

A Fully Verified Executable LTL Model Checker

Javier Esparza, Peter Lammich, René Neumann, Tobias Nipkow,
Alexander Schimpf, Jan-Georg Smaus

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We present an LTL model checker whose code has been completely verified using the Isabelle theorem prover. The checker consists of over 4000 lines of ML code. The code is produced using the Isabelle Refinement Framework, which allows us to split its correctness proof into (1) the proof of an abstract version of the checker, consisting of a few hundred lines of “formalized pseudocode”, and (2) a verified refinement step in which mathematical sets and other abstract structures are replaced by implementations of efficient structures like red-black trees and functional arrays. This leads to a checker that, while still slower than unverified checkers, can already be used as a trusted reference implementation against which advanced implementations can be tested.

An early version of this model checker is described elsewhere [1].

Contents

1 Nested DFS using Standard Invariants Approach	3
1.1 Tools for DFS Algorithms	3
1.1.1 Invariants	3
1.1.2 Invariant Preservation	4
1.1.3 Consequences of Postcondition	6
1.2 Abstract Algorithm	7
1.2.1 Inner (red) DFS	7
1.2.2 Outer (Blue) DFS	9
1.3 Correctness	10
1.4 Refinement	11
1.4.1 Setup for Custom Datatypes	11
1.4.2 Actual Refinement	12
2 Abstract Model-Checker	17
2.1 Specification of an LTL Model-Checker	17
2.2 Generic Implementation	19
3 Boolean Programs	21
3.1 Syntax and Semantics	21
3.2 Finiteness of reachable configurations	24

1 Nested DFS using Standard Invariants Approach

```
theory NDFS-SI
imports
  CAVA-Automata.Automata-Impl
  CAVA-Automata.Lasso
  NDFS-SI-Statistics
  CAVA-Base.CAVA-Code-Target
begin
```

Implementation of a nested DFS algorithm for accepting cycle detection using the refinement framework. The algorithm uses the improvement of Holzmann et al. [2], i.e., it reports a cycle if the inner DFS finds a path back to the stack of the outer DFS. Moreover, an early cycle detection optimization is implemented [4], i.e., the outer DFS may already report a cycle on a back-edge involving an accepting node.

The algorithm returns a witness in case that an accepting cycle is detected. The design approach to this algorithm is to first establish invariants of a generic DFS-Algorithm, which are then used to instantiate the concrete nested DFS-algorithm for Büchi emptiness check. This formalization can be seen as a predecessor of the formalization of Gabow's algorithm [3], where this technique has been further developed.

1.1 Tools for DFS Algorithms

1.1.1 Invariants

```
definition gen-dfs-pre E U S V u0 ≡
  E“U ⊆ U — Upper bound is closed under transitions
  ∧ finite U — Upper bound is finite
  ∧ V ⊆ U — Visited set below upper bound
  ∧ u0 ∈ U — Start node in upper bound
  ∧ E“(V−S) ⊆ V — Visited nodes closed under reachability, or on stack
  ∧ u0 ∉ V — Start node not yet visited
  ∧ S ⊆ V — Stack is visited
  ∧ (∀ v∈S. (v,u0) ∈ (E ∩ S × UNIV)*) — u0 reachable from stack, only over stack
```

```
definition gen-dfs-var U ≡ finite-psupset U
```

```
definition gen-dfs-fe-inv E U S V0 u0 it V brk ≡
  (¬brk → E“(V−S) ⊆ V) — Visited set closed under reachability
  ∧ E“{u0} − it ⊆ V — Successors of u0 visited
  ∧ V0 ⊆ V — Visited set increasing
  ∧ V ⊆ V0 ∪ (E − UNIV × S)* “ (E“{u0} − it − S) — All visited nodes
  reachable
```

definition *gen-dfs-post* $E\ U\ S\ V0\ u0\ V\ brk \equiv$
 $(\neg brk \rightarrow E``(V-S) \subseteq V)$ — Visited set closed under reachability
 $\wedge u0 \in V$ — $u0$ visited
 $\wedge V0 \subseteq V$ — Visited set increasing
 $\wedge V \subseteq V0 \cup (E - UNIV \times S)^* `` \{u0\}$ — All visited nodes reachable

definition *gen-dfs-outer* $E\ U\ V0\ it\ V\ brk \equiv$
 $V0 \subseteq U$ — Start nodes below upper bound
 $\wedge E``U \subseteq U$ — Upper bound is closed under transitions
 $\wedge finite\ U$ — Upper bound is finite
 $\wedge V \subseteq U$ — Visited set below upper bound
 $\wedge (\neg brk \rightarrow E``V \subseteq V)$ — Visited set closed under reachability
 $\wedge (V0 - it \subseteq V)$ — Start nodes already iterated over are visited

1.1.2 Invariant Preservation

lemma *gen-dfs-outer-initial*:
assumes *finite* ($E^* `` V0$)
shows *gen-dfs-outer* $E\ (E^* `` V0)\ V0\ V0\ \{\}\ brk$
{proof}

lemma *gen-dfs-pre-initial*:
assumes *gen-dfs-outer* $E\ U\ V0\ it\ V\ False$
assumes $v0 \in U - V$
shows *gen-dfs-pre* $E\ U\ \{\}\ V\ v0$
{proof}

lemma *fin-U-imp-wf*:
assumes *finite* U
shows *wf* (*gen-dfs-var* U)
{proof}

lemma *gen-dfs-pre-imp-wf*:
assumes *gen-dfs-pre* $E\ U\ S\ V\ u0$
shows *wf* (*gen-dfs-var* U)
{proof}

lemma *gen-dfs-pre-imp-fin*:
assumes *gen-dfs-pre* $E\ U\ S\ V\ u0$
shows *finite* ($E `` \{u0\}$)
{proof}

Inserted $u0$ on stack and to visited set

lemma *gen-dfs-pre-imp-fe*:
assumes *gen-dfs-pre* $E\ U\ S\ V\ u0$
shows *gen-dfs-fe-inv* $E\ U\ (insert\ u0\ S)\ (insert\ u0\ V)\ u0$

$(E^{\{u0\}}) \text{ (insert } u0 \text{ } V) \text{ False}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gen-dfs-fe-inv-pres-visited*:

assumes *gen-dfs-pre E U S V u0*

assumes *gen-dfs-fe-inv E U (insert u0 S) (insert u0 V) u0 it V' False*

assumes $t \in it \quad it \subseteq E^{\{u0\}} \quad t \in V'$

shows *gen-dfs-fe-inv E U (insert u0 S) (insert u0 V) u0 (it - {t}) V' False*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gen-dfs-upper-aux*:

assumes $(x,y) \in E^*$

assumes $(u0,x) \in E$

assumes $u0 \in U$

assumes $E' \subseteq E$

assumes $E''U \subseteq U$

shows $y \in U$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gen-dfs-fe-inv-imp-var*:

assumes *gen-dfs-pre E U S V u0*

assumes *gen-dfs-fe-inv E U (insert u0 S) (insert u0 V) u0 it V' False*

assumes $t \in it \quad it \subseteq E^{\{u0\}} \quad t \notin V'$

shows $(V', V) \in \text{gen-dfs-var } U$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gen-dfs-fe-inv-imp-pre*:

assumes *gen-dfs-pre E U S V u0*

assumes *gen-dfs-fe-inv E U (insert u0 S) (insert u0 V) u0 it V' False*

assumes $t \in it \quad it \subseteq E^{\{u0\}} \quad t \notin V'$

shows *gen-dfs-pre E U (insert u0 S) V' t*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gen-dfs-post-imp-fe-inv*:

assumes *gen-dfs-pre E U S V u0*

assumes *gen-dfs-fe-inv E U (insert u0 S) (insert u0 V) u0 it V' False*

assumes $t \in it \quad it \subseteq E^{\{u0\}} \quad t \notin V'$

assumes *gen-dfs-post E U (insert u0 S) V' t V'' cyc*

shows *gen-dfs-fe-inv E U (insert u0 S) (insert u0 V) u0 (it - {t}) V'' cyc*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gen-dfs-post-aux*:

assumes 1: $(u0, x) \in E$

assumes 2: $(x, y) \in (E - \text{UNIV} \times \text{insert } u0 \text{ } S)^*$

assumes 3: $S \subseteq V \quad x \notin V$

shows $(u0, y) \in (E - \text{UNIV} \times S)^*$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma gen-dfs-fe-imp-post-brk:
  assumes gen-dfs-pre E U S V u0
  assumes gen-dfs-fe-inv E U (insert u0 S) (insert u0 V) u0 it V' True
  assumes it $\subseteq$ E“{u0}
  shows gen-dfs-post E U S V u0 V' True
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gen-dfs-fe-inv-imp-post:
  assumes gen-dfs-pre E U S V u0
  assumes gen-dfs-fe-inv E U (insert u0 S) (insert u0 V) u0 {} V' cyc
  assumes cyc $\longrightarrow$ cyc'
  shows gen-dfs-post E U S V u0 V' cyc'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gen-dfs-pre-imp-post-brk:
  assumes gen-dfs-pre E U S V u0
  shows gen-dfs-post E U S V u0 (insert u0 V) True
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.1.3 Consequences of Postcondition

```

lemma gen-dfs-post-imp-reachable:
  assumes gen-dfs-pre E U S V0 u0
  assumes gen-dfs-post E U S V0 u0 V brk
  shows V  $\subseteq$  V0  $\cup$  E*“{u0}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gen-dfs-post-imp-complete:
  assumes gen-dfs-pre E U {} V0 u0
  assumes gen-dfs-post E U {} V0 u0 V False
  shows V0  $\cup$  E*“{u0}  $\subseteq$  V
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gen-dfs-post-imp-eq:
  assumes gen-dfs-pre E U {} V0 u0
  assumes gen-dfs-post E U {} V0 u0 V False
  shows V = V0  $\cup$  E*“{u0}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gen-dfs-post-imp-below-U:
  assumes gen-dfs-pre E U S V0 u0
  assumes gen-dfs-post E U S V0 u0 V False
  shows V  $\subseteq$  U
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gen-dfs-post-imp-outer:
  assumes gen-dfs-outer E U V0 it Vis0 False
  assumes gen-dfs-post E U Vis0 v0 Vis False

```

```

assumes  $v0 \in it \quad it \subseteq V0 \quad v0 \notin Vis0$ 
shows gen-dfs-outer  $E U V0 (it - \{v0\}) Vis False$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gen-dfs-outer-already-vis:
assumes  $v0 \in it \quad it \subseteq V0 \quad v0 \in V$ 
assumes gen-dfs-outer  $E U V0 it V False$ 
shows gen-dfs-outer  $E U V0 (it - \{v0\}) V False$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

1.2 Abstract Algorithm

1.2.1 Inner (red) DFS

A witness of the red algorithm is a node on the stack and a path to this node

type-synonym $'v red-witness = ('v list \times 'v) option$

Prepend node to red witness

```

fun prep-wit-red ::  $'v \Rightarrow 'v red-witness \Rightarrow 'v red-witness$  where
  prep-wit-red  $v None = None$ 
  | prep-wit-red  $v (Some (p,u)) = Some (v \# p, u)$ 

```

Initial witness for node u with onstack successor v

```

definition red-init-witness ::  $'v \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow 'v red-witness$  where
  red-init-witness  $u v = Some ([u], v)$ 

```

```

definition red-dfs where
  red-dfs  $E$  onstack  $V u \equiv$ 
    RECT ( $\lambda D (V, u)$ ). do {
      let  $V = insert u V$ ;
      NDFS-SI-Statistics.vis-red-nres;
    }

```

— Check whether we have a successor on stack
 $brk \leftarrow FOREACH_C (E \setminus \{u\}) (\lambda brk. brk = None)$
 $(\lambda t .$
 $\quad if t \in onstack then$
 $\quad \quad RETURN (red-init-witness u t)$
 $\quad else$
 $\quad \quad RETURN None$
 $\quad)$
 $\quad None;$

— Recurse for successors
 $case brk of$
 $\quad None \Rightarrow$
 $\quad FOREACH_C (E \setminus \{u\}) (\lambda (V, brk). brk = None)$
 $\quad (\lambda t (V, -).$

```

if  $t \notin V$  then do {
   $(V, brk) \leftarrow D(V, t);$ 
  RETURN ( $V$ , prep-wit-red  $u$   $brk$ )
} else RETURN ( $V$ , None)
( $V$ , None)
| -  $\Rightarrow$  RETURN ( $V$ ,  $brk$ )
}) ( $V, u$ )

```

datatype ' v blue-witness =
 $NO-CYC$ — No cycle detected
| $REACH$ ' v ' v list — Path from current start node to node on stack, path
contains accepting node.

| $CIRC$ ' v list ' v list — $CIRI$ pr pl : Lasso found from current start node.

Prepend node to witness

```

primrec prep-wit-blue :: ' $v$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  blue-witness  $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  blue-witness where
  prep-wit-blue  $u0$   $NO-CYC$  =  $NO-CYC$ 
  | prep-wit-blue  $u0$  ( $REACH$   $u$   $p$ ) = (
    if  $u0=u$  then
       $CIRC$  [] ( $u0\#p$ )
    else
       $REACH$   $u$  ( $u0\#p$ )
  )
  | prep-wit-blue  $u0$  ( $CIRC$  pr  $pl$ ) =  $CIRC$  ( $u0\#pr$ )  $pl$ 

```

Initialize blue witness

```

fun init-wit-blue :: ' $v$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  red-witness  $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  blue-witness where
  init-wit-blue  $u0$  None =  $NO-CYC$ 
  | init-wit-blue  $u0$  (Some ( $p, u$ )) = (
    if  $u=u0$  then
       $CIRC$  []  $p$ 
    else  $REACH$   $u$   $p$ 
  )

```

```

definition init-wit-blue-early :: ' $v$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  blue-witness
  where init-wit-blue-early  $s$   $t$   $\equiv$  if  $s=t$  then  $CIRC$  [] [ $s$ ] else  $REACH$   $t$  [ $s$ ]

```

Extract result from witness

term lasso-ext

```

definition extract-res cyc
   $\equiv$  (case cyc of
     $CIRC$  pr  $pl$   $\Rightarrow$  Some ( $pr, pl$ )
  | -  $\Rightarrow$  None)

```

1.2.2 Outer (Blue) DFS

