

Closest Pair of Points Algorithms

Martin Rau and Tobias Nipkow

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry provides two related verified divide-and-conquer algorithms solving the fundamental *Closest Pair of Points* problem in Computational Geometry. Functional correctness and the optimal running time of $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ are proved. Executable code is generated which is empirically competitive with handwritten reference implementations.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Common | 3 |
| 1.1 | Auxiliary Functions and Lemmas | 3 |
| 1.1.1 | Time Monad | 3 |
| 1.1.2 | Landau Auxiliary | 3 |
| 1.1.3 | Miscellaneous Lemmas | 4 |
| 1.1.4 | <i>length</i> | 5 |
| 1.1.5 | <i>rev</i> | 5 |
| 1.1.6 | <i>take</i> | 6 |
| 1.1.7 | <i>filter</i> | 6 |
| 1.1.8 | <i>split-at</i> | 7 |
| 1.2 | Mergesort | 8 |
| 1.2.1 | Functional Correctness Proof | 8 |
| 1.2.2 | Time Complexity Proof | 11 |
| 1.2.3 | Code Export | 11 |
| 1.3 | Minimal Distance | 12 |
| 1.4 | Distance | 12 |
| 1.5 | Brute Force Closest Pair Algorithm | 13 |
| 1.5.1 | Functional Correctness Proof | 13 |
| 1.5.2 | Time Complexity Proof | 15 |
| 1.5.3 | Code Export | 15 |
| 1.6 | Geometry | 16 |
| 1.6.1 | Band Filter | 16 |
| 1.6.2 | 2D-Boxes and Points | 16 |
| 1.6.3 | Pigeonhole Argument | 17 |
| 1.6.4 | Delta Sparse Points within a Square | 17 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 2 Closest Pair Algorithm | 18 |
| 2.1 Functional Correctness Proof | 18 |
| 2.1.1 Combine Step | 18 |
| 2.1.2 Divide and Conquer Algorithm | 21 |
| 2.2 Time Complexity Proof | 23 |
| 2.2.1 Core Argument | 23 |
| 2.2.2 Combine Step | 24 |
| 2.2.3 Divide and Conquer Algorithm | 25 |
| 2.3 Code Export | 26 |
| 2.3.1 Combine Step | 26 |
| 2.3.2 Divide and Conquer Algorithm | 28 |
| 3 Closest Pair Algorithm 2 | 29 |
| 3.1 Functional Correctness Proof | 30 |
| 3.1.1 Core Argument | 30 |
| 3.1.2 Combine step | 30 |
| 3.1.3 Divide and Conquer Algorithm | 32 |
| 3.2 Time Complexity Proof | 35 |
| 3.2.1 Combine Step | 35 |
| 3.2.2 Divide and Conquer Algorithm | 35 |
| 3.3 Code Export | 36 |
| 3.3.1 Combine Step | 36 |
| 3.3.2 Divide and Conquer Algorithm | 37 |

1 Common

```
theory Common
imports
HOL-Library.Going-To-Filter
Akra-Bazzi.Akra-Bazzi-Method
Akra-Bazzi.Akra-Bazzi-Approximation
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral
Root-Balanced-Tree.Time-Monad
begin
```

```
type-synonym point = int * int
```

1.1 Auxiliary Functions and Lemmas

1.1.1 Time Monad

```
lemma time-distrib-bind:
time (bind-tm tm f) = time tm + time (f (val tm))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas time-simps = time-distrib-bind tick-def
```

```
lemma bind-tm-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes ⋀v. v = val n ⟹ f v = g v m = n
shows bind-tm m f = bind-tm n g
⟨proof⟩
```

1.1.2 Landau Auxiliary

The following lemma expresses a procedure for deriving complexity properties of the form $t \in O[m \text{ going-to at-top within } A](f \circ m)$ where

- t is a (timing) function on same data domain (e.g. lists),
- m is a measure function on that data domain (e.g. length),
- t' is a function on nat ,
- A is the set of valid inputs for the data domain. One needs to show that
- t is bounded by $t' \circ m$ for valid inputs
- $t' \in O(f)$ to conclude the overall property $t \in O[m \text{ going-to at-top within } A](f \circ m)$.

```
lemma bigo-measure-trans:
fixes t :: 'a ⇒ real and t' :: nat ⇒ real and m :: 'a ⇒ nat and f :: nat ⇒ real
assumes ⋀x. x ∈ A ⟹ t x ≤ (t' o m) x
```

and $t' \in O(f)$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies 0 \leq t x$
shows $t \in O[m \text{ going-to at-top within } A](f o m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *const-1-bigo-n-ln-n*:
 $(\lambda(n::nat). 1) \in O(\lambda n. n * \ln n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.3 Miscellaneous Lemmas

lemma *set-take-drop-i-le-j*:
 $i \leq j \implies \text{set } xs = \text{set} (\text{take } j xs) \cup \text{set} (\text{drop } i xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-take-drop*:
 $\text{set } xs = \text{set} (\text{take } n xs) \cup \text{set} (\text{drop } n xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-wrt-take-drop*:
 $\text{sorted-wrt } f xs \implies \forall x \in \text{set} (\text{take } n xs). \forall y \in \text{set} (\text{drop } n xs). f x y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-wrt-hd-less*:
assumes $\text{sorted-wrt } f xs \bigwedge x. f x x$
shows $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. f (\text{hd } xs) x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-wrt-hd-less-take*:
assumes $\text{sorted-wrt } f (x \# xs) \bigwedge x. f x x$
shows $\forall y \in \text{set} (\text{take } n (x \# xs)). f x y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-wrt-take-less-hd-drop*:
assumes $\text{sorted-wrt } f xs n < \text{length } xs$
shows $\forall x \in \text{set} (\text{take } n xs). f x (\text{hd} (\text{drop } n xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-wrt-hd-drop-less-drop*:
assumes $\text{sorted-wrt } f xs \bigwedge x. f x x$
shows $\forall x \in \text{set} (\text{drop } n xs). f (\text{hd} (\text{drop } n xs)) x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-filter-P-impl-Q*:
 $(\bigwedge x. P x \implies Q x) \implies \text{length} (\text{filter } P xs) \leq \text{length} (\text{filter } Q xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-Un*:
 $\text{set } xs = A \cup B \implies \text{set} (\text{filter } P xs) = \{ x \in A. P x \} \cup \{ x \in B. P x \}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.4 *length*

```
fun length-tm :: 'a list ⇒ nat tm where
  length-tm [] = 1 return 0
  | length-tm (x # xs) = 1
    do {
      l <- length-tm xs;
      return (1 + l)
    }

lemma length-eq-val-length-tm:
  val (length-tm xs) = length xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-length-tm:
  time (length-tm xs) = length xs + 1
  ⟨proof⟩

fun length-it' :: nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat where
  length-it' acc [] = acc
  | length-it' acc (x#xs) = length-it' (acc+1) xs

definition length-it :: 'a list ⇒ nat where
  length-it xs = length-it' 0 xs
```

```
lemma length-conv-length-it':
  length xs + acc = length-it' acc xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma length-conv-length-it[code-unfold]:
  length xs = length-it xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.1.5 *rev*

```
fun rev-it' :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
  rev-it' acc [] = acc
  | rev-it' acc (x#xs) = rev-it' (x#acc) xs

definition rev-it :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
  rev-it xs = rev-it' [] xs
```

```
lemma rev-conv-rev-it':
  rev xs @ acc = rev-it' acc xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rev-conv-rev-it[code-unfold]:
  rev xs = rev-it xs
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.6 *take*

```
fun take-tm :: nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list tm where
  take-tm n [] =1 return []
  | take-tm n (x # xs) =1
    (case n of
      0 ⇒ return []
      | Suc m ⇒ do {
        ys <- take-tm m xs;
        return (x # ys)
      }
    )
)
```

lemma *take-eq-val-take-tm*:

val (*take-tm* *n* *xs*) = *take* *n* *xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-take-tm*:

time (*take-tm* *n* *xs*) = *min* *n* (*length* *xs*) + 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.7 *filter*

```
fun filter-tm :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list tm where
  filter-tm P [] =1 return []
  | filter-tm P (x # xs) =1
    (if P x then
      do {
        ys <- filter-tm P xs;
        return (x # ys)
      }
    else
      filter-tm P xs
    )
)
```

lemma *filter-eq-val-filter-tm*:

val (*filter-tm* *P* *xs*) = *filter* *P* *xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-filter-tm*:

time (*filter-tm* *P* *xs*) = *length* *xs* + 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
fun filter-it' :: 'a list ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list tm where
  filter-it' acc P [] = rev acc
  | filter-it' acc P (x#xs) = (
    if P x then
      filter-it' (x#acc) P xs
    else
      filter-it' acc P xs
  )
)
```

```

        else
            filter-it' acc P xs
)
definition filter-it :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
filter-it P xs = filter-it' [] P xs

```

```

lemma filter-conv-filter-it':
rev acc @ filter P xs = filter-it' acc P xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter-conv-filter-it[code-unfold]:
filter P xs = filter-it P xs
⟨proof⟩

```

1.1.8 split-at

```

fun split-at-tm :: nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a list × 'a list) tm where
split-at-tm n [] =1 return ([][], [])
| split-at-tm n (x # xs) =1 (
    case n of
        0 ⇒ return ([][], x # xs)
    | Suc m ⇒
        do {
            (xs', ys') <- split-at-tm m xs;
            return (x # xs', ys')
        }
)

```

```

fun split-at :: nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list × 'a list where
split-at n [] = ([][], [])
| split-at n (x # xs) = (
    case n of
        0 ⇒ ([][], x # xs)
    | Suc m ⇒
        let (xs', ys') = split-at m xs in
        (x # xs', ys')
)

```

