Combinatorial Enumeration Algorithms

Paul Hofmeier and Emin Karayel

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Combinatorial objects have configurations which can be enumerated by algorithms, but especially for imperative programs, it is difficult to find out if they produce the correct output and don't generate duplicates. Therefore, for some of the most common combinatorial objects, namely n_Sequences, n_Permutations, n_Subsets, Powerset, Integer_Compositions, Integer_Partitions, Weak_Integer_Compositions, Derangements and Trees, this entry formalizes efficient functional programs and verifies their correctness. In addition, it provides cardinality proofs for those combinatorial objects. Some cardinalities are verified using the enumeration functions and others are shown using existing libraries including other AFP entries.

Related books on combinatorics include [4] and [5]. Some of the cardinality theorems in this entry are also proved in another AFP entry, The Twelvefold Way [3].

Contents

1	Injectivity for two argument functions																			
	1.1	Corres	spondence be	etwe	een	ii	ij2	-01	n a	an	d	in	j - ϵ	on						2
	1.2	Proofs	with inj2.																	2
	1.3	Specia	dizations of	inj2																!
		1.3.1	Cons																	
		1.3.2	Node right																	
		1.3.3	Node left																	ļ
		1.3.4	Cons Suc																	(
2	Len	nmas f	or cardinal	ity	pı	' 00	ofs													(
3	Mis	cellane	eous																	(
	3.1	count-	list and repl	icat	e.															(

4	NT C	equences 7
4		1
	4.1	Definition
	4.2	Algorithm
	4.3	Verification
		4.3.1 Correctness
		4.3.2 Distinctness
		4.3.3 Cardinality
5	N-P	Permutations 8
	5.1	Definition
	5.2	Algorithm
	5.3	Verification
		5.3.1 Correctness
		5.3.2 Distinctness
		5.3.3 Cardinality
	5.4	n-multiset extension (with remdups)
_		•
6		ubsets 12
	6.1	Definition
	6.2	Algorithm
	6.3	Verification
		6.3.1 n-bool-lists
		6.3.2 Correctness
		6.3.3 Distinctness
		6.3.4 Cardinality
	6.4	Alternative using Multiset permutations
	6.5	<i>mset-count</i>
7	Pow	verset 14
	7.1	Definition
	7.2	Algorithm
	7.3	Verification
		7.3.1 Correctness
		7.3.2 Distinctness
		7.3.3 Cardinality
	7.4	Alternative algorithm with <i>n-sequence-enum</i>
8	Inte	ger Paritions 16
J	8.1	Definition
	8.2	Algorithm
	8.3	Verification
	0.0	8.3.1 Correctness
		8.3.2 Distinctness
		8 3 3 Cardinality

9	Inte	ger Co	omposit	ions																	18
	9.1	Definit	ion															 			18
	9.2	Algorit	thm															 			18
	9.3	Verific	ation .															 			19
		9.3.1	Correct	ness														 			19
		9.3.2	Distinc	tness														 			19
		9.3.3	Cardina	ality														 			20
10	Wea	k Inte	ger Co	mpo	si	tio	or.	ıs													20
	10.1	Definit	ion															 			20
	10.2	Algorit	thm															 			20
	10.3	Verific	ation .															 			21
		10.3.1	Correct	ness														 			21
		10.3.2	Distinc	tness														 			21
		10.3.3	Cardina	ality														 			21
11	Dera	angem	ents																		22
	11.1	Definit	ion															 			22
	11.0																				22
	11.2	Algorit	thm			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	 •	•	•	 		•	
		_																			23
		Verific	thm															 			23 23
		Verification 11.3.1	thm ation .	ness									•					 			
12		Verifica 11.3.1 11.3.2	thm ation . Correct	ness									•					 			23
12	11.3 Tree	Verifica 11.3.1 11.3.2	thm ation Correct Distinc	ness tness								 		•	 			 • •			23 23
12	11.3 Tree 12.1	Verifica 11.3.1 11.3.2 es Definit	thm ation Correct Distinc	ness tness								 			 			 	 		23 23 24
12	11.3 Tree 12.1 12.2	Verifica 11.3.1 11.3.2 es Definita Algorita	thm ation Correct Distinc	eness tness								 			 			 	 	 	23 23 24 24
12	11.3 Tree 12.1 12.2	Verifica 11.3.1 11.3.2 es Definit Algorit Verifica	thm ation Correct Distinct cion thm ation	tness								 			 				 	 	23 23 24 24 24
12	11.3 Tree 12.1 12.2	Verifica 11.3.1 11.3.2 es Definita Algorita Verifica 12.3.1	thm ation Correct Distinction	iness tness								 			 					 	23 23 24 24 24 24

1 Injectivity for two argument functions

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory} \ \ Common\text{-}Lemmas\\ \textbf{imports}\\ \ \ HOL.List\\ \ \ HOL-Library.Tree\\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

This section introduces inj2-on which generalizes inj-on on curried functions with two arguments and contains subsequent theorems about such functions.

We could use curried function directly with for example *case-prod*, but this way the proofs become simpler and easier to read.

```
definition inj2-on :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
```

```
inj2-on fA B \longleftrightarrow (\forall x1 \in A. \ \forall x2 \in A. \ \forall y1 \in B. \ \forall y2 \in B. \ fx1 \ y1 = fx2 \ y2 \longrightarrow x1
= x2 \wedge y1 = y2
abbreviation inj2::('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
  inj2 f \equiv inj2-on f UNIV UNIV
1.1
         Correspondence between inj2-on and inj-on
lemma inj2-curried: inj2-on (curry f) A B \longleftrightarrow inj-on f(A \times B)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inj2-on-all: inj2 f \implies inj2-on f A B
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inj2-inj-first: inj2 f \implies inj f
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inj2-inj-second: inj2 f \Longrightarrow inj (f x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inj2-inj-second-flipped: inj2 \ f \implies inj \ (\lambda x. \ f \ x \ y)
  \langle proof \rangle
1.2
         Proofs with inj2
Already existing for inj:
thm distinct-map
lemma inj2-on-distinct-map:
  assumes inj2-on f\{x\} (set xs)
  shows distinct xs = distinct (map (f x) xs)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inj2-distinct-map:
  assumes inj2 f
  shows distinct xs = distinct (map (f x) xs)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ inj \textit{2-on-distinct-concat-map} :
  assumes inj2-on f (set xs) (set ys)
  shows \llbracket distinct \ ys; \ distinct \ xs \rrbracket \implies distinct \ [f \ x \ y. \ x \leftarrow xs, \ y \leftarrow ys]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma inj2-distinct-concat-map:
  assumes inj2 f
  shows \llbracket distinct \ ys; \ distinct \ xs \rrbracket \implies distinct \ [f \ x \ y. \ x \leftarrow xs, \ y \leftarrow ys]
  \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ inj2\text{-}distinct\text{-}concat\text{-}map\text{-}function$:

```
assumes inj2 f
            \mathbf{shows} \llbracket \forall \ x \in set \ xs. \ distinct \ (g \ x); \ distinct \ xs \rrbracket \implies distinct \ \llbracket f \ x \ y. \ x \leftarrow xs, \ y \leftarrow xs,
g[x]
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma distinct-concat-Nil: distinct (concat (map (\lambda y. []) xs))
             \langle proof \rangle
lemma inj2-distinct-concat-map-function-filter:
             assumes inj2 f
            \mathbf{shows} \llbracket \forall \ x \in set \ xs. \ distinct \ (g \ x); \ distinct \ xs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow distinct \ [f \ x \ y. \ x \leftarrow xs, \ y \leftarrow xs, 
g x, h x
\langle proof \rangle
                                                         Specializations of inj2
1.3
1.3.1
                                                                   Cons
lemma Cons-inj2: inj2 (#)
             \langle proof \rangle
lemma Cons-distinct-concat-map: [distinct\ ys;\ distinct\ xs] \implies distinct\ [x\#y.\ x \leftarrow
xs, y \leftarrow ys
             \langle proof \rangle
lemma Cons-distinct-concat-map-function:
             \llbracket \forall \ x \in set \ xs. \ distinct \ (g \ x) \ ; \ distinct \ xs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow distinct \ \llbracket x \ \# \ y. \ x \leftarrow xs, \ y \leftarrow g \ x \rrbracket
             \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{Cons-distinct-concat-map-function-distinct-on-all:}
               \llbracket \forall x. \ distinct \ (g \ x) \ ; \ distinct \ xs \rrbracket \implies distinct \ \llbracket x \ \# \ y. \ x \leftarrow xs, \ y \leftarrow g \ x \rrbracket
               \langle proof \rangle
1.3.2
                                                               Node right
lemma Node-right-inj2: inj2 (\lambda l \ r. Node l \ e \ r)
             \langle proof \rangle
lemma Node-right-distinct-concat-map:
               \llbracket distinct \ ys; \ distinct \ xs \rrbracket \implies distinct \ [Node \ x \ e \ y. \ x \leftarrow xs, \ y \leftarrow ys]
               \langle proof \rangle
1.3.3
                                                                Node left
lemma Node-left-inj2: inj2 (\lambda r \ l. Node l \ e \ r)
               \langle proof \rangle
lemma Node-left-distinct-map: distinct xs = distinct \pmod{(\lambda l. \langle l, (), r \rangle)} xs
               \langle proof \rangle
```

1.3.4 Cons Suc

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{Cons-Suc-inj2: inj2} \ (\lambda x \ ys. \ \textit{Suc} \ x \ \# \ ys) \\ & \langle \textit{proof} \, \rangle \\ \\ \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{Cons-Suc-distinct-concat-map-function:} \\ \llbracket \forall \ x \in \textit{set} \ \textit{xs. distinct} \ (\textit{g} \ x) \ ; \ \textit{distinct} \ \textit{xs} \rrbracket \implies \textit{distinct} \ [\textit{Suc} \ x \ \# \ y. \ x \leftarrow \textit{xs}, \ y \leftarrow \textit{g} \ x \rrbracket \\ & \langle \textit{proof} \, \rangle \\ \end{array}
```

2 Lemmas for cardinality proofs

```
lemma length-concat-map: length [f x r . x \leftarrow xs, r \leftarrow ys] = length ys * length xs \langle proof \rangle
```

An useful extension to length-concat

```
thm length-concat
```

```
lemma length-concat-map-function-sum-list:
```

```
assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow length \ (g \ x) = h \ x

shows length \ [f \ x \ r. \ x \leftarrow xs, \ r \leftarrow g \ x] = sum\text{-}list \ (map \ h \ xs)

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma sum-list-extract-last: $(\sum x \leftarrow [0.. < Suc \ n]. \ f \ x) = (\sum x \leftarrow [0.. < n]. \ f \ x) + f \ n \ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma leq-sum-to-sum-list: $(\sum x \le n.\ f\ x) = (\sum x \leftarrow [0..< Suc\ n].\ f\ x) \land proof \rangle$

lemma less-sum-to-sum-list: $(\sum x < n. \ f \ x) = (\sum x \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ x) \ \langle proof \rangle$

3 Miscellaneous

Similar to length-remove1:

```
lemma Suc-length-remove1: x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow Suc \ (length \ (remove1 \ x \ xs)) = length \ xs \ \langle proof \rangle
```

3.1 count-list and replicate

HOL.List doesn't have many lemmas about *count-list* (when not using multisets)

```
lemma count-list-replicate: count-list (replicate x y) y = x \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma count-list-full-elem: count-list $xs \ y = length \ xs \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ x \in set \ xs. \ x = y) \langle proof \rangle$

