC C
  then show s y = fst x y

```

```

    by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Compl-iff agrees-def calculation(1)
calculation(2) in-mono)
qed
then show  $x \in \{(s'', hf0) \mid s''. \text{agrees}(-\text{wrC } C) s s''\}$ 
  using ⟨agrees (− wrC C') s' (fst x) ∧ snd x = hf0⟩ by force
qed
then show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-states-comm add-states-subset)
qed
ultimately have safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') (add-states S ?R)
  using safe-larger-set by blast
then show  $\exists h'' H' hj'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge$ 
  semi-consistent Γ v0 H' ∧
  sat-inv s' hj' Γ ∧ h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') ∧ Some H' =
  Some h'' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf1 ∧ safe n (Some Γ) C' (s', h'') (add-states S ?R)
  using ⟨Some H' = Some h''' ⊕ Some hj' ⊕ Some hf1⟩ r by blast
qed
qed (simp)

```

lemma safe-frame:

```

fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
assumes safe n Δ C (s, h) S
  and Some H = Some h ⊕ Some hf0
  and bounded H
shows safe n Δ C (s, H) (add-states S {(s'', hf0) | s''. agrees (− wrC C) s s''})
apply (cases Δ)
using assms safe-frame-None apply blast
using assms safe-frame-Some by blast

```

theorem frame-rule:

```

fixes Δ :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont
assumes hoare-triple-valid Δ P C Q
  and disjoint (fvA R) (wrC C)
  and precise P ∨ precise R
shows hoare-triple-valid Δ (Star P R) C (Star Q R)
proof -
  obtain Σ where asm0:  $\bigwedge \sigma \ n. \sigma, \sigma \models P \implies \text{bounded}(\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n \Delta \ C$ 
 $\sigma (\Sigma \sigma) \bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models P \implies \text{pair-sat}(\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') Q$ 
  using assms(1) hoare-triple-validE by blast

```

```

define pairs where pairs =  $(\lambda \sigma. \{ (p, r) \mid p \ r. \text{Some}(\text{snd } \sigma) = \text{Some } p \oplus \text{Some } r \wedge (\text{fst } \sigma, p), (\text{fst } \sigma, p) \models P \wedge (\text{fst } \sigma, r), (\text{fst } \sigma, r) \models R \})$ 
define Σ' where Σ' =  $(\lambda \sigma. (\bigcup (p, r) \in \text{pairs } \sigma. \text{add-states}(\Sigma (\text{fst } \sigma, p)) \{(s'', r) \mid s''. \text{agrees}(-\text{wrC } C) (\text{fst } \sigma) s''\}))$ 
show ?thesis
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI-bounded)

```

```

show  $\bigwedge s h n. (s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Star } P R \implies \text{bounded } h \implies \text{safe } n \Delta C (s, h)$   

 $(\Sigma' (s, h))$ 
proof -
  fix  $s h n$  assume  $\text{asm1}: (s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Star } P R \text{ bounded } h$ 
  then obtain  $p r$  where  $\text{Some } h = \text{Some } p \oplus \text{Some } r (s, p), (s, p) \models P (s,$   

 $r), (s, r) \models R$ 
    using always-sat-refl hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
  then have  $\text{safe } n \Delta C (s, p) (\Sigma (s, p))$ 
    using asm0 asm1
    by (metis bounded-smaller-sum snd-conv)
  then have  $\text{safe } n \Delta C (s, h) (\text{add-states } (\Sigma (s, p)) \{(s'', r) | s''. \text{agrees } (-$   

 $\text{wrC } C) s s''\})$ 
    using safe-frame[of n Δ C s p Σ (s, p) h r] <Some h = Some p ⊕ Some r>
    using asm1(2) by blast
  moreover have  $(\text{add-states } (\Sigma (s, p)) \{(s'', r) | s''. \text{agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s s''\})$   

 $\subseteq \Sigma' (s, h)$ 
proof -
  have  $(p, r) \in \text{pairs } (s, h)$ 
  using  $\langle (s, p), (s, p) \models P \rangle, \langle (s, r), (s, r) \models R \rangle, \langle \text{Some } h = \text{Some } p \oplus \text{Some } r \rangle$   

 $\text{pairs-def by force}$ 
  then show ?thesis
  using Σ'-def by auto
qed
ultimately show  $\text{safe } n \Delta C (s, h) (\Sigma' (s, h))$ 
  using safe-larger-set by blast
qed

fix  $s1 h1 s2 h2$ 
assume  $\text{asm1}: (s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models \text{Star } P R$ 
then obtain  $p1 p2 r1 r2$  where  $\text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } p1 \oplus \text{Some } r1 \text{ Some } h2 =$   

 $\text{Some } p2 \oplus \text{Some } r2$ 
 $(s1, p1), (s2, p2) \models P (s1, r1), (s2, r2) \models R$ 
  by auto
  then have  $(s1, p1), (s1, p1) \models P \wedge (s1, r1), (s1, r1) \models R \wedge (s2, p2), (s2,$   

 $p2) \models P \wedge (s2, r2), (s2, r2) \models R$ 
  using always-sat-refl sat-comm by blast

show pair-sat  $(\Sigma' (s1, h1)) (\Sigma' (s2, h2)) (\text{Star } Q R)$ 
proof (rule pair-satI)
  fix  $s1' h1' s2' h2'$ 
  assume  $\text{asm2}: (s1', h1') \in \Sigma' (s1, h1) \wedge (s2', h2') \in \Sigma' (s2, h2)$ 
  then obtain  $p1' r1' p2' r2'$  where  $(p1', r1') \in \text{pairs } (s1, h1) (p2', r2') \in$   

 $\text{pairs } (s2, h2)$ 
     $(s1', h1') \in \text{add-states } (\Sigma (s1, p1')) \{(s'', r1') | s''. \text{agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s1$   

 $s''\}$ 
     $(s2', h2') \in \text{add-states } (\Sigma (s2, p2')) \{(s'', r2') | s''. \text{agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s2$   

 $s''\}$ 

```

using Σ' -def by force

moreover obtain $(s1, p1') \models P (s1, r1'), (s1, r1') \models R (s2, p2')$, $(s2, p2') \models P (s2, r2'), (s2, r2') \models R$
 $\text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } p1' \oplus \text{Some } r1' \text{ Some } h2 = \text{Some } p2' \oplus \text{Some } r2'$
using calculation(1) calculation(2) pairs-def by auto
ultimately have $p1 = p1' \wedge p2 = p2' \wedge r1 = r1' \wedge r2 = r2'$
proof (cases precise P)
case True
then have $p1 = p1' \wedge p2 = p2'$ using preciseE
by (metis $\langle(s1, p1), (s1, p1) \models P \wedge (s1, r1), (s1, r1) \models R \wedge (s2, p2), (s2, p2) \models P \wedge (s2, r2), (s2, r2) \models R \rangle \langle \text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } p1 \oplus \text{Some } r1 \rangle \langle \text{Some } h2 = \text{Some } p2 \oplus \text{Some } r2 \rangle \langle \text{thesis. } (\llbracket(s1, p1'), (s1, p1') \models P; (s1, r1'), (s1, r1') \models R; (s2, p2'), (s2, p2') \models P; (s2, r2'), (s2, r2') \models R; \text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } p1' \oplus \text{Some } r1'; \text{Some } h2 = \text{Some } p2' \oplus \text{Some } r2 \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis} \rangle \text{larger-def})$)
then show ?thesis
by (metis $\langle \text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } p1 \oplus \text{Some } r1 \rangle \langle \text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } p1' \oplus \text{Some } r1' \rangle \langle \text{Some } h2 = \text{Some } p2 \oplus \text{Some } r2 \rangle \langle \text{Some } h2 = \text{Some } p2' \oplus \text{Some } r2' \rangle$ addition-cancellative plus-comm)
next
case False
then have precise R
using assms(3) by auto
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) $\langle(s1, p1), (s1, p1) \models P \wedge (s1, r1), (s1, r1) \models R \wedge (s2, p2), (s2, p2) \models P \wedge (s2, r2), (s2, r2) \models R \rangle \langle \text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } p1 \oplus \text{Some } r1 \rangle \langle \text{Some } h2 = \text{Some } p2 \oplus \text{Some } r2 \rangle \langle \text{thesis. } (\llbracket(s1, p1'), (s1, p1') \models P; (s1, r1'), (s1, r1') \models R; (s2, p2'), (s2, p2') \models P; (s2, r2'), (s2, r2') \models R; \text{Some } h1 = \text{Some } p1' \oplus \text{Some } r1'; \text{Some } h2 = \text{Some } p2' \oplus \text{Some } r2 \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis} \rangle \text{addition-cancellative larger-def plus-comm preciseE})$)
qed
then have pair-sat $(\Sigma (s1, p1')) (\Sigma (s2, p2')) Q$
using $\langle(s1, p1), (s2, p2) \models P \rangle \text{asm0}(2)$ by blast
moreover have pair-sat $\{(s'', r1') | s''. \text{agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s1 s''\} \{(s'', r2') | s''. \text{agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s2 s''\}$
| $s''. \text{agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s2 s''\} R$
(is pair-sat ?R1 ?R2 R)
proof (rule pair-satI)
fix $s1'' r1'' s2'' r2''$ assume $(s1'', r1'') \in \{(s'', r1') | s''. \text{agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s1 s''\} \wedge (s2'', r2'') \in \{(s'', r2') | s''. \text{agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s2 s''\}$
then obtain $r1'' = r1' \wedge r2'' = r2' \text{ agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s1 s1'' \text{ agrees } (- \text{wrC } C) s2 s2''$
by fastforce
then show $(s1'', r1''), (s2'', r2'') \models R$
using $\langle(s1, r1), (s2, r2) \models R \rangle \langle p1 = p1' \wedge p2 = p2' \wedge r1 = r1' \wedge r2 = r2' \rangle$
= $r2' \rangle \text{agrees-minusD agrees-same}$
assms(2) sat-comm
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) disjoint-def inf-commute)
qed
ultimately have pair-sat (add-states $(\Sigma (s1, p1')) ?R1$) (add-states $(\Sigma (s2,$

