

A Codatatype of Formal Languages

Dmitriy Traytel

December 14, 2021

1 Introduction

We define formal languages as a codatatype of infinite trees branching over the alphabet $'a$. Each node in such a tree indicates whether the path to this node constitutes a word inside or outside of the language.

codatatype $'a$ language = Lang (σ : bool) (δ : $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ language)

This codatatype is isomorphic to the set of lists representation of languages, but caters for definitions by corecursion and proofs by coinduction.

Regular operations on languages are then defined by primitive corecursion. A difficulty arises here, since the standard definitions of concatenation and iteration from the coalgebraic literature are not primitively corecursive—they require guardedness up-to union/concatenation. Without support for up-to corecursion, these operation must be defined as a composition of primitive ones (and proved being equal to the standard definitions). As an exercise in coinduction we also prove the axioms of Kleene algebra for the defined regular operations.

Furthermore, a language for context-free grammars given by productions in Greibach normal form and an initial nonterminal is constructed by primitive corecursion, yielding an executable decision procedure for the word problem without further ado.

2 Regular Languages

primcorec Zero :: $'a$ language **where**

σ Zero = False

| δ Zero = ($\lambda_.$ Zero)

primcorec One :: $'a$ language **where**

σ One = True

| δ One = ($\lambda_.$ Zero)

primcorec Atom :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ language **where**

σ (Atom a) = False

| δ (Atom a) = ($\lambda b.$ if a = b then One else Zero)

primcorec Plus :: $'a$ language $\Rightarrow 'a$ language $\Rightarrow 'a$ language **where**

σ (Plus r s) = (σ r \vee σ s)

| δ (Plus r s) = ($\lambda a.$ Plus (δ r a) (δ s a))

theorem Plus_ZeroL[simp]: Plus Zero r = r

<proof>

theorem Plus_ZeroR[simp]: Plus r Zero = r

<proof>

theorem *Plus_assoc*: $Plus (Plus\ r\ s)\ t = Plus\ r\ (Plus\ s\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Plus_comm*: $Plus\ r\ s = Plus\ s\ r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Plus_rotate*: $Plus\ r\ (Plus\ s\ t) = Plus\ s\ (Plus\ r\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Plus_idem*: $Plus\ r\ r = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Plus_idem_assoc*: $Plus\ r\ (Plus\ r\ s) = Plus\ r\ s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *Plus_ACI[simp]* = *Plus_rotate Plus_comm Plus_assoc Plus_idem_assoc Plus_idem*

lemma *Plus_OneL[simp]*: $\mathfrak{o}\ r \implies Plus\ One\ r = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Plus_OneR[simp]*: $\mathfrak{o}\ r \implies Plus\ r\ One = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

Concatenation is not primitively corecursive—the corecursive call of its derivative is guarded by *Plus*. However, it can be defined as a composition of two primitively corecursive functions.

primcorec *TimesLR* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow ('a \times bool) language **where**
 $\mathfrak{o}\ (TimesLR\ r\ s) = (\mathfrak{o}\ r \wedge \mathfrak{o}\ s)$
 $\mathfrak{d}\ (TimesLR\ r\ s) = (\lambda(a, b).$
if b then TimesLR (d r a) s else if o r then TimesLR (d s a) One else Zero)

primcorec *Times_Plus* :: ('a \times bool) language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $\mathfrak{o}\ (Times_Plus\ r) = \mathfrak{o}\ r$
 $\mathfrak{d}\ (Times_Plus\ r) = (\lambda a. Times_Plus\ (Plus\ (\mathfrak{d}\ r\ (a, True))\ (\mathfrak{d}\ r\ (a, False))))$

