An Example of a Cofinitary Group in Isabelle/HOL

Bart Kastermans

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize the usual proof that the group generated by the function $k\mapsto k+1$ on the integers gives rise to a cofinitary group.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The Main Notions	3
3	The Function upOne	4
4	The Set of Functions and Normal Forms	5
5	All Elements Cofinitary Bijections.	7
6	Closed under Composition and Inverse	8
7	Conjugation with a Bijection	11
8	Bijections on $\mathbb N$	12
9	The Conclusion	15

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory} \ \ CofGroups \\ \textbf{imports} \ \ Main \ \ HOL-Library. Nat-Bijection \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}$

1 Introduction

Cofinitary groups have received a lot of attention in Set Theory. I will start by giving some references, that together give a nice view of the area. See also Kastermans [7] for my view of where the study of these groups (other than formalization) is headed. Starting work was done by Adeleke [1], Truss [12] and [13], and Koppelberg [10]. Cameron [3] is a very nice survey. There is also work on cardinal invariants related to these groups and other almost disjoint families, see e.g. Brendle, Spinas, and Zhang [2], Hrušák, Steprans, and Zhang [5], and Kastermans and Zhang [9]. Then there is also work on constructions and descriptive complexity of these groups, see e.g. Zhang [14], Gao and Zhang [4], and Kastermans [6] and [8].

In this note we work through formalizing a basic example of a cofinitary group. We want to achieve two things by working through this example. First how to formalize some proofs from basic set-theoretic algebra, and secondly, to do some first steps in the study of formalization of this area of set theory. This is related to the work of Paulson and Grabczewski [11] on formalizing set theory, our preference however is towards using Isar resulting in a development more readable for "normal" mathematicians.

A cofinitary group is a subgroup G of the symmetric group on \mathbb{N} (in Isabelle nat) such that all non-identity elements $g \in G$ have finitely many fixed points. A simple example of a cofinitary group is obtained by considering the group G' a subgroup of the symmetric group on \mathbb{Z} (in Isabelle int generated by the function $upOne : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $k \mapsto k+1$. No element in this group other than the identity has a fixed point. Conjugating this group by any bijection $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{N}$ gives a cofinitary group.

We will develop a workable definition of a cofinitary group (Section 2) and show that the group as described in the previous paragraph is indeed cofinitary (this takes the whole paper, but is all pulled together in Section 9). Note: formalizing the previous paragraph is all that is completed in this note.

Since this note is also written to be read by the proverbial "normal" mathematician we will sometimes remark on notations as used in Isabelle as they related to common notation. We do expect this proverbial mathematician to be somewhat flexible though. He or she will need to be flexible in reading, this is just like reading any other article; part of reading is reconstructing.

We end this introduction with a quick overview of the paper. In Section 2 we define the notion of cofinitary group. In Section 3 we define the function upOne and give some of its basic properties. In Section 4 we define the set Ex1 which is the underlying set of the group generated by upOne, there we also derive a normal form theorem for the elements of this set. In Section 5 we show all elements in Ex1 are cofinitary bijections (cofinitary here is used in the general meaning of having finitely many fixed points). In Section 6 we show this set is closed under composition and inverse, in effect showing that it is a "cofinitary group" (cofinitary group here is in quotes, since we only define it for sets of permutations on the natural numbers). In Section 7 we show the general theorem that conjugating a permutation by a bijection

does the expected thing to the set of fixed points. In Section 8 we define the function CONJ that is conjugation by ni-bij (a bijection from nat to int), show that is acts well with respect to the group operations, use it to define Ex2 which is the underlying set of the cofinitary group we are construction, and show the basic properties of Ex2. Finally in Section 9 we quickly show that all the work in the section before it combines to show that Ex2 is a cofinitary group.

2 The Main Notions

First we define the two main notions.

We write *S-inf* for the symmetric group on the natural numbers (we do not define this as a group, only as the set of bijections).

```
definition S-inf :: (nat \Rightarrow nat) set where S-inf = \{f::(nat \Rightarrow nat).\ bij\ f\}
```

Note here that bij f is the predicate that f is a bijection. This is common notation in Isabelle, a predicate applied to an object. Related to this inj f means f is injective, and surj f means f is surjective.

The same notation is used for function application. Next we define a function Fix, applying it to an object is also written by juxtaposition.

