

# The Safety of Call Arity

Joachim Breitner

Programming Paradigms Group  
Karlsruhe Institute for Technology  
[breitner@kit.edu](mailto:breitner@kit.edu)

March 17, 2025

We formalize the Call Arity analysis [Bre15a], as implemented in GHC, and prove both functional correctness and, more interestingly, safety (i.e. the transformation does not increase allocation). A highlevel overview of the work can be found in [Bre15b].

We use syntax and the denotational semantics from an earlier work [Bre13], where we formalized Launchbury's natural semantics for lazy evaluation [Lau93]. The functional correctness of Call Arity is proved with regard to that denotational semantics. The operational properties are shown with regard to a small-step semantics akin to Sestoft's mark 1 machine [Ses97], which we prove to be equivalent to Launchbury's semantics.

We use Christian Urban's Nominal2 package [UK12] to define our terms and make use of Brian Huffman's HOLCF package for the domain-theoretical aspects of the development [Huf12].

## Artifact correspondence table

The following table connects the definitions and theorems from [Bre15b] with their corresponding Isabelle concept in this development.

Concept	corresponds to	in theory
Syntax	<b>nominal-datatype</b> <i>expr</i>	Terms in [Bre13]
Stack	<b>type-synonym</b> <i>stack</i>	SestoftConf
Configuration	<b>type-synonym</b> <i>conf</i>	SestoftConf
Semantics ( $\Rightarrow$ )	<b>inductive</b> <i>step</i>	Sestoft
Arity	<b>typedef</b> <i>Arity</i>	Arity
Eta-expansion	<b>lift-definition</b> <i>Aeta-expand</i>	ArityEtaExpansion

Lemma 1	<b>theorem</b> <i>Aeta-expand-safe</i>	ArityEtaExpansionSafe
$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>ArityAnalysisHeap</i>	ArityAnalysisSig
$\mathsf{T}_\alpha(e)$	<b>sublocale</b> <i>AbstractTransformBound</i>	ArityTransform
$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>ArityAnalysis</i>	ArityAnalysisSig
Definition 2	<b>locale</b> <i>ArityAnalysisLetSafe</i>	ArityAnalysisSpec
Definition 3	<b>locale</b> <i>ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard</i>	ArityAnalysisSpec
Definition 4	<b>inductive</b> <i>a-consistent</i>	ArityConsistent
Definition 5	<b>inductive</b> <i>consistent</i>	ArityTransformSafe
Lemma 2	<b>lemma</b> <i>arity-transform-safe</i>	ArityTransformSafe
Card	<b>type-synonym</b> <i>two</i>	Cardinality-Domain
$\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>CardinalityHeap</i>	CardinalityAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{C}_{(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \dot{\alpha})}((\Gamma, e, S))$	<b>locale</b> <i>CardinalityPrognosis</i>	CardinalityAnalysisSig
Definition 6	<b>locale</b> <i>CardinalityPrognosisSafe</i>	CardinalityAnalysisSpec
Definition 7 ( $\Rightarrow_\#$ )	<b>inductive</b> <i>gc-step</i>	SestoftGC
Definition 8	<b>inductive</b> <i>consistent</i>	CardArityTransformSafe
Lemma 3	<b>lemma</b> <i>card-arity-transform-safe</i>	CardArityTransformSafe
Trace trees	<b>typedef</b> <i>'a ttree</i>	TTree
Function $s$	<b>lift-definition</b> <i>substitute</i>	TTree
$\mathcal{T}_\alpha(e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>TTreeAnalysis</i>	TTreeAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{T}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap</i>	TTreeAnalysisSpec
Definition 9	<b>locale</b> <i>TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap</i>	TTreeAnalysisSpec
Lemma 4	<b>sublocale</b> <i>CardinalityPrognosisSafe</i>	TTreeImplCardinalitySafe
Co-Call graphs	<b>typedef</b> <i>CoCalls</i>	CoCallGraph
Function $g$	<b>lift-definition</b> <i>ccApprox</i>	CoCallGraph-TTree
Function $t$	<b>lift-definition</b> <i>ccTTree</i>	CoCallGraph-TTree
$\mathcal{G}_\alpha(e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>CoCallAnalysis</i>	CoCallAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{G}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>CoCallAnalysisHeap</i>	CoCallAnalysisSig
Definition 10	<b>locale</b> <i>CoCallAritySafe</i>	CoCallAnalysisSpec
Lemma 5	<b>sublocale</b> <i>TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap</i>	CoCallImplTTreeSafe
Call Arity	<b>nominal-function</b> <i>cCCexp</i>	CoCallAnalysisImpl
Theorem 1	<b>lemma</b> <i>end2end-closed</i>	CallArityEnd2EndSafe

## References

- [Bre13] Joachim Breitner, *The correctness of launchbury’s natural semantics for lazy evaluation*, Archive of Formal Proofs (2013), <http://isa-afp.org/entries/Launchbury.shtml>, Formal proof development.
- [Bre15a] ———, *Call Arity*, TFP’14, LNCS, vol. 8843, Springer, 2015, pp. 34–50.

- [Bre15b] \_\_\_\_\_, *Formally proving a compiler transformation safe*, Haskell Symposium, 2015.
- [Huf12] Brian Huffman, *HOLCF '11: A definitional domain theory for verifying functional programs*, Ph.D. thesis, Portland State University, 2012.
- [Lau93] John Launchbury, *A natural semantics for lazy evaluation*, POPL '93, 1993, pp. 144–154.
- [Ses97] Peter Sestoft, *Deriving a lazy abstract machine*, Journal of Functional Programming 7 (1997), 231–264.
- [UK12] Christian Urban and Cezary Kaliszyk, *General bindings and alpha-equivalence in nominal isabelle*, Logical Methods in Computer Science 8 (2012), no. 2.

## Contents

<b>1 Various Utilities</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 ConstOn . . . . .	5
1.2 Set-Cpo . . . . .	6
1.3 Env-Set-Cpo . . . . .	7
1.4 AList-Utils-HOLCF . . . . .	8
1.5 List-Interleavings . . . . .	9
<b>2 Small-step Semantics</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 SestoftConf . . . . .	10
2.1.1 Invariants of the semantics . . . . .	14
2.2 Sestoft . . . . .	16
2.2.1 Equivariance . . . . .	17
2.2.2 Invariants . . . . .	17
2.3 SestoftGC . . . . .	18
2.4 BalancedTraces . . . . .	20
2.5 SestoftCorrect . . . . .	22
<b>3 Arity</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1 Arity . . . . .	23
3.2 AEnv . . . . .	26
3.3 Arity-Nominal . . . . .	26
3.4 ArityStack . . . . .	27
<b>4 Eta-Expansion</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1 EtaExpansion . . . . .	27
4.2 EtaExpansionSafe . . . . .	28
4.3 TransformTools . . . . .	29
4.4 ArityEtaExpansion . . . . .	31

4.5	ArityEtaExpansionSafe . . . . .	32
<b>5</b>	<b>Arity Analysis</b>	<b>32</b>
5.1	ArityAnalysisSig . . . . .	32
5.2	ArityAnalysisAbinds . . . . .	33
5.2.1	Lifting arity analysis to recursive groups . . . . .	33
5.3	ArityAnalysisSpec . . . . .	35
5.4	TrivialArityAnal . . . . .	36
5.5	ArityAnalysisStack . . . . .	37
5.6	ArityAnalysisFix . . . . .	38
5.7	ArityAnalysisFixProps . . . . .	40
<b>6</b>	<b>Arity Transformation</b>	<b>41</b>
6.1	AbstractTransform . . . . .	41
6.2	ArityTransform . . . . .	43
<b>7</b>	<b>Arity Analysis Safety (without Cardinality)</b>	<b>44</b>
7.1	ArityConsistent . . . . .	44
7.2	ArityTransformSafe . . . . .	46
<b>8</b>	<b>Cardinality Analysis</b>	<b>48</b>
8.1	Cardinality-Domain . . . . .	48
8.2	CardinalityAnalysisSig . . . . .	49
8.3	CardinalityAnalysisSpec . . . . .	50
8.4	NoCardinalityAnalysis . . . . .	51
8.5	CardArityTransformSafe . . . . .	53
<b>9</b>	<b>Trace Trees</b>	<b>55</b>
9.1	TTree . . . . .	55
9.1.1	Prefix-closed sets of lists . . . . .	55
9.1.2	The type of infinite labeled trees . . . . .	56
9.1.3	Deconstructors . . . . .	56
9.1.4	Trees as set of paths . . . . .	56
9.1.5	The carrier of a tree . . . . .	57
9.1.6	Repeatable trees . . . . .	57
9.1.7	Simple trees . . . . .	57
9.1.8	Intersection of two trees . . . . .	59
9.1.9	Disjoint union of trees . . . . .	59
9.1.10	Merging of trees . . . . .	60
9.1.11	Removing elements from a tree . . . . .	62
9.1.12	Multiple variables, each called at most once . . . . .	63
9.1.13	Substituting trees for every node . . . . .	64
9.2	TTree-HOLCF . . . . .	68

<b>10 Trace Tree Cardinality Analysis</b>	<b>72</b>
10.1 AnalBinds . . . . .	72
10.2 TTTreeAnalysisSig . . . . .	74
10.3 Cardinality-Domain-Lists . . . . .	74
10.4 TTTreeAnalysisSpec . . . . .	76
10.5 TTTreeImplCardinality . . . . .	77
10.6 TTTreeImplCardinalitySafe . . . . .	77
<b>11 Co-Call Graphs</b>	<b>79</b>
11.1 CoCallGraph . . . . .	79
11.2 CoCallGraph-Nominal . . . . .	87
<b>12 Co-Call Cardinality Analysis</b>	<b>88</b>
12.1 CoCallAnalysisSig . . . . .	88
12.2 CoCallAnalysisBinds . . . . .	88
12.3 CoCallAritySig . . . . .	90
12.4 CoCallAnalysisSpec . . . . .	91
12.5 CoCallFix . . . . .	91
12.5.1 The non-recursive case . . . . .	94
12.5.2 Combining the cases . . . . .	96
12.6 CoCallGraph-TTree . . . . .	96
12.7 CoCallImplTTree . . . . .	102
12.8 CoCallImplTTreeSafe . . . . .	102
<b>13 CoCall Cardinality Implementation</b>	<b>104</b>
13.1 CoCallAnalysisImpl . . . . .	104
13.2 CoCallImplSafe . . . . .	108
<b>14 End-to-end Safety Results and Example</b>	<b>110</b>
14.1 CallArityEnd2End . . . . .	110
14.2 CallArityEnd2EndSafe . . . . .	110
<b>15 Functional Correctness of the Arity Analysis</b>	<b>111</b>
15.1 ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational . . . . .	111

## 1 Various Utilities

### 1.1 ConstOn

```
theory ConstOn
imports Main
begin
```

```
definition const-on :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
```

**where**  $\text{const-on } f S x = (\forall y \in S . f y = x)$

**lemma**  $\text{const-onI[intro]}: (\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies f y = x) \implies \text{const-on } f S x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{const-onD[dest]}: \text{const-on } f S x \implies y \in S \implies f y = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{const-on-insert[simp]}: \text{const-on } f (\text{insert } x S) y \longleftrightarrow \text{const-on } f S y \wedge f x = y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{const-on-union[simp]}: \text{const-on } f (S \cup S') y \longleftrightarrow \text{const-on } f S y \wedge \text{const-on } f S' y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{const-on-subset[elim]}: \text{const-on } f S y \implies S' \subseteq S \implies \text{const-on } f S' y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 1.2 Set-Cpo

**theory**  $\text{Set-Cpo}$   
**imports**  $\text{HOLCF}$   
**begin**

**default-sort**  $\text{type}$

**instantiation**  $\text{set} :: (\text{type}) \text{ below}$   
**begin**  
    **definition**  $\text{below-set}$  **where**  $(\sqsubseteq) = (\subseteq)$   
    **instance**  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$   
**end**

**instance**  $\text{set} :: (\text{type}) \text{ po}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{is-lub-set}:$   
     $S <<| \bigcup S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lub-set}: \text{lub } S = \bigcup S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**instance**  $\text{set} :: (\text{type}) \text{ cpo}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma minimal-set: {} ⊑ S
  ⟨proof⟩

instance set :: (type) pcpo
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-contI:
  assumes ⋀ Y. chain Y ==> f (⊔ i. Y i) = ⋃ (f ` range Y)
  shows cont f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-set-contI:
  assumes ⋀ S. f (⊔ S) = ⋃ (f ` S)
  shows cont f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma adm-subseteq[simp]:
  assumes cont f
  shows adm (λa. f a ⊆ S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma adm-Ball[simp]: adm (λS. ∀ x∈S. P x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-subset-chain:
  fixes Y :: nat ⇒ 'a set
  assumes chain Y
  assumes S ⊆ ⋃(Y ` UNIV)
  assumes finite S
  shows ∃ i. S ⊆ Y i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diff-cont[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  fixes S' :: 'a set
  shows cont (λS. S - S')
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 1.3 Env-Set-Cpo

```

theory Env-Set-Cpo
imports Launchbury.Env Set-Cpo
begin

lemma cont-edom[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont (λ f. edom f)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

## 1.4 AList-Utils-HOLCF

```
theory AList-Utils-HOLCF
imports Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes Launchbury.AList-Utils
begin
```

```
syntax
```

```
-BLubMap :: [pttrn, pttrn, 'a -> 'b, 'b] => 'b ((3 $\sqcup$  / - /  $\mapsto$  / - /  $\in$  / - / -) [0,0,0, 10] 10)
```

```
syntax-consts
```

```
-BLubMap == lub
```

```
translations
```

```
 $\sqcup k \mapsto v \in m. e$  == CONST lub (CONST mapCollect ( $\lambda k v . e$ ) m)
```

```
lemma below-lubmapI[intro]:
```

```
  m k = Some v ==> (e k v :: 'a :: Join-cpo)  $\sqsubseteq$  ( $\sqcup k \mapsto v \in m. e k v$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lubmap-belowI[intro]:
```

```
  ( $\bigwedge k v . m k = Some v ==> (e k v :: 'a :: Join-cpo) \sqsubseteq u$ ) ==> ( $\sqcup k \mapsto v \in m. e k v$ )  $\sqsubseteq u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lubmap-const-bottom[simp]:
```

```
  ( $\sqcup k \mapsto v \in m. \perp$ ) = ( $\perp :: 'a :: Join-cpo$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lubmap-map-upd[simp]:
```

```
  fixes e :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('c :: Join-cpo)
  shows ( $\sqcup k \mapsto v \in m. (k' \mapsto v'). e k v$ ) = e k' v'  $\sqcup$  ( $\sqcup k \mapsto v \in m. (k' := None). e k v$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lubmap-below-cong:
```

```
  assumes  $\bigwedge k v . m k = Some v ==> f1 k v \sqsubseteq (f2 k v :: 'a :: Join-cpo)$ 
  shows ( $\sqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f1 k v$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  ( $\sqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f2 k v$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cont2cont-lubmap[simp, cont2cont]:
```

```
  assumes ( $\bigwedge k v . cont (f k v)$ )
  shows cont ( $\lambda x. \sqcup k \mapsto v \in m. (f k v x) :: 'a :: Join-cpo$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

## 1.5 List-Interleavings

```

theory List-Interleavings
imports Main
begin

inductive interleave' :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool
  where [simp]: interleave' [] [] []
    | interleave' xs ys zs ==> interleave' (x#xs) ys (x#zs)
    | interleave' xs ys zs ==> interleave' xs (x#ys) (x#zs)

definition interleave :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list set (infixr ⟨⊗⟩ 64)
  where xs ⊗ ys = Collect (interleave' xs ys)

lemma elim-interleave'[pred-set-conv]: interleave' xs ys zs ↔ zs ∈ xs ⊗ ys ⟨proof⟩

lemmas interleave-intros[intro?] = interleave'.intros[to-set]
lemmas interleave-intros(1)[simp]
lemmas interleave-induct[consumes 1, induct set: interleave, case-names Nil left right] = interleave'.induct[to-set]
lemmas interleave-cases[consumes 1, cases set: interleave] = interleave'.cases[to-set]
lemmas interleave-simps = interleave'.simples[to-set]

inductive-cases interleave-ConsE[elim]: (x#xs) ∈ ys ⊗ zs
inductive-cases interleave-ConsConsE[elim]: xs ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs
inductive-cases interleave-ConsE2[elim]: xs ∈ x#ys ⊗ zs
inductive-cases interleave-ConsE3[elim]: xs ∈ ys ⊗ x#zs

lemma interleave-comm: xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ==> xs ∈ zs ⊗ ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-Nil1[simp]: [] ⊗ xs = {xs}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-Nil2[simp]: xs ⊗ [] = {xs}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-nil-simp[simp]: [] ∈ xs ⊗ ys ↔ xs = [] ∧ ys = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma append-interleave: xs @ ys ∈ xs ⊗ ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-assoc1: a ∈ xs ⊗ ys ==> b ∈ a ⊗ zs ==> ∃ c. c ∈ ys ⊗ zs ∧ b ∈ xs ⊗ c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-assoc2: a ∈ ys ⊗ zs ==> b ∈ xs ⊗ a ==> ∃ c. c ∈ xs ⊗ ys ∧ b ∈ c ⊗ zs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-set: zs ∈ xs ⊗ ys ==> set zs = set xs ∪ set ys

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-tl:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies tl\ xs \in tl\ ys \otimes zs \vee tl\ xs \in ys \otimes (tl\ zs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-butlast:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies butlast\ xs \in butlast\ ys \otimes zs \vee butlast\ xs \in ys \otimes (butlast\ zs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-take:  $zs \in xs \otimes ys \implies \exists\ n_1\ n_2. n = n_1 + n_2 \wedge take\ n\ zs \in take\ n_1\ xs \otimes take\ n_2\ ys$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-interleave:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies filter\ P\ xs \in filter\ P\ ys \otimes filter\ P\ zs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma interleave-filtered:  $xs \in interleave\ (filter\ P\ xs)\ (\filter\ (\lambda x'. \neg P\ x')\ xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

function foo where
  foo [] [] = undefined
  | foo xs [] = undefined
  | foo [] ys = undefined
  | foo (x#xs) (y#ys) = undefined (foo xs (y#ys)) (foo (x#xs) ys)
⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩
lemmas list-induct2'' = foo.induct[case-names NilNil ConsNil NilCons ConsCons]

lemma interleave-filter:
  assumes xs ∈ filter P ys ⊗ filter P zs
  obtains xs' where xs' ∈ ys ⊗ zs and xs = filter P xs'
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 2 Small-step Semantics

### 2.1 SestoftConf

```

theory SestoftConf
imports Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.Substitution
begin

datatype stack-elem = Alts exp exp | Arg var | Upd var | Dummy var

instantiation stack-elem :: pt

```

```

begin
definition  $\pi \cdot x = (\text{case } x \text{ of } (\text{Alts } e1 e2) \Rightarrow \text{Alts } (\pi \cdot e1) (\pi \cdot e2) \mid (\text{Arg } v) \Rightarrow \text{Arg } (\pi \cdot v) \mid (\text{Upd } v) \Rightarrow \text{Upd } (\pi \cdot v) \mid (\text{Dummy } v) \Rightarrow \text{Dummy } (\pi \cdot v))$ 
instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma  $\text{Alts-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}]: \pi \cdot (\text{Alts } e1 e2) = \text{Alts } (\pi \cdot e1) (\pi \cdot e2)$ 
  and  $\text{Arg-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}]: \pi \cdot (\text{Arg } v) = \text{Arg } (\pi \cdot v)$ 
  and  $\text{Upd-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}]: \pi \cdot (\text{Upd } v) = \text{Upd } (\pi \cdot v)$ 
  and  $\text{Dummy-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}]: \pi \cdot (\text{Dummy } v) = \text{Dummy } (\pi \cdot v)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{supp-Alts}[\text{simp}]: \text{supp } (\text{Alts } e1 e2) = \text{supp } e1 \cup \text{supp } e2$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{supp-Arg}[\text{simp}]: \text{supp } (\text{Arg } v) = \text{supp } v$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{supp-Upd}[\text{simp}]: \text{supp } (\text{Upd } v) = \text{supp } v$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{supp-Dummy}[\text{simp}]: \text{supp } (\text{Dummy } v) = \text{supp } v$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{fresh-Alts}[\text{simp}]: a \notin \text{Alts } e1 e2 = (a \notin e1 \wedge a \notin e2)$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{fresh-star-Alts}[\text{simp}]: a \nexists \text{ Alts } e1 e2 = (a \nexists e1 \wedge a \nexists e2)$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{fresh-Arg}[\text{simp}]: a \notin \text{Arg } v = a \notin v$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{fresh-Upd}[\text{simp}]: a \notin \text{Upd } v = a \notin v$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{fresh-Dummy}[\text{simp}]: a \notin \text{Dummy } v = a \notin v$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{fv-Alts}[\text{simp}]: \text{fv } (\text{Alts } e1 e2) = \text{fv } e1 \cup \text{fv } e2$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{fv-Arg}[\text{simp}]: \text{fv } (\text{Arg } v) = \text{fv } v$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{fv-Upd}[\text{simp}]: \text{fv } (\text{Upd } v) = \text{fv } v$  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $\text{fv-Dummy}[\text{simp}]: \text{fv } (\text{Dummy } v) = \text{fv } v$  ⟨proof⟩

instance  $\text{stack-elem} :: fs$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym  $\text{stack} = \text{stack-elem list}$ 

fun  $\text{ap} :: \text{stack} \Rightarrow \text{var set where}$ 
   $\text{ap} [] = \{\}$ 
   $\mid \text{ap } (\text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S) = \text{ap } S$ 
   $\mid \text{ap } (\text{Arg } x \# S) = \text{insert } x \text{ (ap } S)$ 
   $\mid \text{ap } (\text{Upd } x \# S) = \text{ap } S$ 
   $\mid \text{ap } (\text{Dummy } x \# S) = \text{ap } S$ 
fun  $\text{upds} :: \text{stack} \Rightarrow \text{var set where}$ 
   $\text{upds} [] = \{\}$ 
   $\mid \text{upds } (\text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S) = \text{upds } S$ 
   $\mid \text{upds } (\text{Upd } x \# S) = \text{insert } x \text{ (upds } S)$ 
   $\mid \text{upds } (\text{Arg } x \# S) = \text{upds } S$ 
   $\mid \text{upds } (\text{Dummy } x \# S) = \text{upds } S$ 
fun  $\text{dummies} :: \text{stack} \Rightarrow \text{var set where}$ 
   $\text{dummies} [] = \{\}$ 
   $\mid \text{dummies } (\text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S) = \text{dummies } S$ 
   $\mid \text{dummies } (\text{Upd } x \# S) = \text{dummies } S$ 
   $\mid \text{dummies } (\text{Arg } x \# S) = \text{dummies } S$ 

```

