The Boustrophedon Transform, the Entringer Numbers, and Related Sequences

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry defines the *Boustrophedon transform*, which can be seen as either a transformation of a sequence of numbers or, equivalently, an exponential generating function. We define it in terms of the *Seidel triangle*, a number triangle similar to Pascal's triangle, and then prove the closed form $\mathcal{B}(f) = (\sec + \tan)f$.

We also define several related sequences, such as:

- the zigzag numbers E_n , counting the number of alternating permutations on a linearly ordered set with n elements; or, alternatively, the number of increasing binary trees with n elements
- the Entringer numbers $E_{n,k}$, which generalise the zigzag numbers and count the number of alternating permutations of n+1 elements that start with the k-th smallest element
- the *secant* and *tangent* numbers S_n and T_n , which are the series of numbers such that $\sec x = \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{S(n)}{(2n)!} x^{2n}$ and $\tan x = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{T(n)}{(2n-1)!} x^{2n-1}$, respectively
- the Euler numbers \mathcal{E}_n and Euler polynomials $\mathcal{E}_n(x)$, which are analogous to Bernoulli numbers and Bernoulli polynomials and satisfy many similar properties, which we also prove

Various relationships between these sequences are shown; notably we have $E_{2n} = S_n$ and $E_{2n+1} = T_{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{2n} = (-1)^n S_n$ and

$$T_n = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} 2^{2n} (2^{2n} - 1) B_{2n}}{2n}$$

where B_n denotes the Bernoulli numbers.

Reasonably efficient executable algorithms to compute the Boustrophedon transform and the above sequences are also given, including imperative ones for T_n and S_n using Imperative HOL.

Contents

1	Prel	iminary material	3
	1.1	Miscellaneous	3
	1.2	Linear orders	4
	1.3	Polynomials, formal power series and Laurent series	6
	1.4	Power series of trigonometric functions	8
2	Alternating permutations		11
	2.1	Alternating lists	11
	2.2	The set of alternating permutations on a set	12
	2.3	Zigzag numbers	14
	2.4	Alternating permutations with a fixed first element	15
	2.5	Entringer numbers	17
3	Incr	easing binary trees	19
4	Tan	gent numbers	22
	4.1	The higher derivatives of $\tan x$	22
	4.2	The tangent numbers	24
	4.3	Efficient functional computation	25
	4.4	Imperative in-place computation	27
5			30
	5.1	The higher derivatives of $\sec x$	30
	5.2	The secant numbers	32
	5.3	Efficient functional computation	33
	5.4	Imperative in-place computation	35
6	Eule	er numbers	37
7	Eule	er polynomials	39
	7.1	Definition and basic properties	39
	7.2	Addition and reflection theorems	43
	7.3	Multiplication theorems	43
	7.4	Computing Bernoulli polynomials	44
	7.5	Computing Euler polynomials	46
8	The	Boustrophedon transform	47
	8.1	The Seidel triangle	48
	8.2	The Boustrophedon transform of a sequence	49
	8.3	The Boustrophedon transform of a function	50
	8.4	Implementation	52
9	\mathbf{Cod}	e generation tests	55

1 Preliminary material

```
theory Boustrophedon_Transform_Library
imports
  "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Computational_Algebra"
  "Polynomial_Interpolation.Ring_Hom_Poly"
  "HOL-Library.FuncSet"
  "HOL-Library.Groups_Big_Fun"
begin
1.1 Miscellaneous
context comm_monoid_fun
begin
interpretation F: comm_monoid_set f "1"
lemma expand_superset_cong:
  assumes "finite A" and "\landa. a \notin A \Longrightarrow g a = 1" and "\landa. a \in A \Longrightarrow
ga = ha"
  shows "G g = F.F h A"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma reindex_bij_witness:
  assumes "\bigwedge x. h1 (h2 x) = x" "\bigwedge x. h2 (h1 x) = x"
  assumes "\bigwedge x. g1 (h1 x) = g2 x"
             "G g1 = G g2"
  shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma distrib':
  assumes "\bigwedge x. x \notin A \implies g1 \ x = 1"
  assumes "\bigwedge x. x \notin A \implies g2 \ x = 1"
  assumes "finite A"
  shows "G (\lambda x. f (g1 x) (g2 x)) = f (G g1) (G g2)"
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{end}
lemma of_rat_fact [simp]: "of_rat (fact n) = fact n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Pow_conv_subsets_of_size:
  assumes "finite A"
             "Pow A = (\bigcup k \le card A. \{X. X \subseteq A \land card X = k\})"
  shows
  \langle proof \rangle
```

1.2 Linear orders

```
lemma (in linorder) linorder_linear_order [intro]: "linear_order {(x,y).
x \leq y
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in linorder) less_strict_linear_order_on [intro]: "strict_linear_order_on
A \{(x,y). x < y\}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in linorder) greater_strict_linear_order_on [intro]: "strict_linear_order_on
A \{(x,y). x > y\}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma strict_linear_order_on_asym_on:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R"
             "asym_on A R"
  shows
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma strict_linear_order_on_antisym_on:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R"
             "antisym_on A R"
  \mathbf{shows}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma monotone_on_imp_inj_on:
  assumes "monotone_on A R R' f" "strict_linear_order_on A \{(x,y).\ R
x y
              "strict_linear_order_on (f `A) \{(x,y). R' x y\}"
  shows
             "inj_on f A"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma monotone on inv into:
  assumes "monotone_on A R R' f" "strict_linear_order_on A {(x,y). R
x y
            "strict_linear_order_on (f ' A) \{(x,y). R' x y\}"
             "monotone_on (f 'A) R' R (inv_into A f)"
  shows
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sorted_wrt_imp_distinct:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \texttt{"sorted\_wrt} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{xs"} \ \texttt{"} \big/ \texttt{x}. \ \texttt{x} \ \in \ \texttt{set} \ \texttt{xs} \implies \neg \texttt{R} \ \texttt{x} \ \texttt{x"}
             "distinct xs"
  shows
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma strict_linear_order_on_finite_has_least:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R" "finite A" "A \neq {}"
             "\exists x \in A. \forall y \in A - \{x\}. (x,y) \in R"
  \mathbf{shows}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma strict_linear_orderE_sorted_list:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R" "finite A"
```

```
obtains xs where "sorted_wrt (\lambda x y. (x,y) \in R) xs" "set xs = A" "distinct
xs"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma sorted_wrt_strict_linear_order_unique:
  assumes R: "strict_linear_order_on A R"
  assumes "sorted_wrt (\lambda x y. (x,y) \in R) xs" "sorted_wrt (\lambda x y. (x,y)
\in R) ys"
  assumes "set xs \subseteq A" "set xs = set ys"
            "xs = ys"
  shows
  \langle proof \rangle
definition sorted_list_of_set_wrt :: "('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a list"
where
  "sorted_list_of_set_wrt R A =
      (THE xs. sorted_wrt (\lambda x y. (x,y) \in R) xs \wedge distinct xs \wedge set xs
= A)''
lemma sorted_list_of_set_wrt:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R" "finite A"
            "sorted_wrt (\lambdax y. (x,y) \in R) (sorted_list_of_set_wrt R A)"
           "distinct (sorted_list_of_set_wrt R A)"
           "set (sorted_list_of_set_wrt R A) = A"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma sorted_list_of_set_wrt_eqI:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R" "sorted_wrt (\lambda x y. (x,y) \in R)
xs'' "set xs = A''
  shows
            "sorted_list_of_set_wrt R A = xs"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma strict_linear_orderE_bij_betw:
  assumes \ "strict_linear_order_on \ A \ R" \ "finite \ A"
  obtains f where
    "bij_betw f \{0...<card A\} A" "monotone_on \{0...<card A\} (<) (\lambda x y...(x,y)
\in R) f"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma strict_linear_orderE_bij_betw':
  {\bf assumes} \ "strict\_linear\_order\_on \ A \ R" \ "finite \ A"
  obtains f where "bij_betw f {1..card A} A" "monotone_on {1..card A}
(<) (\lambda x y. (x,y) \in R) f''
\langle proof \rangle
lemma monotone_on_strict_linear_orderD:
  assumes "monotone_on A R R' f"
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A \{(x,y). R x y\}" "strict_linear_order_on
(f 'A) \{(x,y). R' x y\}"
  assumes "x \in A" "y \in A"
```

```
shows "R' (f x) (f y) \longleftrightarrow R x y" \langle proof \rangle
```

