

# A Codatatype of Formal Languages

Dmitriy Traytel

March 17, 2025

## 1 Introduction

We define formal languages as a codatatype of infinite trees branching over the alphabet ' $a$ '. Each node in such a tree indicates whether the path to this node constitutes a word inside or outside of the language.

**codatatype** ' $a$  language' =  $\text{Lang}$  ( $\text{o}$ :  $\text{bool}$ ) ( $\text{d}$ : ' $a$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  language')

This codatatype is isomorphic to the set of lists representation of languages, but caters for definitions by corecursion and proofs by coinduction.

Regular operations on languages are then defined by primitive corecursion. A difficulty arises here, since the standard definitions of concatenation and iteration from the coalgebraic literature are not primitively corecursive—they require guardedness up-to union/concatenation. Without support for up-to corecursion, these operation must be defined as a composition of primitive ones (and proved being equal to the standard definitions). As an exercise in coinduction we also prove the axioms of Kleene algebra for the defined regular operations.

Furthermore, a language for context-free grammars given by productions in Greibach normal form and an initial nonterminal is constructed by primitive corecursion, yielding an executable decision procedure for the word problem without further ado.

## 2 Regular Languages

```
primcorec Zero :: ' $a$  language' where
  o  $\text{Zero} = \text{False}$ 
  | d  $\text{Zero} = (\lambda_. \text{Zero})$ 

  primcorec One :: ' $a$  language' where
    o  $\text{One} = \text{True}$ 
    | d  $\text{One} = (\lambda_. \text{Zero})$ 

  primcorec Atom :: ' $a \Rightarrow$  ' $a$  language' where
    o  $(\text{Atom } a) = \text{False}$ 
    | d  $(\text{Atom } a) = (\lambda b. \text{if } a = b \text{ then } \text{One} \text{ else } \text{Zero})$ 

  primcorec Plus :: ' $a$  language  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  language  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  language' where
    o  $(\text{Plus } r s) = (\text{o } r \vee \text{o } s)$ 
    | d  $(\text{Plus } r s) = (\lambda a. \text{Plus } (\text{d } r a) (\text{d } s a))$ 

  theorem Plus_ZeroL[simp]: Plus Zero  $r = r$ 
    by (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) simp

  theorem Plus_ZeroR[simp]: Plus  $r$  Zero =  $r$ 
    by (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) simp
```

```

theorem Plus_assoc: Plus (Plus r s) t = Plus r (Plus s t)
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t) auto

theorem Plus_comm: Plus r s = Plus s r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s) auto

lemma Plus_rotate: Plus r (Plus s t) = Plus s (Plus r t)
  using Plus_assoc Plus_comm by metis

theorem Plus_idem: Plus r r = r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) auto

lemma Plus_idem_assoc: Plus r (Plus r s) = Plus r s
  by (metis Plus_assoc Plus_idem)

lemmas Plus_ACI[simp] = Plus_rotate Plus_comm Plus_assoc Plus_idem_assoc Plus_idem

lemma Plus_OneL[simp]: o r ==> Plus One r = r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) auto

lemma Plus_OneR[simp]: o r ==> Plus r One = r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) auto

Concatenation is not primitively corecursive—the corecursive call of its derivative is guarded by Plus. However, it can be defined as a composition of two primitively corecursive functions.

primcorec TimesLR :: 'a language => 'a language => ('a × bool) language where
  o (TimesLR r s) = (o r ∧ o s)
  | d (TimesLR r s) = (λ(a, b).
    if b then TimesLR (d r a) s else if o r then TimesLR (d s a) One else Zero)

primcorec Times_Plus :: ('a × bool) language => 'a language where
  o (Times_Plus r) = o r
  | d (Times_Plus r) = (λa. Times_Plus (Plus (d r (a, True)) (d r (a, False)))))

lemma TimesLR_ZeroL[simp]: TimesLR Zero r = Zero
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) auto

lemma TimesLR_ZeroR[simp]: TimesLR r Zero = Zero
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) (auto intro: exI[of _ Zero])

lemma TimesLR_PlusL[simp]: TimesLR (Plus r s) t = Plus (TimesLR r t) (TimesLR s t)
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t) auto

lemma TimesLR_PlusR[simp]: TimesLR r (Plus s t) = Plus (TimesLR r s) (TimesLR r t)
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t) auto

lemma Times_Plus_Zero[simp]: Times_Plus Zero = Zero
  by coinduction simp

lemma Times_Plus_Plus[simp]: Times_Plus (Plus r s) = Plus (Times_Plus r) (Times_Plus s)
proof (coinduction arbitrary: r s)
  case (Lang r s)
  then show ?case unfolding Times_Plus.sel Plus.sel
    by (intro conjI[OF refl]) (metis Plus_comm Plus_rotate)
qed

lemma Times_Plus_TimesLR_One[simp]: Times_Plus (TimesLR r One) = r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) simp