```

 $p2'))$  ?R2) (Star Q R)
  using add-states-sat-star by blast
  then show  $(s1', h1'), (s2', h2') \models Star Q R$ 
    using  $\langle(s1', h1') \in add-states(\Sigma(s1, p1')) \{ (s'', r1') | s''. agrees(- wrC C) s1 s'\} \rangle \langle(s2', h2') \in add-states(\Sigma(s2, p2')) \{ (s'', r2') | s''. agrees(- wrC C) s2 s'\} \rangle$  pair-sat-def by blast
    qed
    qed
  qed

```

4.4.12 Consequence

theorem consequence-rule:

```

fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat)$  cont
assumes hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta P' C Q'$ 
  and entails  $P P'$ 
  and entails  $Q' Q$ 
  shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta P C Q$ 
proof –
  obtain  $\Sigma$  where  $asm0: \bigwedge \sigma n. \sigma, \sigma \models P' \implies bounded(snd \sigma) \implies safe n \Delta C$ 
   $\sigma (\Sigma \sigma) \bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models P' \implies pair-sat(\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') Q'$ 
  using assms(1) hoare-triple-validE by blast

  show ?thesis
  proof (rule hoare-triple-validI-bounded)
    show  $\bigwedge s h n. (s, h), (s, h) \models P \implies bounded h \implies safe n \Delta C (s, h) (\Sigma (s, h))$ 
      using  $asm0(1)$  assms(2) entails-def
      by fastforce
    show  $\bigwedge s h s' h'. (s, h), (s', h') \models P \implies pair-sat(\Sigma (s, h)) (\Sigma (s', h')) Q$ 
      by (meson  $asm0(2)$  assms(2) assms(3) entails-def pair-sat-def)
    qed
  qed

```

4.4.13 Existential

theorem existential-rule:

```

fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat)$  cont
assumes hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta P C Q$ 
  and  $x \notin fvC C$ 
  and  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = Some \Gamma \implies x \notin fvA$  (invariant  $\Gamma$ )
  and unambiguous  $P x$ 
  shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta (\exists x P) C (\exists x Q)$ 
proof –
  obtain  $\Sigma$  where  $asm0: \bigwedge \sigma n. \sigma, \sigma \models P \implies bounded(snd \sigma) \implies safe n \Delta C$ 
   $\sigma (\Sigma \sigma) \bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models P \implies pair-sat(\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') Q$ 
  using assms(1) hoare-triple-validE by blast

  define  $\Sigma'$  where  $\Sigma' = (\lambda \sigma. \bigcup v \in \{ v | v. ((fst \sigma)(x := v), snd \sigma), ((fst \sigma)(x := v), snd \sigma) \models P \}). upperize(\Sigma ((fst \sigma)(x := v), snd \sigma)) (fvA Q - \{x\})$ 

```

```

show ?thesis
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI-bounded)
  show  $\bigwedge s h n. (s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Exists } x P \implies \text{bounded } h \implies \text{safe } n \Delta C (s, h)$ 
  ( $\Sigma' (s, h)$ )
  proof -
    fix  $s h n$  assume  $(s, h), (s, h) \models \text{Exists } x P \text{ bounded } h$ 
    then obtain  $v$  where  $(s(x := v), h), (s(x := v), h) \models P$ 
      using always-sat-refl hyper-sat.simps(7) by blast
    then have  $\Sigma (s(x := v), h) \subseteq \Sigma' (s, h)$ 
      using upperize-larger SUP-upper2  $\Sigma'$ -def by fastforce

  moreover have  $\text{safe } n \Delta C (s(x := v), h) (\Sigma (s(x := v), h))$ 
    by (simp add:  $\langle (s(x := v), h), (s(x := v), h) \models P \rangle \langle \text{bounded } h \rangle \text{asm}0(1)$ )
  ultimately have  $\text{safe } n \Delta C (s(x := v), h) (\Sigma' (s, h))$ 
    using safe-larger-set by blast
  then have  $\text{safe } n \Delta C (s, h) (\Sigma' (s, h))$ 
  proof (rule safe-free-vars)
    show  $\bigwedge \Gamma. \Delta = \text{Some } \Gamma \implies \text{agrees} (\text{fvA (invariant } \Gamma)) (s(x := v)) s$ 
      by (meson agrees-comm agrees-update assms(3))
    show  $\text{agrees} (\text{fvC } C \cup (\text{fvA } Q - \{x\})) (s(x := v)) s$ 
      by (simp add: agrees-def assms(2))
    show  $\text{upper-fvs} (\Sigma' (s, h)) (\text{fvA } Q - \{x\})$ 
    proof (rule upper-fvsI)
      fix  $sa s' ha$ 
      assume  $\text{asm}0: (sa, ha) \in \Sigma' (s, h) \wedge \text{agrees} (\text{fvA } Q - \{x\}) sa s'$ 
      then obtain  $v$  where  $(s(x := v), h), (s(x := v), h) \models P (sa, ha) \in \text{upperize} (\Sigma (s(x := v), h)) (\text{fvA } Q - \{x\})$ 
        using  $\Sigma'$ -def by force
      then have  $(s', ha) \in \text{upperize} (\Sigma (s(x := v), h)) (\text{fvA } Q - \{x\})$ 
        using  $\text{asm}0 \text{ upper-fvs-def upper-fvs-upperize}$  by blast
      then show  $(s', ha) \in \Sigma' (s, h)$ 
        using  $\langle (s(x := v), h), (s(x := v), h) \models P \rangle \Sigma'$ -def by force
    qed
  qed
  then show  $\text{safe } n \Delta C (s, h) (\Sigma' (s, h))$ 
    by auto
  qed
  fix  $s1 h1 s2 h2$ 
  assume  $\text{asm}1: (s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models \text{Exists } x P$ 
  then obtain  $v1' v2'$  where  $(s1(x := v1'), h1), (s2(x := v2'), h2) \models P$  by auto
  show pair-sat ( $\Sigma' (s1, h1)$ ) ( $\Sigma' (s2, h2)$ ) ( $\text{Exists } x Q$ )
  proof (rule pair-satI)
    fix  $s1' h1' s2' h2'$ 
    assume  $\text{asm}2: (s1', h1') \in \Sigma' (s1, h1) \wedge (s2', h2') \in \Sigma' (s2, h2)$ 

    then obtain  $v1 v2$  where
       $r: (s1(x := v1), h1), (s1(x := v1), h1) \models P (s1', h1') \in \text{upperize} (\Sigma (s1(x := v1), h1)) (\text{fvA } Q - \{x\})$ 

```

```

 $(:= v1), h1)) (fvA Q - \{x\})$ 
 $(s2(x := v2), h2), (s2(x := v2), h2) \models P (s2', h2') \in upperize (\Sigma (s2(x$ 
 $:= v2), h2)) (fvA Q - \{x\})$ 
using  $\Sigma'$ -def by auto

then obtain  $s1'' s2''$  where agrees  $(fvA Q - \{x\}) s1'' s1' (s1'', h1') \in \Sigma$ 
 $(s1(x := v1), h1)$ 
agrees  $(fvA Q - \{x\}) s2'' s2' (s2'', h2') \in \Sigma (s2(x := v2), h2)$ 
using in-upperize by (metis (no-types, lifting))