1.3 Polynomials, formal power series and Laurent series

```
lemma lead_coeff_pderiv: "lead_coeff (pderiv p) = of_nat (degree p) *
lead_coeff p"
  for p :: "'a::{comm_semiring_1,semiring_no_zero_divisors,semiring_char_0}
poly"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma of_nat_poly_pderiv:
  "map_poly (of_nat :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: {semidom, semiring_char_0}) (pderiv
     pderiv (map_poly of_nat p)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_mult_left_numeral_nth [simp]:
  "((numeral c :: 'a ::{comm_monoid_add, semiring_1} fps) * f) $ n = numeral
c * f $ n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_mult_right_numeral_nth [simp]:
  "(f * (numeral c :: 'a ::{comm_monoid_add, semiring_1} fps)) $ n = f
$ n * numeral c"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_shift_Suc_times_fps_X [simp]:
  fixes f :: "'a::{comm_monoid_add,mult_zero,monoid_mult} fps"
  shows "fps_shift (Suc n) (f * fps_X) = fps_shift n f"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_shift_Suc_times_fps_X' [simp]:
  fixes f :: "'a::{comm_monoid_add,mult_zero,monoid_mult} fps"
  shows "fps_shift (Suc n) (fps_X * f) = fps_shift n f"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_inverse:
  fixes f :: "'a :: division_ring fps"
  assumes "fps_nth f 0 \neq 0" "n > 0"
          "fps_nth (inverse f) n = -(\sum i=0... < n. inverse f $ i * f $ (n)
- i)) / f $ 0"
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
fixes p :: "'a :: idom poly"
  assumes [simp]: "fps_nth f 0 = 0"
  shows "fps_compose (fps_of_poly p) f = poly (map_poly fps_const p) f"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_compose_linear:
  fixes f :: "'a :: comm_ring_1 fps"
  shows "fps_nth (fps_compose f (fps_const c * fps_X)) n = c ^n * fps_nth
f n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_compose_uminus:
  fixes f :: "'a :: comm_ring_1 fps"
  shows "fps_nth (fps_compose f (-fps_X)) n = (-1) ^n * fps_nth f n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_shift_compose_linear:
  fixes f :: "'a :: comm_ring_1 fps"
  shows "fps_shift n (fps_compose f (fps_const c * fps_X)) = fps_const
(c \ n) * fps\_compose (fps\_shift n f) (fps\_const c * fps\_X)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_compose_shift_linear:
  \mathbf{fixes} \ \mathbf{f} \ :: \ \texttt{"'a} \ :: \ \mathbf{field} \ \mathbf{fps"}
  assumes "c \neq 0"
  shows "fps_compose (fps_shift n f) (fps_const c * fps_X) =
             fps_const (1 / c ^ n) * fps_shift n (fps_compose f (fps_const
c * fps_X))"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fls_compose_fps_sum [simp]:
  assumes [simp]: "H \neq 0" "fps_nth H = 0"
            "fls_compose_fps (\sum x \in A. F x) H = (\sum x \in A. fls_compose_fps
  \mathbf{shows}
(F x) H)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma divide_fps_eqI:
  assumes "F * G = (H :: 'a :: field fps)" "H \neq 0 \vee G \neq 0 \vee F = 0"
           "H / G = F"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_to_fls_sum [simp]: "fps_to_fls (\sum x \in A. f x) = (\sum x \in A. fps_to_fls
(f x))"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma fps_to_fls_sum_list [simp]: "fps_to_fls (sum_list fs) = (\sum f \leftarrow fs.
fps_to_fls f)"
        \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_to_fls_sum_mset [simp]: "fps_to_fls (sum_mset F) = (\sum f \in \#F).
fps_to_fls f)"
        \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \  \, \mathsf{fps\_to\_fls\_prod} \  \, [\mathsf{simp}] \colon \, \mathsf{"fps\_to\_fls} \  \, (\prod x \in \mathtt{A.} \  \, \mathsf{f} \  \, \mathsf{x}) \  \, \mathsf{=} \  \, (\prod x \in \mathtt{A.} \  \, \mathsf{fps\_to\_fls} \, \, \mathsf{fps\_t
(f x))"
        \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{fps\_to\_fls\_prod\_list} \ [\mathit{simp}] \colon \ \mathit{"fps\_to\_fls} \ (\mathit{prod\_list} \ \mathit{fs}) \ = \ (\prod f \leftarrow \mathit{fs}.
fps_to_fls f)"
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_to_fls_prod_mset [simp]: "fps_to_fls (prod_mset F) = (\prod f \in \#F.
fps_to_fls f)"
        \langle proof \rangle
1.4 Power series of trigonometric functions
definition fps_sec :: "'a :: field_char_0 \Rightarrow 'a fps"
        where "fps_sec c = inverse (fps_cos c)"
lemma fps_sec_deriv: "fps_deriv (fps_sec c) = fps_const c * fps_sec c
* fps_tan c"
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sec_nth_0 [simp]: "fps_nth (fps_sec c) 0 = 1"
        \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sec_square_conv_fps_tan_square:
        "fps_sec c ^2 = (1 + fps_tan c ^2 :: 'a :: field_char_0 fps)"
\langle proof \rangle
definition fps_cosh :: "'a :: field_char_0 ⇒ 'a fps"
        where "fps_cosh c = fps_const (1/2) * (fps_exp c + fps_exp (-c))"
lemma fps_nth_cosh_0 [simp]: "fps_nth (fps_cosh c) 0 = 1"
        \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_cos_conv_cosh: "fps_cos c = fps_cosh (i * c)"
        \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_cosh_conv_cos: "fps_cosh c = fps_cos (i * c)"
        \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma fps_cosh_compose_linear [simp]:
  "fps_cosh (d::'a::field_char_0) oo (fps_const c * fps_X) = fps_cosh
(c * d)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_fps_cosh_compose_minus [simp]:
  "fps_compose (fps_cosh c) (-fps_X) = fps_cosh (-c :: 'a :: field_char_0)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_cosh: "fps_nth (fps_cosh c) n = (if even n then c ^ n /
fact n else 0)"
\langle proof \rangle
definition fps_sech :: "'a :: field_char_0 \Rightarrow 'a fps"
  where "fps_sech c = inverse (fps_cosh c)"
lemma fps_nth_sech_0 [simp]: "fps_nth (fps_sech c) 0 = 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sec_conv_sech: "fps_sec c = fps_sech (i * c)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sech_conv_sec: "fps_sech c = fps_sec (i * c)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sech_compose_linear [simp]:
  "fps_sech (d::'a::field_char_0) oo (fps_const c * fps_X) = fps_sech
(c * d)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_fps_sech_compose_minus [simp]:
  "fps_compose (fps_sech c) (-fps_X) = fps_sech (-c :: 'a :: field_char_0)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_tan_deriv': "fps_deriv (fps_tan 1 :: 'a :: field_char_0 fps)
= 1 + fps_tan 1 ^ 2"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_tan_nth_0 [simp]: "fps_nth (fps_tan c) 0 = 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_sin_even:
  assumes "even n"
           "fps_nth (fps_sin c) n = 0"
  shows
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma fps_nth_cos_odd:
  assumes "odd n"
  shows
            "fps_nth (fps_cos c) n = 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_tan_odd: "fps_tan (-c) = -fps_tan c"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sec_even: "fps_sec (-c) = fps_sec c"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sin_compose_linear [simp]: "fps_sin c oo (fps_const c' * fps_X)
= fps_sin (c * c')"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sin_compose_uminus [simp]: "fps_sin c oo (-fps_X) = fps_sin
(-c)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_cos_compose_linear [simp]: "fps_cos c oo (fps_const c' * fps_X)
= fps_cos (c * c')"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_cos_compose_uminus [simp]: "fps_cos c oo (-fps_X) = fps_cos
(-c)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_tan_compose_linear [simp]: "fps_tan c oo (fps_const c' * fps_X)
= fps_tan (c * c')"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_tan_compose_uminus [simp]: "fps_tan c oo (-fps_X) = fps_tan
(-c)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sec_compose_linear [simp]: "fps_sec c oo (fps_const c' * fps_X)
= fps_sec (c * c')"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sec_compose_uminus [simp]: "fps_sec c oo (-fps_X) = fps_sec
(-c)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_tan_even:
  assumes "even n"
            "fps_nth (fps_tan c) n = 0"
  shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_sec_odd:
```

2 Alternating permutations

theory Alternating_Permutations
 imports "HOL-Combinatorics.Combinatorics" Boustrophedon_Transform_Library
begin

Given a strict linear order < on some finite set $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ with $a_1 < \ldots < a_n$ we call a permutation π alternating if $f(a_1) > f(a_2) < f(a_3) > f(a_4) \ldots$

Since it is somewhat awkward to specify this for a function, we instead define what an alternating permutation is using the view that a permutation on A is simple the tuple $(f(a_1), \ldots, f(a_n))$.

2.1 Alternating lists

end

Given a relation R, we say that a list $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is R-alternating if we have $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in R$ for any even i and $(x_{i+1}, x_i) \in R$ for any odd i.

In other words: if we view R as an order then the list alternates between "rises" and "falls", starting with a "fall".

```
fun alternating_list :: "('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool" where "alternating_list R [] \longleftrightarrow True" | "alternating_list R [x] \longleftrightarrow True" | "alternating_list R (x # y # xs) \longleftrightarrow (y,x) \in R \wedge alternating_list (R<sup>-1</sup>) (y # xs)" | lemma alternating_list_Cons_iff: "alternating_list R (x # xs) \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \vee ((hd xs, x) \in R \wedge alternating_list (converse R) xs)" \vee (proof) | lemma alternating_list_append_iff: "alternating_list R (xs @ ys) \longleftrightarrow (let R' = if even (length xs) then R else converse R in alternating_list R xs \wedge alternating_list R' ys \wedge (xs = [] \vee ys = [] \vee (last xs, hd ys) \in R'))" \vee (proof)
```

A reverse-alternating list is the same as an alternating list except that it starts with a "rise" instead of a "fall". Equivalently, a reverse-alternating list is an alternating list with respect to the converse relation.

```
"rev_alternating_list R \equiv alternating_list (R^{-1})"
lemma alternating_list_rev:
  "alternating_list R (rev xs) \longleftrightarrow alternating_list (if odd (length xs)
then R else converse R) xs"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_list_map:
  assumes "alternating_list R xs"
  assumes "monotone_on (set xs) (\lambda x y. (x, y) \in R) (\lambda x y. (x, y) \in R')
             "alternating_list R' (map f xs)"
  shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_list_map_iff:
  assumes "monotone_on (set xs) (\lambda x y. (x, y) \in R) (\lambda x y. (x, y) \in R')
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on (set xs) R" "strict_linear_order_on
(f 'set xs) R'"
  \mathbf{shows}
             "alternating\_list \ \textit{R'} \ (\texttt{map } f \ \texttt{xs}) \ \longleftrightarrow \ alternating\_list \ \textit{R } \ \texttt{xs"}
\langle proof \rangle
2.2
       The set of alternating permutations on a set
definition alternating_permutations_of_set :: "('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a set
\Rightarrow 'a list set" where
  "alternating_permutations_of_set R A = \{ys \in permutations\_of\_set A. alternating\_list\}
R ys}"
lemma finite_alternating_permutations_of_set [intro]: "finite (alternating_permutations_of
R A)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_code [code]:
  "alternating_permutations_of_set R A = Set.filter (alternating_list
R) (permutations_of_set A)"
  \langle proof \rangle
abbreviation rev_alternating_permutations_of_set :: "('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow
'a set \Rightarrow 'a list set" where
  "rev_alternating_permutations_of_set R A \equiv alternating_permutations_of_set
(converse R) A"
definition alt_permutes ("_ alt'_permutes_ _" [40,0,40] 41) where
  "f \ alt\_permutes_{\it R} \ {\it A} \ \longleftrightarrow \ f \ permutes \ {\it A} \ \land \ alternating\_list \ {\it R} \ (map \ f \ (sorted\_list\_of\_set\_wrt
R A))"
```

abbreviation rev_alternating_list :: "('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool"

where

```
abbreviation rev_alt_permutes ("_ rev'_alt'_permutes_ _" [40,0,40] 41)
where
  "f rev_alt_permutes_R A \equiv f alt_permutes_converse_R A"
abbreviation alt_permutes_less ("_ alt'_permutes _" [40,40] 41) where
  "f alt_permutes A \equiv f alt_permutes \{(x,y), x < y\} A"
abbreviation rev_alt_permutes_less ("_ rev'_alt'_permutes _" [40,40] 41)
where
  "f rev_alt_permutes A \equiv f \text{ rev_alt_permutes}_{\{(x,y), x < y\}} A"
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_empty [simp]:
  "alternating_permutations_of_set R {} = {[]}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_singleton [simp]:
  "alternating_permutations_of_set R {x} = {[x]}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bij_betw_alternating_permutations_of_set:
  assumes "monotone_on A (\lambda x y. (x,y) \in R) (\lambda x y. (x,y) \in R') f"
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R" "strict_linear_order_on (f ' A)
R''''B = f'A''
  shows
            "bij_betw (map f) (alternating_permutations_of_set R A) (alternating_permutations
R' B)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_glue:
  assumes A: "finite A"
  assumes X: "X \subseteq A" and x: "x \in A - X" "\(\lambda\)y. y \in A-\(\{x\}) \Longrightarrow (x,y) \in
  \mathbf{assumes} \  \, \mathtt{xs:} \  \, \texttt{"xs} \, \in \, \mathtt{alternating\_permutations\_of\_set} \, \, \texttt{R} \, \, \texttt{X"}
  assumes ys: "ys \in alternating_permutations_of_set R (A - X - \{x\})"
  defines "R' \equiv (if odd (card X) then R else R<sup>-1</sup>)"
           "rev xs @ [x] @ ys ∈ alternating_permutations_of_set R' A"
  shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_split:
  assumes A: "finite A"
  assumes z: "z \in A"
  assumes zs: "zs \in alternating_permutations_of_set R A"
  assumes k: "k < length zs" "zs ! k = z"
  defines "R' \equiv (if odd k then R else converse R)"
  obtains xs ys where
    "zs = rev xs @ [z] @ ys" "alternating_list R' xs" "alternating_list
R' ys"
    "distinct xs" "distinct ys" "length xs = k"
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3 Zigzag numbers

The zigzag numbers E_n count the number of alternating permutations on a linearly ordered set with n elements. Note that varying conventions exist; e.g. these are also sometimes also called "Euler numbers" or "Euler zigzag numbers". [3, A000111]

In our formalisation, "Euler numbers" are something closely related but different, following the conventions of ProofWiki and Mathematica.

It is easy to see that we can w.l.o.g. assume that the set in question is the integers from 1 to n and the order in question is the natural order <.

