

The Safety of Call Arity

Joachim Breitner
Programming Paradigms Group
Karlsruhe Institute for Technology
breitner@kit.edu

May 26, 2024

We formalize the Call Arity analysis [Bre15a], as implemented in GHC, and prove both functional correctness and, more interestingly, safety (i.e. the transformation does not increase allocation). A highlevel overview of the work can be found in [Bre15b].

We use syntax and the denotational semantics from an earlier work [Bre13], where we formalized Launchbury’s natural semantics for lazy evaluation [Lau93]. The functional correctness of Call Arity is proved with regard to that denotational semantics. The operational properties are shown with regard to a small-step semantics akin to Sestoft’s mark 1 machine [Ses97], which we prove to be equivalent to Launchbury’s semantics.

We use Christian Urban’s Nominal2 package [UK12] to define our terms and make use of Brian Huffman’s HOLCF package for the domain-theoretical aspects of the development [Huf12].

Artifact correspondence table

The following table connects the definitions and theorems from [Bre15b] with their corresponding Isabelle concept in this development.

Concept	corresponds to	in theory
Syntax	nominal-datatype <i>expr</i>	Terms in [Bre13]
Stack	type-synonym <i>stack</i>	SestoftConf
Configuration	type-synonym <i>conf</i>	SestoftConf
Semantics (\Rightarrow)	inductive <i>step</i>	Sestoft
Arity	typedef <i>Arity</i>	Arity
Eta-expansion	lift-definition <i>Aeta-expand</i>	ArityEtaExpansion

Lemma 1	theorem <i>Aeta-expand-safe</i>	ArityEtaExpansionSafe
$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	locale <i>ArityAnalysisHeap</i>	ArityAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{T}_\alpha(e)$	sublocale <i>AbstractTransformBound</i>	ArityTransform
$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(e)$	locale <i>ArityAnalysis</i>	ArityAnalysisSig
Definition 2	locale <i>ArityAnalysisLetSafe</i>	ArityAnalysisSpec
Definition 3	locale <i>ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard</i>	ArityAnalysisSpec
Definition 4	inductive <i>a-consistent</i>	ArityConsistent
Definition 5	inductive <i>consistent</i>	ArityTransformSafe
Lemma 2	lemma <i>arity-transform-safe</i>	ArityTransformSafe
Card	type-synonym <i>two</i>	Cardinality-Domain
$\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	locale <i>CardinalityHeap</i>	CardinalityAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{C}_{(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \dot{\alpha})}((\Gamma, e, S))$	locale <i>CardinalityPrognosis</i>	CardinalityAnalysisSig
Definition 6	locale <i>CardinalityPrognosisSafe</i>	CardinalityAnalysisSpec
Definition 7 ($\Rightarrow_\#$)	inductive <i>gc-step</i>	SestoftGC
Definition 8	inductive <i>consistent</i>	CardArityTransformSafe
Lemma 3	lemma <i>card-arity-transform-safe</i>	CardArityTransformSafe
Trace trees	typedef <i>'a ttree</i>	TTree
Function <i>s</i>	lift-definition <i>substitute</i>	TTree
$\mathcal{T}_\alpha(e)$	locale <i>TTreeAnalysis</i>	TTreeAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{T}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	locale <i>TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap</i>	TTreeAnalysisSpec
Definition 9	locale <i>TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap</i>	TTreeAnalysisSpec
Lemma 4	sublocale <i>CardinalityPrognosisSafe</i>	TTreeImplCardinalitySafe
Co-Call graphs	typedef <i>CoCalls</i>	CoCallGraph
Function <i>g</i>	lift-definition <i>ccApprox</i>	CoCallGraph-TTree
Function <i>t</i>	lift-definition <i>ccTTree</i>	CoCallGraph-TTree
$\mathcal{G}_\alpha(e)$	locale <i>CoCallAnalysis</i>	CoCallAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{G}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	locale <i>CoCallAnalysisHeap</i>	CoCallAnalysisSig
Definition 10	locale <i>CoCallAritySafe</i>	CoCallAnalysisSpec
Lemma 5	sublocale <i>TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap</i>	CoCallImplTTreeSafe
Call Arity	nominal-function <i>cCCexp</i>	CoCallAnalysisImpl
Theorem 1	lemma <i>end2end-closed</i>	CallArityEnd2EndSafe

References

- [Bre13] Joachim Breitner, *The correctness of launchbury's natural semantics for lazy evaluation*, Archive of Formal Proofs (2013), <http://isa-afp.org/entries/Launchbury.shtml>, Formal proof development.
- [Bre15a] ———, *Call Arity*, TFP'14, LNCS, vol. 8843, Springer, 2015, pp. 34–50.

- [Bre15b] ———, *Formally proving a compiler transformation safe*, Haskell Symposium, 2015.
- [Huf12] Brian Huffman, *HOLCF '11: A definitional domain theory for verifying functional programs*, Ph.D. thesis, Portland State University, 2012.
- [Lau93] John Launchbury, *A natural semantics for lazy evaluation*, POPL '93, 1993, pp. 144–154.
- [Ses97] Peter Sestoft, *Deriving a lazy abstract machine*, Journal of Functional Programming **7** (1997), 231–264.
- [UK12] Christian Urban and Cezary Kaliszyk, *General bindings and alpha-equivalence in nominal Isabelle*, Logical Methods in Computer Science **8** (2012), no. 2.

Contents

1	Various Utilities	5
1.1	ConstOn	5
1.2	Set-Cpo	6
1.3	Env-Set-Cpo	8
1.4	AList-Utils-HOLCF	8
1.5	List-Interleavings	9
2	Small-step Semantics	13
2.1	SestoftConf	13
2.1.1	Invariants of the semantics	16
2.2	Sestoft	19
2.2.1	Equivariance	22
2.2.2	Invariants	22
2.3	SestoftGC	23
2.4	BalancedTraces	31
2.5	SestoftCorrect	35
3	Arity	42
3.1	Arity	42
3.2	AEnv	45
3.3	Arity-Nominal	45
3.4	ArityStack	45
4	Eta-Expansion	46
4.1	EtaExpansion	46
4.2	EtaExpansionSafe	48
4.3	TransformTools	49
4.4	ArityEtaExpansion	51

4.5	ArityEtaExpansionSafe	52
5	Arity Analysis	53
5.1	ArityAnalysisSig	53
5.2	ArityAnalysisAbinds	54
5.2.1	Lifting arity analysis to recursive groups	54
5.3	ArityAnalysisSpec	57
5.4	TrivialArityAnal	60
5.5	ArityAnalysisStack	62
5.6	ArityAnalysisFix	62
5.7	ArityAnalysisFixProps	68
6	Arity Transformation	68
6.1	AbstractTransform	68
6.2	ArityTransform	74
7	Arity Analysis Safety (without Cardinality)	75
7.1	ArityConsistent	75
7.2	ArityTransformSafe	81
8	Cardinality Analysis	87
8.1	Cardinality-Domain	87
8.2	CardinalityAnalysisSig	89
8.3	CardinalityAnalysisSpec	89
8.4	NoCardinalityAnalysis	90
8.5	CardArityTransformSafe	94
9	Trace Trees	106
9.1	TTree	106
9.1.1	Prefix-closed sets of lists	106
9.1.2	The type of infinite labeled trees	108
9.1.3	Deconstructors	108
9.1.4	Trees as set of paths	108
9.1.5	The carrier of a tree	109
9.1.6	Repeatable trees	110
9.1.7	Simple trees	110
9.1.8	Intersection of two trees	111
9.1.9	Disjoint union of trees	112
9.1.10	Merging of trees	113
9.1.11	Removing elements from a tree	116
9.1.12	Multiple variables, each called at most once	118
9.1.13	Substituting trees for every node	119
9.2	TTree-HOLCF	134

10 Trace Tree Cardinality Analysis	140
10.1 AnalBinds	140
10.2 TTreeAnalysisSig	141
10.3 Cardinality-Domain-Lists	142
10.4 TTreeAnalysisSpec	144
10.5 TTreeImplCardinality	145
10.6 TTreeImplCardinalitySafe	145
11 Co-Call Graphs	154
11.1 CoCallGraph	154
11.2 CoCallGraph-Nominal	163
12 Co-Call Cardinality Analysis	165
12.1 CoCallAnalysisSig	165
12.2 CoCallAnalysisBinds	165
12.3 CoCallAritySig	168
12.4 CoCallAnalysisSpec	168
12.5 CoCallFix	169
12.5.1 The non-recursive case	173
12.5.2 Combining the cases	175
12.6 CoCallGraph-TTree	176
12.7 CoCallImplTTree	194
12.8 CoCallImplTTreeSafe	195
13 CoCall Cardinality Implementation	205
13.1 CoCallAnalysisImpl	205
13.2 CoCallImplSafe	212
14 End-to-end Saftey Results and Example	223
14.1 CallArityEnd2End	223
14.2 CallArityEnd2EndSafe	225
15 Functional Correctness of the Arity Analysis	228
15.1 ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational	228

1 Various Utilities

1.1 ConstOn

```
theory ConstOn
imports Main
begin
```

```
definition const-on :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

```

where const-on f S x = ( $\forall y \in S. f\ y = x$ )

lemma const-onI[intro]: ( $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies f\ y = x$ )  $\implies$  const-on f S x
  by (simp add: const-on-def)

lemma const-onD[dest]: const-on f S x  $\implies y \in S \implies f\ y = x$ 
  by (simp add: const-on-def)

lemma const-on-insert[simp]: const-on f (insert x S) y  $\longleftrightarrow$  const-on f S y  $\wedge f\ x = y$ 
  by auto

lemma const-on-union[simp]: const-on f (S  $\cup$  S') y  $\longleftrightarrow$  const-on f S y  $\wedge$  const-on f S' y
  by auto

lemma const-on-subset[elim]: const-on f S y  $\implies S' \subseteq S \implies$  const-on f S' y
  by auto

end

```

1.2 Set-Cpo

```

theory Set-Cpo
imports HOLCF
begin

default-sort type

instantiation set :: (type) below
begin
  definition below-set where ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) = ( $\subseteq$ )
instance..
end

instance set :: (type) po
  by standard (auto simp add: below-set-def)

lemma is-lub-set:
   $S <<| \bigcup S$ 
  by(auto simp add: is-lub-def below-set-def is-ub-def)

lemma lub-set: lub S =  $\bigcup S$ 
  by (metis is-lub-set lub-eqI)

instance set :: (type) cpo
  by standard (rule exI, rule is-lub-set)

```

```

lemma minimal-set:  $\{\} \subseteq S$ 
  unfolding below-set-def by simp

instance set :: (type) pcpo
  by standard (rule+, rule minimal-set)

lemma set-contI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge Y. \text{chain } Y \implies f (\bigsqcup i. Y\ i) = \bigcup (f \text{ ` } \text{range } Y)$ 
  shows cont f
proof(rule contI)
  fix Y :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  assume chain Y
  hence  $f (\bigsqcup i. Y\ i) = \bigcup (f \text{ ` } \text{range } Y)$  by (rule assms)
  also have  $\dots = \bigcup (\text{range } (\lambda i. f (Y\ i)))$  by simp
  finally
  show  $\text{range } (\lambda i. f (Y\ i)) <<| f (\bigsqcup i. Y\ i)$  using is-lub-set by metis
qed

lemma set-set-contI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge S. f (\bigcup S) = \bigcup (f \text{ ` } S)$ 
  shows cont f
  by (metis set-contI assms is-lub-set lub-eqI)

lemma adm-subseteq[simp]:
  assumes cont f
  shows adm ( $\lambda a. f\ a \subseteq S$ )
by (rule admI)(auto simp add: cont2contlubE[OF assms] lub-set)

lemma adm-Ball[simp]: adm ( $\lambda S. \forall x \in S. P\ x$ )
  by (auto intro!: admI simp add: lub-set)

lemma finite-subset-chain:
  fixes Y :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set
  assumes chain Y
  assumes  $S \subseteq \bigcup (Y \text{ ` } UNIV)$ 
  assumes finite S
  shows  $\exists i. S \subseteq Y\ i$ 
proof–
  from assms(2)
  have  $\forall x \in S. \exists i. x \in Y\ i$  by auto
  then obtain f where  $f: \forall x \in S. x \in Y\ (f\ x)$  by metis

  define i where  $i = \text{Max } (f \text{ ` } S)$ 
  from  $\langle \text{finite } S \rangle$ 
  have finite ( $f \text{ ` } S$ ) by simp
  hence  $\forall x \in S. f\ x \leq i$  unfolding i-def by auto
  with chain-mono[OF  $\langle \text{chain } Y \rangle$ ]
  have  $\forall x \in S. Y\ (f\ x) \subseteq Y\ i$  by (auto simp add: below-set-def)
  with f

```

```

  have  $S \subseteq Y$  i by auto
  thus ?thesis..
qed

```

```

lemma diff-cont[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  fixes  $S' :: 'a$  set
  shows cont ( $\lambda S. S - S'$ )
by (rule set-set-contI) simp

```

end

1.3 Env-Set-Cpo

```

theory Env-Set-Cpo
imports Launchbury.Env Set-Cpo
begin

```

```

lemma cont-edom[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont ( $\lambda f. \text{edom } f$ )
  apply (rule set-contI)
  apply (auto simp add: edom-def)
  apply (metis ch2ch-fun lub-eq-bottom-iff lub-fun)
  apply (metis ch2ch-fun lub-eq-bottom-iff lub-fun)
  done

```

end

1.4 AList-Utills-HOLCF

```

theory AList-Utills-HOLCF
imports Launchbury.HOLCF-Utills Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes Launchbury.AList-Utills
begin

```

```

syntax
  -BLubMap :: [pttrn, pttrn, 'a  $\rightarrow$  'b, 'b]  $\Rightarrow$  'b (( $\beta$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  /- $\mapsto$  /- $\in$  /- $\cdot$  /-) [0,0,0, 10] 10)

```

```

translations
   $\sqsubseteq$   $k \mapsto v \in m. e == \text{CONST } \text{lub } (\text{CONST } \text{mapCollect } (\lambda k \ v. e) \ m)$ 

```

```

lemma below-lubmapI[intro]:
   $m \ k = \text{Some } v \implies (e \ k \ v :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo}) \sqsubseteq (\sqsubseteq \ k \mapsto v \in m. e \ k \ v)$ 
unfolding mapCollect-def by auto

```

```

lemma lubmap-belowI[intro]:
  ( $\bigwedge k \ v. m \ k = \text{Some } v \implies (e \ k \ v :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo}) \sqsubseteq u$ )  $\implies (\sqsubseteq \ k \mapsto v \in m. e \ k \ v) \sqsubseteq u$ 
unfolding mapCollect-def by auto

```



```

lemma lubmap-const-bottom[simp]:
  ( $\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. \perp$ ) = ( $\perp :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo}$ )
  by (cases  $m = \text{Map.empty}$ ) auto

lemma lubmap-map-upd[simp]:
  fixes  $e :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('c :: \text{Join-cpo})$ 
  shows ( $\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m (k' \mapsto v')$ ).  $e\ k\ v$  =  $e\ k'\ v' \sqcup (\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m (k' := \text{None}). e\ k\ v)$ 
  by simp

lemma lubmap-below-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge k\ v. m\ k = \text{Some } v \implies f1\ k\ v \sqsubseteq (f2\ k\ v :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo})$ 
  shows ( $\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f1\ k\ v$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  ( $\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f2\ k\ v$ )
  apply (rule lubmap-belowI)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF assms], assumption)
  apply (rule below-lubmapI, assumption)
  done

lemma cont2cont-lubmap[simp, cont2cont]:
  assumes ( $\bigwedge k\ v. \text{cont } (f\ k\ v)$ )
  shows cont ( $\lambda x. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. (f\ k\ v\ x) :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo}$ )
proof (rule contI2)
  show monofun ( $\lambda x. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f\ k\ v\ x$ )
    apply (rule monofunI)
    apply (rule lubmap-below-cong)
    apply (erule cont2monofunE[OF assms])
    done
next
  fix  $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'd$ 
  assume chain  $Y$ 
  assume chain ( $\lambda i. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f\ k\ v\ (Y\ i)$ )

  show ( $\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f\ k\ v\ (\bigsqcup i. Y\ i)$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  ( $\bigsqcup i. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f\ k\ v\ (Y\ i)$ )
    apply (subst cont2contlubE[OF assms  $\langle \text{chain } Y \rangle$ ])
    apply (rule lubmap-belowI)
    apply (rule lub-mono[OF ch2ch-cont[OF assms  $\langle \text{chain } Y \rangle$ ]  $\langle \text{chain } (\lambda i. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f\ k\ v\ (Y\ i)) \rangle$ ])
    apply (erule below-lubmapI)
    done
qed

end

```

1.5 List-Interleavings

```

theory List-Interleavings
imports Main

```

begin

inductive *interleave'* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool

where [simp]: *interleave'* [] [] []
 | *interleave'* xs ys zs \Rightarrow *interleave'* (x#xs) ys (x#zs)
 | *interleave'* xs ys zs \Rightarrow *interleave'* xs (x#ys) (x#zs)

definition *interleave* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list set (**infixr** \otimes 64)

where $xs \otimes ys = \text{Collect } (\text{interleave}' \text{ xs } \text{ ys})$

lemma *elim-interleave'*[pred-set-conv]: *interleave'* xs ys zs \longleftrightarrow $zs \in xs \otimes ys$ **unfolding** *interleave-def* **by** *simp*

lemmas *interleave-intros*[intro?]: *interleave'*.*intros*[to-set]

lemmas *interleave-intros*(1)[simp]

lemmas *interleave-induct*[consumes 1, induct set: *interleave*, case-names Nil left right] = *interleave'*.*induct*[to-set]

lemmas *interleave-cases*[consumes 1, cases set: *interleave*] = *interleave'*.*cases*[to-set]

lemmas *interleave-simps* = *interleave'*.*simps*[to-set]

inductive-cases *interleave-ConsE*[elim]: $(x\#xs) \in ys \otimes zs$

inductive-cases *interleave-ConsConsE*[elim]: $xs \in y\#ys \otimes z\#zs$

inductive-cases *interleave-ConsE2*[elim]: $xs \in x\#ys \otimes zs$

inductive-cases *interleave-ConsE3*[elim]: $xs \in ys \otimes x\#zs$

lemma *interleave-comm*: $xs \in ys \otimes zs \Rightarrow xs \in zs \otimes ys$

by (induction rule: *interleave-induct*) (auto intro: *interleave-intros*)

lemma *interleave-Nil1*[simp]: $[] \otimes xs = \{xs\}$

by (induction xs) (auto intro: *interleave-intros* elim: *interleave-cases*)

lemma *interleave-Nil2*[simp]: $xs \otimes [] = \{xs\}$

by (induction xs) (auto intro: *interleave-intros* elim: *interleave-cases*)

lemma *interleave-nil-simp*[simp]: $[] \in xs \otimes ys \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \wedge ys = []$

by (auto elim: *interleave-cases*)

lemma *append-interleave*: $xs @ ys \in xs \otimes ys$

by (induction xs) (auto intro: *interleave-intros*)

lemma *interleave-assoc1*: $a \in xs \otimes ys \Rightarrow b \in a \otimes zs \Rightarrow \exists c. c \in ys \otimes zs \wedge b \in xs \otimes c$

by (induction b arbitrary: a xs ys zs)

(simp, fastforce del: *interleave-ConsE* elim!: *interleave-ConsE* intro: *interleave-intros*)

lemma *interleave-assoc2*: $a \in ys \otimes zs \Rightarrow b \in xs \otimes a \Rightarrow \exists c. c \in xs \otimes ys \wedge b \in c \otimes zs$

by (induction b arbitrary: a xs ys zs)

(simp, fastforce del: *interleave-ConsE* elim!: *interleave-ConsE* intro: *interleave-intros*)

lemma *interleave-set*: $zs \in xs \otimes ys \Rightarrow \text{set } zs = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$

```

by(induction rule:interleave-induct) auto

lemma interleave-tl:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies tl\ xs \in tl\ ys \otimes zs \vee tl\ xs \in ys \otimes (tl\ zs)$ 
by(induction rule:interleave-induct) auto

lemma interleave-butlast:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies butlast\ xs \in butlast\ ys \otimes zs \vee butlast\ xs \in ys \otimes (butlast\ zs)$ 
by (induction rule:interleave-induct) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-take:  $zs \in xs \otimes ys \implies \exists\ n_1\ n_2. n = n_1 + n_2 \wedge take\ n\ zs \in take\ n_1\ xs \otimes take\ n_2\ ys$ 
apply(induction arbitrary: n rule:interleave-induct)
apply auto
apply arith
apply (case-tac n, simp)
apply (drule-tac x = nat in meta-spec)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = Suc n1 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x = n2 in exI)
apply (auto intro: interleave-intros)[1]

apply (case-tac n, simp)
apply (drule-tac x = nat in meta-spec)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = n1 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x = Suc n2 in exI)
apply (auto intro: interleave-intros)[1]
done

lemma filter-interleave:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies filter\ P\ xs \in filter\ P\ ys \otimes filter\ P\ zs$ 
by (induction rule: interleave-induct) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-filtered:  $xs \in interleave\ (filter\ P\ xs)\ (filter\ (\lambda x'. \neg P\ x')\ xs)$ 
by (induction xs) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

function foo where
  foo [] [] = undefined
| foo xs [] = undefined
| foo [] ys = undefined
| foo (x#xs) (y#ys) = undefined (foo xs (y#ys)) (foo (x#xs) ys)
by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order
lemmas list-induct2'' = foo.induct[case-names NilNil ConsNil NilCons ConsCons]

lemma interleave-filter:
  assumes  $xs \in filter\ P\ ys \otimes filter\ P\ zs$ 
  obtains  $xs'$  where  $xs' \in ys \otimes zs$  and  $xs = filter\ P\ xs'$ 
using assms

```

```

apply atomize-elim
proof(induction ys zs arbitrary: xs rule: list-induct2'')
case NilNil
  thus ?case by simp
next
case (ConsNil ys xs)
  thus ?case by auto
next
case (NilCons zs xs)
  thus ?case by auto
next
case (ConsCons y ys z zs xs)
  show ?case
  proof(cases P y)
  case False
    with ConsCons.prems(1)
    have  $xs \in \text{filter } P \text{ } ys \otimes \text{filter } P \text{ } (z \# zs)$  by simp
    from ConsCons.IH(1)[OF this]
    obtain  $xs'$  where  $xs' \in ys \otimes (z \# zs)$   $xs = \text{filter } P \text{ } xs'$  by auto
    hence  $y \# xs' \in y \# ys \otimes z \# zs$  and  $xs = \text{filter } P \text{ } (y \# xs')$ 
    using False by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
    thus ?thesis by blast
  next
case True
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases P z)
  case False
    with ConsCons.prems(1)
    have  $xs \in \text{filter } P \text{ } (y \# ys) \otimes \text{filter } P \text{ } zs$  by simp
    from ConsCons.IH(2)[OF this]
    obtain  $xs'$  where  $xs' \in y \# ys \otimes zs$   $xs = \text{filter } P \text{ } xs'$  by auto
    hence  $z \# xs' \in y \# ys \otimes z \# zs$  and  $xs = \text{filter } P \text{ } (z \# xs')$ 
    using False by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
    thus ?thesis by blast
  next
case True
    from ConsCons.prems(1)  $\langle P \text{ } y \rangle \langle P \text{ } z \rangle$ 
    have  $xs \in y \# \text{filter } P \text{ } ys \otimes z \# \text{filter } P \text{ } zs$  by simp
    thus ?thesis
    proof(rule interleave-ConsConsE)
      fix  $xs'$ 
      assume  $xs = y \# xs'$  and  $xs' \in \text{interleave } (\text{filter } P \text{ } ys) (z \# \text{filter } P \text{ } zs)$ 
      hence  $xs' \in \text{filter } P \text{ } ys \otimes \text{filter } P \text{ } (z \# zs)$  using  $\langle P \text{ } z \rangle$  by simp
      from ConsCons.IH(1)[OF this]
      obtain  $xs''$  where  $xs'' \in ys \otimes (z \# zs)$  and  $xs' = \text{filter } P \text{ } xs''$  by auto
      hence  $y \# xs'' \in y \# ys \otimes z \# zs$  and  $y \# xs' = \text{filter } P \text{ } (y \# xs'')$ 
      using  $\langle P \text{ } y \rangle$  by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
      thus ?thesis using  $\langle xs = - \rangle$  by blast
    next

```

```

    fix xs'
    assume xs = z # xs' and xs' ∈ y # filter P ys ⊗ filter P zs
    hence xs' ∈ filter P (y # ys) ⊗ filter P zs using ⟨P y⟩ by simp
    from ConsCons.IH(2)[OF this]
    obtain xs'' where xs'' ∈ y # ys ⊗ zs and xs' = filter P xs'' by auto
    hence z # xs'' ∈ y # ys ⊗ z # zs and z # xs' = filter P (z # xs'')
      using ⟨P z⟩ by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
    thus ?thesis using ⟨xs = -⟩ by blast
  qed
qed
qed
qed
end

```

2 Small-step Semantics

2.1 SestoftConf

```

theory SestoftConf
imports Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.Substitution
begin

datatype stack-elem = Alts exp exp | Arg var | Upd var | Dummy var

instantiation stack-elem :: pt
begin
definition π · x = (case x of (Alts e1 e2) ⇒ Alts (π · e1) (π · e2) | (Arg v) ⇒ Arg (π · v) |
  (Upd v) ⇒ Upd (π · v) | (Dummy v) ⇒ Dummy (π · v))
instance
  by standard (auto simp add: permute-stack-elem-def split:stack-elem.split)
end

lemma Alts-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Alts e1 e2) = Alts (π · e1) (π · e2)
  and Arg-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Arg v) = Arg (π · v)
  and Upd-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Upd v) = Upd (π · v)
  and Dummy-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Dummy v) = Dummy (π · v)
  by (auto simp add: permute-stack-elem-def split:stack-elem.split)

lemma supp-Alts[simp]: supp (Alts e1 e2) = supp e1 ∪ supp e2 unfolding supp-def by (auto
  simp add: Collect-imp-eq Collect-neg-eq)
lemma supp-Arg[simp]: supp (Arg v) = supp v unfolding supp-def by auto
lemma supp-Upd[simp]: supp (Upd v) = supp v unfolding supp-def by auto
lemma supp-Dummy[simp]: supp (Dummy v) = supp v unfolding supp-def by auto
lemma fresh-Alts[simp]: a # Alts e1 e2 = (a # e1 ∧ a # e2) unfolding fresh-def by auto
lemma fresh-star-Alts[simp]: a #* Alts e1 e2 = (a #* e1 ∧ a #* e2) unfolding fresh-star-def
  by auto

```

lemma *fresh-Arg[simp]*: $a \# \text{Arg } v = a \# v$ **unfolding** *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
lemma *fresh-Upd[simp]*: $a \# \text{Upd } v = a \# v$ **unfolding** *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
lemma *fresh-Dummy[simp]*: $a \# \text{Dummy } v = a \# v$ **unfolding** *fresh-def* **by** *auto*
lemma *fv-Alts[simp]*: $\text{fv } (\text{Alts } e1 \ e2) = \text{fv } e1 \cup \text{fv } e2$ **unfolding** *fv-def* **by** *auto*
lemma *fv-Arg[simp]*: $\text{fv } (\text{Arg } v) = \text{fv } v$ **unfolding** *fv-def* **by** *auto*
lemma *fv-Upd[simp]*: $\text{fv } (\text{Upd } v) = \text{fv } v$ **unfolding** *fv-def* **by** *auto*
lemma *fv-Dummy[simp]*: $\text{fv } (\text{Dummy } v) = \text{fv } v$ **unfolding** *fv-def* **by** *auto*

instance *stack-elem* :: *fs*
by *standard* (*case-tac x, auto simp add: finite-supp*)

type-synonym *stack* = *stack-elem list*

fun *ap* :: *stack* \Rightarrow *var set* **where**
 $\text{ap } [] = \{\}$
 $\text{ap } (\text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \# \ S) = \text{ap } S$
 $\text{ap } (\text{Arg } x \ \# \ S) = \text{insert } x \ (\text{ap } S)$
 $\text{ap } (\text{Upd } x \ \# \ S) = \text{ap } S$
 $\text{ap } (\text{Dummy } x \ \# \ S) = \text{ap } S$
fun *upds* :: *stack* \Rightarrow *var set* **where**
 $\text{upds } [] = \{\}$
 $\text{upds } (\text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \# \ S) = \text{upds } S$
 $\text{upds } (\text{Upd } x \ \# \ S) = \text{insert } x \ (\text{upds } S)$
 $\text{upds } (\text{Arg } x \ \# \ S) = \text{upds } S$
 $\text{upds } (\text{Dummy } x \ \# \ S) = \text{upds } S$
fun *dummies* :: *stack* \Rightarrow *var set* **where**
 $\text{dummies } [] = \{\}$
 $\text{dummies } (\text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \# \ S) = \text{dummies } S$
 $\text{dummies } (\text{Upd } x \ \# \ S) = \text{dummies } S$
 $\text{dummies } (\text{Arg } x \ \# \ S) = \text{dummies } S$
 $\text{dummies } (\text{Dummy } x \ \# \ S) = \text{insert } x \ (\text{dummies } S)$
fun *flattn* :: *stack* \Rightarrow *var list* **where**
 $\text{flattn } [] = []$
 $\text{flattn } (\text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \# \ S) = \text{fv-list } e1 \ @ \ \text{fv-list } e2 \ @ \ \text{flattn } S$
 $\text{flattn } (\text{Upd } x \ \# \ S) = x \ \# \ \text{flattn } S$
 $\text{flattn } (\text{Arg } x \ \# \ S) = x \ \# \ \text{flattn } S$
 $\text{flattn } (\text{Dummy } x \ \# \ S) = x \ \# \ \text{flattn } S$
fun *upds-list* :: *stack* \Rightarrow *var list* **where**
 $\text{upds-list } [] = []$
 $\text{upds-list } (\text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \# \ S) = \text{upds-list } S$
 $\text{upds-list } (\text{Upd } x \ \# \ S) = x \ \# \ \text{upds-list } S$
 $\text{upds-list } (\text{Arg } x \ \# \ S) = \text{upds-list } S$
 $\text{upds-list } (\text{Dummy } x \ \# \ S) = \text{upds-list } S$

lemma *set-upds-list[simp]*:
 $\text{set } (\text{upds-list } S) = \text{upds } S$
by (*induction S rule: upds-list.induct*) *auto*

lemma *ups-fv-subset*: $\text{upds } S \subseteq \text{fv } S$

```

  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma fresh-distinct-ups: atom ' V #* S  $\implies$  V  $\cap$  upds S = {}
  by (auto dest!: fresh-distinct-fv subsetD[OF up-fv-subset])
lemma ap-fv-subset: ap S  $\subseteq$  fv S
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma dummies-fv-subset: dummies S  $\subseteq$  fv S
  by (induction S rule: dummies.induct) auto

lemma fresh-flattn[simp]: atom (a::var) # flattn S  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom a # S
  by (induction S rule: flattn.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-Nil fresh-Cons fresh-append fresh-fv[OF finite-fv])
lemma fresh-star-flattn[simp]: atom ' (as:: var set) #* flattn S  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom ' as #* S
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
lemma fresh-ups-list[simp]: atom a # S  $\implies$  atom (a::var) # ups-list S
  by (induction S rule: ups-list.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-Nil fresh-Cons fresh-append fresh-fv[OF finite-fv])
lemma fresh-star-ups-list[simp]: atom ' (as:: var set) #* S  $\implies$  atom ' (as:: var set) #* ups-list S
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)

lemma upds-append[simp]: upds (S@S') = upds S  $\cup$  upds S'
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma upds-map-Dummy[simp]: upds (map Dummy l) = {}
  by (induction l) auto

lemma upds-list-append[simp]: upds-list (S@S') = upds-list S @ upds-list S'
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma upds-list-map-Dummy[simp]: upds-list (map Dummy l) = []
  by (induction l) auto

lemma dummies-append[simp]: dummies (S@S') = dummies S  $\cup$  dummies S'
  by (induction S rule: dummies.induct) auto
lemma dummies-map-Dummy[simp]: dummies (map Dummy l) = set l
  by (induction l) auto

lemma map-Dummy-inj[simp]: map Dummy l = map Dummy l'  $\longleftrightarrow$  l = l'
  apply (induction l arbitrary: l')
  apply (case-tac [!]) l')
  apply auto
  done

type-synonym conf = (heap  $\times$  exp  $\times$  stack)

inductive boring-step where
  isVal e  $\implies$  boring-step ( $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)

fun restr-stack :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  stack  $\Rightarrow$  stack
  where restr-stack V [] = []

```

$| \text{restr-stack } V (\text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \# \ S) = \text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \# \ \text{restr-stack } V \ S$
 $| \text{restr-stack } V (\text{Arg } x \ \# \ S) = \text{Arg } x \ \# \ \text{restr-stack } V \ S$
 $| \text{restr-stack } V (\text{Upd } x \ \# \ S) = (\text{if } x \in V \text{ then } \text{Upd } x \ \# \ \text{restr-stack } V \ S \text{ else } \text{restr-stack } V \ S)$
 $| \text{restr-stack } V (\text{Dummy } x \ \# \ S) = \text{Dummy } x \ \# \ \text{restr-stack } V \ S$

lemma *restr-stack-cong*:

$(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{upds } S \implies x \in V \longleftrightarrow x \in V') \implies \text{restr-stack } V \ S = \text{restr-stack } V' \ S$
by (*induction* $V \ S$ *rule*: *restr-stack.induct*) *auto*

lemma *upds-restr-stack[simp]*: $\text{upds } (\text{restr-stack } V \ S) = \text{upds } S \cap V$

by (*induction* $V \ S$ *rule*: *restr-stack.induct*) *auto*

lemma *fresh-star-restrict-stack[intro]*:

$a \ \#* \ S \implies a \ \#* \ \text{restr-stack } V \ S$

by (*induction* $V \ S$ *rule*: *restr-stack.induct*) (*auto simp add*: *fresh-star-Cons*)

lemma *restr-stack-restr-stack[simp]*:

$\text{restr-stack } V (\text{restr-stack } V' \ S) = \text{restr-stack } (V \cap V') \ S$

by (*induction* $V \ S$ *rule*: *restr-stack.induct*) *auto*

lemma *Upd-eq-restr-stackD*:

assumes $\text{Upd } x \ \# \ S = \text{restr-stack } V \ S'$

shows $x \in V$

using *arg-cong*[**where** $f = \text{upds}$, *OF assms*]

by *auto*

lemma *Upd-eq-restr-stackD2*:

assumes $\text{restr-stack } V \ S' = \text{Upd } x \ \# \ S$

shows $x \in V$

using *arg-cong*[**where** $f = \text{upds}$, *OF assms*]

by *auto*

lemma *restr-stack-noop[simp]*:

$\text{restr-stack } V \ S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{upds } S \subseteq V$

by (*induction* $V \ S$ *rule*: *restr-stack.induct*)

(*auto dest*: *Upd-eq-restr-stackD2*)

2.1.1 Invariants of the semantics

inductive *invariant* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $(\bigwedge x \ y. \text{rel } x \ y \implies I \ x \implies I \ y) \implies \text{invariant rel } I$

lemmas *invariant.intros*[*case-names step*]

lemma *invariantE*:

$\text{invariant rel } I \implies \text{rel } x \ y \implies I \ x \implies I \ y$ **by** (*auto elim*: *invariant.cases*)

lemma *invariant-starE*:


```

rtrancpl rel x y  $\implies$  invariant rel I  $\implies$  I x  $\implies$  I y
by (induction rule: rtrancpl.induct) (auto elim: invariantE)

lemma invariant-True:
  invariant rel ( $\lambda$  -. True)
by (auto intro: invariant.intros)

lemma invariant-conj:
  invariant rel I1  $\implies$  invariant rel I2  $\implies$  invariant rel ( $\lambda$  x. I1 x  $\wedge$  I2 x)
by (auto simp add: invariant.simps)

lemma rtrancpl-invariant-induct[consumes 3, case-names base step]:
  assumes r** a b
  assumes invariant r I
  assumes I a
  assumes P a
  assumes ( $\bigwedge$  y z. r** a y  $\implies$  r y z  $\implies$  I y  $\implies$  I z  $\implies$  P y  $\implies$  P z)
  shows P b
proof-
  from assms(1,3)
  have P b and I b
  proof(induction)
    case base
    from  $\langle P \ a \rangle$  show P a.
    from  $\langle I \ a \rangle$  show I a.
  next
    case (step y z)
    with  $\langle I \ a \rangle$  have P y and I y by auto

    from assms(2)  $\langle r \ y \ z \rangle \langle I \ y \rangle$ 
    show I z by (rule invariantE)

    from  $\langle r^{**} \ a \ y \rangle \langle r \ y \ z \rangle \langle I \ y \rangle \langle I \ z \rangle \langle P \ y \rangle$ 
    show P z by (rule assms(5))
  qed
  thus P b by-
qed

fun closed :: conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where closed ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\longleftrightarrow$  fv ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma \cup$  upds S

fun heap-upds-ok where heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ , S)  $\longleftrightarrow$  domA  $\Gamma \cap$  upds S = {}  $\wedge$  distinct (upds-list S)

abbreviation heap-upds-ok-conf :: conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where heap-upds-ok-conf c  $\equiv$  heap-upds-ok (fst c, snd (snd c))

```

lemma *heap-upds-okE*: $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies x \in \text{dom} A \ \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{upds } S$
by *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-Nil[simp]*: $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, [])$ **by** *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-app1*: $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{Arg } x \# S)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-app2*: $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{Arg } x \# S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-alts1*: $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \# S)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-alts2*: $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \# S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-append*:

assumes $\text{dom} A \ \Delta \cap \text{upds } S = \{\}$

assumes $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S)$

shows $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Delta @ \Gamma, S)$

using *assms*

unfolding *heap-upds-ok.simps*

by *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-let*:

assumes $\text{atom } ' \ \text{dom} A \ \Delta \ \#^* S$

assumes $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S)$

shows $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Delta @ \Gamma, S)$

using *assms(2) fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(1)]*

by (*auto intro: heap-upds-ok-append dest: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset]*)

lemma *heap-upds-ok-to-stack*:

$x \in \text{dom} A \ \Gamma \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S)$

by (*auto*)

lemma *heap-upds-ok-to-stack'*:

$\text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S)$

by (*metis Domain.DomainI domA-def fst-eq-Domain heap-upds-ok-to-stack map-of-SomeD*)

lemma *heap-upds-ok-delete*:

$\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma, S)$

by *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-restrictA*:

$\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{restrictA } V \ \Gamma, S)$

by *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-restr-stack*:

$\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{restr-stack } V \ S)$

apply *auto*

by (*induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct*) *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-to-heap*:

$\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } ((x, e) \# \Gamma, S)$

by *auto*

lemma *heap-upds-ok-reorder*:

$x \in \text{dom}A \ \Gamma \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} \ (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok} \ ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x \ \Gamma, S)$
by (*intro heap-upds-ok-to-heap heap-upds-ok-to-stack*)

lemma *heap-upds-ok-upd*:

$\text{heap-upds-ok} \ (\Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S) \implies x \notin \text{dom}A \ \Gamma \wedge x \notin \text{upds } S$
by *auto*

lemmas *heap-upds-ok-intros*[*intro*] =

heap-upds-ok-to-heap heap-upds-ok-to-stack heap-upds-ok-to-stack' heap-upds-ok-reorder
heap-upds-ok-app1 heap-upds-ok-app2 heap-upds-ok-alts1 heap-upds-ok-alts2 heap-upds-ok-delete
heap-upds-ok-restrictA heap-upds-ok-restr-stack
heap-upds-ok-let

lemmas *heap-upds-ok.simps*[*simp del*]

end

2.2 Sestoft

theory *Sestoft*

imports *SestoftConf*

begin

inductive *step* :: *conf* \Rightarrow *conf* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \Rightarrow 50) **where**

| *app1*: $(\Gamma, \text{App } e \ x, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# S)$
| *app2*: $(\Gamma, \text{Lam } [y]. e, \text{Arg } x \# S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e[y ::= x], S)$
| *var1*: $\text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \implies (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \Rightarrow (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$
| *var2*: $x \notin \text{dom}A \ \Gamma \implies \text{isVal } e \implies (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S) \Rightarrow ((x,e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$
| *let1*: $\text{atom } ' \text{dom}A \ \Delta \ \sharp^* \ \Gamma \implies \text{atom } ' \text{dom}A \ \Delta \ \sharp^* \ S$
 $\implies (\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta \ e, S) \Rightarrow (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$
| *if1*: $(\Gamma, \text{scrut } ? \ e1 : e2, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \# S)$
| *if2*: $(\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \# S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S)$

abbreviation *steps* (**infix** \Rightarrow^* 50) **where** *steps* $\equiv \text{step}^{**}$

lemma *SmartLet-stepI*:

$\text{atom } ' \text{dom}A \ \Delta \ \sharp^* \ \Gamma \implies \text{atom } ' \text{dom}A \ \Delta \ \sharp^* \ S \implies (\Gamma, \text{SmartLet } \Delta \ e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$

unfolding *SmartLet-def* **by** (*auto intro: let1*)

lemma *lambda-var*: $\text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \implies \text{isVal } e \implies (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \Rightarrow^* ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x \ \Gamma, e, S)$

by (*rule rtrancpl-trans[OF r-into-rtrancpl r-into-rtrancpl]*)
(*auto intro: var1 var2*)

lemma *let1-closed*:

assumes *closed* $(\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta \ e, S)$

```

assumes  $\text{dom}A \Delta \cap \text{dom}A \Gamma = \{\}$ 
assumes  $\text{dom}A \Delta \cap \text{upds } S = \{\}$ 
shows  $(\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta \ e, S) \Rightarrow (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$ 
proof
  from  $\langle \text{dom}A \Delta \cap \text{dom}A \Gamma = \{\} \rangle$  and  $\langle \text{dom}A \Delta \cap \text{upds } S = \{\} \rangle$ 
  have  $\text{dom}A \Delta \cap (\text{dom}A \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) = \{\}$  by auto
  with  $\langle \text{closed } \rightarrow \rangle$ 
  have  $\text{dom}A \Delta \cap \text{fv } (\Gamma, S) = \{\}$  by auto
  hence  $\text{atom } ' \text{dom}A \Delta \#* (\Gamma, S)$ 
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fv-def fresh-def)
  thus  $\text{atom } ' \text{dom}A \Delta \#* \Gamma$  and  $\text{atom } ' \text{dom}A \Delta \#* S$  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
qed

```

An induction rule that skips the annoying case of a lambda taken off the heap

lemma *step-invariant-induction*[*consumes 4, case-names app₁ app₂ thunk lamvar var₂ let₁ if₁ if₂ refl trans*]:

```

assumes  $c \Rightarrow^* c'$ 
assumes  $\neg \text{boring-step } c'$ 
assumes  $\text{invariant } (\Rightarrow) I$ 
assumes  $I \ c$ 
assumes  $\text{app}_1: \bigwedge \Gamma \ e \ x \ S. I \ (\Gamma, \text{App } e \ x, S) \Longrightarrow P \ (\Gamma, \text{App } e \ x, S) \ (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \ \# \ S)$ 
assumes  $\text{app}_2: \bigwedge \Gamma \ y \ e \ x \ S. I \ (\Gamma, \text{Lam } [y]. \ e, \text{Arg } x \ \# \ S) \Longrightarrow P \ (\Gamma, \text{Lam } [y]. \ e, \text{Arg } x \ \# \ S)$ 
 $(\Gamma, e[y ::= x], S)$ 
assumes  $\text{thunk}: \bigwedge \Gamma \ x \ e \ S. \text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \Longrightarrow \neg \text{isVal } e \Longrightarrow I \ (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \Longrightarrow$ 
 $P \ (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \ (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \ \# \ S)$ 
assumes  $\text{lamvar}: \bigwedge \Gamma \ x \ e \ S. \text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \Longrightarrow \text{isVal } e \Longrightarrow I \ (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \Longrightarrow P$ 
 $(\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \ ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x \ \Gamma, e, S)$ 
assumes  $\text{var}_2: \bigwedge \Gamma \ x \ e \ S. x \notin \text{dom}A \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \text{isVal } e \Longrightarrow I \ (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \ \# \ S) \Longrightarrow P \ (\Gamma, e,$ 
 $\text{Upd } x \ \# \ S) \ ((x,e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$ 
assumes  $\text{let}_1: \bigwedge \Delta \ \Gamma \ e \ S. \text{atom } ' \text{dom}A \Delta \#* \Gamma \Longrightarrow \text{atom } ' \text{dom}A \Delta \#* S \Longrightarrow I \ (\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta$ 
 $e, S) \Longrightarrow P \ (\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta \ e, S) \ (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$ 
assumes  $\text{if}_1: \bigwedge \Gamma \ \text{scrut } e1 \ e2 \ S. I \ (\Gamma, \text{scrut } ? \ e1 : e2, S) \Longrightarrow P \ (\Gamma, \text{scrut } ? \ e1 : e2, S) \ (\Gamma,$ 
 $\text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \# \ S)$ 
assumes  $\text{if}_2: \bigwedge \Gamma \ b \ e1 \ e2 \ S. I \ (\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \# \ S) \Longrightarrow P \ (\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1 \ e2 \ \#$ 
 $S) \ (\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S)$ 
assumes  $\text{refl}: \bigwedge c. P \ c \ c$ 
assumes  $\text{trans}[\text{trans}]: \bigwedge c \ c' \ c''. c \Rightarrow^* c' \Longrightarrow c' \Rightarrow^* c'' \Longrightarrow P \ c \ c' \Longrightarrow P \ c' \ c'' \Longrightarrow P \ c \ c''$ 
shows  $P \ c \ c'$ 

```

proof–

```

from assms(1,3,4)
have  $P \ c \ c' \vee (\text{boring-step } c' \wedge (\forall c''. c' \Rightarrow c'' \longrightarrow P \ c \ c''))$ 
proof(induction rule: rtranclp-invariant-induct)
case base
  have  $P \ c \ c$  by (rule refl)
  thus ?case..
next
case (step y z)
  from step(5)
  show ?case

```

```

proof
  assume  $P\ c\ y$ 

  note  $t = \text{trans}[OF\ \langle c \Rightarrow^* y \rangle\ r\text{-into-rtrancpl}[\text{where } r = \text{step}, OF\ \langle y \Rightarrow z \rangle]]$ 

  from  $\langle y \Rightarrow z \rangle$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    case  $\text{app}_1$  hence  $P\ y\ z$  using  $\text{assms}(5)$   $\langle I\ y \rangle$  by metis
    with  $\langle P\ c\ y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis  $t$ )
  next
    case  $\text{app}_2$  hence  $P\ y\ z$  using  $\text{assms}(6)$   $\langle I\ y \rangle$  by metis
    with  $\langle P\ c\ y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis  $t$ )
  next
    case ( $\text{var}_1\ \Gamma\ x\ e\ S$ )
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases isVal e)
      case False with  $\text{var}_1$  have  $P\ y\ z$  using  $\text{assms}(7)$   $\langle I\ y \rangle$  by metis
      with  $\langle P\ c\ y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis  $t$ )
    next
      case True
      have  $*$ :  $y \Rightarrow^* ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x\ \Gamma, e, S)$  using  $\text{var}_1\ \text{True}\ \text{lambda-var}$  by metis

      have boring-step ( $\text{delete } x\ \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S$ ) using True ..
      moreover
      have  $P\ y\ ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x\ \Gamma, e, S)$  using  $\text{var}_1\ \text{True}\ \text{assms}(8)$   $\langle I\ y \rangle$  by metis
      with  $\langle P\ c\ y \rangle$  have  $P\ c\ ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x\ \Gamma, e, S)$  by (rule trans[ $OF\ \langle c \Rightarrow^* y \rangle\ *$ ])
      ultimately
      show ?thesis using  $\text{var}_1(2,3)\ \text{True}$  by (auto elim!: step.cases)
    qed
  next
    case  $\text{var}_2$  hence  $P\ y\ z$  using  $\text{assms}(9)$   $\langle I\ y \rangle$  by metis
    with  $\langle P\ c\ y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis  $t$ )
  next
    case  $\text{let}_1$  hence  $P\ y\ z$  using  $\text{assms}(10)$   $\langle I\ y \rangle$  by metis
    with  $\langle P\ c\ y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis  $t$ )
  next
    case  $\text{if}_1$  hence  $P\ y\ z$  using  $\text{assms}(11)$   $\langle I\ y \rangle$  by metis
    with  $\langle P\ c\ y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis  $t$ )
  next
    case  $\text{if}_2$  hence  $P\ y\ z$  using  $\text{assms}(12)$   $\langle I\ y \rangle$  by metis
    with  $\langle P\ c\ y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis  $t$ )
  qed
next
  assume boring-step  $y \wedge (\forall c''. y \Rightarrow c'' \longrightarrow P\ c\ c')$ 
  with  $\langle y \Rightarrow z \rangle$ 
  have  $P\ c\ z$  by blast
  thus ?thesis..
qed

```

```

qed
with assms(2)
show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *step-induction*[consumes 2, case-names *app1 app2 thunk lamvar var2 let1 if1 if2 refl trans*]:

```

  assumes  $c \Rightarrow^* c'$ 
  assumes  $\neg \text{boring-step } c'$ 
  assumes app1:  $\bigwedge \Gamma e x S . P (\Gamma, \text{App } e x, S) (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# S)$ 
  assumes app2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma y e x S . P (\Gamma, \text{Lam } [y]. e, \text{Arg } x \# S) (\Gamma, e[y ::= x], S)$ 
  assumes thunk:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \Rightarrow \neg \text{isVal } e \Rightarrow P (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
  assumes lamvar:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \Rightarrow \text{isVal } e \Rightarrow P (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) ((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$ 
  assumes var2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{isVal } e \Rightarrow P (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S) ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$ 
  assumes let1:  $\bigwedge \Delta \Gamma e S . \text{atom } ' \text{domA } \Delta \# \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{atom } ' \text{domA } \Delta \# S \Rightarrow P (\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta e, S) (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$ 
  assumes if1:  $\bigwedge \Gamma \text{scrut } e1 e2 S . P (\Gamma, \text{scrut } ? e1 : e2, S) (\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S)$ 
  assumes if2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma b e1 e2 S . P (\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S) (\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S)$ 
  assumes refl:  $\bigwedge c . P c c$ 
  assumes trans[trans]:  $\bigwedge c c' c'' . c \Rightarrow^* c' \Rightarrow c' \Rightarrow^* c'' \Rightarrow P c c' \Rightarrow P c' c'' \Rightarrow P c c''$ 
  shows  $P c c'$ 

```

by (rule *step-invariant-induction*[*OF - - invariant-True, simplified, OF assms*])

2.2.1 Equivariance

lemma *step-eqv*[*eqvt*]: $\text{step } x y \Rightarrow \text{step } (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot y)$

```

  apply (induction rule: step.induct)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
done

```

2.2.2 Invariants

lemma *closed-invariant*:

invariant step closed

proof

```

fix c c'
assume c  $\Rightarrow$  c' and closed c
thus closed c'
by (induction rule: step.induct) (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq dest!: subsetD[OF fv-delete-subset]
dest: subsetD[OF map-of-Some-fv-subset])
qed

```

```

lemma heap-upds-ok-invariant:
  invariant step heap-upds-ok-conf
proof
  fix c c'
  assume c  $\Rightarrow$  c' and heap-upds-ok-conf c
  thus heap-upds-ok-conf c'
  by (induction rule: step.induct) auto
qed

end

```

2.3 SestoftGC

```

theory SestoftGC
imports Sestoft
begin

```

```

inductive gc-step :: conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\Rightarrow_G$  50) where
  normal: c  $\Rightarrow$  c'  $\implies$  c  $\Rightarrow_G$  c'
| dropUpd: ( $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)  $\Rightarrow_G$  ( $\Gamma$ , e, S @ [Dummy x])

```

```

lemmas gc-step-intros[intro] =
  normal[OF step.intros(1)] normal[OF step.intros(2)] normal[OF step.intros(3)]
  normal[OF step.intros(4)] normal[OF step.intros(5)] dropUpd

```

```

abbreviation gc-steps (infix  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  50) where gc-steps  $\equiv$  gc-step**
lemmas converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp[of gc-step, OF - r-into-rtranclp, trans]

```

```

lemma var-onceI:
  assumes map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e
  shows ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, S@[Dummy x])
proof—
  from assms
  have ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)  $\Rightarrow_G$  (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)..
  moreover
  have ...  $\Rightarrow_G$  (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, S@[Dummy x])..
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by (rule converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp[OF - r-into-rtranclp])
qed

```

lemma *normal-trans*: $c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies c \Rightarrow_G^* c'$
by (*induction rule*: *rtrancp-induct*)
(*simp*, *metis normal rtrancp.rtrancp-into-rtrancp*)

fun *to-gc-conf* :: *var list* \Rightarrow *conf* \Rightarrow *conf*
where *to-gc-conf* *r* (Γ , *e*, *S*) = (*restrictA* ($-$ *set* *r*) Γ , *e*, *restr-stack* ($-$ *set* *r*) *S* @ (*map Dummy* (*rev* *r*)))

lemma *restr-stack-map-Dummy*[*simp*]: *restr-stack* *V* (*map Dummy* *l*) = *map Dummy* *l*
by (*induction* *l*) *auto*

lemma *restr-stack-append*[*simp*]: *restr-stack* *V* (*l*@*l'*) = *restr-stack* *V* *l* @ *restr-stack* *V* *l'*
by (*induction* *l* *rule*: *restr-stack.induct*) *auto*

lemma *to-gc-conf-append*[*simp*]:
to-gc-conf (*r*@*r'*) *c* = *to-gc-conf* *r* (*to-gc-conf* *r'* *c*)
by (*cases* *c*) *auto*

lemma *to-gc-conf-eqE*[*elim!*]:
assumes *to-gc-conf* *r* *c* = (Γ , *e*, *S*)
obtains Γ' *S'* **where** *c* = (Γ' , *e*, *S'*) **and** Γ = *restrictA* ($-$ *set* *r*) Γ' **and** *S* = *restr-stack* ($-$ *set* *r*) *S'* @ *map Dummy* (*rev* *r*)
using *assms* **by** (*cases* *c*) *auto*

fun *safe-hd* :: '*a* *list* \Rightarrow '*a* *option*
where *safe-hd* (*x*# $-$) = *Some* *x*
| *safe-hd* [] = *None*

lemma *safe-hd-None*[*simp*]: *safe-hd* *xs* = *None* \longleftrightarrow *xs* = []
by (*cases* *xs*) *auto*

abbreviation *r-ok* :: *var list* \Rightarrow *conf* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *r-ok* *r* *c* \equiv *set* *r* \subseteq *domA* (*fst* *c*) \cup *upds* (*snd* (*snd* *c*))

lemma *subset-bound-invariant*:
invariant step (*r-ok* *r*)

proof
fix *x* *y*
assume *x* \Rightarrow *y* **and** *r-ok* *r* *x* **thus** *r-ok* *r* *y*
by (*induction*) *auto*

qed

lemma *safe-hd-restr-stack*[*simp*]:
Some *a* = *safe-hd* (*restr-stack* *V* (*a* # *S*)) \longleftrightarrow *restr-stack* *V* (*a* # *S*) = *a* # *restr-stack* *V* *S*
apply (*cases* *a*)
apply (*auto split: if-splits*)
apply (*thin-tac* *P* **for** *P*)

apply (*induction S rule: restr-stack.induct*)
apply (*auto split: if-splits*)
done

lemma *sestoftUnGCStack:*

assumes *heap-upds-ok* (Γ, S)

obtains $\Gamma' S'$ **where**

$(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$

$to_gc_conf\ r\ (\Gamma, e, S) = to_gc_conf\ r\ (\Gamma', e, S')$

$\neg isVal\ e \vee safe_hd\ S' = safe_hd\ (restr_stack\ (-\ set\ r)\ S')$

proof–

show *?thesis*

proof(*cases isVal e*)

case *False*

thus *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** $-(rule\ that,\ auto)$

next

case *True*

from *that assms*

show *?thesis*

apply (*atomize-elim*)

proof(*induction S arbitrary: Γ*)

case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** (*fastforce*)

next

case (*Cons s S*)

show *?case*

proof(*cases Some s = safe-hd (restr-stack (- set r) (s#S))*)

case *True*

thus *?thesis*

using $\langle isVal\ e \rangle \langle heap_upds_ok\ (\Gamma, s \# S) \rangle$

apply *auto*

apply (*intro exI conjI*)

apply (*rule rtranclp.intros(1)*)

apply *auto*

done

next

case *False*

then obtain x **where** $[simp]: s = Upd\ x$ **and** $[simp]: x \in set\ r$

by(*cases s*) (*auto split: if-splits*)

from $\langle heap_upds_ok\ (\Gamma, s \# S) \rangle \langle s = Upd\ x \rangle$

have $[simp]: x \notin domA\ \Gamma$ **and** $heap_upds_ok\ ((x,e) \# \Gamma, S)$

by (*auto dest: heap-upds-okE*)

have $(\Gamma, e, s \# S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, e, Upd\ x \# S)$ **unfolding** $\langle s = \rightarrow \rangle ..$

also have $\dots \Rightarrow ((x,e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$ **by** (*rule step.var2[OF $\langle x \notin domA\ \Gamma \rangle \langle isVal\ e \rangle$]*)

also

from *Cons.IH*[*OF $\langle heap_upds_ok\ ((x,e) \# \Gamma, S) \rangle$*]

obtain $\Gamma' S'$ **where** $((x,e) \# \Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$

and *res:* $to_gc_conf\ r\ ((x,e) \# \Gamma, e, S) = to_gc_conf\ r\ (\Gamma', e, S')$

```

      ( $\neg$  isVal  $e \vee$  safe-hd  $S' =$  safe-hd ( $\text{restr-stack } (- \text{ set } r) S'$ ))
    by blast
  note this(1)
  finally
  have  $(\Gamma, e, s \# S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ .
  thus ?thesis using res by auto
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma perm-exI-trivial:
   $P \ x \ x \Longrightarrow \exists \ \pi. P \ (\pi \cdot x) \ x$ 
by (rule exI[where  $x = 0::\text{perm}$ ]) auto

lemma upds-list-restr-stack[simp]:
   $\text{upds-list } (\text{restr-stack } V \ S) = \text{filter } (\lambda \ x. x \in V) (\text{upds-list } S)$ 
by (induction  $S$  rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma heap-upd-ok-to-gc-conf:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \Longrightarrow \text{to-gc-conf } r \ (\Gamma, e, S) = (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \Longrightarrow \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma'', S'')$ 
by (auto simp add: heap-upds-ok.simps)

lemma delete-restrictA-conv:
   $\text{delete } x \ \Gamma = \text{restrictA } (-\{x\}) \ \Gamma$ 
by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma sestoftUnGCstep:
  assumes  $\text{to-gc-conf } r \ c \Rightarrow_G d$ 
  assumes  $\text{heap-upds-ok-conf } c$ 
  assumes  $\text{closed } c$ 
  and  $r\text{-ok } r \ c$ 
  shows  $\exists \ r' \ c'. c \Rightarrow^* c' \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r' \ c' \wedge r\text{-ok } r' \ c'$ 
proof-
  obtain  $\Gamma \ e \ S$  where  $c = (\Gamma, e, S)$  by (cases  $c$ ) auto
  with assms
  have  $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S)$  and  $\text{closed } (\Gamma, e, S)$  and  $r\text{-ok } r \ (\Gamma, e, S)$  by auto
  from sestoftUnGCStack[OF this(1)]
  obtain  $\Gamma' \ S'$  where
     $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
    and  $*: \text{to-gc-conf } r \ (\Gamma, e, S) = \text{to-gc-conf } r \ (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
    and  $\text{disj: } \neg \text{ isVal } e \vee \text{ safe-hd } S' = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack } (- \text{ set } r) S')$ 
    by metis

  from invariant-starE[OF  $\langle - \Rightarrow^* - \rangle$  heap-upds-ok-invariant]  $\langle \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \rangle$ 
  have  $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma', S')$  by auto

  from invariant-starE[OF  $\langle - \Rightarrow^* - \rangle$  closed-invariant  $\langle \text{closed } (\Gamma, e, S) \rangle$ ]
  have  $\text{closed } (\Gamma', e, S')$  by auto

```

```

from invariant-starE[OF  $\langle - \Rightarrow^* - \rangle$  subset-bound-invariant  $\langle r\text{-ok } r \ (\Gamma, e, S) \rangle$  ]
have r-ok r ( $\Gamma'$ , e,  $S'$ ) by auto

from assms(1)[unfolded  $\langle c = - \rangle *$ ]
have  $\exists r' \Gamma'' e'' S''. (\Gamma', e, S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r' (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \wedge r\text{-ok } r'$ 
( $\Gamma'', e'', S''$ )
proof(cases rule: gc-step.cases)
  case normal
  hence  $\exists \Gamma'' e'' S''. (\Gamma', e, S') \Rightarrow (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma'', e'', S'')$ 
  proof(cases rule: step.cases)
    case app1
    thus ?thesis
    apply auto
    apply (intro exI conjI)
    apply (rule step.intros)
    apply auto
    done
  next
  case (app2  $\Gamma y ea x S$ )
  thus ?thesis
    using disj
    apply (cases  $S'$ )
    apply auto
    apply (intro exI conjI)
    apply (rule step.intros)
    apply auto
    done
  next
  case var1
  thus ?thesis
    apply auto
    apply (intro exI conjI)
    apply (rule step.intros)
    apply (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist)
    done
  next
  case var2
  thus ?thesis
    using disj
    apply (cases  $S'$ )
    apply auto
    apply (intro exI conjI)
    apply (rule step.intros)
    apply (auto split: if-splits dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)
    done
  next
  case (let1  $\Delta'' \Gamma'' S'' e'$ )

```

```

from  $\langle \text{closed } (\Gamma', e, S') \rangle \text{ let}_1$ 
have  $\text{closed } (\Gamma', \text{Let } \Delta'' e', S')$  by simp

from fresh-distinct[OF  $\text{let}_1(3)$ ] fresh-distinct-fv[OF  $\text{let}_1(4)$ ]
have  $\text{domA } \Delta'' \cap \text{domA } \Gamma'' = \{\}$  and  $\text{domA } \Delta'' \cap \text{upds } S'' = \{\}$  and  $\text{domA } \Delta'' \cap$ 
dummies  $S'' = \{\}$ 
by (auto dest: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset] subsetD[OF dummies-fv-subset])
moreover
from  $\text{let}_1(1)$ 
have  $\text{domA } \Gamma' \cup \text{upds } S' \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma'' \cup \text{upds } S'' \cup \text{dummies } S''$ 
by auto
ultimately
have disj:  $\text{domA } \Delta'' \cap \text{domA } \Gamma' = \{\}$   $\text{domA } \Delta'' \cap \text{upds } S' = \{\}$ 
by auto

from  $\langle \text{domA } \Delta'' \cap \text{dummies } S'' = \{\} \rangle \text{ let}_1(1)$ 
have  $\text{domA } \Delta'' \cap \text{set } r = \{\}$  by auto
hence [simp]:  $\text{restrictA } (- \text{set } r) \Delta'' = \Delta''$ 
by (auto intro: restrictA-noop)

from  $\text{let}_1(1-3)$ 
show ?thesis
apply auto
apply (intro exI[where  $x = r$ ] exI[where  $x = \Delta'' @ \Gamma'$ ] exI[where  $x = S'$ ] conjI)
apply (rule let1-closed[OF  $\langle \text{closed } (\Gamma', \text{Let } \Delta'' e', S') \rangle$ ] disj)
apply (auto simp add: restrictA-append)
done
next
case if1
thus ?thesis
apply auto
apply (intro exI[where  $x = 0::\text{perm}$ ] exI conjI)
unfolding permute-zero
apply (rule step.intros)
apply (auto)
done
next
case if2
thus ?thesis
using disj
apply (cases  $S'$ )
apply auto
apply (intro exI exI conjI)
apply (rule step.if2[where  $b = \text{True}$ , simplified] step.if2[where  $b = \text{False}$ , simplified])
apply (auto split: if-splits dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)
apply (intro exI conjI)
apply (rule step.if2[where  $b = \text{True}$ , simplified] step.if2[where  $b = \text{False}$ , simplified])
apply (auto split: if-splits dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)
done

```

```

qed
with invariantE[OF subset-bound-invariant -  $\langle r\text{-ok } r \ (\Gamma', e, S') \rangle$ ]
show ?thesis by blast
next
case (dropUpd  $\Gamma'' e'' x S''$ )
from  $\langle \text{to-gc-conf } r \ (\Gamma', e, S') = (\Gamma'', e'', \text{Upd } x \# S'') \rangle$ 
have  $x \notin \text{set } r$  by (auto dest!: arg-cong[where f = upds])

from  $\langle \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma', S') \rangle$  and  $\langle \text{to-gc-conf } r \ (\Gamma', e, S') = (\Gamma'', e'', \text{Upd } x \# S'') \rangle$ 
have  $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma'', \text{Upd } x \# S'')$  by (rule heap-upd-ok-to-gc-conf)
hence [simp]:  $x \notin \text{dom } A \ \Gamma'' \ x \notin \text{upds } S''$  by (auto dest: heap-upds-ok-upd)

have  $\text{to-gc-conf } (x \# r) \ (\Gamma', e, S') = \text{to-gc-conf } ([x]@r) \ (\Gamma', e, S')$  by simp
also have  $\dots = \text{to-gc-conf } [x] \ (\text{to-gc-conf } r \ (\Gamma', e, S'))$  by (rule to-gc-conf-append)
also have  $\dots = \text{to-gc-conf } [x] \ (\Gamma'', e'', \text{Upd } x \# S'')$  unfolding  $\langle \text{to-gc-conf } r \ (\Gamma', e, S') =$ 
 $\rightarrow \dots$ 
also have  $\dots = (\Gamma'', e'', S''@[Dummy \ x])$  by (auto intro: restrictA-noop)
also have  $\dots = d$  using  $\langle d = \rightarrow \rangle$  by simp
finally have  $\text{to-gc-conf } (x \# r) \ (\Gamma', e, S') = d$ .
moreover
from  $\langle \text{to-gc-conf } r \ (\Gamma', e, S') = (\Gamma'', e'', \text{Upd } x \# S'') \rangle$ 
have  $x \in \text{upds } S'$  by (auto dest!: arg-cong[where f = upds])
with  $\langle r\text{-ok } r \ (\Gamma', e, S') \rangle$ 
have  $r\text{-ok } (x \# r) \ (\Gamma', e, S')$  by auto
moreover
note  $\langle \text{to-gc-conf } r \ (\Gamma', e, S') = (\Gamma'', e'', \text{Upd } x \# S'') \rangle$ 
ultimately
show ?thesis by fastforce

qed
then obtain  $r' \ \Gamma'' e'' S''$ 
where  $(\Gamma', e, S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e'', S'')$ 
and  $d = \text{to-gc-conf } r' \ (\Gamma'', e'', S'')$ 
and  $r\text{-ok } r' \ (\Gamma'', e'', S'')$ 
by metis

from  $\langle (\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S') \rangle$  and  $\langle (\Gamma', e, S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \rangle$ 
have  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e'', S'')$  by (rule rtranclp-trans)
with  $\langle d = \rightarrow \rangle \ \langle r\text{-ok } r' \rightarrow \rangle$ 
show ?thesis unfolding  $\langle c = \rightarrow \rangle$  by auto
qed

lemma sestoftUnGC:
assumes  $(\text{to-gc-conf } r \ c) \Rightarrow_{G^*} d$  and  $\text{heap-upds-ok-conf } c$  and  $\text{closed } c$  and  $r\text{-ok } r \ c$ 
shows  $\exists \ r' \ c'. \ c \Rightarrow^* c' \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r' \ c' \wedge r\text{-ok } r' \ c'$ 
using assms
proof(induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
case base

```

thus ?case by blast
 next
 case (step d' d'')
 then obtain r' c' where $c \Rightarrow^* c'$ and $d' = \text{to-gc-conf } r' c'$ and $r\text{-ok } r' c'$ by auto

 from invariant-starE[OF $\langle - \Rightarrow^* - \rangle \text{ heap-upds-ok-invariant}$] $\langle \text{heap-upds-ok} - \rangle$
 have heap-upds-ok-conf c'.

 from invariant-starE[OF $\langle - \Rightarrow^* - \rangle \text{ closed-invariant}$] $\langle \text{closed} - \rangle$
 have closed c'.

 from step $\langle d' = \text{to-gc-conf } r' c' \rangle$
 have $\text{to-gc-conf } r' c' \Rightarrow_G d''$ by simp
 from this $\langle \text{heap-upds-ok-conf } c' \rangle \langle \text{closed } c' \rangle \langle r\text{-ok } r' c' \rangle$
 have $\exists r'' c''. c' \Rightarrow^* c'' \wedge d'' = \text{to-gc-conf } r'' c'' \wedge r\text{-ok } r'' c''$
 by (rule sestofUnGCstep)
 then obtain r'' c'' where $c' \Rightarrow^* c''$ and $d'' = \text{to-gc-conf } r'' c''$ and $r\text{-ok } r'' c''$ by auto

 from $\langle c' \Rightarrow^* c'' \rangle \langle c \Rightarrow^* c' \rangle$
 have $c \Rightarrow^* c''$ by auto
 with $\langle d'' = - \rangle \langle r\text{-ok } r'' c'' \rangle$
 show ?case by blast
 qed

lemma dummies-unchanged-invariant:
 invariant step $(\lambda (\Gamma, e, S) . \text{dummies } S = V)$ (is invariant - ?I)
proof
 fix c c'
 assume $c \Rightarrow c'$ and ?I c
 thus ?I c' by (induction) auto
 qed

lemma sestofUnGC':
 assumes $([], e, []) \Rightarrow_{G^*} (\Gamma, e', \text{map Dummy } r)$
 assumes isVal e'
 assumes $\text{fv } e = (\{ \} :: \text{var set})$
 shows $\exists \Gamma''. ([], e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', []) \wedge \Gamma = \text{restrictA } (- \text{ set } r) \Gamma'' \wedge \text{set } r \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma''$
proof—
 from sestofUnGC[where $r = []$ and $c = ([], e, [])$, simplified, OF assms(1,3)]
 obtain r' Γ' S'
 where $([], e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e', S')$
 and $\Gamma = \text{restrictA } (- \text{ set } r') \Gamma'$
 and $\text{map Dummy } r = \text{restr-stack } (- \text{ set } r') S' @ \text{map Dummy } (\text{rev } r')$
 and $r\text{-ok } r' (\Gamma', e', S')$
 by auto

 from invariant-starE[OF $\langle ([], e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e', S') \rangle \text{ dummies-unchanged-invariant}$]
 have $\text{dummies } S' = \{ \}$ by auto
 with $\langle \text{map Dummy } r = \text{restr-stack } (- \text{ set } r') S' @ \text{map Dummy } (\text{rev } r') \rangle$

```

have restr-stack ( $- \text{ set } r'$ )  $S' = []$  and [simp]:  $r = \text{rev } r'$ 
by (induction  $S'$  rule: restr-stack.induct) (auto split: if-splits)

from invariant-starE[OF  $\langle - \Rightarrow^* - \rangle$  heap-upds-ok-invariant]
have heap-upds-ok  $(\Gamma', S')$  by auto

from isVal  $e'$  sestoftUnGCStack[where  $e = e'$ , OF  $\langle \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma', S') \rangle$  ]
obtain  $\Gamma'' S''$ 
  where  $(\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', S'')$ 
  and to-gc-conf  $r$   $(\Gamma', e', S') = \text{to-gc-conf } r$   $(\Gamma'', e', S'')$ 
  and safe-hd  $S'' = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack } (- \text{ set } r) S'')$ 
  by metis

from this (2,3)  $\langle \text{restr-stack } (- \text{ set } r') S' = [] \rangle$ 
have  $S'' = []$  by auto

from  $\langle ([], e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e', S') \rangle$  and  $\langle (\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', S'') \rangle$  and  $\langle S'' = [] \rangle$ 
have  $([], e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', [])$  by auto
moreover
have  $\Gamma = \text{restrictA } (- \text{ set } r) \Gamma''$  using  $\langle \text{to-gc-conf } r = - \rangle \langle \Gamma = - \rangle$  by auto
moreover
from invariant-starE[OF  $\langle (\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', S'') \rangle$  subset-bound-invariant  $\langle r\text{-ok } r' (\Gamma', e', S') \rangle$ ]
have  $\text{set } r \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma''$  using  $\langle S'' = [] \rangle$  by auto
ultimately
show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

