

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem

Stephan Adelsberger Stefan Hetzl Florian Pollak

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This document contains a proof of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem based on the development of matrices in HOL/Multivariate_Analysis.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
-----------------------	----------

1 Introduction

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem states that every square matrix is a zero of its own characteristic polynomial, in symbols: $\chi_A(A) = 0$. It is a central theorem of linear algebra and plays an important role for matrix normal form theory.

In this document we work with matrices over a commutative ring R and give a direct algebraic proof of the theorem. The starting point of the proof is the following fundamental property of the adjugate matrix

$$\text{adj}(B) \cdot B = B \cdot \text{adj}(B) = \det(B)I_n \quad (1)$$

where I_n denotes the $n \times n$ -identity matrix and $\det(B)$ the determinant of B . Recall that the characteristic polynomial is defined as $\chi_A(X) = \det(XI_n - A)$, i.e. as the determinant of a matrix whose entries are polynomials. Considering the adjugate of this matrix we obtain

$$(XI_n - A) \cdot \text{adj}(XI_n - A) = \chi_A(X)I_n \quad (2)$$

directly from (1). Now, $\text{adj}(XI_n - A)$ being a matrix of polynomials of degree at most $n - 1$ can be written as

$$\text{adj}(XI_n - A) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} X^i B_i \text{ for } B_i \in R^{n \times n}. \quad (3)$$

A straightforward calculation starting from (2) using (3) then shows that

$$\chi_A(X)I_n = X^n B_{n-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X^i (B_{i-1} - A \cdot B_i) - A \cdot B_0. \quad (4)$$

Now let c_i be the coefficient of X^i in $\chi_A(X)$. Then equating the coefficients in (4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n-1} &= I_n, \\ B_{i-1} - A \cdot B_i &= c_i I_n \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ and} \\ -A \cdot B_0 &= c_0 I_n. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the i -th equation with A^i from the left gives

$$\begin{aligned} A^n \cdot B_{n-1} &= A^n, \\ A^i \cdot B_{i-1} - A^{i+1} \cdot B_i &= c_i A_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \text{ and} \\ -A \cdot B_0 &= c_0 I_n \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\chi_A(A)I_n = A^n + c_{n-1}A^{n-1} + \cdots + c_1A + c_0I_n = 0$$

and hence $\chi_A(A) = 0$ which finishes this proof sketch.

There are numerous other proofs of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, in particular the one formalized in Coq by Sidi Ould Biha [1, 2]. This proof also starts with the fundamental property of the adjugate matrix but instead of the above calculation relies on the existence of a ring isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}_n(R[X])$, the matrices of polynomials over R , and $(\mathcal{M}_n(R))[X]$, the polynomials whose coefficients are matrices over R . On the upside, this permits a briefer and more abstract argument (once the background theory contains all prerequisites) but on the downside one has to deal with the mathematically subtle evaluation of polynomials over the non-commutative(!) ring $\mathcal{M}_n(R)$. As described nicely in [2] this evaluation is no longer a ring homomorphism. However, its use in the proof of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem is sufficiently restricted so that one can work around this problem.

Sections ??, ??, and ?? contain basic results about matrices and polynomials which are needed for the proof of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem in addition to the results which are available in the library. Section ?? contains basic results about matrices of polynomials, including the definition of the characteristic polynomial and proofs of some of its basic properties. Finally, Section ?? contains the proof of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem as outlined above.

theory *Square-Matrix*

```

imports
  HOL-Analysis.Determinants
  HOL-Analysis.Cartesian-Euclidean-Space
begin

lemma smult-axis:  $x * s \text{ axis } i \text{ } y = \text{axis } i \text{ } (x * y :: \text{mult-zero})$ 
  by (simp add: axis-def vec-eq-iff)

typedef (' $a$ , ' $n$ ) sq-matrix = UNIV :: (' $n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'a)$  set
morphisms to-fun of-fun
  by (rule UNIV-witness)

syntax -sq-matrix :: type  $\Rightarrow$  type  $\Rightarrow$  type ( $\langle \langle \text{-} \wedge \rangle \rangle [15, 16] 15$ )
syntax-types -sq-matrix  $\Leftarrow\Rightarrow$  sq-matrix

parse-translation <
  let
    fun vec t u = Syntax.const @{type-syntax sq-matrix} $ t $ u;
    fun sq-matrix-tr [t, u] =
      (case Term-Position.strip-positions u of
        v as Free (x, -) =>
        if Lexicon.is-tid x then
          vec t (Syntax.const @{syntax-const -ofsort} $ v $ Syntax.const @{class-syntax finite})
        else vec t u
        | - => vec t u)
      in
      [(@{syntax-const -sq-matrix}, K sq-matrix-tr)]
    end
  >

setup-lifting type-definition-sq-matrix

lift-definition map-sq-matrix :: (' $a \Rightarrow 'c)  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a \wedge \wedge b \Rightarrow 'c \wedge \wedge b$  is
   $\lambda f M i j. f (M i j)$  .

lift-definition from-vec :: ' $a \wedge \wedge n \wedge \wedge n \Rightarrow 'a \wedge \wedge n$  is
   $\lambda M i j. M \$ i \$ j$  .

lift-definition to-vec :: ' $a \wedge \wedge n \Rightarrow 'a \wedge \wedge n \wedge \wedge n$  is
   $\lambda M. \chi i j. M i j$  .

lemma from-vec-eq-iff: from-vec M = from-vec N  $\longleftrightarrow$  M = N
  by transfer (auto simp: vec-eq-iff fun-eq-iff)

lemma to-vec-from-vec[simp]: to-vec (from-vec M) = M
  by transfer (simp add: vec-eq-iff)$ 
```

lemma *from-vec-to-vec*[simp]: *from-vec* (*to-vec* M) = M
by transfer (*simp add: vec-eq-iff fun-eq-iff*)

lemma *map-sq-matrix-compose*[simp]: *map-sq-matrix* f (*map-sq-matrix* g M) =
map-sq-matrix ($\lambda x. f(g x)$) M
by transfer simp

lemma *map-sq-matrix-ident*[simp]: *map-sq-matrix* ($\lambda x. x$) M = M
by transfer (*simp add: vec-eq-iff*)

lemma *map-sq-matrix-cong*:
 $M = N \implies (\bigwedge i j. f(\text{to-fun } N i j) = g(\text{to-fun } N i j)) \implies \text{map-sq-matrix } f M = \text{map-sq-matrix } g N$
by transfer simp

lift-definition *diag* :: $'a::\text{zero} \Rightarrow 'a^{\sim\sim}n$ **is**
 $\lambda k i j. \text{if } i = j \text{ then } k \text{ else } 0$.