```
definition zigzag_number :: "nat ⇒ nat" where
   "zigzag_number n = card (alternating_permutations_of_set {(x,y). x < y} {1..n})"

lemma zigzag_number_0 [simp]: "zigzag_number 0 = 1"
   and zigzag_number_1 [simp]: "zigzag_number (Suc 0) = 1"
   ⟨proof⟩

lemma card_alternating_permutations_of_set:
   assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R" "finite A"</pre>
```

assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R" "finite A"
shows "card (alternating_permutations_of_set R A) = zigzag_number
(card A)"
\langle proof \rangle

The zigzag numbers satisfy the Catalan-like recurrence

$$2E_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} E_k E_{n-k} .$$

The idea behind the proof is to look at a linearly ordered set A of size n+1 (with n>0) and its largest element x. We now do the following:

- 1. Pick a number $0 \le k \le n$.
- 2. Pick a subset $X \subseteq A \setminus \{x\}$ of elements to occur to the left of A in our permutation. We have $\binom{n}{k}$ choices for this.

- 3. Pick an alternating permutation **xs** of X and a reverse-alternating permutation of **ys** of $A \setminus (X \cup \{x\})$. We have E_k and E_{n-k} choices for this, respectively.
- 4. Return the permutation rev xs @ [x] @ ys

This process constructs exactly all alternating and reverse-alternating permutations on A. Moreover, the alternating and reverse-alternating permutations of A are disjoint and have the same cardinality since |A| > 2.

Thus if we sum the number of possibilities we counted above over all k, we obtain exactly $2E_{n+1}$.

The exponential generating function of the zigzag numbers is:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{E_n}{n!} x^n = \sec x + \tan x$$

This follows from the fact that by the above recurrence for E_n , both f and $\sin + \tan$ satisfy the ordinary differential equation $2f'(x) = 1 + f(x)^2$

Lastly, we get the following explicit relationships between the zigzag numbers and the coefficients appearing in the Maclaurin series of sec and tan.

```
corollary zigzag_number_conv_fps_sec:
   assumes "even n"
   shows "real (zigzag_number n) = fps_nth (fps_sec 1) n * fact n"
   ⟨proof⟩

corollary zigzag_number_conv_fps_tan:
   assumes "odd n"
   shows "real (zigzag_number n) = fps_nth (fps_tan 1) n * fact n"
   ⟨proof⟩
```

2.4 Alternating permutations with a fixed first element

In order to study the *Entringer numbers*, a generalisation of the zigzag numbers, we introduce the set of alternating permutations on a set that start with some fixed element x.

```
definition alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd ::
  "('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a list set" where
  "alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd R A x =
     \{xs \in alternating\_permutations\_of\_set R A. xs \neq [] \land hd xs = x\}"
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd_singleton:
  "alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd R {y} x = (if x = y then {[x]}
else {})"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd_outside:
  assumes "x ∉ A"
           "alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd R A x = {}"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd_least:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R"
  assumes "\bigwedge y. y \in A - \{x\} \implies (x, y) \in R" "x \in A" "A \neq \{x\}" "finite
A ''
           "alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd R A x = {}"
  shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd_greatest:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R"
  shows
           "bij_betw (\lambdaxs. x # xs)
              (rev_alternating_permutations_of_set R (A - {x}))
              (alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd R A x)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma UN_alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd:
  assumes "A \neq \{\}"
  shows
           "(\bigcup x \in A. alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd R A x) =
              alternating_permutations_of_set R A"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd_split_first:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R" "x \in A" "A \neq {x}"
           "bij_betw ((#) x)
  \mathbf{shows}
             (\bigcup y \in \{y \in A - \{x\}\}. (y,x) \in R\}. alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd
(converse R) (A - \{x\}) y)
             (alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd R A x)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma bij_betw_alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd_flip:
  assumes "x \le n"
          "bij_betw (map (\lambda k. n - k))
  \mathbf{shows}
              (alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd {(x::nat,y). x <</pre>
y} {0..n} x)
```

2.5 Entringer numbers

The Entringer number $E_{n,k}$ now counts the number of alternating permutations on a set with n+1 elements that start with the (unique) element of rank k, i.e. the k-th largest element of the set. [3, A008282]

As we will see, it suffices to w.l.o.g. only consider sets of integers of the form $\{0, \ldots, n\}$.

```
definition entringer_number :: "nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat" where
  "entringer_number n k =
     card (alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd \{(x,y). x < y\} \{0...n\}
k)"
lemma entringer_number_0_0 [simp]: "entringer_number 0 0 = 1"
  and entring_number_0_left [simp]: "k \neq 0 \Longrightarrow entringer_number 0 k =
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma entringer_number_0_right [simp]:
  assumes "n > 0"
  shows
            "entringer number n 0 = 0"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma entringer_number_greater_eq_0 [simp]:
  assumes "k > n"
            "entringer_number n k = 0"
  shows
\langle proof \rangle
theorem card_alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd:
  assumes "strict_linear_order_on A R" "finite A" "x \in A"
            "card (alternating_permutations_of_set_with_hd R A x) =
              entringer_number (card A - 1) (card \{y \in A - \{x\}. (y,x) \in R\})"
\langle proof \rangle
```

It is not difficult to show that $E_{n,n} = E_n$, i.e. the Entringer numbers really are a generalisation of the Euler numbers. The idea is that if we have an alternating permutation of n elements $0, 1, \ldots, n$ that starts with largest one (i.e. n) then the list we obtain after dropping the initial element is a reverse-alternating permutation of $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ with no further restrictions, and this map is one-to-one.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{lemma entringer\_number\_same [simp]:} \\ \textbf{"entringer\_number n n = zigzag\_number n"} \\ \langle proof \rangle \\ \end{array}
```

The following summation identity can be visualised as follows: if we have an alternating permutation of the elements $0, \ldots, n$ that starts with k then the next element after k must be a reverse-alternating permutation starting with one of the elements $0, \ldots, k-1$, and this is again a bijection.

```
theorem sum_entringer_numbers:
```

```
assumes k: "k \leq Suc n" shows "(\sum i < k. entringer_number n (n - i)) = entringer_number (Suc n) k" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma sum_entringer_numbers':
```

```
assumes k: "k \leq n" shows "(\sum i \leq k. entringer_number n (n - i)) = entringer_number (Suc n) (Suc k)" \langle proof \rangle
```

A consequence of this summation identity is that the sum of all the values in the *n*-th row of the Entringer triangle is exactly the *n*-th zigzag number.

```
corollary sum_entringer_numbers_row: "(\sum k \le n. entringer_number n \ k) = zigzag_number (Suc n)" \langle proof \rangle
```

By telescoping the summation identity, we also obtain the following simple recurrence for the Entringer numbers:

This recurrence can be used to compute the Entringer numbers (although if one wants this to be efficient one has to be a bit smarter about avoiding double computations; either by memoisation or by finding a smarter way to traverse the triangle).

```
lemma entringer_number_code [code]:
   "entringer_number n k =
      (if n = 0 then if k = 0 then 1 else 0
      else if k = 0 \lor k > n then 0
      else entringer_number n (k - 1) + entringer_number (n - 1) (n - k))"
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

 \mathbf{end}

3 Increasing binary trees

```
theory Increasing_Binary_Trees
  imports Alternating_Permutations "HOL-Library.Tree"
begin
```

We will now look at a second combinatorial application of the zigzag numbers E_n .

An increasing binary trees is one where

- the root contains the smallest element
- no element is contained in the tree twice
- if a node has exactly one non-leaf child, it must be the left child
- if a node has two non-leaf children, the element attached to the left one must be smaller than that of the right one

Another way to think of this is as a heap with no duplicate elements where each node has either 0, 1, or 2 children and the order of the children does not matter. This is however slightly more awkward to express.

We will show below that the number of increasing binary trees with n nodes with values from a set with n elements is E_n .

We do this by showing that the number of increasing binary trees satisfies the same recurrence as E_n .

The following relation represents the condition that a non-leaf child must always be to the left of a leaf child, and a right node child must have a value greater than a left node child.

```
definition le_root :: "'a :: ord tree \Rightarrow 'a tree \Rightarrow bool" where "le_root t1 t2 = (case t1 of Leaf \Rightarrow t2 = Leaf | Node _ x _ \Rightarrow (case t2 of Leaf \Rightarrow True | Node _ y _ \Rightarrow x \leq y))"
```

The following predicate models the notion that a binary tree is increasing.

```
primrec inc_tree :: "'a :: linorder tree \Rightarrow bool" where "inc_tree Leaf = True" | "inc_tree (Node 1 x r) \longleftrightarrow inc_tree 1 \land inc_tree r \land le_root 1 r \land (\forall y \in set_tree 1 \cup set_tree r. x < y) \land set_tree 1 \cap set_tree r = {}"
```

We introduce the following abbreviation for the set of increasing binary trees that have exactly the values from the given set attached to them.

```
definition Inc\_Trees :: "'a :: linorder set \Rightarrow 'a tree set" where "Inc\_Trees A = \{t. set\_tree t = A \land inc\_tree t\}" lemma Inc\_Trees\_empty [simp]: "Inc\_Trees \{\} = \{Leaf\}" \langle proof \rangle lemma Inc\_Trees\_infinite\_eq\_empty [simp]: assumes "\neg finite A" shows "Inc\_Trees A = \{\}" \langle proof \rangle
```

For our proof later, we will need to also consider the set of "almost" increasing binary trees, i.e. binary trees that are increasing if the left and right child of the root are swapped.

```
primrec mirror_root :: "'a tree \Rightarrow 'a tree" where
  "mirror_root Leaf = Leaf"
| "mirror_root (Node 1 x r) = Node r x 1"
lemma mirror_root_mirror_root [simp]: "mirror_root (mirror_root t) =
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma set_tree_mirror_root [simp]: "set_tree (mirror_root t) = set_tree
  \langle proof \rangle
definition Inc_Trees' :: "'a :: linorder set \Rightarrow 'a tree set" where
  "Inc_Trees' A = \{t. set\_tree \ t = A \land inc\_tree \ (mirror\_root \ t)\}"
lemma Inc_Trees'_empty [simp]: "Inc_Trees' {} = {Leaf}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Inc_Trees'_infinite_eq_empty [simp]:
  assumes "¬finite A"
  shows
            "Inc_Trees' A = \{\}"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

Since swapping the children of the root is an involution, the number of increasing binary trees and the number of almost increasing binary trees is the same.

lemma card_Inc_Trees' [simp]: "card (Inc_Trees' A) = card (Inc_Trees
A)"

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

Except for the obvious case $|A| \leq 1$, a tree cannot be both increasing and almost increasing.

```
lemma disjoint_Inc_Trees_Inc_Trees':
   assumes "card A > 1"
   shows "Inc_Trees A \cap Inc_Trees' A = {}"
   ⟨proof⟩
```

If we take any subset X of a set A, pick increasing binary trees l on X and r on $A \setminus X$ and then make them the left and right child, respectively, of a new node with a value x that is smaller than all values in A, then we obtain exactly all increasing and almost increasing binary trees on $A \cup \{x\}$.

We can therefore derive the following recurrence on the set of increasing and almost increasing binary trees on a set A: pick the smallest element x in A as a minimum, then pick a subset X of $A \setminus \{x\}$ and any increasing trees on X as the left child and any increasing tree on $X \setminus (A \cup \{x\})$ as the right child.

```
lemma Inc_Trees_rec:
  assumes "finite A" "A \neq {}"
  defines "x \equiv Min A"
  shows
             "Inc_Trees A U Inc_Trees' A =
                 ([]X \in Pow (A-\{x\}). []1 \in Inc\_Trees X. []r \in Inc\_Trees (A-X-\{x\}).
\{Node \ l \ x \ r\})"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Inc_Trees_rec':
  assumes "finite A" "A \neq {}"
  defines "x \equiv Min A"
  shows
              "Inc_Trees A U Inc_Trees' A =
                 (\lambda(\underline{\ },\ (1,\ r)).\ \text{Node } 1\ \text{x } r) ' (SIGMA X:Pow (A-{x}). Inc_Trees
X \times Inc\_Trees (A - X - \{x\}))"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma finite_Inc_Trees [intro]: "finite (Inc_Trees A)" and finite_Inc_Trees' [intro]: "finite (Inc_Trees' A)" \langle proof \rangle
```

By taking the cardinality of both sides, we obtain the following recurrence on twice the number of increasing trees. Note that this only holds for |A| > 1 since otherwise the set of increasing and almost increasing trees are not disjoint.

4 Tangent numbers

 \mathbf{end}

```
theory Tangent_Numbers
imports
   "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Computational_Algebra"
   "Bernoulli.Bernoulli_FPS"
   "Polynomial_Interpolation.Ring_Hom_Poly"
   Boustrophedon_Transform_Library
   Alternating_Permutations
begin
```

4.1 The higher derivatives of $\tan x$

The n-th derivatives of $\tan x$ are:

- $\tan x^2 + 1$
- $\tan x^3 + \tan x$
- $6 \tan x^4 + 8 \tan x^2 + 2$
- $24 \tan x^5 + 40 \tan x^3 + 16 \tan x$
- ...

