

Büchi Complementation

Julian Brunner

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry provides a verified implementation of rank-based Büchi Complementation [1]. The verification is done in three steps:

1. Definition of odd rankings and proof that an automaton rejects a word iff there exists an odd ranking for it.
2. Definition of the complement automaton and proof that it accepts exactly those words for which there is an odd ranking.
3. Verified implementation of the complement automaton using the Isabelle Collections Framework.

Contents

1 Alternating Function Iteration	2
2 Run Graphs	2
3 Rankings	5
3.1 Rankings	5
3.2 Ranking Implies Word not in Language	5
3.3 Word not in Language Implies Ranking	6
3.3.1 Removal of Endangered Nodes	6
3.3.2 Removal of Safe Nodes	6
3.3.3 Run Graph Iteration	6
3.4 Node Ranks	7
3.5 Correctness Theorem	8
4 Complementation	8
4.1 Level Rankings and Complementation States	8
4.2 Word in Complement Language Implies Ranking	9
4.3 Ranking Implies Word in Complement Language	9
4.4 Correctness Theorem	10

5	Complementation Implementation	10
5.1	Phase 1	11
5.2	Phase 2	12
5.3	Phase 3	13
5.4	Phase 4	14
5.5	Phase 5	15
5.6	Phase 6	17
5.7	Phase 7	17
6	Boolean Formulae	21
7	Final Instantiation of Algorithms Related to Complementation	22
7.1	Syntax	22
7.2	Hashcodes on Complement States	22
7.3	Complementation	22
7.4	Language Subset	23
7.5	Language Equality	23
8	Build and test exported program with MLton	24

1 Alternating Function Iteration

```

theory Alternate
imports Main
begin

primrec alternate :: "('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ nat ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a) where
  alternate f g 0 = id | alternate f g (Suc k) = alternate g f k ∘ f

lemma alternate-Suc[simp]: alternate f g (Suc k) = (if even k then f else g) ∘
  alternate f g k
  ⟨proof⟩

declare alternate.simps(2)[simp del]

lemma alternate-antimono:
  assumes ⋀ x. f x ≤ x ⋀ x. g x ≤ x
  shows antimono (alternate f g)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

2 Run Graphs

```
theory Graph
```

```

imports Transition-Systems-and-Automata.NBA
begin

type-synonym 'state node = nat × 'state

abbreviation ginitial A ≡ {0} × initial A
abbreviation gaccepting A ≡ accepting A ∘ snd

global-interpretation graph: transition-system-initial
  const
   $\lambda u (k, p). w !! k \in \text{alphabet } A \wedge u \in \{\text{Suc } k\} \times \text{transition } A (w !! k) p \cap V$ 
   $\lambda v. v \in \text{ginitial } A \cap V$ 
  for A w V
  defines
    gpath = graph.path and grun = graph.run and
    greachable = graph.reachable and gnodes = graph.nodes
  ⟨proof⟩

  We disable rules that are degenerate due to execute = ( $\lambda x . . . x$ ).

  declare graph.reachable.execute[rule del]
  declare graph.nodes.execute[rule del]

  abbreviation gttarget ≡ graph.target
  abbreviation gstates ≡ graph.states
  abbreviation gtrace ≡ graph.trace

  abbreviation gsuccesors :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label stream ⇒
    'state node set ⇒ 'state node ⇒ 'state node set where
    gsuccesors A w V ≡ graph.successors TYPE('label) w A V

  abbreviation gusuccesors A w ≡ gsuccesors A w UNIV
  abbreviation gupath A w ≡ gpath A w UNIV
  abbreviation gurun A w ≡ grun A w UNIV
  abbreviation gureachable A w ≡ greachable A w UNIV
  abbreviation gunodes A w ≡ gnodes A w UNIV

  lemma gttarget-alt-def: gttarget r v = last (v # r) ⟨proof⟩
  lemma gstates-alt-def: gstates r v = r ⟨proof⟩
  lemma gtrace-alt-def: gtrace r v = r ⟨proof⟩

  lemma gpath-elim[elim?]:
    assumes gpath A w V s v
    obtains r k p
    where s = [Suc k ..< Suc k + length r] || r v = (k, p)
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma gpath-path[symmetric]: path A (stake (length r) (sdrop k w) || r) p ←→
    gpath A w UNIV ([Suc k ..< Suc k + length r] || r) (k, p)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma grun-elim[elim?]:
  assumes grun A w V s v
  obtains r k p
  where s = fromN (Suc k) ||| r v = (k, p)
  {proof}

lemma run-grun:
  assumes run A (sdrop k w ||| r) p
  shows gurun A w (fromN (Suc k) ||| r) (k, p)
  {proof}

lemma grun-run:
  assumes grun A w V (fromN (Suc k) ||| r) (k, p)
  shows run A (sdrop k w ||| r) p
  {proof}

lemma greachable-reachable:
  fixes l q k p
  defines u ≡ (l, q)
  defines v ≡ (k, p)
  assumes u ∈ greachable A w V v
  shows q ∈ reachable A p
  {proof}

lemma gnodes-nodes: gnodes A w V ⊆ UNIV × nodes A
  {proof}

lemma gpath-subset:
  assumes gpath A w V r v
  assumes set (gstates r v) ⊆ U
  shows gpath A w U r v
  {proof}

lemma grun-subset:
  assumes grun A w V r v
  assumes sset (gtrace r v) ⊆ U
  shows grun A w U r v
  {proof}

lemma greachable-subset: greachable A w V v ⊆ insert v V
  {proof}

lemma gtrace-infinite:
  assumes grun A w V r v
  shows infinite (sset (gtrace r v))
  {proof}

lemma infinite-greachable-gtrace:
  assumes grun A w V r v

```

```

assumes  $u \in sset(gtrace r v)$ 
shows  $\text{infinite}(\text{greachable } A w V u)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma finite-nodes-gsuccessors:
  assumes  $\text{finite}(\text{nodes } A)$ 
  assumes  $v \in \text{gunodes } A w$ 
  shows  $\text{finite}(\text{gusuccessors } A w v)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

