## Cauchy's Mean Theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

Benjamin Porter

September 13, 2023

## Contents

1	Cauchy's Mean Theorem			
	1.1	Abstra	act	3
	1.2	Forma	l proof	4
		1.2.1	Collection sum and product	4
		1.2.2	Auxiliary lemma	
		1.2.3	Mean and GMean	8
		1.2.4	list-neq, list-eq	10
		1.2.5	<del>-</del> '	13
		1.2.6	Abstract properties	14
		1.2.7		19
		1.2.8		24
2	The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality 2			
	2.1	Abstra	act	26
	2.2	Forma	d Proof	26
		2.2.1	Vector. Dot and Norm definitions.	26

## Abstract

This document presents the mechanised proofs of two popular theorems attributed to Augustin Louis Cauchy - Cauchy's Mean Theorem and the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality.

### Chapter 1

## Cauchy's Mean Theorem

theory CauchysMeanTheorem imports Complex-Main begin

#### 1.1 Abstract

The following document presents a proof of Cauchy's Mean theorem formalised in the Isabelle/Isar theorem proving system.

Theorem: For any collection of positive real numbers the geometric mean is always less than or equal to the arithmetic mean. In mathematical terms:

$$\sqrt[n]{x_1 x_2 \dots x_n} \le \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

We will use the term *mean* to denote the arithmetic mean and *gmean* to denote the geometric mean.

Informal Proof:

This proof is based on the proof presented in [1]. First we need an auxiliary lemma (the proof of which is presented formally below) that states:

Given two pairs of numbers of equal sum, the pair with the greater product is the pair with the least difference. Using this lemma we now present the proof -

Given any collection C of positive numbers with mean M and product P and with some element not equal to M we can choose two elements from the collection, a and b where a > M and b < M. Remove these elements from the collection and replace them with two new elements, a' and b' such that a' = M and a' + b' = a + b. This new collection C' now has a greater product P' but equal mean with respect to C. We can continue in this fashion until we have a collection  $C_n$  such that  $P_n > P$  and  $M_n = M$ , but  $C_n$  has all its elements equal to M and thus  $P_n = M^n$ . Using the definition of geometric and arithmetic means above we can see that for any collection of positive

elements E it is always true that gmean  $E \leq \text{mean E}$ . QED.

[1] Dorrie, H. "100 Great Problems of Elementary Mathematics." 1965, Dover.

#### 1.2 Formal proof

#### 1.2.1 Collection sum and product

The finite collections of numbers will be modelled as lists. We then define sum and product operations over these lists.

#### Sum and product definitions

```
notation (input) sum-list (\sum:- [999] 998)
notation (input) prod-list (\prod:- [999] 998)
```

#### Properties of sum and product

We now present some useful properties of sum and product over collections.

These lemmas just state that if all the elements in a collection C are less (greater than) than some value m, then the sum will less than (greater than) m \* length(C).

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{sum-list-mono-lt} \ [\mathit{rule-format}]:
  fixes xs::real list
  shows xs \neq [] \land (\forall x \in set \ xs. \ x < m)
          \longrightarrow ((\sum :xs) < (m*(real\ (length\ xs))))
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons y ys)
    assume ant: y \# ys \neq [] \land (\forall x \in set(y \# ys). x < m)
    hence ylm: y < m by simp
    have \sum :(y\#ys) < m * real (length (y\#ys))
    proof cases
      assume ys \neq []
      moreover with ant have \forall x \in set ys. x < m by simp
     moreover with calculation Cons have \sum :ys < m*real (length ys) by simp hence \sum :ys + y < m*real(length ys) + y by simp with ylm have \sum :(y\#ys) < m*(real(length ys) + 1) by(simp add:field-simps)
      then have \sum :(y \# ys) < m*(real(length ys + 1))
        \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon algebra\text{-}simps)
      hence \sum :(y\#ys) < m*(real\ (length(y\#ys))) by simp
      thus ?thesis.
    next
```

```
assume \neg (ys \neq [])
     hence ys = [] by simp
     with ylm show ?thesis by simp
   qed
 thus ?case by simp
qed
lemma sum-list-mono-gt [rule-format]:
  fixes xs::real list
 shows xs \neq [] \land (\forall x \in set \ xs. \ x > m)
        \longrightarrow ((\sum :xs) > (m*(real\ (length\ xs))))
proof omitted
qed
If a is in C then the sum of the collection D where D is C with a removed
is the sum of C minus a.
lemma sum-list-rmv1:
 a \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow \sum : (remove1 \ a \ xs) = \sum : xs - (a :: 'a :: ab-group-add)
by (induct xs) auto
A handy addition and division distribution law over collection sums.
lemma list-sum-distrib-aux:
 shows (\sum :xs/(n :: 'a :: archimedean-field) + \sum :xs) = (1 + (1/n)) * \sum :xs
proof (induct xs)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 show ?case
 proof -
   have
     \sum :(x \# xs)/n = x/n + \sum :xs/n
     by (simp add: add-divide-distrib)
   also with Cons have
     \dots = x/n + (1+1/n)*\sum :xs - \sum :xs
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
   finally have
     \sum : (x \# xs) \ / \ n \ + \ \sum : (x \# xs) \ = \ x/n \ + \ (1 + 1/n) * \sum : xs \ - \ \sum : xs \ + \ \sum : (x \# xs)
     by simp
   also have
     ... = x/n + (1+(1/n)-1)*\sum :xs + \sum :(x\#xs)
by (subst mult-1-left [symmetric, of \sum :xs]) (simp add: field-simps)
     \dots = x/n + (1/n)*\sum :xs + \sum :(x\#xs)
     by simp
   also have
     \dots = (1/n)*\sum :(x\#xs) + 1*\sum :(x\#xs) by (simp\ add:\ divide-simps)
```

```
finally show ?thesis by (simp add: field-simps)
 qed
qed
lemma remove1-retains-prod:
 fixes a and xs::'a :: comm-ring-1 \ list
 shows a: set \ xs \longrightarrow \prod :xs = \prod :(remove1 \ a \ xs) * a
 (is ?P xs)
proof (induct xs)
 case Nil
 show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons aa list)
 assume plist: ?P list
 show ?P(aa\#list)
 proof
   assume aml: a : set(aa\#list)
   show \prod : (aa \# list) = \prod : remove1 \ a \ (aa \# list) * a
   proof (cases)
     assume aeq: a = aa
     hence
       remove1 \ a \ (aa\#list) = list
       by simp
     hence
       \prod : (remove1 \ a \ (aa\#list)) = \prod : list
       by simp
     moreover with aeq have
       \prod : (aa\#list) = \prod : list * a
       by simp
     ultimately show
       \prod : (aa\#list) = \prod : remove1 \ a \ (aa \# list) * a
       by simp
   \mathbf{next}
     assume naeq: a \neq aa
     with aml have aml2: a: set list by simp
     from naeq have
       remove1 \ a \ (aa\#list) = aa\#(remove1 \ a \ list)
       by simp
     moreover hence
       \prod : (remove1 \ a \ (aa\#list)) = aa * \prod : (remove1 \ a \ list)
       by simp
     moreover from aml2 plist have
       \prod : list = \prod : (remove1 \ a \ list) * a
       by simp
     ultimately show
       \prod : (aa\#list) = \prod : remove1 \ a \ (aa \# list) * a
       by simp
   qed
 qed
```

#### qed

The final lemma of this section states that if all elements are positive and non-zero then the product of these elements is also positive and non-zero.

