# Birkhoff's Representation Theorem For Finite Distributive Lattices

### Matthew Doty

March 17, 2025

#### Abstract

This theory proves a theorem of Birkhoff that asserts that any finite distributive lattice is isomorphic to the set of *down-sets* of that lattice's join-irreducible elements. The isomorphism preserves order, meets and joins as well as complementation in the case the lattice is a Boolean algebra. A consequence of this representation theorem is that every finite Boolean algebra is isomorphic to a powerset algebra.

# Contents

1	Atoms, Join Primes and Join Irreducibles	<b>2</b>
2	Birkhoff's Representation Theorem For Finite Distributive Lattices	3
3	Finite Ditributive Lattice Isomorphism	5
4	Cardinality	6

theory Birkhoff-Finite-Distributive-Lattices imports HOL-Library.Finite-Lattice HOL.Transcendental begin

unbundle *lattice-syntax* 

The proof of Birkhoff's representation theorem for finite distributive lattices [1] presented here follows Davey and Priestley [2].

## 1 Atoms, Join Primes and Join Irreducibles

Atomic elements are defined as follows.

**definition** (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *atomic* :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* where *atomic*  $x \equiv x \neq \bot \land (\forall y. y \le x \longrightarrow y = \bot \lor y = x)$ 

Two related concepts are *join-prime* elements and *join-irreducible* elements.

**definition** (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *join-prime* :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* where *join-prime*  $x \equiv x \neq \bot \land (\forall y \ z \ . \ x \leq y \sqcup z \longrightarrow x \leq y \lor x \leq z)$ 

**definition** (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *join-irreducible* :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* where *join-irreducible*  $x \equiv x \neq \bot \land (\forall y z . y < x \longrightarrow z < x \longrightarrow y \sqcup z < x)$ 

**lemma** (in bounded-lattice-bot) join-irreducible-def': join-irreducible  $x = (x \neq \bot \land (\forall y \ z \ . \ x = y \sqcup z \longrightarrow x = y \lor x = z))$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Every join-prime is also join-irreducible.

```
lemma (in bounded-lattice-bot) join-prime-implies-join-irreducible:
assumes join-prime x
shows join-irreducible x
\langle proof \rangle
```

In the special case when the underlying lattice is distributive, the join-prime elements and join-irreducible elements coincide.

**class** bounded-distrib-lattice-bot = bounded-lattice-bot + assumes sup-inf-distrib1:  $x \sqcup (y \sqcap z) = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z)$ begin

**subclass** distrib-lattice  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

**context** complete-distrib-lattice **begin** 

**subclass** bounded-distrib-lattice-bot  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

**lemma** (in bounded-distrib-lattice-bot) join-irreducible-is-join-prime: join-irreducible x = join-prime  $x \langle proof \rangle$ 

Every atomic element is join-irreducible.

**lemma** (in bounded-lattice-bot) atomic-implies-join-prime: assumes atomic xshows join-irreducible x $\langle proof \rangle$ 

In the case of Boolean algebras, atomic elements and join-prime elements are one-in-the-same.

```
lemma (in boolean-algebra) join-prime-is-atomic:
atomic x = join-prime x \langle proof \rangle
```

All atomic elements are disjoint.

lemma (in bounded-lattice-bot) atomic-disjoint: assumes atomic  $\alpha$ and atomic  $\beta$ shows ( $\alpha = \beta$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\alpha \sqcap \beta \neq \bot$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**definition** (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *atomic-elements*  $(\langle A \rangle)$  where  $A \equiv \{a : atomic \ a\}$ 

**definition** (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *join-irreducible-elements*  $(\langle \mathcal{J} \rangle)$  where  $\mathcal{J} \equiv \{a : join-irreducible a\}$ 

# 2 Birkhoff's Representation Theorem For Finite Distributive Lattices

Birkhoff's representation theorem for finite distributive lattices follows from the fact that every non- $\perp$  element can be represented by the join-irreducible elements beneath it.

