

Combinatorics on Words formalized Basics

Štěpán Holub
Martin Raška
Štěpán Starosta

March 17, 2025

Funded by the Czech Science Foundation grant GAČR 20-20621S.

Contents

0.1	Arithmetical hints	5
1	Reverse symmetry	8
1.1	Quantifications and maps	8
1.1.1	Quantifications and reverse	9
1.2	Attributes	9
1.2.1	Cons reversion	9
1.2.2	Final corrections	9
1.2.3	Declaration attribute <i>reversal-rule</i>	10
1.2.4	Tracing attribute	10
1.2.5	Rule attribute <i>reversed</i>	10
1.3	Declaration of basic reversal rules	11
1.3.1	Pure	11
1.3.2	<i>HOL.HOL</i>	11
1.3.3	<i>HOL.Set</i>	11
1.3.4	<i>HOL.List</i>	11
1.3.5	Reverse Symmetry	13
1.4	...	13
1.5	Examples	14
1.5.1	Cons and append	14
1.5.2	Induction rules	15
1.5.3	hd, tl, last, butlast	15
1.5.4	set	15
1.5.5	rotate	16
2	Basics of Combinatorics on Words	17
2.1	Definitions and notations	17
2.1.1	Empty and nonempty word	18
2.1.2	Prefix	18
2.1.3	Suffix	20
2.1.4	Factor	21
2.2	Various elementary lemmas	21
2.2.1	General facts	25
2.2.2	Map injective function	26

2.2.3	Orderings on lists: prefix, suffix, factor	26
2.2.4	On the empty word	26
2.2.5	Counting letters	27
2.2.6	Set inspection method	27
2.3	Length and its properties	28
2.4	List inspection method	30
2.5	Prefix and prefix comparability properties	32
2.5.1	Prefix comparability	34
2.5.2	Minimal and maximal prefix with a given property . .	38
2.6	Suffix and suffix comparability properties	38
2.6.1	Suffix comparability	40
2.7	Left and Right Quotient	41
2.7.1	Left Quotient	42
2.7.2	Right quotient	44
2.7.3	Left and right quotients combined	45
2.8	Equidivisibility	45
2.9	Longest common prefix	47
2.9.1	Longest common prefix and prefix comparability . .	50
2.9.2	Longest common suffix	51
2.10	Mismatch	52
2.11	Factor properties	53
2.12	Power and its properties	54
2.12.1	Comparison	61
2.13	Rotation	62
2.14	Lists of words and their concatenation	63
2.15	Root	65
2.16	Commutation	67
2.17	Periods	68
2.17.1	Periodic root	68
2.17.2	Maximal root-prefix	71
2.17.3	Period - numeric	72
2.17.4	Period: overview	74
2.17.5	Singleton and its power	75
2.18	Border	78
2.18.1	The shortest border	79
2.18.2	Relation to period and conjugation	80
2.19	The longest border and the shortest period	80
2.19.1	The longest border	80
2.19.2	The shortest period	82
2.20	Primitive words	84
2.21	Primitive root	86
2.21.1	Primitivity and the shortest period	90
2.22	Conjugation	90
2.22.1	Enumerating conjugates	97

2.22.2	General lemmas using conjugation	97
2.23	Element of lists: a method for testing if a word is in lists A	97
2.24	Reversed mappings	99
2.25	Overlapping powers, periods, prefixes and suffixes	100
2.26	Testing primitivity	105
2.26.1	Auxiliary lemmas on suffix and border extension	105
2.27	Computing the Border Array	106
2.27.1	Verification of the computation	108
2.28	Border array	108
3	Submonoids of a free monoid	111
3.1	Hull	111
3.2	Factorization into generators	114
3.2.1	Refinement into a specific decomposition	115
3.3	Basis	116
3.4	Code	119
3.5	Prefix code	122
3.5.1	Suffix code	122
3.6	Marked code	123
3.7	Non-overlapping code	123
3.8	Binary code	125
3.8.1	Binary code locale	126
3.8.2	Binary Mismatch tools	131
3.8.3	Applied mismatch	135
3.9	Two words hull (not necessarily a code)	135
3.10	Free hull	136
3.11	Reversing hulls and decompositions	138
3.12	Lists as the free hull of singletons	138
3.13	Various additional lemmas	140
3.13.1	Roots of binary set	140
3.13.2	Other	140
4	Morphisms	142
4.1	One morphism	142
4.1.1	Morphism, core map and extension	142
4.1.2	Periodic morphism	145
4.1.3	Non-erasing morphism	146
4.1.4	Code morphism	147
4.1.5	Prefix code morphism	148
4.1.6	Marked morphism	149
4.1.7	Image length	149
4.1.8	Endomorphism	150
4.2	Primitivity preserving morphisms	151
4.3	Two morphisms	152

4.3.1	Solutions	152
4.3.2	Coincidence pairs	152
4.3.3	Basics	154
4.3.4	Two nonerasing morphisms	156
4.3.5	Two marked morphisms	158
5	The Periodicity Lemma	163
5.1	Main claim	163
5.2	Optimality	164
5.3	Other variants of the periodicity lemma	165
6	Lyndon-Schützenberger Equation	167
6.1	The original result	167
6.2	The original result	167
6.2.1	Some alternative formulations.	168
6.3	Parametric solution of the equation $x @ j \cdot y @ k = z @ l$	169
6.3.1	Auxiliary lemmas	169
6.3.2	x is longer	169
6.3.3	Putting things together	170
6.3.4	Uniqueness of the imprimitivity witness	172
6.4	The bound on the exponent in Lyndon-Schützenberger equation	172
7	Binary alphabet and binary morphisms	174
7.1	Datatype of a binary alphabet	174
7.2	Binary morphisms	178
7.2.1	Binary periodic morphisms	179
7.3	From two words to a binary morphism	180
7.4	Two binary morphism	181
7.5	Binary code morphism	182
7.5.1	Locale - binary code morphism	182
7.5.2	More translations	186
7.6	Marked binary morphism	187
7.7	Marked version	188
7.8	Two binary code morphisms	190
7.9	Two marked binary morphisms with blocks	194
7.10	Binary primitivity preserving morphism given by a pair of words	195
7.10.1	Translating to to list concatenation	195
7.10.2	Basic properties of <i>bin-prim</i>	196
8	Equations on words - basics	197
8.1	Factor interpretation	197
8.1.1	Factor intepretation of morphic images	199
8.2	Miscellanea	199

8.2.1	Mismatch additions	199
8.2.2	Conjugate words with conjugate periods	200
8.2.3	Covering $uvvu$	200
8.2.4	Conjugate words	201
8.2.5	Predicate “commutes”	201
8.2.6	Strong elementary lemmas	202
8.2.7	Binary words without a letter square	203
8.2.8	Three covers	203
8.2.9	Binary Equality Words	204

References **206**

```
theory Arithmetical-Hints
imports Main
begin
```

0.1 Arithmetical hints

In this section we give some specific auxiliary lemmas on natural numbers.

lemma *zero-diff-eq*: $i \leq j \implies (0::nat) = j - i \implies j = i$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *zero-less-diff'*: $i < j \implies j - i \neq (0::nat)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *nat-prod-le*: $m \neq (0 :: nat) \implies m * n \leq k \implies n \leq k$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-div*: $(p :: nat) < a \implies m = (m * a + p) \text{ div } a$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *get-mod*: $(p :: nat) < a \implies p = (m * a + p) \text{ mod } a$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *plus-one-between*: $(a :: nat) < b \implies \neg b < a + 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *quotient-smaller*: $k \neq (0 :: nat) \implies b \leq k * b$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *mult-cancel-le*: $b \neq 0 \implies a * b \leq c * b \implies a \leq (c :: nat)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *add-lessD2*: $k + m < (n :: nat) \implies m < n$
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma mod-offset: assumes  $M \neq (0 :: nat)$ 
  obtains  $k$  where  $n \bmod M = (l + k) \bmod M$ 
  <proof>

lemma assumes  $q \neq (0::nat)$  shows  $p \leq p + q - \gcd p q$ 
  <proof>

lemma less-mult-one: assumes  $(m-1)*k < k$  obtains  $m = 0 \mid m = (1::nat)$ 
  <proof>

lemmas gcd-le2-pos = gcd-le2-nat[folded zero-order(4)] and
  gcd-le1-pos = gcd-le1-nat[folded zero-order(4)]

lemma ge1-pos-conv:  $1 \leq k \longleftrightarrow 0 < (k::nat)$ 
  <proof>

lemma per-lemma-len-le: assumes  $le: p + q - \gcd p q \leq (n :: nat)$  and  $0 < q$ 
  shows  $p \leq n$ 
  <proof>

lemma Suc-less-iff-Suc-le:  $Suc n < k \longleftrightarrow Suc n \leq k - 1$ 
  <proof>

lemma nat-induct-pair:  $P 0 0 \implies (\bigwedge m n. P m n \implies P m (Suc n)) \implies (\bigwedge m n. P m n \implies P (Suc m) n) \implies P m n$ 
  <proof>

lemma One-less-Two-le-iff:  $1 < k \longleftrightarrow 2 \leq (k :: nat)$ 
  <proof>

lemma at-least2-Suc: assumes  $2 \leq k$ 
  obtains  $k'$  where  $k = Suc(Suc k')$ 
  <proof>

lemma at-least3-Suc: assumes  $3 \leq k$ 
  obtains  $k'$  where  $k = Suc(Suc(Suc k'))$ 
  <proof>

lemmas not0-SucE[elim] = not0-implies-Suc[THEN exE]

lemma le1-SucE: assumes  $1 \leq n$ 
  obtains  $k$  where  $n = Suc k$  <proof>

lemma Suc-minus:  $k \neq 0 \implies Suc(k - 1) = k$ 
  <proof>

lemma Suc-minus':  $1 \leq k \implies Suc(k - 1) = k$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemmas Suc-minus-pos = Suc-diff-1

lemma Suc-minus2:  $2 \leq k \implies \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(k - 2)) = k$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Suc-leE: assumes  $\text{Suc } k \leq n$  obtains  $m$  where  $n = \text{Suc } m$  and  $k \leq m$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma two-three-add-le-mult:  $2 \leq (l::\text{nat}) \implies 3 \leq k \implies k + l + 1 \leq k * l$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma almost-equal-equal: assumes  $(a::\text{nat}) \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$  and  $\text{eq}: k * (a + b) + a = m * (a + b) + b$ 
  shows  $k = m$  and  $a = b$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma crossproduct-le: assumes  $(a::\text{nat}) \leq b$  and  $c \leq d$ 
  shows  $a * d + b * c \leq a * c + b * d$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in linorder) le-less-cases:  $(a \leq b \implies P) \implies (b < a \implies P) \implies P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

theory Reverse-Symmetry
  imports Main
  begin

```

Chapter 1

Reverse symmetry

This theory deals with a mechanism which produces new facts on lists from already known facts by the reverse symmetry of lists, induced by the mapping *rev*. It constructs the rule attribute “reversed” which produces the symmetrical fact using so-called reversal rules, which are rewriting rules that may be applied to obtain the symmetrical fact. An example of such a reversal rule is the already existing $\text{rev } ys @ \text{rev } xs = \text{rev } (xs @ ys)$. Some additional reversal rules are given in this theory.

The symmetrical fact ' $A[\text{reversed}]$ ' is constructed from the fact ' A ' in the following manner: 1. each schematic variable xs of type ' $a \text{ list}$ ' is instantiated by $\text{rev } xs$; 2. constant Nil is substituted by $\text{rev } []$; 3. each quantification of ' $a \text{ list}$ ' type variable $\bigwedge xs. P \ xs$ is substituted by (logically equivalent) quantification $\bigwedge xs. P (\text{rev } xs)$, similarly for \forall , \exists and $\exists!$ quantifiers; each bounded quantification of ' $a \text{ list}$ ' type variable $\forall xs \in A. P \ xs$ is substituted by (logically equivalent) quantification $\forall xs \in \text{rev } A. P (\text{rev } xs)$, similarly for bounded \exists quantifier; 4. simultaneous rewrites according to the current list of reversal rules are performed; 5. final correctional rewrites related to reversion of (#) are performed.

List of reversal rules is maintained by declaration attribute “reversal_rule” with standard “add” and “del” options.

See examples at the end of the file.

1.1 Quantifications and maps

```
lemma all-surj-conv: assumes surj f shows ( $\bigwedge x. PROP P (f x)$ )  $\equiv$  ( $\bigwedge y. PROP P y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma All-surj-conv: assumes surj f shows ( $\forall x. P (f x)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall y. P y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Ex-surj-conv: assumes surj f shows ( $\exists x. P(f x)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists y. P y$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Ex1-bij-conv: assumes bij f shows ( $\exists !x. P(f x)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists !y. P y$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Ball-inj-conv: assumes inj f shows ( $\forall y \in f^A. P(inv f y)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in A. P x$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Bex-inj-conv: assumes inj f shows ( $\exists y \in f^A. P(inv f y)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists x \in A. P x$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

1.1.1 Quantifications and reverse

```
lemma rev-involution': rev  $\circ$  rev = id
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma rev-inv: inv rev = rev
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

1.2 Attributes

```
context
begin
```

1.2.1 Cons reversion

```
definition snocs :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
  where snocs xs ys = xs @ ys
```

1.2.2 Final corrections

```
lemma snocs-snocs: snocs (snocs xs (y # ys)) zs = snocs xs (y # snocs ys zs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma snocs-Nil: snocs [] xs = xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma snocs-is-append: snocs xs ys = xs @ ys
   $\langle proof \rangle$  lemmas final-correct1 =
    snocs-snocs
```

```
private lemmas final-correct2 =
  snocs-Nil
```

```
private lemmas final-correct3 =
  snocs-is-append
```

1.2.3 Declaration attribute *reversal-rule*

$\langle ML \rangle$

1.2.4 Tracing attribute

$\langle ML \rangle$

1.2.5 Rule attribute *reversed*

```
private lemma rev-Nil: rev [] ≡ []
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma map-Nil: map f [] ≡ []
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma image-empty: f ` Set.empty ≡ Set.empty
  ⟨proof⟩

definition COMP :: ('b ⇒ prop) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a ⇒ prop (infixl `oo` 55)
  where F oo g ≡ (λx. F (g x))

lemma COMP-assoc: F oo (f o g) ≡ (F oo f) oo g
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma image-comp-image: (↑) f o (↑) g ≡ (↑) (f o g)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma rev-involution: rev o rev ≡ id
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma map-involution: assumes f o f ≡ id shows (map f) o (map f)
    ≡ id
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma image-involution: assumes f o f ≡ id shows (image f) o (image
    f) ≡ id
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma rev-map-comm: rev o map f ≡ map f o rev
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma involut-comm-comp: assumes f o f ≡ id and g o g ≡ id and f
    o g ≡ g o f
    shows (f o g) o (f o g) ≡ id
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma rev-map-involution: assumes g o g ≡ id
    shows (rev o map g) o (rev o map g) ≡ id
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma prop-abs-subst: assumes f o f ≡ id shows (λx. F (f x)) oo f ≡
    (λx. F x)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma prop-abs-subst-comm: assumes f o f ≡ id and g o g ≡ id and
    f o g ≡ g o f
    shows (λx. F (f (g x))) oo (f o g) ≡ (λx. F x)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma prop-abs-subst-rev-map: assumes g o g ≡ id
    shows (λx. F (rev (map g x))) oo (rev o map g) ≡ (λx. F x)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma obj-abs-subst: assumes f o f ≡ id shows (λx. F (f x)) o f ≡
    (λx. F x)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma obj-abs-subst-comm: assumes f o f ≡ id and g o g ≡ id and f
    o g ≡ g o f
    shows (λx. F (f (g x))) o (f o g) ≡ (λx. F x)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma obj-abs-subst-rev-map: assumes g o g ≡ id
    shows (λx. F (rev (map g x))) o (rev o map g) ≡ (λx. F x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

1.3 Declaration of basic reversal rules

1.3.1 Pure

lemma *all-surj-conv'* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** *surj f* **shows** *Pure.all (P oo f) ≡ Pure.all P*
<proof>

1.3.2 HOL.HOL

lemmas [reversal-rule] = *rev-is-rev-conv inj-eq*

— All

lemma *All-surj-conv'* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** *surj f* **shows** *All (P o f) = All P*
<proof>

lemma *Ex-surj-conv'* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** *surj f* **shows** *Ex (P o f) ↔ Ex P*
<proof>

lemma *Ex1-bij-conv'* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** *bij f* **shows** *Ex1 (P o f) ↔ Ex1 P*
<proof>

lemma *if-image* [reversal-rule]: *(if P then f u else f v) = f (if P then u else v)*
<proof>

1.3.3 HOL.Set

lemma *collect-image*: *Collect (P o f) = f -' (Collect P)*
<proof>

lemma *collect-image'* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** *f o f = id* **shows** *Collect (P o f) = f ' (Collect P)*
<proof>

lemma *Ball-image* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** *(g o f) ' A = A* **shows** *Ball (f ' A) (P o g) = Ball A P*
<proof>

lemma *Bex-image-comp*: *Bex (f ' A) g = Bex A (g o f)*
<proof>

lemma *Bex-image* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** *(g o f) ' A = A* **shows** *Bex (f ' A) (P o g) = Bex A P*
<proof>

lemma *insert-image* [reversal-rule]: *insert (f x) (f ' X) = f ' (insert x X)*
<proof>

lemmas [reversal-rule] = *inj-image-mem-iff*

— (\subseteq)

lemmas [reversal-rule] = *inj-image-subset-iff*

1.3.4 HOL.List

lemmas [reversal-rule] = *set-rev set-map*

— (#)
lemma *Cons-rev*: $a \# rev u = rev (snocs u [a])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Cons-map*: $(f x) \# (map f xs) = map f (x \# xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = *Cons-rev Cons-map*

— *hd*
lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = *hd-rev hd-map*

— *tl*
lemma *tl-rev*: $tl (rev xs) = rev (butlast xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = *tl-rev map-tl[symmetric]*

— *last*
lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = *last-rev last-map*

— *butlast*
lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = *butlast-rev map-butlast[symmetric]*

— *List.coset*
lemma *coset-rev*: $List.coset (rev xs) = List.coset xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coset-map*: **assumes** *bij f shows* $List.coset (map f xs) = f ` List.coset xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = *coset-rev coset-map*

— (@)
lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = *rev-append[symmetric] map-append[symmetric]*

— *concat*
lemma *concat-rev-map-rev*: $concat (rev (map rev xs)) = rev (concat xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *concat-rev-map-rev'*: $concat (rev (map (rev \circ f) xs)) = rev (concat (map f xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = *concat-rev-map-rev concat-rev-map-rev'*

— *drop*
lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = *drop-rev drop-map*

— take

lemmas [reversal-rule] = take-rev take-map

— (!)

lemmas [reversal-rule] = rev-nth nth-map

— List.insert

lemma list-insert-map [reversal-rule]:
assumes inj f **shows** List.insert (f x) (map f xs) = map f (List.insert x xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-union-map [reversal-rule]:
assumes inj f **shows** List.union (map f xs) (map f ys) = map f (List.union xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [reversal-rule] = length-rev length-map

— rotate

lemmas [reversal-rule] = rotate-rev rotate-map

— lists

lemma rev-in-lists: rev u ∈ lists A ↔ u ∈ lists A
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-in-lists: inj f ⇒ map f u ∈ lists (f ` A) ↔ u ∈ lists A
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [reversal-rule] = rev-in-lists map-in-lists

— list-all

lemmas [reversal-rule] = list-all-rev

— list-ex

lemmas [reversal-rule] = list-ex-rev

1.3.5 Reverse Symmetry

lemma snocs-map [reversal-rule]: snocs (map f u) [f a] = map f (snocs u [a])
⟨proof⟩

1.4

lemma bij-rev: bij rev
⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-map: bij f ⇒ bij (map f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma surj-map: surj f ⇒ surj (map f)

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-image: bij f  $\implies$  bij (image f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-image: inj f  $\implies$  inj (image f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma surj-image: surj f  $\implies$  surj (image f)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [simp] =
  bij-rev
  bij-is-inj
  bij-is-surj
  bij-comp
  inj-compose
  comp-surj
  bij-map
  inj-mapI
  surj-map
  bij-image
  inj-image
  surj-image

```

1.5 Examples

```

context
begin

```

1.5.1 Cons and append

```

private lemma example-Cons-append:
  assumes xs = [a, b] and ys = [b, a, b]
  shows xs @ xs @ xs = a # b # a # ys
⟨proof⟩

```

```

thm
  example-Cons-append
  example-Cons-append[reversed]
  example-Cons-append[reversed, reversed]

```

```

thm
  not-Cons-self
  not-Cons-self[reversed]

```

```

thm
  neq-Nil-conv
  neq-Nil-conv[reversed]

```

1.5.2 Induction rules

thm

list-nonempty-induct

list-nonempty-induct[reversed] *list-nonempty-induct[reversed, where P=λx. P (rev x) for P, unfolded rev-rev-ident]*

thm

list-induct2

list-induct2[reversed] *list-induct2[reversed, where P=λx y. P (rev x) (rev y) for P, unfolded rev-rev-ident]*

1.5.3 hd, tl, last, butlast

thm

hd-append

hd-append[reversed]

last-append

thm

length-tl

length-tl[reversed]

length-butlast

thm

hd-Cons-tl

hd-Cons-tl[reversed]

append-butlast-last-id

append-butlast-last-id[reversed]

1.5.4 set

thm

hd-in-set

hd-in-set[reversed]

last-in-set

thm

set-ConsD

set-ConsD[reversed]

thm

split-list-first

split-list-first[reversed]

thm

split-list-first-prop

split-list-first-prop[reversed]

split-list-first-prop[reversed, unfolded append-assoc append-Cons append-Nil]

split-list-last-prop

```

thm
  split-list-first-propE
  split-list-first-propE[reversed]
  split-list-first-propE[reversed, unfolded append-assoc append-Cons append-Nil]
  split-list-last-propE

```

1.5.5 rotate

```

private lemma rotate1-hd-tl:  $xs \neq [] \implies \text{rotate } 1 \ xs = tl \ xs @ [hd \ xs]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

thm
  rotate1-hd-tl
  rotate1-hd-tl[reversed]

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

```

theory CoWBasic
imports HOL-Library.Sublist Arithmetical-Hints Reverse-Symmetry HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach-Tools
begin

```

Chapter 2

Basics of Combinatorics on Words

Combinatorics on Words, as the name suggests, studies words, finite or infinite sequences of elements from a, usually finite, alphabet. The essential operation on finite words is the concatenation of two words, which is associative and noncommutative. This operation yields many simply formulated problems, often in terms of *equations on words*, that are mathematically challenging.

See for instance [1] for an introduction to Combinatorics on Words, and [?, 5, 6] as another reference for Combinatorics on Words. This theory deals exclusively with finite words and provides basic facts of the field which can be considered as folklore.

The most natural way to represent finite words is by the type '*a list*'. From an algebraic viewpoint, lists are free monoids. On the other hand, any free monoid is isomorphic to a monoid of lists of its generators. The algebraic point of view and the combinatorial point of view therefore overlap significantly in Combinatorics on Words.

2.1 Definitions and notations

First, we introduce elementary definitions and notations.

The concatenation (@) of two finite lists/words is the very basic operation in Combinatorics on Words, its notation is usually omitted. In this field, a common notation for this operation is \cdot , which we use and add here.

notation *append* (**infixr** \leftrightarrow 65)

```
lemmas rassoc = append-assoc
lemmas lassoc = append-assoc[symmetric]
```

We add a common notation for the length of a given word $|w|$.

notation

length ($\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$) — note that it's bold $|$

notation (latex output)

length ($\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$)

notation *longest-common-prefix* (**infixr** $\langle \wedge_p \rangle$ 61) — provided by Sublist.thy

2.1.1 Empty and nonempty word

As the word of length zero $[]$ or $\langle \rangle$ will be used often, we adopt its frequent notation ε in this formalization.

notation *Nil* ($\langle \varepsilon \rangle$)

named-theorems *emp-simps*

lemmas [*emp-simps*] = *append-Nil2 append-Nil list.map(1) list.size(3)*

2.1.2 Prefix

The property of being a prefix shall be frequently used, and we give it yet another frequent shorthand notation. Analogously, we introduce shorthand notations for non-empty prefix and strict prefix, and continue with suffixes and factors.

notation *prefix* (**infixl** $\langle \leq_p \rangle$ 50)

notation (latex output) *prefix* ($\langle \leq_p \rangle$)

lemmas *prefI'[intro]* = *prefixI*

lemma *prefI[intro]*: $p \cdot s = w \implies p \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prefD*: $u \leq_p v \implies \exists z. v = u \cdot z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *prefix-comparable* :: $'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** $\langle \bowtie \rangle$ 50)
where $(\text{prefix-comparable } u v) \equiv u \leq_p v \vee v \leq_p u$

lemma *pref-compI1*: $u \leq_p v \implies u \bowtie v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-compI2*: $v \leq_p u \implies u \bowtie v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-compE [elim]*: **assumes** $u \bowtie v$ **obtains** $u \leq_p v \mid v \leq_p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-compI[intro]*: $u \leq_p v \vee v \leq_p u \implies u \bowtie v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
definition nonempty-prefix (infixl `≤np` 50) where nonempty-prefix-def[simp]:  
u ≤np v ≡ u ≠ ε ∧ u ≤p v  
notation (latex output) nonempty-prefix (≤np 50)  
  
lemma npI[intro]: u ≠ ε ⇒ u ≤p v ⇒ u ≤np v  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma npI'[intro]: u ≠ ε ⇒ (∃ z. u · z = v) ⇒ u ≤np v  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma npD: u ≤np v ⇒ u ≤p v  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma npD': u ≤np v ⇒ u ≠ ε  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
notation strict-prefix (infixl `<p` 50)  
notation (latex output) strict-prefix (<p)  
lemmas [simp] = strict-prefix-def  
  
interpretation lcp: semilattice-order (λ_p) prefix strict-prefix  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemmas sprefI = strict-prefixI  
  
lemma sprefI1[intro]: v = u · z ⇒ z ≠ ε ⇒ u <p v  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma sprefI1'[intro]: u · z = v ⇒ z ≠ ε ⇒ u <p v  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma sprefI2[intro]: u ≤p v ⇒ |u| < |v| ⇒ u <p v  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma sprefD: u <p v ⇒ u ≤p v ∧ u ≠ v  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemmas sprefD1[dest] = prefix-order.strict-implies-order and  
sprefD2 = prefix-order.less-imp-neq  
  
lemmas sprefE[elim?] = strict-prefixE  
  
lemma spref-exE[elim?]: assumes u <p v obtains z where u · z = v and z ≠ ε  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

2.1.3 Suffix

notation *suffix* (**infixl** \leq_s 50)
notation (**latex output**) *suffix* (\leq_s)

lemma *sufI[intro]*: $p \cdot s = w \implies s \leq_s w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sufD[elim]*: $u \leq_s v \implies \exists z. z \cdot u = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

notation *strict-suffix* (**infixl** $<_s$ 50)
notation (**latex output**) *strict-suffix* ($<_s$)
lemmas [*simp*] = *strict-suffix-def*

lemmas [*intro*] = *suffix-order.le-neq-trans*

lemmas *ssufI* = *suffix-order.le-neq-trans*

lemma *ssufI1[intro]*: $u \cdot v = w \implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies v <_s w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ssufI2[intro]*: $u \leq_s v \implies \text{length } u < \text{length } v \implies v <_s w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ssufE*: $u <_s v \implies (u \leq_s v \implies u \neq v \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ssufI3[intro]*: $u \cdot v = w \implies u \leq_{np} w \implies v <_s w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ssufD[elim]*: $u <_s v \implies u \leq_s v \wedge u \neq v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *ssufD1[elim]* = *suffix-order.strict-implies-order* and
ssufD2[elim] = *suffix-order.less-imp-neq*

definition *suffix-comparable* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool (**infixl** \bowtie_s 50)
where (*suffix-comparable* $u v$) \longleftrightarrow (*rev* u) \bowtie (*rev* v)

lemma *suf-compI1[intro]*: $u \leq_s v \implies u \bowtie_s v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *suf-compI2[intro]*: $v \leq_s u \implies u \bowtie_s v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *nonempty-suffix* (**infixl** \leq_{ns} 60) **where** *nonempty-suffix-def[simp]*:
 $u \leq_{ns} v \equiv u \neq \varepsilon \wedge u \leq_s v$
notation (**latex output**) *nonempty-suffix* (\leq_{ns} 50)

lemma $nsI[intro]$: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies u \leq_s v \implies u \leq_{ns} v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nsI'[intro]$: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies (\exists z. z \cdot u = v) \implies u \leq_{ns} v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nsD : $u \leq_{ns} v \implies u \leq_s v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nsD' : $u \leq_{ns} v \implies u \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.1.4 Factor

A *sublist* of some word is in Combinatorics of Words called a factor. We adopt a common shorthand notation for the property of being a factor, strict factor and nonempty factor (the latter we also define).

```
notation sublist (infixl <=f> 50)
notation (latex output) sublist (<=f>)
lemmas fac-def = sublist-def
```

```
notation strict-sublist (infixl <<f> 50)
notation (latex output) strict-sublist (<<f>)
lemmas strict-factor-def[simp] = strict-sublist-def
```

```
definition nonempty-factor (infixl <=nf> 60) where nonempty-factor-def[simp]:
 $u \leq_{nf} v \equiv u \neq \varepsilon \wedge (\exists p s. p \cdot u \cdot s = v)$ 
notation (latex output) nonempty-factor (<=nf>)
```

lemmas facI = sublist-appendI

lemma $facI'$: $a \cdot u \cdot b = w \implies u \leq_f w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $facE[elim]$: assumes $u \leq_f v$
obtains $p s$ where $v = p \cdot u \cdot s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $facE'[elim]$: assumes $u \leq_f v$
obtains $p s$ where $p \cdot u \cdot s = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2 Various elementary lemmas

lemmas drop-all-iff = drop-eq-Nil — backward compatibility with Isabelle 2021

```

lemma exE2:  $\exists x y. P x y \implies (\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas concat-morph = concat-append

lemmas cancel = same-append-eq and
  cancel-right = append-same-eq

lemmas disjI = verit-and-neg(3)

lemma rev-in-conv:  $\text{rev } u \in A \longleftrightarrow u \in \text{rev}^{\cdot} A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas map-rev-involution = list.map-comp[of rev rev, unfolded rev-involution'
list.map-id]

lemma map-rev-lists-rev:  $\text{map rev}^{\cdot} (\text{lists} (\text{rev}^{\cdot} A)) = \text{lists } A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inj-on-map-lists: assumes inj-on f A
  shows inj-on (map f) (lists A)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma bij-lists: bij-betw f X Y  $\implies$  bij-betw (map f) (lists X) (lists Y)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma concat-sing': concat [r] = r
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma concat-sing: assumes s = [a] shows concat s = a
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rev-sing: rev [x] = [x]
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma hd-word: a#ws = [a] . ws
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pref-singE: assumes p ≤p [a] obtains p = ε | p = [a]
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma map-hd: map f (a#v) = [f a] . (map f v)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma hd-tl: w ≠ ε  $\implies$  [hd w] . tl w = w
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma hd-tlE: assumes w ≠ ε
  obtains a w' where w = a#w'

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-tl-lenE*: **assumes** $0 < |w|$
obtains $a w'$ **where** $w = a \# w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-tl-longE*: **assumes** $Suc 0 < |w|$
obtains $a w'$ **where** $w = a \# w'$ **and** $w' \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $hd w = a$ **and** $tl w = w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-pref*: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies [hd w] \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-nth*: **assumes** $n < |w|$ **shows** $(take n w) \cdot [w!n] \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-pref'*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $[w!0] \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sub-lists-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies x \in lists A \implies x \in lists B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lists-hd-in-set[simp]*: $us \neq \varepsilon \implies us \in lists Q \implies hd us \in Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lists-last-in-set[simp]*: $us \neq \varepsilon \implies us \in lists Q \implies last us \in Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *replicate-in-lists*: $replicate k z \in lists \{z\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tl-in-lists*: **assumes** $us \in lists A$ **shows** $tl us \in lists A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *lists-butlast* = *tl-in-lists[reversed]*

lemma *long-list-tl*: **assumes** $1 < |us|$ **shows** $tl us \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tl-set*: $x \in set (tl a) \implies x \in set a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-take-inv*: $n \leq |u| \implies drop n (take n u \cdot w) = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-list-long*: **assumes** $1 < |us|$ **and** $u \in set us$
obtains $xs ys$ **where** $us = xs \cdot [u] \cdot ys$ **and** $xs \cdot ys \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *flatten-lists*: $G \subseteq \text{lists } B \implies xs \in \text{lists } G \implies \text{concat } xs \in \text{lists } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *concat-map-single-ident*: $\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda x. [x]) xs) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-concat-tl*: **assumes** $ws \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $hd ws \cdot \text{concat } (\text{tl } ws) = \text{concat } ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *concat-butlast-last*: **assumes** $ws \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $\text{concat } (\text{butlast } ws) \cdot \text{last } ws = \text{concat } ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sprep-butlast-pref*: **assumes** $u \leq_p v$ **and** $u \neq v$ **shows** $u \leq_p \text{butlast } v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *last-concat*: $xs \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{last } xs \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{last } (\text{concat } xs) = \text{last } (\text{last } xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *concat-last-suf*: $ws \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{last } ws \leq_s \text{concat } ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *concat-hd-pref*: $ws \neq \varepsilon \implies hd ws \leq_p \text{concat } ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-nemp-concat-nemp*: **assumes** $ws \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $\varepsilon \notin \text{set } ws$ **shows** $\text{concat } ws \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *takedrop* = *append-take-drop-id*

lemma *suf-drop-conv*: $u \leq_s w \longleftrightarrow \text{drop } (|w| - |u|) w = u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-rev-iff*: $\text{rev } u \cdot \text{rev } v = \text{rev } v \cdot \text{rev } u \longleftrightarrow u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-induct2*:
 $\llbracket P [] \rrbracket;$
 $\bigwedge x xs. P (xs \cdot [x]) \llbracket;$
 $\bigwedge y ys. P [] (ys \cdot [y]);$
 $\bigwedge x xs y ys. P xs ys \implies P (xs \cdot [x]) (ys \cdot [y]) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P xs ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fin-bin*: $\text{finite } \{x, y\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-rev-image-eq* [*reversal-rule*]: $\text{rev} ` \text{rev} ` X = X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *last-take-conv-nth*: **assumes** $n < length xs$ **shows** $last (take (Suc n) xs) = xs!n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-map-inv*: *inj-on f A* $\implies u \in lists A \implies u = map (\text{the-inv-into } A f) (map f u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *last-sing[simp]*: $last [c] = c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-hdE*: $(u = \varepsilon \implies \text{thesis}) \implies (u = [hd u] \implies \text{thesis}) \implies (u = [hd u, hd (tl u)] \cdot tl (tl u) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *same-sing-pref*: $u \cdot [a] \leq_p v \implies u \cdot [b] \leq_p v \implies a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compow-Suc*: $(f^{\sim}(Suc k)) w = f ((f^{\sim}k) w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compow-Suc'*: $(f^{\sim}(Suc k)) w = (f^{\sim}k) (f w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2.1 General facts

lemma *two-elem-sub*: $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies \{x, y\} \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *fun.inj-map[THEN injD]*

lemma *inj-comp*: **assumes** *inj (f :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'b list)* **shows** $(g \circ w = h \circ w \longleftrightarrow (f \circ g) w = (f \circ h) w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-comp-eq*: **assumes** *inj (f :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'b list)* **shows** $(g = h \longleftrightarrow f \circ g = f \circ h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-elem-cases[elim!]*: **assumes** $u \in \{x, y\}$ **obtains** *(fst) u = x | (snd) u = y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-elem-cases2[elim]*: **assumes** $u \in \{x, y\} v \in \{x, y\} u \neq v$
shows $(u = x \implies v = y \implies \text{thesis}) \implies (u = y \implies v = x \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-elemP*: $u \in \{x, y\} \implies P x \implies P y \implies P u$
(proof)

lemma *pairs-extensional*: $(\bigwedge r s. P r s \longleftrightarrow (\exists a b. Q a b \wedge r = fa a \wedge s = fb b)) \implies \{(r,s). P r s\} = \{(fa a, fb b) \mid a b. Q a b\}$
(proof)

lemma *pairs-extensional'*: $(\bigwedge r s. P r s \longleftrightarrow (\exists t. Q t \wedge r = fa t \wedge s = fb t)) \implies \{(r,s). P r s\} = \{(fa t, fb t) \mid t. Q t\}$
(proof)

lemma *doubleton-subset-cases*: **assumes** $A \subseteq \{x,y\}$
obtains $A = \{\} \mid A = \{x\} \mid A = \{y\} \mid A = \{x,y\}$
(proof)

2.2.2 Map injective function

lemma *map-pref-conv* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** $\text{inj } f$ **shows** $\text{map } f u \leq_p \text{map } f v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p v$
(proof)

lemma *map-suf-conv* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** $\text{inj } f$ **shows** $\text{map } f u \leq_s \text{map } f v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_s v$
(proof)

lemma *map-fac-conv* [reversal-rule]: **assumes** $\text{inj } f$ **shows** $\text{map } f u \leq_f \text{map } f v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_f v$
(proof)

lemma *map-lcp-conv*: **assumes** $\text{inj } f$ **shows** $(\text{map } f xs) \wedge_p (\text{map } f ys) = \text{map } f (xs \wedge_p ys)$
(proof)

2.2.3 Orderings on lists: prefix, suffix, factor

lemmas *self-pref* = *prefix-order.refl* **and**
pref-antisym = *prefix-order.antisym* **and**
pref-trans = *prefix-order.trans* **and**
spref-trans = *prefix-order.less-trans* **and**
suf-trans = *suffix-order.trans* **and**
fac-trans[intro] = *sublist-order.order.trans*

2.2.4 On the empty word

lemma *nemp-elem-setI*[intro]: $u \in S \implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies u \in S - \{\varepsilon\}$
(proof)

lemma *emp-concat-emp*: $us \in \text{lists } (S - \{\varepsilon\}) \implies \text{concat } us = \varepsilon \implies us = \varepsilon$
(proof)

```

lemma take-nemp:  $w \neq \varepsilon \implies 0 < n \implies \text{take } n w \neq \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pref-nemp [intro]:  $u \neq \varepsilon \implies u \cdot v \neq \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma suf-nemp [intro]:  $v \neq \varepsilon \implies u \cdot v \neq \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pref-of-emp:  $u \cdot v = \varepsilon \implies u = \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma suf-of-emp:  $u \cdot v = \varepsilon \implies v = \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nemp-comm:  $(u \neq \varepsilon \implies v \neq \varepsilon \implies u \neq v \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u) \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma non-triv-comm [intro]:  $(u \neq \varepsilon \implies v \neq \varepsilon \implies u \neq v \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u) \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma split-list':  $a \in \text{set } ws \implies \exists p s. ws = p \cdot [a] \cdot s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma split-listE: assumes  $a \in \text{set } w$ 
  obtains  $p s$  where  $w = p \cdot [a] \cdot s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.2.5 Counting letters

declare count-list-rev [reversal-rule]

lemma count-list-map-conv [reversal-rule]:
assumes inj f **shows** count-list (map f ws) ($f a$) = count-list ws a
 ⟨proof⟩

2.2.6 Set inspection method

This section defines a simple method that splits a goal into subgoals by enumerating all possibilites for x in a premise such as $x \in \{a, b, c\}$. See the demonstrations below.

```

method set-inspection = (
  (unfold insert-iff),
  (elim disjE emptyE),
  (simp-all only: singleton-iff refl True-implies-equals)
)

```

lemma $u \in \{x,y\} \implies P u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\bigwedge u. u \in \{x,y\} \implies u = x \vee u = y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3 Length and its properties

lemmas $lenarg = \text{arg-cong}[\text{of } \text{- - length}]$ and
 $lenmorph = \text{length-append}$

lemma $lenarg-not: |u| \neq |v| \implies u \neq v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $len-less-neq: |u| < |v| \implies u \neq v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $len-nemp-conv = \text{length-greater-0-conv}$

lemma $npos-len: |u| \leq 0 \implies u = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nemp-pos-len: w \neq \varepsilon \implies 0 < |w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nemp-le-len: r \neq \varepsilon \implies 1 \leq |r|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $swap-len: |u \cdot v| = |v \cdot u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $len-after-drop: p + q \leq |w| \implies q \leq |\text{drop } p w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $short-take-append: n \leq |u| \implies \text{take } n (u \cdot v) = \text{take } n u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sing-word: |us| = 1 \implies [\text{hd } us] = us$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sing-word-concat: \text{assumes } |us| = 1 \text{ shows } [\text{concat } us] = us$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $len-one-concat-in: ws \in \text{lists } A \implies |ws| = 1 \implies \text{concat } ws \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $concat-nemp: \text{concat } us \neq \varepsilon \implies us \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sing-len:  $|[a]| = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas pref-len = prefix-length-le and
  suf-len = suffix-length-le

lemmas spref-len = prefix-length-less and
  ssuf-len = suffix-length-less

lemma pref-len':  $|u| \leq |u \cdot z|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma suf-len':  $|u| \leq |z \cdot u|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fac-len:  $u \leq_f v \implies |u| \leq |v|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fac-len':  $|w| \leq |u \cdot w \cdot v|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fac-len-eq:  $u \leq_f v \implies |u| = |v| \implies u = v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

thm length-take

lemma len-take1:  $|take p w| \leq p$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma len-take2:  $|take p w| \leq |w|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma drop-len:  $|u \cdot w| \leq |u \cdot v \cdot w|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma drop-pref:  $drop |u| (u \cdot w) = w$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma take-len:  $p \leq |w| \implies |take p w| = p$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma conj-len:  $p \cdot x = x \cdot s \implies |p| = |s|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma take-nemp-len:  $u \neq \varepsilon \implies r \neq \varepsilon \implies take |r| u \neq \varepsilon$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nemp-len:  $u \neq \varepsilon \implies |u| \neq 0$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emp-len*: $w = \varepsilon \implies |w| = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-self*: $take |w| w = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *len-le-concat*: $\varepsilon \notin set ws \implies |ws| \leq |concat ws|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-len-iff*: **assumes** *eq*: $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$ **shows** $|x| \leq |u| \longleftrightarrow |v| \leq |y|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-len-iff-less*: **assumes** *eq*: $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$ **shows** $|x| < |u| \longleftrightarrow |v| < |y|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Suc-len-nemp*: $|w| = Suc n \implies w \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *same-sufix-nil*: **assumes** $z \cdot u \leq_p u$ **shows** $z = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *count-list-gr-0-iff*: $0 < count-list u a \longleftrightarrow a \in set u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mid-fac-ex*: **assumes** $2 \leq |w|$
shows $w = [hd w] \cdot (butlast (tl w)) \cdot [last w]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.4 List inspection method

In this section we define a proof method, named *list_inspection*, which splits the goal into subgoals which enumerate possibilities on lists with fixed length and given alphabet. More specifically, it looks for a premise of the form such as $|w| = 2 \wedge w \in lists \{x, y, z\}$ or $|w| \leq 2 \wedge w \in lists \{x, y, z\}$ and substitutes the goal by the goals listing all possibilities for the word w , see demonstrations after the method definition.

context
begin

First, we define an elementary lemma used for unfolding the premise. Since it is very specific, we keep it private.

private lemma *hd-tl-len-list-iff*: $|w| = Suc n \wedge w \in lists A \longleftrightarrow hd w \in A \wedge w = hd w \# tl w \wedge |tl w| = n \wedge tl w \in lists A$ (**is** $?L = ?R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We define a list of lemmas used for the main unfolding step.

```

private lemmas len-list-word-dec =
  numeral-nat hd-tl-len-list-iff
  insert-iff empty-iff simp-thms length-0-conv

```

The method itself accepts an argument called ‘add’, which is supplied to the method `simp_all` to solve some simple cases, and thus lower the number of produced goals on the fly.

```

method list-inspection = (
  ((match premises in len[thin]:  $|w| \leq k$  and list[thin]:  $w \in lists A$  for w k A
   $\Rightarrow$ 
    ⟨insert conjI[OF len list]⟩) +) ?,
  (unfold numeral-nat le-Suc-eq le-0-eq), — unfold numeral and e.g.  $k \leq (2::'a)$ 
  (unfold conj-ac(1)[of w in lists A |w| \leq k for w A k]
   conj-disj-distribR[where ?R = w in lists A for w A]) ?,
  ((match premises in len[thin]:  $|w| = k$  and list[thin]:  $w \in lists A$  for w k A
   $\Rightarrow$ 
    ⟨insert conjI[OF len list]⟩) +) ?,
  — transform into the conjunction such as  $|w| = 2 \wedge w \in lists \{x, y, z\}$ 
  (unfold conj-ac(1)[of w in lists A |w| = k for w A k] len-list-word-dec), — unfold
  w
  (elim disjE conjE), — split into all cases
  (simp-all only: singleton-iff lists.Nil list.sel refl True-implies-equals) ?, — replace
  w everywhere
  (simp-all only: empty-iff lists.Nil bool-simps) ? — solve simple cases
  )
)

```

List inspection demonstrations

The required premise in the form of conjunction. First, inequality bound on the length, second, equality bound.

```

lemma  $|w| = 2 \wedge w \in lists \{x,y,z\} \Rightarrow P w$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The required premise as 2 separate assumptions.