lemma *diag-eq-iff*: *diag* x = *diag* $y \longleftrightarrow x = y$
by transfer (*simp add: fun-eq-iff*)

lemma *map-sq-matrix-diag*[simp]: $f 0 = 0 \implies \text{map-sq-matrix } f (\text{diag } c) = \text{diag } (f c)$
by transfer (*simp add: fun-eq-iff*)

lift-definition *smult-sq-matrix* :: $'a::\text{times} \Rightarrow 'a^{\sim\sim}n \Rightarrow 'a^{\sim\sim}n$ (**infixr** $\langle *_S \rangle$ 75)
is
 $\lambda c M i j. c * M i j$.

lemma *smult-map-sq-matrix*:
 $(\bigwedge y. f(x * y) = z * f y) \implies \text{map-sq-matrix } f (x *_S A) = z *_S \text{map-sq-matrix } f A$
by transfer simp

lemma *map-sq-matrix-smult*: $c *_S \text{map-sq-matrix } f A = \text{map-sq-matrix } (\lambda x. c * f x) A$
by transfer simp

lemma *one-smult*[simp]: $(1:::\text{monoid-mult}) *_S x = x$
by transfer simp

lemma *smult-diag*: $x *_S \text{diag } y = \text{diag } (x * y:::\text{mult-zero})$
by transfer (*simp add: fun-eq-iff*)

instantiation *sq-matrix* :: (*semigroup-add, finite*) *semigroup-add*
begin

lift-definition *plus-sq-matrix* :: $'a^{\sim\sim}b \Rightarrow 'a^{\sim\sim}b \Rightarrow 'a^{\sim\sim}b$ **is**
 $\lambda A B i j. A i j + B i j$.

```

instance
  by standard (transfer, simp add: field-simps)
end

lemma map-sq-matrix-add:
   $(\bigwedge a b. f(a + b) = f a + f b) \implies \text{map-sq-matrix } f(A + B) = \text{map-sq-matrix } f A + \text{map-sq-matrix } f B$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma add-map-sq-matrix:  $\text{map-sq-matrix } f A + \text{map-sq-matrix } g A = \text{map-sq-matrix } (\lambda x. f x + g x) A$ 
  by transfer simp

instantiation sq-matrix :: (monoid-add, finite) monoid-add
begin

  lift-definition zero-sq-matrix ::  $'a^{\sim\sim}b$  is  $\lambda i j. 0$  .

  instance
    by standard (transfer, simp)+
  end

  lemma diag-0:  $\text{diag } 0 = 0$ 
    by transfer simp

  lemma diag-0-eq:  $\text{diag } x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$ 
    by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

  lemma zero-map-sq-matrix:  $f 0 = 0 \implies \text{map-sq-matrix } f 0 = 0$ 
    by transfer simp

  lemma map-sq-matrix-0[simp]:  $\text{map-sq-matrix } (\lambda x. 0) A = 0$ 
    by transfer simp

  instance sq-matrix :: (ab-semigroup-add, finite) ab-semigroup-add
    by standard (transfer, simp add: field-simps)+

  instantiation sq-matrix :: (minus, finite) minus
  begin

    lift-definition minus-sq-matrix ::  $'a^{\sim\sim}b \Rightarrow 'a^{\sim\sim}b \Rightarrow 'a^{\sim\sim}b$  is
       $\lambda A B i j. A i j - B i j$  .

    instance ..
  end

```

```

instantiation sq-matrix :: (group-add, finite) group-add
begin

lift-definition uminus-sq-matrix :: ' $a \sim\!\!~ b \Rightarrow a \sim\!\!~ b$ ' is
  uminus .

instance
  by standard (transfer, simp)+

end

lemma map-sq-matrix-diff:
   $(\bigwedge a b. f(a - b) = f a - f b) \implies \text{map-sq-matrix } f(A - B) = \text{map-sq-matrix } f A - \text{map-sq-matrix } f B$ 
  by transfer (simp add: vec-eq-iff)

lemma smult-diff: fixes a :: ' $a :: \text{comm-ring-1}$ ' shows  $a *_S (A - B) = a *_S A - a *_S B$ 
  by transfer (simp add: field-simps)

instance sq-matrix :: (cancel-semigroup-add, finite) cancel-semigroup-add
  by (standard; transfer, simp add: field-simps fun-eq-iff)

instance sq-matrix :: (cancel-ab-semigroup-add, finite) cancel-ab-semigroup-add
  by (standard; transfer, simp add: field-simps)

instance sq-matrix :: (comm-monoid-add, finite) comm-monoid-add
  by (standard; transfer, simp add: field-simps)

lemma map-sq-matrix-sum:
   $f 0 = 0 \implies (\bigwedge a b. f(a + b) = f a + f b) \implies \text{map-sq-matrix } f(\sum i \in I. A i) = (\sum i \in I. \text{map-sq-matrix } f(A i))$ 
  by (induction I rule: infinite-finite-induct)
    (auto simp: zero-map-sq-matrix map-sq-matrix-add)

lemma sum-map-sq-matrix:  $(\sum i \in I. \text{map-sq-matrix } (f i) A) = \text{map-sq-matrix } (\lambda x. \sum i \in I. f i x) A$ 
  by (induction I rule: infinite-finite-induct) (simp-all add: add-map-sq-matrix)

lemma smult-zero[simp]: fixes a :: ' $a :: \text{ring-1}$ ' shows  $a *_S 0 = 0$ 
  by transfer (simp add: vec-eq-iff)

lemma smult-right-add: fixes a :: ' $a :: \text{ring-1}$ ' shows  $a *_S (x + y) = a *_S x + a *_S y$ 
  by transfer (simp add: vec-eq-iff field-simps)

lemma smult-sum: fixes a :: ' $a :: \text{ring-1}$ ' shows  $(\sum i \in I. a *_S f i) = a *_S (\text{sum } f I)$ 
  by (induction I rule: infinite-finite-induct)
    (simp-all add: smult-right-add vec-eq-iff)