```

lemma split-at-eq-val-split-at-tm:
val (split-at-tm n xs) = split-at n xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma split-at-take-drop-conv:
split-at n xs = (take n xs, drop n xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma time-split-at-tm:
time (split-at-tm n xs) = min n (length xs) + 1

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
fun split-at-it' :: 'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a list * 'a list) where
  split-at-it' acc n [] = (rev acc, [])
  | split-at-it' acc n (x#xs) =
    case n of
      0 ⇒ (rev acc, x#xs)
      | Suc m ⇒ split-at-it' (x#acc) m xs
    )
```

```
definition split-at-it :: nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a list * 'a list) where
  split-at-it n xs = split-at-it' [] n xs
```

```
lemma split-at-conv-split-at-it':
  assumes (ts, ds) = split-at n xs (ts', ds') = split-at-it' acc n xs
  shows rev acc @ ts = ts'
  and ds = ds'
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma split-at-conv-split-at-it-prod:
  assumes (ts, ds) = split-at n xs (ts', ds') = split-at-it n xs
  shows (ts, ds) = (ts', ds')
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma split-at-conv-split-at-it[code-unfold]:
  split-at n xs = split-at-it n xs
⟨proof⟩
```

```
declare split-at-tm.simps [simp del]
declare split-at.simps [simp del]
```

1.2 Mergesort

1.2.1 Functional Correctness Proof

```
definition sorted-fst :: point list ⇒ bool where
  sorted-fst ps = sorted-wrt (λp0 p1. fst p0 ≤ fst p1) ps
```

```
definition sorted-snd :: point list ⇒ bool where
  sorted-snd ps = sorted-wrt (λp0 p1. snd p0 ≤ snd p1) ps
```

```
fun merge-tm :: ('b ⇒ 'a::linorder) ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'b list tm where
  merge-tm f (x # xs) (y # ys) = 1 (
    if f x ≤ f y then
      do {
        tl <- merge-tm f xs (y # ys);
        return (x # tl)
      }
    else
      do {
```

```

    tl <- merge-tm f (x # xs) ys;
    return (y # tl)
}
)
| merge-tm f [] ys =1 return ys
| merge-tm f xs [] =1 return xs

fun merge :: ('b ⇒ 'a::linorder) ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'b list where
  merge f (x # xs) (y # ys) =
    if f x ≤ f y then
      x # merge f xs (y # ys)
    else
      y # merge f (x # xs) ys
)
| merge f [] ys = ys
| merge f xs [] = xs

lemma merge-eq-val-merge-tm:
  val (merge-tm f xs ys) = merge f xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-merge:
  length (merge f xs ys) = length xs + length ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-merge:
  set (merge f xs ys) = set xs ∪ set ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-merge:
  assumes set xs ∩ set ys = {} distinct xs distinct ys
  shows distinct (merge f xs ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-merge:
  assumes P = (λx y. f x ≤ f y)
  shows sorted-wrt P (merge f xs ys) ←→ sorted-wrt P xs ∧ sorted-wrt P ys
  ⟨proof⟩

declare split-at-take-drop-conv [simp]

function (sequential) mergesort-tm :: ('b ⇒ 'a::linorder) ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'b list tm
where
  mergesort-tm f [] =1 return []
  | mergesort-tm f [x] =1 return [x]
  | mergesort-tm f xs =1 (
    do {
      n <- length-tm xs;
      (xs_l, xs_r) <- split-at-tm (n div 2) xs;

```

```

l <- mergesort-tm f xs_l;
r <- mergesort-tm f xs_r;
merge-tm f l r
}
)
⟨proof⟩
termination mergesort-tm
⟨proof⟩

fun mergesort :: ('b ⇒ 'a::linorder) ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'b list where
mergesort f [] = []
| mergesort f [x] = [x]
| mergesort f xs =
  let n = length xs div 2 in
  let (l, r) = split-at n xs in
  merge f (mergesort f l) (mergesort f r)
)

declare split-at-take-drop-conv [simp del]

lemma mergesort-eq-val-mergesort-tm:
val (mergesort-tm f xs) = mergesort f xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-wrt-mergesort:
sorted-wrt (λx y. f x ≤ f y) (mergesort f xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-mergesort:
set (mergesort f xs) = set xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-mergesort:
length (mergesort f xs) = length xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-mergesort:
distinct xs ⇒ distinct (mergesort f xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas mergesort = sorted-wrt-mergesort set-mergesort length-mergesort distinct-mergesort

lemma sorted-fst-take-less-hd-drop:
assumes sorted-fst ps n < length ps
shows ∀ p ∈ set (take n ps). fst p ≤ fst (hd (drop n ps))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-fst-hd-drop-less-drop:
assumes sorted-fst ps

```

shows $\forall p \in set (drop n ps). fst (hd (drop n ps)) \leq fst p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.2.2 Time Complexity Proof

lemma *time-merge-tm*:

time (*merge-tm* f xs ys) \leq *length* xs + *length* ys + 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

function *mergesort-recurrence* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *real* **where**

mergesort-recurrence 0 = 1

| *mergesort-recurrence* 1 = 1

| $2 \leq n \implies$ *mergesort-recurrence* $n = 4 + 3 * n +$ *mergesort-recurrence* (*nat* [*real* $n / 2$]) +

mergesort-recurrence (*nat* [*real* $n / 2$])

$\langle proof \rangle$

termination $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mergesort-recurrence-nonneg*[*simp*]:

0 \leq *mergesort-recurrence* n
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-mergesort-conv-mergesort-recurrence*:

time (*mergesort-tm* f xs) \leq *mergesort-recurrence* (*length* xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *mergesort-recurrence*:

mergesort-recurrence $\in \Theta(\lambda n. n * \ln n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *time-mergesort-tm-bigo*:

$(\lambda xs. time (mergesort-tm f xs)) \in O[\text{length going-to at-top}]((\lambda n. n * \ln n) o \text{length})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.2.3 Code Export

lemma *merge-xs-Nil*[*simp*]:

merge f xs [] = xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *merge-it'* :: ('*b* \Rightarrow '*a*::linorder) \Rightarrow '*b* list \Rightarrow '*b* list \Rightarrow '*b* list \Rightarrow '*b* list **where**

merge-it' f *acc* [] [] = *rev acc*

| *merge-it'* f *acc* ($x \# xs$) [] = *merge-it'* f ($x \# acc$) xs []

| *merge-it'* f *acc* [] ($y \# ys$) = *merge-it'* f ($y \# acc$) ys []

| *merge-it'* f *acc* ($x \# xs$) ($y \# ys$) = (

if $f x \leq f y$ then

merge-it' f ($x \# acc$) xs ($y \# ys$)

else

merge-it' f ($y \# acc$) ($x \# xs$) ys

)

```
definition merge-it :: ('b ⇒ 'a::linorder) ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'b list where
  merge-it f xs ys = merge-it' f [] xs ys
```

```
lemma merge-conv-merge-it':
  rev acc @ merge f xs ys = merge-it' f acc xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma merge-conv-merge-it[code-unfold]:
  merge f xs ys = merge-it f xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.3 Minimal Distance

```
definition sparse :: real ⇒ point set ⇒ bool where
  sparse δ ps ←→ (forall p0 ∈ ps. ∀ p1 ∈ ps. p0 ≠ p1 → δ ≤ dist p0 p1)
```

```
lemma sparse-identity:
  assumes sparse δ (set ps) ∀ p ∈ set ps. δ ≤ dist p0 p
  shows sparse δ (set (p0 # ps))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sparse-update:
  assumes sparse δ (set ps)
  assumes dist p0 p1 ≤ δ ∀ p ∈ set ps. dist p0 p1 ≤ dist p0 p
  shows sparse (dist p0 p1) (set (p0 # ps))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sparse-mono:
  sparse Δ P ⇒ δ ≤ Δ ⇒ sparse δ P
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.4 Distance

```
lemma dist-transform:
  fixes p :: point and δ :: real and l :: int
  shows dist p (l, snd p) < δ ←→ l - δ < fst p ∧ fst p < l + δ
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
fun dist-code :: point ⇒ point ⇒ int where
  dist-code p0 p1 = (fst p0 - fst p1)2 + (snd p0 - snd p1)2
```

```
lemma dist-eq-sqrt-dist-code:
  fixes p0 :: point
  shows dist p0 p1 = sqrt (dist-code p0 p1)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dist-eq-dist-code-lt:
  fixes p0 :: point
  shows dist p0 p1 < dist p2 p3 ←→ dist-code p0 p1 < dist-code p2 p3
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma dist-eq-dist-code-le:
  fixes p0 :: point
  shows dist p0 p1 ≤ dist p2 p3 ↔ dist-code p0 p1 ≤ dist-code p2 p3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dist-eq-dist-code-abs-lt:
  fixes p0 :: point
  shows |c| < dist p0 p1 ↔ c2 < dist-code p0 p1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dist-eq-dist-code-abs-le:
  fixes p0 :: point
  shows dist p0 p1 ≤ |c| ↔ dist-code p0 p1 ≤ c2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dist-fst-abs:
  fixes p :: point and l :: int
  shows dist p (l, snd p) = |fst p - l|
  ⟨proof⟩

declare dist-code.simps [simp del]

```

1.5 Brute Force Closest Pair Algorithm

1.5.1 Functional Correctness Proof

```

fun find-closest-bf-tm :: point ⇒ point list ⇒ point tm where
  find-closest-bf-tm - [] =1 return undefined
  | find-closest-bf-tm - [p] =1 return p
  | find-closest-bf-tm p (p0 # ps) =1 (
    do {
      p1 <- find-closest-bf-tm p ps;
      if dist p p0 < dist p p1 then
        return p0
      else
        return p1
    }
  )
)

fun find-closest-bf :: point ⇒ point list ⇒ point where
  find-closest-bf - [] = undefined
  | find-closest-bf - [p] = p
  | find-closest-bf p (p0 # ps) = (
    let p1 = find-closest-bf p ps in
    if dist p p0 < dist p p1 then
      p0
    else
      p1
  )
)

```