```

| dummies (Dummy x # S) = insert x (dummies S)
fun flattn :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var list where
  flattn [] = []
| flattn (Alts e1 e2 # S) = fv-list e1 @ fv-list e2 @ flattn S
| flattn (Upd x # S) = x # flattn S
| flattn (Arg x # S) = x # flattn S
| flattn (Dummy x # S) = x # flattn S
fun upds-list :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var list where
  upds-list [] = []
| upds-list (Alts e1 e2 # S) = upds-list S
| upds-list (Upd x # S) = x # upds-list S
| upds-list (Arg x # S) = upds-list S
| upds-list (Dummy x # S) = upds-list S

lemma set-upds-list[simp]:
  set (upds-list S) = upds S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ups-fv-subset: upds S  $\subseteq$  fv S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma fresh-distinct-ups: atom ` V  $\#*$  S  $\implies$  V  $\cap$  upds S = {}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ap-fv-subset: ap S  $\subseteq$  fv S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma dummies-fv-subset: dummies S  $\subseteq$  fv S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fresh-flattn[simp]: atom (a::var)  $\#$  flattn S  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom a  $\#$  S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma fresh-star-flattn[simp]: atom ` (as:: var set)  $\#*$  flattn S  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom ` as  $\#*$  S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma fresh-upds-list[simp]: atom a  $\#$  S  $\implies$  atom (a::var)  $\#$  upds-list S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma fresh-star-upds-list[simp]: atom ` (as:: var set)  $\#*$  S  $\implies$  atom ` (as:: var set)  $\#*$  upds-list S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma upds-append[simp]: upds (S@S') = upds S  $\cup$  upds S'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma upds-map-Dummy[simp]: upds (map Dummy l) = {}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma upds-list-append[simp]: upds-list (S@S') = upds-list S @ upds-list S'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma upds-list-map-Dummy[simp]: upds-list (map Dummy l) = []
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dummies-append[simp]: dummies (S@S') = dummies S  $\cup$  dummies S'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma dummies-map-Dummy[simp]: dummies (map Dummy l) = set l
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-Dummy-inj[simp]: map Dummy l = map Dummy l'  $\longleftrightarrow$  l = l'
  ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym conf = (heap × exp × stack)

inductive boring-step where
  isVal e  $\implies$  boring-step ( $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)

fun restr-stack :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  stack  $\Rightarrow$  stack
  where restr-stack V [] = []
    | restr-stack V (Alts e1 e2 # S) = Alts e1 e2 # restr-stack V S
    | restr-stack V (Arg x # S) = Arg x # restr-stack V S
    | restr-stack V (Upd x # S) = (if x  $\in$  V then Upd x # restr-stack V S else restr-stack V S)
    | restr-stack V (Dummy x # S) = Dummy x # restr-stack V S

lemma restr-stack-cong:
  ( $\bigwedge$  x. x  $\in$  upds S  $\implies$  x  $\in$  V  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\in$  V')  $\implies$  restr-stack V S = restr-stack V' S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upds-restr-stack[simp]: upds (restr-stack V S) = upds S  $\cap$  V
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-star-restrict-stack[intro]:
  a #* S  $\implies$  a #* restr-stack V S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restr-stack-restr-stack[simp]:
  restr-stack V (restr-stack V' S) = restr-stack (V  $\cap$  V') S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Upd-eq-restr-stackD:
  assumes Upd x # S = restr-stack V S'
  shows x  $\in$  V
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Upd-eq-restr-stackD2:
  assumes restr-stack V S' = Upd x # S
  shows x  $\in$  V
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restr-stack-noop[simp]:
  restr-stack V S = S  $\longleftrightarrow$  upds S  $\subseteq$  V
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 2.1.1 Invariants of the semantics

```

inductive invariant :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where ( $\bigwedge$  x y. rel x y  $\Longrightarrow$  I x  $\Longrightarrow$  I y)  $\Longrightarrow$  invariant rel I

lemmas invariant.intros[case-names step]

lemma invariantE:
  invariant rel I  $\Longrightarrow$  rel x y  $\Longrightarrow$  I x  $\Longrightarrow$  I y ⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-starE:
  rtranclp rel x y  $\Longrightarrow$  invariant rel I  $\Longrightarrow$  I x  $\Longrightarrow$  I y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-True:
  invariant rel (λ -. True)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-conj:
  invariant rel I1  $\Longrightarrow$  invariant rel I2  $\Longrightarrow$  invariant rel (λ x. I1 x  $\wedge$  I2 x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp-invariant-induct[consumes 3, case-names base step]:
  assumes r** a b
  assumes invariant r I
  assumes I a
  assumes P a
  assumes ( $\bigwedge$  y z. r** a y  $\Longrightarrow$  r y z  $\Longrightarrow$  I y  $\Longrightarrow$  I z  $\Longrightarrow$  P y  $\Longrightarrow$  P z)
  shows P b
  ⟨proof⟩

fun closed :: conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where closed (Γ, e, S)  $\longleftrightarrow$  fv (Γ, e, S)  $\subseteq$  domA Γ  $\cup$  upds S

fun heap-upds-ok where heap-upds-ok (Γ, S)  $\longleftrightarrow$  domA Γ  $\cap$  upds S = {}  $\wedge$  distinct (upds-list S)

abbreviation heap-upds-ok-conf :: conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where heap-upds-ok-conf c  $\equiv$  heap-upds-ok (fst c, snd (snd c))

lemma heap-upds-okE: heap-upds-ok (Γ, S)  $\Longrightarrow$  x  $\in$  domA Γ  $\Longrightarrow$  x  $\notin$  upds S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-Nil[simp]: heap-upds-ok (Γ, []) ⟨proof⟩
lemma heap-upds-ok-app1: heap-upds-ok (Γ, S)  $\Longrightarrow$  heap-upds-ok (Γ, Arg x # S) ⟨proof⟩
lemma heap-upds-ok-app2: heap-upds-ok (Γ, Arg x # S)  $\Longrightarrow$  heap-upds-ok (Γ, S) ⟨proof⟩
lemma heap-upds-ok-alts1: heap-upds-ok (Γ, S)  $\Longrightarrow$  heap-upds-ok (Γ, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⟨proof⟩
lemma heap-upds-ok-alts2: heap-upds-ok (Γ, Alts e1 e2 # S)  $\Longrightarrow$  heap-upds-ok (Γ, S) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma heap-upds-ok-append:
  assumes domA Δ ∩ upds S = {}
  assumes heap-upds-ok (Γ, S)
  shows heap-upds-ok (Δ @ Γ, S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-let:
  assumes atom ` domA Δ #* S
  assumes heap-upds-ok (Γ, S)
  shows heap-upds-ok (Δ @ Γ, S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-to-stack:
   $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} (\text{delete } x \Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-to-stack':
  map-of Γ x = Some e  $\implies \text{heap-upds-ok} (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} (\text{delete } x \Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-delete:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok} (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} (\text{delete } x \Gamma, S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-restrictA:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok} (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} (\text{restrictA } V \Gamma, S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-restr-stack:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok} (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} (\Gamma, \text{restr-stack } V S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-to-heap:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok} (\Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} ((x, e) \# \Gamma, S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-reorder:
   $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} ((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma heap-upds-ok-upd:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok} (\Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S) \implies x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \wedge x \notin \text{upds } S$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas heap-upds-ok-intros[intro] =
  heap-upds-ok-to-heap heap-upds-ok-to-stack heap-upds-ok-to-stack' heap-upds-ok-reorder
  heap-upds-ok-app1 heap-upds-ok-app2 heap-upds-ok-alts1 heap-upds-ok-alts2 heap-upds-ok-delete

```

```

 $\text{heap-upds-ok-restrictA}$   $\text{heap-upds-ok-restr-stack}$ 
 $\text{heap-upds-ok-let}$ 
lemmas  $\text{heap-upds-ok.simps}[\text{simp del}]$ 

```

**end**

## 2.2 Sestoft

```

theory Sestoft
imports SestoftConf
begin

inductive step ::  $\text{conf} \Rightarrow \text{conf} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (infix  $\leftrightarrow 50$ ) where
|  $\text{app}_1$ :  $(\Gamma, \text{App } e x, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# S)$ 
|  $\text{app}_2$ :  $(\Gamma, \text{Lam } [y]. e, \text{Arg } x \# S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e[y ::= x], S)$ 
|  $\text{var}_1$ :  $\text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \Rightarrow (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
|  $\text{var}_2$ :  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{isValid } e \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S) \Rightarrow ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$ 
|  $\text{let}_1$ :  $\text{atom} ` \text{domA } \Delta \#* \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{atom} ` \text{domA } \Delta \#* S$ 
     $\Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta e, S) \Rightarrow (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$ 
|  $\text{if}_1$ :  $(\Gamma, \text{scrut} ? e1 : e2, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S)$ 
|  $\text{if}_2$ :  $(\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S)$ 

abbreviation steps (infix  $\leftrightarrow^* 50$ ) where steps  $\equiv$  step $^{**}$ 

lemma SmartLet-stepI:
 $\text{atom} ` \text{domA } \Delta \#* \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{atom} ` \text{domA } \Delta \#* S \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{SmartLet } \Delta e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$ 
<proof>

lemma lambda-var:  $\text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \Rightarrow \text{isValid } e \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \Rightarrow^* ((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$ 
<proof>

lemma let1-closed:
assumes closed  $(\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta e, S)$ 
assumes domA  $\Delta \cap \text{domA } \Gamma = \{\}$ 
assumes domA  $\Delta \cap \text{upds } S = \{\}$ 
shows  $(\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta e, S) \Rightarrow (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$ 
<proof>

```

An induction rule that skips the annoying case of a lambda taken off the heap

```

lemma step-invariant-induction[consumes 4, case-names app1 app2 thunk lamvar var2 let1 if1 if2 refl trans]:
assumes  $c \Rightarrow^* c'$ 
assumes  $\neg \text{boring-step } c'$ 
assumes invariant  $(\Rightarrow) I$ 
assumes  $I c$ 

```

```

assumes app1:  $\bigwedge \Gamma e x S . I(\Gamma, App e x, S) \implies P(\Gamma, App e x, S)$  ( $\Gamma, e, Arg x \# S$ )
assumes app2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma y e x S . I(\Gamma, Lam[y]. e, Arg x \# S) \implies P(\Gamma, Lam[y]. e, Arg x \# S)$ 
 $(\Gamma, e[y := x], S)$ 
assumes thunk:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . map-of \Gamma x = Some e \implies \neg isVal e \implies I(\Gamma, Var x, S) \implies$ 
 $P(\Gamma, Var x, S)$  ( $delete x \Gamma, e, Upd x \# S$ )
assumes lamvar:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . map-of \Gamma x = Some e \implies isVal e \implies I(\Gamma, Var x, S) \implies P$ 
 $(\Gamma, Var x, S)$  ( $((x,e) \# delete x \Gamma, e, S)$ )
assumes var2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . x \notin domA \Gamma \implies isVal e \implies I(\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S) \implies P(\Gamma, e,$ 
 $Upd x \# S)$  ( $((x,e)\# \Gamma, e, S)$ )
assumes let1:  $\bigwedge \Delta \Gamma e S . atom ` domA \Delta \#* \Gamma \implies atom ` domA \Delta \#* S \implies I(\Gamma, Let \Delta$ 
 $e, S) \implies P(\Gamma, Let \Delta e, S)$  ( $\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S$ )
assumes if1:  $\bigwedge \Gamma scrut e1 e2 S . I(\Gamma, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) \implies P(\Gamma, scrut ? e1 : e2, S)$  ( $\Gamma,$ 
 $scrut, Alts e1 e2 \# S$ )
assumes if2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma b e1 e2 S . I(\Gamma, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 \# S) \implies P(\Gamma, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 \#$ 
 $S)$  ( $\Gamma, if b then e1 else e2, S$ )
assumes refl:  $\bigwedge c . P c c$ 
assumes trans[trans]:  $\bigwedge c c' c''. c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies c' \Rightarrow^* c'' \implies P c c' \implies P c' c'' \implies P c c''$ 
shows  $P c c'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma step-induction[consumes 2, case-names app1 app2 thunk lamvar var2 let1 if1 if2 refl
trans]:
assumes  $c \Rightarrow^* c'$ 
assumes  $\neg boring-step c'$ 
assumes app1:  $\bigwedge \Gamma e x S . P(\Gamma, App e x, S)$  ( $\Gamma, e, Arg x \# S$ )
assumes app2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma y e x S . P(\Gamma, Lam[y]. e, Arg x \# S)$  ( $\Gamma, e[y := x], S$ )
assumes thunk:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . map-of \Gamma x = Some e \implies \neg isVal e \implies P(\Gamma, Var x, S)$  ( $delete$ 
 $x \Gamma, e, Upd x \# S$ )
assumes lamvar:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . map-of \Gamma x = Some e \implies isVal e \implies P(\Gamma, Var x, S)$  ( $((x,e) \#$ 
 $delete x \Gamma, e, S)$ )
assumes var2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . x \notin domA \Gamma \implies isVal e \implies P(\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S)$  ( $((x,e)\# \Gamma, e, S)$ )
assumes let1:  $\bigwedge \Delta \Gamma e S . atom ` domA \Delta \#* \Gamma \implies atom ` domA \Delta \#* S \implies P(\Gamma, Let \Delta$ 
 $e, S)$  ( $\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S$ )
assumes if1:  $\bigwedge \Gamma scrut e1 e2 S . P(\Gamma, scrut ? e1 : e2, S)$  ( $\Gamma, scrut, Alts e1 e2 \# S$ )
assumes if2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma b e1 e2 S . P(\Gamma, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 \# S)$  ( $\Gamma, if b then e1 else e2, S$ )
assumes refl:  $\bigwedge c . P c c$ 
assumes trans[trans]:  $\bigwedge c c' c''. c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies c' \Rightarrow^* c'' \implies P c c' \implies P c' c'' \implies P c c''$ 
shows  $P c c'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

### 2.2.1 Equivariance

```

lemma step-eqvt[eqvt]:  $step x y \implies step (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

### 2.2.2 Invariants

```

lemma closed-invariant:

```

invariant step closed  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma heap-upds-ok-invariant:  

  invariant step heap-upds-ok-conf  

 $\langle proof \rangle$   

end
```

## 2.3 SestoftGC

```
theory SestoftGC  

imports Sestoft  

begin  
  

inductive gc-step :: conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\Leftrightarrow_G$  50) where  

  normal:  $c \Rightarrow c' \implies c \Rightarrow_G c'$   

  | dropUpd:  $(\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S) \Rightarrow_G (\Gamma, e, S @ [Dummy x])$ 
```

```
lemmas gc-step-intros[intro] =  

  normal[OF step.intros(1)] normal[OF step.intros(2)] normal[OF step.intros(3)]  

  normal[OF step.intros(4)] normal[OF step.intros(5)] dropUpd
```

```
abbreviation gc-steps (infix  $\Leftrightarrow_{G^*}$  50) where gc-steps  $\equiv$  gc-step**  

lemmas converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp[of gc-step, OF - r-into-rtranclp, trans]
```

```
lemma var-onceI:  

  assumes map-of  $\Gamma x = Some e$   

  shows  $(\Gamma, Var x, S) \Rightarrow_{G^*} (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S @ [Dummy x])$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma normal-trans:  $c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies c \Rightarrow_{G^*} c'$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
fun to-gc-conf :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf  

  where to-gc-conf r  $(\Gamma, e, S) = (\text{restrictA } (- \text{ set } r) \Gamma, e, \text{restr-stack } (- \text{ set } r) S @ (\text{map Dummy } (\text{rev } r)))$ 
```

```
lemma restr-stack-map-Dummy[simp]: restr-stack V (map Dummy l) = map Dummy l  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma restr-stack-append[simp]: restr-stack V (l@l') = restr-stack V l @ restr-stack V l'  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma to-gc-conf-append[simp]:  

  to-gc-conf (r@r') c = to-gc-conf r (to-gc-conf r' c)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma to-gc-conf-eqE[elim!]:
  assumes to-gc-conf r c = ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)
  obtains  $\Gamma'$  S' where c = ( $\Gamma'$ , e, S') and  $\Gamma = \text{restrictA}(-\text{set } r) \Gamma'$  and S = restr-stack (-set r) S' @ map Dummy (rev r)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun safe-hd :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a option
  where safe-hd (x#-) = Some x
    | safe-hd [] = None

lemma safe-hd-None[simp]: safe-hd xs = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs = []
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation r-ok :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where r-ok r c  $\equiv$  set r  $\subseteq$  domA (fst c)  $\cup$  upds (snd (snd c))

lemma subset-bound-invariant:
  invariant step (r-ok r)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma safe-hd-restr-stack[simp]:
  Some a = safe-hd (restr-stack V (a # S))  $\longleftrightarrow$  restr-stack V (a # S) = a # restr-stack V S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sestoftUnGCStack:
  assumes heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ , S)
  obtains  $\Gamma'$  S' where
     $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
    to-gc-conf r ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ) = to-gc-conf r ( $\Gamma', e, S'$ )
     $\neg \text{isVal } e \vee \text{safe-hd } S' = \text{safe-hd}(\text{restr-stack}(-\text{set } r) S')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma perm-exI-trivial:
   $P x x \implies \exists \pi. P(\pi \cdot x) x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma upds-list-restr-stack[simp]:
  upds-list (restr-stack V S) = filter ( $\lambda x. x \in V$ ) (upds-list S)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma heap-upd-ok-to-gc-conf:
  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ , S)  $\implies$  to-gc-conf r ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ) = ( $\Gamma'', e'', S''$ )  $\implies$  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma'', S''$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma delete-restrictA-conv:
  delete x  $\Gamma = \text{restrictA}(-\{x\}) \Gamma$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma sestoftUnGCstep:
  assumes to-gc-conf  $r c \Rightarrow_G d$ 
  assumes heap-upds-ok-conf  $c$ 
  assumes closed  $c$ 
  and r-ok  $r c$ 
  shows  $\exists r' c'. c \Rightarrow^* c' \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r' c' \wedge \text{r-ok } r' c'$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sestoftUnGC:
  assumes  $(\text{to-gc-conf } r c) \Rightarrow_G^* d$  and heap-upds-ok-conf  $c$  and closed  $c$  and r-ok  $r c$ 
  shows  $\exists r' c'. c \Rightarrow^* c' \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r' c' \wedge \text{r-ok } r' c'$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dummies-unchanged-invariant:
  invariant step  $(\lambda (\Gamma, e, S). \text{dummies } S = V)$  (is invariant - ?I)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sestoftUnGC':
  assumes  $([], e, []) \Rightarrow_G^* (\Gamma, e', \text{map Dummy } r)$ 
  assumes isValid  $e'$ 
  assumes fv  $e = (\{\} :: \text{var set})$ 
  shows  $\exists \Gamma''. ([], e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', []) \wedge \Gamma = \text{restrictA } (- \text{ set } r) \Gamma'' \wedge \text{set } r \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma''$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

end

## 2.4 BalancedTraces

```
theory BalancedTraces
imports Main
begin

locale traces =
  fixes step :: ' $c \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ' (infix ' $\Rightarrow^*$ ' 50)
begin

abbreviation steps (infix ' $\Rightarrow^*$ ' 50) where  $\text{steps} \equiv \text{step}^{**}$ 

inductive trace :: ' $c \Rightarrow c \text{ list} \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ' where
  trace-nil[iff]: trace  $\text{final } [] \text{ final}$ 
| trace-cons[intro]: trace  $\text{conf}' T \text{ final} \implies \text{conf} \Rightarrow \text{conf}' \implies \text{trace conf } (\text{conf}' \# T) \text{ final}$ 

inductive-cases trace-consE: trace  $\text{conf } (\text{conf}' \# T) \text{ final}$ 

lemma trace-induct-final[consumes 1, case-names trace-nil trace-cons]:
```

```

trace x1 x2 final  $\implies P \text{ final} \sqcap \text{final} \implies (\bigwedge \text{conf}' T \text{ conf. trace conf' T final} \implies P \text{ conf' T final} \implies \text{conf} \Rightarrow \text{conf}' \implies P \text{ conf (conf' \# T) final} \implies P \text{ x1 x2 final}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma build-trace:
 $c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies \exists T. \text{trace } c \text{ T } c'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma destruct-trace:  $\text{trace } c \text{ T } c' \implies c \Rightarrow^* c'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma traceWhile:
assumes  $\text{trace } c_1 \text{ T } c_4$ 
assumes  $P \text{ c}_1$ 
assumes  $\neg P \text{ c}_4$ 
obtains  $T_1 \text{ c}_2 \text{ c}_3 \text{ T}_2$ 
where  $T = T_1 @ c_3 \# T_2$  and  $\text{trace } c_1 \text{ T}_1 \text{ c}_2$  and  $\forall x \in \text{set } T_1. P x$  and  $P \text{ c}_2$  and  $c_2 \Rightarrow c_3$  and  $\neg P \text{ c}_3$  and  $\text{trace } c_3 \text{ T}_2 \text{ c}_4$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma traces-list-all:
 $\text{trace } c \text{ T } c' \implies P \text{ c'} \implies (\bigwedge c \text{ c'. } c \Rightarrow c' \implies P \text{ c'} \implies P \text{ c}) \implies (\forall x \in \text{set } T. P x) \wedge P \text{ c}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma trace-nil[simp]:  $\text{trace } c \sqcap \text{c'} \longleftrightarrow c = c'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

definition extends :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\lesssim$  50) where
 $S \lesssim S' = (\exists S''. S' = S'' @ S)$ 

lemma extends-refl[simp]:  $S \lesssim S$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma extends-cons[simp]:  $S \lesssim x \# S$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma extends-append[simp]:  $S \lesssim L @ S$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma extends-not-cons[simp]:  $\neg(x \# S) \lesssim S$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma extends-trans[trans]:  $S \lesssim S' \implies S' \lesssim S'' \implies S \lesssim S''$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

locale balance-trace = traces +
fixes stack :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  's list
assumes one-step-only:  $c \Rightarrow c' \implies (\text{stack } c) = (\text{stack } c') \vee (\exists x. \text{stack } c' = x \# \text{stack } c) \vee (\exists x. \text{stack } c = x \# \text{stack } c')$ 
begin

inductive bal :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
 $\text{balI[intro]}: \text{trace } c \text{ T } c' \implies \forall c' \in \text{set } T. \text{stack } c \lesssim \text{stack } c' \implies \text{stack } c' = \text{stack } c \implies \text{bal } c \text{ T } c'$ 

inductive-cases balE:  $\text{bal } c \text{ T } c'$ 
```

```

lemma bal-nil[simp]: bal c [] c'  $\longleftrightarrow$  c = c'  

  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bal-stackD: bal c T c'  $\implies$  stack c' = stack c ⟨proof⟩

lemma stack-passes-lower-bound:  

  assumes c3  $\Rightarrow$  c4  

  assumes stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack c3  

  assumes  $\neg$  stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack c4  

  shows stack c3 = stack c2 and stack c4 = tl (stack c2)  

  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bal-consE:  

  assumes bal c1 (c2 # T) c5  

  and c2: stack c2 = s # stack c1  

  obtains T1 c3 c4 T2  

  where T = T1 @ c4 # T2 and bal c2 T1 c3 and c3  $\Rightarrow$  c4 bal c4 T2 c5  

  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

## 2.5 SestoftCorrect

```

theory SestoftCorrect
imports BalancedTraces Launchbury.Launchbury Sestoft
begin

lemma lemma-2:  

  assumes  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z$   

  and  $fv(\Gamma, e, S) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Gamma$   

  shows  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, S)$   

  ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym trace = conf list

fun stack :: conf  $\Rightarrow$  stack where stack ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ) = S

interpretation traces step⟨proof⟩

abbreviation trace-syn ( $\cdot \Rightarrow^* \cdot$  [50,50,50] 50) where trace-syn  $\equiv$  trace

lemma conf-trace-induct-final[consumes 1, case-names trace-nil trace-cons]:
```

```

 $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* T \text{ final} \implies (\bigwedge \Gamma e S. \text{final} = (\Gamma, e, S)) \implies P \Gamma e S \sqsubseteq (\Gamma, e, S) \implies (\bigwedge \Gamma e S T$ 
 $\Gamma' e' S'. (\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* T \text{ final} \implies P \Gamma' e' S' T \text{ final} \implies (\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma', e', S') \implies P \Gamma e S$ 
 $((\Gamma', e', S') \# T) \text{ final} \implies P \Gamma e S T \text{ final}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**interpretation** *balance-trace step stack*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *bal-syn* ( $\langle \cdot \rangle \Rightarrow^{b*} \cdot \rightarrow [50, 50, 50] \cdot 50$ ) **where** *bal-syn*  $\equiv$  *bal*

**lemma** *isVal-stops*:

```

assumes isVal e
assumes  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^{b*} T (\Delta, z, S)$ 
shows  $T = []$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *Ball-subst[simp]*:

```

 $(\forall p \in \text{set } (\Gamma[y::h=x]). f p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall p \in \text{set } \Gamma. \text{case } p \text{ of } (z, e) \Rightarrow f(z, e[y::=x]))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *lemma-3*:

```

assumes  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^{b*} T (\Delta, z, S)$ 
assumes isVal z
shows  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_{\text{upds-list}} S \Delta : z$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *dummy-stack-extended*:

```

set  $S \subseteq \text{Dummy} ` \text{UNIV} \implies x \notin \text{Dummy} ` \text{UNIV} \implies (S \lesssim x \# S') \longleftrightarrow S \lesssim S'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** [*simp*]:  $\text{Arg } x \notin \text{range } \text{Dummy} \quad \text{Upd } x \notin \text{range } \text{Dummy} \quad \text{Alts } e_1 e_2 \notin \text{range } \text{Dummy}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dummy-stack-balanced*:

```

assumes  $\text{set } S \subseteq \text{Dummy} ` \text{UNIV}$ 
assumes  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, S)$ 
obtains T where  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^{b*} T (\Delta, z, S)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**end**

## 3 Arity

### 3.1 Arity

**theory** *Arity*  
**imports** *Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes*

```

begin

typedef Arity = UNIV :: nat set
morphisms Rep-Arity to-Arity ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-Arity

instantiation Arity :: po
begin
lift-definition below-Arity :: Arity ⇒ Arity ⇒ bool is λ x y . y ≤ x⟨proof⟩

instance
⟨proof⟩
end

instance Arity :: chfin
⟨proof⟩

instance Arity :: cpo ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition inc-Arity :: Arity ⇒ Arity is Suc⟨proof⟩
lift-definition pred-Arity :: Arity ⇒ Arity is (λ x . x - 1)⟨proof⟩

lemma inc-Arity-cont[simp]: cont inc-Arity
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-Arity-cont[simp]: cont pred-Arity
⟨proof⟩

definition inc :: Arity → Arity where
inc = (Λ x. inc-Arity x)

definition pred :: Arity → Arity where
pred = (Λ x. pred-Arity x)

lemma inc-inj[simp]: inc·n = inc·n' ↔ n = n'
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-inc[simp]: pred·(inc·n) = n
⟨proof⟩

lemma inc-below-inc[simp]: inc·a ⊑ inc·b ↔ a ⊑ b
⟨proof⟩

lemma inc-below-below-pred[elim]:
inc·a ⊑ b ⇒ a ⊑ pred · b
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Rep-Arity-inc[simp]: Rep-Arity (inc·a') = Suc (Rep-Arity a')
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation Arity :: zero
begin
lift-definition zero-Arity :: Arity is 0⟨proof⟩
instance⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation Arity :: one
begin
lift-definition one-Arity :: Arity is 1⟨proof⟩
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma one-is-inc-zero: 1 = inc·0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inc-not-0[simp]: inc·n = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pred-0[simp]: pred·0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Arity-ind: P 0  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge$  n. P n  $\implies$  P (inc·n))  $\implies$  P n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Arity-total:
  fixes x y :: Arity
  shows x ⊑ y  $\vee$  y ⊑ x
  ⟨proof⟩

instance Arity :: Finite-Join-cpo
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Arity-zero-top[simp]: (x :: Arity) ⊑ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Arity-above-top[simp]: 0 ⊑ (a :: Arity)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Arity-zero-join[simp]: (x :: Arity) ⊔ 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Arity-zero-join2[simp]: 0 ⊔ (x :: Arity) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Arity-up-zero-join[simp]: (x :: Arity⊥) ⊔ up·0 = up·0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Arity-up-zero-join2[simp]: up·0 ⊔ (x :: Arity⊥) = up·0
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma up-zero-top[simp]: x ⊑ up·(0::Arity)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma Arity-above-up-top[simp]: up·0 ⊑ (a :: Arity⊥) ←→ a = up·0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Arity-exhaust: (y = 0 ⇒ P) ⇒ (¬x. y = inc · x ⇒ P) ⇒ P
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 3.2 AEnv

```

theory AEnv
imports Arity Launchbury.Vars Launchbury.Env
begin

```

```

type-synonym AEnv = var ⇒ Arity⊥

```

```

end

```

### 3.3 Arity-Nominal

```

theory Arity—Nominal
imports Arity Launchbury.Nominal—HOLCF
begin

```

```

lemma join-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (x ⊒ (y :: 'a :: {Finite-Join-cpo, cont-pt})) = (π · x) ⊒ (π · y)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

instantiation Arity :: pure
begin
  definition p · (a::Arity) = a
instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

instance Arity :: cont-pt ⟨proof⟩
instance Arity :: pure-cont-pt ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

### 3.4 ArityStack