3 Rankings

```

theory Ranking
imports
  Alternate
  Graph
begin

```

3.1 Rankings

```
type-synonym 'state ranking = 'state node  $\Rightarrow$  nat
```

```

definition ranking :: ('label, 'state) nba  $\Rightarrow$  'label stream  $\Rightarrow$  'state ranking  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  ranking  $A w f \equiv$ 
     $(\forall v \in \text{gunodes } A w. f v \leq 2 * \text{card}(\text{nodes } A)) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall v \in \text{gunodes } A w. \forall u \in \text{gusuccessors } A w v. f u \leq f v) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall v \in \text{gunodes } A w. \text{gaccepting } A v \longrightarrow \text{even}(f v)) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall v \in \text{gunodes } A w. \forall r k. \text{gurun } A w r v \longrightarrow \text{smap } f(gtrace r v) = \text{sconst}$ 
     $k \longrightarrow \text{odd } k)$ 

```

3.2 Ranking Implies Word not in Language

```

lemma ranking-stuck:
  assumes ranking  $A w f$ 
  assumes  $v \in \text{gunodes } A w$  gurun  $A w r v$ 
  obtains  $n k$ 
  where smap  $f(gtrace(sdrop n r)(gtarget(stake n r) v)) = \text{sconst } k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ranking-stuck-odd:
  assumes ranking  $A w f$ 
  assumes  $v \in \text{gunodes } A w$  gurun  $A w r v$ 
  obtains  $n$ 
  where Ball (sset (smap  $f(gtrace(sdrop n r)(gtarget(stake n r) v)))$ ) odd
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ranking-language:
  assumes ranking A w f
  shows w ∉ language A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.3 Word not in Language Implies Ranking

3.3.1 Removal of Endangered Nodes

```

definition clean :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label stream ⇒ 'state node set where
  clean A w V ≡ {v ∈ V. infinite (greachable A w V v)}

```

```

lemma clean-decreasing: clean A w V ⊆ V ⟨proof⟩
lemma clean-successors:
  assumes v ∈ V u ∈ gusuccessors A w v
  shows u ∈ clean A w V ⇒ v ∈ clean A w V
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.3.2 Removal of Safe Nodes

```

definition prune :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label stream ⇒ 'state node set where
  prune A w V ≡ {v ∈ V. ∃ u ∈ greachable A w V v. gaccepting A u}

```

```

lemma prune-decreasing: prune A w V ⊆ V ⟨proof⟩
lemma prune-successors:
  assumes v ∈ V u ∈ gusuccessors A w v
  shows u ∈ prune A w V ⇒ v ∈ prune A w V
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.3.3 Run Graph Iteration

```

definition graph :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label stream ⇒ nat ⇒ 'state node set where
  graph A w k ≡ alternate (clean A w) (prune A w) k (gunodes A w)

```

```

abbreviation level A w k l ≡ {v ∈ graph A w k. fst v = l}

```

```

lemma graph-0[simp]: graph A w 0 = gunodes A w ⟨proof⟩
lemma graph-Suc[simp]: graph A w (Suc k) = (if even k then clean A w else
prune A w) (graph A w k)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma graph-antimono: antimono (graph A w)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma graph-nodes: graph A w k ⊆ gunodes A w ⟨proof⟩
lemma graph-successors:
  assumes v ∈ gunodes A w u ∈ gusuccessors A w v
  shows u ∈ graph A w k ⇒ v ∈ graph A w k

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *graph-level-finite*:
assumes *finite (nodes A)*
shows *finite (level A w k l)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *find-safe*:
assumes *w \notin language A*
assumes *V $\neq \{\}$ V \subseteq gunodes A w*
assumes $\bigwedge v. v \in V \implies gsuccessors A w V v \neq \{\}$
obtains *v*
where *v \in V $\forall u \in greachable A w V v. \neg gaccepting A u$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *remove-run*:
assumes *finite (nodes A) w \notin language A*
assumes *V \subseteq gunodes A w clean A w V $\neq \{\}$*
obtains *v r*
where
grun A w V r v
sset (gtrace r v) \subseteq clean A w V
sset (gtrace r v) \subseteq - prune A w (clean A w V)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *level-bounded*:
assumes *finite (nodes A) w \notin language A*
obtains *n*
where $\bigwedge l. l \geq n \implies card (level A w (2 * k) l) \leq card (nodes A) - k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *graph-empty*:
assumes *finite (nodes A) w \notin language A*
shows *graph A w (Suc (2 * card (nodes A))) = {}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *graph-le*:
assumes *finite (nodes A) w \notin language A*
assumes *v \in graph A w k*
shows *k \leq 2 * card (nodes A)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.4 Node Ranks

definition *rank :: ('label, 'state) nba \Rightarrow 'label stream \Rightarrow 'state node \Rightarrow nat* **where**
rank A w v \equiv GREATEST k. v \in graph A w k

lemma *rank-member*:
assumes *finite (nodes A) w \notin language A v \in gunodes A w*
shows *v \in graph A w (rank A w v)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma rank-removed:
  assumes finite (nodes A) w  $\notin$  language A
  shows v  $\notin$  graph A w (Suc (rank A w v))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma rank-le:
  assumes finite (nodes A) w  $\notin$  language A
  assumes v  $\in$  gunodes A w u  $\in$  gusuccessors A w v
  shows rank A w u  $\leq$  rank A w v
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma language-ranking:
  assumes finite (nodes A) w  $\notin$  language A
  shows ranking A w (rank A w)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

3.5 Correctness Theorem

```

theorem language-ranking-iff:
  assumes finite (nodes A)
  shows w  $\notin$  language A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  f. ranking A w f)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

4 Complementation

```

theory Complementation
imports
  Transition-Systems-and-AutomataMaps
  Ranking
begin

```

4.1 Level Rankings and Complementation States

type-synonym 'state lr = 'state \rightarrow nat

```

definition lr-succ :: ('label, 'state) nba  $\Rightarrow$  'label  $\Rightarrow$  'state lr  $\Rightarrow$  'state lr set where
  lr-succ A a f  $\equiv$  {g.
    dom g =  $\bigcup$  (transition A a ' dom f)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  p  $\in$  dom f.  $\forall$  q  $\in$  transition A a p. the (g q)  $\leq$  the (f p))  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  q  $\in$  dom g. accepting A q  $\longrightarrow$  even (the (g q)))}

```

type-synonym 'state st = 'state set