```

2.4 BalancedTraces

```

theory BalancedTraces
imports Main
begin

```

```

locale traces =
  fixes step ::  $'c \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (infix  $\Rightarrow$  50)
begin

  abbreviation steps (infix  $\Rightarrow^*$  50) where steps  $\equiv \text{step}^{**}$ 

```

```

inductive trace ::  $'c \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  trace-nil[iff]: trace final [] final
  | trace-cons[intro]: trace conf' T final  $\Longrightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf'  $\Longrightarrow$  trace conf (conf'#T) final

```

```

inductive-cases trace-consE: trace conf (conf'#T) final

```

```

lemma trace-induct-final[consumes 1, case-names trace-nil trace-cons]:

```

$trace\ x1\ x2\ final \implies P\ final \sqcap final \implies (\bigwedge conf' T\ conf. trace\ conf' T\ final \implies P\ conf' T\ final \implies conf \Rightarrow conf' \implies P\ conf\ (conf' \# T)\ final) \implies P\ x1\ x2\ final$
by (induction rule:trace.induct) auto

lemma build-trace:

$c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies \exists T. trace\ c\ T\ c'$

proof(induction rule: converse-rtrancplp-induct)

have $trace\ c' \sqcap c'..$

thus $\exists T. trace\ c' T\ c'..$

next

fix $y\ z$

assume $y \Rightarrow z$

assume $\exists T. trace\ z\ T\ c'$

then obtain T **where** $trace\ z\ T\ c'..$

with $\langle y \Rightarrow z \rangle$

have $trace\ y\ (z \# T)\ c'$ **by** auto

thus $\exists T. trace\ y\ T\ c'$ **by** blast

qed

lemma destruct-trace: $trace\ c\ T\ c' \implies c \Rightarrow^* c'$

by (induction rule:trace.induct) auto

lemma traceWhile:

assumes $trace\ c_1\ T\ c_4$

assumes $P\ c_1$

assumes $\neg P\ c_4$

obtains $T_1\ c_2\ c_3\ T_2$

where $T = T_1 @ c_3 \# T_2$ **and** $trace\ c_1\ T_1\ c_2$ **and** $\forall x \in set\ T_1. P\ x$ **and** $P\ c_2$ **and** $c_2 \Rightarrow c_3$ **and** $\neg P\ c_3$ **and** $trace\ c_3\ T_2\ c_4$

proof—

from *assms*

have $\exists T_1\ c_2\ c_3\ T_2. (T = T_1 @ c_3 \# T_2 \wedge trace\ c_1\ T_1\ c_2 \wedge (\forall x \in set\ T_1. P\ x) \wedge P\ c_2 \wedge c_2 \Rightarrow c_3 \wedge \neg P\ c_3 \wedge trace\ c_3\ T_2\ c_4)$

proof(induction)

case *trace-nil* **thus** ?case **by** auto

next

case (trace-cons $conf' T$ end *conf*)

thus ?case

proof (cases $P\ conf'$)

case *True*

from trace-cons.IH[OF *True* $\langle \neg P \rangle$ end]

obtain $T_1\ c_2\ c_3\ T_2$ **where** $T = T_1 @ c_3 \# T_2 \wedge trace\ conf' T_1\ c_2 \wedge (\forall x \in set\ T_1. P\ x) \wedge P\ c_2 \wedge c_2 \Rightarrow c_3 \wedge \neg P\ c_3 \wedge trace\ c_3\ T_2\ end$ **by** auto

with *True*

have $conf' \# T = (conf' \# T_1) @ c_3 \# T_2 \wedge trace\ conf\ (conf' \# T_1)\ c_2 \wedge (\forall x \in set\ (conf' \# T_1). P\ x) \wedge P\ c_2 \wedge c_2 \Rightarrow c_3 \wedge \neg P\ c_3 \wedge trace\ c_3\ T_2\ end$ **by** (auto intro: trace-cons)

thus ?thesis **by** blast

next

case *False* **with** trace-cons

have $\text{conf}' \# T = [] @ \text{conf}' \# T \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } []. P x) \wedge P \text{ conf} \wedge \text{conf} \Rightarrow \text{conf}' \wedge \neg P$
 $\text{conf}' \wedge \text{trace conf}' T$ **end by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
thus *?thesis* **by** (*auto intro: that*)
qed

lemma *traces-list-all*:

$\text{trace } c \ T \ c' \Longrightarrow P \ c' \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge c \ c'. c \Rightarrow c' \Longrightarrow P \ c' \Longrightarrow P \ c) \Longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{set } T. P x) \wedge P c$
by (*induction rule: trace.induct*) *auto*

lemma *trace-nil[simp]*: $\text{trace } c [] \ c' \longleftrightarrow c = c'$

by (*metis list.distinct(1) trace.cases traces.trace-nil*)

end

definition *extends* :: $'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (*infix* $\lesssim 50$) **where**

$S \lesssim S' = (\exists S''. S' = S'' @ S)$

lemma *extends-refl[simp]*: $S \lesssim S$ **unfolding** *extends-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *extends-cons[simp]*: $S \lesssim x \# S$ **unfolding** *extends-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *extends-append[simp]*: $S \lesssim L @ S$ **unfolding** *extends-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *extends-not-cons[simp]*: $\neg (x \# S) \lesssim S$ **unfolding** *extends-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *extends-trans[trans]*: $S \lesssim S' \Longrightarrow S' \lesssim S'' \Longrightarrow S \lesssim S''$ **unfolding** *extends-def* **by** *auto*

locale *balance-trace* = *traces* +

fixes *stack* :: $'a \Rightarrow 's \text{ list}$

assumes *one-step-only*: $c \Rightarrow c' \Longrightarrow (\text{stack } c) = (\text{stack } c') \vee (\exists x. \text{stack } c' = x \# \text{stack } c) \vee$
 $(\exists x. \text{stack } c = x \# \text{stack } c')$

begin

inductive *bal* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

balI[intro] : $\text{trace } c \ T \ c' \Longrightarrow \forall c' \in \text{set } T. \text{stack } c \lesssim \text{stack } c' \Longrightarrow \text{stack } c' = \text{stack } c \Longrightarrow \text{bal } c \ T$
 c'

inductive-cases *balE*: $\text{bal } c \ T \ c'$

lemma *bal-nil[simp]*: $\text{bal } c [] \ c' \longleftrightarrow c = c'$

by (*auto elim: balE trace.cases*)

lemma *bal-stackD*: $\text{bal } c \ T \ c' \Longrightarrow \text{stack } c' = \text{stack } c$ **by** (*auto dest: balE*)

lemma *stack-passes-lower-bound*:

assumes $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$

assumes $\text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_3$

assumes $\neg \text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_4$

shows $\text{stack } c_3 = \text{stack } c_2$ **and** $\text{stack } c_4 = \text{tl } (\text{stack } c_2)$

```

proof–
  from one-step-only[OF assms(1)]
  have stack  $c_3 = \text{stack } c_2 \wedge \text{stack } c_4 = \text{tl } (\text{stack } c_2)$ 
  proof(elim disjE exE)
    assume stack  $c_3 = \text{stack } c_4$  with assms(2,3)
    have False by auto
    thus ?thesis..
  next
    fix  $x$ 
    note  $\langle \text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_3 \rangle$ 
    also
    assume stack  $c_4 = x \# \text{stack } c_3$ 
    hence stack  $c_3 \lesssim \text{stack } c_4$  by simp
    finally
    have stack  $c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_4$ .
    with assms(3) show ?thesis..
  next
    fix  $x$ 
    assume  $c_3: \text{stack } c_3 = x \# \text{stack } c_4$ 
    with assms(2)
    obtain  $L$  where  $L: x \# \text{stack } c_4 = L @ \text{stack } c_2$  unfolding extends-def by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases L)
      case Nil with  $c_3$   $L$  have stack  $c_3 = \text{stack } c_2$  by simp
      moreover
      from Nil  $c_3$   $L$  have stack  $c_4 = \text{tl } (\text{stack } c_2)$  by (cases stack c2) auto
      ultimately
      show ?thesis..
    next
      case (Cons y L')
      with  $L$  have stack  $c_4 = L' @ \text{stack } c_2$  by simp
      hence stack  $c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_4$  by simp
      with assms(3) show ?thesis..
    qed
  qed
  thus stack  $c_3 = \text{stack } c_2$  and stack  $c_4 = \text{tl } (\text{stack } c_2)$  by auto
qed

```

lemma *bal-consE*:

```

assumes bal  $c_1$  ( $c_2 \# T$ )  $c_5$ 
and  $c_2: \text{stack } c_2 = s \# \text{stack } c_1$ 
obtains  $T_1$   $c_3$   $c_4$   $T_2$ 
where  $T = T_1 @ c_4 \# T_2$  and bal  $c_2$   $T_1$   $c_3$  and  $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$  bal  $c_4$   $T_2$   $c_5$ 
using assms(1)
proof(rule balE)

```

```

assume  $c_5: \text{stack } c_5 = \text{stack } c_1$ 
assume  $T: \forall c' \in \text{set } (c_2 \# T). \text{stack } c_1 \lesssim \text{stack } c'$ 

```

```

assume  $\text{trace } c_1 (c_2 \# T) c_5$ 
hence  $c_1 \Rightarrow c_2$  and  $\text{trace } c_2 T c_5$  by (auto elim: trace-consE)

note  $\langle \text{trace } c_2 T c_5 \rangle$ 
moreover
have  $\text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_2$  by simp
moreover
have  $\neg (\text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_5)$  unfolding  $c_5 c_2$  by simp
ultimately
obtain  $T_1 c_3 c_4 T_2$ 
  where  $T = T_1 @ c_4 \# T_2$  and  $\text{trace } c_2 T_1 c_3$  and  $\forall c' \in \text{set } T_1. \text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c'$ 
  and  $\text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_3$  and  $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$  and  $\neg \text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_4$  and  $\text{trace } c_4 T_2 c_5$ 
  by (rule traceWhile)

show ?thesis
proof (rule that)
  show  $T = T_1 @ c_4 \# T_2$  by fact

  from  $\langle c_3 \Rightarrow c_4 \rangle \langle \text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_3 \rangle \langle \neg \text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } c_4 \rangle$ 
  have  $\text{stack } c_3 = \text{stack } c_2$  and  $c_2'$ :  $\text{stack } c_4 = \text{tl } (\text{stack } c_2)$  by (rule stack-passes-lower-bound) +

  from  $\langle \text{trace } c_2 T_1 c_3 \rangle \langle \forall a \in \text{set } T_1. \text{stack } c_2 \lesssim \text{stack } a \rangle \text{this}(1)$ 
  show  $\text{bal } c_2 T_1 c_3..$ 

  show  $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$  by fact

  have  $c_4$ :  $\text{stack } c_4 = \text{stack } c_1$  using  $c_2 c_2'$  by simp

  note  $\langle \text{trace } c_4 T_2 c_5 \rangle$ 
  moreover
  have  $\forall a \in \text{set } T_2. \text{stack } c_4 \lesssim \text{stack } a$  using  $c_4 T \langle T = \rightarrow \rangle$  by auto
  moreover
  have  $\text{stack } c_5 = \text{stack } c_4$  unfolding  $c_4 c_5..$ 
  ultimately
  show  $\text{bal } c_4 T_2 c_5..$ 
qed
qed

end

end

```

2.5 SestoftCorrect

```

theory SestoftCorrect
imports BalancedTraces Launchbury.Launchbury Sestoft
begin

```

```

lemma lemma-2:
  assumes  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z$ 
  and  $fv(\Gamma, e, S) \subseteq set\ L \cup domA\ \Gamma$ 
  shows  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, S)$ 
using assms
proof(induction arbitrary: S rule:reds.induct)
  case (Lambda  $\Gamma\ x\ e\ L$ )
    show ?case..
  next
    case (Application  $y\ \Gamma\ e\ x\ L\ \Delta\ \Theta\ z\ e'$ )
    from  $\langle fv(\Gamma, App\ e\ x, S) \subseteq set\ L \cup domA\ \Gamma \rangle$ 
    have prem1:  $fv(\Gamma, e, Arg\ x\ \# S) \subseteq set\ L \cup domA\ \Gamma$  by simp

    from prem1 reds-pres-closed[OF  $\langle \Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : Lam\ [y].\ e' \rangle$ ] reds-doesnt-forget[OF  $\langle \Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : Lam\ [y].\ e' \rangle$ ]
    have prem2:  $fv(\Delta, e'[y::=x], S) \subseteq set\ L \cup domA\ \Delta$  by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

    have  $(\Gamma, App\ e\ x, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e, Arg\ x\ \# S)_{..}$ 
    also have  $\dots \Rightarrow^* (\Delta, Lam\ [y].\ e', Arg\ x\ \# S)$  by (rule Application.IH(1)[OF prem1])
    also have  $\dots \Rightarrow (\Delta, e'[y::=x], S)_{..}$ 
    also have  $\dots \Rightarrow^* (\Theta, z, S)$  by (rule Application.IH(2)[OF prem2])
    finally show ?case.
  next
    case (Variable  $\Gamma\ x\ e\ L\ \Delta\ z\ S$ )
    from Variable(2)
    have isVal  $z$  by (rule result-evaluated)

    have  $x \notin domA\ \Delta$  by (rule reds-avoids-live[OF Variable(2), where  $x = x$ ]) simp-all

    from  $\langle fv(\Gamma, Var\ x, S) \subseteq set\ L \cup domA\ \Gamma \rangle$ 
    have prem:  $fv(delete\ x\ \Gamma, e, Upd\ x\ \# S) \subseteq set\ (x\ \# L) \cup domA\ (delete\ x\ \Gamma)$ 
    by (auto dest: subsetD[OF fv-delete-subset] subsetD[OF map-of-Some-fv-subset[OF map-of
 $\Gamma\ x = Some\ e]]$ )

    from  $\langle map-of\ \Gamma\ x = Some\ e \rangle$ 
    have  $(\Gamma, Var\ x, S) \Rightarrow (delete\ x\ \Gamma, e, Upd\ x\ \# S)_{..}$ 
    also have  $\dots \Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, Upd\ x\ \# S)$  by (rule Variable.IH[OF prem])
    also have  $\dots \Rightarrow ((x,z)\#\Delta, z, S)$  using  $\langle x \notin domA\ \Delta \rangle \langle isVal\ z \rangle$  by (rule var2)
    finally show ?case.
  next
    case (Bool  $\Gamma\ b\ L\ S$ )
    show ?case..
  next
    case (IfThenElse  $\Gamma\ scrut\ L\ \Delta\ b\ e_1\ e_2\ \Theta\ z\ S$ )
    have  $(\Gamma, scrut\ ?\ e_1 : e_2, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, scrut, Alts\ e_1\ e_2\ \# S)_{..}$ 
    also
    from IfThenElse.prems

```

have $\text{prem1}: \text{fv}(\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e_1 \ e_2 \ \#S) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$ **by** *auto*
hence $(\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e_1 \ e_2 \ \#S) \Rightarrow^* (\Delta, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e_1 \ e_2 \ \#S)$
by (*rule IfThenElse.IH*)
also
have $(\Delta, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e_1 \ e_2 \ \#S) \Rightarrow (\Delta, \text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, S) ..$
also
from prem1 *reds-pres-closed*[*OF IfThenElse(1)*] *reds-doesnt-forget*[*OF IfThenElse(1)*]
have $\text{prem2}: \text{fv}(\Delta, \text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, S) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Delta$ **by** *auto*
hence $(\Delta, \text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Theta, z, S)$ **by** (*rule IfThenElse.IH(2)*)
finally
show *?case.*
next
case (*Let as* $\Gamma \ L$ *body* $\Delta \ z \ S$)
from *Let(4)*
have $\text{prem}: \text{fv}(as \ @ \ \Gamma, \text{body}, S) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } (as \ @ \ \Gamma)$ **by** *auto*

from *Let(1)*
have $\text{atom } ' \text{domA } as \ \#* \ \Gamma$ **by** (*auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair*)
moreover
from *Let(1)*
have $\text{domA } as \cap \text{fv}(\Gamma, L) = \{\}$
by (*rule fresh-distinct-fv*)
hence $\text{domA } as \cap (\text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma) = \{\}$
by (*auto dest: subsetD[OF domA-fv-subset]*)
with *Let(4)*
have $\text{domA } as \cap \text{fv } S = \{\}$
by *auto*
hence $\text{atom } ' \text{domA } as \ \#* \ S$
by (*auto simp add: fresh-star-def fv-def fresh-def*)
ultimately
have $(\Gamma, \text{Terms.Let } as \ \text{body}, S) \Rightarrow (as@ \Gamma, \text{body}, S) ..$
also have $\dots \Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, S)$
by (*rule Let.IH[OF prem]*)
finally show *?case.*
qed

type-synonym *trace* = *conf list*

fun *stack* :: *conf* \Rightarrow *stack* **where** *stack* $(\Gamma, e, S) = S$

interpretation *traces step.*

abbreviation *trace-syn* $(- \Rightarrow^* - \text{ } [50, 50, 50] \ 50)$ **where** *trace-syn* \equiv *trace*

lemma *conf-trace-induct-final*[*consumes 1, case-names trace-nil trace-cons*]:

$(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^*_T \text{final} \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge \Gamma \ e \ S. \text{final} = (\Gamma, e, S) \Longrightarrow P \ \Gamma \ e \ S \ \square \ (\Gamma, e, S)) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge \Gamma \ e \ S \ T$
 $\Gamma' \ e' \ S'. (\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^*_T \text{final} \Longrightarrow P \ \Gamma' \ e' \ S' \ T \text{final} \Longrightarrow (\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma', e', S') \Longrightarrow P \ \Gamma \ e \ S$
 $((\Gamma', e', S') \# T) \text{final}) \Longrightarrow P \ \Gamma \ e \ S \ T \text{final}$

by (*induction* $(\Gamma, e, S) \ T \text{final}$ *arbitrary: $\Gamma \ e \ S$ rule: trace-induct-final*) *auto*

interpretation *balance-trace step stack*

apply *standard*
apply (*erule step.cases*)
apply *auto*
done

abbreviation *bal-syn* ($- \Rightarrow^{b*} -$ - [50,50,50] 50) **where** *bal-syn* \equiv *bal*

lemma *isVal-stops*:

assumes *isVal* *e*
assumes $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^{b*}_T (\Delta, z, S)$
shows $T = []$
using *assms*
apply $-$
apply (*erule balE*)
apply (*erule trace.cases*)
apply *simp*
apply *auto*
apply (*auto elim!:* *step.cases*)
done

lemma *Ball-subst[simp]*:

$(\forall p \in \text{set } (\Gamma[y::h=x]). f p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall p \in \text{set } \Gamma. \text{case } p \text{ of } (z, e) \Rightarrow f(z, e[y::=x]))$
by (*induction* Γ) *auto*

lemma *lemma-3*:

assumes $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^{b*}_T (\Delta, z, S)$
assumes *isVal* *z*
shows $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_{\text{upd-list}} S \Delta : z$

using *assms*

proof(*induction* *T* *arbitrary*: $\Gamma e S \Delta z$ *rule*: *measure-induct-rule*[**where** $f = \text{length}$])

case (*less* *T* $\Gamma e S \Delta z$)

from $\langle \Gamma, e, S \rangle \Rightarrow^{b*}_T \langle \Delta, z, S \rangle$

have $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^*_T (\Delta, z, S)$ **and** $\forall c' \in \text{set } T. S \lesssim \text{stack } c'$ **unfolding** *bal.simps* **by** *auto*

from *this*(1)

show *?case*

proof(*cases*)

case *trace-nil*

from $\langle \text{isVal } z \rangle$ *trace-nil* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto intro:* *reds-isValI*)

next

case (*trace-cons* *conf'* *T'*)

from $\langle T = \text{conf}' \# T' \rangle$ **and** $\langle \forall c' \in \text{set } T. S \lesssim \text{stack } c' \rangle$ **have** $S \lesssim \text{stack } \text{conf}'$ **by** *auto*

from $\langle \Gamma, e, S \rangle \Rightarrow \text{conf}'$

show *?thesis*

proof(*cases*)

```

case ( $app_1\ e\ x$ )
  obtain  $T_1\ c_3\ c_4\ T_2$ 
  where  $T' = T_1 @ c_4 \# T_2$  and  $prem1: (\Gamma, e, Arg\ x \# S) \Rightarrow^{b*} T_1\ c_3$  and  $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$  and
 $prem2: c_4 \Rightarrow^{b*} T_2\ (\Delta, z, S)$ 
  by ( $rule\ bal-consE[OF\ \langle bal - T \rightarrow [unfolded\ app_1\ trace-cons] ] (simp, rule)$ )

from  $\langle T = \rightarrow \rangle \langle T' = \rightarrow \rangle$  have  $length\ T_1 < length\ T$  and  $length\ T_2 < length\ T$  by auto

from  $prem1$  have  $stack\ c_3 = Arg\ x \# S$  by ( $auto\ dest: bal-stackD$ )
moreover
from  $prem2$  have  $stack\ c_4 = S$  by ( $auto\ dest: bal-stackD$ )
moreover
note  $\langle c_3 \Rightarrow c_4 \rangle$ 
ultimately
obtain  $\Delta' y\ e'$  where  $c_3 = (\Delta', Lam\ [y].\ e', Arg\ x \# S)$  and  $c_4 = (\Delta', e'[y ::= x], S)$ 
  by ( $auto\ elim!: step.cases\ simp\ del: exp-assn.eq-iff$ )

from  $less(1)[OF\ \langle length\ T_1 < length\ T \rangle\ prem1[unfolded\ \langle c_3 = \rightarrow \rangle \langle c_4 = \rightarrow \rangle ]]$ 
have  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_{upds-list}\ S\ \Delta' : Lam\ [y].\ e'$  by simp
moreover
from  $less(1)[OF\ \langle length\ T_2 < length\ T \rangle\ prem2[unfolded\ \langle c_3 = \rightarrow \rangle \langle c_4 = \rightarrow \rangle ]\ \langle isVal\ z \rangle ]]$ 
have  $\Delta' : e'[y ::= x] \Downarrow_{upds-list}\ S\ \Delta : z$  by simp
ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding  $app_1$ 
  by ( $rule\ reds-ApplicationI$ )
next
case ( $app_2\ y\ e\ x\ S'$ )
  from  $\langle conf' = \rightarrow \rangle \langle S = - \# S' \rangle \langle S \lesssim stack\ conf' \rangle$ 
  have False by ( $auto\ simp\ add: extends-def$ )
  thus ?thesis..
next
case ( $var_1\ x\ e$ )
  obtain  $T_1\ c_3\ c_4\ T_2$ 
  where  $T' = T_1 @ c_4 \# T_2$  and  $prem1: (delete\ x\ \Gamma, e, Upd\ x \# S) \Rightarrow^{b*} T_1\ c_3$  and  $c_3 \Rightarrow$ 
 $c_4$  and  $prem2: c_4 \Rightarrow^{b*} T_2\ (\Delta, z, S)$ 
  by ( $rule\ bal-consE[OF\ \langle bal - T \rightarrow [unfolded\ var_1\ trace-cons] ] (simp, rule)$ )

from  $\langle T = \rightarrow \rangle \langle T' = \rightarrow \rangle$  have  $length\ T_1 < length\ T$  and  $length\ T_2 < length\ T$  by auto

from  $prem1$  have  $stack\ c_3 = Upd\ x \# S$  by ( $auto\ dest: bal-stackD$ )
moreover
from  $prem2$  have  $stack\ c_4 = S$  by ( $auto\ dest: bal-stackD$ )
moreover
note  $\langle c_3 \Rightarrow c_4 \rangle$ 
ultimately
obtain  $\Delta' z'$  where  $c_3 = (\Delta', z', Upd\ x \# S)$  and  $c_4 = ((x, z') \# \Delta', z', S)$  and  $isVal\ z'$ 
  by ( $auto\ elim!: step.cases\ simp\ del: exp-assn.eq-iff$ )

```

```

from  $\langle isVal\ z' \rangle$  and  $prem2[unfolded\ \langle c_4 = - \rangle]$ 
have  $T_2 = []$  by (rule isVal-stops)
with  $prem2\ \langle c_4 = - \rangle$ 
have  $z' = z$  and  $\Delta = (x, z) \# \Delta'$  by auto

from  $less(1)[OF\ \langle length\ T_1 < length\ T \rangle\ prem1[unfolded\ \langle c_3 = - \rangle\ \langle c_4 = - \rangle\ \langle z' = - \rangle]\ \langle isVal\ z \rangle]$ 
have  $delete\ x\ \Gamma : e \Downarrow_x \# upds-list\ S\ \Delta' : z$  by simp
with  $\langle map-of\ - = - \rangle$ 
show ?thesis unfolding  $var_1(1)\ \langle \Delta = - \rangle$  by rule
next
case ( $var_2\ x\ S'$ )
  from  $\langle conf' = - \rangle\ \langle S = - \# S' \rangle\ \langle S \lesssim stack\ conf' \rangle$ 
  have False by (auto simp add: extends-def)
  thus ?thesis..
next
case ( $if_1\ scrut\ e_1\ e_2$ )
  obtain  $T_1\ c_3\ c_4\ T_2$ 
  where  $T' = T_1 @ c_4 \# T_2$  and  $prem1: (\Gamma, scrut, Alts\ e_1\ e_2 \# S) \Rightarrow^{b*} T_1\ c_3$  and  $c_3 \Rightarrow$ 
 $c_4$  and  $prem2: c_4 \Rightarrow^{b*} T_2\ (\Delta, z, S)$ 
  by (rule bal-consE[OF\ \langle bal - T \rangle[unfolded\ if_1\ trace-cons]]) (simp, rule)

  from  $\langle T = - \rangle\ \langle T' = - \rangle$  have  $length\ T_1 < length\ T$  and  $length\ T_2 < length\ T$  by auto

  from  $prem1$  have  $stack\ c_3 = Alts\ e_1\ e_2 \# S$  by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
  moreover
  from  $prem2$  have  $stack\ c_4 = S$  by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
  moreover
  note  $\langle c_3 \Rightarrow c_4 \rangle$ 
  ultimately
  obtain  $\Delta' b$  where  $c_3 = (\Delta', Bool\ b, Alts\ e_1\ e_2 \# S)$  and  $c_4 = (\Delta', (if\ b\ then\ e_1\ else\ e_2),$ 
 $S)$ 
  by (auto elim!: step.cases simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

  from  $less(1)[OF\ \langle length\ T_1 < length\ T \rangle\ prem1[unfolded\ \langle c_3 = - \rangle\ \langle c_4 = - \rangle]\ isVal-Bool]$ 
  have  $\Gamma : scrut \Downarrow_{upds-list\ S}\ \Delta' : Bool\ b$  by simp
  moreover
  from  $less(1)[OF\ \langle length\ T_2 < length\ T \rangle\ prem2[unfolded\ \langle c_4 = - \rangle]\ \langle isVal\ z \rangle]$ 
  have  $\Delta' : (if\ b\ then\ e_1\ else\ e_2) \Downarrow_{upds-list\ S}\ \Delta : z$ .
  ultimately
  show ?thesis unfolding  $if_1$  by (rule reds.IfThenElse)
next
case ( $if_2\ b\ e1\ e2\ S'$ )
  from  $\langle conf' = - \rangle\ \langle S = - \# S' \rangle\ \langle S \lesssim stack\ conf' \rangle$ 
  have False by (auto simp add: extends-def)
  thus ?thesis..
next
case ( $let_1\ as\ e$ )
  from  $\langle T = conf' \# T' \rangle$  have  $length\ T' < length\ T$  by auto

```



```

moreover
have (as @  $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^{b^*}_{T'}$  ( $\Delta$ ,  $z$ ,  $S$ )
  using trace-cons  $\langle \text{conf}' = - \rangle \langle \forall c' \in \text{set } T. S \lesssim \text{stack } c' \rangle$  by fastforce
moreover
note  $\langle \text{isVal } z \rangle$ 
ultimately
have as @  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_{\text{upd-list}} S \Delta : z$  by (rule less)
moreover
from  $\langle \text{atom } \langle \text{domA as } \#* \Gamma \rangle \langle \text{atom } \langle \text{domA as } \#* S \rangle$ 
have  $\text{atom } \langle \text{domA as } \#* (\Gamma, \text{upd-list } S) \rangle$  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding let1 by (rule reds.Let[rotated])
qed
qed
qed

lemma dummy-stack-extended:
  set  $S \subseteq \text{Dummy } \langle \text{UNIV} \Rightarrow x \notin \text{Dummy } \langle \text{UNIV} \Rightarrow (S \lesssim x \# S') \longleftrightarrow S \lesssim S' \rangle$ 
  apply (auto simp add: extends-def)
  apply (case-tac S'')
  apply auto
  done

lemma[simp]: Arg  $x \notin \text{range Dummy Upd } x \notin \text{range Dummy Alts } e_1 e_2 \notin \text{range Dummy}$  by
auto

lemma dummy-stack-balanced:
  assumes set  $S \subseteq \text{Dummy } \langle \text{UNIV}$ 
  assumes ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Delta$ ,  $z$ ,  $S$ )
  obtains  $T$  where ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^{b^*}_T$  ( $\Delta$ ,  $z$ ,  $S$ )
proof–
  from build-trace[OF assms(2)]
  obtain  $T$  where ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^*_T$  ( $\Delta$ ,  $z$ ,  $S$ )..
  moreover
  hence  $\forall c' \in \text{set } T. \text{stack } (\Gamma, e, S) \lesssim \text{stack } c'$ 
    by (rule conjunct1[OF traces-list-all])
    (auto elim: step.cases simp add: dummy-stack-extended[OF  $\langle \text{set } S \subseteq \text{Dummy } \langle \text{UNIV} \rangle$ ])
  ultimately
  have ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^{b^*}_T$  ( $\Delta$ ,  $z$ ,  $S$ )
    by (rule ball) simp
  thus ?thesis by (rule that)
qed

end

```

3 Arity

3.1 Arity

```
theory Arity
imports Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes
begin

typedef Arity = UNIV :: nat set
  morphisms Rep-Arity to-Arity by auto

setup-lifting type-definition-Arity

instantiation Arity :: po
begin
lift-definition below-Arity :: Arity  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\Rightarrow$  bool is  $\lambda x y . y \leq x$ .

instance
apply standard
apply ((transfer, auto)+)
done
end

instance Arity :: chfin
proof
  fix S :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  Arity
  assume chain S
  have (ARG-MIN Rep-Arity x. x  $\in$  range S)  $\in$  range S
    by (rule arg-min-natI) auto
  then obtain n where n: S n = (ARG-MIN Rep-Arity x. x  $\in$  range S) by auto
  have max-in-chain n S
  proof(rule max-in-chainI)
    fix j
    assume n  $\leq$  j hence S n  $\sqsubseteq$  S j using  $\langle$ chain S $\rangle$  by (metis chain-mono)
    also
    have Rep-Arity (S n)  $\leq$  Rep-Arity (S j)
      unfolding n image-def
      by (metis (lifting, full-types) arg-min-nat-lemma UNIV-I mem-Collect-eq)
    hence S j  $\sqsubseteq$  S n by transfer
    finally
    show S n = S j.
  qed
  thus  $\exists n. \text{max-in-chain } n S..$ 
qed

instance Arity :: cpo ..
```

lift-definition *inc-Arity* :: *Arity* \Rightarrow *Arity* **is** *Suc*.

lift-definition *pred-Arity* :: *Arity* \Rightarrow *Arity* **is** $(\lambda x . x - 1)$.

lemma *inc-Arity-cont*[*simp*]: *cont inc-Arity*
apply (*rule chfindom-monofun2cont*)
apply (*rule monofunI*)
apply (*transfer, simp*)
done

lemma *pred-Arity-cont*[*simp*]: *cont pred-Arity*
apply (*rule chfindom-monofun2cont*)
apply (*rule monofunI*)
apply (*transfer, simp*)
done

definition *inc* :: *Arity* \rightarrow *Arity* **where**
inc = $(\Lambda x . \text{inc-Arity } x)$

definition *pred* :: *Arity* \rightarrow *Arity* **where**
pred = $(\Lambda x . \text{pred-Arity } x)$

lemma *inc-inj*[*simp*]: *inc*·*n* = *inc*·*n'* \longleftrightarrow *n* = *n'*
by (*simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp*)

lemma *pred-inc*[*simp*]: *pred*·(*inc*·*n*) = *n*
by (*simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp*)

lemma *inc-below-inc*[*simp*]: *inc*·*a* \sqsubseteq *inc*·*b* \longleftrightarrow *a* \sqsubseteq *b*
by (*simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp*)

lemma *inc-below-below-pred*[*elim*]:
inc·*a* \sqsubseteq *b* \implies *a* \sqsubseteq *pred* · *b*
by (*simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp*)

lemma *Rep-Arity-inc*[*simp*]: *Rep-Arity* (*inc*·*a'*) = *Suc* (*Rep-Arity* *a'*)
by (*simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp*)

instantiation *Arity* :: *zero*
begin
lift-definition *zero-Arity* :: *Arity* **is** *0*.
instance..
end

instantiation *Arity* :: *one*
begin
lift-definition *one-Arity* :: *Arity* **is** *1*.
instance ..
end

```

lemma one-is-inc-zero:  $1 = \text{inc} \cdot 0$ 
  by (simp add: inc-def, transfer, simp)

lemma inc-not-0[simp]:  $\text{inc} \cdot n = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma pred-0[simp]:  $\text{pred} \cdot 0 = 0$ 
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma Arity-ind:  $P \ 0 \implies (\bigwedge n. P \ n \implies P \ (\text{inc} \cdot n)) \implies P \ n$ 
  apply (simp add: inc-def)
  apply transfer
  by (rule nat.induct)

lemma Arity-total:
  fixes  $x \ y :: \text{Arity}$ 
  shows  $x \sqsubseteq y \vee y \sqsubseteq x$ 
by transfer auto

instance Arity :: Finite-Join-cpo
proof
  fix  $x \ y :: \text{Arity}$ 
  show compatible  $x \ y$  by (metis Arity-total compatibleI)
qed

lemma Arity-zero-top[simp]:  $(x :: \text{Arity}) \sqsubseteq 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma Arity-above-top[simp]:  $0 \sqsubseteq (a :: \text{Arity}) \longleftrightarrow a = 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma Arity-zero-join[simp]:  $(x :: \text{Arity}) \sqcup 0 = 0$ 
  by transfer simp
lemma Arity-zero-join2[simp]:  $0 \sqcup (x :: \text{Arity}) = 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma Arity-up-zero-join[simp]:  $(x :: \text{Arity}_\perp) \sqcup \text{up} \cdot 0 = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
  by (cases x) auto
lemma Arity-up-zero-join2[simp]:  $\text{up} \cdot 0 \sqcup (x :: \text{Arity}_\perp) = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
  by (cases x) auto
lemma up-zero-top[simp]:  $x \sqsubseteq \text{up} \cdot (0 :: \text{Arity})$ 
  by (cases x) auto
lemma Arity-above-up-top[simp]:  $\text{up} \cdot 0 \sqsubseteq (a :: \text{Arity}_\perp) \longleftrightarrow a = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
  by (metis Arity-up-zero-join2 join-self-below(4))

lemma Arity-exhaust:  $(y = 0 \implies P) \implies (\bigwedge x. y = \text{inc} \cdot x \implies P) \implies P$ 
  by (metis Abs-cfun-inverse2 Arity.inc-def Rep-Arity-inverse inc-Arity.abs-eq inc-Arity-cont
list-decode.cases zero-Arity-def)

```

end

3.2 AEnv

```
theory AEnv
imports Arity Launchbury.Vars Launchbury.Env
begin
```

```
type-synonym AEnv = var  $\Rightarrow$  Arity⊥
```

end

3.3 Arity-Nominal

```
theory Arity-Nominal
imports Arity Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF
begin
```

```
lemma join-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (x \sqcup (y :: 'a :: \{Finite-Join-cpo, cont-pt\})) = (\pi \cdot x) \sqcup (\pi \cdot y)$ 
  by (rule is-joinI[symmetric]) (auto simp add: perm-below-to-right)
```

```
instantiation Arity :: pure
begin
  definition p · (a::Arity) = a
instance
  apply standard
  apply (auto simp add: permute-Arity-def)
  done
end
```

```
instance Arity :: cont-pt by standard (simp add: pure-permute-id)
instance Arity :: pure-cont-pt ..
```

end

3.4 ArityStack

```
theory ArityStack
imports Arity SestoftConf
begin
```

```
fun Astack :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  Arity
```

where $Astack [] = 0$
 | $Astack (Arg\ x \# S) = inc.(Astack\ S)$
 | $Astack (Alts\ e1\ e2 \# S) = 0$
 | $Astack (Upd\ x \# S) = 0$
 | $Astack (Dummy\ x \# S) = 0$

lemma *Astack-restr-stack-below*:
 $Astack (restr-stack\ V\ S) \sqsubseteq Astack\ S$
by (*induction* $V\ S$ *rule*: *restr-stack.induct*) *auto*

lemma *Astack-map-Dummy[simp]*:
 $Astack (map\ Dummy\ l) = 0$
by (*induction* l) *auto*

lemma *Astack-append-map-Dummy[simp]*:
 $Astack\ S' = 0 \implies Astack\ (S\ @\ S') = Astack\ S$
by (*induction* S *rule*: *Astack.induct*) *auto*

end

4 Eta-Expansion

4.1 EtaExpansion

theory *EtaExpansion*
imports *Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.Substitution*
begin

definition *fresh-var* :: $exp \Rightarrow var$ **where**
 $fresh-var\ e = (SOME\ v.\ v \notin fv\ e)$

lemma *fresh-var-not-free*:
 $fresh-var\ e \notin fv\ e$
proof–
obtain $v :: var$ **where** $atom\ v \# e$ **by** (*rule* *obtain-fresh*)
hence $v \notin fv\ e$ **by** (*metis* *fv-not-fresh*)
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *fresh-var-def* **by** (*rule* *someI*)
qed

lemma *fresh-var-fresh[simp]*:
 $atom\ (fresh-var\ e) \# e$
by (*metis* *fresh-var-not-free fv-not-fresh*)

lemma *fresh-var-subst[simp]*:
 $e[fresh-var\ e::=x] = e$
by (*metis* *fresh-var-fresh subst-fresh-noop*)

```

fun eta-expand :: nat ⇒ exp ⇒ exp where
  eta-expand 0 e = e
| eta-expand (Suc n) e = (Lam [fresh-var e]. eta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))

lemma eta-expand-eqv[eqv]:
  π · (eta-expand n e) = eta-expand (π · n) (π · e)
apply (induction n arbitrary: e π)
apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair permute-pure)
apply (metis fresh-at-base-permI fresh-at-base-permute-iff fresh-var-fresh subst-fresh-noop subst-swap-same)
done

lemma fresh-eta-expand[simp]: a # eta-expand n e ⟷ a # e
apply (induction n arbitrary: e)
apply (simp add: fresh-Pair)
apply (clarsimp simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
by (metis fresh-var-fresh)

lemma subst-eta-expand: (eta-expand n e)[x ::= y] = eta-expand n (e[x ::= y])
proof (induction n arbitrary: e)
case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
case (Suc n)
  obtain z :: var where atom z # (e, fresh-var e, x, y) by (rule obtain-fresh)

  have (eta-expand (Suc n) e)[x ::= y] = (Lam [fresh-var e]. eta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))[x ::= y] by simp
  also have ... = (Lam [z]. eta-expand n (App e z))[x ::= y]
    apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[where y' = z])
    using ⟨atom z # -⟩
    by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair eta-expand-eqv pure-fresh permute-pure flip-fresh-fresh intro!:
    eqv-fresh-cong2[where f = eta-expand, OF eta-expand-eqv])
  also have ... = Lam [z]. (eta-expand n (App e z))[x ::= y]
    using ⟨atom z # -⟩ by simp
  also have ... = Lam [z]. eta-expand n (App e z)[x ::= y] unfolding Suc.IH..
  also have ... = Lam [z]. eta-expand n (App e[x ::= y] z)
    using ⟨atom z # -⟩ by simp
  also have ... = Lam [fresh-var (e[x ::= y])]. eta-expand n (App e[x ::= y] (fresh-var (e[x ::= y])))
    apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[where y' = fresh-var (e[x ::= y])])
    using ⟨atom z # -⟩
    by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair eqv-fresh-cong2[where f = eta-expand, OF eta-expand-eqv]
    pure-fresh eta-expand-eqv flip-fresh-fresh subst-pres-fresh simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
  also have ... = eta-expand (Suc n) e[x ::= y] by simp
  finally show ?case.
qed

lemma isLam-eta-expand:
  isLam e ⟹ isLam (eta-expand n e) and n > 0 ⟹ isLam (eta-expand n e)
by (induction n) auto

```

```

lemma isVal-eta-expand:
  isVal e  $\implies$  isVal (eta-expand n e) and  $n > 0 \implies$  isVal (eta-expand n e)
by (induction n) auto

```

end

4.2 EtaExpansionSafe

```

theory EtaExpansionSafe
imports EtaExpansion Sestoft
begin

```

```

theorem eta-expansion-safe:
  assumes set T  $\subseteq$  range Arg
  shows  $(\Gamma, \text{eta-expand } (\text{length } T) \ e, \ T @ S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, \ e, \ T @ S)$ 
using assms
proof (induction T arbitrary: e)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons se T)
  from Cons(2) obtain x where se = Arg x by auto

  from Cons have prem: set T  $\subseteq$  range Arg by simp

  have  $(\Gamma, \text{eta-expand } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } T)) \ e, \ \text{Arg } x \ \# \ T @ S) = (\Gamma, \ \text{Lam } [\text{fresh-var } e]. \text{eta-expand}$ 
     $(\text{length } T) \ (\text{App } e \ (\text{fresh-var } e)), \ \text{Arg } x \ \# \ T @ S)$  by simp
  also have  $\dots \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \ (\text{eta-expand } (\text{length } T) \ (\text{App } e \ (\text{fresh-var } e))) [\text{fresh-var } e ::= x], \ T @ S)$ 
by (rule app2)
  also have  $\dots = (\Gamma, \ (\text{eta-expand } (\text{length } T) \ (\text{App } e \ x)), \ T @ S)$  unfolding subst-eta-expand
by simp
  also have  $\dots \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, \ \text{App } e \ x, \ T @ S)$  by (rule Cons.IH[OF prem])
  also have  $\dots \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \ e, \ \text{Arg } x \ \# \ T @ S)$  by (rule app1)
  finally show ?case using  $\langle se = \rightarrow \rangle$  by simp
qed

```

```

fun arg-prefix :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  arg-prefix [] = 0
| arg-prefix (Arg x # S) = Suc (arg-prefix S)
| arg-prefix (Alts e1 e2 # S) = 0
| arg-prefix (Upd x # S) = 0
| arg-prefix (Dummy x # S) = 0

```

```

theorem eta-expansion-safe':
  assumes  $n \leq \text{arg-prefix } S$ 
  shows  $(\Gamma, \text{eta-expand } n \ e, \ S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, \ e, \ S)$ 
proof—
  from assms
  have set (take n S)  $\subseteq$  range Arg and length (take n S) = n

```



```

    apply (induction S arbitrary: n rule: arg-prefix.induct)
    apply auto
    apply (case-tac n, auto)+
    done
  hence S = take n S @ drop n S by (metis append-take-drop-id)
  with eta-expansion-safe[OF <-  $\subseteq$  ->] <length - = ->
  show ?thesis by metis
qed

end

```

4.3 TransformTools

```

theory TransformTools
imports Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.Substitution Launchbury.Env
begin

```

```

default-sort type

```

```

fun lift-transform :: ('a::cont-pt  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a⊥  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp)
  where lift-transform t Ibottom e = e
    | lift-transform t (Iup a) e = t a e

```

```

lemma lift-transform-simps[simp]:
  lift-transform t  $\perp$  e = e
  lift-transform t (up·a) e = t a e
  apply (metis inst-up-pcpo lift-transform.simps(1))
  apply (simp add: up-def cont-Iup)
  done

```

```

lemma lift-transform-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot$  lift-transform t a e = lift-transform ( $\pi \cdot$  t) ( $\pi \cdot$  a) ( $\pi \cdot$  e)
  by (cases a) simp-all

```

```

lemma lift-transform-fun-cong[fundef-cong]:
  ( $\bigwedge$  a. t1 a e1 = t2 a e1)  $\Longrightarrow$  a1 = a2  $\Longrightarrow$  e1 = e2  $\Longrightarrow$  lift-transform t1 a1 e1 = lift-transform t2 a2 e2
  by (cases (t2,a2,e2) rule: lift-transform.cases) auto

```

```

lemma subst-lift-transform:
  assumes  $\bigwedge$  a. (t a e)[x ::= y] = t a (e[x ::= y])
  shows (lift-transform t a e)[x ::= y] = lift-transform t a (e[x ::= y])
  using assms by (cases a) auto

```

definition

```

map-transform :: ('a::cont-pt  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp)  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  'a⊥)  $\Rightarrow$  heap  $\Rightarrow$  heap
  where map-transform t ae = map-ran ( $\lambda$  x e . lift-transform t (ae x) e)

```

```

lemma map-transform-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot$  map-transform t ae = map-transform ( $\pi \cdot$  t) ( $\pi \cdot$  ae)

```

unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *domA-map-transform[simp]*: $\text{domA } (\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } \Gamma) = \text{domA } \Gamma$
unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *length-map-transform[simp]*: $\text{length } (\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } xs) = \text{length } xs$
unfolding *map-transform-def map-ran-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *map-transform-delete*:
 $\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } (\text{delete } x \Gamma) = \text{delete } x (\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } \Gamma)$
unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** (*simp add: map-ran-delete*)

lemma *map-transform-restrA*:
 $\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } (\text{restrictA } S \Gamma) = \text{restrictA } S (\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } \Gamma)$
unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** (*auto simp add: map-ran-restrictA*)

lemma *delete-map-transform-env-delete*:
 $\text{delete } x (\text{map-transform } t (\text{env-delete } x \text{ ae}) \Gamma) = \text{delete } x (\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } \Gamma)$
unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** (*induction* Γ) *auto*

lemma *map-transform-Nil[simp]*:
 $\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } [] = []$
unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *map-transform-Cons*:
 $\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } ((x, e) \# \Gamma) = (x, \text{lift-transform } t (\text{ae } x) e) \# (\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } \Gamma)$
unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *map-transform-append*:
 $\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } (\Delta @ \Gamma) = \text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } \Delta @ \text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } \Gamma$
unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** (*simp add: map-ran-append*)

lemma *map-transform-fundef-cong[fundef-cong]*:
 $(\bigwedge x e a. (x, e) \in \text{set } m1 \implies t1 a e = t2 a e) \implies \text{ae1} = \text{ae2} \implies m1 = m2 \implies \text{map-transform } t1 \text{ ae1 } m1 = \text{map-transform } t2 \text{ ae2 } m2$
by (*induction* $m2$ *arbitrary: m1*)
(fastforce simp add: map-transform-Nil map-transform-Cons intro!: lift-transform-fun-cong) +

lemma *map-transform-cong*:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } m1 \implies \text{ae } x = \text{ae}' x) \implies m1 = m2 \implies \text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } m1 = \text{map-transform } t \text{ ae}' m2$
unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** (*auto intro!: map-ran-cong dest: domA-from-set*)

lemma *map-of-map-transform*: $\text{map-of } (\text{map-transform } t \text{ ae } \Gamma) x = \text{map-option } (\text{lift-transform } t (\text{ae } x)) (\text{map-of } \Gamma x)$
unfolding *map-transform-def* **by** (*simp add: map-ran-conv*)

lemma *supp-map-transform-step*:
assumes $\bigwedge x e a. (x, e) \in \text{set } \Gamma \implies \text{supp } (t a e) \subseteq \text{supp } e$

```

shows supp (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ )  $\subseteq$  supp  $\Gamma$ 
using assms
  apply (induction  $\Gamma$ )
  apply (auto simp add: supp-Nil supp-Cons map-transform-Nil map-transform-Cons supp-Pair
pure-supp)
  apply (case-tac ae a)
  apply (fastforce)+
done

```

```

lemma subst-map-transform:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x' e a. (x', e) : \text{set } \Gamma \implies (t a e)[x ::= y] = t a (e[x ::= y])$ 
  shows  $(\text{map-transform } t ae \Gamma)[x ::= h=y] = \text{map-transform } t ae (\Gamma[x ::= h=y])$ 
  using assms
  apply (induction  $\Gamma$ )
  apply (auto simp add: map-transform-Nil map-transform-Cons)
  apply (subst subst-lift-transform)
  apply auto
done

```

```

locale supp-bounded-transform =
  fixes trans :: 'a::cont-pt  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp
  assumes supp-trans: supp (trans a e)  $\subseteq$  supp e
begin
  lemma supp-lift-transform: supp (lift-transform trans a e)  $\subseteq$  supp e
    by (cases (trans, a, e) rule: lift-transform.cases) (auto dest!: subsetD[OF supp-trans])

  lemma supp-map-transform: supp (map-transform trans ae  $\Gamma$ )  $\subseteq$  supp  $\Gamma$ 
  unfolding map-transform-def
    by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) (auto simp add: supp-Pair supp-Cons dest!: subsetD[OF supp-lift-transform])

  lemma fresh-transform[intro]:  $a \# e \implies a \# \text{trans } n e$ 
    by (auto simp add: fresh-def) (auto dest!: subsetD[OF supp-trans])

  lemma fresh-star-transform[intro]:  $a \#* e \implies a \#* \text{trans } n e$ 
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)

  lemma fresh-map-transform[intro]:  $a \# \Gamma \implies a \# \text{map-transform trans ae } \Gamma$ 
  unfolding fresh-def using supp-map-transform by auto

  lemma fresh-star-map-transform[intro]:  $a \#* \Gamma \implies a \#* \text{map-transform trans ae } \Gamma$ 
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
end

```

end

4.4 ArityEtaExpansion

theory ArityEtaExpansion

imports *EtaExpansion Arity–Nominal TransformTools*
begin

lift-definition *Aeta-expand* :: *Arity* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow *exp* **is** *eta-expand*.

lemma *Aeta-expand-eqv*[*eqvt*]: $\pi \cdot \text{Aeta-expand } a \ e = \text{Aeta-expand } (\pi \cdot a) (\pi \cdot e)$
apply (*cases a*)
apply *simp*
apply *transfer*
apply *simp*
done

lemma *Aeta-expand-0*[*simp*]: *Aeta-expand 0 e = e*
by *transfer simp*

lemma *Aeta-expand-inc*[*simp*]: *Aeta-expand (inc.n) e = (Lam [fresh-var e]. Aeta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))*
apply (*simp add: inc-def*)
by *transfer simp*

lemma *subst-Aeta-expand*:
(Aeta-expand n e)[x::=y] = Aeta-expand n e[x::=y]
by *transfer (rule subst-eta-expand)*

lemma *isLam-Aeta-expand*: *isLam e \implies isLam (Aeta-expand a e)*
by *transfer (rule isLam-eta-expand)*

lemma *isVal-Aeta-expand*: *isVal e \implies isVal (Aeta-expand a e)*
by *transfer (rule isVal-eta-expand)*

lemma *Aeta-expand-fresh*[*simp*]: *a \sharp Aeta-expand n e = a \sharp e* **by** *transfer simp*

lemma *Aeta-expand-fresh-star*[*simp*]: *a \sharp^* Aeta-expand n e = a \sharp^* e* **by** (*auto simp add: fresh-star-def*)

interpretation *supp-bounded-transform Aeta-expand*
apply *standard*
using *Aeta-expand-fresh*
apply (*auto simp add: fresh-def*)
done

end

4.5 ArityEtaExpansionSafe

theory *ArityEtaExpansionSafe*
imports *EtaExpansionSafe ArityStack ArityEtaExpansion*
begin

lemma *Aeta-expand-safe*:
assumes *Astack S \sqsubseteq a*

```

  shows  $(\Gamma, A\text{eta-expand } a \ e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, e, S)$ 
proof-
  have  $\text{arg-prefix } S = \text{Rep-Arity } (A\text{stack } S)$ 
  by (induction  $S$  arbitrary: a rule: arg-prefix.induct) (auto simp add: Arity.zero-Arity.rep-eq[symmetric])
  also
  from assms
  have  $\text{Rep-Arity } a \leq \text{Rep-Arity } (A\text{stack } S)$  by (metis below-Arity.rep-eq)
  finally
  show ?thesis
  by transfer (rule eta-expansion-safe')
qed

end

```

5 Arity Analysis

5.1 ArityAnalysisSig

```

theory ArityAnalysisSig
imports Launchbury.Terms AEnv Arity-Nominal Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Substitution
begin

locale ArityAnalysis =
  fixes  $A\text{exp} :: \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{Arity} \rightarrow A\text{Env}$ 
begin
  abbreviation  $A\text{exp-syn } (\mathcal{A}_\cdot)$  where  $\mathcal{A}_a \ e \equiv A\text{exp } e \cdot a$ 
  abbreviation  $A\text{exp-bot-syn } (\mathcal{A}^\perp_\cdot)$ 
  where  $\mathcal{A}^\perp_a \ e \equiv \text{fup} \cdot (A\text{exp } e) \cdot a$ 
end

locale ArityAnalysisHeap =
  fixes  $A\text{heap} :: \text{heap} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{Arity} \rightarrow A\text{Env}$ 

locale EdomArityAnalysis = ArityAnalysis +
  assumes  $A\text{exp-edom}: \text{edom } (\mathcal{A}_a \ e) \subseteq \text{fv } e$ 
begin

lemma  $\text{fup-Aexp-edom}: \text{edom } (\mathcal{A}^\perp_a \ e) \subseteq \text{fv } e$ 
  by (cases  $a$ ) (auto dest:subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])

lemma  $A\text{exp-fresh-bot}[simp]: \text{assumes } \text{atom } v \ \sharp \ e \text{ shows } \mathcal{A}_a \ e \ v = \perp$ 
proof-
  from assms have  $v \notin \text{fv } e$  by (metis fv-not-fresh)
  with  $A\text{exp-edom}$  have  $v \notin \text{edom } (\mathcal{A}_a \ e)$  by auto
  thus ?thesis unfolding edom-def by simp
qed

```

end

locale *ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt* = *ArityAnalysisHeap* +
assumes *Aheap-eqvt*[*eqvt*]: $\pi \cdot Aheap = Aheap$

end

5.2 ArityAnalysisAbinds

theory *ArityAnalysisAbinds*
imports *ArityAnalysisSig*
begin

context *ArityAnalysis*
begin

5.2.1 Lifting arity analysis to recursive groups

definition *ABind* :: *var* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow (*AEnv* \rightarrow *AEnv*)
where *ABind* *v e* = (Λ *ae*. *fup*.(*Aexp* *e*).(*ae* *v*))

lemma *ABind-eq[simp]*: *ABind* *v e* \cdot *ae* = \mathcal{A}^\perp_{ae} *v e*
unfolding *ABind-def* **by** (*simp* *add*: *cont-fun*)

fun *ABinds* :: *heap* \Rightarrow (*AEnv* \rightarrow *AEnv*)
where *ABinds* [] = \perp
| *ABinds* ((*v,e*)#*binds*) = *ABind* *v e* \sqcup *ABinds* (*delete v binds*)

lemma *ABinds-strict[simp]*: *ABinds* $\Gamma \cdot \perp = \perp$
by (*induct* Γ *rule*: *ABinds.induct*) *auto*

lemma *Abinds-reorder1*: *map-of* Γ *v* = *Some e* \implies *ABinds* Γ = *ABind* *v e* \sqcup *ABinds* (*delete v* Γ)
by (*induction* Γ *rule*: *ABinds.induct*) (*auto simp add*: *delete-twist*)

lemma *ABind-below-ABinds*: *map-of* Γ *v* = *Some e* \implies *ABind* *v e* \sqsubseteq *ABinds* Γ
by (*metis* *HOLCF-Join-Classes.join-above1* *ArityAnalysis.Abinds-reorder1*)

lemma *Abinds-reorder*: *map-of* Γ = *map-of* $\Delta \implies$ *ABinds* Γ = *ABinds* Δ

proof (*induction* Γ *arbitrary*: Δ *rule*: *ABinds.induct*)

case 1 **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case (2 *v e* Γ Δ)

from $\langle \text{map-of } ((v, e) \# \Gamma) = \text{map-of } \Delta \rangle$

have $(\text{map-of } ((v, e) \# \Gamma))(v := \text{None}) = (\text{map-of } \Delta)(v := \text{None})$ **by** *simp*

hence *map-of* (*delete v* Γ) = *map-of* (*delete v* Δ) **unfolding** *delete-set-none* **by** *simp*

hence *ABinds* (*delete v* Γ) = *ABinds* (*delete v* Δ) **by** (*rule* 2)

moreover

from $\langle \text{map-of } ((v, e) \# \Gamma) = \text{map-of } \Delta \rangle$

have $\text{map-of } \Delta \ v = \text{Some } e$ **by** (*metis map-of-Cons-code(2)*)
hence $ABinds \ \Delta = ABind \ v \ e \sqcup ABinds \ (\text{delete } v \ \Delta)$ **by** (*rule Abinds-reorder1*)
ultimately
show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Abinds-env-cong*: $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{dom} A \ \Delta \implies ae \ x = ae' \ x) \implies ABinds \ \Delta \cdot ae = ABinds \ \Delta \cdot ae'$
by (*induct* Δ *rule*: *ABinds.induct*) *auto*

lemma *Abinds-env-restr-cong*: $ae \ f|' \ \text{dom} A \ \Delta = ae' \ f|' \ \text{dom} A \ \Delta \implies ABinds \ \Delta \cdot ae = ABinds \ \Delta \cdot ae'$
by (*rule* *Abinds-env-cong*) (*metis env-restr-eqD*)

lemma *ABinds-env-restr[simp]*: $ABinds \ \Delta \cdot (ae \ f|' \ \text{dom} A \ \Delta) = ABinds \ \Delta \cdot ae$
by (*rule* *Abinds-env-restr-cong*) *simp*

lemma *Abinds-join-fresh*: $ae' \ '(\text{dom} A \ \Delta) \subseteq \{\perp\} \implies ABinds \ \Delta \cdot (ae \sqcup ae') = (ABinds \ \Delta \cdot ae)$
by (*rule* *Abinds-env-cong*) *auto*

lemma *ABinds-delete-bot*: $ae \ x = \perp \implies ABinds \ (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma) \cdot ae = ABinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae$
by (*induction* Γ *rule*: *ABinds.induct*) (*auto simp add: delete-twist*)

lemma *ABinds-restr-fresh*:
assumes $\text{atom } 'S \ \sharp^* \ \Gamma$
shows $ABinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae \ f|' \ (- \ S) = ABinds \ \Gamma \cdot (ae \ f|' \ (- \ S)) \ f|' \ (- \ S)$
using *assms*
apply (*induction* Γ *rule*: *ABinds.induct*)
apply *simp*
apply (*auto simp del: fun-meet-simp simp add: env-restr-join fresh-star-Pair fresh-star-Cons fresh-star-delete*)
apply (*subst lookup-env-restr*)
apply (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ComplI fresh-at-base(2) fresh-star-def imageI*)
apply *simp*
done

lemma *ABinds-restr*:
assumes $\text{dom} A \ \Gamma \subseteq S$
shows $ABinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae \ f|' \ S = ABinds \ \Gamma \cdot (ae \ f|' \ S) \ f|' \ S$
using *assms*
by (*induction* Γ *rule*: *ABinds.induct*) (*fastforce simp del: fun-meet-simp simp add: env-restr-join*)⁺

lemma *ABinds-restr-subst*:
assumes $\bigwedge x' \ e \ a. (x', e) \in \text{set } \Gamma \implies Aexp \ e[x::=y] \cdot a \ f|' \ S = Aexp \ e \cdot a \ f|' \ S$
assumes $x \notin S$
assumes $y \notin S$
assumes $\text{dom} A \ \Gamma \subseteq S$

```

shows  $ABinds \Gamma[x::h=y].ae \ f|' S = ABinds \Gamma.(ae \ f|' S) \ f|' S$ 
using assms
apply (induction  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct)
apply (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp join-comm simp add: env-restr-join)
apply (rule arg-cong2[where  $f = join$ ])
apply (case-tac  $ae \ v$ )
apply (auto dest: subsetD[OF set-delete-subset])
done

lemma Abinds-append-disjoint:  $domA \ \Delta \cap domA \ \Gamma = \{\} \implies ABinds (\Delta @ \Gamma).ae = ABinds \ \Delta.ae \sqcup ABinds \ \Gamma.ae$ 
proof (induct  $\Delta$  rule: ABinds.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (2  $v \ e \ \Delta$ )
  from 2(2)
  have  $v \notin domA \ \Gamma$  and  $domA \ (delete \ v \ \Delta) \cap domA \ \Gamma = \{\}$  by auto
  from 2(1)[OF this(2)]
  have  $ABinds \ (delete \ v \ \Delta @ \Gamma).ae = ABinds \ (delete \ v \ \Delta).ae \sqcup ABinds \ \Gamma.ae.$ 
  moreover
  have  $delete \ v \ \Gamma = \Gamma$  by (metis  $\langle v \notin domA \ \Gamma \rangle$  delete-not-domA)
  ultimately
  show  $ABinds \ (((v, e) \# \Delta) @ \Gamma).ae = ABinds \ ((v, e) \# \Delta).ae \sqcup ABinds \ \Gamma.ae$ 
    by auto
qed

lemma ABinds-restr-subset:  $S \subseteq S' \implies ABinds \ (restrictA \ S \ \Gamma).ae \sqsubseteq ABinds \ (restrictA \ S' \ \Gamma).ae$ 
by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct)
  (auto simp add: join-below-iff restr-delete-twist intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2])

lemma ABinds-restrict-edom:  $ABinds \ (restrictA \ (edom \ ae) \ \Gamma).ae = ABinds \ \Gamma.ae$ 
by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: edom-def restr-delete-twist)

lemma ABinds-restrict-below:  $ABinds \ (restrictA \ S \ \Gamma).ae \sqsubseteq ABinds \ \Gamma.ae$ 
by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct)
  (auto simp add: join-below-iff restr-delete-twist intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2] simp del: fun-meet-simp join-comm)

lemma ABinds-delete-below:  $ABinds \ (delete \ x \ \Gamma).ae \sqsubseteq ABinds \ \Gamma.ae$ 
by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct)
  (auto simp add: join-below-iff delete-twist[where  $x = x$ ] elim: below-trans simp del: fun-meet-simp)
end

lemma ABind-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (ArityAnalysis.ABind \ Aexp \ v \ e) = ArityAnalysis.ABind \ (\pi \cdot Aexp) \ (\pi \cdot v) \ (\pi \cdot e)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
unfolding ArityAnalysis.ABind-eq

```


by *perm-simp* rule

lemma *ABinds-eqv*[*eqvt*]: $\pi \cdot (\text{ArityAnalysis.ABinds } \text{Aexp } \Gamma) = \text{ArityAnalysis.ABinds } (\pi \cdot \text{Aexp}) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
 apply (rule *cfun-eqv*I)
 apply (induction Γ rule: *ArityAnalysis.ABinds.induct*)
 apply (simp add: *ArityAnalysis.ABinds.simps*)
 apply (simp add: *ArityAnalysis.ABinds.simps*)
 apply *perm-simp*
 apply *simp*
 done

lemma *Abinds-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:

$$\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd } ' \text{set heap2} \implies \text{aexp1 } e = \text{aexp2 } e) ; \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket$$

$$\implies \text{ArityAnalysis.ABinds aexp1 heap1} = \text{ArityAnalysis.ABinds aexp2 heap2}$$

proof (induction *heap1* arbitrary: *heap2* rule: *ArityAnalysis.ABinds.induct*)
 case 1
 thus ?case by (auto simp add: *ArityAnalysis.ABinds.simps*)
next
 case *prems*: ($2 \ v \ e \ \text{as } \text{heap2}$)
 have $\text{snd } ' \text{set } (\text{delete } v \ \text{as}) \subseteq \text{snd } ' \text{set as}$ by (rule *dom-delete-subset*)
 also have $\dots \subseteq \text{snd } ' \text{set } ((v, e) \# \text{as})$ by auto
 also note *prems*(3)
 finally
 have $(\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd } ' \text{set } (\text{delete } v \ \text{as}) \implies \text{aexp1 } e = \text{aexp2 } e)$ by $-(\text{rule } \text{prems}, \text{auto})$
 from *prems* *prems*(1)[*OF this refl*] **show** ?case
 by (auto simp add: *ArityAnalysis.ABinds.simps* *ArityAnalysis.ABind-def*)
qed

context *EdomArityAnalysis*

begin

lemma *fup-Aexp-lookup-fresh*: $\text{atom } v \nmid e \implies (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{Aexp } e) \cdot a) \ v = \perp$
 by (cases *a*) auto

lemma *edom-AnalBinds*: $\text{edom } (\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot \text{ae}) \subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma$
 by (induction Γ rule: *ABinds.induct*)
 (auto simp del: *fun-meet-simp* dest: *subsetD*[*OF fup-Aexp-edom*] dest: *subsetD*[*OF fv-delete-subset*])
end

end

5.3 ArityAnalysisSpec

theory *ArityAnalysisSpec*

imports *ArityAnalysisAbinds*

begin

locale *SubstArityAnalysis* = *EdomArityAnalysis* +

assumes *Aexp-subst-restr*: $x \notin S \implies y \notin S \implies (Aexp\ e[x::=y] \cdot a)\ f|' S = (Aexp\ e \cdot a)\ f|' S$

locale *ArityAnalysisSafe* = *SubstArityAnalysis* +
assumes *Aexp-Var*: $up \cdot n \sqsubseteq (Aexp\ (Var\ x) \cdot n)\ x$
assumes *Aexp-App*: $Aexp\ e \cdot (inc \cdot n) \sqcup esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot 0) \sqsubseteq Aexp\ (App\ e\ x) \cdot n$
assumes *Aexp-Lam*: $env\ delete\ y\ (Aexp\ e \cdot (pred \cdot n)) \sqsubseteq Aexp\ (Lam\ [y].\ e) \cdot n$
assumes *Aexp-IfThenElse*: $Aexp\ scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup Aexp\ e1 \cdot a \sqcup Aexp\ e2 \cdot a \sqsubseteq Aexp\ (scrut\ ?\ e1\ :\ e2) \cdot a$

locale *ArityAnalysisHeapSafe* = *ArityAnalysisSafe* + *ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt* +
assumes *edom-Aheap*: $edom\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a) \subseteq domA\ \Gamma$
assumes *Aheap-subst*: $x \notin domA\ \Gamma \implies y \notin domA\ \Gamma \implies Aheap\ \Gamma[x::h=y]\ e[x::=y] = Aheap\ \Gamma\ e$

locale *ArityAnalysisLetSafe* = *ArityAnalysisHeapSafe* +
assumes *Aexp-Let*: $ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a) \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot a \sqsubseteq Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a \sqcup Aexp\ (Let\ \Gamma\ e) \cdot a$

locale *ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard* = *ArityAnalysisLetSafe* +
assumes *Aheap-heap3*: $x \in thunks\ \Gamma \implies (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a)\ x = up \cdot 0$

context *SubstArityAnalysis*

begin

lemma *Aexp-subst-upd*: $(Aexp\ e[y::=x] \cdot n) \sqsubseteq (Aexp\ e \cdot n)(y := \perp, x := up \cdot 0)$

proof—

have $Aexp\ e[y::=x] \cdot n\ f|'(-\{x, y\}) = Aexp\ e \cdot n\ f|'(-\{x, y\})$ **by** (*rule Aexp-subst-restr*) *auto*

show *?thesis*

proof (*rule fun-belowI*)

fix x'

have $x' = x \vee x' = y \vee x' \in (-\{x, y\})$ **by** *auto*

thus $(Aexp\ e[y::=x] \cdot n)\ x' \sqsubseteq ((Aexp\ e \cdot n)(y := \perp, x := up \cdot 0))\ x'$

proof(*elim disjE*)

assume $x' \in (-\{x, y\})$

moreover

have $Aexp\ e[y::=x] \cdot n\ f|'(-\{x, y\}) = Aexp\ e \cdot n\ f|'(-\{x, y\})$ **by** (*rule Aexp-subst-restr*)

auto

note *fun-cong*[*OF this*, **where** $x = x'$]

ultimately

show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

next

assume $x' = x$

thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

assume $x' = y$

thus *?thesis*

using [*simp-trace*]

by *simp*

qed

```

qed
qed

lemma Aexp-subst: Aexp (e[y::=x])·a  $\sqsubseteq$  env-delete y ((Aexp e)·a)  $\sqcup$  esing x·(up·0)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF Aexp-subst-upd])
  apply (rule fun-belowI)
  apply auto
  done
end

context ArityAnalysisSafe
begin

lemma Aexp-Var-singleton: esing x · (up·n)  $\sqsubseteq$  Aexp (Var x) · n
  by (simp add: Aexp-Var)

lemma fup-Aexp-Var: esing x · n  $\sqsubseteq$  fup·(Aexp (Var x))·n
  by (cases n) (simp-all add: Aexp-Var)
end

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin
  lemma Aheap-nonrec:
    assumes nonrec  $\Delta$ 
    shows Aexp e·a f|' domA  $\Delta$   $\sqsubseteq$  Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a
  proof-
    have ABinds  $\Delta$ ·(Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a)  $\sqcup$  Aexp e·a  $\sqsubseteq$  Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e)·a by (rule
Aexp-Let)
    note env-restr-mono[where S = domA  $\Delta$ , OF this]
    moreover
    from assms
    have ABinds  $\Delta$ ·(Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a) f|' domA  $\Delta$  =  $\perp$ 
      by (rule nonrecE) (auto simp add: fv-def fresh-def dest!: subsetD[OF fup-Aexp-edom])
    moreover
    have Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a f|' domA  $\Delta$  = Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a
      by (rule env-restr-useless[OF edom-Aheap])
    moreover
    have (Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e)·a) f|' domA  $\Delta$  =  $\perp$ 
      by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])
    ultimately
    show Aexp e·a f|' domA  $\Delta$   $\sqsubseteq$  Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a
      by (simp add: env-restr-join)
  qed
end

end

```

5.4 TrivialArityAnal

```

theory TrivialArityAnal
imports ArityAnalysisSpec Launchbury.Env—Nominal
begin

definition Trivial-Aexp :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  AEnv
  where Trivial-Aexp e = ( $\Lambda$  n. ( $\lambda$  x. up·0) f|' fv e)

lemma Trivial-Aexp-simp: Trivial-Aexp e · n = ( $\lambda$  x. up·0) f|' fv e
  unfolding Trivial-Aexp-def by simp

lemma edom-Trivial-Aexp[simp]: edom (Trivial-Aexp e · n) = fv e
  by (auto simp add: edom-def env-restr-def Trivial-Aexp-def)

lemma Trivial-Aexp-eq[iff]: Trivial-Aexp e · n = Trivial-Aexp e' · n'  $\longleftrightarrow$  fv e = (fv e' :: var set)
  apply (auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp env-restr-def)
  apply (metis up-defined)+
  done

lemma below-Trivial-Aexp[simp]: (ae  $\sqsubseteq$  Trivial-Aexp e · n)  $\longleftrightarrow$  edom ae  $\subseteq$  fv e
  by (auto dest:fun-belowD intro!: fun-belowI simp add: Trivial-Aexp-def env-restr-def edom-def split:if-splits)

interpretation ArityAnalysis Trivial-Aexp.
interpretation EdomArityAnalysis Trivial-Aexp
  by standard simp

interpretation ArityAnalysisSafe Trivial-Aexp
proof
  fix n x
  show up·n  $\sqsubseteq$  (Trivial-Aexp (Var x)·n) x
    by (simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp)
  next
  fix e x n
  show Trivial-Aexp e·(inc·n)  $\sqcup$  esing x·(up·0)  $\sqsubseteq$  Trivial-Aexp (App e x)·n
    by (auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: Trivial-Aexp-def env-restr-def)
  next
  fix y e n
  show env-delete y (Trivial-Aexp e·(pred·n))  $\sqsubseteq$  Trivial-Aexp (Lam [y]. e)·n
    by (auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp env-delete-restr Diff-eq inf-commute)
  next
  fix x y :: var and S e a
  assume x  $\notin$  S and y  $\notin$  S
  thus Trivial-Aexp e[x::=y]·a f|' S = Trivial-Aexp e·a f|' S
    by (auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp fv-subst-eq intro!: arg-cong[where f =  $\lambda$  S. env-restr