```
theory ArityStack
imports Arity SestoftConf
begin

fun Astack :: stack ⇒ Arity
where Astack [] = 0
| Astack (Arg x # S) = inc·(Astack S)
| Astack (Alts e1 e2 # S) = 0
| Astack (Upd x # S) = 0
| Astack (Dummy x # S) = 0

lemma Astack-restr-stack-below:
  Astack (restr-stack V S) ⊑ Astack S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Astack-map-Dummy[simp]:
  Astack (map Dummy l) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Astack-append-map-Dummy[simp]:
  Astack S' = 0 ⟹ Astack (S @ S') = Astack S
  ⟨proof⟩

end
```

## 4 Eta-Expansion

### 4.1 EtaExpansion

```
theory EtaExpansion
imports Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.Substitution
begin

definition fresh-var :: exp ⇒ var where
  fresh-var e = (SOME v. v ∉ fv e)

lemma fresh-var-not-free:
  fresh-var e ∉ fv e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-var-fresh[simp]:
  atom (fresh-var e) # e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-var-subst[simp]:
```

```

e[fresh-var e::=x] = e
⟨proof⟩

fun eta-expand :: nat ⇒ exp ⇒ exp where
  eta-expand 0 e = e
| eta-expand (Suc n) e = (Lam [fresh-var e]. eta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))

lemma eta-expand-eqvt[eqvt]:
  π • (eta-expand n e) = eta-expand (π • n) (π • e)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-eta-expand[simp]: a # eta-expand n e ←→ a # e
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-eta-expand: (eta-expand n e)[x ::= y] = eta-expand n (e[x ::= y])
⟨proof⟩

lemma isLam-eta-expand:
  isLam e ⇒ isLam (eta-expand n e) and n > 0 ⇒ isLam (eta-expand n e)
⟨proof⟩

lemma isVal-eta-expand:
  isVal e ⇒ isVal (eta-expand n e) and n > 0 ⇒ isVal (eta-expand n e)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 4.2 EtaExpansionSafe

```

theory EtaExpansionSafe
imports EtaExpansion Sestoft
begin

theorem eta-expansion-safe:
  assumes set T ⊆ range Arg
  shows (Γ, eta-expand (length T) e, T@S) ⇒* (Γ, e, T@S)
⟨proof⟩

fun arg-prefix :: stack ⇒ nat where
  arg-prefix [] = 0
| arg-prefix (Arg x # S) = Suc (arg-prefix S)
| arg-prefix (Alts e1 e2 # S) = 0
| arg-prefix (Upd x # S) = 0
| arg-prefix (Dummy x # S) = 0

theorem eta-expansion-safe':
  assumes n ≤ arg-prefix S
  shows (Γ, eta-expand n e, S) ⇒* (Γ, e, S)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

### 4.3 Transform Tools

```
theory TransformTools
imports Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.Substitution Launchbury.Env
begin

default-sort type

fun lift-transform :: ('a::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp) ⇒ ('a⊥ ⇒ exp ⇒ exp)
  where lift-transform t Ibottom e = e
    | lift-transform t (Iup a) e = t a e

lemma lift-transform-simps[simp]:
  lift-transform t ⊥ e = e
  lift-transform t (up·a) e = t a e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-transform-eqvt[eqvt]: π · lift-transform t a e = lift-transform (π · t) (π · a) (π · e)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lift-transform-fun-cong[fundef-cong]:
  (A a. t1 a e1 = t2 a e1) ⟹ a1 = a2 ⟹ e1 = e2 ⟹ lift-transform t1 a1 e1 = lift-transform t2 a2 e2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-lift-transform:
  assumes A a. (t a e)[x ::= y] = t a (e[x ::= y])
  shows (lift-transform t a e)[x ::= y] = lift-transform t a (e[x ::= y])
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  map-transform :: ('a::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp) ⇒ (var ⇒ 'a⊥) ⇒ heap ⇒ heap
  where map-transform t ae = map-ran (λ x e . lift-transform t (ae x) e)

lemma map-transform-eqvt[eqvt]: π · map-transform t ae = map-transform (π · t) (π · ae)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma domA-map-transform[simp]: domA (map-transform t ae Γ) = domA Γ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-map-transform[simp]: length (map-transform t ae xs) = length xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-transform-delete:
```

*map-transform t ae (delete x  $\Gamma$ ) = delete x (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ )*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-transform-restrA*:  
*map-transform t ae (restrictA S  $\Gamma$ ) = restrictA S (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ )*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *delete-map-transform-env-delete*:  
*delete x (map-transform t (env-delete x ae)  $\Gamma$ ) = delete x (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ )*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-transform-Nil*[simp]:  
*map-transform t ae [] = []*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-transform-Cons*:  
*map-transform t ae ((x,e) #  $\Gamma$ ) = (x, lift-transform t (ae x) e) # (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ )*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-transform-append*:  
*map-transform t ae ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ ) = map-transform t ae  $\Delta$  @ map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$*   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-transform-fundef-cong*[fundef-cong]:  
 $(\bigwedge x e a. (x,e) \in \text{set } m1 \implies t1 a e = t2 a e) \implies ae1 = ae2 \implies m1 = m2 \implies \text{map-transform } t1 ae1 m1 = \text{map-transform } t2 ae2 m2$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-transform-cong*:  
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } m1 \implies ae x = ae' x) \implies m1 = m2 \implies \text{map-transform } t ae m1 = \text{map-transform } t ae' m2$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *map-of-map-transform*: *map-of (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ ) x = map-option (lift-transform t (ae x)) (map-of  $\Gamma$  x)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *supp-map-transform-step*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x e a. (x, e) \in \text{set } \Gamma \implies \text{supp } (t a e) \subseteq \text{supp } e$   
**shows**  $\text{supp } (\text{map-transform } t ae \Gamma) \subseteq \text{supp } \Gamma$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *subst-map-transform*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x' e a. (x', e) : \text{set } \Gamma \implies (t a e)[x ::= y] = t a (e[x ::= y])$   
**shows**  $(\text{map-transform } t ae \Gamma)[x ::= y] = \text{map-transform } t ae (\Gamma[x ::= y])$   
*(proof)*

**locale** *supp-bounded-transform* =  
**fixes** *trans* :: 'a::cont-pt  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp

```

assumes supp-trans: supp (trans a e) ⊆ supp e
begin
  lemma supp-lift-transform: supp (lift-transform trans a e) ⊆ supp e
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma supp-map-transform: supp (map-transform trans ae Γ) ⊆ supp Γ
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma fresh-transform[intro]: a # e ⇒ a # trans n e
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma fresh-star-transform[intro]: a #* e ⇒ a #* trans n e
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma fresh-map-transform[intro]: a # Γ ⇒ a # map-transform trans ae Γ
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma fresh-star-map-transform[intro]: a #* Γ ⇒ a #* map-transform trans ae Γ
    ⟨proof⟩
end

end

```

## 4.4 ArityEtaExpansion

```

theory ArityEtaExpansion
imports EtaExpansion Arity-Nominal TransformTools
begin

lift-definition Aeta-expand :: Arity ⇒ exp ⇒ exp is eta-expand⟨proof⟩

lemma Aeta-expand-eqvt[eqvt]: π • Aeta-expand a e = Aeta-expand (π • a) (π • e)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Aeta-expand-0[simp]: Aeta-expand 0 e = e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Aeta-expand-inc[simp]: Aeta-expand (inc•n) e = (Lam [fresh-var e]. Aeta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-Aeta-expand:
  (Aeta-expand n e)[x:=y] = Aeta-expand n e[x:=y]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma isLam-Aeta-expand: isLam e ⇒ isLam (Aeta-expand a e)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma isVal-Aeta-expand: isVal e ==> isVal (Aeta-expand a e)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Aeta-expand-fresh[simp]: a # Aeta-expand n e = a # e ⟨proof⟩
lemma Aeta-expand-fresh-star[simp]: a #* Aeta-expand n e = a #* e ⟨proof⟩

interpretation supp-bounded-transform Aeta-expand
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 4.5 ArityEtaExpansionSafe

```

theory ArityEtaExpansionSafe
imports EtaExpansionSafe ArityStack ArityEtaExpansion
begin

lemma Aeta-expand-safe:
  assumes Astack S ⊑ a
  shows (Γ, Aeta-expand a e, S) ⇒* (Γ, e, S)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 5 Arity Analysis

### 5.1 ArityAnalysisSig

```

theory ArityAnalysisSig
imports Launchbury.Terms AEnv Arity-Nominal Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Substitution
begin

locale ArityAnalysis =
  fixes Aexp :: exp ⇒ Arity → AEnv
begin
  abbreviation Aexp-syn (⟨A_⟩)where A_a e ≡ Aexp e·a
  abbreviation Aexp-bot-syn (⟨A^⊥_⟩)
    where A^⊥_a e ≡ fup·(Aexp e)·a
end

locale ArityAnalysisHeap =
  fixes Aheap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → AEnv

locale EdomArityAnalysis = ArityAnalysis +
  assumes Aexp-edom: edom (A_a e) ⊆ fv e

```

```

begin

lemma fup-Aexp-edom: edom ( $\mathcal{A}^\perp_a e$ )  $\subseteq fv e$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Aexp-fresh-bot[simp]: assumes atom v  $\notin e$  shows  $\mathcal{A}_a e v = \perp$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
end

locale ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt = ArityAnalysisHeap +
  assumes Aheap-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot Aheap = Aheap$ 

end

```

## 5.2 ArityAnalysisAbinds

```

theory ArityAnalysisAbinds
imports ArityAnalysisSig
begin

```

```

context ArityAnalysis
begin

```

### 5.2.1 Lifting arity analysis to recursive groups

```

definition ABInd :: var  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  (AEnv  $\rightarrow$  AEnv)
  where ABInd v e =  $(\Lambda ae. fup \cdot (Aexp e) \cdot (ae v))$ 

```

```

lemma ABInd-eq[simp]: ABInd v e  $\cdot ae = \mathcal{A}^\perp_{ae} v e$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun ABinds :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  (AEnv  $\rightarrow$  AEnv)
  where ABinds [] =  $\perp$ 
    | ABinds ((v,e)#binds) = ABInd v e  $\sqcup$  ABinds (delete v binds)

```

```

lemma ABinds-strict[simp]: ABinds  $\Gamma \cdot \perp = \perp$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Abinds-reorder1: map-of  $\Gamma v = Some e \implies$  ABinds  $\Gamma = ABInd v e \sqcup ABinds (\text{delete } v \Gamma)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ABInd-below-ABinds: map-of  $\Gamma v = Some e \implies ABInd v e \sqsubseteq ABinds \Gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Abinds-reorder: map-of  $\Gamma = map-of \Delta \implies$  ABinds  $\Gamma = ABinds \Delta$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *Abinds-env-cong*:  $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{dom}A \Delta \implies ae x = ae' x) \implies ABinds \Delta \cdot ae = ABinds \Delta \cdot ae'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Abinds-env-restr-cong*:  $ae f|` \text{dom}A \Delta = ae' f|` \text{dom}A \Delta \implies ABinds \Delta \cdot ae = ABinds \Delta \cdot ae'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ABinds-env-restr[simp]*:  $ABinds \Delta \cdot (ae f|` \text{dom}A \Delta) = ABinds \Delta \cdot ae$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Abinds-join-fresh*:  $ae' ` (\text{dom}A \Delta) \subseteq \{\perp\} \implies ABinds \Delta \cdot (ae \sqcup ae') = (ABinds \Delta \cdot ae)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ABinds-delete-bot*:  $ae x = \perp \implies ABinds (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae = ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ABinds-restr-fresh*:  
**assumes** *atom` S #\* Γ*  
**shows**  $ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae f|` (-S) = ABinds \Gamma \cdot (ae f|` (-S)) f|` (-S)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ABinds-restr*:  
**assumes** *domA Γ ⊆ S*  
**shows**  $ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae f|` S = ABinds \Gamma \cdot (ae f|` S) f|` S$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ABinds-restr-subst*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x' e. (x', e) \in \text{set } \Gamma \implies Aexp e[x := y] \cdot ae f|` S = Aexp e \cdot ae f|` S$   
**assumes**  $x \notin S$   
**assumes**  $y \notin S$   
**assumes** *domA Γ ⊆ S*  
**shows**  $ABinds \Gamma[x := y] \cdot ae f|` S = ABinds \Gamma \cdot (ae f|` S) f|` S$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Abinds-append-disjoint*:  $\text{dom}A \Delta \cap \text{dom}A \Gamma = \{\} \implies ABinds (\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot ae = ABinds \Delta \cdot ae \sqcup ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ABinds-restr-subset*:  $S \subseteq S' \implies ABinds (\text{restrictA } S \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqsubseteq ABinds (\text{restrictA } S' \Gamma) \cdot ae$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ABinds-restrict-edom*:  $ABinds (\text{restrictA } (\text{edom } ae) \Gamma) \cdot ae = ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ABinds-restrict-below*:  $ABinds (\text{restrictA } S \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqsubseteq ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$   
*(proof)*

```

lemma ABinds-delete-below: ABinds (delete x Γ)·ae ⊑ ABinds Γ·ae
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma ABind-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (ArityAnalysis.ABind Aexp v e) = ArityAnalysis.ABind (π · Aexp)
(π · v) (π · e)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ABinds-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (ArityAnalysis.ABinds Aexp Γ) = ArityAnalysis.ABinds (π · Aexp) (π · Γ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Abinds-cong[fundef-cong]:
  [ (Λ e. e ∈ snd ` set heap2 ⇒ aexp1 e = aexp2 e) ; heap1 = heap2 ]
    ⇒ ArityAnalysis.ABinds aexp1 heap1 = ArityAnalysis.ABinds aexp2 heap2
  ⟨proof⟩

context EdomArityAnalysis
begin
  lemma fup-Aexp-lookup-fresh: atom v # e ⇒ (fup·(Aexp e)·a) v = ⊥
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma edom-AnalBinds: edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ⊆ fv Γ
  ⟨proof⟩
end

end

```

### 5.3 ArityAnalysisSpec

```

theory ArityAnalysisSpec
imports ArityAnalysisAbinds
begin

locale SubstArityAnalysis = EdomArityAnalysis +
  assumes Aexp-subst-restr:  $x \notin S \Rightarrow y \notin S \Rightarrow (Aexp e[x:=y] \cdot a) f|` S = (Aexp e \cdot a) f|` S$ 

locale ArityAnalysisSafe = SubstArityAnalysis +
  assumes Aexp-Var: up · n ⊑ (Aexp (Var x) · n) x
  assumes Aexp-App: Aexp e · (inc · n) ⊑ esing x · (up · 0) ⊑ Aexp (App e x) · n
  assumes Aexp-Lam: env-delete y (Aexp e · (pred · n)) ⊑ Aexp (Lam [y]. e) · n
  assumes Aexp-IfThenElse: Aexp scrut · 0 ⊑ Aexp e1 · a ⊑ Aexp e2 · a ⊑ Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) · a

locale ArityAnalysisHeapSafe = ArityAnalysisSafe + ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt +
  assumes edom-Aheap: edom (Aheap Γ e · a) ⊆ domA Γ

```

```

assumes Aheap-subst:  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies y \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{Aheap } \Gamma[x::h=y] e[x ::= y] = \text{Aheap } \Gamma e$ 

locale ArityAnalysisLetSafe = ArityAnalysisHeapSafe +
assumes Aexp-Let: ABinds  $\Gamma \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \sqcup \text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp } (\text{Let } \Gamma e) \cdot a$ 

locale ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard = ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
assumes Aheap-heap3:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 

context SubstArityAnalysis
begin
lemma Aexp-subst-upd:  $(\text{Aexp } e[y ::= x] \cdot n) \sqsubseteq (\text{Aexp } e \cdot n)(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$ 
  <proof>
lemma Aexp-subst:  $\text{Aexp } (e[y ::= x]) \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{env-delete } y ((\text{Aexp } e) \cdot a) \sqcup \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot 0)$ 
  <proof>
end

context ArityAnalysisSafe
begin

lemma Aexp-Var-singleton:  $\text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot n) \sqsubseteq \text{Aexp } (\text{Var } x) \cdot n$ 
  <proof>

lemma fup-Aexp-Var:  $\text{esing } x \cdot n \sqsubseteq \text{fup} \cdot (\text{Aexp } (\text{Var } x)) \cdot n$ 
  <proof>
end

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin
lemma Aheap-nonrec:
  assumes nonrec  $\Delta$ 
  shows  $\text{Aexp } e \cdot a f \mid` \text{domA } \Delta \sqsubseteq \text{Aheap } \Delta e \cdot a$ 
  <proof>
end

end

```

## 5.4 TrivialArityAnal

```

theory TrivialArityAnal
imports ArityAnalysisSpec Launchbury.Env–Nominal
begin

definition Trivial-Aexp :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  AEnv
  where Trivial-Aexp  $e = (\Lambda n. (\lambda x. \text{up} \cdot 0) f \mid` fv e)$ 

```

```

lemma Trivial-Aexp-simp: Trivial-Aexp e · n = ( $\lambda x. up \cdot 0$ ) f|‘ fv e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edom-Trivial-Aexp[simp]: edom (Trivial-Aexp e · n) = fv e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Trivial-Aexp-eq[iff]: Trivial-Aexp e · n = Trivial-Aexp e' · n'  $\longleftrightarrow$  fv e = (fv e' :: var set)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma below-Trivial-Aexp[simp]: (ae  $\sqsubseteq$  Trivial-Aexp e · n)  $\longleftrightarrow$  edom ae  $\subseteq$  fv e
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation ArityAnalysis Trivial-Aexp⟨proof⟩
interpretation EdomArityAnalysis Trivial-Aexp
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation ArityAnalysisSafe Trivial-Aexp
  ⟨proof⟩

definition Trivial-Aheap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  AEnv where
  Trivial-Aheap  $\Gamma$  e = ( $\Lambda a. (\lambda x. up \cdot 0) f|‘ domA \Gamma$ )

lemma Trivial-Aheap-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (Trivial\text{-}Aheap \Gamma e) = Trivial\text{-}Aheap (\pi \cdot \Gamma) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Trivial-Aheap-simp: Trivial-Aheap  $\Gamma$  e · a = ( $\lambda x. up \cdot 0$ ) f|‘ domA  $\Gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Trivial-fup-Aexp-below-fv: fup · (Trivial-Aexp e) · a  $\sqsubseteq$  ( $\lambda x. up \cdot 0$ ) f|‘ fv e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Trivial-Abinds-below-fv: ABinds  $\Gamma$  · ae  $\sqsubseteq$  ( $\lambda x. up \cdot 0$ ) f|‘ fv  $\Gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation ArityAnalysisLetSafe Trivial-Aexp Trivial-Aheap
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 5.5 ArityAnalysisStack

```

theory ArityAnalysisStack
imports SestoftConf ArityAnalysisSig
begin

context ArityAnalysis

```

```

begin
  fun AEstack :: Arity list  $\Rightarrow$  stack  $\Rightarrow$  AEnv
    where
      AEstack - [] =  $\perp$ 
      | AEstack (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = Aexp e1·a  $\sqcup$  Aexp e2·a  $\sqcup$  AEstack as S
      | AEstack as (Upd x # S) = esing x·(up·0)  $\sqcup$  AEstack as S
      | AEstack as (Arg x # S) = esing x·(up·0)  $\sqcup$  AEstack as S
      | AEstack as (- # S) = AEstack as S
  end

  context EdomArityAnalysis
  begin
    lemma edom-AEstack: edom (AEstack as S)  $\subseteq$  fv S
       $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  end

  end

```

## 5.6 ArityAnalysisFix

```

theory ArityAnalysisFix
imports ArityAnalysisSig ArityAnalysisAbinds
begin

  context ArityAnalysis
  begin

    definition Afix :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  (AEnv  $\rightarrow$  AEnv)
      where Afix  $\Gamma$  = ( $\Lambda$  ae. ( $\mu$  ae'. ABinds  $\Gamma$  · ae'  $\sqcup$  ae))

    lemma Afix-eq: Afix  $\Gamma$ ·ae = ( $\mu$  ae'. (ABinds  $\Gamma$ ·ae')  $\sqcup$  ae)
       $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma Afix-strict[simp]: Afix  $\Gamma$ · $\perp$  =  $\perp$ 
       $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma Afix-least-below: ABinds  $\Gamma$  · ae'  $\sqsubseteq$  ae'  $\implies$  ae  $\sqsubseteq$  ae'  $\implies$  Afix  $\Gamma$  · ae  $\sqsubseteq$  ae'
       $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma Afix-unroll: Afix  $\Gamma$ ·ae = ABinds  $\Gamma$  · (Afix  $\Gamma$ ·ae)  $\sqcup$  ae
       $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma Abinds-below-Afix: ABinds  $\Delta$   $\sqsubseteq$  Afix  $\Delta$ 
       $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma Afix-above-arg: ae  $\sqsubseteq$  Afix  $\Gamma$  · ae
       $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma Abinds-Afix-below[simp]: ABinds  $\Gamma$ ·(Afix  $\Gamma$ ·ae)  $\sqsubseteq$  Afix  $\Gamma$ ·ae
  end
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Afix-reorder*:  $map\text{-}of \Gamma = map\text{-}of \Delta \implies Afix \Gamma = Afix \Delta$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Afix-repeat-singleton*:  $(\mu xa. Afix \Gamma \cdot (esing x \cdot (n \sqcup xa x) \sqcup ae)) = Afix \Gamma \cdot (esing x \cdot n \sqcup ae)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Afix-join-fresh*:  $ae' \cdot (domA \Delta) \subseteq \{\perp\} \implies Afix \Delta \cdot (ae \sqcup ae') = (Afix \Delta \cdot ae) \sqcup ae'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Afix-restr-fresh*:  
**assumes**  $atom ' S \nparallel \Gamma$   
**shows**  $Afix \Gamma \cdot ae f|' (-S) = Afix \Gamma \cdot (ae f|' (-S)) f|' (-S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Afix-restr*:  
**assumes**  $domA \Gamma \subseteq S$   
**shows**  $Afix \Gamma \cdot ae f|' S = Afix \Gamma \cdot (ae f|' S) f|' S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Afix-restr-subst'*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x' e a. (x', e) \in set \Gamma \implies Aexp e[x:=y] \cdot a f|' S = Aexp e \cdot a f|' S$   
**assumes**  $x \notin S$   
**assumes**  $y \notin S$   
**assumes**  $domA \Gamma \subseteq S$   
**shows**  $Afix \Gamma[x:h=y] \cdot ae f|' S = Afix \Gamma \cdot (ae f|' S) f|' S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Afix-subst-approx*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge v n. v \in domA \Gamma \implies Aexp (\text{the} (map\text{-}of \Gamma v))[y:=x] \cdot n \sqsubseteq (Aexp (\text{the} (map\text{-}of \Gamma v)) \cdot n)(y := \perp, x := up \cdot 0)$   
**assumes**  $x \notin domA \Gamma$   
**assumes**  $y \notin domA \Gamma$   
**shows**  $Afix \Gamma[y:h=x] \cdot (ae(y := \perp, x := up \cdot 0)) \sqsubseteq (Afix \Gamma \cdot ae)(y := \perp, x := up \cdot 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** *Afix-eqvt[eqvt]*:  $\pi \cdot (ArityAnalysis.Afix Aexp \Gamma) = ArityAnalysis.Afix (\pi \cdot Aexp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma Afix-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd} \cdot \text{set heap2} \implies \text{aexp1 } e = \text{aexp2 } e); \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{ArityAnalysis.Afix aexp1 heap1} = \text{ArityAnalysis.Afix aexp2 heap2}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context EdomArityAnalysis
begin

lemma Afix-edom: edom (Afix  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ )  $\subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma \cup \text{edom } ae$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ABinds-lookup-fresh:
  atom  $v \notin \Gamma \implies (\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) v = \perp$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Afix-lookup-fresh:
  assumes atom  $v \notin \Gamma$ 
  shows (Afix  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ )  $v = ae$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Afix-comp2join-fresh:
  atom  $'(\text{domA } \Delta) \#* \Gamma \implies \text{ABinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot ae) = \text{ABinds } \Delta \cdot ae$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Afix-append-fresh:
  assumes atom  $'\text{domA } \Delta \#* \Gamma$ 
  shows Afix  $(\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot ae = \text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Afix } \Delta \cdot ae)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Afix-e-to-heap:
  Afix  $(\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{Aexp } e) \cdot n \sqcup ae) \sqsubseteq \text{Afix } ((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot (\text{esing } x \cdot n \sqcup ae)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Afix-e-to-heap':
  Afix  $(\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot n) \sqsubseteq \text{Afix } ((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot (\text{esing } x \cdot (up \cdot n))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

end

```

## 5.7 ArityAnalysisFixProps

```

theory ArityAnalysisFixProps
imports ArityAnalysisFix ArityAnalysisSpec

```

```

begin

context SubstArityAnalysis
begin

lemma Afix-restr-subst:
  assumes x ∉ S
  assumes y ∉ S
  assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
  shows Afix Γ[x::h=y]·ae f|` S = Afix Γ·(ae f|` S) f|` S
  ⟨proof⟩
end

end

```

## 6 Arity Transformation

### 6.1 AbstractTransform

```

theory AbstractTransform
imports Launchbury.Terms TransformTools
begin

locale AbstractAnalProp =
  fixes PropApp :: 'a ⇒ 'a::cont-pt
  fixes PropLam :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes AnalLet :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b::cont-pt
  fixes PropLetBody :: 'b ⇒ 'a
  fixes PropLetHeap :: 'b ⇒ var ⇒ 'a⊥
  fixes PropIfScrut :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  assumes PropApp-eqvt: π · PropApp ≡ PropApp
  assumes PropLam-eqvt: π · PropLam ≡ PropLam
  assumes AnalLet-eqvt: π · AnalLet ≡ AnalLet
  assumes PropLetBody-eqvt: π · PropLetBody ≡ PropLetBody
  assumes PropLetHeap-eqvt: π · PropLetHeap ≡ PropLetHeap
  assumes PropIfScrut-eqvt: π · PropIfScrut ≡ PropIfScrut

locale AbstractAnalPropSubst = AbstractAnalProp +
  assumes AnalLet-subst: x ∉ domA Γ ⇒ y ∉ domA Γ ⇒ AnalLet (Γ[x::h=y]) (e[x::=y]) a
  = AnalLet Γ e a

locale AbstractTransform = AbstractAnalProp +
  constrains AnalLet :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ 'a::pure-cont-pt ⇒ 'b::cont-pt
  fixes TransVar :: 'a ⇒ var ⇒ exp
  fixes TransApp :: 'a ⇒ exp ⇒ var ⇒ exp
  fixes TransLam :: 'a ⇒ var ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
  fixes TransLet :: 'b ⇒ heap ⇒ exp ⇒ exp

```