```

```

lemma Times_Plus_TimesLR_PlusL[simp]:
  Times_Plus (TimesLR (Plus r s) t) = Plus (Times_Plus (TimesLR r t)) (Times_Plus (TimesLR s t))
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t) auto

lemma Times_Plus_TimesLR_PlusR[simp]:
  Times_Plus (TimesLR r (Plus s t)) = Plus (Times_Plus (TimesLR r s)) (Times_Plus (TimesLR r t))
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t) auto

definition Times :: 'a language ⇒ 'a language ⇒ 'a language where
  Times r s = Times_Plus (TimesLR r s)

lemma o_Times[simp]:
  o (Times r s) = (o r ∧ o s)
  unfolding Times_def by simp

lemma d_Times[simp]:
  d (Times r s) = (λa. if o r then Plus (Times (d r a) s) (d s a) else Times (d r a) s)
  unfolding Times_def by auto

theorem Times_ZeroL[simp]: Times Zero r = Zero
  by coinduction simp

theorem Times_ZeroR[simp]: Times r Zero = Zero
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) auto

theorem Times_OneL[simp]: Times One r = r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r rule: language.coinduct_strong) (simp add: rel_fun_def)

theorem Times_OneR[simp]: Times r One = r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) simp

Coinduction up-to Plus-congruence relaxes the coinduction hypothesis by requiring membership in the congruence closure of the bisimulation rather than in the bisimulation itself.

inductive Plus_cong for R where
  Refl[intro]:  $x = y \implies \text{Plus\_cong } R x y$ 
  | Base[intro]:  $R x y \implies \text{Plus\_cong } R x y$ 
  | Sym:  $\text{Plus\_cong } R x y \implies \text{Plus\_cong } R y x$ 
  | Trans[intro]:  $\text{Plus\_cong } R x y \implies \text{Plus\_cong } R y z \implies \text{Plus\_cong } R x z$ 
  | Plus[intro]:  $\llbracket \text{Plus\_cong } R x y; \text{Plus\_cong } R x' y' \rrbracket \implies \text{Plus\_cong } R (\text{Plus } x x') (\text{Plus } y y')$ 

lemma language_coinduct upto_Plus[unfolded rel_fun_def, simplified, case_names Lang, consumes 1]:
  assumes R:  $R L K$  and hyp:
     $(\bigwedge L K. R L K \implies o L = o K \wedge \text{rel\_fun } (=) (\text{Plus\_cong } R) (d L) (d K))$ 
  shows L = K
proof (coinduct rule: language.coinduct[of Plus_cong R])
  fix L K assume Plus_cong R L K
  then show o L = o K ∧ rel_fun (=) (Plus_cong R) (d L) (d K)
    by (induct rule: Plus_cong.induct) (auto simp: rel_fun_def intro: Sym dest: hyp)
qed (intro Base R)

theorem Times_PlusL[simp]: Times (Plus r s) t = Plus (Times r t) (Times s t)
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s rule: language_coinduct upto_Plus) auto

theorem Times_PlusR[simp]: Times r (Plus s t) = Plus (Times r s) (Times r t)
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s rule: language_coinduct upto_Plus) fastforce

theorem Times_assoc[simp]: Times (Times r s) t = Times r (Times s t)

```

```
by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t rule: language_coinduct_upto_Plus) fastforce
```

Similarly to *Times*, iteration is not primitively corecursive (guardedness by *Times* is required). We apply a similar trick to obtain its definition.

```
primcorec StarLR :: 'a language => 'a language => 'a language where
  o (StarLR r s) = o r
  | d (StarLR r s) = (λa. StarLR (d (Times r (Plus One s)) a) s)

lemma StarLR_Zero[simp]: StarLR Zero r = Zero
  by coinduction auto

lemma StarLR_Plus[simp]: StarLR (Plus r s) t = Plus (StarLR r t) (StarLR s t)
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s) (auto simp del: Plus_ACI Times_PlusR)

lemma StarLR_Times_Plus_One[simp]: StarLR (Times r (Plus One s)) s = StarLR r s
  proof (coinduction arbitrary: r s)
    case Lang
    { fix a
      define L and R where L = Plus (d r a) (Plus (Times (d r a) s) (d s a))
      and R = Times (Plus (d r a)) (Plus (Times (d r a) s) (d s a))) s
      have Plus L (Plus R (d s a)) = Plus (Plus L (d s a)) R by (metis Plus_assoc Plus_comm)
      also have Plus L (d s a) = L unfolding L_def by simp
      finally have Plus L (Plus R (d s a)) = Plus L R .
    }
    then show ?case by (auto simp del: StarLR_Plus_Plus_assoc Times_PlusL)
  qed

lemma StarLR_Times: StarLR (Times r s) t = Times r (StarLR s t)
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t rule: language_coinduct_upto_Plus)
    (fastforce simp del: Plus_ACI Times_PlusR)

definition Star :: 'a language => 'a language where
  Star r = StarLR One r

lemma o_Star[simp]: o (Star r)
  unfolding Star_def by simp

lemma d_Star[simp]: d (Star r) = (λa. Times (d r a) (Star r))
  unfolding Star_def by (auto simp add: Star_def StarLR_Times[symmetric])

lemma Star_Zero[simp]: Star Zero = One
  by coinduction auto

lemma Star_One[simp]: Star One = One
  by coinduction auto

lemma Star_unfoldL: Star r = Plus One (Times r (Star r))
  by coinduction auto

primcorec Inter :: 'a language => 'a language => 'a language where
  o (Inter r s) = (o r ∧ o s)
  | d (Inter r s) = (λa. Inter (d r a) (d s a))

primcorec Not :: 'a language => 'a language where
  o (Not r) = (¬ o r)
  | d (Not r) = (λa. Not (d r a))

primcorec Full :: 'a language ( $\langle \Sigma^* \rangle$ ) where
```

```

|  $\circ Full = True$ 
|  $\mathfrak{d} Full = (\lambda_. Full)$ 

