

Combinatorial q -Analogues

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry defines the q -analogues of various combinatorial symbols, namely:

- The q -bracket $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- The q -factorial $[n]_q! = [1]_q[2]_q \cdots [n]_q$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- The q -binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!}$ for $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ (also known as Gaussian binomial coefficients or Gaussian polynomials)
- The infinite q -Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^n)$
- Euler's ϕ function $\phi(q) = (q; q)_\infty$
- The finite q -Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_n = (a; q)_\infty / (aq^n; q)_\infty$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Proofs for many basic properties are provided, notably for the q -binomial theorem:

$$(-a; q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 + aq^k) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}_q a^k q^{k(k-1)/2}$$

Additionally, two identities of Euler are formalised that give power series expansions for $(a; q)_\infty$ and $1/(a; q)_\infty$ in powers of a :

$$(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-a)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}}{(1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^n)}$$
$$\frac{1}{(a; q)_\infty} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - aq^k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{(1 - q) \cdots (1 - q^n)}$$

Contents

1	Auxiliary material	3
1.1	Additional facts about infinite products	3
1.2	Miscellanea	6
2	q-analogues of basic combinatorial symbols	8
2.1	The q -bracket $[n]_q$	8
2.2	The q -factorial $[n]_q!$	11
2.3	q -binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}_q$	14
2.4	The Gaussian polynomials	16
2.5	The finite Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_n$	18
3	The infinite q-Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_\infty$	23
3.1	Definition and basic properties	23
3.2	Uniform convergence and its consequences	25
3.3	Bounds for $(a; q)_n$ and $\binom{n}{k}_q$ in terms of $(a; q)_\infty$	26
3.4	Limits of the q -binomial coefficients	27
3.5	Useful identities	27
3.6	Two series expansions by Euler	28
3.7	Euler's function	30
4	q-binomial identities	31
4.1	The q -binomial theorem	31
4.2	The infinite q -binomial theorem	32
4.3	The q -Vandermonde identity	32

1 Auxiliary material

1.1 Additional facts about infinite products

```
theory More_Infinite_Products
imports "HOL-Analysis.Analysis"
begin

lemma uniform_limit_singleton: "uniform_limit {x} f g F  $\longleftrightarrow$  (( $\lambda n. f n x$ )  $\longrightarrow$  g x) F"
  (proof)

lemma uniformly_convergent_on_singleton:
  "uniformly_convergent_on {x} f  $\longleftrightarrow$  convergent ( $\lambda n. f n x$ )"
  (proof)

lemma uniformly_convergent_on_subset:
  assumes "uniformly_convergent_on A f" "B  $\subseteq$  A"
  shows   "uniformly_convergent_on B f"
  (proof)

lemma raw_has_prod_imp_nonzero:
  assumes "raw_has_prod f N P" "n  $\geq$  N"
  shows   "f n  $\neq$  0"
(proof)

lemma has_prod_imp_tendsto:
  fixes f :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {semidom, t2_space}"
  assumes "f has_prod P"
  shows   " $(\lambda n. \prod_{k \leq n} f k) \longrightarrow P$ "
(proof)

lemma has_prod_imp_tendsto':
  fixes f :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {semidom, t2_space}"
  assumes "f has_prod P"
  shows   " $(\lambda n. \prod_{k < n} f k) \longrightarrow P$ "
(proof)

lemma convergent_prod_tendsto_imp_has_prod:
  fixes f :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: real_normed_field"
  assumes "convergent_prod f" " $(\lambda n. (\prod_{i \leq n} f i)) \longrightarrow P$ "
  shows   "f has_prod P"
(proof)

lemma has_prod_group_nonzero:
```

```

fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: {semidom, t2_space}"
assumes "f has_prod P" "k > 0" "P ≠ 0"
shows "(λn. (∏ i ∈ {n*k..n*k+k}. f i)) has_prod P"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_prod_group:
  fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: real_normed_field"
  assumes "f has_prod P" "k > 0"
  shows "(λn. (∏ i ∈ {n*k..n*k+k}. f i)) has_prod P"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_prod_nonneg:
  assumes "f has_prod P" "¬ ∃ n. f n ≤ 0"
  shows "P ≥ 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_prod_pos:
  assumes "f has_prod P" "¬ ∃ n. f n < 0"
  shows "P > 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma prod_ge_prodinf:
  fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: {linordered_idom, linorder_topology}"
  assumes "f has_prod a" "¬ ∃ i. 0 ≤ f i" "¬ ∃ i. i ≥ n ⇒ f i ≤ 1"
  shows "prod f {..n} ≥ prodinf f"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_prod_less:
  fixes F G :: real
  assumes less: "f m < g m"
  assumes f: "f has_prod F" and g: "g has_prod G"
  assumes pos: "¬ ∃ n. 0 < f n" and le: "¬ ∃ n. f n ≤ g n"
  shows "F < G"
⟨proof⟩

```

Cauchy's criterion for the convergence of infinite products, adapted to proving uniform convergence: let $f_k(x)$ be a sequence of functions such that

1. $f_k(x)$ has uniformly bounded partial products, i.e. there exists a constant C such that $\prod_{k=0}^m f_k(x) \leq C$ for all m and $x \in A$.
2. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for any $m, n \geq M$ and all $x \in A$ we have $|(\prod_{k=m}^n f_k(x)) - 1| < \varepsilon$

Then $\prod_{k=0}^n f_k(x)$ converges to $\prod_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(x)$ uniformly for all $x \in A$.

```
lemma uniformly_convergent_prod_Cauchy:
```

```

fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: topological_space ⇒ 'b :: {real_normed_div_algebra,
comm_ring_1, banach}"
assumes C: "∀x m. x ∈ A ⇒ norm (∏ k<m. f k x) ≤ C"
assumes "∀e. e > 0 ⇒ ∃M. ∀x∈A. ∀m≥M. ∀n≥m. dist (∏ k=m..n. f
k x) 1 < e"
shows "uniformly_convergent_on A (λN x. ∏ n<N. f n x)"
⟨proof⟩

```

By instantiating the set A in this result with a singleton set, we obtain the “normal” Cauchy criterion for infinite products:

```

lemma convergent_prod_Cauchy_sufficient:
fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'b :: {real_normed_div_algebra, comm_ring_1, banach}"
assumes "∀e. e > 0 ⇒ ∃M. ∀m n. M ≤ m → m ≤ n → dist (∏ k=m..n.
f k) 1 < e"
shows "convergent_prod f"
⟨proof⟩