```

assumes TransVar-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot \text{TransVar} = \text{TransVar}$ 
assumes TransApp-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot \text{TransApp} = \text{TransApp}$ 
assumes TransLam-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot \text{TransLam} = \text{TransLam}$ 
assumes TransLet-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot \text{TransLet} = \text{TransLet}$ 
assumes SuppTransLam:  $\text{supp}(\text{TransLam } a \ v \ e) \subseteq \text{supp } e - \text{supp } v$ 
assumes SuppTransLet:  $\text{supp}(\text{TransLet } b \ \Gamma \ e) \subseteq \text{supp}(\Gamma, e) - \text{atom}^{\text{'}} \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
begin
  nominal-function transform where
    transform a (App e x) = TransApp a (transform (PropApp a) e) x
    | transform a (Lam [x]. e) = TransLam a x (transform (PropLam a) e)
    | transform a (Var x) = TransVar a x
    | transform a (Let  $\Gamma$  e) = TransLet (AnalLet  $\Gamma$  e a)
      (map-transform transform (PropLetHeap (AnalLet  $\Gamma$  e a))  $\Gamma$ )
      (transform (PropLetBody (AnalLet  $\Gamma$  e a)) e)
    | transform a (Bool b) = (Bool b)
    | transform a (scrut ? e1 : e2) = (transform (PropIfScrut a) scrut ? transform a e1 : transform a e2)
  <proof>
  nominal-termination <proof>

  lemma supp-transform:  $\text{supp}(\text{transform } a \ e) \subseteq \text{supp } e$ 
  <proof>

  lemma fv-transform:  $\text{fv}(\text{transform } a \ e) \subseteq \text{fv } e$ 
  <proof>

end

locale AbstractTransformSubst = AbstractTransform + AbstractAnalPropSubst +
assumes TransVar-subst:  $(\text{TransVar } a \ v)[x := y] = (\text{TransVar } a \ v[x := y])$ 
assumes TransApp-subst:  $(\text{TransApp } a \ e \ v)[x := y] = (\text{TransApp } a \ e[x := y] \ v[x := y])$ 
assumes TransLam-subst: atom v #* (x,y)  $\implies$   $(\text{TransLam } a \ v \ e)[x := y] = (\text{TransLam } a \ v[x := y] \ e[x := y])$ 
assumes TransLet-subst: atom 'domA  $\Gamma$  #* (x,y)  $\implies$   $(\text{TransLet } b \ \Gamma \ e)[x := y] = (\text{TransLet } b \ \Gamma[x := y] \ e[x := y])$ 
begin
  lemma subst-transform:  $(\text{transform } a \ e)[x := y] = \text{transform } a \ e[x := y]$ 
  <proof>
end

locale AbstractTransformBound = AbstractAnalProp + supp-bounded-transform +
constrains PropApp :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a::pure-cont-pt
constrains PropLetHeap :: 'b::cont-pt  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  'a $\perp$ 
constrains trans :: 'c::cont-pt  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp
fixes PropLetHeapTrans :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  'c $\perp$ 
assumes PropLetHeapTrans-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot \text{PropLetHeapTrans} = \text{PropLetHeapTrans}$ 
assumes TransBound-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot \text{trans} = \text{trans}$ 
begin

```

```

sublocale AbstractTransform PropApp PropLam AnalLet PropLetBody PropLetHeap PropIf-
Scrut
  ( $\lambda a. \text{Var}$ )
  ( $\lambda a. \text{App}$ )
  ( $\lambda a. \text{Terms.Lam}$ )
  ( $\lambda b \Gamma e . \text{Let} (\text{map-transform} \text{ trans} (\text{PropLetHeapTrans} b) \Gamma) e$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma isLam-transform[simp]:
  isLam (transform a e)  $\longleftrightarrow$  isLam e
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma isVal-transform[simp]:
  isVal (transform a e)  $\longleftrightarrow$  isVal e
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale AbstractTransformBoundSubst = AbstractAnalPropSubst + AbstractTransformBound +
  assumes TransBound-subst: (trans a e)[ $x:=y$ ] = trans a e[ $x:=y$ ]
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformSubst PropApp PropLam AnalLet PropLetBody PropLetHeap PropIf-
Scrut
  ( $\lambda a. \text{Var}$ )
  ( $\lambda a. \text{App}$ )
  ( $\lambda a. \text{Terms.Lam}$ )
  ( $\lambda b \Gamma e . \text{Let} (\text{map-transform} \text{ trans} (\text{PropLetHeapTrans} b) \Gamma) e$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

end

```

## 6.2 ArityTransform

```

theory ArityTransform
imports ArityAnalysisSig AbstractTransform ArityEtaExpansionSafe
begin

context ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformBound
     $\lambda a . \text{inc}\cdot a$ 
     $\lambda a . \text{pred}\cdot a$ 
     $\lambda \Delta e a . (a, \text{Aheap } \Delta e\cdot a)$ 
    fst
    snd
     $\lambda \_. 0$ 

```

*Aeta-expand*

*snd*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *transform-syn* ( $\langle T_{\cdot} \rangle$ ) **where**  $T_a \equiv transform\ a$

**lemma** *transform-simps*:

$T_a (App\ e\ x) = App\ (T_{inc\cdot a}\ e)\ x$

$T_a (Lam\ [x].\ e) = Lam\ [x].\ T_{pred\cdot a}\ e$

$T_a (Var\ x) = Var\ x$

$T_a (Let\ \Gamma\ e) = Let\ (map\text{-}transform\ Aeta\text{-}expand\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a)\ (map\text{-}transform\ (\lambda a.\ T_a)\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a)\ \Gamma))\ (T_a\ e)$

$T_a (Bool\ b) = Bool\ b$

$T_a (scrut\ ?\ e1\ :\ e2) = (T_0\ scrut\ ?\ T_a\ e1\ :\ T_a\ e2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**end**

## 7 Arity Analysis Safety (without Cardinality)

### 7.1 ArityConsistent

**theory** *ArityConsistent*

**imports** *ArityAnalysisSpec ArityStack ArityAnalysisStack*

**begin**

**context** *ArityAnalysisLetSafe*  
**begin**

**type-synonym** *astate* = (*AEnv* × *Arity* × *Arity list*)

**inductive** *stack-consistent* :: *Arity list* ⇒ *stack* ⇒ *bool*

**where**

*stack-consistent* [] []

| *Astack S ⊑ a* ⇒ *stack-consistent as S* ⇒ *stack-consistent (a#as)* (*Alts e1 e2 # S*)

| *stack-consistent as S* ⇒ *stack-consistent as (Upd x # S)*

| *stack-consistent as S* ⇒ *stack-consistent as (Arg x # S)*

**inductive-simps** *stack-consistent-foo[simp]*:

*stack-consistent* [] [] *stack-consistent (a#as)* (*Alts e1 e2 # S*) *stack-consistent as (Upd x # S)* *stack-consistent as (Arg x # S)*

**inductive-cases** [*elim!*]: *stack-consistent as (Alts e1 e2 # S)*

**inductive** *a-consistent* :: *astate* ⇒ *conf* ⇒ *bool* **where**

*a-consistentI*:

*edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S*

⇒ *Astack S ⊑ a*

$\implies (ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae \sqcup Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup AEstack as S) f \mid^c (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq ae$   
 $\implies stack-consistent as S$   
 $\implies a\text{-consistent } (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, e, S)$   
**inductive-cases** *a-consistentE*: *a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )

**lemma** *a-consistent-restrictA*:  
**assumes** *a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )  
**assumes** *edom ae*  $\subseteq V$   
**shows** *a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) (*restrictA V*  $\Gamma, e, S$ )  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-consistent-edom-subsetD*:  
*a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )  $\implies$  *edom ae*  $\subseteq domA \Gamma \cup upds S$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-consistent-stackD*:  
*a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )  $\implies$  *Astack S*  $\sqsubseteq a$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-consistent-app1*:  
*a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) ( $\Gamma, App e x, S$ )  $\implies$  *a-consistent* (*ae, inc·a, as*) ( $\Gamma, e, Arg x \# S$ )  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-consistent-app2*:  
**assumes** *a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) ( $\Gamma, (Lam [y]. e), Arg x \# S$ )  
**shows** *a-consistent* (*ae, (pred·a), as*) ( $\Gamma, e[y:=x], S$ )  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-consistent-thunk-0*:  
**assumes** *a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) ( $\Gamma, Var x, S$ )  
**assumes** *map-of*  $\Gamma x = Some e$   
**assumes** *ae x = up·0*  
**shows** *a-consistent* (*ae, 0, as*) (*delete x*  $\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S$ )  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-consistent-thunk-once*:  
**assumes** *a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) ( $\Gamma, Var x, S$ )  
**assumes** *map-of*  $\Gamma x = Some e$   
**assumes** [*simp*]: *ae x = up·u*  
**assumes** *heap-upds-ok* ( $\Gamma, S$ )  
**shows** *a-consistent* (*env-delete x ae, u, as*) (*delete x*  $\Gamma, e, S$ )  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-consistent-lamvar*:  
**assumes** *a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) ( $\Gamma, Var x, S$ )  
**assumes** *map-of*  $\Gamma x = Some e$   
**assumes** [*simp*]: *ae x = up·u*  
**shows** *a-consistent* (*ae, u, as*) ( $((x,e)\# delete x$   $\Gamma, e, S$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

**assumes**  $a\text{-consistent } (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S)$   
**shows**  $a\text{-consistent-}var_2: a\text{-consistent } (ae, a, as) ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$   
**and**  $a\text{-consistent-}UpdD: ae x = up \cdot 0a = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-consistent-let:}$

**assumes**  $a\text{-consistent } (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, Let \Delta e, S)$   
**assumes**  $atom ` domA \Delta \#* \Gamma$   
**assumes**  $atom ` domA \Delta \#* S$   
**assumes**  $edom ae \cap domA \Delta = \{\}$   
**shows**  $a\text{-consistent } (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a \sqcup ae, a, as) (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-consistent-if}_1:$

**assumes**  $a\text{-consistent } (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, scrut ? e1 : e2, S)$   
**shows**  $a\text{-consistent } (ae, 0, a \# as) (\Gamma, scrut, Alts e1 e2 \# S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-consistent-if}_2:$

**assumes**  $a\text{-consistent } (ae, a, a' \# as') (\Gamma, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 \# S)$   
**shows**  $a\text{-consistent } (ae, a', as') (\Gamma, if b then e1 else e2, S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-consistent-alts-on-stack:}$

**assumes**  $a\text{-consistent } (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 \# S)$   
**obtains**  $a' as'$  **where**  $as = a' \# as' a = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $closed\text{-}a\text{-consistent:}$

$fv e = (\{\} :: var set) \implies a\text{-consistent } (\perp, 0, []) ([] , e, [])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**end**

## 7.2 ArityTransformSafe

**theory** *ArityTransformSafe*  
**imports** *ArityTransform ArityConsistent ArityAnalysisSpec ArityEtaExpansionSafe AbstractTransform ConstOn*  
**begin**

**locale** *CardinalityArityTransformation = ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard*  
**begin**

**sublocale** *AbstractTransformBoundSubst*

```

 $\lambda a . inc \cdot a$ 
 $\lambda a . pred \cdot a$ 
 $\lambda \Delta e a . (a, Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ 
 $fst$ 
 $snd$ 
 $\lambda \_. 0$ 
Aeta-expand
 $snd$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**abbreviation** *ccTransform* **where** *ccTransform*  $\equiv$  *transform*

**lemma** *supp-transform*:  $supp (transform a e) \subseteq supp e$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *supp-bounded-transform transform*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *transform-alts* :: *Arity list*  $\Rightarrow$  *stack*  $\Rightarrow$  *stack*

**where**

$transform\text{-}alts - [] = []$

$| transform\text{-}alts (a \# as) (Alts e1 e2 \# S) = (Alts (ccTransform a e1) (ccTransform a e2)) \# transform\text{-}alts as S$

$| transform\text{-}alts as (x \# S) = x \# transform\text{-}alts as S$

**lemma** *transform-alts-Nil*[*simp*]:  $transform\text{-}alts [] S = S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Astack-transform-alts*[*simp*]:

$Astack (transform\text{-}alts as S) = Astack S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fresh-star-transform-alts*[*intro*]:  $a \sharp^* S \implies a \sharp^* transform\text{-}alts as S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *a-transform* :: *astate*  $\Rightarrow$  *conf*  $\Rightarrow$  *conf*

**where** *a-transform* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) ( $\Gamma$ , *e*, *S*) =

$(map\text{-}transform Aeta\text{-}expand ae (map\text{-}transform ccTransform ae \Gamma),$

$ccTransform a e,$

$transform\text{-}alts as S)$

**fun** *restr-conf* :: *var set*  $\Rightarrow$  *conf*  $\Rightarrow$  *conf*

**where** *restr-conf* *V* ( $\Gamma$ , *e*, *S*) = (*restrictA V*  $\Gamma$ , *e*, *restr-stack V S*)

**inductive** *consistent* :: *astate*  $\Rightarrow$  *conf*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**

*consistentI*[*intro!*]:

*a-consistent* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) ( $\Gamma$ , *e*, *S*)

$\implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies ae x = up \cdot 0)$

$\implies \text{consistent} (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, e, S)$

**inductive-cases** *consistentE*[*elim!*]: *consistent* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) ( $\Gamma$ , *e*, *S*)

```

lemma closed-consistent:
  assumes fv e = ({})::var set
  shows consistent (⊥, 0, []) ([] , e, [])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity-transform-safe:
  fixes c c'
  assumes c ⇒* c' and ¬ boring-step c' and heap-upds-ok-conf c and consistent (ae,a,as)
c
  shows ∃ ae' a' as'. consistent (ae',a',as') c' ∧ a-transform (ae,a,as) c ⇒* a-transform
(ae',a',as') c'
  ⟨proof⟩
end

end

```

## 8 Cardinality Analysis

### 8.1 Cardinality-Domain

```

theory Cardinality-Domain
imports Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils
begin

type-synonym oneShot = one
abbreviation notOneShot :: oneShot where notOneShot ≡ ONE
abbreviation oneShot :: oneShot where oneShot ≡ ⊥

type-synonym two = oneShot_⊥
abbreviation many :: two where many ≡ up·notOneShot
abbreviation once :: two where once ≡ up·oneShot
abbreviation none :: two where none ≡ ⊥

lemma many-max[simp]: a ⊑ many ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-conj: c = many ∨ c = once ∨ c = none ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-cases[case-names many once none]:
  obtains c = many | c = once | c = none ⟨proof⟩

definition two-pred where two-pred = (Λ x. if x ⊑ once then ⊥ else x)

lemma two-pred-simp: two-pred·c = (if c ⊑ once then ⊥ else c)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-pred-simps[simp]:
  two-pred·many = many

```

```

two-pred·once = none
two-pred·none = none
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma two-pred-below-arg: two-pred · f  $\sqsubseteq$  f
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma two-pred-none: two-pred·c = none  $\longleftrightarrow$  c  $\sqsubseteq$  once
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition record-call where record-call x =  $(\Lambda ce. (\lambda y. if x = y then two-pred·(ce y) else ce y))$ 

lemma record-call-simp: (record-call x · f) x' = (if x = x' then two-pred · (f x') else f x')
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma record-call[simp]: (record-call x · f) x = two-pred · (f x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma record-call-other[simp]: x' ≠ x  $\implies$  (record-call x · f) x' = f x'
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma record-call-below-arg: record-call x · f  $\sqsubseteq$  f
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition two-add :: two → two → two
where two-add =  $(\Lambda x. (\Lambda y. if x \sqsubseteq \perp then y else (if y \sqsubseteq \perp then x else many)))$ 

lemma two-add-simp: two-add·x·y = (if x \sqsubseteq \perp then y else (if y \sqsubseteq \perp then x else many))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma two-pred-two-add-once: c  $\sqsubseteq$  two-pred·(two-add·once·c)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**end**

## 8.2 CardinalityAnalysisSig

```

theory CardinalityAnalysisSig
imports Arity AEnv Cardinality-Domain SestoftConf
begin

locale CardinalityPrognosis =
  fixes prognosis :: AEnv ⇒ Arity list ⇒ Arity ⇒ conf ⇒ (var ⇒ two)

locale CardinalityHeap =
  fixes cHeap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → (var ⇒ two)

```

end

### 8.3 CardinalityAnalysisSpec

```

theory CardinalityAnalysisSpec
imports ArityAnalysisSpec CardinalityAnalysisSig ConstOn
begin

locale CardinalityPrognosisEdom = CardinalityPrognosis +
assumes edom-prognosis:
  edom (prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S)) ⊑ fv Γ ∪ fv e ∪ fv S

locale CardinalityPrognosisShape = CardinalityPrognosis +
assumes prognosis-env-cong: ae f|` domA Γ = ae' f|` domA Γ ⇒ prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, S) = prognosis ae' as u (Γ, e, S)
assumes prognosis-reorder: map-of Γ = map-of Δ ⇒ prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, S) = prognosis ae as u (Δ, e, S)
assumes prognosis-ap: const-on (prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S)) (ap S) many
assumes prognosis-upd: prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, Upd x # S)
assumes prognosis-not-called: ae x = ⊥ ⇒ prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (delete x Γ, e, S)
assumes prognosis-called: once ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) x

locale CardinalityPrognosisApp = CardinalityPrognosis +
assumes prognosis-App: prognosis ae as (inc·a) (Γ, e, Arg x # S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, App e x, S)

locale CardinalityPrognosisLam = CardinalityPrognosis +
assumes prognosis-subst-Lam: prognosis ae as (pred·a) (Γ, e[y:=x], S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S)

locale CardinalityPrognosisVar = CardinalityPrognosis +
assumes prognosis-Var-lam: map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ ae x = up·u ⇒ isVal e ⇒ prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, S) ⊑ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))
assumes prognosis-Var-thunk: map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ ae x = up·u ⇒ ¬ isVal e ⇒ prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))
assumes prognosis-Var2: isVal e ⇒ x ∉ domA Γ ⇒ prognosis ae as 0 ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as 0 (Γ, e, Upd x # S)

locale CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse = CardinalityPrognosis +
assumes prognosis-IfThenElse: prognosis ae (a#as) 0 (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S)
assumes prognosis-Alts: prognosis ae as a (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S) ⊑ prognosis ae (a#as) 0 (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S)

locale CardinalityPrognosisLet = CardinalityPrognosis + CardinalityHeap + ArityAnalysisHeap +
assumes prognosis-Let:

```

```

atom ` domA Δ #* Γ ==> atom ` domA Δ #* S ==> edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S ==> prognosis
(Aheap Δ e·a ⊢ ae) as a (Δ @ Γ, e, S) ⊑ cHeap Δ e·a ⊢ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Terms.Let Δ e,
S)

locale CardinalityHeapSafe = CardinalityHeap + ArityAnalysisHeap +
assumes Aheap-heap3: x ∈ thunks Γ ==> many ⊑ (cHeap Γ e·a) x ==> (Aheap Γ e·a) x =
up·0
assumes edom-cHeap: edom (cHeap Δ e·a) = edom (Aheap Δ e·a)

locale CardinalityPrognosisSafe =
CardinalityPrognosisEdom +
CardinalityPrognosisShape +
CardinalityPrognosisApp +
CardinalityPrognosisLam +
CardinalityPrognosisVar +
CardinalityPrognosisLet +
CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse +
CardinalityHeapSafe +
ArityAnalysisLetSafe

end

```

## 8.4 NoCardinalityAnalysis

```

theory NoCardinalityAnalysis
imports CardinalityAnalysisSpec ArityAnalysisStack
begin

locale NoCardinalityAnalysis = ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
assumes Aheap-thunk: x ∈ thunks Γ ==> (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0
begin

definition a2c :: Arity⊥ → two where a2c = (Λ a. if a ⊑ ⊥ then ⊥ else many)
lemma a2c-simp: a2c·a = (if a ⊑ ⊥ then ⊥ else many)
⟨proof⟩

lemma a2c-eqvt[eqvt]: π · a2c = a2c
⟨proof⟩

definition ae2ce :: AEnv ⇒ (var ⇒ two) where ae2ce ae x = a2c·(ae x)

lemma ae2ce-cont: cont ae2ce
⟨proof⟩
lemmas cont-compose[OF ae2ce-cont, cont2cont, simp]

lemma ae2ce-eqvt[eqvt]: π · ae2ce ae x = ae2ce (π · ae) (π · x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ae2ce-to-env-restr: ae2ce ae = ( $\lambda\_. \text{many}$ )  $f|` \text{edom}$  ae
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma edom-ae2ce[simp]: edom (ae2ce ae) = edom ae
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition cHeap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  two)
  where cHeap  $\Gamma$  e = ( $\Lambda$  a. ae2ce (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a))
lemma cHeap-simp[simp]: cHeap  $\Gamma$  e·a = ae2ce (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale CardinalityHeap cHeap  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale CardinalityHeapSafe cHeap Aheap
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

fun prognosis where
  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) = (( $\lambda\_. \text{many}$ )  $f|` (\text{edom} (\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot \text{ae}) \cup \text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (\text{AEstack as } S))$ )

lemma record-all-noop[simp]:
  record-call  $x \cdot ((\lambda\_. \text{many}) f|` S) = (\lambda\_. \text{many}) f|` S$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma const-on-restr-constI[intro]:
   $S' \subseteq S \implies \text{const-on} ((\lambda \_. x) f|` S) S' x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ap-subset-edom-AEstack: ap S  $\subseteq$  edom (AEstack as S)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale CardinalityPrognosis prognosis  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisShape prognosis
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisApp prognosis
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLam prognosis
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisVar prognosis
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse prognosis
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLet prognosis cHeap Aheap
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisEdom prognosis
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisSafe prognosis cHeap Aheap Aexp⟨proof⟩
end

end

```

## 8.5 CardArityTransformSafe

```

theory CardArityTransformSafe
imports ArityTransform CardinalityAnalysisSpec AbstractTransform Sestoft SestoftGC ArityEta-
ExpansionSafe ArityAnalysisStack ArityConsistent
begin

context CardinalityPrognosisSafe
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformBoundSubst
    λ a . inc·a
    λ a . pred·a
    λ Δ e a . (a, Aheap Δ e·a)
    fst
    snd
    λ -. 0
    Aeta-expand
    snd
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation ccTransform where ccTransform ≡ transform

lemma supp-transform: supp (transform a e) ⊆ supp e
  ⟨proof⟩
interpretation supp-bounded-transform transform
  ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym tstate = (AEnv × (var ⇒ two) × Arity × Arity list × var list)

fun transform-alts :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ stack
  where
    transform-alts - [] = []
    | transform-alts (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Alts (ccTransform a e1) (ccTransform a e2))
  # transform-alts as S
    | transform-alts as (x # S) = x # transform-alts as S

```

```

lemma transform-alts-Nil[simp]: transform-alts [] S = S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Astack-transform-alts[simp]:
  Astack (transform-alts as S) = Astack S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-star-transform-alts[intro]: a #* S ==> a #* transform-alts as S
  ⟨proof⟩

fun a-transform :: astate => conf => conf
where a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S) =
  (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae Γ),
   ccTransform a e,
   transform-alts as S)

fun restr-conf :: var set => conf => conf
where restr-conf V (Γ, e, S) = (restrictA V Γ, e, restr-stack V S)

fun add-dummies-conf :: var list => conf => conf
where add-dummies-conf l (Γ, e, S) = (Γ, e, S @ map Dummy (rev l))

fun conf-transform :: tstate => conf => conf
where conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) c = add-dummies-conf r ((a-transform (ae, a, as)
(restr-conf (- set r) c)))

inductive consistent :: tstate => conf => bool where
  consistentI[intro!]:
    a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restr-conf (- set r) (Γ, e, S))
    ==> edom ae = edom ce
    ==> prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S) ⊑ ce
    ==> (Λ x. x ∈ thunks Γ ==> many ⊑ ce x ==> ae x = up·0)
    ==> set r ⊆ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) - edom ce
    ==> consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, e, S)
inductive-cases consistentE[elim!]: consistent (ae, ce, a, as) (Γ, e, S)

lemma closed-consistent:
  assumes fv e = ({ }::var set)
  shows consistent (⊥, ⊥, 0, [], []) ([] , e, [])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-arity-transform-safe:
  fixes c c'
  assumes c =>* c' and ¬ boring-step c' and heap-upds-ok-conf c and consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r)
  c
  shows ∃ ae' ce' a' as' r'. consistent (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' ∧ conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) c
  =>G* conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r') c'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

end
```

## 9 Trace Trees

### 9.1 TTree

```

theory TTree
imports Main ConstOn List-Interleavings
begin
```

#### 9.1.1 Prefix-closed sets of lists

```

definition downset :: 'a list set ⇒ bool where
  downset xss = ( ∀ x n. x ∈ xss → take n x ∈ xss)
```

```

lemma downsetE[elim]:
  downset xss ⇒ xs ∈ xss ⇒ butlast xs ∈ xss
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma downset-appendE[elim]:
  downset xss ⇒ xs @ ys ∈ xss ⇒ xs ∈ xss
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma downset-hdE[elim]:
  downset xss ⇒ xs ∈ xss ⇒ xs ≠ [] ⇒ [hd xs] ∈ xss
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma downsetI[intro]:
  assumes ⋀ xs. xs ∈ xss ⇒ xs ≠ [] ⇒ butlast xs ∈ xss
  shows downset xss
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma [simp]: downset {} ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma downset-mapI: downset xss ⇒ downset (map f ` xss)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma downset-filter:
  assumes downset xss
  shows downset (filter P ` xss)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma downset-set-subset:
  downset ( {xs. set xs ⊆ S} )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

### 9.1.2 The type of infinite labeled trees

```
typedef 'a ttree = {xss :: 'a list set . [] ∈ xss ∧ downset xss} ⟨proof⟩
```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-ttree
```

### 9.1.3 Deconstructors

