

Binomial Heaps and Skew Binomial Heaps

Rene Meis Finn Nielsen Peter Lammich

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We implement and prove correct binomial heaps and skew binomial heaps. Both are data-structures for priority queues. While binomial heaps have logarithmic *findMin*, *deleteMin*, *insert*, and *meld* operations, skew binomial heaps have constant time *findMin*, *insert*, and *meld* operations, and only the *deleteMin*-operation is logarithmic. This is achieved by using *skew links* to avoid cascading linking on *insert*-operations, and *data-structural bootstrapping* to get constant-time *findMin* and *meld* operations. Our implementation follows the paper of Brodal and Okasaki [1].

Contents

1	Binomial Heaps	3
1.1	Datatype Definition	3
1.1.1	Abstraction to Multiset	3
1.1.2	Invariant	4
1.1.3	Heap Ordering	6
1.1.4	Height and Length	7
1.2	Operations	10
1.2.1	Empty	10
1.2.2	Insert	10
1.2.3	Meld	13
1.2.4	Find Minimal Element	16
1.2.5	Delete Minimal Element	18
1.3	Hiding the Invariant	23
1.3.1	Datatype	23
1.3.2	Operations	24
1.3.3	Correctness	25
1.4	Documentation	26
2	Skew Binomial Heaps	27
2.1	Datatype	28
2.1.1	Abstraction to Multisets	28
2.1.2	Invariant	28
2.1.3	Heap Order	33
2.1.4	Height and Length	34
2.2	Operations	39
2.2.1	Empty Tree	39
2.2.2	Insert	39
2.2.3	meld	42
2.2.4	Find Minimal Element	47
2.2.5	Delete Minimal Element	49
2.3	Bootstrapping	59
2.3.1	Auxiliary	59
2.3.2	Datatype	60
2.3.3	Specialization Boilerplate	61
2.3.4	Bootstrapping: Phase 1	67
2.3.5	Bootstrapping: Phase 2	71
2.4	Hiding the Invariant	73
2.4.1	Datatype	73
2.4.2	Operations	73
2.4.3	Correctness	75
2.5	Documentation	76

1 Binomial Heaps

```
theory BinomialHeap
imports Main HOL-Library.Multiset
begin
```

```
locale BinomialHeapStruc-loc
begin
```

1.1 Datatype Definition

Binomial heaps are lists of binomial trees.

```
datatype ('e, 'a) BinomialTree =
  Node (val: 'e) (prio: 'a::linorder) (rank: nat) (children: ('e, 'a) BinomialTree
  list)
type-synonym ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv = ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialTree list
```

Combine two binomial trees (of rank r) to one (of rank $r + 1$).

```
fun link :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialTree  $\Rightarrow$  ('e, 'a) BinomialTree  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('e, 'a) BinomialTree where
  link (Node e1 a1 r1 ts1) (Node e2 a2 r2 ts2) =
    (if a1  $\leq$  a2
     then (Node e1 a1 (Suc r1) ((Node e2 a2 r2 ts2)#ts1))
     else (Node e2 a2 (Suc r2) ((Node e1 a1 r1 ts1)#ts2)))
```

1.1.1 Abstraction to Multiset

Return a multiset with all (element, priority) pairs from a queue.

```
fun tree-to-multiset
  :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialTree  $\Rightarrow$  ('e  $\times$  'a) multiset
and queue-to-multiset
  :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialQueue-inv  $\Rightarrow$  ('e  $\times$  'a) multiset where
  tree-to-multiset (Node e a r ts) = {#(e,a)#} + queue-to-multiset ts |
  queue-to-multiset [] = {#} |
  queue-to-multiset (t#q) = tree-to-multiset t + queue-to-multiset q
```

```
lemma qtmset-append-union[simp]: queue-to-multiset (q @ q') =
  queue-to-multiset q + queue-to-multiset q'
apply(induct q)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: union-ac)
done
```

```
lemma qtmset-rev[simp]: queue-to-multiset (rev q) = queue-to-multiset q
apply(induct q)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: union-ac)
done
```

1.1.2 Invariant

We first formulate the invariant for single binomial trees, and then extend the invariant to binomial heaps (lists of binomial trees). The invariant for trees claims that a tree labeled rank 0 has no children, and a tree labeled rank $r + 1$ is the result of a link operation of two rank r trees.

```
function tree-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialTree => bool where
  tree-invar (Node e a 0 ts) = (ts = []) |
  tree-invar (Node e a (Suc r) ts) =
    (∃ e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2.
      tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1) ∧
      tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∧
      (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
by pat-completeness auto
termination
  apply(relation measure (λt. rank t))
  apply auto
done
```

A queue satisfies the invariant, iff all trees inside the queue satisfy the invariant, and the queue contains only trees of distinct rank and is ordered by rank

First part: All trees of the queue satisfy the tree invariant:

```
definition queue-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialQueue-inv => bool where
  queue-invar q ≡ (∀ t ∈ set q. tree-invar t)
```

Second part: Trees have distinct rank, and are ordered by ascending rank:

```
fun rank-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialQueue-inv => bool where
  rank-invar [] = True |
  rank-invar [t] = True |
  rank-invar (t # t' # bq) = (rank t < rank t' ∧ rank-invar (t' # bq))
```

```
lemma queue-invar-simps[simp]:
  queue-invar []
  queue-invar (t#q) <=> tree-invar t ∧ queue-invar q
  queue-invar (q@q') <=> queue-invar q ∧ queue-invar q'
unfolding queue-invar-def by auto
```