```

lemma  $|w| \leq 2 \Rightarrow w \in lists \{x,y,z\} \Rightarrow P w$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $w \leq p w \Rightarrow |w| \leq 2 \Rightarrow w \in lists \{a,b\} \Rightarrow hd w = a \Rightarrow w \neq \varepsilon \Rightarrow w$ 
   $= [a, b] \vee w = [a, a] \vee w = [a]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $w \leq p w \Rightarrow |w| = 2 \Rightarrow w \in lists \{a,b\} \Rightarrow hd w = a \Rightarrow w = [a, b] \vee$ 
   $w = [a, a]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $w \leq p w \Rightarrow |w| = 2 \wedge w \in lists \{a,b\} \Rightarrow hd w = a \Rightarrow w = [a, b] \vee w$ 
   $= [a, a]$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $w \leq_p w \implies w \in lists \{a, b\} \wedge |w| = 2 \implies hd w = a \implies w = [a, b] \vee w = [a, a]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.5 Prefix and prefix comparability properties

lemmas $pref\text{-}emp = prefix\text{-}bot.\text{extremum-uniqueI}$

lemma $triv\text{-}pref: r \leq_p r \cdot s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $triv\text{-}spref: s \neq \varepsilon \implies r <_p r \cdot s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pref\text{-}cancel: z \cdot u \leq_p z \cdot v \implies u \leq_p v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pref\text{-}cancel': u \leq_p v \implies z \cdot u \leq_p z \cdot v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $spref\text{-}cancel: z \cdot u <_p z \cdot v \implies u <_p v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $spref\text{-}cancel': u <_p v \implies z \cdot u <_p z \cdot v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $pref\text{-}cancel\text{-}conv = same\text{-}prefix\text{-}prefix$ and
 $suf\text{-}cancel\text{-}conv = same\text{-}suffix\text{-}suffix$ — provided by Sublist.thy

lemma $pref\text{-}cancel\text{-}hd\text{-}conv: a \# u \leq_p a \# v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $spref\text{-}cancel\text{-}conv: z \cdot x <_p z \cdot y \longleftrightarrow x <_p y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $spref\text{-}snoc\text{-}iff [simp]: u <_p v \cdot [a] \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $spref\text{-}two\text{-}lettersE: assumes p <_p [a, b] obtains p = \varepsilon \mid p = [a]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $pref\text{-}ext[intro] = prefix\text{-}prefix$ — provided by Sublist.thy

lemmas $pref\text{-}extD = append\text{-}prefixD$ and
 $suf\text{-}extD = suffix\text{-}appendD$

lemma *spref-extD*: $x \cdot y <_p z \implies x <_p z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *spref-ext*: $r <_p u \implies r <_p u \cdot v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-ext-nemp*: $r \leq_p u \implies v \neq \varepsilon \implies r <_p u \cdot v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-take*: $p \leq_p w \implies take |p| w = p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-take-conv*: $take (|r|) w = r \longleftrightarrow r \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-suf-drop*: **assumes** $i \leq j$ **shows** $drop j w \leq_s drop i w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *spref-take*: $p <_p w \implies take |p| w = p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-same-len*: $u \leq_p v \implies |u| = |v| \implies u = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-same-len'*: $u \cdot z \leq_p v \cdot w \implies |u| = |v| \implies u = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-comp-eq*: $u \bowtie v \implies |u| = |v| \implies u = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ruler-eq-len*: $u \leq_p w \implies v \leq_p w \implies |u| = |v| \implies u = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-prod-eq*: $u \leq_p v \cdot z \implies |u| = |v| \implies u = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *pref-comm-eq* = *pref-same-len*[OF - swap-len] **and**
pref-comm-eq' = *pref-prod-eq*[OF - swap-len, unfolded rassoc]

lemma *pref-comm-eq-conv*: $u \cdot v \leq_p v \cdot u \longleftrightarrow u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-nth-pref*: **assumes** $u <_p w$ **shows** $u \cdot [w!|u|] \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *index-pref*: $|u| \leq |w| \implies (\forall i < |u|. u!i = w!i) \implies u \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-index*: **assumes** $u \leq p w$ $i < |u|$ **shows** $u!i = w!i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-drop*: $u \leq p v \implies drop\ p\ u \leq p drop\ p\ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5.1 Prefix comparability

lemma *pref-comp-sym*[*sym*]: $u \bowtie v \implies v \bowtie u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-pref-comp-sym*[*sym*]: $\neg u \bowtie v \implies \neg v \bowtie u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-comp-sym-iff*: $u \bowtie v \longleftrightarrow v \bowtie u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *ruler-le* = *prefix-length-prefix* **and**
ruler = *prefix-same-cases* **and**
ruler' = *prefix-same-cases*[*folded prefix-comparable-def*]

lemma *ruler-eq*: $u \cdot x = v \cdot y \implies u \leq p v \vee v \leq p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ruler-eq'*: $u \cdot x = v \cdot y \implies u \leq p v \vee v < p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *ruler-eqE* = *ruler-eq*[*THEN disjE*] **and**
ruler-eqE' = *ruler-eq*'[*THEN disjE*] **and**
ruler-pref = *ruler*[*OF append-prefixD triv-pref*] **and**
ruler'[*THEN pref-comp-eq*]
lemmas *ruler-prefE* = *ruler-pref*[*THEN disjE*]

lemma *ruler-comp*: $u \leq p v \implies u' \leq p v' \implies v \bowtie v' \implies u \bowtie u'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ruler-pref'*: $w \leq p v \cdot z \implies w \leq p v \vee v \leq p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ruler-pref''*: $w \leq p v \cdot z \implies w \bowtie v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-cancel-right*: **assumes** $u \cdot z \leq p v \cdot z$ **shows** $u \leq p v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-prod-pref-short*: $u \leq p z \cdot w \implies v \leq p w \implies |u| \leq |z \cdot v| \implies u \leq p z$
 $\cdot v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-prod-pref*: $u \leq p z \cdot w \implies u \leq p w \implies u \leq p z \cdot u$
(proof)

lemma *pref-prod-pref'*: **assumes** $u \leq p z \cdot u \cdot w$ **shows** $u \leq p z \cdot u$
(proof)

lemma *pref-prod-long*: $u \leq p v \cdot w \implies |v| \leq |u| \implies v \leq p u$
(proof)

lemmas *pref-prod-long-ext* = *pref-prod-long*[OF *append-prefixD*]

lemma *pref-prod-long-less*: **assumes** $u \leq p v \cdot w$ **and** $|v| < |u|$ **shows** $v < p u$
(proof)

lemma *pref-keeps-per-root*: $u \leq p r \cdot u \implies v \leq p u \implies v \leq p r \cdot v$
(proof)

lemma *pref-keeps-per-root'*: $u < p r \cdot u \implies v \leq p u \implies v < p r \cdot v$
(proof)

lemma *per-root-pref-sing*: $w < p r \cdot w \implies u \cdot [a] \leq p w \implies u \cdot [a] \leq p r \cdot u$
(proof)

lemma *pref-prolong*: $w \leq p z \cdot r \implies r \leq p s \implies w \leq p z \cdot s$
(proof)

lemma *spref--pref-prolong*: $w < p z \cdot r \implies r \leq p s \implies w < p z \cdot s$
(proof)

lemma *pref-spref-prolong*: $w \leq p z \cdot r \implies r < p s \implies w < p z \cdot s$
(proof)

lemma *spref-spref-prolong*: $w < p z \cdot r \implies r < p s \implies w < p z \cdot s$
(proof)

lemmas *pref-shorten* = *pref-trans*[OF *pref-cancel'*]

lemma *pref-prolong'*: $u \leq p w \cdot z \implies v \cdot u \leq p z \implies u \leq p w \cdot v \cdot u$
(proof)

lemma *pref-prolong-per-root*: $u \leq p r \cdot s \implies s \leq p r \cdot s \implies u \leq p r \cdot u$
(proof)

thm *pref-compE*

lemma *pref-prolong-comp*: $u \leq p w \cdot z \implies v \cdot u \bowtie z \implies u \leq p w \cdot v \cdot u$
(proof)

lemma *pref-prod-le[intro]*: $u \leq p v \cdot w \implies |u| \leq |v| \implies u \leq p v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-pref-prod-le*: $u \cdot v \leq_p x \cdot y \implies |u| \leq |x| \implies u \leq_p x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-prod-less*: $u \leq_p v \cdot w \implies |u| < |v| \implies u <_p v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-le-pref[elim]*: $x \cdot y = u \cdot v \implies |x| \leq |u| \implies x \leq_p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-less-pref*: $x \cdot y = u \cdot v \implies |x| < |u| \implies x <_p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-less-suf*: **assumes** $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$ **shows** $|x| < |u| \implies v <_s y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-prod-cancel*: **assumes** $u \leq_p p \cdot w \cdot q$ **and** $|p| \leq |u|$ **and** $|u| \leq |p \cdot w|$
obtains r **where** $p \cdot r = u$ **and** $r \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-prod-cancel'*: **assumes** $u \leq_p p \cdot w \cdot q$ **and** $|p| < |u|$ **and** $|u| \leq |p \cdot w|$
obtains r **where** $p \cdot r = u$ **and** $r \leq_p w$ **and** $r \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *non-comp-parallel*: $\neg u \bowtie v \longleftrightarrow u \parallel v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-refl*: $u \bowtie u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *incomp-cancel*: $\neg p \cdot u \bowtie p \cdot v \implies \neg u \bowtie v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-ruler*: $r \cdot s \leq_p w1 \implies s \cdot r \leq_p w2 \implies w1 \bowtie w2 \implies r \cdot s = s \cdot r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-comp-eq*: $r \cdot s \bowtie s \cdot r \implies r \cdot s = s \cdot r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-share-take*: $u \leq_p v \implies q \leq |u| \implies \text{take } q \ u = \text{take } q \ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-prod-longer*: $u \leq_p z \cdot w \implies v \leq_p w \implies |z \cdot v| \leq |u| \implies z \cdot v \leq_p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-comp-not-pref*: $u \bowtie v \implies \neg v \leq_p u \implies u <_p v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-comp-not-spref*: $u \bowtie v \implies \neg u <_p v \implies v \leq_p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-prod*: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies (u \cdot v)!0 = u!0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-first*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon z \neq \varepsilon w!0 \neq z!0$ **shows** $w \cdot w' \neq z \cdot z'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *last-no-split* = *prefix-snoc*

lemma *last-no-split'*: $u <_p w \implies w \leq_p u \cdot [a] \implies w = u \cdot [a]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-shorter*: $v \bowtie w \implies |v| \leq |w| \implies v \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-shorter-conv*: $|u| \leq |v| \implies u \bowtie v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-comp-len-trans*: $w \leq_p v \implies u \bowtie v \implies |w| \leq |u| \implies w \leq_p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-cancel*: $z \cdot w1 \bowtie z \cdot w2 \longleftrightarrow w1 \bowtie w2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emp-pref*: $\varepsilon \leq_p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emp-spref*: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies \varepsilon <_p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *long-pref*: $u \leq_p v \implies |v| \leq |u| \implies u = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-comp-ext*: $\neg w1 \bowtie w2 \implies \neg w1 \cdot z \bowtie w2 \cdot z'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mismatch-incopm*: $|u| = |v| \implies x \neq y \implies \neg u \cdot [x] \bowtie v \cdot [y]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-prefs-comp*: $u \cdot z \bowtie v \cdot w \implies u \bowtie v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-hd-eq*: $u \bowtie v \implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies v \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{hd } u = \text{hd } v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-hd-eq'*: $p \leq_p u \implies p \leq_p v \implies p \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{hd } u = \text{hd } v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-hd-eq*: $u \leq p v \implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{hd } u = \text{hd } v$
(proof)

lemma *sing-pref-hd*: $[a] \leq p v \implies \text{hd } v = a$
(proof)

lemma *suf-last-eq*: $p \leq s u \implies p \leq s v \implies p \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{last } u = \text{last } v$
(proof)

lemma *comp-hd-eq'*: $u \cdot r \bowtie v \cdot s \implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies v \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{hd } u = \text{hd } v$
(proof)

2.5.2 Minimal and maximal prefix with a given property

lemma *le-take-pref*: **assumes** $k \leq n$ **shows** $\text{take } k ws \leq p \text{ take } n ws$
(proof)

lemma *min-pref*: **assumes** $u \leq p w$ **and** $P u$
obtains v **where** $v \leq p w$ **and** $P v$ **and** $\bigwedge y. y \leq p w \implies P y \implies v \leq p y$
(proof)

lemma *min-pref'*: **assumes** $u \leq p w$ **and** $P u$
obtains v **where** $v \leq p w$ **and** $P v$ **and** $\bigwedge y. y \leq p v \implies P y \implies y = v$
(proof)

lemma *max-pref*: **assumes** $u \leq p w$ **and** $P u$
obtains v **where** $v \leq p w$ **and** $P v$ **and** $\bigwedge y. y \leq p w \implies P y \implies y \leq p v$
(proof)

2.6 Suffix and suffix comparability properties

lemmas *suf-emp* = *suffix-bot.extremum-uniqueI*

lemma *triv-suf*: $u \leq s v \cdot u$
(proof)

lemma *emp-ssuf*: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies \varepsilon < s u$
(proof)

lemma *suf-cancel*: $u \cdot v \leq s w \cdot v \implies u \leq s w$
(proof)

lemma *suf-cancel'*: $u \leq s w \implies u \cdot v \leq s w \cdot v$
(proof)

lemma *ssuf-cancel-conv*: $x \cdot z < s y \cdot z \longleftrightarrow x < s y$
(proof)

Straightforward relations of suffix and prefix follow.

lemmas *suf-rev-pref-iff* = *suffix-to-prefix* — provided by Sublist.thy

lemmas *ssuf-rev-pref-iff* = *strict-suffix-to-prefix* — provided by Sublist.thy

lemma *pref-rev-suf-iff*: $u \leq_p v \longleftrightarrow \text{rev } u \leq_s \text{rev } v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *spref-rev-suf-iff*: $s <_p w \longleftrightarrow \text{rev } s <_s \text{rev } w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nsuf-rev-pref-iff*: $s \leq_{ns} w \longleftrightarrow \text{rev } s \leq_{np} \text{rev } w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *npref-rev-suf-iff*: $s \leq_{np} w \longleftrightarrow \text{rev } s \leq_{ns} \text{rev } w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*reversal-rule*] =
suf-rev-pref-iff[*symmetric*]
pref-rev-suf-iff[*symmetric*]
nsuf-rev-pref-iff[*symmetric*]
npref-rev-suf-iff[*symmetric*]
ssuf-rev-pref-iff[*symmetric*]
spref-rev-suf-iff[*symmetric*]

lemmas *sufE* = *prefixE[reversed]* **and**
prefE = *prefixE* **and**
ssuf-exE = *spref-exE[reversed]*

lemmas *suf-prod-long-ext* = *pref-prod-long-ext[reversed]*

lemmas *suf-prolong-per-root* = *pref-prolong-per-root[reversed]*

lemmas *suf-ext* = *suffix-appendI* — provided by Sublist.thy

lemmas *ssuf-ext* = *spref-ext[reversed]* **and**
ssuf-extD = *spref-extD[reversed]* **and**
suf-ext-nem = *pref-ext-nemp[reversed]* **and**
suf-same-len = *pref-same-len[reversed]* **and**
suf-take = *pref-drop[reversed]* **and**
suf-share-take = *pref-share-take[reversed]* **and**
long-suf = *long-pref[reversed]* **and**
strict-suffixE' = *strict-prefixE'[reversed]* **and**
ssuf-tl-suf = *spref-butlast-pref[reversed]*

lemma *ssuf-Cons-iff [simp]*: $u <_s a \# v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_s v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma ssuf-induct [case-names ssuf]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge u. (\bigwedge v. v <_s u \implies P v) \implies P u$ 
  shows  $P u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.6.1 Suffix comparability

```

lemma eq-le-suf[elim]: assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v \mid x \mid \leq |u|$  shows  $v \leq_s y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
lemmas eq-le-suf'[elim] = eq-le-pref[reversed]
```

```

lemma eq-le-suf''[elim]: assumes  $v \cdot u = y \cdot x \mid x \mid \leq |u|$  shows  $x \leq_s u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma pref-comp-rev-suf-comp[reversal-rule]:  $(\text{rev } w) \bowtie_s (\text{rev } v) \longleftrightarrow w \bowtie v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma suf-comp-rev-pref-comp[reversal-rule]:  $(\text{rev } w) \bowtie (\text{rev } v) \longleftrightarrow w \bowtie_s v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemmas suf-ruler-le = suffix-length-suffix — provided by Sublist.thy, same as ruler_le[reversed]

lemmas suf-ruler = suffix-same-cases — provided by Sublist.thy, same as ruler[reversed]

```

lemmas suf-ruler-eq-len = ruler-eq-len[reversed] and
  suf-ruler-comp = ruler-comp[reversed] and
  ruler-suf = ruler-pref[reversed] and
  ruler-suf' = ruler-pref'[reversed] and
  ruler-suf'' = ruler-pref''[reversed] and
  suf-prod-le = pref-prod-le[reversed] and
  prod-suf-prod-le = prod-pref-prod-le[reversed] and
  suf-prod-eq = pref-prod-eq[reversed] and
  suf-prod-less = pref-prod-less[reversed] and
  suf-prod-cancel = pref-prod-cancel[reversed] and
  suf-prod-cancel' = pref-prod-cancel'[reversed] and
  suf-prod-suf-short = pref-prod-pref-short[reversed] and
  suf-prod-suf = pref-prod-pref[reversed] and
  suf-prod-suf' = pref-prod-pref'[reversed, unfolded rassoc] and
  suf-prolong = pref-prolong[reversed] and
  suf-prolong' = pref-prolong'[reversed, unfolded rassoc] and
  suf-prolong-comp = pref-prolong-comp[reversed, unfolded rassoc] and
  suf-prod-long = pref-prod-long[reversed] and
  suf-prod-long-less = pref-prod-long-less[reversed] and
  suf-prod-longer = pref-prod-longer[reversed] and
  suf-keeps-root = pref-keeps-per-root[reversed] and
  comm-suf-ruler = comm-ruler[reversed]

```

```

lemmas comp-sufs-comp = comp-prefs-comp[reversed] and
    suf-comp-not-suf = pref-comp-not-pref[reversed] and
    suf-comp-not-ssuf = pref-comp-not-spref[reversed] and
        suf-comp-cancel = comp-cancel[reversed] and
    suf-not-comp-ext = not-comp-ext[reversed] and
    mismatch-suf-incopm = mismatch-incopm[reversed] and
    suf-comp-sym[sym] = pref-comp-sym[reversed] and
    suf-comp-refl = comp-refl[reversed]

lemma suf-comp-or:  $u \bowtie_s v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_s v \vee v \leq_s u$ 
    (proof)

lemma comm-comp-eq-conv:  $r \cdot s \bowtie s \cdot r \longleftrightarrow r \cdot s = s \cdot r$ 
    (proof)

lemma comm-comp-eq-conv-suf:  $r \cdot s \bowtie_s s \cdot r \longleftrightarrow r \cdot s = s \cdot r$ 
    (proof)

lemma suf-comp-last-eq: assumes  $u \bowtie_s v$   $u \neq \varepsilon$   $v \neq \varepsilon$ 
    shows last  $u = \text{last } v$ 
    (proof)

lemma suf-comp-last-eq':  $r \cdot u \bowtie_s s \cdot v \implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies v \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{last } u = \text{last } v$ 
    (proof)

```

2.7 Left and Right Quotient

A useful function of left quotient is given. Note that the function is sometimes undefined.

```

definition left-quotient:: ' $a$  list  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  list  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  list' ( $\langle \langle -^{-1} \rangle \rangle (-) \rangle [75, 74]$  74)
    where left-quotient  $u v = \text{drop } |u| v$ 
notation (latex output) left-quotient ( $\langle -^{-1} \cdot - \rangle$ )

```

Analogously, we define the right quotient.

```

definition right-quotient :: ' $a$  list  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  list  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  list' ( $\langle \langle - \rangle \rangle ({}^{-1}-) \rangle [76, 77]$  76)
    where right-quotient  $u v = \text{rev } ((\text{rev } v)^{-1} (\text{rev } u))$ 
notation (latex output) right-quotient ( $\langle - \cdot -^{-1} \rangle$ )

```

```

lemmas lq-def = left-quotient-def and
    rq-def = right-quotient-def

```

Priorities of these operations are as follows:

```

lemma  $u^{<-1} v^{<-1} w = (u^{<-1} v)^{<-1} w$ 
    (proof)

```

```

lemma  $u^{-1} v^{-1} w = u^{-1} (v^{-1} w)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $u^{-1} > v^{<-1} w = u^{-1} > (v^{<-1} w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r \cdot u^{-1} > w^{<-1} v \cdot s = r \cdot (u^{-1} > w^{<-1} v) \cdot s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rq\text{-}rev\text{-}lq$ [reversal-rule]: $(rev v)^{<-1} (rev u) = rev (u^{-1} > v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lq\text{-}rev\text{-}rq$ [reversal-rule]: $(rev v)^{-1} > rev u = rev (u^{<-1} v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.7.1 Left Quotient

lemma lqI : $u \cdot z = v \implies u^{-1} > v = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lq\text{-}triv}$ [simp]: $u^{-1} > (u \cdot z) = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lq\text{-}triv'}$ [simp]: $u \cdot u^{-1} > (u \cdot z) = u \cdot z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $append\text{-}lq$: **assumes** $u \cdot v \leq_p w$ **shows** $(u \cdot v)^{-1} > w = v^{-1} > (u^{-1} > w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lq\text{-}self}$ [simp]: $u^{-1} > u = \epsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lq\text{-}emp}$ [simp]: $\epsilon^{-1} > u = u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lq\text{-}pref}$ [simp]: $u \leq_p v \implies u \cdot (u^{-1} > v) = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lq\text{-}pref\text{-}conv$: $|u| \leq |v| \implies u \leq_p v \longleftrightarrow u \cdot u^{-1} > v = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lq\text{-}len$: $|u^{-1} > v| = |v| - |u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $lcp\text{-}lq} = lq\text{-}pref$ [OF longest-common-prefix-prefix1] $lq\text{-}pref$ [OF longest-common-prefix-prefix2]

lemma $lq\text{-}pref\text{-}cancel$: $u \leq_p v \implies v \cdot r = u \cdot s \implies (u^{-1} > v) \cdot r = s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lq\text{-}the}$: **assumes** $u \leq_p v$

shows (*THE* z . $u \cdot z = v$) = $(u^{-1} > v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-same-len*: $|u| = |v| \implies u^{-1} > v = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-assoc*: $|u| \leq |v| \implies (u^{-1} > v)^{-1} > w = v^{-1} > (u \cdot w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-assoc'*: $(u \cdot w)^{-1} > v = w^{-1} > (u^{-1} > v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-reassoc*: $u \leq_p v \implies (u^{-1} > v) \cdot w = u^{-1} > (v \cdot w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-trans*: $u \leq_p v \implies v \leq_p w \implies (u^{-1} > v) \cdot (v^{-1} > w) = u^{-1} > w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-rq-reassoc-suf*: **assumes** $u \leq_p z$ $u \leq_s w$ **shows** $w \cdot u^{-1} > z = w^{<-1} u \cdot z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-ne*: $p \leq_p u \cdot p \implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies p^{-1} > (u \cdot p) \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-spref*: $u <_p v \implies u^{-1} > v \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-suf-suf*: $r \leq_p s \implies (r^{-1} > s) \leq_s s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-short-len*: $r \leq_p s \implies |r| + |r^{-1} > s| = |s|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-lq*: $v \leq_p w \implies u^{-1} > v \leq_p u^{-1} > w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *spref-lq*: $u \leq_p v \implies v <_p w \implies u^{-1} > v <_p u^{-1} > w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-gcd-lq*: **assumes** $u \leq_p v$ **shows** $(\gcd |u| |u^{-1} > v|) = \gcd |u| |v|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-lq*: $x \cdot z = z \cdot y \implies y = z^{-1} > (x \cdot z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-emp-emp*: $p \leq_p u \cdot p \implies p^{-1} > (u \cdot p) = \varepsilon \implies u = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-lq-conv-nth*: **assumes** $u <_p v$ **shows** $hd(u^{-1} > v) = v!|u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *concat-morph-lq*: $us \leq_p ws \implies concat(us^{-1} > ws) = (concat us)^{-1} > (concat ws)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-cancel-lq*: **assumes** $u \leq_p x \cdot y$
shows $x^{-1} > u \leq_p y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-cancel-lq-ext*: **assumes** $u \cdot v \leq_p x \cdot y$ **and** $|x| \leq |u|$ **shows** $x^{-1} > u \cdot v \leq_p y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-cancel-lq-ext'*: **assumes** $u \cdot v \leq_p x \cdot y$ **and** $|u| \leq |x|$ **shows** $v \leq_p u^{-1} > x \cdot y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *empty-lq-eq*: $r \leq_p z \implies r^{-1} > z = \varepsilon \implies r = z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-if-then-lq*: $|u| \leq |v| \implies (\text{if } |v| \leq |u| \text{ then } v^{-1} > u \text{ else } u^{-1} > v) = u^{-1} > v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-comp-lq*: $u \cdot v \bowtie w \implies v \bowtie u^{-1} > w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.7.2 Right quotient

lemmas $rqI = lqI[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-triv}[simp] = lq\text{-triv}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-triv}'[simp] = lq\text{-triv}'[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-self}[simp] = lq\text{-self}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-emp}[simp] = lq\text{-emp}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-suf}[simp] = lq\text{-pref}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-ssuf} = lq\text{-spref}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-reassoc} = lq\text{-reassoc}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-len} = lq\text{-len}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-trans} = lq\text{-trans}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-lq-reassoc-suf} = lq\text{-rq-reassoc-suf}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-ne} = lq\text{-ne}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-suf-suf} = lq\text{-suf-suf}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $suf\text{-rq} = pref\text{-lq}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $ssuf\text{-rq} = spref\text{-lq}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $conjug\text{-rq} = conjug\text{-lq}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $conjug\text{-emp-emp}' = conjug\text{-emp-emp}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**
 $rq\text{-take} = lq\text{-def}[\text{reversed}]$ **and**

$\text{empty-rq-eq} = \text{empty-lq-eq}[\text{reversed}]$ and
 $\text{append-rq} = \text{append-lq}[\text{reversed}]$ and
 $\text{rq-same-len} = \text{lq-same-len}[\text{reversed}]$ and
 $\text{rq-assoc} = \text{lq-assoc}[\text{reversed}]$ and
 $\text{rq-assoc}' = \text{lq-assoc}'[\text{reversed}]$ and
 $\text{le-if-then-rq} = \text{le-if-then-lq}[\text{reversed}]$ and
 $\text{append-comp-rq} = \text{append-comp-lq}[\text{reversed}]$

2.7.3 Left and right quotients combined

lemma pref-lq-rq-id : $p \leq p w \implies w^{<-1}(p^{-1}w) = p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{suf-rq-lq-id} = \text{pref-lq-rq-id}[\text{reversed}]$

lemma $\text{rev-lq}'$: $r \leq p s \implies \text{rev } (r^{-1}s) = (\text{rev } s)^{<-1}(\text{rev } r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pref-rq-suf-lq : $s \leq s u \implies r \leq p (u^{<-1}s) \implies s \leq s (r^{-1}u)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{suf-lq-pref-rq} = \text{pref-rq-suf-lq}[\text{reversed}]$

lemma $w \cdot s = v \implies v^{<-1}s = w$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma lq-rq-assoc : $s \leq s u \implies r \leq p (u^{<-1}s) \implies (r^{-1}u)^{<-1}s = r^{-1}(u^{<-1}s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{rq-lq-assoc} = \text{lq-rq-assoc}[\text{reversed}]$

lemma lq-prod : $u \leq p v \cdot u \implies u \leq p w \implies u^{-1}(v \cdot u) \cdot u^{-1}w = u^{-1}(v \cdot w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{rq-prod} = \text{lq-prod}[\text{reversed}]$

lemma pref-suf-mid : **assumes** $p \cdot w \cdot s = p' \cdot v \cdot s'$ **and** $p \leq p'$ **and** $s \leq s'$
shows $v \leq w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.8 Equidivisibility

Equidivisibility is the following property: if

$$xy = uv,$$

then there exists a word t such that $xt = u$ and $ty = v$, or $ut = x$ and $y = tv$. For monoids over words, this property is equivalent to the freeness of the monoid. As the monoid of all words is free, we can prove that it is equidivisible. Related lemmas based on this property follow.

```

thm append-eq-conv-conj[folded left-quotient-def]
lemma eqd:  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v \implies |x| \leq |u| \implies \exists t. x \cdot t = u \wedge t \cdot v = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqdE: assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$  and  $|x| \leq |u|$ 
  obtains  $t$  where  $x \cdot t = u$  and  $t \cdot v = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-lessE: assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$  and  $|x| < |u|$ 
  obtains  $t$  where  $x \cdot t = u$  and  $t \cdot v = y$  and  $t \neq \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqdE': assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$  and  $|v| \leq |y|$ 
  obtains  $t$  where  $x \cdot t = u$  and  $t \cdot v = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

thm long-pref

lemma eqd-pref-suf-iff: assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$  shows  $x \leq_p u \longleftrightarrow v \leq_s y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-spref-ssuf-iff: assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$  shows  $x <_p u \longleftrightarrow v <_s y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-pref:  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v \implies |x| \leq |u| \implies x \cdot (x^{-1} u) = u \wedge (x^{-1} u) \cdot v = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-pref1:  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v \implies |x| \leq |u| \implies x \cdot (x^{-1} u) = u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-pref2:  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v \implies |x| \leq |u| \implies (x^{-1} u) \cdot v = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-eq: assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$   $|x| = |u|$  shows  $x = u$   $y = v$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-eq-suf:  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v \implies |y| = |v| \implies x = u \wedge y = v$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-comp: assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$  shows  $x \bowtie u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-suf1:  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v \implies |x| \leq |u| \implies (y^{<-1} v) \cdot v = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-suf2: assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$   $|x| \leq |u|$  shows  $x \cdot (y^{<-1} v) = u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqd-suf: assumes  $x \cdot y = u \cdot v$  and  $|x| \leq |u|$ 
  shows  $(y^{<-1} v) \cdot v = y \wedge x \cdot (y^{<-1} v) = u$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eqd-exchange-aux*:

assumes $u \cdot v = x \cdot y$ and $u \cdot v' = x \cdot y'$ and $u' \cdot v = x' \cdot y$ and $|u| \leq |x|$

shows $u' \cdot v' = x' \cdot y'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eqd-exchange*:

assumes $u \cdot v = x \cdot y$ and $u \cdot v' = x \cdot y'$ and $u' \cdot v = x' \cdot y$

shows $u' \cdot v' = x' \cdot y'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

hide-fact *eqd-exchange-aux*

2.9 Longest common prefix

lemmas *lcp-simps* = *longest-common-prefix.simps* — provided by Sublist.thy

lemmas *lcp-sym* = *lcp.commute*

— provided by Sublist.thy

lemmas *lcp-pref* = *longest-common-prefix-prefix1*

lemmas *lcp-pref'* = *longest-common-prefix-prefix2*

lemmas *pref-pref-lcp[intro]* = *longest-common-prefix-max-prefix*

lemma *lcp-pref-ext*: $u \leq_p v \implies u \leq_p (u \cdot w) \wedge_p (v \cdot z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-non-pref-lcp-pref*: **assumes** $u \leq_p w$ and $\neg u \leq_p z$ **shows** $w \wedge_p z <_p$

u

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *lcp-take* = *pref-take[OF lcp-pref]* and
lcp-take' = *pref-take[OF lcp-pref']*

lemma *lcp-take-eq*: *take* ($|u \wedge_p v|$) $u = \text{take} (|u \wedge_p v|) v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-pref-conv*: $u \wedge_p v = u \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-pref-conv'*: $u \wedge_p v = v \longleftrightarrow v \leq_p u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *lcp-left-idemp[simp]* = *lcp-pref[folded lcp-pref-conv']* and
lcp-right-idemp[simp] = *lcp-pref'[folded lcp-pref-conv]* and
lcp-left-idemp'[simp] = *lcp-pref'[folded lcp-pref-conv']* and
lcp-right-idemp'[simp] = *lcp-pref[folded lcp-pref-conv]*

lemma *lcp-per-root*: $r \cdot s \wedge_p s \cdot r \leq_p r \cdot (r \cdot s \wedge_p s \cdot r)$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-per-root'*: $r \cdot s \wedge_p s \cdot r \leq_p s \cdot (r \cdot s \wedge_p s \cdot r)$
(proof)

lemma *pref-lcp-pref*: $w \leq_p u \wedge_p v \implies w \leq_p u$
(proof)

lemma *pref-lcp-pref'*: $w \leq_p u \wedge_p v \implies w \leq_p v$
(proof)

lemmas *lcp-self* = *lcp.idem*

lemma *lcp-eq-len*: $|u| = |u \wedge_p v| \implies u = u \wedge_p v$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-len*: $|u| \leq |u \wedge_p v| \implies u \leq_p v$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-len'*: $\neg u \leq_p v \implies |u \wedge_p v| < |u|$
(proof)

lemma *incomp-lcp-len*: $\neg u \bowtie v \implies |u \wedge_p v| < \min |u| |v|$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-ext-right-conv*: $\neg r \bowtie r' \implies (r \cdot u) \wedge_p (r' \cdot v) = r \wedge_p r'$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-ext-right* [*case-names comp non-comp*]: **obtains** $r \bowtie r' \mid (r \cdot u) \wedge_p (r' \cdot v) = r \wedge_p r'$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-same-len*: $|u| = |v| \implies u \neq v \implies u \cdot w \wedge_p v \cdot w' = u \wedge_p v$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-mismatch*: $|u \wedge_p v| < |u| \implies |u \wedge_p v| < |v| \implies u! |u \wedge_p v| \neq v! |u \wedge_p v|$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-mismatch'*: $\neg u \bowtie v \implies u! |u \wedge_p v| \neq v! |u \wedge_p v|$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-mismatchE*: **assumes** $\neg us \bowtie vs$
obtains *us' vs'*
where $(us \wedge_p vs) \cdot us' = us$ **and** $(us \wedge_p vs) \cdot vs' = vs$ **and**
 $us' \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $vs' \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $hd us' \neq hd vs'$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-mismatch-lq*: **assumes** $\neg u \bowtie v$
shows
 $(u \wedge_p v)^{-1} > u \neq \varepsilon$ **and**
 $(u \wedge_p v)^{-1} > v \neq \varepsilon$ **and**
 $hd((u \wedge_p v)^{-1} > u) \neq hd((u \wedge_p v)^{-1} > v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-ext-left*: $(z \cdot u) \wedge_p (z \cdot v) = z \cdot (u \wedge_p v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-first-letters*: $u!0 \neq v!0 \implies u \wedge_p v = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-first-mismatch*: $a \neq b \implies w \cdot [a] \cdot u \wedge_p w \cdot [b] \cdot v = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-first-mismatch'*: $a \neq b \implies u \cdot [a] \wedge_p u \cdot [b] = u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-mismatch-eq-len*: **assumes** $|u| = |v|$ $x \neq y$ **shows** $u \cdot [x] \wedge_p v \cdot [y] = u \wedge_p v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-first-mismatch-pref*: **assumes** $p \cdot [a] \leq_p u$ **and** $p \cdot [b] \leq_p v$ **and** $a \neq b$
shows $u \wedge_p v = p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-append-monotone*: $u \wedge_p x \leq_p (u \cdot v) \wedge_p (x \cdot y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-distinct-hd*: $hd u \neq hd v \implies u \wedge_p v = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nemp-lcp-distinct-hd*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $v \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $u \wedge_p v = \varepsilon$
shows $hd u \neq hd v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-lenI*: **assumes** $i < \min |u| |v|$ **and** $take i u = take i v$ **and** $u!i \neq v!i$
shows $i = |u \wedge_p v|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-prefs*: $|u \cdot w \wedge_p v \cdot w'| < |u| \implies |u \cdot w \wedge_p v \cdot w'| < |v| \implies u \wedge_p v = u \cdot w \wedge_p v \cdot w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-extend-eq*: **assumes** $u \leq_p v$ **and** $u' \leq_p v'$ **and**
 $|v \wedge_p v'| \leq |u|$ **and** $|v \wedge_p v'| \leq |u'|$
shows $u \wedge_p u' = v \wedge_p v'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *long-lcp-same*: **assumes** $\neg (u \wedge_p v \leq_p w)$ **shows** $u \wedge_p w = v \wedge_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *long-lcp-sameE*: **obtains** $u \wedge_p v \leq_p w \mid u \wedge_p w = v \wedge_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ruler-spref-lcp*: **assumes** $u \wedge_p w <_p v \wedge_p w$
shows $u \wedge_p v = u \wedge_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.9.1 Longest common prefix and prefix comparability

find-theorems *name:ruler*

lemma *lexord-cancel-right*: $(u \cdot z, v \cdot w) \in \text{lexord } r \implies \neg u \bowtie v \implies (u, v) \in \text{lexord } r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-rulersE*: **assumes** $r \leq_p s$ **and** $r' \leq_p s'$ **obtains** $r \bowtie r' \mid s \wedge_p s' = r \wedge_p r'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-rulers*: $r \leq_p s \implies r' \leq_p s' \implies (r \bowtie r' \vee s \wedge_p s' = r \wedge_p r')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-rulers'*: $w \leq_p r \implies w' \leq_p s \implies \neg w \bowtie w' \implies (r \wedge_p s) = w \wedge_p w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-ruler*: $r \bowtie w1 \implies r \bowtie w2 \implies \neg w1 \bowtie w2 \implies r \leq_p w1 \wedge_p w2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-monotone*: $w \bowtie r \implies u \leq_p w \implies u \bowtie r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-monotone'*: $w \bowtie r \implies w \wedge_p w' \bowtie r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double-ruler-aux*: **assumes** $w \bowtie r$ **and** $w' \bowtie r'$ **and** $\neg r \bowtie r'$ **and** $|w| \leq |w'|$
shows $w \wedge_p w' = \text{take } |w| (r \wedge_p r')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double-ruler*: **assumes** $w \bowtie r$ **and** $w' \bowtie r'$ **and** $\neg r \bowtie r'$
shows $w \wedge_p w' = \text{take } (\min |w| |w'|) (r \wedge_p r')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

hide-fact *double-ruler-aux*

lemmas *pref-lcp-iff* = *lcp.bounded-iff*

```

lemma pref-comp-ruler: assumes  $w \bowtie u \cdot [x]$  and  $w \bowtie v \cdot [y]$  and  $x \neq y$  and  

 $|u| = |v|$   

shows  $w \leq_p u \wedge w \leq_p v$   

(proof)

```

```

lemma comp-per-partes:  

shows  $(u \bowtie w \wedge v \bowtie u^{-1} > w) \longleftrightarrow u \cdot v \bowtie w$   

(proof)

```

```
lemmas scomp-per-partes = comp-per-partes[reversed]
```

2.9.2 Longest common suffix

```
definition longest-common-suffix ( $\langle - \wedge_s - \rangle$  [61,62] 64)
```

where

```
longest-common-suffix  $u v \equiv \text{rev}(\text{rev } u \wedge_p \text{rev } v)$ 
```

```
lemma lcs-lcp [reversal-rule]:  $\text{rev } u \wedge_p \text{rev } v = \text{rev}(u \wedge_s v)$   

(proof)
```

```

lemmas lcs-simp = lcp-simps[reversed] and  

lcs-sym = lcp-sym[reversed] and  

lcs-suf = lcp-pref[reversed] and  

lcs-suf' = lcp-pref'[reversed] and  

suf-suf-lcs = pref-pref-lcp[reversed] and  

suf-non-suf-lcs-suf = pref-non-pref-lcp-pref[reversed] and  

lcs-drop-eq = lcp-take-eq[reversed] and  

lcs-take = lcp-take[reversed] and  

lcs-take' = lcp-take'[reversed] and  

lcs-suf-conv = lcp-pref-conv[reversed] and  

lcs-suf-conv' = lcp-pref-conv'[reversed] and  

lcs-per-root = lcp-per-root[reversed] and  

lcs-per-root' = lcp-per-root'[reversed] and  

suf-lcs-suf = pref-lcp-pref[reversed] and  

suf-lcs-suf' = pref-lcp-pref'[reversed] and  

lcs-self[simp] = lcp-self[reversed] and  

lcs-eq-len = lcp-eq-len[reversed] and  

lcs-len = lcp-len[reversed] and  

lcs-len' = lcp-len'[reversed] and  

suf-incomp-lcs-len = incomp-lcp-len[reversed] and  

lcs-ext-left-conv = lcp-ext-right-conv[reversed] and  

lcs-ext-left [case-names comp non-comp] = lcp-ext-right[reversed] and  

lcs-same-len = lcp-same-len[reversed] and  

lcs-mismatch = lcp-mismatch[reversed] and  

lcs-mismatch' = lcp-mismatch'[reversed] and  

lcs-mismatchE = lcp-mismatchE[reversed] and  

lcs-mismatch-rq = lcp-mismatch-lq[reversed] and  

lcs-ext-right = lcp-ext-left[reversed] and

```

```

lcs-first-mismatch = lcp-first-mismatch[reversed, unfolded rassoc] and
lcs-first-mismatch' = lcp-first-mismatch'[reversed, unfolded rassoc] and
lcs-mismatch-eq-len = lcp-mismatch-eq-len[reversed] and
lcs-first-mismatch-suf = lcp-first-mismatch-pref[reversed] and
lcs-rulers = lcp-rulers[reversed] and
lcs-rulers' = lcp-rulers'[reversed] and
suf-suf-lcs' = lcp.mono[reversed] and
lcs-distinct-last = lcp-distinct-hd[reversed] and
lcs-lenI = lcp-lenI[reversed] and
lcs-sufs = lcp-prefs[reversed]

lemmas lcs-ruler = lcp-ruler[reversed] and
suf-comp-monotone = comp-monotone[reversed] and
suf-comp-monotone' = comp-monotone'[reversed] and
double-ruler-suf = double-ruler[reversed] and
suf-lcs-iff = pref-lcp-iff[reversed] and
suf-comp-ruler = pref-comp-ruler[reversed]

```

2.10 Mismatch

The first pair of letters on which two words/lists disagree

```

function mismatch-pair :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a × 'a) where
  mismatch-pair ε v = (ε!0, v!0) |
  mismatch-pair v ε = (v!0, ε!0) |
  mismatch-pair (a#u) (b#v) = (if a=b then mismatch-pair u v else (a,b))
  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Alternatively, mismatch pair may be defined using the longest common prefix as follows.

```

lemma mismatch-pair-lcp: mismatch-pair u v = (u!|u ∧p v|, v!|u ∧p v|)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

For incomparable words the pair is out of diagonal.