```

definition blue-dfs
  :: ('a,-) b-graph-rec-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list  $\times$  'a list) option nres
where
  blue-dfs G  $\equiv$  do {
    NDFS-SI-Statistics.start-nres;
    (-,-,cyc)  $\leftarrow$  FOREACHC (g-V0 G) ( $\lambda$ (-, -, cyc). cyc=NO-CYC)
    ( $\lambda$ v0 (blues,reds,-). do {
      if v0  $\notin$  blues then do {
        (blues,reds,-,cyc)  $\leftarrow$  RECT ( $\lambda$ D (blues,reds,onstack,s). do {
          let blues=insert s blues;
          let onstack=insert s onstack;
          let s-acc = s  $\in$  bg-F G;
          NDFS-SI-Statistics.vis-blue-nres;
          (blues,reds,onstack,cyc)  $\leftarrow$ 
          FOREACHC ((g-E G) “{s}) ( $\lambda$ (-, -, -, cyc). cyc=NO-CYC)
          ( $\lambda$ t (blues,reds,onstack,cyc).
            if t  $\in$  onstack  $\wedge$  (s-acc  $\vee$  t  $\in$  bg-F G) then (
              RETURN (blues,reds,onstack, init-wit-blue-early s t)
            ) else if t  $\notin$  blues then do {
              (blues,reds,onstack,cyc)  $\leftarrow$  D (blues,reds,onstack,t);
              RETURN (blues,reds,onstack,(prep-wit-blue s cyc))
            } else do {
              NDFS-SI-Statistics.match-blue-nres;
              RETURN (blues,reds,onstack,cyc)
            })
          (blues,reds,onstack,NO-CYC);

          (reds,cyc)  $\leftarrow$ 
          if cyc=NO-CYC  $\wedge$  s-acc then do {
            (reds,rcyc)  $\leftarrow$  red-dfs (g-E G) onstack reds s;
            RETURN (reds, init-wit-blue s rcyc)
          } else RETURN (reds,cyc);

          let onstack=onstack - {s};
          RETURN (blues,reds,onstack,cyc)
        }) (blues,reds,{},v0);
        RETURN (blues, reds, cyc)
      } else do {
        RETURN (blues, reds, NO-CYC)
      }
    }) ({}, {}, NO-CYC);
    NDFS-SI-Statistics.stop-nres;
    RETURN (extract-res cyc)
  }
}

```

concrete-definition blue-dfs-fe **uses** blue-dfs-def
is blue-dfs G \equiv do {

```

NDFS-SI-Statistics.start-nres;
 $(\_, \_, cyc) \leftarrow ?FE;$ 
NDFS-SI-Statistics.stop-nres;
RETURN (extract-res cyc)
}

concrete-definition blue-dfs-body uses blue-dfs-fe-def
is -  $\equiv$  FOREACHc (g-V0 G)  $(\lambda(\_, \_, cyc). cyc = NO-CYC)$ 
 $(\lambda v0 (blues, reds, \_). do \{$ 
 $if v0 \notin blues then do \{$ 
 $(blues, reds, \_, cyc) \leftarrow REC_T ?B (blues, reds, \_, v0);$ 
 $RETURN (blues, reds, cyc)$ 
 $\} else do \{ RETURN (blues, reds, NO-CYC)\}$ 
 $\}) (\_, \_, NO-CYC)$ 

```

thm *blue-dfs-body-def*

1.3 Correctness

Additional invariant to be maintained between calls of red dfs

definition *red-dfs-inv E U reds onstack* \equiv

- $E^* U \subseteq U$ — Upper bound is closed under transitions
- $\wedge finite U$ — Upper bound is finite
- $\wedge reds \subseteq U$ — Red set below upper bound
- $\wedge E^* reds \subseteq reds$ — Red nodes closed under reachability
- $\wedge E^* reds \cap onstack = \{\}$ — No red node with edge to stack

lemma *red-dfs-inv-initial*:

- assumes** *finite (E* ``V0)*
- shows** *red-dfs-inv E (E* ``V0) {} {}*
- $\langle proof \rangle$

Correctness of the red DFS.

theorem *red-dfs-correct*:

- fixes** $v0 u0 :: 'v$
- assumes** *PRE*:
- red-dfs-inv E U reds onstack*
- $u0 \in U$
- $u0 \notin reds$
- shows** *red-dfs E onstack reds u0*
- $\leq SPEC (\lambda(reds', cyc). case cyc of$
- $Some (p, v) \Rightarrow v \in onstack \wedge p \neq [] \wedge path E u0 p v$
- $| None \Rightarrow$
- red-dfs-inv E U reds' onstack*
- $\wedge u0 \in reds'$
- $\wedge reds' \subseteq reds \cup E^* `` \{u0\}$
- $)$
- $\langle proof \rangle$

Main theorem: Correctness of the blue DFS

```

theorem blue-dfs-correct:
  fixes  $G :: ('v,-) \text{ b-graph-rec-scheme}$ 
  assumes  $b\text{-graph } G$ 
  assumes  $\text{finitely-reachable: finite } ((g\text{-E } G)^*) `` g\text{-V0 } G$ 
  shows  $\text{blue-dfs } G \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda r.$ 
     $\text{case } r \text{ of None } \Rightarrow (\forall L. \neg b\text{-graph.is-lasso-prpl } G L)$ 
     $| \text{ Some } L \Rightarrow b\text{-graph.is-lasso-prpl } G L)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

1.4 Refinement

1.4.1 Setup for Custom Datatypes

This effort can be automated, but currently, such an automation is not yet implemented

```

abbreviation  $\text{red-wit-rel } R \equiv \langle \langle \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel}, R \rangle \text{prod-rel} \rangle \text{option-rel}$ 
abbreviation  $\text{i-red-wit } I \equiv \langle \langle \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-list}, I \rangle_i \text{i-prod} \rangle_i \text{i-option}$ 
```

```

abbreviation  $\text{blue-wit-rel } \equiv (\text{Id} :: (- \text{ blue-witness} \times -) \text{ set})$ 
consts  $i\text{-blue-wit} :: \text{interface}$ 
```

```
lemmas [autoref-rel-intf] = REL-INTFI[of blue-wit-rel i-blue-wit]
```

```
term init-wit-blue-early
```

```

lemma [autoref-itype]:
   $\text{NO-CYC} ::_i i\text{-blue-wit}$ 
   $(=) ::_i i\text{-blue-wit} \rightarrow_i i\text{-blue-wit} \rightarrow_i i\text{-bool}$ 
   $\text{init-wit-blue} ::_i I \rightarrow_i i\text{-red-wit} I \rightarrow_i i\text{-blue-wit}$ 
   $\text{init-wit-blue-early} ::_i I \rightarrow_i I \rightarrow_i i\text{-blue-wit}$ 
   $\text{prep-wit-blue} ::_i I \rightarrow_i i\text{-blue-wit} \rightarrow_i i\text{-blue-wit}$ 
   $\text{red-init-witness} ::_i I \rightarrow_i I \rightarrow_i i\text{-red-wit} I$ 
   $\text{prep-wit-red} ::_i I \rightarrow_i i\text{-red-wit} I \rightarrow_i i\text{-red-wit} I$ 
   $\text{extract-res} ::_i i\text{-blue-wit} \rightarrow_i \langle \langle \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-list}, \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-list} \rangle_i \text{i-prod} \rangle_i \text{i-option}$ 
   $\text{red-dfs} ::_i \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-slg} \rightarrow_i \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-set} \rightarrow_i \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-set} \rightarrow_i I$ 
     $\rightarrow_i \langle \langle \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-set}, i\text{-red-wit} I \rangle_i \text{i-prod} \rangle_i \text{i-nres}$ 
   $\text{blue-dfs} ::_i i\text{-bg } i\text{-unit } I$ 
     $\rightarrow_i \langle \langle \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-list}, \langle I \rangle_i \text{i-list} \rangle_i \text{i-prod} \rangle_i \text{i-option} \rangle_i \text{i-nres}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

context begin interpretation autoref-syn  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma [autoref-op-pat]:  $\text{NO-CYC} \equiv \text{OP } \text{NO-CYC} ::_i i\text{-blue-wit} \langle proof \rangle$ 
end
```

```
term lasso-rel-ext
```

```
lemma autoref-wit[autoref-rules-raw]:
```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (NO-CYC, NO-CYC) \in \text{blue-wit-rel} \\
& ((=), (=)) \in \text{blue-wit-rel} \rightarrow \text{blue-wit-rel} \rightarrow \text{bool-rel} \\
& \bigwedge R. \text{PREFER-id } R \\
& \implies (\text{init-wit-blue}, \text{init-wit-blue}) \in R \rightarrow \text{red-wit-rel } R \rightarrow \text{blue-wit-rel} \\
& \bigwedge R. \text{PREFER-id } R \\
& \implies (\text{init-wit-blue-early}, \text{init-wit-blue-early}) \in R \rightarrow R \rightarrow \text{blue-wit-rel} \\
& \bigwedge R. \text{PREFER-id } R \\
& \implies (\text{prep-wit-blue}, \text{prep-wit-blue}) \in R \rightarrow \text{blue-wit-rel} \rightarrow \text{blue-wit-rel} \\
& \bigwedge R. \text{PREFER-id } R \\
& \implies (\text{red-init-witness}, \text{red-init-witness}) \in R \rightarrow R \rightarrow \text{red-wit-rel } R \\
& \bigwedge R. \text{PREFER-id } R \\
& \implies (\text{prep-wit-red}, \text{prep-wit-red}) \in R \rightarrow \text{red-wit-rel } R \rightarrow \text{red-wit-rel } R \\
& \bigwedge R. \text{PREFER-id } R \\
& \implies (\text{extract-res}, \text{extract-res}) \\
& \quad \in \text{blue-wit-rel} \rightarrow \langle \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \times_r \langle R \rangle \text{list-rel} \rangle \text{option-rel} \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