In this section we merely demonstrate the representation aspect of Birkhoff's theorem. In §3 we show this representation is a lattice homomorphism.

The fist step to representing elements is to show that there *exist* joinirreducible elements beneath them. This is done by showing if there is no join-irreducible element, we can make a descending chain with more elements than the finite Boolean algebra under consideration.

fun (in order) descending-chain-list :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where descending-chain-list [] = Truedescending-chain-list [x] = Truedescending-chain-list (x # x' # xs) $= (x < x' \land descending-chain-list (x' \# xs))$ **lemma** (in order) descending-chain-list-tail: **assumes** descending-chain-list (s # S) **shows** descending-chain-list S $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in order) descending-chain-list-drop-penultimate: **assumes** descending-chain-list (s # s' # S)**shows** descending-chain-list (s # S)  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in order) descending-chain-list-less-than-others: assumes descending-chain-list (s # S) shows  $\forall s' \in set S. s < s'$  $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in order) descending-chain-list-distinct: **assumes** descending-chain-list Sshows distinct S  $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in finite-distrib-lattice) join-irreducible-lower-bound-exists: assumes  $\neg (x \le y)$ shows  $\exists z \in \mathcal{J}. z \leq x \land \neg (z \leq y)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
definition (in bounded-lattice-bot)
join-irreducibles-embedding :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set (\langle \{ \ - \ \} \rangle [50]) where
\{ \ x \ \} \equiv \{a \in \mathcal{J}. \ a \leq x\}
```

We can now show every element is exactly the suprema of the join-irreducible elements beneath them in any distributive lattice.

**theorem** (in finite-distrib-lattice) sup-join-prime-embedding-ident:  $x = \bigsqcup_{\substack{x \in Y \\ proof}} \{ x \}$ 

Just as  $x = \bigsqcup \{ x \}$ , the reverse is also true;  $\lambda x$ .  $\{ x \}$  and  $\lambda S$ .  $\bigsqcup S$  are inverses where  $S \in \mathcal{OJ}$ , the set of downsets in *Pow J*.

**definition** (in *bounded-lattice-bot*) *down-irreducibles* ( $\langle \mathcal{OJ} \rangle$ ) where  $\mathcal{OJ} \equiv \{ S \in Pow \ \mathcal{J} \ (\exists x \ S = \{ x \}) \}$ 

Given that  $\lambda x$ . { x } has a left and right inverse, we can show it is a *bijection*.

The bijection below is recognizable as a form of *Birkhoff's Representation Theorem* for finite distributive lattices.

**theorem** (in finite-distrib-lattice) birkhoffs-theorem: bij-betw ( $\lambda x$ . { x }) UNIV OJ $\langle proof \rangle$ 

# 3 Finite Ditributive Lattice Isomorphism

The form of Birkhoff's theorem presented in §2 simply gave a bijection between a finite distributive lattice and the downsets of its join-irreducible elements. This relationship can be extended to a full-blown *lattice homomorphism*. In particular we have the following properties:

- $\perp$  and  $\top$  are preserved; specifically  $\{\!\mid \perp \mid \!\} = \{\!\}$  and  $\{\!\mid \top \mid \!\} = \mathcal{J}$ .
- Order is preserved:  $x \leq y = (\{ x \} \subseteq \{ y \}).$
- $\lambda x \cdot \{\!\!\{ x \\!\!\} \text{ is a lower complete semi-lattice homomorphism, mapping } \\ \{\!\!\{ \bigsqcup X \\!\!\} = (\bigcup x \in X \cdot \{\!\!\{ x \\!\!\} \}).$
- In addition to preserving arbitrary joins, λ x . { x } is a lattice homomorphism, since it also preserves finitary meets with { x □ y } = { x } ∩ { y }. Arbitrary meets are also preserved, but relative to a top element J, or in other words { □ X } = J ∩ (∩ x ∈ X. { x }).
- In the case of a Boolean algebra, complementation corresponds to relative set complementation via  $\{ -x \} = \mathcal{J} \{ x \}$ .

**lemma** (in finite-distrib-lattice) join-irreducibles-bot:  $\{ \perp \} = \{ \}$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducibles-top*:  $\{\!\!\{ \top \}\!\!\} = \mathcal{J} \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in *finite-distrib-lattice*) *join-irreducibles-order-isomorphism*:  $x \leq y = (\{ x \} \subseteq \{ y \})$  $\langle proof \rangle$  **lemma** (in finite-distrib-lattice) join-irreducibles-join-homomorphism: {  $x \sqcup y$  } = {  $x \lor \cup y$  }  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in finite-distrib-lattice) join-irreducibles-sup-homomorphism:  $\{\bigcup X\} = (\bigcup x \in X . \{ x \})$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** (in finite-distrib-lattice) join-irreducibles-meet-homomorphism:  $\{ x \sqcap y \} = \{ x \} \cap \{ y \}$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Arbitrary meets are also preserved, but relative to a top element  $\mathcal{J}$ .

**lemma** (in finite-distrib-lattice) join-irreducibles-inf-homomorphism:  $\{\!\!\{ \prod X \}\!\!\} = \mathcal{J} \cap (\bigcap x \in X. \{\!\!\{ x \}\!\!\})$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Finally, we show that complementation is preserved.

To begin, we define the class of finite Boolean algebras. This class is simply an extension of *boolean-algebra*, extended with *finite UNIV* as per the axiom class *finite*. We also also extend the language of the class with *infima* and *suprema* (i.e.  $\square A$  and  $\bigsqcup A$  respectively).