```

lemma incomp-neq: ⊥ u ⊲ v ⇒ (mismatch-pair u v) ≠ Id
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mismatch-ext-left: ⊥ u ⊲ v ⇒ mismatch-pair u v = mismatch-pair (p·u)
(p·v)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mismatch-ext-right: assumes ⊥ u ⊲ v
  shows mismatch-pair u v = mismatch-pair (u·z) (v·w)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mismatchI: ⊥ u ⊲ v ⇒ i < min |u| |v| ⇒ take i u = take i v ⇒ u!i
≠ v!i

```

$\implies \text{mismatch-pair } u \ v = (u!i, v!i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

For incomparable words, the mismatch letters work in a similar way as the lexicographic order

lemma *mismatch-lexord*: **assumes** $\neg u \bowtie v$ **and** *mismatch-pair* $u \ v \in r$
shows $(u,v) \in \text{lexord } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

However, the equivalence requires r to be irreflexive. (Due to the definition of lexord which is designed for irreflexive relations.)

lemma *lexord-mismatch*: **assumes** $\neg u \bowtie v$ **and** *irrefl* r
shows *mismatch-pair* $u \ v \in r \longleftrightarrow (u,v) \in \text{lexord } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.11 Factor properties

lemmas [*simp*] = *sublist-Cons-right*

lemma *rev-fac*[*reversal-rule*]: $\text{rev } u \leq_f \text{rev } v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_f v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fac-pref*: $u \leq_f v \equiv \exists \ p. \ p \cdot u \leq_p v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fac-pref-suf*: $u \leq_f v \implies \exists \ p. \ p \leq_p v \wedge u \leq_s p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pref-suf-fac*: $r \leq_p v \implies u \leq_s r \implies u \leq_f v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas
 $\text{fac-suf} = \text{fac-pref}[\text{reversed}] \text{ and}$
 $\text{fac-suf-pref} = \text{fac-pref-suf}[\text{reversed}] \text{ and}$
 $\text{suf-pref-fac} = \text{pref-suf-fac}[\text{reversed}]$

lemma *suf-pref-eq*: $s \leq_s p \implies p \leq_p s \implies p = s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fac-triv*: $p \cdot x \cdot q = x \implies p = \varepsilon$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fac-triv'*: $p \cdot x \cdot q = x \implies q = \varepsilon$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas
 $\text{suf-fac} = \text{suffix-imp-sublist} \text{ and}$
 $\text{pref-fac} = \text{prefix-imp-sublist}$

```

lemma fac-ConsE: assumes  $u \leq_f (a \# v)$ 
obtains  $u \leq_p (a \# v) \mid u \leq_f v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas
  fac-snoce = fac-ConsE[reversed]

lemma fac-elim-suf: assumes  $f \leq_f m \cdot s \dashv f \leq_f s$ 
shows  $f \leq_f m \cdot (\text{take}(|f|-1) s)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas fac-elim-pref = fac-elim-suf[reversed]

lemma fac-elim: assumes  $f \leq_f p \cdot m \cdot s$  and  $\dashv f \leq_f p$  and  $\dashv f \leq_f s$ 
shows  $f \leq_f (\text{drop}(|p| - (|f| - 1)) p) \cdot m \cdot (\text{take}(|f|-1) s)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fac-ext-pref:  $u \leq_f w \implies u \leq_f p \cdot w$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fac-ext-suf:  $u \leq_f w \implies u \leq_f w \cdot s$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fac-ext:  $u \leq_f w \implies u \leq_f p \cdot w \cdot s$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fac-ext-hd:  $u \leq_f w \implies u \leq_f a \# w$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma card-switch-fac: assumes  $2 \leq \text{card}(\text{set } ws)$ 
obtains  $c d$  where  $c \neq d$  and  $[c, d] \leq_f ws$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fac-overlap-len: assumes  $u \leq_f x \cdot y \cdot z$  and  $|u| \leq |y|$ 
shows  $u \leq_f x \cdot y \vee u \leq_f y \cdot z$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.12 Power and its properties

Word powers are often investigated in Combinatorics on Words. We thus interpret words as *monoid-mult* and adopt a notation for the word power.

```

primrec list-power :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list (infixr  $\cdot^@$  80)
  where
    pow-0:  $u @ 0 = \varepsilon$ 
    | pow-Suc:  $u @ Suc n = u \cdot u @ n$ 

term power.power

```

```

context
begin

interpretation monoid-mult  $\varepsilon$  append
  rewrites power  $u n = u @ n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma emp-pow-emp[simp]:  $r = \varepsilon \implies r @ n = \varepsilon$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pow-pos:  $0 < k \implies a @ k = a \cdot a @ (k-1)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pow-pos':  $0 < k \implies a @ k = a @ (k-1) \cdot a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pow-diff:  $k < n \implies a @ (n - k) = a \cdot a @ (n - k - 1)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pow-diff':  $k < n \implies a @ (n - k) = a @ (n - k - 1) \cdot a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas pow-zero = power.power-0 and
  pow-one = power-Suc0-right and
  pow-1 = power-one-right and
  emp-pow[emp-simps] = power-one and
  pow-two[simp] = power2-eq-square and
  pow-Suc = power-Suc and
  pow-Suc' = power-Suc2 and
  pow-comm = power-commutes and
  add-exp = power-add and
  pow-eq-if-list = power-eq-if and
  pow-mult = power-mult and
  comm-add-exp = power-commuting-commutes

lemma pow-rev-emp-conv[reversal-rule]: power.power (rev  $\varepsilon$ )  $(\cdot) = (@)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pow-rev-map-rev-emp-conv [reversal-rule]: power.power (rev (map rev  $\varepsilon$ ))
   $(\cdot) = (@)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

named-theorems *exp-simps*

lemmas [*exp-simps*] = *pow-zero* *pow-one* *emp-pow*
numeral-nat *less-eq-Suc-le* *neq0-conv* *pow-mult*[*symmetric*]

named-theorems *cow-simps*

lemmas [*cow-simps*] = *emp-simps* *exp-simps*

— more power properties

lemma *sing-Cons-to-pow*: $[a, a] = [a]^{\circledast} \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } 0) a \# [a]^{\circledast} k = [a]^{\circledast} \text{Suc } k$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zero-exp*: $n = 0 \implies r^{\circledast} n = \varepsilon$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nemp-pow*: $t^{\circledast} m \neq \varepsilon \implies 0 < m$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pow-nemp-pos*[*intro*]: **assumes** $u = t^{\circledast} m$ $u \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $0 < m$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nemp-exp-pos*[*intro*]: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies r^{\circledast} k = w \implies 0 < k$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nemp-exp-pos'*[*intro*]: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies w = r^{\circledast} k \implies 0 < k$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nemp-pow-nemp*[*intro*]: $t^{\circledast} m \neq \varepsilon \implies t \neq \varepsilon$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sing-pow-nth*: $i < m \implies ([a]^{\circledast} m) ! i = a$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pow-is-concat-replicate*: $u^{\circledast} n = \text{concat} (\text{replicate } n u)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pow-slide*: $u \cdot (v \cdot u)^{\circledast} n \cdot v = (u \cdot v)^{\circledast} (\text{Suc } n)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hd-pow*: **assumes** $0 < n$ **shows** $\text{hd}(u^{\circledast} n) = \text{hd } u$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pop-pow*: $m \leq k \implies u^{\circledast} m \cdot u^{\circledast} (k-m) = u^{\circledast} k$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pop-pow-cancel*: $u^{\circledR} k \cdot v = u^{\circledR} m \cdot w \implies m \leq k \implies u^{\circledR}(k-m) \cdot v = w$
(proof)

lemma *pows-comm*: $t^{\circledR} k \cdot t^{\circledR} m = t^{\circledR} m \cdot t^{\circledR} k$
(proof)

lemma *comm-add-exp*: **assumes** $r \cdot u = u \cdot r$ **shows** $r^{\circledR} m \cdot u^{\circledR} k = u^{\circledR} k \cdot r^{\circledR} m$
(proof)

lemma *rev-pow*: $\text{rev } (x^{\circledR} m) = (\text{rev } x)^{\circledR} m$
(proof)

lemma *pows-comp*: $x^{\circledR} i \bowtie x^{\circledR} j$
(proof)

lemmas *pows-suf-comp* = *pows-comp*[reversed, folded rev-pow suffix-comparable-def]

lemmas [reversal-rule] = *rev-pow*[symmetric]

lemmas *pow-eq-if-list'* = *pow-eq-if-list*[reversed] **and**
pop-pow-one' = *pow-pos*[reversed] **and**
pop-pow' = *pop-pow*[reversed] **and**
pop-pow-cancel' = *pop-pow-cancel*[reversed]

lemma *pow-len*: $|u^{\circledR} k| = k * |u|$
(proof)

lemma *pow-set*: $\text{set } (w^{\circledR} \text{Suc } k) = \text{set } w$
(proof)

lemma *eq-pow-exp*[simp]: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $u^{\circledR} k = u^{\circledR} m \longleftrightarrow k = m$
(proof)

lemma *emp-pow-pos-emp* [intro]: **assumes** $v^{\circledR} j = \varepsilon$ $0 < j$ **shows** $v = \varepsilon$
(proof)

lemma *nemp-emp-pow*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $u^{\circledR} m = \varepsilon \longleftrightarrow m = 0$
(proof)

lemma *nemp-pow-nemp-pos-conv*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $u^{\circledR} m \neq \varepsilon \longleftrightarrow 0 < m$
(proof)

lemma *nemp-Suc-pow-nemp*: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies u^{\circledR} \text{Suc } k \neq \varepsilon$
(proof)

lemma *nonzero-pow-emp*: $0 < m \implies u^{\circledR} m = \varepsilon \longleftrightarrow u = \varepsilon$
(proof)

lemma *pow-eq-eq*:

assumes $u @ k = v @ k$ **and** $0 < k$

shows $u = v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Suc\text{-}pow\text{-}eq[elim]$: $u @ Suc k = v @ Suc k \implies u = v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map\text{-}pow[simp]$: $map f (r @ k) = (map f r) @ k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*reversal-rule*] = $map\text{-}pow[symmetric]$

lemma $concat\text{-}pow[simp]$: $concat (r @ k) = (concat r) @ k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $concat\text{-}sing\text{-}pow[simp]$: $concat ([a] @ k) = a @ k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sing\text{-}pow\text{-}empty$: $[a] @ n = \varepsilon \longleftrightarrow n = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sing\text{-}pow\text{-}lists$: $a \in A \implies [a] @ n \in lists A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $long\text{-}pow$: $r \neq \varepsilon \implies m \leq |r @ m|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $long\text{-}pow\text{-}exp'$: $r \neq \varepsilon \implies m < |r @ (Suc m)|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $long\text{-}pow\text{-}expE$: **assumes** $r \neq \varepsilon$ **obtains** n **where** $m \leq |r @ Suc n|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pref\text{-}pow\text{-}ext$: $x \leq p r @ k \implies x \leq p r @ Suc k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pref\text{-}pow\text{-}ext'$: $u \leq p r @ k \implies u \leq p r \cdot r @ k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pref\text{-}pow\text{-}root\text{-}ext$: $x \leq p r @ k \implies r \cdot x \leq p r @ Suc k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pref\text{-}prod\text{-}root$: $u \leq p r @ k \implies u \leq p r \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $le\text{-}exp\text{-}pref$: $k \leq l \implies r @ k \leq p r @ l$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pref\text{-}exp\text{-}le$: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ $u @ m \leq p u @ n$ **shows** $m \leq n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-exp-pref-iff*: **assumes** $a \neq b$
shows $[a]^{\otimes} i \leq_p [a]^{\otimes} k \cdot [b] \cdot w \longleftrightarrow i \leq k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas

suf-pow-ext = *pref-pow-ext*[reversed] **and**
suf-pow-ext' = *pref-pow-ext*'[reversed] **and**
suf-pow-root-ext = *pref-pow-root-ext*[reversed] **and**
suf-prod-root = *pref-prod-root*[reversed] **and**
suf-exp-pow = *le-exp-pow*[reversed] **and**
suf-exp-le = *pref-exp-le*[reversed] **and**
sing-exp-suf-iff = *sing-exp-pref-iff*[reversed]

lemma *comm-common-power*: **assumes** $r \cdot u = u \cdot r$ **shows** $r^{\otimes}|u| = u^{\otimes}|r|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *one-generated-list-power*: $u \in lists \{x\} \implies \exists k. concat u = x^{\otimes} k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pow-lists*: **assumes** $0 < k$ **shows** $u^{\otimes} k \in lists B \implies u \in lists B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *concat-morph-power*: $xs \in lists B \implies xs = ts^{\otimes} k \implies concat ts^{\otimes} k = concat xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-exp-pref*: $u \leq_p r \cdot u \implies u \leq_p r^{\otimes} k \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas

per-exp-suf = *per-exp-pref*[reversed]

lemma *hd-sing-pow*: $k \neq 0 \implies hd ([a]^{\otimes} k) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-pref-comp-mismatch*:
assumes $b \neq a$ **and** $c \neq a$ **and** $[a]^{\otimes} k \cdot [b] \bowtie [a]^{\otimes} l \cdot [c]$
shows $k = l \wedge b = c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-pref-comp-lcp*: **assumes** $r \neq s$ **and** $a \neq b$ **and** $a \neq c$
shows $[a]^{\otimes} r \cdot [b] \cdot u \wedge_p [a]^{\otimes} s \cdot [c] \cdot v = [a]^{\otimes} (\min r s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *sing-suf-comp-mismatch* = *sing-pref-comp-mismatch*[reversed]

lemma *exp-pref-cancel*: **assumes** $t @ m \cdot y = t @ k$ **shows** $y = t @ (k - m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *exp-suf-cancel* = *exp-pref-cancel*[reversed]

lemma *index-pow-mod*: $i < |r @ k| \implies (r @ k)!i = r!(i \bmod |r|)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-pow-len* [*simp*]: $|[r] @ l| = l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-sing-pow*: $k \leq l \implies \text{take } k ([r] @ l) = [r] @ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *concat-take-sing*: **assumes** $k \leq l$ **shows** *concat* (*take* $k ([r] @ l)$) = $r @ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unique-letter-word*: **assumes** $\bigwedge c. c \in \text{set } w \implies c = a$ **shows** $w = [a] @ |w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-set-le-1-imp-hd-pow*: **assumes** *card* (*set* u) ≤ 1 **shows** $[\text{hd } u] @ |u| = u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unique-letter-wordE'[elim]*: **assumes** $(\forall c. c \in \text{set } w \longrightarrow c = a)$ **obtains**
 k **where** $w = [a] @ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unique-letter-wordE''[elim]*: **assumes** *set* $w \subseteq \{a\}$ **obtains** k **where** $w = [a] @ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unique-letter-wordE[elim]*: **assumes** *set* $w = \{a\}$ **obtains** k **where** $w = [a] @ \text{Suc } k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-pow*: $x \cdot z = z \cdot y \implies x @ k \cdot z = z \cdot y @ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-conjug-pow*: **assumes** $p \leq_p x \cdot p$ **shows** $p^{-1} @ (x @ k \cdot p) = (p^{-1} @ (x \cdot p)) @ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *rq-conjug-pow* = *lq-conjug-pow*[reversed]

lemma *pow-pref-root-one*: **assumes** $0 < k$ **and** $r \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $r @ k \leq_p r$
shows $k = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *count-list-pow*: *count-list* ($w^{\otimes} k$) $a = k * (\text{count-list } w \ a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-pows-pref*: **assumes** $v \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $(u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k \cdot u \leq_p (u \cdot v)^{\otimes} m$ **shows**
 $k \leq m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-pows-pref'*: **assumes** $v \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $(u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k \leq_p (u \cdot v)^{\otimes} m \cdot u$ **shows**
 $k \leq m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-pows-not-pref*: $\neg (u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k \cdot u \leq_p (u \cdot v)^{\otimes} m \implies m \leq k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-pows-spref*: $u^{\otimes} k <_p u^{\otimes} m \implies k < m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-pows-spref-ext*: $(u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k \cdot u <_p (u \cdot v)^{\otimes} m \implies k < m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-pows-pref-zero*: $(u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k <_p u \implies k = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-pows-spref'*: $(u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k <_p (u \cdot v)^{\otimes} m \cdot u \implies k < \text{Suc } m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *comp-pows-suf* = *comp-pows-pref*[reversed] **and**
comp-pows-suf' = *comp-pows-pref*'[reversed] **and**
comp-pows-not-suf = *comp-pows-not-pref*[reversed] **and**
comp-pows-ssuf = *comp-pows-spref*[reversed] **and**
comp-pows-ssuf-ext = *comp-pows-spref-ext*[reversed] **and**
comp-pows-suf-zero = *comp-pows-pref-zero*[reversed] **and**
comp-pows-ssuf' = *comp-pows-spref*'[reversed]

2.12.1 Comparison

named-theorems *shifts*

lemma *shift-pow*[*shifts*]: $(u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k \cdot u = u \cdot (v \cdot u)^{\otimes} k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma[*shifts*]: $(u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k \cdot u \cdot z = u \cdot (v \cdot u)^{\otimes} k \cdot z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma[*shifts*]: $u^{\otimes} k \cdot u \cdot z = u \cdot u^{\otimes} k \cdot z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma[*shifts*]: $r^{\otimes} k \leq_p r \cdot r^{\otimes} k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*shifts*]: $r^{\otimes} k \leq_p r \cdot r^{\otimes} k \cdot z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*shifts*]: $(r \cdot q)^{\otimes} k \leq_p r \cdot q \cdot (r \cdot q)^{\otimes} k \cdot z$

```

⟨proof⟩
lemma [shifts]:  $(r \cdot q)^\otimes k \leq_p r \cdot q \cdot (r \cdot q)^\otimes k$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma[shifts]:  $r^\otimes k \cdot u \leq_p r \cdot r^\otimes k \cdot v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p r \cdot v$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma[shifts]:  $u \cdot u^\otimes k \cdot z = u^\otimes k \cdot w \longleftrightarrow u \cdot z = w$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma[shifts]:  $(r \cdot q)^\otimes k \cdot u \leq_p r \cdot q \cdot (r \cdot q)^\otimes k \cdot v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p r \cdot q \cdot v$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma[shifts]:  $(r \cdot q)^\otimes k \cdot u = r \cdot q \cdot (r \cdot q)^\otimes k \cdot v \longleftrightarrow u = r \cdot q \cdot v$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma[shifts]:  $r \cdot q \cdot (r \cdot q)^\otimes k \cdot v = (r \cdot q)^\otimes k \cdot u \longleftrightarrow r \cdot q \cdot v = u$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma shifts-spec [shifts]:  $(u^\otimes k \cdot v)^\otimes l \cdot u \cdot u^\otimes k \cdot z = u^\otimes k \cdot (v \cdot u^\otimes k)^\otimes l \cdot u \cdot z$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemmas [shifts] = shifts-spec[of  $r \cdot q$ , unfolded rassoc] for  $r q$ 
lemmas [shifts] = shifts-spec[of  $r \cdot q - \dots \varepsilon$ , unfolded rassoc emp-simps] for  $r q$ 
lemmas [shifts] = shifts-spec[of  $r \cdot q - r \cdot q$ , unfolded rassoc] for  $r q$ 
lemmas [shifts] = shifts-spec[of  $r \cdot q - r \cdot q - \varepsilon$ , unfolded rassoc emp-simps] for  $r q$ 
lemma[shifts]:  $(u \cdot (v \cdot u)^\otimes k)^\otimes j \cdot (u \cdot v)^\otimes k = (u \cdot v)^\otimes k \cdot (u \cdot (u \cdot v)^\otimes k)^\otimes j$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma[shifts]:  $(u \cdot (v \cdot u)^\otimes k \cdot z)^\otimes j \cdot (u \cdot v)^\otimes k = (u \cdot v)^\otimes k \cdot (u \cdot z \cdot (u \cdot v)^\otimes k)^\otimes j$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemmas[shifts] = pow-comm cancel rassoc pow-Suc pref-cancel-conv suf-cancel-conv
add-exp cancel-right numeral-nat pow-zero emp-simps
lemmas[shifts] = less-eq-Suc-le
lemmas[shifts] = neq0-conv
lemma shifts-hd-hd [shifts]:  $a \# b \# v = [a] \cdot b \# v$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemmas [shifts] = shifts-hd-hd[of  $\dots \varepsilon$ ]
lemma[shifts]:  $n \leq k \implies x^\otimes k = x^\otimes(n + (k - n))$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma[shifts]:  $n < k \implies x^\otimes k = x^\otimes(n + (k - n))$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemmas[shifts] = cancel cancel-right pref-cancel-conv suf-cancel-conv triv-pref
lemmas[shifts] = pow-diff

lemmas shifts-rev = shifts[reversed]

lemmas shift-simps = shifts shifts[reversed]

method comparison = ((simp only: shifts; fail) | (simp only: shifts-rev; fail))

```

2.13 Rotation

```

lemma rotate-root-self:  $\text{rotate } |r| (r^\otimes k) = r^\otimes k$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rotate-pow-self: rotate ( $l * |u|$ ) ( $u @ k$ ) =  $u @ k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-pow-mod: rotate  $n$  ( $u @ k$ ) = rotate ( $n \bmod |u|$ ) ( $u @ k$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-conj-pow: rotate  $|u|$  (( $u \cdot v$ ) $@ k$ ) = ( $v \cdot u$ ) $@ k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-pow-comm: rotate  $n$  ( $u @ k$ ) = (rotate  $n$   $u$ ) $@ k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas rotate-pow-comm-two = rotate-pow-comm[of - - 2, unfolded pow-two]

lemma rotate-back: rotate ( $|u| - n \bmod |u|$ ) (rotate  $n$   $u$ ) =  $u$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-backE: obtains  $m$  where rotate  $m$  (rotate  $n$   $u$ ) =  $u$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-back': assumes rotate  $m$   $w$  = rotate  $n$   $w$ 
shows rotate ( $m - n$ )  $w$  =  $w$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-class-rotate':  $(\exists n. \text{rotate } n w = u) \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. \text{rotate } n (\text{rotate } l w) = u)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-class-rotate:  $\{u . \exists n. \text{rotate } n w = u\} = \{u . \exists n. \text{rotate } n (\text{rotate } l w) = u\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rotate-comp-eq:w  $\bowtie$  rotate  $n$   $w \implies \text{rotate } n w = w$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary mismatch-iff-lexord: assumes rotate  $n$   $w \neq w$  and irrefl r
shows mismatch-pair  $w$  (rotate  $n w$ )  $\in r \longleftrightarrow (w, \text{rotate } n w) \in \text{lexord } r$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.14 Lists of words and their concatenation

The helpful lemmas of this section deal with concatenation of a list of words *concat*. The main objective is to cover elementary facts needed to study factorizations of words.

```

lemma concat-take-is-prefix: concat(take  $n$   $ws$ )  $\leq p$  concat ws
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma concat-take-Suc: assumes  $j < |ws|$  shows  $\text{concat}(\text{take } j \text{ ws}) \cdot ws!j = \text{concat}(\text{take } (\text{Suc } j) \text{ ws})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pref-mod-list: assumes  $u <_p \text{concat } ws$ 
  obtains  $j r$  where  $j < |ws|$  and  $r <_p ws!j$  and  $\text{concat}(\text{take } j \text{ ws}) \cdot r = u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

thm prefI

lemma pref-mod-pow: assumes  $u \leq_p w^@l$  and  $w \neq \varepsilon$ 
  obtains  $k z$  where  $k \leq l$  and  $z <_p w$  and  $w^@k \cdot z = u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pref-mod-pow': assumes  $u <_p w^@l$ 
  obtains  $k z$  where  $k < l$  and  $z <_p w$  and  $w^@k \cdot z = u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma split-pow: assumes  $u \cdot v = w^@k$   $0 < k$   $v \neq \varepsilon$ 
  obtains  $p s i j$  where  $w = p \cdot s$   $s \neq \varepsilon$   $u = (p \cdot s)^@i \cdot p$   $v = (s \cdot p)^@j \cdot s$   $k = i + j + 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma del-emp-concat:  $\text{concat } us = \text{concat}(\text{filter } (\lambda x. x \neq \varepsilon) \text{ us})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lists-minus:  $us \in \text{lists } (C - A) \implies us \in \text{lists } C$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lists-minus':  $us \in \text{lists } C \implies (\text{filter } (\lambda x. x \neq \varepsilon) \text{ us}) \in \text{lists } (C - \{\varepsilon\})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pref-concat-pref:  $us \leq_p ws \implies \text{concat } us \leq_p \text{concat } ws$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas suf-concat-suf = pref-concat-pref[reversed]

lemma concat-mono-fac:  $us \leq_f ws \implies \text{concat } us \leq_f \text{concat } ws$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ruler-concat-less: assumes us  $\leq_p$  ws and vs  $\leq_p$  ws and |concat us| < |concat vs|
shows us  $<_p$  vs
⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-take-mono-strict: assumes concat (take i ws)  $<_p$  concat (take j ws)
shows take i ws  $<_p$  take j ws
⟨proof⟩

lemma take-pp-less: assumes take k ws  $<_p$  take n ws shows k < n
⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-pp-less: assumes concat (take k ws)  $<_p$  concat (take n ws) shows k < n
⟨proof⟩

lemma take-le-take: j  $\leq$  k  $\implies$  take j (take k xs) = take j xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-interval: assumes concat (take k vs) = concat (take j vs)  $\cdot$  s shows
concat (drop j (take k vs)) = s
⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-lists-count-zero': assumes ws  $\in$  lists {x,y} and count-list ws y = 0
shows ws  $\in$  lists {x}
⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-lists-count-zero: assumes ws  $\in$  lists {x,y} and count-list ws x = 0
shows ws  $\in$  lists {y}
⟨proof⟩

lemma count-in: count-list ws a  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  a  $\in$  set ws
⟨proof⟩

lemma count-in-conv: count-list w a  $\neq$  0  $\longleftrightarrow$  a  $\in$  set w
⟨proof⟩

lemma two-in-set-concat-len: assumes u  $\neq$  v and {u,v}  $\subseteq$  set ws
shows |u| + |v|  $\leq$  |concat ws|
⟨proof⟩

```

2.15 Root

```

definition root :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle\cdot\rangle \in \text{-}\ast$ ) [51,51] 60 )
  where u  $\in$  r* = ( $\exists$  k. r $\circledast$ k = u)
notation (latex output) root ( $\langle\cdot\rangle \in \text{-}\ast$ )
abbreviation not-root :: ['a list, 'a list]  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle\cdot\rangle \notin \text{-}\ast$ ) [51,51] 60 )
  where u  $\notin$  r*  $\equiv$   $\neg$ (u  $\in$  r*)

```

Empty word has all roots, including the empty root.

lemma *emp-all-roots* [*simp*]: $\varepsilon \in r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emp-all-roots'*[*elim*]: $u = \varepsilon \implies u \in r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rootI*: $r @ k \in r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *self-root*: $u \in u^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rootE*[*elim*]: **assumes** $u \in r^*$ **obtains** k **where** $r @ k = u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-exp*: $x \in r^* \longleftrightarrow x = r @ (|x| \text{ div } |r|)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-nemp-expE*: **assumes** $w \in r^*$ **and** $w \neq \varepsilon$
obtains k **where** $r @ k = w$ $0 < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-rev-iff*[*reversal-rule*]: $\text{rev } u \in \text{rev } t^* \longleftrightarrow u \in t^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-root-pref*: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies w \in r^* \implies r \leq p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *per-root-suf* = *per-root-pref*[*reversed*]

lemma *per-exp-eq*: $u \leq p r \cdot u \implies |u| = k * |r| \implies u \in r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-root*: **assumes** $0 < k$ **shows** $r = \text{take } |r| (r @ k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-nemp*: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies u \in r^* \implies r \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-shorter*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ $u \in r^*$ $u \neq r$ **shows** $|r| < |u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-shorter-eq*: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies u \in r^* \implies |r| \leq |u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-trans*[*trans*]: $\llbracket v \in u^*; u \in t^* \rrbracket \implies v \in t^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma root-pow-root[intro]:  $v \in u^* \implies v @ n \in u^*$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-len:  $u \in q^* \implies \exists k. |u| = k * |q|$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-len-dvd:  $u \in t^* \implies |t| \text{ dvd } |u|$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma common-root-len-gcd:  $u \in t^* \implies v \in t^* \implies |t| \text{ dvd } (\gcd |u| |v|)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-root[simp]:  $z \cdot w \in z^* \longleftrightarrow w \in z^*$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-roots[intro]:  $w \in z^* \implies w' \in z^* \implies w \cdot w' \in z^*$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-sing-list-pow:  $ws \in \text{lists } \{u\} \implies |ws| = k \implies \text{concat } ws = u @ k$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-sing-list-pow':  $ws \in \text{lists}\{u\} \implies \text{concat } ws = u @ |ws|$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-pref-cancel[elim]: assumes  $x \cdot y \in t^*$  and  $x \in t^*$  shows  $y \in t^*$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-suf-cancel [elim]:  $u \cdot v \in r^* \implies v \in r^* \implies u \in r^*$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.16 Commutation

The solution of the easiest nontrivial word equation, $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$, is in fact already contained in List.thy as the fact $xs \cdot ys = ys \cdot xs \implies \exists m n zs. \text{concat } (\text{replicate } m zs) = xs \wedge \text{concat } (\text{replicate } n zs) = ys$.

```

theorem comm:  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x \longleftrightarrow (\exists t k m. x = t @ k \wedge y = t @ m)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary comm-root:  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x \longleftrightarrow (\exists t. x \in t^* \wedge y \in t^*)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-rootI:  $x \in t^* \implies y \in t^* \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma commE[elim]: assumes  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ 
  obtains  $t m k$  where  $x = t @ k$  and  $y = t @ m$  and  $t \neq \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *comm-nemp-eqE*: **assumes** $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$ $u \neq \varepsilon$ $v \neq \varepsilon$
obtains $k m$ **where** $u @ k = v @ m$ $0 < k$ $0 < m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-prod[intro]*: **assumes** $r \cdot u = u \cdot r$ **and** $r \cdot v = v \cdot r$
shows $r \cdot (u \cdot v) = (u \cdot v) \cdot r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LS-comm*:
assumes $y @ k \cdot x = z @ l$
and $z \cdot y = y \cdot z$
shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.17 Periods

Periodicity is probably the most studied property of words. It captures the fact that a word overlaps with itself. Another possible point of view is that the periodic word is a prefix of an (infinite) power of some nonempty word, which can be called its period word. Both these points of view are expressed by the following definition.

2.17.1 Periodic root

lemma $u <_p r \cdot u \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p r \cdot u \wedge r \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-rootI[intro]*: $u \leq_p r \cdot u \implies r \neq \varepsilon \implies u <_p r \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-rootI'[intro]*: **assumes** $u \leq_p r @ k$ **and** $r \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $u <_p r \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-root-nemp[dest]*: $u <_p r \cdot u \implies r \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Empty word is not a periodic root but it has all nonempty periodic roots.

Any nonempty word is its own periodic root.

lemmas *root-self* = *triv-spref*

”Short roots are prefixes”

lemma $w <_p r \cdot u \implies |r| \leq |w| \implies r \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Periodic words are prefixes of the power of the root, which motivates the notation

```

lemma pref-pow-ext-take: assumes  $u \leq_p r^{\oplus} k$  shows  $u \leq_p \text{take } |r| u \cdot r^{\oplus} k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pref-pow-take: assumes  $u \leq_p r^{\oplus} k$  shows  $u \leq_p \text{take } |r| u \cdot u$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma per-root-powE: assumes  $u <_p r \cdot u$ 
obtains  $k$  where  $u <_p r^{\oplus} k$  and  $0 < k$ 
⟨proof⟩

thm per-rootI per-rootI'

lemma per-root-powE': assumes  $x <_p r \cdot x$ 
obtains  $k$  where  $x \leq_p r^{\oplus} k$  and  $0 < k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma per-root-modE' [elim]: assumes  $u <_p r \cdot u$ 
obtains  $p$  where  $p <_p r$  and  $r^{\oplus}(|u| \text{ div } |r|) \cdot p = u$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma per-root-modE [elim]: assumes  $u <_p r \cdot u$ 
obtains  $n p s$  where  $p \cdot s = r$  and  $r^{\oplus} n \cdot p = u$  and  $s \neq \varepsilon$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma nemp-per-root-conv:  $r \neq \varepsilon \implies u <_p r \cdot u \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p r \cdot u$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma root-ruler: assumes  $w <_p u \cdot w v <_p u \cdot v$ 
shows  $w \bowtie v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas same-len-nemp-root-eq = root-ruler[THEN pref-comp-eq]

lemma per-root-add-exp: assumes  $u <_p r \cdot u$   $0 < m$  shows  $u <_p r^{\oplus} m \cdot u$ 
⟨proof⟩

theorem per-root-pow-conv:  $x <_p r \cdot x \longleftrightarrow (\exists k. x \leq_p r^{\oplus} k) \wedge r \neq \varepsilon$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma per-root-exp': assumes  $x \leq_p r^{\oplus} k$  shows  $x \leq_p r^{\oplus} |x|$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-root-exp*: **assumes** $x <_p r \cdot x$ **shows** $x \leq_p r^@|x|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-root-drop-exp*: $u <_p (r^@m) \cdot u \implies u <_p r \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-root-exp-conv*: $u <_p (r^@Suc m) \cdot u \longleftrightarrow u <_p r \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-drop-exp*: **assumes** $x \leq_p z \cdot x^@m$ **shows** $x \leq_p z \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-root-drop-exp'*: $x \leq_p r^@(Suc k) \cdot x^@m \implies x \leq_p r \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-drop-exp'*: $0 < k \implies x \leq_p r^@k \cdot x \implies x \leq_p r \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *per-drop-exp-rev* = *per-drop-exp'*[reversed]

corollary *comm-drop-exp*: **assumes** $0 < m$ **and** $u \cdot r^@m = r^@m' \cdot u$ **shows** $r \cdot u = u \cdot r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-drop-exp'*: **assumes** $u^@k \cdot v = v \cdot u^@k' \quad 0 < k'$ **shows** $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-drop-exp[elim]*: **assumes** $u^@m \cdot v^@k = v^@k \cdot u^@m$ **and** $0 < m$ **and** $0 < k$ **shows** $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-pow-roots*:
assumes $0 < m$ **and** $0 < k$
shows $u^@m \cdot v^@k = v^@k \cdot u^@m \longleftrightarrow u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *pow-comm-comm*: **assumes** $x^@j = y^@k$ **and** $0 < j$ **shows** $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pow-comm-comm'*: **assumes** *comm*: $u^@(Suc k) = v^@(Suc l)$ **shows** $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-trans*: **assumes** $uv: u \cdot v = v \cdot u$ **and** $vw: w \cdot v = v \cdot w$ **and** *nemp*: $v \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $u \cdot w = w \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-comm-root*: **assumes** $x \leq_p u \cdot x$ **and** $v \cdot u = u \cdot v$ **and** $u \neq \varepsilon$
shows $x \leq_p v \cdot x$
(proof)

lemma *drop-per-pref*: **assumes** $w <_p u \cdot w$ **shows** $\text{drop } |u| \text{ } w \leq_p w$
(proof)

lemma *per-root-trans[intro]*: **assumes** $w <_p u \cdot w$ **and** $u \in t^*$ **shows** $w <_p t \cdot w$
(proof)

lemma *per-root-trans'[intro]*: $w \leq_p u \cdot w \implies u \in r^* \implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies w \leq_p r \cdot w$
(proof)

lemmas *per-root-trans-suf'[intro] = per-root-trans'[reversed]*

Note that $\llbracket <_p w (u \cdot w); <_p u (t \cdot u) \rrbracket \implies <_p w (t \cdot w)$ does not hold.

lemma *per-root-same-prefix:w*: $w <_p r \cdot w \implies w' \leq_p r \cdot w' \implies w \bowtie w'$
(proof)

lemma *take-after-drop*: $|u| + q \leq |w| \implies w <_p u \cdot w \implies \text{take } q (\text{drop } |u| \text{ } w) = \text{take } q w$
(proof)

The following lemmas are a weak version of the Periodicity lemma

lemma *two-pers*:
assumes *pu*: $w \leq_p u \cdot w$ **and** *pv*: $w \leq_p v \cdot w$ **and** *len*: $|u| + |v| \leq |w|$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
(proof)

lemma *two-pers-root*: **assumes** $w <_p u \cdot w$ **and** $w <_p v \cdot w$ **and** $|u| + |v| \leq |w|$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
(proof)

2.17.2 Maximal root-prefix

lemma *max-root-mismatch*: **assumes** $u \cdot [a] <_p r \cdot u \cdot [a]$ **and** $u \cdot [b] \leq_p w$ **and**
 $a \neq b$
shows $w \wedge_p r \cdot w = u$
(proof)

lemma *max-pref-per-root*: $u \wedge_p r \cdot u \leq_p r \cdot (u \wedge_p r \cdot u)$
(proof)

lemma *max-pref-pref*:
assumes $r \neq \varepsilon$
shows $u \wedge_p r \cdot u \leq_p r^\circledast |u \wedge_p r \cdot u|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma max-pref-lcp-root-pow: **assumes** $r \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $|u \wedge_p r \cdot u| \leq k$
shows $u \wedge_p r \cdot u = u \wedge_p r @ k$ (**is** $?max = u \wedge_p r @ k$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma max-pref-shorter-lcp: **assumes** $u \wedge_p r \cdot u <_p v \wedge_p r \cdot v$
shows $u \wedge_p v = u \wedge_p r \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

find-theorems $?u \wedge_p ?r \cdot ?u$

2.17.3 Period - numeric

Definition of a period as the length of the periodic root is often offered as the basic one. From our point of view, it is secondary, and less convenient for reasoning.

definition period :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
where [simp]: period $w n \equiv w <_p (take n w) \cdot w$

lemma period-I': $w \neq \varepsilon \Rightarrow 0 < n \Rightarrow w \leq_p (take n w) \cdot w \Rightarrow \text{period } w n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma periodI[intro]: $w \neq \varepsilon \Rightarrow w <_p r \cdot w \Rightarrow \text{period } w | r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The numeric definition respects the following convention about empty words and empty periods.

lemma emp-no-period: $\neg \text{period } \varepsilon n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\neg \text{period } w 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma per-nemp: $\text{period } w n \Rightarrow w \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma per-not-zero: $\text{period } w n \Rightarrow 0 < n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma per-pref: $\text{period } w n \Rightarrow w \leq_p \text{take } n w \cdot w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A nonempty word has all "long" periods

lemma *all-long-pers*: $\llbracket w \neq \varepsilon; |w| \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{period } w n$
(proof)

lemma *len-is-per*: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{period } w |w|$
(proof)

The standard numeric definition of a period uses indeces.

lemma *period-indeces*: **assumes** *period w n* **and** $i + n < |w|$ **shows** $w!i = w!(i+n)$
(proof)

lemma *indeces-period*:
assumes $w \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $0 < n$ **and** *forall*: $\bigwedge i. i + n < |w| \implies w!i = w!(i+n)$
shows *period w n*
(proof)

In some cases, the numeric definition is more useful than the definition using the period root.

lemma *period-rev*: **assumes** *period w p* **shows** *period (rev w) p*
(proof)

lemma *period-rev-conv* [reversal-rule]: *period (rev w) n \longleftrightarrow period w n*
(proof)

lemma *period-fac*: **assumes** *period (u·w·v) p* **and** $w \neq \varepsilon$
shows *period w p*
(proof)

lemma *period-fac'*: *period v p \implies u \leq_f v \implies u $\neq \varepsilon \implies \text{period } u p$*
(proof)

lemma *pow-per[intro]*: **assumes** $y \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $0 < k$ **shows** *period (y^{⊗k}) |y|*
(proof)

lemma *per-fac*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $w \leq_f y^{\otimes k}$ **shows** *period w |y|*
(proof)

The numeric definition is equivalent to being prefix of a power.

theorem *period-pref*: *period w n \longleftrightarrow ($\exists k r. w \leq np r^{\otimes k} \wedge |r| = n$)* (**is - \longleftrightarrow ?R**)
(proof)

Two more characterizations of a period

theorem *per-shift*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ $0 < n$
shows *period w n \longleftrightarrow drop n w $\leq_p w$*
(proof)

lemma *rotate-per-root*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $0 < n$ **and** $w = \text{rotate } n w$
shows *period w n*
(proof)

Various lemmas on periods

lemma *period-drop*: **assumes** period w p **and** $p < |w|$

shows period $(\text{drop } p \ w) \ p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ext-per-left*: **assumes** period w p **and** $p \leq |w|$

shows period $(\text{take } p \ w \cdot w) \ p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ext-per-left-power*: period w $p \implies p \leq |w| \implies \text{period } ((\text{take } p \ w)^{\oplus k} \cdot w) \ p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-several-pers*: **assumes** period w n **and** $m*n \leq |w|$

shows $(\text{take } n \ w)^{\oplus m} = \text{take } (m*n) \ w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *per-div*: **assumes** $n \ \text{dvd} \ |w|$ **and** period w n

shows $(\text{take } n \ w)^{\oplus (|w| \ \text{div} \ n)} = w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *per-mult*: **assumes** period w n **and** $0 < m$ **shows** period w $(m*n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *two-periods*:

assumes period w p period w q $p + q \leq |w|$

shows period w $(\text{gcd } p \ q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-mod-per-root*: **assumes** $r \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $i: \forall i < |w|. w!i = r!(i \ \text{mod} \ |r|)$

shows $w <_p r \cdot w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-pref-pow-mod*: $w \leq_p r^{\oplus k} \implies i < |w| \implies w!i = r!(i \ \text{mod} \ |r|)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-per-root-mod*: $w <_p r \cdot w \implies i < |w| \implies w!i = r!(i \ \text{mod} \ |r|)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *root-divisor*: **assumes** period w k **and** $k \ \text{dvd} \ |w|$ **shows** $w \in (\text{take } k \ w)^*$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *per-pref'*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** period v k **and** $u \leq_p v$ **shows** period u k