```

)
lemma find-closest-bf-eq-val-find-closest-bf-tm:
  val (find-closest-bf-tm p ps) = find-closest-bf p ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma find-closest-bf-set:
  0 < length ps ==> find-closest-bf p ps ∈ set ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma find-closest-bf-dist:
  ∀ q ∈ set ps. dist p (find-closest-bf p ps) ≤ dist p q
  ⟨proof⟩

fun closest-pair-bf-tm :: point list ⇒ (point × point) tm where
  closest-pair-bf-tm [] = 1 return undefined
  | closest-pair-bf-tm [-] = 1 return undefined
  | closest-pair-bf-tm [p0, p1] = 1 return (p0, p1)
  | closest-pair-bf-tm (p0 # ps) = 1 (
    do {
      (c0::point, c1::point) <- closest-pair-bf-tm ps;
      p1 <- find-closest-bf-tm p0 ps;
      if dist c0 c1 ≤ dist p0 p1 then
        return (c0, c1)
      else
        return (p0, p1)
    }
  )

fun closest-pair-bf :: point list ⇒ (point * point) where
  closest-pair-bf [] = undefined
  | closest-pair-bf [-] = undefined
  | closest-pair-bf [p0, p1] = (p0, p1)
  | closest-pair-bf (p0 # ps) = (
    let (c0, c1) = closest-pair-bf ps in
    let p1 = find-closest-bf p0 ps in
    if dist c0 c1 ≤ dist p0 p1 then
      (c0, c1)
    else
      (p0, p1)
  )

lemma closest-pair-bf-eq-val-closest-pair-bf-tm:
  val (closest-pair-bf-tm ps) = closest-pair-bf ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-bf-c0:
  1 < length ps ==> (c0, c1) = closest-pair-bf ps ==> c0 ∈ set ps
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma closest-pair-bf-c1:
   $1 < \text{length } ps \implies (c_0, c_1) = \text{closest-pair-bf } ps \implies c_1 \in \text{set } ps$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma closest-pair-bf-c0-ne-c1:
   $1 < \text{length } ps \implies \text{distinct } ps \implies (c_0, c_1) = \text{closest-pair-bf } ps \implies c_0 \neq c_1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas closest-pair-bf-c0-c1 = closest-pair-bf-c0 closest-pair-bf-c1 closest-pair-bf-c0-ne-c1

lemma closest-pair-bf-dist:
  assumes  $1 < \text{length } ps$   $(c_0, c_1) = \text{closest-pair-bf } ps$ 
  shows sparse (dist  $c_0$   $c_1$ ) (set  $ps$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

1.5.2 Time Complexity Proof

```

lemma time-find-closest-bf-tm:
  time (find-closest-bf-tm p  $ps$ )  $\leq \text{length } ps + 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma time-closest-pair-bf-tm:
  time (closest-pair-bf-tm  $ps$ )  $\leq \text{length } ps * \text{length } ps + 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

1.5.3 Code Export

```

fun find-closest-bf-code :: point  $\Rightarrow$  point list  $\Rightarrow$  (int * point) where
  find-closest-bf-code  $p []$  = undefined
  | find-closest-bf-code  $p [p_0]$  = (dist-code  $p p_0$ ,  $p_0$ )
  | find-closest-bf-code  $p (p_0 \# ps)$  =
    let  $(\delta_1, p_1)$  = find-closest-bf-code  $p ps$  in
    let  $\delta_0$  = dist-code  $p p_0$  in
    if  $\delta_0 < \delta_1$  then
       $(\delta_0, p_0)$ 
    else
       $(\delta_1, p_1)$ 
)

lemma find-closest-bf-code-dist-eq:
   $0 < \text{length } ps \implies (\delta, c) = \text{find-closest-bf-code } p ps \implies \delta = \text{dist-code } p c$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma find-closest-bf-code-eq:
   $0 < \text{length } ps \implies c = \text{find-closest-bf } p ps \implies (\delta', c') = \text{find-closest-bf-code } p ps$ 
   $\implies c = c'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare find-closest-bf-code.simps [simp del]

```

```

fun closest-pair-bf-code :: point list  $\Rightarrow$  (int * point * point) where
  closest-pair-bf-code [] = undefined
  | closest-pair-bf-code [p0] = undefined
  | closest-pair-bf-code [p0, p1] = (dist-code p0 p1, p0, p1)
  | closest-pair-bf-code (p0 # ps) =
    let ( $\delta_c$ , c0, c1) = closest-pair-bf-code ps in
    let ( $\delta_p$ , p1) = find-closest-bf-code p0 ps in
    if  $\delta_c \leq \delta_p$  then
      ( $\delta_c$ , c0, c1)
    else
      ( $\delta_p$ , p0, p1)
  )

lemma closest-pair-bf-code-dist-eq:
  1 < length ps  $\implies$  ( $\delta$ , c0, c1) = closest-pair-bf-code ps  $\implies$   $\delta$  = dist-code c0 c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-bf-code-eq:
  assumes 1 < length ps
  assumes (c0, c1) = closest-pair-bf-code ps ( $\delta'$ , c0', c1') = closest-pair-bf-code ps
  shows c0 = c0'  $\wedge$  c1 = c1'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.6 Geometry

1.6.1 Band Filter

```

lemma set-band-filter-aux:
  fixes  $\delta$  :: real and ps :: point list
  assumes p0  $\in$  psL p1  $\in$  psR p0  $\neq$  p1 dist p0 p1  $<$   $\delta$  set ps = psL  $\cup$  psR
  assumes  $\forall p \in ps_L. fst\ p \leq l \forall p \in ps_R. l \leq fst\ p$ 
  assumes ps' = filter ( $\lambda p. l - \delta < fst\ p \wedge fst\ p < l + \delta$ ) ps
  shows p0  $\in$  set ps'  $\wedge$  p1  $\in$  set ps'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-band-filter:
  fixes  $\delta$  :: real and ps :: point list
  assumes p0  $\in$  set ps p1  $\in$  set ps p0  $\neq$  p1 dist p0 p1  $<$   $\delta$  set ps = psL  $\cup$  psR
  assumes sparse  $\delta$  psL sparse  $\delta$  psR
  assumes  $\forall p \in ps_L. fst\ p \leq l \forall p \in ps_R. l \leq fst\ p$ 
  assumes ps' = filter ( $\lambda p. l - \delta < fst\ p \wedge fst\ p < l + \delta$ ) ps
  shows p0  $\in$  set ps'  $\wedge$  p1  $\in$  set ps'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.6.2 2D-Boxes and Points

```

lemma cbox-2D:
  fixes x0 :: real and y0 :: real
  shows cbox (x0, y0) (x1, y1) = { (x, y). x0  $\leq$  x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  x1  $\wedge$  y0  $\leq$  y  $\wedge$  y  $\leq$  y1 }

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-cbox-2D*:
 fixes $x :: real$ **and** $y :: real$
 shows $x_0 \leq x \wedge x \leq x_1 \wedge y_0 \leq y \wedge y \leq y_1 \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in cbox(x_0, y_0) (x_1, y_1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cbox-top-un*:
 fixes $x_0 :: real$ **and** $y_0 :: real$
 assumes $y_0 \leq y_1$ $y_1 \leq y_2$
 shows $cbox(x_0, y_0) (x_1, y_1) \cup cbox(x_0, y_1) (x_1, y_2) = cbox(x_0, y_0) (x_1, y_2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cbox-right-un*:
 fixes $x_0 :: real$ **and** $y_0 :: real$
 assumes $x_0 \leq x_1$ $x_1 \leq x_2$
 shows $cbox(x_0, y_0) (x_1, y_1) \cup cbox(x_1, y_0) (x_2, y_1) = cbox(x_0, y_0) (x_2, y_1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cbox-max-dist*:
 assumes $p_0 = (x, y)$ $p_1 = (x + \delta, y + \delta)$
 assumes $(x_0, y_0) \in cbox p_0 p_1$ $(x_1, y_1) \in cbox p_0 p_1$ $0 \leq \delta$
 shows $dist(x_0, y_0) (x_1, y_1) \leq sqrt{2} * \delta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6.3 Pigeonhole Argument

lemma *card-le-1-if-pairwise-eq*:
 assumes $\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. x = y$
 shows $card S \leq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-Int-if-either-in*:
 assumes $\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. x = y \vee x \notin T \vee y \notin T$
 shows $card(S \cap T) \leq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-Int-Un-le-Sum-card-Int*:
 assumes *finite S*
 shows $card(A \cap \bigcup S) \leq (\sum B \in S. card(A \cap B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pigeonhole*:
 assumes *finite T* $S \subseteq \bigcup T$ $card T < card S$
 shows $\exists x \in S. \exists y \in S. \exists X \in T. x \neq y \wedge x \in X \wedge y \in X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6.4 Delta Sparse Points within a Square

lemma *max-points-square*:

```

assumes  $\forall p \in ps. p \in cbox(x, y) (x + \delta, y + \delta)$  sparse  $\delta$   $ps$   $0 \leq \delta$ 
shows  $card ps \leq 4$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

```

2 Closest Pair Algorithm

```

theory Closest-Pair
  imports Common
begin

```

Formalization of a slightly optimized divide-and-conquer algorithm solving the Closest Pair Problem based on the presentation of Cormen *et al.* [1].

