

Clean - An Abstract Imperative Programming Language and its Theory

Frédéric Tuong Burkhart Wolff
(with Contributions by Chantal Keller)

March 17, 2025

LRI, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay
bât. 650 Ada Lovelace, 91405 Orsay, France

Abstract

Clean is based on a simple, abstract execution model for an imperative target language. “Abstract” is understood as contrast to “Concrete Semantics”; alternatively, the term “shallow-style embedding” could be used. It strives for a type-safe notation of program-variables, an incremental construction of the typed state-space, support of incremental verification, and open-world extensibility of new type definitions being intertwined with the program definitions.

Clean is based on a “no-frills” state-exception monad with the usual definitions of *bind* and *unit* for the compositional glue of state-based computations. Clean offers conditionals and loops supporting C-like control-flow operators such as *break* and *return*. The state-space construction is based on the extensible record package. Direct recursion of procedures is supported.

Clean’s design strives for extreme simplicity. It is geared towards symbolic execution and proven correct verification tools. The underlying libraries of this package, however, deliberately restrict themselves to the most elementary infrastructure for these tasks. The package is intended to serve as demonstrator semantic backend for Isabelle/C [?], or for the test-generation techniques described in [4].

Contents

1 The Clean Language	7
1.1 A High-level Description of the Clean Memory Model	8
1.1.1 A Simple Typed Memory Model of Clean: An Introduction	8
1.1.2 Formally Modeling Control-States	8
1.1.3 An Example for Global Variable Declarations.	12
1.1.4 The Assignment Operations (embedded in State-Exception Monad)	12
1.1.5 Example for a Local Variable Space	13
1.2 Global and Local State Management via Extensible Records	14
1.2.1 Block-Structures	16
1.2.2 Call Semantics	16
1.3 Some Term-Coding Functions	17
1.4 Syntactic Sugar supporting λ -lifting for Global and Local Variables	25
1.5 Support for (direct recursive) Clean Function Specifications	27
1.6 The Rest of Clean: Break/Return aware Version of If, While, etc.	34
1.7 Miscellaneous	34
1.8 Function-calls in Expressions	37
2 Clean Semantics : A Coding-Concept Example	39
2.1 The Quicksort Example	39
2.2 Clean Encoding of the Global State of Quicksort	40
2.3 Encoding swap in Clean	41
2.3.1 swap in High-level Notation	41
2.3.2 A Similation of swap in elementary specification constructs: .	42
2.4 Encoding partition in Clean	44
2.4.1 partition in High-level Notation	44
2.4.2 A Similation of partition in elementary specification constructs: .	45
2.5 Encoding the toplevel : quicksort in Clean	46
2.5.1 quicksort in High-level Notation	46
2.5.2 A Similation of quicksort in elementary specification constructs: .	47
2.5.3 Setup for Deductive Verification	48
3 Clean Semantics : A Coding-Concept Example	51
3.1 The Quicksort Example - At a Glance	51
3.2 Clean Encoding of the Global State of Quicksort	51
3.3 Possible Application Sketch	53
3.4 The Squareroot Example for Symbolic Execution	53
3.4.1 The Conceptual Algorithm in Clean Notation	53

3.4.2	Definition of the Global State	53
3.4.3	Setting for Symbolic Execution	54
3.4.4	A Symbolic Execution Simulation	55
4	Clean Semantics : Another Clean Example	57
4.1	The Primality-Test Example at a Glance	57
5	A Clean Semantics Example : Linear Search	59
5.1	The LinearSearch Example	59
6	Appendix : Used Monad Libraries	61
6.1	Definition : Standard State Exception Monads	61
6.1.1	Definition : Core Types and Operators	61
6.1.2	Definition : More Operators and their Properties	62
6.1.3	Definition : Programming Operators and their Properties	63
6.1.4	Theory of a Monadic While	63
6.1.5	Chaining Monadic Computations : Definitions of Multi-bind Operators	68
6.1.6	Definition and Properties of Valid Execution Sequences	70
6.1.7	Miscellaneous	83
6.2	Clean Symbolic Execution Rules	83
6.2.1	Basic NOP - Symbolic Execution Rules.	83
6.2.2	Assign Execution Rules.	84
6.2.3	Basic Call Symbolic Execution Rules.	85
6.2.4	Basic Call Symbolic Execution Rules.	86
6.2.5	Conditional.	87
6.2.6	Break - Rules.	87
6.2.7	While.	88
6.3	Hoare	91
6.3.1	Basic rules	91
6.3.2	Generalized and special sequence rules	92
6.3.3	Generalized and special consequence rules	92
6.3.4	Condition rules	93
6.3.5	While rules	94
6.3.6	Experimental Alternative Definitions (Transformer-Style Rely-Guarantee)	96
6.3.7	Clean Control Rules	97
6.3.8	Clean Skip Rules	97
6.3.9	Clean Assign Rules	98
6.3.10	Clean Construct Rules	99

1 The Clean Language

```
theory Clean
imports Optics Symbex-MonadSE
keywords global-vars local-vars-test :: thy-decl
  and returns pre post local-vars variant
  and function-spec :: thy-decl
  and rec-function-spec :: thy-decl

begin
```

Clean (pronounced as: “C lean” or “Céline” [selin]) is a minimalistic imperative language with C-like control-flow operators based on a shallow embedding into the “State Exception Monads” theory formalized in `MonadSE.thy`. It strives for a type-safe notation of program-variables, an incremental construction of the typed state-space in order to facilitate incremental verification and open-world extensibility to new type definitions intertwined with the program definition.

It comprises:

- C-like control flow with *break* and *return*,
- global variables,
- function calls (seen as monadic executions) with side-effects, recursion and local variables,
- parameters are modeled via functional abstractions (functions are monads); a passing of parameters to local variables might be added later,
- direct recursive function calls,
- cartouche syntax for λ -lifted update operations supporting global and local variables.

Note that Clean in its current version is restricted to *monomorphic* global and local variables as well as function parameters. This limitation will be overcome at a later stage. The construction in itself, however, is deeply based on parametric polymorphism (enabling structured proofs over extensible records as used in languages of the ML family <http://www.cs.ioc.ee/tfp-icfp-gpce05/tfp-proc/21num.pdf> and Haskell <https://www.schoolofhaskell.com/user/fumieval/extensible-records>).

1.1 A High-level Description of the Clean Memory Model

1.1.1 A Simple Typed Memory Model of Clean: An Introduction

Clean is based on a “no-frills” state-exception monad **type-synonym** $('o, '\sigma) MONSE = (''\sigma \rightarrow (''o \times ''\sigma))$ with the usual definitions of *bind* and *unit*. In this language, sequence operators, conditionals and loops can be integrated.

From a concrete program, the underlying state $'\sigma$ is *incrementally* constructed by a sequence of extensible record definitions:

1. Initially, an internal control state is defined to give semantics to *break* and *return* statements:

```
record control_state = break_val :: bool return_val :: bool
```

control-state represents the σ_0 state.

2. Any global variable definition block with definitions $a_1 : \tau_1 \dots a_n : \tau_n$ is translated into a record extension:

```
record \sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n + a_1 :: \tau_1; \dots; a_n :: \tau_n
```

3. Any local variable definition block (as part of a procedure declaration) with definitions $a_1 : \tau_1 \dots a_n : \tau_n$ is translated into the record extension:

```
record \sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n + a_1 :: \tau_1 list; \dots; a_n :: \tau_n list; result :: \tau_{result-type} list;
```

where the - *list*-lifting is used to model a *stack* of local variable instances in case of direct recursions and the *result-value* used for the value of the *return* statement.

The **record** package creates an $'\sigma$ extensible record type $'\sigma control-state-ext$ where the $'\sigma$ stands for extensions that are subsequently “stuffed” in them. Furthermore, it generates definitions for the constructor, accessor and update functions and automatically derives a number of theorems over them (e.g., “updates on different fields commute”, “accessors on a record are surjective”, “accessors yield the value of the last update”). The collection of these theorems constitutes the *memory model* of Clean, providing an incrementally extensible state-space for global and local program variables. In contrast to axiomatizations of memory models, our generated state-spaces might be “wrong” in the sense that they do not reflect the operational behaviour of a particular compiler or a sufficiently large portion of the C language; however, it is by construction *logically consistent* since it is impossible to derive falsity from the entire set of conservative extension schemes used in their construction. A particular advantage of the incremental state-space construction is that it supports incremental verification and interleaving of program definitions with theory development.

1.1.2 Formally Modeling Control-States

The control state is the “root” of all extensions for local and global variable spaces in Clean. It contains just the information of the current control-flow: a *break* occurred

(meaning all commands till the end of the control block will be skipped) or a *return* occurred (meaning all commands till the end of the current function body will be skipped).

```
record control-state =
  break-status :: bool
  return-status :: bool
```

```
ML< val t = @{term σ () break-status := False ()}>
```

```
definition break :: (unit, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext) MONSE
where break ≡ (λ σ. Some((), σ () break-status := True ()))
```

```
definition unset-break-status :: (unit, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext) MONSE
where unset-break-status ≡ (λ σ. Some((), σ () break-status := False ()))
```

```
definition set-return-status :: (unit, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext) MONSE
where set-return-status = (λ σ. Some((), σ () return-status := True ()))
```

```
definition unset-return-status :: (unit, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext) MONSE
where unset-return-status = (λ σ. Some((), σ () return-status := False ()))
```

```
definition exec-stop :: ('σ-ext) control-state-ext ⇒ bool
where exec-stop = (λ σ. break-status σ ∨ return-status σ )
```

```
abbreviation normal-execution :: ('σ-ext) control-state-ext ⇒ bool
where (normal-execution s) ≡ (¬ exec-stop s)
notation normal-execution (▷)
```

```
lemma exec-stop1[simp] : break-status σ ⇒ exec-stop σ
unfolding exec-stop-def by simp
```

```
lemma exec-stop2[simp] : return-status σ ⇒ exec-stop σ
unfolding exec-stop-def by simp
```

On the basis of the control-state, assignments, conditionals and loops are reformulated into *break-aware* and *return-aware* versions as shown in the definitions of *assign* and *if-C* (in this theory file, see below).

For Reasoning over Clean programs, we need the notion of independance of an update from the control-block:

```
definition break-statusL
where break-statusL = createL control-state.break-status control-state.break-status-update
lemma vwb-lens break-statusL
unfolding break-statusL-def
by (simp add: vwb-lens-def createL-def wb-lens-def mwb-lens-def)
```

```
mwb-lens-axioms-def upd2put-def wb-lens-axioms-def weak-lens-def)
```

```
definition return-statusL
  where return-statusL = createL control-state.return-status control-state.return-status-update

lemma vwb-lens return-statusL
  unfolding return-statusL-def
  by (simp add: vwb-lens-def createL-def wb-lens-def mwb-lens-def
        mwb-lens-axioms-def upd2put-def wb-lens-axioms-def weak-lens-def)

lemma break-return-indep : break-statusL  $\bowtie$  return-statusL
  by (simp add: break-statusL-def lens-indepI return-statusL-def upd2put-def createL-def)

definition strong-control-independence (⟨#!⟩)
  where #! L = (break-statusL  $\bowtie$  L  $\wedge$  return-statusL  $\bowtie$  L)

lemma vwb-lens break-statusL
  unfolding vwb-lens-def break-statusL-def createL-def wb-lens-def mwb-lens-def
  by (simp add: mwb-lens-axioms-def upd2put-def wb-lens-axioms-def weak-lens-def)

definition control-independence :: 
  (('b $\Rightarrow$ 'b) $\Rightarrow$ 'a control-state-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a control-state-scheme)  $\Rightarrow$  bool (⟨#⟩)
  where # upd  $\equiv$  ( $\forall$   $\sigma$  T b. break-status (upd T  $\sigma$ ) = break-status  $\sigma$ 
         $\wedge$  return-status (upd T  $\sigma$ ) = return-status  $\sigma$ 
         $\wedge$  upd T ( $\sigma$  () return-status := b ()) = (upd T  $\sigma$ ) () return-status := b ()
         $\wedge$  upd T ( $\sigma$  () break-status := b ()) = (upd T  $\sigma$ ) () break-status := b ())

lemma strong-vs-weak-ci : #! L  $\implies$  # (λf. λ $\sigma$ . lens-put L  $\sigma$  (f (lens-get L  $\sigma$ )))
  unfolding strong-control-independence-def control-independence-def
  by (simp add: break-statusL-def lens-indep-def return-statusL-def upd2put-def createL-def)

lemma expimnt :#! (createL getv updV)  $\implies$  ( $\lambda$ f  $\sigma$ . updV ( $\lambda$ -. f (getv  $\sigma$ ))  $\sigma$ ) = updV
  unfolding createL-def strong-control-independence-def
    break-statusL-def return-statusL-def lens-indep-def
  apply(rule ext, rule ext)
  apply auto
  unfolding upd2put-def

  oops

lemma expimnt :
  vwb-lens (createL getv updV)  $\implies$  ( $\lambda$ f  $\sigma$ . updV ( $\lambda$ -. f (getv  $\sigma$ ))  $\sigma$ ) = updV
  unfolding createL-def strong-control-independence-def lens-indep-def
    break-statusL-def return-statusL-def vwb-lens-def
  apply(rule ext, rule ext)
  apply auto
  unfolding upd2put-def wb-lens-def weak-lens-def wb-lens-axioms-def mwb-lens-def
```

```

mwb-lens-axioms-def
apply auto

oops

lemma strong-vs-weak-upd :
assumes * :  $\sharp!$  ( $\text{create}_L \text{getv} \text{upd}$ )
  and ** :  $(\lambda f \sigma. \text{upd} (\lambda-. f (\text{getv} \sigma)) \sigma) = \text{upd}$ 
shows  $\sharp$  ( $\text{upd}$ )
apply(insert * **)
unfolding  $\text{create}_L$ -def  $\text{upd2put}$ -def
by(drule strong-vs-weak-ci, auto)

```

This quite tricky proof establishes the fact that the special case $\text{hd}(\text{getv} \sigma) = []$ for $\text{getv} \sigma = []$ is finally irrelevant in our setting. This implies that we don't need the list-lense-construction (so far).

```

lemma strong-vs-weak-upd-list :
assumes * :  $\sharp!$  ( $\text{create}_L (\text{getv} :: 'b \text{control-state-scheme} \Rightarrow 'c \text{list})$ 
  ( $\text{upd} :: ('c \text{list} \Rightarrow 'c \text{list}) \Rightarrow 'b \text{control-state-scheme} \Rightarrow 'b \text{control-state-scheme})(\lambda f \sigma. \text{upd} (\lambda-. f (\text{getv} \sigma)) \sigma) = \text{upd}$ 
shows  $\sharp$  ( $\text{upd} \circ \text{upd-hd}$ )
proof -
have *** :  $\sharp!$  ( $\text{create}_L (\text{hd} \circ \text{getv}) (\text{upd} \circ \text{upd-hd})$ )
  using * ** by (simp add: indep-list-lift strong-control-independence-def)
show  $\sharp$  ( $\text{upd} \circ \text{upd-hd}$ )
apply(rule strong-vs-weak-upd)
apply(rule ***)
apply(rule ext, rule ext, simp)
apply(subst (2) **[symmetric])
proof -
fix f :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'c
fix  $\sigma :: 'b \text{control-state-scheme}$ 
show  $\text{upd} (\text{upd-hd} (\lambda-. f (\text{hd} (\text{getv} \sigma)))) \sigma = \text{upd} (\lambda-. \text{upd-hd} f (\text{getv} \sigma)) \sigma$ 
proof (cases getv  $\sigma$ )
case Nil
then show ?thesis
by (simp, metis (no-types) ** upd-hd.simps(1))
next
case (Cons a list)
then show ?thesis
proof -
have  $(\lambda c. f (\text{hd} (\text{getv} \sigma))) = ((\lambda c. f a) :: 'c \Rightarrow 'c)$ 
using local.Cons by auto
then show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types) ** local.Cons upd-hd.simps(2))
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

qed

```
lemma exec-stop-vs-control-independence [simp]:
  # upd ==> exec-stop (upd f σ) = exec-stop σ
  unfolding control-independence-def exec-stop-def by simp

lemma exec-stop-vs-control-independence' [simp]:
  # upd ==> (upd f (σ () return-status := b)) = (upd f σ)() return-status := b ()
  unfolding control-independence-def exec-stop-def by simp

lemma exec-stop-vs-control-independence'' [simp]:
  # upd ==> (upd f (σ () break-status := b)) = (upd f σ)() break-status := b ()
  unfolding control-independence-def exec-stop-def by simp
```

1.1.3 An Example for Global Variable Declarations.

We present the above definition of the incremental construction of the state-space in more detail via an example construction.

Consider a global variable A representing an array of integer. This *global variable declaration* corresponds to the effect of the following record declaration:

```
record state0 = control-state + A :: int list
```

which is later extended by another global variable, say, B representing a real described in the Cauchy Sequence form $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int} \times \text{int}$ as follows:

```
record state1 = state0 + B :: nat ⇒ (int × int).
```

A further extension would be needed if a (potentially recursive) function f with some local variable tmp is defined: `record state2 = state1 + tmp :: nat stack result-value :: nat stack`, where the *stack* needed for modeling recursive instances is just a synonym for *list*.

1.1.4 The Assignment Operations (embedded in State-Exception Monad)

Based on the global variable states, we define *break-aware* and *return-aware* version of the assignment. The trick to do this in a generic and type-safe way is to provide the generated accessor and update functions (the “lens” representing this global variable, cf. [1–3]) to the generic assign operators. This pair of accessor and update carries all relevant semantic and type information of this particular variable and *characterizes* this variable semantically. Specific syntactic support¹ will hide away the syntactic overhead and permit a human-readable form of assignments or expressions accessing the underlying state.

```
consts syntax-assign :: ('α ⇒ int) ⇒ int ⇒ term (infix <:=> 60)
```

```
definition assign :: (('σ-ext) control-state-scheme ⇒
```

¹via the Isabelle concept of cartouche: <https://isabelle.in.tum.de/doc/isar-ref.pdf>

```

('σ-ext) control-state-scheme) ⇒
(unit,('σ-ext) control-state-scheme) MONSE
where assign f = (λσ. if exec-stop σ then Some((), σ) else Some((), f σ))

```

```

definition assign-global :: (('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'σ-ext control-state-scheme ⇒ 'σ-ext control-state-scheme)
⇒ ('σ-ext control-state-scheme ⇒ 'a)
⇒ (unit,'σ-ext control-state-scheme) MONSE (infix ::==G 100)
where assign-global upd rhs = assign(λσ. ((upd) (λ-. rhs σ)) σ)

```

An update of the variable A based on the state of the previous example is done by $assign\text{-}global A\text{-}upd (\lambda\sigma. list\text{-}update (A \sigma) (i) (A \sigma ! j))$ representing $A[i] = A[j]$; arbitrary nested updates can be constructed accordingly.

Local variable spaces work analogously; except that they are represented by a stack in order to support individual instances in case of function recursion. This requires automated generation of specific push- and pop operations used to model the effect of entering or leaving a function block (to be discussed later).

```

definition assign-local :: (('a list ⇒ 'a list)
⇒ 'σ-ext control-state-scheme ⇒ 'σ-ext control-state-scheme)
⇒ ('σ-ext control-state-scheme ⇒ 'a)
⇒ (unit,'σ-ext control-state-scheme) MONSE (infix ::==L 100)
where assign-local upd rhs = assign(λσ. ((upd o upd-hd) (%-. rhs σ)) σ)

```

Semantically, the difference between *global* and *local* is rather unimpressive as the following lemma shows. However, the distinction matters for the pretty-printing setup of Clean.

```

lemma (upd ::=L rhs) = ((upd o upd-hd) ::=G rhs)
unfolding assign-local-def assign-global-def by simp

```

The *return* command in C-like languages is represented basically by an assignment to a local variable *result-value* (see below in the Clean-package generation), plus some setup of *return-status*. Note that a *return* may appear after a *break* and should have no effect in this case.

```

definition returnC0
where returnC0 A = (λσ. if exec-stop σ then Some((), σ)
else (A ;– set-return-status) σ)

```

```

definition returnC :: (('a list ⇒ 'a list) ⇒ 'σ-ext control-state-scheme ⇒ 'σ-ext control-state-scheme)
⇒ ('σ-ext control-state-scheme ⇒ 'a)
⇒ (unit,'σ-ext control-state-scheme) MONSE (⟨return⟩)
where returnC upd rhs = returnC0 (assign-local upd rhs)

```

1.1.5 Example for a Local Variable Space

Consider the usual operation *swap* defined in some free-style syntax as follows:

```

function-spec swap (i::nat,j::nat)
local-vars  tmp :: int
defines      < tmp := A ! i>;-
             < A[i] := A ! j>;-
             < A[j] := tmp>

```

For the fantasy syntax $\text{tmp} := A ! i$, we can construct the following semantic code: $\text{assign-local tmp-update } (\lambda\sigma. (A \sigma) ! i)$ where tmp-update is the update operation generated by the **record**-package, which is generated while treating local variables of *swap*. By the way, a stack for *return*-values is also generated in order to give semantics to a *return* operation: it is syntactically equivalent to the assignment of the result variable in the local state (stack). It sets the *return-val* flag.

The management of the local state space requires function-specific *push* and *pop* operations, for which suitable definitions are generated as well:

```

definition push-local-swap-state :: (unit,'a local-swap-state-scheme) MONSE
where  push-local-swap-state σ =
       Some((),σ(|local-swap-state.tmp := undefined # local-swap-state.tmp σ,
                  local-swap-state.result-value := undefined #
                  local-swap-state.result-value σ |))

definition pop-local-swap-state :: (unit,'a local-swap-state-scheme) MONSE
where  pop-local-swap-state σ =
       Some(hd(local-swap-state.result-value σ),
             σ(|local-swap-state.tmp:= tl( local-swap-state.tmp σ) |))

```

where *result-value* is the stack for potential result values (not needed in the concrete example *swap*).

1.2 Global and Local State Management via Extensible Records

In the sequel, we present the automation of the state-management as schematically discussed in the previous section; the declarations of global and local variable blocks are constructed by subsequent extensions of '*a control-state-scheme*', defined above.

ML<

```

structure StateMgt-core =
struct

val control-stateT = Syntax.parse-typ @{context} control-state
val control-stateS = @{typ ('a)control-state-scheme};

fun optionT t = Type(@{type-name Option.option},[t]);
fun MON-SE-T res state = state --> optionT(HOLogic.mk-prodT(res,state));

```

```

fun merge-control-stateS (@{typ ('a)control-state-scheme},t) = t
| merge-control-stateS (t, @{typ ('a)control-state-scheme}) = t
| merge-control-stateS (t, t') = if (t = t') then t else errorcan not merge Clean state

datatype var-kind = global-var of typ | local-var of typ

fun type-of(global-var t) = t | type-of(local-var t) = t

type state-field-tab = var-kind Symtab.table

structure Data = Generic-Data
(
  type T           = (state-field-tab * typ (* current extensible record *))
  val empty        = (Symtab.empty,control-stateS)
  val extend       = I
  fun merge((s1,t1),(s2,t2)) = (Symtab.merge (op =)(s1,s2),merge-control-stateS(t1,t2))
);

val get-data          = Data.get o Context.Proof;
val map-data         = Data.map;
val get-data-global  = Data.get o Context.Theory;
val map-data-global  = Context.theory-map o map-data;

val get-state-type   = snd o get-data
val get-state-type-global = snd o get-data-global
val get-state-field-tab = fst o get-data
val get-state-field-tab-global = fst o get-data-global
fun upd-state-type f = map-data (fn (tab,t) => (tab, f t))
fun upd-state-type-global f = map-data-global (fn (tab,t) => (tab, f t))

fun fetch-state-field (ln,X) = let val a::b:: - = rev (Long-Name.explode ln) in ((b,a),X) end;
fun filter-name name ln = let val ((a,b),X) = fetch-state-field ln
                           in if a = name then SOME((a,b),X) else NONE end;
fun filter-attr-of name thy = let val tabs = get-state-field-tab-global thy
                               in map-filter (filter-name name) (Symtab.dest tabs) end;
fun is-program-variable name thy = Symtab.isDefined((fst o get-data-global) thy) name
fun is-global-program-variable name thy = case Symtab.lookup((fst o get-data-global) thy) name of
                                             SOME(global-var -) => true
                                             | - => false
fun is-local-program-variable name thy = case Symtab.lookup((fst o get-data-global) thy) name of
                                             SOME(local-var -) => true

```

```

| - => false

fun declare-state-variable-global f field thy =
  let val Const(name,ty) = Syntax.read-term-global thy field
  in (map-data-global (apfst (Symtab.update-new(name,f ty))) (thy))
     handle Symtab.DUP -=> error(multiple declaration of global var)
  end;

fun declare-state-variable-local f field ctxt =
  let val Const(name,ty) = Syntax.read-term-global (Context.theory-of ctxt) field
  in (map-data (apfst (Symtab.update-new(name,f ty)))(ctxt))
     handle Symtab.DUP -=> error(multiple declaration of global var)
  end;

end>

```

1.2.1 Block-Structures

On the managed local state-spaces, it is now straight-forward to define the semantics for a *block* representing the necessary management of local variable instances:

```

definition block_C :: (unit, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext)MONSE
  ⇒ (unit, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext)MONSE
  ⇒ ('α, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext)MONSE
  ⇒ ('α, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext)MONSE
where block_C push core pop ≡ (      — assumes break and return unset
                                push ;— — create new instances of local variables
                                core ;— — execute the body
                                unset-break-status ;— — unset a potential break
                                unset-return-status;— — unset a potential return break
                                (x ← pop;          — restore previous local var instances
                                 unitSE(x)))    — yield the return value

```

Based on this definition, the running *swap* example is represented as follows:

```

definition swap-core :: nat × nat ⇒ (unit,'a local-swap-state-scheme) MONSE
  where swap-core ≡ (λ(i,j). ((assign-local tmp-update (λσ. A σ ! i )) ;—
                                (assign-global A-update (λσ. list-update (A σ) (i) (A σ ! j))) ;—
                                (assign-global A-update (λσ. list-update (A σ) (j) ((hd o tmp) σ)))))

definition swap :: nat × nat ⇒ (unit,'a local-swap-state-scheme) MONSE
  where swap ≡ λ(i,j). block_C push-local-swap-state (swap-core (i,j)) pop-local-swap-state

```

1.2.2 Call Semantics

It is now straight-forward to define the semantics of a generic call — which is simply a monad execution that is *break*-aware and *return_{upd}*-aware.