Given any function f we define Fix f to be the set of fixed points for this function.

```
definition Fix :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \ set) where Fix f = \{ n . f(n) = n \}
```

We then define a locale CofinitaryGroup that represents the notion of a cofinitary group. An interpretation is given by giving a set of functions $nat \to nat$ and showing that it satisfies the identities the locale assumes. A locale is a way to collect together some information that can then later be used in a flexible way (we will not make a lot of use of that here).

```
locale CofinitaryGroup =
fixes
dom :: (nat \Rightarrow nat) \ set
assumes
type\text{-}dom : dom \subseteq S\text{-}inf \ and}
id\text{-}com : id \in dom \ and}
mult\text{-}closed : f \in dom \land g \in dom \ \Rightarrow f \circ g \in dom \ and}
inv\text{-}closed : f \in dom \ \Rightarrow inv \ f \in dom \ and}
cofinitary : f \in dom \land f \neq id \ \Rightarrow finite \ (Fix \ f)
```

3 The Function upOne

Here we define the function, upOne, translation up by 1 and proof some of its basic properties.

```
definition upOne :: int \Rightarrow int where upOne \ n = n + 1 declare upOne \text{-}def \ [simp] — automated tools can use the definition
```

First we show that this function is a bijection. This is done in the usual two parts; we show it is injective by showing from the assumption that outputs on two numbers are equal that these two numbers are equal. Then we show it is surjective by finding the number that maps to a given number.

```
lemma inj-upOne: inj upOne
by (rule Fun.injI, simp)
lemma surj-upOne: surj upOne
proof (unfold Fun.surj-def, rule)
fix k::int
show ∃ m. k = upOne m
by (rule exI[of λl. k = upOne l k − 1], simp)
qed
theorem bij-upOne: bij upOne
by (unfold bij-def, rule conjI [OF inj-upOne surj-upOne])
```

Now we show that the set of fixed points of *upOne* is empty. We show this in two steps, first we show that no number is a fixed point, and then derive from this that the set of fixed points is empty.

```
lemma no-fix-upOne: upOne n \neq n

proof (rule notI)

assume upOne n = n

with upOne-def have n+1 = n by simp

thus False by auto

qed

theorem Fix upOne = {}

proof -

from Fix-def[of upOne]

have Fix upOne = {n . upOne n = n} by auto

with no-fix-upOne have Fix upOne = {n . False} by auto

with Set.empty-def show Fix upOne = {} by auto

qed
```

Finally we derive the equation for the inverse of *upOne*. The rule we use references *Hilbert-Choice* since the *inv* operator, the operator that gives an inverse of a function, is defined using Hilbert's choice operator.

```
lemma inv-upOne-eq: (inv\ upOne)\ (n::int) = n-1

proof —
fix n::int
have ((inv\ upOne)\ \circ\ upOne)\ (n-1) = (inv\ upOne)\ n by simp
with inj-upOne and Hilbert-Choice.inv-o-cancel
show (inv\ upOne)\ n = n-1 by auto
qed

We can also show this quickly using Hilbert_Choice.inv_f_eq properly instantiated : upOne\ (n-1) = n \Longrightarrow inv\ upOne\ n = n-1.

lemma (inv\ upOne)\ n = n-1
by (rule\ Hilbert-Choice.inv-f-eq[of\ upOne\ n-1\ n,\ OF\ inj-upOne],\ simp)
```

