

# Combinatorial Enumeration Algorithms

Paul Hofmeier and Emin Karayel

November 14, 2022

## Abstract

Combinatorial objects have configurations which can be enumerated by algorithms, but especially for imperative programs, it is difficult to find out if they produce the correct output and don't generate duplicates. Therefore, for some of the most common combinatorial objects, namely `n_Sequences`, `n_Permutations`, `n_Subsets`, `Powerset`, `Integer_Compositions`, `Integer_Partitions`, `Weak_Integer_Compositions`, `Derangements` and `Trees`, this entry formalizes efficient functional programs and verifies their correctness. In addition, it provides cardinality proofs for those combinatorial objects. Some cardinalities are verified using the enumeration functions and others are shown using existing libraries including other AFP entries.

Related books on combinatorics include [4] and [5]. Some of the cardinality theorems in this entry are also proved in another AFP entry, *The Twelfold Way* [3].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Injectivity for two argument functions</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Correspondence between <i>inj2-on</i> and <i>inj-on</i> . . . . .	4
1.2	Proofs with <i>inj2</i> . . . . .	4
1.3	Specializations of <i>inj2</i> . . . . .	5
1.3.1	<i>Cons</i> . . . . .	5
1.3.2	<i>Node right</i> . . . . .	5
1.3.3	<i>Node left</i> . . . . .	5
1.3.4	<i>Cons Suc</i> . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Lemmas for cardinality proofs</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Miscellaneous</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	<i>count-list</i> and <i>replicate</i> . . . . .	6

<b>4</b>	<b>N-Sequences</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Definition . . . . .	7
4.2	Algorithm . . . . .	7
4.3	Verification . . . . .	8
4.3.1	Correctness . . . . .	8
4.3.2	Distinctness . . . . .	8
4.3.3	Cardinality . . . . .	8
<b>5</b>	<b>N-Permutations</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1	Definition . . . . .	8
5.2	Algorithm . . . . .	9
5.3	Verification . . . . .	9
5.3.1	Correctness . . . . .	9
5.3.2	Distinctness . . . . .	9
5.3.3	Cardinality . . . . .	9
5.4	<i>n-multiset</i> extension (with <i>remdups</i> ) . . . . .	10
<b>6</b>	<b>N-Subsets</b>	<b>12</b>
6.1	Definition . . . . .	12
6.2	Algorithm . . . . .	12
6.3	Verification . . . . .	12
6.3.1	<i>n-bool-lists</i> . . . . .	12
6.3.2	Correctness . . . . .	13
6.3.3	Distinctness . . . . .	13
6.3.4	Cardinality . . . . .	13
6.4	Alternative using Multiset permutations . . . . .	13
6.5	<i>mset-count</i> . . . . .	14
<b>7</b>	<b>Powerset</b>	<b>14</b>
7.1	Definition . . . . .	14
7.2	Algorithm . . . . .	14
7.3	Verification . . . . .	15
7.3.1	Correctness . . . . .	15
7.3.2	Distinctness . . . . .	15
7.3.3	Cardinality . . . . .	15
7.4	Alternative algorithm with <i>n-sequence-enum</i> . . . . .	15
<b>8</b>	<b>Integer Partitions</b>	<b>16</b>
8.1	Definition . . . . .	16
8.2	Algorithm . . . . .	16
8.3	Verification . . . . .	16
8.3.1	Correctness . . . . .	16
8.3.2	Distinctness . . . . .	17
8.3.3	Cardinality . . . . .	18

<b>9</b>	<b>Integer Compositions</b>	<b>18</b>
9.1	Definition . . . . .	18
9.2	Algorithm . . . . .	18
9.3	Verification . . . . .	19
9.3.1	Correctness . . . . .	19
9.3.2	Distinctness . . . . .	19
9.3.3	Cardinality . . . . .	20
<b>10</b>	<b>Weak Integer Compositions</b>	<b>20</b>
10.1	Definition . . . . .	20
10.2	Algorithm . . . . .	20
10.3	Verification . . . . .	21
10.3.1	Correctness . . . . .	21
10.3.2	Distinctness . . . . .	21
10.3.3	Cardinality . . . . .	21
<b>11</b>	<b>Derangements</b>	<b>22</b>
11.1	Definition . . . . .	22
11.2	Algorithm . . . . .	22
11.3	Verification . . . . .	23
11.3.1	Correctness . . . . .	23
11.3.2	Distinctness . . . . .	23
<b>12</b>	<b>Trees</b>	<b>24</b>
12.1	Definition . . . . .	24
12.2	Algorithm . . . . .	24
12.3	Verification . . . . .	24
12.3.1	Cardinality . . . . .	24
12.3.2	Correctness . . . . .	24
12.3.3	Distinctness . . . . .	25

## 1 Injectivity for two argument functions

```

theory Common-Lemmas
  imports
    HOL.List
    HOL-Library.Tree
begin

```

This section introduces *inj2-on* which generalizes *inj-on* on curried functions with two arguments and contains subsequent theorems about such functions.