2.1 Functional Correctness Proof

2.1.1 Combine Step

```

fun find-closest-tm :: point  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  point list  $\Rightarrow$  point tm where
  find-closest-tm - - [] =1 return undefined
  | find-closest-tm - - [p] =1 return p
  | find-closest-tm p δ (p₀ # ps) =1 (
    if δ ≤ snd p₀ - snd p then
      return p₀
    else
      do {
        p₁ <- find-closest-tm p (min δ (dist p p₀)) ps;
        if dist p p₀ ≤ dist p p₁ then
          return p₀
        else
          return p₁
      }
  )
)

fun find-closest :: point  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  point list  $\Rightarrow$  point where
  find-closest - - [] = undefined
  | find-closest - - [p] = p
  | find-closest p δ (p₀ # ps) = (
    if δ ≤ snd p₀ - snd p then
      p₀
    else
      let p₁ = find-closest p (min δ (dist p p₀)) ps in
      if dist p p₀ ≤ dist p p₁ then
        p₀
      else
        p₁
  )
)

```

```

lemma find-closest-eq-val-find-closest-tm:
  val (find-closest-tm p δ ps) = find-closest p δ ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma find-closest-set:
  0 < length ps ==> find-closest p δ ps ∈ set ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma find-closest-dist:
  assumes sorted-snd (p # ps) ∃ q ∈ set ps. dist p q < δ
  shows ∀ q ∈ set ps. dist p (find-closest p δ ps) ≤ dist p q
  ⟨proof⟩

declare find-closest.simps [simp del]

fun find-closest-pair-tm :: (point * point) ⇒ point list ⇒ (point × point) tm where
  | find-closest-pair-tm (c₀, c₁) [] =1 return (c₀, c₁)
  | find-closest-pair-tm (c₀, c₁) [_] =1 return (c₀, c₁)
  | find-closest-pair-tm (c₀, c₁) (p₀ # ps) =1 (
    do {
      p₁ <- find-closest-tm p₀ (dist c₀ c₁) ps;
      if dist c₀ c₁ ≤ dist p₀ p₁ then
        find-closest-pair-tm (c₀, c₁) ps
      else
        find-closest-pair-tm (p₀, p₁) ps
    }
  )

fun find-closest-pair :: (point * point) ⇒ point list ⇒ (point × point) where
  | find-closest-pair (c₀, c₁) [] = (c₀, c₁)
  | find-closest-pair (c₀, c₁) [_] = (c₀, c₁)
  | find-closest-pair (c₀, c₁) (p₀ # ps) = (
    let p₁ = find-closest p₀ (dist c₀ c₁) ps in
    if dist c₀ c₁ ≤ dist p₀ p₁ then
      find-closest-pair (c₀, c₁) ps
    else
      find-closest-pair (p₀, p₁) ps
  )

lemma find-closest-pair-eq-val-find-closest-pair-tm:
  val (find-closest-pair-tm (c₀, c₁) ps) = find-closest-pair (c₀, c₁) ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma find-closest-pair-set:
  assumes (C₀, C₁) = find-closest-pair (c₀, c₁) ps
  shows (C₀ ∈ set ps ∧ C₁ ∈ set ps) ∨ (C₀ = c₀ ∧ C₁ = c₁)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma find-closest-pair-c0-ne-c1:
   $c_0 \neq c_1 \implies \text{distinct } ps \implies (C_0, C_1) = \text{find-closest-pair } (c_0, c_1) \text{ } ps \implies C_0 \neq C_1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma find-closest-pair-dist-mono:
  assumes  $(C_0, C_1) = \text{find-closest-pair } (c_0, c_1) \text{ } ps$ 
  shows  $\text{dist } C_0 \text{ } C_1 \leq \text{dist } c_0 \text{ } c_1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma find-closest-pair-dist:
  assumes  $\text{sorted-snd } ps \text{ } (C_0, C_1) = \text{find-closest-pair } (c_0, c_1) \text{ } ps$ 
  shows  $\text{sparse } (\text{dist } C_0 \text{ } C_1) \text{ } (\text{set } ps)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

declare find-closest-pair.simps [simp del]

fun combine-tm ::  $(\text{point} \times \text{point}) \Rightarrow (\text{point} \times \text{point}) \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{point list} \Rightarrow (\text{point} \times \text{point}) \text{ tm where}$ 
  combine-tm  $(p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps = 1$ 
  let  $(c_0, c_1) = \text{if dist } p_{0L} \text{ } p_{1L} < \text{dist } p_{0R} \text{ } p_{1R} \text{ then } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) \text{ else } (p_{0R}, p_{1R})$  in
  do {
     $ps' \leftarrow \text{filter-tm } (\lambda p. \text{dist } p \text{ } (l, \text{snd } p) < \text{dist } c_0 \text{ } c_1) \text{ } ps;$ 
    find-closest-pair-tm  $(c_0, c_1) \text{ } ps'$ 
  }
  )

fun combine ::  $(\text{point} \times \text{point}) \Rightarrow (\text{point} \times \text{point}) \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{point list} \Rightarrow (\text{point} \times \text{point}) \text{ where}$ 
  combine  $(p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps =$ 
  let  $(c_0, c_1) = \text{if dist } p_{0L} \text{ } p_{1L} < \text{dist } p_{0R} \text{ } p_{1R} \text{ then } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) \text{ else } (p_{0R}, p_{1R})$  in
  let  $ps' = \text{filter } (\lambda p. \text{dist } p \text{ } (l, \text{snd } p) < \text{dist } c_0 \text{ } c_1) \text{ } ps$  in
  find-closest-pair  $(c_0, c_1) \text{ } ps'$ 
  )

lemma combine-eq-val-combine-tm:
  val  $(\text{combine-tm } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps) = \text{combine } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma combine-set:
  assumes  $(c_0, c_1) = \text{combine } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 
  shows  $(c_0 \in \text{set } ps \wedge c_1 \in \text{set } ps) \vee (c_0 = p_{0L} \wedge c_1 = p_{1L}) \vee (c_0 = p_{0R} \wedge c_1 = p_{1R})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma combine-c0-ne-c1:
  assumes  $p_{0L} \neq p_{1L} \text{ } p_{0R} \neq p_{1R} \text{ distinct } ps$ 
  assumes  $(c_0, c_1) = \text{combine } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 

```

```

shows  $c_0 \neq c_1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma combine-dist:
assumes sorted-snd ps set ps =  $ps_L \cup ps_R$ 
assumes  $\forall p \in ps_L. \text{fst } p \leq l \forall p \in ps_R. l \leq \text{fst } p$ 
assumes sparse (dist  $p_{0L} p_{1L}$ )  $ps_L$  sparse (dist  $p_{0R} p_{1R}$ )  $ps_R$ 
assumes  $(c_0, c_1) = \text{combine } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 
shows sparse (dist  $c_0 c_1$ ) (set ps)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare combine.simps [simp del]
declare combine-tm.simps [simp del]

```

2.1.2 Divide and Conquer Algorithm

```

declare split-at-take-drop-conv [simp add]

```

```

function closest-pair-rec-tm :: point list  $\Rightarrow$  (point list  $\times$  point  $\times$  point) tm where
  closest-pair-rec-tm xs = 1 (
    do {
      n  $\leftarrow$  length-tm xs;
      if  $n \leq 3$  then
        do {
          ys  $\leftarrow$  mergesort-tm snd xs;
          p  $\leftarrow$  closest-pair-bf-tm xs;
          return (ys, p)
        }
      else
        do {
          (xsL, xsR)  $\leftarrow$  split-at-tm ( $n \text{ div } 2$ ) xs;
          (ysL, p0L, p1L)  $\leftarrow$  closest-pair-rec-tm xsL;
          (ysR, p0R, p1R)  $\leftarrow$  closest-pair-rec-tm xsR;
          ys  $\leftarrow$  merge-tm snd ysL ysR;
          (p0, p1)  $\leftarrow$  combine-tm (p0L, p1L) (p0R, p1R) (fst (hd xsR)) ys;
          return (ys, p0, p1)
        }
    }
  )
⟨proof⟩
termination closest-pair-rec-tm
⟨proof⟩

```

```

function closest-pair-rec :: point list  $\Rightarrow$  (point list * point * point) where
  closest-pair-rec xs = (
    let n = length xs in
    if  $n \leq 3$  then
      (mergesort snd xs, closest-pair-bf xs)
    else