```

Shuffle product is not primitively corecursive—the corecursive call of its derivative is guarded by *Plus*. However, it can be defined as a composition of two primitively corecursive functions.

```

primcorec ShuffleLR :: 'a language  $\Rightarrow$  'a language  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  bool) language where
   $\circ$  (ShuffleLR r s) = ( $\circ r \wedge \circ s$ )
  |  $\mathfrak{d}$  (ShuffleLR r s) = ( $\lambda(a, b).$  if b then ShuffleLR ( $\mathfrak{d} r a$ ) s else ShuffleLR r ( $\mathfrak{d} s a$ ))

lemma ShuffleLR_ZeroL[simp]: ShuffleLR Zero r = Zero
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) auto

lemma ShuffleLR_ZeroR[simp]: ShuffleLR r Zero = Zero
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) (auto intro: exI[of _ Zero])

lemma ShuffleLR_PlusL[simp]: ShuffleLR (Plus r s) t = Plus (ShuffleLR r t) (ShuffleLR s t)
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t) auto

lemma ShuffleLR_PlusR[simp]: ShuffleLR r (Plus s t) = Plus (ShuffleLR r s) (ShuffleLR r t)
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t) auto

lemma Shuffle_Plus_ShuffleLR_One[simp]: Times_Plus (ShuffleLR r One) = r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) simp

lemma Shuffle_Plus_ShuffleLR_PlusL[simp]:
  Times_Plus (ShuffleLR (Plus r s) t) = Plus (Times_Plus (ShuffleLR r t)) (Times_Plus (ShuffleLR s t))
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t) auto

lemma Shuffle_Plus_ShuffleLR_PlusR[simp]:
  Times_Plus (ShuffleLR r (Plus s t)) = Plus (Times_Plus (ShuffleLR r s)) (Times_Plus (ShuffleLR r t))
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t) auto

definition Shuffle :: 'a language  $\Rightarrow$  'a language  $\Rightarrow$  'a language where
  Shuffle r s = Times_Plus (ShuffleLR r s)

lemma  $\circ_{\text{Shuffle}}[\text{simp}]$ :
   $\circ (\text{Shuffle } r s) = (\circ r \wedge \circ s)$ 
  unfolding Shuffle_def by simp

lemma  $\mathfrak{d}_{\text{Shuffle}}[\text{simp}]$ :
   $\mathfrak{d} (\text{Shuffle } r s) = (\lambda a. \text{Plus} (\text{Shuffle} (\mathfrak{d} r a) s) (\text{Shuffle} r (\mathfrak{d} s a)))$ 
  unfolding Shuffle_def by auto

theorem Shuffle_ZeroL[simp]: Shuffle Zero r = Zero
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r rule: language_coinduct_up_to_Plus) (auto 0 4)

theorem Shuffle_ZeroR[simp]: Shuffle r Zero = Zero
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r rule: language_coinduct_up_to_Plus) (auto 0 4)

theorem Shuffle_OneL[simp]: Shuffle One r = r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) simp

theorem Shuffle_OneR[simp]: Shuffle r One = r
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) simp

theorem Shuffle_PlusL[simp]: Shuffle (Plus r s) t = Plus (Shuffle r t) (Shuffle s t)

```

```

by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t rule: language_coinduct upto_Plus)
  (force intro!: Trans[OF Plus[OF Base Base] Refl])

theorem Shuffle_PlusR[simp]: Shuffle r (Plus s t) = Plus (Shuffle r s) (Shuffle r t)
by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t rule: language_coinduct upto_Plus)
  (force intro!: Trans[OF Plus[OF Base Base] Refl])

theorem Shuffle_assoc[simp]: Shuffle (Shuffle r s) t = Shuffle r (Shuffle s t)
by (coinduction arbitrary: r s t rule: language_coinduct upto_Plus) fastforce

theorem Shuffle_comm[simp]: Shuffle r s = Shuffle s r
by (coinduction arbitrary: r s rule: language_coinduct upto_Plus)
  (auto intro!: Trans[OF Plus[OF Base Base] Refl])

```

We generalize coinduction up-to *Plus* to coinduction up-to all previously defined concepts.

```

inductive regular_cong for R where
  Refl[intro]: x = y  $\implies$  regular_cong R x y
  | Sym[intro]: regular_cong R x y  $\implies$  regular_cong R y x
  | Trans[intro]: [regular_cong R x y; regular_cong R y z]  $\implies$  regular_cong R x z
  | Base[intro]: R x y  $\implies$  regular_cong R x y
  | Plus[intro, simp]: [regular_cong R x y; regular_cong R x' y']  $\implies$ 
    regular_cong R (Plus x x') (Plus y y')
  | Times[intro, simp]: [regular_cong R x y; regular_cong R x' y']  $\implies$ 
    regular_cong R (Times x x') (Times y y')
  | Star[intro, simp]: [regular_cong R x y]  $\implies$ 
    regular_cong R (Star x) (Star y)
  | Inter[intro, simp]: [regular_cong R x y; regular_cong R x' y']  $\implies$ 
    regular_cong R (Inter x x') (Inter y y')
  | Not[intro, simp]: [regular_cong R x y]  $\implies$ 
    regular_cong R (Not x) (Not y)
  | Shuffle[intro, simp]: [regular_cong R x y; regular_cong R x' y']  $\implies$ 
    regular_cong R (Shuffle x x') (Shuffle y y')

lemma language_coinduct upto_regular[unfolded rel_fun_def, simplified, case_names Lang, consumes 1]:
  assumes R: R L K and hyp:
   $(\bigwedge L K. R L K \implies \circ L = \circ K \wedge rel\_fun (=) (regular\_cong R) (\mathfrak{d} L) (\mathfrak{d} K))$ 
  shows L = K
  proof (coinduct rule: language.coinduct[of regular_cong R])
    fix L K assume regular_cong R L K
    then show  $\circ L = \circ K \wedge rel\_fun (=) (regular\_cong R) (\mathfrak{d} L) (\mathfrak{d} K)$ 
      by (induct rule: regular_cong.induct) (auto dest: hyp simp: rel_fun_def)
  qed (intro Base R)

lemma Star_unfoldR: Star r = Plus One (Times (Star r) r)
proof (coinduction arbitrary: r rule: language_coinduct upto_regular)
  case Lang
  { fix a have Plus (Times ( $\mathfrak{d}$  r a) (Times (Star r) r)) ( $\mathfrak{d}$  r a) =
    Times ( $\mathfrak{d}$  r a) (Plus One (Times (Star r) r)) by simp
  }
  then show ?case by (auto simp del: Times_PlusR)
  qed

lemma Star_Star[simp]: Star (Star r) = Star r
  by (subst Star_unfoldL, coinduction arbitrary: r rule: language_coinduct upto_regular) auto

lemma Times_Star[simp]: Times (Star r) (Star r) = Star r
proof (coinduction arbitrary: r rule: language_coinduct upto_regular)

