

# The Safety of Call Arity

Joachim Breitner

Programming Paradigms Group  
Karlsruhe Institute for Technology  
[breitner@kit.edu](mailto:breitner@kit.edu)

September 13, 2023

We formalize the Call Arity analysis [Bre15a], as implemented in GHC, and prove both functional correctness and, more interestingly, safety (i.e. the transformation does not increase allocation). A highlevel overview of the work can be found in [Bre15b].

We use syntax and the denotational semantics from an earlier work [Bre13], where we formalized Launchbury's natural semantics for lazy evaluation [Lau93]. The functional correctness of Call Arity is proved with regard to that denotational semantics. The operational properties are shown with regard to a small-step semantics akin to Sestoft's mark 1 machine [Ses97], which we prove to be equivalent to Launchbury's semantics.

We use Christian Urban's Nominal2 package [UK12] to define our terms and make use of Brian Huffman's HOLCF package for the domain-theoretical aspects of the development [Huf12].

## Artifact correspondence table

The following table connects the definitions and theorems from [Bre15b] with their corresponding Isabelle concept in this development.

Concept	corresponds to	in theory
Syntax	<b>nominal-datatype</b> <i>expr</i>	Terms in [Bre13]
Stack	<b>type-synonym</b> <i>stack</i>	SestoftConf
Configuration	<b>type-synonym</b> <i>conf</i>	SestoftConf
Semantics ( $\Rightarrow$ )	<b>inductive</b> <i>step</i>	Sestoft
Arity	<b>typedef</b> <i>Arity</i>	Arity
Eta-expansion	<b>lift-definition</b> <i>Aeta-expand</i>	ArityEtaExpansion

Lemma 1	<b>theorem</b> <i>Aeta-expand-safe</i>	ArityEtaExpansionSafe
$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>ArityAnalysisHeap</i>	ArityAnalysisSig
$\mathsf{T}_\alpha(e)$	<b>sublocale</b> <i>AbstractTransformBound</i>	ArityTransform
$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>ArityAnalysis</i>	ArityAnalysisSig
Definition 2	<b>locale</b> <i>ArityAnalysisLetSafe</i>	ArityAnalysisSpec
Definition 3	<b>locale</b> <i>ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard</i>	ArityAnalysisSpec
Definition 4	<b>inductive</b> <i>a-consistent</i>	ArityConsistent
Definition 5	<b>inductive</b> <i>consistent</i>	ArityTransformSafe
Lemma 2	<b>lemma</b> <i>arity-transform-safe</i>	ArityTransformSafe
Card	<b>type-synonym</b> <i>two</i>	Cardinality-Domain
$\mathcal{C}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>CardinalityHeap</i>	CardinalityAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{C}_{(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \dot{\alpha})}((\Gamma, e, S))$	<b>locale</b> <i>CardinalityPrognosis</i>	CardinalityAnalysisSig
Definition 6	<b>locale</b> <i>CardinalityPrognosisSafe</i>	CardinalityAnalysisSpec
Definition 7 ( $\Rightarrow_\#$ )	<b>inductive</b> <i>gc-step</i>	SestoftGC
Definition 8	<b>inductive</b> <i>consistent</i>	CardArityTransformSafe
Lemma 3	<b>lemma</b> <i>card-arity-transform-safe</i>	CardArityTransformSafe
Trace trees	<b>typedef</b> <i>'a ttree</i>	TTree
Function $s$	<b>lift-definition</b> <i>substitute</i>	TTree
$\mathcal{T}_\alpha(e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>TTreeAnalysis</i>	TTreeAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{T}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap</i>	TTreeAnalysisSpec
Definition 9	<b>locale</b> <i>TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap</i>	TTreeAnalysisSpec
Lemma 4	<b>sublocale</b> <i>CardinalityPrognosisSafe</i>	TTreeImplCardinalitySafe
Co-Call graphs	<b>typedef</b> <i>CoCalls</i>	CoCallGraph
Function $g$	<b>lift-definition</b> <i>ccApprox</i>	CoCallGraph-TTree
Function $t$	<b>lift-definition</b> <i>ccTTree</i>	CoCallGraph-TTree
$\mathcal{G}_\alpha(e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>CoCallAnalysis</i>	CoCallAnalysisSig
$\mathcal{G}_\alpha(\Gamma, e)$	<b>locale</b> <i>CoCallAnalysisHeap</i>	CoCallAnalysisSig
Definition 10	<b>locale</b> <i>CoCallAritySafe</i>	CoCallAnalysisSpec
Lemma 5	<b>sublocale</b> <i>TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap</i>	CoCallImplTTreeSafe
Call Arity	<b>nominal-function</b> <i>cCCexp</i>	CoCallAnalysisImpl
Theorem 1	<b>lemma</b> <i>end2end-closed</i>	CallArityEnd2EndSafe

## References

- [Bre13] Joachim Breitner, *The correctness of launchbury’s natural semantics for lazy evaluation*, Archive of Formal Proofs (2013), <http://isa-afp.org/entries/Launchbury.shtml>, Formal proof development.
- [Bre15a] ———, *Call Arity*, TFP’14, LNCS, vol. 8843, Springer, 2015, pp. 34–50.

- [Bre15b] \_\_\_\_\_, *Formally proving a compiler transformation safe*, Haskell Symposium, 2015.
- [Huf12] Brian Huffman, *HOLCF '11: A definitional domain theory for verifying functional programs*, Ph.D. thesis, Portland State University, 2012.
- [Lau93] John Launchbury, *A natural semantics for lazy evaluation*, POPL '93, 1993, pp. 144–154.
- [Ses97] Peter Sestoft, *Deriving a lazy abstract machine*, Journal of Functional Programming 7 (1997), 231–264.
- [UK12] Christian Urban and Cezary Kaliszyk, *General bindings and alpha-equivalence in nominal isabelle*, Logical Methods in Computer Science 8 (2012), no. 2.

## Contents

<b>1 Various Utilities</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 ConstOn . . . . .	5
1.2 Set-Cpo . . . . .	6
1.3 Env-Set-Cpo . . . . .	8
1.4 AList-Utils-HOLCF . . . . .	8
1.5 List-Interleavings . . . . .	9
<b>2 Small-step Semantics</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 SestoftConf . . . . .	13
2.1.1 Invariants of the semantics . . . . .	16
2.2 Sestoft . . . . .	19
2.2.1 Equivariance . . . . .	22
2.2.2 Invariants . . . . .	22
2.3 SestoftGC . . . . .	23
2.4 BalancedTraces . . . . .	31
2.5 SestoftCorrect . . . . .	35
<b>3 Arity</b>	<b>42</b>
3.1 Arity . . . . .	42
3.2 AEnv . . . . .	45
3.3 Arity-Nominal . . . . .	45
3.4 ArityStack . . . . .	45
<b>4 Eta-Expansion</b>	<b>46</b>
4.1 EtaExpansion . . . . .	46
4.2 EtaExpansionSafe . . . . .	48
4.3 TransformTools . . . . .	49
4.4 ArityEtaExpansion . . . . .	51

4.5	ArityEtaExpansionSafe . . . . .	52
<b>5</b>	<b>Arity Analysis</b>	<b>53</b>
5.1	ArityAnalysisSig . . . . .	53
5.2	ArityAnalysisAbinds . . . . .	54
5.2.1	Lifting arity analysis to recursive groups . . . . .	54
5.3	ArityAnalysisSpec . . . . .	57
5.4	TrivialArityAnal . . . . .	60
5.5	ArityAnalysisStack . . . . .	62
5.6	ArityAnalysisFix . . . . .	62
5.7	ArityAnalysisFixProps . . . . .	68
<b>6</b>	<b>Arity Transformation</b>	<b>68</b>
6.1	AbstractTransform . . . . .	68
6.2	ArityTransform . . . . .	74
<b>7</b>	<b>Arity Analysis Safety (without Cardinality)</b>	<b>75</b>
7.1	ArityConsistent . . . . .	75
7.2	ArityTransformSafe . . . . .	81
<b>8</b>	<b>Cardinality Analysis</b>	<b>87</b>
8.1	Cardinality-Domain . . . . .	87
8.2	CardinalityAnalysisSig . . . . .	89
8.3	CardinalityAnalysisSpec . . . . .	89
8.4	NoCardinalityAnalysis . . . . .	90
8.5	CardArityTransformSafe . . . . .	94
<b>9</b>	<b>Trace Trees</b>	<b>106</b>
9.1	TTree . . . . .	106
9.1.1	Prefix-closed sets of lists . . . . .	106
9.1.2	The type of infinite labeled trees . . . . .	108
9.1.3	Deconstructors . . . . .	108
9.1.4	Trees as set of paths . . . . .	108
9.1.5	The carrier of a tree . . . . .	109
9.1.6	Repeatable trees . . . . .	110
9.1.7	Simple trees . . . . .	110
9.1.8	Intersection of two trees . . . . .	111
9.1.9	Disjoint union of trees . . . . .	112
9.1.10	Merging of trees . . . . .	113
9.1.11	Removing elements from a tree . . . . .	116
9.1.12	Multiple variables, each called at most once . . . . .	118
9.1.13	Substituting trees for every node . . . . .	119
9.2	TTree-HOLCF . . . . .	134

<b>10 Trace Tree Cardinality Analysis</b>	<b>140</b>
10.1 AnalBinds . . . . .	140
10.2 TTTreeAnalysisSig . . . . .	141
10.3 Cardinality-Domain-Lists . . . . .	142
10.4 TTTreeAnalysisSpec . . . . .	144
10.5 TTTreeImplCardinality . . . . .	145
10.6 TTTreeImplCardinalitySafe . . . . .	145
<b>11 Co-Call Graphs</b>	<b>154</b>
11.1 CoCallGraph . . . . .	154
11.2 CoCallGraph-Nominal . . . . .	163
<b>12 Co-Call Cardinality Analysis</b>	<b>165</b>
12.1 CoCallAnalysisSig . . . . .	165
12.2 CoCallAnalysisBinds . . . . .	165
12.3 CoCallAritySig . . . . .	168
12.4 CoCallAnalysisSpec . . . . .	168
12.5 CoCallFix . . . . .	169
12.5.1 The non-recursive case . . . . .	173
12.5.2 Combining the cases . . . . .	175
12.6 CoCallGraph-TTree . . . . .	176
12.7 CoCallImplTTree . . . . .	194
12.8 CoCallImplTTreeSafe . . . . .	195
<b>13 CoCall Cardinality Implementation</b>	<b>205</b>
13.1 CoCallAnalysisImpl . . . . .	205
13.2 CoCallImplSafe . . . . .	212
<b>14 End-to-end Safety Results and Example</b>	<b>223</b>
14.1 CallArityEnd2End . . . . .	223
14.2 CallArityEnd2EndSafe . . . . .	225
<b>15 Functional Correctness of the Arity Analysis</b>	<b>228</b>
15.1 ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational . . . . .	228

## 1 Various Utilities

### 1.1 ConstOn

```
theory ConstOn
imports Main
begin
```

```
definition const-on :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
```

```

where const-on f S x = ( $\forall y \in S . f y = x$ )
lemma const-onI[intro]: ( $\bigwedge y . y \in S \implies f y = x$ )  $\implies$  const-on f S x
  by (simp add: const-on-def)

lemma const-onD[dest]: const-on f S x  $\implies$  y  $\in$  S  $\implies$  f y = x
  by (simp add: const-on-def)

lemma const-on-insert[simp]: const-on f (insert x S) y  $\longleftrightarrow$  const-on f S y  $\wedge$  f x = y
  by auto

lemma const-on-union[simp]: const-on f (S  $\cup$  S') y  $\longleftrightarrow$  const-on f S y  $\wedge$  const-on f S' y
  by auto

lemma const-on-subset[elim]: const-on f S y  $\implies$  S'  $\subseteq$  S  $\implies$  const-on f S' y
  by auto

```

end

## 1.2 Set-Cpo

```

theory Set-Cpo
imports HOLCF
begin

default-sort type

instantiation set :: (type) below
begin
  definition below-set where ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) = ( $\subseteq$ )
  instance..
end

instance set :: (type) po
  by standard (auto simp add: below-set-def)

lemma is-lub-set:
  S <<|  $\bigcup S$ 
  by (auto simp add: is-lub-def below-set-def is-ub-def)

lemma lub-set: lub S =  $\bigcup S$ 
  by (metis is-lub-set lub-eqI)

instance set :: (type) cpo
  by standard (rule exI, rule is-lub-set)

```

```

lemma minimal-set: {} ⊆ S
  unfolding below-set-def by simp

instance set :: (type) pcpo
  by standard (rule+, rule minimal-set)

lemma set-contI:
  assumes ⋀ Y. chain Y ==> f (⊔ i. Y i) = ⋃ (f ` range Y)
  shows cont f
proof(rule contI)
  fix Y :: nat => 'a
  assume chain Y
  hence f (⊔ i. Y i) = ⋃ (f ` range Y) by (rule assms)
  also have ... = ⋃ (range (λi. f (Y i))) by simp
  finally
    show range (λi. f (Y i)) <<| f (⊔ i. Y i) using is-lub-set by metis
qed

lemma set-set-contI:
  assumes ⋀ S. f (⋃ S) = ⋃ (f ` S)
  shows cont f
  by (metis set-contI assms is-lub-set lub-eqI)

lemma adm-subseteq[simp]:
  assumes cont f
  shows adm (λa. f a ⊆ S)
  by (rule admI)(auto simp add: cont2contlubE[OF assms] lub-set)

lemma adm-Ball[simp]: adm (λS. ∀ x∈S. P x)
  by (auto intro!: admI simp add: lub-set)

lemma finite-subset-chain:
  fixes Y :: nat => 'a set
  assumes chain Y
  assumes S ⊆ ⋃(Y ` UNIV)
  assumes finite S
  shows ∃ i. S ⊆ Y i
proof-
  from assms(2)
  have ∀ x ∈ S. ∃ i. x ∈ Y i by auto
  then obtain f where f: ∀ x ∈ S. x ∈ Y (f x) by metis

  define i where i = Max (f ` S)
  from ⟨finite S⟩
  have finite (f ` S) by simp
  hence ∀ x ∈ S. f x ≤ i unfolding i-def by auto
  with chain-mono[OF ⟨chain Y⟩]
  have ∀ x ∈ S. Y (f x) ⊆ Y i by (auto simp add: below-set-def)
  with f

```

```

have  $S \subseteq Y$   $i$  by auto
thus ?thesis..
qed

lemma diff-cont[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  fixes  $S' :: 'a set$ 
  shows cont ( $\lambda S. S - S'$ )
by (rule set-set-contI) simp

end

```

### 1.3 Env-Set-Cpo

```

theory Env-Set-Cpo
imports Launchbury.Env Set-Cpo
begin

lemma cont-edom[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont ( $\lambda f. edom f$ )
  apply (rule set-contI)
  apply (auto simp add: edom-def)
  apply (metis ch2ch-fun lub-eq-bottom-iff lub-fun)
  apply (metis ch2ch-fun lub-eq-bottom-iff lub-fun)
done

end

```

### 1.4 AList-Utils-HOLCF

```

theory AList-Utils-HOLCF
imports Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes Launchbury.AList-Utils
begin

syntax
-BLubMap :: [pttrn, pttrn, 'a → 'b, 'b] ⇒ 'b ((3 $\bigsqcup$  /-/ ↦ /-/ ∈ /-/ . / -) [0,0,0, 10] 10)

translations
 $\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. e == CONST lub (CONST mapCollect (\lambda k v . e) m)$ 

lemma below-lubmapI[intro]:
   $m k = Some v \implies (e k v :: 'a :: Join-cpo) \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. e k v)$ 
  unfolding mapCollect-def by auto

lemma lubmap-belowI[intro]:
   $(\bigwedge k v . m k = Some v \implies (e k v :: 'a :: Join-cpo) \sqsubseteq u) \implies (\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. e k v) \sqsubseteq u$ 
  unfolding mapCollect-def by auto

```

```

lemma lubmap-const-bottom[simp]:
  ( $\bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} \perp$ ) = ( $\perp :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo}$ )
  by (cases m = Map.empty) auto

lemma lubmap-map-upd[simp]:
  fixes e :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ ('c :: Join-cpo)
  shows ( $\bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} (k' \mapsto v'). e k v$ ) =  $e k' v' \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} (k' := \text{None}). e k v)$ 
  by simp

lemma lubmap-below-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge k v. m k = \text{Some } v \implies f1 k v \sqsubseteq (f2 k v :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo})$ 
  shows ( $\bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} f1 k v$ )  $\sqsubseteq (\bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} f2 k v)$ 
  apply (rule lubmap-belowI)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF assms], assumption)
  apply (rule below-lubmapI, assumption)
  done

lemma cont2cont-lubmap[simp, cont2cont]:
  assumes ( $\bigwedge k v. \text{cont } (f k v)$ )
  shows cont ( $\lambda x. \bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} (f k v x) :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo}$ )
  proof (rule contI2)
    show monofun ( $\lambda x. \bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} f k v x$ )
      apply (rule monofunI)
      apply (rule lubmap-below-cong)
      apply (erule cont2monofunE[OF assms])
      done
  next
    fix Y :: nat ⇒ 'd
    assume chain Y
    assume chain ( $\lambda i. \bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} f k v (Y i)$ )
    show ( $\bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} f k v (\bigsqcup i. Y i)$ )  $\sqsubseteq (\bigsqcup i. \bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} f k v (Y i))$ 
      apply (subst cont2contlubE[OF assms `chain Y`])
      apply (rule lubmap-belowI)
      apply (rule lub-mono[OF ch2ch-cont[OF assms `chain Y`]] `chain (\lambda i. \bigsqcup_{k \mapsto v \in m} f k v (Y i))`)
      apply (erule below-lubmapI)
      done
  qed
end

```

## 1.5 List-Interleavings

```

theory List-Interleavings
imports Main

```

```

begin

inductive interleave' :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool
  where [simp]: interleave' [] [] []
    | interleave' xs ys zs ==> interleave' (x#xs) ys (x#zs)
    | interleave' xs ys zs ==> interleave' xs (x#ys) (x#zs)

definition interleave :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list set (infixr ⊗ 64)
  where xs ⊗ ys = Collect (interleave' xs ys)

lemma elim-interleave'[pred-set-conv]: interleave' xs ys zs ←→ zs ∈ xs ⊗ ys unfolding interleave-def by simp

lemmas interleave-intros[intro?] = interleave'.intros[to-set]
lemmas interleave-intros(1)[simp]
lemmas interleave-induct[consumes 1, induct set: interleave, case-names Nil left right] = interleave'.induct[to-set]
lemmas interleave-cases[consumes 1, cases set: interleave] = interleave'.cases[to-set]
lemmas interleave-simps = interleave'.simples[to-set]

inductive-cases interleave-ConsE[elim]: (x#xs) ∈ ys ⊗ zs
inductive-cases interleave-ConsConsE[elim]: xs ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs
inductive-cases interleave-ConsE2[elim]: xs ∈ x#ys ⊗ zs
inductive-cases interleave-ConsE3[elim]: xs ∈ ys ⊗ x#zs

lemma interleave-comm: xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ==> xs ∈ zs ⊗ ys
  by (induction rule: interleave-induct) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-Nil1[simp]: [] ⊗ xs = {xs}
  by (induction xs) (auto intro: interleave-intros elim: interleave-cases)

lemma interleave-Nil2[simp]: xs ⊗ [] = {xs}
  by (induction xs) (auto intro: interleave-intros elim: interleave-cases)

lemma interleave-nil-simp[simp]: [] ∈ xs ⊗ ys ←→ xs = [] ∧ ys = []
  by (auto elim: interleave-cases)

lemma append-interleave: xs @ ys ∈ xs ⊗ ys
  by (induction xs) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-assoc1: a ∈ xs ⊗ ys ==> b ∈ a ⊗ zs ==> ∃ c. c ∈ ys ⊗ zs ∧ b ∈ xs ⊗ c
  by (induction b arbitrary: a xs ys zs)
  (simp, fastforce del: interleave-ConsE elim!: interleave-ConsE intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-assoc2: a ∈ ys ⊗ zs ==> b ∈ xs ⊗ a ==> ∃ c. c ∈ xs ⊗ ys ∧ b ∈ c ⊗ zs
  by (induction b arbitrary: a xs ys zs)
  (simp, fastforce del: interleave-ConsE elim!: interleave-ConsE intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-set: zs ∈ xs ⊗ ys ==> set zs = set xs ∪ set ys

```

```

by(induction rule:interleave-induct) auto

lemma interleave-tl:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies tl\ xs \in tl\ ys \otimes zs \vee tl\ xs \in ys \otimes (tl\ zs)$ 
  by(induction rule:interleave-induct) auto

lemma interleave-butlast:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies butlast\ xs \in butlast\ ys \otimes zs \vee butlast\ xs \in ys \otimes (butlast\ zs)$ 
  by (induction rule:interleave-induct) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-take:  $zs \in xs \otimes ys \implies \exists\ n_1\ n_2.\ n = n_1 + n_2 \wedge take\ n\ zs \in take\ n_1\ xs \otimes take\ n_2\ ys$ 
  apply(induction arbitrary: n rule:interleave-induct)
  apply auto
  apply arith
  apply (case-tac n, simp)
  apply (drule-tac x = nat in meta-spec)
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x = Suc n1 in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x = n2 in exI)
  apply (auto intro: interleave-intros)[1]

  apply (case-tac n, simp)
  apply (drule-tac x = nat in meta-spec)
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x = n1 in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x = Suc n2 in exI)
  apply (auto intro: interleave-intros)[1]
  done

lemma filter-interleave:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies filter\ P\ xs \in filter\ P\ ys \otimes filter\ P\ zs$ 
  by (induction rule: interleave-induct) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-filtered:  $xs \in interleave\ (filter\ P\ xs)\ (\filter\ (\lambda x'. \neg P\ x')\ xs)$ 
  by (induction xs) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

function foo where
  foo [] [] = undefined
  | foo xs [] = undefined
  | foo [] ys = undefined
  | foo (x#xs) (y#ys) = undefined (foo xs (y#ys)) (foo (x#xs) ys)
  by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order
lemmas list-induct2'' = foo.induct[case-names NilNil ConsNil NilCons ConsCons]

lemma interleave-filter:
  assumes xs ∈ filter P ys ⊗ filter P zs
  obtains xs' where xs' ∈ ys ⊗ zs and xs = filter P xs'
  using assms

```

```

apply atomize-elim
proof(induction ys zs arbitrary: xs rule: list-induct2 '')
case NilNil
  thus ?case by simp
next
case (ConsNil ys xs)
  thus ?case by auto
next
case (NilCons zs xs)
  thus ?case by auto
next
case (ConsCons y ys z zs xs)
  show ?case
  proof(cases P y)
  case False
    with ConsCons.preds(1)
    have xs ∈ filter P ys ⊗ filter P (z#zs) by simp
    from ConsCons.IH(1)[OF this]
    obtain xs' where xs' ∈ ys ⊗ (z # zs) xs = filter P xs' by auto
    hence y#xs' ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs and xs = filter P (y#xs')
      using False by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
    thus ?thesis by blast
  next
  case True
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases P z)
    case False
      with ConsCons.preds(1)
      have xs ∈ filter P (y#ys) ⊗ filter P zs by simp
      from ConsCons.IH(2)[OF this]
      obtain xs' where xs' ∈ y#ys ⊗ zs xs = filter P xs' by auto
      hence z#xs' ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs and xs = filter P (z#xs')
        using False by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
      thus ?thesis by blast
    next
    case True
      from ConsCons.preds(1) ⟨P y⟩ ⟨P z⟩
      have xs ∈ y # filter P ys ⊗ z # filter P zs by simp
      thus ?thesis
      proof(rule interleave-ConsConsE)
        fix xs'
        assume xs = y # xs' and xs' ∈ interleave (filter P ys) (z # filter P zs)
        hence xs' ∈ filter P ys ⊗ filter P (z#zs) using ⟨P z⟩ by simp
        from ConsCons.IH(1)[OF this]
        obtain xs'' where xs'' ∈ ys ⊗ (z # zs) and xs' = filter P xs'' by auto
        hence y#xs'' ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs and y#xs' = filter P (y#xs'')
          using ⟨P y⟩ by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
        thus ?thesis using ⟨xs = -⟩ by blast
      next

```

```

fix xs'
assume xs = z # xs' and xs' ∈ y # filter P ys ⊗ filter P zs
hence xs' ∈ filter P (y#ys) ⊗ filter P zs using ‹P y› by simp
from ConsCons.IH(2)[OF this]
obtain xs'' where xs'' ∈ y#ys ⊗ zs and xs' = filter P xs'' by auto
hence z#xs'' ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs and z#xs' = filter P (z#xs'') using ‹P z› by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
thus ?thesis using ‹xs = -› by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

end

```

## 2 Small-step Semantics

### 2.1 SestoftConf

```

theory SestoftConf
imports Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.Substitution
begin

datatype stack-elem = Alts exp exp | Arg var | Upd var | Dummy var

instantiation stack-elem :: pt
begin
definition π · x = (case x of (Alts e1 e2) ⇒ Alts (π · e1) (π · e2) | (Arg v) ⇒ Arg (π · v) |
(Upd v) ⇒ Upd (π · v) | (Dummy v) ⇒ Dummy (π · v))
instance
  by standard (auto simp add: permute-stack-elem-def split:stack-elem.split)
end

lemma Alts-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Alts e1 e2) = Alts (π · e1) (π · e2)
  and Arg-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Arg v) = Arg (π · v)
  and Upd-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Upd v) = Upd (π · v)
  and Dummy-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Dummy v) = Dummy (π · v)
  by (auto simp add: permute-stack-elem-def split:stack-elem.split)

lemma supp-Alts[simp]: supp (Alts e1 e2) = supp e1 ∪ supp e2 unfolding supp-def by (auto
simp add: Collect-imp-eq Collect-neg-eq)
lemma supp-Arg[simp]: supp (Arg v) = supp v unfolding supp-def by auto
lemma supp-Upd[simp]: supp (Upd v) = supp v unfolding supp-def by auto
lemma supp-Dummy[simp]: supp (Dummy v) = supp v unfolding supp-def by auto
lemma fresh-Alts[simp]: a # Alts e1 e2 = (a # e1 ∧ a # e2) unfolding fresh-def by auto
lemma fresh-star-Alts[simp]: a #* Alts e1 e2 = (a #* e1 ∧ a #* e2) unfolding fresh-star-def
by auto

```

```

lemma fresh-Arg[simp]:  $a \# Arg v = a \# v$  unfolding fresh-def by auto
lemma fresh-Upd[simp]:  $a \# Upd v = a \# v$  unfolding fresh-def by auto
lemma fresh-Dummy[simp]:  $a \# Dummy v = a \# v$  unfolding fresh-def by auto
lemma fv-Alts[simp]:  $fv(Alts e1 e2) = fv e1 \cup fv e2$  unfolding fv-def by auto
lemma fv-Arg[simp]:  $fv(Arg v) = fv v$  unfolding fv-def by auto
lemma fv-Upd[simp]:  $fv(Upd v) = fv v$  unfolding fv-def by auto
lemma fv-Dummy[simp]:  $fv(Dummy v) = fv v$  unfolding fv-def by auto

instance stack-elem :: fs
  by standard (case-tac x, auto simp add: finite-supp)

type-synonym stack = stack-elem list

fun ap :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var set where
  ap [] = {}
  | ap (Alts e1 e2 # S) = ap S
  | ap (Arg x # S) = insert x (ap S)
  | ap (Upd x # S) = ap S
  | ap (Dummy x # S) = ap S
fun upds :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var set where
  upds [] = {}
  | upds (Alts e1 e2 # S) = upds S
  | upds (Upd x # S) = insert x (upds S)
  | upds (Arg x # S) = upds S
  | upds (Dummy x # S) = upds S
fun dummies :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var set where
  dummies [] = {}
  | dummies (Alts e1 e2 # S) = dummies S
  | dummies (Upd x # S) = dummies S
  | dummies (Arg x # S) = dummies S
  | dummies (Dummy x # S) = insert x (dummies S)
fun flattn :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var list where
  flattn [] = []
  | flattn (Alts e1 e2 # S) = fv-list e1 @ fv-list e2 @ flattn S
  | flattn (Upd x # S) = x # flattn S
  | flattn (Arg x # S) = x # flattn S
  | flattn (Dummy x # S) = x # flattn S
fun upds-list :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var list where
  upds-list [] = []
  | upds-list (Alts e1 e2 # S) = upds-list S
  | upds-list (Upd x # S) = x # upds-list S
  | upds-list (Arg x # S) = upds-list S
  | upds-list (Dummy x # S) = upds-list S

lemma set-upds-list[simp]:
  set (upds-list S) = upds S
  by (induction S rule: upds-list.induct) auto

lemma ups-fv-subset: upds S  $\subseteq$  fv S

```

```

by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma fresh-distinct-ups: atom ` V #* S ==> V ∩ upds S = {}
  by (auto dest!: fresh-distinct-fv subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])
lemma ap-fv-subset: ap S ⊆ fv S
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma dummies-fv-subset: dummies S ⊆ fv S
  by (induction S rule: dummies.induct) auto

lemma fresh-flattn[simp]: atom (a::var) # flattn S <=> atom a # S
  by (induction S rule: flattn.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-Nil fresh-Cons fresh-append fresh-fv[OF finite-fv])
lemma fresh-star-flattn[simp]: atom ` (as:: var set) #* flattn S <=> atom ` as #* S
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
lemma fresh-upds-list[simp]: atom a # S ==> atom (a::var) # upds-list S
  by (induction S rule: upds-list.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-Nil fresh-Cons fresh-append fresh-fv[OF finite-fv])
lemma fresh-star-upds-list[simp]: atom ` (as:: var set) #* S ==> atom ` (as:: var set) #* upds-list S
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)

lemma upds-append[simp]: upds (S@S') = upds S ∪ upds S'
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma upds-map-Dummy[simp]: upds (map Dummy l) = {}
  by (induction l) auto

lemma upds-list-append[simp]: upds-list (S@S') = upds-list S @ upds-list S'
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma upds-list-map-Dummy[simp]: upds-list (map Dummy l) = []
  by (induction l) auto

lemma dummies-append[simp]: dummies (S@S') = dummies S ∪ dummies S'
  by (induction S rule: dummies.induct) auto
lemma dummies-map-Dummy[simp]: dummies (map Dummy l) = set l
  by (induction l) auto

lemma map-Dummy-inj[simp]: map Dummy l = map Dummy l' <=> l = l'
  apply (induction l arbitrary: l')
  apply (case-tac [|] l')
  apply auto
  done

type-synonym conf = (heap × exp × stack)

inductive boring-step where
  isVal e ==> boring-step (Γ, e, Upd x # S)

fun restr-stack :: var set => stack => stack
  where restr-stack V [] = []

```

```

| restr-stack V (Alts e1 e2 # S) = Alts e1 e2 # restr-stack V S
| restr-stack V (Arg x # S) = Arg x # restr-stack V S
| restr-stack V (Upd x # S) = (if x ∈ V then Upd x # restr-stack V S else restr-stack V
S)
| restr-stack V (Dummy x # S) = Dummy x # restr-stack V S

lemma restr-stack-cong:
(Λ x. x ∈ upds S ⇒ x ∈ V ↔ x ∈ V') ⇒ restr-stack V S = restr-stack V' S
by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma upds-restr-stack[simp]: upds (restr-stack V S) = upds S ∩ V
by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma fresh-star-restict-stack[intro]:
a #* S ⇒ a #* restr-stack V S
by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons)

lemma restr-stack-restr-stack[simp]:
restr-stack V (restr-stack V' S) = restr-stack (V ∩ V') S
by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma Upd-eq-restr-stackD:
assumes Upd x # S = restr-stack V S'
shows x ∈ V
using arg-cong[where f = upds, OF assms]
by auto
lemma Upd-eq-restr-stackD2:
assumes restr-stack V S' = Upd x # S
shows x ∈ V
using arg-cong[where f = upds, OF assms]
by auto

```

```

lemma restr-stack-noop[simp]:
restr-stack V S = S ↔ upds S ⊆ V
by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct)
(auto dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)

```

### 2.1.1 Invariants of the semantics

```

inductive invariant :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool
where (Λ x y. rel x y ⇒ I x ⇒ I y) ⇒ invariant rel I

```

```
lemmas invariant.intros[case-names step]
```

```

lemma invariantE:
invariant rel I ⇒ rel x y ⇒ I x ⇒ I y by (auto elim: invariant.cases)

```

```
lemma invariant-starE:
```

```

rtranclp rel x y ==> invariant rel I ==> I x ==> I y
by (induction rule: rtranclp.induct) (auto elim: invariantE)

lemma invariant-True:
  invariant rel (λ _. True)
by (auto intro: invariant.intros)

lemma invariant-conj:
  invariant rel I1 ==> invariant rel I2 ==> invariant rel (λ x. I1 x ∧ I2 x)
by (auto simp add: invariant.simps)

```

```

lemma rtranclp-invariant-induct[consumes 3, case-names base step]:
  assumes r** a b
  assumes invariant r I
  assumes I a
  assumes P a
  assumes (¬� y z. r** a y ==> r y z ==> I y ==> I z ==> P y ==> P z)
  shows P b
proof-
  from assms(1,3)
  have P b and I b
  proof(induction)
    case base
    from ⟨P a⟩ show P a.
    from ⟨I a⟩ show I a.
  next
    case (step y z)
    with ⟨I a⟩ have P y and I y by auto
    from assms(2) ⟨r y z⟩ ⟨I y⟩
    show I z by (rule invariantE)

    from ⟨r** a y⟩ ⟨r y z⟩ ⟨I y⟩ ⟨I z⟩ ⟨P y⟩
    show P z by (rule assms(5))
  qed
  thus P b by-
qed

```

```

fun closed :: conf ⇒ bool
  where closed (Γ, e, S) ←→ fv (Γ, e, S) ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S

fun heap-upds-ok where heap-upds-ok (Γ, S) ←→ domA Γ ∩ upds S = {} ∧ distinct (upds-list S)

abbreviation heap-upds-ok-conf :: conf ⇒ bool
  where heap-upds-ok-conf c ≡ heap-upds-ok (fst c, snd (snd c))

```

```

lemma heap-upds-okE: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \Rightarrow x \notin \text{upds } S$ 
by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-Nil[simp]: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, []$ ) by auto
lemma heap-upds-ok-app1: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, \text{Arg } x \# S$ ) by auto
lemma heap-upds-ok-app2: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, \text{Arg } x \# S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ ) by auto
lemma heap-upds-ok-alts1: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S$ ) by auto
lemma heap-upds-ok-alts2: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ ) by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-append:
assumes  $\text{domA } \Delta \cap \text{upds } S = \{\}$ 
assumes heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )
shows heap-upds-ok ( $\Delta @ \Gamma, S$ )
using assms
unfolding heap-upds-ok.simps
by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-let:
assumes atom `  $\text{domA } \Delta \#* S$ 
assumes heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )
shows heap-upds-ok ( $\Delta @ \Gamma, S$ )
using assms(2) fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(1)]
by (auto intro: heap-upds-ok-append dest: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])

lemma heap-upds-ok-to-stack:
 $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \Rightarrow \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{delete } x \Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
by (auto)

lemma heap-upds-ok-to-stack':
 $\text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \Rightarrow \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \Rightarrow \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{delete } x \Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
by (metis Domain.DomainI domA-def fst-eq-Domain heap-upds-ok-to-stack map-of-SomeD)

lemma heap-upds-ok-delete:
 $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \Rightarrow \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{delete } x \Gamma, S)$ 
by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-restrictA:
 $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \Rightarrow \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{restrictA } V \Gamma, S)$ 
by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-restr-stack:
 $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \Rightarrow \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{restr-stack } V S)$ 
apply auto
by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-to-heap:
 $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S) \Rightarrow \text{heap-upds-ok } ((x, e) \# \Gamma, S)$ 
by auto

```

```

lemma heap-upds-ok-reorder:
   $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{heap-upds-ok}(\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok}((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, S)$ 
  by (intro heap-upds-ok-to-heap heap-upds-ok-to-stack)

lemma heap-upds-ok-upd:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok}(\Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S) \implies x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \wedge x \notin \text{upds } S$ 
  by auto

lemmas heap-upds-ok-intros[intro] =
  heap-upds-ok-to-heap heap-upds-ok-to-stack heap-upds-ok-to-stack' heap-upds-ok-reorder
  heap-upds-ok-app1 heap-upds-ok-app2 heap-upds-ok-alts1 heap-upds-ok-alts2 heap-upds-ok-delete
  heap-upds-ok-restrictA heap-upds-ok-restr-stack
  heap-upds-ok-let
lemmas heap-upds-ok.simps[simp del]

end

```

## 2.2 Sestoft

```

theory Sestoft
imports SestoftConf
begin

inductive step :: conf ⇒ conf ⇒ bool (infix ⇒ 50) where
  app1:  $(\Gamma, \text{App } e x, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# S)$ 
  | app2:  $(\Gamma, \text{Lam } [y]. e, \text{Arg } x \# S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e[y ::= x], S)$ 
  | var1:  $\text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \implies (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \Rightarrow (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
  | var2:  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{isVal } e \implies (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S) \Rightarrow ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$ 
  | let1: atom ` domA Δ #* Γ ⇒ atom ` domA Δ #* S
    ⇒ (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⇒ (Δ@Γ, e, S)
  | if1:  $(\Gamma, \text{scrut ? } e1 : e2, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S)$ 
  | if2:  $(\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S)$ 

abbreviation steps (infix ⇒* 50) where steps ≡ step**

lemma SmartLet-stepI:
  atom ` domA Δ #* Γ ⇒ atom ` domA Δ #* S ⇒ (Γ, SmartLet Δ e, S) ⇒* (Δ@Γ, e, S)
  unfolding SmartLet-def by (auto intro: let1)

lemma lambda-var: map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ isVal e ⇒ (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒* ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
  by (rule rtranclp-trans[OF r-into-rtranclp r-into-rtranclp])
    (auto intro: var1 var2)

lemma let1-closed:
  assumes closed (Γ, Let Δ e, S)

```

```

assumes domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}
assumes domA Δ ∩ upds S = {}
shows (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⇒ (Δ@Γ, e , S)
proof
  from ⟨domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}⟩ and ⟨domA Δ ∩ upds S = {}⟩
  have domA Δ ∩ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) = {} by auto
  with ⟨closed ->
  have domA Δ ∩ fv (Γ, S) = {} by auto
  hence atom ` domA Δ #* (Γ, S)
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fv-def fresh-def)
  thus atom ` domA Δ #* Γ and atom ` domA Δ #* S by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
qed

```

An induction rule that skips the annoying case of a lambda taken off the heap

```

lemma step-invariant-induction[consumes 4, case-names app1 app2 thunk lamvar var2 let1 if1
if2 refl trans]:
  assumes c ⇒* c'
  assumes ¬ boring-step c'
  assumes invariant (⇒) I
  assumes I c
  assumes app1: ⋀ Γ e x S . I (Γ, App e x, S) ⇒ P (Γ, App e x, S) (Γ, e , Arg x # S)
  assumes app2: ⋀ Γ y e x S . I (Γ, Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S) ⇒ P (Γ, Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S)
  (Γ, e[y := x] , S)
  assumes thunk: ⋀ Γ x e S . map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ ¬ isVal e ⇒ I (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒
P (Γ, Var x, S) (delete x Γ, e , Upd x # S)
  assumes lamvar: ⋀ Γ x e S . map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ isVal e ⇒ I (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒ P
(Γ, Var x, S) ((x,e) # delete x Γ, e , S)
  assumes var2: ⋀ Γ x e S . x ∉ domA Γ ⇒ isVal e ⇒ I (Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒ P (Γ, e,
Upd x # S) ((x,e) # Γ, e , S)
  assumes let1: ⋀ Δ Γ e S . atom ` domA Δ #* Γ ⇒ atom ` domA Δ #* S ⇒ I (Γ, Let Δ
e, S) ⇒ P (Γ, Let Δ e, S) (Δ@Γ, e , S)
  assumes if1: ⋀ Γ scrut e1 e2 S . I (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) ⇒ P (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) (Γ,
scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
  assumes if2: ⋀ Γ b e1 e2 S . I (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⇒ P (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 #
S) (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S)
  assumes refl: ⋀ c. P c c
  assumes trans[trans]: ⋀ c c' c''. c ⇒* c' ⇒ c' ⇒* c'' ⇒ P c c' ⇒ P c' c'' ⇒ P c c"
  shows P c c'

proof-
  from assms(1,3,4)
  have P c c' ∨ (boring-step c' ∧ (⋀ c''. c' ⇒ c'' → P c c''))
  proof(induction rule: rtranclp-invariant-induct)
  case base
    have P c c by (rule refl)
    thus ?case..
  next
  case (step y z)
    from step(5)
    show ?case

```

```

proof
  assume  $P c y$ 

  note  $t = \text{trans}[\text{OF } \langle c \Rightarrow^* y \rangle \text{ r-into-rtranclp}[\text{where } r = \text{step}, \text{OF } \langle y \Rightarrow z \rangle]]$ 

  from  $\langle y \Rightarrow z \rangle$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    case  $\text{app}_1$  hence  $P y z$  using assms(5)  $\langle I y \rangle$  by metis
    with  $\langle P c y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis t)
  next
    case  $\text{app}_2$  hence  $P y z$  using assms(6)  $\langle I y \rangle$  by metis
    with  $\langle P c y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis t)
  next
    case  $(\text{var}_1 \Gamma x e S)$ 
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases  $\text{isValid } e$ )
      case  $\text{False}$  with  $\text{var}_1$  have  $P y z$  using assms(7)  $\langle I y \rangle$  by metis
      with  $\langle P c y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis t)
    next
      case  $\text{True}$ 
      have  $*: y \Rightarrow^* ((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$  using  $\text{var}_1 \text{ True lambda-var}$  by metis

      have  $\text{boring-step}(\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$  using  $\text{True} ..$ 
      moreover
        have  $P y ((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$  using  $\text{var}_1 \text{ True assms}(8) \langle I y \rangle$  by metis
        with  $\langle P c y \rangle$  have  $P c ((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$  by (rule  $\text{trans}[\text{OF } \langle c \Rightarrow^* y \rangle *]$ )
        ultimately
          show ?thesis using  $\text{var}_1(2,3) \text{ True}$  by (auto elim!: step.cases)
      qed
    next
      case  $\text{var}_2$  hence  $P y z$  using assms(9)  $\langle I y \rangle$  by metis
      with  $\langle P c y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis t)
    next
      case  $\text{let}_1$  hence  $P y z$  using assms(10)  $\langle I y \rangle$  by metis
      with  $\langle P c y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis t)
    next
      case  $\text{if}_1$  hence  $P y z$  using assms(11)  $\langle I y \rangle$  by metis
      with  $\langle P c y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis t)
    next
      case  $\text{if}_2$  hence  $P y z$  using assms(12)  $\langle I y \rangle$  by metis
      with  $\langle P c y \rangle$  show ?thesis by (metis t)
    qed
  next
    assume  $\text{boring-step } y \wedge (\forall c''. y \Rightarrow c'' \longrightarrow P c c'')$ 
    with  $\langle y \Rightarrow z \rangle$ 
    have  $P c z$  by blast
    thus ?thesis..
  qed

```

```

qed
with assms(2)
show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma step-induction[consumes 2, case-names app1 app2 thunk lamvar var2 let1 if1 if2 refl trans]:
assumes c ⇒* c'
assumes ¬ boring-step c'
assumes app1: ⋀ Γ e x S . P (Γ, App e x, S) (Γ, e , Arg x # S)
assumes app2: ⋀ Γ y e x S . P (Γ, Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S) (Γ, e[y ::= x] , S)
assumes thunk: ⋀ Γ x e S . map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒¬ isVal e ⇒ P (Γ, Var x, S) (delete x Γ, e , Upd x # S)
assumes lamvar: ⋀ Γ x e S . map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ isVal e ⇒ P (Γ, Var x, S) ((x,e) # delete x Γ, e , S)
assumes var2: ⋀ Γ x e S . x ∉ domA Γ ⇒ isVal e ⇒ P (Γ, e , Upd x # S) ((x,e) # Γ, e , S)
assumes let1: ⋀ Δ Γ e S . atom ` domA Δ #* Γ ⇒ atom ` domA Δ #* S ⇒ P (Γ, Let Δ e, S) (Δ@Γ, e , S)
assumes if1: ⋀ Γ scrut e1 e2 S . P (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
assumes if2: ⋀ Γ b e1 e2 S . P (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S)
assumes refl: ⋀ c . P c c
assumes trans[trans]: ⋀ c c' c''. c ⇒* c' ⇒ c' ⇒* c'' ⇒ P c c' ⇒ P c' c'' ⇒ P c c''
shows P c c'
by (rule step-invariant-induction[OF -- invariant-True, simplified, OF assms])

```

### 2.2.1 Equivariance

```

lemma step-eqvt[eqvt]: step x y ⇒ step (π · x) (π · y)
apply (induction rule: step.induct)
apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
apply (rule permute-boolE[where p = -π], simp add: permute-minus-self)
apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
apply (rule permute-boolE[where p = -π], simp add: permute-minus-self)
apply (rule permute-boolE[where p = -π], simp add: permute-minus-self)
apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
apply (rule permute-boolE[where p = -π], simp add: permute-minus-self)
apply (rule permute-boolE[where p = -π], simp add: permute-minus-self)
apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
done

```

### 2.2.2 Invariants

```

lemma closed-invariant:
invariant step closed
proof

```

```

fix c c'
assume c ⇒ c' and closed c
thus closed c'
by (induction rule: step.induct) (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq dest!: subsetD[OF fv-delete-subset]
dest: subsetD[OF map-of-Some-fv-subset])
qed

lemma heap-upds-ok-invariant:
  invariant step heap-upds-ok-conf
proof
  fix c c'
  assume c ⇒ c' and heap-upds-ok-conf c
  thus heap-upds-ok-conf c'
  by (induction rule: step.induct) auto
qed

end

```

## 2.3 SestoftGC

```

theory SestoftGC
imports Sestoft
begin

inductive gc-step :: conf ⇒ conf ⇒ bool (infix ⇒G 50) where
  normal: c ⇒ c' ⇒ c ⇒G c'
  | dropUpd: (Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒G (Γ, e, S @ [Dummy x])

lemmas gc-step-intros[intro] =
  normal[OF step.intros(1)] normal[OF step.intros(2)] normal[OF step.intros(3)]
  normal[OF step.intros(4)] normal[OF step.intros(5)] dropUpd

abbreviation gc-steps (infix ⇒G* 50) where gc-steps ≡ gc-step**
lemmas converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp[of gc-step, OF - r-into-rtranclp, trans]

lemma var-onceI:
  assumes map-of Γ x = Some e
  shows (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G* (delete x Γ, e, S@[Dummy x])
proof-
  from assms
  have (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S).. 
  moreover
  have ... ⇒G (delete x Γ, e, S@[Dummy x])..
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by (rule converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp[OF - r-into-rtranclp])
qed

```

```

lemma normal-trans:  $c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies c \Rightarrow_{G^*}^* c'$ 
  by (induction rule:rtranclp-induct)
    (simp, metis normal rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)

fun to-gc-conf :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
  where to-gc-conf  $r (\Gamma, e, S) = (\text{restrictA } (- \text{ set } r) \Gamma, e, \text{restr-stack } (- \text{ set } r) S @ (\text{map Dummy } (\text{rev } r)))$ 

lemma restr-stack-map-Dummy[simp]: restr-stack  $V (\text{map Dummy } l) = \text{map Dummy } l$ 
  by (induction l) auto

lemma restr-stack-append[simp]: restr-stack  $V (l @ l') = \text{restr-stack } V l @ \text{restr-stack } V l'$ 
  by (induction l rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma to-gc-conf-append[simp]:
  to-gc-conf  $(r @ r') c = \text{to-gc-conf } r (\text{to-gc-conf } r' c)$ 
  by (cases c) auto

lemma to-gc-conf-eqE[elim!]:
  assumes to-gc-conf  $r c = (\Gamma, e, S)$ 
  obtains  $\Gamma' S'$  where  $c = (\Gamma', e, S')$  and  $\Gamma = \text{restrictA } (- \text{ set } r) \Gamma'$  and  $S = \text{restr-stack } (- \text{ set } r) S' @ \text{map Dummy } (\text{rev } r)$ 
  using assms by (cases c) auto

fun safe-hd :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a option
  where safe-hd  $(x \# -) = \text{Some } x$ 
    | safe-hd [] = None

lemma safe-hd-None[simp]: safe-hd  $xs = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow xs = []$ 
  by (cases xs) auto

abbreviation r-ok :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where r-ok  $r c \equiv \text{set } r \subseteq \text{domA } (\text{fst } c) \cup \text{upds } (\text{snd } c)$ 

lemma subset-bound-invariant:
  invariant step (r-ok r)
proof
  fix x y
  assume  $x \Rightarrow y$  and r-ok  $r x$  thus r-ok  $r y$ 
  by (induction) auto
qed

lemma safe-hd-restr-stack[simp]:
  Some  $a = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack } V (a \# S)) \longleftrightarrow \text{restr-stack } V (a \# S) = a \# \text{restr-stack } V S$ 
  apply (cases a)
  apply (auto split: if-splits)
  apply (thin-tac P a for P)

```

```

apply (induction S rule: restr-stack.induct)
apply (auto split: if-splits)
done

lemma sestoftUnGCStack:
assumes heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ ,  $S$ )
obtains  $\Gamma'$   $S'$  where
 $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
 $\text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma, e, S) = \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
 $\neg \text{isVal } e \vee \text{safe-hd } S' = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack} (- \text{set } r) S')$ 
proof-
show ?thesis
proof(cases isVal e)
case False
thus ?thesis using assms by -(rule that, auto)
next
case True
from that assms
show ?thesis
apply (atomize-elim)
proof(induction S arbitrary:  $\Gamma$ )
case Nil thus ?case by (fastforce)
next
case (Cons s S)
show ?case
proof(cases Some s = safe-hd (restr-stack (- set r) (s#S)))
case True
thus ?thesis
using ⟨isVal e⟩ ⟨heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ ,  $s \# S$ )⟩
apply auto
apply (intro exI conjI)
apply (rule rtrancp.intros(1))
apply auto
done
next
case False
then obtain x where [simp]:  $s = \text{Upd } x$  and [simp]:  $x \in \text{set } r$ 
by(cases s) (auto split: if-splits)

from ⟨heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ ,  $s \# S$ )⟩ ⟨ $s = \text{Upd } x$ ⟩
have [simp]:  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$  and heap-upds-ok (( $x, e$ ) #  $\Gamma$ ,  $S$ )
by (auto dest: heap-upds-okE)

have  $(\Gamma, e, s \# S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$  unfolding ⟨ $s = \text{Upd } x$ ⟩ ..
also have ...  $\Rightarrow ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$  by (rule step.var2[ $\text{OF } \langle x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \rangle \langle \text{isVal } e \rangle$ ])
also
from Cons.IH[ $\text{OF } \langle \text{heap-upds-ok } ((x, e) \# \Gamma, S) \rangle$ ]
obtain  $\Gamma'$   $S'$  where  $((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
and res:  $\text{to-gc-conf } r ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S) = \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma', e, S')$ 

```

```

 $(\neg \text{isVal } e \vee \text{safe-hd } S' = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack } (- \text{set } r) S'))$ 
by blast
note this(1)
finally
have  $(\Gamma, e, s \# S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ .
thus ?thesis using res by auto
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma perm-exI-trivial:
 $P x x \implies \exists \pi. P (\pi \cdot x) x$ 
by (rule exI[where x = 0::perm]) auto

lemma upds-list-restr-stack[simp]:
 $\text{upds-list } (\text{restr-stack } V S) = \text{filter } (\lambda x. x \in V) (\text{upds-list } S)$ 
by (induction S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma heap-upd-ok-to-gc-conf:
 $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma, e, S) = (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma'', S'')$ 
by (auto simp add: heap-upds-ok.simps)

lemma delete-restrictA-conv:
 $\text{delete } x \Gamma = \text{restrictA } (-\{x\}) \Gamma$ 
by (induction Γ) auto

lemma sesoftUnGCstep:
assumes to-gc-conf r c  $\Rightarrow_G d$ 
assumes heap-upds-ok-conf c
assumes closed c
and r-ok r c
shows  $\exists r' c'. c \Rightarrow^* c' \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r' c' \wedge r\text{-ok } r' c'$ 
proof-
 $\begin{aligned} &\text{obtain } \Gamma e S \text{ where } c = (\Gamma, e, S) \text{ by (cases c) auto} \\ &\text{with assms} \\ &\text{have heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \text{ and closed } (\Gamma, e, S) \text{ and r-ok r } (\Gamma, e, S) \text{ by auto} \\ &\text{from sesoftUnGCStack[OF this(1)]} \\ &\text{obtain } \Gamma' S' \text{ where} \\ &\quad (\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S') \\ &\quad \text{and } *: \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma, e, S) = \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma', e, S') \\ &\quad \text{and disj: } \neg \text{isVal } e \vee \text{safe-hd } S' = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack } (- \text{set } r) S') \\ &\quad \text{by metis} \end{aligned}$ 
from invariant-starE[OF  $\dashv \Rightarrow^* \dashv$  heap-upds-ok-invariant] have heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ ) auto
from invariant-starE[OF  $\dashv \Rightarrow^* \dashv$  closed-invariant closed ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )] have closed ( $\Gamma', e, S'$ ) auto

```

```

from invariant-starE[ $OF \dashv \Rightarrow^* \rightarrow$  subset-bound-invariant  $\langle r\text{-ok } r \ (\Gamma, e, S) \rangle$  ]
have  $r\text{-ok } r \ (\Gamma', e, S')$  by auto

from assms(1)[unfolded  $\langle c = - \rangle *$ ]
have  $\exists r' \Gamma'' e'' S''. (\Gamma', e, S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r' (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \wedge r\text{-ok } r'$ 
 $(\Gamma'', e'', S'')$ 
proof(cases rule: gc-step.cases)
  case normal
    hence  $\exists \Gamma'' e'' S''. (\Gamma', e, S') \Rightarrow (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma'', e'', S'')$ 
    proof(cases rule: step.cases)
      case app1
        thus ?thesis
          apply auto
          apply (intro exI conjI)
          apply (rule step.intros)
          apply auto
          done
    next
      case (app2  $\Gamma y ea x S$ )
        thus ?thesis
          using disj
          apply (cases S')
          apply auto
          apply (intro exI conjI)
          apply (rule step.intros)
          apply auto
          done
    next
      case var1
        thus ?thesis
          apply auto
          apply (intro exI conjI)
          apply (rule step.intros)
          apply (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist)
          done
    next
      case var2
        thus ?thesis
          using disj
          apply (cases S')
          apply auto
          apply (intro exI conjI)
          apply (rule step.intros)
          apply (auto split: if-splits dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)
          done
    next
      case (let1  $\Delta'' \Gamma'' S'' e'$ )

```

```

from <closed ( $\Gamma'$ ,  $e$ ,  $S'$ )>  $let_1$ 
have closed ( $\Gamma'$ , Let  $\Delta'' e'$ ,  $S'$ ) by simp

from fresh-distinct[ $OF let_1(3)$ ] fresh-distinct-fv[ $OF let_1(4)$ ]
have domA  $\Delta'' \cap$  domA  $\Gamma'' = \{\}$  and domA  $\Delta'' \cap$  upds  $S'' = \{\}$  and domA  $\Delta'' \cap$  dummies  $S'' = \{\}$ 
by (auto dest: subsetD[ $OF ups-fv-subset$ ] subsetD[ $OF dummies-fv-subset$ ])
moreover
from let1(1)
have domA  $\Gamma' \cup$  upds  $S' \subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma'' \cup$  upds  $S'' \cup$  dummies  $S''$ 
by auto
ultimately
have disj: domA  $\Delta'' \cap$  domA  $\Gamma' = \{\}$  domA  $\Delta'' \cap$  upds  $S' = \{\}$ 
by auto

from <domA  $\Delta'' \cap$  dummies  $S'' = \{\}> let1(1)
have domA  $\Delta'' \cap$  set r =  $\{\}$  by auto
hence [simp]: restrictA ( $-$  set r)  $\Delta'' = \Delta''$ 
by (auto intro: restrictA-noop)

from let1(1–3)
show ?thesis
apply auto
apply (intro exI[where  $x = r$ ] exI[where  $x = \Delta'' @ \Gamma$ ] exI[where  $x = S'$ ] conjI)
apply (rule let1-closed[ $OF <closed (\Gamma', Let \Delta'' e', S')>$  disj])
apply (auto simp add: restrictA-append)
done

next
case if1
thus ?thesis
apply auto
apply (intro exI[where  $x = 0::perm$ ] exI conjI)
unfolding permute-zero
apply (rule step.intros)
apply (auto)
done

next
case if2
thus ?thesis
using disj
apply (cases S')
apply auto
apply (intro exI exI conjI)
apply (rule step.if2[where  $b = True$ , simplified] step.if2[where  $b = False$ , simplified])
apply (auto split: if-splits dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)
apply (intro exI conjI)
apply (rule step.if2[where  $b = True$ , simplified] step.if2[where  $b = False$ , simplified])
apply (auto split: if-splits dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)
done$ 
```

```

qed
with invariantE[OF subset-bound-invariant - <r-ok r (Γ', e, S')>]
show ?thesis by blast
next
case (dropUpd Γ'' e'' x S'')
  from <to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') = (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'')>
  have x ∉ set r by (auto dest!: arg-cong[where f = upds])
  from <heap-upds-ok (Γ', S')> and <to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') = (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'')>
  have heap-upds-ok (Γ'', Upd x # S'') by (rule heap-upd-ok-to-gc-conf)
  hence [simp]: x ∉ domA Γ'' x ∉ upds S'' by (auto dest: heap-upds-ok-upd)

  have to-gc-conf (x # r) (Γ', e, S') = to-gc-conf ([x]@ r) (Γ', e, S') by simp
  also have ... = to-gc-conf [x] (to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S')) by (rule to-gc-conf-append)
  also have ... = to-gc-conf [x] (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'') unfolding <to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') =
  ->..
  also have ... = (Γ'', e'', S''@[Dummy x]) by (auto intro: restrictA-noop)
  also have ... = d using < d = -> by simp
  finally have to-gc-conf (x # r) (Γ', e, S') = d.
  moreover
  from <to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') = (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'')>
  have x ∈ upds S' by (auto dest!: arg-cong[where f = upds])
  with <r-ok r (Γ', e, S')>
  have r-ok (x # r) (Γ', e, S') by auto
  moreover
  note <to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') = (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'')>
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by fastforce

qed
then obtain r' Γ'' e'' S''
  where (Γ', e, S') ⇒* (Γ'', e'', S'')
  and d = to-gc-conf r' (Γ'', e'', S'')
  and r-ok r' (Γ'', e'', S'')
  by metis

from <(Γ, e, S) ⇒* (Γ', e, S')> and <(Γ', e, S') ⇒* (Γ'', e'', S'')>
have (Γ, e, S) ⇒* (Γ'', e'', S'') by (rule rtranclp-trans)
with <d = -> <r-ok r' ->
show ?thesis unfolding <c = -> by auto
qed

```

```

lemma sextoUnGC:
assumes (to-gc-conf r c) ⇒G* d and heap-upds-ok-conf c and closed c and r-ok r c
shows ∃ r' c'. c ⇒* c' ∧ d = to-gc-conf r' c' ∧ r-ok r' c'
using assms
proof(induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  case base

```

```

thus ?case by blast
next
  case (step d' d'')
  then obtain r' c' where c ⇒* c' and d' = to-gc-conf r' c' and r-ok r' c' by auto
    from invariant-starE[OF |- ⇒* -> heap-upds-ok-invariant] <heap-upds-ok ->
    have heap-upds-ok-conf c'.
    from invariant-starE[OF |- ⇒* -> closed-invariant] <closed ->
    have closed c'.
    from step <d' = to-gc-conf r' c'>
    have to-gc-conf r' c' ⇒G d'' by simp
    from this <heap-upds-ok-conf c'> <closed c'> <r-ok r' c'>
    have ∃ r'' c''. c' ⇒* c'' ∧ d'' = to-gc-conf r'' c'' ∧ r-ok r'' c''
      by (rule sestoftUnGCstep)
    then obtain r'' c'' where c' ⇒* c'' and d'' = to-gc-conf r'' c'' and r-ok r'' c'' by auto
      from <c' ⇒* c''> <c ⇒* c'>
      have c ⇒* c'' by auto
      with <d'' = -> <r-ok r'' c''>
      show ?case by blast
qed

lemma dummies-unchanged-invariant:
  invariant step (λ (Γ, e, S) . dummies S = V) (is invariant - ?I)
proof
  fix c c'
  assume c ⇒ c' and ?I c
  thus ?I c' by (induction) auto
qed

lemma sestoftUnGC':
  assumes ([][], e, []) ⇒G* (Γ, e', map Dummy r)
  assumes isVal e'
  assumes fv e = ({})::var set
  shows ∃ Γ''. ([][], e, []) ⇒* (Γ'', e', []) ∧ Γ = restrictA (- set r) Γ'' ∧ set r ⊆ domA Γ''
proof-
  from sestoftUnGC[where r = [] and c = ([][], e, []), simplified, OF assms(1,3)]
  obtain r' Γ' S'
    where ([][], e, []) ⇒* (Γ', e', S')
      and Γ = restrictA (- set r') Γ'
      and map Dummy r = restr-stack (- set r') S' @ map Dummy (rev r')
      and r-ok r' (Γ', e', S')
      by auto
  from invariant-starE[OF <([], e, []) ⇒* (Γ', e', S')> dummies-unchanged-invariant]
  have dummies S' = {} by auto
  with <map Dummy r = restr-stack (- set r') S' @ map Dummy (rev r')>