4 The Set of Functions and Normal Forms

inductive-set $Ex1 :: (int \Rightarrow int) set$ where

We define the set Ex1 of all powers of upOne and study some of its properties, note that this is the group generated by upOne (in Section 6 we prove it closed under composition and inverse). In Section 5 we show that all its elements are cofinitary and bijections (bijections with finitely many fixed points). Note that this is not a cofinitary group, since our definition requires the group to be a subset of S-inf

```
base-func: upOne \in Ex1
comp\text{-}func: f \in Ex1 \Longrightarrow (upOne \circ f) \in Ex1
comp\text{-}inv: f \in Ex1 \Longrightarrow ((inv \ up \ One) \circ f) \in Ex1
We start by showing a normal form for elements in this set.
lemma Ex1-Normal-form-part1: f \in Ex1 \implies \exists k. \forall n. f(n) = n + k
proof (rule Ex1.induct [of f], blast)
     — blast takes care of the first goal which is formal noise
  assume f \in Ex1
 have \forall n. \ upOne \ n = n + 1 \ \text{by } simp
  with HOL.exI show \exists k. \ \forall n. \ upOne \ n = n + k by auto
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ fa:: int => int
  assume fa-k: \exists k. \forall n. fa \ n=n+k
  thus \exists k. \ \forall n. \ (upOne \circ fa) \ n = n + k \ by \ auto
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ fa :: int \Rightarrow int
  assume fa-k: \exists k. \forall n. fa n = n + k
  from inv-upOne-eq have \forall n. (inv upOne) n = n - 1 by auto
  with fa-k show \exists k. \forall n. (inv upOne \circ fa) <math>n = n + k by auto
qed
```

Now we'll show the other direction. Then we apply rule int-induct which allows us to do the induction by first showing it true for k = 1, then showing

that if true for k = i it is also true for k = i + 1 and finally showing that if true for k = i then it is also true for k = i - 1.

All proofs are fairly straightforward and use extensionality for functions. In the base case we are just dealing with upOne. In the other cases we define the function ?h which satisfies the induction hypothesis. Then f is obtained from this by adding or subtracting one pointwise.

In this proof we use some pattern matching to save on writing. In the statement of the theorem, we match the theorem against P k thereby defining the predicate P.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{Ex1-Normal-form-part2}\colon
  (\forall f. ((\forall n. f \ n = n + k) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1))  (is ?P k)
proof (rule int-induct [of ?P 1])
  show \forall f. (\forall n. f n = n + 1) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ f :: int \Rightarrow int
    show (\forall n. f n = n + 1) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1
   proof
      assume \forall n. f n = n + 1
      hence \forall n. f n = upOne \ n \ \textbf{by} \ auto
      with fun-eq-iff[of f upOne, THEN sym]
         have f = upOne by auto
      with Ex1.base-func show f \in Ex1 by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  \mathbf{fix}\ i{::}int
  assume 1 \le i
  assume induct-hyp: \forall f. (\forall n. f n = n + i) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1
  show \forall f. (\forall n. f n = n + (i + 1)) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1
  proof
    fix f:: int \Rightarrow int
    show (\forall n. f n = n + (i + 1)) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1
    proof
      assume f-eq: \forall n. f n = n + (i + 1)
      let ?h = \lambda n. \ n + i
      from induct-hyp have h-Ex1: ?h \in Ex1 by auto
      from f-eq have \forall n. f n = upOne (?h n) by (unfold upOne\text{-}def, auto)
      hence \forall n. f n = (upOne \circ ?h) n by auto
      with fun-eq-iff [THEN \ sym, \ of \ f \ up \ One \circ \ ?h]
         have f = upOne \circ ?h by auto
      with h-Ex1 and Ex1.comp-func[of ?h] show f \in Ex1 by auto
    qed
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ i :: int
  assume i < 1
  assume induct-hyp: \forall f. (\forall n. f n = n + i) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1
```

```
show \forall f. (\forall n. f n = n + (i - 1)) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1
 proof
   \mathbf{fix}\ f::\ int \Rightarrow\ int
   show (\forall n. f n = n + (i - 1)) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1
   proof
     assume f-eq: \forall n. f n = n + (i - 1)
     let ?h = \lambda n. \ n + i
     from induct-hyp have h-Ex1: ?h \in Ex1 by auto
     from inv-upOne-eq and f-eq
       have \forall n. f n = (inv \ upOne) \ (?h \ n) by auto
     hence \forall n. f n = (inv \ upOne \circ ?h) \ n \ by \ auto
     with fun-eq-iff [THEN sym, of f inv upOne \circ ?h]
        have f = inv upOne \circ ?h by auto
     with h-Ex1 and Ex1.comp-inv[of ?h] show f \in Ex1 by auto
   qed
 qed
qed
Combining the two directions we get the normal form theorem.
theorem Ex1-Normal-form: (f \in Ex1) = (\exists k. \forall n. f(n) = n + k)
proof
 assume f \in Ex1
 with Ex1-Normal-form-part1 [of f]
   show (\exists k. \forall n. f(n) = n + k) by auto
next
 assume \exists k. \forall n. f(n) = n + k
 with Ex1-Normal-form-part2
   show f \in Ex1 by auto
qed
```

5 All Elements Cofinitary Bijections.

We now show all elements in CofGroups.Ex1 are bijections, Theorem all-bij, and have no fixed points, Theorem no-fixed-pt.