The following lemma verifies the reverse of *count-notin*:

```
thm count-notin lemma count-list-zero-not-elem: count-list xs x=0 \longleftrightarrow x \notin set \ xs \ \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma count-list-length-replicate: count-list xs \ y = length \ xs \longleftrightarrow xs = replicate (length xs) y \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ count\text{-}list\text{-}True\text{-}False:\ count\text{-}list\ xs\ True\ +\ count\text{-}list\ xs\ False\ =\ length\ xs\ \langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

4 N-Sequences

```
theory n-Sequences
imports
HOL.List
Common-Lemmas
begin
```

4.1 Definition

```
definition n-sequences :: 'a set \Rightarrow nat <math>\Rightarrow 'a list set where n-sequences A n = \{xs. set xs \subseteq A \land length xs = n\}
```

Cardinality: $card A \cap n$

Example: n-sequences $\{0, 1\}$ $\mathcal{Z} = \{[0,0], [0,1], [1,0], [1,1]\}$

4.2 Algorithm

```
fun n-sequence-enum :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list list where n-sequence-enum xs 0 = []] | n-sequence-enum xs (Suc n) = [x \# r . x \leftarrow xs, r \leftarrow n-sequence-enum xs n]
```

An enumeration of n-sequences already exists: n-lists. This part of this AFP entry is mostly to establish the patterns used in the more complex combinatorial objects.

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ set \ (n\text{-}sequence\text{-}enum \ xs \ n) = set \ (List.n\text{-}lists \ n \ xs) \\ \langle proof \rangle
```

 \mathbf{thm} set-n-lists

4.3.1 Correctness

```
theorem n-sequence-enum-correct:
 set\ (n-sequence-enum xs\ n) = n-sequences (set\ xs) n \langle proof \rangle
```

4.3.2 Distinctness

```
theorem n-sequence-enum-distinct:
 distinct \ xs \implies distinct \ (n-sequence-enum xs \ n)
\langle proof \rangle
```

4.3.3 Cardinality

```
lemma n-sequence-enum-length:
length (n-sequence-enum xs n) = (length xs) ^n \langle proof \rangle
```

of course card-lists-length-eq can directly proof it but we want to derive it from n-sequence-enum-length

thm card-lists-length-eq

```
theorem n-sequences-card:

assumes finite A

shows card (n-sequences A n) = card A \hat{\ } n

\langle proof \rangle
```

end

5 N-Permutations

```
\begin{tabular}{l} \textbf{theory} & n\text{-}Permutations \\ \textbf{imports} \\ & HOL-Combinatorics. Multiset\text{-}Permutations \\ & Common\text{-}Lemmas \\ & Falling\text{-}Factorial\text{-}Sum\text{-}Falling\text{-}Factorial\text{-}Sum\text{-}Combinatorics} \\ \textbf{begin} \\ \end{tabular}
```

5.1 Definition

```
definition n-permutations :: 'a set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list set where n-permutations A n = \{xs. set xs \subseteq A \land distinct xs \land length xs = n\}
```

Permutations with a maximum length. They are different from HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permut because the entries must all be distinct.

Cardinality: 'falling factorial' (card A) n

```
Example: n-permutations \{0,1,2\} \mathcal{Z} = \{[0,1], [0,2], [1,0], [1,2], [2,0], [2,1]\}
```

lemma permutations-of-set $A \subseteq n$ -permutations A (card A) $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2 Algorithm

```
fun n-permutation-enum :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list list where n-permutation-enum xs 0 = []] | n-permutation-enum xs (Suc\ n) = [x\#r\ .\ x \leftarrow xs,\ r \leftarrow n-permutation-enum (remove1\ x\ xs)\ n]
```

5.3 Verification

5.3.1 Correctness

```
lemma n-permutation-enum-subset: ys \in set (n-permutation-enum xs n) \Longrightarrow set ys \subseteq set xs \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma n-permutation-enum-length: $ys \in set$ (n-permutation-enum xs $n) \Longrightarrow length$ ys = n $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma n-permutation-enum-elem-distinct: distinct $xs \Longrightarrow ys \in set$ (n-permutation-enum xs n) \Longrightarrow distinct ys $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma n-permutation-enum-correct1: distinct $xs \Longrightarrow set$ (n-permutation-enum xs n) $\subseteq n$ -permutations (set xs) n $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma n-permutation-enum-correct2: $ys \in n$ -permutations (set xs) $n \Longrightarrow ys \in set$ (n-permutation-enum xs n) $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem n-permutation-enum-correct: distinct $xs \Longrightarrow set$ (n-permutation-enum xs n) = n-permutations (set xs) n $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3.2 Distinctness

theorem *n*-permutation-distinct: distinct $xs \Longrightarrow distinct$ (*n*-permutation-enum xs *n*) $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3.3 Cardinality

thm card-lists-distinct-length-eq theorem finite $A \implies card \ (n\text{-permutations } A \ n) = \text{ffact } n \ (\text{card } A)$

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

5.4 *n-multiset* extension (with remdups)

```
definition n-multiset-permutations :: 'a multiset \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list set where
  n-multiset-permutations A n = \{xs. mset xs \subseteq \# A \land length xs = n\}
fun n-multiset-permutation-enum :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list list where
  n-multiset-permutation-enum xs n = remdups (n-permutation-enum xs n)
lemma distinct (n-multiset-permutation-enum xs n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma n-multiset-permutation-enum-correct1:
  mset\ ys \subseteq \#\ mset\ xs \Longrightarrow ys \in set\ (n\text{-}permutation\text{-}enum\ xs\ (length\ ys))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma n-multiset-permutation-enum-correct2:
  ys \in set \ (n\text{-}permutation\text{-}enum \ xs \ n) \Longrightarrow mset \ ys \subseteq \# \ mset \ xs
\langle proof \rangle
lemma n-multiset-permutation-enum-correct:
  set\ (n\text{-multiset-permutation-enum}\ xs\ n) = n\text{-multiset-permutations}\ (mset\ xs)\ n
  \langle proof \rangle
end
theory Filter-Bool-List
 imports
  HOL.List
```

A simple algorithm to filter a list by a boolean list. A different approach would be to filter by a set of indices, but this approach is faster, because lookups are slow in ML.