```

definition call_C :: ( ' $\alpha \Rightarrow ('\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE}$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ((('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})  $\Rightarrow$  ' $\alpha$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    (' $\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE}$ 
where call_C M A1 = ( $\lambda\sigma.$  if exec-stop  $\sigma$  then Some(undefined,  $\sigma$ ) else M (A1  $\sigma$ )  $\sigma$ )

```

Note that this presentation assumes a uncurried format of the arguments. The question arises if this is the right approach to handle calls of operation with multiple arguments. Is it better to go for an some appropriate currying principle? Here are some more experimental variants for curried operations...

```

definition call_0_C :: (' $\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE} \Rightarrow ('\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE}$ )
where call_0_C M = ( $\lambda\sigma.$  if exec-stop  $\sigma$  then Some(undefined,  $\sigma$ ) else M  $\sigma$ )

```

The generic version using tuples is identical with $call\text{-}1_C$.

```

definition call_1_C :: ( ' $\alpha \Rightarrow ('\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE}$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ((('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})  $\Rightarrow$  ' $\alpha$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    (' $\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE}$ 
where call_1_C = call_C

```

```

definition call_2_C :: ( ' $\alpha \Rightarrow '\beta \Rightarrow ('\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE}$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ((('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})  $\Rightarrow$  ' $\alpha$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ((('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})  $\Rightarrow$  ' $\beta$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    (' $\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE}$ 
where call_2_C M A1 A2 = ( $\lambda\sigma.$  if exec-stop  $\sigma$  then Some(undefined,  $\sigma$ ) else M (A1  $\sigma$ ) (A2  $\sigma$ )  $\sigma$ )

```

```

definition call_3_C :: ( ' $\alpha \Rightarrow '\beta \Rightarrow '\gamma \Rightarrow ('\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE}$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ((('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})  $\Rightarrow$  ' $\alpha$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ((('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})  $\Rightarrow$  ' $\beta$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ((('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})  $\Rightarrow$  ' $\gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    (' $\varrho, ('\sigma\text{-ext})\text{ control-state-ext})MON_{SE}$ 
where call_3_C M A1 A2 A3 = ( $\lambda\sigma.$  if exec-stop  $\sigma$  then Some(undefined,  $\sigma$ ) else M (A1  $\sigma$ ) (A2  $\sigma$ ) (A3  $\sigma$ )  $\sigma$ )

```

1.3 Some Term-Coding Functions

In the following, we add a number of advanced HOL-term constructors in the style of **HOLogic** from the Isabelle/HOL libraries. They incorporate the construction of types during term construction in a bottom-up manner. Consequently, the leafs of such terms should always be typed, and anonymous loose-bound variables avoided.

ML
(* *HOLogic extended* *)

```

fun mkNone ty = let val none = const-name <Option.option.None>
    val none-ty = ty --> Type(type-name <option>, [ty])
    in Const(none, none-ty)
    end;

```

```

fun mk-Some t = let val some = const-name⟨Option.option.Some⟩
  val ty = fastype-of t
  val some-ty = ty --> Type(type-name⟨option⟩,[ty])
  in Const(some, some-ty) $ t
end;

fun dest-listTy (Type(type-name⟨List.list⟩, [T])) = T;

fun mk-hdT t = let val ty = fastype-of t
  in Const(const-name⟨List.hd⟩, ty --> (dest-listTy ty)) $ t end

fun mk-tlT t = let val ty = fastype-of t
  in Const(const-name⟨List.tl⟩, ty --> ty) $ t end

fun mk-undefined (@{typ unit}) = Const (const-name⟨Product-Type.Unity⟩, typ⟨unit⟩)
| mk-undefined t           = Const (const-name⟨HOL.undefined⟩, t)

fun meta-eq-const T = Const (const-name⟨Pure.eq⟩, T --> T --> propT);

fun mk-meta-eq (t, u) = meta-eq-const (fastype-of t) $ t $ u;

fun mk-pat-tupleabs [] t = t
| mk-pat-tupleabs [(s,ty)] t = absfree(s,ty)(t)
| mk-pat-tupleabs ((s,ty)::R) t = HOLogic.mk-case-prod(absfree(s,ty)(mk-pat-tupleabs R t));

fun read-constname ctxt n = fst(dest-Const(Syntax.read-term ctxt n))

fun wfrecT order recs =
  let val funT = domain-type (fastype-of recs)
  val aTy = domain-type funT
  val ordTy = HOLogic.mk-setT(HOLogic.mk-prodT (aTy,aTy))
  in Const(const-name⟨Wfrec.wfrec⟩, ordTy --> (funT --> funT) --> funT) $ order $ recs end

fun mk-lens-type from-ty to-ty = Type(@{type-name lens.lens-ext},
  [from-ty, to-ty, HOLogic.unitT]);

```

)

And here comes the core of the *Clean-State-Management*: the module that provides the functionality for the commands keywords **global-vars**, **local-vars** and **local-vars-test**. Note that the difference between **local-vars** and **local-vars-test** is just a technical one: **local-vars** can only be used inside a Clean function specification, made with the **function-spec** command. On the other hand, **local-vars-test** is defined as a global Isar command for test purposes.

A particular feature of the local-variable management is the provision of definitions for *push* and *pop* operations — encoded as ('o, 'o) *MON_{SE}* operations — which are vital

for the function specifications defined below.

ML

```

signature STATEMGT = sig
  structure Data: GENERIC-DATA
  datatype var-kind = global-var of typ | local-var of typ
  type state-field-tab = var-kind Symtab.table
  val MON-SE-T: typ -> typ -> typ
  val add-record-cmd:
    {overloaded: bool} ->
    bool ->
    (string * string option) list ->
    binding -> string option -> (binding * string * mixfix) list -> theory -> theory
  val add-record-cmd':
    {overloaded: bool} ->
    bool ->
    (string * string option) list ->
    binding -> string option -> (binding * typ * mixfix) list -> theory -> theory
  val add-record-cmd0:
    ('a -> Proof.context -> (binding * typ * mixfix) list * Proof.context) ->
    {overloaded: bool} ->
    bool -> (string * string option) list -> binding -> string option -> 'a -> theory
-> theory
  val cmd:
    (binding * typ option * mixfix) option * (Attrib.binding * term) * term list * *
    (binding * typ option * mixfix) list
    -> local-theory -> local-theory
  val construct-update: bool -> binding -> typ -> theory -> term
  val control-stateS: typ
  val control-stateT: typ
  val declare-state-variable-global: (typ -> var-kind) -> string -> theory -> theory
  val declare-state-variable-local: (typ -> var-kind) -> string -> Context.generic -> Context.generic
  val define-lense: binding -> typ -> binding * typ * 'a -> Proof.context -> local-theory
  val fetch-state-field: string * 'a -> (string * string) * 'a
  val filter-attr-of: string -> theory -> ((string * string) * var-kind) list
  val filter-name: string -> string * 'a -> ((string * string) * 'a) option
  val get-data: Proof.context -> Data.T
  val get-data-global: theory -> Data.T
  val get-result-value-conf: string -> theory -> (string * string) * var-kind
  val get-state-field-tab: Proof.context -> state-field-tab
  val get-state-field-tab-global: theory -> state-field-tab
  val get-state-type: Proof.context -> typ
  val get-state-type-global: theory -> typ
  val is-global-program-variable: Symtab.key -> theory -> bool
  val is-local-program-variable: Symtab.key -> theory -> bool
  val is-program-variable: Symtab.key -> theory -> bool
  val map-data: (Data.T -> Data.T) -> Context.generic -> Context.generic
  val map-data-global: (Data.T -> Data.T) -> theory -> theory

```

```

val map-to-update: typ -> bool -> theory -> (string * string) * var-kind -> term ->
term
  val merge-control-stateS: typ * typ -> typ
  val mk-global-state-name: binding -> binding
  val mk-lense-name: binding -> binding
  val mk-local-state-name: binding -> binding
  val mk-lookup-result-value-term: string -> typ -> theory -> term
  val mk-pop-def: binding -> typ -> typ -> Proof.context -> local-theory
  val mk-pop-name: binding -> binding
  val mk-push-def: binding -> typ -> Proof.context -> local-theory
  val mk-push-name: binding -> binding
  val new-state-record:
    bool ->
    (((string * string option) list * binding) * string option) option * 
    (binding * string * mixfix) list
    -> theory -> theory
  val new-state-record':
    bool ->
    (((string * string option) list * binding) * typ option) option * (binding * typ * mixfix)
list ->
  theory -> theory
  val new-state-record0:
    ({overloaded: bool} ->
    bool -> 'a list -> binding -> string option -> (binding * 'b * mixfix) list -> theory
-> theory)
    -> bool -> (('a list * binding) * 'b option) option * (binding * 'b * mixfix) list ->
theory -> theory
  val optionT: typ -> typ
  val parse-typ-'a: Proof.context -> binding -> typ
  val pop-eq: binding -> string -> typ -> typ -> Proof.context -> term
  val push-eq: binding -> string -> typ -> typ -> Proof.context -> term
  val read-fields: ('a * string * 'b) list -> Proof.context -> ('a * typ * 'b) list * Proof.context
  val read-parent: string option -> Proof.context -> (typ list * string) option * Proof.context
  val result-name: string
  val typ-2-string-raw: typ -> string
  val type-of: var-kind -> typ
  val upd-state-type: (typ -> typ) -> Context.generic -> Context.generic
  val upd-state-type-global: (typ -> typ) -> theory -> theory

end

structure StateMgt : STATEMGT =
struct

open StateMgt-core

val result-name = result-value

fun get-result-value-conf name thy =

```

```

let val S = filter-attr-of name thy
in hd(filter (fn ((-,b),-) => b = result-name) S)
   handle Empty => error internal error: get-result-value-conf end;

fun mk-lookup-result-value-term name sty thy =
  let val ((prefix,name),local-var(Type(fun, [-,ty]))) = get-result-value-conf name thy;
      val long-name = Sign.intern-const thy (prefix^name)
      val term = Const(long-name, sty --> ty)
  in mk-hdT (term $ Free(σ,sty)) end

fun map-to-update sty is-pop thy ((struct-name, attr-name), local-var (Type(fun,[-,ty]))) term
  =
  let val tlT = if is-pop then Const(const-name <List.tl>, ty --> ty)
    else Const(const-name <List.Cons>, dest-listTy ty --> ty --> ty)
        $ mk-undefined (dest-listTy ty)
    val update-name = Sign.intern-const thy (struct-name^attr-name^update)
    in (Const(update-name, (ty --> ty) --> sty --> sty) $ tlT) $ term end
  | map-to-update - - - ((-, -, -), -) = error(internal error map-to-update)

fun mk-local-state-name binding =
  Binding.prefix-name local- (Binding.suffix-name -state binding)
fun mk-global-state-name binding =
  Binding.prefix-name global- (Binding.suffix-name -state binding)

fun construct-update is-pop binding sty thy =
  let val long-name = Binding.name-of( binding)
      val attrS = StateMgt-core.filter-attr-of long-name thy
  in fold (map-to-update sty is-pop thy) (attrS) (Free(σ,sty)) end

fun cmd (decl, spec, prems, params) = #2 o Specification.definition decl params prems spec

fun mk-push-name binding = Binding.prefix-name push- binding

fun mk-lense-name binding = Binding.suffix-name L binding

fun push-eq binding name-op rty sty lthy =
  let val mty = MON-SE-T rty sty
      val thy = Proof-Context.theory-of lthy
      val term = construct-update false binding sty thy
  in mk-meta-eq((Free(name-op, mty) $ Free(σ,sty)),
    mk-Some ( HOLogic.mk-prod (mk-undefined rty,term)))
  end;

fun mk-push-def binding sty lthy =
  let val name-pushop = mk-push-name binding
      val rty = typ <unit>

```

```

val eq = push-eq binding (Binding.name-of name-pushop) rty sty lthy
val mty = StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T rty sty
val args = (SOME(name-pushop, SOME mty, NoSyn), (Binding.empty-atts,eq),[],[])
in cmd args lthy end;

fun mk-pop-name binding = Binding.prefix-name pop- binding

fun pop-eq binding name-op rty sty lthy =
  let val mty = MON-SE-T rty sty
    val thy = Proof-Context.theory-of lthy
    val res-access = mk-lookup-result-value-term (Binding.name-of binding) sty thy
    val term = construct-update true binding sty thy
  in mk-meta-eq((Free(name-op, mty) $ Free(σ,sty)),
    mk-Some ( HOLogic.mk-prod (res-access,term)))
  end;

fun mk-pop-def binding rty sty lthy =
  let val mty = StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T rty sty
    val name-op = mk-pop-name binding
    val eq = pop-eq binding (Binding.name-of name-op) rty sty lthy
    val args = (SOME(name-op, SOME mty, NoSyn),(Binding.empty-atts,eq),[],[])
  in cmd args lthy
  end;

fun read-parent NONE ctxt = (NONE, ctxt)
| read-parent (SOME raw-T) ctxt =
  (case Proof-Context.read-typ-abbrev ctxt raw-T of
   Type (name, Ts) => (SOME (Ts, name), fold Variable.declare-typ Ts ctxt)
  | T => error (Bad parent record specification: ^ Syntax.string-of-typ ctxt T));

fun read-fields raw-fields ctxt =
  let
    val Ts = Syntax.read-typs ctxt (map (fn (-, raw-T, -) => raw-T) raw-fields);
    val fields = map2 (fn (x, -, mx) => fn T => (x, T, mx)) raw-fields Ts;
    val ctxt' = fold Variable.declare-typ Ts ctxt;
  in (fields, ctxt') end;

fun parse-typ-'a ctxt binding =
  let val ty-bind = Binding.prefix-name 'a (Binding.suffix-name -scheme binding)
  in case Syntax.parse-typ ctxt (Binding.name-of ty-bind) of
    Type (s, -) => Type (s, [@{typ 'a::type}])
   | - => error (Unexpected type ^ Position.here here)
  end

fun define-lense binding sty (attr-name,rty,-) lthy =
  let val prefix = Binding.name-of binding ^-

```

```

val name-L = attr-name |> Binding.prefix-name prefix
                     |> mk-lense-name
val name-upd = Binding.suffix-name -update attr-name
val acc-ty = sty --> rty
val upd-ty = (rty --> rty) --> sty --> sty
val cr = Const(@{const-name Optics.createL},
               acc-ty --> upd-ty --> mk-lens-type rty sty)
val thy = Proof-Context.theory-of lthy
val acc-name = Sign.intern-const thy (Binding.name-of attr-name)
val upd-name = Sign.intern-const thy (Binding.name-of name-upd)
val acc = Const(acc-name, acc-ty)
val upd = Const(upd-name, upd-ty)
val lens-ty = mk-lens-type rty sty
val eq = mk-meta-eq (Free(Binding.name-of name-L, lens-ty), cr $ acc $ upd)
val args = (SOME(name-L, SOME lens-ty, NoSyn), (Binding.empty-atts, eq), [], [])
in cmd args lthy end

```

```

fun add-record-cmd0 read-fields overloaded is-global-kind raw-params binding raw-parent raw-fields
thy =
let
  val ctxt = Proof-Context.init-global thy;
  val params = map (apsnd (TypeDecl.read-constraint ctxt)) raw-params;
  val ctxt1 = fold (Variable.declare-typ o TFree) params ctxt;
  val (parent, ctxt2) = read-parent raw-parent ctxt1;
  val (fields, ctxt3) = read-fields raw-fields ctxt2;
  fun lift (a,b,c) = (a, HOLogic.listT b, c)
  val fields' = if is-global-kind then fields else map lift fields
  val params' = map (Proof-Context.check-tfree ctxt3) params;
  val declare = StateMgt-core.declare-state-variable-global
  fun upd-state-typ thy = let val ctxt = Proof-Context.init-global thy
                           val ty = Syntax.parse-typ ctxt (Binding.name-of binding)
                           in StateMgt-core.upd-state-type-global(K ty)(thy) end
  fun insert-var ((f,-,-), thy) =
    if is-global-kind
    then declare StateMgt-core.global-var (Binding.name-of f) thy
    else declare StateMgt-core.local-var (Binding.name-of f) thy
  fun define-push-pop thy =
    if not is-global-kind
    then let val sty = parse-typ-'a (Proof-Context.init-global thy) binding;
          val rty = dest-listTy (#2(hd(rev fields')))
          in thy
                |> Named-Target.theory-map (mk-push-def binding sty)
                |> Named-Target.theory-map (mk-pop-def binding rty sty)
    end
    else thy
  fun define-lenses thy =
    let val sty = parse-typ-'a (Proof-Context.init-global thy) binding;

```

```

in thy |> Named-Target.theory-map (fold (define-lense binding sty) fields') end
in thy |> Record.add-record overloaded (params', binding) parent fields'
|> (fn thy => List.foldr insert-var (thy) (fields'))
|> upd-state-typ
|> define-push-pop
|> define-lenses
end;

fun typ-2-string/raw (Type(s,[TFree _])) = if String.isSuffix -scheme s
                                             then Long-Name.base-name(unsuffix -scheme s)
                                             else Long-Name.base-name(unsuffix -ext s)

|typ-2-string/raw (Type(s,-)) =
error (Illegal parameterized state type – not allowed in Clean:  $\wedge$  s)
|typ-2-string/raw - = error Illegal state type – not allowed in Clean.

fun new-state-record0 add-record-cmd is-global-kind (aS, raw-fields) thy =
let val state-index = (Int.toString o length o Symtab.dest)
    (StateMgt-core.get-state-field-tab-global thy)
val state-pos = (Binding.pos-of o #1 o hd) raw-fields
val ((raw-params, binding), res-ty) = case aS of
    SOME d => d
    | NONE => ([], Binding.make(state-index,state-pos)),
NONE)
val binding = if is-global-kind
              then mk-global-state-name binding
              else mk-local-state-name binding
val raw-parent = SOME(typ-2-string/raw (StateMgt-core.get-state-type-global thy))
val - = writeln(XXXXX  $\wedge$  @{make-string} raw-params  $\wedge$  CCC  $\wedge$  @{make-string} binding
                 $\wedge$  @{make-string} raw-fields)
val pos = Binding.pos-of binding
fun upd-state-typ thy = StateMgt-core.upd-state-type-global
    (K (parse-typ-'a (Proof-Context.init-global thy) binding)) thy
val result-binding = Binding.make(result-name,pos)
val raw-fields' = case res-ty of
    NONE => raw-fields
    | SOME res-ty => raw-fields @ [(result-binding,res-ty, NoSyn)]
in thy |> add-record-cmd {overloaded = false} is-global-kind
      raw-params binding raw-parent raw-fields'
|> upd-state-typ

end

val add-record-cmd   = add-record-cmd0 read-fields;
val add-record-cmd' = add-record-cmd0 pair;

```

```

val new-state-record = new-state-record0 add-record-cmd
val new-state-record' = new-state-record0 add-record-cmd';

fun clean-ctxt-parser b = Parse.$$$ (
  |-- (Parse.type-args-constrained -- Parse.binding)
  -- (if b then Scan.succeed NONE else Parse.typ >> SOME)
  --| Parse.$$$ )
  : (((string * string option) list * binding) * string option) parser

val _ =
Outer-Syntax.command
  command-keyword <global-vars>
  define global state record
  (Scan.option (clean-ctxt-parser true) -- Scan.repeat1 Parse.const-binding
  >> (Toplevel.theory o new-state-record true));

val _ =
Outer-Syntax.command
  command-keyword <local-vars-test>
  define local state record
  (Scan.option (clean-ctxt-parser false) -- Scan.repeat1 Parse.const-binding
  >> (Toplevel.theory o new-state-record false));

end
>

```

1.4 Syntactic Sugar supporting λ -lifting for Global and Local Variables

```

ML <
structure Clean-Syntax-Lift =
struct
  type T = { is-local : string -> bool
             , is-global : string -> bool }

  val init =
    Proof-Context.theory-of
    #> (fn thy =>
        { is-local = fn name => StateMgt-core.is-local-program-variable name thy
        , is-global = fn name => StateMgt-core.is-global-program-variable name thy })

  local
    fun mk-local-access X = Const (@{const-name Fun.comp}, dummyT)

```

```

$ Const (@{const-name List.list.hd}, dummyT) $ X
in
fun app-sigma0 (st : T) db tm = case tm of
  Const(name, _) => if #is-global st name
    then tm $ (Bound db) (* lambda lifting *)
    else if #is-local st name
      then (mk-local-access tm) $ (Bound db) (* lambda lifting local *)
      else tm (* no lifting *)
  | Free _ => tm
  | Var _ => tm
  | Bound n => if n > db then Bound(n + 1) else Bound n
  | Abs (x, ty, tm') => Abs(x, ty, app-sigma0 st (db+1) tm')
  | t1 $ t2 => (app-sigma0 st db t1) $ (app-sigma0 st db t2)

fun app-sigma db tm = init #> (fn st => app-sigma0 st db tm)

fun scope-var st name =
  if #is-global st name then SOME true
  else if #is-local st name then SOME false
  else NONE

fun assign-update var = var ^ Record.updateN

fun transform-term0 abs scope-var tm =
  let
    fun transform t1 t2 name ty =
      Const ( case scope-var name of
        SOME true => @{const-name assign-global}
        | SOME false => @{const-name assign-local}
        | NONE => raise TERM (mk-assign, [t1])
          , dummyT)
      $ Const(assign-update name, ty)
      $ abs t2
  in
    case tm of
      Const (-type-constraint-, _) $ Const (@{const-name Clean.syntax-assign}, -)
      $ (t1 as Const (-type-constraint-, _) $ Const (name, ty))
      $ t2 => transform t1 t2 name ty
    | Const (@{const-name Clean.syntax-assign}, -)
      $ (t1 as Const (-type-constraint-, _) $ Const (name, ty))
      $ t2 => transform t1 t2 name ty
    | _ => abs tm
  end

fun transform-term st sty =
  transform-term0
  (fn tm => Abs (σ, sty, app-sigma0 st 0 tm))
  (scope-var st)

```

```

fun transform-term' st = transform-term st dummyT

fun string-tr ctxt content args =
  let fun err () = raise TERM (string-tr, args)
  in
    (case args of
      [(Const (@{syntax-const -constraint}, _)) $ (Free (s, _)) $ p] =>
      (case Term-Position.decode-position1 p of
        SOME {pos, ...} => Symbol-Pos.implode (content (s, pos))
          |> Syntax.parse-term ctxt
          |> transform-term (init ctxt) (StateMgt-core.get-state-type ctxt)
          |> Syntax.check-term ctxt
        | NONE => err ())
      | _ => err ())
    end
  end
end
>

syntax -cartouche-string :: cartouche-position => string  (<->)

parse-translation <
  [(@{syntax-const -cartouche-string},
    (fn ctxt => Clean-Syntax-Lift.string-tr ctxt (Symbol-Pos.cartouche-content o Symbol-Pos.explode)))]

>

```

1.5 Support for (direct recursive) Clean Function Specifications

Based on the machinery for the State-Management and implicitly cooperating with the cartouches for assignment syntax, the function-specification **function-spec**-package coordinates:

1. the parsing and type-checking of parameters,
2. the parsing and type-checking of pre and post conditions in MOAL notation (using λ -lifting cartouches and implicit reference to parameters, pre and post states),
3. the parsing local variable section with the local-variable space generation,
4. the parsing of the body in this extended variable space,
5. and optionally the support of measures for recursion proofs.

The reader interested in details is referred to the `../examples/Quicksort_concept.thy`-example, accompanying this distribution.

In order to support the `old`-notation known from JML and similar annotation languages, we introduce the following definition:

definition `old` :: `'a => 'a where old x = x`

The core module of the parser and operation specification construct is implemented in the following module:

```

ML <
structure Function-Specification-Parser =
struct

  type funct-spec-src = {
    binding: binding,
    params: (binding*string) list,
    ret-type: string,
    locals: (binding*string*mixfix)list,
    pre-src: string,
    post-src: string,
    variant-src: string option,
    body-src: string * Position.T
  }

  type funct-spec-sem-old = {
    params: (binding*typ) list,
    ret-typ: typ,
    pre: term,
    post: term,
    variant: term option
  }

  type funct-spec-sem = {
    binding: binding,
    params: (binding*string) list,
    ret-type: string,
    locals: (binding*string*mixfix)list,
    read-pre: Proof.context -> term,
    read-post: Proof.context -> term,
    read-variant-opt: (Proof.context->term) option,
    read-body: Proof.context -> typ -> term
  }

  val parse-arg-decl = Parse.binding -- (Parse.$$$ :: |-- Parse.typ)

  val parse-param-decls = Args.parens (Parse.enum , parse-arg-decl)

  val parse-returns-clause = Scan.optional (keyword <returns> |-- Parse.typ) unit

  val locals-clause = (Scan.optional ( keyword <local-vars>
    -- (Scan.repeat1 Parse.const-binding)) (, []))

  val parse-proc-spec = (
    Parse.binding
    -- parse-param-decls
    -- parse-returns-clause
    --| keyword <pre>      -- Parse.term
    --| keyword <post>      -- Parse.term
  )

```