```
lemma el-gt0-imp-prod-gt0 [rule-format]:
    fixes xs::'a:: archimedean-field list
    shows \forall y. y: set xs \longrightarrow y > 0 \Longrightarrow \prod :xs > 0
    proof (induct xs)
    case Nil show ?case by simp
    next
    case (Cons \ a \ xs)
    have exp: \prod :(a\#xs) = \prod :xs * a by simp
    with Cons have a > 0 by simp
    with exp case by exp exp
```

#### 1.2.2 Auxiliary lemma

This section presents a proof of the auxiliary lemma required for this theorem.

```
lemma prod-exp:
 fixes x::real
 shows 4*(x*y) = (x+y)^2 - (x-y)^2
 by (simp add: power2-diff power2-sum)
lemma abs-less-imp-sq-less [rule-format]:
 fixes x::real and y::real and z::real and w::real
 assumes diff: abs(x-y) < abs(z-w)
 shows (x-y)^2 < (z-w)^2
proof cases
 assume x=y
 hence abs(x-y) = 0 by simp
 moreover with diff have abs(z-w) > 0 by simp
 hence (z-w)^2 > \theta by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
 assume x \neq y
 hence abs(x - y) > 0 by simp
 with diff have (abs\ (x-y))^2 < (abs\ (z-w))^2
   by - (drule power-strict-mono [where a=abs (x-y) and n=2 and b=abs
(z-w)], auto)
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
```

The required lemma (phrased slightly differently than in the informal proof.) Here we show that for any two pairs of numbers with equal sums the pair with the least difference has the greater product.

**lemma** le-diff-imp-gt-prod [rule-format]:

```
fixes x::real and y::real and z::real and w::real assumes diff: abs\ (x-y) < abs\ (z-w) and sum: x+y=z+w shows x*y>z*w proof — from sum\ have\ (x+y)^2=(z+w)^2\ by\ simp moreover from diff\ have\ (x-y)^2<(z-w)^2\ by\ (rule\ abs-less-imp-sq-less) ultimately have (x+y)^2-(x-y)^2>(z+w)^2-(z-w)^2\ by\ auto thus x*y>z*w\ by\ (simp\ only:\ prod-exp\ [symmetric]) qed
```

#### 1.2.3 Mean and GMean

Now we introduce definitions and properties of arithmetic and geometric means over collections of real numbers.

#### **Definitions**

```
Arithmetic mean
```

```
definition
```

```
mean :: (real \ list) \Rightarrow real \ \mathbf{where}

mean \ s = (\sum : s \ / \ real \ (length \ s))
```

Geometric mean

#### definition

```
gmean :: (real \ list) \Rightarrow real \ \mathbf{where}
gmean \ s = root \ (length \ s) \ (\prod :s)
```

#### **Properties**

Here we present some trivial properties of mean and gmean.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{list-sum-mean} :
```

```
fixes xs::real\ list

shows \sum :xs = ((mean\ xs)*(real\ (length\ xs)))

apply (induct\text{-}tac\ xs)

apply simp

apply clarsimp

apply (unfold\ mean\text{-}def)

apply clarsimp

done

lemma list\text{-}mean\text{-}eq\text{-}iff:

fixes one::real\ list and two::real\ list

assumes

se: (\sum :one = \sum :two\ ) and

le: (length\ one = length\ two)

shows\ (mean\ one = mean\ two)

proof -
```

```
from se le have  (\sum :one \ / \ real \ (length \ one)) = (\sum :two \ / \ real \ (length \ two))  by auto  thus \ ?thesis \ unfolding \ mean-def \ .  qed  lemma \ list-gmean-gt-iff:  fixes one::real \ list \ and \ two::real \ list  assumes  gz1: \prod :one > 0 \ and \ gz2: \prod :two > 0 \ and  ne1: \ one \neq [] \ and \ ne2: \ two \neq [] \ and  pe: (\prod :one > \prod :two) \ and  le: (length \ one = length \ two)  shows (gmean \ one > gmean \ two)  unfolding gmean-def using le \ ne2 \ pe \ by \ simp
```

This slightly more complicated lemma shows that for every non-empty collection with mean M, adding another element a where a=M results in a new list with the same mean M.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{list-mean-cons}\ [\mathit{rule-format}]:
 fixes xs::real list
 shows xs \neq [] \longrightarrow mean ((mean xs) \# xs) = mean xs
proof
 assume lne: xs \neq []
 obtain len where ld: len = real (length xs) by simp
 with lne have lgt\theta: len > \theta by simp
 hence lnez: len \neq 0 by simp
 from lgt\theta have l1nez: len + 1 \neq \theta by simp
 from ld have mean: mean xs = \sum :xs / len unfolding mean-def by simp
 with ld of-nat-add of-int-1 mean-def
 have mean ((mean \ xs)\#xs) = (\sum :xs/len + \sum :xs) / (1+len)
   by simp
 also from list-sum-distrib-aux[of xs] have
   ... = (1 + (1/len))*\sum :xs / (1+len) by simp
 also with lnez have
   \dots = (len + 1)*\sum :xs / (len * (1+len))
   apply -
   apply (drule mult-divide-mult-cancel-left
     [symmetric, where c=len and a=(1 + 1 / len) * \sum:xs and b=1+len])
   apply (clarsimp simp:field-simps)
   done
 also from l1nez have ... = \sum :xs / len
   apply (subst mult.commute [where a=len])
   apply (drule mult-divide-mult-cancel-left
     [where c=len+1 and a=\sum :xs and b=len])
   by (simp add: ac-simps ac-simps)
 finally show mean ((mean \ xs)\#xs) = mean \ xs \ by \ (simp \ add: mean)
qed
```

For a non-empty collection with positive mean, if we add a positive number to the collection then the mean remains positive.

```
lemma mean-gt-\theta [rule-format]:
  xs \neq [] \land \theta < x \land \theta < (mean \ xs) \longrightarrow \theta < (mean \ (x \# xs))
proof
  assume a: xs \neq [] \land 0 < x \land 0 < mean xs
  hence xgt\theta: \theta < x and mgt\theta: \theta < mean xs by auto
  from a have lxsgt\theta: length xs \neq \theta by simp
  from mgt\theta have xsgt\theta: \theta < \sum :xs
  proof -
   have mean xs = \sum :xs / real (length xs) unfolding mean-def by simp
   hence \sum :xs = mean \ xs * real \ (length \ xs) by simp
   moreover from lxsgt\theta have real (length xs) > \theta by simp
   {\bf moreover\ with\ \it calculation\ \it lxsgt0\ mgt0\ show\ \it ?thesis\ by\ \it auto}
  qed
  with xgt\theta have \sum :(x\#xs) > \theta by simp
  thus \theta < (mean (x \# xs))
  proof -
   assume \theta < \sum :(x \# xs)
   moreover have real (length (x\#xs)) > 0 by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis unfolding mean-def by simp
  qed
qed
```

#### **1.2.4** *list-neq*, *list-eq*

This section presents a useful formalisation of the act of removing all the elements from a collection that are equal (not equal) to a particular value. We use this to extract all the non-mean elements from a collection as is required by the proof.

#### **Definitions**

*list-neq* and *list-eq* just extract elements from a collection that are not equal (or equal) to some value.

#### abbreviation