```

definition st-succ :: ('label, 'state) nba  $\Rightarrow$  'label  $\Rightarrow$  'state lr  $\Rightarrow$  'state st  $\Rightarrow$  'state st where
  st-succ A a g P  $\equiv$  {q  $\in$  if P = {} then dom g else  $\bigcup$  (transition A a ' P). even
  (the (g q))} 

```

```

type-synonym 'state cs = 'state lr × 'state st

definition complement-succ :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label ⇒ 'state cs ⇒ 'state
cs set where
  complement-succ A a ≡ λ (f, P). {(g, st-succ A a g P) | g. g ∈ lr-succ A a f}

definition complement :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ ('label, 'state cs) nba where
  complement A ≡ nba
  (alphabet A)
  ({const (Some (2 * card (nodes A)))} ∣ initial A} × {{}})
  (complement-succ A)
  (λ (f, P). P = {})

lemma dom-nodes:
  assumes fP ∈ nodes (complement A)
  shows dom (fst fP) ⊆ nodes A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ran-nodes:
  assumes fP ∈ nodes (complement A)
  shows ran (fst fP) ⊆ {0 .. 2 * card (nodes A)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma states-nodes:
  assumes fP ∈ nodes (complement A)
  shows snd fP ⊆ nodes A
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem complement-finite:
  assumes finite (nodes A)
  shows finite (nodes (complement A))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-trace-snth:
  assumes run (complement A) (w ||| r) p
  defines m ≡ p ## trace (w ||| r) p
  obtains
    fst (m !! Suc k) ∈ lr-succ A (w !! k) (fst (m !! k))
    snd (m !! Suc k) = st-succ A (w !! k) (fst (m !! Suc k)) (snd (m !! k))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.2 Word in Complement Language Implies Ranking

```

lemma complement-ranking:
  assumes w ∈ language (complement A)
  obtains f
  where ranking A w f
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.3 Ranking Implies Word in Complement Language

```

definition reach where

```

```

reach A w i ≡ {target r p | r p. path A r p ∧ p ∈ initial A ∧ map fst r = stake
i w}

lemma reach-0[simp]: reach A w 0 = initial A ⟨proof⟩
lemma reach-Suc-empty:
  assumes w !! n ∉ alphabet A
  shows reach A w (Suc n) = {}
⟨proof⟩
lemma reach-Suc-succ:
  assumes w !! n ∈ alphabet A
  shows reach A w (Suc n) = ∪ (transition A (w !! n) ‘ reach A w n)
⟨proof⟩
lemma reach-Suc[simp]: reach A w (Suc n) = (if w !! n ∈ alphabet A
then ∪ (transition A (w !! n) ‘ reach A w n) else {})
⟨proof⟩
lemma reach-nodes: reach A w i ⊆ nodes A ⟨proof⟩
lemma reach-gunodes: {i} × reach A w i ⊆ gunodes A w
⟨proof⟩

lemma ranking-complement:
  assumes finite (nodes A) w ∈ streams (alphabet A) ranking A w f
  shows w ∈ language (complement A)
⟨proof⟩

```

4.4 Correctness Theorem

```

theorem complement-language:
  assumes finite (nodes A)
  shows language (complement A) = streams (alphabet A) – language A
⟨proof⟩

```

end

5 Complementation Implementation

```

theory Complementation-Implement
imports
  Transition-Systems-and-Automata.NBA-Implement
  Complementation
begin

  unbundle lattice-syntax

  type-synonym item = nat × bool
  type-synonym 'state items = 'state → item

  type-synonym state = (nat × item) list
  abbreviation item-rel ≡ nat-rel ×r bool-rel
  abbreviation state-rel ≡ ⟨nat-rel, item-rel⟩ list-map-rel

```

abbreviation $\text{pred } A \ a \ q \equiv \{p. \ q \in \text{transition } A \ a \ p\}$

5.1 Phase 1

```

definition cs-lr :: 'state items  $\Rightarrow$  'state lr where
  cs-lr f  $\equiv$  map-option fst  $\circ$  f
definition cs-st :: 'state items  $\Rightarrow$  'state st where
  cs-st f  $\equiv$  f -` Some ` snd -` {True}
abbreviation cs-abs :: 'state items  $\Rightarrow$  'state cs where
  cs-abs f  $\equiv$  (cs-lr f, cs-st f)
definition cs-rep :: 'state cs  $\Rightarrow$  'state items where
  cs-rep  $\equiv$   $\lambda (g, P). p. \text{map-option} (\lambda k. (k, p \in P)) (g p)$ 

lemma cs-abs-rep[simp]: cs-rep (cs-abs f) = f
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma cs-rep-lr[simp]: cs-lr (cs-rep (g, P)) = g
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma cs-rep-st[simp]: cs-st (cs-rep (g, P)) =  $P \cap \text{dom } g$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cs-lr-dom[simp]: dom (cs-lr f) = dom f  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma cs-lr-apply[simp]:
  assumes  $p \in \text{dom } f$ 
  shows the (cs-lr f p) = fst (the (f p))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cs-rep-dom[simp]: dom (cs-rep (g, P)) = dom g  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma cs-rep-apply[simp]:
  assumes  $p \in \text{dom } f$ 
  shows fst (the (cs-rep (f, P) p)) = the (f p)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

abbreviation cs-rel :: ('state items  $\times$  'state cs) set where
  cs-rel  $\equiv$  br cs-abs top

lemma cs-rel-inv-single-valued: single-valued ( $\text{cs-rel}^{-1}$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition refresh-1 :: 'state items  $\Rightarrow$  'state items where
  refresh-1 f  $\equiv$  if True  $\in$  snd ` ran f then f else map-option (apsnd top)  $\circ$  f
definition ranks-1 :: 
  ('label, 'state) nba  $\Rightarrow$  'label  $\Rightarrow$  'state items  $\Rightarrow$  'state items set where
  ranks-1 A a f  $\equiv$  {g.
    dom g =  $\bigcup ((\text{transition } A \ a) ` (\text{dom } f)) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall p \in \text{dom } f. \forall q \in \text{transition } A \ a \ p. \text{fst} (\text{the} (g \ q)) \leq \text{fst} (\text{the} (f \ p))) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall q \in \text{dom } g. \text{accepting } A \ q \longrightarrow \text{even} (\text{fst} (\text{the} (g \ q)))) \wedge$ 
    cs-st g =  $\{q \in \bigcup ((\text{transition } A \ a) ` (\text{cs-st } f)). \text{even} (\text{fst} (\text{the} (g \ q)))\}$ 
definition complement-succ-1 ::
```

