CoSMed: A confidentiality-verified social media platform

Thomas Bauereiss Andrei Popescu

March 19, 2025

Abstract

This entry contains the confidentiality verification of the (functional kernel of) the CoSMed social media platform. The confidentiality properties are formalized as instances of BD Security [4, 5]. An innovation in the deployment of BD Security compared to previous work is the use of dynamic declassification triggers, incorporated as part of inductive bounds, for providing stronger guarantees that account for the repeated opening and closing of access windows. To further strengthen the confidentiality guarantees, we also prove "traceback" properties about the accessibility decisions affecting the information managed by the system.

Contents

Intr	Introduction			
Preliminaries				
2.1	The ba	asic types	3	
2.2			5	
Sys	stem specification			
3.1	The st	tate	6	
3.2	The a	ctions	6	
	3.2.1	Initialization of the system	6	
	3.2.2	Starting action	7	
	3.2.3	Creation actions	7	
	3.2.4	Updating actions	9	
	3.2.5	Deletion (removal) actions	10	
	3.2.6	Reading actions	10	
	3.2.7	Listing actions	12	
3.3	The st	The step function		
3.4	Code	generation	18	
	Pre 2.1 2.2 Sys 3.1 3.2	Prelimina 2.1 The b 2.2 Identif System sp 3.1 The st 3.2 The ad 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4 3.2.5 3.2.6 3.2.7 3.3 The st	Preliminaries 2.1 The basic types 2.2 Identifiers System specification 3.1 The state 3.2 The actions 3.2.1 Initialization of the system 3.2.2 Starting action 3.2.3 Creation actions 3.2.4 Updating actions 3.2.5 Deletion (removal) actions 3.2.6 Reading actions 3.2.7 Listing actions	

4	Safety properties				
5	The observation setup Post confidentiality				
6					
	6.1 Preliminaries	22			
	6.2 Value Setup	25			
	6.3 Declassification bound	27			
	6.4 Unwinding proof	28			
7	7 Friendship status confidentiality				
	7.1 Preliminaries	31			
	7.2 Value Setup	35			
	7.3 Declassification bound	39			
	7.4 Unwinding proof	40			
8	Friendship request confidentiality				
	8.1 Preliminaries	43			
	8.2 Value Setup	47			
	8.3 Declassification bound	53			
	8.4 Unwinding proof	55			
9	Traceback Properties	59			
	9.1 Tracing Back Post Visibility Status	59			
		63			

1 Introduction

CoSMed [1, 2] is a minimalistic social media platform where users can register, create posts and establish friendship relationships. This document presents the formulation and proof of confidentiality properties about posts, friendship relationships, and friendship requests.

After this introduction and a section on technical preliminaries, this document presents the specification of the CoSMed system, as an input/output (I/O) automaton. Next is a section on proved safety properties about the system (invariants) that are needed in the proofs of confidentiality.

The confidentiality properties of CoSMed are expressed as instances of BD Security [4], a general confidentiality verification framework that has been formalized in the AFP entry [5]. They cover confidentiality aspects about:

- posts
- friendship status (whether or not two users are friends)

• friendship request status (whether or not a user has submitted a friendship request to another user)

Each of these types of confidentiality properties have dedicated sections (and corresponding folders in the formalization) with self-explanatory names. BD Security is defined in terms of an observation infrastructure, a secrecy infrastructure, a declassification trigger and a declassification bound. The observations are always given by an arbitrary set of users (which is fixed in the "Observation Setup" section). In each case, the declassification trigger is vacuously false, since we use dynamic triggers which are made part of the inductive definition of bounds. [1, Section 3.3] explains dynamic triggers in detail. The secrets (called "values" in this formalization) and the declassification bounds (which relate indistinguishable secrets) are specific to each property.

The proofs proceed using the method of BD Security unwinding, which is part of the AFP entry on BD Security [5] and is described in detail in [6, Section 4.1] and [4, Section 2.6]. For managing proof complexity, we take a modular approach, building several unwinding relations that are connected in a sequence and also have an exit point into error components. This approach is presented in [6] as Corollary 6 (Sequential Unwinding Theorem) and in [4] as Theorem 4 (Sequential Multiplex Unwinding Theorem).

The last section formalizes what we call *traceback properties*.¹ These are natural "supplements" that strengthen the confidentiality guarantees. Indeed, confidentiality (in its BD security formulation) states: Unless a user acquires such role or a document becomes public, that user cannot learn such information. But can a user not forge the acquisition of that role or maliciously determine the publication of the document? Traceback properties show that this is not possible, except by identity theft. [1, Section 5.2] explains traceback properties (called there "accountability properties") in detail.

2 Preliminaries

```
theory Prelim
```

```
imports
Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning
Fresh-Identifiers.Fresh-String
begin
```

2.1 The basic types

definition emptyStr = STR """

¹In previous work, we called these types of properties *accountability properties* [1, 2] or *forensic properties* [3]. The *traceback properties* terminology is used in [6].

datatype name = Nam String.literaldefinition $emptyName \equiv Nam emptyStr$ datatype inform = Info String.literaldefinition $emptyInfo \equiv Info emptyStr$

datatype user = Usr (nameUser : name) (infoUser : inform) **definition** $emptyUser \equiv Usr$ emptyName emptyInfo**fun** niUser **where** niUser (Usr name info) = (name,info)

typedecl raw-data code-printing type-constructor raw-data \rightarrow (Scala) java.io.File

datatype img = emptyImg | Imag raw-data

datatype vis = Vsb String.literal

abbreviation $FriendV \equiv Vsb$ (STR "friend") **abbreviation** $PublicV \equiv Vsb$ (STR "public") **fun** stringOfVis **where** stringOfVis (Vsb str) = str

datatype title = Tit String.literaldefinition $emptyTitle \equiv Tit emptyStr$ datatype text = Txt String.literaldefinition $emptyText \equiv Txt emptyStr$

datatype post = Ntc (titlePost : title) (textPost : text) (imgPost : img)

fun set TitlePost where set TitlePost (Ntc title text img) title' = Ntc title' text img **fun** set TextPost where set TextPost(Ntc title text img) text' = Ntc title text' img **fun** setImgPost where setImgPost (Ntc title text img) img' = Ntc title text img'

definition emptyPost :: post where $emptyPost \equiv Ntc \ emptyTitle \ emptyText \ emptyImg$

lemma set-get-post[simp]: titlePost (setTitlePost ntc title) = title titlePost (setTextPost ntc text) = titlePost ntc titlePost (setImgPost ntc img) = titlePost ntc

textPost (setTitlePost ntc title) = textPost ntc textPost (setTextPost ntc text) = text textPost (setImgPost ntc img) = textPost ntc

 $\begin{array}{l} imgPost \; (setTitlePost \; ntc \; title) = \; imgPost \; ntc \\ imgPost \; (setTextPost \; ntc \; text) = \; imgPost \; ntc \\ imgPost \; (setImgPost \; ntc \; img) = \; img \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

datatype password = Psw String.literaldefinition $emptyPass \equiv Psw emptyStr$

datatype req = ReqInfo String.literal**definition** $emptyReq \equiv ReqInfo emptyStr$

2.2 Identifiers

datatype userID = Uid String.literal datatype postID = Nid String.literal

definition $emptyUserID \equiv Uid emptyStr$ **definition** $emptyPostID \equiv Nid emptyStr$

fun userIDAsStr **where** userIDAsStr (Uid str) = str

definition getFreshUserID userIDs \equiv Uid (fresh (set (map userIDAsStr userIDs)) (STR ''2''))

lemma UserID-userIDAsStr[simp]: Uid (userIDAsStr userID) = userID $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma member-userIDAsStr-iff[simp]: $str \in userIDAsStr$ ' (set userIDs) \longleftrightarrow Uid $str \in \in userIDs$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma getFreshUserID: \neg getFreshUserID userIDs $\in \in$ userIDs $\langle proof \rangle$

fun postIDAsStr **where** postIDAsStr (Nid str) = str

definition getFreshPostID postIDs \equiv Nid (fresh (set (map postIDAsStr postIDs)) (STR ''3''))