```

```

have restr-stack (- set r') S' = [] and [simp]: r = rev r'
by (induction S' rule: restr-stack.induct) (auto split: if-splits)

from invariant-starE[OF  $\cdot \Rightarrow^* \cdot \rightarrow$  heap-upds-ok-invariant]
have heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma'$ ,  $S'$ ) by auto

from ⟨isVal e'⟩ sestoftUnGCStack[where  $e = e'$ , OF ⟨heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma'$ ,  $S'$ )⟩ ]
obtain  $\Gamma'' S''$ 
  where  $(\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', S'')$ 
  and to-gc-conf r ( $\Gamma'$ ,  $e'$ ,  $S'$ ) = to-gc-conf r ( $\Gamma''$ ,  $e'$ ,  $S''$ )
  and safe-hd S'' = safe-hd (restr-stack (- set r)  $S''$ )
  by metis

from this (2,3) ⟨restr-stack (- set r')  $S' = []$ ⟩
have  $S'' = []$  by auto

from ⟨⟨[],  $e$ , []⟩⟩  $\Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e', S')$  and ⟨ $(\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', S'')$  and  $S'' = []$ ⟩
have ⟨[],  $e$ , []⟩  $\Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', [])$  by auto
moreover
have  $\Gamma = \text{restrictA} (- \text{set } r) \Gamma''$  using ⟨to-gc-conf r  $\cdot = \cdot \rightarrow \cdot$  ⟩  $\Gamma = \cdot \rightarrow \cdot$  by auto
moreover
from invariant-starE[OF ⟨ $(\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', S'')$ ⟩ subset-bound-invariant ⟨r-ok r' ( $\Gamma'$ ,  $e'$ ,  $S'$ )⟩]
have set r  $\subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma''$  using ⟨ $S'' = []$ ⟩ by auto
ultimately
show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

```

## 2.4 BalancedTraces

```

theory BalancedTraces
imports Main
begin

locale traces =
  fixes step :: 'c => 'c => bool (infix  $\Rightarrow$  50)
begin

abbreviation steps (infix  $\Rightarrow^*$  50) where steps  $\equiv$  step**

inductive trace :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'c list  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  trace-nil[iff]: trace final [] final
  | trace-cons[intro]: trace conf' T final  $\Longrightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf'  $\Longrightarrow$  trace conf (conf' $\#$  T) final

inductive-cases trace-consE: trace conf (conf' $\#$  T) final

lemma trace-induct-final[consumes 1, case-names trace-nil trace-cons]:

```

```

trace x1 x2 final  $\implies$  P final [] final  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge$  conf' T conf. trace conf' T final  $\implies$  P conf' T
final  $\implies$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf'  $\implies$  P conf (conf' # T) final)  $\implies$  P x1 x2 final
by (induction rule:trace.induct) auto

lemma build-trace:
c  $\Rightarrow^*$  c'  $\implies$   $\exists$  T. trace c T c'
proof(induction rule: converse-rtranclp-induct)
have trace c' [] c'..
thus  $\exists$  T. trace c' T c'..
next
fix y z
assume y  $\Rightarrow$  z
assume  $\exists$  T. trace z T c'
then obtain T where trace z T c'..
with ⟨y  $\Rightarrow$  z⟩
have trace y (z#T) c' by auto
thus  $\exists$  T. trace y T c' by blast
qed

lemma destruct-trace: trace c T c'  $\implies$  c  $\Rightarrow^*$  c'
by (induction rule:trace.induct) auto

lemma traceWhile:
assumes trace c1 T c4
assumes P c1
assumes  $\neg$  P c4
obtains T1 c2 c3 T2
where T = T1 @ c3 # T2 and trace c1 T1 c2 and  $\forall x \in set T_1. P x$  and P c2 and c2  $\Rightarrow$ 
c3 and  $\neg$  P c3 and trace c3 T2 c4
proof-
from assms
have  $\exists$  T1 c2 c3 T2 . (T = T1 @ c3 # T2  $\wedge$  trace c1 T1 c2  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x \in set T_1. P x$ )  $\wedge$  P c2  $\wedge$ 
c2  $\Rightarrow$  c3  $\wedge$   $\neg$  P c3  $\wedge$  trace c3 T2 c4)
proof(induction)
case trace-nil thus ?case by auto
next
case (trace-cons conf' T end conf)
thus ?case
proof(cases P conf')
case True
from trace-cons.IH[OF True  $\leftarrow$  P end]
obtain T1 c2 c3 T2 where T = T1 @ c3 # T2  $\wedge$  trace conf' T1 c2  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x \in set T_1. P x$ )
 $\wedge$  P c2  $\wedge$  c2  $\Rightarrow$  c3  $\wedge$   $\neg$  P c3  $\wedge$  trace c3 T2 end by auto
with True
have conf' # T = (conf' # T1) @ c3 # T2  $\wedge$  trace conf (conf' # T1) c2  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x \in set (conf'$ 
# T1). P x)  $\wedge$  P c2  $\wedge$  c2  $\Rightarrow$  c3  $\wedge$   $\neg$  P c3  $\wedge$  trace c3 T2 end by (auto intro: trace-cons)
thus ?thesis by blast
next
case False with trace-cons

```

```

have conf' # T = [] @ conf' # T ∧ (∀ x ∈ set []. P x) ∧ P conf ∧ conf ⇒ conf' ∧ ¬ P
conf' ∧ trace conf' T end by auto
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by (auto intro: that)
qed

lemma traces-list-all:
trace c T c' ⇒ P c' ⇒ (∀ c c'. c ⇒ c' ⇒ P c' ⇒ P c) ⇒ (∀ x ∈ set T. P x) ∧ P c
by (induction rule:trace.induct) auto

lemma trace-nil[simp]: trace c [] c' ←→ c = c'
by (metis list.distinct(1) trace.cases traces.trace-nil)

end

definition extends :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool (infix ⪻ 50) where
S ⪻ S' = (Ǝ S''. S' = S'' @ S)

lemma extends-refl[simp]: S ⪻ S unfolding extends-def by auto
lemma extends-cons[simp]: S ⪻ x # S unfolding extends-def by auto
lemma extends-append[simp]: S ⪻ L @ S unfolding extends-def by auto
lemma extends-not-cons[simp]: ¬(x # S) ⪻ S unfolding extends-def by auto
lemma extends-trans[trans]: S ⪻ S' ⇒ S' ⪻ S'' ⇒ S ⪻ S'' unfolding extends-def by auto

locale balance-trace = traces +
fixes stack :: 'a ⇒ 's list
assumes one-step-only: c ⇒ c' ⇒ (stack c) = (stack c') ∨ (Ǝ x. stack c' = x # stack c) ∨
(Ǝ x. stack c = x # stack c')
begin

inductive bal :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
balI[intro]: trace c T c' ⇒ ∀ c' ∈ set T. stack c ⪻ stack c' ⇒ stack c' = stack c ⇒ bal c T
c'

inductive-cases balE: bal c T c'

lemma bal-nil[simp]: bal c [] c' ←→ c = c'
by (auto elim: balE trace.cases)

lemma bal-stackD: bal c T c' ⇒ stack c' = stack c by (auto dest: balE)

lemma stack-passes-lower-bound:
assumes c3 ⇒ c4
assumes stack c2 ⪻ stack c3
assumes ¬ stack c2 ⪻ stack c4
shows stack c3 = stack c2 and stack c4 = tl (stack c2)

```

```

proof-
  from one-step-only[OF assms(1)]
  have stack c3 = stack c2 ∧ stack c4 = tl (stack c2)
  proof(elim disjE exE)
    assume stack c3 = stack c4 with assms(2,3)
    have False by auto
    thus ?thesis..
  next
    fix x
    note ⟨stack c2 ⪯ stack c3⟩
    also
      assume stack c4 = x # stack c3
      hence stack c3 ⪯ stack c4 by simp
      finally
      have stack c2 ⪯ stack c4.
      with assms(3) show ?thesis..
  next
    fix x
    assume c3: stack c3 = x # stack c4
    with assms(2)
    obtain L where L: x # stack c4 = L @ stack c2 unfolding extends-def by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases L)
      case Nil with c3 L have stack c3 = stack c2 by simp
      moreover
      from Nil c3 L have stack c4 = tl (stack c2) by (cases stack c2) auto
      ultimately
      show ?thesis..
    next
      case (Cons y L')
      with L have stack c4 = L' @ stack c2 by simp
      hence stack c2 ⪯ stack c4 by simp
      with assms(3) show ?thesis..
    qed
  qed
  thus stack c3 = stack c2 and stack c4 = tl (stack c2) by auto
qed

```

```

lemma bal-consE:
  assumes bal c1 (c2 # T) c5
  and c2: stack c2 = s # stack c1
  obtains T1 c3 c4 T2
  where T = T1 @ c4 # T2 and bal c2 T1 c3 and c3 ⇒ c4 bal c4 T2 c5
  using assms(1)
  proof(rule balE)

```

```

  assume c5: stack c5 = stack c1
  assume T: ∀ c' ∈ set (c2 # T). stack c1 ⪯ stack c'

```

```

assume trace c1 (c2 # T) c5
hence c1 ⇒ c2 and trace c2 T c5 by (auto elim: trace-consE)

note ⟨trace c2 T c5⟩
moreover
have stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack c2 by simp
moreover
have  $\neg$  (stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack c5) unfolding c5 c2 by simp
ultimately
obtain T1 c3 c4 T2
where T = T1 @ c4 # T2 and trace c2 T1 c3 and  $\forall$  c'  $\in$  set T1. stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack c'  

and stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack c3 and c3 ⇒ c4 and  $\neg$  stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack c4 and trace c4 T2 c5  

by (rule traceWhile)

show ?thesis
proof (rule that)
show T = T1 @ c4 # T2 by fact

from ⟨c3 ⇒ c4⟩ ⟨stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack c3⟩  $\neg$  stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack c4
have stack c3 = stack c2 and c2' : stack c4 = tl (stack c2) by (rule stack-passes-lower-bound)+

from ⟨trace c2 T1 c3⟩  $\forall$  a  $\in$  set T1. stack c2  $\lesssim$  stack a this(1)
show bal c2 T1 c3..

show c3 ⇒ c4 by fact

have c4 : stack c4 = stack c1 using c2 c2' by simp

note ⟨trace c4 T2 c5⟩
moreover
have  $\forall$  a  $\in$  set T2. stack c4  $\lesssim$  stack a using c4 T  $\langle T = \dashv \rangle$  by auto
moreover
have stack c5 = stack c4 unfolding c4 c5..
ultimately
show bal c4 T2 c5..
qed
qed

end

end

```

## 2.5 SestoftCorrect

```

theory SestoftCorrect
imports BalancedTraces Launchbury.Launchbury Sestoft
begin

```

```

lemma lemma-2:
  assumes  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z$ 
  and  $fv(\Gamma, e, S) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Gamma$ 
  shows  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, S)$ 
  using assms
  proof (induction arbitrary:  $S$  rule:reds.induct)
    case ( $Lambda \Gamma x e L$ )
      show ?case..
  next
    case ( $Application y \Gamma e x L \Delta \Theta z e'$ )
      from  $\langle fv(\Gamma, App e x, S) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Gamma \rangle$ 
      have prem1:  $fv(\Gamma, e, Arg x \# S) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Gamma$  by simp

      from prem1 reds-pres-closed[ $OF \langle \Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : Lam [y]. e' \rangle$ ] reds-doesnt-forget[ $OF \langle \Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : Lam [y]. e' \rangle$ ]
      have prem2:  $fv(\Delta, e'[y ::= x], S) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Delta$  by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

      have ( $\Gamma, App e x, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e, Arg x \# S)$ ..
      also have ...  $\Rightarrow^* (\Delta, Lam [y]. e', Arg x \# S)$  by (rule Application.IH(1)[ $OF prem1$ ])
      also have ...  $\Rightarrow (\Delta, e'[y ::= x], S)$ ..
      also have ...  $\Rightarrow^* (\Theta, z, S)$  by (rule Application.IH(2)[ $OF prem2$ ])
      finally show ?case.
  next
    case ( $Variable \Gamma x e L \Delta z S$ )
      from Variable(2)
      have isVal z by (rule result-evaluated)

      have  $x \notin domA \Delta$  by (rule reds-avoids-live[ $OF Variable(2)$ , where  $x = x$ ]) simp-all

      from  $\langle fv(\Gamma, Var x, S) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Gamma \rangle$ 
      have prem:  $fv(delete x \Gamma, e, Upd x \# S) \subseteq set (x \# L) \cup domA (delete x \Gamma)$ 
        by (auto dest: subsetD[ $OF fv-delete-subset$ ] subsetD[ $OF map-of-Some-fv-subset[OF \langle map-of \Gamma x = Some e \rangle]$ ])

      from  $\langle map-of \Gamma x = Some e \rangle$ 
      have ( $\Gamma, Var x, S) \Rightarrow (delete x \Gamma, e, Upd x \# S)$ ..
      also have ...  $\Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, Upd x \# S)$  by (rule Variable.IH[ $OF prem$ ])
      also have ...  $\Rightarrow ((x, z) \# \Delta, z, S)$  using  $\langle x \notin domA \Delta \rangle \langle isVal z \rangle$  by (rule var2)
      finally show ?case.
  next
    case ( $Bool \Gamma b L S$ )
      show ?case..
  next
    case ( $IfThenElse \Gamma scrut L \Delta b e_1 e_2 \Theta z S$ )
      have ( $\Gamma, scrut ? e_1 : e_2, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, scrut, Alts e_1 e_2 \# S)$ ..
      also
      from IfThenElse.prems

```

```

have prem1: fv (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 #S) ⊆ set L ∪ domA Γ by auto
hence (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 #S) ⇒* (Δ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 #S)
  by (rule IfThenElse.IH)
also
have (Δ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 #S) ⇒ (Δ, if b then e1 else e2, S).. 
also
from prem1 reds-pres-closed[OF IfThenElse(1)] reds-doesnt-forget[OF IfThenElse(1)]
have prem2: fv (Δ, if b then e1 else e2, S) ⊆ set L ∪ domA Δ by auto
hence (Δ, if b then e1 else e2, S) ⇒* (Θ, z, S) by (rule IfThenElse.IH(2))
finally
show ?case.

next
case (Let as Γ L body Δ z S)
  from Let(4)
  have prem: fv (as @ Γ, body, S) ⊆ set L ∪ domA (as @ Γ) by auto

  from Let(1)
  have atom ` domA as #* Γ by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
  moreover
    from Let(1)
    have domA as ∩ fv (Γ, L) = {}
      by (rule fresh-distinct-fv)
    hence domA as ∩ (set L ∪ domA Γ) = {}
      by (auto dest: subsetD[OF domA-fv-subset])
  with Let(4)
  have domA as ∩ fv S = {}
    by auto
  hence atom ` domA as #* S
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fv-def fresh-def)
  ultimately
  have (Γ, Terms.Let as body, S) ⇒ (as @ Γ, body, S)..
  also have ... ⇒* (Δ, z, S)
    by (rule Let.IH[OF prem])
  finally show ?case.

qed

```

**type-synonym** *trace* = *conf list*

**fun** *stack* :: *conf* ⇒ *stack* **where** *stack* (Γ, *e*, *S*) = *S*

**interpretation** *traces step*.

**abbreviation** *trace-syn* (- ⇒\* - [50,50,50] 50) **where** *trace-syn* ≡ *trace*

**lemma** *conf-trace-induct-final*[consumes 1, case-names *trace-nil* *trace-cons*]:
$$(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* T \text{ final} \implies (\bigwedge \Gamma e S. \text{final} = (\Gamma, e, S)) \implies P \Gamma e S \sqcup (\Gamma, e, S) \implies (\bigwedge \Gamma e S T \Gamma' e' S'. (\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* T \text{ final} \implies P \Gamma' e' S' T \text{ final} \implies (\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma', e', S') \implies P \Gamma e S ((\Gamma', e', S') \# T) \text{ final} \implies P \Gamma e S T \text{ final}$$
 by (induction (Γ, *e*, *S*) *T* final arbitrary: Γ *e* *S* rule: *trace-induct-final*) auto

```

interpretation balance-trace step stack
  apply standard
  apply (erule step.cases)
  apply auto
done

abbreviation bal-syn (- ⇒b* - - [50,50,50] 50) where bal-syn ≡ bal

lemma isVal-stops:
  assumes isVal e
  assumes (Γ, e, S) ⇒b* T (Δ, z, S)
  shows T = []
  using assms
  apply -
  apply (erule balE)
  apply (erule trace.cases)
  apply simp
  apply auto
  apply (auto elim!: step.cases)
done

lemma Ball-subst[simp]:
  (forall p ∈ set (Γ[y::h=x]). f p) ↔ (forall p ∈ set Γ. case p of (z,e) ⇒ f (z, e[y::=x]))
  by (induction Γ) auto

lemma lemma-3:
  assumes (Γ, e, S) ⇒b* T (Δ, z, S)
  assumes isVal z
  shows Γ : e ↓upds-list S Δ : z
  using assms
proof(induction T arbitrary: Γ e S Δ z rule: measure-induct-rule[where f = length])
  case (less T Γ e S Δ z)
  from ⟨(Γ, e, S) ⇒b* T (Δ, z, S)⟩
  have (Γ, e, S) ⇒* T (Δ, z, S) and ∀ c' ∈ set T. S ≤ stack c' unfolding bal.simps by auto
  from this(1)
  show ?case
  proof(cases)
    case trace-nil
      from ⟨isVal z⟩ trace-nil show ?thesis by (auto intro: reds-isValI)
    next
    case (trace-cons conf' T')
      from ⟨T = conf' # T'⟩ and ⟨∀ c' ∈ set T. S ≤ stack c'⟩ have S ≤ stack conf' by auto
      from ⟨(Γ, e, S) ⇒ conf'⟩
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases)

```

```

case (app1 e x)
  obtain T1 c3 c4 T2
    where T' = T1 @ c4 # T2 and prem1: ( $\Gamma$ , e, Arg x # S)  $\Rightarrow^{b*}$  T1 c3 and c3  $\Rightarrow$  c4 and
  prem2: c4  $\Rightarrow^{b*}$  T2 ( $\Delta$ , z, S)
    by (rule bal-conse[OF `bal - T ->[unfolded app1 trace-cons]]) (simp, rule)

  from  $\langle T = \dashv \rangle \langle T' = \dashv \rangle$  have length T1 < length T and length T2 < length T by auto

  from prem1 have stack c3 = Arg x # S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
  moreover
  from prem2 have stack c4 = S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
  moreover
  note  $\langle c_3 \Rightarrow c_4 \rangle$ 
  ultimately
  obtain  $\Delta' y e'$  where c3 = ( $\Delta'$ , Lam [y]. e', Arg x # S) and c4 = ( $\Delta'$ , e'[y ::= x], S)
    by (auto elim!: step.cases simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

  from less(1)[OF  $\langle length T_1 < length T \rangle$  prem1[unfolded  $\langle c_3 = \dashv \rangle \langle c_4 = \dashv \rangle$ ]]
  have  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_{upds-list} S \Delta' : \text{Lam } [y]. e'$  by simp
  moreover
  from less(1)[OF  $\langle length T_2 < length T \rangle$  prem2[unfolded  $\langle c_3 = \dashv \rangle \langle c_4 = \dashv \rangle$  `isValid z`]]
  have  $\Delta' : e'[y:=x] \Downarrow_{upds-list} S \Delta : z$  by simp
  ultimately
  show ?thesis unfolding app1
    by (rule reds-ApplicationI)
  next
  case (app2 y e x S')
    from  $\langle conf' = \dashv \rangle \langle S = - \# S' \rangle \langle S \lesssim \text{stack } conf' \rangle$ 
    have False by (auto simp add: extends-def)
    thus ?thesis..
  next
  case (var1 x e)
    obtain T1 c3 c4 T2
      where T' = T1 @ c4 # T2 and prem1: (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)  $\Rightarrow^{b*}$  T1 c3 and c3  $\Rightarrow$ 
    c4 and prem2: c4  $\Rightarrow^{b*}$  T2 ( $\Delta$ , z, S)
      by (rule bal-conse[OF `bal - T ->[unfolded var1 trace-cons]]) (simp, rule)

    from  $\langle T = \dashv \rangle \langle T' = \dashv \rangle$  have length T1 < length T and length T2 < length T by auto

    from prem1 have stack c3 = Upd x # S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
    moreover
    from prem2 have stack c4 = S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
    moreover
    note  $\langle c_3 \Rightarrow c_4 \rangle$ 
    ultimately
    obtain  $\Delta' z'$  where c3 = ( $\Delta'$ , z', Upd x # S) and c4 = ((x,z')# $\Delta'$ , z', S) and isValid z'
      by (auto elim!: step.cases simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

```

```

from ⟨isVal z⟩ and prem2[unfolded ⟨c4 = →⟩]
have T2 = [] by (rule isVal-stops)
with prem2 ⟨c4 = →⟩
have z' = z and  $\Delta = (x,z)\#\Delta'$  by auto

from less(1)[OF ⟨length T1 < length T⟩ prem1[unfolded ⟨c3 = → ⟨c4 = → ⟩ z' = →⟩] ⟨isVal z⟩]
have delete x Γ : e ↴x # upds-list S  $\Delta' : z$  by simp
with ⟨map-of - - = →
show ?thesis unfolding var1(1) ⟨ $\Delta = \rightarrow$ ⟩ by rule
next
case (⟨var2 x S'⟩)
from ⟨conf' = → ⟨S = - # S'⟩ ⟨S ⪯ stack conf'⟩
have False by (auto simp add: extends-def)
thus ?thesis..
next
case (⟨if1 scrut e1 e2⟩)
obtain T1 c3 c4 T2
where T' = T1 @ c4 # T2 and prem1: ( $\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e_1 e_2 \# S \Rightarrow^{b*} T_1 c_3$  and  $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$  and prem2:  $c_4 \Rightarrow^{b*} T_2 (\Delta, z, S)$ 
by (rule bal-conseE[OF ⟨bal - T → [unfolded if1 trace-cons]]]) (simp, rule)
from ⟨T = → ⟨T' = → have length T1 < length T and length T2 < length T by auto
from prem1 have stack c3 = Alts e1 e2 # S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
moreover
from prem2 have stack c4 = S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
moreover
note ⟨c3 ⇒ c4⟩
ultimately
obtain  $\Delta' b$  where c3 = (⟨ $\Delta'$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S⟩ and c4 = (⟨ $\Delta'$ , (if b then e1 else e2), S) by (auto elim!: step.cases simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
from less(1)[OF ⟨length T1 < length T⟩ prem1[unfolded ⟨c3 = → ⟨c4 = → ⟩] ⟨isVal-Bool⟩]
have  $\Gamma : \text{scrut} \Downarrow_{\text{upds-list } S} \Delta' : \text{Bool } b$  by simp
moreover
from less(1)[OF ⟨length T2 < length T⟩ prem2[unfolded ⟨c4 = →⟩] ⟨isVal z⟩]
have  $\Delta' : (\text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2) \Downarrow_{\text{upds-list } S} \Delta : z$ .
ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding if1 by (rule reds.IfThenElse)
next
case (⟨if2 b e1 e2 S'⟩)
from ⟨conf' = → ⟨S = - # S'⟩ ⟨S ⪯ stack conf'⟩
have False by (auto simp add: extends-def)
thus ?thesis..
next
case (⟨let1 as e⟩)
from ⟨T = conf' # T'⟩ have length T' < length T by auto

```

```

moreover
have (as @  $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^{b*} T' (\Delta, z, S)$ 
  using trace-cons `conf' =  $\rightarrow \forall c' \in \text{set } T. S \lesssim \text{stack } c'$  by fastforce
moreover
note `isVal z'
ultimately
have as @  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_{\text{upds-list}} S \Delta : z$  by (rule less)
moreover
from `atom `domA as #*  $\Gamma` atom `domA as #* S`'
have atom `domA as #* ( $\Gamma$ , upds-list  $S$ ) by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
ultimately
  show ?thesis unfolding let1 by (rule reds.Let[rotated])
qed
qed
qed

lemma dummy-stack-extended:
set  $S \subseteq \text{Dummy} ` \text{UNIV} \implies x \notin \text{Dummy} ` \text{UNIV} \implies (S \lesssim x \# S') \longleftrightarrow S \lesssim S'$ 
apply (auto simp add: extends-def)
apply (case-tac  $S''$ )
apply auto
done

lemma[simp]: Arg  $x \notin \text{range Dummy}$  Upd  $x \notin \text{range Dummy}$  Alts  $e_1 e_2 \notin \text{range Dummy}$  by
auto

lemma dummy-stack-balanced:
assumes set  $S \subseteq \text{Dummy} ` \text{UNIV}$ 
assumes ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, S)$ 
obtains  $T$  where ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^{b*} T (\Delta, z, S)$ 
proof-
  from build-trace[OF assms(2)]
  obtain  $T$  where ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^* T (\Delta, z, S)..$ 
  moreover
  hence  $\forall c' \in \text{set } T. \text{stack } (\Gamma, e, S) \lesssim \text{stack } c'$ 
    by (rule conjunct1[OF traces-list-all])
    (auto elim: step.cases simp add: dummy-stack-extended[OF `set S \subseteq \text{Dummy} ` \text{UNIV}`])
  ultimately
  have ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^{b*} T (\Delta, z, S)$ 
    by (rule ballI) simp
  thus ?thesis by (rule that)
qed

end$ 
```

## 3 Arity

### 3.1 Arity

```
theory Arity
imports Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes
begin

typedef Arity = UNIV :: nat set
morphisms Rep-Arity to-Arity by auto

setup-lifting type-definition-Arity

instantiation Arity :: po
begin
lift-definition below-Arity :: Arity ⇒ Arity ⇒ bool is λ x y . y ≤ x.

instance
apply standard
apply ((transfer, auto)+)
done
end

instance Arity :: chfin
proof
fix S :: nat ⇒ Arity
assume chain S
have (ARG-MIN Rep-Arity x. x ∈ range S) ∈ range S
by (rule arg-min-natI) auto
then obtain n where n: S n = (ARG-MIN Rep-Arity x. x ∈ range S) by auto
have max-in-chain n S
proof(rule max-in-chainI)
fix j
assume n ≤ j hence S n ⊑ S j using ⟨chain S⟩ by (metis chain-mono)
also
have Rep-Arity (S n) ≤ Rep-Arity (S j)
unfolding n image-def
by (metis (lifting, full-types) arg-min-nat-lemma UNIV-I mem-Collect-eq)
hence S j ⊑ S n by transfer
finally
show S n = S j.
qed
thus ∃ n. max-in-chain n S..
qed

instance Arity :: cpo ..
```

```

lift-definition inc-Arity :: Arity ⇒ Arity is Suc.
lift-definition pred-Arity :: Arity ⇒ Arity is (λ x . x - 1).

lemma inc-Arity-cont[simp]: cont inc-Arity
  apply (rule chfindom-monofun2cont)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (transfer, simp)
  done

lemma pred-Arity-cont[simp]: cont pred-Arity
  apply (rule chfindom-monofun2cont)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (transfer, simp)
  done

definition inc :: Arity → Arity where
  inc = (Λ x. inc-Arity x)

definition pred :: Arity → Arity where
  pred = (Λ x. pred-Arity x)

lemma inc-inj[simp]: inc·n = inc·n' ↔ n = n'
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma pred-inc[simp]: pred·(inc·n) = n
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma inc-below-inc[simp]: inc·a ⊑ inc·b ↔ a ⊑ b
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma inc-below-below-pred[elim]:
  inc·a ⊑ b ⟹ a ⊑ pred · b
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma Rep-Arity-inc[simp]: Rep-Arity (inc·a') = Suc (Rep-Arity a')
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

instantiation Arity :: zero
begin
lift-definition zero-Arity :: Arity is 0.
instance..
end

instantiation Arity :: one
begin
lift-definition one-Arity :: Arity is 1.
instance ..
end

```

```

lemma one-is-inc-zero:  $1 = inc \cdot 0$ 
  by (simp add: inc-def, transfer, simp)

lemma inc-not-0[simp]:  $inc \cdot n = 0 \longleftrightarrow False$ 
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma pred-0[simp]:  $pred \cdot 0 = 0$ 
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma Arity-ind:  $P 0 \implies (\bigwedge n. P n \implies P (inc \cdot n)) \implies P n$ 
  apply (simp add: inc-def)
  apply transfer
  by (rule nat.induct)

lemma Arity-total:
  fixes x y :: Arity
  shows  $x \sqsubseteq y \vee y \sqsubseteq x$ 
  by transfer auto

instance Arity :: Finite-Join-cpo
proof
  fix x y :: Arity
  show compatible x y by (metis Arity-total compatibleI)
qed

lemma Arity-zero-top[simp]:  $(x :: Arity) \sqsubseteq 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma Arity-above-top[simp]:  $0 \sqsubseteq (a :: Arity) \longleftrightarrow a = 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma Arity-zero-join[simp]:  $(x :: Arity) \sqcup 0 = 0$ 
  by transfer simp
lemma Arity-zero-join2[simp]:  $0 \sqcup (x :: Arity) = 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma Arity-up-zero-join[simp]:  $(x :: Arity_{\perp}) \sqcup up \cdot 0 = up \cdot 0$ 
  by (cases x) auto
lemma Arity-up-zero-join2[simp]:  $up \cdot 0 \sqcup (x :: Arity_{\perp}) = up \cdot 0$ 
  by (cases x) auto
lemma up-zero-top[simp]:  $x \sqsubseteq up \cdot (0 :: Arity)$ 
  by (cases x) auto
lemma Arity-above-up-top[simp]:  $up \cdot 0 \sqsubseteq (a :: Arity_{\perp}) \longleftrightarrow a = up \cdot 0$ 
  by (metis Arity-up-zero-join2 join-self-below(4))

lemma Arity-exhaust:  $(y = 0 \implies P) \implies (\bigwedge x. y = inc \cdot x \implies P) \implies P$ 
  by (metis Abs-cfun-inverse2 Arity.inc-def Rep-Arity-inverse inc-Arity.abs-eq inc-Arity-cont
list-decode.cases zero-Arity-def)

```

```
end
```

### 3.2 AEnv

```
theory AEnv
imports Arity Launchbury.Vars Launchbury.Env
begin
```

```
type-synonym AEnv = var ⇒ Arity⊥
```

```
end
```

### 3.3 Arity-Nominal

```
theory Arity-Nominal
imports Arity Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF
begin

lemma join-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (x \sqcup (y :: 'a :: \{Finite-Join-cpo, cont-pt\})) = (\pi \cdot x) \sqcup (\pi \cdot y)$ 
  by (rule is-joinI[symmetric]) (auto simp add: perm-below-to-right)
```

```
instantiation Arity :: pure
begin
```

```
  definition  $p \cdot (a :: Arity) = a$ 
  instance
```

```
    apply standard
    apply (auto simp add: permute-Arity-def)
    done
```

```
end
```

```
instance Arity :: cont-pt by standard (simp add: pure-permute-id)
instance Arity :: pure-cont-pt ..
```

```
end
```

### 3.4 ArityStack

```
theory ArityStack
imports Arity SestoftConf
begin
```

```
fun Astack :: stack ⇒ Arity
```

```

where Astack [] = 0
| Astack (Arg x # S) = inc·(Astack S)
| Astack (Alts e1 e2 # S) = 0
| Astack (Upd x # S) = 0
| Astack (Dummy x # S) = 0

lemma Astack-restr-stack-below:
Astack (restr-stack V S) ⊑ Astack S
by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma Astack-map-Dummy[simp]:
Astack (map Dummy l) = 0
by (induction l) auto

lemma Astack-append-map-Dummy[simp]:
Astack S' = 0 ==> Astack (S @ S') = Astack S
by (induction S rule: Astack.induct) auto

end

```

## 4 Eta-Expansion

### 4.1 EtaExpansion

```

theory EtaExpansion
imports Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.Substitution
begin

definition fresh-var :: exp ⇒ var where
fresh-var e = (SOME v. v ∉ fv e)

lemma fresh-var-not-free:
fresh-var e ∉ fv e
proof-
obtain v :: var where atom v # e by (rule obtain-fresh)
hence v ∉ fv e by (metis fv-not-fresh)
thus ?thesis unfolding fresh-var-def by (rule someI)
qed

lemma fresh-var-fresh[simp]:
atom (fresh-var e) # e
by (metis fresh-var-not-free fv-not-fresh)

lemma fresh-var-subst[simp]:
e[fresh-var e ::= x] = e
by (metis fresh-var-fresh subst-fresh-noop)

```

```

fun eta-expand :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  exp where
  eta-expand 0 e = e
  | eta-expand (Suc n) e = (Lam [fresh-var e]. eta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))

lemma eta-expand-eqvt[eqvt]:
   $\pi \cdot (\text{eta-expand } n \ e) = \text{eta-expand } (\pi \cdot n) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
  apply (induction n arbitrary: e  $\pi$ )
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair permute-pure)
  apply (metis fresh-at-base-permI fresh-at-base-permute-iff fresh-var-fresh subst-fresh-noop subst-swap-same)
  done

lemma fresh-eta-expand[simp]: a # eta-expand n e  $\longleftrightarrow$  a # e
  apply (induction n arbitrary: e)
  apply (simp add: fresh-Pair)
  apply (clarify simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
  by (metis fresh-var-fresh)

lemma subst-eta-expand: (eta-expand n e)[x ::= y] = eta-expand n (e[x ::= y])
proof (induction n arbitrary: e)
case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
case (Suc n)
  obtain z :: var where atom z # (e, fresh-var e, x, y) by (rule obtain-fresh)

  have (eta-expand (Suc n) e)[x:=y] = (Lam [fresh-var e]. eta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))[x:=y] by simp
  also have ... = (Lam [z]. eta-expand n (App e z))[x:=y]
  apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[where y' = z])
  using ⟨atom z # ->
  by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair eta-expand-eqvt pure-fresh permute-pure flip-fresh-fresh intro!: eqvt-fresh-cong2[where f = eta-expand, OF eta-expand-eqvt])
  also have ... = Lam [z]. (eta-expand n (App e z))[x:=y]
  using ⟨atom z # -> by simp
  also have ... = Lam [z]. eta-expand n (App e z)[x:=y] unfolding Suc.IH..
  also have ... = Lam [z]. eta-expand n (App e[x:=y] z)
  using ⟨atom z # -> by simp
  also have ... = Lam [fresh-var (e[x:=y])]. eta-expand n (App e[x:=y] (fresh-var (e[x:=y])))
  apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[where y' = fresh-var (e[x:=y])])
  using ⟨atom z # ->
  by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair eqvt-fresh-cong2[where f = eta-expand, OF eta-expand-eqvt] pure-fresh eta-expand-eqvt flip-fresh-fresh subst-pres-fresh simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
  also have ... = eta-expand (Suc n) e[x:=y] by simp
  finally show ?case.
qed

lemma isLam-eta-expand:
  isLam e  $\Longrightarrow$  isLam (eta-expand n e) and n > 0  $\Longrightarrow$  isLam (eta-expand n e)
  by (induction n) auto

```

```

lemma isVal-eta-expand:
  isVal e  $\implies$  isVal (eta-expand n e) and n > 0  $\implies$  isVal (eta-expand n e)
by (induction n) auto

end

```

## 4.2 EtaExpansionSafe

```

theory EtaExpansionSafe
imports EtaExpansion Sestoft
begin

theorem eta-expansion-safe:
  assumes set T ⊆ range Arg
  shows  $(\Gamma, \text{eta-expand}(\text{length } T) e, T @ S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, e, T @ S)$ 
  using assms
proof(induction T arbitrary: e)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons se T)
  from Cons(2) obtain x where se = Arg x by auto

  from Cons have prem: set T ⊆ range Arg by simp

  have  $(\Gamma, \text{eta-expand}(\text{Suc}(\text{length } T)) e, \text{Arg } x \# T @ S) = (\Gamma, \text{Lam}[\text{fresh-var } e]. \text{eta-expand}(\text{length } T)(\text{App } e(\text{fresh-var } e)), \text{Arg } x \# T @ S)$  by simp
  also have ...  $\Rightarrow (\Gamma, (\text{eta-expand}(\text{length } T)(\text{App } e(\text{fresh-var } e)))[\text{fresh-var } e ::= x], T @ S)$ 
  by (rule app2)
  also have ...  $= (\Gamma, (\text{eta-expand}(\text{length } T)(\text{App } e x)), T @ S)$  unfolding subst-eta-expand
  by simp
  also have ...  $\Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, \text{App } e x, T @ S)$  by (rule Cons.IH[OF prem])
  also have ...  $\Rightarrow (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# T @ S)$  by (rule app1)
  finally show ?case using se = - by simp
qed

fun arg-prefix :: stack ⇒ nat where
  arg-prefix [] = 0
  | arg-prefix (Arg x # S) = Suc (arg-prefix S)
  | arg-prefix (Alts e1 e2 # S) = 0
  | arg-prefix (Upd x # S) = 0
  | arg-prefix (Dummy x # S) = 0

theorem eta-expansion-safe':
  assumes n ≤ arg-prefix S
  shows  $(\Gamma, \text{eta-expand } n e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, e, S)$ 
proof –
  from assms
  have set (take n S) ⊆ range Arg and length (take n S) = n

```

```

apply (induction S arbitrary: n rule: arg-prefix.induct)
apply auto
apply (case-tac n, auto) +
done
hence S = take n S @ drop n S by (metis append-take-drop-id)
with eta-expansion-safe[OF <- ⊆ -] length - = -
show ?thesis by metis
qed

end

```

### 4.3 Transform Tools

```

theory TransformTools
imports Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.Substitution Launchbury.Env
begin

default-sort type

fun lift-transform :: ('a::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp) ⇒ ('a⊥ ⇒ exp ⇒ exp)
  where lift-transform t Ibottom e = e
    | lift-transform t (Iup a) e = t a e

lemma lift-transform-simps[simp]:
  lift-transform t ⊥ e = e
  lift-transform t (up·a) e = t a e
  apply (metis inst-up-pcpo lift-transform.simps(1))
  apply (simp add: up-def cont-Iup)
  done

lemma lift-transform-eqvt[eqvt]: π · lift-transform t a e = lift-transform (π · t) (π · a) (π · e)
  by (cases a) simp-all

lemma lift-transform-fun-cong[fundef-cong]:
  (λ a. t1 a e1 = t2 a e1) ⟹ a1 = a2 ⟹ e1 = e2 ⟹ lift-transform t1 a1 e1 = lift-transform t2 a2 e2
  by (cases (t2,a2,e2) rule: lift-transform.cases) auto

lemma subst-lift-transform:
  assumes ⋀ a. (t a e)[x ::= y] = t a (e[x ::= y])
  shows (lift-transform t a e)[x ::= y] = lift-transform t a (e[x ::= y])
  using assms by (cases a) auto

definition
map-transform :: ('a::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp) ⇒ (var ⇒ 'a⊥) ⇒ heap ⇒ heap
  where map-transform t ae = map-ran (λ x e . lift-transform t (ae x) e)

lemma map-transform-eqvt[eqvt]: π · map-transform t ae = map-transform (π · t) (π · ae)

```

```

unfolding map-transform-def by simp

lemma domA-map-transform[simp]: domA (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ ) = domA  $\Gamma$ 
  unfolding map-transform-def by simp

lemma length-map-transform[simp]: length (map-transform t ae xs) = length xs
  unfolding map-transform-def map-ran-def by simp

lemma map-transform-delete:
  map-transform t ae (delete x  $\Gamma$ ) = delete x (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ )
  unfolding map-transform-def by (simp add: map-ran-delete)

lemma map-transform-restrA:
  map-transform t ae (restrictA S  $\Gamma$ ) = restrictA S (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ )
  unfolding map-transform-def by (auto simp add: map-ran-restrictA)

lemma delete-map-transform-env-delete:
  delete x (map-transform t (env-delete x ae)  $\Gamma$ ) = delete x (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ )
  unfolding map-transform-def by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma map-transform-Nil[simp]:
  map-transform t ae [] = []
  unfolding map-transform-def by simp

lemma map-transform-Cons:
  map-transform t ae ((x,e) #  $\Gamma$ ) = (x, lift-transform t (ae x) e) # (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ )
  unfolding map-transform-def by simp

lemma map-transform-append:
  map-transform t ae ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ ) = map-transform t ae  $\Delta$  @ map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ 
  unfolding map-transform-def by (simp add: map-ran-append)

lemma map-transform-fundef-cong[fundef-cong]:
  ( $\bigwedge x e. (x,e) \in \text{set } m1 \implies t1 a e = t2 a e$ )  $\implies ae1 = ae2 \implies m1 = m2 \implies \text{map-transform}$ 
   $t1 ae1 m1 = \text{map-transform } t2 ae2 m2$ 
  by (induction m2 arbitrary: m1)
  (fastforce simp add: map-transform-Nil map-transform-Cons intro!: lift-transform-fun-cong) +

lemma map-transform-cong:
  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } m1 \implies ae x = ae' x$ )  $\implies m1 = m2 \implies \text{map-transform } t ae m1 =$ 
   $\text{map-transform } t ae' m2$ 
  unfolding map-transform-def by (auto intro!: map-ran-cong dest: domA-from-set)

lemma map-of-map-transform: map-of (map-transform t ae  $\Gamma$ ) x = map-option (lift-transform
t (ae x)) (map-of  $\Gamma$  x)
  unfolding map-transform-def by (simp add: map-ran-conv)

lemma supp-map-transform-step:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x e a. (x, e) \in \text{set } \Gamma \implies \text{supp } (t a e) \subseteq \text{supp } e$ 

```

```

shows supp (map-transform t ae Γ) ⊆ supp Γ
using assms
  apply (induction Γ)
  apply (auto simp add: supp-Nil supp-Cons map-transform-Nil map-transform-Cons supp-Pair
pure-supp)
    apply (case-tac ae a)
    apply (fastforce) +
  done

lemma subst-map-transform:
assumes ⋀ x' e a. (x',e) : set Γ ⟹ (t a e)[x ::= y] = t a (e[x ::= y])
shows (map-transform t ae Γ)[x := y] = map-transform t ae (Γ[x := y])
using assms
  apply (induction Γ)
  apply (auto simp add: map-transform-Nil map-transform-Cons)
  apply (subst subst-lift-transform)
  apply auto
done

locale supp-bounded-transform =
  fixes trans :: 'a::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
  assumes supp-trans: supp (trans a e) ⊆ supp e
begin
  lemma supp-lift-transform: supp (lift-transform trans a e) ⊆ supp e
    by (cases (trans, a, e) rule:lift-transform.cases) (auto dest!: subsetD[OF supp-trans])

  lemma supp-map-transform: supp (map-transform trans ae Γ) ⊆ supp Γ
  unfolding map-transform-def
    by (induction Γ) (auto simp add: supp-Pair supp-Cons dest!: subsetD[OF supp-lift-transform])

  lemma fresh-transform[intro]: a # e ⟹ a # trans n e
    by (auto simp add: fresh-def) (auto dest!: subsetD[OF supp-trans])

  lemma fresh-star-transform[intro]: a #* e ⟹ a #* trans n e
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)

  lemma fresh-map-transform[intro]: a # Γ ⟹ a # map-transform trans ae Γ
  unfolding fresh-def using supp-map-transform by auto

  lemma fresh-star-map-transform[intro]: a #* Γ ⟹ a #* map-transform trans ae Γ
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
end

end

```

## 4.4 ArityEtaExpansion

theory ArityEtaExpansion

```

imports EtaExpansion Arity-Nominal TransformTools
begin

lift-definition Aeta-expand :: Arity ⇒ exp ⇒ exp is eta-expand.

lemma Aeta-expand-eqvt[eqvt]: π • Aeta-expand a e = Aeta-expand (π • a) (π • e)
  apply (cases a)
  apply simp
  apply transfer
  apply simp
  done

lemma Aeta-expand-0[simp]: Aeta-expand 0 e = e
  by transfer simp

lemma Aeta-expand-inc[simp]: Aeta-expand (inc•n) e = (Lam [fresh-var e]. Aeta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))
  apply (simp add: inc-def)
  by transfer simp

lemma subst-Aeta-expand:
  (Aeta-expand n e)[x:=y] = Aeta-expand n e[x:=y]
  by transfer (rule subst-eta-expand)

lemma isLam-Aeta-expand: isLam e ==> isLam (Aeta-expand a e)
  by transfer (rule isLam-eta-expand)

lemma isVal-Aeta-expand: isVal e ==> isVal (Aeta-expand a e)
  by transfer (rule isVal-eta-expand)

lemma Aeta-expand-fresh[simp]: a # Aeta-expand n e = a # e by transfer simp
lemma Aeta-expand-fresh-star[simp]: a #* Aeta-expand n e = a #* e by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)

interpretation supp-bounded-transform Aeta-expand
  apply standard
  using Aeta-expand-fresh
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-def)
  done

end

```

## 4.5 ArityEtaExpansionSafe

```

theory ArityEtaExpansionSafe
imports EtaExpansionSafe ArityStack ArityEtaExpansion
begin

lemma Aeta-expand-safe:
  assumes Astack S ⊑ a

```

```

shows  $(\Gamma, A \text{ eta-expand } a e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, e, S)$ 
proof-
  have arg-prefix  $S = \text{Rep-Arity}(\text{Astack } S)$ 
  by (induction  $S$  arbitrary: a rule: arg-prefix.induct) (auto simp add: Arity.zero-Arity.rep-eq[symmetric])
  also
    from assms
    have Rep-Arity  $a \leq \text{Rep-Arity}(\text{Astack } S)$  by (metis below-Arity.rep-eq)
    finally
    show ?thesis
      by transfer (rule eta-expansion-safe')
qed

end

```

## 5 Arity Analysis

### 5.1 ArityAnalysisSig

```

theory ArityAnalysisSig
imports Launchbury.Terms AEnv Arity-Nominal Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Substitution
begin

locale ArityAnalysis =
  fixes Aexp :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  AEnv
begin
  abbreviation Aexp-syn ( $\mathcal{A}_-$ ) where  $\mathcal{A}_a e \equiv Aexp e \cdot a$ 
  abbreviation Aexp-bot-syn ( $\mathcal{A}_{-}^{\perp}$ )
  where  $\mathcal{A}_{-}^{\perp} a e \equiv fup \cdot (Aexp e) \cdot a$ 
end

locale ArityAnalysisHeap =
  fixes Aheap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  AEnv

locale EdomArityAnalysis = ArityAnalysis +
  assumes Aexp-edom: edom ( $\mathcal{A}_a e$ )  $\subseteq$  fv e
begin

  lemma fup-Aexp-edom: edom ( $\mathcal{A}_{-}^{\perp} a e$ )  $\subseteq$  fv e
    by (cases a) (auto dest:subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])

  lemma Aexp-fresh-bot[simp]: assumes atom v  $\notin$  e shows  $\mathcal{A}_a e v = \perp$ 
  proof-
    from assms have v  $\notin$  fv e by (metis fv-not-fresh)
    with Aexp-edom have v  $\notin$  edom ( $\mathcal{A}_a e$ ) by auto
    thus ?thesis unfolding edom-def by simp
  qed

```

```

end

locale ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt = ArityAnalysisHeap +
assumes Aheap-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot Aheap = Aheap$ 

end

```

## 5.2 ArityAnalysisAbinds

```

theory ArityAnalysisAbinds
imports ArityAnalysisSig
begin

```

```

context ArityAnalysis
begin

```

### 5.2.1 Lifting arity analysis to recursive groups

```

definition ABind :: var  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  (AEnv  $\rightarrow$  AEnv)
  where ABind v e = ( $\Lambda$  ae. fup·(Aexp e)·(ae v))

```

```

lemma ABind-eq[simp]: ABind v e · ae =  $\mathcal{A}^\perp$  ae v e
  unfolding ABind-def by (simp add: cont-fun)

```

```

fun ABinds :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  (AEnv  $\rightarrow$  AEnv)
  where ABinds [] =  $\perp$ 
    | ABinds ((v,e)#binds) = ABind v e  $\sqcup$  ABinds (delete v binds)

```

```

lemma ABinds-strict[simp]: ABinds  $\Gamma \cdot \perp = \perp$ 
  by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct) auto

```

```

lemma Abinds-reorder1: map-of  $\Gamma$  v = Some e  $\implies$  ABinds  $\Gamma$  = ABind v e  $\sqcup$  ABinds (delete v  $\Gamma$ )
  by (induction  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: delete-twist)

```

```

lemma ABind-below-ABinds: map-of  $\Gamma$  v = Some e  $\implies$  ABind v e  $\sqsubseteq$  ABinds  $\Gamma$ 
  by (metis HOLCF-Join-Classes.join-above1 ArityAnalysis.Abinds-reorder1)

```

```

lemma Abinds-reorder: map-of  $\Gamma$  = map-of  $\Delta$   $\implies$  ABinds  $\Gamma$  = ABinds  $\Delta$ 
proof (induction  $\Gamma$  arbitrary:  $\Delta$  rule: ABinds.induct)

```

```

  case 1 thus ?case by simp

```

```

next

```

```

  case (? v e  $\Gamma$   $\Delta$ )

```

```

    from ⟨map-of ((v, e) #  $\Gamma$ ) = map-of  $\Delta$ ⟩

```

```

    have (map-of ((v, e) #  $\Gamma$ ))(v := None) = (map-of  $\Delta$ )(v := None) by simp

```

```

    hence map-of (delete v  $\Gamma$ ) = map-of (delete v  $\Delta$ ) unfolding delete-set-none by simp

```

```

    hence ABinds (delete v  $\Gamma$ ) = ABinds (delete v  $\Delta$ ) by (rule 2)

```

```

    moreover

```

```

    from ⟨map-of ((v, e) #  $\Gamma$ ) = map-of  $\Delta$ ⟩

```

```

have map-of Δ v = Some e by (metis map-of-Cons-code(2))
hence ABinds Δ = ABind v e ∙ ABinds (delete v Δ) by (rule Abinds-reorder1)
ultimately
show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma Abinds-env-cong: (Λ x. x ∈ domA Δ ⇒ ae x = ae' x) ⇒ ABinds Δ · ae = ABinds Δ · ae'
by (induct Δ rule: ABinds.induct) auto

lemma Abinds-env-restr-cong: ae f|` domA Δ = ae' f|` domA Δ ⇒ ABinds Δ · ae = ABinds Δ · ae'
by (rule Abinds-env-cong) (metis env-restr-eqD)

lemma ABinds-env-restr[simp]: ABinds Δ · (ae f|` domA Δ) = ABinds Δ · ae
by (rule Abinds-env-restr-cong) simp

lemma Abinds-join-fresh: ae' ` (domA Δ) ⊆ {⊥} ⇒ ABinds Δ · (ae ∙ ae') = (ABinds Δ · ae)
by (rule Abinds-env-cong) auto

lemma ABinds-delete-bot: ae x = ⊥ ⇒ ABinds (delete x Γ) · ae = ABinds Γ · ae
by (induction Γ rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: delete-twist)

lemma ABinds-restr-fresh:
assumes atom ` S #* Γ
shows ABinds Γ · ae f|` (¬ S) = ABinds Γ · (ae f|` (¬ S)) f|` (¬ S)
using assms
apply (induction Γ rule: ABinds.induct)
apply simp
apply (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp simp add: env-restr-join fresh-star-Pair fresh-star-Cons
fresh-star-delete)
apply (subst lookup-env-restr)
apply (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ComplI fresh-at-base(2) fresh-star-def imageI)
apply simp
done

lemma ABinds-restr:
assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
shows ABinds Γ · ae f|` S = ABinds Γ · (ae f|` S) f|` S
using assms
by (induction Γ rule: ABinds.induct) (fastforce simp del: fun-meet-simp simp add: env-restr-join)+

lemma ABinds-restr-subst:
assumes Λ x' e a. (x',e) ∈ set Γ ⇒ Aexp e[x:=y] · a f|` S = Aexp e · a f|` S
assumes x ∉ S
assumes y ∉ S
assumes domA Γ ⊆ S

```

```

shows ABinds  $\Gamma[x::h=y] \cdot ae f \mid^c S = ABinds \Gamma \cdot (ae \ f \mid^c S) f \mid^c S$ 
using assms
apply (induction  $\Gamma$  rule:ABinds.induct)
apply (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp join-comm simp add: env-restr-join)
apply (rule arg-cong2[where  $f = join$ ])
apply (case-tac ae v)
apply (auto dest: subsetD[OF set-delete-subset])
done

lemma Abinds-append-disjoint:  $domA \Delta \cap domA \Gamma = \{\} \implies ABinds(\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot ae = ABinds \Delta \cdot ae \sqcup ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
proof (induct  $\Delta$  rule: ABinds.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (2 v e  $\Delta$ )
  from 2(2)
  have  $v \notin domA \Gamma$  and  $domA (\text{delete } v \Delta) \cap domA \Gamma = \{\}$  by auto
  from 2(1)[OF this(2)]
  have  $ABinds (\text{delete } v \Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot ae = ABinds (\text{delete } v \Delta) \cdot ae \sqcup ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ .
  moreover
  have  $\text{delete } v \Gamma = \Gamma$  by (metis `v ∉ domA Γ` delete-not-domA)
  ultimately
  show  $ABinds (((v, e) \# \Delta) @ \Gamma) \cdot ae = ABinds ((v, e) \# \Delta) \cdot ae \sqcup ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
    by auto
qed

lemma ABinds-restr-subset:  $S \subseteq S' \implies ABinds (\text{restrictA } S \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqsubseteq ABinds (\text{restrictA } S' \Gamma) \cdot ae$ 
by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct)
  (auto simp add: join-below-iff restr-delete-twist intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2])

lemma ABinds-restrict-edom:  $ABinds (\text{restrictA } (\text{edom ae}) \Gamma) \cdot ae = ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: edom-def restr-delete-twist)

lemma ABinds-restrict-below:  $ABinds (\text{restrictA } S \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqsubseteq ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct)
  (auto simp add: join-below-iff restr-delete-twist intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2] simp del: fun-meet-simp join-comm)

lemma ABinds-delete-below:  $ABinds (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqsubseteq ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct)
  (auto simp add: join-below-iff delete-twist[where  $x = x$ ] elim: below-trans simp del: fun-meet-simp)
end

lemma ABind-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{ArityAnalysis.ABind Aexp v e}) = \text{ArityAnalysis.ABind} (\pi \cdot Aexp)$ 
 $(\pi \cdot v) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
unfolding ArityAnalysis.ABind-eq

```

by perm-simp rule

```

lemma ABind-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{ArityAnalysis}.ABind\ Aexp\ \Gamma) = \text{ArityAnalysis}.ABind\ (\pi \cdot Aexp)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  apply (induction  $\Gamma$  rule: ArityAnalysis.ABind.induct)
  apply (simp add: ArityAnalysis.ABind.simps)
  apply (simp add: ArityAnalysis.ABind.simps)
  apply perm-simp
  apply simp
done

lemma Abind-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd} \setminus \text{set} \text{ heap2} \implies aexp1\ e = aexp2\ e) ; \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{ArityAnalysis}.ABind\ aexp1\ \text{heap1} = \text{ArityAnalysis}.ABind\ aexp2\ \text{heap2}$ 
proof (induction  $\text{heap1}$  arbitrary: $\text{heap2}$  rule: ArityAnalysis.ABind.induct)
  case 1
  thus ?case by (auto simp add: ArityAnalysis.ABind.simps)
next
  case prems:  $(\lambda v. e \in \text{heap2})$ 
  have snd' set (delete v as)  $\subseteq$  snd set as by (rule dom-delete-subset)
  also have ...  $\subseteq$  snd set ((v, e) # as) by auto
  also note prems(3)
  finally
  have  $(\lambda e. e \in \text{snd} \setminus \text{set} (\text{delete } v \text{ as}) \implies aexp1\ e = aexp2\ e)$  by -(rule prems, auto)
  from prems prems(1)[OF this refl] show ?case
    by (auto simp add: ArityAnalysis.ABind.simps ArityAnalysis.ABind-def)
qed

context EdomArityAnalysis
begin
  lemma fup-Aexp-lookup-fresh: atom v # e  $\implies$  (fup.(Aexp e).a) v = ⊥
    by (cases a) auto

  lemma edom-AnalBind: edom (ABind Γ · ae)  $\subseteq$  fv Γ
    by (induction Γ rule: ABind.induct)
    (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp dest: subsetD[OF fup-Aexp-edom] dest: subsetD[OF fv-delete-subset])
end

end

```

### 5.3 ArityAnalysisSpec

```

theory ArityAnalysisSpec
imports ArityAnalysisAbind
begin

locale SubstArityAnalysis = EdomArityAnalysis +

```

```

assumes Aexp-subst-restr:  $x \notin S \implies y \notin S \implies (Aexp\ e[x:=y] \cdot a) f|` S = (Aexp\ e \cdot a) f|` S$ 

locale ArityAnalysisSafe = SubstArityAnalysis +
assumes Aexp-Var: up · n ⊑ (Aexp (Var x) · n) x
assumes Aexp-App: Aexp e · (inc · n) ⊔ esing x · (up · 0) ⊑ Aexp (App e x) · n
assumes Aexp-Lam: env-delete y (Aexp e · (pred · n)) ⊑ Aexp (Lam [y]. e) · n
assumes Aexp-IfThenElse: Aexp scrut · 0 ⊔ Aexp e1 · a ⊔ Aexp e2 · a ⊑ Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) · a

locale ArityAnalysisHeapSafe = ArityAnalysisSafe + ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt +
assumes edom-Aheap: edom (Aheap Γ e · a) ⊆ domA Γ
assumes Aheap-subst:  $x \notin \text{dom } A \Gamma \implies y \notin \text{dom } A \Gamma \implies Aheap \Gamma[x:=y] e[x:=y] = Aheap \Gamma e$ 

locale ArityAnalysisLetSafe = ArityAnalysisHeapSafe +
assumes Aexp-Let: ABinds Γ · (Aheap Γ e · a) ⊔ Aexp e · a ⊑ Aheap Γ e · a ⊔ Aexp (Let Γ e) · a

locale ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard = ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
assumes Aheap-heap3:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies (Aheap \Gamma e · a) x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 

context SubstArityAnalysis
begin

lemma Aexp-subst-upd:  $(Aexp\ e[y:=x] \cdot n) \sqsubseteq (Aexp\ e \cdot n)(y := \perp, x := \text{up} \cdot 0)$ 
proof-
  have Aexp e[y:=x] · n f|` (−{x,y}) = Aexp e · n f|` (−{x,y}) by (rule Aexp-subst-restr) auto

  show ?thesis
  proof (rule fun-belowI)
  fix x'
  have x' = x ∨ x' = y ∨ x' ∈ (−{x,y}) by auto
  thus (Aexp e[y:=x] · n) x' ⊑ ((Aexp e · n)(y := ⊥, x := up · 0)) x'
  proof(elim disjE)
    assume x' ∈ (−{x,y})
    moreover
      have Aexp e[y:=x] · n f|` (−{x,y}) = Aexp e · n f|` (−{x,y}) by (rule Aexp-subst-restr)
    auto
    note fun-cong[OF this, where x = x']
    ultimately
      show ?thesis by auto
  next
    assume x' = x
    thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    assume x' = y
    thus ?thesis
    using [[simp-trace]]
    by simp
  qed

```

```

qed
qed

lemma Aexp-subst: Aexp (e[y ::= x]) · a ⊑ env-delete y ((Aexp e) · a) ∪ esing x · (up · 0)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF Aexp-subst-upd])
  apply (rule fun-belowI)
  apply auto
  done
end

context ArityAnalysisSafe
begin

lemma Aexp-Var-singleton: esing x · (up · n) ⊑ Aexp (Var x) · n
  by (simp add: Aexp-Var)

lemma fup-Aexp-Var: esing x · n ⊑ fup · (Aexp (Var x)) · n
  by (cases n) (simp-all add: Aexp-Var)
end

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin
  lemma Aheap-nonrec:
    assumes nonrec Δ
    shows Aexp e · a f|` domA Δ ⊑ Aheap Δ e · a
    proof-
      have ABinds Δ · (Aheap Δ e · a) ∪ Aexp e · a ⊑ Aheap Δ e · a ∪ Aexp (Let Δ e) · a by (rule Aexp-Let)
      note env-restr-mono[where S = domA Δ, OF this]
      moreover
      from assms
      have ABinds Δ · (Aheap Δ e · a) f|` domA Δ = ⊥
        by (rule nonrecE) (auto simp add: fv-def fresh-def dest!: subsetD[OF fup-Aexp-edom])
      moreover
      have Aheap Δ e · a f|` domA Δ = Aheap Δ e · a
        by (rule env-restr-useless[OF edom-Aheap])
      moreover
      have (Aexp (Let Δ e) · a) f|` domA Δ = ⊥
        by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])
      ultimately
      show Aexp e · a f|` domA Δ ⊑ Aheap Δ e · a
        by (simp add: env-restr-join)
    qed
  end

end

