

Cauchy's Mean Theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

Benjamin Porter

October 10, 2017

Contents

1	Cauchy's Mean Theorem	3
1.1	Abstract	3
1.2	Formal proof	4
1.2.1	Collection sum and product	4
1.2.2	Auxiliary lemma	7
1.2.3	Mean and GMean	8
1.2.4	<i>list-neq, list-eq</i>	10
1.2.5	Element selection	13
1.2.6	Abstract properties	14
1.2.7	Existence of a new collection	19
1.2.8	Cauchy's Mean Theorem	24
2	The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality	26
2.1	Abstract	26
2.2	Formal Proof	26
2.2.1	Vector, Dot and Norm definitions.	26

Abstract

This document presents the mechanised proofs of two popular theorems attributed to Augustin Louis Cauchy - Cauchy's Mean Theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality.

Chapter 1

Cauchy's Mean Theorem

```
theory CauchysMeanTheorem  
imports Complex-Main  
begin
```

1.1 Abstract

The following document presents a proof of Cauchy's Mean theorem formalised in the Isabelle/Isar theorem proving system.

Theorem: For any collection of positive real numbers the geometric mean is always less than or equal to the arithmetic mean. In mathematical terms:

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n} \leq \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

We will use the term *mean* to denote the arithmetic mean and *gmean* to denote the geometric mean.

Informal Proof:

This proof is based on the proof presented in [1]. First we need an auxiliary lemma (the proof of which is presented formally below) that states:

Given two pairs of numbers of equal sum, the pair with the greater product is the pair with the least difference. Using this lemma we now present the proof -

Given any collection C of positive numbers with mean M and product P and with some element not equal to M we can choose two elements from the collection, a and b where $a > M$ and $b < M$. Remove these elements from the collection and replace them with two new elements, a' and b' such that $a' = M$ and $a' + b' = a + b$. This new collection C' now has a greater product P' but equal mean with respect to C . We can continue in this fashion until we have a collection C_n such that $P_n > P$ and $M_n = M$, but C_n has all its elements equal to M and thus $P_n = M^n$. Using the definition of geometric and arithmetic means above we can see that for any collection of positive

elements E it is always true that $\text{gmean } E \leq \text{mean } E$. QED.

[1] Dorrie, H. "100 Great Problems of Elementary Mathematics." 1965, Dover.

1.2 Formal proof

1.2.1 Collection sum and product

The finite collections of numbers will be modelled as lists. We then define sum and product operations over these lists.

Sum and product definitions

notation (*input*) *sum-list* (\sum :- [999] 998)

notation (*input*) *prod-list* (\prod :- [999] 998)

Properties of sum and product

We now present some useful properties of sum and product over collections.

These lemmas just state that if all the elements in a collection C are less (greater than) than some value m , then the sum will less than (greater than) $m * \text{length}(C)$.

lemma *sum-list-mono-lt* [rule-format]:

fixes $xs::\text{real list}$

shows $xs \neq [] \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. x < m)$
 $\rightarrow ((\sum :xs) < (m * \text{real } (\text{length } xs)))$

proof (*induct xs*)

case Nil show ?case by simp

next

case (Cons y ys)

{

assume $\text{ant}: y\#ys \neq [] \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set}(y\#ys). x < m)$

hence $y\#m: y < m$ **by** *simp*

have $\sum : (y\#ys) < m * \text{real } (\text{length } (y\#ys))$

proof *cases*

assume $ys \neq []$

moreover with ant have $\forall x \in \text{set } ys. x < m$ **by** *simp*

moreover with calculation Cons have $\sum : ys < m * \text{real } (\text{length } ys)$ **by** *simp*

hence $\sum : ys + y < m * \text{real } (\text{length } ys) + y$ **by** *simp*

with ylm have $\sum : (y\#ys) < m * (\text{real } (\text{length } ys) + 1)$ **by** (*simp add: field-simps*)

then have $\sum : (y\#ys) < m * (\text{real } (\text{length } ys + 1))$

by (*simp add: algebra-simps*)

hence $\sum : (y\#ys) < m * (\text{real } (\text{length } (y\#ys)))$ **by** *simp*

thus *?thesis* .

next

```

    assume  $\neg (ys \neq [])$ 
    hence  $ys = []$  by simp
    with ylm show ?thesis by simp
  qed
}
thus ?case by simp
qed

```

lemma *sum-list-mono-gt* [rule-format]:
fixes $xs::real\ list$
shows $xs \neq [] \wedge (\forall x \in set\ xs.\ x > m)$
 $\rightarrow ((\sum :xs) > (m * (real (length\ xs))))$

proof omitted

qed

If a is in C then the sum of the collection D where D is C with a removed is the sum of C minus a .

lemma *sum-list-rmv1*:
 $a \in set\ xs \implies \sum :(remove1\ a\ xs) = \sum :xs - (a :: 'a :: ab-group-add)$
by (induct xs) auto

A handy addition and division distribution law over collection sums.

lemma *list-sum-distrib-aux*:
shows $(\sum :xs / (n :: 'a :: archimedean-field) + \sum :xs) = (1 + (1/n)) * \sum :xs$

proof (induct xs)

case Nil show ?case by simp

next

case (Cons $x\ xs$)

show ?case

proof -

have

$\sum :(x\#xs) / n = x/n + \sum :xs / n$
by (simp add: add-divide-distrib)

also with Cons **have**

$\dots = x/n + (1+1/n)*\sum :xs - \sum :xs$
by simp

finally have

$\sum :(x\#xs) / n + \sum :(x\#xs) = x/n + (1+1/n)*\sum :xs - \sum :xs + \sum :(x\#xs)$
by simp

also have

$\dots = x/n + (1+(1/n)-1)*\sum :xs + \sum :(x\#xs)$
by (subst mult-1-left [symmetric, of $\sum :xs$]) (simp add: field-simps)

also have

$\dots = x/n + (1/n)*\sum :xs + \sum :(x\#xs)$
by simp

also have

$\dots = (1/n)*\sum :(x\#xs) + 1*\sum :(x\#xs)$ **by** (simp add: divide-simps)

```

    finally show ?thesis by (simp add: field-simps)
  qed
qed

lemma remove1-retains-prod:
  fixes a and xs::'a :: comm-ring-1 list
  shows a : set xs  $\longrightarrow$   $\prod$ :xs =  $\prod$ :(remove1 a xs) * a
  (is ?P xs)
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil
  show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons aa list)
  assume plist: ?P list
  show ?P (aa#list)
  proof
    assume aml: a : set(aa#list)
    show  $\prod$ :(aa # list) =  $\prod$ :(remove1 a (aa # list)) * a
    proof (cases)
      assume aeq: a = aa
      hence
        remove1 a (aa#list) = list
        by simp
      hence
         $\prod$ :(remove1 a (aa#list)) =  $\prod$ :list
        by simp
      moreover with aeq have
         $\prod$ :(aa#list) =  $\prod$ :list * a
        by simp
      ultimately show
         $\prod$ :(aa#list) =  $\prod$ :(remove1 a (aa # list)) * a
        by simp
    next
      assume naeq: a  $\neq$  aa
      with aml have aml2: a : set list by simp
      from naeq have
        remove1 a (aa#list) = aa#(remove1 a list)
        by simp
      moreover hence
         $\prod$ :(remove1 a (aa#list)) = aa *  $\prod$ :(remove1 a list)
        by simp
      moreover from aml2 plist have
         $\prod$ :list =  $\prod$ :(remove1 a list) * a
        by simp
      ultimately show
         $\prod$ :(aa#list) =  $\prod$ :(remove1 a (aa # list)) * a
        by simp
    qed
  qed
qed

