Verification of Functional Binomial Queues

René Neumann

Technische Universität München, Institut für Informatik http://www.in.tum.de/ neumannr/

Abstract. Priority queues are an important data structure and efficient implementations of them are crucial. We implement a functional variant of binomial queues in Isabelle/HOL and show its functional correctness. A verification against an abstract reference specification of priority queues has also been attempted, but could not be achieved to the full extent.

1 Abstract priority queues

1.1 Generic Lemmas

lemma *tl-set*:

```
distinct q ⇒ set (tl q) = set q - {hd q}
by (cases q) simp-all
1.2 Type of abstract priority queues
typedef (overloaded) ('a, 'b::linorder) pq =
```

```
typedef (overloaded) ('a, 'b::linorder) pq =
    {xs :: ('a × 'b) list. distinct (map fst xs) ∧ sorted (map snd xs)}
    morphisms alist-of Abs-pq
proof —
    have [] ∈ ?pq by simp
    then show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma alist-of-Abs-pq:
    assumes distinct (map fst xs)
    and sorted (map snd xs)
    shows alist-of (Abs-pq xs) = xs
    by (rule Abs-pq-inverse) (simp add: assms)

lemma [code abstype]:
    Abs-pq (alist-of q) = q
    by (fact alist-of-inverse)
```

```
lemma distinct-fst-alist-of [simp]:
  distinct (map fst (alist-of q))
  using alist-of [of \ q] by simp
lemma distinct-alist-of [simp]:
  distinct (alist-of q)
  using distinct-fst-alist-of [of q] by (simp add: distinct-map)
lemma sorted-snd-alist-of [simp]:
  sorted (map \ snd \ (alist-of \ q))
  using alist-of [of \ q] by simp
lemma alist-of-eqI:
  alist-of p = alist-of q \Longrightarrow p = q
proof -
  assume alist-of p = alist-of q
  then have Abs-pq (alist-of p) = Abs-pq (alist-of q) by simp
  thus p = q by (simp add: alist-of-inverse)
\mathbf{qed}
definition values :: ('a, 'b::linorder) pq \Rightarrow 'a list (\langle |(-)| \rangle) where
  values q = map fst (alist-of q)
definition priorities :: ('a, 'b::linorder) pq \Rightarrow 'b \ list (\langle ||(-)|| \rangle) where
  priorities q = map \ snd \ (alist-of q)
lemma values-set:
  set |q| = fst \cdot set (alist-of q)
  by (simp add: values-def)
lemma priorities-set:
  set ||q|| = snd \cdot set (alist-of q)
  by (simp add: priorities-def)
definition is-empty :: ('a, 'b::linorder) pq \Rightarrow bool where
  is\text{-}empty\ q \longleftrightarrow alist\text{-}of\ q = []
definition priority :: ('a, 'b::linorder) pq \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b option where
  priority \ q = map-of \ (alist-of \ q)
definition min :: ('a, 'b:: linorder) pq \Rightarrow 'a  where
  min \ q = fst \ (hd \ (alist-of \ q))
definition empty :: ('a, 'b::linorder) pq where
  empty = Abs-pq
```

```
lemma is-empty-alist-of [dest]:
 is\text{-}empty \ q \Longrightarrow alist\text{-}of \ q = []
 by (simp add: is-empty-def)
lemma not-is-empty-alist-of [dest]:
 \neg is\text{-}empty \ q \Longrightarrow alist\text{-}of \ q \neq []
 by (simp add: is-empty-def)
lemma alist-of-empty [simp, code abstract]:
  alist-of\ empty = []
 by (simp add: empty-def Abs-pq-inverse)
lemma values-empty [simp]:
 |empty| = []
 by (simp add: values-def)
lemma priorities-empty [simp]:
 ||empty|| = []
 by (simp add: priorities-def)
lemma values-empty-nothing [simp]:
 \forall k. \ k \notin set \mid empty \mid
 by (simp add: values-def)
lemma is-empty-empty:
  is\text{-}empty \ q \longleftrightarrow q = empty
proof (rule iffI)
 assume is-empty q
 then have a list-of q = [] by (simp \ add: is-empty-a list-of)
 then have Abs-pq (alist-of q) = Abs-pq [] by simp
 then show q = empty by (simp \ add: empty-def \ alist-of-inverse)
qed (simp add: is-empty-def)
lemma is-empty-empty-simp [simp]:
 is-empty empty
by (simp add: is-empty-empty)
lemma map-snd-alist-of:
 map\ (the\ \circ\ priority\ q)\ (values\ q) = map\ snd\ (alist-of\ q)
 by (auto simp add: values-def priority-def)
lemma image-snd-alist-of:
 the 'priority q' set (values q) = snd 'set (alist-of q)
proof -
```

```
from map-snd-alist-of [of q]
   have set (map \ (the \circ priority \ q) \ (values \ q)) = set \ (map \ snd \ (alist-of \ q))
     by (simp only:)
 then show ?thesis by (simp add: image-comp)
qed
lemma Min-snd-alist-of:
 assumes \neg is-empty q
 shows Min (snd \cdot set (alist-of q)) = snd (hd (alist-of q))
proof -
 from assms obtain ps p where q: map snd (alist-of q) = p \# ps
   by (cases map snd (alist-of q)) auto
 then have hd (map \ snd \ (alist-of \ q)) = p \ by \ simp
 with assms have p: snd (hd (alist-of q)) = p by (auto simp add: hd-map)
 have sorted (map snd (alist-of q)) by simp
 with q have sorted (p \# ps) by simp
 then have \forall p' \in set \ ps. \ p' \geq p \ by \ (simp)
 then have Min (set (p \# ps)) = p by (auto intro: Min-eqI)
 with p q have Min (set (map snd (alist-of q))) = snd (hd (alist-of q))
   by simp
 then show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma priority-fst:
 assumes xp \in set \ (alist-of \ q)
 shows priority q (fst xp) = Some (snd xp)
 using assms by (simp add: priority-def)
lemma priority-Min:
 assumes \neg is-empty q
 shows priority q (min q) = Some (Min (the 'priority q 'set (values q)))
 using assms
   by (auto simp add: min-def image-snd-alist-of Min-snd-alist-of priority-fst)
lemma priority-Min-priorities:
 assumes \neg is-empty q
 shows priority q (min q) = Some (Min (set ||q||))
 using assms
   by (simp add: priority-Min image-snd-alist-of priorities-def)
definition push :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::linorder \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pq \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pq where
 push \ k \ p \ q = Abs-pq \ (if \ k \notin set \ (values \ q)
         then insort-key snd (k, p) (alist-of q)
         else alist-of q)
```

```
lemma Min-snd-hd:
  q \neq [] \implies sorted (map \ snd \ q) \implies Min (snd 'set \ q) = snd (hd \ q)
proof (induct q)
 case (Cons x xs) then show ?case by (cases xs) (auto simp add: ord-class.min-def)
qed simp
lemma hd-construct:
 assumes \neg is-empty q
 shows hd (alist-of q) = (min q, the (priority q (min q)))
proof -
 from assms have the (priority q (min q)) = snd (hd (alist-of q))
   using Min-snd-hd [of a list-of q]
     by (auto simp add: priority-Min-priorities priorities-def)
 then show ?thesis by (simp add: min-def)
qed
lemma not-in-first-image:
 x \notin fst 's \Longrightarrow (x, p) \notin s
 by (auto simp add: image-def)
lemma alist-of-push [simp, code abstract]:
  alist-of (push k p q) =
   (if k \notin set (values q) then insort-key snd (k, p) (alist-of q) else alist-of q)
 using distinct-fst-alist-of [of q]
  by (auto simp add: distinct-map set-insort-key distinct-insort not-in-first-image
     push-def values-def sorted-insort-key intro: alist-of-Abs-pq)
lemma push-values [simp]:
 set | push k p q | = set | q | \cup \{k\}
 by (auto simp add: values-def set-insort-key)
lemma push-priorities [simp]:
 k \notin set |q| \Longrightarrow set ||push k p q|| = set ||q|| \cup \{p\}
 k \in set |q| \Longrightarrow set ||push k p q|| = set ||q||
 by (auto simp add: priorities-def set-insort-key)
lemma not-is-empty-push [simp]:
 \neg is-empty (push k p q)
 by (auto simp add: values-def is-empty-def)
lemma push-commute:
 assumes a \neq b and v \neq w
 shows push w b (push v a q) = push v a (push w b q)
 using assms by (auto intro!: alist-of-eqI insort-key-left-comm)
```

```
definition remove-min :: ('a, 'b::linorder) pq \Rightarrow ('a, 'b::linorder) pq where
  remove-min q = (if is-empty \ q \ then \ empty \ else \ Abs-pq \ (tl \ (alist-of \ q)))
lemma alift-of-remove-min-if [code abstract]:
  alist-of\ (remove-min\ q)=(if\ is-empty\ q\ then\ []\ else\ tl\ (alist-of\ q))
  by (auto simp add: remove-min-def map-tl sorted-tl distinct-tl alist-of-Abs-pq)
lemma remove-min-empty [simp]:
  is\text{-}empty \ q \Longrightarrow remove\text{-}min \ q = empty
  by (simp add: remove-min-def)
lemma alist-of-remove-min [simp]:
  \neg is-empty q \Longrightarrow alist\text{-of }(remove\text{-}min\ q) = tl\ (alist\text{-of }q)
  by (simp add: alift-of-remove-min-if)
lemma values-remove-min [simp]:
  \neg is-empty q \Longrightarrow values (remove-min q) = tl (values q)
  by (simp add: values-def map-tl)
lemma set-alist-of-remove-min:
  \neg is\text{-}empty \ q \Longrightarrow set \ (alist\text{-}of \ (remove\text{-}min \ q)) =
    set (alist-of q) - \{(min q, the (priority q (min q)))\}
  by (simp add: tl-set hd-construct)
definition pop :: ('a, 'b::linorder) pq \Rightarrow ('a \times ('a, 'b) pq) option where
  pop \ q = (if \ is-empty \ q \ then \ None \ else \ Some \ (min \ q, \ remove-min \ q))
lemma pop-simps [simp]:
  \textit{is-empty } q \Longrightarrow \textit{pop } q = \textit{None}
  \neg is-empty q \Longrightarrow pop \ q = Some \ (min \ q, remove-min \ q)
  by (simp-all add: pop-def)
hide-const (open) Abs-pq alist-of values priority empty is-empty push min pop
no-notation