```
lift-definition possible :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  is λ xss x. ∃ xs. x#xs ∈ xss⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition nxt :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ttree
  is λ xss x. insert [] {xs | xs. x#xs ∈ xss}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

### 9.1.4 Trees as set of paths

```
lift-definition paths :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a list set is (λ x. x)⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma paths-inj: paths t = paths t' ⇒ t = t' ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma paths-injs-simps[simp]: paths t = paths t' ⇔ t = t' ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma paths-Nil[simp]: [] ∈ paths t ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma paths-not-empty[simp]: (paths t = {}) ⇔ False ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma paths-Cons-nxt:
```

```
possible t x ⇒ xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) ⇒ (x#xs) ∈ paths t
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma paths-Cons-nxt-iff:
```

```
possible t x ⇒ xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) ⇔ (x#xs) ∈ paths t
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma possible-mono:
```

```
paths t ⊆ paths t' ⇒ possible t x ⇒ possible t' x
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma nxt-mono:
```

```
paths t ⊆ paths t' ⇒ paths (nxt t x) ⊆ paths (nxt t' x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ttree-eqI: (Λ xs. x#xs ∈ paths t ⇔ x#xs ∈ paths t') ⇒ t = t'
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma paths-nxt[elim]:
```

```
assumes xs ∈ paths (nxt t x)
obtains x#xs ∈ paths t | xs = []
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma Cons-path:  $x \# xs \in paths t \longleftrightarrow possible t x \wedge xs \in paths (nxt t x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Cons-pathI[intro]:
  assumes possible t x  $\longleftrightarrow$  possible t' x
  assumes possible t x  $\implies$  possible t' x  $\implies$  xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t' x)
  shows x  $\#$  xs  $\in$  paths t  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\#$  xs  $\in$  paths t'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma paths-nxt-eq: xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs = []  $\vee$  x # xs  $\in$  paths t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ttree-coinduct:
  assumes P t t'
  assumes  $\bigwedge t t' x . P t t' \implies possible t x \longleftrightarrow possible t' x$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge t t' x . P t t' \implies possible t x \implies possible t' x \implies P (nxt t x) (nxt t' x)$ 
  shows t = t'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 9.1.5 The carrier of a tree

```
lift-definition carrier :: 'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a set is  $\lambda xss. \bigcup (set ` xss)$  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma carrier-mono: paths t  $\subseteq$  paths t'  $\implies$  carrier t  $\subseteq$  carrier t' ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma carrier-possible:
  possible t x  $\implies$  x  $\in$  carrier t ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma carrier-possible-subset:
  carrier t  $\subseteq A \implies possible t x \implies x \in A$  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma carrier-nxt-subset:
  carrier (nxt t x)  $\subseteq$  carrier t
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Union-paths-carrier:  $(\bigcup_{x \in paths t} set x) = carrier t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

### 9.1.6 Repeatable trees

```
definition repeatable where repeatable t =  $(\forall x . possible t x \longrightarrow nxt t x = t)$ 
```

```
lemma nxt-repeatable[simp]: repeatable t  $\implies$  possible t x  $\implies$  nxt t x = t
  ⟨proof⟩
```

### 9.1.7 Simple trees

```
lift-definition empty :: 'a ttree is {[]} ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma possible-empty[simp]: possible empty  $x' \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nxt-not-possible[simp]:  $\neg \text{possible } t x \implies \text{nxt } t x = \text{empty}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma paths-empty[simp]: paths empty = {[]} ⟨proof⟩

lemma carrier-empty[simp]: carrier empty = {} ⟨proof⟩

lemma repeatable-empty[simp]: repeatable empty ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition single :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a$  ttree is  $\lambda x. \{\}, [x]$ ''
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma possible-single[simp]: possible (single  $x$ )  $x' \longleftrightarrow x = x'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nxt-single[simp]:  $\text{nxt } (\text{single } x) x' = \text{empty}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma carrier-single[simp]: carrier (single  $y$ ) = { $y$ }
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma paths-single[simp]: paths (single  $x$ ) = {[], [x]}
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition many-calls :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a$  ttree is  $\lambda x. \text{range } (\lambda n. \text{replicate } n x)$ ''
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma possible-many-calls[simp]: possible (many-calls  $x$ )  $x' \longleftrightarrow x = x'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nxt-many-calls[simp]:  $\text{nxt } (\text{many-calls } x) x' = (\text{if } x' = x \text{ then many-calls } x \text{ else empty})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma repeatable-many-calls: repeatable (many-calls  $x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma carrier-many-calls[simp]: carrier (many-calls  $x$ ) = { $x$ } ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition anything :: ' $a$  ttree is UNIV'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma possible-anything[simp]: possible anything  $x' \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nxtAnything[simp]:  $\text{nxt anything } x = \text{anything}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *paths-anything*[simp]:  
*paths anything* = UNIV  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *carrier-anything*[simp]:  
*carrier anything* = UNIV  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *many-among* :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree **is**  $\lambda S. \{xs . set xs \subseteq S\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *carrier-many-among*[simp]: *carrier (many-among S)* = *S*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 9.1.8 Intersection of two trees

**lift-definition** *intersect* :: 'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree (**infixl**  $\langle \cap \cap \rangle$  80)  
**is**  $(\cap)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *paths-intersect*[simp]: *paths (t  $\cap \cap$  t')* = *paths t*  $\cap$  *paths t'*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *carrier-intersect*: *carrier (t  $\cap \cap$  t')*  $\subseteq$  *carrier t*  $\cap$  *carrier t'*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 9.1.9 Disjoint union of trees

**lift-definition** *either* :: 'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree (**infixl**  $\langle \oplus \oplus \rangle$  80)  
**is**  $(\cup)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *either-empty1*[simp]: *empty*  $\oplus \oplus$  *t* = *t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *either-empty2*[simp]: *t*  $\oplus \oplus$  *empty* = *t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *either-sym*[simp]: *t*  $\oplus \oplus$  *t2* = *t2*  $\oplus \oplus$  *t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *either-idem*[simp]: *t*  $\oplus \oplus$  *t* = *t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *possible-either*[simp]: *possible (t  $\oplus \oplus$  t')*  $x \longleftrightarrow$  *possible t x*  $\vee$  *possible t' x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nxt-either*[simp]: *nxt (t  $\oplus \oplus$  t')*  $x$  = *nxt t x*  $\oplus \oplus$  *nxt t' x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *paths-either*[simp]: *paths (t  $\oplus \oplus$  t')* = *paths t*  $\cup$  *paths t'*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma carrier-either[simp]:
  carrier (t ⊕⊕ t') = carrier t ∪ carrier t'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma either-contains-arg1: paths t ⊆ paths (t ⊕⊕ t')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma either-contains-arg2: paths t' ⊆ paths (t ⊕⊕ t')
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition Either :: 'a ttree set ⇒ 'a ttree is λ S. insert [] (∪ S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma paths-Either: paths (Either ts) = insert [] (∪ (paths ` ts))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 9.1.10 Merging of trees

```

lemma ex-ex-eq-hint: (Ǝ x. (Ǝ xs ys. x = f xs ys ∧ P xs ys) ∧ Q x) ←→ (Ǝ xs ys. Q (f xs ys) ∧ P xs ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition both :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree (infixl ⟨⊗⊗⟩ 86)
  is λ xss yss . ∪ {xs ⊗ ys | xs ys. xs ∈ xss ∧ ys ∈ yss}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma both-assoc[simp]: t ⊗⊗ (t' ⊗⊗ t'') = (t ⊗⊗ t') ⊗⊗ t''
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma both-comm: t ⊗⊗ t' = t' ⊗⊗ t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma both-empty1[simp]: empty ⊗⊗ t = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma both-empty2[simp]: t ⊗⊗ empty = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma paths-both: xs ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ t') ←→ (Ǝ ys ∈ paths t. Ǝ zs ∈ paths t'. xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma both-contains-arg1: paths t ⊆ paths (t ⊗⊗ t')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma both-contains-arg2: paths t' ⊆ paths (t ⊗⊗ t')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma both-mono1:

```

**paths**  $t \subseteq \text{paths } t' \implies \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t') \subseteq \text{paths } (t' \otimes\otimes t')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *both-mono2*:

$\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } t' \implies \text{paths } (t'' \otimes\otimes t) \subseteq \text{paths } (t'' \otimes\otimes t')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *possible-both*[simp]:  $\text{possible } (t \otimes\otimes t') x \longleftrightarrow \text{possible } t x \vee \text{possible } t' x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nxt-both*:

$\text{nxt } (t' \otimes\otimes t) x = (\text{if possible } t' x \wedge \text{possible } t x \text{ then } \text{nxt } t' x \otimes\otimes t \oplus\oplus t' \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t x \text{ else}$   
 $\quad \text{if possible } t' x \text{ then } \text{nxt } t' x \otimes\otimes t \text{ else}$   
 $\quad \text{if possible } t x \text{ then } t' \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t x \text{ else}$   
 $\quad \text{empty})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Cons-both*:

$x \# xs \in \text{paths } (t' \otimes\otimes t) \longleftrightarrow (\text{if possible } t' x \wedge \text{possible } t x \text{ then } xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t' x \otimes\otimes t)$   
 $\vee xs \in \text{paths } (t' \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t x) \text{ else}$   
 $\quad \text{if possible } t' x \text{ then } xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t' x \otimes\otimes t) \text{ else}$   
 $\quad \text{if possible } t x \text{ then } xs \in \text{paths } (t' \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t x) \text{ else}$   
 $\quad \text{False})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Cons-both-possible-leftE*:  $\text{possible } t x \implies xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes t') \implies x \# xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Cons-both-possible-rightE*:  $\text{possible } t' x \implies xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t' x) \implies x \# xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *either-both-distr*[simp]:

$t' \otimes\otimes t \oplus\oplus t'' \otimes\otimes t'' = t' \otimes\otimes (t \oplus\oplus t'')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *either-both-distr2*[simp]:

$t' \otimes\otimes t \oplus\oplus t'' \otimes\otimes t = (t' \oplus\oplus t'') \otimes\otimes t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nxt-both-repeatable*[simp]:

**assumes** [simp]: *repeatable*  $t'$   
**assumes** [simp]: *possible*  $t' x$   
**shows**  $\text{nxt } (t' \otimes\otimes t) x = t' \otimes\otimes (t \oplus\oplus \text{nxt } t x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *nxt-both-many-calls*[simp]:  $\text{nxt } (\text{many-calls } x \otimes\otimes t) x = \text{many-calls } x \otimes\otimes (t \oplus\oplus \text{nxt } t x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma repeatable-both-self[simp]:
  assumes [simp]: repeatable t
  shows t  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma repeatable-both-both[simp]:
  assumes repeatable t
  shows t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma repeatable-both-both2[simp]:
  assumes repeatable t
  shows t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma repeatable-both-nxt:
  assumes repeatable t
  assumes possible t' x
  assumes t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'
  shows nxt t' x  $\otimes\otimes$  t = nxt t' x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma repeatable-both-both-nxt:
  assumes t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'
  shows t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t''  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t''
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma carrier-both[simp]:
  carrier (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t') = carrier t  $\cup$  carrier t'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 9.1.11 Removing elements from a tree

```

lift-definition without :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree
  is  $\lambda x\ xs. \text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \neq x) \ 'xss$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma paths-withoutI:
  assumes xs  $\in$  paths t
  assumes x  $\notin$  set xs
  shows xs  $\in$  paths (without x t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma carrier-without[simp]: carrier (without x t) = carrier t  $- \{x\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lift-definition** *ttree-restr* :: '*a set*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a ttree*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a ttree is*  $\lambda S\ xs. \text{filter}(\lambda x'. x' \in S) ` xs  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*$

**lemma** *filter-paths-conv-free-restr*:  
 $\text{filter}(\lambda x'. x' \in S) ` \text{paths } t = \text{paths}(\text{ttree-restr } S\ t)$   *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *filter-paths-conv-free-restr2*:  
 $\text{filter}(\lambda x'. x' \notin S) ` \text{paths } t = \text{paths}(\text{ttree-restr } (-S)\ t)$   *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *filter-paths-conv-free-without*:  
 $\text{filter}(\lambda x'. x' \neq y) ` \text{paths } t = \text{paths}(\text{without } y\ t)$   *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *ttree-restr-is-empty*: *carrier t*  $\cap S = \{\}$   $\implies \text{ttree-restr } S\ t = \text{empty}$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *ttree-restr-noop*: *carrier t*  $\subseteq S \implies \text{ttree-restr } S\ t = t$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *ttree-restr-tree-restr[simp]*:  
 $\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{ttree-restr } S'\ t) = \text{ttree-restr}(S' \cap S)\ t$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *ttree-restr-both*:  
 $\text{ttree-restr } S (t \otimes \otimes t') = \text{ttree-restr } S\ t \otimes \otimes \text{ttree-restr } S\ t'$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *ttree-restr-nxt-subset*:  $x \in S \implies \text{paths}(\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t\ x)) \subseteq \text{paths}(\text{nxt}(\text{ttree-restr } S\ t)\ x)$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *ttree-restr-nxt-subset2*:  $x \notin S \implies \text{paths}(\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t\ x)) \subseteq \text{paths}(\text{ttree-restr } S\ t)$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *ttree-restr-possible*:  $x \in S \implies \text{possible } t\ x \implies \text{possible}(\text{ttree-restr } S\ t)\ x$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *ttree-restr-possible2*:  $\text{possible}(\text{ttree-restr } S\ t')\ x \implies x \in S$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *carrier-ttree-restr[simp]*:  
 $\text{carrier}(\text{ttree-restr } S\ t) = S \cap \text{carrier } t$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

### 9.1.12 Multiple variables, each called at most once

**lift-definition** *singles* :: '*a set*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a ttree*  $\mathbf{is}$   $\lambda S. \{xs. \forall x \in S. \text{length}(\text{filter}(\lambda x'. x' = x)\ xs) \leq 1\}$ '  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

```

lemma possible-singles[simp]: possible (singles S) x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-filter-mono[intro]:
  assumes ( $\bigwedge x. P x \implies Q x$ )
  shows length (filter P xs)  $\leq$  length (filter Q xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nxt-singles[simp]: nxt (singles S) x' = (if  $x' \in S$  then without  $x'$  (singles S) else singles S)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma carrier-singles[simp]:
  carrier (singles S) = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma singles-mono:
   $S \subseteq S' \implies \text{paths}(\text{singles } S') \subseteq \text{paths}(\text{singles } S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma paths-many-calls-subset:
   $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths}(\text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{ without } x \ t)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 9.1.13 Substituting trees for every node

```

definition f-nxt :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree)
  where f-nxt f T x = (if  $x \in T$  then  $f(x := \text{empty})$  else  $f$ )

```

```

fun substitute' :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  substitute'-Nil: substitute' f T t []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | substitute'-Cons: substitute' f T t (x#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    possible t x  $\wedge$  substitute' (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x  $\otimes \otimes$  f x) xs

```

```

lemma f-nxt-mono1: ( $\bigwedge x. \text{paths}(f x) \subseteq \text{paths}(f' x)$ )  $\implies$   $\text{paths}(f\text{-nxt } f T x x') \subseteq \text{paths}(f\text{-nxt } f' T x x')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma f-nxt-empty-set[simp]: f-nxt f {} x = f ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma downset-substitute: downset (Collect (substitute' f T t))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition substitute :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree
  is  $\lambda f T t. \text{Collect}(\text{substitute}' f T t)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma elim-substitute'[pred-set-conv]: substitute' f T t xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs  $\in$  paths (substitute f T t)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas substitute-induct[case-names Nil Cons] = substitute'.induct
lemmas substitute-simps[simp] = substitute'.simp[simps[unfolded elim-substitute']]

lemma substitute-mono2:
  assumes paths t  $\subseteq$  paths t'
  shows paths (substitute f T t)  $\subseteq$  paths (substitute f T t')
⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-mono1:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x$ . paths (f x)  $\subseteq$  paths (f' x)
  shows paths (substitute f T t)  $\subseteq$  paths (substitute f' T t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-monoT:
  assumes T  $\subseteq$  T'
  shows paths (substitute f T' t)  $\subseteq$  paths (substitute f T t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-contains-arg: paths t  $\subseteq$  paths (substitute f T t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma possible-substitute[simp]: possible (substitute f T t) x  $\longleftrightarrow$  possible t x
⟨proof⟩

lemma nxt-substitute[simp]: possible t x  $\implies$  nxt (substitute f T t) x = substitute (f-nxt f T x)
T (nxt t x  $\otimes\otimes$  f x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-either: substitute f T (t  $\oplus\oplus$  t') = substitute f T t  $\oplus\oplus$  substitute f T t'
⟨proof⟩

lemma f-nxt-T-delete:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows f-nxt f (T - {x}) x' = f-nxt f T x'
⟨proof⟩

lemma f-nxt-empty[simp]:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows f-nxt f T x' x = empty
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma f-nxt-empty'[simp]:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows f-nxt f T x = f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-T-delete:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows substitute f (T - {x}) t = substitute f T t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-only-empty:
  assumes const-on f (carrier t) empty
  shows substitute f T t = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-only-empty-both: const-on f (carrier t') empty  $\implies$  substitute f T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t') = substitute f T t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma f-nxt-upd-empty[simp]:
  f-nxt (f(x' := empty)) T x = (f-nxt f T x)(x' := empty)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma repeatable-f-nxt-upd[simp]:
  repeatable (f x)  $\implies$  repeatable (f-nxt f T x' x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-remove-anyways-aux:
  assumes repeatable (f x)
  assumes xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  assumes t  $\otimes\otimes$  f x = t
  shows xs ∈ paths (substitute (f(x := empty)) T t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-remove-anyways:
  assumes repeatable t
  assumes f x = t
  shows substitute f T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t') = substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma carrier-f-nxt: carrier (f-nxt f T x x') ⊆ carrier (f x')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma f-nxt-cong: f x' = f' x'  $\implies$  f-nxt f T x x' = f-nxt f' T x x'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma substitute-cong':
  assumes xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  assumes ⋀ x n. x ∈ A ⇒ carrier (f x) ⊆ A
  assumes carrier t ⊆ A
  assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ A ⇒ f x = f' x
  shows xs ∈ paths (substitute f' T t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-cong-induct:
  assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ A ⇒ carrier (f x) ⊆ A
  assumes carrier t ⊆ A
  assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ A ⇒ f x = f' x
  shows substitute f T t = substitute f' T t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma carrier-substitute-aux:
  assumes xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  assumes carrier t ⊆ A
  assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ A ⇒ carrier (f x) ⊆ A
  shows set xs ⊆ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma carrier-substitute-below:
  assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ A ⇒ carrier (f x) ⊆ A
  assumes carrier t ⊆ A
  shows carrier (substitute f T t) ⊆ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma f-nxt-eq-empty-iff:
  f-nxt f T x x' = empty ⇔ f x' = empty ∨ (x' = x ∧ x ∈ T)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-T-cong':
  assumes xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  assumes ⋀ x. (x ∈ T ⇔ x ∈ T') ∨ f x = empty
  shows xs ∈ paths (substitute f T' t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-cong-T:
  assumes ⋀ x. (x ∈ T ⇔ x ∈ T') ∨ f x = empty
  shows substitute f T = substitute f T'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma carrier-substitute1: carrier t ⊆ carrier (substitute f T t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma substitute-cong:

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier} (\text{substitute } f T t) \implies f x = f' x$ 
shows  $\text{substitute } f T t = \text{substitute } f' T t$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma substitute-substitute:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{const-on } f' (\text{carrier} (f x)) \text{ empty}$ 
shows  $\text{substitute } f T (\text{substitute } f' T t) = \text{substitute} (\lambda x. f x \otimes\otimes f' x) T t$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma ttree-rest-substitute:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{carrier} (f x) \cap S = \{\}$ 
shows  $\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t) = \text{ttree-restr } S t$ 
(proof)

```

An alternative characterization of substitution

```

inductive substitute'' :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  substitute''-Nil: substitute'' f T [] []
  | substitute''-Cons:
     $xs \in \text{paths} (f x) \implies xs' \in \text{interleave} xs zs \implies \text{substitute''} (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T xs' ys$ 
     $\implies \text{substitute''} f T (x\#xs) (x\#ys)$ 
inductive-cases substitute''-NilE[elim]: substitute'' f T xs [] substitute'' f T [] xs
inductive-cases substitute''-Conse[elim]: substitute'' f T (x#xs) ys

```

```

lemma substitute-substitute'':
   $xs \in \text{paths} (\text{substitute } f T t) \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs' \in \text{paths } t. \text{substitute''} f T xs' xs)$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma paths-substitute-substitute'':
   $\text{paths} (\text{substitute } f T t) = \bigcup ((\lambda xs. \text{Collect} (\text{substitute''} f T xs)) ` \text{paths } t)$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma ttree-rest-substitute2:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{carrier} (f x) \subseteq S$ 
assumes const-on f (-S) empty
shows  $\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t) = \text{substitute } f T (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$ 
(proof)

```

**end**

## 9.2 TTTree-HOLCF

```

theory TTTree-HOLCF
imports TTTree Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils Set-Cpo Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes
begin

instantiation treet :: (type) below
begin

```

```

lift-definition below-ttree :: 'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $\subseteq$ ) $\langle proof \rangle$ 
instance $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

lemma paths-mono:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{paths } t \sqsubseteq \text{paths } t'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma paths-mono-iff:  $\text{paths } t \sqsubseteq \text{paths } t' \longleftrightarrow t \sqsubseteq t'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ttree-belowI:  $(\bigwedge xs. xs \in \text{paths } t \implies xs \in \text{paths } t') \implies t \sqsubseteq t'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma paths-belowI:  $(\bigwedge x xs. x \# xs \in \text{paths } t \implies x \# xs \in \text{paths } t') \implies t \sqsubseteq t'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance ttree :: (type) po
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is-lub-ttree:
 $S <<| \text{Either } S$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lub-is-either:  $\text{lub } S = \text{Either } S$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance ttree :: (type) cpo
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minimal-ttree[simp, intro!]:  $\text{empty} \sqsubseteq S$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance ttree :: (type) pcpo
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma empty-is-bottom:  $\text{empty} = \perp$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma carrier-bottom[simp]:  $\text{carrier } \perp = \{\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma below-anything[simp]:
 $t \sqsubseteq \text{anything}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma carrier-mono:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{carrier } t \sqsubseteq \text{carrier } t'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nxt-mono:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{nxt } t x \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } t' x$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** either-above-arg1:  $t \sqsubseteq t \oplus\oplus t'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** either-above-arg2:  $t' \sqsubseteq t \oplus\oplus t'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** either-belowI:  $t \sqsubseteq t'' \implies t' \sqsubseteq t'' \implies t \oplus\oplus t' \sqsubseteq t''$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** both-above-arg1:  $t \sqsubseteq t \otimes\otimes t'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** both-above-arg2:  $t' \sqsubseteq t \otimes\otimes t'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** both-mono1':  
 $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies t \otimes\otimes t'' \sqsubseteq t' \otimes\otimes t''$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** both-mono2':  
 $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies t'' \otimes\otimes t \sqsubseteq t'' \otimes\otimes t'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** nxt-both-left:  
possible  $t x \implies \text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes t' \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } (t \otimes\otimes t') x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** nxt-both-right:  
possible  $t' x \implies t \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t' x \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } (t \otimes\otimes t') x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** substitute-mono1':  $f \sqsubseteq f' \implies \text{substitute } f T t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f' T t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** substitute-mono2':  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{substitute } f T t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f T t'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** substitute-above-arg:  $t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f T t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** ttree-contI:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge S. f(\text{Either } S) = \text{Either } (f` S)$   
**shows**  $\text{cont } f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma ttree-contI2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{paths } (f x) = \bigcup (t \cdot \text{paths } x)$ 
  assumes  $[] \in t []$ 
  shows cont f
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cont-paths[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont paths
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ttree-contI3:
  assumes cont ( $\lambda x. \text{paths } (f x)$ )
  shows cont f
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cont-substitute[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont (substitute f T)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cont-both1:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{both } x y$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cont-both2:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{both } y x$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cont-both[cont2cont,simp]: cont f  $\implies$  cont g  $\implies$  cont ( $\lambda x. f x \otimes\otimes g x$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cont-intersect1:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{intersect } x y$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cont-intersect2:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{intersect } y x$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cont-intersect[cont2cont,simp]: cont f  $\implies$  cont g  $\implies$  cont ( $\lambda x. f x \cap\cap g x$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cont-without[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont,simp]: cont (without x)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma paths-many-calls-subset:
   $t \sqsubseteq \text{many-calls } x \otimes\otimes \text{without } x t$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma single-below:  
   $[x] \in paths t \implies single x \sqsubseteq t \langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma cont-ttree-restr[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont,simp]: cont (ttree-restr S)  
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemmas ttree-restr-mono = cont2monofunE[OF cont-ttree-restr[OF cont-id]]  
  
lemma range-filter[simp]: range (filter P) = {xs. set xs ⊆ Collect P}  
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma ttree-restr-anything-cont[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:  
  cont ( $\lambda S. ttree-restr S$  anything)  
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
instance ttree :: (type) Finite-Join-cpo  
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma ttree-join-is-either:  
   $t \sqcup t' = t \oplus\oplus t'$   
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma ttree-join-transfer[transfer-rule]: rel-fun (pcr-ttree (=)) (rel-fun (pcr-ttree (=)) (pcr-ttree (=))) ( $\cup$ ) ( $\sqcup$ )  
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma ttree-restr-join[simp]:  
   $ttree-restr S (t \sqcup t') = ttree-restr S t \sqcup ttree-restr S t'$   
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma nxt-singles-below-singles:  
   $nxt (singles S) x \sqsubseteq singles S$   
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma in-carrier-fup[simp]:  
   $x' \in carrier (fup \cdot f \cdot u) \iff (\exists u'. u = up \cdot u' \wedge x' \in carrier (f \cdot u'))$   
   $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
end
```

## 10 Trace Tree Cardinality Analysis

### 10.1 AnalBinds

theory AnalBinds

