# CoSMeDis: A confidentiality-verified distributed social media platform

Thomas Bauereiss Andrei Popescu

March 19, 2025

#### Abstract

This entry contains the confidentiality verification of the (functional kernel of) the CoSMeDis distributed social media platform presented in [3]. CoSMeDis is a multi-node extension the CoSMed prototype social media platform [2, 4, 6]. The confidentiality properties are formalized as instances of BD Security [7, 8]. The lifting of confidentiality properties from single nodes to the entire CoSMeDis network is performed using compositionality and transport theorems for BD Security, which are described in [3] and formalized in the AFP entry [5].

# Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3
2	<b>Pre</b> 2.1 2.2	liminaries The basic types	<b>5</b> 5 7
3	The	CoSMeDis single node specification	8
	3.1	The state	9
	3.2	The actions	10
		3.2.1 Initialization of the system	10
		3.2.2 Starting action	11
		3.2.3 Creation actions	11
		3.2.4 Deletion (removal) actions	13
		3.2.5 Updating actions	13
		3.2.6 Reading actions	14
		3.2.7 Listing actions	17
		3.2.8 Actions of communication with other APIs	20
	3.3	The step function	23
	3.4	Code generation	33
4	The	CoSMeDis network of communicating nodes	34

6	Pos	t confie	dentiality	<b>41</b>
	6.1	Confid	entiality for a secret issuer node	42
		6.1.1	Observation setup	42
		6.1.2	Unwinding helper lemmas and definitions	45
		6.1.3	Value setup	52
		6.1.4	Issuer declassification bound	54
		6.1.5	Unwinding proof	55
	6.2	Confid	entiality for a secret receiver node	57
		6.2.1	Observation setup	57
		6.2.2	Unwinding helper definitions and lemmas	60
		6.2.3	Value setup	65
		6.2.4	Declassification bound	67
		6.2.5	Unwinding proof	67
	6.3	Confid	entiality for the (binary) issuer-receiver composition	69
	6.4	Confid	entiality for the N-ary composition	73
	6.5	Variati	ion with dynamic declassification trigger	77
		6.5.1	Issuer value setup	77
		6.5.2	Issuer declassification bound	81
		6.5.3	Issuer unwinding proof	83
		6.5.4	Confidentiality for the (binary) issuer-receiver compo-	
			sition	86
		6.5.5	Confidentiality for the N-ary composition	90
	6.6	Variati	ion with multiple independent secret posts	94
		6.6.1	Issuer observation setup	94
		6.6.2	Issuer value setup	97
		6.6.3	Issuer declassification bound	02
		6.6.4	Issuer unwinding proof	03
		6.6.5	Receiver observation setup 10	06
		6.6.6	Receiver value setup	09
		6.6.7	Receiver declassification bound	11
		6.6.8	Receiver unwinding proof	11
		6.6.9	Confidentiality for the N-ary composition 1	13
		6.6.10	Composition of confidentiality guarantees for different	
			posts	16
7	Frie	endship	o status confidentiality 12	21
	7.1	Observ	vation setup $\ldots \ldots 12$	22
	7.2	Unwin	ding helper definitions and lemmas	23
	7.3	Dynan	nic declassification trigger	31
	7.4	Value	Setup $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	33
	7.5	Declas	sification bound	35
	7.6	Unwin	ding proof	36

38

	7.7	Confidentiality for the N-ary composition
8	Frie	ndship request confidentiality 140
	8.1	Value setup
	8.2	Declassification bound
	8.3	Unwinding proof
	8.4	Confidentiality for the N-ary composition
9	Ren	note (outer) friendship status confidentiality 151
	9.1	Issuer node
		9.1.1 Observation setup
		9.1.2 Unwinding helper definitions and lemmas 155
		9.1.3 Dynamic declassification trigger
		9.1.4 Value setup
		9.1.5 Declassification bound
		9.1.6 Unwinding proof
	9.2	Receiver nodes
		9.2.1 Observation setup
		9.2.2 Unwinding helper definitions and lemmas 169
		9.2.3 Value Setup
		9.2.4 Declassification bound
		9.2.5 Unwinding proof
	9.3	Confidentiality for the N-ary composition

# 1 Introduction

This entry contains the confidentiality verification of the (functional kernel of) the CoSMeDis distributed social media platform presented in [3].

CoSMed [2, 4] (whose formalization is described in a separate AFP entry, [6]) is a simple Facebook-style social media platform where users can register, create posts and establish friendship relationships. CoSMeDis is a multi-node distributed extension of CoSMed that follows a Diasporastyle scheme [1]: Different nodes can be deployed independently at different internet locations. The admins of any two nodes can initiate a protocol to connect these nodes, after which the users of one node can establish friendship relationships and share data with users of the other. Thus, a node of CoSMeDis consists of CoSMed plus actions for connecting nodes and cross-node post sharing and friending.

After this introduction and a section on technical preliminaries/prerequisites, this document presents the specification of a single CoSMeDis node, followed by a specification of the entire CoSMeDis network, consisting of a finite but unbounded number of mutually communicating nodes.

Next is a section on proved safety properties about the system—essentially, some system invariants that are needed in the proofs of confidentiality.

Next come the main sections, those dealing with confidentiality. The confidentiality properties of CoSMeDis (like those of CoSMed) are formalized as instances of BD Security [7], a general confidentiality verification framework that has been formalized in the AFP entry [8]. They cover confidentiality aspects about:

- posts
- friendship status (whether or not two users are friends)
- friendship request status (whether or not a user has submitted a friendship request to another user)

Each of these types of confidentiality properties have dedicated sections (and corresponding folders in the formalization) with self-explanatory names.

In addition to the properties lifted from CoSMed, we also prove the confidentiality of remote friendships (i.e., friendship relations established between users at different nodes), which is a new feature of CoSMeDis compared to CoSMed. This has a dedicated section/folder as well.

The properties are first proved for individual nodes, and then they are lifted to the entire CoSMeDis network using compositionality and transport theorems for BD Security, which are described in [3] and formalized in the AFP entry [5].

All the sections on confidentiality follow a similar structure (with some variations), as can be seen in the names of their subsections. There are subsections for:

- defining the observation and secrecy infrastructures<sup>1</sup>
- defining the declassification bounds and triggers<sup>2</sup>
- the main results, namely:
  - the BD Security instance proved by unwinding for an individual node
  - the lifting of this result from a CoSMeDis node to an entire network using the *n*-ary compositionality theorem for BD security

In the case of post confidentiality and outer friend confidentiality, the secret may be communicated from the issuer to other nodes. For this purpose, we formalize corresponding local security properties for the issuer and the receiver nodes, contained in separate subsections with names containing "Issuer" and "Receiver", respectively.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{NB}:$  The secrets are called "values" in the formalization.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ In many cases, the CoSMed and CoSMeDis bounds incorporate the triggers as well—see [3, Appendix C] and [4, Section 3.3].

In the case of post confidentiality, we have a version with static declassification trigger and one with dynamic trigger. (The dynamic version is described in [3, Appendix C].) Moreover, in the section on "independent posts", we formalize the lifting of the confidentiality of one given (arbitrary but fixed) post to the confidentiality of two posts of arbitrary nodes of the network (as described in [3, Appendix E]).

As a matter of notation, this formalization (similarly to all our AFP formalizations involving BD security) differs from the paper [3] (and on most papers on CoSMed, CoSMeDis or CoCon) in that the secrets are called "values" (and consequently the type of secrets is denoted by "value"), and are ranged over by v rather than s. On the other hand, we use s (rather than  $\sigma$ ) to range over states. Moreover, the formalization uses the following notations for the various BD security components:

- $\varphi$  for the secret discriminator for isSec
- f for the secret selector getSec
- $\gamma$  for the observation discriminator isObs
- g for the observation selector getObs

Finally, what the paper [3] refers to as "nodes" are referred in the formalization as "APIs". (The "API" terminology is justified by the fact that nodes behave similarly to a form communicating APIs.)

# 2 Preliminaries

```
theory Prelim
imports
Fresh-Identifiers.Fresh-String
Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Trivia
begin
```

#### 2.1 The basic types

definition emptyStr = STR ''''

datatype name = Nam String.literaldefinition  $emptyName \equiv Nam emptyStr$ datatype inform = Info String.literaldefinition  $emptyInfo \equiv Info emptyStr$ 

**datatype** user = Usr name inform **fun** nameUser **where** nameUser (Usr name info) = name **fun** infoUser **where** infoUser (Usr name info) = info **definition**  $emptyUser \equiv Usr emptyName emptyInfo$ 

typedecl raw-data code-printing type-constructor raw-data  $\rightarrow$  (Scala) java.io.File

**datatype** *img* = *emptyImg* | *Imag raw-data* 

datatype vis = Vsb String.literal

**abbreviation** Friend  $V \equiv Vsb$  (STR "friend")

**abbreviation**  $PublicV \equiv Vsb (STR "public")$ **fun** stringOfVis **where** stringOfVis (Vsb str) = str

datatype title = Tit String.literaldefinition  $emptyTitle \equiv Tit emptyStr$ datatype text = Txt String.literaldefinition  $emptyText \equiv Txt emptyStr$ 

datatype post = Pst title text img

**fun** titlePost **where** titlePost (Pst title text img) = title **fun** textPost **where** textPost (Pst title text img) = text **fun** imgPost **where** imgPost (Pst title text img) = img

**fun** set TitlePost where set TitlePost (Pst title text img) title' = Pst title' text img **fun** set TextPost where set TextPost(Pst title text img) text' = Pst title text' img **fun** setImgPost where setImgPost (Pst title text img) img' = Pst title text img'

**definition** emptyPost :: post where  $emptyPost \equiv Pst \ emptyTitle \ emptyText \ emptyImg$ 

**lemma** titlePost-emptyPost[simp]: titlePost emptyPost = emptyTitle and textPost-emptyPost[simp]: textPost emptyPost = emptyText and imgPost-emptyPost[simp]: imgPost emptyPost = emptyImg

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** set-get-post[simp]: titlePost (setTitlePost ntc title) = title titlePost (setTextPost ntc text) = titlePost ntc titlePost (setImgPost ntc img) = titlePost ntc textPost (setTitlePost ntc title) = textPost ntc textPost (setTextPost ntc text) = text textPost (setImgPost ntc img) = textPost ntc

imgPost (setTitlePost ntc title) = imgPost ntc imgPost (setTextPost ntc text) = imgPost ntc imgPost (setImgPost ntc img) = img

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** setTextPost-absorb[simp]: setTitlePost (setTitlePost pst tit) tit1 = setTitlePost pst tit1 setTextPost (setTextPost pst txt) txt1 = setTextPost pst txt1 setImgPost (setImgPost pst img) img1 = setImgPost pst img1

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

datatype password = Psw String.literaldefinition  $emptyPass \equiv Psw emptyStr$ 

datatype salt = Slt String.literaldefinition  $emptySalt \equiv Slt emptyStr$ 

**datatype** requestInfo = ReqInfo String.literal **definition** emptyRequestInfo = ReqInfo emptyStr

## 2.2 Identifiers

datatype apiID = Aid String.literaldatatype userID = Uid String.literaldatatype postID = Pid String.literal

**definition**  $emptyApiID \equiv Aid emptyStr$  **definition**  $emptyUserID \equiv Uid emptyStr$ **definition**  $emptyPostID \equiv Pid emptyStr$ 

**fun** apiIDAsStr **where** apiIDAsStr (Aid str) = str

**definition** getFreshApiID apiIDs  $\equiv$  Aid (fresh (set (map apiIDAsStr apiIDs)) (STR ''1''))

**lemma** ApiID-apiIDAsStr[simp]:  $Aid (apiIDAsStr apiID) = apiID \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** member-apiIDAsStr-iff[simp]:  $str \in apiIDAsStr$  '  $apiIDs \iff Aid \ str \in apiIDs$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** getFreshApiID:  $\neg$  getFreshApiID apiIDs  $\in \in$  apiIDs  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun userIDAsStr where userIDAsStr (Uid str) = str

**definition** getFreshUserID userIDs  $\equiv$  Uid (fresh (set (map userIDAsStr userIDs)) (STR ''2''))

**lemma** UserID-userIDAsStr[simp]: Uid (userIDAsStr userID) = userID  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** member-userIDAsStr-iff[simp]:  $str \in userIDAsStr$  '(set userIDs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  Uid  $str \in e$  userIDs  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** getFreshUserID:  $\neg$  getFreshUserID userIDs  $\in \in$  userIDs  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**fun** postIDAsStr **where** postIDAsStr (*Pid* str) = str

**definition** getFreshPostID postIDs  $\equiv$  Pid (fresh (set (map postIDAsStr postIDs)) (STR ''3''))

**lemma** PostID-postIDAsStr[simp]: Pid (postIDAsStr postID) = postID  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** member-postIDAsStr-iff[simp]:  $str \in postIDAsStr$  ' (set postIDs)  $\iff$  Pid  $str \in e postIDs \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** getFreshPostID:  $\neg$  getFreshPostID postIDs  $\in \in$  postIDs  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

## 3 The CoSMeDis single node specification

This is the specification of a CoSMeDis node, as described in Sections II and IV.B of [3]. NB: What that paper refers to as "nodes" are referred in this

formalization as "APIs".

A CoSMeDis node extends CoSMed [2, 4, 6] with inter-node communication actions.

theory System-Specification imports Prelim Bounded-Deducibility-Security.IO-Automaton begin

An aspect not handled in this specification is the uniqueness of the node IDs. These are assumed to be handled externally as follows: a node ID is an URI, and therefore is unique.

declare List.insert[simp]

## 3.1 The state

```
record state =
    admin :: userID
```

 $\begin{array}{l} pendingUReqs :: userID \ list\\ userReq :: userID \Rightarrow requestInfo\\ userIDs :: userID \ list\\ user :: userID \Rightarrow user\\ pass :: userID \Rightarrow password \end{array}$ 

 $pendingFReqs :: userID \Rightarrow userID \ list$  $friendReq :: userID \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow requestInfo$  $friendIDs :: userID \Rightarrow userID \ list$ 

 $sentOuterFriendIDs :: userID \Rightarrow (apiID \times userID)$  list recvOuterFriendIDs :: userID  $\Rightarrow$  (apiID  $\times$  userID) list

 $\begin{array}{l} postIDs :: postID \ list\\ post :: postID \Rightarrow post\\ owner :: postID \Rightarrow userID\\ vis :: postID \Rightarrow vis \end{array}$ 

 $pendingSApiReqs :: apiID \ list$  $sApiReq :: apiID \Rightarrow requestInfo$  $serverApiIDs :: apiID \ list$ 

 $serverPass :: apiID \Rightarrow password$   $outerPostIDs :: apiID \Rightarrow postID \ list$   $outerPost :: apiID \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow post$   $outerOwner :: apiID \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow userID$  $outerVis :: apiID \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow vis$   $pendingCApiReqs :: apiID \ list \\ cApiReq :: apiID \Rightarrow requestInfo \\ clientApiIDs :: apiID \ list$ 

 $clientPass :: apiID \Rightarrow password$  $sharedWith :: postID \Rightarrow (apiID \times bool)$  list

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } IDsOK :: state \Rightarrow userID \ list \Rightarrow postID \ list \Rightarrow (apiID \times postID \ list)\\ list \Rightarrow apiID \ list \Rightarrow bool\\ \textbf{where}\\ IDsOK \ s \ uIDs \ pIDs \ saID-pIDs-s \ caIDs \equiv\\ list-all \ (\lambda \ uID. \ uID \ \in \in \ userIDs \ s) \ uIDs \ \land\\ list-all \ (\lambda \ pID. \ pID \ \in \in \ postIDs \ s) \ pIDs \ \land\\ list-all \ (\lambda \ pID. \ pID \ \in \in \ outerPostIDs \ s \ aID) \ pIDs) \ saID-pIDs-s \ \land\\ list-all \ (\lambda \ aID. \ aID \ \in \in \ outerPostIDs \ s \ aID) \ pIDs) \ saID-pIDs-s \ \land\\ list-all \ (\lambda \ aID. \ aID \ \in \in \ outerPostIDs \ s \ aID) \ pIDs) \ saID-pIDs-s \ \land\\ list-all \ (\lambda \ aID. \ aID \ \in \in \ clientApiIDs \ s) \ caIDs \end{array}$ 

#### 3.2 The actions

#### 3.2.1 Initialization of the system

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition istate :: state} \\ \textbf{where} \\ istate \equiv \\ ( \\ admin = emptyUserID, \\ \\ pendingUReqs = [], \\ userReq = (\lambda \ uID. \ emptyRequestInfo), \\ userIDs = [], \\ user = (\lambda \ uID. \ emptyUser), \\ pass = (\lambda \ uID. \ emptyPass), \\ \\ pendingFReqs = (\lambda \ uID. \ []), \\ friendReq = (\lambda \ uID \ uID'. \ emptyRequestInfo), \\ friendIDs = (\lambda \ uID. \ []), \end{array}$ 

sentOuterFriendIDs =  $(\lambda \ uID. \ []),$ recvOuterFriendIDs =  $(\lambda \ uID. \ []),$ 

postIDs = [], $post = (\lambda \ papID. \ emptyPost),$   $owner = (\lambda \ pID. \ emptyUserID),$  $vis = (\lambda \ pID. \ FriendV),$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} pendingSApiReqs = [],\\ sApiReq = (\lambda \ aID. \ emptyRequestInfo),\\ serverApiIDs = [],\\ serverPass = (\lambda \ aID. \ emptyPass),\\ outerPostIDs = (\lambda \ aID. \ []),\\ outerPost = (\lambda \ aID \ papID. \ emptyPost),\\ outerOwner = (\lambda \ aID \ papID. \ emptyUserID),\\ outerVis = (\lambda \ aID \ pID. \ FriendV), \end{array}
```

```
pendingCApiReqs = [], \\ cApiReq = (\lambda aID. emptyRequestInfo), \\ clientApiIDs = [], \\ clientPass = (\lambda aID. emptyPass), \\ sharedWith = (\lambda pID. []) \\ ]
```

#### 3.2.2 Starting action

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } startSys :: \\ state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow state \\ \textbf{where} \\ startSys \; s \; uID \; p \equiv \\ s \; (|admin := uID, \\ userIDs := [uID], \\ user := (user \; s) \; (uID := emptyUser), \\ pass := (pass \; s) \; (uID := p)) \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e-startSys* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-startSys s uID*  $p \equiv$  *userIDs s* = []

### 3.2.3 Creation actions

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ createNUReq :: \ state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow requestInfo \Rightarrow \ state \\ \textbf{where} \\ createNUReq \ s \ uID \ reqInfo \equiv \\ s \ (pendingUReqs := \ pendingUReqs \ s \ @ \ [uID], \\ userReq := \ (userReq \ s)(uID := \ reqInfo) \\ \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e-createNUReq* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *requestInfo*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-createNUReq s uID requestInfo*  $\equiv$ *admin s*  $\in \in userIDs$  *s*  $\land \neg uID \in \in userIDs$  *s*  $\land \neg uID \in \in pendingUReqs$  *s*  **definition** createUser :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  state where

 $createUser \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \ p' \equiv \\ s \ (userIDs := uID' \ \# \ (userIDs \ s), \\ user := (user \ s) \ (uID' := emptyUser), \\ pass := (pass \ s) \ (uID' := p'), \\ pendingUReqs := remove1 \ uID' \ (pendingUReqs \ s), \\ userReq := (userReq \ s)(uID := emptyRequestInfo))$ 

**definition** *e-createUser* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  **where**  *e-createUser s uID p uID' p'*  $\equiv$  *IDsOK s* [*uID*] [] []  $\land$  *pass s uID* = *p*  $\land$  *uID* = *admin s*  $\land$  *uID'*  $\in \in$  *pendingUReqs s* 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ createPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow state \\ \textbf{where} \\ createPost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \equiv \\ s \ (postIDs := pID \ \# \ postIDs \ s, \\ post := \ (post \ s) \ (pID := \ emptyPost), \\ owner := \ (owner \ s) \ (pID := \ uID)) \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ e\text{-}createPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow bool\\ \textbf{where}\\ e\text{-}createPost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \equiv\\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \ [] \ \land pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land\\ \neg \ pID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \end{array}$ 

**definition** createFriendReq :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  requestInfo  $\Rightarrow$  state **where** createFriendReq s uID p uID' req  $\equiv$ let pfr = pendingFReqs s in s (pendingFReqs := pfr (uID' := pfr uID' @ [uID]), friendReq := fun-upd2 (friendReq s) uID uID' req) **definition** e-createFriendReq :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  re-

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{definition} \ e\text{-}createFriendateq} \ .. \ state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow passworu \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow reg\\ questInfo \Rightarrow bool\\ \textbf{where}\\ e\text{-}createFriendReq \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \ req \equiv\\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID, uID'] \ [] \ [] \ \land pass \ s \ uID = p \land\\ \neg \ uID \in \in \ pendingFReqs \ s \ uID' \land \neg \ uID \in \in \ friendIDs \ s \ uID'\\ \end{array}$ 

**definition** createFriend :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  state where

 $\begin{array}{l} createFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \equiv \\ let \ fr \ = \ friendIDs \ s; \ pfr \ = \ pendingFReqs \ s \ in \\ s \ ([friendIDs := \ fr \ uID \ := \ fr \ uID \ @ \ [uID'], \ uID' \ := \ fr \ uID' \ @ \ [uID]), \\ pendingFReqs \ := \ pfr \ (uID \ := \ remove1 \ uID' \ (pfr \ uID), \ uID' \ := \ remove1 \ uID \\ (pfr \ uID')), \\ friendReq \ := \ fun-upd2 \ (friendReq \ s) \ uID' \ uID \ emptyRequestInfo) \end{array}$ 

friendReq := fun-upd2 (fun-upd2 (friendReq s) uID' uID emptyRequestInfo uID uID' emptyRequestInfo)

**definition** *e*-createFriend :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  bool where *e*-createFriend *s* uID *p* uID'  $\equiv$ IDsOK *s* [uID,uID'] [] []  $\land$  pass *s* uID = *p*  $\land$ uID'  $\in \in$  pendingFReqs *s* uID

#### 3.2.4 Deletion (removal) actions

**definition** deleteFriend :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  state **where** deleteFriend s uID p uID'  $\equiv$ let fr = friendIDs s in s (friendIDs := fr (uID := removeAll uID' (fr uID), uID' := removeAll uID (fr uID')))

**definition** *e*-deleteFriend :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  bool where *e*-deleteFriend *s* uID *p* uID'  $\equiv$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{IDsOK s [uID,uID'] [] [] \land \textit{pass s uID} = p \land \\ \textit{uID'} \in \in \textit{friendIDs s uID} \end{array}$ 

#### 3.2.5 Updating actions

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } updateUser :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow name \Rightarrow inform \Rightarrow state \\ \textbf{where} \\ updateUser s ~ uID ~ p ~ p' ~ name ~ info \equiv \\ s ~ (user := (user ~ s) ~ (uID := Usr ~ name ~ info), \\ pass := (pass ~ s) ~ (uID := p')) \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e-updateUser* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *name*  $\Rightarrow$  *inform*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  **where**  *e-updateUser s uID p p' name info*  $\equiv$ *IDsOK s* [*uID*] [] []  $\land$  *pass s uID* = *p* 

**definition**  $updatePost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow post \Rightarrow state$ 

#### where

 $\begin{array}{l} updatePost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \ pst \equiv \\ let \ sW \ = \ sharedWith \ s \ in \\ s \ (post \ := \ (post \ s) \ (pID \ := \ pst), \\ sharedWith \ := \ sW \ (pID \ := \ map \ (\lambda \ (aID, \text{-}). \ (aID, False)) \ (sW \ pID))) \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e-updatePost* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *postID*  $\Rightarrow$  *post*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** 

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-updatePost $s$ uID $p$ pID $pst $\equiv$}\\ IDsOK $s$ [uID] [pID] [] $|] \land pass $s$ uID = $p \land $owner $s$ pID = $uID$} \end{array}$ 

**definition**  $updateVisPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow vis \Rightarrow state$ where

 $updateVisPost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \ vs \equiv$  $s \ (vis := (vis \ s) \ (pID := vs))$ 

**definition** *e-updateVisPost* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *postID*  $\Rightarrow$  *vis*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** 

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-updateVisPost s uID } p \text{ } pID \text{ } vs \equiv \\ IDsOK \text{ } s \text{ } [uID] \text{ } [pID] \text{ } [] \text{ } |] \wedge pass \text{ } s \text{ } uID = p \wedge \\ owner \text{ } s \text{ } pID = uID \wedge vs \in \{FriendV, \text{ } PublicV\} \end{array}$ 

#### 3.2.6 Reading actions

**definition** readNUReq :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  requestInfo where madNUReq e sID  $\Rightarrow$  ulp = userReq e sID'

 $readNUReq \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \equiv \ userReq \ s \ uID'$ 

**definition** *e-readNUReq* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** 

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-readNUReq $s$ uID $p$ uID' \equiv$}\\ IDsOK $s$ [uID] [] [] \land pass $s$ uID = $p$ \land$\\ uID = admin $s$ \land uID' \in epringUReqs $s$ \end{array}$ 

**definition** readUser :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  name where readUser s uID p uID'  $\equiv$  nameUser (user s uID')

**definition** *e-readUser* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-readUser s uID p uID'*  $\equiv$ 

 $[IDSOK \ s \ [uID, uID'] \ [] \ [] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p$ 

**definition** readAmIAdmin :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  bool where readAmIAdmin s uID  $p \equiv$  uID = admin s **definition** e-readAmIAdmin :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  bool where e-readAmIAdmin s uID  $p \equiv$ IDsOK s [uID] [] []  $\land$  pass s uID = p

**definition** readPost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  post where madPost  $\Rightarrow$  uID  $\Rightarrow$  not  $\Rightarrow$  nID

 $readPost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \equiv post \ s \ pID$ 

**definition** *e*-readPost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  *e*-readPost *s* uID *p* pID  $\equiv$ IDsOK *s* [uID] [pID] []  $\land$  pass *s* uID = *p*  $\land$ (owner *s* pID = uID  $\lor$  uID  $\in \in$  friendIDs *s* (owner *s* pID)  $\lor$  vis *s* pID = PublicV)

definition readOwnerPost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  userID where

 $readOwnerPost\ s\ uID\ p\ pID\equiv\ owner\ s\ pID$ 

**definition** e-readOwnerPost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  bool where e-readOwnerPost s uID p pID  $\equiv$ IDsOK s [uID] [pID] [] (]  $\land$  pass s uID = p  $\land$ (admin s = uID  $\lor$  owner s pID = uID  $\lor$  uID  $\in \in$  friendIDs s (owner s pID)  $\lor$ 

 $vis \ s \ pID = PublicV$ 

**definition** readVisPost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  vis where readVisPost s uID p pID  $\equiv$  vis s pID

**definition** e-readVisPost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  bool where e-readVisPost s uID p pID  $\equiv$ IDsOK s [uID] [pID] [] (]  $\land$  pass s uID = p  $\land$ (admin s = uID  $\lor$  owner s pID = uID  $\lor$  uID  $\in \in$  friendIDs s (owner s pID)  $\lor$ vis s pID = PublicV)

**definition** readOPost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  post where readOPost s uID p aID pID  $\equiv$  outerPost s aID pID

**definition** *e-readOPost* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID*  $\Rightarrow$  *postID*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-readOPost s uID p aID pID*  $\equiv$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \ [(aID, [pID])] \ [] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land \\ (admin \ s = uID \ \lor \ (aID, outerOwner \ s \ aID \ pID) \in \in \ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s \ uID \ \lor \\ outerVis \ s \ aID \ pID = PublicV) \end{array}$ 

**definition** readOwnerOPost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  userID

where

 $readOwnerOPost \ s \ uID \ p \ aID \ pID \equiv outerOwner \ s \ aID \ pID$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ e\text{-read} \textit{OwnerOPost} :: \textit{state} \Rightarrow \textit{userID} \Rightarrow \textit{password} \Rightarrow \textit{apiID} \Rightarrow \textit{postID} \\ \Rightarrow \textit{bool} \end{array}$ 

where

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-read}OwnerOPost\ s\ uID\ p\ aID\ pID \equiv\\ IDsOK\ s\ [uID]\ []\ [(aID,[pID])]\ []\ \land\ pass\ s\ uID\ =\ p\ \land\\ (admin\ s\ =\ uID\ \lor\ (aID,outerOwner\ s\ aID\ pID)\ \in\in\ recvOuterFriendIDs\ s\ uID\ \lor\ outerVis\ s\ aID\ pID\ =\ PublicV) \end{array}$ 

**definition** readVisOPost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  vis where

 $\mathit{readVisOPost}\ s\ \mathit{uID}\ p\ \mathit{aID}\ \mathit{pID} \equiv \mathit{outerVis}\ s\ \mathit{aID}\ \mathit{pID}$ 

**definition** *e-readVisOPost* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID*  $\Rightarrow$  *postID*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* 

where

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-readVisOPost s uID p aID pID} \equiv \\ let \ post = \ outerPost \ s \ aID \ pID \ in \\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \ [(aID,[pID])] \ [] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land \\ (admin \ s = \ uID \ \lor \ (aID, outerOwner \ s \ aID \ pID) \in \in \ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s \ uID \ \lor \\ outerVis \ s \ aID \ pID = \ PublicV) \end{array}$ 

**definition**  $readFriendReqToMe :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow requestInfo$ **where** 

 $readFriendReqToMe \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \equiv friendReq \ s \ uID' \ uID$ 

**definition** *e*-readFriendReqToMe :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  bool where *e*-readFriendReqToMe *s* uID *p* uID'  $\equiv$ 

 $IDsOK \ s \ [uID, uID'] \ [] \ [] \land pass \ s \ uID = p \land uID' \in \epsilon \ pendingFReqs \ s \ uID$ 

**definition**  $readFriendReqFromMe :: state <math>\Rightarrow$   $userID \Rightarrow$   $password \Rightarrow$   $userID \Rightarrow$  requestInfowhere  $readFriendReqFromMe \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \equiv friendReq \ s \ uID \ uID'$ 

**definition** *e-readFriendReqFromMe* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* 

where e-readFriendReqFromMe s uID p uID'  $\equiv$   $IDsOK \ s \ [uID, uID'] \ [] \ [] \ \land pass \ s \ uID = p \land$  $uID \in \in pendingFReqs \ s \ uID'$ 

**definition**  $readSApiReq :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow requestInfo$ where

 $\mathit{readSApiReq}~s~\mathit{uID}~p~\mathit{uID'} \equiv \mathit{sApiReq}~s~\mathit{uID'}$ 

**definition** *e-readSApiReq* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-readSApiReq s uID p uID'*  $\equiv$ *IDsOK s [uID]* [] []  $\land$  *pass s uID* = *p*  $\land$ 

 $uID = admin \ s \land uID' \in \in pendingSApiReqs \ s$ 

**definition**  $readCApiReq :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow requestInfo$ **where**  $<math>readCApiReq \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \equiv cApiReq \ s \ uID'$ 

**definition** e-readCApiReq :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  bool where e-readCApiReq s uID p uID'  $\equiv$ IDsOK s [uID] [] []  $\land$  pass s uID = p  $\land$ uID = admin s  $\land$  uID'  $\in \in$  pendingCApiReqs s

#### 3.2.7 Listing actions

**definition** *listPendingUReqs* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID list* **where** *listPendingUReqs s uID p*  $\equiv$  *pendingUReqs s* 

**definition** *e-listPendingUReqs* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-listPendingUReqs s uID p*  $\equiv$ *IDsOK s [uID]* [] []  $\land$  *pass s uID* = *p*  $\land$  *uID* = *admin s* 

**definition** *listAllUsers* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID list* **where** *listAllUsers s uID p*  $\equiv$  *userIDs s* 

**definition** *e-listAllUsers* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** 

e-listAllUsers s uID  $p \equiv IDsOK s$  [uID]  $[] (] \land pass s uID = p$ 

**definition** *listFriends* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID list* **where** 

listFriends s uID p uID'  $\equiv$  friendIDs s uID'

**definition** *e-listFriends* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  **where**  *e-listFriends s uID p uID'*  $\equiv$  *IDsOK s* [*uID*,*uID'*] [] []  $\land$  *pass s uID* = *p*  $\land$ (*uID* = *uID'*  $\lor$  *uID*  $\in \in$  *friendIDs s uID'*)

**definition** *listSentOuterFriends* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  (*apiID*  $\times$  *userID*) *list*  **where** *listSentOuterFriends s uID p uID'*  $\equiv$  *sentOuterFriendIDs s uID'* 

**definition** *e-listSentOuterFriends* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  **where**  *e-listSentOuterFriends s uID p uID'*  $\equiv$  *IDsOK s* [*uID*,*uID'*] [] []  $\land$  *pass s uID* = *p*  $\land$ (*uID* = *uID'*  $\lor$  *uID*  $\in \in$  *friendIDs s uID'*)

**definition** *listRecvOuterFriends* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  (*apiID*  $\times$  *userID*) *list* **where** *listRecvOuterFriends s uID*  $p \equiv$  *recvOuterFriendIDs s uID* 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ e\text{-}listRecvOuterFriends :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow bool \\ \textbf{where} \\ e\text{-}listRecvOuterFriends \ s \ uID \ p \equiv \\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \ [] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \end{array}$ 

**definition** *listInnerPosts* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  (*userID*  $\times$  *postID*) *list* **where** 

 $\begin{array}{l} listInnerPosts \ s \ uID \ p \equiv \\ [(owner \ s \ pID, \ pID). \\ pID \leftarrow postIDs \ s, \\ vis \ s \ pID \neq FriendV \ \lor \ uID \in \in \ friendIDs \ s \ (owner \ s \ pID) \ \lor \ uID = \ owner \ s \\ pID \\ ] \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e-listInnerPosts* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-listInnerPosts s uID*  $p \equiv IDsOK$  *s*  $[uID] [] [] \land pass$  *s uID* = p  $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ listOuterPosts :: \ state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow (apiID \times postID) \ list\\ \textbf{where}\\ listOuterPosts \ s \ uID \ p \equiv\\ [(saID, \ pID).\\ saID \leftarrow serverApiIDs \ s,\\ pID \leftarrow outerPostIDs \ s \ saID,\\ outerVis \ s \ saID \ pID = PublicV \lor (saID, \ outerOwner \ s \ saID \ pID) \in \in \ recvOuter-FriendIDs \ s \ uID\\ \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e-listOuterPosts* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-listOuterPosts s uID*  $p \equiv IDsOK$  *s*  $[uID] [] [] \land pass$  *s* uID = p

**definition** *listClientsPost* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *postID*  $\Rightarrow$  (*apiID*  $\times$  *bool*) *list*  **where** *listClientsPost s uID p pID*  $\equiv$  *sharedWith s pID* 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ e\text{-list}ClientsPost :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow bool \\ \textbf{where} \\ e\text{-list}ClientsPost \ s \ uID \ p \ pID \equiv \\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [pID] \ [] \ [] \ \land pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land uID = admin \ s \end{array}$ 

**definition** *listPendingSApiReqs* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID list* **where** *listPendingSApiReqs s uID p*  $\equiv$  *pendingSApiReqs s* 

**definition** *e-listPendingSApiReqs* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-listPendingSApiReqs s uID*  $p \equiv$ *IDsOK s [uID]*  $[] [] \land$  *pass s uID* = *p*  $\land$  *uID* = *admin s* 

**definition** *listServerAPIs* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID list* **where** *listServerAPIs s uID*  $p \equiv$  *serverApiIDs s* 

**definition**  $listPendingCApiReqs :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID list where$ 

 $listPendingCApiReqs \ s \ uID \ p \equiv pendingCApiReqs \ s$ 

**definition** *e-listPendingCApiReqs* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-listPendingCApiReqs s uID p*  $\equiv$ *IDsOK s* [*uID*] [] []  $\land$  *pass s uID* = *p*  $\land$  *uID* = *admin s* 

**definition** *listClientAPIs* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID list* **where** *listClientAPIs s uID*  $p \equiv$  *clientApiIDs s* 

**definition** *e-listClientAPIs* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-listClientAPIs s uID p*  $\equiv$ *IDsOK s [uID]* [] []  $\land$  *pass s uID* = *p*  $\land$  *uID* = *admin s* 

## 3.2.8 Actions of communication with other APIs

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } sendServerReq :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow requestInfo \\ \Rightarrow (apiID \times requestInfo) \times state \\ \textbf{where} \\ sendServerReq \ s \ uID \ p \ aID \ reqInfo \equiv \\ ((aID, reqInfo), \\ s \ (pendingSApiReqs := \ pendingSApiReqs \ s \ @ \ [aID], \\ sApiReq := \ (sApiReq \ s) \ (aID := \ reqInfo) )) \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ e\text{-sendServerReq} :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow requestInfo \\ \Rightarrow \ bool \\ \textbf{where} \\ e\text{-sendServerReq} \ s \ uID \ p \ aID \ reqInfo \equiv \\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \ [] \ \land pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land \\ uID = admin \ s \ \land \neg \ aID \in \in \ pendingSApiReqs \ s \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ receiveClientReq :: state \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow requestInfo \Rightarrow state \\ \textbf{where} \\ receiveClientReq \ s \ aID \ reqInfo \equiv \\ s \ (pendingCApiReqs := pendingCApiReqs \ s \ @ \ [aID], \\ cApiReq := (cApiReq \ s) \ (aID := reqInfo)) \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e-receiveClientReq* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID*  $\Rightarrow$  *requestInfo*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** *e-receiveClientReq s aID reqInfo*  $\equiv$ 

 $\neg aID \in \in pendingCApiReqs \ s \land admin \ s \in \in userIDs \ s$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } connectClient :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow (apiID \times password) \times state \\ \textbf{where} \\ connectClient s uID p aID cp \equiv \\ ((aID, cp), \\ s (clientApiIDs := (aID \# clientApiIDs s), \\ clientPass := (clientPass s) (aID := cp), \\ pendingCApiReqs := remove1 aID (pendingCApiReqs s), \\ cApiReq := (cApiReq s)(aID := emptyRequestInfo)) \\ ) \end{array}
```

**definition** *e-connectClient* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** 

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-connectClient } s \ uID \ p \ aID \ cp \equiv \\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \ [] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land \\ uID = admin \ s \ \land \\ aID \in \in \ pendingCApiReqs \ s \ \land \ \neg \ aID \in \in \ clientApiIDs \ s \end{array}$ 

**definition** connectServer :: state  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  state where

 $\begin{array}{l} connectServer \ s \ aID \ sp \equiv \\ s \ (serverApiIDs := (aID \ \# \ serverApiIDs \ s), \\ serverPass := (serverPass \ s) \ (aID := \ sp), \\ pendingSApiReqs := remove1 \ aID \ (pendingSApiReqs \ s), \\ sApiReq := (sApiReq \ s)(aID := \ emptyRequestInfo)) \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e-connectServer* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  **where**  *e-connectServer s aID sp*  $\equiv$ *aID*  $\in \in$  *pendingSApiReqs s*  $\land \neg$  *aID*  $\in \in$  *serverApiIDs s* 

```
{\bf definition} \ sendPost::
```

 $\begin{array}{l} state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow postID \Rightarrow (apiID \times password \times postID \\ \times post \times userID \times vis) \times state \\ \textbf{where} \\ sendPost \ s \ uID \ p \ aID \ pID \equiv \\ ((aID, \ clientPass \ s \ aID, \ pID, \ post \ s \ pID, \ owner \ s \ pID, \ vis \ s \ pID), \\ s(|sharedWith := (sharedWith \ s) \ (pID := insert2 \ aID \ True \ (sharedWith \ s \ pID))))) \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e-sendPost* :: *state*  $\Rightarrow$  *userID*  $\Rightarrow$  *password*  $\Rightarrow$  *apiID*  $\Rightarrow$  *postID*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* 

#### where

 $\begin{array}{l} e\text{-sendPost $s$ uID $p$ aID $pID \equiv$}\\ IDsOK $s$ [uID] [pID] [] [aID] $\land$ pass $s$ uID = $p$ $\land$}\\ uID = admin $s$ $\land$ aID $\in $\in$ clientApiIDs $s$ $\end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ receivePost :: \ state \Rightarrow \ apiID \Rightarrow \ password \Rightarrow \ postID \Rightarrow \ post \Rightarrow \ userID \\ \Rightarrow \ vis \Rightarrow \ state \\ \textbf{where} \\ \hline receivePost \ s \ aID \ sp \ pID \ pst \ uID \ vs \equiv \\ let \ opIDs = \ outerPostIDs \ s \ in \\ s \ (outerPostIDs := \ opIDs \ (aID := \ List.insert \ pID \ (opIDs \ aID)), \\ outerPost := \ fun-upd2 \ (outerPost \ s) \ aID \ pID \ pst, \\ outerOwner := \ fun-upd2 \ (outerOwner \ s) \ aID \ pID \ uID, \\ outerVis := \ fun-upd2 \ (outerVis \ s) \ aID \ pID \ vs) \end{array}$ 

**definition** *e*-receivePost :: state  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  postID  $\Rightarrow$  post  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  vis  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where** *e*-receivePost s aID sp pID nt uID vs  $\equiv$ 