```

## 5.4 TrivialArityAnal

```

theory TrivialArityAnal
imports ArityAnalysisSpec Launchbury.Env-Nominal
begin

definition Trivial-Aexp :: exp ⇒ Arity → AEnv
  where Trivial-Aexp e = (Λ n. (λ x. up·0) f|‘ fv e)

lemma Trivial-Aexp-simp: Trivial-Aexp e · n = (λ x. up·0) f|‘ fv e
  unfolding Trivial-Aexp-def by simp

lemma edom-Trivial-Aexp[simp]: edom (Trivial-Aexp e · n) = fv e
  by (auto simp add: edom-def env-restr-def Trivial-Aexp-def)

lemma Trivial-Aexp-eq[iff]: Trivial-Aexp e · n = Trivial-Aexp e' · n' ↔ fv e = (fv e' :: var set)
  apply (auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp env-restr-def)
  apply (metis up-defined)+
  done

lemma below-Trivial-Aexp[simp]: (ae ⊑ Trivial-Aexp e · n) ↔ edom ae ⊑ fv e
  by (auto dest:fun-belowD intro!: fun-belowI simp add: Trivial-Aexp-def env-restr-def edom-def split:if-splits)

interpretation ArityAnalysis Trivial-Aexp.
interpretation EdomArityAnalysis Trivial-Aexp
  by standard simp

interpretation ArityAnalysisSafe Trivial-Aexp
proof
  fix n x
  show up·n ⊑ (Trivial-Aexp (Var x) · n) x
    by (simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp)
  next
    fix e x n
    show Trivial-Aexp e · (inc·n) ∪ esing x · (up·0) ⊑ Trivial-Aexp (App e x) · n
      by (auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: Trivial-Aexp-def env-restr-def )
  next
    fix y e n
    show env-delete y (Trivial-Aexp e · (pred·n)) ⊑ Trivial-Aexp (Lam [y]. e) · n
      by (auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp env-delete-restr Diff-eq inf-commute)
  next
    fix x y :: var and S e a
    assume x ∉ S and y ∉ S
    thus Trivial-Aexp e[x:=y] · a f|‘ S = Trivial-Aexp e · a f|‘ S
      by (auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp fv-subst-eq intro!: arg-cong[where f = λ S. env-restr]

```

```

S e for e])
next
fix scrut e1 a e2
show Trivial-Aexp scrut·0 ⊢ Trivial-Aexp e1·a ⊢ Trivial-Aexp e2·a ⊑ Trivial-Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a
by (auto intro: env-restr-mono2 simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp join-below-iff )
qed

definition Trivial-Aheap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → AEtv where
Trivial-Aheap Γ e = (Λ a. (λ x. up·0) f|` domA Γ)

lemma Trivial-Aheap-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Trivial-Aheap Γ e) = Trivial-Aheap (π · Γ) (π · e)
unfolding Trivial-Aheap-def
apply perm-simp
apply (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
done

lemma Trivial-Aheap-simp: Trivial-Aheap Γ e · a = (λ x. up·0) f|` domA Γ
unfolding Trivial-Aheap-def by simp

lemma Trivial-fup-Aexp-below-fv: fup·(Trivial-Aexp e)·a ⊑ (λ x . up·0) f|` fv e
by (cases a)(auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp)

lemma Trivial-Abinds-below-fv: ABinds Γ·ae ⊑ (λ x . up·0) f|` fv Γ
by (induction Γ rule:ABinds.induct)
(auto simp add: join-below-iff intro!: below-trans[OF Trivial-fup-Aexp-below-fv] env-restr-mono2
elim: below-trans dest: subsetD[OF fv-delete-subset] simp del: fun-meet-simp)

interpretation ArityAnalysisLetSafe Trivial-Aexp Trivial-Aheap
proof
fix π
show π · Trivial-Aheap = Trivial-Aheap by perm-simp rule
next
fix Γ e ae show edom (Trivial-Aheap Γ e·ae) ⊆ domA Γ
by (simp add: Trivial-Aheap-simp)
next
fix Γ :: heap and e and a
show ABinds Γ·(Trivial-Aheap Γ e·a) ⊢ Trivial-Aexp e·a ⊑ Trivial-Aheap Γ e·a ⊢ Trivial-Aexp (Terms.Let Γ e)·a
by (auto simp add: Trivial-Aheap-simp Trivial-Aexp-simp join-below-iff env-restr-join2 intro!: env-restr-mono2 below-trans[OF Trivial-Abinds-below-fv])
next
fix x y :: var and Γ :: heap and e
assume x ∉ domA Γ and y ∉ domA Γ
thus Trivial-Aheap Γ[x::h=y] e[x::=y] = Trivial-Aheap Γ e
by (auto intro: cfun-eqI simp add: Trivial-Aheap-simp)
qed

end

```

## 5.5 ArityAnalysisStack

```

theory ArityAnalysisStack
imports SestoftConf ArityAnalysisSig
begin

context ArityAnalysis
begin
  fun AEstack :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ AEnv
    where
      AEstack - [] = ⊥
      | AEstack (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = Aexp e1·a ∪ Aexp e2·a ∪ AEstack as S
      | AEstack as (Upd x # S) = esing x·(up·0) ∪ AEstack as S
      | AEstack as (Arg x # S) = esing x·(up·0) ∪ AEstack as S
      | AEstack as (- # S) = AEstack as S
end

context EdomArityAnalysis
begin
  lemma edom-AEstack: edom (AEstack as S) ⊆ fv S
    by (induction as S rule: AEstack.induct) (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])
end

end

```

## 5.6 ArityAnalysisFix

```

theory ArityAnalysisFix
imports ArityAnalysisSig ArityAnalysisAbinds
begin

context ArityAnalysis
begin

definition Afix :: heap ⇒ (AEnv → AEnv)
  where Afix Γ = (Λ ae. (μ ae'. ABinds Γ · ae' ∪ ae))

lemma Afix-eq: Afix Γ·ae = (μ ae'. (ABinds Γ·ae') ∪ ae)
  unfolding Afix-def by simp

lemma Afix-strict[simp]: Afix Γ·⊥ = ⊥
  unfolding Afix-eq
  by (rule fix-eqI) auto

lemma Afix-least-below: ABinds Γ · ae' ⊑ ae' ⇒ ae ⊑ ae' ⇒ Afix Γ · ae ⊑ ae'
  unfolding Afix-eq
  by (auto intro: fix-least-below)

```

```

lemma Afix-unroll: Afix  $\Gamma \cdot ae = ABinds \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot ae) \sqcup ae$ 
  unfolding Afix-eq
  apply (subst fix-eq)
  by simp

lemma Abinds-below-Afix: ABinds  $\Delta \sqsubseteq Afix \Delta$ 
  apply (rule cfun-belowI)
  apply (simp add: Afix-eq)
  apply (subst fix-eq, simp)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
  apply (rule monofun-cfun-arg)
  apply (subst fix-eq, simp)
  done

lemma Afix-above-arg: ae  $\sqsubseteq Afix \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
  by (subst Afix-unroll) simp

lemma Abinds-Afix-below[simp]: ABinds  $\Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot ae) \sqsubseteq Afix \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
  apply (subst Afix-unroll) back
  apply simp
  done

lemma Afix-reorder: map-of  $\Gamma = map-of \Delta \implies Afix \Gamma = Afix \Delta$ 
  by (intro cfun-eqI)(simp add: Afix-eq cong: Abinds-reorder)

lemma Afix-repeat-singleton:  $(\mu xa. Afix \Gamma \cdot (esing x \cdot (n \sqcup xa x) \sqcup ae)) = Afix \Gamma \cdot (esing x \cdot n \sqcup ae)$ 
  apply (rule below-antisym)
  defer
  apply (subst fix-eq, simp)
  apply (intro monofun-cfun-arg join-mono below-refl join-above1)

  apply (rule fix-least-below, simp)
  apply (rule Afix-least-below, simp)
  apply (intro join-below below-refl iffD2[OF esing-below-iff] below-trans[OF - fun-belowD[OF
  Afix-above-arg]] below-trans[OF - Afix-above-arg] join-above1)
  apply simp
  done

lemma Afix-join-fresh: ae' ` (domA  $\Delta \subseteq \{\perp\} \implies Afix \Delta \cdot (ae \sqcup ae') = (Afix \Delta \cdot ae) \sqcup ae'$ 
  apply (rule below-antisym)
  apply (rule Afix-least-below)
  apply (subst Abinds-join-fresh, simp)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF Abinds-Afix-below join-above1])
  apply (rule join-below)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF Afix-above-arg join-above1])

```

```

apply (rule join-above2)
apply (rule join-below[OF monofun-cfun-arg [OF join-above1]])
apply (rule below-trans[OF join-above2 Afix-above-arg])
done

lemma Afix-restr-fresh:
assumes atom `S #* Γ
shows Afix Γ·ae f|` (– S) = Afix Γ·(ae f|` (– S)) f|` (– S)
unfolding Afix-eq
proof (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P = λ x y . x f|` (– S) = y f|` (– S)], goal-cases)
  case 1
  show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  show ?case ..
next
  case prems: (3 aeL aeR)
  have (ABinds Γ·aeL ⊔ ae) f|` (– S) = ABinds Γ·aeL f|` (– S) ⊔ ae f|` (– S) by (simp add: env-restr-join)
  also have ... = ABinds Γ·(aeL f|` (– S)) f|` (– S) ⊔ ae f|` (– S) by (rule arg-cong[OF ABinds-restr-fresh[OF assms]])
  also have ... = ABinds Γ·(aeR f|` (– S)) f|` (– S) ⊔ ae f|` (– S) unfolding prems ..
  also have ... = ABinds Γ·aeR f|` (– S) ⊔ ae f|` (– S) by (rule arg-cong[OF ABinds-restr-fresh[OF assms, symmetric]])
  also have ... = (ABinds Γ·aeR ⊔ ae f|` (– S)) f|` (– S) by (simp add: env-restr-join)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed

lemma Afix-restr:
assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
shows Afix Γ·ae f|` S = Afix Γ·(ae f|` S) f|` S
unfolding Afix-eq
apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P = λ x y . x f|` S = y f|` S])
apply simp
apply rule
apply (auto simp add: env-restr-join)
apply (metis ABinds-restr[OF assms, symmetric])
done

lemma Afix-restr-subst':
assumes ⋀ x' e a. (x',e) ∈ set Γ ==> Aexp e[x:=y]·a f|` S = Aexp e·a f|` S
assumes x ∉ S
assumes y ∉ S
assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
shows Afix Γ[x:=y]·ae f|` S = Afix Γ·(ae f|` S) f|` S
unfolding Afix-eq
apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P = λ x y . x f|` S = y f|` S])
apply simp

```

```

apply rule
apply (auto simp add: env-restr-join)
apply (subst ABinds-restr-subst[OF assms]) apply assumption
apply (subst ABinds-restr[OF assms(4)]) back
apply simp
done

lemma Afix-subst-approx:
assumes "v n. v ∈ domA Γ ⟹ Aexp (the (map-of Γ v))[y:=x]·n ⊑ (Aexp (the (map-of Γ v))·n)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)"
assumes "x ∉ domA Γ"
assumes "y ∉ domA Γ"
shows "Afix Γ[y::h=x]·(ae(y := ⊥, x := up·0)) ⊑ (Afix Γ·ae)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)"
unfolding Afix-eq
proof (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P = λ aeL aeR . aeL ⊑ aeR(y := ⊥, x := up·0)], goal-cases)
  case 1
  show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  show ?case..
next
  case (3 aeL aeR)
  hence "ABinds Γ[y::h=x]·aeL ⊑ ABinds Γ[y::h=x]·(aeR(y := ⊥, x := up·0))" by (rule mono-fun-cfun-arg)
  also have "... ⊑ (ABinds Γ·aeR)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)"
    using assms
  proof (induction rule: ABinds.induct, goal-cases)
    case 1
    thus ?case by simp
  next
    case prems: (2 v e Γ)
    have "n. Aexp e[y:=x]·n ⊑ (Aexp e·n)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)" using prems(2)[where v = v]
  by auto
    hence IH1: "n. fup·(Aexp e[y:=x])·n ⊑ (fup·(Aexp e)·n)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)" by (case-tac n) auto

    have "ABinds (delete v Γ)[y::h=x]·(aeR(y := ⊥, x := up·0)) ⊑ (ABinds (delete v Γ)·aeR)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)"
      apply (rule prems) using prems(2,3,4) by fastforce+
      hence IH2: "ABinds (delete v Γ[y::h=x])·(aeR(y := ⊥, x := up·0)) ⊑ (ABinds (delete v Γ)·aeR)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)"
        unfolding subst-heap-delete.

    have [simp]: "(aeR(y := ⊥, x := up·0)) v = aeR v" using prems(3,4) by auto
    show ?case by (simp del: fun-upd-apply join-comm) (rule join-mono[OF IH1 IH2])
  qed
  finally have "ABinds Γ[y::h=x]·aeL ⊑ (ABinds Γ·aeR)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)"

```

```

    by this simp
  thus ?case
    by (auto simp add: join-below-iff elim: below-trans)
qed

end

lemma Afix-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{ArityAnalysis.Afix } Aexp \Gamma) = \text{ArityAnalysis.Afix } (\pi \cdot Aexp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
  unfolding ArityAnalysis.Afix-def
  by perm-simp (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)

lemma Afix-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd} \cdot \text{set heap2} \implies aexp1 e = aexp2 e); \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket \implies \text{ArityAnalysis.Afix } aexp1 \text{heap1} = \text{ArityAnalysis.Afix } aexp2 \text{heap2}$ 
  unfolding ArityAnalysis.Afix-def by (metis Abinds-cong)

context EdomArityAnalysis
begin

lemma Afix-edom: edom (Afix  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ )  $\subseteq fv \Gamma \cup edom ae$ 
  unfolding Afix-eq
  by (rule fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda ae'. edom ae' \subseteq fv \Gamma \cup edom ae$ ] )
    (auto dest: subsetD[OF edom-AnalBinds])

lemma ABinds-lookup-fresh:
  atom  $v \notin \Gamma \implies (ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae) v = \perp$ 
  by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-Cons fresh-Pair fup-Aexp-lookup-fresh
  fresh-delete)

lemma Afix-lookup-fresh:
  assumes atom  $v \notin \Gamma$ 
  shows (Afix  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ )  $v = ae v$ 
  apply (rule below-antisym)
  apply (subst Afix-eq)
  apply (rule fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda ae'. ae' v \sqsubseteq ae v$ ])
  apply (auto simp add: ABinds-lookup-fresh[OF assms] fun-belowD[OF Afix-above-arg])
done

lemma Afix-comp2join-fresh:
  atom ` (domA  $\Delta$ ) \#* \Gamma \implies ABinds \Delta \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot ae) = ABinds \Delta \cdot ae
proof (induct  $\Delta$  rule: ABinds.induct)
  case 1 show ?case by (simp add: Afix-above-arg del: fun-meet-simp)
next
  case (2 v e  $\Delta$ )
  from 2(2)
  have atom  $v \notin \Gamma$  and atom ` domA (delete v  $\Delta$ ) \#* \Gamma

```

```

by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
from 2(1)[OF this(2)]
show ?case by (simp del: fun-meet-simp add: Afix-lookup-fresh[OF `atom v # Γ`])
qed

lemma Afix-append-fresh:
assumes atom ` domA Δ #* Γ
shows Afix (Δ @ Γ) · ae = Afix Γ · (Afix Δ · ae)
proof (rule below-antisym)
show *: Afix (Δ @ Γ) · ae ⊑ Afix Γ · (Afix Δ · ae)
apply (rule Afix-least-below)
apply (simp add: Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms]] Afix-comp2join-fresh[OF assms])
apply (rule below-trans[OF join-mono[OF Abinds-Afix-below Abinds-Afix-below]])
apply (simp-all add: Afix-above-arg below-trans[OF Afix-above-arg Afix-above-arg])
done
next
show Afix Γ · (Afix Δ · ae) ⊑ Afix (Δ @ Γ) · ae
proof (rule Afix-least-below)
show ABinds Γ · (Afix (Δ @ Γ) · ae) ⊑ Afix (Δ @ Γ) · ae
apply (rule below-trans[OF - Abinds-Afix-below])
apply (subst Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms]])
apply simp
done
have ABinds Δ · (Afix (Δ @ Γ) · ae) ⊑ Afix (Δ @ Γ) · ae
apply (rule below-trans[OF - Abinds-Afix-below])
apply (subst Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms]])
apply simp
done
thus Afix Δ · ae ⊑ Afix (Δ @ Γ) · ae
apply (rule Afix-least-below)
apply (rule Afix-above-arg)
done
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Afix-e-to-heap:
Afix (delete x Γ) · (fup · (Aexp e) · n ⊔ ae) ⊑ Afix ((x, e) # delete x Γ) · (esing x · n ⊔ ae)
apply (simp add: Afix-eq)
apply (rule fix-least-below, simp)
apply (intro join-below)
apply (subst fix-eq, simp)
apply (subst fix-eq, simp)

apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
apply (rule monofun-cfun-arg)

```

```

apply (subst fix-eq, simp)

apply (subst fix-eq, simp) back apply (simp add: below-trans[OF - join-above2])
done

lemma Afix-e-to-heap':
  Afix (delete x Γ) · (Aexp e · n) ⊑ Afix ((x, e) # delete x Γ) · (esing x · (up · n))
using Afix-e-to-heap[where ae = ⊥ and n = up · n] by simp

end

end

```

## 5.7 ArityAnalysisFixProps

```

theory ArityAnalysisFixProps
imports ArityAnalysisFix ArityAnalysisSpec
begin

context SubstArityAnalysis
begin

lemma Afix-restr-subst:
assumes x ∉ S
assumes y ∉ S
assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
shows Afix Γ[x::h=y] · ae f|` S = Afix Γ · (ae f|` S) f|` S
by (rule Afix-restr-subst'[OF Aexp-subst-restr[OF assms(1,2)] assms])
end

end

```

## 6 Arity Transformation

### 6.1 AbstractTransform

```

theory AbstractTransform
imports Launchbury.Terms TransformTools
begin

locale AbstractAnalProp =
fixes PropApp :: 'a ⇒ 'a::cont-pt
fixes PropLam :: 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes AnalLet :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b::cont-pt
fixes PropLetBody :: 'b ⇒ 'a

```

```

fixes PropLetHeap :: ' $b \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow 'a_{\perp}$ 
fixes PropIfScrut :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
assumes PropApp-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot PropApp \equiv PropApp$ 
assumes PropLam-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot PropLam \equiv PropLam$ 
assumes AnalLet-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot AnalLet \equiv AnalLet$ 
assumes PropLetBody-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot PropLetBody \equiv PropLetBody$ 
assumes PropLetHeap-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot PropLetHeap \equiv PropLetHeap$ 
assumes PropIfScrut-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot PropIfScrut \equiv PropIfScrut$ 

locale AbstractAnalPropSubst = AbstractAnalProp +
  assumes AnalLet-subst:  $x \notin domA \Gamma \implies y \notin domA \Gamma \implies AnalLet(\Gamma[x::h=y])(e[x::=y]) a = AnalLet \Gamma e a$ 

locale AbstractTransform = AbstractAnalProp +
  constrains AnalLet :: heap  $\Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow 'a::pure-cont-pt \Rightarrow 'b::cont-pt$ 
  fixes TransVar :: ' $a \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow exp$ 
  fixes TransApp :: ' $a \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow exp$ 
  fixes TransLam :: ' $a \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow exp$ 
  fixes TransLet :: ' $b \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow exp$ 
  assumes TransVar-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot TransVar = TransVar$ 
  assumes TransApp-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot TransApp = TransApp$ 
  assumes TransLam-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot TransLam = TransLam$ 
  assumes TransLet-eqvt:  $\pi \cdot TransLet = TransLet$ 
  assumes SuppTransLam: supp(TransLam a v e)  $\subseteq supp e - supp v$ 
  assumes SuppTransLet: supp(TransLet b  $\Gamma$  e)  $\subseteq supp(\Gamma, e) - atom ` domA \Gamma$ 
begin
  nominal-function transform where
    transform a (App e x) = TransApp a (transform(PropApp a) e) x
    | transform a (Lam [x]. e) = TransLam a x (transform(PropLam a) e)
    | transform a (Var x) = TransVar a x
    | transform a (Let  $\Gamma$  e) = TransLet (AnalLet  $\Gamma$  e a)
      (map-transform transform(PropLetHeap(AnalLet  $\Gamma$  e a))  $\Gamma$ )
      (transform(PropLetBody(AnalLet  $\Gamma$  e a)) e)
    | transform a (Bool b) = (Bool b)
    | transform a (scrut ? e1 : e2) = (transform(PropIfScrut a) scrut ? transform a e1 : transform a e2)
  proof goal-cases
    case 1
    note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
    AnalLet-eqvt[eqvt-raw] TransVar-eqvt[eqvt] TransApp-eqvt[eqvt] TransLam-eqvt[eqvt] TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]
    show ?case
      unfolding eqvt-def transform-graph-aux-def
      apply rule
      apply perm-simp
      apply (rule refl)
      done
  next
  case prems: ( $\exists P x$ )
  show ?case

```

```

proof (cases x)
  fix a b
  assume x = (a, b)
  thus ?case
    using prems
    apply (cases b rule: Terms.exp-strong-exhaust)
    apply auto
    done
  qed
next
  case prems: (10 a x e a' x' e')
  from prems(5)
  have a' = a and Lam [x]. e = Lam [x']. e' by simp-all
  from this(2)
  show ?case
  unfolding `a' = a
  proof(rule eqvt-lam-case)
    fix π :: perm

    have supp (TransLam a x (transform-sumC (PropLam a, e))) ⊆ supp (Lam [x]. e)
    apply (rule subset-trans[OF SuppTransLam])
    apply (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp supp-Pair supp-at-base pure-supp exp-assn.fsupp
dest!: subsetD[OF supp-eqvt-at[OF prems(1)], rotated])
    done
  moreover
  assume supp π #* (Lam [x]. e)
  ultimately
  have #: supp π #* TransLam a x (transform-sumC (PropLam a, e)) by (auto simp add:
fresh-star-def fresh-def)

  note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
TransVar-eqvt[eqvt] TransApp-eqvt[eqvt] TransLam-eqvt[eqvt] TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]

  have TransLam a (π ∙ x) (transform-sumC (PropLam a, π ∙ e))
  = TransLam a (π ∙ x) (transform-sumC (π ∙ (PropLam a, e)))
  by perm-simp rule
  also have ... = TransLam a (π ∙ x) (π ∙ transform-sumC (PropLam a, e))
  unfolding eqvt-at-apply'[OF prems(1)] ..
  also have ... = π ∙ (TransLam a x (transform-sumC (PropLam a, e)))
  by simp
  also have ... = TransLam a x (transform-sumC (PropLam a, e))
  by (rule perm-supp-eq[OF *])
  finally show TransLam a (π ∙ x) (transform-sumC (PropLam a, π ∙ e)) = TransLam a x
(transform-sumC (PropLam a, e)) by simp
  qed
next
  case prems: (19 a as body a' as' body')
  note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] AnalLet-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw] TransVar-eqvt[eqvt] TransApp-eqvt[eqvt] TransLam-eqvt[eqvt] TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]

```

```

from supp-eqvt-at[OF prems(1)]
have  $\bigwedge x e a. (x, e) \in set as \implies supp (\text{transform-sumC } (a, e)) \subseteq supp e$ 
  by (auto simp add: exp-assn.fsupp supp-Pair pure-supp)
  hence supp-map:  $\bigwedge ae. supp (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))) ae as \subseteq supp as$ 
    by (rule supp-map-transform-step)

from prems(9)
have  $a' = a$  and  $\text{Terms.Let as body} = \text{Terms.Let as' body'}$  by simp-all
from this(2)
show ?case
unfolding `a' = a`
proof (rule eqvt-let-case)
  have supp (TransLet (AnalLet as body a) (map-transform ( $\lambda x0 x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1)$ ) (PropLetHeap (AnalLet as body a)) as) (transform-sumC (PropLetBody (AnalLet as body a), body)))  $\subseteq supp (\text{Let as body})$ 
    by (auto simp add: Let-supp supp-Pair pure-supp exp-assn.fsupp
      dest!: subsetD[OF supp-eqvt-at[OF prems(2)], rotated] subsetD[OF SuppTransLet]
      subsetD[OF supp-map])
  moreover
  fix  $\pi :: perm$ 
  assume supp  $\pi \sharp*$  Terms.Let as body
  ultimately
    have *: supp  $\pi \sharp*$  TransLet (AnalLet as body a) (map-transform ( $\lambda x0 x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1)$ ) (PropLetHeap (AnalLet as body a)) as) (transform-sumC (PropLetBody (AnalLet as body a), body))
      by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-def)

    have TransLet (AnalLet ( $\pi \cdot as$ ) ( $\pi \cdot body$  a) (map-transform ( $\lambda x0 x1. (\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))$ ) (PropLetHeap (AnalLet ( $\pi \cdot as$ ) ( $\pi \cdot body$  a)) ( $\pi \cdot as$ )) (( $\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC }$ ) (PropLetBody (AnalLet ( $\pi \cdot as$ ) ( $\pi \cdot body$  a),  $\pi \cdot body$ )) =
       $\pi \cdot \text{TransLet } (\text{AnalLet as body a}) (\text{map-transform } (\lambda x0 x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1))$  (PropLetHeap (AnalLet as body a)) as) (transform-sumC (PropLetBody (AnalLet as body a), body))
      by (simp del: Let-eq-iff Pair-eqvt add: eqvt-at-apply[OF prems(2)])
    also have ... = TransLet (AnalLet as body a) (map-transform ( $\lambda x0 x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1)$ ) (PropLetHeap (AnalLet as body a)) as) (transform-sumC (PropLetBody (AnalLet as body a), body))
      by (rule perm-supp-eq[OF *])
    also
      have map-transform ( $\lambda x0 x1. \text{transform-sumC } (x0, x1)$ ) (PropLetHeap (AnalLet ( $\pi \cdot as$ ) ( $\pi \cdot body$  a)) ( $\pi \cdot as$ ))
        = map-transform ( $\lambda x xa. (\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC }) (x, xa)$ ) (PropLetHeap (AnalLet ( $\pi \cdot as$ ) ( $\pi \cdot body$  a)) ( $\pi \cdot as$ ))
        apply (rule map-transform-fundef-cong[OF - refl refl])
        apply (subst (asm) set-eqvt[symmetric])
        apply (subst (asm) mem-permute-set)
        apply (auto simp add: permute-self dest: eqvt-at-apply''[OF prems(1)][where aa = (-  $\pi$ 

```

```

• a) for  $a$ , where  $p = \pi$ , symmetric]
  done
  moreover
    have  $(\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC}) (\text{PropLetBody} (\text{AnalLet} (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a), \pi \cdot \text{body}) =$ 
 $\text{transform-sumC} (\text{PropLetBody} (\text{AnalLet} (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a), \pi \cdot \text{body})$ 
      using  $\text{eqvt-at-apply}''[\text{OF prems(2)}, \text{where } p = \pi]$  by  $\text{perm-simp}$ 
    ultimately
      show  $\text{TransLet} (\text{AnalLet} (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a) (\text{map-transform} (\lambda x_0 x_1. \text{transform-sumC} (x_0, x_1)) (\text{PropLetHeap} (\text{AnalLet} (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a)) (\pi \cdot \text{as})) (\text{transform-sumC} (\text{PropLetBody} (\text{AnalLet} (\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a), \pi \cdot \text{body})) =$ 
 $\text{TransLet} (\text{AnalLet as body } a) (\text{map-transform} (\lambda x_0 x_1. \text{transform-sumC} (x_0, x_1)) (\text{PropLetHeap} (\text{AnalLet as body } a)) \text{ as}) (\text{transform-sumC} (\text{PropLetBody} (\text{AnalLet as body } a), \text{body}))$  by  $\text{metis}$ 
      qed
    qed auto
    nominal-termination by lexicographic-order

lemma  $\text{supp-transform}: \text{supp} (\text{transform } a e) \subseteq \text{supp } e$ 
proof-
  note  $\text{PropApp-eqvt}[\text{eqvt-raw}] \text{ PropLam-eqvt}[\text{eqvt-raw}] \text{ PropLetBody-eqvt}[\text{eqvt-raw}] \text{ AnalLet-eqvt}[\text{eqvt-raw}]$ 
 $\text{PropLetHeap-eqvt}[\text{eqvt-raw}] \text{ TransVar-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}] \text{ TransApp-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}] \text{ TransLam-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}] \text{ TransLet-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}]$ 
  note  $\text{transform.eqvt}[\text{eqvt}]$ 
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule  $\text{supp-fun-app-eqvt}$ )
    apply (rule  $\text{eqvtI}$ )
    apply  $\text{perm-simp}$ 
    apply (rule  $\text{reflexive}$ )
    done
  qed

lemma  $\text{fv-transform}: \text{fv} (\text{transform } a e) \subseteq \text{fv } e$ 
  unfolding  $\text{fv-def}$  by (auto dest:  $\text{subsetD}[\text{OF supp-transform}]$ )

end

locale  $\text{AbstractTransformSubst} = \text{AbstractTransform} + \text{AbstractAnalPropSubst} +$ 
assumes  $\text{TransVar-subst}: (\text{TransVar } a v)[x := y] = (\text{TransVar } a v[x := y])$ 
assumes  $\text{TransApp-subst}: (\text{TransApp } a e v)[x := y] = (\text{TransApp } a e[x := y] v[x := y])$ 
assumes  $\text{TransLam-subst}: \text{atom } v \# (x, y) \implies (\text{TransLam } a v e)[x := y] = (\text{TransLam } a v[x := y] e[x := y])$ 
assumes  $\text{TransLet-subst}: \text{atom } \cdot \text{domA } \Gamma \#*(x, y) \implies (\text{TransLet } b \Gamma e)[x := y] = (\text{TransLet } b \Gamma[x := y] e[x := y])$ 
begin
  lemma  $\text{subst-transform}: (\text{transform } a e)[x := y] = \text{transform } a e[x := y]$ 
  proof (nominal-induct  $e$  avoiding:  $x y$  arbitrary:  $a$  rule: exp-strong-induct-set)
    case (Let  $\Delta$  body  $x y$ )
      hence  $*: x \notin \text{domA } \Delta \Delta y \notin \text{domA } \Delta$  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-at-base)
      hence  $\text{AnalLet } \Delta[x := y] \text{ body}[x := y] a = \text{AnalLet } \Delta \text{ body } a$  by (rule  $\text{AnalLet-subst}$ )
      with Let

```

```

show ?case
apply (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair TransLet-subst simp del: Let-eq-iff)
apply (rule fun-cong[OF arg-cong[where f = TransLet b for b]])
apply (rule subst-map-transform)
apply simp
done
qed (simp-all add: TransVar-subst TransApp-subst TransLam-subst)
end

locale AbstractTransformBound = AbstractAnalProp + supp-bounded-transform +
constrains PropApp :: 'a ⇒ 'a::pure-cont-pt
constrains PropLetHeap :: 'b::cont-pt ⇒ var ⇒ 'a⊥
constrains trans :: 'c::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
fixes PropLetHeapTrans :: 'b ⇒ var ⇒ 'c⊥
assumes PropLetHeapTrans-eqvt: π • PropLetHeapTrans = PropLetHeapTrans
assumes TransBound-eqvt: π • trans = trans
begin
sublocale AbstractTransform PropApp PropLam AnalLet PropLetBody PropLetHeap PropIf-
Scrut
  (λ a. Var)
  (λ a. App)
  (λ a. Terms.Lam)
  (λ b Γ e . Let (map-transform trans (PropLetHeapTrans b) Γ) e)
proof goal-cases
  case 1
  note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
  PropIfScrut-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
    AnalLet-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeapTrans-eqvt[eqvt] TransBound-eqvt[eqvt]
  show ?case
    apply standard
    apply ((perm-simp, rule)+)[4]
    apply (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp supp-at-base)[1]
    apply (auto simp add: Let-supp supp-Pair supp-at-base dest: subsetD[OF supp-map-transform])[1]
    done
qed

lemma isLam-transform[simp]:
  isLam (transform a e) ←→ isLam e
  by (induction e rule:isLam.induct) auto

lemma isVal-transform[simp]:
  isVal (transform a e) ←→ isVal e
  by (induction e rule:isLam.induct) auto

end

locale AbstractTransformBoundSubst = AbstractAnalPropSubst + AbstractTransformBound +

```

```

assumes TransBound-subst:  $(\text{trans } a \ e)[x:=y] = \text{trans } a \ e[x:=y]$ 
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformSubst PropApp PropLam AnalLet PropLetBody PropLetHeap PropIf-
  Scrut
     $(\lambda a. \text{Var})$ 
     $(\lambda a. \text{App})$ 
     $(\lambda a. \text{Terms.Lam})$ 
     $(\lambda b \Gamma e . \text{Let } (\text{map-transform} \text{ trans } (\text{PropLetHeapTrans } b) \Gamma) e)$ 
  proof goal-cases
    case 1
    note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
    PropIfScrut-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
    TransBound-eqvt[eqvt]
    show ?case
      apply standard
      apply simp-all[3]
      apply (simp del: Let-eq-iff)
      apply (rule arg-cong[where  $f = \lambda x. \text{Let } x y \text{ for } y$ ])
      apply (rule subst-map-transform)
      apply (simp add: TransBound-subst)
      done
    qed
  end

end

```

## 6.2 ArityTransform

```

theory ArityTransform
imports ArityAnalysisSig AbstractTransform ArityEtaExpansionSafe
begin

context ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformBound
     $\lambda a . \text{inc}\cdot a$ 
     $\lambda a . \text{pred}\cdot a$ 
     $\lambda \Delta e a . (a, \text{Aheap } \Delta e \cdot a)$ 
    fst
    snd
     $\lambda \_. 0$ 
    Aeta-expand
    snd
  apply standard
  apply (((rule eq-reflection)?, perm-simp, rule)+)
  done

abbreviation transform-syn ( $\mathcal{T}_\cdot$ ) where  $\mathcal{T}_a \equiv \text{transform } a$ 

```

```

lemma transform-simps:
   $\mathcal{T}_a(\text{App } e \ x) = \text{App } (\mathcal{T}_{\text{inc}\cdot a} \ e) \ x$ 
   $\mathcal{T}_a(\text{Lam } [x]. \ e) = \text{Lam } [x]. \ \mathcal{T}_{\text{pred}\cdot a} \ e$ 
   $\mathcal{T}_a(\text{Var } x) = \text{Var } x$ 
   $\mathcal{T}_a(\text{Let } \Gamma \ e) = \text{Let } (\text{map-transform Aeta-expand } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ (\text{map-transform } (\lambda a. \ \mathcal{T}_a)(\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ \Gamma)) \ (\mathcal{T}_a \ e)$ 
   $\mathcal{T}_a(\text{Bool } b) = \text{Bool } b$ 
   $\mathcal{T}_a(\text{scrut? } e1 : e2) = (\mathcal{T}_0 \text{ scrut? } \mathcal{T}_a \ e1 : \mathcal{T}_a \ e2)$ 
  by simp-all
end

end

```

## 7 Arity Analysis Safety (without Cardinality)

### 7.1 ArityConsistent

```

theory ArityConsistent
imports ArityAnalysisSpec ArityStack ArityAnalysisStack
begin

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin

type-synonym astate = (AEnv × Arity × Arity list)

inductive stack-consistent :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ bool
  where
    stack-consistent [] []
    | Astack S ⊑ a ⇒ stack-consistent as S ⇒ stack-consistent (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S)
    | stack-consistent as S ⇒ stack-consistent as (Upd x # S)
    | stack-consistent as S ⇒ stack-consistent as (Arg x # S)
  inductive-simps stack-consistent-foo[simp]:
    stack-consistent [] [] stack-consistent (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) stack-consistent as (Upd x # S) stack-consistent as (Arg x # S)
  inductive-cases [elim!]: stack-consistent as (Alts e1 e2 # S)

  inductive a-consistent :: astate ⇒ conf ⇒ bool where
    a-consistentI:
      edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S
      ⇒ Astack S ⊑ a
      ⇒ (ABinds Γ·ae ⊎ Aexp e·a ⊎ AEstack as S) f|` (domA Γ ∪ upds S) ⊑ ae
      ⇒ stack-consistent as S
      ⇒ a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)
  inductive-cases a-consistentE: a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)

```

```

lemma a-consistent-restrictA:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)
  assumes edom ae  $\subseteq$  V
  shows a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA V  $\Gamma$ , e, S)
proof-
  have domA  $\Gamma \cap V \cup \text{upds } S \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$  by auto
  note * = below-trans[OF env-restr-mono2[OF this]]
  show a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA V  $\Gamma$ , e, S)
    using assms
    by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps env-restr-join join-below-iff ABinds-restrict-edom
         intro: * below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF ABinds-restrict-below]])
qed

lemma a-consistent-edom-subsetD:
  a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\implies$  edom ae  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ 
  by (rule a-consistentE)

lemma a-consistent-stackD:
  a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\implies$  Astack S  $\sqsubseteq$  a
  by (rule a-consistentE)

lemma a-consistent-app1:
  a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , App e x, S)  $\implies$  a-consistent (ae, inc·a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Arg x # S)
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps
        dest!: below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF Aexp-App]]
        elim: below-trans)

lemma a-consistent-app2:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , (Lam [y]. e), Arg x # S)
  shows a-consistent (ae, (pred·a), as) ( $\Gamma$ , e[y:=x], S)
proof-
  have Aexp (e[y:=x])·(pred·a) f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  (env-delete y ((Aexp e)·(pred·a))  $\sqcup$ 
  esing x·(up·0)) f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ ) by (rule env-restr-mono[OF Aexp-subst])
  also have ... = env-delete y ((Aexp e)·(pred·a)) f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ )  $\sqcup$  esing x·(up·0) f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ ) by (simp add: env-restr-join)
  also have env-delete y ((Aexp e)·(pred·a))  $\sqsubseteq$  Aexp (Lam [y]. e)·a by (rule Aexp-Lam)
  also have ... f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  ae using assms by (auto simp add: join-below-iff
  env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
  also have esing x·(up·0) f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  ae using assms
  by (cases x $\in$ edom ae) (auto simp add: env-restr-join join-below-iff a-consistent.simps)
  also have ae  $\sqcup$  ae = ae by simp
  finally
  have Aexp (e[y:=x])·(pred·a) f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  ae by this simp-all
  thus ?thesis using assms
  by (auto elim: below-trans edom-mono simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
qed

```

```

lemma a-consistent-thunk-0:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Var  $x$ ,  $S$ )
  assumes map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ 
  assumes ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot 0$ 
  shows a-consistent (ae, 0, as) (delete  $x \Gamma$ ,  $e$ , Upd  $x \# S$ )
proof-
  from assms(2)
  have [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$  by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)

  from assms(3)
  have [simp]:  $x \in \text{edom ae}$  by (auto simp add: edom-def)

  have  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$  by (metis assms(2) domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
  hence [simp]: insert  $x (\text{domA } \Gamma - \{x\} \cup \text{upds } S) = (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)$ 
    by auto

  from Abinds-reorder1[OF map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ ] <ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot 0x \Gamma$ )·ae  $\sqcup$  Aexp  $e\cdot 0 = \text{ABinds } \Gamma \cdot ae$  by (auto intro: join-comm)
  moreover have (...  $\sqcup$  AEstack as  $S$ )  $f|` (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$ 
    using assms(1) by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
  ultimately have ((ABinds (delete  $x \Gamma$ ))·ae  $\sqcup$  Aexp  $e\cdot 0 \sqcup$  AEstack as  $S$ )  $f|` (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$  by simp
  then
  show ?thesis
    using <ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot 0$ > assms(1)
    by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
qed

lemma a-consistent-thunk-once:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Var  $x$ ,  $S$ )
  assumes map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ 
  assumes [simp]: ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot u$ 
  assumes heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ ,  $S$ )
  shows a-consistent (env-delete  $x$  ae,  $u$ , as) (delete  $x \Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )
proof-
  from assms(2)
  have [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$  by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)

  from assms(1) have Aexp (Var  $x$ )· $a f|` (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
  from fun-belowD[where  $x = x$ , OF this]
  have (Aexp (Var  $x$ )· $a$ )  $x \sqsubseteq \text{up}\cdot u$  by simp
  from below-trans[OF Aexp-Var this]
  have  $a \sqsubseteq u$  by simp

  from <heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ ,  $S$ )>
  have  $x \notin \text{upds } S$  by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps elim!: heap-upds-okE)
  hence [simp]:  $(-\{x\} \cap (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)) = (\text{domA } \Gamma - \{x\} \cup \text{upds } S)$  by auto

```

```

have Astack S ⊑ u using assms(1) ⟨a ⊑ u⟩
  by (auto elim: below-trans simp add: a-consistent.simps)

from Abinds-reorder1[OF ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩] ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
have ABinds (delete x Γ)·ae ⊑ Aexp e·u = ABinds Γ·ae by (auto intro: join-comm)
moreover
have (... ⊑ AEstack as S) f|‘ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) ⊑ ae
  using assms(1) by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
ultimately
have ((ABinds (delete x Γ))·ae ⊑ Aexp e·u ⊑ AEstack as S) f|‘ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) ⊑ ae by
simp
hence ((ABinds (delete x Γ))·(env-delete x ae) ⊑ Aexp e·u ⊑ AEstack as S) f|‘ (domA Γ ∪
upds S) ⊑ ae
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join elim: below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF
monofun-cfun-arg[OF env-delete-below-arg]]])
hence env-delete x (((ABinds (delete x Γ))·(env-delete x ae) ⊑ Aexp e·u ⊑ AEstack as S) f|‘
(domA Γ ∪ upds S)) ⊑ env-delete x ae
  by (rule env-delete-mono)
hence (((ABinds (delete x Γ))·(env-delete x ae) ⊑ Aexp e·u ⊑ AEstack as S) f|‘ (domA (delete
x Γ) ∪ upds S)) ⊑ env-delete x ae
  by (simp add: env-delete-restr)
then
show ?thesis
  using ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ ⟨Astack S ⊑ u⟩ assms(1)
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps elim : below-trans)
qed

lemma a-consistent-lamvar:
assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, Var x, S)
assumes map-of Γ x = Some e
assumes [simp]: ae x = up·u
shows a-consistent (ae, u, as) ((x,e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
proof-
  have [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ by (metis assms(2) domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
  have [simp]: insert x (domA Γ ∪ upds S) = (domA Γ ∪ upds S)
    by auto

  from assms(1) have Aexp (Var x)·a f|‘ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) ⊑ ae by (auto simp add:
join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
  from fun-belowD[where x = x, OF this]
  have (Aexp (Var x)·a) x ⊑ up·u by simp
  from below-trans[OF Aexp-Var this]
  have a ⊑ u by simp

  have Astack S ⊑ u using assms(1) ⟨a ⊑ u⟩
    by (auto elim: below-trans simp add: a-consistent.simps)

from Abinds-reorder1[OF ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩] ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
have ABinds ((x,e) # delete x Γ)·ae ⊑ Aexp e·u = ABinds Γ·ae by (auto intro: join-comm)

```

```

moreover
have ( $\dots \sqcup A\text{Estack as } S$ )  $f|`(\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$ 
  using assms(1) by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
ultimately
have (( $A\text{Binds } ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma)$ ) · ae  $\sqcup A\text{exp } e \cdot u \sqcup A\text{Estack as } S$ )  $f|`(\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)$ 
 $\sqsubseteq ae$  by simp
then
show ?thesis
  using ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ ⟨Astack S ⊑ u⟩ assms(1)
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
qed

```

```

lemma
assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S$ )
shows a-consistent-var2: a-consistent (ae, a, as) ((x, e) #  $\Gamma, e, S$ )
  and a-consistent-UpdD: ae x = up·0a = 0
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps
    elim:below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF ABinds-delete-below]])

```

```

lemma a-consistent-let:
assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta, e, S$ )
assumes atom ` domA  $\Delta \sharp* \Gamma$ 
assumes atom ` domA  $\Delta \sharp* S$ 
assumes edom ae ∩ domA  $\Delta = \{\}$ 
shows a-consistent (Aheap  $\Delta \cdot a \sqcup ae, a, as$ ) ( $\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S$ )
proof-

```

First some boring stuff about scope:

```

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge S. S \subseteq \text{domA } \Delta \implies ae f|`S = \perp$  using assms(4) by auto
have [simp]:  $A\text{Binds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \cdot a \sqcup ae) = A\text{Binds } \Delta \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \cdot a)$ 
  by (rule Abinds-env-restr-cong) (simp add: env-restr-join)

have [simp]:  $A\text{heap } \Delta \cdot a f|` \text{domA } \Gamma = \perp$ 
  using fresh-distinct[OF assms(2)]
  by (auto intro: env-restr-empty dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])

have [simp]:  $A\text{Binds } \Gamma \cdot (A\text{heap } \Delta \cdot a \sqcup ae) = A\text{Binds } \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
  by (rule Abinds-env-restr-cong) (simp add: env-restr-join)

have [simp]:  $A\text{Binds } \Gamma \cdot ae f|` (\text{domA } \Delta \cup \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) = A\text{Binds } \Gamma \cdot ae f|` (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)$ 
  using fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(2)]
  by (auto intro: env-restr-cong dest!: subsetD[OF edom-AnalBinds])

have [simp]:  $A\text{Estack as } S f|` (\text{domA } \Delta \cup \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) = A\text{Estack as } S f|` (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)$ 
  using fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(3)]
  by (auto intro: env-restr-cong dest!: subsetD[OF edom-AEstack])

```

```

have [simp]:  $Aexp(\text{Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a \ f \mid^c (\text{domA } \Delta \cup \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) = Aexp(\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a \ f \mid^c (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)$ 
  by (rule env-restr-cong) (auto dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])

have [simp]:  $Aheap(\Delta \ e \cdot a \ f) \mid^c (\text{domA } \Delta \cup \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) = Aheap(\Delta \ e \cdot a \ f)$ 
  by (rule env-restr-useless) (auto dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])

have  $((ABinds \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqcup AEstack \ as \ S) \ f \mid^c (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$  using assms(1) by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)
moreover
  have  $Aexp(\text{Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a \ f \mid^c (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$  using assms(1) by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)
moreover
  have  $ABinds \Delta \cdot (Aheap(\Delta \ e \cdot a) \sqcup Aexp(e \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq Aheap(\Delta \ e \cdot a) \sqcup Aexp(\text{Let } \Delta \ e) \cdot a$  by (rule Aexp-Let)
ultimately
  have  $(ABinds(\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot (Aheap(\Delta \ e \cdot a) \sqcup ae) \sqcup Aexp(e \cdot a) \sqcup AEstack \ as \ S) \ f \mid^c (\text{domA } (\Delta @ \Gamma) \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq Aheap(\Delta \ e \cdot a) \sqcup ae$ 
    by (auto 4 4 simp add: env-restr-join Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms(2)]] join-below-iff
      simp del: join-comm
      elim: below-trans below-trans[OF env-restr-mono])
moreover
  note fresh-distinct[OF assms(2)]
moreover
  from fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(3)]
  have  $\text{domA } \Delta \cap \text{upds } S = \{\}$  by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF upds-fv-subset])
ultimately
  show ?thesis using assms(1)
    by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap] intro: heap-upds-ok-append)
qed

```

```

lemma a-consistent-if1:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut ? e1 : e2, S)
  shows a-consistent (ae, 0, a#as) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
proof-
  from assms
  have  $Aexp(\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a \ f \mid^c (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$  by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps env-restr-join join-below-iff)
  hence  $(Aexp(\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a \ f \mid^c (\text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae)$ 
    by (rule below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse]])
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)
qed

```

```

lemma a-consistent-if2:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, a'#as') ( $\Gamma$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S)

```

```

shows a-consistent (ae, a', as') ( $\Gamma$ , if  $b$  then  $e1$  else  $e2$ ,  $S$ )
using assms by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)

lemma a-consistent-alts-on-stack:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Bool  $b$ , Alts  $e1 e2 \# S$ )
  obtains a' as' where as = a' # as' a = 0
  using assms by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps)

lemma closed-a-consistent:
  fv  $e = (\{\}::var\ set) \implies$  a-consistent ( $\perp, 0, []$ ) ( $[], e, []$ )
  by (auto simp add: edom-empty-iff-bot a-consistent.simps dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])

end

end

```

## 7.2 ArityTransformSafe

```

theory ArityTransformSafe
imports ArityTransform ArityConsistent ArityAnalysisSpec ArityEtaExpansionSafe Abstract-
Transform ConstOn
begin

locale CardinalityArityTransformation = ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard
begin

sublocale AbstractTransformBoundSubst
  λ a . inc·a
  λ a . pred·a
  λ  $\Delta$  e a . (a, Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a)
  fst
  snd
  λ -. 0
  Aeta-expand
  snd
apply standard
apply (simp add: Aheap-subst)
apply (rule subst-Aeta-expand)
done

abbreviation ccTransform where ccTransform ≡ transform

lemma supp-transform: supp (transform a e) ⊆ supp e
  by (induction rule: transform.induct)
    (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp Let-supp dest!: subsetD[OF supp-map-transform] subsetD[OF
supp-map-transform-step] )

interpretation supp-bounded-transform transform
  by standard (auto simp add: fresh-def supp-transform)

fun transform-alts :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ stack

```

```

where
  transform-alts - [] = []
  | transform-alts (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Alts (ccTransform a e1) (ccTransform a e2))
# transform-alts as S
  | transform-alts as (x # S) = x # transform-alts as S

lemma transform-alts-Nil[simp]: transform-alts [] S = S
  by (induction S) auto

lemma Astack-transform-alts[simp]:
  Astack (transform-alts as S) = Astack S
  by (induction rule: transform-alts.induct) auto

lemma fresh-star-transform-alts[intro]: a #* S ==> a #* transform-alts as S
  by (induction as S rule: transform-alts.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons)

fun a-transform :: astate => conf => conf
where a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S) =
  (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae Γ),
  ccTransform a e,
  transform-alts as S)

fun restr-conf :: var set => conf => conf
  where restr-conf V (Γ, e, S) = (restrictA V Γ, e, restr-stack V S)

inductive consistent :: astate => conf => bool where
  consistentI[intro!]:
    a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)
    ==> (Λ x. x ∈ thunks Γ ==> ae x = up·0)
    ==> consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)
inductive-cases consistentE[elim!]: consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)

lemma closed-consistent:
  assumes fv e = ({ }::var set)
  shows consistent (⊥, 0, []) ([] , e, [])
  by (auto simp add: edom-empty-iff-bot closed-a-consistent[OF assms])

lemma arity-transform-safe:
  fixes c c'
  assumes c =>* c' and ¬ boring-step c' and heap-upds-ok-conf c and consistent (ae,a,as)
  c
  shows ∃ ae' a' as'. consistent (ae',a',as') c' ∧ a-transform (ae,a,as) c =>* a-transform
  (ae',a',as') c'
  using assms(1,2) heap-upds-ok-invariant assms(3-)
  proof(induction c c' arbitrary: ae a as rule:step-invariant-induction)
  case (app1 Γ e x S)
    from app1 have consistent (ae, inc·a, as) (Γ, e, Arg x # S)
      by (auto intro: a-consistent-app1)
    moreover

```

```

have a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , App e x, S)  $\Rightarrow$  a-transform (ae, inc·a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Arg x # S)
  by simp rule
ultimately
  show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (app2  $\Gamma$  y e x S)
  have consistent (ae, pred·a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e[y:=x], S) using app2
    by (auto 4 3 intro: a-consistent-app2)
  moreover
    have a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S)  $\Rightarrow$  a-transform (ae, pred · a, as)
    ( $\Gamma$ , e[y:=x], S) by (simp add: subst-transform[symmetric]) rule
    ultimately
      show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (thunk  $\Gamma$  x e S)
  hence  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$  by auto
  hence [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$  by (rule subsetD[OF thunks-domA])

from <heap-upds-ok-conf ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)>
have  $x \notin \text{upds } S$  by (auto dest!: heap-upds-okE)

have  $x \in \text{edom ae}$  using thunk by auto
have ae x = up·0 using thunk < $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$ > by (auto)

have a-consistent (ae, 0, as) (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S) using thunk <ae x = up·0>
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-thunk-0 simp del: restr-delete)
hence consistent (ae, 0, as) (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S) using thunk <ae x = up·0>
  by (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist)
moreover

from <map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e> <ae x = up·0>
have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae  $\Gamma$ )) x = Some
  (transform 0 e)
  by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
  with < $\neg$  isVal e>
  have a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)  $\Rightarrow$  a-transform (ae, 0, as) (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x
  # S)
    by (auto simp add: map-transform-delete restr-delete-twist intro!: step.intros simp del:
  restr-delete)
  ultimately
    show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (lamvar  $\Gamma$  x e S)
  from lamvar(1) have [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$  by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)

have up·a  $\sqsubseteq$  (Aexp (Var x)·a f|` (domA  $\Gamma$   $\cup$  upds S)) x
  by (simp) (rule Aexp-Var)
  also from lamvar have Aexp (Var x)·a f|` (domA  $\Gamma$   $\cup$  upds S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ae by (auto simp add:

```

```

join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
  finally
    obtain u where ae x = up·u by (cases ae x) (auto simp add: edom-def)
    hence x ∈ edom ae by (auto simp add: edomIff)

  have a-consistent (ae, u, as) ((x,e) # delete x Γ, e, S) using lamvar ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
    by (auto intro!: a-consistent-lamvar simp del: restr-delete)
  hence consistent (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
    using lamvar by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons restr-delete-twist elim: below-trans)
  moreover

  from ⟨a-consistent - ->
  have Astack (transform-alts as S) ⊑ u by (auto elim: a-consistent-stackD)

  {
  from ⟨isValid e⟩
  have isValid (transform u e) by simp
  hence isValid (Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) by (rule isValid-Aeta-expand)
  moreover
    from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up · u⟩ ⟨isValid (transform u e)⟩
    have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae Γ)) x = Some
      (Aeta-expand u (transform u e))
      by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
    ultimately
      have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒*
        (((x, Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) # delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae
        (map-transform transform ae Γ)), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as S)
        by (auto intro: lambda-var simp del: restr-delete)
      also have ... = ((map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae ((x,e) #
        delete x Γ))), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as S)
        using ⟨ae x = up · u⟩ ⟨isValid (transform u e)⟩
        by (simp add: map-transform-Cons map-transform-delete del: restr-delete)
      also(subst[rotated]) have ... ⇒* a-transform (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
        by (simp add: restr-delete-twist) (rule Aeta-expand-safe[OF ⟨Astack - ⊑ u⟩])
      finally(rtranclp-trans)
        have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒* a-transform (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x Γ,
        e, S).
      }
    ultimately show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
  next
  case (var₂ Γ x e S)
    from var₂
    have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) by auto
    from a-consistent-UpdD[OF this]
    have ae x = up·0 and a = 0.

    have a-consistent (ae, a, as) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
      using var₂ by (auto intro!: a-consistent-var₂)
    hence consistent (ae, 0, as) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)

```

```

using var2 ‹a = 0›
  by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons elim: below-trans)
moreover
  have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒ a-transform (ae, 0, as) ((x, e) # Γ, e,
S)
    using ‹ae x = up·0› ‹a = 0› var2
    by (auto intro!: step.intros simp add: map-transform-Cons)
  ultimately show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case (let1 Δ e S)
  let ?ae = Aheap Δ e·a

  have domA Δ ∩ upds S = {} using fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)] by (auto dest: subsetD[OF
ups-fv-subset])
  hence *: ⋀ x. x ∈ upds S ⇒ x ∉ edom ?ae by (auto simp add: dest!: subsetD[OF
edom-Aheap])
  have restr-stack-simp2: restr-stack (edom (?ae ⊔ ae)) S = restr-stack (edom ae) S
    by (auto intro: restr-stack-cong dest!: *)

  have edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S using let1 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-edom-subsetD)
  from subsetD[OF this] fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
  have edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {} by (auto dest: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])

  {
  { fix x e'
    assume x ∈ thunks Γ
    with let1
    have (?ae ⊔ ae) x = up·0 by auto
  }
  moreover
  { fix x e'
    assume x ∈ thunks Δ
    hence (?ae ⊔ ae) x = up·0 by (auto simp add: Aheap-heap3)
  }
  moreover

  have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, Let Δ e, S)
    using let1 by auto
  hence a-consistent (?ae ⊔ ae, a, as) (Δ @ Γ, e, S)
    using let1(1,2) ‹edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {}›
    by (auto intro!: a-consistent-let simp del: join-comm)
  ultimately
  have consistent (?ae ⊔ ae, a, as) (Δ @ Γ, e, S)
    by auto
  }
  moreover
  {
    have ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Γ ⇒ x ∉ edom ?ae
    using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
  }
}

```

```

by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae ⊢ ae) (map-transform transform (?ae ⊢ ae) Γ)
  = map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae Γ)
  by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
moreover

from ‹edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S›
have ‹ x. x ∈ domA Δ ⇒ x ∉ edom ae
  using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
  by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])
hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae ⊢ ae) (map-transform transform (?ae ⊢ ae) Δ)
  = map-transform Aeta-expand ?ae (map-transform transform ?ae Δ)
  by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
ultimately

have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⇒ a-transform (?ae ⊢ ae, a, as) (Δ @ Γ, e,
S)
  using restr-stack-simp2 let1(1,2)
  apply (auto simp add: map-transform-append restrictA-append restr-stack-simp2[simplified]
map-transform-restrA)
  apply (rule step.let1)
  apply (auto dest: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
  done
}

ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (if1 Γ scrut e1 e2 S)
have consistent (ae, 0, a#as) (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
  using if1 by (auto dest: a-consistent-if1)
moreover
have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) ⇒ a-transform (ae, 0, a#as) (Γ, scrut,
Alts e1 e2 # S)
  by (auto intro: step.intros)
ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (if2 Γ b e1 e2 S)
hence a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) by auto
then obtain a' as' where [simp]: as = a' # as' a = 0
  by (rule a-consistent-alts-on-stack)

have consistent (ae, a', as') (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S)
  using if2 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-if2)
moreover
have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⇒ a-transform (ae, a', as') (Γ, if
b then e1 else e2, S)
  by (auto intro: step.if2[where b = True, simplified] step.if2[where b = False, simplified])

```

```

ultimately
  show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case refl thus ?case by auto
next
  case (trans c c' c'')
    from trans(3)[OF trans(5)]
    obtain ae' a' as' where consistent (ae', a', as') c' and **: a-transform (ae, a, as) c =>*
      a-transform (ae', a', as') c' by blast
    from trans(4)[OF this(1)]
    obtain ae'' a'' as'' where consistent (ae'', a'', as'') c'' and **: a-transform (ae', a', as')
      c' =>* a-transform (ae'', a'', as'') c'' by blast
    from this(1) rtranclp-trans[OF **]
    show ?case by blast
qed
end
end

```

## 8 Cardinality Analysis

### 8.1 Cardinality-Domain

```

theory Cardinality-Domain
imports Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils
begin

type-synonym oneShot = one
abbreviation notOneShot :: oneShot where notOneShot ≡ ONE
abbreviation oneShot :: oneShot where oneShot ≡ ⊥

type-synonym two = oneShot_⊥
abbreviation many :: two where many ≡ up·notOneShot
abbreviation once :: two where once ≡ up·oneShot
abbreviation none :: two where none ≡ ⊥

lemma many-max[simp]: a ⊑ many by (cases a) auto

lemma two-conj: c = many ∨ c = once ∨ c = none by (metis Exh-Up one-neq-iffs(1))

lemma two-cases[case-names many once none]:
  obtains c = many | c = once | c = none using two-conj by metis

definition two-pred where two-pred = (Λ x. if x ⊑ once then ⊥ else x)

lemma two-pred-simp: two-pred·c = (if c ⊑ once then ⊥ else c)
  unfolding two-pred-def
  apply (rule beta-cfun)

```

```

apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply (auto elim: below-trans)
done

lemma two-pred-simps[simp]:
  two-pred·many = many
  two-pred·once = none
  two-pred·none = none
by (simp-all add: two-pred-simp)

lemma two-pred-below-arg: two-pred · f ⊑ f
  by (auto simp add: two-pred-simp)

lemma two-pred-none: two-pred·c = none ↔ c ⊑ once
  by (auto simp add: two-pred-simp)

definition record-call where record-call x = (Λ ce. (λ y. if x = y then two-pred·(ce y) else ce y))

lemma record-call-simp: (record-call x · f) x' = (if x = x' then two-pred · (f x') else f x')
  unfolding record-call-def by auto

lemma record-call[simp]: (record-call x · f) x = two-pred · (f x)
  unfolding record-call-simp by auto

lemma record-call-other[simp]: x' ≠ x ==> (record-call x · f) x' = f x'
  unfolding record-call-simp by auto

lemma record-call-below-arg: record-call x · f ⊑ f
  unfolding record-call-def
  by (auto intro!: fun-belowI two-pred-below-arg)

definition two-add :: two → two → two
  where two-add = (Λ x. (Λ y. if x ⊑ ⊥ then y else (if y ⊑ ⊥ then x else many)))

lemma two-add-simp: two-add·x·y = (if x ⊑ ⊥ then y else (if y ⊑ ⊥ then x else many))
  unfolding two-add-def
  apply (subst beta-cfun)
  apply (rule cont2cont)
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply (auto elim: below-trans)[1]
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply (auto elim: below-trans)[8]
  apply (rule beta-cfun)
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply (auto elim: below-trans)[1]
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply auto
done