```

imports Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils Launchbury.Env
begin

locale ExpAnalysis =
  fixes exp :: exp ⇒ 'a::cpo → 'b::pcpo
begin

fun AnalBinds :: heap ⇒ (var ⇒ 'a⊥) → (var ⇒ 'b)
  where AnalBinds [] = (Λ ae. ⊥)
    | AnalBinds ((x,e) # Γ) = (Λ ae. (AnalBinds Γ · ae)(x := fup · (exp e) · (ae x)))

lemma AnalBinds-Nil-simp[simp]: AnalBinds [] · ae = ⊥ ⟨proof⟩

lemma AnalBinds-Cons[simp]:
  AnalBinds ((x,e) # Γ) · ae = (AnalBinds Γ · ae)(x := fup · (exp e) · (ae x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas AnalBinds.simps[simp del]

lemma AnalBinds-not-there: x ∉ domA Γ ⇒ (AnalBinds Γ · ae) x = ⊥
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma AnalBinds-cong:
  assumes ae f|` domA Γ = ae' f|` domA Γ
  shows AnalBinds Γ · ae = AnalBinds Γ · ae'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma AnalBinds-lookup: (AnalBinds Γ · ae) x = (case map-of Γ x of Some e ⇒ fup · (exp e) · (ae x) | None ⇒ ⊥)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma AnalBinds-delete-bot: ae x = ⊥ ⇒ AnalBinds (delete x Γ) · ae = AnalBinds Γ · ae
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma AnalBinds-delete-below: AnalBinds (delete x Γ) · ae ⊑ AnalBinds Γ · ae
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma AnalBinds-delete-lookup[simp]: (AnalBinds (delete x Γ) · ae) x = ⊥
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma AnalBinds-delete-to-fun-upd: AnalBinds (delete x Γ) · ae = (AnalBinds Γ · ae)(x := ⊥)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edom-AnalBinds: edom (AnalBinds Γ · ae) ⊆ domA Γ ∩ edom ae
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

## 10.2 TTreeAnalysisSig

```
theory TTreeAnalysisSig
imports Arity TTree-HOLCF AnalBinds
begin

locale TTreeAnalysis =
fixes Texp :: exp ⇒ Arity → var ttree
begin
sublocale Texp: ExpAnalysis Texp⟨proof⟩
abbreviation FBinds == Texp.AnalBinds
end

end
```

## 10.3 Cardinality-Domain-Lists

```
theory Cardinality-Domain-Lists
imports Launchbury.Vars Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Env Cardinality-Domain
Set-Cpo Env-Set-Cpo
begin

fun no-call-in-path where
no-call-in-path x [] ⟷ True
| no-call-in-path x (y#xs) ⟷ y ≠ x ∧ no-call-in-path x xs

fun one-call-in-path where
one-call-in-path x [] ⟷ True
| one-call-in-path x (y#xs) ⟷ (if x = y then no-call-in-path x xs else one-call-in-path x xs)

lemma no-call-in-path-set-conv:
no-call-in-path x p ⟷ x ∉ set p
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-call-in-path-filter-conv:
one-call-in-path x p ⟷ length (filter (λ x'. x' = x) p) ≤ 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma no-call-in-tail: no-call-in-path x (tl p) ⟷ (no-call-in-path x p ∨ one-call-in-path x p ∧
hd p = x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma no-imp-one: no-call-in-path x p ⇒ one-call-in-path x p
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-imp-one-tail: one-call-in-path x p ⇒ one-call-in-path x (tl p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma more-than-one-setD:
```

$\neg \text{one-call-in-path } x p \implies x \in \text{set } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *no-call-in-path*[*eqvt*]: *no-call-in-path*  $p x \implies \text{no-call-in-path } (\pi \cdot p) (\pi \cdot x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *one-call-in-path*[*eqvt*]: *one-call-in-path*  $p x \implies \text{one-call-in-path } (\pi \cdot p) (\pi \cdot x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *pathCard* :: *var list*  $\Rightarrow$  (*var*  $\Rightarrow$  *two*)  
**where** *pathCard*  $p x = (\text{if } \text{no-call-in-path } x p \text{ then none else (if one-call-in-path } x p \text{ then once else many)})$

**lemma** *pathCard-Nil*[*simp*]: *pathCard* [] =  $\perp$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pathCard-Cons*[*simp*]: *pathCard*  $(x \# xs) x = \text{two-add} \cdot \text{once} \cdot (\text{pathCard } xs x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pathCard-Cons-other*[*simp*]:  $x' \neq x \implies \text{pathCard } (x \# xs) x' = \text{pathCard } xs x'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *no-call-in-path-filter*[*simp*]: *no-call-in-path*  $x [x \leftarrow xs . x \in S] \longleftrightarrow \text{no-call-in-path } x xs \vee x \notin S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *one-call-in-path-filter*[*simp*]: *one-call-in-path*  $x [x \leftarrow xs . x \in S] \longleftrightarrow \text{one-call-in-path } x xs$   
 $\vee x \notin S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *pathsCard* :: *var list set*  $\Rightarrow$  (*var*  $\Rightarrow$  *two*)  
**where** *pathsCard*  $ps x = (\text{if } (\forall p \in ps. \text{no-call-in-path } x p) \text{ then none else (if } (\forall p \in ps. \text{one-call-in-path } x p) \text{ then once else many)})$

**lemma** *paths-Card-above*:  
 $p \in ps \implies \text{pathCard } p \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard } ps$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pathsCard-below*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge p. p \in ps \implies \text{pathCard } p \sqsubseteq ce$   
**shows** *pathsCard*  $ps \sqsubseteq ce$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pathsCard-mono*:  
 $ps \subseteq ps' \implies \text{pathsCard } ps \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard } ps'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *pathsCard-mono'* = *pathsCard-mono*[*folded below-set-def*]

```

lemma record-call-pathsCard:
  pathsCard ({ tl p | p . p ∈ fs ∧ hd p = x}) ⊑ record-call x.(pathsCard fs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pathCards-noneD:
  pathsCard ps x = none ⇒ x ∉ ∪(set ` ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cont-pathsCard[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont pathsCard
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pathsCard-eqvt[eqvt]: π · pathsCard ps x = pathsCard (π · ps) (π · x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edom-pathsCard[simp]: edom (pathsCard ps) = ∪(set ` ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma env-restr-pathsCard[simp]: pathsCard ps f|` S = pathsCard (filter (λ x. x ∈ S) ` ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 10.4 TTreeAnalysisSpec

```

theory TTreeAnalysisSpec
imports TTreeAnalysisSig ArityAnalysisSpec Cardinality-Domain-Lists
begin

locale TTreeAnalysisCarrier = TTreeAnalysis + EdomArityAnalysis +
  assumes carrier-Fexp: carrier (Texp e·a) = edom (Aexp e·a)

locale TTreeAnalysisSafe = TTreeAnalysisCarrier +
  assumes Texp-App: many-calls x ⊗⊗ (Texp e)·(inc·a) ⊑ Texp (App e x)·a
  assumes Texp-Lam: without y (Texp e·(pred·n)) ⊑ Texp (Lam [y]. e) · n
  assumes Texp-subst: Texp (e[y:=x])·a ⊑ many-calls x ⊗⊗ without y ((Texp e)·a)
  assumes Texp-Var: single v ⊑ Texp (Var v)·a
  assumes Fun-repeatable: isVal e ⇒ repeatable (Texp e·0)
  assumes Texp-IfThenElse: Texp scrut·0 ⊗⊗ (Texp e1·a ⊕⊕ Texp e2·a) ⊑ Texp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a

locale TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap =
  TTreeAnalysisSafe + ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
  fixes Theap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → var ttree
  assumes carrier-Fheap: carrier (Theap Γ e·a) = edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
  assumes Theap-thunk: x ∈ thunks Γ ⇒ p ∈ paths (Theap Γ e·a) ⇒ ¬ one-call-in-path x p
  ⇒ (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0

```

```

assumes Theap-substitute: ttree-restr (domA Δ) (substitute (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks
Δ) (Texp e·a)) ⊑ Theap Δ e·a
assumes Texp-Let: ttree-restr (– domA Δ) (substitute (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks
Δ) (Texp e·a)) ⊑ Texp (Terms.Let Δ e)·a

end

```

## 10.5 TTTreeImplCardinality

```

theory TTTreeImplCardinality
imports TTTreeAnalysisSig CardinalityAnalysisSig Cardinality-Domain-Lists
begin

context TTTreeAnalysis
begin

fun unstack :: stack ⇒ exp ⇒ exp where
  unstack [] e = e
| unstack (Alts e1 e2 # S) e = unstack S e
| unstack (Upd x # S) e = unstack S e
| unstack (Arg x # S) e = unstack S (App e x)
| unstack (Dummy x # S) e = unstack S e

fun Fstack :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ var ttree
  where Fstack - [] = ⊥
  | Fstack (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Texp e1·a ⊕⊕ Texp e2·a) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S
  | Fstack as (Arg x # S) = many-calls x ⊗⊗ Fstack as S
  | Fstack as (- # S) = Fstack as S

```

```

fun prognosis :: AEnv ⇒ Arity list ⇒ Arity ⇒ conf ⇒ var ⇒ two
  where prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S) = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds Γ·ae) (thunks Γ)
  (Texp e·a ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))
end

end

```

## 10.6 TTTreeImplCardinalitySafe

```

theory TTTreeImplCardinalitySafe
imports TTTreeImplCardinality TTTreeAnalysisSpec CardinalityAnalysisSpec
begin

lemma pathsCard-paths-nxt: pathsCard (paths (nxt f x)) ⊑ record-call x·(pathsCard (paths f))

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma pathsCards-none: pathsCard (paths t) x = none  $\implies$  x  $\notin$  carrier t
⟨proof⟩

lemma const-on-edom-disj: const-on f S empty  $\longleftrightarrow$  edom f  $\cap$  S = {}

context TTreeAnalysisCarrier
begin
  lemma carrier-Fstack: carrier (Fstack as S)  $\subseteq$  fv S
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma carrier-FBinds: carrier ((FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) x)  $\subseteq$  fv  $\Gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
end

context TTreeAnalysisSafe
begin

  sublocale CardinalityPrognosisShape prognosis
  ⟨proof⟩

  sublocale CardinalityPrognosisApp prognosis
  ⟨proof⟩

  sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLam prognosis
  ⟨proof⟩

  sublocale CardinalityPrognosisVar prognosis
  ⟨proof⟩

  sublocale CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse prognosis
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap
begin

  definition cHeap where
    cHeap  $\Gamma$  e = ( $\Lambda$  a. pathsCard (paths (Theap  $\Gamma$  e  $\cdot$  a)))

  lemma cHeap-simp: (cHeap  $\Gamma$  e)  $\cdot$  a = pathsCard (paths (Theap  $\Gamma$  e  $\cdot$  a))
  ⟨proof⟩

  sublocale CardinalityHeap cHeap ⟨proof⟩

  sublocale CardinalityHeapSafe cHeap Aheap

```

```

⟨proof⟩

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisEdom prognosis
⟨proof⟩

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLet prognosis cHeap
⟨proof⟩

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisSafe prognosis cHeap Aheap Aexp ⟨proof⟩
end

end

```

## 11 Co-Call Graphs

### 11.1 CoCallGraph

```

theory CoCallGraph
imports Launchbury.Vars Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils Set-Cpo
begin

default-sort type

typedef CoCalls = { $G :: (\text{var} \times \text{var}) \text{ set. } \text{sym } G\}$ 
morphisms Rep-CoCall Abs-CoCall
⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-CoCalls

instantiation CoCalls :: po
begin
lift-definition below-CoCalls :: CoCalls ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ bool is ( $\subseteq$ )⟨proof⟩
instance
⟨proof⟩
end

lift-definition coCallsLub :: CoCalls set ⇒ CoCalls is  $\lambda S. \bigcup S$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma coCallsLub-is-lub: S <<| coCallsLub S
⟨proof⟩

instance CoCalls :: cpo
⟨proof⟩

lemma ccLubTransfer[transfer-rule]: (rel-set pcr-CoCalls ===> pcr-CoCalls) Union lub
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition is-cc-lub :: CoCalls set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  bool is  $(\lambda S x . x = \text{Union } S)$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cc-is-lubTransfer[transfer-rule]:  $(\text{rel-set pcr-CoCalls} ==> \text{pcr-CoCalls} ==> (=)) (\lambda S x . x = \text{Union } S) (<<|)$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition coCallsJoin :: CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls is  $(\cup)$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccJoinTransfer[transfer-rule]:  $(\text{pcr-CoCalls} ==> \text{pcr-CoCalls} ==> \text{pcr-CoCalls}) (\cup) (\sqcup)$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition ccEmpty :: CoCalls is  $\{\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccEmpty-below[simp]: ccEmpty  $\sqsubseteq G$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance CoCalls :: pcpo  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccBotTransfer[transfer-rule]: pcr-CoCalls  $\{\}$   $\perp$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cc-lub-below-iff:
  fixes G :: CoCalls
  shows lub X  $\sqsubseteq G \longleftrightarrow (\forall G' \in X. G' \sqsubseteq G)$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition ccField :: CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  var set is Field  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccField-nil[simp]: ccField  $\perp = \{\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition
  inCC :: var  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $(\dashv\dashv\dashv [1000, 1000, 900] 900)$ 
  is  $\lambda x y s. (x,y) \in s$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation
  notInCC :: var  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $(\dashv\dashv\dashv \notin [1000, 1000, 900] 900)$ 
  where  $x--y \notin S \equiv \neg x--y \in S$ 

lemma notInCC-bot[simp]:  $x--y \in \perp \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma below-CoCallsI:
   $(\bigwedge x y. x--y \in G \implies x--y \in G') \implies G \sqsubseteq G'$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *CoCalls-eqI*:  
 $(\bigwedge x y. x--y \in G \longleftrightarrow x--y \in G') \implies G = G'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *in-join[simp]*:  
 $x--y \in (G \sqcup G') \longleftrightarrow x--y \in G \vee x--y \in G'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *in-lub[simp]*:  $x--y \in (\text{lub } S) \longleftrightarrow (\exists G \in S. x--y \in G)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *in-CoCallsLubI*:  
 $x--y \in G \implies G \in S \implies x--y \in \text{lub } S$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *adm-not-in[simp]*:  
**assumes** *cont t*  
**shows** *adm* ( $\lambda a. x--y \notin t a$ )  
*(proof)*

**lift-definition** *cc-delete* :: *var*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls*  
**is**  $\lambda z. \text{Set.filter} (\lambda (x,y). x \neq z \wedge y \neq z)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ccField-cc-delete*: *ccField* (*cc-delete* *x* *S*)  $\subseteq$  *ccField* *S*  $- \{x\}$   
*(proof)*

**lift-definition** *ccProd* :: *var set*  $\Rightarrow$  *var set*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls* (**infixr**  $\langle G \times \rangle$  90)  
**is**  $\lambda S1 S2. S1 \times S2 \cup S2 \times S1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ccProd-empty[simp]*:  $\{\} \text{ } G \times \text{ } S = \perp$  *(proof)*

**lemma** *ccProd-empty'[simp]*: *S*  $G \times \{\} = \perp$  *(proof)*

**lemma** *ccProd-union2[simp]*: *S*  $G \times (S' \cup S'') = S \text{ } G \times \text{ } S' \sqcup S \text{ } G \times \text{ } S''$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ccProd-Union2[simp]*: *S*  $G \times \bigcup S' = (\bigsqcup_{X \in S'} \text{ccProd } S X)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ccProd-Union2'[simp]*: *S*  $G \times (\bigcup_{X \in S'} f X) = (\bigsqcup_{X \in S'} \text{ccProd } S (f X))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *in-ccProd[simp]*:  $x--y \in (S \text{ } G \times \text{ } S') = (x \in S \wedge y \in S' \vee x \in S' \wedge y \in S)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ccProd-union1[simp]*:  $(S' \cup S'') \text{ } G \times \text{ } S = S' \text{ } G \times \text{ } S \sqcup S'' \text{ } G \times \text{ } S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccProd-insert2*:  $S \text{ G} \times \text{ insert } x S' = S \text{ G} \times \{x\} \sqcup S \text{ G} \times S'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccProd-insert1*:  $\text{insert } x S' \text{ G} \times S = \{x\} \text{ G} \times S \sqcup S' \text{ G} \times S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccProd-mono1*:  $S' \subseteq S'' \implies S' \text{ G} \times S \sqsubseteq S'' \text{ G} \times S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccProd-mono2*:  $S' \subseteq S'' \implies S \text{ G} \times S' \sqsubseteq S \text{ G} \times S''$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccProd-mono*:  $S \subseteq S' \implies T \subseteq T' \implies S \text{ G} \times T \sqsubseteq S' \text{ G} \times T'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccProd-comm*:  $S \text{ G} \times S' = S' \text{ G} \times S$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccProd-belowI*:  
 $(\bigwedge x y. x \in S \implies y \in S' \implies x - y \in G) \implies S \text{ G} \times S' \sqsubseteq G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *cc-restr* :: *var set*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls*  
**is**  $\lambda S. \text{Set.filter } (\lambda (x,y). x \in S \wedge y \in S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *cc-restr-sym* (**infixl**  $\langle G | \cdot \rangle$  110) **where**  $G | \cdot S \equiv \text{cc-restr } S G$

**lemma** *elem-cc-restr[simp]*:  $x - y \in (G | \cdot S) = (x - y \in G \wedge x \in S \wedge y \in S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccField-cc-restr*:  $\text{ccField } (G | \cdot S) \subseteq \text{ccField } G \cap S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-empty*:  $\text{ccField } G \subseteq -S \implies G | \cdot S = \perp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-empty-set[simp]*:  $\text{cc-restr } \{\} G = \perp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-noop[simp]*:  $\text{ccField } G \subseteq S \implies \text{cc-restr } S G = G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-bot[simp]*:  $\text{cc-restr } S \perp = \perp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccRestr-ccDelete[simp]*:  $\text{cc-restr } (-\{x\}) G = \text{cc-delete } x G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-join*[simp]:

$cc\text{-restr } S (G \sqcup G') = cc\text{-restr } S G \sqcup cc\text{-restr } S G'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cont-cc-restr*: *cont* (*cc-restr* *S*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *cont-compose*[*OF cont-cc-restr, cont2cont, simp*]

**lemma** *cc-restr-mono1*:

$S \subseteq S' \implies cc\text{-restr } S G \sqsubseteq cc\text{-restr } S' G$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-mono2*:

$G \sqsubseteq G' \implies cc\text{-restr } S G \sqsubseteq cc\text{-restr } S G'$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-below-arg*:

$cc\text{-restr } S G \sqsubseteq G$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-lub*[simp]:

$cc\text{-restr } S (lub X) = (\bigsqcup_{G \in X} cc\text{-restr } S G)$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *elem-to-ccField*:  $x -- y \in G \implies x \in ccField G \wedge y \in ccField G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccField-to-elem*:  $x \in ccField G \implies \exists y. x -- y \in G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-intersect*:  $ccField G \cap ((S - S') \cup (S' - S)) = \{\}$   $\implies cc\text{-restr } S G = cc\text{-restr } S' G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-cc-restr*[simp]:  $cc\text{-restr } S (cc\text{-restr } S' G) = cc\text{-restr } (S \cap S') G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-twist*:  $cc\text{-restr } S (cc\text{-restr } S' G) = cc\text{-restr } S' (cc\text{-restr } S G)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-cc-delete-twist*:  $cc\text{-restr } x (cc\text{-delete } S G) = cc\text{-delete } S (cc\text{-restr } x G)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-ccProd*[simp]:

$cc\text{-restr } S (ccProd S_1 S_2) = ccProd (S_1 \cap S) (S_2 \cap S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccProd-below-cc-restr*:

$ccProd S S' \sqsubseteq cc\text{-restr } S'' G \longleftrightarrow ccProd S S' \sqsubseteq G \wedge (S = \{\} \vee S' = \{\} \vee S \subseteq S'' \wedge S' \subseteq S'')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-eq-subset*:  $S \subseteq S' \implies cc\text{-restr } S' G = cc\text{-restr } S' G2 \implies cc\text{-restr } S G = cc\text{-restr } S G2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *ccSquare* ( $\langle -^2 \rangle$  [80] 80)  
  **where**  $S^2 = ccProd S S$

**lemma** *ccField-ccSquare[simp]*:  $ccField (S^2) = S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *below-ccSquare[iff]*:  $(G \sqsubseteq S^2) = (ccField G \subseteq S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-ccSquare[simp]*:  $(S'^2) |' S = (S' \cap S)^2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccSquare-empty[simp]*:  $\{\}^2 = \perp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** *ccNeighbors* :: *var*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls*  $\Rightarrow$  *var set*  
  **is**  $\lambda x G. \{y . (y,x) \in G \vee (x,y) \in G\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccNeighbors-bot[simp]*:  $ccNeighbors x \perp = \{\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cont-ccProd1*:  
  *cont* ( $\lambda S. ccProd S S'$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cont-ccProd2*:  
  *cont* ( $\lambda S'. ccProd S S'$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *cont-compose2[OF cont-ccProd1 cont-ccProd2, simp, cont2cont]*

**lemma** *cont-ccNeighbors[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]*:  
  *cont* ( $\lambda y. ccNeighbors x y$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccNeighbors-join[simp]*:  $ccNeighbors x (G \sqcup G') = ccNeighbors x G \cup ccNeighbors x G'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccNeighbors-ccProd*:  
   $ccNeighbors x (ccProd S S') = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } S' \text{ else } \{\}) \cup (\text{if } x \in S' \text{ then } S \text{ else } \{\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma ccNeighbors-ccSquare:
  ccNeighbors x (ccSquare S) = (if x ∈ S then S else {})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccNeighbors-cc-restr[simp]:
  ccNeighbors x (cc-restr S G) = (if x ∈ S then ccNeighbors x G ∩ S else {})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccNeighbors-mono:
  G ⊆ G' ⇒ ccNeighbors x G ⊆ ccNeighbors x G'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subset-ccNeighbors:
  S ⊆ ccNeighbors x G ↔ ccProd {x} S ⊆ G
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma elem-ccNeighbors[simp]:
  y ∈ ccNeighbors x G ↔ (y -- x ∈ G)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccNeighbors-ccField:
  ccNeighbors x G ⊆ ccField G ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccNeighbors-disjoint-empty[simp]:
  ccNeighbors x G = {} ↔ x ∉ ccField G
  ⟨proof⟩

instance CoCalls :: Join-cpo
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccNeighbors-lub[simp]: ccNeighbors x (lub Gs) = lub (ccNeighbors x ` Gs)
  ⟨proof⟩

inductive list-pairs :: 'a list ⇒ ('a × 'a) ⇒ bool
  where list-pairs xs p ⇒ list-pairs (x#xs) p
    | y ∈ set xs ⇒ list-pairs (x#xs) (x,y)

lift-definition ccFromList :: var list ⇒ CoCalls is λ xs. {(x,y). list-pairs xs (x,y) ∨ list-pairs xs (y,x)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccFromList-Nil[simp]: ccFromList [] = ⊥
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccFromList-Cons[simp]: ccFromList (x#xs) = ccProd {x} (set xs) ⊔ ccFromList xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccFromList-append[simp]: ccFromList (xs@ys) = ccFromList xs ⊔ ccFromList ys ⊔
  ccProd (set xs) (set ys)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccFromList-filter*[simp]:

$ccFromList (\text{filter } P xs) = cc\text{-restr } \{x. P x\} (ccFromList xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccFromList-replicate*[simp]:  $ccFromList (\text{replicate } n x) = (\text{if } n \leq 1 \text{ then } \perp \text{ else } ccProd \{x\} \{x\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *ccLinear* :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  bool

where  $ccLinear S G = (\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. x - y \notin G)$

**lemma** *ccLinear-bottom*[simp]:

$ccLinear S \perp$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccLinear-empty*[simp]:

$ccLinear \{\} G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccLinear-lub*[simp]:

$ccLinear S (\text{lub } X) = (\forall G \in X. ccLinear S G)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccLinear-cc-restr*[intro]:

$ccLinear S G \implies ccLinear S (\text{cc-restr } S' G)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccLinear-join*[simp]:

$ccLinear S (G \sqcup G') \longleftrightarrow ccLinear S G \wedge ccLinear S G'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccLinear-ccProd*[simp]:

$ccLinear S (ccProd S_1 S_2) \longleftrightarrow S_1 \cap S = \{\} \vee S_2 \cap S = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccLinear-mono1*:  $ccLinear S' G \implies S \subseteq S' \implies ccLinear S G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccLinear-mono2*:  $ccLinear S G' \implies G \sqsubseteq G' \implies ccLinear S G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccField-join*[simp]:

$ccField (G \sqcup G') = ccField G \cup ccField G' \langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma ccField-lub[simp]:
  ccField (lub S) =  $\bigcup (ccField ' S)$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccField-ccProd:
  ccField (ccProd S S') = (if S = {} then {} else if S' = {} then {} else S  $\cup$  S')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccField-ccProd-subset:
  ccField (ccProd S S')  $\subseteq$  S  $\cup$  S'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cont-ccField[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont ccField
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 11.2 CoCallGraph-Nominal

```

theory CoCallGraph–Nominal
imports CoCallGraph Launchbury.Nominal–HOLCF
begin

instantiation CoCalls :: pt
begin
  lift-definition permute–CoCalls :: perm  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls is permute
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  instance
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

instance CoCalls :: cont-pt
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas lub-eqvt[OF exists-lub, simp, eqvt]

lemma cc-restr-perm:
  fixes G :: CoCalls
  assumes supp p  $\#*$  S and [simp]: finite S
  shows cc-restr S (p  $\cdot$  G) = cc-restr S G
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma inCC-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (x -- y \in G) = (\pi \cdot x) -- (\pi \cdot y) \in (\pi \cdot G)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma cc-restr-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot cc\text{-restr } S \text{ } G = cc\text{-restr } (\pi \cdot S) \text{ } (\pi \cdot G)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ccProd-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot ccProd S S' = ccProd (\pi \cdot S) (\pi \cdot S')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma ccSquare-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot ccSquare S = ccSquare (\pi \cdot S)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma ccNeighbors-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot ccNeighbors S G = ccNeighbors (\pi \cdot S) (\pi \cdot G)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 12 Co-Call Cardinality Analysis

### 12.1 CoCallAnalysisSig