 $IDsOK \ s \ [] \ [] \ [(aID, [])] \ [] \ \land \ serverPass \ s \ aID = \ sp$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } sendCreateOFriend ::\\ state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow (apiID \times password \times userID \\ \times userID) \times state\\ \textbf{where}\\ sendCreateOFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ aID \ uID' \equiv\\ let \ ofr \ = \ sentOuterFriendIDs \ s \ in\\ ((aID, \ clientPass \ s \ aID, \ uID, \ uID'),\\ s \ (sentOuterFriendIDs \ := \ ofr \ (uID \ := \ ofr \ uID \ @ \ [(aID, uID')]))) \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ e\text{-sendCreateOFriend} :: state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow userID \\ \Rightarrow \ bool \\ \textbf{where} \\ e\text{-sendCreateOFriend} \ s \ uID \ p \ caID \ uID' \equiv \\ IDsOK \ s \ [uID] \ [] \ [caID] \ \land \ pass \ s \ uID = p \ \land \\ \neg \ (caID, uID') \in \in \ sentOuterFriendIDs \ s \ uID \end{array}$ 

**definition** receiveCreateOFriend :: state  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  state where receiveCreateOFriend s saID sp uID uID'  $\equiv$  let  $ofr = recvOuterFriendIDs \ s \ in$  $s \ (recvOuterFriendIDs := ofr \ (uID' := ofr \ uID' @ [(saID, uID)]))$ 

**definition** *e*-receiveCreateOFriend :: state  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$ userID  $\Rightarrow$  bool where *e*-receiveCreateOFriend s saID sp uID uID'  $\equiv$ IDsOK s [] [] [(saID,[])] []  $\land$  serverPass s saID = sp  $\land$  $\neg$  (saID,uID)  $\in \in$  recvOuterFriendIDs s uID'

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } sendDeleteOFriend ::\\ state \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow password \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow userID \Rightarrow (apiID \times password \times userID \\ \times userID) \times state\\ \textbf{where}\\ sendDeleteOFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ aID \ uID' \equiv\\ let \ ofr \ = \ sentOuterFriendIDs \ s \ in\\ ((aID, \ clientPass \ s \ aID, \ uID, \ uID'),\\ s \ (sentOuterFriendIDs \ := \ ofr \ (uID \ := \ remove1 \ (aID, uID') \ (ofr \ uID))))) \end{array}$ 

**definition** e-sendDeleteOFriend :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where** e-sendDeleteOFriend s uID p caID uID'  $\equiv$ IDsOK s [uID] [] [] [caID]  $\land$  pass s uID = p  $\land$ (caID,uID')  $\in \in$  sentOuterFriendIDs s uID

**definition** receiveDeleteOFriend :: state  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$  state **where** receiveDeleteOFriend s saID sp uID uID'  $\equiv$ let ofr = recvOuterFriendIDs s in s (recvOuterFriendIDs := ofr (uID' := remove1 (saID, uID) (ofr uID')))

**definition** *e*-receiveDeleteOFriend :: state  $\Rightarrow$  apiID  $\Rightarrow$  password  $\Rightarrow$  userID  $\Rightarrow$ userID  $\Rightarrow$  bool where *e*-receiveDeleteOFriend s saID sp uID uID'  $\equiv$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} IDsOK \; s \; [] \; [] \; [(saID, [])] \; [] \; \land \; serverPass \; s \; saID = \; sp \; \land \\ (saID, uID) \; \in \in \; recvOuterFriendIDs \; s \; uID' \end{array}$ 

### 3.3 The step function

 ${\bf datatype} \ out =$ 

 $outOK \mid outErr \mid$ 

outBool bool| outName name | outPost post | outVis vis | outReq requestInfo |

outUID userID | outUIDL userID list | outAIDL apiID list | outAIDBL (apiID × bool) list | outUIDPIDL (userID × postID)list | outAIDPIDL (apiID × postID)list | outAIDUIDL (apiID × userID) list |

 $\begin{array}{l} O\text{-sendServerReq } apiID \times requestInfo \mid O\text{-connectClient } apiID \times password \mid \\ O\text{-sendPost } apiID \times password \times postID \times post \times userID \times vis \mid \\ O\text{-sendCreateOFriend } apiID \times password \times userID \times userID \mid \\ O\text{-sendDeleteOFriend } apiID \times password \times userID \times userID \end{array}$ 

fun from-O-sendPost where
from-O-sendPost (O-sendPost antt) = antt
|from-O-sendPost - = undefined

**datatype** sActt = sSys userID password

**lemmas** s-defs = e-startSys-def startSys-def

**fun**  $sStep :: state \Rightarrow sActt \Rightarrow out * state where$  $<math>sStep \ s \ (sSys \ uID \ p) =$   $(if \ e\text{-startSys } s \ uID \ p$   $then \ (outOK, \ startSys \ s \ uID \ p)$  $else \ (outErr, \ s))$ 

**fun**  $sUserOfA :: sActt \Rightarrow userID$  where sUserOfA (sSys uID p) = uID

datatype cActt = cNUReq userID requestInfo |cUser userID password userID password |cPost userID password postID |cFriendReq userID password userID requestInfo |cFriend userID password userID

**lemmas** c-defs = e-createNUReq-def createNUReq-def e-createUser-def createUser-def e-createPost-def createPost-def

```
e-createFriendReq-def createFriendReq-def
e-createFriend-def createFriend-def
fun cStep :: state \Rightarrow cActt \Rightarrow out * state where
cStep \ s \ (cNUReq \ uID \ req) =
(if e-createNUReq s uID req
   then (outOK, createNUReq s uID req)
   else (outErr, s))
cStep \ s \ (cUser \ uID \ p \ uID' \ p') =
(if e-createUser \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \ p'
   then (outOK, createUser s uID p uID' p')
   else (outErr, s))
cStep \ s \ (cPost \ uID \ p \ pID) =
(if e-createPost s uID p pID
   then (outOK, createPost s uID p pID)
   else (outErr, s))
cStep \ s \ (cFriendReq \ uID \ p \ uID' \ req) =
(if e-createFriendReq s uID p uID' req
   then (outOK, createFriendReq s uID p uID' req)
   else (outErr, s))
cStep \ s \ (cFriend \ uID \ p \ uID') =
(if e-createFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ uID'
   then (outOK, createFriend s uID p uID')
   else (outErr, s))
fun cUserOfA :: cActt \Rightarrow userID where
 cUserOfA (cNUReq uID req) = uID
|cUserOfA (cUser uID p uID' p') = uID
|cUserOfA (cPost uID p pID) = uID
|cUserOfA (cFriendReq uID p uID' req) = uID
|cUserOfA (cFriend uID p uID') = uID
```

**datatype** dActt = dFriend userID password userID

**lemmas** d-defs = e-deleteFriend-def deleteFriend-def

**fun**  $dStep :: state \Rightarrow dActt \Rightarrow out * state where$  $<math>dStep \ s \ (dFriend \ uID \ p \ uID') =$   $(if \ e-deleteFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ uID')$   $then \ (outOK, \ deleteFriend \ s \ uID \ p \ uID')$  $else \ (outErr, \ s))$  **fun**  $dUserOfA :: dActt \Rightarrow userID$  where dUserOfA (dFriend uID p uID') = uID

datatype uActt =
 isuUser: uUser userID password password name inform
 |isuPost: uPost userID password postID post
 lisuVisPost: uVisPost userID password postID vis

**lemmas** u-defs = e-updateUser-def updateUser-def e-updatePost-def updatePost-def e-updateVisPost-def updateVisPost-def

fun uStep :: state ⇒ uActt ⇒ out \* state where
uStep s (uUser uID p p' name info) =
 (if e-updateUser s uID p p' name info
 then (outOK, updateUser s uID p p' name info)
 else (outErr, s))
 uStep s (uPost uID p pID pst) =
 (if e-updatePost s uID p pID pst
 then (outOK, updatePost s uID p pID pst)
 else (outErr, s))
 uStep s (uVisPost uID p pID visStr) =
 (if e-updateVisPost a uID p pID visStr)

(if e-updateVisPost s uID p pID visStr then (outOK, updateVisPost s uID p pID visStr) else (outErr, s))

```
fun uUserOfA :: uActt \Rightarrow userID where

uUserOfA (uUser uID p p' name info) = uID

|uUserOfA (uPost uID p pID pst) = uID

|uUserOfA (uVisPost uID p pID visStr) = uID
```

datatype rActt = rNUReq userID password userID |rUser userID password userID |rAmIAdmin userID password

*rPost userID password postID* 

|rOwnerPost userID password postID |rVisPost userID password postID

*rOPost userID password apiID postID* 

|rOwnerOPost userID password apiID postID |rVisOPost userID password apiID postID

|rFriendReqToMe userID password userID |rFriendReqFromMe userID password userID |rSApiReq userID password apiID |rCApiReq userID password apiID

**lemmas** r-defs = readNUReq-def e-readNUReq-def readUser-def e-readUser-def readAmIAdmin-def e-readAmIAdmin-def

 $readPost-def\ e\ readPost-def$ 

readOwnerPost-def e-readOwnerPost-def readVisPost-def e-readVisPost-def

 $readOPost-def\ e\ readOPost-def$ 

readOwnerOPost-def e-readOwnerOPost-def readVisOPost-def e-readVisOPost-def

```
readFriendReqToMe-def e-readFriendReqToMe-def
readFriendReqFromMe-def e-readFriendReqFromMe-def
readSApiReq-def e-readSApiReq-def
readCApiReq-def e-readCApiReq-def
```

```
fun rObs :: state \Rightarrow rActt \Rightarrow out where
rObs s (rNUReq uID p uID') =
(if e-readNUReq s uID p uID' then outReq (readNUReq s uID p uID') else outErr)
|
rObs s (rUser uID p uID') =
(if e-readUser s uID p uID' then outName (readUser s uID p uID') else outErr)
|
rObs s (rAmIAdmin uID p) =
(if e-readAmIAdmin s uID p then outBool (readAmIAdmin s uID p) else outErr)
|
rObs s (rPost uID p pID) =
(if e-readPost s uID p pID then outPost (readPost s uID p pID) else outErr)
|
rObs s (rOwnerPost uID p pID) =
(if e-readOwnerPost s uID p pID) =
(if e-readVisPost s uID p pID) = (if e-readVisPost s uID p pID) else outErr)
```

 $rObs \ s \ (rOPost \ uID \ p \ aID \ pID) =$ (if e-readOPost s uID p aID pID then outPost (readOPost s uID p aID pID) else outErr)  $rObs \ s \ (rOwnerOPost \ uID \ p \ aID \ pID) =$ (if e-readOwnerOPost s uID p aID pID then outUID (readOwnerOPost s uID p aID pID) else outErr)  $rObs \ s \ (rVisOPost \ uID \ p \ aID \ pID) =$ (if e-readVisOPost s uID p aID pID then outVis (readVisOPost s uID p aID pID) else outErr)  $rObs \ s \ (rFriendReqToMe \ uID \ p \ uID') =$ (if e-readFriendReqToMe s uID p uID' then outReq (readFriendReqToMe s uID p uID') else outErr)  $rObs \ s \ (rFriendReqFromMe \ uID \ p \ uID') =$  $(if e-readFriendReqFromMe \ s \ uID \ p \ uID' \ then \ outReq \ (readFriendReqFromMe \ s$ *uID p uID'*) *else outErr*)  $rObs \ s \ (rSApiReq \ uID \ p \ aID) =$ (if e-readSApiReq s uID p aID then outReq (readSApiReq s uID p aID) else outErr)  $rObs \ s \ (rCApiReq \ uID \ p \ aID) =$ (*if e-readCApiReq s uID p aID then outReq (readCApiReq s uID p aID) else outErr*) **fun**  $rUserOfA :: rActt \Rightarrow userID$  where rUserOfA ( $rNUReq \ uID \ p \ uID'$ ) = uID|rUserOfA (rUser uID p uID') = uID|rUserOfA (rAmIAdmin uID p) = uID|rUserOfA (rPost uID p pID) = uID|rUserOfA (rOwnerPost uID p pID) = uID|rUserOfA (rVisPost uID p pID) = uID|rUserOfA (rOPost uID p aID pID) = uID|rUserOfA (rOwnerOPost uID p aID pID) = uID|rUserOfA (rVisOPost uID p aID pID) = uID|rUserOfA (rFriendReqToMe uID p uID') = uID|rUserOfA (rFriendReqFromMe uID p uID') = uID|rUserOfA (rSApiReq uID p aID) = uID|rUserOfA (rCApiReq uID p aID) = uID

datatype lActt =

lPendingUReqs userID password lAllUsers userID password lFriends userID password userID lSentOuterFriends userID password userID lRecvOuterFriends userID password lInnerPosts userID password lOuterPosts userID password lClientsPost userID password lPendingSApiReqs userID password lServerAPIs userID password lPendingCApiReqs userID password lClientAPIs userID password

#### lemmas l-defs =

listPendingUReqs-def e-listPendingUReqs-def listAllUsers-def e-listAllUsers-def listFriends-def e-listFriends-def listSentOuterFriends-def e-listSentOuterFriends-def listRecvOuterFriends-def e-listRecvOuterFriends-def listInnerPosts-def e-listInnerPosts-def listOuterPosts-def e-listOuterPosts-def listClientsPost-def e-listClientsPost-def listPendingSApiReqs-def e-listPendingSApiReqs-def listServerAPIs-def e-listServerAPIs-def listPendingCApiReqs-def e-listPendingCApiReqs-def listClientAPIs-def e-listClientAPIs-def

fun lObs :: state  $\Rightarrow$  lActt  $\Rightarrow$  out where lObs s (lPendingUReqs uID p) = (if e-listPendingUReqs s uID p then outUIDL (listPendingUReqs s uID p) else outErr) | lObs s (lAllUsers uID p) = (if e-listAllUsers s uID p then outUIDL (listAllUsers s uID p) else outErr) | lObs s (lFriends uID p uID') = (if e-listFriends s uID p uID' then outUIDL (listFriends s uID p uID') else outErr) | lObs s (lSentOuterFriends uID p uID') = (if e-listSentOuterFriends s uID p uID') = (if e-listSentOuterFriends s uID p uID') = (if e-listSentOuterFriends uID p uID') = (if e-listRecvOuterFriends uID p) = (if e-listRecvOuterFriends uID p)

(*if e-listInnerPosts s uID p then outUIDPIDL* (*listInnerPosts s uID p*) *else outErr*)

 $lObs \ s \ (lOuterPosts \ uID \ p) =$ (if e-listOuterPosts s uID p then outAIDPIDL (listOuterPosts s uID p) else out-Err)  $lObs \ s \ (lClientsPost \ uID \ p \ pID) =$ (if e-listClientsPost s uID p pID then outAIDBL (listClientsPost s uID p pID) else outErr)  $lObs \ s \ (lPendingSApiReqs \ uID \ p) =$ (if e-listPendingSApiReqs s uID p then outAIDL (listPendingSApiReqs s uID p) else outErr)  $lObs \ s \ (lServerAPIs \ uID \ p) =$ (if e-listServerAPIs s uID p then outAIDL (listServerAPIs s uID p) else outErr)  $lObs \ s \ (lClientAPIs \ uID \ p) =$ (if e-listClientAPIs s uID p then outAIDL (listClientAPIs s uID p) else outErr)  $lObs \ s \ (lPendingCApiReqs \ uID \ p) =$ (if e-listPendingCApiReqs s uID p then outAIDL (listPendingCApiReqs s uID p) else outErr)

**fun**  $lUserOfA :: lActt \Rightarrow userID$ **where** <math>lUserOfA ( $lPendingUReqs \ uID \ p$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lAllUsers \ uID \ p$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lFriends \ uID \ p \ uID'$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lSentOuterFriends \ uID \ p \ uID'$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lRecvOuterFriends \ uID \ p$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lInnerPosts \ uID \ p$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lOuterPosts \ uID \ p$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lClientsPost \ uID \ p \ pID$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lClientsPost \ uID \ p$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lClientAPIs \ uID \ p$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lClientAPIs \ uID \ p$ ) = uID lUserOfA ( $lClientAPIs \ uID \ p$ ) = uIDlUserOfA ( $lClientAPIs \ uID \ p$ ) = uID

#### datatype comActt =

comSendServerReq userID password apiID requestInfo comReceiveClientReq apiID requestInfo comConnectClient userID password apiID password comConnectServer apiID password comReceivePost apiID password postID post userID vis comSendPost userID password apiID postID comReceiveCreateOFriend apiID password userID userID comSendCreateOFriend userID password apiID userID

```
comReceiveDeleteOFriend apiID password userID userID
 |comSendDeleteOFriend userID password apiID userID
lemmas com - defs =
sendServerReq-def e-sendServerReq-def
receiveClientReq-def e-receiveClientReq-def
connectClient-def e-connectClient-def
 connectServer-def e-connectServer-def
receivePost-def e-receivePost-def
sendPost-def e-sendPost-def
receiveCreateOFriend-def e-receiveCreateOFriend-def
sendCreateOFriend-def e-sendCreateOFriend-def
receiveDeleteOFriend-def e-receiveDeleteOFriend-def
sendDeleteOFriend-def e-sendDeleteOFriend-def
fun comStep :: state \Rightarrow comActt \Rightarrow out \times state where
comStep \ s \ (comSendServerReq \ uID \ p \ aID \ reqInfo) =
(if e-sendServerReq s uID p aID reqInfo
   then let (x,s) = sendServerReq \ s \ uID \ p \ aID \ reqInfo \ in \ (O-sendServerReq \ x, \ s)
   else (outErr, s))
comStep \ s \ (comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo) =
(if e-receiveClientReq s aID reqInfo then (outOK, receiveClientReq s aID reqInfo)
else (outErr, s))
comStep \ s \ (comConnectClient \ uID \ p \ aID \ cp) =
(if e-connectClient s uID p aID cp
   then let (aID-cp,s) = connectClient \ s \ uID \ p \ aID \ cp \ in \ (O-connectClient \ aID-cp, s)
s)
   else (outErr, s))
comStep \ s \ (comConnectServer \ aID \ sp) =
(if e-connectServer s aID sp then (outOK, connectServer s aID sp) else (outErr,
s))
comStep \ s \ (comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ pID \ nt \ uID \ vs) =
(if e-receivePost s aID sp pID nt uID vs
   then (outOK, receivePost s aID sp pID nt uID vs)
   else (outErr, s))
comStep \ s \ (comSendPost \ uID \ p \ aID \ pID) =
(if e-sendPost s uID p aID pID
   then let (x,s) = sendPost \ s \ uID \ p \ aID \ pID \ in \ (O-sendPost \ x, \ s)
   else (outErr, s))
comStep \ s \ (comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID') =
(if e-receiveCreateOFriend s aID cp uID uID'
   then (outOK, receiveCreateOFriend s aID cp uID uID')
   else (outErr, s))
```

comStep s (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID') =
 (if e-sendCreateOFriend s uID p aID uID'
 then let (apuu,s) = sendCreateOFriend s uID p aID uID' in (O-sendCreateOFriend
 apuu, s)
 else (outErr, s))
 comStep s (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID') =
 (if e-receiveDeleteOFriend s aID cp uID uID'
 then (outOK, receiveDeleteOFriend s aID cp uID uID')
 else (outErr, s))
 comStep s (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID') =
 (if e-sendDeleteOFriend s uID p aID uID')
 else (outErr, s))
 comStep s (comSendDeleteOFriend s uID p aID uID')
 else (outErr, s))
 comStep s (comSendDeleteOFriend s uID p aID uID')
 else (outErr, s))
 comStep s (comSendDeleteOFriend s uID p aID uID')
 else (outErr, s))

```
fun comApiOfA :: comActt \Rightarrow apiID where
comApiOfA (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo) = aID
|comApiOfA (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo) = aID
|comApiOfA (comConnectClient uID p aID sp) = aID
|comApiOfA (comConnectServer aID sp) = aID
|comApiOfA (comReceivePost aID sp pID nt uID vs) = aID
|comApiOfA (comSendPost uID p aID pID) = aID
|comApiOfA (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID') = aID
|comApiOfA (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID') = aID
|comApiOfA (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID') = aID
|comApiOfA (comReceiveDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID') = aID
```

#### datatype act =

isSact: Sact sActt |

isCact: Cact cActt | isDact: Dact dActt | isUact: Uact uActt |

isRact: Ract rActt | isLact: Lact lActt |

isCOMact: COMact comActt

**fun**  $step :: state \Rightarrow act \Rightarrow out * state$ **where**  $step \ s \ (Sact \ sa) = sStep \ s \ sa$  $step \ s \ (Cact \ ca) = cStep \ s \ ca$  $step \ s \ (Dact \ da) = dStep \ s \ da$  $step \ s \ (Uact \ ua) = uStep \ s \ ua$  $step \ s \ (Ract \ ra) = (rObs \ s \ ra, \ s)$ step s (Lact la) = (lObs s la, s)  $step \ s \ (COMact \ ca) = comStep \ s \ ca$ **fun**  $userOfA :: act \Rightarrow userID option where$ userOfA (Sact sa) = Some (sUserOfA sa)userOfA (Cact ca) = Some (cUserOfA ca)userOfA (Dact da) = Some (dUserOfA da)userOfA (Uact ua) = Some (uUserOfA ua) userOfA (Ract ra) = Some (rUserOfA ra)userOfA (Lact la) = Some (lUserOfA la) userOfA (COMact ca) = comUserOfA ca

interpretation IO-Automaton where istate = istate and  $step = step \langle proof \rangle$ 

## 3.4 Code generation

export-code step istate getFreshPostID in Scala

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

## 4 The CoSMeDis network of communicating nodes

This is the specification of an entire CoSMeDis network of communicating nodes, as described in Section IV.B of [3] NB: What that paper refers to as "nodes" are referred in this formalization as "APIs".

```
theory API-Network
imports
  BD-Security-Compositional. Composing-Security-Network
  System-Specification
begin
locale Network =
fixes AIDs :: apiID set
assumes finite-AIDs: finite AIDs
begin
fun comOfO :: apiID \Rightarrow (act \times out) \Rightarrow com where
  comOfO \ aid \ (COMact \ (comSendServerReq \ uid \ password \ aID \ req), \ ou) =
   (if \ aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr \ then \ Send \ else \ Internal)
 comOfO \ aid \ (COMact \ (comConnectClient \ uID \ p \ aID \ sp), \ ou) =
   (if \ aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr \ then \ Send \ else \ Internal)
| comOfO aid (COMact (comSendPost uID p aID nID), ou) =
   (if \ aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr \ then \ Send \ else \ Internal)
| comOfO aid (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID'), ou) =
   (if \ aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr \ then \ Send \ else \ Internal)
| comOfO aid (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID'), ou) =
   (if aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr then Send else Internal)
| comOfO aid (COMact (comReceiveClientReg aID reg), ou) =
   (if aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr then Recv else Internal)
| comOfO aid (COMact (comConnectServer aID sp), ou) =
   (if aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr then Recv else Internal)
| comOfO aid (COMact (comReceivePost aID sp nID ntc uid v), ou) =
   (if \ aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr \ then \ Recv \ else \ Internal)
| comOfO aid (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID sp uid uid'), ou) =
   (if \ aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr \ then \ Recv \ else \ Internal)
| comOfO aid (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID sp uid uid'), ou) =
   (if \ aid \neq aID \land ou \neq outErr \ then \ Recv \ else \ Internal)
| comOfO - - = Internal
fun comOf :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow com where
  comOf \ aid \ (Trans - a \ ou -) = comOfO \ aid \ (a, \ ou)
fun syncO :: apiID \Rightarrow (act \times out) \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow (act \times out) \Rightarrow bool where
  syncO aid1 (COMact (comSendServerReq uid p aid req), ou1) aid2 (a2, ou2) =
```

 $(\exists req2. a2 = (COMact (comReceiveClientReq aid1 req2)) \land ou1 = O-sendServerReq (aid2, req2) \land ou2 = outOK)$ 

```
| syncO aid1 (COMact (comConnectClient uid p aid sp), ou1) aid2 (a2, ou2) = 
(\exists sp2. a2 = (COMact (comConnectServer aid1 sp2)) \land ou1 = O-connectClient
```

 $(aid2, sp2) \land ou2 = outOK)$ 

| syncO aid1 (COMact (comSendPost uid p aid nid), ou1) aid2 (a2, ou2) =

 $(\exists sp2 nid2 ntc2 uid2 v. a2 = (COMact (comReceivePost aid1 sp2 nid2 ntc2 uid2 v)) \land ou1 = O$ -sendPost (aid2, sp2, nid2, ntc2, uid2, v)  $\land ou2 = outOK$ ) | syncO aid1 (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uid p aid uid'), ou1) aid2 (a2, ou2) =

 $(\exists sp2 \ uid2 \ uid2'. \ a2 = (COMact \ (comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aid1 \ sp2 \ uid2')) \land ou1 = O$ -sendCreateOFriend  $(aid2, \ sp2, \ uid2, \ uid2') \land ou2 = outOK)$ | syncO aid1 (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uid p aid uid'), ou1) aid2 (a2, ou2) =

 $(\exists sp2 \ uid2 \ uid2'. \ a2 = (COMact \ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aid1 \ sp2 \ uid2')) \land ou1 = O-sendDeleteOFriend \ (aid2, \ sp2, \ uid2, \ uid2') \land ou2 = outOK)$ | syncO - - - = False

**fun**  $cmpO :: apiID \Rightarrow (act \times out) \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow (act \times out) \Rightarrow (apiID \times act \times out) \times apiID \times act \times out)$  where

 $cmpO \ aid1 \ obs1 \ aid2 \ obs2 = (aid1, fst \ obs1, snd \ obs1, aid2, fst \ obs2, snd \ obs2)$ 

**fun** sync ::  $apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where$ 

sync aid1 (Trans s1 a1 ou1 s1') aid2 (Trans s2 a2 ou2 s2') = syncO aid1 (a1, ou1) aid2 (a2, ou2)

**lemma** syncO-cases: assumes syncO aid1 obs1 aid2 obs2 obtains (Req) uid p aid req1 req2 where obs1 = (COMact (comSendServerReq uid p aid req1), O-sendServerReq(aid2, req2))and obs2 = (COMact (comReceiveClientReq aid1 req2), outOK)| (Connect) uid p aid sp sp2 where obs1 = (COMact (comConnectClient uid p aid sp), O-connectClient(aid2, sp2))and obs2 = (COMact (comConnectServer aid1 sp2), outOK)| (Notice) uid p aid nid sp2 nid2 ntc2 own2 v where obs1 = (COMact (comSendPost uid p aid nid), O-sendPost (aid2, sp2, )nid2, ntc2, own2, v))and obs2 = (COMact (comReceivePost aid1 sp2 nid2 ntc2 own2 v), outOK)(CFriend) uid p aid uid' sp2 uid2 uid2' where obs1 = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uid p aid uid'), O-sendCreateOFriend(aid2, sp2, uid2, uid2'))

and  $obs2 = (COMact \ (comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aid1 \ sp2 \ uid2 \ uid2'), \ outOK)$ | (DFriend) uid p aid uid'  $sp2 \ uid2 \ uid2'$ 

where obs1 = (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uid p aid uid'), O-sendDeleteOFriend (aid2, sp2, uid2, uid2'))

and  $obs2 = (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aid1 sp2 uid2 uid2'), outOK) \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sync-cases: assumes sync aid1 trn1 aid2 trn2 and validTrans trn1

obtains

(Req) uid p aid req s1 s1' s2 s2'

**where** trn1 = Trans s1 (COMact (comSendServerReq uid p aid req)) (O-sendServerReq (aid2,req)) s1'

and  $trn2 = Trans \ s2 \ (COMact \ (comReceiveClientReq \ aid1 \ req)) \ outOK \ s2' \ | \ (Connect) \ uid \ p \ aid \ sp \ s1 \ s1' \ s2 \ s2'$ 

where trn1 = Trans s1 (COMact (comConnectClient uid p aid sp)) (O-connectClient (aid2,sp)) s1'

and  $trn2 = Trans \ s2 \ (COMact \ (comConnectServer \ aid1 \ sp)) \ outOK \ s2' \ | \ (Notice) \ uid \ p \ aid \ nid \ sp2 \ nid2 \ ntc2 \ own2 \ v \ s1 \ s1' \ s2 \ s2'$ 

where  $trn1 = Trans \ s1 \ (COMact \ (comSendPost \ uid \ p \ aid \ nid)) \ (O-sendPost \ (aid2, \ sp2, \ nid2, \ ntc2, \ own2, \ v)) \ s1'$ 

and  $trn2 = Trans \ s2 \ (COMact \ (comReceivePost \ aid1 \ sp2 \ nid2 \ ntc2 \ own2 \ v))$  $outOK \ s2'$ 

| (CFriend) uid p uid' sp s1 s1' s2 s2'

where  $trn1 = Trans \ s1 \ (COMact \ (comSendCreateOFriend \ uid \ p \ aid2 \ uid'))$ (O-sendCreateOFriend (aid2, sp, uid, uid')) s1'

and  $trn2 = Trans \ s2 \ (COMact \ (comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aid1 \ sp \ uid \ uid'))$  $outOK \ s2'$ 

| (DFriend) uid p aid uid' sp s1 s1' s2 s2'

where  $trn1 = Trans \ s1 \ (COMact \ (comSendDeleteOFriend \ uid \ p \ aid2 \ uid'))$ (O-sendDeleteOFriend (aid2, sp, uid, uid')) s1'

and  $trn2 = Trans \ s2 \ (COMact \ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aid1 \ sp \ uid \ uid'))$   $outOK \ s2'$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**fun**  $tgtNodeOfO :: apiID \Rightarrow (act \times out) \Rightarrow apiID$  where

tgtNodeOfO - (COMact (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo), ou) = aID

tgtNodeOfO - (COMact (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo), ou) = aID

tgtNodeOfO - (COMact (comConnectClient uID p aID sp), ou) = aID

tgtNodeOfO - (COMact (comConnectServer aID sp), ou) = aID

| tgtNodeOfO - (COMact (comSendPost uID p aID nID), ou) = aID

tgtNodeOfO - (COMact (comReceivePost aID sp nID title text v), ou) = aID

tgtNodeOfO - (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID'), ou) = aID

tqtNodeOfO - (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID sp uid uid'), ou) = aID

tgtNodeOfO - (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID'), ou) = aID

tgtNodeOfO - (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID sp uid uid'), ou) = aID

```
| tgtNodeOfO - - = undefined
```

**fun**  $tgtNodeOf :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow apiID$ **where**  $<math>tgtNodeOf - (Trans \ (COMact \ (comSendServerReq \ uID \ p \ aID \ reqInfo)) \ ou \ s') = aID$ |  $tgtNodeOf - (Trans \ s \ (COMact \ (comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo)) \ ou \ s') = aID$ |  $tgtNodeOf - (Trans \ s \ (COMact \ (comConnectClient \ uID \ p \ aID \ sp)) \ ou \ s') = aID$ 

 $tgtNodeOf - (Trans \ s \ (COMact \ (comConnectServer \ aID \ sp)) \ ou \ s') = aID$ 

 $\mid tgtNodeOf - (Trans \ s \ (COMact \ (comSendPost \ uID \ p \ aID \ nID)) \ ou \ s') = aID$
| tgtNodeOf - (Trans s (COMact (comReceivePost aID sp nID title text v)) ou s') = aID | tgtNodeOf - (Trans s (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID')) ou s') = aID | tgtNodeOf - (Trans s (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID sp uid uid')) ou s') = aID | tgtNodeOf - (Trans s (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID')) ou s') = aID | tgtNodeOf - (Trans s (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID sp uid uid')) ou s') = aID | tgtNodeOf - (Trans s (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID sp uid uid')) ou s') = aID | tgtNodeOf - (Trans s (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID sp uid uid')) ou

**abbreviation** validTrans ::  $apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$  where validTrans  $aid \equiv System$ -Specification.validTrans

```
sublocale TS-Network
where istate = \lambda-. istate and validTrans = validTrans and srcOf = \lambda-. srcOf
and tgtOf = \lambda-. tgtOf
and nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOf
and sync = sync
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

```
end
theory Automation-Setup
imports System-Specification
begin
```

```
lemma add-prop:

assumes PROP(T)

shows A ==> PROP(T)

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
\mathbf{lemmas} \ exhaust-elim =
```

```
sActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Sact x], rotated -1]
cActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Cact x], rotated -1]
uActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Uact x], rotated -1]
rActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Ract x], rotated -1]
lActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=Lact x], rotated -1]
comActt.exhaust[of x, THEN add-prop[where A=a=COMact x], rotated -1]
for x a
```

```
lemma state-cong:
fixes s::state
assumes
pendingUReqs s = pendingUReqs s1 \land userReq s = userReq s1 \land userIDs s =
```

```
userIDs s1 \land
 postIDs s = postIDs \ s1 \ \land admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land
 user s = user s1 \land pass s = pass s1 \land pendingFReqs s = pendingFReqs s1 \land
friendReq s = friendReq \ s1 \ \land friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1 \ \land
 sentOuterFriendIDs \ s = sentOuterFriendIDs \ s1 \ \land
 recvOuterFriendIDs \ s = recvOuterFriendIDs \ s1 \ \land
 post s = post \ s1 \ \wedge
 owner s = owner \ s1 \ \land \ vis \ s = vis \ s1 \ \land
 pendingSApiReqs \ s = pendingSApiReqs \ s1 \ \land \ sApiReq \ s = sApiReq \ s1 \ \land \ server-
ApiIDs s = serverApiIDs \ s1 \ \land serverPass \ s = serverPass \ s1 \ \land
 outerPostIDs \ s = outerPostIDs \ s1 \ \land \ outerPost \ s = outerPost \ s1 \ \land
 outerOwner \ s = outerOwner \ s1 \ \land \ outerVis \ s = outerVis \ s1 \ \land
 pendingCApiReqs \ s = pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \ \land \ cApiReq \ s = cApiReq \ s1 \ \land \ clien-
tApiIDs \ s = clientApiIDs \ s1 \ \land \ clientPass \ s = clientPass \ s1 \ \land
 sharedWith \ s = sharedWith \ s1
shows s = s1
\langle proof \rangle
```

## $\mathbf{end}$

# 5 Safety properties

Here we prove some safety properties (state invariants) for a CoSMeDis node that are needed in the proof of BD Security properties.

```
theory Safety-Properties
imports
Automation-Setup
begin
declare Let-def[simp]
declare if-splits[split]
declare IDsOK-def[simp]
```

**lemmas** eff-defs = s-defs c-defs d-defs u-defs **lemmas** obs-defs = r-defs l-defs **lemmas** effc-defs = eff-defs com-defs**lemmas** all-defs = effc-defs obs-defs

declare sstep-Cons[simp]

**lemma** Lact-Ract-noStateChange[simp]: **assumes**  $a \in Lact$  ' UNIV  $\cup$  Ract ' UNIV **shows** snd (step s a) = s  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Lact-Ract-noStateChange-set:

**assumes** set  $al \subseteq Lact$  'UNIV  $\cup$  Ract 'UNIV **shows** snd (sstep s al) = s  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** reach-postIDs-persist:  $pID \in \in postIDs \ s \implies step \ s \ a = (ou,s') \implies pID \in \in postIDs \ s' \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** userOfA-not-userIDs-outErr:  $\exists$  uid. userOfA a = Some uid  $\land \neg$  uid  $\in \in$  userIDs  $s \Longrightarrow$   $\forall$  aID uID p name.  $a \neq Sact$  (sSys uID p)  $\Longrightarrow$   $\forall$  uID name.  $a \neq Cact$  (cNUReq uID name)  $\Longrightarrow$ fst (step s a) = outErr  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** reach-vis: reach  $s \Longrightarrow$  vis  $s \ pID \in \{FriendV, \ PublicV\}$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** reach-not-postIDs-emptyPost: reach  $s \Longrightarrow PID \notin set (postIDs \ s) \Longrightarrow post \ s \ PID = emptyPost \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** reach-not-postIDs-friendV: reach  $s \Longrightarrow PID \notin set (postIDs \ s) \Longrightarrow vis \ s \ PID = FriendV \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** reach-owner-userIDs: reach  $s \implies pID \in \in postIDs \ s \implies owner \ s \ pID \in \in userIDs \ s \iff \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** reach-admin-userIDs: reach  $s \implies uID \in \in$  userIDs  $s \implies$  admin  $s \in \in$  userIDs  $s \iff \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** reach-pendingUReqs-distinct: reach  $s \Longrightarrow distinct (pendingUReqs s) \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** reach-pendingUReqs: reach  $s \implies uid \in e pendingUReqs \ s \implies uid \notin set (userIDs \ s) \land admin \ s \in e userIDs \ s \ (proof)$ 

**lemma** reach-friendIDs-symmetric: reach  $s \implies uID1 \in \in$  friendIDs  $s uID2 \leftrightarrow uID2 \in \in$  friendIDs  $s uID1 \langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma reach-distinct-friends-reqs:
assumes reach s
shows distinct (friendIDs s uid) and distinct (pendingFReqs s uid)
 and distinct (sentOuterFriendIDs s uid) and distinct (recvOuterFriendIDs s uid)
 and uid' \in \in pendingFReqs \ s \ uid \implies uid' \notin set \ (friendIDs \ s \ uid)
  and uid' \in e pending FReqs \ s \ uid \implies uid \notin set \ (friend IDs \ s \ uid')
\langle proof \rangle
lemma remove1-in-set: x \in \in remove1 y xs \implies x \in \in xs
\langle proof \rangle
lemma reach-IDs-used-IDsOK[rule-format]:
assumes reach s
shows uid \in e pendingFReqs \ s \ uid' \longrightarrow IDsOK \ s \ [uid, \ uid'] \ [] \ [] \ (is \ ?p)
and uid \in friendIDs \ s \ uid' \longrightarrow IDsOK \ s \ [uid, \ uid'] \ [] \ [] \ (is \ ?f)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma reach-AID-used-valid:
assumes reach s
and aid \in serverApiIDs \ s \lor aid \in clientApiIDs \ s \lor aid \in pendingSApiReqs \ s
\lor aid \in \in pendingCApiReqs s
shows admin s \in \in userIDs s
\langle proof \rangle
lemma IDs-mono[rule-format]:
assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
shows uid \in userIDs \ s \longrightarrow uid \in userIDs \ s' (is ?u)
and nid \in e postIDs \ s \longrightarrow nid \in postIDs \ s' (is ?n)
and aid \in clientApiIDs \ s \longrightarrow aid \in clientApiIDs \ s' (is ?c)
and sid \in serverApiIDs \ s \longrightarrow sid \in serverApiIDs \ s' (is ?s)
and nid \in eouterPostIDs \ s \ aid \longrightarrow nid \in eouterPostIDs \ s' \ aid (is ?o)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma IDsOK-mono:
assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
and IDsOK s uIDs pIDs saID-pIDs-s caIDs
shows IDsOK s' uIDs pIDs saID-pIDs-s caIDs
\langle proof \rangle
lemma step-outerFriendIDs-idem:
assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
and \forall uID \ p \ aID \ uID'. a \neq COMact \ (comSendCreateOFriend \ uID \ p \ aID \ uID') \land
                     a \neq COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID p uID uID') \land
                     a \neq COMact \ (comSendDeleteOFriend \ uID \ p \ aID \ uID') \land
                     a \neq COMact \ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ p \ uID \ uID')
shows sentOuterFriendIDs s' = sentOuterFriendIDs s (is ?sent)
```

```
and recvOuterFriendIDs s' = recvOuterFriendIDs s (is ?recv)

\langle proof \rangle

lemma istate-sSys:

assumes step istate a = (ou, s')

obtains uid p where a = Sact (sSys uid p)

\mid s' = istate

\langle proof \rangle
```

end theory Post-Intro imports ../Safety-Properties begin

# 6 Post confidentiality

We verify the following BD Security property of the CoSMeDis network:

Given a coalition consisting of groups of users  $UIDs \ j$  from multiple nodes j and given a post PID at node i,

the coalition cannot learn anything about the updates to this post

beyond those updates performed while or last before one of the following holds:

(1) Some user in  $UIDs \ i$  is the admin at node i, is the owner of PID or is friends with the owner of PID

(2) PID is marked as public

unless some user in  $UIDs \ j$  for a node j different than i is admin of node j or is remote friend with the owner of PID.<sup>3</sup>

As explained in [3], in order to prove this property for the CoSMeDis network, we compose BD security properties of individual CoSMeDis nodes. When formulating the individual node properties, we will distinguish between the *secret issuer* node i and the (potential) *secret receiver* nodes: all nodes different from i. Consequently, we will have two BD security properties – for issuers and for receivers – proved in their corresponding subsections. Then we prove BD Security for the (binary) composition of an issuer and a receiver node, and finally we prove BD Security for the n-ary composition (of an entire CoSMeDis network of nodes).

Described above is the property in a form that employs a dynamic trigger

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>So *UIDs* is a function from node identifiers (called API IDs in this formalization) to sets of user IDs. We will write *AID* instead of i (which will be fixed in our locales) and *aid* instead of j.

