

# A framework for establishing Strong Eventual Consistency for Conflict-free Replicated Data types

Victor B. F. Gomes, Martin Kleppmann, Dominic P. Mulligan,  
Alastair R. Beresford

August 16, 2018

## Abstract

In this work, we focus on the correctness of Conflict-free Replicated Data Types (CRDTs), a class of algorithm that provides strong eventual consistency guarantees for replicated data. We develop a modular and reusable framework for verifying the correctness of CRDT algorithms. We avoid correctness issues that have dogged previous mechanised proofs in this area by including a network model in our formalisation, and proving that our theorems hold in all possible network behaviours. Our axiomatic network model is a standard abstraction that accurately reflects the behaviour of real-world computer networks. Moreover, we identify an abstract convergence theorem, a property of order relations, which provides a formal definition of strong eventual consistency. We then obtain the first machine-checked correctness theorems for three concrete CRDTs: the Replicated Growable Array, the Observed-Remove Set, and an Increment-Decrement Counter.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Technical Lemmas</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Kleisli arrow composition . . . . .	3
2.2	Lemmas about sets . . . . .	3
2.3	Lemmas about list . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Strong Eventual Consistency</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Concurrent operations . . . . .	5
3.2	Happens-before consistency . . . . .	6
3.3	Apply operations . . . . .	7
3.4	Concurrent operations commute . . . . .	8
3.5	Abstract convergence theorem . . . . .	8
3.6	Convergence and progress . . . . .	9
<b>4</b>	<b>Axiomatic network models</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	Node histories . . . . .	10
4.2	Asynchronous broadcast networks . . . . .	11
4.3	Causal networks . . . . .	13
4.4	Dummy network models . . . . .	16
<b>5</b>	<b>Replicated Growable Array</b>	<b>16</b>
5.1	Insert and delete operations . . . . .	16
5.2	Well-definedness of insert and delete . . . . .	17
5.3	Preservation of element indices . . . . .	17

5.4	Commutativity of concurrent operations . . . . .	18
5.5	Alternative definition of insert . . . . .	19
5.6	Network . . . . .	20
5.7	Strong eventual consistency . . . . .	24
<b>6</b>	<b>Increment-Decrement Counter</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Observed-Remove Set</b>	<b>25</b>

## 1 Introduction

*Strong eventual consistency* (SEC) is a model that strikes a compromise between strong and eventual consistency [12]. Informally, it guarantees that whenever two nodes have received the same set of messages—possibly in a different order—their view of the shared state is identical, and any conflicting concurrent updates must be merged automatically. Large-scale deployments of SEC algorithms include datacentre-based applications using the Riak distributed database [3], and collaborative editing applications such as Google Docs [5]. Unlike strong consistency models, it is possible to implement SEC in decentralised settings without any central server or leader, and it allows local execution at each node to proceed without waiting for communication with other nodes. However, algorithms for achieving decentralised SEC are currently poorly understood: several such algorithms, published in peer-reviewed venues, were subsequently shown to violate their supposed guarantees [6, 7, 9]. Informal reasoning has repeatedly produced plausible-looking but incorrect algorithms, and there have even been examples of mechanised formal proofs of SEC algorithm correctness later being shown to be flawed. These mechanised proofs failed because, in formalising the algorithm, they made false assumptions about the execution environment.

In this work we use the Isabelle/HOL proof assistant [13] to create a framework for reliably reasoning about the correctness of a particular class of decentralised replication algorithms. We do this by formalising not only the replication algorithms, but also the network in which they execute, allowing us to prove that the algorithm’s assumptions hold in all possible network behaviours. We model the network using the axioms of *asynchronous unreliable causal broadcast*, a well-understood abstraction that is commonly implemented by network protocols, and which can run on almost any computer network, including large-scale networks that delay, reorder, or drop messages, and in which nodes may fail.

We then use this framework to produce machine-checked proofs of correctness for three Conflict-Free Replicated Data Types (CRDTs), a class of replication algorithms that ensure strong eventual consistency [11, 12]. To our knowledge, this is the first machine-checked verification of SEC algorithms that explicitly models the network and reasons about all possible network behaviours. The framework is modular and reusable, making it easy to formulate proofs for new algorithms. We provide the first mechanised proofs of the Replicated Growable Array, the operation-based Observed-Remove Set, and the operation-based counter CRDT.

## 2 Technical Lemmas

This section contains a list of helper definitions and lemmas about sets, lists and the option monad.

**theory**

*Util*

**imports**

*Main*

*HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax*

begin

## 2.1 Kleisli arrow composition

**definition** *kleisli* :: ('b ⇒ 'b option) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'b option) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'b option) (**infixr** ▷ 65) **where**  
 $f \triangleright g \equiv \lambda x. (f\ x \gg= (\lambda y. g\ y))$

**lemma** *kleisli-comm-cong*:

**assumes**  $x \triangleright y = y \triangleright x$   
**shows**  $z \triangleright x \triangleright y = z \triangleright y \triangleright x$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *kleisli-assoc*:

**shows**  $(z \triangleright x) \triangleright y = z \triangleright (x \triangleright y)$   
⟨proof⟩

## 2.2 Lemmas about sets

**lemma** *distinct-set-notin* [*dest*]:

**assumes** *distinct* ( $x \# xs$ )  
**shows**  $x \notin \text{set } xs$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *set-membership-equality-technicalD* [*dest*]:

**assumes**  $\{x\} \cup (\text{set } xs) = \{y\} \cup (\text{set } ys)$   
**shows**  $x = y \vee y \in \text{set } xs$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *set-equality-technical*:

**assumes**  $\{x\} \cup (\text{set } xs) = \{y\} \cup (\text{set } ys)$   
**and**  $x \notin \text{set } xs$   
**and**  $y \notin \text{set } ys$   
**and**  $y \in \text{set } xs$   
**shows**  $\{x\} \cup (\text{set } xs - \{y\}) = \text{set } ys$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *set-elem-nth*:

**assumes**  $x \in \text{set } xs$   
**shows**  $\exists m. m < \text{length } xs \wedge xs ! m = x$   
⟨proof⟩

## 2.3 Lemmas about list

**lemma** *list-nil-or-snoc*:

**shows**  $xs = [] \vee (\exists y\ ys. xs = ys@[y])$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *suffix-eq-distinct-list*:

**assumes** *distinct*  $xs$   
**and**  $ys@suf1 = xs$   
**and**  $ys@suf2 = xs$   
**shows**  $suf1 = suf2$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *pre-suf-eq-distinct-list*:

**assumes** *distinct*  $xs$   
**and**  $ys \neq []$   
**and**  $pre1@ys@suf1 = xs$

**and**  $pre2 @ ys @ suf2 = xs$   
**shows**  $pre1 = pre2 \wedge suf1 = suf2$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *list-head-unaaffected*:  
**assumes**  $hd (x @ [y, z]) = v$   
**shows**  $hd (x @ [y \ ]) = v$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *list-head-butlast*:  
**assumes**  $hd xs = v$   
**and**  $length xs > 1$   
**shows**  $hd (butlast xs) = v$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *list-head-length-one*:  
**assumes**  $hd xs = x$   
**and**  $length xs = 1$   
**shows**  $xs = [x]$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *list-two-at-end*:  
**assumes**  $length xs > 1$   
**shows**  $\exists xs' x y. xs = xs' @ [x, y]$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *list-nth-split-technical*:  
**assumes**  $m < length cs$   
**and**  $cs \neq []$   
**shows**  $\exists xs ys. cs = xs @ (cs!m) \# ys$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *list-nth-split*:  
**assumes**  $m < length cs$   
**and**  $n < m$   
**and**  $1 < length cs$   
**shows**  $\exists xs ys zs. cs = xs @ (cs!n) \# ys @ (cs!m) \# zs$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *list-split-two-elems*:  
**assumes** *distinct cs*  
**and**  $x \in set cs$   
**and**  $y \in set cs$   
**and**  $x \neq y$   
**shows**  $\exists pre mid suf. cs = pre @ x \# mid @ y \# suf \vee cs = pre @ y \# mid @ x \# suf$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *split-list-unique-prefix*:  
**assumes**  $x \in set xs$   
**shows**  $\exists pre suf. xs = pre @ x \# suf \wedge (\forall y \in set pre. x \neq y)$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *map-filter-append*:  
**shows**  $List.map-filter P (xs @ ys) = List.map-filter P xs @ List.map-filter P ys$   
 <proof>

**end**

### 3 Strong Eventual Consistency

In this section we formalise the notion of strong eventual consistency. We do not make any assumptions about networks or data structures; instead, we use an abstract model of operations that may be reordered, and we reason about the properties that those operations must satisfy. We then provide concrete implementations of that abstract model in later sections.