```

```

lemma two-pred-two-add-once:  $c \sqsubseteq \text{two-pred} \cdot (\text{two-add} \cdot \text{once} \cdot c)$ 
  by (cases c rule: two-cases) (auto simp add: two-add-simp)

```

```
end
```

## 8.2 CardinalityAnalysisSig

```

theory CardinalityAnalysisSig
imports Arity AEnv Cardinality-Domain SestoftConf
begin

locale CardinalityPrognosis =
  fixes prognosis :: AEnv ⇒ Arity list ⇒ Arity ⇒ conf ⇒ (var ⇒ two)

locale CardinalityHeap =
  fixes cHeap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → (var ⇒ two)
end

```

## 8.3 CardinalityAnalysisSpec

```

theory CardinalityAnalysisSpec
imports ArityAnalysisSpec CardinalityAnalysisSig ConstOn
begin

locale CardinalityPrognosisEdom = CardinalityPrognosis +
  assumes edom-prognosis:
     $\text{edom}(\text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, e, S)) \subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma \cup \text{fv } e \cup \text{fv } S$ 

locale CardinalityPrognosisShape = CardinalityPrognosis +
  assumes prognosis-env-cong:  $\text{ae } f \mid^{\text{`domA}} \Gamma = \text{ae}' f \mid^{\text{`domA}} \Gamma \implies \text{prognosis ae as u } (\Gamma, e, S) = \text{prognosis ae' as u } (\Gamma, e, S)$ 
  assumes prognosis-reorder:  $\text{map-of } \Gamma = \text{map-of } \Delta \implies \text{prognosis ae as u } (\Gamma, e, S) = \text{prognosis ae as u } (\Delta, e, S)$ 
  assumes prognosis-ap:  $\text{const-on } (\text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, e, S)) \text{ (ap } S\text{) many}$ 
  assumes prognosis-upd:  $\text{prognosis ae as u } (\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as u } (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
  assumes prognosis-not-called:  $\text{ae } x = \perp \implies \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as a } (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$ 
  assumes prognosis-called:  $\text{once} \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \text{ } x$ 

locale CardinalityPrognosisApp = CardinalityPrognosis +
  assumes prognosis-App:  $\text{prognosis ae as (inc}\cdot a\text{)} (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{App } e x, S)$ 

locale CardinalityPrognosisLam = CardinalityPrognosis +

```

```

assumes prognosis-subst-Lam: prognosis ae as (pred·a) ( $\Gamma, e[y:=x], S \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as } a$ )  

( $\Gamma, \text{Lam } [y]. e, \text{Arg } x \# S$ )
locale CardinalityPrognosisVar = CardinalityPrognosis +
assumes prognosis-Var-lam: map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e \implies \text{ae } x = \text{up}\cdot u \implies \text{isVal } e \implies \text{prognosis}$   

 $\text{ae as } u (\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{prognosis ae as } a (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S))$ 
assumes prognosis-Var-thunk: map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e \implies \text{ae } x = \text{up}\cdot u \implies \neg \text{isVal } e \implies$   

 $\text{prognosis ae as } u (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S) \sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x \cdot (\text{prognosis ae as } a (\Gamma, \text{Var } x,$   

 $S))$ 
assumes prognosis-Var2: isVal  $e \implies x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{prognosis ae as } 0 ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$   

 $\sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as } 0 (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 

locale CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse = CardinalityPrognosis +
assumes prognosis-IfThenElse: prognosis ae (a#as) 0 ( $\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e1\ e2 \# S \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis}$   

 $\text{ae as } a (\Gamma, \text{scrut ? } e1 : e2, S)$ 
assumes prognosis-Alts: prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae (a#as)}$   

0 ( $\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1\ e2 \# S)$ 

locale CardinalityPrognosisLet = CardinalityPrognosis + CardinalityHeap + ArityAnalysisHeap  

+
assumes prognosis-Let:
atom ` domA  $\Delta \#* \Gamma \implies \text{atom ` domA } \Delta \#* S \implies \text{edom ae} \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S \implies \text{prognosis}$   

(Aheap  $\Delta e\cdot a \sqcup ae) \text{ as } a (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{cHeap } \Delta e\cdot a \sqcup \text{prognosis ae as } a (\Gamma, \text{Terms.Let } \Delta e,$   

 $S)$ 

locale CardinalityHeapSafe = CardinalityHeap + ArityAnalysisHeap +
assumes Aheap-heap3:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies \text{many} \sqsubseteq (\text{cHeap } \Gamma e\cdot a) x \implies (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e\cdot a) x =$   

 $\text{up}\cdot 0$ 
assumes edom-cHeap: edom (cHeap  $\Delta e\cdot a) = \text{edom } (\text{Aheap } \Delta e\cdot a)$ 

locale CardinalityPrognosisSafe =
CardinalityPrognosisEdom +
CardinalityPrognosisShape +
CardinalityPrognosisApp +
CardinalityPrognosisLam +
CardinalityPrognosisVar +
CardinalityPrognosisLet +
CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse +
CardinalityHeapSafe +
ArityAnalysisLetSafe

end

```

## 8.4 NoCardinalityAnalysis

```

theory NoCardinalityAnalysis
imports CardinalityAnalysisSpec ArityAnalysisStack
begin

```

```

locale NoCardinalityAnalysis = ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
  assumes Aheap-thunk:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
begin

definition a2c ::  $\text{Arity}_{\perp} \rightarrow \text{two}$  where  $a2c = (\Lambda a. \text{if } a \sqsubseteq \perp \text{ then } \perp \text{ else } \text{many})$ 
lemma a2c-simp:  $a2c \cdot a = (\text{if } a \sqsubseteq \perp \text{ then } \perp \text{ else } \text{many})$ 
  unfolding a2c-def by (rule beta-cfun[OF cont-if-else-above]) auto

lemma a2c-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot a2c = a2c$ 
  unfolding a2c-def
  apply perm-simp
  apply (rule Abs-cfun-eqvt)
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply auto
done

definition ae2ce ::  $AEnv \Rightarrow (\text{var} \Rightarrow \text{two})$  where  $ae2ce ae x = a2c \cdot (ae x)$ 

lemma ae2ce-cont: cont ae2ce
  by (auto simp add: ae2ce-def)
lemmas cont-compose[OF ae2ce-cont, cont2cont, simp]

lemma ae2ce-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot ae2ce ae x = ae2ce (\pi \cdot ae) (\pi \cdot x)$ 
  unfolding ae2ce-def by perm-simp rule

lemma ae2ce-to-env-restr:  $ae2ce ae = (\lambda \_. \text{many}) f|` \text{edom ae}$ 
  by (auto simp add: ae2ce-def lookup-env-restr-eq edom-def a2c-simp)

lemma edom-ae2ce[simp]:  $\text{edom } (ae2ce ae) = \text{edom } ae$ 
  unfolding edom-def
  by (auto simp add: ae2ce-def a2c-simp)

definition cHeap ::  $heap \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow \text{Arity} \rightarrow (\text{var} \Rightarrow \text{two})$ 
  where  $cHeap \Gamma e = (\Lambda a. ae2ce (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a))$ 
lemma cHeap-simp[simp]:  $cHeap \Gamma e \cdot a = ae2ce (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a)$ 
  unfolding cHeap-def by simp

sublocale CardinalityHeap cHeap.

sublocale CardinalityHeapSafe cHeap Aheap
  apply standard
  apply (erule Aheap-thunk)
  apply simp
done

fun prognosis where
   $\text{prognosis } ae \text{ as } a (\Gamma, e, S) = ((\lambda \_. \text{many}) f|` (\text{edom } (ABinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \cup \text{edom } (Aexp e \cdot a) \cup \text{edom }$ 

```

```

(AEstack as S)))

lemma record-all-noop[simp]:
  record-call x.((λ-. many) f|` S) = (λ-. many) f|` S
  by (auto simp add: record-call-def lookup-env-restr-eq)

lemma const-on-restr-constI[intro]:
  S' ⊆ S ==> const-on ((λ -. x) f|` S) S' x
  by fastforce

lemma ap-subset-edom-AEstack: ap S ⊆ edom (AEstack as S)
  by (induction as S rule: AEstack.induct) (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp)

sublocale CardinalityPrognosis prognosis.

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisShape prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case 1
  thus ?case by (simp cong: Abinds-env-restr-cong)
  next
  case 2
  thus ?case by (simp cong: Abinds-reorder)
  next
  case 3
  thus ?case by (auto dest: subsetD[OF ap-subset-edom-AEstack])
  next
  case 4
  thus ?case by (auto intro: env-restr-mono2)
  next
  case (5 ae x as a Γ e S)
  from ⟨ae x = ⊥⟩
  have ABinds (delete x Γ) · ae = ABinds Γ · ae by (rule ABinds-delete-bot)
  thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (6 ae as a Γ x S)
  from Aexp-Var[where n = a and x = x]
  have (Aexp (Var x) · a) x ≠ ⊥ by auto
  hence x ∈ edom (Aexp (Var x) · a) by (simp add: edomIff)
  thus ?case by simp
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisApp prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case 1
  thus ?case
    using edom-mono[OF Aexp-App] by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
qed

```

```

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLam prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case (1 ae as a Γ e y x S)
    have edom (Aexp e[y:=x].(pred·a)) ⊆ insert x (edom (env-delete y (Aexp e·(pred·a))))
      by (auto dest: subsetD[OF edom-mono[OF Aexp-subst]] )
    also have ... ⊆ insert x (edom (Aexp (Lam [y]. e)·a))
      using edom-mono[OF Aexp-Lam] by auto
    finally show ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisVar prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case prems: 1
    thus ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2 simp add: Abinds-reorder1[OF prems(1)])
  next
    case prems: 2
    thus ?case
      by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2 simp add: Abinds-reorder1[OF prems(1)])
        (metis Aexp-Var edomIff not-up-less-UU)
  next
    case (3 e x Γ ae as S)
    have fup·(Aexp e)·(ae x) ⊑ Aexp e·0 by (cases ae x) (auto intro: monofun-cfun-arg)
    from edom-mono[OF this]
    show ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2 dest: subsetD[OF edom-mono[OF ABinds-delete-below]])
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case (1 ae a as Γ scrut e1 e2 S)
    have edom (Aexp scrut·0 ⊔ Aexp e1·a ⊔ Aexp e2·a) ⊆ edom (Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a)
      by (rule edom-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse])
    thus ?case
      by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
  next
    case (2 ae as a Γ b e1 e2 S)
    show ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLet prognosis cHeap Aheap
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case prems: (1 Δ Γ S ae e a as)

  from subsetD[OF prems(3)] fresh-distinct[OF prems(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF prems(2)]
  have ae f|^ domA Δ = ⊥
    by (auto dest: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])
  hence [simp]: ABinds Δ·(ae ⊔ Aheap Δ e·a) = ABinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a) by (simp cong: ABinds-env-restr-cong add: env-restr-join)

```

```

from fresh-distinct[OF prems(1)]
have Aheap Δ e·a f|` domA Γ = ⊥ by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
hence [simp]: ABinds Γ·(ae ∪ Aheap Δ e·a) = ABinds Γ·ae by (simp cong: Abinds-env-restr-cong
add: env-restr-join)

have edom (ABinds (Δ @ Γ)·(Aheap Δ e·a ∪ ae)) ∪ edom (Aexp e·a) = edom (ABinds
Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) ∪ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aexp e·a)
  by (simp add: Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF prems(1)]] Un-commute)
also have ... = edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (ABinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ Aexp e·a)
  by force
also have ... ⊆ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aheap Δ e·a ∪ Aexp (Let Δ e)·a)
  using edom-mono[OF Aexp-Let] by force
also have ... = edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aexp (Let Δ e)·a)
  by auto
finally
have edom (ABinds (Δ @ Γ)·(Aheap Δ e·a ∪ ae)) ∪ edom (Aexp e·a) ⊆ edom (Aheap Δ e·a)
  ∪ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aexp (Let Δ e)·a).
  hence edom (ABinds (Δ @ Γ)·(Aheap Δ e·a ∪ ae)) ∪ edom (Aexp e·a) ∪ edom (AEstack as
S) ⊆ edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aexp (Let Δ e)·a) ∪ edom (AEstack
as S) by auto
thus ?case by (simp add: ae2ce-to-env-restr env-restr-join2 Un-assoc[symmetric] env-restr-mono2)
qed

```

**sublocale** *CardinalityPrognosisEdom prognosis*  
**by standard** (auto dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom] subsetD[OF ap-fv-subset] subsetD[OF edom-AnalBinds]  
subsetD[OF edom-AEstack])

```

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisSafe prognosis cHeap Aheap Aexp..
end

end

```

## 8.5 CardArityTransformSafe

```

theory CardArityTransformSafe
imports ArityTransform CardinalityAnalysisSpec AbstractTransform Sestoft SestoftGC ArityEta-
ExpansionSafe ArityAnalysisStack ArityConsistent
begin

context CardinalityPrognosisSafe
begin

sublocale AbstractTransformBoundSubst
  λ a . inc·a
  λ a . pred·a
  λ Δ e a . (a, Aheap Δ e·a)
  fst
  snd
  λ -. 0

```

```

Aeta-expand
  snd
apply standard
apply (simp add: Aheap-subst)
apply (rule subst-Aeta-expand)
done

abbreviation ccTransform where ccTransform ≡ transform

lemma supp-transform: supp (transform a e) ⊆ supp e
  by (induction rule: transform.induct)
    (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp Let-supp dest!: subsetD[OF supp-map-transform] subsetD[OF
supp-map-transform-step] )
interpretation supp-bounded-transform transform
  by standard (auto simp add: fresh-def supp-transform)

type-synonym tstate = (AEnv × (var ⇒ two) × Arity × Arity list × var list)

fun transform-alts :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ stack
  where
    transform-alts - [] = []
    | transform-alts (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Alts (ccTransform a e1) (ccTransform a e2))
# transform-alts as S
    | transform-alts as (x # S) = x # transform-alts as S

lemma transform-alts-Nil[simp]: transform-alts [] S = S
  by (induction S) auto

lemma Astack-transform-alts[simp]:
  Astack (transform-alts as S) = Astack S
  by (induction rule: transform-alts.induct) auto

lemma fresh-star-transform-alts[intro]: a #: S ⟹ a #: transform-alts as S
  by (induction as S rule: transform-alts.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons)

fun a-transform :: astate ⇒ conf ⇒ conf
  where a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S) =
    (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae Γ),
     ccTransform a e,
     transform-alts as S)

fun restr-conf :: var set ⇒ conf ⇒ conf
  where restr-conf V (Γ, e, S) = (restrictA V Γ, e, restr-stack V S)

fun add-dummies-conf :: var list ⇒ conf ⇒ conf
  where add-dummies-conf l (Γ, e, S) = (Γ, e, S @ map Dummy (rev l))

fun conf-transform :: tstate ⇒ conf ⇒ conf
  where conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) c = add-dummies-conf r ((a-transform (ae, a, as)

```

```

(restr-conf (- set r) c)))

inductive consistent :: tstate  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  consistentI[intro!]:
    a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restr-conf (- set r) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S))
     $\implies$  edom ae = edom ce
     $\implies$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ce
     $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge$  x.  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies \text{many} \sqsubseteq ce x \implies ae x = \text{up}\cdot 0$ )
     $\implies$  set r  $\subseteq$  (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ ) - edom ce
     $\implies$  consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)
inductive-cases consistentE[elim!]: consistent (ae, ce, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)

lemma closed-consistent:
  assumes fv e = ({::var set})
  shows consistent ( $\perp$ ,  $\perp$ , 0, [], []) ([] , e, [])
proof-
  from assms
  have edom (prognosis  $\perp$  [] 0 ([] , e, [])) = {}
  by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF edom-prognosis])
  thus ?thesis
  by (auto simp add: edom-empty-iff-bot closed-a-consistent[OF assms])
qed

lemma card-arity-transform-safe:
  fixes c c'
  assumes  $c \Rightarrow^* c'$  and  $\neg$  boring-step c' and heap-upds-ok-conf c and consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r)
  c
    shows  $\exists ae' ce' a' as' r'. \text{consistent } (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' \wedge \text{conf-transform } (ae, ce, a, as, r) c \Rightarrow_G^* \text{conf-transform } (ae', ce', a', as', r')$ 
    using assms(1,2) heap-upds-ok-invariant assms(3-)
    proof(induction c c' arbitrary: ae ce a as r rule:step-invariant-induction)
    case (app1  $\Gamma$  e x S)
      have prognosis ae as (inc·a) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Arg x # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , App e x, S) by (rule prognosis-App)
      with app1 have consistent (ae, ce, inc·a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Arg x # S)
        by (auto intro: a-consistent-app1 elim: below-trans)
      moreover
        have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , App e x, S)  $\Rightarrow_G$  conf-transform (ae, ce, inc·a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Arg x # S)
          by simp rule
        ultimately
          show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
    next
    case (app2  $\Gamma$  y e x S)
      have prognosis ae as (pred·a) ( $\Gamma$ , e[y:=x], S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , (Lam [y]. e), Arg x # S)
        by (rule prognosis-subst-Lam)
    then
      have consistent (ae, ce, pred·a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , e[y:=x], S) using app2

```

```

by (auto 4 3 intro: a-consistent-app2 elim: below-trans)
moreover
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae,
ce, pred · a, as, r) (Γ, e[y::=x], S) by (simp add: subst-transform[symmetric]) rule
ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (thunk Γ x e S)
hence x ∈ thunks Γ by auto
hence [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ by (rule subsetD[OF thunks-domA])

from thunk have prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) ⊑ ce by auto
from below-trans[OF prognosis-called fun-belowD[OF this] ]
have [simp]: x ∈ edom ce by (auto simp add: edom-def)
hence [simp]: x ∉ set r using thunk by auto

from <heap-upds-ok-conf (Γ, Var x, S)>
have x ∉ upds S by (auto dest!: heap-upds-oke)

have x ∈ edom ae using thunk by auto
then obtain u where ae x = upd u by (cases ae x) (auto simp add: edom-def)

show ?case
proof(cases ce x rule:two-cases)
case none
with <x ∈ edom ce> have False by (auto simp add: edom-def)
thus ?thesis..
next
case once

from <prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) ⊑ ce>
have prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) x ⊑ once
using once by (metis (mono-tags) fun-belowD)
hence x ∉ ap S using prognosis-ap[of ae as a Γ (Var x) S] by auto

from <map-of Γ x = Some e> <ae x = upd u> <¬ isVal e>
have *: prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a
(Γ, Var x, S))
by (rule prognosis-Var-thunk)

from <prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) x ⊑ once>
have (record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))) x = none
by (simp add: two-pred-none)
hence **: prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) x = none using fun-belowD[OF *,
where x = x] by auto

have eq: prognosis (env-delete x ae) as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) = prognosis ae as u

```

```

(delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x  $\# S$ )
  by (rule prognosis-env-cong) simp

  have [simp]: restr-stack ( $- \text{set } r - \{x\}$ ) S = restr-stack ( $- \text{set } r$ ) S
    using ‹x ∉ upds S› by (auto intro: restr-stack-cong)

  have prognosis (env-delete x ae) as u (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x  $\# S$ ) ⊑ env-delete x ce
    unfolding eq
    using ** below-trans[OF below-trans[OF * Cfun.monofun-cfun-arg[OF ‹prognosis ae as
a ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S) ⊑ ce›]] record-call-below-arg]
      by (rule below-env-deleteI)
  moreover

  have *: a-consistent (env-delete x ae, u, as) (delete x (restrictA ( $- \text{set } r$ )  $\Gamma$ ), e, restr-stack
( $- \text{set } r$ ) S)
    using thunk ‹ae x = up·u›
    by (auto intro!: a-consistent-thunk-once simp del: restr-delete)
  ultimately

  have consistent (env-delete x ae, env-delete x ce, u, as, x  $\# r$ ) (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x  $\# S$ )
using thunk
  by (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist Compl-insert elim:below-trans )
  moreover

  from *
  have **: Astack (transform-alts as (restr-stack ( $- \text{set } r$ ) S) @ map Dummy (rev r) @
[Dummy x]) ⊑ u by (auto elim: a-consistent-stackD)

  {
  from ‹map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e› ‹ae x = up·u› once
  have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA
( $- \text{set } r$ )  $\Gamma$ ))) x = Some (Aeta-expand u (transform u e))
    by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
  hence conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)  $\Rightarrow_G$ 
    add-dummies-conf (delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA
( $- \text{set } r$ )  $\Gamma$ ))), Aeta-expand u (ccTransform u e), Upd x  $\#$  transform-alts as
(restr-stack ( $- \text{set } r$ ) S))
    by (auto simp add: map-transform-delete delete-map-transform-env-delete insert-absorb
restr-delete-twist simp del: restr-delete)
  also
    have ...  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  add-dummies-conf (x  $\# r$ ) (delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae
(map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA ( $- \text{set } r$ )  $\Gamma$ ))), Aeta-expand u (ccTransform u e),
transform-alts as (restr-stack ( $- \text{set } r$ ) S))
      apply (rule r-into-rtranclp)
      apply (simp add: append-assoc[symmetric] del: append-assoc)
      apply (rule dropUpd)
      done
  also
    have ...  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  add-dummies-conf (x  $\# r$ ) (delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae

```

```

(map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA (- set r) Γ)), ccTransform u e, transform-alts as
(restr-stack (- set r) S))
  by simp (intro normal-trans Aeta-expand-safe **)
  also(rtranclp-trans)
  have ... = conf-transform (env-delete x ae, env-delete x ce, u, as, x # r) (delete x Γ, e,
Upd x # S)
    by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong simp add: map-transform-delete[symmetric] re-
str-delete-twist Compl-insert)
    finally(back-subst)
    have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G* conf-transform (env-delete x ae,
env-delete x ce, u, as, x # r) (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S).
  }
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)

next
case many

from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ ⊢ isVal e
have prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ,
Var x, S))
  by (rule prognosis-Var-thunk)
  also note record-call-below-arg
  finally
  have *: prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) by
this simp-all

have ae x = up·0 using thunk many ⟨x ∈ thunks Γ⟩ by (auto)
hence u = 0 using ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ by simp

have prognosis ae as 0 (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ ce using *[unfolded ⟨u=0⟩] thunk by
(auto elim: below-trans)
moreover
have a-consistent (ae, 0, as) (delete x (restrictA (- set r) Γ), e, Upd x # restr-stack (-
set r) S) using thunk ⟨ae x = up·0⟩
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-thunk-0 simp del: restr-delete)
ultimately
have consistent (ae, ce, 0, as, r) (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) using thunk ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
⟨u = 0⟩
  by (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist)
moreover

from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up·0⟩ many
have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA
(- set r) Γ))) x = Some (transform 0 e)
  by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
with ⊢ isVal e
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae, ce, 0, as, r)

```

```

(delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S)
  by (auto intro: gc-step.intros simp add: map-transform-delete restr-delete-twist intro!: step.intros simp del: restr-delete)
    ultimately
      show ?thesis by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
    qed
  next
  case (lamvar Γ x e S)
    from lamvar(1) have [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$  by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)

    from lamvar have prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S \sqsubseteq ce$ ) by auto
    from below-trans[OF prognosis-called fun-belowD[OF this] ]
    have [simp]:  $x \in \text{edom } ce$  by (auto simp add: edom-def)
    then obtain c where ce x = up·c by (cases ce x) (auto simp add: edom-def)

    from lamvar
    have [simp]:  $x \notin \text{set } r$  by auto

    then have  $x \in \text{edom } ae$  using lamvar by auto
    then obtain u where ae x = up·u by (cases ae x) (auto simp add: edom-def)

    have prognosis ae as u (( $x, e$ ) # delete x  $\Gamma, e, S$ ) = prognosis ae as u ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )
      using ⟨map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ ⟩ by (auto intro!: prognosis-reorder)
      also have ...  $\sqsubseteq \text{record-call } x . (\text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S))$ 
        using ⟨map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ ⟩ ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ ⟨isVal e⟩ by (rule prognosis-Var-lam)
      also have ...  $\sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S)$  by (rule record-call-below-arg)
      finally have *: prognosis ae as u (( $x, e$ ) # delete x  $\Gamma, e, S$ )  $\sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S)$  by this simp-all
      moreover
        have a-consistent (ae, u, as) (( $x, e$ ) # delete x (restrictA (- set r)  $\Gamma$ ), e, restr-stack (- set r) S) using lamvar ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
          by (auto intro!: a-consistent-lamvar simp del: restr-delete)
        ultimately
          have consistent (ae, ce, u, as, r) (( $x, e$ ) # delete x  $\Gamma, e, S$ )
            using lamvar edom-mono[OF *] by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons restr-delete-twist elim: below-trans)
          moreover
            from ⟨a-consistent - -⟩
            have **: Astack (transform-alts as (restr-stack (- set r) S) @ map Dummy (rev r))  $\sqsubseteq u$  by (auto elim: a-consistent-stackD)

            {
              from ⟨isVal e⟩
              have isVal (transform u e) by simp
              hence isVal (Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) by (rule isVal-Aeta-expand)
              moreover
                from ⟨map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ ⟩ ⟨ae x = up · u⟩ ⟨ce x = up·c⟩ ⟨isVal (transform u e)⟩

```

```

have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (- set r) Γ))) x = Some (Aeta-expand u (transform u e))
  by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
ultimately
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G*
  add-dummies-conf r ((x, Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) # delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (- set r) Γ))), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as (restr-stack (- set r) S))
  by (auto intro!: normal-trans[OF lambda-var] simp add: map-transform-delete simp del: restr-delete)
also have ... = add-dummies-conf r ((map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae ((x,e) # delete x (restrictA (- set r) Γ))), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as (restr-stack (- set r) S))
  using ⟨ae x = up · u⟩ ⟨ce x = up · c⟩ ⟨isVal (transform u e)⟩
  by (simp add: map-transform-Cons map-transform-delete restr-delete-twist del: restr-delete)
also(subst[rotated]) have ... ⇒G* conf-transform (ae, ce, u, as, r) ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e,
S)
  by (simp add: restr-delete-twist) (rule normal-trans[OF Aeta-expand-safe[OF ** ]])
finally(rtranclp-trans)
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G* conf-transform (ae, ce, u, as, r)
((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S).
}
ultimately show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (var2 Γ x e S)
  show ?case
  proof(cases x ∈ set r)
    case [simp]: False

      from var2
      have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA (- set r) Γ, e, Upd x # restr-stack (- set r) S) by
auto
      from a-consistent-UpdD[OF this]
      have ae x = up · 0 and a = 0.

      from ⟨isVal e⟩ ⟨x ∉ domA Γ⟩
      have *: prognosis ae as 0 ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as 0 (Γ, e, Upd x # S) by
(rule prognosis-Var2)
      moreover
      have a-consistent (ae, a, as) ((x, e) # restrictA (- set r) Γ, e, restr-stack (- set r) S)
        using var2 by (auto intro!: a-consistent-var2)
      ultimately
      have consistent (ae, ce, 0, as, r) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
        using var2 ⟨a = 0⟩
        by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons elim: below-trans)
      moreover
      have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae, ce, 0, as,
r) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
        using ⟨ae x = up · 0⟩ ⟨a = 0⟩ var2

```

```

by (auto intro: gc-step.intros simp add: map-transform-Cons)
ultimately show ?thesis by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case True
  hence ce x = ⊥ using var2 by (auto simp add: edom-def)
  hence x ∉ edom ce by (simp add: edomIff)
  hence x ∉ edom ae using var2 by auto
  hence [simp]: ae x = ⊥ by (auto simp add: edom-def)

  note `x ∈ set r`[simp]

  have prognosis ae as a ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a ((x, e) # Γ, e, Upd x # S)
  by (rule prognosis-upd)
    also have ... ⊑ prognosis ae as a (delete x ((x,e) # Γ), e, Upd x # S)
      using `ae x = ⊥` by (rule prognosis-not-called)
    also have delete x ((x,e) # Γ) = Γ using `x ∉ domA Γ` by simp
    finally
      have *: prognosis ae as a ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, Upd x # S) by this
      simp
        then
          have consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) using var2
            by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons elim:below-trans a-consistent-var2)
        moreover
          have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) = conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
            by (auto simp add: map-transform-restrA[symmetric])
        ultimately show ?thesis
          by (fastforce del: consistentI consistentE simp del:conf-transform.simps)
    qed
  next
    case (let1 Δ Γ e S)
    let ?ae = Aheap Δ e·a
    let ?ce = cHeap Δ e·a

    have domA Δ ∩ upds S = {} using fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)] by (auto dest: subsetD[OF
      ups-fv-subset])
      hence *: ⋀ x. x ∈ upds S ⟹ x ∉ edom ?ae by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest!: subsetD[OF
      edom-Aheap])
      have restr-stack-simp2: restr-stack (edom (?ae ∪ ae)) S = restr-stack (edom ae) S
        by (auto intro: restr-stack-cong dest!: *)
    have edom ce = edom ae using let1 by auto

    have edom ae ⊑ domA Γ ∪ upds S using let1 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-edom-subsetD)
    from subsetD[OF this] fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
    have edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {} by (auto dest: subsetD[OF ups-fv-subset])

    from `edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {}`
    have [simp]: edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∩ edom ae = {} by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])

```

```

from fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
have [simp]: restrictA (edom ae ∪ edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) Γ = restrictA (edom ae) Γ
  by (auto intro: restrictA-cong dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])

have set r ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S using let1 by auto
have [simp]: restrictA (set r) Δ = Δ
  apply (rule restrictA-noop)
  apply auto
  by (metis IntI UnE ‹set r ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S› ‹domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}› ‹domA Δ ∩
    upds S = {}› contra-subsetD empty-if)

{
  have edom (?ae ⊒ ae) = edom (?ce ⊒ ce)
    using let1(4) by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap)
  moreover
  { fix x e'
    assume x ∈ thunks Γ
    hence x ∉ edom ?ce using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
      by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap] subsetD[OF thunks-domA])
    hence [simp]: ?ce x = ⊥ unfolding edomIff by auto

    assume many ⊑ (?ce ⊒ ce) x
    with let1 ‹x ∈ thunks Γ›
    have (?ae ⊒ ae) x = up · 0 by auto
  }
  moreover
  { fix x e'
    assume x ∈ thunks Δ
    hence x ∉ domA Γ and x ∉ upds S
      using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
        by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF thunks-domA] subsetD[OF upds-fv-subset])
    hence x ∉ edom ce using ‹edom ae ⊑ domA Γ ∪ upds S› ‹edom ce = edom ae› by auto
    hence [simp]: ce x = ⊥ by (auto simp add: edomIff)

    assume many ⊑ (?ce ⊒ ce) x with ‹x ∈ thunks Δ›
    have (?ae ⊒ ae) x = up · 0 by (auto simp add: Aheap-heap3)
  }
  moreover
  {
    from let1(1,2) ‹edom ae ⊑ domA Γ ∪ upds S›
    have prognosis (?ae ⊒ ae) as a (Δ @ Γ, e, S) ⊑ ?ce ⊒ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Let Δ e, S) by
      (rule prognosis-Let)
    also have prognosis ae as a (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⊑ ce using let1 by auto
    finally have prognosis (?ae ⊒ ae) as a (Δ @ Γ, e, S) ⊑ ?ce ⊒ ce by this simp
  }
  moreover
}

```

```

have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA (– set r) Γ, Let Δ e, restr-stack (– set r) S)
  using let1 by auto
  hence a-consistent (?ae ⊥ ae, a, as) (Δ @ restrictA (– set r) Γ, e, restr-stack (– set r)
S)
  using let1(1,2) ‹edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {}›
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-let simp del: join-comm)
  hence a-consistent (?ae ⊥ ae, a, as) (restrictA (– set r) (Δ @ Γ), e, restr-stack (– set r)
S)
  by (simp add: restrictA-append)
moreover
have set r ⊆ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) – edom ce using let1 by auto
hence set r ⊆ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) – edom (?ce ⊥ ce)
  apply (rule order-trans)
  using ‹domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}› ‹domA Δ ∩ upds S = {}›
  apply (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
  done
ultimately
have consistent (?ae ⊥ ae, ?ce ⊥ ce, a, as, r) (Δ @ Γ, e, S) by auto
}
moreover
{
  have ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Γ ⟹ x ∉ edom ?ae ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Γ ⟹ x ∉ edom ?ce
  using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
  by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest!: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap])
  hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae ⊥ ae) (map-transform transform (?ae ⊥ ae)
(restrictA (– set r) Γ))
    = map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (– set r) Γ))
    by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
moreover
from ‹edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S› ‹edom ce = edom ae›
have ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Δ ⟹ x ∉ edom ce and ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Δ ⟹ x ∉ edom ae
  using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-ups[OF let1(2)] by auto
  hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae ⊥ ae) (map-transform transform (?ae ⊥ ae)
(restrictA (– set r) Δ))
    = map-transform Aeta-expand ?ae (map-transform transform ?ae (restrictA (– set r)
Δ))
  by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
moreover
from ‹domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}› ‹domA Δ ∩ upds S = {}›
have atom ‘domA Δ #* set r
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-at-base fresh-finite-set-at-base dest!: subsetD[OF
<set r ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S>])
  hence atom ‘domA Δ #* map Dummy (rev r)
    apply –
    apply (rule eqvt-fresh-star-cong1[where f = map Dummy], perm-simp, rule)
    apply (rule eqvt-fresh-star-cong1[where f = rev], perm-simp, rule)
    apply (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-set)

```

```

done
ultimately

have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , Let  $\Delta$  e, S)  $\Rightarrow_G$  conf-transform (?ae  $\sqcup$  ae, ?ce
 $\sqcup$  ce, a, as, r) ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ , e, S)
  using restr-stack-simp2 let1(1,2) ‹edom ce = edom ae›
  apply (auto simp add: map-transform-append restrictA-append edom-cHeap restr-stack-simp2[simplified]
)
  apply (rule normal)
  apply (rule step.let1)
  apply (auto intro: normal step.let1 dest: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap] simp add: fresh-star-list)
  done
}
ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case (if1  $\Gamma$  scrut e1 e2 S)
  have prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , scrut ? e1 : e2, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ce using if1 by auto
  hence prognosis ae (a#as) 0 ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ce
    by (rule below-trans[OF prognosis-IfThenElse])
  hence consistent (ae, ce, 0, a#as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
    using if1 by (auto dest: a-consistent-if1)
  moreover
    have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut ? e1 : e2, S)  $\Rightarrow_G$  conf-transform (ae, ce, 0,
a#as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
      by (auto intro: normal step.intros)
  ultimately
    show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case (if2  $\Gamma$  b e1 e2 S)
  hence a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA (– set r)  $\Gamma$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # restr-stack (– set
r) S) by auto
  then obtain a' as' where [simp]: as = a' # as' a = 0
    by (rule a-consistent-alts-on-stack)

  {
    have prognosis ae (a'#as') 0 ( $\Gamma$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ce using if2 by auto
    hence prognosis ae as' a' ( $\Gamma$ , if b then e1 else e2, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ce by (rule below-trans[OF prognosis-Alts])
    then
      have consistent (ae, ce, a', as', r) ( $\Gamma$ , if b then e1 else e2, S)
        using if2 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-if2)
    }
  moreover
    have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S)  $\Rightarrow_G$  conf-transform (ae,
ce, a', as', r) ( $\Gamma$ , if b then e1 else e2, S)
      by (auto intro: normal step.if2[where b = True, simplified] step.if2[where b = False,
simplified])

```

```

ultimately
  show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case refl thus ?case by force
next
  case (trans c c' c'')
    from trans(3)[OF trans(5)]
    obtain ae' ce' a' as' r'
      where consistent (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' and *: conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) c  $\Rightarrow_G^*$ 
conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' by blast
      from trans(4)[OF this(1)]
      obtain ae'' ce'' a'' as'' r''
        where consistent (ae'', ce'', a'', as'', r'') c'' and **: conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r')
c'  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  conf-transform (ae'', ce'', a'', as'', r'') c'' by blast
        from this(1) rtranclp-trans[OF **]
        show ?case by blast
      qed
    end
  end

```

## 9 Trace Trees

### 9.1 TTree

```

theory TTree
imports Main ConstOn List-Interleavings
begin

```

#### 9.1.1 Prefix-closed sets of lists

```

definition downset :: 'a list set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  downset xss = ( $\forall x n. x \in xss \longrightarrow \text{take } n x \in xss$ )

lemma downsetE[elim]:
  downset xss  $\Longrightarrow$  xs  $\in$  xss  $\Longrightarrow$  butlast xs  $\in$  xss
  by (auto simp add: downset-def butlast-conv-take)

lemma downset-appendE[elim]:
  downset xss  $\Longrightarrow$  xs@ys  $\in$  xss  $\Longrightarrow$  xs  $\in$  xss
  by (auto simp add: downset-def) (metis append-eq-conv-conj)

lemma downset-hdE[elim]:
  downset xss  $\Longrightarrow$  xs  $\in$  xss  $\Longrightarrow$  xs  $\neq [] \Longrightarrow [\text{hd } xs] \in xss$ 
  by (auto simp add: downset-def) (metis take-0 take-Suc)

lemma downsetI[intro]:

```

```

assumes ⋀ xs. xs ∈ xss ==> xs ≠ [] ==> butlast xs ∈ xss
shows downset xss
unfolding downset-def
proof(intro impI allI )
  from assms
  have butlast: ⋀ xs. xs ∈ xss ==> butlast xs ∈ xss
    by (metis butlast.simps(1))

fix xs n
assume xs ∈ xss
show take n xs ∈ xss
proof(cases n ≤ length xs)
case True
  from this
  show ?thesis
  proof(induction rule: inc-induct)
    case base with <xs ∈ xss> show ?case by simp
    next
    case (step n')
      from butlast[OF step.IH] step(2)
      show ?case by (simp add: butlast-take)
    qed
  next
  case False with <xs ∈ xss> show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

lemma [simp]: downset {} by auto

lemma downset-mapI: downset xss ==> downset (map f ` xss)
  by (fastforce simp add: map-butlast[symmetric])

lemma downset-filter:
  assumes downset xss
  shows downset (filter P ` xss)
proof(rule, elim imageE, clarify)
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ xss
  thus butlast (filter P xs) ∈ filter P ` xss
  proof(induction xs rule: rev-induct)
    case Nil thus ?case by force
  next
    case snoc
    thus ?case using <downset xss> by (auto intro: snoc.IH)
  qed
qed

lemma downset-set-subset:
  downset ({xs. set xs ⊆ S})

```

```
by (auto dest: in-set-butlastD)
```

### 9.1.2 The type of infinite labeled trees

```
typedef 'a ttree = {xss :: 'a list set . [] ∈ xss ∧ downset xss} by auto
```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-ttree
```

### 9.1.3 Deconstructors

```
lift-definition possible :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  is λ xss x. ∃ xs. x#xs ∈ xss.
```

```
lift-definition nxt :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ttree
  is λ xss x. insert [] {xs | xs. x#xs ∈ xss}
  by (auto simp add: downset-def take-Suc-Cons[symmetric] simp del: take-Suc-Cons)
```

### 9.1.4 Trees as set of paths

```
lift-definition paths :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a list set is (λ x. x).
```

```
lemma paths-inj: paths t = paths t' ⟹ t = t' by transfer auto
```

```
lemma paths-injs-simps[simp]: paths t = paths t' ⟷ t = t' by transfer auto
```

```
lemma paths-Nil[simp]: [] ∈ paths t by transfer simp
```

```
lemma paths-not-empty[simp]: (paths t = {}) ⟷ False by transfer auto
```

```
lemma paths-Cons-nxt:
  possible t x ⟹ xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) ⟹ (x#xs) ∈ paths t
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma paths-Cons-nxt-iff:
  possible t x ⟹ xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) ⟷ (x#xs) ∈ paths t
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma possible-mono:
  paths t ⊆ paths t' ⟹ possible t x ⟹ possible t' x
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma nxt-mono:
  paths t ⊆ paths t' ⟹ paths (nxt t x) ⊆ paths (nxt t' x)
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma ttree-eqI: (∀ xs. x#xs ∈ paths t ⟷ x#xs ∈ paths t') ⟹ t = t'
  apply (rule paths-inj)
  apply (rule set-eqI)
  apply (case-tac x)
  apply auto
```

**done**

```
lemma paths-nxt[elim]:
  assumes xs ∈ paths (nxt t x)
  obtains x#xs ∈ paths t | xs = []
  using assms by transfer auto

lemma Cons-path: x # xs ∈ paths t ↔ possible t x ∧ xs ∈ paths (nxt t x)
  by transfer auto

lemma Cons-pathI[intro]:
  assumes possible t x ↔ possible t' x
  assumes possible t x ⇒ possible t' x ⇒ xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) ↔ xs ∈ paths (nxt t' x)
  shows x # xs ∈ paths t ↔ x # xs ∈ paths t'
  using assms by (auto simp add: Cons-path)

lemma paths-nxt-eq: xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) ↔ xs = [] ∨ x#xs ∈ paths t
  by transfer auto

lemma ttree-coinduct:
  assumes P t t'
  assumes ⋀ t t' x . P t t' ⇒ possible t x ↔ possible t' x
  assumes ⋀ t t' x . P t t' ⇒ possible t x ⇒ possible t' x ⇒ P (nxt t x) (nxt t' x)
  shows t = t'
proof(rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI)
  fix xs
  from assms(1)
  show xs ∈ paths t ↔ xs ∈ paths t'
  proof(induction xs arbitrary: t t')
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
    case (Cons x xs t t')
      show ?case
      proof(rule Cons-pathI)
        from ‹P t t'›
        show possible t x ↔ possible t' x by (rule assms(2))
      next
      assume possible t x and possible t' x
      with ‹P t t'›
      have P (nxt t x) (nxt t' x) by (rule assms(3))
      thus xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) ↔ xs ∈ paths (nxt t' x) by (rule Cons.IH)
    qed
  qed
qed
```

### 9.1.5 The carrier of a tree

lift-definition carrier :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a set is  $\lambda xss. \bigcup(\text{set } ` xss)$ .

```
lemma carrier-mono: paths t ⊆ paths t'  $\Rightarrow$  carrier t ⊆ carrier t' by transfer auto
```

```
lemma carrier-possible:  
possible t x  $\Rightarrow$  x ∈ carrier t by transfer force
```

```
lemma carrier-possible-subset:  
carrier t ⊆ A  $\Rightarrow$  possible t x  $\Rightarrow$  x ∈ A by transfer force
```

```
lemma carrier-nxt-subset:  
carrier (nxt t x) ⊆ carrier t  
by transfer auto
```

```
lemma Union-paths-carrier: ( $\bigcup_{x \in \text{paths } t. \text{ set } x}$ ) = carrier t  
by transfer auto
```

### 9.1.6 Repeatable trees

```
definition repeatable where repeatable t = ( $\forall x . \text{possible } t x \rightarrow \text{nxt } t x = t$ )
```

```
lemma nxt-repeatable[simp]: repeatable t  $\Rightarrow$  possible t x  $\Rightarrow$  nxt t x = t  
unfolding repeatable-def by auto
```

### 9.1.7 Simple trees

```
lift-definition empty :: 'a ttree is {[]} by auto
```

```
lemma possible-empty[simp]: possible empty x'  $\longleftrightarrow$  False  
by transfer auto
```

```
lemma nxt-not-possible[simp]:  $\neg$  possible t x  $\Rightarrow$  nxt t x = empty  
by transfer auto
```

```
lemma paths-empty[simp]: paths empty = {[]} by transfer auto
```

```
lemma carrier-empty[simp]: carrier empty = {} by transfer auto
```

```
lemma repeatable-empty[simp]: repeatable empty unfolding repeatable-def by transfer auto
```

```
lift-definition single :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree is  $\lambda x. \{[], [x]\}$   
by auto
```

```
lemma possible-single[simp]: possible (single x) x'  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = x'  
by transfer auto
```

```
lemma nxt-single[simp]: nxt (single x) x' = empty  
by transfer auto
```

```
lemma carrier-single[simp]: carrier (single y) = {y}  
by transfer auto
```

```

lemma paths-single[simp]: paths (single x) = {[], [x]}
  by transfer auto

lift-definition many-calls :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree is  $\lambda x.$  range ( $\lambda n.$  replicate n x)
  by (auto simp add: downset-def)

lemma possible-many-calls[simp]: possible (many-calls x)  $x' \longleftrightarrow x = x'$ 
  by transfer (force simp add: Cons-replicate-eq)

lemma nxt-many-calls[simp]: nxt (many-calls x)  $x' = (\text{if } x' = x \text{ then many-calls } x \text{ else empty})$ 
  by transfer (force simp add: Cons-replicate-eq)

lemma repeatable-many-calls: repeatable (many-calls x)
  unfolding repeatable-def by auto

lemma carrier-many-calls[simp]: carrier (many-calls x) = {x} by transfer auto

lift-definition anything :: 'a ttree is UNIV
  by auto

lemma possible-anything[simp]: possible anything  $x' \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma nxt-anything[simp]: nxt anything x = anything
  by transfer auto

lemma paths-anything[simp]:
  paths anything = UNIV by transfer auto

lemma carrier-anything[simp]:
  carrier anything = UNIV
  apply (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  apply (rule-tac x = [x] in exI)
  apply simp
  done

lift-definition many-among :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree is  $\lambda S.$  {xs . set xs  $\subseteq S}$ 
  by (auto intro: downset-set-subset)

lemma carrier-many-among[simp]: carrier (many-among S) = S
  by transfer (auto, metis List.set-insert bot.extremum insertCI insert-subset list.set(1))

```

### 9.1.8 Intersection of two trees

```

lift-definition intersect :: 'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree (infixl  $\cap\cap$  80)
  is ( $\cap$ )
  by (auto simp add: downset-def)

```

```
lemma paths-intersect[simp]: paths (t ∩ t') = paths t ∩ paths t'  
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma carrier-intersect: carrier (t ∩ t') ⊆ carrier t ∩ carrier t'  
  unfolding Union-paths-carrier[symmetric]  
  by auto
```

### 9.1.9 Disjoint union of trees

```
lift-definition either :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree (infixl ⊕⊕ 80)  
  is (⊎)  
  by (auto simp add: downset-def)
```

```
lemma either-empty1[simp]: empty ⊕⊕ t = t  
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma either-empty2[simp]: t ⊕⊕ empty = t  
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma either-sym[simp]: t ⊕⊕ t2 = t2 ⊕⊕ t  
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma either-idem[simp]: t ⊕⊕ t = t  
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma possible-either[simp]: possible (t ⊕⊕ t') x ←→ possible t x ∨ possible t' x  
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma nxt-either[simp]: nxt (t ⊕⊕ t') x = nxt t x ⊕⊕ nxt t' x  
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma paths-either[simp]: paths (t ⊕⊕ t') = paths t ∪ paths t'  
  by transfer simp
```

```
lemma carrier-either[simp]:  
  carrier (t ⊕⊕ t') = carrier t ∪ carrier t'  
  by transfer simp
```

```
lemma either-contains-arg1: paths t ⊆ paths (t ⊕⊕ t')  
  by transfer fastforce
```

```
lemma either-contains-arg2: paths t' ⊆ paths (t ⊕⊕ t')  
  by transfer fastforce
```

```
lift-definition Either :: 'a ttree set ⇒ 'a ttree is λ S. insert [] (⊎ S)  
  by (auto simp add: downset-def)
```

```
lemma paths-Either: paths (Either ts) = insert [] (⊎(paths ` ts))  
  by transfer auto
```

### 9.1.10 Merging of trees

```

lemma ex-ex-eq-hint:  $(\exists x. (\exists xs ys. x = f xs ys \wedge P xs ys) \wedge Q x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs ys. Q (f xs ys) \wedge P xs ys)$ 
by auto

lift-definition both :: 'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree (infixl  $\otimes\otimes$  86)
is  $\lambda xss yss . \bigcup \{xs \otimes ys \mid xs ys. xs \in xss \wedge ys \in yss\}$ 
by (force simp: ex-ex-eq-hint dest: interleave-butlast)

lemma both-assoc[simp]:  $t \otimes\otimes (t' \otimes\otimes t'') = (t \otimes\otimes t') \otimes\otimes t''$ 
apply transfer
apply auto
apply (metis interleave-assoc2)
apply (metis interleave-assoc1)
done

lemma both-comm:  $t \otimes\otimes t' = t' \otimes\otimes t$ 
by transfer (auto, (metis interleave-comm)+)

lemma both-empty1[simp]:  $\text{empty} \otimes\otimes t = t$ 
by transfer auto

lemma both-empty2[simp]:  $t \otimes\otimes \text{empty} = t$ 
by transfer auto

lemma paths-both:  $xs \in \text{paths} (t \otimes\otimes t') \longleftrightarrow (\exists ys \in \text{paths} t. \exists zs \in \text{paths} t'. xs \in ys \otimes zs)$ 
by transfer fastforce

lemma both-contains-arg1:  $\text{paths} t \subseteq \text{paths} (t \otimes\otimes t')$ 
by transfer fastforce

lemma both-contains-arg2:  $\text{paths} t' \subseteq \text{paths} (t \otimes\otimes t')$ 
by transfer fastforce

lemma both-mono1:
 $\text{paths} t \subseteq \text{paths} t' \implies \text{paths} (t \otimes\otimes t') \subseteq \text{paths} (t' \otimes\otimes t')$ 
by transfer auto

lemma both-mono2:
 $\text{paths} t \subseteq \text{paths} t' \implies \text{paths} (t'' \otimes\otimes t) \subseteq \text{paths} (t'' \otimes\otimes t')$ 
by transfer auto

lemma possible-both[simp]:  $\text{possible} (t \otimes\otimes t') x \longleftrightarrow \text{possible} t x \vee \text{possible} t' x$ 
proof
assume  $\text{possible} (t \otimes\otimes t') x$ 
then obtain xs where  $x \# xs \in \text{paths} (t \otimes\otimes t')$ 
by transfer auto

from  $\langle x \# xs \in \text{paths} (t \otimes\otimes t') \rangle$ 

```

```

obtain ys zs where ys ∈ paths t and zs ∈ paths t' and x#xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs
  by transfer auto

from ⟨x#xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩
have ys ≠ [] ∧ hd ys = x ∨ zs ≠ [] ∧ hd zs = x
  by (auto elim: interleave-cases)
thus possible t x ∨ possible t' x
  using ⟨ys ∈ paths t⟩ ⟨zs ∈ paths t'⟩
  by transfer auto
next
assume possible t x ∨ possible t' x
then obtain xs where x#xs ∈ paths t ∨ x#xs ∈ paths t'
  by transfer auto
from this have x#xs ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ t') by (auto dest: subsetD[OF both-contains-arg1] subsetD[OF both-contains-arg2])
thus possible (t ⊗⊗ t') x by transfer auto
qed

lemma nxt-both:
nxt (t' ⊗⊗ t) x = (if possible t' x ∧ possible t x then nxt t' x ⊗⊗ t ⊕⊕ t' ⊗⊗ nxt t x else
  if possible t' x then nxt t' x ⊗⊗ t else
  if possible t x then t' ⊗⊗ nxt t x else
  empty)
by (transfer, auto 4 4 intro: interleave-intros)

lemma Cons-both:
x # xs ∈ paths (t' ⊗⊗ t) ↔ (if possible t' x ∧ possible t x then xs ∈ paths (nxt t' x ⊗⊗ t)
  ∨ xs ∈ paths (t' ⊗⊗ nxt t x) else
    if possible t' x then xs ∈ paths (nxt t' x ⊗⊗ t) else
    if possible t x then xs ∈ paths (t' ⊗⊗ nxt t x) else
    False)
apply (auto simp add: paths-Cons-nxt-iff[symmetric] nxt-both)
by (metis paths.rep_eq possible.rep_eq possible-both)

lemma Cons-both-possible-leftE: possible t x ⇒ xs ∈ paths (nxt t x ⊗⊗ t') ⇒ x#xs ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ t')
  by (auto simp add: Cons-both)
lemma Cons-both-possible-rightE: possible t' x ⇒ xs ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ nxt t' x) ⇒ x#xs ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ t')
  by (auto simp add: Cons-both)

lemma either-both-distr[simp]:
t' ⊗⊗ t ⊕⊕ t' ⊗⊗ t'' = t' ⊗⊗ (t ⊕⊕ t'')
by transfer auto

lemma either-both-distr2[simp]:
t' ⊗⊗ t ⊕⊕ t'' ⊗⊗ t = (t' ⊕⊕ t'') ⊗⊗ t
by transfer auto

```

```

lemma nxt-both-repeatable[simp]:
  assumes [simp]: repeatable t'
  assumes [simp]: possible t' x
  shows nxt (t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t) x = t'  $\otimes\otimes$  (t  $\oplus\oplus$  nxt t x)
  by (auto simp add: nxt-both)

lemma nxt-both-many-calls[simp]: nxt (many-calls x  $\otimes\otimes$  t) x = many-calls x  $\otimes\otimes$  (t  $\oplus\oplus$  nxt t x)
  by (simp add: repeatable-many-calls)

lemma repeatable-both-self[simp]:
  assumes [simp]: repeatable t
  shows t  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t
  apply (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
  apply (induct-tac x)
  apply (auto simp add: Cons-both paths-Cons-nxt-iff[symmetric])
  apply (metis Cons-both both-empty1 possible-empty)+
  done

lemma repeatable-both-both[simp]:
  assumes repeatable t
  shows t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'
  by (metis repeatable-both-self[OF assms] both-assoc both-comm)

lemma repeatable-both-both2[simp]:
  assumes repeatable t
  shows t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t
  by (metis repeatable-both-self[OF assms] both-assoc both-comm)

lemma repeatable-both-nxt:
  assumes repeatable t
  assumes possible t' x
  assumes t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'
  shows nxt t' x  $\otimes\otimes$  t = nxt t' x
  proof(rule classical)
    assume nxt t' x  $\otimes\otimes$  t  $\neq$  nxt t' x
    hence (nxt t' x  $\oplus\oplus$  t')  $\otimes\otimes$  t  $\neq$  nxt t' x by (metis (no-types) assms(1) both-assoc repeatable-both-self)
    thus nxt t' x  $\otimes\otimes$  t = nxt t' x by (metis (no-types) assms either-both-distr2 nxt-both nxt-repeatable)
  qed

lemma repeatable-both-both-nxt:
  assumes t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'
  shows t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t''  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t''
  by (metis assms both-assoc both-comm)

lemma carrier-both[simp]:

```

*carrier* ( $t \otimes\otimes t'$ ) = *carrier*  $t \cup$  *carrier*  $t'$

**proof–**

```

{
fix x
assume x ∈ carrier (t ⊗⊗ t')
then obtain xs where xs ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ t') and x ∈ set xs by transfer auto
then obtain ys zs where ys ∈ paths t and zs ∈ paths t' and xs ∈ interleave ys zs
  by (auto simp add: paths-both)
from this(3) have set xs = set ys ∪ set zs by (rule interleave-set)
with <ys ∈ -> <zs ∈ -> <x ∈ set xs>
have x ∈ carrier t ∪ carrier t' by transfer auto
}
moreover
note subsetD[OF carrier-mono[OF both-contains-arg1[where t=t and t'=t']]]
subsetD[OF carrier-mono[OF both-contains-arg2[where t=t and t'=t']]]
ultimately
show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

### 9.1.11 Removing elements from a tree

**lift-definition** *without* :: 'a ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree

```

is λ x xss. filter (λ x'. x' ≠ x) ` xss
by (auto intro: downset-filter)(metis filter.simps(1) imageI)

```

**lemma** *paths-withoutI*:

```

assumes xs ∈ paths t
assumes x ∉ set xs
shows xs ∈ paths (without x t)

```

**proof–**

```

from assms(2)
have filter (λ x'. x' ≠ x) xs = xs by (auto simp add: filter-id-conv)
with assms(1)
have xs ∈ filter (λ x'. x' ≠ x) ` paths t by (metis imageI)
thus ?thesis by transfer
qed

```

**lemma** *carrier-without[simp]*: *carrier* (without x t) = *carrier*  $t - \{x\}$   
**by** transfer auto

**lift-definition** *ttree-restr* :: 'a set ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree  
**is** λ S xss. filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) ` xss  
**by** (auto intro: downset-filter)(metis filter.simps(1) imageI)

**lemma** *filter-paths-conv-free-restr*:

```

filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) ` paths t = paths (ttree-restr S t) by transfer auto

```

**lemma** *filter-paths-conv-free-restr2*:

```

filter (λ x'. x' ∉ S) ` paths t = paths (ttree-restr (- S) t) by transfer auto

```

```

lemma filter-paths-conv-free-without:
  filter ( $\lambda x'. x' \neq y$ ) ` paths t = paths (without y t) by transfer auto

lemma ttree-restr-is-empty: carrier t  $\cap$  S = {}  $\implies$  ttree-restr S t = empty
  apply transfer
  apply (auto del: iffI)
  apply (metis SUP-bot-conv(2) SUP-inf inf-commute inter-set-filter set-empty)
  apply force
  done

lemma ttree-restr-noop: carrier t  $\subseteq$  S  $\implies$  ttree-restr S t = t
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp add: image-iff)
  apply (metis SUP-le-iff contra-subsetD filter-True)
  apply (rule-tac x = x in bexI)
  apply (metis SUP-upper contra-subsetD filter-True)
  apply assumption
  done

lemma ttree-restr-tree-restr[simp]:
  ttree-restr S (ttree-restr S' t) = ttree-restr (S'  $\cap$  S) t
  by transfer (simp add: image-comp comp-def)

lemma ttree-restr-both:
  ttree-restr S (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t') = ttree-restr S t  $\otimes\otimes$  ttree-restr S t'
  by (force simp add: paths-both filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] intro: paths-inj filter-interleave
    elim: interleave-filter)

lemma ttree-restr-nxt-subset: x  $\in$  S  $\implies$  paths (ttree-restr S (nxt t x))  $\subseteq$  paths (nxt (ttree-restr S t) x)
  by transfer (force simp add: image-iff)

lemma ttree-restr-nxt-subset2: x  $\notin$  S  $\implies$  paths (ttree-restr S (nxt t x))  $\subseteq$  paths (ttree-restr S t)
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  apply force
  by (metis filter.simps(2) imageI)

lemma ttree-restr-possible: x  $\in$  S  $\implies$  possible t x  $\implies$  possible (ttree-restr S t) x
  by transfer force

lemma ttree-restr-possible2: possible (ttree-restr S t') x  $\implies$  x  $\in$  S
  by transfer (auto, metis filter-eq-Cons-iff)

lemma carrier-ttree-restr[simp]:
  carrier (ttree-restr S t) = S  $\cap$  carrier t
  by transfer auto

```

### 9.1.12 Multiple variables, each called at most once

```

lift-definition singles :: 'a set ⇒ 'a ttree is λ S. {xs. ∀ x ∈ S. length (filter (λ x'. x' = x) xs) ≤ 1}
apply auto
apply (rule downsetI)
apply auto
apply (subst (asm) append-butlast-last-id[symmetric]) back
apply simp
apply (subst (asm) filter-append)
apply auto
done

lemma possible-singles[simp]: possible (singles S) x
apply transfer'
apply (rule-tac x = [] in exI)
apply auto
done

lemma length-filter-mono[intro]:
assumes (Λ x. P x ⇒ Q x)
shows length (filter P xs) ≤ length (filter Q xs)
by (induction xs) (auto dest: assms)

lemma nxt-singles[simp]: nxt (singles S) x' = (if x' ∈ S then without x' (singles S) else singles S)
apply transfer'
apply auto
apply (rule rev-image-eqI[where x = []], auto)[1]
apply (rule-tac x = x in rev-image-eqI)
apply (simp, rule ballI, erule-tac x = xa in ballE, auto)[1]
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp add: filter-id-conv filter-empty-conv)[1]
apply (erule-tac x = xb in ballE)
apply (erule order-trans[rotated])
apply (rule length-filter-mono)
apply auto
done

lemma carrier-singles[simp]:
carrier (singles S) = UNIV
apply transfer
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = [x] in exI)
apply auto
done

lemma singles-mono:
S ⊆ S' ⇒ paths (singles S') ⊆ paths (singles S)
by transfer auto

```

```

lemma paths-many-calls-subset:
  paths t ⊆ paths (many-calls x ⊗⊗ without x t)
proof
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ paths t

  have filter (λx'. x' = x) xs = replicate (length (filter (λx'. x' = x) xs)) x
    by (induction xs) auto
  hence filter (λx'. x' = x) xs ∈ paths (many-calls x) by transfer auto
  moreover
  from ⟨xs ∈ paths t⟩
  have filter (λx'. x' ≠ x) xs ∈ paths (without x t) by transfer auto
  moreover
  have xs ∈ interleave (filter (λx'. x' = x) xs) (filter (λx'. x' ≠ x) xs) by (rule interleave-filtered)
  ultimately show xs ∈ paths (many-calls x ⊗⊗ without x t) by transfer auto
qed