```

```

let (xsL, xsR) = split-at (n div 2) xs in
let (ysL, p0L, p1L) = closest-pair-rec xsL in
let (ysR, p0R, p1R) = closest-pair-rec xsR in
let ys = merge snd ysL ysR in
(ys, combine (p0L, p1L) (p0R, p1R) (fst (hd xsR)) ys)
)
⟨proof⟩
termination closest-pair-rec
⟨proof⟩

declare split-at-take-drop-conv [simp del]

lemma closest-pair-rec-simps:
assumes n = length xs ∘ (n ≤ 3)
shows closest-pair-rec xs =
let (xsL, xsR) = split-at (n div 2) xs in
let (ysL, p0L, p1L) = closest-pair-rec xsL in
let (ysR, p0R, p1R) = closest-pair-rec xsR in
let ys = merge snd ysL ysR in
(ys, combine (p0L, p1L) (p0R, p1R) (fst (hd xsR)) ys)
)
⟨proof⟩

declare closest-pair-rec.simps [simp del]

lemma closest-pair-rec-eq-val-closest-pair-rec-tm:
val (closest-pair-rec-tm xs) = closest-pair-rec xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-set-length-sorted-snd:
assumes (ys, p) = closest-pair-rec xs
shows set ys = set xs ∧ length ys = length xs ∧ sorted-snd ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-distinct:
assumes distinct xs (ys, p) = closest-pair-rec xs
shows distinct ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-c0-c1:
assumes 1 < length xs distinct xs (ys, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec xs
shows c0 ∈ set xs ∧ c1 ∈ set xs ∧ c0 ≠ c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-dist:
assumes 1 < length xs sorted-fst xs (ys, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec xs
shows sparse (dist c0 c1) (set xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun closest-pair-tm :: point list  $\Rightarrow$  (point * point) tm where
  closest-pair-tm [] =1 return undefined
  | closest-pair-tm [-] =1 return undefined
  | closest-pair-tm ps =1 (
    do {
      xs <- mergesort-tm fst ps;
      (-, p) <- closest-pair-rec-tm xs;
      return p
    }
  )

fun closest-pair :: point list  $\Rightarrow$  (point * point) where
  closest-pair [] = undefined
  | closest-pair [-] = undefined
  | closest-pair ps = (let (-, p) = closest-pair-rec (mergesort fst ps) in p)

lemma closest-pair-eq-val-closest-pair-tm:
  val (closest-pair-tm ps) = closest-pair ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-simps:
  1 < length ps  $\implies$  closest-pair ps = (let (-, p) = closest-pair-rec (mergesort fst ps) in p)
  ⟨proof⟩

declare closest-pair.simps [simp del]

theorem closest-pair-c0-c1:
  assumes 1 < length ps distinct ps (c0, c1) = closest-pair ps
  shows c0 ∈ set ps c1 ∈ set ps c0 ≠ c1
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem closest-pair-dist:
  assumes 1 < length ps (c0, c1) = closest-pair ps
  shows sparse (dist c0 c1) (set ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.2 Time Complexity Proof

2.2.1 Core Argument

```

lemma core-argument:
  fixes δ :: real and p :: point and ps :: point list
  assumes distinct (p # ps) sorted-snd (p # ps) 0 ≤ δ set (p # ps) = psL ∪ psR
  assumes ∀ q ∈ set (p # ps). l - δ < fst q ∧ fst q < l + δ
  assumes ∀ q ∈ psL. fst q ≤ l ∀ q ∈ psR. l ≤ fst q
  assumes sparse δ psL sparse δ psR
  shows length (filter (λq. snd q - snd p ≤ δ) ps) ≤ 7
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.2.2 Combine Step

```
fun t-find-closest :: point  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  point list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  t-find-closest - - [] = 1
  | t-find-closest - - [-] = 1
  | t-find-closest p  $\delta$  (p0 # ps) = 1 + (
    if  $\delta \leq \text{snd } p_0 - \text{snd } p$  then 0
    else t-find-closest p (min  $\delta$  (dist p p0)) ps
  )
```

lemma t-find-closest-eq-time-find-closest-tm:
 $t\text{-find-closest } p \delta ps = \text{time}(\text{find-closest-tm } p \delta ps)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma t-find-closest-mono:
 $\delta' \leq \delta \implies t\text{-find-closest } p \delta' ps \leq t\text{-find-closest } p \delta ps$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma t-find-closest-cnt:
 $t\text{-find-closest } p \delta ps \leq 1 + \text{length}(\text{filter } (\lambda q. \text{snd } q - \text{snd } p \leq \delta) ps)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary t-find-closest-bound:
fixes $\delta :: \text{real}$ **and** $p :: \text{point}$ **and** $ps :: \text{point list}$ **and** $l :: \text{int}$
assumes distinct ($p \# ps$) sorted-snd ($p \# ps$) $0 \leq \delta$ set ($p \# ps$) = $ps_L \cup ps_R$
assumes $\forall p' \in \text{set}(p \# ps). l - \delta < \text{fst } p' \wedge \text{fst } p' < l + \delta$
assumes $\forall p \in ps_L. \text{fst } p \leq l \forall p \in ps_R. l \leq \text{fst } p$
assumes sparse δps_L sparse δps_R
shows $t\text{-find-closest } p \delta ps \leq 8$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
fun t-find-closest-pair :: (point * point)  $\Rightarrow$  point list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  t-find-closest-pair - [] = 1
  | t-find-closest-pair - [-] = 1
  | t-find-closest-pair (c0, c1) (p0 # ps) = 1 + (
    let p1 = find-closest p0 (dist c0 c1) ps in
    t-find-closest p0 (dist c0 c1) ps +
    if dist c0 c1  $\leq \text{dist } p_0 p_1$  then
      t-find-closest-pair (c0, c1) ps
    else
      t-find-closest-pair (p0, p1) ps
  ))
```

lemma t-find-closest-pair-eq-time-find-closest-pair-tm:
 $t\text{-find-closest-pair } (c_0, c_1) ps = \text{time}(\text{find-closest-pair-tm } (c_0, c_1) ps)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma t-find-closest-pair-bound:
assumes distinct ps sorted-snd ps $\delta = \text{dist } c_0 c_1$ set ps = $ps_L \cup ps_R$
assumes $\forall p \in \text{set } ps. l - \Delta < \text{fst } p \wedge \text{fst } p < l + \Delta$

```

assumes  $\forall p \in ps_L. \text{fst } p \leq l \forall p \in ps_R. l \leq \text{fst } p$ 
assumes sparse  $\Delta ps_L$  sparse  $\Delta ps_R$   $\delta \leq \Delta$ 
shows t-find-closest-pair  $(c_0, c_1) ps \leq 9 * \text{length } ps + 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun t-combine :: (point * point) ⇒ (point * point) ⇒ int ⇒ point list ⇒ nat where
  t-combine  $(p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps = 1 + ($ 
    let  $(c_0, c_1) = \text{if } dist p_{0L} p_{1L} < dist p_{0R} p_{1R} \text{ then } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) \text{ else } (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) \text{ in}$ 
    let  $ps' = \text{filter } (\lambda p. \text{dist } p (l, \text{snd } p) < \text{dist } c_0 c_1) ps \text{ in}$ 
    time  $(\text{filter-tm } (\lambda p. \text{dist } p (l, \text{snd } p) < \text{dist } c_0 c_1) ps) + \text{t-find-closest-pair } (c_0,$ 
   $c_1) ps'$ 
  )

lemma t-combine-eq-time-combine-tm:
  t-combine  $(p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps = \text{time } (\text{combine-tm } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R})$ 
   $l ps)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma t-combine-bound:
  fixes ps :: point list
  assumes distinct ps sorted-snd ps set ps =  $ps_L \cup ps_R$ 
  assumes  $\forall p \in ps_L. \text{fst } p \leq l \forall p \in ps_R. l \leq \text{fst } p$ 
  assumes sparse  $(\text{dist } p_{0L} p_{1L}) ps_L$  sparse  $(\text{dist } p_{0R} p_{1R}) ps_R$ 
  shows t-combine  $(p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps \leq 10 * \text{length } ps + 3$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

declare t-combine.simps [simp del]

```

2.2.3 Divide and Conquer Algorithm

```

lemma time-closest-pair-rec-tm-simps-1:
  assumes length xs ≤ 3
  shows time (closest-pair-rec-tm xs) = 1 + time (length-tm xs) + time (mergesort-tm
  snd xs) + time (closest-pair-bf-tm xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-closest-pair-rec-tm-simps-2:
  assumes  $\neg (\text{length } xs \leq 3)$ 
  shows time (closest-pair-rec-tm xs) = 1 + (
    let  $(xs_L, xs_R) = \text{val } (\text{split-at-tm } (\text{length } xs \text{ div } 2) xs) \text{ in}$ 
    let  $(ys_L, p_L) = \text{val } (\text{closest-pair-rec-tm } xs_L) \text{ in}$ 
    let  $(ys_R, p_R) = \text{val } (\text{closest-pair-rec-tm } xs_R) \text{ in}$ 
    let ys =  $\text{val } (\text{merge-tm } (\lambda p. \text{snd } p) ys_L ys_R) \text{ in}$ 
    time (length-tm xs) + time (split-at-tm (length xs div 2) xs) + time (closest-pair-rec-tm
    xs_L) +
    time (closest-pair-rec-tm xs_R) + time (merge-tm  $(\lambda p. \text{snd } p) ys_L ys_R)$  +
    t-combine  $p_L p_R (\text{fst } (\text{hd } xs_R)) ys$ 
  )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

function closest-pair-recurrence :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  real where
   $n \leq 3 \implies \text{closest-pair-recurrence } n = 3 + n + \text{mergesort-recurrence } n + n * n$ 
   $| 3 < n \implies \text{closest-pair-recurrence } n = 7 + 13 * n +$ 
     $\text{closest-pair-recurrence}(\text{nat} \lfloor \text{real } n / 2 \rfloor) + \text{closest-pair-recurrence}(\text{nat} \lceil \text{real } n / 2 \rceil)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
termination  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma closest-pair-recurrence-nonneg[simp]:
   $0 \leq \text{closest-pair-recurrence } n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma time-closest-pair-rec-conv-closest-pair-recurrence:
  assumes distinct ps sorted-fst ps
  shows time (closest-pair-rec-tm ps)  $\leq$  closest-pair-recurrence (length ps)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

theorem closest-pair-recurrence:
  closest-pair-recurrence  $\in \Theta(\lambda n. n * \ln n)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

theorem time-closest-pair-rec-bigo:
   $(\lambda xs. \text{time}(\text{closest-pair-rec-tm } xs)) \in O[\text{length going-to at-top within } \{ \text{ps. distinct ps} \wedge \text{sorted-fst ps} \}](\lambda n. n * \ln n) o \text{length}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition closest-pair-time :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  closest-pair-time n = 1 + mergesort-recurrence n + closest-pair-recurrence n

lemma time-closest-pair-conv-closest-pair-recurrence:
  assumes distinct ps
  shows time (closest-pair-tm ps)  $\leq$  closest-pair-time (length ps)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

corollary closest-pair-time:
  closest-pair-time  $\in O(\lambda n. n * \ln n)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

corollary time-closest-pair-bigo:
   $(\lambda ps. \text{time}(\text{closest-pair-tm } ps)) \in O[\text{length going-to at-top within } \{ \text{ps. distinct ps} \}](\lambda n. n * \ln n) o \text{length}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