```

```

instance sq-matrix :: (ab-group-add, finite) ab-group-add
  by standard (transfer, simp add: field-simps)+

instantiation sq-matrix :: (semiring-0, finite) semiring-0
begin

lift-definition times-sq-matrix :: 'a^~'b ⇒ 'a^~'b ⇒ 'a^~'b is
   $\lambda M\ N\ i\ j. \sum k \in \text{UNIV}. M\ i\ k * N\ k\ j.$ 

instance
proof
  fix a b c :: 'a^~'b show a * b * c = a * (b * c)
  by transfer
    (auto simp: fun-eq-iff sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right field-simps intro:
     sum.swap)
  qed (transfer, simp add: vec-eq-iff sum.distrib field-simps)+
end

lemma diag-mult: diag x * A = x *S A
  by transfer (simp add: if-distrib[where f=λx. x * a for a] sum.If-cases)

lemma mult-diag:
  fixes x :: 'a::comm-ring-1
  shows A * diag x = x *S A
  by transfer (simp add: if-distrib[where f=λx. a * x for a] mult.commute sum.If-cases)

lemma smult-mult1: fixes a :: 'a::comm-ring-1 shows a *S (A * B) = (a *S A)
  * B
  by transfer (simp add: sum-distrib-left field-simps)

lemma smult-mult2: fixes a :: 'a::comm-ring-1 shows a *S (A * B) = A * (a *S B)
  by transfer (simp add: sum-distrib-left field-simps)

lemma map-sq-matrix-mult:
  fixes f :: 'a::semiring-1 ⇒ 'b::semiring-1
  assumes f:  $\bigwedge a\ b. f(a + b) = f\ a + f\ b$   $\bigwedge a\ b. f(a * b) = f\ a * f\ b$   $f\ 0 = 0$ 
  shows map-sq-matrix f (A * B) = map-sq-matrix f A * map-sq-matrix f B
  proof (transfer fixing: f)
    fix A B :: 'c ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'a
    { fix I i j have f (sum k ∈ I. A i k * B k j) = (sum k ∈ I. f (A i k) * f (B k j))
      by (induction I rule: infinite-finite-induct) (auto simp add: f) }
    then show (λi j. f (sum k ∈ UNIV. A i k * B k j)) = (λi j. sum k ∈ UNIV. f (A i k)
      * f (B k j))
      by simp
  qed

lemma from-vec-mult[simp]: from-vec (M ** N) = from-vec M * from-vec N

```

```

by transfer (simp add: matrix-matrix-mult-def fun-eq-iff vec-eq-iff)

instantiation sq-matrix :: (semiring-1, finite) semiring-1
begin

lift-definition one-sq-matrix :: 'a ^~ b is
  λi j. if i = j then 1 else 0 .

instance
  by standard (transfer, simp add: fun-eq-iff sum.If-cases
    if-distrib[where f=λx. x * b for b] if-distrib[where f=λx. b * x for b])+
end

instance sq-matrix :: (semiring-1, finite) numeral ..

lemma diag-1: diag 1 = 1
  by transfer simp

lemma diag-1-eq: diag x = 1 ↔ x = 1
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

instance sq-matrix :: (ring-1, finite) ring-1
  by standard simp-all

interpretation sq-matrix: vector-space smult-sq-matrix
  by standard (transfer, simp add: vec-eq-iff field-simps)+

instantiation sq-matrix :: (real-vector, finite) real-vector
begin

lift-definition scaleR-sq-matrix :: real ⇒ 'a ^~ b ⇒ 'a ^~ b is
  λr A i j. r *R A i j .

instance
  by standard (transfer, simp add: scaleR-add-right scaleR-add-left)+

end

instance sq-matrix :: (semiring-1, finite) Rings.dvd ..
  by standard (transfer, simp add: scaleR-dvd)

lift-definition transpose :: 'a ^~ n ⇒ 'a ^~ n is
  λM i j. M j i .

lemma transpose-transpose[simp]: transpose (transpose A) = A
  by transfer simp

lemma transpose-diag[simp]: transpose (diag c) = diag c
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