```

### 9.1.13 Substituting trees for every node

```

definition f-nxt :: ('a ⇒ 'a ttree) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ttree)
  where f-nxt f T x = (if x ∈ T then f(x:=empty) else f)

fun substitute' :: ('a ⇒ 'a ttree) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool where
  substitute'-Nil: substitute' f T t [] ⟷ True
  | substitute'-Cons: substitute' f T t (x#xs) ⟷
    possible t x ∧ substitute' (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x) xs

lemma f-nxt-mono1: (Λ x. paths (f x) ⊆ paths (f' x)) ==> paths (f-nxt f T x x') ⊆ paths (f-nxt f' T x x')
  unfolding f-nxt-def by auto

lemma f-nxt-empty-set[simp]: f-nxt f {} x = f by (simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma downset-substitute: downset (Collect (substitute' f T t))
  apply (rule) unfolding mem-Collect-eq
proof-
  fix x
  assume substitute' f T t x
  thus substitute' f T t (butlast x) by (induction t x rule: substitute'.induct) (auto)
qed

lift-definition substitute :: ('a ⇒ 'a ttree) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree
  is λ f T t. Collect (substitute' f T t)
  by (simp add: downset-substitute)

lemma elim-substitute'[pred-set-conv]: substitute' f T t xs ⟷ xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t) by

```

```

transfer auto

lemmas substitute-induct[case-names Nil Cons] = substitute'.induct
lemmas substitute-simps[simp] = substitute'.simp[simplified elim-substitute']

lemma substitute-mono2:
  assumes paths t ⊆ paths t'
  shows paths (substitute f T t) ⊆ paths (substitute f T t')
proof
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  thus xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t')
    using assms
  proof(induction xs arbitrary:f t t')
    case Nil
      thus ?case by simp
    next
    case (Cons x xs)
      from Cons.prews
      show ?case
        by (auto dest: possible-mono elim: Cons.IH intro!: both-mono1 nxt-mono)
    qed
  qed

lemma substitute-mono1:
  assumes ⋀x. paths (f x) ⊆ paths (f' x)
  shows paths (substitute f T t) ⊆ paths (substitute f' T t)
proof
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  from this assms
  show xs ∈ paths (substitute f' T t)
  proof (induction xs arbitrary: ff' t)
    case Nil
      thus ?case by simp
    next
    case (Cons x xs)
      from Cons.prews
      show ?case
        by (auto elim!: Cons.IH dest: subsetD dest!: subsetD[OF f-nxt-mono1[OF Cons.prews(2)]]
subsetD[OF substitute-mono2[OF both-mono2[OF Cons.prews(2)]]])
    qed
  qed

lemma substitute-monoT:
  assumes T ⊆ T'
  shows paths (substitute f T' t) ⊆ paths (substitute f T t)
proof
  fix xs

```

```

assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T' t)
thus xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
using assms
proof(induction f T' t xs arbitrary: T rule: substitute-induct)
case Nil
  thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons f T' t x xs T)
  from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths (substitute f T' t)⟩
  have [simp]: possible t x and xs ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T' x) T' (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x)) by
  auto
  from Cons.IH[OF this(2) Cons.prems(2)]
  have xs ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T' x) T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x)).
  hence xs ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x))
    by (rule subsetD[OF substitute-mono1, rotated])
    (auto simp add: f-nxt-def subsetD[OF Cons.prems(2)])
  thus ?case by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma substitute-contains-arg: paths t ⊆ paths (substitute f T t)
proof
  fix xs
  show xs ∈ paths t ==> xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  proof (induction xs arbitrary: f t)
    case Nil
      show ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons x xs)
      from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths t⟩
      have possible t x by transfer auto
      moreover
        from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths t⟩ have xs ∈ paths (nxt t x)
          by (auto simp add: paths-nxt-eq)
        hence xs ∈ paths (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x) by (rule subsetD[OF both-contains-arg1])
        note Cons.IH[OF this]
        ultimately
          show ?case by simp
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma possible-substitute[simp]: possible (substitute f T t) x ↔ possible t x
  by (metis Cons-both both-empty2 paths-Nil substitute-simps(2))

```

```

lemma nxt-substitute[simp]: possible t x ==> nxt (substitute f T t) x = substitute (f-nxt f T x)
T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x)
  by (rule ttree-eqI) (simp add: paths-nxt-eq)

```

```

lemma substitute-either: substitute f T (t ⊕⊕ t') = substitute f T t ⊕⊕ substitute f T t'
proof-
  have [simp]:  $\bigwedge t t' x . (\text{nxt } t x \oplus\oplus \text{nxt } t' x) \otimes\otimes f x = \text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f x \oplus\oplus \text{nxt } t' x \otimes\otimes f x$  by
  (metis both-comm either-both-distr)
  {
    fix xs
    have xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (t ⊕⊕ t'))  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t) ∨ xs ∈ paths
    (substitute f T t')
    proof (induction xs arbitrary: f t t')
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
      next
        case (Cons x xs)
        note IH = Cons.IH[where f = f-nxt f T x and t = nxt t' x ⊗⊗ f x and t' = nxt t x ⊗⊗ f
        x]
        show ?case
        apply (auto simp del: either-both-distr2 simp add: either-both-distr2[symmetric] IH)
        apply (metis IH both-comm either-both-distr either-empty2 nxt-not-possible)
        apply (metis IH both-comm both-empty1 either-both-distr either-empty1 nxt-not-possible)
        done
      qed
    }
    thus ?thesis by (auto intro: paths-inj)
  qed

```

```

lemma f-nxt-T-delete:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows f-nxt f (T - {x}) x' = f-nxt f T x'
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

lemma f-nxt-empty[simp]:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows f-nxt f T x' x = empty
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

lemma f-nxt-empty'[simp]:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows f-nxt f T x = f
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

lemma substitute-T-delete:
  assumes f x = empty

```

```

shows substitute f (T - {x}) t = substitute f T t
proof (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
  fix xs
  from assms
  show xs ∈ paths (substitute f (T - {x}) t) ↔ xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
    by (induction xs arbitrary: f t) (auto simp add: f-nxt-T-delete )
qed

lemma substitute-only-empty:
  assumes const-on f (carrier t) empty
  shows substitute f T t = t
proof (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
  fix xs
  from assms
  show xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t) ↔ xs ∈ paths t
    proof (induction xs arbitrary: f t)
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
      case (Cons x xs f t)
        note const-onD[OF Cons.prems carrier-possible, where y = x, simp]
        have [simp]: possible t x ==> f-nxt f T x = f
          by (rule f-nxt-empty', rule const-onD[OF Cons.prems carrier-possible, where y = x])
        from Cons.prems carrier-nxt-subset
        have const-on f (carrier (nxt t x)) empty
          by (rule const-on-subset)
        hence const-on (f-nxt f T x) (carrier (nxt t x)) empty
          by (auto simp add: const-on-def f-nxt-def)
        note Cons.IH[OF this]
        hence [simp]: possible t x ==> (xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (nxt t x))) = (xs ∈ paths (nxt t x))
          by simp
        show ?case by (auto simp add: Cons-path)
    qed
qed

lemma substitute-only-empty-both: const-on f (carrier t') empty ==> substitute f T (t ⊗⊗ t') =
substitute f T t ⊗⊗ t'
proof (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
  fix xs
  assume const-on f (carrier t') TTTree.empty
  thus (xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (t ⊗⊗ t'))) = (xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t ⊗⊗ t'))
    proof (induction xs arbitrary: f t t')
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
      next
      case (Cons x xs)

```

```

show ?case
proof(cases possible t' x)
  case True
    hence  $x \in \text{carrier } t'$  by (metis carrier-possible)
    with Cons.prems have [simp]:  $f x = \text{empty}$  by auto
    hence [simp]:  $f\text{-nxt } f T x = f$  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

  note Cons.IH[OF Cons.prems, where t = nxt t x, simp]

  from Cons.prems
  have const-on f (carrier (nxt t' x)) empty by (metis carrier-nxt-subset const-on-subset)
  note Cons.IH[OF this, where t = t, simp]

  show ?thesis using True
    by (auto simp add: Cons-both nxt-both substitute-either)
next
  case False

  have [simp]:  $\text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes t' \otimes\otimes f x = \text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f x \otimes\otimes t'$ 
    by (metis both-assoc both-comm)

  from Cons.prems
  have const-on (f-nxt f T x) (carrier t') empty
    by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)
  note Cons.IH[OF this, where t = nxt t x  $\otimes\otimes f x$ , simp]

  show ?thesis using False
    by (auto simp add: Cons-both nxt-both substitute-either)
qed
qed
qed

lemma f-nxt-upd-empty[simp]:
   $f\text{-nxt } (f(x' := \text{empty})) T x = (f\text{-nxt } f T x)(x' := \text{empty})$ 
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma repeatable-f-nxt-upd[simp]:
  repeatable (f x)  $\implies$  repeatable (f-nxt f T x' x)
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma substitute-remove-anyways-aux:
  assumes repeatable (f x)
  assumes xs  $\in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  assumes  $t \otimes\otimes f x = t$ 
  shows xs  $\in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f(x := \text{empty})) T t)$ 
  using assms(2,3) assms(1)
proof (induction f T t xs rule: substitute-induct)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next

```

```

case (Cons f T t x' xs)
show ?case
proof(cases x' = x)
  case False
    hence [simp]: (f(x := TTree.empty)) x' = f x' by simp
    have [simp]: f-nxt f T x' x = f x using False by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
      show ?thesis using Cons by (auto simp add: repeatable-both-nxt repeatable-both-both-nxt
simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case True
  hence [simp]: (f(x := TTree.empty)) x = empty by simp

  have *: (f-nxt f T x) x = f x  $\vee$  (f-nxt f T x) x = empty by (simp add: f-nxt-def)
  thus ?thesis
    using Cons True
    by (auto simp add: repeatable-both-nxt repeatable-both-both-nxt simp del: fun-upd-apply)
qed
qed

lemma substitute-remove-anyways:
assumes repeatable t
assumes f x = t
shows substitute f T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t') = substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t')
proof (rule paths-inj, rule, rule subsetI)
  fix xs
  have repeatable (f x) using assms by simp
  moreover
  assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'))
  moreover
  have t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'  $\otimes\otimes$  f x = t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'
    by (metis assms both-assoc both-comm repeatable-both-self)
  ultimately
  show xs ∈ paths (substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'))
    by (rule substitute-remove-anyways-aux)
next
  show paths (substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t')) ⊆ paths (substitute f T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'))
    by (rule substitute-mono1) auto
qed

lemma carrier-f-nxt: carrier (f-nxt f T x x') ⊆ carrier (f x')
by (simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma f-nxt-cong: f x' = f' x'  $\implies$  f-nxt f T x x' = f-nxt f' T x x'
by (simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma substitute-cong':
assumes xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x n. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x = f' x$ 
shows  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f' T t)$ 
using assms
proof (induction f T t xs arbitrary: f' rule: substitute-induct )
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons f T t x xs)
  hence possible t x by auto
  hence  $x \in \text{carrier } t$  by (metis carrier-possible)
  hence  $x \in A$  using Cons.prems(3) by auto
  with Cons.prems have [simp]:  $f' x = f x$  by auto
  have  $\text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$  using {x ∈ A} by (rule Cons.prems(2))

from Cons.prems(1,2) Cons.prems(4)[symmetric]
show ?case
  by (auto elim!: Cons.IH
    dest!: subsetD[OF carrier-f-nxt] subsetD[OF carrier-nxt-subset] subsetD[OF Cons.prems(3)]
    subsetD[OF {carrier (f x) ⊆ A}]
    intro: f-nxt-cong
  )
qed

```

```

lemma substitute-cong-induct:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x = f' x$ 
shows  $\text{substitute } f T t = \text{substitute } f' T t$ 
apply (rule paths-inj)
apply (rule set-eqI)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (erule (2) substitute-cong'[OF - assms])
apply (erule substitute-cong'[OF - - assms(2)])
apply (metis assms(1,3))
apply (metis assms(3))
done

```

```

lemma carrier-substitute-aux:
assumes  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
shows  $\text{set } xs \subseteq A$ 
using assms
apply(induction f T t xs rule: substitute-induct)
apply auto
apply (metis carrier-possible-subset)

```

```

apply (metis carrier-f-nxt carrier-nxt-subset carrier-possible-subset contra-subsetD order-trans)
done

lemma carrier-substitute-below:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
shows  $\text{carrier } (\text{substitute } f T t) \subseteq A$ 
proof-
  have  $\bigwedge xs. xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t) \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq A$  by (rule carrier-substitute-aux[OF -assms(2,1)])
  thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
qed

lemma f-nxt-eq-empty-iff:
f-nxt f T x x' = empty  $\longleftrightarrow$  f x' = empty  $\vee$  (x' = x  $\wedge$  x  $\in$  T)
by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma substitute-T-cong':
assumes xs  $\in$  paths (substitute f T t)
assumes  $\bigwedge x. (x \in T \longleftrightarrow x \in T') \vee f x = \text{empty}$ 
shows xs  $\in$  paths (substitute f T' t)
using assms
proof (induction f T t xs rule: substitute-induct )
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons f T t x xs)
  from Cons.preds(2)[where x = x]
  have [simp]: f-nxt f T x = f-nxt f T' x
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

  from Cons.preds(2)
  have ( $\bigwedge x'. (x' \in T) = (x' \in T') \vee f \text{-nxt } f T x x' = \text{TTree.empty}$ )
    by (auto simp add: f-nxt-eq-empty-iff)
  from Cons.preds(1) Cons.IH[OF - this]
  show ?case
    by auto
qed

lemma substitute-cong-T:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. (x \in T \longleftrightarrow x \in T') \vee f x = \text{empty}$ 
shows substitute f T = substitute f T'
apply rule
apply (rule paths-inj)
apply (rule set-eqI)
apply (rule iffI)
apply (erule substitute-T-cong'[OF - assms])
apply (erule substitute-T-cong')
apply (metis assms)
done

```

```

lemma carrier-substitute1: carrier t ⊆ carrier (substitute f T t)
  by (rule carrier-mono) (rule substitute-contains-arg)

lemma substitute-cong:
  assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ carrier (substitute f T t) ⟹ f x = f' x
  shows substitute f T t = substitute f' T t
proof(rule substitute-cong-induct[OF - - assms])
  show carrier t ⊆ carrier (substitute f T t)
    by (rule carrier-substitute1)
next
fix x
assume x ∈ carrier (substitute f T t)
then obtain xs where xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t) and x ∈ set xs by transfer auto
thus carrier (f x) ⊆ carrier (substitute f T t)
proof(induction xs arbitrary: f t)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x' xs f t)
  from ⟨x' # xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)⟩
  have possible t x' and xs ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x' ⊗⊗ f x')) by auto
  from ⟨x ∈ set (x' # xs)⟩
  have x = x' ∨ (x ≠ x' ∧ x ∈ set xs) by auto
  hence carrier (f x) ⊆ carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x' ⊗⊗ f x'))
  proof(elim conjE disjE)
    assume x = x'
    have carrier (f x) ⊆ carrier (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x) by simp
    also have ... ⊆ carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x)) by (rule carrier-substitute1)
    finally show ?thesis unfolding ⟨x = x'⟩.
  next
  assume x ≠ x'
  hence [simp]: (f-nxt f T x' x) = f x by (simp add: f-nxt-def)
  assume x ∈ set xs
  from Cons.IH[OF ⟨xs ∈ - ∋ this] ]
  show carrier (f x) ⊆ carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x' ⊗⊗ f x')) by simp
qed
also
from ⟨possible t x'⟩
have carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x' ⊗⊗ f x')) ⊆ carrier (substitute f T t)
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x = x' # xa in exI)
  apply auto
  done
finally show ?case.
qed

```

```

qed

lemma substitute-substitute:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{const-on } f' (\text{carrier } (f x)) \text{ empty}$ 
shows  $\text{substitute } f T (\text{substitute } f' T t) = \text{substitute } (\lambda x. f x \otimes\otimes f' x) T t$ 
proof (rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI)
fix xs
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge f f' x'. f\text{-nxt } (\lambda x. f x \otimes\otimes f' x) T x' = (\lambda x. f\text{-nxt } f T x' x \otimes\otimes f\text{-nxt } f' T x')$ 
by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

from assms
show  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T (\text{substitute } f' T t)) \longleftrightarrow xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (\lambda x. f x \otimes\otimes f' x) T t)$ 
proof (induction xs arbitrary:  $ff' t$ )
case Nil thus ?case by simp
case (Cons x xs)
thus ?case
proof (cases possible t x)
case True

from Cons.prem
have prem':  $\bigwedge x'. \text{const-on } (f\text{-nxt } f' T x) (\text{carrier } (f x')) \text{ empty}$ 
by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)
hence  $\bigwedge x'. \text{const-on } (f\text{-nxt } f' T x) (\text{carrier } ((f\text{-nxt } f T x) x')) \text{ empty}$ 
by (metis carrier-empty const-onI emptyE f-nxt-def fun-upd-apply)
note Cons.IH[where  $f = f\text{-nxt } f T x$  and  $f' = f\text{-nxt } f' T x$ , OF this, simp]

have [simp]:  $\text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f x \otimes\otimes f' x = \text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f' x \otimes\otimes f x$ 
by (metis both-comm both-assoc)

show ?thesis using True
by (auto del: iffI simp add: substitute-only-empty-both[OF prem'[where  $x' = x$ ] , symmetric])
next
case False
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed

lemma ttree-rest-substitute:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{carrier } (f x) \cap S = \{\}$ 
shows  $\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t) = \text{ttree-restr } S t$ 
proof (rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI, rule iffI)
fix xs
assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t))$ 
then

```

```

obtain xs' where [simp]:  $xs = \text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) \text{ xs}'$  and  $\text{xs}' \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
from this(2) assms
have filter ( $\lambda x'. x' \in S$ )  $\text{xs}' \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$ 
proof (induction xs' arbitrary: f t)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x xs f t)
  from Cons.prems
  have possible t x and  $\text{xs} \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f x))$  by auto
  from Cons.prems(2)
  have ( $\bigwedge x'. \text{carrier } (f\text{-nxt } f T x x') \cap S = \{\}$ ) by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
  from Cons.IH[ $\text{OF } \langle \text{xs} \in \dashv \text{this} \rangle$ ]
  have [ $x' \leftarrow \text{xs} . x' \in S$ ]  $\in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x) \otimes\otimes \text{ttree-restr } S (f x))$  by (simp add: ttree-restr-both)
  hence [ $x' \leftarrow \text{xs} . x' \in S$ ]  $\in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x))$  by (simp add: ttree-restr-is-empty[ $\text{OF Cons.prems}(2)$ ])
    with ⟨possible t x⟩
    show [ $x' \leftarrow x \# \text{xs} . x' \in S$ ]  $\in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$ 
    by (cases x ∈ S) (auto simp add: Cons-path ttree-restr-possible dest: subsetD[ $\text{OF ttree-restr-nxt-subset2}$ ]
subsetD[ $\text{OF ttree-restr-nxt-subset}$ ])
qed
thus xs  $\in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$  by simp
next
fix xs
assume xs  $\in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$ 
then obtain xs' where [simp]:  $xs = \text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) \text{ xs}'$  and  $\text{xs}' \in \text{paths } t$ 
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
from this(2)
have xs'  $\in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$  by (rule subsetD[ $\text{OF substitute-contains-arg}$ ])
thus xs  $\in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t))$ 
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
qed

```

An alternative characterization of substitution

```

inductive substitute'': ('a ⇒ 'a ttree) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool where
substitute''-Nil: substitute'' f T [] []
| substitute''-Cons:
  zs  $\in \text{paths } (f x) \implies \text{xs}' \in \text{interleave } xs \text{ zs} \implies \text{substitute}'' (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T \text{ xs}' ys$ 
   $\implies \text{substitute}'' f T (x \# \text{xs}) (x \# ys)$ 
inductive-cases substitute''-NilE[elim]: substitute'' f T xs [] substitute'' f T [] xs
inductive-cases substitute''-Conse[elim]: substitute'' f T (x # xs) ys

lemma substitute-substitute'':
  xs  $\in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t) \longleftrightarrow (\exists \text{ xs}' \in \text{paths } t. \text{substitute}'' f T \text{ xs}' xs)$ 
proof
  assume xs  $\in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 

```

```

thus  $\exists xs' \in paths t. substitute'' f T xs' xs$ 
proof(induction xs arbitrary: f t)
  case Nil
    have substitute'' f T [] []..
    thus ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons x xs f t)
      from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)⟩
      have possible t x and xs ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x)) by (auto simp add: Cons-path)
      from Cons.IH[OF this(2)]
      obtain xs' where xs' ∈ paths (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x) and substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs' xs by auto
      from this(1)
      obtain ys' zs' where ys' ∈ paths (nxt t x) and zs' ∈ paths (f x) and xs' ∈ interleave ys' zs'
        by (auto simp add: paths-both)

      from this(2,3) ⟨substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs' xs⟩
      have substitute'' f T (x # ys') (x # xs)..  

      moreover
      from ⟨ys' ∈ paths (nxt t x)⟩ ⟨possible t x⟩
      have x # ys' ∈ paths t by (simp add: Cons-path)
      ultimately
        show ?case by auto
  qed
next
  assume  $\exists xs' \in paths t. substitute'' f T xs' xs$ 
  then obtain xs' where substitute'' f T xs' xs and xs' ∈ paths t by auto
  thus xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  proof(induction arbitrary: t rule: substitute''.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons xs' xs ys t)
      from Cons.prem Cons.hyps
      show ?case by (force simp add: Cons-path paths-both intro!: Cons.IH)
  qed
qed

lemma paths-substitute-substitute'':
  paths (substitute f T t) =  $\bigcup ((\lambda xs . Collect (substitute'' f T xs)) ` paths t)$ 
  by (auto simp add: substitute-substitute'')

lemma ttree-rest-substitute2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. carrier (f x) \subseteq S$ 
  assumes const-on f ( $-S$ ) empty
  shows ttree-restr S (substitute f T t) = substitute f T (ttree-restr S t)
  proof(rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI, rule iffI)
    fix xs

```

```

assume xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr S (substitute f T t))
then
obtain xs' where [simp]: xs = filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) xs' and xs' ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
from this(2) assms
have filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) xs' ∈ paths (substitute f T (ttree-restr S t))
proof (induction f T t xs' rule: substitute-induct)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons f T t x xs)
  from Cons.prems(1)
  have possible t x and xs ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x)) by auto
  note this(2)
  moreover
  from Cons.prems(2)
  have ⋀ x'. carrier (f-nxt f T x x') ⊆ S by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
  moreover
  from Cons.prems(3)
  have const-on (f-nxt f T x) (-S) empty by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)
  ultimately
  have [x'←xs . x' ∈ S] ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (ttree-restr S (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x)))
  by (rule Cons.IH)
  hence *: [x'←xs . x' ∈ S] ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (ttree-restr S (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x))) by (simp add: ttree-restr-both)
  show ?case
  proof (cases x ∈ S)
    case True
    show ?thesis
      using <possible t x> Cons.prems(3) * True
      by (auto simp add: ttree-restr-both ttree-restr-noop[OF Cons.prems(2)] intro: ttree-restr-possible
          dest: subsetD[OF substitute-mono2[OF both-mono1[OF ttree-restr-nxt-subset]]])
  next
    case False
    with <const-on f (- S) TTree.empty> have [simp]: f x = empty by auto
    hence [simp]: f-nxt f T x = f by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
    show ?thesis
    using * False
    by (auto dest: subsetD[OF substitute-mono2[OF ttree-restr-nxt-subset2]])
  qed
qed
thus xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (ttree-restr S t)) by simp
next
fix xs
assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (ttree-restr S t))
then obtain xs' where xs' ∈ paths t and substitute'' f T (filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) xs') xs
  unfolding substitute-substitute''
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
from this(2) assms

```

```

have  $\exists xs''. xs = filter (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs'' \wedge substitute'' f T xs' xs''$ 
  proof(induction (xs',xs) arbitrary: f xs' xs rule: measure-induct-rule[where f =  $\lambda (xs,ys).$ 
length (filter (\lambda x'. x' \notin S) xs) + length ys])
  case (less xs ys)
    note <substitute'' f T [x'←xs . x' ∈ S] ys>

  show ?case
  proof(cases xs)
    case Nil with less.preds have ys = [] by auto
      thus ?thesis using Nil by (auto, metis filter.simps(1) substitute''-Nil)
    next
    case (Cons x xs')
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases x ∈ S)
        case True with Cons less.preds
          have substitute'' f T (x# [x'←xs' . x' ∈ S]) ys by simp
          from substitute''-Conse[OF this]
          obtain zs xs'' ys' where ys = x # ys' and zs ∈ paths (f x) and xs'' ∈ interleave [x'←xs'
. x' ∈ S] zs and substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs'' ys'.
            from <zs ∈ paths (f x)> less.preds(2)
            have set zs ⊆ S by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
            hence [simp]: [x'←zs . x' ∈ S] = zs [x'←zs . x' ∉ S] = []
              by (metis UnCI Un-subset-iff eq-iff filter-True,
                  metis <set zs ⊆ S> filter-False insert-absorb insert-subset)

            from <xs'' ∈ interleave [x'←xs' . x' ∈ S] zs>
            have xs'' ∈ interleave [x'←xs' . x' ∈ S] [x'←zs . x' ∈ S] by simp
            then obtain xs''' where xs'' = [x'←xs''' . x' ∈ S] and xs''' ∈ interleave xs' zs by (rule
interleave-filter)

            from <xs''' ∈ interleave xs' zs>
            have l:  $\bigwedge P. \text{length}(\text{filter } P xs''') = \text{length}(\text{filter } P xs') + \text{length}(\text{filter } P zs)$ 
              by (induction) auto

            from <substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs'' ys'> <xs'' = ->
            have substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T [x'←xs''' . x' ∈ S] ys' by simp
            moreover
            from less.preds(2)
            have  $\bigwedge xa. \text{carrier}(f\text{-nxt } f T x xa) \subseteq S$ 
              by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
            moreover
            from less.preds(3)
            have const-on (f-nxt f T x) (- S) TTree.empty by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)
            ultimately
            have  $\exists ys''. ys' = [x'←ys'' . x' ∈ S] \wedge \text{substitute}'' (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T xs''' ys''$ 
              by (rule less.hyps[rotated])
              (auto simp add: <ys = -> <xs = -> <x ∈ S> <xs'' = ->[symmetric] l)[1]
            then obtain ys'' where ys' = [x'←ys'' . x' ∈ S] and substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs'''
ys'' by blast

```

```

hence  $ys = [x' \leftarrow x \# ys'' . x' \in S]$  using  $\langle x \in S \rangle \langle ys = \dashv \rangle$  by simp
moreover
from  $\langle zs \in paths(f x) \rangle \langle xs''' \in interleave xs' zs \rangle \langle substitute''(f-nxt f T x) T xs''' ys'' \rangle$ 
have  $substitute'' f T (x \# xs') (x \# ys'')$ 
by rule
ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding Cons by blast
next
case False with Cons less.prems
have  $substitute'' f T ([x' \leftarrow xs' . x' \in S]) ys$  by simp
hence  $\exists ys'. ys = [x' \leftarrow ys' . x' \in S] \wedge substitute'' f T xs' ys'$ 
by (rule less.hyps[OF - - less.prems(2,3), rotated]) (auto simp add:  $\langle xs = \dashv \rangle \langle x \notin S \rangle$ )
then obtain ys' where  $ys = [x' \leftarrow ys' . x' \in S]$  and  $substitute'' f T xs' ys'$  by auto
from this(1)
have  $ys = [x' \leftarrow x \# ys' . x' \in S]$  using  $\langle x \notin S \rangle \langle ys = \dashv \rangle$  by simp
moreover
have [simp]:  $f x = empty$  using  $\langle x \notin S \rangle$  less.prems(3) by force
hence  $f-nxt f T x = f$  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
with  $\langle substitute'' f T xs' ys' \rangle$ 
have  $substitute'' f T (x \# xs') (x \# ys'')$ 
by (auto intro: substitute''.intros)
ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding Cons by blast
qed
qed
qed
then obtain xs'' where  $xs = filter(\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs''$  and  $substitute'' f T xs' xs''$  by auto
from this(2)  $\langle xs' \in paths t \rangle$ 
have  $xs'' \in paths(substitute f T t)$  by (auto simp add: substitute-substitute'')
with  $\langle xs = \dashv \rangle$ 
show  $xs \in paths(ttree-restr S (substitute f T t))$ 
by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
qed
end

```

## 9.2 TTree-HOLCF

```

theory TTree-HOLCF
imports TTree Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils Set-Cpo Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes
begin

instantiation ttree :: (type) below
begin
lift-definition below-ttree :: 'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $\subseteq$ ).
instance..
end

```

```

lemma paths-mono:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{paths } t \sqsubseteq \text{paths } t'$   

  by transfer (auto simp add: below-set-def)

lemma paths-mono-iff:  $\text{paths } t \sqsubseteq \text{paths } t' \longleftrightarrow t \sqsubseteq t'$   

  by transfer (auto simp add: below-set-def)

lemma ttree-belowI:  $(\bigwedge xs. xs \in \text{paths } t \implies xs \in \text{paths } t') \implies t \sqsubseteq t'$   

  by transfer auto

lemma paths-belowI:  $(\bigwedge x xs. x \# xs \in \text{paths } t \implies x \# xs \in \text{paths } t') \implies t \sqsubseteq t'$   

  apply (rule ttree-belowI)  

  apply (case-tac xs)  

  apply auto  

  done

instance ttree :: (type) po  

  by standard (transfer, simp)+

lemma is-lub-ttree:  

 $S <<| \text{Either } S$   

unfolding is-lub-def is-ub-def  

  by transfer auto

lemma lub-is-either:  $\text{lub } S = \text{Either } S$   

  using is-lub-ttree by (rule lub-eqI)

instance ttree :: (type) cpo  

  by standard (rule exI, rule is-lub-ttree)

lemma minimal-ttree[simp, intro!]:  $\text{empty} \sqsubseteq S$   

  by transfer simp

instance ttree :: (type) pcpo  

  by standard (rule+)

lemma empty-is-bottom:  $\text{empty} = \perp$   

  by (metis below-bottom-iff minimal-ttree)

lemma carrier-bottom[simp]:  $\text{carrier } \perp = \{\}$   

unfolding empty-is-bottom[symmetric] by simp

lemma below-anything[simp]:  

 $t \sqsubseteq \text{anything}$   

by transfer auto

lemma carrier-mono:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{carrier } t \subseteq \text{carrier } t'$   

  by transfer auto

```

```

lemma nxt-mono:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{nxt } t \ x \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } t' \ x$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma either-above-arg1:  $t \sqsubseteq t \oplus\oplus t'$ 
  by transfer fastforce

lemma either-above-arg2:  $t' \sqsubseteq t \oplus\oplus t'$ 
  by transfer fastforce

lemma either-belowI:  $t \sqsubseteq t'' \implies t' \sqsubseteq t'' \implies t \oplus\oplus t' \sqsubseteq t''$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma both-above-arg1:  $t \sqsubseteq t \otimes\otimes t'$ 
  by transfer fastforce

lemma both-above-arg2:  $t' \sqsubseteq t \otimes\otimes t'$ 
  by transfer fastforce

lemma both-mono1':
   $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies t \otimes\otimes t'' \sqsubseteq t' \otimes\otimes t''$ 
  using both-mono1[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff].

lemma both-mono2':
   $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies t'' \otimes\otimes t \sqsubseteq t'' \otimes\otimes t'$ 
  using both-mono2[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff].

lemma nxt-both-left:
   $\text{possible } t \ x \implies \text{nxt } t \ x \otimes\otimes t' \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } (t \otimes\otimes t') \ x$ 
  by (auto simp add: nxt-both either-above-arg2)

lemma nxt-both-right:
   $\text{possible } t' \ x \implies t \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t' \ x \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } (t \otimes\otimes t') \ x$ 
  by (auto simp add: nxt-both either-above-arg1)

lemma substitute-mono1':  $f \sqsubseteq f' \implies \text{substitute } f \ T \ t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f' \ T \ t$ 
  using substitute-mono1[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff] fun-belowD
  by metis

lemma substitute-mono2':  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{substitute } f \ T \ t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f \ T \ t'$ 
  using substitute-mono2[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff].

lemma substitute-above-arg:  $t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f \ T \ t$ 
  using substitute-contains-arg[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff].

lemma ttree-contI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge S. f (\text{Either } S) = \text{Either } (f`S)$ 
  shows cont f

```

```

proof(rule contI)
  fix Y :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree
  have range ( $\lambda i. f (Y i)$ ) = f ` range Y by auto
  also have Either ... = f (Either (range Y)) unfolding assms(1)..
  also have Either (range Y) = lub (range Y) unfolding lub-is-either by simp
  finally
  show range ( $\lambda i. f (Y i)$ ) <<| f ( $\bigsqcup i. Y i$ ) by (metis is-lub-ttree)
qed

lemma ttree-contI2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{paths} (f x) = \bigcup (t` \text{paths} x)$ 
  assumes []  $\in t$  []
  shows cont f
proof(rule contI)
  fix Y :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree
  have paths (Either (range ( $\lambda i. f (Y i)$ ))) = insert [] ( $\bigcup x. \text{paths} (f (Y x))$ )
    by (simp add: paths-Either)
  also have ... = insert [] ( $\bigcup x. \bigcup (t` \text{paths} (Y x))$ )
    by (simp add: assms(1))
  also have ... =  $\bigcup (t` \text{insert } [] (\bigcup x. \text{paths} (Y x)))$ 
    using assms(2) by (auto cong add: SUP-cong-simp)
  also have ... =  $\bigcup (t` \text{paths} (\text{Either} (\text{range } Y)))$ 
    by (auto simp add: paths-Either)
  also have ... = paths (f (Either (range Y)))
    by (simp add: assms(1))
  also have ... = paths (f (lub (range Y))) unfolding lub-is-either by simp
  finally
  show range ( $\lambda i. f (Y i)$ ) <<| f ( $\bigsqcup i. Y i$ ) by (metis is-lub-ttree paths-inj)
qed

lemma cont-paths[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont paths
  apply (rule set-contI)
  apply (thin-tac -)
  unfolding lub-is-either
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  done

lemma ttree-contI3:
  assumes cont ( $\lambda x. \text{paths} (f x)$ )
  shows cont f
  apply (rule contI2)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (subst paths-mono-iff[symmetric])
  apply (erule cont2monofunE[OF assms])
  apply (subst paths-mono-iff[symmetric])

```

```

apply (subst cont2contlubE[OF cont-paths[OF cont-id]], assumption)
apply (subst cont2contlubE[OF assms], assumption)
apply rule
done

lemma cont-substitute[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont (substitute f T)
  apply (rule ttree-contI2)
  apply (rule paths-substitute-substitute'')
  apply (auto intro: substitute''.intros)
done

lemma cont-both1:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{both } x y$ )
  apply (rule ttree-contI2[where  $t = \lambda xs . \{zs . \exists ys \in \text{paths } y. zs \in xs \otimes ys\}$ ])
  apply (rule set-eqI)
  by (auto intro: simp add: paths-both)

lemma cont-both2:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{both } y x$ )
  apply (rule ttree-contI2[where  $t = \lambda ys . \{zs . \exists xs \in \text{paths } y. zs \in xs \otimes ys\}$ ])
  apply (rule set-eqI)
  by (auto intro: simp add: paths-both)

lemma cont-both[cont2cont,simp]: cont f  $\implies$  cont g  $\implies$  cont ( $\lambda x. f x \otimes\otimes g x$ )
by (rule cont-compose2[OF cont-both1 cont-both2])

lemma cont-intersect1:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{intersect } x y$ )
  by (rule ttree-contI2 [where  $t = \lambda xs . (\text{if } xs \in \text{paths } y \text{ then } \{xs\} \text{ else } \{\})$ ])
    (auto split: if-splits)

lemma cont-intersect2:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{intersect } y x$ )
  by (rule ttree-contI2 [where  $t = \lambda xs . (\text{if } xs \in \text{paths } y \text{ then } \{xs\} \text{ else } \{\})$ ])
    (auto split: if-splits)

lemma cont-intersect[cont2cont,simp]: cont f  $\implies$  cont g  $\implies$  cont ( $\lambda x. f x \cap\cap g x$ )
by (rule cont-compose2[OF cont-intersect1 cont-intersect2])

lemma cont-without[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont,simp]: cont (without x)
by (rule ttree-contI2[where  $t = \lambda xs. \{\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \neq x) xs\}$ ])
  (transfer, auto)

lemma paths-many-calls-subset:
   $t \sqsubseteq \text{many-calls } x \otimes\otimes \text{without } x t$ 
  by (metis (full-types) below-set-def paths-many-calls-subset paths-mono-iff)

```

```

lemma single-below:
[x] ∈ paths t ==> single x ⊑ t by transfer auto

lemma cont-ttree-restr[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont,simp]: cont (ttree-restr S)
by (rule ttree-contI2[where t = λ xs.{filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) xs}])
(transfer, auto)

lemmas ttree-restr-mono = cont2monofunE[OF cont-ttree-restr[OF cont-id]]

lemma range-filter[simp]: range (filter P) = {xs. set xs ⊆ Collect P}
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = x in rev-image-eqI)
apply simp
apply (rule sym)
apply (auto simp add: filter-id-conv)
done

lemma ttree-restr-anything-cont[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
cont (λ S. ttree-restr S anything)
apply (rule ttree-contI3)
apply (rule set-contI)
apply (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] lub-set)
apply (rule finite-subset-chain)
apply auto
done

instance ttree :: (type) Finite-Join-cpo
proof
fix x y :: 'a ttree
show compatible x y
  unfolding compatible-def
  apply (rule exI)
  apply (rule is-lub-ttree)
  done
qed

lemma ttree-join-is-either:
t ⊔ t' = t ⊕⊕ t'
proof-
have t ⊕⊕ t' = Either {t, t'} by transfer auto
thus t ⊔ t' = t ⊕⊕ t' by (metis lub-is-join is-lub-ttree)
qed

lemma ttree-join-transfer[transfer-rule]: rel-fun (pcr-ttree (=)) (rel-fun (pcr-ttree (=)) (pcr-ttree (=))) (⊔) (⊔)
proof-
have (⊔) = ((⊕⊕) :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree) using ttree-join-is-either by blast

```

```

thus ?thesis using either.transfer by metis
qed

lemma ttree-restr-join[simp]:
  ttree-restr S (t ∘ t') = ttree-restr S t ∘ ttree-restr S t'
  by transfer auto

lemma nxt-singles-below-singles:
  nxt (singles S) x ⊑ singles S
  apply auto
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  apply (erule-tac x = xc in ballE)
  apply (erule order-trans[rotated])
  apply (rule length-filter-mono)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  done

lemma in-carrier-fup[simp]:
  x' ∈ carrier (fup·f·u) ↔ (∃ u'. u = up·u' ∧ x' ∈ carrier (f·u'))
  by (cases u) auto

end

```

## 10 Trace Tree Cardinality Analysis

### 10.1 AnalBinds

```

theory AnalBinds
imports Launchbury.Terms Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils Launchbury.Env
begin

locale ExpAnalysis =
  fixes exp :: exp ⇒ 'a::cpo → 'b::pcpo
begin

fun AnalBinds :: heap ⇒ (var ⇒ 'a⊥) → (var ⇒ 'b)
  where AnalBinds [] = (Λ ae. ⊥)
    | AnalBinds ((x,e) # Γ) = (Λ ae. (AnalBinds Γ · ae)(x := fup · (exp e) · (ae x)))

lemma AnalBinds-Nil-simp[simp]: AnalBinds [] · ae = ⊥ by simp

lemma AnalBinds-Cons[simp]:
  AnalBinds ((x,e) # Γ) · ae = (AnalBinds Γ · ae)(x := fup · (exp e) · (ae x))
  by simp

lemmas AnalBinds.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma AnalBinds-not-there:  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies (\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) x = \perp$ 
  by (induction  $\Gamma$  rule: AnalBinds.induct) auto

lemma AnalBinds-cong:
  assumes  $ae f|' \text{domA } \Gamma = ae' f|' \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae = \text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae'$ 
  using env-restr-eqD[OF assms]
  by (induction  $\Gamma$  rule: AnalBinds.induct) (auto split: if-splits)

lemma AnalBinds-lookup:  $(\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) x = (\text{case map-of } \Gamma x \text{ of Some } e \Rightarrow \text{fup}(\exp e) \cdot (ae x) \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \perp)$ 
  by (induction  $\Gamma$  rule: AnalBinds.induct) auto

lemma AnalBinds-delete-bot:  $ae x = \perp \implies \text{AnalBinds } (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae = \text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
  by (auto simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split simp add: delete-conv)

lemma AnalBinds-delete-below:  $\text{AnalBinds } (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqsubseteq \text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
  by (auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split)

lemma AnalBinds-delete-lookup[simp]:  $(\text{AnalBinds } (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae) x = \perp$ 
  by (auto simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split)

lemma AnalBinds-delete-to-fun-upd:  $\text{AnalBinds } (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae = (\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae)(x := \perp)$ 
  by (auto simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split)

lemma edom-AnalBinds:  $\text{edom } (\text{AnalBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma \cap \text{edom } ae$ 
  by (induction  $\Gamma$  rule: AnalBinds.induct) (auto simp add: edom-def)

end

end

```

## 10.2 TTreeAnalysisSig

```

theory TTreeAnalysisSig
imports Arity TTree-HOLCF AnalBinds
begin

locale TTreeAnalysis =
  fixes Texp :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  var ttree
begin
  sublocale Texp: ExpAnalysis Texp.
  abbreviation FBinds == Texp.AnalBinds
end

end

```

### 10.3 Cardinality-Domain-Lists

```

theory Cardinality-Domain-Lists
imports Launchbury.Vars Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Env Cardinality-Domain
Set-Cpo Env-Set-Cpo
begin

fun no-call-in-path where
  no-call-in-path x []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
| no-call-in-path x (y#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  y  $\neq$  x  $\wedge$  no-call-in-path x xs

fun one-call-in-path where
  one-call-in-path x []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
| one-call-in-path x (y#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (if x = y then no-call-in-path x xs else one-call-in-path x xs)

lemma no-call-in-path-set-conv:
  no-call-in-path x p  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\notin$  set p
  by(induction p) auto

lemma one-call-in-path-filter-conv:
  one-call-in-path x p  $\longleftrightarrow$  length (filter ( $\lambda$  x'. x' = x) p)  $\leq$  1
  by(induction p) (auto simp add: no-call-in-path-set-conv filter-empty-conv)

lemma no-call-in-tail: no-call-in-path x (tl p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (no-call-in-path x p  $\vee$  one-call-in-path x p  $\wedge$ 
hd p = x)
  by(induction p) auto

lemma no-imp-one: no-call-in-path x p  $\implies$  one-call-in-path x p
  by (induction p) auto

lemma one-imp-one-tail: one-call-in-path x p  $\implies$  one-call-in-path x (tl p)
  by (induction p) (auto split: if-splits intro: no-imp-one)

lemma more-than-one-setD:
   $\neg$  one-call-in-path x p  $\implies$  x  $\in$  set p
  by (induction p) (auto split: if-splits)

lemma no-call-in-path[eqvt]: no-call-in-path p x  $\implies$  no-call-in-path ( $\pi \cdot p$ ) ( $\pi \cdot x$ )
  by (induction p x rule: no-call-in-path.induct) auto

lemma one-call-in-path[eqvt]: one-call-in-path p x  $\implies$  one-call-in-path ( $\pi \cdot p$ ) ( $\pi \cdot x$ )
  by (induction p x rule: one-call-in-path.induct) (auto dest: no-call-in-path)

definition pathCard :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  two)
  where pathCard p x = (if no-call-in-path x p then none else (if one-call-in-path x p then once
else many))

lemma pathCard-Nil[simp]: pathCard [] =  $\perp$ 
  by rule (simp add: pathCard-def)

```

```

lemma pathCard-Cons[simp]: pathCard (x#xs) x = two-add·once·(pathCard xs x)
  unfolding pathCard-def
  by (auto simp add: two-add-simp)

lemma pathCard-Cons-other[simp]: x' ≠ x ==> pathCard (x#xs) x' = pathCard xs x'
  unfolding pathCard-def by auto

lemma no-call-in-path-filter[simp]: no-call-in-path x [x←xs . x ∈ S] ↔ no-call-in-path x xs ∨
  x ∉ S
  by (induction xs) auto

lemma one-call-in-path-filter[simp]: one-call-in-path x [x←xs . x ∈ S] ↔ one-call-in-path x xs
  ∨ x ∉ S
  by (induction xs) auto

definition pathsCard :: var list set ⇒ (var ⇒ two)
  where pathsCard ps x = (if (∀ p ∈ ps. no-call-in-path x p) then none else (if (∀ p ∈ ps.
  one-call-in-path x p) then once else many))

lemma paths-Card-above:
  p ∈ ps ==> pathCard p ⊑ pathsCard ps
  by (rule fun-belowI) (auto simp add: pathsCard-def pathCard-def)

lemma pathsCard-below:
  assumes ⋀ p. p ∈ ps ==> pathCard p ⊑ ce
  shows pathsCard ps ⊑ ce
  proof(rule fun-belowI)
    fix x
    show pathsCard ps x ⊑ ce x
      by (auto simp add: pathsCard-def pathCard-def split: if-splits dest!: fun-belowD[OF assms,
      where x = x] elim: below-trans[rotated] dest: no-imp-one)
    qed

  lemma pathsCard-mono:
    ps ⊑ ps' ==> pathsCard ps ⊑ pathsCard ps'
    by (auto intro: pathsCard-below paths-Card-above)

  lemmas pathsCard-mono' = pathsCard-mono[folded below-set-def]

  lemma record-call-pathsCard:
    pathsCard ({ tl p | p . p ∈ fs ∧ hd p = x}) ⊑ record-call x · (pathsCard fs)
    proof (rule pathsCard-below)
      fix p'
      assume p' ∈ { tl p | p . p ∈ fs ∧ hd p = x}
      then obtain p where p' = tl p and p ∈ fs and hd p = x by auto

      have pathCard (tl p) ⊑ record-call x · (pathCard p)
        apply (rule fun-belowI)
        using ‹hd p = x› by (auto simp add: pathCard-def record-call-simp no-call-in-tail dest:

```

*one-imp-one-tail)*

```

hence pathCard (tl p) ⊑ record-call x·(pathsCard fs)
  by (rule below-trans[OF - monofun-cfun-arg[OF paths-Card-above[OF `p ∈ fs]]])
  thus pathCard p' ⊑ record-call x·(pathsCard fs) using `p' = -> by simp
qed

lemma pathCards-noneD:
  pathsCard ps x = none  $\implies$  x  $\notin \bigcup (\text{set } `ps)$ 
  by (auto simp add: pathsCard-def no-call-in-path-set-conv split:if-splits)

lemma cont-pathsCard[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont pathsCard
  by (fastforce intro!: cont2cont-lambda cont-if-else-above simp add: pathsCard-def below-set-def)

lemma pathsCard-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot \text{pathsCard } ps \ x = \text{pathsCard } (\pi \cdot ps) \ (\pi \cdot x)$ 
  unfold pathsCard-def by perm-simp rule

lemma edom-pathsCard[simp]: edom (pathsCard ps) =  $\bigcup (\text{set } `ps)$ 
  unfold edom-def pathsCard-def
  by (auto simp add: no-call-in-path-set-conv)

lemma env-restr-pathsCard[simp]: pathsCard ps f`S = pathsCard (filter ( $\lambda x. x \in S$ ) `ps)
  by (auto simp add: pathsCard-def lookup-env-restr-eq)

end

```

## 10.4 TTreeAnalysisSpec

```

theory TTreeAnalysisSpec
imports TTreeAnalysisSig ArityAnalysisSpec Cardinality-Domain-Lists
begin

locale TTreeAnalysisCarrier = TTreeAnalysis + EdomArityAnalysis +
  assumes carrier-Fexp: carrier (Texp e·a) = edom (Aexp e·a)

locale TTreeAnalysisSafe = TTreeAnalysisCarrier +
  assumes Texp-App: many-calls x  $\otimes\otimes$  (Texp e)·(inc·a) ⊑ Texp (App e x)·a
  assumes Texp-Lam: without y (Texp e·(pred·n)) ⊑ Texp (Lam [y]. e) · n
  assumes Texp-subst: Texp (e[y:=x])·a ⊑ many-calls x  $\otimes\otimes$  without y ((Texp e)·a)
  assumes Texp-Var: single v ⊑ Texp (Var v)·a
  assumes Fun-repeatable: isVal e  $\implies$  repeatable (Texp e·0)
  assumes Texp-IfThenElse: Texp scrut·0  $\otimes\otimes$  (Texp e1·a  $\oplus\oplus$  Texp e2·a) ⊑ Texp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a

locale TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap =
  TTreeAnalysisSafe + ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
  fixes Theap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  var ttree

```

```

assumes carrier-Fheap: carrier (Theap Γ e·a) = edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
assumes Theap-thunk:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies p \in \text{paths } (\text{Theap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \implies \neg \text{one-call-in-path } x p$ 
 $\implies (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
assumes Theap-substitute: ttree-restr (domA Δ) (substitute (FBinds Δ · (Aheap Δ e · a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e · a)) ⊑ Theap Δ e · a
assumes Texp-Let: ttree-restr (– domA Δ) (substitute (FBinds Δ · (Aheap Δ e · a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e · a)) ⊑ Texp (Terms.Let Δ e) · a

```

end

## 10.5 TTTreeImplCardinality

```

theory TTTreeImplCardinality
imports TTTreeAnalysisSig CardinalityAnalysisSig Cardinality-Domain-Lists
begin

```

```

context TTTreeAnalysis
begin

```

```

fun unstack :: stack ⇒ exp ⇒ exp where
  unstack [] e = e
  | unstack (Alts e1 e2 # S) e = unstack S e
  | unstack (Upd x # S) e = unstack S e
  | unstack (Arg x # S) e = unstack S (App e x)
  | unstack (Dummy x # S) e = unstack S e

```

```

fun Fstack :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ var ttree
  where Fstack - [] = ⊥
  | Fstack (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Texp e1 · a ⊕⊕ Texp e2 · a) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S
  | Fstack as (Arg x # S) = many-calls x ⊗⊗ Fstack as S
  | Fstack as (- # S) = Fstack as S

```

```

fun prognosis :: AEnv ⇒ Arity list ⇒ Arity ⇒ conf ⇒ var ⇒ two
  where prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S) = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds Γ · ae) (thunks Γ) (Texp e · a) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))
end

```

end

## 10.6 TTTreeImplCardinalitySafe

```

theory TTTreeImplCardinalitySafe
imports TTTreeImplCardinality TTTreeAnalysisSpec CardinalityAnalysisSpec

```

```

begin

lemma pathsCard-paths-nxt: pathsCard (paths (nxt f x)) ⊑ record-call x·(pathsCard (paths f))
  apply transfer
  apply (rule pathsCard-below)
  apply auto
  apply (erule below-trans[OF - monofun-cfun-arg[OF paths-Card-above], rotated]) back
  apply (auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: record-call-simp two-pred-two-add-once)
  done

lemma pathsCards-none: pathsCard (paths t) x = none ⟹ x ∉ carrier t
  by transfer (auto dest: pathCards-noneD)

lemma const-on-edom-disj: const-on f S empty ⟷ edom f ∩ S = {}
  by (auto simp add: empty-is-bottom edom-def)

context TTreeAnalysisCarrier
begin

lemma carrier-Fstack: carrier (Fstack as S) ⊆ fv S
  by (induction S rule: Fstack.induct)
    (auto simp add: empty-is-bottom[symmetric] carrier-Fexp dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])

lemma carrier-FBinds: carrier ((FBinds Γ·ae) x) ⊆ fv Γ
  apply (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
  apply (auto split: option.split simp add: empty-is-bottom[symmetric] )
  apply (case-tac ae x)
  apply (auto simp add: empty-is-bottom[symmetric] carrier-Fexp dest!: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])
  by (metis (poly-guards-query) contra-subsetD domA-from-set map-of-fv-subset map-of-SomeD
option.sel)
end

context TTreeAnalysisSafe
begin

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisShape prognosis
proof
  fix Γ :: heap and ae ae' :: AEnv and u e S as
  assume ae f|` domA Γ = ae' f|` domA Γ
  from Texp.AnalBinds-cong[OF this]
  show prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, S) = prognosis ae' as u (Γ, e, S) by simp
next
  fix ae as a Γ e S
  show const-on (prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S)) (ap S) many
  proof
    fix x
    assume x ∈ ap S
    hence [x,x] ∈ paths (Fstack as S)
      by (induction S rule: Fstack.induct)
        (auto 4 4 intro: subsetD[OF both-contains-arg1] subsetD[OF both-contains-arg2])
  qed
qed

```

```

paths-Cons-nxt)
  hence  $[x,x] \in paths(Texp e \cdot a \otimes\otimes Fstack as S)$ 
    by (rule subsetD[OF both-contains-arg2])
  hence  $[x,x] \in paths(substitute(FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae)(thunks \Gamma)(Texp e \cdot a \otimes\otimes Fstack as S))$ 
    by (rule subsetD[OF substitute-contains-arg])
  hence  $pathCard[x,x] x \sqsubseteq pathsCard(paths(substitute(FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae)(thunks \Gamma)(Texp e \cdot a \otimes\otimes Fstack as S))) x$ 
    by (metis fun-belowD paths-Card-above)
  also have  $pathCard[x,x] x = many$  by (auto simp add: pathCard-def)
  finally
    show  $prognosis ae as a (\Gamma, e, S) x = many$ 
      by (auto intro: below-antisym)
  qed
next
fix  $\Gamma \Delta :: heap$  and  $e :: exp$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $as u S$ 
assume  $map-of \Gamma = map-of \Delta$ 
hence  $FBinds \Gamma = FBinds \Delta$  and  $thunks \Gamma = thunks \Delta$  by (auto intro!: cfun-eqI thunks-cong
simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
thus  $prognosis ae as u (\Gamma, e, S) = prognosis ae as u (\Delta, e, S)$  by simp
next
fix  $\Gamma :: heap$  and  $e :: exp$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $as u S x$ 
show  $prognosis ae as u (\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq prognosis ae as u (\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S)$  by simp
next
fix  $\Gamma :: heap$  and  $e :: exp$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $as a S x$ 
assume  $ae x = \perp$ 

hence  $FBinds(delete x \Gamma) \cdot ae = FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$  by (rule Texp.AnalBinds-delete-bot)
moreover
hence  $((FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) x) = \perp$  by (metis Texp.AnalBinds-delete-lookup)
ultimately
show  $prognosis ae as a (\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq prognosis ae as a (delete x \Gamma, e, S)$ 
  by (simp add: substitute-T-delete empty-is-bottom)
next
fix  $ae as a \Gamma x S$ 
have  $once \sqsubseteq (pathCard[x]) x$  by (simp add: two-add-simp)
also have  $pathCard[x] \sqsubseteq pathsCard(\{\}, [x])$ 
  by (rule paths-Card-above) simp
also have  $\dots = pathsCard(paths(single x))$  by simp
also have  $single x \sqsubseteq (Texp(Var x) \cdot a)$  by (rule Texp-Var)
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq Texp(Var x) \cdot a \otimes\otimes Fstack as S$  by (rule both-above-arg1)
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq substitute(FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae)(thunks \Gamma)(Texp(Var x) \cdot a \otimes\otimes Fstack as S)$  by
  (rule substitute-above-arg)
also have  $pathsCard(paths \dots) x = prognosis ae as a (\Gamma, Var x, S) x$  by simp
finally
show  $once \sqsubseteq prognosis ae as a (\Gamma, Var x, S) x$ 
  by this (rule cont2cont-fun, intro cont2cont)+
qed

```

**sublocale** *CardinalityPrognosisApp* *prognosis*

```

proof
  fix ae as a  $\Gamma$  e x S
  have  $\text{Texp } e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot a) \otimes \otimes \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S = \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes (\text{Texp } e) \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot a)$ 
   $\otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S$ 
    by (metis both-assoc both-comm)
  thus prognosis ae as a (inc · a) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Arg x # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , App e x, S)
    by simp (intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' Texp-App)
  qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLam prognosis
proof
  fix ae as a  $\Gamma$  e y x S
  have  $\text{Texp } e[y:=x] \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot a) \sqsubseteq \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{Texp } (\text{Lam } [y]. e) \cdot a$ 
    by (rule below-trans[OF Texp-subst both-mono2'[OF Texp-Lam]])
  moreover have  $\text{Texp } (\text{Lam } [y]. e) \cdot a \otimes \otimes \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S = \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{Texp } (\text{Lam } [y]. e) \cdot a \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S$ 
    by (metis both-assoc both-comm)
  ultimately
    show prognosis ae as a (pred · a) ( $\Gamma$ , e[y:=x], S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S)
      by simp (intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1')
  qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisVar prognosis
proof
  fix  $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$  and e :: exp and x :: var and ae :: AEnv and as u a S
  assume map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e
  assume ae x = up · u

  assume isVal e
  hence x  $\notin$  thunks  $\Gamma$  using ⟨map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e⟩ by (metis thunksE)
  hence [simp]: f-nxt (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) x = FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

  have prognosis ae as u ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ))
  (Texp e · u  $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S))
    by simp
  also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ )) (nxt (single x) x  $\otimes \otimes$ 
  Texp e · u  $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S))
    by simp
  also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ )) ((nxt (single x) x
   $\otimes \otimes$  Fstack as S)  $\otimes \otimes$  Texp e · u)))
    by (metis both-assoc both-comm)
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ )) (nxt (single x  $\otimes \otimes$ 
  Fstack as S) x  $\otimes \otimes$  Texp e · u)))
    by (intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' nxt-both-left) simp
  also have ... = pathsCard (paths (nxt (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ )) (single x  $\otimes \otimes$ 
  Fstack as S)) x))
    using ⟨map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up · u⟩ by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  record-call x · (pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ )) (single
  Fstack as S)) x))
```

```

 $x \otimes\otimes Fstack as S))))$ 
  by (rule pathsCard-paths-nxt)
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq record-call x \cdot (pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (thunks \Gamma) ((Texp (Var x) \cdot a) \otimes\otimes Fstack as S))))$ 
    by (intro monofun-cfun-arg pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' Texp-Var)
  also have ... = record-call x ·(prognosis ae as a (\Gamma, Var x, S))
    by simp
  finally
    show prognosis ae as u (\Gamma, e, S)  $\sqsubseteq record-call x \cdot (prognosis ae as a (\Gamma, Var x, S))$  by this simp-all
  next
    fix  $\Gamma :: heap$  and  $e :: exp$  and  $x :: var$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $as u :: S$ 
    assume map-of  $\Gamma x = Some e$ 
    assume  $ae x = up \cdot u$ 
    assume  $\neg isVal e$ 
    hence  $x \in thunks \Gamma$  using ⟨map-of  $\Gamma x = Some e$ ⟩ by (metis thunksI)
    hence [simp]:  $f\text{-}nxt (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (thunks \Gamma) x = FBinds (delete x \Gamma) \cdot ae$ 
      by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def Texp.AnalBinds-delete-to-fun-upd empty-is-bottom)

    have prognosis ae as u ( $delete x \Gamma, e, Upd x \# S = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds (delete x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (thunks (delete x \Gamma)) (Texp e \cdot u \otimes\otimes Fstack as S)))$ )
      by simp
    also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds (delete x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (thunks \Gamma) (Texp e \cdot u  $\otimes\otimes Fstack as S)))) by (rule arg-cong[OF substitute-cong-T]) (auto simp add: empty-is-bottom)
    also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds (delete x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (thunks \Gamma) (nxt (single x) x  $\otimes\otimes Texp e \cdot u \otimes\otimes Fstack as S)))) by simp
    also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds (delete x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (thunks \Gamma) ((nxt (single x) x  $\otimes\otimes Fstack as S) \otimes\otimes Texp e \cdot u)))) by (metis both-assoc both-comm)
    also have ...  $\sqsubseteq pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds (delete x \Gamma) \cdot ae) (thunks \Gamma) (nxt (single x  $\otimes\otimes Fstack as S) x \otimes\otimes Texp e \cdot u))))$  by (intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' nxt-both-left) simp
    also have ... = pathsCard (paths (nxt (substitute (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (thunks \Gamma) (single x  $\otimes\otimes Fstack as S) x)))) using ⟨map-of  $\Gamma x = Some e$ ⟩ ⟨ae x = up \cdot u⟩ by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
    also have ...  $\sqsubseteq record-call x \cdot (pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (thunks \Gamma) (single x  $\otimes\otimes Fstack as S))))$  by (rule pathsCard-paths-nxt)
    also have ...  $\sqsubseteq record-call x \cdot (pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (thunks \Gamma) ((Texp (Var x) \cdot a) \otimes\otimes Fstack as S))))$  by (intro monofun-cfun-arg pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' Texp-Var)
    also have ... = record-call x ·(prognosis ae as a (\Gamma, Var x, S))
      by simp
    finally
      show prognosis ae as u ( $delete x \Gamma, e, Upd x \# S \sqsubseteq record-call x \cdot (prognosis ae as a (\Gamma, Var x, S))$ )$$$$$$ 
```