Invariant for binomial queues:

```
definition invar q == queue-invar q ∧ rank-invar q
```

```
lemma mset-link[simp]: (tree-to-multiset (link t1 t2))
  = (tree-to-multiset t1) + (tree-to-multiset t2)
by(cases t1, cases t2, auto simp add: union-ac)
```

```
lemma link-tree-invar:
  [tree-invar t1; tree-invar t2; rank t1 = rank t2] ==> tree-invar (link t1 t2)
```

by (cases t1, cases t2, simp, blast)

lemma invar-children:
assumes tree-invar ((Node e a r ts)::('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialTree))
shows queue-invar ts **using** assms
unfolding queue-invar-def
proof(induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
 case 0
 then show ?case **by** simp
next
 case (Suc r)
 from Suc(2) **obtain** e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 **where**
 O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1) tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
 (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
 by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
 from Suc(1)[OF O(1)] O(2)
 have case1: queue-invar ((Node e2 a2 r ts2) # ts1)
 unfolding queue-invar-def **by** simp
 from Suc(1)[OF O(2)] O(1)
 have case2: queue-invar ((Node e1 a1 r ts1) # ts2)
 unfolding queue-invar-def **by** simp
 from O(3) **have** ts = (if a1 ≤ a2
 then (Node e2 a2 r ts2) # ts1
 else (Node e1 a1 r ts1) # ts2) **by** auto
 with case1 case2 **show** ?case **unfolding** queue-invar-def **by** simp
qed

lemma invar-children': tree-invar t \implies queue-invar (children t)
 by (cases t) (auto simp add: invar-children)

lemma rank-link: rank t = rank t' \implies rank (link t t') = rank t + 1
apply (cases t)
apply (cases t')
apply(auto)
done

lemma rank-invar-not-empty-hd: \llbracket rank-invar (t # bq); bq \neq [] $\rrbracket \implies$
 rank t < rank (hd bq)
apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
apply(auto)
done

lemma rank-invar-to-set: rank-invar (t # bq) \implies
 $\forall t' \in \text{set } bq. \text{rank } t < \text{rank } t'$
apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
apply(simp)
apply (metis nat-less-le rank-invar.simps(3) set-ConsD xt1(7))
done

```

lemma set-to-rank-invar:  $\llbracket \forall t' \in \text{set } bq. \text{rank } t < \text{rank } t'; \text{rank-invar } bq \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{rank-invar } (t \# bq)$ 
apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
apply(simp)
by (metis list.sel(1) hd-in-set list.distinct(1) rank-invar.simps(3))

```

```

lemma rank-invar-hd-cons:
   $\llbracket \text{rank-invar } bq; \text{rank } t < \text{rank } (\text{hd } bq) \rrbracket \implies \text{rank-invar } (t \# bq)$ 
apply(cases bq)
apply(auto)
done

```

```

lemma rank-invar-cons:  $\text{rank-invar } (t \# bq) \implies \text{rank-invar } bq$ 
apply(cases bq)
apply(auto)
done

```

```

lemma invar-cons-up:
   $\llbracket \text{invar } (t \# bq); \text{rank } t' < \text{rank } t; \text{tree-invar } t' \rrbracket \implies \text{invar } (t' \# t \# bq)$ 
unfolding invar-def
by (cases bq) simp-all

```

```

lemma invar-cons-down:  $\text{invar } (t \# bq) \implies \text{invar } bq$ 
unfolding invar-def
by (cases bq) simp-all

```

```

lemma invar-app-single:
   $\llbracket \text{invar } bq; \forall t \in \text{set } bq. \text{rank } t < \text{rank } t'; \text{tree-invar } t' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{invar } (bq @ [t'])$ 
proof (induct bq)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by (simp add: invar-def)
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  from  $\langle \text{invar } (a \# bq) \rangle$  have invar bq by (rule invar-cons-down)
  with Cons have invar (bq @ [t']) by simp
  with Cons show ?case by (cases bq) (simp-all add: invar-def)
qed

```

1.1.3 Heap Ordering

```

fun heap-ordered :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialTree  $\implies$  bool where
  heap-ordered (Node e a r ts) =  $(\forall x \in \text{set-mset}(\text{queue-to-multiset } ts). a \leq \text{snd } x)$ 

```

The invariant for trees implies heap order.

```

lemma tree-invar-heap-ordered:
  assumes tree-invar t

```

```

shows heap-ordered t
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show ?thesis
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by simp
  next
  case (Suc nat t)
  then obtain t1 e1 a1 ts1 t2 e2 a2 ts2 where
    O: tree-invar t1 tree-invar t2 t = link t1 t2
    and t1[simp]: t1 = (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)
    and t2[simp]: t2 = (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
    by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
  from O(3) have t = (if a1 ≤ a2
    then (Node e1 a1 (Suc nat) (t2 # ts1))
    else (Node e2 a2 (Suc nat) (t1 # ts2))) by simp
  with Suc(1)[OF O(1) t1] Suc(1)[OF O(2) t2]
  show ?case by (cases a1 ≤ a2) auto
qed
qed

```

1.1.4 Height and Length

Although complexity of HOL-functions cannot be expressed within HOL, we can express the height and length of a binomial heap. By showing that both, height and length, are logarithmic in the number of contained elements, we give strong evidence that our functions have logarithmic complexity in the number of elements.

Height of a tree and queue

```

fun height-tree :: ('e, ('a::linorder)) BinomialTree ⇒ nat and
  height-queue :: ('e, ('a::linorder)) BinomialQueue-inv ⇒ nat
where
  height-tree (Node e a r ts) = height-queue ts |
  height-queue [] = 0 |
  height-queue (t # ts) = max (Suc (height-tree t)) (height-queue ts)

```

```

lemma link-length: size (tree-to-multiset (link t1 t2)) =
  size (tree-to-multiset t1) + size (tree-to-multiset t2)
apply(cases t1)
apply(cases t2)
apply simp
done

```

```

lemma tree-rank-estimate:
  tree-invar (Node e a r ts) ⇒
  size (tree-to-multiset (Node e a r ts)) = (2::nat) ^ r
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)

```

```

case 0
then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc r)
  from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 where link:
    (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
  and inv1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1)
  and inv2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2) by simp blast
  from link-length[of (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)]
  Suc(1)[OF inv1] Suc(1)[OF inv2] link
  show ?case by simp
qed