lemma *TimesLR_ZeroL[simp]*: $TimesLR\ Zero\ r = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *TimesLR_ZeroR[simp]*: $TimesLR\ r\ Zero = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *TimesLR_PlusL[simp]*: $TimesLR\ (Plus\ r\ s)\ t = Plus\ (TimesLR\ r\ t)\ (TimesLR\ s\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *TimesLR_PlusR[simp]*: $TimesLR\ r\ (Plus\ s\ t) = Plus\ (TimesLR\ r\ s)\ (TimesLR\ r\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_Zero[simp]*: $Times_Plus\ Zero = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_Plus[simp]*: $Times_Plus\ (Plus\ r\ s) = Plus\ (Times_Plus\ r)\ (Times_Plus\ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_TimesLR_One[simp]*: $Times_Plus\ (TimesLR\ r\ One) = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_TimesLR_PlusL[simp]*:
 $Times_Plus\ (TimesLR\ (Plus\ r\ s)\ t) = Plus\ (Times_Plus\ (TimesLR\ r\ t))\ (Times_Plus\ (TimesLR\ s\ t))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_TimesLR_PlusR[simp]*:
 $Times_Plus (TimesLR\ r (Plus\ s\ t)) = Plus (Times_Plus (TimesLR\ r\ s)) (Times_Plus (TimesLR\ r\ t))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *Times* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $Times\ r\ s = Times_Plus (TimesLR\ r\ s)$

lemma *o_Times[simp]*:
 $o (Times\ r\ s) = (o\ r \wedge o\ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *d_Times[simp]*:
 $d (Times\ r\ s) = (\lambda a. \text{if } o\ r \text{ then } Plus (Times (d\ r\ a)\ s) (d\ s\ a) \text{ else } Times (d\ r\ a)\ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_ZeroL[simp]*: $Times\ Zero\ r = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_ZeroR[simp]*: $Times\ r\ Zero = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_OneL[simp]*: $Times\ One\ r = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_OneR[simp]*: $Times\ r\ One = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

Coinduction up-to *Plus*-congruence relaxes the coinduction hypothesis by requiring membership in the congruence closure of the bisimulation rather than in the bisimulation itself.

inductive *Plus_cong* **for** *R* **where**

Refl[intro]: $x = y \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y$
 | *Base[intro]*: $R\ x\ y \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y$
 | *Sym*: $Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ y\ x$
 | *Trans[intro]*: $Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ y\ z \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ x\ z$
 | *Plus[intro]*: $\llbracket Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y; Plus_cong\ R\ x'\ y' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ (Plus\ x\ x') (Plus\ y\ y')$

lemma *language_coinduct_upto_Plus[unfolded_rel_fun_def, simplified, case_names Lang, consumes 1]*:
assumes *R*: $R\ L\ K$ **and hyp**:
 $(\bigwedge L\ K. R\ L\ K \Longrightarrow o\ L = o\ K \wedge rel_fun (=) (Plus_cong\ R) (d\ L) (d\ K))$
shows $L = K$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_PlusL[simp]*: $Times (Plus\ r\ s)\ t = Plus (Times\ r\ t) (Times\ s\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_PlusR[simp]*: $Times\ r (Plus\ s\ t) = Plus (Times\ r\ s) (Times\ r\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_assoc[simp]*: $Times (Times\ r\ s)\ t = Times\ r (Times\ s\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Similarly to *Times*, iteration is not primitively corecursive (guardedness by *Times* is required). We apply a similar trick to obtain its definition.

primcorec *StarLR* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $o (StarLR\ r\ s) = o\ r$
 | $d (StarLR\ r\ s) = (\lambda a. StarLR (d (Times\ r (Plus\ One\ s))\ a)\ s)$

lemma *StarLR_Zero[simp]*: *StarLR Zero r = Zero*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *StarLR_Plus[simp]*: *StarLR (Plus r s) t = Plus (StarLR r t) (StarLR s t)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *StarLR_Times_Plus_One[simp]*: *StarLR (Times r (Plus One s)) s = StarLR r s*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *StarLR_Times*: *StarLR (Times r s) t = Times r (StarLR s t)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *Star* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
Star r = StarLR One r

lemma *o_Star[simp]*: *o (Star r)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *d_Star[simp]*: *d (Star r) = (λa . Times (d r a) (Star r))*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Star_Zero[simp]*: *Star Zero = One*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Star_One[simp]*: *Star One = One*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Star_unfoldL*: *Star r = Plus One (Times r (Star r))*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

primcorec *Inter* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
o (Inter r s) = (o r \wedge o s)
 | *d (Inter r s) = (λa . Inter (d r a) (d s a))*

primcorec *Not* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
o (Not r) = (\neg o r)
 | *d (Not r) = (λa . Not (d r a))*

primcorec *Full* :: 'a language (Σ^*) **where**
o Full = True
 | *d Full = (λ _. Full)*