```

qed

The final lemma of this section states that if all elements are positive and non-zero then the product of these elements is also positive and non-zero.

```

lemma el-gt0-imp-prod-gt0 [rule-format]:
  fixes xs::'a :: archimedean-field list
  shows  $\forall y. y : \text{set } xs \longrightarrow y > 0 \implies \prod :xs > 0$ 
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a xs)
  have exp:  $\prod : (a \# xs) = \prod :xs * a$  by simp
  with Cons have  $a > 0$  by simp
  with exp Cons show ?case by simp
qed

```

1.2.2 Auxiliary lemma

This section presents a proof of the auxiliary lemma required for this theorem.

```

lemma prod-exp:
  fixes x::real
  shows  $4*(x*y) = (x+y)^2 - (x-y)^2$ 
  by (simp add: power2-diff power2-sum)

```

```

lemma abs-less-imp-sq-less [rule-format]:
  fixes x::real and y::real and z::real and w::real
  assumes diff:  $\text{abs } (x-y) < \text{abs } (z-w)$ 
  shows  $(x-y)^2 < (z-w)^2$ 
proof cases
  assume  $x=y$ 
  hence  $\text{abs } (x-y) = 0$  by simp
  moreover with diff have  $\text{abs}(z-w) > 0$  by simp
  hence  $(z-w)^2 > 0$  by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
  assume  $x \neq y$ 
  hence  $\text{abs } (x - y) > 0$  by simp
  with diff have  $(\text{abs } (x-y))^2 < (\text{abs } (z-w))^2$ 
  by - (drule power-strict-mono [where a=abs (x-y) and n=2 and b=abs
  (z-w)], auto)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

The required lemma (phrased slightly differently than in the informal proof.) Here we show that for any two pairs of numbers with equal sums the pair with the least difference has the greater product.

```

lemma le-diff-imp-gt-prod [rule-format]:

```


fixes $x::real$ **and** $y::real$ **and** $z::real$ **and** $w::real$
assumes $diff: abs (x-y) < abs (z-w)$ **and** $sum: x+y = z+w$
shows $x*y > z*w$
proof –
from sum **have** $(x+y)^2 = (z+w)^2$ **by** $simp$
moreover from $diff$ **have** $(x-y)^2 < (z-w)^2$ **by** $(rule\ abs-less-imp-sq-less)$
ultimately have $(x+y)^2 - (x-y)^2 > (z+w)^2 - (z-w)^2$ **by** $auto$
thus $x*y > z*w$ **by** $(simp\ only: prod-exp [symmetric])$
qed

1.2.3 Mean and GMean

Now we introduce definitions and properties of arithmetic and geometric means over collections of real numbers.

Definitions

Arithmetic mean

definition

$mean :: (real\ list) \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $mean\ s = (\sum :s / real\ (length\ s))$

Geometric mean

definition

$gmean :: (real\ list) \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $gmean\ s = root\ (length\ s)\ (\prod :s)$

Properties

Here we present some trivial properties of *mean* and *gmean*.

lemma *list-sum-mean*:

fixes $xs::real\ list$
shows $\sum :xs = ((mean\ xs) * (real\ (length\ xs)))$
apply $(induct-tac\ xs)$
apply $simp$
apply $clarsimp$
apply $(unfold\ mean-def)$
apply $clarsimp$
done

lemma *list-mean-eq-iff*:

fixes $one::real\ list$ **and** $two::real\ list$
assumes
 $se: (\sum :one = \sum :two)$ **and**
 $le: (length\ one = length\ two)$
shows $(mean\ one = mean\ two)$
proof –

from *se le* **have**
 $(\sum :one / \text{real } (\text{length one})) = (\sum :two / \text{real } (\text{length two}))$
by *auto*
thus *?thesis unfolding mean-def* .
qed

lemma *list-gmean-gt-iff*:
fixes *one::real list and two::real list*
assumes
 $gz1: \prod :one > 0$ **and** $gz2: \prod :two > 0$ **and**
 $ne1: one \neq []$ **and** $ne2: two \neq []$ **and**
 $pe: (\prod :one > \prod :two)$ **and**
 $le: (\text{length one} = \text{length two})$
shows $(\text{gmean one} > \text{gmean two})$
unfolding *gmean-def*
using *le ne2 pe* **by** *simp*

This slightly more complicated lemma shows that for every non-empty collection with mean M , adding another element a where $a = M$ results in a new list with the same mean M .

lemma *list-mean-cons* [*rule-format*]:
fixes *xs::real list*
shows $xs \neq [] \longrightarrow \text{mean } ((\text{mean } xs)\#xs) = \text{mean } xs$
proof
assume *lne: xs ≠ []*
obtain *len* **where** *ld: len = real (length xs)* **by** *simp*
with *lne* **have** *lgt0: len > 0* **by** *simp*
hence *lnez: len ≠ 0* **by** *simp*
from *lgt0* **have** *l1nez: len + 1 ≠ 0* **by** *simp*
from *ld* **have** *mean: mean xs = ∑ :xs / len* **unfolding** *mean-def* **by** *simp*
with *ld of-nat-add of-int-1 mean-def*
have $\text{mean } ((\text{mean } xs)\#xs) = (\sum :xs / len + \sum :xs) / (1 + len)$
by *simp*
also from *list-sum-distrib-aux* [*of xs*] **have**
 $\dots = (1 + (1/len)) * \sum :xs / (1 + len)$ **by** *simp*
also with *lnez* **have**
 $\dots = (len + 1) * \sum :xs / (len * (1 + len))$
apply $-$
apply (*drule mult-divide-mult-cancel-left*
 $[symmetric, \text{where } c=len \text{ and } a=(1 + 1 / len) * \sum :xs \text{ and } b=1+len]$)
apply (*clarsimp simp:field-simps*)
done
also from *l1nez* **have** $\dots = \sum :xs / len$
apply (*subst mult.commute* [*where a=len*])
apply (*drule mult-divide-mult-cancel-left*
 $[\text{where } c=len+1 \text{ and } a=\sum :xs \text{ and } b=len]$)
by (*simp add: ac-simps ac-simps*)
finally show $\text{mean } ((\text{mean } xs)\#xs) = \text{mean } xs$ **by** (*simp add: mean*)
qed

For a non-empty collection with positive mean, if we add a positive number to the collection then the mean remains positive.

lemma *mean-gt-0* [rule-format]:

$$xs \neq [] \wedge 0 < x \wedge 0 < (\text{mean } xs) \longrightarrow 0 < (\text{mean } (x\#xs))$$

proof

assume a : $xs \neq [] \wedge 0 < x \wedge 0 < \text{mean } xs$

hence $xgt0$: $0 < x$ **and** $mgt0$: $0 < \text{mean } xs$ **by** *auto*

from a **have** $lxsgt0$: $\text{length } xs \neq 0$ **by** *simp*

from $mgt0$ **have** $xsgt0$: $0 < \sum :xs$

proof –

have $\text{mean } xs = \sum :xs / \text{real } (\text{length } xs)$ **unfolding** *mean-def* **by** *simp*

hence $\sum :xs = \text{mean } xs * \text{real } (\text{length } xs)$ **by** *simp*

moreover from $lxsgt0$ **have** $\text{real } (\text{length } xs) > 0$ **by** *simp*

moreover with *calculation* $lxsgt0$ $mgt0$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

with $xgt0$ **have** $\sum :(x\#xs) > 0$ **by** *simp*

thus $0 < (\text{mean } (x\#xs))$

proof –

assume $0 < \sum :(x\#xs)$

moreover have $\text{real } (\text{length } (x\#xs)) > 0$ **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **unfolding** *mean-def* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

1.2.4 *list-neq, list-eq*

This section presents a useful formalisation of the act of removing all the elements from a collection that are equal (not equal) to a particular value. We use this to extract all the non-mean elements from a collection as is required by the proof.

Definitions

list-neq and *list-eq* just extract elements from a collection that are not equal (or equal) to some value.

abbreviation

$\text{list-neq} :: ('a \text{ list}) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list})$ **where**

$\text{list-neq } xs \text{ el} == \text{filter } (\lambda x. x \neq \text{el}) \text{ xs}$

abbreviation

$\text{list-eq} :: ('a \text{ list}) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list})$ **where**

$\text{list-eq } xs \text{ el} == \text{filter } (\lambda x. x = \text{el}) \text{ xs}$

Properties

This lemma just proves a required fact about *list-neq*, *remove1* and *length*.

lemma *list-neq-remove1* [rule-format]:

```

shows  $a \neq m \wedge a : \text{set } xs$ 
 $\longrightarrow \text{length } (\text{list-neq } (\text{remove1 } a \ xs) \ m) < \text{length } (\text{list-neq } xs \ m)$ 
(is  $?A \ xs \longrightarrow ?B \ xs$  is  $?P \ xs)$ 
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil show  $?case$  by simp
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  note  $\langle ?P \ xs \rangle$ 
  {
    assume  $a : ?A \ (x \# \ xs)$ 
    hence
       $a\text{-ne-}m : a \neq m$  and
       $a\text{-mem-}x\text{-}xs : a : \text{set}(x \# \ xs)$ 
    by auto
    have  $b : ?B \ (x \# \ xs)$ 
    proof cases
      assume  $xs = []$ 
      with  $a\text{-ne-}m \ a\text{-mem-}x\text{-}xs$  show  $?thesis$ 
      apply (cases x=a)
      by auto
    next
      assume  $xs\text{-ne} : xs \neq []$ 
      with  $a\text{-ne-}m \ a\text{-mem-}x\text{-}xs$  show  $?thesis$ 
    proof cases
      assume  $a=x$  with  $a\text{-ne-}m$  show  $?thesis$  by simp
    next
      assume  $a\text{-ne-}x : a \neq x$ 
      with  $a\text{-mem-}x\text{-}xs$  have  $a\text{-mem-}xs : a : \text{set } xs$  by simp
      with  $xs\text{-ne} \ a\text{-ne-}m \ Cons$  have
         $rel : \text{length } (\text{list-neq } (\text{remove1 } a \ xs) \ m) < \text{length } (\text{list-neq } xs \ m)$ 
        by simp
      show  $?thesis$ 
    proof cases
      assume  $x\text{-e-}m : x=m$ 
      with  $Cons \ xs\text{-ne} \ a\text{-ne-}m \ a\text{-mem-}xs$  show  $?thesis$  by simp
    next
      assume  $x\text{-ne-}m : x \neq m$ 
      from  $a\text{-ne-}x$  have
         $\text{remove1 } a \ (x \# \ xs) = x \# (\text{remove1 } a \ xs)$ 
        by simp
      hence
         $\text{length } (\text{list-neq } (\text{remove1 } a \ (x \# \ xs)) \ m) =$ 
         $\text{length } (\text{list-neq } (x \# (\text{remove1 } a \ xs)) \ m)$ 
        by simp
      also with  $x\text{-ne-}m$  have
         $\dots = 1 + \text{length } (\text{list-neq } (\text{remove1 } a \ xs) \ m)$ 
        by simp
      finally have
         $\text{length } (\text{list-neq } (\text{remove1 } a \ (x \# \ xs)) \ m) =$ 

```

```

      1 + length (list-neq (remove1 a xs) m)
    by simp
  moreover with x-ne-m a-ne-x have
    length (list-neq (x#xs) m) =
      1 + length (list-neq xs m)
    by simp
  moreover with rel show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed
}
thus ?P (x#xs) by simp
qed

```

We now prove some facts about *list-eq*, *list-neq*, length, sum and product.

```

lemma list-eq-sum [simp]:
  fixes xs::real list
  shows  $\sum:(list-eq xs m) = (m * (real (length (list-eq xs m))))$ 
  apply (induct-tac xs)
  apply simp
  apply (simp add:field-simps)
  done

```

```

lemma list-eq-prod [simp]:
  fixes xs::real list
  shows  $\prod:(list-eq xs m) = (m ^ (length (list-eq xs m)))$ 
  apply (induct-tac xs)
  apply simp
  apply clarsimp
  done

```

```

lemma sum-list-split:
  fixes xs::real list
  shows  $\sum:xs = (\sum:(list-neq xs m) + \sum:(list-eq xs m))$ 
  apply (induct xs)
  apply simp
  apply clarsimp
  done

```

```

lemma prod-list-split:
  fixes xs::real list
  shows  $\prod:xs = (\prod:(list-neq xs m) * \prod:(list-eq xs m))$ 
  apply (induct xs)
  apply simp
  apply clarsimp
  done

```

```

lemma sum-list-length-split:
  fixes xs::real list

```

shows $\text{length } xs = \text{length } (\text{list-neq } xs \ m) + \text{length } (\text{list-eq } xs \ m)$
apply (*induct xs*)
apply *simp+*
done

1.2.5 Element selection

We now show that given after extracting all the elements not equal to the mean there exists one that is greater then (or less than) the mean.

lemma *pick-one-gt*:

fixes $xs::\text{real list}$ **and** $m::\text{real}$
defines $m: m \equiv (\text{mean } xs)$ **and** $neq: \text{noteq} \equiv \text{list-neq } xs \ m$
assumes $asum: \text{noteq} \neq []$
shows $\exists e. e : \text{set } \text{noteq} \wedge e > m$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

let $?m = (\text{mean } xs)$

let $?neq = \text{list-neq } xs \ ?m$

let $?eq = \text{list-eq } xs \ ?m$

from *list-eq-sum* **have** $(\sum :?eq) = ?m * (\text{real } (\text{length } ?eq))$ **by** *simp*

from *asum* **have** $neq \neq []$ **unfolding** $m \ neq$.

assume *not-el*: $\neg(\exists e. e : \text{set } \text{noteq} \wedge m < e)$

hence *not-el-exp*: $\neg(\exists e. e : \text{set } ?neq \wedge ?m < e)$ **unfolding** $m \ neq$.

hence $\forall e. \neg(e : \text{set } ?neq) \vee \neg(e > ?m)$ **by** *simp*

hence $\forall e. e : \text{set } ?neq \longrightarrow \neg(e > ?m)$ **by** *blast*

hence $\forall e. e : \text{set } ?neq \longrightarrow e \leq ?m$ **by** (*simp add: linorder-not-less*)

hence $\forall e. e : \text{set } ?neq \longrightarrow e < ?m$ **by** (*simp add: order-le-less*)

with *assms sum-list-mono-lt* **have** $(\sum :?neq) < ?m * (\text{real } (\text{length } ?neq))$ **by** *blast*

hence

$(\sum :?neq) + (\sum :?eq) < ?m * (\text{real } (\text{length } ?neq)) + (\sum :?eq)$ **by** *simp*

also have

$\dots = (?m * ((\text{real } (\text{length } ?neq) + (\text{real } (\text{length } ?eq))))$

by (*simp add: field-simps*)

also have

$\dots = (?m * (\text{real } (\text{length } xs)))$

apply (*subst of-nat-add [symmetric]*)

by (*simp add: sum-list-length-split [symmetric]*)

also have

$\dots = \sum :xs$

by (*simp add: list-sum-mean [symmetric]*)

also from *not-el* **calculation show** *False* **by** (*simp only: sum-list-split [symmetric]*)

qed

lemma *pick-one-lt*:

fixes $xs::\text{real list}$ **and** $m::\text{real}$

defines $m: m \equiv (\text{mean } xs)$ **and** $neq: \text{noteq} \equiv \text{list-neq } xs \ m$

assumes $asum: \text{noteq} \neq []$

shows $\exists e. e : \text{set } \text{noteq} \wedge e < m$

proof (*rule ccontr*) — *reductio ad absurdum*