1.4.2 Actual Refinement

term *red-dfs*

term *map2set-rel* (*rbt-map-rel* *ord*)

term *rbt-set-rel*

schematic-goal *red-dfs-refine-aux*: $(?f :: ?'c, \text{red-dfs} :: (('a :: \text{linorder} \times -) \text{ set} \Rightarrow -)) \in ?R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
concrete-definition *impl-red-dfs* **uses** *red-dfs-refine-aux*

lemma *impl-red-dfs-autoref*[*autoref-rules*]:
fixes *R* :: $('a \times 'a :: \text{linorder}) \text{ set}$
assumes *PREFER-id R*
shows $(\text{impl-red-dfs}, \text{red-dfs}) \in$
 $\langle R \rangle \text{slg-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{dflt-rs-rel} \rightarrow \langle R \rangle \text{dflt-rs-rel} \rightarrow R$
 $\rightarrow \langle \langle R \rangle \text{dflt-rs-rel} \times_r \text{red-wit-rel } R \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm *autoref-itype(1–10)*

schematic-goal *code-red-dfs-aux*:
shows *RETURN* $?c \leq \text{impl-red-dfs } E$ *onstack* *V u*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
concrete-definition *code-red-dfs* **uses** *code-red-dfs-aux*
prepare-code-thms *code-red-dfs-def*
declare *code-red-dfs.refine*[*refine-transfer*]

export-code *code-red-dfs* **checking** *SML*

```

schematic-goal red-dfs-hash-refine-aux: (?f::?'c, red-dfs::(('a::hashable × -) set⇒-))
  ∈ ?R
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition impl-red-dfs-hash uses red-dfs-hash-refine-aux

thm impl-red-dfs-hash.refine

lemma impl-red-dfs-hash-autoref[autoref-rules]:
  fixes R :: ('a×'a::hashable) set
  assumes PREFER-id R
  shows (impl-red-dfs-hash, red-dfs) ∈
    ⟨R⟩slg-rel → ⟨R⟩hs.rel → ⟨R⟩hs.rel → R
    → ⟨⟨R⟩hs.rel ×r red-wit-rel R⟩nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic-goal code-red-dfs-hash-aux:
  shows RETURN ?c ≤ impl-red-dfs-hash E onstack V u
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition code-red-dfs-hash uses code-red-dfs-hash-aux
prepare-code-thms code-red-dfs-hash-def
declare code-red-dfs-hash.refine[refine-transfer]

export-code code-red-dfs-hash checking SML

schematic-goal red-dfs-ahs-refine-aux: (?f::?'c, red-dfs::(('a::hashable × -) set⇒-))
  ∈ ?R
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition impl-red-dfs-ahs uses red-dfs-ahs-refine-aux

lemma impl-red-dfs-ahs-autoref[autoref-rules]:
  fixes R :: ('a×'a::hashable) set
  assumes PREFER-id R
  shows (impl-red-dfs-ahs, red-dfs) ∈
    ⟨R⟩slg-rel → ⟨R⟩ahs.rel → ⟨R⟩ahs.rel → R
    → ⟨⟨R⟩ahs.rel ×r red-wit-rel R⟩nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic-goal code-red-dfs-ahs-aux:
  shows RETURN ?c ≤ impl-red-dfs-ahs E onstack V u
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition code-red-dfs-ahs uses code-red-dfs-ahs-aux
prepare-code-thms code-red-dfs-ahs-def
declare code-red-dfs-ahs.refine[refine-transfer]

export-code code-red-dfs-ahs checking SML

```

schematic-goal blue-dfs-refine-aux: (?f::?'c, blue-dfs::('a::linorder b-graph-rec⇒-))

```

 $\in ?R$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
concrete-definition impl-blue-dfs uses blue-dfs-refine-aux

thm impl-blue-dfs.refine

lemma impl-blue-dfs-autoref[autoref-rules]:
  fixes R :: ('a × 'a::linorder) set
  assumes PREFER-id R
  shows (impl-blue-dfs, blue-dfs)
     $\in bg\text{-}impl\text{-}rel\text{-}ext$  unit-rel R
     $\rightarrow \langle\langle \langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r \langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel \rangle Relators.option\text{-}rel \rangle nres\text{-}rel$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic-goal code-blue-dfs-aux:
  shows RETURN ?c  $\leq$  impl-blue-dfs G
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
concrete-definition code-blue-dfs uses code-blue-dfs-aux
prepare-code-thms code-blue-dfs-def
declare code-blue-dfs.refine[refine-transfer]

export-code code-blue-dfs checking SML

schematic-goal blue-dfs-hash-refine-aux: (?f::?'c, blue-dfs::('a::hashable b-graph-rec⇒-))
 $\in ?R$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
concrete-definition impl-blue-dfs-hash uses blue-dfs-hash-refine-aux

lemma impl-blue-dfs-hash-autoref[autoref-rules]:
  fixes R :: ('a × 'a::hashable) set
  assumes PREFER-id R
  shows (impl-blue-dfs-hash, blue-dfs)  $\in bg\text{-}impl\text{-}rel\text{-}ext$  unit-rel R
     $\rightarrow \langle\langle \langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel \times_r \langle R \rangle list\text{-}rel \rangle Relators.option\text{-}rel \rangle nres\text{-}rel$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic-goal code-blue-dfs-hash-aux:
  shows RETURN ?c  $\leq$  impl-blue-dfs-hash G
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
concrete-definition code-blue-dfs-hash uses code-blue-dfs-hash-aux
prepare-code-thms code-blue-dfs-hash-def
declare code-blue-dfs-hash.refine[refine-transfer]

export-code code-blue-dfs-hash checking SML

schematic-goal blue-dfs-ahs-refine-aux: (?f::?'c, blue-dfs::('a::hashable b-graph-rec⇒-))
 $\in ?R$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
concrete-definition impl-blue-dfs-ahs uses blue-dfs-ahs-refine-aux