Shuffle product is not primitively corecursive—the corecursive call of its derivative is guarded by *Plus*. However, it can be defined as a composition of two primitively corecursive functions.

primcorec *ShuffleLR* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow ('a \times bool) language **where**
o (ShuffleLR r s) = (o r \wedge o s)
 | *d (ShuffleLR r s) = ($\lambda(a, b)$. if b then ShuffleLR (d r a) s else ShuffleLR r (d s a))*

lemma *ShuffleLR_ZeroL[simp]*: *ShuffleLR Zero r = Zero*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ShuffleLR_ZeroR[simp]*: *ShuffleLR r Zero = Zero*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ShuffleLR_PlusL[simp]*: *ShuffleLR (Plus r s) t = Plus (ShuffleLR r t) (ShuffleLR s t)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ShuffleLR_PlusR[simp]*: *ShuffleLR r (Plus s t) = Plus (ShuffleLR r s) (ShuffleLR r t)*

<proof>

lemma *Shuffle_Plus_ShuffleLR_One[simp]*: $\text{Times_Plus } (\text{ShuffleLR } r \text{ One}) = r$
<proof>

lemma *Shuffle_Plus_ShuffleLR_PlusL[simp]*:
 $\text{Times_Plus } (\text{ShuffleLR } (\text{Plus } r \text{ s}) \text{ t}) = \text{Plus } (\text{Times_Plus } (\text{ShuffleLR } r \text{ t})) (\text{Times_Plus } (\text{ShuffleLR } s \text{ t}))$
<proof>

lemma *Shuffle_Plus_ShuffleLR_PlusR[simp]*:
 $\text{Times_Plus } (\text{ShuffleLR } r (\text{Plus } s \text{ t})) = \text{Plus } (\text{Times_Plus } (\text{ShuffleLR } r \text{ s})) (\text{Times_Plus } (\text{ShuffleLR } r \text{ t}))$
<proof>

definition *Shuffle* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $\text{Shuffle } r \text{ s} = \text{Times_Plus } (\text{ShuffleLR } r \text{ s})$

lemma *o_Shuffle[simp]*:
 $\text{o } (\text{Shuffle } r \text{ s}) = (\text{o } r \wedge \text{o } s)$
<proof>

lemma *d_Shuffle[simp]*:
 $\text{d } (\text{Shuffle } r \text{ s}) = (\lambda a. \text{Plus } (\text{Shuffle } (\text{d } r \text{ a}) \text{ s}) (\text{Shuffle } r (\text{d } s \text{ a})))$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_ZeroL[simp]*: $\text{Shuffle Zero } r = \text{Zero}$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_ZeroR[simp]*: $\text{Shuffle } r \text{ Zero} = \text{Zero}$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_OneL[simp]*: $\text{Shuffle One } r = r$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_OneR[simp]*: $\text{Shuffle } r \text{ One} = r$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_PlusL[simp]*: $\text{Shuffle } (\text{Plus } r \text{ s}) \text{ t} = \text{Plus } (\text{Shuffle } r \text{ t}) (\text{Shuffle } s \text{ t})$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_PlusR[simp]*: $\text{Shuffle } r (\text{Plus } s \text{ t}) = \text{Plus } (\text{Shuffle } r \text{ s}) (\text{Shuffle } r \text{ t})$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_assoc[simp]*: $\text{Shuffle } (\text{Shuffle } r \text{ s}) \text{ t} = \text{Shuffle } r (\text{Shuffle } s \text{ t})$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_comm[simp]*: $\text{Shuffle } r \text{ s} = \text{Shuffle } s \text{ r}$
<proof>

We generalize coinduction up-to *Plus* to coinduction up-to all previously defined concepts.