```

$S\ e\ \text{for}\ e]$
next
 fix *scrut* $e1\ a\ e2$
 show $\text{Trivial-Aexp}\ \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \sqcup \text{Trivial-Aexp}\ e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{Trivial-Aexp}\ e2 \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{Trivial-Aexp}\ (\text{scrut}\ ?\ e1 : e2) \cdot a$
 by (*auto intro: env-restr-mono2 simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp join-below-iff*)
qed

definition $\text{Trivial-Aheap} :: \text{heap} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{Arity} \rightarrow \text{AEnv}$ **where**
 $\text{Trivial-Aheap}\ \Gamma\ e = (\Lambda\ a.\ (\lambda\ x.\ \text{up} \cdot 0)\ f|' \text{dom} A\ \Gamma)$

lemma $\text{Trivial-Aheap-eqvt}[eqvt]: \pi \cdot (\text{Trivial-Aheap}\ \Gamma\ e) = \text{Trivial-Aheap}\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)\ (\pi \cdot e)$
 unfolding Trivial-Aheap-def
 apply *perm-simp*
 apply (*simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt*)
 done

lemma $\text{Trivial-Aheap-simp}: \text{Trivial-Aheap}\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a = (\lambda\ x.\ \text{up} \cdot 0)\ f|' \text{dom} A\ \Gamma$
 unfolding Trivial-Aheap-def **by** *simp*

lemma $\text{Trivial-fup-Aexp-below-fv}: \text{fup} \cdot (\text{Trivial-Aexp}\ e) \cdot a \sqsubseteq (\lambda\ x.\ \text{up} \cdot 0)\ f|' \text{fv}\ e$
 by (*cases a(auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp)*)

lemma $\text{Trivial-ABinds-below-fv}: \text{ABinds}\ \Gamma \cdot ae \sqsubseteq (\lambda\ x.\ \text{up} \cdot 0)\ f|' \text{fv}\ \Gamma$
 by (*induction* Γ *rule:ABinds.induct*)
 (*auto simp add: join-below-iff intro!: below-trans[OF Trivial-fup-Aexp-below-fv] env-restr-mono2 elim: below-trans dest: subsetD[OF fv-delete-subset] simp del: fun-meet-simp*)

interpretation $\text{ArityAnalysisLetSafe}\ \text{Trivial-Aexp}\ \text{Trivial-Aheap}$
proof
 fix π
 show $\pi \cdot \text{Trivial-Aheap} = \text{Trivial-Aheap}$ **by** *perm-simp rule*
next
 fix $\Gamma\ e\ ae$ **show** $\text{edom}\ (\text{Trivial-Aheap}\ \Gamma\ e \cdot ae) \sqsubseteq \text{dom} A\ \Gamma$
 by (*simp add: Trivial-Aheap-simp*)
next
 fix $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$ **and** e **and** a
 show $\text{ABinds}\ \Gamma \cdot (\text{Trivial-Aheap}\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a) \sqcup \text{Trivial-Aexp}\ e \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{Trivial-Aheap}\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a \sqcup \text{Trivial-Aexp}\ (\text{Terms.Let}\ \Gamma\ e) \cdot a$
 by (*auto simp add: Trivial-Aheap-simp Trivial-Aexp-simp join-below-iff env-restr-join2 intro!: env-restr-mono2 below-trans[OF Trivial-ABinds-below-fv]*)
next
 fix $x\ y :: \text{var}$ **and** $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$ **and** e
 assume $x \notin \text{dom} A\ \Gamma$ **and** $y \notin \text{dom} A\ \Gamma$
 thus $\text{Trivial-Aheap}\ \Gamma[x::h=y]\ e[x::=y] = \text{Trivial-Aheap}\ \Gamma\ e$
 by (*auto intro: cfun-eqI simp add: Trivial-Aheap-simp*)
qed

end

5.5 ArityAnalysisStack

```

theory ArityAnalysisStack
imports SestoftConf ArityAnalysisSig
begin

context ArityAnalysis
begin
  fun AEstack :: Arity list  $\Rightarrow$  stack  $\Rightarrow$  AEnv
  where
    AEstack - [] =  $\perp$ 
    | AEstack (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = Aexp e1.a  $\sqcup$  Aexp e2.a  $\sqcup$  AEstack as S
    | AEstack as (Upd x # S) = esing x.(up.0)  $\sqcup$  AEstack as S
    | AEstack as (Arg x # S) = esing x.(up.0)  $\sqcup$  AEstack as S
    | AEstack as (- # S) = AEstack as S
end

context EdomArityAnalysis
begin
  lemma edom-AEstack: edom (AEstack as S)  $\subseteq$  fv S
  by (induction as S rule: AEstack.induct) (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp dest!: subsetD[OF
Aexp-edom])
end

end

```

5.6 ArityAnalysisFix

```

theory ArityAnalysisFix
imports ArityAnalysisSig ArityAnalysisAbinds
begin

context ArityAnalysis
begin

definition Afix :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  (AEnv  $\rightarrow$  AEnv)
  where Afix  $\Gamma$  = ( $\Lambda$  ae. ( $\mu$  ae'. ABinds  $\Gamma$  . ae'  $\sqcup$  ae))

lemma Afix-eq: Afix  $\Gamma$  . ae = ( $\mu$  ae'. (ABinds  $\Gamma$  . ae')  $\sqcup$  ae)
  unfolding Afix-def by simp

lemma Afix-strict[simp]: Afix  $\Gamma$  .  $\perp$  =  $\perp$ 
  unfolding Afix-eq
  by (rule fix-eqI) auto

lemma Afix-least-below: ABinds  $\Gamma$  . ae'  $\sqsubseteq$  ae'  $\Longrightarrow$  ae  $\sqsubseteq$  ae'  $\Longrightarrow$  Afix  $\Gamma$  . ae  $\sqsubseteq$  ae'
  unfolding Afix-eq
  by (auto intro: fix-least-below)

```

lemma *Afix-unroll*: $Afix\ \Gamma \cdot ae = ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot (Afix\ \Gamma \cdot ae) \sqcup ae$
unfolding *Afix-eq*
apply (*subst fix-eq*)
by *simp*

lemma *Abinds-below-Afix*: $ABinds\ \Delta \sqsubseteq Afix\ \Delta$
apply (*rule cfun-belowI*)
apply (*simp add: Afix-eq*)
apply (*subst fix-eq, simp*)
apply (*rule below-trans[OF - join-above2]*)
apply (*rule monofun-cfun-arg*)
apply (*subst fix-eq, simp*)
done

lemma *Afix-above-arg*: $ae \sqsubseteq Afix\ \Gamma \cdot ae$
by (*subst Afix-unroll*) *simp*

lemma *Abinds-Afix-below[simp]*: $ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot (Afix\ \Gamma \cdot ae) \sqsubseteq Afix\ \Gamma \cdot ae$
apply (*subst Afix-unroll*) **back**
apply *simp*
done

lemma *Afix-reorder*: $map\text{-}of\ \Gamma = map\text{-}of\ \Delta \implies Afix\ \Gamma = Afix\ \Delta$
by (*intro cfun-eqI*)(*simp add: Afix-eq cong: Abinds-reorder*)

lemma *Afix-repeat-singleton*: $(\mu\ xa.\ Afix\ \Gamma \cdot (esing\ x \cdot (n \sqcup xa\ x) \sqcup ae)) = Afix\ \Gamma \cdot (esing\ x \cdot n \sqcup ae)$
apply (*rule below-antisym*)
defer
apply (*subst fix-eq, simp*)
apply (*intro monofun-cfun-arg join-mono below-refl join-above1*)

apply (*rule fix-least-below, simp*)
apply (*rule Afix-least-below, simp*)
apply (*intro join-below below-refl iffD2[OF esing-below-iff] below-trans[OF - fun-belowD[OF Afix-above-arg]] below-trans[OF - Afix-above-arg] join-above1*)
apply *simp*
done

lemma *Afix-join-fresh*: $ae' \cdot (domA\ \Delta) \subseteq \{\perp\} \implies Afix\ \Delta \cdot (ae \sqcup ae') = (Afix\ \Delta \cdot ae) \sqcup ae'$
apply (*rule below-antisym*)
apply (*rule Afix-least-below*)
apply (*subst Abinds-join-fresh, simp*)
apply (*rule below-trans[OF Abinds-Afix-below join-above1]*)
apply (*rule join-below*)
apply (*rule below-trans[OF Afix-above-arg join-above1]*)

```

apply (rule join-above2)
apply (rule join-below[OF monofun-cfun-arg [OF join-above1]])
apply (rule below-trans[OF join-above2 Afix-above-arg])
done

```

lemma *Afix-restr-fresh*:

assumes $\text{atom } S \#^* \Gamma$

shows $\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot \text{ae } f|'(-S) = \text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{ae } f|'(-S)) f|'(-S)$

unfolding *Afix-eq*

proof (rule parallel-fix-ind[**where** $P = \lambda x y . x f|'(-S) = y f|'(-S)$], goal-cases)

case 1

show ?case **by** *simp*

next

case 2

show ?case ..

next

case *prems*: ($\exists \text{aeL aeR}$)

have ($\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot \text{aeL} \sqcup \text{ae}$) $f|'(-S) = \text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot \text{aeL } f|'(-S) \sqcup \text{ae } f|'(-S)$ **by** (*simp add: env-restr-join*)

also have ... = $\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot (\text{aeL } f|'(-S)) f|'(-S) \sqcup \text{ae } f|'(-S)$ **by** (rule *arg-cong*[OF *ABinds-restr-fresh*[OF *assms*]])

also have ... = $\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot (\text{aeR } f|'(-S)) f|'(-S) \sqcup \text{ae } f|'(-S)$ **unfolding** *prems* ..

also have ... = $\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot \text{aeR } f|'(-S) \sqcup \text{ae } f|'(-S)$ **by** (rule *arg-cong*[OF *ABinds-restr-fresh*[OF *assms*, *symmetric*]])

also have ... = $(\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot \text{aeR} \sqcup \text{ae } f|'(-S)) f|'(-S)$ **by** (*simp add: env-restr-join*)

finally show ?case **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *Afix-restr*:

assumes $\text{dom } A \Gamma \subseteq S$

shows $\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot \text{ae } f|' S = \text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{ae } f|' S) f|' S$

unfolding *Afix-eq*

apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[**where** $P = \lambda x y . x f|' S = y f|' S$])

apply *simp*

apply *rule*

apply (*auto simp add: env-restr-join*)

apply (*metis ABinds-restr*[OF *assms*, *symmetric*])

done

lemma *Afix-restr-subst'*:

assumes $\bigwedge x' e a . (x', e) \in \text{set } \Gamma \implies \text{Aexp } e[x::=y] \cdot a f|' S = \text{Aexp } e \cdot a f|' S$

assumes $x \notin S$

assumes $y \notin S$

assumes $\text{dom } A \Gamma \subseteq S$

shows $\text{Afix } \Gamma[x::h=y] \cdot \text{ae } f|' S = \text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{ae } f|' S) f|' S$

unfolding *Afix-eq*

apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[**where** $P = \lambda x y . x f|' S = y f|' S$])

apply *simp*


```

apply rule
apply (auto simp add: env-restr-join)
apply (subst ABinds-restr-subst[OF assms]) apply assumption
apply (subst ABinds-restr[OF assms(4)]) back
apply simp
done

```

lemma *Afix-subst-approx*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge v n. v \in \text{dom} A \ \Gamma \implies \text{Aexp } (\text{the } (\text{map-of } \Gamma \ v)) [y ::= x] \cdot n \sqsubseteq (\text{Aexp } (\text{the } (\text{map-of } \Gamma \ v)) \cdot n) (y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$ 
assumes  $x \notin \text{dom} A \ \Gamma$ 
assumes  $y \notin \text{dom} A \ \Gamma$ 
shows  $\text{Afix } \Gamma [y :: h = x] \cdot (\text{ae}(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)) \sqsubseteq (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot \text{ae})(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$ 
unfolding Afix-eq
proof (rule parallel-fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda \text{aeL } \text{aeR}. \text{aeL} \sqsubseteq \text{aeR}(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$ ], goal-cases)
  case 1
  show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  show ?case..
next
  case ( $\exists \text{aeL } \text{aeR}$ )
  hence  $\text{ABinds } \Gamma [y :: h = x] \cdot \text{aeL} \sqsubseteq \text{ABinds } \Gamma [y :: h = x] \cdot (\text{aeR } (y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0))$  by (rule mono-fun-cfun-arg)
  also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq (\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot \text{aeR})(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$ 
  using assms
  proof (induction rule: ABinds.induct, goal-cases)
    case 1
    thus ?case by simp
  next
    case prems: ( $2 \ v \ e \ \Gamma$ )
    have  $\bigwedge n. \text{Aexp } e [y ::= x] \cdot n \sqsubseteq (\text{Aexp } e \cdot n) (y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$  using prems(2)[where  $v = v$ ]
  by auto
    hence  $\text{IH1}: \bigwedge n. \text{fup} \cdot (\text{Aexp } e [y ::= x]) \cdot n \sqsubseteq (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot n)) (y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$  by (case-tac n) auto
    have  $\text{ABinds } (\text{delete } v \ \Gamma) [y :: h = x] \cdot (\text{aeR}(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)) \sqsubseteq (\text{ABinds } (\text{delete } v \ \Gamma) \cdot \text{aeR})(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$ 
    apply (rule prems) using prems(2,3,4) by fastforce+
    hence  $\text{IH2}: \text{ABinds } (\text{delete } v \ \Gamma [y :: h = x]) \cdot (\text{aeR}(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)) \sqsubseteq (\text{ABinds } (\text{delete } v \ \Gamma) \cdot \text{aeR})(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$ 
    unfolding subst-heap-delete.

    have [simp]:  $(\text{aeR}(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)) \ v = \text{aeR } v$  using prems(3,4) by auto

    show ?case by (simp del: fun-upd-apply join-comm) (rule join-mono[OF IH1 IH2])
  qed
finally have  $\text{ABinds } \Gamma [y :: h = x] \cdot \text{aeL} \sqsubseteq (\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot \text{aeR})(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$ 

```

```

    by this simp
  thus ?case
    by (auto simp add: join-below-iff elim: below-trans)
qed

end

lemma Afix-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{ArietyAnalysis.Afix } Aexp \ \Gamma) = \text{ArietyAnalysis.Afix } (\pi \cdot Aexp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
  unfolding ArietyAnalysis.Afix-def
  by perm-simp (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)

lemma Afix-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd } 'set \ heap2 \implies aexp1 \ e = aexp2 \ e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{ArietyAnalysis.Afix } aexp1 \ heap1 = \text{ArietyAnalysis.Afix } aexp2 \ heap2$ 
  unfolding ArietyAnalysis.Afix-def by (metis Abinds-cong)

context EdomArietyAnalysis
begin

lemma Afix-edom:  $\text{edom } (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot ae) \subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma \cup \text{edom } ae$ 
  unfolding Afix-eq
  by (rule fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda ae'. \text{edom } ae' \subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma \cup \text{edom } ae$ ])
    (auto dest: subsetD[OF edom-AnalBinds])

lemma ABinds-lookup-fresh:
   $\text{atom } v \# \Gamma \implies (\text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \ v = \perp$ 
  by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-Cons fresh-Pair fup-Aexp-lookup-fresh
    fresh-delete)

lemma Afix-lookup-fresh:
  assumes  $\text{atom } v \# \Gamma$ 
  shows  $(\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot ae) \ v = ae \ v$ 
  apply (rule below-antisym)
  apply (subst Afix-eq)
  apply (rule fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda ae'. ae' \ v \sqsubseteq ae \ v$ ])
  apply (auto simp add: ABinds-lookup-fresh[OF assms] fun-belowD[OF Afix-above-arg])
  done

lemma Afix-comp2join-fresh:
   $\text{atom } '(\text{domA } \Delta) \# \Gamma \implies \text{ABinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot ae) = \text{ABinds } \Delta \cdot ae$ 
  proof (induct  $\Delta$  rule: ABinds.induct)
    case 1 show ?case by (simp add: Afix-above-arg del: fun-meet-simp)
  next
    case (2  $v \ e \ \Delta$ )
    from 2(2)
    have  $\text{atom } v \# \Gamma$  and  $\text{atom } '(\text{domA } (\text{delete } v \ \Delta)) \# \Gamma$ 

```

```

    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
  from 2(1)[OF this(2)]
  show ?case by (simp del: fun-meet-simp add: Afix-lookup-fresh[OF ‹atom v # Γ›])
qed

lemma Afix-append-fresh:
  assumes atom ‹domA Δ #* Γ
  shows Afix (Δ @ Γ).ae = Afix Γ.(Afix Δ.ae)
proof (rule below-antisym)
  show *: Afix (Δ @ Γ).ae ⊆ Afix Γ.(Afix Δ.ae)
  apply (rule Afix-least-below)
  apply (simp add: Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms]] Afix-comp2join-fresh[OF
  assms])
  apply (rule below-trans[OF join-mono[OF Abinds-Afix-below Abinds-Afix-below]])
  apply (simp-all add: Afix-above-arg below-trans[OF Afix-above-arg Afix-above-arg])
  done
next
  show Afix Γ.(Afix Δ.ae) ⊆ Afix (Δ @ Γ).ae
  proof (rule Afix-least-below)
    show ABinds Γ.(Afix (Δ @ Γ).ae) ⊆ Afix (Δ @ Γ).ae
    apply (rule below-trans[OF - Abinds-Afix-below])
    apply (subst Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms]])
    apply simp
    done
    have ABinds Δ.(Afix (Δ @ Γ).ae) ⊆ Afix (Δ @ Γ).ae
    apply (rule below-trans[OF - Abinds-Afix-below])
    apply (subst Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms]])
    apply simp
    done
    thus Afix Δ.ae ⊆ Afix (Δ @ Γ).ae
    apply (rule Afix-least-below)
    apply (rule Afix-above-arg)
    done
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma Afix-e-to-heap:
  Afix (delete x Γ).(fup.(Aexp e).n ⊔ ae) ⊆ Afix ((x, e) # delete x Γ).(esing x.n ⊔ ae)
  apply (simp add: Afix-eq)
  apply (rule fix-least-below, simp)
  apply (intro join-below)
  apply (subst fix-eq, simp)
  apply (subst fix-eq, simp)

  apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
  apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
  apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
  apply (rule monofun-cfun-arg)

```

```

    apply (subst fix-eq, simp)

    apply (subst fix-eq, simp) back apply (simp add: below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    done

lemma Afix-e-to-heap':
  Afix (delete x  $\Gamma$ ).( $\lambda$ exp e.n)  $\sqsubseteq$  Afix ((x, e) # delete x  $\Gamma$ ).( $\lambda$ esing x.(up.n))
using Afix-e-to-heap[where ae =  $\perp$  and n = up.n] by simp

end

end

```

5.7 ArityAnalysisFixProps

```

theory ArityAnalysisFixProps
imports ArityAnalysisFix ArityAnalysisSpec
begin

context SubstArityAnalysis
begin

lemma Afix-restr-subst:
  assumes x  $\notin$  S
  assumes y  $\notin$  S
  assumes domA  $\Gamma \subseteq$  S
  shows Afix  $\Gamma[x::h=y].ae f|' S = Afix \Gamma.(ae f|' S) f|' S
  by (rule Afix-restr-subst'[OF Aexp-subst-restr[OF assms(1,2)] assms])

end

end$ 
```

6 Arity Transformation

6.1 AbstractTransform

```

theory AbstractTransform
imports Launchbury.Terms TransformTools
begin

locale AbstractAnalProp =
  fixes PropApp :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a::cont-pt
  fixes PropLam :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  fixes AnalLet :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::cont-pt
  fixes PropLetBody :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a

```

```

fixes PropLetHeap :: 'b ⇒ var ⇒ 'a⊥
fixes PropIfScrut :: 'a ⇒ 'a
assumes PropApp-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{PropApp} \equiv \text{PropApp}$ 
assumes PropLam-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{PropLam} \equiv \text{PropLam}$ 
assumes AnalLet-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{AnalLet} \equiv \text{AnalLet}$ 
assumes PropLetBody-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{PropLetBody} \equiv \text{PropLetBody}$ 
assumes PropLetHeap-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{PropLetHeap} \equiv \text{PropLetHeap}$ 
assumes PropIfScrut-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{PropIfScrut} \equiv \text{PropIfScrut}$ 

locale AbstractAnalPropSubst = AbstractAnalProp +
  assumes AnalLet-subst:  $x \notin \text{dom} A \ \Gamma \implies y \notin \text{dom} A \ \Gamma \implies \text{AnalLet} (\Gamma[x::h=y]) (e[x::=y]) \ a$ 
  = AnalLet  $\Gamma \ e \ a$ 

locale AbstractTransform = AbstractAnalProp +
  constrains AnalLet :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ 'a::pure-cont-pt ⇒ 'b::cont-pt
  fixes TransVar :: 'a ⇒ var ⇒ exp
  fixes TransApp :: 'a ⇒ exp ⇒ var ⇒ exp
  fixes TransLam :: 'a ⇒ var ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
  fixes TransLet :: 'b ⇒ heap ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
  assumes TransVar-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{TransVar} = \text{TransVar}$ 
  assumes TransApp-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{TransApp} = \text{TransApp}$ 
  assumes TransLam-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{TransLam} = \text{TransLam}$ 
  assumes TransLet-eqv:  $\pi \cdot \text{TransLet} = \text{TransLet}$ 
  assumes SuppTransLam:  $\text{supp} (\text{TransLam} \ a \ v \ e) \subseteq \text{supp} \ e - \text{supp} \ v$ 
  assumes SuppTransLet:  $\text{supp} (\text{TransLet} \ b \ \Gamma \ e) \subseteq \text{supp} (\Gamma, e) - \text{atom} \ ' \ \text{dom} A \ \Gamma$ 
begin
  nominal-function transform where
    transform a (App e x) = TransApp a (transform (PropApp a) e) x
  | transform a (Lam [x]. e) = TransLam a x (transform (PropLam a) e)
  | transform a (Var x) = TransVar a x
  | transform a (Let  $\Gamma \ e$ ) = TransLet (AnalLet  $\Gamma \ e \ a$ )
    (map-transform transform (PropLetHeap (AnalLet  $\Gamma \ e \ a$ ))  $\Gamma$ )
    (transform (PropLetBody (AnalLet  $\Gamma \ e \ a$ )) e)
  | transform a (Bool b) = (Bool b)
  | transform a (scrut ? e1 : e2) = (transform (PropIfScrut a) scrut ? transform a e1 : transform
a e2)
proof goal-cases
  case 1
  note PropApp-eqv[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqv[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqv[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqv[eqvt-raw]
AnalLet-eqv[eqvt-raw] TransVar-eqv[eqvt] TransApp-eqv[eqvt] TransLam-eqv[eqvt] TransLet-eqv[eqvt]
  show ?case
  unfolding eqvt-def transform-graph-aux-def
  apply rule
  apply perm-simp
  apply (rule refl)
  done
next
  case prems: ( $\exists P \ x$ )
  show ?case

```

```

proof (cases  $x$ )
  fix  $a\ b$ 
  assume  $x = (a, b)$ 
  thus ?case
    using prems
    apply (cases  $b$  rule: Terms.exp-strong-exhaust)
    apply auto
    done
  qed
next
  case prems: ( $10\ a\ x\ e\ a'\ x'\ e'$ )
  from prems(5)
  have  $a' = a$  and  $\text{Lam } [x].\ e = \text{Lam } [x']. \ e'$  by simp-all
  from this(2)
  show ?case
  unfolding  $\langle a' = a \rangle$ 
  proof(rule eqvt-lam-case)
    fix  $\pi :: \text{perm}$ 

    have  $\text{supp } (\text{TransLam } a\ x\ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLam } a, e))) \subseteq \text{supp } (\text{Lam } [x].\ e)$ 
    apply (rule subset-trans[OF SuppTransLam])
    apply (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp supp-Pair supp-at-base pure-supp exp-assn.fsupp
dest!: subsetD[OF supp-eqvt-at[OF prems(1)], rotated])
    done
    moreover
    assume  $\text{supp } \pi \#* (\text{Lam } [x].\ e)$ 
    ultimately
    have  $*$ :  $\text{supp } \pi \#* \text{TransLam } a\ x\ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLam } a, e))$  by (auto simp add:
fresh-star-def fresh-def)

    note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
TransVar-eqvt[eqvt] TransApp-eqvt[eqvt] TransLam-eqvt[eqvt] TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]

    have  $\text{TransLam } a\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLam } a, \pi \cdot e))$ 
       $= \text{TransLam } a\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\text{transform-sumC } (\pi \cdot (\text{PropLam } a, e)))$ 
    by perm-simp rule
    also have  $\dots = \text{TransLam } a\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLam } a, e))$ 
    unfolding eqvt-at-apply'[OF prems(1)] ..
    also have  $\dots = \pi \cdot (\text{TransLam } a\ x\ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLam } a, e)))$ 
    by simp
    also have  $\dots = \text{TransLam } a\ x\ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLam } a, e))$ 
    by (rule perm-supp-eq[OF *])
    finally show  $\text{TransLam } a\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLam } a, \pi \cdot e)) = \text{TransLam } a\ x$ 
(transform-sumC (PropLam a, e)) by simp
  qed
next
  case prems: ( $19\ a\ as\ \text{body } a'\ as'\ \text{body}'$ )
  note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] AnalLet-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw] TransVar-eqvt[eqvt] TransApp-eqvt[eqvt] TransLam-eqvt[eqvt] TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]

```

```

from supp-eqvt-at[OF prems(1)]
have  $\bigwedge x \in a. (x, e) \in \text{set } as \implies \text{supp } (\text{transform-sumC } (a, e)) \subseteq \text{supp } e$ 
  by (auto simp add: exp-assn.fsupp supp-Pair pure-supp)
hence supp-map:  $\bigwedge ae. \text{supp } (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 \ x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))) \ ae \ as) \subseteq$ 
supp as
  by (rule supp-map-transform-step)

from prems(9)
have  $a' = a$  and Terms.Let as body = Terms.Let as' body' by simp-all
from this(2)
show ?case
unfolding  $\langle a' = a \rangle$ 
proof (rule eqvt-let-case)
  have  $\text{supp } (\text{TransLet } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a) \ (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 \ x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))) \ (\text{PropLetHeap } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a)) \ as) \ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLetBody } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a), \ body))) \subseteq \text{supp } (\text{Let } as \ body)$ 
    by (auto simp add: Let-supp supp-Pair pure-supp exp-assn.fsupp
      dest!: subsetD[OF supp-eqvt-at[OF prems(2)], rotated] subsetD[OF SuppTransLet]
subsetD[OF supp-map])
  moreover
    fix  $\pi :: \text{perm}$ 
    assume  $\text{supp } \pi \ \#* \ \text{Terms.Let } as \ body$ 
    ultimately
      have  $*$ :  $\text{supp } \pi \ \#* \ \text{TransLet } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a) \ (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 \ x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))) \ (\text{PropLetHeap } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a)) \ as) \ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLetBody } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a), \ body))$ 
        by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-def)

      have  $\text{TransLet } (\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot as) \ (\pi \cdot body) \ a) \ (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 \ x1. (\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))) \ (\text{PropLetHeap } (\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot as) \ (\pi \cdot body) \ a)) \ (\pi \cdot as)) \ ((\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLetBody } (\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot as) \ (\pi \cdot body) \ a), \ \pi \cdot body))) =$ 
         $\pi \cdot \text{TransLet } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a) \ (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 \ x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))) \ (\text{PropLetHeap } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a)) \ as) \ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLetBody } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a), \ body))$ 
        by (simp del: Let-eq-iff Pair-eqvt add: eqvt-at-apply[OF prems(2)])
      also have  $\dots = \text{TransLet } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a) \ (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 \ x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))) \ (\text{PropLetHeap } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a)) \ as) \ (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLetBody } (\text{AnalLet } as \ body \ a), \ body))$ 
        by (rule perm-supp-eq[OF *])
      also
        have  $\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 \ x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1)) \ (\text{PropLetHeap } (\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot as) \ (\pi \cdot body) \ a)) \ (\pi \cdot as)$ 
           $= \text{map-transform } (\lambda x \ xa. (\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC } (x, xa)) \ (\text{PropLetHeap } (\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot as) \ (\pi \cdot body) \ a)) \ (\pi \cdot as))$ 
          apply (rule map-transform-fundef-cong[OF - refl refl])
          apply (subst (asm) set-eqvt[symmetric])
          apply (subst (asm) mem-permute-set)
          apply (auto simp add: permute-self dest: eqvt-at-apply'[OF prems(1)]) where  $aa = (- \ \pi$ 

```

```

• a) for a], where  $p = \pi$ , symmetric])
  done
  moreover
    have  $(\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC}) (\text{PropLetBody } (\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a), \pi \cdot \text{body}) =$ 
 $\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLetBody } (\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a), \pi \cdot \text{body})$ 
    using  $\text{eqvt-at-apply''}[OF \text{ prems}(2), \text{ where } p = \pi] \text{ by perm-simp}$ 
    ultimately
      show  $\text{TransLet } (\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a) (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0,$ 
 $x1)) (\text{PropLetHeap } (\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a)) (\pi \cdot \text{as})) (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLetBody}$ 
 $(\text{AnalLet } (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a), \pi \cdot \text{body})) =$ 
 $\text{TransLet } (\text{AnalLet } \text{as body } a) (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))$ 
 $(\text{PropLetHeap } (\text{AnalLet } \text{as body } a)) \text{ as}) (\text{transform-sumC } (\text{PropLetBody } (\text{AnalLet } \text{as body } a),$ 
 $\text{body})) \text{ by metis}$ 
      qed
    qed auto
  nominal-termination by lexicographic-order

lemma supp-transform:  $\text{supp } (\text{transform } a \ e) \subseteq \text{supp } e$ 
proof-
  note  $\text{PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw]} \ \text{PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw]} \ \text{PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw]} \ \text{AnalLet-eqvt[eqvt-raw]}$ 
 $\text{PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]} \ \text{TransVar-eqvt[eqvt]} \ \text{TransApp-eqvt[eqvt]} \ \text{TransLam-eqvt[eqvt]} \ \text{TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]}$ 
  note  $\text{transform.eqvt[eqvt]}$ 
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule supp-fun-app-eqvt)
    apply (rule eqvtI)
    apply perm-simp
    apply (rule reflexive)
  done
qed

lemma fv-transform:  $\text{fv } (\text{transform } a \ e) \subseteq \text{fv } e$ 
  unfolding fv-def by (auto dest: subsetD[OF supp-transform])

end

locale AbstractTransformSubst = AbstractTransform + AbstractAnalPropSubst +
  assumes TransVar-subst:  $(\text{TransVar } a \ v)[x ::= y] = (\text{TransVar } a \ v[x ::= v = y])$ 
  assumes TransApp-subst:  $(\text{TransApp } a \ e \ v)[x ::= y] = (\text{TransApp } a \ e[x ::= y] \ v[x ::= v = y])$ 
  assumes TransLam-subst:  $\text{atom } v \ \sharp (x, y) \implies (\text{TransLam } a \ v \ e)[x ::= y] = (\text{TransLam } a \ v[x$ 
 $:: v = y] \ e[x ::= y])$ 
  assumes TransLet-subst:  $\text{atom } ' \text{domA } \Gamma \ \sharp^* (x, y) \implies (\text{TransLet } b \ \Gamma \ e)[x ::= y] = (\text{TransLet}$ 
 $b \ \Gamma[x ::= h = y] \ e[x ::= y])$ 
begin
  lemma subst-transform:  $(\text{transform } a \ e)[x ::= y] = \text{transform } a \ e[x ::= y]$ 
  proof (nominal-induct e avoiding:  $x \ y$  arbitrary:  $a$  rule: exp-strong-induct-set)
  case (Let  $\Delta \ \text{body } x \ y$ )
    hence *:  $x \notin \text{domA } \Delta \ y \notin \text{domA } \Delta$  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-at-base)
    hence  $\text{AnalLet } \Delta[x ::= h = y] \ \text{body}[x ::= y] \ a = \text{AnalLet } \Delta \ \text{body } a$  by (rule AnalLet-subst)
    with Let

```



```

show ?case
apply (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair TransLet-subst simp del: Let-eq-iff)
apply (rule fun-cong[OF arg-cong[where  $f = \text{TransLet } b \text{ for } b$ ]])
apply (rule subst-map-transform)
apply simp
done
qed (simp-all add: TransVar-subst TransApp-subst TransLam-subst)
end

locale AbstractTransformBound = AbstractAnalProp + supp-bounded-transform +
constrains PropApp ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a::\text{pure-cont-pt}$ 
constrains PropLetHeap ::  $'b::\text{cont-pt} \Rightarrow \text{var} \Rightarrow 'a_{\perp}$ 
constrains trans ::  $'c::\text{cont-pt} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{exp}$ 
fixes PropLetHeapTrans ::  $'b \Rightarrow \text{var} \Rightarrow 'c_{\perp}$ 
assumes PropLetHeapTrans-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot \text{PropLetHeapTrans} = \text{PropLetHeapTrans}$ 
assumes TransBound-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot \text{trans} = \text{trans}$ 
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransform PropApp PropLam AnalLet PropLetBody PropLetHeap PropIf-
  Scrut
    ( $\lambda a. \text{Var}$ )
    ( $\lambda a. \text{App}$ )
    ( $\lambda a. \text{Terms.Lam}$ )
    ( $\lambda b \Gamma e. \text{Let } (\text{map-transform trans } (\text{PropLetHeapTrans } b) \Gamma) e$ )
  proof goal-cases
    case 1
    note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
    PropIfScrut-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
    AnalLet-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeapTrans-eqvt[eqvt] TransBound-eqvt[eqvt]
    show ?case
    apply standard
    apply ((perm-simp, rule)+)[4]
    apply (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp supp-at-base)[1]
    apply (auto simp add: Let-supp supp-Pair supp-at-base dest: subsetD[OF supp-map-transform])[1]
    done
  qed

lemma isLam-transform[simp]:
   $\text{isLam } (\text{transform } a \ e) \longleftrightarrow \text{isLam } e$ 
by (induction e rule:isLam.induct) auto

lemma isVal-transform[simp]:
   $\text{isVal } (\text{transform } a \ e) \longleftrightarrow \text{isVal } e$ 
by (induction e rule:isLam.induct) auto

end

locale AbstractTransformBoundSubst = AbstractAnalPropSubst + AbstractTransformBound +

```

```

    assumes TransBound-subst:  $(\text{trans } a \ e)[x::=y] = \text{trans } a \ e[x::=y]$ 
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformSubst PropApp PropLam AnalLet PropLetBody PropLetHeap PropIf-
Scrut
    ( $\lambda \ a. \text{Var}$ )
    ( $\lambda \ a. \text{App}$ )
    ( $\lambda \ a. \text{Terms.Lam}$ )
    ( $\lambda \ b \ \Gamma \ e. \text{Let } (\text{map-transform trans } (\text{PropLetHeapTrans } b) \ \Gamma) \ e$ )
  proof goal-cases
    case 1
    note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
PropIfScrut-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
      TransBound-eqvt[eqvt]
    show ?case
    apply standard
    apply simp-all[3]
    apply (simp del: Let-eq-iff)
    apply (rule arg-cong[where  $f = \lambda \ x. \text{Let } x \ y \text{ for } y]$ )
    apply (rule subst-map-transform)
    apply (simp add: TransBound-subst)
    done
  qed
end

end

```

6.2 ArityTransform

```

theory ArityTransform
imports ArityAnalysisSig AbstractTransform ArityEtaExpansionSafe
begin

context ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformBound
     $\lambda \ a. \text{inc} \cdot a$ 
     $\lambda \ a. \text{pred} \cdot a$ 
     $\lambda \ \Delta \ e \ a. (a, \text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)$ 
    fst
    snd
     $\lambda \ -. \ 0$ 
    Aeta-expand
    snd
  apply standard
  apply (((rule eq-reflection)?, perm-simp, rule)+)
  done

  abbreviation transform-syn ( $\mathcal{T} \cdot$ ) where  $\mathcal{T}_a \equiv \text{transform } a$ 

```

lemma *transform-simps*:

$\mathcal{T}_a (App\ e\ x) = App\ (\mathcal{T}_{inc \cdot a}\ e)\ x$
 $\mathcal{T}_a (Lam\ [x].\ e) = Lam\ [x].\ \mathcal{T}_{pred \cdot a}\ e$
 $\mathcal{T}_a (Var\ x) = Var\ x$
 $\mathcal{T}_a (Let\ \Gamma\ e) = Let\ (map\text{-}transform\ Aeta\text{-}expand\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a)\ (map\text{-}transform\ (\lambda a.\ \mathcal{T}_a)\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a)\ \Gamma))\ (\mathcal{T}_a\ e)$
 $\mathcal{T}_a (Bool\ b) = Bool\ b$
 $\mathcal{T}_a (scrut\ ?\ e1 : e2) = (\mathcal{T}_0\ scrut\ ?\ \mathcal{T}_a\ e1 : \mathcal{T}_a\ e2)$
 by *simp-all*
 end

end

7 Arity Analysis Safety (without Cardinality)

7.1 ArityConsistent

theory *ArityConsistent*

imports *ArityAnalysisSpec ArityStack ArityAnalysisStack*

begin

context *ArityAnalysisLetSafe*

begin

type-synonym *astate* = (*AEnv* × *Arity* × *Arity list*)

inductive *stack-consistent* :: *Arity list* ⇒ *stack* ⇒ *bool*

where

$stack\text{-}consistent\ []\ []$
 $| Astack\ S \sqsubseteq a \implies stack\text{-}consistent\ as\ S \implies stack\text{-}consistent\ (a \# as)\ (Alts\ e1\ e2\ \# S)$
 $| stack\text{-}consistent\ as\ S \implies stack\text{-}consistent\ as\ (Upd\ x\ \# S)$
 $| stack\text{-}consistent\ as\ S \implies stack\text{-}consistent\ as\ (Arg\ x\ \# S)$

inductive-simps *stack-consistent-foo*[*simp*]:

$stack\text{-}consistent\ []\ []\ stack\text{-}consistent\ (a \# as)\ (Alts\ e1\ e2\ \# S)\ stack\text{-}consistent\ as\ (Upd\ x\ \# S)$
 $stack\text{-}consistent\ as\ (Arg\ x\ \# S)$

inductive-cases [*elim!*]: *stack-consistent as* (*Alts e1 e2 # S*)

inductive *a-consistent* :: *astate* ⇒ *conf* ⇒ *bool* **where**

a-consistentI:

$edom\ ae \subseteq domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S$

$\implies Astack\ S \sqsubseteq a$

$\implies (ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot a \sqcup AEstack\ as\ S)\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S) \sqsubseteq ae$

$\implies stack\text{-}consistent\ as\ S$

$\implies a\text{-consistent}\ (ae,\ a,\ as)\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ S)$

inductive-cases *a-consistentE*: *a-consistent* (*ae, a, as*) (*Γ, e, S*)

lemma *a-consistent-restrictA*:
assumes *a-consistent* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (Γ , *e*, *S*)
assumes *edom* *ae* \subseteq *V*
shows *a-consistent* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (*restrictA* *V* Γ , *e*, *S*)
proof–
have *domA* $\Gamma \cap V \cup \text{upds } S \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ **by** *auto*
note $*$ = *below-trans*[*OF env-restr-mono2*[*OF this*]]

show *a-consistent* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (*restrictA* *V* Γ , *e*, *S*)
using *assms*
by (*auto simp add: a-consistent.simps env-restr-join join-below-iff ABinds-restrict-edom*
*intro: * below-trans*[*OF env-restr-mono*[*OF ABinds-restrict-below*]])
qed

lemma *a-consistent-edom-subsetD*:
a-consistent (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (Γ , *e*, *S*) \implies *edom* *ae* \subseteq *domA* $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$
by (*rule a-consistentE*)

lemma *a-consistent-stackD*:
a-consistent (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (Γ , *e*, *S*) \implies *Astack* *S* \sqsubseteq *a*
by (*rule a-consistentE*)

lemma *a-consistent-app₁*:
a-consistent (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (Γ , *App* *e* *x*, *S*) \implies *a-consistent* (*ae*, *inc*·*a*, *as*) (Γ , *e*, *Arg* *x* # *S*)
by (*auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps*
dest!: *below-trans*[*OF env-restr-mono*[*OF Aexp-App*]]
elim: *below-trans*)

lemma *a-consistent-app₂*:
assumes *a-consistent* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (Γ , (*Lam* [*y*]. *e*), *Arg* *x* # *S*)
shows *a-consistent* (*ae*, (*pred*·*a*), *as*) (Γ , *e*[*y*::=*x*], *S*)
proof–
have *Aexp* (*e*[*y*::=*x*])·(*pred*·*a*) *f* | ' $(\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq (\text{env-delete } y ((\text{Aexp } e) \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot a)) \sqcup \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot 0))$ ' *f* | ' $(\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)$ **by** (*rule env-restr-mono*[*OF Aexp-subst*])
also have ... = *env-delete* *y* ((*Aexp* *e*)·(*pred*·*a*)) *f* | ' $(\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqcup \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot 0)$ ' *f* | ' $(\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)$ **by** (*simp add: env-restr-join*)
also have *env-delete* *y* ((*Aexp* *e*)·(*pred*·*a*)) \sqsubseteq *Aexp* (*Lam* [*y*]. *e*)·*a* **by** (*rule Aexp-Lam*)
also have ... *f* | ' $(\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq \text{ae}$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps*)
also have *esing* *x*·(*up*·0) *f* | ' $(\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq \text{ae}$ **using** *assms*
by (*cases* *x*∈*edom* *ae*) (*auto simp add: env-restr-join join-below-iff a-consistent.simps*)
also have *ae* \sqcup *ae* = *ae* **by** *simp*
finally
have *Aexp* (*e*[*y*::=*x*])·(*pred*·*a*) *f* | ' $(\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq \text{ae}$ **by** *this simp-all*
thus ?*thesis* **using** *assms*
by (*auto elim: below-trans edom-mono simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps*)
qed

lemma *a-consistent-thunk-0*:
assumes *a-consistent* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (Γ , *Var* *x*, *S*)
assumes *map-of* Γ *x* = *Some* *e*
assumes *ae* *x* = *up*·*0*
shows *a-consistent* (*ae*, *0*, *as*) (*delete* *x* Γ , *e*, *Upd* *x* # *S*)
proof–
from *assms*(2)
have [*simp*]: $x \in \text{dom}A \ \Gamma$ **by** (*metis* *domI* *dom-map-of-conv-domA*)

from *assms*(3)
have [*simp*]: $x \in \text{edom } ae$ **by** (*auto simp add: edom-def*)

have $x \in \text{dom}A \ \Gamma$ **by** (*metis* *assms*(2) *domI* *dom-map-of-conv-domA*)
hence [*simp*]: $\text{insert } x (\text{dom}A \ \Gamma - \{x\} \cup \text{upds } S) = (\text{dom}A \ \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)$
by *auto*

from *Abinds-reorder1*[*OF* $\langle \text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \rangle$] $\langle ae \ x = \text{up} \cdot 0 \rangle$
have *ABinds* (*delete* *x* Γ)·*ae* \sqsubseteq *Aexp* *e*·*0* = *ABinds* Γ ·*ae* **by** (*auto intro: join-comm*)
moreover **have** ($\dots \sqsubseteq A\text{Estack } as \ S$) $f|' (\text{dom}A \ \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$
using *assms*(1) **by** (*auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps*)
ultimately **have** ($(ABinds (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma)) \cdot ae \sqsubseteq A\text{exp } e \cdot 0 \sqsubseteq A\text{Estack } as \ S$) $f|' (\text{dom}A \ \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$ **by** *simp*
then
show *?thesis*
using $\langle ae \ x = \text{up} \cdot 0 \rangle$ *assms*(1)
by (*auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps*)
qed

lemma *a-consistent-thunk-once*:
assumes *a-consistent* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (Γ , *Var* *x*, *S*)
assumes *map-of* Γ *x* = *Some* *e*
assumes [*simp*]: *ae* *x* = *up*·*u*
assumes *heap-upds-ok* (Γ , *S*)
shows *a-consistent* (*env-delete* *x* *ae*, *u*, *as*) (*delete* *x* Γ , *e*, *S*)
proof–
from *assms*(2)
have [*simp*]: $x \in \text{dom}A \ \Gamma$ **by** (*metis* *domI* *dom-map-of-conv-domA*)

from *assms*(1) **have** *Aexp* (*Var* *x*)·*a* $f|' (\text{dom}A \ \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$ **by** (*auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps*)
from *fun-belowD*[**where** $x = x$, *OF* *this*]
have (*Aexp* (*Var* *x*)·*a*) $x \sqsubseteq \text{up} \cdot u$ **by** *simp*
from *below-trans*[*OF* *Aexp-Var* *this*]
have $a \sqsubseteq u$ **by** *simp*

from $\langle \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \rangle$
have $x \notin \text{upds } S$ **by** (*auto simp add: a-consistent.simps elim!: heap-upds-okE*)
hence [*simp*]: $(- \ \{x\} \cap (\text{dom}A \ \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)) = (\text{dom}A \ \Gamma - \{x\} \cup \text{upds } S)$ **by** *auto*

have $Astack\ S \sqsubseteq u$ **using** $assms(1) \langle a \sqsubseteq u \rangle$
by (*auto elim: below-trans simp add: a-consistent.simps*)

from $Abinds-reorder1[OF \langle map-of\ \Gamma\ x = Some\ e \rangle] \langle ae\ x = up \cdot u \rangle$
have $ABinds\ (delete\ x\ \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot u = ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae$ **by** (*auto intro: join-comm*)
moreover
have $(\dots \sqcup AEstack\ as\ S)\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S) \sqsubseteq ae$
using $assms(1)$ **by** (*auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps*)
ultimately
have $((ABinds\ (delete\ x\ \Gamma)) \cdot ae \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot u \sqcup AEstack\ as\ S)\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S) \sqsubseteq ae$ **by**
simp
hence $((ABinds\ (delete\ x\ \Gamma)) \cdot (env-delete\ x\ ae) \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot u \sqcup AEstack\ as\ S)\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S) \sqsubseteq ae$
by (*auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join elim: below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF monofun-cfun-arg[OF env-delete-below-arg]]]*)
hence $env-delete\ x\ (((ABinds\ (delete\ x\ \Gamma)) \cdot (env-delete\ x\ ae) \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot u \sqcup AEstack\ as\ S)\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S)) \sqsubseteq env-delete\ x\ ae$
by (*rule env-delete-mono*)
hence $((ABinds\ (delete\ x\ \Gamma)) \cdot (env-delete\ x\ ae) \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot u \sqcup AEstack\ as\ S)\ f|' (domA\ (delete\ x\ \Gamma) \cup upds\ S) \sqsubseteq env-delete\ x\ ae$
by (*simp add: env-delete-restr*)
then
show *?thesis*
using $\langle ae\ x = up \cdot u \rangle \langle Astack\ S \sqsubseteq u \rangle assms(1)$
by (*auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps elim: below-trans*)
qed

lemma *a-consistent-lamvar:*

assumes *a-consistent* (ae, a, as) ($\Gamma, Var\ x, S$)
assumes *map-of* $\Gamma\ x = Some\ e$
assumes [*simp*]: $ae\ x = up \cdot u$
shows *a-consistent* (ae, u, as) $((x, e) \# delete\ x\ \Gamma, e, S)$
proof—
have [*simp*]: $x \in domA\ \Gamma$ **by** (*metis assms(2) domI dom-map-of-conv-domA*)
have [*simp*]: $insert\ x\ (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S) = (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S)$
by *auto*

from $assms(1)$ **have** $Aexp\ (Var\ x) \cdot a\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S) \sqsubseteq ae$ **by** (*auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps*)
from *fun-belowD* [**where** $x = x, OF\ this$]
have $(Aexp\ (Var\ x) \cdot a)\ x \sqsubseteq up \cdot u$ **by** *simp*
from *below-trans* [*OF* $Aexp\ Var\ this$]
have $a \sqsubseteq u$ **by** *simp*

have $Astack\ S \sqsubseteq u$ **using** $assms(1) \langle a \sqsubseteq u \rangle$
by (*auto elim: below-trans simp add: a-consistent.simps*)

from $Abinds-reorder1[OF \langle map-of\ \Gamma\ x = Some\ e \rangle] \langle ae\ x = up \cdot u \rangle$
have $ABinds\ ((x, e) \# delete\ x\ \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot u = ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae$ **by** (*auto intro: join-comm*)

moreover
have $(\dots \sqcup AEstack\ as\ S)\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S) \sqsubseteq ae$
using $assms(1)$ **by** $(auto\ simp\ add: join-below-iff\ env-restr-join\ a-consistent.simps)$
ultimately
have $((ABinds\ ((x,e) \# delete\ x\ \Gamma)) \cdot ae \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot u \sqcup AEstack\ as\ S)\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S)$
 $\sqsubseteq ae$ **by** $simp$
then
show $?thesis$
using $\langle ae\ x = up \cdot u \rangle \langle Astack\ S \sqsubseteq u \rangle\ assms(1)$
by $(auto\ simp\ add: join-below-iff\ env-restr-join\ a-consistent.simps)$
qed

lemma

assumes $a-consistent\ (ae, a, as)\ (\Gamma, e, Upd\ x\ \# S)$
shows $a-consistent-var_2: a-consistent\ (ae, a, as)\ ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$
and $a-consistent-UpdD: ae\ x = up \cdot 0a = 0$
using $assms$
by $(auto\ simp\ add: join-below-iff\ env-restr-join\ a-consistent.simps$
 $elim: below-trans[OF\ env-restr-mono[OF\ ABinds-delete-below]])$

lemma $a-consistent-let:$

assumes $a-consistent\ (ae, a, as)\ (\Gamma, Let\ \Delta\ e, S)$
assumes $atom\ 'domA\ \Delta\ \#*\ \Gamma$
assumes $atom\ 'domA\ \Delta\ \#*\ S$
assumes $edom\ ae \cap domA\ \Delta = \{\}$
shows $a-consistent\ (Aheap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a \sqcup ae, a, as)\ (\Delta\ @\ \Gamma, e, S)$

proof—

First some boring stuff about scope:

have $[simp]: \bigwedge S. S \subseteq domA\ \Delta \implies ae\ f|' S = \perp$ **using** $assms(4)$ **by** $auto$
have $[simp]: ABinds\ \Delta \cdot (Aheap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a \sqcup ae) = ABinds\ \Delta \cdot (Aheap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a)$
by $(rule\ Abinds-env-restr-cong)\ (simp\ add: env-restr-join)$

have $[simp]: Aheap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a\ f|' domA\ \Gamma = \perp$
using $fresh-distinct[OF\ assms(2)]$
by $(auto\ intro: env-restr-empty\ dest!: subsetD[OF\ edom-Aheap])$

have $[simp]: ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot (Aheap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a \sqcup ae) = ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae$
by $(rule\ Abinds-env-restr-cong)\ (simp\ add: env-restr-join)$

have $[simp]: ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae\ f|' (domA\ \Delta \cup domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S) = ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S)$
using $fresh-distinct-fv[OF\ assms(2)]$
by $(auto\ intro: env-restr-cong\ dest!: subsetD[OF\ edom-AnalBinds])$

have $[simp]: AEstack\ as\ S\ f|' (domA\ \Delta \cup domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S) = AEstack\ as\ S\ f|' (domA\ \Gamma \cup upds\ S)$
using $fresh-distinct-fv[OF\ assms(3)]$
by $(auto\ intro: env-restr-cong\ dest!: subsetD[OF\ edom-AEstack])$

have $[simp]: Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a \mid f \mid ' (domA \Delta \cup domA \Gamma \cup upds S) = Aexp (Terms.Let \Delta e) \cdot a \mid f \mid ' (domA \Gamma \cup upds S)$
by (rule env-restr-cong) (auto dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])

have $[simp]: Aheap \Delta e \cdot a \mid f \mid ' (domA \Delta \cup domA \Gamma \cup upds S) = Aheap \Delta e \cdot a \mid f \mid ' (domA \Gamma \cup upds S)$
by (rule env-restr-useless) (auto dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])

have $((ABinds \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqcup AEstack as S) \mid f \mid ' (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq ae$ **using** $assms(1)$ **by** (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)

moreover

have $Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a \mid f \mid ' (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq ae$ **using** $assms(1)$ **by** (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)

moreover

have $ABinds \Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a) \sqcup Aexp e \cdot a \sqsubseteq Aheap \Delta e \cdot a \sqcup Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a$ **by** (rule Aexp-Let)

ultimately

have $(ABinds (\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a \sqcup ae) \sqcup Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup AEstack as S) \mid f \mid ' (domA (\Delta @ \Gamma) \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq Aheap \Delta e \cdot a \sqcup ae$
by (auto 4 4 simp add: env-restr-join Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms(2)]] join-below-iff)

simp del: join-comm
 elim: below-trans below-trans[OF env-restr-mono]

moreover

note $fresh-distinct[OF assms(2)]$

moreover

from $fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(3)]$

have $domA \Delta \cap upds S = \{\}$ **by** (auto dest!: subsetD[OF up-fv-subset])

ultimately

show $?thesis$ **using** $assms(1)$

by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap] intro: heap-upds-ok-append)

qed

lemma $a-consistent-if_1$:

assumes $a-consistent (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, scrut ? e1 : e2, S)$
shows $a-consistent (ae, 0, a \# as) (\Gamma, scrut, Alts e1 e2 \# S)$

proof –

from $assms$

have $Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) \cdot a \mid f \mid ' (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq ae$ **by** (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps env-restr-join join-below-iff)

hence $(Aexp scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup Aexp e1 \cdot a \sqcup Aexp e2 \cdot a) \mid f \mid ' (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq ae$
by (rule below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse]])

thus $?thesis$

using $assms$

by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)

qed

lemma $a-consistent-if_2$:

assumes $a-consistent (ae, a, a' \# as') (\Gamma, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 \# S)$

shows *a-consistent* (*ae*, *a'*, *as'*) (Γ , if *b* then *e1* else *e2*, *S*)
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join*)

lemma *a-consistent-alts-on-stack*:
assumes *a-consistent* (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (Γ , *Bool b*, *Alts e1 e2* # *S*)
obtains *a' as'* **where** *as* = *a'* # *as'* *a* = 0
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: a-consistent.simps*)

lemma *closed-a-consistent*:
fv e = ($\{\} :: \text{var set}$) \implies *a-consistent* (\perp , 0, $\{\}$) ($\{\}$, *e*, $\{\}$)
by (*auto simp add: edom-empty-iff-bot a-consistent.simps dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]*)

end

end

7.2 ArityTransformSafe

theory *ArityTransformSafe*
imports *ArityTransform ArityConsistent ArityAnalysisSpec ArityEtaExpansionSafe AbstractTransform ConstOn*
begin

locale *CardinalityArityTransformation* = *ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard*
begin

sublocale *AbstractTransformBoundSubst*

λ *a* . *inc*·*a*

λ *a* . *pred*·*a*

λ Δ *e a* . (*a*, *Aheap* Δ *e*·*a*)

fst

snd

λ -. 0

Aeta-expand

snd

apply *standard*

apply (*simp add: Aheap-subst*)

apply (*rule subst-Aeta-expand*)

done

abbreviation *ccTransform* **where** *ccTransform* \equiv *transform*

lemma *supp-transform*: *supp* (*transform a e*) \subseteq *supp e*
by (*induction rule: transform.induct*)
(*auto simp add: exp-assn.supp Let-supp dest!: subsetD[OF supp-map-transform] subsetD[OF supp-map-transform-step]*)

interpretation *supp-bounded-transform transform*

by *standard* (*auto simp add: fresh-def supp-transform*)

fun *transform-alts* :: *Arity list* \Rightarrow *stack* \Rightarrow *stack*

```

where
  transform-alts - [] = []
  | transform-alts (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Alts (ccTransform a e1) (ccTransform a e2))
# transform-alts as S
  | transform-alts as (x # S) = x # transform-alts as S

lemma transform-alts-Nil[simp]: transform-alts [] S = S
by (induction S) auto

lemma Astack-transform-alts[simp]:
  Astack (transform-alts as S) = Astack S
by (induction rule: transform-alts.induct) auto

lemma fresh-star-transform-alts[intro]: a #* S  $\implies$  a #* transform-alts as S
by (induction as S rule: transform-alts.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons)

fun a-transform :: astate  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
where a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) =
  (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae  $\Gamma$ ),
   ccTransform a e,
   transform-alts as S)

fun restr-conf :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
where restr-conf V ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) = (restrictA V  $\Gamma$ , e, restr-stack V S)

inductive consistent :: astate  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  consistentI[intro!]:
    a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)
     $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies ae\ x = \text{up}\cdot 0$ )
     $\implies$  consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)
inductive-cases consistentE[elim!]: consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)

lemma closed-consistent:
  assumes fv e = ({ }::var set)
  shows consistent ( $\perp$ , 0, []) ([], e, [])
by (auto simp add: edom-empty-iff-bot closed-a-consistent[OF assms])

lemma arity-transform-safe:
  fixes c c'
  assumes c  $\Rightarrow^*$  c' and  $\neg$  boring-step c' and heap-upds-ok-conf c and consistent (ae,a,as)
  c
  shows  $\exists ae' a' as'. \text{consistent } (ae', a', as')\ c' \wedge a\text{-transform } (ae,a,as)\ c \Rightarrow^* a\text{-transform } (ae', a', as')\ c'$ 
  using assms(1,2) heap-upds-ok-invariant assms(3-)
proof(induction c c' arbitrary: ae a as rule:step-invariant-induction)
case (app1  $\Gamma$  e x S)
  from app1 have consistent (ae, inc·a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Arg x # S)
  by (auto intro: a-consistent-app1)
moreover

```

```

have  $a\text{-transform } (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, \text{App } e \ x, S) \Rightarrow a\text{-transform } (ae, inc \cdot a, as) (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \ \# S)$ 
by simp rule
ultimately
show  $?case \text{ by } (blast \ del: consistentI \ consistentE)$ 
next
case ( $app_2 \ \Gamma \ y \ e \ x \ S$ )
  have  $consistent \ (ae, pred \cdot a, as) (\Gamma, e[y::=x], S)$  using  $app_2$ 
  by ( $auto \ 4 \ 3 \ intro: a\text{-consistent-}app_2$ )
  moreover
  have  $a\text{-transform } (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, Lam \ [y]. \ e, \text{Arg } x \ \# S) \Rightarrow a\text{-transform } (ae, pred \cdot a, as)$ 
   $(\Gamma, e[y::=x], S)$  by ( $simp \ add: subst\text{-transform}[symmetric]$ ) rule
  ultimately
  show  $?case \text{ by } (blast \ del: consistentI \ consistentE)$ 
next
case ( $thunk \ \Gamma \ x \ e \ S$ )
  hence  $x \in thunks \ \Gamma$  by auto
  hence  $[simp]: x \in domA \ \Gamma$  by ( $rule \ subsetD[OF \ thunks\text{-}domA]$ )

  from  $\langle heap\text{-}upds\text{-}ok\text{-}conf \ (\Gamma, Var \ x, S) \rangle$ 
  have  $x \notin upds \ S$  by ( $auto \ dest!: heap\text{-}upds\text{-}okE$ )

  have  $x \in edom \ ae$  using  $thunk$  by auto
  have  $ae \ x = up \cdot 0$  using  $thunk \ \langle x \in thunks \ \Gamma \rangle$  by (auto)

  have  $a\text{-consistent } (ae, 0, as) (delete \ x \ \Gamma, e, Upd \ x \ \# S)$  using  $thunk \ \langle ae \ x = up \cdot 0 \rangle$ 
  by ( $auto \ intro!: a\text{-consistent-}thunk\text{-}0 \ simp \ del: restr\text{-}delete$ )
  hence  $consistent \ (ae, 0, as) (delete \ x \ \Gamma, e, Upd \ x \ \# S)$  using  $thunk \ \langle ae \ x = up \cdot 0 \rangle$ 
  by ( $auto \ simp \ add: restr\text{-}delete\text{-}twist$ )
  moreover

  from  $\langle map\text{-}of \ \Gamma \ x = Some \ e \rangle \ \langle ae \ x = up \cdot 0 \rangle$ 
  have  $map\text{-}of \ (map\text{-}transform \ Aeta\text{-}expand \ ae \ (map\text{-}transform \ ccTransform \ ae \ \Gamma)) \ x = Some$ 
   $(transform \ 0 \ e)$ 
  by ( $simp \ add: map\text{-}of\text{-}map\text{-}transform$ )
  with  $\langle \neg \ isVal \ e \rangle$ 
  have  $a\text{-transform } (ae, a, as) (\Gamma, Var \ x, S) \Rightarrow a\text{-transform } (ae, 0, as) (delete \ x \ \Gamma, e, Upd \ x \ \# S)$ 
  by ( $auto \ simp \ add: map\text{-}transform\text{-}delete \ restr\text{-}delete\text{-}twist \ intro!: step.intros \ simp \ del: restr\text{-}delete$ )
  ultimately
  show  $?case \text{ by } (blast \ del: consistentI \ consistentE)$ 
next
case ( $lamvar \ \Gamma \ x \ e \ S$ )
  from  $lamvar(1)$  have  $[simp]: x \in domA \ \Gamma$  by ( $metis \ domI \ dom\text{-}map\text{-}of\text{-}conv\text{-}domA$ )

  have  $up \cdot a \sqsubseteq (Aexp \ (Var \ x) \cdot a \ f) \upharpoonright' (domA \ \Gamma \cup upds \ S) \ x$ 
  by ( $simp$ ) ( $rule \ Aexp\text{-}Var$ )
  also from  $lamvar$  have  $Aexp \ (Var \ x) \cdot a \ f \upharpoonright' (domA \ \Gamma \cup upds \ S) \sqsubseteq ae$  by ( $auto \ simp \ add:$ 

```

```

join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
  finally
  obtain u where ae x = up·u by (cases ae x) (auto simp add: edom-def)
  hence x ∈ edom ae by (auto simp add: edomIff)

  have a-consistent (ae, u, as) ((x,e) # delete x Γ, e, S) using lamvar ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
    by (auto intro!: a-consistent-lamvar simp del: restr-delete)
  hence consistent (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
    using lamvar by (auto simp add: thanks-Cons restr-delete-twist elim: below-trans)
  moreover

  from ⟨a-consistent - -⟩
  have Astack (transform-alts as S) ⊆ u by (auto elim: a-consistent-stackD)

  {
  from ⟨isVal e⟩
  have isVal (transform u e) by simp
  hence isVal (Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) by (rule isVal-Aeta-expand)
  moreover
  from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up · u⟩ ⟨isVal (transform u e)⟩
  have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae Γ)) x = Some
    (Aeta-expand u (transform u e))
    by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
  ultimately
  have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒*
    ((x, Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) # delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae
    (map-transform transform ae Γ)), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as S)
    by (auto intro: lambda-var simp del: restr-delete)
  also have ... = ((map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae ((x,e) #
    delete x Γ))), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as S)
    using ⟨ae x = up · u⟩ ⟨isVal (transform u e)⟩
    by (simp add: map-transform-Cons map-transform-delete del: restr-delete)
  also(subst[rotated]) have ... ⇒* a-transform (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
    by (simp add: restr-delete-twist) (rule Aeta-expand-safe[OF ⟨Astack - ⊆ u⟩])
  finally(rtranclp-trans)
  have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒* a-transform (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x Γ,
    e, S).
  }
  ultimately show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (var2 Γ x e S)
  from var2
  have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) by auto
  from a-consistent-UpdD[OF this]
  have ae x = up·0 and a = 0.

  have a-consistent (ae, a, as) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
    using var2 by (auto intro!: a-consistent-var2)
  hence consistent (ae, 0, as) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)

```

```

    using var2 ⟨a = 0⟩
    by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons elim: below-trans)
  moreover
  have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒ a-transform (ae, 0, as) ((x, e) # Γ, e,
S)
    using ⟨ae x = up.0⟩ ⟨a = 0⟩ var2
    by (auto intro!: step.intros simp add: map-transform-Cons)
  ultimately show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (let1 Δ Γ e S)
let ?ae = Aheap Δ e.a

  have domA Δ ∩ upds S = {} using fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)] by (auto dest: subsetD[OF
ups-fv-subset])
  hence *: ∧ x. x ∈ upds S ⇒ x ∉ edom ?ae by (auto simp add: dest!: subsetD[OF
edom-Aheap])
  have restr-stack-simp2: restr-stack (edom (?ae ⊔ ae)) S = restr-stack (edom ae) S
  by (auto intro: restr-stack-cong dest!: *)

  have edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S using let1 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-edom-subsetD)
  from subsetD[OF this] fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
  have edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {} by (auto dest: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])

  {
  { fix x e'
    assume x ∈ thunks Γ
    with let1
    have (?ae ⊔ ae) x = up.0 by auto
  }
  moreover
  { fix x e'
    assume x ∈ thunks Δ
    hence (?ae ⊔ ae) x = up.0 by (auto simp add: Aheap-heap3)
  }
  moreover
  have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, Let Δ e, S)
    using let1 by auto
  hence a-consistent (?ae ⊔ ae, a, as) (Δ @ Γ, e, S)
    using let1(1,2) ⟨edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {}⟩
    by (auto intro!: a-consistent-let simp del: join-comm)
  ultimately
  have consistent (?ae ⊔ ae, a, as) (Δ @ Γ, e, S)
    by auto
  }
  moreover
  {
  have ∧ x. x ∈ domA Γ ⇒ x ∉ edom ?ae
    using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]

```

```

    by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
  hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae) (map-transform transform (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae)  $\Gamma$ )
    = map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae  $\Gamma$ )
    by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
  moreover

  from  $\langle \text{edom } ae \subseteq \text{dom } A \ \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S \rangle$ 
  have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{dom } A \ \Delta \implies x \notin \text{edom } ae$ 
    using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
    by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])
  hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae) (map-transform transform (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae)  $\Delta$ )
    = map-transform Aeta-expand ?ae (map-transform transform ?ae  $\Delta$ )
    by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
  ultimately

  have a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Let  $\Delta$  e, S)  $\Rightarrow$  a-transform (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae, a, as) ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ , e,
S)
    using restr-stack-simp2 let1(1,2)
  apply (auto simp add: map-transform-append restrictA-append restr-stack-simp2[simplified]
map-transform-restrA)
    apply (rule step.let1)
    apply (auto dest: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
    done
}
ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (if1  $\Gamma$  scrut e1 e2 S)
have consistent (ae, 0, a#as) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
  using if1 by (auto dest: a-consistent-if1)
moreover
have a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut ? e1 : e2, S)  $\Rightarrow$  a-transform (ae, 0, a#as) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut,
Alts e1 e2 # S)
  by (auto intro: step.intros)
ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (if2  $\Gamma$  b e1 e2 S)
hence a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) by auto
then obtain a' as' where [simp]: as = a' # as' a = 0
  by (rule a-consistent-alts-on-stack)

have consistent (ae, a', as') ( $\Gamma$ , if b then e1 else e2, S)
  using if2 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-if2)
moreover
have a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S)  $\Rightarrow$  a-transform (ae, a', as') ( $\Gamma$ , if
b then e1 else e2, S)
  by (auto intro: step.if2[where b = True, simplified] step.if2[where b = False, simplified])

```

```

ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case refl thus ?case by auto
next
case (trans c c' c'')
  from trans(3)[OF trans(5)]
  obtain ae' a' as' where consistent (ae', a', as') c' and *: a-transform (ae, a, as) c  $\Rightarrow^*$ 
a-transform (ae', a', as') c' by blast
  from trans(4)[OF this(1)]
  obtain ae'' a'' as'' where consistent (ae'', a'', as'') c'' and **: a-transform (ae', a', as')
c'  $\Rightarrow^*$  a-transform (ae'', a'', as'') c'' by blast
  from this(1) rtranclp-trans[OF * **]
  show ?case by blast
qed
end

end

```

8 Cardinality Analysis

8.1 Cardinality-Domain

```

theory Cardinality-Domain
imports Launchbury.HOLCF-Utills
begin

type-synonym oneShot = one
abbreviation notOneShot :: oneShot where notOneShot  $\equiv$  ONE
abbreviation oneShot :: oneShot where oneShot  $\equiv$   $\perp$ 

type-synonym two = oneShot  $\perp$ 
abbreviation many :: two where many  $\equiv$  up.notOneShot
abbreviation once :: two where once  $\equiv$  up.oneShot
abbreviation none :: two where none  $\equiv$   $\perp$ 

lemma many-max[simp]:  $a \sqsubseteq$  many by (cases a) auto

lemma two-conj:  $c = \text{many} \vee c = \text{once} \vee c = \text{none}$  by (metis Exh-Up one-neq-iffs(1))

lemma two-cases[case-names many once none]:
  obtains  $c = \text{many} \mid c = \text{once} \mid c = \text{none}$  using two-conj by metis

definition two-pred where two-pred = ( $\Lambda x.$  if  $x \sqsubseteq$  once then  $\perp$  else  $x$ )

lemma two-pred-simp: two-pred.c = (if  $c \sqsubseteq$  once then  $\perp$  else  $c$ )
  unfolding two-pred-def
  apply (rule beta-cfun)

```

```

apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply (auto elim: below-trans)
done

```

```

lemma two-pred-simps[simp]:
  two-pred.many = many
  two-pred.once = none
  two-pred.none = none
by (simp-all add: two-pred-simp)

```

```

lemma two-pred-below-arg: two-pred · f  $\sqsubseteq$  f
by (auto simp add: two-pred-simp)

```

```

lemma two-pred-none: two-pred · c = none  $\longleftrightarrow$  c  $\sqsubseteq$  once
by (auto simp add: two-pred-simp)

```

```

definition record-call where record-call x = ( $\Lambda$  ce. ( $\lambda$  y. if x = y then two-pred.(ce y) else ce y))

```

```

lemma record-call-simp: (record-call x · f) x' = (if x = x' then two-pred · (f x') else f x')
unfolding record-call-def by auto

```

```

lemma record-call[simp]: (record-call x · f) x = two-pred · (f x)
unfolding record-call-simp by auto

```

```

lemma record-call-other[simp]: x'  $\neq$  x  $\implies$  (record-call x · f) x' = f x'
unfolding record-call-simp by auto

```

```

lemma record-call-below-arg: record-call x · f  $\sqsubseteq$  f
unfolding record-call-def
by (auto intro!: fun-belowI two-pred-below-arg)

```

```

definition two-add :: two  $\rightarrow$  two  $\rightarrow$  two
where two-add = ( $\Lambda$  x. ( $\Lambda$  y. if x  $\sqsubseteq$   $\perp$  then y else (if y  $\sqsubseteq$   $\perp$  then x else many)))

```