We could use curried function directly with for example *case-prod*, but this way the proofs become simpler and easier to read.

```

definition inj2-on :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'b set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

$inj2\text{-on } f A B \longleftrightarrow (\forall x1 \in A. \forall x2 \in A. \forall y1 \in B. \forall y2 \in B. f x1 y1 = f x2 y2 \longrightarrow x1 = x2 \wedge y1 = y2)$

**abbreviation**  $inj2 :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $inj2 f \equiv inj2\text{-on } f UNIV UNIV$

## 1.1 Correspondence between $inj2\text{-on}$ and $inj\text{-on}$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-curried}: inj2\text{-on } (curry f) A B \longleftrightarrow inj\text{-on } f (A \times B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-on-all}: inj2 f \Longrightarrow inj2\text{-on } f A B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-inj-first}: inj2 f \Longrightarrow inj f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-inj-second}: inj2 f \Longrightarrow inj (f x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-inj-second-flipped}: inj2 f \Longrightarrow inj (\lambda x. f x y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.2 Proofs with $inj2$

Already existing for  $inj$ :

**thm**  $distinct\text{-map}$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-on-distinct-map}$ :  
**assumes**  $inj2\text{-on } f \{x\}$  ( $set xs$ )  
**shows**  $distinct xs = distinct (map (f x) xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-distinct-map}$ :  
**assumes**  $inj2 f$   
**shows**  $distinct xs = distinct (map (f x) xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-on-distinct-concat-map}$ :  
**assumes**  $inj2\text{-on } f (set xs) (set ys)$   
**shows**  $\llbracket distinct ys; distinct xs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow distinct [f x y. x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow ys]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-distinct-concat-map}$ :  
**assumes**  $inj2 f$   
**shows**  $\llbracket distinct ys; distinct xs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow distinct [f x y. x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow ys]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $inj2\text{-distinct-concat-map-function}$ :

**assumes** *inj2* *f*  
**shows**  $\llbracket \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{distinct } (g \ x); \text{distinct } xs \rrbracket \implies \text{distinct } [f \ x \ y. x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow g \ x]$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *distinct-concat-Nil*:  $\text{distinct } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda y. []) \ xs))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *inj2-distinct-concat-map-function-filter*:

**assumes** *inj2* *f*  
**shows**  $\llbracket \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{distinct } (g \ x); \text{distinct } xs \rrbracket \implies \text{distinct } [f \ x \ y. x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow g \ x, h \ x]$   
*<proof>*

### 1.3 Specializations of *inj2*

#### 1.3.1 Cons

**lemma** *Cons-inj2*:  $\text{inj2 } (\#)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Cons-distinct-concat-map*:  $\llbracket \text{distinct } ys; \text{distinct } xs \rrbracket \implies \text{distinct } [x \# y. x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow ys]$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Cons-distinct-concat-map-function*:

$\llbracket \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{distinct } (g \ x); \text{distinct } xs \rrbracket \implies \text{distinct } [x \# y. x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow g \ x]$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Cons-distinct-concat-map-function-distinct-on-all*:

$\llbracket \forall x. \text{distinct } (g \ x); \text{distinct } xs \rrbracket \implies \text{distinct } [x \# y. x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow g \ x]$   
*<proof>*

#### 1.3.2 Node right

**lemma** *Node-right-inj2*:  $\text{inj2 } (\lambda l \ r. \text{Node } l \ e \ r)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Node-right-distinct-concat-map*:

$\llbracket \text{distinct } ys; \text{distinct } xs \rrbracket \implies \text{distinct } [\text{Node } x \ e \ y. x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow ys]$   
*<proof>*

#### 1.3.3 Node left

**lemma** *Node-left-inj2*:  $\text{inj2 } (\lambda r \ l. \text{Node } l \ e \ r)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Node-left-distinct-map*:  $\text{distinct } xs = \text{distinct } (\text{map } (\lambda l. \langle l, () \rangle, r)) \ xs)$   
*<proof>*

### 1.3.4 Cons Suc

**lemma** *Cons-Suc-inj2*:  $\text{inj2 } (\lambda x \text{ ys. } \text{Suc } x \# \text{ys})$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Cons-Suc-distinct-concat-map-function*:  
 $\llbracket \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{distinct } (g \ x) ; \text{distinct } xs \rrbracket \implies \text{distinct } [\text{Suc } x \# y. x \leftarrow xs, y \leftarrow g \ x]$   
*<proof>*

## 2 Lemmas for cardinality proofs

**lemma** *length-concat-map*:  $\text{length } [f \ x \ r . x \leftarrow xs, r \leftarrow ys] = \text{length } ys * \text{length } xs$   
*<proof>*

An useful extension to *length-concat*