```

lemma tree-rank-height:

tree-invar (Node e a r ts) \implies height-tree (Node e a r ts) = r

proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)

```

case 0
then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc r)
  from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 where link:
    (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
  and inv1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1)
  and inv2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2) by simp blast
  with link Suc(1)[OF inv1] Suc(1)[OF inv2] Suc(2) show ?case
  by (cases a1  $\leq$  a2) simp-all
qed

```

A binomial tree of height h contains exactly 2^h elements

theorem tree-height-estimate:

tree-invar t \implies size (tree-to-multiset t) = (2::nat)^{^(height-tree t)}

apply (cases t, simp only:)

apply (frule tree-rank-estimate)

apply (frule tree-rank-height)

apply (simp only:)

done

lemma size-mset-tree: tree-invar t \implies

size (tree-to-multiset t) = (2::nat)^{^(rank t)}

by (cases t) (simp only: tree-rank-estimate BinomialTree.sel(3))

lemma invar-butlast: invar (bq @ [t]) \implies invar bq

unfolding invar-def

apply (induct bq) **apply** simp **apply** (case-tac bq)

by (simp-all)

lemma *invar-last-max*: $\text{invar } (bq \text{ @ } [m]) \implies \forall t \in \text{set } bq. \text{rank } t < \text{rank } m$
unfolding *invar-def*
apply (*induct* *bq*) **apply** *simp* **apply** (*case-tac* *bq*) **apply** *simp* **by** *simp*

lemma *invar-length*: $\text{invar } bq \implies \text{length } bq \leq \text{Suc } (\text{rank } (\text{last } bq))$

proof (*induct* *bq* *rule*: *rev-induct*)

case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*snoc* *x* *xs*)

show *?case* **proof** (*cases* *xs*)

case *Nil* **thus** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case [*simp*]: (*Cons* *xxs* *xx*)

from *snoc.hyps*[*OF invar-butlast*[*OF snoc.prem*s]] **have**

IH: $\text{length } xs \leq \text{Suc } (\text{rank } (\text{last } xs))$.

also from *invar-last-max*[*OF snoc.prem*s] *last-in-set*[*of* *xs*] **have**

$\text{Suc } (\text{rank } (\text{last } xs)) \leq \text{rank } (\text{last } (xs \text{ @ } [x]))$

by *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

lemma *size-queue-sum-list*:

$\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } bq) = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } (\text{size} \circ \text{tree-to-multiset}) \text{ } bq)$

by (*induct* *bq*) *simp-all*

A binomial heap of length l contains at least $2^l - 1$ elements.

theorem *queue-length-estimate-lower*:

$\text{invar } bq \implies (\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } bq)) \geq 2^{\text{length } bq} - 1$

proof (*induct* *bq* *rule*: *rev-induct*)

case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*snoc* *x* *xs*)

from *snoc.hyps*[*OF invar-butlast*[*OF snoc.prem*s]]

have *IH*: $2^{\text{length } xs} \leq \text{Suc } (\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } xs))$ **by** *simp*

have *size-q*:

$\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } (xs \text{ @ } [x])) =$

$\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } xs) + \text{size } (\text{tree-to-multiset } x)$

by (*simp* *add*: *size-queue-sum-list*)

also

from *snoc.prem*s **have** *inv-x*: *tree-invar* *x* **by** (*simp* *add*: *invar-def*)

hence $\text{size } (\text{tree-to-multiset } x) = 2^{\text{rank } x}$ **by** (*simp* *add*: *size-mset-tree*)

finally have

eq: $\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } (xs \text{ @ } [x])) =$

$\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } xs) + (2::\text{nat})^{\text{rank } x}$.

from *invar-length*[*OF snoc.prem*s] **have** $\text{length } xs \leq \text{rank } x$ **by** *simp*

hence *snd*: $(2::\text{nat})^{\text{length } xs} \leq (2::\text{nat})^{\text{rank } x}$ **by** *simp*

have

$(2::\text{nat})^{\text{length } (xs \text{ @ } [x])} = (2::\text{nat})^{\text{length } xs} + (2::\text{nat})^{\text{length } xs}$

```

  by simp
with IH have
   $2^{\text{length } (xs @ [x])} \leq \text{Suc } (\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } xs)) + 2^{\text{length } xs}$ 
  by simp
with snd have  $2^{\text{length } (xs @ [x])} \leq$ 
   $\text{Suc } (\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } xs)) + 2^{\text{rank } x}$ 
  by arith
with eq show ?case by simp
qed

```

1.2 Operations

1.2.1 Empty

```

lemma empty-correct[simp]:
  invar Nil
  queue-to-multiset Nil = {#}
  by (simp-all add: invar-def)

```

The empty multiset is represented by exactly the empty queue

```

lemma empty-iff:  $t = \text{Nil} \longleftrightarrow \text{queue-to-multiset } t = \{ \# \}$ 
  apply (cases t)
  apply auto
  apply (case-tac a)
  apply auto
  done

```

1.2.2 Insert

Inserts a binomial tree into a binomial queue, such that the queue does not contain two trees of same rank.

```

fun ins :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialTree  $\Rightarrow$  ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv where
  ins t [] = [t] |
  ins t' (t # bq) = (if (rank t') < (rank t)
    then t' # t # bq
    else (if (rank t) < (rank t')
      then t # (ins t' bq)
      else ins (link t' t) bq))

```

Inserts an element with priority into the queue.

```

definition insert :: 'e  $\Rightarrow$  'a::linorder  $\Rightarrow$  ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv where
  insert e a bq = ins (Node e a 0 []) bq

```

```

lemma ins-mset:
   $\llbracket \text{tree-invar } t; \text{queue-invar } q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{queue-to-multiset } (\text{ins } t \ q)$ 
  =  $\text{tree-to-multiset } t + \text{queue-to-multiset } q$ 
by (induct q arbitrary: t) (auto simp: union-ac link-tree-invar)