```
class finite-boolean-algebra = boolean-algebra + finite + Inf + Sup +

assumes Inf-def: \square A = Finite-Set.fold (\square) \top A

assumes Sup-def: \bigsqcup A = Finite-Set.fold (\sqcup) \bot A

begin
```

Finite Boolean algebras are trivially a subclass of finite distributive lattices, which are necessarily *complete*.

**subclass** finite-distrib-lattice-complete  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

subclass bounded-distrib-lattice-bot  $\langle proof \rangle$ end

**lemma** (in finite-boolean-algebra) join-irreducibles-complement-homomorphism:  $\{ -x \} = \mathcal{J} - \{ x \}$ 

### $\langle proof \rangle$

# 4 Cardinality

Another consequence of Birkhoff's theorem from §2 is that every finite Boolean algebra has a cardinality which is a power of two. This gives a bound on the number of atoms/join-prime/irreducible elements, which must be logarithmic in the size of the finite Boolean algebra they belong to.

We first show that  $\mathcal{OJ}$ , the downsets of the join-irreducible elements  $\mathcal{J}$ , are the same as the powerset of  $\mathcal{J}$  in any finite Boolean algebra.

```
lemma (in finite-boolean-algebra) \mathcal{OJ}-is-Pow-\mathcal{J}:
\mathcal{OJ} = Pow \mathcal{J}
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in finite-boolean-algebra) UNIV-card:
card (UNIV::'a set) = card (Pow \mathcal{J})
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma finite-Pow-card:

assumes finite X

shows card (Pow X) = 2 powr (card X)

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in finite-boolean-algebra) UNIV-card-powr-2:
card (UNIV::'a set) = 2 powr (card \mathcal{J})
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in finite-boolean-algebra) join-irreducibles-card-log-2:
card \mathcal{J} = \log 2 (card (UNIV :: 'a set))
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# References

- G. Birkhoff. Rings of sets. Duke Mathematical Journal, 3(3):443–454, Sept. 1937.
- [2] B. A. Davey and H. A. Priestley. Chapter 5. Representation: The finite case. In *Introduction to Lattices and Order*, pages 112–124. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, 2nd ed edition, 2002.