```
list-neq :: ('a \ list) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \ list) where list-neq xs el == filter \ (\lambda x. \ x \neq el) \ xs
```

#### abbreviation

```
list\text{-}eq :: ('a \ list) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \ list) \text{ where}
list\text{-}eq \ xs \ el == filter \ (\lambda x. \ x=el) \ xs
```

#### **Properties**

This lemma just proves a required fact about *list-neq*, *remove1* and *length*. **lemma** *list-neq-remove1* [rule-format]:

```
shows a \neq m \land a : set xs
 \longrightarrow length (list-neq (remove1 \ a \ xs) \ m) < length (list-neq \ xs \ m)
 (is ?A xs \longrightarrow ?B xs is ?P xs)
proof (induct xs)
 case Nil show ?case by simp
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 note \langle ?P xs \rangle
   assume a: ?A (x\#xs)
   hence
     a-ne-m: a \neq m and
     a-mem-x-xs: a: set(x\#xs)
     by auto
   have b: ?B(x\#xs)
   proof cases
     assume xs = []
     with a-ne-m a-mem-x-xs show ?thesis
      apply (cases x=a)
      by auto
   \mathbf{next}
     assume xs-ne: xs \neq []
     with a-ne-m a-mem-x-xs show ?thesis
     proof cases
      assume a=x with a-ne-m show ?thesis by simp
     next
      assume a-ne-x: a \neq x
      with a-mem-x-xs have a-mem-xs: a : set xs by simp
      with xs-ne a-ne-m Cons have
        rel: length (list-neq (remove1 a xs) m) < length (list-neq xs m)
        \mathbf{by} \ simp
      show ?thesis
      proof cases
        assume x-e-m: x=m
        with Cons xs-ne a-ne-m a-mem-xs show ?thesis by simp
        assume x-ne-m: x \neq m
        from a-ne-x have
          remove1 \ a \ (x\#xs) = x\#(remove1 \ a \ xs)
          by simp
        hence
          length (list-neq (remove1 \ a (x\#xs)) \ m) =
          length (list-neq (x\#(remove1 \ a \ xs)) \ m)
          by simp
        also with x-ne-m have
          \dots = 1 + length (list-neq (remove1 \ a \ xs) \ m)
          by simp
        finally have
          length (list-neq (remove1 \ a (x\#xs)) \ m) =
```

```
1 + length (list-neq (remove1 \ a \ xs) \ m)
           by simp
         moreover with x-ne-m a-ne-x have
           length (list-neq (x\#xs) m) =
            1 + length (list-neq xs m)
         moreover with rel show ?thesis by simp
       qed
     qed
   \mathbf{qed}
  thus ?P(x\#xs) by simp
qed
We now prove some facts about list-eq, list-neq, length, sum and product.
lemma list-eq-sum [simp]:
  fixes xs::real list
  shows \sum : (list-eq \ xs \ m) = (m * (real \ (length \ (list-eq \ xs \ m))))
apply (induct-tac xs)
apply simp
apply (simp add:field-simps)
\mathbf{done}
lemma list-eq-prod [simp]:
 fixes xs::real list
 shows \prod : (list-eq \ xs \ m) = (m \ \widehat{\ } (length \ (list-eq \ xs \ m)))
apply (induct-tac xs)
apply simp
apply clarsimp
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ sum-list-split:
 \mathbf{fixes} xs::real\ list
 shows \sum :xs = (\sum :(list\text{-}neq\ xs\ m) + \sum :(list\text{-}eq\ xs\ m))
apply (induct xs)
apply simp
apply clarsimp
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ prod	ext{-}list	ext{-}split:
 \mathbf{fixes} \ \mathit{xs}{::}\mathit{real} \ \mathit{list}
 shows \prod :xs = (\prod :(list\text{-}neq \ xs \ m) * \prod :(list\text{-}eq \ xs \ m))
apply (induct xs)
apply \ simp
apply clarsimp
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{sum-list-length-split}\colon
  fixes xs::real list
```

```
shows length xs = length (list-neq xs m) + length (list-eq xs m) apply (induct xs) apply simp+ done
```

#### 1.2.5 Element selection

We now show that given after extracting all the elements not equal to the mean there exists one that is greater then (or less than) the mean.

```
lemma pick-one-qt:
  fixes xs::real list and m::real
 defines m: m \equiv (mean \ xs) and neq: noteq \equiv list-neq \ xs \ m
 assumes asum: noteq \neq []
 shows \exists e. \ e : set \ noteq \land \ e > m
proof (rule ccontr)
 let ?m = (mean \ xs)
 let ?neq = list-neq xs ?m
 let ?eq = list-eq xs ?m
 from list-eq-sum have (\sum :?eq) = ?m * (real (length ?eq)) by simp
 from asum have neq-ne: ?neq \neq [] unfolding m neq.
 assume not-el: \neg(\exists e. \ e : set \ noteq \land m < e)
 hence not-el-exp: \neg(\exists e. e : set ?neq \land ?m < e) unfolding m neq.
 hence \forall e. \neg (e : set ?neq) \lor \neg (e > ?m) by simp
 hence \forall e. \ e : set ?neq \longrightarrow \neg(e > ?m) by blast
 hence \forall e. \ e: set \ ?neq \longrightarrow e \le ?m \ \text{by} \ (simp \ add: linorder-not-less)
 hence \forall e. \ e : set \ ?neq \longrightarrow e < ?m \ by \ (simp \ add:order-le-less)
  with assms sum-list-mono-lt have (\sum :?neq) < ?m * (real (length ?neq)) by
blast
 hence
   (\sum :?neq) + (\sum :?eq) < ?m*(real~(length~?neq)) + (\sum :?eq) by simp
 also have
   \dots = (?m * ((real (length ?neq) + (real (length ?eq)))))
     by (simp add:field-simps)
 also have
   \dots = (?m * (real (length xs)))
     apply (subst of-nat-add [symmetric])
     by (simp add: sum-list-length-split [symmetric])
 also have
   \ldots = \sum :xs
     by (simp add: list-sum-mean [symmetric])
 also from not-el calculation show False by (simp only: sum-list-split [symmetric])
qed
lemma pick-one-lt:
  fixes xs::real\ list\ {\bf and}\ m::real
 defines m: m \equiv (mean \ xs) and neq: noteq \equiv list-neq \ xs \ m
 assumes asum: noteq \neq []
 shows \exists e. \ e : set \ noteg \land e < m
proof (rule ccontr) — reductio ad absurdum
```