```

lemma *insert-mset*: *queue-invar* $q \implies$
queue-to-multiset (*insert* e a q) = *queue-to-multiset* $q + \{\# (e, a) \#\}$
by(*simp* *add*: *ins-mset union-ac insert-def*)

lemma *ins-queue-invar*: $\llbracket \text{tree-invar } t; \text{queue-invar } q \rrbracket \implies \text{queue-invar } (\text{ins } t \ q)$
proof (*induct* q *arbitrary*: t)
case (*Cons* a q)
note $iv = \text{Cons.hyps}$
show *?case*
proof (*cases* $\text{rank } t = \text{rank } a$)
case [*simp*]: *True*
from *Cons.prem*s **have**
inv-a: *tree-invar* a **and** *inv-q*: *queue-invar* q
by (*simp-all*)
note $inv\text{-link} = \text{link-tree-invar}[OF \langle \text{tree-invar } t \rangle \text{inv-a } True]$
from $iv[OF \text{inv-link } inv-q]$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case *False*
with *Cons* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed *simp*

lemma *insert-queue-invar*:
assumes *queue-invar* q
shows *queue-invar* (*insert* e a q)
proof –
have inv : *tree-invar* (*Node* e a 0 []) **by** *simp*
from *ins-queue-invar*[*OF* inv *assms*] **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp* *add*: *insert-def*)
qed

lemma *rank-ins*: (*rank-invar* ($t \# bq$) \implies
 $(\text{rank } (\text{hd } (\text{ins } t' (t \# bq))) \geq \text{rank } t) \vee$
 $(\text{rank } (\text{hd } (\text{ins } t' (t \# bq))) \geq \text{rank } t')$)
apply(*auto*)
apply(*induct* bq *arbitrary*: t t')
apply(*simp* *add*: *rank-link*)
proof *goal-cases*
case *prems*: (1 a bq t t')
thus *?case*
apply(*cases* $\text{rank } (\text{link } t' \ t) = \text{rank } a$)
apply(*auto* *simp* *add*: *rank-link*)
proof *goal-cases*
case 1
note $*$ = *this* **and** $\langle \wedge t' \ t. \llbracket \text{rank-invar } (t \# bq); \text{rank } t' = \text{rank } t \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{rank } t \leq \text{rank } (\text{hd } (\text{ins } (\text{link } t' \ t) \ bq)) \rangle [of \ a \ (\text{link } t' \ t)]$
show *?case*
proof (*cases* $\text{rank } (\text{hd } (\text{ins } (\text{link } (\text{link } t' \ t) \ a) \ bq)) = \text{rank } a$)
case *True*

```

    with * show ?thesis by simp
  next
  case False
  with * have rank a ≤ rank (hd (ins (link (link t' t) a) bq))
    by (simp add: rank-link)
  with * show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed

lemma rank-ins2: rank-invar bq ⇒
  rank t ≤ rank (hd (ins t bq)) ∨
  (rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq) ∧ bq ≠ [])
  apply (induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply (auto)
proof goal-cases
  case prems: (1 a bq t)
  hence r: rank (link t a) = rank a + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
  from prems r and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case by (cases bq) auto
qed

lemma rank-invar-ins: rank-invar bq ⇒ rank-invar (ins t bq)
  apply (induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply (simp)
  apply (auto)
proof goal-cases
  case prems: (1 a bq t)
  hence inv: rank-invar (ins t bq) by (cases bq) simp-all
  from prems have hd: bq ≠ [] ⇒ rank a < rank (hd bq)
    by (cases bq) auto
  from prems have rank t ≤ rank (hd (ins t bq)) ∨
    (rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq) ∧ bq ≠ [])
    by (simp add: rank-ins2 rank-invar-cons)
  with prems have rank a < rank (hd (ins t bq)) ∨
    (rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq) ∧ bq ≠ []) by auto
  with prems and inv and hd show ?case by (auto simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
next
  case prems: (2 a bq t)
  hence inv: rank-invar bq by (cases bq) simp-all
  with prems and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case by simp
qed

lemma rank-invar-insert: rank-invar bq ⇒ rank-invar (insert e a bq)
  by (simp add: rank-invar-ins insert-def)

lemma insert-correct:
  assumes I: invar q
  shows
  invar (insert e a q)

```

```

queue-to-multiset (insert e a q) = queue-to-multiset q + {# (e,a) #}
using insert-queue-invar[of q] rank-invar-insert[of q] insert-mset[of q] I
unfolding invar-def by auto

```

1.2.3 Meld

Melds two queues.

```

fun meld :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BinomialQueue-inv ⇒ ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv
⇒ ('e, 'a) BinomialQueue-inv
where
meld [] bq = bq |
meld bq [] = bq |
meld (t1#bq1) (t2#bq2) =
  (if (rank t1) < (rank t2)
    then t1 # (meld bq1 (t2 # bq2))
    else (
      if (rank t2 < rank t1)
        then t2 # (meld (t1 # bq1) bq2)
        else ins (link t1 t2) (meld bq1 bq2)
    )
  )
)

```

lemma meld-queue-invar:

$\llbracket \text{queue-invar } q; \text{queue-invar } q' \rrbracket \implies \text{queue-invar } (\text{meld } q \ q')$

proof (induct q q' rule: meld.induct)

case 1

then show ?case **by** simp

next

case 2

then show ?case **by** simp

next

case (3 t1 bq1 t2 bq2)

consider (lt) rank t1 < rank t2 | (gt) rank t1 > rank t2 | (eq) rank t1 = rank t2