```

```

lemma transpose-zero[simp]: transpose 0 = 0
  by transfer simp

lemma transpose-one[simp]: transpose 1 = 1
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma transpose-add[simp]: transpose (A + B) = transpose A + transpose B
  by transfer simp

lemma transpose-minus[simp]: transpose (A - B) = transpose A - transpose B
  by transfer simp

lemma transpose-uminus[simp]: transpose (- A) = - transpose A
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma transpose-mult[simp]:
  transpose (A * B :: 'a::comm-semiring-0^{n..}) = transpose B * transpose A
  by transfer (simp add: field-simps)

lift-definition trace :: 'a::comm-monoid-add^{n..} ⇒ 'a is
  λM. ∑ i∈UNIV. M i i .

lemma trace-diag[simp]: trace (diag c :: 'a::semiring-1^{n..}) = of-nat CARD('n) *
  c
  by transfer simp

lemma trace-0[simp]: trace 0 = 0
  by transfer simp

lemma trace-1[simp]: trace (1 :: 'a::semiring-1^{n..}) = of-nat CARD('n)
  by transfer simp

lemma trace-plus[simp]: trace (A + B) = trace A + trace B
  by transfer (simp add: sum.distrib)

lemma trace-minus[simp]: trace (A - B) = (trace A - trace B :: ab-group-add)
  by transfer (simp add: sum-subtractf)

lemma trace-uminus[simp]: trace (- A) = - (trace A :: ab-group-add)
  by transfer (simp add: sum-negf)

lemma trace-smult[simp]: trace (s *S A) = (s * trace A :: semiring-0)
  by transfer (simp add: sum-distrib-left)

lemma trace-transpose[simp]: trace (transpose A) = trace A
  by transfer simp

lemma trace-mult-symm:
  fixes A B :: 'a::comm-semiring-0^{n..}

```

```

shows trace (A * B) = trace (B * A)
by transfer (auto intro: sum.swap simp: mult.commute)

lift-definition det :: 'a::comm-ring-1n ⇒ 'a is
  λA. (∑ p|p permutes UNIV. of-int (sign p) * (∏ i∈UNIV. A i (p i))) .

lemma det-eq: det A = (∑ p|p permutes UNIV. of-int (sign p) * (∏ i∈UNIV.
  to-fun A i (p i)))
by transfer rule

lemma permutes-UNIV-permutation: permutation p ↔ p permutes (UNIV::finite)
by (auto simp: permutation-permutes permutes-def)

lemma det-0[simp]: det 0 = 0
by transfer (simp add: zero-power)

lemma det-transpose: det (transpose A) = det A
apply transfer
apply (subst sum-permutations-inverse)
apply (rule sum.cong[OF refl])
apply (simp add: sign-inverse permutes-UNIV-permutation)
apply (subst prod.reindex-bij-betw[symmetric])
apply (rule permutes-imp-bij)
apply assumption
apply (simp add: permutes-inverses)
done

lemma det-diagonal:
fixes A :: 'a::comm-ring-1n
shows (∀ i j. i ≠ j ⇒ to-fun A i j = 0) ⇒ det A = (∏ i∈UNIV. to-fun A i i)
proof transfer
  fix A :: 'n ⇒ 'n ⇒ 'a
  assume neq: ∀ i j. i ≠ j ⇒ A i j = 0
  let ?pp = λp. of-int (sign p) * (∏ i∈UNIV. A i (p i))

  { fix p :: 'n ⇒ 'n
    assume p: p permutes UNIV p ≠ id
    then obtain i where i: i ≠ p i
    unfolding id-def by metis
    with neq[OF i] have (∏ i∈UNIV. A i (p i)) = 0
    by (intro prod-zero) auto }

  then have (∑ p | p permutes UNIV. ?pp p) = (∑ p∈{id}. ?pp p)
  by (intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-right) (auto intro: permutes-id)
  then show (∑ p | p permutes UNIV. ?pp p) = (∏ i∈UNIV. A i i)
  by (simp add: sign-id)
qed

lemma det-1[simp]: det (1::'a::comm-ring-1n) = 1
by (subst det-diagonal) (transfer, simp)+

lemma det-lowerdiagonal:

```

```

fixes A :: 'a::comm-ring-1~~~n:{finite,wellorder}
shows ( $\bigwedge i j. i < j \Rightarrow \text{to-fun } A i j = 0$ )  $\Rightarrow \det A = (\prod i \in \text{UNIV}. \text{to-fun } A i i)$ 
proof transfer
  fix A :: 'n  $\Rightarrow$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a assume ld:  $\bigwedge i j. i < j \Rightarrow A i j = 0$ 
  let ?pp =  $\lambda p. \text{of-int}(\text{sign } p) * (\prod i \in \text{UNIV}. A i (p i))$ 

  { fix p :: 'n  $\Rightarrow$  'n assume p: p permutes UNIV  $p \neq \text{id}$ 
    with permutes-natset-le[OF p(1)] obtain i where i: p i > i
    by (metis not-le)
    with ld[OF i] have ( $\prod i \in \text{UNIV}. A i (p i)$ ) = 0
    by (intro prod-zero) auto }
  then have ( $\sum p \mid p \text{ permutes } \text{UNIV}. ?pp p$ ) = ( $\sum p \in \{\text{id}\}. ?pp p$ )
    by (intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-right) (auto intro: permutes-id)
  then show ( $\sum p \mid p \text{ permutes } \text{UNIV}. ?pp p$ ) = ( $\prod i \in \text{UNIV}. A i i$ )
    by (simp add: sign-id)
  qed

```

lemma det-upperdiagonal:

```

fixes A :: 'a::comm-ring-1~~~n:{finite, wellorder}
shows ( $\bigwedge i j. j < i \Rightarrow \text{to-fun } A i j = 0$ )  $\Rightarrow \det A = (\prod i \in \text{UNIV}. \text{to-fun } A i i)$ 
using det-lowerdiagonal[of transpose A]
unfolding det-transpose.transpose.rep-eq .