  PQ.values(\langle |(-)| \rangle)
  and PQ.priorities(\langle \|(-)\| \rangle)
     Functional Binomial Queues
```

2.1 Type definition and projections

```
datatype ('a, 'b) bintree = Node 'a 'b ('a, 'b) bintree list
primrec priority :: ('a, 'b) bintree \Rightarrow 'a where
```

```
priority (Node \ a - -) = a
primrec val :: ('a, 'b) \ bintree \Rightarrow 'b \ \mathbf{where}
  val\ (Node - v -) = v
primrec children :: ('a, 'b) bintree \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) bintree list where
  children\ (Node - - ts) = ts
type-synonym ('a, 'b) binqueue = ('a, 'b) bintree option list
lemma binqueue-induct [case-names Empty None Some, induct type: binqueue]:
 assumes P
   and \bigwedge xs. \ P \ xs \Longrightarrow P \ (None \# xs)
   and \bigwedge x \ xs. \ P \ xs \Longrightarrow P \ (Some \ x \ \# \ xs)
 shows P xs
 using assms
proof (induct xs)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 then show ?case by (cases x) simp-all
qed
Terminology:
 - values v, w or v1, v2
 - priorities a, b or a1, a2
 - bintrees t, r or t1, t2
 - bintree lists ts, rs or ts1, ts2
 - binqueue element x, y or x1, x2
 - binqueues = binqueue element lists xs, ys or xs1, xs2
 - abstract priority queues q, p or q1, q2
```

2.2 Binomial queue properties

Binomial tree property

```
inductive is-bintree-list :: nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) bintree list \Rightarrow bool where is-bintree-list-Nil [simp]: is-bintree-list 0 [] | is-bintree-list-Cons: is-bintree-list l ts \Longrightarrow is-bintree-list l (children t) \Longrightarrow is-bintree-list (Suc l) (t \# ts) abbreviation (input) is-bintree k t \equiv is-bintree-list k (children t)
```

```
lemma is-bintree-list-triv [simp]:
  is-bintree-list 0 ts \longleftrightarrow ts = []
  is-bintree-list l \ [] \longleftrightarrow l = 0
  by (auto intro: is-bintree-list.intros elim: is-bintree-list.cases)
lemma is-bintree-list-simp [simp]:
  is\text{-}bintree\text{-}list\ (Suc\ l)\ (t\ \#\ ts)\longleftrightarrow
    is-bintree-list l (children t) \wedge is-bintree-list l ts
  by (auto intro: is-bintree-list.intros elim: is-bintree-list.cases)
lemma is-bintree-list-length [simp]:
  is-bintree-list l ts \Longrightarrow length ts = l
  by (erule is-bintree-list.induct) simp-all
\mathbf{lemma}\ is\mbox{-}bintree\mbox{-}list\mbox{-}children\mbox{-}last:
  assumes is-bintree-list l ts and ts \neq []
  shows children\ (last\ ts) = []
  using assms by induct auto
lemma is-bintree-children-length-desc:
  assumes is-bintree-list l ts
  shows map (length \circ children) ts = rev [0..< l]
  using assms by (induct ts) simp-all
Heap property
inductive is-heap-list :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) bintree list \Rightarrow bool where
  is-heap-list-Nil: is-heap-list h
| is-heap-list-Cons: is-heap-list \ h \ ts \implies is-heap-list \ (priority \ t) \ (children \ t)
    \implies (priority t) \geq h \implies is-heap-list h (t # ts)
abbreviation (input) is-heap t \equiv is-heap-list (priority t) (children t)
lemma is-heap-list-simps [simp]:
  is-heap-list h \ [] \longleftrightarrow True
  is-heap-list h (t \# ts) \longleftrightarrow
    is-heap-list h ts \land is-heap-list (priority t) (children t) \land priority t \ge h
  by (auto intro: is-heap-list.intros elim: is-heap-list.cases)
lemma is-heap-list-append-dest [dest]:
  is-heap-list l (ts@rs) \Longrightarrow is-heap-list l ts
  is-heap-list l (ts@rs) \Longrightarrow is-heap-list l rs
  by (induct ts) (auto intro: is-heap-list.intros elim: is-heap-list.cases)
lemma is-heap-list-rev:
```

```
is-heap-list l ts \Longrightarrow is-heap-list l (rev ts)
  by (induct ts rule: rev-induct) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ is\ heap\ children\ larger:
  is-heap t \Longrightarrow \forall x \in set (children t). priority x \geq priority t
  by (erule is-heap-list.induct) simp-all
lemma is-heap-Min-children-larger:
  is-heap t \Longrightarrow children \ t \ne [] \Longrightarrow
  priority \ t \leq Min \ (priority \ `set \ (children \ t))
  by (simp add: is-heap-children-larger)
Combination of both: binqueue property
inductive is-binqueue :: nat \Rightarrow ('a::linorder, 'b) binqueue \Rightarrow bool where
  Empty: is-binqueue l []
  None: is-binqueue (Suc l) xs \Longrightarrow is-binqueue l (None \# xs)
| Some: is-binqueue (Suc l) xs \Longrightarrow is-bintree l t
    \implies is-heap t \implies is-binqueue l (Some t \# xs)
lemma is-binqueue-simp [simp]:
  is-binqueue l \ [] \longleftrightarrow True
  is-binqueue l (Some t \# xs) \longleftrightarrow
    is-bintree l t \wedge is-heap t \wedge is-binqueue (Suc l) xs
  is-binqueue l (None \# xs) \longleftrightarrow is-binqueue (Suc l) xs
  by (auto intro: is-binqueue.intros elim: is-binqueue.cases)
\mathbf{lemma}\ is\text{-}binqueue\text{-}trans\text{:}
  is-binqueue l(x\#xs) \Longrightarrow is-binqueue (Suc l) xs
  by (cases x) simp-all
lemma is-binqueue-head:
  is-binqueue l(x\#xs) \Longrightarrow is-binqueue l[x]
  by (cases \ x) \ simp-all
lemma is-binqueue-append:
  is-binqueue l xs \implies is-binqueue (length xs + l) ys \implies is-binqueue l (xs @ ys)
 by (induct xs arbitrary: l) (auto intro: is-binqueue.intros elim: is-binqueue.cases)
lemma is-binqueue-append-dest [dest]:
  is-binqueue l (xs @ ys) \Longrightarrow is-binqueue l xs
 by (induct xs arbitrary: l) (auto intro: is-binqueue.intros elim: is-binqueue.cases)
lemma is-binqueue-children:
```

assumes is-bintree-list l ts

```
and is-heap-list t ts
 shows is-bingueue 0 (map Some (rev ts))
 using assms by (induct ts) (auto simp add: is-binqueue-append)
lemma is-binqueue-select:
 is-binqueue l xs \Longrightarrow Some \ t \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow \exists \ k. \ is-bintree k \ t \land is-heap t
 by (induct xs arbitrary: l) (auto intro: is-binqueue.intros elim: is-binqueue.cases)
Normalized representation
inductive normalized :: ('a, 'b) binqueue \Rightarrow bool where
  normalized-Nil: normalized []
 normalized-single: normalized [Some t]
\mid normalized \text{-}append: xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow normalized xs \Longrightarrow normalized (ys @ xs)
lemma normalized-last-not-None:

    sometimes the inductive definition might work better

 normalized \ xs \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \lor last \ xs \ne None
proof
 assume normalized xs
 then show xs = [] \lor last xs \ne None
   by (rule normalized.induct) simp-all
 assume *: xs = [] \lor last xs \ne None
 show normalized xs proof (cases xs rule: rev-cases)
   case Nil then show ?thesis by (simp add: normalized.intros)
 \mathbf{next}
   case (snoc\ ys\ x) with * obtain t where last\ xs = Some\ t by auto
   with snoc have xs = ys @ [Some t] by simp
   then show ?thesis by (simp add: normalized.intros)
 qed
qed
lemma normalized-simps [simp]:
 normalized~[] \longleftrightarrow \mathit{True}
 normalized (Some \ t \ \# \ xs) \longleftrightarrow normalized \ xs
 normalized (None \# xs) \longleftrightarrow xs \neq [] \land normalized xs
 by (simp-all add: normalized-last-not-None)
lemma normalized-map-Some [simp]:
  normalized (map Some xs)
 by (induct xs) simp-all
lemma normalized-Cons:
```

 $normalized (x\#xs) \Longrightarrow normalized xs$

```
by (auto simp add: normalized-last-not-None)
lemma normalized-append:
 normalized \ xs \Longrightarrow normalized \ ys \Longrightarrow normalized \ (xs@ys)
 by (cases ys) (simp-all add: normalized-last-not-None)
lemma normalized-not-None:
 normalized \ xs \Longrightarrow set \ xs \neq \{None\}
 by (induct xs) (auto simp add: normalized-Cons [of - ts] dest: subset-singletonD)
primrec normalize' :: ('a, 'b) \ binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ binqueue \ \mathbf{where}
 normalize' [] = []
\mid normalize'(x \# xs) =
   (case \ x \ of \ None \Rightarrow normalize' \ xs \mid Some \ t \Rightarrow (x \# xs))
definition normalize :: ('a, 'b) binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) binqueue where
 normalize \ xs = rev \ (normalize' \ (rev \ xs))
lemma normalized-normalize:
 normalized (normalize xs)
proof (induct xs rule: rev-induct)
 case (snoc y ys) then show ?case
   by (cases y) (simp-all add: normalized-last-not-None normalize-def)
qed (simp add: normalize-def)
lemma is-binqueue-normalize:
  is-binqueue l xs \Longrightarrow is-binqueue l (normalize xs)
 unfolding normalize-def
   by (induct xs arbitrary: l rule: rev-induct) (auto split: option.split)
2.3 Operations
Adding data
definition merge :: ('a::linorder, 'b) bintree \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) bintree \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) bintree
  merge t1 t2 = (if priority <math>t1 < priority t2
   then Node (priority t1) (val t1) (t2 # children t1)
   else Node (priority t2) (val t2) (t1 # children t2))
{f lemma}\ is\mbox{-}bintree\mbox{-}list\mbox{-}merge:
 assumes is-bintree l t1 is-bintree l t2
 shows is-bintree (Suc l) (merge t1 t2)
 using assms by (simp add: merge-def)
```

```
lemma is-heap-merge:
 assumes is-heap t1 is-heap t2
 shows is-heap (merge t1 t2)
 using assms by (auto simp add: merge-def)
 add :: ('a::linorder, 'b) \ bintree \ option \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ binqueue
where
 add\ None\ xs = xs
 add (Some t) [] = [Some t]
 add (Some t) (None \# xs) = Some t \# xs
 add\ (Some\ t)\ (Some\ r\ \#\ xs) = None\ \#\ add\ (Some\ (merge\ t\ r))\ xs
lemma add-Some-not-Nil [simp]:
 add (Some t) xs \neq [
 by (induct Some t xs rule: add.induct) simp-all
lemma normalized-add:
 assumes normalized xs
 shows normalized (add x xs)
 using assms by (induct xs rule: add.induct) simp-all
lemma is-binqueue-add-None:
 assumes is-binqueue l xs
 shows is-binqueue l (add None xs)
 using assms by simp
lemma is-binqueue-add-Some:
 assumes is-binqueue l xs
          is-bintree\ l\ t
 and
          is-heap t
 and
 shows is-binqueue l (add (Some t) xs)
  using assms by (induct xs arbitrary: t) (simp-all add: is-bintree-list-merge
is-heap-merge)
function
 meld :: ('a::linorder, 'b) \ binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ binqueue
where
 meld \mid ys = ys
 meld \ xs \ [] = xs
 meld (None \# xs) (y \# ys) = y \# meld xs ys
 meld (x \# xs) (None \# ys) = x \# meld xs ys
 meld\ (Some\ t\ \#\ xs)\ (Some\ r\ \#\ ys) =
   None \# add (Some (merge t r)) (meld xs ys)
 by pat-completeness auto termination by lexicographic-order
```

```
lemma meld-singleton-add [simp]:
 meld [Some t] xs = add (Some t) xs
 by (induct Some t xs rule: add.induct) simp-all
lemma nonempty-meld [simp]:
 xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow meld \ xs \ ys \neq []
 ys \neq [] \implies meld \ xs \ ys \neq []
 by (induct xs ys rule: meld.induct) auto
{\bf lemma}\ nonempty\text{-}meld\text{-}commute:
 meld \ xs \ ys \neq [] \implies meld \ xs \ ys \neq []
 by (induct xs ys rule: meld.induct) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ is\text{-}binqueue\text{-}meld\text{:}
 assumes is-binqueue l xs
           is-binqueue l ys
 shows is-binqueue l (meld xs ys)
using assms
proof (induct xs ys arbitrary: l rule: meld.induct)
 fix xs \ ys :: ('a, 'b) \ binqueue
 fix y :: ('a, 'b) bintree option
 \mathbf{fix}\ l::\ nat
 assume \bigwedge l. is-binqueue l xs \Longrightarrow is-binqueue l ys
      \implies is-binqueue l (meld xs ys)
   and is-binqueue l (None # xs)
   and is-binqueue l(y \# ys)
 then show is-binqueue l (meld (None # xs) (y # ys)) by (cases y) simp-all
next
 fix xs \ ys :: ('a, 'b) \ binqueue
 fix x :: ('a, 'b) bintree option
 \mathbf{fix} \ l :: nat
 assume \bigwedge l. is-binqueue l xs \Longrightarrow is-binqueue l ys
     \implies is-binqueue l (meld xs ys)
   and is-binqueue l(x \# xs)
   and is-binqueue l (None # ys)
 then show is-binqueue l \pmod{(x \# xs)} \pmod{\# ys} by (cases \ x) \ simp-all
qed (simp-all add: is-bintree-list-merge is-heap-merge is-binqueue-add-Some)
{\bf lemma}\ normalized\text{-}meld:
 assumes normalized xs
           normalized ys
 and
 shows normalized (meld xs ys)
using assms
proof (induct xs ys rule: meld.induct)
```

```
fix xs \ ys :: ('a, 'b) \ binqueue
 fix y :: ('a, 'b) bintree option
 assume normalized xs \Longrightarrow normalized \ ys \Longrightarrow normalized \ (meld \ xs \ ys)
   and normalized (None \# xs)
   and normalized (y \# ys)
 then show normalized (meld (None \# xs) (y \# ys)) by (cases y) simp-all
 fix xs \ ys :: ('a, 'b) \ binqueue
 fix x :: ('a, 'b) bintree option
 assume normalized xs \Longrightarrow normalized ys \Longrightarrow normalized (meld <math>xs ys)
   and normalized (x \# xs)
   and normalized (None # ys)
 then show normalized (meld (x \# xs) (None \# ys)) by (cases x) simp-all
qed (simp-all add: normalized-add)
{f lemma}\ normalized	ext{-}meld	ext{-}weak:
 assumes normalized xs
 and length ys \leq length xs
 shows normalized (meld xs ys)
using assms
proof (induct xs ys rule: meld.induct)
 fix xs \ ys :: ('a, 'b) \ binqueue
 fix y :: ('a, 'b) bintree option
 assume normalized xs \Longrightarrow length \ ys \le length \ xs \Longrightarrow normalized \ (meld \ xs \ ys)
   and normalized (None # xs)
   and length (y \# ys) \le length (None \# xs)
 then show normalized (meld (None \# xs) (y \# ys)) by (cases y) simp-all
 fix xs \ ys :: ('a, 'b) \ binqueue
 \mathbf{fix}\ x::('a,\ 'b)\ \mathit{bintree}\ \mathit{option}
 assume normalized xs \Longrightarrow length \ ys \le length \ xs \Longrightarrow normalized \ (meld \ xs \ ys)
   and normalized (x \# xs)
   and length (None # ys) \le length (x # xs)
 then show normalized (meld (x \# xs) (None \# ys)) by (cases x) simp-all
qed (simp-all add: normalized-add)
definition least :: 'a::linorder option \Rightarrow 'a option \Rightarrow 'a option where
 least x y = (case x of
     None \Rightarrow y
   | Some x' \Rightarrow (case y of
          None \Rightarrow x
        | Some y' \Rightarrow if x' \leq y' then x else y)
lemma least-simps [simp, code]:
 least\ None\ x=x
```

```
least\ x\ None = x
 least (Some x') (Some y') = (if x' \le y' then Some x' else Some y')
 unfolding least-def by (simp-all) (cases x, simp-all)
lemma least-split:
 assumes least x y = Some z
 shows x = Some \ z \lor y = Some \ z
using assms proof (cases x)
 case (Some x') with assms show ?thesis by (cases y) (simp-all add: eq-commute)
qed simp
interpretation least: semilattice least proof
qed (auto simp add: least-def split: option.split)
definition min :: ('a::linorder, 'b) \ binqueue \Rightarrow 'a \ option \ \mathbf{where}
 min \ xs = fold \ least \ (map \ (map-option \ priority) \ xs) \ None
lemma min-simps [simp]:
 min [] = None
 min (None \# xs) = min xs
 min (Some \ t \# xs) = least (Some (priority \ t)) (min \ xs)
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp-all\ add:\ min-def\ fold-commute-apply\ [symmetric]
   fun-eq-iff least.left-commute del: least-simps)
lemma [code]:
 min \ xs = fold \ (\lambda \ x. \ least \ (map-option \ priority \ x)) \ xs \ None
 by (simp add: min-def fold-map o-def)
lemma min-single:
 min [x] = Some \ a \Longrightarrow priority \ (the \ x) = a
 min [x] = None \Longrightarrow x = None
 by (auto simp add: min-def)
lemma min-Some-not-None:
 min (Some t \# xs) \neq None
 by (cases min xs) simp-all
lemma min-None-trans:
 assumes min(x\#xs) = None
 shows min \ xs = None
using assms proof (cases x)
 case None with assms show ?thesis by simp
 case (Some t) with assms show ?thesis by (simp only: min-Some-not-None)
qed
```

```
lemma min-None-None:
 min \ xs = None \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \lor set \ xs = \{None\}
proof (rule iffI)
 have splitQ: \bigwedge xs. \ xs \subseteq \{None\} \Longrightarrow xs = \{\} \lor xs = \{None\} by auto
 assume min xs = None
 then have set xs \subseteq \{None\}
 proof (induct xs)
   case (None ys) thus ?case using min-None-trans[of - ys] by simp-all
 next
   case (Some t ys) thus ?case using min-Some-not-None[of t ys] by simp
 qed simp
 with splitQ show xs = \{ None \} by auto
 show xs = \{ None \} \implies min \ xs = None \}
   by (induct xs) (auto dest: subset-singletonD)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma normalized-min-not-None:
 normalized xs \Longrightarrow xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow min \ xs \neq None
 by (simp add: min-None-None normalized-not-None)
lemma min-is-min:
 assumes normalized xs
 and xs \neq []
 and min xs = Some a
 shows \forall x \in set \ xs. \ x = None \lor a \le priority \ (the \ x)
using assms proof (induct xs arbitrary: a rule: binqueue-induct)
 case (Some t ys) thus ?case
 proof (cases\ ys = [])
   case False
   with Some have N: normalized ys using normalized-Cons[of - ys] by simp
   with \langle ys \neq [] \rangle have min \ ys \neq None
    by (simp add: normalized-min-not-None)
   then obtain a' where oa': min ys = Some a' by auto
   with Some N False
    have \forall y \in set \ ys. \ y = None \lor a' \le priority \ (the \ y) by simp
   with Some oa' show ?thesis
     by (cases a' \leq priority t) (auto simp add: least.commute)
 qed simp
qed simp-all
```

```
lemma min-exists:
 assumes min xs = Some a
 shows Some a \in map-option priority 'set xs
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume Some a \notin map-option priority 'set xs
 then have \forall x \in set \ xs. \ x = None \lor priority \ (the \ x) \neq a \ by \ (induct \ xs) \ auto
 then have min xs \neq Some a
 proof (induct xs arbitrary: a)
   case (Some \ t \ ys)
   hence priority t \neq a and min ys \neq Some a by simp-all
   show ?case
   proof (rule ccontr, simp)
     assume least (Some (priority t)) (min ys) = Some a
    hence Some (priority t) = Some a \lor min \ ys = Some \ a \ by (rule least-split)
     with \langle min \ ys \neq Some \ a \rangle have priority t = a by simp
     with \langle priority \ t \neq a \rangle show False by simp