lemma PostID-postIDAsStr[simp]: Nid (postIDAsStr postID) = postID $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma member-postIDAsStr-iff[simp]: $str \in postIDAsStr$ '(set postIDs) \leftrightarrow Nid

```
\begin{array}{l} str \ \in \in \ postIDs \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

lemma getFreshPostID: \neg getFreshPostID postIDs $\in \in$ postIDs $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

3 System specification

theory System-Specification imports Prelim begin

declare List.insert[simp]

3.1 The state

record state =
 admin :: userID

 $\begin{array}{l} pendingUReqs:: userID \ list\\ userReq:: userID \Rightarrow req\\ userIDs:: userID \ list\\ user:: userID \Rightarrow user\\ pass:: userID \Rightarrow password \end{array}$

 $pendingFReqs :: userID \Rightarrow userID \ list$ $friendReq :: userID \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow req$ $friendIDs :: userID \Rightarrow userID \ list$

definition $IDsOK :: state \Rightarrow userID \ list \Rightarrow postID \ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where** $IDsOK \ s \ uIDs \ pIDs \equiv$ $list-all \ (\lambda \ uID. \ uID \ \in \in \ userIDs \ s) \ uIDs \ \land$ $list-all \ (\lambda \ pID. \ pID \ \in \in \ postIDs \ s) \ pIDs$

3.2 The actions

3.2.1 Initialization of the system

definition istate :: state

where istate = (] admin = emptyUserID, pendingUReqs = [], userReq = (λ uID. emptyReq), userIDs = [], user = (λ uID. emptyUser), pass = (λ uID. emptyPass), pendingFReqs = (λ uID. []), friendReq = (λ uID uID'. emptyReq), friendIDs = (λ uID. []),

postIDs = [], $post = (\lambda \ papID. \ emptyPost),$ $owner = (\lambda \ pID. \ emptyUserID),$ $vis = (\lambda \ pID. \ FriendV)$

3.2.2 Starting action

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{definition } startSys :: \\ state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow state \\ \textbf{where} \\ startSys \; s \; uID \; p \equiv \\ s \; (|admin := uID, \\ userIDs := [uID], \\ user := (user \; s) \; (uID := emptyUser), \\ pass := (pass \; s) \; (uID := p)) \end{array}$

definition *e*-startSys :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow bool where *e*-startSys *s* uID $p \equiv$ userIDs s = []

3.2.3 Creation actions

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } createNUReq :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow req \Rightarrow state \\ \textbf{where} \\ createNUReq $ s$ $ uID $ reqInfo $ \equiv $ s$ (pendingUReqs := $ pendingUReqs $ s$ @ [uID], \\ userReq := $ (userReq $ s)(uID := $ reqInfo) $ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } e\text{-}createNUReq :: $ state $ \Rightarrow $ userID $ \Rightarrow $ req $ \Rightarrow $ bool $ \end{array}$

where e-createNUReq s uID $req \equiv$ $admin \ s \in e$ userIDs $s \land \neg uID \in e$ userIDs $s \land \neg uID \in e$ pendingUReqs s

where

 $\begin{array}{l} createUser \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \ p' \equiv \\ s \ (userIDs := uID' \ \# \ (userIDs \ s), \\ user := \ (user \ s) \ (uID' := \ emptyUser), \\ pass := \ (pass \ s) \ (uID' := \ p'), \\ pendingUReqs := \ remove1 \ uID' \ (pendingUReqs \ s), \\ userReq := \ (userReq \ s)(uID := \ emptyReq) \end{array}$

definition *e-createUser* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

e-createUser s uID p uID' p' \equiv IDsOK s [uID] [] \land pass s uID = p \land uID = admin s \land uID' $\in \in$ pendingUReqs s

definition createPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow title \Rightarrow state where

 $\begin{array}{l} createPost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \ title \equiv \\ s \ (postIDs \ := \ pID \ \# \ postIDs \ s, \\ post \ := \ (post \ s) \ (pID \ := \ Ntc \ title \ emptyText \ emptyImg), \\ owner \ := \ (owner \ s) \ (pID \ := \ uID) | \end{array}$

definition *e-createPost* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *postID* \Rightarrow *title* \Rightarrow *bool* where

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-createPost } s \ uID \ p \ pID \ title \equiv \\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land \\ \neg \ pID \ \in \in \ postIDs \ s \end{array}$

definition createFriendReq :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow req \Rightarrow state **where** createFriendReq s uID p uID' req \equiv let pfr = pendingFReqs s in s (pendingFReqs := pfr (uID' := pfr uID' @ [uID]), friendReq := fun-upd2 (friendReq s) uID uID' req)

definition *e-createFriendReq* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *req* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *e-createFriendReq s uID p uID' req* \equiv *IDsOK s* [*uID*,*uID'*] [] \land *pass s uID* = *p* \land $\neg uID \in \in pendingFReqs \ s \ uID' \land \neg uID \in \in friendIDs \ s \ uID'$

definition createFriend :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow state where

createFriend s uID p uID' \equiv

let $fr = friendIDs \ s; \ pfr = pendingFReqs \ s \ in$

s (friendIDs := fr (uID := fr uID @ [uID'], uID' := fr uID' @ [uID]),

pendingFReqs := pfr (uID := remove1 uID' (pfr uID), uID' := remove1 uID (pfr uID')),

friendReq := fun-upd2 (fun-upd2 (friendReq s) uID' uID emptyReq) uID uID' emptyReq)

definition *e-createFriend* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-createFriend s uID p uID' \equiv$}\\ IDsOK s [uID,uID'] [] \land pass s uID = p \land}\\ uID' \in \in pendingFReqs s uID \\ \end{array}$

3.2.4 Updating actions

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } updateUser :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow name \Rightarrow inform \Rightarrow state \\ \textbf{where} \\ updateUser s ~ uID ~ p ~ p' ~ name ~ info \equiv \\ s ~ (user ~ s) ~ (uID := Usr ~ name ~ info), \\ pass := (pass ~ s) ~ (uID := p')) \\ \textbf{definition } e - updateUser :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow name \Rightarrow inform \Rightarrow bool \end{array}$

where

e-updateUser s uID p p' name info \equiv IDsOK s [uID] [] \land pass s uID = p

definition $updatePost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow post \Rightarrow state$ where

 $updatePost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \ pst \equiv s \ (post := (post \ s) \ (pID := pst))$

definition *e-updatePost* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *postID* \Rightarrow *post* \Rightarrow *bool* where

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-updatePost s uID p pID $pst \equiv}\\ IDsOK s [uID] [pID] \land pass s uID $= p \land}\\ owner s pID $= uID \end{array}$

definition $updateVisPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow vis \Rightarrow state$ where $updateVisPost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \ vs \equiv s \ (vis \ := \ (vis \ s) \ (pID \ := \ vs))$

definition *e-updateVisPost* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *postID* \Rightarrow *vis* \Rightarrow *bool* where

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-updateVisPost s uID } p \text{ ID } vs \equiv\\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [pID] \land pass \ s \ uID = p \land\\ owner \ s \ pID = uID \land vs \in \{FriendV, \ PublicV\} \end{array}$

3.2.5 Deletion (removal) actions

definition deleteFriend :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow state **where** deleteFriend s uID p uID' \equiv let fr = friendIDs s in s (friendIDs := fr (uID := removeAll uID' (fr uID), uID' := removeAll uID (fr uID')))

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ e\text{-}deleteFriend :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow bool\\ \textbf{where}\\ e\text{-}deleteFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \equiv\\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID,uID'] \ [] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land \end{array}$

$uID' \in \in friendIDs \ s \ uID$

3.2.6 Reading actions

definition readNUReq :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow req where readNUReq s uID p uID' \equiv userReq s uID'

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ e\text{-readNUReq} :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow bool\\ \textbf{where}\\ e\text{-readNUReq} \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \equiv\\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land\\ uID = admin \ s \ \land \ uID' \in \in \ pendingUReqs \ s \end{array}$

definition readUser :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow name \times inform where readUser s uID p uID' \equiv niUser (user s uID')

definition *e-readUser* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *e-readUser s uID p uID'* \equiv *IDsOK s* [*uID*,*uID'*] [] \land *pass s uID* = *p*

definition readAmIAdmin :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow bool where readAmIAdmin s uID $p \equiv$ uID = admin s **definition** e-readAmIAdmin :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow bool where e-readAmIAdmin s uID $p \equiv$ IDsOK s [uID] [] \land pass s uID = p

definition readPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow post where readPost s uID p pID \equiv post s pID

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ e\text{-readPost} :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow bool\\ \textbf{where}\\ e\text{-readPost} \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \equiv\\ let \ post = post \ s \ pID \ in\\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [pID] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land\\ (owner \ s \ pID = uID \lor uID \in \in \ friendIDs \ s \ (owner \ s \ pID) \lor vis \ s \ pID = PublicV) \end{array}$

definition readVisPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow vis where readVisPost s uID p pID \equiv vis s pID

definition *e-readVisPost* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *postID* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *e-readVisPost s uID p pID* \equiv

 $\begin{array}{l} let \ post = \ post \ s \ pID \ in \\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [pID] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land \\ (owner \ s \ pID = \ uID \ \lor \ uID \in \in \ friendIDs \ s \ (owner \ s \ pID) \ \lor \ vis \ s \ pID = PublicV) \end{array}$

definition readOwnerPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow userID where

 $readOwnerPost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \equiv owner \ s \ pID$

definition e-readOwnerPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow bool **where** e-readOwnerPost s uID p pID \equiv let post = post s pID in IDsOK s [uID] [pID] \land pass s uID = p \land (owner s pID = uID \lor uID $\in \in$ friendIDs s (owner s pID) \lor vis s pID = PublicV)

definition readFriendReqToMe :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow req where readFriendReqToMe s uID p uID' \equiv friendReq s uID' uID

definition *e-readFriendReqToMe* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *bool* where

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-readFriendReqToMe s uID } p \text{ uID'} \equiv \\ IDsOK \text{ s } [uID, uID'] \ [] \land pass \text{ s } uID = p \land \\ uID' \in \in pendingFReqs \text{ s } uID \end{array}$

definition readFriendReqFromMe :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow req where

 $readFriendReqFromMe\ s\ uID\ p\ uID'\equiv friendReq\ s\ uID\ uID'$

where

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-readFriendReqFromMe s uID } p \text{ uID'} \equiv \\ IDsOK \text{ s } [uID, uID'] \ [] \land pass \text{ s } uID = p \land \\ uID \in \in \text{ pendingFReqs s } uID' \end{array}$

3.2.7 Listing actions

definition *listPendingUReqs* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *userID list* **where** *listPendingUReqs s uID p* \equiv *pendingUReqs s*

definition *e-listPendingUReqs* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *e-listPendingUReqs s uID* $p \equiv$ *IDsOK s [uID]* $|| \land$ *pass s uID* $= p \land uID = admin s$

definition *listAllUsers* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *userID list* **where** *listAllUsers s uID p* \equiv *userIDs s*

definition *e-listAllUsers* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *e-listAllUsers s uID* $p \equiv IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \land pass \ s \ uID = p$

definition *listFriends* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *userID list* **where** *listFriends s uID p uID'* \equiv *friendIDs s uID'*

definition *e-listFriends* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *e-listFriends s uID p uID'* \equiv *IDsOK s* [*uID*,*uID'*] [] \land *pass s uID* = *p* \land (*uID* = *uID'* \lor *uID* $\in \in$ *friendIDs s uID'*) $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ listPosts :: \ state \Rightarrow \ userID \Rightarrow \ password \Rightarrow (userID \times \ postID) \ list\\ \textbf{where}\\ listPosts \ s \ uID \ p \equiv\\ [(owner \ s \ pID, \ pID).\\ pID \leftarrow \ postIDs \ s,\\ vis \ s \ pID = \ PublicV \ \lor \ uID \in \in \ friendIDs \ s \ (owner \ s \ pID) \ \lor \ uID = \ owner \ s \ pID\\] \end{array}$

definition *e-listPosts* :: *state* \Rightarrow *userID* \Rightarrow *password* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *e-listPosts s uID* $p \equiv IDsOK$ *s* $[uID] [] \land pass$ *s* uID = p

3.3 The step function

```
datatype out =
```

```
outOK \mid outErr \mid
```

outBool bool| outNI name × inform | outPost post |
outImg img | outVis vis | outReq req |

outUID userID | outUIDL userID list | outUIDNIDL (userID × postID)list

datatype sActt = sSys userID password

lemmas s-defs = e-startSys-def startSys-def

fun $sStep :: state \Rightarrow sActt \Rightarrow out * state where$ $<math>sStep \ s \ (sSys \ uID \ p) =$ $(if \ e\text{-startSys } s \ uID \ p)$ $then \ (outOK, \ startSys \ s \ uID \ p)$ $else \ (outErr, \ s))$

fun $sUserOfA :: sActt \Rightarrow userID$ where sUserOfA (sSys uID p) = uID

datatype cActt = cNUReq userID req |cUser userID password userID password |cFriendReq userID password userID req |cFriend userID password userID cPost userID password postID title

```
lemmas c-defs =
e-createNUReq-def createNUReq-def
e-createUser-def createUser-def
e-createFriendReq-def createFriendReq-def
e\text{-}createFriend\text{-}def createFriend-def
e-createPost-def createPost-def
fun cStep :: state \Rightarrow cActt \Rightarrow out * state where
cStep \ s \ (cNUReq \ uID \ req) =
(if e-createNUReq s uID req
   then (outOK, createNUReq s uID req)
   else (outErr, s))
cStep \ s \ (cUser \ uID \ p \ uID' \ p') =
(if e-createUser \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \ p'
   then (outOK, createUser s uID p uID' p')
   else (outErr, s))
cStep \ s \ (cFriendReq \ uID \ p \ uID' \ req) =
(if e-createFriendReq s uID p uID' req
   then (outOK, createFriendReq s uID p uID' req)
   else (outErr, s))
cStep \ s \ (cFriend \ uID \ p \ uID') =
(if e-createFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ uID'
   then (outOK, createFriend s uID p uID')
   else (outErr, s))
cStep \ s \ (cPost \ uID \ p \ pID \ title) =
(if e-createPost s uID p pID title
   then (outOK, createPost s uID p pID title)
   else (outErr, s))
fun cUserOfA :: cActt \Rightarrow userID option where
cUserOfA (cNUReq \ uID \ req) = Some uID
|cUserOfA|(cUser uID p uID' p') = Some uID
|cUserOfA| (cFriendReq uID p uID' req) = Some uID
|cUserOfA| (cFriend uID p uID') = Some uID
```

|cUserOfA (cPost uID p pID title) = Some uID

```
datatype dActt =
    dFriend userID password userID
```

lemmas d-defs = e-deleteFriend-def deleteFriend-def

fun $dStep :: state \Rightarrow dActt \Rightarrow out * state where$ $<math>dStep \ s \ (dFriend \ uID \ p \ uID') =$ $(if \ e\text{-}deleteFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ uID')$ $then \ (outOK, \ deleteFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ uID')$ $else \ (outErr, \ s))$

fun $dUserOfA :: dActt \Rightarrow userID$ where dUserOfA (dFriend uID p uID') = uID

datatype uActt = uUser userID password password name inform |uPost userID password postID post |uVisPost userID password postID vis

lemmas u-defs = e-updateUser-def updateUser-def e-updatePost-def updatePost-def e-updateVisPost-def updateVisPost-def

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun } uStep :: state \Rightarrow uActt \Rightarrow out * state \textbf{ where} \\ uStep \; s \; (uUser \; uID \; p \; p' \; name \; info) = \\ (if \; e\text{-updateUser} \; s \; uID \; p \; p' \; name \; info \\ \; then \; (outOK, \; updateUser \; s \; uID \; p \; p' \; name \; info) \\ \; else \; (outErr, \; s)) \end{array}$

uStep s (uPost uID p pID pst) = (if e-updatePost s uID p pID pst then (outOK, updatePost s uID p pID pst) else (outErr, s))

uStep s (uVisPost uID p pID visStr) = (if e-updateVisPost s uID p pID visStr then (outOK, updateVisPost s uID p pID visStr) else (outErr, s))

fun $uUserOfA :: uActt \Rightarrow userID$ where uUserOfA (uUser uID p p' name info) = uID |uUserOfA (uPost uID p pID pst) = uID|uUserOfA (uVisPost uID p pID visStr) = uID

datatype rActt = rNUReq userID password userID |rUser userID password userID |rAmIAdmin userID password |rPost userID password postID |rVisPost userID password postID |rOwnerPost userID password postID |rFriendReqToMe userID password userID |rFriendReqFromMe userID password userID

fun $rObs :: state \Rightarrow rActt \Rightarrow out$ where $rObs \ s \ (rNUReq \ uID \ p \ uID') =$ (if e-readNUReq s uID p uID' then outReq (readNUReq s uID p uID') else outErr) $rObs \ s \ (rUser \ uID \ p \ uID') =$ (if e-readUser s uID p uID' then outNI (readUser s uID p uID') else outErr) $rObs \ s \ (rAmIAdmin \ uID \ p) =$ (if e-readAmIAdmin s uID p then outBool (readAmIAdmin s uID p) else outErr) $rObs \ s \ (rPost \ uID \ p \ pID) =$ (if e-readPost s uID p pID then outPost (readPost s uID p pID) else outErr) $rObs \ s \ (rVisPost \ uID \ p \ pID) =$ (if e-readVisPost s uID p pID then outVis (readVisPost s uID p pID) else outErr) $rObs \ s \ (rOwnerPost \ uID \ p \ pID) =$ (if e-readOwnerPost s uID p pID then outUID (readOwnerPost s uID p pID) else outErr) $rObs \ s \ (rFriendReqToMe \ uID \ p \ uID') =$ (if e-readFriendReqToMe s uID p uID' then outReq (readFriendReqToMe s uID p uID') else outErr) $rObs \ s \ (rFriendReqFromMe \ uID \ p \ uID') =$ $(if e-readFriendReqFromMe \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \ then \ outReq \ (readFriendReqFromMe \ s$ *uID p uID'*) *else outErr*)

fun $rUserOfA :: rActt \Rightarrow userID option$ **where** <math>rUserOfA ($rNUReq \ uID \ p \ uID'$) = Some uID $|rUserOfA \ (rUser \ uID \ p \ uID')$ = Some uID |rUserOfA (rAmIAdmin uID p) = Some uID |rUserOfA (rPost uID p pID) = Some uID |rUserOfA (rVisPost uID p pID) = Some uID |rUserOfA (rOwnerPost uID p pID) = Some uID |rUserOfA (rFriendReqToMe uID p uID') = Some uID |rUserOfA (rFriendReqFromMe uID p uID') = Some uID

datatype lActt = lPendingUReqs userID password

|lAllUsers userID password |lFriends userID password userID |lPosts userID password

lemmas l-defs =

listPendingUReqs-def e-listPendingUReqs-def listAllUsers-def e-listAllUsers-def listFriends-def e-listFriends-def listPosts-def e-listPosts-def

fun $lObs :: state \Rightarrow lActt \Rightarrow out$ **where** $<math>lObs \ s \ (lPendingUReqs \ uID \ p) =$ $(if \ e-listPendingUReqs \ s \ uID \ p \ then \ outUIDL \ (listPendingUReqs \ s \ uID \ p) \ else$ outErr) l $<math>lObs \ s \ (lAllUsers \ uID \ p) =$ $(if \ e-listAllUsers \ s \ uID \ p \ uID') =$ $(if \ e-listFriends \ uID \ p \ uID') =$ $(if \ e-listFriends \ s \ uID \ p \ uID') \ else \ outErr)$ l $<math>lObs \ s \ (lPosts \ uID \ p) =$ $(if \ e-listPosts \ s \ uID \ p) \ else \ outErr)$

fun $lUserOfA :: lActt \Rightarrow userID option$ **where** <math>lUserOfA ($lPendingUReqs \ uID \ p$) = Some uID $|lUserOfA \ (lAllUsers \ uID \ p)$ = Some uID $|lUserOfA \ (lFriends \ uID \ p \ uID')$ = Some uID $|lUserOfA \ (lPosts \ uID \ p)$ = Some uID

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{datatype} \ act = \\ Sact \ sActt \ | \end{array}$

Cact cActt | Dact dActt | Uact uActt |

 $Ract\ rActt\ |\ Lact\ lActt$

fun step :: state \Rightarrow act \Rightarrow out * state where step s (Sact sa) = sStep s sa | step s (Cact ca) = cStep s ca | step s (Dact da) = dStep s da | step s (Uact ua) = uStep s ua | step s (Lact la) = (rObs s ra, s) | step s (Lact la) = (lObs s la, s) fun userOfA :: act \Rightarrow userID option where userOfA (Sact sa) = Some (sUserOfA sa) | userOfA (Cact ca) = cUserOfA ca | userOfA (Dact da) = Some (dUserOfA da) | userOfA (Ract ra) = rUserOfA ra | userOfA (Lact la) = lUserOfA la

3.4 Code generation

export-code step istate getFreshPostID in Scala

end theory Automation-Setup imports System-Specification begin

lemma add-prop: assumes PROP(T)shows A ==> PROP(T) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas exhaust-elim =