```
let ?m = (mean \ xs)
 let ?neq = list-neq xs ?m
 let ?eq = list-eq xs ?m
 from list-eq-sum have (\sum :?eq) = ?m * (real (length ?eq)) by simp
 from asum have neq-ne: ?neq \neq [] unfolding m neq.
 assume not-el: \neg(\exists e. \ e : set \ noteg \land m > e)
 hence not-el-exp: \neg(\exists e. \ e : set \ ?neq \land ?m > e) unfolding m neq.
 hence \forall e. \neg (e : set ?neq) \lor \neg (e < ?m) by simp
 hence \forall e. \ e: set \ ?neq \longrightarrow \neg(e < ?m) by blast hence \forall e. \ e: set \ ?neq \longrightarrow e \geq ?m by (simp add: linorder-not-less)
  hence \forall e. \ e : set \ ?neq \longrightarrow e > ?m \ \mathbf{by} \ (auto \ simp: \ order-le-less)
  with assms sum-list-mono-gt have (\sum :?neq) > ?m * (real (length ?neq)) by
blast
 hence
   (\sum : ?neq) + (\sum : ?eq) > ?m * (real (length ?neq)) + (\sum : ?eq) by simp
 also have
   (?m * (real (length ?neq)) + (\sum :?eq)) =
    (?m*(real (length ?neq)) + (?m*(real (length ?eq))))
 also have
   \dots = (?m * ((real (length ?neq) + (real (length ?eq)))))
     by (simp add:field-simps)
 also have
   \dots = (?m * (real (length xs)))
     apply (subst of-nat-add [symmetric])
     by (simp add: sum-list-length-split [symmetric])
 also have
   \dots = \sum :xs
     by (simp add: list-sum-mean [symmetric])
 also from not-el calculation show False by (simp only: sum-list-split [symmetric])
qed
```

#### 1.2.6 Abstract properties

In order to maintain some comprehension of the following proofs we now introduce some properties of collections.

#### Definitions

het: The heterogeneity of a collection is the number of elements not equal to its mean. A heterogeneity of zero implies the all the elements in the collection are the same (i.e. homogeneous).

#### definition

```
het :: real list \Rightarrow nat where
het l = length \ (list-neq \ l \ (mean \ l))
lemma het-gt-0-imp-noteq-ne: het l > 0 \Longrightarrow list-neq \ l \ (mean \ l) \ne []
unfolding het-def by simp
```

```
lemma het-gt-01: assumes a: a \in set \ xs \ and \ b: b \in set \ xs \ and \ neq: a \neq b
  shows het xs > 0
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume ¬ ?thesis
 hence het xs = \theta by auto
 from this [unfolded het-def] have list-neg as (mean \ xs) = [] by simp
 from arg\text{-}cong[OF\ this,\ of\ set] have mean: \bigwedge x.\ x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow x = mean\ xs by
  from mean[OF \ a] \ mean[OF \ b] \ neq show False by auto
qed
\gamma - eq: Two lists are \gamma-equivalent if and only if they both have the same
number of elements and the same arithmetic means.
definition
 \gamma-eq :: ((real\ list)*(real\ list)) \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}
 \gamma-eq a \longleftrightarrow mean (fst a) = mean (snd a) \land length (fst a) = length (snd a)
\gamma-eq is transitive and symmetric.
lemma \gamma-eq-sym: \gamma-eq (a,b) = \gamma-eq (b,a)
  unfolding \gamma-eq-def by auto
lemma \gamma-eq-trans:
  \gamma-eq (x,y) \Longrightarrow \gamma-eq (y,z) \Longrightarrow \gamma-eq (x,z)
  unfolding \gamma-eq-def by simp
pos: A list is positive if all its elements are greater than 0.
definition
  pos :: real \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
 pos l \longleftrightarrow (if \ l = [] \ then \ False \ else \ \forall \ e. \ e : set \ l \longrightarrow e > 0)
lemma pos-empty [simp]: pos [] = False unfolding pos-def by simp
lemma pos-single [simp]: pos [x] = (x > 0) unfolding pos-def by simp
lemma pos-imp-ne: pos xs \Longrightarrow xs \neq [] unfolding pos-def by auto
lemma pos-cons [simp]:
  xs \neq [] \longrightarrow pos (x \# xs) =
  (if (x>0) then pos xs else False)
  (is ?P \ x \ xs is ?A \ xs \longrightarrow ?S \ x \ xs)
proof (simp add: if-split, rule impI)
  assume xsne: xs \neq []
 hence pxs-simp:
   pos \ xs = (\forall \ e. \ e : set \ xs \longrightarrow e > 0)
   unfolding pos-def by simp
   (0 < x \longrightarrow pos (x \# xs) = pos xs) \land
     (\neg \ 0 < x \longrightarrow \neg \ pos \ (x \# xs))
  proof
   {
```

```
assume xgt\theta: \theta < x
       assume pxs: pos xs
       with pxs-simp have \forall e. \ e : set \ xs \longrightarrow e > 0 by simp
       with xgt\theta have \forall e. \ e : set (x\#xs) \longrightarrow e > \theta by simp
       hence pos (x\#xs) unfolding pos-def by simp
      }
     moreover
       assume pxxs: pos (x\#xs)
       hence \forall e. \ e : set \ (x \# xs) \longrightarrow e > 0 \ unfolding \ pos-def \ by \ simp
       hence \forall e. \ e : set \ xs \longrightarrow e > 0 \ by simp
       with xsne have pos xs unfolding pos-def by simp
      }
      ultimately have pos(x \# xs) = pos xs
       apply -
       apply (rule iffI)
       apply auto
       done
   thus 0 < x \longrightarrow pos (x \# xs) = pos xs by simp
  next
     assume xngt\theta: \neg (\theta < x)
       assume pxs: pos xs
       with pxs-simp have \forall e. \ e : set \ xs \longrightarrow e > 0 by simp
       with xngt\theta have \neg (\forall e. e : set (x\#xs) \longrightarrow e > \theta) by auto
       hence \neg (pos (x\#xs)) unfolding pos-def by simp
      }
     moreover
       assume pxxs: \neg pos xs
       with xsne have \neg (\forall e. \ e : set \ xs \longrightarrow e > 0) unfolding pos-def by simp
       hence \neg (\forall e. \ e : set \ (x \# xs) \longrightarrow e > \theta) by auto
       hence \neg (pos (x\#xs)) unfolding pos-def by simp
     ultimately have \neg pos (x\#xs) by auto
   thus \neg \theta < x \longrightarrow \neg pos (x \# xs) by simp
  qed
qed
```

#### **Properties**

Here we prove some non-trivial properties of the abstract properties.

Two lemmas regarding pos. The first states the removing an element from a positive collection (of more than 1 element) results in a positive collection.

The second asserts that the mean of a positive collection is positive.