```

let ?m = (mean xs)
let ?neq = list-neq xs ?m
let ?eq = list-eq xs ?m
from list-eq-sum have ( $\sum : ?eq$ ) = ?m * (real (length ?eq)) by simp
from asum have neq-ne: ?neq  $\neq$  [] unfolding m neq .
assume not-el:  $\neg(\exists e. e : \text{set } \text{noteq} \wedge m > e)$ 
hence not-el-exp:  $\neg(\exists e. e : \text{set } ?neq \wedge ?m > e)$  unfolding m neq .
hence  $\forall e. \neg(e : \text{set } ?neq) \vee \neg(e < ?m)$  by simp
hence  $\forall e. e : \text{set } ?neq \longrightarrow \neg(e < ?m)$  by blast
hence  $\forall e. e : \text{set } ?neq \longrightarrow e \geq ?m$  by (simp add: linorder-not-less)
hence  $\forall e. e : \text{set } ?neq \longrightarrow e > ?m$  by (auto simp: order-le-less)
with assms sum-list-mono-gt have ( $\sum : ?neq$ ) > ?m * (real (length ?neq)) by
blast
hence
  ( $\sum : ?neq$ ) + ( $\sum : ?eq$ ) > ?m * (real (length ?neq)) + ( $\sum : ?eq$ ) by simp
also have
  (?m * (real (length ?neq)) + ( $\sum : ?eq$ )) =
  (?m * (real (length ?neq)) + (?m * (real (length ?eq))))
  by simp
also have
  ... = (?m * ((real (length ?neq) + (real (length ?eq))))))
  by (simp add: field-simps)
also have
  ... = (?m * (real (length xs)))
  apply (subst of-nat-add [symmetric])
  by (simp add: sum-list-length-split [symmetric])
also have
  ... =  $\sum : xs$ 
  by (simp add: list-sum-mean [symmetric])
also from not-el calculation show False by (simp only: sum-list-split [symmetric])
qed

```

1.2.6 Abstract properties

In order to maintain some comprehension of the following proofs we now introduce some properties of collections.

Definitions

het: The heterogeneity of a collection is the number of elements not equal to its mean. A heterogeneity of zero implies the all the elements in the collection are the same (i.e. homogeneous).

definition

```

het :: real list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
het l = length (list-neq l (mean l))

```

lemma *het-gt-0-imp-noteq-ne*: $\text{het } l > 0 \implies \text{list-neq } l \text{ (mean } l) \neq []$
unfolding *het-def* **by** simp

lemma *het-gt-0I*: **assumes** $a: a \in \text{set } xs$ **and** $b: b \in \text{set } xs$ **and** $neq: a \neq b$
shows $\text{het } xs > 0$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg ?thesis$
hence $\text{het } xs = 0$ **by** *auto*
from $\text{this}[\text{unfolded } \text{het-def}]$ **have** $\text{list-neq } xs \ (\text{mean } xs) = []$ **by** *simp*
from $\text{arg-cong}[\text{OF } \text{this}, \text{of set}]$ **have** $\text{mean}: \bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x = \text{mean } xs$
by *auto*
from $\text{mean}[\text{OF } a] \ \text{mean}[\text{OF } b] \ \text{neq}$ **show** *False* **by** *auto*
qed

γ -*eq*: Two lists are γ -equivalent if and only if they both have the same number of elements and the same arithmetic means.

definition

$\gamma\text{-eq} :: ((\text{real list}) * (\text{real list})) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\gamma\text{-eq } a \iff \text{mean } (\text{fst } a) = \text{mean } (\text{snd } a) \wedge \text{length } (\text{fst } a) = \text{length } (\text{snd } a)$

γ -*eq* is transitive and symmetric.

lemma $\gamma\text{-eq-sym}$: $\gamma\text{-eq } (a, b) = \gamma\text{-eq } (b, a)$
unfolding $\gamma\text{-eq-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $\gamma\text{-eq-trans}$:

$\gamma\text{-eq } (x, y) \implies \gamma\text{-eq } (y, z) \implies \gamma\text{-eq } (x, z)$
unfolding $\gamma\text{-eq-def}$ **by** *simp*

pos: A list is positive if all its elements are greater than 0.

definition

$\text{pos} :: \text{real list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{pos } l \iff (\text{if } l = [] \text{ then } \text{False} \text{ else } \forall e. e : \text{set } l \longrightarrow e > 0)$

lemma pos-empty [*simp*]: $\text{pos } [] = \text{False}$ **unfolding** pos-def **by** *simp*

lemma pos-single [*simp*]: $\text{pos } [x] = (x > 0)$ **unfolding** pos-def **by** *simp*

lemma pos-imp-ne : $\text{pos } xs \implies xs \neq []$ **unfolding** pos-def **by** *auto*

lemma pos-cons [*simp*]:

$xs \neq [] \longrightarrow \text{pos } (x \# xs) =$
 $(\text{if } (x > 0) \text{ then } \text{pos } xs \text{ else } \text{False})$
 $(\text{is } ?P \ x \ xs \ \text{is } ?A \ xs \longrightarrow ?S \ x \ xs)$

proof (*simp add: if-split, rule impI*)

assume $xsne: xs \neq []$

hence pos-imp :

$\text{pos } xs = (\forall e. e : \text{set } xs \longrightarrow e > 0)$

unfolding pos-def **by** *simp*

show

$(0 < x \longrightarrow \text{pos } (x \# xs) = \text{pos } xs) \wedge$

$(\neg 0 < x \longrightarrow \neg \text{pos } (x \# xs))$

proof

{


```

    assume xgt0: 0 < x
  {
    assume pxs: pos xs
    with pxs-simp have  $\forall e. e : \text{set } xs \longrightarrow e > 0$  by simp
    with xgt0 have  $\forall e. e : \text{set } (x\#xs) \longrightarrow e > 0$  by simp
    hence pos (x#xs) unfolding pos-def by simp
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume pxs: pos (x#xs)
    hence  $\forall e. e : \text{set } (x\#xs) \longrightarrow e > 0$  unfolding pos-def by simp
    hence  $\forall e. e : \text{set } xs \longrightarrow e > 0$  by simp
    with xsne have pos xs unfolding pos-def by simp
  }
  ultimately have pos (x # xs) = pos xs
    apply -
    apply (rule iffI)
    apply auto
    done
  }
  thus 0 < x  $\longrightarrow$  pos (x # xs) = pos xs by simp
next
{
  assume xngt0:  $\neg (0 < x)$ 
  {
    assume pxs: pos xs
    with pxs-simp have  $\forall e. e : \text{set } xs \longrightarrow e > 0$  by simp
    with xngt0 have  $\neg (\forall e. e : \text{set } (x\#xs) \longrightarrow e > 0)$  by auto
    hence  $\neg (\text{pos } (x\#xs))$  unfolding pos-def by simp
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume pxs:  $\neg \text{pos } xs$ 
    with xsne have  $\neg (\forall e. e : \text{set } xs \longrightarrow e > 0)$  unfolding pos-def by simp
    hence  $\neg (\forall e. e : \text{set } (x\#xs) \longrightarrow e > 0)$  by auto
    hence  $\neg (\text{pos } (x\#xs))$  unfolding pos-def by simp
  }
  ultimately have  $\neg \text{pos } (x\#xs)$  by auto
  }
  thus  $\neg 0 < x \longrightarrow \neg \text{pos } (x \# xs)$  by simp
qed
qed

```

Properties

Here we prove some non-trivial properties of the abstract properties.

Two lemmas regarding *pos*. The first states the removing an element from a positive collection (of more than 1 element) results in a positive collection.

The second asserts that the mean of a positive collection is positive.

lemma *pos-imp-rmv-pos*:

assumes $(\text{remove1 } a \text{ } xs) \neq []$ *pos xs* **shows** *pos (remove1 a xs)*

proof –

from *assms* **have** *pl: pos xs* **and** *rmvne: (remove1 a xs) ≠ []* **by** *auto*

from *pl* **have** $xs \neq []$ **by** *(rule pos-imp-ne)*

with *pl pos-def* **have** $\forall x. x : \text{set } xs \longrightarrow x > 0$ **by** *simp*

hence $\forall x. x : \text{set } (\text{remove1 } a \text{ } xs) \longrightarrow x > 0$

using *set-remove1-subset[of - xs]* **by** *(blast)*

with *rmvne* **show** *pos (remove1 a xs)* **unfolding** *pos-def* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *pos-mean: pos xs \implies mean xs > 0*

proof *(induct xs)*

case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *(simp add: pos-def)*

next

case *(Cons x xs)*

show *?case*

proof *cases*

assume *xse: xs = []*

hence *pos (x#xs) = (x > 0)* **by** *simp*

with *Cons(2)* **have** $x > 0$ **by** *(simp)*

with *xse* **have** $0 < \text{mean } (x\#xs)$ **by** *(auto simp: mean-def)*

thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

assume *xsne: xs $\neq []$*

show *?thesis*

proof *cases*

assume *pxs: pos xs*

with *Cons(1)* **have** *z-le-mxs: 0 < mean xs* **by** *(simp)*

{

assume *ass: x > 0*

with *ass z-le-mxs xsne* **have** $0 < \text{mean } (x\#xs)$

apply –

apply *(rule mean-gt-0)*

by *simp*

}

moreover

{

from *xsne pxs* **have** $0 < x$

proof *cases*

assume $0 < x$ **thus** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

assume $\neg(0 < x)$

with *xsne pos-cons* **have** *pos (x#xs) = False* **by** *simp*

with *Cons(2)* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

}

ultimately **have** $0 < \text{mean } (x\#xs)$ **by** *simp*