No pattern is readily apparent, but it is obvious that for any n, the n-th derivative of $\tan x$ can be expressed as a polynomial of degree n+1 in $\tan x$, i.e. it is of the form $P_n(\tan x)$ for some family of polynomials P_n .

Using the fact that $\tan' x = \tan x^2 + 1$ and the chain rule, one can deduce that $P_{n+1}(X) = (X^2 + 1)P'_n(X)$, and of course $P_0(X) = X$, which gives us a recursive characterisation of P_n .

```
primrec tangent_poly :: "nat ⇒ nat poly" where
  "tangent_poly 0 = [:0, 1:]"
| "tangent_poly (Suc n) = pderiv (tangent_poly n) * [:1,0,1:]"
lemma degree_tangent_poly [simp]: "degree (tangent_poly n) = n + 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_poly_altdef [code]:
  "tangent_poly n = ((\lambda p. pderiv p * [:1,0,1:]) ^^ n) [:0, 1:]"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_tan_higher_deriv':
  "(fps_deriv ^^ n) (fps_tan (1::'a::field_char_0)) =
     fps_compose (fps_of_poly (map_poly of_nat (tangent_poly n))) (fps_tan
1)"
\langle proof \rangle
theorem fps_tan_higher_deriv:
  "(fps_deriv ^n) (fps_tan 1) =
     poly (map_poly of_int (tangent_poly n)) (fps_tan (1::'a::field_char_0))"
```

For easier notation, we give the name "auxiliary tangent numbers" to the coefficients of these polynomials and treat them as a number triangle $T_{n,j}$. These will aid us in the computation of the actual tangent numbers later.

```
definition tangent_number_aux :: "nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat" where "tangent_number_aux n j = poly.coeff (tangent_poly n) j"
```

The coefficients satisfy the following recurrence and boundary conditions:

- $T_{0.1} = 1$
- $T_{0,j} = 0$ if $j \neq 1$
- $T_{n,j} = 0$ if j > n+1 or n+j even
- $T_{n,n+1} = n!$
- $T_{n+1,j+1} = jT_{n,j} + (j+2)T_{n,j+2}$

```
lemma tangent_number_aux_0_left:
   "tangent_number_aux 0 j = (if j = 1 then 1 else 0)"
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_aux_0_left' [simp]:
  "j \neq 1 \improx tangent_number_aux 0 j = 0"
  "tangent_number_aux 0 (Suc 0) = 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_aux_0_right:
  "tangent_number_aux (Suc n) 0 = poly.coeff (tangent_poly n) 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_aux_rec:
  + 2) * tangent_number_aux n (j + 2)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_aux_rec':
  "n > 0 \implies j > 0 \implies tangent_number_aux n j = (j-1) * tangent_number_aux
(n-1) (j-1) + (j+1) * tangent_number_aux (n-1) (j+1)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_aux_odd_eq_0: "even (n + j) \implies tangent_number_aux
n j = 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_aux_eq_0 [simp]: "j > n + 1 ⇒ tangent_number_aux
n j = 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_aux_last [simp]: "tangent_number_aux n (Suc n) =
fact n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_aux_last': "Suc m = n ⇒ tangent_number_aux m
n = fact m''
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_aux_1_right [simp]:
  "tangent_number_aux i (Suc 0) = tangent_number_aux (i + 1) 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

4.2 The tangent numbers

The actual secant numbers T_n are now defined to be the even-index coefficients of the power series expansion of $\tan x$ (the even-index ones are all 0). [3, A000182]

This also turns out to be exactly the same as $T_{n,0}$.

definition tangent_number :: "nat \Rightarrow nat" where

```
"tangent_number n = nat (floor (fps_nth (fps_tan 1) (2*n-1) * fact (2*n-1)
:: real))"
lemma tangent_number_conv_zigzag_number:
  "n > 0 \implies tangent_number n = zigzag_number (2 * n - 1)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_0 [simp]: "tangent_number 0 = 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_tan_aux:
  "fps_tan (1::'a::field_char_0) $ (2*n-1) =
     of_nat (tangent_number_aux (2*n-1) 0) / fact (2*n-1)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_tan:
  "fps_nth (fps_tan (1::'a :: field_char_0)) (2*n - Suc 0) = of_int (tangent_number
n) / fact (2*n-1)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_conv_aux [code]:
  "tangent_number n = tangent_number_aux (2*n - Suc 0) 0"
lemma tangent_number_1 [simp]: "tangent_number (Suc 0) = 1"
The tangent number T_n can be expressed in terms of the Bernoulli number
\mathcal{B}_n:
theorem tangent_number_conv_bernoulli:
   "2 * real n * of_int (tangent_number n) =
       (-1)^{(n+1)} * (2^{(2*n)} * (2^{(2*n)} - 1)) * bernoulli (2*n)"
\langle proof \rangle
```

4.3 Efficient functional computation

We will now formalise and verify an algorithm to compute the first n tangent numbers relatively efficiently via the auxiliary tangent numbers. The algorithm is a functional variant of the imperative in-place algorithm given by Brent et al. [1]. The functional algorithm could easily be adapted to one that returns a stream of all tangent numbers instead of a list of the first n of them.

The algorithm uses $O(n^2)$ additions and multiplications on integers, but since the numbers grow up to $\Theta(n \log n)$ bits, this translates to $O(n^3 \log 1 + \varepsilon n)$ bit operations.

Note that Brent et al. only define the tangent numbers T_n starting with n = 1, whereas we also defined $T_0 = 0$. The algorithm only computes

```
T_1,\ldots,T_n
function pochhammer_row_impl :: "nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat list" where
  "pochhammer_row_impl k n x = (if k \geq n then [] else x # pochhammer_row_impl
(Suc k) n (x * k)"
  \langle proof \rangle
termination \langle proof \rangle
lemmas [simp del] = pochhammer_row_impl.simps
lemma pochhammer_rec'': "k > 0 \implies pochhammer n k = n * pochhammer (n+1)
(k-1)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma pochhammer_row_impl_correct:
  "pochhammer_row_impl k n x = map (\lambdai. x * pochhammer k i) [0..<n-k]"
\langle proof \rangle
context
  fixes T :: "nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat"
  defines "T = tangent_number_aux"
begin
\mathbf{primrec} \ \ \mathsf{tangent\_number\_impl\_aux1} \ :: \ \ "\mathtt{nat} \ \Rightarrow \ \mathtt{nat} \ \ \mathsf{list} \ \Rightarrow \ \mathtt{nat} \ \ \mathsf{list}"
where
  "tangent_number_impl_aux1 j y [] = []"
| "tangent_number_impl_aux1 j y (x # xs) =
      (let x' = j * y + (j+2) * x in x' # tangent_number_impl_aux1 (j+1)
x' xs)"
lemma length_tangent_number_impl_aux1 [simp]: "length (tangent_number_impl_aux1
j y xs) = length xs"
  \langle proof \rangle
fun tangent_number_impl_aux2 :: "nat list ⇒ nat list" where
  "tangent_number_impl_aux2 [] = []"
| "tangent_number_impl_aux2 (x # xs) = x # tangent_number_impl_aux2 (tangent_number_impl_au
0 x xs)"
lemma tangent_number_impl_aux1_nth_eq:
  assumes "i < length xs"
           "tangent_number_impl_aux1 j y xs ! i =
                (j+i) * (if i = 0 then y else tangent_number_impl_aux1 j
y \times s! (i-1) + (j+i+2) * \times s! i
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_impl_aux2_correct:
  assumes "k \leq n"
  shows "tangent_number_impl_aux2 (map (\lambda i. T (2 * k + i) (i + 1)) [0..<n-k])
```

4.4 Imperative in-place computation

```
theory Tangent_Numbers_Imperative
  imports Tangent_Numbers "Refine_Monadic.Refine_Monadic" "Refine_Imperative_HOL.IICF"
"HOL-Library.Code_Target_Numeral"
begin
```

We will now formalise and verify the imperative in-place version of the algorithm given by Brent et al. [1]. We use as storage only an array of n numbers, which will also contain the results in the end. Note however that the size of these numbers grows enormously the longer the algorithm runs.

```
definition init_loop :: "nat list nres" where
  "init_loop =
      do {
        xs \( \text{init_loop_aux;} \)
        (xs', _) \leftarrow
           \mathit{WHILE}_T{}^{I_-\mathit{init}}
              (\lambda(_{-}, i). i < n)
              (\lambda(xs, i). do {
                ASSERT (i - 1 < length xs);
                x \leftarrow RETURN (xs ! (i - 1));
                ASSERT (i < length xs);
                RETURN (xs[i := i * x], i + 1)
             })
              (xs, 1);
        RETURN xs'
      7"
definition I_inner where
  "I_inner xs i = (\lambda(xs', j). j \in {i..n} \wedge length xs' = n \wedge
      (\forall k \le n. xs' ! k = (if k \in \{i...\le j\} then tangent_number_aux (k+Suc i-1))
(k+2-Suc i) else xs ! k)))"
definition inner_loop :: "nat list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat list nres" where
  "inner_loop xs i =
      do {
         (xs', _) ←
           WHILE<sub>T</sub> I_{-inner \times s \ i} (\lambda(\_, j). j < n)
           (\lambda(xs, j). do {}
             ASSERT (j - 1 < length xs);
             x \leftarrow RETURN (xs ! (j - 1));
             ASSERT (j < length xs);
             y \leftarrow RETURN (xs ! j);
             RETURN (xs[j := (j - i) * x + (j - i + 2) * y], j + 1)
           })
           (xs, i);
        RETURN xs'
      }"
definition I_compute :: "nat list \times nat \Rightarrow bool" where
  "I_compute = (\lambda(xs, i). (n = 0 \wedge i = 1 \wedge xs = []) \vee
      (i \in {1..n} \wedge xs = map (\lambdak. if k < i then tangent_number (k+1) else
tangent_number_aux (k+i-1) (k+2-i) [0..<n]))"
definition compute :: "nat list nres" where
  "compute =
      do {
        xs \( \text{init_loop;} \)
        (xs', _) ←
           \mathit{WHILE}_T{}^{I\_\mathit{compute}}
```

```
(\lambda(_{-}, i). i < n)
             (\lambda(xs, i). do \{ xs' \leftarrow inner\_loop xs i; RETURN (xs', i + 1) \}
})
             (xs, 1);
        RETURN xs'
      }"
lemma init_loop_aux_correct [refine_vcg]:
  "init_loop_aux \leq SPEC (\lambdaxs. xs = (replicate n 0)[0 := 1])"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma init_loop_correct [refine_vcg]: "init_loop \leq SPEC (\lambdaxs. xs = map
fact [0..<n])"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma I_inner_preserve:
  assumes invar: "I_inner xs i (xs', j)" and invar': "I_compute (xs,
i)"
  assumes j: "j < n"
  defines "y \equiv (j - i) * xs' ! (j - 1) + (j - i + 2) * xs' ! j"
  defines "xs', \equiv list_update xs' j y"
  \mathbf{shows}
            "I_inner xs i (xs'', j + 1)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inner_loop_correct [refine_vcg]:
  assumes "I_{compute} (xs, i)" "i < n"
  shows "inner_loop xs i \leq SPEC (\lambdaxs'. xs' =
             map (\lambda k. if k \geq i then tangent_number_aux (k+Suc i-1) (k+2-Suc
i) else xs ! k) [0..<n])"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma compute_correct [refine_vcg]: "compute \leq SPEC (\lambdaxs'. xs' = tangent_numbers
n)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemmas defs =
  compute_def inner_loop_def init_loop_def init_loop_aux_def
end
sepref definition compute_imp is
  "tangent_numbers_imperative.compute" ::
      "nat_assn^d 
ightarrow_a array_assn nat_assn"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma imp_correct':
  "(compute_imp, \lambda n. RETURN (tangent_numbers n)) \in nat_assn^d \rightarrow_a array_assn
nat_assn"
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
theorem imp_correct:
    "<nat_assn n n> compute_imp n <array_assn nat_assn (tangent_numbers
n)>t"
    ⟨proof⟩
end
lemmas [code] = tangent_numbers_imperative.compute_imp_def
end
```

5 Secant numbers

```
theory Secant_Numbers
  imports
  "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Computational_Algebra"
  "Polynomial_Interpolation.Ring_Hom_Poly"
  Boustrophedon_Transform_Library
  Alternating_Permutations
  Tangent_Numbers
begin
```

5.1 The higher derivatives of $\sec x$

Similarly to what we saw with tangent numbers, the n-th derivatives of $\sec x$ do not follow an easily discernible pattern, but they can all be expressed in the form $\sec x P_n(\tan x)$, where P_n is a polynomial of degree n.

Using the facts that $\sec' x = \sec x \tan x$ and $\tan' x = 1 + \tan^2 x$ and the chain rule, one can see that P_n must satisfy the recurrence $P_{n+1}(X) = XP(X) + (1 + X^2)P'(X)$.