```
fun filter-bool-list :: bool list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where filter-bool-list [] - = [] | filter-bool-list (b#bs) (x#xs) = (if b then x#(filter-bool-list bs xs)) else (filter-bool-list bs xs))
```

The following could be an alternative definition, but the version above provides a nice computational induction rule.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{filter-bool-list bs } \textit{xs} = \textit{map snd (filter fst (zip bs xs))} \\ \langle \textit{proof} \, \rangle \end{array}
```

```
lemma filter-bool-list-in:
```

begin

```
n < length \ xs \Longrightarrow n < length \ bs \Longrightarrow bs! n \Longrightarrow xs! n \in set \ (filter-bool-list \ bs \ xs) \ \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma filter-bool-list-not-elem: x \notin set \ xs \Longrightarrow x \notin set \ (filter-bool-list \ bs \ xs)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-bool-list-elem: x \in set (filter-bool-list bs xs) \Longrightarrow x \in set xs
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-bool-list-not-in:
  distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow n < length \ xs \Longrightarrow n < length \ bs \Longrightarrow bs!n = False
     \implies xs!n \notin set (filter-bool-list bs xs)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-bool-list-elem-nth: ys \in set (filter-bool-list bs xs)
  \implies \exists n. \ ys = xs \ ! \ n \land bs \ ! \ n \land n < length \ bs \land n < length \ xs
\langle proof \rangle
May be a useful conversion, since the algorithm could also be implemented
with a list of indices.
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{filter-bool-list-set-nth}\colon
  set (filter-bool-list bs xs) = {xs \mid n \mid n. bs ! n \land n < length bs <math>\land n < length xs}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-bool-list-exist-length: A \subseteq set xs
  \implies \exists bs. \ length \ bs = length \ xs \land A = set \ (filter-bool-list \ bs \ xs)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-bool-list-card:
 \llbracket distinct \ xs; \ length \ xs = length \ bs 
Vert \Longrightarrow card \ (set \ (filter-bool-list \ bs \ xs)) = count-list
bs\ True
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-bool-list-exist-length-card-True: \llbracket distinct \ xs; \ A \subseteq set \ xs; \ n = card \ A \rrbracket
     \implies \exists \ bs. \ length \ bs = length \ xs \land \ count\mbox{-list bs True} = \ card \ A \land A = \ set
(filter-bool-list bs xs)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-bool-list-distinct: distinct xs \implies distinct (filter-bool-list bs xs)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-bool-list-inj-aux:
  assumes length bs1 = length xs
  and length xs = length bs2
  and distinct xs
shows filter-bool-list bs1 xs = filter-bool-list bs2 xs \Longrightarrow bs1 = bs2
\langle proof \rangle
lemma filter-bool-list-inj:
  distinct xs \implies inj-on (\lambda bs. filter-bool-list bs xs) {bs. length bs = length xs}
  \langle proof \rangle
```

6 N-Subsets

```
 \begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ n\textsc{-}Subsets\\ \textbf{imports}\\ Common\textsc{-}Lemmas\\ HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset\textsc{-}Permutations\\ Filter\textsc{-}Bool\textsc{-}List\\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

6.1 Definition

```
definition n-subsets :: 'a set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a set set where n-subsets A n = \{B. B \subseteq A \land card \ B = n\}
Cardinality: binomial\ (card\ A)\ n
Example: n-subsets \{0,1,2\}\ 2 = \{\{0,1\},\ \{0,2\},\ \{1,2\}\}\}
```

6.2 Algorithm

```
fun n-bool-lists :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool list list where

n-bool-lists n 0 = (if n > 0 then [] else [[]])

| n-bool-lists n (Suc x) = (if n = 0 then [replicate (Suc x) False]

else if n = Suc x then [replicate (Suc x) True]

else if n > x then []

else [False#xs . xs ← n-bool-lists n x] @ [True#xs . xs ← n-bool-lists (n-1) x])

fun n-subset-enum :: 'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list list where
```

$\textit{n-subset-enum} \ \textit{xs} \ \textit{n} = [(\textit{filter-bool-list} \ \textit{bs} \ \textit{xs}) \ . \ \textit{bs} \leftarrow (\textit{n-bool-lists} \ \textit{n} \ (\textit{length} \ \textit{xs}))]$

6.3 Verification

6.3.1 n-bool-lists

```
lemma n-bool-lists-True-count: xs \in set \ (n-bool-lists n \ x) \Longrightarrow count-list xs \ True = n \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma n-bool-lists-length: xs \in set (n-bool-lists n x) \Longrightarrow length xs = x \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma n-bool-lists-distinct: distinct (n-bool-lists n x) \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma replicate-True-not-False: count-list ys True = $0 \longleftrightarrow ys = replicate$ (length ys) False

```
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ n	ext{-}bool	ext{-}lists	ext{-}correct	ext{-}aux:
  length \ xs = x \Longrightarrow count\text{-}list \ xs \ True = n \Longrightarrow xs \in set \ (n\text{-}bool\text{-}lists \ n \ x)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma n-bool-lists-correct: set (n\text{-bool-lists } n\ x) = \{xs.\ length\ xs = x \land count\text{-list}\}
xs True = n
\langle proof \rangle
6.3.2
             Correctness
lemma n-subset-enum-correct-aux1:
```

```
[distinct \ xs; \ length \ ys = \ length \ xs]
    \implies set (filter-bool-list ys xs) \in n-subsets (set xs) (count-list ys True)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma n-subset-enum-correct-aux2:
  distinct \ xs \implies n\text{-subsets (set } xs) \ n \subseteq set \ (map \ set \ (n\text{-subset-enum } xs \ n))
  \langle proof \rangle
theorem n-subset-enum-correct:
  distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow set \ (map \ set \ (n\text{-subset-enum} \ xs \ n)) = n\text{-subsets} \ (set \ xs) \ n
\langle proof \rangle
```