moreover have  $(s1(x := v1), h1), (s2(x := v2), h2) \models P$ 
proof -
have  $v1 = v1'$ 
using  $\langle (s1(x := v1'), h1), (s2(x := v2'), h2) \models P \rangle always-sat-refl assms(4)$ 
r(1) unambiguous-def by blast
moreover have  $v2 = v2'$ 
using  $\langle (s1(x := v1'), h1), (s2(x := v2'), h2) \models P \rangle always-sat-refl assms(4)$ 
r(3) sat-comm-aux unambiguous-def by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
by (simp add:  $\langle (s1(x := v1'), h1), (s2(x := v2'), h2) \models P \rangle$ )
qed
then have pair-sat  $(\Sigma (s1(x := v1), h1)) (\Sigma (s2(x := v2), h2)) Q$ 
using asm0 by simp
then have  $(s1'', h1'), (s2'', h2') \models Q$ 
using calculation(2) calculation(4) pair-sat-def by blast
moreover have agrees  $(fvA Q) s1'' (s1'(x := s1'' x))$ 
proof (rule agreesI)
fix y assume  $y \in fvA Q$ 
then show  $s1'' y = (s1'(x := s1'' x)) y$ 
apply (cases x = y)
apply auto[1]
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) DiffI agrees-def calculation(1) fun-upd-other singleton-iff)
qed
moreover have agrees  $(fvA Q) s2'' (s2'(x := s2'' x))$ 
proof (rule agreesI)
fix y assume  $y \in fvA Q$ 
then show  $s2'' y = (s2'(x := s2'' x)) y$ 
apply (cases x = y)
apply auto[1]
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) DiffI agrees-def calculation(3) fun-upd-other singleton-iff)
qed
ultimately have  $(s1'(x := s1'' x), h1'), (s2'(x := s2'' x), h2') \models Q$ 
by (meson agrees-same sat-comm)
then show  $(s1', h1'), (s2', h2') \models \exists x Q$ 
using hyper-sat.simps(7) by blast
qed
qed

```

qed

4.4.14 While loops

inductive *leads-to-loop* **where**

leads-to-loop $b I \Sigma \sigma \sigma$

| [[*leads-to-loop* $b I \Sigma \sigma \sigma'$; *bdenot* $b (\text{fst } \sigma')$; $\sigma'' \in \Sigma \sigma'$]] \implies *leads-to-loop* $b I \Sigma \sigma \sigma''$

definition *leads-to-loop-set* **where**

leads-to-loop-set $b I \Sigma \sigma = \{ \sigma' \mid \sigma'. \text{leads-to-loop } b I \Sigma \sigma \sigma' \}$

definition *trans- Σ* **where**

trans- Σ $b I \Sigma \sigma = \text{Set.filter } (\lambda \sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{leads-to-loop-set } b I \Sigma \sigma)$

inductive-cases *red-while-cases*: *red* (*Cwhile* $b s$) $\sigma C' \sigma'$

inductive-cases *abort-while-cases*: *aborts* (*Cwhile* $b s$) σ

lemma *safe-while-None*:

assumes $\bigwedge \sigma m. \sigma, \sigma \models \text{And } I (\text{Bool } b) \implies \text{bounded } (\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}) C \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$

and $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models \text{And } I (\text{Bool } b) \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') I$

and $(s, h), (s, h) \models I$

and *leads-to-loop* $b I \Sigma \sigma (s, h)$

and *bounded* h

shows *safe* $n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}) (C \text{while } b C) (s, h) (\text{trans-}\Sigma b I \Sigma \sigma)$

using assms

proof (*induct* n *arbitrary*: $s h$)

let $?S = \text{trans-}\Sigma b I \Sigma \sigma$

case (*Suc* n)

show $?case$

proof (*rule* *safeNoneI*)

show *no-abort* (*None* :: $('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}$) (*Cwhile* $b C) s h$

using *abort-while-cases* *no-abortNoneI* **by** *blast*

fix $H hf C' s' h'$

assume *asm0*: *Some* $H = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H) \wedge \text{no-guard } H \wedge \text{red } (C \text{while } b C) (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s', h')$

show $\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H')$

$\wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some } h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}) C' (s', h'')$ (*trans- Σ* $b I \Sigma \sigma$)

proof (*rule* *red-while-cases*)

show *red* (*Cwhile* $b C) (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H)) C' (s', h')$

using *asm0* **by** *linarith*

assume *asm1*: $C' = \text{Cif } b (\text{Cseq } C (\text{Cwhile } b C)) \text{ Cskip } (s', h') = (s, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize } (\text{get-fh } H))$

have *safe* $n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, \text{nat}) \text{ cont}) C' (s, h) ?S$

```

proof (cases n)
  case (Suc k)
    have safe (Suc k) (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cif b (Cseq C (Cwhile b C))
  Cskip) (s, h) ?S
      proof (rule if-safe)
        have  $\neg$  bdenot b s  $\implies$  (s, h)  $\in$  ?S
          by (metis CollectI Suc.prems(4) asm1(2) fst-eqD leads-to-loop-set-def
            member-filter trans- $\Sigma$ -def)
          then show  $\neg$  bdenot b s  $\implies$  safe k (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) Cskip (s,
            h) (trans- $\Sigma$  b I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$ )
            by (metis Pair-inject asm1(2) safe-skip)
            assume asm2: bdenot b s
            then have (s, h), (s, h)  $\models$  And I (Bool b)
              by (simp add: Suc.prems(3))
            then have r: safe (Suc n) (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, h) ( $\Sigma$  (s, h))
              using Suc.prems(1)
              by (metis Suc.prems(5) snd-eqD)

show safe k (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cseq C (Cwhile b C)) (s, h)
  (trans- $\Sigma$  b I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$ )
  proof (rule seq-safe)
    show safe k (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s, h) (Set.filter (bounded o
      snd) ( $\Sigma$  (s, h)))
      apply (rule restrict-safe-to-bounded)
      using Suc Suc-n-not-le-n nat-le-linear r safe-smaller apply metis
      by (simp add: Suc.prems(5))
      fix m s' h' assume asm3:  $m \leq k \wedge (s', h') \in$  Set.filter (bounded o snd)
        ( $\Sigma$  (s, h))
        have safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cwhile b C) (s', h') (trans- $\Sigma$  b
          I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$ )
        proof (rule Suc.hyps)
          show leads-to-loop b I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$  (s', h')
            by (metis Suc.prems(4) asm2 asm3 fst-conv leads-to-loop.simps
              member-filter)
          show (s', h'), (s', h')  $\models$  I
            using ((s, h), (s, h))  $\models$  And I (Bool b) asm3 assms(2) pair-satE
            by (metis member-filter)
          show  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \models$  And I (Bool b)  $\implies$  bounded (snd  $\sigma$ )  $\implies$  safe n
            (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C  $\sigma$  ( $\Sigma$   $\sigma$ )
            by (meson Suc.prems(1) Suc-n-not-le-n nat-le-linear safe-smaller)
          show bounded h'
            using asm3 by auto
          qed (auto simp add: assms)
          then show safe m (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) (Cwhile b C) (s', h')
            (trans- $\Sigma$  b I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$ )
            using Suc asm3 le-SucI safe-smaller by blast
        qed

```

```

qed
then show ?thesis
  using Suc asm1(1) by blast
qed (simp)
then show  $\exists h'' H'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge$ 
 $\text{no-guard } H' \wedge h' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{Some } H' = \text{Some}$ 
 $h'' \oplus \text{Some } hf \wedge \text{safe } n \text{ None } C'(s', h'')$  (trans- $\Sigma$  b I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$ )
  using asm0 asm1(2) by blast
qed
qed (simp-all)
qed (simp)

```

lemma safe-while-Some:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge \sigma m. \sigma, \sigma \models \text{And } I (\text{Bool } b) \implies \text{bounded } (\text{snd } \sigma) \implies \text{safe } n (\text{Some } \Gamma) C \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
  and  $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models \text{And } I (\text{Bool } b) \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') I$ 
  and  $(s, h), (s, h) \models I$ 
  and leads-to-loop b I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$  (s, h)
shows safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Cwhile b C) (s, h) (trans- $\Sigma$  b I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$ )
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: s h)
let ?S = trans- $\Sigma$  b I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$ 
case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (rule safeSomeI)
  show no-abort (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Cwhile b C) s h
  using abort-while-cases no-abortSomeI by blast
  fix H hf C' s' h' hj v0
  assume asm0: Some H = Some h  $\oplus$  Some hj  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$ 
    full-ownership (get-fh H)  $\wedge$  semi-consistent  $\Gamma$  v0 H  $\wedge$  sat-inv s hj  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$  red
    (Cwhile b C) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C'(s', h')
    show  $\exists h'' H' hj'. \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H') \wedge \text{semi-consistent } \Gamma v0 H' \wedge \text{sat-inv}$ 
    s' hj'  $\Gamma$   $\wedge$ 
      h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H')  $\wedge$  Some H' = Some h''  $\oplus$  Some
      hj'  $\oplus$  Some hf  $\wedge$  safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C'(s', h'') (trans- $\Sigma$  b I  $\Sigma$   $\sigma$ )
    proof (rule red-while-cases)
      show red (Cwhile b C) (s, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H)) C'(s', h')
      using asm0 by linarith
      assume asm1: C' = Cif b (Cseq C (Cwhile b C)) Cskip (s', h') = (s,
      FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H))
      have safe n (Some  $\Gamma$ ) C'(s, h) ?S
    proof (cases n)
      case (Suc k)
      have safe (Suc k) (Some  $\Gamma$ ) (Cif b (Cseq C (Cwhile b C)) Cskip) (s, h) ?S
      proof (rule if-safe)
        have  $\neg \text{bdenot } b s \implies (s, h) \in ?S$ 
        by (metis CollectI Suc.prem4(4) asm1(2) fst-eqD leads-to-loop-set-def
        member-filter trans- $\Sigma$ -def)