inductive *regular_cong* **for** *R* **where**

- Refl*[intro]: $x = y \Longrightarrow \text{regular_cong } R \text{ x y}$
- Sym*[intro]: $\text{regular_cong } R \text{ x y} \Longrightarrow \text{regular_cong } R \text{ y x}$
- Trans*[intro]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \text{ x y}; \text{regular_cong } R \text{ y z} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{regular_cong } R \text{ x z}$
- Base*[intro]: $R \text{ x y} \Longrightarrow \text{regular_cong } R \text{ x y}$
- Plus*[intro, simp]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \text{ x y}; \text{regular_cong } R \text{ x' y'} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{regular_cong } R (\text{Plus } x \text{ x}') (\text{Plus } y \text{ y'})$

| *Times*[*intro, simp*]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{regular_cong } R \ x' \ y' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{regular_cong } R \ (\text{Times } x \ x') \ (\text{Times } y \ y')$
| *Star*[*intro, simp*]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \ x \ y \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{regular_cong } R \ (\text{Star } x) \ (\text{Star } y)$
| *Inter*[*intro, simp*]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{regular_cong } R \ x' \ y' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{regular_cong } R \ (\text{Inter } x \ x') \ (\text{Inter } y \ y')$
| *Not*[*intro, simp*]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \ x \ y \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{regular_cong } R \ (\text{Not } x) \ (\text{Not } y)$
| *Shuffle*[*intro, simp*]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{regular_cong } R \ x' \ y' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{regular_cong } R \ (\text{Shuffle } x \ x') \ (\text{Shuffle } y \ y')$

lemma *language_coinduct_upto_regular*[*unfolded rel_fun_def, simplified, case_names Lang, consumes 1*]:

assumes *R*: $R \ L \ K$ **and hyp**:

$(\bigwedge L \ K. R \ L \ K \implies \circ \ L = \circ \ K \wedge \text{rel_fun } (=) \ (\text{regular_cong } R) \ (\text{d } L) \ (\text{d } K))$

shows $L = K$

<proof>

lemma *Star_unfoldR*: $\text{Star } r = \text{Plus One } (\text{Times } (\text{Star } r) \ r)$

<proof>

lemma *Star_Star*[*simp*]: $\text{Star } (\text{Star } r) = \text{Star } r$

<proof>

lemma *Times_Star*[*simp*]: $\text{Times } (\text{Star } r) \ (\text{Star } r) = \text{Star } r$

<proof>

instantiation *language* :: (*type*) {*semiring_1, order*}

begin

lemma *Zero_One*[*simp*]: $\text{Zero} \neq \text{One}$

<proof>

definition *zero_language* = *Zero*

definition *one_language* = *One*

definition *plus_language* = *Plus*

definition *times_language* = *Times*

definition *less_eq_language* $r \ s = (\text{Plus } r \ s = s)$

definition *less_language* $r \ s = (\text{Plus } r \ s = s \wedge r \neq s)$

lemmas *language_defs* = *zero_language_def one_language_def plus_language_def times_language_def less_eq_language_def less_language_def*

instance *<proof>*

end

lemma *o_mono*[*dest*]: $r \leq s \implies \circ \ r \implies \circ \ s$

<proof>

lemma *d_mono*[*dest*]: $r \leq s \implies \text{d } r \ a \leq \text{d } s \ a$

<proof>

For reasoning about (\leq) , we prove a coinduction principle and generalize it to support up-to reasoning.

theorem *language_simulation_coinduction*[*consumes 1, case_names Lang, coinduct pred*]:

assumes $R \ L \ K$

and $(\bigwedge L K. R L K \implies \mathbf{o} L \leq \mathbf{o} K \wedge (\forall x. R (\mathfrak{d} L x) (\mathfrak{d} K x)))$
shows $L \leq K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $le_PlusL[\text{intro!}, \text{simp}]$: $r \leq Plus\ r\ s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $le_PlusR[\text{intro!}, \text{simp}]$: $s \leq Plus\ r\ s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive $Plus_Times_pre_cong$ **for** R **where**