```

```

case Lang
have *:  $\bigwedge r s. \text{Plus}(\text{Times } r s) r = \text{Times } r (\text{Plus } s \text{ One})$  by simp
show ?case by (auto simp del: Times_PlusR Plus_ACI simp: Times_PlusR[symmetric] *)
qed

instantiation language :: (type) {semiring_1, order}
begin

lemma Zero_One[simp]: Zero ≠ One
by (metis One.simps(1) Zero.simps(1))

definition zero_language = Zero
definition one_language = One
definition plus_language = Plus
definition times_language = Times

definition less_eq_language r s = (Plus r s = s)
definition less_language r s = (Plus r s = s ∧ r ≠ s)

lemmas language_defs = zero_language_def one_language_def plus_language_def times_language_def
less_eq_language_def less_language_def

instance proof intro_classes
fix x y z :: 'a language assume x ≤ y y ≤ z
then show x ≤ z unfolding language_defs by (metis Plus_assoc)
next
fix x y z :: 'a language
show x + y + z = x + (y + z) unfolding language_defs by (rule Plus_assoc)
qed (auto simp: language_defs)

end

lemma o_mono[dest]: r ≤ s  $\implies$  o r  $\implies$  o s
unfolding less_eq_language_def by (auto dest: arg_cong[of _ _ o])

lemma d_mono[dest]: r ≤ s  $\implies$  d r a ≤ d s a
unfolding less_eq_language_def by (metis Plus.simps(2))

For reasoning about ( $\leq$ ), we prove a coinduction principle and generalize it to support up-to reasoning.

theorem language_simulation_coinduction[consumes 1, case_names Lang, coinduct pred]:
assumes R L K
    and ( $\bigwedge L K. R L K \implies o L \leq o K \wedge (\forall x. R (d L x) (d K x))$ )
shows L ≤ K
using ⟨R L K⟩ unfolding less_eq_language_def
by (coinduction arbitrary: L K) (auto dest!: assms(2))

lemma le_PlusL[intro!, simp]: r ≤ Plus r s
by (coinduction arbitrary: r s) auto

lemma le_PlusR[intro!, simp]: s ≤ Plus r s
by (coinduction arbitrary: r s) auto

inductive Plus_Times_pre_cong for R where
    pre_Less[intro, simp]: x ≤ y  $\implies$  Plus_Times_pre_cong R x y
    | pre_Trans[intro]: [Plus_Times_pre_cong R x y; Plus_Times_pre_cong R y z]  $\implies$  Plus_Times_pre_cong R x z
    | pre_Base[intro, simp]: R x y  $\implies$  Plus_Times_pre_cong R x y

```

```

| pre_Plus[intro!, simp]:  $\llbracket \text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ x' \ y' \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ (\text{Plus } x \ x') \ (\text{Plus } y \ y')$ 
| pre_Times[intro!, simp]:  $\llbracket \text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ x' \ y' \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ (\text{Times } x \ x') \ (\text{Times } y \ y')$ 

theorem language_simulation_coinduction upto_Plus_Times[consumes 1, case_names Lang, coinduct pred]:
  assumes R:  $R \ L \ K$ 
    and hyp:  $(\bigwedge L \ K. \ R \ L \ K \implies \text{o } L \leq \text{o } K \wedge (\forall x. \text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ (\text{d } L \ x) \ (\text{d } K \ x)))$ 
    shows  $L \leq K$ 
proof (coinduct rule: language_simulation_coinduction[of Plus_Times_pre_cong R])
  fix L K assume Plus_Times_pre_cong R L K
  then show  $\text{o } L \leq \text{o } K \wedge (\forall x. \text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ (\text{d } L \ x) \ (\text{d } K \ x))$ 
    by (induct rule: Plus_Times_pre_cong.induct) (auto dest: hyp)
qed (intro pre_Base R)

lemma ge_One[simp]:  $\text{One} \leq r \longleftrightarrow \text{o } r$ 
  unfolding less_eq_language_def by (metis One.sel(1) Plus.sel(1) Plus_OneL)

lemma Plus_mono:  $\llbracket r1 \leq s1; r2 \leq s2 \rrbracket \implies \text{Plus } r1 \ r2 \leq \text{Plus } s1 \ s2$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r1 r2 s1 s2) (auto elim!: d_mono)

lemma Plus_upper:  $\llbracket r1 \leq s; r2 \leq s \rrbracket \implies \text{Plus } r1 \ r2 \leq s$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r1 r2 s) auto

lemma Inter_mono:  $\llbracket r1 \leq s1; r2 \leq s2 \rrbracket \implies \text{Inter } r1 \ r2 \leq \text{Inter } s1 \ s2$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r1 r2 s1 s2) (force elim!: d_mono)

lemma Times_mono:  $\llbracket r1 \leq s1; r2 \leq s2 \rrbracket \implies \text{Times } r1 \ r2 \leq \text{Times } s1 \ s2$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r1 r2 s1 s2) (auto 0 3 elim!: d_mono)

We prove the missing axioms of Kleene Algebras about Star, as well as monotonicity properties and three standard interesting rules: bisimulation, sliding, and denesting.

theorem le_StarL:  $\text{Plus } \text{One} \ (\text{Times } r \ (\text{Star } r)) \leq \text{Star } r$ 
  by coinduction auto

theorem le_StarR:  $\text{Plus } \text{One} \ (\text{Times } (\text{Star } r) \ r) \leq \text{Star } r$ 
  by (rule order_eq_refl[OF Star_unfoldR[symmetric]])

lemma le_TimesL[intro, simp]:  $\text{o } s \implies r \leq \text{Times } r \ s$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: r) auto

lemma le_TimesR[intro, simp]:  $\text{o } r \implies s \leq \text{Times } r \ s$ 
  by coinduction auto

lemma Plus_le_iff:  $\text{Plus } r \ s \leq t \longleftrightarrow r \leq t \wedge s \leq t$ 
  unfolding less_eq_language_def
  by (metis Plus_assoc Plus_idem_assoc Plus_rotate)

lemma Plus_Times_pre_cong_mono:
   $L' \leq L \implies K \leq K' \implies \text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ L \ K \implies \text{Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong } R \ L' \ K'$ 
  by (metis pre_Trans pre_Less)

theorem ardenL:  $\text{Plus } r \ (\text{Times } s \ x) \leq x \implies \text{Times } (\text{Star } s) \ r \leq x$ 
proof (coinduction arbitrary: r s x)
  case Lang
  then show ?case
    by (subst Plus_Times_pre_cong_mono[OF order_refl d_mono[OF Lang]]) auto