```

lemma two-add-simp: two-add · x · y = (if x  $\sqsubseteq$   $\perp$  then y else (if y  $\sqsubseteq$   $\perp$  then x else many))
unfolding two-add-def
apply (subst beta-cfun)
apply (rule cont2cont)
apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply (auto elim: below-trans)[1]
apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply (auto elim: below-trans)[8]
apply (rule beta-cfun)
apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply (auto elim: below-trans)[1]
apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply auto
done

```


lemma *two-pred-two-add-once*: $c \sqsubseteq \text{two-pred} \cdot (\text{two-add} \cdot \text{once} \cdot c)$
by (*cases c rule: two-cases*) (*auto simp add: two-add-simp*)

end

8.2 CardinalityAnalysisSig

theory *CardinalityAnalysisSig*
imports *Arity AEnv Cardinality-Domain SestoftConf*
begin

locale *CardinalityPrognosis* =
fixes *prognosis* :: $AEnv \Rightarrow Arity\ list \Rightarrow Arity \Rightarrow conf \Rightarrow (var \Rightarrow two)$

locale *CardinalityHeap* =
fixes *cHeap* :: $heap \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow Arity \rightarrow (var \Rightarrow two)$
end

8.3 CardinalityAnalysisSpec

theory *CardinalityAnalysisSpec*
imports *ArityAnalysisSpec CardinalityAnalysisSig ConstOn*
begin

locale *CardinalityPrognosisEdom* = *CardinalityPrognosis* +
assumes *edom-prognosis*:
 $edom\ (prognosis\ ae\ as\ a\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ S)) \subseteq fv\ \Gamma \cup fv\ e \cup fv\ S$

locale *CardinalityPrognosisShape* = *CardinalityPrognosis* +
assumes *prognosis-env-cong*: $ae\ f|' \text{ dom } A\ \Gamma = ae'\ f|' \text{ dom } A\ \Gamma \implies prognosis\ ae\ as\ u\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ S) = prognosis\ ae'\ as\ u\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ S)$
assumes *prognosis-reorder*: $map\text{-of}\ \Gamma = map\text{-of}\ \Delta \implies prognosis\ ae\ as\ u\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ S) = prognosis\ ae\ as\ u\ (\Delta,\ e,\ S)$
assumes *prognosis-ap*: $const\text{-on}\ (prognosis\ ae\ as\ a\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ S))\ (ap\ S)\ many$
assumes *prognosis-upd*: $prognosis\ ae\ as\ u\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ S) \sqsubseteq prognosis\ ae\ as\ u\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ Upd\ x\ \# S)$
assumes *prognosis-not-called*: $ae\ x = \perp \implies prognosis\ ae\ as\ a\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ S) \sqsubseteq prognosis\ ae\ as\ a\ (delete\ x\ \Gamma,\ e,\ S)$
assumes *prognosis-called*: $once \sqsubseteq prognosis\ ae\ as\ a\ (\Gamma,\ Var\ x,\ S)\ x$

locale *CardinalityPrognosisApp* = *CardinalityPrognosis* +
assumes *prognosis-App*: $prognosis\ ae\ as\ (inc \cdot a)\ (\Gamma,\ e,\ Arg\ x\ \# S) \sqsubseteq prognosis\ ae\ as\ a\ (\Gamma,\ App\ e\ x,\ S)$

locale *CardinalityPrognosisLam* = *CardinalityPrognosis* +

assumes *prognosis-subst-Lam*: *prognosis ae as (pred·a) (Γ, e[y::=x], S) ⊆ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S)*

locale *CardinalityPrognosisVar* = *CardinalityPrognosis* +

assumes *prognosis-Var-lam*: *map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ ae x = up·u ⇒ isVal e ⇒ prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, S) ⊆ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))*

assumes *prognosis-Var-thunk*: *map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ ae x = up·u ⇒ ¬ isVal e ⇒ prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊆ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))*

assumes *prognosis-Var2*: *isVal e ⇒ x ∉ domA Γ ⇒ prognosis ae as 0 ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) ⊆ prognosis ae as 0 (Γ, e, Upd x # S)*

locale *CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse* = *CardinalityPrognosis* +

assumes *prognosis-IfThenElse*: *prognosis ae (a#as) 0 (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⊆ prognosis ae as a (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S)*

assumes *prognosis-Alts*: *prognosis ae as a (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S) ⊆ prognosis ae (a#as) 0 (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S)*

locale *CardinalityPrognosisLet* = *CardinalityPrognosis* + *CardinalityHeap* + *ArityAnalysisHeap* +

assumes *prognosis-Let*:

atom ‘ domA Δ # Γ ⇒ atom ‘ domA Δ #* S ⇒ edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S ⇒ prognosis (Aheap Δ e·a ⊔ ae) as a (Δ @ Γ, e, S) ⊆ cHeap Δ e·a ⊔ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Terms.Let Δ e, S)*

locale *CardinalityHeapSafe* = *CardinalityHeap* + *ArityAnalysisHeap* +

assumes *Aheap-heap3*: *x ∈ thunks Γ ⇒ many ⊆ (cHeap Γ e·a) x ⇒ (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0*

assumes *edom-cHeap*: *edom (cHeap Δ e·a) = edom (Aheap Δ e·a)*

locale *CardinalityPrognosisSafe* =

CardinalityPrognosisEdom +
CardinalityPrognosisShape +
CardinalityPrognosisApp +
CardinalityPrognosisLam +
CardinalityPrognosisVar +
CardinalityPrognosisLet +
CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse +
CardinalityHeapSafe +
ArityAnalysisLetSafe

end

8.4 NoCardinalityAnalysis

theory *NoCardinalityAnalysis*

imports *CardinalityAnalysisSpec* *ArityAnalysisStack*

begin

```

locale NoCardinalityAnalysis = ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
  assumes Aheap-thunk:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
begin

definition a2c :: Arity⊥ → two where a2c = (Λ a. if a ⊆ ⊥ then ⊥ else many)
lemma a2c-simp: a2c · a = (if a ⊆ ⊥ then ⊥ else many)
  unfolding a2c-def by (rule beta-cfun[OF cont-if-else-above]) auto

lemma a2c-egvt[egvt]:  $\pi \cdot a2c = a2c$ 
  unfolding a2c-def
  apply perm-simp
  apply (rule Abs-cfun-egvt)
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply auto
  done

definition ae2ce :: AEnv ⇒ (var ⇒ two) where ae2ce ae x = a2c · (ae x)

lemma ae2ce-cont: cont ae2ce
  by (auto simp add: ae2ce-def)
lemmas cont-compose[OF ae2ce-cont, cont2cont, simp]

lemma ae2ce-egvt[egvt]:  $\pi \cdot ae2ce \ ae \ x = ae2ce \ (\pi \cdot ae) \ (\pi \cdot x)$ 
  unfolding ae2ce-def by perm-simp rule

lemma ae2ce-to-env-restr: ae2ce ae = (λ-. many) f|' edom ae
  by (auto simp add: ae2ce-def lookup-env-restr-eq edom-def a2c-simp)

lemma edom-ae2ce[simp]: edom (ae2ce ae) = edom ae
  unfolding edom-def
  by (auto simp add: ae2ce-def a2c-simp)

definition cHeap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → (var ⇒ two)
  where cHeap Γ e = (Λ a. ae2ce (Aheap Γ e · a))
lemma cHeap-simp[simp]: cHeap Γ e · a = ae2ce (Aheap Γ e · a)
  unfolding cHeap-def by simp

sublocale CardinalityHeap cHeap.

sublocale CardinalityHeapSafe cHeap Aheap
  apply standard
  apply (erule Aheap-thunk)
  apply simp
  done

fun prognosis where
  prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S) = ((λ-. many) f|' (edom (ABinds Γ · ae) ∪ edom (Aexp e · a) ∪ edom

```

(*AEstack as S*)))

lemma *record-all-noop*[*simp*]:

record-call $x \cdot ((\lambda \cdot. \text{many}) f) \cdot S = (\lambda \cdot. \text{many}) f \cdot S$

by (*auto simp add: record-call-def lookup-env-restr-eq*)

lemma *const-on-restr-constI*[*intro*]:

$S' \subseteq S \implies \text{const-on } ((\lambda \cdot. x) f) \cdot S \ S' \ x$

by *fastforce*

lemma *ap-subset-edom-AEstack*: $\text{ap } S \subseteq \text{edom } (\text{AEstack as } S)$

by (*induction as S rule:AEstack.induct*) (*auto simp del: fun-meet-simp*)

sublocale *CardinalityPrognosis prognosis*.

sublocale *CardinalityPrognosisShape prognosis*

proof (*standard, goal-cases*)

case 1

thus ?*case* **by** (*simp cong: ABinds-env-restr-cong*)

next

case 2

thus ?*case* **by** (*simp cong: ABinds-reorder*)

next

case 3

thus ?*case* **by** (*auto dest: subsetD[OF ap-subset-edom-AEstack]*)

next

case 4

thus ?*case* **by** (*auto intro: env-restr-mono2*)

next

case (5 *ae x as a* $\Gamma \ e \ S$)

from $\langle \text{ae } x = \perp \rangle$

have $\text{ABinds } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma) \cdot \text{ae} = \text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot \text{ae}$ **by** (*rule ABinds-delete-bot*)

thus ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case (6 *ae as a* $\Gamma \ x \ S$)

from *Aexp-Var*[**where** $n = a$ **and** $x = x$]

have $(\text{Aexp } (\text{Var } x) \cdot a) \ x \neq \perp$ **by** *auto*

hence $x \in \text{edom } (\text{Aexp } (\text{Var } x) \cdot a)$ **by** (*simp add: edomIff*)

thus ?*case* **by** *simp*

qed

sublocale *CardinalityPrognosisApp prognosis*

proof (*standard, goal-cases*)

case 1

thus ?*case*

using *edom-mono*[*OF Aexp-App*] **by** (*auto intro!: env-restr-mono2*)

qed

```

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLam prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case (1 ae as a  $\Gamma$  e y x S)
  have  $\text{edom } (Aexp\ e[y::=x] \cdot (pred \cdot a)) \subseteq \text{insert } x\ (\text{edom } (\text{env-delete } y\ (Aexp\ e \cdot (pred \cdot a))))$ 
    by (auto dest: subsetD[OF edom-mono[OF Aexp-subst]])
  also have  $\dots \subseteq \text{insert } x\ (\text{edom } (Aexp\ (\text{Lam } [y].\ e) \cdot a))$ 
    using edom-mono[OF Aexp-Lam] by auto
  finally show ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisVar prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case prems: 1
  thus ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2 simp add: Abinds-reorder1[OF prems(1)])
next
  case prems: 2
  thus ?case
    by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2 simp add: Abinds-reorder1[OF prems(1)])
    (metis Aexp-Var edomIff not-up-less-UU)
next
  case ( $\exists\ e\ x\ \Gamma\ ae\ as\ S$ )
  have  $fup \cdot (Aexp\ e) \cdot (ae\ x) \sqsubseteq Aexp\ e \cdot 0$  by (cases ae x) (auto intro: monofun-cfun-arg)
  from edom-mono[OF this]
  show ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2 dest: subsetD[OF edom-mono[OF ABinds-delete-below]])
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case (1 ae a as  $\Gamma$  scrut e1 e2 S)
  have  $\text{edom } (Aexp\ scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup Aexp\ e1 \cdot a \sqcup Aexp\ e2 \cdot a) \subseteq \text{edom } (Aexp\ (scrut\ ?\ e1 : e2) \cdot a)$ 
    by (rule edom-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse])
  thus ?case
    by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
next
  case (2 ae as a  $\Gamma\ b\ e1\ e2\ S$ )
  show ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLet prognosis cHeap Aheap
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case prems: (1  $\Delta\ \Gamma\ S\ ae\ e\ a\ as$ )

  from subsetD[OF prems(3)] fresh-distinct[OF prems(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF prems(2)]
  have  $ae\ f|' \text{ dom } A\ \Delta = \perp$ 
    by (auto dest: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])
  hence [simp]:  $ABinds\ \Delta \cdot (ae\ \sqcup\ Aheap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a) = ABinds\ \Delta \cdot (Aheap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a)$  by (simp cong: Abinds-env-restr-cong add: env-restr-join)

```

```

from fresh-distinct[OF prems(1)]
have Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a f | ' domA  $\Gamma = \perp$  by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
hence [simp]: ABinds  $\Gamma$ .(ae  $\sqcup$  Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a) = ABinds  $\Gamma$ .ae by (simp cong: Abinds-env-restr-cong
add: env-restr-join)

have edom (ABinds ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ ).(Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a  $\sqcup$  ae))  $\cup$  edom (Aexp e.a) = edom (ABinds
 $\Delta$ .(Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a))  $\cup$  edom (ABinds  $\Gamma$ .ae)  $\cup$  edom (Aexp e.a)
by (simp add: Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF prems(1)]] Un-commute)
also have ... = edom (ABinds  $\Gamma$ .ae)  $\cup$  edom (ABinds  $\Delta$ .(Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a)  $\sqcup$  Aexp e.a)
by force
also have ...  $\subseteq$  edom (ABinds  $\Gamma$ .ae)  $\cup$  edom (Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e).a)
using edom-mono[OF Aexp-Let] by force
also have ... = edom (Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a)  $\cup$  edom (ABinds  $\Gamma$ .ae)  $\cup$  edom (Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e).a)
by auto
finally
have edom (ABinds ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ ).(Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a  $\sqcup$  ae))  $\cup$  edom (Aexp e.a)  $\subseteq$  edom (Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a)
 $\cup$  edom (ABinds  $\Gamma$ .ae)  $\cup$  edom (Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e).a).
hence edom (ABinds ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ ).(Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a  $\sqcup$  ae))  $\cup$  edom (Aexp e.a)  $\cup$  edom (AEstack as
S)  $\subseteq$  edom (Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a)  $\cup$  edom (ABinds  $\Gamma$ .ae)  $\cup$  edom (Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e).a)  $\cup$  edom (AEstack
as S) by auto
thus ?case by (simp add: ae2ce-to-env-restr env-restr-join2 Un-assoc[symmetric] env-restr-mono2)
qed

```

```

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisEdom prognosis
by standard (auto dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom] subsetD[OF ap-fv-subset] subsetD[OF edom-AnalBinds]
subsetD[OF edom-AEstack])

```

```

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisSafe prognosis cHeap Aheap Aexp..
end

```

```

end

```

8.5 CardArityTransformSafe

```

theory CardArityTransformSafe
imports ArityTransform CardinalityAnalysisSpec AbstractTransform Sestoft SestoftGC ArityEta-
ExpansionSafe ArityAnalysisStack ArityConsistent
begin

```

```

context CardinalityPrognosisSafe
begin
sublocale AbstractTransformBoundSubst
   $\lambda$  a . inc.a
   $\lambda$  a . pred.a
   $\lambda$   $\Delta$  e a . (a, Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a)
  fst
  snd
   $\lambda$  -. 0

```

```

Aeta-expand
snd
apply standard
apply (simp add: Aheap-subst)
apply (rule subst-Aeta-expand)
done

```

abbreviation *ccTransform* **where** *ccTransform* \equiv *transform*

lemma *supp-transform*: *supp* (*transform* *a* *e*) \subseteq *supp* *e*
by (*induction* *rule*: *transform.induct*)
(auto simp add: exp-assn.supp Let-supp dest!: subsetD[OF *supp-map-transform*] subsetD[OF *supp-map-transform-step*])
interpretation *supp-bounded-transform* *transform*
by *standard* (auto simp add: fresh-def *supp-transform*)

type-synonym *tstate* = (*AEnv* \times (*var* \Rightarrow *two*) \times *Arity* \times *Arity list* \times *var list*)

```

fun transform-alts :: Arity list  $\Rightarrow$  stack  $\Rightarrow$  stack
where
  transform-alts - [] = []
  | transform-alts (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Alts (ccTransform a e1) (ccTransform a e2))
# transform-alts as S
  | transform-alts as (x # S) = x # transform-alts as S

```

lemma *transform-alts-Nil*[*simp*]: *transform-alts* [] *S* = *S*
by (*induction* *S*) *auto*

lemma *Astack-transform-alts*[*simp*]:
Astack (*transform-alts* *as* *S*) = *Astack* *S*
by (*induction* *rule*: *transform-alts.induct*) *auto*

lemma *fresh-star-transform-alts*[*intro*]: *a* \sharp^* *S* \Longrightarrow *a* \sharp^* *transform-alts* *as* *S*
by (*induction* *as* *S* *rule*: *transform-alts.induct*) (auto simp add: *fresh-star-Cons*)

```

fun a-transform :: astate  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
where a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) =
  (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae  $\Gamma$ ),
   ccTransform a e,
   transform-alts as S)

```

```

fun restr-conf :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
where restr-conf V ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) = (restrictA V  $\Gamma$ , e, restr-stack V S)

```

```

fun add-dummies-conf :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
where add-dummies-conf l ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) = ( $\Gamma$ , e, S @ map Dummy (rev l))

```

```

fun conf-transform :: tstate  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
where conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) c = add-dummies-conf r ((a-transform (ae, a, as)

```

(*restr-conf* ($- \text{ set } r$) c)))

inductive *consistent* :: *tstate* \Rightarrow *conf* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

consistentI[*intro!*]:

a-consistent (*ae*, *a*, *as*) (*restr-conf* ($- \text{ set } r$) (Γ , *e*, *S*))

\Rightarrow *edom* *ae* = *edom* *ce*

\Rightarrow *prognosis* *ae as a* (Γ , *e*, *S*) \sqsubseteq *ce*

\Rightarrow ($\bigwedge x. x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{many } \sqsubseteq \text{ ce } x \Rightarrow \text{ae } x = \text{up} \cdot 0$)

$\Rightarrow \text{set } r \subseteq (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) - \text{edom } \text{ce}$

$\Rightarrow \text{consistent } (\text{ae}, \text{ce}, \text{a}, \text{as}, r) (\Gamma, e, S)$

inductive-cases *consistentE*[*elim!*]: *consistent* (*ae*, *ce*, *a*, *as*) (Γ , *e*, *S*)

lemma *closed-consistent*:

assumes *fv* *e* = ($\{\}$::*var set*)

shows *consistent* (\perp , \perp , 0 , \square , \square) (\square , *e*, \square)

proof–

from *assms*

have *edom* (*prognosis* $\perp \square 0$ (\square , *e*, \square)) = $\{\}$

by (*auto dest!*: *subsetD*[*OF edom-prognosis*])

thus *?thesis*

by (*auto simp add: edom-empty-iff-bot closed-a-consistent*[*OF assms*])

qed

lemma *card-arity-transform-safe*:

fixes *c c'*

assumes $c \Rightarrow^* c'$ **and** $\neg \text{boring-step } c'$ **and** *heap-upds-ok-conf* *c* **and** *consistent* (*ae*, *ce*, *a*, *as*, *r*)

c **shows** $\exists \text{ae}' \text{ce}' \text{a}' \text{as}' \text{r}'. \text{consistent } (\text{ae}', \text{ce}', \text{a}', \text{as}', \text{r}') \text{ c}' \wedge \text{conf-transform } (\text{ae}, \text{ce}, \text{a}, \text{as}, \text{r}) \text{ c} \Rightarrow_G^* \text{conf-transform } (\text{ae}', \text{ce}', \text{a}', \text{as}', \text{r}') \text{ c}'$

using *assms*(1,2) *heap-upds-ok-invariant* *assms*(3–)

proof(*induction c c'* *arbitrary: ae ce a as r rule:step-invariant-induction*)

case (*app*₁ Γ *e x S*)

have *prognosis* *ae as* (*inc*·*a*) (Γ , *e*, *Arg x* # *S*) \sqsubseteq *prognosis* *ae as a* (Γ , *App e x*, *S*) **by** (*rule prognosis-App*)

with *app*₁ **have** *consistent* (*ae*, *ce*, *inc*·*a*, *as*, *r*) (Γ , *e*, *Arg x* # *S*)

by (*auto intro: a-consistent-app*₁ *elim: below-trans*)

moreover

have *conf-transform* (*ae*, *ce*, *a*, *as*, *r*) (Γ , *App e x*, *S*) \Rightarrow_G *conf-transform* (*ae*, *ce*, *inc*·*a*, *as*, *r*) (Γ , *e*, *Arg x* # *S*)

by *simp rule*

ultimately

show *?case* **by** (*blast del: consistentI consistentE*)

next

case (*app*₂ Γ *y e x S*)

have *prognosis* *ae as* (*pred*·*a*) (Γ , *e*[*y*::=*x*], *S*) \sqsubseteq *prognosis* *ae as a* (Γ , (*Lam* [*y*]. *e*), *Arg x* # *S*)

by (*rule prognosis-subst-Lam*)

then

have *consistent* (*ae*, *ce*, *pred*·*a*, *as*, *r*) (Γ , *e*[*y*::=*x*], *S*) **using** *app*₂


```

    by (auto 4 3 intro: a-consistent-app2 elim: below-trans)
  moreover
    have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae,
ce, pred · a, as, r) (Γ, e[y::=x], S) by (simp add: subst-transform[symmetric]) rule
    ultimately
    show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
  next
  case (thunk Γ x e S)
    hence x ∈ thunks Γ by auto
    hence [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ by (rule subsetD[OF thunks-domA])

    from thunk have prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) ⊆ ce by auto
    from below-trans[OF prognosis-called fun-belowD[OF this] ]
    have [simp]: x ∈ edom ce by (auto simp add: edom-def)
    hence [simp]: x ∉ set r using thunk by auto

    from ⟨heap-upds-ok-conf (Γ, Var x, S)⟩
    have x ∉ upds S by (auto dest!: heap-upds-okE)

    have x ∈ edom ae using thunk by auto
    then obtain u where ae x = up·u by (cases ae x) (auto simp add: edom-def)

  show ?case
  proof(cases ce x rule:two-cases)
    case none
      with ⟨x ∈ edom ce⟩ have False by (auto simp add: edom-def)
      thus ?thesis..
    next
    case once
      from ⟨prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) ⊆ ce⟩
      have prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) x ⊆ once
        using once by (metis (mono-tags) fun-belowD)
      hence x ∉ ap S using prognosis-ap[of ae as a Γ (Var x) S] by auto

      from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ ⟨¬ isVal e⟩
      have *: prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊆ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a
(Γ, Var x, S))
        by (rule prognosis-Var-thunk)

      from ⟨prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) x ⊆ once⟩
      have (record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))) x = none
        by (simp add: two-pred-none)
      hence **: prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) x = none using fun-belowD[OF *,
where x = x] by auto

      have eq: prognosis (env-delete x ae) as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) = prognosis ae as u

```

```

(delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)
  by (rule prognosis-env-cong) simp

have [simp]: restr-stack (- set r - {x}) S = restr-stack (- set r) S
  using  $\langle x \notin \text{upds } S \rangle$  by (auto intro: restr-stack-cong)

have prognosis (env-delete x ae) as u (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  env-delete x ce
  unfolding eq
  using ** below-trans[OF below-trans[OF * Cfun.monofun-cfun-arg[OF  $\langle \text{prognosis } ae \text{ as } a (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \sqsubseteq ce \rangle]$  record-call-below-arg]]
  by (rule below-env-deleteI)
moreover

have *: a-consistent (env-delete x ae, u, as) (delete x (restrictA (- set r)  $\Gamma$ ), e, restr-stack
(- set r) S)
  using thunk  $\langle ae \ x = up \cdot u \rangle$ 
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-thunk-once simp del: restr-delete)
ultimately

have consistent (env-delete x ae, env-delete x ce, u, as, x # r) (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)
using thunk
  by (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist Compl-insert elim:below-trans )
moreover

from *
  have **: Astack (transform-alts as (restr-stack (- set r) S) @ map Dummy (rev r) @
[Dummy x])  $\sqsubseteq$  u by (auto elim: a-consistent-stackD)

{
  from  $\langle \text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \rangle \langle ae \ x = up \cdot u \rangle$  once
  have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA
(- set r)  $\Gamma$ ))) x = Some (Aeta-expand u (transform u e))
    by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
  hence conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)  $\Rightarrow_G$ 
    add-dummies-conf r (delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTrans-
form ae (restrictA (- set r)  $\Gamma$ ))), Aeta-expand u (ccTransform u e), Upd x # transform-alts as
(restr-stack (- set r) S))
    by (auto simp add: map-transform-delete delete-map-transform-env-delete insert-absorb
restr-delete-twist simp del: restr-delete)
  also
    have ...  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  add-dummies-conf (x # r) (delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae
(map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA (- set r)  $\Gamma$ ))), Aeta-expand u (ccTransform u e),
transform-alts as (restr-stack (- set r) S))
      apply (rule r-into-rtranclp)
      apply (simp add: append-assoc[symmetric] del: append-assoc)
      apply (rule dropUpd)
    done
  also
    have ...  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  add-dummies-conf (x # r) (delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae

```

```

(map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA (- set r)  $\Gamma$ ))), ccTransform u e, transform-alts as
(restr-stack (- set r) S))
  by simp (intro normal-trans Aeta-expand-safe **)
  also(rtrancpl-trans)
  have ... = conf-transform (env-delete x ae, env-delete x ce, u, as, x # r) (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e,
Upd x # S)
    by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong simp add: map-transform-delete[symmetric] restr-
delete-twist Compl-insert)
  finally(back-subst)
  have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  conf-transform (env-delete x ae,
env-delete x ce, u, as, x # r) (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S).
}
ultimately
show ?thesis by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)

next
case many

from  $\langle \text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \rangle \langle ae \ x = up \cdot u \rangle \langle \neg \text{isVal } e \rangle$ 
have prognosis ae as u (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  record-call x · (prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ ,
Var x, S))
  by (rule prognosis-Var-thunk)
also note record-call-below-arg
finally
have *: prognosis ae as u (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S) by
this simp-all

have ae x = up·0 using thunk many  $\langle x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \rangle$  by (auto)
hence u = 0 using  $\langle ae \ x = up \cdot u \rangle$  by simp

have prognosis ae as 0 (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ce using *[unfolded  $\langle u=0 \rangle$ ] thunk by
(auto elim: below-trans)
moreover
have a-consistent (ae, 0, as) (delete x (restrictA (- set r)  $\Gamma$ ), e, Upd x # restr-stack (-
set r) S) using thunk  $\langle ae \ x = up \cdot 0 \rangle$ 
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-thunk-0 simp del: restr-delete)
ultimately
have consistent (ae, ce, 0, as, r) (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S) using thunk  $\langle ae \ x = up \cdot u \rangle$ 
 $\langle u = 0 \rangle$ 
  by (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist)
moreover

from  $\langle \text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \rangle \langle ae \ x = up \cdot 0 \rangle$  many
have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA
(- set r)  $\Gamma$ ))) x = Some (transform 0 e)
  by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
with  $\langle \neg \text{isVal } e \rangle$ 
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)  $\Rightarrow_G$  conf-transform (ae, ce, 0, as, r)

```

```

(delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)
  by (auto intro: gc-step.intros simp add: map-transform-delete restr-delete-twist intro!:
step.intros simp del: restr-delete)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
qed
next
case (lamvar  $\Gamma$  x e S)
  from lamvar(1) have [simp]:  $x \in \text{dom} A \ \Gamma$  by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)

  from lamvar have prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ce by auto
  from below-trans[OF prognosis-called fun-belowD[OF this] ]
  have [simp]:  $x \in \text{edom} \ ce$  by (auto simp add: edom-def)
  then obtain c where ce x = up·c by (cases ce x) (auto simp add: edom-def)

  from lamvar
  have [simp]:  $x \notin \text{set } r$  by auto

  then have  $x \in \text{edom} \ ae$  using lamvar by auto
  then obtain u where ae x = up·u by (cases ae x) (auto simp add: edom-def)

  have prognosis ae as u ((x, e) # delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, S) = prognosis ae as u ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)
    using <map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e> by (auto intro!: prognosis-reorder)
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  record-call x · (prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S))
    using <map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e> <ae x = up·u> <isVal e> by (rule prognosis-Var-lam)
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S) by (rule record-call-below-arg)
  finally have *: prognosis ae as u ((x, e) # delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Var x,
S) by this simp-all
  moreover
  have a-consistent (ae, u, as) ((x,e) # delete x (restrictA (– set r)  $\Gamma$ ), e, restr-stack (– set
r) S) using lamvar <ae x = up·u>
    by (auto intro!: a-consistent-lamvar simp del: restr-delete)
  ultimately
  have consistent (ae, ce, u, as, r) ((x, e) # delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, S)
    using lamvar edom-mono[OF *] by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons restr-delete-twist elim:
below-trans)
  moreover

  from <a-consistent - ->
  have **: Astack (transform-alts as (restr-stack (– set r) S) @ map Dummy (rev r))  $\sqsubseteq$  u by
(auto elim: a-consistent-stackD)

  {
  from <isVal e>
  have isVal (transform u e) by simp
  hence isVal (Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) by (rule isVal-Aeta-expand)
  moreover
  from <map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e> <ae x = up·u> <ce x = up·c> <isVal (transform u e)>

```

```

have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (– set
r)  $\Gamma$ )))  $x = \text{Some } (\text{Aeta-expand } u \text{ (transform } u \text{ } e))$ 
  by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
ultimately
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , Var  $x$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow_{G^*}$ 
  add-dummies-conf r (( $x$ , Aeta-expand  $u$  (transform  $u$   $e$ )) # delete  $x$  (map-transform
Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (– set  $r$ )  $\Gamma$ ))), Aeta-expand  $u$  (transform
 $u$   $e$ ), transform-alts as (restr-stack (– set  $r$ )  $S$ ))
  by (auto intro!: normal-trans[OF lambda-var] simp add: map-transform-delete simp del:
restr-delete)
also have ... = add-dummies-conf r ((map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform trans-
form ae (( $x$ ,  $e$ ) # delete  $x$  (restrictA (– set  $r$ )  $\Gamma$ ))), Aeta-expand  $u$  (transform  $u$   $e$ ), trans-
form-alts as (restr-stack (– set  $r$ )  $S$ ))
  using  $\langle ae \ x = up \cdot u \rangle \langle ce \ x = up \cdot c \rangle \langle isVal \text{ (transform } u \text{ } e) \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: map-transform-Cons map-transform-delete restr-delete-twist del: restr-delete)
also(subst[rotated]) have ...  $\Rightarrow_{G^*}$  conf-transform (ae, ce,  $u$ , as,  $r$ ) (( $x$ ,  $e$ ) # delete  $x$   $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,
 $S$ )
  by (simp add: restr-delete-twist) (rule normal-trans[OF Aeta-expand-safe[OF **]])
finally(rtranclp-trans)
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as,  $r$ ) ( $\Gamma$ , Var  $x$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow_{G^*}$  conf-transform (ae, ce,  $u$ , as,  $r$ )
(( $x$ ,  $e$ ) # delete  $x$   $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ ).
}
ultimately show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (var2  $\Gamma$   $x$   $e$   $S$ )
show ?case
proof(cases  $x \in \text{set } r$ )
  case [simp]: False

    from var2
    have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA (– set  $r$ )  $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ , Upd  $x$  # restr-stack (– set  $r$ )  $S$ ) by
auto
    from a-consistent-UpdD[OF this]
    have ae  $x = up \cdot 0$  and  $a = 0$ .

    from  $\langle isVal \ e \rangle \langle x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \rangle$ 
    have *: prognosis ae as 0 (( $x$ ,  $e$ ) #  $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as 0 ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ , Upd  $x$  #  $S$ ) by
(rule prognosis-Var2)
    moreover
    have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (( $x$ ,  $e$ ) # restrictA (– set  $r$ )  $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ , restr-stack (– set  $r$ )  $S$ )
      using var2 by (auto intro!: a-consistent-var2)
    ultimately
    have consistent (ae, ce, 0, as,  $r$ ) (( $x$ ,  $e$ ) #  $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )
      using var2  $\langle a = 0 \rangle$ 
      by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons elim: below-trans)
    moreover
    have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as,  $r$ ) ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ , Upd  $x$  #  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow_G$  conf-transform (ae, ce, 0, as,
 $r$ ) (( $x$ ,  $e$ ) #  $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )
      using  $\langle ae \ x = up \cdot 0 \rangle \langle a = 0 \rangle$  var2

```

```

    by (auto intro: gc-step.intros simp add: map-transform-Cons)
    ultimately show ?thesis by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case True
  hence ce x =  $\perp$  using var2 by (auto simp add: edom-def)
  hence x  $\notin$  edom ce by (simp add: edomIff)
  hence x  $\notin$  edom ae using var2 by auto
  hence [simp]: ae x =  $\perp$  by (auto simp add: edom-def)

  note  $\langle x \in \text{set } r \rangle$ [simp]

  have prognosis ae as a ((x, e) #  $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ((x, e) #  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)
by (rule prognosis-upd)
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a (delete x ((x,e) #  $\Gamma$ ), e, Upd x # S)
    using  $\langle ae\ x = \perp \rangle$  by (rule prognosis-not-called)
  also have delete x ((x,e) #  $\Gamma$ ) =  $\Gamma$  using  $\langle x \notin \text{dom } A\ \Gamma \rangle$  by simp
  finally
  have *: prognosis ae as a ((x, e) #  $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S) by this
simp
  then
  have consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) ((x, e) #  $\Gamma$ , e, S) using var2
    by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons elim:below-trans a-consistent-var2)
  moreover
  have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S) = conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as,
r) ((x, e) #  $\Gamma$ , e, S)
    by (auto simp add: map-transform-restrA[symmetric])
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (fastforce del: consistentI consistentE simp del:conf-transform.simps)
qed
next
  case (let1  $\Delta$   $\Gamma$  e S)
  let ?ae = Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a
  let ?ce = cHeap  $\Delta$  e·a

  have domA  $\Delta \cap \text{upds } S = \{\}$  using fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)] by (auto dest: subsetD[OF
ups-fv-subset])
  hence *:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{upds } S \implies x \notin \text{edom } ?ae$  by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest!: subsetD[OF
edom-Aheap])
  have restr-stack-simp2: restr-stack (edom (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae)) S = restr-stack (edom ae) S
    by (auto intro: restr-stack-cong dest!: *)

  have edom ce = edom ae using let1 by auto

  have edom ae  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$  using let1 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-edom-subsetD)
  from subsetD[OF this] fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
  have edom ae  $\cap$  domA  $\Delta = \{\}$  by (auto dest: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])

  from  $\langle \text{edom } ae \cap \text{domA } \Delta = \{\} \rangle$ 
  have [simp]: edom (Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a)  $\cap$  edom ae =  $\{\}$  by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])

```

```

from fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
have [simp]: restrictA (edom ae  $\cup$  edom (Aheap  $\Delta$  e.a))  $\Gamma$  = restrictA (edom ae)  $\Gamma$ 
  by (auto intro: restrictA-cong dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])

have set r  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma \cup$  upds S using let1 by auto
have [simp]: restrictA ( $-$  set r)  $\Delta$  =  $\Delta$ 
  apply (rule restrictA-noop)
  apply auto
  by (metis IntI UnE  $\langle$ set r  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma \cup$  upds S $\rangle$   $\langle$ domA  $\Delta \cap$  domA  $\Gamma$  =  $\{\}$  $\rangle$   $\langle$ domA  $\Delta \cap$ 
upds S =  $\{\}$  $\rangle$  contra-subsetD empty-iff)

{
have edom (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae) = edom (?ce  $\sqcup$  ce)
  using let1(4) by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap)
moreover
{ fix x e'
  assume x  $\in$  thunks  $\Gamma$ 
  hence x  $\notin$  edom ?ce using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
  by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap] subsetD[OF thunks-domA])
  hence [simp]: ?ce x =  $\perp$  unfolding edomIff by auto

  assume many  $\sqsubseteq$  (?ce  $\sqcup$  ce) x
  with let1  $\langle$ x  $\in$  thunks  $\Gamma$  $\rangle$ 
  have (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae) x = up  $\cdot$   $0$  by auto
}
moreover
{ fix x e'
  assume x  $\in$  thunks  $\Delta$ 
  hence x  $\notin$  domA  $\Gamma$  and x  $\notin$  upds S
    using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
    by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF thunks-domA] subsetD[OF upds-fv-subset])
  hence x  $\notin$  edom ce using  $\langle$ edom ae  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma \cup$  upds S $\rangle$   $\langle$ edom ce = edom ae $\rangle$  by auto
  hence [simp]: ce x =  $\perp$  by (auto simp add: edomIff)

  assume many  $\sqsubseteq$  (?ce  $\sqcup$  ce) x with  $\langle$ x  $\in$  thunks  $\Delta$  $\rangle$ 
  have (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae) x = up  $\cdot$   $0$  by (auto simp add: Aheap-heap3)
}
moreover
{
from let1(1,2)  $\langle$ edom ae  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma \cup$  upds S $\rangle$ 
have prognosis (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae) as a ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ , e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ?ce  $\sqcup$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Let  $\Delta$  e, S) by
(rule prognosis-Let)
also have prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Let  $\Delta$  e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ce using let1 by auto
finally have prognosis (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae) as a ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ , e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ?ce  $\sqcup$  ce by this simp
}
moreover

```

```

have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA ( $- \text{ set } r$ )  $\Gamma$ , Let  $\Delta$  e, restr-stack ( $- \text{ set } r$ ) S)
  using let1 by auto
hence a-consistent ( $?ae \sqcup ae$ , a, as) ( $\Delta @ \text{ restrictA } (- \text{ set } r) \Gamma$ , e, restr-stack ( $- \text{ set } r$ )
S)
  using let1(1,2)  $\langle \text{edom } ae \cap \text{domA } \Delta = \{\} \rangle$ 
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-let simp del: join-comm)
hence a-consistent ( $?ae \sqcup ae$ , a, as) (restrictA ( $- \text{ set } r$ ) ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ ), e, restr-stack ( $- \text{ set } r$ )
S)
  by (simp add: restrictA-append)
moreover
have  $\text{set } r \subseteq (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) - \text{edom } ce$  using let1 by auto
hence  $\text{set } r \subseteq (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) - \text{edom } (?ce \sqcup ce)$ 
  apply (rule order-trans)
  using  $\langle \text{domA } \Delta \cap \text{domA } \Gamma = \{\} \rangle \langle \text{domA } \Delta \cap \text{upds } S = \{\} \rangle$ 
  apply (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
  done
ultimately
have consistent ( $?ae \sqcup ae$ ,  $?ce \sqcup ce$ , a, as, r) ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ , e, S) by auto
}
moreover
{
  have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{edom } ?ae \bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{edom } ?ce$ 
    using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
    by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
    hence map-transform Aeta-expand ( $?ae \sqcup ae$ ) (map-transform transform ( $?ae \sqcup ae$ )
(restrictA ( $- \text{ set } r$ )  $\Gamma$ ))
      = map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA ( $- \text{ set } r$ )  $\Gamma$ ))
      by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
  moreover

    from  $\langle \text{edom } ae \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S \rangle \langle \text{edom } ce = \text{edom } ae \rangle$ 
    have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } \Delta \implies x \notin \text{edom } ce$  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } \Delta \implies x \notin \text{edom } ae$ 
      using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-ups[OF let1(2)] by auto
      hence map-transform Aeta-expand ( $?ae \sqcup ae$ ) (map-transform transform ( $?ae \sqcup ae$ )
(restrictA ( $- \text{ set } r$ )  $\Delta$ ))
        = map-transform Aeta-expand ?ae (map-transform transform ?ae (restrictA ( $- \text{ set } r$ )
 $\Delta$ ))
        by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
    moreover

    from  $\langle \text{domA } \Delta \cap \text{domA } \Gamma = \{\} \rangle \langle \text{domA } \Delta \cap \text{upds } S = \{\} \rangle$ 
    have atom ‘domA  $\Delta \#* \text{ set } r$ ’
      by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-at-base fresh-finite-set-at-base dest!: subsetD[OF
 $\langle \text{set } r \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S \rangle$ ])
      hence atom ‘domA  $\Delta \#* \text{ map Dummy } (\text{rev } r)$ ’
      apply –
      apply (rule eqvt-fresh-star-cong1[where f = map Dummy], perm-simp, rule)
      apply (rule eqvt-fresh-star-cong1[where f = rev], perm-simp, rule)
      apply (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-set)

```



```

    done
  ultimately

  have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⇒G conf-transform (?ae ⊔ ae, ?ce
    ⊔ ce, a, as, r) (Δ @ Γ, e, S)
    using restr-stack-simp2 let1(1,2) ⟨edom ce = edom ae⟩
  apply (auto simp add: map-transform-append restrictA-append edom-cHeap restr-stack-simp2[simplified]
)
    apply (rule normal)
    apply (rule step.let1)
  apply (auto intro: normal step.let1 dest: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap] simp add: fresh-star-list)
  done
}
ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (if1 Γ scrut e1 e2 S)
have prognosis ae as a (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) ⊆ ce using if1 by auto
hence prognosis ae (a#as) 0 (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⊆ ce
  by (rule below-trans[OF prognosis-IfThenElse])
hence consistent (ae, ce, 0, a#as, r) (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
  using if1 by (auto dest: a-consistent-if1)
moreover
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae, ce, 0,
a#as, r) (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
  by (auto intro: normal step.intros)
ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (if2 Γ b e1 e2 S)
hence a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA (− set r) Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # restr-stack (−set
r) S) by auto
then obtain a' as' where [simp]: as = a' # as' a = 0
  by (rule a-consistent-alts-on-stack)

{
  have prognosis ae (a'#as') 0 (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⊆ ce using if2 by auto
  hence prognosis ae as' a' (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S) ⊆ ce by (rule below-trans[OF progn-
sis-Alts])
  then
  have consistent (ae, ce, a', as', r) (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S)
    using if2 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-if2)
}
moreover
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae,
ce, a', as', r) (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S)
  by (auto intro: normal step.if2[where b = True, simplified] step.if2[where b = False,
simplified])

```

```

ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case refl thus ?case by force
next
case (trans c c' c'')
  from trans(3)[OF trans(5)]
  obtain ae' ce' a' as' r'
  where consistent (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' and *: conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) c  $\Rightarrow_{G^*}$ 
conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' by blast
  from trans(4)[OF this(1)]
  obtain ae'' ce'' a'' as'' r''
  where consistent (ae'', ce'', a'', as'', r'') c'' and **: conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r')
c'  $\Rightarrow_{G^*}$  conf-transform (ae'', ce'', a'', as'', r'') c'' by blast
  from this(1) rtrancpl-trans[OF * **]
  show ?case by blast
qed
end

end

```

9 Trace Trees

9.1 TTree

```

theory TTree
imports Main ConstOn List-Interleavings
begin

```

9.1.1 Prefix-closed sets of lists

definition *downset* :: 'a list set \Rightarrow bool **where**
downset xss = $(\forall x n. x \in xss \longrightarrow \text{take } n \ x \in xss)$

lemma *downsetE[elim]*:
 $\text{downset } xss \Longrightarrow xs \in xss \Longrightarrow \text{butlast } xs \in xss$
by (auto simp add: downset-def butlast-conv-take)

lemma *downset-appendE[elim]*:
 $\text{downset } xss \Longrightarrow xs @ ys \in xss \Longrightarrow xs \in xss$
by (auto simp add: downset-def) (metis append-eq-conv-conj)

lemma *downset-hdE[elim]*:
 $\text{downset } xss \Longrightarrow xs \in xss \Longrightarrow xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow [\text{hd } xs] \in xss$
by (auto simp add: downset-def) (metis take-0 take-Suc)

lemma *downsetI[intro]*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge xs. xs \in xss \implies xs \neq [] \implies butlast\ xs \in xss$ 
shows downset xss
unfolding downset-def
proof(intro impI allI )
  from assms
  have butlast:  $\bigwedge xs. xs \in xss \implies butlast\ xs \in xss$ 
    by (metis butlast.simps(1))

fix xs n
assume xs  $\in$  xss
show take n xs  $\in$  xss
proof(cases n  $\leq$  length xs)
case True
  from this
  show ?thesis
  proof(induction rule: inc-induct)
    case base with  $\langle xs \in xss \rangle$  show ?case by simp
  next
    case (step n')
      from butlast[OF step.IH] step(2)
      show ?case by (simp add: butlast-take)
  qed
next
case False with  $\langle xs \in xss \rangle$  show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma [simp]: downset  $\{[]\}$  by auto

lemma downset-mapI: downset xss  $\implies$  downset (map f ' xss)
  by (fastforce simp add: map-butlast[symmetric])

lemma downset-filter:
  assumes downset xss
  shows downset (filter P ' xss)
proof(rule, elim imageE, clarsimp)
  fix xs
  assume xs  $\in$  xss
  thus butlast (filter P xs)  $\in$  filter P ' xss
  proof (induction xs rule: rev-induct)
    case Nil thus ?case by force
  next
    case snoc
    thus ?case using  $\langle downset\ xss \rangle$  by (auto intro: snoc.IH)
  qed
qed

lemma downset-set-subset:
  downset ( $\{xs. set\ xs \subseteq S\}$ )

```

by (*auto dest: in-set-butlastD*)

9.1.2 The type of infinite labeled trees

typedef 'a *ttree* = {*xss* :: 'a list set . [] ∈ *xss* ∧ downset *xss*} **by** *auto*

setup-lifting *type-definition-ttree*

9.1.3 Deconstructors

lift-definition *possible* :: 'a *ttree* ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
is λ *xss* *x*. ∃ *xs*. *x*#*xs* ∈ *xss*.

lift-definition *nxt* :: 'a *ttree* ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a *ttree*
is λ *xss* *x*. *insert* [] {*xs* | *xs*. *x*#*xs* ∈ *xss*}
by (*auto simp add: downset-def take-Suc-Cons[symmetric] simp del: take-Suc-Cons*)

9.1.4 Trees as set of paths

lift-definition *paths* :: 'a *ttree* ⇒ 'a list set **is** (λ *x*. *x*).

lemma *paths-inj*: *paths* *t* = *paths* *t'* ⇒ *t* = *t'* **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *paths-injs-simps[simp]*: *paths* *t* = *paths* *t'* ⇔ *t* = *t'* **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *paths-Nil[simp]*: [] ∈ *paths* *t* **by** *transfer simp*

lemma *paths-not-empty[simp]*: (*paths* *t* = {}) ⇔ False **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *paths-Cons-nxt*:
possible *t* *x* ⇒ *xs* ∈ *paths* (*nxt* *t* *x*) ⇒ (*x*#*xs*) ∈ *paths* *t*
by *transfer auto*

lemma *paths-Cons-nxt-iff*:
possible *t* *x* ⇒ *xs* ∈ *paths* (*nxt* *t* *x*) ⇔ (*x*#*xs*) ∈ *paths* *t*
by *transfer auto*

lemma *possible-mono*:
paths *t* ⊆ *paths* *t'* ⇒ *possible* *t* *x* ⇒ *possible* *t'* *x*
by *transfer auto*

lemma *nxt-mono*:
paths *t* ⊆ *paths* *t'* ⇒ *paths* (*nxt* *t* *x*) ⊆ *paths* (*nxt* *t'* *x*)
by *transfer auto*

lemma *tree-eqI*: (∧ *x* *xs*. *x*#*xs* ∈ *paths* *t* ⇔ *x*#*xs* ∈ *paths* *t'*) ⇒ *t* = *t'*
apply (*rule paths-inj*)
apply (*rule set-eqI*)
apply (*case-tac* *x*)
apply *auto*

done

lemma *paths-nxt[elim]*:

assumes $xs \in \text{paths } (nxt \ t \ x)$

obtains $x \# xs \in \text{paths } t \mid xs = []$

using *assms* **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *Cons-path*: $x \# xs \in \text{paths } t \longleftrightarrow \text{possible } t \ x \wedge xs \in \text{paths } (nxt \ t \ x)$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *Cons-pathI[intro]*:

assumes $\text{possible } t \ x \longleftrightarrow \text{possible } t' \ x$

assumes $\text{possible } t \ x \implies \text{possible } t' \ x \implies xs \in \text{paths } (nxt \ t \ x) \longleftrightarrow xs \in \text{paths } (nxt \ t' \ x)$

shows $x \# xs \in \text{paths } t \longleftrightarrow x \# xs \in \text{paths } t'$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: Cons-path*)

lemma *paths-nxt-eq*: $xs \in \text{paths } (nxt \ t \ x) \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \vee x \# xs \in \text{paths } t$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *ttree-coinduct*:

assumes $P \ t \ t'$

assumes $\bigwedge t \ t' \ x . P \ t \ t' \implies \text{possible } t \ x \longleftrightarrow \text{possible } t' \ x$

assumes $\bigwedge t \ t' \ x . P \ t \ t' \implies \text{possible } t \ x \implies \text{possible } t' \ x \implies P \ (nxt \ t \ x) \ (nxt \ t' \ x)$

shows $t = t'$

proof(*rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI*)

fix *xs*

from *assms*(1)

show $xs \in \text{paths } t \longleftrightarrow xs \in \text{paths } t'$

proof (*induction xs arbitrary: t t'*)

case Nil thus ?case **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons x xs t t'*)

show *?case*

proof (*rule Cons-pathI*)

from $\langle P \ t \ t' \rangle$

show $\text{possible } t \ x \longleftrightarrow \text{possible } t' \ x$ **by** (*rule assms*(2))

next

assume $\text{possible } t \ x$ **and** $\text{possible } t' \ x$

with $\langle P \ t \ t' \rangle$

have $P \ (nxt \ t \ x) \ (nxt \ t' \ x)$ **by** (*rule assms*(3))

thus $xs \in \text{paths } (nxt \ t \ x) \longleftrightarrow xs \in \text{paths } (nxt \ t' \ x)$ **by** (*rule Cons.IH*)

qed

qed

qed

9.1.5 The carrier of a tree

lift-definition *carrier* :: $'a \ \text{tree} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}$ **is** $\lambda \ xss. \bigcup (\text{set } 'xss).$

lemma *carrier-mono*: $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } t' \implies \text{carrier } t \subseteq \text{carrier } t'$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *carrier-possible*:
 $\text{possible } t \ x \implies x \in \text{carrier } t$ **by** *transfer force*

lemma *carrier-possible-subset*:
 $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A \implies \text{possible } t \ x \implies x \in A$ **by** *transfer force*

lemma *carrier-nxt-subset*:
 $\text{carrier } (\text{nxt } t \ x) \subseteq \text{carrier } t$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *Union-paths-carrier*: $(\bigcup x \in \text{paths } t. \text{set } x) = \text{carrier } t$
by *transfer auto*

9.1.6 Repeatable trees

definition *repeatable* **where** $\text{repeatable } t = (\forall x. \text{possible } t \ x \longrightarrow \text{nxt } t \ x = t)$

lemma *nxt-repeatable[simp]*: $\text{repeatable } t \implies \text{possible } t \ x \implies \text{nxt } t \ x = t$
unfolding *repeatable-def* **by** *auto*

9.1.7 Simple trees

lift-definition *empty* :: $'a \text{ tree}$ **is** $\{\}\}$ **by** *auto*

lemma *possible-empty[simp]*: $\text{possible } \text{empty } x' \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *nxt-not-possible[simp]*: $\neg \text{possible } t \ x \implies \text{nxt } t \ x = \text{empty}$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *paths-empty[simp]*: $\text{paths } \text{empty} = \{\}\}$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *carrier-empty[simp]*: $\text{carrier } \text{empty} = \{\}$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *repeatable-empty[simp]*: $\text{repeatable } \text{empty}$ **unfolding** *repeatable-def* **by** *transfer auto*

lift-definition *single* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree}$ **is** $\lambda x. \{\}, [x]\}$
by *auto*

lemma *possible-single[simp]*: $\text{possible } (\text{single } x) \ x' \longleftrightarrow x = x'$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *nxt-single[simp]*: $\text{nxt } (\text{single } x) \ x' = \text{empty}$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *carrier-single[simp]*: $\text{carrier } (\text{single } y) = \{y\}$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *paths-single*[simp]: $\text{paths } (\text{single } x) = \{\[], [x]\}$
by *transfer auto*

lift-definition *many-calls* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ttree}$ **is** $\lambda x. \text{range } (\lambda n. \text{replicate } n \ x)$
by (*auto simp add: downset-def*)

lemma *possible-many-calls*[simp]: $\text{possible } (\text{many-calls } x) \ x' \longleftrightarrow x = x'$
by *transfer (force simp add: Cons-replicate-eq)*

lemma *nxt-many-calls*[simp]: $\text{nxt } (\text{many-calls } x) \ x' = (\text{if } x' = x \text{ then many-calls } x \text{ else empty})$
by *transfer (force simp add: Cons-replicate-eq)*

lemma *repeatable-many-calls*: $\text{repeatable } (\text{many-calls } x)$
unfolding *repeatable-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *carrier-many-calls*[simp]: $\text{carrier } (\text{many-calls } x) = \{x\}$ **by** *transfer auto*

lift-definition *anything* :: $'a \text{ ttree}$ **is** *UNIV*
by *auto*

lemma *possible-anything*[simp]: $\text{possible anything } x' \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *nxt-anything*[simp]: $\text{nxt anything } x = \text{anything}$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *paths-anything*[simp]:
 $\text{paths anything} = \text{UNIV}$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *carrier-anything*[simp]:
 $\text{carrier anything} = \text{UNIV}$
apply (*auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric]*)
apply (*rule-tac x = [x] in exI*)
apply *simp*
done

lift-definition *many-among* :: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ttree}$ **is** $\lambda S. \{xs . \text{set } xs \subseteq S\}$
by (*auto intro: downset-set-subset*)

lemma *carrier-many-among*[simp]: $\text{carrier } (\text{many-among } S) = S$
by *transfer (auto, metis List.set-insert bot.extremum insertCI insert-subset list.set(1))*

9.1.8 Intersection of two trees

lift-definition *intersect* :: $'a \text{ ttree} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ttree} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ttree}$ (**infixl** \cap 80)
is (\cap)
by (*auto simp add: downset-def*)

lemma *paths-intersect*[simp]: $\text{paths } (t \cap t') = \text{paths } t \cap \text{paths } t'$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *carrier-intersect*: $\text{carrier } (t \cap t') \subseteq \text{carrier } t \cap \text{carrier } t'$
unfolding *Union-paths-carrier*[symmetric]
by *auto*

9.1.9 Disjoint union of trees

lift-definition *either* :: 'a ttree \Rightarrow 'a ttree **(infixl** $\oplus\oplus$ **80)**
is (\cup)
by (*auto simp add: downset-def*)

lemma *either-empty1*[simp]: $\text{empty } \oplus\oplus t = t$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *either-empty2*[simp]: $t \oplus\oplus \text{empty} = t$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *either-sym*[simp]: $t \oplus\oplus t2 = t2 \oplus\oplus t$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *either-idem*[simp]: $t \oplus\oplus t = t$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *possible-either*[simp]: $\text{possible } (t \oplus\oplus t') x \longleftrightarrow \text{possible } t x \vee \text{possible } t' x$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *nxt-either*[simp]: $\text{nxt } (t \oplus\oplus t') x = \text{nxt } t x \oplus\oplus \text{nxt } t' x$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *paths-either*[simp]: $\text{paths } (t \oplus\oplus t') = \text{paths } t \cup \text{paths } t'$
by *transfer simp*

lemma *carrier-either*[simp]:
 $\text{carrier } (t \oplus\oplus t') = \text{carrier } t \cup \text{carrier } t'$
by *transfer simp*

lemma *either-contains-arg1*: $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } (t \oplus\oplus t')$
by *transfer fastforce*

lemma *either-contains-arg2*: $\text{paths } t' \subseteq \text{paths } (t \oplus\oplus t')$
by *transfer fastforce*

lift-definition *Either* :: 'a ttree set \Rightarrow 'a ttree **is** $\lambda S. \text{insert } [] (\bigcup S)$
by (*auto simp add: downset-def*)

lemma *paths-Either*: $\text{paths } (\text{Either } ts) = \text{insert } [] (\bigcup (\text{paths } ` ts))$
by *transfer auto*

9.1.10 Merging of trees

lemma *ex-ex-eq-hint*: $(\exists x. (\exists xs\ ys. x = f\ xs\ ys \wedge P\ xs\ ys) \wedge Q\ x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs\ ys. Q\ (f\ xs\ ys) \wedge P\ xs\ ys)$

by *auto*

lift-definition *both* :: $'a\ ttree \Rightarrow 'a\ ttree \Rightarrow 'a\ ttree$ (**infixl** $\otimes\otimes$ 86)

is $\lambda\ xss\ yss. \bigcup \{xs \otimes ys \mid xs\ ys. xs \in xss \wedge ys \in yss\}$

by (*force simp: ex-ex-eq-hint dest: interleave-butlast*)

lemma *both-assoc[simp]*: $t \otimes\otimes (t' \otimes\otimes t'') = (t \otimes\otimes t') \otimes\otimes t''$

apply *transfer*

apply *auto*

apply (*metis interleave-assoc2*)

apply (*metis interleave-assoc1*)

done

lemma *both-comm*: $t \otimes\otimes t' = t' \otimes\otimes t$

by *transfer (auto, (metis interleave-comm)+)*

lemma *both-empty1[simp]*: $empty \otimes\otimes t = t$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *both-empty2[simp]*: $t \otimes\otimes empty = t$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *paths-both*: $xs \in paths\ (t \otimes\otimes t') \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ ys \in paths\ t. \exists\ zs \in paths\ t'. xs \in ys \otimes zs)$

by *transfer fastforce*

lemma *both-contains-arg1*: $paths\ t \subseteq paths\ (t \otimes\otimes t')$

by *transfer fastforce*

lemma *both-contains-arg2*: $paths\ t' \subseteq paths\ (t \otimes\otimes t')$

by *transfer fastforce*

lemma *both-mono1*:

$paths\ t \subseteq paths\ t' \implies paths\ (t \otimes\otimes t'') \subseteq paths\ (t' \otimes\otimes t'')$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *both-mono2*:

$paths\ t \subseteq paths\ t' \implies paths\ (t'' \otimes\otimes t) \subseteq paths\ (t'' \otimes\otimes t')$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *possible-both[simp]*: $possible\ (t \otimes\otimes t')\ x \longleftrightarrow possible\ t\ x \vee possible\ t'\ x$

proof

assume $possible\ (t \otimes\otimes t')\ x$

then obtain xs **where** $x\#xs \in paths\ (t \otimes\otimes t')$

by *transfer auto*

from $\langle x\#xs \in paths\ (t \otimes\otimes t') \rangle$

obtain $ys\ zs$ **where** $ys \in \text{paths } t$ **and** $zs \in \text{paths } t'$ **and** $x\#xs \in ys \otimes zs$
by *transfer auto*

from $\langle x\#xs \in ys \otimes zs \rangle$
have $ys \neq [] \wedge \text{hd } ys = x \vee zs \neq [] \wedge \text{hd } zs = x$
by (*auto elim: interleave-cases*)
thus $\text{possible } t\ x \vee \text{possible } t'\ x$
using $\langle ys \in \text{paths } t \rangle \quad \langle zs \in \text{paths } t' \rangle$
by *transfer auto*

next
assume $\text{possible } t\ x \vee \text{possible } t'\ x$
then obtain xs **where** $x\#xs \in \text{paths } t \vee x\#xs \in \text{paths } t'$
by *transfer auto*
from this have $x\#xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes t')$ **by** (*auto dest: subsetD[OF both-contains-arg1] subsetD[OF both-contains-arg2]*)
thus $\text{possible } (t \otimes t')\ x$ **by** *transfer auto*

qed

lemma *nxt-both*:
 $\text{nxt } (t' \otimes t)\ x = (\text{if possible } t'\ x \wedge \text{possible } t\ x \text{ then } \text{nxt } t'\ x \otimes t \oplus \oplus t' \otimes \text{nxt } t\ x \text{ else}$
 $\quad \text{if possible } t'\ x \text{ then } \text{nxt } t'\ x \otimes t \text{ else}$
 $\quad \text{if possible } t\ x \text{ then } t' \otimes \text{nxt } t\ x \text{ else}$
 $\quad \text{empty})$
by (*transfer, auto 4 4 intro: interleave-intros*)

lemma *Cons-both*:
 $x\#xs \in \text{paths } (t' \otimes t) \longleftrightarrow (\text{if possible } t'\ x \wedge \text{possible } t\ x \text{ then } xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t'\ x \otimes t)$
 $\vee xs \in \text{paths } (t' \otimes \text{nxt } t\ x) \text{ else}$
 $\quad \text{if possible } t'\ x \text{ then } xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t'\ x \otimes t) \text{ else}$
 $\quad \text{if possible } t\ x \text{ then } xs \in \text{paths } (t' \otimes \text{nxt } t\ x) \text{ else}$
 $\quad \text{False})$
apply (*auto simp add: paths-Cons-nxt-iff[symmetric] nxt-both*)
by (*metis paths.rep-eq possible.rep-eq possible-both*)

lemma *Cons-both-possible-leftE*: $\text{possible } t\ x \implies xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t\ x \otimes t') \implies x\#xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes t')$
by (*auto simp add: Cons-both*)

lemma *Cons-both-possible-rightE*: $\text{possible } t'\ x \implies xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes \text{nxt } t'\ x) \implies x\#xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes t')$
by (*auto simp add: Cons-both*)

lemma *either-both-distr[simp]*:
 $t' \otimes t \oplus \oplus t' \otimes t'' = t' \otimes (t \oplus \oplus t'')$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *either-both-distr2[simp]*:
 $t' \otimes t \oplus \oplus t'' \otimes t = (t' \oplus \oplus t'') \otimes t$
by *transfer auto*

```

lemma nxt-both-repeatable[simp]:
  assumes [simp]: repeatable  $t'$ 
  assumes [simp]: possible  $t' x$ 
  shows  $\text{nxt } (t' \otimes t) x = t' \otimes (t \oplus \oplus \text{nxt } t x)$ 
  by (auto simp add: nxt-both)

lemma nxt-both-many-calls[simp]:  $\text{nxt } (\text{many-calls } x \otimes t) x = \text{many-calls } x \otimes (t \oplus \oplus \text{nxt } t x)$ 
  by (simp add: repeatable-many-calls)

lemma repeatable-both-self[simp]:
  assumes [simp]: repeatable  $t$ 
  shows  $t \otimes t = t$ 
  apply (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
  apply (induct-tac x)
  apply (auto simp add: Cons-both paths-Cons-nxt-iff[symmetric])
  apply (metis Cons-both both-empty1 possible-empty) +
  done

lemma repeatable-both-both[simp]:
  assumes repeatable  $t$ 
  shows  $t \otimes t' \otimes t = t \otimes t'$ 
  by (metis repeatable-both-self[OF assms] both-assoc both-comm)

lemma repeatable-both-both2[simp]:
  assumes repeatable  $t$ 
  shows  $t' \otimes t \otimes t = t' \otimes t$ 
  by (metis repeatable-both-self[OF assms] both-assoc both-comm)

lemma repeatable-both-nxt:
  assumes repeatable  $t$ 
  assumes possible  $t' x$ 
  assumes  $t' \otimes t = t'$ 
  shows  $\text{nxt } t' x \otimes t = \text{nxt } t' x$ 
proof(rule classical)
  assume  $\text{nxt } t' x \otimes t \neq \text{nxt } t' x$ 
  hence  $(\text{nxt } t' x \oplus \oplus t') \otimes t \neq \text{nxt } t' x$  by (metis (no-types) assms(1) both-assoc repeat-able-both-self)
  thus  $\text{nxt } t' x \otimes t = \text{nxt } t' x$  by (metis (no-types) assms either-both-distr2 nxt-both nxt-repeatable)
qed

lemma repeatable-both-both-nxt:
  assumes  $t' \otimes t = t'$ 
  shows  $t' \otimes t'' \otimes t = t' \otimes t''$ 
  by (metis assms both-assoc both-comm)

lemma carrier-both[simp]:

```

```

  carrier (t  $\otimes$  t') = carrier t  $\cup$  carrier t'
proof-
{
  fix x
  assume x  $\in$  carrier (t  $\otimes$  t')
  then obtain xs where xs  $\in$  paths (t  $\otimes$  t') and x  $\in$  set xs by transfer auto
  then obtain ys zs where ys  $\in$  paths t and zs  $\in$  paths t' and xs  $\in$  interleave ys zs
    by (auto simp add: paths-both)
  from this(3) have set xs = set ys  $\cup$  set zs by (rule interleave-set)
  with  $\langle$ ys  $\in$   $\rightarrow$   $\langle$ zs  $\in$   $\rightarrow$   $\langle$ x  $\in$  set xs $\rangle$ 
  have x  $\in$  carrier t  $\cup$  carrier t' by transfer auto
}
moreover
note subsetD[OF carrier-mono[OF both-contains-arg1[where t=t and t' = t']]]
    subsetD[OF carrier-mono[OF both-contains-arg2[where t=t and t' = t']]]
ultimately
show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

9.1.11 Removing elements from a tree

```

lift-definition without :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree
is  $\lambda$  x xss. filter ( $\lambda$  x'. x'  $\neq$  x) ' xss
by (auto intro: downset-filter)(metis filter.simps(1) imageI)

```

```

lemma paths-withoutI:
  assumes xs  $\in$  paths t
  assumes x  $\notin$  set xs
  shows xs  $\in$  paths (without x t)
proof-
  from assms(2)
  have filter ( $\lambda$  x'. x'  $\neq$  x) xs = xs by (auto simp add: filter-id-conv)
  with assms(1)
  have xs  $\in$  filter ( $\lambda$  x'. x'  $\neq$  x) ' paths t by (metis imageI)
  thus ?thesis by transfer
qed

```

```

lemma carrier-without[simp]: carrier (without x t) = carrier t - {x}
  by transfer auto

```

```

lift-definition ttree-restr :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree
is  $\lambda$  S xss. filter ( $\lambda$  x'. x'  $\in$  S) ' xss
by (auto intro: downset-filter)(metis filter.simps(1) imageI)

```

```

lemma filter-paths-conv-free-restr:
  filter ( $\lambda$  x'. x'  $\in$  S) ' paths t = paths (ttree-restr S t) by transfer auto

```

```

lemma filter-paths-conv-free-restr2:
  filter ( $\lambda$  x'. x'  $\notin$  S) ' paths t = paths (ttree-restr (- S) t) by transfer auto

```

lemma *filter-paths-conv-free-without*:

filter ($\lambda x' . x' \neq y$) ‘ *paths* $t = \text{paths } (without\ y\ t)$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *tree-restr-is-empty*: $\text{carrier } t \cap S = \{\} \implies \text{tree-restr } S\ t = \text{empty}$

apply *transfer*

apply (*auto del: iffI*)

apply (*metis SUP-bot-conv(2) SUP-inf inf-commute inter-set-filter set-empty*)

apply *force*

done

lemma *tree-restr-noop*: $\text{carrier } t \subseteq S \implies \text{tree-restr } S\ t = t$

apply *transfer*

apply (*auto simp add: image-iff*)

apply (*metis SUP-le-iff contra-subsetD filter-True*)

apply (*rule-tac x = x in bexI*)

apply (*metis SUP-upper contra-subsetD filter-True*)

apply *assumption*

done

lemma *tree-restr-tree-restr[simp]*:

$\text{tree-restr } S\ (\text{tree-restr } S'\ t) = \text{tree-restr } (S' \cap S)\ t$

by *transfer (simp add: image-comp comp-def)*

lemma *tree-restr-both*:

$\text{tree-restr } S\ (t \otimes t') = \text{tree-restr } S\ t \otimes \text{tree-restr } S\ t'$

by (*force simp add: paths-both filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] intro: paths-inj filter-interleave elim: interleave-filter*)

lemma *tree-restr-nxt-subset*: $x \in S \implies \text{paths } (\text{tree-restr } S\ (\text{nxt } t\ x)) \subseteq \text{paths } (\text{nxt } (\text{tree-restr } S\ t)\ x)$

by *transfer (force simp add: image-iff)*

lemma *tree-restr-nxt-subset2*: $x \notin S \implies \text{paths } (\text{tree-restr } S\ (\text{nxt } t\ x)) \subseteq \text{paths } (\text{tree-restr } S\ t)$

apply *transfer*

apply *auto*

apply *force*

by (*metis filter.simps(2) imageI*)

lemma *tree-restr-possible*: $x \in S \implies \text{possible } t\ x \implies \text{possible } (\text{tree-restr } S\ t)\ x$

by *transfer force*

lemma *tree-restr-possible2*: $\text{possible } (\text{tree-restr } S\ t)\ x \implies x \in S$

by *transfer (auto, metis filter-eq-Cons-iff)*

lemma *carrier-tree-restr[simp]*:

$\text{carrier } (\text{tree-restr } S\ t) = S \cap \text{carrier } t$

by *transfer auto*

9.1.12 Multiple variables, each called at most once

lift-definition *singles* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a ttree **is** $\lambda S. \{xs. \forall x \in S. \text{length} (\text{filter} (\lambda x'. x' = x) xs) \leq 1\}$

```

apply auto
apply (rule downsetI)
apply auto
apply (subst (asm) append-butlast-last-id[symmetric]) back
apply simp
apply (subst (asm) filter-append)
apply auto
done

```

lemma *possible-singles[simp]*: *possible (singles S) x*

```

apply transfer'
apply (rule-tac x = [] in exI)
apply auto
done

```

lemma *length-filter-mono[intro]*:

```

assumes ( $\bigwedge x. P x \Longrightarrow Q x$ )
shows  $\text{length} (\text{filter } P xs) \leq \text{length} (\text{filter } Q xs)$ 
by (induction xs) (auto dest: assms)

```

lemma *nxt-singles[simp]*: *nxt (singles S) x' = (if $x' \in S$ then without x' (singles S) else singles S)*

```

apply transfer'
apply auto
apply (rule rev-image-eqI[where  $x = []$ , auto][1])
apply (rule-tac  $x = x$  in rev-image-eqI)
apply (simp, rule ballI, erule-tac  $x = xa$  in ballE, auto)[1]
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp add: filter-id-conv filter-empty-conv)[1]
apply (erule-tac  $x = xb$  in ballE)
apply (erule order-trans[rotated])
apply (rule length-filter-mono)
apply auto
done

```

lemma *carrier-singles[simp]*:

```

carrier (singles S) = UNIV
apply transfer
apply auto
apply (rule-tac  $x = [x]$  in exI)
apply auto
done

```

lemma *singles-mono*:

```

 $S \subseteq S' \Longrightarrow \text{paths} (\text{singles } S') \subseteq \text{paths} (\text{singles } S)$ 
by transfer auto

```

lemma *paths-many-calls-subset*:
 $paths\ t \subseteq paths\ (many-calls\ x \otimes \otimes\ without\ x\ t)$
proof
fix xs
assume $xs \in paths\ t$

have $filter\ (\lambda x'.\ x' = x)\ xs = replicate\ (length\ (filter\ (\lambda x'.\ x' = x)\ xs))\ x$
by (*induction xs*) *auto*
hence $filter\ (\lambda x'.\ x' = x)\ xs \in paths\ (many-calls\ x)$ **by** *transfer auto*
moreover
from $\langle xs \in paths\ t \rangle$
have $filter\ (\lambda x'.\ x' \neq x)\ xs \in paths\ (without\ x\ t)$ **by** *transfer auto*
moreover
have $xs \in interleave\ (filter\ (\lambda x'.\ x' = x)\ xs)\ (filter\ (\lambda x'.\ x' \neq x)\ xs)$ **by** (*rule interleave-filtered*)
ultimately show $xs \in paths\ (many-calls\ x \otimes \otimes\ without\ x\ t)$ **by** *transfer auto*
qed

9.1.13 Substituting trees for every node

definition $f\text{-}nxt :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a\ tree) \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a\ tree)$
where $f\text{-}nxt\ f\ T\ x = (if\ x \in T\ then\ f(x:=empty)\ else\ f)$

fun $substitute' :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a\ tree) \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $substitute'\text{-}Nil: substitute'\ f\ T\ t\ [] \longleftrightarrow True$
 $| substitute'\text{-}Cons: substitute'\ f\ T\ t\ (x\#\ xs) \longleftrightarrow$
 $possible\ t\ x \wedge substitute'\ (f\text{-}nxt\ f\ T\ x)\ T\ (nxt\ t\ x\ \otimes \otimes\ f\ x)\ xs$

lemma $f\text{-}nxt\text{-}mono1: (\bigwedge x. paths\ (f\ x) \subseteq paths\ (f'\ x)) \implies paths\ (f\text{-}nxt\ f\ T\ x\ x') \subseteq paths\ (f\text{-}nxt\ f'\ T\ x\ x')$
unfolding $f\text{-}nxt\text{-}def$ **by** *auto*

lemma $f\text{-}nxt\text{-}empty\text{-}set[simp]: f\text{-}nxt\ f\ \{\} x = f$ **by** (*simp add: f-nxt-def*)

lemma $downset\text{-}substitute: downset\ (Collect\ (substitute'\ f\ T\ t))$
apply (*rule*) **unfolding** $mem\text{-}Collect\text{-}eq$
proof—
fix x
assume $substitute'\ f\ T\ t\ x$
thus $substitute'\ f\ T\ t\ (butlast\ x)$ **by** (*induction t x rule: substitute'.induct*) (*auto*)
qed

lift-definition $substitute :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a\ tree) \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ tree \Rightarrow 'a\ tree$
is $\lambda f\ T\ t. Collect\ (substitute'\ f\ T\ t)$
by (*simp add: downset-substitute*)

lemma $elim\text{-}substitute'[pred\text{-}set\text{-}conv]: substitute'\ f\ T\ t\ xs \longleftrightarrow xs \in paths\ (substitute\ f\ T\ t)$ **by**

transfer auto

lemmas *substitute-induct*[*case-names Nil Cons*] = *substitute'.induct*
lemmas *substitute-simps*[*simp*] = *substitute'.simps*[*unfolded elim-substitute'*]

lemma *substitute-mono2*:
assumes $paths\ t \subseteq paths\ t'$
shows $paths\ (substitute\ f\ T\ t) \subseteq paths\ (substitute\ f\ T\ t')$
proof
fix *xs*
assume $xs \in paths\ (substitute\ f\ T\ t)$
thus $xs \in paths\ (substitute\ f\ T\ t')$
using *assms*
proof(*induction xs arbitrary: f t t'*)
case Nil
thus ?*case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x xs*)
from *Cons.prem*s
show ?*case*
by (*auto dest: possible-mono elim: Cons.IH intro!: both-mono1 nxt-mono*)
qed
qed

lemma *substitute-mono1*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. paths\ (f\ x) \subseteq paths\ (f'\ x)$
shows $paths\ (substitute\ f\ T\ t) \subseteq paths\ (substitute\ f'\ T\ t)$
proof
fix *xs*
assume $xs \in paths\ (substitute\ f\ T\ t)$
from *this* *assms*
show $xs \in paths\ (substitute\ f'\ T\ t)$
proof (*induction xs arbitrary: f f' t*)
case Nil
thus ?*case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons x xs*)
from *Cons.prem*s
show ?*case*
by (*auto elim!: Cons.IH dest: subsetD dest!: subsetD[OF f-nxt-mono1[OF Cons.prem*s(2)]]
subsetD[*OF substitute-mono2*[*OF both-mono2*[*OF Cons.prem*s(2)]]])
qed
qed

lemma *substitute-monoT*:
assumes $T \subseteq T'$
shows $paths\ (substitute\ f\ T'\ t) \subseteq paths\ (substitute\ f\ T\ t)$
proof
fix *xs*