$pre_Less[\text{intro}, \text{simp}]$: $x \leq y \implies Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ x\ y$
 $| pre_Trans[\text{intro}]$: $\llbracket Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ x\ y; Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ y\ z \rrbracket \implies Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ x\ z$
 $| pre_Base[\text{intro}, \text{simp}]$: $R\ x\ y \implies Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ x\ y$
 $| pre_Plus[\text{intro!}, \text{simp}]$: $\llbracket Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ x\ y; Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ x'\ y' \rrbracket \implies Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ (Plus\ x\ x')\ (Plus\ y\ y')$
 $| pre_Times[\text{intro!}, \text{simp}]$: $\llbracket Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ x\ y; Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ x'\ y' \rrbracket \implies Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R\ (Times\ x\ x')\ (Times\ y\ y')$

theorem $language_simulation_coinduction_upto_Plus_Times$ [$\text{consumes}\ 1$, $\text{case_names}\ Lang$, $\text{coinduct}\ pred$]:

assumes $R: R\ L\ K$
and hyp : $(\bigwedge L K. R L K \implies \mathbf{o} L \leq \mathbf{o} K \wedge (\forall x. Plus_Times_pre_cong\ R (\mathfrak{d} L x) (\mathfrak{d} K x)))$
shows $L \leq K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ge_One[\text{simp}]$: $One \leq r \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{o}\ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Plus_mono$: $\llbracket r1 \leq s1; r2 \leq s2 \rrbracket \implies Plus\ r1\ r2 \leq Plus\ s1\ s2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Plus_upper$: $\llbracket r1 \leq s; r2 \leq s \rrbracket \implies Plus\ r1\ r2 \leq s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Inter_mono$: $\llbracket r1 \leq s1; r2 \leq s2 \rrbracket \implies Inter\ r1\ r2 \leq Inter\ s1\ s2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Times_mono$: $\llbracket r1 \leq s1; r2 \leq s2 \rrbracket \implies Times\ r1\ r2 \leq Times\ s1\ s2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We prove the missing axioms of Kleene Algebras about $Star$, as well as monotonicity properties and three standard interesting rules: bisimulation, sliding, and denesting.

theorem le_StarL : $Plus\ One\ (Times\ r\ (Star\ r)) \leq Star\ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem le_StarR : $Plus\ One\ (Times\ (Star\ r)\ r) \leq Star\ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $le_TimesL[\text{intro}, \text{simp}]$: $\mathbf{o}\ s \implies r \leq Times\ r\ s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $le_TimesR[\text{intro}, \text{simp}]$: $\mathbf{o}\ r \implies s \leq Times\ r\ s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Plus_le_iff$: $Plus\ r\ s \leq t \longleftrightarrow r \leq t \wedge s \leq t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Plus_Times_pre_cong_mono*:

$L' \leq L \implies K \leq K' \implies \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R L K \implies \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R L' K'$
<proof>

theorem *ardenL*: $\text{Plus } r (\text{Times } s x) \leq x \implies \text{Times } (\text{Star } s) r \leq x$
<proof>

theorem *ardenR*: $\text{Plus } r (\text{Times } x s) \leq x \implies \text{Times } r (\text{Star } s) \leq x$
<proof>

lemma *le_Star[intro!, simp]*: $s \leq \text{Star } s$
<proof>

lemma *Star_mono*: $r \leq s \implies \text{Star } r \leq \text{Star } s$
<proof>

lemma *Not_antimono*: $r \leq s \implies \text{Not } s \leq \text{Not } r$
<proof>

lemma *Not_Plus[simp]*: $\text{Not } (\text{Plus } r s) = \text{Inter } (\text{Not } r) (\text{Not } s)$
<proof>

lemma *Not_Inter[simp]*: $\text{Not } (\text{Inter } r s) = \text{Plus } (\text{Not } r) (\text{Not } s)$
<proof>

lemma *Inter_assoc[simp]*: $\text{Inter } (\text{Inter } r s) t = \text{Inter } r (\text{Inter } s t)$
<proof>