```

theory CoCallAnalysisSig
imports Launchbury.Terms Arity CoCallGraph
begin

locale CoCallAnalysis =
  fixes ccExp :: exp ⇒ Arity → CoCalls
begin
  abbreviation ccExp-syn (⟨G_⟩)
    where G_a ≡ (λe. ccExp e · a)
  abbreviation ccExp-bot-syn (⟨G⊥_⟩)
    where G⊥_a ≡ (λe. fup · (ccExp e) · a)
end

locale CoCallAnalysisIsHeap =
  fixes ccHeap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → CoCalls
end

```

### 12.2 CoCallAnalysisBinds

```

theory CoCallAnalysisBinds
imports CoCallAnalysisSig AEnv AList-Utils-HOLCF Arity-Nominal CoCallGraph-Nominal
begin

context CoCallAnalysis
begin
definition ccBind :: var ⇒ exp ⇒ ((AEnv × CoCalls) → CoCalls)
  where ccBind v e = (Λ (ae, G). if (v -- v ∉ G) ∨ ¬ isVal e then cc-restr (fv e) (fup · (ccExp e) · (ae v)) else ccSquare (fv e))

lemma ccBind-eq:
  ccBind v e · (ae, G) = (if v -- v ∉ G ∨ ¬ isVal e then G⊥ ae v e G|‘ fv e else (fv e)²)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccBind\text{-strict}[simp]$ :  $ccBind v e \cdot \perp = \perp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccField\text{-}ccBind$ :  $ccField(ccBind v e \cdot (ae, G)) \subseteq fv e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $ccBinds :: heap \Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow CoCalls)$   
**where**  $ccBinds \Gamma = (\Lambda i. (\bigsqcup_{v \mapsto e \in map-of \Gamma} ccBind v e \cdot i))$

**lemma**  $ccBinds\text{-eq}$ :  
 $ccBinds \Gamma \cdot i = (\bigsqcup_{v \mapsto e \in map-of \Gamma} ccBind v e \cdot i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccBinds\text{-strict}[simp]$ :  $ccBinds \Gamma \cdot \perp = \perp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccBinds\text{-strict}'[simp]$ :  $ccBinds \Gamma \cdot (\perp, \perp) = \perp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccBinds\text{-reorder1}$ :  
**assumes**  $map-of \Gamma v = Some e$   
**shows**  $ccBinds \Gamma = ccBind v e \sqcup ccBinds(\text{delete } v \Gamma)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccBinds\text{-Nil}[simp]$ :  
 $ccBinds [] = \perp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccBinds\text{-Cons}[simp]$ :  
 $ccBinds((x, e) \# \Gamma) = ccBind x e \sqcup ccBinds(\text{delete } x \Gamma)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccBind\text{-below-}ccBinds$ :  $map-of \Gamma x = Some e \implies ccBind x e \cdot ae \sqsubseteq (ccBinds \Gamma \cdot ae)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccField\text{-}ccBinds$ :  $ccField(ccBinds \Gamma \cdot (ae, G)) \subseteq fv \Gamma$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $ccBindsExtra :: heap \Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow CoCalls)$   
**where**  $ccBindsExtra \Gamma = (\Lambda i. \text{snd } i \sqcup ccBinds \Gamma \cdot i \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{x \mapsto e \in map-of \Gamma} ccProd(fv e)(ccNeighbors x (\text{snd } i))))$

**lemma**  $ccBindsExtra\text{-simp}$ :  $ccBindsExtra \Gamma \cdot i = \text{snd } i \sqcup ccBinds \Gamma \cdot i \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{x \mapsto e \in map-of \Gamma} ccProd(fv e)(ccNeighbors x (\text{snd } i)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ccBindsExtra\text{-eq}$ :  $ccBindsExtra \Gamma \cdot (ae, G) =$

$G \sqcup ccBinds \Gamma \cdot (ae, G) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{x \mapsto e \in map-of} \Gamma. fv e \ G \times ccNeighbors x \ G)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccBindsExtra-strict*[simp]:  $ccBindsExtra \Gamma \cdot \perp = \perp$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccField-ccBindsExtra*:  
 $ccField (ccBindsExtra \Gamma \cdot (ae, G)) \subseteq fv \Gamma \cup ccField G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** *ccBind-eqvt*[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallAnalysis.ccBind cccExp x e) = CoCallAnalysis.ccBind (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccBinds-eqvt*[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds cccExp \Gamma) = CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccBindsExtra-eqvt*[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra cccExp \Gamma) = CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccBind-cong*[fundef-cong]:  
 $cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e \implies CoCallAnalysis.ccBind cccexp1 x e = CoCallAnalysis.ccBind cccexp2 x e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccBinds-cong*[fundef-cong]:  
 $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in snd \text{ ' set } heap2 \implies cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$   
 $\implies CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds cccexp1 heap1 = CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds cccexp2 heap2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccBindsExtra-cong*[fundef-cong]:  
 $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in snd \text{ ' set } heap2 \implies cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$   
 $\implies CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra cccexp1 heap1 = CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra cccexp2 heap2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

### 12.3 CoCallAritySig

**theory** *CoCallAritySig*  
**imports** *ArityAnalysisSig CoCallAnalysisSig*  
**begin**

**locale** *CoCallArity* = *CoCallAnalysis* + *ArityAnalysis*

end

## 12.4 CoCallAnalysisSpec

```

theory CoCallAnalysisSpec
imports CoCallAritySig ArityAnalysisSpec
begin

locale CoCallArityEdom = CoCallArity + EdomArityAnalysis

locale CoCallAritySafe = CoCallArity + CoCallAnalysisHeap + ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
assumes ccExp-App: ccExp e·(inc·a) ⊑ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e)) ⊑ ccExp (App e x)·a
assumes ccExp-Lam: cc-restr (fv (Lam [y]. e)) (ccExp e·(pred·n)) ⊑ ccExp (Lam [y]. e)·n
assumes ccExp-subst: x ∉ S ⇒ y ∉ S ⇒ cc-restr S (ccExp e[y:=x]·a) ⊑ cc-restr S (ccExp e·a)
assumes ccExp-pap: isVal e ⇒ ccExp e·0 = ccSquare (fv e)
assumes ccExp-Let: cc-restr (−domA Γ) (ccHeap Γ e·a) ⊑ ccExp (Let Γ e)·a
assumes ccExp-IfThenElse: ccExp scrut·0 ⊑ (ccExp e1·a ⊑ ccExp e2·a) ⊑ ccProd (edom (Aexp scrut·0)) (edom (Aexp e1·a) ∪ edom (Aexp e2·a)) ⊑ ccExp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a
assumes ccHeap-Exp: ccExp e·a ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
assumes ccHeap-Heap: map-of Δ x = Some e' ⇒ (Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a' ⇒ ccExp e'·a' ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
assumes ccHeap-Extra-Edges:
map-of Δ x = Some e' ⇒ (Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a' ⇒ ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) − {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
assumes aHeap-thunks-rec: ¬ nonrec Γ ⇒ x ∈ thunks Γ ⇒ x ∈ edom (Aheap Γ e·a) ⇒ (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0
assumes aHeap-thunks-nonrec: nonrec Γ ⇒ x ∈ thunks Γ ⇒ x--x ∈ ccExp e·a ⇒ (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0

end

```

## 12.5 CoCallFix

```

theory CoCallFix
imports CoCallAnalysisSig CoCallAnalysisBinds ArityAnalysisSig Launchbury.Env-Nominal
ArityAnalysisFix
begin

```

```

locale CoCallArityAnalysis =
fixes cccExp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → AEnv × CoCalls)
begin

```

```

definition Aexp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → AEnv)
  where Aexp e = (Λ a. fst (cccExp e · a))

sublocale ArityAnalysis Aexp⟨proof⟩

abbreviation Aexp-syn' (<A_>) where A_a ≡ (λe. Aexp e · a)
abbreviation Aexp-bot-syn' (<A^⊥_>) where A_perp_a ≡ (λe. fup·(Aexp e) · a)

lemma Aexp-eq:
  A_a e = fst (cccExp e · a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fup-Aexp-eq:
  fup·(Aexp e) · a = fst (fup·(cccExp e) · a)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition CCexp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → CoCalls) where CCexp Γ = (Λ a. snd (cccExp Γ · a))
lemma CCexp-eq:
  CCexp e · a = snd (cccExp e · a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fup-CCexp-eq:
  fup·(CCexp e) · a = snd (fup·(cccExp e) · a)
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale CoCallAnalysis CCexp⟨proof⟩

definition CCfix :: heap ⇒ (AEnv × CoCalls) → CoCalls
  where CCfix Γ = (Λ aeG. (μ G'. ccBindsExtra Γ · (fst aeG , G') ⊔ snd aeG))

lemma CCfix-eq:
  CCfix Γ · (ae, G) = (μ G'. ccBindsExtra Γ · (ae, G') ⊔ G)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma CCfix-unroll: CCfix Γ · (ae, G) = ccBindsExtra Γ · (ae, CCfix Γ · (ae, G)) ⊔ G
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fup-ccExp-restr-subst':
  assumes ⋀ a. cc-restr S (CCexp e[x:=y] · a) = cc-restr S (CCexp e · a)
  shows cc-restr S (fup·(CCexp e[x:=y]) · a) = cc-restr S (fup·(CCexp e) · a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccBindsExtra-restr-subst':
  assumes ⋀ x' e a. (x', e) ∈ set Γ ⇒ cc-restr S (CCexp e[x:=y] · a) = cc-restr S (CCexp e · a)
  assumes x ∉ S
  assumes y ∉ S

```

```

assumes domA  $\Gamma \subseteq S$ 
shows cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma[x::h=y] \cdot (ae, G)$ )
        = cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma \cdot (ae f|` S, cc\text{-restr } S G)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccBindsExtra-restr:
assumes domA  $\Gamma \subseteq S$ 
shows cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G)$ ) = cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma \cdot (ae f|` S, cc\text{-restr } S G)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CCfix-restr:
assumes domA  $\Gamma \subseteq S$ 
shows cc-restr S (CCfix  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G)$ ) = cc-restr S (CCfix  $\Gamma \cdot (ae f|` S, cc\text{-restr } S G)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccField-CCfix:
shows ccField (CCfix  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G)$ )  $\subseteq fv \Gamma \cup ccField G$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CCfix-restr-subst':
assumes  $\bigwedge x' e. (x', e) \in set \Gamma \implies cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e[x::=y] \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
assumes  $x \notin S$ 
assumes  $y \notin S$ 
assumes domA  $\Gamma \subseteq S$ 
shows cc-restr S (CCfix  $\Gamma[x::h=y] \cdot (ae, G)$ ) = cc-restr S (CCfix  $\Gamma \cdot (ae f|` S, cc\text{-restr } S G)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma Aexp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp \ cccExp e) = CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CCexp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp \ cccExp e) = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma CCfix-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix \ cccExp \Gamma) = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccFix-cong[fundef-cong]:
 $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in snd ` set heap2 \implies cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix cccexp1 heap1 = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix cccexp2 heap2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

context CoCallArityAnalysis
begin
definition cccFix :: heap  $\Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow (AEnv \times CoCalls))$ 
  where cccFix  $\Gamma = (\Lambda i. (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|` thunks \Gamma), CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|` (thunks \Gamma)), snd i)))$ 

lemma cccFix-eq:
   $cccFix \Gamma \cdot i = (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|` thunks \Gamma), CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|` (thunks \Gamma)), snd i))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

lemma cccFix-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix cccExp \Gamma) = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cccFix-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\wedge e. e \in snd ` set heap2 \implies cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix cccexp1 heap1 = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix cccexp2 heap2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

### 12.5.1 The non-recursive case

**definition** ABind-nonrec :: var  $\Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow AEnv \times CoCalls \rightarrow Arity_{\perp}$   
**where**

$$ABind\text{-nonrec } x e = (\Lambda i. (if isVal e \vee x -- x \notin (snd i) then fst i x else up \cdot 0))$$

**lemma** ABind-nonrec-eq:
  $ABind\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot (ae, G) = (if isVal e \vee x -- x \notin G then ae x else up \cdot 0)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** ABind-nonrec-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (ABind\text{-nonrec } x e) = ABind\text{-nonrec } (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** ABind-nonrec-above-arg:
  $ae x \sqsubseteq ABind\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot (ae, G)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** Aheap-nonrec **where**  
 $Aheap\text{-nonrec } x e = (\Lambda i. esing x \cdot (ABind\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot i))$

**lemma** Aheap-nonrec-simp:
  $Aheap\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot i = esing x \cdot (ABind\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot i)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** Aheap-nonrec-lookup[simp]:
  $(Aheap\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot i) x = ABind\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eqvt'[eqvt]$ :  
 $\pi \cdot (Aheap\text{-}nonrec x e) = Aheap\text{-}nonrec (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**context**  $CoCallArityAnalysis$   
**begin**

**definition**  $Afix\text{-}nonrec$   
**where**  $Afix\text{-}nonrec x e = (\Lambda i. fup \cdot (Aexp e) \cdot (ABind\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i) \sqcup fst i)$

**lemma**  $Afix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq[simp]$ :  
 $Afix\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i = fup \cdot (Aexp e) \cdot (ABind\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i) \sqcup fst i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $CCfix\text{-}nonrec$   
**where**  $CCfix\text{-}nonrec x e = (\Lambda i. ccBind x e \cdot (Aheap\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i, snd i) \sqcup ccProd (fv e) (ccNeighbors x (snd i) - (if isVal e then \{} else \{x\})) \sqcup snd i)$

**lemma**  $CCfix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq[simp]$ :  
 $CCfix\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i = ccBind x e \cdot (Aheap\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i, snd i) \sqcup ccProd (fv e) (ccNeighbors x (snd i) - (if isVal e then \{} else \{x\})) \sqcup snd i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $cccFix\text{-}nonrec :: var \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow (AEnv \times CoCalls))$   
**where**  $cccFix\text{-}nonrec x e = (\Lambda i. (Afix\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i, CCfix\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i))$

**lemma**  $cccFix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq[simp]$ :  
 $cccFix\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i = (Afix\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i, CCfix\text{-}nonrec x e \cdot i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**lemma**  $AFix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eqvt[eqvt]$ :  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix\text{-}nonrec cccExp x e) = CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix\text{-}nonrec (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $CCFix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eqvt[eqvt]$ :  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix\text{-}nonrec cccExp x e) = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix\text{-}nonrec (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cccFix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eqvt[eqvt]$ :  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-}nonrec cccExp x e) = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-}nonrec (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.5.2 Combining the cases

```

context CoCallArityAnalysis
begin

definition cccFix-choose :: heap ⇒ ((AEnv × CoCalls) → (AEnv × CoCalls))
  where cccFix-choose Γ = (if nonrec Γ then case-prod cccFix-nonrec (hd Γ) else cccFix Γ)

lemma cccFix-choose-simp1[simp]:
  ¬ nonrec Γ ⇒ cccFix-choose Γ = cccFix Γ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cccFix-choose-simp2[simp]:
  x ∉ fv e ⇒ cccFix-choose [(x,e)] = cccFix-nonrec x e
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma cccFix-choose-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cccExp Γ) = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose (π · cccExp) (π · Γ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cccFix-nonrec-cong[fundef-cong]:
  cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e ⇒ CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec cccexp1 x e = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec cccexp2 x e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cccFix-choose-cong[fundef-cong]:
  [ (Λ e. e ∈ snd ` set heap2 ⇒ cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 ]
    ⇒ CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cccexp1 heap1 = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cccexp2 heap2
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 12.6 CoCallGraph-TTree

```

theory CoCallGraph-TTree
imports CoCallGraph TTree-HOLCF
begin

lemma interleave-ccFromList:
  xs ∈ interleave ys zs ⇒ ccFromList xs = ccFromList ys ⊔ ccFromList zs ⊔ ccProd (set ys)
  (set zs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition ccApprox :: var ttree ⇒ CoCalls
  is λ xss . lub (ccFromList ` xss)⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *ccApprox-paths*:  $\text{ccApprox } t = \text{lub} (\text{ccFromList} ` (\text{paths } t))$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-strict[simp]*:  $\text{ccApprox } \perp = \perp$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in-ccApprox*:  $(x -- y \in (\text{ccApprox } t)) \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs \in \text{paths } t. (x -- y \in (\text{ccFromList } xs)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-mono*:  $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } t' \implies \text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox } t'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-mono'*:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox } t'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-belowI*:  $(\bigwedge xs. xs \in \text{paths } t \implies \text{ccFromList } xs \sqsubseteq G) \implies \text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-below-iff*:  $\text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq G \longleftrightarrow (\forall xs \in \text{paths } t. \text{ccFromList } xs \sqsubseteq G)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-ccApprox-below-iff*:  $\text{cc-restr } S (\text{ccApprox } t) \sqsubseteq G \longleftrightarrow (\forall xs \in \text{paths } t. \text{cc-restr } S (\text{ccFromList } xs) \sqsubseteq G)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccFromList-below-ccApprox*:  
 $xs \in \text{paths } t \implies \text{ccFromList } xs \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox } t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-nxt-below*:  
 $\text{ccApprox} (\text{nxt } t x) \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox } t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-ttree-restr-nxt-below*:  
 $\text{ccApprox} (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x)) \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox} (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-ttree-restr[simp]*:  $\text{ccApprox} (\text{ttree-restr } S t) = \text{cc-restr } S (\text{ccApprox } t)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-both*:  $\text{ccApprox} (t \otimes \otimes t') = \text{ccApprox } t \sqcup \text{ccApprox } t' \sqcup \text{ccProd} (\text{carrier } t)$   
 $(\text{carrier } t')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-many-calls[simp]*:  
 $\text{ccApprox} (\text{many-calls } x) = \text{ccProd} \{x\} \{x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-single[simp]*:  
 $\text{ccApprox} (\text{TTree.single } y) = \perp$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccApprox-either*[simp]:  $ccApprox(t \oplus\oplus t') = ccApprox t \sqcup ccApprox t'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wild-recursion*:  
**assumes**  $ccApprox t \sqsubseteq G$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \notin S \implies f x = empty$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies ccApprox(f x) \sqsubseteq G$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies ccProd(ccNeighbors x G)(carrier(f x)) \sqsubseteq G$   
**shows**  $ccApprox(ttree-restr(-S)(substitute f T t)) \sqsubseteq G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wild-recursion-thunked*:  
**assumes**  $ccApprox t \sqsubseteq G$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \notin S \implies f x = empty$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies ccApprox(f x) \sqsubseteq G$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies ccProd(ccNeighbors x G - \{x\} \cap T)(carrier(f x)) \sqsubseteq G$   
**shows**  $ccApprox(ttree-restr(-S)(substitute f T t)) \sqsubseteq G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**inductive-set** *valid-lists* :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  var list set  
**for**  $S G$   
**where**  $[] \in valid-lists S G$   
 $| set xs \subseteq ccNeighbors x G \implies xs \in valid-lists S G \implies x \in S \implies x \# xs \in valid-lists S G$

**inductive-simps** *valid-lists-simps*[simp]:  $[] \in valid-lists S G$  ( $x \# xs \in valid-lists S G$ )  
**inductive-cases** *vald-lists-ConsE*:  $(x \# xs) \in valid-lists S G$

**lemma** *valid-lists-downset-aux*:  
 $xs \in valid-lists S$  CoCalls  $\implies$  butlast  $xs \in valid-lists S$  CoCalls  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *valid-lists-subset*:  $xs \in valid-lists S G \implies set xs \subseteq S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *valid-lists-mono1*:  
**assumes**  $S \subseteq S'$   
**shows**  $valid-lists S G \subseteq valid-lists S' G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *valid-lists-chain1*:  
**assumes** *chain Y*  
**assumes**  $xs \in valid-lists (\bigcup(Y \setminus UNIV)) G$   
**shows**  $\exists i. xs \in valid-lists (Y i) G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma valid-lists-chain2:
  assumes chain Y
  assumes xs ∈ valid-lists S (⊔ i. Y i)
  shows ∃ i. xs ∈ valid-lists S (Y i)
  {proof}

lemma valid-lists-cc-restr: valid-lists S G = valid-lists S (cc-restr S G)
{proof}

lemma interleave-valid-list:
  xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ⇒ ys ∈ valid-lists S G ⇒ zs ∈ valid-lists S' G' ⇒ xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S')
  (G ⊔ (G' ⊔ ccProd S S'))
  {proof}

lemma interleave-valid-list':
  xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S') G ⇒ ∃ ys zs. xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ∧ ys ∈ valid-lists S G ∧ zs ∈ valid-lists
  S' G
  {proof}

lemma many-calls-valid-list:
  xs ∈ valid-lists {x} (ccProd {x} {x}) ⇒ xs ∈ range (λn. replicate n x)
  {proof}

lemma filter-valid-lists:
  xs ∈ valid-lists S G ⇒ filter P xs ∈ valid-lists {a ∈ S. P a} G
  {proof}

lift-definition ccTTree :: var set ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ var ttree is λ S G. valid-lists S G
{proof}

lemma paths-ccTTree[simp]: paths (ccTTree S G) = valid-lists S G {proof}

lemma carrier-ccTTree[simp]: carrier (ccTTree S G) = S
{proof}

lemma valid-lists-ccFromList:
  xs ∈ valid-lists S G ⇒ ccFromList xs ⊑ cc-restr S G
  {proof}

lemma ccApprox-ccTTree[simp]: ccApprox (ccTTree S G) = cc-restr S G
{proof}

lemma below-ccTTreeI:
  assumes carrier t ⊑ S and ccApprox t ⊑ G
  shows t ⊑ ccTTree S G
  {proof}

lemma ccTTree-mono1:

```

$S \subseteq S' \implies ccTTree\ S\ G \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ S'\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cont-ccTTree1*:  
*cont* ( $\lambda S. ccTTree\ S\ G$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccTTree-mono2*:  
 $G \sqsubseteq G' \implies ccTTree\ S\ G \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ S\ G'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccTTree-mono*:  
 $S \subseteq S' \implies G \sqsubseteq G' \implies ccTTree\ S\ G \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ S'\ G'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cont-ccTTree2*:  
*cont* ( $ccTTree\ S$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *cont-ccTTree* = *cont-compose2*[**where**  $c = ccTTree$ , *OF cont-ccTTree1 cont-ccTTree2, simp, cont2cont*]

**lemma** *ccTTree-below-singleI*:  
**assumes**  $S \cap S' = \{\}$   
**shows**  $ccTTree\ S\ G \sqsubseteq singles\ S'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccTTree-cc-restr*:  $ccTTree\ S\ G = ccTTree\ S\ (cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccTTree-cong-below*:  $cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G \sqsubseteq cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G' \implies ccTTree\ S\ G \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ S\ G'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccTTree-cong*:  $cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G = cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G' \implies ccTTree\ S\ G = ccTTree\ S\ G'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *either-ccTTree*:  
 $ccTTree\ S\ G \oplus\oplus ccTTree\ S'\ G' \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ (S \cup S')\ (G \sqcup G')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *interleave-ccTTree*:  
 $ccTTree\ S\ G \otimes\otimes ccTTree\ S'\ G' \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ (S \cup S')\ (G \sqcup G' \sqcup ccProd\ S\ S')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *interleave-ccTTree'*:  
 $ccTTree\ (S \cup S')\ G \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ S\ G \otimes\otimes ccTTree\ S'\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *many-calls-ccTTree*:  
  **shows** *many-calls*  $x = ccTTree \{x\} (ccProd \{x\} \{x\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *filter-valid-lists'*:  
   $xs \in valid-lists \{x' \in S. P x'\} G \implies xs \in filter P ` valid-lists S G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *without-ccTTree[simp]*:  
   $without x (ccTTree S G) = ccTTree (S - \{x\}) G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ttree-restr-ccTTree[simp]*:  
   $ttree-restr S' (ccTTree S G) = ccTTree (S \cap S') G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *repeatable-ccTTree-ccSquare*:  $S \subseteq S' \implies repeatable (ccTTree S (ccSquare S'))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

An alternative definition

**inductive** *valid-lists'* :: *var set*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls*  $\Rightarrow$  *var set*  $\Rightarrow$  *var list*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
  **for**  $S G$   
  **where** *valid-lists'*  $S G$  *prefix*  $\square$   
     $| prefix \subseteq ccNeighbors x G \implies valid-lists' S G (insert x prefix) xs \implies x \in S \implies valid-lists' S G$  *prefix*  $(x \# xs)$

**inductive-simps** *valid-lists'-simps[simp]*: *valid-lists'*  $S G$  *prefix*  $\square$  *valid-lists'*  $S G$  *prefix*  $(x \# xs)$   
**inductive-cases** *vald-lists'-Conse*: *valid-lists'*  $S G$  *prefix*  $(x \# xs)$

**lemma** *valid-lists-valid-lists'*:  
   $xs \in valid-lists S G \implies ccProd prefix (set xs) \sqsubseteq G \implies valid-lists' S G$  *prefix*  $xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *valid-lists'-valid-lists-aux*:  
   $valid-lists' S G$  *prefix*  $xs \implies x \in prefix \implies ccProd (set xs) \{x\} \sqsubseteq G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *valid-lists'-valid-lists*:  
   $valid-lists' S G$  *prefix*  $xs \implies xs \in valid-lists S G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Yet another definition

**lemma** *valid-lists-characterization*:  
   $xs \in valid-lists S G \iff set xs \subseteq S \wedge (\forall n. ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) \sqsubseteq G)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 12.7 CoCallImplTTree

```
theory CoCallImplTTree
imports TTreeAnalysisSig Env-Set-Cpo CoCallAritySig CoCallGraph-TTree
begin

context CoCallArity
begin
definition Texp :: exp ⇒ Arity → var ttree
  where Texp e = (Λ a. ccTTree (edom (Aexp e · a)) (ccExp e · a))

lemma Texp-simp: Texp e · a = ccTTree (edom (Aexp e · a)) (ccExp e · a)
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale TTreeAnalysis Texp⟨proof⟩
end

end
```