   qed
 qed simp-all
 with assms show False by simp
primrec find :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) bintree option where
 find \ a \ [] = None
| find\ a\ (x\#xs) = (case\ x\ of\ None \Rightarrow find\ a\ xs
   | Some t \Rightarrow if priority t = a then Some t else find a xs)
declare find.simps [simp del]
lemma find-simps [simp, code]:
 find \ a \ [] = None
 find\ a\ (None\ \#\ xs) = find\ a\ xs
 find a (Some t \# xs) = (if priority t = a then Some t else find a xs)
 by (simp-all add: find-def)
lemma find-works:
 assumes Some \ a \in set \ (map \ (map-option \ priority) \ xs)
 shows \exists t. find a xs = Some \ t \land priority \ t = a
 using assms by (induct xs) auto
lemma find-works-not-None:
  Some a \in set (map (map-option priority) xs) \Longrightarrow find a xs \neq None
 by (drule find-works) auto
lemma find-None:
 find a xs = None \Longrightarrow Some \ a \notin set \ (map \ (map-option \ priority) \ xs)
```

```
by (auto simp add: find-works-not-None)
lemma find-exist:
  find \ a \ xs = Some \ t \Longrightarrow Some \ t \in set \ xs
  by (induct xs) (simp-all add: eq-commute)
definition find-min :: ('a::linorder, 'b) binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) bintree option where
  find\text{-}min\ xs = (case\ min\ xs\ of\ None \Rightarrow None\ |\ Some\ a \Rightarrow find\ a\ xs)
lemma find-min-simps [simp]:
  find\text{-}min [] = None
  find\text{-}min (None \# xs) = find\text{-}min xs
  by (auto simp add: find-min-def split: option.split)
lemma find-min-single:
  find\text{-}min [x] = x
  by (cases x) (auto simp add: find-min-def)
lemma min-eq-find-min-None:
  min \ xs = None \longleftrightarrow find\text{-}min \ xs = None
proof (rule iffI)
  show min \ xs = None \Longrightarrow find-min \ xs = None
    by (simp add: find-min-def)
next
  assume *: find-min xs = None
  show min xs = None
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume min xs \neq None
    then obtain a where min xs = Some a by auto
    hence find-min xs \neq None
     by (simp add: find-min-def min-exists find-works-not-None)
    with * show False by simp
  qed
qed
lemma min-eq-find-min-Some:
  min \ xs = Some \ a \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ t. \ find-min \ xs = Some \ t \land priority \ t = a)
proof (rule iffI)
  show D1: \bigwedge a. min xs = Some \ a
    \implies (\exists t. find\text{-}min \ xs = Some \ t \land priority \ t = a)
   by (simp add: find-min-def find-works min-exists)
  assume *: \exists t. find\text{-}min \ xs = Some \ t \land priority \ t = a
  \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{min}\ \mathit{xs} = \mathit{Some}\ \mathit{a}
```

```
proof (rule ccontr)
   assume min xs \neq Some a thus False
   proof (cases min xs)
     {\bf case}\ None
     hence find-min xs = None by (simp only: min-eq-find-min-None)
     with * show False by simp
   next
     case (Some \ b)
     with \langle min \ xs \neq Some \ a \rangle have a \neq b by simp
     with * Some show False using D1 by auto
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma find-min-exist:
 assumes find-min xs = Some t
 shows Some t \in set xs
proof -
 from assms have min xs \neq None by (simp add: min-eq-find-min-None)
 with assms show ?thesis by (auto simp add: find-min-def find-exist)
qed
lemma find-min-is-min:
 assumes normalized xs
 and xs \neq []
 and find-min xs = Some t
 shows \forall x \in set \ xs. \ x = None \lor (priority \ t) \le priority \ (the \ x)
 using assms by (simp add: min-eq-find-min-Some min-is-min)
\mathbf{lemma}\ normalized\textit{-}find\textit{-}min\textit{-}exists\text{:}
 normalized xs \Longrightarrow xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow \exists t. find-min \ xs = Some \ t
by (drule normalized-min-not-None) (simp-all add: min-eq-find-min-None)
primrec
 match :: 'a:: linorder \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ bintree \ option \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ bintree \ option
where
 match\ a\ None = None
\mid match a (Some t) = (if priority t = a then None else Some t)
definition delete-min :: ('a::linorder, 'b) binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) binqueue where
  delete-min xs = (case find-min xs
   of Some (Node a v ts) \Rightarrow
        normalize (meld (map Some (rev ts)) (map (match a) xs))
    | None \Rightarrow [])
```

```
lemma delete-min-empty [simp]:
  delete-min [] = []
 by (simp add: delete-min-def)
lemma delete-min-nonempty [simp]:
  normalized \ xs \Longrightarrow xs \ne [] \Longrightarrow find-min \ xs = Some \ t
   \implies delete\text{-}min\ xs = normalize
     (meld (map Some (rev (children t))) (map (match (priority t)) xs))
 unfolding delete-min-def by (cases t) simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{is-binqueue-delete-min}:
 assumes is-binqueue 0 xs
 shows is-binqueue 0 (delete-min xs)
proof (cases find-min xs)
 case (Some \ t)
 from assms have is-binqueue 0 (map (match (priority t)) xs)
   by (induct xs) simp-all
 moreover
 from Some have Some t \in set xs by (rule find-min-exist)
 with assms have \exists l. is-bintree l t and is-heap t
   \mathbf{using} \ \textit{is-binqueue-select}[\textit{of} \ 0 \ \textit{xs} \ t] \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
 with assms have is-binqueue 0 (map Some (rev (children t)))
   by (auto simp add: is-binqueue-children)
 ultimately show ?thesis using Some
   by (auto simp add: is-binqueue-meld delete-min-def is-binqueue-normalize
     split: bintree.split)
qed (simp add: delete-min-def)
lemma normalized-delete-min:
 normalized (delete-min xs)
 by (cases find-min xs)
   (auto simp add: delete-min-def normalized-normalize split: bintree.split)
Dedicated grand unified operation for generated program
definition
 meld' :: ('a, 'b) \ bintree \ option \Rightarrow ('a::linorder, 'b) \ binqueue
   \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) binqueue
 meld' z xs ys = add z (meld xs ys)
lemma [code]:
 add z xs = meld' z [] xs
```

```
meld \ xs \ ys = meld' \ None \ xs \ ys
 by (simp-all add: meld'-def)
lemma [code]:
 meld'z (Some t \# xs) (Some r \# ys) =
   z \# (meld' (Some (merge t r)) xs ys)
  meld' (Some t) (Some r \# xs) (None \# ys) =
   None \# (meld' (Some (merge t r)) xs ys)
  meld' (Some t) (None # xs) (Some r # ys) =
   None \# (meld' (Some (merge t r)) xs ys)
 meld' None (x \# xs) (None \# ys) = x \# (meld' None xs ys)
 meld' None (None \# xs) (y \# ys) = y \# (meld' None xs ys)
 meld'z (None # xs) (None # ys) = z # (meld' None xs ys)
 meld'z xs [] = meld'z [] xs
 meld'z \mid (y \# ys) = meld' None \mid z \mid (y \# ys)
 meld'(Some\ t)\ []\ ys = meld'\ None\ [Some\ t]\ ys
 meld' None [] ys = ys
 by (simp\ add:\ meld'-def\mid\ cases\ z)+
Interface operations
abbreviation (input) empty :: ('a,'b) binqueue where
  empty \equiv []
definition
  insert :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ binqueue \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ binqueue
where
 insert a \ v \ xs = add \ (Some \ (Node \ a \ v \ ||)) \ xs
lemma insert-simps [simp]:
  insert \ a \ v \ [] = [Some \ (Node \ a \ v \ [])]
 insert \ a \ v \ (None \# xs) = Some \ (Node \ a \ v \ []) \# xs
 insert a v (Some t \# xs) = None \# add (Some (merge (Node a v \parallel)) xs
 by (simp-all add: insert-def)
lemma is-binqueue-insert:
 is-binqueue 0 xs \Longrightarrow is-binqueue 0 (insert a v xs)
 by (simp add: is-binqueue-add-Some insert-def)
lemma normalized-insert:
  normalized xs \implies normalized (insert a v xs)
 by (simp add: normalized-add insert-def)
 pop :: ('a::linorder, 'b) \ binqueue \Rightarrow (('b \times 'a) \ option \times ('a, 'b) \ binqueue)
```

```
where
  pop \ xs = (case \ find-min \ xs \ of
     None \Rightarrow (None, xs)
    | Some t \Rightarrow (Some (val t, priority t), delete-min xs))
lemma pop-empty [simp]:
  pop \ empty = (None, \ empty)
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon pop\text{-}def\ empty\text{-}def)
lemma pop-nonempty [simp]:
  normalized \ xs \Longrightarrow xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow find-min \ xs = Some \ t
    \implies pop \ xs = (Some \ (val \ t, \ priority \ t), \ normalize
     (meld\ (map\ Some\ (rev\ (children\ t)))\ (map\ (match\ (priority\ t))\ xs)))
  by (simp add: pop-def)
lemma pop-code [code]:
  pop \ xs = (case \ find-min \ xs \ of
      None \Rightarrow (None, xs)
    | Some t \Rightarrow (Some (val t, priority t), normalize
      (meld (map Some (rev (children t))) (map (match (priority t)) xs))))
 by (cases find-min xs) (simp-all add: pop-def delete-min-def split: bintree.split)
```

3 Relating Functional Binomial Queues To The Abstract Priority Queues

```
notation PQ.values\ (\langle |(-)| \rangle) and PQ.priorities\ (\langle ||(-)|| \rangle) Naming convention: prefix bt- for bintrees, bts- for bintree lists, no prefix for binqueues.