```

```

then show  $\neg bdenot b s \implies safe k (Some \Gamma) Cskip (s, h) (trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
  by (metis Pair-inject asm1(2) safe-skip)
assume asm2:  $bdenot b s$ 
then have  $(s, h), (s, h) \models And I (Bool b)$ 
  by (simp add: Suc.prem(3))
moreover have bounded  $h$ 
  apply (rule bounded-smaller[of H])
  using asm0 full-ownership-then-bounded apply fastforce
  using asm0 plus-assm[of Some h Some hj Some hf] unfolding larger-def
by auto

ultimately have  $r: safe (Suc n) (Some \Gamma) C (s, h) (\Sigma (s, h))$ 
  using Suc.prem(1)[of (s, h)] by fastforce

show  $safe k (Some \Gamma) (Cseq C (Cwhile b C)) (s, h) (trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
proof (rule seq-safe)
  show  $safe k (Some \Gamma) C (s, h) (\Sigma (s, h))$ 
    by (metis Suc Suc-n-not-le-n nat-le-linear r safe-smaller)
  fix  $m s' h'$  assume asm3:  $m \leq k \wedge (s', h') \in \Sigma (s, h)$ 
  have  $safe n (Some \Gamma) (Cwhile b C) (s', h') (trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
  proof (rule Suc.hyps)
    show leads-to-loop  $b I \Sigma \sigma (s', h')$ 
      by (metis Suc.prem(4) asm2 asm3 fst-conv leads-to-loop.intros(2))
    show  $(s', h'), (s', h') \models I$ 
      using (s, h), (s, h)  $\models And I (Bool b)$  asm3 assms(2) pair-satE by
      blast
    show  $\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma, \sigma \models And I (Bool b) \implies bounded (snd \sigma) \implies safe n$ 
      (Some \Gamma) C \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)
        by (meson Suc.prem(1) Suc-n-not-le-n nat-le-linear safe-smaller)
    qed (auto simp add: assms)
    then show  $safe m (Some \Gamma) (Cwhile b C) (s', h') (trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
      using Suc asm3 le-SucI safe-smaller by blast
  qed
  qed
then show ?thesis
  using Suc asm1(1) by blast
qed (simp)
then show  $\exists h'' H' hj'.$ 
  full-ownership (get-fh H')  $\wedge$ 
  semi-consistent  $\Gamma v0 H' \wedge$ 
  sat-inv  $s' hj' \Gamma \wedge h' = FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H') \wedge Some H' =$ 
   $Some h'' \oplus Some hj' \oplus Some hf \wedge safe n (Some \Gamma) C' (s', h'') (trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
  using asm0 asm1(2) by blast
qed
qed (simp-all)
qed (simp)

```

lemma safe-while:

```

fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat)$  cont
assumes  $\bigwedge \sigma m. \sigma, \sigma \models And I (Bool b) \implies bounded (snd \sigma) \implies safe n \Delta C \sigma$ 
 $(\Sigma \sigma)$ 
and  $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models And I (Bool b) \implies pair-sat (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') I$ 
and  $(s, h), (s, h) \models I$ 
and  $leads-to-loop b I \Sigma \sigma (s, h)$ 
and  $bounded h$ 
shows  $safe n \Delta (Cwhile b C) (s, h) (trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
apply (cases  $\Delta$ )
using assms safe-while-None apply blast
using assms safe-while-Some by blast

lemma leads-to-sat-inv-unary:
assumes leads-to-loop b I  $\Sigma \sigma \sigma'$ 
and  $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models (And I (Bool b)) \implies pair-sat (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') I$ 
and  $\sigma, \sigma \models I$ 
shows  $\sigma', \sigma' \models I$ 
using assms
proof (induct arbitrary: rule: leads-to-loop.induct)
case (? $b I \Sigma \sigma 0 \sigma 1 \sigma 2$ )
then have pair-sat  $(\Sigma \sigma 1) (\Sigma \sigma 1) I$ 
by (metis hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3) prod.collapse)
then show ?case
using ? $.hyp(4)$  pair-sat-def by blast
qed (simp)

theorem while-rule2:
fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat)$  cont
assumes unary I
and hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta (And I (Bool b)) C I$ 
shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta I (Cwhile b C) (And I (Bool (Bnot b)))$ 
proof –
obtain  $\Sigma$  where asm0:  $\bigwedge \sigma n. \sigma, \sigma \models (And I (Bool b)) \implies bounded (snd \sigma)$ 
 $\implies safe n \Delta C \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
and  $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models (And I (Bool b)) \implies pair-sat (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') I$ 
using assms(2) hoare-triple-validE by blast
let ? $\Sigma = trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma$ 
show ?thesis
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI-bounded)
show  $\bigwedge s h s' h'. (s, h), (s', h') \models I \implies pair-sat (?\Sigma (s, h)) (?\Sigma (s', h')) (And I (Bool (Bnot b)))$ 
proof –
fix  $s1 h1 s2 h2$  assume asm0:  $(s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models I$ 
show pair-sat  $(trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma (s1, h1)) (trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma (s2, h2)) (And I (Bool (Bnot b)))$ 
proof (rule pair-satI)
fix  $s1' h1' s2' h2'$ 
assume asm1:  $(s1', h1') \in trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma (s1, h1) \wedge (s2', h2') \in trans-\Sigma$ 

```

```

b I Σ (s2, h2)
then obtain leads-to-loop b I Σ (s1, h1) (s1', h1') ⊢ bdenot b s1'
  leads-to-loop b I Σ (s2, h2) (s2', h2') ⊢ bdenot b s2'
  using trans-Σ-def leads-to-loop-set-def
  by (metis fst-conv mem-Collect-eq member-filter)
then have (s1', h1'), (s1', h1') ⊨ I ∧ (s2', h2'), (s2', h2') ⊨ I
  by (meson ⟨ ∧ σ' σ. σ, σ' ⊨ And I (Bool b) ⟩ ⟹ pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') I
  always-sat-refl asm0 leads-to-sat-inv-unary sat-comm-aux)
then show (s1', h1'), (s2', h2') ⊨ And I (Bool (Bnot b))
  by (metis ⊢ bdenot b s1' ⊢ bdenot b s2' assms(1) bdenot.simps(3)
hyper-sat.simps(1) hyper-sat.simps(3) unaryE)
qed
qed
fix s h n
assume asm1: (s, h), (s, h) ⊨ I bounded h

show safe n Δ (Cwhile b C) (s, h) (trans-Σ b I Σ (s, h))
proof (rule safe-while)
  show ∧ σ σ'. σ, σ' ⊨ And I (Bool b) ⟹ pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') I
    by (simp add: ⟨ ∧ σ' σ. σ, σ' ⊨ And I (Bool b) ⟩ ⟹ pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') I)
  show (s, h), (s, h) ⊨ I
    using asm1 by auto
  show leads-to-loop b I Σ (s, h) (s, h)
    by (simp add: leads-to-loop.intro(1))
  show ∧ σ m. σ, σ ⊨ And I (Bool b) ⟹ bounded (snd σ) ⟹ safe n Δ C σ
  (Σ σ)
    by (simp add: asm0 asm1(2))
  show bounded h
    using asm1(2) by blast
  qed
  qed
qed

fun iterate-sigma :: nat ⇒ bexp ⇒ ('i, 'a, nat) assertion ⇒ ((store × ('i, 'a) heap)
⇒ (store × ('i, 'a) heap) set) ⇒ (store × ('i, 'a) heap) ⇒ (store × ('i, 'a) heap)
set
where
  iterate-sigma 0 b I Σ σ = {σ}
  | iterate-sigma (Suc n) b I Σ σ = (⋃ σ' ∈ Set.filter (λσ. bdenot b (fst σ)) (iterate-sigma
n b I Σ σ). Σ σ')

```

```

lemma union-of-iterate-sigma-is-leads-to-loop-set:
assumes leads-to-loop b I Σ σ σ'
shows σ' ∈ (⋃ n. iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ)
using assms
proof (induct rule: leads-to-loop.induct)
  case (1 b I Σ σ)
  have σ ∈ iterate-sigma 0 b I Σ σ

```

```

by simp
then show ?case
  by blast
next
  case (? b I Σ σ σ' σ'')
  then obtain n where σ' ∈ iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ by blast
  then have σ'' ∈ iterate-sigma (Suc n) b I Σ σ using ? by auto
  then show ?case by blast
qed

lemma trans-included:
  trans-Σ b I Σ σ ⊆ Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) (⋃ n. iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ)
proof
  fix x assume x ∈ trans-Σ b I Σ σ
  then have ¬ bdenot b (fst x) ∧ leads-to-loop b I Σ σ x
    by (simp add: leads-to-loop-set-def trans-Σ-def)
  then show x ∈ Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) (⋃ n. iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ)
    by (metis member-filter union-of-iterate-sigma-is-leads-to-loop-set)
qed

lemma iterate-sigma-low-all-sat-I-and-low:
  assumes ∀σ σ'. σ, σ' ⊨ (And I (Bool b)) ⟹ pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') (And I (Low b))
    and σ1, σ2 ⊨ I
    and bdenot b (fst σ1) = bdenot b (fst σ2)
    shows pair-sat (iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ1) (iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ2) (And I (Low b))
      using assms
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) hyper-sat.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(5) iterate-sigma.simps(1)
      pair-satI prod.exhaust-sel singletonD)
  next
    case (Suc n)
    show ?case
      proof (rule pair-satI)
        fix s1 h1 s2 h2
        assume asm0: (s1, h1) ∈ iterate-sigma (Suc n) b I Σ σ1 ∧ (s2, h2) ∈ iterate-sigma (Suc n) b I Σ σ2
        then obtain σ1' σ2' where bdenot b (fst σ1') bdenot b (fst σ2')
          σ1' ∈ iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ1 σ2' ∈ iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ2
          (s1, h1) ∈ Σ σ1' (s2, h2) ∈ Σ σ2'
          by auto
        then have pair-sat (iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ1) (iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ2) (And I (Low b))
          using Suc.hyps
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

using Suc.prems(3) assms(1) assms(2) by blast
moreover have pair-sat ( $\Sigma \sigma_1'$ ) ( $\Sigma \sigma_2'$ ) (And I (Low b))
proof (rule Suc.prems)
  show  $\sigma_1', \sigma_2' \models \text{And } I (\text{Bool } b)$ 
    by (metis  $\langle \sigma_1' \in \text{iterate-sigma } n \ b \ I \ \Sigma \sigma_1 \rangle \ \langle \sigma_2' \in \text{iterate-sigma } n \ b \ I \ \Sigma \sigma_2 \rangle \ \langle \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma_1') \rangle \ \langle \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma_2') \rangle$  calculation hyper-sat.simps(1)
      hyper-sat.simps(3) pair-sat-def prod.exhaustsel)
  qed
  ultimately show  $(s_1, h_1), (s_2, h_2) \models \text{And } I (\text{Low } b)$ 
    using  $\langle (s_1, h_1) \in \Sigma \sigma_1' \rangle \ \langle (s_2, h_2) \in \Sigma \sigma_2' \rangle$  pair-sat-def by blast
  qed
qed

lemma iterate-empty-later-empty:
assumes iterate-sigma n b I  $\Sigma \sigma = \{\}$ 
  and  $m \geq n$ 
  shows iterate-sigma m b I  $\Sigma \sigma = \{\}$ 
  using assms
proof (induct m - n arbitrary: n m)
  case (Suc k)
  then obtain mm where  $m = \text{Suc } mm$ 
    by (metis iterate-sigma.elims zero-diff)
  then have iterate-sigma mm b I  $\Sigma \sigma = \{\}$ 
    by (metis Suc.hyps(1) Suc.hyps(2) Suc.prems(1) Suc.prems(2) Suc-le-mono
      diff-Suc-Suc diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self)
  then show ?case
    using  $\langle m = \text{Suc } mm \rangle$  by force
qed (simp)

lemma all-same:
assumes  $\wedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models (\text{And } I (\text{Bool } b)) \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (\text{And } I (\text{Low } b))$ 
  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \models I$ 
  and  $\text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma_1) = \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma_2)$ 
  and  $x_1 \in \text{iterate-sigma } n \ b \ I \ \Sigma \sigma_1$ 
  and  $x_2 \in \text{iterate-sigma } n \ b \ I \ \Sigma \sigma_2$ 
  shows  $\text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } x_1) = \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } x_2)$ 
proof -
  have  $x_1, x_2 \models (\text{And } I (\text{Low } b))$ 
  using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) iterate-sigma-low-all-sat-I-and-low
  pair-sat-def by blast
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) hyper-sat.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(5) surjec-
      tive-pairing)
qed

lemma non-empty-at-most-once:
assumes  $\wedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models (\text{And } I (\text{Bool } b)) \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (\text{And } I (\text{Low } b))$ 