**theory**

*Convergence*

**imports**

*Util*

**begin**

The *happens-before* relation, as introduced by [8], captures causal dependencies between operations. It can be defined in terms of sending and receiving messages on a network. However, for now, we keep it abstract, our only restriction on the happens-before relation is that it must be a *strict partial order*, that is, it must be irreflexive and transitive, which implies that it is also antisymmetric. We describe the state of a node using an abstract type variable. To model state changes, we assume the existence of an *interpretation* function *interp* which lifts an operation into a *state transformer*—a function that either maps an old state to a new state, or fails.

**locale** *happens-before* = *preorder hb-weak hb*  
**for** *hb-weak* :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (**infix** ≤ 50)  
**and** *hb* :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (**infix** < 50) +  
**fixes** *interp* :: 'a ⇒ 'b → 'b (<-) [0] 1000  
**begin**

#### 3.1 Concurrent operations

We say that two operations  $x$  and  $y$  are *concurrent*, written  $x \parallel y$ , whenever one does not happen before the other:  $\neg(x < y)$  and  $\neg(y < x)$ .

**definition** *concurrent* :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (**infix** || 50) **where**  
 $s1 \parallel s2 \equiv \neg(s1 < s2) \wedge \neg(s2 < s1)$

**lemma** *concurrentI* [*intro!*]:  $\neg(s1 < s2) \implies \neg(s2 < s1) \implies s1 \parallel s2$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *concurrentD1* [*dest*]:  $s1 \parallel s2 \implies \neg(s1 < s2)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *concurrentD2* [*dest*]:  $s1 \parallel s2 \implies \neg(s2 < s1)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *concurrent-refl* [*intro!*, *simp*]:  $s \parallel s$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *concurrent-comm*:  $s1 \parallel s2 \longleftrightarrow s2 \parallel s1$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**definition** *concurrent-set* :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool **where**  
 $\text{concurrent-set } x \ xs \equiv \forall y \in \text{set } xs. x \parallel y$

**lemma** *concurrent-set-empty* [*simp*, *intro!*]:  
 $\text{concurrent-set } x \ []$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *concurrent-set-ConsE* [*elim!*]:

**assumes** *concurrent-set a (x#xs)*  
**and** *concurrent-set a xs  $\implies$  concurrent x a  $\implies$  G*  
**shows** *G*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *concurrent-set-ConsI [intro!]:*  
*concurrent-set a xs  $\implies$  concurrent a x  $\implies$  concurrent-set a (x#xs)*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *concurrent-set-appendI [intro!]:*  
*concurrent-set a xs  $\implies$  concurrent-set a ys  $\implies$  concurrent-set a (xs@ys)*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *concurrent-set-Cons-Snoc [simp]:*  
*concurrent-set a (xs@[x]) = concurrent-set a (x#xs)*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

### 3.2 Happens-before consistency

The purpose of the happens-before relation is to require that some operations must be applied in a particular order, while allowing concurrent operations to be reordered with respect to each other. We assume that each node applies operations in some sequential order (a standard assumption for distributed algorithms), and so we can model the execution history of a node as a list of operations.

**inductive** *hb-consistent :: 'a list  $\implies$  bool* **where**  
 $\langle$ *intro!* $\rangle$ : *hb-consistent []* |  
 $\langle$ *intro!* $\rangle$ :  $\llbracket$  *hb-consistent xs;  $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \neg y \prec x$   $\rrbracket \implies$  *hb-consistent (xs @ [y])**

As a result, whenever two operations  $x$  and  $y$  appear in a hb-consistent list, and  $x \prec y$ , then  $x$  must appear before  $y$  in the list. However, if  $x \parallel y$ , the operations can appear in the list in either order.

**lemma**  $(x \prec y \vee \text{concurrent } x \ y) = (\neg y \prec x)$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *consistentI [intro!]:*  
**assumes** *hb-consistent (xs @ ys)*  
**and**  $\forall x \in \text{set } (xs @ ys). \neg z \prec x$   
**shows** *hb-consistent (xs @ ys @ [z])*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**inductive-cases** *hb-consistent-elim [elim]:*  
*hb-consistent []*  
*hb-consistent (xs@[y])*  
*hb-consistent (xs@ys)*  
*hb-consistent (xs@ys@[z])*

**inductive-cases** *hb-consistent-elim-gen:*  
*hb-consistent zs*

**lemma** *hb-consistent-append-D1 [dest]:*  
**assumes** *hb-consistent (xs @ ys)*  
**shows** *hb-consistent xs*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *hb-consistent-append-D2 [dest]:*  
**assumes** *hb-consistent (xs @ ys)*  
**shows** *hb-consistent ys*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *hb-consistent-append-elim-ConsD* [elim]:

**assumes** *hb-consistent* ( $y \# ys$ )

**shows** *hb-consistent* *ys*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *hb-consistent-remove1* [intro]:

**assumes** *hb-consistent* *xs*

**shows** *hb-consistent* (*remove1* *x xs*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *hb-consistent-singleton* [intro!]:

**shows** *hb-consistent* [*x*]

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *hb-consistent-prefix-suffix-exists*:

**assumes** *hb-consistent* *ys*

*hb-consistent* (*xs* @ [*x*])

$\{x\} \cup \text{set } xs = \text{set } ys$

*distinct* ( $x \# xs$ )

*distinct* *ys*

**shows**  $\exists \text{prefix suffix. } ys = \text{prefix} @ x \# \text{suffix} \wedge \text{concurrent-set } x \text{ suffix}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *hb-consistent-append* [intro!]:

**assumes** *hb-consistent* *suffix*

*hb-consistent* *prefix*

$\bigwedge s p. s \in \text{set } \text{suffix} \implies p \in \text{set } \text{prefix} \implies \neg s \prec p$

**shows** *hb-consistent* (*prefix* @ *suffix*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *hb-consistent-append-porder*:

**assumes** *hb-consistent* (*xs* @ *ys*)

$x \in \text{set } xs$

$y \in \text{set } ys$

**shows**  $\neg y \prec x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.3 Apply operations

We can now define a function *apply-operations* that composes an arbitrary list of operations into a state transformer. We first map *interp* across the list to obtain a state transformer for each operation, and then collectively compose them using the Kleisli arrow composition combinator.

**definition** *apply-operations* :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\rightarrow$  'b **where**

*apply-operations* *es*  $\equiv \text{foldl } (\triangleright) \text{Some } (\text{map } \text{interp } \text{es})$

**lemma** *apply-operations-empty* [simp]: *apply-operations* [] *s* = *Some s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *apply-operations-Snoc* [simp]:

*apply-operations* (*xs*@[*x*]) = (*apply-operations* *xs*)  $\triangleright$  [*x*]

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.4 Concurrent operations commute

We say that two operations  $x$  and  $y$  *commute* whenever  $\langle x \rangle \triangleright \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \triangleright \langle x \rangle$ , i.e. when we can swap the order of the composition of their interpretations without changing the resulting state transformer. For our purposes, requiring that this property holds for *all* pairs of operations is too strong. Rather, the commutation property is only required to hold for operations that are concurrent.

**definition** *concurrent-ops-commute* :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*concurrent-ops-commute*  $xs \equiv$   
 $\forall x y. \{x, y\} \subseteq \text{set } xs \longrightarrow \text{concurrent } x y \longrightarrow \langle x \rangle \triangleright \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \triangleright \langle x \rangle$

**lemma** *concurrent-ops-commute-empty* [intro!]: *concurrent-ops-commute* []  
 <proof>

**lemma** *concurrent-ops-commute-singleton* [intro!]: *concurrent-ops-commute* [x]  
 <proof>

**lemma** *concurrent-ops-commute-appendD* [dest]:  
**assumes** *concurrent-ops-commute* (xs@ys)  
**shows** *concurrent-ops-commute* xs  
 <proof>

**lemma** *concurrent-ops-commute-rearrange*:  
*concurrent-ops-commute* (xs@x#ys) = *concurrent-ops-commute* (xs@ys@[x])  
 <proof>

**lemma** *concurrent-ops-commute-concurrent-set*:  
**assumes** *concurrent-ops-commute* (prefix@suffix@[x])  
*concurrent-set* x suffix  
*distinct* (prefix @ x # suffix)  
**shows** *apply-operations* (prefix @ suffix @ [x]) = *apply-operations* (prefix @ x # suffix)  
 <proof>

### 3.5 Abstract convergence theorem

We can now state and prove our main theorem, *convergence*. This theorem states that two hb-consistent lists of distinct operations, which are permutations of each other and in which concurrent operations commute, have the same interpretation.