```

We now prove that the Cauchy criterion for pointwise convergence is both necessary and sufficient.

```

lemma convergent_prod_Cauchy_necessary:
fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'b :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
assumes "convergent_prod f" "e > 0"
shows "∃M. ∀m n. M ≤ m → m ≤ n → dist (∏ k=m..n. f k) 1 <
e"
⟨proof⟩

lemma convergent_prod_Cauchy_iff:
fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'b :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
shows "convergent_prod f ↔ (∀e>0. ∃M. ∀m n. M ≤ m → m ≤ n →
dist (∏ k=m..n. f k) 1 < e)"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uniform_limit_suminf:
fixes f:: "nat ⇒ 'a :: topological_space ⇒ 'b:{metric_space, comm_monoid_add}"
assumes "uniformly_convergent_on X (λn x. ∑ k<n. f k x)"
shows "uniform_limit X (λn x. ∑ k<n. f k x) (λx. ∑ k. f k x) sequentially"
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniformly_convergent_on_prod:
fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: topological_space ⇒ 'b :: {real_normed_div_algebra,
comm_ring_1, banach}"
assumes cont: "∀n. continuous_on A (f n)"
assumes A: "compact A"
assumes conv_sum: "uniformly_convergent_on A (λN x. ∑ n<N. norm (f
n x))"
shows "uniformly_convergent_on A (λN x. ∏ n<N. 1 + f n x)"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uniformly_convergent_on_prod':
  fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: topological_space ⇒ 'b :: {real_normed_div_algebra,
  comm_ring_1, banach}"
  assumes cont: "⋀n. continuous_on A (f n)"
  assumes A: "compact A"
  assumes conv_sum: "uniformly_convergent_on A (λN x. ∑n<N. norm (f
  n x - 1))"
  shows "uniformly_convergent_on A (λN x. ∏n<N. f n x)"
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Q_Library
imports "HOL-Analysis.Analysis" "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Computational_Algebra"
begin

1.2 Miscellanea

lemma prod_uminus: "(∏x∈A. -f x :: 'a :: comm_ring_1) = (-1) ^ card
A * (∏x∈A. f x)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma prod_diff_swap:
  fixes f :: "'a ⇒ 'b :: comm_ring_1"
  shows "prod (λx. f x - g x) A = (-1) ^ card A * prod (λx. g x - f x)
A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma prod_diff:
  fixes f :: "'a ⇒ 'b :: field"
  assumes "finite A" "B ⊆ A" "⋀x. x ∈ B ⟹ f x ≠ 0"
  shows "prod f (A - B) = prod f A / prod f B"
⟨proof⟩

lemma power_inject_exp':
  assumes "a ≠ 1" "a > (0 :: 'a :: linordered_semidom)"
  shows "a ^ m = a ^ n ⟷ m = n"
⟨proof⟩

lemma q_power_neq_1:
  assumes "norm (q :: 'a :: real_normed_div_algebra) < 1" "n > 0"
  shows "q ^ n ≠ 1"
⟨proof⟩

lemma fls_nth_sum: "fls_nth (∑x∈A. f x) n = (∑x∈A. fls_nth (f x)
n)"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma one_plus_fls_X_powi_eq:
  "(1 + fls_X) powi n = fps_to_fls (fps_binomial (of_int n :: 'a :: field_char_0))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bij_betw_imp_empty_iff: "bij_betw f A B ⟹ A = {} ⟷ B = {}"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bij_betw_imp_Ex_iff: "bij_betw f {x. P x} {x. Q x} ⟹ (∃ x. P x) ⟷ (∃ x. Q x)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bij_betw_imp_Bex_iff: "bij_betw f {x∈A. P x} {x∈B. Q x} ⟹ (∃ x∈A. P x) ⟷ (∃ x∈B. Q x)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas [derivative_intros del] = Deriv.DERIV_power_int
lemma DERIV_power_int [derivative_intros]:
  assumes [derivative_intros]: "(f has_field_derivative d) (at x within s)"
    and "n ≥ 0 ∨ f x ≠ 0"
  shows   "((λx. power_int (f x) n) has_field_derivative
            (of_int n * power_int (f x) (n - 1) * d)) (at x within s)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform_limit_compose':
  assumes "uniform_limit B (λx y. f x y) (λy. f' y) F" "¬� y ∈ A ⟹
  g y ∈ B"
  shows   "uniform_limit A (λx y. f x (g y)) (λy. f' (g y)) F"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eventually_eventually_prod_filter1:
  assumes "eventually P (F × F G)"
  shows   "eventually (λx. eventually (λy. P (x, y)) G) F"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eventually_eventually_prod_filter2:
  assumes "eventually P (F × F G)"
  shows   "eventually (λy. eventually (λx. P (x, y)) F) G"
  ⟨proof⟩

proposition swap_uniform_limit':
  assumes f: "¬� F n in F. (f n ⟶ g n) G"

```

```

assumes g: "(g —> l) F"
assumes uc: "uniform_limit S f h F"
assumes ev: "\_F x in G. x \in S"
assumes "\_trivial_limit F"
shows "(h —> l) G"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

proposition swap_uniform_limit:
assumes f: "\_F n in F. (f n —> g n) (at x within S)"
assumes g: "(g —> l) F"
assumes uc: "uniform_limit S f h F"
assumes nt: "\_trivial_limit F"
shows "(h —> l) (at x within S)"
⟨proof⟩

```

Tannery's Theorem proves that, under certain boundedness conditions:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(k, n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lim_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}} f(k, n)$$

```

lemma tannerys_theorem:
fixes a :: "nat \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow 'a :: {real_normed_algebra, banach}"
assumes limit: "\_k. ((\_n. a k n) —> b k) F"
assumes bound: "eventually (\_k, n). norm (a k n) \leq M k (at_top \times_F
F)"
assumes "summable M"
assumes [simp]: "F \neq bot"
shows "eventually (\_n. summable (\_k. norm (a k n))) F \wedge
summable (\_n. norm (b n)) \wedge
((\_n. suminf (\_k. a k n)) —> suminf b) F"
⟨proof⟩

```

end

2 q -analogues of basic combinatorial symbols

```

theory Q_Analogues
imports "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis" Q_Library
begin

```

Various mathematical operations have generalisations in the form of q -analogues, usually in the sense that one recovers the original notion if we let $q \rightarrow 1$.

2.1 The q -bracket $[n]_q$

The q -bracket $[n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$ is the q -analogue of an integer n . The q -bracket has a removable singularity at $q = 1$ with $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [n]_q = n$.

```

definition qbracket :: "'a :: field" where
  "qbracket q n = (if q = 1 then of_int n else (1 - q) powi n) / (1 - q)"

lemma qbracket_1_left [simp]: "qbracket 1 n = of_int n"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_0_0 [simp]: "qbracket 0 0 = 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_0_nonneg [simp]: "n ≠ 0 ⟹ qbracket 0 n = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_0_left: "qbracket 0 n = (if n = 0 then 0 else 1)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_0 [simp]: "qbracket q 0 = 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_1 [simp]: "qbracket q 1 = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_2 [simp]: "qbracket q 2 = 1 + q"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_of_real: "qbracket (of_real q :: 'a :: real_field) n = of_real (qbracket q n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_minus:
  assumes "q = 0 → n = 0"
  shows   "qbracket q (-n) = -qbracket (inverse q) n / q"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_inverse:
  assumes "q = 0 → n = 0"
  shows   "qbracket (inverse q) n = -q * qbracket q (-n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_nonneg_altdef: "n ≥ 0 ⟹ qbracket q n = (∑ k<nat. q ^ k)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_nonpos_altdef:
  assumes n: "n ≤ 0" and [simp]: "q ≠ 0"
  shows   "qbracket q n = -(q powi n * (∑ k<nat. (-n) * q ^ k))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qbracket_le:
  fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"