```
theorem all-bij: f \in Ex1 \Longrightarrow bij f

proof (unfold\ bij-def)

assume f \in Ex1

with Ex1-Normal-form

obtain k where f-eq:\forall\ n.\ f\ n=n+k by auto

show inj\ f \land surj\ f

proof (rule\ conjI)

show INJ: inj\ f

proof (rule\ injI)

fix n\ m

assume f\ n=f\ m

with f-eq\ have\ n+k=m+k by auto

thus n=m by auto
```

```
qed
  \mathbf{next}
   show SURJ: surj f
   proof (unfold Fun.surj-def, rule allI)
     from f-eq have n = f(n - k) by auto
     thus \exists m. \ n = f \ m \ \text{by} \ (rule \ exI)
   qed
 qed
qed
theorem no-fixed-pt:
 assumes f-Ex1: f \in Ex1
 and f-not-id: f \neq id
 shows Fix f = \{\}
proof -
    — we start by proving an easy general fact
 have f-eq-then-id: (\forall n. f(n) = n) \Longrightarrow f = id
 proof -
   assume f-prop : \forall n. f(n) = n
   have (f x = id x) = (f x = x) by simp
   hence (\forall x. (f x = id x)) = (\forall x. (f x = x)) by simp
   with fun-eq-iff [THEN sym, of f id] and f-prop show f = id by auto
 qed
 from f-Ex1 and Ex1-Normal-form have \exists k. \forall n. f(n) = n + k by auto
  then obtain k where k-prop: \forall n. f(n) = n + k...
 hence k = 0 \Longrightarrow \forall n. f(n) = n by auto
 with f-eq-then-id and f-not-id have k \neq 0 by auto
 with k-prop have \forall n. f(n) \neq n by auto
 moreover
 from Fix-def[of f] have Fix f = \{n : f(n) = n\} by auto
 ultimately have Fix f = \{n. False\} by auto
  with Set.empty-def show Fix f = \{\} by auto
qed
```

6 Closed under Composition and Inverse

We start by showing that this set is closed under composition. These facts can later be conjugated to easily obtain the corresponding results for the group on the natural numbers.

```
theorem closed-comp: f \in Ex1 \land g \in Ex1 \Longrightarrow f \circ g \in Ex1

proof (rule Ex1.induct [of f], blast)

assume f \in Ex1 \land g \in Ex1

with Ex1.comp-func[of g] show upOne \circ g \in Ex1 by auto

next

fix fa

assume fa \circ g \in Ex1
```

```
with Ex1.comp-func [of\ fa\circ g]
and Fun.o-assoc [of\ upOne\ fa\ g]
show upOne\circ fa\circ g\in Ex1 by auto
next
fix fa
assume fa\circ g\in Ex1
with Ex1.comp-inv [of\ fa\circ g]
and Fun.o-assoc [of\ inv\ upOne\ fa\ g]
show (inv\ upOne)\circ fa\circ g\in Ex1 by auto
qed
```

Now we show the set is closed under inverses. This is done by an induction on the definition of *CofGroups.Ex1* only using the normal form theorem and rewriting of expressions.