2.3 Code Export

2.3.1 Combine Step

```

fun find-closest-code :: point  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  point list  $\Rightarrow$  (int * point) where
  find-closest-code - - [] = undefined

```

```

| find-closest-code p - [p0] = (dist-code p p0, p0)
| find-closest-code p δ (p0 # ps) = (
  let δ0 = dist-code p p0 in
  if δ ≤ (snd p0 − snd p)2 then
    (δ0, p0)
  else
    let (δ1, p1) = find-closest-code p (min δ δ0) ps in
    if δ0 ≤ δ1 then
      (δ0, p0)
    else
      (δ1, p1)
)
)

lemma find-closest-code-dist-eq:
  0 < length ps  $\implies$  (δc, c) = find-closest-code p δ ps  $\implies$  δc = dist-code p c
  ⟨proof⟩

declare find-closest.simps [simp add]

lemma find-closest-code-eq:
  assumes 0 < length ps δ = dist c0 c1 δ' = dist-code c0 c1 sorted-snd (p # ps)
  assumes c = find-closest p δ ps (δ'c, c') = find-closest-code p δ' ps
  shows c = c'
  ⟨proof⟩

fun find-closest-pair-code :: (int * point * point)  $\Rightarrow$  point list  $\Rightarrow$  (int * point * point) where
  find-closest-pair-code (δ, c0, c1) [] = (δ, c0, c1)
  | find-closest-pair-code (δ, c0, c1) [p] = (δ, c0, c1)
  | find-closest-pair-code (δ, c0, c1) (p0 # ps) = (
    let (δ', p1) = find-closest-code p0 δ ps in
    if δ ≤ δ' then
      find-closest-pair-code (δ, c0, c1) ps
    else
      find-closest-pair-code (δ', p0, p1) ps
  )
)

lemma find-closest-pair-code-dist-eq:
  assumes δ = dist-code c0 c1 (Δ, C0, C1) = find-closest-pair-code (δ, c0, c1) ps
  shows Δ = dist-code C0 C1
  ⟨proof⟩

declare find-closest-pair.simps [simp add]

lemma find-closest-pair-code-eq:
  assumes δ = dist c0 c1 δ' = dist-code c0 c1 sorted-snd ps
  assumes (C0, C1) = find-closest-pair (c0, c1) ps
  assumes (Δ', C0', C1') = find-closest-pair-code (δ', c0, c1) ps
  shows C0 = C0'  $\wedge$  C1 = C1'

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

fun combine-code :: (int * point * point)  $\Rightarrow$  (int * point * point)  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  point
list  $\Rightarrow$  (int * point * point) where
  combine-code ( $\delta_L$ ,  $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) ( $\delta_R$ ,  $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ )  $l$  ps = (
    let ( $\delta$ ,  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$ ) = if  $\delta_L < \delta_R$  then ( $\delta_L$ ,  $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) else ( $\delta_R$ ,  $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ ) in
    let ps' = filter ( $\lambda p.$  ( $fst p - l$ ) $^2 < \delta$ ) ps in
    find-closest-pair-code ( $\delta$ ,  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$ ) ps'
  )
)

lemma combine-code-dist-eq:
  assumes  $\delta_L = dist\text{-}code p_{0L} p_{1L}$   $\delta_R = dist\text{-}code p_{0R} p_{1R}$ 
  assumes ( $\delta$ ,  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$ ) = combine-code ( $\delta_L$ ,  $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) ( $\delta_R$ ,  $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ )  $l$  ps
  shows  $\delta = dist\text{-}code c_0 c_1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma combine-code-eq:
  assumes  $\delta_L' = dist\text{-}code p_{0L} p_{1L}$   $\delta_R' = dist\text{-}code p_{0R} p_{1R}$  sorted-snd ps
  assumes ( $c_0$ ,  $c_1$ ) = combine ( $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) ( $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ )  $l$  ps
  assumes ( $\delta'$ ,  $c_0'$ ,  $c_1'$ ) = combine-code ( $\delta_L'$ ,  $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) ( $\delta_R'$ ,  $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ )  $l$  ps
  shows  $c_0 = c_0' \wedge c_1 = c_1'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.3.2 Divide and Conquer Algorithm

```

function closest-pair-rec-code :: point list  $\Rightarrow$  (point list * int * point * point)
where
  closest-pair-rec-code xs = (
    let n = length xs in
    if  $n \leq 3$  then
      (mergesort snd xs, closest-pair-bf-code xs)
    else
      let ( $xs_L$ ,  $xs_R$ ) = split-at ( $n \text{ div } 2$ ) xs in
      let  $l = fst (hd xs_R)$  in

      let ( $ys_L$ ,  $p_L$ ) = closest-pair-rec-code  $xs_L$  in
      let ( $ys_R$ ,  $p_R$ ) = closest-pair-rec-code  $xs_R$  in

      let ys = merge snd  $ys_L$   $ys_R$  in
      ( $ys$ , combine-code  $p_L p_R l$  ys)
  )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
termination closest-pair-rec-code
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma closest-pair-rec-code-simps:
  assumes  $n = length xs \neg (n \leq 3)$ 
  shows closest-pair-rec-code xs =
  let ( $xs_L$ ,  $xs_R$ ) = split-at ( $n \text{ div } 2$ ) xs in

```

```

let l = fst (hd xsR) in
let (ysL, pL) = closest-pair-rec-code xsL in
let (ysR, pR) = closest-pair-rec-code xsR in
let ys = merge snd ysL ysR in
  (ys, combine-code pL pR l ys)
)
⟨proof⟩

declare combine.simps combine-code.simps closest-pair-rec-code.simps [simp del]

lemma closest-pair-rec-code-dist-eq:
assumes 1 < length xs (ys, δ, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec-code xs
shows δ = dist-code c0 c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-ys-eq:
assumes 1 < length xs
assumes (ys, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec xs
assumes (ys', δ', c0', c1') = closest-pair-rec-code xs
shows ys = ys'
⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-code-eq:
assumes 1 < length xs
assumes (ys, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec xs
assumes (ys', δ', c0', c1') = closest-pair-rec-code xs
shows c0 = c0' ∧ c1 = c1'
⟨proof⟩

declare closest-pair.simps [simp add]

fun closest-pair-code :: point list ⇒ (point * point) where
  closest-pair-code [] = undefined
| closest-pair-code [-] = undefined
| closest-pair-code ps = (let (‐, ‐, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec-code (mergesort fst ps)
  in (c0, c1))

lemma closest-pair-code-eq:
  closest-pair ps = closest-pair-code ps
⟨proof⟩

export-code closest-pair-code in OCaml
module-name Verified

end

```

3 Closest Pair Algorithm 2

theory Closest-Pair-Alternative

```

imports Common
begin

```

Formalization of a divide-and-conquer algorithm solving the Closest Pair Problem based on the presentation of Cormen *et al.* [1].

3.1 Functional Correctness Proof

3.1.1 Core Argument

lemma *core-argument*:

```

assumes distinct ( $p_0 \# ps$ ) sorted-snd ( $p_0 \# ps$ )  $0 \leq \delta$  set ( $p_0 \# ps$ ) =  $ps_L \cup ps_R$ 
assumes  $\forall p \in \text{set } (p_0 \# ps). l - \delta \leq \text{fst } p \wedge \text{fst } p \leq l + \delta$ 
assumes  $\forall p \in ps_L. \text{fst } p \leq l \forall p \in ps_R. l \leq \text{fst } p$ 
assumes sparse  $\delta$   $ps_L$  sparse  $\delta$   $ps_R$ 
assumes  $p_1 \in \text{set } ps$  dist  $p_0$   $p_1 < \delta$ 
shows  $p_1 \in \text{set } (\text{take } 7 ps)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.1.2 Combine step

lemma *find-closest-bf-dist-take-7*:

```

assumes  $\exists p_1 \in \text{set } ps. \text{dist } p_0 p_1 < \delta$ 
assumes distinct ( $p_0 \# ps$ ) sorted-snd ( $p_0 \# ps$ )  $0 < \text{length } ps \leq \delta$  set ( $p_0 \# ps$ ) =  $ps_L \cup ps_R$ 
assumes  $\forall p \in \text{set } (p_0 \# ps). l - \delta \leq \text{fst } p \wedge \text{fst } p \leq l + \delta$ 
assumes  $\forall p \in ps_L. \text{fst } p \leq l \forall p \in ps_R. l \leq \text{fst } p$ 
assumes sparse  $\delta$   $ps_L$  sparse  $\delta$   $ps_R$ 
shows  $\forall p_1 \in \text{set } ps. \text{dist } p_0 (\text{find-closest-bf } p_0 (\text{take } 7 ps)) \leq \text{dist } p_0 p_1$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun find-closest-pair-tm :: (point * point) ⇒ point list ⇒ (point × point) tm where
  find-closest-pair-tm ( $c_0, c_1$ ) [] = 1 return ( $c_0, c_1$ )
  | find-closest-pair-tm ( $c_0, c_1$ ) [-] = 1 return ( $c_0, c_1$ )
  | find-closest-pair-tm ( $c_0, c_1$ ) ( $p_0 \# ps$ ) = 1 (
    do {
       $ps' \leftarrow \text{take-tm } 7 ps;$ 
       $p_1 \leftarrow \text{find-closest-bf-tm } p_0 ps';$ 
      if dist  $c_0 c_1 \leq \text{dist } p_0 p_1$  then
        find-closest-pair-tm ( $c_0, c_1$ )  $ps$ 
      else
        find-closest-pair-tm ( $p_0, p_1$ )  $ps$ 
    }
  )