```

**qed**

**theorem** ardenR:  $\text{Plus } r \ (\text{Times } x \ s) \leq x \implies \text{Times } r \ (\text{Star } s) \leq x$

**proof** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r \ s \ x$ )

**case** Lang

**then have** Plus\_Times\_pre\_cong ( $\lambda L \ K. \exists r \ s. \ L = \text{Times } r \ (\text{Star } s) \wedge \text{Plus } r \ (\text{Times } K \ s) \leq K$ )

      ( $\mathfrak{d} \ (\text{Times } r \ (\text{Star } s)) \ a$ ) ( $\mathfrak{d} \ x \ a$ ) **for** a **using**  $\mathfrak{d}\_mono[\text{OF Lang, of } a]$

**by** (auto 0 4 simp del: Times\_PlusL simp: Times\_PlusL[symmetric] Plus\_le\_iff split: if\_splits)

**with** Lang **show** ?case

**by** auto

**qed**

**lemma** le\_Star[intro!, simp]:  $s \leq \text{Star } s$

**by** coinduction auto

**lemma** Star\_mono:  $r \leq s \implies \text{Star } r \leq \text{Star } s$

**by** coinduction auto

**lemma** Not\_antimono:  $r \leq s \implies \text{Not } s \leq \text{Not } r$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r \ s$ ) auto

**lemma** Not\_Plus[simp]:  $\text{Not } (\text{Plus } r \ s) = \text{Inter } (\text{Not } r) (\text{Not } s)$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r \ s$ ) auto

**lemma** Not\_Inter[simp]:  $\text{Not } (\text{Inter } r \ s) = \text{Plus } (\text{Not } r) (\text{Not } s)$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r \ s$ ) auto

**lemma** Inter\_assoc[simp]:  $\text{Inter } (\text{Inter } r \ s) \ t = \text{Inter } r \ (\text{Inter } s \ t)$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r \ s \ t$ ) auto

**lemma** Inter\_comm:  $\text{Inter } r \ s = \text{Inter } s \ r$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r \ s$ ) auto

**lemma** Inter\_idem[simp]:  $\text{Inter } r \ r = r$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) auto

**lemma** Inter\_ZeroL[simp]:  $\text{Inter } \text{Zero } r = \text{Zero}$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) auto

**lemma** Inter\_ZeroR[simp]:  $\text{Inter } r \ \text{Zero} = \text{Zero}$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) auto

**lemma** Inter\_FullL[simp]:  $\text{Inter } \text{Full } r = r$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) auto

**lemma** Inter\_FullR[simp]:  $\text{Inter } r \ \text{Full} = r$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) auto

**lemma** Plus\_FullL[simp]:  $\text{Plus } \text{Full } r = \text{Full}$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) auto

**lemma** Plus\_FullR[simp]:  $\text{Plus } r \ \text{Full} = \text{Full}$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) auto

**lemma** Not\_Not[simp]:  $\text{Not } (\text{Not } r) = r$

**by** (coinduction arbitrary:  $r$ ) auto

**lemma** Not\_Zero[simp]:  $\text{Not } \text{Zero} = \text{Full}$

**by coinduction simp**

**lemma Not\_Full[simp]:**  $\text{Not Full} = \text{Zero}$   
**by coinduction simp**

**lemma bisimulation:**

**assumes**  $\text{Times } r s = \text{Times } s t$   
**shows**  $\text{Times } (\text{Star } r) s = \text{Times } s (\text{Star } t)$   
**proof** (rule antisym[ $\text{OF ardenL[OF Plus_upper[OF le_TimesL]] ardenR[OF Plus_upper[OF le_TimesR]]}]$ )  
**show**  $\text{Times } r (\text{Times } s (\text{Star } t)) \leq \text{Times } s (\text{Star } t)$   
**by** (rule order\_trans[ $\text{OF Times_mono[OF order_refl ord_le_eq_trans[OF le_PlusR Star_unfoldL[symmetric]]]}$ ])  
 $(\text{simp only: assms Times_assoc[symmetric]})$   
**next**  
**show**  $\text{Times } (\text{Times } (\text{Star } r) s) t \leq \text{Times } (\text{Star } r) s$   
**by** (rule order\_trans[ $\text{OF Times_mono[OF ord_le_eq_trans[OF le_PlusR Star_unfoldR[symmetric]] order_refl]}$ ])  
 $(\text{simp only: assms Times_assoc})$   
**qed simp\_all**