```

lift-definition perm-rows :: 'a^{~~~}b \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a^{~~~}b **is**
 $\lambda M p i j. M (p i) j$.

lift-definition perm-cols :: 'a^{~~~}b \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a^{~~~}b **is**
 $\lambda M p i j. M i (p j)$.

lift-definition upd-rows :: 'a^{~~~}b \Rightarrow 'b set \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a[~]b) \Rightarrow 'a^{~~~}b **is**
 $\lambda M S v i j. \text{if } i \in S \text{ then } v i \$ j \text{ else } M i j$.

lift-definition upd-cols :: 'a^{~~~}b \Rightarrow 'b set \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a[~]b) \Rightarrow 'a^{~~~}b **is**
 $\lambda M S v i j. \text{if } j \in S \text{ then } v j \$ i \text{ else } M i j$.

lift-definition upd-row :: 'a^{~~~}b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a[~]b \Rightarrow 'a^{~~~}b **is**
 $\lambda M i' v i j. \text{if } i = i' \text{ then } v \$ j \text{ else } M i j$.

lift-definition upd-col :: 'a^{~~~}b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a[~]b \Rightarrow 'a^{~~~}b **is**
 $\lambda M j' v i j. \text{if } j = j' \text{ then } v \$ i \text{ else } M i j$.

lift-definition row :: 'a^{~~~}b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a[~]b **is**
 $\lambda M i. \chi j. M i j$.

lift-definition col :: 'a^{~~~}b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a[~]b **is**
 $\lambda M j. \chi i. M i j$.

lemma perm-rows-transpose: perm-rows (transpose M) p = transpose (perm-cols M p)

by transfer simp

lemma *perm-cols-transpose*: *perm-cols (transpose M) p = transpose (perm-rows M p)*
by transfer simp

lemma *upd-row-transpose*: *upd-row (transpose M) i p = transpose (upd-col M i p)*
by transfer simp

lemma *upd-col-transpose*: *upd-col (transpose M) i p = transpose (upd-row M i p)*
by transfer simp

lemma *upd-rows-transpose*: *upd-rows (transpose M) i p = transpose (upd-cols M i p)*
by transfer simp

lemma *upd-cols-transpose*: *upd-cols (transpose M) i p = transpose (upd-rows M i p)*
by transfer simp

lemma *upd-rows-empty[simp]*: *upd-rows M {} f = M*
by transfer simp

lemma *upd-cols-empty[simp]*: *upd-cols M {} f = M*
by transfer simp

lemma *upd-rows-single[simp]*: *upd-rows M {i} f = upd-row M i (f i)*
by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma *upd-cols-single[simp]*: *upd-cols M {i} f = upd-col M i (f i)*
by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma *upd-rows-insert*: *upd-rows M (insert i I) f = upd-row (upd-rows M I f) i (f i)*
by transfer (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)

lemma *upd-rows-insert-rev*: *upd-rows M (insert i I) f = upd-rows (upd-row M i (f i)) I f*
by transfer (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)

lemma *upd-rows-upd-row-swap*: *i ∉ I ⇒ upd-rows (upd-row M i x) I f = upd-row (upd-rows M I f) i x*
by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma *upd-cols-insert*: *upd-cols M (insert i I) f = upd-col (upd-cols M I f) i (f i)*
by transfer (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)

lemma *upd-cols-insert-rev*: *upd-cols M (insert i I) f = upd-cols (upd-col M i (f i)) I f*

```

by transfer (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)

lemma upd-cols-upd-col-swap:  $i \notin I \implies \text{upd-cols}(\text{upd-col } M i x) I f = \text{upd-col}(\text{upd-cols } M I f) i x$ 
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma upd-rows-cong[cong]:
 $M = N \implies T = S \implies (\bigwedge s. s \in S \text{--simp-->} f s = g s) \implies \text{upd-rows } M T f = \text{upd-rows } N S g$ 
  unfolding simp-implies-def
  by transfer (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)

lemma upd-cols-cong[cong]:
 $M = N \implies T = S \implies (\bigwedge s. s \in S \text{--simp-->} f s = g s) \implies \text{upd-cols } M T f = \text{upd-cols } N S g$ 
  unfolding simp-implies-def
  by transfer (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)

lemma row-upd-row-If:  $\text{row}(\text{upd-row } M i x) j = (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } x \text{ else } \text{row } M j)$ 
  by transfer (simp add: vec-eq-iff fun-eq-iff)

lemma row-upd-row[simp]:  $\text{row}(\text{upd-row } M i x) i = x$ 
  by (simp add: row-upd-row-If)

lemma col-upd-col-If:  $\text{col}(\text{upd-col } M i x) j = (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } x \text{ else } \text{col } M j)$ 
  by transfer (simp add: vec-eq-iff)

lemma col-upd-col[simp]:  $\text{col}(\text{upd-col } M i x) i = x$ 
  by (simp add: col-upd-col-If)

lemma upd-row-row[simp]:  $\text{upd-row } M i (\text{row } M i) = M$ 
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma upd-row-upd-row-cancel[simp]:  $\text{upd-row}(\text{upd-row } M i x) i y = \text{upd-row } M i y$ 
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma upd-col-upd-col-cancel[simp]:  $\text{upd-col}(\text{upd-col } M i x) i y = \text{upd-col } M i y$ 
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma upd-col-col[simp]:  $\text{upd-col } M i (\text{col } M i) = M$ 
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma row-transpose:  $\text{row}(\text{transpose } M) i = \text{col } M i$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma col-transpose:  $\text{col}(\text{transpose } M) i = \text{row } M i$ 
  by transfer simp