```
primrec secant_poly :: "nat ⇒ nat poly" where
    "secant_poly 0 = 1"
| "secant_poly (Suc n) = (let p = secant_poly n in p * [:0, 1:] + pderiv
p * [:1, 0, 1:])"

lemmas [simp del] = secant_poly.simps(2)

lemma degree_secant_poly [simp]: "degree (secant_poly n) = n"
⟨proof⟩

lemma secant_poly_altdef [code]:
    "secant_poly n = ((λp. p * [:0,1:] + pderiv p * [:1, 0, 1:]) ^^ n) 1"
⟨proof⟩

lemma fps_sec_higher_deriv':
    "(fps_deriv ^^ n) (fps_sec (1::'a::field_char_0)) =
```

```
fps_sec 1 * fps_compose (fps_of_poly (map_poly of_nat (secant_poly
n))) (fps_tan 1)"

proof
theorem fps_sec_higher_deriv:
   "(fps_deriv ^^ n) (fps_sec 1) =
        fps_sec 1 * poly (map_poly of_int (secant_poly n)) (fps_tan (1::'a::field_char_0))"
   proof
```

For easier notation, we give the name "auxiliary secant numbers" to the coefficients of these polynomials and treat them as a number triangle $S_{n,j}$. These will aid us in the computation of the actual secant numbers later.

```
definition secant_number_aux :: "nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat" where "secant_number_aux n j = poly.coeff (secant_poly n) j"
```

The coefficients satisfy the following recurrence and boundary conditions:

```
• S_{0,0} = 1
```

```
• S_{n,j} = 0 if j > n or n + j odd
```

```
• S_{n,n} = n!
```

•
$$S_{n,j} = (j+1)S_{n,j} + (j+2)S_{n,j+2}$$

```
lemma secant_number_aux_0_left:
  "secant_number_aux 0 j = (if j = 0 then 1 else 0)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma secant_number_aux_0_left', [simp]:
  "j \neq 0 \implies secant_number_aux 0 j = 0"
  "secant_number_aux 0 0 = 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma secant_number_aux_0_right:
  "secant_number_aux (Suc n) 0 = secant_number_aux n 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma secant_number_aux_rec:
  "secant_number_aux (Suc n) (Suc j) =
      (j+1) * secant_number_aux n j + (j + 2) * secant_number_aux n (j + 2)
+ 2)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma secant_number_aux_rec':
  "n > 0 \Longrightarrow j > 0 \Longrightarrow secant_number_aux n j = j * secant_number_aux (n-1)
(j-1) + (j+1) * secant_number_aux (n-1) (j+1)"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma secant_number_aux_odd_eq_0: "odd (n + j) \implies secant_number_aux n \neq 0 of proof lemma secant_number_aux_eq_0 [simp]: "proof of proof lemma secant_number_aux_last [simp]: "secant_number_aux proof lemma secant_number_aux_last [simp]: "secant_number_aux proof lemma secant_number_aux_last': "proof of proof lemma secant_number_aux_last': "proof lemma secant_number_aux_last' [simp]: "secant_number_aux_last' [
```

5.2 The secant numbers

The actual secant numbers S_n are now defined to be the even-index coefficients of the power series expansion of $\sec x$ (the odd-index ones are all 0).[3, A000364]

This also turns out to be exactly the same as $S_{n,0}$.

```
definition secant_number :: "nat ⇒ nat" where
  "secant_number n = nat (floor (fps_nth (fps_sec 1) (2*n) * fact (2*n)
:: real))"
lemma secant_number_conv_zigzag_number:
  "secant_number n = zigzag_number (2 * n)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma zigzag_number_conv_sectan [code]:
  "zigzag_number n = (if even n then secant_number (n div 2) else tangent_number
((n+1) div 2))"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma secant_number_0 [simp]: "secant_number 0 = 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_sec_aux:
  "fps_sec (1::'a::field_char_0) $ (2*n) =
     of_nat (secant_number_aux (2*n) 0) / fact (2*n)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_sec:
  "fps_nth (fps_sec (1::'a :: field_char_0)) (2*n) = of_int (secant_number
```

```
n) / fact (2*n)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma secant_number_conv_aux [code]:
  "secant number n = secant number aux (2*n) 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma secant_number_1 [simp]: "secant_number 1 = 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
By noting that \tan'(x) = \sec(x)^2 and comparing coefficients, one obtains
the following identity that expresses the tangent numbers as a sum of secant
numbers:
theorem tangent_number_conv_secant_number:
  assumes n: "n > 0"
  shows
            "tangent_number n =
                (\sum k < n. ((2*n-2) \text{ choose } (2*k)) * \text{secant_number } k * \text{secant\_number}
\langle proof \rangle
```

5.3 Efficient functional computation

We again formalise a functional algorithm similar to what we have done for tangent numbers. This algorithm is again based on the one given by Brent et al. [1] and is completely analogous to the one for tangent numbers.

```
context
  \mathbf{fixes} \ S \ :: \ \texttt{"nat} \ \Rightarrow \ \mathtt{nat} \ \Rightarrow \ \mathtt{nat"}
  defines "S = secant_number_aux"
begin
primrec secant\_number\_impl\_aux1 :: "nat \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow nat list"
where
  "secant_number_impl_aux1 j y [] = []"
| "secant_number_impl_aux1 j y (x # xs) =
      (let x' = j * y + (j+1) * x in x' # secant_number_impl_aux1 (j+1)
lemma length_secant_number_impl_aux1 [simp]: "length (secant_number_impl_aux1
j y xs) = length xs"
  \langle proof \rangle
fun secant_number_impl_aux2 :: "nat list \Rightarrow nat list" where
  "secant_number_impl_aux2 [] = []"
| "secant_number_impl_aux2 (x # xs) = x # secant_number_impl_aux2 (secant_number_impl_aux1
0 x xs)"
lemma secant_number_impl_aux1_nth_eq:
  assumes "i < length xs"
```

```
"secant_number_impl_aux1 j y xs ! i =
  shows
               (j+i) * (if i = 0 then y else secant_number_impl_aux1 j y
xs ! (i-1)) + (j+i+1) * xs ! i"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma secant_number_impl_aux2_correct:
  assumes "k \leq n"
  shows "secant_number_impl_aux2 (map (\lambda i. S (2 * k + i) i) [0..<n-k])
              map secant_number [k..<n]"</pre>
  \langle proof \rangle
definition secant_numbers :: "nat \Rightarrow nat list" where
  "secant_numbers n = map secant_number [0..<Suc n]"
lemma secant_numbers_code [code]:
  "secant_numbers n = secant_number_impl_aux2 (pochhammer_row_impl 1 (n+2)
1)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma secant_number_code [code]: "secant_number n = last (secant_numbers
n)"
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{end}
definition zigzag_numbers :: "nat ⇒ nat list" where
  "zigzag_numbers n = map zigzag_number [0..<Suc n]"
lemma nth_splice:
  "i < length xs + length ys \Longrightarrow
     splice xs ys ! i =
        (if length xs \leq length ys then
           if i < 2 * length xs then if even i then xs! (i div 2) else
ys! (i div 2) else ys! (i - length xs)
         else if i < 2 * length ys then if even i then xs! (i div 2) else
ys! (i div 2) else xs! (i - length ys))"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma zigzag_numbers_code [code]:
  "zigzag_numbers n = splice (secant_numbers (n div 2)) (tangent_numbers
((n+1) div 2))"
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{end}
```

5.4 Imperative in-place computation

locale secant_numbers_imperative

theory Secant_Numbers_Imperative
 imports Secant_Numbers "Refine_Monadic.Refine_Monadic" "Refine_Imperative_HOL.IICF"
"HOL-Library.Code_Target_Numeral"
begin

We will now formalise and verify the imperative in-place version of the algorithm given by Brent et al. [1]. We use as storage only an array of n numbers, which will also contain the results in the end. Note however that the size of these numbers grows enormously the longer the algorithm runs.

```
begin
context
       fixes n :: nat
begin
definition I_{init} :: "nat list \times nat \Rightarrow bool" where
         "I_init = (\lambda(xs, i).
                      (i \in {1..n+1} \land xs = map fact [0..<i] @ replicate (n+1-i) 0))"
definition init_loop_aux :: "nat list nres" where
         "init_loop_aux =
                     do {xs \leftarray_replicate (n+1) 0);
                                      ASSERT (length xs > 0);
                                      RETURN (xs[0 := 1])"
definition init_loop :: "nat list nres" where
         "init_loop =
                     do {
                              xs \( \text{init_loop_aux;} \)
                              (xs', \_) \leftarrow
                                      \mathit{WHILE}_T{}^{I_-\mathit{init}}
                                                (\lambda(\underline{\ }, i). i \leq n)
                                                (\lambda(xs, i). do {
                                                       ASSERT (i - 1 < length xs);
                                                       x \leftarrow RETURN (xs ! (i - 1));
                                                       ASSERT (i < length xs);
                                                       RETURN (xs[i := i * x], i + 1)
                                               })
                                               (xs, 1);
                             RETURN xs'
                     }"
definition I_inner where
         "I_inner xs i = (\lambda(xs', j). j \in \{i+1..n+1\} \land length xs' = n+1 \land leng
                      (\forall k \le n. xs' ! k = (if k \in \{i... \le j\} then secant_number_aux (k+Suc i-1))
 (k+1-Suc i) else xs ! k)))"
```

```
\mathbf{definition} \  \, \mathsf{inner\_loop} \  \, \colon \  \, \mathsf{"nat} \  \, \mathsf{list} \  \, \Rightarrow \, \mathsf{nat} \  \, \mathsf{list} \  \, \mathsf{nres"} \  \, \mathsf{where}
   "inner_loop xs i =
       do {
          (xs', _) ←
            WHILE<sub>T</sub> I_{-inner \ xs \ i} (\lambda(_, j). j \le n)
             (\lambda(xs, j). do \{
               ASSERT (j - 1 < length xs);
               x \leftarrow RETURN (xs ! (j - 1));
               ASSERT (j < length xs);
               y \leftarrow RETURN (xs ! j);
               RETURN (xs[j := (j - i) * x + (j - i + 1) * y], j + 1)
            (xs, i + 1);
         RETURN xs'
      7"
definition I_compute :: "nat list \times nat \Rightarrow bool" where
   "I_{compute} = (\lambda(xs, i).
       (i \in \{1..n+1\} \land xs = map (\lambda k. if k < i then secant_number k else
secant_number_aux (k+i-1) (k+1-i)) [0... < Suc n]))"
definition compute :: "nat list nres" where
   "compute =
       do {
         xs ← init_loop;
          (xs', \_) \leftarrow
            \mathit{WHILE}_T{}^{I}\text{\_compute}
               (\lambda(\underline{\ }, i). i \leq n)
               (\lambda(xs, i). do \{ xs' \leftarrow inner\_loop xs i; RETURN (xs', i + 1) \}
})
               (xs, 1);
         RETURN xs'
lemma init_loop_aux_correct [refine_vcg]:
   "init_loop_aux \leq SPEC (\lambdaxs. xs = (replicate (n+1) 0)[0 := 1])"
   \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{init\_loop\_correct} \ [\mathit{refine\_vcg}] \colon \mathit{"init\_loop} \ \leq \ \mathit{SPEC} \ (\lambda \mathit{xs.} \ \mathit{xs} \ = \ \mathit{map}
fact [0..<n+1])"
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma I_inner_preserve:
  assumes invar: "I_inner xs i (xs', j)" and invar': "I_compute (xs,
i)"
  assumes j: "j \leq n"
  defines "y \equiv (j - i) * xs' ! (j - 1) + (j - i + 1) * xs' ! j"
  defines "xs', ≡ list_update xs' j y"
```