(i.e., an inductive bound that incorporates an iterated trigger) for the secret issuer node. However, the first subsections of this section cover the static version of this (multi-node) property, corresponding to a static BD security property for the secret issuer. The dynamic version is covered after that, in a dedicated subsection.

Finally, we lift the above BD security property, which refers to a single secret source, i.e., a post at some node, to simultaneous BD Security for two independent secret sources, i.e., two different posts at two (possibly different) nodes. For this, we use the BD Security system compositionality and transport theorems formalized in the AFP entry [5]. More details about this approach can be found in [3]; in particular, Appendix A from that paper discusses the transport theorem.

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

theory Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER imports Post-Intro begin

# 6.1 Confidentiality for a secret issuer node

We verify that a group of users of a given node i can learn nothing about the updates to the content of a post *PID* located at that node beyond the existence of an update unless one of them is the admin or the owner of *PID*, or becomes friends with the owner, or *PID* is marked as public. This is formulated as a BD Security property and is proved by unwinding.

See [3] for more details.

### 6.1.1 Observation setup

type-synonym obs = act \* out

**locale** Fixed-UIDs = fixes UIDs :: userID set

**locale** *Fixed-PID* = **fixes** *PID* :: *postID* 

**locale** ObservationSetup-ISSUER = Fixed-UIDs + Fixed-PID **begin** 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ \gamma :: (state, act, out) \ trans \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} \\ \gamma \ (Trans - a - -) \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \ uid. \ userOfA \ a = Some \ uid \ \land \ uid \in \ UIDs) \\ \lor \\ (\exists \ ca. \ a = \ COMact \ ca) \\ \lor \end{array}$ 

 $(\exists uid p. a = Sact (sSys uid p))$ 

**fun**  $sPurge :: sActt \Rightarrow sActt$ **where** <math>sPurge (sSys uid pwd) = sSys uid emptyPass

**fun** comPurge :: comActt  $\Rightarrow$  comActt **where** comPurge (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo) = comSendServerReq uID emptyPass aID reqInfo |comPurge (comConnectClient uID p aID sp) = comConnectClient uID emptyPass aID sp |comPurge (comConnectServer aID sp) = comConnectServer aID sp |comPurge (comSendPost uID p aID pID) = comSendPost uID emptyPass aID pID |comPurge (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID') = comSendCreateOFriend uID emptyPass aID uID' |comPurge (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID') = comSendDeleteOFriend uID emptyPass aID uID' |comPurge (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID') = comSendDeleteOFriend uID emptyPass aID uID' |comPurge ca = ca

fun  $outPurge :: out \Rightarrow out$  where outPurge (O-sendPost (aID, sp, pID, pst, uID, vs)) = (let pst' = (if pID = PID then emptyPost else pst) in O-sendPost (aID, sp, pID, pst', uID, vs))|outPurge ou = ou

#### **fun** $g :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ where

g (Trans - (Sact sa) ou -) = (Sact (sPurge sa), outPurge ou)

|g (Trans - (COMact ca) ou -) = (COMact (comPurge ca), outPurge ou)

|g (Trans - a ou -) = (a, ou)

#### **lemma** comPurge-simps:

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendServerReq\ uID\ p\ aID\ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendServerReq\ uID\ p'\ aID\ reqInfo \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo$ 

comPurge ca = comConnectClient uID p aID sp  $\leftrightarrow (\exists p'. ca = comConnectClient uID p' aID sp \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comConnectServer \ aID \ sp \leftrightarrow ca = comConnectServer \ aID \ sp comPurge \ ca = comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v \leftrightarrow ca = comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p\ aID\ nID \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p'\ aID\ nID \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendCreateOFriend\ uID\ p\ aID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendCreateOFriend\ uID\ p'\ aID\ uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ 

ceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID' comPurge ca = comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID'  $\leftrightarrow (\exists p'. ca = com-SendDeleteOFriend uID p' aID uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ comPurge ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID'  $\leftrightarrow ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID'$ (proof)

**lemma** *outPurge-simps*[*simp*]:

outPurge ou = outErr  $\leftrightarrow$  ou = outErr outPurge ou = outOK  $\leftrightarrow$  ou = outOK outPurge ou = O-sendServerReq ossr  $\leftrightarrow$  ou = O-sendServerReq ossr outPurge ou = O-connectClient occ  $\leftrightarrow$  ou = O-connectClient occ outPurge ou = O-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst', uid, vs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  pst. ou = O-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst, uid, vs)  $\land$ pst' = (if pid = PID then emptyPost else pst)) outPurge ou = O-sendCreateOFriend oscf  $\leftrightarrow$  ou = O-sendCreateOFriend oscf outPurge ou = O-sendDeleteOFriend osdf  $\leftrightarrow$  ou = O-sendDeleteOFriend osdf (proof)

lemma g-simps:

 $g(Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact \ (comSendServerReq \ uID \ p \ aID \ reqInfo), \ O-sendServerReq \ ossr)$ 

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendServerReq uID p' aID reqInfo) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O\text{-sendServerReq ossr})$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo), outOK)  $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact$  (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo)  $\land ou = outOK$ 

 $g(Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact \ (comConnectClient \ uID \ p \ aID \ sp), \ O-connectClient \ occ)$ 

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comConnectClient uID p' aID sp) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O-connectClient occ)$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comConnectServer aID sp), outOK)

 $\leftrightarrow a = COMact (comConnectServer aID sp) \land ou = outOK$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceivePost aID sp nID nt uID v), outOK)  $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact$  (comReceivePost aID sp nID nt uID v)  $\land ou = outOK$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendPost uID p aID nID), O-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst', uid, vs))

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists pst p'. a = COMact (comSendPost uID p' aID nID) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst, uid, vs) \land pst' = (if pid = PID then emptyPost else pst))$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID'), O-sendCreateOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p' aID uID')) \land p = emp-tyPass \land ou = O-sendCreateOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID'), outOK)

 $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID') \land ou = outOK$ g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID'),O-sendDeleteOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))  $\begin{array}{l} \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact \; (comSendDeleteOFriend \; uID \; p' \; aID \; uID') \land p = empty-Pass \land ou = O\text{-sendDeleteOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))} \\ g \; (Trans \; s \; a \; ou \; s') = (COMact \; (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \; aID \; cp \; uID \; uID'), \\ outOK) \\ \longleftrightarrow a = COMact \; (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \; aID \; cp \; uID \; uID') \land ou = outOK \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end theory Post-Unwinding-Helper-ISSUER imports Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER begin

**locale** *Issuer-State-Equivalence-Up-To-PID* = *Fixed-PID* **begin** 

## 6.1.2 Unwinding helper lemmas and definitions

**lemmas** eeqButPID-intro = eeqButPID-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

**lemma** eeqButPID-eeq[simp,intro!]: eeqButPID psts psts  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eeqButPID-sym:
assumes eeqButPID psts psts1 shows eeqButPID psts1 psts
(proof)

lemma eeqButPID-trans:
assumes eeqButPID psts psts1 and eeqButPID psts1 psts2 shows eeqButPID psts
psts2
(proof)

**lemma** eeqButPID-not-PID:  $[eeqButPID \ psts \ psts1; \ pid \neq PID] \implies psts \ pid = psts1 \ pid$  $\langle proof \rangle$  **fun** eqButF ::  $(apiID \times bool)$   $list \Rightarrow (apiID \times bool)$   $list \Rightarrow bool$  where eqButF aID-bl aID-bl1 = (map fst aID-bl = map fst aID-bl1)

**lemma** eqButF-eq[simp,intro!]: eqButF aID-bl aID-bl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eqButF-sym: assumes eqButF aID-bl aID-bl1 shows eqButF aID-bl1 aID-bl (proof)

lemma eqButF-trans: assumes eqButF aID-bl aID-bl1 and eqButF aID-bl1 aID-bl2 shows eqButF aID-bl aID-bl2 (proof)

**lemmas** eeqButPID-F-intro = eeqButPID-F-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

**lemma** eeqButPID-F-eeq[simp,intro!]: eeqButPID-F sw sw  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eeqButPID-F-sym: **assumes** eeqButPID-F sw sw1 **shows** eeqButPID-F sw1 sw  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eeqButPID-F-trans:
assumes eeqButPID-F sw sw1 and eeqButPID-F sw1 sw2 shows eeqButPID-F sw
sw2
\proof>

**lemma** eeqButPID-F-cong: **assumes** eeqButPID-F sw sw1 **and**  $PID = PID \implies eqButF$  uu uu1 **and**  $pid \neq PID \implies uu = uu1$  **shows** eeqButPID-F (sw (pid := uu)) (sw1(pid := uu1))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eeqButPID-F-eqButF: eeqButPID-F  $sw \ sw1 \implies eqButF \ (sw \ PID) \ (sw1 \ PID)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eeqButPID-F-not-PID: [eeqButPID-F  $sw \ sw1; \ pid \neq PID] \implies sw \ pid = sw1 \ pid$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eeqButPID-F-postSelectors: eeqButPID-F sw sw1  $\implies$  map fst (sw pid) = map fst (sw1 pid)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ eeqButPID\text{-}F\text{-}toEq:\\ \textbf{assumes} \ eeqButPID\text{-}F \ sw \ sw1\\ \textbf{shows} \ sw \ (PID := \ map \ (\lambda \ (aID, \text{-}). \ (aID, b)) \ (sw \ PID)) =\\ \ sw1 \ (PID := \ map \ (\lambda \ (aID, \text{-}). \ (aID, b)) \ (sw1 \ PID))\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

**definition** eqButPID ::  $state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$  where  $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \equiv admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$ 

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \ \land \\ userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = pass \ s1 \ \land \\$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \land \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \land \\ eeqButPID \; (post \; s) \; (post \; s1) \; \land \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \land \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \; \land \end{array}
```

```
pendingSApiReqs \ s = pendingSApiReqs \ s1 \land sApiReq \ s = sApiReq \ s1 \land serverApiIDs \ s = serverApiIDs \ s1 \land serverPass \ s = serverPass \ s1 \land outerPostIDs \ s = outerPostIDs \ s1 \land outerPost \ s = outerPost \ s1 \land outerPost \ s = outerPost \ s1 \land outerPost \ s = outerVis \ s = outerVis \ s1 \land
```

```
\begin{array}{l} pendingCApiReqs \ s = \ pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \ \land \ cApiReq \ s = \ cApiReq \ s1 \ \land \\ clientApiIDs \ s = \ clientApiIDs \ s1 \ \land \ clientPass \ s = \ clientPass \ s1 \ \land \\ eeqButPID-F \ (sharedWith \ s) \ (sharedWith \ s1) \end{array}
```

```
lemmas eqButPID-intro = eqButPID-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]
```

```
lemma eqButPID-refl[simp,intro!]: eqButPID s s \langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButPID-sym: **assumes** eqButPID s s1 **shows** eqButPID s1 s  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButPID-trans:
assumes eqButPID \ s \ s1 and eqButPID \ s1 \ s2 shows eqButPID \ s \ s2
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButPID-stateSelectors:  $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies$  $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$ 

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \ \land \\ userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = pass \ s1 \ \land \\$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \land \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \land \\ eeqButPID \; (post \; s) \; (post \; s1) \; \land \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \land \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \; \land \end{array}$ 

 $pendingSApiReqs \ s = pendingSApiReqs \ s1 \land sApiReq \ s = sApiReq \ s1 \land serverApiIDs \ s = serverApiIDs \ s1 \land serverPass \ s = serverPass \ s1 \land outerPostIDs \ s = outerPostIDs \ s1 \land outerPost \ s = outerPost \ s1 \land outerPost \ s = outerOwner \ s1 \land outerVis \ s = outerVis \ s1 \land$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} pendingCApiReqs \ s = \ pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \ \land \ cApiReq \ s = \ cApiReq \ s1 \ \land \\ clientApiIDs \ s = \ clientApiIDs \ s1 \ \land \ clientPass \ s = \ clientPass \ s1 \ \land \\ eegButPID-F \ (sharedWith \ s) \ (sharedWith \ s1) \ \land \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{aligned} IDsOK \ s = \ IDsOK \ s1 \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-not-PID:  $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies pid \neq PID \implies post \ s \ pid = post \ s1 \ pid \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-eqButF:  $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButF \ (sharedWith \ s \ PID) \ (sharedWith \ s1 \ PID) \ (proof)$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-not-PID-sharedWith:  $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies pid \neq PID \implies sharedWith \ s \ pid = sharedWith \ s1 \ pid \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-actions: **assumes** eqButPID s s1 **shows** listInnerPosts s uid p = listInnerPosts s1 uid p $\langle proof \rangle$  **lemma** eqButPID-update: **assumes** eqButPID s s1 **shows**  $(post \ s)(PID := txt) = (post \ s1)(PID := txt)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } eqButPID\text{-}setShared:\\ \textbf{assumes } eqButPID \ s \ s1\\ \textbf{shows } (sharedWith \ s) \ (PID := map \ (\lambda \ (aID, \text{-}). \ (aID, b)) \ (sharedWith \ s \ PID)) =\\ (sharedWith \ s1) \ (PID := map \ (\lambda \ (aID, \text{-}). \ (aID, b)) \ (sharedWith \ s1 \ PID))\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-updateShared: **assumes** eqButPID s s1 **shows** eeqButPID-F ((sharedWith s) (pid := aID-b)) ((sharedWith s1) (pid := aID-b))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-cong[simp]:  $\bigwedge uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID (s (admin := uu1)) (s1 (admin := uu2))$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pendingUReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingUReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (userReq := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (userIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2) \ eqButPID \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2) \ eqButPID \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2) \ eqButPID \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2) \ eqButPID \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2) \ eqButPID \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2)) \ (u2 \ uu2) \ (u2 \ uu2)) \ (u2 \ (u2 \ uu2)) \ (u2 \ (u2 \ uu2)) \ (u2 \ uu2) \ (u2 \ uu2)) \ (u2 \ (u2 \ uu2)) \ (u2 \ uu2) \ (u$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (postIDs := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (postIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies eeqButPID \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (post := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (post := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (owner := uu1)) \ (s1 \\ (owner := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (vis := uu1)) \ (s1 \\ (vis := uu2)) \end{array}$ 

 $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (pendingFReqs := uu1)) (s1 (pendingFReqs := uu2))

 $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s ([friendReq := uu1])) (s1 ([friendReq := uu2])) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s ([friendIDs := uu1])) (s1 | (friendIDs := uu2)) $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (sentOuterFriendIDs) := uu1)) (s1 (sentOuterFriendIDs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (recvOuterFriendIDs) := uu1) (s1 (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (pendingSApiReqs := uu1) (s1 (pendingSApiReqs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (|sApiReq := uu1|)) (s1 (sApiReq := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (serverApiIDs := uu1)) (s1 (serverApiIDs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (serverPass := uu1)) (s1 | (serverPass := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (outerPostIDs := uu1)) (s1 (outerPostIDs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (outerPost := uu1)) (s1 (|outerPost := uu2|)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (outerOwner := uu1)) (s1 (outerOwner := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (outerVis := uu1)) (s1 (outerVis := uu2))

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pendingCApiReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingCApiReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (cApiReq := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (cApiReq := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientApiIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientApiIDs := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientPass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientPass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass := uu2)) \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies eeqButPID \ F \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (shared-With := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (sharedWith := uu2)) \ (proof) \end{array}$ 

lemma eqButPID-step: assumes  $ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1$ and step:  $step \ s \ a = (ou,s')$ and step1:  $step \ s1 \ a = (ou1,s1')$ shows  $eqButPID \ s' \ s1'$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

theory Post-Value-Setup-ISSUER imports .../Safety-Properties Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER Post-Unwinding-Helper-ISSUER begin

locale Post-ISSUER = ObservationSetup-ISSUER begin

# 6.1.3 Value setup

datatype value =
 isPVal: PVal post — updating the post content locally
 isPValS: PValS (PValS-tgtAPI: apiID) post — sending the post to another node

**lemma** filter-isPValS-Nil: filter isPValS  $vl = [] \leftrightarrow list-all isPVal vl \langle proof \rangle$ 

**fun**  $\varphi$  :: (state, act, out) trans  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  $\varphi$  (Trans - (Uact (uPost uid p pid pst)) ou -) = (pid = PID \land ou = outOK) |  $\varphi$  (Trans - (COMact (comSendPost uid p aid pid)) ou -) = (pid = PID \land ou \neq outErr)

 $\varphi \ (Trans \ s \ - \ s') = False$ 

# lemma $\varphi$ -def2: shows

 $\begin{array}{l} \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \ uid \ p \ pst. \ a = \ Uact \ (uPost \ uid \ p \ PID \ pst) \land \ ou = \ outOK) \lor \\ (\exists \ uid \ p \ aid. \ a = \ COMact \ (comSendPost \ uid \ p \ aid \ PID) \land \ ou \neq \ outErr) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

**lemma** uPost-out: **assumes** 1: step s a = (ou, s') and a: a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst) and 2: ou = outOK **shows** uid = owner s PID  $\land$  p = pass s uid  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### **lemma** comSendPost-out:

assumes 1: step s a = (ou, s') and a: a = COMact (comSendPost uid p aid PID)and 2:  $ou \neq outErr$ shows ou = O-sendPost (aid, clientPass s aid, PID, post s PID, owner s PID, vis

snows ou = O-senaPost (aia, cuentPass's aia, P1D, post's P1D, owner's P1D, vis s PID)

 $\land \ uid = admin \ s \land \ p = pass \ s \ (admin \ s)$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } \varphi \cdot def3:\\ \textbf{assumes } step \ s \ a = (ou,s')\\ \textbf{shows}\\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow\\ (\exists \ pst. \ a = \ Uact \ (uPost \ (owner \ s \ PID) \ (pass \ s \ (owner \ s \ PID)) \ PID \ pst) \land ou = \\ outOK) \lor\\ (\exists \ aid. \ a = \ COMact \ (comSendPost \ (admin \ s) \ (pass \ s \ (admin \ s)) \ aid \ PID) \land\\ ou = \ O-sendPost \ (aid, \ clientPass \ s \ aid, \ PID, \ post \ s \ PID, \ owner \ s \ PID, \ vis \\ s \ PID))\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

lemma  $\varphi$ -cases: assumes  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') and step s a = (ou, s') and reach s obtains

(UpdateT) uid p pID pst where a = Uact (uPost uid <math>p PID pst) ou = outOK p = pass s uid

$$uid = owner \ s \ PID$$

| (Send) uid p aid where a = COMact (comSendPost uid p aid PID) ou  $\neq$  outErr  $p = pass \ s \ uid$  $uid = admin \ s$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{aligned} & \textbf{fun } f :: (state, act, out) \ trans \Rightarrow value \textbf{ where} \\ & f \ (Trans \ s \ (Uact \ (uPost \ uid \ p \ pid \ pst)) - s') = \\ & (if \ pid = PID \ then \ PVal \ pst \ else \ undefined) \\ & | \\ & f \ (Trans \ s \ (COMact \ (comSendPost \ uid \ p \ aid \ pid)) \ (O-sendPost \ (-, \ -, \ -, \ pst, \ -, \ -)) \\ & s') = \\ & (if \ pid = PID \ then \ PValS \ aid \ pst \ else \ undefined) \\ & | \\ & f \ (Trans \ s \ - \ s') = \ undefined \end{aligned}$ 

sublocale Issuer-State-Equivalence-Up-To-PID  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Uact-uPaperC-step-eqButPID: **assumes** a: a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst) **and**  $step \ s \ a = (ou,s')$  **shows**  $eqButPID \ s \ s'$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-step- $\varphi$ -imp: **assumes** ss1: eqButPID s s1**and** step: step s a = (ou,s') **and** step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1') and  $\varphi$ :  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') shows  $\varphi$  (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-step- $\varphi$ : **assumes** s's1': eqButPID s s1 **and** step: step s a = (ou,s') **and** step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1') **shows**  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') =  $\varphi$  (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

```
end
theory Post-ISSUER
imports
Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning
Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER
Post-Value-Setup-ISSUER
begin
```

# 6.1.4 Issuer declassification bound

We verify that a group of users of some node i, allowed to take normal actions to the system and observe their outputs and additionally allowed to observe communication, can learn nothing about the updates to a post located at node i and the sends of that post to other nodes beyond

(1) the presence of the sends (i.e., the number of the sending actions)

(2) the public knowledge that what is being sent is always the last version (modeled as the correlation predicate)

unless:

- either a user in the group is the post's owner or the administrator
- or a user in the group becomes a friend of the owner
- or the group has at least one registered user and the post is being marked as "public"

See [3] for more details.

```
context Post-ISSUER begin
```

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{fun } T :: (state, act, out) \ trans \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ T \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \ uid \in UIDs. \\ uid \in e \ userIDs \ s' \land PID \in e \ postIDs \ s' \land \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (uid = admin \ s' \lor \\ uid = owner \ s' \ PID \lor \\ uid \in \in \ friendIDs \ s' \ (owner \ s' \ PID) \lor \\ vis \ s' \ PID = \ PublicV)) \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun } corrFrom :: post \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ corrFrom \ pst \ [] = \ True \\ | corrFrom \ pst \ (PVal \ pstt \ \# \ vl) = \ corrFrom \ pstt \ vl \\ | corrFrom \ pst \ (PValS \ aid \ pstt \ \# \ vl) = \ (pst = \ pstt \ \land \ corrFrom \ pst \ vl) \end{array}$ 

**abbreviation** corr :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where corr  $\equiv$  corrFrom emptyPost

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } B :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ B \ vl \ vl1 \equiv \\ corr \ vl1 \ \land \\ (vl = [] \longrightarrow vl1 = []) \ \land \\ map \ PValS \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl) = map \ PValS \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl1) \end{array}$ 

```
sublocale BD-Security-IO where
```

istate = istate and step = step and $\varphi = \varphi \text{ and } f = f \text{ and } \gamma = \gamma \text{ and } g = g \text{ and } T = T \text{ and } B = B$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

## 6.1.5 Unwinding proof

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma reach-Public V-imples-Friend V[simp]:}\\ \textbf{assumes reach s}\\ \textbf{and } vis \ s \ pID \neq Public V\\ \textbf{shows } vis \ s \ pID = Friend V\\ \langle proof \rangle\\ \\ \textbf{lemma reach NT-state:}\\ \textbf{assumes } reach NT \ s\\ \textbf{shows } \neg (\exists \ uid \in UIDs.\\ uid \in c \ userIDs \ s \ \land PID \in e \ postIDs \ s \ \land\\ (uid = admin \ s \ \lor uid = owner \ s \ PID \ \lor uid \ e \ friendIDs \ s \ (owner \ s \ PID) \lor vis \ s \ PID = Public V))\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

```
lemma T - \varphi - \gamma:
assumes 1: reachNT s and 2: step s a = (ou, s')
and 3: \varphi (Trans s a ou s') and
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} 4 \colon \forall \ ca. \ a \neq COMact \ ca\\ \textbf{shows} \neg \gamma \ (\textit{Trans } s \ a \ ou \ s')\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

lemma eqButPID-step- $\gamma$ -out: assumes  $ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1$ and step:  $step \ s \ a = (ou, s')$  and step1:  $step \ s1 \ a = (ou1, s1')$ and sT:  $reachNT \ s$  and  $T: \neg T$  (Trans  $s \ a \ ou \ s'$ ) and s1:  $reach \ s1$ and  $\gamma: \gamma$  (Trans  $s \ a \ ou \ s'$ ) shows ( $\exists$  uid p aid pid. a = COMact (comSendPost uid p aid pid)  $\land$  outPurge ou  $= outPurge \ ou1$ )  $\lor$  ou = ou1(proof)

lemma eqButPID-step-eq: assumes  $ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1$ and  $a: a = Uact \ (uPost \ uid \ p \ PID \ pst) \ ou = outOK$ and  $step: step \ s \ a = (ou, \ s')$  and  $step1: step \ s1 \ a = (ou', \ s1')$ shows s' = s1' $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ \neg \ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \ post \ s \ PID = \ emptyPost \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \ \land \\ (vl = [] \longrightarrow vl1 = []) \ \land \\ map \ PValS-tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl) = \ map \ PValS-tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl1) \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \ \land \\ (vl = [] \longrightarrow vl1 = []) \ \land \\ map \ PValS-tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl) = map \ PValS-tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl1) \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 2 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \\ vl = [] \ \land \ list-all \ isPVal \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$ : assumes B: B vl vl1 shows  $\Delta 0$  istate vl istate vl1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 0 \{\Delta 0, \Delta 1\}$ (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 1$  { $\Delta 1, \Delta 2$ }

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 2$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 2$  { $\Delta 2$ } (proof)

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ Gr \ \textbf{where} \\ Gr = \\ \{ \\ (\Delta \theta, \{\Delta \theta, \Delta 1\}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{\Delta 1, \Delta 2\}), \\ (\Delta 2, \{\Delta 2\}) \\ \} \end{array}$ 

**theorem** Post-secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```
end
theory Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER
imports ../Safety-Properties
begin
```

# 6.2 Confidentiality for a secret receiver node

We verify that a group of users of a given node j can learn nothing about the updates to the content of a post *PID* located at a different node i beyond the existence of an update unless *PID* is being shared between the two nodes and one of the users is the admin at node j or becomes a remote friend of *PID*'s owner, or *PID* is marked as public. This is formulated as a BD Security property and is proved by unwinding.

See [3] for more details.

#### 6.2.1 Observation setup

type-synonym obs = act \* out

locale Fixed-UIDs = fixes UIDs :: userID set

locale Fixed-PID = fixes PID :: postID locale Fixed-AID = fixes AID :: apiID

**locale** ObservationSetup-RECEIVER = Fixed-UIDs + Fixed-PID + Fixed-AID**begin**   $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ \gamma :: (state, act, out) \ trans \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} \\ \gamma \ (Trans - a - -) \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \ uid. \ userOfA \ a = Some \ uid \ \land \ uid \in \ UIDs) \\ \lor \\ (\exists \ ca. \ a = \ COMact \ ca) \\ \lor \\ (\exists \ uid \ p. \ a = \ Sact \ (sSys \ uid \ p)) \end{array}$ 

**fun**  $sPurge :: sActt \Rightarrow sActt$ **where**  $<math>sPurge (sSys \ uid \ pwd) = sSys \ uid \ emptyPass$ 

fun comPurge :: comActt ⇒ comActt where comPurge (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo) = comSendServerReq uID emptyPass aID reqInfo |comPurge (comConnectClient uID p aID sp) = comConnectClient uID emptyPass aID sp

|comPurge (comReceivePost aID sp pID pst uID vs) = $(let pst' = (if aID = AID \land pID = PID then emptyPost else pst)$ in comReceivePost aID sp pID pst' uID vs)

 $\begin{array}{l} |comPurge \; (comSendPost\; uID\; p\; aID\; pID) = \; comSendPost\; uID\; emptyPass\; aID\; pID \\ |comPurge \; (comSendCreateOFriend\; uID\; p\; aID\; uID') = \; comSendCreateOFriend\; uID\; emptyPass\; aID\; uID' \\ |comPurge \; (comSendDeleteOFriend\; uID\; p\; aID\; uID') = \; comSendDeleteOFriend\; uID\; emptyPass\; aID\; uID' \\ |comPurge \; (comSendDeleteOFriend\; uID\; p\; aID\; uID') = \; comSendDeleteOFriend\; uID\; emptyPass\; aID\; uID' \\ |comPurge \; ca = \; ca \end{array}$ 

**fun**  $g :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ **where** <math>g (Trans - (Sact sa) ou -) = (Sact (sPurge sa), ou) |g (Trans - (COMact ca) ou -) = (COMact (comPurge ca), ou) |g (Trans - a ou -) = (a, ou)

**lemma** comPurge-simps:

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendServerReq\ uID\ p\ aID\ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSend-ServerReq\ uID\ p'\ aID\ reqInfo \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comConnectClient \ uID \ p \ aID \ sp \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. \ ca = comConnectClient \ uID \ p' \ aID \ sp \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comConnectServer\ aID\ sp \leftrightarrow ca = comConnectServer\ aID\ sp$ 

comPurge ca = comReceivePost aID sp pID pst' uID  $v \leftrightarrow (\exists pst. ca = com-ReceivePost aID sp pID pst uID <math>v \land pst' = (if pID = PID \land aID = AID then emptyPost else pst))$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p\ aID\ pID \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p'\ aID\ pID \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendCreateOFriend\ uID\ p\ aID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = com-SendCreateOFriend\ uID\ p'\ aID\ uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend\ aID\ cp\ uID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend\ aID\ cp\ uID\ uID'$ 

comPurge ca = comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID'  $\leftrightarrow (\exists p'. ca = com-SendDeleteOFriend uID p' aID uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID' \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID'$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

## **lemma** g-simps:

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo), ou')  $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendServerReq uID p' aID reqInfo) \land p = emptyPass$  $\land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo), ou')  $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact$  (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo)  $\land ou = ou'$ 

 $g (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact \ (comConnectClient \ uID \ p \ aID \ sp), \ ou')$  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. \ a = COMact \ (comConnectClient \ uID \ p' \ aID \ sp) \land p = emptyPass \land$ 

ou = ou')

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comConnectServer aID sp), ou')

 $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comConnectServer \ aID \ sp) \land ou = ou'$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendPost uID p aID nID), O-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst, own, v))

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendPost uID p' aID nID) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst, own, v))$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID'), ou')  $\leftrightarrow \in (\exists p'. a = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p' aID uID')) \land p = emp$  $tyPass \land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID'), ou')

 $\leftrightarrow a = COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID') \land ou = ou'$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID'), ou')  $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p' aID uID') \land p = empty-Pass \land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID'), ou')

 $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID') \land ou = ou' \ (proof)$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end theory Post-Unwinding-Helper-RECEIVER imports Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER begin

## 6.2.2 Unwinding helper definitions and lemmas

locale Receiver-State-Equivalence-Up-To-PID = Fixed-PID + Fixed-AID begin

**lemmas** *eeqButPID-intro* = *eeqButPID-def*[*THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq*, *THEN iffD2*]

**lemma** eeqButPID-eeq[simp,intro!]: eeqButPID psts psts  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eeqButPID-sym: assumes eeqButPID psts psts1 shows eeqButPID psts1 psts  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eeqButPID-trans:
assumes eeqButPID psts psts1 and eeqButPID psts1 psts2 shows eeqButPID psts
psts2
(proof)

**lemma** eeqButPID-cong: **assumes** eeqButPID psts psts1 and  $aid = AID \implies pid = PID \implies eqButT uu uu1$ and  $aid \neq AID \lor pid \neq PID \implies uu = uu1$ shows eeqButPID (fun-upd2 psts aid pid uu) (fun-upd2 psts1 aid pid uu1)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eeqButPID-not-PID:  $\llbracket eeqButPID \ psts \ psts1; \ aid \neq AID \lor pid \neq PID \rrbracket \implies psts \ aid \ pid = psts1 \ aid \ pid \ (proof)$ 

lemma eeqButPID-toEq:
assumes eeqButPID psts psts1
shows fun-upd2 psts AID PID pst =
 fun-upd2 psts1 AID PID pst
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma eeqButPID-update-post:
assumes eeqButPID psts psts1
shows eeqButPID (fun-upd2 psts aid pid pst) (fun-upd2 psts1 aid pid pst)
(proof)

**fun** eqButF ::  $(apiID \times bool)$   $list \Rightarrow (apiID \times bool)$   $list \Rightarrow bool$  where eqButF aID-bl aID-bl1 = (map fst aID-bl = map fst aID-bl1)

**lemma** eqButF-eq[simp,intro!]: eqButF aID-bl aID-bl \(\langle proof\)

lemma eqButF-sym: assumes eqButF aID-bl aID-bl1 shows eqButF aID-bl1 aID-bl (proof)

lemma eqButF-trans:
assumes eqButF aID-bl aID-bl1 and eqButF aID-bl1 aID-bl2
shows eqButF aID-bl aID-bl2
(proof)

**definition** eqButPID ::  $state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$  where  $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \equiv$  $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$ 

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \land userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \land userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \land user \ s = user \ s1 \land pass \ s = pass \ s1 \land$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \wedge \\ post \; s = \; post \; s1 \; \wedge \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \wedge \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \; \wedge \end{array}$ 

 $pendingSApiReqs \ s = pendingSApiReqs \ s1 \ \land \ sApiReq \ s = sApiReq \ s1 \ \land \\ serverApiIDs \ s = serverApiIDs \ s1 \ \land \ serverPass \ s = serverPass \ s1 \ \land \\$ 

 $outerPostIDs \ s = outerPostIDs \ s1 \land$  $eeqButPID \ (outerPost \ s) \ (outerPost \ s1) \land$  $outerOwner \ s = outerOwner \ s1 \land$  $outerVis \ s = outerVis \ s1 \land$ 

 $pendingCApiReqs \ s = pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \land cApiReq \ s = cApiReq \ s1 \land clientApiIDs \ s = clientApiIDs \ s1 \land clientPass \ s = clientPass \ s1 \land sharedWith \ s = sharedWith \ s1$ 

**lemmas** eqButPID-intro = eqButPID-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

**lemma** eqButPID-refl[simp,intro!]:  $eqButPID s s \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-sym: assumes  $eqButPID \ s \ s1$  shows  $eqButPID \ s1 \ s$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-trans: assumes  $eqButPID \ s \ s1$  and  $eqButPID \ s1 \ s2$  shows  $eqButPID \ s \ s2$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-stateSelectors:  $eqButPID \ s \ s1 \Longrightarrow$  $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \land$ 

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \ \land userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = pass \ s1 \ \land$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \wedge \\ post \; s = \; post \; s1 \; \wedge \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \wedge \\ vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \; \wedge \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} pendingSApiReqs \; s = \; pendingSApiReqs \; s1 \; \wedge \; sApiReq \; s = \; sApiReq \; s1 \; \wedge \\ serverApiIDs \; s = \; serverApiIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \; serverPass \; s = \; serverPass \; s1 \; \wedge \\ outerPostIDs \; s = \; outerPostIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \\ eeqButPID \; (outerPost \; s) \; (outerPost \; s1) \; \wedge \\ outerOwner \; s = \; outerOwner \; s1 \; \wedge \\ outerVis \; s = \; outerVis \; s1 \; \wedge \\ \end{array}
```

```
pendingCApiReqs \ s = pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \land cApiReq \ s = cApiReq \ s1 \land clientApiIDs \ s = clientApiIDs \ s1 \land clientPass \ s = clientPass \ s1 \land
```

shared With  $s = shared With s1 \land$  IDsOK s = IDsOK s1  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma eqButPID-not-PID:  $eqButPID s s1 \implies aid \neq AID \lor pid \neq PID \implies outerPost s aid pid = outerPost$  s1 aid pid  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma eqButPID-actions: assumes eqButPID s s1shows listInnerPosts s uid p = listInnerPosts s1 uid pand listOuterPosts s uid p = listOuterPosts s1 uid p $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-update: **assumes** eqButPID s s1 **shows** fun-upd2 (outerPost s) AID PID pst = fun-upd2 (outerPost s1) AID PID pst $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButPID-update-post:

assumes eqButPID s s1

shows eeqButPID (fun-upd2 (outerPost s) aid pid pst) (fun-upd2 (outerPost s1)

aid pid pst)

\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButPID-cong[simp, intro]: $\bigwedge uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID (s (admin := uu1)) (s1 (admin := uu2))$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pendingUReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingUReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (userReq := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (userIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2)) \ (uu1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (uu1 \ (u2 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu2)) \ (uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2)) \ (uu1 \ (u2 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (u2 \ (u1 \ uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \ (u1 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (postIDs := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (postIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (post := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (post := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (owner := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (owner := uu2)) \end{array}$ 

 $\bigwedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (vis := uu1)) (s1 (vis := uu2))

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pendingFReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingFReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (friendReq := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (friendReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (friendIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (friendIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (sentOuterFriendIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (sentOuterFriendIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (sentOuterFriendIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (sentOuterFriendIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2)) \ (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2) \ (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2)) \ (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2) \ (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2) \ (recvOuterFriendIDs$ 

 $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButPID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButPID (s (outerOwner := uu1)) (s1 (outerOwner := uu2))

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (pendingCApiReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingCApiReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (cApiReq := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (cApiReq := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientApiIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientApiIDs := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientApiIDs := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (clientApiIDs \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientPass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1 \implies uu2 \implies eqButPID \ (s \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu2)) \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu2)) \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu2) \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu2) \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu2)) \ (clientPass \ s1 \implies uu2) \ (clientPass \ s$ 

**lemma** comReceivePost-step-eqButPID: assumes a: a = COMact (comReceivePost AID sp PID pst uid vs)

```
and a1: a1 = COMact (comReceivePost AID sp PID pst1 uid vs)
and step s a = (ou,s') and step s1 a1 = (ou1,s1')
and eqButPID s s1
shows eqButPID s' s1'
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButPID-step:
assumes ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1
and step: step \ s \ a = (ou, s')
and step1: step \ s1 \ a = (ou1, s1')
shows eqButPID \ s' \ s1'
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

```
theory Post-Value-Setup-RECEIVER
imports
.../Safety-Properties
Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER
Post-Unwinding-Helper-RECEIVER
begin
```

# 6.2.3 Value setup

locale Post-RECEIVER = ObservationSetup-RECEIVER begin

datatype  $value = PValR \ post$  — post content received from the issuer node

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{fun} \ \varphi :: (state, act, out) \ trans \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} \\ \varphi \ (Trans - (COMact \ (comReceivePost \ aid \ sp \ pid \ pst \ uid \ vs)) \ ou \ -) = \\ & (aid = AID \land pid = PID \land ou = outOK) \\ | \\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ - \ s') = False \end{aligned}
\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{lemma} \ \varphi \ -def2: \\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow \\ & (\exists \ uid \ p \ pst \ vs. \ a = COMact \ (comReceivePost \ AID \ p \ PID \ pst \ uid \ vs) \land ou = \\ & outOK) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}
```

```
lemma comReceivePost-out:

assumes 1: step s a = (ou,s') and a: a = COMact (comReceivePost AID p PID

pst uid vs) and 2: ou = outOK

shows p = serverPass \ s \ AID

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma  $\varphi$ -def3: assumes step s a = (ou,s') shows  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s')  $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ ( $\exists$  uid pst vs. a = COMact (comReceivePost AID (serverPass s AID) PID pst uid vs)  $\land$  ou = outOK)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi$ -cases: assumes  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') and step s a = (ou, s')and reach s obtains (Recv) uid sp aID pID pst vs where a = COMact (comReceivePost aID sp pID pst uid vs) ou = outOK

$$sp = serverPass \ s \ AID$$
  
 $aID = AID \ pID = PID$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**fun**  $f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value$ **where**  $<math>f (Trans \ s (COMact (comReceivePost aid sp pid pst uid vs)) - s') =$   $(if \ aid = AID \land pid = PID \ then \ PValR \ pst \ else \ undefined)$  | $f (Trans \ s - - s') = undefined$ 

sublocale Receiver-State-Equivalence-Up-To-PID (proof)

**lemma** eqButPID-step- $\varphi$ -imp: **assumes**  $ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1$  **and** step:  $step \ s \ a = (ou, s')$  **and** step1:  $step \ s1 \ a = (ou1, s1')$  **and**  $\varphi: \varphi$  (Trans  $s \ a \ ou1 \ s1$ ) **shows**  $\varphi$  (Trans  $s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1'$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-step- $\varphi$ : **assumes** s's1': eqButPID s s1 **and** step: step s a = (ou,s') **and** step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1') **shows**  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') =  $\varphi$  (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
\mathbf{end}
```

end theory Post-RECEIVER imports Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER Post-Value-Setup-RECEIVER begin

# 6.2.4 Declassification bound

We verify that a group of users of some node i, allowed to take normal actions to the system and observe their outputs and additionally allowed to observe communication, can learn nothing about the updates to a post received from a remote node j beyond the number of updates unless:

- either a user in the group is the administrator
- or a user in the group becomes a remote friend of the post's owner
- or the group has at least one registered user and the post is being marked as "public"

See [3] for more details.