primrec bt-dfs:: (('a::linorder, 'b)\ bintree \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\ bintree \Rightarrow 'c\ list and bts-dfs:: (('a::linorder, 'b)\ bintree \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\ bintree\ list \Rightarrow 'c\ list where bt-dfs\ f\ (Node\ a\ v\ ts) = f\ (Node\ a\ v\ ts)\ \#\ bts-dfs\ f\ ts |\ bts-dfs\ f\ (t\ \#\ ts) = bt-dfs\ f\ t @ bts-dfs\ f\ ts |\ bt-dfs\ f\ t = f\ t\ \#\ bts-dfs\ f\ (children\ t) by (cases\ t)\ simp-all
```

```
bts-dfs f (ts @ rs) = bts-dfs f ts @ bts-dfs f rs
  by (induct ts) simp-all
lemma set-bts-dfs-rev:
  set (bts-dfs f (rev ts)) = set (bts-dfs f ts)
  by (induct ts) auto
lemma bts-dfs-rev-distinct:
  distinct\ (bts-dfs\ f\ ts) \Longrightarrow distinct\ (bts-dfs\ f\ (rev\ ts))
  by (induct ts) (auto simp add: set-bts-dfs-rev)
lemma bt-dfs-comp:
  bt-dfs (f \circ g) t = map f (bt-dfs g t)
  bts-dfs (f \circ g) ts = map f (bts-dfs g ts)
  by (induct t and ts rule: bt-dfs.induct bts-dfs.induct) simp-all
lemma bt-dfs-comp-distinct:
  distinct\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ (f\circ g)\ t) \Longrightarrow distinct\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ g\ t)
  distinct\ (bts-dfs\ (f\circ g)\ ts) \Longrightarrow distinct\ (bts-dfs\ g\ ts)
  by (simp-all\ add:\ bt-dfs-comp\ distinct-map\ [of\ f])
{f lemma} bt-dfs-distinct-children:
  distinct\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ f\ x) \Longrightarrow distinct\ (bts\text{-}dfs\ f\ (children\ x))
  by (cases \ x) \ simp
fun dfs :: (('a::linorder, 'b) \ bintree \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \ binqueue \Rightarrow 'c \ list \ \mathbf{where}
  dfs f [] = []
| dfs f (None \# xs) = dfs f xs
| dfs f (Some t \# xs) = bt - dfs f t @ dfs f xs
lemma dfs-append:
  dfs f (xs @ ys) = (dfs f xs) @ (dfs f ys)
  by (induct xs) simp-all
lemma set-dfs-rev:
  set (dfs f (rev xs)) = set (dfs f xs)
  by (induct xs) (auto simp add: dfs-append)
lemma set-dfs-Cons:
  set (dfs f (x \# xs)) = set (dfs f xs) \cup set (dfs f [x])
proof -
  have set (dfs\ f\ (x\ \#\ xs)) = set\ (dfs\ f\ (rev\ xs\ @\ [x]))
    using set-dfs-rev[of f rev xs @ [x]] by simp
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: dfs-append set-dfs-rev)
qed
```

```
lemma dfs-comp:
 dfs (f \circ g) xs = map f (dfs g xs)
 by (induct xs) (simp-all add: bt-dfs-comp del: o-apply)
lemma dfs-comp-distinct:
  distinct (dfs (f \circ g) xs) \Longrightarrow distinct (dfs g xs)
 by (simp\ add:\ dfs\text{-}comp\ distinct\text{-}map[of\ f])
lemma dfs-distinct-member:
  distinct (dfs f xs) \Longrightarrow
  Some \ x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow
  distinct (bt-dfs f x)
proof (induct xs arbitrary: x)
 case (Some r xs t) then show ?case by (cases t = r) simp-all
qed simp-all
lemma dfs-map-Some-idem:
 dfs f (map Some xs) = bts-dfs f xs
 by (induct xs) simp-all
primrec alist :: ('a, 'b) bintree \Rightarrow ('b \times 'a) where
 alist (Node a \ v -) = (v, a)
lemma alist-split-pre:
 val \ t = (fst \circ alist) \ t
 priority \ t = (snd \circ alist) \ t
 by (cases\ t,\ simp)+
lemma alist-split:
 val = fst \circ alist
 priority = snd \circ alist
 by (auto intro!: ext simp add: alist-split-pre)
lemma alist-split-set:
 set (dfs \ val \ xs) = fst \ `set (dfs \ alist \ xs)
 set (dfs \ priority \ xs) = snd \ `set (dfs \ alist \ xs)
 by (auto simp add: dfs-comp alist-split)
lemma in-set-in-alist:
 assumes Some \ t \in set \ xs
 shows (val t, priority t) \in set (dfs alist xs)
using assms
proof (induct xs)
 case (Some \ x \ xs) then show ?case
```

```
proof (cases Some t \in set xs)
  case False with Some show ?thesis by (cases t) (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp)
 qed simp
qed simp-all
abbreviation vals where vals \equiv dfs \ val
abbreviation prios where prios \equiv dfs \ priority
abbreviation elements where elements \equiv dfs alist
primrec
  bt-augment :: ('a::linorder, 'b) bintree \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) PQ.pq \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) PQ.pq
 bts-augment :: ('a::linorder, 'b) bintree list \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) PQ.pq \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) PQ.pq
where
 bt-augment (Node a v ts) q = PQ. push v a (bts-augment ts q)
 bts-augment [] q = q
 bts-augment (t \# ts) q = bts-augment ts (bt-augment t q)
lemma bts-augment [simp]:
 bts-augment = fold\ bt-augment
proof (rule ext)
 fix ts :: ('a, 'b) bintree list
 show bts-augment ts = fold bt-augment ts
   by (induct ts) simp-all
qed
lemma bt-augment-Node [simp]:
  bt-augment (Node a v ts) q = PQ. push v a (fold bt-augment ts q)
 by (simp add: bts-augment)
lemma bt-augment-simp:
 bt-augment t \neq PQ. push (val t) (priority t) (fold <math>bt-augment (children t) \neq 0
 by (cases t) (simp-all add: bts-augment)
declare bt-augment.simps [simp del] bts-augment.simps [simp del]
fun pqueue :: ('a::linorder, 'b) binqueue \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) PQ.pq where
  Empty: pqueue [] = PQ.empty
 None: pqueue (None \# xs) = pqueue xs
| Some: pqueue (Some t \# xs) = bt-augment t (pqueue xs)
\mathbf{lemma}\ bt\text{-}augment\text{-}v\text{-}subset:
 set |q| \subseteq set |bt-augment t |q|
 set |q| \subseteq set |bts-augment ts |q|
```

```
by (induct t and ts arbitrary: q and q rule: bt-augment.induct bts-augment.induct)
auto
{f lemma}\ bt	ext{-}augment	ext{-}v	ext{-}in:
 v \in set |q| \Longrightarrow v \in set |bt-augment t |q|
 v \in set |q| \Longrightarrow v \in set |bts-augment ts |q|
 using bt-augment-v-subset[of q] by auto
lemma bt-augment-v-union:
 set |bt-augment t (bt-augment r q)| =
   set \mid bt-augment t \mid q \mid bt-augment r \mid q \mid dt
 set |bts-augment ts (bt-augment r q)| =
   set \mid bts-augment ts \mid q \mid uset \mid bt-augment r \mid q \mid uset \mid dt
proof (induct t and ts arbitrary: q r and q r rule: bt-augment.induct bts-augment.induct)
 {f case} Nil-bintree
   from bt-augment-v-subset[of q] show ?case by auto
ged auto
lemma bt-val-augment:
 shows set (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ t) \cup set\ |q| = set\ |bt\text{-}augment\ t\ q|
 and set (bts-dfs\ val\ ts) \cup set\ |q| = set\ |bts-augment\ ts\ q|
proof (induct t and ts rule: bt-augment.induct bts-augment.induct)
 case (Cons-bintree r rs)
 have set |bts-augment rs (bt-augment r q)| =
   set \mid bts-augment rs \mid q \mid \cup set \mid bt-augment r \mid q \mid
   by (simp only: bt-augment-v-union)
 with bt-augment-v-subset[of q]
   have set |bts-augment rs (bt-augment r q)| =
     set \mid bts-augment rs \mid q \mid \cup set \mid bt-augment r \mid q \mid \cup set \mid q \mid
   by auto
 with Cons-bintree show ?case by auto
qed auto
lemma vals-pqueue:
 set (vals \ xs) = set | pqueue \ xs |
 by (induct xs) (simp-all add: bt-val-augment)
lemma bt-augment-v-push:
 set | bt-augment t (PQ.push \ v \ a \ q)| = set | bt-augment t \ q| \cup \{v\}
 set |bts-augment ts (PQ.push \ v \ a \ q)| = set |bts-augment ts \ q| \cup \{v\}
 using bt-val-augment[where q = PQ.push \ v \ a \ q] by (simp-all add: bt-val-augment)
lemma bt-augment-v-push-commute:
```

set |bt-augment $t (PQ.push \ v \ a \ q)| = set |PQ.push \ v \ a \ (bt$ -augment $t \ q)|$

```
set |bts-augment ts (PQ.push \ v \ a \ q)| = set |PQ.push \ v \ a \ (bts-augment ts \ q)|
 by (simp-all add: bt-augment-v-push del: bts-augment)
{f lemma}\ bts-augment-v-union:
 set |bt-augment t (bts-augment rs q)| =
   set \mid bt-augment t \mid q \mid bt-augment rs \mid q \mid
 set | bts-augment ts (bts-augment rs q)| =
   set \mid bts\text{-}augment \ ts \ q \mid \ \cup \ set \mid bts\text{-}augment \ rs \ q \mid
proof (induct t and ts arbitrary: q rs and q rs rule: bt-augment.induct bts-augment.induct)
 case Nil-bintree
 from bt-augment-v-subset[of q] show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons-bintree x xs)
 let ?L = set \mid bts-augment xs (bt-augment x (bts-augment rs q))
 from bt-augment-v-union
   have *: \bigwedge q. set |bts-augment xs (bt-augment x q)| =
     set \mid bts-augment xs \mid q \mid bt-augment x \mid q \mid by simp
 with Cons-bintree
   have ?L =
     set \mid bts-augment xs \mid q \mid \cup set \mid bts-augment rs \mid q \mid \cup set \mid bt-augment x \mid q \mid
     by auto
 with * show ?case by auto
qed simp
lemma bt-augment-v-commute:
 set |bt-augment t (bt-augment r q)| = set |bt-augment r (bt-augment t q)|
 set |bt-augment t (bts-augment rs q)| = set |bts-augment rs (bt-augment t q)|
 set |bts-augment ts (bts-augment rs q)| =
   set \mid bts-augment rs \mid bts-augment ts \mid q \mid q
 unfolding bts-augment-v-union bt-augment-v-union by auto
lemma bt-augment-v-merge:
 set | bt-augment (merge t r) q| = set | bt-augment t (bt-augment r q)|
 by (simp add: bt-augment-simp [symmetric] bt-augment-v-push
   bt-augment-v-commute merge-def)
lemma vals-merge [simp]:
  set (bt-dfs \ val \ (merge \ t \ r)) = set (bt-dfs \ val \ t) \cup set (bt-dfs \ val \ r)
 by (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp merge-def)
lemma vals-merge-distinct:
  distinct (bt-dfs \ val \ t) \Longrightarrow distinct (bt-dfs \ val \ r) \Longrightarrow
```

```
set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ t)\cap set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ r)=\{\}\Longrightarrow
   distinct (bt-dfs \ val \ (merge \ t \ r))
 by (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp merge-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ vals\text{-}add\text{-}Cons:
 set (vals (add x xs)) = set (vals (x \# xs))
proof (cases x)
 case (Some t) then show ?thesis
   by (induct xs arbitrary: x t) auto
qed simp
lemma vals-add-distinct:
 assumes distinct (vals xs)
 and distinct (dfs\ val\ [x])
 and set (vals\ xs) \cap set\ (dfs\ val\ [x]) = \{\}
 shows distinct (vals (add x xs))
using assms
proof (cases x)
 case (Some t) with assms show ?thesis
 proof (induct xs arbitrary: x t)
   case (Some \ r \ xs)
   then have set (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ t) \cap set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ r) = \{\} by auto
  with Some have distinct (bt-dfs val (merge t r)) by (simp add: vals-merge-distinct)
   with Some have set (vals xs) \cap set (bt-dfs val (merge t r)) = {} by auto
   moreover note Some
   ultimately show ?case by simp
 ged auto
qed simp
lemma vals-insert [simp]:
 set\ (vals\ (insert\ a\ v\ xs)) = set\ (vals\ xs) \cup \{v\}
 by (simp add: insert-def vals-add-Cons)
lemma insert-v-push:
 set\ (vals\ (insert\ a\ v\ xs)) = set\ |PQ.push\ v\ a\ (pqueue\ xs)|
 by (simp add: vals-pqueue[symmetric])
lemma vals-meld:
 set (dfs \ val \ (meld \ xs \ ys)) = set (dfs \ val \ xs) \cup set (dfs \ val \ ys)
proof (induct xs ys rule: meld.induct)
 case (3 xs y ys) then show ?case
 using set-dfs-Cons[of val y meld xs ys] using set-dfs-Cons[of val y ys] by auto
next
```

```
case (4 \ x \ xs \ ys) then show ?case
 using set-dfs-Cons[of val x meld xs ys] using set-dfs-Cons[of val x xs] by auto
 case (5 x xs y ys) then show ?case by (auto simp add: vals-add-Cons)
qed simp-all
{f lemma}\ vals	ext{-}meld	ext{-}distinct:
  distinct (dfs \ val \ xs) \Longrightarrow distinct (dfs \ val \ ys) \Longrightarrow
  set (dfs \ val \ xs) \cap set (dfs \ val \ ys) = \{\} \Longrightarrow
  distinct (dfs val (meld xs ys))
proof (induct xs ys rule: meld.induct)
 case (3 xs y ys) then show ?case
 \mathbf{proof}\ (cases\ y)
   case None with 3 show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case (Some \ t)
   from 3 have A: set (vals\ xs) \cap set\ (vals\ ys) = \{\}
     using set-dfs-Cons[of val y ys] by auto
   moreover
   from Some 3 have set (bt-dfs val t) \cap set (vals xs) = {} by auto
   moreover
   from Some 3 have set (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ t) \cap set\ (vals\ ys) = \{\} by simp
   ultimately have set (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ t) \cap set\ (vals\ (meld\ xs\ ys)) = \{\}
     by (auto simp add: vals-meld)
   with 3 Some show ?thesis by auto
 qed
next
 case (4 \ x \ xs \ ys) then show ?case
 proof (cases x)
   case None with 4 show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case (Some \ t)
   from 4 have set (vals\ xs) \cap set\ (vals\ ys) = \{\}
     using set-dfs-Cons[of val x xs] by auto
   from Some 4 have set (bt-dfs val t) \cap set (vals xs) = {} by simp
   moreover
   from Some 4 have set (bt-dfs val t) \cap set (vals ys) = {} by auto
   ultimately have set (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ t) \cap set\ (vals\ (meld\ xs\ ys)) = \{\}
```

```
by (auto simp add: vals-meld)
   with 4 Some show ?thesis by auto
 qed
next
 case (5 x xs y ys) then
 have set (vals\ xs) \cap set\ (vals\ ys) = \{\} by (auto\ simp\ add:\ set\ dfs\ Cons)
 with 5 have distinct (vals (meld xs ys)) by simp
 moreover
 from 5 have set (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ x) \cap set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ y) = \{\} by auto
 with 5 have distinct (bt-dfs val (merge x y))
   by (simp add: vals-merge-distinct)
 moreover
 from 5 have set (vals (meld xs ys)) \cap set (bt-dfs val (merge x y)) = {}
   by (auto simp add: vals-meld)
 ultimately show ?case by (simp add: vals-add-distinct)
qed simp-all
lemma bt-augment-alist-subset:
 set (PQ.alist-of q) \subseteq set (PQ.alist-of (bt-augment t q))
 set\ (PQ.alist-of\ q)\subseteq set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bts-augment\ ts\ q))
proof (induct t and ts arbitrary: q and q rule: bt-augment.induct bts-augment.induct)
 case (Node a v rs)
 show ?case using Node[of q] by (auto simp add: bt-augment-simp set-insort-key)
qed auto
lemma bt-augment-alist-in:
 (v,a) \in set\ (PQ.alist-of\ q) \Longrightarrow (v,a) \in set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bt-augment\ t\ q))
 (v,a) \in set\ (PQ.alist-of\ q) \Longrightarrow (v,a) \in set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bts-augment\ ts\ q))
 using bt-augment-alist-subset[of q] by auto
lemma bt-augment-alist-union:
  distinct (bts-dfs \ val \ (r \# [t])) \Longrightarrow
  set\ (bts\text{-}dfs\ val\ (r\ \#\ [t]))\cap set\ |q|=\{\}\Longrightarrow
  set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bt-augment\ t\ (bt-augment\ r\ q))) =
    set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bt-augment\ t\ q)) \cup set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bt-augment\ r\ q))
  distinct (bts-dfs \ val \ (r \# ts)) \Longrightarrow
  set\ (bts\text{-}dfs\ val\ (r\ \#\ ts))\ \cap\ set\ |q|=\{\}\Longrightarrow
  set (PQ.alist-of (bts-augment ts (bt-augment r q))) =
    set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bts-augment\ ts\ q)) \cup set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bt-augment\ r\ q))
proof (induct t and ts arbitrary: q r and q r rule: bt-augment.induct bts-augment.induct)
 case Nil-bintree
```

```
from bt-augment-alist-subset[of q] show ?case by auto
next
 case (Node a v rs) then
 have
   set (PQ.alist-of (bts-augment \ rs (bt-augment \ r \ q))) =
    set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bts-augment\ rs\ q)) \cup set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bt-augment\ r\ q))
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
 moreover
  from Node.prems have *: v \notin set \mid bts-augment rs \mid q \mid \cup set \mid bt-augment r \mid q \mid
unfolding bt-val-augment[symmetric] by simp
 hence v \notin set \mid bts-augment rs (bt-augment rq) \mid \mathbf{by} (unfold \ bt-augment-v-union)
 moreover
 from * have v \notin set \mid bts-augment rs \mid q \mid by simp
 ultimately show ?case by (simp add: set-insort-key)
next
 case (Cons-bintree x xs) then
 have — FIXME: ugly... and slow
   distinct (bts-dfs val (x \# xs)) and
   distinct (bts-dfs \ val \ (r \ \# \ xs)) and
   distinct (bts-dfs val [r,x]) and
   set (bts-dfs val (x \# xs)) \cap set |bt-augment r | q | = \{\} and
   set\ (bts\text{-}dfs\ val\ (x\ \#\ xs))\cap set\ |q|=\{\}\ \mathbf{and}
   set (bts-dfs \ val \ [r, \ x]) \cap set \ |q| = \{\} \ \mathbf{and}
   set\ (bts\text{-}dfs\ val\ (r\ \#\ xs))\cap set\ |q|=\{\}
   unfolding bt-val-augment[symmetric] by auto
 with Cons-bintree.hyps show ?case by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma bt-alist-augment:
  distinct (bt-dfs \ val \ t) \Longrightarrow
  set (bt-dfs \ val \ t) \cap set \ |q| = \{\} \Longrightarrow
  set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ alist\ t) \cup set\ (PQ.alist\text{-}of\ q) = set\ (PQ.alist\text{-}of\ (bt\text{-}augment\ t\ q))
  distinct (bts-dfs \ val \ ts) \Longrightarrow
  set (bts-dfs \ val \ ts) \cap set |q| = \{\} \Longrightarrow
  set\ (bts-dfs\ alist\ ts)\ \cup\ set\ (PQ.alist-of\ q) =
     set (PQ.alist-of (bts-augment ts q))
proof (induct t and ts rule: bt-augment.induct bts-augment.induct)
  case Nil-bintree then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Node \ a \ v \ rs)
 hence v \notin set \mid bts-augment rs \mid q \mid
```

```
unfolding bt-val-augment[symmetric] by simp
 with Node show ?case by (simp add: set-insort-key)
next
 case (Cons-bintree r rs) then
 have set (PQ.alist-of\ (bts-augment\ (r \# rs)\ q)) =
   set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bts-augment\ rs\ q)) \cup set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (bt-augment\ r\ q))
   using bt-augment-alist-union by simp
 with Cons-bintree bt-augment-alist-subset show ?case by auto
qed
lemma alist-pqueue:
  distinct\ (vals\ xs) \Longrightarrow set\ (dfs\ alist\ xs) = set\ (PQ.alist-of\ (pqueue\ xs))
 by (induct xs) (simp-all add: vals-pqueue bt-alist-augment)
lemma alist-pqueue-priority:
  distinct\ (vals\ xs) \Longrightarrow (v,\ a) \in set\ (dfs\ alist\ xs)
    \implies PQ.priority (pqueue xs) v = Some a
 by (simp add: alist-pqueue PQ.priority-def)
lemma prios-pqueue:
 distinct\ (vals\ xs) \Longrightarrow set\ (prios\ xs) = set\ \|pqueue\ xs\|
 by (auto simp add: alist-pqueue priorities-set alist-split-set)
lemma alist-merge [simp]:
  distinct (bt-dfs \ val \ t) \Longrightarrow distinct (bt-dfs \ val \ r) \Longrightarrow
  set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ t)\cap set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ r)=\{\}\Longrightarrow