```
shows
            "I_inner xs i (xs'', j + 1)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inner_loop_correct [refine_vcg]:
  assumes "I_{compute} (xs, i)" "i \leq n"
  shows "inner_loop xs i \leq SPEC (\lambdaxs'. xs' =
             map (\lambdak. if k \geq i then secant_number_aux (k+Suc i-1) (k+1-Suc
i) else xs ! k) [0..<Suc n])"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma compute_correct [refine_vcg]: "compute \leq SPEC (\lambdaxs'. xs' = secant_numbers
n)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemmas defs =
  compute_def inner_loop_def init_loop_def init_loop_aux_def
\mathbf{end}
sepref definition compute_imp is
  "secant_numbers_imperative.compute" ::
      "nat_assn^d 
ightarrow_a array_assn nat_assn"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma imp_correct':
  "(compute_imp, \lambda n. RETURN (secant_numbers n)) \in nat_assn^d \rightarrow_a array_assn
nat_assn"
\langle proof \rangle
theorem imp_correct:
   "<nat_assn n n> compute_imp n <array_assn nat_assn (secant_numbers
n)>_t"
\langle proof \rangle
end
lemmas [code] = secant_numbers_imperative.compute_imp_def
end
```

6 Euler numbers

```
theory Euler_Numbers
imports Tangent_Numbers Secant_Numbers
begin
```

Euler numbers and Euler polynomials are very similar to Bernoulli numbers and Bernoulli polynomials. They are closely related to the secant numbers – and thereby also to the zigzag numbers (which are, confusingly, also some-

times referred to as "Euler numbers"). [3, A122045]

Our definition of Euler numbers follows the convention in Mathematica (where they are called EulerE[n]) and ProofWiki: Let S_n denote the secant numbers. Then:

$$\mathcal{E}_{2n} = (-1)^n S_n \qquad \mathcal{E}_{2n+1} = 0$$

such that in particular:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_n n! x^n = \operatorname{sech} x = \frac{1}{\cosh x}$$

That is, the exponential generating function of the \mathcal{E}_n is the hyperbolic secant

```
definition euler_number :: "nat \Rightarrow int" where "euler_number n = (if odd n then 0 else (-1) ^ (n div 2) * secant_number (n div 2))"
```

lemma euler_number_odd: "euler_number $(2 * n) = (-1) ^ n * secant_number n"$ $<math>\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma secant_number_conv_euler_number: "secant_number n = (-1) ^ n * euler_number (2 * n)"  \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ \, \mathbf{euler_number_odd_eq_0:} \ \, \mathbf{"odd} \ \, \mathbf{n} \implies \mathbf{euler_number} \ \, \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{0"} \\ \langle \mathit{proof} \rangle$

lemma euler_number_0 [simp]: "euler_number 0 = 1"
 and euler_number_2 [simp]: "euler_number 2 = -1"
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma fps_nth_sech_conv_of_rat_fps_nth_sech:
 "fps_nth (fps_sech (1 :: 'a :: field_char_0)) n = of_rat (fps_nth (fps_sech (1 :: rat)) n)"
 \langle proof \rangle

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

From the above, it easily follows that the sum over the Euler numbers \mathcal{E}_0 to \mathcal{E}_n weighted by binomial coefficients vanishes.

```
theorem sum_binomial_euler_number_eq_0: assumes n: "n > 0" "even n" shows "(\sum k \le n. int (n choose k) * euler_number k) = 0" \langle proof \rangle
```

This in particular gives us the following full-history recurrence for \mathcal{E}_n that is reminiscent of the Bernoulli numbers:

```
corollary euler_number_rec:
  assumes n: "n > 0" "even n"
           "euler_number n = -(\sum k < n. int (n choose k) * euler_number
k)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma euler_number_rec':
  "euler_number n =
     (if n = 0 then 1 else if odd n then 0 else -(\sum k < n. int (n choose
k) * euler_number k))"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tangent_number_conv_euler_number:
  assumes n: "n > 0"
  defines "E \equiv euler_number"
            "int (tangent_number n) =
               (-1) ^ Suc n * (\sum k \le 2*n-2. int ((2*n-2) choose k) * E k
* E (2*n-k-2))"
\langle proof \rangle
```

7 Euler polynomials

7.1 Definition and basic properties

Similarly to Bernoulli polynomials, one can also define Euler polynomials based on Euler numbers:

```
definition euler_poly :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a :: field_char_0 \Rightarrow 'a" where

"euler_poly n x = (\sum k \le n. \text{ of_int } ((n \text{ choose } k) * \text{euler_number } k) / 2 ^ k * (x - 1/2) ^ (n - k))"

definition Euler_poly :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a :: field_char_0 poly" where

"Euler_poly n =

(\sum k \le n. \text{ Polynomial.smult } (\text{of_int } (\text{int } (n \text{ choose } k) * \text{euler_number } k) / 2 ^ k)

((\text{Polynomial.monom } 1 \ 1 - [:1/2:]) ^ (n - k)))"
```

```
lemma lead_coeff_Euler_poly [simp]: "poly.coeff (Euler_poly n) n = 1"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma degree_Euler_poly [simp]: "degree (Euler_poly n) = n"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma poly_Euler_poly [simp]: "poly (Euler_poly n) = euler_poly n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma euler_poly_onehalf:
  "euler_poly n (1 / 2) = (of_int (euler_number n) / 2 ^ n :: 'a :: field_char_0)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Euler_poly_0 [simp]: "Euler_poly 0 = 1"
  and Euler_poly_1: "Euler_poly 1 = [:-(1 / 2), 1:]"
  and Euler_poly_2: "Euler_poly 2 = [:0, - 1, 1:]"
  \langle proof \rangle
Like Bernoulli polynomials, the Euler polynomials are an Appell sequence,
i.e. they satisfy \mathcal{E}'_n(x) = n\mathcal{E}_{n-1}(x):
lemma pderiv_Euler_poly: "pderiv (Euler_poly n) = of_nat n * Euler_poly
(n - 1)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma continuous_on_euler_poly [continuous_intros]:
  fixes f :: "'a :: topological_space \Rightarrow 'b :: {real_normed_field, field_char_0}"
  assumes "continuous_on A f"
  shows
            "continuous_on A (\lambda x. euler_poly n (f x))"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma continuous_euler_poly [continuous_intros]:
  fixes f :: "'a :: t2\_space \Rightarrow 'b :: {real\_normed\_field, field\_char\_0}"
  assumes "continuous F f"
  shows
           "continuous F (\lambda x. euler_poly n (f x))"
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma~tendsto\_euler\_poly~[tendsto\_intros]:}
  fixes f :: "'a :: t2\_space \Rightarrow 'b :: {real\_normed\_field, field\_char_0}"
  assumes "(f \longrightarrow c) F"
  \mathbf{shows}
           "((\lambdax. euler_poly n (f x)) \longrightarrow euler_poly n c) F"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma has_field_derivative_euler_poly [derivative_intros]:
  assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)"
            "((\lambdax. euler_poly n (f x)) has_field_derivative
               (of_nat n * f' * euler_poly (n - 1) (f x))) (at x within
A)"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

The exponential generating function of the Euler polynomials is:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{E}_n(x)}{n!} t^n = \operatorname{sech}(t/2) e^{(x-\frac{1}{2})t} = \frac{2e^{xt}}{e^t + 1}$$

theorem exponential_generating_function_euler_poly:

```
"Abs_fps (\lambdan. euler_poly n x / fact n :: 'a :: field_char_0) = fps_sech (1 / 2) * fps_exp (x - 1 / 2)"

"Abs_fps (\lambdan. euler_poly n x / fact n) = 2 * fps_exp x / (fps_exp 1 + 1)"

proof
```

We also show the corresponding fact for Bernoulli theorems, namely

$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{\mathcal{B}_n(x)}{n!} t^n = \frac{te^{tx}}{e^t - 1}$$

```
theorem exponential_generating_function_bernpoly: fixes x :: "'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field}" shows "Abs_fps (\lambda n. bernpoly n x / fact n) = fps_X * fps_exp x / (fps_exp 1 - 1)" \langle proof \rangle
```

definition Bernpoly :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a :: {real_algebra_1, field_char_0} poly" where

"Bernpoly n = poly_of_list (map (λk . of_nat (n choose k) * of_real (bernoulli (n - k))) [0..<Suc n])"

lemma coeff_Bernpoly:

```
"poly.coeff (Bernpoly n) k = of_nat (n choose k) * of_real (bernoulli (n - k))" \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma degree_Bernpoly [simp]: "degree (Bernpoly n) = n" $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lead_coeff_Bernpoly [simp]: "poly.coeff (Bernpoly n) n = 1" $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma poly_Bernpoly [simp]: "poly (Bernpoly n) x = bernpoly n x" $\langle proof \rangle$

The following two recurrences allow computing Bernoulli and Euler polynomials recursively.

```
theorem bernpoly_recurrence:
```

```
fixes x :: "'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field}"
```

```
assumes n: "n > 0"
  shows "(\sum s < n. \text{ of\_nat (n choose s)} * \text{bernpoly s x}) = \text{of\_nat n * x }^-
(n - 1)"
\langle proof \rangle
corollary bernpoly_recurrence':
  fixes x :: "'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field}"
  shows "bernpoly n x = x ^ n - (\sum s < n. \text{ of\_nat (Suc n choose s)} * \text{bernpoly})
s x) / of_nat (Suc n)"
\langle proof \rangle
theorem Bernpoly_recurrence:
  assumes "n > 0"
           "(\sum s < n. Polynomial.smult (of_nat (n choose s)) (Bernpoly s))
  \mathbf{shows}
               Polynomial.monom (of_nat n :: 'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field})
(n - 1)''
    (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
\langle proof \rangle
theorem Bernpoly_recurrence':
            "Bernpoly n = Polynomial.monom (1 :: 'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field})
  shows
               Polynomial.smult (1 / of_nat (Suc n))
                 (\sum s < n. Polynomial.smult (of_nat (Suc n choose s)) (Bernpoly)
s))"
    (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
\langle proof \rangle
theorem euler_poly_recurrence:
  fixes x :: "'a :: {field_char_0}"
  shows "euler_poly n x = x \hat{} n - (\sum s < n. of_nat (n choose s) * euler_poly
s x) / 2"
\langle proof \rangle
theorem Euler_poly_recurrence:
  "Euler_poly n = (Polynomial.monom 1 n :: 'a :: field_char_0 poly) -
     Polynomial.smult (1/2) (\sum s < n. Polynomial.smult (of_nat (n choose
s)) (Euler_poly s))"
     (is "_= ?rhs")
\langle proof \rangle
lemma euler_poly_1_even:
  assumes "even n" "n > 1"
  shows
           "euler_poly n 1 = 0"
\langle proof \rangle
```

7.2 Addition and reflection theorems

The Euler polynomials satisfy the following addition theorem:

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x+y) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{E}_k(x) y^{n-k}$$

theorem euler_poly_addition:

"euler_poly n (x + y) = ($\sum k \le n$. of_nat (n choose k) * euler_poly k x * y ^ (n - k))" $\langle proof \rangle$

The Bernoulli polynomials actually satisfy an analogous theorem.

theorem bernpoly_addition:

fixes x y :: "'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field}" shows "bernpoly n (x + y) = $(\sum k \le n$. of_nat (n choose k) * bernpoly k x * y ^ (n - k))" $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem euler_poly_reflect:

"euler_poly n (1 - x) = (-1) ^ n * euler_poly n x" $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem euler_poly_forward_sum: "euler_poly n x + euler_poly n (x + 1) = $2 * x ^n$ " $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma euler_poly_plus1: "euler_poly n (x + 1) = -euler_poly n x + 2 * x ^ n" $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma euler_poly_minus:

"(-1) ^ n * euler_poly n (-x) = -euler_poly n x + 2 * x ^ n" $\langle proof \rangle$

As an analogon of Faulhaber's formula for sums of the form $x^k + (x+1)^k + \dots$, we can express an alternating sum of the form $x^k - (x+1)^k + (x+2)^k + \dots$ in terms of the k-th Euler polynomial.

corollary alternating_power_sum_conv_euler_poly:
 "($\sum i < k$. (-1) ^ i * (x + of_nat i) ^ n) =
 (euler_poly n x - (-1) ^ k * euler_poly n (x + of_nat k)) / 2"
 \langle proof \rangle

7.3 Multiplication theorems

For any positive integer m, the Bernoulli polynomials satisfy:

$$\mathcal{B}_n(mx) = m^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{B}_n(x + k/m)$$

The corresponding theorem for the Euler polynomials is more complicated. For odd positive integers m, we have following still very simple theorem:

$$\mathcal{E}_n(mx) = m^n \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \mathcal{E}_n(x + k/m)$$

For even positive m on the other hand, we have the following:

$$\mathcal{E}_n(mx) = -\frac{2m^n}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \mathcal{B}_{n+1}(x+k/m)$$