**theorem** *convergence*:  
**assumes** *set* xs = *set* ys  
*concurrent-ops-commute* xs  
*concurrent-ops-commute* ys  
*distinct* xs  
*distinct* ys  
*hb-consistent* xs  
*hb-consistent* ys  
**shows** *apply-operations* xs = *apply-operations* ys  
 <proof>

**corollary** *convergence-ext*:  
**assumes** *set* xs = *set* ys  
*concurrent-ops-commute* xs  
*concurrent-ops-commute* ys  
*distinct* xs  
*distinct* ys  
*hb-consistent* xs



```

      hb-consistent ys
shows apply-operations xs s = apply-operations ys s
  <proof>
end

```

### 3.6 Convergence and progress

Besides convergence, another required property of SEC is *progress*: if a valid operation was issued on one node, then applying that operation on other nodes must also succeed—that is, the execution must not become stuck in an error state. Although the type signature of the interpretation function allows operations to fail, we need to prove that in all *hb-consistent* network behaviours such failure never actually occurs. We capture the combined requirements in the *strong-eventual-consistency* locale, which extends *happens-before*.

```

locale strong-eventual-consistency = happens-before +
  fixes op-history :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    and initial-state :: 'b
  assumes causality:   op-history xs  $\Longrightarrow$  hb-consistent xs
  assumes distinctness: op-history xs  $\Longrightarrow$  distinct xs
  assumes commutativity: op-history xs  $\Longrightarrow$  concurrent-ops-commute xs
  assumes no-failure:  op-history(xs@[x])  $\Longrightarrow$  apply-operations xs initial-state = Some state  $\Longrightarrow$  <x>
state  $\neq$  None
  assumes trunc-history: op-history(xs@[x])  $\Longrightarrow$  op-history xs
begin

```

```

theorem sec-convergence:
  assumes set xs = set ys
    op-history xs
    op-history ys
  shows apply-operations xs = apply-operations ys
  <proof>

```

```

theorem sec-progress:
  assumes op-history xs
  shows apply-operations xs initial-state  $\neq$  None
  <proof>

```

```

end
end

```

## 4 Axiomatic network models

In this section we develop a formal definition of an *asynchronous unreliable causal broadcast network*. We choose this model because it satisfies the causal delivery requirements of many operation-based CRDTs [1, 2]. Moreover, it is suitable for use in decentralised settings, as motivated in the introduction, since it does not require waiting for communication with a central server or a quorum of nodes.

```

theory
  Network
imports
  Convergence
begin

```

## 4.1 Node histories

We model a distributed system as an unbounded number of communicating nodes. We assume nothing about the communication pattern of nodes—we assume only that each node is uniquely identified by a natural number, and that the flow of execution at each node consists of a finite, totally ordered sequence of execution steps (events). We call that sequence of events at node  $i$  the *history* of that node. For convenience, we assume that every event or execution step is unique within a node's history.

**locale** *node-histories* =  
**fixes** *history* ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'evt list}$   
**assumes** *histories-distinct* [*intro!*, *simp*]: *distinct* (*history*  $i$ )

**lemma** (**in** *node-histories*) *history-finite*:  
**shows** *finite* (*set* (*history*  $i$ ))  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**definition** (**in** *node-histories*) *history-order* ::  $\text{'evt} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'evt} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  ( $- / \sqsubset^- / - [50, 1000, 50] 50$ )  
**where**  
 $x \sqsubset^i z \equiv \exists xs\ ys\ zs. xs@x\#ys@z\#zs = \text{history } i$

**lemma** (**in** *node-histories*) *node-total-order-trans*:  
**assumes**  $e1 \sqsubset^i e2$   
**and**  $e2 \sqsubset^i e3$   
**shows**  $e1 \sqsubset^i e3$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *node-histories*) *local-order-carrier-closed*:  
**assumes**  $e1 \sqsubset^i e2$   
**shows**  $\{e1, e2\} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{history } i)$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *node-histories*) *node-total-order-irrefl*:  
**shows**  $\neg (e \sqsubset^i e)$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *node-histories*) *node-total-order-antisym*:  
**assumes**  $e1 \sqsubset^i e2$   
**and**  $e2 \sqsubset^i e1$   
**shows** *False*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *node-histories*) *node-order-is-total*:  
**assumes**  $e1 \in \text{set } (\text{history } i)$   
**and**  $e2 \in \text{set } (\text{history } i)$   
**and**  $e1 \neq e2$   
**shows**  $e1 \sqsubset^i e2 \vee e2 \sqsubset^i e1$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**definition** (**in** *node-histories*) *prefix-of-node-history* ::  $\text{'evt list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (**infix** *prefix of 50*) **where**  
 $xs \text{ prefix of } i \equiv \exists ys. xs@ys = \text{history } i$

**lemma** (**in** *node-histories*) *carriers-head-lt*:  
**assumes**  $y\#ys = \text{history } i$   
**shows**  $\neg(x \sqsubset^i y)$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *node-histories*) *prefix-of-ConsD* [*dest*]:

**assumes**  $x \# xs$  prefix of  $i$   
**shows**  $[x]$  prefix of  $i$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *node-histories*) *prefix-of-appendD* [*dest*]:  
**assumes**  $xs @ ys$  prefix of  $i$   
**shows**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *node-histories*) *prefix-distinct*:  
**assumes**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$   
**shows** *distinct*  $xs$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *node-histories*) *prefix-to-carriers* [*intro*]:  
**assumes**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$   
**shows**  $set\ xs \subseteq set\ (history\ i)$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *node-histories*) *prefix-elem-to-carriers*:  
**assumes**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$   
**and**  $x \in set\ xs$   
**shows**  $x \in set\ (history\ i)$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *node-histories*) *local-order-prefix-closed*:  
**assumes**  $x \sqsubset^i y$   
**and**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$   
**and**  $y \in set\ xs$   
**shows**  $x \in set\ xs$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *node-histories*) *local-order-prefix-closed-last*:  
**assumes**  $x \sqsubset^i y$   
**and**  $xs@[y]$  prefix of  $i$   
**shows**  $x \in set\ xs$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *node-histories*) *events-before-exist*:  
**assumes**  $x \in set\ (history\ i)$   
**shows**  $\exists pre. pre @ [x]$  prefix of  $i$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *node-histories*) *events-in-local-order*:  
**assumes**  $pre @ [e2]$  prefix of  $i$   
**and**  $e1 \in set\ pre$   
**shows**  $e1 \sqsubset^i e2$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

## 4.2 Asynchronous broadcast networks

We define a new locale *network* containing three axioms that define how broadcast and deliver events may interact, with these axioms defining the properties of our network model.

**datatype** *'msg event*  
 $= Broadcast\ 'msg$   
 $| Deliver\ 'msg$

**locale** *network* = *node-histories history* **for** *history* :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  '*msg event list* +  
**fixes** *msg-id* :: '*msg*  $\Rightarrow$  '*msgid*

**assumes** *delivery-has-a-cause*:  $\llbracket \text{Deliver } m \in \text{set } (\text{history } i) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$   
 $\exists j. \text{Broadcast } m \in \text{set } (\text{history } j)$   
**and** *deliver-locally*:  $\llbracket \text{Broadcast } m \in \text{set } (\text{history } i) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$   
 $\text{Broadcast } m \sqsubset^i \text{Deliver } m$   
**and** *msg-id-unique*:  $\llbracket \text{Broadcast } m1 \in \text{set } (\text{history } i);$   
 $\text{Broadcast } m2 \in \text{set } (\text{history } j);$   
 $\text{msg-id } m1 = \text{msg-id } m2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i = j \wedge m1 = m2$

The axioms can be understood as follows:

**delivery-has-a-cause:** If some message  $m$  was delivered at some node, then there exists some node on which  $m$  was broadcast. With this axiom, we assert that messages are not created “out of thin air” by the network itself, and that the only source of messages are the nodes.

**deliver-locally:** If a node broadcasts some message  $m$ , then the same node must subsequently also deliver  $m$  to itself. Since  $m$  does not actually travel over the network, this local delivery is always possible, even if the network is interrupted. Local delivery may seem redundant, since the effect of the delivery could also be implemented by the broadcast event itself; however, it is standard practice in the description of broadcast protocols that the sender of a message also sends it to itself, since this property simplifies the definition of algorithms built on top of the broadcast abstraction [4].

**msg-id-unique:** We do not assume that the message type '*msg* has any particular structure; we only assume the existence of a function *msg-id*:: '*msg* $\Rightarrow$ '*msgid* that maps every message to some globally unique identifier of type '*msgid*. We assert this uniqueness by stating that if  $m1$  and  $m2$  are any two messages broadcast by any two nodes, and their *msg-ids* are the same, then they were in fact broadcast by the same node and the two messages are identical. In practice, these globally unique IDs can be implemented using unique node identifiers, sequence numbers or timestamps.