```

    thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume npxs: ¬pos xs
    with xsne pos-cons have pos (x#xs) = False by simp
    thus ?thesis using Cons(2) by simp
  qed
qed
qed

```

We now show that homogeneity of a non-empty collection x implies that its product is equal to $(\text{mean } x) ^{(\text{length } x)}$.

lemma *prod-list-het0*:

shows $x \neq [] \wedge \text{het } x = 0 \implies \prod :x = (\text{mean } x) ^{(\text{length } x)}$

proof –

assume $x \neq [] \wedge \text{het } x = 0$

hence $xne: x \neq []$ **and** $hetx: \text{het } x = 0$ **by** *auto*

from $hetx$ **have** $lz: \text{length } (\text{list-neq } x (\text{mean } x)) = 0$ **unfolding** *het-def* .

hence $\prod :(\text{list-neq } x (\text{mean } x)) = 1$ **by** *simp*

with *prod-list-split* **have** $\prod :x = \prod :(\text{list-eq } x (\text{mean } x))$

apply –

apply (*drule meta-spec* [*of* - x])

apply (*drule meta-spec* [*of* - $\text{mean } x$])

by *simp*

also with *list-eq-prod* **have**

$\dots = (\text{mean } x) ^{(\text{length } (\text{list-eq } x (\text{mean } x)))}$ **by** *simp*

also with *calculation lz sum-list-length-split* **have**

$\prod :x = (\text{mean } x) ^{(\text{length } x)}$

apply –

apply (*drule meta-spec* [*of* - x])

apply (*drule meta-spec* [*of* - $\text{mean } x$])

by *simp*

thus ?thesis **by** *simp*

qed

Furthermore we present an important result - that a homogeneous collection has equal geometric and arithmetic means.

lemma *het-base*:

shows $\text{pos } x \wedge \text{het } x = 0 \implies \text{gmean } x = \text{mean } x$

proof –

assume $ass: \text{pos } x \wedge \text{het } x = 0$

hence

$xne: x \neq []$ **and**

$hetx: \text{het } x = 0$ **and**

$posx: \text{pos } x$

by *auto*

from $posx$ *pos-mean* **have** $mxgt0: \text{mean } x > 0$ **by** *simp*

from xne **have** $lxgt0: \text{length } x > 0$ **by** *simp*

with ass *prod-list-het0* **have**

$\text{root } (\text{length } x) (\prod :x) = \text{root } (\text{length } x) ((\text{mean } x) ^{(\text{length } x)})$

by *simp*
also from *lxgt0 mxgt0 real-root-power-cancel* **have** $\dots = \text{mean } x$ **by** *auto*
finally show $\text{gmean } x = \text{mean } x$ **unfolding** *gmean-def* .
qed

1.2.7 Existence of a new collection

We now present the largest and most important proof in this document. Given any positive and non-homogeneous collection of real numbers there exists a new collection that is γ -equivalent, positive, has a strictly lower heterogeneity and a greater geometric mean.

lemma *new-list-gt-gmean*:

fixes $xs :: \text{real list}$ **and** $m :: \text{real}$

and *neq* **and** *eq*

defines

m : $m \equiv \text{mean } xs$ **and**

neq: $\text{noteq} \equiv \text{list-neq } xs \ m$ **and**

eq: $\text{eq} \equiv \text{list-eq } xs \ m$

assumes *pos-xs*: $\text{pos } xs$ **and** *het-gt-0*: $\text{het } xs > 0$

shows

$\exists xs'. \text{gmean } xs' > \text{gmean } xs \wedge \gamma\text{-eq } (xs', xs) \wedge$
 $\text{het } xs' < \text{het } xs \wedge \text{pos } xs'$

proof –

from *pos-xs pos-imp-ne* **have**

pos-els: $\forall y. y : \text{set } xs \longrightarrow y > 0$ **by** (*unfold pos-def, simp*)

with *el-gt0-imp-prod-gt0* [of *xs*] **have** *pos-asm*: $\prod : xs > 0$ **by** *simp*

from *neq het-gt-0 het-gt-0-imp-noteq-ne m* **have**

neqne: $\text{noteq} \neq []$ **by** *simp*

Pick two elements from *xs*, one greater than *m*, one less than *m*.

from *assms pick-one-gt neqne* **obtain** α **where**

α -def: $\alpha : \text{set } \text{noteq} \wedge \alpha > m$ **unfolding** *neq m* **by** *auto*

from *assms pick-one-lt neqne* **obtain** β **where**

β -def: $\beta : \text{set } \text{noteq} \wedge \beta < m$ **unfolding** *neq m* **by** *auto*

from *α -def β -def* **have** *α -gt*: $\alpha > m$ **and** *β -lt*: $\beta < m$ **by** *auto*

from *α -def β -def* **have** *el-neq*: $\beta \neq \alpha$ **by** *simp*

from *neqne neq* **have** *xsne*: $xs \neq []$ **by** *auto*

from *β -def* **have** *β -mem*: $\beta : \text{set } xs$ **by** (*auto simp: neq*)

from *α -def* **have** *α -mem*: $\alpha : \text{set } xs$ **by** (*auto simp: neq*)

from *pos-xs pos-def xsne α -mem β -mem α -def β -def* **have**

α -pos: $\alpha > 0$ **and** *β -pos*: $\beta > 0$ **by** *auto*

— remove these elements from *xs*, and insert two new elements

obtain *left-over* **where** *lo*: $\text{left-over} = (\text{remove1 } \beta (\text{remove1 } \alpha \ xs))$ **by** *simp*

obtain b **where** *bdef*: $m + b = \alpha + \beta$

by (*drule meta-spec [of - $\alpha + \beta - m$], simp*)

from m pos - xs pos - def pos - $mean$ **have** m - pos : $m > 0$ **by** $simp$
with $bdef$ α - pos β - pos α - gt β - lt **have** b - pos : $b > 0$ **by** $simp$

obtain new - $list$ **where** nl : new - $list = m\#b\#(left$ - $over)$ **by** $auto$

from el - neq β - mem α - mem **have** $\beta : set$ $xs \wedge \alpha : set$ $xs \wedge \beta \neq \alpha$ **by** $simp$
hence $\alpha : set$ $(remove1$ β $xs) \wedge \beta : set$ $(remove1$ α $xs)$ **by** $(auto$ $simp$ add :
 in - set - $remove1)$

moreover **hence** $(remove1$ α $xs) \neq [] \wedge (remove1$ β $xs) \neq []$ **by** $(auto)$

ultimately **have**

$mem : \alpha : set$ $(remove1$ β $xs) \wedge \beta : set$ $(remove1$ α $xs) \wedge$
 $(remove1$ α $xs) \neq [] \wedge (remove1$ β $xs) \neq []$ **by** $simp$

— prove that new list is positive

from nl **have** nl - pos : pos new - $list$

proof $cases$

assume $left$ - $over = []$

with nl b - pos m - pos **show** $?thesis$ **by** $simp$

next

assume $lone$: $left$ - $over \neq []$

from mem pos - imp - rmv - pos pos - xs **have** pos $(remove1$ α $xs)$ **by** $simp$

with lo $lone$ pos - imp - rmv - pos **have** pos $left$ - $over$ **by** $simp$

with $lone$ mem nl m - pos b - pos **show** $?thesis$ **by** $simp$

qed

— now show that the new list has the same mean as the old list

with mem nl lo $bdef$ α - mem β - mem

have \sum : new - $list = \sum$: xs

apply $clarsimp$

apply $(subst$ sum - $list$ - $rmv1)$

apply $simp$

apply $(subst$ sum - $list$ - $rmv1)$

apply $simp$

apply $clarsimp$

done

moreover **from** lo nl β - mem α - mem mem **have**

leq : $length$ new - $list = length$ xs

apply —

apply $(erule$ $conjE)$ +

apply $(clarsimp)$

apply $(subst$ $length$ - $remove1$, $simp)$

apply $(simp$ add : $length$ - $remove1)$

apply $(auto$ $dest$!: $length$ - pos - if - in - $set)$

done

ultimately **have** eq - $mean$: $mean$ new - $list = mean$ xs **by** $(rule$ $list$ - $mean$ - eq - $iff)$

— finally show that the new list has a greater gmean than the old list

have gt - $gmean$: $gmean$ new - $list > gmean$ xs

proof —

from *bdef* α -*gt* β -*lt* **have** $\text{abs } (m - b) < \text{abs } (\alpha - \beta)$ **by** *arith*
moreover from *bdef* **have** $m + b = \alpha + \beta$.
ultimately have *mb-gt-gt*: $m * b > \alpha * \beta$ **by** (*rule le-diff-imp-gt-prod*)
moreover from *nl* **have**
 $\prod : \text{new-list} = \prod : \text{left-over} * (m * b)$ **by** *auto*
moreover
from *lo* α -*mem* β -*mem* *mem* *remove1-retains-prod* [**where** '*a* = *real*] **have**
 $\text{xsprod}: \prod : \text{xs} = \prod : \text{left-over} * (\alpha * \beta)$ **by** *auto*
moreover from *xsne* **have**
 $\text{xs} \neq []$.
moreover from *nl* **have**
 $\text{nlne}: \text{new-list} \neq []$ **by** *simp*
moreover from *pos-asm lo* **have**
 $\prod : \text{left-over} > 0$
proof –
from *pos-asm* **have** $\prod : \text{xs} > 0$.
moreover
from *xsprod* **have** $\prod : \text{xs} = \prod : \text{left-over} * (\alpha * \beta)$.
ultimately have $\prod : \text{left-over} * (\alpha * \beta) > 0$ **by** *simp*
moreover
from *pos-els* α -*mem* β -*mem* **have** $\alpha > 0$ **and** $\beta > 0$ **by** *auto*
hence $\alpha * \beta > 0$ **by** *simp*
ultimately show $\prod : \text{left-over} > 0$
apply –
apply (*rule zero-less-mult-pos2* [**where** $a = (\alpha * \beta)$])
by *auto*
qed
ultimately have $\prod : \text{new-list} > \prod : \text{xs}$
by *simp*
moreover with *pos-asm nl* **have** $\prod : \text{new-list} > 0$ **by** *auto*
moreover from *calculation pos-asm xsne nlne leq list-gmean-gt-iff*
show $\text{gmean new-list} > \text{gmean xs}$ **by** *simp*
qed

— auxiliary info
from β -*lt* **have** β -*ne-m*: $\beta \neq m$ **by** *simp*
from *mem* **have**
 β -*mem-rmv- α* : $\beta : \text{set } (\text{remove1 } \alpha \text{ xs})$ **and** *rmv- α -ne*: $(\text{remove1 } \alpha \text{ xs}) \neq []$ **by**
auto

from α -*def* **have** α -*ne-m*: $\alpha \neq m$ **by** *simp*

— now show that new list is more homogeneous
have *lt-het*: $\text{het new-list} < \text{het xs}$
proof *cases*
assume *bm*: $b = m$
with *het-def* **have**
 $\text{het new-list} = \text{length } (\text{list-neq new-list } (\text{mean new-list}))$
by *simp*