```

```

lemma impl-blue-dfs-ahs-autoref[autoref-rules]:
  fixes R :: ('a × 'a::hashable) set
  assumes MINOR-PRIO-TAG 5
  assumes PREFER-id R
  shows (impl-blue-dfs-ahs, blue-dfs) ∈ bg-impl-rel-ext unit-rel R
    → ⟨⟨⟨R⟩list-rel ×r ⟨R⟩list-rel⟩Relators.option-rel⟩nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

thm impl-blue-dfs-ahs-def

schematic-goal code-blue-dfs-ahs-aux:
  shows RETURN ?c ≤ impl-blue-dfs-ahs G
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition code-blue-dfs-ahs uses code-blue-dfs-ahs-aux
prepare-code-thms code-blue-dfs-ahs-def
declare code-blue-dfs-ahs.refine[refine-transfer]

export-code code-blue-dfs-ahs checking SML

Correctness theorem

theorem code-blue-dfs-correct:
  assumes G: b-graph G finite ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G)
  assumes REL: (Gi,G) ∈ bg-impl-rel-ext unit-rel Id
  shows RETURN (code-blue-dfs Gi) ≤ SPEC (λr.
    case r of None ⇒ ∀ prpl. ¬b-graph.is-lasso-prpl G prpl
    | Some L ⇒ b-graph.is-lasso-prpl G L)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem code-blue-dfs-correct':
  assumes G: b-graph G finite ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G)
  assumes REL: (Gi,G) ∈ bg-impl-rel-ext unit-rel Id
  shows case code-blue-dfs Gi of
    None ⇒ ∀ prpl. ¬b-graph.is-lasso-prpl G prpl
    | Some L ⇒ b-graph.is-lasso-prpl G L)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem code-blue-dfs-hash-correct:
  assumes G: b-graph G finite ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G)
  assumes REL: (Gi,G) ∈ bg-impl-rel-ext unit-rel Id
  shows RETURN (code-blue-dfs-hash Gi) ≤ SPEC (λr.
    case r of None ⇒ ∀ prpl. ¬b-graph.is-lasso-prpl G prpl
    | Some L ⇒ b-graph.is-lasso-prpl G L)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem code-blue-dfs-hash-correct':
  assumes G: b-graph G finite ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G)
  assumes REL: (Gi,G) ∈ bg-impl-rel-ext unit-rel Id
  shows case code-blue-dfs-hash Gi of
    None ⇒ ∀ prpl. ¬b-graph.is-lasso-prpl G prpl

```

| Some $L \Rightarrow b\text{-graph}.is\text{-lasso-prpl } G \ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *code-blue-dfs-ahs-correct*:
assumes $G: b\text{-graph } G \ finite ((g\text{-}E } G)^* `` g\text{-}V0 } G)$
assumes $REL: (Gi, G) \in bg\text{-impl-rel-ext unit-rel Id}$
shows $RETURN (code\text{-blue-dfs-ahs } Gi) \leq SPEC (\lambda r.$
 $case r of None \Rightarrow \forall prpl. \neg b\text{-graph}.is\text{-lasso-prpl } G prpl$
| Some $L \Rightarrow b\text{-graph}.is\text{-lasso-prpl } G \ L$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *code-blue-dfs-ahs-correct'*:
assumes $G: b\text{-graph } G \ finite ((g\text{-}E } G)^* `` g\text{-}V0 } G)$
assumes $REL: (Gi, G) \in bg\text{-impl-rel-ext unit-rel Id}$
shows $case code\text{-blue-dfs-ahs } Gi of$
 $None \Rightarrow \forall prpl. \neg b\text{-graph}.is\text{-lasso-prpl } G prpl$
| Some $L \Rightarrow b\text{-graph}.is\text{-lasso-prpl } G \ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Export for benchmarking

schematic-goal *acc-of-list-impl-hash*:
notes [*autoref-tyrel*] =
ty-REL[where 'a=nat set and R=⟨nat-rel⟩iam-set-rel]
shows ($?f::?c,\lambda l::nat list.$
 $let s=(set l)::_r\langle nat-rel\rangle iam-set-rel$
 $in (\lambda x::nat. x \in s)$
 $) \in ?R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition *acc-of-list-impl-hash* **uses** *acc-of-list-impl-hash*
export-code *acc-of-list-impl-hash* **checking SML**

definition *code-blue-dfs-nat*
 $\equiv code\text{-blue-dfs} :: - \Rightarrow (nat list \times -) option$
definition *code-blue-dfs-hash-nat*
 $\equiv code\text{-blue-dfs-hash} :: - \Rightarrow (nat list \times -) option$
definition *code-blue-dfs-ahs-nat*
 $\equiv code\text{-blue-dfs-ahs} :: - \Rightarrow (nat list \times -) option$

definition *succ-of-list-impl-int* \equiv
 $succ\text{-of-list-impl } o \ map (\lambda(u,v). (nat\text{-of-integer } u, nat\text{-of-integer } v))$

definition *acc-of-list-impl-hash-int* \equiv
 $acc\text{-of-list-impl\text{-}hash } o \ map nat\text{-of-integer}$

export-code
code-blue-dfs-nat
code-blue-dfs-hash-nat

```

code-blue-dfs-ahs-nat
succ-of-list-impl-int
acc-of-list-impl-hash-int
nat-of-integer
integer-of-nat
lasso-ext
in SML module-name HPY-new-hash
file <nested-dfs-hash.sml>

```

end

2 Abstract Model-Checker

```

theory CAVA-Abstract
imports
  CAVA-Base.CAVA-Base
  CAVA-Automata.Automata
  LTL.LTL
begin

```

This theory defines the abstract version of the cava model checker, as well as a generic implementation.

2.1 Specification of an LTL Model-Checker

Abstractly, an LTL model-checker consists of three components:

1. A conversion of LTL-formula to Indexed Generalized Buchi Automata (IGBA) over sets of atomic propositions.
2. An intersection construction, which takes a system and an IGBA, and creates an Indexed Generalized Buchi Graph (IGBG) and a projection function to project runs of the IGBG back to runs of the system.
3. An emptiness check for IGBGs.

Given an LTL formula, the LTL to Buchi conversion returns a Generalized Buchi Automaton that accepts the same language.

```

definition ltl-to-gba-spec
  :: 'prop ltlc  $\Rightarrow$  ('q, 'prop set, -) igba-rec-scheme nres
  — Conversion of LTL formula to generalized buchi automaton
  where ltl-to-gba-spec  $\varphi \equiv SPEC(\lambda gba.$ 
    igba.lang gba = language-ltlc  $\varphi \wedge igba.gba \wedge finite((g-E gba)^* \wedge g-V0 gba))$ 

definition inter-spec
  :: ('s, 'prop set, -) sa-rec-scheme
   $\Rightarrow$  ('q, 'prop set, -) igba-rec-scheme

```

```

 $\Rightarrow ((\text{'prod-state},-) \text{ igb-graph-rec-scheme} \times (\text{'prod-state} \Rightarrow \text{'s})) \text{ nres}$ 
— Intersection of system and IGBA
where  $\bigwedge \text{sys } \text{ba. inter-spec sys ba} \equiv \text{do } \{$ 
  ASSERT (sa sys);
  ASSERT (finite ((g-E sys)* “ g-V0 sys));
  ASSERT (igba ba);
  ASSERT (finite ((g-E ba)* “ g-V0 ba));
  SPEC ( $\lambda(G, \text{project}). \text{ igb-graph } G \wedge \text{finite } ((\text{g-E } G)^* \text{ `` g-V0 } G) \wedge (\forall r.$ 
     $(\exists r'. \text{ igb-graph.is-acc-run } G r' \wedge r = \text{project o } r')$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow (\text{graph-defs.is-run sys r} \wedge \text{sa-L sys o r} \in \text{igba.lang ba}))$ )
}