  set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ alist\ (merge\ t\ r)) = set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ alist\ t) \cup set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ alist\ r)
 by (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp merge-def alist-split)
lemma alist-add-Cons:
 assumes distinct (vals (x\#xs))
 shows set (dfs \ alist \ (add \ x \ xs)) = set \ (dfs \ alist \ (x \# xs))
using assms proof (induct xs arbitrary: x)
 case Empty then show ?case by (cases x) simp-all
next
 case None then show ?case by (cases x) simp-all
next
 case (Some \ y \ ys) then
 show ?case
 proof (cases x)
   case (Some \ t)
   note prem = Some.prems Some
   from prem have distinct (bt-dfs val (merge t y))
     by (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp merge-def)
```

```
with prem have distinct (vals (Some (merge t y) \# ys)) by auto
   with prem Some.hyps
     have set (dfs \ alist \ (add \ (Some \ (merge \ t \ y)) \ ys)) =
       set (dfs \ alist (Some (merge \ t \ y) \ \# \ ys)) \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
   moreover
   from prem have set (bt-dfs val t) \cap set (bt-dfs val y) = {} by auto
   with prem
     have set (bt-dfs alist (merge\ t\ y)) =
       set (bt-dfs \ alist \ t) \cup set (bt-dfs \ alist \ y)
     by simp
   moreover note prem and Un-assoc
   ultimately
   show ?thesis by simp
 qed simp
qed
lemma alist-insert [simp]:
  distinct (vals xs) \Longrightarrow
  v \notin set (vals \ xs) \Longrightarrow
  set (dfs \ alist \ (insert \ a \ v \ xs)) = set \ (dfs \ alist \ xs) \cup \{(v,a)\}
 by (simp add: insert-def alist-add-Cons)
lemma insert-push:
  distinct (vals xs) \Longrightarrow
  v \notin set (vals \ xs) \Longrightarrow
  set (dfs \ alist (insert \ a \ v \ xs)) = set (PQ.alist-of (PQ.push \ v \ a \ (pqueue \ xs)))
 by (simp add: alist-pqueue vals-pqueue set-insort-key)
lemma insert-p-push:
 assumes distinct (vals xs)
 and v \notin set (vals xs)
 shows set (prios\ (insert\ a\ v\ xs)) = set\ \|PQ.push\ v\ a\ (pqueue\ xs)\|
proof -
 from assms
   have set (dfs alist (insert a v xs)) =
     set (PQ.alist-of (PQ.push v \ a \ (pqueue \ xs)))
   by (rule insert-push)
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: alist-split-set priorities-set)
qed
lemma empty-empty:
 normalized \ xs \Longrightarrow xs = empty \longleftrightarrow PQ.is-empty \ (pqueue \ xs)
```

```
proof (rule iffI)
 assume xs = [] then show PQ.is-empty (pqueue xs) by simp
 assume N: normalized xs and E: PQ.is-empty (pqueue xs)
 show xs = []
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume xs \neq []
   with N have set (vals xs) \neq {}
    by (induct xs) (simp-all add: bt-dfs-simp dfs-append)
   hence set |pqueue\ xs| \neq \{\} by (simp add: vals-pqueue)
   moreover
   from E have set |pqueue\ xs| = \{\} by (simp\ add:\ is-empty-empty)
   ultimately show False by simp
 qed
qed
lemma bt-dfs-Min-priority:
 assumes is-heap t
 shows priority t = Min (set (bt-dfs priority t))
using assms
proof (induct priority t children t arbitrary: t)
 case is-heap-list-Nil then show ?case by (simp add: bt-dfs-simp)
next
 case (is-heap-list-Cons rs r t) note cons = this
 let ?M = Min (set (bt-dfs priority t))
 obtain t' where t' = Node (priority t) (val t) rs by auto
 hence ot: rs = children \ t' \ priority \ t' = priority \ t \ by \ simp-all
 with is-heap-list-Cons have priority t = Min (set (bt-dfs priority t'))
   by simp
 with ot
   have priority t = Min (Set.insert (priority t) (set (bts-dfs priority rs)))
   by (simp add: bt-dfs-simp)
 moreover
 from cons have priority r = Min (set (bt-dfs priority r)) by simp
 moreover
 from cons have children t = r \# rs by simp
 then have bts-dfs priority (children t) =
   (bt\text{-}dfs\ priority\ r)\ @\ (bts\text{-}dfs\ priority\ rs)\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
 hence bt-dfs priority t =
   priority t \# (bt\text{-}dfs \ priority \ r @ bts\text{-}dfs \ priority \ rs)
```

```
by (simp add: bt-dfs-simp)
 hence A: ?M = Min
   (Set.insert\ (priority\ t)\ (set\ (bt-dfs\ priority\ r)\ \cup\ set\ (bts-dfs\ priority\ rs)))
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
 have Set.insert (priority t) (set (bt-dfs priority r)
   \cup set (bts-dfs priority rs)) =
   Set.insert (priority t) (set (bts-dfs priority rs)) \cup set (bt-dfs priority r)
   by auto
 with A have ?M = Min
   (Set.insert\ (priority\ t)\ (set\ (bts-dfs\ priority\ rs)) \cup set\ (bt-dfs\ priority\ r))
   by simp
 with Min-Un
   [of Set.insert (priority t) (set (bts-dfs priority rs)) set (bt-dfs priority r)]
 have ?M =
   ord-class.min (Min (Set.insert (priority t) (set (bts-dfs priority rs))))
     (Min (set (bt-dfs priority r)))
   by (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp)
 ultimately
 have ?M = ord\text{-}class.min (priority t) (priority r) by simp
 with \langle priority \ t \leq priority \ r \rangle show ?case by (auto simp add: ord-class.min-def)
qed
lemma is-binqueue-min-Min-prios:
 assumes is-binqueue l xs
 and normalized xs
 and xs \neq []
 shows min \ xs = Some \ (Min \ (set \ (prios \ xs)))
using assms
proof (induct xs)
 case (Some l xs x) then show ?case
 proof (cases \ xs \neq [])
   case False with Some show ?thesis
     using bt-dfs-Min-priority[of x] by (simp add: min-single)
 next
   case True note T = this Some
   from T have normalized xs by simp
   with \langle xs \neq | \rangle have prios \ xs \neq | |  by (induct \ xs) \ (simp-all \ add: \ bt-dfs-simp)
   with T show ?thesis
     using Min-Un[of set (bt-dfs priority x) set (prios xs)]
     using bt-dfs-Min-priority[of x]
```

```
by (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp ord-class.min-def)
 qed
\mathbf{qed}\ simp\mbox{-}all
lemma min-p-min:
 assumes is-binqueue l xs
 and xs \neq [
 and normalized xs
 and distinct (vals xs)
 and distinct (prios xs)
 shows min \ xs = PQ.priority (pqueue \ xs) (PQ.min (pqueue \ xs))
proof -
 from \langle xs \neq [] \rangle \langle normalized \ xs \rangle have \neg PQ.is\text{-}empty (pqueue \ xs)
   by (simp add: empty-empty)
 moreover
 from assms have min xs = Some (Min (set (prios xs)))
   by (simp add: is-binqueue-min-Min-prios)
 with \langle distinct \ (vals \ xs) \rangle have min \ xs = Some \ (Min \ (set \| pqueue \ xs \| \ ))
   by (simp add: prios-pqueue)
 ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp add: priority-Min-priorities [where q = pqueue \ xs])
qed
lemma find-min-p-min:
 assumes is-binqueue l xs
 and xs \neq []
 and normalized xs
 and distinct (vals xs)
 and distinct (prios xs)
 shows priority (the (find-min xs)) =
   the (PQ.priority\ (pqueue\ xs)\ (PQ.min\ (pqueue\ xs)))
proof -
 from assms have min xs \neq None by (simp add: normalized-min-not-None)
 from assms have min xs = PQ.priority (pqueue xs) (PQ.min (pqueue xs))
   by (simp add: min-p-min)
 with \langle min \ xs \neq None \rangle show ?thesis by (auto simp add: min-eq-find-min-Some)
qed
lemma find-min-v-min:
 assumes is-binqueue l xs
 and xs \neq []
 and normalized xs
 and distinct (vals xs)
```

```
and distinct (prios xs)
 shows val (the (find-min xs)) = PQ.min (pqueue xs)
proof -
 from assms have min xs \neq None by (simp add: normalized-min-not-None)
 then obtain a where oa: Some a = min \ xs \ by \ auto
 then obtain t where ot: find-min xs = Some \ t \ priority \ t = a
   using min-eq-find-min-Some [of xs a] by auto
 hence *: (val\ t,\ a) \in set\ (dfs\ alist\ xs)
   by (auto simp add: find-min-exist in-set-in-alist)
 have PQ.min (pqueue xs) = val t
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume A: PQ.min (pqueue xs) \neq val t
   then obtain t' where ot':PQ.min\ (pqueue\ xs)=t' by simp
   with A have NE: val t \neq t' by simp
   from ot' oa assms have (t', a) \in set (dfs \ alist \ xs)
     by (simp add: alist-pqueue PQ.priority-def min-p-min)
   with *NE have \neg distinct (prios xs)
     unfolding alist-split(2)
     unfolding dfs-comp
     by (induct (dfs alist xs)) (auto simp add: rev-image-eqI)
   with \langle distinct (prios xs) \rangle show False by simp
 qed
 with ot show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma alist-normalize-idem:
 dfs \ alist \ (normalize \ xs) = dfs \ alist \ xs
unfolding normalize-def
proof (induct xs rule: rev-induct)
 case (snoc x xs) then show ?case by (cases x) (simp-all add: dfs-append)
qed simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ dfs	ext{-}match	ext{-}not	ext{-}in:
 (\forall t. Some \ t \in set \ xs \longrightarrow priority \ t \neq a) \Longrightarrow
   set (dfs f (map (match a) xs)) = set (dfs f xs)
by (induct xs) simp-all
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{dfs-match-subset} :
 set (dfs f (map (match a) xs)) \subseteq set (dfs f xs)
proof (induct xs rule: list.induct)
 case (Cons x xs) then show ?case by (cases x) auto
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} dfs-match-distinct:
  distinct (dfs f xs) \Longrightarrow distinct (dfs f (map (match a) xs))
proof (induct xs rule: list.induct)
 case (Cons \ x \ xs) then show ?case