```
sActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Sact x], rotated -1]
cActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Cact x], rotated -1]
uActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Uact x], rotated -1]
rActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Ract x], rotated -1]
lActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Lact x], rotated -1]
for x a
```

```
lemma state-cong:

fixes s::state

assumes

pendingUReqs s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \land userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \land userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \land

postIDs s = postIDs \ s1 \land admin \ s = admin \ s1 \land

user s = user \ s1 \land pass \ s = pass \ s1 \land pendingFReqs \ s = pendingFReqs \ s1 \land

friendReq s = friendReq \ s1 \land friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1 \land

post s = post \ s1 \land

owner s = owner \ s1 \land

vis s = vis \ s1

shows s = s1

\langle proof \rangle
```

 \mathbf{end}

4 Safety properties

theory Safety-Properties imports Automation-Setup Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning begin

interpretation IO-Automaton where istate = istate and $step = step \langle proof \rangle$

declare *if-splits*[*split*] declare *IDsOK-def*[*simp*]

lemmas eff-defs = s-defs c-defs d-defs u-defs
lemmas obs-defs = r-defs l-defs
lemmas all-defs = eff-defs obs-defs
lemmas step-elims = step.elims sStep.elims cStep.elims dStep.elims uStep.elims

declare sstep-Cons[simp]

lemma Lact-Ract-noStateChange[simp]: **assumes** $a \in Lact$ ' UNIV \cup Ract ' UNIV **shows** snd (step s a) = s $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** Lact-Ract-noStateChange-set: **assumes** set $al \subseteq Lact$ ' $UNIV \cup Ract$ ' UNIV **shows** snd (sstep s al) = s $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma reach-postIDs-persist: $pID \in e postIDs \ s \implies step \ s \ a = (ou,s') \implies pID \in e postIDs \ s' \ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma reach-visPost: reach $s \Longrightarrow$ vis $s \ pID \in \{FriendV, \ PublicV\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma reach-owner-userIDs: reach s \implies pID \in \in postIDs \ s \implies owner \ s \ pID \in \in userIDs \ s \ (proof)
```

```
lemma reach-friendIDs-symmetric:
reach s \implies uID1 \in \in friendIDs \ s \ uID2 \iff uID2 \in \in friendIDs \ s \ uID1 \ \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma reach-not-postIDs-vis-FriendV: **assumes** reach $s \neg pid \in postIDs \ s$ **shows** vis $s \ pid = FriendV$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma reach-distinct-friends-reqs:

assumes reach s

shows distinct (friendIDs s uid) and distinct (pendingFReqs s uid)

and uid' \in \in pendingFReqs s uid \Longrightarrow uid' \notin set (friendIDs s uid)

and uid' \in \in pendingFReqs s uid \Longrightarrow uid \notin set (friendIDs s uid')

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma remove1-in-set: $x \in \in$ remove1 $y xs \implies x \in xs$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma reach-IDs-used-IDsOK[rule-format]: **assumes** reach s **shows** uid $\in \in$ pendingFReqs s uid' \longrightarrow IDsOK s [uid, uid'] [] (is ?p) **and** uid $\in \in$ friendIDs s uid' \longrightarrow IDsOK s [uid, uid'] [] (is ?f) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IDs-mono*[*rule-format*]: **assumes** *step s a* = (*ou*, *s'*) **shows** *uid* $\in \in$ *userIDs s* \longrightarrow *uid* $\in \in$ *userIDs s'* (**is** *?u*) **and** *pid* $\in \in$ *postIDs s* \longrightarrow *pid* $\in \in$ *postIDs s'* (**is** *?n*) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma IDsOK-mono:

assumes step s a = (ou, s')and IDsOK s uIDs pIDs shows IDsOK s' uIDs pIDs $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory Observation-Setup imports Safety-Properties begin

5 The observation setup

The observers are a arbitrary but fixed set of users:

consts UIDs :: userID set

type-synonym obs = act * out

The observations are all their actions:

fun γ :: (state,act,out) trans \Rightarrow bool where γ (Trans - a - -) = (userOfA $a \in Some$ 'UIDs)

fun $g :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ where g (Trans - a ou -) = (a, ou)

end theory Post-Intro imports ../Safety-Properties ../Observation-Setup begin

6 Post confidentiality

We prove the following property:

Given a group of users UIDs and a post PID,

that group cannot learn anything about the different versions of the post PID (the initial created version and the later ones obtained by updating the post)

beyond the updates performed while or last before one of the following holds:

- either a user in UIDs is the post's owner, a friend of the owner, or the admin
- or *UIDs* has at least one registered user and the post is marked as "public".

 \mathbf{end}

theory Post-Value-Setup imports Post-Intro begin

The ID of the confidential post:

 $\mathbf{consts} \ \mathit{PID} :: \mathit{postID}$

6.1 Preliminaries

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{definition} \ eeqButPID \ \textbf{where} \\ eeqButPID \ ntcs \ ntcs1 \equiv \\ \forall \ pid. \ pid \neq PID \longrightarrow \ ntcs \ pid = \ ntcs1 \ pid \end{array}$

lemmas *eeqButPID-intro* = *eeqButPID-def*[*THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq*, *THEN iffD2*]

lemma eeqButPID-eeq[simp,intro!]: eeqButPID ntcs ntcs $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eeqButPID-sym: assumes eeqButPID ntcs ntcs1 shows eeqButPID ntcs1 ntcs $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eeqButPID-trans:
assumes eeqButPID ntcs ntcs1 and eeqButPID ntcs1 ntcs2 shows eeqButPID
ntcs ntcs2
(proof)

lemma eeqButPID-cong: **assumes** eeqButPID ntcs ntcs1 and $PID = PID \implies eqButT uu uu1$ and $pid \neq PID \implies uu = uu1$ **shows** eeqButPID (ntcs (pid := uu)) (ntcs1(pid := uu1)) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eeqButPID-not-PID: $\llbracket eeqButPID$ ntcs ntcs1; pid \neq PID $\rrbracket \implies$ ntcs pid = ntcs1 pid $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** eeqButPID-toEq: **assumes** eeqButPID ntcs ntcs1 **shows** ntcs (PID := pst) = ntcs1 (PID := pst) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eeqButPID-update-post: **assumes** eeqButPID ntcs ntcs1 **shows** eeqButPID (ntcs (pid := ntc)) (ntcs1 (pid := ntc)) $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $eqButPID :: state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ where $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \equiv$ $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \ \land userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = pass \ s1 \ \land$

pendingFReqs $s = pendingFReqs \ s1 \land friendReq \ s = friendReq \ s1 \land friendIDs \ s$ = friendIDs $s1 \land$

 $\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \land \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \land \\ eeqButPID \; (post \; s) \; (post \; s1) \; \land \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \land \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \end{array}$

lemmas eqButPID-intro = eqButPID-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

lemma eqButPID-refl[simp,intro!]: $eqButPID \ s \ s \ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButPID-sym: assumes $eqButPID \ s \ s1$ shows $eqButPID \ s1 \ s$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButPID-trans: assumes $eqButPID \ s \ s1$ and $eqButPID \ s1 \ s2$ shows $eqButPID \ s \ s2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButPID-stateSelectors: $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies$ $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \ \land userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = pass \ s1 \ \land$

pendingFReqs s = pendingFReqs s1 \land friendReq s = friendReq s1 \land friendIDs s = friendIDs s1 \land

 $\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \wedge \\ eeqButPID \; (post \; s) \; (post \; s1) \; \wedge \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \wedge \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \; \wedge \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} IDsOK \; s = \; IDsOK \; s1 \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

lemma eqButPID-not-PID: $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies pid \neq PID \implies post \ s \ pid = post \ s1 \ pid \ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButPID-actions: **assumes** eqButPID s s1 **shows** listPosts s uid p = listPosts s1 uid p $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButPID-cong[simp, intro]: $\bigwedge uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID (s (admin := uu1)) (s1 (admin := uu2))$

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pendingUReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingUReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (userReq := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (userIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2) \ (pass := uu2)$

 \land uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID (s ([postIDs := uu1]))

 $\begin{array}{l} (s1 \ (postIDs := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \Longrightarrow uu1 = uu2 \Longrightarrow eqButPID \ (s \ (owner := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (owner := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \Longrightarrow eeqButPID \ uu1 \ uu2 \Longrightarrow eqButPID \ (s \ (post := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (post := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \Longrightarrow uu1 = uu2 \Longrightarrow eqButPID \ (s \ (vis := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (vis := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \Longrightarrow uu1 = uu2 \Longrightarrow eqButPID \ (s \ (vis := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (vis := uu2)) \end{array}$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2 Value Setup

datatype value =

TVal post — updated content of the confidential post | *OVal bool* — updated dynamic declassification trigger condition

Openness of the access window to the confidential information in a given state, i.e. the dynamic declassification trigger condition:

${\bf definition} ~ {\it openToUIDs} ~ {\bf where}$

 $\begin{array}{l} openTo UIDs \ s \equiv \\ \exists \ uid \in UIDs. \\ uid \in e \ userIDs \ s \ \land \\ (uid = owner \ s \ PID \lor uid \in e \ friendIDs \ s \ (owner \ s \ PID) \lor \\ vis \ s \ PID = Public V) \end{array}$

definition open where open $s \equiv PID \in e postIDs \ s \land openToUIDs \ s$

lemmas open-defs = openToUIDs-def open-def

lemma eqButPID-openToUIDs: **assumes** $eqButPID \ s \ s1$ **shows** $openToUIDs \ s \longleftrightarrow \ openToUIDs \ s1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButPID-open: **assumes** eqButPID s s1 **shows** open s \leftrightarrow open s1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-open-eqButPID: assumes $1: \neg$ open s and 2: eqButPID s s1