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.17.4 Period: overview

notepad

begin

(proof)
end

2.17.5 Singleton and its power

```
primrec letter-pref-exp :: 'a list ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat where
  letter-pref-exp ε a = 0 |
  letter-pref-exp (b # xs) a = (if b ≠ a then 0 else Suc (letter-pref-exp xs a))

definition letter-suf-exp :: 'a list ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat where
  letter-suf-exp w a = letter-pref-exp (rev w) a

lemma concat-len-one: assumes |us| = 1 shows concat us = hd us
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sing-pow-hd-tl: c # w ∈ [a]* ↔ c = a ∧ w ∈ [a]*
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pref-sing-pow: assumes w ≤p [a]@m shows w = [a]@(|w|)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sing-pow-palindrom: assumes w = [a]@k shows rev w = w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma suf-sing-power: assumes w ≤s [a]@m shows w ∈ [a]*
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sing-fac-pow: assumes w ∈ [a]* and v ≤f w shows v ∈ [a]*
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sing-pow-fac': assumes a ≠ b and w ∈ [a]* shows ¬ ([b] ≤f w)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma all-set-sing-pow: (∀ b. b ∈ set w → b = a) ↔ w ∈ [a]* (is ?All ↔ -)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sing-fac-set: [a] ≤f x ⇒ a ∈ set x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-sing-pow-hd [simp]: assumes 0 < k shows a ∈ set ([a]@k)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma neq-set-not-root: a ≠ b ⇒ b ∈ set x ⇒ x ∉ [a]*
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sing-pow-set-Suc[simp]: set ([a]@Suc k) = {a}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sing-pow-set[simp]: assumes 0 < k shows set ([a]@k) = {a}
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sing-pow-set-sub: set ([a] $^{\otimes} k$) $\subseteq \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unique-letter-fac-expE: **assumes** $w \leq_f [a]^{\otimes} k$
obtains m **where** $w = [a]^{\otimes} m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma neq-in-set-not-pow: $a \neq b \implies b \in \text{set } x \implies x \neq [a]^{\otimes} k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sing-pow-card-set-Suc: **assumes** $c = [a]^{\otimes} \text{Suc } k$ **shows** $\text{card}(\text{set } c) = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sing-pow-card-set: **assumes** $k \neq 0$ **and** $c = [a]^{\otimes} k$ **shows** $\text{card}(\text{set } c) = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sing-pow-set': $u \in [a]^*$ $\implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{set } u = \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma root-sing-set-iff: $u \in [a]^* \longleftrightarrow \text{set } u \subseteq \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma letter-pref-exp-hd: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{hd } u = a \implies \text{letter-pref-exp } u a \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma letter-pref-exp-pref: $[a]^{\otimes}(\text{letter-pref-exp } w a) \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma letter-pref-exp-Suc: $\neg [a]^{\otimes}(\text{Suc } (\text{letter-pref-exp } w a)) \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma takeWhile-letter-pref-exp: $\text{takeWhile } (\lambda x. x = a) w = [a]^{\otimes}(\text{letter-pref-exp } w a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma concat-takeWhile-sing: $\text{concat } (\text{takeWhile } (\lambda x. x = u) ws) = u^{\otimes} | \text{takeWhile } (\lambda x. x = u) ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dropWhile-distinct: **assumes** $w \neq [a]^{\otimes}(\text{letter-pref-exp } w a)$
shows $[a]^{\otimes}(\text{letter-pref-exp } w a) \cdot [\text{hd } (\text{dropWhile } (\lambda x. x = a) w)] \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma letter-pref-exp-mismatch: $u = [a]^{\otimes} \text{letter-pref-exp } u a \cdot v \implies v \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{hd } v \neq a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *takeWhile-sing-root*: $takeWhile (\lambda x. x = a) w \in [a]^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *takeWhile-sing-pow*: $takeWhile (\lambda x. x = a) w = w \longleftrightarrow w = [a]^\circledast |w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dropWhile-sing-pow*: $dropWhile (\lambda x. x = a) w = \varepsilon \longleftrightarrow w = [a]^\circledast |w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nemp-takeWhile-hd*: $us \neq \varepsilon \implies hd(takeWhile (\lambda a. a = hd us) us) = hd us$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nemp-takeWhile-last*: $us \neq \varepsilon \implies last(takeWhile (\lambda a. a = hd us) us) = hd us$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-set-decompose*: **assumes** $1 < card(set us)$
shows $takeWhile (\lambda a. a = hd us) us \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $dropWhile (\lambda a. a = hd us) us \neq \varepsilon$ **and**
 $set(takeWhile (\lambda a. a = hd us) us) = \{hd us\}$ **and**
 $last(takeWhile (\lambda a. a = hd us) us) \neq hd(dropWhile (\lambda a. a = hd us) us)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-letter-in*: **assumes** $w \notin [a]^*$
obtains $m b q$ **where** $[a]^\circledast m \cdot [b] \cdot q = w$ **and** $b \neq a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-letter-in-hd*: **assumes** $w \notin [hd w]^*$
obtains $m b q$ **where** $[hd w]^\circledast m \cdot [b] \cdot q = w$ **and** $b \neq hd w$ **and** $m \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-letter-in-hd'*: **assumes** $w \notin [hd w]^*$
obtains $m b q$ **where** $[hd w]^\circledast Suc m \cdot [b] \cdot q = w$ **and** $b \neq hd w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-letter-in-suf*: **assumes** $w \notin [a]^*$
obtains $m b$ **where** $[b] \cdot [a]^\circledast m \leq_s w$ **and** $b \neq a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-pow-exp*: **assumes** $w \in [a]^*$ **shows** $w = [a]^\circledast |w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-power'*: **assumes** $w \in [a]^*$ **and** $i < |w|$ **shows** $w ! i = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-sing-power*: $x \in [a]^* \implies rev x = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-letter-power*:

assumes $w \neq \varepsilon$ and $w \in [a]^*$ and $[a]^{\oplus m} \cdot [b] \leq_p z$ and $a \neq b$
shows $w \cdot z \wedge_p z \cdot w = [a]^{\oplus m}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-one*: assumes $w <_p [a] \cdot w$ shows $w \in [a]^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-one'*: $w \in [a]^* \implies w <_p [a] \cdot w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-sing-one*: assumes $w \neq \varepsilon$ $w <_p [a] \cdot w$ shows period w 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.18 Border

A non-empty word $x \neq w$ is a *border* of a word w if it is both its prefix and suffix. This elementary property captures how much the word w overlaps with itself, and it is in the obvious way related to a period of w . However, in many cases it is much easier to reason about borders than about periods.

definition *border* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool ($\lambda x. \lambda b. \dots$)
where [simp]: $\text{border } x \text{ } w = (x \leq_p w \wedge x \leq_s w \wedge x \neq w \wedge x \neq \varepsilon)$

definition *bordered* :: 'a list \Rightarrow bool
where [simp]: $\text{bordered } w = (\exists b. b \leq b \text{ } w)$

lemma *borderI[intro]*: $x \leq_p w \implies x \leq_s w \implies x \neq w \implies x \neq \varepsilon \implies x \leq_b w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *borderD-pref*: $x \leq_b w \implies x \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *borderD-spref*: $x \leq_b w \implies x <_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *borderD-suf*: $x \leq_b w \implies x \leq_s w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *borderD-ssuf*: $x \leq_b w \implies x <_s w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *borderD-nemp*: $x \leq_b w \implies x \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *borderD-neq*: $x \leq_b w \implies x \neq w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *borderedI*: $u \leq b w \implies \text{bordered } w$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *border-lq-nemp*: **assumes** $x \leq b w$ **shows** $x^{-1} w \neq \varepsilon$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *border-rq-nemp*: **assumes** $x \leq b w$ **shows** $w^{<-1} x \neq \varepsilon$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *border-trans[trans]*: **assumes** $t \leq b x$ $x \leq b w$
shows $t \leq b w$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *border-rev-conv[reversal-rule]*: $\text{rev } x \leq b \text{ rev } w \longleftrightarrow x \leq b w$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *border-lq-comp*: $x \leq b w \implies (w^{<-1} x) \bowtie x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *border-lq-suf-comp* = *border-lq-comp[reversed]*

2.18.1 The shortest border

lemma *border-len*: **assumes** $x \leq b w$
shows $1 < |w|$ **and** $0 < |x|$ **and** $|x| < |w|$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *borders-compare*: **assumes** $x \leq b w$ **and** $x' \leq b w$ **and** $|x'| < |x|$
shows $x' \leq b x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *unbordered-border*:
 $\text{bordered } w \implies \exists x. x \leq b w \wedge \neg \text{bordered } x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *unbordered-border-shortest*: $x \leq b w \implies \neg \text{bordered } x \implies y \leq b w \implies |x| \leq |y|$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *long-border-bordered*: **assumes** *long*: $|w| < |x| + |x|$ **and** *border*: $x \leq b w$
shows $(w^{<-1} x)^{-1} x \leq b x$
⟨*proof*⟩

thm *long-border-bordered[reversed]*

lemma *border-short-dec*: **assumes** *border*: $x \leq b w$ **and** *short*: $|x| + |x| \leq |w|$
shows $x \cdot x^{-1} (w^{<-1} x) \cdot x = w$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bordered-dec*: **assumes** *bordered w*
obtains *u v* **where** $u \cdot v \cdot u = w$ **and** $u \neq \varepsilon$
(proof)

lemma *emp-not-bordered*: $\neg \text{bordered } \varepsilon$
(proof)

lemma *bordered-nemp*: *bordered w* $\implies w \neq \varepsilon$
(proof)

lemma *sing-not-bordered*: $\neg \text{bordered } [a]$
(proof)

2.18.2 Relation to period and conjugation

lemma *border-conjug-eq*: $x \leq b w \implies (w^{<-1}x) \cdot w = w \cdot (x^{-1}w)$
(proof)

lemma *border-per-root*: $x \leq b w \implies w \leq p (w^{<-1}x) \cdot w$
(proof)

lemma *per-root-border*: **assumes** $|r| < |w|$ **and** $r \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $w \leq p r \cdot w$
shows $r^{-1}w \leq b w$
(proof)

lemma *pref-suf-neq-per*: **assumes** $x \leq p w$ **and** $x \leq s w$ **and** $x \neq w$ **shows** *period w* ($|w| - |x|$)
(proof)

lemma *border-per*: $x \leq b w \implies \text{period } w (|w| - |x|)$
(proof)

lemma *per-border*: **assumes** $n < |w|$ **and** *period w n*
shows *take* ($|w| - n$) $w \leq b w$
(proof)

2.19 The longest border and the shortest period

2.19.1 The longest border

definition *max-borderP* :: '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $\text{max-borderP } u w = (u \leq p w \wedge u \leq s w \wedge (u = w \longrightarrow w = \varepsilon) \wedge (\forall v. v \leq b w \longrightarrow v \leq p u))$

lemma *max-borderP-emp-emp*: *max-borderP ε ε*
(proof)

lemma *max-borderP-exE*: **obtains** *u* **where** *max-borderP u w*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-of-nemp*: $\text{max-borderP } u \varepsilon \implies u = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-D-neq*: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{max-borderP } u w \implies u \neq w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-D-pref*: $\text{max-borderP } u w \implies u \leq p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-D-suf*: $\text{max-borderP } u w \implies u \leq s w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-D-max*: $\text{max-borderP } u w \implies v \leq b w \implies v \leq p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-D-max'*: $\text{max-borderP } u w \implies v \leq b w \implies v \leq s u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unbordered-max-border-emp*: $\neg \text{bordered } w \implies \text{max-borderP } u w \implies u = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bordered-max-border-nemp*: $\text{bordered } w \implies \text{max-borderP } u w \implies u \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-border*: $\text{max-borderP } u w \implies u \neq \varepsilon \implies u \leq b w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-rev*: $\text{max-borderP } (\text{rev } u) (\text{rev } w) \implies \text{max-borderP } u w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-rev-conv*: $\text{max-borderP } (\text{rev } u) (\text{rev } w) \longleftrightarrow \text{max-borderP } u w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

term *arg-max*
definition *max-border* :: '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list* **where**
 $\text{max-border } w = (\text{THE } u. (\text{max-borderP } u w))$

lemma *max-border-unique*: **assumes** $\text{max-borderP } u w \text{ max-borderP } v w$
shows $u = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-border-ex*: $\text{max-borderP } (\text{max-border } w) w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderP-max-border*: $\text{max-borderP } u w \implies \text{max-border } w = u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-border-len-rev*: $|max\text{-border } u| = |max\text{-border } (rev\ u)|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-border-border*: **assumes** *bordered w* **shows** *max-border w $\leq b$ w*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *max-border-border'*: *max-border w $\neq \varepsilon \implies max\text{-border } w \leq b\ w$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-border-sing-emp*: *max-border [a] = ε*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-border-suf*: *max-border w $\leq s$ w*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-border-nemp-neq*: *w $\neq \varepsilon \implies max\text{-border } w \neq w$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-borderI*: **assumes** *u $\neq w$ and u $\leq p$ w and u $\leq s$ w and $\forall v. v \leq b w \longrightarrow v \leq p u$*
shows *max-border w = u*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-border-less-len*: **assumes** *w $\neq \varepsilon$ shows $|max\text{-border } w| < |w|$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *max-border-max-pref*: **assumes** *u $\leq b$ w shows u $\leq p$ max-border w*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *max-border-max-suf*: **assumes** *u $\leq b$ w shows u $\leq s$ max-border w*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bordered-max-bord-nemp-conv[code]*: *bordered w \longleftrightarrow max-border w $\neq \varepsilon$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-bord-take*: *max-border w = take $|max\text{-border } w|$ w*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.19.2 The shortest period

definition *min-period-root* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list ($\langle \pi \rangle$) **where**
min-period-root w = take (LEAST n. period w n) w

definition *min-period* :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat **where**
min-period w = $|\pi w|$

lemma *min-per-emp[simp]*: $\pi \varepsilon = \varepsilon$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-zero*[simp]: *min-period* $\varepsilon = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-per*: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies \text{period } w (\text{min-period } w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-pos*: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies 0 < \text{min-period } w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-len*: $\text{min-period } w \leq |w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *min-per-root-len* = *min-per-len*[unfolded *min-period-def*]

lemma *min-per-sing*: *min-period* $[a] = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-root-per-root*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $w <_p (\pi w) \cdot w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-pref*: $\pi w \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-nemp*: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies \pi w \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-min*: **assumes** $w <_p r \cdot w$ **shows** $\pi w \leq_p r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lq-min-per-pref*: $\pi w^{-1} > w \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-bord-emp*: *max-border* $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *min-per-max-border*: $\pi w \cdot \text{max-border } w = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-len-diff*: *min-period* $w = |w| - |\text{max-border } w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-root-take* [code]: $\pi w = \text{take}(|w| - |\text{max-border } w|) w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.20 Primitive words

If a word w is not a non-trivial power of some other word, we say it is primitive.

definition `primitive` :: 'a list \Rightarrow bool
where `primitive` $u = (\forall r k. r @ k = u \rightarrow k = 1)$

lemma `emp-not-prim[simp]`: $\neg \text{primitive } \varepsilon$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `primI[intro]`: $(\bigwedge r k. r @ k = u \Rightarrow k = 1) \Rightarrow \text{primitive } u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `prim-nemp`: $\text{primitive } u \Rightarrow u \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `prim-exp-one`: $\text{primitive } u \Rightarrow r @ k = u \Rightarrow k = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `pow-nemp-imprim[intro]`: $2 \leq k \Rightarrow \neg \text{primitive } (u @ k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `pow-not-prim`: $\neg \text{primitive } (u @ \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } k))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `pow-non-prim`: $k \neq 1 \Rightarrow \neg \text{primitive } (w @ k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `prim-exp-eq`: $\text{primitive } u \Rightarrow r @ k = u \Rightarrow u = r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `prim-per-div`: **assumes** `primitive v` **and** $n \neq 0$ **and** $n \leq |v|$ **and** `period v`
 $(\gcd |v| n)$
shows $n = |v|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `prim-triv-root`: $\text{primitive } u \Rightarrow u \in t^* \Rightarrow t = u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `prim-comm-root[elim]`: **assumes** `primitive r` **and** $u \cdot r = r \cdot u$ **shows** $u \in r^*$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `prim-comm-exp[elim]`: **assumes** `primitive r` **and** $u \cdot r = r \cdot u$ **obtains** k
where $r @ k = u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `pow-prim-root`: **assumes** $w @ k = r @ n$ **and** $0 < n$ `primitive r`
shows $w \in r^*$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-root-drop-exp[elim]*: **assumes** $u @ k \in r*$ **and** $0 < k$ **and** primitive r
shows $u \in r*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-card-set*: **assumes** primitive u **and** $|u| \neq 1$ **shows** $1 < \text{card}(\text{set } u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-not-prim*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ $v \neq \varepsilon$ $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$ **shows** $\neg \text{primitive}(u \cdot v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-rotate-conv*: primitive $w \longleftrightarrow \text{primitive}(\text{rotate } n w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *non-prim*: **assumes** $\neg \text{primitive } w$ **and** $w \neq \varepsilon$
obtains $r k$ **where** $r \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $1 < k$ **and** $r @ k = w$ **and** $w \neq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-no-rotate*: **assumes** primitive w **and** $0 < n$ **and** $n < |w|$
shows $\text{rotate } n w \neq w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-rotate-prim*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $\forall n. 0 < n \implies n < |w| \implies \text{rotate } n w \neq w$
shows primitive w
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *prim-iff-rotate*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **shows**
primitive $w \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. 0 < n \wedge n < |w| \longrightarrow \text{rotate } n w \neq w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-sing*: primitive [a]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-pow-conv [simp]*: $[u] = t @ k \longleftrightarrow t = [u] \wedge k = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-rev-iff[reversal-rule]*: primitive $(\text{rev } u) \longleftrightarrow \text{primitive } u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-map-prim*: primitive $(\text{map } f ws) \implies \text{primitive } ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-map-prim*: **assumes** inj-on $f A$ **and** $u \in \text{lists } A$ **and**
primitive u
shows primitive $(\text{map } f u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma prim-map-iff [reversal-rule]:
  assumes inj f shows primitive (map f ws) = primitive (ws)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-concat-prim: primitive (concat ws)  $\implies$  primitive ws
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-append-not-prim:  $x = y \implies \neg \text{primitive}(x \cdot y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.21 Primitive root

Given a non-empty word w which is not primitive, it is natural to look for the shortest u such that $w = u^k$. Such a word is primitive, and it is the primitive root of w .

```

definition primitive-root :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list (⟨ρ⟩) where
  primitive-root x = (if  $x \neq \varepsilon$  then (THE r. primitive r  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  k.  $x = r^{\otimes} k$ )) else  $\varepsilon$ )

definition primitive-root-exp :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat (⟨e_ρ⟩) where
  primitive-root-exp x = (if  $x \neq \varepsilon$  then (THE k.  $x = (\rho x)^{\otimes} k$ ) else 0)

```

```

lemma primroot-emp[simp]:  $\rho \varepsilon = \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-prim: assumes primitive r and primitive s and  $r \cdot s = s \cdot r$ 
  shows  $r = s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma primroot-ex: assumes  $x \neq \varepsilon$  shows  $\exists r k. \text{primitive } r \wedge k \neq 0 \wedge x = r^{\otimes} k$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma primroot-exE: assumes  $x \neq \varepsilon$ 
  obtains r k where primitive r and  $0 < k$  and  $x = r^{\otimes} k$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Uniqueness of the primitive root follows from the following lemma

```

lemma primroot-unique: assumes  $u \neq \varepsilon$  and primitive r and  $u = r^{\otimes} k$  shows  $\rho$ 
   $u = r$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma primroot-unique': assumes  $0 < k$  primitive r and  $u = r^{\otimes} k$  shows  $\rho u = r$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-self-root[intro]: primitive x  $\implies$   $\rho x = x$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-exp-unique*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $(\varrho u)^\otimes k = u$ **shows** $e_\varrho u = k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-prim[intro]*: $x \neq \varepsilon \implies primitive(\varrho x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Existence and uniqueness of the primitive root justifies the function ϱ : it indeed yields the primitive root of a nonempty word.

lemma *primroot-is-root[simp]*: $x \in (\varrho x)^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-expE*: **obtains** k **where** $(\varrho x)^\otimes k = x$ **and** $0 < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-exp-eq [simp]*: $(\varrho u)^\otimes (e_\varrho u) = u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-exp-len*:
shows $e_\varrho w * |\varrho w| = |w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-exp-nemp [intro]*: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies 0 < e_\varrho u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-nemp[intro!]*: $x \neq \varepsilon \implies \varrho x \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-idemp[simp]*: $\varrho(\varrho x) = \varrho x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-primroot-conv*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** *primitive* $w \longleftrightarrow \varrho w = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-prim-primroot-expE*: **assumes** $\neg primitive w$
obtains k **where** $\varrho w ^\otimes k = w$ **and** $2 \leq k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-prim-expE*: **assumes** $\neg primitive x$ **and** $x \neq \varepsilon$
obtains $r k$ **where** *primitive* r **and** $2 \leq k$ **and** $r^\otimes k = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-of-root*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $u \in q^*$ **shows** $\varrho q = \varrho u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-shorter-root*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $u \in q^*$ **shows** $|\varrho u| \leq |q|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-len-le*: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies |\varrho u| \leq |u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-take*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $\varrho u = (\text{take}(|\varrho u|) u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-rotate-comm*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $\varrho(\text{rotate } n w) = \text{rotate } n(\varrho w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-rotate*: $\varrho w = r \iff \varrho(\text{rotate}(k|r|) w) = r$ (**is** $?L \iff ?R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primrootI[intro]*: **assumes** *pow*: $u = r^{\circledast}(\text{Suc } k)$ **and** primitive r **shows** $\varrho u = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-pref*: $\varrho u \leq_p u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *short-primroot*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon \neg \text{primitive } u$ **shows** $|\varrho u| < |u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-primroot-cases*: **obtains** $u = \varepsilon \mid \text{primitive } u \mid |\varrho u| < |u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We also have the standard characterization of commutation for nonempty words.

lemma *comm-rootE*: **assumes** $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
obtains t **where** $x \in t^*$ **and** $y \in t^*$ **and** $t \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *comm-primroots*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $v \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $u \cdot v = v \cdot u \iff$
 $\varrho u = \varrho v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-primroots'*: $u \neq \varepsilon \implies v \neq \varepsilon \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u \implies \varrho u = \varrho v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *same-primroots-comm*: $\varrho x = \varrho y \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma pow-primroot: assumes  $x \neq \varepsilon$  shows  $\varrho(x @ Suc k) = \varrho x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-primroot-exp: assumes  $v \neq \varepsilon$  and  $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$ 
  obtains  $n$  where  $(\varrho v) @ n = u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-primrootE: assumes  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ 
  obtains  $t$  where  $x \in t^*$  and  $y \in t^*$  and primitive  $t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma primE: obtains  $t$  where primitive  $t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-primrootE': assumes  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ 
  obtains  $t m k$  where  $x = t @ k$  and  $y = t @ m$  and primitive  $t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-nemp-pows-posE: assumes  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$  and  $x \neq \varepsilon$  and  $y \neq \varepsilon$ 
  obtains  $t k m$  where  $x = t @ k$  and  $y = t @ m$  and  $0 < k$  and  $0 < m$  and
  primitive  $t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-primroot-conv:  $u \cdot v = v \cdot u \longleftrightarrow u \cdot \varrho v = \varrho v \cdot u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-primroot [simp, intro]:  $u \cdot \varrho u = \varrho u \cdot u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-primroot-conv': shows  $u \cdot v = v \cdot u \longleftrightarrow \varrho u \cdot \varrho v = \varrho v \cdot \varrho u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma per-root-primroot:  $w <_p r \cdot w \implies w <_p \varrho r \cdot w$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma primroot-per-root:  $r \neq \varepsilon \implies r <_p \varrho r \cdot r$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-comm-short-emp: assumes primitive  $p$  and  $u \cdot p = p \cdot u$  and  $|u| < |p|$ 
  shows  $u = \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma primroot-rev[reversal-rule]: shows  $\varrho(\text{rev } u) = \text{rev } (\varrho u)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas primroot-suf = primroot-pref[reversed]

lemma per-le-prim-iff:

```

assumes $u \leq p p \cdot u$ **and** $p \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $2 * |p| \leq |u|$

shows primitive $u \longleftrightarrow u \cdot p \neq p \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-root-mod-primeE* [elim]: **assumes** $u < p r \cdot u$

obtains $n p s$ **where** $p \cdot s = \varrho r$ **and** $(p \cdot s) @ n \cdot p = u$ **and** $s \neq \varepsilon$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.21.1 Primitivity and the shortest period

lemma *min-per-primitive*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** primitive (πw)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-per-short-primroot*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $(\varrho w) @ k = w$ **and** $k \neq 1$

shows $\pi w = \varrho w$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primitive-iff-per*: primitive $w \longleftrightarrow w \neq \varepsilon \wedge (\pi w = w \vee \pi w \cdot w \neq w \cdot \pi w)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.22 Conjugation

Two words x and y are conjugated if one is a rotation of the other. Or, equivalently, there exists z such that

$$xz = zy.$$

definition *conjugate* (infix \sim 51)

where $u \sim v \equiv \exists r s. r \cdot s = u \wedge s \cdot r = v$

lemma *conjugE* [elim]:

assumes $u \sim v$

obtains $r s$ **where** $r \cdot s = u$ **and** $s \cdot r = v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjugE-nemp*[elim]:

assumes $u \sim v$ **and** $u \neq \varepsilon$

obtains $r s$ **where** $r \cdot s = u$ **and** $s \cdot r = v$ **and** $s \neq \varepsilon$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjugE1* [elim]:

assumes $u \sim v$

obtains r **where** $u \cdot r = r \cdot v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-rev-conv* [reversal-rule]: $rev u \sim rev v \longleftrightarrow u \sim v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-rotate-iff*: $u \sim v \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. v = \text{rotate } n u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rotate-conjug*: $w \sim \text{rotate } n w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-rotate-iff-le*:
shows $u \sim v \longleftrightarrow (\exists n \leq |u| - 1. v = \text{rotate } n u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjugI [intro]*: $r \cdot s = u \implies s \cdot r = v \implies u \sim v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjugI' [intro!]*: $r \cdot s \sim s \cdot r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-refl*: $u \sim u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-sym[sym]*: $u \sim v \implies v \sim u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-swap*: $u \sim v \longleftrightarrow v \sim u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-nemp-iff*: $u \sim v \implies u = \varepsilon \longleftrightarrow v = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-len*: $u \sim v \implies |u| = |v|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pow-conjug*:
assumes *eq*: $t @ i \cdot r \cdot u = t @ k$ and *t*: $r \cdot s = t$
shows $u \cdot t @ i \cdot r = (s \cdot r) @ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-set*: assumes $u \sim v$ shows *set u = set v*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-concat-conjug*: $xs \sim ys \implies \text{concat } xs \sim \text{concat } ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The solution of the equation

$$xz = zy$$

is given by the next lemma.

lemma *conjug-eqE [elim, consumes 2]*:

assumes $\text{eq}: x \cdot z = z \cdot y \text{ and } x \neq \varepsilon$
obtains $u v k$ **where** $u \cdot v = x$ **and** $v \cdot u = y$ **and** $(u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k \cdot u = z$ **and** $v \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *conjugation*: **assumes** $x \cdot z = z \cdot y \text{ and } x \neq \varepsilon$
shows $\exists u v k. u \cdot v = x \wedge v \cdot u = y \wedge (u \cdot v)^{\otimes} k \cdot u = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conjug-eq-primrootE'* [*elim, consumes 2*]:
assumes $\text{eq}: x \cdot z = z \cdot y \text{ and } x \neq \varepsilon$
obtains $r s i n$ **where**
 $(r \cdot s)^{\otimes} i = x \text{ and}$
 $(s \cdot r)^{\otimes} i = y \text{ and}$
 $(r \cdot s)^{\otimes} n \cdot r = z \text{ and}$
 $s \neq \varepsilon \text{ and } 0 < i \text{ and primitive } (r \cdot s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conjugI1* [*intro*]:
assumes $\text{eq}: u \cdot r = r \cdot v$
shows $u \sim v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-conjug-conjug-conv*: **assumes** $0 < k$ **shows** $u^{\otimes} k \sim v^{\otimes} k \longleftrightarrow u \sim v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conjug-trans* [*trans*]:
assumes $uv: u \sim v \text{ and } vw: v \sim w$
shows $u \sim w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conjug-trans'*: **assumes** $uv': u \cdot r = r \cdot v \text{ and } vw': v \cdot s = s \cdot w$ **shows** $u \cdot (r \cdot s) = (r \cdot s) \cdot w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Of course, conjugacy is an equivalence relation.

lemma *conjug-equiv*: *equivp* (\sim)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rotate-fac-pref*: **assumes** $u \leq_f w$
obtains w' **where** $w' \sim w \text{ and } u \leq_p w'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rotate-into-pos-sq*: **assumes** $s \cdot p \leq_f w \cdot w$ **and** $|s| \leq |w| \text{ and } |p| \leq |w|$
obtains w' **where** $w \sim w' p \leq_p w' s \leq_s w'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rotate-into-pref-sq*: **assumes** $p \leq_f w \cdot w$ **and** $|p| \leq |w|$
obtains w' **where** $w \sim w' p \leq_p w'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *rotate-into-suf-sq* = *rotate-into-pref-sq*[reversed]

lemma *rotate-into-pos*: **assumes** $s \cdot p \leq_f w$
obtains w' **where** $w \sim w' p \leq_p w' s \leq_s w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rotate-into-pos-conjug*: **assumes** $w \sim v$ **and** $s \cdot p \leq_f v$
obtains w' **where** $w \sim w' p \leq_p w' s \leq_s w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nconjug-neq*: $\neg u \sim v \implies u \neq v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-conjug*:
assumes *prim*: primitive u **and** *conjug*: $u \sim v$
shows primitive v
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-prim-iff*: **assumes** $u \sim v$ **shows** primitive $u =$ primitive v
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *conjug-prim-iff'* = *conjug-prim-iff*[OF *conjugI'*]

lemmas *conjug-concat-prim-iff* = *conjug-concat-conjug*[THEN *conjug-prim-iff*]

lemma *conjug-eq-primrootE* [elim, consumes 2]:
assumes *eq*: $x \cdot z = z \cdot y$ **and** $x \neq \varepsilon$
obtains $r s i n$ **where**
 $(r \cdot s)^@i = x$ **and**
 $(s \cdot r)^@i = y$ **and**
 $(r \cdot s)^@n \cdot r = z$ **and**
 $s \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $0 < i$ **and** primitive $(r \cdot s)$
and primitive $(s \cdot r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-primrootsE*: **assumes** $\varrho p \sim \varrho q$
obtains $r s k l$ **where** $p = (r \cdot s)^@k$ **and** $q = (s \cdot r)^@l$ **and** primitive $(r \cdot s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-conjug*: $u \leq_p r \cdot u \implies u^{-1} > (r \cdot u) \sim r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *conjug-prim-iff-pref* = *conjug-prim-iff*[OF *root-conjug*]

lemma *conjug-primroot-word*:
assumes *conjug*: $u \cdot t = t \cdot v$
shows $(\varrho u) \cdot t = t \cdot (\varrho v)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-primroot*:

assumes $u \sim v$

shows $\varrho u \sim \varrho v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-primroots-nemp*: assumes $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$ and $r \cdot s = \varrho(x \cdot y)$ and $s \cdot r = \varrho(y \cdot x)$

shows $r \neq \varepsilon$ and $s \neq \varepsilon$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjugE-primrootsE[elim]*: assumes $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$

obtains $r s$ where $r \cdot s = \varrho(x \cdot y)$ and $s \cdot r = \varrho(y \cdot x)$ and $r \neq \varepsilon$ and $s \neq \varepsilon$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-add-exp*: $u \sim v \implies u @ k \sim v @ k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-primroot-iff*:

assumes *nemp*: $u \neq \varepsilon$ and *len*: $|u| = |v|$

shows $\varrho u \sim \varrho v \longleftrightarrow u \sim v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-conjugs-aux*: assumes $u \cdot v = x \cdot y$ and $v \cdot u = y \cdot x$ and $u \neq \varepsilon$ and $u \neq x$ and $|u| \leq |x|$

obtains $r s k l m n$ where

$u = (s \cdot r) @ k \cdot s$ and $v = (r \cdot s) @ l \cdot r$ and

$x = (s \cdot r) @ m \cdot s$ and $y = (r \cdot s) @ n \cdot r$ and

primitive $(r \cdot s)$ and primitive $(s \cdot r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-conjugs*: assumes $u \cdot v = x \cdot y$ and $v \cdot u = y \cdot x$ and $u \neq \varepsilon$ and $x \neq \varepsilon$ and $u \neq x$

obtains $r s k l m n$ where

$u = (s \cdot r) @ k \cdot s$ and $v = (r \cdot s) @ l \cdot r$ and

$x = (s \cdot r) @ m \cdot s$ and $y = (r \cdot s) @ n \cdot r$ and

primitive $(r \cdot s)$ and primitive $(s \cdot r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-pow-pref-conjug*:

assumes $u \leq_f t @ k$

obtains t' where $t \sim t'$ and $u \leq_p t' @ k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *fac-pow-suf-conjug* = *fac-pow-pref-conjug*[reversed]

lemma *fac-pow-len-conjug[intro]*: assumes $|u| = |v|$ and $u \leq_f v @ k$ shows $v \sim u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-fac-sq*:

$u \sim v \implies u \leq_f v \cdot v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-fac-pow-conv*: **assumes** $|u| = |v|$ **and** $2 \leq k$

shows $u \sim v \longleftrightarrow u \leq_f v^{\circledR} k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-fac-Suc*: **assumes** $t \sim v$

shows $t^{\circledR} k \leq_f v^{\circledR} Suc k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-pow-conjug*: **assumes** $u \leq_f v^{\circledR} k$ **and** $t \sim v$

shows $u \leq_f t^{\circledR} Suc k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *border-conjug*: $x \leq_b w \implies w^{<-1} x \sim x^{-1} w$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *count-list-conjug*: **assumes** $u \sim v$ **shows** *count-list u a = count-list v a*

lemma *conjug-in-lists*: $us \sim vs \implies vs \in lists A \implies us \in lists A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-in-lists'*: $us \sim vs \implies us \in lists A \implies vs \in lists A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-in-lists-iff*: $us \sim vs \implies us \in lists A \longleftrightarrow vs \in lists A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-conjug-unique*: **assumes** primitive $(u \cdot v)$ **and** $u \cdot v = r \cdot s$ **and** $v \cdot u = s \cdot r$ **and** $u \cdot v \neq v \cdot u$

shows $u = r$ **and** $v = s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-conjugE[elim, consumes 3]*: **assumes** $(u \cdot v) \cdot z = z \cdot (v \cdot u)$ **and** primitive $(u \cdot v)$ **and** $v \neq \varepsilon$

obtains k **where** $(u \cdot v)^{\circledR} k \cdot u = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-conjugE'[elim, consumes 3]*: **assumes** $(r \cdot s) \cdot z = z \cdot (s \cdot r)$ **and** primitive $(r \cdot s)$ **and** $z \neq \varepsilon$

obtains k **where** $(r \cdot s)^{\circledR} k \cdot r = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-primroots-unique*: **assumes** $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$ **and**

$r \cdot s = \varrho(x \cdot y)$ and $s \cdot r = \varrho(y \cdot x)$ and
 $r' \cdot s' = \varrho(x \cdot y)$ and $s' \cdot r' = \varrho(y \cdot x)$
shows $r = r'$ and $s = s'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-conjug-pref*: **assumes** primitive $(s \cdot r)$ and $u \cdot r \cdot s \leq p (s \cdot r)^{\oplus} n$
and $r \neq \varepsilon$
obtains n **where** $(s \cdot r)^{\oplus} n \cdot s = u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-per-conjug*: **assumes** period w n and $v \leq f w$ and $|v| = n$
shows $v \sim \text{take } n w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-pers-conjug*: **assumes** period w n and $v \leq f w$ and $|v| = n$ and $u \leq w$
and $|u| = n$
shows $v \sim u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conjug-pow-powE*: **assumes** $w \sim r^{\oplus} k$ **obtains** s **where** $w = s^{\oplus} k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *find-second-letter*: **assumes** $a \neq b$ and set $ws = \{a, b\}$
shows $\text{dropWhile } (\lambda c. c = a) ws \neq \varepsilon$ and $\text{hd } (\text{dropWhile } (\lambda c. c = a) ws) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-conjuq-sq*:
assumes $u \sim v$ and $|w| \leq |u|$ and $w \leq f u \cdot u$
shows $w \leq f v \cdot v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-conjuq-sq-iff*:
assumes $u \sim v$ **shows** $|w| \leq |u| \implies w \leq f u \cdot u \longleftrightarrow w \leq f v \cdot v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-conjug*:
 $u \sim v \implies \text{map } f u \sim \text{map } f v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-conjug-iff* [reversal-rule]:
assumes *inj f* **shows** $\text{map } f u \sim \text{map } f v \longleftrightarrow u \sim v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-conjug*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$
shows $\text{card } (\text{Collect } (\text{conjugate } w)) = |\varrho w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-Bex-conjug*: **assumes** finite A
shows finite $\{r. \text{Bex } A \text{ (conjugate } r)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.22.1 Enumerating conjugates

definition *bounded-conjug*

where *bounded-conjug* w' w $k \equiv (\exists n \leq k. w = \text{rotate } n w')$

named-theorems *bounded-conjug*

lemma[*bounded-conjug*]: *bounded-conjug* w' w 0 $\longleftrightarrow w = w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma[*bounded-conjug*]: *bounded-conjug* w' w ($Suc k$) \longleftrightarrow *bounded-conjug* w' w k
 $\vee w = \text{rotate} (Suc k) w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma[*bounded-conjug*]: $w' \sim w \longleftrightarrow \text{bounded-conjug } w w' (|w|-1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $w \sim [a,b,c] \longleftrightarrow w = [a,b,c] \vee w = [b,c,a] \vee w = [c,a,b]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.22.2 General lemmas using conjugation

lemma *switch-fac*: **assumes** $x \neq y$ **and** set $ws = \{x,y\}$ **shows** $[x,y] \leq_f ws \cdot ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imprim-ext-pref-comm*: **assumes** $\neg \text{primitive } (u \cdot v)$ **and** $\neg \text{primitive } (u \cdot v \cdot u)$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imprim-ext-suf-comm*:
 $\neg \text{primitive } (u \cdot v) \implies \neg \text{primitive } (u \cdot v \cdot v) \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-xyky*: **assumes** $2 \leq k$ **and** $\neg \text{primitive } ((x \cdot y)^{\oplus k} \cdot y)$ **shows** $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-pow-div*: **assumes** $u \leq_f w^{\oplus l}$ **primitive** w
shows $w^{\oplus (|u| \text{ div } |w|) - 1} \leq_f u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.23 Element of lists: a method for testing if a word is in lists A

lemma *append-in-lists*[*simp, intro*]: $u \in \text{lists } A \implies v \in \text{lists } A \implies u \cdot v \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-in-lists*: $u \leq_p v \implies v \in \text{lists } A \implies u \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *suf-in-lists* = *pref-in-lists*[reversed]

lemma *fac-in-lists*: $ws \in \text{lists } S \implies vs \leq_f ws \implies vs \in \text{lists } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lq-in-lists*: $v \in \text{lists } A \implies u^{-1} > v \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *rq-in-lists* = *lq-in-lists*[reversed]

lemma *take-in-lists*: $w \in \text{lists } A \implies \text{take } j w \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-in-lists*: $w \in \text{lists } A \implies \text{drop } j w \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lcp-in-lists*: $u \in \text{lists } A \implies u \wedge_p v \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lcp-in-lists'*: $v \in \text{lists } A \implies u \wedge_p v \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *append-in-lists-dest*: $u \cdot v \in \text{lists } A \implies u \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *append-in-lists-dest'*: $u \cdot v \in \text{lists } A \implies v \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-in-lists*: $u \in \text{lists } A \implies u^{\circledast k} \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *takeWhile-in-list*: $u \in \text{lists } A \implies \text{takeWhile } P u \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-in-lists*: $u \in \text{lists } A \implies \text{rev } u \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *append-in-lists-dest1*: $u \cdot v = w \implies w \in \text{lists } A \implies u \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *append-in-lists-dest2*: $u \cdot v = w \implies w \in \text{lists } A \implies v \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-in-lists-dest1*: $u \cdot v = w^{\circledast n} \implies w \in \text{lists } A \implies u \in \text{lists } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma pow-in-lists-dest1-sym:  $w^{\circledast} n = u \cdot v \implies w \in \text{lists } A \implies u \in \text{lists } A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pow-in-lists-dest2:  $u \cdot v = w^{\circledast} n \implies w \in \text{lists } A \implies v \in \text{lists } A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pow-in-lists-dest2-sym:  $w^{\circledast} n = u \cdot v \implies w \in \text{lists } A \implies v \in \text{lists } A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma per-in-lists:  $w <_p r \cdot w \implies r \in \text{lists } A \implies w \in \text{lists } A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-in-lists:  $j < |w| \implies w \in \text{lists } A \implies w ! j \in A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

method inlists =
  (insert method-facts, use nothing in ⟨
    ((elim suf-in-lists | elim pref-in-lists[elim-format] | rule lcp-in-lists | rule drop-in-lists
    | rule lq-in-lists | rule rq-in-lists |
    rule take-in-lists | intro lq-in-lists | rule nth-in-lists |
    rule append-in-lists | elim conjug-in-lists | rule pow-in-lists | rule takeWhile-in-list
    | elim append-in-lists-dest1 | elim append-in-lists-dest2
    | elim pow-in-lists-dest2 | elim pow-in-lists-dest2-sym
    | elim pow-in-lists-dest1 | elim pow-in-lists-dest1-sym)
    | (simp | fact))⟩+)

```

2.24 Reversed mappings

definition rev-map :: ($'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list}$) $\Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list})$ **where**
 $\text{rev-map } f = \text{rev} \circ f \circ \text{rev}$

lemma rev-map-idemp[simp]: $\text{rev-map} (\text{rev-map } f) = f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma rev-map-arg: $\text{rev-map } f u = \text{rev} (f (\text{rev } u))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma rev-map-arg': $\text{rev} ((\text{rev-map } f) w) = f (\text{rev } w)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas rev-map-arg-rev[reversal-rule] = rev-map-arg[reversed add: rev-rev-ident]

lemma rev-map-sing: $\text{rev-map } f [a] = \text{rev} (f [a])$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma rev-maps-eq-iff[simp]: $\text{rev-map } g = \text{rev-map } h \longleftrightarrow g = h$
 ⟨proof⟩

```
lemma rev-map-funpow[reversal-rule]: (rev-map (f::'a list  $\Rightarrow$ 'a list))  $\wedge\wedge k = \text{rev-map}
(f  $\wedge\wedge k)$ 
⟨proof⟩$ 
```

2.25 Overlapping powers, periods, prefixes and suffixes

```
lemma pref-suf-overlapE: assumes  $p \leq_p w$  and  $s \leq_s w$  and  $|w| \leq |p| + |s|$ 
obtains  $p1 \cdot u \cdot s1$  where  $p1 \cdot u \cdot s1 = w$  and  $p1 \cdot u = p$  and  $u \cdot s1 = s$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mid-sq: assumes  $p \cdot x \cdot q = x \cdot x$  shows  $x \cdot p = p \cdot x$  and  $x \cdot q = q \cdot x$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mid-sq': assumes  $p \cdot x \cdot q = x \cdot x$  shows  $q \cdot p = x$  and  $p \cdot q = x$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mid-sq-pref:  $p \cdot u \leq_p u \cdot u \implies p \cdot u = u \cdot p$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas mid-sq-suf = mid-sq-pref[reversed]
```

```
lemma mid-sq-pref-suf: assumes  $p \cdot x \cdot q = x \cdot x$  shows  $p \leq_p x$  and  $p \leq_s x$  and  $q \leq_p$ 
 $x$  and  $q \leq_s x$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mid-pow: assumes  $p \cdot x^{\otimes}(\text{Suc } l) \cdot q = x^{\otimes}k$ 
shows  $x \cdot p = p \cdot x$  and  $x \cdot q = q \cdot x$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma root-suf-comm: assumes  $x \leq_p r \cdot x$  and  $r \leq_s r \cdot x$  shows  $r \cdot x = x \cdot r$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pref-marker: assumes  $w \leq_p v \cdot w$  and  $u \cdot v \leq_p w$ 
shows  $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pref-marker-ext: assumes  $|x| \leq |y|$  and  $v \neq \varepsilon$  and  $y \cdot v \leq_p x \cdot v^{\otimes}k$ 
obtains  $n$  where  $y = x \cdot (\varrho v)^{\otimes}n$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pref-marker-sq:  $p \cdot x \leq_p x \cdot x \implies p \cdot x = x \cdot p$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas suf-marker-sq = pref-marker-sq[reversed]
```