```

('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label ⇒ 'state items ⇒ 'state items set where
complement-succ-1 A a = ranks-1 A a ∘ refresh-1
definition complement-1 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ ('label, 'state items) nba where
complement-1 A ≡ nba
(alphabet A)
({const (Some (2 * card (nodes A), False)) |‘ initial A})
(complement-succ-1 A)
(λ f. cs-st f = {})

lemma refresh-1-dom[simp]: dom (refresh-1 f) = dom f ⟨proof⟩
lemma refresh-1-apply[simp]: fst (the (refresh-1 f p)) = fst (the (f p))
⟨proof⟩
lemma refresh-1-CS-ST[simp]: cs-st (refresh-1 f) = (if cs-st f = {} then dom f else
cs-st f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-succ-1-abs:
assumes g ∈ complement-succ-1 A a f
shows cs-abs g ∈ complement-succ A a (cs-abs f)
⟨proof⟩
lemma complement-succ-1-rep:
assumes P ⊆ dom f (g, Q) ∈ complement-succ A a (f, P)
shows cs-rep (g, Q) ∈ complement-succ-1 A a (cs-rep (f, P))
⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-succ-1-refine: (complement-succ-1, complement-succ) ∈
Id → Id → cs-rel → ⟨cs-rel⟩ set-rel
⟨proof⟩
lemma complement-1-refine: (complement-1, complement) ∈ ⟨Id, Id⟩ nba-rel →
⟨Id, cs-rel⟩ nba-rel
⟨proof⟩

```

5.2 Phase 2

```

definition ranks-2 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label ⇒ 'state items ⇒ 'state items
set where
ranks-2 A a f ≡ {g.
dom g = ⋃ ((transition A a) ‘ (dom f)) ∧
(∀ q l d. g q = Some (l, d) →
l ≤ ⋂ (fst ‘ Some –‘ f ‘ pred A a q) ∧
(d ↔ ⋃ (snd ‘ Some –‘ f ‘ pred A a q) ∧ even l) ∧
(accepting A q → even l))}

definition complement-succ-2 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label ⇒ 'state items ⇒ 'state items set where
complement-succ-2 A a ≡ ranks-2 A a ∘ refresh-1
definition complement-2 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ ('label, 'state items) nba where
complement-2 A ≡ nba
(alphabet A)
({const (Some (2 * card (nodes A), False)) |‘ initial A})

```

```
(complement-succ-2 A)
(λ f. True ∉ snd ` ran f)
```

```
lemma ranks-2-refine: ranks-2 = ranks-1
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma complement-2-refine: (complement-2, complement-1) ∈ ⟨Id, Id⟩ nba-rel
→ ⟨Id, Id⟩ nba-rel
⟨proof⟩
```

5.3 Phase 3

```
definition bounds-3 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label ⇒ 'state items ⇒ 'state items
where
```

```
bounds-3 A a f ≡ λ q. let S = Some -` f ` pred A a q in
if S = {} then None else Some (Π(fst ` S), Σ(snd ` S))
```

```
definition items-3 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'state ⇒ item ⇒ item set where
```

```
items-3 A p ≡ λ (k, c). {(l, c ∧ even l) | l. l ≤ k ∧ (accepting A p → even l)}
```

```
definition get-3 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'state items ⇒ ('state → item set)
```

```
where
```

```
get-3 A f ≡ λ p. map-option (items-3 A p) (f p)
```

```
definition complement-succ-3 ::
```

```
('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label ⇒ 'state items ⇒ 'state items set where
```

```
complement-succ-3 A a ≡ expand-map ∘ get-3 A ∘ bounds-3 A a ∘ refresh-1
```

```
definition complement-3 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ ('label, 'state items) nba where
```

```
complement-3 A ≡ nba
```

```
(alphabet A)
```

```
{(Some ∘ (const (2 * card (nodes A), False))) | ` initial A}
```

```
(complement-succ-3 A)
```

```
(λ f. ∀ (p, k, c) ∈ map-to-set f. ⊢ c)
```

```
lemma bounds-3-dom[simp]: dom (bounds-3 A a f) = ∪((transition A a) ` (dom f))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma items-3-nonempty[intro!, simp]: items-3 A p s ≠ {} ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma items-3-finite[intro!, simp]: finite (items-3 A p s)
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma get-3-dom[simp]: dom (get-3 A f) = dom f ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma get-3-finite[intro, simp]: S ∈ ran (get-3 A f) ⇒ finite S
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma get-3-update[simp]: get-3 A (f (p ↦ s)) = (get-3 A f) (p ↦ items-3 A p s)
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma expand-map-get-bounds-3: expand-map ∘ get-3 A ∘ bounds-3 A a =
ranks-2 A a
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma complement-succ-3-refine: complement-succ-3 = complement-succ-2
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma complement-initial-3-refine: {const (Some (2 * card (nodes A), False))
| `initial A} =
  {(Some o (const (2 * card (nodes A), False))) | `initial A}
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma complement-accepting-3-refine: True ∉ snd `ran f ↔ (forall (p, k, c) ∈
map-to-set f. ¬ c)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-3-refine: (complement-3, complement-2) ∈ ⟨Id, Id⟩ nba-rel
→ ⟨Id, Id⟩ nba-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.4 Phase 4

```

definition items-4 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'state ⇒ item ⇒ item set where
  items-4 A p ≡ λ (k, c). {(l, c ∧ even l) | l. k ≤ Suc l ∧ l ≤ k ∧ (accepting A p
  → even l)}
definition get-4 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'state items ⇒ ('state → item set)
where
  get-4 A f ≡ λ p. map-option (items-4 A p) (f p)
definition complement-succ-4 :: 
  ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label ⇒ 'state items ⇒ 'state items set where
  complement-succ-4 A a ≡ expand-map o get-4 A o bounds-3 A a o refresh-1
definition complement-4 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ ('label, 'state items) nba where
  complement-4 A ≡ nba
  (alphabet A)
  {(Some o (const (2 * card (nodes A), False))) | `initial A}
  (complement-succ-4 A)
  (λ f. ∀ (p, k, c) ∈ map-to-set f. ¬ c)