by atomize-elim auto

then show ?case

proof cases

case lt

from 3(4) **have** inv-bq1: queue-invar bq1 **by** simp

from 3(4) **have** inv-t1: tree-invar t1 **by** simp

from 3(1)[OF lt inv-bq1 3(5)] inv-t1 lt

show ?thesis **by** simp

next

case gt

from 3(5) **have** inv-bq2: queue-invar bq2 **by** simp

from 3(5) **have** inv-t2: tree-invar t2 **by** simp

from gt **have** ¬ rank t1 < rank t2 **by** simp

from 3(2)[OF this gt 3(4) inv-bq2] inv-t2 gt

show ?thesis **by** simp

```

next
  case eq
  from 3(4) have inv-bq1: queue-invar bq1 by simp
  from 3(4) have inv-t1: tree-invar t1 by simp
  from 3(5) have inv-bq2: queue-invar bq2 by simp
  from 3(5) have inv-t2: tree-invar t2 by simp
  note inv-link = link-tree-invar[OF inv-t1 inv-t2 eq]
  from eq have *:  $\neg \text{rank } t1 < \text{rank } t2 \neg \text{rank } t2 < \text{rank } t1$  by simp-all
  note inv-meld = 3(3)[OF * inv-bq1 inv-bq2]
  from ins-queue-invar[OF inv-link inv-meld] *
  show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma rank-ins-min: rank-invar bq  $\implies$ 
  rank (hd (ins t bq))  $\geq$  min (rank t) (rank (hd bq))
  apply(induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply(auto)
proof goal-cases
  case prems: (1 a bq t)
  hence inv: rank-invar bq by (cases bq) simp-all
  from prems have r: rank (link t a) = rank a + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
  with prems and inv and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case by (cases bq) auto
qed

lemma rank-invar-meld-strong:
  [rank-invar bq1; rank-invar bq2]  $\implies$  rank-invar (meld bq1 bq2)  $\wedge$ 
  rank (hd (meld bq1 bq2))  $\geq$  min (rank (hd bq1)) (rank (hd bq2))
proof (induct bq1 bq2 rule: meld.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (3 t1 bq1 t2 bq2)
  from 3 have inv1: rank-invar bq1 by (cases bq1) simp-all
  from 3 have inv2: rank-invar bq2 by (cases bq2) simp-all

  from inv1 and inv2 and 3 show ?case
proof (auto, goal-cases)
  let ?t = t2
  let ?bq = bq2
  let ?meld = rank t2 < rank (hd (meld (t1 # bq1) bq2))
  case prems: 1
  hence ?bq  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  rank ?t < rank (hd ?bq)
  by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
  with prems have ne: ?bq  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  ?meld by simp
  from prems have ?bq = []  $\implies$  ?meld by simp

```

```

with ne have ?meld by (cases ?bq = [])
with prems show ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
next — analog
let ?t = t1
let ?bq = bq1
let ?meld = rank t1 < rank (hd (meld bq1 (t2 # bq2)))
case prems: 2
hence ?bq ≠ [] ⇒ rank ?t < rank (hd ?bq)
  by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
with prems have ne: ?bq ≠ [] ⇒ ?meld by simp
from prems have ?bq = [] ⇒ ?meld by simp
with ne have ?meld by (cases ?bq = [])
with prems show ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
next
case 3
thus ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-ins)
next
case prems: 4
then have r: rank (link t1 t2) = rank t2 + 1
  by (simp add: rank-link)
have m: meld bq1 [] = bq1 by (cases bq1, auto)

from inv1 and inv2 and prems
have mm: min (rank (hd bq1)) (rank (hd bq2)) ≤ rank (hd (meld bq1 bq2))
  by simp
from ⟨rank-invar (t1 # bq1)⟩ have bq1 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t1 < rank (hd bq1)
  by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
with prems have r1: bq1 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t2 < rank (hd bq1) by simp
from ⟨rank-invar (t2 # bq2)⟩
have r2: bq2 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t2 < rank (hd bq2)
  by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)

from inv1 r r1 rank-ins-min[of bq1 (link t1 t2)]
have abc1: bq1 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t2 ≤ rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) bq1))
  by simp
from inv2 r r2 rank-ins-min[of bq2 (link t1 t2)]
have abc2: bq2 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t2 ≤ rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) bq2))
  by simp
from r1 r2 mm have
  [[bq1 ≠ []; bq2 ≠ []] ⇒ rank t2 < rank (hd (meld bq1 bq2))] by simp
with ⟨rank-invar (meld bq1 bq2)⟩
  r rank-ins-min[of meld bq1 bq2 link t1 t2]
have [[bq1 ≠ []; bq2 ≠ []] ⇒
  rank t2 < rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) (meld bq1 bq2))] by simp
thm rank-ins-min[of meld bq1 bq2 link t1 t2]
with inv1 and inv2 and r m r1 show ?case
  apply(cases bq2 = [])
  apply(cases bq1 = [])
  apply(simp)

```

```

    apply(auto simp add: abc1)
    apply(cases bq1 = [])
    apply(simp)
    apply(auto simp add: abc2)
  done
qed
qed

```

lemma *rank-invar-meld*:
 $\llbracket \text{rank-invar } bq1; \text{rank-invar } bq2 \rrbracket \implies \text{rank-invar } (\text{meld } bq1 \text{ } bq2)$
by (*simp only: rank-invar-meld-strong*)

lemma *meld-mset*: $\llbracket \text{queue-invar } q; \text{queue-invar } q' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{queue-to-multiset } (\text{meld } q \text{ } q') =$
 $\text{queue-to-multiset } q + \text{queue-to-multiset } q'$
by(*induct q q' rule: meld.induct*)
(*auto simp add: link-tree-invar meld-queue-invar ins-mset union-ac*)

lemma *meld-correct*:
assumes *invar q invar q'*
shows
invar (meld q q')
 $\text{queue-to-multiset } (\text{meld } q \text{ } q') = \text{queue-to-multiset } q + \text{queue-to-multiset } q'$
using *assms*
unfolding *invar-def*
by (*simp-all add: meld-queue-invar rank-invar-meld meld-mset*)

1.2.4 Find Minimal Element

Finds the tree containing the minimal element.