```
theorem euler_poly_mult_even: fixes x :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, field_char_0}" assumes m: "even m" "m > 0" shows "euler_poly n (of_nat m * x) =  -2 * of_nat m ^ n / of_nat (Suc n) * \\  (\sum k < m. (-1) ^ k * bernpoly (Suc n) (x + of_nat k / of_nat m))" \\  \langle proof \rangle
```

The Euler polynomials can be written as the difference of two Bernoulli polynomials.

7.4 Computing Bernoulli polynomials

definition binomial_row :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a :: semiring_1 list" where

```
"binomial_row n = map (\lambda k. of_nat (n choose k)) [0..<Suc n]"
lemma length_binomial_row [simp]: "length (binomial_row n) = Suc n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \ nth\_binomial\_row \ [simp]: \ "k \le n \implies binomial\_row \ n \ ! \ k = of\_nat
(n choose k)"
  \langle proof \rangle
definition pascal_step :: "'a :: semiring_1 list \Rightarrow 'a list" where
  "pascal_step xs = map2 (+) (xs @ [0]) (0 \# xs)"
lemma pascal_step_correct [simp]:
  "pascal_step (binomial_row n) = binomial_row (Suc n)"
  \langle proof \rangle
primrec Bernpolys_aux :: "nat list ⇒ 'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field}
poly list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a poly list" where
  "Bernpolys_aux cs xs 0 = xs"
| "Bernpolys_aux cs xs (Suc k) =
      (let n = length xs;
           p = Polynomial.monom 1 n - Polynomial.smult (1 / of_nat (Suc
n))
                   (\sum (p,c)\leftarrow zip \ xs \ (drop \ 2 \ cs). \ Polynomial.smult \ (of_nat)
c) p)
       in Bernpolys_aux (pascal_step cs) (p # xs) k)"
lemma length_Bernpolys_aux [simp]: "length (Bernpolys_aux cs xs n) =
length xs + n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Bernpolys_aux_correct:
  "Bernpolys_aux (binomial_row (Suc n)) (map Bernpoly (rev [0..<n])) m
= map Bernpoly (rev [0..<m+n])"</pre>
\langle proof \rangle
The following function recursively computes a list of the Bernoulli polyno-
mials B_0, \ldots, B_{n-1}.
definition Bernpolys :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field}
poly list"
  where "Bernpolys n = rev (Bernpolys_aux [1, 1] [] n)"
lemma length_Bernpolys [simp]: "length (Bernpolys n) = n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Bernpolys_correct: "Bernpolys n = map Bernpoly [0..<n]"</pre>
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma Bernpoly_code [code]: "Bernpoly n = hd (Bernpolys_aux [1, 1] []
(Suc n))"
  \langle proof \rangle
primrec bernpoly_aux :: "nat list \Rightarrow 'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field}
list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a list" where
  "bernpoly_aux cs ys 0 x = ys"
| "bernpoly_aux cs ys (Suc k) x =
      (let n = length ys;
           y = x ^n - (\sum (y,c) \leftarrow zip \ ys \ (drop 2 \ cs). \ of_nat \ c * y) / of_nat
(Suc n)
       in bernpoly_aux (pascal_step cs) (y # ys) k x)"
lemma length_bernpoly_aux [simp]: "length (bernpoly_aux cs xs n x) =
length xs + n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bernpoly_aux_correct:
  "bernpoly_aux cs (map (\lambda p. poly p x) ps) n x =
     map (\lambda p. poly p x) (Bernpolys_aux cs ps n)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bernpoly_code [code]:
  "bernpoly n x = hd (bernpoly_aux [1, 1] [] (Suc n) x)"
\langle proof \rangle
7.5
      Computing Euler polynomials
primrec Euler_polys_aux :: "nat list ⇒ 'a :: field_char_0 poly list ⇒
nat \Rightarrow 'a poly list" where
  "Euler_polys_aux cs xs 0 = xs"
| "Euler_polys_aux cs xs (Suc k) =
      (let n = length xs;
           p = Polynomial.monom 1 n - Polynomial.smult (1/2)
                  (\sum (p,c)\leftarrow zip xs (tl cs). Polynomial.smult (of_nat c)
p)
       in Euler_polys_aux (pascal_step cs) (p # xs) k)"
lemma length_Euler_polys_aux [simp]: "length (Euler_polys_aux cs xs n)
= length xs + n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Euler_polys_aux_correct:
  "Euler_polys_aux (binomial_row n) (map Euler_poly (rev [0..<n])) m =
map Euler_poly (rev [0..<m+n])"</pre>
\langle proof \rangle
The following function recursively computes a list of the Euler polynomials
E_0, \ldots, E_{n-1}
```

```
definition Euler_polys :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: field_char_0 poly list"
  where "Euler_polys n = rev (Euler_polys_aux [1] [] n)"
lemma length_Euler_polys [simp]: "length (Euler_polys n) = n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Euler_polys_correct: "Euler_polys n = map Euler_poly [0..<n]"</pre>
lemma Euler_poly_code [code]: "Euler_poly n = hd (Euler_polys_aux [1]
[] (Suc n))"
  \langle proof \rangle
primrec\ euler\_poly\_aux :: "nat list <math>\Rightarrow 'a :: {field_char_0, real_normed_field}
list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a list" where
  "euler_poly_aux cs ys 0 x = ys"
| "euler_poly_aux cs ys (Suc k) x =
      (let n = length ys;
           y = x ^n - (\sum (y,c) \leftarrow zip \ ys \ (tl \ cs). \ of_nat \ c * y) / 2
       in euler_poly_aux (pascal_step cs) (y # ys) k x)"
lemma length_euler_poly_aux [simp]: "length (euler_poly_aux cs xs n x)
= length xs + n"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma euler_poly_aux_correct:
  "euler_poly_aux cs (map (\lambda p. poly p x) ps) n x = map (\lambda p. poly p x)
(Euler_polys_aux cs ps n)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma euler_poly_code [code]:
  "euler_poly n x = hd (euler_poly_aux [1] [] (Suc n) x)"
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{end}
```

8 The Boustrophedon transform

```
theory Boustrophedon_Transform
imports "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Computational_Algebra" Alternating_Permutations
begin
```

The Boustrophedon transform maps one sequence of numbers to another sequence of numbers – or, equivalently, one exponential generating function to another exponential generating function. It was first described in its full generality by Millar et al. [2].

Its name derives from the Ancient Greek βοῦς ("ox"), στροφή ("turn"), and

-ηδόν ("in the manner of") because the number triangle from which it is obtained can be visualised as being traversed left-to-right, then right-to-left, etc. the same way an ox plows a field.

8.1 The Seidel triangle

We define the triangle via its simplest recurrence. Let $T_{n,k}$ denote the k-th entry of the n-th row. The first entry of the n-th row is always a(n), where a is the input sequence. The k+1-th entry of a row is the sum of the previous entry in the same row and the k-th last entry of the previous row.

```
That is: T_{n,0} = a(n) and T_{n+1,k+1} = T_{n+1,k} + T_{n,n-k}.
```

In other words: one produces a new row of the triangle by starting with a(n) and then adding the entries of the previous row, in right-to-left order, adding each intermediate sum to the new row.

There is also the following recurrence where the right-hand side contains only the entries of the previous row. Namely: The entry $T_{n,k}$ is equal to the sum of a_n and the last k entries of the previous row.

```
lemma seidel_triangle_conv_rowsum:
   assumes "k ≤ n"
   shows "seidel_triangle a n k = a n + (∑ j<k. seidel_triangle a (n
- 1) (n - Suc j))"
   ⟨proof⟩</pre>
```

The following function is the function $\pi(n, k, i)$ from the paper by Millar et al. They define it via the number of paths from one node to another node in a triangular directed graph.

However, they also give a closed-form expression for $\pi(n, k, i)$ as a sum of binomial coefficients and Entringer numbers, and we directly use this since it seemed easier to formalise.

```
definition seidel_triangle_aux :: "nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat" where "seidel_triangle_aux n k i =
```

```
(\sum s \le \min k \ (n-i). \ (k \ choose \ s) * ((n-k) \ choose \ (n-i-s)) * entringer_number
(n-i) s)"
lemma seidel_triangle_aux_same:
  assumes i: "i < n"
  \mathbf{shows}
           "seidel_triangle_aux n n i = (n choose i) * zigzag_number (n
- i)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma seidel_triangle_aux_same2 [simp]: "seidel_triangle_aux n k n =
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma seidel_triangle_aux_0_middle [simp]:
  "i < n \Longrightarrow seidel_triangle_aux n 0 i = 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma seidel_triangle_aux_0_right [simp]:
  assumes "k \leq n"
  shows
            "seidel_triangle_aux n k 0 = entringer_number n k"
\langle proof \rangle
```

The following lemma is where most of the proof work is done. Millar et al. do not mention it expicitly, but π satisfies the recurrence $\pi(n+1,k+1,i) = \pi(n+1,k,i) + \pi(n,n-k,i)$.

Note that this is the same type of recurrence that we have in the Seidel triangle and the Entringer numbers.

```
lemma seidel_triangle_aux_rec: defines "S \equiv seidel_triangle_aux" assumes k: "k \leq n" and i: "i \leq n" shows "S (Suc n) (Suc k) i = S (Suc n) k i + S n (n - k) i" \langle proof \rangle
```

With this, we can prove the following closed form for the entry $T_{n,k}$ in the Seidel triangle.

```
theorem seidel_triangle_eq: assumes "k \le n" shows "seidel_triangle a n k = (\sum i \le n. \text{ of_nat (seidel_triangle_aux n } k \text{ i) * a i)}" \langle proof \rangle
```

8.2 The Boustrophedon transform of a sequence

The Boustrophedon transform of a sequence a_n is defined by taking the last entry of each row of the Seidel triangle of a_n .

```
definition boustrophedon :: "(nat \Rightarrow 'a :: monoid_add) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a" where "boustrophedon a n = seidel_triangle a n n"
```

```
definition inv_boustrophedon :: "(nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm_ring_1) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a" where
```

```
"inv_boustrophedon a n = (-1)n * boustrophedon (\lambda k. (-1)n * a k) n"
```

The Boustrophedon transform has the following nice closed form, which of course follows directly from our above closed form for the Seidel triangle:

```
theorem boustrophedon_eq:
```

```
fixes a :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm_semiring_1" shows "boustrophedon a n = (\sum k \le n. of_nat (n choose k) * a k * of_nat (zigzag_number (n - k)))" \langle proof \rangle
```

The inverse Boustrophedon transform is the same as the normal Boustrophedon transform except that we must negate every other number in the input and output sequences.