**thm** *length-concat*

**lemma** *length-concat-map-function-sum-list*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{length } (g \ x) = h \ x$   
**shows**  $\text{length } [f \ x \ r . x \leftarrow xs, r \leftarrow g \ x] = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } h \ xs)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sum-list-extract-last*:  $(\sum x \leftarrow [0..<\text{Suc } n]. f \ x) = (\sum x \leftarrow [0..<n]. f \ x) + f \ n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *leq-sum-to-sum-list*:  $(\sum x \leq n. f \ x) = (\sum x \leftarrow [0..<\text{Suc } n]. f \ x)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *less-sum-to-sum-list*:  $(\sum x < n. f \ x) = (\sum x \leftarrow [0..<n]. f \ x)$   
*<proof>*

## 3 Miscellaneous

Similar to *length-remove1*:

**lemma** *Suc-length-remove1*:  $x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{remove1 } x \ xs)) = \text{length } xs$   
*<proof>*

### 3.1 count-list and replicate

HOL.List doesn't have many lemmas about *count-list* (when not using multisets)

**lemma** *count-list-replicate*:  $\text{count-list } (\text{replicate } x \ y) \ y = x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *count-list-full-elem*:  $\text{count-list } xs \ y = \text{length } xs \iff (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. x = y)$   
*<proof>*

The following lemma verifies the reverse of *count-notin*:

**thm** *count-notin*

**lemma** *count-list-zero-not-elem*:  $\text{count-list } xs \ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \notin \text{set } xs$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *count-list-length-replicate*:  $\text{count-list } xs \ y = \text{length } xs \longleftrightarrow xs = \text{replicate } (\text{length } xs) \ y$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *count-list-True-False*:  $\text{count-list } xs \ \text{True} + \text{count-list } xs \ \text{False} = \text{length } xs$   
(*proof*)

**end**

## 4 N-Sequences

**theory** *n-Sequences*

**imports**

*HOL.List*

*Common-Lemmas*

**begin**

### 4.1 Definition

**definition** *n-sequences* ::  $'a \ \text{set} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list set}$  **where**  
 $n\text{-sequences } A \ n = \{xs. \ \text{set } xs \subseteq A \wedge \text{length } xs = n\}$

Cardinality:  $\text{card } A \ ^n$

Example:  $n\text{-sequences } \{0, 1\} \ 2 = \{[0,0], [0,1], [1,0], [1,1]\}$

### 4.2 Algorithm

**fun** *n-sequence-enum* ::  $'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list list}$  **where**

$n\text{-sequence-enum } xs \ 0 = []$

|  $n\text{-sequence-enum } xs \ (\text{Suc } n) = [x\#r . \ x \leftarrow xs, \ r \leftarrow n\text{-sequence-enum } xs \ n]$

An enumeration of n-sequences already exists: *n-lists*. This part of this AFP entry is mostly to establish the patterns used in the more complex combinatorial objects.

**lemma**  $\text{set } (n\text{-sequence-enum } xs \ n) = \text{set } (\text{List.n-lists } n \ xs)$   
(*proof*)

**thm** *set-n-lists*

## 4.3 Verification

### 4.3.1 Correctness

**theorem** *n-sequence-enum-correct:*

*set (n-sequence-enum xs n) = n-sequences (set xs) n*  
{proof}

### 4.3.2 Distinctness

**theorem** *n-sequence-enum-distinct:*

*distinct xs  $\implies$  distinct (n-sequence-enum xs n)*  
{proof}

### 4.3.3 Cardinality

**lemma** *n-sequence-enum-length:*

*length (n-sequence-enum xs n) = (length xs) ^ n*  
{proof}

of course *card-lists-length-eq* can directly proof it but we want to derive it from *n-sequence-enum-length*

**thm** *card-lists-length-eq*

**theorem** *n-sequences-card:*

**assumes** *finite A*

**shows** *card (n-sequences A n) = card A ^ n*

{proof}

end

## 5 N-Permutations

**theory** *n-Permutations*

**imports**

*HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations*

*Common-Lemmas*

*Falling-Factorial-Sum.Falling-Factorial-Sum-Combinatorics*

**begin**

### 5.1 Definition

**definition** *n-permutations* :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list set **where**

*n-permutations A n = {xs. set xs  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$  distinct xs  $\wedge$  length xs = n}*

Permutations with a maximum length. They are different from *HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permut* because the entries must all be distinct.

Cardinality: 'falling factorial' (card A) n



Example:  $n$ -permutations  $\{0,1,2\}$  2 =  $\{[0,1], [0,2], [1,0], [1,2], [2,0], [2,1]\}$

**lemma** *permutations-of-set*  $A \subseteq n$ -permutations  $A$  (*card*  $A$ )  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

## 5.2 Algorithm

**fun** *n-permutation-enum* :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list **where**  
*n-permutation-enum*  $xs$  0 = []  
 | *n-permutation-enum*  $xs$  (Suc  $n$ ) = [ $x\#r$  .  $x \leftarrow xs, r \leftarrow n$ -permutation-enum  
 (remove1  $x$   $xs$ )  $n$ ]

## 5.3 Verification

### 5.3.1 Correctness

**lemma** *n-permutation-enum-subset*:  $ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-permutation-enum } xs \ n) \Longrightarrow \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *n-permutation-enum-length*:  $ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-permutation-enum } xs \ n) \Longrightarrow \text{length } ys = n$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *n-permutation-enum-elem-distinct*:  $\text{distinct } xs \Longrightarrow ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-permutation-enum } xs \ n) \Longrightarrow \text{distinct } ys$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *n-permutation-enum-correct1*:  $\text{distinct } xs \Longrightarrow \text{set } (n\text{-permutation-enum } xs \ n) \subseteq n\text{-permutations } (\text{set } xs) \ n$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *n-permutation-enum-correct2*:  $ys \in n\text{-permutations } (\text{set } xs) \ n \Longrightarrow ys \in \text{set } (n\text{-permutation-enum } xs \ n)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**theorem** *n-permutation-enum-correct*:  $\text{distinct } xs \Longrightarrow \text{set } (n\text{-permutation-enum } xs \ n) = n\text{-permutations } (\text{set } xs) \ n$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

### 5.3.2 Distinctness

**theorem** *n-permutation-distinct*:  $\text{distinct } xs \Longrightarrow \text{distinct } (n\text{-permutation-enum } xs \ n)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

### 5.3.3 Cardinality

**thm** *card-lists-distinct-length-eq*

**theorem** *finite*  $A \Longrightarrow \text{card } (n\text{-permutations } A \ n) = \text{ffact } n \ (\text{card } A)$

*<proof>*

#### 5.4 *n*-multiset extension (with remdups)

**definition** *n-multiset-permutations* :: 'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list set **where**  
*n-multiset-permutations* A n = {xs. mset xs  $\subseteq\#$  A  $\wedge$  length xs = n}

**fun** *n-multiset-permutation-enum* :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list **where**  
*n-multiset-permutation-enum* xs n = remdups (*n-permutation-enum* xs n)

**lemma** *distinct* (*n-multiset-permutation-enum* xs n)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *n-multiset-permutation-enum-correct1*:  
mset ys  $\subseteq\#$  mset xs  $\Longrightarrow$  ys  $\in$  set (*n-permutation-enum* xs (length ys))  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *n-multiset-permutation-enum-correct2*:  
ys  $\in$  set (*n-permutation-enum* xs n)  $\Longrightarrow$  mset ys  $\subseteq\#$  mset xs  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *n-multiset-permutation-enum-correct*:  
set (*n-multiset-permutation-enum* xs n) = *n-multiset-permutations* (mset xs) n  
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *Filter-Bool-List*  
**imports**  
*HOL.List*  
**begin**

A simple algorithm to filter a list by a boolean list. A different approach would be to filter by a set of indices, but this approach is faster, because lookups are slow in ML.

**fun** *filter-bool-list* :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list **where**  
*filter-bool-list* [] - = []  
| *filter-bool-list* - [] = []  
| *filter-bool-list* (b#bs) (x#xs) =  
  (if b then x#(*filter-bool-list* bs xs) else (*filter-bool-list* bs xs))

The following could be an alternative definition, but the version above provides a nice computational induction rule.

**lemma** *filter-bool-list* bs xs = map snd (*filter* fst (*zip* bs xs))  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-in*:  
n < length xs  $\Longrightarrow$  n < length bs  $\Longrightarrow$  bs!n  $\Longrightarrow$  xs!n  $\in$  set (*filter-bool-list* bs xs)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-not-elim*:  $x \notin \text{set } xs \implies x \notin \text{set } (\text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-elim*:  $x \in \text{set } (\text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs) \implies x \in \text{set } xs$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-not-in*:  
 $\text{distinct } xs \implies n < \text{length } xs \implies n < \text{length } bs \implies bs!n = \text{False}$   
 $\implies xs!n \notin \text{set } (\text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-elim-nth*:  $ys \in \text{set } (\text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs)$   
 $\implies \exists n. ys = xs ! n \wedge bs ! n \wedge n < \text{length } bs \wedge n < \text{length } xs$   
 ⟨proof⟩

May be a useful conversion, since the algorithm could also be implemented with a list of indices.

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-set-nth*:  
 $\text{set } (\text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs) = \{xs ! n \mid n. bs ! n \wedge n < \text{length } bs \wedge n < \text{length } xs\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-exist-length*:  $A \subseteq \text{set } xs$   
 $\implies \exists bs. \text{length } bs = \text{length } xs \wedge A = \text{set } (\text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-card*:  
 $\llbracket \text{distinct } xs; \text{length } xs = \text{length } bs \rrbracket \implies \text{card } (\text{set } (\text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs)) = \text{count-list } bs \ \text{True}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-exist-length-card-True*:  $\llbracket \text{distinct } xs; A \subseteq \text{set } xs; n = \text{card } A \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \exists bs. \text{length } bs = \text{length } xs \wedge \text{count-list } bs \ \text{True} = \text{card } A \wedge A = \text{set } (\text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-distinct*:  $\text{distinct } xs \implies \text{distinct } (\text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-inj-aux*:  
**assumes**  $\text{length } bs1 = \text{length } xs$   
**and**  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } bs2$   
**and**  $\text{distinct } xs$   
**shows**  $\text{filter-bool-list } bs1 \ xs = \text{filter-bool-list } bs2 \ xs \implies bs1 = bs2$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *filter-bool-list-inj*:  
 $\text{distinct } xs \implies \text{inj-on } (\lambda bs. \text{filter-bool-list } bs \ xs) \ \{bs. \text{length } bs = \text{length } xs\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

end

## 6 N-Subsets