fun *getMinTree* :: (*'e, 'a::linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* \Rightarrow
(*'e, 'a*) *BinomialTree* **where**
getMinTree [t] = t |
getMinTree (t#bq) = (if *prio t* \leq *prio (getMinTree bq)*
then t else (*getMinTree bq*))

lemma *mintree-exists*: $(bq \neq []) = (\text{getMinTree } bq \in \text{set } bq)$

proof (*induct bq*)
case *Nil*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons - bq*)
then show *?case* **by** (*cases bq simp-all*)
qed

lemma *treehead-in-multiset*:
 $t \in \text{set } bq \implies (\text{val } t, \text{prio } t) \in \# \text{queue-to-multiset } bq$
by (*induct bq, simp, cases t, auto*)

lemma *heap-ordered-single*:
heap-ordered $t = (\forall x \in \text{set-mset } (\text{tree-to-multiset } t). \text{prio } t \leq \text{snd } x)$
by (*cases* t) *auto*

lemma *getMinTree-cons*:
 $\text{prio } (\text{getMinTree } (y \# x \# xs)) \leq \text{prio } (\text{getMinTree } (x \# xs))$
by (*induct* xs *rule: getMinTree.induct*) *simp-all*

lemma *getMinTree-min-tree*:
 $t \in \text{set } bq \implies \text{prio } (\text{getMinTree } bq) \leq \text{prio } t$
apply (*induct* bq *arbitrary: t rule: getMinTree.induct*)
apply *simp*
defer
apply *simp*
proof *goal-cases*
case *prems*: ($1\ t\ v\ va\ ta$)
thus *?case*
apply (*cases* $ta = t$)
apply *auto*[1]
apply (*metis* *getMinTree-cons* *prems*(1) *prems*(3) *set-ConsD* *xt1*(6))
done
qed

lemma *getMinTree-min-prio*:
assumes *queue-invar* bq
and $y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{queue-to-multiset } bq)$
shows $\text{prio } (\text{getMinTree } bq) \leq \text{snd } y$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $bq \neq []$ **by** (*cases* bq) *simp-all*
with *assms* **have** $\exists t \in \text{set } bq. (y \in \text{set-mset } ((\text{tree-to-multiset } t)))$
proof (*induct* bq)
case *Nil*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons* $a\ bq$)
thus *?case*
apply (*cases* $y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{tree-to-multiset } a)$)
apply *simp*
apply (*cases* bq)
apply *simp-all*
done
qed
from *this* **obtain** t **where** O :
 $t \in \text{set } bq$
 $y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{tree-to-multiset } t)$ **by** *blast*
obtain $e\ a\ r\ ts$ **where** [*simp*]: $t = (\text{Node } e\ a\ r\ ts)$ **by** (*cases* t) *blast*
from O *assms*(1) **have** *inv*: *tree-invar* t **by** (*simp* *add: queue-invar-def*)
from *tree-invar-heap-ordered*[*OF inv*] *heap-ordered.simps*[*of e a r ts*] O
have $\text{prio } t \leq \text{snd } y$ **by** *auto*

with *getMinTree-min-tree*[*OF O(1)*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

Finds the minimal Element in the queue.

definition *findMin* :: (*'e*, *'a::linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* \Rightarrow (*'e* \times *'a*) **where**
findMin *bq* = (*let* *min* = *getMinTree* *bq* *in* (*val* *min*, *prio* *min*))

lemma *findMin-correct*:

assumes *I*: *invar* *q*

assumes *NE*: *q* \neq *Nil*

shows

findMin *q* \in $\#$ *queue-to-multiset* *q*

$\forall y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{queue-to-multiset } q). \text{snd } (\text{findMin } q) \leq \text{snd } y$

proof –

from *NE* **have** *getMinTree* *q* \in *set* *q* **by** (*simp* *only*: *mintree-exists*)

thus *findMin* *q* \in $\#$ *queue-to-multiset* *q*

by (*simp* *add*: *treehead-in-multiset* *Let-def* *findMin-def*)

show $\forall y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{queue-to-multiset } q). \text{snd } (\text{findMin } q) \leq \text{snd } y$

using *I*[*unfolded* *invar-def*]

by (*auto* *simp* *add*: *getMinTree-min-prio* *Let-def* *findMin-def*)

qed

1.2.5 Delete Minimal Element

Removes the first tree, which has the priority *a* within his root.

fun *remove1Prio* :: *'a* \Rightarrow (*'e*, *'a::linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* \Rightarrow
(*'e*, *'a*) *BinomialQueue-inv* **where**
remove1Prio *a* [] = [] |
remove1Prio *a* (*t* $\#$ *bq*) =
(*if* (*prio* *t*) = *a* *then* *bq* *else* *t* $\#$ (*remove1Prio* *a* *bq*))

Returns the queue without the minimal element.

definition *deleteMin* :: (*'e*, *'a::linorder*) *BinomialQueue-inv* \Rightarrow
(*'e*, *'a*) *BinomialQueue-inv* **where**
deleteMin *bq* \equiv (*let* *min* = *getMinTree* *bq* *in*
meld (*rev* (*children* *min*))
(*remove1Prio* (*prio* *min*) *bq*))

lemma *queue-invar-rev*: *queue-invar* *q* \Longrightarrow *queue-invar* (*rev* *q*)
by (*simp* *add*: *queue-invar-def*)

lemma *queue-invar-remove1*: *queue-invar* *q* \Longrightarrow *queue-invar* (*remove1* *t* *q*)
by (*auto* *simp* *add*: *queue-invar-def*)

lemma *qtm-in-set-subset*: *t* \in *set* *q* \Longrightarrow
tree-to-multiset *t* \subseteq $\#$ *queue-to-multiset* *q*

proof(*induct* *q*)

case *Nil*

```

then show ?case by simp
next
case (Cons a q)
show ?case
proof (cases t = a)
  case True
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
case False
with Cons have t-in-q: t ∈ set q by simp
have queue-to-multiset q ⊆# queue-to-multiset (a # q)
  by simp
from subset-mset.order-trans[OF Cons(1)[OF t-in-q] this] show ?thesis .
qed
qed

```

```

lemma remove1-mset: t ∈ set q ⇒
  queue-to-multiset (remove1 t q) =
  queue-to-multiset q - tree-to-multiset t
by (induct q) (auto simp: qtm-in-set-subset)