**lemma sliding:**  $\text{Times } (\text{Star } (\text{Times } r s)) r = \text{Times } r (\text{Star } (\text{Times } s r))$   
**proof** (rule antisym[ $\text{OF ardenL[OF Plus_upper[OF le_TimesL]] ardenR[OF Plus_upper[OF le_TimesR]]}]$ )  
**show**  $\text{Times } (\text{Times } r s) (\text{Times } r (\text{Star } (\text{Times } s r))) \leq \text{Times } r (\text{Star } (\text{Times } s r))$   
**by** (rule order\_trans[ $\text{OF Times_mono[OF order_refl ord_le_eq_trans[OF le_PlusR Star_unfoldL[symmetric]]]} \text{ simp}$ ])  
**next**  
**show**  $\text{Times } (\text{Times } (\text{Star } (\text{Times } r s)) r) (\text{Times } s r) \leq \text{Times } (\text{Star } (\text{Times } r s)) r$   
**by** (rule order\_trans[ $\text{OF Times_mono[OF ord_le_eq_trans[OF le_PlusR Star_unfoldR[symmetric]] order_refl]} \text{ simp}$ ])  
**qed simp\_all**

**lemma denesting:**  $\text{Star } (\text{Plus } r s) = \text{Times } (\text{Star } r) (\text{Star } (\text{Times } s (\text{Star } r)))$   
**proof** (rule antisym[ $\text{OF ord_eq_le_trans[OF Times_OneR[symmetric]] ardenL[OF Plus_upper]} \text{ ardenR[OF Plus_upper[OF Star_mono[OF le_PlusL]]]}$ ])  
**show**  $\text{Times } (\text{Plus } r s) (\text{Times } (\text{Star } r) (\text{Star } (\text{Times } s (\text{Star } r)))) \leq \text{Times } (\text{Star } r) (\text{Star } (\text{Times } s (\text{Star } r)))$   
 $(\text{is } \text{Times } ?L \leq ?R)$   
**unfolding**  $\text{Times_PlusL}$   
**by** (rule Plus\_upper,  
 $\text{metis Star_unfoldL Times_assoc Times_mono le_PlusR order_refl,}$   
 $\text{metis Star_unfoldL Times_assoc o_Star le_PlusR le_TimesR order_trans})$

**next**  
**show**  $\text{Times } (\text{Star } (\text{Plus } r s)) (\text{Times } s (\text{Star } r)) \leq \text{Star } (\text{Plus } r s)$   
**by** (metis Plus\_comm Star\_unfoldL Times\_PlusR Times\_assoc ardenR bisimulation le\_PlusR)  
**qed simp**

It is useful to lift binary operators *Plus* and *Times* to  $n$ -ary operators (that take a list as input).

**definition PLUS :: 'a language list  $\Rightarrow$  'a language where**  
 $\text{PLUS xs} \equiv \text{foldr Plus xs Zero}$

**lemma o\_foldr\_Plus:**  $\text{o } (\text{foldr Plus xs s}) = (\exists x \in \text{set } (s \# xs). \text{o } x)$   
**by** (induct xs arbitrary: s) auto

**lemma d\_foldr\_Plus:**  $\text{d } (\text{foldr Plus xs s}) a = \text{foldr Plus } (\text{map } (\lambda r. \text{d } r a) xs) (\text{d } s a)$   
**by** (induct xs arbitrary: s) simp\_all

**lemma o\_PLUS[simp]:**  $\text{o } (\text{PLUS xs}) = (\exists x \in \text{set } xs. \text{o } x)$   
**unfolding**  $\text{PLUS\_def }$   $\text{o\_foldr\_Plus}$  **by** simp

```

lemma d_PLUS[simp]: d (PLUS xs) a = PLUS (map (λr. d r a) xs)
  unfolding PLUS_def d_foldr_Plus by simp

definition TIMES :: 'a language list ⇒ 'a language where
  TIMES xs ≡ foldr Times xs One

lemma o_foldr_Times: o (foldr Times xs s) = (∀x∈set (s # xs). o x)
  by (induct xs) (auto simp: PLUS_def)

primrec tails where
  tails [] = []
  | tails (x # xs) = (x # xs) # tails xs

lemma tails_snoc[simp]: tails (xs @ [x]) = map (λys. ys @ [x]) (tails xs) @ []
  by (induct xs) auto

lemma length_tails[simp]: length (tails xs) = Suc (length xs)
  by (induct xs) auto

lemma d_foldr_Times: d (foldr Times xs s) a =
  (let n = length (takeWhile o xs)
   in PLUS (map (λzs. TIMES (d (hd zs) a # tl zs)) (take (Suc n) (tails (xs @ [s])))))
  by (induct xs) (auto simp: TIMES_def PLUS_def Let_def foldr_map o_def)

lemma o_TIMES[simp]: o (TIMES xs) = (∀x∈set xs. o x)
  unfolding TIMES_def o_foldr_Times by simp

lemma TIMES_snoc_One[simp]: TIMES (xs @ [One]) = TIMES xs
  by (induct xs) (auto simp: TIMES_def)

lemma d_TIMES[simp]: d (TIMES xs) a = (let n = length (takeWhile o xs)
  in PLUS (map (λzs. TIMES (d (hd zs) a # tl zs)) (take (Suc n) (tails (xs @ [One])))))
  unfolding TIMES_def d_foldr_Times by simp

```

### 3 Word-theoretic Semantics of Languages

We show our *language* codatatype being isomorphic to the standard language representation as a set of lists.

```

primrec in_language :: 'a language ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool where
  in_language [] = o []
  | in_language (x # xs) = in_language (d x) xs

primcorec to_language :: 'a list set ⇒ 'a language where
  o (to_language L) = ([] ∈ L)
  | d (to_language L) = (λa. to_language {w. a # w ∈ L})

lemma in_language_to_language[simp]: Collect (in_language (to_language L)) = L
proof (rule set_eqI, unfold mem_Collect_eq)
  fix w show in_language (to_language L) w = (w ∈ L) by (induct w arbitrary: L) auto
qed

lemma to_language_in_language[simp]: to_language (Collect (in_language L)) = L
  by (coinduction arbitrary: L) auto

lemma in_language_bij: bij (Collect o in_language)
proof (rule bijI', unfold o_apply, safe)
  fix L R :: 'a language assume Collect (in_language L) = Collect (in_language R)