```

assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \ T' \ t)$ 
thus  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \ T \ t)$ 
using assms
proof(induction  $f \ T' \ t \ xs$  arbitrary:  $T$  rule: substitute-induct)
case Nil
  thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons  $f \ T' \ t \ x \ xs \ T$ )
  from  $\langle x \ \# \ xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \ T' \ t) \rangle$ 
  have [simp]: possible  $t \ x$  and  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f \ T' \ x) \ T' \ (\text{nxt } t \ x \ \otimes \otimes \ f \ x))$  by
auto
  from Cons.IH[OF this(2) Cons.prems(2)]
  have  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f \ T' \ x) \ T \ (\text{nxt } t \ x \ \otimes \otimes \ f \ x))$ .
  hence  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x) \ T \ (\text{nxt } t \ x \ \otimes \otimes \ f \ x))$ 
    by (rule subsetD[OF substitute-mono1, rotated])
    (auto simp add: f-nxt-def subsetD[OF Cons.prems(2)])
  thus ?case by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma substitute-contains-arg:  $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \ T \ t)$ 
proof
  fix  $xs$ 
  show  $xs \in \text{paths } t \implies xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \ T \ t)$ 
  proof (induction  $xs$  arbitrary:  $f \ t$ )
    case Nil
      show ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons  $x \ xs$ )
      from  $\langle x \ \# \ xs \in \text{paths } t \rangle$ 
      have possible  $t \ x$  by transfer auto
      moreover
      from  $\langle x \ \# \ xs \in \text{paths } t \rangle$  have  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t \ x)$ 
        by (auto simp add: paths-nxt-eq)
      hence  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t \ x \ \otimes \otimes \ f \ x)$  by (rule subsetD[OF both-contains-arg1])
      note Cons.IH[OF this]
      ultimately
      show ?case by simp
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma possible-substitute[simp]:  $\text{possible } (\text{substitute } f \ T \ t) \ x \longleftrightarrow \text{possible } t \ x$ 
  by (metis Cons-both both-empty2 paths-Nil substitute-simps(2))

```

```

lemma nxt-substitute[simp]:  $\text{possible } t \ x \implies \text{nxt } (\text{substitute } f \ T \ t) \ x = \text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x) \ T \ (\text{nxt } t \ x \ \otimes \otimes \ f \ x)$ 
  by (rule ttree-eqI) (simp add: paths-nxt-eq)

```

```

lemma substitute-either:  $\text{substitute } f \ T \ (t \oplus \oplus \ t') = \text{substitute } f \ T \ t \oplus \oplus \ \text{substitute } f \ T \ t'$ 
proof–
  have [simp]:  $\bigwedge t \ t' \ x. (\text{nxt } t \ x \oplus \oplus \ \text{nxt } t' \ x) \otimes \otimes f \ x = \text{nxt } t \ x \otimes \otimes f \ x \oplus \oplus \ \text{nxt } t' \ x \otimes \otimes f \ x$  by
    (metis both-comm either-both-distr)
  {
    fix xs
    have  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \ T \ (t \oplus \oplus \ t')) \longleftrightarrow xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \ T \ t) \vee xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \ T \ t')$ 
    proof (induction xs arbitrary: f t t')
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons x xs)
      note IH = Cons.IH[where  $f = f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x$  and  $t = \text{nxt } t' \ x \otimes \otimes f \ x$  and  $t' = \text{nxt } t \ x \otimes \otimes f \ x$ ]
      show ?case
      apply (auto simp del: either-both-distr2 simp add: either-both-distr2[symmetric] IH)
      apply (metis IH both-comm either-both-distr either-empty2 nxt-not-possible)
      apply (metis IH both-comm both-empty1 either-both-distr either-empty1 nxt-not-possible)
      done
    qed
  }
  thus ?thesis by (auto intro: paths-inj)
qed

```

```

lemma f-nxt-T-delete:
  assumes  $f \ x = \text{empty}$ 
  shows  $f\text{-nxt } f \ (T - \{x\}) \ x' = f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x'$ 
using assms
by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

lemma f-nxt-empty[simp]:
  assumes  $f \ x = \text{empty}$ 
  shows  $f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x' \ x = \text{empty}$ 
using assms
by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

lemma f-nxt-empty'[simp]:
  assumes  $f \ x = \text{empty}$ 
  shows  $f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x = f$ 
using assms
by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

lemma substitute-T-delete:
  assumes  $f \ x = \text{empty}$ 

```

```

  shows substitute f (T - {x}) t = substitute f T t
proof (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
  fix xs
  from assms
  show xs ∈ paths (substitute f (T - {x}) t) ⟷ xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  by (induction xs arbitrary: f t) (auto simp add: f-nxt-T-delete )
qed

lemma substitute-only-empty:
  assumes const-on f (carrier t) empty
  shows substitute f T t = t
proof (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
  fix xs
  from assms
  show xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t) ⟷ xs ∈ paths t
  proof (induction xs arbitrary: f t)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
    case (Cons x xs f t)

    note const-onD[OF Cons.premys carrier-possible, where y = x, simp]

    have [simp]: possible t x ⟹ f-nxt f T x = f
      by (rule f-nxt-empty', rule const-onD[OF Cons.premys carrier-possible, where y = x])

    from Cons.premys carrier-nxt-subset
    have const-on f (carrier (nxt t x)) empty
      by (rule const-on-subset)
    hence const-on (f-nxt f T x) (carrier (nxt t x)) empty
      by (auto simp add: const-on-def f-nxt-def)
    note Cons.IH[OF this]
    hence [simp]: possible t x ⟹ (xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (nxt t x))) = (xs ∈ paths (nxt t
x))
      by simp

    show ?case by (auto simp add: Cons-path)
  qed
qed

lemma substitute-only-empty-both: const-on f (carrier t') empty ⟹ substitute f T (t ⊗⊗ t') =
substitute f T t ⊗⊗ t'
proof (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
  fix xs
  assume const-on f (carrier t') TTree.empty
  thus (xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (t ⊗⊗ t'))) = (xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t ⊗⊗ t'))
  proof (induction xs arbitrary: f t t')
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons x xs)

```

```

show ?case
proof(cases possible t' x)
  case True
  hence  $x \in \text{carrier } t'$  by (metis carrier-possible)
  with Cons.prem have [simp]:  $f x = \text{empty}$  by auto
  hence [simp]:  $f\text{-nxt } f T x = f$  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

  note Cons.IH[OF Cons.prem, where  $t = \text{nxt } t x$ , simp]

  from Cons.prem
  have const-on  $f$  (carrier (nxt t' x)) empty by (metis carrier-nxt-subset const-on-subset)
  note Cons.IH[OF this, where  $t = t$ , simp]

  show ?thesis using True
    by (auto simp add: Cons-both nxt-both substitute-either)
next
  case False

  have [simp]:  $\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes t' \otimes \otimes f x = \text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x \otimes \otimes t'$ 
    by (metis both-assoc both-comm)

  from Cons.prem
  have const-on (f-nxt f T x) (carrier t') empty
    by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)
  note Cons.IH[OF this, where  $t = \text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x$ , simp]

  show ?thesis using False
    by (auto simp add: Cons-both nxt-both substitute-either)
qed
qed
qed

lemma f-nxt-upd-empty[simp]:
   $f\text{-nxt } (f(x' := \text{empty})) T x = (f\text{-nxt } f T x)(x' := \text{empty})$ 
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma repeatable-f-nxt-upd[simp]:
   $\text{repeatable } (f x) \implies \text{repeatable } (f\text{-nxt } f T x' x)$ 
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma substitute-remove-anyways-aux:
  assumes repeatable (f x)
  assumes  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  assumes  $t \otimes \otimes f x = t$ 
  shows  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f(x := \text{empty})) T t)$ 
  using assms(2,3) assms(1)
proof (induction f T t xs rule: substitute-induct)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next

```

```

case (Cons f T t x' xs)
show ?case
proof(cases x' = x)
  case False
  hence [simp]: (f(x := TTree.empty)) x' = f x' by simp
  have [simp]: f-nxt f T x' x = f x using False by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
  show ?thesis using Cons by (auto simp add: repeatable-both-nxt repeatable-both-both-nxt
simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case True
  hence [simp]: (f(x := TTree.empty)) x = empty by simp

  have *: (f-nxt f T x) x = f x  $\vee$  (f-nxt f T x) x = empty by (simp add: f-nxt-def)
  thus ?thesis
    using Cons True
    by (auto simp add: repeatable-both-nxt repeatable-both-both-nxt simp del: fun-upd-apply)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma substitute-remove-anyways:
  assumes repeatable t
  assumes f x = t
  shows substitute f T (t  $\otimes$  t') = substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes$  t')
proof (rule paths-inj, rule, rule subsetI)
  fix xs
  have repeatable (f x) using assms by simp
  moreover
  assume xs  $\in$  paths (substitute f T (t  $\otimes$  t'))
  moreover
  have t  $\otimes$  t'  $\otimes$  f x = t  $\otimes$  t'
    by (metis assms both-assoc both-comm repeatable-both-self)
  ultimately
  show xs  $\in$  paths (substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes$  t'))
    by (rule substitute-remove-anyways-aux)
next
  show paths (substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes$  t'))  $\subseteq$  paths (substitute f T (t  $\otimes$  t'))
    by (rule substitute-mono1) auto
qed

```

```

lemma carrier-f-nxt: carrier (f-nxt f T x x')  $\subseteq$  carrier (f x')
  by (simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

lemma f-nxt-cong: f x' = f' x'  $\implies$  f-nxt f T x x' = f-nxt f' T x x'
  by (simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

lemma substitute-cong':
  assumes xs  $\in$  paths (substitute f T t)

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x n. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x = f' x$ 
shows  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f' T t)$ 
using assms
proof (induction f T t xs arbitrary: f' rule: substitute-induct )
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons f T t x xs)
  hence possible t x by auto
  hence  $x \in \text{carrier } t$  by (metis carrier-possible)
  hence  $x \in A$  using Cons.prems(3) by auto
  with Cons.prems have [simp]:  $f' x = f x$  by auto
  have  $\text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$  using  $\langle x \in A \rangle$  by (rule Cons.prems(2))

  from Cons.prems(1,2) Cons.prems(4)[symmetric]
  show ?case
    by (auto elim!: Cons.IH
      dest!: subsetD[OF carrier-f-nxt] subsetD[OF carrier-nxt-subset] subsetD[OF Cons.prems(3)]
      subsetD[OF  $\langle \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A \rangle$ ]
      intro: f-nxt-cong
    )
qed

```

lemma *substitute-cong-induct*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x = f' x$ 
shows  $\text{substitute } f T t = \text{substitute } f' T t$ 
apply (rule paths-inj)
apply (rule set-eqI)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (erule (2) substitute-cong'[OF - assms])
apply (erule substitute-cong'[OF - - assms(2)])
apply (metis assms(1,3))
apply (metis assms(3))
done

```

lemma *carrier-substitute-aux*:

```

assumes  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
shows  $\text{set } xs \subseteq A$ 
using assms
apply(induction f T t xs rule: substitute-induct)
apply auto
apply (metis carrier-possible-subset)

```

apply (*metis carrier-f-nxt carrier-nxt-subset carrier-possible-subset contra-subsetD order-trans*)
done

lemma *carrier-substitute-below*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$

assumes $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$

shows $\text{carrier } (\text{substitute } f T t) \subseteq A$

proof—

have $\bigwedge xs. xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t) \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq A$ **by** (*rule carrier-substitute-aux[OF - assms(2,1)]*)

thus *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric]*)

qed

lemma *f-nxt-eq-empty-iff*:

$f\text{-nxt } f T x x' = \text{empty} \longleftrightarrow f x' = \text{empty} \vee (x' = x \wedge x \in T)$

by (*auto simp add: f-nxt-def*)

lemma *substitute-T-cong'*:

assumes $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$

assumes $\bigwedge x. (x \in T \longleftrightarrow x \in T') \vee f x = \text{empty}$

shows $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T' t)$

using *assms*

proof (*induction f T t xs rule: substitute-induct*)

case Nil **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons f T t x xs*)

from *Cons.prem1*(2)[**where** $x = x$]

have [*simp*]: $f\text{-nxt } f T x = f\text{-nxt } f T' x$

by (*auto simp add: f-nxt-def*)

from *Cons.prem1*(2)

have $(\bigwedge x'. (x' \in T) = (x' \in T') \vee f\text{-nxt } f T x x' = \text{empty})$

by (*auto simp add: f-nxt-eq-empty-iff*)

from *Cons.prem1*(1) *Cons.IH*[*OF - this*]

show *?case*

by *auto*

qed

lemma *substitute-cong-T*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. (x \in T \longleftrightarrow x \in T') \vee f x = \text{empty}$

shows $\text{substitute } f T = \text{substitute } f T'$

apply *rule*

apply (*rule paths-inj*)

apply (*rule set-eqI*)

apply (*rule iffI*)

apply (*erule substitute-T-cong'[OF - assms]*)

apply (*erule substitute-T-cong'*)

apply (*metis assms*)

done

```

lemma carrier-substitute1: carrier  $t \subseteq$  carrier (substitute  $f$   $T$   $t$ )
  by (rule carrier-mono) (rule substitute-contains-arg)

lemma substitute-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in$  carrier (substitute  $f$   $T$   $t$ )  $\implies f$   $x = f'$   $x$ 
  shows substitute  $f$   $T$   $t =$  substitute  $f'$   $T$   $t$ 
proof(rule substitute-cong-induct[OF - - assms])
  show carrier  $t \subseteq$  carrier (substitute  $f$   $T$   $t$ )
    by (rule carrier-substitute1)
next
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in$  carrier (substitute  $f$   $T$   $t$ )
  then obtain  $xs$  where  $xs \in$  paths (substitute  $f$   $T$   $t$ ) and  $x \in$  set  $xs$  by transfer auto
  thus carrier ( $f$   $x$ )  $\subseteq$  carrier (substitute  $f$   $T$   $t$ )
  proof (induction  $xs$  arbitrary:  $f$   $t$ )
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons  $x'$   $xs$   $f$   $t$ )
    from  $\langle x' \# xs \in$  paths (substitute  $f$   $T$   $t$ )  $\rangle$ 
    have possible  $t$   $x'$  and  $xs \in$  paths (substitute ( $f$ -nxt  $f$   $T$   $x'$ )  $T$  ( $nxt$   $t$   $x' \otimes \otimes f$   $x'$ )) by auto

    from  $\langle x \in$  set ( $x' \# xs$ )  $\rangle$ 
    have  $x = x' \vee (x \neq x' \wedge x \in$  set  $xs)$  by auto
    hence carrier ( $f$   $x$ )  $\subseteq$  carrier (substitute ( $f$ -nxt  $f$   $T$   $x'$ )  $T$  ( $nxt$   $t$   $x' \otimes \otimes f$   $x'$ ))
    proof(elim conjE disjE)
      assume  $x = x'$ 
      have carrier ( $f$   $x$ )  $\subseteq$  carrier ( $nxt$   $t$   $x \otimes \otimes f$   $x$ ) by simp
      also have  $\dots \subseteq$  carrier (substitute ( $f$ -nxt  $f$   $T$   $x'$ )  $T$  ( $nxt$   $t$   $x \otimes \otimes f$   $x$ )) by (rule carrier-substitute1)
      finally show ?thesis unfolding  $\langle x = x' \rangle$ .
    next
      assume  $x \neq x'$ 
      hence [simp]: ( $f$ -nxt  $f$   $T$   $x'$   $x$ ) =  $f$   $x$  by (simp add:  $f$ -nxt-def)

      assume  $x \in$  set  $xs$ 
      from Cons.IH[OF  $\langle xs \in - \rangle$  this]
      show carrier ( $f$   $x$ )  $\subseteq$  carrier (substitute ( $f$ -nxt  $f$   $T$   $x'$ )  $T$  ( $nxt$   $t$   $x' \otimes \otimes f$   $x'$ )) by simp
    qed
  also
  from  $\langle$ possible  $t$   $x'\rangle$ 
  have carrier (substitute ( $f$ -nxt  $f$   $T$   $x'$ )  $T$  ( $nxt$   $t$   $x' \otimes \otimes f$   $x'$ ))  $\subseteq$  carrier (substitute  $f$   $T$   $t$ )
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac  $x = x' \# xa$  in exI)
  apply auto
  done
  finally show ?case.
qed

```


qed

lemma *substitute-substitute:*

assumes $\bigwedge x. \text{const-on } f' (\text{carrier } (f x)) \text{ empty}$

shows $\text{substitute } f T (\text{substitute } f' T t) = \text{substitute } (\lambda x. f x \otimes \otimes f' x) T t$

proof (*rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI*)

fix xs

have $[simp]: \bigwedge f f' x'. f\text{-nxt } (\lambda x. f x \otimes \otimes f' x) T x' = (\lambda x. f\text{-nxt } f T x' x \otimes \otimes f\text{-nxt } f' T x' x)$

by (*auto simp add: f-nxt-def*)

from *assms*

show $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T (\text{substitute } f' T t)) \longleftrightarrow xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (\lambda x. f x \otimes \otimes f' x) T t)$

proof (*induction xs arbitrary: f f' t*)

case Nil thus *?case* **by** *simp*

case (*Cons x xs*)

thus *?case*

proof (*cases possible t x*)

case True

from *Cons.prem*s

have $\text{prem}': \bigwedge x'. \text{const-on } (f\text{-nxt } f' T x) (\text{carrier } (f x')) \text{ empty}$

by (*force simp add: f-nxt-def*)

hence $\bigwedge x'. \text{const-on } (f\text{-nxt } f' T x) (\text{carrier } ((f\text{-nxt } f T x) x')) \text{ empty}$

by (*metis carrier-empty const-onI emptyE f-nxt-def fun-upd-apply*)

note *Cons.IH*[**where** $f = f\text{-nxt } f T x$ **and** $f' = f\text{-nxt } f' T x$, *OF this, simp*]

have $[simp]: \text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x \otimes \otimes f' x = \text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f' x \otimes \otimes f x$

by (*metis both-comm both-assoc*)

show *?thesis* **using** *True*

by (*auto del: iffI simp add: substitute-only-empty-both*[*OF prem'*[**where** $x' = x$], *sym-metric*])

next

case False

thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

qed

lemma *tree-rest-substitute:*

assumes $\bigwedge x. \text{carrier } (f x) \cap S = \{\}$

shows $\text{tree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t) = \text{tree-restr } S t$

proof(*rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI, rule iffI*)

fix xs

assume $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{tree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t))$

then

```

obtain  $xs'$  where  $[simp]: xs = \text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs'$  and  $xs' \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  by  $(\text{auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric]})$ 
from  $\text{this}(2)$  assms
have  $\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs' \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$ 
proof  $(\text{induction } xs' \text{ arbitrary: } f t)$ 
case Nil thus  $?case$  by simp
next
case  $(\text{Cons } x xs f t)$ 
  from  $\text{Cons.prem}$ s
  have  $\text{possible } t x$  and  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x))$  by auto

  from  $\text{Cons.prem}$ s(2)
  have  $(\bigwedge x'. \text{carrier } (f\text{-nxt } f T x x') \cap S = \{\})$  by  $(\text{auto simp add: } f\text{-nxt-def})$ 

  from  $\text{Cons.IH}[OF \langle xs \in \cdot \rangle \text{ this}]$ 
  have  $[x' \leftarrow xs . x' \in S] \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x) \otimes \otimes \text{ttree-restr } S (f x))$  by  $(\text{simp add: ttree-restr-both})$ 
  hence  $[x' \leftarrow xs . x' \in S] \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x))$  by  $(\text{simp add: ttree-restr-is-empty}[OF \text{Cons.prem}s(2)])$ 
  with  $\langle \text{possible } t x \rangle$ 
  show  $[x' \leftarrow x \# xs . x' \in S] \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$ 
  by  $(\text{cases } x \in S) (\text{auto simp add: Cons-path ttree-restr-possible dest: subsetD}[OF \text{ttree-restr-nxt-subset2}])$ 
qed
thus  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$  by simp
next
fix  $xs$ 
assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$ 
then obtain  $xs'$  where  $[simp]: xs = \text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs'$  and  $xs' \in \text{paths } t$ 
  by  $(\text{auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric]})$ 
from  $\text{this}(2)$ 
have  $xs' \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$  by  $(\text{rule subsetD}[OF \text{substitute-contains-arg}])$ 
thus  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t))$ 
  by  $(\text{auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric]})$ 
qed

```

An alternative characterization of substitution

```

inductive  $\text{substitute}'' :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ttree}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
   $\text{substitute}''\text{-Nil: } \text{substitute}'' f T [] []$ 
  |  $\text{substitute}''\text{-Cons:}$ 
     $zs \in \text{paths } (f x) \implies xs' \in \text{interleave } xs zs \implies \text{substitute}'' (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T xs' ys$ 
     $\implies \text{substitute}'' f T (x \# xs) (x \# ys)$ 
inductive-cases  $\text{substitute}''\text{-NilE}[elim]: \text{substitute}'' f T xs [] \implies \text{substitute}'' f T [] xs$ 
inductive-cases  $\text{substitute}''\text{-ConsE}[elim]: \text{substitute}'' f T (x \# xs) ys$ 

```

lemma $\text{substitute-substitute}''$:

```

 $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t) \iff (\exists xs' \in \text{paths } t. \text{substitute}'' f T xs' xs)$ 
proof
  assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 

```

```

thus  $\exists xs' \in \text{paths } t. \text{substitute}'' f T xs' xs$ 
proof(induction xs arbitrary: f t)
  case Nil
    have  $\text{substitute}'' f T [] []..$ 
    thus ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons x xs f t)
    from  $\langle x \# xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t) \rangle$ 
    have possible t x and  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x))$  by (auto simp
add: Cons-path)
    from Cons.IH[OF this(2)]
    obtain  $xs'$  where  $xs' \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x)$  and  $\text{substitute}'' (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T xs' xs$  by
auto
    from this(1)
    obtain  $ys' zs'$  where  $ys' \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t x)$  and  $zs' \in \text{paths } (f x)$  and  $xs' \in \text{interleave } ys' zs'$ 
    by (auto simp add: paths-both)

    from this(2,3)  $\langle \text{substitute}'' (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T xs' xs \rangle$ 
    have  $\text{substitute}'' f T (x \# ys') (x \# xs)..$ 
    moreover
    from  $\langle ys' \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t x) \rangle \langle \text{possible } t x \rangle$ 
    have  $x \# ys' \in \text{paths } t$  by (simp add: Cons-path)
    ultimately
    show ?case by auto
  qed
next
  assume  $\exists xs' \in \text{paths } t. \text{substitute}'' f T xs' xs$ 
  then obtain  $xs'$  where  $\text{substitute}'' f T xs' xs$  and  $xs' \in \text{paths } t$  by auto
  thus  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  proof(induction arbitrary: t rule: substitute''.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons zs x xs' xs ys t)
    from Cons.premys Cons.hyps
    show ?case by (force simp add: Cons-path paths-both intro!: Cons.IH)
  qed
qed

lemma paths-substitute-substitute'':
   $\text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t) = \bigcup ((\lambda xs. \text{Collect } (\text{substitute}'' f T xs)) \text{ `paths } t)$ 
  by (auto simp add: substitute-substitute'')

lemma tree-rest-substitute2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq S$ 
  assumes const-on f (-S) empty
  shows  $\text{tree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t) = \text{substitute } f T (\text{tree-restr } S t)$ 
proof(rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI, rule iffI)
  fix  $xs$ 

```

```

assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{tree-restr } S \text{ (substitute } f \text{ } T \text{ } t))$ 
then
obtain  $xs'$  where  $[simp]: xs = \text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) \text{ } xs'$  and  $xs' \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \text{ } T \text{ } t)$ 
  by  $(\text{auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric]})$ 
from  $\text{this}(2)$  assms
have  $\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) \text{ } xs' \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \text{ } T \text{ } (\text{tree-restr } S \text{ } t))$ 
proof  $(\text{induction } f \text{ } T \text{ } t \text{ } xs' \text{ rule: substitute-induct})$ 
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case  $(\text{Cons } f \text{ } T \text{ } t \text{ } xs)$ 
  from  $\text{Cons.prem}(1)$ 
  have  $\text{possible } t \text{ } x$  and  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f \text{ } T \text{ } x) \text{ } T \text{ } (\text{nxt } t \text{ } x \otimes f \text{ } x))$  by auto
  note  $\text{this}(2)$ 
  moreover
  from  $\text{Cons.prem}(2)$ 
  have  $\bigwedge x'. \text{carrier } (f\text{-nxt } f \text{ } T \text{ } x \text{ } x') \subseteq S$  by  $(\text{auto simp add: f-nxt-def})$ 
  moreover
  from  $\text{Cons.prem}(3)$ 
  have  $\text{const-on } (f\text{-nxt } f \text{ } T \text{ } x) \text{ } (-S) \text{ empty}$  by  $(\text{force simp add: f-nxt-def})$ 
  ultimately
  have  $[x' \leftarrow xs . x' \in S] \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f \text{ } T \text{ } x) \text{ } T \text{ } (\text{tree-restr } S \text{ } (\text{nxt } t \text{ } x \otimes f \text{ } x)))$ 
by  $(\text{rule Cons.IH})$ 
  hence  $*: [x' \leftarrow xs . x' \in S] \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f \text{ } T \text{ } x) \text{ } T \text{ } (\text{tree-restr } S \text{ } (\text{nxt } t \text{ } x \otimes f \text{ } x)))$ 
  by  $(\text{simp add: tree-restr-both})$ 
  show  $?case$ 
  proof  $(\text{cases } x \in S)$ 
    case True
    show  $?thesis$ 
    using  $\langle \text{possible } t \text{ } x \rangle \text{ Cons.prem}(3) * \text{True}$ 
    by  $(\text{auto simp add: tree-restr-both tree-restr-noop[OF Cons.prem}(2)] \text{ intro: tree-restr-possible}$ 
       $\text{dest: subsetD[OF substitute-mono2[OF both-mono1[OF tree-restr-nxt-subset]]])$ 
  next
  case False
  with  $\langle \text{const-on } f \text{ } (-S) \text{ } T\text{Tree.empty} \rangle$  have  $[simp]: f \text{ } x = \text{empty}$  by auto
  hence  $[simp]: f\text{-nxt } f \text{ } T \text{ } x = f$  by  $(\text{auto simp add: f-nxt-def})$ 
  show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $* \text{ False}$ 
  by  $(\text{auto dest: subsetD[OF substitute-mono2[OF tree-restr-nxt-subset2]])$ 
  qed
qed
thus  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \text{ } T \text{ } (\text{tree-restr } S \text{ } t))$  by simp
next
fix  $xs$ 
assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f \text{ } T \text{ } (\text{tree-restr } S \text{ } t))$ 
then obtain  $xs'$  where  $xs' \in \text{paths } t$  and  $\text{substitute'' } f \text{ } T \text{ } (\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) \text{ } xs') \text{ } xs$ 
  unfolding  $\text{substitute-substitute''}$ 
  by  $(\text{auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric]})$ 

from  $\text{this}(2)$  assms

```

```

have  $\exists \ xs''. \ xs = \text{filter } (\lambda \ x'. \ x' \in S) \ xs'' \wedge \text{substitute'' } f \ T \ xs' \ xs''$ 
proof(induction (xs',xs) arbitrary: f xs' xs rule: measure-induct-rule[where f =  $\lambda \ (xs,ys).$ 
length (filter ( $\lambda \ x'. \ x' \notin S$ ) xs) + length ys])
case (less xs ys)
note  $\langle \text{substitute'' } f \ T \ [x' \leftarrow xs . \ x' \in S] \ ys \rangle$ 

show ?case
proof(cases xs)
case Nil with less.prem have ys = [] by auto
thus ?thesis using Nil by (auto, metis filter.simps(1) substitute''-Nil)
next
case (Cons x xs')
show ?thesis
proof (cases x  $\in S$ )
case True with Cons less.prem
have substitute'' f T (x# [x'  $\leftarrow$  xs' . x'  $\in S$ ]) ys by simp
from substitute''-ConsE[OF this]
obtain zs xs'' ys' where ys = x # ys' and zs  $\in$  paths (f x) and xs''  $\in$  interleave [x'  $\leftarrow$  xs'
. x'  $\in S$ ] zs and substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs'' ys'.
from  $\langle zs \in \text{paths } (f \ x) \rangle$  less.prem(2)
have set zs  $\subseteq S$  by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
hence [simp]: [x'  $\leftarrow$  zs . x'  $\in S$ ] = zs [x'  $\leftarrow$  zs . x'  $\notin S$ ] = []
by (metis UnCI Un-subset-iff eq-iff filter-True,
metis  $\langle \text{set } zs \subseteq S \rangle$  filter-False insert-absorb insert-subset)

from  $\langle xs'' \in \text{interleave } [x' \leftarrow xs' . \ x' \in S] \ zs \rangle$ 
have xs''  $\in$  interleave [x'  $\leftarrow$  xs' . x'  $\in S$ ] [x'  $\leftarrow$  zs . x'  $\in S$ ] by simp
then obtain xs''' where xs'' = [x'  $\leftarrow$  xs''' . x'  $\in S$ ] and xs'''  $\in$  interleave xs' zs by (rule
interleave-filter)

from  $\langle xs''' \in \text{interleave } xs' \ zs \rangle$ 
have l:  $\bigwedge \ P. \text{length } (\text{filter } P \ xs''') = \text{length } (\text{filter } P \ xs') + \text{length } (\text{filter } P \ zs)$ 
by (induction) auto

from  $\langle \text{substitute'' } (f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x) \ T \ xs'' \ ys' \rangle$   $\langle xs'' = - \rangle$ 
have substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T [x'  $\leftarrow$  xs''' . x'  $\in S$ ] ys' by simp
moreover
from less.prem(2)
have  $\bigwedge \ xa. \text{carrier } (f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x \ xa) \subseteq S$ 
by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
moreover
from less.prem(3)
have const-on (f-nxt f T x) (- S) TTree.empty by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)
ultimately
have  $\exists \ ys''. \ ys' = [x' \leftarrow ys'' . \ x' \in S] \wedge \text{substitute'' } (f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x) \ T \ xs''' \ ys''$ 
by (rule less.hyps[rotated])
(auto simp add:  $\langle ys = - \rangle$   $\langle xs = - \rangle$   $\langle x \in S \rangle$   $\langle xs'' = - \rangle$  [symmetric] l)[1]
then obtain ys'' where ys' = [x'  $\leftarrow$  ys'' . x'  $\in S$ ] and substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs'''
ys'' by blast

```

```

    hence  $ys = [x' \leftarrow x \# ys'' . x' \in S]$  using  $\langle x \in S \rangle \langle ys = - \rangle$  by simp
    moreover
    from  $\langle zs \in paths (f x) \rangle \langle xs''' \in interleave xs' zs \rangle \langle substitute'' (f \text{-nxt } f T x) T xs''' ys'' \rangle$ 
    have  $substitute'' f T (x \# xs') (x \# ys'')$ 
      by rule
    ultimately
    show ?thesis unfolding Cons by blast
  next
  case False with Cons less.prems
    have  $substitute'' f T ([x' \leftarrow xs' . x' \in S]) ys$  by simp
    hence  $\exists ys'. ys = [x' \leftarrow ys' . x' \in S] \wedge substitute'' f T xs' ys'$ 
      by (rule less.hyps[OF - - less.prems(2,3), rotated]) (auto simp add:  $\langle xs = - \rangle \langle x \notin S \rangle$ )
    then obtain  $ys'$  where  $ys = [x' \leftarrow ys' . x' \in S]$  and  $substitute'' f T xs' ys'$  by auto

    from this(1)
    have  $ys = [x' \leftarrow x \# ys' . x' \in S]$  using  $\langle x \notin S \rangle \langle ys = - \rangle$  by simp
    moreover
    have [simp]:  $f x = empty$  using  $\langle x \notin S \rangle less.prem$ s(3) by force
    hence  $f \text{-nxt } f T x = f$  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
    with  $\langle substitute'' f T xs' ys' \rangle$ 
    have  $substitute'' f T (x \# xs') (x \# ys')$ 
      by (auto intro: substitute''.intros)
    ultimately
    show ?thesis unfolding Cons by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  then obtain  $xs''$  where  $xs = filter (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs''$  and  $substitute'' f T xs' xs''$  by auto
  from this(2)  $\langle xs' \in paths t \rangle$ 
  have  $xs'' \in paths (substitute f T t)$  by (auto simp add: substitute-substitute'')
  with  $\langle xs = - \rangle$ 
  show  $xs \in paths (tree-restr S (substitute f T t))$ 
    by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
  qed
end

```

9.2 TTree-HOLCF

```

theory TTree-HOLCF
imports TTree Launchbury.HOLCF-Utills Set-Cpo Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes
begin

instantiation ttree :: (type) below
begin
  lift-definition below-ttree :: 'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $\subseteq$ ).
instance..
end

```

```

lemma paths-mono:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{paths } t \sqsubseteq \text{paths } t'$ 
  by transfer (auto simp add: below-set-def)

lemma paths-mono-iff:  $\text{paths } t \sqsubseteq \text{paths } t' \iff t \sqsubseteq t'$ 
  by transfer (auto simp add: below-set-def)

lemma tree-belowI:  $(\bigwedge xs. xs \in \text{paths } t \implies xs \in \text{paths } t') \implies t \sqsubseteq t'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma paths-belowI:  $(\bigwedge x xs. x \# xs \in \text{paths } t \implies x \# xs \in \text{paths } t') \implies t \sqsubseteq t'$ 
  apply (rule tree-belowI)
  apply (case-tac xs)
  apply auto
  done

instance ttree :: (type) po
  by standard (transfer, simp)+

lemma is-lub-ttree:
   $S <<| \text{Either } S$ 
  unfolding is-lub-def is-ub-def
  by transfer auto

lemma lub-is-either:  $\text{lub } S = \text{Either } S$ 
  using is-lub-ttree by (rule lub-eqI)

instance ttree :: (type) cpo
  by standard (rule exI, rule is-lub-ttree)

lemma minimal-ttree[simp, intro!]:  $\text{empty} \sqsubseteq S$ 
  by transfer simp

instance ttree :: (type) pcpo
  by standard (rule+)

lemma empty-is-bottom:  $\text{empty} = \perp$ 
  by (metis below-bottom-iff minimal-ttree)

lemma carrier-bottom[simp]:  $\text{carrier } \perp = \{\}$ 
  unfolding empty-is-bottom[symmetric] by simp

lemma below-anything[simp]:
   $t \sqsubseteq \text{anything}$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma carrier-mono:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{carrier } t \subseteq \text{carrier } t'$ 
  by transfer auto

```

lemma *nxt-mono*: $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{nxt } t \ x \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } t' \ x$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *either-above-arg1*: $t \sqsubseteq t \oplus \oplus t'$
by *transfer fastforce*

lemma *either-above-arg2*: $t' \sqsubseteq t \oplus \oplus t'$
by *transfer fastforce*

lemma *either-belowI*: $t \sqsubseteq t'' \implies t' \sqsubseteq t'' \implies t \oplus \oplus t' \sqsubseteq t''$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *both-above-arg1*: $t \sqsubseteq t \otimes \otimes t'$
by *transfer fastforce*

lemma *both-above-arg2*: $t' \sqsubseteq t \otimes \otimes t'$
by *transfer fastforce*

lemma *both-mono1'*:
 $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies t \otimes \otimes t'' \sqsubseteq t' \otimes \otimes t''$
using *both-mono1*[*folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff*].

lemma *both-mono2'*:
 $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies t'' \otimes \otimes t \sqsubseteq t'' \otimes \otimes t'$
using *both-mono2*[*folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff*].

lemma *nxt-both-left*:
possible $t \ x \implies \text{nxt } t \ x \otimes \otimes t' \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } (t \otimes \otimes t') \ x$
by (*auto simp add: nxt-both either-above-arg2*)

lemma *nxt-both-right*:
possible $t' \ x \implies t \otimes \otimes \text{nxt } t' \ x \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } (t \otimes \otimes t') \ x$
by (*auto simp add: nxt-both either-above-arg1*)

lemma *substitute-mono1'*: $f \sqsubseteq f' \implies \text{substitute } f \ T \ t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f' \ T \ t$
using *substitute-mono1*[*folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff*] *fun-belowD*
by *metis*

lemma *substitute-mono2'*: $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{substitute } f \ T \ t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f \ T \ t'$
using *substitute-mono2*[*folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff*].

lemma *substitute-above-arg*: $t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f \ T \ t$
using *substitute-contains-arg*[*folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff*].

lemma *tree-contI*:
assumes $\bigwedge S. f \ (Either \ S) = Either \ (f \ ' \ S)$
shows *cont f*


```

proof(rule contI)
  fix Y :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree
  have range ( $\lambda i. f (Y i)$ ) = f ' range Y by auto
  also have Either ... = f (Either (range Y)) unfolding assms(1)..
  also have Either (range Y) = lub (range Y) unfolding lub-is-either by simp
  finally
  show range ( $\lambda i. f (Y i)$ )  $<<|$  f ( $\bigsqcup i. Y i$ ) by (metis is-lub-tnee)
qed

```

```

lemma ttree-contI2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{paths } (f x) = \bigcup (t \text{ ' paths } x)$ 
  assumes  $\square \in t \square$ 
  shows cont f
proof(rule contI)
  fix Y :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree
  have paths (Either (range ( $\lambda i. f (Y i)$ ))) = insert  $\square$  ( $\bigcup x. \text{paths } (f (Y x))$ )
    by (simp add: paths-Either)
  also have ... = insert  $\square$  ( $\bigcup x. \bigcup (t \text{ ' paths } (Y x))$ )
    by (simp add: assms(1))
  also have ... =  $\bigcup (t \text{ ' insert } \square (\bigcup x. \text{paths } (Y x)))$ 
    using assms(2) by (auto cong add: SUP-cong-simp)
  also have ... =  $\bigcup (t \text{ ' paths } (\text{Either } (\text{range } Y)))$ 
    by (auto simp add: paths-Either)
  also have ... = paths (f (Either (range Y)))
    by (simp add: assms(1))
  also have ... = paths (f (lub (range Y))) unfolding lub-is-either by simp
  finally
  show range ( $\lambda i. f (Y i)$ )  $<<|$  f ( $\bigsqcup i. Y i$ ) by (metis is-lub-tnee paths-inj)
qed

```

```

lemma cont-paths[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont paths
  apply (rule set-contI)
  apply (thin-tac -)
  unfolding lub-is-either
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  done

```

```

lemma ttree-contI3:
  assumes cont ( $\lambda x. \text{paths } (f x)$ )
  shows cont f
  apply (rule contI2)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (subst paths-mono-iff[symmetric])
  apply (erule cont2monofunE[OF assms])

  apply (subst paths-mono-iff[symmetric])

```

```

apply (subst cont2contlubE[OF cont-paths[OF cont-id]], assumption)
apply (subst cont2contlubE[OF assms], assumption)
apply rule
done

```

```

lemma cont-substitute[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont (substitute f T)
apply (rule ttree-contI2)
apply (rule paths-substitute-substitute'')
apply (auto intro: substitute''.intros)
done

```

```

lemma cont-both1:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{both } x \ y$ )
apply (rule ttree-contI2[where  $t = \lambda xs. \{zs. \exists ys \in \text{paths } y. zs \in xs \otimes ys\}$ ])
apply (rule set-eqI)
by (auto intro: simp add: paths-both)

```

```

lemma cont-both2:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{both } y \ x$ )
apply (rule ttree-contI2[where  $t = \lambda ys. \{zs. \exists xs \in \text{paths } y. zs \in xs \otimes ys\}$ ])
apply (rule set-eqI)
by (auto intro: simp add: paths-both)

```

```

lemma cont-both[cont2cont,simp]:  $\text{cont } f \implies \text{cont } g \implies \text{cont } (\lambda x. f \ x \otimes \otimes g \ x)$ 
by (rule cont-compose2[OF cont-both1 cont-both2])

```

```

lemma cont-intersect1:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{intersect } x \ y$ )
by (rule ttree-contI2[where  $t = \lambda xs. (\text{if } xs \in \text{paths } y \text{ then } \{xs\} \text{ else } \{\})$ ])
  (auto split: if-splits)

```

```

lemma cont-intersect2:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{intersect } y \ x$ )
by (rule ttree-contI2[where  $t = \lambda xs. (\text{if } xs \in \text{paths } y \text{ then } \{xs\} \text{ else } \{\})$ ])
  (auto split: if-splits)

```

```

lemma cont-intersect[cont2cont,simp]:  $\text{cont } f \implies \text{cont } g \implies \text{cont } (\lambda x. f \ x \cap \cap g \ x)$ 
by (rule cont-compose2[OF cont-intersect1 cont-intersect2])

```

```

lemma cont-without[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont,simp]:  $\text{cont } (\text{without } x)$ 
by (rule ttree-contI2[where  $t = \lambda xs. \{\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \neq x) \ xs\}$ ])
  (transfer, auto)

```

```

lemma paths-many-calls-subset:
   $t \sqsubseteq \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{without } x \ t$ 
by (metis (full-types) below-set-def paths-many-calls-subset paths-mono-iff)

```

```

lemma single-below:
   $[x] \in \text{paths } t \implies \text{single } x \sqsubseteq t$  by transfer auto

lemma cont-ttree-restr[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont,simp]: cont (ttree-restr S)
  by (rule ttree-contI2[where  $t = \lambda xs. \{\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs\}$ ]])
    (transfer, auto)

lemmas tree-restr-mono = cont2monofunE[OF cont-ttree-restr[OF cont-id]]

lemma range-filter[simp]:  $\text{range } (\text{filter } P) = \{xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \text{Collect } P\}$ 
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac  $x = x$  in rev-image-eqI)
  apply simp
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (auto simp add: filter-id-conv)
  done

lemma tree-restr-anything-cont[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont ( $\lambda S. \text{tree-restr } S \text{ anything}$ )
  apply (rule ttree-contI3)
  apply (rule set-contI)
  apply (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] lub-set)
  apply (rule finite-subset-chain)
  apply auto
  done

instance tree :: (type) Finite-Join-cpo
proof
  fix  $x y :: 'a \text{ tree}$ 
  show compatible  $x y$ 
    unfolding compatible-def
    apply (rule exI)
    apply (rule is-lub-ttree)
    done
qed

lemma tree-join-is-either:
   $t \sqcup t' = t \oplus \oplus t'$ 
proof–
  have  $t \oplus \oplus t' = \text{Either } \{t, t'\}$  by transfer auto
  thus  $t \sqcup t' = t \oplus \oplus t'$  by (metis lub-is-join is-lub-ttree)
qed

lemma tree-join-transfer[transfer-rule]: rel-fun (pcr-ttree (=)) (rel-fun (pcr-ttree (=)) (pcr-ttree (=))) ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\sqcup$ )
proof–
  have ( $\sqcup$ ) = ( $((\oplus \oplus) :: 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tree})$  using tree-join-is-either by blast

```

thus ?thesis using either.transfer by metis
qed

lemma *tree-restr-join[simp]*:
 $tree-restr\ S\ (t \sqcup t') = tree-restr\ S\ t \sqcup tree-restr\ S\ t'$
 by transfer auto

lemma *nxt-singles-below-singles*:
 $nxt\ (singles\ S)\ x \sqsubseteq singles\ S$
 apply auto
 apply transfer
 apply auto
 apply (erule-tac $x = xc$ in ballE)
 apply (erule order-trans[rotated])
 apply (rule length-filter-mono)
 apply simp
 apply simp
 done

lemma *in-carrier-fup[simp]*:
 $x' \in carrier\ (fup.f.u) \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ u'.\ u = up.u' \wedge x' \in carrier\ (f.u'))$
 by (cases u) auto

end

10 Trace Tree Cardinality Analysis

10.1 AnalBinds

theory *AnalBinds*
imports *Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils Launchbury.Env*
begin

locale *ExpAnalysis* =
 fixes $exp :: exp \Rightarrow 'a::cpo \rightarrow 'b::pcpo$
begin

fun *AnalBinds* :: $heap \Rightarrow (var \Rightarrow 'a_{\perp}) \rightarrow (var \Rightarrow 'b)$
where $AnalBinds\ [] = (\lambda\ ae.\ \perp)$
 $| AnalBinds\ ((x,e)\#\Gamma) = (\lambda\ ae.\ (AnalBinds\ \Gamma.ae)(x := fup.(exp\ e).(ae\ x)))$

lemma *AnalBinds-Nil-simp[simp]*: $AnalBinds\ [] \cdot ae = \perp$ **by** simp

lemma *AnalBinds-Cons[simp]*:
 $AnalBinds\ ((x,e)\#\Gamma) \cdot ae = (AnalBinds\ \Gamma.ae)(x := fup.(exp\ e).(ae\ x))$
by simp

lemmas *AnalBinds.simps[simp del]*

lemma *AnalBinds-not-there*: $x \notin \text{dom} A \Gamma \implies (\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \ x = \perp$
by (*induction* Γ *rule*: *AnalBinds.induct*) *auto*

lemma *AnalBinds-cong*:
assumes $ae \ f|' \text{dom} A \Gamma = ae' \ f|' \text{dom} A \Gamma$
shows $\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae = \text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae'$
using *env-restr-eqD[OF assms]*
by (*induction* Γ *rule*: *AnalBinds.induct*) (*auto split: if-splits*)

lemma *AnalBinds-lookup*: $(\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \ x = (\text{case map-of } \Gamma \ x \text{ of } \text{Some } e \Rightarrow \text{fup} \cdot (\text{exp } e) \cdot (ae \ x) \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \perp)$
by (*induction* Γ *rule*: *AnalBinds.induct*) *auto*

lemma *AnalBinds-delete-bot*: $ae \ x = \perp \implies \text{AnalBinds } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma) \cdot ae = \text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae$
by (*auto simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split simp add: delete-conv*)

lemma *AnalBinds-delete-below*: $\text{AnalBinds } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqsubseteq \text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae$
by (*auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split*)

lemma *AnalBinds-delete-lookup[simp]*: $(\text{AnalBinds } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma) \cdot ae) \ x = \perp$
by (*auto simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split*)

lemma *AnalBinds-delete-to-fun-upd*: $\text{AnalBinds } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma) \cdot ae = (\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae)(x := \perp)$
by (*auto simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split*)

lemma *edom-AnalBinds*: $\text{edom } (\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \subseteq \text{dom} A \Gamma \cap \text{edom } ae$
by (*induction* Γ *rule*: *AnalBinds.induct*) (*auto simp add: edom-def*)

end

end

10.2 TTreeAnalysisSig

theory *TTreeAnalysisSig*
imports *Arity TTree-HOLCF AnalBinds*
begin

locale *TTreeAnalysis* =
fixes $Texp :: \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{Arity} \rightarrow \text{var ttree}$
begin
sublocale $Texp: \text{ExpAnalysis } Texp.$
abbreviation $FBinds == Texp.\text{AnalBinds}$
end

end

10.3 Cardinality-Domain-Lists

```

theory Cardinality-Domain-Lists
imports Launchbury.Vars Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Env Cardinality-Domain
Set-Cpo Env-Set-Cpo
begin

```

```

fun no-call-in-path where
  no-call-in-path x []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | no-call-in-path x (y#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  y  $\neq$  x  $\wedge$  no-call-in-path x xs

```

```

fun one-call-in-path where
  one-call-in-path x []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | one-call-in-path x (y#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (if x = y then no-call-in-path x xs else one-call-in-path x xs)

```

```

lemma no-call-in-path-set-conv:
  no-call-in-path x p  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\notin$  set p
by(induction p) auto

```

```

lemma one-call-in-path-filter-conv:
  one-call-in-path x p  $\longleftrightarrow$  length (filter ( $\lambda$  x'. x' = x) p)  $\leq$  1
by(induction p) (auto simp add: no-call-in-path-set-conv filter-empty-conv)

```

```

lemma no-call-in-tail: no-call-in-path x (tl p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (no-call-in-path x p  $\vee$  one-call-in-path x p  $\wedge$ 
hd p = x)
by(induction p) auto

```

```

lemma no-imp-one: no-call-in-path x p  $\implies$  one-call-in-path x p
by (induction p) auto

```

```

lemma one-imp-one-tail: one-call-in-path x p  $\implies$  one-call-in-path x (tl p)
by (induction p) (auto split: if-splits intro: no-imp-one)

```

```

lemma more-than-one-setD:
   $\neg$  one-call-in-path x p  $\implies$  x  $\in$  set p
by (induction p) (auto split: if-splits)

```

```

lemma no-call-in-path[eqvt]: no-call-in-path p x  $\implies$  no-call-in-path ( $\pi \cdot$  p) ( $\pi \cdot$  x)
by (induction p x rule: no-call-in-path.induct) auto

```

```

lemma one-call-in-path[eqvt]: one-call-in-path p x  $\implies$  one-call-in-path ( $\pi \cdot$  p) ( $\pi \cdot$  x)
by (induction p x rule: one-call-in-path.induct) (auto dest: no-call-in-path)

```

```

definition pathCard :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  two)
where pathCard p x = (if no-call-in-path x p then none else (if one-call-in-path x p then once
else many))

```

```

lemma pathCard-Nil[simp]: pathCard [] =  $\perp$ 
by rule (simp add: pathCard-def)

```

lemma *pathCard-Cons[simp]*: $\text{pathCard } (x\#xs) \ x = \text{two-add-once} \cdot (\text{pathCard } xs \ x)$
unfolding *pathCard-def*
by (*auto simp add: two-add-simp*)

lemma *pathCard-Cons-other[simp]*: $x' \neq x \implies \text{pathCard } (x\#xs) \ x' = \text{pathCard } xs \ x'$
unfolding *pathCard-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *no-call-in-path-filter[simp]*: $\text{no-call-in-path } x \ [x \leftarrow xs \ . \ x \in S] \longleftrightarrow \text{no-call-in-path } x \ xs \ \vee \ x \notin S$
by (*induction xs*) *auto*

lemma *one-call-in-path-filter[simp]*: $\text{one-call-in-path } x \ [x \leftarrow xs \ . \ x \in S] \longleftrightarrow \text{one-call-in-path } x \ xs \ \vee \ x \notin S$
by (*induction xs*) *auto*

definition *pathsCard* :: *var list set* \Rightarrow (*var* \Rightarrow *two*)
where *pathsCard* *ps* *x* = (*if* ($\forall \ p \in ps. \text{no-call-in-path } x \ p$) *then none* *else* (*if* ($\forall \ p \in ps. \text{one-call-in-path } x \ p$) *then once* *else many*))

lemma *paths-Card-above*:
 $p \in ps \implies \text{pathCard } p \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard } ps$
by (*rule fun-belowI*) (*auto simp add: pathsCard-def pathCard-def*)

lemma *pathsCard-below*:
assumes $\bigwedge p. p \in ps \implies \text{pathCard } p \sqsubseteq ce$
shows $\text{pathsCard } ps \sqsubseteq ce$
proof (*rule fun-belowI*)
fix *x*
show $\text{pathsCard } ps \ x \sqsubseteq ce \ x$
by (*auto simp add: pathsCard-def pathCard-def split: if-splits dest!: fun-belowD[OF assms, where x = x] elim: below-trans[rotated] dest: no-imp-one*)
qed

lemma *pathsCard-mono*:
 $ps \subseteq ps' \implies \text{pathsCard } ps \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard } ps'$
by (*auto intro: pathsCard-below paths-Card-above*)

lemmas $\text{pathsCard-mono}' = \text{pathsCard-mono}[\text{folded below-set-def}]$

lemma *record-call-pathsCard*:
 $\text{pathsCard } (\{ \text{tl } p \mid p. p \in fs \wedge \text{hd } p = x \}) \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{pathsCard } fs)$
proof (*rule pathsCard-below*)
fix *p'*
assume $p' \in \{ \text{tl } p \mid p. p \in fs \wedge \text{hd } p = x \}$
then obtain *p* **where** $p' = \text{tl } p$ **and** $p \in fs$ **and** $\text{hd } p = x$ **by** *auto*

have $\text{pathCard } (\text{tl } p) \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{pathCard } p)$
apply (*rule fun-belowI*)
using $\langle \text{hd } p = x \rangle$ **by** (*auto simp add: pathCard-def record-call-simp no-call-in-tail dest:*

one-imp-one-tail)

hence $\text{pathCard } (\text{tl } p) \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{pathsCard } fs)$
by (*rule below-trans* [*OF* - *monofun-cfun-arg* [*OF* *paths-Card-above* [*OF* $\langle p \in fs \rangle$]]])
thus $\text{pathCard } p' \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{pathsCard } fs)$ **using** $\langle p' = \rightarrow \rangle$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *pathCards-noneD*:

$\text{pathsCard } ps \ x = \text{none} \implies x \notin \bigcup (\text{set } 'ps)$
by (*auto simp add: pathsCard-def no-call-in-path-set-conv split-if-splits*)

lemma *cont-pathsCard* [*THEN* *cont-compose*, *cont2cont*, *simp*]:

cont pathsCard
by (*fastforce intro!: cont2cont-lambda cont-if-else-above simp add: pathsCard-def below-set-def*)

lemma *pathsCard-eqvt* [*eqvt*]: $\pi \cdot \text{pathsCard } ps \ x = \text{pathsCard } (\pi \cdot ps) \ (\pi \cdot x)$

unfolding *pathsCard-def* **by** *perm-simp rule*

lemma *edom-pathsCard* [*simp*]: $\text{edom } (\text{pathsCard } ps) = \bigcup (\text{set } 'ps)$

unfolding *edom-def pathsCard-def*
by (*auto simp add: no-call-in-path-set-conv*)

lemma *env-restr-pathsCard* [*simp*]: $\text{pathsCard } ps \ f | 'S = \text{pathsCard } (\text{filter } (\lambda x. x \in S) 'ps)$

by (*auto simp add: pathsCard-def lookup-env-restr-eq*)

end

10.4 TTreeAnalysisSpec

theory *TTreeAnalysisSpec*

imports *TTreeAnalysisSig ArityAnalysisSpec Cardinality-Domain-Lists*

begin

locale *TTreeAnalysisCarrier* = *TTreeAnalysis* + *EdomArityAnalysis* +
assumes *carrier-Fexp*: $\text{carrier } (Texp \ e \cdot a) = \text{edom } (Aexp \ e \cdot a)$

locale *TTreeAnalysisSafe* = *TTreeAnalysisCarrier* +
assumes *Texp-App*: $\text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes (Texp \ e) \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot a) \sqsubseteq Texp \ (App \ e \ x) \cdot a$
assumes *Texp-Lam*: $\text{without } y \ (Texp \ e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)) \sqsubseteq Texp \ (Lam \ [y]. \ e) \cdot n$
assumes *Texp-subst*: $Texp \ (e[y::=x]) \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{without } y \ ((Texp \ e) \cdot a)$
assumes *Texp-Var*: $\text{single } v \sqsubseteq Texp \ (Var \ v) \cdot a$
assumes *Fun-repeatable*: $\text{isVal } e \implies \text{repeatable } (Texp \ e \cdot 0)$
assumes *Texp-IfThenElse*: $Texp \ \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (Texp \ e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp \ e2 \cdot a) \sqsubseteq Texp \ (\text{scrut} \ ? \ e1 : e2) \cdot a$

locale *TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap* =
TTreeAnalysisSafe + *ArityAnalysisLetSafe* +
fixes *Theap* :: $\text{heap} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{Arity} \rightarrow \text{var tree}$


```

assumes carrier-Fheap: carrier (Theap  $\Gamma$   $e \cdot a$ ) = edom (Aheap  $\Gamma$   $e \cdot a$ )
assumes Theap-thunk:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies p \in \text{paths } (\text{Theap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \implies \neg \text{one-call-in-path } x \ p$ 
 $\implies (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
assumes Theap-substitute:  $\text{tree-restr } (\text{domA } \Delta) (\text{substitute } (\text{FBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Texp } e \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq \text{Theap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$ 
assumes Texp-Let:  $\text{tree-restr } (- \text{domA } \Delta) (\text{substitute } (\text{FBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Texp } e \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq \text{Texp } (\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a$ 

```

end

10.5 TTreeImplCardinality

```

theory TTreeImplCardinality
imports TTreeAnalysisSig CardinalityAnalysisSig Cardinality-Domain-Lists
begin

```

```

context TTreeAnalysis
begin

```

```

fun unstack :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp where
  unstack [] e = e
| unstack (Alts e1 e2 # S) e = unstack S e
| unstack (Upd x # S) e = unstack S e
| unstack (Arg x # S) e = unstack S (App e x)
| unstack (Dummy x # S) e = unstack S e

fun Fstack :: Arity list  $\Rightarrow$  stack  $\Rightarrow$  var tree
where Fstack - [] =  $\perp$ 
| Fstack (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Texp e1  $\cdot$  a  $\oplus\oplus$  Texp e2  $\cdot$  a)  $\otimes\otimes$  Fstack as S
| Fstack as (Arg x # S) = many-calls x  $\otimes\otimes$  Fstack as S
| Fstack as (- # S) = Fstack as S

```

```

fun prognosis :: AEnv  $\Rightarrow$  Arity list  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  two
where prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot$  ae) (thunks  $\Gamma$ )
(Texp e  $\cdot$  a  $\otimes\otimes$  Fstack as S)))
end

end

```

10.6 TTreeImplCardinalitySafe

```

theory TTreeImplCardinalitySafe
imports TTreeImplCardinality TTreeAnalysisSpec CardinalityAnalysisSpec

```

begin

lemma *pathsCard-paths-nxt*: $\text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{nxt } f \ x)) \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } f))$
apply *transfer*
apply (*rule pathsCard-below*)
apply *auto*
apply (*erule below-trans*[*OF - monofun-cfun-arg*[*OF paths-Card-above*], *rotated*]) **back**
apply (*auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: record-call-simp two-pred-two-add-once*)
done

lemma *pathsCards-none*: $\text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } t) \ x = \text{none} \implies x \notin \text{carrier } t$
by *transfer (auto dest: pathCards-noneD)*

lemma *const-on-edom-disj*: $\text{const-on } f \ S \ \text{empty} \longleftrightarrow \text{edom } f \cap S = \{\}$
by (*auto simp add: empty-is-bottom edom-def*)

context *TTreeAnalysisCarrier*

begin

lemma *carrier-Fstack*: $\text{carrier } (F\text{stack as } S) \subseteq \text{fv } S$
by (*induction S rule: Fstack.induct*)
(auto simp add: empty-is-bottom[symmetric] carrier-Fexp dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])

lemma *carrier-FBinds*: $\text{carrier } ((F\text{Binds } \Gamma \cdot \text{ae}) \ x) \subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma$
apply (*simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup*)
apply (*auto split: option.split simp add: empty-is-bottom[symmetric]*)
apply (*case-tac ae x*)
apply (*auto simp add: empty-is-bottom[symmetric] carrier-Fexp dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]*)
by (*metis (poly-guards-query) contra-subsetD domA-from-set map-of-fv-subset map-of-SomeD option.sel*)

end

context *TTreeAnalysisSafe*

begin

sublocale *CardinalityPrognosisShape prognosis*

proof

fix $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$ **and** $\text{ae } \text{ae}' :: A\text{Env}$ **and** $u \ e \ S \ \text{as}$
assume $\text{ae } f \mid \text{' domA } \Gamma = \text{ae}' f \mid \text{' domA } \Gamma$
from *Texp.AnalBinds-cong*[*OF this*]
show $\text{prognosis } \text{ae as } u \ (\Gamma, e, S) = \text{prognosis } \text{ae}' \text{ as } u \ (\Gamma, e, S)$ **by** *simp*

next

fix $\text{ae as } a \ \Gamma \ e \ S$
show $\text{const-on } (\text{prognosis } \text{ae as } a \ (\Gamma, e, S)) \ (\text{ap } S) \ \text{many}$

proof

fix x
assume $x \in \text{ap } S$
hence $[x, x] \in \text{paths } (F\text{stack as } S)$
by (*induction S rule: Fstack.induct*)
(auto 4 4 intro: subsetD[OF both-contains-arg1] subsetD[OF both-contains-arg2])

paths-Cons-nxt
hence $[x,x] \in \text{paths } (\text{Exp } e \cdot a \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S)$
by (rule *subsetD[OF both-contains-arg2]*)
hence $[x,x] \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (\text{FBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \text{ (thunks } \Gamma) \text{ (Exp } e \cdot a \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S))$
by (rule *subsetD[OF substitute-contains-arg]*)
hence $\text{pathCard } [x,x] \ x \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{substitute } (\text{FBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \text{ (thunks } \Gamma) \text{ (Exp } e \cdot a \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S))) \ x$
by (metis *fun-belowD paths-Card-above*)
also have $\text{pathCard } [x,x] \ x = \text{many}$ **by** (auto simp add: *pathCard-def*)
finally
show *prognosis ae as a* $(\Gamma, e, S) \ x = \text{many}$
by (auto intro: *below-antisym*)
qed
next
fix $\Gamma \ \Delta :: \text{heap}$ **and** $e :: \text{exp}$ **and** $ae :: AEnv$ **and** $as \ u \ S$
assume *map-of* $\Gamma = \text{map-of } \Delta$
hence $\text{FBinds } \Gamma = \text{FBinds } \Delta$ **and** $\text{thunks } \Gamma = \text{thunks } \Delta$ **by** (auto intro!: *cfun-eqI thunks-cong*
simp add: Exp.AnalBinds-lookup)
thus *prognosis ae as u* $(\Gamma, e, S) = \text{prognosis ae as u } (\Delta, e, S)$ **by** *simp*
next
fix $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$ **and** $e :: \text{exp}$ **and** $ae :: AEnv$ **and** $as \ u \ S \ x$
show *prognosis ae as u* $(\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as u } (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \ \# \ S)$ **by** *simp*
next
fix $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$ **and** $e :: \text{exp}$ **and** $ae :: AEnv$ **and** $as \ a \ S \ x$
assume $ae \ x = \perp$

hence $\text{FBinds } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma) \cdot ae = \text{FBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae$ **by** (rule *Exp.AnalBinds-delete-bot*)
moreover
hence $((\text{FBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \ x) = \perp$ **by** (metis *Exp.AnalBinds-delete-lookup*)
ultimately
show *prognosis ae as a* $(\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as a } (\text{delete } x \ \Gamma, e, S)$
by (*simp add: substitute-T-delete empty-is-bottom*)
next
fix $ae \text{ as } a \ \Gamma \ x \ S$
have $\text{once} \sqsubseteq (\text{pathCard } [x]) \ x$ **by** (*simp add: two-add-simp*)
also have $\text{pathCard } [x] \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard } (\{\[], [x]\})$
by (rule *paths-Card-above*) *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{single } x))$ **by** *simp*
also have $\text{single } x \sqsubseteq (\text{Exp } (\text{Var } x) \cdot a)$ **by** (rule *Exp-Var*)
also have $\dots \sqsubseteq \text{Exp } (\text{Var } x) \cdot a \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S$ **by** (rule *both-above-arg1*)
also have $\dots \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } (\text{FBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \text{ (thunks } \Gamma) \text{ (Exp } (\text{Var } x) \cdot a \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S)$ **by**
(*rule substitute-above-arg*)
also have $\text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } \dots) \ x = \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \ x$ **by** *simp*
finally
show $\text{once} \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \ x$
by *this* (rule *cont2cont-fun, intro cont2cont*)
qed

sublocale *CardinalityPrognosisApp prognosis*

proof
fix ae **as** $a \Gamma e x S$
have $Texp\ e \cdot (inc \cdot a) \otimes \otimes many\ calls\ x \otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S = many\ calls\ x \otimes \otimes (Texp\ e) \cdot (inc \cdot a)$
 $\otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S$
by $(metis\ both\ assoc\ both\ comm)$
thus $prognosis\ ae\ as\ (inc \cdot a) (\Gamma, e, Arg\ x \# S) \sqsubseteq prognosis\ ae\ as\ a (\Gamma, App\ e\ x, S)$
by $simp\ (intro\ pathsCard\ mono'\ paths\ mono\ substitute\ mono2'\ both\ mono1'\ Texp\ App)$
qed

sublocale $CardinalityPrognosisLam\ prognosis$

proof

fix ae **as** $a \Gamma e y x S$
have $Texp\ e[y::=x] \cdot (pred \cdot a) \sqsubseteq many\ calls\ x \otimes \otimes Texp\ (Lam\ [y].\ e) \cdot a$
by $(rule\ below\ trans[OF\ Texp\ subst\ both\ mono2'[OF\ Texp\ Lam]])$
moreover **have** $Texp\ (Lam\ [y].\ e) \cdot a \otimes \otimes many\ calls\ x \otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S = many\ calls\ x \otimes \otimes Texp\ (Lam\ [y].\ e) \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S$
by $(metis\ both\ assoc\ both\ comm)$
ultimately
show $prognosis\ ae\ as\ (pred \cdot a) (\Gamma, e[y::=x], S) \sqsubseteq prognosis\ ae\ as\ a (\Gamma, Lam\ [y].\ e, Arg\ x \# S)$
by $simp\ (intro\ pathsCard\ mono'\ paths\ mono\ substitute\ mono2'\ both\ mono1')$
qed

sublocale $CardinalityPrognosisVar\ prognosis$

proof

fix $\Gamma :: heap$ **and** $e :: exp$ **and** $x :: var$ **and** $ae :: AEnv$ **and** $as\ u\ a\ S$
assume $map\ of\ \Gamma\ x = Some\ e$
assume $ae\ x = up \cdot u$

assume $isVal\ e$
hence $x \notin thunks\ \Gamma$ **using** $\langle map\ of\ \Gamma\ x = Some\ e \rangle$ **by** $(metis\ thunksE)$
hence $[simp]: f\ next\ (FBinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae)\ (thunks\ \Gamma)\ x = FBinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae$ **by** $(auto\ simp\ add: f\ next\ def)$

have $prognosis\ ae\ as\ u (\Gamma, e, S) = pathsCard\ (paths\ (substitute\ (FBinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae)\ (thunks\ \Gamma)\ (Texp\ e \cdot u \otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S)))$
by $simp$
also **have** $\dots = pathsCard\ (paths\ (substitute\ (FBinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae)\ (thunks\ \Gamma)\ (next\ (single\ x)\ x \otimes \otimes Texp\ e \cdot u \otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S)))$
by $simp$
also **have** $\dots = pathsCard\ (paths\ (substitute\ (FBinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae)\ (thunks\ \Gamma)\ ((next\ (single\ x)\ x \otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S) \otimes \otimes Texp\ e \cdot u)))$
by $(metis\ both\ assoc\ both\ comm)$
also **have** $\dots \sqsubseteq pathsCard\ (paths\ (substitute\ (FBinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae)\ (thunks\ \Gamma)\ (next\ (single\ x \otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S)\ x \otimes \otimes Texp\ e \cdot u)))$
by $(intro\ pathsCard\ mono'\ paths\ mono\ substitute\ mono2'\ both\ mono1'\ next\ both\ left)\ simp$
also **have** $\dots = pathsCard\ (paths\ (next\ (substitute\ (FBinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae)\ (thunks\ \Gamma)\ (single\ x \otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S))\ x))$
using $\langle map\ of\ \Gamma\ x = Some\ e \rangle \langle ae\ x = up \cdot u \rangle$ **by** $(simp\ add: Texp.AnalBinds\ lookup)$
also **have** $\dots \sqsubseteq record\ call\ x \cdot (pathsCard\ (paths\ (substitute\ (FBinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae)\ (thunks\ \Gamma)\ (single\ x \otimes \otimes Fstack\ as\ S))))$

$x \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S))))$
by (rule *pathsCard-paths-nxt*)
also have $\dots \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{substitute } (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma)) ((\text{Term} (Var x) \cdot a) \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S))))$
by (intro *monofun-cfun-arg pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' Term-Var*)
also have $\dots = \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{prognosis } ae \text{ as } a (\Gamma, Var x, S))$
by *simp*
finally
show *prognosis* $ae \text{ as } u (\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{prognosis } ae \text{ as } a (\Gamma, Var x, S))$ **by** *this simp-all*
next
fix $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$ **and** $e :: \text{exp}$ **and** $x :: \text{var}$ **and** $ae :: AEnv$ **and** $as \text{ as } u \text{ as } S$
assume *map-of* $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$
assume $ae x = up \cdot u$
assume $\neg \text{isVal } e$
hence $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$ **using** $\langle \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \rangle$ **by** (*metis thunksI*)
hence [*simp*]: $f\text{-nxt } (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) x = FBinds (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae$
by (*auto simp add: f-nxt-def Term.AnalBinds-delete-to-fun-upd empty-is-bottom*)

have *prognosis* $ae \text{ as } u (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, Upd x \# S) = \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{substitute } (FBinds (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } (\text{delete } x \Gamma)) (\text{Term } e \cdot u \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S))))$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{substitute } (FBinds (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{Term } e \cdot u \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S))))$
by (rule *arg-cong[OF substitute-cong-T]*) (*auto simp add: empty-is-bottom*)
also have $\dots = \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{substitute } (FBinds (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{nxt } (\text{single } x) x \otimes \otimes \text{Term } e \cdot u \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S))))$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{substitute } (FBinds (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) ((\text{nxt } (\text{single } x) x \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S) \otimes \otimes \text{Term } e \cdot u))))$
by (*metis both-assoc both-comm*)
also have $\dots \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{substitute } (FBinds (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{nxt } (\text{single } x \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S) x \otimes \otimes \text{Term } e \cdot u))))$
by (intro *pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' nxt-both-left simp*)
also have $\dots = \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{nxt } (\text{substitute } (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{single } x \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S)) x))$
using $\langle \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \rangle \langle ae x = up \cdot u \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: Term.AnalBinds-lookup*)
also have $\dots \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{substitute } (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{single } x \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S))))$
by (rule *pathsCard-paths-nxt*)
also have $\dots \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{substitute } (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma)) ((\text{Term} (Var x) \cdot a) \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S))))$
by (intro *monofun-cfun-arg pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' Term-Var*)
also have $\dots = \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{prognosis } ae \text{ as } a (\Gamma, Var x, S))$
by *simp*
finally
show *prognosis* $ae \text{ as } u (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, Upd x \# S) \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{prognosis } ae \text{ as } a (\Gamma, Var$