```

```

lemma remove1Prio-remove1[simp]:
  remove1Prio (prio (getMinTree bq)) bq = remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq
proof (induct bq)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons t bq)
note iv = Cons
thus ?case
proof (cases t = getMinTree (t # bq))
  case True
  with iv show ?thesis by simp
next
case False
hence ne: bq ≠ [] by auto
with False have down: getMinTree (t # bq) = getMinTree bq
  by (induct bq rule: getMinTree.induct) auto
from ne False have prio t ≠ prio (getMinTree bq)
  by (induct bq rule: getMinTree.induct) auto
with down iv False ne show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma deleteMin-queue-invar:
  assumes INV: queue-invar q
  assumes NE: q ≠ Nil
  shows queue-invar (deleteMin q)
proof (cases q)
  case Nil

```

```

with assms show ?thesis by simp
next
  case Cons
  from NE and mintree-exists[of q] INV
  have inv-min: tree-invar (getMinTree q) by (simp add: queue-invar-def)
  note inv-children = invar-children'[OF inv-min]
  note inv-rev = queue-invar-rev[OF inv-children]
  note inv-rem = queue-invar-remove1[OF INV, of getMinTree q]
  from meld-queue-invar[OF inv-rev inv-rem] show ?thesis
    by (simp add: deleteMin-def Let-def)
qed

```

```

lemma children-rank-less:
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows  $\forall t' \in \text{set } (\text{children } t). \text{rank } t' < \text{rank } t$ 
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show ?thesis
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by simp
  next
  case (Suc nat)
  then obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 where
    O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) tree-invar (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
    t = link (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
    by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
  hence ch-id: children t =
    (if a1 ≤ a2 then (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)#ts1
     else (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)#ts2) by simp
  from O Suc(1)[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1]
  have p1:  $\forall t' \in \text{set } ((\text{Node } e2 \ a2 \ \text{nat } \ ts2) \ \# \ ts1). \text{rank } t' < \text{Suc } \text{nat}$  by auto
  from O Suc(1)[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2]
  have p2:  $\forall t' \in \text{set } ((\text{Node } e1 \ a1 \ \text{nat } \ ts1) \ \# \ ts2). \text{rank } t' < \text{Suc } \text{nat}$  by auto
  from Suc(3) p1 p2 ch-id show ?case by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma strong-rev-children:
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows invar (rev (children t))
  unfolding invar-def
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show queue-invar (rev (children t))  $\wedge$  rank-invar (rev (children t))
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by simp
  next

```

```

case (Suc nat)
then obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 where
  O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) tree-invar (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
  t = link (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
hence ch-id: children t =
  (if a1 ≤ a2 then (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)#ts1
   else (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)#ts2) by simp
from O Suc(1)[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1]
have rev-ts1: invar (rev ts1) by (simp add: invar-def)
from O children-rank-less[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1]
have ∀ t∈set (rev ts1). rank t < rank (Node e2 a2 nat ts2) by simp
with O rev-ts1 invar-app-single[of rev ts1 Node e2 a2 nat ts2]
have p1: invar (rev ((Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1)) by simp
from O Suc(1)[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2]
have rev-ts2: invar (rev ts2) by (simp add: invar-def)
from O children-rank-less[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2]
have ∀ t∈set (rev ts2). rank t < rank (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) by simp
with O rev-ts2 invar-app-single[of rev ts2 Node e1 a1 nat ts1]
have p2: invar (rev ((Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2)) by simp
from p1 p2 ch-id show ?case by (simp add: invar-def)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma first-less: rank-invar (t # bq) ⇒ ∀ t' ∈ set bq. rank t < rank t'
apply (induct bq arbitrary: t)
apply (simp)
apply (metis order-le-less rank-invar.simps(3) set-ConsD xt1(7))
done

```

```

lemma strong-remove1: invar bq ⇒ invar (remove1 t bq)
proof (induct bq arbitrary: t)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  show ?case
  proof (cases t=a)
    case True
    from Cons(2) have invar bq by (rule invar-cons-down)
    with True show ?thesis by simp
  next
  case False
  from Cons(2) have invar bq by (rule invar-cons-down)
  with Cons(1)[of t] have si1: invar (remove1 t bq) .
  from False have invar (remove1 t (a # bq)) = invar (a # (remove1 t bq))
  by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases remove1 t bq)

```

```

    case Nil
  with si1 Cons(2) False show ?thesis by (simp add: invar-def)
next
case Cons': (Cons aa list)
from Cons have tree-invar a by (simp add: invar-def)
from Cons first-less[of a bq] have  $\forall t \in \text{set } (\text{remove1 } t \text{ bq}). \text{rank } a < \text{rank } t$ 
  by (metis notin-set-remove1 invar-def)
with Cons' have rank a < rank aa by simp
with si1 Cons(2) False Cons' invar-cons-up[of aa list a] show ?thesis
  by (simp add: invar-def)
qed
qed
qed

```

theorem *deleteMin-invar*:

```

  assumes invar bq
  and bq  $\neq []$ 
  shows invar (deleteMin bq)
proof -
  have eq: invar (deleteMin bq) =
    invar (meld (rev (children (getMinTree bq))) (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq))
  by (simp add: deleteMin-def Let-def)
  from assms mintree-exists[of bq] have ti: tree-invar (getMinTree bq)
  by (simp add: invar-def Let-def queue-invar-def)
  with strong-rev-children[of getMinTree bq]
  have m1: invar (rev (children (getMinTree bq))) .
  from strong-remove1[of bq getMinTree bq] assms(1)
  have m2: invar (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq) .
  from meld-correct(1)[of rev (children (getMinTree bq))
    remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq] m1 m2
  have invar (meld (rev (children (getMinTree bq))) (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq))
  .
  with eq show ?thesis ..
qed