```

```

assumes "n ≥ 0" "norm q ≤ 1"
shows   "norm (qbracket q n) ≤ real_of_int n"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_add:
assumes "q ≠ 0 ∨ (k + 1 = 0 → k = 0)"
shows   "qbracket q (k + 1) = qbracket q 1 * q powi k + qbracket q k"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_diff:
assumes "q ≠ 0 ∨ (k = 1 → k = 0)"
shows   "qbracket q (k - 1) = qbracket q (-1) * q powi k + qbracket q k"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_diff':
assumes "q ≠ 0 ∨ (k = 1 → k = 0)"
shows   "qbracket q (k - 1) = qbracket q k * q powi -1 + qbracket q (-1)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_plus1: "q ≠ 0 ∨ n ≠ -1 ⇒ qbracket q (n + 1) = qbracket q n + q powi n"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_rec: "q ≠ 0 ∨ n ≠ 0 ⇒ qbracket q n = qbracket q (n-1) + q powi (n-1)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbracket_eq_0_iff:
fixes q :: "'a :: field"
shows   "qbracket q n = 0 ↔ (q = 1 ∧ of_int n = (0 :: 'a)) ∨ (q ≠ 1 ∧ q powi n = 1)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_qbracket [continuous_intros]:
fixes q :: "'a::topological_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_field"
assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on A q"
assumes "¬(x. n < 0 ⇒ x ∈ A ⇒ q x ≠ 0)"
shows   "continuous_on A (λx. qbracket (q x) n)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_qbracket [tendsto_intros]:
fixes q :: "'a::topological_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_field"
assumes "(q → Q) F"
assumes "n < 0 ⇒ Q ≠ 0"
shows   "((λx. qbracket (q x) n) → qbracket Q n) F"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma continuous_qbracket [continuous_intros]:
  fixes q :: "'a::t2_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_field"
  assumes "continuous F q"
  assumes "n < 0 ⇒ q (netlimit F) ≠ 0"
  shows   "continuous F (λx. qbracket (q x) n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_field_derivative_qbracket_real [derivative_intros]:
  fixes q :: real
  assumes "q ≠ 0 ∨ n ≥ 0"
  defines "D ≡ (if q = 1 then of_int (n * (n - 1)) / 2
                else (1 - q powi n)/(1-q)^2 - of_int n * q powi (n-1)
    / (1-q))"
  shows   "((λq. qbracket q n) has_field_derivative D) (at q within A)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_field_derivative_qbracket_complex [derivative_intros]:
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes "q ≠ 0 ∨ n ≥ 0"
  defines "D ≡ (if q = 1 then of_int (n * (n - 1)) / 2
                else (1 - q powi n)/(1-q)^2 - of_int n * q powi (n-1)
    / (1-q))"
  shows   "((λq. qbracket q n) has_field_derivative D) (at q within A)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma holomorphic_on_qbracket [holomorphic_intros]:
  assumes "q holomorphic_on A"
  assumes "∀x. n < 0 ⇒ x ∈ A ⇒ q x ≠ 0"
  shows   "(λx. qbracket (q x) n) holomorphic_on A"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma analytic_on_qbracket [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "q analytic_on A"
  assumes "∀x. n < 0 ⇒ x ∈ A ⇒ q x ≠ 0"
  shows   "(λx. qbracket (q x) n) analytic_on A"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma meromorphic_on_qbracket [meromorphic_intros]:
  assumes "q meromorphic_on A"
  shows   "(λx. qbracket (q x) n) meromorphic_on A"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.2 The q -factorial $[n]_q!$

Since the q -bracket gives us the q -analogue of an integer n , we can use this to recursively define the q -factorial $[n]_q!$. Again, letting $q \rightarrow 1$, we recover the “normal” factorial.

```

definition qfact :: "'a ⇒ int ⇒ 'a :: field" where
  "qfact q n = (if n < 0 then 0 else (∏k=1..n. qbracket q k))"

```

```

lemma qfact_1_of_nat [simp]: "qfact 1 (int n) = fact n"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_1_nonneg [simp]: "n ≥ 0 ⇒ qfact 1 n = fact (nat n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_neg [simp]: "n < 0 ⇒ qfact q n = 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_0 [simp]: "qfact q 0 = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_1 [simp]: "qfact q 1 = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_2: "qfact q 2 = 1 + q"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_of_real: "qfact (of_real q :: 'a :: real_field) n = of_real
(qfact q n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_plus1: "n ≠ -1 ⇒ qfact q (n + 1) = qfact q n * qbracket
q (n + 1)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_rec: "n > 0 ⇒ qfact q n = qbracket q n * qfact q (n - 1)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_altdef: "q ≠ 1 ⇒ n ≥ 0 ⇒ qfact q n = (∏ k=1..n. 1 -
q powi k) * (1 - q) powi (-n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_int_def: "qfact q (int n) = (∏ k=1..n. qbracket q (int k))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_eq_0_iff:
  fixes q :: "'a :: field_char_0"
  shows "qfact q n = 0 ↔ n < 0 ∨ (q ≠ 1 ∧ (∃ k ∈ {1..nat n}. q ^ k
= 1))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qfact_eq_0_iff' [simp]:
  fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
  assumes "norm q ≠ 1"
  shows "qfact q n = 0 ↔ n < 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma prod_neg_qbracket_conv_qfact:
  assumes [simp]: "q ≠ 0"
  shows "(∏ k=1..n. qbracket q (-int k)) = (-1)^n * qfact q n / q ^ (n+1) choose 2"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qfact_le:
  fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
  assumes "n ≥ 0" "norm q ≤ 1"
  shows "norm (qfact q n) ≤ fact (nat n)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_qfact [continuous_intros]:
  fixes q :: "'a::topological_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_field"
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on A q"
  shows "continuous_on A (λx. qfact (q x) n)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_qfact [continuous_intros]:
  fixes q :: "'a::t2_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_field"
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous F q"
  shows "continuous F (λx. qfact (q x) n)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_qfact [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes q :: "'a::topological_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_field"
  assumes [tendsto_intros]: "(q → Q) F"
  shows "((λx. qfact (q x) n) → qfact Q n) F"
⟨proof⟩

lemma holomorphic_on_qfact [holomorphic_intros]:
  assumes [holomorphic_intros]: "q holomorphic_on A"
  shows "(λx. qfact (q x) n) holomorphic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma analytic_on_qfact [analytic_intros]:
  assumes [analytic_intros]: "q analytic_on A"
  shows "(λx. qfact (q x) n) analytic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma meromorphic_on_qfact [meromorphic_intros]:
  assumes [meromorphic_intros]: "q meromorphic_on A"
  shows "(λx. qfact (q x) n) meromorphic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

```

2.3 q -binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}_q$

We can also define q -binomial coefficients in such a way that we will get

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}$$

and therefore recover the “normal” binomial coefficients if we let $q \rightarrow 1$.