```
theorem closed-inv: f \in Ex1 \implies inv f \in Ex1
proof (rule Ex1.induct [of f], blast)
 assume f \in Ex1
 show inv \ upOne \in Ex1 \ (is \ ?right \in Ex1)
 proof -
   let ?left = inv upOne \circ (inv upOne \circ upOne)
     from Ex1.comp-inv and Ex1.base-func have ?left \in Ex1 by auto
   }
   moreover
    from bij-upOne and bij-is-inj have inj upOne by auto
    hence inv \ upOne \circ upOne = id \ by \ auto
    hence ?left = ?right by auto
   }
   ultimately
   show ?thesis by auto
 qed
next
 \mathbf{fix} f
 assume f-Ex1: f \in Ex1
 from f-Ex1 and Ex1-Normal-form
 obtain k where f-eq: \forall n. f n = n + k by auto
 show inv (upOne \circ f) \in Ex1
 proof -
   let ?ic = inv (upOne \circ f)
   let ?ci = inv f \circ inv upOne
      - first we get an expression for inv f \circ inv upOne
      from all-bij and f-Ex1 have bij f by auto
      with bij-is-inj have inj-f: inj f by auto
      have \forall n. inv f n = n - k
      proof
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ n
        from f-eq have f(n-k) = n by auto
        with inv-f-eq[of f n-k n] and inj-f
        show inv f n = n-k by auto
       qed
      with inv-upOne-eq
      have \forall n. ?ci n = n - k - 1 by auto
      hence \forall n. ?ci n = n + (-1 - k) by arith
     }
     moreover
     — then we check that this implies inv f \circ inv upOne is
     — a member of CofGroups.Ex1
     {
      from Ex1-Normal-form-part2 [of -1 - k]
      have (\forall f. ((\forall n. f n = n + (-1 - k)) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1)) by auto
     ultimately
     have ?ci \in Ex1 by auto
   moreover
     from f-Ex1 all-bij have bij f by auto
     with bij-upOne and o-inv-distrib[THEN sym]
     have ?ci = ?ic by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
next
 \mathbf{fix} f
 assume f-Ex1: f \in Ex1
 with Ex1-Normal-form
   obtain k where f-eq: \forall n. f n = n + k by auto
 show inv (inv upOne \circ f) \in Ex1
 proof -
   let ?ic = inv (inv upOne \circ f)
   \mathbf{let} \ ?c = \mathit{inv} \, f \, \circ \, \mathit{upOne}
     from all-bij and f-Ex1 have bij f by auto
     with bij-is-inj have inj-f: inj f by auto
     have \forall n. inv f n = n - k
     proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ n
      from f-eq have f(n-k) = n by auto
      with inv-f-eq[of f n-k n] and inj-f
      show inv f n = n-k by auto
     with upOne-def
     have \forall n. (inv f \circ upOne) \ n = n - k + 1 \ by \ auto
```

```
hence \forall n. (inv \ f \circ upOne) \ n = n + (1 - k) by arith
     moreover
     from Ex1-Normal-form-part2[of 1 - k]
     have (\forall f. ((\forall n. f n = n + (1 - k)) \longrightarrow f \in Ex1)) by auto
     ultimately
     have ?c \in Ex1 by auto
   moreover
   {
     from f-Ex1 all-bij and bij-is-inj have bij f by auto
    moreover
    from bij-upOne and bij-imp-bij-inv have bij (inv upOne) by auto
    moreover
    note o-inv-distrib[THEN sym]
     ultimately
     have inv \ f \circ inv \ (inv \ upOne) = inv \ (inv \ upOne \circ f) by auto
     moreover
     from bij-upOne and inv-inv-eq
      have inv (inv upOne) = upOne by auto
     ultimately
     have ?c = ?ic by auto
   }
   ultimately
   show ?thesis by auto
 qed
qed
```

7 Conjugation with a Bijection

An abbreviation of the bijection from the natural numbers to the integers defined in the library. This will be used to coerce the functions above to be on the natural numbers.

```
abbreviation ni-bij == int-decode

lemma bij-f-o-inf-f: bij f \Longrightarrow f \circ inv f = id

unfolding bij-def surj-iff by simp
```

The following theorem is a key theorem in showing that the group we are interested in is cofinitary. It states that when you conjugate a function with a bijection the fixed points get mapped over.

```
theorem conj-fix-pt: \bigwedge f::('a \Rightarrow 'b). \bigwedge g::('b \Rightarrow 'b). (bij f) \Rightarrow ((inv f) `(Fix g)) = Fix ((inv f) \circ g \circ f)