6.3.3 **Distinctness**

```
{\bf theorem}\ \textit{n-subset-enum-distinct-elem}:
  distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow ys \in set \ (n\text{-subset-enum} \ xs \ n) \Longrightarrow distinct \ ys
  \langle proof \rangle
theorem n-subset-enum-distinct: distinct xs \implies distinct (n-subset-enum xs \ n)
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$

Cardinality 6.3.4

Cardinality of n-subsets is already shown in Binomial.n-subsets.

6.4 Alternative using Multiset permutations

It would be possible to define n-bool-lists using permutations-of-multiset with the following definition:

```
fun n-bool-lists2 :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool list set where
  n-bool-lists2 n \ x = \{if \ n > x \ then \ \}
   else permutations-of-multiset (mset (replicate n True @ replicate (x-n) False)))
```

6.5 mset-count

Correspondence between *count-list* and *count* (*mset xs*) and transfer of a few results for multisets to lists.

```
lemma count-list-count-mset: count-list ys T = n \Longrightarrow count \ (mset \ ys) \ T = n \ \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma count-mset-count-list: count (mset ys) T = n \Longrightarrow count-list ys T = n \land proof \rangle
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ count\text{-}mset\text{-}replicate\text{-}aux1:
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \quad count\text{-}mset\text{-}replicate\text{-}aux 2:
```

```
assumes \neg length xs < count-list xs True shows mset xs = mset (replicate (count-list xs True) True) + mset (replicate (length xs - count-list xs True) False) \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma n-bool-lists2-correct: set (n-bool-lists n x) = n-bool-lists2 n x \langle proof \rangle
```

 \mathbf{end}

7 Powerset

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory } Powerset\\ \textbf{imports}\\ Main\\ n\text{-}Sequences\\ Common\text{-}Lemmas\\ Filter\text{-}Bool\text{-}List\\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

7.1 Definition

```
Pow A
```

```
Cardinality: 2 ^ card A 
 Example: Pow \{0,1\} = \{\{\}, \{1\}, \{0\}, \{0, 1\}\}
```

7.2 Algorithm

```
fun all-bool-lists :: nat \Rightarrow bool \ list \ list \ \mathbf{where} all-bool-lists 0 = [[]]
```

```
| all-bool-lists (Suc x) = concat [[False#xs, True#xs] . xs \leftarrow all-bool-lists x]

fun powerset-enum where

powerset-enum xs = [(filter-bool-list x xs) . x \leftarrow all-bool-lists (length xs)]
```

First we show the relevant theorems for *all-bool-lists*, then we'll transfer the results to the enumeration algorithm for powersets.

```
lemma distinct-concat-aux: distinct xs \implies distinct (concat (map (\lambda xs. [False # xs, True # xs]) xs)) \langle proof \rangle
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{distinct-all-bool-lists} : \textit{distinct} \ (\textit{all-bool-lists} \ x) \\ \langle \textit{proof} \, \rangle
```

lemma all-bool-lists-correct: set (all-bool-lists x) = $\{xs. length \ xs = x\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

7.3.1 Correctness

theorem powerset-enum-correct: set (map set (powerset-enum xs)) = Pow (set xs) $\langle proof \rangle$

7.3.2 Distinctness

```
theorem powerset-enum-distinct-elem: distinct xs \implies ys \in set (powerset-enum xs) \implies distinct \ ys \ \langle proof \rangle
```

theorem powerset-enum-distinct: distinct $xs \Longrightarrow distinct \ (powerset-enum \ xs) \ \langle proof \rangle$

7.3.3 Cardinality

Cardinality for powersets is already shown in *card-Pow*.

7.4 Alternative algorithm with *n*-sequence-enum

```
fun all-bool-lists2 :: nat \Rightarrow bool list list where all-bool-lists2 n = n-sequence-enum [True, False] n

lemma all-bool-lists2-distinct: distinct (all-bool-lists2 n) \langle proof \rangle

lemma all-bool-lists2-correct: set (all-bool-lists n) = set (all-bool-lists2 n) \langle proof \rangle
```

end

8 Integer Paritions

```
theory Integer-Partitions
imports
HOL-Library.Multiset
Common-Lemmas
Card-Number-Partitions.Card-Number-Partitions
begin
```

8.1 Definition

```
definition integer-partitions :: nat \Rightarrow nat multiset set where integer-partitions i = \{A. sum\text{-}mset \ A = i \land 0 \notin \# A\}
```

Cardinality: Partition i (from Card-Number-Partitions. Card-Number-Partitions [2])

Example: integer-partitions $4 = \{\{4\}, \{3,1\}, \{2,2\}, \{2,1,1\}, \{1,1,1,1\}\}$

8.2 Algorithm