```

-- (Scan.option ( keyword<variant> |-- Parse.term))
-- (Scan.optional( keyword<local-vars> |-- (Scan.repeat1 Parse.const-binding))[])
--| keyword<defines> -- (Parse.position (Parse.term))
) >> (fn (((((((binding,params),ret-ty),pre-src),post-src),variant-src),locals)),body-src) =>
{
  binding = binding,
  params=params,
  ret-type=ret-ty,
  pre-src=pre-src,
  post-src=post-src,
  variant-src=variant-src,
  locals=locals,
  body-src=body-src} : funct-spec-src
)

fun read-params params ctxt =
let
  val Ts = Syntax.read-typs ctxt (map snd params);
in (Ts, fold Variable.declare-typ Ts ctxt) end;

fun read-result ret-ty ctxt =
let val [ty] = Syntax.read-typs ctxt [ret-ty]
  val ctxt' = Variable.declare-typ ty ctxt
in (ty, ctxt') end

fun read-function-spec ( params, ret-type, read-variant-opt) ctxt =
let val (params-Ts, ctxt') = read-params params ctxt
  val (rty, ctxt'') = read-result ret-type ctxt'
  val variant = case read-variant-opt of
    NONE => NONE
    | SOME f => SOME(f ctxt'')
  val paramT-l = (map2 (fn (b, -) => fn T => (b, T)) params params-Ts)
in ((paramT-l, rty, variant),ctxt'') end

fun check-absence-old term =
let fun test (s,ty) = if s = @{const-name old} andalso fst (dest-Type ty) = fun
  then error(the old notation is not allowed here!)
  else false
in exists-Const test term end

fun transform-old sty term =
let fun transform-old0 (Const(@{const-name old}, Type (fun, [-,-])) $ term )
  = (case term of
      (Const(s,ty) $ Bound x) => (Const(s,ty) $ Bound (x+1))
      | _ => error(illegal application of the old notation.))
  | transform-old0 (t1 $ t2) = transform-old0 t1 $ transform-old0 t2
  | transform-old0 (Abs(s,ty,term)) = Abs(s,ty,transform-old0 term)

```

```

| transform-old0 term = term
in Abs( $\sigma_{pre}$ , sty, transform-old0 term) end

fun define-cond binding f-sty transform-old check-absence-old cond-suffix params read-cond
(ctxt:local-theory) =
let val params' = map (fn(b, ty) => (Binding.name-of b, ty)) params
val src' = case transform-old (read-cond ctxt) of
    Abs(nn, sty-pre, term) => mk-pat-tupleabs params' (Abs(nn, sty-pre, term))
    | _ => error (define abstraction for result ^ Position.here here)
val bdg = Binding.suffix-name cond-suffix binding
val - = check-absence-old src'
val bdg-ty = HOLogic.mk-tupleT (map (#2) params) --> f-sty HOLogic.boolT
val eq = mk-meta-eq (Free(Binding.name-of bdg, bdg-ty), src')
val args = (SOME(bdg, NONE, NoSyn), (Binding.empty-atts, eq), [], [])
in StateMgt.cmd args ctxt end

fun define-precond binding sty =
  define-cond binding (fn boolT => sty --> boolT) I check-absence-old -pre

fun define-postcond binding rty sty =
  define-cond binding (fn boolT => sty --> sty --> rty --> boolT) (transform-old sty)
I -post

fun define-body-core binding args-ty sty params body =
let val params' = map (fn(b, ty) => (Binding.name-of b, ty)) params
val bdg-core = Binding.suffix-name -core binding
val bdg-core-name = Binding.name-of bdg-core

val umty = args-ty --> StateMgt.MON-SE-T @{typ unit} sty

val eq = mk-meta-eq (Free(bdg-core-name, umty), mk-pat-tupleabs params' body)
val args-core = (SOME(bdg-core, SOME umty, NoSyn), (Binding.empty-atts, eq), [], [])

in StateMgt.cmd args-core
end

fun define-body-main {recursive = x:bool} binding rty sty params read-variant-opt - ctxt =
let val push-name = StateMgt.mk-push-name (StateMgt.mk-local-state-name binding)
val pop-name = StateMgt.mk-pop-name (StateMgt.mk-local-state-name binding)
val bdg-core = Binding.suffix-name -core binding
val bdg-core-name = Binding.name-of bdg-core
val bdg-rec-name = Binding.name-of (Binding.suffix-name -rec binding)
val bdg-ord-name = Binding.name-of (Binding.suffix-name -order binding)
val args-ty = HOLogic.mk-tupleT (map snd params)
val rmty = StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T rty sty
val umty = StateMgt.MON-SE-T @{typ unit} sty
val argsProdT = HOLogic.mk-prodT(args-ty, args-ty)
val argsRelSet = HOLogic.mk-setT argsProdT
val params' = map (fn(b, ty) => (Binding.name-of b, ty)) params

```

```

val measure-term = case read-variant-opt of
  NONE => Free(bdg-ord-name,args-ty --> HOLogic.natT)
  | SOME f => ((f ctxt) |> mk-pat-tupleabs params')
    val measure = Const(@{const-name Wellfounded.measure}, (args-ty --> HO-
Logic.natT)
                                         --> argsRelSet )
      $ measure-term
  val lhs-main = if x andalso is-none (read-variant-opt )
    then Free(Binding.name-of binding, (args-ty --> HOLogic.natT)
                                         --> args-ty --> rmty) $
      Free(bdg-ord-name, args-ty --> HOLogic.natT)
    else Free(Binding.name-of binding, args-ty --> rmty)
  val rhs-main = mk-pat-tupleabs params'
    (Const(@{const-name Clean.block_C}, umty --> umty --> rmty -->
rmty)
      $ Const(read-constrname ctxt (Binding.name-of push-name),umty)
      $ (Const(read-constrname ctxt bdg-core-name, args-ty --> umty)
        $ HOLogic.mk-tuple (map Free params'))
      $ Const(read-constrname ctxt (Binding.name-of pop-name),rmty))
  val rhs-main-rec = wfrecT
    measure
      (Abs(bdg-rec-name, (args-ty --> rmty) ,
           mk-pat-tupleabs params'
           (Const(@{const-name Clean.block_C}, umty-->umty-->rmty-->rmty)
             $ Const(read-constrname ctxt (Binding.name-of push-name),umty)
             $ (Const(read-constrname ctxt bdg-core-name,
                       (args-ty --> rmty) --> args-ty --> umty)
               $ (Bound (length params))
               $ HOLogic.mk-tuple (map Free params'))
             $ Const(read-constrname ctxt (Binding.name-of pop-name),rmty))))
  val eq-main = mk-meta-eq(lhs-main, if x then rhs-main-rec else rhs-main )
  val args-main = (SOME(binding,NONE,NoSyn), (Binding.empty-atts,eq-main),[],[])
  in ctxt |> StateMgt.cmd args-main
  end

  val - = Local-Theory.exit-result-global;
  val - = Named-Target.theory-map-result;
  val - = Named-Target.theory-map;

```

(* This code is in large parts so messy because the extensible record package (used inside StateMgt.new-state-record) is only available as transformation on global contexts, which cuts the local context calculations into two halves. The second halves is cut again into two halves because the definition of the core apparently does not take effect before defining the block – structure when not separated (this problem can perhaps be overcome somehow))

Precondition: the terms of the read-functions are full typed in the respective local contexts.

```

*)  

fun checkNsem-function-spec-gen {recursive = false} ({read-variant-opt=SOME _, ...}) - =  

    error No measure required in non-recursive call  

| checkNsem-function-spec-gen (isrec as {recursive = _;bool})  

    ({binding, ret-type, read-variant-opt, locals,  

     read-body, read-pre, read-post, params} : funct-spec-sem)  

    thy =  

let fun addfixes ((params-Ts,ret-ty,t-opt), ctxt) =  

    (fn fg => fn ctxt =>  

     ctxt  

     |> Proof-Context.add-fixes (map (fn (s,ty)=>(s,SOME ty,NoSyn))  

      params-Ts)  

     (* this declares the parameters of a function specification  

      as Free variables (overrides a possible constant declaration)  

      and assigns the declared type to them *)  

     |> (fn (X, ctxt) => fg params-Ts ret-ty ctxt)  

     , ctxt)  

val (theory-map, thy') = Named-Target.theory-map-result  

  (K (fn f => Named-Target.theory-map o f))  

  (read-function-spec (params, ret-type, read-variant-opt)  

   #> addfixes  

  )  

  (thy)  

in thy' |> theory-map  

  let val sty-old = StateMgt-core.get-state-type-global thy'  

    fun parse-contract params ret-ty =  

      (define-precond binding sty-old params read-pre  

       #> define-postcond binding ret-ty sty-old params read-post)  

  in parse-contract  

  end  

|> StateMgt.new-state-record false (SOME ((),binding), SOME ret-type),locals)  

|> theory-map  

  (fn params => fn ret-ty => fn ctxt =>  

   let val sty = StateMgt-core.get-state-type ctxt  

     val args-ty = HOLogic.mk-tupleT (map snd params)  

     val mon-se-ty = StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T ret-ty sty  

     val body = read-body ctxt mon-se-ty  

     val ctxt' =  

       if #recursive isrec then  

         Proof-Context.add-fixes  

         [(binding, SOME (args-ty --> mon-se-ty), NoSyn)] ctxt |> #2  

       else  

         ctxt  

         val body = read-body ctxt' mon-se-ty  

       in ctxt' |> define-body-core binding args-ty sty params body  

       end) (* separation nasty, but nec. in order to make the body definition

```

```

take effect. No other reason. *)

|> theory-map
  (fn params => fn ret-ty => fn ctxt =>
    let val sty = StateMgt-core.get-state-type ctxt
      val mon-se-ty = StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T ret-ty sty
      val body = read-body ctxt mon-se-ty
      in ctxt |> define-body-main isrec binding ret-ty sty
        params read-variant-opt body
      end)
  end

fun checkNsem-function-spec (isrec as {recursive = -:bool})
  ( {binding, ret-type, variant-src, locals,
    body-src, pre-src, post-src, params} : funct-spec-src)
  thy =
  checkNsem-function-spec-gen (isrec)
  ( {binding = binding,
    params = params,
    ret-type = ret-type,
    read-variant-opt = (case variant-src of
      NONE => NONE
      | SOME t=> SOME(fn ctxt
        => Syntax.read-term ctxt t)),
    locals = locals,
    read-body = fn ctxt => fn expected-type
      => Syntax.read-term ctxt (fst body-src),
    read-pre = fn ctxt => Syntax.read-term ctxt pre-src,
    read-post = fn ctxt => Syntax.read-term ctxt post-src} : funct-spec-sem)
  thy

val - =
Outer-Syntax.command
  command-keyword <function-spec>
  define Clean function specification
  (parse-proc-spec >> (Toplevel.theory o checkNsem-function-spec {recursive = false}));;

val - =
Outer-Syntax.command
  command-keyword <rec-function-spec>
  define recursive Clean function specification
  (parse-proc-spec >> (Toplevel.theory o checkNsem-function-spec {recursive = true}));;

end
>

```

1.6 The Rest of Clean: Break/Return aware Version of If, While, etc.

definition $\text{if-}C :: [(\sigma\text{-ext}) \text{ control-state-ext} \Rightarrow \text{bool},$
 $\quad (\beta, (\sigma\text{-ext}) \text{ control-state-ext}) \text{MON}_{SE},$
 $\quad (\beta, (\sigma\text{-ext}) \text{ control-state-ext}) \text{MON}_{SE}] \Rightarrow (\beta, (\sigma\text{-ext}) \text{ control-state-ext}) \text{MON}_{SE}$

where $\text{if-}C c E F = (\lambda\sigma. \text{if exec-stop } \sigma$
 $\quad \text{then Some(undefined, } \sigma) \text{ — state unchanged, return arbitrary}$
 $\quad \text{else if } c \sigma \text{ then } E \sigma \text{ else } F \sigma)$

syntax $(xsymbols)$
 $\text{-if-SECLEAN} :: [\sigma \Rightarrow \text{bool}, (\sigma, \sigma) \text{MON}_{SE}, (\sigma, \sigma) \text{MON}_{SE}] \Rightarrow (\sigma, \sigma) \text{MON}_{SE}$
 $(\langle \text{if}_C - \text{then} - \text{else} - \text{fi} \rangle [5,8,8]20)$

translations
 $(\text{if}_C \text{ cond then } T1 \text{ else } T2 \text{ fi}) == \text{CONST Clean.if-}C \text{ cond } T1 \text{ } T2$

definition $\text{while-}C :: ((\sigma\text{-ext}) \text{ control-state-ext} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{unit}, (\sigma\text{-ext}) \text{ control-state-ext}) \text{MON}_{SE}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{unit}, (\sigma\text{-ext}) \text{ control-state-ext}) \text{MON}_{SE}$

where $\text{while-}C c B \equiv (\lambda\sigma. \text{if exec-stop } \sigma \text{ then Some}((), } \sigma)$
 $\quad \text{else } ((\text{MonadSE.while-SE } (\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge c \sigma) \text{ } B) ;-$
 $\quad \text{unset-break-status}) \sigma)$

syntax $(xsymbols)$
 $\text{-while-}C :: [\sigma \Rightarrow \text{bool}, (\text{unit}, \sigma) \text{MON}_{SE}] \Rightarrow (\text{unit}, \sigma) \text{MON}_{SE}$
 $(\langle \text{while}_C - \text{do} - \text{od} \rangle [8,8]20)$

translations
 $\text{while}_C \text{ c do b od} == \text{CONST Clean.while-}C \text{ c b}$

1.7 Miscellaneous

Since `int` were mapped to Isabelle/HOL `int` and `unsigned int` to `nat`, there is the need for a common interface for accesses in arrays, which were represented by Isabelle/HOL lists:

```
consts  $nth_C :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a$ 
overloading  $nth_C \equiv nth_C :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a$ 
begin
definition
   $nth_C\text{-}nat : nth_C (S :: 'a \text{ list}) (a) \equiv nth S a$ 
end

overloading  $nth_C \equiv nth_C :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow 'a$ 
begin
definition
   $nth_C\text{-}int : nth_C (S :: 'a \text{ list}) (a) \equiv nth S (nat a)$ 
```

```

end

definition while-C-A :: (( $\sigma$ -ext) control-state-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
     $\Rightarrow$  (( $\sigma$ -ext) control-state-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  nat)
     $\Rightarrow$  (( $\sigma$ -ext) control-state-ext  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
     $\Rightarrow$  (unit, ( $\sigma$ -ext) control-state-ext) MONSE
     $\Rightarrow$  (unit, ( $\sigma$ -ext) control-state-ext) MONSE
where while-C-A Inv f c B  $\equiv$  while-C c B

```

ML<

```

structure Clean-Term-interface =
struct

fun mk-seq-C C C' = let val t = fastype-of C
    val t' = fastype-of C'
    in Const(const-name⟨bind-SE⟩, t --> t' --> t') $ C $ C' end;

fun mk-skip-C sty = Const(const-name⟨skipSE⟩, StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T HOLogic.unitT
sty)

fun mk-break sty =
Const(const-name⟨break⟩, StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T HOLogic.unitT sty )

fun mk-return-C upd rhs =
let val ty = fastype-of rhs
    val (sty,rty) = case ty of
        Type(fun, [sty,rty]) => (sty,rty)
        | _ => error mk-return-C: illegal type for body
    val upd-ty = (HOLogic.listT rty --> HOLogic.listT rty) --> sty --> sty
    val rhs-ty = sty --> rty
    val mty = StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T HOLogic.unitT sty
    in Const(const-name⟨returnC⟩, upd-ty --> rhs-ty --> mty) $ upd $ rhs end

fun mk-assign-global-C upd rhs =
let val ty = fastype-of rhs
    val (sty,rty) = case ty of
        Type(fun, [sty,rty]) => (sty,rty)
        | _ => error mk-assign-global-C: illegal type for body
    val upd-ty = (rty --> rty) --> sty --> sty
    val rhs-ty = sty --> rty
    val mty = StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T HOLogic.unitT sty
    in Const(const-name⟨assign-global⟩, upd-ty --> rhs-ty --> mty) $ upd $ rhs end

fun mk-assign-local-C upd rhs =
let val ty = fastype-of rhs
    val (sty,rty) = case ty of
        Type(fun, [sty,rty]) => (sty,rty)

```

```

| - => error mk-assign-local-C: illegal type for body
val upd-ty = (HOLogic.listT rty --> HOLogic.listT rty) --> sty --> sty
val rhs-ty = sty --> rty
val mty = StateMgt-core.MON-SE-T HOLogic.unitT sty
in Const(const-name <assign-local>, upd-ty --> rhs-ty --> mty) $ upd $ rhs end

fun mk-call-C opn args =
let val ty = fastype-of opn
  val (argty,mty) = case ty of
    Type(fun, [argty,mty]) => (argty,mty)
    | - => error mk-call-C: illegal type for body
  val sty = case mty of
    Type(fun, [sty,-]) => sty
    | - => error mk-call-C: illegal type for body 2
  val args-ty = sty --> argty
in Const(const-name <call_C>, ty --> args-ty --> mty) $ opn $ args end

(* missing : a call-assign-local and a call-assign-global. Or define at HOL level ? *)

fun mk-if-C c B B' =
let val ty = fastype-of B
  val ty-cond = case ty of
    Type(fun, [argty,-]) => argty --> HOLogic.boolT
    | - => error mk-if-C: illegal type for body
  in Const(const-name <if-C>, ty-cond --> ty --> ty --> ty) $ c $ B $ B'
end;

fun mk-while-C c B =
let val ty = fastype-of B
  val ty-cond = case ty of
    Type(fun, [argty,-]) => argty --> HOLogic.boolT
    | - => error mk-while-C: illegal type for body
  in Const(const-name <while-C>, ty-cond --> ty --> ty) $ c $ B
end;

fun mk-while-anno-C inv f c B =
(* no type-check on inv and measure f *)
let val ty = fastype-of B
  val (ty-cond,ty-m) = case ty of
    Type(fun, [argty,-]) => ( argty --> HOLogic.boolT,
                                argty --> HOLogic.natT)
    | - => error mk-while-anno-C: illegal type for body
  in Const(const-name <while-C-A>, ty-cond --> ty-m --> ty-cond --> ty --> ty)
    $ inv $ f $ c $ B
end;

fun mk-block-C push body pop =
let val body-ty = fastype-of body
  val pop-ty = fastype-of pop

```

```

val bty = body-ty --> body-ty --> pop-ty --> pop-ty
in Const(const-name <blockC>, bty) $ push $ body $ pop end
end;›

```

1.8 Function-calls in Expressions

The precise semantics of function-calls appearing inside expressions is underspecified in C, which is a notorious problem for compilers and analysis tools. In Clean, it is impossible by construction — and the type discipline — to have function-calls inside expressions. However, there is a somewhat *recommended coding-scheme* for this feature, which leaves this issue to decisions in the front-end:

```
a = f() + g();
```

can be represented in Clean by: $x \leftarrow f(); y \leftarrow g(); \langle a := x + y \rangle$ or $x \leftarrow g(); y \leftarrow f(); \langle a := y + x \rangle$ which makes the evaluation order explicit without introducing local variables or any form of explicit trace on the state-space of the Clean program. We assume, however, even in this coding scheme, that `f()` and `g()` are atomic actions; note that this assumption is not necessarily justified in modern compilers, where actually neither of these two (atomic) serializations of `f()` and `g()` may exists.

Note, furthermore, that expressions may not only be right-hand-sides of (local or global) assignments or conceptually similar return-statements, but also passed as argument of other function calls, where the same problem arises.

end

2 Clean Semantics : A Coding-Concept Example

The following show-case introduces subsequently a non-trivial example involving local and global variable declarations, declarations of operations with pre-post conditions as well as direct-recursive operations (i.e. C-like functions with side-effects on global and local variables.

```
theory Quicksort-concept
imports Clean.Clean
Clean.Hoare-Clean
Clean.Clean-Symbex
begin
```

2.1 The Quicksort Example

We present the following quicksort algorithm in some conceptual, high-level notation:

```
algorithm (A,i,j) =
  tmp := A[i];
  A[i]:=A[j];
  A[j]:=tmp

algorithm partition(A, lo, hi) is
  pivot := A[hi]
  i := lo
  for j := lo to hi - 1 do
    if A[j] < pivot then
      swap A[i] with A[j]
      i := i + 1
  swap A[i] with A[hi]
  return i

algorithm quicksort(A, lo, hi) is
  if lo < hi then
    p := partition(A, lo, hi)
    quicksort(A, lo, p - 1)
    quicksort(A, p + 1, hi)
```

In the following, we will present the Quicksort program alternatingly in Clean high-level notation and simulate its effect by an alternative formalisation representing the semantic effects of the high-level notation on a step-by-step basis. Note that Clean does not possess the concept of call-by-reference parameters; consequently, the algorithm must be specialized to a variant where A is just a global variable.

2.2 Clean Encoding of the Global State of Quicksort

We demonstrate the accumulating effect of some key Clean commands by highlighting the changes of Clean's state-management module state. At the beginning, the state-type of the Clean state management is just the type of the '*a control-state-scheme*', while the table of global and local variables is empty.

```
ML< val Type(s,t) = StateMgt-core.get-state-type-global @{theory};  
      StateMgt-core.get-state-field-tab-global @{theory}; >
```

The *global-vars* command, described and defined in `Clean.thy`, declares the global variable **A**. This has the following effect:

```
global-vars (S)  
  A :: int list  
ML<  
  (fst o StateMgt-core.get-data-global) @{theory}  
>  
global-vars (S2)  
  B :: int list
```

```
ML<  
  (Int.toString o length o Symtab.dest o fst o StateMgt-core.get-data-global) @{theory}  
>
```

```
find-theorems (60) name:global-state2-state
```

```
find-theorems createL name:Quick
```

... which is reflected in Clean's state-management table:

```
ML< val Type(Quicksort-concept.global-S2-state-scheme,t)  
      = StateMgt-core.get-state-type-global @{theory};  
      (Int.toString o length o Symtab.dest)(StateMgt-core.get-state-field-tab-global @{theory})>
```

Note that the state-management uses long-names for complete disambiguation.

A Simulation of Synthesis of Typed Assignment-Rules

```
definition AL' where AL' ≡ createL global-S-state.A global-S-state.A-update
```

```
lemma AL'-control-indep : (break-statusL ⊲ AL' ∧ return-statusL ⊲ AL')  
  unfolding AL'-def break-statusL-def return-statusL-def createL-def upd2put-def  
  by (simp add: lens-indep-def)
```

```
lemma AL'-strong-indep : #! AL'  
  unfolding strong-control-independence-def  
  using AL'-control-indep by blast
```

Specialized Assignment Rule for Global Variable **A**. Note that this specialized rule of $\# \text{?upd} \implies \{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge ?P (\text{?upd } (\lambda_. ?rhs \sigma) \sigma)\}$ $\text{?upd} :=_G ?rhs \{\lambda r. \sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge ?P \sigma\}$

does not need any further side-conditions referring to independence from the control. Consequently, backward inference in an *wp*-calculus will just maintain the invariant $\triangleright \sigma$.

lemma *assign-global-A*:

```
{\lambda\sigma.\triangleright\sigma\wedge P(\sigma(A:=rhs\sigma))} A-update ==_G rhs {\lambda r\sigma.\triangleright\sigma\wedge P\sigma}
apply(rule assign-global)
apply(rule strong-vs-weak-upd [of global-S-state.A global-S-state.A-update])
apply (metis A_L'-def A_L'-strong-indep)
by(rule ext, rule ext, auto)
```

2.3 Encoding swap in Clean

2.3.1 swap in High-level Notation

Unfortunately, the name *result* is already used in the logical context; we use local binders instead.

definition *i = ()* — check that *i* can exist as a constant with an arbitrary type before treating **function-spec**

definition *j = ()* — check that *j* can exist as a constant with an arbitrary type before treating **function-spec**

function-spec *swap (i::nat,j::nat)* — TODO: the hovering on parameters produces a number of report equal to the number of `Proof_Context.add_fixes` called in `Function_Specification_Parser.checkNsem_function`

```
pre      <i < length A \wedge j < length A>
post     <\lambda res. length A = length(old A) \wedge res = ()>
local-vars tmp :: int
defines   <tmp := A ! i> ;-
          <A := list-update A i (A ! j)> ;-
          <A := list-update A j tmp>
```

value (*break-status = False, return-status = False, A = [1,2,3], tmp = [], result-value = [], ... = X*)

term *swap*

find-theorems (70) *name:local-swap-state*

value *swap (0,1) (break-status = False, return-status = False, A = [1,2,3], B=[], tmp = [], result-value = [],... = X)*

The body — heavily using the λ -lifting cartouche — corresponds to the low level term:

```
<defines ((assign-local tmp-update (\lambda\sigma.(A\sigma)!i)) ;-
          (assign-global A-update (\lambda\sigma.list-update (A\sigma)(i)(A\sigma!j))) ;-
          (assign-global A-update (\lambda\sigma.list-update (A\sigma)(j)((hd o tmp)\sigma))))>
```

The effect of this statement is generation of the following definitions in the logical context:

```

term (i, j) — check that i and j are pointing to the constants defined before treating function-spec
thm push-local-swap-state-def
thm pop-local-swap-state-def
thm swap-pre-def
thm swap-post-def
thm swap-core-def
thm swap-def

```

The state-management is in the following configuration:

```

ML $\langle$  val Type(s,t) = StateMgt-core.get-state-type-global @{theory};  

StateMgt-core.get-state-field-tab-global @{theory}  $\rangle$ 

```

2.3.2 A Similation of swap in elementary specification constructs:

Note that we prime identifiers in order to avoid confusion with the definitions of the previous section. The pre- and postconditions are just definitions of the following form:

```

definition swap'-pre :: nat × nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a global-S-state-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  bool  

where swap'-pre  $\equiv$   $\lambda(i, j) \sigma. i < \text{length } (A \sigma) \wedge j < \text{length } (A \sigma)$   

definition swap'-post :: 'a × b  $\Rightarrow$  'c global-S-state-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'd global-S-state-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  unit  

 $\Rightarrow$  bool  

where swap'-post  $\equiv$   $\lambda(i, j) \sigma_{pre} \sigma res. \text{length } (A \sigma) = \text{length } (A \sigma_{pre}) \wedge res = ()$ 

```

The somewhat vacuous parameter *res* for the result of the swap-computation is the consequence of the implicit definition of the return-type as *unit*

We simulate the effect of the local variable space declaration by the following command factoring out the functionality into the command *local-vars-test*

```

local-vars-test (swap' unit)
tmp :: int

```

The immediate effect of this command on the internal Clean State Management can be made explicit as follows:

```

ML $\langle$ 
val Type(s,t) = StateMgt-core.get-state-type-global @{theory};  

val tab = StateMgt-core.get-state-field-tab-global @{theory};  

@{term A::('a local-swap-state-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  int list)}  $\rangle$ 

```

This has already the effect of the definition:

```

thm push-local-swap-state-def
thm pop-local-swap-state-def

```

Again, we simulate the effect of this command by more elementary HOLspecification constructs:

```

definition push-local-swap-state' :: (unit, 'a local-swap'-state-scheme) MONSE  

where push-local-swap-state'  $\sigma$  =  

 $\text{Some}(((), \sigma | \text{local-swap'-state}.tmp := \text{undefined} \# \text{local-swap'-state}.tmp \sigma))$ 

```

```

definition pop-local-swap-state' :: (unit,'a local-swap'-state-scheme) MONSE
where  pop-local-swap-state' σ =
    Some(hd(local-swap-state.result-value σ)),
    — recall : returns op value
    — which happens to be unit
    σ(|local-swap-state.tmp:= tl( local-swap-state.tmp σ) |)

definition swap'-core :: nat × nat ⇒ (unit,'a local-swap'-state-scheme) MONSE
where  swap'-core ≡ (λ(i,j).
    ((assign-local tmp-update (λσ. A σ ! i)) ;-
     (assign-global A-update (λσ. list-update (A σ) (i) (A σ ! j))) ;-
     (assign-global A-update (λσ. list-update (A σ) (j) ((hd o tmp) σ)))))


```

a block manages the "dynamically" created fresh instances for the local variables of swap

```

definition swap' :: nat × nat ⇒ (unit,'a local-swap'-state-scheme) MONSE
where  swap' ≡ λ(i,j). blockC push-local-swap-state' (swap-core (i,j)) pop-local-swap-state'

```

NOTE: If local variables were only used in single-assignment style, it is possible to drastically simplify the encoding. These variables were not stored in the state, just kept as part of the monadic calculation. The simplifications refer both to calculation as well as symbolic execution and deduction.

The could be represented by the following alternative, optimized version :

```

definition swap-opt :: nat × nat ⇒ (unit,'a global-S-state-scheme) MONSE
where  swap-opt ≡ λ(i,j). (tmp ← yieldC (λσ. A σ ! i) ;
    ((assign-global A-update (λσ. list-update (A σ) (i) (A σ ! j))) ;-
     (assign-global A-update (λσ. list-update (A σ) (j) (tmp)))))


```

In case that all local variables are single-assigned in swap, the entire local var definition could be ommitted.

A more pretty-printed term representation is:

```

termc swap-opt = (λ(i, j).
    tmp ← (yieldC (λσ. A σ ! i));
    (A-update ==G (λσ. (A σ)[i := A σ ! j]) ;-
     A-update ==G (λσ. (A σ)[j := tmp])))


```

A Simulation of Synthesis of Typed Assignment-Rules

```

definition tmpL
where tmpL ≡ createL local-swap'-state.tmp local-swap'-state.tmp-update

```

```

lemma tmpL-control-indep : (break-statusL ▷ tmpL ∧ return-statusL ▷ tmpL)
unfolding tmpL-def break-statusL-def return-statusL-def createL-def upd2put-def
by (simp add: lens-indep-def)

```

```

lemma tmpL-strong-indep : #! tmpL

```

```

unfolding strong-control-independence-def
using tmpL-control-indep by blast

```

Specialized Assignment Rule for Local Variable *tmp*. Note that this specialized rule of \sharp $(?upd \circ upd-hd) \implies \{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge ?P ((?upd \circ upd-hd) (\lambda_. ?rhs \sigma) \sigma)\} ?upd ::=_L ?rhs \{\lambda r \sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge ?P \sigma\}$ does not need any further side-conditions referring to independence from the control. Consequently, backward inference in an *wp*-calculus will just maintain the invariant $\triangleright \sigma$.

```

lemma assign-local-tmp:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P ((tmp\text{-update} \circ upd\text{-hd}) (\lambda\_. rhs \sigma) \sigma)\}$ 
  local-swap'-state.tmp-update ::=L rhs
   $\{\lambda r \sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
  apply(rule assign-local)
  apply(rule strong-vs-weak-upd-list)
  apply(rule tmpL-strong-indep[simplified tmpL-def])
  by(rule ext, rule ext, auto)

```

2.4 Encoding partition in Clean

2.4.1 partition in High-level Notation

```

function-spec partition (lo::nat, hi::nat) returns nat
pre      <lo < length A  $\wedge$  hi < length A>
post     < $\lambda res::nat. length A = length(\text{old } A) \wedge res = 3$ >
local-vars pivot :: int
            i :: nat
            j :: nat
defines   <pivot := A ! hi ;-
            <i := lo ;-
            <j := lo ;-
            (whileC <j  $\leq$  hi - 1 >
             do (ifC <A ! j < pivot>
                  then callC swap <(i, j)> ;-
                  <i := i + 1 >
                  else skipSE
                  fi) ;-
                  <j := j + 1 >
            od) ;-
            callC swap <(i, j)> ;-
            returnC result-value-update <i>

```

The body is a fancy syntax for :

```

<defines>   ((assign-local pivot-update ( $\lambda\sigma. A \sigma ! hi$ )) ;-
              (assign-local i-update ( $\lambda\sigma. lo$ ))) ;-

              (assign-local j-update ( $\lambda\sigma. lo$ )) ;-
              (whileC ( $\lambda\sigma. (hd o j) \sigma \leq hi - 1$ )
               do (ifC ( $\lambda\sigma. A \sigma ! (hd o j) \sigma < (hd o pivot)\sigma$ )
                  then callC (swap) ( $\lambda\sigma. ((hd o i) \sigma, (hd o j) \sigma)$ ) ;-
                  assign-local i-update ( $\lambda\sigma. ((hd o i) \sigma) + 1$ ))

```

```

else skipSE
fi) ;-
(assign-local j-update (λσ. ((hd o j) σ) + 1))
od) ;-
callC (swap) (λσ. ((hd o i) σ, (hd o j) σ)) ;-
assign-local result-value-update (λσ. (hd o i) σ)
— the meaning of the return stmt
) ›

```

The effect of this statement is generation of the following definitions in the logical context:

```

thm partition-pre-def
thm partition-post-def
thm push-local-partition-state-def
thm pop-local-partition-state-def
thm partition-core-def
thm partition-def

```

The state-management is in the following configuration:

```

ML< val Type(s,t) = StateMgt-core.get-state-type-global @{theory};  

      StateMgt-core.get-state-field-tab-global @{theory}>

```

2.4.2 A Simulation of partition in elementary specification constructs:

Contract-Elements

```

definition partition'-pre ≡ λ(lo, hi) σ. lo < length (A σ) ∧ hi < length (A σ)
definition partition'-post ≡ λ(lo, hi) σpre σ res. length (A σ) = length (A σpre) ∧ res = 3

```

Memory-Model

Recall: list-lifting is automatic in *local-vars-test*:

```

local-vars-test (partition' nat)
pivot :: int
i     :: nat
j     :: nat

```

... which results in the internal definition of the respective push and pop operations for the *partition'* local variable space:

```

thm push-local-partition'-state-def
thm pop-local-partition'-state-def

```

```

definition push-local-partition-state' :: (unit, 'a local-partition'-state-scheme) MONSE
where  push-local-partition-state' σ = Some(()),
       σ(local-partition-state.pivot := undefined # local-partition-state.pivot σ,
          local-partition-state.i   := undefined # local-partition-state.i σ,
          local-partition-state.j   := undefined # local-partition-state.j σ,

```

```

local-partition-state.result-value
:= undefined # local-partition-state.result-value σ ()
```

definition *pop-local-partition-state'* :: (*nat*,*'a local-partition-state-scheme*) *MON_{SE}*
where *pop-local-partition-state'* σ = *Some*(*hd*(*local-partition-state.result-value* σ),
 $\sigma()$ *local-partition-state.pivot* := *tl*(*local-partition-state.pivot* σ),
local-partition-state.i := *tl*(*local-partition-state.i* σ),
local-partition-state.j := *tl*(*local-partition-state.j* σ),
local-partition-state.result-value :=
tl(*local-partition-state.result-value* σ)())

Memory-Model

Independence of Control-Block:

Monadic Representation of the Body

definition *partition'-core* :: *nat* × *nat* ⇒ (*unit*,*'a local-partition'-state-scheme*) *MON_{SE}*
where *partition'-core* ≡ $\lambda(lo,hi).$
 $((assign-local pivot-update (\lambda\sigma. A \sigma ! hi)) ;-$
 $(assign-local i-update (\lambda\sigma. lo)) ;-$
 $(assign-local j-update (\lambda\sigma. lo)) ;-$
 $(while_C (\lambda\sigma. (hd o j) \sigma \leq hi - 1))$
 $do (if_C (\lambda\sigma. A \sigma ! (hd o j) \sigma < (hd o pivot)\sigma)$
 $then call_C (swap) (\lambda\sigma. ((hd o i) \sigma, (hd o j) \sigma)) ;-$
 $assign-local i-update (\lambda\sigma. ((hd o i) \sigma) + 1)$
 $else skip_{SE}$
 $fi)$
 $od) ;-$
 $(assign-local j-update (\lambda\sigma. ((hd o j) \sigma) + 1)) ;-$
 $call_C (swap) (\lambda\sigma. ((hd o i) \sigma, (hd o j) \sigma)) ;-$
 $assign-local result-value-update (\lambda\sigma. (hd o i) \sigma)$
— the meaning of the return stmt
 $)$

thm *partition-core-def*

definition *partition'* :: *nat* × *nat* ⇒ (*nat*,*'a local-partition'-state-scheme*) *MON_{SE}*
where *partition'* ≡ $\lambda(lo,hi).$ *block_C* *push-local-partition-state*
 $(partition-core (lo,hi))$
pop-local-partition-state

2.5 Encoding the toplevel : quicksort in Clean

2.5.1 quicksort in High-level Notation

rec-function-spec *quicksort* (*lo::nat*, *hi::nat*) **returns** *unit*

```

pre      < $lo \leq hi \wedge hi < \text{length } A$ >
post     < $\lambda res::unit. \forall i \in \{lo .. hi\}. \forall j \in \{lo .. hi\}. i \leq j \rightarrow A!i \leq A!j$ >
variant    $hi - lo$ 
local-vars  $p :: \text{nat}$ 
defines     $\text{if}_C \langle lo < hi \rangle$ 
               $\text{then } (p_{tmp} \leftarrow \text{call}_C \text{ partition } \langle (lo, hi) \rangle ; \text{assign-local } p\text{-update } (\lambda \sigma. p_{tmp})) ;-$ 
               $\text{call}_C \text{ quicksort } \langle (lo, p - 1) \rangle ;-$ 
               $\text{call}_C \text{ quicksort } \langle (lo, p + 1) \rangle$ 
               $\text{else skip}_{SE}$ 
               $\text{fi}$ 

```

```

thm quicksort-core-def
thm quicksort-def
thm quicksort-pre-def
thm quicksort-post-def

```

2.5.2 A Simulation of quicksort in elementary specification constructs:

This is the most complex form a Clean function may have: it may be directly recursive. Two subcases are to be distinguished: either a measure is provided or not.

We start again with our simulation: First, we define the local variable p .

```

local-vars-test (quicksort' unit)
  p :: nat

```

```
ML< val (x,y) = StateMgt-core.get-data-global @{theory}; >
```

```

thm pop-local-quicksort'-state-def
thm push-local-quicksort'-state-def

```

```

definition push-local-quicksort-state' :: (unit, 'a local-quicksort'-state-scheme) MONSE
  where  push-local-quicksort-state'  $\sigma$  =
           Some((),  $\sigma \parallel \text{local-quicksort}'\text{-state}.p := \text{undefined} \# \text{local-quicksort}'\text{-state}.p \sigma,$ 
                  $\text{local-quicksort}'\text{-state}.result-value := \text{undefined} \# \text{local-quicksort}'\text{-state}.result-value$ 
 $\sigma \parallel$ )

```

```

definition pop-local-quicksort-state' :: (unit, 'a local-quicksort'-state-scheme) MONSE
  where  pop-local-quicksort-state'  $\sigma$  = Some(hd(local-quicksort'-state.result-value  $\sigma$ ),
            $\sigma \parallel \text{local-quicksort}'\text{-state}.p := tl(\text{local-quicksort}'\text{-state}.p \sigma),$ 
            $\text{local-quicksort}'\text{-state}.result-value :=$ 
            $tl(\text{local-quicksort}'\text{-state}.result-value \sigma) \parallel$ )

```

We recall the structure of the direct-recursive call in Clean syntax:

```

funct quicksort(lo::int, hi::int) returns unit
  pre True
  post True
  local-vars p::int
  <ifCLEAN <lo < hi> then
    p := partition(lo, hi) ;-
    quicksort(lo, p - 1) ;-
    quicksort(p + 1, hi)
  else Skip>

definition quicksort'-pre :: nat × nat ⇒ 'a local-quicksort'-state-scheme ⇒ bool
  where quicksort'-pre ≡ λ(i,j). λσ. True

definition quicksort'-post :: nat × nat ⇒ unit ⇒ 'a local-quicksort'-state-scheme ⇒ bool
  where quicksort'-post ≡ λ(i,j). λres. λσ. True

definition quicksort'-core :: (nat × nat ⇒ (unit,'a local-quicksort'-state-scheme) MONSE)
  ⇒ (nat × nat ⇒ (unit,'a local-quicksort'-state-scheme) MONSE)
  where quicksort'-core quicksort-rec ≡ λ(lo, hi).
    ((ifC (λσ. lo < hi)
      then (ptmp ← callC partition (λσ. (lo, hi)) ;-
            assign-local p-update (λσ. ptmp)) ;-
      callC quicksort-rec (λσ. (lo, (hd o p) σ - 1)) ;-
      callC quicksort-rec (λσ. ((hd o p) σ + 1, hi))
      else skipSE
      fi))

term ((quicksort'-core X) (lo,hi))

definition quicksort' :: ((nat × nat) × (nat × nat)) set ⇒
  (nat × nat ⇒ (unit,'a local-quicksort'-state-scheme) MONSE)
  where quicksort' order ≡ wfrec order (λX. λ(lo, hi). blockC push-local-quicksort'-state
    (quicksort'-core X (lo,hi)))
    pop-local-quicksort'-state)

```

2.5.3 Setup for Deductive Verification

The coupling between the pre- and the post-condition state is done by the free variable (serving as a kind of ghost-variable) σ_{pre} . This coupling can also be used to express framing conditions; i.e. parts of the state which are independent and/or not affected by the computations to be verified.

```

lemma quicksort-correct :
  {λσ. ▷ σ ∧ quicksort-pre (lo, hi)(σ) ∧ σ = σpre} ⊢
    quicksort (lo, hi)
  {λr σ. ▷ σ ∧ quicksort-post(lo, hi)(σpre)(σ)(r)}
  oops

```

end

3 Clean Semantics : A Coding-Concept Example

The following show-case introduces subsequently a non-trivial example involving local and global variable declarations, declarations of operations with pre-post conditions as well as direct-recursive operations (i.e. C-like functions with side-effects on global and local variables).

```
theory Quicksort
imports Clean.Clean
          Clean.Hoare-Clean
          Clean.Clean-Symbex
begin
```

3.1 The Quicksort Example - At a Glance

We present the following quicksort algorithm in some conceptual, high-level notation:

```
algorithm (A,i,j) =
  tmp := A[i];
  A[i]:=A[j];
  A[j]:=tmp

algorithm partition(A, lo, hi) is
  pivot := A[hi]
  i := lo
  for j := lo to hi - 1 do
    if A[j] < pivot then
      swap A[i] with A[j]
      i := i + 1
  swap A[i] with A[hi]
  return i

algorithm quicksort(A, lo, hi) is
  if lo < hi then
    p := partition(A, lo, hi)
    quicksort(A, lo, p - 1)
    quicksort(A, p + 1, hi)
```

3.2 Clean Encoding of the Global State of Quicksort

```
global-vars (state)
  A :: int list
```

```

function-spec swap (i::nat,j::nat) — TODO: the hovering on parameters produces a number of
report equal to the number of Proof_Context.add_fixes called in Function_Specification_Parser.checkN
pre       $\langle i < \text{length } A \wedge j < \text{length } A \rangle$ 
post      $\langle \lambda \text{res}. \text{length } A = \text{length}(\text{old } A) \wedge \text{res} = () \rangle$ 
local-vars tmp :: int
defines    $\langle \text{tmp} := A ! i \rangle ;-$ 
             $\langle A := \text{list-update } A i (A ! j) \rangle ;-$ 
             $\langle A := \text{list-update } A j \text{tmp} \rangle$ 

function-spec partition (lo::nat, hi::nat) returns nat
pre       $\langle lo < \text{length } A \wedge hi < \text{length } A \rangle$ 
post      $\langle \lambda \text{res}::\text{nat}. \text{length } A = \text{length}(\text{old } A) \wedge \text{res} = 3 \rangle$ 
local-vars pivot :: int
            i      :: nat
            j      :: nat
defines    $\langle \text{pivot} := A ! hi \rangle ;-$ 
             $\langle i := lo \rangle ;-$ 
             $\langle j := lo \rangle ;-$ 
             $\text{while}_C \langle j \leq hi - 1 \rangle$ 
             $\text{do if}_C \langle A ! j < \text{pivot} \rangle$ 
             $\text{then call}_C \text{swap} \langle (i, j) \rangle ;-$ 
             $\langle i := i + 1 \rangle$ 
             $\text{else skipSE}$ 
             $\text{fi} ;-$ 
             $\langle j := j + 1 \rangle$ 
            od;-
             $\text{call}_C \text{swap} \langle (i, j) \rangle ;-$ 
             $\text{return}_{\text{local-partition-state.result-value-update}} \langle i \rangle$ 

thm partition-core-def

rec-function-spec quicksort (lo::nat, hi::nat) returns unit
pre       $\langle lo \leq hi \wedge hi < \text{length } A \rangle$ 
post      $\langle \lambda \text{res}::\text{unit}. \forall i \in \{lo .. hi\}. \forall j \in \{lo .. hi\}. i \leq j \longrightarrow A!i \leq A!j \rangle$ 
variant  hi – lo
local-vars p :: nat
defines    $\text{if}_C \langle lo < hi \rangle$ 
             $\text{then} (p_{tmp} \leftarrow \text{call}_C \text{partition} \langle (lo, hi) \rangle ; \text{assign-local } p\text{-update} (\lambda \sigma. p_{tmp})) ;-$ 
             $\text{call}_C \text{quicksort} \langle (lo, p - 1) \rangle ;-$ 
             $\text{call}_C \text{quicksort} \langle (lo, p + 1) \rangle$ 
             $\text{else skipSE}$ 
             $\text{fi}$ 

thm quicksort-core-def
thm quicksort-def
thm quicksort-pre-def
thm quicksort-post-def

```

3.3 Possible Application Sketch

```

lemma quicksort-correct :
  {λσ. ▷ σ ∧ quicksort-pre (lo, hi)(σ) ∧ σ = σpre} 
    quicksort (lo, hi)
  {λr σ. ▷ σ ∧ quicksort-post(lo, hi)(σpre)(σ)(r)} 
  oops

```

end

3.4 The Squareroot Example for Symbolic Execution

```

theory SquareRoot-concept
  imports Clean.Test-Clean
begin

```

3.4.1 The Conceptual Algorithm in Clean Notation

In high-level notation, the algorithm we are investigating looks like this:

```

<
function-spec sqrt (a:int) returns int
pre      <0 ≤ a>
post     <λres:int. (res + 1)2 > a ∧ a ≥ (res)2>
defines   (<tm := 1>;-
           <sqsum := 1>;-
           <i := 0>;-
           (whileSE <sqsum <= a> do
             <i := i+1>;-
             <tm := tm + 2>;-
             <sqsum := tm + sqsum>
           od);-
           returnC result-value-update <i>
         )
>

```

3.4.2 Definition of the Global State

The state is just a record; and the global variables correspond to fields in this record. This corresponds to typed, structured, non-aliasing states. Note that the types in the state can be arbitrary HOL-types - want to have sets of functions in a ghost-field ? No problem !

The state of the square-root program looks like this :

```
typ Clean.control-state
```

```

ML  

val Type(s,t) = StateMgt-core.get-state-type-global @{theory}  

val Type(u,v) = @{typ unit}  

  

global-vars (state)
  tm    :: int
  i     :: int
  sqsum :: int

```

```

ML  

val Type(s,t) = StateMgt-core.get-state-type-global @{theory}  

val Type(u,v) = @{typ unit}  


```

```

lemma tm-independent [simp]: # tm-update
  unfolding control-independence-def by auto

lemma i-independent [simp]: # i-update
  unfolding control-independence-def by auto

lemma sqsum-independent [simp]: # sqsum-update
  unfolding control-independence-def by auto

```

3.4.3 Setting for Symbolic Execution

Some lemmas to reason about memory

```

lemma tm-simp : tm ( $\sigma(tm := t)$ ) = t
  using [[simp-trace]] by simp

lemma tm-simp1 : tm ( $\sigma(sqsum := s)$ ) = tm  $\sigma$  by simp
lemma tm-simp2 : tm ( $\sigma(i := s)$ ) = tm  $\sigma$  by simp
lemma sqsum-simp : sqsum ( $\sigma(sqsum := s)$ ) = s by simp
lemma sqsum-simp1 : sqsum ( $\sigma(tm := t)$ ) = sqsum  $\sigma$  by simp
lemma sqsum-simp2 : sqsum ( $\sigma(i := t)$ ) = sqsum  $\sigma$  by simp
lemma i-simp : i ( $\sigma(i := i')$ ) = i' by simp
lemma i-simp1 : i ( $\sigma(tm := i')$ ) = i  $\sigma$  by simp
lemma i-simp2 : i ( $\sigma(sqsum := i')$ ) = i  $\sigma$  by simp

lemmas memory-theory =
  tm-simp tm-simp1 tm-simp2
  sqsum-simp sqsum-simp1 sqsum-simp2
  i-simp i-simp1 i-simp2

```

```

declare memory-theory [memory-theory]

lemma non-exec-assign-globalD':
assumes # upd
shows σ ⊨ upd ==_G rhs ;– M ==> σ ==> upd (λ-. rhs σ) σ ⊨ M
apply(drule non-exec-assign-global'[THEN iffD1])
using assms exec-stop-vs-control-independence apply blast
by auto

```

```

lemmas non-exec-assign-globalD'-tm = non-exec-assign-globalD'[OF tm-independent]
lemmas non-exec-assign-globalD'-i = non-exec-assign-globalD'[OF i-independent]
lemmas non-exec-assign-globalD'-sqsum = non-exec-assign-globalD'[OF sqsum-independent]

```

Now we run a symbolic execution. We run match-tactics (rather than the Isabelle simplifier which would do the trick as well) in order to demonstrate a symbolic execution in Isabelle.

3.4.4 A Symbolic Execution Simulation

```

lemma
assumes non-exec-stop[simp]: ¬ exec-stop σ₀
and pos : 0 ≤ (a::int)
and annotated-program:
σ₀ ⊨ ⟨tm := 1⟩ ;–
⟨sqsum := 1⟩ ;–
⟨i := 0⟩ ;–
(whileSE ⟨sqsum <= a⟩ do
⟨i := i+1⟩ ;–
⟨tm := tm + 2⟩ ;–
⟨sqsum := tm + sqsum⟩
od) ;–
assertSE(λσ. σ=σR)

shows σR ⊨ assertSE ⟨i2 ≤ a ∧ a < (i + 1)2

```

```

apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm non-exec-assign-globalD'-tm}] 1,simp)
apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm non-exec-assign-globalD'-sqsum}] 1,simp)

apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm exec-whileD}] 1)
apply(tactic ematch-tac @{context} [@{thm if-SE-execE'}] 1)
apply(simp-all only: memory-theory MonadSE.bind-assoc')

apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm non-exec-assign-globalD'-i}] 1,simp)
apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm non-exec-assign-globalD'-tm}] 1,simp)
apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm non-exec-assign-globalD'-sqsum}] 1,simp)

apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm exec-whileD}] 1)
apply(tactic ematch-tac @{context} [@{thm if-SE-execE'}] 1)
apply(simp-all only: memory-theory MonadSE.bind-assoc')

apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm non-exec-assign-globalD'-i}] 1,simp)
apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm non-exec-assign-globalD'-tm}] 1,simp)
apply(tactic dmatch-tac @{context} [@{thm non-exec-assign-globalD'-sqsum}] 1,simp)
apply(simp-all)

```

Here are all abstract test-cases explicit. Each subgoal correponds to a path taken through the loop.

push away the test-hyp: postcond is true for programs with more than three loop traversals (criterion: all-paths(k)). This reveals explicitly the three test-cases for $k < (3::'b)$.