```
shows \neg open s1
\langle proof \rangle
fun \varphi :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where
\varphi (Trans - (Uact (uPost uid p pid pst)) ou -) = (pid = PID \land ou = outOK)
\varphi (Trans s - - s') = (open s \neq open s')
lemma \varphi-def2:
 assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
 shows
   \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow
    (\exists uid p pst. a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst) \land ou = outOK) \lor
     open s \neq open s'
\langle proof \rangle
fun f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value where
f (Trans s (Uact (uPost uid p pid pst)) - s') =
(if pid = PID then TVal pst else OVal (open s'))
f (Trans s - - s') = OVal (open s')
lemma Uact-uPost-step-eqButPID:
assumes a: a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst)
and step s a = (ou, s')
shows eqButPID s s'
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma eqButPID-step: **assumes** ss1: eqButPID s s1 **and** step: step s a = (ou,s') **and** step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1') **shows** eqButPID s' s1' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButPID-step- φ -imp: **assumes** $ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1$ **and** step: $step \ s \ a = (ou, s')$ **and** step1: $step \ s1 \ a = (ou1, s1')$ **and** $\varphi: \varphi$ (Trans $s \ a \ ou1 \ s1$) **shows** φ (Trans $s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1'$) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButPID-step- φ : **assumes** s's1': $eqButPID \ s \ s1$ **and** step: $step \ s \ a = (ou,s')$ **and** step1: $step \ s1 \ a = (ou1,s1')$ **shows** φ (*Trans* $s \ a \ ou \ s'$) = φ (*Trans* $s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1'$) $\langle proof \rangle$

end theory Post imports ../Observation-Setup Post-Value-Setup begin

6.3 Declassification bound

fun $T :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where T - = False$

The bound may dynamically change from closed (B) to open (BO) access to the confidential information (or vice versa) when the openness predicate changes value. The bound essentially relates arbitrary value sequences in the closed phase (i.e. observers learn nothing about the updates during that phase) and identical value sequences in the open phase (i.e. observers may learn everything about the updates during that phase); when transitioning from a closed to an open access window (*B-BO* below), the last update in the closed phase, i.e. the current version of the post, is also declassified in addition to subsequent updates. This formalizes the "while-or-last-before" scheme in the informal description of the confidentiality property. Moreover, the empty value sequence is treated specially in order to capture harmless cases where the observers may deduce that no secret updates have occurred, e.g. if the system has not been initialized yet. See [2, Section 3.4] for a detailed discussion of the bound.

inductive $B :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ and $BO :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ where *B*-*TVal*[*simp*,*intro*!]: $(pstl = [] \longrightarrow pstl1 = []) \Longrightarrow B (map TVal pstl) (map TVal pstl1)$ |B-BO[intro]: $BO \ vl \ vl1 \xrightarrow{} (pstl = [] \longleftrightarrow pstl1 = []) \Longrightarrow (pstl \neq [] \Longrightarrow last \ pstl = last \ pstl1)$ B (map TVal pstl @ OVal True # vl) (map TVal pstl1 @ OVal True # vl1) |BO-TVal[simp,intro!]: BO (map TVal pstl) (map TVal pstl) |BO-B[intro]: $B \ vl \ vl1 \Longrightarrow$ BO (map TVal pstl @ OVal False # vl) (map TVal pstl @ OVal False # vl1) **lemma** *B*-not-Nil: $B vl vl1 \implies vl = [] \implies vl1 = []$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma B-OVal-True: assumes B (OVal True # vl') vl1

shows $\exists vl1'$. BO $vl' vl1' \land vl1 = OVal True \# vl1' \langle proof \rangle$

unbundle no relcomp-syntax

interpretation *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and $\varphi = \varphi$ and f = f and $\gamma = \gamma$ and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$

6.4 Unwinding proof

lemma eqButPID-step- γ -out: **assumes** $ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1$ and step: step $s \ a = (ou,s')$ and step1: step $s1 \ a = (ou1,s1')$ and $op: \neg \ open \ s$ and sT: reach $NT \ s$ and s1: reach s1and $\gamma: \ \gamma$ (Trans $s \ a \ ou \ s'$) **shows** ou = ou1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButPID-step-eq: **assumes** $ss1: eqButPID \ ss1$ **and** a: a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst) ou = outOK **and** $step: step \ s \ a = (ou, s')$ **and** $step1: step \ s1 \ a = (ou', \ s1')$ **shows** s' = s1' $\langle proof \rangle$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ \neg \ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \ B \ vl \ vl1 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ pstl \ pstl1. \ (pstl = [] \longrightarrow pstl1 = []) \ \land \ vl = map \ TVal \ pstl \ \land \ vl1 = map \ TVal \\ pstl1) \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \neg \ open \ s \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 2 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ pstl. \ vl = map \ TVal \ pstl \ \land \ vl1 = map \ TVal \ pstl) \ \land \\ s = s1 \ \land \ open \ s \end{array}$

definition $\Delta 31 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ where$ $<math>\Delta 31 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv$ $\begin{array}{l} PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ pstl \ pstl1 \ vll1 \ . \\ BO \ vll \ vll1 \ \land \ pstl \neq [] \ \land \ pstl1 \neq [] \ \land \ last \ pstl = \ last \ pstl1 \ \land \\ vl = \ map \ TVal \ pstl \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll \ \land \ vl1 = \ map \ TVal \ pstl1 \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll1 \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \ \neg \ open \ s \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{definition } \Delta 32 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 32 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ vll \ vll1. \\ BO \ vll \ vll1 \ \land \\ vl = \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll \ \land \ vl1 = \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll1) \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \neg \ open \ s \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 4 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 4 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ pstl \ vll \ vl1. \\ B \ vll \ vll1 \ \land \\ vl = \ map \ TVal \ pstl \ @ \ OVal \ False \ \# \ vll \ \land \ vl1 = \ map \ TVal \ pstl \ @ \ OVal \ False \\ \# \ vll1) \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \ open \ s \end{array}$

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$: assumes B: B vl vl1 shows $\Delta 0$ istate vl istate vl1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$: unwind-cont $\Delta 0$ { $\Delta 0, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 31, \Delta 32, \Delta 4$ } (proof)

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$: unwind-cont $\Delta 1$ { $\Delta 1$ } (proof)

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 2$: unwind-cont $\Delta 2$ { $\Delta 2$ } (proof)

lemma unwind-cont- Δ 31: unwind-cont Δ 31 { Δ 31, Δ 32} (proof)

lemma unwind-cont- Δ 32: unwind-cont Δ 32 { Δ 2, Δ 32, Δ 4} (proof)

lemma unwind-cont- Δ 4: unwind-cont Δ 4 { Δ 1, Δ 31, Δ 32, Δ 4} (proof)

definition Gr where Gr =

```
 \begin{cases} \\ (\Delta 0, \{\Delta 0, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 31, \Delta 32, \Delta 4\}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{\Delta 1\}), \\ (\Delta 2, \{\Delta 2\}), \\ (\Delta 31, \{\Delta 31, \Delta 32\}), \\ (\Delta 32, \{\Delta 2, \Delta 32, \Delta 4\}), \\ (\Delta 4, \{\Delta 1, \Delta 31, \Delta 32, \Delta 4\}) \\ \end{cases}
```

theorem secure: secure $\langle proof \rangle$

```
end
theory Friend-Intro
imports ../Safety-Properties ../Observation-Setup
begin
```

7 Friendship status confidentiality

We prove the following property:

Given a group of users UIDs and given two users UID1 and UID2 not in that group,

that group cannot learn anything about the changes in the status of friend-ship between UID1 and UID2

beyond what everybody knows, namely that

- there is no friendship between *UID1* and *UID2* before those users have been created, and
- the updates form an alternating sequence of friending and unfriending,

and beyond those updates performed while or last before a user in UIDs is friends with UID1 or UID2.

 \mathbf{end}

theory Friend-Value-Setup imports Friend-Intro begin

The confidential information is the friendship status between two arbitrary but fixed users: consts UID1 :: userID consts UID2 :: userID

axiomatization where UID1-UID2-UIDs: {UID1,UID2} \cap UIDs = {} and UID1-UID2: $UID1 \neq UID2$

7.1 Preliminaries

fun $eqButUIDl :: userID \Rightarrow userID list \Rightarrow userID list \Rightarrow bool where$ <math>eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1 = (remove1 uid uidl = remove1 uid uidl1)

lemma eqButUIDl-eq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUIDl-trans:
assumes eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1 and eqButUIDl uid uidl1 uidl2
shows eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl2
(proof)

lemma eqButUIDl-remove1-cong:
assumes eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1
shows eqButUIDl uid (remove1 uid' uidl) (remove1 uid' uidl1)
(proof)

lemmas eqButUIDf-intro = eqButUIDf-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

lemma eqButUIDf-eeq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDf frds frds $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUIDf-sym: **assumes** eqButUIDf frds frds1 **shows** eqButUIDf frds1 frds $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUIDf-trans: **assumes** eqButUIDf frds frds1 **and** eqButUIDf frds1 frds2 **shows** eqButUIDf frds frds2 (proof)

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } eqButUIDf\text{-}cong\text{:}\\ \textbf{assumes } eqButUIDf \ frds \ frds1\\ \textbf{and } uid = UID1 \implies eqButUIDl \ UID2 \ uu \ uu1\\ \textbf{and } uid = UID2 \implies eqButUIDl \ UID1 \ uu \ uu1\\ \textbf{and } uid \neq UID1 \implies uid \neq UID2 \implies uu = uu1\\ \textbf{shows } eqButUIDf \ (frds \ (uid := uu)) \ (frds1(uid := uu1))\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

lemma eqButUIDf-eqButUIDl: assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1 shows eqButUIDl UID2 (frds UID1) (frds1 UID1) and eqButUIDl UID1 (frds UID2) (frds1 UID2) (proof)

lemma eqButUIDf-not-UID: [eqButUIDf frds frds1; $uid \neq UID1$; $uid \neq UID2$] \implies frds uid = frds1 $uid \langle proof \rangle$

definition eqButUID12 where eqButUID12 freq freq1 \equiv \forall uid uid'. if (uid,uid') $\in \{(UID1,UID2), (UID2,UID1)\}$ then True else freq uid uid' = freq1 uid uid'

lemmas eqButUID12-intro = eqButUID12-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

lemma eqButUID12-eeq[simp,intro!]: eqButUID12 freq freq $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID12-sym: assumes eqButUID12 freq freq1 shows eqButUID12 freq1 freq $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** eqButUID12-trans: **assumes** eqButUID12 freq freq1 **and** eqButUID12 freq1 freq2 **shows** eqButUID12 freq freq2 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID12-cong: assumes eqButUID12 freq freq1

and \neg (uid,uid') \in {(UID1,UID2), (UID2,UID1)} \Longrightarrow uu = uu1 shows eqButUID12 (fun-upd2 freq uid uid' uu) (fun-upd2 freq1 uid uid' uu1) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID12-not-UID: $[eqButUID12 freq freq1; \neg (uid,uid') \in \{(UID1,UID2), (UID2,UID1)\}] \implies freq$ uid uid' = freq1 uid uid' $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $eqButUID :: state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ where $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \equiv$ $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$

 $\begin{array}{l} pending UReqs \ s = \ pending UReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = \ userReq \ s1 \ \land \\ userIDs \ s = \ userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = \ user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = \ pass \ s1 \ \land \end{array}$

eqButUIDf (pendingFReqs s) (pendingFReqs s1) \land eqButUID12 (friendReq s) (friendReq s1) \land eqButUIDf (friendIDs s) (friendIDs s1) \land

 $\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \wedge \\ post \; s = \; post \; s1 \; \wedge \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \wedge \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \end{array}$

lemmas eqButUID-intro = eqButUID-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

lemma eqButUID-refl[simp,intro!]: $eqButUID s s \langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-sym[sym]: assumes $eqButUID \ s \ s1$ shows $eqButUID \ s1 \ s$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-trans[trans]: assumes $eqButUID \ s \ s1$ and $eqButUID \ s1 \ s2$ shows $eqButUID \ s \ s2$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** eqButUID-stateSelectors: $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies$ $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$

 $\begin{array}{l} pending UReqs \ s = \ pending UReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = \ userReq \ s1 \ \land \\ userIDs \ s = \ userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = \ user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = \ pass \ s1 \ \land \end{array}$

```
eqButUIDf (pendingFReqs s) (pendingFReqs s1) \land
eqButUID12 (friendReq s) (friendReq s1) \land
eqButUIDf (friendIDs s) (friendIDs s1) \land
```

```
\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \wedge \\ post \; s = \; post \; s1 \; \wedge \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \wedge \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \; \wedge \end{array}
```

```
IDsOK \ s = IDsOK \ s1
(proof)
```

lemma eqButUID-eqButUID2: $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUID1 \ UID2 \ (friendIDs \ s \ UID1) \ (friendIDs \ s1 \ UID1) \ (proof)$

lemma eqButUID-not-UID: $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uid \neq UID \implies post \ s \ uid = post \ s1 \ uid \langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-cong[simp, intro]: $\bigwedge uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID (s (admin := uu1)) (s1 (admin := uu2))$

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pendingUReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingUReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userReq := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu2)) \ (uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \implies uu1 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \implies uu1 \implies$

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (postIDs := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (postIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (owner := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (owner := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (post := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (post := uu2)) \\ \end{array}$

 $\bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (vis := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (vis := uu2))$