```
lemma pref-marker-conjug: assumes  $w \neq \varepsilon$  and  $w \cdot r \cdot s \leq_p s \cdot (r \cdot s)^{\otimes}m$  and
```

primitive ($r \cdot s$)

obtains n **where** $w = s \cdot (r \cdot s)^{\circledast} n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $pref\text{-marker}\text{-reversed} = pref\text{-marker}[reversed]$

lemma $suf\text{-marker}\text{-per}\text{-root}$: **assumes** $w \leq_p v \cdot w$ **and** $p \cdot v \cdot u \leq_p w$

shows $u \leq_p v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $suf\text{-marker}\text{-per}\text{-root}'$: **assumes** $w \leq_p v \cdot w$ **and** $p \cdot v \cdot u \leq_p w$ **and** $v \neq \varepsilon$

shows $u \leq_p p \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $marker\text{-fac}\text{-pref}$: **assumes** $u \leq_f r^{\circledast} k$ **and** $r \leq_p u$ **shows** $u \leq_p r^{\circledast} k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $marker\text{-fac}\text{-pref}\text{-len}$: **assumes** $u \leq_f r^{\circledast} k$ **and** $t \leq_p u$ **and** $|t| = |r|$

shows $u \leq_p t^{\circledast} k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $root\text{-suf}\text{-comm}'$: $x \leq_p r \cdot x \implies r \leq_s x \implies r \cdot x = x \cdot r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $suf\text{-root}\text{-pref}\text{-comm} = root\text{-suf}\text{-comm}'[reversed]$

lemma $marker\text{-pref}\text{-suf}\text{-fac}$: **assumes** $u \leq_p v$ **and** $u \leq_s v$ **and** $v \leq_f u^{\circledast} k$

shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pref\text{-suf}\text{-per}\text{-fac}\text{-comm}$:

assumes $v \leq_p u \cdot v$ **and** $v \leq_s v \cdot u$ **and** $u \leq_f v^{\circledast} k$ **shows** $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mid\text{-long}\text{-pow}$: **assumes** $eq: y^{\circledast} m = u \cdot x^{\circledast} (\text{Suc } k) \cdot v$ **and** $|y| \leq |x^{\circledast} k|$

shows $(u \cdot v) \cdot y = y \cdot (u \cdot v)$ **and** $(u \cdot x^{\circledast} l \cdot v) \cdot y = y \cdot (u \cdot x^{\circledast} l \cdot v)$ **and**

$(u^{-1} > (y \cdot u)) \cdot x = x \cdot (u^{-1} > (y \cdot u))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mid\text{-pow}\text{-pref}\text{-suf}'$: **assumes** $s \cdot w^{\circledast} (\text{Suc } l) \cdot p \leq_f w^{\circledast} k$ **shows** $p \leq_p w^{\circledast} k$ **and** $s \leq_s w^{\circledast} k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mid\text{-pow}\text{-pref}\text{-suf}$: **assumes** $s \cdot w \cdot p \leq_f w^{\circledast} k$ **shows** $p \leq_p w^{\circledast} k$ **and** $s \leq_s w^{\circledast} k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fac\text{-marker}\text{-pref}$: $y \cdot x \leq_f y^{\circledast} k \implies x \leq_p y \cdot x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemmas fac-marker-suf = fac-marker-pref[reversed]

lemma prim-overlap-sqE [consumes 2]:
  assumes prim: primitive r and eq: p · r · q = r · r
  obtains (pref-emp) p = ε | (suff-emp) q = ε
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-overlap-sqE' [consumes 2]:
  assumes prim: primitive r and eq: p · r · q = r · r
  obtains (pref-emp) p = ε | (suff-emp) p = r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-overlap-sq:
  assumes prim: primitive r and eq: p · r · q = r · r
  shows p = ε ∨ q = ε
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-overlap-sq':
  assumes prim: primitive r and pref: p · r ≤p r · r and len: |p| < |r|
  shows p = ε
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-overlap-pow:
  assumes prim: primitive r and pref: u · r ≤p r⊗k
  obtains i where u = r⊗i and i < k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-overlap-pow':
  assumes prim: primitive r and pref: u · r ≤p r⊗k and less: |u| < |r|
  shows u = ε
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-sqs-overlap:
  assumes prim: primitive r and comp: u · r · r ⋙ v · r · r
  and len-u: |u| < |v| + |r| and len-v: |v| < |u| + |r|
  shows u = v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drop-pref-prim: assumes Suc n < |w| and w ≤p drop (Suc n) (w · w)
and primitive w
  shows False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma root-suf-conjug: assumes primitive (s · r) and y ≤p (s · r) · y and y ≤s
y · (r · s) and |s · r| ≤ |y|
  obtains l where y = (s · r)⊗l · s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *pref-suf-pows-comm*: **assumes** $x \leq_p y @ (Suc k) \cdot x @ l$ **and** $y \leq_s y @ m \cdot x @ (Suc n)$
shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-suf-pow-comm*: **assumes** $x \leq_p r \cdot x$ **and** $r \leq_s x @ (Suc k)$ **shows** $r \cdot x = x \cdot r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *suf-pow-short-suf*: $r \leq_s x @ k \implies |x| \leq |r| \implies x \leq_s r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *suf-pow-short-suf[reversed]*

lemma *sq-short-per*: **assumes** $|u| \leq |v|$ **and** $v \cdot v \leq_p u \cdot (v \cdot v)$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-marker*: **assumes** $w \leq_p u \cdot w$ **and** $u \cdot v \cdot u \leq_f w$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *4 = Suc(Suc(Suc(0)))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *xyxy-conj-yxxy*: **assumes** $x \cdot y \cdot x \cdot y \sim y \cdot x \cdot x \cdot y$
shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-glue*: **assumes** *period u n* **and** *period v n* **and** $u \leq_p w$ **and** $v \leq_s w$ **and**
 $|w| + n \leq |u| + |v|$
shows *period w n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-glue-facs*: **assumes** $u \cdot z \leq_f w @ k$ **and** $z \cdot v \leq_f w @ m$ **and** $|w| \leq |z|$
obtains l **where** $u \cdot z \cdot v \leq_f w @ l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-fac-pow-fac*: **assumes** *period w n* **and** $v \leq_f w$ **and** $|v| = n$
obtains k **where** $w \leq_f v @ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *refine-per*: **assumes** *period w n* **and** $v \leq_f w$ **and** $n \leq |v|$ **and** *period v k* **and** $k \text{ dvd } n$
shows *period w k*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *xy-per-comp*: **assumes** $x \cdot y \leq_p q \cdot x \cdot y$

and $q \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $q \bowtie y$

shows $x \bowtie y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-xyxxyy*: $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x \implies \text{primitive}(x \cdot y \cdot x \cdot y \cdot y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-min-per-suf-eq*: **assumes** primitive x **and** $\pi x \leq_s x$ **shows** $\pi x = x$

lemma *primroot-code[code]*: $\varrho x = (\text{if } x \neq \varepsilon \text{ then } (\text{if } \pi x \leq_s x \text{ then } \pi x \text{ else } x) \text{ else Code.abort (STR "Empty word has no primitive root.")})$ ($\lambda x. (\varrho x))$

lemma *per-lemma-pref-suf*: **assumes** $w <_p p \cdot w$ **and** $w <_s w \cdot q$ **and**

$fw: |p| + |q| \leq |w|$

obtains $r s k l m$ **where** $p = (r \cdot s)^@k$ **and** $q = (s \cdot r)^@l$ **and** $w = (r \cdot s)^@m \cdot r$ **and** primitive $(r \cdot s)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-two-conjug-primroot*:

assumes $facs: w \leq_f p^@k w \leq_f q^@l$ **and** $nemps: p \neq \varepsilon q \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $len: |p| + |q| \leq |w|$

obtains $r s m$ **where** $\varrho p \sim r \cdot s$ **and** $\varrho q \sim r \cdot s$ **and** $w = (r \cdot s)^@m \cdot r$ **and** primitive $(r \cdot s)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *fac-two-conjug-primroot'*:

assumes $facs: u \leq_f r^@k u \leq_f s^@l$ **and** $nemps: r \neq \varepsilon s \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $len: |r| + |s| \leq |u|$

shows $\varrho r \sim \varrho s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-two-conjug-primroot''*:

assumes $facs: u \leq_f r^@k u \leq_f s^@l$ **and** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $len: |r| + |s| \leq |u|$

shows $\varrho r \sim \varrho s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-two-prim-conjug*:

assumes $w \leq_f u^@n w \leq_f v^@m$ primitive u primitive v $|u| + |v| \leq |w|$

shows $u \sim v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-pow-conjug-primroot*: **assumes** $u^@k \leq_f v^@l$ **and** $|u^@k| \geq 2 * |v|$ **and** $2 \leq k$ **and** $u \neq \varepsilon$

shows $\varrho u \sim \varrho v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.26 Testing primitivity

This section defines a proof method used to prove that a word is primitive.

lemma *primitive-iff* [code]: *primitive w* $\longleftrightarrow \neg w \leq_f tl w \cdot butlast w
(proof)$

method *primitivity-inspection* = (*insert method-facts, use nothing in simp add: primitive-iff pow-pos*)

— This is out of scope of the method, and has to be proved separately
lemma *alternate-prim*: **assumes** $x \neq y$ **shows** *primitive ([x,y]@n.[x])*
(proof)

end

theory *Border-Array*

imports
CoWBasic

begin

2.26.1 Auxiliary lemmas on suffix and border extension

lemma *border-ConsD*: **assumes** $b\#x \leq b a\#w$
shows $a = b$ **and**
 $x \neq \varepsilon \implies x \leq b w$ **and**
border-ConsD-neq: $x \neq w$ **and**
border-ConsD-pref: $x \leq_p w$ **and**
border-ConsD-suf: $x \leq_s w$
(proof)

lemma *ext-suf-Cons*:
 $Suc i + |u| = |w| \implies u \leq_s w \implies (w!i)\#u \leq_s (w!i)\#w$
(proof)

lemma *ext-suf-Cons-take-drop*: **assumes** *take k (drop (Suc i) w) ≤s drop (Suc i) w and w ! i = w ! (|w| - Suc k)*
shows *take (Suc k) (drop i w) ≤s drop i w*
(proof)

```

lemma ext-border-Cons:
  Suc i + |u| = |w|  $\implies$  u  $\leq_b$  w  $\implies$  (w!i) # u  $\leq_b$  (w!i) # w
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma border-add-Cons-len: assumes max-borderP u w and v  $\leq_b$  (a#w) shows
  |v|  $\leq$  Suc |u|
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.27 Computing the Border Array

The computation is a special case of the Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm.

- KMP w arr bord pos
- w: processed word does not change; it is processed starting from the last letter
- pos: actually examined pos-th letter; that is, it is w!(pos-1)
- arr: already calculated suffix-border-array of w; that is, the length of array is (|w| - pos) and arr!(|w| - pos - bord) is the max border length of the suffix of w of length bord
- bord: length of the current max border length candidate to see whether it can be extended we compare: w!(pos-1) ?= w!(|w| - (Suc bord)); (Suc bord) is the length of the max border if the comparison is successful
- if the comparison fails we move to the max border of the suffix of length bord; its max border length is stored in arr!(|w| - pos - bord)
- if bord was 0 and the comparison failed, the word is unbordered

```

fun KMP-arr :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list
  where KMP-arr - arr - 0 = arr |
    KMP-arr w arr bord (Suc i) =
      (if w!i = w!(|w| - (Suc bord))
       then (Suc bord) # arr
       else (if bord = 0
              then 0#arr
              else (if (arr!(|w| - (Suc i) - bord)) < bord — always True, for sake
                    of termination
                      then arr
                      else undefined#arr — else: dummy termination condition
                )
          )
)

```

```

fun KMP-bord :: 'a list ⇒ nat list ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat
where   KMP-bord - - bord 0 = bord |
            KMP-bord w arr bord (Suc i) =
              (if w!i = w!(|w| - (Suc bord))
               then Suc bord
               else (if bord = 0
                     then 0
                     else (if (arr!(|w| - (Suc i)) - bord)) < bord — always True, for sake
                           of termination
                           then arr!(|w| - (Suc i)) - bord
                           else 0 — dummy termination condition
                     )
               )
            )

fun KMP-pos :: 'a list ⇒ nat list ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat
where
            KMP-pos - - - 0 = 0 |
            KMP-pos w arr bord (Suc i) =
              (if w!i = w!(|w| - (Suc bord))
               then i
               else (if bord = 0
                     then i
                     else (if (arr!(|w| - (Suc i)) - bord)) < bord — always True, for sake
                           of termination
                           then Suc i
                           else i — else: dummy termination condition
                     )
               )
            )

thm prod-cases
      nat.exhaust
      prod.exhaust
      prod-cases3

function KMP :: 'a list ⇒ nat list ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list where
  KMP w arr bord 0 = arr |
  KMP w arr bord (Suc i) = KMP w (KMP-arr w arr bord (Suc i)) (KMP-bord w
  arr bord (Suc i)) (KMP-pos w arr bord (Suc i))
  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma KMP-len: |KMP w arr bord pos| = |arr| + pos
  ⟨proof⟩

value[nbe] KMP [a] [0] 0 0

```

```

value KMP [ 0::nat] [0] 0 0
value KMP [5,4,5,3,5,5::nat] [0] 0 5
value KMP [5,4::nat,5,3,5,5] [1,0] 1 4
value KMP [0,1,1,0::nat,0,0,1,1,1] [0] 0 8
value KMP [0::nat,1] [0] 0 1

```

2.27.1 Verification of the computation

definition *KMP-valid* :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
where *KMP-valid* w arr bord pos = ($|arr| + pos = |w| \wedge$
 $\quad \quad \quad$ — bord is the length of a border of (drop pos w), or 0
 $\quad \quad \quad$ $pos + bord < |w| \wedge$
 $\quad \quad \quad$ take bord (drop pos w) $\leq p$ (drop pos w) \wedge
 $\quad \quad \quad$ take bord (drop pos w) $\leq s$ (drop pos w) \wedge
 $\quad \quad \quad$ — ... and no longer border can be extended
 $\quad \quad \quad$ $(\forall v. v \leq b w!(pos - 1) \# (drop pos w) \longrightarrow |v| \leq Suc$
 $bord) \wedge$
 $\quad \quad \quad$ — the array gives maximal border lengths of
corresponding suffixes
 $\quad \quad \quad$ $(\forall k < |arr|. max-borderP (take (arr!k)) (drop (pos +$
 $k) w)) (drop (pos + k) w))$
 $\quad \quad \quad$)

lemma *KMP-valid* w arr bord pos $\implies w \neq \varepsilon$
{proof}

lemma *KMP-valid-base*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** *KMP-valid* w [0] 0 ($|w|-1$)
{proof}

lemma *KMP-valid-step*: **assumes** *KMP-valid* w arr bord ($Suc i$)
shows *KMP-valid* w (*KMP-arr* w arr bord ($Suc i$)) (*KMP-bord* w arr bord (Suc
 i)) (*KMP-pos* w arr bord ($Suc i$))
{proof}

lemma *KMP-valid-max*: **assumes** *KMP-valid* w arr bord pos $k < |w|$
shows *max-borderP* (take ((*KMP* w arr bord pos)!k)) (drop k w)) (drop k w)
{proof}

2.28 Border array

```

fun border-array :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
  border-array  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$ 
  | border-array ( $a \# w$ ) = rev (KMP (rev ( $a \# w$ )) [0] 0 ( $|a \# w| - 1$ ))

```

lemma *border-array-len*: $|\text{border-array } w| = |w|$
{proof}

theorem *bord-array*: **assumes** $Suc k \leq |w|$ **shows** (*border-array* w)!k = $|\text{max-border}$


```
theory Submonoids
  imports CoWBasic
begin
```

Chapter 3

Submonoids of a free monoid

This chapter deals with properties of submonoids of a free monoid, that is, with monoids of words. See more in Chapter 1 of [4].

3.1 Hull

First, we define the hull of a set of words, that is, the monoid generated by them.

```
inductive-set hull :: 'a list set ⇒ 'a list set (⟨⟨-⟩⟩)
for G where
  emp-in[simp]: ε ∈ ⟨G⟩ |
  prod-cl: w1 ∈ G ⇒ w2 ∈ ⟨G⟩ ⇒ w1 · w2 ∈ ⟨G⟩

lemmas [intro] = hull.intros

lemma hull-closed[intro]: w1 ∈ ⟨G⟩ ⇒ w2 ∈ ⟨G⟩ ⇒ w1 · w2 ∈ ⟨G⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-in [intro]: w ∈ G ⇒ w ∈ ⟨G⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hull-induct: assumes x ∈ ⟨G⟩ P ε ∩ w. w ∈ G ⇒ P w
  ∩ w1 w2. w1 ∈ ⟨G⟩ ⇒ P w1 ⇒ w2 ∈ ⟨G⟩ ⇒ P w2 ⇒ P (w1 · w2) shows
  P x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma genset-sub[simp]: G ⊆ ⟨G⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma genset-sub-lists: ws ∈ lists G ⇒ ws ∈ lists ⟨G⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-lists-conv-set-subset: set ws ⊆ G ←→ ws ∈ lists G
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma concat-in-hull [intro]:
assumes set $ws \subseteq G$

shows concat $ws \in \langle G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma concat-in-hull' [intro]:

assumes $ws \in lists G$
shows concat $ws \in \langle G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hull-concat-lists0: $w \in \langle G \rangle \implies (\exists ws \in lists G. concat ws = w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hull-concat-listsE: assumes $w \in \langle G \rangle$
obtains ws where $ws \in lists G$ and concat $ws = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hull-concat-lists: $\langle G \rangle = concat ` lists G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma concat-tl: $x \# xs \in lists G \implies concat xs \in \langle G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nemp-concat-hull: assumes $us \neq \varepsilon$ and $us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\})$
shows concat $us \in \langle G \rangle$ and concat $us \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hull-mono: $A \subseteq B \implies \langle A \rangle \subseteq \langle B \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma emp-gen-set: $\langle \{\} \rangle = \{\varepsilon\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma concat-lists-minus[simp]: concat ` lists $(G - \{\varepsilon\}) = concat ` lists G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hull-drop-one: $\langle G - \{\varepsilon\} \rangle = \langle G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sing-gen-power: $u \in \langle \{x\} \rangle \implies \exists k. u = x^@k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sing-gen[intro]: $w \in \langle \{z\} \rangle \implies w \in z*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma pow-sing-gen[simp]: $x^@k \in \langle \{x\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *root-sing-gen*: $w \in z^* \implies w \in \langle\{z\}\rangle$
(proof)

lemma *sing-genE[elim]*:
assumes $u \in \langle\{x\}\rangle$
obtains k **where** $x @ k = u$
(proof)

lemma *sing-gen-root-conv*: $w \in \langle\{z\}\rangle \longleftrightarrow w \in z^*$
(proof)

lemma *lists-gen-to-hull*: $us \in \text{lists}(G - \{\varepsilon\}) \implies us \in \text{lists}(\langle G \rangle - \{\varepsilon\})$
(proof)

lemma *rev-hull*: $\text{rev}'\langle G \rangle = \langle \text{rev}'G \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *power-in[intro]*: $x \in \langle G \rangle \implies x @ k \in \langle G \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *hull-closed-lists*: $us \in \text{lists}\langle G \rangle \implies \text{concat } us \in \langle G \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *hull-I [intro]*:
 $\varepsilon \in H \implies (\bigwedge x y. x \in H \implies y \in H \implies x \cdot y \in H) \implies \langle H \rangle = H$
(proof)

lemma *self-gen*: $\langle\langle G \rangle\rangle = \langle G \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *hull-mono'[intro]*: $A \subseteq \langle B \rangle \implies \langle A \rangle \subseteq \langle B \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *hull-conjug [elim]*: $w \in \langle\{r \cdot s, s \cdot r\}\rangle \implies w \in \langle\{r, s\}\rangle$
(proof)

Intersection of hulls is a hull.

lemma *hulls-inter*: $\langle \bigcap \{\langle G \rangle \mid G. G \in S\} \rangle = \bigcap \{\langle G \rangle \mid G. G \in S\}$
(proof)

lemma *hull-keeps-root*: $\forall u \in A. u \in r^* \implies w \in \langle A \rangle \implies w \in r^*$
(proof)

lemma *bin-hull-keeps-root [intro]*: $u \in r^* \implies v \in r^* \implies w \in \langle\{u, v\}\rangle \implies w \in r^*$
(proof)

lemma *bin-comm-hull-comm*: $x \cdot y = y \cdot x \implies u \in \langle\{x, y\}\rangle \implies v \in \langle\{x, y\}\rangle \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma[reversal-rule]: $rev ` \langle \{rev u, rev v\} \rangle = \langle \{u, v\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma[reversal-rule]: $rev w \in \langle rev ` G \rangle \equiv w \in \langle G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 Factorization into generators

We define a decomposition (or a factorization) of a word into elements of a given generating set. Such a decomposition is well defined only if the decomposed word is an element of the hull. Even in that case, however, the decomposition need not be unique.

definition $decompose :: 'a list set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list list$ ($\langle Dec - \rangle [55, 55] 56$)
where

$decompose G u = (SOME us. us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\}) \wedge concat us = u)$

lemma $dec-ex$: **assumes** $u \in \langle G \rangle$ **shows** $\exists us. (us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\}) \wedge concat us = u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dec-in-lists'$: $u \in \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow (Dec G u) \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $concat-dec[simp, intro]$: $u \in \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow concat (Dec G u) = u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dec-emp$ [$simp$]: $Dec G \varepsilon = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dec-nemp$: $u \in \langle G \rangle - \{\varepsilon\} \Rightarrow Dec G u \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dec-nemp'[simp, intro]$: $u \neq \varepsilon \Rightarrow u \in \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow Dec G u \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dec-eq-emp-iff$ [$simp$]: **assumes** $u \in \langle G \rangle$ **shows** $Dec G u = \varepsilon \longleftrightarrow u = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dec-in-lists$ [$simp$]: $u \in \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow Dec G u \in lists G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $set-dec-sub$: $x \in \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow set (Dec G x) \subseteq G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dec-hd$: $u \neq \varepsilon \Rightarrow u \in \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow hd (Dec G u) \in G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *non-gen-dec*: **assumes** $u \in \langle G \rangle$ $u \notin G$ **shows** $\text{Dec } G u \neq [u]$
(proof)

3.2.1 Refinement into a specific decomposition

We extend the decomposition to lists of words. This can be seen as a refinement of a previous decomposition of some word.

definition *refine* :: '*a list set* \Rightarrow '*a list list* \Rightarrow '*a list list* (*<Ref - ->* [51,51] 65)
where

$$\text{refine } G us = \text{concat}(\text{map}(\text{decompose } G) us)$$

lemma *ref-morph*: $us \in \text{lists } \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow vs \in \text{lists } \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow \text{refine } G (us \cdot vs) = \text{refine } G us \cdot \text{refine } G vs$
(proof)

lemma *ref-conjug*:
 $u \sim v \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } G u) \sim \text{Ref } G v$
(proof)

lemma *ref-morph-plus*: $us \in \text{lists } (\langle G \rangle - \{\varepsilon\}) \Rightarrow vs \in \text{lists } (\langle G \rangle - \{\varepsilon\}) \Rightarrow \text{refine } G (us \cdot vs) = \text{refine } G us \cdot \text{refine } G vs$
(proof)

lemma *ref-pref-mono*: $ws \in \text{lists } \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow us \leq_p ws \Rightarrow \text{Ref } G us \leq_p \text{Ref } G ws$
(proof)

lemma *ref-suf-mono*: $ws \in \text{lists } \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow us \leq_s ws \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } G us) \leq_s \text{Ref } G ws$
(proof)

lemma *ref-fac-mono*: $ws \in \text{lists } \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow us \leq_f ws \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } G us) \leq_f \text{Ref } G ws$
(proof)

lemma *ref-pop-hd*: $us \neq \varepsilon \Rightarrow us \in \text{lists } \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow \text{refine } G us = \text{decompose } G (\text{hd } us) \cdot \text{refine } G (\text{tl } us)$
(proof)

lemma *ref-in*: $us \in \text{lists } \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } G us) \in \text{lists } (G - \{\varepsilon\})$
(proof)

lemma *ref-in'[intro]*: $us \in \text{lists } \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow (\text{Ref } G us) \in \text{lists } G$
(proof)

lemma *concat-ref*: $us \in \text{lists } \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow \text{concat } (\text{Ref } G us) = \text{concat } us$
(proof)

lemma *ref-gen*: $us \in \text{lists } B \Rightarrow B \subseteq \langle G \rangle \Rightarrow \text{Ref } G us \in \langle \text{decompose } G \cdot B \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *ref-set*: $ws \in lists \langle G \rangle \implies set(Ref G ws) = \bigcup (set('decompose G) 'set ws)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emp-ref*: **assumes** $us \in lists (\langle G \rangle - \{\varepsilon\})$ **and** $Ref G us = \varepsilon$ **shows** $us = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-ref-sing*:
assumes $us \in lists (\langle G \rangle - \{\varepsilon\})$ **and** $refine G us = [b]$
shows $us = [b]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ref-ex*: **assumes** $Q \subseteq \langle G \rangle$ **and** $us \in lists Q$
shows $Ref G us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\})$ **and** $concat(Ref G us) = concat us$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.3 Basis

An important property of monoids of words is that they have a unique minimal generating set. Which is the set consisting of indecomposable elements.

The simple element is defined as a word which has only trivial decomposition into generators: a singleton.

definition *simple-element* :: '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list set* \Rightarrow *bool* ($\cdot \in B \dashv \wedge [51,51] 50$)
where
 $simple-element b G = (b \in G \wedge (\forall us. us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\}) \wedge concat us = b \rightarrow |us| = 1))$

lemma *simp-el-el*: $b \in B G \implies b \in G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *simp-elD*: $b \in B G \implies us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\}) \implies concat us = b \implies |us| = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *simp-el-sing*: **assumes** $b \in B G$ $us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\})$ $concat us = b$ **shows**
 $us = [b]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nonsimp*: $us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\}) \implies concat us \in B G \implies us = [concat us]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emp-nonsimp*: **assumes** $b \in B G$ **shows** $b \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *basis-no-fact*: **assumes** $u \in \langle G \rangle$ **and** $v \in \langle G \rangle$ **and** $u \cdot v \in B G$ **shows** $u = \varepsilon \vee v = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *simp-elI*:
assumes $b \in G$ **and** $b \neq \varepsilon$ **and** *all*: $\forall u v. u \neq \varepsilon \wedge u \in \langle G \rangle \wedge v \neq \varepsilon \wedge v \in \langle G \rangle \rightarrow u \cdot v \neq b$
shows $b \in B G$
(proof)

lemma *simp-el-indecomp*:
assumes $b \in B G$ $u \neq \varepsilon$ $u \in \langle G \rangle$ $v \neq \varepsilon$ $v \in \langle G \rangle$
shows $u \cdot v \neq b$
(proof)

We are ready to define the *basis* as the set of all simple elements.

definition *basis* :: 'a list set \Rightarrow 'a list set ($\langle \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow [51] \rangle$) **where**
basis $G = \{x. x \in B G\}$

lemma *basis-inI*: $x \in B G \implies x \in \mathfrak{B} G$
(proof)

lemma *basisD*: $x \in \mathfrak{B} G \implies x \in B G$
(proof)

lemma *emp-not-basis*: $x \in \mathfrak{B} G \implies x \neq \varepsilon$
(proof)

lemma *basis-sub*: $\mathfrak{B} G \subseteq G$
(proof)

lemma *basis-drop-emp*: $(\mathfrak{B} G) - \{\varepsilon\} = \mathfrak{B} G$
(proof)

lemma *simp-el-hull'*: **assumes** $b \in B \langle G \rangle$ **shows** $b \in B G$
(proof)

lemma *simp-el-hull*: **assumes** $b \in B G$ **shows** $b \in B \langle G \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *concat-tl-basis*: $x \# xs \in lists \mathfrak{B} G \implies concat xs \in \langle G \rangle$
(proof)

The basis generates the hull

lemma *set-concat-len*: **assumes** $us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\})$ $1 < |us|$ $u \in set us$ **shows**
 $|u| < |concat us|$
(proof)

lemma *non-simp-dec*: **assumes** $w \notin \mathfrak{B} G$ $w \neq \varepsilon$ $w \in G$
obtains us **where** $us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\})$ $1 < |us|$ $concat us = w$
(proof)

lemma *basis-gen*: $w \in G \implies w \in \langle \mathfrak{B} G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *basis-concat-listsE* = *hull-concat-listsE*[OF *basis-gen*]

theorem *basis-gen-hull*: $\langle \mathfrak{B} G \rangle = \langle G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *basis-gen-hull'*: $\langle \mathfrak{B} \langle G \rangle \rangle = \langle G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *basis-of-hull*: $\mathfrak{B} \langle G \rangle = \mathfrak{B} G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *basis-hull-sub*: $\mathfrak{B} \langle G \rangle \subseteq G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The basis is the smallest generating set.

theorem *basis-sub-gen*: $\langle S \rangle = \langle G \rangle \implies \mathfrak{B} G \subseteq S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *basis-min-gen*: $S \subseteq \mathfrak{B} G \implies \langle S \rangle = G \implies S = \mathfrak{B} G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *basisI*: $(\bigwedge B. \langle B \rangle = \langle C \rangle \implies C \subseteq B) \implies \mathfrak{B} \langle C \rangle = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *basis-inI*

An arbitrary set between basis and the hull is generating...

lemma *gen-sets*: **assumes** $\mathfrak{B} G \subseteq S$ **and** $S \subseteq \langle G \rangle$ **shows** $\langle S \rangle = \langle G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

... and has the same basis

lemma *basis-sets*: $\mathfrak{B} G \subseteq S \implies S \subseteq \langle G \rangle \implies \mathfrak{B} G = \mathfrak{B} S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Any nonempty composed element has a decomposition into basis elements with many useful properties

lemma *non-simp-fac*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $w \in \langle G \rangle$ **and** $w \notin \mathfrak{B} G$
obtains *us* **where** $1 < |us|$ **and** $us \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $us \in \text{lists } \mathfrak{B} G$ **and**
 $hd us \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $hd us \in \langle G \rangle$ **and**
 $concat(tl us) \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $concat(tl us) \in \langle G \rangle$ **and**
 $w = hd us \cdot concat(tl us)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *basis-dec*: $p \in \langle G \rangle \implies s \in \langle G \rangle \implies p \cdot s \in \mathfrak{B} G \implies p = \varepsilon \vee s = \varepsilon$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *non-simp-fac'*: $w \notin \mathfrak{B} G \implies w \neq \varepsilon \implies w \in \langle G \rangle \implies \exists us. us \in lists (G - \{\varepsilon\}) \wedge w = concat us \wedge |us| \neq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emp-gen-iff*: $(G - \{\varepsilon\}) = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \langle G \rangle = \{\varepsilon\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emp-basis-iff*: $\mathfrak{B} G = \{\} \longleftrightarrow G - \{\varepsilon\} = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.4 Code

```

locale nemp-words =
  fixes G
  assumes emp-not-in:  $\varepsilon \notin G$ 

begin
lemma drop-empD:  $G - \{\varepsilon\} = G$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas emp-concat-emp' = emp-concat-emp[of - G, unfolded drop-empD]

thm disjE[OF ruler[OF take-is-prefix take-is-prefix]]

lemma concat-take-mono: assumes ws  $\in lists G$  and concat (take i ws)  $\leq_p$  concat (take j ws)
  shows take i ws  $\leq_p$  take j ws
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nemp:  $x \in G \implies x \neq \varepsilon$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-concat-eq-emp-iff [simp]:  $us \in lists G \implies concat us = \varepsilon \longleftrightarrow us = \varepsilon$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma root-dec-inj-on: inj-on ( $\lambda x. [\varrho x]^@ (e_\varrho x)$ ) G
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma concat-root-dec-eq-concat:
  assumes ws  $\in lists G$ 
  shows concat (concat (map ( $\lambda x. [\varrho x]^@ (e_\varrho x)$ ) ws)) = concat ws
  (is concat(concat (map ?R ws)) = concat ws)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

A basis freely generating its hull is called a *code*. By definition, this means that generated elements have unique factorizations into the elements of the code.

```

locale code =
  fixes  $\mathcal{C}$ 
  assumes is-code:  $xs \in lists \mathcal{C} \implies ys \in lists \mathcal{C} \implies concat xs = concat ys \implies xs = ys$ 
  begin

lemma code-not-comm:  $x \in \mathcal{C} \implies y \in \mathcal{C} \implies x \neq y \implies x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma emp-not-in:  $\varepsilon \notin \mathcal{C}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nemp:  $u \in \mathcal{C} \implies u \neq \varepsilon$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale nemp-words  $\mathcal{C}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-simple:  $c \in \mathcal{C} \implies c \in B \mathcal{C}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-is-basis:  $\mathfrak{B} \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-unique-dec':  $us \in lists \mathcal{C} \implies Dec \mathcal{C} (concat us) = us$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-unique-dec [intro!]:  $us \in lists \mathcal{C} \implies concat us = u \implies Dec \mathcal{C} u = us$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma triv-refine[intro!]:  $us \in lists \mathcal{C} \implies concat us = u \implies Ref \mathcal{C} [u] = us$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-unique-ref:  $us \in lists \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \implies refine \mathcal{C} us = decompose \mathcal{C} (concat us)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma refI [intro]:  $us \in lists \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \implies vs \in lists \mathcal{C} \implies concat vs = concat us \implies Ref \mathcal{C} us = vs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-dec-morph: assumes  $x \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$   $y \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ 
  shows  $(Dec \mathcal{C} x) \cdot (Dec \mathcal{C} y) = Dec \mathcal{C} (x \cdot y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dec-pow:  $rs \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \implies Dec \mathcal{C} (rs^{\otimes k}) = (Dec \mathcal{C} rs)^{\otimes k}$ 
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *code-el-dec*: $c \in \mathcal{C} \implies \text{decompose } \mathcal{C} c = [c]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *code-ref-list*: $us \in \text{lists } \mathcal{C} \implies \text{refine } \mathcal{C} us = us$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *code-ref-gen*: **assumes** $G \subseteq \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ $u \in \langle G \rangle$
shows $\text{Dec } \mathcal{C} u \in \langle \text{decompose } \mathcal{C} ` G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

find-theorems $\varrho ?x @ ?k = ?x 0 < ?k$

lemma *code-rev-code*: $\text{code} (\text{rev} ` \mathcal{C})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dec-rev* [*simp, reversal-rule*]:
 $u \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \implies \text{Dec rev} ` \mathcal{C} (\text{rev } u) = \text{rev} (\text{map rev} (\text{Dec } \mathcal{C} u))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *elem-comm-sing-set*: **assumes** $ws \in \text{lists } \mathcal{C}$ **and** $ws \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $u \in \mathcal{C}$ **and**
 $\text{concat } ws \cdot u = u \cdot \text{concat } ws$
shows $\text{set } ws = \{u\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pure-code-pres-prim*: **assumes** *pure*: $\forall u \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$. $\varrho u \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ **and**
 $w \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ **and** *primitive* ($\text{Dec } \mathcal{C} w$)
shows *primitive* w
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-on-dec*: $\text{inj-on} (\text{decompose } \mathcal{C}) \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — end context code

lemma *emp-is-code*: $\text{code} \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *code-induct-hd*: **assumes** $\varepsilon \notin C$ **and**
 $\bigwedge xs ys. xs \in \text{lists } \mathcal{C} \implies ys \in \text{lists } \mathcal{C} \implies \text{concat } xs = \text{concat } ys \implies \text{hd } xs = \text{hd } ys$
shows $\text{code } C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ref-set-primroot*: **assumes** $ws \in \text{lists } (G - \{\varepsilon\})$ **and** $\text{code} (\varrho ` G)$
shows $\text{set} (\text{Ref } \varrho ` G ws) = \varrho ` (\text{set } ws)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.5 Prefix code

```

locale pref-code =
  fixes  $\mathcal{C}$ 
  assumes
    emp-not-in:  $\varepsilon \notin \mathcal{C}$  and
    pref-free:  $u \in \mathcal{C} \implies v \in \mathcal{C} \implies u \leq p v \implies u = v$ 

begin

lemma nemp:  $u \in \mathcal{C} \implies u \neq \varepsilon$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma concat-pref-concat:
  assumes us  $\in$  lists  $\mathcal{C}$  vs  $\in$  lists  $\mathcal{C}$  concat us  $\leq p$  concat vs
  shows us  $\leq p$  vs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma concat-pref-concat-conv:
  assumes us  $\in$  lists  $\mathcal{C}$  vs  $\in$  lists  $\mathcal{C}$ 
  shows concat us  $\leq p$  concat vs  $\longleftrightarrow$  us  $\leq p$  vs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale code
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas is-code = is-code and
  code = code-axioms

lemma dec-pref-unique:
  w  $\in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \implies p \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \implies p \leq p w \implies \text{Dec } \mathcal{C} p \leq p \text{ Dec } \mathcal{C} w$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

3.5.1 Suffix code

```

locale suf-code = pref-code (rev `  $\mathcal{C}$ ) for  $\mathcal{C}$ 
begin

thm dec-rev
  code

sublocale code
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas concat-suf-concat = concat-pref-concat[reversed] and
  concat-suf-concat-conv = concat-pref-concat-conv[reversed] and
  nemp = nemp[reversed] and
  suf-free = pref-free[reversed] and

```

```
dec-suf-unique = dec-pref-unique[reversed]
```

```
thm is-code
  code-axioms
  code
end
```

3.6 Marked code

```
locale marked-code =
  fixes C
  assumes
    emp-not-in:  $\varepsilon \notin C$  and
    marked:  $u \in C \Rightarrow v \in C \Rightarrow \text{hd } u = \text{hd } v \Rightarrow u = v$ 

begin

lemma nemp:  $u \in C \Rightarrow u \neq \varepsilon$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale pref-code
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma marked-concat-lcp:  $us \in \text{lists } C \Rightarrow vs \in \text{lists } C \Rightarrow \text{concat } (us \wedge_p vs) =$ 
   $(\text{concat } us) \wedge_p (\text{concat } vs)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hd-concat-hd: assumes xs ∈ lists C and ys ∈ lists C and xs ≠ ε and ys
  ≠ ε and
  hd (concat xs) = hd (concat ys)
  shows hd xs = hd ys
  ⟨proof⟩

end
```

3.7 Non-overlapping code

```
locale non-overlapping =
  fixes C
  assumes
    emp-not-in:  $\varepsilon \notin C$  and
    no-overlap:  $u \in C \Rightarrow v \in C \Rightarrow z \leq_p u \Rightarrow z \leq_s v \Rightarrow z \neq \varepsilon \Rightarrow u = v$  and
    no-fac:  $u \in C \Rightarrow v \in C \Rightarrow u \leq_f v \Rightarrow u = v$ 

begin

lemma nemp:  $u \in C \Rightarrow u \neq \varepsilon$ 
```

```

⟨proof⟩

sublocale pref-code
⟨proof⟩

lemma rev-non-overlapping: non-overlapping (rev `C)
⟨proof⟩

sublocale suf: suf-code C
⟨proof⟩

lemma overlap-concat-last: assumes u ∈ C and vs ∈ lists C and vs ≠ ε and
r ≠ ε and r ≤p u and r ≤s p · concat vs
shows u = last vs
⟨proof⟩

lemma overlap-concat-hd: assumes u ∈ C and vs ∈ lists C and vs ≠ ε and r ≠
ε and r ≤s u and r ≤p concat vs · s
shows u = hd vs
⟨proof⟩

lemma fac-concat-fac:
assumes us ∈ lists C vs ∈ lists C
and 1 < card (set us)
and concat vs = p · concat us · s
obtains ps ss where concat ps = p and concat ss = s and ps · us · ss = vs
⟨proof⟩

theorem prim-morph:
assumes ws ∈ lists C
and |ws| ≠ 1
and primitive ws
shows primitive (concat ws)
⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-concat-conv:
assumes ws ∈ lists C
and |ws| ≠ 1
shows primitive (concat ws) ←→ primitive ws
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in code) code-roots-non-overlapping: non-overlapping ((λ x. [ρ x]@(e_ρ x))
`C)
⟨proof⟩

theorem (in code) roots-prim-morph:
assumes ws ∈ lists C

```

```

and  $|ws| \neq 1$ 
and primitive ws
shows primitive (concat (map ( $\lambda x. [\varrho x]^{\circledR} (e_{\varrho} x)) ws)$ )
  (is primitive (concat (map ?R ws)))
{proof}

```

3.8 Binary code

We pay a special attention to two element codes. In particular, we show that two words form a code if and only if they do not commute. This means that two words either commute, or do not satisfy any nontrivial relation.

```

definition bin-lcp where bin-lcp x y = x · y  $\wedge_p$  y · x
definition bin-lcs where bin-lcs x y = x · y  $\wedge_s$  y · x

```

```

definition bin-mismatch where bin-mismatch x y = (x · y)  $\neq$  |bin-lcp x y|
definition bin-mismatch-suf where bin-mismatch-suf x y = bin-mismatch (rev y) (rev x)

```

```
value[nbe] [0::nat,1,0]!3
```

```

lemma bin-lcs-rev: bin-lcs x y = rev (bin-lcp (rev x) (rev y))
{proof}

```

```

lemma bin-lcp-sym: bin-lcp x y = bin-lcp y x
{proof}

```

```

lemma bin-mismatch-comm: (bin-mismatch x y = bin-mismatch y x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (x · y
= y · x)
{proof}

```

```

lemma bin-lcp-pref-fst-snd: bin-lcp x y  $\leq_p$  x · y
{proof}

```

```

lemma bin-lcp-pref-snd-fst: bin-lcp x y  $\leq_p$  y · x
{proof}

```

```

lemma bin-lcp-bin-lcs [reversal-rule]: bin-lcp (rev x) (rev y) = rev (bin-lcs x y)
{proof}

```

```
lemmas bin-lcs-sym = bin-lcp-sym[reversed]
```

```

lemma bin-lcp-len: x · y  $\neq$  y · x  $\implies$  |bin-lcp x y| < |x · y|
{proof}

```

```
lemmas bin-lcs-len = bin-lcp-len[reversed]
```

```

lemma bin-mismatch-pref-suf' [reversal-rule]:
  bin-mismatch (rev y) (rev x) = bin-mismatch-suf x y

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.8.1 Binary code locale

```
locale binary-code =
  fixes u0 u1
  assumes non-comm: u0 · u1 ≠ u1 · u0
```

```
begin
```

A crucial property of two element codes is the constant decoding delay given by the word α , which is a prefix of any generating word (sufficiently long), while the letter immediately after this common prefix indicates the first element of the decomposition.