```
fun qbinomial :: "'a :: field" where
  "qbinomial q n 0 = 1"
  | "qbinomial q 0 (Suc k) = 0"
  | "qbinomial q (Suc n) (Suc k) = q ^ Suc k * qbinomial q n (Suc k) + qbinomial
    q n k"

lemma qbinomial_induct [case_names zero_right zero_left step]:
  "( $\bigwedge n. P n 0$ )  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge k. P 0 (Suc k)$ )  $\implies$ 
   ( $\bigwedge n k. P n (Suc k) \implies P n k \implies P (Suc n) (Suc k)$ )  $\implies P n k"$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qbinomial_1_left [simp]: "qbinomial 1 n k = of_nat (binomial n k)"
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qbinomial_eq_0 [simp]: "k > n  $\implies$  qbinomial q n k = 0"
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qbinomial_n_n [simp]: "qbinomial q n n = 1"
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qbinomial_0_left: "qbinomial 0 n k = (if k  $\leq$  n then 1 else 0)"
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qbinomial_0_left' [simp]: "k  $\leq$  n  $\implies$  qbinomial 0 n k = 1"
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qbinomial_0_middle: "qbinomial q 0 k = (if k = 0 then 1 else 0)"
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qbinomial_of_real: "qbinomial (of_real q :: 'a :: real_field) m n = of_real (qbinomial q m n)"
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qbinomial_qfact_lemma:
  assumes "k  $\leq$  n"
  shows "qfact q k * qfact q (int (n - k)) * qbinomial q n k = qfact q n"
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qbinomial_qfact:
```

```

fixes q :: "'a :: field_char_0"
assumes "\n(\exists k \in \{1..n\}. q ^ k = 1)"
shows "qbinomial q n k = qfact q n / (qfact q k * qfact q (int n - int k))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbinomial_qfact':
fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
assumes "q = 1 \vee norm q \neq 1"
shows "qbinomial q n k = qfact q n / (qfact q k * qfact q (int n - int k))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbinomial_symmetric:
fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
assumes "norm q \neq 1" "k \leq n"
shows "qbinomial q n (n - k) = qbinomial q n k"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbinomial_rec1:
"n > 0 \implies k > 0 \implies
qbinomial q n k = q ^ k * qbinomial q (n - 1) k + qbinomial q (n - 1) (k - 1)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbinomial_rec2:
fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
assumes "norm q \neq 1" "n > 0" "k < n"
shows "qbinomial q n k = (1 - q ^ n) / (1 - q ^ (n - k)) * qbinomial q (n-1) k"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbinomial_rec3:
fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
assumes "norm q \neq 1" "k > 0" "k \leq n"
shows "qbinomial q n k = (1 - q ^ n) / (1 - q ^ k) * qbinomial q (n-1) (k-1)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbinomial_rec4:
fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
assumes "norm q \neq 1" "n > 0" "k > 0" "k \leq n"
shows "qbinomial q n k = (1 - q ^ (Suc n - k)) / (1 - q ^ k) * qbinomial q n (k-1)"
⟨proof⟩

lemmas qbinomial_Suc_Suc [simp del] = qbinomial.simps(3)

lemma qbinomial_Suc_Suc':

```

```

fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
assumes q: "norm q ≠ 1"
shows "qbinomial q (Suc n) (Suc k) =
      qbinomial q n (Suc k) + q^(n-k) * qbinomial q n k"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma continuous_on_qbinomial [continuous_intros]:
  fixes q :: "'a::topological_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_field"
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on A q"
  shows   "continuous_on A (λx. qbinomial (q x) m n)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_qbinomial [continuous_intros]:
  fixes q :: "'a::t2_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_field"
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous F q"
  shows   "continuous F (λx. qbinomial (q x) m n)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_qbinomial [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes q :: "'a::topological_space ⇒ 'b :: real_normed_field"
  assumes [tendsto_intros]: "(q → Q) F"
  shows   "((λx. qbinomial (q x) m n) → qbinomial Q m n) F"
⟨proof⟩

lemma holomorphic_on_qbinomial [holomorphic_intros]:
  assumes [holomorphic_intros]: "q holomorphic_on A"
  shows   "(λx. qbinomial (q x) m n) holomorphic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma analytic_on_qbinomial [analytic_intros]:
  assumes [analytic_intros]: "q analytic_on A"
  shows   "(λx. qbinomial (q x) m n) analytic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma meromorphic_on_qbinomial [meromorphic_intros]:
  assumes [meromorphic_intros]: "q meromorphic_on A"
  shows   "(λx. qbinomial (q x) m n) meromorphic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

```

2.4 The Gaussian polynomials

The q -binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}_q$ is a polynomial of degree $k(n-k)$ in q . These polynomials are often called the *Gaussian polynomials*.

```

fun gauss_poly :: "nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a :: comm_semiring_1 poly" where
  "gauss_poly n 0 = 1"
| "gauss_poly 0 (Suc k) = 0"
| "gauss_poly (Suc n) (Suc k) = monom 1 (Suc k) * gauss_poly n (Suc k)

```

```

+ gauss_poly n k"

lemma poly_gauss_poly [simp]:
  "poly (gauss_poly n k) q = qbinomial q n k"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of_nat_coeff_gauss_poly [simp]: "of_nat (coeff (gauss_poly n k)
i) = coeff (gauss_poly n k) i"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of_int_coeff_gauss_poly [simp]: "of_int (coeff (gauss_poly n k)
i) = coeff (gauss_poly n k) i"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_coeff_gauss_poly [simp]:
  "norm (coeff (gauss_poly n k) i) :: 'a :: {real_normed_algebra_1, comm_semiring_1}"
= coeff (gauss_poly n k) i"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas gauss_poly_Suc_Suc [simp del] = gauss_poly.simps(3)

lemma gauss_poly_eq_0 [simp]: "k > n ⟹ gauss_poly n k = 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff_0_gauss_poly [simp]: "k ≤ n ⟹ coeff (gauss_poly n k) 0
= 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gauss_poly_eq_0_iff [simp]: "gauss_poly n k = 0 ⟺ k > n"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gauss_poly_n_n [simp]: "gauss_poly n n = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff_gauss_poly_nonneg: "coeff (gauss_poly n k) :: 'a :: linordered_semidom
poly) i ≥ 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff_gauss_poly_le:
  "coeff (gauss_poly n k) :: 'a :: linordered_semidom poly) i ≤ of_nat
(n choose k)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree_gauss_poly: "degree (gauss_poly n k) :: 'a :: idom poly)
= k * (n - k)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qbinomial_le_binomial:

```