```
lemma pos-imp-rmv-pos:
 assumes (remove1 \ a \ xs) \neq [] \ pos \ xs \ shows \ pos \ (remove1 \ a \ xs)
proof -
 from assms have pl: pos xs and rmvne: (remove1 \ a \ xs) \neq [] by auto
 from pl have xs \neq [] by (rule pos-imp-ne)
 with pl pos-def have \forall x. \ x : set \ xs \longrightarrow x > 0 by simp
 hence \forall x. \ x : set \ (remove1 \ a \ xs) \longrightarrow x > 0
   using set-remove1-subset[of - xs] by(blast)
 with rmvne show pos (remove1 a xs) unfolding pos-def by simp
qed
lemma pos-mean: pos xs \Longrightarrow mean \ xs > 0
proof (induct xs)
 case Nil thus ?case by(simp add: pos-def)
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 show ?case
 proof cases
   assume xse: xs = []
   hence pos (x\#xs) = (x > 0) by simp
   with Cons(2) have x>0 by (simp)
   with xse have 0 < mean (x\#xs) by (auto simp:mean-def)
   thus ?thesis by simp
 next
   assume xsne: xs \neq []
   show ?thesis
   proof cases
    assume pxs: pos xs
     with Cons(1) have z-le-mxs: 0 < mean xs by(simp)
      assume ass: x > 0
      with ass z-le-mxs xsne have 0 < mean (x \# xs)
        apply -
        apply (rule\ mean-gt-\theta)
        by simp
     }
    moreover
      from xsne pxs have 0 < x
      proof cases
        assume 0 < x thus ?thesis by simp
        assume \neg (\theta < x)
        with xsne pos-cons have pos (x\#xs) = False by simp
        with Cons(2) show ?thesis by simp
      qed
     ultimately have \theta < mean (x\#xs) by simp
```

```
thus ?thesis by simp
   next
     assume npxs: \neg pos xs
     with xsne pos-cons have pos (x\#xs) = False by simp
     thus ?thesis using Cons(2) by simp
   qed
 qed
qed
We now show that homogeneity of a non-empty collection x implies that its
product is equal to (mean \ x) (length \ x).
lemma prod-list-het0:
 shows x \neq [] \land het x = 0 \Longrightarrow \prod x = (mean x) \cap (length x)
proof -
 assume x \neq [] \land het x = 0
 hence xne: x\neq [] and hetx: het x = 0 by auto
 from hetx have lz: length (list-neq x (mean x)) = \theta unfolding het-def.
 hence \prod : (list\text{-}neq \ x \ (mean \ x)) = 1 by simp
  with prod-list-split have \prod : x = \prod : (list-eq \ x \ (mean \ x))
   apply -
   apply (drule meta-spec [of - x])
   apply (drule meta-spec [of - mean x])
   by simp
 also with list-eq-prod have
   \dots = (mean \ x) \cap (length \ (list-eq \ x \ (mean \ x))) by simp
  also with calculation lz sum-list-length-split have
   \prod : x = (mean \ x) \cap (length \ x)
   apply -
   apply (drule \ meta\text{-}spec \ [of - x])
   apply (drule \ meta\text{-}spec \ [of - mean \ x])
   by simp
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
```

Furthermore we present an important result - that a homogeneous collection has equal geometric and arithmetic means.

```
lemma het-base:

shows pos\ x \land het\ x = 0 \Longrightarrow gmean\ x = mean\ x

proof —

assume ass:\ pos\ x \land het\ x = 0

hence

xne:\ x \neq [] and

hetx:\ het\ x = 0 and

posx:\ pos\ x

by auto

from posx\ pos-mean have mxgt0:\ mean\ x > 0 by simp

from xne\ have\ lxgt0:\ length\ x > 0 by simp

with ass\ prod-list-het0 have

root\ (length\ x)\ (\prod :x) = root\ (length\ x)\ ((mean\ x)^(length\ x))
```

```
by simp also from lxgt0 mxgt0 real-root-power-cancel have ... = mean \ x by auto finally show gmean \ x = mean \ x unfolding gmean-def.
```

#### 1.2.7 Existence of a new collection

We now present the largest and most important proof in this document. Given any positive and non-homogeneous collection of real numbers there exists a new collection that is  $\gamma$ -equivalent, positive, has a strictly lower heterogeneity and a greater geometric mean.

```
lemma new-list-qt-qmean:
 fixes xs :: real \ list \ \mathbf{and} \ m :: real
 and neg and eg
 defines
   m: m \equiv mean \ xs \ and
   neq: noteq \equiv list-neq \ xs \ m \ and
   eq: eq \equiv list-eq \ xs \ m
 assumes pos-xs: pos xs and het-qt-0: het xs > 0
 \exists xs'. gmean xs' > gmean xs \land \gamma - eq (xs',xs) \land
         het xs' < het xs \land pos xs'
proof -
  from pos-xs pos-imp-ne have
   pos-els: \forall y. y: set \ xs \longrightarrow y > 0 \ \mathbf{by} \ (unfold \ pos-def, \ simp)
  with el-gt0-imp-prod-gt0 [of xs] have pos-asm: \prod :xs > 0 by simp
  from neg het-gt-0 het-gt-0-imp-noteg-ne m have
    neque: noteq \neq [] by simp
Pick two elements from xs, one greater than m, one less than m.
  from assms pick-one-gt negne obtain \alpha where
   \alpha-def: \alpha : set noteq \wedge \alpha > m unfolding neq m by auto
 from assms pick-one-lt negne obtain \beta where
   \beta-def: \beta: set noteq \wedge \beta < m unfolding neq m by auto
  from \alpha-def \beta-def have \alpha-qt: \alpha > m and \beta-lt: \beta < m by auto
  from \alpha-def \beta-def have el-neq: \beta \neq \alpha by simp
 from negne neg have xsne: xs \neq [] by auto
 from \beta-def have \beta-mem: \beta: set xs by (auto simp: neg)
  from \alpha-def have \alpha-mem: \alpha : set xs by (auto simp: neq)
 from pos-xs pos-def xsne \alpha-mem \beta-mem \alpha-def \beta-def have
   \alpha-pos: \alpha > 0 and \beta-pos: \beta > 0 by auto
  — remove these elements from xs, and insert two new elements
  obtain left-over where lo: left-over = (remove1 \beta (remove1 \alpha xs)) by simp
  obtain b where bdef: m + b = \alpha + \beta
   by (drule meta-spec [of - \alpha + \beta - m], simp)
```

```
from m pos-xs pos-def pos-mean have m-pos: m > 0 by simp
 with bdef \alpha-pos \beta-pos \alpha-gt \beta-lt have b-pos: b > 0 by simp
 obtain new-list where nl: new-list = m\#b\#(left\text{-}over) by auto
 from el-neg \beta-mem \alpha-mem have \beta : set xs \wedge \alpha : set xs \wedge \beta \neq \alpha by simp
  hence \alpha : set (remove1 \beta xs) \wedge \beta : set(remove1 \alpha xs) by (auto simp add:
in\text{-}set\text{-}remove1
 moreover hence (remove1 \ \alpha \ xs) \neq [] \land (remove1 \ \beta \ xs) \neq [] by (auto)
 ultimately have
   mem : \alpha : set(remove1 \ \beta \ xs) \land \beta : set(remove1 \ \alpha \ xs) \land 
        (remove1 \ \alpha \ xs) \neq [] \land (remove1 \ \beta \ xs) \neq []  by simp
 — prove that new list is positive
 from nl have nl-pos: pos new-list
 proof cases
   assume left-over = []
   with nl b-pos m-pos show ?thesis by simp
   assume lone: left-over \neq []
   from mem pos-imp-rmv-pos pos-xs have pos (remove1 \alpha xs) by simp
   with lo lone pos-imp-rmv-pos have pos left-over by simp
   with lone mem nl m-pos b-pos show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 — now show that the new list has the same mean as the old list
 with mem nl lo bdef \alpha-mem \beta-mem
   have \sum :new-list = \sum :xs
    apply clarsimp
    \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{subst sum-list-rmv1})
      apply simp
     apply (subst sum-list-rmv1)
      apply simp
    apply clarsimp
   done
 moreover from lo nl \beta-mem \alpha-mem mem have
   leq: length \ new-list = length \ xs
   apply -
   apply (erule conjE)+
   apply (clarsimp)
   apply (subst length-remove1, simp)
   apply (simp add: length-remove1)
   apply (auto dest!:length-pos-if-in-set)
   done
 ultimately have eq-mean: mean new-list = mean xs by (rule list-mean-eq-iff)
 — finally show that the new list has a greater gmean than the old list
 have qt-qmean: qmean new-list > <math>qmean xs
 proof -
```