```

```

fun find-closest-pair :: (point * point) ⇒ point list ⇒ (point * point) where
  find-closest-pair ( $c_0, c_1$ ) [] = ( $c_0, c_1$ )
  | find-closest-pair ( $c_0, c_1$ ) [-] = ( $c_0, c_1$ )
  | find-closest-pair ( $c_0, c_1$ ) ( $p_0 \# ps$ ) =

```

```

let p1 = find-closest-bf p0 (take 7 ps) in
if dist c0 c1 ≤ dist p0 p1 then
  find-closest-pair (c0, c1) ps
else
  find-closest-pair (p0, p1) ps
)

lemma find-closest-pair-eq-val-find-closest-pair-tm:
val (find-closest-pair-tm (c0, c1) ps) = find-closest-pair (c0, c1) ps
⟨proof⟩

lemma find-closest-pair-set:
assumes (C0, C1) = find-closest-pair (c0, c1) ps
shows (C0 ∈ set ps ∧ C1 ∈ set ps) ∨ (C0 = c0 ∧ C1 = c1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma find-closest-pair-c0-ne-c1:
c0 ≠ c1 ⇒ distinct ps ⇒ (C0, C1) = find-closest-pair (c0, c1) ps ⇒ C0 ≠
C1
⟨proof⟩

lemma find-closest-pair-dist-mono:
assumes (C0, C1) = find-closest-pair (c0, c1) ps
shows dist C0 C1 ≤ dist c0 c1
⟨proof⟩

lemma find-closest-pair-dist:
assumes sorted-snd ps distinct ps set ps = psL ∪ psR 0 ≤ δ
assumes ∀ p ∈ set ps. l - δ ≤ fst p ∧ fst p ≤ l + δ
assumes ∀ p ∈ psL. fst p ≤ l ∀ p ∈ psR. l ≤ fst p
assumes sparse δ psL sparse δ psR dist c0 c1 ≤ δ
assumes (C0, C1) = find-closest-pair (c0, c1) ps
shows sparse (dist C0 C1) (set ps)
⟨proof⟩

declare find-closest-pair.simps [simp del]

fun combine-tm :: (point × point) ⇒ (point × point) ⇒ int ⇒ point list ⇒ (point
× point) tm where
combine-tm (p0L, p1L) (p0R, p1R) l ps = 1 (
  let (c0, c1) = if dist p0L p1L < dist p0R p1R then (p0L, p1L) else (p0R, p1R) in
  do {
    ps' <- filter-tm (λp. dist p (l, snd p) < dist c0 c1) ps;
    find-closest-pair-tm (c0, c1) ps'
  }
)

fun combine :: (point * point) ⇒ (point * point) ⇒ int ⇒ point list ⇒ (point *
point) tm where

```

```

combine ( $p_{0L}, p_{1L}$ ) ( $p_{0R}, p_{1R}$ )  $l$   $ps = ($ 
  let ( $c_0, c_1$ ) = if  $dist p_{0L} p_{1L} < dist p_{0R} p_{1R}$  then ( $p_{0L}, p_{1L}$ ) else ( $p_{0R}, p_{1R}$ ) in
    let  $ps' = filter (\lambda p. dist p (l, snd p) < dist c_0 c_1) ps$  in
      find-closest-pair ( $c_0, c_1$ )  $ps'$ 
 $)$ 

```

lemma *combine-eq-val-combine-tm*:

```

val ( $combine\text{-}tm (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ ) =  $combine (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l$ 
 $ps$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *combine-set*:

```

assumes ( $c_0, c_1$ ) =  $combine (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 
shows ( $c_0 \in set ps \wedge c_1 \in set ps$ )  $\vee (c_0 = p_{0L} \wedge c_1 = p_{1L}) \vee (c_0 = p_{0R} \wedge c_1 = p_{1R})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *combine-c0-ne-c1*:

```

assumes  $p_{0L} \neq p_{1L}$   $p_{0R} \neq p_{1R}$   $distinct ps$ 
assumes ( $c_0, c_1$ ) =  $combine (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 
shows  $c_0 \neq c_1$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *combine-dist*:

```

assumes  $distinct ps$   $sorted\text{-}snd ps$   $set ps = ps_L \cup ps_R$ 
assumes  $\forall p \in ps_L. fst p \leq l \forall p \in ps_R. l \leq fst p$ 
assumes  $sparse (dist p_{0L} p_{1L}) ps_L$   $sparse (dist p_{0R} p_{1R}) ps_R$ 
assumes ( $c_0, c_1$ ) =  $combine (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 
shows  $sparse (dist c_0 c_1) (set ps)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

declare combine.simps [simp del]
declare combine-tm.simps [simp del]

```

3.1.3 Divide and Conquer Algorithm

declare *split-at-take-drop-conv* [*simp add*]

```

function closest-pair-rec-tm :: point list  $\Rightarrow$  (point list  $\times$  point  $\times$  point) tm where
  closest-pair-rec-tm  $xs = 1$  (
    do {
       $n \leftarrow length\text{-}tm xs;$ 
      if  $n \leq 3$  then
        do {
           $ys \leftarrow mergesort\text{-}tm snd xs;$ 
           $p \leftarrow closest\text{-}pair\text{-}bf\text{-}tm xs;$ 
          return ( $ys, p$ )
        }
      else
    }
  )

```

```

do {
  ( $xs_L$ ,  $xs_R$ )  $\leftarrow$  split-at-tm ( $n \text{ div } 2$ )  $xs$ ;
  ( $ys_L$ ,  $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ )  $\leftarrow$  closest-pair-rec-tm  $xs_L$ ;
  ( $ys_R$ ,  $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ )  $\leftarrow$  closest-pair-rec-tm  $xs_R$ ;
   $ys \leftarrow$  merge-tm snd  $ys_L$   $ys_R$ ;
  ( $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ )  $\leftarrow$  combine-tm ( $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) ( $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ ) (fst (hd  $xs_R$ ))  $ys$ ;
  return ( $ys$ ,  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ )
}
}
)
⟨proof⟩
termination closest-pair-rec-tm
⟨proof⟩

function closest-pair-rec :: point list  $\Rightarrow$  (point list * point * point) where
closest-pair-rec  $xs =$  (
  let  $n = \text{length } xs$  in
  if  $n \leq 3$  then
    (mergesort snd  $xs$ , closest-pair-bf  $xs$ )
  else
    let ( $xs_L$ ,  $xs_R$ ) = split-at ( $n \text{ div } 2$ )  $xs$  in
    let ( $ys_L$ ,  $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) = closest-pair-rec  $xs_L$  in
    let ( $ys_R$ ,  $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ ) = closest-pair-rec  $xs_R$  in
    let  $ys = \text{merge } snd \ ys_L \ ys_R$  in
    ( $ys$ , combine ( $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) ( $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ ) (fst (hd  $xs_R$ ))  $ys$ )
)
⟨proof⟩
termination closest-pair-rec
⟨proof⟩

declare split-at-take-drop-conv [simp del]

lemma closest-pair-rec-simps:
assumes  $n = \text{length } xs \neg (n \leq 3)$ 
shows closest-pair-rec  $xs =$  (
  let ( $xs_L$ ,  $xs_R$ ) = split-at ( $n \text{ div } 2$ )  $xs$  in
  let ( $ys_L$ ,  $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) = closest-pair-rec  $xs_L$  in
  let ( $ys_R$ ,  $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ ) = closest-pair-rec  $xs_R$  in
  let  $ys = \text{merge } snd \ ys_L \ ys_R$  in
  ( $ys$ , combine ( $p_{0L}$ ,  $p_{1L}$ ) ( $p_{0R}$ ,  $p_{1R}$ ) (fst (hd  $xs_R$ ))  $ys$ )
)
⟨proof⟩

declare closest-pair-rec.simps [simp del]

lemma closest-pair-rec-eq-val-closest-pair-rec-tm:
val (closest-pair-rec-tm  $xs$ ) = closest-pair-rec  $xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma closest-pair-rec-set-length-sorted-snd:
  assumes (ys, p) = closest-pair-rec xs
  shows set ys = set xs ∧ length ys = length xs ∧ sorted-snd ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-distinct:
  assumes distinct xs (ys, p) = closest-pair-rec xs
  shows distinct ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-c0-c1:
  assumes 1 < length xs distinct xs (ys, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec xs
  shows c0 ∈ set xs ∧ c1 ∈ set xs ∧ c0 ≠ c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-dist:
  assumes 1 < length xs distinct xs sorted-fst xs (ys, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec xs
  shows sparse (dist c0 c1) (set xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun closest-pair-tm :: point list ⇒ (point * point) tm where
  closest-pair-tm [] = 1 return undefined
  | closest-pair-tm [-] = 1 return undefined
  | closest-pair-tm ps = 1 (
    do {
      xs <- mergesort-tm fst ps;
      (-, p) <- closest-pair-rec-tm xs;
      return p
    }
  )

fun closest-pair :: point list ⇒ (point * point) where
  closest-pair [] = undefined
  | closest-pair [-] = undefined
  | closest-pair ps = (let (-, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec (mergesort fst ps) in (c0, c1))

lemma closest-pair-eq-val-closest-pair-tm:
  val (closest-pair-tm ps) = closest-pair ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-simps:
  1 < length ps ⇒ closest-pair ps = (let (-, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec (mergesort
  fst ps) in (c0, c1))
  ⟨proof⟩

declare closest-pair.simps [simp del]

theorem closest-pair-c0-c1:
  assumes 1 < length ps distinct ps (c0, c1) = closest-pair ps

```