```

also with  $m$  nl eq-mean have
  ... = length (list-neq (m#b#(left-over)) m)
  by simp
also with  $bm$  have
  ... = length (list-neq left-over m)
  by simp
also with  $lo$   $\beta$ -def  $\alpha$ -def have
  ... = length (list-neq (remove1  $\beta$  (remove1  $\alpha$  xs)) m)
  by simp
also from  $\beta$ -ne- $m$   $\beta$ -mem-rmv- $\alpha$   $rmv$ - $\alpha$ -ne have
  ... < length (list-neq (remove1  $\alpha$  xs) m)
  apply -
  apply (rule list-neq-remove1)
  by simp
also from  $\alpha$ -mem  $\alpha$ -ne- $m$   $xsne$  have
  ... < length (list-neq xs m)
  apply -
  apply (rule list-neq-remove1)
  by simp
also with  $m$  het-def have ... = het xs by simp
finally show het new-list < het xs .
next
assume  $bnm$ :  $b \neq m$ 
with het-def have
  het new-list = length (list-neq new-list (mean new-list))
  by simp
also with  $m$  nl eq-mean have
  ... = length (list-neq (m#b#(left-over)) m)
  by simp
also with  $bnm$  have
  ... = length (b#(list-neq left-over m))
  by simp
also have
  ... = 1 + length (list-neq left-over m)
  by simp
also with  $lo$   $\beta$ -def  $\alpha$ -def have
  ... = 1 + length (list-neq (remove1  $\beta$  (remove1  $\alpha$  xs)) m)
  by simp
also from  $\beta$ -ne- $m$   $\beta$ -mem-rmv- $\alpha$   $rmv$ - $\alpha$ -ne have
  ... < 1 + length (list-neq (remove1  $\alpha$  xs) m)
  apply -
  apply (simp only: nat-add-left-cancel-less)
  apply (rule list-neq-remove1)
  by simp
finally have
  het new-list  $\leq$  length (list-neq (remove1  $\alpha$  xs) m)
  by simp
also from  $\alpha$ -mem  $\alpha$ -ne- $m$   $xsne$  have ... < length (list-neq xs m)
  apply -

```

apply (*rule list-neq-remove1*)
by *simp*
also with *m het-def* **have** $\dots = \text{het } xs$ **by** *simp*
finally show $\text{het new-list} < \text{het } xs$.
qed

— thus thesis by existence of newlist

from $\gamma\text{-eq-def}$ *lt-het* *gt-gmean* *eq-mean* *leq nl-pos* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

Furthermore we show that for all non-homogeneous positive collections there exists another collection that is γ -equivalent, positive, has a greater geometric mean *and* is homogeneous.

lemma *existence-of-het0* [*rule-format*]:

shows $\forall x. p = \text{het } x \wedge p > 0 \wedge \text{pos } x \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists y. \text{gmean } y > \text{gmean } x \wedge \gamma\text{-eq } (x,y) \wedge \text{het } y = 0 \wedge \text{pos } y)$
(is *?Q p is* $\forall x. (?A \ x \ p \longrightarrow ?S \ x)$

proof (*induct p rule: nat-less-induct*)

fix *n*

assume *ind*: $\forall m < n. ?Q \ m$

{

fix *x*

assume *ass*: *?A x n*

hence $\text{het } x > 0$ **and** $\text{pos } x$ **by** *auto*

with *new-list-gt-gmean* **have**

$\exists y. \text{gmean } y > \text{gmean } x \wedge \gamma\text{-eq } (x,y) \wedge \text{het } y < \text{het } x \wedge \text{pos } y$

apply —

apply (*drule meta-spec* [*of - x*])

apply (*drule meta-mp*)

apply *assumption*

apply (*drule meta-mp*)

apply *assumption*

apply (*subst(asm)* $\gamma\text{-eq-sym}$)

apply *simp*

done

then obtain β **where**

$\beta\text{-def}$: $\text{gmean } \beta > \text{gmean } x \wedge \gamma\text{-eq } (x,\beta) \wedge \text{het } \beta < \text{het } x \wedge \text{pos } \beta$..

then obtain *b* **where** *bdef*: $b = \text{het } \beta$ **by** *simp*

with *ass* $\beta\text{-def}$ **have** $b < n$ **by** *auto*

with *ind* **have** *?Q b* **by** *simp*

with $\beta\text{-def}$ **have**

ind2: $b = \text{het } \beta \wedge 0 < b \wedge \text{pos } \beta \longrightarrow$

$(\exists y. \text{gmean } \beta < \text{gmean } y \wedge \gamma\text{-eq } (\beta, y) \wedge \text{het } y = 0 \wedge \text{pos } y)$ **by** *simp*

{

assume $\neg(0 < b)$

hence $b=0$ **by** *simp*

with *bdef* **have** $\text{het } \beta = 0$ **by** *simp*

with $\beta\text{-def}$ **have** *?S x* **by** *auto*

}