$x, S)$ ) by this simp-all

next

```

fix  $\Gamma :: heap$  and  $e :: exp$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $x :: var$  and  $as S$ 
assume  $isVal e$ 
hence repeatable ( $Texp e \cdot 0$ ) by (rule Fun-repeatable)

assume [simp]:  $x \notin domA \Gamma$ 

have [simp]:  $thunks ((x, e) \# \Gamma) = thunks \Gamma$ 
  using ⟨ $isVal e$ ⟩
  by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons dest: subsetD[OF thunks-domA])

have  $fup \cdot (Texp e) \cdot (ae x) \sqsubseteq Texp e \cdot 0$  by (metis fup2 monofun-cfun-arg up-zero-top)
hence substitute (( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ )( $x := fup \cdot (Texp e) \cdot (ae x)$ )) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp e \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  substitute (( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ )( $x := Texp e \cdot 0$ )) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp e \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )
  by (intro substitute-mono1' fun-upd-mono below-refl monofun-cfun-arg)
also have ... = substitute ((( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ )( $x := Texp e \cdot 0$ ))( $x := empty$ )) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp e \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )
  using ⟨repeatable ( $Texp e \cdot 0$ )⟩ by (rule substitute-remove-anyways, simp)
also have (( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ )( $x := Texp e \cdot 0$ ))( $x := empty$ ) =  $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
  by (simp add: fun-upd-idem Texp.AnalBinds-not-there empty-is-bottom)
finally
show prognosis ae as 0 (( $x, e$ ) #  $\Gamma$ ,  $e, S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as 0 ( $\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S$ )
  by (simp, intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono)
qed
```

sublocale  $CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse$  prognosis

proof

```

fix  $ae$  as  $\Gamma$  scrut  $e1 e2 S a$ 
have  $Texp \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (Texp e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp e2 \cdot a) \sqsubseteq Texp (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
  by (rule Texp-IfThenElse)
hence substitute ( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ ) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (Texp e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp e2 \cdot a)$   $\otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  substitute ( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ ) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )
  by (rule substitute-mono2'[OF both-mono1'])
thus prognosis ae (a#as) 0 ( $\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma, \text{scrut} ? e1 : e2, S$ )
  by (simp, intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono)
next
fix  $ae$  as  $a \Gamma b e1 e2 S$ 
have  $Texp (\text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2) \cdot a \sqsubseteq Texp e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp e2 \cdot a$ 
  by (auto simp add: either-above-arg1 either-above-arg2)
hence substitute ( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ ) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp (\text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2) \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  substitute ( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ ) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp (\text{Bool } b) \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (Texp e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp e2 \cdot a) \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )
  by (rule substitute-mono2'[OF both-mono1'[OF below-trans[OF - both-above-arg2]]])
thus prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae (a#as) 0 ( $\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S$ )
  by (auto intro!: pathsCard-mono' paths-mono)
```

```

qed

end

context TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap
begin

definition cHeap where
  cHeap Γ e = (Λ a. pathsCard (paths (Theap Γ e·a)))

lemma cHeap-simp: (cHeap Γ e)·a = pathsCard (paths (Theap Γ e·a))
  unfolding cHeap-def by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

sublocale CardinalityHeap cHeap.

sublocale CardinalityHeapSafe cHeap Aheap
proof
  fix x Γ e a
  assume x ∈ thunks Γ
  moreover
  assume many ⊑ (cHeap Γ e·a) x
  hence many ⊑ pathsCard (paths (Theap Γ e·a)) x unfolding cHeap-def by simp
  hence ∃ p ∈ (paths (Theap Γ e·a)). ⊓ (one-call-in-path x p) unfolding pathsCard-def
    by (auto split: if-splits)
  ultimately
  show (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0
    by (metis Theap-thunk)
next
  fix Γ e a
  show edom (cHeap Γ e·a) = edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
    by (simp add: cHeap-def Union-paths-carrier carrier-Fheap)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisEdom prognosis
proof
  fix ae as a Γ e S
  show edom (prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S)) ⊆ fv Γ ∪ fv e ∪ fv S
    apply (simp add: Union-paths-carrier)
    apply (rule carrier-substitute-below)
    apply (auto simp add: carrier-Fexp dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom] subsetD[OF carrier-Fstack]
      subsetD[OF ap-fv-subset] subsetD[OF carrier-FBinds])
    done
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLet prognosis cHeap
proof
  fix Δ Γ :: heap and e :: exp and S :: stack and ae :: AEnv and a :: Arity and as
  assume atom ` domA Δ #* Γ
  assume atom ` domA Δ #* S

```

```

assume edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S

have domA Δ ∩ edom ae = {}
  using fresh-distinct[OF ⟨atom ‘ domA Δ #* Γ⟩] fresh-distinct-fv[OF ⟨atom ‘ domA Δ #*
S⟩]
  ⟨edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S⟩ ups-fv-subset[of S]
  by auto

have const-on1: ∧ x. const-on (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (carrier ((FBinds Γ·ae) x))
empty
  unfolding const-on-edom-disj using fresh-distinct-fv[OF ⟨atom ‘ domA Δ #* Γ⟩]
  by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF carrier-FBinds] subsetD[OF Texp.edom-AnalBinds])
have const-on2: const-on (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (carrier (Fstack as S)) empty
  unfolding const-on-edom-disj using fresh-distinct-fv[OF ⟨atom ‘ domA Δ #* S⟩]
  by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF carrier-FBinds] subsetD[OF carrier-Fstack] subsetD[OF Texp.edom-AnalBinds]
subsetD[OF ap-fv-subset ])
have const-on3: const-on (FBinds Γ·ae) (− (− domA Δ)) TTree.empty
  and const-on4: const-on (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (domA Γ) TTree.empty
  unfolding const-on-edom-disj using fresh-distinct[OF ⟨atom ‘ domA Δ #* Γ⟩]
  by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF Texp.edom-AnalBinds])

have disj1: ∧ x. carrier ((FBinds Γ·ae) x) ∩ domA Δ = {}
  using fresh-distinct-fv[OF ⟨atom ‘ domA Δ #* Γ⟩]
  by (auto dest: subsetD[OF carrier-FBinds])
hence disj1': ∧ x. carrier ((FBinds Γ·ae) x) ⊆ − domA Δ by auto
have disj2: ∧ x. carrier (Fstack as S) ∩ domA Δ = {}
  using fresh-distinct-fv[OF ⟨atom ‘ domA Δ #* S⟩] by (auto dest!: subsetD[OF car-
rier-Fstack])
hence disj2': carrier (Fstack as S) ⊆ − domA Δ by auto

{
fix x
have (FBinds (Δ @ Γ)·(ae ⊎ Aheap Δ e·a)) x = (FBinds Γ·ae) x ⊗⊗ (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ
e·a)) x
proof (cases x ∈ domA Δ)
  case True
    have map-of Γ x = None using True fresh-distinct[OF ⟨atom ‘ domA Δ #* Γ⟩] by (metis
disjoint-iff-not-equal domA-def map-of-eq-None-iff)
    moreover
    have ae x = ⊥ using True ⟨domA Δ ∩ edom ae = {}⟩ by auto
    ultimately
    show ?thesis using True
      by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup empty-is-bottom[symmetric] cong: op-
tion.case-cong)
  next
    case False
    have map-of Δ x = None using False by (metis domA-def map-of-eq-None-iff)
    moreover

```

```

have (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )  $x = \perp$  using False using edom-Aheap by (metis contra-subsetD
edomIff)
  ultimately
    show ?thesis using False
      by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup empty-is-bottom[symmetric] cong: option.case-cong)
    qed
  }
  note FBinds = ext[OF this]

  {
    have pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ ) · (Aheap  $\Delta e \cdot a \sqcup ae$ )) (thunks ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ ))
(Texp  $e \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S$ )))
  = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ )) (substitute (FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ )) (Texp  $e \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S$ ))))
    by (simp add: substitute-substitute[OF const-on1] FBinds)
    also have substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ )) = substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks
 $\Gamma$ )
      apply (rule substitute-cong-T)
      using const-on3
      by (auto dest: subsetD[OF thunks-domA])
      also have substitute (FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ )) = substitute (FBinds
 $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ )
        apply (rule substitute-cong-T)
        using const-on4
        by (auto dest: subsetD[OF thunks-domA])
        also have substitute (FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S$ ) =
substitute (FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S$ )
          by (rule substitute-only-empty-both[OF const-on2])
        also note calculation
      }
      note eq-imp-below[OF this]
      also
        note env-restr-split[where  $S = domA \Delta$ ]
        also
          have pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (substitute (FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S$ ))) f` domA  $\Delta$ 
  = pathsCard (paths (ttree-restr (domA  $\Delta$ ) (substitute (FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks
 $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ ))))
    by (simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr ttree-restr-both ttree-rest-substitute[OF disj1]
ttree-restr-is-empty[OF disj2])
      also
        have ttree-restr (domA  $\Delta$ ) (substitute (FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ ))  $\sqsubseteq$ 
Theap  $\Delta e \cdot a$  by (rule Theap-substitute)
      also
        have pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (substitute (FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S$ ))) f` (- domA  $\Delta$ ) =
  pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (ttree-restr (- domA  $\Delta$ ) (substitute
(FBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S$ )))

```

```

    by (simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr2 ttree-rest-substitute2[OF disj1' const-on3]
      ttree-restr-both ttree-restr-noop[OF disj2'])
  also have ttree-restr (- domA Δ) (substitute (FBounds Δ · (Aheap Δ e · a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp
    e · a)) ⊑ Texp (Terms.Let Δ e · a) by (rule Texp-Let)
    finally
      show prognosis (Aheap Δ e · a ⊔ ae) as a (Δ @ Γ, e, S) ⊑ cHeap Δ e · a ⊔ prognosis ae as a
        (Γ, Terms.Let Δ e, S)
        by (simp add: cHeap-def del: fun-meet-simp)
  qed

  sublocale CardinalityPrognosisSafe prognosis cHeap Aheap Aexp ..
end

end

```

## 11 Co-Call Graphs

### 11.1 CoCallGraph

```

theory CoCallGraph
imports Launchbury.Vars Launchbury.HOLCF-Join-Classes Launchbury.HOLCF-Utils Set-Cpo
begin

default-sort type

typedef CoCalls = {G :: (var × var) set. sym G}
morphisms Rep-CoCall Abs-CoCall
by (auto intro: exI[where x = {}] symI)

setup-lifting type-definition-CoCalls

instantiation CoCalls :: po
begin
lift-definition below-CoCalls :: CoCalls ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ bool is (⊑).
instance
  apply standard
  apply ((transfer, auto)+)
  done
end

lift-definition coCallsLub :: CoCalls set ⇒ CoCalls is λ S. ⋃ S
by (auto intro: symI elim: symE)

lemma coCallsLub-is-lub: S <<| coCallsLub S
proof (rule is-lubI)
  show S <| coCallsLub S
  by (rule is-ubI, transfer, auto)

```

```

next
  fix  $u$ 
  assume  $S <| u$ 
  hence  $\forall x \in S. x \sqsubseteq u$  by (auto dest: is-ubD)
  thus  $\text{coCallsLub } S \sqsubseteq u$  by transfer auto
qed

instance  $\text{CoCalls} :: \text{cpo}$ 
proof
  fix  $S :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{CoCalls}$ 
  show  $\exists x. \text{range } S <<| x$  using coCallsLub-is-lub..
qed

lemma ccLubTransfer[transfer-rule]: (rel-set pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls) Union lub
proof-
  have  $\text{lub} = \text{coCallsLub}$ 
    apply (rule)
    apply (rule lub-eqI)
    apply (rule coCallsLub-is-lub)
    done
  with coCallsLub.transfer
  show ?thesis by metis
qed

lift-definition is-cc-lub :: CoCalls set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $\lambda S x . x = \text{Union } S$ ).

lemma ccis-lubTransfer[transfer-rule]: (rel-set pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls ==> (=)) ( $\lambda S x . x = \text{Union } S$ ) ( $<<|$ )
proof-
  have  $\bigwedge x xa . \text{is-cc-lub } x xa \longleftrightarrow xa = \text{coCallsLub } x$  by transfer auto
  hence  $\text{is-cc-lub} = (<<|)$ 
  apply -
  apply (rule, rule)
  by (metis coCallsLub-is-lub is-lub-unique)
  thus ?thesis using is-cc-lub.transfer by simp
qed

lift-definition coCallsJoin :: CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls is ( $\cup$ )
  by (rule sym-Un)

lemma ccJoinTransfer[transfer-rule]: (pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls)
  ( $\cup$ ) ( $\sqcup$ )
proof-
  have ( $\sqcup$ ) = coCallsJoin
    apply (rule)
    apply rule
    apply (rule lub-is-join)
    unfolding is-lub-def is-ub-def
    apply transfer

```

```

apply auto
done
with coCallsJoin.transfer
show ?thesis by metis
qed

lift-definition ccEmpty :: CoCalls is {} by (auto intro: symI)

lemma ccEmpty-below[simp]: ccEmpty ⊑ G
  by transfer auto

instance CoCalls :: pcpo
proof
  have ∀ y . ccEmpty ⊑ y by transfer simp
  thus ∃ x. ∀ y. (x::CoCalls) ⊑ y..
qed

lemma ccBotTransfer[transfer-rule]: pcr-CoCalls {} ⊥
proof-
  have ∀ x. ccEmpty ⊑ x by transfer simp
  hence ccEmpty = ⊥ by (rule bottomI)
  thus ?thesis using ccEmpty.transfer by simp
qed

lemma cc-lub-below-iff:
  fixes G :: CoCalls
  shows lub X ⊑ G ↔ (∀ G'∈X. G' ⊑ G)
  by transfer auto

lift-definition ccField :: CoCalls ⇒ var set is Field.

lemma ccField-nil[simp]: ccField ⊥ = {}
  by transfer auto

lift-definition
  inCC :: var ⇒ var ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ bool (----∈- [1000, 1000, 900] 900)
  is λ x y s. (x,y) ∈ s.

abbreviation
  notInCC :: var ⇒ var ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ bool (----∉- [1000, 1000, 900] 900)
  where x--y∉S ≡ ¬ x--y∈S

lemma notInCC-bot[simp]: x--y∈⊥ ↔ False
  by transfer auto

lemma below-CoCallsI:
  (¬ x y. x--y∈G ⇒ x--y∈G') ⇒ G ⊑ G'
  by transfer auto

```

```

lemma CoCalls-eqI:
   $(\bigwedge x y. x--y \in G \longleftrightarrow x--y \in G') \implies G = G'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma in-join[simp]:
   $x--y \in (G \sqcup G') \longleftrightarrow x--y \in G \vee x--y \in G'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma in-lub[simp]:  $x--y \in (\text{lub } S) \longleftrightarrow (\exists G \in S. x--y \in G)$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma in-CoCallsLubI:
   $x--y \in G \implies G \in S \implies x--y \in \text{lub } S$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma adm-not-in[simp]:
  assumes cont t
  shows adm ( $\lambda a. x--y \notin t a$ )
  by (rule admI) (auto simp add: cont2contlubE[OF assms])

lift-definition cc-delete :: var  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls
  is  $\lambda z. \text{Set.filter}(\lambda(x,y). x \neq z \wedge y \neq z)$ 
  by (auto intro!: symI elim: symE)

lemma ccField-cc-delete: ccField (cc-delete x S)  $\subseteq$  ccField S  $- \{x\}$ 
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lift-definition ccProd :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  var set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls (infixr G× 90)
  is  $\lambda S1 S2. S1 \times S2 \cup S2 \times S1$ 
  by (auto intro!: symI elim: symE)

lemma ccProd-empty[simp]: {} G× S = ⊥ by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-empty'[simp]: S G× {} = ⊥ by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-union2[simp]: S G× (S' ∪ S'') = S G× S' ∪ S G× S''
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-Union2[simp]: S G× ∪ S' = (⊔ X∈S'. ccProd S X)
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-Union2'[simp]: S G× (∪ X∈S'. f X) = (⊔ X∈S'. ccProd S (f X))
  by transfer auto

lemma in-ccProd[simp]: x--y ∈ (S G× S') = (x ∈ S ∧ y ∈ S' ∨ x ∈ S' ∧ y ∈ S)
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-union1[simp]: (S' ∪ S'') G× S = S' G× S ∪ S'' G× S
  by transfer auto

```

```

lemma ccProd-insert2:  $S \times \text{insert } x \times S' = S \times \{x\} \sqcup S \times S'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-insert1:  $\text{insert } x \times S' \times S = \{x\} \times S \sqcup S' \times S$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-mono1:  $S' \subseteq S'' \implies S' \times S \sqsubseteq S'' \times S$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-mono2:  $S' \subseteq S'' \implies S \times S' \sqsubseteq S \times S''$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-mono:  $S \subseteq S' \implies T \subseteq T' \implies S \times T \sqsubseteq S' \times T'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-comm:  $S \times S' = S' \times S$  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-belowI:
   $(\bigwedge x y. x \in S \implies y \in S' \implies x = y \in G) \implies S \times S' \sqsubseteq G$ 
  by transfer (auto intro!: symI elim: symE)

lift-definition cc-restr :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls
  is  $\lambda S. \text{Set.filter } (\lambda (x,y). x \in S \wedge y \in S)$ 
  by (auto intro!: symI elim: symE)

abbreviation cc-restr-sym (infixl G|` 110) where G G|` S  $\equiv$  cc-restr S G

lemma elem-cc-restr[simp]:  $x = y \in (G \times G|` S) = (x = y \in G \wedge x \in S \wedge y \in S)$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccField-cc-restr: ccField (G G|` S)  $\subseteq$  ccField G  $\cap$  S
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma cc-restr-empty: ccField G  $\subseteq -S \implies G \times G|` S = \perp$ 
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp add: Field-def)
  apply (drule DomainI)
  apply (drule (1) subsetD)
  apply simp
  done

lemma cc-restr-empty-set[simp]: cc-restr {} G =  $\perp$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-noop[simp]: ccField G  $\subseteq S \implies \text{cc-restr } S \times G = G$ 
  by transfer (force simp add: Field-def dest: DomainI RangeI elim: subsetD)

```

```

lemma cc-restr-bot[simp]: cc-restr S ⊥ = ⊥
  by simp

lemma ccRestr-ccDelete[simp]: cc-restr (-{x}) G = cc-delete x G
  by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-join[simp]:
  cc-restr S (G ∪ G') = cc-restr S G ∪ cc-restr S G'
  by transfer auto

lemma cont-cc-restr: cont (cc-restr S)
  apply (rule contI)
  apply (thin-tac chain -)
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  done

lemmas cont-compose[OF cont-cc-restr, cont2cont, simp]

lemma cc-restr-mono1:
  S ⊆ S' ⟹ cc-restr S G ⊑ cc-restr S' G by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-mono2:
  G ⊑ G' ⟹ cc-restr S G ⊑ cc-restr S G' by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-below-arg:
  cc-restr S G ⊑ G by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-lub[simp]:
  cc-restr S (lub X) = (⊔ G∈X. cc-restr S G) by transfer auto

lemma elem-to-ccField: x -- y ∈ G ⟹ x ∈ ccField G ∧ y ∈ ccField G
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma ccField-to-elem: x ∈ ccField G ⟹ ∃ y. x -- y ∈ G
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def dest: symD)

lemma cc-restr-intersect: ccField G ∩ ((S - S') ∪ (S' - S)) = {} ⟹ cc-restr S G = cc-restr S' G
  by (rule CoCalls-eqI) (auto dest: elem-to-ccField)

lemma cc-restr-cc-restr[simp]: cc-restr S (cc-restr S' G) = cc-restr (S ∩ S') G
  by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-twist: cc-restr S (cc-restr S' G) = cc-restr S' (cc-restr S G)
  by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-cc-delete-twist: cc-restr x (cc-delete S G) = cc-delete S (cc-restr x G)
  by transfer auto

```

```

lemma cc-restr-ccProd[simp]:
  cc-restr S (ccProd S1 S2) = ccProd (S1 ∩ S) (S2 ∩ S)
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-below-cc-restr:
  ccProd S S' ⊑ cc-restr S'' G ←→ ccProd S S' ⊑ G ∧ (S = {} ∨ S' = {} ∨ S ⊆ S'' ∧ S' ⊑ S'')
  by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-eq-subset: S ⊆ S' ⇒ cc-restr S' G = cc-restr S' G2 ⇒ cc-restr S G = cc-restr S G2
  by transfer' (auto simp add: Set.filter-def)

definition ccSquare (-2 [80] 80)
  where S2 = ccProd S S

lemma ccField-ccSquare[simp]: ccField (S2) = S
  unfolding ccSquare-def by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma below-ccSquare[iff]: (G ⊑ S2) = (ccField G ⊆ S)
  unfolding ccSquare-def by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma cc-restr-ccSquare[simp]: (S2) G |` S = (S' ∩ S)2
  unfolding ccSquare-def by auto

lemma ccSquare-empty[simp]: {}2 = ⊥
  unfolding ccSquare-def by simp

lift-definition ccNeighbors :: var ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ var set
  is λ x G. {y .(y,x) ∈ G ∨ (x,y) ∈ G}.

lemma ccNeighbors-bot[simp]: ccNeighbors x ⊥ = {} by transfer auto

lemma cont-ccProd1:
  cont (λ S. ccProd S S')
  apply (rule contI)
  apply (thin-tac chain -)
  apply (subst lub-set)
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  done

lemma cont-ccProd2:
  cont (λ S'. ccProd S S')
  apply (rule contI)
  apply (thin-tac chain -)
  apply (subst lub-set)
  apply transfer

```

```

apply auto
done

lemmas cont-compose2[OF cont-ccProd1 cont-ccProd2, simp, cont2cont]

lemma cont-ccNeighbors[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont ( $\lambda y. \text{ccNeighbors } x y$ )
  apply (rule set-contI)
  apply (thin-tac chain -)
  apply transfer
  apply auto
done

lemma ccNeighbors-join[simp]:  $\text{ccNeighbors } x (G \sqcup G') = \text{ccNeighbors } x G \cup \text{ccNeighbors } x G'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccNeighbors-ccProd:
   $\text{ccNeighbors } x (\text{ccProd } S S') = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } S' \text{ else } \{\}) \cup (\text{if } x \in S' \text{ then } S \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccNeighbors-ccSquare:
   $\text{ccNeighbors } x (\text{ccSquare } S) = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } S \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  unfolding ccSquare-def by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)

lemma ccNeighbors-cc-restr[simp]:
   $\text{ccNeighbors } x (\text{cc-restr } S G) = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } \text{ccNeighbors } x G \cap S \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccNeighbors-mono:
   $G \sqsubseteq G' \implies \text{ccNeighbors } x G \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x G'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma subset-ccNeighbors:
   $S \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x G \longleftrightarrow \text{ccProd } \{x\} S \sqsubseteq G$ 
  by transfer (auto simp add: sym-def)

lemma elem-ccNeighbors[simp]:
   $y \in \text{ccNeighbors } x G \longleftrightarrow (y \in G)$ 
  by transfer (auto simp add: sym-def)

lemma ccNeighbors-ccField:
   $\text{ccNeighbors } x G \subseteq \text{ccField } G$  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma ccNeighbors-disjoint-empty[simp]:
   $\text{ccNeighbors } x G = \{\} \longleftrightarrow x \notin \text{ccField } G$ 
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

```

```

instance CoCalls :: Join-cpo
  by standard (metis coCallsLub-is-lub)

lemma ccNeighbors-lub[simp]: ccNeighbors x (lub Gs) = lub (ccNeighbors x ` Gs)
  by transfer (auto simp add: lub-set)

inductive list-pairs :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where list-pairs xs p  $\Longrightarrow$  list-pairs (x#xs) p
    | y  $\in$  set xs  $\Longrightarrow$  list-pairs (x#xs) (x,y)

lift-definition ccFromList :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls is  $\lambda$  xs. {(x,y). list-pairs xs (x,y)  $\vee$  list-pairs xs (y,x)}
  by (auto intro: symI)

lemma ccFromList-Nil[simp]: ccFromList [] = ⊥
  by transfer (auto elim: list-pairs.cases)

lemma ccFromList-Cons[simp]: ccFromList (x#xs) = ccProd {x} (set xs)  $\sqcup$  ccFromList xs
  by transfer (auto elim: list-pairs.cases intro: list-pairs.intros)

lemma ccFromList-append[simp]: ccFromList (xs@ys) = ccFromList xs  $\sqcup$  ccFromList ys  $\sqcup$ 
  ccProd (set xs) (set ys)
  by (induction xs) (auto simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs for xs])

lemma ccFromList-filter[simp]:
  ccFromList (filter P xs) = cc-restr {x. P x} (ccFromList xs)
  by (induction xs) (auto simp add: Collect-conj-eq)

lemma ccFromList-replicate[simp]: ccFromList (replicate n x) = (if n  $\leq$  1 then ⊥ else ccProd {x} {x})
  by (induction n) auto

definition ccLinear :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where ccLinear S G = ( $\forall$  x  $\in$  S.  $\forall$  y  $\in$  S. x -- y  $\notin$  G)

lemma ccLinear-bottom[simp]:
  ccLinear S ⊥
  unfolding ccLinear-def by simp

lemma ccLinear-empty[simp]:
  ccLinear {} G
  unfolding ccLinear-def by simp

lemma ccLinear-lub[simp]:
  ccLinear S (lub X) = ( $\forall$  G  $\in$  X. ccLinear S G)
  unfolding ccLinear-def by auto

```

```

lemma ccLinear-cc-restr[intro]:
  ccLinear S G ==> ccLinear S (cc-restr S' G)
  unfolding ccLinear-def by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-join[simp]:
  ccLinear S (G ⊔ G') <=> ccLinear S G ∧ ccLinear S G'
  unfolding ccLinear-def
  by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-ccProd[simp]:
  ccLinear S (ccProd S1 S2) <=> S1 ∩ S = {} ∨ S2 ∩ S = {}
  unfolding ccLinear-def
  by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-mono1: ccLinear S' G ==> S ⊆ S' ==> ccLinear S G
  unfolding ccLinear-def
  by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-mono2: ccLinear S G' ==> G ⊑ G' ==> ccLinear S G
  unfolding ccLinear-def
  by transfer auto

lemma ccField-join[simp]:
  ccField (G ⊔ G') = ccField G ∪ ccField G' by transfer auto

lemma ccField-lub[simp]:
  ccField (lub S) = ⋃(ccField ` S) by transfer auto

lemma ccField-ccProd:
  ccField (ccProd S S') = (if S = {} then {} else if S' = {} then {} else S ∪ S')
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma ccField-ccProd-subset:
  ccField (ccProd S S') ⊆ S ∪ S'
  by (simp add: ccField-ccProd)

lemma cont-ccField[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont ccField
  by (rule set-contI) auto

end

```

## 11.2 CoCallGraph-Nominal

```

theory CoCallGraph–Nominal
imports CoCallGraph Launchbury.Nominal–HOLCF
begin

```

```

instantiation CoCalls :: pt
begin
  lift-definition permute-CoCalls :: perm  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls is permute
    by (auto intro!: symI elim: symE simp add: mem-permute-set)
  instance
    apply standard
    apply (transfer, simp) +
    done
  end

instance CoCalls :: cont-pt
  apply standard
  apply (rule contI2)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply transfer
  apply (metis (full-types) True-eqvt subset-eqvt)
  apply (thin-tac chain -) +
  apply transfer
  apply simp
  done

lemmas lub-eqvt[OF exists-lub, simp, eqvt]

lemma cc-restr-perm:
  fixes G :: CoCalls
  assumes supp p  $\sharp*$  S and [simp]: finite S
  shows cc-restr S (p  $\cdot$  G) = cc-restr S G
  using assms
  apply -
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp add: mem-permute-set)
  apply (subst (asm) perm-supp-eq, simp add: supp-minus-perm, metis (full-types) fresh-def
fresh-star-def supp-set-elem-finite) +
  apply assumption
  apply (subst perm-supp-eq, simp add: supp-minus-perm, metis (full-types) fresh-def fresh-star-def
supp-set-elem-finite) +
  apply assumption
  done

lemma inCC-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (x -- y \in G) = (\pi \cdot x) -- (\pi \cdot y) \in (\pi \cdot G)$ 
  by transfer auto
lemma cc-restr-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot cc\text{-restr } S \ G = cc\text{-restr } (\pi \cdot S) \ (\pi \cdot G)$ 
  by transfer (perm-simp, rule)
lemma ccProd-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot cc\text{Prod } S \ S' = cc\text{Prod } (\pi \cdot S) \ (\pi \cdot S')$ 
  by transfer (perm-simp, rule)
lemma ccSquare-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot cc\text{Square } S = cc\text{Square } (\pi \cdot S)$ 

```

```

unfolding ccSquare-def
by perm-simp rule
lemma ccNeighbors-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot ccNeighbors S G = ccNeighbors (\pi \cdot S) (\pi \cdot G)$ 
by transfer (perm-simp, rule)

end

```

## 12 Co-Call Cardinality Analysis

### 12.1 CoCallAnalysisSig

```

theory CoCallAnalysisSig
imports Launchbury.Terms Arity CoCallGraph
begin

locale CoCallAnalysis =
fixes ccExp :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls
begin
abbreviation ccExp-syn ( $\mathcal{G}_\cdot$ )
where  $\mathcal{G}_a \equiv (\lambda e. ccExp e \cdot a)$ 
abbreviation ccExp-bot-syn ( $\mathcal{G}^\perp_\cdot$ )
where  $\mathcal{G}^\perp_a \equiv (\lambda e. fup \cdot (ccExp e) \cdot a)$ 
end

locale CoCallAnalysisIsHeap =
fixes ccHeap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls
end

```

### 12.2 CoCallAnalysisBinds

```

theory CoCallAnalysisBinds
imports CoCallAnalysisSig AEnv AList-Utils-HOLCF Arity-Nominal CoCallGraph-Nominal
begin

context CoCallAnalysis
begin
definition ccBind :: var  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  ((AEnv  $\times$  CoCalls)  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls)
where  $ccBind v e = (\Lambda (ae, G). \text{ if } (v -- v \notin G) \vee \neg \text{isVal } e \text{ then } cc\text{-restr } (fv e) (fup \cdot (ccExp e) \cdot (ae v)) \text{ else } cc\text{Square } (fv e))$ 

lemma ccBind-eq:
 $ccBind v e \cdot (ae, G) = (\text{if } v -- v \notin G \vee \neg \text{isVal } e \text{ then } \mathcal{G}^\perp_{ae v} e G |` fv e \text{ else } (fv e)^2)$ 
unfolding ccBind-def
apply (rule cfun-beta-Pair)

```

```

apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply simp
apply simp
apply (auto dest: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr])[1]

apply (case-tac p, auto, transfer, auto)[1]
apply (rule adm-subst[OF cont-snd])
apply (rule admI, thin-tac chain -, transfer, auto)
done

lemma ccBind-strict[simp]: ccBind v e · ⊥ = ⊥
by (auto simp add: inst-prod-pcpo ccBind-eq simp del: Pair-strict)

lemma ccField-ccBind: ccField (ccBind v e · (ae,G)) ⊆ fv e
by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr])

definition ccBinds :: heap ⇒ ((AEnv × CoCalls) → CoCalls)
where ccBinds Γ = (Λ i. (⊔ v→e∈map-of Γ. ccBind v e · i))

lemma ccBinds-eq:
ccBinds Γ · i = (⊔ v→e∈map-of Γ. ccBind v e · i)
unfolding ccBinds-def
by simp

lemma ccBinds-strict[simp]: ccBinds Γ · ⊥ = ⊥
unfolding ccBinds-eq
by (cases Γ = []) simp-all

lemma ccBinds-strict'[simp]: ccBinds Γ · (⊥, ⊥) = ⊥
by (metis CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds-strict Pair-bottom-iff)

lemma ccBinds-reorder1:
assumes map-of Γ v = Some e
shows ccBinds Γ = ccBind v e ∪ ccBinds (delete v Γ)
proof-
from assms
have map-of Γ = map-of ((v,e) # delete v Γ) by (metis map-of-delete-insert)
thus ?thesis
by (auto intro: cfun-eqI simp add: ccBinds-eq delete-set-none)
qed

lemma ccBinds-Nil[simp]:
ccBinds [] = ⊥
unfolding ccBinds-def by simp

lemma ccBinds-Cons[simp]:
ccBinds ((x,e) # Γ) = ccBind x e ∪ ccBinds (delete x Γ)
by (subst ccBinds-reorder1[where v = x and e = e]) auto

```

```

lemma ccBind-below-ccBinds: map-of  $\Gamma$   $x = Some\ e \implies ccBind\ x\ e \cdot ae \sqsubseteq (ccBinds\ \Gamma \cdot ae)$ 
  by (auto simp add: ccBinds-eq)

lemma ccField-ccBinds: ccField (ccBinds  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G)$ )  $\subseteq fv\ \Gamma$ 
  by (auto simp add: ccBinds-eq dest: subsetD[OF ccField-ccBind] intro: subsetD[OF map-of-Some-fv-subset])

definition ccBindsExtra :: heap  $\Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow CoCalls)$ 
  where ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma = (\Lambda i. snd\ i \sqcup ccBinds\ \Gamma \cdot i \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{x \mapsto e \in map-of\ \Gamma} ccProd\ (fv\ e) (ccNeighbors\ x\ (snd\ i))))$ 

lemma ccBindsExtra-simp: ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma \cdot i = snd\ i \sqcup ccBinds\ \Gamma \cdot i \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{x \mapsto e \in map-of\ \Gamma} ccProd\ (fv\ e) (ccNeighbors\ x\ (snd\ i)))$ 
  unfolding ccBindsExtra-def by simp

lemma ccBindsExtra-eq: ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G) =$ 
   $G \sqcup ccBinds\ \Gamma \cdot (ae, G) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{x \mapsto e \in map-of\ \Gamma} fv\ e\ G \times ccNeighbors\ x\ G)$ 
  unfolding ccBindsExtra-def by simp

lemma ccBindsExtra-strict[simp]: ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma \cdot \perp = \perp$ 
  by (auto simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp inst-prod-pcpo simp del: Pair-strict)

lemma ccField-ccBindsExtra:
  ccField (ccBindsExtra  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G)$ )  $\subseteq fv\ \Gamma \cup ccField\ G$ 
  by (auto simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp elem-to-ccField
    dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-ccBinds] subsetD[OF ccField-ccProd-subset] map-of-Some-fv-subset)

end

lemma ccBind-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallAnalysis.ccBind\ cccExp\ x\ e) = CoCallAnalysis.ccBind\ (\pi \cdot cccExp)\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\pi \cdot e)$ 
proof-
  {
    fix  $\pi\ ae\ G$ 
    have  $\pi \cdot ((CoCallAnalysis.ccBind\ cccExp\ x\ e) \cdot (ae, G)) = CoCallAnalysis.ccBind\ (\pi \cdot cccExp)\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\pi \cdot e) \cdot (\pi \cdot ae, \pi \cdot G)$ 
      unfolding CoCallAnalysis.ccBind-eq
      by perm-simp (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
  }
  thus ?thesis by (auto intro: cfun-eqvtI)
qed

lemma ccBinds-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds\ cccExp\ \Gamma) = CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds\ (\pi \cdot cccExp)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
unfolding CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds-eq
apply (perm-simp)
apply rule
done

```

```

lemma ccBindsExtra-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallAnalysis}.ccBindsExtra\ cccExp\ \Gamma) = \text{CoCallAnalysis}.ccBindsExtra\ (\pi \cdot cccExp)\ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
  by (rule cfun-eqvtI) (simp add: CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra-def)

lemma ccBind-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $cccexp1\ e = cccexp2\ e \implies \text{CoCallAnalysis}.ccBind\ cccexp1\ x\ e = \text{CoCallAnalysis}.ccBind\ cccexp2\ x\ e$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  apply (case-tac xa)
  apply (auto simp add: CoCallAnalysis.ccBind-eq)
  done

lemma ccBinds-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in snd\ `set heap2 \implies cccexp1\ e = cccexp2\ e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{CoCallAnalysis}.ccBinds\ cccexp1\ heap1 = \text{CoCallAnalysis}.ccBinds\ cccexp2\ heap2$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  unfolding CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds-eq
  apply (rule arg-cong[OF mapCollect-cong])
  apply (rule arg-cong[OF ccBind-cong])
  apply auto
  by (metis imageI map-of-SomeD snd-conv)

lemma ccBindsExtra-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in snd\ `set heap2 \implies cccexp1\ e = cccexp2\ e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{CoCallAnalysis}.ccBindsExtra\ cccexp1\ heap1 = \text{CoCallAnalysis}.ccBindsExtra\ cccexp2\ heap2$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  unfolding CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra-simp
  apply (rule arg-cong2[OF ccBinds-cong mapCollect-cong])
  apply simp+
  done

end

```

### 12.3 CoCallAritySig

```

theory CoCallAritySig
imports ArityAnalysisSig CoCallAnalysisSig
begin

locale CoCallArity = CoCallAnalysis + ArityAnalysis

end

```

### 12.4 CoCallAnalysisSpec

```

theory CoCallAnalysisSpec
imports CoCallAritySig ArityAnalysisSpec

```

```

begin

locale CoCallArityEdom = CoCallArity + EdomArityAnalysis

locale CoCallAritySafe = CoCallArity + CoCallAnalysisIsHeap + ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
assumes ccExp-App: ccExp e·(inc·a) ⊑ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e)) ⊑ ccExp (App e x)·a
assumes ccExp-Lam: cc-restr (fv (Lam [y]. e)) (ccExp e·(pred·n)) ⊑ ccExp (Lam [y]. e)·n
assumes ccExp-subst: x ∉ S ⇒ y ∉ S ⇒ cc-restr S (ccExp e[y:=x]·a) ⊑ cc-restr S (ccExp e·a)
assumes ccExp-pap: isVal e ⇒ ccExp e·0 = ccSquare (fv e)
assumes ccExp-Let: cc-restr (−domA Γ) (ccHeap Γ e·a) ⊑ ccExp (Let Γ e)·a
assumes ccExp-IfThenElse: ccExp scrut·0 ⊑ (ccExp e1·a ⊑ ccExp e2·a) ⊑ ccProd (edom (Aexp scrut·0)) (edom (Aexp e1·a) ∪ edom (Aexp e2·a)) ⊑ ccExp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a
assumes ccHeap-Exp: ccExp e·a ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
assumes ccHeap-Heap: map-of Δ x = Some e' ⇒ (Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a' ⇒ ccExp e'·a' ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
assumes ccHeap-Extra-Edges:
map-of Δ x = Some e' ⇒ (Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a' ⇒ ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) − {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
assumes aHeap-thunks-rec: ¬ nonrec Γ ⇒ x ∈ thunks Γ ⇒ x ∈ edom (Aheap Γ e·a) ⇒ (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0
assumes aHeap-thunks-nonrec: nonrec Γ ⇒ x ∈ thunks Γ ⇒ x−x ∈ ccExp e·a ⇒ (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0

end

```

## 12.5 CoCallFix

```

theory CoCallFix
imports CoCallAnalysisSig CoCallAnalysisBinds ArityAnalysisSig Launchbury.Env-Nominal
ArityAnalysisFix
begin

locale CoCallArityAnalysis =
fixes cccExp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → AEnv × CoCalls)
begin

definition Aexp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → AEnv)
where Aexp e = (Λ a. fst (cccExp e · a))

sublocale ArityAnalysis Aexp.

abbreviation Aexp-syn' (A_) where A_a ≡ (λ e. Aexp e·a)
abbreviation Aexp-bot-syn' (A^⊥_) where A^⊥_a ≡ (λ e. fup·(Aexp e)·a)

```

```

lemma Aexp-eq:
  Aa e = fst (cccExp e · a)
  unfolding Aexp-def by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

lemma fup-Aexp-eq:
  fup·(Aexp e)·a = fst (fup·(cccExp e)·a)
  by (cases a)(simp-all add: Aexp-eq)

definition CCexp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → CoCalls) where CCexp Γ = (Λ a. snd (cccExp Γ·a))
lemma CCexp-eq:
  CCexp e·a = snd (cccExp e · a)
  unfolding CCexp-def by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

lemma fup-CCexp-eq:
  fup·(CCexp e)·a = snd (fup·(cccExp e)·a)
  by (cases a)(simp-all add: CCexp-eq)

sublocale CoCallAnalysis CCexp.

definition CCfix :: heap ⇒ (AEnv × CoCalls) → CoCalls
  where CCfix Γ = (Λ aeG. (μ G'. ccBindsExtra Γ·(fst aeG , G') ⊔ snd aeG))

lemma CCfix-eq:
  CCfix Γ·(ae,G) = (μ G'. ccBindsExtra Γ·(ae, G') ⊔ G)
  unfolding CCfix-def
  by simp

lemma CCfix-unroll: CCfix Γ·(ae,G) = ccBindsExtra Γ·(ae, CCfix Γ·(ae,G)) ⊔ G
  unfolding CCfix-eq
  apply (subst fix-eq)
  apply simp
  done

lemma fup-ccExp-restr-subst':
  assumes ⋀ a. cc-restr S (CCexp e[x:=y]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp e·a)
  shows cc-restr S (fup·(CCexp e[x:=y])·a) = cc-restr S (fup·(CCexp e)·a)
  using assms
  by (cases a) (auto simp del: cc-restr-cc-restr simp add: cc-restr-cc-restr[symmetric])

lemma ccBindsExtra-restr-subst':
  assumes ⋀ x' e a. (x',e) ∈ set Γ ⇒ cc-restr S (CCexp e[x:=y]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp e·a)
  assumes x ∉ S
  assumes y ∉ S
  assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
  shows cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra Γ[x:=y]·(ae, G))
    = cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra Γ·(ae f` S , cc-restr S G))

```

```

apply (simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp ccBinds-eq ccBind-eq Int-absorb2[OF assms(4)] fv-subst-int[OF
assms(3,2)])
apply (intro arg-cong2[where f = ( $\sqcup$ )] refl arg-cong[OF mapCollect-cong])
apply (subgoal-tac k ∈ S)
apply (auto intro: fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]] simp add: fv-subst-int[OF
assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] ccSquare-def)[1]
apply (metis assms(4) contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
apply (subgoal-tac k ∈ S)
apply (auto intro: fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]]
simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] ccSquare-def
cc-restr-twist[where S = S] simp del: cc-restr-cc-restr)[1]
apply (subst fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]], assumption)
apply (simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] )
apply (subst fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]], assumption)
apply (simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] )
apply (metis assms(4) contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
done

lemma ccBindsExtra-restr:
assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
shows cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra Γ·(ae, G)) = cc-restr S (ccBindsExtra Γ·(ae f|` S, cc-restr S
G))
using assms
apply (simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp ccBinds-eq ccBind-eq Int-absorb2)
apply (intro arg-cong2[where f = ( $\sqcup$ )] refl arg-cong[OF mapCollect-cong])
apply (subgoal-tac k ∈ S)
apply simp
apply (metis contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
apply (subgoal-tac k ∈ S)
apply simp
apply (metis contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
done

lemma CCfix-restr:
assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
shows cc-restr S (CCfix Γ·(ae, G)) = cc-restr S (CCfix Γ·(ae f|` S, cc-restr S G))
unfolding CCfix-def
apply simp
apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P =  $\lambda x y . \text{cc-restr } S x = \text{cc-restr } S y$ ])
apply simp
apply rule
apply simp
apply (subst (1 2) ccBindsExtra-restr[OF assms])
apply (auto)
done

lemma ccField-CCfix:
shows ccField (CCfix Γ·(ae, G)) ⊆ fv Γ ∪ ccField G
unfolding CCfix-def

```

```

apply simp
apply (rule fix-ind[where P = λ x . ccField x ⊆ fv Γ ∪ ccField G])
apply (auto dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-ccBindsExtra])
done

lemma CCfix-restr-subst':
  assumes ∧ x' e a. (x',e) ∈ set Γ ⇒ cc-restr S (CCexp e[x::=y]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp e·a)
  assumes x ∉ S
  assumes y ∉ S
  assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
  shows cc-restr S (CCfix Γ[x::h=y]·(ae, G)) = cc-restr S (CCfix Γ·(ae f|` S, cc-restr S G))
  unfolding CCfix-def
  apply simp
  apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P = λ x y . cc-restr S x = cc-restr S y])
  apply simp
  apply rule
  apply simp
  apply (subst ccBindsExtra-restr-subst'[OF assms], assumption)
  apply (subst ccBindsExtra-restr[OF assms(4)]) back
  apply (auto)
done

end

lemma Aexp-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp cccExp e) = CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp (π · cccExp) (π · e)
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI) unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp-eq by perm-simp rule

lemma CCexp-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp cccExp e) = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp (π · cccExp) (π · e)
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI) unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp-eq by perm-simp rule

lemma CCfix-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix cccExp Γ) = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix (π · cccExp) (π · Γ)
  unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-def by perm-simp (simp-all add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)

lemma ccFix-cong[fundef-cong]:
  [ ( ∧ e. e ∈ snd ` set heap2 ⇒ cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 ]
  ⇒ CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix cccexp1 heap1 = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix cccexp2 heap2
  unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-def
  apply (rule arg-cong) back
  apply (rule ccBindsExtra-cong)
  apply (auto simp add: CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp-def)
done

```

```

context CoCallArityAnalysis
begin

definition cccFix :: heap  $\Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow (AEnv \times CoCalls))$ 
  where cccFix  $\Gamma = (\Lambda i. (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|` thunks \Gamma), CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|` (thunks \Gamma)), snd i)))$ 

lemma cccFix-eq:
  cccFix  $\Gamma \cdot i = (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|` thunks \Gamma), CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|` (thunks \Gamma)), snd i))$ 
  unfolding cccFix-def
  by (rule beta-cfun)(intro cont2cont)
end

lemma cccFix-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccExp \Gamma) = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix(\pi \cdot cccExp)(\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI) unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-eq by perm-simp rule

lemma cccFix-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\wedge e. e \in snd \cdot set heap2 \implies cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix cccexp1 heap1 = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix cccexp2 heap2$ 
  unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-def
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  apply auto
  apply (rule arg-cong[OF Afix-cong], auto simp add: CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp-def)[1]
  apply (rule arg-cong2[OF ccFix-cong Afix-cong])
  apply (auto simp add: CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp-def)
done

```

### 12.5.1 The non-recursive case

```

definition ABind-nonrec :: var  $\Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow AEnv \times CoCalls \rightarrow Arity_{\perp}$ 
where
  ABind-nonrec  $x e = (\Lambda i. (if isVal e \vee x--x \notin (snd i) then fst i x else up \cdot 0))$ 

```

```

lemma ABind-nonrec-eq:
  ABind-nonrec  $x e \cdot (ae, G) = (if isVal e \vee x--x \notin G then ae x else up \cdot 0)$ 
  unfolding ABind-nonrec-def
  apply (subst beta-cfun)
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply auto
  by (metis in-join join-self-below(4))

```

```

lemma ABind-nonrec-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (ABind-nonrec x e) = ABind-nonrec(\pi \cdot x)(\pi \cdot e)$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  apply (case-tac xa, simp)
  unfolding ABind-nonrec-eq
  by perm-simp rule

```

```

lemma ABind-nonrec-above-arg:

```

```

ae x ⊑ ABind-nonrec x e · (ae, G)
unfoldng ABind-nonrec-eq by auto

definition Aheap-nonrec where
Aheap-nonrec x e = (Λ i. esing x · (ABind-nonrec x e · i))

lemma Aheap-nonrec-simp:
Aheap-nonrec x e · i = esing x · (ABind-nonrec x e · i)
unfoldng Aheap-nonrec-def by simp

lemma Aheap-nonrec-lookup[simp]:
(Aheap-nonrec x e · i) x = ABind-nonrec x e · i
unfoldng Aheap-nonrec-simp by simp

lemma Aheap-nonrec-eqvt'[eqvt]:
π · (Aheap-nonrec x e) = Aheap-nonrec (π · x) (π · e)
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
unfoldng Aheap-nonrec-simp
by (perm-simp, rule)

context CoCallArityAnalysis
begin

definition Afix-nonrec
where Afix-nonrec x e = (Λ i. fup · (Aexp e) · (ABind-nonrec x e · i) ⊔ fst i)

lemma Afix-nonrec-eq[simp]:
Afix-nonrec x e · i = fup · (Aexp e) · (ABind-nonrec x e · i) ⊔ fst i
unfoldng Afix-nonrec-def
by (rule beta-cfun) simp

definition CCfix-nonrec
where CCfix-nonrec x e = (Λ i. ccBind x e · (Aheap-nonrec x e · i, snd i) ⊔ ccProd (fv e)
(ccNeighbors x (snd i) - (if isVal e then {} else {x})) ⊔ snd i)

lemma CCfix-nonrec-eq[simp]:
CCfix-nonrec x e · i = ccBind x e · (Aheap-nonrec x e · i, snd i) ⊔ ccProd (fv e) (ccNeighbors
x (snd i) - (if isVal e then {} else {x})) ⊔ snd i
unfoldng CCfix-nonrec-def
by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

definition cccFix-nonrec :: var ⇒ exp ⇒ ((AEnv × CoCalls) → (AEnv × CoCalls))
where cccFix-nonrec x e = (Λ i. (Afix-nonrec x e · i, CCfix-nonrec x e · i))

lemma cccFix-nonrec-eq[simp]:
cccFix-nonrec x e · i = (Afix-nonrec x e · i, CCfix-nonrec x e · i)
unfoldng cccFix-nonrec-def
by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

```

end

**lemma** *AFix-nonrec-eqvt[eqvt]*:  $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec } \text{cccExp } x \ e) = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$

**apply** (*rule cfun-eqvtI*)

**unfolding** *CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec-eq*

**by** *perm-simp rule*

**lemma** *CCFix-nonrec-eqvt[eqvt]*:  $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-nonrec } \text{cccExp } x \ e) = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-nonrec } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$

**apply** (*rule cfun-eqvtI*)

**unfolding** *CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-nonrec-eq*

**by** *perm-simp rule*

**lemma** *cccFix-nonrec-eqvt[eqvt]*:  $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec } \text{cccExp } x \ e) = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot e)$

**apply** (*rule cfun-eqvtI*)

**unfolding** *CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec-eq*

**by** *perm-simp rule*

## 12.5.2 Combining the cases

**context** *CoCallArityAnalysis*

**begin**

**definition** *cccFix-choose* :: *heap*  $\Rightarrow ((\text{AEnv} \times \text{CoCalls}) \rightarrow (\text{AEnv} \times \text{CoCalls}))$

**where** *cccFix-choose*  $\Gamma = (\text{if nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then case-prod } \text{cccFix-nonrec } (\text{hd } \Gamma) \text{ else } \text{cccFix } \Gamma)$

**lemma** *cccFix-choose-simp1[simp]*:

$\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \text{cccFix-choose } \Gamma = \text{cccFix } \Gamma$

**unfolding** *cccFix-choose-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *cccFix-choose-simp2[simp]*:

$x \notin \text{fv } e \implies \text{cccFix-choose } [(x, e)] = \text{cccFix-nonrec } x \ e$

**unfolding** *cccFix-choose-def nonrec-def* **by** *auto*

**end**

**lemma** *cccFix-choose-eqvt[eqvt]*:  $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } \text{cccExp } \Gamma) = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } (\pi \cdot \text{cccExp}) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$

**unfolding** *CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose-def*

**apply** (*cases nonrec*  $\pi$   *rule: eqvt-cases[where*  $x = \Gamma$ *]*)

**apply** (*perm-simp, rule*)

**apply** *simp*

**apply** (*erule nonrecE*)

**apply** (*simp*)

```

apply simp
done

lemma cccFix-nonrec-cong[fundef-cong]:
  cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e  $\implies$  CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec cccexp1 x e = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec cccexp2 x e
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec-eq
  unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec-eq
  unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-nonrec-eq
  unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.fup-Aexp-eq
  apply (simp only: )
  apply (rule arg-cong[OF ccBind-cong])
  apply simp
  unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp-def
  apply simp
done

lemma cccFix-choose-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd} \setminus \text{set heap2} \implies \text{cccexp1 } e = \text{cccexp2 } e); \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cccexp1 heap1} = \text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cccexp2 heap2}$ 
  unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose-def
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  apply (auto elim!: nonrecE)
  apply (rule arg-cong[OF cccFix-nonrec-cong], auto)
  apply (rule arg-cong[OF cccFix-cong], auto)[1]
done

end

```

## 12.6 CoCallGraph-TTree

```

theory CoCallGraph-TTree
imports CoCallGraph TTree-HOLCF
begin

lemma interleave-ccFromList:
  xs ∈ interleave ys zs  $\implies$  ccFromList xs = ccFromList ys  $\sqcup$  ccFromList zs  $\sqcup$  ccProd (set ys)
  (set zs)
  by (induction rule: interleave-induct)
    (auto simp add: interleave-set ccProd-comm ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
    ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs for xs] )

```

**lift-definition** ccApprox :: var ttree  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  
 is  $\lambda xss . \ lub (ccFromList \setminus xss)$ .

lemma ccApprox-paths: ccApprox t = lub (ccFromList ‘(paths t)) by transfer simp

```

lemma ccApprox-strict[simp]: ccApprox ⊥ = ⊥
  by (simp add: ccApprox-paths empty-is-bottom[symmetric])

lemma in-ccApprox: (x--y ∈ (ccApprox t)) ↔ (∃ xs ∈ paths t. (x--y ∈ (ccFromList xs)))
  unfolding ccApprox-paths
  by transfer auto

lemma ccApprox-mono: paths t ⊆ paths t' ⇒ ccApprox t ⊆ ccApprox t'
  by (rule below-CoCallsI) (auto simp add: in-ccApprox)

lemma ccApprox-mono': t ⊑ t' ⇒ ccApprox t ⊑ ccApprox t'
  by (metis below-set-def ccApprox-mono paths-mono-iff)

lemma ccApprox-belowI: (¬¬ xs. xs ∈ paths t ⇒ ccFromList xs ⊑ G) ⇒ ccApprox t ⊑ G
  unfolding ccApprox-paths
  by transfer auto

lemma ccApprox-below-iff: ccApprox t ⊑ G ↔ (∀ xs ∈ paths t. ccFromList xs ⊑ G)
  unfolding ccApprox-paths by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-ccApprox-below-iff: cc-restr S (ccApprox t) ⊑ G ↔ (∀ xs ∈ paths t. cc-restr S (ccFromList xs) ⊑ G)
  unfolding ccApprox-paths cc-restr-lub
  by transfer auto

lemma ccFromList-below-ccApprox:
  xs ∈ paths t ⇒ ccFromList xs ⊑ ccApprox t
  by (rule below-CoCallsI)(auto simp add: in-ccApprox)

lemma ccApprox-nxt-below:
  ccApprox (nxt t x) ⊑ ccApprox t
  by (rule below-CoCallsI)(auto simp add: in-ccApprox paths-nxt-eq elim!: bexI[rotated])

lemma ccApprox-ttree-restr-nxt-below:
  ccApprox (ttree-restr S (nxt t x)) ⊑ ccApprox (ttree-restr S t)
  by (rule below-CoCallsI)
    (auto simp add: in-ccApprox filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] paths-nxt-eq elim!: bexI[rotated])

lemma ccApprox-ttree-restr[simp]: ccApprox (ttree-restr S t) = cc-restr S (ccApprox t)
  by (rule CoCalls-eqI) (auto simp add: in-ccApprox filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] )

lemma ccApprox-both: ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t') = ccApprox t ∪ ccApprox t' ∪ ccProd (carrier t)
  (carrier t')
  proof (rule below-antisym)
    show ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t') ⊑ ccApprox t ∪ ccApprox t' ∪ ccProd (carrier t) (carrier t')
    by (rule below-CoCallsI)
      (auto 4 4 simp add: in-ccApprox paths-both Union-paths-carrier[symmetric] interleave-ccFromList)
  next

```

```

have ccApprox t ⊑ ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t')
  by (rule ccApprox-mono[OF both-contains-arg1])
moreover
have ccApprox t' ⊑ ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t')
  by (rule ccApprox-mono[OF both-contains-arg2])
moreover
have ccProd (carrier t) (carrier t') ⊑ ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t')
proof(rule ccProd-belowI)
  fix x y
  assume x ∈ carrier t and y ∈ carrier t'
  then obtain xs ys where x ∈ set xs and y ∈ set ys
    and xs ∈ paths t and ys ∈ paths t' by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  hence xs @ ys ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ t') by (metis paths-both append-interleave)
  moreover
  from ⟨x ∈ set xs⟩ ⟨y ∈ set ys⟩
  have x--y ∈ (ccFromList (xs@ys)) by simp
  ultimately
    show x--y ∈ (ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t')) by (auto simp add: in-ccApprox simp del: ccFromList-append)
qed
ultimately
show ccApprox t ⊑ ccApprox t' ⊑ ccProd (carrier t) (carrier t') ⊑ ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t')
  by (simp add: join-below-iff)
qed

lemma ccApprox-many-calls[simp]:
  ccApprox (many-calls x) = ccProd {x} {x}
  by transfer' (rule CoCalls-eqI, auto)

lemma ccApprox-single[simp]:
  ccApprox (TTree.single y) = ⊥
  by transfer' auto

lemma ccApprox-either[simp]: ccApprox (t ⊕⊕ t') = ccApprox t ⊑ ccApprox t'
  by transfer' (rule CoCalls-eqI, auto)

lemma wild-recursion:
  assumes ccApprox t ⊑ G
  assumes ∀ x. x ∉ S ⇒ f x = empty
  assumes ∀ x. x ∈ S ⇒ ccApprox (f x) ⊑ G
  assumes ∀ x. x ∈ S ⇒ ccProd (ccNeighbors x G) (carrier (f x)) ⊑ G
  shows ccApprox (ttree-restr (−S) (substitute f T t)) ⊑ G
proof(rule ccApprox-belowI)
  fix xs
  define seen :: var set where seen = {}
  assume xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr (−S) (substitute f T t))

```

```

then obtain xs' xs'' where xs = [x←xs'. x ∉ S] and substitute'' f T xs'' xs' and xs'' ∈ paths
t
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr2[symmetric] substitute-substitute'')
note this(2)
moreover
from ‹ccApprox t ⊑ G› and ‹xs'' ∈ paths t›
have ccFromList xs'' ⊑ G
  by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff)
moreover
note assms(2)
moreover
from assms(3,4)
have ⋀ ys. x ∈ S ==> ys ∈ paths (f x) ==> ccFromList ys ⊑ G
  and ⋀ ys. x ∈ S ==> ys ∈ paths (f x) ==> ccProd (ccNeighbors x G) (set ys) ⊑ G
    by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff Union-paths-carrier[symmetric] cc-lub-below-iff)
moreover
have ccProd seen (set xs'') ⊑ G unfolding seen-def by simp
ultimately
have ccFromList [x←xs'. x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set xs') ⊑ G
proof(induction f T xs'' xs' arbitrary: seen rule: substitute''.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons zs f x xs' xs T ys)

have seen-x: ccProd seen {x} ⊑ G
  using ‹ccProd seen (set (x # xs)) ⊑ G›
  by (auto simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff)

show ?case
proof(cases x ∈ S)
  case True

    from ‹ccFromList (x # xs) ⊑ G›
    have ccProd {x} (set xs) ⊑ G by (auto simp add: join-below-iff)
    hence subset1: set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G by transfer auto

    from ‹ccProd seen (set (x # xs)) ⊑ G›
    have subset2: seen ⊆ ccNeighbors x G
      by (auto simp add: subset-ccNeighbors ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
join-below-iff ccProd-comm)

    from subset1 and subset2
    have seen ∪ set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G by auto
    hence ccProd (seen ∪ set xs) (set zs) ⊑ ccProd (ccNeighbors x G) (set zs)
      by (rule ccProd-mono1)
    also
    from ‹x ∈ S› ‹zs ∈ paths (f x)›
    have ... ⊑ G