```
definition uu where uu a = (if a then u0 else u1)
```

```
lemma bin-code-set-bool: {uu a, uu (¬ a)} = {u0, u1}
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-code-set-bool': {uu a, uu (¬ a)} = {u1, u0}
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-code-swap: binary-code u1 u0
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-code-bool: binary-code (uu a) (uu (¬ a))
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-code-neq: u0 ≠ u1
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-code-neq-bool: uu a ≠ uu (¬ a)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-fst-nemp: u0 ≠ ε and bin-snd-nemp: u1 ≠ ε and bin-nemp-bool: uu a
```

$\neq \varepsilon$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-not-comp: ¬ u0 · u1 ⊲ u1 · u0
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-not-comp-bool: ¬ (uu a · uu (¬ a) ⊲ uu (¬ a) · uu a)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-not-comp-suf: ¬ u0 · u1 ⊲s u1 · u0
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma bin-not-comp-suf-bool: ¬ (uu a · uu (¬ a) ⊲s uu (¬ a) · uu a)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-mismatch-neq*: *bin-mismatch* $u_0 \ u_1 \neq \text{bin-mismatch} \ u_1 \ u_0$
(proof)

abbreviation *bin-code-lcp* ($\langle \alpha \rangle$) **where** *bin-code-lcp* $\equiv \text{bin-lcp} \ u_0 \ u_1$
abbreviation *bin-code-lcs* **where** *bin-code-lcs* $\equiv \text{bin-lcs} \ u_0 \ u_1$
abbreviation *bin-code-mismatch-fst* ($\langle c_0 \rangle$) **where** *bin-code-mismatch-fst* $\equiv \text{bin-mismatch}$
 $u_0 \ u_1$
abbreviation *bin-code-mismatch-snd* ($\langle c_1 \rangle$) **where** *bin-code-mismatch-snd* $\equiv \text{bin-mismatch}$
 $u_1 \ u_0$

definition *cc* **where** *cc a* = (*if a then c₀ else c₁*)

lemmas *bin-lcp-swap* = *bin-lcp-sym*[*of u₀ u₁, symmetric*] **and**
bin-lcp-pref = *bin-lcp-pref-fst-snd*[*of u₀ u₁*] **and**
bin-lcp-pref' = *bin-lcp-pref-snd-fst*[*of u₀ u₁*] **and**
bin-lcp-short = *bin-lcp-len*[*OF non-comm, unfolded lenmorph*]

lemmas *bin-code-simps* = *cc-def uu-def if-True if-False bool-simps*

lemma *bin-lcp-bool*: *bin-lcp* (*uu a*) (*uu (¬ a)*) = *bin-code-lcp*
(proof)

lemma *bin-lcp-spref*: $\alpha < p \ u_0 \cdot u_1$
(proof)

lemma *bin-lcp-spref'*: $\alpha < p \ u_1 \cdot u_0$
(proof)

lemma *bin-lcp-spref-bool*: $\alpha < p \ uu \ a \cdot uu \ (\neg a)$
(proof)

lemma *bin-mismatch-bool'*: $\alpha \cdot [cc \ a] \leq p \ uu \ a \cdot uu \ (\neg a)$
(proof)

lemma *bin-mismatch-bool*: $\alpha \cdot [cc \ a] \leq p \ uu \ a \cdot \alpha$
(proof)

lemmas *bin-fst-mismatch* = *bin-mismatch-bool*[*of True, unfolded bin-code-simps*]
and
bin-fst-mismatch' = *bin-mismatch-bool*'[*of True, unfolded bin-code-simps*] **and**
bin-snd-mismatch = *bin-mismatch-bool*[*of False, unfolded bin-code-simps*] **and**
bin-snd-mismatch' = *bin-mismatch-bool*'[*of False, unfolded bin-code-simps*]

lemma *bin-lcp-pref-all*: $xs \in \text{lists } \{u_0, u_1\} \implies \alpha \leq p \ \text{concat} \ xs \cdot \alpha$
(proof)

lemma *bin-lcp-pref-all-hull*: $w \in \langle \{u_0, u_1\} \rangle \implies \alpha \leq p \ w \cdot \alpha$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-mismatch-pref-all-bool*: **assumes** $q \leq_p w$ **and** $w \in \langle\{uu b, uu (\neg b)\}\rangle$ **and** $|\alpha| < |uu a \cdot q|$

shows $\alpha \cdot [cc a] \leq_p uu a \cdot q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bin-lcp-mismatch-pref-all-fst* = *bin-lcp-mismatch-pref-all-bool*[*of - - True*, *unfolded bin-code-simps*] **and**

bin-lcp-mismatch-pref-all-snd = *bin-lcp-mismatch-pref-all-bool*[*of - - False*, *unfolded bin-code-simps*]

lemma *bin-lcp-pref-all-len*: **assumes** $q \leq_p w$ **and** $w \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$ **and** $|\alpha| \leq |q|$

shows $\alpha \leq_p q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-mismatch-all-bool*: **assumes** $xs \in lists \{uu b, uu (\neg b)\}$ **shows** $\alpha \cdot [cc a] \leq_p (uu a) \cdot concat xs \cdot \alpha$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bin-fst-mismatch-all* = *bin-mismatch-all-bool*[*of - True True*, *unfolded bin-code-simps*] **and**

bin-snd-mismatch-all = *bin-mismatch-all-bool*[*of - True False*, *unfolded bin-code-simps*]

lemma *bin-mismatch-all-hull-bool*: **assumes** $w \in \langle\{uu b, uu (\neg b)\}\rangle$ **shows** $\alpha \cdot [cc a] \leq_p uu a \cdot w \cdot \alpha$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bin-fst-mismatch-all-hull* = *bin-mismatch-all-hull-bool*[*of - True True*, *unfolded bin-code-simps*] **and**

bin-snd-mismatch-all-hull = *bin-mismatch-all-hull-bool*[*of - True False*, *unfolded bin-code-simps*]

lemma *bin-mismatch-all-len-bool*: **assumes** $q \leq_p uu a \cdot w$ **and** $w \in \langle\{uu b, uu (\neg b)\}\rangle$ **and** $|\alpha| < |q|$

shows $\alpha \cdot [cc a] \leq_p q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bin-fst-mismatch-all-len* = *bin-mismatch-all-len-bool*[*of - True - True*, *unfolded bin-code-simps*] **and**

bin-snd-mismatch-all-len = *bin-mismatch-all-len-bool*[*of - False - True*, *unfolded bin-code-simps*]

lemma *bin-code-delay*: **assumes** $|\alpha| \leq |q_0|$ **and** $|\alpha| \leq |q_1|$ **and**

$q_0 \leq_p u_0 \cdot w_0$ **and** $q_1 \leq_p u_1 \cdot w_1$ **and**

$w_0 \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$ **and** $w_1 \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$

shows $q_0 \wedge_p q_1 = \alpha$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-lq-mismatch-fst*: $hd(\alpha^{-1} > (u_0 \cdot \alpha)) = c_0$
(proof)

lemma *hd-lq-mismatch-snd*: $hd(\alpha^{-1} > (u_1 \cdot \alpha)) = c_1$
(proof)

lemma *hds-bin-mismatch-neq*: $hd(\alpha^{-1} > (u_0 \cdot \alpha)) \neq hd(\alpha^{-1} > (u_1 \cdot \alpha))$
(proof)

lemma *bin-lcp-fst-pow-pref*: **assumes** $0 < k$ **shows** $\alpha \cdot [c_0] \leq_p u_0 @ k \cdot u_1 \cdot z$
(proof)

lemmas *bin-lcp-snd-pow-pref* = *binary-code.bin-lcp-fst-pow-pref*[*OF bin-code-swap, unfolded bin-lcp-swap*]

lemma *bin-lcp-fst-lcp*: $\alpha \leq_p u_0 \cdot \alpha$ **and** *bin-lcp-snd-lcp*: $\alpha \leq_p u_1 \cdot \alpha$
(proof)

lemma *bin-lcp-pref-all-set*: **assumes** *set ws* = $\{u_0, u_1\}$
shows $\alpha \leq_p concat ws$
(proof)

lemma *bin-lcp-conjug-morph*:
assumes $u \in \langle \{u_0, u_1\} \rangle$ **and** $v \in \langle \{u_0, u_1\} \rangle$
shows $\alpha^{-1} > (u \cdot \alpha) \cdot \alpha^{-1} > (v \cdot \alpha) = \alpha^{-1} > ((u \cdot v) \cdot \alpha)$
(proof)

lemma *lcp-bin-conjug-prim-iff*:
set ws = $\{u_0, u_1\} \implies primitive(\alpha^{-1} > (concat ws) \cdot \alpha) \longleftrightarrow primitive(concat ws)$
(proof)

lemma *bin-lcp-conjug-inj-on*: *inj-on* $(\lambda u. \alpha^{-1} > (u \cdot \alpha)) \langle \{u_0, u_1\} \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *bin-code-lcp-marked*: **assumes** *us* ∈ *lists* $\{u_0, u_1\}$ **and** *vs* ∈ *lists* $\{u_0, u_1\}$
and $hd us \neq hd vs$
shows $concat us \cdot \alpha \wedge_p concat vs \cdot \alpha = \alpha$
(proof)

lemma **assumes** *us* ∈ *lists* $\{u_0, u_1\}$ **and** *vs* ∈ *lists* $\{u_0, u_1\}$ **and** $hd us \neq hd vs$
shows $concat us \cdot \alpha \wedge_p concat vs \cdot \alpha = \alpha$
(proof)

lemma *bin-code-lcp-concat*: **assumes** *us* ∈ *lists* $\{u_0, u_1\}$ **and** *vs* ∈ *lists* $\{u_0, u_1\}$
and $\neg us \bowtie vs$
shows $concat us \cdot \alpha \wedge_p concat vs \cdot \alpha = concat(us \wedge_p vs) \cdot \alpha$
(proof)

lemma *bin-code-lcp-concat'*: **assumes** *us* ∈ *lists* $\{u_0, u_1\}$ **and** *vs* ∈ *lists* $\{u_0, u_1\}$

and $\neg concat\ us \bowtie concat\ vs$
shows $concat\ us \wedge_p concat\ vs = concat\ (us \wedge_p vs) \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-pows*: $0 < k \implies 0 < l \implies u_0 @ k \cdot u_1 \cdot z \wedge_p u_1 @ l \cdot u_0 \cdot z' = \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *bin-code*: **assumes** $us \in lists\ \{u_0, u_1\}$ **and** $vs \in lists\ \{u_0, u_1\}$ **and** $concat\ us = concat\ vs$
shows $us = vs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *code-bin-roots*: *binary-code* $(\varrho\ u_0)\ (\varrho\ u_1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *code* $\{u_0, u_1\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-dec*: $(Dec\ \{\varrho\ u_0, \varrho\ u_1\}\ u_0) = [\varrho\ u_0] @ e_\varrho\ u_0\ (Dec\ \{\varrho\ u_0, \varrho\ u_1\}\ u_1)$
 $= [\varrho\ u_1] @ e_\varrho\ u_1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-code-prefs*: **assumes** $w \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$ **and** $p \leq_p w\ w' \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$ **and**
 $|u_1| \leq |p|$
shows $\neg u_0 \cdot p \leq_p u_1 \cdot w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-code-rev*: *binary-code* $(rev\ u_0)\ (rev\ u_1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-mismatch-pows*: $\neg u_0 @ Suc\ k \cdot u_1 \cdot z = u_1 @ Suc\ l \cdot u_0 \cdot z'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-pows-lcp*: $0 < k \implies 0 < l \implies u_0 @ k \cdot u_1 @ l \wedge_p u_1 @ l \cdot u_0 @ k = u_0$
 $\cdot u_1 \wedge_p u_1 \cdot u_0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-mismatch*: $u_0 \cdot \alpha \wedge_p u_1 \cdot \alpha = \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-comp-bin-fst-snd*: $\neg u_0 \cdot \alpha \bowtie u_1 \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *bin-bounded-delay*: **assumes** $z \leq_p u_0 \cdot w_0$ **and** $z \leq_p u_1 \cdot w_1$
and $w_0 \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$ **and** $w_1 \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$
shows $|z| \leq |\alpha|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *binary-code.bin-lcp-pows-lcp*

lemma *prim-roots-lcp*: $\varrho u_0 \cdot \varrho u_1 \wedge_p \varrho u_1 \cdot \varrho u_0 = \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Maximal r-prefixes

lemma *bin-lcp-per-root-max-pref-short*: **assumes** $\alpha <_p u_0 \cdot u_1 \wedge_p r \cdot u_0 \cdot u_1$ **and**
 $r \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $q \leq_p w$ **and** $w \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$
shows $u_1 \cdot q \wedge_p r \cdot u_1 \cdot q = take|u_1 \cdot q| \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-per-root-max-pref-short*: **assumes** $(u_0 \cdot u_1) <_p r \cdot u_0 \cdot u_1$ **and** $q \leq_p w$
and $w \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$
shows $u_1 \cdot q \wedge_p r \cdot u_1 \cdot q = take|u_1 \cdot q| \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-root-max-pref-long*: **assumes** $r \cdot u_0 \cdot u_1 = u_0 \cdot u_1 \cdot r$ **and** $q \leq_p w$
and $w \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$ **and** $|\alpha| \leq |q|$
shows $u_0 \cdot \alpha \leq_p u_0 \cdot q \wedge_p r \cdot u_0 \cdot q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-root-lcp-per-root*: $u_0 \cdot u_1 <_p r \cdot u_0 \cdot u_1 \implies \alpha \cdot [c_0] \leq_p r \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-root-bin-fst-snd-lcp*: **assumes** $u_0 \cdot u_1 <_p r \cdot u_0 \cdot u_1$ **and**
 $q \leq_p w$ **and** $w \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$ **and** $|\alpha| < |u_1 \cdot q|$
 $q' \leq_p w'$ **and** $w' \in \langle\{u_0, u_1\}\rangle$ **and** $|\alpha| \leq |q'|$
shows $u_1 \cdot q \wedge_p r \cdot q' = \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemmas *no-comm-bin-code* = *binary-code.bin-code*[unfolded *binary-code-def*]

theorem *bin-code-code*: **assumes** $u \cdot v \neq v \cdot u$ **shows** *code* $\{u, v\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *code-bin-code*: $u \neq v \implies code\{u, v\} \implies u \cdot v \neq v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-roots-lcp*: $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x \implies x \cdot y \wedge_p y \cdot x = \varrho x \cdot \varrho y \wedge_p \varrho y \cdot \varrho x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.8.2 Binary Mismatch tools

thm *binary-code.bin-mismatch-pows*[unfolded *binary-code-def*]

lemma *bin-mismatch*: $u @ Suc k \cdot v \cdot z = v @ Suc l \cdot u \cdot z' \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
definition bin-mismatch-pref :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  bin-mismatch-pref x y w  $\equiv$   $\exists k. x @ k \cdot y \leq_p w$ 
```

— Binary mismatch elims

```
lemma bm-pref-letter: assumes  $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$  and bin-mismatch-pref x y (w1 · y)
  shows bin-lcp x y · [bin-mismatch x y]  $\leq_p x \cdot w1 \cdot$  bin-lcp x y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bm-eq-hard: assumes  $x \cdot w1 = y \cdot w2$  and bin-mismatch-pref x y (w1 · y)
  and bin-mismatch-pref y x (w2 · x)
  shows  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bm-hard-lcp: assumes  $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$  and bin-mismatch-pref x y w1 and
  bin-mismatch-pref y x w2
  shows  $x \cdot w1 \wedge_p y \cdot w2 = x \cdot y \wedge_p y \cdot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bm-pref-hard: assumes  $x \cdot w1 \leq_p y \cdot w2$  and bin-mismatch-pref x y w1
  and bin-mismatch-pref y x (w2 · x)
  shows  $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

named-theorems bm-elims

```
lemmas [bm-elims] = bm-eq-hard bm-eq-hard[symmetric] bm-pref-hard bm-pref-hard[symmetric]
  bm-hard-lcp bm-hard-lcp[symmetric]
  arg-cong2[of  $\lambda x y. x \wedge_p y$ ]
```

named-theorems bm-elims-rev

```
lemmas [bm-elims-rev] = bm-elims[reversed]
```

— Binary mismatch predicate evaluation

named-theorems bm-simps

```
lemma [bm-simps]: bin-mismatch-pref x y (y · v)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma [bm-simps]: bin-mismatch-pref x y y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma [bm-simps]:
```

```
 $w1 \in \langle\{x,y\}\rangle \implies \text{bin-mismatch-pref } x y w \implies \text{bin-mismatch-pref } x y (w1 \cdot w)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemmas [bm-simps] = lcp-ext-left

named-theorems bm-simps-rev
lemmas [bm-simps-rev] = bm-simps[reversed]

— Binary hull membership evaluation

named-theorems bin-hull-in
lemma[bin-hull-in]:  $x \in \langle\{x,y\}\rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma[bin-hull-in]:  $y \in \langle\{x,y\}\rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma[bin-hull-in]:  $w \in \langle\{x,y\}\rangle \longleftrightarrow w \in \langle\{y,x\}\rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemmas[bin-hull-in] = hull-closed power-in rassoc

named-theorems bin-hull-in-rev
lemmas [bin-hull-in-rev] = bin-hull-in[reversed]

method mismatch0 =
  ((simp only: shifts bm-simps)?,
   (elim bm-elims)?;
   (simp-all only: bm-simps bin-hull-in))

method mismatch-rev =
  ((simp only: shifts-rev bm-simps-rev)?,
   (elim bm-elims-rev)?;
   (simp-all only: bm-simps-rev bin-hull-in-rev))

method mismatch =
  (insert method-facts, use nothing in
   ⟨(mismatch0;fail)|(mismatch-rev)⟩
  )

thm bm-elims

Mismatch method demonstrations

lemma  $y \cdot x \leq_p x^{\otimes} k \cdot x \cdot y \cdot w \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $w1 \in \langle\{x,y\}\rangle \implies w2 \in \langle\{x,y\}\rangle \implies x \cdot w2 \cdot y \cdot z = y \cdot w1 \cdot x \cdot v \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $w1 \in \langle\{x,y\}\rangle \implies y \cdot x \cdot w2 \cdot z = x \cdot w1 \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma $w1 \in \langle\{x,y\}\rangle \implies w2 \in \langle\{x,y\}\rangle \implies x \cdot y \cdot w2 \cdot x \leq s x \cdot w1 \cdot y \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma assumes $x \cdot y \cdot z = y \cdot y \cdot x \cdot v$ **shows** $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma assumes $y \cdot x \cdot x \cdot y \cdot z = y \cdot x \cdot y \cdot y \cdot x \cdot v$ **shows** $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $y \cdot y \cdot x \cdot v = x \cdot x \cdot y \cdot z \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $x \cdot x \cdot y \cdot z = y \cdot y \cdot x \cdot z' \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $z \cdot x \cdot y \cdot x \cdot x = v \cdot x \cdot y \cdot y \implies y \cdot x = x \cdot y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $x \cdot y \leq p y \cdot y \cdot x \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $y \cdot x \cdot x \cdot x \cdot y \leq p y \cdot x \cdot x \cdot y \cdot y \cdot x \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $x \cdot y \leq p y \cdot y \cdot x \cdot z \implies y \cdot x = x \cdot y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $x \cdot x \cdot y \cdot y \cdot y \leq s z \cdot y \cdot y \cdot x \cdot x \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma assumes $x \cdot x \cdot y \cdot y \cdot y \leq s z \cdot y \cdot y \cdot x \cdot x$ **shows** $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $k \neq 0 \implies j \neq 0 \implies (x @ j \cdot y @ ka) \cdot y = y @ k \cdot x @ j \cdot y @ (k - 1) \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dif \neq 0 \implies j \neq 0 \implies (x @ j \cdot y @ ka) \cdot y @ dif = y @ dif \cdot x @ j \cdot y @ ka \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma assumes $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$
shows $x \cdot x \cdot y \wedge_p y \cdot y \cdot x = (x \cdot y \wedge_p y \cdot x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma assumes $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$
shows $w \cdot z \cdot x \cdot x \cdot y \wedge_p w \cdot z \cdot y \cdot y \cdot x = (w \cdot z) \cdot (x \cdot y \wedge_p y \cdot x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.8.3 Applied mismatch

```
lemma pows-comm-comm: assumes  $u @ k \cdot v @ m = u @ l \cdot v @ n$   $k \neq l$  shows  $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

3.9 Two words hull (not necessarily a code)

```
lemma bin-lists-len-count: assumes  $x \neq y$  and  $ws \in lists \{x,y\}$  shows
count-list ws x + count-list ws y = |ws|
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma two-elem-first-block: assumes  $w \in \langle \{u,v\} \rangle$ 
obtains  $m$  where  $u @ m \cdot v \bowtie w$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas two-elem-last-block = two-elem-first-block[reversed]
```

```
lemma two-elem-pref: assumes  $v \leq_p u \cdot p$  and  $p \in \langle \{u,v\} \rangle$ 
shows  $v \leq_p u \cdot v$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas two-elem-suf = two-elem-pref[reversed]
```

```
lemma gen-drop-exp: assumes  $p \in \langle \{u, v @ (\text{Suc } k)\} \rangle$  shows  $p \in \langle \{u, v\} \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gen-drop-exp-pos: assumes  $p \in \langle \{u, v @ k\} \rangle$   $0 < k$  shows  $p \in \langle \{u, v\} \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gen-prim:  $p \in \langle \{u, v\} \rangle \implies p \in \langle \{u, \varrho v\} \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma roots-hull: assumes  $w \in \langle \{u @ k, v @ m\} \rangle$  shows  $w \in \langle \{u, v\} \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma roots-hull-sub:  $\langle \{u @ k, v @ m\} \rangle \subseteq \langle \{u, v\} \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma primroot-gen[intro]:  $v \in \langle \{u, \varrho v\} \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma primroot-gen'[intro]:  $u \in \langle \{\varrho u, v\} \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma set-lists-primroot: set ws ⊆ {x,y}  $\implies ws \in lists \langle \{\varrho x, \varrho y\} \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

3.10 Free hull

While not every set G of generators is a code, there is a unique minimal free monoid containing it, called the *free hull* of G . It can be defined inductively using the property known as the *stability condition*.

```
inductive-set free-hull :: 'a list set ⇒ 'a list set (⟨⟨-⟩F⟩)
for G where
  ε ∈ ⟨G⟩F
  | free-gen-in: w ∈ G ⇒ w ∈ ⟨G⟩F
  | w1 ∈ ⟨G⟩F ⇒ w2 ∈ ⟨G⟩F ⇒ w1 · w2 ∈ ⟨G⟩F
  | p ∈ ⟨G⟩F ⇒ q ∈ ⟨G⟩F ⇒ p · w ∈ ⟨G⟩F ⇒ w · q ∈ ⟨G⟩F ⇒ w ∈ ⟨G⟩F —
the stability condition
```

lemmas [intro] = free-hull.intros

The defined set indeed is a hull.

lemma free-hull-hull[simp]: $\langle\langle G \rangle_F \rangle = \langle G \rangle_F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The free hull is always (non-strictly) larger than the hull.

lemma hull-sub-free-hull: $\langle G \rangle \subseteq \langle G \rangle_F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

On the other hand, it can be proved that the *free basis*, defined as the basis of the free hull, has a (non-strictly) smaller cardinality than the ordinary basis.

definition free-basis :: 'a list set ⇒ 'a list set (⟨B_F → [54] 55)
where free-basis $G \equiv \mathfrak{B} \langle G \rangle_F$

lemma basis-gen-hull-free: $\langle \mathfrak{B}_F \; G \rangle = \langle G \rangle_F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma genset-sub-free: $G \subseteq \langle G \rangle_F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We have developed two points of view on freeness:

- being a free hull, that is, to satisfy the stability condition;
- being generated by a code.

We now show their equivalence

First, basis of a free hull is a code.

lemma free-basis-code[simp]: code ($\mathfrak{B}_F \; G$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gen-in-free-hull*: $x \in G \implies x \in \langle \mathfrak{B}_F G \rangle$
(proof)

Second, a code generates its free hull.

lemma (in code) *code-gen-free-hull*: $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle_F = \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$
(proof)

That is, a code is its own free basis

lemma (in code) *code-free-basis*: $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{B}_F \mathcal{C}$
(proof)

This allows to use the introduction rules of the free hull to prove one of the basic characterizations of the code, called the stability condition

lemma (in code) *stability*: $p \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \implies q \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \implies p \cdot w \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle \implies w \cdot q \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$
 $\implies w \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$
(proof)

Moreover, the free hull of G is the smallest code-generated hull containing G . In other words, the term free hull is appropriate.

First, several intuitive monotonicity and closure results.

lemma *free-hull-mono*: $G \subseteq H \implies \langle G \rangle_F \subseteq \langle H \rangle_F$
(proof)

lemma *free-hull-idem*: $\langle \langle G \rangle_F \rangle_F = \langle G \rangle_F$
(proof)

lemma *hull-gen-free-hull*: $\langle \langle G \rangle \rangle_F = \langle G \rangle_F$
(proof)

Code is also the free basis of its hull.

lemma (in code) *code-free-basis-hull*: $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{B}_F \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$
(proof)

The minimality of the free hull easily follows.

theorem (in code) *free-hull-min*: **assumes** $G \subseteq \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ **shows** $\langle G \rangle_F \subseteq \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$
(proof)

theorem *free-hull-inter*: $\langle G \rangle_F = \bigcap \{ M. G \subseteq M \wedge M = \langle M \rangle_F \}$
(proof)

Decomposition into the free basis is a morphism.

lemma *free-basis-dec-morph*: $u \in \langle G \rangle_F \implies v \in \langle G \rangle_F \implies$
 $Dec(\mathfrak{B}_F G)(u \cdot v) = (Dec(\mathfrak{B}_F G) u) \cdot (Dec(\mathfrak{B}_F G) v)$
(proof)

3.11 Reversing hulls and decompositions

lemma *basis-rev-commute[reversal-rule]*: $\mathfrak{B} (\text{rev } ' G) = \text{rev } ' (\mathfrak{B} G)$
(proof)

lemma *rev-free-hull-comm*: $\langle \text{rev } ' X \rangle_F = \text{rev } ' \langle X \rangle_F$
(proof)

lemma *free-basis-rev-commute [reversal-rule]*: $\mathfrak{B}_F \text{ rev } ' X = \text{rev } ' (\mathfrak{B}_F X)$
(proof)

lemma *rev-dec[reversal-rule]*: **assumes** $x \in \langle X \rangle_F$ **shows** $\text{Dec} \text{ rev } ' (\mathfrak{B}_F X) (\text{rev } x) = \text{map} \text{ rev } (\text{rev } (\text{Dec} (\mathfrak{B}_F X) x))$
(proof)

lemma *rev-hd-dec-last-eq[reversal-rule]*: **assumes** $x \in X$ **and** $x \neq \varepsilon$ **shows**
 $\text{rev } (\text{hd } (\text{Dec} (\text{rev } ' (\mathfrak{B}_F X)) (\text{rev } x))) = \text{last } (\text{Dec } \mathfrak{B}_F X x)$
(proof)

lemma *rev-hd-dec-last-eq'[reversal-rule]*: **assumes** $x \in X$ **and** $x \neq \varepsilon$ **shows**
 $(\text{hd } (\text{Dec} (\text{rev } ' (\mathfrak{B}_F X)) (\text{rev } x))) = \text{rev } (\text{last } (\text{Dec } \mathfrak{B}_F X x))$
(proof)

3.12 Lists as the free hull of singletons

A crucial property of free monoids of words is that they can be seen as lists over the free basis, instead as lists over the original alphabet.

abbreviation *sings* **where** $\text{sings } B \equiv \{[b] \mid b. b \in B\}$

term *Set.filter P A*

lemma *sings-image*: $\text{sings } B = (\lambda x. [x]) ' B$
(proof)

lemma *lists-sing-map-concat-ident*: $xs \in \text{lists } (\text{sings } B) \implies xs = \text{map } (\lambda x. [x]) (\text{concat } xs)$
(proof)

lemma *code-sings*: $\text{code } (\text{sings } B)$
(proof)

lemma *sings-gen-lists*: $\langle \text{sings } B \rangle = \text{lists } B$
(proof)

lemma *sing-gen-lists*: $\text{lists } \{x\} = \langle \{[x]\} \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *bin-gen-lists*: $\text{lists } \{x, y\} = \langle \{[x], [y]\} \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sings B = \mathfrak{B}_F (lists B)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-sings: $xs \in lists B \implies map (\lambda x. x \neq \varepsilon) xs \in lists (sings B)$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dec-sings: $xs \in lists B \implies Dec (sings B) xs = map (\lambda x. [x]) xs$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-lists-exp: assumes $ws \in lists \{x\}$*
obtains k **where** $ws = [x]^{\otimes k}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-lists-exp-len: $ws \in lists \{x\} \implies [x]^{\otimes |ws|} = ws$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-lists-exp-count: $ws \in lists \{x\} \implies [x]^{\otimes (count-list ws x)} = ws$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-set-pow-count-list: set ws $\subseteq \{a\} \implies [a]^{\otimes (count-list ws a)} = ws$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-set-pow: set ws $\subseteq \{a\} \implies [a]^{\otimes |ws|} = ws$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *count-sing-exp[simp]: count-list ($[a]^{\otimes k}$) a = k*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *count-sing-exp'[simp]: count-list ([a]) a = 1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *count-sing-distinct[simp]: a ≠ b ⇒ count-list ($[a]^{\otimes k}$) b = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *count-sing-distinct'[simp]: a ≠ b ⇒ count-list ([a]) b = 0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-letter-imp-prim: assumes count-list w a = 1 shows primitive w*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-abk: a ≠ b ⇒ primitive ($[a] \cdot [b]^{\otimes k}$)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-code: $x \neq \varepsilon \implies code \{x\}$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sings-card: card A = card (sings A)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sings-finite*: *finite A = finite (sings A)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sings-conv*: *A = B \longleftrightarrow sings A = sings B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.13 Various additional lemmas

3.13.1 Roots of binary set

lemma *two-roots-code*: **assumes** $x \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $y \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** *code* $\{\varrho x, \varrho y\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-in-set-dec*: **assumes** $x \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $y \neq \varepsilon$ **shows** $\varrho x \in \text{set}(\text{Dec}\{\varrho x, \varrho y\} x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primroot-dec*: **assumes** $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$
shows $(\text{Dec}\{\varrho x, \varrho y\} x) = [\varrho x]^\otimes e_\varrho x (\text{Dec}\{\varrho x, \varrho y\} y) = [\varrho y]^\otimes e_\varrho y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (*in binary-code*) *bin-roots-sings-code*: *non-overlapping* $\{\text{Dec}\{\varrho u_0, \varrho u_1\} u_0, \text{Dec}\{\varrho u_0, \varrho u_1\} u_1\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.13.2 Other

lemma *bin-count-one-decompose*: **assumes** $ws \in \text{lists}\{x,y\}$ **and** $x \neq y$ **and**
count-list ws y = 1
obtains $k m$ **where** $[x]^\otimes k \cdot [y] \cdot [x]^\otimes m = ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-count-one-conjug*: **assumes** $ws \in \text{lists}\{x,y\}$ **and** $x \neq y$ **and** *count-list ws y = 1*
shows $ws \sim [x]^\otimes (\text{count-list ws } x) \cdot [y]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-prim-long-set*: **assumes** $ws \in \text{lists}\{x,y\}$ **and** *primitive ws* **and** $2 \leq |ws|$
shows *set ws = {x,y}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-prim-long-pref*: **assumes** $ws \in \text{lists}\{x,y\}$ **and** *primitive ws* **and** $2 \leq |ws|$
obtains ws' **where** $ws \sim ws'$ **and** $[x,y] \leq_p ws'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Morphisms*

imports *CoWBasic Submonoids*

begin

Chapter 4

Morphisms

4.1 One morphism

4.1.1 Morphism, core map and extension

```
definition list-extension :: ('a ⇒ 'b list) ⇒ ('a list ⇒ 'b list) (⟨-L⟩ [1000] 1000)
  where tL ≡ (λ x. concat (map t x))
```

```
definition morphism-core :: ('a list ⇒ 'b list) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b list) (⟨-C⟩ [1000] 1000)
  where core-def: fC ≡ (λ x. f [x])
```

```
lemma core-sing: fC a = f [a]
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma range-map-core: range (map fC) = lists (range fC)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma map-core-lists: (map fC w) ∈ lists (range fC)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma comp-core: (f ∘ g)C = f ∘ gC
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
locale morphism-on =
  fixes f :: 'a list ⇒ 'b list and A :: 'a list set
  assumes morph-on: u ∈ ⟨A⟩ ⇒ v ∈ ⟨A⟩ ⇒ f (u · v) = f u · f v
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma emp-to-emp[simp]: f ε = ε
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma emp-to-emp': w = ε ⇒ f w = ε
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma morph-concat-concat-map:  $ws \in lists\langle A \rangle \implies f(concat ws) = concat(map f ws)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma hull-im-hull:
  shows  $\langle f' A \rangle = f' \langle A \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inj-basis-to-basis: assumes inj-on  $f \langle A \rangle$ 
  shows  $f'(\mathfrak{B} \langle A \rangle) = \mathfrak{B}(f'(A))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inj-code-to-code: assumes inj-on  $f \langle A \rangle$  and code  $A$ 
  shows code( $f' A$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale morphism =
  fixes  $f :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'b list$ 
  assumes morph:  $f(u \cdot v) = f u \cdot f v$ 
begin

sublocale morphism-on  $f$  UNIV
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma map-core-lists[simp]:  $map f^C xs \in lists(range f^C)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pow-morph:  $f(x@k) = (f x)@k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rev-map-pow:  $(rev-map f)(w@n) = rev((f(rev w))@n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pop-hd:  $f(a\#u) = f[a] \cdot f u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pop-hd-nemp:  $u \neq \varepsilon \implies f(u) = f[hd u] \cdot f(tl u)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pop-last-nemp:  $u \neq \varepsilon \implies f(u) = f(butlast u) \cdot f[last u]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pref-mono:  $u \leq_p v \implies f u \leq_p f v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma suf-mono:  $u \leq_s v \implies f u \leq_s f v$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *morph-concat-map*: $concat (map f^C x) = f x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *morph-concat-map'*: $(\lambda x. concat (map f^C x)) = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *morph-to-concat*:
obtains xs where $xs \in lists (range f^C)$ and $f x = concat xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-hull*: $range f = \langle (range f^C) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *im-in-hull*: $f w \in \langle (range f^C) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *core-ext-id*: $f^{C\mathcal{L}} = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-map-morph*: morphism (*rev-map f*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *morph-rev-len*: $|f (rev u)| = |f u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-map-len*: $|rev-map f u| = |f u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-set-morph-len*: assumes $a \in set w$ shows $|f [a]| \leq |f w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *morph-lq-comm*: $u \leq p v \implies f (u^{-1} v) = (f u)^{-1} (f v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *morph-rq-comm*: assumes $v \leq s u$
shows $f (u^{<-1} v) = (f u)^{<-1} (f v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *code-set-morph*: assumes $c: code (f^C ('set (u \cdot v)))$ and $i: inj-on f^C ('set (u \cdot v))$
and $f u = f v$
shows $u = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *morph-concat-concat-map*: $f (concat ws) = concat (map f ws)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma morph-on: morphism-on f A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma noner-sings-conv: (forall w. w = ε <→ f w = ε) <→ (forall a. f [a] ≠ ε)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fac-mono: u ≤f w ⇒ f u ≤f f w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-core-set: set (f w) = ∪ (set ‘fC ‘ (set w))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma morph-map: morphism (map f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-ext-morph: morphism tL
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ext-def-on-set: (forall a. a ∈ set u ⇒ g a = f a) ⇒ gL u = fL u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma morph-def-on-set: morphism f ⇒ morphism g ⇒ (forall a. a ∈ set u ⇒
gC a = fC a) ⇒ g u = f u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma morph-compose: morphism f ⇒ morphism g ⇒ morphism (f ∘ g)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.1.2 Periodic morphism

```

locale periodic-morphism = morphism +
  assumes ims-comm: ∀ u v. f u · f v = f v · f u and
    not-triv-emp: ¬ (∀ c. f [c] = ε)
  begin

lemma per-morph-root-ex:
  ∃ r. ∀ u. ∃ n. f u = r⊗n ∧ primitive r
  ⟨proof⟩

definition mroot where mroot ≡ (SOME r. (∀ u. ∃ n. f u = r⊗n) ∧ primitive r)
definition mexp :: 'a ⇒ nat where mexp c ≡ (SOME n. f [c] = mroot⊗n)

lemma per-morph-rootI: ∀ u. ∃ n. f u = mroot⊗n and
  per-morph-root-prim: primitive mroot
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma per-morph-expI': f [c] = mroot⊗(mexp c)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma per-morph-expE:  
  obtains n where f u = mroot®n  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
interpretation mirror: periodic-morphism rev-map f  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mroot-rev: mirror.mroot = rev mroot  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

end

4.1.3 Non-erasing morphism

```
locale nonerasing-morphism = morphism +  
  assumes nonerasing: f w = ε ⟹ w = ε  
begin
```

```
lemma core-nemp: fC a ≠ ε  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma nemp-to-nemp: w ≠ ε ⟹ f w ≠ ε  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sing-to-nemp: f [a] ≠ ε  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pref-morph-pref-eq: u ≤P v ⟹ f v ≤P f u ⟹ u = v  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma comm-eq-im-eq:  
  u · v = v · u ⟹ f u = f v ⟹ u = v  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma comm-eq-im-iff :  
  assumes u · v = v · u  
  shows f u = f v ⟷ u = v  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rev-map-nonerasing: nonerasing-morphism (rev-map f)  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma first-of-first: (f (a # ws))!0 = f [a]!0  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma hd-im-hd-hd: assumes u ≠ ε shows hd (f u) = hd (f [hd u])  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma ssuf-mono:  $u <_s v \implies f u <_s f v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma im-len-le:  $|u| \leq |f u|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma im-len-eq-iff:  $|u| = |f u| \longleftrightarrow (\forall c. c \in set u \longrightarrow |f [c]| = 1)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma im-len-less:  $a \in set u \implies |f [a]| \neq 1 \implies |u| < |f u|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma (in morphism) nonerI[intro]: assumes ( $\bigwedge a. f^C a \neq \varepsilon$ )
  shows nonerasing-morphism  $f$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in morphism) prim-morph-noner:
  assumes prim-morph:  $\bigwedge u. 2 \leq |u| \implies primitive u \implies primitive (f u)$ 
  and non-single-dom:  $\exists a b :: 'a. a \neq b$ 
    shows nonerasing-morphism  $f$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

4.1.4 Code morphism

The term “Code morphism” is equivalent to “injective morphism”.

Note that this is not equivalent to $code (range f^C)$, since the core can be not injective.

```
lemma (in morphism) code-core-range-inj:  $inj f \longleftrightarrow code (range f^C) \wedge inj f^C$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
locale code-morphism = morphism  $f$  for  $f +$ 
  assumes code-morph:  $inj f$ 
```

begin

```
lemma inj-core:  $inj f^C$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sing-im-core:  $f [a] \in (range f^C)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma code-im:  $code (range f^C)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

sublocale code range  $f^C$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale nonerasing-morphism
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-morph-code: assumes  $f r = f s$  shows  $r = s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-morph-bij: bij-betw  $f$  UNIV  $\langle(\text{range } f^C)\rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-morphism-rev-map: code-morphism (rev-map  $f$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma morph-on-inj-on:
  morphism-on  $f A$  inj-on  $f A$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma (in morphism) code-morphismI: inj  $f \implies$  code-morphism  $f$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in nonerasing-morphism) code-morphismI' :
  assumes comm:  $\bigwedge u v. f u = f v \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u$ 
  shows code-morphism  $f$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

4.1.5 Prefix code morphism

```

locale pref-code-morphism = nonerasing-morphism +
  assumes
    pref-free:  $f^C a \leq_p f^C b \implies a = b$ 

```

begin

```

interpretation prefrange: pref-code (range  $f^C$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma inj-core: inj  $f^C$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

sublocale code-morphism
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

thm nonerasing

```

lemma pref-free-morph: assumes  $f r \leq_p f s$  shows  $r \leq_p s$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

4.1.6 Marked morphism

locale *marked-morphism* = *nonerasing-morphism* +
assumes
 $marked\text{-core}: \text{hd } (f^C a) = \text{hd } (f^C b) \implies a = b$

begin

lemma *marked-im*: *marked-code* (*range* f^C)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *marked-code* (*range* f^C)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *marked-morph* = *marked-core*[unfolded core-sing]

sublocale *pref-code-morphism*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-im-eq-hd-eq*: assumes $u \neq \varepsilon$ and $v \neq \varepsilon$ and $\text{hd } (f u) = \text{hd } (f v)$
shows $\text{hd } u = \text{hd } v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *marked-morph-lcp*: $f (r \wedge_p s) = f r \wedge_p f s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *marked-inj-map*: *inj* $e \implies \text{marked-morphism } ((\text{map } e) \circ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

thm *morphism.nonerI*

lemma (in *morphism*) *marked-morphismI*:
 $(\bigwedge a. f[a] \neq \varepsilon) \implies (\bigwedge a b. a \neq b) \implies \text{hd } (f[a]) \neq \text{hd } (f[b]) \implies \text{marked-morphism}_f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.1.7 Image length

definition *max-image-length*:: ('a list \Rightarrow 'b list) \Rightarrow nat ($\langle \lceil \cdot \rceil \rangle$)
where $\text{max-image-length } f = \text{Max} (\text{length}'(\text{range } f^C))$

definition *min-image-length*:: ('a list \Rightarrow 'b list) \Rightarrow nat ($\langle \lfloor \cdot \rfloor \rangle$)
where $\text{min-image-length } f = \text{Min} (\text{length}'(\text{range } f^C))$

```

lemma max-im-len-id:  $\lceil id::('a list \Rightarrow 'a list) \rceil = 1$  and min-im-len-id:  $\lfloor id::('a list \Rightarrow 'a list) \rfloor = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

context morphism
begin

lemma max-im-len-le: finite (length‘range  $f^C$ )  $\Rightarrow |f z| \leq |z| * \lceil f \rceil$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-im-len-le-sing: assumes finite (length‘range  $f^C$ )
shows  $|f [a]| \leq \lceil f \rceil$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma min-im-len-ge: finite (length‘range  $f^C$ )  $\Rightarrow |z| * \lfloor f \rfloor \leq |f z|$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-im-len-comp-le: assumes finite-f: finite (length‘range  $f^C$ ) and
finite-g: finite (length‘range  $g^C$ ) and morphism g
shows finite (length ‘ range ( $g \circ f$ ) $^C$ )  $\lceil g \circ f \rceil \leq \lceil f \rceil * \lceil g \rceil$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-im-len-emp: assumes finite (length ‘ range  $f^C$ )
shows  $\lceil f \rceil = 0 \longleftrightarrow (f = (\lambda w. \varepsilon))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas max-im-len-le-dom = max-im-len-le[OF finite-imageI, OF finite-imageI]
and
max-im-len-le-sing-dom = max-im-len-le-sing[OF finite-imageI, OF finite-imageI]
and
min-im-len-ge-dom = min-im-len-ge[OF finite-imageI, OF finite-imageI] and
max-im-len-comp-le-dom = max-im-len-comp-le[OF finite-imageI, OF finite-imageI]
and
max-im-len-emp-dom = max-im-len-emp[OF finite-imageI, OF finite-imageI]

end

```

4.1.8 Endomorphism

```

locale endomorphism = morphism f for f:: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
begin

lemma pow-endomorphism: endomorphism ( $f^{\sim k}$ )
⟨proof⟩

interpretation pow-endm: endomorphism ( $f^{\sim k}$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas pow-morphism = pow-endm.morphism-axioms and
  pow-morph = pow-endm.morph and
  pow-emp-to-emp = pow-endm.emp-to-emp

lemma pow-sets-im: set w = set v  $\implies$  set ((f``k) w) = set ((f``k) v)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fin-len-ran-pow: finite (length ` range fC)  $\implies$  finite (length ` range (f``k)C)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma max-im-len-pow-le: assumes finite (length ` range fC) shows [f``k]  $\leq$ 
  [f]k
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma max-im-len-pow-le': finite (length ` range fC)  $\implies$  |(f``k) w|  $\leq$  |w| * [f]k
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas max-im-len-pow-le-dom = max-im-len-pow-le[OF finite-imageI, OF fi-
  nite-imageI] and
  max-im-len-pow-le'-dom = max-im-len-pow-le'[OF finite-imageI, OF fi-
  nite-imageI]

lemma funpow-nonerasing-morphism: assumes nonerasing-morphism f
  shows nonerasing-morphism (f``k)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma im-len-pow-mono: assumes nonerasing-morphism f i  $\leq$  j
  shows (|(f``i) w|  $\leq$  |(f``j) w|)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fac-mono-pow: u  $\leq_f$  (f``k) w  $\implies$  (f``l) u  $\leq_f$  (f``(l+k)) w
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rev-map-endomorph: endomorphism (rev-map f)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

4.2 Primitivity preserving morphisms

```

locale primitivity-preserving-morphism = nonerasing-morphism +
  assumes prim-morph :  $2 \leq |u| \implies$  primitive u  $\implies$  primitive (f u)
begin

sublocale code-morphism
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas code-morph = code-morph
end

```

4.3 Two morphisms

Solutions and the coincidence pairs are defined for any two mappings

4.3.1 Solutions

```

definition minimal-solution :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list)  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```
( $\lambda s. \in - =_M \rightarrow [80,80,80] 51$ )
```

```
where min-sol-def: minimal-solution s g h  $\equiv$  s  $\neq \varepsilon \wedge g s = h s$ 
```

```
 $\wedge (\forall s'. s' \neq \varepsilon \wedge s' \leq p s \wedge g s' = h s' \longrightarrow s' = s)$ 
```

```

lemma min-solD: s  $\in g =_M h \implies g s = h s$ 

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma min-solD': s  $\in g =_M h \implies s \neq \varepsilon$ 

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma min-solD-min: s  $\in g =_M h \implies p \neq \varepsilon \implies p \leq p s \implies g p = h p \implies p =$ 

```

```
s
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma min-solI[intro]: s  $\neq \varepsilon \implies g s = h s \implies (\bigwedge s'. s' \leq p s \implies s' \neq \varepsilon \implies g$ 

```

```
s' = h s'  $\implies s' = s)$ 
```

```
 $\implies s \in g =_M h$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma min-sol-sym-iff: s  $\in g =_M h \longleftrightarrow s \in h =_M g$ 

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma min-sol-sym[sym]: s  $\in g =_M h \implies s \in h =_M g$ 

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma min-sol-prefE:

```

```
assumes g r = h r and r  $\neq \varepsilon$ 
```

```
obtains e where e  $\in g =_M h$  and e  $\leq p r$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

4.3.2 Coincidence pairs

```

definition coincidence-set :: ('a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list  $\times$  'a
list) set ( $\langle \mathfrak{C} \rangle$ )

```

```
where coincidence-set g h  $\equiv \{(r,s). g r = h s\}$ 
```

lemma *coin-set-eq*: $(g \circ fst) \cdot (\mathfrak{C} g h) = (h \circ snd) \cdot (\mathfrak{C} g h)$
(proof)

lemma *coin-setD*: $pair \in \mathfrak{C} g h \implies g (fst pair) = h (snd pair)$
(proof)

lemma *coin-setD-iff*: $pair \in \mathfrak{C} g h \iff g (fst pair) = h (snd pair)$
(proof)

lemma *coin-set-sym*: $fst \cdot (\mathfrak{C} g h) = snd \cdot (\mathfrak{C} h g)$
(proof)

lemma *coin-set-inter-fst*: $(g \circ fst) \cdot (\mathfrak{C} g h) = range g \cap range h$
(proof)

lemmas *coin-set-inter-snd* = *coin-set-inter-fst*[unfolded *coin-set-eq*]

definition *minimal-coincidence* :: $('a list \Rightarrow 'b list) \Rightarrow ('a list \Rightarrow ('a list \Rightarrow 'b list)) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool$ ($\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle =_m \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ [80, 81, 80, 81] 51)
where *min-coin-def*: $minimal-coincidence g r h s \equiv r \neq \varepsilon \wedge s \neq \varepsilon \wedge g r = h s \wedge (\forall r' s'. r' \leq np r \wedge s' \leq np s \wedge g r' = h s' \rightarrow r' = r \wedge s' = s)$

definition *min-coincidence-set* :: $('a list \Rightarrow 'b list) \Rightarrow ('a list \Rightarrow ('a list \times 'a list) set)$ ($\langle \mathfrak{C}_m \rangle$)
where *min-coincidence-set* $g h \equiv (\{(r, s) . g r =_m h s\})$

lemma *min-coin-minD*: $g r =_m h s \implies r' \leq np r \implies s' \leq np s \implies g r' = h s'$
 $\implies r' = r \wedge s' = s$
(proof)

lemma *min-coin-setD*: $p \in \mathfrak{C}_m g h \implies g (fst p) =_m h (snd p)$
(proof)

lemma *min-coinD*: $g r =_m h s \implies g r = h s$
(proof)

lemma *min-coinD'*: $g r =_m h s \implies r \neq \varepsilon \wedge s \neq \varepsilon$
(proof)

lemma *min-coin-sub*: $\mathfrak{C}_m g h \subseteq \mathfrak{C} g h$
(proof)

lemma *min-coin-defI*: **assumes** $r \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $s \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $g r = h s$ **and**
 $(\wedge r' s'. r' \leq np r \implies s' \leq np s \implies g r' = h s' \implies r' = r \wedge s' = s)$
shows $g r =_m h s$
(proof)

lemma *min-coin-sym[sym]*: $g r =_m h s \implies h s =_m g r$
(proof)

lemma *min-coin-sym-iff*: $g \ r =_m h \ s \longleftrightarrow h \ s =_m g \ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-coin-set-sym*: $fst'(\mathfrak{C}_m \ g \ h) = snd'(\mathfrak{C}_m \ h \ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3.3 Basics

locale *two-morphisms* = g : morphism $g + h$: morphism h **for** $g \ h :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \ list$

begin

lemma *def-on-sings*:
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in set u \implies g [a] = h [a]$
shows $g u = h u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def-on-sings-eq*:
assumes $\bigwedge a. g [a] = h [a]$
shows $g = h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ims-prefs-comp*:
assumes $u \leq_p u'$ **and** $v \leq_p v'$ **and** $g \ u' \bowtie h \ v'$ **shows** $g \ u \bowtie h \ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ims-sufs-comp*:
assumes $u \leq_s u'$ **and** $v \leq_s v'$ **and** $g \ u' \bowtie_s h \ v'$ **shows** $g \ u \bowtie_s h \ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ims-hd-eq-comp*:
assumes $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $g \ u = h \ u$ **shows** $g [hd \ u] \bowtie h [hd \ u]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ims-last-eq-suf-comp*:
assumes $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $g \ u = h \ u$ **shows** $g [last \ u] \bowtie_s h [last \ u]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *len-im-le*:
assumes $(\bigwedge a. a \in set s \implies |g [a]| \leq |h [a]|)$
shows $|g s| \leq |h s|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *len-im-less*:
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in set s \implies |g [a]| \leq |h [a]|$ **and**
 $b \in set s$ **and** $|g [b]| < |h [b]|$
shows $|g s| < |h s|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *solution-eq-len-eq*:
 assumes $g s = h s$ **and** $\bigwedge a. a \in set s \implies |g [a]| = |h [a]|$
 shows $\bigwedge a. a \in set s \implies g [a] = h [a]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-maps: two-morphisms* (*rev-map g*) (*rev-map h*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-solD-min-suf*: **assumes** $sol \in g =_M h$ **and** $s \neq \varepsilon$ $s \leq_s sol$ **and** $g s = h s$
 shows $s = sol$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-sol-rev[reversal-rule]*:
 assumes $s \in g =_M h$
 shows $(rev s) \in (rev-map g) =_M (rev-map h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coin-set-lists-concat*: $ps \in lists (\mathfrak{C} g h) \implies g (concat (map fst ps)) = h (concat (map snd ps))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coin-set-hull*: $\langle snd (\mathfrak{C} g h) \rangle = snd (\mathfrak{C} g h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-sol-sufE*:
 assumes $g r = h r$ **and** $r \neq \varepsilon$
 obtains e **where** $e \in g =_M h$ **and** $e \leq_s r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-sol-primitive*: **assumes** $sol \in g =_M h$ **shows** *primitive* sol
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-sol-two-sols*:
 assumes $g u = h u$ **and** $\neg u \in g =_M h$ **and** *primitive* u
 obtains $s1 s2$ **where** $s1 \in g =_M h$ **and** $s2 \in g =_M h$ **and** $s1 \neq s2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prim-sols-two-sols*:
 assumes $g r = h r$ **and** $g s = h s$ **and** *primitive* s **and** *primitive* r **and** $r \neq s$
 obtains $s1 s2$ **where** $s1 \in g =_M h$ **and** $s2 \in g =_M h$ **and** $s1 \neq s2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