```
from bdef \alpha-gt \beta-lt have abs (m-b) < abs (\alpha - \beta) by arith
   moreover from bdef have m+b=\alpha+\beta.
   ultimately have mb-gt-gt: m*b > \alpha*\beta by (rule le-diff-imp-gt-prod)
   moreover from nl have
     \prod : new-list = \prod : left-over * (m*b) by auto
   moreover
   from lo \alpha-mem \beta-mem mem remove1-retains-prod[where 'a = real] have
     xsprod: \prod :xs = \prod :left\text{-}over * (\alpha * \beta) by auto
   moreover from xsne have
     xs \neq [].
   moreover from nl have
     nlne: new-list \neq [] by simp
   moreover from pos-asm lo have
     \prod : left - over > 0
     proof -
       from pos-asm have \prod :xs>\theta.
       moreover
       from xsprod have \prod :xs = \prod :left-over * (\alpha * \beta).
       ultimately have \prod : left\text{-}over * (\alpha * \beta) > 0 by simp
       moreover
       from pos-els \alpha-mem \beta-mem have \alpha > 0 and \beta > 0 by auto
       hence \alpha*\beta > \theta by simp
       ultimately show \prod : left - over > 0
         apply -
         apply (rule zero-less-mult-pos2 [where a=(\alpha * \beta)])
         by auto
     qed
   ultimately have \prod :new-list > \prod :xs
   moreover with pos-asm nl have \prod :new-list > 0 by auto
   moreover from calculation pos-asm xsne nlne leq list-gmean-gt-iff
   show gmean new-list > gmean xs by <math>simp
  qed
 — auxiliary info
 from \beta-lt have \beta-ne-m: \beta \neq m by simp
 from mem have
   \beta-mem-rmv-\alpha: \beta: set (remove1 \alpha xs) and rmv-\alpha-ne: (remove1 \alpha xs) \neq [] by
auto
 from \alpha-def have \alpha-ne-m: \alpha \neq m by simp
 — now show that new list is more homogeneous
 have lt-het: het new-list < het xs
 proof cases
   assume bm: b=m
   with het-def have
     het \ new-list = length \ (list-neg \ new-list \ (mean \ new-list))
     by simp
```

```
also with m nl eq-mean have
   \dots = length (list-neq (m\#b\#(left-over)) m)
   by simp
 also with bm have
   \dots = length (list-neq left-over m)
 also with lo \beta-def \alpha-def have
   \dots = length (list-neq (remove1 \beta (remove1 \alpha xs)) m)
   by simp
 also from \beta-ne-m \beta-mem-rmv-\alpha rmv-\alpha-ne have
   \dots < length (list-neq (remove1 \ \alpha \ xs) \ m)
   apply -
   apply (rule list-neq-remove1)
   by simp
 also from \alpha-mem \alpha-ne-m xsne have
   \dots < length (list-neq xs m)
   apply -
   apply (rule list-neg-remove1)
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
 also with m het-def have ... = het xs by simp
 finally show het new-list < het xs.
next
 assume bnm: b \neq m
 with het-def have
   het\ new\mbox{-}list = length\ (list\mbox{-}neq\ new\mbox{-}list\ (mean\ new\mbox{-}list))
   by simp
 also with m nl eq-mean have
   \dots = length (list-neq (m\#b\#(left-over)) m)
   by simp
 also with bnm have
   \dots = length (b\#(list-neq left-over m))
   by simp
 also have
   \dots = 1 + length (list-neq left-over m)
   by simp
 also with lo \beta-def \alpha-def have
   \dots = 1 + length (list-neg (remove1 \beta (remove1 \alpha xs)) m)
 also from \beta-ne-m \beta-mem-rmv-\alpha rmv-\alpha-ne have
   \ldots < 1 + length (list-neg (remove1 \alpha xs) m)
   apply -
   apply (simp only: nat-add-left-cancel-less)
   apply (rule list-neq-remove1)
   by simp
 finally have
   het \ new-list \leq length \ (list-neg \ (remove1 \ \alpha \ xs) \ m)
 also from \alpha-mem \alpha-ne-m xsne have ... < length (list-neg xs m)
   apply -
```

```
apply (rule list-neq-remove1) by simp also with m het-def have ... = het xs by simp finally show het new-list < het xs. qed

— thus thesis by existence of newlist from \gamma-eq-def lt-het gt-gmean eq-mean leq nt-pos show ?thesis by auto qed
```

Furthermore we show that for all non-homogeneous positive collections there exists another collection that is  $\gamma$ -equivalent, positive, has a greater geometric mean and is homogeneous.

```
lemma existence-of-het0 [rule-format]:
  shows \forall x. p = het x \land p > 0 \land pos x \longrightarrow
  (\exists y. gmean \ y > gmean \ x \land \gamma - eq \ (x,y) \land het \ y = 0 \land pos \ y)
  (is ?Q \ p \ \text{is} \ \forall x. \ (?A \ x \ p \longrightarrow ?S \ x))
proof (induct p rule: nat-less-induct)
  assume ind: \forall m < n. ?Q m
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume ass: ?A \times n
    hence het x > 0 and pos x by auto
    with new-list-gt-gmean have
     \exists y. gmean \ y > gmean \ x \land \gamma - eq \ (x,y) \land het \ y < het \ x \land pos \ y
     apply -
     apply (drule meta-spec [of - x])
     apply (drule meta-mp)
        apply assumption
     apply (drule meta-mp)
        apply assumption
     apply (subst(asm) \ \gamma-eq-sym)
     apply simp
     done
    then obtain \beta where
      \beta-def: gmean \beta > gmean \ x \land \gamma-eq (x,\beta) \land het \ \beta < het \ x \land pos \ \beta..
    then obtain b where bdef: b = het \beta by simp
    with ass \beta-def have b < n by auto
    with ind have ?Q b by simp
    with \beta-def have
      ind2: b = het \beta \land 0 < b \land pos \beta \longrightarrow
      (\exists y. gmean \ \beta < gmean \ y \land \gamma - eq \ (\beta, \ y) \land het \ y = 0 \land pos \ y) by simp
      assume \neg (0 < b)
     hence b=\theta by simp
      with bdef have het \beta = 0 by simp
     with \beta-def have ?S x by auto
    }
```