```
fun integer-partitions-enum-aux :: nat \Rightarrow nat list list where integer-partitions-enum-aux 0 m = [[]] | integer-partitions-enum-aux n m = [h\#r . h \leftarrow [1.. < Suc \ (min \ n \ m)], \ r \leftarrow integer-partitions-enum-aux \ (n-h) \ h]
```

fun integer-partitions-enum :: $nat \Rightarrow nat \ list \ list \ where$ integer-partitions-enum n = integer-partitions-enum-aux n n

8.3 Verification

8.3.1 Correctness

 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma integer-partitions-empty: [] ∈ set (integer-partitions-enum-aux n m) \Longrightarrow n = 0 
 \langle proof \rangle 
lemma integer-partitions-enum-aux-first: x \# xs \in set (integer-partitions-enum-aux n m) \Longrightarrow xs \in set (integer-partitions-enum-aux (n-x) x) \langle proof \rangle 
lemma integer-partitions-enum-aux-max-n: x \# xs \in set (integer-partitions-enum-aux n m) \Longrightarrow x \le n \langle proof \rangle 
lemma integer-partitions-enum-aux-max-head: x \# xs \in set (integer-partitions-enum-aux n m) \Longrightarrow x \le m
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ integer-partitions\text{-}enum\text{-}aux\text{-}max\text{:}
  xs \in set \ (integer\mbox{-}partitions\mbox{-}enum\mbox{-}aux \ n \ m) \Longrightarrow x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow x \le m
\langle proof \rangle
lemma integer-partitions-enum-aux-sum:
  xs \in set \ (integer-partitions-enum-aux \ n \ m) \Longrightarrow sum-list \ xs = n
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ integer-partitions\text{-}enum\text{-}aux\text{-}not\text{-}null\text{-}aux\text{:}
  x \# xs \in set \ (integer-partitions-enum-aux \ n \ m) \Longrightarrow x \neq 0
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ integer\text{-}partitions\text{-}enum\text{-}aux\text{-}not\text{-}null:
  xs \in set \ (integer-partitions-enum-aux \ n \ m) \Longrightarrow x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow x \neq 0
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ integer-partitions\text{-}enum\text{-}aux\text{-}head\text{-}minus:
      h \leq m \Longrightarrow h > 0 \Longrightarrow n \geq h \Longrightarrow
 ys \in set \ (integer\mbox{-}partitions\mbox{-}enum\mbox{-}aux \ (n-h) \ h) \Longrightarrow h \# ys \in set \ (integer\mbox{-}partitions\mbox{-}enum\mbox{-}aux \ h \# ys)
n m
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ integer-partitions\text{-}enum\text{-}aux\text{-}head\text{-}plus:
  h \leq m \Longrightarrow h > 0 \Longrightarrow ys \in set \ (integer-partitions-enum-aux \ n \ h)
     \implies h \# ys \in set \ (integer-partitions-enum-aux \ (h + n) \ m)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ integer-partitions\text{-}enum\text{-}correct\text{-}aux1:
  assumes \theta \notin A
  and \forall x \in \# A. \ x \leq m
shows \exists xs \in set \ (integer-partitions-enum-aux \ (\sum_{\#} A) \ m). \ A = mset \ xs
\langle proof \rangle
theorem integer-partitions-enum-correct:
  set\ (map\ mset\ (integer-partitions-enum\ n)) = integer-partitions\ n
\langle proof \rangle
8.3.2
             Distinctness
{f lemma}\ integer\mbox{-}partitions\mbox{-}enum\mbox{-}aux\mbox{-}distinct:
  distinct (integer-partitions-enum-aux \ n \ m)
\langle proof \rangle
theorem integer-partitions-enum-distinct:
  distinct (integer-partitions-enum n)
  \langle proof \rangle
```

8.3.3 Cardinality

```
lemma partitions-bij-betw-count:
  bij-betw count \{N. \ count \ N \ partitions \ n\} \ \{p. \ p \ partitions \ n\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma card-partitions-count-partitions:
  card \{p. p \ partitions \ n\} = card \{N. \ count \ N \ partitions \ n\}
  \langle proof \rangle
this sadly is not proven in Card-Number-Partitions. Card-Number-Partitions
lemma card-partitions-number-partition:
  card \{p. \ p \ partitions \ n\} = card \{N. \ number-partition \ n \ N\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma integer-partitions-number-partition-eq:
  integer-partitions n = \{N. number-partition \ n \ N\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma integer-partitions-cardinality-aux:
  card\ (integer\text{-partitions}\ n) = (\sum k \le n.\ Partition\ n\ k)
  \langle proof \rangle
theorem integer-partitions-cardinality:
  card\ (integer-partitions\ n) = Partition\ (2*n)\ n
  \langle proof \rangle
end
```

9 Integer Compositions

```
theory Integer-Compositions
imports
Common-Lemmas
begin
```

9.1 Definition

```
definition integer-compositions :: nat \Rightarrow nat \ list \ set \ where integer-compositions i = \{xs. \ sum\ -list \ xs = i \ \land \ 0 \notin set \ xs\}
```

Integer compositions are *integer-partitions* where the order matters.

```
Cardinality: sum from n = 1 to i (binomial (i-1) (n-1)) = 2\widehat{\phantom{a}}(i-1)
Example: integer-compositions 3 = \{[3], [2,1], [1,2], [1,1,1]\}
```

9.2 Algorithm

fun $integer-composition-enum :: nat <math>\Rightarrow$ nat list list **where**

```
integer-composition-enum 0 = [[]]
| integer-composition-enum (Suc \ n) = [Suc \ m \ \#xs. \ m \leftarrow [0.. < Suc \ n], \ xs \leftarrow integer-composition-enum \ (n-m)]
```

9.3.1 Correctness

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ integer-composition\text{-}enum\text{-}tail\text{-}elem:}
 x \# xs \in set \ (integer\text{-}composition\text{-}enum \ n) \Longrightarrow xs \in set \ (integer\text{-}composition\text{-}enum \ n)
(n-x)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ integer-composition\text{-}enum\text{-}not\text{-}null\text{-}aux:}
  x \# xs \in set \ (integer-composition-enum \ n) \Longrightarrow x \neq 0
lemma integer-composition-enum-not-null: xs \in set (integer-composition-enum n)
\implies 0 \notin set \ xs
\langle proof \rangle
lemma integer-composition-enum-empty: [] \in set (integer-composition-enum n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma integer-composition-enum-sum: xs \in set (integer-composition-enum n) \Longrightarrow
sum-list xs = n
\langle proof \rangle
lemma integer-composition-enum-head-set:
  assumes x \neq 0 \text{ and } x \leq n
 shows xs \in set (integer-composition-enum (n-x)) \Longrightarrow x \# xs \in set (integer-composition-enum
n)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma integer-composition-enum-correct-aux:
  0 \notin set \ xs \Longrightarrow xs \in set \ (integer-composition-enum \ (sum-list \ xs))
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf theorem}\ integer-composition\text{-}enum\text{-}correct:
  set\ (integer-composition-enum\ n)=integer-compositions\ n
\langle proof \rangle
```

9.3.2 Distinctness