```
context Post-RECEIVER begin
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun } T :: (state, act, out) \ trans \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ T \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \ uid \in UIDs. \\ uid \in e \ userIDs \ s' \land PID \in e \ outerPostIDs \ s' \ AID \ \land \\ (uid = admin \ s' \lor \\ (AID, outerOwner \ s' \ AID \ PID) \in e \ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s' \ uid \lor \\ outerVis \ s' \ AID \ PID = PublicV)) \end{array}$ 

**definition** B :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  $B vl vl1 \equiv length vl = length vl1$ 

sublocale *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$ 

## 6.2.5 Unwinding proof

**lemma** reach-PublicV-imples-FriendV[simp]: assumes reach s and vis s  $pID \neq PublicV$ shows vis s pID = FriendV $\langle proof \rangle$   $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma reachNT-state:} \\ \textbf{assumes reachNT s} \\ \textbf{shows} \\ \neg (\exists \ uid \in UIDs. \\ uid \in \in userIDs \ s \land PID \in \in \ outerPostIDs \ s \ AID \ \land \\ (uid = admin \ s \lor \\ (AID, outerOwner \ s \ AID \ PID) \in \in \ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s \ uid \lor \\ outerVis \ s \ AID \ PID = PublicV)) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

```
lemma eqButPID-step-\gamma-out:
assumes ss1: eqButPID s s1
and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')
and sT: reachNT s and T: \neg T (Trans s a ou s')
and s1: reach s1
and \gamma: \gamma (Trans s a ou s')
shows ou = ou1
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ \neg \ AID \in \in \ serverApiIDs \ s \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \\ length \ vl = \ length \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ AID \in \in \ serverApiIDs \ s \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \\ length \ vl = \ length \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$ : assumes B: B vl vl1 shows  $\Delta 0$  istate vl istate vl1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 0 \{\Delta 0, \Delta 1\}$ (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 1$  { $\Delta 1$ } (proof)

definition Gr where Gr =

$$\begin{cases} \{ (\Delta \theta, \{\Delta \theta, \Delta 1\}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{\Delta 1\}) \end{cases}$$

**theorem** Post-secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

c

```
end
theory Post-COMPOSE2
imports
Post-ISSUER
Post-RECEIVER
BD-Security-Compositional.Composing-Security
begin
```

# 6.3 Confidentiality for the (binary) issuer-receiver composition

**type-synonym** ttrans = (state, act, out) trans **type-synonym** value1 = Post-ISSUER.value **type-synonym** value2 = Post-RECEIVER.value **type-synonym** obs1 = Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER.obs **type-synonym** obs2 = Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER.obs

datatype  $cval = PValC \ post$ type-synonym  $cobs = obs1 \times obs2$ 

**locale** Post-COMPOSE2 = Iss: Post-ISSUER UIDs PID + Rev: Post-RECEIVER UIDs2 PID AID1 for UIDs :: userID set and UIDs2 :: userID set and AID1 :: apiID and PID :: postID + fixes AID2 :: apiID begin abbreviation  $\varphi 1 \equiv Iss.\varphi$  abbreviation  $f1 \equiv Iss.f$  abbreviation  $\gamma 1 \equiv Iss.\gamma$ 

abbreviation  $g1 \equiv Iss.g$ abbreviation  $T1 \equiv Iss.T$  abbreviation  $B1 \equiv Iss.B$ abbreviation  $\varphi2 \equiv Rcv.\varphi$  abbreviation  $f2 \equiv Rcv.f$  abbreviation  $\gamma2 \equiv Rcv.\gamma$ abbreviation  $g2 \equiv Rcv.g$ abbreviation  $T2 \equiv Rcv.T$  abbreviation  $B2 \equiv Rcv.B$ 

**fun**  $isCom1 :: ttrans \Rightarrow bool$  where

isCom1 (Trans s (COMact ca1) ou1 s') = (ou1  $\neq$  outErr) |isCom1 - = False

**fun**  $isCom2 :: ttrans \Rightarrow bool where$ <math>isCom2 (Trans s (COMact ca2) ou2 s') = ( $ou2 \neq outErr$ ) |isCom2 - False

**fun**  $isComV1 :: value1 \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>isComV1 \ (Iss.PValS \ aid1 \ txt1) = True$ |isComV1 - = False

**fun**  $isComV2 :: value2 \Rightarrow bool$ **where** <math>isComV2 (Rcv.PValR txt2) = True

**fun** syncV ::  $value1 \Rightarrow value2 \Rightarrow bool$ **where** <math>syncV (Iss.PValS aid1 txt1) (Rcv.PValR txt2) = (txt1 = txt2) |syncV - - = False

**fun** cmpV ::  $value1 \Rightarrow value2 \Rightarrow cval$  where cmpV (Iss.PValS aid1 txt1) (Rcv.PValR txt2) = PValC txt1 |cmpV - - = undefined

**fun**  $isComO1 :: obs1 \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $<math>isComO1 (COMact ca1, ou1) = (ou1 \neq outErr)$ |isComO1 - = False

**fun**  $isComO2 :: obs2 \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $<math>isComO2 (COMact ca2, ou2) = (ou2 \neq outErr)$ |isComO2 - = False

**fun**  $comSyncOA :: out \Rightarrow comActt \Rightarrow bool$  where comSyncOA (O-sendServerReq (aid2, reqInfo1)) (comReceiveClientReq aid1 reqInfo2) = $(aid1 = AID1 \land aid2 = AID2 \land reqInfo1 = reqInfo2)$ |comSyncOA(O-connectClient(aid2, sp1))(comConnectServer aid1 sp2) = $(aid1 = AID1 \land aid2 = AID2 \land sp1 = sp2)$ [comSyncOA (O-sendPost (aid2, sp1, pid1, pst1, uid1, vis1)] (comReceivePost aid1 sp2 pid2 pst2 uid2 vis2) = $(aid1 = AID1 \land aid2 = AID2 \land (pid1, pst1, uid1, vis1) = (pid2, pst2, uid2, vis1)$ vis2))[comSyncOA (O-sendCreateOFriend (aid2, sp1, uid1, uid1')) (comReceiveCreateOFriend aid1 sp2 uid2 uid2') = $(aid1 = AID1 \land aid2 = AID2 \land (uid1, uid1') = (uid2, uid2'))$ [comSyncOA (O-sendDeleteOFriend (aid2, sp1, uid1, uid1')) (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aid1 sp2 uid2 uid2') = $(aid1 = AID1 \land aid2 = AID2 \land (uid1, uid1') = (uid2, uid2'))$ |comSyncOA - - = False|

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{fun} \ syncO :: \ obs1 \Rightarrow obs2 \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} \\ & syncO \ (COMact \ ca1, \ ou1) \ (COMact \ ca2, \ ou2) = \\ & (ou1 \neq outErr \land ou2 \neq outErr \land \\ & (comSyncOA \ ou1 \ ca2 \lor comSyncOA \ ou2 \ ca1) \\ & ) \\ & |syncO \ - = False \end{aligned}$ 

```
fun sync :: ttrans \Rightarrow ttrans \Rightarrow bool where
sync (Trans s1 a1 ou1 s1') (Trans s2 a2 ou2 s2') = syncO (a1, ou1) (a2, ou2)
```

```
definition cmpO :: obs1 \Rightarrow obs2 \Rightarrow cobs where cmpO \ o1 \ o2 \equiv (o1, o2)
```

```
lemma isCom1-isComV1:
assumes validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1) and \varphi1 trn1
shows isCom1 trn1 \leftrightarrow isComV1 (f1 trn1)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma isCom1-isCom01:
assumes validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1) and \gamma1 trn1
shows isCom1 trn1 \leftrightarrow isCom01 (g1 trn1)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma isCom2-isComV2:
assumes validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2) and \varphi2 trn2
shows isCom2 trn2 \leftrightarrow isComV2 (f2 trn2)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma isCom2-isComO2:

assumes validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2) and \gamma 2 trn2

shows isCom2 trn2 \leftrightarrow isComO2 (g2 trn2)

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma sync-sync V:
assumes validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1)
and validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2)
and isCom1 trn1 and isCom2 trn2 and \varphi1 trn1 and \varphi2 trn2
and sync trn1 trn2
shows syncV (f1 trn1) (f2 trn2)
(proof)
```

```
lemma sync-syncO:
assumes validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1)
and validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2)
```

```
and isCom1 trn1 and isCom2 trn2 and \gamma 1 trn1 and \gamma 2 trn2
and sync trn1 trn2
shows syncO (g1 trn1) (g2 trn2)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma sync-\varphi 1-\varphi 2:

assumes v1: validTrans trn1 and r1: reach (srcOf trn1)

and v2: validTrans trn2 and s2: reach (srcOf trn2)

and c1: isCom1 trn1 and c2: isCom2 trn2

and sn: sync trn1 trn2

shows \varphi 1 trn1 \longleftrightarrow \varphi 2 trn2 (is ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma textPost-textPost-cong[intro]:
assumes textPost pst1 = textPost pst2
and setTextPost pst1 emptyText = setTextPost pst2 emptyText
shows pst1 = pst2
\proof
```

```
lemma sync-\varphi-\gamma:

assumes validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1)

and validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2)

and isCom1 trn1 and isCom2 trn2

and \gamma1 trn1 and \gamma2 trn2

and so: syncO (g1 trn1) (g2 trn2)

and \varphi1 trn1 \Longrightarrow \varphi2 trn2 \Longrightarrow syncV (f1 trn1) (f2 trn2)

shows sync trn1 trn2

(proof)
```

```
lemma isCom1-\gamma1:
assumes validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1) and isCom1 trn1
shows \gamma1 trn1
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma isCom2-\gamma2:
assumes validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2) and isCom2 trn2
shows \gamma2 trn2
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma isCom2-V2:
assumes validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2) and \varphi2 trn2
shows isCom2 trn2
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
\mathbf{end}
```

```
sublocale Post-COMPOSE2 < BD-Security-TS-Comp where
istate1 = istate and validTrans1 = validTrans and srcOf1 = srcOf and tgtOf1</pre>
```
= tgtOfand  $\varphi 1 = \varphi 1$  and f1 = f1 and  $\gamma 1 = \gamma 1$  and g1 = g1 and T1 = T1 and B1 = B1and istate2 = istate and validTrans2 = validTrans and srcOf2 = srcOf and tgtOf2= tgtOfand  $\varphi 2 = \varphi 2$  and f2 = f2 and  $\gamma 2 = \gamma 2$  and g2 = g2 and T2 = T2 and B2 = B2and isCom1 = isCom1 and isCom2 = isCom2 and sync = syncand isComV1 = isComV1 and isComV2 = isComV2 and syncV = syncVand isComO1 = isComO1 and isComO2 = isComO2 and syncO = syncO

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context Post-COMPOSE2 begin

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```
end
theory Post-Network
imports
../API-Network
Post-ISSUER
Post-RECEIVER
BD-Security-Compositional.Composing-Security-Network
begin
```

# 6.4 Confidentiality for the N-ary composition

**type-synonym** ttrans = (state, act, out) trans **type-synonym** obs = Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER.obs **type-synonym** value = Post-ISSUER.value + Post-RECEIVER.value

**locale** Post-Network = Network + fixes UIDs ::  $apiID \Rightarrow userID$  set and AID :: apiID and PID :: postIDassumes AID-in-AIDs:  $AID \in AIDs$ 

## begin

sublocale Iss: Post-ISSUER UIDs AID PID (proof)

**abbreviation**  $\varphi :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  $\varphi$  aid trn  $\equiv$  (if aid = AID then Iss. $\varphi$  trn else Post-RECEIVER. $\varphi$  PID AID trn)

**abbreviation**  $f :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value$ **where**  $f aid trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Inl (Iss.f trn) else Inr (Post-RECEIVER.f PID AID trn))$ 

**abbreviation**  $\gamma :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  $\gamma$  aid  $trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Iss. \gamma trn else ObservationSetup-RECEIVER. \gamma$ (UIDs aid) trn)

**abbreviation**  $g :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ **where**  $g aid trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Iss.g trn else ObservationSetup-RECEIVER.g PID AID trn)$ 

**abbreviation**  $T :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$ **where** T aid  $trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Iss. T trn else Post-RECEIVER.T (UIDs aid) PID AID trn)$ 

**abbreviation**  $B :: apiID \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $B \ aid \ vl \ vl1 \equiv$ 

 $(if \ aid = AID \ then \ list-all \ isl \ vl \wedge \ list-all \ isl \ vl1 \wedge \ Iss.B \ (map \ projl \ vl) \ (map \ projl \ vl1)$ 

else list-all (Not o isl) vl  $\land$  list-all (Not o isl) vl1  $\land$  Post-RECEIVER.B (map projr vl) (map projr vl1))

**fun**  $comOfV :: apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow com$ **where**  $<math>comOfV aid (Inl (Post-ISSUER.PValS aid' pst)) = (if aid' \neq aid then Send else$ Internal) | comOfV aid (Inl (Post-ISSUER.PVal pst)) = Internal| comOfV aid (Inr v) = Recv

**definition**  $syncV :: apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow bool where$ <math>syncV aid1 v1 aid2 v2 =  $(\exists pst. aid1 = AID \land v1 = Inl (Post-ISSUER.PValS aid2 pst) \land v2 = Inr$ (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst))

**lemma** syncVI: syncV AID (Inl (Post-ISSUER.PValS aid' pst)) aid' (Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst))

#### $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** sync VE: **assumes** sync V aid1 v1 aid2 v2 **obtains** pst **where** aid1 = AID v1 = Inl (Post-ISSUER.PValS aid2 pst) v2 = Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**fun** getTgtV **where** getTgtV (Inl (Post-ISSUER.PValS aid pst)) = Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst) | getTgtV v = v

**lemmas**  $\varphi$ -defs = Post-RECEIVER. $\varphi$ -def2 Iss. $\varphi$ -def2

sublocale Net: BD-Security-TS-Network-getTgtV where  $istate = \lambda$ -. istate and validTrans = validTrans and  $srcOf = \lambda$ -. srcOfand  $tgtOf = \lambda$ -. tgtOfand nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOfand sync = sync and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = Band comOfV = comOfV and tgtNodeOfV = tgtNodeOfV and syncV = syncVand comOfO = comOfO and tgtNodeOfO = tgtNodeOfO and syncO = syncOand source = AID and getTgtV = getTgtV $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *list-all-Not-isl-projectSrcV*: *list-all* (*Not o isl*) (*Net.projectSrcV aid vlSrc*) (*proof*)

context fixes AID' :: apiIDassumes  $AID': AID' \in AIDs - \{AID\}$ begin

interpretation Sink: Post-RECEIVER UIDs AID' PID AID (proof)

lemma Source-B-Sink-B-aux:
assumes list-all isl vl
and list-all isl vl1
and map Iss.PValS-tgtAPI (filter Iss.isPValS (map projl vl)) =
 map Iss.PValS-tgtAPI (filter Iss.isPValS (map projl vl1))
shows length (map projr (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl)) = length (map projr (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl1))
(proof)

lemma Source-B-Sink-B:
assumes B AID vl vl1
shows Sink.B (map projr (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl)) (map projr (Net.projectSrcV
AID' vl1))
(proof)

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

**lemma** map-projl-Inl: map (projl o Inl)  $vl = vl \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** these-map-Inl-projl: list-all isl  $vl \implies$  these (map (Some o Inl o projl) vl) = vl $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** map-projr-Inr: map (projr o Inr)  $vl = vl \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** these-map-Inr-projr: list-all (Not o isl)  $vl \Longrightarrow$  these (map (Some o Inr o projr) vl) = vl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale BD-Security-TS-Network-Preserve-Source-Security-getTgtV where istate =  $\lambda$ -. istate and validTrans = validTrans and srcOf =  $\lambda$ -. srcOf and tgtOf =  $\lambda$ -. tgtOf and nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOf and sync = sync and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = Band comOfV = comOfV and tgtNodeOfV = tgtNodeOfV and syncV = syncV and comOfO = comOfO and tgtNodeOfO = tgtNodeOfO and syncO = syncO and source = AID and getTgtV = getTgtV (proof)

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

theory DYNAMIC-Post-Value-Setup-ISSUER imports .../Safety-Properties Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER Post-Unwinding-Helper-ISSUER

 $\mathbf{begin}$ 

## 6.5 Variation with dynamic declassification trigger

This section formalizes the "dynamic" variation of one post confidentiality properties, as described in [3, Appendix C].

locale Post = ObservationSetup-ISSUER
begin

## 6.5.1 Issuer value setup

datatype value =
 isPVal: PVal post — updating the post content locally
 isPValS: PValS (tgtAPI: apiID) post — sending the post to another node
 isOVal: OVal bool — change in the dynamic declassification trigger condition

The dynamic declassification trigger condition holds, i.e. the access window to the confidential information is open, when the post is public or one of the observers is the administrator, the post's owner, or a friend of the post's owner.

definition open where

 $open \ s \equiv$   $\exists \ uid \in UIDs.$   $uid \in userIDs \ s \land PID \in e postIDs \ s \land$   $(uid = admin \ s \lor uid = owner \ s \ PID \lor uid \in e friendIDs \ s \ (owner \ s \ PID) \lor$  $vis \ s \ PID = PublicV)$ 

sublocale Issuer-State-Equivalence-Up-To-PID (proof)

**lemma** eqButPID-open: **assumes** eqButPID s s1 **shows** open s  $\leftrightarrow$  open s1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** not-open-eqButPID: assumes 1:  $\neg$  open s and 2: eqButPID s s1 shows  $\neg$  open s1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step-isCOMact-open: assumes step  $s \ a = (ou, s')$ and isCOMact ashows open  $s' = open \ s$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** validTrans-isCOMact-open: assumes validTrans trn and isCOMact (actOf trn) shows open (tgtOf trn) = open (srcOf trn)  $\langle proof \rangle$  list-all isOVal  $vl \Longrightarrow$  filter (Not o isPValS) vl = vl $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *list-all-Not-isOVal-OVal-True*: assumes *list-all* (Not  $\circ$  isOVal) ul and ul @ vll = OVal True # vll'shows ul = [] $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *list-all-filter-isOVal-isPVal-isPValS*: assumes list-all (Not  $\circ$  isOVal) ul and filter is  $PValS \ ul = []$  and filter is  $PVal \ ul = []$ shows ul = [] $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *filter-list-all-isPValS-isOVal*: assumes *list-all* (Not  $\circ$  *isOVal*) *ul* and *filter isPVal ul* = [] shows list-all isPValS ul  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *filter-list-all-isPVal-isOVal*: assumes *list-all* (*Not*  $\circ$  *isOVal*) *ul* and *filter isPValS ul* = [] shows list-all isPVal ul  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *list-all-isPValS-Not-isOVal-filter*: assumes list-all is PValS ul shows list-all (Not  $\circ$  is OVal) ul  $\wedge$  filter is PVal ul = []  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *filter-isTValS-Nil*: filter is  $PValS vl = [] \leftrightarrow$ list-all ( $\lambda v$ . isPVal  $v \lor$  isOVal v) vl  $\langle proof \rangle$ fun  $\varphi$  :: (state,act,out) trans  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  $\varphi$  (Trans - (Uact (uPost uid p pid pst)) ou -) = (pid = PID \land ou = outOK)  $\varphi$  (Trans - (COMact (comSendPost uid p aid pid)) ou -) = (pid = PID \land ou \neq outErr)  $\varphi$  (Trans s - - s') = (open s  $\neq$  open s') lemma  $\varphi$ -def2:

lemma list-all-isOVal-filter-isPValS:

78

```
assumes step s a = (ou, s')
shows
\varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow
(\exists uid p pst. a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst) \land ou = outOK) \lor
(\exists uid p aid. a = COMact (comSendPost uid p aid PID) \land ou \neq outErr) \lor
  open s \neq open s'
\langle proof \rangle
lemma uTextPost-out:
assumes 1: step s a = (ou, s') and a: a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst) and 2: ou
= outOK
shows uid = owner \ s \ PID \land p = pass \ s \ uid
\langle proof \rangle
lemma comSendPost-out:
assumes 1: step s = (ou, s') and a: a = COMact (comSendPost uid p aid PID)
 and 2: ou \neq outErr
shows ou = O-sendPost (aid, clientPass s aid, PID, post s PID, owner s PID, vis
s PID)
      \wedge uid = admin s \wedge p = pass s (admin s)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma step-open-isCOMact:
assumes step s a = (ou, s')
and open s \neq open s'
shows \neg isCOMact a \land \neg (\exists ua. isuPost ua \land a = Uact ua)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \varphi-def3:
assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
shows
\varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow
(\exists pst. a = Uact (uPost (owner s PID) (pass s (owner s PID)) PID pst) \land ou =
outOK)
\vee
(\exists aid. a = COMact (comSendPost (admin s) (pass s (admin s)) aid PID) \land
       ou = O-sendPost (aid, clientPass s aid, PID, post s PID, owner s PID, vis
s PID))
V
open s \neq open s' \land \neg isCOMact a \land \neg (\exists ua. isuPost ua \land a = Uact ua)
\langle proof \rangle
fun f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value where
f (Trans s (Uact (uPost uid p pid pst)) - s') =
(if pid = PID then PVal pst else OVal (open s'))
f (Trans s (COMact (comSendPost uid p aid pid)) (O-sendPost (-, -, -, pst, -)) s')
(if pid = PID then PValS aid pst else OVal (open s'))
```

 $\int (Trans \ s \ - \ s') = OVal \ (open \ s')$ 

**lemma** f-open-OVal: **assumes** step s a = (ou, s') **and** open  $s \neq open s' \land \neg isCOMact a \land \neg (\exists ua. isuPost ua \land a = Uact ua)$  **shows** f (Trans s a ou s') = OVal (open s')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** f-eq-PVal: **assumes** step s a = (ou, s') and  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') and f (Trans s a ou s') = PVal pst **shows** a = Uact (uPost (owner s PID) (pass s (owner s PID)) PID pst)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** f-eq-PValS: **assumes** step s a = (ou, s') and  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') and f (Trans s a ou s') = PValS aid pst **shows** a = COMact (comSendPost (admin s) (pass s (admin s)) aid PID)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma f-eq-OVal:

assumes step s a = (ou, s') and \varphi (Trans s a ou s')

and f (Trans s a ou s') = OVal b

shows open s' \neq open s

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma uPost-comSendPost-open-eq:

assumes step: step s a = (ou, s')

and a: a = Uact (uPost uid p pid pst) \lor a = COMact (comSendPost uid p aid

pid)

shows open s' = open s

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma step-open-\varphi-f-PVal-\gamma:

assumes s: reach s

and step: step s a = (ou, s')

and PID: PID \in set (postIDs s)

and op: \neg open s and fi: \varphi (Trans s a ou s') and f: f (Trans s a ou s') = PVal

pst

shows \neg \gamma (Trans s a ou s')

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma Uact-uPaperC-step-eqButPID:

assumes a: a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst)

and step \ s \ a = (ou,s')

shows eqButPID \ s \ s'

\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButPID-step- $\varphi$ -imp: **assumes**  $ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1$  **and** step:  $step \ s \ a = (ou,s')$  **and**  $step1: step \ s1 \ a = (ou1,s1')$  **and**  $\varphi: \varphi$  (Trans  $s \ a \ ou1 \ s'$ ) **shows**  $\varphi$  (Trans  $s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1'$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButPID-step- $\varphi$ : **assumes** s's1': eqButPID s s1 **and** step: step s a = (ou,s') **and** step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1') **shows**  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') =  $\varphi$  (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```
end
theory DYNAMIC-Post-ISSUER
imports
Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER
DYNAMIC-Post-Value-Setup-ISSUER
Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning
begin
```

## 6.5.2 Issuer declassification bound

We verify that a group of users of some node i, allowed to take normal actions to the system and observe their outputs and additionally allowed to observe communication, can learn nothing about the updates to a post located at node i and the sends of that post to other nodes beyond:

(1) the updates that occur during the times when one of the following holds, and the *last* update *before* one of the following holds (because, for example, observers can see the current version of the post when it is made public):

- either a user in the group is the post's owner or the administrator
- or a user in the group is a friend of the owner
- or the group has at least one registered user and the post is marked "public"
- (2) the presence of the sends (i.e., the number of the sending actions)

(3) the public knowledge that what is being sent is always the last version (modeled as the correlation predicate)

See [3, Appendix C] for more details. This is the dynamic-trigger (i.e., trigger-incorporating inductive bound) version of the property proved in

Section 6.1. For a discussion of this "while-or-last-before" style of formalizing bounds, see [4, Section 3.4] about the the corresponding property of CoSMed.

context Post begin

fun  $T :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where T - = False$ **inductive**  $BC :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ and  $BO :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ where BC-PVal[simp,intro!]:  $list-all (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul \Longrightarrow list-all (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul1 \Longrightarrow$  $map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ ul) = map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ ul1) \Longrightarrow$  $(ul = [] \longrightarrow ul1 = [])$  $\implies BC \ ul \ ul1$ |BC-BO[intro]:  $BO \ vl \ vl1 \Longrightarrow$  $list-all (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul \Longrightarrow list-all (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul1 \Longrightarrow$  $map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ ul) = map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ ul1) \Longrightarrow$  $(ul = [] \longleftrightarrow ul1 = []) \Longrightarrow$  $(ul \neq [] \Longrightarrow isPVal \ (last \ ul) \land last \ ul = last \ ul1) \Longrightarrow$ list-all isPValS sul  $\implies$ BC (ul @ sul @ OVal True # vl) (ul1 @ sul @ OVal True # vl1)|BO-PVal[simp,intro!]:  $list-all (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul \Longrightarrow BO \ ul \ ul$ |BO-BC[intro]: $BC vl vl1 \Longrightarrow$ list-all (Not o isOVal) ul \_\_\_\_ BO (ul @ OVal False # vl) (ul @ OVal False # vl1) **lemma** *list-all-filter-Not-isOVal*: assumes list-all (Not  $\circ$  isOVal) ul and filter is  $PValS \ ul = []$  and filter is  $PVal \ ul = []$ shows ul = [] $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma *BC*-not-Nil: *BC* vl vl1  $\implies$  vl =  $[] \implies$  vl1 = [] $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma *BC-OVal-True*: assumes BC (OVal True # vl') vl1 shows  $\exists vl1'$ . BO  $vl' vl1' \land vl1 = OVal True # vl1'$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**fun** corrFrom :: post  $\Rightarrow$  value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where** corrFrom pst [] = True |corrFrom pst (PVal pstt # vl) = corrFrom pst vl |corrFrom pst (PValS aid pstt # vl) = (pst = pstt  $\land$  corrFrom pst vl) |corrFrom pst (OVal b # vl) = (corrFrom pst vl)

**abbreviation** corr :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where corr  $\equiv$  corrFrom emptyPost

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ B \ \textbf{where} \\ B \ vl \ vl1 \ \equiv \ BC \ vl \ vl1 \ \land \ corr \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

lemma *B*-not-Nil: assumes *B*: *B* vl vl1 and vl: vl = [] shows vl1 = []  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$ 

## 6.5.3 Issuer unwinding proof

**lemma** reach-Public V-imples-Friend V[simp]: assumes reach s and vis s pid  $\neq$  Public V shows vis s pid = FriendV  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } eqButPID\text{-}step\text{-}\gamma\text{-}out\text{:}}\\ \textbf{assumes } ss1\text{:} eqButPID \ s \ s1\\ \textbf{and } step\text{:} step \ s \ a = (ou,s') \ \textbf{and } step1\text{:} step \ s1 \ a = (ou1,s1')\\ \textbf{and } op\text{:} \neg \ open \ s\\ \textbf{and } sT\text{:} reachNT \ s \ \textbf{and } s1\text{:} reach \ s1\\ \textbf{and } \gamma\text{:} \ \gamma \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s')\\ \textbf{shows } (\exists \ uid \ p \ aid \ pid. \ a = COMact \ (comSendPost \ uid \ p \ aid \ pid) \land outPurge \ ou\\ = outPurge \ ou1) \lor \\ ou = ou1\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

**lemma** eqButPID-step-eq: assumes ss1: eqButPID s s1and a: a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst) ou = outOK and step: step s a = (ou, s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou', s1')shows s' = s1' $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ \neg \ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \ BC \ vl \ vl1 \ \land \\ corr \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ vl \ \land \ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ vl1 \ \land \\ map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl) = map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl1) \ \land \\ (vl = [] \longrightarrow vl1 = []) \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \neg \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 11 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 11 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ vl = [] \ \land \ list-all \ isPVal \ vl1 \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \neg \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 2 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ vl \ \land \\ vl = \ vl1 \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 31 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 31 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ ul \ ul1 \ sul \ vll \ vll1 \ . \\ BO \ vll \ vll1 \ \land \\ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul \ \land \ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul1 \ \land \\ map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ ul) = map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ ul1) \ \land \\ ul \neq [] \ \land \ ul1 \neq [] \ \land \\ ul \neq [] \ \land \ ul1 \neq [] \ \land \\ list-all \ isPVal \ (last \ ul) \ \land \ last \ ul = last \ ul1 \ \land \\ list-all \ isPValS \ sul \ \land \\ vl = ul \ @ \ sul \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll1 \ \land \\ vl = ul \ @ \ sul \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll1 \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \ \neg \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 32 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 32 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ sul \ vll \ vll1 \ . \\ BO \ vll \ vll1 \ . \\ list-all \ isPValS \ sul \ \land \\ vl = \ sul \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll \ \land \ vl1 = \ sul \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll1) \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \neg \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 4 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 4 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ ul \ vll \ vll1 \ . \\ BC \ vll \ vll1 \ . \\ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul \ \land \\ vl = ul \ @ \ OVal \ False \ \# \ vll \ \land vl1 = ul \ @ \ OVal \ False \ \# \ vll1) \ \land \\ s = s1 \ \land \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$ : assumes B: B vl vl1 shows  $\Delta 0$  istate vl istate vl1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *list-all-filter*[*simp*]: **assumes** *list-all PP xs* **shows** *filter PP xs* = *xs*  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 0$  { $\Delta 0, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 31, \Delta 32, \Delta 4$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 1$  { $\Delta 1$ , $\Delta 11$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 11$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 11 \{\Delta 11\} \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta$ 31: unwind-cont  $\Delta$ 31 { $\Delta$ 31, $\Delta$ 32} (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta$ 32: unwind-cont  $\Delta$ 32 { $\Delta$ 2, $\Delta$ 32, $\Delta$ 4} \{proof\}

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 2$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 2$  { $\Delta 2$ } (proof) **lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta$ 4: unwind-cont  $\Delta$ 4 { $\Delta$ 1, $\Delta$ 31, $\Delta$ 32, $\Delta$ 4} (proof)

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{definition } Gr \text{ where} \\ Gr = \\ \{ \\ (\Delta \theta, \{\Delta \theta, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 31, \Delta 32, \Delta 4\}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{\Delta 1, \Delta 11\}), \\ (\Delta 11, \{\Delta 11\}), \\ (\Delta 2, \{\Delta 2\}), \\ (\Delta 31, \{\Delta 31, \Delta 32\}), \\ (\Delta 32, \{\Delta 2, \Delta 32, \Delta 4\}), \\ (\Delta 4, \{\Delta 1, \Delta 31, \Delta 32, \Delta 4\}) \\ \} \end{array}$ 

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### $\mathbf{end}$

```
end
theory DYNAMIC-Post-COMPOSE2
imports
DYNAMIC-Post-ISSUER
Post-RECEIVER
BD-Security-Compositional.Composing-Security
begin
```

## 6.5.4 Confidentiality for the (binary) issuer-receiver composition

**type-synonym** ttrans = (state, act, out) trans **type-synonym** value1 = Post.value **type-synonym** value2 = Post-RECEIVER.value **type-synonym** obs1 = Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER.obs **type-synonym** obs2 = Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER.obs

datatype cval = PValC posttype-synonym  $cobs = obs1 \times obs2$ 

locale Post-COMPOSE2 =
 Iss: Post UIDs PID +
 Rcv: Post-RECEIVER UIDs2 PID AID1
for UIDs :: userID set and UIDs2 :: userID set and
 AID1 :: apiID and PID :: postID
+ fixes AID2 :: apiID

#### begin

abbreviation  $\varphi 1 \equiv Iss.\varphi$  abbreviation  $f1 \equiv Iss.f$  abbreviation  $\gamma 1 \equiv Iss.\gamma$ abbreviation  $g1 \equiv Iss.g$ abbreviation  $T1 \equiv Iss.T$  abbreviation  $B1 \equiv Iss.B$ abbreviation  $\varphi 2 \equiv Rcv.\varphi$  abbreviation  $f2 \equiv Rcv.f$  abbreviation  $\gamma 2 \equiv Rcv.\gamma$ abbreviation  $g2 \equiv Rcv.g$ abbreviation  $T2 \equiv Rcv.T$  abbreviation  $B2 \equiv Rcv.B$ 

**fun**  $isCom1 :: ttrans \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>isCom1 \ (Trans \ s \ (COMact \ ca1) \ ou1 \ s') = (ou1 \neq outErr)$  $|isCom1 \ - = False$ 

**fun** isCom2 :: ttrans  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where** isCom2 (Trans s (COMact ca2) ou2 s') = (ou2  $\neq$  outErr) |isCom2 -= False

**fun**  $isComV1 :: value1 \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>isComV1 \ (Iss.PValS \ aid1 \ pst1) = True$ |isComV1 - = False

**fun**  $isComV2 :: value2 \Rightarrow bool$ **where** <math>isComV2 (Rcv.PValR pst2) = True

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ syncV:: value1 \Rightarrow value2 \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} \\ syncV \ (Iss.PValS \ aud1 \ pst1) \ (Rcv.PValR \ pst2) = (pst1 = pst2) \\ |syncV \ - = False \end{array}$ 

**fun** cmpV ::  $value1 \Rightarrow value2 \Rightarrow cval$  where cmpV (Iss.PValS aid1 pst1) (Rcv.PValR pst2) = PValC pst1 |cmpV - - = undefined

**fun**  $isComO1 :: obs1 \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>isComO1 (COMact ca1, ou1) = (ou1 \neq outErr)$ |isComO1 - = False

**fun**  $isComO2 :: obs2 \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $<math>isComO2 (COMact ca2, ou2) = (ou2 \neq outErr)$ |isComO2 - = False

**fun** comSyncOA :: out  $\Rightarrow$  comActt  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where** comSyncOA (O-sendServerReq (aid2, reqInfo1)) (comReceiveClientReq aid1 reqInfo2) = (aid1 = AID1  $\land$  aid2 = AID2  $\land$  reqInfo1 = reqInfo2) |comSyncOA (O-connectClient (aid2, sp1)) (comConnectServer aid1 sp2) = (aid1 = AID1  $\land$  aid2 = AID2  $\land$  sp1 = sp2) 
$$\begin{split} |comSyncOA\ (O-sendPost\ (aid2,\ sp1,\ pid1,\ pst1,\ uid1,\ vs1))\ (comReceivePost\ aid1\\ sp2\ pid2\ pst2\ uid2\ vs2) = \\ (aid1 = AID1 \land aid2 = AID2 \land (pid1,\ pst1,\ uid1,\ vs1) = (pid2,\ pst2,\ uid2,\ vs2))\\ |comSyncOA\ (O-sendCreateOFriend\ (aid2,\ sp1,\ uid1,\ uid1'))\ (comReceiveCreateOFriend\ aid1\ sp2\ uid2\ uid2') = \\ (aid1 = AID1 \land aid2 = AID2 \land (uid1,\ uid1') = (uid2,\ uid2'))\\ |comSyncOA\ (O-sendDeleteOFriend\ (aid2,\ sp1,\ uid1,\ uid1'))\ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend\ aid1\ sp2\ uid2\ uid2') = \\ (aid1 = AID1 \land aid2 = AID2 \land (uid1,\ uid1') = (uid2,\ uid2'))\\ |comSyncOA\ (O-sendDeleteOFriend\ (aid2,\ sp1,\ uid1,\ uid1'))\ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend\ aid1\ sp2\ uid2\ uid2') = \\ (aid1 = AID1 \land aid2 = AID2 \land (uid1,\ uid1') = (uid2,\ uid2'))\\ |comSyncOA\ - - = False \\ \hline \mathbf{fun}\ syncO::\ obs1 \Rightarrow obs2 \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where} \\ \mathbf{fun}\ syncO::\ obs1 \Rightarrow obs2 \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where} \end{split}$$

syncO(COMact ca1, ou1)(COMact ca2, ou2) = $(ou1 \neq outErr \land ou2 \neq outErr \land$  $(comSyncOA ou1 ca2 \lor comSyncOA ou2 ca1)))$ |syncO - - = False

**fun** sync :: ttrans  $\Rightarrow$  ttrans  $\Rightarrow$  bool where sync (Trans s1 a1 ou1 s1') (Trans s2 a2 ou2 s2') = syncO (a1, ou1) (a2, ou2)

**definition**  $cmpO :: obs1 \Rightarrow obs2 \Rightarrow cobs$  where  $cmpO \ o1 \ o2 \equiv (o1, o2)$ 

**lemma** isCom1-isComV1: **assumes** v: validTrans trn1 **and** r: reach (srcOf trn1) **and**  $\varphi$ 1:  $\varphi$ 1 trn1 **shows** isCom1 trn1  $\leftrightarrow$  isComV1 (f1 trn1)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *isCom1-isCom01*: **assumes** *validTrans trn1* **and** *reach* (*srcOf trn1*) **and**  $\gamma$ *1 trn1* **shows** *isCom1 trn1*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *isCom01* (*g1 trn1*)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** isCom2-isComV2: **assumes** validTrans trn2 **and** reach (srcOf trn2) **and**  $\varphi$ 2 trn2 **shows** isCom2 trn2  $\longleftrightarrow$  isComV2 (f2 trn2)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** isCom2-isComO2: assumes validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2) and  $\gamma 2$  trn2 shows isCom2 trn2  $\leftrightarrow$  isComO2 (g2 trn2)

#### $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma sync-sync V:

assumes v1: validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1)

and v2: validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2)

and c1: isCom1 trn1 and c2: isCom2 trn2 and \varphi1: \varphi1 trn1 and \varphi2: \varphi2 trn2

and snc: sync trn1 trn2

shows syncV (f1 trn1) (f2 trn2)

\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** sync-syncO: assumes validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1) and validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2) and isCom1 trn1 and isCom2 trn2 and  $\gamma 1$  trn1 and  $\gamma 2$  trn2 and sync trn1 trn2 shows syncO (g1 trn1) (g2 trn2)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma sync-\varphi 1-\varphi 2:
assumes v1: validTrans trn1 and r1: reach (srcOf trn1)
and v2: validTrans trn2 and s2: reach (srcOf trn2)
and c1: isCom1 trn1 and c2: isCom2 trn2
and sn: sync trn1 trn2
shows \varphi 1 trn1 \longleftrightarrow \varphi 2 trn2 (is ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma textPost-textPost-cong[intro]:
assumes textPost pst1 = textPost pst2
and setTextPost pst1 emptyText = setTextPost pst2 emptyText
shows pst1 = pst2
\(proof\)
```

```
lemma sync-\varphi-\gamma:

assumes validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1)

and validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2)

and isCom1 trn1 and isCom2 trn2

and \gamma1 trn1 and \gamma2 trn2

and \varphi1 trn1 and \gamma2 trn2

and \varphi1 trn1 \Longrightarrow \varphi2 trn2 \Longrightarrow syncV (f1 trn1) (f2 trn2)

shows sync trn1 trn2

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma isCom1-\gamma1:
assumes validTrans trn1 and reach (srcOf trn1) and isCom1 trn1
shows \gamma1 trn1
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma isCom2-\gamma2:
assumes validTrans trn2 and reach (srcOf trn2) and isCom2 trn2
```

```
shows \gamma 2 \ trn2

\langle proof \rangle

lemma isCom2-V2:

assumes validTrans \ trn2 and reach \ (srcOf \ trn2) and \varphi 2 \ trn2

shows isCom2 \ trn2

\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

sublocale Post-COMPOSE2 < BD-Security-TS-Comp where istate1 = istate and validTrans1 = validTrans and srcOf1 = srcOf and tgtOf1= tgtOfand  $\varphi 1 = \varphi 1$  and f1 = f1 and  $\gamma 1 = \gamma 1$  and g1 = g1 and T1 = T1 and B1 = B1and istate2 = istate and validTrans2 = validTrans and srcOf2 = srcOf and tgtOf2= tgtOfand  $\varphi 2 = \varphi 2$  and f2 = f2 and  $\gamma 2 = \gamma 2$  and g2 = g2 and T2 = T2 and B2 = B2and isCom1 = isCom1 and isCom2 = isCom2 and sync = syncand isComO1 = isComO1 and isComO2 = isComO2 and syncO = syncO $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context Post-COMPOSE2
begin

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

## end