proof –

fix f::'a \Rightarrow 'b

assume bij-f: bij f

with bij-def have inj-f: inj f by auto

fix g::'b \Rightarrow 'b
```

```
show ((inv f)'(Fix g)) = Fix ((inv f) \circ g \circ f)
  thm set\text{-}eq\text{-}subset[of\ (inv\ f)\ `(Fix\ g)\ Fix((inv\ f)\ \circ\ g\ \circ\ f)]
  proof
   show (inv f)'(Fix g) \subseteq Fix ((inv f) \circ g \circ f)
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x \in (inv f) '(Fix g)
     with image-def have \exists y \in Fix \ g. \ x = (inv \ f) \ y \ by \ auto
     from this obtain y where y-prop: y \in Fix \ g \land x = (inv \ f) \ y \ by \ auto
     hence x = (inv f) y...
     hence f x = (f \circ inv f) y by auto
     with bij-f and bij-f-o-inf-f[of f] have f-x-y: f x = y by auto
     from y-prop have y \in Fix g ..
     with Fix-def[of g] have g y = y by auto
     with f-x-y have g(f x) = f x by auto
     hence (inv f) (g (f x)) = inv f (f x) by auto
     with inv-f-f and inj-f have (inv f) (g (f x)) = x by auto
     hence ((inv f) \circ g \circ f) x = x by auto
     with Fix-def[of inv f \circ g \circ f]
       show x \in Fix ((inv f) \circ g \circ f) by auto
   qed
  \mathbf{next}
   show Fix (inv f \circ g \circ f) \subseteq (inv f) (Fix g)
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x \in Fix (inv f \circ g \circ f)
     with Fix-def[of inv f \circ g \circ f]
       have x-fix: (inv f \circ g \circ f) x = x by auto
     hence (inv f) (g(f(x))) = x by auto
     hence \exists y. (inv f) \ y = x \ by \ auto
     from this obtain y where x-inf-f-y: x = (inv f) y by auto
     with x-fix have (inv f \circ g \circ f)((inv f) y) = (inv f) y by auto
     hence (f \circ inv \ f \circ g \circ f \circ inv \ f) \ (y) = (f \circ inv \ f)(y) by auto
     with o-assoc
       have ((f \circ inv f) \circ g \circ (f \circ inv f)) \ y = (f \circ inv f)y by auto
     with bij-f and bij-f-o-inf-f[of f]
       have g y = y by auto
     with Fix-def[of g] have y \in Fix g by auto
     with x-inf-f-y show x \in (inv f) '(Fix g) by auto
   qed
  qed
qed
```

8 Bijections on \mathbb{N}

In this section we define the subset Ex2 of S-inf that is the conjugate of CofGroups.Ex1 bij ni-bij, and show its basic properties.

CONJ is the function that will conjugate CofGroups. Ex1 to Ex2.

```
definition CONJ :: (int \Rightarrow int) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)
where
CONJ f = (inv \ ni-bij) \circ f \circ ni-bij
declare CONJ-def [simp] — automated tools can use the definition
We quickly check that this function is of the right type, and then show three
of its properties that are very useful in showing Ex2 is a group.
lemma type-CONJ: f \in Ex1 \Longrightarrow (inv \ ni-bij) \circ f \circ ni-bij \in S-inf
proof -
 assume f-Ex1: f \in Ex1
 with all-bij have bij f by auto
  with bij-int-decode and bij-comp
   have bij-f-nibij: bij (f \circ ni-bij) by auto
  with bij-int-decode and bij-imp-bij-inv have bij (inv ni-bij) by auto
  with bij-f-nibij and bij-comp[of f \circ ni-bij inv ni-bij]
   and o-assoc[of inv ni-bij f ni-bij]
   have bij ((inv \ ni-bij) \circ f \circ ni-bij) by auto
  with S-inf-def show ((inv \ ni-bij) \circ f \circ ni-bij) \in S-inf by auto
qed
lemma inv-CONJ:
 assumes bij-f: bij f
 shows inv (CONJ f) = CONJ (inv f) (is ?left = ?right)
proof -
 have st1: ?left = inv ((inv ni-bij) \circ f \circ ni-bij)
   using CONJ-def by auto
  from bij-int-decode and bij-imp-bij-inv
   have inv-ni-bij-bij: bij (inv ni-bij) by auto
  with bij-f and bij-comp have bij (inv ni-bij \circ f) by auto
  with o-inv-distrib[of inv ni-bij \circ f ni-bij] and bij-int-decode
 have inv ((inv \ ni-bij) \circ f \circ ni-bij) =
   (inv \ ni-bij) \circ (inv \ ((inv \ ni-bij) \circ f)) by auto
  with st1 have st2: ?left =
   (inv \ ni-bij) \circ (inv \ ((inv \ ni-bij) \circ f)) by auto
 from inv-ni-bij-bij and \langle bij f \rangle and o-inv-distrib
   have h1: inv (inv \ ni-bij \circ f) = inv \ f \circ inv (inv \ (ni-bij)) by auto
 from bij-int-decode and inv-inv-eq[of ni-bij]
   have inv (inv ni-bij) = ni-bij by auto
 with st2 and h1 have ?left = (inv \ ni-bij \circ (inv \ f \circ (ni-bij))) by auto
 with o-assoc have ?left = inv \ ni-bij \circ inv \ f \circ ni-bij by auto
  with CONJ-def[of inv f] show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma comp-CONJ:
  CONJ (f \circ g) = (CONJ f) \circ (CONJ g) (is ?left = ?right)
proof -
 from bij-int-decode have surj ni-bij unfolding bij-def by auto
```