lemma *Inter_comm*: $\text{Inter } r s = \text{Inter } s r$
<proof>

lemma *Inter_idem[simp]*: $\text{Inter } r r = r$
<proof>

lemma *Inter_ZeroL[simp]*: $\text{Inter } \text{Zero } r = \text{Zero}$
<proof>

lemma *Inter_ZeroR[simp]*: $\text{Inter } r \text{Zero} = \text{Zero}$
<proof>

lemma *Inter_FullL[simp]*: $\text{Inter } \text{Full } r = r$
<proof>

lemma *Inter_FullR[simp]*: $\text{Inter } r \text{Full} = r$
<proof>

lemma *Plus_FullL[simp]*: $\text{Plus } \text{Full } r = \text{Full}$
<proof>

lemma *Plus_FullR[simp]*: $\text{Plus } r \text{Full} = \text{Full}$
<proof>

lemma *Not_Not[simp]*: $\text{Not } (\text{Not } r) = r$
<proof>

lemma *Not_Zero[simp]*: $\text{Not } \text{Zero} = \text{Full}$
<proof>

lemma *Not_Full*[simp]: *Not Full = Zero*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bisimulation*:
assumes *Times r s = Times s t*
shows *Times (Star r) s = Times s (Star t)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sliding*: *Times (Star (Times r s)) r = Times r (Star (Times s r))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *denesting*: *Star (Plus r s) = Times (Star r) (Star (Times s (Star r)))*
 ⟨proof⟩

It is useful to lift binary operators *Plus* and *Times* to *n*-ary operators (that take a list as input).

definition *PLUS* :: '*a* language list \Rightarrow '*a* language **where**
PLUS xs \equiv *foldr Plus xs Zero*

lemma *o_foldr_Plus*: *o (foldr Plus xs s) = ($\exists x \in \text{set } (s \# xs).$ o *x*)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *d_foldr_Plus*: *d (foldr Plus xs s) a = foldr Plus (map ($\lambda r.$ d *r a*) xs) (d *s a*)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *o_PLUS*[simp]: *o (PLUS xs) = ($\exists x \in \text{set } xs.$ o *x*)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *d_PLUS*[simp]: *d (PLUS xs) a = PLUS (map ($\lambda r.$ d *r a*) xs)*
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *TIMES* :: '*a* language list \Rightarrow '*a* language **where**
TIMES xs \equiv *foldr Times xs One*

lemma *o_foldr_Times*: *o (foldr Times xs s) = ($\forall x \in \text{set } (s \# xs).$ o *x*)*
 ⟨proof⟩

primrec *tails* **where**
tails [] = [[]]
 | *tails (x # xs) = (x # xs) # tails xs*

lemma *tails_snoc*[simp]: *tails (xs @ [x]) = map ($\lambda ys.$ ys @ [x]) (tails xs) @ [[]]*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *length_tails*[simp]: *length (tails xs) = Suc (length xs)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *d_foldr_Times*: *d (foldr Times xs s) a =*
(let n = length (takeWhile o xs)
in PLUS (map ($\lambda zs.$ TIMES (d (hd zs) a # tl zs)) (take (Suc n) (tails (xs @ [s])))))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *o_TIMES*[simp]: *o (TIMES xs) = ($\forall x \in \text{set } xs.$ o *x*)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *TIMES_snoc_One*[simp]: *TIMES (xs @ [One]) = TIMES xs*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\mathfrak{d_TIMES}$ [simp]: $\mathfrak{d} (TIMES\ xs)\ a = (let\ n = length\ (takeWhile\ \mathfrak{o}\ xs)$
 $in\ PLUS\ (map\ (\lambda zs.\ TIMES\ (\mathfrak{d}\ (hd\ zs)\ a\ \# tl\ zs))\ (take\ (Suc\ n)\ (tails\ (xs\ @\ [One])))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3 Word-theoretic Semantics of Languages

We show our *language* codatatype being isomorphic to the standard language representation as a set of lists.

primrec $in_language$:: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $in_language\ L\ [] = \mathfrak{o}\ L$
 $| in_language\ L\ (x\ \#\ xs) = in_language\ (\mathfrak{d}\ L\ x)\ xs$

primcorec $to_language$:: 'a list set \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $\mathfrak{o}\ (to_language\ L) = (\[] \in L)$
 $| \mathfrak{d}\ (to_language\ L) = (\lambda a.\ to_language\ \{w.\ a\ \# w \in L\})$

lemma $in_language_to_language$ [simp]: $Collect\ (in_language\ (to_language\ L)) = L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to_language_in_language$ [simp]: $to_language\ (Collect\ (in_language\ L)) = L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in_language_bij$: $bij\ (Collect\ \mathfrak{o}\ in_language)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to_language_bij$: $bij\ to_language$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4 Coinductively Defined Operations Are Standard