```
theory n-Subsets
  imports
    Common-Lemmas
    HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations
    Filter-Bool-List
begin
```

### 6.1 Definition

**definition** *n-subsets* :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set set **where**  
*n-subsets* A n = {B. B  $\subseteq$  A  $\wedge$  card B = n}

Cardinality: *binomial* (card A) n

Example: *n-subsets* {0,1,2} 2 = {{0,1}, {0,2}, {1,2}}

### 6.2 Algorithm

```
fun n-bool-lists :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool list list where
  n-bool-lists n 0 = (if n > 0 then [] else [[]])
| n-bool-lists n (Suc x) = (if n = 0 then [replicate (Suc x) False]
  else if n = Suc x then [replicate (Suc x) True]
  else if n > x then []
  else [False#xs . xs  $\leftarrow$  n-bool-lists n x] @ [True#xs . xs  $\leftarrow$  n-bool-lists (n-1) x])
```

```
fun n-subset-enum :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list where
  n-subset-enum xs n = [(filter-bool-list bs xs) . bs  $\leftarrow$  (n-bool-lists n (length xs))]
```

### 6.3 Verification

#### 6.3.1 n-bool-lists

**lemma** *n-bool-lists-True-count*:  $xs \in \text{set } (n\text{-bool-lists } n \ x) \Longrightarrow \text{count-list } xs \ \text{True} = n$   
<proof>

**lemma** *n-bool-lists-length*:  $xs \in \text{set } (n\text{-bool-lists } n \ x) \Longrightarrow \text{length } xs = x$   
<proof>

**lemma** *n-bool-lists-distinct*: *distinct* (n-bool-lists n x)  
<proof>

**lemma** *replicate-True-not-False*:  $\text{count-list } ys \ \text{True} = 0 \longleftrightarrow ys = \text{replicate } (\text{length } ys) \ \text{False}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *n-bool-lists-correct-aux:*

$length\ xs = x \implies count\text{-}list\ xs\ True = n \implies xs \in set\ (n\text{-}bool\text{-}lists\ n\ x)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *n-bool-lists-correct:*  $set\ (n\text{-}bool\text{-}lists\ n\ x) = \{xs.\ length\ xs = x \wedge count\text{-}list\ xs\ True = n\}$

*<proof>*

### 6.3.2 Correctness

**lemma** *n-subset-enum-correct-aux1:*

$\llbracket distinct\ xs;\ length\ ys = length\ xs \rrbracket$

$\implies set\ (filter\text{-}bool\text{-}list\ ys\ xs) \in n\text{-}subsets\ (set\ xs)\ (count\text{-}list\ ys\ True)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *n-subset-enum-correct-aux2:*

$distinct\ xs \implies n\text{-}subsets\ (set\ xs)\ n \subseteq set\ (map\ set\ (n\text{-}subset\text{-}enum\ xs\ n))$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *n-subset-enum-correct:*

$distinct\ xs \implies set\ (map\ set\ (n\text{-}subset\text{-}enum\ xs\ n)) = n\text{-}subsets\ (set\ xs)\ n$

*<proof>*

### 6.3.3 Distinctness

**theorem** *n-subset-enum-distinct-enum:*

$distinct\ xs \implies ys \in set\ (n\text{-}subset\text{-}enum\ xs\ n) \implies distinct\ ys$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *n-subset-enum-distinct:*  $distinct\ xs \implies distinct\ (n\text{-}subset\text{-}enum\ xs\ n)$

*<proof>*

### 6.3.4 Cardinality

Cardinality of *n-subsets* is already shown in *Binomial.n-subsets*.

## 6.4 Alternative using Multiset permutations

It would be possible to define *n-bool-lists* using *permutations-of-multiset* with the following definition:

**fun** *n-bool-lists2* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool\ list\ set$  **where**

$n\text{-}bool\text{-}lists2\ n\ x = (if\ n > x\ then\ \{\})$

$else\ permutations\text{-}of\text{-}multiset\ (mset\ (replicate\ n\ True\ @\ replicate\ (x-n)\ False))$

## 6.5 *mset-count*

Correspondence between *count-list* and *count* (*mset xs*) and transfer of a few results for multisets to lists.

**lemma** *count-list-count-mset*:  $\text{count-list } ys \ T = n \implies \text{count } (\text{mset } ys) \ T = n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *count-mset-count-list*:  $\text{count } (\text{mset } ys) \ T = n \implies \text{count-list } ys \ T = n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *count-mset-replicate-aux1*:  
[[ $\neg x < n$ ;  $\text{mset } ys = \text{mset } (\text{replicate } n \ \text{True}) + \text{mset } (\text{replicate } (x - n) \ \text{False})$ ]]  
 $\implies \text{count } (\text{mset } ys) \ \text{True} = n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *count-mset-replicate-aux2*:  
**assumes**  $\neg \text{length } xs < \text{count-list } xs \ \text{True}$   
**shows**  $\text{mset } xs = \text{mset } (\text{replicate } (\text{count-list } xs \ \text{True}) \ \text{True}) + \text{mset } (\text{replicate } (\text{length } xs - \text{count-list } xs \ \text{True}) \ \text{False})$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *n-bool-lists2-correct*:  $\text{set } (n\text{-bool-lists } n \ x) = n\text{-bool-lists2 } n \ x$   
*<proof>*

**end**

## 7 Powerset

**theory** *Powerset*  
**imports**  
  *Main*  
  *n-Sequences*  
  *Common-Lemmas*  
  *Filter-Bool-List*  
**begin**

### 7.1 Definition

$\text{Pow } A$

Cardinality:  $2 \wedge \text{card } A$

Example:  $\text{Pow } \{0,1\} = \{\{\}, \{1\}, \{0\}, \{0, 1\}\}$

### 7.2 Algorithm

**fun** *all-bool-lists* ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool list list}$  **where**  
  *all-bool-lists* 0 = [[]]

|  $all\text{-}bool\text{-}lists (Suc\ x) = concat\ [[False\#\ xs,\ True\#\ xs] .\ xs \leftarrow all\text{-}bool\text{-}lists\ x]$

**fun** *powerset-enum* **where**  
*powerset-enum xs = [(filter-bool-list x xs) . x ← all-bool-lists (length xs)]*

### 7.3 Verification

First we show the relevant theorems for *all-bool-lists*, then we'll transfer the results to the enumeration algorithm for powersets.

**lemma** *distinct-concat-aux*:  $distinct\ xs \implies distinct\ (concat\ (map\ (\lambda xs.\ [False\ \#\ xs,\ True\ \#\ xs])\ xs))$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *distinct-all-bool-lists* :  $distinct\ (all\text{-}bool\text{-}lists\ x)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *all-bool-lists-correct*:  $set\ (all\text{-}bool\text{-}lists\ x) = \{xs.\ length\ xs = x\}$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

#### 7.3.1 Correctness

**theorem** *powerset-enum-correct*:  $set\ (map\ set\ (powerset\text{-}enum\ xs)) = Pow\ (set\ xs)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

#### 7.3.2 Distinctness

**theorem** *powerset-enum-distinct-elem*:  $distinct\ xs \implies ys \in set\ (powerset\text{-}enum\ xs) \implies distinct\ ys$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**theorem** *powerset-enum-distinct*:  $distinct\ xs \implies distinct\ (powerset\text{-}enum\ xs)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

#### 7.3.3 Cardinality

Cardinality for powersets is already shown in *card-Pow*.

### 7.4 Alternative algorithm with *n-sequence-enum*

**fun** *all-bool-lists2* ::  $nat \Rightarrow bool\ list\ list$  **where**  
*all-bool-lists2 n = n-sequence-enum [True, False] n*

**lemma** *all-bool-lists2-distinct*:  $distinct\ (all\text{-}bool\text{-}lists2\ n)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *all-bool-lists2-correct*:  $set\ (all\text{-}bool\text{-}lists\ n) = set\ (all\text{-}bool\text{-}lists2\ n)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

## 8 Integer Partitions

```
theory Integer-Partitions
imports
  HOL-Library.Multiset
  Common-Lemmas
  Card-Number-Partitions.Card-Number-Partitions
begin
```

### 8.1 Definition

```
definition integer-partitions :: nat ⇒ nat multiset set where
  integer-partitions i = {A. sum-mset A = i ∧ 0 ∉# A}
```

Cardinality: *Partition*  $i$  (from *Card-Number-Partitions.Card-Number-Partitions* [2])

Example: *integer-partitions* 4 =  $\{\{4\}, \{3,1\}, \{2,2\}, \{2,1,1\}, \{1,1,1,1\}\}$

### 8.2 Algorithm

```
fun integer-partitions-enum-aux :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list list where
  integer-partitions-enum-aux 0 m = [[]]
| integer-partitions-enum-aux n m =
  [h#r . h ← [1..< Suc (min n m)], r ← integer-partitions-enum-aux (n-h) h]
```

```
fun integer-partitions-enum :: nat ⇒ nat list list where
  integer-partitions-enum n = integer-partitions-enum-aux n n
```

### 8.3 Verification

#### 8.3.1 Correctness