```

```

by (rule Cons.prems(4))
finally
have ccProd (seen ∪ set xs) (set zs) ⊑ G by this simp

with ⟨x ∈ S⟩ Cons.hyps Cons.hyps
have ccFromList [x←ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set ys) ⊑ G
  apply –
  apply (rule Cons.IH)
    apply (auto simp add: f-nxt-def join-below-iff interleave-ccFromList interleave-set
ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
      split: if-splits)
  done
with ⟨x ∈ S⟩ seen-x
show ccFromList [x←x # ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd seen (set (x#ys)) ⊑ G
  by (auto simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff)
next
case False

from False Cons.prems Cons.hyps
have *: ccFromList [x←ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd ((insert x seen)) (set ys) ⊑ G
  apply –
  apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen = insert x seen])
  apply (auto simp add: ccApprox-both join-below-iff ttree-restr-both interleave-ccFromList
insert-Diff-if
    simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
    simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
  done
moreover
from False *
have ccProd {x} (set ys) ⊑ G
  by (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen] join-below-iff)
hence ccProd {x} {x ∈ set ys. x ∉ S} ⊑ G
  by (rule below-trans[rotated, OF - ccProd-mono2]) auto
moreover
note False seen-x
ultimately
show ccFromList [x←x # ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set (x # ys)) ⊑ G
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' =
set xs for xs] ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
qed
qed
with ⟨xs = →
show ccFromList xs ⊑ G by simp
qed

lemma wild-recursion-thunked:
assumes ccApprox t ⊑ G
assumes ⋀ x. x ∉ S ==> f x = empty
assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ S ==> ccApprox (f x) ⊑ G

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies ccProd(ccNeighbors x G - \{x\} \cap T) (carrier(f x)) \sqsubseteq G$ 
shows  $ccApprox(ttree-restr(-S)(substitute f T t)) \sqsubseteq G$ 
proof(rule ccApprox-belowI)
fix xs

define seen :: var set where seen = {}
define seen-T :: var set where seen-T = {}

assume  $xs \in paths(ttree-restr(-S)(substitute f T t))$ 
then obtain  $xs' xs''$  where  $xs = [x \leftarrow xs'. x \notin S]$  and  $substitute'' f T xs'' xs'$  and  $xs'' \in paths t$ 
by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr2[symmetric] substitute-substitute'')
note this(2)

moreover
from  $\langle ccApprox t \sqsubseteq G \rangle$  and  $\langle xs'' \in paths t \rangle$ 
have  $ccFromList xs'' \sqsubseteq G$ 
by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff)
hence  $ccFromList xs''|`(-seen-T) \sqsubseteq G$ 
by (rule rev-below-trans[OF - cc-restr-below-arg])
moreover
note assms(2)
moreover
from assms(3,4)
have  $\bigwedge x ys. x \in S \implies ys \in paths(f x) \implies ccFromList ys \sqsubseteq G$ 
and  $\bigwedge x ys. x \in S \implies ys \in paths(f x) \implies ccProd(ccNeighbors x G - \{x\} \cap T) (set ys) \sqsubseteq G$ 
by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff seen-T-def Union-paths-carrier[symmetric] cc-lub-below-iff)
moreover
have  $ccProd seen (set xs'' - seen-T) \sqsubseteq G$  unfolding seen-def seen-T-def by simp
moreover
have  $seen \cap S = \{\}$  unfolding seen-def by simp
moreover
have  $seen-T \subseteq S$  unfolding seen-T-def by simp
moreover
have  $\bigwedge x. x \in seen-T \implies f x = empty$  unfolding seen-T-def by simp
ultimately
have  $ccFromList [x \leftarrow xs'. x \notin S] \sqsubseteq G \wedge ccProd(seen) (set xs' - seen-T) \sqsubseteq G$ 
proof(induction f T xs'' xs' arbitrary: seen seen-T rule: substitute''.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons zs f x xs' T ys)

let ?seen-T = if  $x \in T$  then insert x seen-T else seen-T
have subset:  $-insert x seen-T \subseteq -seen-T$  by auto
have subset2:  $set xs \cap -insert x seen-T \subseteq insert x (set xs) \cap -seen-T$  by auto
have subset3:  $set zs \cap -insert x seen-T \subseteq set zs$  by auto
have subset4:  $set xs \cap -seen-T \subseteq insert x (set xs) \cap -seen-T$  by auto

```

```

have subset5: set zs ∩ − seen-T ⊆ set zs by auto
have subset6: set ys − seen-T ⊆ (set ys − ?seen-T) ∪ {x} by auto

show ?case
proof(cases x ∈ seen-T)
  assume x ∈ seen-T

  have [simp]: f x = empty using ⟨x ∈ seen-T⟩ Cons.preds by auto
  have [simp]: f-nxt f T x = f by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def split;if-splits)
  have [simp]: zs = [] using ⟨zs ∈ paths (f x)⟩ by simp
  have [simp]: xs' = xs using ⟨xs' ∈ xs ⊗ zs⟩ by simp
  have [simp]: x ∈ S using ⟨x ∈ seen-T⟩ Cons.preds by auto

  from Cons.hyps Cons.preds
  have ccFromList [x←ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd seen (set ys − seen-T) ⊑ G
    apply −
    apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen-T = seen-T])
    apply (auto simp add: join-below-iff Diff-eq)
    apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset4]])
    done
  thus ?thesis using ⟨x ∈ seen-T⟩ by simp
next
  assume x ∉ seen-T

  have seen-x: ccProd seen {x} ⊑ G
    using ⟨ccProd seen (set (x # xs) − seen-T) ⊑ G, ⟨x ∉ seen-T⟩
      by (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs − seen-T for xs]
        join-below-iff)

  show ?case
  proof(cases x ∈ S)
    case True

      from ⟨cc-restr (− seen-T) (ccFromList (x # xs)) ⊑ G⟩
      have ccProd {x} (set xs − seen-T) ⊑ G using ⟨x ∉ seen-T⟩ by (auto simp add:
        join-below-iff Diff-eq)
      hence set xs − seen-T ⊆ ccNeighbors x G by transfer auto
      moreover

      from seen-x
      have seen ⊆ ccNeighbors x G by (simp add: subset-ccNeighbors ccProd-comm)
      moreover
      have x ∉ seen using True ⟨seen ∩ S = {}⟩ by auto

      ultimately
      have seen ∪ (set xs ∩ − ?seen-T) ⊆ ccNeighbors x G − {x} ∩ T by auto
      hence ccProd (seen ∪ (set xs ∩ − ?seen-T)) (set zs) ⊑ ccProd (ccNeighbors x G −
        {x} ∩ T) (set zs)
        by (rule ccProd-mono1)

```

```

also
from ⟨x ∈ S⟩ ⟨zs ∈ paths (f x)⟩
have ... ⊑ G
  by (rule Cons.prem(4))
finally
have ccProd (seen ∪ (set xs ∩ - ?seen-T)) (set zs) ⊑ G by this simp

with ⟨x ∈ S⟩ Cons.prem Cons.hyps(1,2)
have ccFromList [x←ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set ys - ?seen-T) ⊑ G
  apply -
  apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen-T = ?seen-T])
  apply (auto simp add: Un-Diff Int-Un-distrib2 Diff-eq f-nxt-def join-below-iff interleave-ccFromList interleave-set ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
    split: if-splits)
  apply (erule below-trans[OF cc-restr-mono1[OF subset]])
  apply (rule below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg], simp)
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl Int-lower1]])
  apply (rule below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg], simp)
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl Int-lower1]])
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset2]])
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset3]])
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset4]])
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset5]])
  done
with ⟨x ∈ S⟩ seen-x ⟨x ∉ seen-T⟩
show ccFromList [x←x # ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd seen (set (x#ys) - seen-T) ⊑ G

  apply (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' = set ys - seen-T for xs] join-below-iff)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset6]])
  apply (subst ccProd-union2)
  apply (auto simp add: join-below-iff)
  done
next
  case False

  from False Cons.prem Cons.hyps
  have *: ccFromList [x←ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd ((insert x seen)) (set ys - seen-T) ⊑
G
  apply -
  apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen = insert x seen and seen-T = seen-T])
  apply (auto simp add: ⟨x ∉ seen-T⟩ Diff-eq ccApprox-both join-below-iff ttree-restr-both
interleave-ccFromList insert-Diff-if
    simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs ∩ - seen-T for xs]
    simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
  done
  moreover
  {
  from False *

```

```

have ccProd {x} (set ys - seen-T) ⊑ G
  by (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen] join-below-iff)
hence ccProd {x} {x ∈ set ys - seen-T. x ∉ S} ⊑ G
  by (rule below-trans[rotated, OF - ccProd-mono2]) auto
also have {x ∈ set ys - seen-T. x ∉ S} = {x ∈ set ys. x ∉ S}
  using ‹seen-T ⊆ S› by auto
finally
have ccProd {x} {x ∈ set ys. x ∉ S} ⊑ G.
}
moreover
note False seen-x
ultimately
show ccFromList [x←x # ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set (x # ys) - seen-T) ⊑
G
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' =
set ys - seen-T for xs] ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
qed
qed
qed
with ‹xs = ›
show ccFromList xs ⊑ G by simp
qed

```

```

inductive-set valid-lists :: var set ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ var list set
for S G
  where [] ∈ valid-lists S G
    | set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G ⇒ xs ∈ valid-lists S G ⇒ x ∈ S ⇒ x#xs ∈ valid-lists S G

inductive-simps valid-lists-simps[simp]: [] ∈ valid-lists S G (x#xs) ∈ valid-lists S G
inductive-cases vald-lists-ConsE: (x#xs) ∈ valid-lists S G

```

```

lemma valid-lists-downset-aux:
  xs ∈ valid-lists S CoCalls ⇒ butlast xs ∈ valid-lists S CoCalls
  by (induction xs) (auto dest: in-set-butlastD)

lemma valid-lists-subset: xs ∈ valid-lists S G ⇒ set xs ⊆ S
  by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) auto

lemma valid-lists-mono1:
  assumes S ⊆ S'
  shows valid-lists S G ⊆ valid-lists S' G
proof
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ valid-lists S G
  thus xs ∈ valid-lists S' G
    by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) (auto dest: subsetD[OF assms])
qed

```

```

lemma valid-lists-chain1:
  assumes chain Y
  assumes xs ∈ valid-lists (UNIV ∪ (Y ` UNIV)) G
  shows ∃ i. xs ∈ valid-lists (Y i) G
proof-
  note ⟨chain Y⟩
  moreover
  from assms(2)
  have set xs ⊆ UNIV ∪ (Y ` UNIV) by (rule valid-lists-subset)
  moreover
  have finite (set xs) by simp
  ultimately
  have ∃ i. set xs ⊆ Y i by (rule finite-subset-chain)
  then obtain i where set xs ⊆ Y i..

  from assms(2) this
  have xs ∈ valid-lists (Y i) G by (induction rule:valid-lists.induct) auto
  thus ?thesis..
qed

lemma valid-lists-chain2:
  assumes chain Y
  assumes xs ∈ valid-lists S (i ∘ Y i)
  shows ∃ i. xs ∈ valid-lists S (Y i)
using assms(2)
proof(induction rule:valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)

  from ⟨chain Y⟩
  have chain (λ i. ccNeighbors x (Y i))
    apply (rule ch2ch-monofun[OF monofunI, rotated])
    unfolding below-set-def
    by (rule ccNeighbors-mono)
  moreover
  from ⟨set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (i ∘ Y i)⟩
  have set xs ⊆ (i ∘ ccNeighbors x (Y i))
    by (simp add: lub-set)
  moreover
  have finite (set xs) by simp
  ultimately
  have ∃ i. set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (Y i) by (rule finite-subset-chain)
  then obtain i where i: set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (Y i)..  

  from Cons.IH
  obtain j where j: xs ∈ valid-lists S (Y j)..  

  from i

```

```

have set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (Y (max i j))
  by (rule order-trans[OF - ccNeighbors-mono[OF chain-mono[OF `chain Y` max.cobounded1]]])
moreover
from j
have xs ∈ valid-lists S (Y (max i j))
  by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct)
  (auto del: subsetI elim: order-trans[OF - ccNeighbors-mono[OF chain-mono[OF `chain Y` max.cobounded2]]])
moreover
note `x ∈ S`
ultimately
have x # xs ∈ valid-lists S (Y (max i j)) by rule
thus ?case..
qed

lemma valid-lists-cc-restr: valid-lists S G = valid-lists S (cc-restr S G)
proof(rule set-eqI)
fix xs
show (xs ∈ valid-lists S G) = (xs ∈ valid-lists S (cc-restr S G))
  by (induction xs) (auto dest: subsetD[OF valid-lists-subset])
qed

lemma interleave-valid-list:
xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ==> ys ∈ valid-lists S G ==> zs ∈ valid-lists S' G' ==> xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S')
(G ∪ (G' ∪ ccProd S S'))
proof (induction rule:interleave-induct)
case Nil
show ?case by simp
next
case (left ys zs xs x)

from `x # ys ∈ valid-lists S G`
have x ∈ S and set ys ⊆ ccNeighbors x G and ys ∈ valid-lists S G
  by auto

from `xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs`
have set xs = set ys ∪ set zs by (rule interleave-set)
with `set ys ⊆ ccNeighbors x G` valid-lists-subset[OF `zs ∈ valid-lists S' G'`]
have set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (G ∪ (G' ∪ ccProd S S'))
  by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd `x ∈ S`)
moreover
from `ys ∈ valid-lists S G` `zs ∈ valid-lists S' G'`
have xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S') (G ∪ (G' ∪ ccProd S S'))
  by (rule left.IH)
moreover
from `x ∈ S`
have x ∈ S ∪ S' by simp
ultimately
show ?case..

```

```

next
  case (right ys zs xs x)

  from ⟨x # zs ∈ valid-lists S' G'
  have x ∈ S' and set zs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G' and zs ∈ valid-lists S' G'
    by auto

  from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩
  have set xs = set ys ∪ set zs by (rule interleave-set)
  with ⟨set zs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G'⟩ valid-lists-subset[OF ⟨ys ∈ valid-lists S G⟩]
  have set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (G ∪ (G' ∪ ccProd S S'))
    by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd ⟨x ∈ S'⟩)
  moreover
  from ⟨ys ∈ valid-lists S G⟩ ⟨zs ∈ valid-lists S' G'⟩
  have xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S') (G ∪ (G' ∪ ccProd S S'))
    by (rule right.IH)
  moreover
  from ⟨x ∈ S'⟩
  have x ∈ S ∪ S' by simp
  ultimately
  show ?case..
qed

lemma interleave-valid-list':
  xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S') G  $\implies$   $\exists$  ys zs. xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs  $\wedge$  ys ∈ valid-lists S G  $\wedge$  zs ∈ valid-lists S' G
proof(induction rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)
  then obtain ys zs where xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ys ∈ valid-lists S G zs ∈ valid-lists S' G by auto

  from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩ have set xs = set ys ∪ set zs by (rule interleave-set)
  with ⟨set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G⟩
  have set ys ⊆ ccNeighbors x G and set zs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G by auto

  from ⟨x ∈ S ∪ S'⟩
  show ?case
proof
  assume x ∈ S
  with ⟨set ys ⊆ ccNeighbors x G⟩ ⟨ys ∈ valid-lists S G⟩
  have x # ys ∈ valid-lists S G
    by rule
  moreover
  from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩
  have x#xs ∈ x#ys ⊗ zs..
  ultimately
  show ?thesis using ⟨zs ∈ valid-lists S' G⟩ by blast
next

```

```

assume  $x \in S'$ 
with  $\langle \text{set } zs \subseteq ccNeighbors x G \rangle \langle zs \in \text{valid-lists } S' G \rangle$ 
have  $x \# zs \in \text{valid-lists } S' G$ 
    by rule
moreover
from  $\langle xs \in ys \otimes zs \rangle$ 
have  $x \# xs \in ys \otimes x \# zs..$ 
ultimately
show ?thesis using  $\langle ys \in \text{valid-lists } S G \rangle$  by blast
qed
qed

lemma many-calls-valid-list:
 $xs \in \text{valid-lists } \{x\} (ccProd \{x\} \{x\}) \implies xs \in \text{range } (\lambda n. \text{replicate } n x)$ 
by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) (auto, metis UNIV-I image-iff replicate-Suc)

lemma filter-valid-lists:
 $xs \in \text{valid-lists } S G \implies \text{filter } P xs \in \text{valid-lists } \{a \in S. P a\} G$ 
by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) auto

lift-definition ccTTree :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  var ttree is  $\lambda S G. \text{valid-lists } S G$ 
by (auto intro: valid-lists-downset-aux)

lemma paths-ccTTree[simp]: paths (ccTTree S G) = valid-lists S G by transfer auto

lemma carrier-ccTTree[simp]: carrier (ccTTree S G) = S
    apply transfer
    apply (auto dest: valid-lists-subset)
    apply (rule-tac x = [x] in bexI)
    apply auto
    done

lemma valid-lists-ccFromList:
 $xs \in \text{valid-lists } S G \implies ccFromList xs \sqsubseteq \text{cc-restr } S G$ 
by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct)
    (auto simp add: join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors ccProd-below-cc-restr elim: subsetD[OF valid-lists-subset])

lemma ccApprox-ccTTree[simp]: ccApprox (ccTTree S G) = cc-restr S G
proof (transfer' fixing: S G, rule below-antisym)
    show lub (ccFromList ` valid-lists S G)  $\sqsubseteq$  cc-restr S G
        apply (rule is-lub-theLub-ex)
        apply (metis coCallsLub-is-lub)
        apply (rule is-ubI)
        apply clarify
        apply (erule valid-lists-ccFromList)
        done
next
show cc-restr S G  $\sqsubseteq$  lub (ccFromList ` valid-lists S G)
proof (rule below-CoCallsI)

```

```

fix x y
have x--y ∈ (ccFromList [y,x]) by simp
moreover
assume x--y ∈ (cc-restr S G)
hence [y,x] ∈ valid-lists S G by (auto simp add: elem-ccNeighbors)
ultimately
show x--y ∈ (lub (ccFromList ` valid-lists S G))
  by (rule in-CoCallsLubI[OF - imageI])
qed
qed

lemma below-ccTTreeI:
assumes carrier t ⊆ S and ccApprox t ⊑ G
shows t ⊑ ccTTree S G
unfolding paths-mono-iff[symmetric] below-set-def
proof
fix xs
assume xs ∈ paths t
with assms
have xs ∈ valid-lists S G
proof(induction xs arbitrary : t)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x xs)
from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths t⟩
have possible t x and xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) by (auto simp add: Cons-path)

have ccProd {x} (set xs) ⊑ ccFromList (x # xs) by simp
also
from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths t⟩
have ... ⊑ ccApprox t
  by (rule ccFromList-below-ccApprox)
also
note ⟨ccApprox t ⊑ G⟩
finally
have ccProd {x} (set xs) ⊑ G by this simp-all
hence set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G unfolding subset-ccNeighbors.
moreover
have xs ∈ valid-lists S G
proof(rule Cons.IH)
  show xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) by fact
next
from ⟨carrier t ⊆ S⟩
show carrier (nxt t x) ⊆ S
  by (rule order-trans[OF carrier-nxt-subset])
next
from ⟨ccApprox t ⊑ G⟩
show ccApprox (nxt t x) ⊑ G
  by (rule below-trans[OF ccApprox-nxt-below])

```

```

qed
moreover
from ⟨carrier t ⊆ S⟩ and ⟨possible t x⟩
have x ∈ S by (rule carrier-possible-subset)
ultimately
show ?case..
qed

thus xs ∈ paths (ccTTree S G) by (metis paths-ccTTree)
qed

lemma ccTTree-mono1:
S ⊆ S' ⟹ ccTTree S G ⊑ ccTTree S' G
by (rule below-ccTTreeI) (auto simp add: cc-restr-below-arg)

lemma cont-ccTTree1:
cont (λ S. ccTTree S G)
apply (rule contI2)
apply (rule monofunI)
apply (erule ccTTree-mono1 [folded below-set-def])

apply (rule ttree-belowI)
apply (simp add: paths-Either lub-set lub-is-either)
apply (drule (1) valid-lists-chain1 [rotated])
apply simp
done

lemma ccTTree-mono2:
G ⊑ G' ⟹ ccTTree S G ⊑ ccTTree S G'
apply (rule ttree-belowI)
apply simp
apply (induct-tac rule:valid-lists.induct) apply assumption
apply simp
apply simp
apply (erule (1) order-trans[OF - ccNeighbors-mono])
done

lemma ccTTree-mono:
S ⊆ S' ⟹ G ⊑ G' ⟹ ccTTree S G ⊑ ccTTree S' G'
by (metis below-trans[OF ccTTree-mono1 ccTTree-mono2])

lemma cont-ccTTree2:
cont (ccTTree S)
apply (rule contI2)
apply (rule monofunI)
apply (erule ccTTree-mono2)

apply (rule ttree-belowI)

```

```

apply (simp add: paths-Either lub-set lub-is-either)
apply (drule (1) valid-lists-chain2)
apply simp
done

lemmas cont-ccTTree = cont-compose2[where c = ccTTree, OF cont-ccTTree1 cont-ccTTree2,
simp, cont2cont]

lemma ccTTree-below-singleI:
assumes S ∩ S' = {}
shows ccTTree S G ⊑ singles S'
proof-
{
fix xs x
assume xs ∈ valid-lists S G and x ∈ S'
from this assms
have length [x' ← xs . x' = x] ≤ Suc 0
by(induction rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons]) auto
}
thus ?thesis by transfer auto
qed

lemma ccTTree-cc-restr: ccTTree S G = ccTTree S (cc-restr S G)
by transfer' (rule valid-lists-cc-restr)

lemma ccTTree-cong-below: cc-restr S G ⊑ cc-restr S G' ⟹ ccTTree S G ⊑ ccTTree S G'
by (metis ccTTree-mono2 ccTTree-cc-restr)

lemma ccTTree-cong: cc-restr S G = cc-restr S G' ⟹ ccTTree S G = ccTTree S G'
by (metis ccTTree-cc-restr)

lemma either-ccTTree:
ccTTree S G ⊕⊕ ccTTree S' G' ⊑ ccTTree (S ∪ S') (G ∙ G')
by (auto intro!: either-belowI ccTTree-mono)

lemma interleave-ccTTree:
ccTTree S G ⊗⊗ ccTTree S' G' ⊑ ccTTree (S ∪ S') (G ∙ G' ∙ ccProd S S')
by transfer' (auto, erule (2) interleave-valid-list)

lemma interleave-ccTTree':
ccTTree (S ∪ S') G ⊑ ccTTree S G ⊗⊗ ccTTree S' G
by transfer' (auto dest!: interleave-valid-list')

lemma many-calls-ccTTree:
shows many-calls x = ccTTree {x} (ccProd {x} {x})
apply(transfer')
apply (auto intro: many-calls-valid-list)

```

```

apply (induct-tac n)
apply (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
done

lemma filter-valid-lists':
  xs ∈ valid-lists {x' ∈ S. P x'} G ⇒ xs ∈ filter P ` valid-lists S G
proof (induction xs )
  case Nil thus ?case by auto (metis filter.simps(1) image-iff valid-lists-simps(1))
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  from Cons.preds
  have set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G and xs ∈ valid-lists {x' ∈ S. P x'} G and x ∈ S and P x by
  auto

  from this(2) have set xs ⊆ {x' ∈ S. P x'} by (rule valid-lists-subset)
  hence ∀ x ∈ set xs. P x by auto
  hence [simp]: filter P xs = xs by (rule filter-True)

  from Cons.IH[OF `xs ∈ -`]
  have xs ∈ filter P ` valid-lists S G.

  from `xs ∈ valid-lists {x' ∈ S. P x'} G`
  have xs ∈ valid-lists S G by (rule subsetD[OF valid-lists-mono1, rotated]) auto

  from `set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G` this `x ∈ S`
  have x # xs ∈ valid-lists S G by rule

  hence filter P (x # xs) ∈ filter P ` valid-lists S G by (rule imageI)
  thus ?case using `P x` `filter P xs = xs` by simp
qed

lemma without-ccTTree[simp]:
  without x (ccTTree S G) = ccTTree (S - {x}) G
by (transfer' fixing: x) (auto dest: filter-valid-lists' filter-valid-lists[where P = (λ x'. x' ≠ x)]
simp add: set-diff-eq)

lemma ttree-restr-ccTTree[simp]:
  ttree-restr S' (ccTTree S G) = ccTTree (S ∩ S') G
by (transfer' fixing: S') (auto dest: filter-valid-lists' filter-valid-lists[where P = (λ x'. x' ∈ S')]
simp add: Int-def)

lemma repeatable-ccTTree-ccSquare: S ⊆ S' ⇒ repeatable (ccTTree S (ccSquare S'))
  unfolding repeatable-def
  by transfer (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccSquare dest: subsetD[OF valid-lists-subset])

```

An alternative definition

```

inductive valid-lists' :: var set ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ var set ⇒ var list ⇒ bool
  for S G
  where  valid-lists' S G prefix []

```

```

| prefix ⊆ ccNeighbors x G ⇒ valid-lists' S G (insert x prefix) xs ⇒ x ∈ S ⇒ valid-lists'
S G prefix (x#xs)

inductive-simps valid-lists'-simps[simp]: valid-lists' S G prefix [] valid-lists' S G prefix (x#xs)
inductive-cases vald-lists'-Conse: valid-lists' S G prefix (x#xs)

lemma valid-lists-valid-lists':
  xs ∈ valid-lists S G ⇒ ccProd prefix (set xs) ⊑ G ⇒ valid-lists' S G prefix xs
proof(induction arbitrary: prefix rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)

  from Cons.psms Cons.hyps Cons.IH[where prefix = insert x prefix]
  show ?case
  by (auto simp add: insert-is-Un[where A = set xs] insert-is-Un[where A = prefix]
        join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors elem-ccNeighbors ccProd-comm simp del:
        Un-insert-left)
qed

lemma valid-lists'-valid-lists-aux:
  valid-lists' S G prefix xs ⇒ x ∈ prefix ⇒ ccProd (set xs) {x} ⊑ G
proof(induction rule: valid-lists'.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons prefix x xs)
  thus ?case
    apply (auto simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = prefix] ccProd-insert1[where S' = set
    xs] join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors)
    by (metis Cons.hyps(1) dual-order.trans empty-subsetI insert-subset subset-ccNeighbors)
qed

lemma valid-lists'-valid-lists:
  valid-lists' S G prefix xs ⇒ xs ∈ valid-lists S G
proof(induction rule: valid-lists'.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons prefix x xs)
  thus ?case
    by (auto simp add: insert-is-Un[where A = set xs] insert-is-Un[where A = prefix]
          join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors elem-ccNeighbors ccProd-comm simp del:
          Un-insert-left
          intro: valid-lists'-valid-lists-aux)
qed

```

Yet another definition

```

lemma valid-lists-characterization:
  xs ∈ valid-lists S G ↔ set xs ⊆ S ∧ (∀ n. ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊑ G)
proof(safe)

```

```

fix x
assume xs ∈ valid-lists S G
from valid-lists-subset[OF this]
show x ∈ set xs ==> x ∈ S by auto
next
fix n
assume xs ∈ valid-lists S G
thus ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊑ G
proof(induction arbitrary: n rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons xs x)
show ?case
proof(cases n)
  case 0 thus ?thesis by simp
next
case (Suc n)
with Cons.hyps Cons.IH[where n = n]
show ?thesis
apply (auto simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors)
  by (metis dual-order.trans set-drop-subset subset-ccNeighbors)
qed
qed
next
assume set xs ⊆ S
and ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊑ G
thus xs ∈ valid-lists S G
proof(induction xs)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x xs)
from ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n (x # xs))) (set (drop n (x # xs))) ⊑ G
have ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊑ G
  by -(rule, erule-tac x = Suc n in allE, auto simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff)
from Cons.prems Cons.IH[OF - this]
have xs ∈ valid-lists S G by auto
with Cons.prems(1) spec[OF ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n (x # xs))) (set (drop n (x # xs))) ⊑ G, where x = 1]
show ?case by (simp add: subset-ccNeighbors)
qed
qed
end

```

## 12.7 CoCallImplTTree

theory *CoCallImplTTree*

```

imports TTreeAnalysisSig Env-Set-Cpo CoCallAritySig CoCallGraph-TTree
begin

context CoCallArity
begin
  definition Texp :: exp ⇒ Arity → var ttree
    where Texp e = (Λ a. ccTTree (edom (Aexp e · a)) (ccExp e · a))

  lemma Texp-simp: Texp e · a = ccTTree (edom (Aexp e · a)) (ccExp e · a)
    unfolding Texp-def
    by simp

  sublocale TTreeAnalysis Texp.
end

end

```

## 12.8 CoCallImplTTreeSafe

```

theory CoCallImplTTreeSafe
imports CoCallImplTTree CoCallAnalysisSpec TTreeAnalysisSpec
begin

lemma valid-lists-many-calls:
  assumes ¬ one-call-in-path x p
  assumes p ∈ valid-lists S G
  shows x -- x ∈ G
using assms(2,1)
proof(induction rule:valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x')
  show ?case
  proof(cases one-call-in-path x xs)
    case False
    from Cons.IH[OF this]
    show ?thesis.
  next
    case True
    with ¬ one-call-in-path x (x' # xs)
    have [simp]: x' = x by (auto split: if-splits)

    have x ∈ set xs
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume x ∉ set xs
      hence no-call-in-path x xs by (metis no-call-in-path-set-conv)
      hence one-call-in-path x (x # xs) by simp
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

with Cons show False by simp
qed
with `set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x' G
have x ∈ ccNeighbors x G by auto
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

context CoCallArityEdom
begin

lemma carrier-Fexp': carrier (Texp e·a) ⊆ fv e
  unfolding Texp-simp carrier-ccTTree
  by (rule Aexp-edom)

end

context CoCallAritySafe
begin

lemma carrier-AnalBinds-below:
  carrier ((Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) x) ⊆ edom ((ABinds Δ)·(Aheap Δ e·a))
by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup Texp-def split: option.splits
  elim!: subsetD[OF edom-mono[OF monofun-cfun-fun[OF ABind-below-ABinds]]])

sublocale TTreeAnalysisCarrier Texp
  apply standard
  unfolding Texp-simp carrier-ccTTree
  apply standard
  done

sublocale TTreeAnalysisSafe Texp
proof
  fix x e a

  from edom-mono[OF Aexp-App]
  have {x} ∪ edom (Aexp e·(inc·a)) ⊆ edom (Aexp (App e x)·a) by auto
  moreover
  {
    have ccApprox (many-calls x ⊗⊗ ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·(inc·a))) (ccExp e·(inc·a)))
      = cc-restr (edom (Aexp e·(inc·a))) (ccExp e·(inc·a)) ⊔ ccProd {x} (insert x (edom (Aexp e·(inc·a))))
      by (simp add: ccApprox-both ccProd-insert2[where S' = edom e for e])
    also
    have edom (Aexp e·(inc·a)) ⊆ fv e
      by (rule Aexp-edom)
    also (below-trans[OF eq-imp-below join-mono[OF below-refl ccProd-mono2[OF insert-mono]
    ]])
      have cc-restr (edom (Aexp e·(inc·a))) (ccExp e·(inc·a)) ⊑ ccExp e·(inc·a)
  }

```

```

by (rule cc-restr-below-arg)
also
have ccExp e·(inc·a) ⊑ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e)) ⊑ ccExp (App e x)·a
  by (rule ccExp-App)
finally
have ccApprox (many-calls x ⊗⊗ ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·(inc·a))) (ccExp e·(inc·a))) ⊑ ccExp
(App e x)·a by this simp-all
}
ultimately
show many-calls x ⊗⊗ Texp e·(inc·a) ⊑ Texp (App e x)·a
  unfolding Texp-simp by (auto intro!: below-ccTTreeI)
next
fix y e n
show without y (Texp e·(pred·n)) ⊑ Texp (Lam [y]. e)·n
  unfolding Texp-simp
  by (auto dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]
    intro!: below-ccTTreeI below-trans[OF - ccExp-Lam] cc-restr-mono1 subsetD[OF
edom-mono[OF Aexp-Lam]])
next
fix e y x a

from edom-mono[OF Aexp-subst]
have *: edom (Aexp e[y:=x]·a) ⊆ insert x (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y}) by simp

have Texp e[y:=x]·a = ccTTree (edom (Aexp e[y:=x]·a)) (ccExp e[y:=x]·a)
  unfolding Texp-simp..
also have ... ⊑ ccTTree (insert x (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y})) (ccExp e[y:=x]·a)
  by (rule ccTTree-mono1[OF *])
also have ... ⊑ many-calls x ⊗⊗ without x (...)
  by (rule paths-many-calls-subset)
also have without x (ccTTree (insert x (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y})) (ccExp e[y:=x]·a))
= ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y} - {x}) (ccExp e[y:=x]·a)
  by simp
also have ... ⊑ ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y} - {x}) (ccExp e·a)
  by (rule ccTTree-cong-below[OF ccExp-subst]) auto
also have ... = without y (ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) - {x}) (ccExp e·a))
  by simp (metis Diff-insert Diff-insert2)
also have ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) - {x}) (ccExp e·a) ⊑ ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a)) (ccExp
e·a)
  by (rule ccTTree-mono1) auto
also have ... = Texp e·a
  unfolding Texp-simp..
finally
show Texp e[y:=x]·a ⊑ many-calls x ⊗⊗ without y (Texp e·a)
  by this simp-all
next
fix v a
have up·a ⊑ (Aexp (Var v)·a) v by (rule Aexp-Var)
hence v ∈ edom (Aexp (Var v)·a) by (auto simp add: edom-def)

```

**thus**  $\text{single } v \sqsubseteq \text{Texp} (\text{Var } v) \cdot a$   
**unfolding**  $\text{Texp-simp}$   
**by** (auto intro: below-ccTTreeI)  
**next**  
**fix**  $\text{scrut } e1 \ a \ e2$   
**have**  $\text{ccTTree} (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a)) (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a) \oplus \text{ccTTree} (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) (\text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a)$   
 $\sqsubseteq \text{ccTTree} (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a)$   
**by** (rule either-ccTTree)  
**note** both-mono2'[OF this]  
**also**  
**have**  $\text{ccTTree} (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0)) (\text{ccExp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0) \otimes \text{ccTTree} (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a)$   
 $\sqsubseteq \text{ccTTree} (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0) \cup (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a))) (\text{ccExp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0 \sqcup (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a) \sqcup \text{ccProd} (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0)) (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)))$   
**by** (rule interleave-ccTTree)  
**also**  
**have**  $\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0) \cup (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) = \text{edom} (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0 \sqcup \text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)$  by auto  
**also**  
**have**  $\text{Aexp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0 \sqcup \text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{Aexp} (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a$   
**by** (rule Aexp-IfThenElse)  
**also**  
**have**  $\text{ccExp} \text{scrut}\cdot 0 \sqcup (\text{ccExp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{ccExp } e2 \cdot a) \sqcup \text{ccProd} (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0)) (\text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq \text{ccExp} (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a$   
**by** (rule ccExp-IfThenElse)  
  
**show**  $\text{Texp } \text{scrut}\cdot 0 \otimes \text{Texp } e1 \cdot a \oplus \text{Texp } e2 \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{Texp} (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a$   
**unfolding**  $\text{Texp-simp}$   
**by** (auto simp add: ccApprox-both join-below-iff below-trans[OF - join-above2]  
intro!: below-ccTTreeI below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg]  
below-trans[OF - ccExp-IfThenElse] subsetD[OF edom-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse]])  
**next**  
**fix**  $e$   
**assume**  $\text{isVal } e$   
**hence** [simp]:  $\text{ccExp } e \cdot 0 = \text{ccSquare} (\text{fv } e)$  by (rule ccExp-pap)  
**thus** repeatable ( $\text{Texp } e \cdot 0$ )  
**unfolding**  $\text{Texp-simp}$  by (auto intro: repeatable-ccTTree-ccSquare[OF Aexp-edom])  
**qed**  
  
**definition**  $\text{Theap} :: \text{heap} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{Arity} \rightarrow \text{var ttree}$   
**where**  $\text{Theap } \Gamma \ e = (\Lambda \ a. \text{if nonrec } \Gamma \ \text{then ccTTree} (\text{edom} (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)) (\text{ccExp } e \cdot a) \ \text{else} \ \text{ttree-restr} (\text{edom} (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)) \ \text{anything})$   
  
**lemma**  $\text{Theap-simp}: \text{Theap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a = (\text{if nonrec } \Gamma \ \text{then ccTTree} (\text{edom} (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)) (\text{ccExp } e \cdot a) \ \text{else} \ \text{ttree-restr} (\text{edom} (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)) \ \text{anything})$   
**unfolding**  $\text{Theap-def}$  by simp

```

lemma carrier-Fheap':carrier (Theap Γ e·a) = edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
  unfolding Theap-simp carrier-ccTTree by simp

sublocale TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap Texp Aexp Aheap Theap
proof
  fix Γ e a
  show carrier (Theap Γ e·a) = edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
    by (rule carrier-Fheap')
next
  fix x Γ p e a
  assume x ∈ thunks Γ

  assume ¬ one-call-in-path x p
  hence x ∈ set p by (rule more-than-one-setD)

  assume p ∈ paths (Theap Γ e·a) with ⟨x ∈ set p⟩
  have x ∈ carrier (Theap Γ e·a) by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  hence x ∈ edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
    unfolding Theap-simp by (auto split: if-splits)

  show (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0
  proof(cases nonrec Γ)
    case False
      from False ⟨x ∈ thunks Γ⟩ ⟨x ∈ edom (Aheap Γ e·a)⟩
      show ?thesis by (rule aHeap-thunks-rec)
  next
    case True
    with ⟨p ∈ paths (Theap Γ e·a)⟩
    have p ∈ valid-lists (edom (Aheap Γ e·a)) (ccExp e·a) by (simp add: Theap-simp)

    with ⟨¬ one-call-in-path x p⟩
    have x--x ∈ (ccExp e·a) by (rule valid-lists-many-calls)

    from True ⟨x ∈ thunks Γ⟩ this
    show ?thesis by (rule aHeap-thunks-nonrec)
  qed
next
  fix Δ e a

  have carrier: carrier (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a))
    ⊆ edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ edom (Aexp (Let Δ e)·a)
  proof(rule carrier-substitute-below)
    from edom-mono[OF Aexp-Let[of Δ e a]]
    show carrier (Texp e·a) ⊆ edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ edom (Aexp (Let Δ e)·a) by (simp add: Texp-def)
  next
    fix x
    assume x ∈ edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ edom (Aexp (Let Δ e)·a)

```

```

hence  $x \in \text{edom}(\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a) \vee x : (\text{edom}(\text{Aexp}(\text{Let } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) \text{ by } \text{simp})$ 
thus  $\text{carrier}((\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) x) \subseteq \text{edom}(\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a) \cup \text{edom}(\text{Aexp}(\text{Let } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a))$ 
proof
assume  $x \in \text{edom}(\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)$ 

have  $\text{carrier}((\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) x) \subseteq \text{edom}(\text{ABinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a))$ 
by (rule carrier-AnalBinds-below)
also have ...  $\subseteq \text{edom}(\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp}(\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a))$ 
using  $\text{edom-mono}[\text{OF Aexp-Let}[of \Delta \cdot e \cdot a]]$  by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
next
assume  $x \in \text{edom}(\text{Aexp}(\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a))$ 
hence  $x \notin \text{domA } \Delta$  by (auto dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])
hence  $(\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) x = \perp$ 
by (rule Texp.AnalBinds-not-there)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

show  $\text{ttree-restr}(- \text{domA } \Delta) (\text{substitute}(\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Texp } e \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq \text{Texp}(\text{Let } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)$ 
proof (rule below-trans[OF - eq-imp-below[OF Texp-simp[symmetric]]], rule below-ccTTreeI)
have  $\text{carrier}(\text{ttree-restr}(- \text{domA } \Delta) (\text{substitute}(\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Texp } e \cdot a))) = \text{carrier}(\text{substitute}(\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Texp } e \cdot a)) - \text{domA } \Delta$  by auto
also note carrier
also have  $\text{edom}(\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a) \cup \text{edom}(\text{Aexp}(\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) - \text{domA } \Delta = \text{edom}(\text{Aexp}(\text{Let } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a))$ 
by (auto dest: subsetD[OF edom-Aheap] subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])
finally
show  $\text{carrier}(\text{ttree-restr}(- \text{domA } \Delta) (\text{substitute}(\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) (\text{thunks } \Delta) (\text{Texp } e \cdot a))) \subseteq \text{edom}(\text{Aexp}(\text{Terms.Let } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a))$  by this auto
next
let ?x = ccApprox (ttree-restr (- domA Δ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ · (Aheap Δ · e · a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e · a))))
have ?x = cc-restr (- domA Δ) ?x by simp
also have ...  $\sqsubseteq \text{cc-restr}(- \text{domA } \Delta) (\text{ccHeap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)$ 
proof (rule cc-restr-mono2[OF wild-recursion-thunked])
have ccExp e · a  $\sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a$  by (rule ccHeap-Exp)
thus ccApprox (Texp e · a)  $\sqsubseteq \text{ccHeap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a$ 
by (auto simp add: Texp-simp intro: below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg])
next
fix x
assume  $x \notin \text{domA } \Delta$ 
thus  $(\text{Texp.AnalBinds } \Delta \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Delta \cdot e \cdot a)) x = \text{empty}$ 

```

```

    by (metis Texp.AnalBinds-not-there empty-is-bottom)
next
  fix x
  assume x ∈ domA Δ
  then obtain e' where e': map-of Δ x = Some e' by (metis domA-map-of-Some-the)

  show ccApprox ((Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) x) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
  proof(cases (Aheap Δ e·a) x)
    case bottom thus ?thesis using e' by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
  next
    case (up a')
    with e'
    have ccExp e'·a' ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a by (rule ccHeap-Heap)
    thus ?thesis using up e'
    by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup Texp-simp intro: below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg])
  qed

  show ccProd (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ) (carrier ((Texp.AnalBinds
Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) x)) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
  proof(cases (Aheap Δ e·a) x)
    case bottom thus ?thesis using e' by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
  next
    case (up a')
    have subset: (carrier (fup·(Texp e')·((Aheap Δ e·a) x))) ⊆ fv e'
      using up e' by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup carrier-Fexp dest!: subsetD[OF
Aexp-edom])
    from e' up
    have ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
      by (rule ccHeap-Extra-Edges)
    then
      show ?thesis using e'
        by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup Texp-simp ccProd-comm below-trans[OF
ccProd-mono2[OF subset]])
    qed
  qed
  also have ... ⊑ ccExp (Let Δ e)·a
    by (rule ccExp-Let)
  finally
    show ccApprox (ttree-restr (- domA Δ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a))
(thunks Δ) (Texp e·a)))
      ⊑ ccExp (Terms.Let Δ e)·a by this simp-all
  qed

  note carrier
  hence carrier (substitute (ExpAnalysis.AnalBinds Texp Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp
e·a)) ⊑ edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ - domA Δ
    by (rule order-trans) (auto dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom])
  hence ttree-restr (domA Δ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks

```

```

 $\Delta$ ) ( $Texp\ e\cdot a$ )
  =  $ttree-restr\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (ttree-restr\ (domA\ \Delta)\ (substitute\ (Texp.AnalBinds\ \Delta\cdot(Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (thunks\ \Delta)\ (Texp\ e\cdot a)))$ 
    by  $-(rule\ ttree-restr-noop[symmetric],\ auto)$ 
  also
  have ... =  $ttree-restr\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (substitute\ (Texp.AnalBinds\ \Delta\cdot(Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (thunks\ \Delta)\ (Texp\ e\cdot a))$ 
    by  $(simp\ add:\ inf.absorb2[OF\ edom-Aheap\ ])$ 
  also
  have ...  $\sqsubseteq$   $Theap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a$ 
  proof(cases nonrec  $\Delta$ )
    case False
    have  $ttree-restr\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (substitute\ (Texp.AnalBinds\ \Delta\cdot(Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (thunks\ \Delta)\ (Texp\ e\cdot a))$ 
       $\sqsubseteq$   $ttree-restr\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ anything$ 
      by  $(rule\ ttree-restr-mono)\ simp$ 
    also have ... =  $Theap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a$ 
      by  $(simp\ add:\ Theap-simp\ False)$ 
    finally show ?thesis.

next
  case [simp]: True

  from True
  have  $ttree-restr\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (substitute\ (Texp.AnalBinds\ \Delta\cdot(Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (thunks\ \Delta)\ (Texp\ e\cdot a))$ 
    =  $ttree-restr\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (Texp\ e\cdot a)$ 
    by  $(rule\ nonrecE)\ (rule\ ttree-rest-substitute,\ auto\ simp\ add:\ carrier-Fexp\ fv-def\ fresh-def\ dest!:\ subsetD[OF\ edom-Aheap]\ subsetD[OF\ Aexp-edom])$ 
  also have ... =  $ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e\cdot a)\cap edom\ (Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (ccExp\ e\cdot a)$ 
    by  $(simp\ add:\ Texp-simp)$ 
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$   $ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aexp\ e\cdot a)\cap domA\ \Delta)\ (ccExp\ e\cdot a)$ 
    by  $(rule\ ccTTree-mono1[OF\ Int-mono[OF\ order-refl\ edom-Aheap]])$ 
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$   $ccTTree\ (edom\ (Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (ccExp\ e\cdot a)$ 
    by  $(rule\ ccTTree-mono1[OF\ edom-mono[OF\ Aheap-nonrec[OF\ True],\ simplified]])$ 
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$   $Theap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a$ 
    by  $(simp\ add:\ Theap-simp)$ 
  finally
  show ?thesis by this simp-all
qed
finally
show  $ttree-restr\ (domA\ \Delta)\ (substitute\ (ExpAnalysis.AnalBinds\ Texp\ \Delta\cdot(Aheap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a))\ (thunks\ \Delta)\ (Texp\ e\cdot a))\ \sqsubseteq\ Theap\ \Delta\ e\cdot a.$ 

qed
end

```

```

lemma paths-singles: xs ∈ paths (singles S) ↔ (forall x ∈ S. one-call-in-path x xs)
  by transfer (auto simp add: one-call-in-path-filter-conv)

lemma paths-singles': xs ∈ paths (singles S) ↔ (forall x ∈ (set xs ∩ S). one-call-in-path x xs)
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp add: one-call-in-path-filter-conv)
  apply (erule-tac x = x in ballE)
  apply auto
  by (metis (poly-guards-query) filter-empty-conv le0 length-0-conv)

lemma both-below-singles1:
  assumes t ⊑ singles S
  assumes carrier t' ∩ S = {}
  shows t ⊗⊗ t' ⊑ singles S
proof (rule ttree-belowI)
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ t')
  then obtain ys zs where ys ∈ paths t and zs ∈ paths t' and xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs by (auto simp
  add: paths-both)
  with assms
  have ys ∈ paths (singles S) and set zs ∩ S = {}
  by (metis below-ttree.rep-eq contra-subsetD paths.rep-eq, auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  with <xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs>
  show xs ∈ paths (singles S)
    by (induction) (auto simp add: paths-singles no-call-in-path-set-conv interleave-set dest:
  more-than-one-setD split: if-splits)
qed

lemma paths-ttree-restr-singles: xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr S' (singles S)) ↔ set xs ⊆ S' ∧ (forall x ∈
S. one-call-in-path x xs)
proof
  show xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr S' (singles S)) ==> set xs ⊆ S' ∧ (forall x ∈ S. one-call-in-path x
xs)
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] paths-singles)
next
  assume *: set xs ⊆ S' ∧ (forall x ∈ S. one-call-in-path x xs)
  hence set xs ⊆ S' by auto
  hence [simp]: filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S') xs = xs by (auto simp add: filter-id-conv)
from *
have xs ∈ paths (singles S)
  by (auto simp add: paths-singles')
hence filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S') xs ∈ filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S') ` paths (singles S)
  by (rule imageI)
thus xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr S' (singles S))
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] )
qed

```

```

lemma substitute-not-carrier:
  assumes x ∉ carrier t
  assumes ⋀ x'. x ∉ carrier (f x')
  shows x ∉ carrier (substitute f T t)
proof-
  have ttree-restr ({x}) (substitute f T t) = ttree-restr ({x}) t
  proof(rule ttree-rest-substitute)
    fix x'
    from ⟨x ∉ carrier (f x')⟩
    show carrier (f x') ∩ {x} = {} by auto
  qed
  hence x ∉ carrier (ttree-restr ({x}) (substitute f T t)) ⟷ x ∉ carrier (ttree-restr ({x}) t)
  by metis
  with assms(1)
  show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma substitute-below-singlesI:
  assumes t ⊑ singles S
  assumes ⋀ x. carrier (f x) ∩ S = {}
  shows substitute f T t ⊑ singles S
proof(rule ttree-belowI)
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  thus xs ∈ paths (singles S)
  using assms
  proof(induction f T t xs arbitrary: S rule: substitute-induct)
    case Nil
    thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons f T t x xs)
      from ⟨x#xs ∈ -⟩
      have xs: xs ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x)) by auto
      moreover
        from ⟨t ⊑ singles S⟩
        have nxt t x ⊑ singles S
          by (metis TTree-HOLCF.nxt-mono below-trans nxt-singles-below-singles)
      from this ⟨carrier (f x) ∩ S = {}⟩
      have nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x ⊑ singles S
        by (rule both-below-singles1)
      moreover
      { fix x'
        from ⟨carrier (f x') ∩ S = {}⟩

```

```

have carrier (f-nxt f T x x') ∩ S = {}
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
}
ultimately
have IH: xs ∈ paths (singles S)
  by (rule Cons.IH)

show ?case
proof(cases x ∈ S)
  case True
  with ⟨carrier (f x) ∩ S = {}⟩
  have x ∉ carrier (f x) by auto
  moreover
  from ⟨t ⊑ singles S⟩
  have nxt t x ⊑ nxt (singles S) x by (rule nxt-mono)
  hence carrier (nxt t x) ⊑ carrier (nxt (singles S) x) by (rule carrier-mono)
  from subsetD[OF this] True
  have x ∉ carrier (nxt t x) by auto
  ultimately
  have x ∉ carrier (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x) by simp
  hence x ∉ carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x))
  proof(rule substitute-not-carrier)
    fix x'
    from ⟨carrier (f x') ∩ S = {}⟩ ⟨x ∈ S⟩
    show x ∉ carrier (f-nxt f T x x') by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
  qed
  with xs
  have x ∉ set xs by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  with IH
  have xs ∈ paths (without x (singles S)) by (rule paths-withoutI)
  thus ?thesis using True by (simp add: Cons-path)
next
  case False
  with IH
  show ?thesis by (simp add: Cons-path)
qed
qed
qed

end

```

## 13 CoCall Cardinality Implementation

### 13.1 CoCallAnalysisImpl

```

theory CoCallAnalysisImpl
imports Arity-Nominal Launchbury.Nominal-HOLCF Launchbury.Env-Nominal Env-Set-Cpo
Launchbury.Env-HOLCF CoCallFix

```

```

begin

fun combined-restrict :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  (AEnv  $\times$  CoCalls)  $\Rightarrow$  (AEnv  $\times$  CoCalls)
  where combined-restrict S (env, G) = (env f|‘ S, cc-restr S G)

lemma fst-combined-restrict[simp]:
  fst (combined-restrict S p) = fst p f|‘ S
  by (cases p, simp)

lemma snd-combined-restrict[simp]:
  snd (combined-restrict S p) = cc-restr S (snd p)
  by (cases p, simp)

lemma combined-restrict-eqvt[eqvt]:
  shows  $\pi \cdot$  combined-restrict S p = combined-restrict ( $\pi \cdot S$ ) ( $\pi \cdot p$ )
  by (cases p) auto

lemma combined-restrict-cont:
  cont ( $\lambda x.$  combined-restrict S x)
proof-
  have cont ( $\lambda (env, G).$  combined-restrict S (env, G)) by simp
  then show ?thesis by (simp only: case-prod-eta)
qed
lemmas cont-compose[OF combined-restrict-cont, cont2cont, simp]

lemma combined-restrict-perm:
  assumes supp  $\pi \nparallel S$  and [simp]: finite S
  shows combined-restrict S ( $\pi \cdot p$ ) = combined-restrict S p
proof(cases p)
  fix env :: AEnv and G :: CoCalls
  assume p = (env, G)
  moreover
  from assms
  have env-restr S ( $\pi \cdot env$ ) = env-restr S env by (rule env-restr-perm)
  moreover
  from assms
  have cc-restr S ( $\pi \cdot G$ ) = cc-restr S G by (rule cc-restr-perm)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by simp
qed

definition predCC :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  (Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls)  $\Rightarrow$  (Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls)
  where predCC S f = ( $\Lambda a.$  if  $a \neq 0$  then cc-restr S (f.(pred·a)) else ccSquare S)

lemma predCC-eq:
  shows predCC S f · a = (if  $a \neq 0$  then cc-restr S (f.(pred·a)) else ccSquare S)
  unfolding predCC-def
  apply (rule beta-cfun)
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)

```

```

apply (auto dest: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr])
done

lemma predCC-eqvt[eqvt, simp]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{predCC } S f) = \text{predCC } (\pi \cdot S) (\pi \cdot f)$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  unfolding predCC-eq
  by perm-simp rule

lemma cc-restr-predCC:
  cc-restr S (predCC S' f·n) = (predCC (S' ∩ S) (Λ n. cc-restr S (f·n)))·n
  unfolding predCC-eq
  by (auto simp add: inf-commute ccSquare-def)

lemma cc-restr-predCC'[simp]:
  cc-restr S (predCC S f·n) = predCC S f·n
  unfolding predCC-eq by simp

nominal-function
  cCCexp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → AEnv × CoCalls)
where
  cCCexp (Var x) = (Λ n . (esing x · (up · n), ⊥))
  | cCCexp (Lam [x]. e) = (Λ n . combined-restrict (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (fst (cCCexp e·(pred·n)), predCC (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (Λ a. snd(cCCexp e·a))·n)))
  | cCCexp (App e x) = (Λ n . (fst (cCCexp e·(inc·n)) ∪ (esing x · (up·0)), snd (cCCexp e·(inc·n)) ∪ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e))))
  | cCCexp (Let Γ e) = (Λ n . combined-restrict (fv (Let Γ e)) (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp Γ · (cCCexp e·n)))
  | cCCexp (Bool b) = ⊥
  | cCCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) = (Λ n. (fst (cCCexp scrut·0) ∪ fst (cCCexp e1·n) ∪ fst (cCCexp e2·n),
    snd (cCCexp scrut·0) ∪ (snd (cCCexp e1·n) ∪ snd (cCCexp e2·n)) ∪ ccProd (edom (fst (cCCexp scrut·0))) (edom (fst (cCCexp e1·n)) ∪ edom (fst (cCCexp e2·n)))))

proof goal-cases
  case 1
  show ?case
    unfolding eqvt-def cCCexp-graph-aux-def
    apply rule
    apply (perm-simp)
    apply (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
    done
  next
    case 3
    thus ?case by (metis Terms.exp-strong-exhaust)
  next
    case prems: (10 x e x' e')
    from prems(9)
    show ?case
    proof(rule eqvt-lam-case)

```

```

fix  $\pi :: perm$ 
assume  $*: supp(-\pi) \#* (fv(Lam[x].e) :: var set)$ 
{
fix  $n$ 
have combined-restrict(fv(Lam[x].e)) (fst(cCCexp-sumC( $\pi \cdot e \cdot (pred \cdot n)$ )), predCC(fv(Lam[x].e))) ( $\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot e \cdot a)) \cdot n$ )
= combined-restrict(fv(Lam[x].e)) ( $-\pi \cdot (fst(cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot e \cdot (pred \cdot n))), predCC(fv(Lam[x].e))) (\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot e \cdot a)) \cdot n)$ )
by (rule combined-restrict-perm[symmetric, OF *]) simp
also have ... = combined-restrict(fv(Lam[x].e)) (fst(cCCexp-sumC(e \cdot (pred \cdot n))), predCC( $-\pi \cdot fv(Lam[x].e)$ ) ( $\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC e \cdot a)) \cdot n$ )
by (perm-simp, simp add: eqvt-at-apply[OF prems(1)] pemute-minus-self Abs-cfun-eqvt)
also have  $-\pi \cdot fv(Lam[x].e) = (fv(Lam[x].e) :: var set)$  by (rule perm-supp-eq[OF *])
also note calculation
}
thus ( $\Lambda n. combined-restrict(fv(Lam[x].e)) (fst(cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot e \cdot (pred \cdot n))), predCC(fv(Lam[x].e))) (\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot e \cdot a)) \cdot n)$ )
= ( $\Lambda n. combined-restrict(fv(Lam[x].e)) (fst(cCCexp-sumC(e \cdot (pred \cdot n))), predCC(fv(Lam[x].e))) (\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC e \cdot a)) \cdot n$ ) by simp
qed
next
case prems: (19  $\Gamma$  body  $\Gamma'$  body')
from prems(9)
show ?case
proof (rule eqvt-let-case)
fix  $\pi :: perm$ 
assume  $*: supp(-\pi) \#* (fv(Terms.Let  $\Gamma$  body) :: var set)$ 

{ fix  $n$ 
have combined-restrict(fv(Terms.Let  $\Gamma$  body)) (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp-sumC( $\pi \cdot \Gamma \cdot (cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot body) \cdot n)$ ))
= combined-restrict(fv(Terms.Let  $\Gamma$  body)) ( $-\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot \Gamma \cdot (cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot body) \cdot n)))$ )
by (rule combined-restrict-perm[OF *, symmetric]) simp
also have  $-\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot \Gamma \cdot (cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot body) \cdot n))) =$ 
CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose ( $-\pi \cdot cCCexp-sumC \cdot \Gamma \cdot ((-\pi \cdot cCCexp-sumC) \cdot body \cdot n)$ )
by (simp add: pemute-minus-self)
also have CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose ( $-\pi \cdot cCCexp-sumC \cdot \Gamma = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp-sumC \cdot \Gamma$ )
by (rule cccFix-choose-cong[OF eqvt-at-apply[OF prems(1)] refl])
also have ( $-\pi \cdot cCCexp-sumC$ ) body = cCCexp-sumC body
by (rule eqvt-at-apply[OF prems(2)])
also note calculation
}
thus ( $\Lambda n. combined-restrict(fv(Terms.Let  $\Gamma$  body)) (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp-sumC( $\pi \cdot \Gamma \cdot (cCCexp-sumC(\pi \cdot body) \cdot n)$ ))) =$ 
```

```


$$(\Lambda n. \text{combined-restrict} (\text{fv} (\text{Terms.Let } \Gamma \text{ body})) (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } cCCexp\text{-sumC } \Gamma \cdot (cCCexp\text{-sumC } \text{body}\cdot n))) \text{ by (simp only:)} \\ \text{qed} \\ \text{qed auto}$$


```

**nominal-termination** (*eqvt*) **by** *lexicographic-order*

**locale** *CoCallAnalysisImpl*

**begin**

**sublocale** *CoCallArityAnalysis* *cCCexp*.

**sublocale** *ArityAnalysis* *Aexp*.

**abbreviation** *Aexp-syn''* ( $\mathcal{A}_-$ ) **where**  $\mathcal{A}_a e \equiv Aexp e \cdot a$

**abbreviation** *Aexp-bot-syn''* ( $\mathcal{A}^\perp_-$ ) **where**  $\mathcal{A}^\perp_a e \equiv fup \cdot (Aexp e) \cdot a$

**abbreviation** *ccExp-syn''* ( $\mathcal{G}_-$ ) **where**  $\mathcal{G}_a e \equiv CCexp e \cdot a$

**abbreviation** *ccExp-bot-syn''* ( $\mathcal{G}^\perp_-$ ) **where**  $\mathcal{G}^\perp_a e \equiv fup \cdot (CCexp e) \cdot a$

**lemma** *cCCexp-eq*[simp]:

```


$$\begin{aligned} cCCexp (\text{Var } x) \cdot n &= \text{esing } x \cdot (up \cdot n), & \perp \\ cCCexp (\text{Lam } [x]. e) \cdot n &= \text{combined-restrict} (\text{fv} (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\text{fst} (\text{cCCexp } e \cdot (\text{pred}\cdot n)), \text{predCC} (\text{fv} (\text{Lam } [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. \text{snd} (\text{cCCexp } e \cdot a)) \cdot n) \\ cCCexp (\text{App } e x) \cdot n &= (\text{fst} (\text{cCCexp } e \cdot (\text{inc}\cdot n)) \sqcup \text{esing } x \cdot (up \cdot 0)), & \text{snd} (\text{cCCexp } e \cdot (\text{inc}\cdot n)) \sqcup \text{ccProd } \{x\} (\text{insert } x (\text{fv } e)) \\ cCCexp (\text{Let } \Gamma e) \cdot n &= \text{combined-restrict} (\text{fv} (\text{Let } \Gamma e)) (\text{CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose } cCCexp \Gamma \cdot (cCCexp e \cdot n)) \\ cCCexp (\text{Bool } b) \cdot n &= \perp \\ cCCexp (\text{scrut } ? e1 : e2) \cdot n &= (\text{fst} (\text{cCCexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0) \sqcup \text{fst} (\text{cCCexp } e1 \cdot n) \sqcup \text{fst} (\text{cCCexp } e2 \cdot n), \\ &\quad \text{snd} (\text{cCCexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0) \sqcup (\text{snd} (\text{cCCexp } e1 \cdot n) \sqcup \text{snd} (\text{cCCexp } e2 \cdot n)) \sqcup \text{ccProd } (\text{edom} (\text{fst} (\text{cCCexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0)) (\text{edom} (\text{fst} (\text{cCCexp } e1 \cdot n)) \cup \text{edom} (\text{fst} (\text{cCCexp } e2 \cdot n)))) \\ \text{by (simp-all)} \\ \text{declare } cCCexp.simps[\text{simp del}] \end{aligned}$$


```

**lemma** *Aexp-pre-simps*:

$\mathcal{A}_a (\text{Var } x) = \text{esing } x \cdot (up \cdot a)$

$\mathcal{A}_a (\text{Lam } [x]. e) = Aexp e \cdot (\text{pred}\cdot a) f \mid^{\cdot} \text{fv} (\text{Lam } [x]. e)$