lemma $to_language_empty$ [simp]: $to_language\ \{\} = Zero$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in_language_Zero$ [simp]: $\neg in_language\ Zero\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in_language_One$ [simp]: $in_language\ One\ xs \Longrightarrow xs = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in_language_Atom$ [simp]: $in_language\ (Atom\ a)\ xs \Longrightarrow xs = [a]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to_language_eps$ [simp]: $to_language\ \{\[]\} = One$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to_language_singleton$ [simp]: $to_language\ \{[a]\} = (Atom\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to_language_Un$ [simp]: $to_language\ (A \cup B) = Plus\ (to_language\ A)\ (to_language\ B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to_language_Int$ [simp]: $to_language\ (A \cap B) = Inter\ (to_language\ A)\ (to_language\ B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to_language_Neg$ [simp]: $to_language\ (\neg A) = Not\ (to_language\ A)$

<proof>

lemma *to_language_Diff[simp]*: *to_language* (A - B) = *Inter* (*to_language* A) (*Not* (*to_language* B))
<proof>

lemma *to_language_conc[simp]*: *to_language* (A @@ B) = *Times* (*to_language* A) (*to_language* B)
<proof>

lemma *to_language_star[simp]*: *to_language* (*star* A) = *Star* (*to_language* A)
<proof>

lemma *to_language_shuffle[simp]*: *to_language* (A || B) = *Shuffle* (*to_language* A) (*to_language* B)
<proof>

5 Word Problem for Context-Free Grammars

6 Context Free Languages

A context-free grammar consists of a list of productions for every nonterminal and an initial nonterminal. The productions are required to be in weak Greibach normal form, i.e. each right hand side of a production must either be empty or start with a terminal.

abbreviation *wgreibach* $\alpha \equiv (\text{case } \alpha \text{ of } (\text{Inr } N \# _) \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid _ \Rightarrow \text{True})$

record (*'t*, *'n*) *cfg* =
 init :: *'n* :: *finite*
 prod :: *'n* \Rightarrow (*'t* + *'n*) *list fset*

context
 fixes *G* :: (*'t*, *'n* :: *finite*) *cfg*
begin

inductive *in_cfl* **where**
 in_cfl [] []
 | *in_cfl* α *w* \Longrightarrow *in_cfl* (*Inl* *a* # α) (*a* # *w*)
 | *fBex* (*prod* *G* *N*) ($\lambda\beta.$ *in_cfl* (β @ α) *w*) \Longrightarrow *in_cfl* (*Inr* *N* # α) *w*

abbreviation *lang_trad* **where**
 lang_trad $\equiv \{w. \text{in_cfl } [\text{Inr } (\text{init } G)] w\}$

fun \mathfrak{o}_P **where**
 \mathfrak{o}_P [] = *True*
 | \mathfrak{o}_P (*Inl* $_$ # $_$) = *False*
 | \mathfrak{o}_P (*Inr* *N* # α) = ([] | \in | *prod* *G* *N* \wedge \mathfrak{o}_P α)

fun \mathfrak{d}_P **where**
 \mathfrak{d}_P [] *a* = {||}
 | \mathfrak{d}_P (*Inl* *b* # α) *a* = (*if* *a* = *b* *then* {|\alpha|} *else* {||})
 | \mathfrak{d}_P (*Inr* *N* # α) *a* =
 ($\lambda\beta.$ *tl* β @ α) | \dagger | *ffilter* ($\lambda\beta.$ $\beta \neq [] \wedge \text{hd } \beta = \text{Inl } a$) (*prod* *G* *N*) | \cup |
 (*if* [] | \in | *prod* *G* *N* *then* \mathfrak{d}_P α *a* *else* {||})

primcorec *subst* :: (*'t* + *'n*) *list fset* \Rightarrow *'t language* **where**
 subst *P* = *Lang* (*fBex* *P* \mathfrak{o}_P) ($\lambda a.$ *subst* (*ffUnion* (($\lambda r.$ \mathfrak{d}_P *r* *a*) | \dagger | *P*)))