**lemma** (**in** *network*) *broadcast-before-delivery*:

**assumes**  $\text{Deliver } m \in \text{set } (\text{history } i)$   
**shows**  $\exists j. \text{Broadcast } m \sqsubset^j \text{Deliver } m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *network*) *broadcasts-unique*:

**assumes**  $i \neq j$   
**and**  $\text{Broadcast } m \in \text{set } (\text{history } i)$   
**shows**  $\text{Broadcast } m \notin \text{set } (\text{history } j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Based on the well-known definition by [8], we say that  $m1 \prec m2$  if any of the following is true:

1.  $m1$  and  $m2$  were broadcast by the same node, and  $m1$  was broadcast before  $m2$ .
2. The node that broadcast  $m2$  had delivered  $m1$  before it broadcast  $m2$ .
3. There exists some operation  $m3$  such that  $m1 \prec m3$  and  $m3 \prec m2$ .

**inductive** (**in** *network*) *hb* :: '*msg*  $\Rightarrow$  '*msg*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**

*hb-broadcast*:  $\llbracket \text{Broadcast } m1 \sqsubset^i \text{Broadcast } m2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{hb } m1 \ m2 \mid$   
*hb-deliver*:  $\llbracket \text{Deliver } m1 \sqsubset^i \text{Broadcast } m2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{hb } m1 \ m2 \mid$   
*hb-trans*:  $\llbracket \text{hb } m1 \ m2; \text{hb } m2 \ m3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{hb } m1 \ m3$

**inductive-cases** (in *network*) *hb-elim*:  $hb\ x\ y$

**definition** (in *network*) *weak-hb* :: 'msg  $\Rightarrow$  'msg  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*weak-hb*  $m1\ m2 \equiv hb\ m1\ m2 \vee m1 = m2$

**locale** *causal-network* = *network* +

**assumes** *causal-delivery*:  $Deliver\ m2 \in set\ (history\ j) \implies hb\ m1\ m2 \implies Deliver\ m1 \sqsubset^j\ Deliver\ m2$

**lemma** (in *causal-network*) *causal-broadcast*:

**assumes**  $Deliver\ m2 \in set\ (history\ j)$

**and**  $Deliver\ m1 \sqsubset^i\ Broadcast\ m2$

**shows**  $Deliver\ m1 \sqsubset^j\ Deliver\ m2$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *network*) *hb-broadcast-exists1*:

**assumes**  $hb\ m1\ m2$

**shows**  $\exists i. Broadcast\ m1 \in set\ (history\ i)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *network*) *hb-broadcast-exists2*:

**assumes**  $hb\ m1\ m2$

**shows**  $\exists i. Broadcast\ m2 \in set\ (history\ i)$

*<proof>*

### 4.3 Causal networks

**lemma** (in *causal-network*) *hb-has-a-reason*:

**assumes**  $hb\ m1\ m2$

**and**  $Broadcast\ m2 \in set\ (history\ i)$

**shows**  $Deliver\ m1 \in set\ (history\ i) \vee Broadcast\ m1 \in set\ (history\ i)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *causal-network*) *hb-cross-node-delivery*:

**assumes**  $hb\ m1\ m2$

**and**  $Broadcast\ m1 \in set\ (history\ i)$

**and**  $Broadcast\ m2 \in set\ (history\ j)$

**and**  $i \neq j$

**shows**  $Deliver\ m1 \in set\ (history\ j)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *causal-network*) *hb-irrefl*:

**assumes**  $hb\ m1\ m2$

**shows**  $m1 \neq m2$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *causal-network*) *hb-broadcast-broadcast-order*:

**assumes**  $hb\ m1\ m2$

**and**  $Broadcast\ m1 \in set\ (history\ i)$

**and**  $Broadcast\ m2 \in set\ (history\ i)$

**shows**  $Broadcast\ m1 \sqsubset^i\ Broadcast\ m2$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *causal-network*) *hb-antisym*:

**assumes**  $hb\ x\ y$

**and**  $hb\ y\ x$

**shows** *False*

*<proof>*

**definition** (in *network*) *node-deliver-messages* :: 'msg event list  $\Rightarrow$  'msg list **where**  
*node-deliver-messages cs*  $\equiv$  List.map-filter ( $\lambda e$ . case e of Deliver m  $\Rightarrow$  Some m | -  $\Rightarrow$  None) cs

**lemma** (in *network*) *node-deliver-messages-empty* [simp]:  
**shows** *node-deliver-messages* [] = []  
 <proof>

**lemma** (in *network*) *node-deliver-messages-Cons*:  
**shows** *node-deliver-messages* (x#xs) = (*node-deliver-messages* [x])@(node-deliver-messages xs)  
 <proof>

**lemma** (in *network*) *node-deliver-messages-append*:  
**shows** *node-deliver-messages* (xs@ys) = (*node-deliver-messages* xs)@(node-deliver-messages ys)  
 <proof>

**lemma** (in *network*) *node-deliver-messages-Broadcast* [simp]:  
**shows** *node-deliver-messages* [Broadcast m] = []  
 <proof>

**lemma** (in *network*) *node-deliver-messages-Deliver* [simp]:  
**shows** *node-deliver-messages* [Deliver m] = [m]  
 <proof>

**lemma** (in *network*) *prefix-msg-in-history*:  
**assumes** es prefix of i  
**and** m  $\in$  set (node-deliver-messages es)  
**shows** Deliver m  $\in$  set (history i)  
 <proof>

**lemma** (in *network*) *prefix-contains-msg*:  
**assumes** es prefix of i  
**and** m  $\in$  set (node-deliver-messages es)  
**shows** Deliver m  $\in$  set es  
 <proof>

**lemma** (in *network*) *node-deliver-messages-distinct*:  
**assumes** xs prefix of i  
**shows** distinct (node-deliver-messages xs)  
 <proof>

**lemma** (in *network*) *drop-last-message*:  
**assumes** evts prefix of i  
**and** node-deliver-messages evts = msgs @ [last-msg]  
**shows**  $\exists$  pre. pre prefix of i  $\wedge$  node-deliver-messages pre = msgs  
 <proof>

**locale** *network-with-ops* = causal-network history fst  
**for** history :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('msgid  $\times$  'op) event list +  
**fixes** interp :: 'op  $\Rightarrow$  'state  $\rightarrow$  'state  
**and** initial-state :: 'state

**context** *network-with-ops* **begin**

**definition** *interp-msg* :: 'msgid  $\times$  'op  $\Rightarrow$  'state  $\rightarrow$  'state **where**  
*interp-msg msg state*  $\equiv$  interp (snd msg) state

**sublocale** hb: happens-before weak-hb hb *interp-msg*  
 <proof>

end

**definition** (in *network-with-ops*) *apply-operations* :: ('msgid × 'op) event list → 'state **where**  
*apply-operations es* ≡ hb.apply-operations (node-deliver-messages es) initial-state

**definition** (in *network-with-ops*) *node-deliver-ops* :: ('msgid × 'op) event list ⇒ 'op list **where**  
*node-deliver-ops cs* ≡ map snd (node-deliver-messages cs)

**lemma** (in *network-with-ops*) *apply-operations-empty* [simp]:  
**shows** *apply-operations []* = Some initial-state  
<proof>

**lemma** (in *network-with-ops*) *apply-operations-Broadcast* [simp]:  
**shows** *apply-operations (xs @ [Broadcast m])* = *apply-operations xs*  
<proof>

**lemma** (in *network-with-ops*) *apply-operations-Deliver* [simp]:  
**shows** *apply-operations (xs @ [Deliver m])* = (*apply-operations xs* ≫≫ *interp-msg m*)  
<proof>

**lemma** (in *network-with-ops*) *hb-consistent-technical*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge m n. m < \text{length } cs \implies n < m \implies cs ! n \sqsubset^i cs ! m$   
**shows** hb.hb-consistent (node-deliver-messages cs)  
<proof>

**corollary** (in *network-with-ops*)  
**shows** hb.hb-consistent (node-deliver-messages (history i))  
<proof>

**lemma** (in *network-with-ops*) *hb-consistent-prefix*:  
**assumes** *xs* prefix of *i*  
**shows** hb.hb-consistent (node-deliver-messages *xs*)  
<proof>