```

```

and  $\sigma, \sigma \models I$ 
and  $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } n1 b I \Sigma \sigma) \neq \{\}$ 
and  $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } n2 b I \Sigma \sigma) \neq \{\}$ 
shows  $n1 = n2$ 
proof -
let ?n = min n1 n2
obtain  $\sigma'$  where  $\sigma' \in \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } ?n b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
by (metis assms(3) assms(4) equals0I min.orderE min-def)
then have  $\neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma')$ 
by fastforce
moreover have pair-sat ( $\text{iterate-sigma } ?n b I \Sigma \sigma$ ) ( $\text{iterate-sigma } ?n b I \Sigma \sigma$ )
(And I (Low b))
using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) iterate-sigma-low-all-sat-I-and-low by blast
then have  $r: \bigwedge x. x \in \text{iterate-sigma } ?n b I \Sigma \sigma \implies \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } x)$ 
by (metis < $\sigma' \in \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } (min n1 n2) b I \Sigma \sigma)$ > all-same assms(1) assms(2) member-filter)
then have iterate-sigma (Suc ?n) b I  $\Sigma \sigma = \{\}$  by auto
then have  $\neg (n1 > ?n) \wedge \neg (n2 > ?n)$  using iterate-empty-later-empty[of Suc ?n b I  $\Sigma \sigma$ ]
assms by (metis (no-types, lifting) Set.filter-def empty-Collect-eq empty-def le-simps(3) mem-Collect-eq)
then show ?thesis by linarith
qed

lemma one-non-empty-union:
assumes  $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models (\text{And } I (\text{Bool } b)) \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (\text{And } I (\text{Low } b))$ 
and  $\sigma, \sigma \models I$ 
and  $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k b I \Sigma \sigma) \neq \{\}$ 
shows  $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma \sigma) = \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
proof
show  $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k b I \Sigma \sigma) \subseteq \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
by auto
show  $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma \sigma) \subseteq \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
proof
fix x assume  $x \in \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
then obtain  $k'$  where  $x \in \text{iterate-sigma } k' b I \Sigma \sigma \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } x)$ 
by auto
then have  $x \in \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k' b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
by fastforce
then have  $k = k'$ 
using non-empty-at-most-once assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) by blast
then show  $x \in \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
using < $x \in \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k' b I \Sigma \sigma)$ > by

```

```

blast
qed
qed

definition not-set where
  not-set b S = Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) S

lemma union-exists-at-some-point-exactly:
  assumes ⋀σ σ'. σ, σ' ⊨ (And I (Bool b)) ⟹ pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') (And I (Low b))
    and σ1, σ2 ⊨ I
    and bdenot b (fst σ1) = bdenot b (fst σ2)
    and Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) (⋃ n. iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ1) ≠ {}
    and Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) (⋃ n. iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ2) ≠ {}
    shows ∃ k. not-set b (⋃ n. iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ1) = not-set b (iterate-sigma k b I Σ σ1) ∧ not-set b (⋃ n. iterate-sigma n b I Σ σ2) = not-set b (iterate-sigma k b I Σ σ2)
  proof –
    obtain k1 where Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) (iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ1) ≠ {}
    using assms(4) by fastforce
    moreover obtain k2 where Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) (iterate-sigma k2 b I Σ σ2) ≠ {}
    using assms(5) by fastforce

  show ?thesis
  proof (cases k1 ≤ k2)
    case True
      then have iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ2 ≠ {}
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong Set.filter-def ‹Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) (iterate-sigma k2 b I Σ σ2) ≠ {}› empty-def iterate-empty-later-empty mem-Collect-eq)
      then obtain σ1' σ2' where σ1' ∈ Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) (iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ1) ∧ σ2' ∈ iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ2
        using calculation by blast
      then have ¬ bdenot b (fst σ1')
        by fastforce
      moreover have pair-sat (iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ1) (iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ2) (And I (Low b))
        using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) iterate-sigma-low-all-sat-I-and-low by blast
      then have r: ⋀x1 x2. x1 ∈ iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ1 ∧ x2 ∈ iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ2 ⟹ bdenot b (fst x1) ↔ bdenot b (fst x2)
        by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) eq-fst-iff hyper-sat.simps(3) hyper-sat.simps(5) pair-sat-def)
      then have ¬ bdenot b (fst σ2')
        by (metis ‹σ1' ∈ Set.filter (λσ. ¬ bdenot b (fst σ)) (iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ1) ∧ σ2' ∈ iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ2› member-filter)
      then have ⋀x1. x1 ∈ iterate-sigma k1 b I Σ σ1 ⟹ ¬ bdenot b (fst x1)

```

```

    using < $\sigma_1' \in \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k1 b I \Sigma \sigma_1)$ 
 $\wedge \sigma_2' \in \text{iterate-sigma } k1 b I \Sigma \sigma_2> r \text{ by blast}$ 
    then have iterate-sigma (Suc k1) b I  $\Sigma \sigma_1 = \{\}$  by auto
    moreover have  $\bigwedge x2. x2 \in \text{iterate-sigma } k1 b I \Sigma \sigma_2 \implies \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } x2)$ 
        by (metis < $\sigma_1' \in \text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k1 b I \Sigma \sigma_1)$ 
 $\wedge \sigma_2' \in \text{iterate-sigma } k1 b I \Sigma \sigma_2> \text{ member-filter } r)$ 
    then have iterate-sigma (Suc k1) b I  $\Sigma \sigma_2 = \{\}$  by auto
    then have k1 = k2
    using True < $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_2) \neq \{\}$ 
 $\wedge \text{dual-order.antisym}[of k1 k2]$ 
 $\wedge \text{ex-in-conv } \text{iterate-empty-later-empty}[of - b I \Sigma \sigma_2] \text{ member-filter not-less-eq-eq}$ 
        by metis
    moreover have Set.filter ( $\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)$ ) ( $\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma \sigma_1$ ) = Set.filter ( $\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)$ ) ( $\text{iterate-sigma } k1 b I \Sigma \sigma_1$ )
        using one-non-empty-union[of I b  $\Sigma \sigma_1$ ]
        using < $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k1 b I \Sigma \sigma_1) \neq \{\}$ 
 $\wedge \text{always-sat-refl assms}(1) \text{ assms}(2)$  by blast
    moreover have Set.filter ( $\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)$ ) ( $\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma \sigma_2$ ) = Set.filter ( $\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)$ ) ( $\text{iterate-sigma } k1 b I \Sigma \sigma_2$ )
        using one-non-empty-union[of I b  $\Sigma \sigma_2$ ]
        using < $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_2) \neq \{\}$ 
 $\wedge \text{always-sat-refl assms}(1) \text{ assms}(2) \text{ calculation}(3) \text{ sat-comm}$  by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis not-set-def)
next
case False
then have iterate-sigma k2 b I  $\Sigma \sigma_1 \neq \{\}$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong Set.filter-def calculation empty-def
iterate-empty-later-empty linorder-le-cases mem-Collect-eq)
    then obtain  $\sigma_1' \sigma_2'$  where  $\sigma_1' \in \text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2' \in \text{not-set }$ 
 $b (\text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_2)$ 
        by (metis < $\text{Set.filter } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_2) \neq \{\}$ 
 $\wedge \text{ex-in-conv not-set-def}$ )
    then have  $\neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma_2')$ 
        using not-set-def by fastforce
    then have  $\neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma_1')$ 
        by (metis < $\sigma_1' \in \text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2' \in \text{not-set } b (\text{iterate-sigma }$ 
 $k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_2)$ 
 $\wedge \text{all-same assms}(1) \text{ assms}(2) \text{ assms}(3) \text{ member-filter not-set-def}$ )
    then have  $\bigwedge x1. x1 \in \text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_1 \implies \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } x1)$ 
        using < $\sigma_1' \in \text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2' \in \text{not-set } b (\text{iterate-sigma }$ 
 $k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_2)$ 
 $\wedge \text{all-same always-sat-refl assms}(1) \text{ assms}(2)$  by blast
    then have iterate-sigma (Suc k2) b I  $\Sigma \sigma_1 = \{\}$  by auto
    moreover have  $\bigwedge x2. x2 \in \text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_2 \implies \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } x2)$ 
        using < $\neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma_1') \wedge \sigma_1' \in \text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2' \in \text{not-set }$ 
 $b (\text{iterate-sigma } k2 b I \Sigma \sigma_2)$ 
 $\wedge \text{all-same assms}(1) \text{ assms}(2) \text{ assms}(3)$  by blast
    then have iterate-sigma (Suc k2) b I  $\Sigma \sigma_2 = \{\}$  by auto
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-empty-eq False Set.filter-def < $\text{Set.filter }$ 
 $(\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{bdenot } b (\text{fst } \sigma)) (\text{iterate-sigma } k1 b I \Sigma \sigma_1) \neq \{\}$ 
 $\wedge \text{calculation empty-iff}$ )