$\mathcal{A}_a (\text{App } e x) = Aexp e \cdot (\text{inc}\cdot a) \sqcup \text{esing } x \cdot (up \cdot 0)$

$\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies$

$\mathcal{A}_a (\text{Let } \Gamma e) = (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a e \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^{\cdot} \text{thunks } \Gamma)) f \mid^{\cdot} (\text{fv} (\text{Let } \Gamma e))$

$x \notin \text{fv } e \implies$

$\mathcal{A}_a (\text{let } x \text{ be } e \text{ in } exp) =$

$$(fup \cdot (Aexp e) \cdot (ABind\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a \text{ exp}, CCexp \text{ exp}\cdot a)) \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a \text{ exp}) \\ f \mid^{\cdot} (\text{fv} (\text{let } x \text{ be } e \text{ in } exp))$$

$\mathcal{A}_a (\text{Bool } b) = \perp$

$\mathcal{A}_a (\text{scrut } ? e1 : e2) = \mathcal{A}_0 \text{ scrut} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a e1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a e2$

**by** (simp add: *cccFix-eq* *Aexp-eq* *fup-Aexp-eq* *CCexp-eq* *fup-CCexp-eq*) +

**lemma** *CCexp-pre-simps*:

$$\begin{aligned} CCexp(Var\ x)\cdot n &= \perp \\ CCexp(Lam\ [x].\ e)\cdot n &= predCC(fv(Lam\ [x].\ e))\ (CCexp\ e)\cdot n \\ CCexp(App\ e\ x)\cdot n &= CCexp\ e\cdot(inc\cdot n) \sqcup ccProd\ \{x\}\ (insert\ x\ (fv\ e)) \\ \neg nonrec\ \Gamma \implies & \\ CCexp(Let\ \Gamma\ e)\cdot n &= cc-restr(fv(Let\ \Gamma\ e)) \\ (CCfix\ \Gamma\cdot(Afix\ \Gamma\cdot(Aexp\ e\cdot n \sqcup (\lambda\cdot.up\cdot 0)\ f|` thunks\ \Gamma),\ CCexp\ e\cdot n)) \\ x \notin fv\ e \implies CCexp(let\ x\ be\ e\ in\ exp)\cdot n &= \\ cc-restr(fv(let\ x\ be\ e\ in\ exp)) & \\ (ccBind\ x\ e\cdot(Aheap-nonrec\ x\ e\cdot(Aexp\ exp\cdot n,\ CCexp\ exp\cdot n),\ CCexp\ exp\cdot n)) \\ \sqcup ccProd(fv\ e)\ (ccNeighbors\ x\ (CCexp\ exp\cdot n) - (if\ isVal\ e\ then\ \{\} else\ \{x\})) \sqcup CCexp\ exp\cdot n & \\ CCexp(Bool\ b)\cdot n &= \perp \\ CCexp(scrut ? e1 : e2)\cdot n &= \\ CCexp\ scrut\cdot 0 \sqcup & \\ (CCexp\ e1\cdot n \sqcup CCexp\ e2\cdot n) \sqcup & \\ ccProd(edom(Aexp\ scrut\cdot 0))\ (edom(Aexp\ e1\cdot n) \cup edom(Aexp\ e2\cdot n)) & \\ \text{by } (simp\ add:\ cccFix-eq\ Aexp-eq\ fup-Aexp-eq\ CCexp-eq\ fup-CCexp-eq\ predCC-eq)+ & \end{aligned}$$

**lemma**

shows *ccField-CCexp*: *ccField* (*CCexp e·a*)  $\subseteq$  *fv e* **and** *Aexp-edom'*: *edom* (*Aa e*)  $\subseteq$  *fv e*

apply (induction e arbitrary: a rule: *exp-induct-rec*)

apply (auto simp add: *CCexp-pre-simps* *predCC-eq* *Aexp-pre-simps* dest!: *subsetD*[*OF ccField-cc-restr*] *subsetD*[*OF ccField-ccProd-subset*])

apply fastforce+

done

**lemma** *cc-restr-CCexp[simp]*:

$$cc-restr(fv\ e)\ (CCexp\ e\cdot a) = CCexp\ e\cdot a$$

by (rule *cc-restr-noop*[*OF ccField-CCexp*])

**lemma** *ccField-fup-CCexp*:

$$ccField(fup\cdot(CCexp\ e)\cdot n) \subseteq fv\ e$$

by (cases n) (auto dest: *subsetD*[*OF ccField-CCexp*])

**lemma** *cc-restr-fup-ccExp-useless[simp]*: *cc-restr* (*fv e*) (*fup*·(*CCexp e*)·*n*) = *fup*·(*CCexp e*)·*n*

by (rule *cc-restr-noop*[*OF ccField-fup-CCexp*])

**sublocale** *EdomArityAnalysis Aexp* **by** standard (rule *Aexp-edom'*)

**lemma** *CCexp-simps[simp]*:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_a(Var\ x) &= \perp \\ \mathcal{G}_0(Lam\ [x].\ e) &= (fv(Lam\ [x].\ e))^2 \\ \mathcal{G}_{inc\cdot a}(Lam\ [x].\ e) &= cc-delete\ x\ (\mathcal{G}_a\ e) \\ \mathcal{G}_a(App\ e\ x) &= \mathcal{G}_{inc\cdot a}\ e \sqcup \{x\}\ G \times insert\ x\ (fv\ e) \\ \neg nonrec\ \Gamma \implies \mathcal{G}_a(Let\ \Gamma\ e) &= \\ (CCfix\ \Gamma\cdot(Afix\ \Gamma\cdot(\mathcal{A}_a\ e \sqcup (\lambda\cdot.up\cdot 0)\ f|` thunks\ \Gamma),\ \mathcal{G}_a\ e))\ G|`(- domA\ \Gamma) & \end{aligned}$$

```

 $x \notin fv e' \implies \mathcal{G}_a (\text{let } x \text{ be } e' \text{ in } e) =$ 
 $\text{cc-delete } x$ 
 $(\text{ccBind } x \ e' \cdot (\text{Aheap-nonrec } x \ e' \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a \ e, \mathcal{G}_a \ e), \mathcal{G}_a \ e)$ 
 $\sqcup fv e' G \times (\text{ccNeighbors } x \ (\mathcal{G}_a \ e) - (\text{if isVal } e' \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{x\})) \sqcup \mathcal{G}_a \ e)$ 
 $\mathcal{G}_a (\text{Bool } b) = \perp$ 
 $\mathcal{G}_a (\text{scrut ? } e1 : e2) =$ 
 $\mathcal{G}_0 \text{ scrut} \sqcup (\mathcal{G}_a \ e1 \sqcup \mathcal{G}_a \ e2) \sqcup$ 
 $\text{edom } (\mathcal{A}_0 \text{ scrut}) G \times (\text{edom } (\mathcal{A}_a \ e1) \cup \text{edom } (\mathcal{A}_a \ e2))$ 
by (auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps Diff-eq cc-restr-cc-restr[symmetric] predCC-eq
    simp del: cc-restr-cc-restr cc-restr-join
    intro!: cc-restr-noop
    dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-delete] subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] subsetD[OF cc-
    Field-CCexp]
    subsetD[OF ccField-CCfix] subsetD[OF ccField-ccBind] subsetD[OF cc-
    Field-ccProd-subset] elem-to-ccField
    )

```

**definition** Aheap **where**

```

 $\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e = (\Lambda \ a. \text{ if nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then } (\text{case-prod Aheap-nonrec } (\text{hd } \Gamma)) \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a, \text{ CCexp } e \cdot a)$ 
 $\text{else } (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f \mid^{\text{'}} \text{thunks } \Gamma)) f \mid^{\text{'}} \text{domA } \Gamma)$ 

```

**lemma** Aheap-simp1[simp]:

```

 $\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a = (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f \mid^{\text{'}} \text{thunks } \Gamma)) f \mid^{\text{'}} \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
unfolding Aheap-def by simp

```

**lemma** Aheap-simp2[simp]:

```

 $x \notin fv e' \implies \text{Aheap } [(x, e')] e \cdot a = \text{Aheap-nonrec } x \ e' \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a, \text{ CCexp } e \cdot a)$ 
unfolding Aheap-def by (simp add: nonrec-def)

```

**lemma** Aheap-eqvt'[eqvt]:

```

 $\pi \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e) = \text{Aheap } (\pi \cdot \Gamma) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
apply (cases nonrec  $\pi$  rule: eqvt-cases[where  $x = \Gamma$ ])
apply simp
apply (erule nonrecE)
apply simp
apply (erule nonrecE)
apply simp
apply (perm-simp, rule)
apply simp
apply (perm-simp, rule)
done

```

**sublocale** ArityAnalysisHeap Aheap.

**sublocale** ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt Aheap

**proof**

```

fix  $\pi$  show  $\pi \cdot \text{Aheap} = \text{Aheap}$ 
    by perm-simp rule

```

**qed**

**lemma** *Aexp-lam-simp*:  $\text{Aexp}(\text{Lam}[x]. e) \cdot n = \text{env-delete } x (\text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n))$   
**proof**–

have  $\text{Aexp}(\text{Lam}[x]. e) \cdot n = \text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n) f|`(\text{fv } e - \{x\})$  **by** (*simp add: Aexp-pre-simps*)  
**also have** ... =  $\text{env-delete } x (\text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)) f|`(\text{fv } e - \{x\})$  **by** *simp*  
**also have** ... =  $\text{env-delete } x (\text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n))$   
**by** (*rule env-restr-useless*) (*auto dest: subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]*)  
**finally show** ?thesis.

**qed**

**lemma** *Aexp-Let-simp1*:

$\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \mathcal{A}_a(\text{Let } \Gamma e) = (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a e \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f|` \text{thunks } \Gamma)) f|`(- \text{domA } \Gamma)$   
**unfolding** *Aexp-pre-simps*  
**by** (*rule env-restr-cong*) (*auto simp add: dest!: subsetD[OF Afix-edom] subsetD[OF Aexp-edom] subsetD[OF thunks-domA]*)

**lemma** *Aexp-Let-simp2*:

$x \notin \text{fv } e \implies \mathcal{A}_a(\text{let } x \text{ be } e \text{ in } \text{exp}) = \text{env-delete } x (\mathcal{A}^\perp \text{ABind-nonrec } x e \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a \text{ exp}, \text{CCexp } \text{exp} \cdot a) e \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a \text{ exp})$   
**unfolding** *Aexp-pre-simps* *env-delete-restr*  
**by** (*rule env-restr-cong*) (*auto dest!: subsetD[OF fup-Aexp-edom] subsetD[OF Aexp-edom]*)

**lemma** *Aexp-simps[simp]*:

$\mathcal{A}_a(\text{Var } x) = \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot a)$   
 $\mathcal{A}_a(\text{Lam}[x]. e) = \text{env-delete } x (\mathcal{A}_{\text{pred} \cdot a} e)$   
 $\mathcal{A}_a(\text{App } e x) = \text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot a) \sqcup \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot 0)$   
 $\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \mathcal{A}_a(\text{Let } \Gamma e) =$   
 $(\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a e \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f|` \text{thunks } \Gamma)) f|`(- \text{domA } \Gamma)$   
 $x \notin \text{fv } e' \implies \mathcal{A}_a(\text{let } x \text{ be } e' \text{ in } e) =$   
 $\text{env-delete } x (\mathcal{A}^\perp \text{ABind-nonrec } x e' \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a e, \mathcal{G}_a e) e' \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a e)$   
 $\mathcal{A}_a(\text{Bool } b) = \perp$   
 $\mathcal{A}_a(\text{scrut } ? e1 : e2) = \mathcal{A}_0 \text{ scrut} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a e1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a e2$   
**by** (*simp-all add: Aexp-lam-simp Aexp-Let-simp1 Aexp-Let-simp2, simp-all add: Aexp-pre-simps*)

**end**

**end**

## 13.2 CoCallImplSafe

**theory** *CoCallImplSafe*  
**imports** *CoCallAnalysisImpl CoCallAnalysisSpec ArityAnalysisFixProps*  
**begin**

**locale** *CoCallImplSafe*

```

begin
sublocale CoCallAnalysisImpl.

lemma ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr: (ccNeighbors x G ∩ S) = ccNeighbors x (cc-restr (insert x S)
G) ∩ S
  by transfer auto

lemma
  assumes x ∈ S and y ∈ S
  shows CCexp-subst: cc-restr S (CCexp e[y:=x]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp e·a)
    and Aexp-restr-subst: (Aexp e[y:=x]·a) f|` S = (Aexp e·a) f|` S
using assms
proof (nominal-induct e avoiding: x y arbitrary: a S rule: exp-strong-induct-rec-set)
  case (Var b v)
  case 1 show ?case by auto
  case 2 thus ?case by auto
next
  case (App e v)
  case 1
    with App show ?case
    by (auto simp add: Int-insert-left fv-subst-int simp del: join-comm intro: join-mono)
  case 2
    with App show ?case
    by (auto simp add: env-restr-join simp del: fun-meet-simp)
next
  case (Lam v e)
  case 1
    with Lam
    show ?case
    by (auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps cc-restr-predCC Diff-Int-distrib2 fv-subst-int env-restr-join
env-delete-env-restr-swap[symmetric] simp del: CCexp-simps)
  case 2
    with Lam
    show ?case
    by (auto simp add: env-restr-join env-delete-env-restr-swap[symmetric] simp del: fun-meet-simp)
next
  case (Let Γ e x y)
  hence [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ y ∈ domA Γ
    by (metis (erased, opaque-lifting) bn-subst domA-not-fresh fresh-def fresh-star-at-base fresh-star-def
obtain-fresh subst-is-fresh(2))+

  note Let(1,2)[simp]

  from Let(3)
  have ¬ nonrec (Γ[y:=h=x]) by (simp add: nonrec-subst)

  case [simp]: 1
  have cc-restr (S ∪ domA Γ) (CCfix Γ[y:=h=x]·(Afix Γ[y:=h=x]·(Aexp e[y:=x]·a ∘ (λ_. up·0)
f|` thunks Γ), CCexp e[y:=x]·a)) =

```

```

cc-restr ( $S \cup \text{dom}A \Gamma$ ) ( $\text{CCfix } \Gamma$ .  

thunks  $\Gamma$ ),  $\text{CCexp } e \cdot a)$ 
  apply (subst CCfix-restr-subst')
    apply (erule Let(4))
    apply auto[5]
  apply (subst CCfix-restr) back
    apply simp
  apply (subst Afix-restr-subst')
    apply (erule Let(5))
    apply auto[5]
  apply (subst Afix-restr) back
    apply simp
  apply (simp only: env-restr-join)
  apply (subst Let(7))
    apply auto[2]
  apply (subst Let(6))
    apply auto[2]
  apply rule
  done
thus ?case using Let(1,2) ⊢ nonrec  $\Gamma$  ⊢ nonrec ( $\Gamma[y::h=x]$ )
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair elim: cc-restr-eq-subset[rotated] )

case [simp]: 2
have Afix  $\Gamma[y::h=x] \cdot (\text{Aexp } e[y::x] \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot. \text{up}\cdot 0) f|` (\text{thunks } \Gamma)) f|` (S \cup \text{dom}A \Gamma) = \text{Afix }$   

 $\Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot. \text{up}\cdot 0) f|` (\text{thunks } \Gamma)) f|` (S \cup \text{dom}A \Gamma)$ 
  apply (subst Afix-restr-subst')
    apply (erule Let(5))
    apply auto[5]
  apply (subst Afix-restr) back
    apply auto[1]
  apply (simp only: env-restr-join)
  apply (subst Let(7))
    apply auto[2]
  apply rule
  done
thus ?case using Let(1,2)
  using ⊢ nonrec  $\Gamma$  ⊢ nonrec ( $\Gamma[y::h=x]$ )
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair elim: env-restr-eq-subset[rotated])
next
case (Let-nonrec  $x' e \exp x y$ )
from Let-nonrec(1,2)
have  $x \neq x' y \neq x'$  by (simp-all add: fresh-at-base)

note Let-nonrec(1,2)[simp]

from  $x' \notin \text{fv } e \wedge y \neq x' \wedge x \neq x'$ 
have [simp]:  $x' \notin \text{fv } (e[y::x])$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

```

```

note  $\langle x' \notin fv e \rangle [simp] \langle y \neq x' \rangle [simp] \langle x \neq x' \rangle [simp]$ 

case [simp]: 1

have  $\bigwedge a. cc\text{-restr} \{x'\} (CCexp\ exp[y:=x] \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr} \{x'\} (CCexp\ exp \cdot a)$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(6)) auto
from arg-cong[where  $f = \lambda x. x' - x' \in x$ , OF this]
have [simp]:  $x' - x' \in CCexp\ exp[y:=x] \cdot a \longleftrightarrow x' - x' \in CCexp\ exp \cdot a$  by auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. Aexp\ e[y:=x] \cdot a f \mid^c S = Aexp\ e \cdot a f \mid^c S$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(5)) auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. fup \cdot (Aexp\ e[y:=x]) \cdot a f \mid^c S = fup \cdot (Aexp\ e) \cdot a f \mid^c S$ 
  by (case-tac a) auto

have [simp]:  $Aexp\ exp[y:=x] \cdot a f \mid^c S = Aexp\ exp \cdot a f \mid^c S$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(7)) auto

have  $Aexp\ exp[y:=x] \cdot a f \mid^c \{x'\} = Aexp\ exp \cdot a f \mid^c \{x'\}$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(7)) auto
from fun-cong[OF this, where  $x = x'$ ]
have [simp]:  $(Aexp\ exp[y:=x] \cdot a) x' = (Aexp\ exp \cdot a) x'$  by auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. cc\text{-restr} S (CCexp\ exp[y:=x] \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr} S (CCexp\ exp \cdot a)$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(6)) auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. cc\text{-restr} S (CCexp\ e[y:=x] \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr} S (CCexp\ e \cdot a)$ 
  by (rule Let-nonrec(4)) auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. cc\text{-restr} S (fup \cdot (CCexp\ e[y:=x]) \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr} S (fup \cdot (CCexp\ e) \cdot a)$ 
  by (rule fup-ccExp-restr-subst') simp

have [simp]:  $fv\ e[y:=x] \cap S = fv\ e \cap S$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

have [simp]:
   $ccNeighbors\ x' (CCexp\ exp[y:=x] \cdot a) \cap -\{x'\} \cap S = ccNeighbors\ x' (CCexp\ exp \cdot a) \cap -\{x'\} \cap S$ 
  apply (simp only: Int-assoc)
  apply (subst (1 2) ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr)
  apply (subst Let-nonrec(6))
  apply auto[2]
  apply rule
  done

have [simp]:
   $ccNeighbors\ x' (CCexp\ exp[y:=x] \cdot a) \cap S = ccNeighbors\ x' (CCexp\ exp \cdot a) \cap S$ 
  apply (subst (1 2) ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr)

```

```

apply (subst Let-nonrec(6))
  apply auto[2]
  apply rule
  done

show cc-restr S (CCexp (let x' be e in exp )[y:=x]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp (let x' be e in exp
)·a)
  apply (subst subst-let-be)
  apply auto[2]
  apply (subst (1 2) CCexp-simps(6))
  apply fact+
  apply (simp only: cc-restr-cc-delete-twist)
  apply (rule arg-cong) back
  apply (simp add: Diff-eq ccBind-eq ABind-nonrec-eq)
  done

show Aexp (let x' be e in exp )[y:=x]·a f|` S = Aexp (let x' be e in exp )·a f|` S
  by (simp add: env-restr-join env-delete-env-restr-swap[symmetric] ABind-nonrec-eq)

next
  case (IfThenElse scrut e1 e2)
  case [simp]: 2
    from IfThenElse
  show cc-restr S (CCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)[y:=x]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a)
    by (auto simp del: edom-env env-restr-empty env-restr-empty-if simp add: edom-env[symmetric])
  from IfThenElse(2,4,6)
  show Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)[y:=x]·a f|` S = Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a f|` S
    by (auto simp add: env-restr-join simp del: fun-meet-simp)
qed auto

sublocale ArityAnalysisSafe Aexp
  by standard (simp-all add:Aexp-restr-subst)

sublocale ArityAnalysisLetSafe Aexp Aheap
proof
  fix  $\Gamma$  e a
  show edom (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma$ 
    by (cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )
      (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp dest: subsetD[OF edom-ensing-subset] elim!: nonrecE)
next
  fix x y :: var and  $\Gamma$  :: heap and e :: exp
  assume assms:  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$   $y \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$ 

  from Aexp-restr-subst[OF assms(2,1)]
  have **:  $\bigwedge a. Aexp e[x:=y]·a f|` \text{domA } \Gamma = Aexp e·a f|` \text{domA } \Gamma.$ 

  show Aheap  $\Gamma[x:=y]$  e[x:=y] = Aheap  $\Gamma$  e
  proof(cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )

```

```

case [simp]: False

from assms
have atom ` domA Γ #* x and atom ` domA Γ #* y
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-at-base image-iff)
hence [simp]: ¬ nonrec (Γ[x::h=y])
  by (simp add: nonrec-subst)

show ?thesis
apply (rule cfun-eqI)
apply simp
apply (subst Afix-restr-subst[OF assms subset-refl])
apply (subst Afix-restr[OF subset-refl]) back
apply (simp add: env-restr-join)
apply (subst **)
apply simp
done

next
  case True

from assms
have atom ` domA Γ #* x and atom ` domA Γ #* y
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-at-base image-iff)
with True
have *: nonrec (Γ[x::h=y]) by (simp add: nonrec-subst)

from True
obtain x' e' where [simp]: Γ = [(x',e')] x' ∉ fv e' by (auto elim: nonrecE)

from * have [simp]: x' ∉ fv (e'[x::=y])
  by (auto simp add: nonrec-def)

from fun-cong[OF **, where x = x']
have [simp]: ⋀ a. (Aexp e[x::=y]·a) x' = (Aexp e·a) x' by simp

from CCexp-subst[OF assms(2,1)]
have ⋀ a. cc-restr {x'} (CCexp e[x::=y]·a) = cc-restr {x'} (CCexp e·a) by simp
from arg-cong[where f = λx. x'--x'∈x, OF this]
have [simp]: ⋀ a. x'--x'∈(CCexp e[x::=y]·a) ↔ x'--x'∈(CCexp e·a) by simp

show ?thesis
  apply -
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  apply (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq)
  done

qed
next
fix Γ e a
show ABinds Γ·(Aheap Γ e·a) ⊔ Aexp e·a ⊑ Aheap Γ e·a ⊔ Aexp (Let Γ e)·a

```

```

proof(cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )
  case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: Aheap-def join-below-iff env-restr-join2 Compl-partition intro: below-trans[OF - Afix-above-arg])
  next
    case True
    then obtain x e' where [simp]:  $\Gamma = [(x, e')]$   $x \notin fv e'$  by (auto elim: nonrecE)
    hence  $\bigwedge a. x \notin edom(fup \cdot (Aexp e') \cdot a)$ 
      by (auto dest:subsetD[OF fup-Aexp-edom])
    hence [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. (fup \cdot (Aexp e') \cdot a) x = \perp$  by (simp add: edomIff)

    show ?thesis
      apply (rule env-restr-below-split[where S = {x}])
      apply (rule env-restr-belowI2)
      apply (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp join-below-iff env-restr-join env-delete-restr)
      apply (rule ABInd-nonrec-above-arg)
      apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
      apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
      apply (rule below-refl)
      done
    qed
  qed

definition ccHeap-nonrec
  where ccHeap-nonrec x e exp = ( $\Lambda n. CCfix\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot (Aexp exp \cdot n, CCexp exp \cdot n)$ )

lemma ccHeap-nonrec-eq:
  ccHeap-nonrec x e exp n = CCfix-nonrec x e · (Aexp exp · n, CCexp exp · n)
  unfolding ccHeap-nonrec-def by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

definition ccHeap-rec :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls
  where ccHeap-rec  $\Gamma e = (\Lambda a. CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot. up \cdot 0) f \mid^c (thunks \Gamma)), CCexp e \cdot a))$ 

lemma ccHeap-rec-eq:
  ccHeap-rec  $\Gamma e \cdot a = CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot. up \cdot 0) f \mid^c (thunks \Gamma)), CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
  unfolding ccHeap-rec-def by simp

definition ccHeap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls
  where ccHeap  $\Gamma = (\text{if nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then case-prod ccHeap-nonrec (hd } \Gamma \text{) else ccHeap-rec } \Gamma)$ 

lemma ccHeap-simp1:
   $\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \text{ccHeap } \Gamma e \cdot a = CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot. up \cdot 0) f \mid^c (thunks \Gamma)), CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
  by (simp add: ccHeap-def ccHeap-rec-eq)

lemma ccHeap-simp2:

```

$x \notin fv e \implies ccHeap [(x,e)] \ exp \cdot n = CCfix\text{-nonrec } x \ e \cdot (Aexp \ exp \cdot n, CCexp \ exp \cdot n)$   
**by** (simp add: ccHeap-def ccHeap-nonrec-eq nonrec-def)

```

sublocale CoCallAritySafe CCexp Aexp ccHeap Aheap
proof
  fix e a x
  show CCexp e · (inc · a) ⊢ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e)) ⊑ CCexp (App e x) · a
    by simp
next
  fix y e n
  show cc-restr (fv (Lam [y]. e)) (CCexp e · (pred · n)) ⊑ CCexp (Lam [y]. e) · n
    by (auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps predCC-eq dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] simp del: CCexp-simps)
next
  fix x y :: var and S e a
  assume x ∉ S and y ∉ S
  thus cc-restr S (CCexp e[y:=x] · a) ⊑ cc-restr S (CCexp e · a)
    by (rule eq-imp-below[OF CCexp-subst])
next
  fix e
  assume isVal e
  thus CCexp e · 0 = ccSquare (fv e)
    by (induction e rule: isVal.induct) (auto simp add: predCC-eq)
next
  fix Γ e a
  show cc-restr (– domA Γ) (ccHeap Γ e · a) ⊑ CCexp (Let Γ e) · a
  proof(cases nonrec Γ)
    case False
    thus cc-restr (– domA Γ) (ccHeap Γ e · a) ⊑ CCexp (Let Γ e) · a
      by (simp add: ccHeap-simp1[OF False, symmetric] del: cc-restr-join)
  next
    case True
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 Diff-eq elim!: nonrecE simp del: cc-restr-join)
  qed
next
  fix Δ :: heap and e a
  show CCexp e · a ⊑ ccHeap Δ e · a
  by (cases nonrec Δ)
    (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp1 ccHeap-simp2 arg-cong[OF CCfix-unroll, where f = (⊑) x for x ] elim!: nonrecE)

  fix x e' a'
  assume map-of Δ x = Some e'
  hence [simp]: x ∈ domA Δ by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
  assume (Aheap Δ e · a) x = up · a'
  show CCexp e' · a' ⊑ ccHeap Δ e · a

```

```

proof(cases nonrec  $\Delta$ )
  case False

    from  $\langle(A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \ x = up \cdot a'\rangle \ False$ 
    have  $(A\text{fix } \Delta \cdot (A\text{exp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0)f|`(\text{thunks } \Delta))) \ x = up \cdot a'$ 
      by (simp add: Aheap-def)
    hence  $CC\text{exp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq cc\text{Bind } x \ e' \cdot (A\text{fix } \Delta \cdot (A\text{exp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0)f|`(\text{thunks } \Delta)), CC\text{fix } \Delta \cdot (A\text{fix } \Delta \cdot (A\text{exp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0)f|`(\text{thunks } \Delta)), CC\text{exp } e \cdot a))$ 
      by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest: subsetD[OF ccField-CCexp])
    also
      have  $cc\text{Bind } x \ e' \cdot (A\text{fix } \Delta \cdot (A\text{exp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0)f|`(\text{thunks } \Delta)), CC\text{fix } \Delta \cdot (A\text{fix } \Delta \cdot (A\text{exp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0)f|`(\text{thunks } \Delta)), CC\text{exp } e \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq cc\text{Heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$ 
        using  $\langle\text{map-of } \Delta \ x = \text{Some } e'\rangle \ False$ 
        by (fastforce simp add: ccHeap-simp1 ccHeap-rec-eq ccBindsExtra-simp ccBinds-eq arg-cong[OF CCfix-unroll, where  $f = (\sqsubseteq) \ x \text{ for } x$ ] intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    finally
      show  $CC\text{exp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq cc\text{Heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a$  by this simp-all
  next
    case True
    with  $\langle\text{map-of } \Delta \ x = \text{Some } e'\rangle$ 
    have [simp]:  $\Delta = [(x, e')]$   $x \notin fv \ e'$  by (auto elim!: nonrecE split: if-splits)

    show ?thesis
    proof(cases  $x -- x \notin CC\text{exp } e \cdot a \vee isVal \ e'$ )
      case True
      with  $\langle(A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \ x = up \cdot a'\rangle$ 
      have [simp]:  $(Co\text{CallArityAnalysis}.A\text{exp } cC\text{exp } e \cdot a) \ x = up \cdot a'$ 
        by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq split: if-splits)

      have  $CC\text{exp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq cc\text{Square } (fv \ e')$ 
        unfolding below-ccSquare
        by (rule ccField-CCexp)
      then
        show ?thesis using True
        by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 ccBind-eq Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq below-trans[OF - join-above2] simp del: below-ccSquare )
    next
      case False

        from  $\langle(A\text{heap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) \ x = up \cdot a'\rangle$ 
        have [simp]:  $a' = 0$  using False
          by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq split: if-splits)

        show ?thesis using False
          by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 ccBind-eq Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq simp del: below-ccSquare )
        qed
      qed

```

```

show ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) – {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
proof (cases nonrec Δ)
  case [simp]: False

    have ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) – {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccProd (fv e')
      (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a))
      by (rule ccProd-mono2) auto
    also have ... ⊑ (⊔ x ↦ e' ∈ map-of Δ. ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a)))
      using <map-of Δ x = Some e'> by (rule below-lubmapI)
    also have ... ⊑ ccBindsExtra Δ·(Afix Δ·(Aexp e·a ⊔ (λ-.up·0)f|` (thunks Δ)), ccHeap Δ
e·a)
      by (simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    also have ... ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
      by (simp add: ccHeap-simp1 arg-cong[OF CCfix-unroll, where f = (⊑) x for x])
    finally
      show ?thesis by this simp-all
next
  case True
  with <map-of Δ x = Some e'>
  have [simp]: Δ = [(x,e')] x ∉ fv e' by (auto elim!: nonrecE split: if-splits)

  have [simp]: (ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e'·(Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a))) = {}
    by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] subsetD[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])

  show ?thesis
  proof(cases isVal e' ∧ x – x ∈ CCexp e·a)
    case True

      have ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) =
        ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e'·(Aheap-nonrec x e'·(Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a), CCexp e·a)) ∪
        ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) – (if isVal e' then {} else {x})))
      ∪
        ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 )
      also have ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e'·(Aheap-nonrec x e'·(Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a), CCexp
e·a)) = {}
        by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] subsetD[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])
      also have ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) – (if isVal e' then {}
else {x})))
        ⊑ ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a))) by (simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
        also have ... ⊑ fv e' by (simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
        finally
          have ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) – {x} ∩ thunks Δ ⊑ ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) ∪ fv e'
        by auto
        hence ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) – {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccProd (fv e')
          (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) ∪ fv e') by (rule ccProd-mono2)
        also have ... ⊑ ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a)) ⊔ ccProd (fv e') (fv e') by
simp

```

```

also have ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a)) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
  using ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e'⟩ ⟨(Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a'⟩ True
  by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 below-trans[OF - join-above2])
also have ccProd (fv e') (fv e') = ccSquare (fv e') by (simp add: ccSquare-def)
also have ... ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
  using ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e'⟩ ⟨(Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a'⟩ True
  by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 ccBind-eq below-trans[OF - join-above2])
also note join-self
finally show ?thesis by this simp-all
next
  case False
  have ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) =
    ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e'·(Aheap-nonrec x e'·(Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a), CCexp e·a)) ∪
    ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) − (if isVal e' then {} else {x})))
  ⊔
    ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 )
  also have ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e'·(Aheap-nonrec x e'·(Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a), CCexp e·a)) = {}
    by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest!: subsetD[OF ccField-cc-restr] subsetD[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])
  also have ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) − (if isVal e' then {} else {x})))
    = {} using False by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
  finally
    have ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) ⊑ ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) by auto
    hence ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) − {x} ∩ thunks Δ ⊑ ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) − {x} ∩ thunks Δ by auto
      hence ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) − {x} ∩ thunks Δ ) ⊑ ccProd (fv e')
        (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) − {x} ∩ thunks Δ ) by (rule ccProd-mono2)
      also have ... ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
        using ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e'⟩ ⟨(Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a'⟩ False
        by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 thunks-Cons below-trans[OF - join-above2])
      finally show ?thesis by this simp-all
qed
qed

next
  fix x Γ e a
  assume [simp]: ¬ nonrec Γ
  assume x ∈ thunks Γ
  hence [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ by (rule subsetD[OF thunks-domA])
  assume x ∈ edom (Aheap Γ e·a)

  from ⟨x ∈ thunks Γ⟩
  have (Afix Γ·(Aexp e·a ∘ (λ_.up·0)f|` (thunks Γ))) x = up·0
    by (subst Afix-unroll) simp

  thus (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0 by simp
next
  fix x Γ e a

```

```

assume nonrec  $\Gamma$ 
then obtain  $x' e'$  where [simp]:  $\Gamma = [(x', e')]$   $x' \notin fv e'$  by (auto elim: nonrecE)
assume  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$ 
hence [simp]:  $x = x' \neg \text{isVal } e'$  by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons split: if-splits)

assume  $x - x \in CCexp e \cdot a$ 
hence [simp]:  $x' - x \in CCexp e \cdot a$  by simp

from  $\langle x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \rangle$ 
have ( $Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^c (\text{thunks } \Gamma)))$ )  $x = up \cdot 0$ 
by (subst Afix-unroll) simp

show ( $Aheap \Gamma e \cdot a$ )  $x = up \cdot 0$  by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq)
next
fix scrut  $e1 a e2$ 
show  $CCexp \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \sqcup (CCexp e1 \cdot a \sqcup CCexp e2 \cdot a) \sqcup ccProd (\text{edom} (Aexp \text{scrut} \cdot 0)) (\text{edom} (Aexp e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (Aexp e2 \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq CCexp (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
by simp
qed
end

end

```

## 14 End-to-end Safety Results and Example

### 14.1 CallArityEnd2End

```

theory CallArityEnd2End
imports ArityTransform CoCallAnalysisImpl
begin

locale CallArityEnd2End
begin
sublocale CoCallAnalysisImpl.

lemma fresh-var-eqE[elim-format]: fresh-var  $e = x \implies x \notin fv e$ 
by (metis fresh-var-not-free)

lemma example1:
  fixes  $e :: exp$ 
  fixes  $f g x y z :: var$ 
  assumes  $Aexp \text{-} e: \bigwedge a. Aexp e \cdot a = esing x \cdot (up \cdot a) \sqcup esing y \cdot (up \cdot a)$ 
  assumes  $ccExp \text{-} e: \bigwedge a. CCexp e \cdot a = \perp$ 
  assumes [simp]: transform 1  $e = e$ 
  assumes isVal  $e$ 
  assumes disj:  $y \neq f y \neq g x \neq y z \neq f z \neq g y \neq x$ 
  assumes fresh: atom  $z \not\in e$ 
  shows transform 1 (let  $y$  be App (Var  $f$ )  $g$  in (let  $x$  be  $e$  in (Var  $x$ ))) =

```

```

let y be (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z) in (let x be (Lam [z]. App e z) in (Var x))
proof-
  from arg-cong[where f = edom, OF Aexp-e]
  have x ∈ fv e by simp (metis Aexp-edom' insert-subset)
  hence [simp]: ¬ nonrec [(x,e)]
    by (simp add: nonrec-def)

  from ⟨isVal e⟩
  have [simp]: thunks [(x, e)] = {}
    by (simp add: thunks-Cons)

  have [simp]: CCfix [(x, e)].(esing x·(up·1) ∪ esing y·(up·1), ⊥) = ⊥
    unfolding CCfix-def
    apply (simp add: fix-bottom-iff ccBindsExtra-simp)
    apply (simp add: ccBind-eq disj ccExp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Afix [(x, e)].(esing x·(up·1)) = esing x·(up·1) ∪ esing y·(up·1)
    unfolding Afix-def
    apply simp
    apply (rule fix-eqI)
    apply (simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    apply (case-tac z x)
    apply (auto simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Aheap [(y, App (Var f) g)] (let x be e in Var x)·1 = esing y·((Aexp (let x be e in
  Var x)·1) y)
    by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq pure-fresh fresh-at-base disj)

  have [simp]: (Aexp (let x be e in Var x)·1) = esing y·(up·1)
    by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

  have [simp]: Aheap [(x, e)] (Var x)·1 = esing x·(up·1)
    by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

  have 1: 1 = inc·0 apply (simp add: inc-def) apply transfer apply simp done

  have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 (App (Var f) g) = (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z)
    apply (simp add: 1 del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
    apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var (App (Var f) g)])
    apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj intro!: flip-fresh-fresh elim!:
    fresh-var-eqE)
    done

  have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 e = (Lam [z]. App e z)
    apply (simp add: 1 del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
    apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var e])
    apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj fresh intro!: flip-fresh-fresh

```

```

elim!: fresh-var-eqE)
  done

  show ?thesis
    by (simp del: Let-eq-iff add: map-transform-Cons map-transform-Nil disj[symmetric])
qed

end
end

```

## 14.2 CallArityEnd2EndSafe

```

theory CallArityEnd2EndSafe
imports CallArityEnd2End CardArityTransformSafe CoCallImplSafe CoCallImplTTreeSafe TTreeIm-
plCardinalitySafe
begin

locale CallArityEnd2EndSafe
begin
sublocale CoCallImplSafe.
sublocale CallArityEnd2End.

abbreviation transform-syn' ( $\mathcal{T}_-$ ) where  $\mathcal{T}_a \equiv \text{transform } a$ 

lemma end2end:
 $c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies$ 
 $\neg \text{boring-step } c' \implies$ 
 $\text{heap-upds-ok-conf } c \implies$ 
 $\text{consistent } (ae, ce, a, as, r) \ c \implies$ 
 $\exists ae' ce' a' as' r'. \text{consistent } (ae', ce', a', as', r') \ c' \wedge \text{conf-transform } (ae, ce, a, as, r) \ c \Rightarrow_G^*$ 
 $\text{conf-transform } (ae', ce', a', as', r') \ c'$ 
  by (rule card-arity-transform-safe)

theorem end2end-closed:
assumes closed:  $\text{fv } e = (\{\} :: \text{var set})$ 
assumes  $([], e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, v, [])$  and  $\text{isVal } v$ 
obtains  $\Gamma'$  and  $v'$ 
where  $([], \mathcal{T}_\emptyset e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', v', [])$  and  $\text{isVal } v'$ 
  and  $\text{card } (\text{domA } \Gamma') \leq \text{card } (\text{domA } \Gamma)$ 
proof-
  note assms(2)
  moreover
  have  $\neg \text{boring-step } (\Gamma, v, [])$  by (simp add: boring-step.simps)
  moreover
  have  $\text{heap-upds-ok-conf } ([], e, [])$  by simp
  moreover
  have  $\text{consistent } (\perp, \perp, 0, [], []) \ ([], e, [])$  using closed by (rule closed-consistent)
  ultimately
  obtain ae ce a as r where

```

```

*: consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma, v, []$ ) and
**: conf-transform ( $\perp, \perp, 0, [], []$ ) ( $[], e, []$ )  $\Rightarrow_{G^*}$  conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma, v, []$ )
by (metis end2end)

let ? $\Gamma$  = map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (-set r)  $\Gamma$ ))
let ?v = transform a v

from * have set r  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma$  by auto

have conf-transform ( $\perp, \perp, 0, [], []$ ) ( $[], e, []$ ) = ( $[], transform 0 e, []$ ) by simp
with **
have ( $[], transform 0 e, []$ )  $\Rightarrow_{G^*}$  (? $\Gamma$ , ?v, map Dummy (rev r)) by simp

have isVal ?v using <isVal v> by simp

have fv (transform 0 e) = ({}) :: var set using closed
by (auto dest: subsetD[OF fv-transform])

note sestoftUnGC'[OF <( $[], transform 0 e, []$ )  $\Rightarrow_{G^*}$  (? $\Gamma$ , ?v, map Dummy (rev r))> <isVal ?v>
<fv (transform 0 e) = {}>]
then obtain  $\Gamma'$ 
where ( $[], transform 0 e, []$ )  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Gamma'$ , ?v, [])
and ? $\Gamma$  = restrictA (- set r)  $\Gamma'$ 
and set r  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma'$ 
by auto

have card (domA  $\Gamma$ ) = card (domA ? $\Gamma$   $\cup$  (set r  $\cap$  domA  $\Gamma$ ))
by (rule arg-cong[where f = card]) auto
also have ... = card (domA ? $\Gamma$ ) + card (set r  $\cap$  domA  $\Gamma$ )
by (rule card-Un-disjoint) auto
also note < $\Gamma$  = restrictA (- set r)  $\Gamma'$ >
also have set r  $\cap$  domA  $\Gamma$  = set r  $\cap$  domA  $\Gamma'$ 
using <set r  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma$ > <set r  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma'$ > by auto
also have card (domA (restrictA (- set r)  $\Gamma')) + card (set r  $\cap$  domA  $\Gamma') = card (domA$ 
 $\Gamma')$ 
by (subst card-Un-disjoint[symmetric]) (auto intro: arg-cong[where f = card])
finally
have card (domA  $\Gamma')$   $\leq$  card (domA  $\Gamma$ ) by simp
with <( $[], transform 0 e, []$ )  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Gamma', ?v, []$ )> <isVal ?v>
show thesis using that by blast
qed

lemma fresh-var-eqE[elim-format]: fresh-var e = x  $\implies$  x  $\notin$  fv e
by (metis fresh-var-not-free)

lemma example1:
fixes e :: exp
fixes f g x y z :: var$ 
```

```

assumes Aexp-e:  $\bigwedge a. Aexp\ e \cdot a = esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot a) \sqcup esing\ y \cdot (up \cdot a)$ 
assumes ccExp-e:  $\bigwedge a. CCexp\ e \cdot a = \perp$ 
assumes [simp]: transform 1 e = e
assumes isVal e
assumes disj:  $y \neq f \ y \neq g \ x \neq y \ z \neq f \ z \neq g \ y \neq x$ 
assumes fresh: atom z  $\notin$  e
shows transform 1 (let y be App (Var f) g in (let x be e in (Var x))) =
    let y be (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z) in (let x be (Lam [z]. App e z) in (Var x))
proof-
  from arg-cong[where f = edom, OF Aexp-e]
  have x ∈ fv e by simp (metis Aexp-edom' insert-subset)
  hence [simp]:  $\neg$  nonrec [(x,e)]
    by (simp add: nonrec-def)

  from ⟨isVal e⟩
  have [simp]: thunks [(x, e)] = {}
    by (simp add: thunks-Cons)

  have [simp]: CCfix [(x, e)].(esing x · (up · 1)  $\sqcup$  esing y · (up · 1),  $\perp$ ) =  $\perp$ 
    unfolding CCfix-def
    apply (simp add: fix-bottom-iff ccBindsExtra-simp)
    apply (simp add: ccBind-eq disj ccExp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Afix [(x, e)].(esing x · (up · 1)) = esing x · (up · 1)  $\sqcup$  esing y · (up · 1)
    unfolding Afix-def
    apply simp
    apply (rule fix-eqI)
    apply (simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    apply (case-tac z x)
    apply (auto simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Aheap [(y, App (Var f) g)] (let x be e in Var x) · 1 = esing y · ((Aexp (let x be e in Var x) · 1) y)
    by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq pure-fresh fresh-at-base disj)

  have [simp]: (Aexp (let x be e in Var x) · 1) = esing y · (up · 1)
    by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

  have [simp]: Aheap [(x, e)] (Var x) · 1 = esing x · (up · 1)
    by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

  have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 (App (Var f) g) = (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z)
    apply (simp add: one-is-inc-zero del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
    apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var (App (Var f) g)])
    apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj intro!: flip-fresh-fresh elim!: fresh-var-eqE)
    done

```

```

have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 e = (Lam [z]. App e z)
  apply (simp add: one-is-inc-zero del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
  apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var e])
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj fresh intro!: flip-fresh-fresh
elim!: fresh-var-eqE)
  done

show ?thesis
  by (simp del: Let-eq-iff add: map-transform-Cons disj[symmetric])
qed

end
end

```

## 15 Functional Correctness of the Arity Analysis

### 15.1 ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational

```

theory ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational
imports ArityAnalysisSpec Launchbury.Denotational ArityTransform
begin

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin

inductive eq :: Arity ⇒ Value ⇒ Value ⇒ bool where
  eq 0 v v
  | (Λ v. eq n (v1 ↓Fn v) (v2 ↓Fn v)) ⟹ eq (inc·n) v1 v2

lemma [simp]: eq 0 v v' ⟷ v = v'
  by (auto elim: eq.cases intro: eq.intros)

lemma eq-inc-simp:
  eq (inc·n) v1 v2 ⟷ ( ∀ v . eq n (v1 ↓Fn v) (v2 ↓Fn v))
  by (auto elim: eq.cases intro: eq.intros)

lemma eq-FnI:
  (Λ v. eq (pred·n) (f1·v) (f2·v)) ⟹ eq n (Fn·f1) (Fn·f2)
  by (induction n rule: Arity-ind) (auto intro: eq.intros cfun-eqI)

lemma eq-refl[simp]: eq a v v
  by (induction a arbitrary: v rule: Arity-ind) (auto intro!: eq.intros)

lemma eq-trans[trans]: eq a v1 v2 ⟹ eq a v2 v3 ⟹ eq a v1 v3
  apply (induction a arbitrary: v1 v2 v3 rule: Arity-ind)
  apply (auto elim!: eq.cases intro!: eq.intros)

```

```

apply blast
done

lemma eq-Fn: eq a v1 v2 ==> eq (pred·a) (v1 ↓Fn v) (v2 ↓Fn v)
apply (induction a rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
apply (auto simp add: eq-inc-simp)
done

lemma eq-inc-same: eq a v1 v2 ==> eq (inc·a) v1 v2
by (induction a arbitrary: v1 v2 rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc]) (auto simp add: eq-inc-simp)

lemma eq-mono: a ⊑ a' ==> eq a' v1 v2 ==> eq a v1 v2
proof (induction a rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
  case 0 thus ?case by auto
next
  case (inc a)
    show eq (inc·a) v1 v2
    proof (cases inc·a = a')
      case True with inc show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case False with <inc·a ⊑ a'> have a ⊑ a'
        by (simp add: inc-def)(transfer, simp)
        from this inc.prems(2)
        have eq a v1 v2 by (rule inc.IH)
        thus ?thesis by (rule eq-inc-same)
    qed
qed
qed

lemma eq-join[simp]: eq (a ∘ a') v1 v2 ↔ eq a v1 v2 ∧ eq a' v1 v2
using Arity-total[of a a']
apply (auto elim!: eq-mono[OF join-above1] eq-mono[OF join-above2])
apply (metis join-self-below(2))
apply (metis join-self-below(1))
done

lemma eq-adm: cont f ==> cont g ==> adm (λ x. eq a (f x) (g x))
proof (induction a arbitrary: f g rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
  case inc
    show ?case
    apply (subst eq-inc-simp)
    apply (rule adm-all)
    apply (rule inc)
    apply (intro cont2cont inc(2,3))+
    done
qed

inductive eq@ :: AEnv ⇒ (var ⇒ Value) ⇒ (var ⇒ Value) ⇒ bool where

```

```

eq $\varrho$ I: ( $\bigwedge x a. ae x = up \cdot a \implies eq a (\varrho 1 x) (\varrho 2 x)$ )  $\implies eq\varrho ae \varrho 1 \varrho 2$ 

lemma eq $\varrho$ E:  $eq\varrho ae \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \implies ae x = up \cdot a \implies eq a (\varrho 1 x) (\varrho 2 x)$ 
  by (auto simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)

lemma eq $\varrho$ -refl[simp]:  $eq\varrho ae \varrho \varrho$ 
  by (simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)

lemma eq-esing-up[simp]:  $eq\varrho (esing x \cdot (up \cdot a)) \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \longleftrightarrow eq a (\varrho 1 x) (\varrho 2 x)$ 
  by (auto simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)

lemma eq $\varrho$ -mono:
  assumes  $ae \sqsubseteq ae'$ 
  assumes  $eq\varrho ae' \varrho 1 \varrho 2$ 
  shows  $eq\varrho ae \varrho 1 \varrho 2$ 
proof (rule eq $\varrho$ I)
  fix  $x a$ 
  assume  $ae x = up \cdot a$ 
  with  $\langle ae \sqsubseteq ae' \rangle$  have  $up \cdot a \sqsubseteq ae' x$  by (metis fun-belowD)
  then obtain  $a'$  where  $ae' x = up \cdot a'$  by (metis Exh-Up below-antisym minimal)
  with  $\langle eq\varrho ae' \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \rangle$ 
  have  $eq a' (\varrho 1 x) (\varrho 2 x)$  by (auto simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)
  with  $\langle up \cdot a \sqsubseteq ae' x \rangle$  and  $\langle ae' x = up \cdot a' \rangle$ 
  show  $eq a (\varrho 1 x) (\varrho 2 x)$  by (metis eq-mono up-below)
qed

lemma eq $\varrho$ -adm: cont  $f \implies cont g \implies adm (\lambda x. eq\varrho a (f x) (g x))$ 
  apply (simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)
  apply (intro adm-lemmas eq-adm)
  apply (erule cont2cont-fun)+
done

lemma up-join-eq-up[simp]:  $up \cdot (n :: 'a :: Finite-Join-cpo) \sqcup up \cdot n' = up \cdot (n \sqcup n')$ 
  apply (rule lub-is-join)
  apply (auto simp add: is-lub-def)
  apply (case-tac  $u$ )
  apply auto
done

lemma eq $\varrho$ -join[simp]:  $eq\varrho (ae \sqcup ae') \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \longleftrightarrow eq\varrho ae \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \wedge eq\varrho ae' \varrho 1 \varrho 2$ 
  apply (auto elim!: eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF join-above1] eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF join-above2])
  apply (auto intro!: eq $\varrho$ I)
  apply (case-tac  $ae x$ , auto elim: eq $\varrho$ E)
  apply (case-tac  $ae' x$ , auto elim: eq $\varrho$ E)
done

lemma eq $\varrho$ -override[simp]:
   $eq\varrho ae (\varrho 1 ++_S \varrho 2) (\varrho 1' ++_S \varrho 2') \longleftrightarrow eq\varrho ae (\varrho 1 f|` (- S)) (\varrho 1' f|` (- S)) \wedge eq\varrho ae (\varrho 2 f|` S) (\varrho 2' f|` S)$ 

```

**by** (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq eq $\varrho$ .simps lookup-override-on-eq)

**lemma** Aexp-heap-below-Aheap:

assumes (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)  $x = up \cdot a'$

assumes map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e'

shows Aexp e'·a'  $\sqsubseteq$  Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a

**proof** –

from assms(1)

have Aexp e'·a' = ABind x e'·(Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)

by (simp del: join-comm fun-meet-simp)

also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  ABinds  $\Gamma$ ·(Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)

by (rule monofun-cfun-fun[OF ABind-below-ABinds[OF map-of - - = -]])

also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  ABinds  $\Gamma$ ·(Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)  $\sqcup$  Aexp e·a

by simp

also note Aexp-Let

finally

show ?thesis by this simp-all

qed

**lemma** Aexp-body-below-Aheap:

shows Aexp e·a  $\sqsubseteq$  Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a

by (rule below-trans[OF join-above2 Aexp-Let])

**lemma** Aexp-correct: eq $\varrho$ (Aexp e·a)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 \implies$  eq a ([e] $_{\varrho_1}$ ) ([e] $_{\varrho_2}$ )

**proof**(induction a e arbitrary:  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$  rule: transform.induct[case-names App Lam Var Let Bool IfThenElse])

case (Var a x)

from <eq $\varrho$ (Aexp (Var x)·a)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$ >

have eq $\varrho$ (esing x·(up·a))  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$  by (rule eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF Aexp-Var-singleton])

thus ?case by simp

next

case (App a e x)

from <eq $\varrho$ (Aexp (App e x)·a)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$ >

have eq $\varrho$ (Aexp e·(inc·a)  $\sqcup$  esing x·(up·0))  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$  by (rule eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF Aexp-App])

hence eq $\varrho$ (Aexp e·(inc·a))  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$  and  $\varrho_1 x = \varrho_2 x$  by simp-all

from App(1)[OF this(1)] this(2)

show ?case by (auto elim: eq.cases)

next

case (Lam a x e)

from <eq $\varrho$ (Aexp (Lam [x]. e)·a)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$ >

have eq $\varrho$ (env-delete x (Aexp e·(pred·a)))  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$  by (rule eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF Aexp-Lam])

hence  $\bigwedge v. eq\varrho(Aexp e\cdot(pred\cdot a))(\varrho_1(x := v))(\varrho_2(x := v))$  by (auto intro!: eq $\varrho$ I elim!: eq $\varrho$ E)

from Lam(1)[OF this]

show ?case by (auto intro: eq-FnI simp del: fun-upd-apply)

next

case (Bool b)

show ?case by simp

```

next
  case (IfThenElse a scrut e1 e2)
    from ‹eq0 (Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a) ρ1 ρ2›
  have eq0 (Aexp scrut·0 ⊢ Aexp e1·a ⊢ Aexp e2·a) ρ1 ρ2 by (rule eq0-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse])
  hence eq0 (Aexp scrut·0) ρ1 ρ2
  and eq0 (Aexp e1·a) ρ1 ρ2
  and eq0 (Aexp e2·a) ρ1 ρ2 by simp-all
  from IfThenElse(1)[OF this(1)] IfThenElse(2)[OF this(2)] IfThenElse(3)[OF this(3)]
  show ?case
    by (cases [ ] scrut ]ρ2) auto
next
  case (Let a  $\Gamma$  e)
    have eq0 (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a ⊢ Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a) (<{ $\Gamma$ }ρ1) (<{ $\Gamma$ }ρ2)
    proof(induction rule: parallel-HSem-ind[case-names adm bottom step])
      case adm thus ?case by (intro eq0-adm cont2cont)
    next
      case bottom show ?case by simp
    next
      case (step ρ1' ρ2)
        show ?case
        proof (rule eq0I)
          fix x a'
          assume ass: (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a ⊢ Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a) x = up·a'
          show eq a' ((ρ1 ++ domA  $\Gamma$  [ ]ρ1) x) ((ρ2 ++ domA  $\Gamma$  [ ]ρ2) x)
          proof(cases x ∈ domA  $\Gamma$ )
            case [simp]: True
            then obtain e' where [simp]: map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e' by (metis domA-map-of-Some-the)
            have (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a) x = up·a' using ass by simp
            hence Aexp e'·a' ⊢ Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a ⊢ Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a using ‹map-of - - = -› by (rule Aexp-heap-below-Aheap)
            hence eq0 (Aexp e'·a') ρ1' ρ2' using step(1) by (rule eq0-mono)
            hence eq a' ([ ]ρ1) ([ ]ρ2)
              by (rule Let(1)[OF map-of-SomeD[OF map-of - - = -]])
            thus ?thesis by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap)
          next
            case [simp]: False
            with edom-Aheap have x ∉ edom (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a) by blast
            hence (Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a) x = up·a' using ass by (simp add: edomIff)
            with ‹eq0 (Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a) ρ1 ρ2›
            have eq a' (ρ1 x) (ρ2 x) by (auto elim: eq0E)
            thus ?thesis by simp
          qed
        qed
      qed
      hence eq0 (Aexp e·a) (<{ $\Gamma$ }ρ1) (<{ $\Gamma$ }ρ2) by (rule eq0-mono[OF Aexp-body-below-Aheap])
      hence eq a ([ ]ρ1) ([ ]ρ2) by (rule Let(2)[simplified])
      thus ?case by simp
    qed

```

```

lemma ESem-ignores-fresh[simp]:  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho(\text{fresh-var } e := v)} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ 
  by (metis ESem-fresh-cong env-restr-fun-upd-other fresh-var-not-free)

lemma eq-Aeta-expand: eq a ( $\llbracket A\text{eta-expand } a \ e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) ( $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ )
  apply (induction a arbitrary: e  $\varrho$  rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
  apply simp
  apply (fastforce simp add: eq-inc-simp elim: eq-trans)
  done

lemma Arity-transformation-correct: eq a ( $\llbracket T_a \ e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) ( $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ )
proof(induction a e arbitrary:  $\varrho$  rule: transform.induct[case-names App Lam Var Let Bool IfThenElse])
  case Var
    show ?case by simp
  next
    case (App a e x)
      from this[where  $\varrho = \varrho$ ]
      show ?case
        by (auto elim: eq.cases)
    next
      case (Lam x e)
        thus ?case
          by (auto intro: eq-FnI)
    next
      case (Bool b)
        show ?case by simp
    next
      case (IfThenElse a e e1 e2)
        thus ?case by (cases  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) auto
    next
      case (Let a  $\Gamma$  e)
        have eq a ( $\llbracket \text{transform } a (\text{Let } \Gamma \ e) \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) ( $\llbracket \text{transform } a \ e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) map-transform Aeta-expand (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a) (map-transform tran
          by simp
        also have eq a ... ( $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) map-transform Aeta-expand (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a) (map-transform transform (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)  $\Gamma$ ) $\varrho$ )
          using Let(2) by simp
        also have eq a ... ( $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ )
        proof (rule Aexp-correct)
          have eq $\varrho$  (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a) (map-transform Aeta-expand (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)
            (map-transform transform (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)  $\Gamma$ ) $\varrho$ ) ( $\{\Gamma\}\varrho$ )
            proof(induction rule: parallel-HSem-ind[case-names adm bottom step])
              case adm thus ?case by (intro eq $\varrho$ -adm cont2cont)
            next
              case bottom show ?case by simp
            next
              case (step  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$ )
                have eq $\varrho$  (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e)·a) ( $\llbracket \text{map-transform Aeta-expand (Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)$ 
                  (map-transform transform (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e·a)  $\Gamma$ ) $\varrho_1$ ) ( $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho_2}$ )

```

```

proof(rule eq $\varrho$ I)
  fix  $x$   $a'$ 
  assume ass: ( $A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup A\text{exp} (\text{Let } \Gamma e) \cdot a$ )  $x = up \cdot a'$ 
  show  $eq a' (([\![ \text{map-transform } A\text{eta-expand} (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) (\text{map-transform transform } (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \Gamma) ]\!]_{\varrho 1}) x) (([\![ \Gamma ]\!]_{\varrho 2}) x)$ 
  proof(cases  $x \in \text{dom } A \Gamma$ )
    case [simp]: True
    then obtain  $e'$  where [simp]:  $\text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e'$  by (metis domA-map-of-Some-the)
    from ass have ass': ( $A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a$ )  $x = up \cdot a'$  by simp

    have ( $[\![ \text{map-transform } A\text{eta-expand} (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) (\text{map-transform transform } (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \Gamma) ]\!]_{\varrho 1}) x =$ 
       $[\![ A\text{eta-expand } a' (\text{transform } a' e') ]\!]_{\varrho 1}$ 
      by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap' map-of-map-transform ass')
    also have  $eq a' \dots ([\![ \text{transform } a' e ]\!]_{\varrho 1})$ 
      by (rule eq-Aeta-expand)
    also have  $eq a' \dots ([\![ e ]\!]_{\varrho 1})$ 
      by (rule Let(1)[OF map-of-SomeD[OF <map-of - - = ->]])
    also have  $eq a' \dots ([\![ e ]\!]_{\varrho 2})$ 
    proof (rule Aexp-correct)
      from ass' <map-of - - = ->
      have  $A\text{exp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup A\text{exp} (\text{Let } \Gamma e) \cdot a$  by (rule Aexp-heap-below-Aheap)
      thus  $eq\varrho (A\text{exp } e' \cdot a') \varrho 1 \varrho 2$  using step by (rule eq $\varrho$ -mono)
    qed
    also have  $\dots = ([\![ \Gamma ]\!]_{\varrho 2}) x$ 
      by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap')
    finally
      show ?thesis.
    next
      case False thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
  qed
  thus ?case
    by (simp add: env-restr-useless order-trans[OF edom-evalHeap-subset] del: fun-meet-simp
      eq $\varrho$ -join)
    qed
    thus  $eq\varrho (A\text{exp } e \cdot a) ([\![ \text{map-transform } A\text{eta-expand} (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) (\text{map-transform transform } (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \Gamma) ]\!]_{\varrho}) ([\![ \Gamma ]\!]_{\varrho})$ 
      by (rule eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF Aexp-body-below-Aheap])
    qed
    also have  $\dots = [\![ \text{Let } \Gamma e ]\!]_{\varrho}$ 
      by simp
    finally show ?case.
  qed

corollary Arity-transformation-correct':
   $[\![ \mathcal{T}_0 e ]\!]_{\varrho} = [\![ e ]\!]_{\varrho}$ 
  using Arity-transformation-correct[where  $a = 0$ ] by simp

```

end  
end