```

```

lemma det-perm-cols:
  fixes A :: 'a::comm-ring-1n
  assumes p: p permutes UNIV
  shows det (perm-cols A p) = of-int (sign p) * det A
  proof (transfer fixing: p)
    fix A :: 'n  $\Rightarrow$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a
    from p have  $(\sum q \mid q \text{ permutes } \text{UNIV} . \text{of-int} (\text{sign } q) * (\prod i \in \text{UNIV} . A i (p (q i)))) =$ 
       $(\sum q \mid q \text{ permutes } \text{UNIV} . \text{of-int} (\text{sign } (\text{inv } p \circ q)) * (\prod i \in \text{UNIV} . A i (q i)))$ 
      by (intro sum.reindex-bij-witness[where j= $\lambda q. p \circ q$  and i= $\lambda q. \text{inv } p \circ q$ ])
        (auto simp: comp-assoc[symmetric] permutes-inv-o permutes-compose permutes-inv)
    with p show  $(\sum q \mid q \text{ permutes } \text{UNIV} . \text{of-int} (\text{sign } q) * (\prod i \in \text{UNIV} . A i (p (q i)))) =$ 
       $\text{of-int} (\text{sign } p) * (\sum p \mid p \text{ permutes } \text{UNIV} . \text{of-int} (\text{sign } p) * (\prod i \in \text{UNIV} . A i (p i)))$ 
      by (auto intro!: sum.cong simp: sum-distrib-left sign-compose permutes-inv sign-inverse permutes-UNIV-permutation)
  qed

lemma det-perm-rows:
  fixes A :: 'a::comm-ring-1n
  assumes p: p permutes UNIV
  shows det (perm-rows A p) = of-int (sign p) * det A
  using det-perm-cols[OF p, of transpose A] by (simp add: det-transpose perm-cols-transpose)

lemma det-row-add: det (upd-row M i (a + b)) = det (upd-row M i a) + det (upd-row M i b)
  by transfer (simp add: prod.If-cases sum.distrib[symmetric] field-simps)

lemma det-row-mul: det (upd-row M i (c *s a)) = c * det (upd-row M i a)
  by transfer (simp add: prod.If-cases sum-distrib-left field-simps)

lemma det-row-uminus: det (upd-row M i (- a)) = - det (upd-row M i a)
  by (simp add: vector-snug-minus1 det-row-mul)

lemma det-row-minus: det (upd-row M i (a - b)) = det (upd-row M i a) - det (upd-row M i b)
  unfolding diff-conv-add-uminus det-row-add det-row-uminus ..

lemma det-row-0: det (upd-row M i 0) = 0
  using det-row-mul[of M i 0] by simp

lemma det-row-sum: det (upd-row M i ( $\sum s \in S. a s$ )) =  $(\sum s \in S. \det (\text{upd-row } M i (a s)))$ 
  by (induction S rule: infinite-finite-induct) (simp-all add: det-row-0 det-row-add)

lemma det-col-add: det (upd-col M i (a + b)) = det (upd-col M i a) + det (upd-col M i b)

```

```

using det-row-add[of transpose M i a b] by (simp add: upd-row-transpose det-transpose)

lemma det-col-mul: det (upd-col M i (c *s a)) = c * det (upd-col M i a)
  using det-row-mul[of transpose M i c a] by (simp add: upd-row-transpose det-transpose)

lemma det-col-uminus: det (upd-col M i (- a)) = - det (upd-col M i a)
  by (simp add: vector-snug-minus1 det-col-mul)

lemma det-col-minus: det (upd-col M i (a - b)) = det (upd-col M i a) - det
  (upd-col M i b)
  unfolding diff-conv-add-uminus det-col-add det-col-uminus ..

lemma det-col-0: det (upd-col M i 0) = 0
  using det-col-mul[of M i 0] by simp

lemma det-col-sum: det (upd-col M i (∑ s∈S. a s)) = (∑ s∈S. det (upd-col M i
  (a s)))
  by (induction S rule: infinite-finite-induct) (simp-all add: det-col-0 det-col-add)

lemma det-identical-cols:
  assumes i ≠ i' shows col A i = col A i' ⟹ det A = 0
  proof (transfer fixing: i i')
    fix A :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b assume (χ j. A j i) = (χ i. A i i')
    then have [simp]: ∏ j q. A j (Transposition.transpose i i' (q j)) = A j (q j)
      by (simp add: vec-eq-iff Transposition.transpose-def)

    let ?p = λp. of-int (sign p) * (∏ i∈UNIV. A i (p i))
    let ?s = λq. Transposition.transpose i i' ∘ q
    let ?E = {p. p permutes UNIV ∧ evenperm p}

    have [simp]: inj-on ?s ?E
      by (auto simp: inj-on-def fun-eq-iff Transposition.transpose-def)

    note p = permutes-UNIV-permutation evenperm-comp permutes-swap-id even-
    perm-swap permutes-compose
      sign-compose sign-swap-id
    from ⟨i ≠ i'⟩ have *: evenperm q if q ∉ ?s ∘ ?E q permutes UNIV for q
      using that by (auto simp add: comp-assoc[symmetric] image-iff p elim!: alle[of
      - ?s q])
    have (∑ p | p permutes UNIV. ?p p) = (∑ p ∈ ?E ∪ ?s ∘ ?E. ?p p)
      by (auto simp add: permutes-compose permutes-swap-id intro: * sum.cong)
    also have ... = (∑ p ∈ ?E. ?p p) + (∑ p ∈ ?s ∘ ?E. ?p p)
      by (intro sum.union-disjoint) (auto simp: p ⟨i ≠ i'⟩)
    also have (∑ p ∈ ?s ∘ ?E. ?p p) = (∑ p ∈ ?E. - ?p p)
      using ⟨i ≠ i'⟩ by (subst sum.reindex) (auto intro!: sum.cong simp: p)
    finally show (∑ p | p permutes UNIV. ?p p) = 0
      by (simp add: sum-negf)
qed