```

fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
assumes "norm q < 1"
shows   "norm (qbinomial q n k) ≤ real (n choose k) * (1 - norm q) ^ (k*(n-k)+1)) / (1 - norm q)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qbinomial_le_binomial':
fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
assumes "norm q < 1"
shows   "norm (qbinomial q n k) ≤ real (n choose k) / (1 - norm q)"
⟨proof⟩

```

2.5 The finite Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_n$

The definition of the q -Pochhammer symbol is a bit less obvious. Recall that the ordinary Pochhammer symbol is defined as

$$a^{\bar{n}} = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1).$$

The q -Pochhammer symbol is defined as

$$(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq)(1 - aq^2)\cdots(1 - aq^{n-1})$$

for $n \geq 0$. We extend the definition to $n < 0$ such that the recurrences that hold for $n \geq 0$ carry over to the negative domain as well. Effectively, what we do is to define

$$(a; q)_{-n} = \frac{1}{(aq^{-n}; q)_n}$$

```

definition qpochhammer :: "int ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a :: field" where
  "qpochhammer n a q =
    (if n ≥ 0 then (∏ k<nat. (1 - a * q ^ k)) else (∏ k=1..nat (-n).
    1 / (1 - a / q ^ k)))"

```

Seeing in which way it is an analogue of the “normal” Pochhammer symbol $a^{\bar{n}} = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$ is more involved than for the other analogues: if we simply let $q = 1$, we merely get $(1 - a)^n$.

However, we do have:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{(q^a; q)_\infty}{(1 - q)^n} = a^{\bar{n}}$$

```

lemma qpochhammer_tendsto_pochhammer:
  "(λq::real. qpochhammer (int n) (q powr a) q / (1 - q) ^ n) -1→ pochhammer
  a n"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_nonneg_def: "qpochhammer (int n) a q = (∏ k<n. (1 -
  a * q ^ k))"
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma qpochhammer_0 [simp]: "qpochhammer 0 a q = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_1 [simp]: "qpochhammer 1 a q = 1 - a"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_1_right [simp]: "qpochhammer n a 1 = (1 - a) powi n"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_neg1 [simp]: "q ≠ 0 ⟹ q ≠ a ⟹ qpochhammer (-1)
a q = q / (q - a)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_0_middle [simp]: "qpochhammer n 0 q = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_0_right: "qpochhammer n a 0 = (if n > 0 then 1 - a
else 1)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_0_right_pos [simp]: "n > 0 ⟹ qpochhammer n a 0 =
1 - a"
  and qpochhammer_0_right_nonpos [simp]: "n ≤ 0 ⟹ qpochhammer n a 0
= 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_nat_eq_0_iff:
  "qpochhammer (int n) a q = 0 ↔ (∃k<n. a * q ^ k = 1)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_of_real:
  "qpochhammer n (of_real a :: 'a :: real_field) (of_real q) = of_real
(qpochhammer n a q)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_eq_0_iff:
  "qpochhammer n a q = 0 ↔ (∃k ∈ {min n 0..<max n 0}. a * q powi k =
1)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_rec:
  assumes "¬(∃k. int k ∈ {0..n} ∧ q ^ k = a)"
  shows   "qpochhammer (n + 1) a q = qpochhammer n a q * (1 - a * q powi
n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_plus1:
  assumes "n ≥ 0 ∨ x * q powi n ≠ 1"

```

```

shows      "qpochhammer (n + 1) x q = qpochhammer n x q * (1 - x * q powi
n)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_minus1:
assumes "x * q powi (n - 1) ≠ 1"
shows      "qpochhammer (n - 1) x q = qpochhammer n x q / (1 - x * q powi
(n - 1))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_1plus:
assumes "n ≥ 0 ∨ x * q powi n ≠ 1"
shows      "qpochhammer (1 + n) x q = qpochhammer n x q * (1 - x * q powi
n)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_nat_add:
fixes m n :: nat
shows      "qpochhammer (int m + int n) x q = qpochhammer (int m) x q * qpochhammer
n (q ^ m * x) q"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_minus:
assumes "n < 0 → q ≠ 0"
shows      "qpochhammer (-n) a q = 1 / qpochhammer n (a / q powi n) q"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_add:
assumes "¬(k. k ∈ {m+min n 0..

```

```

qpochhammer (int n) q q / (qpochhammer (int k) q q * qpochhammer
(int n - int k) q q)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qpochhammer_nonneg_le:
  fixes a q :: "'a::{real_normed_field}"
  assumes "norm q ≤ 1"
  shows   "norm (qpochhammer (int n) a q) ≤ (1 + norm a) ^ n"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qpochhammer_nonneg_ge:
  fixes a q :: "'a::{real_normed_field}"
  assumes "norm q ≤ 1" "norm a ≤ 1"
  shows   "norm (qpochhammer (int n) a q) ≥ (1 - norm a) ^ n"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_nonneg_nonzero:
  fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
  assumes "norm q < 1" "norm a < 1"
  shows   "qpochhammer (int k) a q ≠ 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbinomial_conv_qpochhammer':
  fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field}"
  assumes "norm q < 1" "k ≤ n"
  shows   "qbinomial q n k = qpochhammer (int k) (q ^ (n + 1 - k)) q /
qpochhammer (int k) q q"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qbinomial_le:
  fixes a q :: "'a::{real_normed_field}"
  assumes "norm q < 1"
  shows   "norm (qbinomial q n k) ≤ ((1 + norm q) / (1 - norm q)) ^ k"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qbinomial_ge:
  fixes a q :: "'a::{real_normed_field}"
  assumes "norm q < 1" "k ≤ n"
  shows   "norm (qbinomial q n k) ≥ ((1 - norm q) / (1 + norm q)) ^ k"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qpochhammer_nonneg_le_qpochhammer:
  fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
  shows   "norm (qpochhammer (int k) a q) ≤ qpochhammer (int k) (-norm
a) (norm q)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qpochhammer_nonneg_ge_qpochhammer:
  fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"

```

```

assumes "norm q ≤ 1" "norm a ≤ 1"
shows   "norm (qpochhammer (int k) a q) ≥ qpochhammer (int k) (norm
a) (norm q)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_nonneg:
assumes "a ≤ 1" "0 ≤ q" "q ≤ 1"
shows   "qpochhammer (int n) a (q::real) ≥ 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_pos:
assumes "a < 1" "0 ≤ q" "q ≤ 1"
shows   "qpochhammer (int n) a (q::real) > 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma holomorphic_qpochhammer [holomorphic_intros]:
fixes f g :: "complex ⇒ complex"
assumes [holomorphic_intros]: "f holomorphic_on A" "g holomorphic_on
A"
assumes "¬(λx. qpochhammer n (g x) (f x)) holomorphic_on A"
shows   "¬(λx. qpochhammer n (g x) (f x)) holomorphic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma analytic_qpochhammer [analytic_intros]:
fixes f g :: "complex ⇒ complex"
assumes [analytic_intros]: "f analytic_on A" "g analytic_on A"
assumes "¬(λx. qpochhammer n (g x) (f x)) analytic_on A"
shows   "¬(λx. qpochhammer n (g x) (f x)) analytic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma meromorphic_qpochhammer [meromorphic_intros]:
fixes f g :: "complex ⇒ complex"
assumes [meromorphic_intros]: "f meromorphic_on A" "g meromorphic_on
A"
shows   "¬(λx. qpochhammer n (g x) (f x)) meromorphic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_qpochhammer [continuous_intros]:
fixes f g :: "'a :: topological_space ⇒ 'b :: {real_normed_field}"
assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on A f" "continuous_on A g"
assumes "¬(λx. qpochhammer n (g x) (f x)) continuous_on A"
shows   "continuous_on A (λx. qpochhammer n (g x) (f x))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_qpochhammer [continuous_intros]:

```