```

```

iterate-empty-later-empty not-less-eq-eq)
qed
qed

theorem while-rule1:
fixes  $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat)$  cont
assumes hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta$  (And I (Bool b)) C (And I (Low b))
shows hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta$  (And I (Low b)) (Cwhile b C) (And I (Bool (Bnot b)))
proof -
obtain  $\Sigma$  where asm0:  $\bigwedge \sigma n. \sigma, \sigma \models (\text{And } I (\text{Bool } b)) \implies \text{bounded } (\text{snd } \sigma)$ 
 $\implies \text{safe } n \Delta C \sigma (\Sigma \sigma)$ 
and  $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models (\text{And } I (\text{Bool } b)) \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (\text{And } I (\text{Low } b))$ 
using assms(1) hoare-triple-validE by blast
let ? $\Sigma = \lambda \sigma. \text{not-set } b (\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma \sigma)$ 
show ?thesis
proof (rule hoare-triple-validI-bounded)

show  $\bigwedge s h s' h'. (s, h), (s', h') \models \text{And } I (\text{Low } b) \implies \text{pair-sat } (? \Sigma (s, h)) (? \Sigma (s', h')) (\text{And } I (\text{Bool } (Bnot b)))$ 
proof -
fix s1 h1 s2 h2 assume asm0:  $(s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models \text{And } I (\text{Low } b)$ 
then have asm0-bis:  $(s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models I \wedge bdenot b (\text{fst } (s1, h1)) = bdenot b (\text{fst } (s2, h2))$  by auto

show pair-sat (not-set b ( $\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma (s1, h1)$ )) (not-set b ( $\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma (s2, h2)$ )) (And I (Bool (Bnot b)))
proof (rule pair-satI)
fix s1' h1' s2' h2'
assume asm1:  $(s1', h1') \in \text{not-set } b (\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma (s1, h1))$ 
 $\wedge (s2', h2') \in \text{not-set } b (\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma (s2, h2))$ 
then obtain k where not-set b ( $\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma (s1, h1)$ ) =
not-set b ( $\text{iterate-sigma } k b I \Sigma (s1, h1)$ )
not-set b ( $\bigcup n. \text{iterate-sigma } n b I \Sigma (s2, h2)$ ) = not-set b ( $\text{iterate-sigma } k b I \Sigma (s2, h2)$ )
using union-exists-at-some-point-exactly[of I b  $\Sigma (s1, h1)$   $(s2, h2)$ ] asm0-bis
not-set-def
using  $\langle \bigwedge \sigma' \sigma. \sigma, \sigma' \models \text{And } I (\text{Bool } b) \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (\text{And } I (\text{Low } b)) \rangle$  by blast
moreover have pair-sat ( $\text{iterate-sigma } k b I \Sigma (s1, h1)$ ) ( $\text{iterate-sigma } k b I \Sigma (s2, h2)$ ) (And I (Low b))
using  $\langle \bigwedge \sigma' \sigma. \sigma, \sigma' \models \text{And } I (\text{Bool } b) \implies \text{pair-sat } (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (\text{And } I (\text{Low } b)) \rangle$  asm0-bis iterate-sigma-low-all-sat-I-and-low by blast
ultimately show  $(s1', h1'), (s2', h2') \models \text{And } I (\text{Bool } (Bnot b))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) asm1 bdenot.simps(3) fst-conv hyper-sat.simps(1)
hyper-sat.simps(3) member-filter not-set-def pair-satE)
qed

```

qed

fix $s h n$

assume $asm1: (s, h), (s, h) \models And I (Low b) bounded h$

have $safe n \Delta (Cwhile b C) (s, h) (trans-\Sigma b I \Sigma (s, h))$

proof (*rule safe-while*)

show $\bigwedge \sigma \sigma'. \sigma, \sigma' \models And I (Bool b) \implies pair\text{-sat} (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') I$

by (*meson* $\langle \bigwedge \sigma' \sigma. \sigma, \sigma' \models And I (Bool b) \implies pair\text{-sat} (\Sigma \sigma) (\Sigma \sigma') (And I (Low b)) \rangle$) *hyper-sat.simps(3)* *pair-sat-def*)

show $(s, h), (s, h) \models I$

using $asm1$ **by** *auto*

show $leads\text{-to}\text{-loop} b I \Sigma (s, h) (s, h)$

by (*simp add: leads-to-loop.intro(1)*)

show $\bigwedge \sigma m. \sigma, \sigma \models And I (Bool b) \implies bounded (snd \sigma) \implies safe n \Delta C \sigma$

$(\Sigma \sigma)$

by (*simp add: asm0 asm1*)

show $bounded h$

by (*simp add: asm1(2)*)

qed

then show $safe n \Delta (Cwhile b C) (s, h) (not\text{-set} b (\bigcup n. iterate\text{-sigma} n b I \Sigma (s, h)))$

by (*simp add: not-set-def safe-larger-set trans-included*)

qed

qed

lemma *entails-smallerI*:

assumes $\bigwedge s1 h1 s2 h2. (s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models A \implies (s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models B$

shows $entails A B$

by (*simp add: assms entails-def*)

corollary *while-rule*:

fixes $\Delta :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont$

assumes $entails P (Star P' R)$

and *unary P'*

and $fVA R \cap wrC C = \{\}$

and *hoare-triple-valid* $\Delta (And P' (Bool e)) C P'$

and *hoare-triple-valid* $\Delta (And P (Bool (Band e e'))) C (And P (Low (Band e e')))$

and *precise P' ∨ precise R*

shows *hoare-triple-valid* $\Delta (And P (Low (Band e e'))) (Cseq (Cwhile (Band e e') C) (Cwhile e C)) (And (Star P' R) (Bool (Bnot e)))$

proof (*rule seq-rule*)

show *hoare-triple-valid* $\Delta (And P (Low (Band e e'))) (Cwhile (Band e e') C) (And P (Bool (Bnot (Band e e'))))$

proof (*rule while-rule1*)

```

show hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta$  ( $\text{And } P (\text{Bool} (\text{Band } e e'))$ )  $C$  ( $\text{And } P (\text{Low} (\text{Band } e e'))$ )
  by (simp add: assms(5))
qed

show hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta$  ( $\text{And } P (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot} (\text{Band } e e'))))$  ( $\text{Cwhile } e C$ ) ( $\text{And } (\text{Star } P' R) (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot } e))$ )
  proof (rule consequence-rule)
    show hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta$  ( $\text{Star } P' R$ ) ( $\text{Cwhile } e C$ ) ( $\text{Star} (\text{And } P' (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot } e))) R$ )
      proof (rule frame-rule)
        show precise  $P' \vee$  precise  $R$ 
          by (simp add: assms(6))
        show disjoint (fvA  $R$ ) (wrC ( $\text{Cwhile } e C$ ))
          by (simp add: assms(3) disjoint-def)
        show hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta$   $P'$  ( $\text{Cwhile } e C$ ) ( $\text{And } P' (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot } e))$ )
          proof (rule while-rule2)
            show hoare-triple-valid  $\Delta$  ( $\text{And } P' (\text{Bool } e)$ )  $C P'$ 
              by (simp add: assms(4))
            show unary  $P'$  using assms(2) by auto
          qed
        qed
      qed
      show entails ( $\text{And } P (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot} (\text{Band } e e'))))$  ( $\text{Star } P' R$ )
        using assms(1) entails-def hyper-sat.simps(3) by blast
      show entails ( $\text{Star} (\text{And } P' (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot } e))) R$ ) ( $\text{And} (\text{Star } P' R) (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot } e))$ )
        proof (rule entails-smallerI)
          fix  $s1\ h1\ s2\ h2$ 
          assume asm0:  $(s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models \text{Star} (\text{And } P' (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot } e))) R$ 
          then obtain hp1 hr1 hp2 hr2 where Some  $h1 = \text{Some } hp1 \oplus \text{Some } hr1$ 
          Some  $h2 = \text{Some } hp2 \oplus \text{Some } hr2$ 
             $(s1, hp1), (s2, hp2) \models \text{And } P' (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot } e))$   $(s1, hr1), (s2, hr2) \models R$ 
            using hyper-sat.simps(4) by blast
          then show  $(s1, h1), (s2, h2) \models \text{And} (\text{Star } P' R) (\text{Bool} (\text{Bnot } e))$ 
            by fastforce
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
  