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pend-ingFReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingFReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUID12 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendIDs := uu2)) \\ \end{array}$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.2 Value Setup

datatype value =

FrVal bool — updated friendship status between *UID1* and *UID2* | *OVal bool* — updated dynamic declassification trigger condition

The dynamic declassification trigger condition holds, i.e. the access window to the confidential information is open, as long as the two users have not been created yet (so there cannot be friendship between them) or one of them is friends with an observer.

definition $openByA :: state \Rightarrow bool - Openness by absence$ $where <math>openByA \ s \equiv \neg \ UID1 \in \in userIDs \ s \lor \neg \ UID2 \in \in userIDs \ s$

definition $openByF :: state \Rightarrow bool — Openness by friendship$ **where** $<math>openByF s \equiv \exists uid \in UIDs. uid \in friendIDs \ s \ UID1 \lor uid \in friendIDs \ s \ UID2$

definition open :: state \Rightarrow bool where open $s \equiv openByA \ s \lor openByF \ s$

lemmas open-defs = open-def openByA-def openByF-def

definition friends12 :: state \Rightarrow bool where friends12 s \equiv UID1 $\in \in$ friendIDs s UID2 \land UID2 $\in \in$ friendIDs s UID1

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{fun } \varphi :: (state, act, out) \ trans \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ (Cact \ (cFriend \ uid \ p \ uid')) \ ou \ s') = \\ & ((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), \ (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK \lor \\ & open \ s \neq open \ s') \\ | \\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ (Dact \ (dFriend \ uid \ p \ uid')) \ ou \ s') = \\ & ((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), \ (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK \lor \\ & open \ s \neq open \ s') \\ | \\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ (Cact \ (cUser \ uid \ p \ uid' \ p')) \ ou \ s') = \\ & (open \ s \neq open \ s') \\ | \end{aligned}
```

 φ - = False

fun $f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value where$ f (Trans s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) ou s') = $(if (uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}$ then FrVal True else OVal True) f (Trans s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) ou s') = $(if (uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}$ then FrVal False else OVal False) f (Trans s (Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')) ou s') = OVal False f - = undefinedlemma φE : assumes $\varphi: \varphi$ (Trans s a ou s') (is φ ?trn) and step: step s a = (ou, s')and rs: reach s **obtains** (Friend) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK f?trn = FrVal True $uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' =$ UID1 IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] \neg friends12 s friends12 s' | (Unfriend) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK f?trn = FrVal False $uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' =$ UID1 IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] $friends12 \ s \ \neg friends12 \ s'$ | (OpenF) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') $(uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}) \lor (uid' \in UIDs \land$ $uid \in \{UID1, UID2\}$ ou = outOK f?trn = OVal True $\neg openByF s openByF s'$ $\neg openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s'$ | (CloseF) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') $(uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}) \lor (uid' \in UIDs)$ \land uid \in {UID1,UID2}) ou = outOK f?trn = OVal False openByF s $\neg openByF$ s' $\neg openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s'$ | (CloseA) uid p uid' p' where a = Cact (cUser uid p uid' p') $uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}$ openByA s \neg openByA s' $\neg openByF \ s \ \neg openByF \ s'$ ou = outOK f?trn = OVal False $\langle proof \rangle$

36

lemma step-open- φ : **assumes** step s a = (ou, s') **and** open $s \neq$ open s' **shows** φ (Trans s a ou s') $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma step-friends12-\varphi:
assumes step s a = (ou, s')
and friends12 s \neq friends12 s'
shows \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma eqButUID-friends12-set-friendIDs-eq: **assumes** $ss1: eqButUID \ s \ s1$ and $f12: friends12 \ s = friends12 \ s1$ and $rs: reach \ s$ and $rs1: reach \ s1$ **shows** set (friendIDs $s \ uid$) = set (friendIDs $s1 \ uid$) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma distinct-remove1-idem: distinct $xs \implies$ remove1 y (remove1 y xs) = remove1 y xs $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Cact-cFriend-step-eqButUID: **assumes** step: step s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) = (ou,s') **and** s: reach s **and** uids: (uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2) \lor (uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1) (**is** ?u12 \lor ?u21) **shows** eqButUID s s' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Cact-cFriendReq-step-eqButUID: **assumes** step: step s (Cact (cFriendReq uid p uid' req)) = (ou,s') and uids: (uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2) \lor (uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1) (is ?u12 \lor ?u21) **shows** eqButUID s s' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Dact-dFriend-step-eqButUID: **assumes** step: step s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) = (ou,s') **and** s: reach s **and** uids: (uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2) \lor (uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1) (**is** ?u12 \lor ?u21) **shows** eqButUID s s' $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** eqButUID-step: assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1 and step: step s a = (ou, s')and step1: step s1 a = (ou1, s1')and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1 **shows** eqButUID s' s1' $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** eqButUID-openByA-eq: **assumes** eqButUID s s1 **shows** $openByA \ s = openByA \ s1$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** eqButUID-openByF-eq: **assumes** *ss1*: *eqButUID s s1* **shows** $openByF \ s = openByF \ s1$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** eqButUID-open-eq: eqButUID s $s1 \implies open$ s = open s1 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** eqButUID-step-friendIDs-eq: assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1 and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1 and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')and a: $a \neq Cact$ (cFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) $\land a \neq Cact$ (cFriend UID2 $(pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1) \land$ $a \neq Dact (dFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) \land a \neq Dact (dFriend UID2)$ (pass s UID2) UID1) and friendIDs $s = friendIDs \ s1$ shows friendIDs $s' = friendIDs \ s1'$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma eqButUID-step- φ -imp: **assumes** ss1: eqButUID s s1 and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1 and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')and a: $a \neq Cact$ (cFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) $\land a \neq Cact$ (cFriend UID2 $(pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1) \land$ $a \neq Dact (dFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) \land a \neq Dact (dFriend UID2)$ (pass s UID2) UID1) and $\varphi: \varphi$ (Trans s a ou s') shows φ (*Trans s1 a ou1 s1'*) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-step- φ :

assumes $ss1: eqButUID \ ss1$ and $rs: reach \ s$ and $rs1: reach \ s1$ and $step: step \ sa = (ou,s')$ and $step1: step \ s1a = (ou1,s1')$ and $a: a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1) \land$ $a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1)$ shows $\varphi \ (Trans \ sa \ ou \ s') = \varphi \ (Trans \ s1a \ ou1 \ s1')$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma createFriend-sym: createFriend s uid p uid' = createFriend s uid' p' uid $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma deleteFriend-sym: deleteFriend s uid p uid' = deleteFriend s uid' p' uid $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma createFriendReq-createFriend-absorb:

assumes e-createFriendReq s uid' p uid req

shows createFriend (createFriendReq s uid' p1 uid req) uid p2 uid' = createFriend

s uid p3 uid'

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUID-deleteFriend12-friendIDs-eq:

assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1

and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1

shows friendIDs (deleteFriend s UID1 p UID2) = friendIDs (deleteFriend s1 UID1

p' UID2)

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUID-createFriend12-friendIDs-eq:

assumes ss1: eqButUID \ s \ s1

and rs: reach \ s and rs1: reach \ s1

and f12: \neg friends12 \ s \neg friends12 \ s1

shows friendIDs (createFriend s UID1 p UID2) = friendIDs (createFriend s1 UID1

p' UID2)

\langle proof \rangle
```

end

theory Friend imports ../Observation-Setup Friend-Value-Setup begin

7.3 Declassification bound

fun T ::: (*state*, *act*, *out*) *trans* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** T (*Trans* - - - -) = *False*

The bound follows the same "while-or-last-before" scheme as the bound for post confidentiality (Section 6.3), alternating between open (BO) and closed (BC) phases.

The access window is initially open, because the two users are known not to exist when the system is initialized, so there cannot be friendship between them.

The bound also incorporates the static knowledge that the friendship status alternates between *False* and *True*.

fun alternatingFriends :: value list \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool **where** alternatingFriends [] - = TruealternatingFriends (FrVal st # vl) st' \longleftrightarrow st' = $(\neg st) \land$ alternatingFriends vl st | alternatingFriends (OVal - # vl) st = alternatingFriends vl st **inductive** *BO* :: value list \Rightarrow value list \Rightarrow bool and $BC :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ where BO-FrVal[simp,intro!]: BO (map FrVal fs) (map FrVal fs) |BO-BC[intro]: $BC \ vl \ vl1 \Longrightarrow$ BO (map FrVal fs @ OVal False # vl) (map FrVal fs @ OVal False # vl1) |BC-FrVal[simp,intro!]: BC (map FrVal fs) (map FrVal fs1) |BC-BO[intro]: $BO \ vl \ vl1 \Longrightarrow (fs = [] \longleftrightarrow fs1 = []) \Longrightarrow (fs \neq [] \Longrightarrow last \ fs = last \ fs1) \Longrightarrow$ BC (map FrVal fs @ OVal True # vl) $(map \ FrVal \ fs1 @ OVal \ True \# vl1)$

definition $B vl vl1 \equiv BO vl vl1 \land alternatingFriends vl1 False$

lemma BO-Nil-Nil: BO vl vl1 \implies vl = [] \implies vl1 = [] $\langle proof \rangle$

unbundle no relcomp-syntax

interpretation *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and $\varphi = \varphi$ and f = f and $\gamma = \gamma$ and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$

7.4 Unwinding proof

lemma eqButUID-step- γ -out: **assumes** ss1: eqButUID s s1 **and** step: step s a = (ou,s') **and** step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1') **and** γ : γ (*Trans* s a ou s') **and** os: open $s \longrightarrow friendIDs$ s = friendIDs s1 **shows** ou = ou1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma toggle-friends12-True: assumes rs: reach s and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] and $nf12: \neg friends12 \ s$ obtains al oul where $sstep \ s \ al = (oul, createFriend \ s \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2)$ and $al \neq []$ and eqButUID s (createFriend s UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) and friends12 (createFriend s UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) and $O(traceOf \ s \ al) = []$ and $V(traceOf \ s \ al) = [FrVal \ True]$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** toggle-friends12-False: **assumes** *rs*: *reach s* and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] and f12: friends12 s obtains al oul where $sstep \ s \ al = (oul, \ deleteFriend \ s \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2)$ and $al \neq []$ and eqButUID s (deleteFriend s UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) and \neg friends12 (deleteFriend s UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) and $O(traceOf \ s \ al) = []$ and $V(traceOf \ s \ al) = [FrVal \ False]$

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \ \land \ friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1 \ \land \ open \ s \ \land \\ BO \ vl \ vl1 \ \land \ alternatingFriends \ vl1 \ (friends12 \ s1) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv (\exists \ fs \ fs1. \\ eqBut UID \ s \ s1 \ \land \neg open \ s \ \land \\ alternating Friends \ vl1 \ (friends12 \ s1) \ \land \\ vl = map \ FrVal \ fs \ \land vl1 = map \ FrVal \ fs1) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{definition } \Delta 2 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv (\exists fs \ fs1 \ vlr \ vlr1. \\ eqBut UID \ s \ s1 \ \land \neg open \ s \ \land BO \ vlr \ vlr1 \ \land \\ alternating Friends \ vl1 \ (friends12 \ s1) \ \land \\ (fs = [] \ \longleftrightarrow \ fs1 = []) \ \land \\ (fs \neq [] \ \longrightarrow \ last \ fs = \ last \ fs1) \ \land \\ (fs = [] \ \longrightarrow \ friend IDs \ s = \ friend IDs \ s1) \ \land \\ vl = \ map \ FrVal \ fs \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr \ \land \\ vl1 = \ map \ FrVal \ fs1 \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr1) \end{array}$

lemma $\Delta 2$ -I:

assumes $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \ \neg open \ s \ BO \ vlr \ vlr1 \ alternatingFriends \ vl1 \ (friends12 \ s1)$ $fs = [] \longleftrightarrow fs1 = [] \ fs \neq [] \longrightarrow last \ fs = last \ fs1$ $fs = [] \longrightarrow friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1$ $vl = map \ FrVal \ fs @ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr$ $vl1 = map \ FrVal \ fs1 @ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr1$ shows $\Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$: assumes B: B vl vl1 shows $\Delta 0$ istate vl istate vl1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$: unwind-cont $\Delta 0 \{\Delta 0, \Delta 1, \Delta 2\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$: unwind-cont $\Delta 1$ { $\Delta 1$, $\Delta 0$ } (proof)

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 2$: unwind-cont $\Delta 2$ { $\Delta 2, \Delta 0$ } (proof)

```
definition Gr where

Gr = \begin{cases} \\ (\Delta \theta, \{\Delta \theta, \Delta 1, \Delta 2\}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{\Delta 1, \Delta 0\}), \\ (\Delta 2, \{\Delta 2, \Delta 0\}) \end{cases}
```

theorem secure: secure $\langle proof \rangle$

end theory Friend-Request-Intro imports ../Safety-Properties ../Observation-Setup begin

8 Friendship request confidentiality

We prove the following property:

Given a group of users UIDs and given two users UID1 and UID2 not in that group,

that group cannot learn anything about the friendship requests issued be-

tween UID1 and UID2

beyond what everybody knows, namely that

- there is no friendship between UID1 and UID2 before those users have been created, and
- friendship status updates form an alternating sequence of friending and unfriending, every successful friend creation is preceded by at least one and at most two requests,

and beyond those requests performed while or last before a user in UIDs is friends with UID1 or UID2.

end

theory Friend-Request-Value-Setup imports Friend-Request-Intro begin

The confidential information is the friendship requests between two arbitrary but fixed users:

consts UID1 :: userID consts UID2 :: userID

axiomatization where

UID1-UID2-UIDs: {UID1,UID2} \cap UIDs = {} and UID1-UID2: $UID1 \neq UID2$

8.1 Preliminaries

fun $eqButUIDl :: userID \Rightarrow userID list \Rightarrow userID list \Rightarrow bool where$ <math>eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1 = (remove1 uid uidl = remove1 uid uidl1)

lemma eqButUIDl-eq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUIDl-sym:
assumes eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1
shows eqButUIDl uid uidl1 uidl
(proof)

lemma eqButUIDl-trans:
assumes eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1 and eqButUIDl uid uidl1 uidl2
shows eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl2
(proof)

lemma eqButUIDl-remove1-cong: **assumes** eqButUIDl uid uidl1 **shows** eqButUIDl uid (remove1 uid' uidl) (remove1 uid' uidl1) \(\langle proof\)

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } eqButUIDf \textbf{ where} \\ eqButUIDf frds frds1 \equiv \\ eqButUIDl \ UID2 \ (frds \ UID1) \ (frds1 \ UID1) \\ \land \ eqButUIDl \ UID1 \ (frds \ UID2) \ (frds1 \ UID2) \\ \land \ (\forall \ uid. \ uid \neq \ UID1 \ \land \ uid \neq \ UID2 \ \longrightarrow \ frds \ uid = \ frds1 \ uid) \end{array}
```

lemmas eqButUIDf-intro = eqButUIDf-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

lemma eqButUIDf-eeq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDf frds frds $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUIDf-sym: assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1 shows eqButUIDf frds1 frds $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma eqButUIDf-trans:
assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1 and eqButUIDf frds1 frds2
shows eqButUIDf frds frds2
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUIDf-cong:

assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1

and uid = UID1 \implies eqButUIDl UID2 uu uu1

and uid = UID2 \implies eqButUIDl UID1 uu uu1

and uid \neq UID1 \implies uid \neq UID2 \implies uu = uu1

shows eqButUIDf (frds (uid := uu)) (frds1(uid := uu1))

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUIDf-eqButUIDl:
assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1
shows eqButUIDl UID2 (frds UID1) (frds1 UID1)
and eqButUIDl UID1 (frds UID2) (frds1 UID2)
(proof)
```

lemma eqButUIDf-not-UID: [eqButUIDf frds frds1; $uid \neq UID1$; $uid \neq UID2$] \implies frds uid = frds1 $uid \langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUIDf-not-UID':

assumes eq1: eqButUIDf frds frds1and $uid: (uid, uid') \notin \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}$ shows $uid \in frds uid' \longleftrightarrow uid \in frds1 uid'$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition eqButUID12 where

 $eqButUID12 \ freq \ freq1 \equiv \forall \ uid \ uid'. if \ (uid,uid') \in \{(UID1,UID2), (UID2,UID1)\} \ then \ True \ else \ freq \ uid \ uid' = freq1 \ uid \ uid'$

lemmas eqButUID12-intro = eqButUID12-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

lemma eqButUID12-eeq[simp,intro!]: eqButUID12 freq freq $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID12-sym: **assumes** eqButUID12 freq freq1 **shows** eqButUID12 freq1 freq $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID12-trans: **assumes** eqButUID12 freq freq1 **and** eqButUID12 freq1 freq2 **shows** eqButUID12 freq freq2 (proof)

lemma eqButUID12-cong: **assumes** eqButUID12 freq freq1 **and** \neg (uid,uid') \in {(UID1,UID2), (UID2,UID1)} \Longrightarrow uu = uu1 **shows** eqButUID12 (fun-upd2 freq uid uid' uu) (fun-upd2 freq1 uid uid' uu1) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID12-not-UID: $[eqButUID12 freq freq1; \neg (uid,uid') \in \{(UID1,UID2), (UID2,UID1)\}] \implies freq$ uid uid' = freq1 uid uid' $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $eqButUID :: state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ where $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \equiv$ $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \ \land userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = pass \ s1 \ \land$

eqButUIDf (pendingFReqs s) (pendingFReqs s1) \land eqButUID12 (friendReq s) (friendReq s1) \land eqButUIDf (friendIDs s) (friendIDs s1) \land $\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \wedge \\ post \; s = \; post \; s1 \; \wedge \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \wedge \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \end{array}$

lemmas eqButUID-intro = eqButUID-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

lemma eqButUID-refl[simp,intro!]: $eqButUID s s \langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-sym[sym]: assumes $eqButUID \ s \ s1$ shows $eqButUID \ s1 \ s$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-trans[trans]: assumes eqButUID s s1 and eqButUID s1 s2 shows eqButUID s s2 (proof)

lemma eqButUID-stateSelectors: $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies$ $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \ \land userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = pass \ s1 \ \land$

eqButUIDf (pendingFReqs s) (pendingFReqs s1) \land eqButUID12 (friendReq s) (friendReq s1) \land eqButUIDf (friendIDs s) (friendIDs s1) \land

 $\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \wedge \\ post \; s = \; post \; s1 \; \wedge \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \wedge \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \; \wedge \end{array}$

 $\begin{aligned} IDsOK \ s = IDsOK \ s1 \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$

lemma eqButUID-eqButUID2: $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUID1 \ UID2 \ (friendIDs \ s \ UID1) \ (friendIDs \ s1 \ UID1) \ (proof)$

lemma eqButUID-not-UID: $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uid \neq UID \implies post \ s \ uid = post \ s1 \ uid \langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-cong[simp, intro]:

 \bigwedge uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID (s (|admin := uu1)) (s1 (|admin := uu2))

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pendingUReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingUReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userReq := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ s \ (u1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u2 \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \ uu2 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \ (u2 \ uu2 \ uu2$

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pendingFReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingFReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUID12 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendIDs := uu2)) \\ \end{array}$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2 Value Setup

datatype fUser = U1 | U2 datatype value = isFRVal: FRVal fUser req — friendship requests from UID1 to UID2 (or vice versa) | isFVal: FVal bool — updates to the status of friendship between them

 $isOVal: OVal \ bool$ — updated dynamic declassification trigger condition

The dynamic declassification trigger condition holds, i.e. the access window to the confidential information is open, as long as the two users have not been created yet (so there cannot be friendship between them) or one of them is friends with an observer.

definition $openByA :: state \Rightarrow bool - Openness by absence$ $where <math>openByA s \equiv \neg UID1 \in \in userIDs \ s \lor \neg UID2 \in \in userIDs \ s$

definition $openByF :: state \Rightarrow bool - Openness by friendship$

s UID2 **definition** *open* :: *state* \Rightarrow *bool* where open $s \equiv openByA \ s \lor openByF \ s$ **lemmas** open-defs = open-def openByA-def openByF-def**definition** *friends12* :: *state* \Rightarrow *bool* where friends12 $s \equiv UID1 \in friendIDs \ s \ UID2 \land UID2 \in friendIDs \ s \ UID1$ fun φ :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where φ (Trans s (Cact (cFriendReq uid p uid' req)) ou s') = $((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK)$ φ (Trans s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) ou s') = $((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK \lor$ open $s \neq$ open s') φ (Trans s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) ou s') = $((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK \lor$ open $s \neq$ open s') φ (Trans s (Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')) ou s') = $(open \ s \neq open \ s')$ φ - = False **fun** $f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value$ **where** f (Trans s (Cact (cFriendReq uid p uid' req)) ou s') = $(if \ uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \ then \ FRVal \ U1 \ req$ else if uid = $UID2 \land uid' = UID1$ then FRVal U2 req else OVal True) f (Trans s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) ou s') = $(if (uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}$ then FVal True else OVal True) f (Trans s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) ou s') = $(if (uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}$ then FVal False else OVal False) f (Trans s (Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')) ou s') = OVal False

where $openByF s \equiv \exists uid \in UIDs. uid \in friendIDs \ s \ UID1 \lor uid \in friendIDs$

f - = undefined

lemma φE : assumes φ : φ (*Trans s a ou s'*) (is φ ?*trn*) and step: step s a = (ou, s')and rs: reach s obtains (FReq1) u p req where a = Cact (cFriendReq UID1 p UID2 req) ou =outOKf?trn = FRVal u req u = U1 IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] \neg friends12 s \neg friends12 s' open s' = open s $UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID2 \ UID1 \notin set \ (pendingFReqs$ s UID2) $UID2 \in ee pendingFReqs s' UID1 \leftrightarrow UID2 \in ee pendingFReqs$ s UID1(FReq2) u p req where a = Cact (cFriendReq UID2 p UID1 req) ou =outOKf?trn = FRVal u req u = U2 IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] \neg friends12 s \neg friends12 s' open s' = open s $UID2 \in e pendingFRegs s' UID1 UID2 \notin set (pendingFRegs$ s UID1) $UID1 \in e pendingFRegs s' UID2 \leftrightarrow UID1 \in e pendingFRegs$ s UID2 | (Friend) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK f?trn = FVal True $uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' =$ UID1IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] \neg friends12 s friends12 s' uid' $\in \in$ pendingFReqs s uid $UID1 \notin set (pendingFReqs s' UID2)$ $UID2 \notin set (pendingFReqs s' UID1)$ | (Unfriend) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK f?trn = FVal False $uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' =$ UID1 IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] $friends12 \ s \ \neg friends12 \ s'$ $UID1 \notin set (pendingFReqs s' UID2)$ $UID1 \notin set (pendingFReqs \ s \ UID2)$ $UID2 \notin set (pendingFReqs s' UID1)$ $UID2 \notin set (pendingFReqs \ s \ UID1)$ | (OpenF) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') $(uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}) \lor (uid' \in UIDs \land$ $uid \in \{UID1, UID2\}$ ou = outOK f?trn = OVal True $\neg openByF s openByF s'$ $\neg openByA \ s \ \neg openByA \ s'$ $friends12 \ s' = friends12 \ s$ $UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID2 \iff UID1 \in e$ pendingFReqs s UID2 $UID2 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID1 \iff UID2 \in e$ pendingFReqs s UID1 | (CloseF) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') $(uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}) \lor (uid' \in UIDs)$ \land uid \in {UID1,UID2})

```
s'
                              \neg openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s'
                              friends12 \ s' = friends12 \ s
                                    UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID2 \iff UID1 \in e
pendingFReqs s UID2
                                    UID2 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID1 \iff UID2 \in e
pendingFReqs s UID1
     | (CloseA) uid p uid' p' where a = Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')
                                 uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\} openByA s \neg openByA s'
                                 \neg openByF \ s \ \neg openByF \ s'
                                 ou = outOK f?trn = OVal False
                                 friends12 \ s' = friends12 \ s
                                    UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID2 \iff UID1 \in e
pendingFReqs s UID2
                                    UID2 \in e pendingFRegs \ s' \ UID1 \iff UID2 \in e
pendingFReqs s UID1
\langle proof \rangle
lemma step-open-\varphi:
assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
and open s \neq open s'
shows \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
\langle proof \rangle
lemma step-friends 12-\varphi:
assumes step s a = (ou, s')
and friends12 s \neq friends12 s'
shows \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
\langle proof \rangle
lemma step-pendingFReqs-\varphi:
assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
and (UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s \ UID2) \neq (UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID2)
  \lor (UID2 \in \in pendingFReqs s UID1) \neq (UID2 \in \in pendingFReqs s' UID1)
shows \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eqButUID-friends12-set-friendIDs-eq:
assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1
and f12: friends12 s = friends12 s1
and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1
shows set (friendIDs s uid) = set (friendIDs s1 uid)
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma distinct-remove1-idem: distinct $xs \implies$ remove1 y (remove1 y xs) = remove1 y xs $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Cact-cFriend-step-eqButUID: **assumes** step: step s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) = (ou,s') **and** s: reach s **and** uids: (uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2) \lor (uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1) (is ?u12 \lor ?u21) **shows** eqButUID s s' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Cact-cFriendReq-step-eqButUID: **assumes** step: step s (Cact (cFriendReq uid p uid' req)) = (ou,s') **and** uids: (uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2) \lor (uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1) (is ?u12 \lor ?u21) **shows** eqButUID s s' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Dact-dFriend-step-eqButUID: **assumes** step: step s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) = (ou,s') **and** s: reach s **and** uids: (uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2) \lor (uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1) (**is** ?u12 \lor ?u21) **shows** eqButUID s s' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-step: assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1and step: step s a = (ou,s')and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')and rs: reach sand rs1: reach s1shows eqButUID s' s1' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-openByA-eq: assumes $eqButUID \ s \ s1$ shows $openByA \ s = openByA \ s1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-openByF-eq: assumes ss1: $eqButUID \ s \ s1$ shows $openByF \ s = openByF \ s1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma eqButUID-open-eq: eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies open \ s = open \ s1 \ \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma eqButUID-step-friendIDs-eq: **assumes** ss1: eqButUID s s1 and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1 and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')and a: $a \neq Cact$ (cFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) $\land a \neq Cact$ (cFriend UID2 $(pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1) \land$ $a \neq Dact (dFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) \land a \neq Dact (dFriend UID2)$ (pass s UID2) UID1) and friendIDs $s = friendIDs \ s1$ shows friendIDs $s' = friendIDs \ s1'$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma eqButUID-step- φ -imp: **assumes** ss1: eqButUID s s1 and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1 and step: step s a = (ou, s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1, s1')and a: \forall req. $a \neq Cact$ (cFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) \land $a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1) \ \land$

$a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2 \ req) \land a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1 \ req) \land a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land$