```
defer 1
```

```
oops
```

TODO: re-establish automatic test-coverage tactics of [?].

```
end
```

4 Clean Semantics : Another Clean Example

```

theory IsPrime
imports
  Clean.Clean
  Clean.Hoare-MonadSE
  Clean.Clean-Symbex
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Primes
begin

4.1 The Primality-Test Example at a Glance

definition SQRT-UINT-MAX = (65536::nat)
definition UINT-MAX = (2^32::nat) - 1

function-spec isPrime(n :: nat) returns bool
pre      <n ≤ SQRT-UINT-MAX>
post     <λres. res ←→ prime n >
local-vars i :: nat
defines ifC <n < 2>
  then returnlocal-isPrime-state.result-value-update <False>
  else skipSE
fi ;-
<i := 2> ;-
whileC <i < SQRT-UINT-MAX ∧ i*i ≤ n >
  do ifC <n mod i = 0>
    then returnlocal-isPrime-state.result-value-update <False>
    else skipSE
  fi ;-
  <i := i + 1 >
od ;-
returnlocal-isPrime-state.result-value-update <True>

find-theorems name:isPrime name:core
term<isPrime-core>

lemma XXX :
isPrime-core n ≡
  ifC (λσ. n < 2) then (returnresult-value-update (λσ. False))
  else skipSE fi;-

```

```

i-update ==L ( $\lambda\sigma. \vartheta$ ) ;-
whileC ( $\lambda\sigma. (hd \circ i)\sigma < SQRT\text{-}UINT\text{-}MAX \wedge (hd \circ i)\sigma * (hd \circ i)\sigma \leq n$ )
do
  (ifC ( $\lambda\sigma. n \bmod (hd \circ i)\sigma = 0$ )
   then (returnresult-value-update ( $\lambda\sigma. False$ ))
   else skipSE fi ;-
  i-update ==L ( $\lambda\sigma. (hd \circ i)\sigma + 1$ ))
od ;-
returnresult-value-update ( $\lambda\sigma. True$ )

```

by(simp add: isPrime-core-def)

lemma *YYY*:
 $isPrime\ n \equiv block_C\ push\text{-}local\text{-}isPrime\text{-}state$
 $(isPrime\text{-}core\ n)$
 $pop\text{-}local\text{-}isPrime\text{-}state$
by(simp add: isPrime-def)

lemma *isPrime-correct* :
 $\{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright\sigma \wedge isPrime\text{-}pre\ (n)(\sigma) \wedge \sigma = \sigma_{pre}\}$
 $isPrime\ n$
 $\{\lambda r\sigma. \triangleright\sigma \wedge isPrime\text{-}post(n)\ (\sigma_{pre})(\sigma)(r)\}$
oops

end

5 A Clean Semantics Example : Linear Search

The following show-case introduces subsequently a non-trivial example involving local and global variable declarations, declarations of operations with pre-post conditions as well as direct-recursive operations (i.e. C-like functions with side-effects on global and local variables).

```
theory LinearSearch
  imports Clean.Clean
    Clean.Hoare-MonadSE
```

```
begin
```

5.1 The LinearSearch Example

```
definition bool2int where bool2int x = (if x then 1:int else 0)
```

```
global-vars (state)
  t :: int list
```

```
global-vars (2)
  tt :: int list
```

```
find-theorems (160) name:2 name:Linear
```

```
function-spec linearsearch (x:int, n:int) returns int
  pre      < 0 ≤ n ∧ n < int(length t) ∧ sorted t>
  post     <λres:int. res = bool2int (Ǝ i ∈ {0 ..< length t}. t!i = x) >
  local-vars i :: int
  defines   <i := 0 ;− tt := [] ;−
            whileC <i < n >
              do ifC <t !(nat i) < x>
                  then <i := i + 1 >
                  else returnC result-value-update <bool2int(t!(nat i) = x)>
            fi
            od
```

```
end
```


6 Appendix : Used Monad Libraries

```
theory MonadSE
  imports Main
begin
```

6.1 Definition : Standard State Exception Monads

State exception monads in our sense are a direct, pure formulation of automata with a partial transition function.

6.1.1 Definition : Core Types and Operators

type-synonym $('o, '\sigma) \ MON_{SE} = '\sigma \multimap ('o \times '\sigma)$

notation *bind-SE* ($\langle bind_{SE} \rangle$)

syntax $(xsymbols)$
 $-bind-SE :: [pttrn, ('o', 'σ)MON_{SE}, ('o', 'σ)MON_{SE}] \Rightarrow ('o', 'σ)MON_{SE}$
 $(\langle (2 \ -\leftarrow \ -; \ -) \rangle [5,8,8]8)$

translations

$x \leftarrow f; g == CONST\ bind\text{-}SE\ f\ (\% x . g)$

definition $unit\text{-}SE :: 'o \Rightarrow ('o, '\sigma)MON_{SE}$ ((*result* -) 8)
where $unit\text{-}SE e = (\lambda\sigma. Some(e, \sigma))$
notation $unit\text{-}SE (unit_{SE})$

In the following, we prove the required Monad-laws

```

lemma bind-right-unit[simp]: (x ← m; result x) = m
  apply (simp add: unit-SE-def bind-SE-def)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (case-tac m σ, simp-all)
  done

```

```

lemma bind-left-unit [simp]: ( $x \leftarrow \text{result } c; P x\right) = P c
  by (simp add: unit-SE-def bind-SE-def)

lemma bind-assoc[simp]: ( $y \leftarrow (x \leftarrow m; k x); h y\right) = (x \leftarrow m; (y \leftarrow k x; h y))$ 
  apply (simp add: unit-SE-def bind-SE-def, rule ext)
  apply (case-tac m σ, simp-all)
  apply (case-tac a, simp-all)
  done$ 
```

6.1.2 Definition : More Operators and their Properties

```

definition fail-SE :: ('o, 'σ)MONSE
where fail-SE = (λσ. None)
notation fail-SE (⟨failSE⟩)

```

```

definition assert-SE :: ('σ ⇒ bool) ⇒ (bool, 'σ)MONSE
where assert-SE P = (λσ. if P σ then Some(True,σ) else None)
notation assert-SE (⟨assertSE⟩)

```

```

definition assume-SE :: ('σ ⇒ bool) ⇒ (unit, 'σ)MONSE
where assume-SE P = (λσ. if ∃σ . P σ then Some((), SOME σ . P σ) else None)
notation assume-SE (⟨assumeSE⟩)

```

```

lemma bind-left-fail-SE[simp] : ( $x \leftarrow \text{fail}_S E; P x\right) = \text{fail}_S E
  by (simp add: fail-SE-def bind-SE-def)$ 
```

We also provide a "Pipe-free" - variant of the bind operator. Just a "standard" programming sequential operator without output frills.

```

definition bind-SE' :: ('α, 'σ)MONSE ⇒ ('β, 'σ)MONSE ⇒ ('β, 'σ)MONSE (infixr ‘;-’ 10)
where (f ;- g) = (- ← f ; g)

```

```

lemma bind-assoc'[simp]: ((m ;- k);- h) = (m;- (k;- h))
by(simp add:bind-SE'-def)

```

```

lemma bind-left-unit' [simp]: ((result c);- P) = P
  by (simp add: bind-SE'-def)

```

```

lemma bind-left-fail-SE'[simp]: (failSE; - P) = failSE
  by (simp add: bind-SE'-def)

```

```

lemma bind-right-unit'[simp]: (m;- (result ())) = m
  by (simp add: bind-SE'-def)

```

The bind-operator in the state-exception monad yields already a semantics for the concept of an input sequence on the meta-level:

```

lemma syntax-test: (o1 ← f1 ; o2 ← f2; result (post o1 o2)) = X

```

oops

definition $yield_C :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) MON_{SE}$
where $yield_C f \equiv (\lambda\sigma. Some(f \sigma, \sigma))$

definition $try\text{-}SE :: ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE} \Rightarrow ('o option, '\sigma) MON_{SE} (\langle try_{SE} \rangle)$
where $try_{SE} ioprog = (\lambda\sigma. case ioprog \sigma of$
 $\quad None \Rightarrow Some(None, \sigma)$
 $\quad | Some(outs, \sigma') \Rightarrow Some(Some outs, \sigma'))$

In contrast, mbind as a failure safe operator can roughly be seen as a foldr on bind - try: m1 ; try m2 ; try m3; ... Note, that the rough equivalence only holds for certain predicates in the sequence - length equivalence modulo None, for example. However, if a conditional is added, the equivalence can be made precise:

On this basis, a symbolic evaluation scheme can be established that reduces mbind-code to try_SE_code and ite-cascades.

definition $alt\text{-}SE :: [('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}, ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}] \Rightarrow ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}$ (**infixl** $\langle \sqcap_{SE} \rangle 10$)
where $(f \sqcap_{SE} g) = (\lambda\sigma. case f \sigma of None \Rightarrow g \sigma$
 $\quad | Some H \Rightarrow Some H)$

definition $malt\text{-}SE :: ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE} list \Rightarrow ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}$
where $malt\text{-}SE S = foldr alt\text{-}SE S fail_{SE}$
notation $malt\text{-}SE (\langle \sqcap_{SE} \rangle)$

lemma $malt\text{-}SE\text{-}mt [simp]: \sqcap_{SE} [] = fail_{SE}$
by(*simp add: malt-SE-def*)

lemma $malt\text{-}SE\text{-}cons [simp]: \sqcap_{SE} (a \# S) = (a \sqcap_{SE} (\sqcap_{SE} S))$
by(*simp add: malt-SE-def*)

6.1.3 Definition : Programming Operators and their Properties

definition $skip_{SE} = unit_{SE} ()$

definition $if\text{-}SE :: ['\sigma \Rightarrow bool, ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}, ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}] \Rightarrow ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}$
where $if\text{-}SE c E F = (\lambda\sigma. if c \sigma then E \sigma else F \sigma)$

syntax $(xsymbols)$
 $-if\text{-}SE :: ['\sigma \Rightarrow bool, ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}, ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}] \Rightarrow ('o, '\sigma) MON_{SE}$
 $((if_{SE} - then - else -fi) [5,8,8] 8)$

translations

$(if_{SE} cond then T1 else T2 fi) == CONST if\text{-}SE cond T1 T2$

6.1.4 Theory of a Monadic While

Prerequisites

fun $replicator :: [('a, '\sigma) MON_{SE}, nat] \Rightarrow (unit, '\sigma) MON_{SE}$ (**infixr** $\langle \wedge\wedge\rangle 60$)

```

where    $f \sim \sim 0 = (\text{result} ())$ 
           $| f \sim \sim (\text{Suc } n) = (f ; - f \sim \sim n)$ 

fun replicator2 ::  $[('a, '\sigma)MON_{SE}, \text{nat}, ('b, '\sigma)MON_{SE}] \Rightarrow ('b, '\sigma)MON_{SE}$  (infixr  $\sim \sim$  60)
where    $(f \sim \sim 0) M = (M )$ 
           $| (f \sim \sim (\text{Suc } n)) M = (f ; - ((f \sim \sim n) M))$ 

```

First Step : Establishing an embedding between partial functions and relations

```

definition Mon2Rel ::  $(\text{unit}, '\sigma)MON_{SE} \Rightarrow ('\sigma \times '\sigma) \text{set}$ 
where  $\text{Mon2Rel } f = \{(x, y). (f x = \text{Some}(), y)\}$ 

```

```

definition Rel2Mon ::  $(''\sigma \times '\sigma) \text{set} \Rightarrow (\text{unit}, '\sigma)MON_{SE}$ 
where  $\text{Rel2Mon } S = (\lambda \sigma. \text{if } \exists \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in S \text{ then } \text{Some}(), \text{SOME } \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in S \text{ else } \text{None})$ 

```

```

lemma Mon2Rel-Rel2Mon-id: assumes det:single-valued R shows  $(\text{Mon2Rel} \circ \text{Rel2Mon}) R = R$ 
apply (simp add: comp-def Mon2Rel-def Rel2Mon-def, auto)
apply (case-tac  $\exists \sigma'. (a, \sigma') \in R$ , auto)
apply (subst (2) some-eq-ex)
using det[simplified single-valued-def] by auto

```

```

lemma Rel2Mon-Id:  $(\text{Rel2Mon} \circ \text{Mon2Rel}) x = x$ 
apply (rule ext)
apply (auto simp: comp-def Mon2Rel-def Rel2Mon-def)
apply (erule contrapos-pp, drule HOL.not-sym, simp)
done

```

```

lemma single-valued-Mon2Rel: single-valued (Mon2Rel B)
by (auto simp: single-valued-def Mon2Rel-def)

```

Second Step : Proving an induction principle allowing to establish that lfp remains deterministic

```

definition chain ::  $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{set}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where  $\text{chain } S = (\forall i. S i \subseteq S(\text{Suc } i))$ 

```

```

lemma chain-total:  $\text{chain } S ==> S i \leq S j \vee S j \leq S i$ 
by (metis chain-def le-cases lift-Suc-mono-le)

```

```

definition cont ::  $('a \text{set} ==> 'b \text{set}) ==> \text{bool}$ 
where  $\text{cont } f = (\forall S. \text{chain } S \longrightarrow f(\text{UN } n. S n) = (\text{UN } n. f(S n)))$ 

```

```

lemma mono-if-cont: fixes f ::  $'a \text{set} \Rightarrow 'b \text{set}$ 
assumes cont f shows mono f
proof
  fix a b ::  $'a \text{set}$  assume a ⊆ b
  let  $?S = \lambda n::\text{nat}. \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } a \text{ else } b$ 
  have chain ?S using  $\langle a \subseteq b \rangle$  by (auto simp: chain-def)

```

```

hence  $f(\text{UN } n. \ ?S n) = (\text{UN } n. f(\?S n))$ 
  using assms by (metis cont-def)
moreover have  $(\text{UN } n. \ ?S n) = b$  using  $\langle a \subseteq b \rangle$  by (auto split: if-splits)
moreover have  $(\text{UN } n. f(\?S n)) = f a \cup f b$  by (auto split: if-splits)
ultimately show  $f a \subseteq f b$  by (metis Un-upper1)
qed

```

```

lemma chain-iterates: fixes  $f :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ 
  assumes mono  $f$  shows chain( $\lambda n. (f^{\wedge} n) \{\}$ )
proof-
  { fix  $n$  have  $(f^{\wedge} n) \{\} \subseteq (f^{\wedge} \text{Suc } n) \{\}$  using assms
    by(induction n) (auto simp: mono-def) }
  thus ?thesis by(auto simp: chain-def)
qed

```

```

theorem lfp-if-cont:
  assumes cont  $f$  shows lfp  $f = (\bigcup n. (f^{\wedge} n) \{\})$  (is  $\_ = ?U$ )
proof

```

```

  show lfp  $f \subseteq ?U$ 
  proof (rule lfp-lowerbound)
    have  $f ?U = (\text{UN } n. (f^{\wedge} \text{Suc } n)\{\})$ 
      using chain-iterates[OF mono-if-cont[OF assms]] assms
      by(simp add: cont-def)
    also have  $\dots = (f^{\wedge} 0)\{\} \cup \dots$  by simp
    also have  $\dots = ?U$ 
      apply(auto simp del: funpow.simps)
      by (metis empty-iff funpow-0 old.nat.exhaust)
    finally show  $f ?U \subseteq ?U$  by simp
  qed

```

next

```

  { fix  $n p$  assume  $f p \subseteq p$ 
    have  $(f^{\wedge} n)\{\} \subseteq p$ 
    proof(induction n)
      case 0 show ?case by simp
    next
      case Suc
      from monoD[OF mono-if-cont[OF assms] Suc]  $\langle f p \subseteq p \rangle$ 
      show ?case by simp
    qed
  }
  thus ?U  $\subseteq$  lfp  $f$  by(auto simp: lfp-def)
qed

```

```

lemma single-valued-UN-chain:
  assumes chain  $S$  (!!n. single-valued ( $S n$ ))
  shows single-valued( $\text{UN } n. S n$ )
proof(auto simp: single-valued-def)
  fix  $m n x y z$  assume  $(x, y) \in S m$   $(x, z) \in S n$ 

```

```

with chain-total[OF assms(1), of m n assms(2)]
show y = z by (auto simp: single-valued-def)
qed

lemma single-valued-lfp:
fixes f :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ ('a × 'a) set
assumes cont f ∧ r. single-valued r ⇒ single-valued (f r)
shows single-valued(lfp f)
unfolding lfp-if-cont[OF assms(1)]
proof(rule single-valued-UN-chain[OF chain-iterates[OF mono-if-cont[OF assms(1)]]])
  fix n show single-valued ((f ^ n) {})
    by(induction n)(auto simp: assms(2))
qed

```

Third Step: Definition of the Monadic While

```

definition Γ :: ['σ ⇒ bool, ('σ × 'σ) set] ⇒ (('σ × 'σ) set ⇒ ('σ × 'σ) set)
where Γ b cd = (λcw. {s,t. if b s then (s, t) ∈ cd O cw else s = t})

```

```

definition while-SE :: ['σ ⇒ bool, (unit, 'σ)MONSE] ⇒ (unit, 'σ)MONSE
where while-SE c B ≡ (Rel2Mon(lfp(Γ c (Mon2Rel B))))

```

```

syntax (xsymbols)
  -while-SE :: ['σ ⇒ bool, (unit, 'σ)MONSE] ⇒ (unit, 'σ)MONSE
  (⟨(whileSE - do - od)⟩ [8,8]8)

```

translations

```

  whileSE c do b od == CONST while-SE c b

```

```

lemma cont-Γ: cont (Γ c b)
by (auto simp: cont-def Γ-def)

```

The fixpoint theory now allows us to establish that the lfp constructed over *Mon2Rel* remains deterministic

```

theorem single-valued-lfp-Mon2Rel: single-valued (lfp(Γ c (Mon2Rel B)))
apply(rule single-valued-lfp, simp-all add: cont-Γ)
apply(auto simp: Γ-def single-valued-def)
apply(metis single-valued-Mon2Rel[of B] single-valued-def)
done

```

lemma Rel2Mon-if:

```

  Rel2Mon {(s, t). if b s then (s, t) ∈ Mon2Rel c O lfp (Γ b (Mon2Rel c)) else s = t} σ =
  (if b σ then Rel2Mon (Mon2Rel c O lfp (Γ b (Mon2Rel c)) σ else Some (((), σ)))
by (simp add: Rel2Mon-def)

```

lemma Rel2Mon-homomorphism:

```

assumes determ-X: single-valued X and determ-Y: single-valued Y
shows Rel2Mon (X O Y) = ((Rel2Mon X) ;− (Rel2Mon Y))
proof −

```

```

have relational-partial-next-in-O:  $\bigwedge x E F. (\exists y. (x, y) \in (E O F)) \implies (\exists y. (x, y) \in E)$ 
    by (auto)
have some-eq-intro:  $\bigwedge X x y . \text{single-valued } X \implies (x, y) \in X \implies (\text{SOME } y. (x, y) \in X)$ 
= y
    by (auto simp: single-valued-def)

show ?thesis
apply (simp add: Rel2Mon-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def)
apply (rule ext, rename-tac  $\sigma$ )
apply (case-tac  $\exists \sigma'. (\sigma, \sigma') \in X O Y$ )
apply (simp only: HOL.if-True)
apply (frule relational-partial-next-in-O)
apply (auto simp: single-valued-relcomp some-eq-intro determ-X determ-Y relcomp.relcompI)
by blast
qed

```

Putting everything together, the theory of embedding and the invariance of determinism of the while-body, gives us the usual unfold-theorem:

theorem while-SE-unfold:

```

(whileSE b do c od) = (ifSE b then (c ;– (whileSE b do c od)) else result () fi)
apply (simp add: if-SE-def bind-SE'-def while-SE-def unit-SE-def)
apply (subst lfp-unfold [OF mono-if-cont, OF cont- $\Gamma$ ])
apply (rule ext)
apply (subst  $\Gamma$ -def)
apply (auto simp: Rel2Mon-if Rel2Mon-homomorphism bind-SE'-def Rel2Mon-Id [simplified
comp-def]
single-valued-Mon2Rel single-valued-lfp-Mon2Rel )
done

```

lemma bind-cong : $f \sigma = g \sigma \implies (x \leftarrow f ; M x) \sigma = (x \leftarrow g ; M x) \sigma$

unfolding bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def **by** simp

lemma bind'-cong : $f \sigma = g \sigma \implies (f ;– M) \sigma = (g ;– M) \sigma$

unfolding bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def **by** simp

lemma if_{SE}-True [simp]: $(\text{if}_{SE} (\lambda x. \text{True}) \text{ then } c \text{ else } d \text{ fi}) = c$

apply(rule ext) **by** (simp add: MonadSE.if-SE-def)

lemma if_{SE}-False [simp]: $(\text{if}_{SE} (\lambda x. \text{False}) \text{ then } c \text{ else } d \text{ fi}) = d$

apply(rule ext) **by** (simp add: MonadSE.if-SE-def)

lemma if_{SE}-cond-cong : $f \sigma = g \sigma \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{if}_{SE} f \text{ then } c \text{ else } d \text{ fi}) \sigma = \\ & (\text{if}_{SE} g \text{ then } c \text{ else } d \text{ fi}) \sigma \end{aligned}$$

unfolding if-SE-def **by** simp

```

lemma whileSE-skip[simp] : (whileSE ( $\lambda x. False$ ) do c od) = skipSE
  apply(rule ext,subst MonadSE.while-SE-unfold)
  by (simp add: MonadSE.if-SE-def skipSE-def)

```

```
end
```

```

theory Seq-MonadSE
  imports MonadSE
begin

```

6.1.5 Chaining Monadic Computations : Definitions of Multi-bind Operators

In order to express execution sequences inside HOL— rather than arguing over a certain pattern of terms on the meta-level — and in order to make our theory amenable to formal reasoning over execution sequences, we represent them as lists of input and generalize the bind-operator of the state-exception monad accordingly. The approach is straightforward, but comes with a price: we have to encapsulate all input and output data into one type, and restrict ourselves to a uniform step function. Assume that we have a typed interface to a module with the operations op_1, op_2, \dots, op_n with the inputs $\iota_1, \iota_2, \dots, \iota_n$ (outputs are treated analogously). Then we can encode for this interface the general input - type:

```
datatype in = op1 ::  $\iota_1$  | ... | opn ::  $\iota_n$ 
```

Obviously, we loose some type-safety in this approach; we have to express that in traces only *corresponding* input and output belonging to the same operation will occur; this form of side-conditions have to be expressed inside HOL. From the user perspective, this will not make much difference, since junk-data resulting from too weak typing can be ruled out by adopted front-ends.

Note that the subsequent notion of a test-sequence allows the io stepping function (and the special case of a program under test) to stop execution *within* the sequence; such premature terminations are characterized by an output list which is shorter than the input list.

Intuitively, *mbind* corresponds to a sequence of operation calls, separated by ";", in Java. The operation calls may fail (raising an exception), which means that the state is maintained and the exception can still be caught at the end of the execution sequence.

```

fun mbind :: ' $\iota$  list  $\Rightarrow$  (' $\iota$   $\Rightarrow$  (' $o$ , ' $\sigma$ ) MONSE)  $\Rightarrow$  (' $o$  list, ' $\sigma$ ) MONSE
where mbind [] iostep  $\sigma$  = Some([],  $\sigma$ )
  | mbind (a#S) iostep  $\sigma$  =
    (case iostep a  $\sigma$  of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  Some([],  $\sigma$ )
      | Some (out,  $\sigma'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (case mbind S iostep  $\sigma'$  of
        None  $\Rightarrow$  Some([out],  $\sigma'$ )
```

```
| Some(outs, $\sigma''$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Some(out#outs, $\sigma''$ ))
```

notation $mbind (\langle mbind_{FailSave} \rangle)$

This definition is fail-safe; in case of an exception, the current state is maintained, the computation as a whole is marked as success. Compare to the fail-strict variant $mbind'$:

lemma $mbind\text{-unit}$ [simp]:

```
  mbind [] f = (result [])
  by(rule ext, simp add: unit-SE-def)
```

The characteristic property of $mbind_{FailSave}$ — which distinguishes it from $mbind$ defined in the sequel — is that it never fails; it “swallows” internal errors occurring during the computation.

lemma $mbind\text{-nofailure}$ [simp]:

```
  mbind S f  $\sigma$   $\neq$  None
  apply(rule-tac x= $\sigma$  in spec)
  apply(induct S, auto simp:unit-SE-def)
  apply(case-tac f a x, auto)
  apply(erule-tac x=b in alle)
  apply(erule exE, erule exE, simp)
  done
```

In contrast, we define a fail-strict sequential execution operator. He has more the characteristic to fail globally whenever one of its operation steps fails.

Intuitively speaking, $mbind'$ corresponds to an execution of operations where a results in a System-Halt. Another interpretation of $mbind'$ is to view it as a kind of *foldl* foldl over lists via $bind_{SE}$.