   using dfs-match-subset[of f a xs]
   by (cases x, auto)
qed simp
lemma dfs-match:
  distinct (prios xs) \Longrightarrow
  distinct (dfs f xs) \Longrightarrow
  Some \ t \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow
  priority \ t = a \Longrightarrow
  set (dfs f (map (match a) xs)) = set (dfs f xs) - set (bt-dfs f t)
proof (induct xs arbitrary: t)
 case (Some r xs t) then show ?case
 proof (cases t = r)
   case True
   from Some have priority r \notin set (prios xs) by (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp)
   with Some True have a \notin set (prios \ xs) by simp
   hence \forall s. Some s \in set \ xs \longrightarrow priority \ s \neq a
     by (induct xs) (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp)
   hence set (dfs f (map (match a) xs)) = set (dfs f xs)
     by (simp add: dfs-match-not-in)
   with True Some show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   with Some prems have Some t \in set xs by simp
   with \langle priority \ t = a \rangle have a \in set \ (prios \ xs)
   proof (induct xs)
     case (Some x xs) then show ?case
       by (cases t = x) (simp-all add: bt-dfs-simp)
   qed simp-all
   with False Some have priority r \neq a by (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp)
   moreover
   from Some False
     have set (dfs\ f\ (map\ (match\ a)\ xs)) = set\ (dfs\ f\ xs) - set\ (bt-dfs\ f\ t)
     by simp
   moreover
   from Some.prems False have set (bt\text{-}dfs\ f\ t) \cap set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ f\ r) = \{\}
     by (induct xs) auto
```

qed simp

```
hence set (bt\text{-}dfs\ f\ r) – set (bt\text{-}dfs\ f\ t) = set (bt\text{-}dfs\ f\ r) by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
qed simp-all
lemma alist-meld:
  distinct (dfs \ val \ xs) \Longrightarrow distinct (dfs \ val \ ys) \Longrightarrow
  set (dfs \ val \ xs) \cap set (dfs \ val \ ys) = \{\} \Longrightarrow
  set (dfs \ alist \ (meld \ xs \ ys)) = set (dfs \ alist \ xs) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ ys)
proof (induct xs ys rule: meld.induct)
 case (3 xs y ys)
 have set (dfs \ alist \ (y \# meld \ xs \ ys)) =
   set (dfs \ alist \ xs) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ (y \# ys))
 proof -
   note assms = 3
   from assms have set (vals xs) \cap set (vals ys) = {}
     using set-dfs-Cons[of val y ys] by auto
   moreover
   from assms have distinct (vals ys) by (cases y) simp-all
   moreover
   from assms have distinct (vals xs) by simp
   moreover note assms
   ultimately have set (dfs alist (meld xs ys)) =
     set (dfs \ alist \ xs) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ ys) \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
   hence set (dfs \ alist \ (y \# \ meld \ xs \ ys)) =
     set (dfs \ alist \ [y]) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ xs) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ ys)
     using set-dfs-Cons[of alist y meld xs ys] by auto
   then show ?thesis using set-dfs-Cons[of alist y ys] by auto
 qed
 thus ?case by simp
next
 case (4 \ x \ xs \ ys)
 have set (dfs \ alist \ (x \# meld \ xs \ ys)) =
   set (dfs \ alist (x \# xs)) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ ys)
 proof -
   note assms = 4
   from assms have set (vals\ xs) \cap set\ (vals\ ys) = \{\}
     using set-dfs-Cons[of val x xs] by auto
```

```
moreover
   from assms have distinct (vals xs) by (cases x) simp-all
   moreover
   from assms have distinct (vals ys) by simp
   moreover note assms
   ultimately have set (dfs alist (meld xs ys)) =
     set (dfs \ alist \ xs) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ ys) \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
   hence set (dfs \ alist \ (x \# meld \ xs \ ys)) =
     set (dfs \ alist \ [x]) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ xs) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ ys)
     using set-dfs-Cons[of alist x meld xs ys] by auto
   then show ?thesis using set-dfs-Cons[of alist x xs] by auto
 qed
 thus ?case by simp
next
 case (5 \ x \ xs \ y \ ys)
 have set (dfs alist (add (Some (merge x y)) (meld xs ys))) =
   set (bt-dfs \ alist \ x) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ xs)
   \cup set (bt-dfs alist y) \cup set (dfs alist ys)
 proof -
   note assms = 5
   from assms have distinct (bt-dfs val x) distinct (bt-dfs val y) by simp-all
   moreover from assms have xyint:
    set (bt-dfs \ val \ x) \cap set (bt-dfs \ val \ y) = \{\}  by (auto simp \ add: set-dfs-Cons)
   ultimately have *: set (dfs \ alist \ [Some \ (merge \ x \ y)]) =
     set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ alist\ x)\ \cup\ set\ (bt\text{-}dfs\ alist\ y)\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
   moreover
   from assms
     have **: set (dfs alist (meld xs ys)) = set (dfs alist xs) \cup set (dfs alist ys)
     by (auto simp add: set-dfs-Cons)
   moreover
   from assms have distinct (vals (Some (merge x y) # meld xs ys))
   proof -
     from assms xyint have distinct (bt-dfs val (merge x y))
       by (simp add: vals-merge-distinct)
     moreover
     from assms have
       distinct (vals xs)
```

```
and distinct (vals ys)
       and set (vals\ xs) \cap set\ (vals\ ys) = \{\}
       by (auto simp add: set-dfs-Cons)
     hence distinct (vals (meld xs ys)) by (rule vals-meld-distinct)
     moreover
     from assms
      have set (bt\text{-}dfs\ val\ (merge\ x\ y))\cap set\ (vals\ (meld\ xs\ ys))=\{\}
       by (auto simp add: vals-meld)
     ultimately show ?thesis by simp
   qed
   ultimately show ?thesis by (auto simp add: alist-add-Cons)
 qed
 thus ?case by auto
qed simp-all
lemma alist-delete-min:
 assumes distinct (vals xs)
 and distinct (prios xs)
 and find-min xs = Some (Node a v ts)
 shows set (dfs \ alist \ (delete-min \ xs)) = set \ (dfs \ alist \ xs) - \{(v, \ a)\}
proof -
 from \langle distinct (vals \ xs) \rangle have d: distinct (dfs \ alist \ xs)
   using dfs-comp-distinct[of fst alist xs]
   by (simp only: alist-split)
 from assms have IN: Some (Node a v ts) \in set xs
   by (simp add: find-min-exist)
 hence sub: set (bts-dfs alist ts) \subseteq set (dfs alist xs)
   by (induct xs) (auto simp add: bt-dfs-simp)
 from d IN have (v,a) \notin set (bts-dfs alist ts)
   using dfs-distinct-member[of alist xs Node a v ts] by simp
 with sub have set (bts-dfs alist ts) \subseteq set (dfs alist xs) - {(v,a)} by blast
 hence nu: set (bts-dfs alist ts) \cup (set (dfs alist xs) - {(v,a)}) =
   set (dfs \ alist \ xs) - \{(v,a)\} \ by \ auto
 from assms have distinct (vals (map (match a) xs))
   by (simp add: dfs-match-distinct)
 moreover
 from IN assms have distinct (bts-dfs val ts)
   using dfs-distinct-member[of val xs Node a v ts]
```

```
by (simp add: bt-dfs-distinct-children)
 hence distinct (vals (map Some (rev ts)))
   by (simp add: bts-dfs-rev-distinct dfs-map-Some-idem)
 moreover
 from assms IN have set (dfs val (map (match a) xs)) =
   set (dfs \ val \ xs) - set (bt-dfs \ val \ (Node \ a \ v \ ts))
   by (simp add: dfs-match)
 hence set (vals (map (match a) xs)) \cap set (vals (map Some (rev ts))) = {}
   by (auto simp add: dfs-map-Some-idem set-bts-dfs-rev)
 ultimately
 have set (dfs \ alist \ (meld \ (map \ Some \ (rev \ ts)) \ (map \ (match \ a) \ xs))) =
   set (dfs \ alist (map \ Some \ (rev \ ts))) \cup set (dfs \ alist \ (map \ (match \ a) \ xs))
   using alist-meld by auto
 with assms d IN nu show ?thesis
  by (simp add: delete-min-def alist-normalize-idem set-bts-dfs-rev dfs-map-Some-idem
    dfs-match Diff-insert2 [of set (dfs alist xs) (v,a) set (bts-dfs alist ts)])
qed
lemma alist-remove-min:
 assumes is-binqueue l xs
 and distinct (vals xs)
 and distinct (prios xs)
 and normalized xs
 and xs \neq []
 shows set (dfs \ alist \ (delete-min \ xs)) =
 set (PQ.alist-of (PQ.remove-min (pqueue xs)))
proof -
 from assms obtain t where ot: find-min xs = Some t
   using normalized-find-min-exists by auto
 with assms show ?thesis
 proof (cases \ t)
   case (Node a \ v \ ys)
   from assms have \neg PQ.is\text{-}empty (pqueue xs) by (simp add: empty-empty)
   hence set (PQ.alist\text{-}of\ (PQ.remove\text{-}min\ (pqueue\ xs))) =
     set (PQ.alist-of (pqueue xs)) - \{(PQ.min (pqueue xs),
       the (PQ.priority (pqueue xs) (PQ.min (pqueue xs))))}
   by (simp add: set-alist-of-remove-min of pqueue xs del: alist-of-remove-min)
   moreover
   from assms ot Node
   have set (dfs \ alist \ (delete-min \ xs)) = set \ (dfs \ alist \ xs) - \{(v, \ a)\}
     using alist-delete-min[of xs] by simp
```

```
moreover from Node of have priority (the (find-min xs)) = a by simp with assms have a = the (PQ.priority (pqueue xs) (PQ.min (pqueue xs))) by (simp add: find-min-p-min)

moreover from Node of have val (the (find-min xs)) = v by simp with assms have v = PQ.min (pqueue xs) by (simp add: find-min-v-min)

moreover note (distinct (vals xs)) ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: alist-pqueue) qed qed

no-notation

PQ.values (\langle |(-)| \rangle) and PQ.priorities (\langle |(-)|| \rangle)
```