```
theorem integer-composition-enum-distinct: distinct (integer-composition-enum n) \langle proof \rangle
```

9.3.3 Cardinality

```
lemma sum-list-two-pow-aux: (\sum x \leftarrow [0..< n]. (2::nat) \land (n-x)) + 2 \land (0-1) + 2 \land 0 = 2 \land (Suc\ n) \land proof \rangle

lemma sum-list-two-pow: Suc\ (\sum x \leftarrow [0..< n].\ 2 \land (n-Suc\ x)) = 2 \land n \land proof \rangle

lemma integer-composition-enum-length: length\ (integer-composition-enum\ n) = 2 \land (n-1) \land proof \rangle

theorem integer-compositions-card: card\ (integer-compositions\ n) = 2 \land (n-1) \land proof \rangle

end
```

10 Weak Integer Compositions

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ \textit{Weak-Integer-Compositions} \\ \textbf{imports} \\ \textit{HOL-Combinatorics}. \textit{Multiset-Permutations} \\ \textit{Common-Lemmas} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

10.1 Definition

```
definition weak-integer-compositions :: nat \Rightarrow nat \text{ ist set where} weak-integer-compositions i l = \{xs. \text{ length } xs = l \land \text{ sum-list } xs = i\}
```

Weak integer compositions are similar to integer compositions, with the trade-off that 0 is allowed but the composition must have a fixed length.

```
Cardinality: binomial\ (i+n-1)\ i
```

Example: weak-integer-compositions $2\ 2 = \{[2,0], [1,1], [0,2]\}$

10.2 Algorithm

```
fun weak-integer-composition-enum :: nat \Rightarrow nat \text{ list list where} weak-integer-composition-enum i \ 0 = (if \ i = 0 \ then \ [[]] \ else \ []) | weak-integer-composition-enum i \ (Suc \ 0) = [[i]] | weak-integer-composition-enum i \ l = [h\#r \ . \ h \leftarrow [0.. < Suc \ i], \ r \leftarrow weak-integer-composition-enum \ (i-h) \ (l-1)]
```

10.3.1 Correctness

```
lemma weak-integer-composition-enum-length:
  xs \in set \ (weak-integer-composition-enum \ i \ l) \Longrightarrow length \ xs = l
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{weak-integer-composition-enum-sum-list}:
 xs \in set \ (weak\text{-}integer\text{-}composition\text{-}enum \ i \ l) \Longrightarrow sum\text{-}list \ xs = i
\langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ weak-integer-composition-enum-head:
  assumes xs \in set (weak-integer-composition-enum (sum-list xs) (length xs))
  shows x \# xs \in set (weak-integer-composition-enum (x + sum\text{-}list xs) (Suc
(length xs))
\langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ weak-integer-composition-enum-correct-aux:
  xs \in set \ (weak-integer-composition-enum \ (sum-list \ xs) \ (length \ xs))
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf theorem}\ \textit{weak-integer-composition-enum-correct}:
  set\ (weak-integer-composition-enum\ i\ l)=weak-integer-compositions\ i\ l
\langle proof \rangle
10.3.2
           Distinctness
theorem weak-integer-composition-enum-distinct: distinct (weak-integer-composition-enum
i l
\langle proof \rangle
10.3.3
            Cardinality
The following is a generalization of the binomial coefficient to multisets.
Sometimes it is called multiset coefficient. Here we call it "multichoose" [4].
definition multichoose: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat (infix1 \langle multichoose \rangle 65) where
  n \text{ multichoose } k = (n + k - 1) \text{ choose } k
lemma weak-integer-composition-enum-zero: length (weak-integer-composition-enum
\theta (Suc n)) = 1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma a-choose-equivalence: Suc (\sum x \leftarrow [0... < k]. \ n + (k-x) \ choose \ (k-x)) =
Suc\ (n+k)\ choose\ k
\langle proof \rangle
lemma composition-enum-length: length (weak-integer-composition-enum i n) = n
multichoose\ i
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
theorem weak-integer-compositions-cardinality: card (weak-integer-compositions n k) = k multichoose n \langle proof \rangle
```

end

11 Derangements

```
theory Derangements-Enum
imports
HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations
Common-Lemmas
```

begin

11.1 Definition

```
fun no-overlap :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool where no-overlap - [] = True | no-overlap [] - = True | no-overlap (x\#xs) (y\#ys) = (x \neq y \land no-overlap xs ys)

lemma no-overlap-nth: length xs = length ys \Longrightarrow i < length xs \Longrightarrow no-overlap xs ys \Longrightarrow xs ! i \neq ys ! i \langle proof \rangle

lemma nth-no-overlap: length xs = length ys \Longrightarrow \forall i < length xs. xs ! i \neq ys ! i \Longrightarrow no-overlap xs ys \langle proof \rangle

definition derangements :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list set where derangements xs = {ys. distinct ys \land length xs = length ys \land set xs = set ys \land no-overlap xs ys }
```

A derangement of a list is a permutation where every element changes its position, assuming all elements are distinguishable.

An alternative definition exists in *Derangements. Derangements* [1].

Cardinality: count-derangements (length xs) (from Derangements.Derangements)

Example: derangements $[0,1,2] = \{[1,2,0], [2,0,1]\}$

11.2 Algorithm

```
fun derangement-enum-aux :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list list where derangement-enum-aux [] ys = [[]] | derangement-enum-aux (x\#xs) ys = [y\#r : y \leftarrow ys, r \leftarrow derangement-enum-aux xs (remove1 y ys), y \neq x]
```

```
fun derangement-enum :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list list where derangement-enum xs = derangement-enum-aux xs xs
```

11.3.1 Correctness