```
a \neq Dact (dFriend UID2 (pass s UID2) UID1)
```

```
and \varphi: \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
shows \varphi (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } eqButUID\text{-}step\text{-}\varphi\text{:}\\ \textbf{assumes } ss1\text{: } eqButUID \ s \ s1\\ \textbf{and } rs\text{: } reach \ s \ \textbf{and } rs1\text{: } reach \ s1\\ \textbf{and } step\text{: } step \ s \ a = (ou,s') \ \textbf{and } step1\text{: } step \ s1 \ a = (ou1,s1')\\ \textbf{and } a\text{: } \forall req. \ a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land\\ a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2 \ req) \land\\ a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2 \ req) \land\\ a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2 \ req) \land\\ a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2 \ req) \land\\ a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land\\ a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land\\ a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1)\\ \textbf{shows } \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = \varphi \ (Trans \ s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1')\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

lemma createFriend-sym: createFriend s uid p uid' = createFriend s uid' p' uid $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma deleteFriend-sym: deleteFriend s uid p uid' = deleteFriend s uid' p' uid $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma createFriendReq-createFriend-absorb: assumes e-createFriendReq s uid' p uid req shows createFriend (createFriendReq s uid' p1 uid req) uid p2 uid' = createFriend $s \ uid \ p3 \ uid' \ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma eqButUID-deleteFriend12-friendIDs-eq: **assumes** ss1: eqButUID s s1 **and** rs: reach s **and** rs1: reach s1 **shows** friendIDs (deleteFriend s UID1 p UID2) = friendIDs (deleteFriend s1 UID1 p' UID2) $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma eqButUID-createFriend12-friendIDs-eq:

assumes ss1: eqButUID \ s \ s1

and rs: reach \ s and rs1: reach \ s1

and f12: \neg friends12 \ s \neg friends12 \ s1

shows friendIDs (createFriend s UID1 p UID2) = friendIDs (createFriend s1 UID1

p' UID2)

\langle proof \rangle
```

end

theory Friend-Request imports ../Observation-Setup Friend-Request-Value-Setup begin

8.3 Declassification bound

fun $T :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$ where T (Trans - - -) = False

Friendship updates form an alternating sequence of friending and unfriending, and every successful friend creation is preceded by one or two friendship requests.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun } validValSeq :: value \ list \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow$

abbreviation validValSeqFrom :: value list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool **where** validValSeqFrom vl s \equiv validValSeq vl (friends12 s) (UID1 $\in \in$ pendingFReqs s UID2) (UID2 $\in \in$ pendingFReqs s UID1) With respect to the friendship status updates, we use the same "while-orlast-before" bound as for friendship status confidentiality.

inductive BO ::: value list \Rightarrow value list \Rightarrow bool and BC :: value list \Rightarrow value list \Rightarrow bool where BO-FVal[simp,intro!]: BO (map FVal fs) (map FVal fs) |BO-BC[intro]: BC vl vl1 \Rightarrow BO (map FVal fs @ OVal False # vl) (map FVal fs @ OVal False # vl1) |BC-FVal[simp,intro!]: BC (map FVal fs) (map FVal fs1) |BC-BO[intro]: BO vl vl1 \Rightarrow (fs = [] \leftrightarrow fs1 = []) \Rightarrow (fs \neq [] \Rightarrow last fs = last fs1) \Rightarrow BC (map FVal fs @ OVal True # vl) (map FVal fs1 @ OVal True # vl1)

Taking into account friendship requests, two value sequences vl and vl1 are in the bound if

- *vl1* (with friendship requests) forms a valid value sequence,
- *vl* and *vl1* are in *BO* (without friendship requests),
- *vl1* is empty if *vl* is empty, and
- vl1 begins with OVal False if vl begins with OVal False.

The last two points are due to the fact that UID1 and UID1 might not exist yet if vl is empty (or before $OVal \ False$), in which case the observer can deduce that no friendship request has happened yet.

definition $B vl vl1 \equiv BO$ (filter (Not o isFRVal) vl) (filter (Not o isFRVal) vl1) \land

 $\begin{array}{l} validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ istate \ \land \\ (vl = [] \longrightarrow vl1 = []) \ \land \\ (vl \neq [] \ \land \ hd \ vl = \ OVal \ False \longrightarrow vl1 \neq [] \ \land \ hd \ vl1 = \ OVal \end{array}$

False)

lemma BO-Nil-iff: BO vl vl1 \implies vl = [] \iff vl1 = [] \iff vl1 = []

unbundle no relcomp-syntax

interpretation *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and $\varphi = \varphi$ and f = f and $\gamma = \gamma$ and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma validFrom-validValSeq:
assumes validFrom s tr
and reach s
shows validValSeqFrom (V tr) s
(proof)
```

lemma validFrom istate $tr \implies$ validValSeqFrom (V tr) istate $\langle proof \rangle$

8.4 Unwinding proof

```
lemma eqButUID-step-\gamma-out:

assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1

and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')

and \gamma: \gamma (Trans s a ou s')

and os: open s \longrightarrow friendIDs s = friendIDs s1

shows ou = ou1

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma produce-FRVal:

assumes rs: reach s

and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] []

and vVS: validValSeqFrom (FRVal u req \# vl) s

obtains a uid uid' s'

where step s a = (outOK, s')

and a = Cact (cFriendReq uid (pass s uid) uid' req)

and uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1

and \varphi (Trans s a outOK s')

and f (Trans s a outOK s') = FRVal u req

and validValSeqFrom vl s'

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma toggle-friends12-True:

assumes rs: reach s

and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] []

and nf12: \negfriends12 s

and vVS: validValSeqFrom (FVal True \# vl) s

obtains a uid uid' s'

where step s a = (outOK, s')

and a = Cact (cFriend uid (pass s uid) uid')

and s' = createFriend s UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2

and uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1

and friends12 s'

and eqButUID s s'

and \varphi (Trans s a outOK s')

and f (Trans s a outOK s') = FVal True
```

```
and \neg \gamma (Trans s a outOK s')
 and validValSeqFrom vl s'
\langle proof \rangle
lemma toggle-friends12-False:
assumes rs: reach s
   and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] []
   and f12: friends12 s
   and vVS: validValSeqFrom (FVal False \# vl) s
obtains a s'
where step s \ a = (outOK, s')
 and a = Dact (dFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2)
 and s' = deleteFriend \ s \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2
 and \neg friends 12 \ s'
 and eqButUID \ s \ s'
 and \varphi (Trans s a outOK s')
 and f (Trans s a outOK s') = FVal False
 and \neg \gamma (Trans s a outOK s')
 and validValSeqFrom vl s'
\langle proof \rangle
lemma toggle-friends12:
assumes rs: reach s
   and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] []
   and f12: friends12 s \neq fv
   and vVS: validValSeqFrom (FVal fv \# vl) s
obtains a s'
where step s \ a = (outOK, s')
 and friends 12 \ s' = fv
 and eqButUID \ s \ s'
 and \varphi (Trans s a outOK s')
 and f (Trans s a outOK s') = FVal fv
 and \neg \gamma (Trans s a outOK s')
 and validValSeqFrom vl s'
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma BO-cases: assumes BO vl vl1 obtains (Nil) vl = [] and vl1 = [] | (FVal) fv vl' vl1' where vl = FVal fv # vl' and vl1 = FVal fv # vl1' and BO vl' vl1' | (OVal) vl' vl1' where vl = OVal False # vl' and vl1 = OVal False # vl1'and BC vl' vl1' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma BC-cases: assumes BC vl vl1 obtains (Nil) vl = [] and vl1 = [] | (FVal) fv fs where vl = FVal fv # map FVal fs and vl1 = []

(FVal1) fv fs fs1 where vl = map FVal fs and vl1 = FVal fv # map FVal fs1

| (BO-FVal) fv fv' fs vl' vl1' where vl = FVal fv # map FVal fs @ FVal fv' # OVal True # vl'

and vl1 = FVal fv' # OVal True # vl1' and BOvl' vl1'

| (BO-FVal1) fv fv' fs fs1 vl' vl1' where vl = map FVal fs @ FVal fv' # OVal True # vl'

OVal True # vl1'

and BO vl' vl1'

| (FVal-BO) fv vl' vl1' where vl = FVal fv # OVal True # vl'

and vl1 = FVal fv # map FVal fs1 @ FVal fv' #

and vl1 = FVal fv # OVal True # vl1' and BO vl' vl1'| (OVal) vl' vl1' where vl = OVal True # vl' and vl1 = OVal True # vl1'and BO vl' vl1'(meass)

 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool where$ $<math>\Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv s = s1 \land B \ vl \ vl1 \land open \ s \land (\neg IDsOK \ s \ [UID1, \ UID2] \ [])$

definition $\Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool where$ $<math>\Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv$ $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \land friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1 \land open \ s \land$ $BO \ (filter \ (Not \ o \ isFRVal) \ vl) \ (filter \ (Not \ o \ isFRVal) \ vl1) \land$ $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1 \land$ $IDsOK \ s1 \ [UID1, \ UID2] \ []$

definition $\Delta 2 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool where$ $<math>\Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv (\exists fs \ fs1.$ $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \land \neg open \ s \land$ $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1 \land$ filter (Not o isFRVal) $vl = map \ FVal \ fs \land$ filter (Not o isFRVal) $vl1 = map \ FVal \ fs1$)

definition $\Delta 3 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool where$ $<math>\Delta 3 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv (\exists fs \ fs1 \ vlr \ vlr1.$ $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \land \neg open \ s \land BO \ vlr \ vlr1 \land$ $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1 \land$ $(fs = [] \longleftrightarrow fs1 = []) \land$ $(fs \neq [] \longrightarrow last \ fs = last \ fs1) \land$ $(fs = [] \longrightarrow friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1) \land$ $filter \ (Not \ o \ isFRVal) \ vl = map \ FVal \ fs \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr \land$ $filter \ (Not \ o \ isFRVal) \ vl1 = map \ FVal \ fs1 \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr1)$

lemma $\Delta 2$ -I:

assumes $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \neg open \ s$ $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1$ $filter \ (Not \ o \ isFRVal) \ vl = map \ FVal \ fs$ $filter \ (Not \ o \ isFRVal) \ vl1 = map \ FVal \ fs1$ shows $\Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Delta 3$ -*I*: **assumes** $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \ \neg open \ s \ BO \ vlr \ vlr1$ $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1$ $fs = [] \longleftrightarrow fs1 = [] \ fs \neq [] \longrightarrow last \ fs = last \ fs1$ $fs = [] \longrightarrow friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1$ filter (Not $o \ isFRVal$) $vl = map \ FVal \ fs @ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr1$ filter (Not $o \ isFRVal$) $vl = map \ FVal \ fs1 @ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr1$ **shows** $\Delta 3 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$: assumes B: B vl vl1 shows $\Delta 0$ istate vl istate vl1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$: unwind-cont $\Delta 0 \{\Delta 0, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 3\}$ (proof)

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$: unwind-cont $\Delta 1$ { $\Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 3$ } (proof)

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 2$: unwind-cont $\Delta 2$ { $\Delta 2$, $\Delta 1$ } (proof)

lemma unwind-cont- $\Delta 3$: unwind-cont $\Delta 3$ { $\Delta 3$, $\Delta 1$ } (proof)

$\begin{array}{l} \text{definition } Gr \text{ where} \\ Gr = \\ \{ \\ (\Delta \theta, \{\Delta \theta, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 3\}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{\Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 3\}), \\ (\Delta 2, \{\Delta 2, \Delta 1\}), \\ (\Delta 3, \{\Delta 3, \Delta 1\}) \\ \} \end{array}$

theorem secure: secure

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
end
theory Traceback-Intro
imports ../Safety-Properties
begin
```

9 Traceback Properties

In this section, we prove traceback properties. These properties trace back the actions leading to:

- the current visibility status of a post
- the current friendship status of two users

They state that the current status can only occur via a "legal" sequence of actions. Because the BD properties have (dynamic triggers within) declassification bounds that refer to such statuses, the traceback properties complement BD Security in adding confidentiality assurance. [1, Section 5.2] gives more details and explanations.

```
end
theory Post-Visibility-Traceback
imports Traceback-Intro
begin
```

```
consts PID :: postID consts VIS :: vis
```

9.1 Tracing Back Post Visibility Status

We prove the following traceback property: If, at some point t on a system trace, the visibility of a post *PID* has a value *VIS*, then one of the following holds:

- Either VIS is FriendV (i.e., friends-only) which is the default at post creation
- Or the post's owner had issued a successful "update visibility" action setting the visibility to *VIS*, and no other successful update actions to *PID*'s visibility occur between the time of that action and *t*.