```

moreover
{
  assume  $0 < b$ 
  with bdef ind2  $\beta$ -def have ?S  $\beta$  by simp
  then obtain  $\gamma$  where
     $gmean\ \beta < gmean\ \gamma \wedge \gamma\text{-eq}\ (\beta, \gamma) \wedge het\ \gamma = 0 \wedge pos\ \gamma ..$ 
  with  $\beta$ -def have  $gmean\ x < gmean\ \gamma \wedge \gamma\text{-eq}\ (x, \gamma) \wedge het\ \gamma = 0 \wedge pos\ \gamma$ 
  apply clarsimp
  apply (rule  $\gamma$ -eq-trans)
  by auto
  hence ?S  $x$  by auto
}
ultimately have ?S  $x$  by auto
}
thus ?Q  $n$  by simp
qed

```

1.2.8 Cauchy's Mean Theorem

We now present the final proof of the theorem. For any positive collection we show that its geometric mean is less than or equal to its arithmetic mean.

theorem *CauchysMeanTheorem*:

fixes $z::real\ list$

assumes *pos* z

shows $gmean\ z \leq mean\ z$

proof –

from $\langle pos\ z \rangle$ **have** $z \neq []$ **by** (*rule* *pos-imp-ne*)

show $gmean\ z \leq mean\ z$

proof *cases*

assume $het\ z = 0$

with $\langle pos\ z \rangle$ *zne* *het-base* **have** $gmean\ z = mean\ z$ **by** *simp*

thus ?*thesis* **by** *simp*

next

assume $het\ z \neq 0$

hence $het\ z > 0$ **by** *simp*

moreover obtain k **where** $k = het\ z$ **by** *simp*

moreover with *calculation* $\langle pos\ z \rangle$ *existence-of-het0* **have**

$\exists y. gmean\ y > gmean\ z \wedge \gamma\text{-eq}\ (z, y) \wedge het\ y = 0 \wedge pos\ y$ **by** *auto*

then obtain α **where**

$gmean\ \alpha > gmean\ z \wedge \gamma\text{-eq}\ (z, \alpha) \wedge het\ \alpha = 0 \wedge pos\ \alpha ..$

with *het-base* γ -*eq-def* *pos-imp-ne* **have**

$mean\ z = mean\ \alpha$ **and**

$gmean\ \alpha > gmean\ z$ **and**

$gmean\ \alpha = mean\ \alpha$ **by** *auto*

hence $gmean\ z < mean\ z$ **by** *simp*

thus ?*thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

In the equality version we prove that the geometric mean is identical to the arithmetic mean iff the collection is homogeneous.

theorem *CauchysMeanTheorem-Eq*:

fixes $z::\text{real list}$

assumes $\text{pos } z$

shows $\text{gmean } z = \text{mean } z \longleftrightarrow \text{het } z = 0$

proof

assume $\text{het } z = 0$

with $\text{het-base}[\text{of } z] \langle \text{pos } z \rangle$ **show** $\text{gmean } z = \text{mean } z$ **by** *auto*

next

assume $\text{eq}: \text{gmean } z = \text{mean } z$

show $\text{het } z = 0$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume $\text{het } z \neq 0$

hence $\text{het } z > 0$ **by** *auto*

moreover obtain k **where** $k = \text{het } z$ **by** *simp*

moreover with *calculation* $\langle \text{pos } z \rangle$ *existence-of-het0* **have**

$\exists y. \text{gmean } y > \text{gmean } z \wedge \gamma\text{-eq } (z, y) \wedge \text{het } y = 0 \wedge \text{pos } y$ **by** *auto*

then obtain α **where**

$\text{gmean } \alpha > \text{gmean } z \wedge \gamma\text{-eq } (z, \alpha) \wedge \text{het } \alpha = 0 \wedge \text{pos } \alpha$..

with *het-base* $\gamma\text{-eq-def}$ *pos-imp-ne* **have**

$\text{mean } z = \text{mean } \alpha$ **and**

$\text{gmean } \alpha > \text{gmean } z$ **and**

$\text{gmean } \alpha = \text{mean } \alpha$ **by** *auto*

hence $\text{gmean } z < \text{mean } z$ **by** *simp*

thus *False* **using** eq **by** *auto*

qed

qed

corollary *CauchysMeanTheorem-Less*:

fixes $z::\text{real list}$

assumes $\text{pos } z$ **and** $\text{het } z > 0$

shows $\text{gmean } z < \text{mean } z$

using

CauchysMeanTheorem[*OF* $\langle \text{pos } z \rangle$]

CauchysMeanTheorem-Eq[*OF* $\langle \text{pos } z \rangle$]

$\langle \text{het } z > 0 \rangle$

by *auto*

end

Chapter 2

The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

```
theory CauchySchwarz
imports Complex-Main
begin
```

2.1 Abstract

The following document presents a formalised proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality for the specific case of R^n . The system used is Isabelle/Isar.

Theorem: Take V to be some vector space possessing a norm and inner product, then for all $a, b \in V$ the following inequality holds: $|a \cdot b| \leq \|a\| * \|b\|$. Specifically, in the Real case, the norm is the Euclidean length and the inner product is the standard dot product.

2.2 Formal Proof

2.2.1 Vector, Dot and Norm definitions.

This section presents definitions for a real vector type, a dot product function and a norm function.

Vector

We now define a vector type to be a tuple of (function, length). Where the function is of type $nat \Rightarrow real$. We also define some accessor functions and appropriate notation.