```
end
theory DYNAMIC-Post-Network
imports
DYNAMIC-Post-ISSUER
Post-RECEIVER
../API-Network
BD-Security-Compositional.Composing-Security-Network
begin
```

## 6.5.5 Confidentiality for the N-ary composition

**type-synonym** ttrans = (state, act, out) trans

**type-synonym** *obs* = *Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER.obs* **type-synonym** *value* = *Post.value* + *Post-RECEIVER.value* 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma value-cases:} \\ \textbf{fixes } v :: value \\ \textbf{obtains } (PVal) \ pst \ \textbf{where } v = Inl \ (Post.PVal \ pst) \\ & \mid (PValS) \ aid \ pst \ \textbf{where } v = Inl \ (Post.PValS \ aid \ pst) \\ & \mid (OVal) \ ov \ \textbf{where } v = Inl \ (Post.OVal \ ov) \\ & \mid (PValR) \ pst \ \textbf{where } v = Inr \ (Post-RECEIVER.PValR \ pst) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

**locale** Post-Network = Network+ fixes  $UIDs :: apiID \Rightarrow userID$  set and AID :: apiID and PID :: postIDassumes  $AID-in-AIDs: AID \in AIDs$ begin

sublocale Iss: Post UIDs AID PID  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**abbreviation**  $\varphi$  ::  $apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $\varphi$  aid  $trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Iss. \varphi trn else Post-RECEIVER. \varphi PID AID trn)$ 

**abbreviation**  $f :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value$ **where** $<math>f aid trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Inl (Iss.f trn) else Inr (Post-RECEIVER.f PID AID trn))$ 

**abbreviation**  $\gamma :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  $\gamma$  aid trn  $\equiv (if aid = AID then Iss. \gamma trn else ObservationSetup-RECEIVER. \gamma$ (UIDs aid) trn)

**abbreviation**  $g :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ **where**  $g aid trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Iss.g trn else ObservationSetup-RECEIVER.g PID AID trn)$ 

**abbreviation**  $T :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $T aid trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Iss. T trn else Post-RECEIVER.T (UIDs aid) PID AID trn)$ 

**lemma** T-def: T aid trn  $\longleftrightarrow$  aid  $\neq$  AID  $\land$  Post-RECEIVER.T (UIDs aid) PID AID trn  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**abbreviation**  $B :: apiID \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  $B \ aid \ vl \ vl1 \equiv$ (if aid = AID then list-all isl  $vl \land list-all \ isl \ vl1 \land Iss.B$  (map projl vl) (map projl vl1)

else list-all (Not o isl) vl  $\land$  list-all (Not o isl) vl1  $\land$  Post-RECEIVER.B (map

projr vl) (map projr vl1))

**fun**  $comOfV :: apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow com$  where  $comOfV aid (Inl (Post.PValS aid' pst)) = (if aid' \neq aid then Send else Internal)$  $comOfV \ aid \ (Inl \ (Post.PVal \ pst)) = Internal$  $comOfV \ aid \ (Inl \ (Post.OVal \ ov)) = Internal$ | comOfV aid (Inr v) = Recv**fun**  $tgtNodeOfV :: apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow apiID$  where  $tgtNodeOfV \ aid \ (Inl \ (Post.PValS \ aid' \ pst)) = aid'$ tgtNodeOfV aid (Inl (Post.PVal pst)) = undefinedtgtNodeOfV aid (Inl (Post.OVal ov)) = undefined $\mid tgtNodeOfV \ aid \ (Inr \ v) = AID$ **definition**  $syncV :: apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow bool where$ syncV aid1 v1 aid2 v2 = $(\exists pst. aid1 = AID \land v1 = Inl (Post.PValS aid2 pst) \land v2 = Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR)$ pst))lemma syncVI: syncV AID (Inl (Post.PValS aid' pst)) aid' (Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst)) $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma sync VE: assumes syncV aid1 v1 aid2 v2 obtains pst where aid1 = AID v1 = Inl (Post.PValS aid2 pst) v2 = Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR)pst)  $\langle proof \rangle$ fun getTgtV where getTgtV (Inl (Post.PValS aid pst)) = Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst) | getTgtV v = v**lemma** comOfV-AID:  $comOfV AID \ v = Send \iff isl \ v \land Iss.isPValS \ (projl \ v) \land Iss.tgtAPI \ (projl \ v)$  $\neq AID$  $comOfV AID v = Recv \leftrightarrow Not (isl v)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemmas**  $\varphi$ -defs = Post-RECEIVER. $\varphi$ -def2 Iss. $\varphi$ -def3 sublocale Net: BD-Security-TS-Network-getTgtVwhere istate =  $\lambda$ -. istate and validTrans = validTrans and srcOf =  $\lambda$ -. srcOf and  $tgtOf = \lambda$ -. tgtOfand nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOfand sync = sync and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and

B = B

and comOfV = comOfV and tgtNodeOfV = tgtNodeOfV and syncV = syncVand comOfO = comOfO and tgtNodeOfO = tgtNodeOfO and syncO = syncO and source = AID and getTgtV = getTgtV  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *list-all-Not-isl-projectSrcV*: *list-all* (Not o isl) (Net.projectSrcV aid vlSrc)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context fixes AID' :: apiIDassumes  $AID': AID' \in AIDs - \{AID\}$ begin

interpretation Recv: Post-RECEIVER UIDs AID / PID AID (proof)

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Iss-B-Recv-B-aux:
assumes list-all isl vl
and list-all isl vl1
and map Iss.tgtAPI (filter Iss.isPValS (map projl vl)) =
 map Iss.tgtAPI (filter Iss.isPValS (map projl vl1))
shows length (map projr (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl)) = length (map projr (Net.projectSrcV
AID' vl1))
(proof)

lemma Iss-B-Recv-B:
assumes B AID vl vl1
shows Recv.B (map projr (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl)) (map projr (Net.projectSrcV
AID' vl1))
(proof)

## $\mathbf{end}$

**lemma** map-projl-Inl: map (projl o Inl)  $vl = vl \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** these-map-Inl-projl: list-all isl  $vl \implies$  these (map (Some o Inl o projl) vl) = vl

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma map-projr-Inr: map (projr o Inr) vl = vl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** these-map-Inr-projr: list-all (Not o isl)  $vl \implies$  these (map (Some o Inr o projr) vl) = vl

## $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *BD-Security-TS-Network-Preserve-Source-Security-getTgtV* where *istate* =  $\lambda$ -. *istate* and *validTrans* = *validTrans* and *srcOf* =  $\lambda$ -. *srcOf* and *tgtOf* =  $\lambda$ -. *tgtOf* and *nodes* = *AIDs* and *comOf* = *comOf* and *tgtNodeOf* = *tgtNodeOf* and *sync* = *sync* and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = Band *comOfV* = *comOfV* and *tgtNodeOfV* = *tgtNodeOfV* and *syncV* = *syncV* and *comOfO* = *comOfO* and *tgtNodeOfO* = *tgtNodeOfO* and *syncO* = *syncO* and *source* = *AID* and *getTgtV* = *getTgtV*   $\langle proof \rangle$ end

end

theory Independent-Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER
imports
../../Safety-Properties
.../Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER
begin

# 6.6 Variation with multiple independent secret posts

This section formalizes the lifting of the confidentiality of one given (arbitrary but fixed) post to the confidentiality of two posts of arbitrary nodes of the network, as described in [3, Appendix E].

## 6.6.1 Issuer observation setup

**locale** Strong-ObservationSetup-ISSUER = Fixed-UIDs + Fixed-PID**begin** 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ \gamma :: (state, act, out) \ trans \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} \\ \gamma \ (Trans - a - -) \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \ uid. \ userOfA \ a = Some \ uid \ \land \ uid \in \ UIDs) \\ \lor \end{array}$ 

— Communication actions are considered to be observable in order to make the security properties compositional

 $(\exists ca. a = COMact ca) \\ \lor$ 

— The following actions are added to strengthen the observers in order to show that all posts *other than PID* are completely independent of *PID*; the confidentiality

of *PID* is protected even if the observers can see all updates to other posts (and actions contributing to the declassification triggers of those posts).

 $(\exists uid p pid pst. a = Uact (uPost uid p pid pst) \land pid \neq PID) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p. a = Sact (sSys uid p)) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p uid' p'. a = Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p pid. a = Cact (cPost uid p pid)) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p uid'. a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p uid'. a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p pid v. a = Uact (uVisPost uid p pid v)) \\ \end{cases}$ 

**fun**  $sPurge :: sActt \Rightarrow sActt$ **where** <math>sPurge (sSys uid pwd) = sSys uid emptyPass

fun comPurge :: comActt ⇒ comActt where comPurge (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo) = comSendServerReq uID emptyPass aID reqInfo |comPurge (comConnectClient uID p aID sp) = comConnectClient uID emptyPass aID sp |comPurge (comConnectServer aID sp) = comConnectServer aID sp |comPurge (comSendPost uID p aID pID) = comSendPost uID emptyPass aID pID |comPurge (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID') = comSendCreateOFriend uID emptyPass aID uID' |comPurge (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID') = comSendDeleteOFriend uID emptyPass aID uID' |comPurge (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID') = comSendDeleteOFriend uID emptyPass aID uID' |comPurge ca = ca

**fun**  $outPurge :: out \Rightarrow out where$ <math>outPurge (O-sendPost (aID, sp, pID, pst, uID, vs)) = (let pst' = (if pID = PID then emptyPost else pst) in O-sendPost (aID, sp, pID, pst', uID, vs))|outPurge ou = ou

**fun**  $g :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ **where** <math>g (Trans - (Sact sa) ou -) = (Sact (sPurge sa), outPurge ou) |g (Trans - (COMact ca) ou -) = (COMact (comPurge ca), outPurge ou)|g (Trans - a ou -) = (a, ou)

lemma comPurge-simps:

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendServerReq\ uID\ p\ aID\ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendServerReq\ uID\ p'\ aID\ reqInfo \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo$ 

comPurge ca = comConnectClient uID p aID sp  $\leftrightarrow (\exists p'. ca = comConnectClient uID p' aID sp \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comConnectServer\ aID\ sp \longleftrightarrow ca = comConnectServer\ aID\ sp comPurge\ ca = comReceivePost\ aID\ sp\ nID\ nt\ uID\ v \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceivePost\ aID\ sp\ nID\ nt\ uID\ v$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p\ aID\ nID \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p'\ aID\ nID \land p = emptyPass)$ 

comPurge ca = comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID'  $\leftrightarrow (\exists p'. ca = com-SendCreateOFriend uID p' aID uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID' \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID'$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendDeleteOFriend\ uID\ p\ aID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendDeleteOFriend\ uID\ p'\ aID\ uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID' \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID'$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *outPurge-simps*[*simp*]:

 $\begin{array}{l} outPurge \ ou = \ outErr \longleftrightarrow \ ou = \ outErr \\ outPurge \ ou = \ outOK \ \leftrightarrow \ ou = \ outOK \\ outPurge \ ou = \ O\text{-sendServerReq} \ ossr \ \leftrightarrow \ ou = \ O\text{-sendServerReq} \ ossr \\ outPurge \ ou = \ O\text{-connectClient} \ occ \ \leftrightarrow \ ou = \ O\text{-connectClient} \ occ \\ outPurge \ ou = \ O\text{-sendPost} \ (aid, \ sp, \ pid, \ pst', \ uid, \ vs) \ \leftrightarrow \ (\exists \ pst. \ ou = \ O\text{-sendPost} \ (aid, \ sp, \ pid, \ pst', \ uid, \ vs) \ \land \ pst' = \ (if \ pid = \ PID \ then \ emptyPost \ else \ pst)) \\ outPurge \ ou = \ O\text{-sendCreate}OFriend \ oscf \ \leftrightarrow \ ou = \ O\text{-sendCreate}OFriend \ oscf \ outPurge \ ou = \ O\text{-sendDelete}OFriend \ osdf \ (proof) \end{array}$ 

**lemma** *g-simps*:

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo), O-sendServerReq ossr)

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendServerReq uID p' aID reqInfo) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O\text{-sendServerReq ossr})$ 

 $\begin{array}{l}g \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact \ (comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo), \ outOK) \\ \longleftrightarrow \ a = \ COMact \ (comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo) \ \land \ ou = \ outOK \\ g \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact \ (comConnectClient \ uID \ p \ aID \ sp), \ O\text{-connectClient} \\ occ) \end{array}$ 

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comConnectClient uID p' aID sp) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O-connectClient occ)$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comConnectServer aID sp), outOK)  $\leftrightarrow a = COMact$  (comConnectServer aID sp)  $\land ou = outOK$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceivePost aID sp nID nt uID v), outOK)

 $\leftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v) \land ou = outOK$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendPost uID p aID nID), O-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst', uid, vs))

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists pst p'. a = COMact (comSendPost uID p' aID nID) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O\text{-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst, uid, vs)} \land pst' = (if pid = PID then emptyPost else pst))$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID'), O-sendCreateOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p' aID uID')) \land p = emp$  $tyPass \land ou = O-sendCreateOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID'), outOK)

 $\leftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID') \land ou = outOK$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID'), O-sendDeleteOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p' aID uID') \land p = empty-Pass \land ou = O-sendDeleteOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID'), outOK)

 $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID') \land ou = outOK \ (proof)$ 

#### end

end

theory Independent-DYNAMIC-Post-Value-Setup-ISSUER imports .../../Safety-Properties Independent-Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER .../Post-Unwinding-Helper-ISSUER

begin

#### 6.6.2 Issuer value setup

locale Post = Strong-ObservationSetup-ISSUER begin

datatype value =

isPVal: PVal post — updating the post content locally
isPValS: PValS (tgtAPI: apiID) post — sending the post to another node
isOVal: OVal bool — change in the dynamic declassification trigger condition

## definition open where

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{open } s \equiv \\ \exists \textit{ uid } \in \textit{UIDs.} \\ \textit{uid } \in \textit{userIDs } s \land \textit{PID} \in \in \textit{postIDs } s \land \\ (\textit{uid } = \textit{admin } s \lor \textit{uid } = \textit{owner } s \textit{PID} \lor \textit{uid} \in \in \textit{friendIDs } s \textit{ (owner } s \textit{PID)} \lor \\ \textit{vis } s \textit{PID } = \textit{PublicV} \end{array}$ 

sublocale Issuer-State-Equivalence-Up-To-PID (proof)

```
lemma eqButPID-open:
assumes eqButPID s s1
shows open s \leftrightarrow open s1
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** not-open-eqButPID: assumes 1:  $\neg$  open s and 2: eqButPID s s1 shows  $\neg$  open s1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma step-isCOMact-open:
assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
and isCOMact a
shows open s' = open \ s
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma validTrans-isCOMact-open:
assumes validTrans trn
and isCOMact (actOf trn)
shows open (tgtOf trn) = open (srcOf trn)
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** *list-all-isOVal-filter-isPValS*: *list-all isOVal*  $vl \Longrightarrow$  *filter* (*Not o isPValS*)  $vl = vl \langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma list-all-Not-isOVal-OVal-True:
assumes list-all (Not \circ isOVal) ul
and ul @ vll = OVal True \# vll'
shows ul = []
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma filter-list-all-isPValS-isOVal:
assumes list-all (Not \circ isOVal) ul and filter isPVal ul = []
shows list-all isPValS ul
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma filter-list-all-isPVal-isOVal:} \\ \textbf{assumes list-all (Not $\circ$ isOVal) ul and filter isPValS ul = [] \\ \textbf{shows list-all isPVal ul} \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

# lemma list-all-isPValS-Not-isOVal-filter: assumes list-all isPValS ul shows $list-all (Not \circ isOVal) ul \land filter isPVal ul = []$

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
fun \varphi :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where
\varphi (Trans - (Uact (uPost uid p pid pst)) ou -) = (pid = PID \land ou = outOK)
|
\varphi (Trans - (COMact (comSendPost uid p aid pid)) ou -) = (pid = PID \land ou \neq outErr)
```

```
\varphi' (Trans \ s \ - \ s') = (open \ s \neq open \ s')
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } \varphi \textit{-def1:} \\ \varphi \ trn \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \ uid \ p \ pst. \ actOf \ trn = \ Uact \ (uPost \ uid \ p \ PID \ pst) \land \ outOf \ trn = \ outOK) \lor \\ (\exists \ uid \ p \ aid. \ actOf \ trn = \ COMact \ (comSendPost \ uid \ p \ aid \ PID) \land \ outOf \ trn \neq \\ outErr) \lor \\ ((\forall \ uid \ p \ pid \ pst. \ actOf \ trn \neq \ Uact \ (uPost \ uid \ p \ pid \ pst)) \land \\ (\forall \ uid \ p \ aid \ pid. \ actOf \ trn \neq \ COMact \ (comSendPost \ uid \ p \ aid \ pid)) \land \\ open \ (srcOf \ trn) \neq \ open \ (tgtOf \ trn)) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } \varphi \text{-}def2 \text{:} \\ \textbf{assumes } step \ s \ a = (ou,s') \\ \textbf{shows} \\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \ uid \ p \ pst. \ a = \ Uact \ (uPost \ uid \ p \ PID \ pst) \land ou = \ outOK) \lor \\ (\exists \ uid \ p \ aid. \ a = \ COMact \ (comSendPost \ uid \ p \ aid \ PID) \land ou \neq \ outErr) \lor \\ open \ s \neq \ open \ s' \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

```
lemma uTextPost-out:

assumes 1: step s a = (ou,s') and a: a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst) and 2: ou

= outOK

shows uid = owner s PID \land p = pass s uid

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma comSendPost-out:
assumes 1: step s a = (ou,s') and a: a = COMact (comSendPost uid p aid PID)
and 2: ou \neq outErr
```

shows ou = O-sendPost (aid, clientPass s aid, PID, post s PID, owner s PID, vis s PID)  $\land$  uid = admin  $s \land p = pass \ s \ (admin \ s)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** step-open-isCOMact: assumes step  $s \ a = (ou, s')$ and open  $s \neq$  open s'**shows**  $\neg$  *isCOMact*  $a \land \neg$  ( $\exists$  *ua. isuPost*  $ua \land a = Uact$  *ua*)  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma  $\varphi$ -def3: assumes step  $s \ a = (ou, s')$ shows  $\varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') \longleftrightarrow$  $(\exists pst. a = Uact (uPost (owner s PID) (pass s (owner s PID)) PID pst) \land ou =$ outOK)  $\mathbf{V}$  $(\exists aid. a = COMact (comSendPost (admin s) (pass s (admin s)) aid PID) \land$ ou = O-sendPost (aid, clientPass s aid, PID, post s PID, owner s PID, vis s PID)) $\vee$ open  $s \neq$  open  $s' \land \neg$  is COMact  $a \land \neg (\exists ua. isuPost ua \land a = Uact ua)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **fun**  $f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value where$ f (Trans s (Uact (uPost uid p pid pst)) - s') = (if pid = PID then PVal pst else OVal (open s'))f (Trans s (COMact (comSendPost uid p aid pid)) (O-sendPost (-, -, -, pst, -)) s') (if pid = PID then PValS aid pst else OVal (open s'))f (Trans s - s') = OVal (open s') lemma f-open-OVal: **assumes** step  $s \ a = (ou, s')$ and open  $s \neq$  open  $s' \land \neg$  is COMact  $a \land \neg (\exists ua. isuPost ua \land a = Uact ua)$ **shows** f (Trans  $s \ a \ ou \ s'$ ) = OVal (open s')  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *f*-*eq*-*PVal*: assumes step  $s \ a = (ou, s')$  and  $\varphi$  (Trans  $s \ a \ ou \ s'$ ) and f (Trans s a ou s') = PVal pst **shows** a = Uact (uPost (owner s PID) (pass s (owner s PID)) PID pst) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *f-eq-PValS*: assumes step s a = (ou, s') and  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s')

and f (Trans  $s \ a \ ou \ s'$ ) = PValS aid pstshows a = COMact (comSendPost (admin s) (pass s (admin s)) aid PID)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** f-eq-OVal: **assumes** step s a = (ou,s') and  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') and f (Trans s a ou s') = OVal b shows open s'  $\neq$  open s  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** uPost-comSendPost-open-eq: **assumes** step: step s a = (ou, s') **and**  $a: a = Uact (uPost uid p pid pst) \lor a = COMact (comSendPost uid p aid$ pid)**shows**open <math>s' = open s $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** step-open- $\varphi$ -f-PVal- $\gamma$ : **assumes** s: reach s and step: step s a = (ou, s') and PID: PID  $\in$  set (postIDs s) and op:  $\neg$  open s and fi:  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') and f: f (Trans s a ou s') = PVal pst shows  $\neg \gamma$  (Trans s a ou s')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma Uact-uPaperC-step-eqButPID:

assumes a: a = Uact (uPost uid p PID pst)

and step s a = (ou,s')

shows eqButPID s s'

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButPID-step-\varphi-imp:

assumes ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1

and step: step \ s \ a = (ou, s') and step1: step \ s1 \ a = (ou1, s1')

and \varphi: \varphi (Trans s \ a \ ou1 \ s1)

shows \varphi (Trans s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1')

\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButPID-step- $\varphi$ : **assumes** s's1': eqButPID s s1 **and** step: step s a = (ou,s') **and** step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1') **shows**  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') =  $\varphi$  (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end theory Independent-DYNAMIC-Post-ISSUER imports Independent-Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER Independent-DYNAMIC-Post-Value-Setup-ISSUER Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning begin

## 6.6.3 Issuer declassification bound

context Post begin

fun  $T :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where T - = False$ 

We again use the dynamic declassification bound for the issuer node (Section 6.5.2).

```
inductive BC :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool
and BO :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool
where
 BC-PVal[simp,intro!]:
  list-all (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul \Longrightarrow list-all (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul1 \Longrightarrow
   map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ ul) = map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ ul1) \Longrightarrow
   (ul = [] \longrightarrow ul1 = [])
   \implies B\bar{C} ul ul1
|BC-BO[intro]:
  BO \ vl \ vl1 \Longrightarrow
   list-all (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul \Longrightarrow list-all (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul1 \Longrightarrow
   map tgtAPI (filter is PValS ul) = map tgtAPI (filter is PValS ul1) \Longrightarrow
   (ul = [] \longleftrightarrow ul1 = []) \Longrightarrow
   (ul \neq [] \implies isPVal \ (last \ ul) \land last \ ul = last \ ul1) \implies
   list-all isPValS sul
   \implies
   BC (ul @ sul @ OVal True \# vl)
      (ul1 @ sul @ OVal True # vl1)
|BO-PVal[simp,intro!]:
  list-all (Not o isOVal) ul \Longrightarrow BO \ ul \ ul
|BO-BC[intro]:
  BC \ vl \ vl1 \Longrightarrow
   list-all (Not o isOVal) ul
   BO (ul @ OVal False \# vl) (ul @ OVal False \# vl1)
lemma list-all-filter-Not-isOVal:
assumes list-all (Not \circ isOVal) ul
and filter is PValS \ ul = [] and filter is PVal \ ul = []
shows ul = []
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *BC-not-Nil*: *BC* vl vl1  $\implies$  vl = []  $\implies$  vl1 = []  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma BC-OVal-True: assumes BC (OVal True # vl') vl1 shows  $\exists$  vl1'. BO vl' vl1'  $\land$  vl1 = OVal True # vl1'  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**fun** corrFrom :: post  $\Rightarrow$  value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where corrFrom pst [] = True |corrFrom pst (PVal pstt # vl) = corrFrom pstt vl |corrFrom pst (PValS aid pstt # vl) = (pst = pstt  $\land$  corrFrom pst vl) |corrFrom pst (OVal b # vl) = (corrFrom pst vl)

**abbreviation** corr :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where corr  $\equiv$  corrFrom emptyPost

**definition** B where  $B vl vl1 \equiv BC vl vl1 \land corr vl1$ 

lemma *B*-not-Nil: assumes *B*: *B* vl vl1 and vl: vl = [] shows vl1 = []  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$ 

## 6.6.4 Issuer unwinding proof

**lemma** reach-PublicV-imples-FriendV[simp]: assumes reach s and vis s pid  $\neq$  PublicV shows vis s pid = FriendV  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eqButPID-step- $\gamma$ -out: assumes ss1: eqButPID s s1and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')and  $op: \neg open s$ 

```
and sT: reachNT s and s1: reach s1
and \gamma: \gamma (Trans s a ou s')
shows (\exists uid p aid pid. a = COMact (comSendPost uid p aid pid) \land outPurge ou
= outPurge ou1) \lor
ou = ou1
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButPID-step-eq: **assumes**  $ss1: eqButPID \ s \ s1$  **and**  $a: a = Uact \ (uPost \ uid \ p \ PID \ pst) \ ou = outOK$  **and**  $step: step \ s \ a = (ou, \ s')$  **and**  $step1: step \ s1 \ a = (ou', \ s1')$  **shows** s' = s1' $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ \neg \ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ s = s1 \ \land \ BC \ vl \ vl1 \ \land \\ corr \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ vl \ \land \ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ vl1 \ \land \\ map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl) = \ map \ tgtAPI \ (filter \ isPValS \ vl1) \ \land \\ (vl = [] \longrightarrow vl1 = []) \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \ \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 11 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 11 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ vl = [] \ \land \ list-all \ isPVal \ vl1 \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \neg \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 2 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ vl \ \land \\ vl = \ vl1 \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ \Delta 31 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 31 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ ul \ ul1 \ sul \ vll \ vll1. \end{array}$ 

BO vll vll1  $\land$ list-all (Not o isOVal) ul  $\land$  list-all (Not o isOVal) ul1  $\land$ map tgtAPI (filter isPValS ul) = map tgtAPI (filter isPValS ul1)  $\land$   $ul \neq [] \land ul1 \neq [] \land$ isPVal (last ul)  $\land$  last ul = last ul1  $\land$ list-all isPValS sul  $\land$  vl = ul @ sul @ OVal True # vll  $\land$  vl1 = ul1 @ sul @ OVal True # vll1)  $\land$ eqButPID s s1  $\land \neg$  open s  $\land$ corrFrom (post s1 PID) vl1

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 32 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 32 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ sul \ vll \ vl1 \ . \\ BO \ vll \ vll1 \ . \\ list-all \ isPValS \ sul \ \land \\ vl = \ sul \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll \ \land \ vl1 = \ sul \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vll1) \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 4 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 4 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ PID \in \in \ postIDs \ s \ \land \\ (\exists \ ul \ vll \ vll1 \ . \\ BC \ vll \ vll1 \ . \\ list-all \ (Not \ o \ isOVal) \ ul \ \land \\ vl = ul \ @ \ OVal \ False \ \# \ vll \ \land vl1 = ul \ @ \ OVal \ False \ \# \ vll1) \ \land \\ s = s1 \ \land \ open \ s \ \land \\ corrFrom \ (post \ s1 \ PID) \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$ : assumes B: B vl vl1 shows  $\Delta 0$  istate vl istate vl1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *list-all-filter*[*simp*]: **assumes** *list-all PP xs* **shows** *filter PP xs* = *xs*  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 0$  { $\Delta 0, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 31, \Delta 32, \Delta 4$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 1$  { $\Delta 1$ , $\Delta 11$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 11$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 11 \{\Delta 11\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta$ 31: unwind-cont  $\Delta$ 31 { $\Delta$ 31, $\Delta$ 32} \{proof\}

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta$ 32: unwind-cont  $\Delta$ 32 { $\Delta$ 2, $\Delta$ 32, $\Delta$ 4} (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 2$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 2$  { $\Delta 2$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta$ 4: unwind-cont  $\Delta$ 4 { $\Delta$ 1, $\Delta$ 31, $\Delta$ 32, $\Delta$ 4} (proof)

# definition Gr where

 $\begin{array}{l} Gr = \\ \{ \\ (\Delta 0, \{ \Delta 0, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 31, \Delta 32, \Delta 4 \} ), \\ (\Delta 1, \{ \Delta 1, \Delta 11 \} ), \\ (\Delta 11, \{ \Delta 11 \} ), \\ (\Delta 2, \{ \Delta 2 \} ), \\ (\Delta 31, \{ \Delta 31, \Delta 32 \} ), \\ (\Delta 32, \{ \Delta 2, \Delta 32, \Delta 4 \} ), \\ (\Delta 4, \{ \Delta 1, \Delta 31, \Delta 32, \Delta 4 \} ) \\ \} \end{array}$ 

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### $\mathbf{end}$

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

theory Independent-Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER
imports
../../Safety-Properties
.../Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER
begin

# 6.6.5 Receiver observation setup

 $\label{eq:locale} \textit{Strong-ObservationSetup-RECEIVER} = \textit{Fixed-UIDs} + \textit{Fixed-PID} + \textit{Fixed-AID} \textit{begin}$ 

**fun**  $\gamma$  :: (*state*, *act*, *out*) *trans*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** 

 $\begin{array}{l} \gamma \ (\mathit{Trans} \ - \ a \ - \ -) \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \ uid. \ userOfA \ a = \mathit{Some \ uid} \ \land \ uid \in \mathit{UIDs}) \\ \lor \end{array}$ 

— Communication actions are considered to be observable in order to make the security properties compositional

 $(\exists ca. a = COMact ca)$  $\lor$ 

— The following actions are added to strengthen the observers in order to show that all posts other than PID of AID are completely independent of that post; the confidentiality of the latter is protected even if the observers can see all updates to other posts (and actions contributing to the declassification triggers of those posts).  $(\exists uid n nid nst. a = Uact (uPost uid n nid nst))$ 

$$(\exists uid p pid psi. u = Cact (uPost uid p pid psi)) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p. a = Sact (sSys uid p)) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p uid' p'. a = Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p pid. a = Cact (cPost uid p pid)) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p uid'. a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p uid'. a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) \\ \lor \\ (\exists uid p pid v. a = Uact (uVisPost uid p pid v)) \\ \end{cases}$$

**fun** sPurge ::  $sActt \Rightarrow sActt$  where sPurge ( $sSys \ uid \ pwd$ ) =  $sSys \ uid \ emptyPass$ 

fun comPurge :: comActt ⇒ comActt where
 comPurge (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo) = comSendServerReq uID emptyPass aID reqInfo
 |comPurge (comConnectClient uID p aID sp) = comConnectClient uID emptyPass
 aID sp

 $\begin{array}{l} | comPurge \; (comReceivePost \; aID \; sp \; pID \; pst \; uID \; vs) = \\ (let \; pst' = (if \; aID = AID \; \land \; pID = PID \; then \; emptyPost \; else \; pst) \\ in \; comReceivePost \; aID \; sp \; pID \; pst' \; uID \; vs) \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} |comPurge \; (comSendPost\; uID\; p\; aID\; pID) = \; comSendPost\; uID\; emptyPass\; aID\; pID \\ |comPurge \; (comSendCreateOFriend\; uID\; p\; aID\; uID') = \; comSendCreateOFriend\; uID\; emptyPass\; aID\; uID' \\ |comPurge \; (comSendDeleteOFriend\; uID\; p\; aID\; uID') = \; comSendDeleteOFriend\; uID\; emptyPass\; aID\; uID' \\ |comPurge \; (comSendDeleteOFriend\; uID\; p\; aID\; uID') = \; comSendDeleteOFriend\; uID\; emptyPass\; aID\; uID' \\ |comPurge \; ca = \; ca \end{array}$ 

## **fun** $g :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ where

g (Trans - (Sact sa) ou -) = (Sact (sPurge sa), ou)

|g (Trans - (COMact ca) ou -) = (COMact (comPurge ca), ou)

|g (Trans - a ou -) = (a, ou)

#### **lemma** comPurge-simps:

comPurge ca = comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo  $\leftrightarrow (\exists p'. ca = comSend-ServerReq uID p' aID reqInfo \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comConnectClient\ uID\ p\ aID\ sp \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comConnectClient\ uID\ p'\ aID\ sp \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p\ aID\ pID \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p'\ aID\ pID \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendCreateOFriend\ uID\ p\ aID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = com-SendCreateOFriend\ uID\ p'\ aID\ uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend\ aID\ cp\ uID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend\ aID\ cp\ uID\ uID'$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendDeleteOFriend\ uID\ p\ aID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendDeleteOFriend\ uID\ p'\ aID\ uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID' \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID'$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### lemma g-simps:

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo), ou')  $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendServerReq uID p' aID reqInfo) \land p = emptyPass$  $\land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo), ou')  $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact$  (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo)  $\land ou = ou'$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comConnectClient uID p aID sp), ou')

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comConnectClient uID p' aID sp) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comConnectServer aID sp), ou')

 $\iff a = COMact \ (comConnectServer \ aID \ sp) \land ou = ou'$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceivePost aID sp pID pst' uID v), ou')

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists pst. a = COMact (comReceivePost aID sp pID pst uID v) \land pst' = (if pID = PID \land aID = AID then emptyPost else pst) \land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendPost uID p aID nID), O-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst, own, v))

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendPost uID p' aID nID) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O-sendPost (aid, sp, pid, pst, own, v))$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID'), ou')
$\begin{array}{l} \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = (COMact \ (comSendCreateOFriend \ uID \ p' \ aID \ uID')) \land p = emp-tyPass \land ou = ou') \\ g \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact \ (comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID'), \\ ext{out} \\ ext{$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

```
theory Independent-Post-Value-Setup-RECEIVER
imports
../../Safety-Properties
Independent-Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER
../Post-Unwinding-Helper-RECEIVER
begin
```

# 6.6.6 Receiver value setup

**locale** *Post-RECEIVER* = *Strong-ObservationSetup-RECEIVER* **begin** 

datatype value = PValR post

**fun**  $\varphi$  :: (state,act,out) trans  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  $\varphi$  (Trans - (COMact (comReceivePost aid sp pid pst uid vs)) ou -) = (aid = AID  $\land$  pid = PID  $\land$  ou = outOK) | $\varphi$  (Trans s - - s') = False

lemma  $\varphi$ -def2:

shows  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s')  $\longleftrightarrow$ ( $\exists$  uid p pst vs. a = COMact (comReceivePost AID p PID pst uid vs)  $\land$  ou = outOK)

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** comReceivePost-out:

**assumes** 1: step s a = (ou, s') and a: a = COMact (comReceivePost AID p PID pst uid vs) and 2: ou = outOKshows  $p = serverPass \ s \ AID$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi$ -def3: assumes step s a = (ou,s') shows  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s')  $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ ( $\exists$  uid pst vs. a = COMact (comReceivePost AID (serverPass s AID) PID pst uid vs)  $\land$  ou = outOK)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi$ -cases: assumes  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') and step s a = (ou, s') and reach s obtains

(Recv) uid sp aID pID pst vs where a = COMact (comReceivePost aID sp pID pst uid vs) ou = outOK

$$sp = serverPass \ s \ AID$$
  
 $aID = AID \ pID = PID$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**fun**  $f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value$ **where** f (Trans s (COMact (comReceivePost aid sp pid pst uid vs)) - s') = $(if aid = AID \land pid = PID then PValR pst else undefined)$ |f (Trans s - - s') = undefined

sublocale Receiver-State-Equivalence-Up-To-PID (proof)

```
lemma eqButPID-step-\varphi-imp:

assumes ss1: eqButPID s s1

and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')

and \varphi: \varphi (Trans s a ou s')

shows \varphi (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')

\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButPID-step- $\varphi$ : **assumes** s's1': eqButPID s s1 **and** step: step s a = (ou,s') **and** step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1') **shows**  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') =  $\varphi$  (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end theory Independent-Post-RECEIVER imports Independent-Post-Observation-Setup-RECEIVER Independent-Post-Value-Setup-RECEIVER Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning begin

### 6.6.7 Receiver declassification bound

context Post-RECEIVER begin

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun } T :: (state, act, out) \; trans \Rightarrow bool \; \textbf{where} \\ T \; (Trans \; s \; a \; ou \; s') \longleftrightarrow \\ (\exists \; uid \in UIDs. \\ uid \in \in userIDs \; s' \land PID \in \in \; outerPostIDs \; s' \; AID \; \land \\ (uid = \; admin \; s' \lor \\ (AID, outerOwner \; s' \; AID \; PID) \in \in \; recvOuterFriendIDs \; s' \; uid \lor \\ outerVis \; s' \; AID \; PID = PublicV)) \end{array}$ 

**definition**  $B :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ where$  $B \ vl \ vl1 \equiv length \ vl = length \ vl1$ 

sublocale *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 6.6.8 Receiver unwinding proof

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma reach-PublicV-imples-FriendV[simp]:}\\ \textbf{assumes reach s}\\ \textbf{and } vis \ s \ pID \neq PublicV\\ \textbf{shows } vis \ s \ pID = FriendV\\ \langle proof \rangle\\ \\ \textbf{lemma reachNT-state:}\\ \textbf{assumes } reachNT \ s\\ \textbf{shows}\\ \neg \ (\exists \ uid \in UIDs.\\ uid \in UIDs.\\ uid \in c \ userIDs \ s \ \land PID \in \in \ outerPostIDs \ s \ AID \ \land\\ (uid = admin \ s \ \lor\\ (AID, outerOwner \ s \ AID \ PID) \in \in \ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s \ uid \ \lor\\ outerVis \ s \ AID \ PID = PublicV))\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

lemma eqButPID-step- $\gamma$ -out: assumes ss1: eqButPID s s1and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')and sT: reachNT s and T:  $\neg$  T (Trans s a ou s') and s1: reach s1 and  $\gamma$ :  $\gamma$  (Trans s a ou s') shows ou = ou1 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ \neg \ AID \in \in \ serverApiIDs \ s \ \land \\ s = \ s1 \ \land \\ length \ vl = \ length \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ AID \in \in \ serverApiIDs \ s \ \land \\ eqButPID \ s \ s1 \ \land \\ length \ vl = \ length \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$ : assumes B: B vl vl1 shows  $\Delta 0$  istate vl istate vl1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 0 \{\Delta 0, \Delta 1\}$ (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 1$  { $\Delta 1$ } (proof)

definition Gr where Gr =

 $\begin{cases} \{ \\ (\Delta \theta, \{\Delta \theta, \Delta 1\}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{\Delta 1\}) \end{cases}$ 

**theorem** *Post-secure: secure*  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end
theory Independent-DYNAMIC-Post-Network

imports Independent-DYNAMIC-Post-ISSUER Independent-Post-RECEIVER ../../API-Network BD-Security-Compositional.Composing-Security-Network begin

#### 6.6.9 Confidentiality for the N-ary composition

**type-synonym** ttrans = (state, act, out) trans **type-synonym** obs = Post-Observation-Setup-ISSUER.obs **type-synonym** value = Post.value + Post-RECEIVER.value

**locale** Post-Network = Network + fixes UIDs ::  $apiID \Rightarrow userID$  set and AID :: apiID and PID :: postIDassumes AID-in-AIDs:  $AID \in AIDs$ begin

sublocale Iss: Post UIDs AID PID (proof)

**abbreviation**  $\varphi :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $\varphi$  aid trn  $\equiv$  (if aid = AID then Iss. $\varphi$  trn else Post-RECEIVER. $\varphi$  PID AID trn)

**abbreviation**  $f :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value$ **where**  $f aid trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Inl (Iss.f trn) else Inr (Post-RECEIVER.f PID AID trn))$ 

**abbreviation**  $\gamma$  ::  $apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  $\gamma$  aid  $trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Iss. \gamma trn else Strong-ObservationSetup-RECEIVER. \gamma$ (UIDs aid) trn)

**abbreviation**  $g :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ **where**  $g aid trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Iss.g trn else Strong-ObservationSetup-RECEIVER.g PID AID trn)$ 

**abbreviation**  $T :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$  **where** T aid  $trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Iss. T trn else Post-RECEIVER.T (UIDs$ aid) PID AID trn) **abbreviation**  $B :: apiID \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $B \ aid \ vl \ vl1 \equiv$ 

 $(if \ aid = AID \ then \ list-all \ isl \ vl \wedge \ list-all \ isl \ vl1 \wedge \ Iss.B \ (map \ projl \ vl) \ (map \ projl \ vl1)$ 

else list-all (Not o isl) vl  $\land$  list-all (Not o isl) vl1  $\land$  Post-RECEIVER.B (map projr vl) (map projr vl1))

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ comOfV :: \ apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow \ com \ \mathbf{where} \\ comOfV \ aid \ (Inl \ (Post.PValS \ aid' \ pst)) = \ (if \ aid' \neq \ aid \ then \ Send \ else \ Internal) \\ | \ comOfV \ aid \ (Inl \ (Post.PVal \ pst)) = \ Internal \\ | \ comOfV \ aid \ (Inl \ (Post.OVal \ ov)) = \ Internal \\ | \ comOfV \ aid \ (Inr \ v) = \ Recv \end{array}$ 

**definition**  $syncV :: apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow apiID \Rightarrow value \Rightarrow bool where$ <math>syncV aid1 v1 aid2 v2 = $(\exists pst. aid1 = AID \land v1 = Inl (Post.PValS aid2 pst) \land v2 = Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst))$ 

```
lemma syncVI: syncV AID (Inl (Post.PValS aid' pst)) aid' (Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst))
(proof)
```

lemma syncVE: assumes syncV aid1 v1 aid2 v2obtains pst where aid1 = AID v1 = Inl (Post.PValS aid2 pst) v2 = Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun getTgtV where
 getTgtV (Inl (Post.PValS aid pst)) = Inr (Post-RECEIVER.PValR pst)
| getTgtV v = v

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } comOfV\text{-}AID:\\ comOfV \ AID \ v = \ Send \longleftrightarrow \ isl \ v \ \land \ Iss.isPValS \ (projl \ v) \ \land \ Iss.tgtAPI \ (projl \ v) \\ \neq \ AID \\ comOfV \ AID \ v = \ Recv \longleftrightarrow \ Not \ (isl \ v) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

**lemmas**  $\varphi$ -defs = Post-RECEIVER. $\varphi$ -def2 Iss. $\varphi$ -def3

sublocale Net: BD-Security-TS-Network-getTgtV where  $istate = \lambda$ -. istate and validTrans = validTrans and  $srcOf = \lambda$ -. srcOfand  $tgtOf = \lambda$ -. tgtOf and nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOf and sync = sync and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = Band comOfV = comOfV and tgtNodeOfV = tgtNodeOfV and syncV = syncV and comOfO = comOfO and tgtNodeOfO = tgtNodeOfO and syncO = syncO and source = AID and getTgtV = getTgtV

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** *list-all-Not-isl-projectSrcV*: *list-all* (Not o isl) (Net.projectSrcV aid vlSrc)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context fixes AID' :: apiIDassumes  $AID': AID' \in AIDs - \{AID\}$ begin

interpretation Recv: Post-RECEIVER UIDs AID' PID AID (proof)

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Iss-B-Recv-B-aux:
assumes list-all isl vl
and list-all isl vl1
and map Iss.tgtAPI (filter Iss.isPValS (map projl vl)) =
 map Iss.tgtAPI (filter Iss.isPValS (map projl vl1))
shows length (map projr (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl)) = length (map projr (Net.projectSrcV
AID' vl1))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma Iss-B-Recv-B:
assumes B AID vl vl1
shows Recv.B (map projr (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl)) (map projr (Net.projectSrcV
AID' vl1))
(proof)

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

**lemma** map-projl-Inl: map (projl o Inl) vl = vl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** these-map-Inl-projl: list-all isl  $vl \implies$  these (map (Some o Inl o projl) vl) = vl $\langle proof \rangle$  **lemma** map-projr-Inr: map (projr o Inr) vl = vl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** these-map-Inr-projr: list-all (Not o isl)  $vl \Longrightarrow$  these (map (Some o Inr o projr) vl) = vl (proof)

sublocale *BD-Security-TS-Network-Preserve-Source-Security-getTgtV* where *istate* =  $\lambda$ -. *istate* and *validTrans* = *validTrans* and *srcOf* =  $\lambda$ -. *srcOf* and *tgtOf* =  $\lambda$ -. *tgtOf* and *nodes* = *AIDs* and *comOf* = *comOf* and *tgtNodeOf* = *tgtNodeOf* and *sync* = *sync* and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = Band *comOfV* = *comOfV* and *tgtNodeOfV* = *tgtNodeOfV* and *syncV* = *syncV* and *comOfO* = *comOfO* and *tgtNodeOfO* = *tgtNodeOfO* and *syncO* = *syncO* and *source* = *AID* and *getTgtV* = *getTgtV* (*proof*)

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```
end
theory Independent-Posts-Network
imports
Independent-DYNAMIC-Post-Network
BD-Security-Compositional.Independent-Secrets
begin
```

#### 6.6.10 Composition of confidentiality guarantees for different posts

We combine *two* confidentiality guarantees for two different posts in arbitrary nodes of the network.