```

lemma get-4-dom[simp]: dom (get-4 A f) = dom f ⟨proof⟩

```

definition R :: 'state items rel where
  R ≡ {(f, g).
    dom f = dom g ∧
    (forall p ∈ dom f. fst (the (f p)) ≤ fst (the (g p))) ∧
    (forall p ∈ dom f. snd (the (f p)) ↔ snd (the (g p)))}

```

```

lemma bounds-R:
assumes (f, g) ∈ R
assumes bounds-3 A a (refresh-1 f) p = Some (n, e)
assumes bounds-3 A a (refresh-1 g) p = Some (k, c)
shows n ≤ k e ↔ c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma complement-4-language-1: language (complement-3 A) ⊆ language (complement-4

```

A)
⟨proof⟩
lemma complement-4-less: complement-4 A ≤ complement-3 A
⟨proof⟩
lemma complement-4-language-2: language (complement-4 A) ⊆ language (complement-3
A)
⟨proof⟩
lemma complement-4-language: language (complement-3 A) = language (complement-4
A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-4-finite[simp]:
assumes finite (nodes A)
shows finite (nodes (complement-4 A))
⟨proof⟩
lemma complement-4-correct:
assumes finite (nodes A)
shows language (complement-4 A) = streams (alphabet A) – language A
⟨proof⟩

```

5.5 Phase 5

```

definition refresh-5 :: 'state items ⇒ 'state items nres where
refresh-5 f ≡ if ∃ (p, k, c) ∈ map-to-set f. c
then RETURN f
else do
{
  ASSUME (finite (dom f));
  FOREACH (map-to-set f) (λ (p, k, c) m. do
  {
    ASSERT (p ∉ dom m);
    RETURN (m (p ↦ (k, True)))
  })
  ) Map.empty
}
definition merge-5 :: item ⇒ item option ⇒ item where
merge-5 ≡ λ (k, c). λ None ⇒ (k, c) | Some (l, d) ⇒ (k ∪ l, c ∪ d)
definition bounds-5 :: ('label, 'state) nba ⇒ 'label ⇒ 'state items ⇒ 'state items
nres where
bounds-5 A a f ≡ do
{
  ASSUME (finite (dom f));
  ASSUME (∀ p. finite (transition A a p));
  FOREACH (map-to-set f) (λ (p, s) m.
  FOREACH (transition A a p) (λ q f.
  RETURN (f (q ↦ merge-5 s (f q))))
  m)
  Map.empty
}

```

```

definition items-5 :: ('label, 'state) nba  $\Rightarrow$  'state  $\Rightarrow$  item set where
  items-5 A p  $\equiv$   $\lambda (k, c).$  do
  {
    let values = if accepting A p then Set.filter even {k - 1 .. k} else {k - 1 .. k};
    let item =  $\lambda l.$  (l, c  $\wedge$  even l);
    item ` values
  }
definition get-5 :: ('label, 'state) nba  $\Rightarrow$  'state items  $\Rightarrow$  ('state  $\rightarrow$  item set)
where
  get-5 A f  $\equiv$   $\lambda p.$  map-option (items-5 A p) (f p)
definition expand-5 :: ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b set)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b) set nres where
  expand-5 f  $\equiv$  FOREACH (map-to-set f) ( $\lambda (x, S)$  X. do {
    ASSERT ( $\forall g \in X.$  x  $\notin$  dom g);
    ASSERT ( $\forall a \in S. \forall b \in S. a \neq b \longrightarrow (\lambda y. (\lambda g. g (x \mapsto y))` X) a \cap (\lambda y. (\lambda g. g (x \mapsto y))` X) b = \{\}$ );
    RETURN ( $\bigcup_{y \in S.} (\lambda g. g (x \mapsto y))` X$ )
  }) {Map.empty}
definition complement-succ-5 :: ('label, 'state) nba  $\Rightarrow$  'label  $\Rightarrow$  'state items set nres where
  complement-succ-5 A a f  $\equiv$  do
  {
    f  $\leftarrow$  refresh-5 f;
    f  $\leftarrow$  bounds-5 A a f;
    ASSUME (finite (dom f));
    expand-5 (get-5 A f)
  }

lemma bounds-3-empty: bounds-3 A a Map.empty = Map.empty
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma bounds-3-update: bounds-3 A a (f (p  $\mapsto$  s)) =
  override-on (bounds-3 A a f) (Some  $\circ$  merge-5 s  $\circ$  bounds-3 A a (f (p := None))) (transition A a p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma refresh-5-refine: (refresh-5,  $\lambda f.$  RETURN (refresh-1 f))  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  ⟨Id⟩
nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma bounds-5-refine: (bounds-5 A a,  $\lambda f.$  RETURN (bounds-3 A a f))  $\in$  Id
 $\rightarrow$  ⟨Id⟩ nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma items-5-refine: items-5 = items-4
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma get-5-refine: get-5 = get-4
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma expand-5-refine: (expand-5 f, ASSERT (finite (dom f))  $\gg$  RETURN (expand-map f))  $\in$  ⟨Id⟩ nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma complement-succ-5-refine: (complement-succ-5, RETURN  $\circ\circ$  complement-succ-4)  $\in$ 
   $Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle$  nres-rel
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.6 Phase 6

```

definition expand-map-get-6 :: ('label, 'state) nba  $\Rightarrow$  'state items  $\Rightarrow$  'state items
set nres where
  expand-map-get-6 A f  $\equiv$  FOREACH (map-to-set f) ( $\lambda (k, v)$  X. do {
    ASSERT ( $\forall g \in X. k \notin \text{dom } g$ );
    ASSERT ( $\forall a \in (\text{items-5 } A k v). \forall b \in (\text{items-5 } A k v). a \neq b \longrightarrow (\lambda y. (\lambda g. g (k \mapsto y))`X) a \cap (\lambda y. (\lambda g. g (k \mapsto y))`X) b = \{\}$ );
    RETURN ( $\bigcup_{y \in \text{items-5 } A k v} (\lambda g. g (k \mapsto y))`X$ )
  }) {Map.empty}