```

fixes f g :: "'a :: t2_space ⇒ 'b :: {real_normed_field}"
assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous (at x within A) f" "continuous
(at x within A) g"
assumes "¬(k. int k ∈ {0<..-n} ⇒ f x ^ k ≠ g x)" "f x ≠ 0"
shows "continuous (at x within A) (λx. qpochhammer n (g x) (f x))"
⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_qpochhammer [tendsto_intros]:
fixes f g :: "'a ⇒ 'b :: {real_normed_field}"
assumes [tendsto_intros]: "(f ⟶ q) F" "(g ⟶ a) F"
assumes "¬(k. int k ∈ {0<..-n} ⇒ q ^ k ≠ a)" "q ≠ 0"
shows "((λx. qpochhammer n (g x) (f x)) ⟶ qpochhammer n a q) F"
⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 The infinite q -Pochhammer symbol $(a; q)_\infty$

```

theory Q_Pochhammer_Infinite
imports
  More_Infinite_Products
  Q_Analogues
begin

3.1 Definition and basic properties

definition qpochhammer_inf :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel} ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a" where
  "qpochhammer_inf a q = prodinf (λk. 1 - a * q ^ k)"

bundle qpochhammer_inf_notation
begin
  notation qpochhammer_inf ("'(_ ; _')_\infty")
end

bundle no_qpochhammer_inf_notation
begin
  no_notation qpochhammer_inf ("'(_ ; _')_\infty")
end

lemma qpochhammer_inf_0_left [simp]: "qpochhammer_inf 0 q = 1"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_inf_0_right [simp]: "qpochhammer_inf a 0 = 1 - a"
⟨proof⟩

lemma abs_convergent_qpochhammer_inf:
  fixes a q :: "'a :: {real_normed_div_algebra, banach}"

```

```

assumes "norm q < 1"
shows   "abs_convergent_prod (\lambda n. 1 - a * q ^ n) = 1"
⟨proof⟩

lemma convergent_qpochhammer_inf:
fixes a q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
assumes "norm q < 1"
shows   "convergent_prod (\lambda n. 1 - a * q ^ n) = 1"
⟨proof⟩

lemma has_prod_qpochhammer_inf:
"norm q < 1 \implies (\lambda n. 1 - a * q ^ n) has_prod qpochhammer_inf a q"
⟨proof⟩

We now also see that the infinite  $q$ -Pochhammer symbol  $(a; q)_\infty$  really is the limit of  $(a; q)_n$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

lemma qpochhammer_tends_to_qpochhammer_inf:
assumes q: "norm q < 1"
shows   "(\lambda n. qpochhammer (int n) t q) \longrightarrow qpochhammer_inf t q"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_inf_of_real:
assumes "|q| < 1"
shows   "qpochhammer_inf (of_real a) (of_real q) = of_real (qpochhammer_inf a q)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_inf_zero_iff:
assumes q: "norm q < 1"
shows   "qpochhammer_inf a q = 0 \iff (\exists n. a * q ^ n = 1)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_inf_nonzero:
assumes "norm q < 1" "norm a < 1"
shows   "qpochhammer_inf a q \neq 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_inf_pos:
assumes "|q| < 1" "|a| < (1::real)"
shows   "qpochhammer_inf a q > 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_inf_nonneg:
assumes "|q| < 1" "|a| \leq (1::real)"
shows   "qpochhammer_inf a q \geq 0"
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Uniform convergence and its consequences

```

context
  fixes P :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel} ⇒
  'a ⇒ 'a"
  defines "P ≡ (λN a q. ∏ n<N. 1 - a * q ^ n)"
begin

lemma uniformly_convergent_qpochhammer_inf_aux:
  assumes r: "0 ≤ ra" "0 ≤ rq" "rq < 1"
  shows   "uniformly_convergent_on (cball 0 ra × cball 0 rq) (λn (a,q). P n a q)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uniformly_convergent_qpochhammer_inf:
  assumes "compact A" "A ⊆ UNIV × ball 0 1"
  shows   "uniformly_convergent_on A (λn (a,q). P n a q)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform_limit_qpochhammer_inf:
  assumes "compact A" "A ⊆ UNIV × ball 0 1"
  shows   "uniform_limit A (λn (a,q). P n a q) (λ(a,q). qpochhammer_inf a q) at_top"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_qpochhammer_inf [continuous_intros]:
  fixes a q :: "'b :: topological_space ⇒ 'a"
  assumes [continuous_intros]: "continuous_on A a" "continuous_on A q"
  assumes "λx. x ∈ A ⇒ norm (q x) < 1"
  shows   "continuous_on A (λx. qpochhammer_inf (a x) (q x))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_qpochhammer_inf [continuous_intros]:
  fixes a q :: "'b :: t2_space ⇒ 'a"
  assumes "continuous (at x within A) a" "continuous (at x within A) q"
  assumes "norm (q x) < 1"
  shows   "continuous (at x within A) (λx. qpochhammer_inf (a x) (q x))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_qpochhammer_inf [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes a q :: "'b ⇒ 'a"
  assumes "(a ⟶ a0) F" "(q ⟶ q0) F" "norm q0 < 1"
  shows   "((λx. qpochhammer_inf (a x) (q x)) ⟶ qpochhammer_inf a0)
  q0) F"
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context
  fixes P :: "nat ⇒ complex ⇒ complex ⇒ complex"

```

```

defines "P ≡ (λN a q. ∏ n<N. 1 - a * q ^ n)"
begin

lemma holomorphic_qpochhammer_inf [holomorphic_intros]:
assumes [holomorphic_intros]: "a holomorphic_on A" "q holomorphic_on A"
assumes "¬(¬(λx. x ∈ A) ⇒ norm (q x) < 1)" "open A"
shows "(λx. qpochhammer_inf (a x) (q x)) holomorphic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma analytic_qpochhammer_inf [analytic_intros]:
assumes [analytic_intros]: "a analytic_on A" "q analytic_on A"
assumes "¬(¬(λx. x ∈ A) ⇒ norm (q x) < 1)"
shows "(λx. qpochhammer_inf (a x) (q x)) analytic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

lemma meromorphic_qpochhammer_inf [meromorphic_intros]:
assumes [analytic_intros]: "a analytic_on A" "q analytic_on A"
assumes "¬(¬(λx. x ∈ A) ⇒ norm (q x) < 1)"
shows "(λx. qpochhammer_inf (a x) (q x)) meromorphic_on A"
⟨proof⟩

end

```

3.3 Bounds for $(a; q)_n$ and $\binom{n}{k}_q$ in terms of $(a; q)_\infty$