**locale** *network-with-constrained-ops* = *network-with-ops* +  
**fixes** *valid-msg* :: 'c ⇒ ('a × 'b) ⇒ bool  
**assumes** *broadcast-only-valid-msgs*: *pre* @ [Broadcast *m*] prefix of *i* ⇒  
∃ state. *apply-operations pre* = Some state ∧ *valid-msg state m*

**lemma** (in *network-with-constrained-ops*) *broadcast-is-valid*:  
**assumes** Broadcast *m* ∈ set (history i)  
**shows** ∃ state. *valid-msg state m*  
<proof>

**lemma** (in *network-with-constrained-ops*) *deliver-is-valid*:  
**assumes** Deliver *m* ∈ set (history i)  
**shows** ∃ *j pre state. pre* @ [Broadcast *m*] prefix of *j* ∧ *apply-operations pre* = Some state ∧ *valid-msg state m*  
<proof>

**lemma** (in *network-with-constrained-ops*) *deliver-in-prefix-is-valid*:  
**assumes** *xs* prefix of *i*  
**and** Deliver *m* ∈ set *xs*  
**shows** ∃ state. *valid-msg state m*  
<proof>

## 4.4 Dummy network models

**interpretation** *trivial-node-histories: node-histories*  $\lambda m. []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *trivial-network: network*  $\lambda m. [] id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *trivial-causal-network: causal-network*  $\lambda m. [] id$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *trivial-network-with-ops: network-with-ops*  $\lambda m. [] (\lambda x y. Some\ y)\ 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *trivial-network-with-constrained-ops: network-with-constrained-ops*  $\lambda m. [] (\lambda x y. Some\ y)\ 0\ \lambda x\ y. True$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 5 Replicated Growable Array

The RGA, introduced by [10], is a replicated ordered list (sequence) datatype that supports *insert* and *delete* operations.

**theory**

*Ordered-List*

**imports**

*Util*

**begin**

**type-synonym**  $('id, 'v)\ elt = 'id \times 'v \times bool$

### 5.1 Insert and delete operations

Insertion operations place the new element *after* an existing list element with a given ID, or at the head of the list if no ID is given. Deletion operations refer to the ID of the list element that is to be deleted. However, it is not safe for a deletion operation to completely remove a list element, because then a concurrent insertion after the deleted element would not be able to locate the insertion position. Instead, the list retains so-called *tombstones*: a deletion operation merely sets a flag on a list element to mark it as deleted, but the element actually remains in the list. A separate garbage collection process can be used to eventually purge tombstones [10], but we do not consider tombstone removal here.

**hide-const** *insert*

**fun** *insert-body* ::  $('id::\{linorder\}, 'v)\ elt\ list \Rightarrow ('id, 'v)\ elt \Rightarrow ('id, 'v)\ elt\ list$  **where**

*insert-body* []  $e = [e]$  |

*insert-body*  $(x\#\!xs)\ e =$

$(if\ fst\ x < fst\ e\ then$

$e\#\!x\#\!xs$

$else\ x\#\!insert-body\ xs\ e)$

**fun** *insert* ::  $('id::\{linorder\}, 'v)\ elt\ list \Rightarrow ('id, 'v)\ elt \Rightarrow 'id\ option \Rightarrow ('id, 'v)\ elt\ list\ option$  **where**

*insert*  $xs\ e\ None = Some\ (insert-body\ xs\ e)$  |

*insert* []  $e\ (Some\ i) = None$  |

*insert*  $(x\#\!xs)\ e\ (Some\ i) =$

$(if\ fst\ x = i\ then$



$Some (x\#insert\text{-}body\ xs\ e)$   
else  
 $insert\ xs\ e\ (Some\ i) \gg (\lambda t. Some\ (x\#t))$

**fun**  $delete :: ('id::\{linorder\}, 'v)\ elt\ list \Rightarrow 'id \Rightarrow ('id, 'v)\ elt\ list\ option$  **where**  
 $delete\ []\ i = None$  |  
 $delete\ ((i', v, flag)\#xs)\ i =$   
 $(if\ i' = i\ then$   
 $\quad Some\ ((i', v, True)\#xs)$   
else  
 $\quad delete\ xs\ i \gg (\lambda t. Some\ ((i',v,flag)\#t))$

## 5.2 Well-definedness of insert and delete

**lemma**  $insert\text{-}no\text{-}failure$ :

**assumes**  $i = None \vee (\exists i'. i = Some\ i' \wedge i' \in fst\ 'set\ xs)$

**shows**  $\exists xs'. insert\ xs\ e\ i = Some\ xs'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $insert\text{-}None\text{-}index\text{-}neq\text{-}None$   $[dest]$ :

**assumes**  $insert\ xs\ e\ i = None$

**shows**  $i \neq None$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $insert\text{-}Some\text{-}None\text{-}index\text{-}not\text{-}in$   $[dest]$ :

**assumes**  $insert\ xs\ e\ (Some\ i) = None$

**shows**  $i \notin fst\ 'set\ xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $index\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}insert\text{-}Some\text{-}None$   $[simp]$ :

**assumes**  $i \notin fst\ 'set\ xs$

**shows**  $insert\ xs\ e\ (Some\ i) = None$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $delete\text{-}no\text{-}failure$ :

**assumes**  $i \in fst\ 'set\ xs$

**shows**  $\exists xs'. delete\ xs\ i = Some\ xs'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $delete\text{-}None\text{-}index\text{-}not\text{-}in$   $[dest]$ :

**assumes**  $delete\ xs\ i = None$

**shows**  $i \notin fst\ 'set\ xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $index\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}delete\text{-}None$   $[simp]$ :

**assumes**  $i \notin fst\ 'set\ xs$

**shows**  $delete\ xs\ i = None$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.3 Preservation of element indices

**lemma**  $insert\text{-}body\text{-}preserve\text{-}indices$   $[simp]$ :

**shows**  $fst\ 'set\ (insert\text{-}body\ xs\ e) = fst\ 'set\ xs \cup \{fst\ e\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $insert\text{-}preserve\text{-}indices$ :

**assumes**  $\exists ys. insert\ xs\ e\ i = Some\ ys$

**shows**  $fst\ 'set\ (the\ (insert\ xs\ e\ i)) = fst\ 'set\ xs \cup \{fst\ e\}$

*<proof>*

**corollary** *insert-preserve-indices'*:

**assumes**  $insert\ xs\ e\ i = Some\ ys$

**shows**  $fst\ 'set\ (the\ (insert\ xs\ e\ i)) = fst\ 'set\ xs\ \cup\ \{fst\ e\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *delete-preserve-indices*:

**assumes**  $delete\ xs\ i = Some\ ys$

**shows**  $fst\ 'set\ xs = fst\ 'set\ ys$

*<proof>*

## 5.4 Commutativity of concurrent operations

**lemma** *insert-body-commutes*:

**assumes**  $fst\ e1 \neq fst\ e2$

**shows**  $insert\ body\ (insert\ body\ xs\ e1)\ e2 = insert\ body\ (insert\ body\ xs\ e2)\ e1$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *insert-insert-body*:

**assumes**  $fst\ e1 \neq fst\ e2$

**and**  $i2 \neq Some\ (fst\ e1)$

**shows**  $insert\ (insert\ body\ xs\ e1)\ e2\ i2 = insert\ xs\ e2\ i2 \ggg (\lambda ys. Some\ (insert\ body\ ys\ e1))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *insert-Nil-None*:

**assumes**  $fst\ e1 \neq fst\ e2$

**and**  $i \neq fst\ e2$

**and**  $i2 \neq Some\ (fst\ e1)$

**shows**  $insert\ []\ e2\ i2 \ggg (\lambda ys. insert\ ys\ e1\ (Some\ i)) = None$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *insert-insert-body-commute*:

**assumes**  $i \neq fst\ e1$

**and**  $fst\ e1 \neq fst\ e2$

**shows**  $insert\ (insert\ body\ xs\ e1)\ e2\ (Some\ i) =$

$insert\ xs\ e2\ (Some\ i) \ggg (\lambda y. Some\ (insert\ body\ y\ e1))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *insert-commutes*:

**assumes**  $fst\ e1 \neq fst\ e2$

$i1 = None \vee i1 \neq Some\ (fst\ e2)$

$i2 = None \vee i2 \neq Some\ (fst\ e1)$

**shows**  $insert\ xs\ e1\ i1 \ggg (\lambda ys. insert\ ys\ e2\ i2) =$

$insert\ xs\ e2\ i2 \ggg (\lambda ys. insert\ ys\ e1\ i1)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *delete-commutes*:

**shows**  $delete\ xs\ i1 \ggg (\lambda ys. delete\ ys\ i2) = delete\ xs\ i2 \ggg (\lambda ys. delete\ ys\ i1)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *insert-body-delete-commute*:

**assumes**  $i2 \neq fst\ e$

**shows**  $delete\ (insert\ body\ xs\ e)\ i2 \ggg (\lambda t. Some\ (x\#t)) =$

$delete\ xs\ i2 \ggg (\lambda y. Some\ (x\#\ insert\ body\ y\ e))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *insert-delete-commute*:

**assumes**  $i2 \neq \text{fst } e$   
**shows**  $\text{insert } xs \ e \ i1 \gg= (\lambda ys. \text{delete } ys \ i2) = \text{delete } xs \ i2 \gg= (\lambda ys. \text{insert } ys \ e \ i1)$   
 <proof>

## 5.5 Alternative definition of insert

**fun**  $\text{insert}' :: ('id::\{\text{linorder}\}, 'v) \text{elt list} \Rightarrow ('id, 'v) \text{elt} \Rightarrow 'id \text{ option} \rightarrow ('id::\{\text{linorder}\}, 'v) \text{elt list}$   
**where**

```

insert' [] e None = Some [e] |
insert' [] e (Some i) = None |
insert' (x#xs) e None =
  (if fst x < fst e then
    Some (e#x#xs)
  else
    case insert' xs e None of
      None => None
    | Some t => Some (x#t)) |
insert' (x#xs) e (Some i) =
  (if fst x = i then
    case insert' xs e None of
      None => None
    | Some t => Some (x#t)
  else
    case insert' xs e (Some i) of
      None => None
    | Some t => Some (x#t))

```

**lemma**  $[\text{elim!}, \text{dest}]$ :

**assumes**  $\text{insert}' \ xs \ e \ \text{None} = \text{None}$   
**shows**  $\text{False}$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $\text{insert-body-insert}'$ :

**shows**  $\text{insert}' \ xs \ e \ \text{None} = \text{Some} (\text{insert-body } xs \ e)$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $\text{insert-insert}'$ :

**shows**  $\text{insert } xs \ e \ i = \text{insert}' \ xs \ e \ i$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $\text{insert-body-stop-iteration}$ :

**assumes**  $\text{fst } e > \text{fst } x$   
**shows**  $\text{insert-body } (x\#xs) \ e = e\#x\#xs$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $\text{insert-body-contains-new-elem}$ :

**shows**  $\exists p \ s. \ xs = p \ @ \ s \wedge \text{insert-body } xs \ e = p \ @ \ e \ # \ s$   
 <proof>

**lemma**  $\text{insert-between-elements}$ :

```

assumes  $xs = \text{pre} \ @ \ \text{ref} \ # \ \text{suf}$ 
and  $\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } xs)$ 
and  $\bigwedge i'. i' \in \text{fst } ' \text{set } xs \implies i' < \text{fst } e$ 
shows  $\text{insert } xs \ e \ (\text{Some } (\text{fst } \text{ref})) = \text{Some } (\text{pre} \ @ \ \text{ref} \ # \ e \ # \ \text{suf})$ 
<proof>

```

**lemma**  $\text{insert-position-element-technical}$ :

**assumes**  $\forall x \in \text{set } as. a \neq \text{fst } x$

**and** *insert-body* ( $cs @ ds$ )  $e = cs @ e \# ds$   
**shows** *insert* ( $as @ (a, aa, b) \# cs @ ds$ )  $e$  (*Some*  $a$ ) = *Some* ( $as @ (a, aa, b) \# cs @ e \# ds$ )  
 <proof>

**lemma** *split-tuple-list-by-id*:

**assumes**  $(a,b,c) \in \text{set } xs$

**and** *distinct* ( $\text{map } \text{fst } xs$ )

**shows**  $\exists \text{pre suf. } xs = \text{pre} @ (a,b,c) \# \text{suf} \wedge (\forall y \in \text{set } \text{pre. } \text{fst } y \neq a)$

<proof>

**lemma** *insert-preserves-order*:

**assumes**  $i = \text{None} \vee (\exists i'. i = \text{Some } i' \wedge i' \in \text{fst } \text{'set } xs)$

**and** *distinct* ( $\text{map } \text{fst } xs$ )

**shows**  $\exists \text{pre suf. } xs = \text{pre} @ \text{suf} \wedge \text{insert } xs \ e \ i = \text{Some } (\text{pre} @ e \# \text{suf})$

<proof>

**end**

## 5.6 Network

**theory**

*RGA*

**imports**

*Network*

*Ordered-List*

**begin**

**datatype** (*'id, 'v*) *operation* =

*Insert* (*'id, 'v*) *elt 'id option* |

*Delete 'id*

**fun** *interpret-ops* :: (*'id::linorder, 'v*) *operation*  $\Rightarrow$  (*'id, 'v*) *elt list*  $\rightarrow$  (*'id, 'v*) *elt list* ( $\langle \_ \rangle$  [0] 1000)

**where**

*interpret-ops* (*Insert*  $e \ n$ )  $xs = \text{insert } xs \ e \ n$  |

*interpret-ops* (*Delete*  $n$ )  $xs = \text{delete } xs \ n$

**definition** *element-ids* :: (*'id, 'v*) *elt list*  $\Rightarrow$  *'id set* **where**

*element-ids list*  $\equiv \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } \text{list})$

**definition** *valid-rga-msg* :: (*'id, 'v*) *elt list*  $\Rightarrow$  *'id*  $\times$  (*'id::linorder, 'v*) *operation*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**

*valid-rga-msg list msg*  $\equiv \text{case } \text{msg}$  of

$(i, \text{Insert } e \ \text{None}) \Rightarrow \text{fst } e = i$  |

$(i, \text{Insert } e \ (\text{Some } \text{pos})) \Rightarrow \text{fst } e = i \wedge \text{pos} \in \text{element-ids } \text{list}$  |

$(i, \text{Delete } \text{pos}) \Rightarrow \text{pos} \in \text{element-ids } \text{list}$

**locale** *rga* = *network-with-constrained-ops* - *interpret-ops* [] *valid-rga-msg*

**definition** *indices* :: (*'id*  $\times$  (*'id, 'v*) *operation*) *event list*  $\Rightarrow$  *'id list* **where**

*indices xs*  $\equiv$

*List.map-filter* ( $\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } \text{Deliver } (i, \text{Insert } e \ n) \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{fst } e) \mid - \Rightarrow \text{None}$ )  $xs$

**lemma** *indices-Nil* [*simp*]:

**shows** *indices* [] = []

<proof>

**lemma** *indices-append* [*simp*]:

**shows** *indices* ( $xs @ ys$ ) = *indices xs @ indices ys*

<proof>

**lemma** *indices-Broadcast-singleton* [*simp*]:

**shows** *indices* [*Broadcast b*] = []

*<proof>*

**lemma** *indices-Deliver-Insert* [*simp*]:

**shows** *indices* [*Deliver (i, Insert e n)*] = [*fst e*]

*<proof>*

**lemma** *indices-Deliver-Delete* [*simp*]:

**shows** *indices* [*Deliver (i, Delete n)*] = []

*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in rga*) *idx-in-elem-inserted* [*intro*]:

**assumes** *Deliver (i, Insert e n) ∈ set xs*

**shows** *fst e ∈ set (indices xs)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in rga*) *apply-opers-idx-elems*:

**assumes** *es prefix of i*

**and** *apply-operations es = Some xs*

**shows** *element-ids xs = set (indices es)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in rga*) *delete-does-not-change-element-ids*:

**assumes** *es @ [Deliver (i, Delete n)] prefix of j*

**and** *apply-operations es = Some xs1*

**and** *apply-operations (es @ [Deliver (i, Delete n)]) = Some xs2*

**shows** *element-ids xs1 = element-ids xs2*

*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in rga*) *someone-inserted-id*:

**assumes** *es @ [Deliver (i, Insert (k, v, f) n)] prefix of j*

**and** *apply-operations es = Some xs1*

**and** *apply-operations (es @ [Deliver (i, Insert (k, v, f) n)]) = Some xs2*

**and** *a ∈ element-ids xs2*

**and** *a ≠ k*

**shows** *a ∈ element-ids xs1*

*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in rga*) *deliver-insert-exists*:

**assumes** *es prefix of j*

**and** *apply-operations es = Some xs*

**and** *a ∈ element-ids xs*

**shows**  $\exists i v f n. \text{Deliver } (i, \text{Insert } (a, v, f) n) \in \text{set } es$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in rga*) *insert-in-apply-set*:

**assumes** *es @ [Deliver (i, Insert e (Some a))] prefix of j*

**and** *Deliver (i', Insert e' n) ∈ set es*

**and** *apply-operations es = Some s*

**shows** *fst e' ∈ element-ids s*

*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in rga*) *insert-msg-id*:

**assumes** *Broadcast (i, Insert e n) ∈ set (history j)*

**shows** *fst e = i*

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *allowed-insert*:

**assumes**  $Broadcast (i, Insert e n) \in set (history j)$

**shows**  $n = None \vee (\exists i' e' n'. n = Some (fst e') \wedge Deliver (i', Insert e' n') \sqsubset^j Broadcast (i, Insert e n))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *allowed-delete*:

**assumes**  $Broadcast (i, Delete x) \in set (history j)$

**shows**  $\exists i' n' v b. Deliver (i', Insert (x, v, b) n') \sqsubset^j Broadcast (i, Delete x)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *insert-id-unique*:

**assumes**  $fst e1 = fst e2$

**and**  $Broadcast (i1, Insert e1 n1) \in set (history i)$

**and**  $Broadcast (i2, Insert e2 n2) \in set (history j)$

**shows**  $Insert e1 n1 = Insert e2 n2$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *allowed-delete-deliver*:

**assumes**  $Deliver (i, Delete x) \in set (history j)$

**shows**  $\exists i' n' v b. Deliver (i', Insert (x, v, b) n') \sqsubset^j Deliver (i, Delete x)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *allowed-delete-deliver-in-set*:

**assumes**  $(es@[Deliver (i, Delete m)])$  prefix of  $j$

**shows**  $\exists i' n v b. Deliver (i', Insert (m, v, b) n) \in set es$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *allowed-insert-deliver*:

**assumes**  $Deliver (i, Insert e n) \in set (history j)$

**shows**  $n = None \vee (\exists i' n' n'' v b. n = Some n' \wedge Deliver (i', Insert (n', v, b) n'') \sqsubset^j Deliver (i, Insert e n))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *allowed-insert-deliver-in-set*:

**assumes**  $(es@[Deliver (i, Insert e m)])$  prefix of  $j$

**shows**  $m = None \vee (\exists i' m' n v b. m = Some m' \wedge Deliver (i', Insert (m', v, b) n) \in set es)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *Insert-no-failure*:

**assumes**  $es @ [Deliver (i, Insert e n)]$  prefix of  $j$

**and** *apply-operations*  $es = Some s$

**shows**  $\exists ys. insert s e n = Some ys$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *delete-no-failure*:

**assumes**  $es @ [Deliver (i, Delete n)]$  prefix of  $j$

**and** *apply-operations*  $es = Some s$

**shows**  $\exists ys. delete s n = Some ys$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *Insert-equal*:

**assumes**  $fst e1 = fst e2$

**and**  $Broadcast (i1, Insert e1 n1) \in set (history i)$

**and**  $Broadcast (i2, Insert e2 n2) \in set (history j)$

**shows**  $Insert e1 n1 = Insert e2 n2$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *same-insert*:

**assumes**  $\text{fst } e1 = \text{fst } e2$   
**and**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$   
**and**  $(i1, \text{Insert } e1 \ n1) \in \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$   
**and**  $(i2, \text{Insert } e2 \ n2) \in \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$   
**shows**  $\text{Insert } e1 \ n1 = \text{Insert } e2 \ n2$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *insert-commute-assms*:

**assumes**  $\{\text{Deliver } (i, \text{Insert } e \ n), \text{Deliver } (i', \text{Insert } e' \ n')\} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{history } j)$   
**and**  $\text{hb.concurrent } (i, \text{Insert } e \ n) (i', \text{Insert } e' \ n')$   
**shows**  $n = \text{None} \vee n \neq \text{Some } (\text{fst } e')$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subset-reorder*:

**assumes**  $\{a, b\} \subseteq c$   
**shows**  $\{b, a\} \subseteq c$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *Insert-Insert-concurrent*:

**assumes**  $\{\text{Deliver } (i, \text{Insert } e \ k), \text{Deliver } (i', \text{Insert } e' \ (\text{Some } m))\} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{history } j)$   
**and**  $\text{hb.concurrent } (i, \text{Insert } e \ k) (i', \text{Insert } e' \ (\text{Some } m))$   
**shows**  $\text{fst } e \neq m$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *insert-valid-assms*:

**assumes**  $\text{Deliver } (i, \text{Insert } e \ n) \in \text{set } (\text{history } j)$   
**shows**  $n = \text{None} \vee n \neq \text{Some } (\text{fst } e)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *Insert-Delete-concurrent*:

**assumes**  $\{\text{Deliver } (i, \text{Insert } e \ n), \text{Deliver } (i', \text{Delete } n')\} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{history } j)$   
**and**  $\text{hb.concurrent } (i, \text{Insert } e \ n) (i', \text{Delete } n')$   
**shows**  $n' \neq \text{fst } e$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *concurrent-operations-commute*:

**assumes**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$   
**shows**  $\text{hb.concurrent-ops-commute } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$

*<proof>*

**corollary** (in *rga*) *concurrent-operations-commute'*:

**shows**  $\text{hb.concurrent-ops-commute } (\text{node-deliver-messages } (\text{history } i))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *apply-operations-never-fails*:

**assumes**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$   
**shows**  $\text{apply-operations } xs \neq \text{None}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *rga*) *apply-operations-never-fails'*:

**shows**  $\text{apply-operations } (\text{history } i) \neq \text{None}$

*<proof>*

**corollary** (in *rga*) *rga-convergence*:

**assumes**  $\text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs) = \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } ys)$   
**and**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$

**and**  $ys$  prefix of  $j$   
**shows**  $apply\text{-}operations\ xs = apply\text{-}operations\ ys$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 5.7 Strong eventual consistency

**context**  $rga$  **begin**

**sublocale**  $sec$ : *strong-eventual-consistency weak-hb hb interp-msg*  
 $\lambda ops. \exists xs\ i. xs\ \text{prefix of } i \wedge \text{node-deliver-messages } xs = ops$  []  
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

**interpretation** *trivial-rga-implementation*:  $rga\ \lambda x. []$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

## 6 Increment-Decrement Counter

The Increment-Decrement Counter is perhaps the simplest CRDT, and a paradigmatic example of a replicated data structure with commutative operations.

**theory**

*Counter*

**imports**

*Network*

**begin**

**datatype**  $operation = Increment \mid Decrement$

**fun**  $counter\text{-}op :: operation \Rightarrow int \rightarrow int$  **where**  
 $counter\text{-}op\ Increment\ x = Some\ (x + 1) \mid$   
 $counter\text{-}op\ Decrement\ x = Some\ (x - 1)$

**locale**  $counter = network\text{-}with\text{-}ops - counter\text{-}op\ 0$

**lemma** (**in**  $counter$ )  $counter\text{-}op\ x \triangleright counter\text{-}op\ y = counter\text{-}op\ y \triangleright counter\text{-}op\ x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (**in**  $counter$ ) *concurrent-operations-commute*:

**assumes**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$

**shows**  $hb.concurrent\text{-}ops\text{-}commute\ (node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs)$

⟨proof⟩

**corollary** (**in**  $counter$ ) *counter-convergence*:

**assumes**  $set\ (node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs) = set\ (node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ ys)$

**and**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$

**and**  $ys$  prefix of  $j$

**shows**  $apply\text{-}operations\ xs = apply\text{-}operations\ ys$

⟨proof⟩

**context**  $counter$  **begin**

**sublocale**  $sec$ : *strong-eventual-consistency weak-hb hb interp-msg*  
 $\lambda ops. \exists xs\ i. xs\ \text{prefix of } i \wedge \text{node-deliver-messages } xs = ops\ 0$   
 ⟨proof⟩



end  
end

## 7 Observed-Remove Set

The ORSet is a well-known CRDT for implementing replicated sets, supporting two operations: the *insertion* and *deletion* of an arbitrary element in the shared set.