```
fun mbind' :: 'l list  $\Rightarrow$  ('l  $\Rightarrow$  ('o, $\sigma$ ) MONSE)  $\Rightarrow$  ('o list, $\sigma$ ) MONSE
where mbind' [] iostep  $\sigma$  = Some([],  $\sigma$ ) |
  mbind' (a#S) iostep  $\sigma$  =
    (case iostep a  $\sigma$  of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  None
      | Some (out,  $\sigma'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (case mbind' S iostep  $\sigma'$  of
          None  $\Rightarrow$  None — fail-strict
          | Some(outs, $\sigma''$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Some(out#outs, $\sigma''$ )))
```

notation $mbind' (\langle mbind_{FailStop} \rangle)$

lemma $mbind'\text{-unit}$ [simp]:

```
  mbind' [] f = (result [])
  by(rule ext, simp add: unit-SE-def)
```

lemma $mbind'\text{-bind}$ [simp]:

```
(x  $\leftarrow$  mbind' (a#S) F; M x) = (a  $\leftarrow$  (F a); (x  $\leftarrow$  mbind' S F; M (a # x)))
  by(rule ext, rename-tac z,simp add: bind-SE-def split: option.split)
```

declare $mbind'.simps$ [simp del]

The next $mbind$ sequential execution operator is called Fail-Purge. He has more the

characteristic to never fail, just "stuttering" above operation steps that fail. Another alternative in modeling.

```

fun    mbind'' :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  ('i  $\Rightarrow$  ('o, ' $\sigma$ ) MONSE)  $\Rightarrow$  ('o list, ' $\sigma$ ) MONSE
where mbind'' [] iostep  $\sigma$  = Some([],  $\sigma$ ) |
        mbind'' (a#S) iostep  $\sigma$  =
          (case iostep a  $\sigma$  of
            None  $\Rightarrow$  mbind'' S iostep  $\sigma$ 
            | Some (out,  $\sigma'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (case mbind'' S iostep  $\sigma'$  of
              None  $\Rightarrow$  None — does not occur
              | Some(outs,  $\sigma''$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Some(out#outs,  $\sigma''$ )))
notation mbind'' (<mbindFailPurge>)
declare mbind''.simps[simp del]

```

mbind' as failure strict operator can be seen as a foldr on bind - if the types would match
 ...

Definition : Miscellaneous Operators and their Properties

```

lemma mbind-try:
  (x  $\leftarrow$  mbind (a#S) F; M x) =
    (a'  $\leftarrow$  trySE(F a);
     if a' = None
     then (M [])
     else (x  $\leftarrow$  mbind S F; M (the a' # x)))
apply(rule ext)
apply(simp add: bind-SE-def try-SE-def)
apply(case-tac F a x, auto)
apply(simp add: bind-SE-def try-SE-def)
apply(case-tac mbind S F b, auto)
done

```

end

```

theory Symbex-MonadSE
  imports Seq-MonadSE
begin

```

6.1.6 Definition and Properties of Valid Execution Sequences

A key-notion in our framework is the *valid* execution sequence, i.e. a sequence that:

1. terminates (not obvious since while),
2. results in a final *True*,

3. does not fail globally (but recall the FailSave and FailPurge variants of $mbind_{FailSave}$ -operators, that handle local exceptions in one or another way).

Seen from an automata perspective (where the monad - operations correspond to the step function), valid execution sequences can be used to model “feasible paths” across an automaton.

```
definition valid-SE :: ' $\sigma \Rightarrow (bool, \sigma)$ '  $MON_{SE} \Rightarrow bool$  (infix  $\Leftarrow\Rightarrow$  9)
where  $(\sigma \models m) = (m \sigma \neq None \wedge fst(the(m \sigma)))$ 
```

This notation consideres failures as valid – a definition inspired by I/O conformance.

Valid Execution Sequences and their Symbolic Execution

```
lemma exec-unit-SE [simp]:  $(\sigma \models (result P)) = (P)$ 
by(auto simp: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def)
```

```
lemma exec-unit-SE' [simp]:  $(\sigma_0 \models (\lambda\sigma. Some(f \sigma, \sigma))) = (f \sigma_0)$ 
by(simp add: valid-SE-def )
```

```
lemma exec-fail-SE [simp]:  $(\sigma \models fail_{SE}) = False$ 
by(auto simp: valid-SE-def fail-SE-def)
```

```
lemma exec-fail-SE'[simp]:  $\neg(\sigma_0 \models (\lambda\sigma. None))$ 
by(simp add: valid-SE-def )
```

The following the rules are in a sense the heart of the entire symbolic execution approach

```
lemma exec-bind-SE-failure:
 $A \sigma = None \implies \neg(\sigma \models ((s \leftarrow A ; M s)))$ 
by(simp add: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def)
```

```
lemma exec-bind-SE-failure2:
 $A \sigma = None \implies \neg(\sigma \models ((A ; - M)))$ 
by(simp add: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def bind-SE'-def)
```

```
lemma exec-bind-SE-success:
 $A \sigma = Some(b, \sigma') \implies (\sigma \models ((s \leftarrow A ; M s))) = (\sigma' \models (M b))$ 
by(simp add: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def )
```

```
lemma exec-bind-SE-success2:
 $A \sigma = Some(b, \sigma') \implies (\sigma \models ((A ; - M))) = (\sigma' \models M)$ 
by(simp add: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def bind-SE'-def )
```

```
lemma exec-bind-SE-success':
 $M \sigma = Some(f \sigma, \sigma') \implies (\sigma \models M) = f \sigma$ 
by(simp add: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def )
```

```

lemma exec-bind-SE-success'':
 $\sigma \models ((s \leftarrow A ; M s)) \implies \exists v \sigma'. \text{the}(A \sigma) = (v, \sigma') \wedge (\sigma' \models M v)$ 
apply(auto simp: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def)
apply(cases A σ, simp-all)
apply(drule-tac x=A σ and f=the in arg-cong, simp)
apply(rule-tac x=fst aa in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=snd aa in exI, auto)
done

```

```

lemma exec-bind-SE-success'':
 $\sigma \models ((s \leftarrow A ; M s)) \implies \exists a. (A \sigma) = \text{Some } a \wedge (\text{snd } a \models M (\text{fst } a))$ 
apply(auto simp: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def)
apply(cases A σ, simp-all)
apply(drule-tac x=A σ and f=the in arg-cong, simp)
apply(rule-tac x=fst aa in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=snd aa in exI, auto)
done

```

```

lemma exec-bind-SE-success''':
 $\sigma \models ((s \leftarrow A ; M s)) \implies \exists v \sigma'. A \sigma = \text{Some}(v, \sigma') \wedge (\sigma' \models M v)$ 
apply(auto simp: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def)
apply(cases A σ, simp-all)
apply(drule-tac x=A σ and f=the in arg-cong, simp)
apply(rule-tac x=fst aa in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=snd aa in exI, auto)
done

```

```

lemma valid-bind-cong : f σ = g σ  $\implies (\sigma \models (x \leftarrow f ; M x)) = (\sigma \models (x \leftarrow g ; M x))$ 
  unfolding bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def valid-SE-def
  by simp

```

```

lemma valid-bind'-cong : f σ = g σ  $\implies (\sigma \models f ;\neg M) = (\sigma \models g ;\neg M)$ 
  unfolding bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def valid-SE-def
  by simp

```

Recall `mbind_unit` for the base case.

```

lemma valid-mbind-mt : (σ ⊨ (s  $\leftarrow$  mbindFailSave [] f; unitSE (P s))) = P [] by simp
lemma valid-mbind-mtE: σ ⊨ (s  $\leftarrow$  mbindFailSave [] f; unitSE (P s))  $\implies$  (P []  $\implies$  Q)  $\implies$  Q
by(auto simp: valid-mbind-mt)

```

```

lemma valid-mbind'-mt : (σ ⊨ (s  $\leftarrow$  mbindFailStop [] f; unitSE (P s))) = P [] by simp
lemma valid-mbind'-mtE: σ ⊨ (s  $\leftarrow$  mbindFailStop [] f; unitSE (P s))  $\implies$  (P []  $\implies$  Q)  $\implies$  Q

```

```

by(auto simp: valid-mbind'-mt)

lemma valid-mbind''-mt : ( $\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge} [] f; unit_{SE}(P s)) = P []$ )
by(simp add: mbind''.simps valid-SE-def bind-SE-def unit-SE-def)
lemma valid-mbind''-mtE:  $\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge} [] f; unit_{SE}(P s)) \implies (P [] \implies Q)$ 
 $\implies Q$ 
by(auto simp: valid-mbind''-mt)

lemma exec-mbindFSave-failure:
ioprog a σ = None  $\implies$ 
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave}(a\#S) ioprog ; M s)) = (\sigma \models (M []))$ 
by(simp add: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def)

lemma exec-mbindFStop-failure:
ioprog a σ = None  $\implies$ 
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop}(a\#S) ioprog ; M s)) = (False)$ 
by(simp add: exec-bind-SE-failure)

lemma exec-mbindFPurge-failure:
ioprog a σ = None  $\implies$ 
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge}(a\#S) ioprog ; M s)) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge}(S) ioprog ; M s))$ 
by(simp add: valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def mbind''.simps)

lemma exec-mbindFSave-success :
ioprog a σ = Some(b,σ')  $\implies$ 
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave}(a\#S) ioprog ; M s)) =$ 
 $(\sigma' \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} S ioprog ; M (b\#s)))$ 
unfolding valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def
by(cases mbindFailSave S ioprog σ', auto)

lemma exec-mbindFStop-success :
ioprog a σ = Some(b,σ')  $\implies$ 
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop}(a\#S) ioprog ; M s)) =$ 
 $(\sigma' \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} S ioprog ; M (b\#s)))$ 
unfolding valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def
by(cases mbindFailStop S ioprog σ', auto simp: mbind'.simps)

lemma exec-mbindFPurge-success :
ioprog a σ = Some(b,σ')  $\implies$ 
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge}(a\#S) ioprog ; M s)) =$ 
 $(\sigma' \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge} S ioprog ; M (b\#s)))$ 
unfolding valid-SE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def
by(cases mbindFailPurge S ioprog σ', auto simp: mbind''.simps)

```

versions suited for rewriting

```
lemma exec-mbindFStop-success':ioprog a σ ≠ None  $\implies$ 
```

$(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} (a \# S) ioprog ; M s)) =$
 $((snd o the)(ioprog a \sigma) \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} S ioprog ; M ((fst o the)(ioprog a \sigma) \# s)))$
using exec-mbindFStop-success by fastforce

lemma exec-mbindFSave-success': $\langle ioprog a \sigma \neq None \Rightarrow$
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} (a \# S) ioprog ; M s)) =$
 $((snd o the)(ioprog a \sigma) \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} S ioprog ; M ((fst o the)(ioprog a \sigma) \# s)))$
using exec-mbindFSave-success by fastforce

lemma exec-mbindFPurge-success':
 $ioprog a \sigma \neq None \Rightarrow$
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge} (a \# S) ioprog ; M s)) =$
 $((snd o the)(ioprog a \sigma) \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge} S ioprog ; M ((fst o the)(ioprog a \sigma) \# s)))$
using exec-mbindFPurge-success by fastforce

lemma exec-mbindFSave:
 $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} (a \# S) ioprog ; return (P s))) =$
 $(\text{case } ioprog a \sigma \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow (\sigma \models (\text{return } (P [])))$
 $\quad | \text{Some}(b, \sigma') \Rightarrow (\sigma' \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} S ioprog ; return (P (b \# s)))))$
apply(case-tac ioprog a σ)
apply(auto simp: exec-mbindFSave-failure exec-mbindFSave-success split: prod.splits)
done

lemma mbind-eq-sexec:
assumes $* : \bigwedge b \sigma'. f a \sigma = \text{Some}(b, \sigma') \Rightarrow$
 $(os \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} S f; P (b \# os)) = (os \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} S f; P' (b \# os))$
shows $(a \leftarrow f a; x \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} S f; P (a \# x)) \sigma =$
 $(a \leftarrow f a; x \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} S f; P'(a \# x)) \sigma$
apply(cases f a σ = None)
apply(subst bind-SE-def, simp)
apply(subst bind-SE-def, simp)
apply auto
apply(subst bind-SE-def, simp)
apply(subst bind-SE-def, simp)
apply(simp add: *)
done

lemma mbind-eq-sexec':
assumes $* : \bigwedge b \sigma'. f a \sigma = \text{Some}(b, \sigma') \Rightarrow$
 $(P (b)) \sigma' = (P' (b)) \sigma'$
shows $(a \leftarrow f a; P (a)) \sigma =$
 $(a \leftarrow f a; P'(a)) \sigma$
apply(cases f a σ = None)
apply(subst bind-SE-def, simp)
apply(subst bind-SE-def, simp)
apply auto
apply(subst bind-SE-def, simp)

```

apply(subst bind-SE-def, simp)
apply(simp add: *)
done

lemma mbind'-concat:

$$(os \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} (S @ T) f; P os) = (os \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} S f; os' \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} T f; P (os @ os'))$$

proof (rule ext, rename-tac  $\sigma$ , induct S arbitrary:  $\sigma$  P)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a S) show ?case
    apply(insert Cons.hyps, simp)
    by(rule mbind-eq-sexec',simp)
qed

lemma assert-suffix-inv :

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} xs \text{ istep}; assert_{SE} (P)) \\ \implies \forall \sigma. P \sigma \longrightarrow (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{istep } x; assert_{SE} (P))) \\ \implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} (xs @ [x]) \text{ istep}; assert_{SE} (P)) \end{aligned}$$

apply(subst mbind'-concat, simp)
unfolding bind-SE-def assert-SE-def valid-SE-def
apply(auto split: option.split option.split-asm)
apply(case-tac aa,simp-all)
apply(case-tac P bb,simp-all)
apply (metis option.distinct(1))
apply(case-tac aa,simp-all)
apply(case-tac P bb,simp-all)
by (metis option.distinct(1))

```

Universal splitting and symbolic execution rule

```

lemma exec-mbindFSave-E:
assumes seq :  $(\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} (a \# S) \text{ ioprog} ; (P s)))$ 
  and none:  $ioprog a \sigma = \text{None} \implies (\sigma \models (P [])) \implies Q$ 
  and some:  $\bigwedge b \sigma'. ioprog a \sigma = \text{Some}(b, \sigma') \implies (\sigma' \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} S \text{ ioprog}; (P (b \# s)))) \implies Q$ 
shows Q
using seq
proof(cases ioprog a  $\sigma$ )
  case None assume ass:ioprog a  $\sigma = \text{None}$  show Q
    apply(rule none[OF ass])
    apply(insert ass, erule-tac ioprog1=ioprog in exec-mbindFSave-failure[THEN iffD1],rule seq)
    done
next
  case (Some aa) assume ass:ioprog a  $\sigma = \text{Some } aa$  show Q
    apply(insert ass,cases aa,simp, rename-tac out  $\sigma'$ )
    apply(erule some)
    apply(insert ass,simp)
    apply(erule-tac ioprog1=ioprog in exec-mbindFSave-success[THEN iffD1],rule seq)

```

done

qed

The next rule reveals the particular interest in deduction; as an elimination rule, it allows for a linear conversion of a validity judgement $mbind_{FailStop}$ over an input list S into a constraint system; without any branching ... Symbolic execution can even be stopped tactically whenever $ioprog a \sigma = Some(b, \sigma')$ comes to a contradiction.

```
lemma exec-mbindFStop-E:
assumes seq : ( $\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} (a \# S) ioprog ; (P s))$ )
  and some:  $\bigwedge b \sigma'. ioprog a \sigma = Some(b, \sigma') \implies (\sigma' \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} S ioprog; (P(b \# s))))$ 
   $\implies Q$ 
shows Q
using seq
proof(cases ioprog a \sigma)
  case None assume ass:ioprog a \sigma = None show Q
    apply(insert ass seq)
    apply(drule-tac \sigma=\sigma and S=S and M=P in exec-mbindFStop-failure, simp)
    done
next
  case (Some aa) assume ass:ioprog a \sigma = Some aa show Q
    apply(insert ass,cases aa,simp, rename-tac out \sigma')
    apply(erule some)
    apply(insert ass,simp)
    apply(erule-tac ioprog1=ioprog in exec-mbindFStop-success[THEN iffD1],rule seq)
    done
qed
```

lemma exec-mbindFPurge-E:

```
assumes seq : ( $\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge} (a \# S) ioprog ; (P s))$ )
  and none:  $ioprog a \sigma = None \implies (\sigma \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge} S ioprog; (P(s)))) \implies Q$ 
  and some:  $\bigwedge b \sigma'. ioprog a \sigma = Some(b, \sigma') \implies (\sigma' \models (s \leftarrow mbind_{FailPurge} S ioprog; (P(b \# s)))) \implies Q$ 
shows Q
using seq
proof(cases ioprog a \sigma)
  case None assume ass:ioprog a \sigma = None show Q
    apply(rule none[OF ass])
    apply(insert ass, erule-tac ioprog1=ioprog in exec-mbindFPurge-failure[THEN iffD1],rule seq)
    done
next
  case (Some aa) assume ass:ioprog a \sigma = Some aa show Q
    apply(insert ass,cases aa,simp, rename-tac out \sigma')
    apply(erule some)
    apply(insert ass,simp)
    apply(erule-tac ioprog1=ioprog in exec-mbindFPurge-success[THEN iffD1],rule seq)
    done
qed
```

```

lemma assert-disch1 :  $P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (x \leftarrow \text{assert}_{SE} P; M x)) = (\sigma \models (M \text{ True}))$ 
by(auto simp: bind-SE-def assert-SE-def valid-SE-def)

lemma assert-disch2 :  $\neg P \sigma \implies \neg (\sigma \models (x \leftarrow \text{assert}_{SE} P ; M s))$ 
by(auto simp: bind-SE-def assert-SE-def valid-SE-def)

lemma assert-disch3 :  $\neg P \sigma \implies \neg (\sigma \models (\text{assert}_{SE} P))$ 
by(auto simp: bind-SE-def assert-SE-def valid-SE-def)

lemma assert-disch4 :  $P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (\text{assert}_{SE} P))$ 
by(auto simp: bind-SE-def assert-SE-def valid-SE-def)

lemma assert-simp :  $(\sigma \models \text{assert}_{SE} P) = P \sigma$ 
by (meson assert-disch3 assert-disch4)

lemmas assert-D = assert-simp[THEN iffD1]

lemma assert-bind-simp :  $(\sigma \models (x \leftarrow \text{assert}_{SE} P; M x)) = (P \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models (M \text{ True})))$ 
by(auto simp: bind-SE-def assert-SE-def valid-SE-def split: HOL.if-split-asm)

lemmas assert-bindD = assert-bind-simp[THEN iffD1]

lemma assume-D :  $(\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assume}_{SE} P; M)) \implies \exists \sigma. (P \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models M) )$ 
apply(auto simp: bind-SE-def assume-SE-def valid-SE-def split: HOL.if-split-asm)
apply(rule-tac x=Eps P in exI, auto)
apply(subst Hilbert-Choice.someI,assumption,simp)
done

lemma assume-E :
assumes * :  $\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assume}_{SE} P; M)$ 
and ** :  $\bigwedge \sigma. P \sigma \implies \sigma \models M \implies Q$ 
shows Q
apply(insert *)
by(insert *[THEN assume-D], auto intro: **)

lemma assume-E' :
assumes * :  $\sigma \models \text{assume}_{SE} P ; M$ 
and ** :  $\bigwedge \sigma. P \sigma \implies \sigma \models M \implies Q$ 
shows Q
by(insert *[simplified bind-SE'-def, THEN assume-D], auto intro: **)

```

These two rule prove that the SE Monad in connection with the notion of valid sequence is actually sufficient for a representation of a Boogie-like language. The SBE monad with explicit sets of states — to be shown below — is strictly speaking not necessary (and will therefore be discontinued in the development).

term $\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}$

lemma $\text{if-SE-D1} : P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi})) = (\sigma \models B_1)$
by(*auto simp: if-SE-def valid-SE-def*)

lemma $\text{if-SE-D1}' : P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}); -M) = (\sigma \models (B_1; -M))$
by(*auto simp: if-SE-def valid-SE-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def*)

lemma $\text{if-SE-D2} : \neg P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi})) = (\sigma \models B_2)$
by(*auto simp: if-SE-def valid-SE-def*)

lemma $\text{if-SE-D2}' : \neg P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}); -M) = (\sigma \models B_2; -M)$
by(*auto simp: if-SE-def valid-SE-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def*)

lemma $\text{if-SE-split-asm} :$

$(\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi})) = ((P \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models B_1)) \vee (\neg P \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models B_2)))$
by(*cases P σ, auto simp: if-SE-D1 if-SE-D2*)

lemma $\text{if-SE-split-asm}' :$

$(\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}); -M) = ((P \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models B_1; -M)) \vee (\neg P \sigma \wedge (\sigma \models B_2; -M)))$
by(*cases P σ, auto simp: if-SE-D1' if-SE-D2'*)

lemma $\text{if-SE-split} :$

$(\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi})) = ((P \sigma \longrightarrow (\sigma \models B_1)) \wedge (\neg P \sigma \longrightarrow (\sigma \models B_2)))$
by(*cases P σ, auto simp: if-SE-D1 if-SE-D2*)

lemma $\text{if-SE-split}' :$

$(\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}); -M) = ((P \sigma \longrightarrow (\sigma \models B_1; -M)) \wedge (\neg P \sigma \longrightarrow (\sigma \models B_2; -M)))$
by(*cases P σ, auto simp: if-SE-D1' if-SE-D2'*)

lemma $\text{if-SE-execE} :$

assumes $A: \sigma \models ((\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}))$
and $B: P \sigma \implies \sigma \models (B_1) \implies Q$
and $C: \neg P \sigma \implies \sigma \models (B_2) \implies Q$
shows Q

by(*insert A [simplified if-SE-split], cases P σ, simp-all, auto elim: B C*)

lemma $\text{if-SE-execE}' :$

assumes $A: \sigma \models ((\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}); -M)$
and $B: P \sigma \implies \sigma \models (B_1; -M) \implies Q$
and $C: \neg P \sigma \implies \sigma \models (B_2; -M) \implies Q$
shows Q

by(*insert A [simplified if-SE-split'], cases P σ, simp-all, auto elim: B C*)

```

lemma exec-while :
 $(\sigma \models ((\text{while}_{SE} b \text{ do } c \text{ od}) ; - M)) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models ((\text{if}_{SE} b \text{ then } c ; - (\text{while}_{SE} b \text{ do } c \text{ od}) \text{ else } \text{unit}_{SE} ()\text{fi}) ; - M))$ 
apply(subst while-SE-unfold)
by(simp add: bind-SE'-def )

```

```
lemmas exec-whileD = exec-while[THEN iffD1]
```

```

lemma if-SE-execE'':
 $\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}) ; - M$ 
 $\implies (P \sigma \implies \sigma \models B_1 ; - M \implies Q)$ 
 $\implies (\neg P \sigma \implies \sigma \models B_2 ; - M \implies Q)$ 
 $\implies Q$ 
by(auto elim: if-SE-execE')

```

```

definition opaque (x::bool) = x
lemma if-SE-execE''-pos:
 $\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}) ; - M$ 
 $\implies (P \sigma \implies \sigma \models B_1 ; - M \implies Q)$ 
 $\implies (\text{opaque } (\sigma \models (\text{if}_{SE} P \text{ then } B_1 \text{ else } B_2 \text{ fi}) ; - M) \implies Q)$ 
 $\implies Q$ 
using opaque-def by auto

```

```

lemma [code]:
 $(\sigma \models m) = (\text{case } (m \sigma) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid (\text{Some } (x,y)) \Rightarrow x)$ 
apply(simp add: valid-SE-def)
apply(cases m σ = None, simp-all)
apply(insert not-None-eq, auto)
done

```

```

lemma P σ ⊨ (- ← assumeSE P ; x ← M; assertSE (λσ. (x=X) ∧ Q x σ))
oops

```

```

lemma ∀σ. ∃ X. σ ⊨ (- ← assumeSE P ; x ← M; assertSE (λσ. x=X ∧ Q x σ))
oops

```

```

lemma monadic-sequence-rule:
 $\wedge X \sigma_1. (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assume}_{SE} (\lambda\sigma'. (\sigma=\sigma') \wedge P \sigma) ; x \leftarrow M;$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{assert}_{SE} (\lambda\sigma. (x=X) \wedge (\sigma=\sigma_1) \wedge Q x \sigma)))$ 
 $\wedge$ 
 $(\sigma_1 \models (- \leftarrow \text{assume}_{SE} (\lambda\sigma. (\sigma=\sigma_1) \wedge Q x \sigma) ;$ 
 $\quad \quad \quad y \leftarrow M'; \text{assert}_{SE} (\lambda\sigma. R x y \sigma)))$ 
 $\implies$ 

```

```

 $\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assume}_{SE} (\lambda\sigma'. (\sigma = \sigma') \wedge P \sigma) ; x \leftarrow M; y \leftarrow M'; \text{assert}_{SE} (R x y))$ 
apply(elim exE impE conjE)
apply(drule assume-D)
apply(elim exE impE conjE)
unfolding valid-SE-def assume-SE-def assert-SE-def bind-SE-def
apply(auto split: if-split HOL.if-split-asm Option.option.split Option.option.split-asm)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) option.simps(3) someI-ex)
oops

```

```

lemma  $\exists X. \sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assume}_{SE} P ; x \leftarrow M; \text{assert}_{SE} (\lambda\sigma. x = X \wedge Q x \sigma))$ 
     $\implies$ 
     $\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assume}_{SE} P ; x \leftarrow M; \text{assert}_{SE} (\lambda\sigma. Q x \sigma))$ 
unfolding valid-SE-def assume-SE-def assert-SE-def bind-SE-def
by(auto split: if-split HOL.if-split-asm Option.option.split Option.option.split-asm)

```

```

lemma exec-skip:
 $(\sigma \models \text{skip}_{SE} ; - M) = (\sigma \models M)$ 
by (simp add: skip_{SE}-def)

```

```
lemmas exec-skipD = exec-skip[THEN iffD1]
```

Test-Refinements will be stated in terms of the failsave $\text{mbind}_{FailSave}$, opting more generality. The following lemma allows for an optimization both in test execution as well as in symbolic execution for an important special case of the post-condition: Whenever the latter has the constraint that the length of input and output sequence equal each other (that is to say: no failure occurred), failsave mbind can be reduced to failstop mbind

...