```
lemma integer-partitions-empty: [] ∈ set (integer-partitions-enum-aux n m) ⇒ n
= 0
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma integer-partitions-enum-aux-first:
  x # xs ∈ set (integer-partitions-enum-aux n m)
  ⇒ xs ∈ set (integer-partitions-enum-aux (n-x) x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma integer-partitions-enum-aux-max-n:
  x#xs ∈ set (integer-partitions-enum-aux n m) ⇒ x ≤ n
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma integer-partitions-enum-aux-max-head:
  x#xs ∈ set (integer-partitions-enum-aux n m) ⇒ x ≤ m
⟨proof⟩
```



**lemma** *integer-partitions-enum-aux-max:*

$xs \in \text{set } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } n \ m) \implies x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \leq m$   
(proof)

**lemma** *integer-partitions-enum-aux-sum:*

$xs \in \text{set } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } n \ m) \implies \text{sum-list } xs = n$   
(proof)

**lemma** *integer-partitions-enum-aux-not-null-aux:*

$x\#xs \in \text{set } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } n \ m) \implies x \neq 0$   
(proof)

**lemma** *integer-partitions-enum-aux-not-null:*

$x \in \text{set } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } n \ m) \implies x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \neq 0$   
(proof)

**lemma** *integer-partitions-enum-aux-head-minus:*

$h \leq m \implies h > 0 \implies n \geq h \implies$   
 $ys \in \text{set } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } (n-h) \ h) \implies h\#ys \in \text{set } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } n \ m)$   
(proof)

**lemma** *integer-partitions-enum-aux-head-plus:*

$h \leq m \implies h > 0 \implies ys \in \text{set } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } n \ h)$   
 $\implies h\#ys \in \text{set } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } (h+n) \ m)$   
(proof)

**lemma** *integer-partitions-enum-correct-aux1:*

**assumes**  $0 \notin \# A$   
**and**  $\forall x \in \# A. x \leq m$

**shows**  $\exists xs \in \text{set } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } (\sum \# A) \ m). A = \text{mset } xs$   
(proof)

**theorem** *integer-partitions-enum-correct:*

$\text{set } (\text{map } \text{mset } (\text{integer-partitions-enum } n)) = \text{integer-partitions } n$   
(proof)

### 8.3.2 Distinctness

**lemma** *integer-partitions-enum-aux-distinct:*

$\text{distinct } (\text{integer-partitions-enum-aux } n \ m)$   
(proof)

**theorem** *integer-partitions-enum-distinct:*

$\text{distinct } (\text{integer-partitions-enum } n)$   
(proof)

### 8.3.3 Cardinality

**lemma** *partitions-bij-betw-count*:

*bij-betw count {N. count N partitions n} {p. p partitions n}*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *card-partitions-count-partitions*:

*card {p. p partitions n} = card {N. count N partitions n}*  
*<proof>*

this sadly is not proven in *Card-Number-Partitions.Card-Number-Partitions*

**lemma** *card-partitions-number-partition*:

*card {p. p partitions n} = card {N. number-partition n N}*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *integer-partitions-number-partition-eq*:

*integer-partitions n = {N. number-partition n N}*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *integer-partitions-cardinality-aux*:

*card (integer-partitions n) = ( $\sum k \leq n$ . Partition n k)*  
*<proof>*

**theorem** *integer-partitions-cardinality*:

*card (integer-partitions n) = Partition (2\*n) n*  
*<proof>*

**end**

## 9 Integer Compositions

**theory** *Integer-Compositions*

**imports**

*Common-Lemmas*

**begin**

### 9.1 Definition

**definition** *integer-compositions* :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat list set* **where**

*integer-compositions i = {xs. sum-list xs = i  $\wedge$  0  $\notin$  set xs}*

Integer compositions are *integer-partitions* where the order matters.

Cardinality: *sum from n = 1 to i (binomial (i-1) (n-1)) =  $2^{i-1}$*

Example: *integer-compositions 3 = {[3], [2,1], [1,2], [1,1,1]}*

### 9.2 Algorithm

**fun** *integer-composition-enum* :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat list list* **where**

$integer-composition-enum\ 0 = []$   
 $| integer-composition-enum\ (Suc\ n) =$   
 $\quad [Suc\ m\ \#xs.\ m \leftarrow [0..< Suc\ n],\ xs \leftarrow integer-composition-enum\ (n-m)]$

## 9.3 Verification

### 9.3.1 Correctness

**lemma** *integer-composition-enum-tail-elem*:

$x\#xs \in set\ (integer-composition-enum\ n) \implies xs \in set\ (integer-composition-enum\ (n - x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integer-composition-enum-not-null-aux*:

$x\#xs \in set\ (integer-composition-enum\ n) \implies x \neq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integer-composition-enum-not-null*:  $xs \in set\ (integer-composition-enum\ n)$

$\implies 0 \notin set\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integer-composition-enum-empty*:  $[] \in set\ (integer-composition-enum\ n)$

$\implies n = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integer-composition-enum-sum*:  $xs \in set\ (integer-composition-enum\ n) \implies$

$sum-list\ xs = n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integer-composition-enum-head-set*:

**assumes**  $x \neq 0$  **and**  $x \leq n$

**shows**  $xs \in set\ (integer-composition-enum\ (n-x)) \implies x\#xs \in set\ (integer-composition-enum\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integer-composition-enum-correct-aux*:

$0 \notin set\ xs \implies xs \in set\ (integer-composition-enum\ (sum-list\ xs))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *integer-composition-enum-correct*:

$set\ (integer-composition-enum\ n) = integer-compositions\ n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 9.3.2 Distinctness

**theorem** *integer-composition-enum-distinct*:

$distinct\ (integer-composition-enum\ n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 9.3.3 Cardinality