inductive *in_cfls* **where**
 fBex *P* \mathfrak{o}_P \Longrightarrow *in_cfls* *P* []

| $in_cfls (ffUnion ((\lambda\alpha. \mathfrak{d}_P \alpha a) \mid \uparrow P)) w \implies in_cfls P (a \# w)$

inductive_cases [*elim!*]: $in_cfls P []$

inductive_cases [*elim!*]: $in_cfls P (a \# w)$

declare *inj_eq*[*OF bij_is_inj*][*OF to_language_bij*], *simp*]

lemma *subst_in_cfls*: $subst P = to_language \{w. in_cfls P w\}$
 <proof>

lemma $\mathfrak{o}_P in_cfl$: $\mathfrak{o}_P \alpha \implies in_cfl \alpha []$
 <proof>

lemma $\mathfrak{d}_P in_cfl$: $\beta \mid \in \mid \mathfrak{d}_P \alpha a \implies in_cfl \beta w \implies in_cfl \alpha (a \# w)$
 <proof>

lemma *in_cfls_in_cfl*: $in_cfls P w \implies fBex P (\lambda\alpha. in_cfl \alpha w)$
 <proof>

lemma *in_cfls_mono*: $in_cfls P w \implies P \mid \subseteq \mid Q \implies in_cfls Q w$
 <proof>

end

locale *cfg_wgreibach* =

fixes $G :: ('t, 'n :: finite) cfg$

assumes *weakGreibach*: $\bigwedge N \alpha. \alpha \mid \in \mid prod G N \implies wgreibach \alpha$

begin

lemma *in_cfl_in_cfls*: $in_cfl G \alpha w \implies in_cfls G \{\mid \alpha \mid\} w$
 <proof>

abbreviation *lang* **where**

$lang \equiv subst G \{\mid [Inr (init G)] \mid\}$

lemma *lang_lang_trad*: $lang = to_language (lang_trad G)$
 <proof>

end

The function *in_language* decides the word problem for a given language. Since we can construct the language of a CFG using *cfg_wgreibach.lang* we obtain an executable (but not very efficient) decision procedure for CFGs for free.

abbreviation **a** $\equiv Inl True$

abbreviation **b** $\equiv Inl False$

abbreviation **S** $\equiv Inr ()$

interpretation *palindromes*: $cfg_wgreibach (\mid init = (), prod = \lambda_. \{\mid [], [a], [b], [a, S, a], [b, S, b] \mid\})$
 <proof>

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang* [] <proof>

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang* [True] <proof>

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang* [False] <proof>

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang* [True, True] <proof>

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang* [True, False, True] <proof>

lemma $\neg in_language palindromes.lang [True, False]$ <proof>

lemma $\neg in_language palindromes.lang [True, False, True, False]$ <proof>

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang* [True, False, True, True, False, True] <proof>

lemma \neg *in_language palindromes.lang* [True, False, True, False, False, True] \langle proof \rangle

interpretation *Dyck*: *cfg_wgreibach* ($\{init = (), prod = \lambda_. \{\ [], [a, S, b, S]\}\}$)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language Dyck.lang* [] \langle proof \rangle

lemma \neg *in_language Dyck.lang* [True] \langle proof \rangle

lemma \neg *in_language Dyck.lang* [False] \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, False, True, False] \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, True, False, False] \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, False, True, False] \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, False, True, False, True, True, False, False] \langle proof \rangle

lemma \neg *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, False, True, True, False] \langle proof \rangle

lemma \neg *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, True, False, False, False, True] \langle proof \rangle

interpretation *abSSa*: *cfg_wgreibach* ($\{init = (), prod = \lambda_. \{\ [], [a, b, S, S, a]\}\}$)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language abSSa.lang* [] \langle proof \rangle

lemma \neg *in_language abSSa.lang* [True] \langle proof \rangle

lemma \neg *in_language abSSa.lang* [False] \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, False, True] \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, False, True, False, True, True, False, True, True] \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, False, True, False, True, True] \langle proof \rangle

lemma \neg *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, False, True, True, False] \langle proof \rangle

lemma \neg *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, True, False, False, False, True] \langle proof \rangle