```
then have ni-bij \circ (inv \ ni-bij) = id unfolding surj-iff by auto
  moreover
 have ?left = (inv \ ni-bij) \circ (f \circ g) \circ ni-bij by simp
 hence ?left = (inv \ ni-bij) \circ ((f \circ id) \circ g) \circ ni-bij by simp
  ultimately
 have ?left =
   (\mathit{inv}\ \mathit{ni-bij}) \mathrel{\circ} ((f \mathrel{\circ} (\mathit{ni-bij} \mathrel{\circ} (\mathit{inv}\ \mathit{ni-bij}))) \mathrel{\circ} g) \mathrel{\circ} \mathit{ni-bij} \\
      — a simple computation using only associativity
     — completes the proof
 thus ?left = ?right by (auto simp add: o-assoc)
qed
lemma id-CONJ: CONJ id = id
proof (unfold CONJ-def)
 from bij-int-decode have inj ni-bij using bij-def by auto
 hence inv \ ni-bij \circ ni-bij = id \ by \ auto
 thus (inv \ ni-bij \circ id) \circ ni-bij = id by auto
We now define the group we are interested in, and show the basic facts that
together will show this is a cofinitary group.
definition Ex2 :: (nat \Rightarrow nat) set
where
Ex2 = CONJ'Ex1
theorem mem-Ex2-rule: f \in Ex2 = (\exists g. (g \in Ex1 \land f = CONJ g))
 assume f \in Ex2
 hence f \in CONJ'Ex1 using Ex2-def by auto
 from this obtain g where g \in Ex1 \land f = CONJ g by blast
  thus \exists g. (g \in Ex1 \land f = CONJ g) by auto
next
 assume \exists g. (g \in Ex1 \land f = CONJ g)
  with Ex2-def show f \in Ex2 by auto
qed
theorem Ex2-cofinitary:
 assumes f-Ex2: f \in Ex2
 and f-nid: f \neq id
 shows Fix f = \{\}
proof -
 from f-Ex2 and mem-Ex2-rule
 obtain g where g-Ex1: g \in Ex1 and f-cg: f = CONJ g by auto
 with id-CONJ and f-nid have g \neq id by auto
  with g-Ex1 and no-fixed-pt[of g] have fg-empty: Fix g = \{\} by auto
  from conj-fix-pt[of ni-bij g] and bij-int-decode
  have (inv \ ni-bij) '(Fix \ g) = Fix(CONJ \ g) by auto
  with fg-empty have \{\} = Fix (CONJ g) by auto
```