**lemma** *sum-list-two-pow-aux*:

$(\sum x \leftarrow [0..<n]. (2::nat) ^ (n - x)) + 2 ^ (0 - 1) + 2 ^ 0 = 2 ^ (Suc\ n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-list-two-pow*:

$Suc\ (\sum x \leftarrow [0..<n]. 2 ^ (n - Suc\ x)) = 2 ^ n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integer-composition-enum-length*:

$length\ (integer-composition-enum\ n) = 2 ^ (n-1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *integer-compositions-card*:

$card\ (integer-compositions\ n) = 2 ^ (n-1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 10 Weak Integer Compositions

**theory** *Weak-Integer-Compositions*

**imports**

*HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations*

*Common-Lemmas*

**begin**

### 10.1 Definition

**definition** *weak-integer-compositions* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat\ list\ set$  **where**

$weak-integer-compositions\ i\ l = \{xs.\ length\ xs = l \wedge sum-list\ xs = i\}$

Weak integer compositions are similar to integer compositions, with the trade-off that 0 is allowed but the composition must have a fixed length.

Cardinality:  $binomial\ (i + n - 1)\ i$

Example:  $weak-integer-compositions\ 2\ 2 = \{[2,0], [1,1], [0,2]\}$

### 10.2 Algorithm

**fun** *weak-integer-composition-enum* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat\ list\ list$  **where**

$weak-integer-composition-enum\ i\ 0 = (if\ i = 0\ then\ [[]]\ else\ [])$

|  $weak-integer-composition-enum\ i\ (Suc\ 0) = [[i]]$

|  $weak-integer-composition-enum\ i\ l =$

$[h\#r . h \leftarrow [0..<Suc\ i], r \leftarrow weak-integer-composition-enum\ (i-h)\ (l-1)]$

## 10.3 Verification

### 10.3.1 Correctness

**lemma** *weak-integer-composition-enum-length*:

$xs \in \text{set } (\text{weak-integer-composition-enum } i \ l) \implies \text{length } xs = l$   
{proof}

**lemma** *weak-integer-composition-enum-sum-list*:

$xs \in \text{set } (\text{weak-integer-composition-enum } i \ l) \implies \text{sum-list } xs = i$   
{proof}

**lemma** *weak-integer-composition-enum-head*:

**assumes**  $xs \in \text{set } (\text{weak-integer-composition-enum } (\text{sum-list } xs) \ (\text{length } xs))$   
**shows**  $x \# xs \in \text{set } (\text{weak-integer-composition-enum } (x + \text{sum-list } xs) \ (\text{Suc } (\text{length } xs)))$   
{proof}

**lemma** *weak-integer-composition-enum-correct-aux*:

$xs \in \text{set } (\text{weak-integer-composition-enum } (\text{sum-list } xs) \ (\text{length } xs))$   
{proof}

**theorem** *weak-integer-composition-enum-correct*:

$\text{set } (\text{weak-integer-composition-enum } i \ l) = \text{weak-integer-compositions } i \ l$   
{proof}

### 10.3.2 Distinctness

**theorem** *weak-integer-composition-enum-distinct*:  $\text{distinct } (\text{weak-integer-composition-enum } i \ l)$

{proof}

### 10.3.3 Cardinality

The following is a generalization of the binomial coefficient to multisets. Sometimes it is called multiset coefficient. Here we call it "multichoose" [4].

**definition** *multichoose*::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  (**infixl** *multichoose* 65) **where**

$n \ \text{multichoose } k = (n + k - 1) \ \text{choose } k$

**lemma** *weak-integer-composition-enum-zero*:  $\text{length } (\text{weak-integer-composition-enum } 0 \ (\text{Suc } n)) = 1$

{proof}

**lemma** *a-choose-equivalence*:  $\text{Suc } (\sum x \leftarrow [0..<k]. n + (k - x) \ \text{choose } (k - x)) = \text{Suc } (n + k) \ \text{choose } k$

{proof}

**lemma** *composition-enum-length*:  $\text{length } (\text{weak-integer-composition-enum } i \ n) = n \ \text{multichoose } i$

{proof}

**theorem** *weak-integer-compositions-cardinality*:  $\text{card } (\text{weak-integer-compositions } n \ k) = k \text{ multichoose } n$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

## 11 Derangements

**theory** *Derangements-Enum*

**imports**

*HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations*

*Common-Lemmas*

**begin**

### 11.1 Definition

**fun** *no-overlap* :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool **where**  
   *no-overlap* - [] = True  
 | *no-overlap* [] - = True  
 | *no-overlap* (x#xs) (y#ys) = (x ≠ y ∧ *no-overlap* xs ys)

**lemma** *no-overlap-nth*:  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \implies i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{no-overlap } xs \ ys \implies xs ! i \neq ys ! i$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *nth-no-overlap*:  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \implies \forall i < \text{length } xs. xs ! i \neq ys ! i \implies \text{no-overlap } xs \ ys$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *derangements* :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list set **where**  
*derangements* xs = {ys. *distinct* ys ∧  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$  ∧  $\text{set } xs = \text{set } ys$  ∧ *no-overlap* xs ys }

A derangement of a list is a permutation where every element changes its position, assuming all elements are distinguishable.

An alternative definition exists in *Derangements.Derangements* [1].

Cardinality: *count-derangements* ( $\text{length } xs$ ) (from *Derangements.Derangements*)

Example: *derangements* [0,1,2] = {[1,2,0], [2,0,1]}

### 11.2 Algorithm

**fun** *derangement-enum-aux* :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list list **where**  
   *derangement-enum-aux* [] ys = [[]]  
 | *derangement-enum-aux* (x#xs) ys = [y#r . y ← ys, r ← *derangement-enum-aux* xs (remove1 y ys), y ≠ x]

**fun** *derangement-enum* :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list **where**  
*derangement-enum* *xs* = *derangement-enum-aux* *xs xs*