$x, S)$) **by** *this simp-all*
next
fix $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$ **and** $e :: \text{exp}$ **and** $ae :: AEnv$ **and** $x :: \text{var}$ **and** *as S*
assume *isVal e*
hence *repeatable (Texp e.0)* **by** (*rule Fun-repeatable*)

assume $[simp]: x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$

have $[simp]: \text{thunks } ((x, e) \# \Gamma) = \text{thunks } \Gamma$
using $\langle \text{isVal } e \rangle$
by (*auto simp add: thunks-Cons dest: subsetD[OF thunks-domA]*)

have $\text{fup} \cdot (\text{Texp } e) \cdot (ae \ x) \sqsubseteq \text{Texp } e.0$ **by** (*metis fup2 monofun-cfun-arg up-zero-top*)
hence $\text{substitute } ((FBinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae)(x := \text{fup} \cdot (\text{Texp } e) \cdot (ae \ x))) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{Texp } e.0 \otimes \otimes Fstack$
as S) $\sqsubseteq \text{substitute } ((FBinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae)(x := \text{Texp } e.0)) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{Texp } e.0 \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S)$
by (*intro substitute-mono1' fun-upd-mono below-refl monofun-cfun-arg*)
also have $\dots = \text{substitute } (((FBinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae)(x := \text{Texp } e.0))(x := \text{empty})) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{Texp}$
 $e.0 \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S)$
using $\langle \text{repeatable } (\text{Texp } e.0) \rangle$ **by** (*rule substitute-remove-anyways, simp*)
also have $((FBinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae)(x := \text{Texp } e.0))(x := \text{empty}) = FBinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae$
by (*simp add: fun-upd-idem Texp.AnalBinds-not-there empty-is-bottom*)
finally
show *prognosis ae as 0 ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) ⊆ prognosis ae as 0 (Γ, e, Upd x # S)*
by (*simp, intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono*)
qed

sublocale *CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse prognosis*

proof

fix $ae \text{ as } \Gamma \text{ scrut } e1 \ e2 \ S \ a$
have $\text{Texp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (\text{Texp } e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus \text{Texp } e2 \cdot a) \sqsubseteq \text{Texp } (\text{scrut } ? \ e1 : e2) \cdot a$
by (*rule Texp-IfThenElse*)
hence $\text{substitute } (FBinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{Texp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (\text{Texp } e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus \text{Texp } e2 \cdot a)$
 $\otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S) \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } (FBinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{Texp } (\text{scrut } ? \ e1 : e2) \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack$
 $\text{ as } S)$
by (*rule substitute-mono2'[OF both-mono1']*)
thus *prognosis ae (a#as) 0 (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⊆ prognosis ae as a (Γ, scrut ? e1 :*
 $e2, S)$
by (*simp, intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono*)
next
fix $ae \text{ as } a \ \Gamma \ b \ e1 \ e2 \ S$
have $\text{Texp } (\text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2) \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{Texp } e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus \text{Texp } e2 \cdot a$
by (*auto simp add: either-above-arg1 either-above-arg2*)
hence $\text{substitute } (FBinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{Texp } (\text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2) \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack \text{ as } S) \sqsubseteq$
 $\text{substitute } (FBinds \ \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (\text{Texp } (\text{Bool } b) \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (\text{Texp } e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus \text{Texp } e2 \cdot a) \otimes \otimes Fstack$
 $\text{ as } S)$
by (*rule substitute-mono2'[OF both-mono1'[OF below-trans[OF - both-above-arg2]]]*)
thus *prognosis ae as a (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S) ⊆ prognosis ae (a#as) 0 (Γ, Bool b, Alts*
 $e1 \ e2 \ \# \ S)$
by (*auto intro!: pathsCard-mono' paths-mono*)

```

qed

end

context TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap
begin

  definition cHeap where
    cHeap  $\Gamma$   $e = (\Lambda a. \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{Theap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)))$ 

  lemma cHeap-simp:  $(cHeap \ \Gamma \ e) \cdot a = \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{Theap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a))$ 
    unfolding cHeap-def by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

  sublocale CardinalityHeap cHeap.

  sublocale CardinalityHeapSafe cHeap Aheap
  proof
    fix  $x \ \Gamma \ e \ a$ 
    assume  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$ 
    moreover
    assume  $\text{many} \sqsubseteq (cHeap \ \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ x$ 
    hence  $\text{many} \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard } (\text{paths } (\text{Theap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)) \ x$  unfolding cHeap-def by simp
    hence  $\exists p \in (\text{paths } (\text{Theap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)). \neg (\text{one-call-in-path } x \ p)$  unfolding pathsCard-def
      by (auto split: if-splits)
    ultimately
    show  $(Aheap \ \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
      by (metis Theap-thunk)
  next
    fix  $\Gamma \ e \ a$ 
    show  $\text{edom } (cHeap \ \Gamma \ e \cdot a) = \text{edom } (Aheap \ \Gamma \ e \cdot a)$ 
      by (simp add: cHeap-def Union-paths-carrier carrier-Fheap)
  qed

  sublocale CardinalityPrognosisEdom prognosis
  proof
    fix  $ae \ as \ a \ \Gamma \ e \ S$ 
    show  $\text{edom } (\text{prognosis } ae \ as \ a \ (\Gamma, e, S)) \subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma \cup \text{fv } e \cup \text{fv } S$ 
      apply (simp add: Union-paths-carrier)
      apply (rule carrier-substitute-below)
      apply (auto simp add: carrier-Fexp dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom] subsetD[OF carrier-Fstack]
        subsetD[OF ap-fv-subset] subsetD[OF carrier-FBinds])
      done
  qed

  sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLet prognosis cHeap
  proof
    fix  $\Delta \ \Gamma :: \text{heap}$  and  $e :: \text{exp}$  and  $S :: \text{stack}$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $a :: Arity$  and  $as$ 
    assume  $\text{atom } ' \text{dom} A \ \Delta \ \#* \ \Gamma$ 
    assume  $\text{atom } ' \text{dom} A \ \Delta \ \#* \ S$ 

```

```

assume  $\text{edom } ae \subseteq \text{dom } A \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ 

have  $\text{dom } A \Delta \cap \text{edom } ae = \{\}$ 
  using  $\text{fresh-distinct}[OF \langle \text{atom } ' \text{dom } A \Delta \#* \Gamma \rangle] \text{fresh-distinct-fv}[OF \langle \text{atom } ' \text{dom } A \Delta \#* S \rangle]$ 
   $\langle \text{edom } ae \subseteq \text{dom } A \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S \rangle \text{ups-fv-subset}[of S]$ 
by auto

have  $\text{const-on1}: \bigwedge x. \text{const-on } (FBinds \Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)) (\text{carrier } ((FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) x))$ 
empty
  unfolding  $\text{const-on-edom-disj}$  using  $\text{fresh-distinct-fv}[OF \langle \text{atom } ' \text{dom } A \Delta \#* \Gamma \rangle]$ 
  by  $(\text{auto dest!}: \text{subsetD}[OF \text{carrier-FBinds}] \text{subsetD}[OF \text{Texp.edom-AnalBinds}])$ 
have  $\text{const-on2}: \text{const-on } (FBinds \Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)) (\text{carrier } (Fstack \text{ as } S)) \text{ empty}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{const-on-edom-disj}$  using  $\text{fresh-distinct-fv}[OF \langle \text{atom } ' \text{dom } A \Delta \#* S \rangle]$ 
  by  $(\text{auto dest!}: \text{subsetD}[OF \text{carrier-FBinds}] \text{subsetD}[OF \text{carrier-Fstack}] \text{subsetD}[OF \text{Texp.edom-AnalBinds}] \text{subsetD}[OF \text{ap-fv-subset }])$ 
have  $\text{const-on3}: \text{const-on } (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (- (- \text{dom } A \Delta)) TTree.empty$ 
and  $\text{const-on4}: \text{const-on } (FBinds \Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)) (\text{dom } A \Gamma) TTree.empty$ 
unfolding  $\text{const-on-edom-disj}$  using  $\text{fresh-distinct}[OF \langle \text{atom } ' \text{dom } A \Delta \#* \Gamma \rangle]$ 
by  $(\text{auto dest!}: \text{subsetD}[OF \text{Texp.edom-AnalBinds}])$ 

have  $\text{disj1}: \bigwedge x. \text{carrier } ((FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) x) \cap \text{dom } A \Delta = \{\}$ 
  using  $\text{fresh-distinct-fv}[OF \langle \text{atom } ' \text{dom } A \Delta \#* \Gamma \rangle]$ 
  by  $(\text{auto dest}: \text{subsetD}[OF \text{carrier-FBinds}])$ 
hence  $\text{disj1}': \bigwedge x. \text{carrier } ((FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) x) \subseteq - \text{dom } A \Delta$  by auto
have  $\text{disj2}: \bigwedge x. \text{carrier } (Fstack \text{ as } S) \cap \text{dom } A \Delta = \{\}$ 
  using  $\text{fresh-distinct-fv}[OF \langle \text{atom } ' \text{dom } A \Delta \#* S \rangle]$  by  $(\text{auto dest!}: \text{subsetD}[OF \text{carrier-Fstack}])$ 
hence  $\text{disj2}': \text{carrier } (Fstack \text{ as } S) \subseteq - \text{dom } A \Delta$  by auto

{
  fix  $x$ 
  have  $(FBinds (\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot (ae \sqcup Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)) x = (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) x \otimes \otimes (FBinds \Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)) x$ 
  proof  $(\text{cases } x \in \text{dom } A \Delta)$ 
    case True
      have  $\text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{None}$  using  $\text{True fresh-distinct}[OF \langle \text{atom } ' \text{dom } A \Delta \#* \Gamma \rangle]$  by  $(\text{metis disjoint-iff-not-equal domA-def map-of-eq-None-iff})$ 
      moreover
        have  $ae x = \perp$  using  $\text{True } \langle \text{dom } A \Delta \cap \text{edom } ae = \{\} \rangle$  by auto
        ultimately
          show ?thesis using True
          by  $(\text{auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup empty-is-bottom[symmetric] cong: option.case-cong})$ 
      next
        case False
        have  $\text{map-of } \Delta x = \text{None}$  using False by  $(\text{metis domA-def map-of-eq-None-iff})$ 
        moreover

```



```

      have (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )  $x = \perp$  using False using edom-Aheap by (metis contra-subsetD
edomIff)
      ultimately
      show ?thesis using False
      by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup empty-is-bottom[symmetric] cong: op-
tion.case-cong)
    qed
  }
  note FBind = ext[OF this]

  {
    have pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ ).(Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$   $\sqcup$   $ae$ )) (thunks ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ ))
(Texp  $e \cdot a$   $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S)))
      = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ )) (substitute (FBind  $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap
 $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ )) (Texp  $e \cdot a$   $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S))))
      by (simp add: substitute-substitute[OF const-on1] FBind)
    also have substitute (FBind  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ )) = substitute (FBind  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks
 $\Gamma$ )
    apply (rule substitute-cong-T)
    using const-on3
    by (auto dest: subsetD[OF thunks-domA])
    also have substitute (FBind  $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks ( $\Delta$  @  $\Gamma$ )) = substitute (FBind
 $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks  $\Delta$ )
    apply (rule substitute-cong-T)
    using const-on4
    by (auto dest: subsetD[OF thunks-domA])
    also have substitute (FBind  $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$   $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S) =
substitute (FBind  $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ )  $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S
    by (rule substitute-only-empty-both[OF const-on2])
    also note calculation
  }
  note eq-imp-below[OF this]
  also
  note env-restr-split[where  $S = \text{domA } \Delta$ ]
  also
  have pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (substitute (FBind  $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap
 $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ )  $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S))) f|'  $\text{domA } \Delta$ 
      = pathsCard (paths (tree-restr ( $\text{domA } \Delta$ ) (substitute (FBind  $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks
 $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ ))))
    by (simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr tree-restr-both tree-rest-substitute[OF disj1]
tree-restr-is-empty[OF disj2])
  also
  have tree-restr ( $\text{domA } \Delta$ ) (substitute (FBind  $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ ))  $\sqsubseteq$ 
Theap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$  by (rule Theap-substitute)
  also
  have pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (substitute (FBind  $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap
 $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ )  $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S))) f|' ( $-\text{domA } \Delta$ ) =
      pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (tree-restr ( $-\text{domA } \Delta$ ) (substitute
(FBind  $\Delta \cdot$ (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ )  $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S))))

```

```

    by (simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr2 ttree-rest-substitute2[OF disj1' const-on3]
        ttree-restr-both ttree-restr-noop[OF disj2])
    also have ttree-restr ( $- \text{dom} A \Delta$ ) (substitute (FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (A\text{heap} \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp
 $e \cdot a$ ))  $\sqsubseteq$  Texp (Terms.Let  $\Delta e$ )  $\cdot a$  by (rule Texp-Let)
    finally
    show prognosis ( $A\text{heap} \Delta e \cdot a \sqcup ae$ ) as a ( $\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  cHeap  $\Delta e \cdot a \sqcup$  prognosis  $ae$  as a
    ( $\Gamma, \text{Terms.Let } \Delta e, S$ )
    by (simp add: cHeap-def del: fun-meet-simp)
qed

    sublocale CardinalityPrognosisSafe prognosis cHeap Aheap Aexp ..
end

```

end

11 Co-Call Graphs

11.1 CoCallGraph

```

theory CoCallGraph
imports Launchbury.Vars Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes Launchbury.HOLCF-Utills Set-Cpo
begin

default-sort type

typedef CoCalls = {G :: (var  $\times$  var) set. sym G}
  morphisms Rep-CoCall Abs-CoCall
  by (auto intro: exI[where x = {}] symI)

setup-lifting type-definition-CoCalls

instantiation CoCalls :: po
begin
lift-definition below-CoCalls :: CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $\subseteq$ ).
instance
  apply standard
  apply ((transfer, auto)+)
  done
end

lift-definition coCallsLub :: CoCalls set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls is  $\lambda S. \bigcup S$ 
  by (auto intro: symI elim: symE)

lemma coCallsLub-is-lub:  $S <| coCallsLub S$ 
proof (rule is-lubI)
  show  $S <| coCallsLub S$ 
  by (rule is-ubI, transfer, auto)

```

```

next
  fix u
  assume  $S <| u$ 
  hence  $\forall x \in S. x \sqsubseteq u$  by (auto dest: is-ubD)
  thus  $\text{coCallsLub } S \sqsubseteq u$  by transfer auto
qed

instance CoCalls :: cpo
proof
  fix  $S :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{CoCalls}$ 
  show  $\exists x. \text{range } S <| x$  using coCallsLub-is-lub..
qed

lemma ccLubTransfer[transfer-rule]: (rel-set pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls) Union lub
proof-
  have  $\text{lub} = \text{coCallsLub}$ 
  apply (rule)
  apply (rule lub-eqI)
  apply (rule coCallsLub-is-lub)
  done
  with coCallsLub.transfer
  show ?thesis by metis
qed

lift-definition is-cc-lub :: CoCalls set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $\lambda S x. x = \text{Union } S$ ).

lemma ccis-lubTransfer[transfer-rule]: (rel-set pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls ==> (=)) ( $\lambda S x. x = \text{Union } S$ ) ( $<|$ )
proof-
  have  $\bigwedge x xa. \text{is-cc-lub } x xa \longleftrightarrow xa = \text{coCallsLub } x$  by transfer auto
  hence  $\text{is-cc-lub} = (<|)$ 
  apply -
  apply (rule, rule)
  by (metis coCallsLub-is-lub is-lub-unique)
  thus ?thesis using is-cc-lub.transfer by simp
qed

lift-definition coCallsJoin :: CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls is ( $\cup$ )
by (rule sym-Un)

lemma ccJoinTransfer[transfer-rule]: (pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls)
( $\cup$ ) ( $\sqcup$ )
proof-
  have ( $\sqcup$ ) = coCallsJoin
  apply (rule)
  apply rule
  apply (rule lub-is-join)
  unfolding is-lub-def is-ub-def
  apply transfer

```

```

    apply auto
  done
with coCallsJoin.transfer
show ?thesis by metis
qed

```

lift-definition *ccEmpty* :: *CoCalls* is {} by (auto intro: symI)

lemma *ccEmpty-below[simp]*: *ccEmpty* \sqsubseteq *G*
by transfer auto

instance *CoCalls* :: *pcpo*

```

proof
  have  $\forall y. ccEmpty \sqsubseteq y$  by transfer simp
  thus  $\exists x. \forall y. (x :: CoCalls) \sqsubseteq y$ .
qed

```

lemma *ccBotTransfer[transfer-rule]*: *pcr-CoCalls* {} \perp
proof—
 have $\bigwedge x. ccEmpty \sqsubseteq x$ by transfer simp
 hence *ccEmpty* = \perp by (rule bottomI)
 thus ?thesis using *ccEmpty.transfer* by simp
qed

lemma *cc-lub-below-iff*:
 fixes *G* :: *CoCalls*
 shows *lub X* \sqsubseteq *G* \longleftrightarrow ($\forall G' \in X. G' \sqsubseteq G$)
 by transfer auto

lift-definition *ccField* :: *CoCalls* \Rightarrow *var set* is *Field*.

lemma *ccField-nil[simp]*: *ccField* \perp = {}
by transfer auto

lift-definition

inCC :: *var* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *CoCalls* \Rightarrow *bool* ($--- \in [1000, 1000, 900] 900$)
 is $\lambda x y s. (x, y) \in s$.

abbreviation

notInCC :: *var* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *CoCalls* \Rightarrow *bool* ($--- \notin [1000, 1000, 900] 900$)
 where $x \text{---} y \notin S \equiv \neg x \text{---} y \in S$

lemma *notInCC-bot[simp]*: $x \text{---} y \in \perp \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
by transfer auto

lemma *below-CoCallsI*:

$(\bigwedge x y. x \text{---} y \in G \Longrightarrow x \text{---} y \in G') \Longrightarrow G \sqsubseteq G'$
by transfer auto

lemma *CoCalls-eqI*:

$(\bigwedge x y. x \dashv\dashv y \in G \longleftrightarrow x \dashv\dashv y \in G') \implies G = G'$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *in-join[simp]*:

$x \dashv\dashv y \in (G \sqcup G') \longleftrightarrow x \dashv\dashv y \in G \vee x \dashv\dashv y \in G'$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *in-lub[simp]*: $x \dashv\dashv y \in (\text{lub } S) \longleftrightarrow (\exists G \in S. x \dashv\dashv y \in G)$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *in-CoCallsLubI*:

$x \dashv\dashv y \in G \implies G \in S \implies x \dashv\dashv y \in \text{lub } S$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *adm-not-in[simp]*:

assumes *cont t*

shows *adm* $(\lambda a. x \dashv\dashv y \notin a)$

by $(\text{rule admI}) (\text{auto simp add: cont2contlubE[OF assms]})$

lift-definition *cc-delete* :: $\text{var} \Rightarrow \text{CoCalls} \Rightarrow \text{CoCalls}$

is $\lambda z. \text{Set.filter } (\lambda (x,y). x \neq z \wedge y \neq z)$

by $(\text{auto intro!: symI elim: symE})$

lemma *ccField-cc-delete*: $\text{ccField } (\text{cc-delete } x \ S) \subseteq \text{ccField } S - \{x\}$

by *transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)*

lift-definition *ccProd* :: $\text{var set} \Rightarrow \text{var set} \Rightarrow \text{CoCalls}$ (**infixr** $G \times 90$)

is $\lambda S1 \ S2. S1 \times S2 \cup S2 \times S1$

by $(\text{auto intro!: symI elim: symE})$

lemma *ccProd-empty[simp]*: $\{\} \ G \times S = \perp$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-empty'[simp]*: $S \ G \times \{\} = \perp$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-union2[simp]*: $S \ G \times (S' \cup S'') = S \ G \times S' \sqcup S \ G \times S''$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-Union2[simp]*: $S \ G \times \bigcup S' = (\bigsqcup_{X \in S'} \text{ccProd } S \ X)$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-Union2'[simp]*: $S \ G \times (\bigcup_{X \in S'} f \ X) = (\bigsqcup_{X \in S'} \text{ccProd } S \ (f \ X))$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *in-ccProd[simp]*: $x \dashv\dashv y \in (S \ G \times S') = (x \in S \wedge y \in S' \vee x \in S' \wedge y \in S)$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-union1[simp]*: $(S' \cup S'') \ G \times S = S' \ G \times S \sqcup S'' \ G \times S$

by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-insert2*: $S \times G \times \text{insert } x \ S' = S \times G \times \{x\} \sqcup S \times G \times S'$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-insert1*: $\text{insert } x \ S' \times G \times S = \{x\} \times G \times S \sqcup S' \times G \times S$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-mono1*: $S' \subseteq S'' \implies S' \times G \times S \subseteq S'' \times G \times S$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-mono2*: $S' \subseteq S'' \implies S \times G \times S' \subseteq S \times G \times S''$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-mono*: $S \subseteq S' \implies T \subseteq T' \implies S \times G \times T \subseteq S' \times G \times T'$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-comm*: $S \times G \times S' = S' \times G \times S$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-belowI*:
 $(\bigwedge x \ y. x \in S \implies y \in S' \implies x \dashv\dashv y \in G) \implies S \times G \times S' \subseteq G$
by *transfer (auto elim: symE)*

lift-definition *cc-restr* :: $\text{var set} \Rightarrow \text{CoCalls} \Rightarrow \text{CoCalls}$
is $\lambda S. \text{Set.filter } (\lambda (x,y) . x \in S \wedge y \in S)$
by *(auto intro!: symI elim: symE)*

abbreviation *cc-restr-sym* (**infixl** $G|' \ 110$) **where** $G \ G|' \ S \equiv \text{cc-restr } S \ G$

lemma *elem-cc-restr[simp]*: $x \dashv\dashv y \in (G \ G|' \ S) = (x \dashv\dashv y \in G \wedge x \in S \wedge y \in S)$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccField-cc-restr*: $\text{ccField } (G \ G|' \ S) \subseteq \text{ccField } G \cap S$
by *transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)*

lemma *cc-restr-empty*: $\text{ccField } G \subseteq - \ S \implies G \ G|' \ S = \perp$
apply *transfer*
apply *(auto simp add: Field-def)*
apply *(drule DomainI)*
apply *(drule (1) subsetD)*
apply *simp*
done

lemma *cc-restr-empty-set[simp]*: $\text{cc-restr } \{\} \ G = \perp$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-noop[simp]*: $\text{ccField } G \subseteq S \implies \text{cc-restr } S \ G = G$
by *transfer (force simp add: Field-def dest: DomainI RangeI elim: subsetD)*

lemma *cc-restr-bot[simp]*: $cc\text{-}restr\ S\ \perp = \perp$
by *simp*

lemma *ccRestr-ccDelete[simp]*: $cc\text{-}restr\ (-\{x\})\ G = cc\text{-}delete\ x\ G$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-join[simp]*:
 $cc\text{-}restr\ S\ (G\sqcup G') = cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G\sqcup cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G'$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *cont-cc-restr*: $cont\ (cc\text{-}restr\ S)$
apply (*rule contI*)
apply (*thin-tac chain -*)
apply *transfer*
apply *auto*
done

lemmas *cont-compose*[*OF cont-cc-restr, cont2cont, simp*]

lemma *cc-restr-mono1*:
 $S\subseteq S' \implies cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G\sqsubseteq cc\text{-}restr\ S'\ G$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-mono2*:
 $G\sqsubseteq G' \implies cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G\sqsubseteq cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G'$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-below-arg*:
 $cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G\sqsubseteq G$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-lub[simp]*:
 $cc\text{-}restr\ S\ (\text{lub}\ X) = (\bigsqcup_{G\in X} cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G)$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *elem-to-ccField*: $x--y\in G \implies x\in ccField\ G\wedge y\in ccField\ G$
by *transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)*

lemma *ccField-to-elem*: $x\in ccField\ G \implies \exists\ y. x--y\in G$
by *transfer (auto simp add: Field-def dest: symD)*

lemma *cc-restr-intersect*: $ccField\ G\cap ((S-S')\cup (S'-S)) = \{\} \implies cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G = cc\text{-}restr\ S'\ G$
by (*rule CoCalls-eqI*) (*auto dest: elem-to-ccField*)

lemma *cc-restr-cc-restr[simp]*: $cc\text{-}restr\ S\ (cc\text{-}restr\ S'\ G) = cc\text{-}restr\ (S\cap S')\ G$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-twist*: $cc\text{-}restr\ S\ (cc\text{-}restr\ S'\ G) = cc\text{-}restr\ S'\ (cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G)$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-cc-delete-twist*: $cc\text{-}restr\ x\ (cc\text{-}delete\ S\ G) = cc\text{-}delete\ S\ (cc\text{-}restr\ x\ G)$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-ccProd[simp]*:
 $cc\text{-}restr\ S\ (cc\text{-}Prod\ S_1\ S_2) = cc\text{-}Prod\ (S_1 \cap S)\ (S_2 \cap S)$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccProd-below-cc-restr*:
 $cc\text{-}Prod\ S\ S' \sqsubseteq cc\text{-}restr\ S''\ G \longleftrightarrow cc\text{-}Prod\ S\ S' \sqsubseteq G \wedge (S = \{\} \vee S' = \{\} \vee S \subseteq S'' \wedge S' \subseteq S'')$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-eq-subset*: $S \subseteq S' \implies cc\text{-}restr\ S'\ G = cc\text{-}restr\ S'\ G2 \implies cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G = cc\text{-}restr\ S\ G2$
by *transfer' (auto simp add: Set.filter-def)*

definition *ccSquare* $(-)^2$ [80] 80)
where $S^2 = cc\text{-}Prod\ S\ S$

lemma *ccField-ccSquare[simp]*: $cc\text{-}Field\ (S^2) = S$
unfolding *ccSquare-def* **by** *transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)*

lemma *below-ccSquare[iff]*: $(G \sqsubseteq S^2) = (cc\text{-}Field\ G \subseteq S)$
unfolding *ccSquare-def* **by** *transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)*

lemma *cc-restr-ccSquare[simp]*: $(S^2)\ G \mid S = (S' \cap S)^2$
unfolding *ccSquare-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ccSquare-empty[simp]*: $\{\}^2 = \perp$
unfolding *ccSquare-def* **by** *simp*

lift-definition *ccNeighbors* :: $var \Rightarrow CoCalls \Rightarrow var\ set$
is $\lambda x\ G.\ \{y.\ (y,x) \in G \vee (x,y) \in G\}.$

lemma *ccNeighbors-bot[simp]*: $cc\text{-}Neighbors\ x\ \perp = \{\}$ **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *cont-ccProd1*:
 $cont\ (\lambda S.\ cc\text{-}Prod\ S\ S')$
apply *(rule contI)*
apply *(thin-tac chain -)*
apply *(subst lub-set)*
apply *transfer*
apply *auto*
done

lemma *cont-ccProd2*:
 $cont\ (\lambda S'. cc\text{-}Prod\ S\ S')$
apply *(rule contI)*
apply *(thin-tac chain -)*
apply *(subst lub-set)*
apply *transfer*

apply *auto*
done

lemmas *cont-compose2*[*OF cont-ccProd1 cont-ccProd2, simp, cont2cont*]

lemma *cont-ccNeighbors*[*THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp*]:
 $\text{cont } (\lambda y. \text{ccNeighbors } x \ y)$
apply (*rule set-contI*)
apply (*thin-tac chain -*)
apply *transfer*
apply *auto*
done

lemma *ccNeighbors-join*[*simp*]: $\text{ccNeighbors } x \ (G \sqcup G') = \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G \cup \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G'$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccNeighbors-ccProd*:
 $\text{ccNeighbors } x \ (\text{ccProd } S \ S') = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } S' \text{ else } \{\}) \cup (\text{if } x \in S' \text{ then } S \text{ else } \{\})$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccNeighbors-ccSquare*:
 $\text{ccNeighbors } x \ (\text{ccSquare } S) = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } S \text{ else } \{\})$
unfolding *ccSquare-def* **by** (*auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd*)

lemma *ccNeighbors-cc-restr*[*simp*]:
 $\text{ccNeighbors } x \ (\text{cc-restr } S \ G) = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G \cap S \text{ else } \{\})$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccNeighbors-mono*:
 $G \sqsubseteq G' \implies \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G'$
by *transfer auto*

lemma *subset-ccNeighbors*:
 $S \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G \longleftrightarrow \text{ccProd } \{x\} \ S \sqsubseteq G$
by *transfer (auto simp add: sym-def)*

lemma *elem-ccNeighbors*[*simp*]:
 $y \in \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G \longleftrightarrow (y \dashv\vdash x \in G)$
by *transfer (auto simp add: sym-def)*

lemma *ccNeighbors-ccField*:
 $\text{ccNeighbors } x \ G \subseteq \text{ccField } G$ **by** *transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)*

lemma *ccNeighbors-disjoint-empty*[*simp*]:
 $\text{ccNeighbors } x \ G = \{\} \longleftrightarrow x \notin \text{ccField } G$
by *transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)*

instance *CoCalls* :: *Join-cpo*
 by *standard* (*metis coCallsLub-is-lub*)

lemma *ccNeighbors-lub[simp]*: $ccNeighbors\ x\ (lub\ Gs) = lub\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ \text{' } Gs)$
 by *transfer* (*auto simp add: lub-set*)

inductive *list-pairs* :: '*a* *list* \Rightarrow ('*a* \times '*a*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where *list-pairs* *xs* *p* \Longrightarrow *list-pairs* (*x#xs*) *p*
 | *y* \in *set xs* \Longrightarrow *list-pairs* (*x#xs*) (*x,y*)

lift-definition *ccFromList* :: *var list* \Rightarrow *CoCalls* **is** $\lambda\ xs.\ \{(x,y).\ list-pairs\ xs\ (x,y) \vee list-pairs\ xs\ (y,x)\}$
 by (*auto intro: symI*)

lemma *ccFromList-Nil[simp]*: $ccFromList\ [] = \perp$
 by *transfer* (*auto elim: list-pairs.cases*)

lemma *ccFromList-Cons[simp]*: $ccFromList\ (x\#\ xs) = ccProd\ \{x\}\ (set\ xs) \sqcup ccFromList\ xs$
 by *transfer* (*auto elim: list-pairs.cases intro: list-pairs.intros*)

lemma *ccFromList-append[simp]*: $ccFromList\ (xs@ys) = ccFromList\ xs \sqcup ccFromList\ ys \sqcup ccProd\ (set\ xs)\ (set\ ys)$
 by (*induction xs*) (*auto simp add: ccProd-insert1* [**where** *S' = set xs* **for** *xs*])

lemma *ccFromList-filter[simp]*:
 $ccFromList\ (filter\ P\ xs) = cc-restr\ \{x.\ P\ x\}\ (ccFromList\ xs)$
 by (*induction xs*) (*auto simp add: Collect-conj-eq*)

lemma *ccFromList-replicate[simp]*: $ccFromList\ (replicate\ n\ x) = (if\ n \leq 1\ then\ \perp\ else\ ccProd\ \{x\}\ \{x\})$
 by (*induction n*) *auto*

definition *ccLinear* :: *var set* \Rightarrow *CoCalls* \Rightarrow *bool*
where $ccLinear\ S\ G = (\forall\ x \in S.\ \forall\ y \in S.\ x - - y \notin G)$

lemma *ccLinear-bottom[simp]*:
 $ccLinear\ S\ \perp$
unfolding *ccLinear-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *ccLinear-empty[simp]*:
 $ccLinear\ \{\}\ G$
unfolding *ccLinear-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *ccLinear-lub[simp]*:
 $ccLinear\ S\ (lub\ X) = (\forall\ G \in X.\ ccLinear\ S\ G)$
unfolding *ccLinear-def* **by** *auto*

```

lemma ccLinear-cc-restr[intro]:
  ccLinear S G  $\implies$  ccLinear S (cc-restr S' G)
unfolding ccLinear-def by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-join[simp]:
  ccLinear S (G  $\sqcup$  G')  $\longleftrightarrow$  ccLinear S G  $\wedge$  ccLinear S G'
unfolding ccLinear-def
by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-ccProd[simp]:
  ccLinear S (ccProd S1 S2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  S1  $\cap$  S = {}  $\vee$  S2  $\cap$  S = {}
unfolding ccLinear-def
by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-mono1: ccLinear S' G  $\implies$  S  $\subseteq$  S'  $\implies$  ccLinear S G
unfolding ccLinear-def
by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-mono2: ccLinear S G'  $\implies$  G  $\sqsubseteq$  G'  $\implies$  ccLinear S G
unfolding ccLinear-def
by transfer auto

lemma ccField-join[simp]:
  ccField (G  $\sqcup$  G') = ccField G  $\cup$  ccField G' by transfer auto

lemma ccField-lub[simp]:
  ccField (lub S) =  $\bigcup$  (ccField ' S) by transfer auto

lemma ccField-ccProd:
  ccField (ccProd S S') = (if S = {} then {} else if S' = {} then {} else S  $\cup$  S')
by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma ccField-ccProd-subset:
  ccField (ccProd S S')  $\subseteq$  S  $\cup$  S'
by (simp add: ccField-ccProd)

lemma cont-ccField[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont ccField
by (rule set-contI) auto

end

```

11.2 CoCallGraph-Nominal

```

theory CoCallGraph-Nominal
imports CoCallGraph Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF
begin

```

```

instantiation CoCalls :: pt
begin
  lift-definition permute-CoCalls :: perm  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls is permute
    by (auto intro!: symI elim: symE simp add: mem-permute-set)
instance
  apply standard
  apply (transfer, simp)+
  done
end

instance CoCalls :: cont-pt
  apply standard
  apply (rule contI2)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply transfer
  apply (metis (full-types) True-eqv subset-eqv)
  apply (thin-tac chain -)+
  apply transfer
  apply simp
  done

lemmas lub-eqv[OF exists-lub, simp, eqv]

lemma cc-restr-perm:
  fixes G :: CoCalls
  assumes supp p  $\#$ * S and [simp]: finite S
  shows cc-restr S (p  $\cdot$  G) = cc-restr S G
  using assms
  apply -
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp add: mem-permute-set)
  apply (subst (asm) perm-supply-eq, simp add: supp-minus-perm, metis (full-types) fresh-def
    fresh-star-def supp-set-elem-finite)+
  apply assumption
  apply (subst perm-supply-eq, simp add: supp-minus-perm, metis (full-types) fresh-def fresh-star-def
    supp-set-elem-finite)+
  apply assumption
  done

lemma inCC-eqv[eqv]:  $\pi \cdot (x \dashv\!\!\dashv y \in G) = (\pi \cdot x) \dashv\!\!\dashv (\pi \cdot y) \in (\pi \cdot G)$ 
  by transfer auto
lemma cc-restr-eqv[eqv]:  $\pi \cdot \text{cc-restr } S \ G = \text{cc-restr } (\pi \cdot S) \ (\pi \cdot G)$ 
  by transfer (perm-simp, rule)
lemma ccProd-eqv[eqv]:  $\pi \cdot \text{ccProd } S \ S' = \text{ccProd } (\pi \cdot S) \ (\pi \cdot S')$ 
  by transfer (perm-simp, rule)
lemma ccSquare-eqv[eqv]:  $\pi \cdot \text{ccSquare } S = \text{ccSquare } (\pi \cdot S)$ 

```

```

    unfolding ccSquare-def
    by perm-simp rule
lemma ccNeighbors-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{ccNeighbors } S \ G = \text{ccNeighbors } (\pi \cdot S) \ (\pi \cdot G)$ 
    by transfer (perm-simp, rule)

end

```

12 Co-Call Cardinality Analysis

12.1 CoCallAnalysisSig

```

theory CoCallAnalysisSig
imports Launchbury.Terms Arity CoCallGraph
begin

locale CoCallAnalysis =
  fixes ccExp ::  $\text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{Arity} \rightarrow \text{CoCalls}$ 
begin
  abbreviation ccExp-syn ( $\mathcal{G}_-$ )
    where  $\mathcal{G}_a \equiv (\lambda e. \text{ccExp } e \cdot a)$ 
  abbreviation ccExp-bot-syn ( $\mathcal{G}^\perp_-$ )
    where  $\mathcal{G}^\perp_a \equiv (\lambda e. \text{fup} \cdot (\text{ccExp } e) \cdot a)$ 
end

locale CoCallAnalysisHeap =
  fixes ccHeap ::  $\text{heap} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{Arity} \rightarrow \text{CoCalls}$ 

end

```

12.2 CoCallAnalysisBinds

```

theory CoCallAnalysisBinds
imports CoCallAnalysisSig AEnv AList-Utills-HOLCF Arity-Nominal CoCallGraph-Nominal
begin

context CoCallAnalysis
begin
definition ccBind ::  $\text{var} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow ((\text{AEnv} \times \text{CoCalls}) \rightarrow \text{CoCalls})$ 
  where  $\text{ccBind } v \ e = (\lambda (ae, G). \text{ if } (v \dashv\vdash v \notin G) \vee \neg \text{isVal } e \text{ then } \text{cc-restr } (\text{fv } e) (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{ccExp } e) \cdot (ae \ v)) \text{ else } \text{ccSquare } (\text{fv } e))$ 

lemma ccBind-eq:
   $\text{ccBind } v \ e \cdot (ae, G) = (\text{if } v \dashv\vdash v \notin G \vee \neg \text{isVal } e \text{ then } \mathcal{G}^\perp_{ae \ v \ e \ G} \text{ 'fv } e \text{ else } (\text{fv } e)^2)$ 
  unfolding ccBind-def
  apply (rule cfun-beta-Pair)

```

```

apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply simp
apply simp
apply (auto dest: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr])[1]

apply (case-tac p, auto, transfer, auto)[1]
apply (rule adm-subst[OF cont-snd])
apply (rule admI, thin-tac chain -, transfer, auto)
done

lemma ccBind-strict[simp]: ccBind v e ·  $\perp$  =  $\perp$ 
  by (auto simp add: inst-prod-pcpo ccBind-eq simp del: Pair-strict)

lemma ccField-ccBind: ccField (ccBind v e.(ae,G))  $\subseteq$  fv e
  by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr])

definition ccBinds :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  ((AEnv  $\times$  CoCalls)  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls)
  where ccBinds  $\Gamma$  = ( $\Lambda$  i. ( $\sqcup$  v $\mapsto$ e $\in$ map-of  $\Gamma$ . ccBind v e.i))

lemma ccBinds-eq:
  ccBinds  $\Gamma$ .i = ( $\sqcup$  v $\mapsto$ e $\in$ map-of  $\Gamma$ . ccBind v e.i)
  unfolding ccBinds-def
  by simp

lemma ccBinds-strict[simp]: ccBinds  $\Gamma$ . $\perp$  =  $\perp$ 
  unfolding ccBinds-eq
  by (cases  $\Gamma$  = []) simp-all

lemma ccBinds-strict'[simp]: ccBinds  $\Gamma$ .( $\perp$ , $\perp$ ) =  $\perp$ 
  by (metis CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds-strict Pair-bottom-iff)

lemma ccBinds-reorder1:
  assumes map-of  $\Gamma$  v = Some e
  shows ccBinds  $\Gamma$  = ccBind v e  $\sqcup$  ccBinds (delete v  $\Gamma$ )
proof –
  from assms
  have map-of  $\Gamma$  = map-of ((v,e) # delete v  $\Gamma$ ) by (metis map-of-delete-insert)
  thus ?thesis
  by (auto intro: cfun-eqI simp add: ccBinds-eq delete-set-none)
qed

lemma ccBinds-Nil[simp]:
  ccBinds [] =  $\perp$ 
  unfolding ccBinds-def by simp

lemma ccBinds-Cons[simp]:
  ccBinds ((x,e)# $\Gamma$ ) = ccBind x e  $\sqcup$  ccBinds (delete x  $\Gamma$ )
  by (subst ccBinds-reorder1[where v = x and e = e]) auto

```

lemma *ccBind-below-ccBinds*: $\text{map-of } \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } e \implies \text{ccBind } x \ e \cdot ae \sqsubseteq (\text{ccBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae)$
by (*auto simp add: ccBinds-eq*)

lemma *ccField-ccBinds*: $\text{ccField } (\text{ccBinds } \Gamma \cdot (ae, G)) \subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma$
by (*auto simp add: ccBinds-eq dest: subsetD[OF ccField-ccBind] intro: subsetD[OF map-of-Some-fv-subset]*)

definition *ccBindsExtra* :: $\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow CoCalls)$
where $\text{ccBindsExtra } \Gamma = (\Lambda \ i. \ \text{snd } i \sqcup \text{ccBinds } \Gamma \cdot i \sqcup (\bigsqcup x \mapsto e \in \text{map-of } \Gamma. \text{ccProd } (\text{fv } e) (\text{ccNeighbors } x \ (\text{snd } i))))$

lemma *ccBindsExtra-simp*: $\text{ccBindsExtra } \Gamma \cdot i = \text{snd } i \sqcup \text{ccBinds } \Gamma \cdot i \sqcup (\bigsqcup x \mapsto e \in \text{map-of } \Gamma. \text{ccProd } (\text{fv } e) (\text{ccNeighbors } x \ (\text{snd } i)))$
unfolding *ccBindsExtra-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *ccBindsExtra-eq*: $\text{ccBindsExtra } \Gamma \cdot (ae, G) = G \sqcup \text{ccBinds } \Gamma \cdot (ae, G) \sqcup (\bigsqcup x \mapsto e \in \text{map-of } \Gamma. \text{fv } e \times \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G)$
unfolding *ccBindsExtra-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *ccBindsExtra-strict[simp]*: $\text{ccBindsExtra } \Gamma \cdot \perp = \perp$
by (*auto simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp inst-prod-pcpo simp del: Pair-strict*)

lemma *ccField-ccBindsExtra*:
 $\text{ccField } (\text{ccBindsExtra } \Gamma \cdot (ae, G)) \subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma \cup \text{ccField } G$
by (*auto simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp elem-to-ccField dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-ccBinds] subsetD[OF ccField-ccProd-subset] map-of-Some-fv-subset*)

end

lemma *ccBind-eqvt[eqvt]*: $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind } \text{cccExp } x \ e) = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot e)$

proof—

{
fix $\pi \ ae \ G$
have $\pi \cdot ((\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind } \text{cccExp } x \ e) \cdot (ae, G)) = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot e) \cdot (\pi \cdot ae, \pi \cdot G)$
unfolding *CoCallAnalysis.ccBind-eq*
by *perm-simp (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)*
}
thus *?thesis* **by** (*auto intro: cfun-eqvtI*)

qed

lemma *ccBinds-eqvt[eqvt]*: $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds } \text{cccExp } \Gamma) = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) \ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
apply (*rule cfun-eqvtI*)
unfolding *CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds-eq*
apply (*perm-simp*)
apply *rule*
done

lemma *ccBindsExtra-eqvt*[*eqvt*]: $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra } \text{cccExp } \Gamma) = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$

by (*rule cfun-eqvtI*) (*simp add: CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra-def*)

lemma *ccBind-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:

$\text{cccexp1 } e = \text{cccexp2 } e \implies \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind } \text{cccexp1 } x \ e = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind } \text{cccexp2 } x \ e$

apply (*rule cfun-eqI*)

apply (*case-tac xa*)

apply (*auto simp add: CoCallAnalysis.ccBind-eq*)

done

lemma *ccBinds-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:

$\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd } \text{'set heap2} \implies \text{cccexp1 } e = \text{cccexp2 } e); \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds } \text{cccexp1 } \text{heap1} = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds } \text{cccexp2 } \text{heap2}$

apply (*rule cfun-eqI*)

unfolding *CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds-eq*

apply (*rule arg-cong[OF mapCollect-cong]*)

apply (*rule arg-cong[OF ccBind-cong]*)

apply *auto*

by (*metis imageI map-of-SomeD snd-conv*)

lemma *ccBindsExtra-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:

$\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd } \text{'set heap2} \implies \text{cccexp1 } e = \text{cccexp2 } e); \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra } \text{cccexp1 } \text{heap1} = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra } \text{cccexp2 } \text{heap2}$

apply (*rule cfun-eqI*)

unfolding *CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra-simp*

apply (*rule arg-cong2[OF ccBinds-cong mapCollect-cong]*)

apply *simp+*

done

end

12.3 CoCallAritySig

theory *CoCallAritySig*

imports *ArityAnalysisSig CoCallAnalysisSig*

begin

locale *CoCallArity* = *CoCallAnalysis* + *ArityAnalysis*

end

12.4 CoCallAnalysisSpec

theory *CoCallAnalysisSpec*

imports *CoCallAritySig ArityAnalysisSpec*

begin

locale *CoCallArityEdom* = *CoCallArity* + *EdomArityAnalysis*

locale *CoCallAritySafe* = *CoCallArity* + *CoCallAnalysisHeap* + *ArityAnalysisLetSafe* +
assumes *ccExp-App*: $ccExp\ e \cdot (inc \cdot a) \sqcup ccProd\ \{x\}\ (insert\ x\ (fv\ e)) \sqsubseteq ccExp\ (App\ e\ x) \cdot a$
assumes *ccExp-Lam*: $cc-restr\ (fv\ (Lam\ [y].\ e))\ (ccExp\ e \cdot (pred \cdot n)) \sqsubseteq ccExp\ (Lam\ [y].\ e) \cdot n$
assumes *ccExp-subst*: $x \notin S \implies y \notin S \implies cc-restr\ S\ (ccExp\ e[y::=x] \cdot a) \sqsubseteq cc-restr\ S\ (ccExp\ e \cdot a)$
assumes *ccExp-pap*: $isVal\ e \implies ccExp\ e \cdot 0 = ccSquare\ (fv\ e)$
assumes *ccExp-Let*: $cc-restr\ (-domA\ \Gamma)\ (ccHeap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a) \sqsubseteq ccExp\ (Let\ \Gamma\ e) \cdot a$
assumes *ccExp-IfThenElse*: $ccExp\ scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup (ccExp\ e1 \cdot a \sqcup ccExp\ e2 \cdot a) \sqcup ccProd\ (edom\ (Aexp\ scrut \cdot 0))\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e1 \cdot a) \cup edom\ (Aexp\ e2 \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq ccExp\ (scrut\ ?\ e1 : e2) \cdot a$

assumes *ccHeap-Exp*: $ccExp\ e \cdot a \sqsubseteq ccHeap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a$
assumes *ccHeap-Heap*: $map-of\ \Delta\ x = Some\ e' \implies (Aheap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a)\ x = up \cdot a' \implies ccExp\ e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq ccHeap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a$
assumes *ccHeap-Extra-Edges*:
 $map-of\ \Delta\ x = Some\ e' \implies (Aheap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a)\ x = up \cdot a' \implies ccProd\ (fv\ e')\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a) - \{x\} \cap thinks\ \Delta) \sqsubseteq ccHeap\ \Delta\ e \cdot a$

assumes *aHeap-thunks-rec*: $\neg nonrec\ \Gamma \implies x \in thinks\ \Gamma \implies x \in edom\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a) \implies (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a)\ x = up \cdot 0$
assumes *aHeap-thunks-nonrec*: $nonrec\ \Gamma \implies x \in thinks\ \Gamma \implies x - - x \in ccExp\ e \cdot a \implies (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a)\ x = up \cdot 0$

end

12.5 CoCallFix

theory *CoCallFix*

imports *CoCallAnalysisSig* *CoCallAnalysisBinds* *ArityAnalysisSig* *Launchbury.Env-Nominal* *ArityAnalysisFix*

begin

locale *CoCallArityAnalysis* =

fixes *cccExp* :: $exp \Rightarrow (Arity \rightarrow AEnv \times CoCalls)$

begin

definition *Aexp* :: $exp \Rightarrow (Arity \rightarrow AEnv)$

where *Aexp* *e* = $(\Lambda\ a.\ fst\ (cccExp\ e \cdot a))$

sublocale *ArityAnalysis* *Aexp*.

abbreviation *Aexp-syn'* (\mathcal{A}_\cdot) **where** $\mathcal{A}_a \equiv (\lambda e.\ Aexp\ e \cdot a)$

abbreviation *Aexp-bot-syn'* (\mathcal{A}^\perp_\cdot) **where** $\mathcal{A}^\perp_a \equiv (\lambda e.\ fup \cdot (Aexp\ e) \cdot a)$

lemma *Aexp-eq*:

$\mathcal{A}_a \ e = \text{fst} \ (\text{cccExp} \ e \cdot a)$

unfolding *Aexp-def* **by** (*rule beta-cfun*) (*intro cont2cont*)

lemma *fup-Aexp-eq*:

$\text{fup} \cdot (\text{Aexp} \ e) \cdot a = \text{fst} \ (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{cccExp} \ e) \cdot a)$

by (*cases a*) (*simp-all add: Aexp-eq*)

definition *CCexp* :: *exp* \Rightarrow (*Arity* \rightarrow *CoCalls*) **where** *CCexp* $\Gamma = (\Lambda \ a. \text{snd} \ (\text{cccExp} \ \Gamma \cdot a))$

lemma *CCexp-eq*:

$\text{CCexp} \ e \cdot a = \text{snd} \ (\text{cccExp} \ e \cdot a)$

unfolding *CCexp-def* **by** (*rule beta-cfun*) (*intro cont2cont*)

lemma *fup-CCexp-eq*:

$\text{fup} \cdot (\text{CCexp} \ e) \cdot a = \text{snd} \ (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{cccExp} \ e) \cdot a)$

by (*cases a*) (*simp-all add: CCexp-eq*)

sublocale *CoCallAnalysis CCexp*.

definition *CCfix* :: *heap* \Rightarrow (*AEnv* \times *CoCalls*) \rightarrow *CoCalls*

where *CCfix* $\Gamma = (\Lambda \ aeG. (\mu \ G'. \text{ccBindsExtra} \ \Gamma \cdot (\text{fst} \ aeG, G') \sqcup \text{snd} \ aeG))$

lemma *CCfix-eq*:

$\text{CCfix} \ \Gamma \cdot (ae, G) = (\mu \ G'. \text{ccBindsExtra} \ \Gamma \cdot (ae, G') \sqcup G)$

unfolding *CCfix-def*

by *simp*

lemma *CCfix-unroll*: $\text{CCfix} \ \Gamma \cdot (ae, G) = \text{ccBindsExtra} \ \Gamma \cdot (ae, \text{CCfix} \ \Gamma \cdot (ae, G)) \sqcup G$

unfolding *CCfix-eq*

apply (*subst fix-eq*)

apply *simp*

done

lemma *fup-ccExp-restr-subst'*:

assumes $\bigwedge \ a. \text{cc-restr} \ S \ (\text{CCexp} \ e[x::=y] \cdot a) = \text{cc-restr} \ S \ (\text{CCexp} \ e \cdot a)$

shows $\text{cc-restr} \ S \ (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{CCexp} \ e[x::=y]) \cdot a) = \text{cc-restr} \ S \ (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{CCexp} \ e) \cdot a)$

using *assms*

by (*cases a*) (*auto simp del: cc-restr-cc-restr simp add: cc-restr-cc-restr[symmetric]*)

lemma *ccBindsExtra-restr-subst'*:

assumes $\bigwedge \ x' \ e \ a. (x', e) \in \text{set} \ \Gamma \implies \text{cc-restr} \ S \ (\text{CCexp} \ e[x::=y] \cdot a) = \text{cc-restr} \ S \ (\text{CCexp} \ e \cdot a)$

assumes $x \notin S$

assumes $y \notin S$

assumes $\text{dom} \ A \ \Gamma \subseteq S$

shows $\text{cc-restr} \ S \ (\text{ccBindsExtra} \ \Gamma[x::h=y] \cdot (ae, G))$

$= \text{cc-restr} \ S \ (\text{ccBindsExtra} \ \Gamma \cdot (ae \upharpoonright f|' S, \text{cc-restr} \ S \ G))$

```

apply (simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp ccBinds-eq ccBind-eq Int-absorb2[OF assms(4)] fv-subst-int[OF
assms(3,2)])
apply (intro arg-cong2[where  $f = (\sqcup)$ ] refl arg-cong[OF mapCollect-cong])
apply (subgoal-tac  $k \in S$ )
apply (auto intro: fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]] simp add: fv-subst-int[OF
assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] ccSquare-def)[1]
apply (metis assms(4) contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
apply (subgoal-tac  $k \in S$ )
apply (auto intro: fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]]
simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] ccSquare-def
cc-restr-twist[where  $S = S$ ] simp del: cc-restr-cc-restr)[1]
apply (subst fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]], assumption)
apply (simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] )
apply (subst fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]], assumption)
apply (simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] )
apply (metis assms(4) contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
done

```

lemma ccBindsExtra-restr:

```

assumes domA  $\Gamma \subseteq S$ 
shows cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G)$ ) = cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma \cdot (ae \upharpoonright S, cc-restr S$ 
G))
using assms
apply (simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp ccBinds-eq ccBind-eq Int-absorb2)
apply (intro arg-cong2[where  $f = (\sqcup)$ ] refl arg-cong[OF mapCollect-cong])
apply (subgoal-tac  $k \in S$ )
apply simp
apply (metis contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
apply (subgoal-tac  $k \in S$ )
apply simp
apply (metis contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
done

```

lemma CCfix-restr:

```

assumes domA  $\Gamma \subseteq S$ 
shows cc-restr S (CCfix  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G)$ ) = cc-restr S (CCfix  $\Gamma \cdot (ae \upharpoonright S, cc-restr S G)$ )
unfolding CCfix-def
apply simp
apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda x y . cc-restr S x = cc-restr S y$ ])
apply simp
apply rule
apply simp
apply (subst (1 2) ccBindsExtra-restr[OF assms])
apply (auto)
done

```

lemma ccField-CCfix:

```

shows ccField (CCfix  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G)$ )  $\subseteq$  fv  $\Gamma \cup$  ccField G
unfolding CCfix-def

```

```

apply simp
apply (rule fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda x . ccField\ x \subseteq fv\ \Gamma \cup ccField\ G$ ])
apply (auto dest! : subsetD[OF ccField-ccBindsExtra])
done

```

```

lemma CCfix-restr-subst':
  assumes  $\bigwedge x' e a. (x', e) \in set\ \Gamma \implies cc-restr\ S\ (CCexp\ e[x::=y] \cdot a) = cc-restr\ S\ (CCexp\ e \cdot a)$ 
  assumes  $x \notin S$ 
  assumes  $y \notin S$ 
  assumes  $domA\ \Gamma \subseteq S$ 
  shows  $cc-restr\ S\ (CCfix\ \Gamma[x::h=y] \cdot (ae, G)) = cc-restr\ S\ (CCfix\ \Gamma \cdot (ae\ f|' S, cc-restr\ S\ G))$ 
  unfolding CCfix-def
  apply simp
  apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda x\ y . cc-restr\ S\ x = cc-restr\ S\ y$ ])
  apply simp
  apply rule
  apply simp
  apply (subst ccBindsExtra-restr-subst'[OF assms], assumption)
  apply (subst ccBindsExtra-restr[OF assms(4)]) back
  apply (auto)
done

```

end

```

lemma Aexp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArietyAnalysis.Aexp\ cccExp\ e) = CoCallArietyAnalysis.Aexp\ (\pi \cdot cccExp)\ (\pi \cdot e)$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI) unfolding CoCallArietyAnalysis.Aexp-eq by perm-simp rule

```

```

lemma CCexp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCexp\ cccExp\ e) = CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCexp\ (\pi \cdot cccExp)\ (\pi \cdot e)$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI) unfolding CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCexp-eq by perm-simp rule

```

```

lemma CCfix-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCfix\ cccExp\ \Gamma) = CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCfix\ (\pi \cdot cccExp)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
  unfolding CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCfix-def by perm-simp (simp-all add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)

```

```

lemma ccFix-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in snd\ 'set\ heap2 \implies cccexp1\ e = cccexp2\ e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCfix\ cccexp1\ heap1 = CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCfix\ cccexp2\ heap2$ 
  unfolding CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCfix-def
  apply (rule arg-cong) back
  apply (rule ccBindsExtra-cong)
  apply (auto simp add: CoCallArietyAnalysis.CCexp-def)
done

```

context *CoCallArityAnalysis*

begin

definition *cccFix* :: *heap* \Rightarrow (*AEnv* \times *CoCalls*) \rightarrow (*AEnv* \times *CoCalls*)

where *cccFix* $\Gamma = (\Lambda \ i. (Afix \ \Gamma.(fst \ i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) \ f|' \ thunks \ \Gamma), CCfix \ \Gamma.(Afix \ \Gamma.(fst \ i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) \ f|' (thunks \ \Gamma)), snd \ i)))$

lemma *cccFix-eq*:

cccFix $\Gamma \cdot i = (Afix \ \Gamma.(fst \ i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) \ f|' \ thunks \ \Gamma), CCfix \ \Gamma.(Afix \ \Gamma.(fst \ i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) \ f|' (thunks \ \Gamma)), snd \ i))$

unfolding *cccFix-def*

by (*rule beta-cfun*)(*intro cont2cont*)

end

lemma *cccFix-eqvt*[*eqvt*]: $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix \ cccExp \ \Gamma) = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$

apply (*rule cfun-eqvtI*) **unfolding** *CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-eq* **by** *perm-simp rule*

lemma *cccFix-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:

$\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in snd \ 'set \ heap2 \implies cccexp1 \ e = cccexp2 \ e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket \implies CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix \ cccexp1 \ heap1 = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix \ cccexp2 \ heap2$

unfolding *CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-def*

apply (*rule cfun-eqI*)

apply *auto*

apply (*rule arg-cong*[*OF Afix-cong*], *auto simp add: CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp-def*)[1]

apply (*rule arg-cong2*[*OF cccFix-cong Afix-cong*])

apply (*auto simp add: CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp-def*)

done

12.5.1 The non-recursive case

definition *ABind-nonrec* :: *var* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow *AEnv* \times *CoCalls* \rightarrow *Arity*_⊥

where

ABind-nonrec $x \ e = (\Lambda \ i. (if \ isVal \ e \vee x \dashv\vdash x \notin (snd \ i) \ then \ fst \ i \ x \ else \ up \cdot 0))$

lemma *ABind-nonrec-eq*:

ABind-nonrec $x \ e \cdot (ae, G) = (if \ isVal \ e \vee x \dashv\vdash x \notin G \ then \ ae \ x \ else \ up \cdot 0)$

unfolding *ABind-nonrec-def*

apply (*subst beta-cfun*)

apply (*rule cont-if-else-above*)

apply *auto*

by (*metis in-join join-self-below*(4))

lemma *ABind-nonrec-eqvt*[*eqvt*]: $\pi \cdot (ABind-nonrec \ x \ e) = ABind-nonrec (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$

apply (*rule cfun-eqvtI*)

apply (*case-tac xa, simp*)

unfolding *ABind-nonrec-eq*

by *perm-simp rule*

lemma *ABind-nonrec-above-arg*:

$ae\ x \sqsubseteq ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot (ae, G)$
unfolding $ABind\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq$ **by** *auto*

definition $Aheap\text{-}nonrec$ **where**
 $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e = (\Lambda\ i.\ esing\ x.(ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e.i))$

lemma $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}simp$:
 $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e.i = esing\ x.(ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e.i)$
unfolding $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}def$ **by** *simp*

lemma $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}lookup[simp]$:
 $(Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e.i)\ x = ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e.i$
unfolding $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}simp$ **by** *simp*

lemma $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eqvt'[eqvt]$:
 $\pi \cdot (Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e) = Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\pi \cdot e)$
apply (*rule cfun-eqvtI*)
unfolding $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}simp$
by (*perm-simp, rule*)

context $CoCallArityAnalysis$
begin

definition $Afix\text{-}nonrec$
where $Afix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e = (\Lambda\ i.\ fup.(Aexp\ e).(ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i) \sqcup fst\ i)$

lemma $Afix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq[simp]$:
 $Afix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i = fup.(Aexp\ e).(ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i) \sqcup fst\ i$
unfolding $Afix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}def$
by (*rule beta-cfun*) *simp*

definition $CCfix\text{-}nonrec$
where $CCfix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e = (\Lambda\ i.\ ccBind\ x\ e \cdot (Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e.i, snd\ i) \sqcup ccProd\ (fv\ e)\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ (snd\ i) - (if\ isVal\ e\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{x\}))) \sqcup snd\ i)$

lemma $CCfix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq[simp]$:
 $CCfix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i = ccBind\ x\ e.(Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e.i, snd\ i) \sqcup ccProd\ (fv\ e)\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ (snd\ i) - (if\ isVal\ e\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{x\}))) \sqcup snd\ i$
unfolding $CCfix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}def$
by (*rule beta-cfun*) (*intro cont2cont*)

definition $cccFix\text{-}nonrec :: var \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow (AEnv \times CoCalls))$
where $cccFix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e = (\Lambda\ i.\ (Afix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i, CCfix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i))$

lemma $cccFix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq[simp]$:
 $cccFix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e.i = (Afix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i, CCfix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i)$
unfolding $cccFix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}def$
by (*rule beta-cfun*) (*intro cont2cont*)

end

lemma *AFix-nonrec-eqvt*[eqvt]: $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec } \text{cccExp } x \ e) = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot e)$
apply (rule *cfun-eqvtI*)
unfolding *CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec-eq*
by *perm-simp rule*

lemma *CCFix-nonrec-eqvt*[eqvt]: $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.CCFix-nonrec } \text{cccExp } x \ e) = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.CCFix-nonrec } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot e)$
apply (rule *cfun-eqvtI*)
unfolding *CoCallArityAnalysis.CCFix-nonrec-eq*
by *perm-simp rule*

lemma *cccFix-nonrec-eqvt*[eqvt]: $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec } \text{cccExp } x \ e) = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot e)$
apply (rule *cfun-eqvtI*)
unfolding *CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec-eq*
by *perm-simp rule*

12.5.2 Combining the cases

context *CoCallArityAnalysis*

begin

definition *cccFix-choose* :: $\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow (AEnv \times CoCalls))$
where *cccFix-choose* $\Gamma = (\text{if nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then case-prod cccFix-nonrec (hd } \Gamma) \text{ else cccFix } \Gamma)$

lemma *cccFix-choose-simp1*[simp]:
 $\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \text{cccFix-choose } \Gamma = \text{cccFix } \Gamma$
unfolding *cccFix-choose-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *cccFix-choose-simp2*[simp]:
 $x \notin \text{fv } e \implies \text{cccFix-choose } [(x, e)] = \text{cccFix-nonrec } x \ e$
unfolding *cccFix-choose-def nonrec-def* **by** *auto*

end

lemma *cccFix-choose-eqvt*[eqvt]: $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } \text{cccExp } \Gamma) = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) \ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$
unfolding *CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose-def*
apply (cases *nonrec* π rule: *eqvt-cases*[**where** $x = \Gamma$])
apply (*perm-simp, rule*)
apply *simp*
apply (*erule nonrecE*)
apply (*simp*)

apply *simp*
done

lemma *cccFix-nonrec-cong[fundef-cong]*:
 $cccexp1\ e = cccexp2\ e \implies CoCallAriyAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec\ cccexp1\ x\ e = CoCallAriyAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec\ cccexp2\ x\ e$
apply (*rule cfun-eqI*)
unfolding *CoCallAriyAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec-eq*
unfolding *CoCallAriyAnalysis.Afix-nonrec-eq*
unfolding *CoCallAriyAnalysis.CCfix-nonrec-eq*
unfolding *CoCallAriyAnalysis.fup-Aexp-eq*
apply (*simp only:*)
apply (*rule arg-cong[OF ccBind-cong]*)
apply *simp*
unfolding *CoCallAriyAnalysis.CCexp-def*
apply *simp*
done

lemma *cccFix-choose-cong[fundef-cong]*:
 $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in snd\ 'set\ heap2 \implies cccexp1\ e = cccexp2\ e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies CoCallAriyAnalysis.cccFix-choose\ cccexp1\ heap1 = CoCallAriyAnalysis.cccFix-choose\ cccexp2\ heap2$
unfolding *CoCallAriyAnalysis.cccFix-choose-def*
apply (*rule cfun-eqI*)
apply (*auto elim!: nonrecE*)
apply (*rule arg-cong[OF cccFix-nonrec-cong], auto*)
apply (*rule arg-cong[OF cccFix-cong], auto*)[1]
done

end

12.6 CoCallGraph-TTree

theory *CoCallGraph-TTree*
imports *CoCallGraph TTree-HOLCF*
begin

lemma *interleave-ccFromList*:
 $xs \in interleave\ ys\ zs \implies ccFromList\ xs = ccFromList\ ys \sqcup ccFromList\ zs \sqcup ccProd\ (set\ ys)\ (set\ zs)$
by (*induction rule: interleave-induct*)
(auto simp add: interleave-set ccProd-comm ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
 $ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs for xs]$)

lift-definition *ccApprox* :: *var ttree* \Rightarrow *CoCalls*
is $\lambda\ xss. lub\ (ccFromList\ 'xss).$

lemma *ccApprox-paths*: $ccApprox\ t = lub\ (ccFromList\ '(paths\ t))$ **by** *transfer simp*

lemma *ccApprox-strict[simp]*: $ccApprox \perp = \perp$
by (*simp add: ccApprox-paths empty-is-bottom[symmetric]*)

lemma *in-ccApprox*: $(x \dashv\dashv y \in (ccApprox t)) \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs \in paths\ t. (x \dashv\dashv y \in (ccFromList\ xs)))$
unfolding *ccApprox-paths*
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccApprox-mono*: $paths\ t \subseteq paths\ t' \implies ccApprox\ t \sqsubseteq ccApprox\ t'$
by (*rule below-CoCallsI*) (*auto simp add: in-ccApprox*)

lemma *ccApprox-mono'*: $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies ccApprox\ t \sqsubseteq ccApprox\ t'$
by (*metis below-set-def ccApprox-mono paths-mono-iff*)

lemma *ccApprox-belowI*: $(\bigwedge xs. xs \in paths\ t \implies ccFromList\ xs \sqsubseteq G) \implies ccApprox\ t \sqsubseteq G$
unfolding *ccApprox-paths*
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccApprox-below-iff*: $ccApprox\ t \sqsubseteq G \longleftrightarrow (\forall xs \in paths\ t. ccFromList\ xs \sqsubseteq G)$
unfolding *ccApprox-paths* **by** *transfer auto*

lemma *cc-restr-ccApprox-below-iff*: $cc-restr\ S\ (ccApprox\ t) \sqsubseteq G \longleftrightarrow (\forall xs \in paths\ t. cc-restr\ S\ (ccFromList\ xs) \sqsubseteq G)$
unfolding *ccApprox-paths cc-restr-lub*
by *transfer auto*

lemma *ccFromList-below-ccApprox*:
 $xs \in paths\ t \implies ccFromList\ xs \sqsubseteq ccApprox\ t$
by (*rule below-CoCallsI*) (*auto simp add: in-ccApprox*)

lemma *ccApprox-nxt-below*:
 $ccApprox\ (nxt\ t\ x) \sqsubseteq ccApprox\ t$
by (*rule below-CoCallsI*) (*auto simp add: in-ccApprox paths-nxt-eq elim!: bexI[rotated]*)

lemma *ccApprox-ttree-restr-nxt-below*:
 $ccApprox\ (ttree-restr\ S\ (nxt\ t\ x)) \sqsubseteq ccApprox\ (ttree-restr\ S\ t)$
by (*rule below-CoCallsI*)
(auto simp add: in-ccApprox filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] paths-nxt-eq elim!: bexI[rotated])

lemma *ccApprox-ttree-restr[simp]*: $ccApprox\ (ttree-restr\ S\ t) = cc-restr\ S\ (ccApprox\ t)$
by (*rule CoCalls-eqI*) (*auto simp add: in-ccApprox filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric]*)

lemma *ccApprox-both*: $ccApprox\ (t \otimes t') = ccApprox\ t \sqcup ccApprox\ t' \sqcup ccProd\ (carrier\ t)\ (carrier\ t')$
proof (*rule below-antisym*)
show $ccApprox\ (t \otimes t') \sqsubseteq ccApprox\ t \sqcup ccApprox\ t' \sqcup ccProd\ (carrier\ t)\ (carrier\ t')$
by (*rule below-CoCallsI*)
(auto 4 4 simp add: in-ccApprox paths-both Union-paths-carrier[symmetric] interleave-ccFromList)
next

```

have ccApprox t  $\sqsubseteq$  ccApprox (t  $\otimes$  t')
  by (rule ccApprox-mono[OF both-contains-arg1])
moreover
have ccApprox t'  $\sqsubseteq$  ccApprox (t  $\otimes$  t')
  by (rule ccApprox-mono[OF both-contains-arg2])
moreover
have ccProd (carrier t) (carrier t')  $\sqsubseteq$  ccApprox (t  $\otimes$  t')
proof(rule ccProd-belowI)
  fix x y
  assume x  $\in$  carrier t and y  $\in$  carrier t'
  then obtain xs ys where x  $\in$  set xs and y  $\in$  set ys
    and xs  $\in$  paths t and ys  $\in$  paths t' by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  hence xs @ ys  $\in$  paths (t  $\otimes$  t') by (metis paths-both append-interleave)
  moreover
  from  $\langle x \in \text{set } xs \rangle \langle y \in \text{set } ys \rangle$ 
  have x--y $\in$ (ccFromList (xs@ys)) by simp
  ultimately
  show x--y $\in$ (ccApprox (t  $\otimes$  t')) by (auto simp add: in-ccApprox simp del: ccFrom-
List-append)
qed
ultimately
show ccApprox t  $\sqcup$  ccApprox t'  $\sqcup$  ccProd (carrier t) (carrier t')  $\sqsubseteq$  ccApprox (t  $\otimes$  t')
  by (simp add: join-below-iff)
qed

```

```

lemma ccApprox-many-calls[simp]:
  ccApprox (many-calls x) = ccProd {x} {x}
  by transfer' (rule CoCalls-eqI, auto)

```

```

lemma ccApprox-single[simp]:
  ccApprox (TTree.single y) =  $\perp$ 
  by transfer' auto

```

```

lemma ccApprox-either[simp]: ccApprox (t  $\oplus$  t') = ccApprox t  $\sqcup$  ccApprox t'
  by transfer' (rule CoCalls-eqI, auto)

```

lemma wild-recursion:

```

assumes ccApprox t  $\sqsubseteq$  G
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \notin S \implies f x = \text{empty}$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \text{ccApprox } (f x) \sqsubseteq G$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \text{ccProd } (\text{ccNeighbors } x G) (\text{carrier } (f x)) \sqsubseteq G$ 
shows ccApprox (ttree-restr (-S) (substitute f T t))  $\sqsubseteq$  G
proof(rule ccApprox-belowI)
  fix xs
  define seen :: var set where seen = {}

  assume xs  $\in$  paths (ttree-restr (-S) (substitute f T t))