```

lemma mbindFSave-vs-mbindFStop :
 $(\sigma \models (os \leftarrow (\text{mbind}_{FailSave} \iota s \text{ ioprog}); \text{result}(\text{length } \iota s = \text{length } os \wedge P \iota s os))) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models (os \leftarrow (\text{mbind}_{FailStop} \iota s \text{ ioprog}); \text{result}(P \iota s os)))$ 
apply(rule-tac x=P in spec)
apply(rule-tac x=σ in spec)
proof(induct i)
  case Nil show ?case by(simp-all add: mbind-try try-SE-def del: Seq-MonadSE.mbind.simps)
  case (Cons a i) show ?case
    apply(rule allI, rename-tac σ, rule allI, rename-tac P)
    apply(insert Cons.hyps)
    apply(case-tac ioprog a σ)
    apply(simp only: exec-mbindFSave-failure exec-mbindFStop-failure, simp)
    apply(simp add: split-paired-all del: Seq-MonadSE.mbind.simps )
    apply(rename-tac σ')
    apply(subst exec-mbindFSave-success, assumption)
    apply(subst (2) exec-bind-SE-success, assumption)
    apply(erule-tac x=σ' in allE)
    apply(erule-tac x=λi s. P (a # i) (aa # s) in allE)
    apply(simp)

```

```

done
qed

lemma mbindFailSave-vs-mbindFailStop:
assumes A:  $\forall \iota \in \text{set } \iota s. \forall \sigma. \text{ioprog } \iota \sigma \neq \text{None}$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (os \leftarrow (\text{mbind}_{\text{FailSave}} \iota s \text{ioprog}); P os)) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models (os \leftarrow (\text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} \iota s \text{ioprog}); P os))$ 
proof(insert A, erule rev-mp, induct is)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a is)
    from Cons.hyps
    have B: $\forall S f \sigma. \text{mbind}_{\text{FailSave}} S f \sigma \neq \text{None}$  by simp
    have C: $(\forall \iota \in \text{set } \iota s. \forall \sigma. \text{ioprog } \iota \sigma \neq \text{None})$ 
       $\longrightarrow (\forall \sigma. \text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} \iota s \text{ioprog } \sigma = \text{mbind}_{\text{FailSave}} \iota s \text{ioprog } \sigma)$ 
      apply(induct is, simp)
      apply(intro impI allI, rename-tac σ)
      apply(simp add: Seq-MonadSE.mbind'.simps(2))
      apply(insert A, erule-tac x=a in ballE)
      apply(erule-tac x=σ and P=λσ . ioprog a σ ≠ None in allE)
      apply(auto split:option.split)
      done
    show ?case by (meson C exec-mbindFSave-success' exec-mbindFStop-success'
      list.set-intros(1) list.set-intros(2) valid-bind-cong)
qed

```

Symbolic execution rules for assertions.

```

lemma assert-suffix-seq :
   $\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} xs \text{iostep}; \text{assert}_{\text{SE}} (P))$ 
   $\implies \forall \sigma. P \sigma \longrightarrow (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} ys \text{iostep}; \text{assert}_{\text{SE}} (Q)))$ 
   $\implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} (xs @ ys) \text{iostep}; \text{assert}_{\text{SE}} (Q))$ 
apply(subst mbind'-concat)
unfolding bind-SE-def assert-SE-def valid-SE-def
  apply(auto split: option.split option.split-asm, rename-tac aa ba ab bb)
  apply (metis option.distinct(1))
  by (meson option.distinct(1))

```

```

lemma assert-suffix-seq2 :
   $\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} xs \text{iostep}; \text{assert}_{\text{SE}} (P))$ 
   $\implies (\bigwedge \sigma. P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} ys \text{iostep}; \text{assert}_{\text{SE}} (Q))))$ 
   $\implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} (xs @ ys) \text{iostep}; \text{assert}_{\text{SE}} (Q))$ 
by (simp add: assert-suffix-seq)

```

```

lemma assert-suffix-seq-map :
   $\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} (\text{map } f xs) \text{iostep}; \text{assert}_{\text{SE}} (P))$ 
   $\implies (\bigwedge \sigma. P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{mbind}_{\text{FailStop}} (\text{map } f ys) \text{iostep}; \text{assert}_{\text{SE}} (Q))))$ 

```

$\implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} (\text{map } f (xs @ ys)) iostep; assert_{SE} (Q))$
by (*simp add: assert-suffix-seq*)

lemma *assert-suffix-seq-tot* :

$\forall x \sigma. iostep x \sigma \neq \text{None}$
 $\implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} xs iostep; assert_{SE} (P))$
 $\implies \forall \sigma. P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} ys iostep; assert_{SE} (Q)))$
 $\implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} (xs @ ys) iostep; assert_{SE} (Q))$

apply(*subst Symbex-MonadSE.mbindFailSave-vs-mbindFailStop, simp*)

apply(*subst (asm) Symbex-MonadSE.mbindFailSave-vs-mbindFailStop, simp*)

by (*simp add: assert-suffix-seq*)

lemma *assert-suffix-seq-tot2* :

$(\bigwedge x \sigma. iostep x \sigma \neq \text{None})$
 $\implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} xs iostep; assert_{SE} (P))$
 $\implies (\bigwedge \sigma. P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} ys iostep; assert_{SE} (Q))))$
 $\implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} (xs @ ys) iostep; assert_{SE} (Q))$

by (*simp add: assert-suffix-seq-tot*)

lemma *assert-suffix-seq-tot3* :

$(\bigwedge x \sigma. iostep x \sigma \neq \text{None})$
 $\implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} (\text{map } f xs) iostep; assert_{SE} (P))$
 $\implies (\bigwedge \sigma. P \sigma \implies (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailStop} (\text{map } f ys) iostep; assert_{SE} (Q))))$
 $\implies \sigma \models (- \leftarrow mbind_{FailSave} (\text{map } f (xs @ ys)) iostep; assert_{SE} (Q))$

by (*simp add: assert-suffix-seq-tot*)

lemma *assert-disch* :

$(\sigma \models (- \leftarrow iostep x; assert_{SE} (Q))) =$
 $(\text{case } iostep x \sigma \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Some}(-, \sigma') \Rightarrow Q \sigma')$
by (*smt (verit, best) assert-simp bind-SE-def option.case-eq-if split-def valid-SE-def*)

lemma *assert-disch-tot* :

$\forall x \sigma. iostep x \sigma \neq \text{None} \implies (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow iostep x; assert_{SE} (Q))) = (Q (\text{snd}(\text{the}(iostep x \sigma))))$
apply(*subst assert-disch*)
by (*metis option.case-eq-if split-beta'*)

lemma *assert-disch-tot2* :

$(\bigwedge x \sigma. iostep x \sigma \neq \text{None}) \implies (\sigma \models (- \leftarrow iostep x; assert_{SE} (Q))) = (Q (\text{snd}(\text{the}(iostep x \sigma))))$
apply(*subst assert-disch*)
by (*metis option.case-eq-if split-beta'*)

lemma *mbind-total-if-step-total* :

$(\bigwedge x \sigma. x \in \text{set } S \implies iostep x \sigma \neq \text{None}) \implies (\bigwedge \sigma. mbind_{FailStop} S iostep \sigma \neq \text{None})$
proof(*induct S*)

```

case Nil
then show ?case by (simp add: unit-SE-def)
next
case (Cons a S σ)
have 1 : ∀ x σ. x ∈ set S → iostep x σ ≠ None
  by (simp add: Cons.prem)
have 2 : ⋀σ. mbindFailStop S iostep σ ≠ None
  using Cons.hyps Cons.prem by fastforce
then show ?case
  apply(simp add : mbind'.simp(2) 1 2)
  by (simp add: 2 Cons.prem option.case-eq-if split-beta')
qed

```

6.1.7 Miscellaneous

```

no-notation unit-SE ((result -) 8)
end

```

```

theory Clean-Symbex
  imports Clean
begin

```

6.2 Clean Symbolic Execution Rules

6.2.1 Basic NOP - Symbolic Execution Rules.

As they are equalities, they can also be used as program optimization rules.

```

lemma non-exec-assign :
assumes ▷ σ
shows (σ ⊢ ( - ← assign f; M)) = ((f σ) ⊢ M)
by (simp add: assign-def assms exec-bind-SE-success)

lemma non-exec-assign' :
assumes ▷ σ
shows (σ ⊢ (assign f; - M)) = ((f σ) ⊢ M)
by (simp add: assign-def assms exec-bind-SE-success bind-SE'-def)

lemma exec-assign :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊢ ( - ← assign f; M)) = (σ ⊢ M)
by (simp add: assign-def assms exec-bind-SE-success)

lemma exec-assign' :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊢ (assign f; - M)) = (σ ⊢ M)
by (simp add: assign-def assms exec-bind-SE-success bind-SE'-def)

```

6.2.2 Assign Execution Rules.

```

lemma non-exec-assign-global :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assign-global} \text{ upd } \text{rhs}; M)) = ((\text{upd} (\lambda-. \text{rhs} \sigma) \sigma) \models M)$ 
by(simp add: assign-global-def non-exec-assign assms)

lemma non-exec-assign-global' :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (\text{assign-global} \text{ upd } \text{rhs}; - M)) = ((\text{upd} (\lambda-. \text{rhs} \sigma) \sigma) \models M)$ 
by (metis (full-types) assms bind-SE'-def non-exec-assign-global)

lemma exec-assign-global :
assumes exec-stop  $\sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assign-global} \text{ upd } \text{rhs}; M)) = (\sigma \models M)$ 
by (simp add: assign-global-def assign-def assms exec-bind-SE-success)

lemma exec-assign-global' :
assumes exec-stop  $\sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (\text{assign-global} \text{ upd } \text{rhs}; - M)) = (\sigma \models M)$ 
by (simp add: assign-global-def assign-def assms exec-bind-SE-success bind-SE'-def)

lemma non-exec-assign-local :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assign-local} \text{ upd } \text{rhs}; M)) = ((\text{upd} (\text{upd-hd} (\lambda-. \text{rhs} \sigma)) \sigma) \models M)$ 
by(simp add: assign-local-def non-exec-assign assms)

lemma non-exec-assign-local' :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (\text{assign-local} \text{ upd } \text{rhs}; - M)) = ((\text{upd} (\text{upd-hd} (\lambda-. \text{rhs} \sigma)) \sigma) \models M)$ 
by (metis assms bind-SE'-def non-exec-assign-local)

lemmas non-exec-assign-localD' = non-exec-assign[THEN iffD1]

lemma exec-assign-local :
assumes exec-stop  $\sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{assign-local} \text{ upd } \text{rhs}; M)) = (\sigma \models M)$ 
by (simp add: assign-local-def assign-def assms exec-bind-SE-success)

lemma exec-assign-local' :
assumes exec-stop  $\sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (\text{assign-local} \text{ upd } \text{rhs}; - M)) = (\sigma \models M)$ 
unfolding assign-local-def assign-def
by (simp add: assms exec-bind-SE-success2)

lemmas exec-assignD = exec-assign[THEN iffD1]
thm exec-assignD

lemmas exec-assignD' = exec-assign'[THEN iffD1]

```

```

thm exec-assignD'

lemmas exec-assign-globalD = exec-assign-global[THEN iffD1]

lemmas exec-assign-globalD' = exec-assign-global'[THEN iffD1]

lemmas exec-assign-localD = exec-assign-local[THEN iffD1]
thm exec-assign-localD

lemmas exec-assign-localD' = exec-assign-local'[THEN iffD1]

```

6.2.3 Basic Call Symbolic Execution Rules.

```

lemma exec-call-0 :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊨ ( - ← call-0C M; M')) = (σ ⊨ M')
by (simp add: assms call-0C-def exec-bind-SE-success)

```

```

lemma exec-call-0' :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊨ (call-0C M; - M')) = (σ ⊨ M')
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def exec-call-0)

```

```

lemma exec-call-1 :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊨ (x ← call-1C M A1; M' x)) = (σ ⊨ M' undefined)
by (simp add: assms call-1C-def callC-def exec-bind-SE-success)

```

```

lemma exec-call-1' :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊨ (call-1C M A1; - M')) = (σ ⊨ M')
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def exec-call-1)

```

```

lemma exec-call :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊨ (x ← callC M A1; M' x)) = (σ ⊨ M' undefined)
by (simp add: assms callC-def call-1C-def exec-bind-SE-success)

```

```

lemma exec-call' :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊨ (callC M A1; - M')) = (σ ⊨ M')
by (metis assms call-1C-def exec-call-1')

```

```

lemma exec-call-2 :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊨ ( - ← call-2C M A1 A2; M')) = (σ ⊨ M')
by (simp add: assms call-2C-def exec-bind-SE-success)

```

```

lemma exec-call-2' :
assumes exec-stop  $\sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (\text{call-2}_C M A_1 A_2; - M')) = (\sigma \models M')$ 
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def exec-call-2)

```

6.2.4 Basic Call Symbolic Execution Rules.

```

lemma non-exec-call-0 :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (- \leftarrow \text{call-0}_C M; M')) = (\sigma \models M; - M')$ 
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def call-0_C-def valid-SE-def)

lemma non-exec-call-0' :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models \text{call-0}_C M; - M') = (\sigma \models M; - M')$ 
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def non-exec-call-0)

lemma non-exec-call-1 :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (x \leftarrow (\text{call-1}_C M (A_1)); M' x)) = (\sigma \models (x \leftarrow M (A_1 \sigma); M' x))$ 
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def call_C-def bind-SE-def call-1_C-def valid-SE-def)

lemma non-exec-call-1' :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models \text{call-1}_C M (A_1); - M') = (\sigma \models M (A_1 \sigma); - M')$ 
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def non-exec-call-1)

lemma non-exec-call :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (x \leftarrow (\text{call}_C M (A_1)); M' x)) = (\sigma \models (x \leftarrow M (A_1 \sigma); M' x))$ 
by (simp add: assms call_C-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def call-1_C-def valid-SE-def)

lemma non-exec-call' :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models \text{call}_C M (A_1); - M') = (\sigma \models M (A_1 \sigma); - M')$ 
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def non-exec-call)

lemma non-exec-call-2 :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models (- \leftarrow (\text{call-2}_C M (A_1) (A_2)); M')) = (\sigma \models M (A_1 \sigma) (A_2 \sigma); - M')$ 
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def call-2_C-def valid-SE-def)

lemma non-exec-call-2' :
assumes  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
shows  $(\sigma \models \text{call-2}_C M (A_1) (A_2); - M') = (\sigma \models M (A_1 \sigma) (A_2 \sigma); - M')$ 
by (simp add: assms bind-SE'-def non-exec-call-2)

```

6.2.5 Conditional.

```

lemma exec-IfC-IfSE :
assumes ⪻ σ
shows ((ifC P then B1 else B2 fi))σ = ((ifSE P then B1 else B2 fi)) σ
  unfolding if-SE-def MonadSE.if-SE-def Symbex-MonadSE.valid-SE-def MonadSE.bind-SE'-def
  by (simp add: assms bind-SE-def if-C-def)

lemma valid-exec-IfC :
assumes ⪻ σ
shows (σ ⊨ (ifC P then B1 else B2 fi); -M) = (σ ⊨ (ifSE P then B1 else B2 fi); -M)
  by (meson assms exec-IfC-IfSE valid-bind'-cong)

lemma exec-IfC' :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊨ (ifC P then B1 else B2 fi); -M) = (σ ⊨ M)
  unfolding if-SE-def MonadSE.if-SE-def Symbex-MonadSE.valid-SE-def MonadSE.bind-SE'-def
  bind-SE-def
  by (simp add: assms if-C-def)

lemma exec-WhileC' :
assumes exec-stop σ
shows (σ ⊨ (whileC P do B1 od); -M) = (σ ⊨ M)
  unfolding while-C-def MonadSE.if-SE-def Symbex-MonadSE.valid-SE-def MonadSE.bind-SE'-def
  bind-SE-def
  apply simp using assms by blast

lemma ifC-cond-cong : f σ = g σ ⇒ (ifC f then c else d fi) σ =
  (ifC g then c else d fi) σ
  unfolding if-C-def
  by simp

```

6.2.6 Break - Rules.

```

lemma break-assign-skip [simp]: (break ;- assign f) = break
  apply(rule ext)
  unfolding break-def assign-def exec-stop-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma break-if-skip [simp]: (break ;- ifC b then c else d fi) = break
  apply(rule ext)
  unfolding break-def assign-def exec-stop-def if-C-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def

```

by auto

```

lemma break-while-skip [simp]: (break ;– whileC b do c od) = break
  apply(rule ext)
  unfolding while-C-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def break-def exec-stop-def
  by simp

lemma unset-break-idem [simp] :
  (unset-break-status ;– unset-break-status ;– M) = (unset-break-status ;– M)
  apply(rule ext) unfolding unset-break-status-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def by auto

lemma return-cancel1-idem [simp] :
  (returnX(E) ;– X ::=G E' ;– M) = (returnC X E ;– M)
  apply(rule ext, rename-tac σ)
  unfolding unset-break-status-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def
    assign-def returnC-def returnC0-def assign-global-def assign-local-def
  apply(case-tac exec-stop σ)
  apply auto
  by (simp add: exec-stop-def set-return-status-def)

lemma return-cancel2-idem [simp] :
  (returnX(E) ;– X ::=L E' ;– M) = (returnC X E ;– M)
  apply(rule ext, rename-tac σ)
  unfolding unset-break-status-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def
    assign-def returnC-def returnC0-def assign-global-def assign-local-def
  apply(case-tac exec-stop σ)
  apply auto
  by (simp add: exec-stop-def set-return-status-def)

```

6.2.7 While.

```

lemma whileC-skip [simp]: (whileC (λ x. False) do c od) = skipSE
  apply(rule ext)
  unfolding while-C-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def
  apply auto
  unfolding exec-stop-def skipSE-def unset-break-status-def bind-SE'-def unit-SE-def bind-SE-def
  by simp

```

Various tactics for various coverage criteria

```

definition while-k :: nat ⇒ (('σ-ext) control-state-ext ⇒ bool)
  ⇒ (unit, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext)MONSE
  ⇒ (unit, ('σ-ext) control-state-ext)MONSE
where   while-k - ≡ while-C

```

Somewhat amazingly, this unfolding lemma crucial for symbolic execution still holds ...
Even in the presence of break or return...

```
lemma exec-whileC :
```

```

 $(\sigma \models ((\text{while}_C b \text{ do } c \text{ od}) ; - M)) =$ 
 $(\sigma \models ((\text{if}_C b \text{ then } c ; - ((\text{while}_C b \text{ do } c \text{ od}) ; - \text{unset-break-status}) \text{ else } \text{skip}_{SE} f) ; - M))$ 
proof (cases exec-stop  $\sigma$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: True exec-IfC' exec-WhileC')
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases  $\neg b \sigma$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      apply(subst valid-bind'-cong)
      using  $\neg \text{exec-stop } \sigma$  apply simp-all
      apply (auto simp: skipSE-def unit-SE-def)
        apply(subst while-C-def, simp)
        apply(subst bind'-cong)
        apply(subst MonadSE.while-SE-unfold)
          apply(subst ifSE-cond-cong [of - -  $\lambda$ - . False])
          apply simp-all
        apply(subst ifC-cond-cong [of - -  $\lambda$ - . False], simp add: )
        apply(subst exec-IfC-IfSE,simp-all)
        by (simp add: exec-stop-def unset-break-status-def)
next
  case False
  have  $* : b \sigma$  using False by auto
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding while-k-def
    apply(subst while-C-def)
    apply(subst if-C-def)
    apply(subst valid-bind'-cong)
    apply (simp add:  $\neg \text{exec-stop } \sigma$ )
    apply(subst (2) valid-bind'-cong)
    apply (simp add:  $\neg \text{exec-stop } \sigma$ )
    apply(subst MonadSE.while-SE-unfold)
    apply(subst valid-bind'-cong)
    apply(subst bind'-cong)
    apply(subst ifSE-cond-cong [of - -  $\lambda$ - . True])
      apply(simp-all add:  $\neg \text{exec-stop } \sigma$ )
    apply(subst bind-assoc', subst bind-assoc')
    proof(cases c  $\sigma$ )
      case None
    then show ( $\sigma \models c ; - ((\text{while}_{SE} (\lambda \sigma. \neg \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge b \sigma) \text{ do } c \text{ od}) ; - \text{unset-break-status}) ; - M$ )
  =
     $(\sigma \models c ; - (\text{while}_C b \text{ do } c \text{ od}) ; - \text{unset-break-status} ; - M)$ 
    by (simp add: bind-SE'-def exec-bind-SE-failure)
next
  case (Some a)
  then show ( $\sigma \models c ; - ((\text{while}_{SE} (\lambda \sigma. \neg \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge b \sigma) \text{ do } c \text{ od}) ; - \text{unset-break-status}) ; - M$ )

```

```

=
 $(\sigma \models c ; - (while_C b do c od) ; - unset-break-status ; - M)$ 
apply(insert ‹c σ = Some a›, subst (asm) surjective-pairing[of a])
apply(subst exec-bind-SE-success2, assumption)
apply(subst exec-bind-SE-success2, assumption)
proof(cases exec-stop (snd a))
  case True
    then show (snd a  $\models ((while_{SE} (\lambda\sigma. \neg exec-stop \sigma \wedge b \sigma) do c od) ; - unset-break-status) ; - M$ ) =
      (snd a  $\models (while_C b do c od) ; - unset-break-status ; - M$ )
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) bind-assoc' exec-WhileC' exec-skip if-SE-D2'
          skipSE-def while-SE-unfold)
  next
    case False
    then show (snd a  $\models ((while_{SE} (\lambda\sigma. \neg exec-stop \sigma \wedge b \sigma) do c od) ; - unset-break-status) ; - M$ ) =
      (snd a  $\models (while_C b do c od) ; - unset-break-status ; - M$ )
      unfolding while-C-def
      by(subst (2) valid-bind'-cong,simp)(simp)
  qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *while-k-SE* : *while-C* = *while-k k*
by (*simp only: while-k-def*)

corollary *exec-while-k* :
 $(\sigma \models ((while-k (Suc n) b c) ; - M)) =$
 $(\sigma \models ((if_C b then c ; - (while-k n b c) ; - unset-break-status \text{ else } skip_{SE} fi) ; - M))$
by (metis *exec-whileC while-k-def*)

Necessary prerequisite: turning ematch and dmatch into a proper Isar Method.

```

ML‹
local
fun method-setup b tac =
  Method.setup b
    (Attrib.thms >> (fn rules => fn ctxt => METHOD (HEADGOAL o K (tac ctxt rules))))
in
val _ =
  Theory.setup (  method-setup @{binding ematch} ematch-tac fast elimination matching
                #> method-setup @{binding dmatch} dmatch-tac fast destruction matching
                #> method-setup @{binding match} match-tac resolution based on fast matching)
end
›

```

lemmas *exec-while-kD* = *exec-while-k[THEN iffD1]*

```

end

theory Test-Clean
  imports Clean-Symbex
    HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach

begin

named-theorems memory-theory

method memory-theory = (simp only: memory-theory MonadSE.bind-assoc')
method norm = (auto dest!: assert-D)

end

```

```

theory Hoare-MonadSE
  imports Symbex-MonadSE
begin

```

6.3 Hoare

```

definition hoare3 :: (' $\sigma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (' $\alpha, \sigma$ ) $\text{MON}_{SE} \Rightarrow$  (' $\alpha \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )  $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (( $\{\{(1)\}\}/(\neg)/\{\neg(1)\}\}) \ 50)
where  $\{P\} M \{Q\} \equiv (\forall \sigma. P \sigma \longrightarrow (\text{case } M \sigma \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Some}(x, \sigma') \Rightarrow Q x \sigma'))$$ 
```

```

definition hoare3' :: (' $\sigma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (' $\alpha, \sigma$ ) $\text{MON}_{SE} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (( $\{\{(1)\}\}/(\neg)/\dagger\}) \ 50)
where  $\{P\} M \dagger \equiv (\forall \sigma. P \sigma \longrightarrow (\text{case } M \sigma \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{-} \Rightarrow \text{False}))$$ 
```

6.3.1 Basic rules

```

lemma skip:  $\{P\} \text{skip}_{SE} \{\lambda \_. P\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skip_{SE}-def unit-SE-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma fail:  $\{P\} \text{fail}_{SE} \dagger$ 
  unfolding hoare3'-def fail-SE-def unit-SE-def by auto

```

```

lemma assert:  $\{P\} \text{assert}_{SE} P \{\lambda \_. \text{True}\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def assert-SE-def unit-SE-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma assert-conseq:  $\text{Collect } P \subseteq \text{Collect } Q \implies \{P\} \text{assert}_{SE} Q \{\lambda \_. \text{True}\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def assert-SE-def unit-SE-def

```

by auto

```
lemma assume-conseq:
assumes  $\exists \sigma. Q \sigma$ 
shows  $\{P\} \text{assume}_{SE} Q \{\lambda \cdot . Q\}$ 
unfolding hoare3-def assume-SE-def unit-SE-def
apply (auto simp : someI2)
using assms by auto
```

assignment missing in the calculus because this is viewed as a state specific operation, definable for concrete instances of ' σ '.