```
moreover  \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{assume } 0 < b \\ \text{with } bdef \ ind2 \ \beta\text{-}def \ \text{have } ?S \ \beta \ \text{by } simp \\ \text{then obtain } \gamma \ \text{where} \\ gmean \ \beta < gmean \ \gamma \wedge \gamma\text{-}eq \ (\beta, \gamma) \wedge het \ \gamma = 0 \wedge pos \ \gamma \ .. \\ \text{with } \beta\text{-}def \ \text{have } gmean \ x < gmean \ \gamma \wedge \gamma\text{-}eq \ (x,\gamma) \wedge het \ \gamma = 0 \wedge pos \ \gamma \\ \text{apply } clarsimp \\ \text{apply } (rule \ \gamma\text{-}eq\text{-}trans) \\ \text{by } auto \\ \text{hence } ?S \ x \ \text{by } auto \\ \\ \} \\ \text{ultimately have } ?S \ x \ \text{by } auto \\ \\ \} \\ \text{thus } ?Q \ n \ \text{by } simp \\ \text{qed} \\ \end{array}
```

#### 1.2.8 Cauchy's Mean Theorem

We now present the final proof of the theorem. For any positive collection we show that its geometric mean is less than or equal to its arithmetic mean.

```
{\bf theorem}\ {\it CauchysMeanTheorem}:
 fixes z::real list
 assumes pos z
  shows gmean z \leq mean z
  from \langle pos z \rangle have zne: z \neq [] by (rule \ pos-imp-ne)
  show gmean z \leq mean z
  proof cases
   assume het z = 0
   with \langle pos z \rangle zne het-base have gmean z = mean z by simp
   thus ?thesis by simp
  next
   assume het z \neq 0
   hence het z > \theta by simp
   moreover obtain k where k = het z by simp
   moreover with calculation \langle pos z \rangle existence-of-het0 have
      \exists y. \ gmean \ y > gmean \ z \land \gamma - eq(z,y) \land het \ y = 0 \land pos \ y \ by \ auto
   then obtain \alpha where
      gmean \alpha > \text{gmean } z \wedge \gamma \text{-eq } (z,\alpha) \wedge \text{het } \alpha = 0 \wedge \text{pos } \alpha..
    with het-base \gamma-eq-def pos-imp-ne have
      mean z = mean \alpha  and
      gmean \ \alpha > gmean \ z \ {\bf and}
      gmean \ \alpha = mean \ \alpha \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
   hence gmean z < mean z by simp
   thus ?thesis by simp
 qed
qed
```

In the equality version we prove that the geometric mean is identical to the arithmetic mean iff the collection is homogeneous.

```
theorem CauchysMeanTheorem-Eq:
 fixes z::real list
 assumes pos z
 shows gmean z = mean z \longleftrightarrow het z = 0
 assume het z = 0
 with het-base[of z] \langle pos z \rangle show gmean z = mean z by auto
next
 assume eq: qmean z = mean z
 show het z = 0
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume het z \neq 0
   hence het z > \theta by auto
   moreover obtain k where k = het z by simp
   moreover with calculation \langle pos z \rangle existence-of-het0 have
     \exists y. gmean \ y > gmean \ z \land \gamma - eq(z,y) \land het \ y = 0 \land pos \ y \ by \ auto
   then obtain \alpha where
     gmean \alpha > gmean z \wedge \gamma\text{-eq} (z{,}\alpha) \wedge het \alpha = 0 \wedge pos \alpha ..
   with het-base \gamma-eq-def pos-imp-ne have
     mean z = mean \alpha  and
     gmean \alpha > gmean z and
     gmean \ \alpha = mean \ \alpha \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
   hence gmean z < mean z by simp
   thus False using eq by auto
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
corollary CauchysMeanTheorem-Less:
 fixes z::real list
 assumes pos z and het z > 0
 shows gmean z < mean z
    CauchysMeanTheorem[OF \langle pos z \rangle]
   CauchysMeanTheorem-Eq[OF \langle pos z \rangle]
   \langle het \ z > 0 \rangle
   by auto
```

end

## Chapter 2

# The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

theory CauchySchwarz imports Complex-Main begin

#### 2.1 Abstract

The following document presents a formalised proof of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality for the specific case of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The system used is Isabelle/Isar.

Theorem: Take V to be some vector space possessing a norm and inner product, then for all  $a, b \in V$  the following inequality holds:  $|a \cdot b| \leq ||a|| * ||b||$ . Specifically, in the Real case, the norm is the Euclidean length and the inner product is the standard dot product.

#### 2.2 Formal Proof

#### 2.2.1 Vector, Dot and Norm definitions.

This section presents definitions for a real vector type, a dot product function and a norm function.

#### Vector

We now define a vector type to be a tuple of (function, length). Where the function is of type  $nat \Rightarrow real$ . We also define some accessor functions and appropriate notation.

type-synonym  $vector = (nat \Rightarrow real) * nat$ 

#### definition

```
ith :: vector \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real (((-)_-) [80,100] 100) where ith \ v \ i = fst \ v \ i
```

#### definition

```
vlen :: vector \Rightarrow nat  where vlen v = snd  v
```

Now to access the second element of some vector v the syntax is  $v_2$ .

#### Dot and Norm

We now define the dot product and norm operations.

#### definition

```
dot :: vector \Rightarrow vector \Rightarrow real (\mathbf{infixr} \cdot 60)  where dot a b = (\sum j \in \{1..(vlen a)\}. a_j * b_j)
```

#### definition

```
norm :: vector \Rightarrow real (||-|| 100) where norm \ v = sqrt \ (\sum j \in \{1..(vlen \ v)\}, \ v_j ^2)
```

Another definition of the norm is  $||v|| = sqrt(v \cdot v)$ . We show that our definition leads to this one.

```
\mathbf{lemma} norm\text{-}dot:
```

```
||v|| = sqrt \ (v \cdot v)
\mathbf{proof} - 
\mathbf{have} \ sqrt \ (v \cdot v) = sqrt \ (\sum j \in \{1..(vlen \ v)\}. \ v_j * v_j) \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ dot\text{-}def \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{also} \ \mathbf{with} \ real\text{-}sq \ \mathbf{have} \ \ldots = sqrt \ (\sum j \in \{1..(vlen \ v)\}. \ v_j \hat{\ } 2) \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{also} \ \mathbf{have} \ \ldots = ||v|| \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ norm\text{-}def \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{finally} \ \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis \ \ldots
```

A further important property is that the norm is never negative.