```

lemma qpochhammer_le_qpochhammer_inf:
assumes "q ≥ 0" "q < 1" "a ≤ 0"
shows "qpochhammer (int k) a q ≤ qpochhammer_inf a (q::real)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_ge_qpochhammer_inf:
assumes "q ≥ 0" "q < 1" "a ≥ 0" "a ≤ 1"
shows "qpochhammer (int k) a q ≥ qpochhammer_inf a (q::real)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qbinomial_le_qpochhammer_inf_strong:
fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field}"
assumes q: "norm q < 1"
shows "norm (qbinomial q n k) ≤
      qpochhammer_inf ((-norm q) ^ (n + 1 - k)) (norm q) /
      qpochhammer_inf (norm q) (norm q)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_qbinomial_le_qpochhammer_inf:
fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field}"
assumes q: "norm q < 1"
shows "norm (qbinomial q n k) ≤
      qpochhammer_inf ((-norm q) (norm q)) / qpochhammer_inf (norm

```

q) (norm q)"
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.4 Limits of the q -binomial coefficients

The following limit is Fact 7.7 in Andrews & Eriksson [2].

```
lemma tendsto_qbinomial1:
  fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel}"
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows   "(\lambda n. qbinomial q n m) ----> 1 / qpochhammer m q q"
⟨proof⟩
```

The following limit is a slightly stronger version of Fact 7.8 in Andrews & Eriksson [2]. Their version has $f(n) = rn + c_1$ and $g(n) = sn + c_2$ with $r > s$.

```
lemma tendsto_qbinomial2:
  fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel}"
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  assumes lim_fg: "filterlim (\lambda n. f n - g n) at_top F"
  assumes lim_g: "filterlim g at_top F"
  shows   "((\lambda n. qbinomial q (f n) (g n)) ----> 1 / qpochhammer_inf q
q) F"
⟨proof⟩
```

3.5 Useful identities

The following lemmas give a recurrence for the infinite q -Pochhammer symbol similar to the one for the “normal” Pochhammer symbol.

```
lemma qpochhammer_inf_mult_power_q:
  assumes "norm q < 1"
  shows   "qpochhammer_inf a q = qpochhammer (int n) a q * qpochhammer_inf
(a * q ^ n) q"
⟨proof⟩
```

One can express the finite q -Pochhammer symbol in terms of the infinite one:

$$(a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(a; q^n)_\infty}$$

```
lemma qpochhammer_conv_qpochhammer_inf_nonneg:
  assumes "norm q < 1" "\m. m ≥ n ==> a * q ^ m ≠ 1"
  shows   "qpochhammer (int n) a q = qpochhammer_inf a q / qpochhammer_inf
(a * q ^ n) q"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma qpochhammer_conv_qpochhammer_inf:
  fixes q a :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel}"
  assumes q: "norm q < 1" "n < 0 --> q ≠ 0"
```

```

assumes not_one: " $\bigwedge k. \text{int } k \geq n \implies a * q^k \neq 1$ "
shows "qpochhammer n a q = qpochhammer_inf a q / qpochhammer_inf (a
* q powi n) q"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_inf_divide_power_q:
assumes "norm q < 1" and [simp]: "q ≠ 0"
shows "qpochhammer_inf (a / q^n) q = (∏ k = 1..n. 1 - a / q^k)
* qpochhammer_inf a q"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_inf_mult_q:
assumes "norm q < 1"
shows "qpochhammer_inf a q = (1 - a) * qpochhammer_inf (a * q) q"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qpochhammer_inf_divide_q:
assumes "norm q < 1" "q ≠ 0"
shows "qpochhammer_inf (a / q) q = (1 - a / q) * qpochhammer_inf
a q"
⟨proof⟩

```

The following lemma allows combining a product of several q -Pochhammer symbols into one by grouping factors:

$$(a; q^m)_\infty (aq; q^m)_\infty \cdots (aq^{m-1}; q^m)_\infty = (a; q)_\infty$$

```

lemma prod_qpochhammer_group:
assumes "norm q < 1" and "m > 0"
shows "(\prod i < m. qpochhammer_inf (a * q^i) (q^m)) = qpochhammer_inf
a q"
⟨proof⟩

```

A product of two q -Pochhammer symbols $(\pm a; q)_\infty$ can be combined into a single q -Pochhammer symbol:

```

lemma qpochhammer_inf_square:
assumes q: "norm q < 1"
shows "qpochhammer_inf a q * qpochhammer_inf (-a) q = qpochhammer_inf
(a^2) (q^2)"
(is "?lhs = ?rhs")
⟨proof⟩

```

3.6 Two series expansions by Euler

The following two theorems and their proofs are taken from Bellman [3][§40]. He credits them, in their original form, to Euler. One could also deduce these relatively easily from the infinite version of the q -binomial theorem (which we will prove later), but the proves given by Bellman are so nice that I do not want to omit them from here.

The first theorem states that for any complex x, t with $|x| < 1$, we have:

$$(t; x)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - tx^k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n(n-1)/2} t^n}{(x-1) \cdots (x^n-1)}$$

This tells us the power series expansion for $f_x(t) = (t; x)_\infty$.

lemma

```
fixes x :: complex
assumes x: "norm x < 1"
shows sums_qpochhammer_inf_complex:
  " $(\lambda n. x^{n*(n-1) \text{ div } 2} * t^n / (\prod_{k=1..n. x^k - 1})) \text{ sums qpochhammer\_inf}$ 
   $t x$ "
  and has_fps_expansion_qpochhammer_inf_complex:
    " $(\lambda t. qpochhammer\_inf t x) \text{ has\_fps\_expansion}$ 
     Abs_fps  $(\lambda n. x^{n*(n-1) \text{ div } 2} / (\prod_{k=1..n. x^k - 1}))$ "
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sums_qpochhammer_inf_real:

```
assumes "|x| < (1 :: real)"
shows " $(\lambda n. x^{n*(n-1) \text{ div } 2} * t^n / (\prod_{k=1..n. x^k - 1})) \text{ sums qpochhammer\_inf}$ 
   $t x$ "
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemma norm_summable_qpochhammer_inf:

```
fixes x t :: "'a :: {real_normed_field}"
assumes "norm x < 1"
shows "summable  $(\lambda n. norm (x^{n*(n-1) \text{ div } 2} * t^n / (\prod_{k=1..n. x^k - 1))))$ "
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