This will be captured in the predicate *proper*, and the main theorem states that *proper tr* holds for any trace tr that leads to post *PID* acquiring visibility *VIS*.

 $SNC \ uidd \ trn \ means$ "The transaction trn is a successful post creation by user uidd"

fun SNC :: $userID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$ **where** $SNC uidd (Trans s (Cact (cPost uid p pid tit)) ou s') = (ou = outOK \land (uid, pid) = (uidd, PID))$

 $SNC \ uidd \ - = False$

SNVU uidd vvs trn means "The transaction trn is a successful post visibility update for *PID*, by user *uidd*, to value vvs"

fun SNVU :: $userID \Rightarrow vis \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where$ <math>SNVU uidd vvs (Trans s (Uact (uVisPost uid p pid vs)) ou s') = $(ou = outOK \land (uid, pid) = (uidd, PID) \land vs = vvs)$

SNVU uidd vvis - = False

definition proper :: (state, act, out) trans trace \Rightarrow bool where proper $tr \equiv$ VIS = FriendV \lor (\exists uid tr1 trn tr2 trnn tr3. $tr = tr1 @ trn \# tr2 @ trnn \# tr3 \land$ SNC uid trn \land SNVU uid VIS trnn \land (\forall vis. never (SNVU uid vis) tr3))

definition proper1 :: (state, act, out) trans trace \Rightarrow bool where proper1 tr \equiv \exists tr2 trnn tr3. tr = tr2 @ trnn # tr3 \land SNVU (owner (srcOf trnn) PID) VIS trnn

lemma not-never-ex: **assumes** \neg never P xs **shows** \exists xs1 x xs2. xs = xs1 @ x # xs2 \land P x \land never P xs2 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma SNVU-postIDs: assumes validTrans trn and SNVU uid vs trnshows $PID \in \in postIDs (srcOf trn)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma SNVU-visib: assumes validTrans trn and SNVU uid vs trn shows vis (tgtOf trn) PID = vs $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma owner-validTrans: assumes validTrans trn and $PID \in \in postIDs (srcOf trn)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma owner-valid: assumes valid tr and PID $\in \in postIDs (srcOf (hd tr))$ **shows** owner (srcOf (hd tr)) PID = owner (tgtOf (last tr)) PID $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma SNVU-vis-validTrans: assumes validTrans trn and PID $\in \in postIDs (srcOf trn)$ and $\forall vs. \neg SNVU (owner (srcOf trn) PID) vs trn$ **shows** vis (srcOf trn) PID = vis (tgtOf trn) PID $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma SNVU-vis-valid: assumes valid tr and PID $\in \in postIDs$ (srcOf (hd tr)) and \forall vis. never (SNVU (owner (srcOf (hd tr)) PID) vis) tr **shows** vis (srcOf (hd tr)) PID = vis (tgtOf (last tr)) PID $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** proper1-never: **assumes** *vtr*: *valid tr* **and** *PID*: *PID* $\in \in$ *postIDs* (*srcOf* (*hd tr*)) and tr: proper1 tr and v: vis (tgtOf (last tr)) PID = VIS **shows** \exists *tr2 trnn tr3*. $tr = tr2 @ trnn \# tr3 \land$ SNVU (owner (srcOf trnn) PID) VIS trnn \land (\forall vis. never (SNVU (owner (srcOf trnn) PID) vis) tr3) $\langle proof \rangle$

shows owner (srcOf trn) PID = owner (tgtOf trn) PID

lemma SNVU-validTrans: **assumes** validTrans trn **and** PID $\in \in$ postIDs (srcOf trn) **and** vis (srcOf trn) PID \neq VIS **and** vis (tgtOf trn) PID = VIS **shows** SNVU (owner (srcOf trn) PID) VIS trn $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma proper1-valid: **assumes** $V: VIS \neq FriendV$ **and** a: valid tr $\begin{array}{l} PID \in \in \ postIDs \ (srcOf \ (hd \ tr)) \\ vis \ (srcOf \ (hd \ tr)) \ PID \neq VIS \\ vis \ (tgtOf \ (last \ tr)) \ PID = VIS \\ \textbf{shows} \ proper1 \ tr \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

lemma *istate-postIDs*: \neg *PID* $\in \in$ *postIDs istate* $\langle proof \rangle$

definition proper2 :: (state, act, out) trans trace \Rightarrow bool where proper2 tr \equiv \exists uid tr1 trn tr2. tr = tr1 @ trn # tr2 \land SNC uid trn

lemma SNC-validTrans: **assumes** $VIS \neq FriendV$ and validTrans trn and $\neg PID \in \in postIDs$ (srcOf trn) and $PID \in \in postIDs$ (tgtOf trn) **shows** \exists uid. SNC uid trn $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma proper2-valid: **assumes** V: VIS \neq FriendV **and** a: valid tr \neg PID $\in \in$ postIDs (srcOf (hd tr)) PID $\in \in$ postIDs (tgtOf (last tr)) **shows** proper2 tr $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma proper2-valid-istate: **assumes** V: VIS \neq FriendV **and** a: valid tr srcOf (hd tr) = istate PID $\in \in$ postIDs (tgtOf (last tr)) **shows** proper2 tr $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma SNC-visPost: **assumes** $VIS \neq FriendV$ **and** validTrans trn SNC uid trn **and** reach (srcOf trn) **shows** vis (tgtOf trn) PID \neq VIS $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma SNC-postIDs:
assumes validTrans trn and SNC uid trn
shows PID \in \in postIDs (tgtOf trn)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma SNC-owner:
assumes validTrans trn and SNC uid trn
shows uid = owner (tgtOf trn) PID
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
theorem post-accountability:
assumes v: valid tr and i: srcOf (hd tr) = istate
and PIDin: PID \in \in postIDs (tgtOf (last tr))
and PID: vis (tgtOf (last tr)) PID = VIS
shows proper tr
\langle proof \rangle
```

end theory Friend-Traceback imports Traceback-Intro begin

9.2 Tracing Back Friendship Status

We prove the following traceback property: If, at some point t on a system trace, the users UID and UID' are friends, then one of the following holds:

- Either *UID* had issued a friend request to *UID'*, eventually followed by an approval (i.e., a successful *UID*-friend creation action) by *UID'* such that between that approval and t there was no successful *UID'*-unfriending (i.e., friend deletion) by *UID* or *UID*-unfriending by *UID'*
- Or vice versa (with *UID* and *UID'* swapped)

This property is captured by the predicate *proper*, which decomposes any valid system trace tr starting in the initial state for which the target state tgtOf (*last tr*) has *UID* and *UID*' as friends, as follows: tr is the concatenation of tr1, trn, tr2, trnn and tr3 where

- *trn* represents the time of the relevant friend request
- *trnn* represents the time of the approval of this request
- tr3 contains no unfriending between the two users

The main theorem states that proper tr holds for any trace tr that leads to UID and UID' being friends.

consts UID :: userID consts UID' :: userID

SFRC means "is a successful friend request creation"

fun SFRC :: $userID \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where$ SFRC uidd uidd' (Trans s (Cact (cFriendReq uid p uid' -)) ou s') = (ou = outOK $<math>\land (uid, uid') = (uidd, uidd'))$ | SFRC uidd uidd' - = False

SFC means "is a successful friend creation"

fun SFC :: userID \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where SFC uidd uidd' (Trans s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) ou s') = (ou = outOK \land (uid, uid') = (uidd, uidd'))

 $SFC \ uidd \ uidd' \ - = \ False$

SFD means "is a successful friend deletion"

```
fun SFD :: userID \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where
SFD uidd uidd' (Trans s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) ou s') = (ou = outOK \land (uid, uid') = (uidd, uidd'))
```

SFD uidd uidd' - = False

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } proper1 ::: (state, act, out) \ trans \ trace \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ proper1 \ tr \equiv \\ \exists \ trr \ trnn \ tr3. \ tr = trr \ @ \ trnn \ \# \ tr3 \ \land \\ (SFC \ UID \ UID' \ trnn \ \lor \ SFC \ UID' \ UID \ trnn) \ \land \\ never \ (SFD \ UID \ UID') \ tr3 \ \land \ never \ (SFD \ UID' \ UID) \ tr3 \end{array}$

lemma SFC-validTrans: **assumes** validTrans trn **and** \neg UID' $\in \in$ friendIDs (srcOf trn) UID **and** UID' $\in \in$ friendIDs (tgtOf trn) UID **shows** SFC UID UID' trn \lor SFC UID' UID trn $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma SFD-validTrans: **assumes** validTrans trn **and** UID' $\in \in$ friendIDs (tgtOf trn) UID **shows** \neg SFD UID UID' trn $\land \neg$ SFD UID' UID trn $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma SFC-SFD: assumes SFC uid1 uid2 trn shows \neg SFD uid3 uid4 trn $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma proper1-valid: assumes valid tr and $\neg UID' \in \in friendIDs (srcOf (hd tr)) UID$ and $UID' \in \in friendIDs (tgtOf (last tr)) UID$ shows proper1 tr $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma istate-friendIDs: $\neg UID' \in \in friendIDs (istate) UID$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma proper1-valid-istate: assumes valid tr and srcOf (hd tr) = istate and UID' $\in \in$ friendIDs (tgtOf (last tr)) UID shows proper1 tr $\langle proof \rangle$

definition proper2 :: userID \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans trace \Rightarrow bool where proper2 uid uid' tr \equiv \exists tr1 trnn tr2. tr = tr1 @ trnn # tr2 \land SFRC uid uid' trnn

lemma SFRC-validTrans: **assumes** validTrans trn **and** \neg uid $\in \in$ pendingFReqs (srcOf trn) uid' **and** uid $\in \in$ pendingFReqs (tgtOf trn) uid' **shows** SFRC uid uid' trn $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma proper2-valid: **assumes** valid tr **and** \neg uid $\in \in$ pendingFReqs (srcOf (hd tr)) uid' **and** uid $\in \in$ pendingFReqs (tgtOf (last tr)) uid' **shows** proper2 uid uid' tr $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma istate-pendingFReqs: \neg uid $\in \in$ pendingFReqs (istate) uid' $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma proper2-valid-istate: assumes valid tr and srcOf (hd tr) = istate and uid $\in \in$ pendingFReqs (tgtOf (last tr)) uid' shows proper2 uid uid' tr $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma SFC-pendingFReqs: **assumes** validTrans trn and SFC uid' uid trn shows uid $\in \in pendingFReqs$ (srcOf trn) uid' $\langle proof \rangle$

definition proper :: (state, act, out) trans trace \Rightarrow bool where proper tr \equiv \exists tr1 trn tr2 trnn tr3. tr = tr1 @ trn # tr2 @ trnn # tr3 \land (SFRC UID' UID trn \land SFC UID UID' trnn \lor SFRC UID UID' trn \land SFC UID 'UID trnn) \land never (SFD UID UID') tr3 \land never (SFD UID' UID) tr3 **theorem** friend-accountability:

assumes v: valid tr and i: srcOf (hd tr) = istateand UID: UID' $\in \in$ friendIDs (tgtOf (last tr)) UID shows proper tr $\langle proof \rangle$

end

References

- T. Bauereiß, A. Pesenti Gritti, A. Popescu, and F. Raimondi. Cosmed: A confidentiality-verified social media platform. In J. C. Blanchette and S. Merz, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving - 7th International Conference, ITP 2016, Nancy, France, August 22-25, 2016, Proceedings*, volume 9807 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 87–106. Springer, 2016.
- [2] T. Bauereiß, A. Pesenti Gritti, A. Popescu, and F. Raimondi. CoSMed: A confidentiality-verified social media platform. J. Autom. Reason., 61(1-4):113–139, 2018.
- [3] S. Kanav, P. Lammich, and A. Popescu. A conference management system with verified document confidentiality. In A. Biere and R. Bloem, editors, Computer Aided Verification - 26th International Conference, CAV 2014, Held as Part of the Vienna Summer of Logic, VSL 2014, Vienna, Austria, July 18-22, 2014. Proceedings, volume 8559 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 167–183. Springer, 2014.
- [4] A. Popescu, T. Bauereiss, and P. Lammich. Bounded-Deducibility security (invited paper). In L. Cohen and C. Kaliszyk, editors, 12th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving, ITP 2021, June 29 to July 1, 2021, Rome, Italy (Virtual Conference), volume 193 of LIPIcs, pages 3:1–3:20. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021.

- [5] A. Popescu, P. Lammich, and T. Bauereiss. Bounded-deducibility security. In G. Klein, T. Nipkow, and L. Paulson, editors, *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2014.
- [6] A. Popescu, P. Lammich, and P. Hou. Cocon: A conference management system with formally verified document confidentiality. J. Autom. Reason., 65(2):321–356, 2021.