## 12.8 CoCallImplTTreeSafe

```
theory CoCallImplTTreeSafe
imports CoCallImplTTree CoCallAnalysisSpec TTreeAnalysisSpec
begin

lemma valid-lists-many-calls:
  assumes ¬ one-call-in-path x p
  assumes p ∈ valid-lists S G
  shows x--x ∈ G
  ⟨proof⟩

context CoCallArityEdom
begin
lemma carrier-Fexp': carrier (Texp e · a) ⊆ fv e
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context CoCallAritySafe
begin

lemma carrier-AnalBinds-below:
  carrier ((Texp.AnalBinds Δ · (Aheap Δ e · a)) x) ⊆ edom ((ABinds Δ) · (Aheap Δ e · a))
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale TTreeAnalysisCarrier Texp
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** *TTreeAnalysisSafe Texp*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *Theap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  var ttree*  
**where** *Theap  $\Gamma e = (\Lambda a. if\ nonrec\ \Gamma\ then\ ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a))\ (ccExp\ e\cdot a)\ else\ ttree-restr\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a))\ anything)$*

**lemma** *Theap-simp: Theap  $\Gamma e\cdot a = (if\ nonrec\ \Gamma\ then\ ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a))\ (ccExp\ e\cdot a)\ else\ ttree-restr\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a))\ anything)$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *carrier-Fheap':carrier (Theap  $\Gamma e\cdot a) = edom\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a)$*   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** *TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap Texp Aexp Aheap Theap*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
**end**

**lemma** *paths-singles: xs  $\in$  paths (singles S)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in S.$  one-call-in-path x xs)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *paths-singles': xs  $\in$  paths (singles S)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in (set\ xs \cap S).$  one-call-in-path x xs)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *both-below-singles1:*  
**assumes** *t  $\sqsubseteq$  singles S*  
**assumes** *carrier t'  $\cap$  S = {}*  
**shows** *t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'  $\sqsubseteq$  singles S*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *paths-ttree-restr-singles: xs  $\in$  paths (ttree-restr S' (singles S))  $\longleftrightarrow$  set xs  $\subseteq$  S'  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x \in S.$  one-call-in-path x xs)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *substitute-not-carrier:*  
**assumes** *x  $\notin$  carrier t*  
**assumes**  *$\bigwedge x'. x' \notin$  carrier (f x')*  
**shows** *x  $\notin$  carrier (substitute f T t)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma substitute-below-singlesI:
  assumes t ⊑ singles S
  assumes ⋀ x. carrier (f x) ∩ S = {}
  shows substitute f T t ⊑ singles S
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 13 CoCall Cardinality Implementation

### 13.1 CoCallAnalysisImpl

```

theory CoCallAnalysisImpl
imports Arity-Nominal Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Env-Nominal Env-Set-Cpo
Launchbury.Env-HOLCF CoCallFix
begin

fun combined-restrict :: var set ⇒ (AEnv × CoCalls) ⇒ (AEnv × CoCalls)
  where combined-restrict S (env, G) = (env f|` S, cc-restr S G)

lemma fst-combined-restrict[simp]:
  fst (combined-restrict S p) = fst p f|` S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-combined-restrict[simp]:
  snd (combined-restrict S p) = cc-restr S (snd p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma combined-restrict-eqvt[eqvt]:
  shows π · combined-restrict S p = combined-restrict (π · S) (π · p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma combined-restrict-cont:
  cont (λx. combined-restrict S x)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemmas cont-compose[OF combined-restrict-cont, cont2cont, simp]

lemma combined-restrict-perm:
  assumes supp π #* S and [simp]: finite S
  shows combined-restrict S (π · p) = combined-restrict S p
  ⟨proof⟩

definition predCC :: var set ⇒ (Arity → CoCalls) ⇒ (Arity → CoCalls)
  where predCC S f = (Λ a. if a ≠ 0 then cc-restr S (f · (pred · a)) else ccSquare S)

lemma predCC-eq:
  shows predCC S f · a = (if a ≠ 0 then cc-restr S (f · (pred · a)) else ccSquare S)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *predCC-eqvt*[*eqvt, simp*]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{predCC } S f) = \text{predCC } (\pi \cdot S) (\pi \cdot f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-predCC*:

$\text{cc-restr } S (\text{predCC } S' f \cdot n) = (\text{predCC } (S' \cap S) (\Lambda a. \text{cc-restr } S (f \cdot a))) \cdot n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-predCC'*[*simp*]:

$\text{cc-restr } S (\text{predCC } S f \cdot n) = \text{predCC } S f \cdot n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### nominal-function

*cCCexp* :: *exp*  $\Rightarrow$  (*Arity*  $\rightarrow$  *AEnv*  $\times$  *CoCalls*)

**where**

$cCCexp (\text{Var } x) = (\Lambda n. (\text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot n), \perp))$   
|  $cCCexp (\text{Lam } [x]. e) = (\Lambda n. \text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\text{fst } (cCCexp e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)), \text{predCC } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. \text{snd}(cCCexp e \cdot a)) \cdot n))$   
|  $cCCexp (\text{App } e x) = (\Lambda n. (\text{fst } (cCCexp e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot n)) \sqcup (\text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot 0)), \text{snd } (cCCexp e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot n)) \sqcup \text{ccProd } \{x\} (\text{insert } x (\text{fv } e))))$   
|  $cCCexp (\text{Let } \Gamma e) = (\Lambda n. \text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Let } \Gamma e)) (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } cCCexp \Gamma \cdot (cCCexp e \cdot n)))$   
|  $cCCexp (\text{Bool } b) = \perp$   
|  $cCCexp (\text{scrut? } e1 : e2) = (\Lambda n. (\text{fst } (cCCexp \text{scrut} \cdot 0) \sqcup \text{fst } (cCCexp e1 \cdot n) \sqcup \text{fst } (cCCexp e2 \cdot n), \text{snd } (cCCexp \text{scrut} \cdot 0) \sqcup (\text{snd } (cCCexp e1 \cdot n) \sqcup \text{snd } (cCCexp e2 \cdot n)) \sqcup \text{ccProd } (\text{edom } (\text{fst } (cCCexp \text{scrut} \cdot 0)) (\text{edom } (\text{fst } (cCCexp e1 \cdot n)) \cup \text{edom } (\text{fst } (cCCexp e2 \cdot n)))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**nominal-termination** (*eqvt*)  $\langle proof \rangle$

**locale** *CoCallAnalysisImpl*

**begin**

**sublocale** *CoCallArityAnalysis cCCexp* $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** *ArityAnalysis Aexp* $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *Aexp-syn''* ( $\langle \mathcal{A}_{-} \rangle$ ) **where**  $\mathcal{A}_a e \equiv Aexp e \cdot a$

**abbreviation** *Aexp-bot-syn''* ( $\langle \mathcal{A}^{\perp}_{-} \rangle$ ) **where**  $\mathcal{A}^{\perp}_a e \equiv fup \cdot (Aexp e) \cdot a$

**abbreviation** *ccExp-syn''* ( $\langle \mathcal{G}_{-} \rangle$ ) **where**  $\mathcal{G}_a e \equiv CCexp e \cdot a$

**abbreviation** *ccExp-bot-syn''* ( $\langle \mathcal{G}^{\perp}_{-} \rangle$ ) **where**  $\mathcal{G}^{\perp}_a e \equiv fup \cdot (CCexp e) \cdot a$

**lemma** *cCCexp-eq*[*simp*]:

$cCCexp (\text{Var } x) \cdot n = (\text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot n), \perp)$   
 $cCCexp (\text{Lam } [x]. e) \cdot n = \text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\text{fst } (cCCexp e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)), \text{predCC } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. \text{snd}(cCCexp e \cdot a)) \cdot n)$

```

cCCexp (App e x)·n = (fst (cCCexp e·(inc·n)) ⊔ (esing x · (up·0)),           snd (cCCexp
e·(inc·n)) ⊔ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e)))
cCCexp (Let Γ e)·n = combined-restrict (fv (Let Γ e)) (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose
cCCexp Γ · (cCCexp e·n))
cCCexp (Bool b)·n = ⊥
cCCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·n = (fst (cCCexp scrut·0) ⊔ fst (cCCexp e1·n) ⊔ fst (cCCexp
e2·n),
                                snd (cCCexp scrut·0) ⊔ (snd (cCCexp e1·n) ⊔ snd (cCCexp e2·n)) ⊔ ccProd (edom (fst
(cCCexp scrut·0))) (edom (fst (cCCexp e1·n)) ∪ edom (fst (cCCexp e2·n))))
⟨proof⟩
declare cCCexp.simps[simp del]

```

**lemma** *Aexp-pre-simps*:

```

Aa (Var x) = esing x·(up·a)
Aa (Lam [x]. e) = Aexp e·(pred·a) f|‘ fv (Lam [x]. e)
Aa (App e x) = Aexp e·(inc·a) ⊔ esing x·(up·0)
¬ nonrec Γ ==>
Aa (Let Γ e) = (Afix Γ·(Aa e ⊔ (λ-.up·0) f|‘ thunks Γ)) f|‘ (fv (Let Γ e))
x ∉ fv e ==>
Aa (let x be e in exp) =
(fup·(Aexp e)·(ABind-nonrec x e·(Aa exp, CCexp exp·a)) ⊔ Aa exp)
f|‘ (fv (let x be e in exp))
Aa (Bool b) = ⊥
Aa (scrut ? e1 : e2) = Aa scrut ⊔ Aa e1 ⊔ Aa e2
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *CCexp-pre-simps*:

```

CCexp (Var x)·n = ⊥
CCexp (Lam [x]. e)·n = predCC (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (CCexp e)·n
CCexp (App e x)·n = CCexp e·(inc·n) ⊔ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e))
¬ nonrec Γ ==>
CCexp (Let Γ e)·n = cc-restr (fv (Let Γ e))
(CCfix Γ·(Afix Γ·(Aexp e·n ⊔ (λ-.up·0) f|‘ thunks Γ), CCexp e·n))
x ∉ fv e ==> CCexp (let x be e in exp)·n =
cc-restr (fv (let x be e in exp))
(ccBind x e·(Aheap-nonrec x e·(Aexp exp·n, CCexp exp·n), CCexp exp·n)
 ⊔ ccProd (fv e) (ccNeighbors x (CCexp exp·n) - (if isVal e then {} else {x})) ⊔ CCexp
exp·n)
CCexp (Bool b)·n = ⊥
CCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·n =
CCexp scrut·0 ⊔
(CCexp e1·n ⊔ CCexp e2·n) ⊔
ccProd (edom (Aexp scrut·0)) (edom (Aexp e1·n) ∪ edom (Aexp e2·n))
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma**

**shows** *ccField-CCexp*: *ccField* (CCexp e·a) ⊆ fv e **and** *Aexp-edom'*: *edom* (Aa e) ⊆ fv e

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-CCexp[simp]*:

$$cc\text{-restr } (fv e) (CCexp e \cdot a) = CCexp e \cdot a$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ccField-fup-CCexp*:

$$ccField (fup \cdot (CCexp e) \cdot n) \subseteq fv e$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cc-restr-fup-ccExp-useless[simp]*:  $cc\text{-restr } (fv e) (fup \cdot (CCexp e) \cdot n) = fup \cdot (CCexp e) \cdot n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** *EdomArityAnalysis Aexp*  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *CCexp-simps[simp]*:

$$\mathcal{G}_a(Var x) = \perp$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0(Lam [x]. e) = (fv (Lam [x]. e))^2$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{inc \cdot a}(Lam [x]. e) = cc\text{-delete } x (\mathcal{G}_a e)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_a (App e x) = \mathcal{G}_{inc \cdot a} e \sqcup \{x\} G \times insert x (fv e)$$

$$\neg nonrec \Gamma \implies \mathcal{G}_a (Let \Gamma e) =$$

$$(CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (A_a e \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|^{\prime} thunks \Gamma), \mathcal{G}_a e)) G|^{\prime} (- domA \Gamma)$$

$$x \notin fv e' \implies \mathcal{G}_a (let x be e' in e) =$$

$$cc\text{-delete } x$$

$$(ccBind x e' \cdot (Aheap\text{-nonrec } x e' (A_a e, \mathcal{G}_a e), \mathcal{G}_a e))$$

$$\sqcup fv e' G \times (ccNeighbors x (\mathcal{G}_a e) - (if isVal e' then \{ \} else \{x\})) \sqcup \mathcal{G}_a e)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_a (Bool b) = \perp$$

$$\mathcal{G}_a (scrut ? e1 : e2) =$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0 scrut \sqcup (\mathcal{G}_a e1 \sqcup \mathcal{G}_a e2) \sqcup$$

$$edom (A_0 scrut) G \times (edom (A_a e1) \cup edom (A_a e2))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *Aheap where*

$$Aheap \Gamma e = (\Lambda a. if nonrec \Gamma then (case-prod Aheap\text{-nonrec} (hd \Gamma)) \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a, CCexp e \cdot a) else (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|^{\prime} thunks \Gamma)) f|^{\prime} domA \Gamma)$$

**lemma** *Aheap-simp1[simp]*:

$$\neg nonrec \Gamma \implies Aheap \Gamma e \cdot a = (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|^{\prime} thunks \Gamma)) f|^{\prime} domA \Gamma$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Aheap-simp2[simp]*:

$$x \notin fv e' \implies Aheap [(x, e')] e \cdot a = Aheap\text{-nonrec } x e' \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a, CCexp e \cdot a)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Aheap-eqvt'[eqvt]*:

$$\pi \cdot (Aheap \Gamma e) = Aheap (\pi \cdot \Gamma) (\pi \cdot e)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** *ArityAnalysisHeap Aheap*  $\langle proof \rangle$

```

sublocale ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt Aheap
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Aexp-lam-simp: Aexp (Lam [x]. e) · n = env-delete x (Aexp e · (pred · n))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Aexp-Let-simp1:
  ⊓ nonrec Γ ⇒ Aa (Let Γ e) = (Afix Γ · (Aa e ⊔ (λ·.up·0) f|` thunks Γ)) f|` (– domA Γ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Aexp-Let-simp2:
  x ∉ fv e ⇒ Aa(let x be e in exp) = env-delete x (Aa ⊥ ABind-nonrec x e · (Aa exp, CCexp exp·a)
  e ⊔ Aa exp)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Aexp-simps[simp]:
  Aa(Var x) = esing x · (up·a)
  Aa(Lam [x]. e) = env-delete x (Aa pred·a e)
  Aa(App e x) = Aexp e · (inc·a) ⊔ esing x · (up·0)
  ⊓ nonrec Γ ⇒ Aa(Let Γ e) =
    (Afix Γ · (Aa e ⊔ (λ·.up·0) f|` thunks Γ)) f|` (– domA Γ)
  x ∉ fv e' ⇒ Aa(let x be e' in e) =
    env-delete x (Aa ⊥ ABind-nonrec x e' · (Aa e, Ga e) e' ⊔ Aa e)
  Aa(Bool b) = ⊥
  Aa(scrut ? e1 : e2) = A0 scrut ⊔ Aa e1 ⊔ Aa e2
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```
end
```

## 13.2 CoCallImplSafe

```

theory CoCallImplSafe
imports CoCallAnalysisImpl CoCallAnalysisSpec ArityAnalysisFixProps
begin

locale CoCallImplSafe
begin
sublocale CoCallAnalysisImpl⟨proof⟩

lemma ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr: (ccNeighbors x G ∩ S) = ccNeighbors x (cc-restr (insert x S)
G) ∩ S
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma
  assumes  $x \notin S$  and  $y \notin S$ 
  shows  $CCexp\text{-subst}: cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e[y:=x]\cdot a) = cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e\cdot a)$ 
    and  $Aexp\text{-restr-subst}: (Aexp e[y:=x]\cdot a) f|` S = (Aexp e\cdot a) f|` S$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale ArityAnalysisSafe Aexp
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale ArityAnalysisLetSafe Aexp Aheap
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition ccHeap-nonrec
  where  $cc\text{Heap}\text{-nonrec } x e exp = (\Lambda n. CCfix\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot (Aexp exp \cdot n, CCexp exp \cdot n))$ 

lemma ccHeap-nonrec-eq:
   $cc\text{Heap}\text{-nonrec } x e exp \cdot n = CCfix\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot (Aexp exp \cdot n, CCexp exp \cdot n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition ccHeap-rec :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls
  where  $cc\text{Heap}\text{-rec } \Gamma e = (\Lambda a. CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot . up \cdot 0) f|` (thunks \Gamma)), CCexp e \cdot a))$ 

lemma ccHeap-rec-eq:
   $cc\text{Heap}\text{-rec } \Gamma e \cdot a = CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot . up \cdot 0) f|` (thunks \Gamma)), CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition ccHeap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls
  where  $cc\text{Heap } \Gamma = (\text{if nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then case-prod } cc\text{Heap}\text{-nonrec } (\text{hd } \Gamma) \text{ else } cc\text{Heap}\text{-rec } \Gamma)$ 

lemma ccHeap-simp1:
   $\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies cc\text{Heap } \Gamma e \cdot a = CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot . up \cdot 0) f|` (thunks \Gamma)), CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ccHeap-simp2:
   $x \notin fv e \implies cc\text{Heap } [(x, e)] exp \cdot n = CCfix\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot (Aexp exp \cdot n, CCexp exp \cdot n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale CoCallAritySafe CCexp Aexp ccHeap Aheap
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

end

```

## 14 End-to-end Safety Results and Example

### 14.1 CallArityEnd2End

```
theory CallArityEnd2End
imports ArityTransform CoCallAnalysisImpl
begin

locale CallArityEnd2End
begin
sublocale CoCallAnalysisImpl⟨proof⟩

lemma fresh-var-eqE[elim-format]: fresh-var e = x  $\implies$  x  $\notin$  fv e
⟨proof⟩

lemma example1:
fixes e :: exp
fixes f g x y z :: var
assumes Aexp-e:  $\bigwedge a. A_{\text{exp}} e \cdot a = \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot a) \sqcup \text{esing } y \cdot (\text{up} \cdot a)$ 
assumes ccExp-e:  $\bigwedge a. C_{\text{cexp}} e \cdot a = \perp$ 
assumes [simp]: transform 1 e = e
assumes isVal e
assumes disj: y  $\neq$  f y  $\neq$  g x  $\neq$  y z  $\neq$  f z  $\neq$  g y  $\neq$  x
assumes fresh: atom z  $\notin$  e
shows transform 1 (let y be App (Var f) g in (let x be e in (Var x))) =
      let y be (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z) in (let x be (Lam [z]. App e z) in (Var x))
⟨proof⟩

end
end
```

### 14.2 CallArityEnd2EndSafe

```
theory CallArityEnd2EndSafe
imports CallArityEnd2End CardArityTransformSafe CoCallImplSafe CoCallImplTTreeSafe TTreeImplCardinalitySafe
begin

locale CallArityEnd2EndSafe
begin
sublocale CoCallImplSafe⟨proof⟩
sublocale CallArityEnd2End⟨proof⟩

abbreviation transform-syn' (⟨ $\mathcal{T}_a$ ⟩) where  $\mathcal{T}_a \equiv \text{transform } a$ 

lemma end2end:
 $c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies$ 
 $\neg \text{boring-step } c' \implies$ 
```

```

heap-upds-ok-conf c ==>
consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) c ==>
 $\exists ae' ce' a' as' r'. \text{consistent } (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' \wedge \text{conf-transform } (ae, ce, a, as, r) c \Rightarrow_G^*$ 
conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r') c'
⟨proof⟩

```

**theorem** end2end-closed:

```

assumes closed: fv e = ({}) :: var set
assumes ([] , e, [])  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Gamma, v, []$ ) and isVal v
obtains  $\Gamma'$  and  $v'$ 
where ([] ,  $\mathcal{T}_0 e$ , [])  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Gamma', v', []$ ) and isVal  $v'$ 
      and card (domA  $\Gamma')$   $\leq$  card (domA  $\Gamma$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fresh-var-eqE[elim-format]: fresh-var e = x ==> x  $\notin$  fv e
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** example1:

```

fixes e :: exp
fixes f g x y z :: var
assumes Aexp-e:  $\bigwedge a. Aexp e \cdot a = esing x \cdot (up \cdot a) \sqcup esing y \cdot (up \cdot a)$ 
assumes ccExp-e:  $\bigwedge a. CCexp e \cdot a = \perp$ 
assumes [simp]: transform 1 e = e
assumes isVal e
assumes disj:  $y \neq f \neq g \neq x \neq y \neq z \neq f \neq z \neq g \neq y \neq x$ 
assumes fresh: atom z  $\notin$  e
shows transform 1 (let y be App (Var f) g in (let x be e in (Var x))) =
      let y be (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z) in (let x be (Lam [z]. App e z) in (Var x))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end
end

```

## 15 Functional Correctness of the Arity Analysis

### 15.1 ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational

```

theory ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational
imports ArityAnalysisSpec Launchbury.Denotational ArityTransform
begin

```

```

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin

```

```

inductive eq :: Arity  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  eq 0 v v
  | ( $\bigwedge v. eq n (v1 \downarrow Fn v) (v2 \downarrow Fn v)$ )  $\Longrightarrow eq (inc \cdot n) v1 v2$ 

```

**lemma** [simp]:  $\text{eq } 0 \ v \ v' \longleftrightarrow v = v'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-inc-simp:  
 $\text{eq } (\text{inc}\cdot n) \ v1 \ v2 \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. \text{eq } n \ (v1 \downarrow F_n v) \ (v2 \downarrow F_n v))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-FnI:  
 $(\bigwedge v. \text{eq } (\text{pred}\cdot n) \ (f1 \cdot v) \ (f2 \cdot v)) \implies \text{eq } n \ (F_n \cdot f1) \ (F_n \cdot f2)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-refl[simp]:  $\text{eq } a \ v \ v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-trans[trans]:  $\text{eq } a \ v1 \ v2 \implies \text{eq } a \ v2 \ v3 \implies \text{eq } a \ v1 \ v3$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-Fn:  $\text{eq } a \ v1 \ v2 \implies \text{eq } (\text{pred}\cdot a) \ (v1 \downarrow F_n v) \ (v2 \downarrow F_n v)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-inc-same:  $\text{eq } a \ v1 \ v2 \implies \text{eq } (\text{inc}\cdot a) \ v1 \ v2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-mono:  $a \sqsubseteq a' \implies \text{eq } a' \ v1 \ v2 \implies \text{eq } a \ v1 \ v2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-join[simp]:  $\text{eq } (a \sqcup a') \ v1 \ v2 \longleftrightarrow \text{eq } a \ v1 \ v2 \wedge \text{eq } a' \ v1 \ v2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-adm:  $\text{cont } f \implies \text{cont } g \implies \text{adm } (\lambda x. \text{eq } a \ (f x) \ (g x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**inductive**  $\text{eq}\varrho :: AEnv \Rightarrow (var \Rightarrow Value) \Rightarrow (var \Rightarrow Value) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{eq}\varrho I: (\bigwedge x. a. ae x = up \cdot a \implies \text{eq } a (\varrho 1 x) (\varrho 2 x)) \implies \text{eq}\varrho ae \varrho 1 \varrho 2$

**lemma** eq-ae:  $\text{eq}\varrho ae \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \implies ae x = up \cdot a \implies \text{eq } a (\varrho 1 x) (\varrho 2 x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-ae-refl[simp]:  $\text{eq}\varrho ae \varrho \varrho$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-esing-up[simp]:  $\text{eq}\varrho (\text{esing } x \cdot (up \cdot a)) \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \longleftrightarrow \text{eq } a (\varrho 1 x) (\varrho 2 x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** eq-ae-mono:  
**assumes**  $ae \sqsubseteq ae'$   
**assumes**  $\text{eq}\varrho ae' \varrho 1 \varrho 2$   
**shows**  $\text{eq}\varrho ae \varrho 1 \varrho 2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $eq\varrho\text{-adm}$ :  $cont f \implies cont g \implies adm (\lambda x. eq\varrho a (f x) (g x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $up\text{-join-eq-up}[simp]$ :  $up\cdot(n::'a::Finite\text{-Join}\text{-cpo}) \sqcup up\cdot n' = up\cdot(n \sqcup n')$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $eq\varrho\text{-join}[simp]$ :  $eq\varrho (ae \sqcup ae') \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \longleftrightarrow eq\varrho ae \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \wedge eq\varrho ae' \varrho 1 \varrho 2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $eq\varrho\text{-override}[simp]$ :  
 $eq\varrho ae (\varrho 1 ++_S \varrho 2) (\varrho 1' ++_S \varrho 2') \longleftrightarrow eq\varrho ae (\varrho 1 f|` (- S)) (\varrho 1' f|` (- S)) \wedge eq\varrho ae (\varrho 2 f|` S) (\varrho 2' f|` S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Aexp\text{-heap-below-Aheap}$ :  
**assumes**  $(Aheap \Gamma e \cdot a) x = up\cdot a'$   
**assumes**  $map\text{-of } \Gamma x = Some e'$   
**shows**  $Aexp e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq Aheap \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup Aexp (Let \Gamma e) \cdot a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Aexp\text{-body-below-Aheap}$ :  
**shows**  $Aexp e \cdot a \sqsubseteq Aheap \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup Aexp (Let \Gamma e) \cdot a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Aexp\text{-correct}$ :  $eq\varrho (Aexp e \cdot a) \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \implies eq a ([\![e]\!]_{\varrho 1}) ([\![e]\!]_{\varrho 2})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ESem\text{-ignores-fresh}[simp]$ :  $[\![e]\!]_{\varrho(fresh\text{-var } e := v)} = [\![e]\!]_{\varrho}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $eq\text{-Aeta-expand}$ :  $eq a ([\![Aeta\text{-expand } a e]\!]_{\varrho}) ([\![e]\!]_{\varrho})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Arity\text{-transformation-correct}$ :  $eq a ([\![\mathcal{T}_a e]\!]_{\varrho}) ([\![e]\!]_{\varrho})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary**  $Arity\text{-transformation-correct}'$ :

$[\![\mathcal{T}_{\varrho} e]\!]_{\varrho} = [\![e]\!]_{\varrho}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**  
**end**