```
theorem inv_boustrophedon_eq:
```

```
fixes a :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm_ring_1" shows "inv_boustrophedon a n = (\sum k \le n. (-1) ^ (n - k) * of_nat (n choose k) * a k * of_nat (zigzag_number (n - k)))" \langle proof \rangle
```

In particular, the Entringer numbers are the Seidel triangle of the sequence $1,0,0,0,\ldots$

And consequently, the zigzag numbers are the Boustrophedon transform of the sequence $1, 0, 0, 0, \ldots$

```
corollary zigzag_number_conv_boustrophedon:
   "boustrophedon (\lambda n. if n = 0 then 1 else 0 :: 'a :: comm_semiring_1)
n =
        of_nat (zigzag_number n)"
        \{proof\rangle}
```

8.3 The Boustrophedon transform of a function

Analogously, one can define the Boustrophedon transform $\mathcal{B}(f)(x)$ of an exponential generating function $f(x) = \sum_{n\geq 0} f(n)/n!x^n$ and its inverse $\mathcal{B}^{-1}(f)(x)$:

```
definition Boustrophedon :: "'a :: field_char_0 fps \Rightarrow 'a fps" where "Boustrophedon A = Abs_fps (\lambdan. boustrophedon (\lambdan. fps_nth A n * fact n) n / fact n)"
```

```
definition inv_Boustrophedon :: "'a :: field_char_0 fps ⇒ 'a fps" where
  "inv_Boustrophedon A = Abs_fps (\lambdan. inv_boustrophedon (\lambdan. fps_nth A
n * fact n) n / fact n)"
lemma fps_nth_Boustrophedon:
  fixes A :: "'a :: field_char_0 fps"
  shows "fps_nth (Boustrophedon A) n =
             (\sum k \le n. \text{ fps_nth A } k * \text{ of_nat } (zigzag_number (n - k)) / \text{ fact}
(n - k))"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_nth_inv_Boustrophedon:
  fixes A :: "'a :: field_char_0 fps"
  shows "fps_nth (inv_Boustrophedon A) n =
             (\sum k \le n. (-1)^n(n-k) * fps_nth A k * of_nat (zigzag_number (n))
- k)) / fact (n - k))"
  \langle proof \rangle
We have the closed form \mathcal{B}(f) = (\sec + \tan) f:
theorem Boustrophedon_altdef:
  fixes A :: "'a :: field_char_0 fps"
  shows "Boustrophedon A = (fps_sec 1 + fps_tan 1) * A"
  \langle proof \rangle
It is also easy to see from the definition of \mathcal{B}^{-1} that we have \mathcal{B}^{-1}(f)(x) =
\mathcal{B}(g)(-x), where g(x) = f(-x).
theorem inv_Boustrophedon_altdef1:
  fixes A :: "'a :: field_char_0 fps"
  shows "inv_Boustrophedon A = fps_compose (Boustrophedon (fps_compose
A (-fps_X))) (-fps_X)"
  \langle proof \rangle
Or, yet another view on \mathcal{B}^{-1}: \mathcal{B}^{-1}(f)(x) = (\sec(-x) + \tan(-x))f(x).
lemma inv_Boustrophedon_altdef2:
  fixes A :: "'a :: field_char_0 fps"
  shows "inv_Boustrophedon A = (fps_sec 1 - fps_tan 1) * A"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma fps_sec_plus_tan_times_sec_minus_tan:
  "(fps_sec (c ::'a :: field_char_0) + fps_tan c) * (fps_sec c - fps_tan
c) = 1"
\langle proof \rangle
Or, equivalently: \mathcal{B}^{-1}(f) = f/(\sec + \tan).
theorem inv_Boustrophedon_altdef3:
  fixes A :: "'a :: field_char_0 fps"
  {\bf shows} \ \hbox{\tt "inv\_Boustrophedon A = A / (fps\_sec 1 + fps\_tan 1)"}
\langle proof \rangle
```

It is now obvious that \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}^{-1} really are inverse to one another.

8.4 Implementation

In the following we will provide some simple functions based on infinite streams to compute the Seidel triangle and the Boustrophedon transform of a sequence efficiently.

The core functionality is the following auxiliary function, which produces the next row of the Seidel triangle from the current row and the corresponding entry in the input sequence.

```
primrec seidel_triangle_rows_step :: "'a :: monoid_add ⇒ 'a list ⇒
'a list" where
  "seidel_triangle_rows_step a [] = [a]"
/ "seidel_triangle_rows_step a (x # xs) = a # seidel_triangle_rows_step
(a + x) xs''
primrec seidel_triangle_rows_step_tailrec :: "'a :: monoid_add ⇒ 'a list
\Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list" where
  "seidel_triangle_rows_step_tailrec a [] acc = a # acc"
| "seidel_triangle_rows_step_tailrec a (x # xs) acc =
     seidel_triangle_rows_step_tailrec (a + x) xs (a # acc)"
lemma seidel_triangle_rows_step_tailrec_correct [simp]:
  "seidel_triangle_rows_step_tailrec a xs acc =
   rev (seidel_triangle_rows_step a xs) @ acc"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma length_seidel_triangle_rows_step [simp]:
  "length (seidel_triangle_rows_step a xs) = Suc (length xs)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma nth_seidel_triangle_rows_step:
  "i \leq length xs \improx seidel_triangle_rows_step a xs ! i = a + sum_list
(take i xs)"
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma seidel_triangle_rows_step_correct:
  fixes a :: "nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm_monoid_add"
  shows "seidel_triangle_rows_step (a n) (map (seidel_triangle a (n-Suc
0)) (rev [0..<n])) =
            map (seidel_triangle a n) [0..<Suc n]"</pre>
\langle proof \rangle
This auxiliary function produces an infinite stream of all the subsequent rows
of the Seidel triangle, given the current row and a stream of the remaining
elements of the input sequence.
primcorec seidel_triangle_rows_aux :: "'a :: comm_monoid_add stream \Rightarrow
'a list \Rightarrow 'a list stream" where
  "seidel_triangle_rows_aux as xs =
     (let ys = seidel_triangle_rows_step_tailrec (shd as) xs []
      in rev ys ## seidel_triangle_rows_aux (stl as) ys)"
lemma seidel_triangle_rows_aux_correct:
  "seidel_triangle_rows_aux (sdrop n as)
     (map (seidel_triangle (\lambdai. as !! i) (n-Suc 0)) (rev [0..<n])) !!
   map (seidel_triangle (\lambdai. as !! i) (n + m)) [0..<Suc (n+m)]"
\langle proof \rangle
This function produces an infinite stream of all the rows of the Seidel triangle
of the sequence given by the input stream.
Note that in the literature the triangle is often printed with every other row
reversed, to emphasise the "ox-plow" nature of the recurrence. It is however
mathematically more natural to not do this, so our version does not do this.
definition seidel_triangle_rows :: "'a :: comm_monoid_add stream ⇒ 'a
list stream" where
  "seidel_triangle_rows as = seidel_triangle_rows_aux as []"
lemma seidel_triangle_rows_correct:
  "seidel_triangle_rows as !! n = map (seidel_triangle (\lambdai. as !! i) n)
[0..<Suc n]"
  \langle proof \rangle
primcorec boustrophedon_stream_aux :: "'a :: comm_monoid_add stream ⇒
'a list \Rightarrow 'a stream" where
  "boustrophedon_stream_aux as xs =
     (let ys = seidel_triangle_rows_step_tailrec (shd as) xs []
      in hd ys ## boustrophedon_stream_aux (stl as) ys)"
```

lemma boustrophedon_stream_aux_conv_seidel_triangle_rows_aux:

```
"boustrophedon_stream_aux as xs = smap last (seidel_triangle_rows_aux
as xs)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma boustrophedon_stream_aux_correct:
  "boustrophedon_stream_aux (sdrop n as)
      (map (seidel_triangle (\lambdai. as !! i) (n - Suc 0)) (rev [0..<n])) !!
   boustrophedon (\lambdai. as !! i) (n + m)"
  \langle proof \rangle
This function produces the Boustrophedon transform of a stream.
definition boustrophedon_stream :: "'a :: comm_monoid_add stream ⇒ 'a
stream" where
  "boustrophedon_stream as = boustrophedon_stream_aux as []"
lemma boustrophedon_stream_correct:
  "boustrophedon_stream as !! n = boustrophedon (\lambdai. as !! i) n"
  \langle proof \rangle
Lastly, we also provide a function to compute a single number in the trans-
formed sequence to avoid code-generation problems related to streams.
fun seidel\_triangle\_impl\_aux :: "(nat <math>\Rightarrow 'a :: comm\_monoid_add) \Rightarrow 'a
list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a" where
  "seidel_triangle_impl_aux a xs i n k =
      (let ys = seidel_triangle_rows_step_tailrec (a i) xs []
      in if n = 0 then ys ! (i - k) else seidel_triangle_impl_aux a ys
(i + 1) (n - 1) k)"
lemmas [simp del] = seidel_triangle_impl_aux.simps
lemma seidel_triangle_impl_aux_correct:
  assumes "k \le n + i" "length xs = i"
  shows
            "seidel_triangle_impl_aux a xs i n k =
              seidel_triangle_rows_aux (smap a (fromN i)) xs !! n ! k"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma seidel_triangle_code [code]:
  "seidel_triangle a n k = (if k > n then 0 else seidel_triangle_impl_aux
a [] 0 n k)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma entringer_number_code [code]:
  "entringer_number n k = seidel_triangle (\lambdan. if n = 0 then 1 else 0)
n k"
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{end}
```

9 Code generation tests

code lazy type stream

```
theory Boustrophedon_Transform_Impl_Test
imports
  Boustrophedon_Transform_Impl
  Euler_Numbers
  "HOL-Library.Code_Lazy"
  "HOL-Library.Code_Target_Numeral"
begin
We now test all the various functions we have implemented.
value "zigzag_number 100"
value "zigzag_numbers 100"
value "secant number 100"
value "secant_numbers 100"
value "tangent_number 100"
value "tangent_numbers 100"
value "euler_number 100"
value "entringer_number 100 32"
value "Bernpolys 20 :: real poly list"
value "Bernpoly 10 :: real poly"
value "Bernpoly 51 :: real poly"
value "bernpoly 10 (1/2) :: real"
value "Euler_polys 20 :: rat poly list"
value "Euler_poly 10 :: rat poly"
value "Euler_poly 51 :: rat poly"
value "euler_poly 51 (3/2) :: real"
```

As an example of the Boustrophedon transform, the following is the transform of the sequence $1,0,0,0,\ldots$ with the exponential generating function 1. The transformed sequence is the zigzag numbers, with the exponential generating function $\sec x + \tan x$.

```
value "stake 20 (seidel_triangle_rows (1 ## sconst (0::int)))"
value "stake 20 (boustrophedon_stream (1 ## sconst (0::int)))"
```

The following is another example from the paper by Millar et al: the Boustrophedon transform of the sequence $1, 1, 1, \ldots$ with the exponential generating function e^x . The exponential generating function of the transformed sequence is $e^x(\sec x + \tan x)$.

```
value "stake 20 (seidel_triangle_rows (sconst (1::int)))"
value "stake 20 (boustrophedon_stream (sconst (1::int)))"
end
theory Tangent_Secant_Imperative_Test
```

```
imports Tangent_Numbers_Imperative Secant_Numbers_Imperative
begin

definition "tangent_number_imp n =
    do {
        a ← tangent_numbers_imperative.compute_imp (nat_of_integer n);
        xs ← Array.freeze a;
        return (map integer_of_nat xs)
    }"

⟨ML⟩

definition "secant_number_imp n =
    do {
        a ← secant_numbers_imperative.compute_imp (nat_of_integer n);
        xs ← Array.freeze a;
        return (map integer_of_nat xs)
    }"

⟨ML⟩
end
```

References

- [1] R. P. Brent and D. Harvey. Fast Computation of Bernoulli, Tangent and Secant Numbers, pages 127–142. Springer New York, 2013.
- [2] J. Millar, N. Sloane, and N. Young. A new operation on sequences: The boustrophedon transform. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 76(1):44–54, Oct. 1996.
- [3] OEIS Foundation Inc. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2024. Published electronically at http://oeis.org.