**theory**

*ORSet*

**imports**

*Network*

**begin**

**datatype** ('id, 'a) operation = Add 'id 'a | Rem 'id set 'a

**type-synonym** ('id, 'a) state = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'id set

**definition** op-elem :: ('id, 'a) operation  $\Rightarrow$  'a **where**

op-elem oper  $\equiv$  case oper of Add i e  $\Rightarrow$  e | Rem is e  $\Rightarrow$  e

**definition** interpret-op :: ('id, 'a) operation  $\Rightarrow$  ('id, 'a) state  $\rightarrow$  ('id, 'a) state ( $\langle - \rangle$  [0] 1000) **where**

interpret-op oper state  $\equiv$

let before = state (op-elem oper);

after = case oper of Add i e  $\Rightarrow$  before  $\cup$  {i} | Rem is e  $\Rightarrow$  before - is

in Some (state ((op-elem oper) := after))

**definition** valid-behaviours :: ('id, 'a) state  $\Rightarrow$  'id  $\times$  ('id, 'a) operation  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

valid-behaviours state msg  $\equiv$

case msg of

(i, Add j e)  $\Rightarrow$  i = j |

(i, Rem is e)  $\Rightarrow$  is = state e

**locale** orset = network-with-constrained-ops - interpret-op  $\lambda x$ . {} valid-behaviours

**lemma** (in orset) add-add-commute:

**shows**  $\langle$  Add i1 e1  $\rangle \triangleright \langle$  Add i2 e2  $\rangle = \langle$  Add i2 e2  $\rangle \triangleright \langle$  Add i1 e1  $\rangle$

$\langle$  proof  $\rangle$

**lemma** (in orset) add-rem-commute:

**assumes**  $i \notin is$

**shows**  $\langle$  Add i e1  $\rangle \triangleright \langle$  Rem is e2  $\rangle = \langle$  Rem is e2  $\rangle \triangleright \langle$  Add i e1  $\rangle$

$\langle$  proof  $\rangle$

**lemma** (in orset) apply-operations-never-fails:

**assumes** xs prefix of i

**shows** apply-operations xs  $\neq$  None

$\langle$  proof  $\rangle$

**lemma** (in orset) add-id-valid:

**assumes** xs prefix of j

**and** Deliver (i1, Add i2 e)  $\in$  set xs

**shows** i1 = i2

$\langle$  proof  $\rangle$

**definition** (in orset) added-ids :: ('id  $\times$  ('id, 'b) operation) event list  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'id list **where**

added-ids es p  $\equiv$  List.map-filter ( $\lambda x$ . case x of Deliver (i, Add j e)  $\Rightarrow$  if e = p then Some j else None

| -  $\Rightarrow$  None) es

**lemma** (in orset) [simp]:  
shows added-ids [] e = []  
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) [simp]:  
shows added-ids (xs @ ys) e = added-ids xs e @ added-ids ys e  
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) added-ids-Broadcast-collapse [simp]:  
shows added-ids ([Broadcast e]) e' = []  
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) added-ids-Deliver-Rem-collapse [simp]:  
shows added-ids ([Deliver (i, Rem is e)]) e' = []  
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) added-ids-Deliver-Add-diff-collapse [simp]:  
shows  $e \neq e' \implies$  added-ids ([Deliver (i, Add j e)]) e' = []  
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) added-ids-Deliver-Add-same-collapse [simp]:  
shows added-ids ([Deliver (i, Add j e)]) e = [j]  
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) added-id-not-in-set:  
assumes  $i1 \notin \text{set (added-ids [Deliver (i, Add i2 e)] e)}$   
shows  $i1 \neq i2$   
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) apply-operations-added-ids:  
assumes es prefix of j  
and apply-operations es = Some f  
shows  $f x \subseteq \text{set (added-ids es x)}$   
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) Deliver-added-ids:  
assumes xs prefix of j  
and  $i \in \text{set (added-ids xs e)}$   
shows  $\text{Deliver (i, Add i e)} \in \text{set xs}$   
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed:  
assumes xs @ [Broadcast (r, Rem ix e)] prefix of j  
and  $i \in ix$   
shows  $\text{Deliver (i, Add i e)} \in \text{set xs}$   
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed2:  
assumes xs prefix of j  
and  $\text{Broadcast (r, Rem ix e)} \in \text{set xs}$   
and  $i \in ix$   
shows  $\text{Deliver (i, Add i e)} \in \text{set xs}$   
<proof>

**lemma** (in orset) concurrent-add-remove-independent-technical:  
assumes  $i \in is$

**and**  $xs$  prefix of  $j$   
**and**  $(i, \text{Add } i \ e) \in \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$  **and**  $(ir, \text{Rem } is \ e) \in \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$   
**shows**  $hb \ (i, \text{Add } i \ e) \ (ir, \text{Rem } is \ e)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $orset$ ) *Deliver-Add-same-id-same-message*:  
**assumes**  $\text{Deliver } (i, \text{Add } i \ e1) \in \text{set } (\text{history } j)$  **and**  $\text{Deliver } (i, \text{Add } i \ e2) \in \text{set } (\text{history } j)$   
**shows**  $e1 = e2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $orset$ ) *ids-imply-messages-same*:  
**assumes**  $i \in is$   
**and**  $xs$  prefix of  $j$   
**and**  $(i, \text{Add } i \ e1) \in \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$  **and**  $(ir, \text{Rem } is \ e2) \in \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$   
**shows**  $e1 = e2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** (**in**  $orset$ ) *concurrent-add-remove-independent*:  
**assumes**  $\neg hb \ (i, \text{Add } i \ e1) \ (ir, \text{Rem } is \ e2)$  **and**  $\neg hb \ (ir, \text{Rem } is \ e2) \ (i, \text{Add } i \ e1)$   
**and**  $xs$  prefix of  $j$   
**and**  $(i, \text{Add } i \ e1) \in \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$  **and**  $(ir, \text{Rem } is \ e2) \in \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$   
**shows**  $i \notin is$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $orset$ ) *rem-rem-commute*:  
**shows**  $\langle \text{Rem } i1 \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle \text{Rem } i2 \ e2 \rangle = \langle \text{Rem } i2 \ e2 \rangle \triangleright \langle \text{Rem } i1 \ e1 \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $orset$ ) *concurrent-operations-commute*:  
**assumes**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$   
**shows**  $hb.\text{concurrent-ops-commute } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** (**in**  $orset$ ) *convergence*:  
**assumes**  $\text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } xs) = \text{set } (\text{node-deliver-messages } ys)$   
**and**  $xs$  prefix of  $i$  **and**  $ys$  prefix of  $j$   
**shows**  $\text{apply-operations } xs = \text{apply-operations } ys$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**context**  $orset$  **begin**

**sublocale**  $sec$ : *strong-eventual-consistency weak-hb hb interp-msg*  
 $\lambda ops. \exists xs \ i. \ xs \ \text{prefix of } i \ \wedge \ \text{node-deliver-messages } xs = ops \ \lambda x. \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**  
**end**

## References

- [1] P. S. Almeida, A. Shoker, and C. Baquero. Efficient state-based CRDTs by delta-mutation. In *International Conference on Networked Systems (NETYS)*, May 2015.
- [2] C. Baquero, P. S. Almeida, and A. Shoker. Making operation-based CRDTs operation-

- based. In *14th IFIP International Conference on Distributed Applications and Interoperable Systems (DAIS)*, pages 126–140, June 2014.
- [3] R. Brown, S. Cribbs, C. Meiklejohn, and S. Elliott. Riak DT map: a composable, convergent replicated dictionary. In *1st Workshop on Principles and Practice of Eventual Consistency (PaPEC)*, Apr. 2014.
- [4] C. Cachin, R. Guerraoui, and L. Rodrigues. *Introduction to Reliable and Secure Distributed Programming*. Springer, second edition, Feb. 2011.
- [5] J. Day-Richter. What’s different about the new Google Docs: Making collaboration fast, Sept. 2010.
- [6] A. Imine, P. Molli, G. Oster, and M. Rusinowitch. Proving correctness of transformation functions in real-time groupware. In *8th European Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work (ECSCW)*, pages 277–293, Sept. 2003.
- [7] A. Imine, M. Rusinowitch, G. Oster, and P. Molli. Formal design and verification of operational transformation algorithms for copies convergence. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 351(2):167–183, Feb. 2006.
- [8] L. Lamport. Time, clocks, and the ordering of events in a distributed system. *Communications of the ACM*, 21(7):558–565, July 1978.
- [9] G. Oster, P. Urso, P. Molli, and A. Imine. Proving correctness of transformation functions in collaborative editing systems. Technical Report RR-5795, Dec. 2005.
- [10] H.-G. Roh, M. Jeon, J.-S. Kim, and J. Lee. Replicated abstract data types: Building blocks for collaborative applications. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 71(3):354–368, 2011.
- [11] M. Shapiro, N. Preguiça, C. Baquero, and M. Zawirski. A comprehensive study of convergent and commutative replicated data types. Technical Report 7506, INRIA, 2011.
- [12] M. Shapiro, N. Preguiça, C. Baquero, and M. Zawirski. Conflict-free replicated data types. In *13th International Symposium on Stabilization, Safety, and Security of Distributed Systems (SSS)*, pages 386–400, Oct. 2011.
- [13] M. Wenzel, L. C. Paulson, and T. Nipkow. The Isabelle framework. In *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics, 21st International Conference, TPHOLs 2008, Montreal, Canada, August 18-21, 2008. Proceedings*, pages 33–38, 2008.