```
with f-cg show Fix f = \{\} by auto
qed
lemma id-Ex2: id \in Ex2
proof -
 from \textit{Ex1-Normal-form-part2}[\textit{of } \theta] have id \in \textit{Ex1} by auto
  with id-CONJ and Ex2-def and mem-Ex2-rule show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma inv-Ex2: f \in Ex2 \implies (inv f) \in Ex2
proof -
 assume f \in Ex2
 with mem-Ex2-rule obtain g where g \in Ex1 and f = CONJ g by auto
 with closed-inv have inv q \in Ex1 by auto
 \mathbf{from} \ \langle f = \mathit{CONJ} \ g \rangle \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{if-iCg:} \ \mathit{inv} \ f = \mathit{inv} \ (\mathit{CONJ} \ g) \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
 from all-bij and \langle g \in Ex1 \rangle have bij g by auto
 with if-iCg and inv-CONJ have inv f = CONJ (inv g) by auto
 from \langle g \in Ex1 \rangle and closed-inv have inv g \in Ex1 by auto
  with \langle inv \ f = CONJ \ (inv \ g) \rangle and mem-Ex2-rule show inv \ f \in Ex2 by auto
qed
lemma comp-Ex2:
 assumes f-Ex2: f \in Ex2 and
  g-Ex2: g \in Ex2
 shows f \circ g \in Ex2
proof -
 from f-Ex2 obtain f-1
   where f-1-Ex1: f-1 \in Ex1 and f = CONJ f-1
   using mem-Ex2-rule by auto
 moreover
 from g-Ex2 obtain g-1
   where g-1-Ex1: g-1 \in Ex1 and g = CONJ g-1
   using mem-Ex2-rule by auto
  ultimately
 have f \circ g = (CONJ f-1) \circ (CONJ g-1) by auto
 hence f \circ g = CONJ \ (f-1 \circ g-1) \ \text{using } comp\text{-}CONJ \ \text{by } auto
 moreover
 have f-1 \circ g-1 \in Ex1 using closed-comp and f-1-Ex1 and g-1-Ex1 by auto
 ultimately
 show f \circ g \in Ex2 using mem-Ex2-rule by auto
qed
```

9 The Conclusion

With all that we have shown we have already clearly shown Ex2 to be a cofinitary group. The formalization also shows this, we just have to refer to

interpretation CofinitaryGroup Ex2

the correct theorems proved above.

```
proof
 show Ex2 \subseteq S-inf
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} f
   assume f \in Ex2
   with mem-Ex2-rule obtain g where g \in Ex1 and f = CONJ g by auto
   with type-CONJ show f \in S-inf by auto
  qed
next
 from id-Ex2 show id \in Ex2.
\mathbf{next}
 \mathbf{fix} f g
 assume f \in Ex2 \land g \in Ex2
  with comp-Ex2 show f \circ g \in Ex2 by auto
\mathbf{next}
 \mathbf{fix} f
 assume f \in Ex2
 with inv-Ex2 show inv f \in Ex2 by auto
next
 \mathbf{fix} f
 assume f \in Ex2 \land f \neq id
 with Ex2-cofinitary have Fix f = \{\} by auto
  thus finite (Fix f) using finite-def by auto
```

end

References

- [1] S. A. Adeleke. Embeddings of infinite permutation groups in sharp, highly transitive, and homogeneous groups. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2), 31(2):169–178, 1988.
- [2] J. Brendle, O. Spinas, and Y. Zhang. Uniformity of the meager ideal and maximal cofinitary groups. J. Algebra, 232(1):209–225, 2000.
- [3] P. J. Cameron. Cofinitary permutation groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 28(2):113–140, 1996.
- [4] S. Gao and Y. Zhang. Definable sets of generators in maximal cofinitary groups. *Adv. Math.*, 217(2):814–832, 2008.
- [5] M. Hrušák, J. Steprans, and Y. Zhang. Cofinitary groups, almost disjoint and dominating families. J. Symbolic Logic, 66(3):1259–1276, 2001.

- [6] B. Kastermans. Isomorphism types of maximal cofinitary groups. to appear in the Bulletin of Symbolic Logic.
- [7] B. Kastermans. Questions on cofinitary groups. in preparation.
- [8] B. Kastermans. The complexity of maximal cofinitary groups. *Proceeding American Mathematical Society*, 137(1):307–316, 2009.
- [9] B. Kastermans and Y. Zhang. Cardinal invariants related to permutation groups. *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, 143:139–146i, 2006.
- [10] S. Koppelberg. Groups of permutations with few fixed points. *Algebra Universalis*, 17(1):50–64, 1983.
- [11] L. C. Paulson and K. Grabczewski. Mechanizing set theory. Cardinal arithmetic and the axiom of choice. J. Automat. Reason., 17(3):291–323, 1996.
- [12] J. K. Truss. Embeddings of infinite permutation groups. In *Proceedings* of groups—St. Andrews 1985, volume 121 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 335–351, Cambridge, 1986. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [13] J. K. Truss. Joint embeddings of infinite permutation groups. In Advances in algebra and model theory (Essen, 1994; Dresden, 1995), volume 9 of Algebra Logic Appl., pages 121–134. Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1997.
- [14] Y. Zhang. Constructing a maximal cofinitary group. *Lobachevskii J. Math.*, 12:73–81 (electronic), 2003.