6.3.2 Generalized and special sequence rules

The decisive idea is to factor out the post-condition on the results of M :

```
lemma sequence :
 $\{P\} M \{\lambda x \sigma. x \in A \wedge Q x \sigma\}$ 
 $\implies \forall x \in A. \{Q x\} M' x \{R\}$ 
 $\implies \{P\} x \leftarrow M; M' x \{R\}$ 
unfolding hoare3-def bind-SE-def
by(auto,erule-tac x=σ in allE, auto split: Option.option.split-asm Option.option.split)
```

```
lemma sequence-irpt-l :  $\{P\} M \dagger \implies \{P\} x \leftarrow M; M' x \dagger$ 
unfolding hoare3'-def bind-SE-def
by(auto,erule-tac x=σ in allE, auto split: Option.option.split-asm Option.option.split)
```

```
lemma sequence-irpt-r :  $\{P\} M \{\lambda x \sigma. x \in A \wedge Q x \sigma\} \implies \forall x \in A. \{Q x\} M' x \dagger \implies \{P\} x \leftarrow M; M' x \dagger$ 
unfolding hoare3'-def hoare3-def bind-SE-def
by(auto,erule-tac x=σ in allE, auto split: Option.option.split-asm Option.option.split)
```

```
lemma sequence' :  $\{P\} M \{\lambda \cdot . Q\} \implies \{Q\} M' \{R\} \implies \{P\} M; - M' \{R\}$ 
unfolding hoare3-def hoare3-def bind-SE-def bind-SE'-def
by(auto,erule-tac x=σ in allE, auto split: Option.option.split-asm Option.option.split)
```

```
lemma sequence-irpt-l' :  $\{P\} M \dagger \implies \{P\} M; - M' \dagger$ 
unfolding hoare3'-def bind-SE-def bind-SE'-def
by(auto,erule-tac x=σ in allE, auto split: Option.option.split-asm Option.option.split)
```

```
lemma sequence-irpt-r' :  $\{P\} M \{\lambda \cdot . Q\} \implies \{Q\} M' \dagger \implies \{P\} M; - M' \dagger$ 
unfolding hoare3'-def hoare3-def bind-SE-def bind-SE'-def
by(auto,erule-tac x=σ in allE, auto split: Option.option.split-asm Option.option.split)
```

6.3.3 Generalized and special consequence rules

```
lemma consequence :
Collect  $P \subseteq$  Collect  $P'$ 
 $\implies \{P'\} M \{\lambda x \sigma. x \in A \wedge Q' x \sigma\}$ 
 $\implies \forall x \in A. \text{Collect}(Q' x) \subseteq \text{Collect}(Q x)$ 
```

$\implies \{P\} M \{\lambda x. \sigma. x \in A \wedge Q x \sigma\}$
unfolding hoare₃-def bind-SE-def
by(auto,erule-tac x=σ in allE,auto split: Option.option.split-asm Option.option.split)

lemma consequence-unit :
assumes ($\bigwedge \sigma. P \sigma \rightarrow P' \sigma$)
and $\{P'\} M \{\lambda x::unit. \lambda \sigma. Q' \sigma\}$
and $(\bigwedge \sigma. Q' \sigma \rightarrow Q \sigma)$
shows $\{P\} M \{\lambda x \sigma. Q \sigma\}$
proof –
have * : $(\lambda x \sigma. Q \sigma) = (\lambda x::unit. \lambda \sigma. x \in UNIV \wedge Q \sigma)$ **by** auto
show ?thesis
apply(subst *)
apply(rule-tac P' = P' **and** Q' = %-. Q' **in** consequence)
apply (simp add: Collect-mono assms(1))
using assms(2) **apply** auto[1]
by (simp add: Collect-mono assms(3))
qed

lemma consequence-irpt :
Collect P ⊆ Collect P'
 $\implies \{P'\} M \dagger$
 $\implies \{P\} M \dagger$
unfolding hoare₃-def hoare₃'-def bind-SE-def
by(auto)

lemma consequence-mt-swap :
 $(\{\lambda -. False\} M \dagger) = (\{\lambda -. False\} M \{P\})$
unfolding hoare₃-def hoare₃'-def bind-SE-def
by auto

6.3.4 Condition rules

lemma cond :
 $\{\lambda \sigma. P \sigma \wedge cond \sigma\} M \{Q\}$
 $\implies \{\lambda \sigma. P \sigma \wedge \neg cond \sigma\} M' \{Q\}$
 $\implies \{P\} if_{SE} cond \text{ then } M \text{ else } M' fi \{Q\}$
unfolding hoare₃-def hoare₃'-def bind-SE-def if-SE-def
by auto

lemma cond-irpt :
 $\{\lambda \sigma. P \sigma \wedge cond \sigma\} M \dagger$
 $\implies \{\lambda \sigma. P \sigma \wedge \neg cond \sigma\} M' \dagger$
 $\implies \{P\} if_{SE} cond \text{ then } M \text{ else } M' fi \dagger$
unfolding hoare₃-def hoare₃'-def bind-SE-def if-SE-def
by auto

Note that the other four combinations can be directly derived via the $(\{\lambda -. False\} ?M\dagger) = (\{\lambda -. False\} ?M \{?P\})$ rule.

6.3.5 While rules

The only non-trivial proof is, of course, the while loop rule. Note that non-terminating loops were mapped to *None* following the principle that our monadic state-transformers represent partial functions in the mathematical sense.

```

lemma while :
  assumes * : {λσ. cond σ ∧ P σ} M {λ-. P}
  and measure: ∀σ. cond σ ∧ P σ → M σ ≠ None ∧ f(snd(the(M σ))) < ((f σ)::nat)
  shows      {P}whileSE cond do M od {λ-. σ. ¬cond σ ∧ P σ}

unfolding hoare3-def hoare3'-def bind-SE-def if-SE-def
proof auto
  have * : ∀n. ∀σ. P σ ∧ f σ ≤ n →
    (case (whileSE cond do M od) σ of
      None ⇒ False
      | Some (x, σ') ⇒ ¬cond σ' ∧ P σ') (is ∀n. ?P n)
  proof (rule allI, rename-tac n, induct-tac n)
    fix n show ?P 0
      apply(auto)
      apply(subst while-SE-unfold)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) gr-implies-not0 if-SE-def measure option.case-eq-if
           option.sel option.simps(3) prod.sel(2) split-def unit-SE-def)
  next
    fix n show ?P n ⇒ ?P (Suc n)
      apply(auto,subst while-SE-unfold)
      apply(case-tac ¬cond σ)
      apply (simp add: if-SE-def unit-SE-def)
      apply(simp add: if-SE-def)
      apply(case-tac M σ = None)
      using measure apply blast
      proof (auto simp: bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def)
        fix σ σ'
        assume 1 : cond σ
        and 2 : M σ = Some (((), σ')
        and 3 : P σ
        and 4 : f σ ≤ Suc n
        and hyp : ?P n
        have 5 : P σ'
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) * 1 2 3 case-prodD hoare3-def option.simps(5))
        have 6 : snd(the(M σ)) = σ'
        by (simp add: 2)
        have 7 : cond σ' ⇒ f σ' ≤ n
        using 1 3 4 6 leD measure by auto
        show case (whileSE cond do M od) σ' of None ⇒ False
              | Some (xa, σ') ⇒ ¬cond σ' ∧ P σ'
        using 1 3 4 5 6 hyp measure by auto
      qed
      qed
    show ⋀σ. P σ ⇒
  
```

```

case (whileSE cond do M od) σ of None ⇒ False
| Some (x, σ') ⇒ ¬ cond σ' ∧ P σ'
using * by blast
qed

lemma while-irpt :
assumes * : {λσ. cond σ ∧ P σ} M {λ-. P} ∨ {λσ. cond σ ∧ P σ} M ⊤
and measure: ∀σ. cond σ ∧ P σ → M σ = None ∨ f(snd(the(M σ))) < ((f σ)::nat)
and enabled: ∀σ. P σ → cond σ
shows {P}whileSE cond do M od ⊤
unfolding hoare3-def hoare3'-def bind-SE-def if-SE-def
proof auto
have * : ∀n. ∀σ. P σ ∧ f σ ≤ n →
(case (whileSE cond do M od) σ of None ⇒ True | Some a ⇒ False)
(is ∀n. ?P n )
proof (rule allI, rename-tac n, induct-tac n)
fix n
have 1 : ∀σ. P σ ⇒ cond σ
by (simp add: enabled *)
show ?P 0
apply(auto,frule 1)
by (metis assms(2) bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def gr-implies-not0 if-SE-def option.case(1)
option.case-eq-if while-SE-unfold)
next
fix k n
assume hyp : ?P n
have 1 : ∀σ. P σ ⇒ cond σ
by (simp add: enabled *)
show ?P (Suc n)
apply(auto, frule 1)
apply(subst while-SE-unfold, auto simp: if-SE-def)
proof(insert *,simp-all add: hoare3-def hoare3'-def, erule disjE)
fix σ
assume P σ
and f σ ≤ Suc n
and cond σ
and ** : ∀σ. cond σ ∧ P σ → (case M σ of None ⇒ False | Some (x, σ') ⇒ P σ')
obtain (case M σ of None ⇒ False | Some (x, σ') ⇒ P σ')
by (simp add: ** ⟨P σ⟩ ⟨cond σ⟩)
then
show case (M ;– (whileSE cond do M od)) σ of None ⇒ True | Some a ⇒ False
apply(case-tac M σ, auto, rename-tac σ', simp add: bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def)
proof –
fix σ'
assume P σ'
and M σ = Some ((), σ')
have cond σ' by (simp add: ⟨P σ'⟩ enabled)
have f σ' ≤ n

```

```

using ‹M σ = Some ((), σ')› ‹P σ› ‹cond σ› ‹f σ ≤ Suc n› measure by fastforce

show case (whileSE cond do M od) σ' of None ⇒ True | Some a ⇒ False
  using hyp by (simp add: ‹P σ'› ‹f σ' ≤ n›)
qed

next
fix σ
assume P σ
and f σ ≤ Suc n
and cond σ
and * : ∀σ. cond σ ∧ P σ —> (case M σ of None ⇒ True | Some a ⇒ False)
obtain ** : (case M σ of None ⇒ True | Some a ⇒ False)
  by (simp add: * ‹P σ› ‹cond σ›)
have M σ = None
  by (simp add: ** option.disc-eq-case(1))
show case (M ;– (whileSE cond do M od)) σ of None ⇒ True | Some a ⇒ False
  by (simp add: ‹M σ = None› bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def)
qed
qed

show ∃σ. P σ —> case (whileSE cond do M od) σ of None ⇒ True | Some a ⇒ False using
* by blast
qed

```

6.3.6 Experimental Alternative Definitions (Transformer-Style Rely-Guarantee)

```

definition hoare1 :: ('σ ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('α, 'σ)MONSE ⇒ ('α ⇒ 'σ ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool (⊤₁ ({{(1-)}})/
(-)/ {{(1-)}}) 50
where (⊤₁{P} M {Q}) = (∀σ. (σ ⊨ (- ← assumeSE P ; x ← M; assertSE (Q x))))

```

```

definition hoare2 :: ('σ ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('α, 'σ)MONSE ⇒ ('α ⇒ 'σ ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool (⊤₂ ({{(1-)}})/
(-)/ {{(1-)}}) 50
where (⊤₂{P} M {Q}) = (∀σ. P σ —> (σ ⊨ (x ← M; assertSE (Q x))))

```

end

```

theory Hoare-Clean
imports Hoare-MonadSE
Clean
begin

```

6.3.7 Clean Control Rules

```

lemma break1:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. P(\sigma \parallel \text{break-status} := \text{True})\} \text{ break } \{\lambda r \sigma. P\sigma \wedge \text{break-status } \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def break-def unit-SE-def by auto

lemma unset-break1:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. P(\sigma \parallel \text{break-status} := \text{False})\} \text{ unset-break-status } \{\lambda r \sigma. P\sigma \wedge \neg \text{break-status } \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def unset-break-status-def unit-SE-def by auto

lemma set-return1:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. P(\sigma \parallel \text{return-status} := \text{True})\} \text{ set-return-status } \{\lambda r \sigma. P\sigma \wedge \text{return-status } \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def set-return-status-def unit-SE-def by auto

lemma unset-return1:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. P(\sigma \parallel \text{return-status} := \text{False})\} \text{ unset-return-status } \{\lambda r \sigma. P\sigma \wedge \neg \text{return-status } \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def unset-return-status-def unit-SE-def by auto

```

6.3.8 Clean Skip Rules

```

lemma assign-global-skip:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\} \text{ upd } ==_G \text{rhs } \{\lambda r \sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def
  by (simp add: assign-def assign-global-def)

lemma assign-local-skip:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\} \text{ upd } ==_L \text{rhs } \{\lambda r \sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def
  by (simp add: assign-def assign-local-def)

lemma return-skip:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\} \text{ return}_C \text{upd rhs } \{\lambda r \sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def returnC-def returnC0-def unit-SE-def assign-local-def assign-def
  bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def
  by auto

lemma assign-clean-skip:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\} \text{ assign tr } \{\lambda r \sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def
  by (simp add: assign-def assign-def)

lemma if-clean-skip:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\} \text{ if}_C C \text{ then } E \text{ else } F \text{ fi } \{\lambda r \sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def if-SE-def
  by (simp add: if-C-def)

lemma while-clean-skip:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\} \text{ while}_C \text{cond do body od } \{\lambda r \sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P\sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def while-C-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma if-opcall-skip:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} (\text{call}_C M A_1) \{\lambda r \sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def callC-def
  by simp

lemma if-funcall-skip:
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} (p_{tmp} \leftarrow \text{call}_C \text{fun } E ; \text{assign-local upd } (\lambda\sigma. p_{tmp})) \{\lambda r \sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def callC-def assign-local-def assign-def
  by (simp add: bind-SE-def)

lemma if-funcall-skip':
   $\{\lambda\sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} (p_{tmp} \leftarrow \text{call}_C \text{fun } E ; \text{assign-global upd } (\lambda\sigma. p_{tmp})) \{\lambda r \sigma. \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def callC-def assign-global-def assign-def
  by (simp add: bind-SE-def)

```

6.3.9 Clean Assign Rules

```

lemma assign-global:
  assumes * : # upd
  shows    $\{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P (\text{upd } (\lambda\_. \text{rhs } \sigma) \sigma)\} \text{upd} :=_G \text{rhs} \{\lambda r \sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def assign-global-def assign-def
  by(auto simp: assms)

find-theorems # -

```

```

lemma assign-local:
  assumes * : # (upd o upd-hd)
  shows    $\{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P ((\text{upd o upd-hd}) (\lambda\_. \text{rhs } \sigma) \sigma)\} \text{upd} :=_L \text{rhs} \{\lambda r \sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def assign-local-def assign-def
  using assms exec-stop-vs-control-independence by fastforce

```

```

lemma return-assign:
  assumes * : # (upd o upd-hd)
  shows    $\{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P ((\text{upd o upd-hd}) (\lambda\_. \text{rhs } \sigma) (\sigma (\| \text{return-status} := \text{True} \|)))\} \text{return}_{\text{upd}}(\text{rhs}) \{\lambda r \sigma. P \sigma \wedge \text{return-status } \sigma\}$ 
  unfolding returnC-def returnC0-def hoare3-def skipSE-def unit-SE-def assign-local-def assign-def
  set-return-status-def bind-SE'-def bind-SE-def
  proof (auto)
    fix  $\sigma :: 'b \text{ control-state-scheme}$ 
    assume a1:  $P (\text{upd } (\text{upd-hd } (\lambda\_. \text{rhs } \sigma)) (\sigma (\| \text{return-status} := \text{True} \|)))$ 
    assume  $\triangleright \sigma$ 
    show  $P (\text{upd } (\text{upd-hd } (\lambda\_. \text{rhs } \sigma)) \sigma (\| \text{return-status} := \text{True} \|))$ 
    using a1 assms exec-stop-vs-control-independence' by fastforce
  qed

```

6.3.10 Clean Construct Rules

lemma cond-clean :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma\} M \{Q\} \\ \implies & \{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P \sigma \wedge \neg \text{cond } \sigma\} M' \{Q\} \\ \implies & \{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} \text{ if}_C \text{cond then } M \text{ else } M' \text{ fi}\{Q\} \\ \text{unfolding} & \text{ hoare}_3\text{-def hoare}_3'\text{-def bind-SE-def if-SE-def} \\ \text{by} & (\text{simp add: if-C-def}) \end{aligned}$$

There is a particular difficulty with a verification of (terminating) while rules in a Hoare-logic for a language involving break. The first is, that break is not used in the toplevel of a body of a loop (there might be breaks inside an inner loop, though). This scheme is covered by the rule below, which is a generalisation of the classical while loop (as presented by $\llbracket \{\lambda\sigma. ?\text{cond } \sigma \wedge ?P \sigma\} ?M \{\lambda\sigma. ?P\}; \forall\sigma. ?\text{cond } \sigma \wedge ?P \sigma \rightarrow ?M \sigma \neq \text{None} \wedge ?f(\text{snd}(\text{the}(\sigma))) < ?f \sigma \rrbracket \implies \{?P\} \text{-while-SE } ?\text{cond } ?M \{\lambda\sigma. \neg ?\text{cond } \sigma \wedge ?P \sigma\}$).

lemma while-clean-no-break :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } * : & \{\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{break-status } \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} M \{\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{break-status } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} \\ \text{and measure: } & \forall\sigma. \neg \text{exec-stop } \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma \wedge P \sigma \\ & \rightarrow M \sigma \neq \text{None} \wedge f(\text{snd}(\text{the}(M \sigma))) < ((f \sigma)::\text{nat}) \\ \text{shows } & \{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} \\ & \text{while}_C \text{cond do } M \text{ od} \\ & \{\lambda\sigma. (\text{return-status } \sigma \vee \neg \text{cond } \sigma) \wedge \neg \text{break-status } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} \\ & (\text{is } \{?pre\} \text{ while}_C \text{cond do } M \text{ od } \{\lambda\sigma. ?post1 \sigma \wedge ?post2 \sigma\}) \\ \text{unfolding } & \text{while-}C\text{-def hoare}_3\text{-def hoare}_3'\text{-def} \\ \text{proof (simp add: hoare}_3\text{-def[symmetric],rule sequence')} \\ \text{show } & \{?pre\} \\ & \text{while}_{SE} (\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma) \text{ do } M \text{ od} \\ & \{\lambda\sigma. \neg (\triangleright \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma) \wedge \neg \text{break-status } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} \\ & (\text{is } \{?pre\} \text{ while}_{SE} ?\text{cond}' \text{ do } M \text{ od } \{\lambda\sigma. \neg (?cond' \sigma) \wedge ?post2 \sigma\}) \\ \text{proof (rule consequence-unit)} \\ \text{fix } \sigma \text{ show } & ?pre \sigma \rightarrow ?post2 \sigma \text{ using exec-stop1 by blast} \\ \text{next} \\ \text{show } & \{?post2\} \text{while}_{SE} ?\text{cond}' \text{ do } M \text{ od } \{\lambda\sigma. \neg (?cond' \sigma) \wedge ?post2 \sigma\} \\ \text{proof (rule-tac } f = f \text{ in while, rule consequence-unit)} \\ \text{fix } \sigma \text{ show } & ?\text{cond}' \sigma \wedge ?post2 \sigma \rightarrow \neg \text{break-status } \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma \wedge P \sigma \text{ by simp} \\ \text{next} \\ \text{show } & \{\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{break-status } \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} M \{\lambda\sigma. ?post2 \sigma\} \text{ using } * \text{ by blast} \\ \text{next} \\ \text{fix } \sigma \text{ show } & ?post2 \sigma \rightarrow ?post2 \sigma \text{ by blast} \\ \text{next} \\ \text{show } & \forall\sigma. ?\text{cond}' \sigma \wedge ?post2 \sigma \rightarrow ?\text{decrease } \sigma \text{ using measure by blast} \\ \text{qed} \\ \text{next} \\ \text{fix } \sigma \text{ show } & \neg ?\text{cond}' \sigma \wedge ?post2 \sigma \rightarrow \neg ?\text{cond}' \sigma \wedge ?post2 \sigma \text{ by blast} \\ \text{qed} \\ \text{next} \\ \text{show } & \{\lambda\sigma. \neg (\triangleright \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma) \wedge ?post2 \sigma\} \text{unset-break-status} \end{aligned}$$

```

 $\{\lambda\sigma'. (\text{return-status } \sigma' \vee \neg \text{cond } \sigma') \wedge \text{?post2 } \sigma'\}$ 
(is  $\{\lambda\sigma. \neg (\text{?cond'' } \sigma) \wedge \text{?post2 } \sigma\}$  unset-break-status  $\{\lambda\sigma'. \text{?post3 } \sigma' \wedge \text{?post2 } \sigma'\}$ )
proof (rule consequence-unit)
  fix  $\sigma$ 
  show  $\neg \text{?cond'' } \sigma \wedge \text{?post2 } \sigma \longrightarrow (\lambda\sigma. P \sigma \wedge \text{?post3 } \sigma) (\sigma(\text{break-status} := \text{False}))$ 
    by (metis (full-types) exec-stop-def surjective update-convs(1))
next
  show  $\{\lambda\sigma. (\lambda\sigma. P \sigma \wedge \text{?post3 } \sigma) (\sigma(\text{break-status} := \text{False}))\}$ 
    unset-break-status
     $\{\lambda x \sigma. \text{?post3 } \sigma \wedge \neg \text{break-status } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
    apply(subst (2) conj-commute, subst conj-assoc, subst (2) conj-commute)
    by(rule unset-break1)
next
  fix  $\sigma$  show  $\text{?post3 } \sigma \wedge \text{?post2 } \sigma \longrightarrow \text{?post3 } \sigma \wedge \text{?post2 } \sigma$  by simp
qed

```

In the following we present a version allowing a break inside the body, which implies that the invariant has been established at the break-point and the condition is irrelevant. A return may occur, but the *break-status* is guaranteed to be true after leaving the loop.

lemma while-clean':

```

assumes M-inv :  $\{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\} M \{\lambda\sigma. P\}$ 
and cond-idpc :  $\forall x \sigma. (\text{cond } (\sigma(\text{break-status} := x))) = \text{cond } \sigma$ 
and inv-idpc :  $\forall x \sigma. (P (\sigma(\text{break-status} := x))) = P \sigma$ 
and f-is-measure :  $\forall \sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma \wedge P \sigma \longrightarrow M \sigma \neq \text{None} \wedge f(\text{snd}(\text{the}(M \sigma))) < ((f \sigma)::\text{nat})$ 
shows  $\{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$  whileC cond do M od  $\{\lambda\sigma. \neg \text{break-status } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
unfolding while-C-def hoare3-def hoare3'-def
proof (simp add: hoare3-def[symmetric], rule sequence')
  show  $\{\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
    whileSE ( $\lambda\sigma. \triangleright \sigma \wedge \text{cond } \sigma$ ) do M od
     $\{\lambda\sigma. P (\sigma(\text{break-status} := \text{False}))\}$ 
    apply(rule consequence-unit, rule impI, erule conjunct2)
    apply(rule-tac f = f in while)
    using M-inv f-is-measure inv-idpc by auto
next
  show  $\{\lambda\sigma. P (\sigma(\text{break-status} := \text{False}))\}$  unset-break-status
     $\{\lambda x \sigma. \neg \text{break-status } \sigma \wedge P \sigma\}$ 
    apply(subst conj-commute)
    by(rule Hoare-Clean.unset-break1)
qed

```

Consequence and Sequence rules where inherited from the underlying Hoare-Monad theory.

end

Bibliography

- [1] J. N. Foster. *Bidirectional programming languages*. PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 2009. URL <https://repository.upenn.edu/edissertations/56/>.
- [2] J. N. Foster, M. B. Greenwald, J. T. Moore, B. C. Pierce, and A. Schmitt. Combinators for bidirectional tree transformations: A linguistic approach to the view-update problem. *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.*, 29(3):17, 2007. doi: 10.1145/1232420.1232424. URL <https://doi.org/10.1145/1232420.1232424>.
- [3] S. Foster, F. Zeyda, and J. Woodcock. Unifying heterogeneous state-spaces with lenses. In A. Sampaio and F. Wang, editors, *Theoretical Aspects of Computing - ICTAC 2016 - 13th International Colloquium, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC, October 24-31, 2016, Proceedings*, volume 9965 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 295–314, 2016. ISBN 978-3-319-46749-8. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-46750-4__17. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46750-4_17.
- [4] C. Keller. Tactic program-based testing and bounded verification in isabelle/hol. In *Tests and Proofs - 12th International Conference, TAP 2018, Held as Part of STAF 2018, Toulouse, France, June 27-29, 2018, Proceedings*, pages 103–119, 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-92994-1__6. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-92994-1_6.