```

```

definition find-ce-spec
:: ('q,-) igb-graph-rec-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'q word option option nres
— Check Generalized Buchi graph for emptiness, with optional counterexample
where find-ce-spec G  $\equiv$  do {
  ASSERT (igb-graph G);
  ASSERT (finite ((g-E G)* “ g-V0 G));
  SPEC ( $\lambda \text{res. case res of }$ 
     $\text{None} \Rightarrow (\forall r. \neg \text{igb-graph.is-acc-run } G r)$ 
     $\mid \text{Some None} \Rightarrow (\exists r. \text{igb-graph.is-acc-run } G r)$ 
     $\mid \text{Some (Some r)} \Rightarrow \text{igb-graph.is-acc-run } G r$ 
  )}
}

```

Using the specifications from above, we can specify the essence of the model-checking algorithm: Convert the LTL-formula to a GBA, make an intersection with the system and check the result for emptiness.

```

definition abs-model-check
:: 'ba-state itself  $\Rightarrow$  'ba-more itself
 $\Rightarrow$  'prod-state itself  $\Rightarrow$  'prod-more itself
 $\Rightarrow$  ('s,'prop set,-) sa-rec-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'prop ltlc
 $\Rightarrow$  's word option option nres
where
abs-model-check - - - sys  $\varphi$   $\equiv$  do {
  gba :: ('ba-state,-,'ba-more) igba-rec-scheme
   $\leftarrow \text{ltl-to-gba-spec (Not-ltlc } \varphi\text{);}$ 
  ASSERT (igba gba);
  ASSERT (sa sys);
  (Gprod::('prod-state,'prod-more)igb-graph-rec-scheme, map-state)
   $\leftarrow \text{inter-spec sys gba;}$ 
  ASSERT (igb-graph Gprod);
  ce  $\leftarrow \text{find-ce-spec Gprod;}$ 

  case ce of
     $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{RETURN None}$ 
     $\mid \text{Some None} \Rightarrow \text{RETURN (Some None)}$ 
     $\mid \text{Some (Some r)} \Rightarrow \text{RETURN (Some (Some (map-state o r)))}$ 
}

```

The main correctness theorem states that our abstract model checker really checks whether the system satisfies the formula, and a correct counterexample is returned (if any). Note that, if the model does not satisfy the formula, returning a counterexample is optional.

theorem *abs-model-check-correct*:

```

abs-model-check T1 T2 T3 T4 sys  $\varphi \leq \text{do} \{$ 
  ASSERT (sa sys);
  ASSERT (finite ((g-E sys)* “ g-V0 sys));
  SPEC ( $\lambda \text{res. case res of}$ 
    None  $\Rightarrow$  sa.lang sys  $\subseteq$  language-ltlc  $\varphi$ 
    | Some None  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$  sa.lang sys  $\subseteq$  language-ltlc  $\varphi$ 
    | Some (Some r)  $\Rightarrow$  graph-defs.is-run sys r  $\wedge$  sa-L sys o r  $\notin$  language-ltlc  $\varphi$ )
  }
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.2 Generic Implementation

In this section, we define a generic implementation of an LTL model checker, that is parameterized with implementations of its components.

abbreviation *ltl-rel* \equiv *Id* :: (*'a ltlc* \times *-*) *set*

```

locale impl-model-checker =
  — Assembly of a generic model-checker
  fixes sa-rel :: ('sai  $\times$  ('s, 'prop set, 'sa-more) sa-rec-scheme) set
  fixes igba-rel :: ('igbai  $\times$  ('q, 'prop set, 'igba-more) igba-rec-scheme) set
  fixes igbg-rel :: ('igbgi  $\times$  ('sq, 'igbg-more) igb-graph-rec-scheme) set
  fixes ce-rel :: ('cei  $\times$  'sq word) set
  fixes mce-rel :: ('mcei  $\times$  's word) set

  fixes ltl-to-gba-impl :: 'cfg-l2b  $\Rightarrow$  'prop ltlc  $\Rightarrow$  'igbai
  fixes inter-impl :: 'cfg-int  $\Rightarrow$  'sai  $\Rightarrow$  'igbai  $\Rightarrow$  'igbgi  $\times$  ('sq  $\Rightarrow$  's)
  fixes find-ce-impl :: 'cfg-ce  $\Rightarrow$  'igbgi  $\Rightarrow$  'cei option option
  fixes map-run-impl :: ('sq  $\Rightarrow$  's)  $\Rightarrow$  'cei  $\Rightarrow$  'mcei

  assumes [relator-props, simp, intro!]: single-valued mce-rel

  assumes ltl-to-gba-refine:
     $\bigwedge \text{cfg. } (\text{ltl-to-gba-impl } \text{cfg}, \text{ltl-to-gba-spec})$ 
     $\in \text{ltl-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{igba-rel} \rangle \text{plain-nres-rel}$ 
  assumes inter-refine:
     $\bigwedge \text{cfg. } (\text{inter-impl } \text{cfg}, \text{inter-spec})$ 
     $\in \text{sa-rel} \rightarrow \text{igba-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{igbg-rel} \times_r (\text{Id} \rightarrow \text{Id}) \rangle \text{plain-nres-rel}$ 
  assumes find-ce-refine:
     $\bigwedge \text{cfg. } (\text{find-ce-impl } \text{cfg}, \text{find-ce-spec})$ 
     $\in \text{igbg-rel} \rightarrow \langle \langle \langle \text{ce-rel} \rangle \text{option-rel} \rangle \text{option-rel} \rangle \text{plain-nres-rel}$ 

  assumes map-run-refine: (map-run-impl, (o))  $\in$  (Id  $\rightarrow$  Id)  $\rightarrow$  ce-rel  $\rightarrow$  mce-rel

```

begin

```
fun cfg-l2b where cfg-l2b (c1,c2,c3) = c1
fun cfg-int where cfg-int (c1,c2,c3) = c2
fun cfg-ce where cfg-ce (c1,c2,c3) = c3
```

definition *impl-model-check*

```
:: ('cfg-l2b × 'cfg-int × 'cfg-ce)
  ⇒ 'sai ⇒ 'prop ltlc ⇒ 'mcei option option
```

where

```
impl-model-check cfg sys φ ≡ let
  ba = ttl-to-gba-impl (cfg-l2b cfg) (Not-ltlc φ);
  (G, map-q) = inter-impl (cfg-int cfg) sys ba;
  ce = find-ce-impl (cfg-ce cfg) G
```

in

```
case ce of
  None ⇒ None
  | Some None ⇒ Some None
  | Some (Some ce) ⇒ Some (Some (map-run-impl map-q ce))
```

lemma *impl-model-check-refine*:

```
(impl-model-check cfg,abs-model-check
  TYPE('q) TYPE('igba-more) TYPE('sq) TYPE('igbg-more))
  ∈ sa-rel → ltl-rel → ⟨⟨⟨mce-rel⟩option-rel⟩option-rel⟩plain-nres-rel
⟨proof⟩
```

theorem *impl-model-check-correct*:

```
assumes R: (sysi,sys) ∈ sa-rel
assumes [simp]: sa sys finite ((g-E sys)* “ g-V0 sys)
shows case impl-model-check cfg sysi φ of
  None
    ⇒ sa.lang sys ⊆ language-ltlc φ
  | Some None
    ⇒ ¬ sa.lang sys ⊆ language-ltlc φ
  | Some (Some ri)
    ⇒ (exists r. (ri,r) ∈ mce-rel
      ∧ graph-defs.is-run sys r ∧ sa-L sys o r ∉ language-ltlc φ)
⟨proof⟩
```

theorem *impl-model-check-correct-no-ce*:

```
assumes (sysi,sys) ∈ sa-rel
assumes SA: sa sys finite ((g-E sys)* “ g-V0 sys)
shows impl-model-check cfg sysi φ = None
  ⇔ sa.lang sys ⊆ language-ltlc φ
⟨proof⟩
```

end

end

3 Boolean Programs

```
theory BoolProgs
imports
  CAVA-Base.CAVA-Base
  Word-Lib.Generic-set-bit
begin

  3.1 Syntax and Semantics

  datatype bexp = TT | FF | V nat | Not bexp | And bexp bexp | Or bexp bexp

  type-synonym state = bitset

  fun bval :: bexp ⇒ state ⇒ bool where
    bval TT s = True |
    bval FF s = False |
    bval (V n) s = bs-mem n s |
    bval (Not b) s = (¬ bval b s) |
    bval (And b1 b2) s = (bval b1 s & bval b2 s) |
    bval (Or b1 b2) s = (bval b1 s | bval b2 s)

  datatype instr =
    AssI nat list bexp list |
    TestI bexp int |
    ChoiceI (bexp * int) list |
    GotoI int

  type-synonym config = nat * state
  type-synonym bprog = instr array
```

Semantics Notice: To be equivalent in semantics with SPIN, there is no such thing as a finite run:

- Deadlocks (i.e. empty Choice) are self-loops
- program termination is self-loop

```
fun exec :: instr ⇒ config ⇒ config list where
  exec instr (pc,s) = (case instr of
    AssI ns bs ⇒ let bvs = zip ns (map (λb. bval b s) bs) in
      [(pc + 1, foldl (λs (n,bv). set-bit s n bv) s bvs)] |
    TestI b d ⇒ [if bval b s then (pc+1, s) else (nat(int(pc+1)+d), s)] |
    ChoiceI bis ⇒ let succs = [(nat(int(pc+1)+i), s) . (b,i) <- bis, bval b s]
      in if succs = [] then [(pc,s)] else succs |
```

$GotoI d \Rightarrow [(nat(int(pc+1)+d), s)]$

```

function exec' :: bprog  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
exec' ins s pc = (
  if pc < array-length ins then (
    case (array-get ins pc) of
      AssI ns bs  $\Rightarrow$  [pc] |
      TestI b d  $\Rightarrow$  (
        if bval b s then exec' ins s (pc+1)
        else let pc'=(nat(int(pc+1)+d)) in if pc'>pc then exec' ins s pc'
        else [pc']
      ) |
      ChoiceI bis  $\Rightarrow$  let succs = [(nat(int(pc+1)+i)) . (b,i) <- bis, bval b s]
          in if succs = [] then [pc] else concat (map ( $\lambda$ pc'. if pc'>pc then
          exec' ins s pc' else [pc'])) succs) |
      GotoI d  $\Rightarrow$  let pc' = nat(int(pc+1)+d) in (if pc'>pc then exec' ins s pc' else
      [pc])
    ) else [pc]
  )
  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

fun nexts1 :: bprog  $\Rightarrow$  config  $\Rightarrow$  config list where
nexts1 ins (pc,s) = (
  if pc < array-length ins then
    exec (array-get ins pc) (pc,s)
  else
    [(pc,s)])
)

fun nexts :: bprog  $\Rightarrow$  config  $\Rightarrow$  config list where
nexts ins (pc,s) = concat (
  map
    ( $\lambda$ (pc,s). map ( $\lambda$ pc. (pc,s)) (exec' ins s pc))
    (nexts1 ins (pc,s)))
  )

declare nexts.simps [simp del]

datatype
com = SKIP
| Assign nat list bexp list
| Seq com com
| GC (bexp * com)list
| IfTE bexp com com
| While bexp com

```

locale BoolProg-Syntax **begin**

```

notation
  Assign      ( $\langle \cdot ::= \cdot \rangle [999, 61] 61$ )
  and Seq     ( $\langle \cdot; \cdot \rangle [60, 61] 60$ )
  and GC      ( $\langle \text{IF} - \text{FI} \rangle$ )
  and IfTE    ( $\langle \langle \text{IF} -/ \text{THEN} -/ \text{ELSE} \cdot \rangle [0, 61, 61] 61$ )
  and While   ( $\langle \langle \text{WHILE} -/ \text{DO} \cdot \rangle [0, 61] 61$ )
end

context begin interpretation BoolProg-Syntax  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
fun  $\text{comp}' :: \text{com} \Rightarrow \text{instr list where}$ 
   $\text{comp}' \text{ SKIP} = []$  |
   $\text{comp}' (\text{Assign } n \ b) = [\text{AssI } n \ b]$  |
   $\text{comp}' (c1;c2) = \text{comp}' c1 @ \text{comp}' c2$  |
   $\text{comp}' (\text{IF } gcs \text{ FI}) =$ 
    ( $\text{let } cgcs = \text{map } (\lambda(b,c). (b, \text{comp}' c)) \text{ gcs in}$ 
      $\text{let } addbc = (\lambda(b,cc). (bis,ins).$ 
        $\text{let } cc' = cc @ (\text{if } ins = [] \text{ then } [] \text{ else } [\text{GotoI } (\text{int}(\text{length } ins))]) \text{ in}$ 
        $\text{let } bis' = \text{map } (\lambda(b,i). (b, i + \text{int}(\text{length } cc'))) \text{ bis}$ 
        $\text{in } ((b,0)\#bis', cc' @ ins)) \text{ in}$ 
      $\text{let } (bis,ins) = \text{foldr } addbc \text{ cgcs } ([][],[])$ 
      $\text{in } \text{ChoiceI } bis \# ins)$  |
     $\text{comp}' (\text{IF } b \text{ THEN } c1 \text{ ELSE } c2) =$ 
      ( $\text{let } ins1 = \text{comp}' c1 \text{ in let } ins2 = \text{comp}' c2 \text{ in}$ 
        $\text{let } i1 = \text{int}(\text{length } ins1 + 1) \text{ in let } i2 = \text{int}(\text{length } ins2)$ 
        $\text{in } \text{TestI } b \ i1 \ # \ ins1 @ \text{GotoI } i2 \ # \ ins2)$  |
     $\text{comp}' (\text{WHILE } b \text{ DO } c) =$ 
      ( $\text{let } ins = \text{comp}' c \text{ in}$ 
        $\text{let } i = \text{int}(\text{length } ins + 1)$ 
        $\text{in } \text{TestI } b \ i \ # \ ins @ [\text{GotoI } (-(i+1))]$ )
value  $\text{comp}' (\text{IF } [(V \ 0, [1,0] ::=[ TT, FF]), (V \ 1, [0] ::=[ TT])] \text{ FI})$ 
end

definition  $\text{comp} :: \text{com} \Rightarrow \text{bprog}$  where
   $\text{comp} = \text{array-of-list} \circ \text{comp}'$ 

fun  $\text{opt}'$  where
   $\text{opt}' (\text{GotoI } d) \text{ ys} = (\text{let } next = \lambda i. (\text{case } i \text{ of } \text{GotoI } d \Rightarrow d + 1 \mid - \Rightarrow 0)$ 
     $\text{in if } d < 0 \vee \text{nat } d \geq \text{length } ys \text{ then } (\text{GotoI } d)\#ys$ 
     $\text{else let } d' = d + next \text{ (ys ! nat } d)$ 
     $\text{in } (\text{GotoI } d' \# ys))$ 
   $| \text{opt}' x \text{ ys} = x\#ys$ 
definition  $\text{opt} :: \text{instr list} \Rightarrow \text{instr list}$  where
   $\text{opt instr} = \text{foldr } \text{opt}' \text{ instr } []$ 

```