```

```

lemma det-identical-rows:  $i \neq i' \implies \text{row } A \ i = \text{row } A \ i' \implies \det A = 0$ 
  using det-identical-cols[of  $i \ i'$  transpose  $A$ ] by (simp add: det-transpose col-transpose)

lemma det-cols-sum:
   $\det(\text{upd-cols } M \ T (\lambda i. \sum_{s \in S} a \ i \ s)) = (\sum_{f \in T} \rightarrow_E S. \det(\text{upd-cols } M \ T (\lambda i. a \ i \ (f \ i))))$ 
proof (induct  $T$  arbitrary:  $M$  rule: infinite-finite-induct)
  case (insert  $i \ T$ )
    have  $(\sum_{f \in \text{insert } i \ T} \rightarrow_E S. \det(\text{upd-cols } M (\text{insert } i \ T) (\lambda i. a \ i \ (f \ i)))) = (\sum_{s \in S. \sum_{f \in T} \rightarrow_E S. \det(\text{upd-cols } (\text{upd-col } M \ i \ (a \ i \ s)) \ T (\lambda i. a \ i \ (f \ i))))$ 
    unfolding sum.cartesian-product PiE-insert-eq using  $\langle i \notin T \rangle$ 
    by (subst sum.reindex[OF inj-combinator[OF  $\langle i \notin T \rangle$ ]])
    (auto intro!: sum.cong arg-cong[where  $f=\det$ ] upd-cols-cong
      simp: upd-cols-insert-rev simp-implies-def)
  also have  $\dots = \det(\text{upd-col } (\text{upd-cols } M \ T (\lambda i. \sum (a \ i \ S)) \ i (\sum_{s \in S} a \ i \ s))$ 
    unfolding insert(3)[symmetric] by (simp add: upd-cols-upd-col-swap[OF  $\langle i \notin T \rangle$  det-col-sum])
  finally show ?case
    by (simp add: upd-cols-insert)
  qed auto

lemma det-rows-sum:
   $\det(\text{upd-rows } M \ T (\lambda i. \sum_{s \in S} a \ i \ s)) = (\sum_{f \in T} \rightarrow_E S. \det(\text{upd-rows } M \ T (\lambda i. a \ i \ (f \ i))))$ 
  using det-cols-sum[of transpose  $M \ T \ a \ S$ ] by (simp add: upd-cols-transpose det-transpose)

lemma det-rows-mult:  $\det(\text{upd-rows } M \ T (\lambda i. c \ i * s \ a \ i)) = (\prod_{i \in T} c \ i) * \det(\text{upd-rows } M \ T \ a)$ 
  by transfer (simp add: prod.If-cases sum-distrib-left field-simps prod.distrib)

lemma det-cols-mult:  $\det(\text{upd-cols } M \ T (\lambda i. c \ i * s \ a \ i)) = (\prod_{i \in T} c \ i) * \det(\text{upd-cols } M \ T \ a)$ 
  using det-rows-mult[of transpose  $M \ T \ c \ a$ ] by (simp add: det-transpose upd-rows-transpose)

lemma det-perm-rows-If:  $\det(\text{perm-rows } B \ f) = (\text{if } f \text{ permutes } \text{UNIV} \text{ then of-int}(\text{sign } f) * \det B \text{ else } 0)$ 
proof cases
  assume  $\neg f \text{ permutes } \text{UNIV}$ 
  moreover
    with bij-imp-permutes[of  $f \text{ UNIV}$ ] have  $\neg \text{inj } f$ 
    using finite-UNIV-inj-surj[of  $f$ ] by (auto simp: bij-betw-def)
    then obtain  $i \ j$  where  $f \ i = f \ j \ i \neq j$ 
    by (auto simp: inj-on-def)
  moreover
  then have  $\text{row } (\text{perm-rows } B \ f) \ i = \text{row } (\text{perm-rows } B \ f) \ j$ 
    by transfer (auto simp: vec-eq-iff)
  ultimately show ?thesis

```

```

    by (simp add: det-identical-rows)
qed (simp add: det-perm-rows)

lemma det-mult: det (A * B) = det A * det B
proof -
  have A * B = upd-rows 0 UNIV (λi. ∑j∈UNIV. to-fun A i j *s row B j)
    by transfer simp
  moreover have ∏f. upd-rows 0 UNIV (λi. Square-Matrix.row B (f i)) = perm-rows
  B f
    by transfer simp
  moreover have det A = (∑p | p permutes UNIV. of-int (sign p) * (∏i∈UNIV.
  to-fun A i (p i)))
    by transfer rule
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by (auto simp add: det-rows-sum det-rows-mult sum-distrib-right det-perm-rows-If
    split: if-split-asm intro!: sum.mono-neutral-cong-right)
qed

lift-definition minor :: 'a ``'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a::semiring-1 ``'b is
  λA i j k l. if k = i ∧ l = j then 1 else if k = i ∨ l = j then 0 else A k l .

lemma minor-transpose: minor (transpose A) i j = transpose (minor A j i)
  by transfer (auto simp: fun-eq-iff)

lemma minor-eq-row-col: minor M i j = upd-row (upd-col M j (axis i 1)) i (axis
j 1)
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff axis-def)

lemma minor-eq-col-row: minor M i j = upd-col (upd-row M i (axis j 1)) j (axis
i 1)
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff axis-def)

lemma row-minor: row (minor M i j) i = axis j 1
  by (simp add: minor-eq-row-col)

lemma col-minor: col (minor M i j) j = axis i 1
  by (simp add: minor-eq-col-row)

lemma det-minor-row':
  row B i = axis j 1 ⟹ det (minor B i j) = det B
proof (induction {k. to-fun B k j ≠ 0} – {i} arbitrary: B rule: infinite-finite-induct)
  case empty
  then have ∏k. k ≠ i → to-fun B k j = 0
    by (auto simp add: card-eq-0-iff)
  with empty.preds have axis i 1 = col B j
    by transfer (auto simp: vec-eq-iff axis-def)
  with empty.preds[symmetric] show ?case
    by (simp add: minor-eq-row-col)
next