```

```

lemma expand-map-get-6-refine: (expand-map-get-6, expand-5  $\circ\circ$  get-5)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$ 
   $Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle$  nres-rel
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition complement-succ-6 :: ('label, 'state) nba  $\Rightarrow$  'label  $\Rightarrow$  'state items  $\Rightarrow$  'state items set nres where
  complement-succ-6 A a f  $\equiv$  do
  {
    f  $\leftarrow$  refresh-5 f;
    f  $\leftarrow$  bounds-5 A a f;
    ASSUME (finite (dom f));
    expand-map-get-6 A f
  }

```

```

lemma complement-succ-6-refine:
  (complement-succ-6, complement-succ-5)  $\in$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\rightarrow$  Id  $\rightarrow$   $\langle Id \rangle$  nres-rel
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.7 Phase 7

```
interpretation autoref-syn  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

context
fixes fi f
assumes fi[autoref-rules]: (fi, f)  $\in$  state-rel
begin

```

```

private lemma [simp]: finite (dom f)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

schematic-goal refresh-7: (?f :: ?'a, refresh-5 f)  $\in$  ?R
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

concrete-definition *refresh-7* **uses** *refresh-7*

lemma *refresh-7-refine*: $(\lambda f. \text{RETURN} (\text{refresh-7 } f), \text{refresh-5}) \in \text{state-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{state-rel} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

fixes *A* :: $(\text{'label}, \text{nat}) \text{nba}$

fixes *succi a fi f*

assumes *succi[autoref-rules]*: $(\text{succi}, \text{transition } A \ a) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$

assumes *fi[autoref-rules]*: $(\text{fi}, f) \in \text{state-rel}$

begin

private lemma [*simp*]: $\text{finite} (\text{transition } A \ a \ p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** [*simp*]: $\text{finite} (\text{dom } f)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** [*autoref-op-pat*]: $\text{transition } A \ a \equiv \text{OP} (\text{transition } A \ a)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** [*autoref-rules*]: $(\text{min}, \text{min}) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \text{nat-rel}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

schematic-goal *bounds-7*:

notes *ty-REL*[**where** *R* = $\langle \text{nat-rel}, \text{item-rel} \rangle \text{dftt-ahm-rel}, \text{autoref-tyrel}$]

shows $(?f :: ?'a, \text{bounds-5 } A \ a \ f) \in ?R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

concrete-definition *bounds-7* **uses** *bounds-7*

lemma *bounds-7-refine*: $(\text{si}, \text{transition } A \ a) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel} \implies$
 $(\lambda p. \text{RETURN} (\text{bounds-7 } \text{si } p), \text{bounds-5 } A \ a) \in$
 $\text{state-rel} \rightarrow \langle \langle \text{nat-rel}, \text{item-rel} \rangle \text{dftt-ahm-rel} \rangle \text{nres-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

fixes *A* :: $(\text{'label}, \text{nat}) \text{nba}$

fixes *acci*

assumes [*autoref-rules*]: $(\text{acci}, \text{accepting } A) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$

begin

private lemma [*autoref-op-pat*]: $\text{accepting } A \equiv \text{OP} (\text{accepting } A)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*autoref-rules*]: $((\text{dvd}), (\text{dvd})) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \text{bool-rel}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** [*autoref-rules*]: $(\lambda k l. \text{upt } k (\text{Suc } l), \text{atLeastAtMost}) \in$

$\text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

schematic-goal *items-7*: $(?f :: ?'a, \text{items-5 } A) \in ?R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

end

concrete-definition items-7 uses items-7

context
  fixes A :: ('label, nat) nba
  fixes ai
  fixes fi f
  assumes ai: (ai, accepting A) ∈ nat-rel → bool-rel
  assumes fi[autoref-rules]: (fi, f) ∈ ⟨nat-rel, item-rel⟩ dflt-ahm-rel
begin

  private lemma [simp]: finite (dom f)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma [simp]:
  assumes ⋀ m. m ∈ S ⇒ x ∉ dom m
  shows inj-on (λ m. m (x ↦ y)) S
  ⟨proof⟩ lemmas [simp] = op-map-update-def[abs-def]

  private lemma [autoref-op-pat]: items-5 A ≡ OP (items-5 A) ⟨proof⟩ lemmas
  [autoref-rules] = items-7.refine[OF ai]

  schematic-goal expand-map-get-7: (?f, expand-map-get-6 A f) ∈
  ⟨⟨state-rel⟩ list-set-rel⟩ nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

end

concrete-definition expand-map-get-7 uses expand-map-get-7

lemma expand-map-get-7-refine:
  assumes (ai, accepting A) ∈ nat-rel → bool-rel
  shows (λ fi. RETURN (expand-map-get-7 ai fi),
        λ f. ASSUME (finite (dom f)) ≫ expand-map-get-6 A f) ∈
        ⟨nat-rel, item-rel⟩ dflt-ahm-rel → ⟨⟨state-rel⟩ list-set-rel⟩ nres-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes A :: ('label, nat) nba
  fixes a :: 'label
  fixes p :: nat items
  fixes Ai
  fixes ai
  fixes pi
  assumes Ai: (Ai, A) ∈ ⟨Id, Id⟩ nbai-nba-rel
  assumes ai: (ai, a) ∈ Id
  assumes pi[autoref-rules]: (pi, p) ∈ state-rel
begin

```