```

4.4.15 CommCSL is sound

```

theorem soundness:
  assumes  $\Delta \vdash \{P\} C \{Q\}$ 
  shows  $\Delta \models \{P\} C \{Q\}$ 
  using assms
proof (induct rule: CommCSL.induct)
  case (RuleAtomicShared  $\Gamma f \alpha$  sact uact J P Q x C map-to-arg sarg ms map-to-multiset  $\pi$ )
    then show ?case using atomic-rule-shared by blast

```

```

qed (simp-all add: rule-skip assign-rule new-rule write-rule read-rule share-rule
atomic-rule-unique
rule-par if1-rule if2-rule seq-rule frame-rule consequence-rule existential-rule
while-rule1 while-rule2)

```

4.5 Corollaries

The two following corollaries express what proving a Hoare triple in Comm-CSL with no invariant (initially) guarantees, i.e., that if C is executed in two states that together satisfy the precondition P, then no execution will abort, and any pair of final states will satisfy together the postcondition Q.

This first corollary considers that the heap h1 is part of a larger execution with heap H1.

theorem safety:

```

assumes hoare-triple-valid (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) P C Q
and (s1, h1), (s2, h2) ⊨ P

```

```

and Some H1 = Some h1 ⊕ Some hf1 ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H1) ∧ no-guard
H1

```

— extend h1 to a normal state H1 without guards

```

and Some H2 = Some h2 ⊕ Some hf2 ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H2) ∧ no-guard
H2

```

— extend h2 to a normal state H2 without guards

```

shows ∧σ' C'. red-rtrans C (s1, normalize (get-fh H1)) C' σ' ⇒ ¬ aborts C'
σ'
and ∧σ' C'. red-rtrans C (s2, normalize (get-fh H2)) C' σ' ⇒ ¬ aborts C'
σ'
and ∧σ1' σ2'. red-rtrans C (s1, normalize (get-fh H1)) Cskip σ1'
⇒ red-rtrans C (s2, normalize (get-fh H2)) Cskip σ2'
⇒ (exists h1' h2' H1' H2'. no-guard H1' ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H1') ∧ snd σ1'
= normalize (get-fh H1') ∧ Some H1' = Some h1' ⊕ Some hf1
∧ no-guard H2' ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H2') ∧ snd σ2' = normalize (get-fh
H2') ∧ Some H2' = Some h2' ⊕ Some hf2
∧ (fst σ1', h1'), (fst σ2', h2') ⊨ Q)

```

proof —

```

obtain Σ where asm0: ∧σ n. σ, σ ⊨ P ⇒ bounded (snd σ) ⇒ safe n (None
:: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C σ (Σ σ)
    ∧σ σ'. σ, σ' ⊨ P ⇒ pair-sat (Σ σ) (Σ σ') Q
using assms(1) hoare-triple-validE by blast
then have pair-sat (Σ (s1, h1)) (Σ (s2, h2)) Q
using assms(2) by blast
moreover have bounded h1
by (metis assms(3) bounded-smaller-sum full-ownership-then-bounded get-fh.simps)
then have ∧n. safe n (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) C (s1, h1) (Σ (s1, h1))
using always-sat-refl asm0(1) assms(2)
by (metis snd-conv)

```

then show $\bigwedge \sigma' C'. \text{red-rtrans } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1)) C'$
 $\sigma' \implies \neg \text{aborts } C' \sigma'$
proof –
fix $\sigma' C'$
assume $\text{red-rtrans } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1)) C' \sigma'$
then show $\neg \text{aborts } C' \sigma'$
using $\text{safe-atomic}[\text{of } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1)) C' \sigma' s1$
 $\text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1) \text{fst } \sigma' \text{ snd } \sigma']$
by (*metis* $\langle \bigwedge n. \text{safe } n \text{ None } C (s1, h1) (\Sigma (s1, h1)) \rangle$ *assms(3)* *denormalize-properties(4)* *prod.exhaust-sel*)
qed
moreover have $\bigwedge n. \text{safe } n (\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}) C (s2, h2) (\Sigma (s2, h2))$
using $\text{always-sat-refl} \text{asm0}(1)$ *assms(2)* *sat-comm-aux*
by (*metis assms(4)* *bounded-smaller-sum* *full-ownership-then-bounded get-fh.elims*
snd-conv)
then show $\bigwedge \sigma' C'. \text{red-rtrans } C (s2, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2)) C'$
 $\sigma' \implies \neg \text{aborts } C' \sigma'$
proof –
fix $\sigma' C'$
assume $\text{red-rtrans } C (s2, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2)) C' \sigma'$
then show $\neg \text{aborts } C' \sigma'$
using $\text{safe-atomic}[\text{of } C (s2, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2)) C' \sigma' s2$
 $\text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2) \text{fst } \sigma' \text{ snd } \sigma']$
by (*metis* $\langle \bigwedge n. \text{safe } n \text{ None } C (s2, h2) (\Sigma (s2, h2)) \rangle$ *assms(4)* *denormalize-properties(4)* *prod.exhaust-sel*)
qed
fix $\sigma 1'$
assume $\text{red-rtrans } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1)) \text{Cskip } \sigma 1'$
then obtain $h1' H1'$ **where** $r1: \text{Some } H1' = \text{Some } h1' \oplus \text{Some } hf1 \text{ snd } \sigma 1' =$
 $\text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1')$
 $\text{no-guard } H1' \wedge \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H1') (\text{fst } \sigma 1', h1') \in \Sigma (s1, h1)$
using $\text{safe-atomic}[\text{of } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1)) \text{Cskip } \sigma 1'$
 $s1 - \text{fst } \sigma 1' \text{ snd } \sigma 1' h1 \Sigma (s1, h1) H1 hf1]$
by (*metis* $\langle \bigwedge n. \text{safe } n \text{ None } C (s1, h1) (\Sigma (s1, h1)) \rangle$ *assms(3)* *denormalize-properties(4)* *surjective-pairing*)
fix $\sigma 2'$
assume $\text{red-rtrans } C (s2, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2)) \text{Cskip } \sigma 2'$
then obtain $h2' H2'$ **where** $r2: \text{Some } H2' = \text{Some } h2' \oplus \text{Some } hf2 \text{ snd } \sigma 2' =$
 $\text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2')$
 $\text{no-guard } H2' \wedge \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H2') (\text{fst } \sigma 2', h2') \in \Sigma (s2, h2)$
using $\text{safe-atomic}[\text{of } C (s2, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2)) \text{Cskip } \sigma 2'$
 $s2 - \text{fst } \sigma 2' \text{ snd } \sigma 2' h2 \Sigma (s2, h2) H2 hf2]$
by (*metis* $\langle \bigwedge n. \text{safe } n \text{ None } C (s2, h2) (\Sigma (s2, h2)) \rangle$ *assms(4)* *denormalize-properties(4)* *surjective-pairing*)
then have $(\text{fst } \sigma 1', h1'), (\text{fst } \sigma 2', h2') \models Q$
using $\text{calculation}(1)$ *pair-satE r1(4)* **by** *blast*
then show $\exists h1' h2' H1' H2'.$
 $\text{no-guard } H1' \wedge$
 $\text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H1') \wedge$

```

 $\text{snd } \sigma_1' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1') \wedge$ 
 $\text{Some } H1' = \text{Some } h1' \oplus \text{Some } hf1 \wedge$ 
 $\text{no-guard } H2' \wedge$ 
 $\text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H2') \wedge \text{snd } \sigma_2' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2') \wedge$ 
 $\text{Some } H2' = \text{Some } h2' \oplus \text{Some } hf2 \wedge (\text{fst } \sigma_1', h1'), (\text{fst } \sigma_2', h2') \models Q$ 
using r1 r2 by blast
qed

lemma neutral-add:
 $\text{Some } h = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some}(\text{Map.empty}, \text{None}, (\lambda\_. \text{None}))$ 
proof –
  have  $h \# \# (\text{Map.empty}, \text{None}, (\lambda\_. \text{None}))$ 
  by (metis compatibleI compatible-fract-heapsI empty-heap-def fst-conv get-fh.elims
  get-gs.simps get-gu.simps option.distinct(1) snd-conv)
  then obtain  $x$  where  $\text{Some } x = \text{Some } h \oplus \text{Some}(\text{Map.empty}, \text{None}, (\lambda\_. \text{None}))$ 
  by simp
  moreover have  $x = h$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) addition-cancellative calculation decompose-guard-remove-easy
  fst-eqD get-gs.simps get-gu.simps no-guard-def no-guards-remove prod.sel(2) sim-
  pler-assos)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

This second corollary considers that the heap $h1$ is the only execution that matters, and thus it ignores any frame. It corresponds to Corollary 4.5 in the paper.