The second theorem states that for any complex x, t with $|x| < 1, |t| < 1$, we have:

$$\frac{1}{(t; x)_\infty} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - tx^k} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{(1-x) \cdots (1-x^n)}$$

This gives us the multiplicative inverse of the power series from the previous theorem.

lemma

```
fixes x :: complex
assumes x: "norm x < 1" and t: "norm t < 1"
shows sums_inverse_qpochhammer_inf_complex:
  " $(\lambda n. t^n / (\prod_{k=1..n. 1 - x^k})) \text{ sums inverse (qpochhammer\_inf}$ 
   $t x)$ "
  and has_fps_expansion_inverse_qpochhammer_inf_complex:
    " $(\lambda t. inverse (qpochhammer\_inf t x)) \text{ has\_fps\_expansion}$ 
     Abs_fps  $(\lambda n. 1 / (\prod_{k=1..n. 1 - x^k}))$ "
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sums_inverse_qpochhammer_inf_real:
  assumes "|x| < (1 :: real)" "|t| < 1"
  shows   " $(\lambda n. t^n / (\prod_{k=1..n} 1 - x^k))$  sums inverse (qpochhammer_inf t x)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm_summable_inverse_qpochhammer_inf:
  fixes x t :: "'a :: {real_normed_field}"
  assumes "norm x < 1" "norm t < 1"
  shows   "summable (\lambda n. norm (t ^ n / (\prod_{k=1..n} 1 - x^k)))"
  ⟨proof⟩

3.7 Euler's function

Euler's  $\phi$  function is closely related to the Dedekind  $\eta$  function and the Jacobi  $\vartheta$  nullwert functions. The  $q$ -Pochhammer symbol gives us a simple and convenient way to define it.

definition euler_phi :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel} ⇒ 'a" where
  "euler_phi q = qpochhammer_inf q q"

lemma euler_phi_0 [simp]: "euler_phi 0 = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma abs_convergent_euler_phi:
  assumes "(q :: 'a :: real_normed_div_algebra) ∈ ball 0 1"
  shows   "abs_convergent_prod (\lambda n. 1 - q ^ Suc n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma convergent_euler_phi:
  assumes "(q :: 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}) ∈ ball 0 1"
  shows   "convergent_prod (\lambda n. 1 - q ^ Suc n)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has_prod_euler_phi:
  "norm q < 1 ⇒ (\lambda n. 1 - q ^ Suc n) has_prod euler_phi q"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma euler_phi_nonzero [simp]:
  assumes x: "x ∈ ball 0 1"
  shows   "euler_phi x ≠ 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma holomorphic_euler_phi [holomorphic_intros]:
  assumes [holomorphic_intros]: "f holomorphic_on A"
  assumes "∀z. z ∈ A ⇒ norm (f z) < 1"
  shows   "(\lambda z. euler_phi (f z)) holomorphic_on A"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma analytic_euler_phi [analytic_intros]:
  assumes [analytic_intros]: "f analytic_on A"
  assumes " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \Rightarrow \text{norm}(f z) < 1$ "
  shows   " $(\lambda z. \text{euler\_phi}(f z)) \text{ analytic\_on } A$ "
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma meromorphic_on_euler_phi [meromorphic_intros]:
  "f analytic_on A \Rightarrow (\bigwedge z. z \in A \Rightarrow \text{norm}(f z) < 1) \Rightarrow (\lambda z. \text{euler\_phi}(f z)) \text{ meromorphic\_on } A"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_on_euler_phi [continuous_intros]:
  assumes "continuous_on A f" " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \Rightarrow \text{norm}(f z) < 1$ "
  shows   "continuous_on A (\lambda z. \text{euler\_phi}(f z))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous_euler_phi [continuous_intros]:
  fixes a q :: "'b :: t2_space \Rightarrow 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel}"
  assumes "continuous(at x within A) f" "norm(f x) < 1"
  shows   "continuous(at x within A) (\lambda x. \text{euler\_phi}(f x))"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto_euler_phi [tendsto_intros]:
  assumes [tendsto_intros]: "(f \xrightarrow{} c) F" and "norm c < 1"
  shows   "((\lambda x. \text{euler\_phi}(f x)) \xrightarrow{} \text{euler\_phi} c) F"
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 q -binomial identities

```

theory Q_Binomial_Identities
  imports Q_Pochhammer_Infinite
begin

```

4.1 The q -binomial theorem

Recall the binomial theorem:

$$(1+t)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} t^k$$

The q -binomial numbers satisfy an analogous theorem:

$$\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1+qt^k) = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{k(k-1)/2} \binom{n}{k}_q t^k$$

It can be seen easily that letting $q \rightarrow 1$ would give us the “normal” binomial theorem.

```

theorem qbinomial_theorem:
  "qpochhammer (int n) (-t) q = (∑ k≤n. qbinomial q n k * q ^ (k choose
2) * t ^ k)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbinomial_theorem':
  "qpochhammer (int n) t q = (∑ k≤n. qbinomial q n k * q ^ (k choose
2) * (-t) ^ k)"
⟨proof⟩

```

4.2 The infinite q -binomial theorem

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the q -binomial theorem and interchanging the limits with Tannery's Theorem, we obtain, for any q with $|q| < 1$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k q^{k(k-1)/2}}{[k]_q! (1-q)^k} = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 + tq^k) = (-t; q)_{\infty}$$

```

theorem qbinomial_theorem_inf:
  fixes q t :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel}"
  assumes q: "q ∈ ball 0 1"
  defines "S ≡ (λk. (q ^ (k choose 2) * t ^ k) / (qfact q (int k) * (1 -
q) ^ k))"
  shows   "summable (λk. norm (S k))" and "(∑ k. S k) = qpochhammer_inf
(-t) q"
⟨proof⟩

```

4.3 The q -Vandermonde identity

The following is the q -analog of Vandermonde's identity

$$\binom{m+n}{r} = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{m}{i} \binom{n}{r-i},$$

namely:

$$\binom{m+n}{r}_q = \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{m}{i}_q \binom{n}{r-i}_q q^{(m-i)(r-i)}$$

```

theorem qvandermonde:
  fixes m n :: nat and q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
  assumes "norm q ≠ 1"
  shows "qbinomial q (m + n) r =
        (∑ i≤r. qbinomial q m i * qbinomial q n (r - i) * q ^ ((m -
i) * (r - i)))"
⟨proof⟩

```

We therefore also get the following identity for the central q -binomial coefficient:

```

corollary qbinomial_square_sum:
  fixes q :: "'a :: real_normed_field"
  assumes q: "norm q ≠ 1"
  shows "(∑ k≤n. qbinomial q n k ^ 2 * q ^ (k ^ 2)) = qbinomial q
(2 * n) n"
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

References

- [1] G. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy. *Special Functions*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [2] G. Andrews and K. Eriksson. *Integer Partitions*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [3] R. Bellman. *A Brief Introduction to Theta Functions*. Athena series. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1961.