## 11.3 Verification

### 11.3.1 Correctness

**lemma** *derangement-enum-aux-elem-length*:  $zs \in \text{set } (\text{derangement-enum-aux } xs \text{ } ys) \Longrightarrow \text{length } xs = \text{length } zs$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *derangement-enum-aux-not-in*:  $y \notin \text{set } ys \Longrightarrow zs \in \text{set } (\text{derangement-enum-aux } xs \text{ } ys) \Longrightarrow y \notin \text{set } zs$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *derangement-enum-aux-in*:  $y \in \text{set } zs \Longrightarrow zs \in \text{set } (\text{derangement-enum-aux } xs \text{ } ys) \Longrightarrow y \in \text{set } ys$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *derangement-enum-aux-distinct-elem*:  $\text{distinct } ys \Longrightarrow zs \in \text{set } (\text{derangement-enum-aux } xs \text{ } ys) \Longrightarrow \text{distinct } zs$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *derangement-enum-aux-no-overlap*:  $zs \in \text{set } (\text{derangement-enum-aux } xs \text{ } ys) \Longrightarrow \text{no-overlap } xs \text{ } zs$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *derangement-enum-aux-set*:  
 $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \Longrightarrow zs \in \text{set } (\text{derangement-enum-aux } xs \text{ } ys) \Longrightarrow \text{set } zs = \text{set } ys$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *derangement-enum-correct-aux1*:  
 $\llbracket \text{distinct } zs; \text{length } ys = \text{length } zs; \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs; \text{set } ys = \text{set } zs; \text{no-overlap } xs \text{ } zs \rrbracket$   
 $\Longrightarrow zs \in \text{set } (\text{derangement-enum-aux } xs \text{ } ys)$   
 <proof>

**theorem** *derangement-enum-correct*:  $\text{distinct } xs \Longrightarrow \text{derangements } xs = \text{set } (\text{derangement-enum } xs)$   
 <proof>

### 11.3.2 Distinctness

**lemma** *derangement-enum-aux-distinct*:  $\text{distinct } ys \Longrightarrow \text{distinct } (\text{derangement-enum-aux } xs \text{ } ys)$   
 <proof>

**theorem** *derangement-enum-distinct*:  $distinct\ xs \implies distinct\ (derangement-enum\ xs)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

## 12 Trees

**theory** *Trees*  
**imports**  
   *HOL-Library.Tree*  
   *Common-Lemmas*

**begin**

### 12.1 Definition

The set of trees can be defined with the pre-existing *tree* datatype:

**definition** *trees* ::  $nat \Rightarrow unit\ tree\ set$  **where**  
*trees*  $n = \{t.\ size\ t = n\}$

Cardinality: *Catalan number of n*

Example: *trees* 0 = {*Leaf*}

### 12.2 Algorithm

**fun** *tree-enum* ::  $nat \Rightarrow unit\ tree\ list$  **where**  
*tree-enum* 0 = [*Leaf*] |  
*tree-enum* (*Suc*  $n$ ) = [(*t1*, (), *t2*).  $i \leftarrow [0..<Suc\ n]$ ,  $t1 \leftarrow tree-enum\ i$ ,  $t2 \leftarrow tree-enum\ (n-i)$ ]

### 12.3 Verification

#### 12.3.1 Cardinality

**lemma** *length-tree-enum*:  
 $length\ (tree-enum\ (Suc\ n)) = (\sum\ i \leq n.\ length\ (tree-enum\ i) * length\ (tree-enum\ (n - i)))$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

#### 12.3.2 Correctness

**lemma** *tree-enum-correct1*:  $t \in set\ (tree-enum\ n) \implies size\ t = n$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *tree-enum-correct2*:  $n = size\ t \implies t \in set\ (tree-enum\ n)$



*<proof>*

**theorem** *tree-enum-correct*:  $set(tree-enum\ n) = trees\ n$

*<proof>*

### 12.3.3 Distinctness

**lemma** *tree-enum-Leaf*:  $\langle \rangle \in set\ (tree-enum\ n) \iff (n = 0)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *tree-enum-elem-injective*:  $n \neq m \implies x \in set\ (tree-enum\ n) \implies y \in set\ (tree-enum\ m) \implies x \neq y$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *tree-enum-elem-injective2*:  $x \in set\ (tree-enum\ n) \implies y \in set\ (tree-enum\ m) \implies x = y \implies n = m$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *concat-map-Node-not-equal*:

$xs \neq [] \implies xs2 \neq [] \implies ys \neq [] \implies ys2 \neq [] \implies$

$\forall x \in set\ xs. \forall y \in set\ ys. x \neq y \implies$

$[(l, (), r). l \leftarrow xs2, r \leftarrow xs] \neq [(l, (), r). l \leftarrow ys2, r \leftarrow ys]$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *tree-enum-not-empty*:  $tree-enum\ n \neq []$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *tree-enum-distinct-aux-outer*:

**assumes**  $\forall i \leq n. distinct\ (tree-enum\ i)$

**and** *distinct xs*

**and**  $\forall i \in set\ xs. i < n$

**and** *sorted-wrt (<) xs*

**shows**  $distinct\ (map\ (\lambda i. [(l, (), r). l \leftarrow tree-enum\ i, r \leftarrow tree-enum\ (n-i)])\ xs)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *tree-enum-distinct-aux-left*:

$\forall i < n. distinct\ (tree-enum\ i) \implies distinct\ ([[(l, (), r). i \leftarrow [0..< n], l \leftarrow tree-enum\ i]])$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *tree-enum-distinct*:  $distinct(tree-enum\ n)$

*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *Combinatorial-Enumeration-Algorithms*

**imports**

*n-Sequences*

*n-Permutations*

*n-Subsets*

*Powerset*

*Integer-Partitions*  
*Integer-Compositions*  
*Weak-Integer-Compositions*  
*Derangements-Enum*  
*Trees*

**begin**

**end**

## References

- [1] L. Bulwahn. Derangements formula. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2015. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/Derangements.html>, Formal proof development.
- [2] L. Bulwahn. Cardinality of number partitions. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, January 2016. [https://isa-afp.org/entries/Card\\_Number\\_Partitions.html](https://isa-afp.org/entries/Card_Number_Partitions.html), Formal proof development.
- [3] L. Bulwahn. The twelvefold way. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, December 2016. [https://isa-afp.org/entries/Twelvefold\\_Way.html](https://isa-afp.org/entries/Twelvefold_Way.html), Formal proof development.
- [4] R. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics: Volume 1*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [5] D. Stanton and D. White. *Constructive Combinatorics*. Springer, 1986.