4.3.4 Two nonerasing morphisms

Minimal coincidence pairs and minimal solutions make good sense for non-erasing morphisms only.

```

locale two-nonerasing-morphisms = two-morphisms +
  g: nonerasing-morphism g +
  h: nonerasing-morphism h

begin

thm g.morph
thm g.emp-to-emp

lemma two-nonerasing-morphisms-swap: two-nonerasing-morphisms h g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma noner-eq-emp-iff: g u = h v ==> u = ε <=> v = ε
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-coin-rev:
  assumes g r =m h s
  shows (rev-map g) (rev r) =m (rev-map h) (rev s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-coin-pref-eq:
  assumes g e =m h f and g e' = h f' and e' ≤np e and f' ⊲ f
  shows e' = e and f' = f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-coin-prefE:
  assumes g r = h s and r ≠ ε
  obtains e f where g e =m h f and e ≤p r and f ≤p s and hd e = hd r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-coin-dec: assumes g e = h f
  obtains ps where concat (map fst ps) = e and concat (map snd ps) = f and
    ⋀ p. p ∈ set ps ==> g (fst p) =m h (snd p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-coin-code:
  assumes xs ∈ lists (Cm g h) and ys ∈ lists (Cm g h) and
    concat (map fst xs) = concat (map fst ys) and
    concat (map snd xs) = concat (map snd ys)
  shows xs = ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coin-closed: ps ∈ lists (C g h) ==> (concat (map fst ps), concat (map snd ps)) ∈ C g h
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma min-coin-gen-snd:  $\langle \text{snd} ` (\mathfrak{C}_m g h) \rangle = \text{snd} ` (\mathfrak{C} g h)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma min-coin-gen-fst:  $\langle \text{fst} ` (\mathfrak{C}_m g h) \rangle = \text{fst} ` (\mathfrak{C} g h)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma min-coin-code-snd:
  assumes code-morphism g shows code (snd ` ( $\mathfrak{C}_m g h$ ))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma min-coin-code-fst:
  code-morphism h  $\implies$  code (fst ` ( $\mathfrak{C}_m g h$ ))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma min-coin-basis-snd:
  assumes code-morphism g
  shows  $\mathfrak{B} (\text{snd} ` (\mathfrak{C} g h)) = \text{snd} ` (\mathfrak{C}_m g h)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma min-coin-basis-fst:
  code-morphism h  $\implies$   $\mathfrak{B} (\text{fst} ` (\mathfrak{C} g h)) = \text{fst} ` (\mathfrak{C}_m g h)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sol-im-len-less: assumes g u = h u and g  $\neq$  h and set u = UNIV
  shows |u| < |g u|
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale two-code-morphisms = g: code-morphism g + h: code-morphism h
  for g h :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list

begin

sublocale two-nonerasing-morphisms g h
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas code-morphs = g.code-morphism-axioms h.code-morphism-axioms

lemma revs-two-code-morphisms: two-code-morphisms (rev-map g) (rev-map h)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma min-coin-im-basis:
   $\mathfrak{B} (h` (\text{snd} ` (\mathfrak{C} g h))) = h` \text{snd} ` (\mathfrak{C}_m g h)$ 
   $\mathfrak{B} (g` (\text{fst} ` (\mathfrak{C} g h))) = g` \text{fst} ` (\mathfrak{C}_m g h)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma range-inter-basis-snd:

```

```

shows  $\mathfrak{B}(\text{range } g \cap \text{range } h) = h \cdot (\text{snd} \cdot \mathfrak{C}_m g h)$ 
 $\mathfrak{B}(\text{range } g \cap \text{range } h) = g \cdot (\text{fst} \cdot \mathfrak{C}_m g h)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma range-inter-code:
  shows code  $\mathfrak{B}(\text{range } g \cap \text{range } h)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

4.3.5 Two marked morphisms

```

locale two-marked-morphisms = two-nonerasing-morphisms +
  g: marked-morphism g + h: marked-morphism h

```

```
begin
```

```

sublocale revs: two-code-morphisms g h
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas ne-g = g.nonerasing and
  ne-h = h.nonerasing

```

```

lemma unique-continuation:
   $z \cdot g r = z' \cdot h s \implies z \cdot g r' = z' \cdot h s' \implies z \cdot g (r \wedge_p r') = z' \cdot h (s \wedge_p s')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemmas empty-sol = noner-eq-emp-iff
```

```

lemma comparable-min-sol-eq: assumes r ≤_p r' and g r =_m h s and g r' =_m h s'
  shows r = r' and s = s'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma first-letter-determines:
  assumes g e =_m h f and g e' =_m h f' and hd e = hd e' and e' ≠ ε
  shows e ≤_p e' and f ≤_p f'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary first-letter-determines':
  assumes g e =_m h f and g e' =_m h f' and hd e = hd e'
  shows e = e' and f = f'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma first-letter-determines-sol: assumes r ∈ g =_M h and s ∈ g =_M h and hd r = hd s
  shows r = s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition pre-block :: 'a ⇒ 'a list × 'a list
  where pre-block a = (THE p. (g (fst p) =m h (snd p)) ∧ hd (fst p) = a)
  — pre-block a may not be a block, if no such exists

definition blockP :: 'a ⇒ bool
  where blockP a ≡ g (fst (pre-block a)) =m h (snd (pre-block a)) ∧ hd (fst (pre-block a)) = a
  — Predicate: the pre-block of the letter a is indeed a block

lemma pre-blockI: g u =m h v ⇒ pre-block (hd u) = (u,v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blockI: assumes g u =m h v and hd u = a
  shows blockP a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hd-im-comm-eq-aux:
  assumes g w = h w and w ≠ ε and comm: gC (hd w) · hC (hd w) = hC (hd w) · gC (hd w) and len: |gC (hd w)| ≤ |hC (hd w)|
  shows gC (hd w) = hC (hd w)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hd-im-comm-eq:
  assumes g w = h w and w ≠ ε and comm: gC (hd w) · hC (hd w) = hC (hd w) · gC (hd w)
  shows gC (hd w) = hC (hd w)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma block-ex: assumes g u =m h v shows blockP (hd u)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sol-block-ex: assumes g u = h v and u ≠ ε shows blockP (hd u)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition suc-fst where suc-fst ≡ λ x. concat(map (λ y. fst (pre-block y)) x)
definition suc-snd where suc-snd ≡ λ x. concat(map (λ y. snd (pre-block y)) x)

lemma blockP-D: blockP a ⇒ g (suc-fst [a]) =m h (suc-snd [a])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma blockP-D-hd: blockP a ⇒ hd (suc-fst [a]) = a
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation blocks τ ≡ (forall a. a ∈ set τ → blockP a)

sublocale sucs: two-morphisms suc-fst suc-snd
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma blockP-D-hd-hd: assumes blockP a
shows hd (hC (hd (suc-snd [a]))) = hd (gC a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma suc-morph-sings: assumes g e =m h f
shows suc-fst [hd e] = e and suc-snd [hd e] = f
⟨proof⟩

lemma blocks-eq: blocks τ  $\implies$  g (suc-fst τ) = h (suc-snd τ)
⟨proof⟩

lemma suc-eq': assumes  $\bigwedge$  a. blockP a shows g(suc-fst w) = h(suc-snd w)
⟨proof⟩

lemma suc-eq: assumes all-blocks:  $\bigwedge$  a. blockP a shows g  $\circ$  suc-fst = h  $\circ$  suc-snd
⟨proof⟩

lemma block-eq: blockP a  $\implies$  g (suc-fst [a]) = h (suc-snd [a])
⟨proof⟩

lemma blocks-inj-suc: assumes blocks τ shows inj-on suc-fstC (set τ)
⟨proof⟩

lemma blocks-inj-suc': assumes blocks τ shows inj-on suc-sndC (set τ)
⟨proof⟩

lemma blocks-marked-code: assumes blocks τ
shows marked-code (suc-fstC '(set τ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma blocks-marked-code': assumes all-blocks:  $\bigwedge$  a. a ∈ set τ  $\implies$  blockP a
shows marked-code (suc-sndC '(set τ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sucs-marked-morphs: assumes all-blocks:  $\bigwedge$  a. blockP a
shows two-marked-morphisms suc-fst suc-snd
⟨proof⟩

lemma pre-blocks-range: {(e,f). g e =m h f }  $\subseteq$  range pre-block
⟨proof⟩

corollary card-blocks: assumes finite (UNIV :: 'a set) shows card {(e,f). g e =m h f }  $\leq$  card (UNIV :: 'a set)
⟨proof⟩

lemma block-decomposition: assumes g e = h f
obtains τ where suc-fst τ = e and suc-snd τ = f and blocks τ
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma block-decomposition-unique: assumes g e = h f and
  suc-fst τ = e and suc-fst τ' = e and blocks τ and blocks τ' shows τ = τ'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma block-decomposition-unique': assumes g e = h f and
  suc-snd τ = f and suc-snd τ' = f and blocks τ and blocks τ'
  shows τ = τ'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-sings-block: assumes g[a] · h[b] = h[b] · g[a]
  obtains m n where suc-fst [a] = [a]@Suc m and suc-snd [a] = [b]@Suc n
  ⟨proof⟩

definition sucs-encoding where sucs-encoding = (λ a. hd (g [a]))
definition sucs-decoding where sucs-decoding = (λ a. SOME c. hd (g[c]) = a)

lemma sucs-encoding-inv: sucs-decoding ∘ sucs-encoding = id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma encoding-inj: inj sucs-encoding
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-encoding-inj: inj (map sucs-encoding)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition suc-fst' where suc-fst' = (map sucs-encoding) ∘ suc-fst
definition suc-snd' where suc-snd' = (map sucs-encoding) ∘ suc-snd

lemma encoded-sucs-eq-conv: suc-fst w = suc-snd w'  $\longleftrightarrow$  suc-fst' w = suc-snd' w'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma encoded-sucs-eq-conv': suc-fst = suc-snd  $\longleftrightarrow$  suc-fst' = suc-snd'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma encoded-sucs: assumes  $\bigwedge c. \text{blockP } c$  shows two-marked-morphisms suc-fst'
  suc-snd'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma encoded-sucs-len: |suc-fst w| = |suc-fst' w| and |suc-snd w| = |suc-snd' w|
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

```
theory Periodicity-Lemma
  imports CoWBasic
begin
```

Chapter 5

The Periodicity Lemma

The Periodicity Lemma says that if a sufficiently long word has two periods p and q , then the period can be refined to $\gcd p q$. The consequence is equivalent to the fact that the corresponding periodic roots commute. “Sufficiently long” here means at least $p + q - \gcd p q$. It is also known as the Fine and Wilf theorem due to its authors [3].

If we relax the requirement to $p + q$, then the claim becomes easy, and it is proved in *Combinatorics-Words.CoWBasic* as *two-pers-root*: $\llbracket <_p w (u \cdot w); <_p w (v \cdot w); |u| + |v| \leq |w| \rrbracket \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u$.

theorem per-lemma-relaxed:

assumes period w p **and** period w q **and** $p + q \leq |w|$
shows (take p w) · (take q w) = (take q w) · (take p w)
{proof}

Also in terms of the numeric period:

thm *two-periods*

5.1 Main claim

We first formulate the claim of the Periodicity lemma in terms of commutation of two periodic roots. For trivial reasons we can also drop the requirement that the roots are nonempty.

theorem per-lemma-comm:

assumes $w \leq_p r \cdot w$ **and** $w \leq_p s \cdot w$
and len: $|r| + |s| - (\gcd |r| |s|) \leq |w|$
shows $r \cdot s = s \cdot r$
{proof}

lemma *per-lemma-comm-pref*:

assumes $u \leq_p r^{\circledR k} u \leq_p s^{\circledR l}$
and len: $|r| + |s| - \gcd(|r|, |s|) \leq |u|$

shows $r \cdot s = s \cdot r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We can now prove the numeric version.

theorem *per-lemma*: **assumes** *period w p and period w q and len: p + q - gcd p q ≤ |w|*
shows *period w (gcd p q)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2 Optimality

FW-word (where FW stands for Fine and Wilf) yields a word which show the optimality of the bound in the Periodicity lemma. Moreover, the obtained word has maximum possible letters (each equality of letters is forced by periods). The latter is not proved here.

term *butlast ([0..<(gcd p q)][®](p div (gcd p q)))·[gcd p q]·(butlast ([0..<(gcd p q)][®](p div (gcd p q))))*

— an auxiliary claim

lemma *ext-per-sum*: **assumes** *period w p and period w q and p ≤ |w|*
shows *period ((take p w) · w) (p+q)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *fw-p-per p q ≡ butlast ([0..<(gcd p q)][®](p div (gcd p q)))*
definition *fw-base p q ≡ fw-p-per p q · [gcd p q] · fw-p-per p q*

fun *FW-word :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list where*
FW-word-def: FW-word p q =
 — symmetry *(if q < p then FW-word q p else*
 — artificial value *if p = 0 then ε else*
 — artificial value *if p = q then ε else*
 — base case *if gcd p q = q - p then fw-base p q*
 — step *else (take p (FW-word p (q-p))) · FW-word p (q-p))*

lemma *FW-sym: FW-word p q = FW-word q p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *fw-word': ¬ p dvd q ⇒ ¬ q dvd p ⇒*
 $|FW-word p q| = p + q - gcd p q - 1 \wedge period(FW-word p q) p \wedge period(FW-word p q) q \wedge \neg period(FW-word p q) (gcd p q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *fw-word: assumes ¬ p dvd q ¬ q dvd p*
shows $|FW-word p q| = p + q - gcd p q - 1$ **and** *period (FW-word p q) p and period (FW-word p q) q and ¬ period (FW-word p q) (gcd p q)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Calculation examples

5.3 Other variants of the periodicity lemma

Periodicity lemma is one of the most frequent tools in Combinatorics on words. Here are some useful variants.

Note that the following lemmas are stronger versions of $\llbracket <_p ?w (?p \cdot ?w); <_s ?w (?w \cdot ?q); |?p| + |?q| \leq |?w|; \bigwedge r s k l m. \llbracket ?p = (r \cdot s) @ k; ?q = (s \cdot r) @ l; ?w = (r \cdot s) @ m \cdot r; \text{primitive } (r \cdot s) \rrbracket \implies ?thesis \rrbracket \implies ?thesis$
 $\llbracket \leq_f ?w (?p @ ?k); \leq_f ?w (?q @ ?l); ?p \neq \varepsilon; ?q \neq \varepsilon; |?p| + |?q| \leq |?w|; \bigwedge r s m. \llbracket \varrho ?p \sim r \cdot s; \varrho ?q \sim r \cdot s; ?w = (r \cdot s) @ m \cdot r; \text{primitive } (r \cdot s) \rrbracket \implies ?thesis \rrbracket \implies ?thesis$
 $\llbracket \leq_f ?u (?r @ ?k); \leq_f ?u (?s @ ?l); ?r \neq \varepsilon; ?s \neq \varepsilon; |?r| + |?s| \leq |?u| \rrbracket \implies \varrho ?r \sim \varrho ?s$
 $\llbracket \leq_f ?u (?r @ ?k); \leq_f ?u (?s @ ?l); ?u \neq \varepsilon; |?r| + |?s| \leq |?u| \rrbracket \implies \varrho ?r \sim \varrho ?s$
 $\llbracket \leq_f ?w (?u @ ?n); \leq_f ?w (?v @ ?m); \text{primitive } ?u; \text{primitive } ?v; |?u| + |?v| \leq |?w| \rrbracket \implies ?u \sim ?v$ that have a relaxed length assumption $|p| + |q| \leq |w|$ instead of $|p| + |q| - \gcd |p| |q| \leq |w|$ (and which follow from the relaxed version of periodicity lemma $\llbracket \leq_p ?w (?u \cdot ?w); \leq_p ?w (?v \cdot ?w); |?u| + |?v| \leq |?w| \rrbracket \implies ?u \cdot ?v = ?v \cdot ?u$.

lemma per-lemma-pref-suf-gcd: **assumes** $w <_p p \cdot w$ **and** $w <_s w \cdot q$ **and**
 $fw: |p| + |q| - (\gcd |p| |q|) \leq |w|$
obtains $r s k l m$ **where** $p = (r \cdot s) @ k$ **and** $q = (s \cdot r) @ l$ **and** $w = (r \cdot s) @ m \cdot r$ **and** $\text{primitive } (r \cdot s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fac-two-conjug-primroot-gcd:
assumes $fac: w \leq_f p @ k$ $w \leq_f q @ l$ **and** $nemps: p \neq \varepsilon$ $q \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $len: |p| + |q| - \gcd (|p|) (|q|) \leq |w|$
obtains $r s m$ **where** $\varrho p \sim r \cdot s$ **and** $\varrho q \sim r \cdot s$ **and** $w = (r \cdot s) @ m \cdot r$ **and** $\text{primitive } (r \cdot s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary fac-two-conjug-primroot'-gcd:
assumes $fac: u \leq_f r @ k$ $u \leq_f s @ l$ **and** $nemps: r \neq \varepsilon$ $s \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $len: |r| + |s| - \gcd (|r|) (|s|) \leq |u|$
shows $\varrho r \sim \varrho s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fac-two-conjug-primroot''-gcd:
assumes $fac: u \leq_f r @ k$ $u \leq_f s @ l$ **and** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $len: |r| + |s| - \gcd (|r|) (|s|) \leq |u|$
shows $\varrho r \sim \varrho s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fac-two-prim-conjug-gcd:

assumes $w \leq_f u @ n$ $w \leq_f v @ m$ primitive u primitive v $|u| + |v| = \gcd(|u|, |v|)$
 $\leq |w|$
shows $u \sim v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma two-pers-1:

assumes $pu: w \leq_p u \cdot w$ **and** $pv: w \leq_p v \cdot w$ **and** $len: |u| + |v| - 1 \leq |w|$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory Lyndon-Schutzenberger
imports Submonoids Periodicity-Lemma

begin

Chapter 6

Lyndon-Schützenberger Equation

6.1 The original result

The Lyndon-Schützenberger equation is the following equation:

$$x^a y^b = z^c,$$

in this formalization denoted as $x @ a \cdot y @ b = z @ c$.

We formalize here a complete solution of this equation.

The main result, proved by Lyndon and Schützenberger is that the equation has periodic solutions only in free groups if $2 \leq a, b, c$. In this formalization we consider the equation in words only. Then the original result can be formulated as saying that all words x, y and z satisfying the equality with $2 \leq a, b, c$ pairwise commute.

The result in free groups was first proved in [7]. For words, there are several proofs to be found in the literature (for instance [4, 2]). The presented proof is the authors' proof.

In addition, we give a full parametric solution of the equation for any a, b and c .

6.2 The original result

If x^a or y^b is sufficiently long, then the claim follows from the Periodicity Lemma.

lemma LS-per-lemma-case1:

assumes eq: $x @ a \cdot y @ b = z @ c$ and $0 < a$ and $0 < b$ and $|z| + |x| - 1 \leq |x @ a|$

shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ and $x \cdot z = z \cdot x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

A weaker version will be often more convenient

lemma *LS-per-lemma-case*:

assumes *eq*: $x^{\otimes}a \cdot y^{\otimes}b = z^{\otimes}c$ **and** $0 < a$ **and** $0 < b$ **and** $|z| + |x| \leq |x^{\otimes}a|$
shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ **and** $x \cdot z = z \cdot x$
(proof)

The most challenging case is when $c = 3$.

lemma *LS-core-case*:

assumes
eq: $x^{\otimes}a \cdot y^{\otimes}b = z^{\otimes}c$ **and**
 $2 \leq a$ **and** $2 \leq b$ **and** $2 \leq c$ **and**
 $c = 3$ **and**
 $b * |y| \leq a * |x|$ **and** $x \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $y \neq \varepsilon$ **and**
lenx: $a * |x| < |z| + |x|$ **and**
leny: $b * |y| < |z| + |y|$
shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
(proof)

The main proof is by induction on the length of z . It also uses the reverse symmetry of the equation which is exploited by two interpretations of the locale *LS*. Note also that the case $|x^a| < |y^b|$ is solved by using induction on $|z| + |y^b|$ instead of just on $|z|$.

lemma *Lyndon-Schutzenberger'*:

$\llbracket x^{\otimes}a \cdot y^{\otimes}b = z^{\otimes}c; 2 \leq a; 2 \leq b; 2 \leq c \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
(proof)

theorem *Lyndon-Schutzenberger*:

assumes $x^{\otimes}a \cdot y^{\otimes}b = z^{\otimes}c$ **and** $2 \leq a$ **and** $2 \leq b$ **and** $2 \leq c$
shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$ **and** $x \cdot z = z \cdot x$ **and** $y \cdot z = z \cdot y$
(proof)
hide-fact *Lyndon-Schutzenberger'* *LS-core-case*

6.2.1 Some alternative formulations.

lemma *Lyndon-Schutzenberger-conjug*: **assumes** $u \sim v$ **and** $\neg \text{primitive}(u \cdot v)$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
(proof)

lemma *Lyndon-Schutzenberger-prim*: **assumes** $\neg \text{primitive } x$ **and** $\neg \text{primitive } y$
and $\neg \text{primitive}(x \cdot y)$
shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
(proof)

lemma *Lyndon-Schutzenberger-rotate*: **assumes** $x^{\otimes}c = r @ k \cdot u^{\otimes}b \cdot r @ k'$
and $2 \leq b$ **and** $2 \leq c$ **and** $0 < k$ **and** $0 < k'$
shows $u \cdot r = r \cdot u$
(proof)

6.3 Parametric solution of the equation $x @ j \cdot y @ k = z @ l$

6.3.1 Auxiliary lemmas

```
lemma xjy-imprim-len: assumes x · y ≠ y · x and eq: x@j · y = z@l and 2 ≤ j
and 2 ≤ l
shows |x@j| < |y| + 2*|x| and |z| < |x| + |y| and |x| < |z| and |x@j| < |z| +
|x|
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma case-j1k1: assumes
eq: x·y = z@l and
non-comm: x · y ≠ y · x and
l-min: 2 ≤ l
obtains r q m n where
x = (r·q)@m·r and
y = q·(r · q)@n and
z = r·q and
l = m + n + 1 and r·q ≠ q·r and |x| + |y| ≥ 4
⟨proof⟩
```

6.3.2 x is longer

We set up a locale representing the Lyndon-Schützenberger Equation with relaxed exponents and a length assumption breaking the symmetry.

```
locale LS-len-le = binary-code x y for x y +
```

```
fixes j k l z
```

```
assumes
```

```
y-le-x: |y| ≤ |x|
and eq: x@j · y@k = z@l
and l-min: 2 ≤ l
and j-min: 1 ≤ j
and k-min: 1 ≤ k
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma jk-small: obtains j = 1 | k = 1
⟨proof⟩
```

```
case 2 ≤ j
```

```
lemma case-j2k1: assumes 2 ≤ j k = 1
```

```
obtains r q t where
```

```
(r · q) @ t · r = x and
q · r · r · q = y and
(r · q) @ t · r · r · q = z and 2 ≤ t
j = 2 and l = 2 and r·q ≠ q·r and
primitive x and primitive y
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

case $j = 1$

lemma *case-j1k2-primitive*: **assumes** $j = 1 \ 2 \leq k$
shows primitive x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case-j1k2-a*: **assumes** $j = 1 \ 2 \leq k \ z \leq_s y @ k$
obtains $r \ q \ t$ **where**
 $x = ((q \cdot r) \cdot (r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t) @ (k - 1)) @ (l - 2) \cdot$
 $((((q \cdot r) \cdot (r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t) @ (k - 2)) \cdot r) \cdot q)$ **and**
 $y = r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t$ **and**
 $z = (q \cdot r) \cdot (r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t) @ (k - 1)$ **and** $0 < t$ **and** $r \cdot q \neq q \cdot r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case-j1k2-b*: **assumes** $j = 1 \ 2 \leq k \ y @ k <_s z$
obtains q **where**
 $x = (q \cdot y @ k) @ (l - 1) \cdot q$ **and**
 $z = q \cdot y @ k$ **and**
 $q \cdot y \neq y \cdot q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3.3 Putting things together

lemma *solution-cases*: **obtains**
 $j = 2 \ k = 1 \mid$
 $j = 1 \ 2 \leq k \ z <_s y @ k \mid$
 $j = 1 \ 2 \leq k \ y @ k <_s z \mid$
 $j = 1 \ k = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *parametric-solutionE*: **obtains**

- case $x \cdot y$
 $r \ q \ m \ n$ **where**
 $x = (r \cdot q) @ m \cdot r$ **and**
 $y = q \cdot (r \cdot q) @ n$ **and**
 $z = r \cdot q$ **and**
 $l = m + n + 1$ **and** $r \cdot q \neq q \cdot r$
- | — case $x \cdot y @ k$ with $2 \leq k$ and $<_s z$ ($y @ k$)
 $r \ q \ t$ **where**
 $x = ((q \cdot r) \cdot (r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t) @ (k - 1)) @ (l - 2) \cdot$
 $((((q \cdot r) \cdot (r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t) @ (k - 2)) \cdot r) \cdot q)$ **and**
 $y = r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t$ **and**
 $z = (q \cdot r) \cdot (r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t) @ (k - 1)$ **and**
 $0 < t$ **and** $r \cdot q \neq q \cdot r$
- | — case $x \cdot y @ k$ with $2 \leq k$ and $<_s (y @ k) \ z$
 q **where**
 $x = (q \cdot y @ k) @ (l - 1) \cdot q$ **and**
 $z = q \cdot y @ k$ **and**

$q \cdot y \neq y \cdot q$
| — case $x @ j \cdot y$ with $2 \leq j$
 $r q t$ **where**
 $x = (r \cdot q) @ t \cdot r$ **and**
 $y = q \cdot r \cdot r \cdot q$ **and**
 $z = (r \cdot q) @ t \cdot r \cdot r \cdot q$ **and**
 $j = 2$ **and** $l = 2$ **and** $2 \leq t$ **and** $r \cdot q \neq q \cdot r$ **and**
primitive x **and** **primitive** y
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

Using the solution from locale *LS-len-le*, the following theorem gives the full characterization of the equation in question:

$$x^i y^j = z^\ell$$

theorem LS-parametric-solution:

assumes $y\text{-le-}x$: $|y| \leq |x|$

and $j\text{-min}$: $1 \leq j$ **and** $k\text{-min}$: $1 \leq k$ **and** $l\text{-min}$: $2 \leq l$

shows

$$x @ j \cdot y @ k = z @ l$$

\longleftrightarrow

$$(\exists r m n t.$$

$x = r @ m \wedge y = r @ n \wedge z = r @ t \wedge m * j + n * k = t * l)$ — Case A: x, y is not a

code

$$\vee (j = 1 \wedge k = 1) \wedge$$

$$(\exists r q m n.$$

$$x = (r \cdot q) @ m \cdot r \wedge y = q \cdot (r \cdot q) @ n \wedge z = r \cdot q \wedge m + n + 1 = l \wedge r \cdot q \neq q \cdot r)$$

— Case B

$$\vee (j = 1 \wedge 2 \leq k) \wedge$$

$$(\exists r q.$$

$$x = (q \cdot r @ k) @ (l - 1) \cdot q \wedge y = r \wedge z = q \cdot r @ k \wedge r \cdot q \neq q \cdot r) — Case C$$

$$\vee (j = 1 \wedge 2 \leq k) \wedge$$

$$(\exists r q t. 0 < t \wedge$$

$$x = ((q \cdot r) \cdot (r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t) @ (k - 1)) @ (l - 2) \cdot (((q \cdot r) \cdot$$

$$(r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t) @ (k - 2)) \cdot r) \cdot q$$

$$\wedge y = r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t$$

$$\wedge z = (q \cdot r) \cdot (r \cdot (q \cdot r) @ t) @ (k - 1)$$

$$\wedge r \cdot q \neq q \cdot r) — Case D$$

$$\vee (j = 2 \wedge k = 1 \wedge l = 2) \wedge$$

$$(\exists r q t. 2 \leq t \wedge$$

$$x = (r \cdot q) @ t \cdot r \wedge y = q \cdot r \cdot r \cdot q$$

$$\wedge z = (r \cdot q) @ t \cdot r \cdot r \cdot q \wedge r \cdot q \neq q \cdot r) — Case E$$

(is ?eq =

(?sol-per \vee **(?cond-j1k1** \wedge **?sol-j1k1)** \vee

(?cond-j1k2 \wedge **?sol-j1k2-b)** \vee

$(?cond-j1k2 \wedge ?sol-j1k2-a) \vee$
 $(?cond-j2k1l2 \wedge ?sol-j2k1l2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3.4 Uniqueness of the imprimitivity witness

In this section, we show that given a binary code $\{x, y\}$ and two imprimitive words $x @ j \cdot y @ k$ and $x @ j' \cdot y @ k'$ it is possible only if the two words are equals, that is, if $j = j'$ and $k = k'$.

lemma *LS-unique-same*: **assumes** $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$
and $1 \leq j$ **and** $1 \leq k$ **and** $\neg primitive(x @ j \cdot y @ k)$
and $1 \leq k'$ **and** $\neg primitive(x @ j \cdot y @ k')$
shows $k = k'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LS-unique-distinct-le*: **assumes** $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$
and $2 \leq j$ **and** $\neg primitive(x @ j \cdot y)$
and $2 \leq k$ **and** $\neg primitive(x \cdot y @ k)$
and $|y| \leq |x|$
shows *False*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LS-unique-distinct*: **assumes** $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$
and $2 \leq j$ **and** $\neg primitive(x @ j \cdot y)$
and $2 \leq k$ **and** $\neg primitive(x \cdot y @ k)$
shows *False*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LS-unique'*: **assumes** $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$
and $1 \leq j$ **and** $1 \leq k$ **and** $\neg primitive(x @ j \cdot y @ k)$
and $1 \leq j'$ **and** $1 \leq k'$ **and** $\neg primitive(x @ j' \cdot y @ k')$
shows $k = k'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LS-unique*: **assumes** $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$
and $1 \leq j$ **and** $1 \leq k$ **and** $\neg primitive(x @ j \cdot y @ k)$
and $1 \leq j'$ **and** $1 \leq k'$ **and** $\neg primitive(x @ j' \cdot y @ k')$
shows $j = j'$ **and** $k = k'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.4 The bound on the exponent in Lyndon-Schützenberger equation

lemma (**in LS-len-le**) *case-j1k2-exp-le*:
assumes $j = 1$ $2 \leq k$
shows $k * |y| + 4 \leq |x| + 2 * |y|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *LS-len-le*) *case-j2k1-exp-le*:

assumes $2 \leq j \ k = 1$

shows $j * |x| + 4 \leq |y| + 2 * |x|$

(proof)

theorem *LS-exp-le-one*:

assumes *eq*: $x \cdot y @ k = z @ l$

and $2 \leq l$

and $x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$

and $1 \leq k$

shows $k * |y| + 4 \leq |x| + 2 * |y|$

(proof)

lemma *LS-exp-le-conv-rat*:

fixes $x \ y \ k :: 'a :: \text{linordered-field}$

assumes $y > 0$

shows $k * y + 4 \leq x + 2 * y \longleftrightarrow k \leq (x - 4) / y + 2$

(proof)

end

theory *Binary-Code-Morphisms*

imports *CoWBasic Submonoids Morphisms*

begin

Chapter 7

Binary alphabet and binary morphisms

7.1 Datatype of a binary alphabet

Basic elements for construction of binary words.

```
type-notation Enum.finite-2 (binA)
notation finite-2.a1 (bina)
notation finite-2.a2 (binb)

lemmas bin-distinct = Enum.finite-2.distinct
lemmas bin-exhaust = Enum.finite-2.exhaust
lemmas bin-induct = Enum.finite-2.induct
lemmas bin-UNIV = Enum.UNIV-finite-2
lemmas bin-eq-neq-iff = Enum.neq-finite-2-a2-iff
lemmas bin-eq-neq-iff' = Enum.neq-finite-2-a1-iff

abbreviation bin-word-a :: binA list (a) where
  bin-word-a ≡ [bina]

abbreviation bin-word-b :: binA list (b) where
  bin-word-b ≡ [binb]

abbreviation (input) binUNIV :: binA set where binUNIV ≡ UNIV

lemma binUNIV-I [simp, intro]: bina ∈ A ⇒ binb ∈ A ⇒ A = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-basis-code: code {a,b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-num: bina = 0 binb = 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma binA-simps [simp]:  $\text{bina} - \text{binb} = \text{binb}$   $\text{binb} - \text{bina} = \text{binb}$   $1 - \text{bina} = \text{binb}$   $1 - \text{binb} = \text{bina}$   $a - a = \text{bina}$   $1 - (1 - a) = a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition bin-swap :: binA ⇒ binA where bin-swap  $x \equiv 1 - x$ 

lemma bin-swap-if-then:  $1 - x = (\text{if } x = \text{bina} \text{ then } \text{binb} \text{ else } \text{bina})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition bin-swap-morph where bin-swap-morph ≡ map bin-swap

lemma alphabet-or[simp]:  $a = \text{bina} \vee a = \text{binb}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-im-or:  $f[a] = f \mathfrak{a} \vee f[a] = f \mathfrak{b}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

thm triv-forall-equality

lemma binUNIV-card: card binUNIV = 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma other-letter: obtains b where  $b \neq (a :: \text{binA})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alphabet-or-neq:  $x \neq y \implies x = (a :: \text{binA}) \vee y = a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma binA-neq-cases: assumes neq:  $a \neq b$ 
  obtains a = bina and b = binb | a = binb and b = bina
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-neq-sym-pred: assumes a ≠ b and P bina binb and P binb bina shows
  P a b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma no-third:  $(c :: \text{binA}) \neq a \implies b \neq a \implies b = c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-in-bin-UNIV: assumes a ≠ b and a ∈ S and b ∈ S shows S =
  binUNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas two-in-bin-set = two-in-bin-UNIV[unfolded bin-UNIV]

lemma bin-not-comp-set-UNIV: assumes  $\neg u \bowtie v$  shows set  $(u \cdot v) = \text{binUNIV}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-basis-singletons: {[q] | q. q ∈ {bina, binb}} = {a,b}

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-basis-generates*: $\langle \{a,b\} \rangle = UNIV$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-in-bin-basis*: $[a] \in \{a,b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lcp-zero-one-emp*: $a \wedge_p b = \varepsilon$ **and** *lcp-one-zero-emp*: $b \wedge_p a = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-neq-induct*: $(a :: binA) \neq b \implies P a \implies P b \implies P c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-neq-induct'*: **assumes** $(a :: binA) \neq b$ **and** $P a$ **and** $P b$ **shows** $\bigwedge c. P c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *neq-exhaust*: **assumes** $(a :: binA) \neq b$ **obtains** $c = a \mid c = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-swap-neq [simp]*: $1 - (a :: binA) \neq a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bin-swap-neq' [simp]* = *bin-swap-neq [symmetric]*

lemmas *bin-swap-induct* = *bin-neq-induct* [*OF bin-swap-neq*]
and *bin-swap-exhaust* = *neq-exhaust* [*OF bin-swap-neq*]

lemma *bin-swap-induct'*: $P (a :: binA) \implies P (1 - a) \implies (\bigwedge c. P c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swap-UNIV*: $\{a, 1 - a\} = binUNIV$ (**is** $?P a$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-neq-swap' [intro]*: $a \neq b \implies 1 - b = (a :: binA)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-neq-swap [intro]*: $a \neq b \implies 1 - a = (b :: binA)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-neq-swap'' [intro]*: $a \neq b \implies b = 1 - (a :: binA)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-neq-swap''' [intro]*: $a \neq b \implies a = 1 - (b :: binA)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-neq-iff*: $c \neq d \iff 1 - d = (c :: binA)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-neq-iff'*: $c \neq d \iff 1 - c = (d :: binA)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *binA-neq-cases-swap*: **assumes** *neq*: $a \neq (b :: binA)$
obtains $a = c$ **and** $b = 1 - c$ $|$ $a = 1 - c$ **and** $b = c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *im-swap-neq*: $f a = f b \implies f bina \neq f binb \implies a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-without-letter*: **assumes** $(a1 :: binA) \notin set w$
obtains k **where** $w = [1-a1]^@k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-empty-iff*: $S = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (a :: binA) \notin S \wedge 1-a \notin S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-UNIV-iff*: $S = binUNIV \longleftrightarrow a \in S \wedge 1-a \in S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-UNIV-I*: $a \in S \implies 1-a \in S \implies S = binUNIV$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-sing-iff*: $A = \{a :: binA\} \longleftrightarrow a \in A \wedge 1-a \notin A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-set-cases*: **obtains** $S = \{\} \mid S = \{bina\} \mid S = \{binb\} \mid S = binUNIV$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-UNIV-E*: **assumes** $A \neq binUNIV$ **obtains** a **where** $A \subseteq \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-UNIV-nempE*: **assumes** $A \neq binUNIV$ **and** $A \neq \{\}$ **obtains** a **where**
 $A = \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-sing-gen-iff*: $x \in \langle \{[a]\} \rangle \longleftrightarrow 1-(a :: binA) \notin set x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-hd-pow-conv*: $w \in [hd w]^* \longleftrightarrow set w \neq binUNIV$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-swap-eq*: $P a b \implies (\bigwedge (c :: binA). \neg P c (1-c)) \implies a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-distinct-letter*: **assumes** $set w = binUNIV$
obtains $k w'$ **where** $[hd w]^@Suc k \cdot [1-hd w] \cdot w' = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $P a \longleftrightarrow P (1-a) \implies P a \implies (\bigwedge (b :: binA). P b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-sym-all*: $P(a :: binA) \longleftrightarrow P(1-a) \implies P a \implies P x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-sym-all-comm*:

$f[a] \cdot f[1-a] \neq f[1-a] \cdot f[a] \implies f[b] \cdot f[1-b] \neq f[1-b] \cdot f[(b :: binA)]$ (**is** $?P a \implies ?P b$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-sym-all-neq*:

$f[(a :: binA)] \neq f[1-a] \implies f[b] \neq f[1-b]$ (**is** $?P a \implies ?P b$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-len-count*:

fixes $w :: binA$ *list*
shows $|w| = count-list w a + count-list w (1-a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-len-count'*:

fixes $w :: binA$ *list*
shows $|w| = count-list w bina + count-list w binb$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.2 Binary morphisms

lemma *bin-map-core-lists*: $(map f^C w) \in lists \{f a, f b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-range*: $range f = \{f bina, f binb\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-core-range*: $range f^C = \{f a, f b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-core-range-swap*: $range f^C = \{f[(a :: binA)], f[1-a]\}$ (**is** $?P a$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-map-core-lists-swap*: $(map f^C w) \in lists \{f[(a :: binA)], f[1-a]\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *binary-morphism* = *morphism f*
 for $f :: binA$ *list* \Rightarrow '*a*' *list*
 begin

lemma *bin-len-count-im*:
 fixes $a :: binA$
 shows $|f w| = count-list w a * |f[a]| + count-list w (1-a) * |f[1-a]|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma bin-len-count-im':
  shows |f w| = count-list w bina * |f a| + count-list w binb * |f b|
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-neq-inj-core: assumes f [a] ≠ f [1-a] shows inj fC
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-code-morphism-inj: assumes f [a] · f [1-a] ≠ f [1-a] · f [a]
  shows inj f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-code-morphismI: f [a] · f [1-a] ≠ f [1-a] · f [a] ⇒ code-morphism f
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.2.1 Binary periodic morphisms

```

locale binary-periodic-morphism = periodic-morphism f
  for f :: binA list ⇒ 'a list
begin

  sublocale binary-morphism
  ⟨proof⟩

  definition fn0 where fn0 ≡ (SOME n. f a = mroot⊗n)
  definition fn1 where fn1 ≡ (SOME n. f b = mroot⊗n)

  lemma bin0-im: f a = mroot⊗fn0
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma bin1-im: f b = mroot⊗fn1
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma sorted-image : f w = (f [a])⊗(count-list w a) · (f [1-a])⊗(count-list w (1-a))
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma bin-per-morph-expI: f u = mroot⊗((mexp bina) * (count-list u bina) +
  (mexp binb) * (count-list u binb))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.3 From two words to a binary morphism

```

definition bin-morph-of' :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  binA list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where bin-morph-of'
 $x\ y\ u = concat (map (\lambda a. (case a of bina \Rightarrow x | binb \Rightarrow y)) u)$ 

definition bin-morph-of :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  binA list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where bin-morph-of'
 $x\ y\ u = concat (map (\lambda a. if a = bina then x else y) u)$ 

lemma case-finite-2-if-else: case-finite-2 x y =  $(\lambda a. if a = bina then x else y)$ 
<proof>

lemma bin-morph-of-case-def: bin-morph-of x y u = concat (map (\lambda a. (case a of
bina  $\Rightarrow$  x | binb  $\Rightarrow$  y)) u)
<proof>

lemma case-finiteD: case-finite-2 (f a) (f b) =  $f^C$ 
<proof>

lemma case-finiteD': case-finite-2 (f a) (f b) u =  $f^C\ u$ 
<proof>

lemma bin-morph-of-maps: bin-morph-of x y = List.maps (case-finite-2 x y)
<proof>

lemma bin-morph-ofD: (bin-morph-of x y) a = x (bin-morph-of x y) b = y
<proof>

lemma bin-range-swap: range f = {f (a::binA), f (1-a)} (is ?P a)
<proof>

lemma bin-morph-of-core-range: range (bin-morph-of x y) $^C$  = {x,y}
<proof>

lemma bin-morph-of-morph: morphism (bin-morph-of x y)
<proof>

lemma bin-morph-of-bin-morph: binary-morphism (bin-morph-of x y)
<proof>

lemma bin-morph-of-range: range (bin-morph-of x y) = ⟨{x,y}⟩
<proof>

context binary-code
begin

lemma code-morph-of: code-morphism (bin-morph-of u0 u1)
<proof>

lemma inj-morph-of: inj (bin-morph-of u0 u1)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.4 Two binary morphism

locale two-binary-morphisms = two-morphisms g h
for g h :: binA list \Rightarrow 'a list

begin

lemma eq-on-letters-eq: $g \mathbf{a} = h \mathbf{a} \implies g \mathbf{b} = h \mathbf{b} \implies g = h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale g: binary-morphism g