```
lemma norm-pos:
```

```
\begin{split} \|v\| &\geq 0 \\ \mathbf{proof} - \\ \mathbf{have} \ \forall j. \ v_j \hat{\ } 2 \geq 0 \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ ith\text{-}def \ \mathbf{by} \ auto \\ \mathbf{have} \ (\sum j \in \{1..(vlen \ v)\}. \ v_j \hat{\ } 2) \geq 0 \ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ sum\text{-}nonneg) \\ \mathbf{with} \ real\text{-}sqrt\text{-}ge\text{-}zero \ \mathbf{have} \ sqrt \ (\sum j \in \{1..(vlen \ v)\}. \ v_j \hat{\ } 2) \geq 0 \ \mathbf{.} \\ \mathbf{thus} \ ?thesis \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ norm\text{-}def \ \mathbf{.} \end{split}
```

We now prove an intermediary lemma regarding double summation.

lemma double-sum-aux:

```
fixes f::nat \Rightarrow real

shows

(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j)) = (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (f k * g j + f j * g k) / 2))
```

```
proof -
  have
    2 * (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j)) =
    (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j)) +
     (\overline{\sum} k \in \{1..n\}. (\overline{\sum} j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j))
    by simp
  also have
    \begin{array}{l} (\sum k{\in}\{1..n\}.\ (\sum j{\in}\{1..n\}.\ f\ k*\ g\ j))\ +\\ (\sum k{\in}\{1..n\}.\ (\sum j{\in}\{1..n\}.\ f\ j*\ g\ k)) \end{array}
    by (simp only: double-sum-equiv)
  also have
    ... =
    (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j + f j * g k))
    by (auto simp add: sum.distrib)
  finally have
     2 * (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j)) =
    (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. f k * g j + f j * g k)).
    (\sum k{\in}\{1..n\}.\ (\sum j{\in}\{1..n\}.\ f\ k*\ g\ j))=(\sum k{\in}\{1..n\}.\ (\sum j{\in}\{1..n\}.\ (f\ k*\ g\ j+f\ j*\ g\ k)))*(1/2)
    by auto
  also have
    ... =
      (\sum k {\in} \{1..n\}.\ (\sum j {\in} \{1..n\}.\ (f\ k\ *\ g\ j\ +\ f\ j\ *\ g\ k) *(1/2)))
    by (simp add: sum-distrib-left mult.commute)
  finally show ?thesis by (auto simp add: inverse-eq-divide)
qed
```

The final theorem can now be proven. It is a simple forward proof that uses properties of double summation and the preceding lemma.

```
{\bf theorem}\ {\it CauchySchwarzReal:}
```

```
fixes x::vector assumes vlen \ x = vlen \ y shows |x \cdot y| \le ||x|| * ||y|| proof - have |x \cdot y|^2 \le (||x|| * ||y||)^2 proof -
```

We can rewrite the goal in the following form  $\dots$ 

```
have (\|x\|*\|y\|)^2 - |x\cdot y|^2 \ge 0

proof –

obtain n where nx: n = vlen \ x by simp

with \langle vlen \ x = vlen \ y \rangle have ny: n = vlen \ y by simp

{
```

Some preliminary simplification rules.

```
have (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. \ x_j \hat{\ }2) \ge 0 by (simp \ add: sum-nonneg) hence xp: (sqrt \ (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. \ x_j \hat{\ }2)) \hat{\ }2 = (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. \ x_j \hat{\ }2)
```

```
by (rule real-sqrt-pow2)
have (\sum j \in \{1..n\}, y_j \hat{2}) \ge 0 by (simp \ add: sum-nonneg)
hence yp: (sqrt (\sum j \in \{1..n\}, y_j \geq 2)) \geq = (\sum j \in \{1..n\}, y_j \geq 2)
  by (rule real-sqrt-pow2)
```

The main result of this section is that  $(||x||*||y||)^2$  can be written as a double sum.

```
(\|x\|*\|y\|)^2 = \|x\|^2 * \|y\|^2
   by (simp add: real-sq-exp)
 also from nx ny have
   ... = (sqrt (\sum j \in \{1..n\}, x_j^2))^2 * (sqrt (\sum j \in \{1..n\}, y_j^2))^2
   unfolding norm-def by auto
 also from xp yp have
   \dots = (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. x_j^2) * (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. y_j^2)
   by simp
 also from sum-product have
   \dots = (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (x_k^2) * (y_j^2))).
 finally have
   (\|x\| * \|y\|)^2 = (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (x_k^2) * (y_j^2))).
moreover
```

We also show that  $|x \cdot y|^2$  can be expressed as a double sum.

```
have
  |x \cdot y|^2 = (x \cdot y)^2
  by simp
also from nx have
  \dots = (\sum j \in \{1..n\}, x_j * y_j)^2
  unfolding dot-def by simp
also from real-sq have
  \dots = (\sum j \in \{1..n\}, x_j * y_j) * (\sum j \in \{1..n\}, x_j * y_j)
  by simp
also from sum-product have
 \dots = (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j))).
finally have
 |x \cdot y|^2 = (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j))).
```

We now manipulate the double sum expressions to get the required inequality.

```
ultimately have
   (\|x\|*\|y\|)^2 - |x\cdot y|^2 =
    \begin{array}{l} (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. \ (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. \ (x_k \hat{\ } 2) * (y_j \hat{\ } 2))) - \\ (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. \ (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. \ (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j))) \end{array}
   by simp
also have
    (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. ((x_k^2 * y_j^2) + (x_j^2 * y_k^2))/2)) -
```

```
(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j)))
       by (simp only: double-sum-aux)
     also have
       \dots =
      (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. ((x_k^2 * y_j^2) + (x_j^2 * y_k^2))/2 - (x_k * y_k) * (x_j * y_j)))
       by (auto simp add: sum-subtractf)
     also have
       ... =
        (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (inverse\ 2)*2*
        (((x_k^2*y_i^2) + (x_j^2*y_k^2))*(1/2) - (x_k*y_k)*(x_j*y_j))))
       by auto
     also have
       ... =
        (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (inverse\ 2)*(2*
       (((x_k^2*y_i^2) + (x_i^2*y_k^2))*(1/2) - (x_k*y_k)*(x_i*y_i))))
       by (simp only: mult.assoc)
     also have
        (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (inverse 2)*)
       ((((x_k^2*y_i^2) + (x_i^2*y_k^2))*2*(inverse\ 2) - 2*(x_k*y_k)*(x_i*y_i)))))
       by (auto simp add: distrib-right mult.assoc ac-simps)
     also have
       ... =
       (\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. (inverse\ 2)*
       (((((x_k^2*y_i^2) + (x_i^2*y_k^2)) - 2*(x_k*y_k)*(x_i*y_i)))))
       by (simp only: mult.assoc, simp)
     also have
       ... =
        (inverse 2)*(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. (\sum j \in \{1..n\}.
        (((x_k^2*y_i^2) + (x_i^2*y_k^2)) - 2*(x_k*y_k)*(x_i*y_i)))
       by (simp only: sum-distrib-left)
     also have
        (inverse \ 2)*(\sum k \in \{1..n\}. \ (\sum j \in \{1..n\}. \ (x_k*y_j - x_j*y_k)^2))
       by (simp only: power2-diff real-sq-exp, auto simp add: ac-simps)
     also have \ldots \geq \theta
     proof -
       have (\sum k \in \{1..n\}, (\sum j \in \{1..n\}, (x_k * y_j - x_j * y_k)^2)) \ge 0
         by (simp add: sum-nonneg)
       thus ?thesis by simp
     qed
     finally show (\|x\|*\|y\|)^2 - |x\cdot y|^2 \ge 0.
   thus ?thesis by simp
 qed
 moreover have 0 \le ||x|| * ||y||
   by (auto simp add: norm-pos)
  ultimately show ?thesis by (rule power2-le-imp-le)
qed
```

 $\mathbf{end}$