```
definition optcomp :: com  $\Rightarrow$  bprog where
  optcomp  $\equiv$  array-of-list  $\circ$  opt  $\circ$  comp'
```

3.2 Finiteness of reachable configurations

```
inductive-set reachable-configs
  for bp :: bprog
  and cs :: config — start configuration
  where
    cs  $\in$  reachable-configs bp cs |
    c  $\in$  reachable-configs bp cs  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\in$  set (nexts bp c)  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\in$  reachable-configs bp
    cs

lemmas reachable-configs-induct = reachable-configs.induct[split-format(complete),case-names
0 1]

fun offsets :: instr  $\Rightarrow$  int set where
  offsets (AssI - -) = {0} |
  offsets (TestI - i) = {0,i} |
  offsets (ChoiceI bis) = set(map snd bis)  $\cup$  {0} |
  offsets (GotoI i) = {i}

definition offsets-is :: instr list  $\Rightarrow$  int set where
  offsets-is ins = (UN instr : set ins. offsets instr)

definition max-next-pcs :: instr list  $\Rightarrow$  nat set where
  max-next-pcs ins = {nat(int(length ins + 1) + i) | i. i : offsets-is ins}

lemma finite-max-next-pcs: finite(max-next-pcs bp)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in linorder) le-Max-insertI1: [ finite A; x  $\leq$  b ]  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\leq$  Max (insert b
A)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma (in linorder) le-Max-insertI2: [ finite A; A  $\neq$  {}; x  $\leq$  Max A ]  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\leq$ 
Max (insert b A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma max-next-pcs-not-empty:
  pc < length bp  $\Rightarrow$  x : set (exec (bp!pc) (pc,s))  $\Rightarrow$  max-next-pcs bp  $\neq$  {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Max-lem2:
  assumes pc < length bp
  and (pc', s')  $\in$  set (exec (bp!pc) (pc, s))
  shows pc'  $\leq$  Max (max-next-pcs bp)
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma Max-lem1:  $\llbracket pc < \text{length } bp; (pc', s') \in \text{set}(\text{exec}(bp ! pc)(pc, s)) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies pc' \leq \text{Max}(\text{insert } x (\text{max-next-pcs } bp))$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition pc-bound bp ≡ max
 $(\text{Max}(\text{max-next-pcs}(\text{list-of-array } bp)) + 1)$ 
 $(\text{array-length } bp + 1)$ 

declare exec'.simp[simp del]

lemma [simp]: length (list-of-array a) = array-length a ⟨proof⟩

lemma aux2:
assumes A: pc < array-length ins
assumes B: ofs ∈ offsets-is (list-of-array ins)
shows nat (1 + int pc + ofs) < pc-bound ins
⟨proof⟩

lemma array-idx-in-set:
 $\llbracket pc < \text{array-length } ins; \text{array-get } ins pc = x \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies x \in \text{set}(\text{list-of-array } ins)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rcs-aux:
assumes pc < pc-bound bp
assumes pc' ∈ set (exec' bp s pc)
shows pc' < pc-bound bp
⟨proof⟩

primrec bexp-vars :: bexp ⇒ nat set where
| bexp-vars TT = {}
| bexp-vars FF = {}
| bexp-vars (V n) = {n}
| bexp-vars (Not b) = bexp-vars b
| bexp-vars (And b1 b2) = bexp-vars b1 ∪ bexp-vars b2
| bexp-vars (Or b1 b2) = bexp-vars b1 ∪ bexp-vars b2

primrec instr-vars :: instr ⇒ nat set where
| instr-vars (AssI xs bs) = set xs ∪ ∪(bexp-vars‘set bs)
| instr-vars (TestI b -) = bexp-vars b
| instr-vars (ChoiceI cs) = ∪(bexp-vars‘fst‘set cs)
| instr-vars (GotoI -) = {}

find-consts 'a array ⇒ 'a list

definition bprog-vars :: bprog ⇒ nat set where

```

$$bprog-vars\ bp = \bigcup (instr-vars`set (list-of-array\ bp))$$

```

definition state-bound bp s0
   $\equiv \{s. bs\alpha s - bprog-vars\ bp = bs\alpha s0 - bprog-vars\ bp\}$ 
abbreviation config-bound bp s0  $\equiv \{0.. < pc\text{-bound}\ bp\} \times state\text{-bound}\ bp\ s0$ 

lemma exec-bound:
  assumes PCB:  $pc < array\text{-length}\ bp$ 
  assumes SB:  $s \in state\text{-bound}\ bp\ s0$ 
  shows set (exec (array-get bp pc) (pc,s))  $\subseteq config\text{-bound}\ bp\ s0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-bound-step:
  notes [simp del] = exec.simps
  assumes BOUND:  $c \in config\text{-bound}\ bp\ s0$ 
  assumes STEP:  $c' \in set (nexts\ bp\ c)$ 
  shows  $c' \in config\text{-bound}\ bp\ s0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reachable-configs-in-bound:
   $c \in config\text{-bound}\ bp\ s0 \implies reachable\text{-configs}\ bp\ c \subseteq config\text{-bound}\ bp\ s0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reachable-configs-out-of-bound:  $(pc',s') \in reachable\text{-configs}\ bp\ (pc,s)$ 
   $\implies \neg pc < pc\text{-bound}\ bp \implies (pc',s') = (pc,s)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-bexp-vars[simp, intro!]: finite (bexp-vars be)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-instr-vars[simp, intro!]: finite (instr-vars ins)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-bprog-vars[simp, intro!]: finite (bprog-vars bp)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-state-bound[simp, intro!]: finite (state-bound bp s0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-config-bound[simp, intro!]: finite (config-bound bp s0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reachable-configs-finite[simp, intro!]:
  finite (reachable-configs bp c)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition bpc-is-run bpc r  $\equiv$  let  $(bp,c)=bpc$  in  $r\ 0 = c \wedge (\forall i. r\ (Suc\ i) \in set (BoolProgs.nexts\ bp\ (r\ i)))$ 

```

```
definition bpc-props c ≡ bs-α (snd c)
definition bpc-lang bpc ≡ {bpc-props o r | r. bpc-is-run bpc r}
```

```
fun print-config ::  
  (nat ⇒ string) ⇒ (bitset ⇒ string) ⇒ config ⇒ string where  
  print-config f fx (p,s) = f p @ " " @ fx s  
end
```

References

- [1] J. Esparza, P. Lammich, R. Neumann, T. Nipkow, A. Schimpf, and J.-G. Smaus. A fully verified executable ltl model checker. In N. Sharygina and H. Veith, editors, *Computer Aided Verification*, volume 8044 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 463–478. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- [2] G. Holzmann, D. Peled, and M. Yannakakis. On nested depth first search. In *Proc. of SPIN Workshop*, volume 32 of *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 23–32. American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [3] P. Lammich. Verified efficient implementation of Gabow’s strongly connected component algorithm. In *Proc. of ITP*, 2014. to appear.
- [4] S. Schwoon and J. Esparza. A note on on-the-fly verification algorithms. In N. Halbwachs and L. Zuck, editors, *Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS)*, volume 3440 of *LNCS*, pages 174–190. Springer, 2005.