```

private lemmas succi = nbai-nba-param(4)[THEN fun-relD, OF Ai, THEN
fun-relD, OF ai]
private lemmas acceptingi = nbai-nba-param(5)[THEN fun-relD, OF Ai]

private lemma [autoref-op-pat]: ( $\lambda g. \text{ASSUME} (\text{finite} (\text{dom } g)) \gg \text{expand-map-get-6 } A g) \equiv$ 
 $OP (\lambda g. \text{ASSUME} (\text{finite} (\text{dom } g)) \gg \text{expand-map-get-6 } A g) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma [autoref-op-pat]: bounds-5 A a  $\equiv OP (\text{bounds-5 } A a) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$  lemmas
[autoref-rules] =
refresh-7-refine
bounds-7-refine[OF succi]
expand-map-get-7-refine[OF acceptingi]

schematic-goal complement-succ-7: ( $?f :: ?'a, \text{complement-succ-6 } A a p) \in$ 
?R
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

concrete-definition complement-succ-7 uses complement-succ-7

lemma complement-succ-7-refine:
( $RETURN \circ\circ complement-succ-7, complement-succ-6) \in$ 
 $\langle Id, Id \rangle nbai-nba-rel \rightarrow Id \rightarrow state-rel \rightarrow$ 
 $\langle \langle state-rel \rangle list-set-rel \rangle nres-rel$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context
fixes A :: ('label, nat) nba
fixes Ai
fixes n ni :: nat
assumes Ai: ( $Ai, A) \in \langle Id, Id \rangle nbai-nba-rel$ 
assumes ni[autoref-rules]: ( $ni, n) \in Id$ 
begin

private lemma [autoref-op-pat]: initial A  $\equiv OP (\text{initial } A) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$  lemmas
[autoref-rules] = nbai-nba-param(3)[THEN fun-relD, OF Ai]

schematic-goal complement-initial-7:
 $(?f, \{(Some \circ (const (2 * n, False))) \mid 'initial A\}) \in \langle state-rel \rangle list-set-rel$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

concrete-definition complement-initial-7 uses complement-initial-7

schematic-goal complement-accepting-7: ( $?f, \lambda f. \forall (p, k, c) \in map-to-set f. \neg$ 
c)  $\in$ 
state-rel  $\rightarrow$  bool-rel

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition *complement-accepting-7* **uses** *complement-accepting-7*

```
definition complement-7 :: ('label, nat) nbai ⇒ nat ⇒ ('label, state) nbai where
  complement-7 Ai ni ≡ nbai
    (alphabeti Ai)
    (complement-initial-7 Ai ni)
    (complement-succ-7 Ai)
    (complement-accepting-7)

lemma complement-7-refine[autoref-rules]:
  assumes (Ai, A) ∈ ⟨Id, Id⟩ nbai-nba-rel
  assumes (ni,
    (OP card :: ⟨Id⟩ ahs-rel bhc → nat-rel) $ 
    ((OP nodes :: ⟨Id, Id⟩ nbai-nba-rel → ⟨Id⟩ ahs-rel bhc) $ A)) ∈ nat-rel
  shows (complement-7 Ai ni, (OP complement-4 :: 
    ⟨Id, Id⟩ nbai-nba-rel → ⟨Id, state-rel⟩ nbai-nba-rel) $ A) ∈ ⟨Id, state-rel⟩
nbai-nba-rel
⟨proof⟩
```

end

6 Boolean Formulae

```
theory Formula
imports Main
begin

datatype 'a formula =
  False |
  True |
  Variable 'a |
  Negation 'a formula |
  Conjunction 'a formula 'a formula |
  Disjunction 'a formula 'a formula

primrec satisfies :: 'a set ⇒ 'a formula ⇒ bool where
  satisfies A False ⟷ HOL.False |
  satisfies A True ⟷ HOL.True |
  satisfies A (Variable a) ⟷ a ∈ A |
  satisfies A (Negation x) ⟷ ¬ satisfies A x |
  satisfies A (Conjunction x y) ⟷ satisfies A x ∧ satisfies A y |
  satisfies A (Disjunction x y) ⟷ satisfies A x ∨ satisfies A y

end
```

7 Final Instantiation of Algorithms Related to Complementation

```
theory Complementation-Final
imports
  Complementation-Implement
  Formula
  Transition-Systems-and-Automata.NBA-Translate
  Transition-Systems-and-Automata.NGBA-Algorithms
  HOL-Library.Multiset
begin
```

7.1 Syntax

```
no-syntax -do-let :: [pttrn, 'a] ⇒ do-bind (⟨⟨indent=2 notation=⟨infix do let⟩⟩let
- =/ -⟩ [1000, 13] 13)
syntax -do-let :: [pttrn, 'a] ⇒ do-bind (⟨⟨indent=2 notation=⟨infix do let⟩⟩let -
=/ -⟩ 13)
```

7.2 Hashcodes on Complement States

```
definition hci k ≡ uint32-of-nat k * 1103515245 + 12345
definition hc ≡ λ (p, q, b). hci p + hci q * 31 + (if b then 1 else 0)
definition list-hash xs ≡ fold (xor ∘ hc) xs 0
```

```
lemma list-hash-eq:
  assumes distinct xs distinct ys set xs = set ys
  shows list-hash xs = list-hash ys
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition state-hash :: nat ⇒ Complementation-Implement.state ⇒ nat where
  state-hash n p ≡ nat-of-hashcode (list-hash p) mod n
```

```
lemma state-hash-bounded-hashcode[autoref-ga-rules]: is-bounded-hashcode state-rel
  (gen-equals (Gen-Map.gen-ball (foldli ∘ list-map-to-list)) (list-map-lookup (=)))
  (prod-eq (=) (↔))) state-hash
  ⟨proof⟩
```