```

```

then show  $L = R$  unfolding set_eq_if mem_Collect_eq
  by (coinduction arbitrary: L R) (metis in_language.simps)
next
  fix  $L :: 'a list set$ 
  have  $L = \text{Collect}(\text{in\_language}(\text{to\_language } L))$  by simp
  then show  $\exists K. L = \text{Collect}(\text{in\_language } K)$  by blast
qed

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_bij}: \text{bij} \text{ to\_language}$ 
  by (rule o_bij[of Collect o in_language]) (simp_all add: fun_eq_iff)

```

## 4 Coinductively Defined Operations Are Standard

```

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_empty}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} \{\} = \text{Zero}$ 
  by (coinduction) auto

lemma  $\text{in\_language\_Zero}[\text{simp}]: \neg \text{in\_language Zero } xs$ 
  by (induct xs) auto

lemma  $\text{in\_language\_One}[\text{simp}]: \text{in\_language One } xs \implies xs = []$ 
  by (cases xs) auto

lemma  $\text{in\_language\_Atom}[\text{simp}]: \text{in\_language} (\text{Atom } a) xs \implies xs = [a]$ 
  by (cases xs) (auto split: if_splits)

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_eps}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} \{[]\} = \text{One}$ 
  by (rule bij_is_inj[OF in_language_bij, THEN injD]) auto

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_singleton}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} \{[a]\} = (\text{Atom } a)$ 
  by (rule bij_is_inj[OF in_language_bij, THEN injD]) auto

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_Un}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} (A \cup B) = \text{Plus}(\text{to\_language } A) (\text{to\_language } B)$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: A B) (auto simp: Collect_disj_eq)

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_Int}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} (A \cap B) = \text{Inter}(\text{to\_language } A) (\text{to\_language } B)$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: A B) (auto simp: Collect_conj_eq)

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_Neg}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} (\neg A) = \text{Not}(\text{to\_language } A)$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: A) (auto simp: Collect_neg_eq)

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_Diff}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} (A - B) = \text{Inter}(\text{to\_language } A) (\text{Not}(\text{to\_language } B))$ 
  by (auto simp: Diff_eq)

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_conc}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} (A @\@ B) = \text{Times}(\text{to\_language } A) (\text{to\_language } B)$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: A B rule: language_coinduct upto_Plus)
    (auto simp: Deriv_def[symmetric])

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_star}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} (\text{star } A) = \text{Star}(\text{to\_language } A)$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: A rule: language_coinduct upto_regular)
    (auto simp: Deriv_def[symmetric])

lemma  $\text{to\_language\_shuffle}[\text{simp}]: \text{to\_language} (A \parallel B) = \text{Shuffle}(\text{to\_language } A) (\text{to\_language } B)$ 
  by (coinduction arbitrary: A B rule: language_coinduct upto_Plus)
    (force simp: Deriv_def[symmetric])

```

## 5 Word Problem for Context-Free Grammars

## 6 Context Free Languages

A context-free grammar consists of a list of productions for every nonterminal and an initial nonterminal. The productions are required to be in weak Greibach normal form, i.e. each right hand side of a production must either be empty or start with a terminal.

**abbreviation**  $wgreibach \alpha \equiv (\text{case } \alpha \text{ of } (\text{Inr } N \# \_) \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \_ \Rightarrow \text{True})$

```

record ('t, 'n) cfg =
  init :: 'n :: finite
  prod :: 'n ⇒ ('t + 'n) list fset

context
  fixes G :: ('t, 'n :: finite) cfg
begin

inductive in_cfl where
  in_cfl [] []
  | in_cfl α w ⇒ in_cfl (Inl a # α) (a # w)
  | fBex (prod G N) (λβ. in_cfl (β @ α) w) ⇒ in_cfl (Inr N # α) w

abbreviation lang_trad where
  lang_trad ≡ {w. in_cfl [Inr (init G)] w}

fun o_P where
  o_P [] = True
  | o_P (Inl _ # _) = False
  | o_P (Inr N # α) = ([] |∈| prod G N ∧ o_P α)

fun d_P where
  d_P [] a = {||}
  | d_P (Inl b # α) a = (if a = b then {||α||} else {||})
  | d_P (Inr N # α) a =
    (λβ. tl β @ α) |`| ffilter (λβ. β ≠ [] ∧ hd β = Inl a) (prod G N) |U|
    (if [] |∈| prod G N then d_P α a else {||})

primcorec subst :: ('t + 'n) list fset ⇒ 't language where
  subst P = Lang (fBex P o_P) (λa. subst (ffUnion ((λr. d_P r a) |`| P)))

inductive in_cfsls where
  fBex P o_P ⇒ in_cfsls P []
  | in_cfsls (ffUnion ((λα. d_P α a) |`| P)) w ⇒ in_cfsls P (a # w)

inductive_cases [elim!]: in_cfsls P []
inductive_cases [elim!]: in_cfsls P (a # w)

declare inj_eq[OF bij_is_inj[OF to_language_bij], simp]

lemma subst_in_cfsls: subst P = to_language {w. in_cfsls P w}
  by (coinduction arbitrary: P) (auto intro: in_cfsls.intros)

lemma o_P_in_cfl: o_P α ⇒ in_cfl α []
  by (induct α rule: o_P.induct) (auto intro!: in_cfl.intros elim: fBexI[rotated])

lemma d_P_in_cfl: β |∈| d_P α a ⇒ in_cfl β w ⇒ in_cfl α (a # w)
  proof (induct α a arbitrary: β w rule: d_P.induct)