```
type-synonym vector = (nat $\Rightarrow$ real) * nat
```

definition

$ith :: vector \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real$ ($((-)) [80,100] 100$) **where**
 $ith\ v\ i = fst\ v\ i$

definition

$vlen :: vector \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $vlen\ v = snd\ v$

Now to access the second element of some vector v the syntax is v_2 .

Dot and Norm

We now define the dot product and norm operations.

definition

$dot :: vector \Rightarrow vector \Rightarrow real$ (**infixr** \cdot 60) **where**
 $dot\ a\ b = (\sum\ j \in \{1..(vlen\ a)\}. a_j * b_j)$

definition

$norm :: vector \Rightarrow real$ ($(\|- \|$ 100) **where**
 $norm\ v = sqrt\ (\sum\ j \in \{1..(vlen\ v)\}. v_j^2)$

Another definition of the norm is $\|v\| = sqrt\ (v \cdot v)$. We show that our definition leads to this one.

lemma norm-dot:

$\|v\| = sqrt\ (v \cdot v)$

proof –

have $sqrt\ (v \cdot v) = sqrt\ (\sum\ j \in \{1..(vlen\ v)\}. v_j * v_j)$ **unfolding dot-def by simp**
also with $real-sq$ **have** $\dots = sqrt\ (\sum\ j \in \{1..(vlen\ v)\}. v_j^2)$ **by simp**
also have $\dots = \|v\|$ **unfolding norm-def by simp**
finally show $?thesis ..$

qed

A further important property is that the norm is never negative.

lemma norm-pos:

$\|v\| \geq 0$

proof –

have $\forall j. v_j^2 \geq 0$ **unfolding ith-def by auto**
have $(\sum\ j \in \{1..(vlen\ v)\}. v_j^2) \geq 0$ **by (simp add: sum-nonneg)**
with $real-sqrt-ge-zero$ **have** $sqrt\ (\sum\ j \in \{1..(vlen\ v)\}. v_j^2) \geq 0$.
thus $?thesis$ **unfolding norm-def** .

qed

We now prove an intermediary lemma regarding double summation.

lemma double-sum-aux:

fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow real$

shows

$(\sum\ k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum\ j \in \{1..n\}. f\ k * g\ j)) =$
 $(\sum\ k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum\ j \in \{1..n\}. (f\ k * g\ j + f\ j * g\ k) / 2))$

proof –
have
 $2 * (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j)) =$
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j)) +$
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j))$
by *simp*
also have
 $\dots =$
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j)) +$
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f j * g k))$
by (*simp only: double-sum-equiv*)
also have
 $\dots =$
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j + f j * g k))$
by (*auto simp add: sum.distrib*)
finally have
 $2 * (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j)) =$
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j + f j * g k)) .$
hence
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j)) =$
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (f k * g j + f j * g k)))*(1/2)$
by *auto*
also have
 $\dots =$
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (f k * g j + f j * g k)*(1/2)))$
by (*simp add: sum-distrib-left mult.commute*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp add: inverse-eq-divide*)
qed

The final theorem can now be proven. It is a simple forward proof that uses properties of double summation and the preceding lemma.

theorem *CauchySchwarzReal*:

fixes *x::vector*

assumes $\text{vlen } x = \text{vlen } y$

shows $|x \cdot y| \leq \|x\| * \|y\|$

proof –

have $|x \cdot y|^2 \leq (\|x\| * \|y\|)^2$

proof –

We can rewrite the goal in the following form ...

have $(\|x\| * \|y\|)^2 - |x \cdot y|^2 \geq 0$

proof –

obtain *n* **where** $n x: n = \text{vlen } x$ **by** *simp*

with $\langle \text{vlen } x = \text{vlen } y \rangle$ **have** $n y: n = \text{vlen } y$ **by** *simp*

{

Some preliminary simplification rules.

have $(\sum j \in \{1..n\}. x_j^2) \geq 0$ **by** (*simp add: sum-nonneg*)

hence $xp: (\text{sqrt } (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. x_j^2))^2 = (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. x_j^2)$

by (rule real-sqrt-pow2)

have $(\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} y_j^2) \geq 0$ by (simp add: sum-nonneg)

hence $yp: (\text{sqrt} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} y_j^2))^2 = (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} y_j^2)$

by (rule real-sqrt-pow2)

The main result of this section is that $(\|x\| * \|y\|)^2$ can be written as a double sum.

have

$$(\|x\| * \|y\|)^2 = \|x\|^2 * \|y\|^2$$

by (simp add: real-sq-exp)

also from $nx\ ny$ have

$$\dots = (\text{sqrt} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} x_j^2))^2 * (\text{sqrt} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} y_j^2))^2$$

unfolding norm-def by auto

also from $xp\ yp$ have

$$\dots = (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} x_j^2) * (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} y_j^2)$$

by simp

also from sum-product have

$$\dots = (\sum_{k \in \{1..n\}} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} (x_k^2) * (y_j^2))) .$$

finally have

$$(\|x\| * \|y\|)^2 = (\sum_{k \in \{1..n\}} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} (x_k^2) * (y_j^2))) .$$

}

moreover

{

We also show that $|x \cdot y|^2$ can be expressed as a double sum.

have

$$|x \cdot y|^2 = (x \cdot y)^2$$

by simp

also from nx have

$$\dots = (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} x_j * y_j)^2$$

unfolding dot-def by simp

also from real-sq have

$$\dots = (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} x_j * y_j) * (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} x_j * y_j)$$

by simp

also from sum-product have

$$\dots = (\sum_{k \in \{1..n\}} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j))) .$$

finally have

$$|x \cdot y|^2 = (\sum_{k \in \{1..n\}} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j))) .$$

}

We now manipulate the double sum expressions to get the required inequality.

ultimately have

$$(\|x\| * \|y\|)^2 - |x \cdot y|^2 =$$

$$(\sum_{k \in \{1..n\}} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} (x_k^2) * (y_j^2))) -$$

$$(\sum_{k \in \{1..n\}} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j)))$$

by simp

also have

$$\dots =$$

$$(\sum_{k \in \{1..n\}} (\sum_{j \in \{1..n\}} ((x_k^2 * y_j^2) + (x_j^2 * y_k^2)) / 2)) -$$

$(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j)))$
 by (simp only: double-sum-aux)
 also have
 ... =
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. ((x_k^2 * y_j^2) + (x_j^2 * y_k^2)) / 2 - (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j)))$
 by (auto simp add: sum-subtractf)
 also have
 ... =
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (inverse 2) * 2 * ((x_k^2 * y_j^2) + (x_j^2 * y_k^2)) * (1/2) - (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j)))$
 by auto
 also have
 ... =
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (inverse 2) * (2 * ((x_k^2 * y_j^2) + (x_j^2 * y_k^2)) * (1/2) - (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j))))$
 by (simp only: mult.assoc)
 also have
 ... =
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (inverse 2) * (((x_k^2 * y_j^2) + (x_j^2 * y_k^2)) * 2 * (inverse 2) - 2 * (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j))))$
 by (auto simp add: distrib-right mult.assoc ac-simps)
 also have
 ... =
 $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (inverse 2) * (((x_k^2 * y_j^2) + (x_j^2 * y_k^2)) - 2 * (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j))))$
 by (simp only: mult.assoc, simp)
 also have
 ... =
 $(inverse 2) * (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (((x_k^2 * y_j^2) + (x_j^2 * y_k^2)) - 2 * (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j))))$
 by (simp only: sum-distrib-left)
 also have
 ... =
 $(inverse 2) * (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (x_k * y_j - x_j * y_k)^2))$
 by (simp only: power2-diff real-sq-exp, auto simp add: ac-simps)
 also have ... ≥ 0
 proof -
 have $(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (x_k * y_j - x_j * y_k)^2)) \geq 0$
 by (simp add: sum-nonneg)
 thus ?thesis by simp
 qed
 finally show $(\|x\| * \|y\|)^2 - |x \cdot y|^2 \geq 0$.
 qed
 thus ?thesis by simp
 qed
 moreover have $0 \leq \|x\| * \|y\|$
 by (auto simp add: norm-pos)
 ultimately show ?thesis by (rule power2-le-imp-le)
 qed

end