```

```

case (insert r NZ)
then have r: r ≠ i to-fun B r j ≠ 0
  by auto
let ?B' = upd-row B r (row B r - (to-fun B r j) *s row B i)
have det (minor ?B' i j) = det ?B'
proof (rule insert.hyps)
  show NZ = {k. to-fun ?B' k j ≠ 0} - {i}
    using insert.hyps(2,4) insert.preds
    by transfer (auto simp add: axis-def set-eq-iff)
  show row ?B' i = axis j 1
    using r insert by (simp add: row-upd-row-If)
qed
  also have minor ?B' i j = minor B i j
    using r insert.preds by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff axis-def)
  also have det ?B' = det B
    using <r ≠ i>
    by (simp add: det-row-minus det-row-mul det-identical-rows[OF <r ≠ i>] row-upd-row-If)
    finally show ?case .
qed simp

lemma det-minor-row: det (minor B i j) = det (upd-row B i (axis j 1))
proof -
  have det (minor (upd-row B i (axis j 1)) i j) = det (upd-row B i (axis j 1))
    by (rule det-minor-row') simp
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: minor-eq-col-row)
qed

lemma det-minor-col: det (minor B i j) = det (upd-col B j (axis i 1))
using det-minor-row[of transpose B j i]
by (simp add: minor-transpose det-transpose upd-row-transpose)

lift-definition cofactor :: 'a ^~' b ⇒ 'a::comm-ring-1 ^~' b is
   $\lambda A\ i\ j.\ det(\text{minor } A\ i\ j)$  .

lemma cofactor-transpose: cofactor (transpose A) = transpose (cofactor A)
by (simp add: cofactor-def minor-transpose det-transpose.rep_eq to-fun-inject[symmetric] of-fun-inverse)

definition adjugate A = transpose (cofactor A)

lemma adjugate-transpose: adjugate (transpose A) = transpose (adjugate A)
by (simp add: adjugate-def cofactor-transpose)

theorem adjugate-mult-det: adjugate A * A = diag (det A)
proof (intro to-fun-inject[THEN iffD1] fun-eq-iff[THEN iffD2] allI)
  fix i k
  have to-fun (adjugate A * A) i k =  $(\sum_{j \in \text{UNIV}} \text{to-fun } A\ j\ k * \det(\text{minor } A\ j\ i))$ 

```

```

by (simp add: adjugate-def times-sq-matrix.rep-eq transpose.rep-eq cofactor-def
mult.commute of-fun-inverse)
also have ... = det (upd-col A i (∑ j∈UNIV. to-fun A j k *s axis j 1))
by (simp add: det-minor-col det-col-mul det-col-sum)
also have (∑ j∈UNIV. to-fun A j k *s axis j 1) = col A k
by transfer (simp add: smult-axis vec-eq-iff, simp add: axis-def sum.If-cases)
also have det (upd-col A i (col A k)) = (if i = k then det A else 0)
by (auto simp: col-upd-col-If det-identical-cols[of i k])
also have ... = to-fun (diag (det A)) i k
by (simp add: diag.rep-eq)
finally show to-fun (adjugate A * A) i k = to-fun (diag (det A)) i k .
qed

lemma mult-adjugate-det: A * adjugate A = diag (det A)
proof –
  have transpose (transpose (A * adjugate A)) = transpose (diag (det A))
  unfolding transpose-mult adjugate-transpose[symmetric] adjugate-mult-det det-transpose
  ..
  then show ?thesis
  by simp
qed

end

```

theorem Cayley-Hamilton:
fixes A :: 'a::comm-ring-1 $\sim \sim$ 'n
shows poly-mat (charpoly A) A = 0
proof –

Part 1

```

define n where n = CARD('n) - 1
then have d-charpoly: n + 1 = degree (charpoly A) and
d-adj: n = max-degree (adjugate (X - C A))

define B where B i = map-sq-matrix (λp. coeff p i) (adjugate (X - C A)) for
i
have A-eq-B: adjugate (X - C A) = (∑ i≤n. X $\widehat{i}$  *S C (B i))

```

Part 2

```

have charpoly A *S 1 = X *S adjugate (X - C A) - C A * adjugate (X - C
A)
also have ... = (∑ i≤n. X $\widehat{(i + 1)}$  *S C (B i)) - (∑ i≤n. X $\widehat{i}$  *S C (A * B
i))
also have (∑ i≤n. X $\widehat{(i + 1)}$  *S C (B i)) =
(∑ i<n. X $\widehat{(i + 1)}$  *S C (B i)) + X $\widehat{(n + 1)}$  *S C (B n)
also have (∑ i≤n. X $\widehat{i}$  *S C (A * B i)) =
(∑ i<n. X $\widehat{(i + 1)}$  *S C (A * B (i + 1))) + C (A * B 0)

```

finally have *diag-charpoly*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{charpoly } A *_S 1 &= X \widehat{\wedge} (n + 1) *_S \mathbf{C} (B \ n) + \\ (\sum i < n. \ X \widehat{\wedge} (i + 1) *_S \mathbf{C} (B \ i - A * B \ (i + 1))) &- \mathbf{C} (A * B \ 0) \end{aligned}$$

Part 3

```
let ?p = λi. coeff (charpoly A) i *_S A ^i
let ?AB = λi. A ^i(i + 1) * B i
have (∑ i ≤ n+1. ?p i) = ?p 0 + (∑ i < n. ?p (i + 1)) + ?p (n + 1)
also have ?p 0 = - ?AB 0
also have (∑ i < n. ?p (i + 1)) = (∑ i = 0..< n. ?AB i - ?AB (i + 1))
also have ... = ?AB 0 - ?AB n
also have ?AB n = ?p (n + 1)
also have coeff (charpoly A) (n + 1) = 1
finally show ?thesis
qed
```

References

- [1] S. Ould Biha. Formalisation des mathématiques : une preuve du théorème de Cayley-Hamilton. In *JFLA (Journées Francophones des Langages Applicatifs)*, pages 1–14. INRIA, 2008. available at <http://hal.inria.fr/inria-00202795/PDF/oulDbiha.pdf>.
- [2] S. Ould Biha. *Composants mathématiques pour la théorie des groupes*. PhD thesis, Université de Nice – Sophia Antipolis, 2010. available at <http://hal.inria.fr/tel-00493524>.