```
lemma derangement-enum-aux-elem-length: zs \in set (derangement-enum-aux xs
ys) \Longrightarrow length xs = length zs
            \langle proof \rangle
lemma derangement-enum-aux-not-in: y \notin set \ ys \Longrightarrow zs \in set \ (derangement-enum-aux
xs \ ys) \Longrightarrow y \notin set \ zs
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma derangement-enum-aux-in: y \in set \ zs \Longrightarrow zs \in set \ (derangement-enum-aux
xs\ ys) \Longrightarrow y \in set\ ys
           \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ derangement\text{-}enum\text{-}aux\text{-}distinct\text{-}elem\text{:}\ distinct\ ys \Longrightarrow zs \in set\ (derangement\text{-}enum\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}aux\text{-}a
xs \ ys) \Longrightarrow distinct \ zs
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma derangement-enum-aux-no-overlap: zs \in set (derangement-enum-aux xs ys)
\implies no\text{-}overlap \ xs \ zs
            \langle proof \rangle
lemma derangement-enum-aux-set:
              length \ xs = length \ ys \Longrightarrow zs \in set \ (derangement-enum-aux \ xs \ ys) \Longrightarrow set \ zs = length \ ys \Longrightarrow set \ zs = length \ 
set ys
\langle proof \rangle
lemma derangement-enum-correct-aux1:
          [distinct\ zs; length\ ys = length\ ys = length\ ys = length\ xs;\ set\ ys = set\ zs;\ no-overlap
xs zs
                 \implies zs \in set (derangement-enum-aux \ xs \ ys)
 \langle proof \rangle
theorem derangement-enum-correct: distinct xs \Longrightarrow derangements \ xs = set (derangement-enum
xs
 \langle proof \rangle
```

11.3.2 Distinctness

```
lemma derangement-enum-aux-distinct: distinct ys \Longrightarrow distinct (derangement-enum-aux xs \ ys) \langle proof \rangle
```

```
theorem derangement-enum-distinct: distinct xs \Longrightarrow distinct (derangement-enum xs) \langle proof \rangle
```

end

12 Trees

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ \textit{Trees} \\ \textbf{imports} \\ \textit{HOL-Library.Tree} \\ \textit{Common-Lemmas} \end{array}
```

begin

12.1 Definition

The set of trees can be defined with the pre-existing *tree* datatype:

```
definition trees :: nat \Rightarrow unit \text{ tree set } \mathbf{where} trees n = \{t. \text{ size } t = n\}
```

Cardinality: Catalan number of n

Example: $trees \ \theta = \{Leaf\}$

12.2 Algorithm

```
fun tree-enum :: nat \Rightarrow unit tree list where tree-enum 0 = [Leaf] \mid tree-enum (Suc\ n) = [\langle t1,\ (),\ t2 \rangle.\ i \leftarrow [0..< Suc\ n],\ t1 \leftarrow tree-enum\ i,\ t2 \leftarrow tree-enum\ (n-i)]
```

12.3 Verification

12.3.1 Cardinality

```
lemma length-tree-enum: length (tree-enum(Suc n)) = (\sum i \le n. length(tree-enum i) * length(tree-enum (n - i)))  (proof)
```

12.3.2 Correctness

```
lemma tree-enum-correct1: t \in set (tree-enum n) \Longrightarrow size t = n \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma tree-enum- $correct2: n = size t \implies t \in set (tree$ -enum n)

```
\langle proof \rangle
theorem tree-enum-correct: set(tree-enum\ n) = trees\ n
\langle proof \rangle
12.3.3
             Distinctness
lemma tree-enum-Leaf: \langle \rangle \in set \ (tree-enum \ n) \longleftrightarrow (n = 0)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tree-enum-elem-injective: n \neq m \implies x \in set (tree-enum n) \implies y \in set
(tree-enum\ m) \Longrightarrow x \neq y
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tree-enum-elem-injective2: x \in set (tree-enum n) \Longrightarrow y \in set (tree-enum
m) \Longrightarrow x = y \Longrightarrow n = m
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ concat\text{-}map\text{-}Node\text{-}not\text{-}equal\text{:}
  xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow xs2 \neq [] \Longrightarrow ys \neq [] \Longrightarrow ys2 \neq [] \Longrightarrow
  \forall \ x \in \mathit{set} \ \mathit{xs}. \ \forall \ y \in \mathit{set} \ \mathit{ys} \ . \ x \neq y \Longrightarrow
  [\langle l, (), r \rangle. \ l \leftarrow xs2, \ r \leftarrow xs] \neq [\langle l, (), r \rangle. \ l \leftarrow ys2, \ r \leftarrow ys]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma tree-enum-not-empty: tree-enum n \neq []
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tree-enum-distinct-aux-outer:
  assumes \forall i \leq n. \ distinct \ (tree-enum \ i)
  and distinct xs
  and \forall i \in set \ xs. \ i < n
  and sorted-wrt (<) xs
  shows distinct (map (\lambda i. [\langle l, (), r \rangle. l \leftarrow tree-enum i, r \leftarrow tree-enum (n-i)]) xs)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{tree-enum-distinct-aux-left}:
    \forall i < n. \ distinct \ (tree-enum \ i) \implies distinct \ ([\langle l, (), r \rangle. \ i \leftarrow [0..< n], \ l \leftarrow
tree-enum i
\langle proof \rangle
theorem tree-enum-distinct: distinct(tree-enum n)
\langle proof \rangle
end
{\bf theory}\ Combinatorial \hbox{-} Enumeration \hbox{-} Algorithms
  imports
     n-Sequences
     n-Permutations
     n	ext{-}Subsets
     Powerset
```

Integer-Partitions
Integer-Compositions
Weak-Integer-Compositions
Derangements-Enum
Trees
begin

end

References

- [1] L. Bulwahn. Derangements formula. Archive of Formal Proofs, June 2015. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Derangements.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] L. Bulwahn. Cardinality of number partitions. Archive of Formal Proofs, January 2016. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Card_Number_Partitions. html, Formal proof development.
- [3] L. Bulwahn. The twelvefold way. Archive of Formal Proofs, December 2016. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Twelvefold_Way.html, Formal proof development.
- [4] R. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics: Volume 1*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [5] D. Stanton and D. White. Constructive Combinatorics. Springer, 1986.