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale h: binary-morphism h

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rev-morphs: two-binary-morphisms (rev-map g) (rev-map h)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma solution-UNIV:

assumes s $\neq \varepsilon$ and $g s = h s$ and $\bigwedge a. g [a] \neq h [a]$

shows set s = UNIV

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma solution-len-im-sing-less:

assumes sol: $g s = h s$ and set: $a \in \text{set } s$ and less: $|g [a]| < |h [a]|$

shows $|h [1-a]| < |g [1-a]|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma solution-len-im-sing-le:

assumes sol: $g s = h s$ and set: set s = UNIV and less: $|g [a]| \leq |h [a]|$

shows $|h [1-a]| \leq |g [1-a]|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma solution-sing-len-cases:

assumes set: set s = UNIV and sol: $g s = h s$ and $g \neq h$

obtains a where $|g [a]| < |h [a]|$ and $|h [1-a]| < |g [1-a]|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma len-ims-sing-neq:

assumes $g s = h s$ $g \neq h$ set s = binUNIV

shows $|g [c]| \neq |h [c]|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *two-binary-morphismsI*: *binary-morphism g* \implies *binary-morphism h* \implies *two-binary-morphisms g h*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.5 Binary code morphism

7.5.1 Locale - binary code morphism

locale *binary-code-morphism* = *code-morphism f :: binA list* \Rightarrow *'a list for f*

begin

lemma *morph-bin-morph-of*: *f = bin-morph-of (f a) (f b)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *non-comm-morph [simp]*: *f [a] · f [1-a] ≠ f [1-a] · f [a]*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *non-comp-morph*: *¬ f [a] · f [1-a] ≈ f [1-a] · f [a]*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *swap-non-comm-morph [simp, intro]*: *a ≠ b* \implies *f [a] · f [b] ≠ f [b] · f [a]*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *bin-core-range[of f]*

lemma *bin-code-morph-rev-map*: *binary-code-morphism (rev-map f)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *swap*: *binary-code f b f a*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *binary-code f a f b*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

notation *bin-code-lcp (α)* **and**
bin-code-lcs (β) **and**
bin-code-mismatch-fst (c₀) **and**
bin-code-mismatch-snd (c₁)

term *bin-lcp (f a) (f b)*

abbreviation *bin-morph-mismatch (c)*

where *bin-morph-mismatch a* \equiv *bin-mismatch (f[a]) (f[1-a])*

abbreviation *bin-morph-mismatch-suf (d)*

where *bin-morph-mismatch-suf a* \equiv *bin-mismatch-suf (f[1-a]) (f[a])*

lemma *bin-lcp-def'*: *α = f ([a] · [1-a]) ∧ₚ f ([1-a] · [a])*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-neq*: *a ≠ b* \implies *α = f ([a] · [b]) ∧ₚ f ([b] · [a])*

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma sing-im:  $f[a] \in \{f\mathfrak{a}, f\mathfrak{b}\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-mismatch-inj: inj  $\mathfrak{c}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-in-lists: map  $(\lambda x. f[x]) w \in lists \{f\mathfrak{a}, f\mathfrak{b}\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-morph-lcp-short:  $|\alpha| < |f[a]| + |f[1-a]|$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma swap-not-pref-bin-lcp:  $\neg f([a] \cdot [1-a]) \leq_p \alpha$ 
⟨proof⟩

thm local.bin-mismatch-inj

lemma bin-mismatch-suf-inj: inj  $\mathfrak{d}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-lcp-sing: bin-lcp  $(f[a]) (f[1-a]) = \alpha$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-lcs-sing: bin-lcs  $(f[a]) (f[1-a]) = \beta$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-code-morph-sing: binary-code  $(f[a]) (f[1-a])$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-mismatch-swap-neq:  $\mathfrak{c} a \neq \mathfrak{c} (1-a)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma long-bin-lcp-hd: assumes  $|f w| \leq |\alpha|$ 
shows  $w \in [hd w]^*$ 
⟨proof⟩

thm nonerasing
  nonerasing-morphism.nonerasing
    lemmas nonerasing = nonerasing
thm nonerasing-morphism.nonerasing
  binary-code-morphism.nonerasing

lemma bin-morph-lcp-mismatch-pref:
 $\alpha \cdot [\mathfrak{c} a] \leq_p f[a] \cdot \alpha$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $[\mathfrak{d} a] \cdot \beta \leq_s \beta \cdot f[a]$  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *bin-lcp-pref-all*: $\alpha \leq_p f w \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-spref-all*: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies \alpha <_p f w \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pref-mono-lcp*: **assumes** $w \leq_p w'$ **shows** $f w \cdot \alpha \leq_p f w' \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *long-bin-lcp*: **assumes** $w \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $|f w| \leq |\alpha|$
shows $w \in [hd w]^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *sing-to-nemp*
nonerasing

lemma *bin-mismatch-code-morph*: $c_0 = \mathbf{c} 0$ $c_1 = \mathbf{c} 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-mismatch-pref-all*: $\alpha \cdot [\mathbf{c} a] \leq_p f [a] \cdot f w \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-fst-mismatch-all*: $\alpha \cdot [c_0] \leq_p f \mathbf{a} \cdot f w \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-snd-mismatch-all*: $\alpha \cdot [c_1] \leq_p f \mathbf{b} \cdot f w \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-long-mismatch*: **assumes** $|\alpha| < |f w|$ **shows** $\alpha \cdot [\mathbf{c} (hd w)] \leq_p f w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-pow-mismatch*: **assumes** $f [a] = [b]^@Suc n$ **shows** $\mathbf{c} a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-pow-mismatch-suf*: $f [a] = [b]^@Suc n \implies \mathbf{d} a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-swap-hd*: $f [a] \cdot f w \cdot \alpha \wedge_p f [1-a] \cdot f w' \cdot \alpha = \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-neq-hd*: $a \neq b \implies f [a] \cdot f w \cdot \alpha \wedge_p f [b] \cdot f w' \cdot \alpha = \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-mismatch-swap-not-comp*: $\neg f [a] \cdot f w \cdot \alpha \bowtie f [1-a] \cdot f w' \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-root*: $\alpha <_p f [a] \cdot \alpha$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-pref*: **assumes** $w \notin \mathbf{b}^*$ **and** $w \notin \mathbf{a}^*$
shows $\alpha \leq_p (f w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-pref''*: $[a] \leq f w \implies [1-a] \leq f w \implies \alpha \leq_p (f w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-pref'*: $\mathbf{a} \leq f w \implies \mathbf{b} \leq f w \implies \alpha \leq_p (f w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-mismatch-pref-all-set*: **assumes** $1-a \in \text{set } w$
shows $\alpha \cdot [\mathbf{c} a] \leq_p f [a] \cdot f w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-comp-hd*: $\alpha \bowtie f (\mathbf{a} \cdot w0) \wedge_p f (\mathbf{b} \cdot w1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-mismatch*: **assumes** $f \mathbf{a} \in [a]^*$ **shows** $c_0 = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sing-mismatch'*: **assumes** $f \mathbf{b} \in [a]^*$ **shows** $c_1 = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-comp-all*: $\alpha \bowtie (f w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-comp-bin-swap*: $\neg f [a] \cdot \alpha \bowtie f [1-a] \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mismatch-pref*:
assumes $\alpha \leq_p f ([a] \cdot w0)$ **and** $\alpha \leq_p f ([1-a] \cdot w1)$
shows $\alpha = f ([a] \cdot w0) \wedge_p f ([1-a] \cdot w1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-set-UNIV-length*: **assumes** $\text{set } w = \text{UNIV}$ **shows** $|f [a]| + |f [1-a]| \leq |f w|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-UNIV-bin-lcp-pref*: **assumes** $\text{set } w = \text{UNIV}$ **shows** $\alpha \cdot [\mathbf{c} (hd w)] \leq_p f w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *not-comp-bin-lcp-pref* = *bin-not-comp-set-UNIV* [*THEN set-UNIV-bin-lcp-pref*]

lemma *marked-lcp-conv*: *marked-morphism* $f \longleftrightarrow \alpha = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma im-comm-lcp:  $f w \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot f w \implies (\forall a. a \in \text{set } w \longrightarrow f [a] \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot f [a])$   

(proof)

lemma im-comm-lcp-nemp: assumes  $f w \cdot \alpha = \alpha \cdot f w$  and  $w \neq \varepsilon$  and  $\alpha \neq \varepsilon$   

obtains  $k$  where  $w = [\text{hd } w]^@ \text{Suc } k$   

(proof)

lemma bin-lcp-ims-im-lcp:  $f w \cdot \alpha \wedge_p f w' \cdot \alpha = f (w \wedge_p w') \cdot \alpha$   

(proof)

lemma per-comp:  

assumes  $r <_p f w \cdot r$   

shows  $r \bowtie f w \cdot \alpha$   

(proof)

end

```

7.5.2 More translations

```

lemma bin-code-morph-iff': binary-code-morphism  $f \longleftrightarrow \text{morphism } f \wedge f [a] \cdot f [1-a] \neq f [1-a] \cdot f [a]$   

(proof)

lemma bin-code-morph-iff: binary-code-morphism (bin-morph-of  $x y$ )  $\longleftrightarrow x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x$   

(proof)

lemma bin-noner-morph-iff: nonerasing-morphism (bin-morph-of  $x y$ )  $\longleftrightarrow x \neq \varepsilon \wedge y \neq \varepsilon$   

(proof)

lemma morph-bin-morph-of: morphism  $f \longleftrightarrow \text{bin-morph-of } (f \mathfrak{a}) (f \mathfrak{b}) = f$   

(proof)

```

lemma *two-bin-code-morphs-nonerasing-morphs*: *binary-code-morphism g* \implies *binary-code-morphism h* \implies *two-nonerasing-morphisms g h*
(proof)

7.6 Marked binary morphism

lemma *marked-binary-morphI*: **assumes** *morphism f* **and** *f [a :: binA] $\neq \varepsilon$* **and**
f [1-a] $\neq \varepsilon$ **and** *hd (f [a]) \neq hd (f [1-a])*
shows *marked-morphism f*
(proof)

locale *marked-binary-morphism* = *marked-morphism f :: binA list \Rightarrow 'a list for f*

begin

lemma *bin-marked*: *hd (f a) \neq hd (f b)*
(proof)

lemma *bin-marked-sing*: *hd (f [a]) \neq hd (f [1-a])*
(proof)

sublocale *binary-code-morphism*
(proof)

lemma *marked-lcp-emp*: *$\alpha = \varepsilon$*
(proof)

lemma *bin-marked'*: *(f a)!0 \neq (f b)!0*
(proof)

lemma *marked-bin-morph-pref-code*: *$r \bowtie s \vee f (r \cdot z1) \wedge_p f (s \cdot z2) = f (r \wedge_p s)$*
(proof)

end

lemma *bin-marked-preimg-hd*:
assumes *marked-binary-morphism (f :: binA list \Rightarrow binA list)*
obtains *c where* *hd (f [c]) = a*
(proof)

7.7 Marked version

context *binary-code-morphism*

begin

definition *marked-version* ($\langle f_m \rangle$) **where** $f_m = (\lambda w. \alpha^{-1} \triangleright (f w \cdot \alpha))$

lemma *marked-version-conjugates*: $\alpha \cdot f_m w = f w \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *marked-eq-conv*: $f w = f w' \longleftrightarrow f_m w = f_m w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *marked-marked*: **assumes** *marked-morphism* f **shows** $f_m = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *marked-version-all-nemp*: $w \neq \varepsilon \implies f_m w \neq \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *marked-version-binary-code-morph*: *binary-code-morphism* f_m
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *mv-bcm*: *binary-code-morphism* f_m
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *marked-lcs*: *bin-lcs* ($f_m \mathfrak{a}$) ($f_m \mathfrak{b}$) = $\beta \cdot \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-lcp-shift*: **assumes** $|\alpha| < |f w|$ **shows** $(f w)!|\alpha| = hd(f_m w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mismatch-fst*: $hd(f_m \mathfrak{a}) = c_0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mismatch-snd*: $hd(f_m \mathfrak{b}) = c_1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *marked-hd-neq*: $hd(f_m [a]) \neq hd(f_m [1-a])$ (**is** $?P(a :: binA)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *marked-version-marked-morph*: *marked-morphism* f_m
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *mv-mbm*: *marked-binary-morphism* f_m
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-code-pref-morph*: $f u \cdot \alpha \leq_p f w \cdot \alpha \implies u \leq_p w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma mismatch-pref0:  $[c_0] \leq_p f_m \mathfrak{a}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mismatch-pref1:  $[c_1] \leq_p f_m \mathfrak{b}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma marked-version-len:  $|f_m w| = |f w|$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bin-code-lcp:  $(f r \cdot \alpha) \wedge_p (f s \cdot \alpha) = f (r \wedge_p s) \cdot \alpha$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma not-comp-lcp: assumes  $\neg r \bowtie s$ 
  shows  $f (r \wedge_p s) \cdot \alpha = f r \cdot f (r \cdot s) \wedge_p f s \cdot f (r \cdot s)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bin-morph-pref-conv:  $f u \cdot \alpha \leq_p f v \cdot \alpha \longleftrightarrow u \leq_p v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bin-morph-compare-conv:  $f u \cdot \alpha \bowtie f v \cdot \alpha \longleftrightarrow u \bowtie v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma code-lcp':  $\neg r \bowtie s \implies \alpha \leq_p f z \implies \alpha \leq_p f z' \implies f (r \cdot z) \wedge_p f (s \cdot z')$ 
   $= f (r \wedge_p s) \cdot \alpha$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma non-comm-im-lcp: assumes  $u \cdot v \neq v \cdot u$ 
  shows  $f (u \cdot v) \wedge_p f (v \cdot u) = f (u \cdot v \wedge_p v \cdot u) \cdot \alpha$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

— Obtaining one morphism marked from two general morphisms by shift (conjugation)

locale binary-code-morphism-shift = binary-code-morphism +
  fixes  $\alpha'$ 
  assumes shift-pref:  $\alpha' \leq_p \alpha$ 

begin

definition shifted-f where shifted-f =  $(\lambda w. \alpha'^{-1} \circ (f w \cdot \alpha'))$ 

lemma shift-pref-all:  $\alpha' \leq_p f w \cdot \alpha'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale shifted: binary-code-morphism shifted-f
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma shifted-lcp:  $\alpha' \cdot \text{shifted\_bin\_code\_lcp} = \alpha$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\alpha' = \alpha \implies \text{shifted\_f} = f_m$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

7.8 Two binary code morphisms

```

locale two-binary-code-morphisms =
  g: binary-code-morphism g +
  h: binary-code-morphism h
  for g h :: binA list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list

begin

  notation h.bin-code-lcp ( $\langle \alpha_h \rangle$ )
  notation g.bin-code-lcp ( $\langle \alpha_g \rangle$ )
  notation g.marked-version ( $\langle g_m \rangle$ )
  notation h.marked-version ( $\langle h_m \rangle$ )

  sublocale gm: marked-binary-morphism g_m
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  sublocale hm: marked-binary-morphism h_m
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  sublocale two-binary-morphisms g h  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  sublocale marked: two-marked-morphisms g_m h_m  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  sublocale code: two-code-morphisms g h
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma marked-two-binary-code-morphisms: two-binary-code-morphisms g_m h_m
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma revs-two-binary-code-morphisms: two-binary-code-morphisms (rev-map g)
  (rev-map h)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma swap-two-binary-code-morphisms: two-binary-code-morphisms h g
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Each successful overflow has a unique minimal successful continuation

```

lemma min-completionE:
  assumes  $z \cdot g_m r = z' \cdot h_m s$ 
  obtains  $p q$  where  $z \cdot g_m p = z' \cdot h_m q$  and
     $\bigwedge r s. z \cdot g_m r = z' \cdot h_m s \implies p \leq_p r \wedge q \leq_p s$ 
  (proof)

lemma two-equals:
  assumes  $g r = h r$  and  $g s = h s$  and  $\neg r \bowtie s$ 
  shows  $g(r \wedge_p s) \cdot \alpha_g = h(r \wedge_p s) \cdot \alpha_h$ 
  (proof)

lemma solution-sing-len-diff: assumes  $g \neq h$  and  $g s = h s$  and set  $s = \text{binUNIV}$ 
  shows  $|g [c]| \neq |h [c]|$ 
  (proof)

lemma alphas-pref: assumes  $|\alpha_h| \leq |\alpha_g|$  and  $g r =_m h s$  shows  $\alpha_h \leq_p \alpha_g$ 
  (proof)

end

locale binary-codes-coincidence = two-binary-code-morphisms +
  assumes alphas-len:  $|\alpha_h| \leq |\alpha_g|$  and
    coin-ex:  $\exists r s. g r =_m h s$ 
begin

lemma alphas-pref:  $\alpha_h \leq_p \alpha_g$ 
  (proof)

definition  $\alpha$  where  $\alpha \equiv \alpha_h^{-1} \circ \alpha_g$ 
definition critical-overflow ( $\langle c \rangle$ ) where  $\text{critical-overflow} \equiv \alpha_g^{-1} \circ \alpha_h$ 

lemma lcp-diff:  $\alpha_h \cdot \alpha = \alpha_g$ 
  (proof)

lemma solution-marked-version-conv:  $g r = h s \longleftrightarrow \alpha \cdot g_m r = h_m s \cdot \alpha$ 
  (proof)

end

locale binary-code-coincidence-sym = two-binary-code-morphisms
  + assumes
    coin-ex:  $\exists r s. g r =_m h s$ 
begin

lemma coinE: obtains  $u v$  where  $g u =_m h v$  and  $h v =_m g u$ 
  (proof)

definition  $\alpha'$  where  $\alpha' = (\text{if } |\alpha_g| \leq |\alpha_h| \text{ then } \alpha_g \text{ else } \alpha_h)$ 
definition  $g'$  where  $g' = (\text{if } |\alpha_g| \leq |\alpha_h| \text{ then } (\lambda w. \alpha'^{-1}(g w \cdot \alpha')) \text{ else } (\lambda w.$ 

```

$\alpha'^{-1} > (h \ w \cdot \alpha')$
definition h' **where** $h' = (\text{if } |\alpha_g| \leq |\alpha_h| \text{ then } (\lambda w. \alpha'^{-1} > (h \ w \cdot \alpha')) \text{ else } (\lambda w. \alpha'^{-1} > (g \ w \cdot \alpha')))$

lemma *shift-pref-fst*: $\alpha' \leq_p \alpha_g$
(proof)

interpretation *gshift*: *binary-code-morphism-shift* $g \ \alpha'$
(proof)

interpretation *swap*: *two-binary-code-morphisms* $h \ g$
(proof)

lemma *shift-pref-snd*: $\alpha' \leq_p \alpha_h$
(proof)

interpretation *hshift*: *binary-code-morphism-shift* $h \ \alpha'$
(proof)

lemma *shifted-eq-conv*: $g \ r = h \ s \longleftrightarrow g' \ r = h' \ s$
(proof)

lemma *shifted-eq-conv*: $g \ r = h \ r \longleftrightarrow g' \ r = h' \ r$
(proof)

lemma *shifted-eq-conv'*: $g = h \longleftrightarrow g' = h'$
(proof)

interpretation *shifted-g*: *binary-code-morphism* $(\lambda w. \alpha'^{-1} > (g \ w \cdot \alpha'))$
(proof)

interpretation *shifted-h*: *binary-code-morphism* $(\lambda w. \alpha'^{-1} > (h \ w \cdot \alpha'))$
(proof)

lemma *shifted-min-sol-conv*: $r \in g =_M h \longleftrightarrow r \in g' =_M h'$
(proof)

lemma *shifted-not-triv*: $g = h \longleftrightarrow g' = h'$
(proof)

sublocale *shifted*: *two-binary-code-morphisms* $g' \ h'$
(proof)

lemma *shifted-fst-lcp-emp*: *shifted.g.bin-code-lcp* = ε
(proof)

lemma *shifted-alphas*: **assumes** *le*: $|\alpha_g| \leq |\alpha_h|$
shows $\alpha' \cdot \text{shifted.g.bin-code-lcp} = \alpha_g$ **and** $\alpha' \cdot \text{shifted.h.bin-code-lcp} = \alpha_h$
(proof)

```

interpretation swapped: binary-code-coincidence-sym h g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-len-eq-conv:  $\alpha_g = \alpha_h \longleftrightarrow |\alpha_g| = |\alpha_h|$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma shift-swapped: swapped. $\alpha' = \alpha'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma morphs-swapped: assumes  $|\alpha_g| \neq |\alpha_h|$  shows swapped. $g' = g'$  swapped. $h' = h'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma morphs-swapped': assumes  $|\alpha_g| = |\alpha_h|$  shows swapped. $g' = h'$  swapped. $h' = g'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma shifted-lcp-len-eq:  $|shifted.g.bin\text{-}code\text{-}lcp| = |shifted.h.bin\text{-}code\text{-}lcp| \longleftrightarrow |\alpha_g| = |\alpha_h|$  and
  shifted-lcp-len-le:  $|shifted.g.bin\text{-}code\text{-}lcp| \leq |shifted.h.bin\text{-}code\text{-}lcp|$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

locale two-marked-binary-morphisms = two-marked-morphisms g h
  for g h :: binA list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
begin

sublocale two-binary-code-morphisms g h ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-comm-im: assumes g  $\neq$  h and g s = h s and s  $\neq$  ε
  and hd s = a and set s = binUNIV
  shows g[a] · h [a]  $\neq$  h[a] · g[a]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sol-set-not-com-hd:
  assumes
    morphs-neq: g  $\neq$  h and
    sol: g s = h s and
    sol-set: set s = binUNIV

```

```

shows  $g([hd\ s]) \cdot h([hd\ s]) \neq h([hd\ s]) \cdot g([hd\ s])$ 
⟨proof⟩

sublocale  $g$ : marked-binary-morphism  $g$ 
⟨proof⟩

sublocale  $h$ : marked-binary-morphism  $h$ 
⟨proof⟩

sublocale  $revs$ : two-binary-code-morphisms rev-map  $g$  rev-map  $h$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.9 Two marked binary morphisms with blocks

```

locale two-binary-marked-blocks = two-marked-binary-morphisms +
assumes both-blocks:  $\bigwedge a. blockP a$ 

begin

sublocale  $sucs$ : two-marked-binary-morphisms suc-fst suc-snd
⟨proof⟩

sublocale  $sucs-enc$ : two-marked-binary-morphisms suc-fst' suc-snd'
⟨proof⟩

lemma bin-blocks-swap: two-binary-marked-blocks  $h\ g$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma blocks-all-letters-fst:  $[b] \leq f suc\text{-}fst ([a] \cdot [1-a])$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma blocks-all-letters-snd:  $[b] \leq f suc\text{-}snd ([a] \cdot [1-a])$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lcs-suf-blocks-fst:  $g.\text{bin}\text{-code-lcs} \leq s g (\text{suc}\text{-}fst ([a] \cdot [1-a]))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lcs-suf-blocks-snd:  $h.\text{bin}\text{-code-lcs} \leq s h (\text{suc}\text{-}snd ([a] \cdot [1-a]))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lcs-fst-suf-snd:  $g.\text{bin}\text{-code-lcs} \leq s h.\text{bin}\text{-code-lcs} \cdot h.sucs.h.\text{bin}\text{-code-lcs}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma suf-comp-lcs:  $g.\text{bin}\text{-code-lcs} \bowtie_s h.\text{bin}\text{-code-lcs}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.10 Binary primitivity preserving morphism given by a pair of words

definition *bin-prim* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool
where *bin-prim* *x* *y* \longleftrightarrow primitivity-preserving-morphism (*bin-morph-of* *x* *y*)

lemma *bin-prim-code*:
assumes *bin-prim* *x* *y*
shows *x* \cdot *y* \neq *y* \cdot *x*
{proof}

7.10.1 Translating to to list concatenation

lemma *bin-concat-prim-pres-noner1*:
assumes *x* \neq *y*
and *prim-pres*: $\bigwedge ws. ws \in lists \{x,y\} \implies 2 \leq |ws| \implies primitive ws \implies primitive(concat ws)$
shows *x* \neq ε
{proof}

lemma *bin-concat-prim-pres-noner*:
assumes *x* \neq *y*
and *prim-pres*: $\bigwedge ws. ws \in lists \{x,y\} \implies 2 \leq |ws| \implies primitive ws \implies primitive(concat ws)$
shows nonerasing-morphism (*bin-morph-of* *x* *y*)
{proof}

lemma *bin-prim-concat-prim-pres-conv*:
assumes *x* \neq *y*
shows *bin-prim* *x* *y* \longleftrightarrow ($\forall ws \in lists \{x,y\}. 2 \leq |ws| \rightarrow primitive ws \rightarrow primitive(concat ws)$)
(is $- \longleftrightarrow ?condition$ **)**
{proof}

lemma *bin-prim-concat-prim-pres*:
assumes *bin-prim* *x* *y*
shows *ws* \in *lists* {*x*, *y*} $\implies 2 \leq |ws| \implies primitive ws \implies primitive(concat ws)$
{proof}

lemma *bin-prim-altdef1*:
bin-prim *x* *y* \longleftrightarrow
 $(x \neq y) \wedge (\forall ws \in lists \{x,y\}. 2 \leq |ws| \rightarrow primitive ws \rightarrow primitive(concat ws))$
{proof}

lemma *bin-prim-altdef2*:
bin-prim *x* *y* \longleftrightarrow
 $(x \cdot y \neq y \cdot x) \wedge (\forall ws \in lists \{x,y\}. 2 \leq |ws| \rightarrow primitive ws \rightarrow primitive(concat ws))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

7.10.2 Basic properties of *bin-prim*

lemma *bin-prim-irrefl*: $\neg bin\text{-}prim x x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-prim-symm* [*sym*]: $bin\text{-}prim x y \implies bin\text{-}prim y x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-prim-commutes*: $bin\text{-}prim x y \longleftrightarrow bin\text{-}prim y x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Equations-Basic*
imports
 Periodicity-Lemma
 Lyndon-Schutzenberger
 Submonoids
 Binary-Code-Morphisms
begin

Chapter 8

Equations on words - basics

Contains various nontrivial auxiliary or rudimentary facts related to equations. Often moderately advanced or even fairly advanced. May change significantly in the future.

8.1 Factor interpretation

definition *factor-interpretation* :: '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list list* \Rightarrow *bool*
($\cdots \sim_{\mathcal{I}} \cdots$ [51,51,51,51] 60)
where *factor-interpretation p u s ws* = (*p < p hd ws* \wedge *s < s last ws* \wedge *p · u · s*
= *concat ws*)

lemma *fac-interp-nemp*: *u* $\neq \varepsilon \implies p u s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws \implies ws \neq \varepsilon
(proof)$

lemma *fac-interpD*: **assumes** *p u s ~_{\mathcal{I}} ws*
shows *p < p hd ws* **and** *s < s last ws* **and** *p · u · s = concat ws*
(proof)

lemma *fac-interpI*:
p < p hd ws \implies *s < s last ws* \implies *p · u · s = concat ws* \implies *p u s ~_{\mathcal{I}} ws*
(proof)

lemma *obtain-fac-interp*: **assumes** *pu · u · su = concat ws* **and** *u ≠ ε*
obtains *ps ss p s vs* **where** *p u s ~_{\mathcal{I}} vs* **and** *ps · vs · ss = ws* **and** *concat ps · p*
= *pu* **and**
s · concat ss = su
(proof)

lemma *obtain-fac-interp'*: **assumes** *u ≤ f concat ws* **and** *u ≠ ε*
obtains *p s vs* **where** *p u s ~_{\mathcal{I}} vs* **and** *vs ≤ f ws*
(proof)

lemma *fac-pow-longE*: **assumes** $w \leq_f v @ k$ **and** $|v| \leq |w|$
obtains $m v1 v2$ **where** $v1 \leq_s v v2 \leq_p v w = v1 \cdot v @ m \cdot v2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *obtain-fac-interp-dec*: **assumes** $w \in \langle G \rangle$ $u \leq_f w u \neq \varepsilon$
obtains $p s ws$ **where** $ws \in lists(G - \{\varepsilon\})$ $p u s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws ws \leq_f Dec G w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-interp-inner*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $p u s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws$ **and** $1 < |ws|$
shows $p^{-1} > (hd ws) \cdot concat(butlast(tl ws)) \cdot (last ws)^{<-1} s = u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-interp-inner-len*: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $p u s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws$
shows $|concat(butlast(tl ws))| < |u|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-in-set-map-rev-conv*: $rev u \in set (map rev ws) \longleftrightarrow u \in set ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-fac-interp*: **assumes** $p u s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws$ **shows** $(rev s) (rev u) (rev p) \sim_{\mathcal{I}} rev (map rev ws) \longleftrightarrow p u s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-fac-interp-iff* [*reversal-rule*]: $(rev s) (rev u) (rev p) \sim_{\mathcal{I}} rev (map rev ws) \longleftrightarrow p u s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fac-interp-mid-fac*: **assumes** $p u s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws$
shows $concat(butlast(tl ws)) \leq_f u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *disjoint-interpretation* :: '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list list* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list list* \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \dots \sim_{\mathcal{D}} \dots \rangle$ [51,51,51,51] 60)
where $p us s \sim_{\mathcal{D}} ws \equiv p (concat us) s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws \wedge$
 $(\forall u v. u \leq_p us \wedge v \leq_p ws \longrightarrow p \cdot concat u \neq concat v)$

lemma *disjoint-interpI*: $p (concat us) s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws \implies$
 $(\forall u v. u \leq_p us \wedge v \leq_p ws \longrightarrow p \cdot concat u \neq concat v) \implies p us s \sim_{\mathcal{D}} ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *disjoint-interpI' [intro]*: $p (concat us) s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws \implies$
 $(\forall u v. u \leq_p us \implies v \leq_p ws \implies p \cdot concat u \neq concat v) \implies p us s \sim_{\mathcal{D}} ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *disj-interpD*: $p us s \sim_{\mathcal{D}} ws \implies p (concat us) s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma disj-interpD1: assumes p us s ~D ws and us' ≤p us and ws' ≤p ws
shows p · concat us' ≠ concat ws'
⟨proof⟩

lemma disj-interp-nemp: assumes p us s ~D ws
shows p ≠ ε and s ≠ ε
⟨proof⟩

```

8.1.1 Factor interpretation of morphic images

```

context morphism
begin

```

```

lemma image-fac-interp': assumes w ≤f f z w ≠ ε
obtains p w-pred s where w-pred ≤f z p w s ~I (map fC w-pred)
⟨proof⟩

lemma image-fac-interp: assumes u·w·v = f z w ≠ ε
obtains p w-pred s u-pred v-pred where
    u-pred·w-pred·v-pred = z p w s ~I (map fC w-pred)
    u = (f u-pred)·p v = s·(f v-pred)
⟨proof⟩

lemma image-fac-interp-mid: assumes p w s ~I map fC w-pred 2 ≤ |w-pred|
obtains pw sw where
    w = pw · (f (butlast (tl w-pred))) · sw p·pw = f [hd w-pred] sw·s = f [last
w-pred]
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

8.2 Miscellanea

8.2.1 Mismatch additions

```

lemma mismatch-pref-comm-len: assumes w1 ∈ ⟨{u,v}⟩ and w2 ∈ ⟨{u,v}⟩ and
p ≤p w1
    u · p ≤p v · w2 and |v| ≤ |p|
shows u · v = v · u
⟨proof⟩

lemma mismatch-pref-comm: assumes w1 ∈ ⟨{u,v}⟩ and w2 ∈ ⟨{u,v}⟩ and
u · w1 · v ≤p v · w2 · u
shows u · v = v · u
⟨proof⟩

lemma mismatch-eq-comm: assumes w1 ∈ ⟨{u,v}⟩ and w2 ∈ ⟨{u,v}⟩ and
u · w1 = v · w2

```

shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $mismatch\text{-}suf\text{-}comm = mismatch\text{-}pref\text{-}comm[\text{reversed}]$ **and**

$mismatch\text{-}suf\text{-}comm\text{-}len = mismatch\text{-}pref\text{-}comm\text{-}len[\text{reversed}, \text{unfolded rassoc}]$

8.2.2 Conjugate words with conjugate periods

lemma $conj\text{-}pers\text{-}conj\text{-}comm\text{-}aux$:

assumes $(u \cdot v)^{\otimes k} \cdot u = r \cdot s$ **and** $(v \cdot u)^{\otimes l} \cdot v = (s \cdot r)^{\otimes m}$ **and** $0 < k, 0 < l$ **and** $2 \leq m$

shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $conj\text{-}pers\text{-}conj\text{-}comm$: **assumes** $\varrho(v \cdot (u \cdot v)^{\otimes k}) \sim \varrho((u \cdot v)^{\otimes m} \cdot u)$ **and** $0 < k$ **and** $0 < m$

shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

hide-fact $conj\text{-}pers\text{-}conj\text{-}comm\text{-}aux$

8.2.3 Covering uvvu

lemma $uv\text{-}fac\text{-}uvv$: **assumes** $p \cdot u \cdot v \leq_p u \cdot v \cdot v$ **and** $p \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $p \leq_s w$ **and** $w \in \langle\{u, v\}\rangle$

shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $uv\text{-}fac\text{-}uvv\text{-}suf = uv\text{-}fac\text{-}uvv[\text{reversed}, \text{unfolded rassoc}]$

lemma $u \leq_p v \implies u' \leq_p v' \implies u \wedge_p u' \neq u \implies u \wedge_p u' \neq u' \implies u \wedge_p u' = v$

$\wedge_p v'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $comm\text{-}puv\text{-}pvs\text{-}eq\text{-}uq$: **assumes** $p \cdot u \cdot v = u \cdot v \cdot p$ **and** $p \cdot v \cdot s = u \cdot q$ **and**

$p \leq_p u, q \leq_p w$ **and** $s \leq_p w'$ **and**

$w \in \langle\{u, v\}\rangle$ **and** $w' \in \langle\{u, v\}\rangle$ **and** $|u| \leq |s|$

shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma **assumes** $u \cdot v \cdot v \cdot u = p \cdot u \cdot v \cdot u \cdot q$ **and** $p \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $q \neq \varepsilon$

shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $uvu\text{-}pref\text{-}uvv$: **assumes** $p \cdot u \cdot v \cdot v \cdot s = u \cdot v \cdot u \cdot q$ **and**

$p \leq p u$ and $q \leq p w$ and $s \leq p w'$ and
 $w \in \langle\{u,v\}\rangle$ and $w' \in \langle\{u,v\}\rangle$ and $|u| \leq |s|$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $uvu\text{-pref-}uvvu$: **assumes** $p \cdot u \cdot v \cdot v \cdot u = u \cdot v \cdot u \cdot q$ and
 $p \leq p u$ and $q \leq p w$ and $w \in \langle\{u,v\}\rangle$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $uvu\text{-pref-}uvvu\text{-interp}$: **assumes** $interp: p \cdot u \cdot v \cdot v \cdot u \cdot s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws$ and
 $[u, v, u] \leq p ws$ and $ws \in lists \{u,v\}$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $uvu\text{-suf-}uvvu = uvu\text{-pref-}uvvu[\text{reversed, unfolded rassoc}]$ and
 $uvu\text{-suf-}uvv = uvu\text{-pref-}uvv[\text{reversed, unfolded rassoc}]$

lemma $uvu\text{-suf-}uvvu\text{-interp}$: $p \cdot u \cdot v \cdot v \cdot u \cdot s \sim_{\mathcal{I}} ws \implies [u, v, u] \leq s ws$
 $\implies ws \in lists \{u,v\} \implies u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2.4 Conjugate words

lemma $conjug\text{-pref-suf-mismatch}$: **assumes** $w1 \in \langle\{r \cdot s, s \cdot r\}\rangle$ and $w2 \in \langle\{r \cdot s, s \cdot r\}\rangle$
and $r \cdot w1 = w2 \cdot s$
shows $r = s \vee r = \varepsilon \vee s = \varepsilon$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $conjug\text{-conjug-primroots}$: **assumes** $u \neq \varepsilon$ and $r \neq \varepsilon$ and $\varrho(u \cdot v) = r \cdot s$ and $\varrho(v \cdot u) = s \cdot r$
obtains $k m$ **where** $(r \cdot s)^{\otimes k} \cdot r = u$ and $(s \cdot r)^{\otimes m} \cdot s = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2.5 Predicate “commutes”

definition $commutes :: 'a list set \Rightarrow bool$
where $commutes A = (\forall x y. x \in A \longrightarrow y \in A \longrightarrow x \cdot y = y \cdot x)$

lemma $commutesE$: $commutes A \implies x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $commutes\text{-root}$: **assumes** $commutes A$
obtains r **where** $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies x \in r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $commutes\text{-primroot}$: **assumes** $commutes A$
obtains r **where** $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies x \in r^*$ and **primitive** r

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma commutesI [intro]: $(\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x) \implies \text{commutes } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma commutesI': **assumes** $x \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
shows commutes A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma commutesI-root[intro]: $\forall x \in A. x \in t* \implies \text{commutes } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma commutes-sub: commutes A $\implies B \subseteq A \implies \text{commutes } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma commutes-insert: commutes A $\implies x \in A \implies x \neq \varepsilon \implies x \cdot y = y \cdot x \implies \text{commutes } (\text{insert } y A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma commutes-emp [simp]: commutes $\{\varepsilon, w\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma commutes-emp'[simp]: commutes $\{w, \varepsilon\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma commutes-cancel: **assumes** $y \in A$ **and** $x \cdot y \in A$ **and** commutes A
shows commutes ($\text{insert } x A$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma commutes-cancel': **assumes** $x \in A$ **and** $x \cdot y \in A$ **and** commutes A
shows commutes ($\text{insert } y A$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2.6 Strong elementary lemmas

Discovered by smt

lemma xyx-per-comm: **assumes** $x \cdot y \cdot x \leq_p q \cdot x \cdot y \cdot x$
and $q \neq \varepsilon$ **and** $q \leq_p y \cdot q$
shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma two-elem-root-suf-comm: **assumes** $u \leq_p v \cdot u$ **and** $v \leq_s p \cdot u$ **and** $p \in \langle\{u, v\}\rangle$
shows $u \cdot v = v \cdot u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2.7 Binary words without a letter square

lemma *no-repetition-list*:
assumes set $ws \subseteq \{a,b\}$
and *not-per*: $\neg ws \leq_p [a,b] \cdot ws \neg ws \leq_p [b,a] \cdot ws$
and *not-square*: $\neg [a,a] \leq_f ws$ **and** $\neg [b,b] \leq_f ws$
shows *False*
(proof)

lemma *hd-Cons-append[intro,simp]*: $hd((a \# v) \cdot u) = a$
(proof)

lemma *no-repetition-list-bin*:
fixes $ws :: binA$ list
assumes *not-square*: $\bigwedge c. \neg [c,c] \leq_f ws$
shows $ws \leq_p [hd ws, 1 - (hd ws)] \cdot ws$
(proof)

lemma *per-root-hd-last-root*: **assumes** $ws \leq_p [a,b] \cdot ws$ **and** $hd ws \neq last ws$
shows $ws \in [a,b]^*$
(proof)

lemma *no-cyclic-repetition-list*:
assumes set $ws \subseteq \{a,b\}$ $ws \notin [a,b]^*$ $ws \notin [b,a]^*$ $hd ws \neq last ws$
 $\neg [a,a] \leq_f ws \neg [b,b] \leq_f ws$
shows *False*
(proof)

8.2.8 Three covers

lemma *three-covers-example*:
assumes
 $v: v = a$ **and**
 $t: t = (b \cdot a^{\circledast}(j+1))^{\circledast}(m + l + 1) \cdot b \cdot a$ **and**
 $r: r = a \cdot b \cdot (a^{\circledast}(j+1) \cdot b)^{\circledast}(m + l + 1)$ **and**
 $t': t' = (b \cdot a^{\circledast}(j + 1))^{\circledast} m \cdot b \cdot a$ **and**
 $r': r' = a \cdot b \cdot (a^{\circledast}(j + 1) \cdot b)^{\circledast} l$ **and**
 $w: w = a \cdot (b \cdot a^{\circledast}(j + 1))^{\circledast}(m + l + 1) \cdot b \cdot a$
shows $w = v \cdot t$ **and** $w = r \cdot v$ **and** $w = r' \cdot v^{\circledast}(j + 1) \cdot t'$ $<_p t$ **and** $r' <_s r$
(proof)

lemma *three-covers-pers*: — alias Old Good Lemma
assumes $w = v \cdot t$ **and** $w = r' \cdot v^{\circledast} j \cdot t'$ **and** $w = r \cdot v$ **and** $0 < j$ **and**
 $r' <_s r$ **and** $t' <_p t$
shows *period w* ($|t| - |t'|$) **and** *period w* ($|r| - |r'|$) **and**
 $(|t| - |t'|) + (|r| - |r'|) = |w| + j * |v| - 2 * |v|$
(proof)

lemma *three-covers-per0*: **assumes** $w = v \cdot t$ **and** $w = r' \cdot v^{\circledast} j \cdot t'$ **and** $w = r \cdot$

v and $0 < j$
 $r' <_s r$ and $t' <_p t$ and $|t'| \leq |r'|$
 and primitive v
shows period w ($\gcd(|t| - |t'|)$ ($|r| - |r'|$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma three-covers-per: **assumes** $w = v \cdot t$ and $w = r' \cdot v @ j \cdot t'$ and $w = r \cdot v$
 $r' <_s r$ and $t' <_p t$ and $0 < j$
shows period w ($\gcd(|t| - |t'|)$ ($|r| - |r'|$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm per-root-modE'

lemma assumes $w <_p r \cdot w$
obtains $p q i$ where $w = (p \cdot q) @ i \cdot p$ $p \cdot q = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma three-coversE: **assumes** $w = v \cdot t$ and $w = r' \cdot v \cdot t'$ and $w = r \cdot v$ and
 $r' <_s r$ and $t' <_p t$
obtains $p q i k m$ where $t = (q \cdot p) @ (m+k)$ and $r = (p \cdot q) @ (m+k)$ and
 $t' = (q \cdot p) @ k$ and $r' = (p \cdot q) @ m$ and $v = (p \cdot q) @ i \cdot p$ and
 $w = (p \cdot q) @ (m + i + k) \cdot p$ and primitive $(p \cdot q)$ and $q \neq \varepsilon$
 and $0 < m$ and $0 < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma three-covers-pref-suf-pow: **assumes** $x \cdot y \leq_p w$ and $y \cdot x \leq_s w$ and $w \leq_f$
 $y @ k$ and $|y| \leq |x|$
shows $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2.9 Binary Equality Words

definition binary-equality-word :: $\text{binA list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{binary-equality-word } w = (\exists (g :: \text{binA list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}) h. \text{binary-code-morphism}$
 $g \wedge \text{binary-code-morphism } h \wedge g \neq h \wedge w \in g =_M h)$

lemma not-bew-baiba: **assumes** $|y| < |v|$ and $x \leq_s y$ and $u \leq_s v$ and
 $y \cdot x @ k \cdot y = v \cdot u @ k \cdot v$
shows commutes $\{x, y, u, v\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-bew-baibaib*: **assumes** $|x| < |u|$ **and** $1 < i$ **and**
 $x \cdot y^{\circledR} i \cdot x \cdot y^{\circledR} i \cdot x = u \cdot v^{\circledR} i \cdot u \cdot v^{\circledR} i \cdot u$

shows commutes $\{x, y, u, v\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $\neg binary-equality-word (\mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b}^{\circledR} Suc k \cdot \mathfrak{a} \cdot \mathfrak{b})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

References

- [1] C. Choffrut and J. Karhumäki. *Combinatorics of Words*, page 329–438. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997.
- [2] P. Dömösi and G. Horváth. Alternative proof of the Lyndon–Schützenberger theorem. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 366(3):194–198, Nov. 2006.
- [3] N. J. Fine and H. S. Wilf. Uniqueness theorems for periodic functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 16(1):109–114, 1965.
- [4] M. Lothaire. *Combinatorics on Words*, volume 17 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1983. Reprinted in the *Cambridge Mathematical Library*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 1997.
- [5] M. Lothaire. *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words*. Number 90 in Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [6] M. Lothaire. *Applied Combinatorics on Words*. Number 105 in Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [7] R. C. Lyndon and P. Schützenberger. The equation $a^m = b^n c^p$ in a free group. *Michigan Math. J.*, 9:289–298, 1962.