```

then obtain $xs' \ xs''$ where $xs = [x \leftarrow xs' . x \notin S]$ and $substitute'' f T xs'' xs'$ and $xs'' \in paths$
 t
 by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr2[symmetric] substitute-substitute'')

note this(2)
 moreover
 from $\langle ccApprox\ t \sqsubseteq G \rangle$ and $\langle xs'' \in paths\ t \rangle$
 have $ccFromList\ xs'' \sqsubseteq G$
 by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff)
 moreover
 note assms(2)
 moreover
 from assms(3,4)
 have $\bigwedge x\ ys. x \in S \implies ys \in paths\ (f\ x) \implies ccFromList\ ys \sqsubseteq G$
 and $\bigwedge x\ ys. x \in S \implies ys \in paths\ (f\ x) \implies ccProd\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ G)\ (set\ ys) \sqsubseteq G$
 by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff Union-paths-carrier[symmetric] cc-lub-below-iff)
 moreover
 have $ccProd\ seen\ (set\ xs'') \sqsubseteq G$ unfolding seen-def by simp
 ultimately
 have $ccFromList\ [x \leftarrow xs' . x \notin S] \sqsubseteq G \wedge ccProd\ (seen)\ (set\ xs') \sqsubseteq G$
 proof(induction f T xs'' xs' arbitrary: seen rule: substitute''.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
 case Nil thus ?case by simp
 next
 case (Cons zs f x xs' xs T ys)

 have seen-x: $ccProd\ seen\ \{x\} \sqsubseteq G$
 using $\langle ccProd\ seen\ (set\ (x \# xs)) \sqsubseteq G \rangle$
 by (auto simp add: ccProd-insert2[where $S' = set\ xs$ for xs] join-below-iff)

 show ?case
 proof(cases $x \in S$)
 case True

 from $\langle ccFromList\ (x \# xs) \sqsubseteq G \rangle$
 have $ccProd\ \{x\}\ (set\ xs) \sqsubseteq G$ by (auto simp add: join-below-iff)
 hence subset1: $set\ xs \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ G$ by transfer auto

 from $\langle ccProd\ seen\ (set\ (x \# xs)) \sqsubseteq G \rangle$
 have subset2: $seen \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ G$
 by (auto simp add: subset-ccNeighbors ccProd-insert2[where $S' = set\ xs$ for xs]
 join-below-iff ccProd-comm)

 from subset1 and subset2
 have $seen \cup set\ xs \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ G$ by auto
 hence $ccProd\ (seen \cup set\ xs)\ (set\ zs) \sqsubseteq ccProd\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ G)\ (set\ zs)$
 by (rule ccProd-mono1)
 also
 from $\langle x \in S \rangle\ \langle zs \in paths\ (f\ x) \rangle$
 have ... $\sqsubseteq G$

```

    by (rule Cons.premis(4))
  finally
  have ccProd (seen  $\cup$  set xs) (set zs)  $\sqsubseteq$  G by this simp

  with  $\langle x \in S \rangle$  Cons.premis Cons.hyps
  have ccFromList [x $\leftarrow$ ys . x  $\notin$  S]  $\sqsubseteq$  G  $\wedge$  ccProd (seen) (set ys)  $\sqsubseteq$  G
    apply -
    apply (rule Cons.IH)
    apply (auto simp add: f-act-def join-below-iff interleave-ccFromList interleave-set
ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
      split: if-splits)
    done
  with  $\langle x \in S \rangle$  seen-x
  show ccFromList [x $\leftarrow$ x # ys . x  $\notin$  S]  $\sqsubseteq$  G  $\wedge$  ccProd seen (set (x # ys))  $\sqsubseteq$  G
    by (auto simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff)
  next
  case False

  from False Cons.premis Cons.hyps
  have *: ccFromList [x $\leftarrow$ ys . x  $\notin$  S]  $\sqsubseteq$  G  $\wedge$  ccProd ((insert x seen)) (set ys)  $\sqsubseteq$  G
    apply -
    apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen = insert x seen])
    apply (auto simp add: ccApprox-both join-below-iff tree-restr-both interleave-ccFromList
insert-Diff-if
      simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
      simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
    done
  moreover
  from False *
  have ccProd {x} (set ys)  $\sqsubseteq$  G
    by (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen] join-below-iff)
  hence ccProd {x} {x  $\in$  set ys. x  $\notin$  S}  $\sqsubseteq$  G
    by (rule below-trans[rotated, OF - ccProd-mono2]) auto
  moreover
  note False seen-x
  ultimately
  show ccFromList [x $\leftarrow$ x # ys . x  $\notin$  S]  $\sqsubseteq$  G  $\wedge$  ccProd (seen) (set (x # ys))  $\sqsubseteq$  G
    by (auto simp add: join-below-iff simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' =
set xs for xs] ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
  qed
  qed
  with  $\langle xs = - \rangle$ 
  show ccFromList xs  $\sqsubseteq$  G by simp
  qed

```

lemma wild-recursion-thunked:

```

  assumes ccApprox t  $\sqsubseteq$  G
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \notin S \implies f\ x = \text{empty}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \text{ccApprox}\ (f\ x) \sqsubseteq G$ 

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \text{ccProd } (\text{ccNeighbors } x \ G - \{x\} \cap T) \ (\text{carrier } (f \ x)) \sqsubseteq G$ 
shows  $\text{ccApprox } (\text{ttree-restr } (-S) \ (\text{substitute } f \ T \ t)) \sqsubseteq G$ 
proof(rule ccApprox-belowI)
  fix  $xs$ 

  define  $\text{seen} :: \text{var set}$  where  $\text{seen} = \{\}$ 
  define  $\text{seen-T} :: \text{var set}$  where  $\text{seen-T} = \{\}$ 

  assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } (-S) \ (\text{substitute } f \ T \ t))$ 
  then obtain  $xs' \ xs''$  where  $xs = [x \leftarrow xs' . x \notin S]$  and  $\text{substitute}'' f \ T \ xs'' \ xs'$  and  $xs'' \in \text{paths}$ 
   $t$ 
    by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr2[symmetric] substitute-substitute'')

  note this(2)
  moreover
  from  $\langle \text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq G \rangle$  and  $\langle xs'' \in \text{paths } t \rangle$ 
  have  $\text{ccFromList } xs'' \sqsubseteq G$ 
    by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff)
  hence  $\text{ccFromList } xs'' \ G \mid' (- \text{seen-T}) \sqsubseteq G$ 
    by (rule rev-below-trans[OF - cc-restr-below-arg])
  moreover
  note assms(2)
  moreover
  from assms(3,4)
  have  $\bigwedge x \ ys. x \in S \implies ys \in \text{paths } (f \ x) \implies \text{ccFromList } ys \sqsubseteq G$ 
    and  $\bigwedge x \ ys. x \in S \implies ys \in \text{paths } (f \ x) \implies \text{ccProd } (\text{ccNeighbors } x \ G - \{x\} \cap T) \ (\text{set } ys)$ 
 $\sqsubseteq G$ 
    by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff seen-T-def Union-paths-carrier[symmetric] cc-lub-below-iff)
  moreover
  have  $\text{ccProd } \text{seen} \ (\text{set } xs'' - \text{seen-T}) \sqsubseteq G$  unfolding seen-def seen-T-def by simp
  moreover
  have  $\text{seen} \cap S = \{\}$  unfolding seen-def by simp
  moreover
  have  $\text{seen-T} \subseteq S$  unfolding seen-T-def by simp
  moreover
  have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{seen-T} \implies f \ x = \text{empty}$  unfolding seen-T-def by simp
  ultimately
  have  $\text{ccFromList } [x \leftarrow xs' . x \notin S] \sqsubseteq G \wedge \text{ccProd } (\text{seen}) \ (\text{set } xs' - \text{seen-T}) \sqsubseteq G$ 
  proof(induction  $f \ T \ xs'' \ xs'$  arbitrary: seen seen-T rule: substitute''.induct[case-names Nil
Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons  $zs \ f \ x \ xs' \ xs \ T \ ys$ )

    let ?seen-T = if  $x \in T$  then insert  $x \ \text{seen-T}$  else seen-T
    have subset:  $- \text{insert } x \ \text{seen-T} \subseteq - \text{seen-T}$  by auto
    have subset2:  $\text{set } xs \cap - \text{insert } x \ \text{seen-T} \subseteq \text{insert } x \ (\text{set } xs) \cap - \text{seen-T}$  by auto
    have subset3:  $\text{set } zs \cap - \text{insert } x \ \text{seen-T} \subseteq \text{set } zs$  by auto
    have subset4:  $\text{set } xs \cap - \text{seen-T} \subseteq \text{insert } x \ (\text{set } xs) \cap - \text{seen-T}$  by auto

```

```

have subset5: set zs  $\cap$   $-$  seen-T  $\subseteq$  set zs by auto
have subset6: set ys  $-$  seen-T  $\subseteq$  (set ys  $-$  ?seen-T)  $\cup$  {x} by auto

show ?case
proof(cases x  $\in$  seen-T)
  assume x  $\in$  seen-T

  have [simp]: f x = empty using  $\langle x \in \text{seen-T} \rangle$  Cons.prem by auto
  have [simp]: f-nxt f T x = f by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def split-if-splits)
  have [simp]: zs = [] using  $\langle zs \in \text{paths } (f x) \rangle$  by simp
  have [simp]: xs' = xs using  $\langle xs' \in xs \otimes zs \rangle$  by simp
  have [simp]: x  $\in$  S using  $\langle x \in \text{seen-T} \rangle$  Cons.prem by auto

  from Cons.hyps Cons.prem
  have ccFromList [x $\leftarrow$ ys . x  $\notin$  S]  $\subseteq$  G  $\wedge$  ccProd seen (set ys  $-$  seen-T)  $\subseteq$  G
    apply  $-$ 
    apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen-T = seen-T])
    apply (auto simp add: join-below-iff Diff-eq)
    apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset4]])
    done
  thus ?thesis using  $\langle x \in \text{seen-T} \rangle$  by simp
next
  assume x  $\notin$  seen-T

  have seen-x: ccProd seen {x}  $\subseteq$  G
    using  $\langle \text{ccProd seen } (\text{set } (x \# \text{xs}) - \text{seen-T}) \subseteq G \rangle$   $\langle x \notin \text{seen-T} \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs  $-$  seen-T for xs]
join-below-iff)

  show ?case
  proof(cases x  $\in$  S)
    case True

    from  $\langle \text{cc-restr } (- \text{ seen-T}) (\text{ccFromList } (x \# \text{xs})) \subseteq G \rangle$ 
    have ccProd {x} (set xs  $-$  seen-T)  $\subseteq$  G using  $\langle x \notin \text{seen-T} \rangle$  by (auto simp add:
join-below-iff Diff-eq)
    hence set xs  $-$  seen-T  $\subseteq$  ccNeighbors x G by transfer auto
    moreover

    from seen-x
    have seen  $\subseteq$  ccNeighbors x G by (simp add: subset-ccNeighbors ccProd-comm)
    moreover
    have x  $\notin$  seen using True  $\langle \text{seen} \cap S = \{ \} \rangle$  by auto

    ultimately
    have seen  $\cup$  (set xs  $\cap$   $-$  ?seen-T)  $\subseteq$  ccNeighbors x G  $-$  {x}  $\cap$  T by auto
    hence ccProd (seen  $\cup$  (set xs  $\cap$   $-$  ?seen-T)) (set zs)  $\subseteq$  ccProd (ccNeighbors x G  $-$ 
{x}  $\cap$  T) (set zs)
    by (rule ccProd-mono1)

```

```

also
from  $\langle x \in S \rangle \langle zs \in \text{paths } (f \ x) \rangle$ 
have ...  $\sqsubseteq G$ 
  by (rule Cons.premis(4))
finally
have ccProd (seen  $\cup$  (set xs  $\cap$  - ?seen-T)) (set zs)  $\sqsubseteq G$  by this simp

with  $\langle x \in S \rangle$  Cons.premis Cons.hyps(1,2)
have ccFromList [x $\leftarrow$ ys . x  $\notin$  S]  $\sqsubseteq G \wedge$  ccProd (seen) (set ys - ?seen-T)  $\sqsubseteq G$ 
  apply -
  apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen-T = ?seen-T])
  apply (auto simp add: Un-Diff Int-Un-distrib2 Diff-eq f-nxt-def join-below-iff inter-
leave-ccFromList interleave-set ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
split: if-splits)
  apply (erule below-trans[OF cc-restr-mono1[OF subset]])
  apply (rule below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg], simp)
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl Int-lower1]])
  apply (rule below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg], simp)
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl Int-lower1]])
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset2]])
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset3]])
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset4]])
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset5]])
  done
with  $\langle x \in S \rangle$  seen-x  $\langle x \notin \text{seen-T} \rangle$ 
show ccFromList [x $\leftarrow$ x # ys . x  $\notin$  S]  $\sqsubseteq G \wedge$  ccProd seen (set (x#ys) - seen-T)  $\sqsubseteq G$ 

  apply (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' = set ys - seen-T for
xs] join-below-iff)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset6]])
  apply (subst ccProd-union2)
  apply (auto simp add: join-below-iff)
  done
next
case False

from False Cons.premis Cons.hyps
have *: ccFromList [x $\leftarrow$ ys . x  $\notin$  S]  $\sqsubseteq G \wedge$  ccProd ((insert x seen)) (set ys - seen-T)  $\sqsubseteq G$ 
G
  apply -
  apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen = insert x seen and seen-T = seen-T])
  apply (auto simp add:  $\langle x \notin \text{seen-T} \rangle$  Diff-eq ccApprox-both join-below-iff tree-restr-both
interleave-ccFromList insert-Diff-if
simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs  $\cap$  - seen-T for xs]
simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
  done
moreover
{
from False *

```

```

have ccProd {x} (set ys - seen-T)  $\sqsubseteq$  G
  by (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen] join-below-iff)
hence ccProd {x} {x  $\in$  set ys - seen-T. x  $\notin$  S}  $\sqsubseteq$  G
  by (rule below-trans[rotated, OF - ccProd-mono2]) auto
also have {x  $\in$  set ys - seen-T. x  $\notin$  S} = {x  $\in$  set ys. x  $\notin$  S}
  using  $\langle$ seen-T  $\subseteq$  S $\rangle$  by auto
finally
have ccProd {x} {x  $\in$  set ys. x  $\notin$  S}  $\sqsubseteq$  G.
}
moreover
note False seen-x
ultimately
show ccFromList [x $\leftarrow$ x # ys . x  $\notin$  S]  $\sqsubseteq$  G  $\wedge$  ccProd (seen) (set (x # ys) - seen-T)  $\sqsubseteq$ 
G
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' =
set ys - seen-T for xs] ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
qed
qed
qed
with  $\langle$ xs = - $\rangle$ 
show ccFromList xs  $\sqsubseteq$  G by simp
qed

```

```

inductive-set valid-lists :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  var list set
for S G
where []  $\in$  valid-lists S G
| set xs  $\subseteq$  ccNeighbors x G  $\Longrightarrow$  xs  $\in$  valid-lists S G  $\Longrightarrow$  x  $\in$  S  $\Longrightarrow$  x#xs  $\in$  valid-lists S G

```

```

inductive-simps valid-lists-simps[simp]: []  $\in$  valid-lists S G (x#xs)  $\in$  valid-lists S G
inductive-cases valid-lists-ConsE: (x#xs)  $\in$  valid-lists S G

```

```

lemma valid-lists-downset-aux:
  xs  $\in$  valid-lists S CoCalls  $\Longrightarrow$  butlast xs  $\in$  valid-lists S CoCalls
by (induction xs) (auto dest: in-set-butlastD)

```

```

lemma valid-lists-subset: xs  $\in$  valid-lists S G  $\Longrightarrow$  set xs  $\subseteq$  S
by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) auto

```

```

lemma valid-lists-mono1:
  assumes S  $\subseteq$  S'
  shows valid-lists S G  $\subseteq$  valid-lists S' G
proof
fix xs
assume xs  $\in$  valid-lists S G
thus xs  $\in$  valid-lists S' G
  by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) (auto dest: subsetD[OF assms])
qed

```



```

lemma valid-lists-chain1:
  assumes chain Y
  assumes  $xs \in \text{valid-lists } (\bigcup (Y \text{ ' } UNIV)) \ G$ 
  shows  $\exists i. xs \in \text{valid-lists } (Y \ i) \ G$ 
proof–
  note  $\langle \text{chain } Y \rangle$ 
  moreover
  from assms(2)
  have  $set\ xs \subseteq \bigcup (Y \text{ ' } UNIV)$  by (rule valid-lists-subset)
  moreover
  have finite (set xs) by simp
  ultimately
  have  $\exists i. set\ xs \subseteq Y \ i$  by (rule finite-subset-chain)
  then obtain i where  $set\ xs \subseteq Y \ i..$ 

  from assms(2) this
  have  $xs \in \text{valid-lists } (Y \ i) \ G$  by (induction rule:valid-lists.induct) auto
  thus ?thesis..
qed

lemma valid-lists-chain2:
  assumes chain Y
  assumes  $xs \in \text{valid-lists } S \ (\bigsqcup i. Y \ i)$ 
  shows  $\exists i. xs \in \text{valid-lists } S \ (Y \ i)$ 
using assms(2)
proof(induction rule:valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)

  from  $\langle \text{chain } Y \rangle$ 
  have chain  $(\lambda i. ccNeighbors\ x \ (Y \ i))$ 
  apply (rule ch2ch-monofun[OF monofunI, rotated])
  unfolding below-set-def
  by (rule ccNeighbors-mono)
  moreover
  from  $\langle set\ xs \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x \ (\bigsqcup i. Y \ i) \rangle$ 
  have  $set\ xs \subseteq (\bigcup i. ccNeighbors\ x \ (Y \ i))$ 
  by (simp add: lub-set)
  moreover
  have finite (set xs) by simp
  ultimately
  have  $\exists i. set\ xs \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x \ (Y \ i)$  by (rule finite-subset-chain)
  then obtain i where  $i: set\ xs \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x \ (Y \ i)..$ 

  from Cons.IH
  obtain j where  $j: xs \in \text{valid-lists } S \ (Y \ j)..$ 

  from i

```

```

have set  $xs \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ (Y\ (max\ i\ j))$ 
by (rule order-trans[ $OF - ccNeighbors-mono[OF\ chain-mono[OF\ \langle chain\ Y \rangle\ max.cobounded1]]]$ )
moreover
from  $j$ 
have  $xs \in valid-lists\ S\ (Y\ (max\ i\ j))$ 
by (induction rule:  $valid-lists.induct$ )
      (auto del: subsetI elim: order-trans[ $OF - ccNeighbors-mono[OF\ chain-mono[OF\ \langle chain\ Y \rangle\ max.cobounded2]]]$ )
moreover
note  $\langle x \in S \rangle$ 
ultimately
have  $x \# xs \in valid-lists\ S\ (Y\ (max\ i\ j))$  by rule
thus ?case..

```

qed

lemma *valid-lists-cc-restr*: $valid-lists\ S\ G = valid-lists\ S\ (cc-restr\ S\ G)$

proof(rule set-eqI)

fix xs

show $(xs \in valid-lists\ S\ G) = (xs \in valid-lists\ S\ (cc-restr\ S\ G))$

by (induction xs) (auto dest: subsetD[$OF\ valid-lists-subset$])

qed

lemma *interleave-valid-list*:

$xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies ys \in valid-lists\ S\ G \implies zs \in valid-lists\ S'\ G' \implies xs \in valid-lists\ (S \cup S')$
 $(G \sqcup (G' \sqcup ccProd\ S\ S'))$

proof (induction rule: *interleave-induct*)

case *Nil*

show ?case **by** simp

next

case ($left\ ys\ zs\ xs\ x$)

from $\langle x \# ys \in valid-lists\ S\ G \rangle$

have $x \in S$ **and** $set\ ys \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ G$ **and** $ys \in valid-lists\ S\ G$

by auto

from $\langle xs \in ys \otimes zs \rangle$

have $set\ xs = set\ ys \cup set\ zs$ **by** (rule *interleave-set*)

with $\langle set\ ys \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ G \rangle\ valid-lists-subset[OF\ \langle zs \in valid-lists\ S'\ G' \rangle]$

have $set\ xs \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ (G \sqcup (G' \sqcup ccProd\ S\ S'))$

by (auto simp add: $ccNeighbors-ccProd\ \langle x \in S \rangle$)

moreover

from $\langle ys \in valid-lists\ S\ G \rangle\ \langle zs \in valid-lists\ S'\ G' \rangle$

have $xs \in valid-lists\ (S \cup S')\ (G \sqcup (G' \sqcup ccProd\ S\ S'))$

by (rule *left.IH*)

moreover

from $\langle x \in S \rangle$

have $x \in S \cup S'$ **by** simp

ultimately

show ?case..

```

next
  case (right ys zs xs x)

  from ⟨x # zs ∈ valid-lists S' G'⟩
  have x ∈ S' and set zs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G' and zs ∈ valid-lists S' G'
  by auto

  from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩
  have set xs = set ys ∪ set zs by (rule interleave-set)
  with ⟨set zs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G'⟩ valid-lists-subset[OF ⟨ys ∈ valid-lists S G⟩]
  have set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (G ⊔ (G' ⊔ ccProd S S'))
    by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd ⟨x ∈ S'⟩)
  moreover
  from ⟨ys ∈ valid-lists S G⟩ ⟨zs ∈ valid-lists S' G'⟩
  have xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S') (G ⊔ (G' ⊔ ccProd S S'))
    by (rule right.IH)
  moreover
  from ⟨x ∈ S'⟩
  have x ∈ S ∪ S' by simp
  ultimately
  show ?case..
qed

lemma interleave-valid-list':
  xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S') G ⟹ ∃ ys zs. xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ∧ ys ∈ valid-lists S G ∧ zs ∈ valid-lists S' G
proof(induction rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)
  then obtain ys zs where xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ys ∈ valid-lists S G zs ∈ valid-lists S' G by auto

  from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩ have set xs = set ys ∪ set zs by (rule interleave-set)
  with ⟨set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G⟩
  have set ys ⊆ ccNeighbors x G and set zs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G by auto

  from ⟨x ∈ S ∪ S'⟩
  show ?case
proof
  assume x ∈ S
  with ⟨set ys ⊆ ccNeighbors x G⟩ ⟨ys ∈ valid-lists S G⟩
  have x # ys ∈ valid-lists S G
    by rule
  moreover
  from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩
  have x # xs ∈ x # ys ⊗ zs..
  ultimately
  show ?thesis using ⟨zs ∈ valid-lists S' G⟩ by blast
next

```

```

    assume  $x \in S'$ 
    with  $\langle \text{set } zs \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G \rangle \langle zs \in \text{valid-lists } S' \ G \rangle$ 
    have  $x \# zs \in \text{valid-lists } S' \ G$ 
      by rule
    moreover
    from  $\langle xs \in ys \otimes zs \rangle$ 
    have  $x \# xs \in ys \otimes x \# zs..$ 
    ultimately
    show ?thesis using  $\langle ys \in \text{valid-lists } S \ G \rangle$  by blast
qed
qed

lemma many-calls-valid-list:
   $xs \in \text{valid-lists } \{x\} \ (\text{ccProd } \{x\} \ \{x\}) \implies xs \in \text{range } (\lambda n. \text{replicate } n \ x)$ 
  by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) (auto, metis UNIV-I image-iff replicate-Suc)

lemma filter-valid-lists:
   $xs \in \text{valid-lists } S \ G \implies \text{filter } P \ xs \in \text{valid-lists } \{a \in S. P \ a\} \ G$ 
  by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) auto

lift-definition ccTTree ::  $\text{var set} \Rightarrow \text{CoCalls} \Rightarrow \text{var ttree}$  is  $\lambda S \ G. \text{valid-lists } S \ G$ 
  by (auto intro: valid-lists-downset-aux)

lemma paths-ccTTree[simp]:  $\text{paths } (\text{ccTTree } S \ G) = \text{valid-lists } S \ G$  by transfer auto

lemma carrier-ccTTree[simp]:  $\text{carrier } (\text{ccTTree } S \ G) = S$ 
  apply transfer
  apply (auto dest: valid-lists-subset)
  apply (rule-tac  $x = [x]$  in bexI)
  apply auto
  done

lemma valid-lists-ccFromList:
   $xs \in \text{valid-lists } S \ G \implies \text{ccFromList } xs \sqsubseteq \text{cc-restr } S \ G$ 
  by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct)
  (auto simp add: join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors ccProd-below-cc-restr elim: subsetD[OF valid-lists-subset])

lemma ccApprox-ccTTree[simp]:  $\text{ccApprox } (\text{ccTTree } S \ G) = \text{cc-restr } S \ G$ 
proof (transfer' fixing:  $S \ G$ , rule below-antisym)
  show  $\text{lub } (\text{ccFromList } ' \text{valid-lists } S \ G) \sqsubseteq \text{cc-restr } S \ G$ 
    apply (rule is-lub-the-lub-ex)
    apply (metis coCallsLub-is-lub)
    apply (rule is-ubI)
    apply clarify
    apply (erule valid-lists-ccFromList)
    done
next
  show  $\text{cc-restr } S \ G \sqsubseteq \text{lub } (\text{ccFromList } ' \text{valid-lists } S \ G)$ 
  proof (rule below-CoCallsI)

```

```

fix x y
have  $x \dashv\dashv y \in (ccFromList [y, x])$  by simp
moreover
assume  $x \dashv\dashv y \in (cc-restr S G)$ 
hence  $[y, x] \in valid-lists S G$  by (auto simp add: elem-ccNeighbors)
ultimately
show  $x \dashv\dashv y \in (lub (ccFromList ` valid-lists S G))$ 
  by (rule in-CoCallsLubI[OF - imageI])
qed
qed

lemma below-ccTTreeI:
  assumes  $carrier t \subseteq S$  and  $ccApprox t \sqsubseteq G$ 
  shows  $t \sqsubseteq ccTTree S G$ 
unfolding paths-mono-iff[symmetric] below-set-def
proof
  fix xs
  assume  $xs \in paths t$ 
  with assms
  have  $xs \in valid-lists S G$ 
  proof(induction xs arbitrary : t)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons x xs)
    from  $\langle x \# xs \in paths t \rangle$ 
    have possible  $t x$  and  $xs \in paths (nxt t x)$  by (auto simp add: Cons-path)

    have  $ccProd \{x\} (set xs) \sqsubseteq ccFromList (x \# xs)$  by simp
    also
    from  $\langle x \# xs \in paths t \rangle$ 
    have  $\dots \sqsubseteq ccApprox t$ 
      by (rule ccFromList-below-ccApprox)
    also
    note  $\langle ccApprox t \sqsubseteq G \rangle$ 
    finally
    have  $ccProd \{x\} (set xs) \sqsubseteq G$  by this simp-all
    hence  $set xs \subseteq ccNeighbors x G$  unfolding subset-ccNeighbors.
    moreover
    have  $xs \in valid-lists S G$ 
    proof(rule Cons.IH)
      show  $xs \in paths (nxt t x)$  by fact
    next
      from  $\langle carrier t \subseteq S \rangle$ 
      show  $carrier (nxt t x) \subseteq S$ 
        by (rule order-trans[OF carrier-nxt-subset])
    next
      from  $\langle ccApprox t \sqsubseteq G \rangle$ 
      show  $ccApprox (nxt t x) \sqsubseteq G$ 
        by (rule below-trans[OF ccApprox-nxt-below])
  end
end

```

```

qed
moreover
from  $\langle \text{carrier } t \subseteq S \rangle$  and  $\langle \text{possible } t \ x \rangle$ 
have  $x \in S$  by (rule carrier-possible-subset)
ultimately
show ?case..
qed

thus  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ccTTree } S \ G)$  by (metis paths-ccTTree)
qed

lemma ccTTree-mono1:
 $S \subseteq S' \implies \text{ccTTree } S \ G \sqsubseteq \text{ccTTree } S' \ G$ 
by (rule below-ccTTreeI) (auto simp add: cc-restr-below-arg)

lemma cont-ccTTree1:
cont  $(\lambda S. \text{ccTTree } S \ G)$ 
apply (rule contI2)
apply (rule monofunI)
apply (erule ccTTree-mono1 [folded below-set-def])

apply (rule ttree-belowI)
apply (simp add: paths-Either lub-set lub-is-either)
apply (drule (1) valid-lists-chain1 [rotated])
apply simp
done

lemma ccTTree-mono2:
 $G \sqsubseteq G' \implies \text{ccTTree } S \ G \sqsubseteq \text{ccTTree } S \ G'$ 
apply (rule ttree-belowI)
apply simp
apply (induct-tac rule:valid-lists.induct) apply assumption
apply simp
apply simp
apply (erule (1) order-trans [OF - ccNeighbors-mono])
done

lemma ccTTree-mono:
 $S \subseteq S' \implies G \sqsubseteq G' \implies \text{ccTTree } S \ G \sqsubseteq \text{ccTTree } S' \ G'$ 
by (metis below-trans [OF ccTTree-mono1 ccTTree-mono2])

lemma cont-ccTTree2:
cont  $(\text{ccTTree } S)$ 
apply (rule contI2)
apply (rule monofunI)
apply (erule ccTTree-mono2)

apply (rule ttree-belowI)

```

```

apply (simp add: paths-Either lub-set lub-is-either)
apply (drule (1) valid-lists-chain2)
apply simp
done

```

lemmas *cont-ccTTree = cont-compose2*[**where** $c = ccTTree$, *OF cont-ccTTree1 cont-ccTTree2*,
simp, cont2cont]

lemma *ccTTree-below-singleI*:

```

assumes  $S \cap S' = \{\}$ 
shows  $ccTTree\ S\ G \sqsubseteq singles\ S'$ 

```

proof–

```

{
fix  $xs\ x$ 
assume  $xs \in valid-lists\ S\ G$  and  $x \in S'$ 
from this assms
have  $length\ [x' \leftarrow xs.\ x' = x] \leq Suc\ 0$ 
by(induction rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons]) auto
}
thus ?thesis by transfer auto

```

qed

lemma *ccTTree-cc-restr*: $ccTTree\ S\ G = ccTTree\ S\ (cc-restr\ S\ G)$

by *transfer' (rule valid-lists-cc-restr)*

lemma *ccTTree-cong-below*: $cc-restr\ S\ G \sqsubseteq cc-restr\ S\ G' \implies ccTTree\ S\ G \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ S\ G'$

by (*metis ccTTree-mono2 ccTTree-cc-restr*)

lemma *ccTTree-cong*: $cc-restr\ S\ G = cc-restr\ S\ G' \implies ccTTree\ S\ G = ccTTree\ S\ G'$

by (*metis ccTTree-cc-restr*)

lemma *either-ccTTree*:

```

 $ccTTree\ S\ G \oplus \oplus ccTTree\ S'\ G' \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ (S \cup S')\ (G \sqcup G')$ 

```

by (*auto intro!: either-belowI ccTTree-mono*)

lemma *interleave-ccTTree*:

```

 $ccTTree\ S\ G \otimes \otimes ccTTree\ S'\ G' \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ (S \cup S')\ (G \sqcup G' \sqcup ccProd\ S\ S')$ 

```

by *transfer' (auto, erule (2) interleave-valid-list)*

lemma *interleave-ccTTree'*:

```

 $ccTTree\ (S \cup S')\ G \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ S\ G \otimes \otimes ccTTree\ S'\ G$ 

```

by *transfer' (auto dest!: interleave-valid-list')*

lemma *many-calls-ccTTree*:

```

shows  $many-calls\ x = ccTTree\ \{x\}\ (ccProd\ \{x\}\ \{x\})$ 

```

apply(*transfer'*)

apply (*auto intro: many-calls-valid-list*)

```

apply (induct-tac n)
apply (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
done

```

lemma *filter-valid-lists'*:

$xs \in \text{valid-lists } \{x' \in S. P \ x'\} \ G \implies xs \in \text{filter } P \text{ ' valid-lists } S \ G$

proof (induction xs)

case Nil **thus** ?case **by** auto (metis filter.simps(1) image-iff valid-lists-simps(1))

next

case (Cons x xs)

from Cons.prem

have set xs \subseteq ccNeighbors x G **and** xs \in valid-lists $\{x' \in S. P \ x'\} \ G$ **and** x \in S **and** P x **by** auto

from this(2) **have** set xs \subseteq $\{x' \in S. P \ x'\}$ **by** (rule valid-lists-subset)

hence $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. P \ x$ **by** auto

hence [simp]: filter P xs = xs **by** (rule filter-True)

from Cons.IH[OF $\langle xs \in \cdot \rangle$]

have xs \in filter P ' valid-lists S G.

from $\langle xs \in \text{valid-lists } \{x' \in S. P \ x'\} \ G \rangle$

have xs \in valid-lists S G **by** (rule subsetD[OF valid-lists-mono1, rotated]) auto

from $\langle \text{set } xs \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G \rangle$ this $\langle x \in S \rangle$

have x $\#$ xs \in valid-lists S G **by** rule

hence filter P (x $\#$ xs) \in filter P ' valid-lists S G **by** (rule imageI)

thus ?case **using** $\langle P \ x \rangle \langle \text{filter } P \ xs = xs \rangle$ **by** simp

qed

lemma without-ccTTree[simp]:

without x (ccTTree S G) = ccTTree (S - {x}) G

by (transfer' fixing: x) (auto dest: filter-valid-lists' filter-valid-lists[**where** P = $(\lambda x'. x' \neq x)$]
simp add: set-diff-eq)

lemma tree-restr-ccTTree[simp]:

ttree-restr S' (ccTTree S G) = ccTTree (S \cap S') G

by (transfer' fixing: S') (auto dest: filter-valid-lists' filter-valid-lists[**where** P = $(\lambda x'. x' \in S')$]
simp add: Int-def)

lemma repeatable-ccTTree-ccSquare: S \subseteq S' \implies repeatable (ccTTree S (ccSquare S'))

unfolding repeatable-def

by transfer (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccSquare dest: subsetD[OF valid-lists-subset])

An alternative definition

inductive valid-lists' :: var set \Rightarrow CoCalls \Rightarrow var set \Rightarrow var list \Rightarrow bool

for S G

where valid-lists' S G prefix []

$| \text{prefix} \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x \ G \implies \text{valid-lists}' S \ G \ (\text{insert } x \ \text{prefix}) \ xs \implies x \in S \implies \text{valid-lists}' S \ G \ \text{prefix } (x\#xs)$

inductive-simps *valid-lists'-simps*[simp]: *valid-lists'* *S* *G* *prefix* [] *valid-lists'* *S* *G* *prefix* (*x*#*xs*)
inductive-cases *valid-lists'-ConsE*: *valid-lists'* *S* *G* *prefix* (*x*#*xs*)

lemma *valid-lists-valid-lists'*:

$xs \in \text{valid-lists } S \ G \implies \text{ccProd } \text{prefix } (\text{set } xs) \sqsubseteq G \implies \text{valid-lists}' S \ G \ \text{prefix } xs$

proof(*induction arbitrary: prefix rule: valid-lists.induct*[*case-names Nil Cons*])

case Nil thus ?case by simp

next

case (*Cons xs x*)

from *Cons.premys Cons.hyps Cons.IH*[**where** *prefix = insert x prefix*]

show ?*case*

by (*auto simp add: insert-is-Un*[**where** *A = set xs*] *insert-is-Un*[**where** *A = prefix*]

join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors elem-ccNeighbors ccProd-comm simp del:

Un-insert-left)

qed

lemma *valid-lists'-valid-lists-aux*:

$\text{valid-lists}' S \ G \ \text{prefix } xs \implies x \in \text{prefix} \implies \text{ccProd } (\text{set } xs) \ \{x\} \sqsubseteq G$

proof(*induction rule: valid-lists'.induct*[*case-names Nil Cons*])

case Nil thus ?case by simp

next

case (*Cons prefix x xs*)

thus ?*case*

apply (*auto simp add: ccProd-insert2*[**where** *S' = prefix*] *ccProd-insert1*[**where** *S' = set xs*] *join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors*)

by (*metis Cons.hyps(1) dual-order.trans empty-subsetI insert-subset subset-ccNeighbors*)

qed

lemma *valid-lists'-valid-lists*:

$\text{valid-lists}' S \ G \ \text{prefix } xs \implies xs \in \text{valid-lists } S \ G$

proof(*induction rule: valid-lists'.induct*[*case-names Nil Cons*])

case Nil thus ?case by simp

next

case (*Cons prefix x xs*)

thus ?*case*

by (*auto simp add: insert-is-Un*[**where** *A = set xs*] *insert-is-Un*[**where** *A = prefix*]

join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors elem-ccNeighbors ccProd-comm simp del:

Un-insert-left

intro: valid-lists'-valid-lists-aux)

qed

Yet another definition

lemma *valid-lists-characterization*:

$xs \in \text{valid-lists } S \ G \longleftrightarrow \text{set } xs \subseteq S \wedge (\forall n. \text{ccProd } (\text{set } (\text{take } n \ xs)) \ (\text{set } (\text{drop } n \ xs))) \sqsubseteq G$

proof(*safe*)

```

fix x
assume xs ∈ valid-lists S G
from valid-lists-subset[OF this]
show x ∈ set xs ⇒ x ∈ S by auto
next
fix n
assume xs ∈ valid-lists S G
thus ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊆ G
proof(induction arbitrary: n rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)
  show ?case
  proof(cases n)
    case 0 thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Suc n)
    with Cons.hyps Cons.IH[where n = n]
    show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff sub-
set-ccNeighbors)
    by (metis dual-order.trans set-drop-subset subset-ccNeighbors)
  qed
qed
next
assume set xs ⊆ S
and ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊆ G
thus xs ∈ valid-lists S G
proof (induction xs)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  from ⟨∀ n. ccProd (set (take n (x # xs))) (set (drop n (x # xs))) ⊆ G⟩
  have ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊆ G
  by -(rule, erule-tac x = Suc n in allE, auto simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs
for xs] join-below-iff)
  from Cons.prem Cons.IH[OF - this]
  have xs ∈ valid-lists S G by auto
  with Cons.prem(1) spec[OF ⟨∀ n. ccProd (set (take n (x # xs))) (set (drop n (x # xs)))
⊆ G⟩, where x = 1]
  show ?case by (simp add: subset-ccNeighbors)
qed
qed
end

```

12.7 CoCallImplTTree

theory CoCallImplTTree

```

imports TTreeAnalysisSig Env-Set-Cpo CoCallAritySig CoCallGraph-TTree
begin

context CoCallArity
begin
  definition Texp :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  var ttree
    where Texp e = ( $\Lambda$  a. ccTTree (edom (Aexp e  $\cdot$  a)) (ccExp e  $\cdot$  a))

  lemma Texp-simp: Texp e  $\cdot$  a = ccTTree (edom (Aexp e  $\cdot$  a)) (ccExp e  $\cdot$  a)
    unfolding Texp-def
    by simp

  sublocale TTreeAnalysis Texp.
end

end

```

12.8 CoCallImplTTreeSafe

```

theory CoCallImplTTreeSafe
imports CoCallImplTTree CoCallAnalysisSpec TTreeAnalysisSpec
begin

lemma valid-lists-many-calls:
  assumes  $\neg$  one-call-in-path x p
  assumes p  $\in$  valid-lists S G
  shows x  $\dashv\dashv$  x  $\in$  G
using assms(2,1)
proof(induction rule:valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x')
  show ?case
  proof(cases one-call-in-path x xs)
    case False
    from Cons.IH[OF this]
    show ?thesis.
  next
  case True
  with  $\langle \neg$  one-call-in-path x (x'  $\#$  xs)  $\rangle$ 
  have [simp]: x' = x by (auto split: if-splits)

  have x  $\in$  set xs
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume x  $\notin$  set xs
    hence no-call-in-path x xs by (metis no-call-in-path-set-conv)
    hence one-call-in-path x (x  $\#$  xs) by simp
  qed

```

```

    with Cons show False by simp
  qed
  with ⟨set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x' G⟩
  have x ∈ ccNeighbors x G by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

context CoCallArityEdom
begin
  lemma carrier-Fexp': carrier (Texp e.a) ⊆ fv e
    unfolding Texp-simp carrier-ccTTree
    by (rule Aexp-edom)
end

context CoCallAritySafe
begin

  lemma carrier-AnalBinds-below:
    carrier ((Texp.AnalBinds Δ.(Aheap Δ e.a)) x) ⊆ edom ((ABinds Δ).(Aheap Δ e.a))
  by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup Texp-def split: option.splits
    elim!: subsetD[OF edom-mono[OF monofun-cfun-fun[OF ABind-below-ABinds]]])

  sublocale TTreeAnalysisCarrier Texp
    apply standard
    unfolding Texp-simp carrier-ccTTree
    apply standard
    done

  sublocale TTreeAnalysisSafe Texp
  proof
    fix x e a

    from edom-mono[OF Aexp-App]
    have {x} ∪ edom (Aexp e.(inc.a)) ⊆ edom (Aexp (App e x).a) by auto
    moreover
    {
      have ccApprox (many-calls x ⊗⊗ ccTTree (edom (Aexp e.(inc.a))) (ccExp e.(inc.a)))
        = cc-restr (edom (Aexp e.(inc.a))) (ccExp e.(inc.a)) ⊔ ccProd {x} (insert x (edom (Aexp
e.(inc.a))))
        by (simp add: ccApprox-both ccProd-insert2[where S' = edom e for e])
      also
      have edom (Aexp e.(inc.a)) ⊆ fv e
        by (rule Aexp-edom)
      also (below-trans[OF eq-imp-below join-mono[OF below-refl ccProd-mono2[OF insert-mono]
]])
      have cc-restr (edom (Aexp e.(inc.a))) (ccExp e.(inc.a)) ⊆ ccExp e.(inc.a)
    }
  end
end

```

```

    by (rule cc-restr-below-arg)
  also
  have  $ccExp\ e \cdot (inc \cdot a) \sqcup ccProd\ \{x\}\ (insert\ x\ (fv\ e)) \sqsubseteq ccExp\ (App\ e\ x) \cdot a$ 
    by (rule ccExp-App)
  finally
  have  $ccApprox\ (many-calls\ x \otimes \otimes ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e \cdot (inc \cdot a)))\ (ccExp\ e \cdot (inc \cdot a))) \sqsubseteq ccExp\ (App\ e\ x) \cdot a$ 
    by this simp-all
}
ultimately
show  $many-calls\ x \otimes \otimes Texp\ e \cdot (inc \cdot a) \sqsubseteq Texp\ (App\ e\ x) \cdot a$ 
  unfolding Texp-simp by (auto intro!: below-ccTTreeI)
next
fix  $y\ e\ n$ 
show  $without\ y\ (Texp\ e \cdot (pred \cdot n)) \sqsubseteq Texp\ (Lam\ [y].\ e) \cdot n$ 
  unfolding Texp-simp
  by (auto dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]
        intro!: below-ccTTreeI below-trans[OF - ccExp-Lam] cc-restr-mono1 subsetD[OF
edom-mono[OF Aexp-Lam]])
next
fix  $e\ y\ x\ a$ 

from edom-mono[OF Aexp-subst]
have *:  $edom\ (Aexp\ e[y::=x] \cdot a) \subseteq insert\ x\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e \cdot a) - \{y\})$  by simp

have  $Texp\ e[y::=x] \cdot a = ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e[y::=x] \cdot a))\ (ccExp\ e[y::=x] \cdot a)$ 
  unfolding Texp-simp..
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ (insert\ x\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e \cdot a) - \{y\}))\ (ccExp\ e[y::=x] \cdot a)$ 
  by (rule ccTTree-mono1[OF *])
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq many-calls\ x \otimes \otimes without\ x\ (\dots)$ 
  by (rule paths-many-calls-subset)
also have  $without\ x\ (ccTTree\ (insert\ x\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e \cdot a) - \{y\}))\ (ccExp\ e[y::=x] \cdot a))$ 
  =  $ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e \cdot a) - \{y\} - \{x\})\ (ccExp\ e[y::=x] \cdot a)$ 
  by simp
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e \cdot a) - \{y\} - \{x\})\ (ccExp\ e \cdot a)$ 
  by (rule ccTTree-cong-below[OF ccExp-subst]) auto
also have  $\dots = without\ y\ (ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e \cdot a) - \{x\})\ (ccExp\ e \cdot a))$ 
  by simp (metis Diff-insert Diff-insert2)
also have  $ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e \cdot a) - \{x\})\ (ccExp\ e \cdot a) \sqsubseteq ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e \cdot a))\ (ccExp\ e \cdot a)$ 
  by (rule ccTTree-mono1) auto
also have  $\dots = Texp\ e \cdot a$ 
  unfolding Texp-simp..
finally
show  $Texp\ e[y::=x] \cdot a \sqsubseteq many-calls\ x \otimes \otimes without\ y\ (Texp\ e \cdot a)$ 
  by this simp-all
next
fix  $v\ a$ 
have  $up \cdot a \sqsubseteq (Aexp\ (Var\ v) \cdot a)\ v$  by (rule Aexp-Var)
hence  $v \in edom\ (Aexp\ (Var\ v) \cdot a)$  by (auto simp add: edom-def)

```

```

thus single  $v \sqsubseteq \text{Tex}p \ (\text{Var } v) \cdot a$ 
  unfolding Tex $p$ -simp
  by (auto intro: below-ccTTreeI)
next
  fix scrut  $e1 \ a \ e2$ 
  have  $\text{ccTTree} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a)) \ (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a) \oplus \oplus \text{ccTTree} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) \ (\text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a)$ 
     $\sqsubseteq \text{ccTTree} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) \ (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a)$ 
    by (rule either-ccTTree)
  note both-mono2 '[OF this]
  also
  have  $\text{ccTTree} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0)) \ (\text{ccExp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0) \otimes \otimes \text{ccTTree} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) \ (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a)$ 
     $\sqsubseteq \text{ccTTree} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0) \cup (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a))) \ (\text{ccExp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \sqcup (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a) \sqcup \text{ccProd} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0)) \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)))$ 
    by (rule interleave-ccTTree)
  also
  have  $\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0) \cup (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) = \text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \sqcup \text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)$  by auto
  also
  have  $\text{Aexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \sqcup \text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{Aexp} \ (\text{scrut} \ ? \ e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
    by (rule Aexp-IfThenElse)
  also
  have  $\text{ccExp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \sqcup (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a) \sqcup \text{ccProd} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0)) \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} \ (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq \text{ccExp} \ (\text{scrut} \ ? \ e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
    by (rule ccExp-IfThenElse)

  show  $\text{Tex}p \ \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (\text{Tex}p \ e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus \text{Tex}p \ e2 \cdot a) \sqsubseteq \text{Tex}p \ (\text{scrut} \ ? \ e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
    unfolding Tex $p$ -simp
    by (auto simp add: ccApprox-both join-below-iff below-trans[OF - join-above2]
      intro!: below-ccTTreeI below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg]
      below-trans[OF - ccExp-IfThenElse] subsetD[OF edom-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse]])
next
  fix  $e$ 
  assume isVal  $e$ 
  hence [simp]:  $\text{ccExp } e \cdot 0 = \text{ccSquare} \ (\text{fv } e)$  by (rule ccExp-pap)
  thus repeatable  $(\text{Tex}p \ e \cdot 0)$ 
    unfolding Tex $p$ -simp by (auto intro: repeatable-ccTTree-ccSquare[OF Aexp-edom])
qed

```

definition *Theap* $:: \text{heap} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{Aarity} \rightarrow \text{var tree}$
where *Theap* $\Gamma \ e = (\Lambda \ a. \text{if nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then } \text{ccTTree} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)) \ (\text{ccExp } e \cdot a) \text{ else } \text{tree-restr} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)) \ \text{anything})$

lemma *Theap-simp*: *Theap* $\Gamma \ e \cdot a = (\text{if nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then } \text{ccTTree} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)) \ (\text{ccExp } e \cdot a) \text{ else } \text{tree-restr} \ (\text{edom} \ (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)) \ \text{anything})$
unfolding *Theap-def* **by** *simp*

```

lemma carrier-Fheap':carrier (Theap  $\Gamma$  e·a) = edom (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)
  unfolding Theap-simp carrier-ccTTree by simp

sublocale TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap Texp Aexp Aheap Theap
proof
  fix  $\Gamma$  e a
  show carrier (Theap  $\Gamma$  e·a) = edom (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)
    by (rule carrier-Fheap')
next
  fix x  $\Gamma$  p e a
  assume x  $\in$  thunks  $\Gamma$ 

  assume  $\neg$  one-call-in-path x p
  hence x  $\in$  set p by (rule more-than-one-setD)

  assume p  $\in$  paths (Theap  $\Gamma$  e·a) with  $\langle x \in \text{set } p \rangle$ 
  have x  $\in$  carrier (Theap  $\Gamma$  e·a) by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  hence x  $\in$  edom (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)
    unfolding Theap-simp by (auto split: if-splits)

  show (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a) x = up·0
  proof(cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )
    case False
    from False  $\langle x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \rangle$   $\langle x \in \text{edom } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \text{ } e \cdot a) \rangle$ 
    show ?thesis by (rule aHeap-thunks-rec)
  next
    case True
    with  $\langle p \in \text{paths } (\text{Theap } \Gamma \text{ } e \cdot a) \rangle$ 
    have p  $\in$  valid-lists (edom (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)) (ccExp e·a) by (simp add: Theap-simp)

    with  $\langle \neg \text{one-call-in-path } x \text{ } p \rangle$ 
    have x—x  $\in$  (ccExp e·a) by (rule valid-lists-many-calls)

    from True  $\langle x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \rangle$  this
    show ?thesis by (rule aHeap-thunks-nonrec)
  qed
next
  fix  $\Delta$  e a

  have carrier: carrier (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta$ ·(Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a)) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp e·a))
     $\subseteq$  edom (Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a)  $\cup$  edom (Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e)·a)
  proof(rule carrier-substitute-below)
    from edom-mono[OF Aexp-Let[of  $\Delta$  e a]]
    show carrier (Texp e·a)  $\subseteq$  edom (Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a)  $\cup$  edom (Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e)·a) by (simp add: Texp-def)
  next
    fix x
    assume x  $\in$  edom (Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a)  $\cup$  edom (Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e)·a)

```

hence $x \in \text{edom } (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \vee x : (\text{edom } (A\text{exp } (\text{Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a))$ **by** *simp*
 thus $\text{carrier } ((\text{Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) \ x) \subseteq \text{edom } (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \cup \text{edom } (A\text{exp } (\text{Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a)$
proof
 assume $x \in \text{edom } (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)$

 have $\text{carrier } ((\text{Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) \ x) \subseteq \text{edom } (A\text{Binds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a))$
 by (rule *carrier-AnalBinds-below*)
 also have $\dots \subseteq \text{edom } (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a \sqcup A\text{exp } (\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a)$
 using *edom-mono[OF Aexp-Let[of $\Delta \ e \ a$]]* **by** *simp*
 finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
 assume $x \in \text{edom } (A\text{exp } (\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a)$
 hence $x \notin \text{dom} A \ \Delta$ **by** (auto dest: *subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]*)
 hence $(\text{Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) \ x = \perp$
 by (rule *Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds-not-there*)
 thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

show $\text{tree-restr } (- \text{dom} A \ \Delta) (\text{substitute } (\text{Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Tex}p \ e \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq \text{Tex}p (\text{Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a$
proof (rule *below-trans[OF - eq-imp-below[OF Tex}p-simp[symmetric]]*, rule *below-ccTTreeI*)
 have $\text{carrier } (\text{tree-restr } (- \text{dom} A \ \Delta) (\text{substitute } (\text{Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Tex}p \ e \cdot a)))$
 = $\text{carrier } (\text{substitute } (\text{Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Tex}p \ e \cdot a)) - \text{dom} A \ \Delta$ **by** *auto*
 also note *carrier*
 also have $\text{edom } (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \cup \text{edom } (A\text{exp } (\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a) - \text{dom} A \ \Delta = \text{edom } (A\text{exp } (\text{Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a)$
 by (auto dest: *subsetD[OF edom-Aheap]* *subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]*)
 finally
 show $\text{carrier } (\text{tree-restr } (- \text{dom} A \ \Delta) (\text{substitute } (\text{Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Tex}p \ e \cdot a)))$
 $\subseteq \text{edom } (A\text{exp } (\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a)$ **by** *this auto*
next
 let $?x = \text{ccApprox } (\text{tree-restr } (- \text{dom} A \ \Delta) (\text{substitute } (\text{Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Tex}p \ e \cdot a)))$

 have $?x = \text{cc-restr } (- \text{dom} A \ \Delta) \ ?x$ **by** *simp*
 also have $\dots \sqsubseteq \text{cc-restr } (- \text{dom} A \ \Delta) (\text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)$
proof(rule *cc-restr-mono2[OF wild-recursion-thunked]*)
 have $\text{ccExp } e \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$ **by** (rule *ccHeap-Exp*)
 thus $\text{ccApprox } (\text{Tex}p \ e \cdot a) \sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$
 by (auto simp add: *Tex}p-simp intro: below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg]*)
next
 fix x
 assume $x \notin \text{dom} A \ \Delta$
 thus $(\text{Tex}p.\text{AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) \ x = \text{empty}$


```

    by (metis Texp.AnalBinds-not-there empty-is-bottom)
next
fix x
assume  $x \in \text{dom}A \ \Delta$ 
then obtain  $e'$  where  $e'$ : map-of  $\Delta \ x = \text{Some } e'$  by (metis domA-map-of-Some-the)

show  $\text{ccApprox } ((\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) \ x) \sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$ 
proof(cases  $(\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \ x$ )
  case bottom thus ?thesis using  $e'$  by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
next
  case (up  $a'$ )
  with  $e'$ 
  have  $\text{ccExp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$  by (rule ccHeap-Heap)
  thus ?thesis using up  $e'$ 
  by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup Texp-simp intro: below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg])
qed

show  $\text{ccProd } (\text{ccNeighbors } x (\text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) - \{x\} \cap \text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{carrier } ((\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) \ x)) \sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$ 
proof(cases  $(\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \ x$ )
  case bottom thus ?thesis using  $e'$  by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
next
  case (up  $a'$ )
  have subset:  $(\text{carrier } (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{Texp } e') \cdot ((\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \ x))) \subseteq \text{fv } e'$ 
  using up  $e'$  by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup carrier-Fexp dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])

  from  $e'$  up
  have  $\text{ccProd } (\text{fv } e') (\text{ccNeighbors } x (\text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) - \{x\} \cap \text{thunks } \Delta) \sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$ 
  by (rule ccHeap-Extra-Edges)
  then
  show ?thesis using  $e'$ 
  by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup Texp-simp ccProd-comm below-trans[OF ccProd-mono2[OF subset]])
qed
qed
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq \text{ccExp } (\text{Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a$ 
  by (rule ccExp-Let)
finally
  show  $\text{ccApprox } (\text{tree-restr } (- \text{dom}A \ \Delta) (\text{substitute } (\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Texp } e \cdot a)))$ 
   $\sqsubseteq \text{ccExp } (\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a$  by this simp-all
qed

note carrier
hence carrier  $(\text{substitute } (\text{ExpAnalysis.AnalBinds } \text{Texp } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Texp } e \cdot a)) \subseteq \text{edom } (\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \cup - \text{dom}A \ \Delta$ 
  by (rule order-trans) (auto dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])
hence  $\text{tree-restr } (\text{dom}A \ \Delta) (\text{substitute } (\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Texp } e \cdot a))$ 

```

```

 $\Delta$ ) (Tex  $e \cdot a$ )
  = ttree-restr (edom (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (ttree-restr (domA  $\Delta$ ) (substitute (Tex.AnalBinds
 $\Delta$ .(Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Tex  $e \cdot a$ )))
  by  $-(rule\ ttree-restr-noop[symmetric],\ auto)$ 
  also
  have ... = ttree-restr (edom (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (substitute (Tex.AnalBinds  $\Delta$ .(Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ ))
(thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Tex  $e \cdot a$ ))
  by (simp add: inf.absorb2[OF edom-Aheap])
  also
  have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  Theap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ 
  proof(cases nonrec  $\Delta$ )
    case False
    have ttree-restr (edom (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (substitute (Tex.AnalBinds  $\Delta$ .(Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks
 $\Delta$ ) (Tex  $e \cdot a$ ))
       $\sqsubseteq$  ttree-restr (edom (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) anything
      by (rule ttree-restr-mono) simp
    also have ... = Theap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ 
      by (simp add: Theap-simp False)
    finally show ?thesis.
  next
  case [simp]: True

  from True
  have ttree-restr (edom (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (substitute (Tex.AnalBinds  $\Delta$ .(Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks
 $\Delta$ ) (Tex  $e \cdot a$ ))
    = ttree-restr (edom (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (Tex  $e \cdot a$ )
    by (rule nonrecE) (rule ttree-rest-substitute, auto simp add: carrier-Fexp fv-def fresh-def
dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap] subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])
    also have ... = ccTTree (edom (Aexp  $e \cdot a$ )  $\cap$  edom (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (ccExp  $e \cdot a$ )
      by (simp add: Tex-simp)
    also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  ccTTree (edom (Aexp  $e \cdot a$ )  $\cap$  domA  $\Delta$ ) (ccExp  $e \cdot a$ )
      by (rule ccTTree-mono1[OF Int-mono[OF order-refl edom-Aheap]])
    also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  ccTTree (edom (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (ccExp  $e \cdot a$ )
      by (rule ccTTree-mono1[OF edom-mono[OF Aheap-nonrec[OF True], simplified]])
    also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  Theap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ 
      by (simp add: Theap-simp)
    finally
    show ?thesis by this simp-all
  qed
  finally
  show ttree-restr (domA  $\Delta$ ) (substitute (ExpAnalysis.AnalBinds Tex  $\Delta$ .(Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )) (thunks
 $\Delta$ ) (Tex  $e \cdot a$ ))  $\sqsubseteq$  Theap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ .

qed
end

```

lemma *paths-singles*: $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{singles } S) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in S. \text{one-call-in-path } x \text{ } xs)$
by *transfer* (*auto simp add: one-call-in-path-filter-conv*)

lemma *paths-singles'*: $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{singles } S) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in (\text{set } xs \cap S). \text{one-call-in-path } x \text{ } xs)$
apply *transfer*
apply (*auto simp add: one-call-in-path-filter-conv*)
apply (*erule-tac x = x in ballE*)
apply *auto*
by (*metis (poly-guards-query) filter-empty-conv le0 length-0-conv*)

lemma *both-below-singles1*:
assumes $t \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S$
assumes $\text{carrier } t' \cap S = \{\}$
shows $t \otimes t' \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S$
proof (*rule ttree-belowI*)
fix xs
assume $xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes t')$
then obtain $ys \text{ } zs$ **where** $ys \in \text{paths } t$ **and** $zs \in \text{paths } t'$ **and** $xs \in ys \otimes zs$ **by** (*auto simp add: paths-both*)
with *assms*
have $ys \in \text{paths } (\text{singles } S)$ **and** $\text{set } zs \cap S = \{\}$
by (*metis below-ttree.rep-eq contra-subsetD paths.rep-eq, auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric]*)
with $\langle xs \in ys \otimes zs \rangle$
show $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{singles } S)$
by (*induction*) (*auto simp add: paths-singles no-call-in-path-set-conv interleave-set dest: more-than-one-setD split: if-splits*)
qed

lemma *paths-ttree-restr-singles*: $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S' (\text{singles } S)) \longleftrightarrow \text{set } xs \subseteq S' \wedge (\forall x \in S. \text{one-call-in-path } x \text{ } xs)$
proof
show $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S' (\text{singles } S)) \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq S' \wedge (\forall x \in S. \text{one-call-in-path } x \text{ } xs)$
by (*auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] paths-singles*)
next
assume $*$: $\text{set } xs \subseteq S' \wedge (\forall x \in S. \text{one-call-in-path } x \text{ } xs)$
hence $\text{set } xs \subseteq S'$ **by** *auto*
hence [*simp*]: $\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S') \text{ } xs = xs$ **by** (*auto simp add: filter-id-conv*)

from $*$
have $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{singles } S)$
by (*auto simp add: paths-singles'*)
hence $\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S') \text{ } xs \in \text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S') \text{ } \text{paths } (\text{singles } S)$
by (*rule imageI*)
thus $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S' (\text{singles } S))$
by (*auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric]*)
qed

```

lemma substitute-not-carrier:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{carrier } t$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x'. x \notin \text{carrier } (f x')$ 
  shows  $x \notin \text{carrier } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
proof–
  have  $\text{tree-restr } (\{x\}) (\text{substitute } f T t) = \text{tree-restr } (\{x\}) t$ 
  proof(rule tree-rest-substitute)
    fix  $x'$ 
    from  $\langle x \notin \text{carrier } (f x') \rangle$ 
    show  $\text{carrier } (f x') \cap \{x\} = \{\}$  by auto
  qed
  hence  $x \notin \text{carrier } (\text{tree-restr } (\{x\}) (\text{substitute } f T t)) \longleftrightarrow x \notin \text{carrier } (\text{tree-restr } (\{x\}) t)$ 
by metis
  with assms(1)
  show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma substitute-below-singlesI:
  assumes  $t \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{carrier } (f x) \cap S = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{substitute } f T t \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S$ 
proof(rule tree-belowI)
  fix  $xs$ 
  assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  thus  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{singles } S)$ 
  using assms
  proof(induction f T t xs arbitrary: S rule: substitute-induct)
    case Nil
    thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons f T t x xs)

    from  $\langle x \# xs \in - \rangle$ 
    have  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f \text{-nxt } f T x) T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x))$  by auto
    moreover

    from  $\langle t \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S \rangle$ 
    have  $\text{nxt } t x \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S$ 
    by (metis TTree-HOLCF.nxt-mono below-trans nxt-singles-below-singles)
    from this  $\langle \text{carrier } (f x) \cap S = \{\} \rangle$ 
    have  $\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S$ 
    by (rule both-below-singles1)
    moreover
    { fix  $x'$ 
      from  $\langle \text{carrier } (f x') \cap S = \{\} \rangle$ 

```

```

    have carrier (f-nxt f T x x')  $\cap S = \{\}$ 
      by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
  }
  ultimately
  have IH:  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{singles } S)$ 
    by (rule Cons.IH)

show ?case
proof(cases  $x \in S$ )
  case True
  with  $\langle \text{carrier } (f x) \cap S = \{\} \rangle$ 
  have  $x \notin \text{carrier } (f x)$  by auto
  moreover
  from  $\langle t \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S \rangle$ 
  have  $\text{nxt } t x \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } (\text{singles } S) x$  by (rule nxt-mono)
  hence  $\text{carrier } (\text{nxt } t x) \subseteq \text{carrier } (\text{nxt } (\text{singles } S) x)$  by (rule carrier-mono)
  from subsetD[OF this] True
  have  $x \notin \text{carrier } (\text{nxt } t x)$  by auto
  ultimately
  have  $x \notin \text{carrier } (\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x)$  by simp
  hence  $x \notin \text{carrier } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x))$ 
  proof(rule substitute-not-carrier)
    fix x'
    from  $\langle \text{carrier } (f x') \cap S = \{\} \rangle \langle x \in S \rangle$ 
    show  $x \notin \text{carrier } (f\text{-nxt } f T x x')$  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
  qed
  with xs
  have  $x \notin \text{set } xs$  by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  with IH
  have  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{without } x (\text{singles } S))$  by (rule paths-withoutI)
  thus ?thesis using True by (simp add: Cons-path)
next
  case False
  with IH
  show ?thesis by (simp add: Cons-path)
qed
qed
qed
end

```

13 CoCall Cardinality Implementation

13.1 CoCallAnalysisImpl

```

theory CoCallAnalysisImpl
imports Arity-Nominal Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Env-Nominal Env-Set-Cpo
Launchbury.Env-HOLCF CoCallFix

```

begin

fun *combined-restrict* :: *var set* \Rightarrow (*AEnv* \times *CoCalls*) \Rightarrow (*AEnv* \times *CoCalls*)
where *combined-restrict* *S* (*env*, *G*) = (*env* *f* |['] *S*, *cc-restr* *S* *G*)

lemma *fst-combined-restrict[simp]*:
fst (*combined-restrict* *S* *p*) = *fst* *p* |['] *S*
by (*cases* *p*, *simp*)

lemma *snd-combined-restrict[simp]*:
snd (*combined-restrict* *S* *p*) = *cc-restr* *S* (*snd* *p*)
by (*cases* *p*, *simp*)

lemma *combined-restrict-eqv[eqv]*:
shows $\pi \cdot \text{combined-restrict } S \, p = \text{combined-restrict } (\pi \cdot S) \, (\pi \cdot p)$
by (*cases* *p*) *auto*

lemma *combined-restrict-cont*:
cont ($\lambda x. \text{combined-restrict } S \, x$)

proof–

have *cont* ($\lambda(\text{env}, G). \text{combined-restrict } S \, (\text{env}, G)$) **by** *simp*
then show ?thesis **by** (*simp* *only*: *case-prod-eta*)

qed

lemmas *cont-compose*[*OF* *combined-restrict-cont*, *cont2cont*, *simp*]

lemma *combined-restrict-perm*:
assumes *supp* $\pi \nmid^* S$ **and** [*simp*]: *finite* *S*
shows *combined-restrict* *S* ($\pi \cdot p$) = *combined-restrict* *S* *p*
proof(*cases* *p*)
fix *env* :: *AEnv* **and** *G* :: *CoCalls*
assume *p* = (*env*, *G*)
moreover
from *assms*
have *env-restr* *S* ($\pi \cdot \text{env}$) = *env-restr* *S* *env* **by** (*rule* *env-restr-perm*)
moreover
from *assms*
have *cc-restr* *S* ($\pi \cdot G$) = *cc-restr* *S* *G* **by** (*rule* *cc-restr-perm*)
ultimately
show ?thesis **by** *simp*
qed

definition *predCC* :: *var set* \Rightarrow (*Arity* \rightarrow *CoCalls*) \Rightarrow (*Arity* \rightarrow *CoCalls*)
where *predCC* *S* *f* = ($\Lambda a. \text{if } a \neq 0 \text{ then } \text{cc-restr } S \, (f \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot a)) \text{ else } \text{ccSquare } S$)

lemma *predCC-eq*:
shows *predCC* *S* *f* $\cdot a = (\text{if } a \neq 0 \text{ then } \text{cc-restr } S \, (f \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot a)) \text{ else } \text{ccSquare } S)$
unfolding *predCC-def*
apply (*rule* *beta-cfun*)
apply (*rule* *cont-if-else-above*)

```

apply (auto dest: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr])
done

lemma predCC-eqvt[eqvt, simp]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{predCC } S \ f) = \text{predCC } (\pi \cdot S) (\pi \cdot f)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
unfolding predCC-eq
by perm-simp rule

lemma cc-restr-predCC:
  cc-restr  $S$  (predCC  $S' \ f \cdot n$ ) = (predCC ( $S' \cap S$ ) ( $\Lambda \ n. \text{cc-restr } S \ (f \cdot n)$ ))  $\cdot n$ 
unfolding predCC-eq
by (auto simp add: inf-commute ccSquare-def)

lemma cc-restr-predCC'[simp]:
  cc-restr  $S$  (predCC  $S \ f \cdot n$ ) = predCC  $S \ f \cdot n$ 
unfolding predCC-eq by simp

nominal-function
  cCCexp :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  (Aarity  $\rightarrow$  AEnv  $\times$  CoCalls)
where
  cCCexp (Var  $x$ ) = ( $\Lambda \ n. (\text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot n), \perp)$ )
| cCCexp (Lam  $[x]. e$ ) = ( $\Lambda \ n. \text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\text{fst } (\text{cCCexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)),$ 
  predCC ( $\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)$ ) ( $\Lambda \ a. \text{snd}(\text{cCCexp } e \cdot a) \cdot n$ ))
| cCCexp (App  $e \ x$ ) = ( $\Lambda \ n. (\text{fst } (\text{cCCexp } e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot n)) \sqcup (\text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot 0)), \text{snd } (\text{cCCexp } e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot n)) \sqcup \text{ccProd } \{x\} (\text{insert } x (\text{fv } e)))$ )
| cCCexp (Let  $\Gamma \ e$ ) = ( $\Lambda \ n. \text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Let } \Gamma \ e)) (\text{CoCallAarityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } \text{cCCexp } \Gamma \cdot (\text{cCCexp } e \cdot n))$ )
| cCCexp (Bool  $b$ ) =  $\perp$ 
| cCCexp (scrut ?  $e1 : e2$ ) = ( $\Lambda \ n. (\text{fst } (\text{cCCexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0) \sqcup \text{fst } (\text{cCCexp } e1 \cdot n) \sqcup \text{fst } (\text{cCCexp } e2 \cdot n),$ 
  snd ( $\text{cCCexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0$ )  $\sqcup$  (snd ( $\text{cCCexp } e1 \cdot n$ )  $\sqcup$  snd ( $\text{cCCexp } e2 \cdot n$ ))  $\sqcup$  ccProd (edom (fst ( $\text{cCCexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0$ ))) (edom (fst ( $\text{cCCexp } e1 \cdot n$ ))  $\sqcup$  edom (fst ( $\text{cCCexp } e2 \cdot n$ ))))))
proof goal-cases
case 1
show ?case
unfolding eqvt-def cCCexp-graph-aux-def
apply rule
apply (perm-simp)
apply (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
done
next
case 3
thus ?case by (metis Terms.exp-strong-exhaust)
next
case prems: (10  $x \ e \ x' \ e'$ )
from prems(9)
show ?case
proof(rule eqvt-lam-case)

```

```

fix  $\pi :: \text{perm}$ 
assume  $*$ :  $\text{supp } (-\pi) \#* (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e) :: \text{var set})$ 
{
  fix  $n$ 
    have  $\text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\text{fst } (\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot e) \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)), \text{predCC } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. \text{snd}(\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot e) \cdot a)) \cdot n)$ 
      =  $\text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (-\pi \cdot (\text{fst } (\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot e) \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)), \text{predCC } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. \text{snd}(\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot e) \cdot a)) \cdot n))$ 
      by  $(\text{rule combined-restrict-perm}[\text{symmetric}, \text{OF } *]) \text{ simp}$ 
    also have  $\dots = \text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\text{fst } (\text{cCCexp-sumC } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)), \text{predCC } (-\pi \cdot \text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. \text{snd}(\text{cCCexp-sumC } e \cdot a)) \cdot n)$ 
      by  $(\text{perm-simp}, \text{simp add: eqvt-at-apply}[\text{OF } \text{prems}(1)] \text{ pemute-minus-self Abs-cfun-eqvt})$ 
    also have  $-\pi \cdot \text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e) = (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e) :: \text{var set})$  by  $(\text{rule perm-supp-eq}[\text{OF } *])$ 
    also note  $\text{calculation}$ 
  }
  thus  $(\Lambda n. \text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\text{fst } (\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot e) \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)), \text{predCC } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. \text{snd}(\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot e) \cdot a)) \cdot n))$ 
    =  $(\Lambda n. \text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\text{fst } (\text{cCCexp-sumC } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)), \text{predCC } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. \text{snd}(\text{cCCexp-sumC } e \cdot a)) \cdot n))$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  qed
next
case  $\text{prems: } (19 \ \Gamma \ \text{body} \ \Gamma' \ \text{body}')$ 
from  $\text{prems}(9)$ 
show  $?case$ 
proof  $(\text{rule eqvt-let-case})$ 
  fix  $\pi :: \text{perm}$ 
  assume  $*$ :  $\text{supp } (-\pi) \#* (\text{fv } (\text{Terms.Let } \Gamma \ \text{body}) :: \text{var set})$ 

  { fix  $n$ 
    have  $\text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Terms.Let } \Gamma \ \text{body})) (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } \text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot \Gamma) \cdot (\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot \text{body}) \cdot n))$ 
      =  $\text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Terms.Let } \Gamma \ \text{body})) (-\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } \text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot \Gamma) \cdot (\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot \text{body}) \cdot n)))$ 
      by  $(\text{rule combined-restrict-perm}[\text{OF } *, \text{symmetric}]) \text{ simp}$ 
    also have  $-\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } \text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot \Gamma) \cdot (\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot \text{body}) \cdot n)) =$ 
       $\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } (-\pi \cdot \text{cCCexp-sumC } \Gamma) \cdot ((-\pi \cdot \text{cCCexp-sumC } \text{body}) \cdot n)$ 
      by  $(\text{simp add: pemute-minus-self})$ 
    also have  $\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } (-\pi \cdot \text{cCCexp-sumC } \Gamma) = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } \text{cCCexp-sumC } \Gamma$ 
      by  $(\text{rule cccFix-choose-cong}[\text{OF } \text{eqvt-at-apply}[\text{OF } \text{prems}(1)] \text{ refl}])$ 
    also have  $(-\pi \cdot \text{cCCexp-sumC } \text{body}) = \text{cCCexp-sumC } \text{body}$ 
      by  $(\text{rule eqvt-at-apply}[\text{OF } \text{prems}(2)])$ 
    also note  $\text{calculation}$ 
  }
  thus  $(\Lambda n. \text{combined-restrict } (\text{fv } (\text{Terms.Let } \Gamma \ \text{body})) (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } \text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot \Gamma) \cdot (\text{cCCexp-sumC } (\pi \cdot \text{body}) \cdot n))) =$ 