```

```

case ( $\exists N \alpha a$ )
then show ?case
  by (auto simp: rev_fBexI neq Nil_conv split: if_splits
    intro!: in_cfl.intros elim!: rev_fBexI[of _ # _])
qed (auto split: if_splits intro: in_cfl.intros)

lemma in_cfls_in_cfl: in_cfls P w  $\implies$  fBex P ( $\lambda\alpha.$  in_cfl  $\alpha$  w)
  by (induct P w rule: in_cfls.induct)
    (auto simp: o_P_in_cfl d_P_in_cfl ffUnion.rep_eq
      intro: in_cfl.intros elim: rev_bexI)

lemma in_cfls_mono: in_cfls P w  $\implies$  P  $\subseteq$  Q  $\implies$  in_cfls Q w
proof (induct P w arbitrary: Q rule: in_cfls.induct)
  case (2 a P w)
  from 2(3) 2(2)[of ffUnion (( $\lambda\alpha.$  local.d_P  $\alpha$  a) |` Q)] show ?case
    by (auto intro!: ffunion_mono in_cfls.intros)
qed (auto intro!: in_cfls.intros)

end

locale cfg_wgreibach =
  fixes G :: ('t, 'n :: finite) cfg
  assumes weakGreibach:  $\bigwedge N \alpha. \alpha \in prod G N \implies wgreibach \alpha$ 
begin

lemma in_cfl_in_cfls: in_cfl G  $\alpha$  w  $\implies$  in_cfls G { $|\alpha|$ } w
proof (induct  $\alpha$  w rule: in_cfl.induct)
  case ( $\exists N \alpha w$ )
  then obtain  $\beta$  where
     $\beta: \beta \in prod G N$  and
    in_cfl: in_cfl G ( $\beta @ \alpha$ ) w and
    in_cfls: in_cfls G { $|\beta @ \alpha|$ } w by blast
  then show ?case
  proof (cases  $\beta$ )
    case [simp]: Nil
    from  $\beta$  in_cfls show ?thesis
      by (cases w) (auto intro!: in_cfls.intros elim: in_cfls_mono)
  next
    case [simp]: (Cons x  $\gamma$ )
    from weakGreibach[ $OF \beta$ ] obtain a where [simp]:  $x = Inl a$  by (cases x) auto
    with  $\beta$  in_cfls show ?thesis
      apply -
      apply (rule in_cfl.cases[ $OF in_cfl$ ]; auto)
      apply (force intro: in_cfls.intros(2) elim!: in_cfls_mono)
      done
  qed
qed (auto intro!: in_cfls.intros)

abbreviation lang where
  lang  $\equiv$  subst G {[Inr (init G)]|}

lemma lang_lang_trad: lang = to_language (lang_trad G)
proof -
  have in_cfls G {[Inr (init G)]|} w  $\longleftrightarrow$  in_cfl G [Inr (init G)] w for w
    by (auto dest: in_cfls_in_cfl in_cfl_in_cfls)
  then show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: subst_in_cfls)
qed

```

**end**

The function *in\_language* decides the word problem for a given language. Since we can construct the language of a CFG using *cfg\_wgreibach.lang* we obtain an executable (but not very efficient) decision procedure for CFGs for free.

```
abbreviation a ≡ Inl True
abbreviation b ≡ Inl False
abbreviation S ≡ Inr ()
```

```
interpretation palindromes: cfg_wgreibach (init = (), prod =  $\lambda_. \{[], [\mathfrak{a}], [\mathfrak{b}], [\mathfrak{a}, S, \mathfrak{a}], [\mathfrak{b}, S, \mathfrak{b}]|\}\})$ 
```

*by unfold\_locales auto*

```
lemma in_language palindromes.lang [] by normalization
lemma in_language palindromes.lang [True] by normalization
lemma in_language palindromes.lang [False] by normalization
lemma in_language palindromes.lang [True, True] by normalization
lemma in_language palindromes.lang [True, False, True] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language palindromes.lang [True, False] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language palindromes.lang [True, False, True, False] by normalization
lemma in_language palindromes.lang [True, False, True, True, False, True] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language palindromes.lang [True, False, True, False, False, True] by normalization
```

```
interpretation Dyck: cfg_wgreibach (init = (), prod =  $\lambda_. \{[], [\mathfrak{a}, S, \mathfrak{b}, S]|\}\})$ 
```

*by unfold\_locales auto*

```
lemma in_language Dyck.lang [] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language Dyck.lang [True] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language Dyck.lang [False] by normalization
lemma in_language Dyck.lang [True, False, True, False] by normalization
lemma in_language Dyck.lang [True, True, False, False] by normalization
lemma in_language Dyck.lang [True, False, True, False] by normalization
lemma in_language Dyck.lang [True, False, True, False, True, True, False, False] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language Dyck.lang [True, False, True, True, False] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language Dyck.lang [True, True, False, False, True] by normalization
```

```
interpretation abSSa: cfg_wgreibach (init = (), prod =  $\lambda_. \{[], [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, S, S, \mathfrak{a}]|\}\})$ 
```

*by unfold\_locales auto*

```
lemma in_language abSSa.lang [] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language abSSa.lang [True] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language abSSa.lang [False] by normalization
lemma in_language abSSa.lang [True, False, True] by normalization
lemma in_language abSSa.lang [True, False, True, False, True, True, False, True] by normalization
lemma in_language abSSa.lang [True, False, True, False, True, True, False, True] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language abSSa.lang [True, False, True, True, False] by normalization
lemma ¬ in_language abSSa.lang [True, True, False, False, True] by normalization
```