```

shows  $c_0 \in \text{set } ps$   $c_1 \in \text{set } ps$   $c_0 \neq c_1$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem closest-pair-dist:
assumes  $1 < \text{length } ps$   $\text{distinct } ps (c_0, c_1) = \text{closest-pair } ps$ 
shows sparse (dist  $c_0 c_1$ ) (set  $ps$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Time Complexity Proof

3.2.1 Combine Step

```

lemma time-find-closest-pair-tm:
time (find-closest-pair-tm ( $c_0, c_1$ )  $ps$ )  $\leq 17 * \text{length } ps + 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma time-combine-tm:
fixes  $ps :: \text{point list}$ 
shows  $\text{time} (\text{combine-tm} (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps) \leq 3 + 18 * \text{length } ps$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2.2 Divide and Conquer Algorithm

```

lemma time-closest-pair-rec-tm-simps-1:
assumes  $\text{length } xs \leq 3$ 
shows  $\text{time} (\text{closest-pair-rec-tm } xs) = 1 + \text{time} (\text{length-tm } xs) + \text{time} (\text{mergesort-tm } \text{snd } xs) + \text{time} (\text{closest-pair-bf-tm } xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-closest-pair-rec-tm-simps-2:
assumes  $\neg (\text{length } xs \leq 3)$ 
shows  $\text{time} (\text{closest-pair-rec-tm } xs) = 1 + ($ 
     $\text{let } (xs_L, xs_R) = \text{val} (\text{split-at-tm} (\text{length } xs \text{ div } 2) xs) \text{ in}$ 
     $\text{let } (ys_L, p_L) = \text{val} (\text{closest-pair-rec-tm } xs_L) \text{ in}$ 
     $\text{let } (ys_R, p_R) = \text{val} (\text{closest-pair-rec-tm } xs_R) \text{ in}$ 
     $\text{let } ys = \text{val} (\text{merge-tm} (\lambda p. \text{snd } p) ys_L ys_R) \text{ in}$ 
     $\text{time} (\text{length-tm } xs) + \text{time} (\text{split-at-tm} (\text{length } xs \text{ div } 2) xs) + \text{time} (\text{closest-pair-rec-tm } xs_L) +$ 
     $\text{time} (\text{closest-pair-rec-tm } xs_R) + \text{time} (\text{merge-tm} (\lambda p. \text{snd } p) ys_L ys_R) + \text{time}$ 
     $(\text{combine-tm } p_L p_R (\text{fst } (\text{hd } xs_R)) ys)$ 
)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

function closest-pair-recurrence ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
 $n \leq 3 \implies \text{closest-pair-recurrence } n = 3 + n + \text{mergesort-recurrence } n + n * n$ 
 $| 3 < n \implies \text{closest-pair-recurrence } n = 7 + 21 * n + \text{closest-pair-recurrence} (\text{nat} [\text{real } n / 2]) +$ 
 $\text{closest-pair-recurrence} (\text{nat} [\text{real } n / 2])$ 
⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma closest-pair-recurrence-nonneg[simp]:
  0 ≤ closest-pair-recurrence n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-closest-pair-rec-conv-closest-pair-recurrence:
  time (closest-pair-rec-tm ps) ≤ closest-pair-recurrence (length ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem closest-pair-recurrence:
  closest-pair-recurrence ∈ Θ(λn. n * ln n)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem time-closest-pair-rec-bigo:
  (λxs. time (closest-pair-rec-tm xs)) ∈ O[length going-to at-top]((λn. n * ln n) o
  length)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition closest-pair-time :: nat ⇒ real where
  closest-pair-time n = 1 + mergesort-recurrence n + closest-pair-recurrence n

lemma time-closest-pair-conv-closest-pair-recurrence:
  time (closest-pair-tm ps) ≤ closest-pair-time (length ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary closest-pair-time:
  closest-pair-time ∈ O(λn. n * ln n)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary time-closest-pair-bigo:
  (λps. time (closest-pair-tm ps)) ∈ O[length going-to at-top]((λn. n * ln n) o
  length)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.3 Code Export

3.3.1 Combine Step

```

fun find-closest-pair-code :: (int * point * point) ⇒ point list ⇒ (int * point *
point) where
  find-closest-pair-code (δ, c₀, c₁) [] = (δ, c₀, c₁)
  | find-closest-pair-code (δ, c₀, c₁) [p] = (δ, c₀, c₁)
  | find-closest-pair-code (δ, c₀, c₁) (p₀ # ps) =
    let (δ', p₁) = find-closest-bf-code p₀ (take 7 ps) in
    if δ ≤ δ' then
      find-closest-pair-code (δ, c₀, c₁) ps
    else
      find-closest-pair-code (δ', p₀, p₁) ps
  )

```

```

lemma find-closest-pair-code-dist-eq:
  assumes  $\delta = \text{dist-code } c_0 \ c_1 (\Delta, C_0, C_1) = \text{find-closest-pair-code } (\delta, c_0, c_1) \ ps$ 
  shows  $\Delta = \text{dist-code } C_0 \ C_1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

declare find-closest-pair.simps [simp add]

lemma find-closest-pair-code-eq:
  assumes  $\delta = \text{dist } c_0 \ c_1 \ \delta' = \text{dist-code } c_0 \ c_1$ 
  assumes  $(C_0, C_1) = \text{find-closest-pair } (c_0, c_1) \ ps$ 
  assumes  $(\Delta', C_0', C_1') = \text{find-closest-pair-code } (\delta', c_0, c_1) \ ps$ 
  shows  $C_0 = C_0' \wedge C_1 = C_1'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun combine-code ::  $(int * point * point) \Rightarrow (int * point * point) \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow point$ 
list  $\Rightarrow (int * point * point)$  where
  combine-code  $(\delta_L, p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (\delta_R, p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps = ($ 
    let  $(\delta, c_0, c_1) = \text{if } \delta_L < \delta_R \text{ then } (\delta_L, p_{0L}, p_{1L}) \text{ else } (\delta_R, p_{0R}, p_{1R}) \text{ in}$ 
    let  $ps' = \text{filter } (\lambda p. (\text{fst } p - l)^2 < \delta) ps \text{ in}$ 
    find-closest-pair-code  $(\delta, c_0, c_1) ps'$ 
   $)$ 

lemma combine-code-dist-eq:
  assumes  $\delta_L = \text{dist-code } p_{0L} \ p_{1L} \ \delta_R = \text{dist-code } p_{0R} \ p_{1R}$ 
  assumes  $(\delta, c_0, c_1) = \text{combine-code } (\delta_L, p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (\delta_R, p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 
  shows  $\delta = \text{dist-code } c_0 \ c_1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma combine-code-eq:
  assumes  $\delta_L' = \text{dist-code } p_{0L} \ p_{1L} \ \delta_R' = \text{dist-code } p_{0R} \ p_{1R}$ 
  assumes  $(c_0, c_1) = \text{combine } (p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 
  assumes  $(\delta', c_0', c_1') = \text{combine-code } (\delta_L', p_{0L}, p_{1L}) (\delta_R', p_{0R}, p_{1R}) l ps$ 
  shows  $c_0 = c_0' \wedge c_1 = c_1'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

3.3.2 Divide and Conquer Algorithm

```

function closest-pair-rec-code :: point list  $\Rightarrow (point list * int * point * point)$ 
where
  closest-pair-rec-code xs = (
    let  $n = \text{length } xs$  in
    if  $n \leq 3$  then
      (mergesort snd xs, closest-pair-bf-code xs)
    else
      let  $(xs_L, xs_R) = \text{split-at } (n \text{ div } 2) xs$  in
      let  $l = \text{fst } (\text{hd } xs_R)$  in

      let  $(ys_L, p_L) = \text{closest-pair-rec-code } xs_L$  in
      let  $(ys_R, p_R) = \text{closest-pair-rec-code } xs_R$  in

```

```

let ys = merge snd ysL ysR in
  (ys, combine-code pL pR l ys)
)
⟨proof⟩
termination closest-pair-rec-code
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-code-simps:
  assumes n = length xs ∘ (n ≤ 3)
  shows closest-pair-rec-code xs = (
    let (xsL, xsR) = split-at (n div 2) xs in
    let l = fst (hd xsR) in
    let (ysL, pL) = closest-pair-rec-code xsL in
    let (ysR, pR) = closest-pair-rec-code xsR in
    let ys = merge snd ysL ysR in
      (ys, combine-code pL pR l ys)
)
⟨proof⟩

declare combine.simps combine-code.simps closest-pair-rec-code.simps [simp del]

lemma closest-pair-rec-code-dist-eq:
  assumes 1 < length xs (ys, δ, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec-code xs
  shows δ = dist-code c0 c1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-ys-eq:
  assumes 1 < length xs
  assumes (ys, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec xs
  assumes (ys', δ', c0', c1') = closest-pair-rec-code xs
  shows ys = ys'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closest-pair-rec-code-eq:
  assumes 1 < length xs
  assumes (ys, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec xs
  assumes (ys', δ', c0', c1') = closest-pair-rec-code xs
  shows c0 = c0' ∧ c1 = c1'
  ⟨proof⟩

declare closest-pair.simps [simp add]

fun closest-pair-code :: point list ⇒ (point * point) where
  closest-pair-code [] = undefined
  | closest-pair-code [_] = undefined
  | closest-pair-code ps = (let (‐, ‐, c0, c1) = closest-pair-rec-code (mergesort fst ps)
    in (c0, c1))

```

```
lemma closest-pair-code-eq:  
  closest-pair ps = closest-pair-code ps  
(proof)  
  
export-code closest-pair-code in OCaml  
module-name Verified  
  
end
```

References

- [1] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms, Third Edition*. The MIT Press, 3rd edition, 2009.