```


$(\Lambda \ n. \text{ combined-restrict } (fv \ (Terms.Let \ \Gamma \ body))) \ (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose \ cCCexp\text{-sum} \ C \ \Gamma \cdot (cCCexp\text{-sum} \ C \ body \cdot n))$ **by** $(simp \ only)$

qed
qed *auto*

nominal-termination $(eqvt)$ **by** *lexicographic-order*

locale *CoCallAnalysisImpl*

begin

sublocale *CoCallArityAnalysis cCCexp.*

sublocale *ArityAnalysis Aexp.*

abbreviation $Aexp\text{-syn}'' (\mathcal{A}.)$ **where** $\mathcal{A}_a \ e \equiv Aexp \ e \cdot a$

abbreviation $Aexp\text{-bot-syn}'' (\mathcal{A}^\perp.)$ **where** $\mathcal{A}^\perp_a \ e \equiv fup \cdot (Aexp \ e) \cdot a$

abbreviation $cCexp\text{-syn}'' (\mathcal{G}.)$ **where** $\mathcal{G}_a \ e \equiv CCexp \ e \cdot a$

abbreviation $cCexp\text{-bot-syn}'' (\mathcal{G}^\perp.)$ **where** $\mathcal{G}^\perp_a \ e \equiv fup \cdot (CCexp \ e) \cdot a$

lemma $cCCexp\text{-eq}[simp]$:

$cCCexp \ (Var \ x) \cdot n = (esing \ x \cdot (up \cdot n), \perp)$
 $cCCexp \ (Lam \ [x]. \ e) \cdot n = \text{combined-restrict } (fv \ (Lam \ [x]. \ e)) \ (fst \ (cCCexp \ e \cdot (pred \cdot n)), \ predCC \ (fv \ (Lam \ [x]. \ e)) \ (\Lambda \ a. \ snd \ (cCCexp \ e \cdot a)) \cdot n)$
 $cCCexp \ (App \ e \ x) \cdot n = (fst \ (cCCexp \ e \cdot (inc \cdot n)) \sqcup (esing \ x \cdot (up \cdot 0)), \ snd \ (cCCexp \ e \cdot (inc \cdot n)) \sqcup ccProd \ \{x\} \ (insert \ x \ (fv \ e)))$
 $cCCexp \ (Let \ \Gamma \ e) \cdot n = \text{combined-restrict } (fv \ (Let \ \Gamma \ e)) \ (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose \ cCCexp \ \Gamma \cdot (cCCexp \ e \cdot n))$
 $cCCexp \ (Bool \ b) \cdot n = \perp$
 $cCCexp \ (scrut \ ? \ e1 : e2) \cdot n = (fst \ (cCCexp \ scrut \cdot 0) \sqcup fst \ (cCCexp \ e1 \cdot n) \sqcup fst \ (cCCexp \ e2 \cdot n),$
 $\quad \quad \quad snd \ (cCCexp \ scrut \cdot 0) \sqcup (snd \ (cCCexp \ e1 \cdot n) \sqcup snd \ (cCCexp \ e2 \cdot n)) \sqcup ccProd \ (edom \ (fst \ (cCCexp \ scrut \cdot 0))) \ (edom \ (fst \ (cCCexp \ e1 \cdot n)) \sqcup edom \ (fst \ (cCCexp \ e2 \cdot n))))$
by $(simp\text{-all})$
declare $cCCexp.simps[simp \ del]$

lemma $Aexp\text{-pre-simps}$:

$\mathcal{A}_a \ (Var \ x) = esing \ x \cdot (up \cdot a)$
 $\mathcal{A}_a \ (Lam \ [x]. \ e) = Aexp \ e \cdot (pred \cdot a) \ f|' \ fv \ (Lam \ [x]. \ e)$
 $\mathcal{A}_a \ (App \ e \ x) = Aexp \ e \cdot (inc \cdot a) \sqcup esing \ x \cdot (up \cdot 0)$
 $\neg nonrec \ \Gamma \implies$
 $\mathcal{A}_a \ (Let \ \Gamma \ e) = (Afix \ \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a \ e \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) \ f|' \ \text{thunks } \Gamma)) \ f|' \ (fv \ (Let \ \Gamma \ e))$
 $x \notin fv \ e \implies$
 $\mathcal{A}_a \ (let \ x \ be \ e \ in \ exp) =$
 $\quad \quad \quad (fup \cdot (Aexp \ e) \cdot (ABind\text{-nonrec} \ x \ e \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a \ exp, \ CCexp \ exp \cdot a)) \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a \ exp)$
 $\quad \quad \quad f|' \ (fv \ (let \ x \ be \ e \ in \ exp))$
 $\mathcal{A}_a \ (Bool \ b) = \perp$
 $\mathcal{A}_a \ (scrut \ ? \ e1 : e2) = \mathcal{A}_0 \ scrut \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a \ e1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a \ e2$
by $(simp \ add: \ cccFix\text{-eq} \ Aexp\text{-eq} \ fup\text{-Aexp}\text{-eq} \ CCexp\text{-eq} \ fup\text{-CCexp}\text{-eq})+$

lemma *CCexp-pre-simps*:

$CCexp\ (Var\ x) \cdot n = \perp$
 $CCexp\ (Lam\ [x].\ e) \cdot n = predCC\ (fv\ (Lam\ [x].\ e))\ (CCexp\ e) \cdot n$
 $CCexp\ (App\ e\ x) \cdot n = CCexp\ e \cdot (inc \cdot n) \sqcup ccProd\ \{x\}\ (insert\ x\ (fv\ e))$
 $\neg nonrec\ \Gamma \implies$
 $CCexp\ (Let\ \Gamma\ e) \cdot n = cc-restr\ (fv\ (Let\ \Gamma\ e))$
 $(CCfix\ \Gamma \cdot (Afix\ \Gamma \cdot (Aexp\ e \cdot n \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0)\ f) \mid 'thunks\ \Gamma),\ CCexp\ e \cdot n)$
 $x \notin fv\ e \implies CCexp\ (let\ x\ be\ e\ in\ exp) \cdot n =$
 $cc-restr\ (fv\ (let\ x\ be\ e\ in\ exp))$
 $(ccBind\ x\ e \cdot (Aheap-nonrec\ x\ e \cdot (Aexp\ exp \cdot n,\ CCexp\ exp \cdot n),\ CCexp\ exp \cdot n)$
 $\sqcup ccProd\ (fv\ e)\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ exp \cdot n) - (if\ isVal\ e\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{x\})) \sqcup CCexp$
 $exp \cdot n)$
 $CCexp\ (Bool\ b) \cdot n = \perp$
 $CCexp\ (scrut\ ?\ e1 : e2) \cdot n =$
 $CCexp\ scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup$
 $(CCexp\ e1 \cdot n \sqcup CCexp\ e2 \cdot n) \sqcup$
 $ccProd\ (edom\ (Aexp\ scrut \cdot 0))\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e1 \cdot n) \cup edom\ (Aexp\ e2 \cdot n))$
by (*simp add: cccFix-eq Aexp-eq fup-Aexp-eq CCexp-eq fup-CCexp-eq predCC-eq*)+

lemma

shows *ccField-CCexp*: $ccField\ (CCexp\ e \cdot a) \subseteq fv\ e$ **and** *Aexp-edom'*: $edom\ (\mathcal{A}_a\ e) \subseteq fv\ e$
apply (*induction e arbitrary; a rule: exp-induct-rec*)
apply (*auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps predCC-eq Aexp-pre-simps dest!: subsetD[OF cc-*
Field-cc-restr] subsetD[OF ccField-ccProd-subset])
apply *fastforce+*
done

lemma *cc-restr-CCexp[simp]*:

$cc-restr\ (fv\ e)\ (CCexp\ e \cdot a) = CCexp\ e \cdot a$
by (*rule cc-restr-noop[OF ccField-CCexp]*)

lemma *ccField-fup-CCexp*:

$ccField\ (fup \cdot (CCexp\ e) \cdot n) \subseteq fv\ e$
by (*cases n (auto dest: subsetD[OF ccField-CCexp])*)

lemma *cc-restr-fup-ccExp-useless[simp]*: $cc-restr\ (fv\ e)\ (fup \cdot (CCexp\ e) \cdot n) = fup \cdot (CCexp\ e) \cdot n$

by (*rule cc-restr-noop[OF ccField-fup-CCexp]*)

sublocale *EdomArityAnalysis Aexp* **by** *standard (rule Aexp-edom')*

lemma *CCexp-simps[simp]*:

$\mathcal{G}_a(Var\ x) = \perp$
 $\mathcal{G}_0(Lam\ [x].\ e) = (fv\ (Lam\ [x].\ e))^2$
 $\mathcal{G}_{inc \cdot a}(Lam\ [x].\ e) = cc-delete\ x\ (\mathcal{G}_a\ e)$
 $\mathcal{G}_a(App\ e\ x) = \mathcal{G}_{inc \cdot a}\ e \sqcup \{x\}\ G \times insert\ x\ (fv\ e)$
 $\neg nonrec\ \Gamma \implies \mathcal{G}_a(Let\ \Gamma\ e) =$
 $(CCfix\ \Gamma \cdot (Afix\ \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a\ e \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0)\ f) \mid 'thunks\ \Gamma),\ \mathcal{G}_a\ e)\ G \mid '(-\ domA\ \Gamma)$

$x \notin \text{fv } e' \implies \mathcal{G}_a (\text{let } x \text{ be } e' \text{ in } e) =$
 $\text{cc-delete } x$
 $(\text{ccBind } x \ e' \cdot (\text{Aheap-nonrec } x \ e' \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a \ e, \mathcal{G}_a \ e), \mathcal{G}_a \ e)$
 $\sqcup \text{fv } e' \ G \times (\text{ccNeighbors } x \ (\mathcal{G}_a \ e) - (\text{if isVal } e' \text{ then } \{ \} \text{ else } \{x\})) \sqcup \mathcal{G}_a \ e)$
 $\mathcal{G}_a (\text{Bool } b) = \perp$
 $\mathcal{G}_a (\text{scrut } ? \ e1 : e2) =$
 $\mathcal{G}_0 \text{ scrut } \sqcup (\mathcal{G}_a \ e1 \sqcup \mathcal{G}_a \ e2) \sqcup$
 $\text{edom } (\mathcal{A}_0 \text{ scrut}) \ G \times (\text{edom } (\mathcal{A}_a \ e1) \cup \text{edom } (\mathcal{A}_a \ e2))$
by (*auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps Diff-eq cc-restr-cc-restr[symmetric] predCC-eq*
simp del: cc-restr-cc-restr cc-restr-join
intro!: cc-restr-noop
dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-delete] subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] subsetD[OF cc-
Field-CCexp]
subsetD[OF ccField-CCfix] subsetD[OF ccField-ccBind] subsetD[OF cc-
Field-ccProd-subset] elem-to-ccField
 $)$

definition *Aheap where*

$\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e = (\Lambda \ a. \text{if nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then } (\text{case-prod } \text{Aheap-nonrec } (\text{hd } \Gamma)) \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a, \text{CCexp } e \cdot a)$
 $\text{else } (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot . \text{up} \cdot 0) \ f) \mid \text{'thunks } \Gamma)) \ f \mid \text{'domA } \Gamma)$

lemma *Aheap-simp1[simp]:*

$\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a = (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot . \text{up} \cdot 0) \ f) \mid \text{'thunks } \Gamma)) \ f \mid \text{'domA } \Gamma$
unfolding *Aheap-def by simp*

lemma *Aheap-simp2[simp]:*

$x \notin \text{fv } e' \implies \text{Aheap } [(x, e')] \ e \cdot a = \text{Aheap-nonrec } x \ e' \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a, \text{CCexp } e \cdot a)$
unfolding *Aheap-def by (simp add: nonrec-def)*

lemma *Aheap-eqvt'[eqvt]:*

$\pi \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e) = \text{Aheap } (\pi \cdot \Gamma) \ (\pi \cdot e)$
apply (*rule cfun-eqvtI*)
apply (*cases nonrec pi rule: eqvt-cases[where x = Γ]*)
apply *simp*
apply (*erule nonrecE*)
apply *simp*
apply (*erule nonrecE*)
apply *simp*
apply (*perm-simp, rule*)
apply *simp*
apply (*perm-simp, rule*)
done

sublocale *ArityAnalysisHeap Aheap.*

sublocale *ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt Aheap*

proof

fix π **show** $\pi \cdot \text{Aheap} = \text{Aheap}$
by *perm-simp rule*

qed

lemma *Aexp-lam-simp*: $Aexp (Lam [x]. e) \cdot n = env\text{-}delete\ x (Aexp\ e \cdot (pred \cdot n))$

proof–

have $Aexp (Lam [x]. e) \cdot n = Aexp\ e \cdot (pred \cdot n) \text{ f|}^{\cdot} (fv\ e - \{x\})$ **by** (*simp add: Aexp-pre-simps*)

also have $\dots = env\text{-}delete\ x (Aexp\ e \cdot (pred \cdot n)) \text{ f|}^{\cdot} (fv\ e - \{x\})$ **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = env\text{-}delete\ x (Aexp\ e \cdot (pred \cdot n))$

by (*rule env-restr-useless*) (*auto dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]*)

finally show *?thesis*.

qed

lemma *Aexp-Let-simp1*:

$\neg nonrec\ \Gamma \implies \mathcal{A}_a (Let\ \Gamma\ e) = (Afix\ \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a\ e \sqcup (\lambda\text{-}up \cdot 0) \text{ f|}^{\cdot} \text{thunks}\ \Gamma)) \text{ f|}^{\cdot} (-\ domA\ \Gamma)$

unfolding *Aexp-pre-simps*

by (*rule env-restr-cong*) (*auto simp add: dest!: subsetD[OF Afix-edom] subsetD[OF Aexp-edom] subsetD[OF thunks-domA]*)

lemma *Aexp-Let-simp2*:

$x \notin fv\ e \implies \mathcal{A}_a (let\ x\ be\ e\ in\ exp) = env\text{-}delete\ x (\mathcal{A}^{\perp} ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a\ exp, CCexp\ exp \cdot a) e \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a\ exp)$

unfolding *Aexp-pre-simps env-delete-restr*

by (*rule env-restr-cong*) (*auto dest!: subsetD[OF fup-Aexp-edom] subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]*)

lemma *Aexp-simps[simp]*:

$\mathcal{A}_a (Var\ x) = esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot a)$

$\mathcal{A}_a (Lam\ [x].\ e) = env\text{-}delete\ x (\mathcal{A}_{pred \cdot a}\ e)$

$\mathcal{A}_a (App\ e\ x) = Aexp\ e \cdot (inc \cdot a) \sqcup esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot 0)$

$\neg nonrec\ \Gamma \implies \mathcal{A}_a (Let\ \Gamma\ e) =$

$(Afix\ \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a\ e \sqcup (\lambda\text{-}up \cdot 0) \text{ f|}^{\cdot} \text{thunks}\ \Gamma)) \text{ f|}^{\cdot} (-\ domA\ \Gamma)$

$x \notin fv\ e' \implies \mathcal{A}_a (let\ x\ be\ e'\ in\ e) =$

$env\text{-}delete\ x (\mathcal{A}^{\perp} ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e' \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a\ e, \mathcal{G}_a\ e) e' \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a\ e)$

$\mathcal{A}_a (Bool\ b) = \perp$

$\mathcal{A}_a (scrut\ ?\ e1 : e2) = \mathcal{A}_0\ scrut \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a\ e1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a\ e2$

by (*simp-all add: Aexp-lam-simp Aexp-Let-simp1 Aexp-Let-simp2, simp-all add: Aexp-pre-simps*)

end

end

13.2 CoCallImplSafe

theory *CoCallImplSafe*

imports *CoCallAnalysisImpl CoCallAnalysisSpec ArityAnalysisFixProps*

begin

locale *CoCallImplSafe*

```

begin
sublocale CoCallAnalysisImpl.

lemma ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr: (ccNeighbors x G  $\cap$  S) = ccNeighbors x (cc-restr (insert x S)
G)  $\cap$  S
  by transfer auto

lemma
  assumes x  $\notin$  S and y  $\notin$  S
  shows CCexp-subst: cc-restr S (CCexp e[y::=x].a) = cc-restr S (CCexp e.a)
    and Aexp-restr-subst: (Aexp e[y::=x].a) f|' S = (Aexp e.a) f|' S
  using assms
proof (nominal-induct e avoiding: x y arbitrary: a S rule: exp-strong-induct-rec-set)
  case (Var b v)
  case 1 show ?case by auto
  case 2 thus ?case by auto
next
  case (App e v)
  case 1
    with App show ?case
    by (auto simp add: Int-insert-left fv-subst-int simp del: join-comm intro: join-mono)
  case 2
    with App show ?case
    by (auto simp add: env-restr-join simp del: fun-meet-simp)
next
  case (Lam v e)
  case 1
    with Lam
    show ?case
    by (auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps cc-restr-predCC Diff-Int-distrib2 fv-subst-int env-restr-join
env-delete-env-restr-swap[symmetric] simp del: CCexp-simps)
  case 2
    with Lam
    show ?case
    by (auto simp add: env-restr-join env-delete-env-restr-swap[symmetric] simp del: fun-meet-simp)
next
  case (Let  $\Gamma$  e x y)
  hence [simp]: x  $\notin$  domA  $\Gamma$  y  $\notin$  domA  $\Gamma$ 
  by (metis (erased, opaque-lifting) bn-subst domA-not-fresh fresh-def fresh-star-at-base fresh-star-def
obtain-fresh subst-is-fresh(2))+

  note Let(1,2)[simp]

  from Let(3)
  have  $\neg$  nonrec ( $\Gamma[y::h=x]$ ) by (simp add: nonrec-subst)

  case [simp]: 1
  have cc-restr (S  $\cup$  domA  $\Gamma$ ) (CCfix  $\Gamma[y::h=x]$ .(Afix  $\Gamma[y::h=x]$ .(Aexp e[y::=x].a  $\sqcup$  ( $\lambda$ -. up.0)
f|' thunks  $\Gamma$ ), CCexp e[y::=x].a)) =

```

```

      cc-restr (S ∪ domA Γ) (CCfix Γ.      (Afix Γ.      (Aexp e.      a ⊔ (λ-. up.0) f|'
thunks Γ), CCexp e.      a))
    apply (subst CCfix-restr-subst')
    apply (erule Let(4))
    apply auto[5]
    apply (subst CCfix-restr) back
    apply simp
    apply (subst Afix-restr-subst')
    apply (erule Let(5))
    apply auto[5]
    apply (subst Afix-restr) back
    apply simp
    apply (simp only: env-restr-join)
    apply (subst Let(7))
    apply auto[2]
    apply (subst Let(6))
    apply auto[2]
    apply rule
    done
  thus ?case using Let(1,2) <¬ nonrec Γ> <¬ nonrec (Γ[y::h=x])>
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair elim: cc-restr-eq-subset[rotated] )

  case [simp]: 2
  have Afix Γ[y::h=x].(Aexp e[y::=x].a ⊔ (λ-. up.0) f|' (thunks Γ)) f|' (S ∪ domA Γ) = Afix
Γ.(Aexp e.a ⊔ (λ-. up.0) f|' (thunks Γ)) f|' (S ∪ domA Γ)
    apply (subst Afix-restr-subst')
    apply (erule Let(5))
    apply auto[5]
    apply (subst Afix-restr) back
    apply auto[1]
    apply (simp only: env-restr-join)
    apply (subst Let(7))
    apply auto[2]
    apply rule
    done
  thus ?case using Let(1,2)
    using <¬ nonrec Γ> <¬ nonrec (Γ[y::h=x])>
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair elim:env-restr-eq-subset[rotated])
next
  case (Let-nonrec x' e exp x y)

  from Let-nonrec(1,2)
  have x ≠ x' y ≠ x' by (simp-all add: fresh-at-base)

  note Let-nonrec(1,2)[simp]

  from <x' ∉ fv e> <y ≠ x'> <x ≠ x'>
  have [simp]: x' ∉ fv (e[y::=x])
    by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

```

```

note  $\langle x' \notin fv\ e \rangle [simp] \ \langle y \neq x' \rangle [simp] \langle x \neq x' \rangle [simp]$ 

case [simp]: 1

have  $\bigwedge a. cc\_restr\ \{x'\}\ (CCexp\ exp[y::=x].a) = cc\_restr\ \{x'\}\ (CCexp\ exp.a)$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(6)) auto
from arg-cong[where  $f = \lambda x. x' - x' \in x$ , OF this]
have [simp]:  $x' - x' \in CCexp\ exp[y::=x].a \longleftrightarrow x' - x' \in CCexp\ exp.a$  by auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. Aexp\ e[y::=x].a\ f \mid^{\cdot} S = Aexp\ e.a\ f \mid^{\cdot} S$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(5)) auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. fup.(Aexp\ e[y::=x]).a\ f \mid^{\cdot} S = fup.(Aexp\ e).a\ f \mid^{\cdot} S$ 
  by (case-tac a) auto

have [simp]:  $Aexp\ exp[y::=x].a\ f \mid^{\cdot} S = Aexp\ exp.a\ f \mid^{\cdot} S$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(7)) auto

have  $Aexp\ exp[y::=x].a\ f \mid^{\cdot} \{x'\} = Aexp\ exp.a\ f \mid^{\cdot} \{x'\}$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(7)) auto
from fun-cong[OF this, where  $x = x'$ ]
have [simp]:  $(Aexp\ exp[y::=x].a)\ x' = (Aexp\ exp.a)\ x'$  by auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. cc\_restr\ S\ (CCexp\ exp[y::=x].a) = cc\_restr\ S\ (CCexp\ exp.a)$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(6)) auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. cc\_restr\ S\ (CCexp\ e[y::=x].a) = cc\_restr\ S\ (CCexp\ e.a)$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(4)) auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. cc\_restr\ S\ (fup.(CCexp\ e[y::=x]).a) = cc\_restr\ S\ (fup.(CCexp\ e).a)$ 
  by (rule fup-ccExp-restr-subst') simp

have [simp]:  $fv\ e[y::=x] \cap S = fv\ e \cap S$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

have [simp]:
   $ccNeighbors\ x'\ (CCexp\ exp[y::=x].a) \cap -\ \{x'\} \cap S = ccNeighbors\ x'\ (CCexp\ exp.a) \cap -\ \{x'\} \cap S$ 
  apply (simp only: Int-assoc)
  apply (subst (1 2) ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr)
  apply (subst Let-nonrec(6))
  apply auto[2]
  apply rule
  done

have [simp]:
   $ccNeighbors\ x'\ (CCexp\ exp[y::=x].a) \cap S = ccNeighbors\ x'\ (CCexp\ exp.a) \cap S$ 
  apply (subst (1 2) ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr)

```

```

apply (subst Let-nonrec(6))
  apply auto[2]
apply rule
done

show cc-restr S (CCexp (let x' be e in exp ) [y::=x]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp (let x' be e in exp
).a)
  apply (subst subst-let-be)
  apply auto[2]
apply (subst (1 2) CCexp-simps(6))
  apply fact+
apply (simp only: cc-restr-cc-delete-twist)
apply (rule arg-cong) back
apply (simp add: Diff-eq ccBind-eq ABind-nonrec-eq)
done

show Aexp (let x' be e in exp ) [y::=x]·a f|' S = Aexp (let x' be e in exp )·a f|' S
  by (simp add: env-restr-join env-delete-env-restr-swap[symmetric] ABind-nonrec-eq)
next
case (IfThenElse scrut e1 e2)
case [simp]: 2
  from IfThenElse
show cc-restr S (CCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) [y::=x]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a)
  by (auto simp del: edom-env env-restr-empty env-restr-empty-iff simp add: edom-env[symmetric])

  from IfThenElse(2,4,6)
show Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) [y::=x]·a f|' S = Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a f|' S
  by (auto simp add: env-restr-join simp del: fun-meet-simp)
qed auto

sublocale ArityAnalysisSafe Aexp
  by standard (simp-all add: Aexp-restr-subst)

sublocale ArityAnalysisLetSafe Aexp Aheap
proof
  fix  $\Gamma$  e a
show  $\text{edom} (Aheap \Gamma e \cdot a) \subseteq \text{dom} A \Gamma$ 
  by (cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )
  (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp dest: subsetD[OF edom-esing-subset] elim!: nonrecE)
next
  fix x y :: var and  $\Gamma$  :: heap and e :: exp
assume assms:  $x \notin \text{dom} A \Gamma$  y  $\notin \text{dom} A \Gamma$ 

  from Aexp-restr-subst[OF assms(2,1)]
have **:  $\bigwedge a. Aexp e[x::=y] \cdot a f|' \text{dom} A \Gamma = Aexp e \cdot a f|' \text{dom} A \Gamma$ .

show Aheap  $\Gamma[x::h=y]$  e[x::=y] = Aheap  $\Gamma$  e
proof(cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )

```



```

case [simp]: False

from assms
have atom ' domA  $\Gamma$   $\sharp^*$  x and atom ' domA  $\Gamma$   $\sharp^*$  y
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-at-base image-iff)
hence [simp]:  $\neg$  nonrec ( $\Gamma[x::h=y]$ )
  by (simp add: nonrec-subst)

show ?thesis
apply (rule cfun-eqI)
apply simp
apply (subst Afix-restr-subst[OF assms subset-refl])
apply (subst Afix-restr[OF subset-refl]) back
apply (simp add: env-restr-join)
apply (subst **)
apply simp
done
next
case True

from assms
have atom ' domA  $\Gamma$   $\sharp^*$  x and atom ' domA  $\Gamma$   $\sharp^*$  y
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-at-base image-iff)
with True
have *: nonrec ( $\Gamma[x::h=y]$ ) by (simp add: nonrec-subst)

from True
obtain  $x' e'$  where [simp]:  $\Gamma = [(x', e')]$   $x' \notin \text{fv } e'$  by (auto elim: nonrecE)

from * have [simp]:  $x' \notin \text{fv } (e'[x::=y])$ 
  by (auto simp add: nonrec-def)

from fun-cong[OF **, where  $x = x'$ ]
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. (Aexp\ e[x::=y] \cdot a)\ x' = (Aexp\ e \cdot a)\ x'$  by simp

from CCexp-subst[OF assms(2,1)]
have  $\bigwedge a. cc\text{-restr } \{x'\} (CCexp\ e[x::=y] \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr } \{x'\} (CCexp\ e \cdot a)$  by simp
from arg-cong[where  $f = \lambda x. x' - - x' \in x$ , OF this]
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. x' - - x' \in (CCexp\ e[x::=y] \cdot a) \longleftrightarrow x' - - x' \in (CCexp\ e \cdot a)$  by simp

show ?thesis
  apply -
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  apply (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq)
done
qed
next
fix  $\Gamma\ e\ a$ 
show  $ABinds\ \Gamma \cdot (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a) \sqcup Aexp\ e \cdot a \sqsubseteq Aheap\ \Gamma\ e \cdot a \sqcup Aexp\ (Let\ \Gamma\ e) \cdot a$ 

```

```

proof(cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )
  case False
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: Aheap-def join-below-iff env-restr-join2 Compl-partition intro: below-trans[OF - Afix-above-arg])
  next
  case True
  then obtain  $x\ e'$  where [simp]:  $\Gamma = [(x, e')]$   $x \notin \text{fv } e'$  by (auto elim: nonrecE)

  hence  $\bigwedge a. x \notin \text{edom } (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{Aexp } e') \cdot a)$ 
    by (auto dest: subsetD[OF fup-Aexp-edom])
  hence [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. (\text{fup} \cdot (\text{Aexp } e') \cdot a) \ x = \perp$  by (simp add: edomIff)

  show ?thesis
    apply (rule env-restr-below-split[where  $S = \{x\}$ ])
    apply (rule env-restr-belowI2)
    apply (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp join-below-iff env-restr-join env-delete-restr)
    apply (rule ABind-nonrec-above-arg)
    apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    apply (rule below-refl)
    done
qed
qed

```

definition *ccHeap-nonrec*

where *ccHeap-nonrec* $x\ e\ \text{exp} = (\bigwedge n. \text{CCfix-nonrec } x\ e \cdot (\text{Aexp } \text{exp} \cdot n, \text{CCexp } \text{exp} \cdot n))$

lemma *ccHeap-nonrec-eq*:

ccHeap-nonrec $x\ e\ \text{exp} \cdot n = \text{CCfix-nonrec } x\ e \cdot (\text{Aexp } \text{exp} \cdot n, \text{CCexp } \text{exp} \cdot n)$

unfolding *ccHeap-nonrec-def* **by** (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

definition *ccHeap-rec* :: *heap* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow *Arity* \rightarrow *CoCalls*

where *ccHeap-rec* $\Gamma\ e = (\bigwedge a. \text{CCfix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) \ f) \mid ' (thunks\ \Gamma)), \text{CCexp } e \cdot a)$

lemma *ccHeap-rec-eq*:

ccHeap-rec $\Gamma\ e \cdot a = \text{CCfix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) \ f) \mid ' (thunks\ \Gamma)), \text{CCexp } e \cdot a$

unfolding *ccHeap-rec-def* **by** *simp*

definition *ccHeap* :: *heap* \Rightarrow *exp* \Rightarrow *Arity* \rightarrow *CoCalls*

where *ccHeap* $\Gamma = (\text{if nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then case-prod } \text{ccHeap-nonrec } (\text{hd } \Gamma) \text{ else } \text{ccHeap-rec } \Gamma)$

lemma *ccHeap-simp1*:

$\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{ccHeap } \Gamma\ e \cdot a = \text{CCfix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) \ f) \mid ' (thunks\ \Gamma)), \text{CCexp } e \cdot a$

by (simp add: *ccHeap-def ccHeap-rec-eq*)

lemma *ccHeap-simp2*:

$x \notin \text{fv } e \implies \text{ccHeap } [(x, e)] \text{ exp} \cdot n = \text{CCfix-nonrec } x \text{ e} \cdot (\text{Aexp exp} \cdot n, \text{CCexp exp} \cdot n)$
by (*simp add: ccHeap-def ccHeap-nonrec-eq nonrec-def*)

sublocale *CoCallAritySafe CCexp Aexp ccHeap Aheap*

proof

fix $e \ a \ x$

show $\text{CCexp } e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot a) \sqcup \text{ccProd } \{x\} (\text{insert } x (\text{fv } e)) \sqsubseteq \text{CCexp } (\text{App } e \ x) \cdot a$

by *simp*

next

fix $y \ e \ n$

show $\text{cc-restr } (\text{fv } (\text{Lam } [y]. \ e)) (\text{CCexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)) \sqsubseteq \text{CCexp } (\text{Lam } [y]. \ e) \cdot n$

by (*auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps predCC-eq dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] simp del: CCexp-simps*)

next

fix $x \ y :: \text{var}$ **and** $S \ e \ a$

assume $x \notin S$ **and** $y \notin S$

thus $\text{cc-restr } S (\text{CCexp } e[y::=x] \cdot a) \sqsubseteq \text{cc-restr } S (\text{CCexp } e \cdot a)$

by (*rule eq-imp-below[OF CCexp-subst]*)

next

fix e

assume $\text{isVal } e$

thus $\text{CCexp } e \cdot 0 = \text{ccSquare } (\text{fv } e)$

by (*induction e rule: isVal.induct*) (*auto simp add: predCC-eq*)

next

fix $\Gamma \ e \ a$

show $\text{cc-restr } (- \ \text{domA } \Gamma) (\text{ccHeap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \sqsubseteq \text{CCexp } (\text{Let } \Gamma \ e) \cdot a$

proof(*cases nonrec* Γ)

case *False*

thus $\text{cc-restr } (- \ \text{domA } \Gamma) (\text{ccHeap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \sqsubseteq \text{CCexp } (\text{Let } \Gamma \ e) \cdot a$

by (*simp add: ccHeap-simp1[OF False, symmetric] del: cc-restr-join*)

next

case *True*

thus *?thesis*

by (*auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 Diff-eq elim!: nonrecE simp del: cc-restr-join*)

qed

next

fix $\Delta :: \text{heap}$ **and** $e \ a$

show $\text{CCexp } e \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$

by (*cases nonrec* Δ)

(*auto simp add: ccHeap-simp1 ccHeap-simp2 arg-cong[OF CCfix-unroll, where $f = (\sqsubseteq)$ x*

for x] *elim!: nonrecE*)

fix $x \ e' \ a'$

assume $\text{map-of } \Delta \ x = \text{Some } e'$

hence [*simp*]: $x \in \text{domA } \Delta$ **by** (*metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA*)

assume $(\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \ x = \text{up} \cdot a'$

show $\text{CCexp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$

```

proof(cases nonrec  $\Delta$ )
  case False

    from  $\langle \text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a \rangle \ x = \text{up} \cdot a'$ 
    have  $(\text{Afix } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f) |' (thunks \ \Delta))) \ x = \text{up} \cdot a'$ 
      by (simp add: Aheap-def)
    hence  $\text{CCexp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq \text{ccBind } x \ e' \cdot (\text{Afix } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f) |' (thunks \ \Delta)), \text{CCfix } \Delta \cdot (\text{Afix } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f) |' (thunks \ \Delta)), \text{CCexp } e \cdot a)$ 
      by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest: subsetD[OF ccField-CCexp])
    also
      have  $\text{ccBind } x \ e' \cdot (\text{Afix } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f) |' (thunks \ \Delta)), \text{CCfix } \Delta \cdot (\text{Afix } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f) |' (thunks \ \Delta)), \text{CCexp } e \cdot a) \sqsubseteq \text{cHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$ 
        using  $\langle \text{map-of } \Delta \ x = \text{Some } e' \rangle \text{ False}$ 
      by (fastforce simp add: ccHeap-simp1 ccHeap-rec-eq ccBindsExtra-simp ccBinds-eq arg-cong[OF CCfix-unroll, where  $f = (\sqsubseteq) \ x$  for  $x$ ]
        intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    finally
      show  $\text{CCexp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq \text{cHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$  by this simp-all
  next
    case True
    with  $\langle \text{map-of } \Delta \ x = \text{Some } e' \rangle$ 
    have [simp]:  $\Delta = [(x, e')] \ x \notin \text{fv } e'$  by (auto elim!: nonrecE split: if-splits)

    show ?thesis
    proof(cases  $x \dashv\dashv x \notin \text{CCexp } e \cdot a \vee \text{isVal } e'$ )
      case True
      with  $\langle \text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a \rangle \ x = \text{up} \cdot a'$ 
      have [simp]:  $(\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp } \text{cCCexp } e \cdot a) \ x = \text{up} \cdot a'$ 
        by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq split: if-splits)

      have  $\text{CCexp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq \text{ccSquare } (\text{fv } e')$ 
        unfolding below-ccSquare
        by (rule ccField-CCexp)
      then
        show ?thesis using True
        by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 ccBind-eq Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq below-trans[OF - join-above2] simp del: below-ccSquare )
    next
      case False

      from  $\langle \text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a \rangle \ x = \text{up} \cdot a'$ 
      have [simp]:  $a' = 0$  using False
        by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq split: if-splits)

      show ?thesis using False
        by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 ccBind-eq Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq simp del: below-ccSquare )
    qed
  qed

```

```

show  $ccProd (fv\ e') (ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a) - \{x\} \cap thunks\ \Delta) \sqsubseteq ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a$ 
proof (cases nonrec  $\Delta$ )
  case [simp]: False

  have  $ccProd (fv\ e') (ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a) - \{x\} \cap thunks\ \Delta) \sqsubseteq ccProd (fv\ e')$ 
    ( $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a)$ )
    by (rule ccProd-mono2) auto
  also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcup x \mapsto e' \in map\text{-}of\ \Delta. ccProd (fv\ e') (ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a)))$ 
    using  $\langle map\text{-}of\ \Delta\ x = Some\ e' \rangle$  by (rule below-lubmapI)
  also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq ccBindsExtra\ \Delta.(Afix\ \Delta.(Aexp\ e.a \sqcup (\lambda\text{-}up.\ 0)f) \text{' } (thunks\ \Delta)), ccHeap\ \Delta$ 
    ( $e.a$ )
    by (simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp below-trans[OF - join-above2])
  also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a$ 
    by (simp add: ccHeap-simp1 arg-cong[OF CCfix-unroll, where  $f = (\sqsubseteq)\ x$  for  $x$ ])
  finally
  show ?thesis by this simp-all
next
case True
with  $\langle map\text{-}of\ \Delta\ x = Some\ e' \rangle$ 
have [simp]:  $\Delta = [(x, e')] \ x \notin fv\ e'$  by (auto elim!: nonrecE split: if-splits)

  have [simp]:  $(ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccBind\ x\ e'.(Aexp\ e.a, CCexp\ e.a))) = \{\}$ 
by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] subsetD[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])

  show ?thesis
proof(cases isVal  $e' \wedge x \dashv\dashv x \in CCexp\ e.a$ )
  case True

  have  $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a) =$ 
     $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccBind\ x\ e'.(Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e'.(Aexp\ e.a, CCexp\ e.a), CCexp\ e.a)) \cup$ 
     $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccProd (fv\ e') (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a) - (if\ isVal\ e'\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{x\})))$ 
     $\cup$ 
     $ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a)$  by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2)
  also have  $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccBind\ x\ e'.(Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e'.(Aexp\ e.a, CCexp\ e.a), CCexp\ e.a)) = \{\}$ 
by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] subsetD[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])
  also have  $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccProd (fv\ e') (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a) - (if\ isVal\ e'\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{x\})))$ 
     $\subseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccProd (fv\ e') (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a)))$  by (simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
  also have  $\dots \subseteq fv\ e'$  by (simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
  finally
  have  $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a) - \{x\} \cap thunks\ \Delta \subseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a) \cup fv\ e'$ 
by auto
  hence  $ccProd (fv\ e') (ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a) - \{x\} \cap thunks\ \Delta) \sqsubseteq ccProd (fv\ e')$ 
    ( $ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a) \cup fv\ e'$ ) by (rule ccProd-mono2)
  also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq ccProd (fv\ e') (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a)) \sqcup ccProd (fv\ e') (fv\ e')$  by
    simp

```

```

also have  $ccProd (fv\ e') (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a)) \sqsubseteq ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a$ 
  using  $\langle map-of\ \Delta\ x = Some\ e' \rangle \langle (Aheap\ \Delta\ e.a)\ x = up.a \rangle\ True$ 
  by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 below-trans[OF - join-above2])
also have  $ccProd (fv\ e') (fv\ e') = ccSquare (fv\ e')$  by (simp add: ccSquare-def)
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a$ 
  using  $\langle map-of\ \Delta\ x = Some\ e' \rangle \langle (Aheap\ \Delta\ e.a)\ x = up.a \rangle\ True$ 
  by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 ccBind-eq below-trans[OF - join-above2])
also note join-self
finally show ?thesis by this simp-all
next
case False
have  $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a) =$ 
   $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccBind\ x\ e'.(Aheap-nonrec\ x\ e'.(Aexp\ e.a,\ CCexp\ e.a),\ CCexp\ e.a)) \cup$ 
   $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccProd\ (fv\ e')\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a) - (if\ isVal\ e'\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{x\})))$ 
 $\cup$ 
   $ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a)$  by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2)
also have  $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccBind\ x\ e'.(Aheap-nonrec\ x\ e'.(Aexp\ e.a,\ CCexp\ e.a),\ CCexp\ e.a)) = \{\}$ 
  by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] subsetD[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])
also have  $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccProd\ (fv\ e')\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a) - (if\ isVal\ e'\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{x\})))$ 
 $= \{\}$  using False by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
finally
have  $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a) \sqsubseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a)$  by auto
hence  $ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a) - \{x\} \cap thunks\ \Delta \sqsubseteq ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a) - \{x\} \cap thunks\ \Delta$  by auto
hence  $ccProd\ (fv\ e')\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ (ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a) - \{x\} \cap thunks\ \Delta) \sqsubseteq ccProd\ (fv\ e')\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ e.a) - \{x\} \cap thunks\ \Delta)$  by (rule ccProd-mono2)
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq ccHeap\ \Delta\ e.a$ 
  using  $\langle map-of\ \Delta\ x = Some\ e' \rangle \langle (Aheap\ \Delta\ e.a)\ x = up.a \rangle\ False$ 
  by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 thunks-Cons below-trans[OF - join-above2])
finally show ?thesis by this simp-all
qed
qed

next
fix  $x\ \Gamma\ e\ a$ 
assume [simp]:  $\neg nonrec\ \Gamma$ 
assume  $x \in thunks\ \Gamma$ 
hence [simp]:  $x \in domA\ \Gamma$  by (rule subsetD[OF thunks-domA])
assume  $x \in edom\ (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e.a)$ 

from  $\langle x \in thunks\ \Gamma \rangle$ 
have  $(Afix\ \Gamma.(Aexp\ e.a \sqcup (\lambda-.up.0)f|' (thunks\ \Gamma)))\ x = up.0$ 
  by (subst Afix-unroll simp)

thus  $(Aheap\ \Gamma\ e.a)\ x = up.0$  by simp
next
fix  $x\ \Gamma\ e\ a$ 

```

```

assume nonrec  $\Gamma$ 
then obtain  $x' e'$  where  $[simp]: \Gamma = [(x', e')]$   $x' \notin \text{fv } e'$  by (auto elim: nonrecE)
assume  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$ 
hence  $[simp]: x = x' \neg isVal e'$  by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons split: if-splits)

assume  $x \dashv\dashv x \in CCexp\ e \cdot a$ 
hence  $[simp]: x' \dashv\dashv x' \in CCexp\ e \cdot a$  by simp

from  $\langle x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \rangle$ 
have  $(\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f) |' (\text{thunks } \Gamma))$   $x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
by (subst Afix-unroll) simp

show  $(\text{Aheap } \Gamma\ e \cdot a)\ x = \text{up} \cdot 0$  by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq)
next
fix scrut  $e1\ a\ e2$ 
show  $CCexp\ \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \sqcup (CCexp\ e1 \cdot a \sqcup CCexp\ e2 \cdot a) \sqcup \text{ccProd } (\text{edom } (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0)) (\text{edom } (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom } (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq CCexp\ (\text{scrut } ?\ e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
by simp
qed
end

end

```

14 End-to-end Saftey Results and Example

14.1 CallArityEnd2End

```

theory CallArityEnd2End
imports ArityTransform CoCallAnalysisImpl
begin

locale CallArityEnd2End
begin
sublocale CoCallAnalysisImpl.

lemma fresh-var-eqE[elim-format]:  $\text{fresh-var } e = x \implies x \notin \text{fv } e$ 
by (metis fresh-var-not-free)

lemma example1:
fixes  $e :: \text{exp}$ 
fixes  $f\ g\ x\ y\ z :: \text{var}$ 
assumes  $\text{Aexp-}e: \bigwedge a. \text{Aexp } e \cdot a = \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot a) \sqcup \text{esing } y \cdot (\text{up} \cdot a)$ 
assumes  $\text{ccExp-}e: \bigwedge a. CCexp\ e \cdot a = \perp$ 
assumes  $[simp]: \text{transform } 1\ e = e$ 
assumes  $\text{isVal } e$ 
assumes  $\text{disj}: y \neq f\ y \neq g\ x \neq y\ z \neq f\ z \neq g\ y \neq x$ 
assumes  $\text{fresh}: \text{atom } z \nmid e$ 
shows  $\text{transform } 1\ (\text{let } y \text{ be } \text{App } (\text{Var } f)\ g \text{ in } (\text{let } x \text{ be } e \text{ in } (\text{Var } x))) =$ 

```

```

    let y be (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z) in (let x be (Lam [z]. App e z) in (Var x))
proof-
  from arg-cong[where f = edom, OF Aexp-e]
  have x ∈ fv e by simp (metis Aexp-edom' insert-subset)
  hence [simp]: ¬ nonrec [(x,e)]
    by (simp add: nonrec-def)

  from ⟨isVal e⟩
  have [simp]: thunks [(x, e)] = {}
    by (simp add: thunks-Cons)

  have [simp]: CCfix [(x, e)].(esing x.(up.1) ⊔ esing y.(up.1), ⊥) = ⊥
    unfolding CCfix-def
    apply (simp add: fix-bottom-iff ccBindsExtra-simp)
    apply (simp add: ccBind-eq disj ccExp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Afix [(x, e)].(esing x.(up.1)) = esing x.(up.1) ⊔ esing y.(up.1)
    unfolding Afix-def
    apply simp
    apply (rule fix-eqI)
    apply (simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    apply (case-tac z x)
    apply (auto simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Aheap [(y, App (Var f) g)] (let x be e in Var x).1 = esing y.(Aexp (let x be e in
  Var x).1) y)
    by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq pure-fresh fresh-at-base disj)

  have [simp]: (Aexp (let x be e in Var x).1) = esing y.(up.1)
    by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

  have [simp]: Aheap [(x, e)] (Var x).1 = esing x.(up.1)
    by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

  have 1: 1 = inc.0 apply (simp add: inc-def) apply transfer apply simp done

  have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 (App (Var f) g) = (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z)
    apply (simp add: 1 del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
    apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var (App (Var f) g)])
    apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj intro!: flip-fresh-fresh elim!:
  fresh-var-eqE)
    done

  have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 e = (Lam [z]. App e z)
    apply (simp add: 1 del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
    apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var e])
    apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj fresh intro!: flip-fresh-fresh

```



```

elim!: fresh-var-eqE)
done

show ?thesis
by (simp del: Let-eq-iff add: map-transform-Cons map-transform-Nil disj[symmetric])
qed

end
end

```

14.2 CallAriyEnd2EndSafe

```

theory CallAriyEnd2EndSafe
imports CallAriyEnd2End CardAriyTransformSafe CoCallImplSafe CoCallImplTTreeSafe TTreeIm-
plCardinalitySafe
begin

```

```

locale CallAriyEnd2EndSafe
begin
sublocale CoCallImplSafe.
sublocale CallAriyEnd2End.

```

abbreviation *transform-syn'* (\mathcal{T} -) **where** $\mathcal{T}_a \equiv \text{transform } a$

lemma *end2end*:

```

c  $\Rightarrow^*$  c'  $\implies$ 
 $\neg \text{boring-step } c' \implies$ 
heap-upds-ok-conf c  $\implies$ 
consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) c  $\implies$ 
 $\exists ae' ce' a' as' r'. \text{consistent } (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' \wedge \text{conf-transform } (ae, ce, a, as, r) c \Rightarrow_G^*$ 
conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r') c'
by (rule card-arity-transform-safe)

```

theorem *end2end-closed*:

```

assumes closed: fv e = ({ } :: var set)
assumes ( $\Gamma, e, []$ )  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Gamma, v, []$ ) and isVal v
obtains  $\Gamma'$  and v'
where ( $\Gamma, \mathcal{T}_0 e, []$ )  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Gamma', v', []$ ) and isVal v'
and card (domA  $\Gamma'$ )  $\leq$  card (domA  $\Gamma$ )

```

proof–

```

note assms(2)
moreover
have  $\neg \text{boring-step } (\Gamma, v, [])$  by (simp add: boring-step.simps)
moreover
have heap-upds-ok-conf ( $\Gamma, e, []$ ) by simp
moreover
have consistent ( $\perp, \perp, 0, [], []$ ) ( $\Gamma, e, []$ ) using closed by (rule closed-consistent)
ultimately
obtain ae ce a as r where

```

```

*: consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, v, []) and
**: conf-transform (⊥, ⊥, 0, [], []) ([], e, []) ⇒G* conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, v, [])
by (metis end2end)

let ?Γ = map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (− set r)
Γ))
let ?v = transform a v

from * have set r ⊆ domA Γ by auto

have conf-transform (⊥, ⊥, 0, [], []) ([], e, []) = ([], transform 0 e, []) by simp
with **
have ([], transform 0 e, []) ⇒G* (?Γ, ?v, map Dummy (rev r)) by simp

have isVal ?v using ⟨isVal v⟩ by simp

have fv (transform 0 e) = ({ :: var set) using closed
by (auto dest: subsetD[OF fv-transform])

note sestoftUnGC'[OF ⟨([], transform 0 e, []) ⇒G* (?Γ, ?v, map Dummy (rev r))⟩ ⟨isVal ?v⟩
⟨fv (transform 0 e) = { }⟩]
then obtain Γ'
  where ([], transform 0 e, []) ⇒* (Γ', ?v, [])
  and ?Γ = restrictA (− set r) Γ'
  and set r ⊆ domA Γ'
  by auto

have card (domA Γ) = card (domA ?Γ ∪ (set r ∩ domA Γ))
  by (rule arg-cong[where f = card]) auto
also have ... = card (domA ?Γ) + card (set r ∩ domA Γ)
  by (rule card-Un-disjoint) auto
also note ⟨?Γ = restrictA (− set r) Γ'⟩
also have set r ∩ domA Γ = set r ∩ domA Γ'
  using ⟨set r ⊆ domA Γ⟩ ⟨set r ⊆ domA Γ'⟩ by auto
also have card (domA (restrictA (− set r) Γ')) + card (set r ∩ domA Γ') = card (domA
Γ')
  by (subst card-Un-disjoint[symmetric]) (auto intro: arg-cong[where f = card])
finally
have card (domA Γ') ≤ card (domA Γ) by simp
with ⟨([], transform 0 e, []) ⇒* (Γ', ?v, [])⟩ ⟨isVal ?v⟩
show thesis using that by blast
qed

lemma fresh-var-eqE[elim-format]: fresh-var e = x ⇒ x ∉ fv e
by (metis fresh-var-not-free)

lemma example1:
  fixes e :: exp
  fixes f g x y z :: var

```

assumes $Aexp\text{-}e: \bigwedge a. Aexp\ e \cdot a = esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot a) \sqcup esing\ y \cdot (up \cdot a)$
assumes $ccExp\text{-}e: \bigwedge a. CCexp\ e \cdot a = \perp$
assumes $[simp]: transform\ 1\ e = e$
assumes $isVal\ e$
assumes $disj: y \neq f\ y \neq g\ x \neq y\ z \neq f\ z \neq g\ y \neq x$
assumes $fresh: atom\ z \# e$
shows $transform\ 1\ (let\ y\ be\ App\ (Var\ f)\ g\ in\ (let\ x\ be\ e\ in\ (Var\ x))) =$
 $let\ y\ be\ (Lam\ [z]. App\ (App\ (Var\ f)\ g)\ z)\ in\ (let\ x\ be\ (Lam\ [z]. App\ e\ z)\ in\ (Var\ x))$
proof–
from $arg\text{-}cong[where\ f = edom, OF\ Aexp\text{-}e]$
have $x \in fv\ e$ **by** $simp\ (metis\ Aexp\text{-}edom'\ insert\ subset)$
hence $[simp]: \neg nonrec\ [(x, e)]$
by $(simp\ add: nonrec\text{-}def)$

from $\langle isVal\ e \rangle$
have $[simp]: thunks\ [(x, e)] = \{\}$
by $(simp\ add: thunks\text{-}Cons)$

have $[simp]: CCfix\ [(x, e)] \cdot (esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot 1) \sqcup esing\ y \cdot (up \cdot 1), \perp) = \perp$
unfolding $CCfix\text{-}def$
apply $(simp\ add: fix\text{-}bottom\text{-}iff\ ccBindsExtra\text{-}simp)$
apply $(simp\ add: ccBind\text{-}eq\ disj\ ccExp\text{-}e)$
done

have $[simp]: Afix\ [(x, e)] \cdot (esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot 1)) = esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot 1) \sqcup esing\ y \cdot (up \cdot 1)$
unfolding $Afix\text{-}def$
apply $simp$
apply $(rule\ fix\text{-}eqI)$
apply $(simp\ add: disj\ Aexp\text{-}e)$
apply $(case\ tac\ z\ x)$
apply $(auto\ simp\ add: disj\ Aexp\text{-}e)$
done

have $[simp]: Aheap\ [(y, App\ (Var\ f)\ g)]\ (let\ x\ be\ e\ in\ Var\ x) \cdot 1 = esing\ y \cdot (Aexp\ (let\ x\ be\ e\ in\ Var\ x) \cdot 1)\ y$
by $(auto\ simp\ add: Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}simp\ ABind\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq\ pure\text{-}fresh\ fresh\text{-}at\text{-}base\ disj)$

have $[simp]: (Aexp\ (let\ x\ be\ e\ in\ Var\ x) \cdot 1) = esing\ y \cdot (up \cdot 1)$
by $(simp\ add: env\text{-}restr\text{-}join\ disj)$

have $[simp]: Aheap\ [(x, e)]\ (Var\ x) \cdot 1 = esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot 1)$
by $(simp\ add: env\text{-}restr\text{-}join\ disj)$

have $[simp]: Aeta\text{-}expand\ 1\ (App\ (Var\ f)\ g) = (Lam\ [z]. App\ (App\ (Var\ f)\ g)\ z)$
apply $(simp\ add: one\text{-}is\text{-}inc\text{-}zero\ del: exp\text{-}assn.\text{-}eq\text{-}iff)$
apply $(subst\ change\text{-}Lam\text{-}Variable[of\ z\ fresh\text{-}var\ (App\ (Var\ f)\ g)])$
apply $(auto\ simp\ add: fresh\text{-}Pair\ fresh\text{-}at\text{-}base\ pure\text{-}fresh\ disj\ intro!: flip\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh\ elim!:$
 $fresh\text{-}var\text{-}eqE)$
done

```

have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 e = (Lam [z]. App e z)
  apply (simp add: one-is-inc-zero del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
  apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var e])
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj fresh intro!: flip-fresh-fresh
elim!: fresh-var-eqE)
  done

show ?thesis
  by (simp del: Let-eq-iff add: map-transform-Cons disj[symmetric])
qed

end
end

```

15 Functional Correctness of the Arity Analysis

15.1 ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational

```

theory ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational
imports ArityAnalysisSpec Launchbury.Denotational ArityTransform
begin

```

```

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin

```

```

inductive eq :: Arity  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  eq 0 v v
| ( $\bigwedge$  v. eq n (v1  $\downarrow$ Fn v) (v2  $\downarrow$ Fn v))  $\implies$  eq (inc.n) v1 v2

```

```

lemma [simp]: eq 0 v v'  $\longleftrightarrow$  v = v'
  by (auto elim: eq.cases intro: eq.intros)

```

```

lemma eq-inc-simp:
  eq (inc.n) v1 v2  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  v . eq n (v1  $\downarrow$ Fn v) (v2  $\downarrow$ Fn v))
  by (auto elim: eq.cases intro: eq.intros)

```

```

lemma eq-FnI:
  ( $\bigwedge$  v. eq (pred.n) (f1.v) (f2.v))  $\implies$  eq n (Fn.f1) (Fn.f2)
  by (induction n rule: Arity-ind) (auto intro: eq.intros cfun-eqI)

```

```

lemma eq-refl[simp]: eq a v v
  by (induction a arbitrary: v rule: Arity-ind) (auto intro!: eq.intros)

```

```

lemma eq-trans[trans]: eq a v1 v2  $\implies$  eq a v2 v3  $\implies$  eq a v1 v3
  apply (induction a arbitrary: v1 v2 v3 rule: Arity-ind)
  apply (auto elim!: eq.cases intro!: eq.intros)

```

```

apply blast
done

lemma eq-Fn:  $eq\ a\ v1\ v2 \implies eq\ (pred.a)\ (v1\ \downarrow Fn\ v)\ (v2\ \downarrow Fn\ v)$ 
apply (induction a rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
apply (auto simp add: eq-inc-simp)
done

lemma eq-inc-same:  $eq\ a\ v1\ v2 \implies eq\ (inc.a)\ v1\ v2$ 
by (induction a arbitrary: v1 v2 rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc]) (auto simp add: eq-inc-simp)

lemma eq-mono:  $a \sqsubseteq a' \implies eq\ a'\ v1\ v2 \implies eq\ a\ v1\ v2$ 
proof (induction a rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
  case 0 thus ?case by auto
next
  case (inc a)
  show  $eq\ (inc.a)\ v1\ v2$ 
  proof (cases inc.a = a')
    case True with inc show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False with  $\langle inc.a \sqsubseteq a' \rangle$  have  $a \sqsubseteq a'$ 
      by (simp add: inc-def)(transfer, simp)
    from this inc.prem1(2)
    have  $eq\ a\ v1\ v2$  by (rule inc.IH)
    thus ?thesis by (rule eq-inc-same)
  qed
qed

lemma eq-join[simp]:  $eq\ (a \sqcup a')\ v1\ v2 \longleftrightarrow eq\ a\ v1\ v2 \wedge eq\ a'\ v1\ v2$ 
  using Arity-total[of a a']
  apply (auto elim!: eq-mono[OF join-above1] eq-mono[OF join-above2])
  apply (metis join-self-below(2))
  apply (metis join-self-below(1))
  done

lemma eq-adm:  $cont\ f \implies cont\ g \implies adm\ (\lambda x. eq\ a\ (f\ x)\ (g\ x))$ 
proof (induction a arbitrary: f g rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
  case inc
  show ?case
  apply (subst eq-inc-simp)
  apply (rule adm-all)
  apply (rule inc)
  apply (intro cont2cont inc(2,3))+
  done
qed

inductive eqq :: AEnv  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  Value)  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  Value)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

$eq\varrho I: (\bigwedge x a. ae\ x = up \cdot a \implies eq\ a\ (\varrho 1\ x)\ (\varrho 2\ x)) \implies eq\varrho\ ae\ \varrho 1\ \varrho 2$

lemma $eq\varrho E$: $eq\varrho\ ae\ \varrho 1\ \varrho 2 \implies ae\ x = up \cdot a \implies eq\ a\ (\varrho 1\ x)\ (\varrho 2\ x)$
by (*auto simp add: eq\varrho.simps*)

lemma $eq\varrho\text{-refl}[simp]$: $eq\varrho\ ae\ \varrho\ \varrho$
by (*simp add: eq\varrho.simps*)

lemma $eq\text{-esing-up}[simp]$: $eq\varrho\ (esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot a))\ \varrho 1\ \varrho 2 \longleftrightarrow eq\ a\ (\varrho 1\ x)\ (\varrho 2\ x)$
by (*auto simp add: eq\varrho.simps*)

lemma $eq\varrho\text{-mono}$:
assumes $ae \sqsubseteq ae'$
assumes $eq\varrho\ ae'\ \varrho 1\ \varrho 2$
shows $eq\varrho\ ae\ \varrho 1\ \varrho 2$
proof (*rule eq\varrho I*)
fix $x\ a$
assume $ae\ x = up \cdot a$
with $\langle ae \sqsubseteq ae' \rangle$ **have** $up \cdot a \sqsubseteq ae' x$ **by** (*metis fun-belowD*)
then obtain a' **where** $ae' x = up \cdot a'$ **by** (*metis Exh-Up below-antisym minimal*)
with $\langle eq\varrho\ ae'\ \varrho 1\ \varrho 2 \rangle$
have $eq\ a' (\varrho 1\ x)\ (\varrho 2\ x)$ **by** (*auto simp add: eq\varrho.simps*)
with $\langle up \cdot a \sqsubseteq ae' x \rangle$ **and** $\langle ae' x = up \cdot a' \rangle$
show $eq\ a (\varrho 1\ x)\ (\varrho 2\ x)$ **by** (*metis eq-mono up-below*)
qed

lemma $eq\varrho\text{-adm}$: $cont\ f \implies cont\ g \implies adm\ (\lambda x. eq\varrho\ a\ (f\ x)\ (g\ x))$
apply (*simp add: eq\varrho.simps*)
apply (*intro adm-lemmas eq-adm*)
apply (*erule cont2cont-fun*)
done

lemma $up\text{-join-eq-up}[simp]$: $up \cdot (n :: 'a :: Finite-Join-cpo) \sqcup up \cdot n' = up \cdot (n \sqcup n')$
apply (*rule lub-is-join*)
apply (*auto simp add: is-lub-def*)
apply (*case-tac u*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma $eq\varrho\text{-join}[simp]$: $eq\varrho\ (ae \sqcup ae')\ \varrho 1\ \varrho 2 \longleftrightarrow eq\varrho\ ae\ \varrho 1\ \varrho 2 \wedge eq\varrho\ ae'\ \varrho 1\ \varrho 2$
apply (*auto elim!: eq\varrho-mono[OF join-above1] eq\varrho-mono[OF join-above2]*)
apply (*auto intro!: eq\varrho I*)
apply (*case-tac ae x, auto elim: eq\varrho E*)
apply (*case-tac ae' x, auto elim: eq\varrho E*)
done

lemma $eq\varrho\text{-override}[simp]$:
 $eq\varrho\ ae\ (\varrho 1\ ++_S\ \varrho 2)\ (\varrho 1' ++_S\ \varrho 2') \longleftrightarrow eq\varrho\ ae\ (\varrho 1\ f|' (-\ S))\ (\varrho 1' f|' (-\ S)) \wedge eq\varrho\ ae\ (\varrho 2\ f|' S)\ (\varrho 2' f|' S)$

by (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq eqq.simps lookup-override-on-eq)

lemma *Aexp-heap-below-Aheap*:

assumes $(Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a)\ x = up\cdot a'$
 assumes $map\text{-}of\ \Gamma\ x = Some\ e'$
 shows $Aexp\ e'\cdot a' \sqsubseteq Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a \sqcup Aexp\ (Let\ \Gamma\ e)\cdot a$

proof—

from *assms*(1)
 have $Aexp\ e'\cdot a' = ABind\ x\ e'\cdot (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a)$
 by (simp del: join-comm fun-meet-simp)
 also have $\dots \sqsubseteq ABinds\ \Gamma\cdot (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a)$
 by (rule monofun-cfun-fun[OF ABind-below-ABinds[OF map-of - - = -]])
 also have $\dots \sqsubseteq ABinds\ \Gamma\cdot (Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a) \sqcup Aexp\ e\cdot a$
 by simp
 also note *Aexp-Let*
 finally
 show ?thesis by this simp-all

qed

lemma *Aexp-body-below-Aheap*:

shows $Aexp\ e\cdot a \sqsubseteq Aheap\ \Gamma\ e\cdot a \sqcup Aexp\ (Let\ \Gamma\ e)\cdot a$
 by (rule below-trans[OF join-above2 Aexp-Let])

lemma *Aexp-correct*: $eqq\ (Aexp\ e\cdot a)\ \varrho1\ \varrho2 \implies eq\ a\ (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho1})\ (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho2})$

proof(*induction a e arbitrary: $\varrho1\ \varrho2$ rule: transform.induct[case-names App Lam Var Let Bool IfThenElse]*)

case (Var a x)
 from $\langle eqq\ (Aexp\ (Var\ x)\cdot a)\ \varrho1\ \varrho2 \rangle$
 have $eqq\ (esing\ x\cdot (up\cdot a))\ \varrho1\ \varrho2$ by (rule eqq-mono[OF Aexp-Var-singleton])
 thus ?case by simp

next

case (App a e x)
 from $\langle eqq\ (Aexp\ (App\ e\ x)\cdot a)\ \varrho1\ \varrho2 \rangle$
 have $eqq\ (Aexp\ e\cdot (inc\cdot a) \sqcup esing\ x\cdot (up\cdot 0))\ \varrho1\ \varrho2$ by (rule eqq-mono[OF Aexp-App])
 hence $eqq\ (Aexp\ e\cdot (inc\cdot a))\ \varrho1\ \varrho2$ and $\varrho1\ x = \varrho2\ x$ by simp-all
 from *App*(1)[OF *this*(1)] *this*(2)
 show ?case by (auto elim: eq.cases)

next

case (Lam a x e)
 from $\langle eqq\ (Aexp\ (Lam\ [x].\ e)\cdot a)\ \varrho1\ \varrho2 \rangle$
 have $eqq\ (env\text{-}delete\ x\ (Aexp\ e\cdot (pred\cdot a)))\ \varrho1\ \varrho2$ by (rule eqq-mono[OF Aexp-Lam])
 hence $\bigwedge v.\ eqq\ (Aexp\ e\cdot (pred\cdot a))\ (\varrho1(x := v))\ (\varrho2(x := v))$ by (auto intro!: eqqI elim!:

eqqE)

from *Lam*(1)[OF *this*]
 show ?case by (auto intro: eq-FnI simp del: fun-upd-apply)

next

case (Bool b)
 show ?case by simp

```

next
  case (IfThenElse a scrut e1 e2)
  from ⟨eqQ (Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a) ρ1 ρ2⟩
  have eqQ (Aexp scrut·0 ⊔ Aexp e1·a ⊔ Aexp e2·a) ρ1 ρ2 by (rule eqQ-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse])
  hence eqQ (Aexp scrut·0) ρ1 ρ2
  and eqQ (Aexp e1·a) ρ1 ρ2
  and eqQ (Aexp e2·a) ρ1 ρ2 by simp-all
  from IfThenElse(1)[OF this(1)] IfThenElse(2)[OF this(2)] IfThenElse(3)[OF this(3)]
  show ?case
    by (cases [ scrut ] ρ2) auto
next
  case (Let a Γ e)

  have eqQ (Aheap Γ e·a ⊔ Aexp (Let Γ e)·a) (⟦Γ⟧ρ1) (⟦Γ⟧ρ2)
  proof(induction rule: parallel-HSem-ind[case-names adm bottom step])
    case adm thus ?case by (intro eqQ-adm cont2cont)
  next
    case bottom show ?case by simp
  next
    case (step ρ1' ρ2')
    show ?case
    proof (rule eqQI)
      fix x a'
      assume ass: (Aheap Γ e·a ⊔ Aexp (Let Γ e)·a) x = up·a'
      show eq a' ((ρ1 ++ domA Γ [Γ] ρ1') x) ((ρ2 ++ domA Γ [Γ] ρ2') x)
      proof(cases x ∈ domA Γ)
        case [simp]: True
        then obtain e' where [simp]: map-of Γ x = Some e' by (metis domA-map-of-Some-the)
        have (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·a' using ass by simp
        hence Aexp e'·a' ⊆ Aheap Γ e·a ⊔ Aexp (Let Γ e)·a using ⟨map-of - - = -⟩ by (rule
Aexp-heap-below-Aheap)
        hence eqQ (Aexp e'·a') ρ1' ρ2' using step(1) by (rule eqQ-mono)
        hence eq a' ([Γ] ρ1') ([Γ] ρ2')
          by (rule Let(1)[OF map-of-SomeD[OF ⟨map-of - - = -⟩]])
        thus ?thesis by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap')
      next
        case [simp]: False
        with edom-Aheap have x ∉ edom (Aheap Γ e·a) by blast
        hence (Aexp (Let Γ e)·a) x = up·a' using ass by (simp add: edomIff)
        with ⟨eqQ (Aexp (Let Γ e)·a) ρ1 ρ2⟩
        have eq a' (ρ1 x) (ρ2 x) by (auto elim: eqQE)
        thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed
  hence eqQ (Aexp e·a) (⟦Γ⟧ρ1) (⟦Γ⟧ρ2) by (rule eqQ-mono[OF Aexp-body-below-Aheap])
  hence eq a ([Γ] ρ1) ([Γ] ρ2) by (rule Let(2)[simplified])
  thus ?case by simp
qed

```


lemma *ESem-ignores-fresh*[simp]: $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}(\text{fresh-var } e := v) = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$
 by (metis *ESem-fresh-cong env-restr-fun-upd-other fresh-var-not-free*)

lemma *eq-Aeta-expand*: $\text{eq } a (\llbracket \text{Aeta-expand } a \ e \rrbracket_{\varrho}) (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho})$
 apply (induction a arbitrary: $e \ \varrho$ rule: *Arity-ind*[case-names 0 inc])
 apply simp
 apply (fastforce simp add: *eq-inc-simp elim: eq-trans*)
 done

lemma *Arity-transformation-correct*: $\text{eq } a (\llbracket \mathcal{T}_a \ e \rrbracket_{\varrho}) (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho})$
proof(induction a e arbitrary: ϱ rule: *transform.induct*[case-names App Lam Var Let Bool IfThenElse])

case Var
 show ?case by simp

next

case (App a e x)
 from this[where $\varrho = \varrho$]
 show ?case
 by (auto elim: *eq.cases*)

next

case (Lam x e)
 thus ?case
 by (auto intro: *eq-FnI*)

next

case (Bool b)
 show ?case by simp

next

case (IfThenElse a e e₁ e₂)
 thus ?case by (cases $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$) auto

next

case (Let a $\Gamma \ e$)

have $\text{eq } a (\llbracket \text{transform } a \ (\text{Let } \Gamma \ e) \rrbracket_{\varrho}) (\llbracket \text{transform } a \ e \rrbracket_{\llbracket \text{map-transform Aeta-expand } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ (\text{map-transform transform } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ \Gamma) \rrbracket_{\varrho}})$
 by simp

also have $\text{eq } a \dots (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\llbracket \text{map-transform Aeta-expand } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ (\text{map-transform transform } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ \Gamma) \rrbracket_{\varrho}})$

using *Let(2)* by simp

also have $\text{eq } a \dots (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho}})$

proof (rule *Aexp-correct*)

have $\text{eq } \varrho (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp } (\text{Let } \Gamma \ e) \cdot a) (\llbracket \text{map-transform Aeta-expand } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ (\text{map-transform transform } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ \Gamma) \rrbracket_{\varrho}) (\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho})$

proof(induction rule: *parallel-HSem-ind*[case-names adm bottom step])

case adm thus ?case by (intro *eq ϱ -adm cont2cont*)

next

case bottom show ?case by simp

next

case (step $\varrho_1 \ \varrho_2$)

have $\text{eq } \varrho (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp } (\text{Let } \Gamma \ e) \cdot a) (\llbracket \text{map-transform Aeta-expand } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ (\text{map-transform transform } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ \Gamma) \rrbracket_{\varrho_1}) (\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho_2})$

```

proof(rule eqqI)
  fix x a'
  assume ass: (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a) x = up·a'
  show eq a' (( $\llbracket$  map-transform Aeta-expand (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a) (map-transform transform
(Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)  $\Gamma$ )  $\rrbracket_{\varrho 1}$ ) x) (( $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho 2}$ ) x)
  proof(cases x  $\in$  domA  $\Gamma$ )
    case [simp]: True
  then obtain e' where [simp]: map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e' by (metis domA-map-of-Some-the)
  from ass have ass': (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a) x = up·a' by simp

  have ( $\llbracket$  map-transform Aeta-expand (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a) (map-transform transform (Aheap
 $\Gamma$  e·a)  $\Gamma$ )  $\rrbracket_{\varrho 1}$ ) x =
    ( $\llbracket$  Aeta-expand a' (transform a' e')  $\rrbracket_{\varrho 1}$ )
  by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap' map-of-map-transform ass')
  also have eq a' ... (( $\llbracket$  transform a' e'  $\rrbracket_{\varrho 1}$ )
    by (rule eq-Aeta-expand)
  also have eq a' ... (( $\llbracket$  e  $\rrbracket_{\varrho 1}$ )
    by (rule Let(1)[OF map-of-SomeD[OF map-of - - = -]])
  also have eq a' ... (( $\llbracket$  e  $\rrbracket_{\varrho 2}$ )
  proof (rule Aexp-correct)
    from ass' map-of - - = -
  have Aexp e'·a'  $\sqsubseteq$  Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a by (rule Aexp-heap-below-Aheap)
  thus eqq (Aexp e'·a')  $\varrho 1$   $\varrho 2$  using step by (rule eqq-mono)
  qed
  also have ... = ( $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho 2}$ ) x
    by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap')
  finally
  show ?thesis.
  next
    case False thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
thus ?case
  by (simp add: env-restr-useless order-trans[OF edom-evalHeap-subset] del: fun-meet-simp
eqq-join)
  qed
  thus eqq (Aexp e·a) (( $\llbracket$  map-transform Aeta-expand (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a) (map-transform transform
(Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)  $\Gamma$ )  $\rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) (( $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ )
    by (rule eqq-mono[OF Aexp-body-below-Aheap])
  qed
  also have ... =  $\llbracket$  Let  $\Gamma$  e  $\rrbracket_{\varrho}$ 
    by simp
  finally show ?case.
qed

```

corollary Arity-transformation-correct':

```

 $\llbracket \mathcal{T}_0 e \rrbracket_{\varrho} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ 
using Arity-transformation-correct[where a = 0] by simp

```

end
end