For this purpose, we have strengthened the observation power of the security property for individual posts to make all transitions that update *other* posts observable, as well as all transitions that contribute to the state of the trigger (see the observation setup theories). This guarantees that the confidentiality of one post is independent of actions affecting other posts, which will allow us to combine security guarantees for different posts.

We now prove a few helper lemmas establishing that now the observable transitions indeed fully determine the state of the trigger.

**fun**  $obsEffect :: state \Rightarrow obs \Rightarrow state$ **where** <math>obsEffect s (Uact (uPost uid p pid pst), ou) = updatePost s uid p pid pst | obsEffect s (Uact (uVisPost uid p pid v), ou) = updateVisPost s uid p pid v | obsEffect s (Sact (sSys uid p), ou) = startSys s uid p| obsEffect s (Cact (cUser uid p uid' p'), ou) = createUser s uid p uid' p' | obsEffect s (Cact (cPost uid p pid), ou) = createPost s uid p pid | obsEffect s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid'), ou) = createFriend s uid p uid' | obsEffect s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid'), ou) = deleteFriend s uid p uid' | obsEffect s (COMact (comSendPost uid p aid pid), ou) = snd (sendPost s uid p aid pid) | obsEffect s (COMact (comReceivePost aid p pid pst uid v), ou) = receivePost s aid p pid pst uid v | obsEffect s (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aid p uid uid'), ou) = receive-CreateOFriend s aid p uid uid' | obsEffect s (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aid p uid uid'), ou) = receiveDeleteOFriend s aid p uid uid' | obsEffect s - = s

**fun**  $obsStep :: state \Rightarrow obs \Rightarrow state$ **where**  $<math>obsStep \ s \ (a,ou) = (if \ ou \neq outErr \ then \ obsEffect \ s \ (a,ou) \ else \ s)$ 

**fun**  $obsSteps :: state \Rightarrow obs \ list \Rightarrow state$  **where**  $obsSteps \ s \ obsl = foldl \ obsStep \ s \ obsl$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } triggerEq:: state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool \textbf{ where} \\ triggerEq \; s \; s' \longleftrightarrow userIDs \; s = userIDs \; s' \land postIDs \; s = postIDs \; s' \land admin \; s \\ = admin \; s' \land \\ owner \; s = owner \; s' \land friendIDs \; s = friendIDs \; s' \land vis \; s = vis \; s' \end{array}$ 

 $\land \\ outerPostIDs \ s = outerPostIDs \ s' \land outerOwner \ s = outerOwner \\ s' \land$ 

 $recvOuterFriendIDs \ s = recvOuterFriendIDs \ s' \land outerVis \ s = recvOuterFriendIDs \ s = recvOuterFrie$ 

 $outerVis \ s'$ 

**lemma** triggerEq-refl[simp]: triggerEq s s and triggerEq-sym: triggerEq s s'  $\implies$  triggerEq s' s and triggerEq-trans: triggerEq s s'  $\implies$  triggerEq s' s''  $\implies$  triggerEq s s''  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

unbundle no relcomp-syntax

context Post begin

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** triggerEq-open: assumes triggerEq s s' shows open  $s \leftrightarrow open s'$ 

#### $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** triggerEq-not- $\gamma$ : assumes validTrans (Trans s a ou s') and  $\neg \gamma$  (Trans s a ou s') shows triggerEq s s'  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** triggerEq-obsStep: assumes validTrans (Trans s a ou s') and  $\gamma$  (Trans s a ou s') and triggerEq s s1 shows triggerEq s' (obsStep s1 (g (Trans s a ou s')))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma triggerEq-obsSteps:
assumes validFrom s tr and triggerEq s s'
shows triggerEq (tgtOfTrFrom s tr) (obsSteps s' (O tr))
\langle proof \rangle
```

#### $\mathbf{end}$

**context** *Post-RECEIVER* **begin** 

**lemma** sPurge-simp[simp]: sPurge sa = sSys (sUserOfA sa) emptyPass  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } T\text{-}state \ s' \equiv \\ (\exists \ uid \in UIDs. \\ uid \in e \ userIDs \ s' \land PID \in e \ outerPostIDs \ s' \ AID \land \\ (uid = admin \ s' \lor \\ (AID, outerOwner \ s' \ AID \ PID) \in e \ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s' \ uid \lor \\ outerVis \ s' \ AID \ PID = PublicV)) \end{array}$ 

```
lemma T-T-state: T trn \longleftrightarrow T-state (tgtOf trn) \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma triggerEq-T: assumes triggerEq s s' shows T-state s  $\leftrightarrow$  T-state s'  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma never-T-not-T-state:
assumes validFrom s tr and never T tr and \negT-state s
shows \negT-state (tgtOfTrFrom s tr)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma triggerEq-not-\gamma:
assumes validTrans (Trans s a ou s') and \neg \gamma (Trans s a ou s')
shows triggerEq s s'
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** triggerEq-obsStep: assumes validTrans (Trans s a ou s') and  $\gamma$  (Trans s a ou s') and triggerEq s s1 shows triggerEq s' (obsStep s1 (g (Trans s a ou s')))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** triggerEq-obsSteps: **assumes** validFrom s tr **and** triggerEq s s' **shows** triggerEq (tgtOfTrFrom s tr) (obsSteps s' (O tr))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context Post-Network begin

**fun**  $nObsStep :: (apiID \Rightarrow state) \Rightarrow (apiID, act \times out) nobs \Rightarrow (apiID \Rightarrow state) where$ 

nObsStep s (LObs aid obs) = s(aid := obsStep (s aid) obs) | nObsStep s (CObs aid1 obs1 aid2 obs2) = s(aid1 := obsStep (s aid1) obs1, aid2 := obsStep (s aid2) obs2)

**fun**  $nObsSteps :: (apiID \Rightarrow state) \Rightarrow (apiID, act \times out) nobs list \Rightarrow (apiID \Rightarrow state)$ **where**  $<math>nObsSteps \ s \ obsl = foldl \ nObsStep \ s \ obsl$ 

**definition**  $nTriggerEq :: (apiID \Rightarrow state) \Rightarrow (apiID \Rightarrow state) \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>nTriggerEq \ s \ s' \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ aid. \ triggerEq \ (s \ aid) \ (s' \ aid))$ 

**lemma** nTriggerEq-refl[simp]: nTriggerEq s sand nTriggerEq-sym:  $nTriggerEq s s' \implies nTriggerEq s' s$ and nTriggerEq-trans:  $nTriggerEq s s' \implies nTriggerEq s' s'' \implies nTriggerEq s s'' \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** nTriggerEq-not- $\gamma$ : assumes nValidTrans trn and  $\neg Net.n\gamma$  trn shows nTriggerEq (nSrcOf trn) (nTgtOf trn)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** nTriggerEq.obsStep: **assumes** nValidTrans trn **and**  $Net.n\gamma$  trn **and** nTriggerEq (nSrcOf trn) s1 **shows** nTriggerEq (nTgtOf trn) (nObsStep s1 (Net.ng trn))  $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma triggerEq-obsSteps: assumes validFrom s tr and nTriggerEq s s' shows nTriggerEq (nTgtOfTrFrom s tr) (nObsSteps s' (O tr)) (proof)

**lemma** *O*-eq-nTriggerEq: **assumes** *O*: *O* tr = O tr' **and** tr: validFrom *s* (tr ## trn) **and** tr': validFrom *s'* (tr' ## trn') **and**  $\gamma$ : Net.n $\gamma$  trn **and**  $\gamma'$ : Net.n $\gamma$  trn' **and** g: Net.ng trn = Net.ng trn' **and** *s*-*s'*: *nTriggerEq s s'*  **shows** *nTriggerEq* (*nSrcOf* trn) (*nSrcOf* trn') **and** *nTriggerEq* (*nTgtOf* trn) (*nTgtOf*  trn') (*proof*)

#### $\mathbf{end}$

We are now ready to combine two confidentiality properties for different posts in different nodes.

**locale** Posts-Network = Post1: Post-Network AIDs UIDs AID1 PID1 + Post2: Post-Network AIDs UIDs AID2 PID2 for AIDs :: apiID set and UIDs :: apiID  $\Rightarrow$  userID set and AID1 :: apiID and AID2 :: apiID and PID1 :: postID and PID2 :: postID + assumes AID1-neq-AID2: AID1  $\neq$  AID2 begin

The combined observations consist of the local actions of observing users and their outputs, as usual. We do not consider communication actions here for simplicity, because this would require us to combine the *purgings* of observations of the two properties. This is straightforward, but tedious.

**fun**  $n\gamma$  :: (apiID, state, (state, act, out) trans) ntrans  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  $n\gamma$  (LTrans s aid (Trans - a - -)) = ( $\exists$  uid. userOfA a = Some uid  $\land$  uid  $\in$  UIDs aid  $\land$  ( $\neg$ isCOMact a)) |  $n\gamma$  (CTrans s aid1 trn1 aid2 trn2) = False

**fun**  $g :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ **where** <math>g (Trans - (Sact sa) ou -) = (Sact (Post1.Iss.sPurge sa), ou) $\mid g (Trans - a ou -) = (a, ou)$ 

**fun**  $ng :: (apiID, state, (state, act, out) trans) ntrans <math>\Rightarrow$  (apiID, act  $\times$  out) nobs where

 $ng (LTrans \ s \ aid \ trn) = LObs \ aid \ (g \ trn)$ |  $ng (CTrans \ s \ aid1 \ trn1 \ aid2 \ trn2) = undefined$ 

**abbreviation** validSystemTrace  $\equiv$  Post1.validFrom ( $\lambda$ -. istate)

We now instantiate the generic technique for combining security properties with independent secret sources.

**sublocale** *BD-Security-TS-Two-Secrets*  $\lambda$ *-. istate Post1.nValidTrans Post1.nSrcOf Post1.nTgtOf* 

Post1.Net.nφ Post1.nf' Post1.Net.nγ Post1.Net.ng Post1.Net.nT Post1.B AID1 Post2.Net.nφ Post2.nf' Post2.Net.nγ Post2.Net.ng Post2.Net.nT Post2.B AID2 nγ ng

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**theorem** *two-posts-secure*:

 $\substack{secure \\ \langle proof \rangle}$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end theory Post-All imports Post-COMPOSE2 Post-Network DYNAMIC-Post-COMPOSE2 DYNAMIC-Post-Network Independent-Posts/Independent-Posts-Network begin

end theory Friend-Intro imports ../Safety-Properties begin

# 7 Friendship status confidentiality

We verify the following property:

Given a coalition consisting of groups of users  $UIDs \ j$  from multiple nodes j and given two users UID1 and UID2 at some node i who are not in these groups,

the coalition cannot learn anything about the changes in the status of friendship between UID1 and UID2

beyond what everybody knows, namely that

- there is no friendship between them before those users have been created, and
- the updates form an alternating sequence of friending and unfriending,

and beyond those updates performed while or last before a user in the group  $UIDs \ i$  is friends with UID1 or UID2.

The approach to proving this is similar to that for post confidentiality (explained in the introduction of the post confidentiality section 6), but conceptually simpler since here secret information is not communicated between different nodes (so we don't need to distinguish between an issuer node and the other, receiver nodes).

Moreover, here we do not consider static versions of the bounds, but go directly for the dynamic ones. Also, we prove directly the BD security for a network of n nodes, omitting the case of two nodes.

Note that, unlike for post confidentiality, here remote friendship plays no role in the statement of the property. This is because, in CoSMeDis, the listing of a user's friends is only available to local (same-node) friends of that user, and not to the remote (outer) friends.

end

theory Friend-Observation-Setup imports Friend-Intro begin

#### 7.1 Observation setup

type-synonym obs = act \* out

locale FriendObservationSetup =
fixes UIDs :: userID set — local group of observers at a given node
begin

**fun**  $\gamma$  :: (state,act,out) trans  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  $\gamma$  (Trans - a - -) = ( $\exists$  uid. userOfA a = Some uid  $\land$  uid  $\in$  UIDs  $\lor$  ( $\exists$  ca. a = COMact ca))

**fun**  $g :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$  where g (Trans - a ou -) = (a, ou)

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

**locale** FriendNetworkObservationSetup = fixes  $UIDs :: apiID \Rightarrow userID set$  — groups of observers at different nodes begin

**abbreviation**  $\gamma :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool where <math>\gamma$  aid  $trn \equiv FriendObservationSetup.\gamma$  (UIDs aid) trn

**abbreviation**  $g :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$  where

 $g aid trn \equiv FriendObservationSetup.g trn$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end

```
theory Friend-State-Indistinguishability
imports Friend-Observation-Setup
begin
```

## 7.2 Unwinding helper definitions and lemmas

```
locale Friend = FriendObservationSetup +

fixes

UID1 :: userID

and

UID2 :: userID

assumes

UID1-UID2-UIDs: {UID1,UID2} \cap UIDs = {}

and

UID1-UID2: UID1 \neq UID2

begin
```

**fun**  $eqButUIDl :: userID \Rightarrow userID list \Rightarrow userID list \Rightarrow bool where$ <math>eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1 = (remove1 uid uidl = remove1 uid uidl1)

**lemma** eqButUIDl-eq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUIDl-sym:
assumes eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1
shows eqButUIDl uid uidl1 uidl
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma eqButUIDl-remove1-cong:
assumes eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1
shows eqButUIDl uid (remove1 uid' uidl) (remove1 uid' uidl1)
<proof>

**lemma** eqButUIDl-snoc-cong: **assumes** eqButUIDl uid uidl uidl1 **and**  $uid' \in \in uidl \leftrightarrow uid' \in \in uidl1$ **shows** eqButUIDl uid (uidl ## uid') (uidl1 ## uid')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ eqButUIDf \ \textbf{where} \\ eqButUIDf \ frds \ frds1 \equiv \\ eqButUIDl \ UID2 \ (frds \ UID1) \ (frds1 \ UID1) \\ \land \ eqButUIDl \ UID1 \ (frds \ UID2) \ (frds1 \ UID2) \\ \land \ (\forall \ uid. \ uid \neq \ UID1 \ \land \ uid \neq \ UID2 \ \longrightarrow \ frds \ uid = \ frds1 \ uid) \end{array}$ 

```
lemmas eqButUIDf-intro = eqButUIDf-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]
```

**lemma** eqButUIDf-eeq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDf frds frds  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUIDf-sym:
assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1 shows eqButUIDf frds1 frds \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUIDf-trans:
assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1 and eqButUIDf frds1 frds2
shows eqButUIDf frds frds2
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButUIDf-cong: **assumes** eqButUIDf frds frds1 **and**  $uid = UID1 \implies eqButUIDl UID2 uu uu1$  **and**  $uid = UID2 \implies eqButUIDl UID1 uu uu1$  **and**  $uid \neq UID1 \implies uid \neq UID2 \implies uu = uu1$  **shows** eqButUIDf (frds (uid := uu)) (frds1(uid := uu1)) (proof)

```
lemma eqButUIDf-eqButUIDl:
assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1
shows eqButUIDl UID2 (frds UID1) (frds1 UID1)
and eqButUIDl UID1 (frds UID2) (frds1 UID2)
(proof)
```

**lemma** eqButUIDf-not-UID: [eqButUIDf frds frds1;  $uid \neq UID1$ ;  $uid \neq UID2$ ]  $\implies$  frds uid = frds1  $uid \langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUIDf-not-UID':

assumes eq1: eqButUIDf frds frds1

and uid: (uid, uid') \notin \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}

shows uid \in frds uid' \longleftrightarrow uid \in frds1 uid'

\langle proof \rangle
```

definition eqButUID12 where

 $eqButUID12 \ freq \ freq1 \equiv \forall \ uid \ uid'. if \ (uid,uid') \in \{(UID1,UID2), (UID2,UID1)\} \ then \ True \ else \ freq \ uid \ uid' = freq1 \ uid \ uid'$ 

**lemmas** eqButUID12-intro = eqButUID12-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

**lemma** eqButUID12-eeq[simp,intro!]: eqButUID12 freq freq  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID12-sym: assumes eqButUID12 freq freq1 shows eqButUID12 freq1 freq  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID12-trans: **assumes** eqButUID12 freq freq1 **and** eqButUID12 freq1 freq2 **shows** eqButUID12 freq freq2  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID12-cong: assumes eqButUID12 freq freq1

and  $\neg$  (uid,uid')  $\in$  {(UID1,UID2), (UID2,UID1)}  $\Longrightarrow$  uu = uu1 shows eqButUID12 (fun-upd2 freq uid uid' uu) (fun-upd2 freq1 uid uid' uu1)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID12-not-UID:  $[eqButUID12 freq freq1; \neg (uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}] \implies freq$  uid uid' = freq1 uid uid' $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**definition**  $eqButUID :: state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$  where  $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \equiv$  $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$ 

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \land userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \land userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \land user \ s = user \ s1 \land pass \ s = pass \ s1 \land$ 

eqButUIDf (pendingFReqs s) (pendingFReqs s1)  $\land$ eqButUID12 (friendReq s) (friendReq s1)  $\land$ eqButUIDf (friendIDs s) (friendIDs s1)  $\land$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} postIDs \ s = \ postIDs \ s1 \ \land \ admin \ s = \ admin \ s1 \ \land \\ post \ s = \ post \ s1 \ \land \ vis \ s = \ vis \ s1 \ \land \\ owner \ s = \ owner \ s1 \ \land \end{array}$ 

 $pendingSApiReqs\ s = pendingSApiReqs\ s1\ \land\ sApiReq\ s = sApiReq\ s1\ \land$ 

```
serverApiIDs \ s = serverApiIDs \ s1 \land serverPass \ s = serverPass \ s1 \land outerPostIDs \ s = outerPostIDs \ s1 \land outerPost \ s = outerVis \ s1 \land outerVis \ s1 \land outerVis \ s1 \land outerOwner \ s = outerOwner \ s1 \land sentOuterFriendIDs \ s = sentOuterFriendIDs \ s1 \land recvOuterFriendIDs \ s = recvOuterFriendIDs \ s1 \land
```

```
pendingCApiReqs \ s = pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \land cApiReq \ s = cApiReq \ s1 \land clientApiIDs \ s = clientApiIDs \ s1 \land clientPass \ s = clientPass \ s1 \land sharedWith \ s = sharedWith \ s1
```

**lemmas** eqButUID-intro = eqButUID-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

```
lemma eqButUID-refl[simp,intro!]: eqButUID s s \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUID-sym[sym]:
assumes eqButUID s s1 shows eqButUID s1 s
\lambda proof
```

```
lemma eqButUID-trans[trans]:
assumes eqButUID \ s \ s1 and eqButUID \ s1 \ s2 shows eqButUID \ s \ s2
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUID-stateSelectors:

assumes eqButUID s s1

shows admin \ s = admin \ s1

pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1

userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ pass \ s = pass \ s1

eqButUIDf \ (pendingFReqs \ s) \ (pendingFReqs \ s1)

eqButUID12 \ (friendReq \ s) \ (friendReq \ s1)

eqButUIDf \ (friendIDs \ s) \ (friendIDs \ s1)
```

```
postIDs \ s = postIDs \ s1

post \ s = post \ s1 \ vis \ s = vis \ s1

owner \ s = owner \ s1
```

```
\begin{array}{l} pendingSApiReqs \; s = \; pendingSApiReqs \; s1 \; sApiReq \; s = \; sApiReq \; s1 \\ serverApiIDs \; s = \; serverApiIDs \; s1 \; serverPass \; s = \; serverPass \; s1 \\ outerPostIDs \; s = \; outerPostIDs \; s1 \; outerPost \; s = \; outerPost \; s1 \; outerVis \; s = \; outerVis \; s1 \\ outerOwner \; s = \; outerOwner \; s1 \\ sentOuterFriendIDs \; s = \; sentOuterFriendIDs \; s1 \\ recvOuterFriendIDs \; s = \; recvOuterFriendIDs \; s1 \end{array}
```

```
pendingCApiReqs \ s = pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \ cApiReq \ s = cApiReq \ s1
clientApiIDs \ s = clientApiIDs \ s1 \ clientPass \ s = clientPass \ s1
sharedWith \ s = sharedWith \ s1
```

 $\begin{aligned} IDsOK \ s = \ IDsOK \ s1 \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-eqButUID2:  $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUID1 \ UID2 \ (friendIDs \ s \ UID1) \ (friendIDs \ s1 \ UID1) \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-not-UID:  $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uid \neq UID \implies post \ s \ uid = post \ s1 \ uid \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-cong[simp, intro]: $\bigwedge uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID (s (admin := uu1)) (s1 (admin := uu2))$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pendingUReqs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pendingUReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userReq := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userIDs := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (userIDs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (u2 \ uu1)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2)) \ (s1 \ (u2 \ uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2)) \ (u1 \ (u2 \ uu2 \ uu2 \ uu2 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu2 \implies uu1 \ uu2 \ uu2 \implies uu2 \ uu$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pendingFReqs := uu1)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUID12 \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendIDs \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ uu1 \ uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendIDs \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ s1 \ s1 \implies s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ s1 \implies eqButUIDf \ s1 \implies e$ 

uu1)) (s1 (serverApiIDs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (serverPass := uu1)) (s1 (serverPass := uu2)) $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerPostIDs := uu1)) (s1 (outerPostIDs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerPost := uu1)) (s1 (outerPost := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerVis := uu1)) (s1 (outerVis := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerOwner := uu1)) (s1 (outerOwner := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (sentOuterFriendIDs)) := uu1) (s1 (sentOuterFriendIDs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (recvOuterFriendIDs)) := uu1) (s1 (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (pendingCApiReqs

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge uu1 uu2. eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (penaingCApiReqs := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 uu2. eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (cApiReq := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (cApiReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge uu1 uu2. eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (clientApiIDs := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (clientApiIDs := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 uu2. eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (clientPass := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (clientPass := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 uu2. eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (clientPass := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (clientPass := uu2)) \\ \land uu1 uu2. eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (clientPass := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (s1 \$ 

**definition** friends12 :: state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where friends12 s  $\equiv$  UID1  $\in \in$  friendIDs s UID2  $\land$  UID2  $\in \in$  friendIDs s UID1

**lemma** step-friendIDs: **assumes** step  $s \ a = (ou, s')$  **and**  $a \neq Cact$  (cFriend uid (pass  $s \ uid$ ) uid')  $\land a \neq Cact$  (cFriend uid' (pass  $s \ uid')$  uid)  $\land$   $a \neq Dact$  (dFriend uid (pass  $s \ uid$ ) uid')  $\land a \neq Dact$  (dFriend uid' (pass  $s \ uid')$  uid) **shows** uid  $\in \in$  friendIDs  $s' \ uid' \longleftrightarrow$  uid  $\in \in$  friendIDs  $s \ uid'$  (**is** ?uid) **and** uid'  $\in \in$  friendIDs  $s' \ uid \leftrightarrow uid' \in \in$  friendIDs  $s \ uid$  (**is** ?uid')  $\langle proof \rangle$  **lemma** step-friends12: prouves atom  $a \ a = (au \ a')$ 

assumes step s a = (ou, s')and  $a \neq Cact$  (cFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2)  $\land a \neq Cact$  (cFriend UID2 (pass s UID2) UID1)  $\land$  $a \neq Dact$  (dFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2)  $\land a \neq Dact$  (dFriend UID2 (pass s UID2) UID1) shows friends12 s'  $\longleftrightarrow$  friends12 s  $\langle proof \rangle$   $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma step-pendingFReqs:}\\ \textbf{assumes step: step s } a = (ou, s')\\ \textbf{and } \forall req. \ a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ uid \ (pass \ s \ uid) \ uid') \land a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ uid' \ (pass \ s \ uid') \ uid) \land\\ a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ uid \ (pass \ s \ uid) \ uid') \land a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ uid' \ (pass \ s \ uid') \ uid) \land\\ a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ uid \ (pass \ s \ uid) \ uid' \ req) \land\\ a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ uid \ (pass \ s \ uid') \ uid' \ req) \land\\ a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ uid' \ (pass \ s \ uid') \ uid' \ req) \land\\ a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ uid' \ (pass \ s \ uid') \ uid' \ req) \land\\ a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ uid' \ (pass \ s \ uid') \ uid' \ req) \cr\\ \textbf{shows} \ uid \ \in \in \ pendingFReqs \ s' \ uid' \ \longleftrightarrow \ uid' \ \in \in \ pendingFReqs \ s \ uid' \ (\textbf{is \ ?uid}) \\\\ \textbf{and} \ uid' \ \in \in \ pendingFReqs \ s' \ uid' \ \leftrightarrow \ uid' \ \in \in \ pendingFReqs \ s \ uid \ (\textbf{is \ ?uid'}) \\\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-friends12-set-friendIDs-eq: **assumes**  $ss1: eqButUID \ s \ s1$ and  $f12: friends12 \ s = friends12 \ s1$ and  $rs: reach \ s$  and  $rs1: reach \ s1$  **shows** set (friendIDs  $s \ uid$ ) = set (friendIDs  $s1 \ uid$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** distinct-remove1-idem: distinct  $xs \implies$  remove1 y (remove1 y xs) = remove1 y xs(proof)

**lemma** Cact-cFriend-step-eqButUID: **assumes** step: step s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) = (ou,s') and s: reach s and uids: (uid = UID1  $\land$  uid' = UID2)  $\lor$  (uid = UID2  $\land$  uid' = UID1) (is ?u12  $\lor$  ?u21) shows eqButUID s s'  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Cact-cFriendReq-step-eqButUID: **assumes** step: step s (Cact (cFriendReq uid p uid' req)) = (ou,s') **and** uids: (uid = UID1  $\land$  uid' = UID2)  $\lor$  (uid = UID2  $\land$  uid' = UID1) (**is** ?u12  $\lor$  ?u21) **shows** eqButUID s s'  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Dact-dFriend-step-eqButUID: **assumes** step: step s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) = (ou,s') **and** s: reach s **and** uids: (uid = UID1  $\land$  uid' = UID2)  $\lor$  (uid = UID2  $\land$  uid' = UID1) (**is** ?u12  $\lor$  ?u21) **shows** eqButUID s s'  $\langle proof \rangle$  lemma eqButUID-step: assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1and step: step s a = (ou,s')and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')and rs: reach sand rs1: reach s1shows eqButUID s' s1' $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUID-step-friendIDs-eq:

assumes ss1: eqButUID \ s \ s1

and rs: reach \ s and rs1: reach \ s1

and step: step \ s \ a = (ou, s') and step1: step \ s1 \ a = (ou1, s1')

and a: a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1) \land

a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1)

and friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1

shows friendIDs \ s' = friendIDs \ s1' \ (proof)
```

**lemma** createFriend-sym: createFriend s uid p uid' = createFriend s uid' p' uid  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** deleteFriend-sym: deleteFriend s uid p uid' = deleteFriend s uid' p' uid  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** createFriendReq-createFriend-absorb: **assumes** e-createFriendReq s uid' p uid req **shows** createFriend (createFriendReq s uid' p1 uid req) uid p2 uid' = createFriend s uid p3 uid'  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUID-deleteFriend12-friendIDs-eq:

assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1

and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1

shows friendIDs (deleteFriend s UID1 p UID2) = friendIDs (deleteFriend s1 UID1

p' UID2)

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUID-createFriend12-friendIDs-eq:

assumes ss1: eqButUID \ s \ s1

and rs: reach \ s and rs1: reach \ s1

and f12: \neg friends12 \ s \neg friends12 \ s1

shows friendIDs (createFriend s UID1 p UID2) = friendIDs (createFriend s1 UID1

p' UID2)

\langle proof \rangle
```

end end

theory Friend-Openness imports Friend-State-Indistinguishability begin

### 7.3 Dynamic declassification trigger

context Friend begin

The dynamic declassification trigger condition holds, i.e. the access window to the confidential information is open, as long as the two users have not been created yet (so there cannot be friendship between them) or while one of them is a local friend of an observer.

**definition**  $openByA :: state \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $openByA s \equiv \neg UID1 \in \in userIDs \ s \lor \neg UID2 \in \in userIDs \ s$ 

**definition**  $openByF :: state \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  $openByF s \equiv \exists uid \in UIDs. uid \in friendIDs \ s \ UID1 \lor uid \in friendIDs$  $s \ UID2$ 

**definition** open :: state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where open  $s \equiv openByA \ s \lor openByF \ s$ 

 $lemmas \ open-defs = open-def \ openByA-def \ openByF-def$ 

**lemma** step-openByA-cases: **assumes** step  $s \ a = (ou, s')$  **and**  $openByA \ s \neq openByA \ s'$  **obtains** (CloseA) uid p uid' p' **where** a = Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')  $uid' = UID1 \lor uid' = UID2 \ ou = outOK \ p = pass \ s$ 

uid

```
openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s'
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 s~uid

 $uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\} \lor uid \in \{UID1, UID2\}$ 

 $\land uid' \in UIDs$ 

 $openByF \ s \ \neg openByF \ s'$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** step-open-cases: **assumes** step: step  $s \ a = (ou, s')$ and op: open  $s \neq$  open s'obtains (CloseA) uid p uid' p' where a = Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')  $uid' = UID1 \lor uid' = UID2 \ ou = outOK \ p = pass \ s \ uid$  $openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s' \neg openByF \ s \neg openByF \ s'$ | (OpenF) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK p = passs uid  $uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\} \lor uid \in \{UID1, UID2\}$  $\land \textit{ uid'} \in \textit{ UIDs}$  $openByF \ s' \neg openByF \ s \neg openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s'$ | (CloseF) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK p = passs uid  $uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\} \lor uid \in \{UID1, UID2\}$  $\land \textit{ uid'} \in \textit{ UIDs}$  $openByF \ s \neg openByF \ s' \neg openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s'$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-openByA-eq: **assumes**  $eqButUID \ s \ s1$  **shows**  $openByA \ s = openByA \ s1$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-openByF-eq: assumes ss1:  $eqButUID \ s \ s1$ shows  $openByF \ s = openByF \ s1$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-open-eq: eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  open s = open s1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eqButUID-step- $\gamma$ -out: assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')

```
and \gamma: \gamma (Trans s a ou s')
and os: open s \longrightarrow friendIDs s = friendIDs s1
shows ou = ou1
\langle proof \rangle
```

end

end

theory Friend-Value-Setup imports Friend-Openness begin

## 7.4 Value Setup

context Friend begin

datatype value = FrVal bool — updated friendship status between UID1 and UID2 | OVal bool — updated dynamic declassification trigger condition fun  $\varphi$  :: (state,act,out) trans  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  $\varphi$  (Trans s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) ou s') =  $((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK \lor$ open  $s \neq$  open s')  $\varphi$  (Trans s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) ou s') =  $((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK \lor$ open  $s \neq$  open s')  $\varphi$  (Trans s (Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')) ou s') =  $(open \ s \neq open \ s')$  $\varphi$  - = False **fun**  $f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value where$ f (Trans s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) ou s') =  $(if (uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}$  then FrVal True else OVal True) f (Trans s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) ou s') =  $(if (uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}$  then FrVal False else OVal False)

f (Trans s (Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')) ou s') = OVal False | f - = undefined

**lemma**  $\varphi E$ : assumes  $\varphi$ :  $\varphi$  (*Trans s a ou s'*) (is  $\varphi$  ?*trn*) and *step*: *step s a* = (*ou*, *s'*) and rs: reach s **obtains** (Friend) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK f?trn = FrVal True $uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' =$ UID1  $IDsOK \ s \ [UID1, \ UID2] \ [] \ [] \ []$  $\neg$ friends12 s friends12 s' | (Unfriend) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK f?trn = FrVal False $uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' =$ UID1 IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] [] []  $friends12 \ s \ \neg friends12 \ s'$ | (OpenF) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') $(uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}) \lor (uid' \in UIDs \land$  $uid \in \{UID1, UID2\}$ ou = outOK f?trn = OVal True  $\neg openByF s openByF s'$  $\neg openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s'$ | (CloseF) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') $(uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}) \lor (uid' \in UIDs)$  $\land$  uid  $\in$  {UID1,UID2}) ou = outOK f?trn = OVal False openByF s  $\neg openByF$ s' $\neg openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s'$ | (CloseA) uid p uid' p' where a = Cact (cUser uid p uid' p') $uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}$  openByA s  $\neg$  openByA s'  $\neg openByF \ s \ \neg openByF \ s'$ ou = outOK f?trn = OVal False  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma step-open- $\varphi$ : assumes step  $s \ a = (ou, s')$ and open  $s \neq$  open s'shows  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s')  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** step-friends  $12-\varphi$ : assumes step s a = (ou, s')and friends12  $s \neq$  friends12 s'shows  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s')  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma eqButUID-step- $\varphi$ -imp: **assumes** ss1: eqButUID s s1 and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1 and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')and a:  $a \neq Cact$  (cFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2)  $\land a \neq Cact$  (cFriend UID2  $(pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1) \land$  $a \neq Dact (dFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) \land a \neq Dact (dFriend UID2)$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1)\\ \textbf{and } \varphi: \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s')\\ \textbf{shows } \varphi \ (Trans \ s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1')\\ \langle proof \rangle\\ \\ \textbf{lemma } eqButUID\text{-}step\text{-}\varphi:\\ \textbf{assumes } ss1: \ eqButUID \ s \ s1\\ \textbf{and } rs: \ reach \ s \ \textbf{and } rs1: \ reach \ s1\\ \textbf{and } rs: \ reach \ s \ \textbf{and } rs1: \ reach \ s1\\ \textbf{and } step: \ step \ s \ a = (ou,s') \ \textbf{and } step1: \ step \ s1 \ a = (ou1,s1')\\ \textbf{and } a: \ a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID2\\ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1) \land \\ a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2) \land a \neq Dact \ (dFriend \ UID2\\ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1)\\ \textbf{shows } \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = \varphi \ (Trans \ s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1')\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

end

```
end
theory Friend
imports
Friend-Value-Setup
Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning
begin
```

## 7.5 Declassification bound

context Friend begin

**fun** T :: (*state*, *act*, *out*) *trans*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** T *trn* = *False* 

The bound has the same "while-or-last-before" shape as the dynamic version of the issuer bound for post confidentiality (Section 6.5.2), alternating between phases with open (BO) or closed (BC) access to the confidential information.

The access window is initially open, because the two users are known not to exist when the system is initialized, so there cannot be friendship between them.

The bound also incorporates the static knowledge that the friendship status alternates between *False* and *True*.