7.3 Complementation

```
schematic-goal complement-impl:
  assumes [simp]: finite (NBA.nodes A)
  assumes [autoref-rules]: (Ai, A) ∈ ⟨Id, nat-rel⟩ nbai-nba-rel
  shows (?f :: ?'c, op-translate (complement-4 A)) ∈ ?R
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition complement-impl uses complement-impl
```

```
theorem complement-impl-correct:
  assumes finite (NBA.nodes A)
```

```

assumes ( $A_i, A \in \langle Id, nat\text{-}rel \rangle nbai\text{-}nba\text{-}rel$ )
shows  $NBA.language(nbae\text{-}nba(nbaei\text{-}nbae(complement\text{-}impl } A_i))) =$ 
 $streams(nba.alphabet A) - NBA.language A$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

7.4 Language Subset

definition [simp]: $op\text{-language}\text{-subset } A B \equiv NBA.language A \subseteq NBA.language B$

lemmas [autoref-op-pat] = $op\text{-language}\text{-subset}\text{-def}[symmetric]$

```

schematic-goal language-subset-impl:
assumes [simp]:  $finite(NBA.nodes B)$ 
assumes [autoref-rules]:  $(A_i, A \in \langle Id, nat\text{-}rel \rangle nbai\text{-}nba\text{-}rel)$ 
assumes [autoref-rules]:  $(B_i, B \in \langle Id, nat\text{-}rel \rangle nbai\text{-}nba\text{-}rel)$ 
shows (?f :: ?'c, do {
    let  $AB' = intersect' A (complement\text{-}4 B)$ ;
    ASSERT ( $finite(NGBA.nodes AB')$ );
    RETURN ( $NGBA.language AB' = \{\}$ )
}) ∈ ?R
⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition language-subset-impl uses language-subset-impl
lemma language-subset-impl-refine[autoref-rules]:
assumes SIDE-PRECOND ( $finite(NBA.nodes A)$ )
assumes SIDE-PRECOND ( $finite(NBA.nodes B)$ )
assumes SIDE-PRECOND ( $nba.alphabet A \subseteq nba.alphabet B$ )
assumes ( $A_i, A \in \langle Id, nat\text{-}rel \rangle nbai\text{-}nba\text{-}rel$ )
assumes ( $B_i, B \in \langle Id, nat\text{-}rel \rangle nbai\text{-}nba\text{-}rel$ )
shows (language-subset-impl  $A_i B_i, (OP op\text{-language}\text{-subset} :::$ 
 $\langle Id, nat\text{-}rel \rangle nbai\text{-}nba\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle Id, nat\text{-}rel \rangle nbai\text{-}nba\text{-}rel \rightarrow bool\text{-}rel) \$ A \$ B) \in$ 
bool-rel
⟨proof⟩

```

7.5 Language Equality

definition [simp]: $op\text{-language}\text{-equal } A B \equiv NBA.language A = NBA.language B$

lemmas [autoref-op-pat] = $op\text{-language}\text{-equal}\text{-def}[symmetric]$

```

schematic-goal language-equal-impl:
assumes [simp]:  $finite(NBA.nodes A)$ 
assumes [simp]:  $finite(NBA.nodes B)$ 
assumes [simp]:  $nba.alphabet A = nba.alphabet B$ 
assumes [autoref-rules]:  $(A_i, A \in \langle Id, nat\text{-}rel \rangle nbai\text{-}nba\text{-}rel)$ 
assumes [autoref-rules]:  $(B_i, B \in \langle Id, nat\text{-}rel \rangle nbai\text{-}nba\text{-}rel)$ 
shows (?f :: ?'c,  $NBA.language A \subseteq NBA.language B \wedge NBA.language B \subseteq$ 
 $NBA.language A) \in ?R$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

concrete-definition language-equal-impl uses language-equal-impl
lemma language-equal-impl-refine[autoref-rules]:
  assumes SIDE-PRECOND (finite (NBA.nodes A))
  assumes SIDE-PRECOND (finite (NBA.nodes B))
  assumes SIDE-PRECOND (nba.alphabet A = nba.alphabet B)
  assumes (Ai, A) ∈ ⟨Id, nat-relassumes (Bi, B) ∈ ⟨Id, nat-relshows (language-equal-impl Ai Bi, (OP op-language-equal :::
    ⟨Id, nat-relId, nat-relbool-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic-goal product-impl:
  assumes [simp]: finite (NBA.nodes B)
  assumes [autoref-rules]: (Ai, A) ∈ ⟨Id, nat-relassumes [autoref-rules]: (Bi, B) ∈ ⟨Id, nat-relshows (?f :: ?'c, do {
    let AB' = intersect A (complement-4 B);
    ASSERT (finite (NBA.nodes AB'));
    op-translate AB'
  }) ∈ ?R
  ⟨proof⟩
concrete-definition product-impl uses product-impl

export-code
Set.empty Set.insert Set.member
Inf :: 'a set set ⇒ 'a set Sup :: 'a set set ⇒ 'a set image Pow set
nat-of-integer integer-of-nat
Variable Negation Conjunction Disjunction satisfies map-formula
nbaei alphabetei initialei transitioneи acceptingeи
nbae-nba-impl complement-impl language-equal-impl product-impl
in SML module-name Complementation file-prefix Complementation

end

```

8 Build and test exported program with MLton

```

theory Complementation-Build
imports Complementation-Final
begin

external-file ⟨code/Autool.mlb⟩
external-file ⟨code/Prelude.sml⟩
external-file ⟨code/Autool.sml⟩

compile-generated-files
⟨code/Complementation.ML⟩ (in Complementation-Final)
external-files

```

```

⟨code/Autool.mlb⟩
⟨code/Prelude.sml⟩
⟨code/Autool.sml⟩
export-files ⟨code/Complementation.sml⟩ and ⟨code/Autool⟩ (exe)
where ⟨fn dir =>
  let
    val exec = Generated-Files.execute (dir + Path.basic code);
    val _ = exec ⟨Prepare⟩ mv Complementation.ML Complementation.sml;
    val _ = exec ⟨Compilation⟩ (verbatim $ISABELLE-MLTON $ISABELLE-MLTON-OPTIONS
  ^ 
    –profile time –default-type intinf Autool.mlb);
    val _ = exec ⟨Test⟩ ./Autool help;
  in () end⟩

end

```

References

- [1] O. Kupferman and M. Y. Vardi. Weak alternating automata are not that weak. *ACM Trans. Comput. Logic*, 2(3):408–429, July 2001.