```

corollary safety-no-frame:
assumes hoare-triple-valid ( $\text{None} :: ('i, 'a, nat) \text{ cont}$ )  $P C Q$ 
and  $(s1, H1), (s2, H2) \models P$ 

and full-ownership (get-fh  $H1$ )  $\wedge$  no-guard  $H1$ 
and full-ownership (get-fh  $H2$ )  $\wedge$  no-guard  $H2$ 

shows  $\bigwedge_{\sigma'} C'. \text{red-rtrans } C (s1, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1)) C' \sigma' \implies \neg \text{aborts } C'$ 
 $\bigwedge_{\sigma'} \text{and } \bigwedge_{\sigma'} C'. \text{red-rtrans } C (s2, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2)) C' \sigma' \implies \neg \text{aborts } C'$ 
 $\text{and } \bigwedge_{\sigma_1' \sigma_2'} \text{red-rtrans } C (s1, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1)) \text{Cskip } \sigma_1'$ 
 $\implies \text{red-rtrans } C (s2, \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2)) \text{Cskip } \sigma_2'$ 
 $\implies (\exists H1' H2'. \text{no-guard } H1' \wedge \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H1') \wedge \text{snd } \sigma_1' = \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H1')$ 
 $\wedge \text{no-guard } H2' \wedge \text{full-ownership}(\text{get-fh } H2') \wedge \text{snd } \sigma_2' = \text{normalize}(\text{get-fh } H2')$ 
 $\wedge (\text{fst } \sigma_1', H1'), (\text{fst } \sigma_2', H2') \models Q)$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{Some } H1 = \text{Some } H1 \oplus \text{Some}(\text{Map.empty}, \text{None}, (\lambda\_. \text{None}))$ 
  using neutral-add by blast
  moreover have  $\text{Some } H2 = \text{Some } H2 \oplus \text{Some}(\text{Map.empty}, \text{None}, (\lambda\_. \text{None}))$ 
  using neutral-add by blast

```

```

show  $\bigwedge \sigma' C'. \text{red-rtrans } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H1)) C' \sigma' \implies$ 
 $\neg \text{aborts } C' \sigma'$ 
using always-sat-refl-aux assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) calculation safety(2) by
blast
show  $\bigwedge \sigma' C'. \text{red-rtrans } C (s2, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H2)) C' \sigma' \implies$ 
 $\neg \text{aborts } C' \sigma'$ 
using  $\langle \text{Some } H2 = \text{Some } H2 \oplus \text{Some} (\text{Map.empty}, \text{None}, (\lambda\_. \text{None})) \rangle$  assms(1)
assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) calculation safety(2) by blast
fix  $\sigma_1' \sigma_2'$ 
assume  $\text{red-rtrans } C (s1, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H1)) \text{Cskip } \sigma_1'$ 
 $\text{red-rtrans } C (s2, \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H2)) \text{Cskip } \sigma_2'$ 

then obtain  $h1' h2' H1' H2'$  where asm0: no-guard H1'  $\wedge$  full-ownership (get-fh H1')  $\wedge$  snd σ1' = normalize (get-fh H1')  $\wedge$  Some H1' = Some h1'  $\oplus$  Some (Map.empty, None, (λ_. None))
 $\wedge$  no-guard H2'  $\wedge$  full-ownership (get-fh H2')  $\wedge$  snd σ2' = normalize (get-fh H2')  $\wedge$  Some H2' = Some h2'  $\oplus$  Some (Map.empty, None, (λ_. None))
 $\wedge (fst \sigma_1', h1'), (fst \sigma_2', h2') \models Q$ 
using safety[of P C Q s1 H1 s2 H2 H1 (Map.empty, None, (λ_. None)) H2 (Map.empty, None, (λ_. None))] assms
by (metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨Some H2 = Some H2  $\oplus$  Some (Map.empty, None, (λ_. None))⟩ calculation)
then have  $H1' = h1'$ 
using addition-cancellative decompose-guard-remove-easy denormalize-properties(4)
denormalize-properties(5)
by (metis denormalize-def get-gs.simps get-gu.simps prod.exhaust-sel snd-conv)
moreover have  $H2' = h2'$ 
by (metis asm0 denormalize-properties(4) denormalize-properties(5) fst-eqD
get-fh.elims no-guard-and-no-heap no-guard-then-smaller-same)
ultimately show  $\exists H1' H2'.$ 
 $\text{no-guard } H1' \wedge$ 
 $\text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H1') \wedge$ 
 $\text{snd } \sigma_1' = \text{FractionalHeap.normalize} (\text{get-fh } H1') \wedge$ 
 $\text{no-guard } H2' \wedge \text{full-ownership } (\text{get-fh } H2') \wedge \text{snd } \sigma_2' = \text{Fractional-}$ 
Heap.normalize (get-fh H2')  $\wedge (fst \sigma_1', H1'), (fst \sigma_2', H2') \models Q$ 
using asm0 by blast
qed
end
theory NonInterference
imports Soundness
begin

```

In this file, we prove two non-interference theorems, based on the soundness of CommCSL.

```

fun low-list where
  low-list [] = Bool Btrue
  | low-list (v # q) = And (LowExp (Evar v)) (low-list q)

```

```

lemma low-listE:
  assumes (s1, h1), (s2, h2) ⊢ low-list l
    and x ∈ set l
  shows s1 x = s2 x
  using assms
proof (induct l)
  case (Cons a l)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases x = a)
    case True
    then have (s1, h1), (s2, h2) ⊢ LowExp (Evar a)
      using Cons.prem(1) by auto
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: True)
  next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    using Cons.hyps Cons.prem(1) Cons.prem(2) by auto
qed
qed (simp)

lemma low-listI:
  assumes ∀x. x ∈ set l ⇒ s1 x = s2 x
  shows (s1, h1), (s2, h2) ⊢ low-list l
  using assms
by (induct l) simp-all

corollary non-interference:
  assumes (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) ⊢ {And P (low-list In)} C {low-list Out}
    and red-rtrans C (s1, normalize (get-fh H1)) Cskip (s1', h1')
    and red-rtrans C (s2, normalize (get-fh H2)) Cskip (s2', h2')
    and ∀x. x ∈ set In ⇒ s1 x = s2 x
    and x ∈ set Out
    and (s1, H1), (s2, H2) ⊢ P
    and full-ownership (get-fh H1) ∧ no-guard H1
    and full-ownership (get-fh H2) ∧ no-guard H2
  shows s1' x = s2' x
proof -
  have ∃H1' H2'. no-guard H1' ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H1') ∧ snd (s1', h1') =
    FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H1') ∧
    no-guard H2' ∧ full-ownership (get-fh H2') ∧ snd (s2', h2') =
    FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh H2')
    ∧ (fst (s1', h1'), H1'), (fst (s2', h2'), H2') ⊢ (low-list Out :: ('i, 'a, nat) assertion)
  proof (rule safety-no-frame(3))
    show (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) ⊢ {And P (low-list In)} C {low-list Out}
      using assms(1) soundness by blast
    have (s1, H1), (s2, H2) ⊢ low-list In

```

```

    by (simp add: assms(4) low-listI)
  then show (s1, H1), (s2, H2) ⊢ And P (low-list In)
    by (simp add: assms(6))
  qed ((insert assms; blast)+)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis assms(5) fst-conv low-listE)
qed

definition heapify where
  heapify h = (λl. apply-opt (λv. (pwrite, v)) (h l), None, λ-. None)

lemma heapify-properties:
  full-ownership (get-fh (heapify h))
  no-guard (heapify h)
  normalize (get-fh (heapify h)) = h
proof (rule full-ownershipI)
  fix l p assume get-fh (heapify h) l = Some p
  then show fst p = pwrite
    by (metis apply-opt.elims fst-conv get-fh.elims heapify-def option.sel option.simps(3))
next
  show no-guard (heapify h)
    by (metis addition-cancellative decompose-guard-remove-easy decompose-heap-triple
        heapify-def neutral-add no-guards-remove snd-conv)
  show normalize (get-fh (heapify h)) = h
  proof (rule ext)
    fix l show FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh (heapify h)) l = h l
    proof (cases h l)
      case None
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis apply-opt.simps(1) domIff dom-normalize fst-conv get-fh.simps
            heapify-def)
    next
      case (Some a)
      then show ?thesis
        by (simp add: FractionalHeap.normalize-eq(2) heapify-def)
    qed
  qed
qed

corollary non-interference-no-precondition:
  assumes (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) ⊢ {low-list In} C {low-list Out}
    and red-rtrans C (s1, h1) Cskip (s1', h1')
    and red-rtrans C (s2, h2) Cskip (s2', h2')
    and ⋀x. x ∈ set In ⟹ s1 x = s2 x
    and x ∈ set Out
    shows s1' x = s2' x
proof (rule non-interference)
  show (None :: ('i, 'a, nat) cont) ⊢ {And (Bool Btrue) (low-list In)} C {low-list Out}

```

```

using RuleCons assms(1) entails-def hyper-sat.simps(3) by blast
show red-rtrans C (s1, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh (heapify h1))) Cskip
(s1', h1')
    by (metis assms(2) heapify-properties(3))
show red-rtrans C (s2, FractionalHeap.normalize (get-fh (heapify h2))) Cskip
(s2', h2')
    by (metis assms(3) heapify-properties(3))
qed (insert assms heapify-properties; auto)+

end

```

References

- [1] M. Eilers, T. Dardinier, and P. Müller. CommCSL: Proving information flow security for concurrent programs using abstract commutativity, 2022.
- [2] V. Vafeiadis. Concurrent separation logic and operational semantics. In M. W. Mislove and J. Ouaknine, editors, *Twenty-seventh Conference on the Mathematical Foundations of Programming Semantics, MFPS 2011, Pittsburgh, PA, USA, May 25-28, 2011*, volume 276 of *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 335–351. Elsevier, 2011.