**fun** alternatingFriends :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool where alternatingFriends [] - = True | alternatingFriends (FrVal st # vl) st'  $\leftrightarrow$  st' = ( $\neg$ st)  $\land$  alternatingFriends vl st | alternatingFriends (OVal - # vl) st = alternatingFriends vl st

**inductive** *BO* :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool

and BC :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where BO-FrVal[simp,intro!]: BO (map FrVal fs) (map FrVal fs) |BO-BC[intro]: BC vl vl1  $\Longrightarrow$  BO (map FrVal fs @ OVal False # vl) (map FrVal fs @ OVal False # vl1) |BC-FrVal[simp,intro!]: BC (map FrVal fs) (map FrVal fs1) |BC-BO[intro]: BO vl vl1  $\Longrightarrow$  (fs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  fs1 = [])  $\Longrightarrow$  (fs  $\neq$  []  $\Longrightarrow$  last fs = last fs1)  $\Longrightarrow$  BC (map FrVal fs @ OVal True # vl) (map FrVal fs1 @ OVal True # vl1)

**definition**  $B vl vl1 \equiv BO vl vl1 \land alternatingFriends vl1 False$ 

**lemma** BO-Nil-Nil: BO vl vl1  $\implies$  vl = []  $\implies$  vl1 = []  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

unbundle no relcomp-syntax

sublocale *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$ 

## 7.6 Unwinding proof

lemma toggle-friends12-True: assumes rs: reach s and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] [] [] and nf12:  $\neg$ friends12 s obtains al oul where sstep s al = (oul, createFriend s UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) and al  $\neq$  [] and eqButUID s (createFriend s UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) and friends12 (createFriend s UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) and o (traceOf s al) = [] and V (traceOf s al) = [FrVal True]  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma toggle-friends12-False:}\\ \textbf{assumes } rs: reach \ s\\ \textbf{and } IDs: \ IDsOK \ s \ [UID1, \ UID2] \ [] \ [] \ \\ \textbf{and } f12: \ friends12 \ s\\ \textbf{obtains } al \ oul\\ \textbf{where } sstep \ s \ al = (oul, \ deleteFriend \ s \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2)\\ \textbf{and } al \ \neq \ [] \ \textbf{and } eqButUID \ s \ (deleteFriend \ s \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2)\\ \textbf{and } \neg friends12 \ (deleteFriend \ s \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2)\\ \end{array}$ 

and  $O(traceOf \ s \ al) = []$  and  $V(traceOf \ s \ al) = [FrVal \ False] \langle proof \rangle$ 

**definition**  $\Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>\Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv$   $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \ \land friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1 \ \land open \ s \ \land$  $BO \ vl \ vl1 \ \land alternatingFriends \ vl1 \ (friends12 \ s1)$ 

**definition**  $\Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>\Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv (\exists fs \ fs1.$   $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \land \neg open \ s \land$   $alternatingFriends \ vl1 \ (friends12 \ s1) \land$  $vl = map \ FrVal \ fs \land vl1 = map \ FrVal \ fs1)$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{definition } \Delta 2 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv (\exists \ fs \ fs1 \ vlr \ vlr1. \\ eqBut UID \ s \ s1 \ \land \neg open \ s \ \land BO \ vlr \ vlr1 \ \land \\ alternating Friends \ vl1 \ (friends12 \ s1) \ \land \\ (fs = [] \ \longleftrightarrow \ fs1 = []) \ \land \\ (fs \neq [] \ \longrightarrow \ last \ fs = \ last \ fs1) \ \land \\ (fs = [] \ \longrightarrow \ last \ fs = \ last \ fs1) \ \land \\ (fs = [] \ \longrightarrow \ friend IDs \ s = \ friend IDs \ s1) \ \land \\ vl = \ map \ FrVal \ fs \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr1) \end{array}$ 

```
lemma \Delta 2-I:

assumes eqButUID \ s \ s1 \ \neg open \ s \ BO \ vlr \ vlr1 \ alternatingFriends \ vl1 \ (friends12 \ s1)

fs = [] \leftrightarrow fs1 = [] \ fs \neq [] \longrightarrow last \ fs = last \ fs1

fs = [] \longrightarrow friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1

vl = map \ FrVal \ fs \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr

shows \ \Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$ : assumes B: B vl vl1 shows  $\Delta 0$  istate vl istate vl1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 0 \{\Delta 0, \Delta 1, \Delta 2\}$ (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 1$  { $\Delta 1$ ,  $\Delta 0$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 2$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 2 \{\Delta 2, \Delta 0\}$ (proof)  $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } Gr \ \textbf{where} \\ Gr = \\ \{ \\ (\Delta \theta, \{\Delta \theta, \Delta 1, \Delta 2\}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{\Delta 1, \Delta \theta\}), \\ (\Delta 2, \{\Delta 2, \Delta 0\}) \\ \} \end{array}$ 

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

```
end
theory Friend-Network
imports
../API-Network
Friend
BD-Security-Compositional.Composing-Security-Network
begin
```

## 7.7 Confidentiality for the N-ary composition

locale FriendNetwork = Network + FriendNetworkObservationSetup + fixesAID :: apiIDandUID1 :: userIDandUID2 :: userIDassumes $UID1-UID2-UIDs: {UID1,UID2} <math>\cap$  (UIDs AID) = {} and UID1-UID2: UID1  $\neq$  UID2 and AID-AIDs: AID  $\in$  AIDs begin sublocale Issuer: Friend UIDs AID UID1 UID2 (proof)

**abbreviation**  $\varphi :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$ where  $\varphi$  aid  $trn \equiv (Issuer.\varphi trn \land aid = AID)$ 

**abbreviation**  $f :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow Friend.value where <math>f$  aid  $trn \equiv$  Friend.f UID1 UID2 trn

**abbreviation**  $T :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$ 

where T aid  $trn \equiv False$ 

```
abbreviation B :: apiID \Rightarrow Friend.value list \Rightarrow Friend.value list \Rightarrow bool
where B aid vl vl1 \equiv (if aid = AID then Issuer.B vl vl1 else (vl = [] \land vl1 = []))
abbreviation comOfV aid vl \equiv Internal
abbreviation tqtNodeOfV aid vl \equiv undefined
abbreviation syncV aid1 vl1 aid2 vl2 \equiv False
lemma [simp]: validTrans aid trn \implies lreach aid (srcOf trn) \implies \varphi aid trn \implies
comOf \ aid \ trn = Internal
\langle proof \rangle
sublocale Net: BD-Security-TS-Network-getTgtV
where istate = \lambda-. istate and validTrans = validTrans and srcOf = \lambda-. srcOf
and tqtOf = \lambda-. tqtOf
 and nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOf
 and sync = sync and \varphi = \varphi and f = f and \gamma = \gamma and g = g and T = T and
B = B
 and comOfV = comOfV and tqtNodeOfV = tqtNodeOfV and syncV = syncV
 and comOfO = comOfO and tqtNodeOfO = tqtNodeOfO and syncO = syncO
 and source = AID and getTgtV = id
\langle proof \rangle
sublocale BD-Security-TS-Network-Preserve-Source-Security-getTqtV
where istate = \lambda-. istate and validTrans = validTrans and srcOf = \lambda-. srcOf
and tgtOf = \lambda-. tgtOf
 and nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOf
 and sync = sync and \varphi = \varphi and f = f and \gamma = \gamma and g = g and T = T and
B = B
 and comOfV = comOfV and tgtNodeOfV = tgtNodeOfV and syncV = syncV
 and comOfO = comOfO and tgtNodeOfO = tgtNodeOfO and syncO = syncO
 and source = AID and getTgtV = id
\langle proof \rangle
theorem secure: secure
\langle proof \rangle
end
end
theory Friend-All
imports Friend-Network
begin
```

end theory Friend-Request-Intro imports ../Friend-Confidentiality/Friend-Openness ../Friend-Confidentiality/Friend-State-Indistinguishability begin

## 8 Friendship request confidentiality

We verify the following property:

Given a coalition consisting of groups of users  $UIDs \ j$  from multiple nodes j and given two users UID1 and UID2 at some node i who are not in these groups,

the coalition cannot learn anything about the the friendship requests issued between UID1 and UID2

beyond what everybody knows, namely that

- every successful friend creation is preceded by at least one and at most two requests, and
- friendship status updates form an alternating sequence of friending and unfriending,

and beyond the existence of requests issued while or last before a user in the group  $UIDs \ i$  is a local friend of UID1 or UID2.

The approach here is similar to that for friendship status confidentiality (explained in the introduction of Section 7). Like in the case of friendship status, here secret information is not communicated between different nodes (so again we don't need to distinguish between an issuer node and the other, receiver nodes).

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

theory Friend-Request-Value-Setup imports Friend-Request-Intro begin

#### 8.1 Value setup

```
context Friend
begin
```

datatype fUser = U1 | U2 datatype value = isFRVal: FRVal fUser requestInfo — friendship requests from UID1 to UID2 (or vice versa) | isFVal: FVal bool — updated friendship status between UID1 and UID2 | *isOVal: OVal bool* — updated dynamic declassification trigger condition

fun  $\varphi$  :: (state, act, out) trans  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  $\varphi$  (Trans s (Cact (cFriendReq uid p uid' req)) ou s') =  $((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK)$  $\varphi$  (Trans s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) ou s') =  $((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK \lor$ open  $s \neq$  open s')  $\varphi$  (Trans s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) ou s') =  $((uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\} \land ou = outOK \lor$ open  $s \neq$  open s')  $\varphi$  (Trans s (Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')) ou s') = (open  $s \neq$  open s')  $\varphi$  - = False fun  $f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value where$ f (Trans s (Cact (cFriendReq uid p uid' req)) ou s') =  $(if \ uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \ then \ FRVal \ U1 \ req$ else if uid =  $UID2 \land uid' = UID1$  then FRVal U2 req else OVal True) f (Trans s (Cact (cFriend uid p uid')) ou s') =  $(if (uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}$  then FVal True else OVal True) f (Trans s (Dact (dFriend uid p uid')) ou s') =  $(if (uid, uid') \in \{(UID1, UID2), (UID2, UID1)\}$  then FVal False else OVal False) f (Trans s (Cact (cUser uid p uid p')) ou s') = OVal False f - = undefinedlemma  $\varphi E$ : assumes  $\varphi$ :  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') (is  $\varphi$  ?trn) and step: step s a = (ou, s')and rs: reach s **obtains** (FReq1) u p req where a = Cact (cFriendReq UID1 p UID2 req) ou =outOKf?trn = FRVal u req u = U1 IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] [] [] $\neg$ friends12 s  $\neg$ friends12 s' open s' = open s  $UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID2 \ UID1 \notin set \ (pendingFReqs$ s UID2)  $UID2 \in ee pendingFRegs s' UID1 \leftrightarrow UID2 \in ee pendingFRegs$ s UID1

| (FReq2) u p req where a = Cact (cFriendReq UID2 p UID1 req) ou =outOKf?trn = FRVal u req u = U2 IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] [] [] $\neg$ friends12 s  $\neg$ friends12 s' open s' = open s  $UID2 \in e pendingFReqs s' UID1 UID2 \notin set (pendingFReqs$ s UID1)  $UID1 \in e pendingFRegs \ s' \ UID2 \iff UID1 \in e pendingFRegs$ s UID2 (Friend) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK f?trn = FVal True $uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' =$ UID1 $IDsOK \ s \ [UID1, \ UID2] \ [] \ []$  $\neg$ friends12 s friends12 s' uid'  $\in \in$  pendingFReqs s uid  $UID1 \notin set (pendingFRegs s' UID2)$  $UID2 \notin set (pendingFRegs s' UID1)$ | (Unfriend) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK f?trn = FVal False $uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' =$ UID1 IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] [] []  $friends12 \ s \ \neg friends12 \ s'$  $UID1 \notin set (pendingFReqs s' UID2)$  $UID1 \notin set (pendingFReqs \ s \ UID2)$  $UID2 \notin set (pendingFReqs s' UID1)$  $UID2 \notin set (pendingFReqs \ s \ UID1)$ | (OpenF) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') $(uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}) \lor (uid' \in UIDs \land$  $uid \in \{UID1, UID2\}$ ou = outOK f?trn = OVal True  $\neg openByF s openByF s'$  $\neg openByA \ s \ \neg openByA \ s'$  $friends12 \ s' = friends12 \ s$  $UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID2 \iff UID1 \in e$ pendingFReqs s UID2  $UID2 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID1 \iff UID2 \in e$ pendingFReqs s UID1 | (CloseF) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') $(uid \in UIDs \land uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}) \lor (uid' \in UIDs$  $\land$  uid  $\in$  { UID1, UID2 }) ou = outOK f?trn = OVal False openByF s  $\neg openByF$ s' $\neg openByA \ s \neg openByA \ s'$  $friends12 \ s' = friends12 \ s$  $UID1 \in e pending FReqs \ s' \ UID2 \iff UID1 \in e$ pendingFReqs s UID2  $UID2 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID1 \iff UID2 \in e$ pendingFReqs s UID1 | (CloseA) uid p uid' p' where a = Cact (cUser uid p uid' p')  $uid' \in \{UID1, UID2\}$  openByA s  $\neg$  openByA s'

```
\neg openByF \ s \ \neg openByF \ s'
                                  ou = outOK f?trn = OVal False
                                  friends12 \ s' = friends12 \ s
                                      UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID2 \iff UID1 \in e
pendingFRegs s UID2
                                     UID2 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID1 \iff UID2 \in e
pendingFReqs s UID1
\langle proof \rangle
lemma step-open-\varphi:
assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
and open s \neq open s'
shows \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
\langle proof \rangle
lemma step-friends 12-\varphi:
assumes step s a = (ou, s')
and friends12 s \neq friends12 s'
shows \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
\langle proof \rangle
lemma step-pendingFReqs-\varphi:
assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')
and (UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s \ UID2) \neq (UID1 \in e pendingFReqs \ s' \ UID2)
   \lor (UID2 \in \in pendingFReqs s UID1) \neq (UID2 \in \in pendingFReqs s' UID1)
shows \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eqButUID-step-\varphi-imp:
assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1
and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1
and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')
and a: \forall req. a \neq Cact (cFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) \land
             a \neq Cact (cFriend UID2 (pass s UID2) UID1) \land
             a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2 \ req) \land
             a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1 \ req) \land
             a \neq Dact (dFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) \land
             a \neq Dact (dFriend UID2 (pass s UID2) UID1)
and \varphi: \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
shows \varphi (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eqButUID-step-\varphi:
assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1
and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1
and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')
and a: \forall req. a \neq Cact (cFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2) \land
             a \neq Cact \ (cFriend \ UID2 \ (pass \ s \ UID2) \ UID1) \ \land
             a \neq Cact \ (cFriendReq \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2 \ req) \land
```

```
\begin{array}{l} a \neq Cact \; (cFriendReq \; UID2 \; (pass \; s \; UID2) \; UID1 \; req) \; \land \\ a \neq Dact \; (dFriend \; UID1 \; (pass \; s \; UID1) \; UID2) \; \land \\ a \neq Dact \; (dFriend \; UID2 \; (pass \; s \; UID2) \; UID1) \\ \textbf{shows} \; \varphi \; (Trans \; s \; a \; ou \; s') = \varphi \; (Trans \; s1 \; a \; ou1 \; s1') \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

end

```
end
theory Friend-Request
imports
Friend-Request-Value-Setup
Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning
begin
```

#### 8.2 Declassification bound

context Friend begin

**fun** T ::: (*state*, *act*, *out*) *trans*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** T *trn* = *False* 

Friendship updates form an alternating sequence of friending and unfriending, and every successful friend creation is preceded by one or two friendship requests.

**abbreviation** validValSeqFrom :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where** validValSeqFrom vl s  $\equiv$  validValSeq vl (friends12 s) (UID1  $\in \in$  pendingFReqs s UID2) (UID2  $\in \in$  pendingFReqs s UID1)

With respect to the friendship status updates, we use the same "while-orlast-before" bound as for friendship status confidentiality.

inductive  $BO :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ and  $BC :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ where
$\begin{array}{l} BO-FVal[simp,intro!]:\\ BO\ (map\ FVal\ fs)\ (map\ FVal\ fs)\\ |BO-BC[intro]:\\ BC\ vl\ vl\ 1\implies\\ BO\ (map\ FVal\ fs\ @\ OVal\ False\ \#\ vl)\ (map\ FVal\ fs\ @\ OVal\ False\ \#\ vl\ 1)\\ |BC-FVal[simp,intro!]:\\ BC\ (map\ FVal\ fs)\ (map\ FVal\ fs\ 1)\\ |BC-BO[intro]:\\ BO\ vl\ vl\ 1\implies\\ (fs\ =\ []\ \longleftrightarrow\ fs\ 1\ =\ [])\implies\\ (fs\ \neq\ []\ \Longrightarrow\ last\ fs\ =\ last\ fs\ 1)\implies\\ BC\ (map\ FVal\ fs\ @\ OVal\ True\ \#\ vl\ 1)\\ (map\ FVal\ fs\ 1\ @\ OVal\ True\ \#\ vl\ 1)\end{array}$ 

Taking into account friendship requests, two value sequences vl and vl1 are in the bound if

- *vl1* (with friendship requests) forms a valid value sequence,
- vl and vl1 are in BO (without friendship requests),
- *vl1* is empty if *vl* is empty, and
- vl1 begins with OVal False if vl begins with OVal False.

The last two points are due to the fact that UID1 and UID1 might not exist yet if vl is empty (or before  $OVal \ False$ ), in which case the observer can deduce that no friendship request has happened yet.

**definition**  $B vl vl1 \equiv BO$  (filter (Not o isFRVal) vl) (filter (Not o isFRVal) vl1)  $\land$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ istate \ \land \\ (vl = [] \longrightarrow vl1 = []) \ \land \\ (vl \neq [] \ \land \ hd \ vl = \ OVal \ False \longrightarrow vl1 \neq [] \ \land \ hd \ vl1 = \ OVal \end{array}$$

False)

**lemma** BO-Nil-iff: BO vl vl1  $\implies$  vl = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  vl1 = []  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$ 

# 8.3 Unwinding proof

**lemma** validFrom-validValSeq: assumes validFrom s tr and reach s shows validValSeqFrom (V tr) s  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma validFrom istate tr  $\implies$  validValSeqFrom (V tr) istate  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma produce-FRVal:
assumes rs: reach s
and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] [] []
and vVS: validValSeqFrom (FRVal u req \# vl) s
obtains a uid uid' s'
where step s \ a = (outOK, s')
 and a = Cact (cFriendReq uid (pass s uid) uid' req)
 and uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1
 and \varphi (Trans s a outOK s')
 and f (Trans s a outOK s') = FRVal u req
 and validValSeqFrom vl s'
\langle proof \rangle
lemma toggle-friends12-True:
assumes rs: reach s
   and IDs: IDsOK \ s \ [UID1, \ UID2] \ [] \ []
   and nf12: \neg friends12 \ s
   and vVS: validValSeqFrom (FVal True \# vl) s
obtains a uid uid' s'
where step s \ a = (outOK, s')
 and a = Cact (cFriend uid (pass s uid) uid')
 and s' = createFriend \ s \ UID1 \ (pass \ s \ UID1) \ UID2
 and uid = UID1 \land uid' = UID2 \lor uid = UID2 \land uid' = UID1
 and friends12 s'
 and eqButUID \ s \ s'
 and \varphi (Trans s a outOK s')
 and f (Trans s a outOK s') = FVal True
 and \neg \gamma (Trans s a outOK s')
 and validValSeqFrom vl s'
\langle proof \rangle
lemma toggle-friends12-False:
assumes rs: reach s
   and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] [] []
   and f12: friends12 s
   and vVS: validValSeqFrom (FVal False \# vl) s
```

```
obtains a s'

where step s a = (outOK, s')

and a = Dact (dFriend UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2)

and s' = deleteFriend s UID1 (pass s UID1) UID2

and \neg friends12 s'

and eqButUID s s'
```

```
and \varphi (Trans s a outOK s')
and f (Trans s a outOK s') = FVal False
and \neg \gamma (Trans s a outOK s')
and validValSeqFrom vl s'
(proof)
```

```
lemma toggle-friends12:

assumes rs: reach s

and IDs: IDsOK s [UID1, UID2] [] [] []

and f12: friends12 s \neq fv

and vVS: validValSeqFrom (FVal fv # vl) s

obtains a s'

where step s a = (outOK, s')

and friends12 s' = fv

and eqButUID s s'

and \varphi (Trans s a outOK s')

and f (Trans s a outOK s')

and \gamma\gamma (Trans s a outOK s')

and validValSeqFrom vl s'

(proof)
```

```
lemma BO-cases:

assumes BO vl vl1

obtains (Nil) vl = [] and vl1 = []

| (FVal) fv vl' vl1' where vl = FVal fv \# vl' and vl1 = FVal fv \# vl1' and

BO vl' vl1'

| (OVal) vl' vl1' where vl = OVal False \# vl' and vl1 = OVal False \# vl1'

and BC vl' vl1'

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma BC-cases: assumes BC vl vl1 obtains (Nil) vl = [] and vl1 = []|(FVal) fv fs where vl = FVal fv # map FVal fs and vl1 = []| (FVal1) fv fs fs1 where vl = map FVal fs and vl1 = FVal fv # map FVal fs1| (BO-FVal) fv fv' fs vl' vl1' where vl = FVal fv # map FVal fs @ FVal fv'# OVal True # vl'and vl1 = FVal fv' # OVal True # vl1' and BOvl' vl1' | (BO-FVal1) fv fv' fs fs1 vl' vl1' where vl = map FVal fs @ FVal fv' # OValTrue # vl'and vl1 = FVal fv # map FVal fs1 @ FVal fv' #OVal True # vl1' and BO vl' vl1'| (FVal-BO) fv vl' vl1' where vl = FVal fv # OVal True # vl'

(I'Val BO') for vir where vir = I'Val for # O'Val I'Val # virand vl1 = FVal for # O'Val True # vl1' and BO vl' vl1'| (O'Val) vl' vl1' where vl = O'Val True # vl' and vl1 = O'Val True # vl1' and BO vl' vl1'  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**definition**  $\Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>\Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv s = s1 \ \land B \ vl \ vl1 \ \land \ open \ s \ \land (\neg IDsOK \ s \ [UID1, \ UID2] \ [] \ [])$ 

**definition**  $\Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value list \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>\Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv$   $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \land friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1 \land open \ s \land$   $BO \ (filter \ (Not \ o \ isFRVal) \ vl1) \land$   $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1 \land$  $IDsOK \ s1 \ [UID1, \ UID2] \ [] \ [] \ []$ 

**definition**  $\Delta 2 :: state \Rightarrow value list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value list \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>\Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv (\exists fs \ fs1.$   $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \land \neg open \ s \land$   $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1 \land$ filter (Not o isFRVal)  $vl = map \ FVal \ fs \land$ filter (Not o isFRVal)  $vl1 = map \ FVal \ fs1$ )

definition  $\Delta 3 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>\Delta 3 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv (\exists fs \ fs1 \ vlr \ vlr1.$   $eqButUID \ ss1 \land \neg open \ s \land BO \ vlr \ vlr1 \land$   $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1 \land$   $(fs = [] \longleftrightarrow fs1 = []) \land$   $(fs \neq [] \longrightarrow last \ fs = last \ fs1) \land$   $(fs = [] \longrightarrow friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1) \land$ filter (Not o isFRVal)  $vl = map \ FVal \ fs1 @ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr \land$ filter (Not o isFRVal)  $vl1 = map \ FVal \ fs1 @ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr1)$ 

**lemma**  $\Delta 2$ -*I*: **assumes**  $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \ \neg open \ s$   $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1$ filter (Not o isFRVal)  $vl = map \ FVal \ fs$ filter (Not o isFRVal)  $vl1 = map \ FVal \ fs1$  **shows**  $\Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma**  $\Delta 3$ -*I*: **assumes**  $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \ \neg open \ s \ BO \ vlr \ vlr1$   $validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1$   $fs = [] \longleftrightarrow fs1 = [] \ fs \neq [] \longrightarrow last \ fs = last \ fs1$   $fs = [] \longrightarrow friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1$   $filter \ (Not \ o \ isFRVal) \ vl = map \ FVal \ fs \ @ \ OVal \ True \ \# \ vlr1$ **shows**  $\Delta 3 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma istate- $\Delta 0$ : assumes B: B vl vl1 shows  $\Delta 0$  istate vl istate vl1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta \theta$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta \theta$  { $\Delta \theta, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 3$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 1$  { $\Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 3$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 2$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 2$  { $\Delta 2$ ,  $\Delta 1$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 3$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 3 \{\Delta 3, \Delta 1\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} \mbox{definition $Gr$ where} \\ Gr = \\ \{ \\ (\Delta \theta, \{ \Delta \theta, \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 3 \}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{ \Delta 1, \Delta 2, \Delta 3 \}), \\ (\Delta 2, \{ \Delta 2, \Delta 1 \}), \\ (\Delta 3, \{ \Delta 3, \Delta 1 \}) \\ \} \end{array}
```

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

### $\mathbf{end}$

```
end
theory Friend-Request-Network
imports
../API-Network
Friend-Request
BD-Security-Compositional.Composing-Security-Network
begin
```

### 8.4 Confidentiality for the N-ary composition

```
{\bf locale} \ {\it FriendRequestNetwork} = {\it Network} + {\it FriendNetworkObservationSetup} + {\it Vector} + {\it V
fixes
    AID :: apiID
and
    UID1 :: userID
and
    UID2 :: userID
assumes
    UID1-UID2-UIDs: {UID1, UID2} \cap (UIDs AID) = {}
and
    UID1-UID2: UID1 \neq UID2
and
    AID-AIDs: AID \in AIDs
begin
sublocale Issuer: Friend UIDs AID UID1 UID2 (proof)
abbreviation \varphi :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool
where \varphi aid trn \equiv (Issuer.\varphi \ trn \land aid = AID)
abbreviation f :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow Friend.value
where f aid trn \equiv Friend.f UID1 UID2 trn
abbreviation T :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool
where T aid trn \equiv False
abbreviation B :: apiID \Rightarrow Friend.value list \Rightarrow Friend.value list \Rightarrow bool
where B aid vl vl1 \equiv (if aid = AID then Issuer.B vl vl1 else (vl = [] \land vl1 = []))
abbreviation comOfV aid vl \equiv Internal
abbreviation tgtNodeOfV aid vl \equiv undefined
abbreviation syncV aid1 vl1 aid2 vl2 \equiv False
lemma [simp]: validTrans aid trn \implies lreach aid (srcOf trn) \implies \varphi aid trn \implies
comOf \ aid \ trn = Internal
\langle proof \rangle
sublocale Net: BD-Security-TS-Network-getTgtV
where istate = \lambda-. istate and validTrans = validTrans and srcOf = \lambda-. srcOf
and tgtOf = \lambda-. tgtOf
   and nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tqtNodeOf = tqtNodeOf
   and sync = sync and \varphi = \varphi and f = f and \gamma = \gamma and g = g and T = T and
B = B
    and comOfV = comOfV and tgtNodeOfV = tgtNodeOfV and syncV = syncV
   and comOfO = comOfO and tgtNodeOfO = tgtNodeOfO and syncO = syncO
    and source = AID and getTgtV = id
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
sublocale BD-Security-TS-Network-Preserve-Source-Security-getTqtV
where istate = \lambda-. istate and validTrans = validTrans and srcOf = \lambda-. srcOf
and tgtOf = \lambda-. tgtOf
 and nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOf
 and sync = sync and \varphi = \varphi and f = f and \gamma = \gamma and g = g and T = T and
B = B
 and comOfV = comOfV and tgtNodeOfV = tgtNodeOfV and syncV = syncV
 and comOfO = comOfO and tqtNodeOfO = tqtNodeOfO and syncO = syncO
 and source = AID and getTgtV = id
\langle proof \rangle
theorem secure: secure
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{end}
end
theory Friend-Request-All
imports Friend-Request-Network
begin
```

```
end
theory Outer-Friend-Intro
imports ../Safety-Properties
begin
```

# 9 Remote (outer) friendship status confidentiality

We verify the following property, which is specific to CosMeDis, in that it does not have a CoSMed counterpart: Given a coalition consisting of groups of users  $UIDs \ j$  from multiple nodes j and a user UID at some node i not in these groups,

the coalition may learn about the *occurrence* of remote friendship actions of *UID* (because network traffic is assumed to be observable),

but they learn nothing about the *content* (who was added or deleted as a friend) of remote friendship actions between *UID* and remote users who are not in the coalition

beyond what everybody knows, namely that, with respect to each other user uid', those actions form an alternating sequence of friending and unfriending, unless a user in *UIDs i* becomes a local friend of *UID*.

Similarly to the other properties, this property is proved using the system compositionality and transport theorems for BD security.

Note that, unlike inner friendship, outer friendship is not necessarily sym-

metric. It is always established from a user of a server to a user of a client, the former giving the latter unilateral access to his friend-only posts. These unilateral friendship permissions are stored on the client.

When proving the single-node BD security properties, the bound refers to outer friendship-status changes issued by the user UID concerning friending or unfriending some user UID' located at a node j different from i. Such changes occur as communicating actions between the "secret issuer" node i and the "secret receiver" nodes j.

end theory Outer-Friend imports Outer-Friend-Intro begin

type-synonym obs = act \* out

The observers UIDs j are an arbitrary, but fixed sets of users at each node j of the network, and the secret is the friendship information of user UID at node AID.

**locale** OuterFriend = **fixes** UIDs ::  $apiID \Rightarrow userID$  set **and** AID :: apiID **and** UID :: userID **assumes** UID-UIDs: UID  $\notin$  UIDs AID **and** emptyUserID-not-UIDs:  $\land$ aid. emptyUserID  $\notin$  UIDs aid

#### datatype value =

*isFrVal: FrVal apiID userID bool* — updates to the friendship status of UID | *isOVal: OVal bool* — a change in the "openness" status of the UID friendship info

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

theory Outer-Friend-Issuer-Observation-Setup imports ../Outer-Friend begin

### 9.1 Issuer node

### 9.1.1 Observation setup

We now consider the network node *AID*, at which the user *UID* is registered, whose remote friends are to be kept confidential.

**locale** *OuterFriendIssuer* = *OuterFriend* **begin** 

**fun**  $\gamma$  :: (state, act, out) trans  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  $\gamma$  (Trans - a ou -)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  uid. userOfA a = Some uid  $\land$  uid  $\in$  UIDs AID)  $\lor$ ( $\exists$  ca. a = COMact ca  $\land$  ou  $\neq$  outErr) Purging communicating actions: password information is removed, the user IDs of friends added or deleted by *UID* are removed, and the information whether *UID* added or deleted a friend is removed

**fun**  $comPurge :: comActt \Rightarrow comActt$  where

 $comPurge \ (comSendServerReq \ uID \ p \ aID \ reqInfo) = comSendServerReq \ uID \ emptyPass \ aID \ reqInfo$ 

|comPurge (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo) = comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo |comPurge (comConnectClient uID p aID sp) = comConnectClient uID emptyPass aID sp

|comPurge (comConnectServer aID sp) = comConnectServer aID sp

|comPurge (comReceivePost aID sp nID nt uID v) = comReceivePost aID sp nID nt uID v

|comPurge (comSendPost uID p aID nID) = comSendPost uID emptyPass aID nID | comPurge (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID') =

 $(if uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs aID)$ 

then comSendCreateOFriend uID emptyPass aID emptyUserID

 $else\ comSendCreateOFriend\ uID\ emptyPass\ aID\ uID')$ 

|comPurge (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID') = comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID'

|comPurge (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID') =

 $(if uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs aID)$ 

 $then\ comSendCreateOFriend\ uID\ emptyPass\ aID\ emptyUserID$ 

else comSendDeleteOFriend uID emptyPass aID uID')

|comPurge (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID') = comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID'

#### **lemma** comPurge-simps:

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendServerReq\ uID\ p\ aID\ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSend-ServerReq\ uID\ p'\ aID\ reqInfo \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comConnectClient\ uID\ p\ aID\ sp \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comConnectClient\ uID\ p'\ aID\ sp \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comConnectServer \ aID \ sp \leftrightarrow ca = comConnectServer \ aID \ sp comPurge \ ca = comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v \leftrightarrow ca = comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p\ aID\ nID \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p'\ aID\ nID \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comSendCreateOFriend \ uID \ p \ aID \ uID'$ 

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p' \ uid''. \ (ca = comSendCreateOFriend \ uID \ p' \ aID \ uid'' \lor ca = comSend-DeleteOFriend \ uID \ p' \ aID \ uid'') \land uID = UID \land uid'' \notin UIDs \ aID \land uID' = emptyUserID \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $\lor$  ( $\exists p'. ca = comSendCreateOFriend uID p' aID uID' \land \neg(uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs aID) \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend\ aID\ cp\ uID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend\ aID\ cp\ uID\ uID'$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendDeleteOFriend\ uID\ p\ aID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ p'.\ ca = comSendDeleteOFriend\ uID\ p'\ aID\ uID' \land \neg(uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs\ aID) \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID' \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID' \langle proof \rangle$ 

Purging outputs: the user IDs of friends added or deleted by *UID* are removed from outer friend creation and deletion outputs.

**fun**  $outPurge :: out \Rightarrow out$  where outPurge (O-sendCreateOFriend (aID, sp, uID, uID')) =  $(if uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs aID$  then O-sendCreateOFriend (aID, sp, uID, emptyUserID) else O-sendCreateOFriend (aID, sp, uID, uID')) |outPurge (O-sendDeleteOFriend (aID, sp, uID, uID')) =  $(if uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs aID$  then O-sendCreateOFriend (aID, sp, uID, emptyUserID) else O-sendDeleteOFriend (aID, sp, uID, uID')) |outPurge ou = ou **lemma** outPurge-simps[simp]:  $outPurge ou = outErr \leftrightarrow ou = outErr$   $outPurge ou = outOK \leftrightarrow ou = outOK$   $outPurge ou = O-sendServerReq ossr \leftrightarrow ou = O-sendServerReq ossr$  $outPurge ou = O-connectClient occ \leftrightarrow ou = O-connectClient occ$ 

 $outPurge \ ou = O\text{-sendPost} \ osn \longleftrightarrow ou = O\text{-sendPost} \ osn$ 

 $outPurge \ ou = O$ -sendCreateOFriend (aID, sp, uID, uID')

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists uid''. (ou = O\text{-sendCreateOFriend} (aID, sp, uID, uid'') \lor ou = O\text{-sendDeleteOFriend} (uID, uid'') \lor o$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (aID, \, sp, \, uID, \, uid^{\prime\prime})) \wedge \, uID = \, UID \wedge \, uid^{\prime\prime} \notin \, UIDs \, aID \wedge \, uID^{\prime} = \, emptyUserID) \\ \qquad \lor \, (ou = \, O\text{-}sendCreateOFriend \, (aID, \, sp, \, uID, \, uID^{\prime}) \, \wedge \, \neg(uID = \, UID \, \wedge \, uID^{\prime} \\ \notin \, UIDs \, aID)) \end{array}$ 

 $outPurge \ ou = O$ -sendDeleteOFriend (aID, sp, uID, uID')  $\longleftrightarrow$  (ou = O-sendDeleteOFriend (aID, sp, uID, uID')  $\land \neg$ (uID = UID  $\land$  uID'  $\notin$   $UIDs \ aID$ ))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**fun**  $g :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$  where g (Trans - (COMact ca) ou -) = (COMact (comPurge ca), outPurge ou)|g (Trans - a ou -) = (a, ou)

lemma g-simps:

 $g(Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact \ (comSendServerReq \ uID \ p \ aID \ reqInfo), \ O-sendServerReq \ ossr)$ 

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendServerReq uID p' aID reqInfo) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O-sendServerReq ossr)$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo), outOK)

 $\leftrightarrow a = COMact (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo) \land ou = outOK$ 

 $g(Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact \ (comConnectClient \ uID \ p \ aID \ sp), \ O\text{-connectClient occ})$ 

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comConnectClient uID p' aID sp) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O-connectClient occ)$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comConnectServer aID sp), outOK)

 $\leftrightarrow a = COMact (comConnectServer aID sp) \land ou = outOK$ 

 $g (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact \ (comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v), \ outOK)$  $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v) \land ou = outOK$ 

 $g (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = (COMact (comSendPost uID \ p \ aID \ nID), O-sendPost osn) \\ \leftrightarrow (\exists p'. \ a = COMact (comSendPost uID \ p' \ aID \ nID) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = O-sendPost \ osn)$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID'), O-sendCreateOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))

 $\longleftrightarrow ((\exists p' \ uid''. (a = COMact \ (comSendCreateOFriend \ uID \ p' \ aID \ uid'') \lor a = COMact \ (comSendDeleteOFriend \ uID \ p' \ aID \ uid'')) \land uID = UID \land uid'' \notin UIDs \\ aID \land uID' = emptyUserID \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $\lor (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p' aID uID') \land \neg(uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs aID) \land p = emptyPass))$ 

 $\land ((\exists uid''. (ou = O\text{-sendCreateOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'')} \lor ou = O\text{-sendDeleteOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'')} \land uid = UID \land uid'' \notin UIDs aid \land uid' = emptyUserID)$ 

 $\lor$  (ou = O-sendCreateOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid')  $\land \neg$ (uid = UID  $\land$  uid'  $\notin$  UIDs aid)))

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID'), outOK)

 $\leftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID') \land ou = outOK$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID'), O-sendDeleteOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid'))

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p' aID uID') \land \neg(uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs aID) \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $\land$  (ou = O-sendDeleteOFriend (aid, sp, uid, uid')  $\land \neg$ (uid = UID  $\land$  uid'  $\notin$  UIDs aid))

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID'), outOK)

 $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID') \land ou = outOK \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

end

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

theory Outer-Friend-Issuer-State-Indistinguishability imports Outer-Friend-Issuer-Observation-Setup begin

### 9.1.2 Unwinding helper definitions and lemmas

context OuterFriendIssuer begin

**fun** filterUIDs :: (apiID × userID) list  $\Rightarrow$  (apiID × userID) list where filterUIDs auidl = filter ( $\lambda$ auid. (snd auid)  $\in$  UIDs (fst auid)) auidl

**fun** removeUIDs :: (apiID × userID) list  $\Rightarrow$  (apiID × userID) list **where** 

remove UIDs auidl = filter ( $\lambda$  auid. (snd auid)  $\notin$  UIDs (fst auid)) auidl

**fun**  $eqButUIDs :: (apiID \times userID) \ list \Rightarrow (apiID \times userID) \ list \Rightarrow bool where$  $<math>eqButUIDs \ uidl \ uidl1 = (filterUIDs \ uidl = filterUIDs \ uidl1)$ 

**lemma** eqButUIDs-eq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDs uidl uidl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eqButUIDs-sym: assumes eqButUIDs uidl uidl1 shows eqButUIDs uidl1 uidl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eqButUIDs-trans: assumes eqButUIDs uidl uidl1 and eqButUIDs uidl1 uidl2 shows eqButUIDs uidl uidl2  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eqButUIDs-remove1-cong:
assumes eqButUIDs uidl uidl1
shows eqButUIDs (remove1 auid uidl) (remove1 auid uidl1)
<proof</pre>

**lemma** eqButUIDs-snoc-cong: assumes eqButUIDs uidl uidl1

shows eqButUIDs (uidl ## auid') (uidl1 ## auid') (proof)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{definition} \ eqButUIDf \ \textbf{where} \\ eqButUIDf \ frds \ frds1 \equiv \\ eqButUIDs \ (frds \ UID) \ (frds1 \ UID) \\ \land \ (\forall \ uid. \ uid \neq \ UID \longrightarrow frds \ uid = \ frds1 \ uid) \end{array}$ 

**lemmas** eqButUIDf-intro = eqButUIDf-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

**lemma** eqButUIDf-eeq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDf frds frds  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUIDf-sym: **assumes** eqButUIDf frds frds1 **shows** eqButUIDf frds1 frds  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUIDf-trans: assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1 and eqButUIDf frds1 frds2 shows eqButUIDf frds frds2  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUIDf-not-UID: [eqButUIDf frds frds1;  $uid \neq UID$ ]  $\implies$  frds uid = frds1  $uid \langle proof \rangle$ 

**definition**  $eqButUID :: state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$  where  $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \equiv$  $admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land$ 

 $pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ \land \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \ \land userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ \land \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ \land \ pass \ s = pass \ s1 \ \land$ 

```
pendingFReqs \ s = pendingFReqs \ s1 \ \land \\ friendReq \ s = friendReq \ s1 \ \land \\ friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1 \ \land \\
```

```
\begin{array}{l} postIDs \; s = \; postIDs \; s1 \; \wedge \; admin \; s = \; admin \; s1 \; \wedge \\ post \; s = \; post \; s1 \; \wedge \; vis \; s = \; vis \; s1 \; \wedge \\ owner \; s = \; owner \; s1 \; \wedge \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} pendingSApiReqs \ s = \ pendingSApiReqs \ s1 \ \land \ sApiReq \ s = \ sApiReq \ s1 \ \land \\ serverApiIDs \ s = \ serverApiIDs \ s1 \ \land \ serverPass \ s = \ serverPass \ s1 \ \land \\ outerPostIDs \ s = \ outerPostIDs \ s1 \ \land \ outerPost \ s = \ outerVis \ s1 \ \land \ outerVis \ s = \\ outerVis \ s1 \ \land \\ outerOwner \ s = \ outerOwner \ s1 \ \land \\ eqButUIDf \ (sentOuterFriendIDs \ s) \ (sentOuterFriendIDs \ s1) \ \land \\ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s = \ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s1 \ \land \end{array}
```

```
pendingCApiReqs \ s = pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \land cApiReq \ s = cApiReq \ s1 \land clientApiIDs \ s = clientApiIDs \ s1 \land clientPass \ s = clientPass \ s1 \land sharedWith \ s = sharedWith \ s1
```

**lemmas** eqButUID-intro = eqButUID-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

```
lemma eqButUID-refl[simp,intro!]: eqButUID s s \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUID-sym[sym]:
assumes eqButUID \ s \ s1 shows eqButUID \ s1 \ s
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButUID-trans[trans]: assumes  $eqButUID \ s \ s1$  and  $eqButUID \ s1 \ s2$  shows  $eqButUID \ s \ s2$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUID-stateSelectors:
assumes eqButUID s s1
shows admin s = admin \ s1
pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1
userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ pass \ s = pass \ s1
pendingFReqs \ s = pendingFReqs \ s1
friendReq \ s = friendReq \ s1
friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1
postIDs \ s = postIDs \ s1
post \ s = post \ s1 \ vis \ s = vis \ s1
owner s = owner s1
pendingSApiReqs \ s = pendingSApiReqs \ s1 \ sApiReq \ s = sApiReq \ s1
serverApiIDs \ s = serverApiIDs \ s1 \ serverPass \ s = serverPass \ s1
outerPostIDs \ s = outerPostIDs \ s1 \ outerPost \ s = outerPost \ s1 \ outerVis \ outerVis \ s1 \ outerVis \ outerVis \ s1 \ outerVis \ out
 Vis s1
outerOwner \ s = outerOwner \ s1
eqButUIDf (sentOuterFriendIDs s) (sentOuterFriendIDs s1)
recvOuterFriendIDs \ s = recvOuterFriendIDs \ s1
pendingCApiReqs \ s = pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \ cApiReq \ s = cApiReq \ s1
clientApiIDs \ s = clientApiIDs \ s1 \ clientPass \ s = clientPass \ s1
sharedWith \ s = sharedWith \ s1
IDsOK \ s = IDsOK \ s1
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemmas** eqButUID-eqButUIDf = eqButUID-stateSelectors(22)

**lemma** eqButUID-eqButUIDs:  $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies eqButUIDs \ (sentOuterFriendIDs \ s \ UID) \ (sentOuterFriendIDs \ s1 \ UID) \ (proof)$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-not-UID:  $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uid \neq UID \implies sentOuterFriendIDs \ s \ uid = sentOuterFriendIDs \ s1 \ uid \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-sentOuterFriends-UIDs: assumes eqButUID s s1 and  $uid' \in UIDs$  aid **shows** (aid, uid')  $\in \in$  sentOuterFriendIDs s UID  $\leftrightarrow$  (aid, uid')  $\in \in$  sentOuter-FriendIDs s1 UID  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-sentOuterFriendIDs-cong: **assumes** eqButUID s s1 **and**  $uid' \notin UIDs$  aid **shows** eqButUID (s(sentOuterFriendIDs := (sentOuterFriendIDs s)(UID := sentOuter-FriendIDs s UID ## (aid, uid'))) s1 **and** eqButUID s (s1(sentOuterFriendIDs := (sentOuterFriendIDs s1)(UID := sentOuterFriendIDs s1 UID ## (aid, uid')))) **and** eqButUID s (s1(sentOuterFriendIDs := (sentOuterFriendIDs s1)(UID := remove1 (aid, uid') (sentOuterFriendIDs s1 UID))) **and** eqButUID (s(sentOuterFriendIDs s1 UID))) **and** eqButUID (s(sentOuterFriendIDs s1 UID)))) **and** eqButUID (s(sentOuterFriendIDs s2 (SentOuterFriendIDs s2))))) s1 (aid, uid') (sentOuterFriendIDs s UID)))) s1 (proof)

#### **lemma** eqButUID-cong:

 $\bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (admin := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (admin := uu2))$ 

 $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (pendingUReqs := uu1)) (s1 (pendingUReqs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (|userReq := uu1|)) (s1 (|userReq := uu2|)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (userIDs := uu1)) (s1 (|userIDs := uu2|)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (user := uu1)) (s1) (|user := uu2|) $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (pass := uu1)) (s1) (|pass := uu2|) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (postIDs := uu1)) (s1 (|postIDs := uu2|)) $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (owner := uu1))  $(s1 \mid owner := uu2))$  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (post := uu1)) (s1) (post := uu2)

 $\bigwedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (vis := uu1)) (s1 (vis := uu2))

 $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (pendingSApiReqs

:= uu1) (s1 (pendingSApiReqs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (sApiReq := uu1)) (s1 (sApiReq := uu2)) $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (serverApiIDs := uu1)) (s1 (serverApiIDs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (serverPass := uu1)) (s1 | (serverPass := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerPostIDs := uu1)) (s1 (louterPostIDs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\Longrightarrow$  uu1 = uu2  $\Longrightarrow$  eqButUID (s (outerPost := uu1)) (s1 (outerPost := uu2)) $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerVis := uu1)) (s1 (outerVis := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerOwner := uu1)) (s1 (outerOwner := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  eqButUIDf uu1 uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (sentOuter-FriendIDs := uu1) (s1 (sentOuterFriendIDs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (recvOuterFriendIDs) := uu1)) (s1 (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (pendingCApiReqs)) := uu1)) (s1 (pendingCApiReqs := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (cApiReq := uu1)) (s1 (cApiReq := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (clientApiIDs := uu1)) (s1 (clientApiIDs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (clientPass := uu1))  $(s1 \ (clientPass := uu2))$  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (sharedWith := uu1)) (s1 (shared With:= uu2))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** distinct-remove1-idem: distinct  $xs \implies$  remove1 y (remove1 y xs) = remove1 y xs  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUID-step:
assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1
and step: step s a = (ou,s')
and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')
and rs: reach s
and rs1: reach s1
shows eqButUID s' s1'
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

theory Outer-Friend-Issuer-Openness

**imports** *Outer-Friend-Issuer-State-Indistinguishability* **begin** 

### 9.1.3 Dynamic declassification trigger

context OuterFriendIssuer
begin

The dynamic declassification trigger condition holds, i.e. the access window to the confidential information is open, while an observer is a local friend of the user *UID*.

**definition** open :: state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where open  $s \equiv \exists$  uid  $\in$  UIDs AID. uid  $\in \in$  friendIDs s UID

**lemma** open-step-cases: assumes open  $s \neq$  open s'and step s a = (ou, s')obtains (OpenF) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK p = passs uid  $uid \in UIDs \ AID \land uid' = UID \lor uid = UID \land uid' \in UIDs$ AID open s'  $\neg$ open s | (CloseF) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK p = passs uid  $uid \in UIDs \ AID \land uid' = UID \lor uid = UID \land uid' \in UIDs$ AIDopen s  $\neg$  open s'  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma COMact-open: assumes step s a = (ou, s')and a = COMact cashows open s = open s' $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** eqButUID-open-eq: eqButUID s  $s1 \implies open$  s = open s1 $\langle proof \rangle$ end end

theory Outer-Friend-Issuer-Value-Setup imports Outer-Friend-Issuer-Openness begin

#### 9.1.4 Value setup

context OuterFriendIssuer
begin

**fun**  $\varphi$  :: (state,act,out) trans  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**   $\varphi$  (Trans s (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID')) ou s') = ( $uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs aID \land ou \neq outErr$ )  $\varphi$  (Trans s (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID')) ou s') = ( $uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs aID \land ou \neq outErr$ )  $\varphi$  (Trans s - - s') = (open s  $\neq$  open s')

**fun**  $f :: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value$ **where** f (Trans s (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID')) ou s') = FrValaID uID' True|f (Trans s (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID')) ou s') = FrVal aID

f (Trans s - - s') = OVal (open s')

lemma  $\varphi E$ : assumes  $\varphi$ :  $\varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') (is  $\varphi$  ?trn) and step: step s a = (ou, s')and rs: reach s obtains (Friend) p aID uID' where a = COMact (comSendCreateOFriend UID p aIDuID')  $ou \neq outErr$ f? $trn = FrVal aID uID' True uID' \notin UIDs aID$ | (Unfriend) p aID uID' where a = COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend UID p aID uID')  $ou \neq outErr$ f? $trn = FrVal aID uID' False uID' \notin UIDs aID$ | (OpenF) uid p uid' where a = Cact (cFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK p = passs uid  $uid \in UIDs \ AID \land uid' = UID \lor uid = UID \land uid' \in UIDs$ AID open s'  $\neg$ open s f?trn = OVal True| (CloseF) uid p uid' where a = Dact (dFriend uid p uid') ou = outOK p = passs uid  $uid \in UIDs \ AID \land uid' = UID \lor uid = UID \land uid' \in UIDs$ AID open  $s \neg open s'$ f?trn = OVal False

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eqButUID-step- $\gamma$ -out: assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')

and  $\gamma: \gamma$  (Trans s a ou s') and  $os1: \neg open \ s1$ and  $\varphi: \varphi$  (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')  $\longleftrightarrow \varphi$  (Trans s a ou s') shows ou = ou1 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma step-open-\varphi:
assumes step s a = (ou, s')
and open s \neq open s'
shows \varphi (Trans s a ou s')
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma step-sendOFriend-eqButUID:

assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')

and reach s

and uID' \notin UIDs \ aID

and a = COMact \ (comSendCreateOFriend \ UID \ (pass \ s \ UID) \ aID \ uID') \lor

a = COMact \ (comSendDeleteOFriend \ UID \ (pass \ s \ UID) \ aID \ uID')

shows eqButUID \ s \ s'

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \text{lemma } eqButUID\text{-}step\text{-}\varphi\text{-}imp\text{:}\\ \text{assumes } ss1\text{:} eqButUID \ s \ s1\\ \text{and } rs\text{:} reach \ s \ \text{and } rs1\text{:} reach \ s1\\ \text{and } step\text{:} step \ s \ a = (ous,s') \ \text{and } step1\text{:} step \ s1 \ a = (ou1,s1')\\ \text{and } a\text{:} \ \forall \ aID \ uID'. \ uID' \notin \ UIDs \ aID \ \longrightarrow\\ a \neq \ COMact \ (comSendCreateOFriend \ UID \ (pass \ s \ UID) \ aID \ uID')\\ \wedge\end{array}
```

 $a \neq COMact \ (comSendDeleteOFriend \ UID \ (pass \ s \ UID) \ aID \ uID')$  and  $\varphi: \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s')$  shows  $\varphi \ (Trans \ s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1') \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUID-step-\varphi:

assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1

and rs: reach s and rs1: reach s1

and step: step s a = (ou, s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1, s1')

and a: \forall aID \ uID'. uID' \notin UIDs \ aID \longrightarrow

a \neq COMact \ (comSendDeleteOFriend \ UID \ (pass \ s \ UID) \ aID \ uID')

\land

a \neq COMact \ (comSendDeleteOFriend \ UID \ (pass \ s \ UID) \ aID \ uID')

above a (Terms \ s1 \ s2 \ s1 \ s1)
```

```
shows \varphi (Trans s a ou s') = \varphi (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')
(proof)
```

lemma eqButUID-step- $\gamma$ : assumes  $ss1: eqButUID \ s \ s1$ and  $rs: reach \ s$  and  $rs1: reach \ s1$ and  $step: step \ s \ a = (ou, s')$  and  $step1: step \ s1 \ a = (ou1, s1')$ and  $a: \forall aID \ uID'. \ uID' \notin UIDs \ aID \longrightarrow$   $a \neq COMact \ (comSendCreateOFriend \ UID \ (pass \ s \ UID) \ aID \ uID')$  $\land$ 

```
a \neq COMact \ (comSendDeleteOFriend \ UID \ (pass \ s \ UID) \ aID \ uID')
shows \gamma \ (Trans \ s \ a \ ou \ s') = \gamma \ (Trans \ s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1')
\langle proof \rangle
```

end

```
end
theory Outer-Friend-Issuer
imports
Outer-Friend-Issuer-Value-Setup
Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning
begin
```

### 9.1.5 Declassification bound

context OuterFriendIssuer
begin

**fun** T ::: (*state*, *act*, *out*) *trans*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** T *trn* = *False* 

For each user *uid* at a node *aid*, the remote friendship updates with the fixed user *UID* at node *AID* form an alternating sequence of friending and unfriending.

Note that actions involving remote users who are observers do not produce secret values; instead, those actions are observable, and the property we verify does not protect their confidentiality.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun } validValSeq :: value \ list \Rightarrow (apiID \times userID) \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ validValSeq \ [] \ - = \ True \\ | \ validValSeq \ (FrVal \ aid \ uid \ True \ \# \ vl) \ auidl \longleftrightarrow (aid, \ uid) \notin set \ auidl \land uid \notin \\ UIDs \ aid \land validValSeq \ vl \ (auidl \ \# \# \ (aid, \ uid)) \\ | \ validValSeq \ (FrVal \ aid \ uid \ False \ \# \ vl) \ auidl \longleftrightarrow (aid, \ uid) \in \in \ auidl \land uid \notin \\ UIDs \ aid \land validValSeq \ vl \ (removeAll \ (aid, \ uid) \ auidl) \\ | \ validValSeq \ (OVal \ - \ \# \ vl) \ auidl = \ validValSeq \ vl \ auidl \\ \end{array}$ 

**abbreviation** validValSeqFrom :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where validValSeqFrom vl s  $\equiv$  validValSeq vl (removeUIDs (sentOuterFriendIDs s UID))

When the access window is closed, observers may learn about the occurrence of remote friendship actions (by observing network traffic), but not their content; the actions can be replaced by different actions involving different users (who are not observers) without affecting the observations.

inductive  $BC :: value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ where

 $\begin{array}{l} BC\text{-Nil[simp,intro]: } BC \ [] \ [] \\ | \ BC\text{-}FrVal[intro]: \\ BC \ vl \ vl1 \implies uid' \notin UIDs \ aid \implies BC \ (FrVal \ aid \ uid \ st \ \# \ vl) \ (FrVal \ aid \ uid' \ st' \ \# \ vl1) \end{array}$ 

When the access window is open, i.e. the user *UID* is a local friend of an observer, all information about the remote friends of *UID* is declassified; when the access window closes again, the contents of future updates are kept confidential.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } BO \; vl \; vl1 \equiv \\ (vl1 = vl) \; \lor \\ (\exists \; vl0 \; vl' \; vl1'. \; vl = vl0 @ \; OVal \; False \; \# \; vl' \land vl1 = vl0 @ \; OVal \; False \; \# \; vl1' \land \\ BC \; vl' \; vl1') \end{array}$ 

**definition**  $B vl vl1 \equiv (BC vl vl1 \lor BO vl vl1) \land validValSeqFrom vl1 istate$ 

**lemma** *B-Nil-Nil*: *B* vl vl1  $\implies$  vl1 = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  vl = []  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$ 

### 9.1.6 Unwinding proof

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ s1 = istate \ \land \ s = istate \ \land \ B \ vl \ vl1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 1 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 1 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ BO \ vl \ vl1 \ \land \\ s1 = s \ \land \\ validValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1 \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } \Delta 2 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ \Delta 2 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv \\ BC \ vl \ vl1 \ \land \\ eqBut UID \ s \ s1 \ \land \neg open \ s1 \ \land \\ valid ValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1 \end{array}$ 

**lemma** validValSeq-prefix: validValSeq (vl @ vl') auidl  $\implies$  validValSeq vl auidl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** filter-removeAll: filter P (removeAll x xs) = removeAll x (filter P xs)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma step-validValSeqFrom:

assumes step: step s a = (ou, s')

and rs: reach s

and c: consume (Trans s a ou s') vl vl' (is consume ?trn vl vl')

and vVS: validValSeqFrom vl s

shows validValSeqFrom vl' s'

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma istate-\Delta 0:
assumes B: B vl vl1
shows \Delta 0 istate vl istate vl1
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 0$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 0 \{\Delta 1, \Delta 2\}$ (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 1$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 1$  { $\Delta 1$ , $\Delta 2$ } (proof)

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta 2$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta 2$  { $\Delta 2$ } (proof)

```
definition Gr where

Gr = \begin{cases} \\ (\Delta \theta, \{\Delta 1, \Delta 2\}), \\ (\Delta 1, \{\Delta 1, \Delta 2\}), \\ (\Delta 2, \{\Delta 2\}) \end{cases}
```

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end theory Outer-Friend-Receiver-Observation-Setup imports ../Outer-Friend begin

### 9.2 Receiver nodes

#### 9.2.1 Observation setup

locale OuterFriendReceiver = OuterFriend +
fixes AID' :: apiID — The ID of this (arbitrary, but fixed) receiver node
begin

**fun**  $\gamma$  :: (state, act, out) trans  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  $\gamma$  (Trans - a ou -)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  uid. userOfA a = Some uid  $\land$  uid  $\in$  UIDs AID')  $\lor$ ( $\exists$  ca. a = COMact ca  $\land$  ou  $\neq$  outErr)

**fun**  $sPurge :: sActt \Rightarrow sActt$ **where** <math>sPurge (sSys uid pwd) = sSys uid emptyPass

fun comPurge :: comActt  $\Rightarrow$  comActt where comPurge (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo) = comSendServerReq uID emptyPass aID reqInfo |comPurge (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo) = comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo |comPurge (comConnectClient uID p aID sp) = comConnectClient uID emptyPass aID sp |comPurge (comConnectServer aID sp) = comConnectServer aID sp |comPurge (comReceivePost aID sp nID nt uID v) = comReceivePost aID sp nID nt uID v |comPurge (comSendPost uID p aID nID) = comSendPost uID emptyPass aID nID |comPurge (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID') = comSendCreateOFriend uID emptyPass aID uID' |comPurge (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID') =

[comPurge (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID') = (if aID = AID ∧ uID = UID ∧ uID' ∉ UIDs AID' then comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID emptyUserID else comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID') |comPurge (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID') = comSendDeleteOFriend uID emptyPass aID uID'

 $|comPurge (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID') = (if aID = AID \land uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs AID' then comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID emptyUserID else comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID')$ 

lemma comPurge-simps:

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendServerReq\ uID\ p\ aID\ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSend-ServerReq\ uID\ p'\ aID\ reqInfo \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceiveClientReq \ aID \ reqInfo$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comConnectClient\ uID\ p\ aID\ sp \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comConnectClient\ uID\ p'\ aID\ sp \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comConnectServer \ aID \ sp \longleftrightarrow ca = comConnectServer \ aID \ sp \ comPurge \ ca = comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v \longleftrightarrow ca = comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p\ aID\ nID \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendPost\ uID\ p'\ aID\ nID \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendCreateOFriend\ uID\ p\ aID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendCreateOFriend\ uID\ p'\ aID\ uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge \ ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID'$ 

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists uid''. (ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uid'' \lor ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uid'') \land aID = AID \land uID = UID \land uid'' \notin UIDs AID' \land uID' = emptyUserID)$ 

 $\lor$  (ca = comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID'  $\land \neg$ (aID = AID  $\land$  uID = UID  $\land$  uID'  $\notin$  UIDs AID'))

 $comPurge\ ca = comSendDeleteOFriend\ uID\ p\ aID\ uID' \longleftrightarrow (\exists p'.\ ca = comSendDeleteOFriend\ uID\ p'\ aID\ uID' \land p = emptyPass)$ 

 $comPurge\ ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend\ aID\ cp\ uID\ uID' \leftrightarrow ca = comReceiveDeleteOFriend\ aID\ cp\ uID\ uID' \wedge \neg(aID = AID \wedge uID = UID \wedge uID' \notin UIDs\ AID')$ 

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

**fun**  $g :: (state, act, out)trans \Rightarrow obs$ **where** <math>g (Trans - (Sact sa) ou -) = (Sact (sPurge sa), ou) |g (Trans - (COMact ca) ou -) = (COMact (comPurge ca), ou)|g (Trans - a ou -) = (a, ou)

#### lemma g-simps:

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendServerReq uID p aID reqInfo), ou')  $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendServerReq uID p' aID reqInfo) \land p = emptyPass$  $\land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo), ou')  $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact$  (comReceiveClientReq aID reqInfo)  $\land ou = ou'$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comConnectClient uID p aID sp), ou')

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comConnectClient uID p' aID sp) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comConnectServer aID sp), ou')

 $\iff a = COMact \ (comConnectServer \ aID \ sp) \land ou = ou'$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceivePost aID sp nID nt uID v), ou')

 $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comReceivePost \ aID \ sp \ nID \ nt \ uID \ v) \land \ ou = ou'$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendPost uID p aID nID), ou')

 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendPost uID p' aID nID) \land p = emptyPass \land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p aID uID'), ou')  $\leftrightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendCreateOFriend uID p' aID uID') \land p = empty-Pass \land ou = ou')$  g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID'), ou')

 $\longleftrightarrow (((\exists uid''. (a = COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uid'') \lor a = COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uid'')) \land aID = AID \land uID = UID \land uid'' \notin UIDs AID' \land uID' = emptyUserID)$ 

 $\label{eq:alpha} \begin{array}{l} & \lor (a = \textit{COMact} (\textit{comReceiveCreateOFriend aID cp uID uID'}) \land \neg (aID = AID \land uID = \textit{UID} \land uID' \notin \textit{UIDs AID'}))) \end{array}$ 

 $\wedge ou = ou'$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p aID uID'), ou')  $\leftrightarrow \Rightarrow (\exists p'. a = COMact (comSendDeleteOFriend uID p' aID uID') \land p = empty-Pass \land ou = ou')$ 

g (Trans s a ou s') = (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend aID cp uID uID'), ou')

 $\longleftrightarrow a = COMact \ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID') \land \neg(aID = AID \land uID = UID \land uID' \notin UIDs \ AID') \land ou = ou' \land (proof)$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end

theory Outer-Friend-Receiver-State-Indistinguishability imports Outer-Friend-Receiver-Observation-Setup begin

### 9.2.2 Unwinding helper definitions and lemmas

context OuterFriendReceiver
begin

**fun**  $eqButUIDl :: (apiID \times userID) list \Rightarrow (apiID \times userID) list \Rightarrow bool where <math>eqButUIDl$  auidl auidl1 = (remove1 (AID,UID) auidl = remove1 (AID,UID) auidl1)

**lemma** eqButUIDl-eq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDl auidl auidl  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUIDl-sym:
assumes eqButUIDl auidl auidl1
shows eqButUIDl auidl1 auidl
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUIDl-trans:
assumes eqButUIDl auidl auidl1 and eqButUIDl auidl1 auidl2
shows eqButUIDl auidl auidl2
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma eqButUIDl-remove1-cong:
assumes eqButUIDl auidl auidl1
shows eqButUIDl (remove1 auid auidl) (remove1 auid auidl1)
<proof</pre>

**lemma** eqButUIDl-snoc-cong: **assumes** eqButUIDl auidl auidl1 **and**  $auid' \in \in auidl \leftrightarrow auid' \in \in auidl1$  **shows** eqButUIDl (auidl ## auid') (auidl1 ## auid')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition eqButUIDf where

 $eqButUIDf \ frds \ frds1 \equiv$ ( $\forall uid. if \ uid \in UIDs \ AID' \ then \ frds \ uid = frds1 \ uid \ else \ eqButUIDl \ (frds \ uid)$ ( $frds1 \ uid$ ))

**lemmas** eqButUIDf-intro = eqButUIDf-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]

**lemma** eqButUIDf-eeq[simp,intro!]: eqButUIDf frds frds  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUIDf-sym: **assumes** eqButUIDf frds frds1 **shows** eqButUIDf frds1 frds  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eqButUIDf-trans: assumes eqButUIDf frds frds1 and eqButUIDf frds1 frds2 shows eqButUIDf frds frds2  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** eqButUIDf-UIDs:  $[eqButUIDf frds frds1; uid \in UIDs AID'] \implies frds uid = frds1 uid \langle proof \rangle$ 

**definition**  $eqButUID :: state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$  where  $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \equiv$ 

 $admin \ s = \ admin \ s1 \ \wedge$ 

```
pendingUReqs s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ \land userReq \ s = userReq \ s1 \ \land
userIDs s = userIDs \ s1 \ \land user \ s = user \ s1 \ \land pass \ s = pass \ s1 \ \land
pendingFReqs s = pendingFReqs \ s1 \ \land
friendReg \ s = friendReg \ s1 \ \wedge
friendIDs s = friendIDs \ s1 \ \land
postIDs s = postIDs \ s1 \ \land admin \ s = admin \ s1 \ \land
post \ s = post \ s1 \ \land \ vis \ s = vis \ s1 \ \land
owner s = owner s1 \land
pendingSApiReqs \ s = pendingSApiReqs \ s1 \ \land \ sApiReq \ s = \ sApiReq \ s1 \ \land
serverApiIDs \ s = serverApiIDs \ s1 \ \land \ serverPass \ s = serverPass \ s1 \ \land
outerPostIDs \ s = outerPostIDs \ s1 \land outerPost \ s = outerPost \ s1 \land outerVis \ s =
outer Vis s1 \wedge
outerOwner \ s = \ outerOwner \ s1 \ \land
sentOuterFriendIDs \ s = sentOuterFriendIDs \ s1 \ \land
eqButUIDf (recvOuterFriendIDs s) (recvOuterFriendIDs s1) \land
pendingCApiReqs \ s = pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \ \land \ cApiReq \ s = \ cApiReq \ s1 \ \land
clientApiIDs \ s = clientApiIDs \ s1 \ \land \ clientPass \ s = clientPass \ s1 \ \land
sharedWith \ s = sharedWith \ s1
lemmas eqButUID-intro = eqButUID-def[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq, THEN iffD2]
lemma eqButUID-refl[simp,intro!]: eqButUID s s
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eqButUID-sym[sym]:
assumes eqButUID s s1 shows eqButUID s1 s
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eqButUID-trans[trans]:
assumes eqButUID s s1 and eqButUID s1 s2 shows eqButUID s s2
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eqButUID-stateSelectors:
assumes eqButUID s s1
shows admin s = admin \ s1
pendingUReqs \ s = pendingUReqs \ s1 \ userReq \ s = userReq \ s1
userIDs \ s = userIDs \ s1 \ user \ s = user \ s1 \ pass \ s = pass \ s1
```

 $postIDs \ s = postIDs \ s1$ 

 $friendReq \ s = friendReq \ s1$  $friendIDs \ s = friendIDs \ s1$ 

 $pendingFReqs \ s = pendingFReqs \ s1$ 

 $post \ s = post \ s1 \ vis \ s = vis \ s1$  $owner \ s = owner \ s1$ 

```
\begin{array}{l} pendingSApiReqs \; s = \; pendingSApiReqs \; s1 \; sApiReq \; s = \; sApiReq \; s1 \\ serverApiIDs \; s = \; serverApiIDs \; s1 \; serverPass \; s = \; serverPass \; s1 \\ outerPostIDs \; s = \; outerPostIDs \; s1 \; outerPost \; s = \; outerPost \; s1 \; outerVis \; s = \; outerVis \; s1 \\ outerOwner \; s = \; outerOwner \; s1 \\ sentOuterFriendIDs \; s = \; sentOuterFriendIDs \; s1 \\ eqButUIDf \; (recvOuterFriendIDs \; s) \; (recvOuterFriendIDs \; s1) \end{array}
```

 $pendingCApiReqs \ s = pendingCApiReqs \ s1 \ cApiReq \ s = cApiReq \ s1$  $clientApiIDs \ s = clientApiIDs \ s1 \ clientPass \ s = clientPass \ s1$  $sharedWith \ s = sharedWith \ s1$ 

 $IDsOK \ s = IDsOK \ s1$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUID-UIDs:
```

 $eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uid \in UIDs \ AID' \implies recvOuterFriendIDs \ s \ uid = recvOuter-FriendIDs \ s1 \ uid \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma } eqButUID\text{-}recvOuterFriends\text{-}UIDs\text{:}}\\ \textbf{assumes } eqButUID \ s \ s1\\ \textbf{and } uid \neq UID \lor aid \neq AID\\ \textbf{shows } (aid, uid) \in \in \ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s \ uid' \longleftrightarrow (aid, uid) \in \in \ recvOuterFriendIDs \ s1 \ uid'\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

**lemma** eqButUID-remove1-UID-recvOuterFriends: **assumes**  $eqButUID \ s \ s1$  **shows** remove1 (AID,UID) (recvOuterFriendIDs  $s \ uid$ ) = remove1 (AID,UID) (recvOuterFriendIDs  $s1 \ uid$ ) (proof)

**lemma** eqButUID-cong:  $\land uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID (s (admin := uu1))$  (s1 (admin := uu2)) $\land uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID (s (pendingUReqs := uu1))$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \left( uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButOID \ s \ s1 \longrightarrow uu1 = uu2 \longrightarrow eqButOID \ (s \ (penungOrteqs := uu2)) \\ \left( uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userReq := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (userReq := uu2)) \\ \left( uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userIDs := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (userIDs := uu2)) \\ \left( uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userIDs := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (userIDs := uu2)) \\ \left( uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (userIDs := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (userIDs := uu2)) \\ \left( uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (user := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (user := uu2)) \\ \end{array} \right)$ 

 $\bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (pass := uu2))$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (pendingFReqs := uu1)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendReq := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (friendReq := uu2)) \\ \bigwedge \ uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \implies uu1 = uu2 \implies eqButUID \ (s \ (friendIDs := uu1)) \\ (s1 \ (friendIDs := uu2)) \\ \end{array}$ 

 $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (pendingSApiReqs := uu1) (s1 (pendingSApiReqs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (sApiReq := uu1)) (s1 (sApiReq := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (serverApiIDs := uu1)) (s1 (serverApiIDs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (serverPass := uu1)) (s1 | (serverPass := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerPostIDs := uu1)) (s1 (outerPostIDs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerPost := uu1)) (s1 (outerPost := uu2)) $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerVis := uu1)) (s1 (outerVis := uu2)) $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (outerOwner := uu1)) (s1 (outerOwner := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (sentOuterFriendIDs) := uu1) (s1 (sentOuterFriendIDs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  eqButUIDf uu1 uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (recvOuter-FriendIDs := uu1) (s1 (recvOuterFriendIDs := uu2))  $\wedge$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (pendingCApiReqs := uu1) (s1 (pendingCApiReqs := uu2))  $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (cApiReq := uu1)) (s1 (cApiReq := uu2)) $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (clientApiIDs := uu1)) (s1 (clientApiIDs := uu2))

 $\bigwedge uu1 \ uu2. \ eqButUID \ s \ s1 \Longrightarrow uu1 = uu2 \Longrightarrow eqButUID \ (s \ (clientPass := uu1)) \ (s1 \ (clientPass := uu2))$ 

 $\land$  uu1 uu2. eqButUID s s1  $\implies$  uu1 = uu2  $\implies$  eqButUID (s (sharedWith :=

uu1)) (s1 (shared With:= uu2)) (proof)

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

end

theory Outer-Friend-Receiver-Value-Setup imports Outer-Friend-Receiver-State-Indistinguishability begin

### 9.2.3 Value Setup

context OuterFriendReceiver
begin

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ \varphi :: (state, act, out) \ trans \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} \\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ (COMact \ (comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID')) \ ou \ s') = \\ (aID = \ AID \ \land \ uID = \ UID \ \land \ uID' \notin \ UIDs \ AID' \ \land \ ou = \ outOK) \\ | \\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ (COMact \ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID')) \ ou \ s') = \\ (aID = \ AID \ \land \ uID = \ UID \ \land \ uID' \notin \ UIDs \ AID' \ \land \ ou = \ outOK) \\ | \\ \varphi \ (Trans \ s \ (COMact \ (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID')) \ ou \ s') = \\ (aID = \ AID \ \land \ uID = \ UID \ \land \ uID' \notin \ UIDs \ AID' \ \land \ ou = \ outOK) \\ | \\ \varphi \ - = \ False \end{array}$ 

**fun**  $f ::: (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value$ **where**  $<math>f (Trans \ s (COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID')) \ ou \ s') = FrVal$   $AID' \ uID' \ True$  |  $f (Trans \ s (COMact (comReceiveDeleteOFriend \ aID \ cp \ uID \ uID')) \ ou \ s') = FrVal$   $AID' \ uID' \ False$ |

```
f - = undefined
```

```
lemma recvOFriend-eqButUID:

assumes step s \ a = (ou, s')

and reach s

and a = COMact (comReceiveCreateOFriend AID cp UID uID') \lor a = COMact

(comReceiveDeleteOFriend AID cp UID uID')

and uID' \notin UIDs \ AID'

shows eqButUID s \ s'

\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** eqButUID-step: assumes ss1:  $eqButUID \ s \ s1$ and step:  $step \ s \ a = (ou,s')$  and step1: step s1 a = (ou1, s1')and rs: reach sand rs1: reach s1shows eqButUID s' s1' $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma eqButUID-step-\gamma-out:

assumes ss1: eqButUID \ s \ s1

and step: step s \ a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 \ a = (ou1,s1')

and \varphi: \varphi (Trans s1 \ a \ ou1 \ s1') \longleftrightarrow \varphi (Trans s \ a \ ou \ s')

and \gamma: \gamma (Trans s \ a \ ou \ s')

shows ou = ou1

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma eqButUID-step-\gamma:

assumes ss1: eqButUID s s1

and step: step s a = (ou,s') and step1: step s1 a = (ou1,s1')

and \varphi: \varphi (Trans s1 a ou1 s1') \longleftrightarrow \varphi (Trans s a ou s')

shows \gamma (Trans s a ou s') = \gamma (Trans s1 a ou1 s1')

\langle proof \rangle
```

### $\mathbf{end}$

#### $\mathbf{end}$

```
theory Outer-Friend-Receiver

imports

Outer-Friend-Receiver-Value-Setup

Bounded-Deducibility-Security.Compositional-Reasoning

begin
```

#### 9.2.4 Declassification bound

context OuterFriendReceiver
begin

**fun** T :: (*state*, *act*, *out*) *trans*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where** T *trn* = *False* 

For each user *uid* at this receiver node *AID*', the remote friendship updates with the fixed user *UID* at the issuer node *AID* form an alternating sequence of friending and unfriending.

Note that actions involving remote users who are observers do not produce secret values; instead, those actions are observable, and the property we verify does not protect their confidentiality.

Moreover, there is no declassification trigger on the receiver side, so OVal

values are never produced by receiver nodes, only by the issuer node.

**definition** friendsOfUID :: state  $\Rightarrow$  userID set where friendsOfUID s = {uid. (AID, UID)  $\in \in$  recvOuterFriendIDs s uid  $\land$  uid  $\notin$  UIDs AID'}

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun } validValSeq :: value \ list \Rightarrow userID \ set \Rightarrow bool \ \textbf{where} \\ validValSeq \ [] \ - = \ True \\ | \ validValSeq \ (FrVal \ aid \ uid \ True \ \# \ vl) \ uids \longleftrightarrow uid \ \# \ uids \land aid = AID' \land uid \\ \notin \ UIDs \ AID' \land validValSeq \ vl \ (insert \ uid \ uids) \\ | \ validValSeq \ (FrVal \ aid \ uid \ False \ \# \ vl) \ uids \longleftrightarrow uid \ \Leftrightarrow aid = AID' \land uid \\ \notin \ UIDs \ AID' \land validValSeq \ vl \ (uids \ - \ uid) \\ \# \ uIDs \ AID' \land validValSeq \ vl \ (uids \ - \ uid\}) \\ | \ validValSeq \ (OVal \ ov \ \# \ vl) \ uids \longleftrightarrow False \end{array}$ 

**abbreviation** validValSeqFrom vl  $s \equiv$  validValSeq vl (friendsOfUID s)

Observers may learn about the occurrence of remote friendship actions (by observing network traffic), but not their content; remote friendship actions at a receiver node AID' can be replaced by different actions involving different users of that node (who are not observers) without affecting the observations.

inductive BC :: value list  $\Rightarrow$  value list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where BC-Nil[simp,intro]: BC [] [] | BC-FrVal[intro]: BC vl vl1  $\Longrightarrow$  uid'  $\notin$  UIDs AID'  $\Longrightarrow$  BC (FrVal aid uid st # vl) (FrVal AID' uid' st' # vl1)

**definition**  $B vl vl1 \equiv BC vl vl1 \land validValSeqFrom vl1 istate$ 

**lemma** *BC-Nil-Nil*: *BC* vl vl1  $\implies$  vl1 = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  vl = []  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *BC-id*: *validValSeq vl uids*  $\Longrightarrow$  *BC vl vl*  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *BC*-append: *BC* vl vl1  $\implies$  *BC* vl' vl1'  $\implies$  *BC* (vl @ vl') (vl1 @ vl1')  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale *BD-Security-IO* where istate = istate and step = step and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = B $\langle proof \rangle$ 

### 9.2.5 Unwinding proof

**definition**  $\Delta 0 :: state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool where$  $\Delta 0 \ s \ vl \ s1 \ vl1 \equiv BC \ vl \ vl1 \ \land \ eqBut UID \ s \ s1 \ \land \ valid ValSeqFrom \ vl1 \ s1$  lemma istate- $\Delta 0$ : assumes B: B vl vl1 shows  $\Delta 0$  istate vl istate vl1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** friendsOfUID-cong: assumes recvOuterFriendIDs s = recvOuterFriendIDs s'shows friendsOfUID s = friendsOfUID s' $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** friendsOfUID-step-not-UID: **assumes**  $uid \neq UID \lor aid \neq AID \lor uid' \in UIDs AID'$  **shows** friendsOfUID (receiveCreateOFriend s aid sp uid uid') = friendsOfUID s **and** friendsOfUID (receiveDeleteOFriend s aid sp uid uid') = friendsOfUID s  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma friendsOfUID-step-Create-UID:

assumes uid' \notin UIDs \ AID'

shows friendsOfUID (receiveCreateOFriend s AID sp UID uid') = insert uid'

(friendsOfUID s)

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma friends} Of UID\text{-step-Delete-UID:} \\ \textbf{assumes } e\text{-receiveDelete} OFriend \ s \ AID \ sp \ UID \ uid' \\ \textbf{and } rs: \ reach \ s \\ \textbf{shows } friends Of UID \ (receiveDelete OFriend \ s \ AID \ sp \ UID \ uid') = friends Of UID \\ s \ - \left\{ uid' \right\} \\ \left\langle proof \right\rangle \end{array}
```

```
lemma step-validValSeqFrom:
assumes step: step s a = (ou, s')
and rs: reach s
and c: consume (Trans s a ou s') vl vl' (is consume ?trn vl vl')
and vVS: validValSeqFrom vl s
shows validValSeqFrom vl' s'
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** unwind-cont- $\Delta \theta$ : unwind-cont  $\Delta \theta$  { $\Delta \theta$ } (proof)

```
definition Gr where

Gr = \begin{cases} \\ (\Delta \theta, \{\Delta \theta\}) \\ \end{cases}
```

**theorem** secure: secure  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

```
end
theory Outer-Friend-Network
imports
../API-Network
Issuer/Outer-Friend-Issuer
Receiver/Outer-Friend-Receiver
BD-Security-Compositional.Composing-Security-Network
begin
```

### 9.3 Confidentiality for the N-ary composition

locale OuterFriendNetwork = OuterFriend + Network +assumes AID-AIDs:  $AID \in AIDs$ begin

sublocale Issuer: OuterFriendIssuer UIDs AID UID (proof)

**abbreviation**  $\varphi$  ::  $apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  $\varphi$  aid  $trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Issuer. \varphi trn else OuterFriendReceiver. \varphi$ UIDs AID UID aid trn)

**abbreviation**  $f :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow value$ **where** $<math>f aid trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Issuer.f trn else OuterFriendReceiver.f aid trn)$ 

**abbreviation**  $\gamma$  ::  $apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  $\gamma$  aid  $trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Issuer. \gamma trn else OuterFriendReceiver. \gamma$ UIDs aid trn)

**abbreviation**  $g :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow obs$ **where** g aid  $trn \equiv (if aid = AID then Issuer.g trn else OuterFriendReceiver.g UIDs AID UID aid trn)$ 

**abbreviation**  $T :: apiID \Rightarrow (state, act, out) trans \Rightarrow bool$ where T aid  $trn \equiv False$ 

**abbreviation**  $B :: apiID \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow value \ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**  $B \ aid \ vl \ vl1 \equiv (if \ aid = AID \ then \ Issuer.B \ vl \ vl1 \ else \ OuterFriendReceiver.B \ UIDs \ AID \ UID \ aid \ vl \ vl1)$ 

fun comOfV where

 $comOfV \ aid \ (FrVal \ aid' \ uid' \ st) = (if \ aid \neq AID \ then \ Recv \ else \ (if \ aid' \neq aid \ then \ Send \ else \ Internal))$ |  $comOfV \ aid \ (OVal \ ov) = \ Internal$ 

```
fun tgtNodeOfV where
```

tgtNodeOfV aid (FrVal aid' uid' st) = (if aid = AID then aid' else AID)| tgtNodeOfV aid (OVal ov) = AID

**abbreviation** syncV aid1 v1 aid2 v2  $\equiv$  (v1 = v2)

sublocale Net: BD-Security-TS-Network-getTgtV where istate =  $\lambda$ -. istate and validTrans = validTrans and srcOf =  $\lambda$ -. srcOf and tgtOf =  $\lambda$ -. tgtOf and nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOf and sync = sync and  $\varphi = \varphi$  and f = f and  $\gamma = \gamma$  and g = g and T = T and B = Band comOfV = comOfV and tgtNodeOfV = tgtNodeOfV and syncV = syncV and comOfO = comOfO and tgtNodeOfO = tgtNodeOfO and syncO = syncO and source = AID and getTgtV = id  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context fixes AID' :: apiIDassumes  $AID': AID' \in AIDs - \{AID\}$ begin

interpretation Receiver: OuterFriendReceiver UIDs AID UID AID' (proof)

lemma Issuer-BC-Receiver-BC:
assumes Issuer.BC vl vl1
shows Receiver.BC (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl) (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl1)
<proof</pre>

**lemma** Collect-setminus: Collect  $P - A = \{u. \ u \notin A \land P \ u\}$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma Issuer-B-Receiver-B:
assumes Issuer.B vl vl1
shows Receiver.B (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl) (Net.projectSrcV AID' vl1)
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

```
sublocale BD-Security-TS-Network-Preserve-Source-Security-getTqtV
where istate = \lambda-. istate and validTrans = validTrans and srcOf = \lambda-. srcOf
and tgtOf = \lambda-. tgtOf
 and nodes = AIDs and comOf = comOf and tgtNodeOf = tgtNodeOf
 and sync = sync and \varphi = \varphi and f = f and \gamma = \gamma and g = g and T = T and
B = B
 and comOfV = comOfV and tgtNodeOfV = tgtNodeOfV and syncV = syncV
 and comOfO = comOfO and tqtNodeOfO = tqtNodeOfO and syncO = syncO
 and source = AID and getTgtV = id
\langle proof \rangle
theorem secure: secure
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{end}
end
theory Outer-Friend-All
imports Outer-Friend-Network
begin
```

end

# References

- [1] The Diaspora project. https://diasporafoundation.org/, 2021.
- [2] T. Bauereiß, A. Pesenti Gritti, A. Popescu, and F. Raimondi. CoSMed: A confidentiality-verified social media platform. In J. C. Blanchette and S. Merz, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving - 7th International Conference, ITP 2016, Nancy, France, August 22-25, 2016, Proceedings*, volume 9807 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 87–106. Springer, 2016.
- [3] T. Bauereiß, A. Pesenti Gritti, A. Popescu, and F. Raimondi. CoSMeDis: A distributed social media platform with formally verified confidentiality guarantees. In 2017 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, SP 2017, San Jose, CA, USA, May 22-26, 2017, pages 729–748. IEEE Computer Society, 2017.
- [4] T. Bauereiß, A. Pesenti Gritti, A. Popescu, and F. Raimondi. CoSMed: A confidentiality-verified social media platform. J. Autom. Reason., 61(1-4):113–139, 2018.
- [5] T. Bauereiss and A. Popescu. Compositional BD Security. In M. Eberl, G. Klein, A. Lochbihler, T. Nipkow, L. Paulson, and R. Thiemann, editors, *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2021.
- [6] T. Bauereiss and A. Popescu. CoSMed: A confidentiality-verified social media platform. In M. Eberl, G. Klein, A. Lochbihler, T. Nipkow, L. Paulson, and R. Thiemann, editors, *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2021.
- [7] A. Popescu, T. Bauereiss, and P. Lammich. Bounded-Deducibility security (invited paper). In L. Cohen and C. Kaliszyk, editors, 12th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving, ITP 2021, June 29 to July 1, 2021, Rome, Italy (Virtual Conference), volume 193 of LIPIcs, pages 3:1–3:20. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021.
- [8] A. Popescu, P. Lammich, and T. Bauereiss. Bounded-deducibility security. In G. Klein, T. Nipkow, and L. Paulson, editors, Archive of Formal Proofs, 2014.