```

lemma *children-mset*: $\text{queue-to-multiset } (\text{children } t) =$

$\text{tree-to-multiset } t - \{\# (\text{val } t, \text{prio } t) \#\}$

proof (cases t)

case (Node e a nat list)

thus ?thesis by (induct list) simp-all

qed

lemma *deleteMin-mset*:

assumes *queue-invar* q

and $q \neq \text{Nil}$

shows $\text{queue-to-multiset } (\text{deleteMin } q) = \text{queue-to-multiset } q - \{\# (\text{findMin } q) \#\}$

proof -

from assms mintree-exists[of q] have min-in-q: $\text{getMinTree } q \in \text{set } q$ by auto

```

with assms(1) have inv-min: tree-invar (getMinTree q)
  by (simp add: queue-invar-def)
from assms(2) have q-ne: q ≠ [] .
note inv-children = invar-children'[OF inv-min]
note inv-rev = queue-invar-rev[OF inv-children]
note inv-rem = queue-invar-remove1[OF assms(1), of getMinTree q]
note m-meld = meld-mset[OF inv-rev inv-rem]
note m-rem = remove1-mset[OF min-in-q]
note m-rev = qtmset-rev[of children (getMinTree q)]
note m-children = children-mset[of getMinTree q]
note min-subset-q = qtm-in-set-subset[OF min-in-q]
let ?Q = queue-to-multiset q
let ?MT = tree-to-multiset (getMinTree q)
from q-ne have head-subset-min:
  {# (val (getMinTree q), prio (getMinTree q)) #} ⊆ # ?MT
  by(cases getMinTree q) simp
let ?Q = queue-to-multiset q
let ?MT = tree-to-multiset (getMinTree q)
from m-meld m-rem m-rev m-children
  multiset-diff-union-assoc[OF head-subset-min, of ?Q - ?MT]
  mset-subset-eq-multiset-union-diff-commute[OF min-subset-q, of ?MT]
show ?thesis by (simp add: deleteMin-def union-ac Let-def findMin-def)
qed

```

```

lemma deleteMin-correct:
  assumes INV: invar q
  assumes NE: q ≠ Nil
  shows
    invar (deleteMin q)
    queue-to-multiset (deleteMin q) = queue-to-multiset q - {# (findMin q) #}
  using deleteMin-invar deleteMin-mset INV NE
  unfolding invar-def
  by auto

```

end

interpretation *BinomialHeapStruc*: *BinomialHeapStruc-loc* .

1.3 Hiding the Invariant

1.3.1 Datatype

```

typedef (overloaded) ('e, 'a) BinomialHeap =
  { q :: ('e, 'a)::linorder) BinomialHeapStruc.BinomialQueue-inv. BinomialHeapStruc.invar
  q }
apply (rule-tac x=Nil in exI)
apply auto
done

```

lemma *Rep-BinomialHeap-invar*[*simp*]:

BinomialHeapStruc.invar (*Rep-BinomialHeap* *x*)
using *Rep-BinomialHeap*
by (*auto*)

lemma [*simp*]:
BinomialHeapStruc.invar *q* \implies *Rep-BinomialHeap* (*Abs-BinomialHeap* *q*) = *q*
using *Abs-BinomialHeap-inverse* **by** *auto*

lemma [*simp*, *code abstype*]: *Abs-BinomialHeap* (*Rep-BinomialHeap* *q*) = *q*
by (*rule Rep-BinomialHeap-inverse*)

locale *BinomialHeap-loc*
begin

1.3.2 Operations

definition [*code*]:
to-mset *t* == *BinomialHeapStruc.queue-to-multiset* (*Rep-BinomialHeap* *t*)

definition *empty where empty* == *Abs-BinomialHeap Nil*

lemma [*code abstract*, *simp*]: *Rep-BinomialHeap empty* = []
by (*unfold empty-def*) *simp*

definition [*code*]: *isEmpty* *q* == *Rep-BinomialHeap* *q* = *Nil*

lemma *empty-rep*: *q=empty* \longleftrightarrow *Rep-BinomialHeap* *q* = *Nil*
apply (*auto simp add: empty-def*)
apply (*metis Rep-BinomialHeap-inverse*)
done

lemma *isEmpty-correct*: *isEmpty* *q* \longleftrightarrow *q=empty*
by (*simp add: empty-rep isEmpty-def*)

definition

insert
 $:: 'e \Rightarrow ('a::\text{linorder}) \Rightarrow ('e,'a) \text{ BinomialHeap} \Rightarrow ('e,'a) \text{ BinomialHeap}$

where *insert* *e a q* ==
Abs-BinomialHeap (*BinomialHeapStruc.insert* *e a* (*Rep-BinomialHeap* *q*))

lemma [*code abstract*]:
Rep-BinomialHeap (*insert* *e a q*)
= *BinomialHeapStruc.insert* *e a* (*Rep-BinomialHeap* *q*)
by (*simp add: insert-def BinomialHeapStruc.insert-correct*)

definition [*code*]: *findMin* *q* == *BinomialHeapStruc.findMin* (*Rep-BinomialHeap* *q*)

definition *deleteMin* *q* ==
if *q=empty* *then empty*
else Abs-BinomialHeap (*BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin* (*Rep-BinomialHeap* *q*))

In this lemma, we do not use equality, but case-distinction for checking non-emptiness. That prevents the code generator from introducing an equality-class parameter for the entry type $'a$.

```

lemma [code abstract]: Rep-BinomialHeap (deleteMin q) =
  (case (Rep-BinomialHeap q) of [] => [] |
    - => BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin (Rep-BinomialHeap q))
proof (cases Rep-BinomialHeap q)
  case Nil
  show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: Nil)
  apply (auto simp add: deleteMin-def BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-correct
    BinomialHeapStruc.empty-iff empty-rep Nil)
  done
next
  case (Cons a b)
  hence NE: Rep-BinomialHeap q ≠ [] by auto
  show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: Cons)
  apply (fold Cons)
  using NE
  by (auto simp add: deleteMin-def BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-correct
    BinomialHeapStruc.empty-iff empty-rep)
qed

```

```

definition meld q1 q2 ==
  Abs-BinomialHeap (BinomialHeapStruc.meld (Rep-BinomialHeap q1)
    (Rep-BinomialHeap q2))

```

```

lemma [code abstract]:
  Rep-BinomialHeap (meld q1 q2)
  = BinomialHeapStruc.meld (Rep-BinomialHeap q1) (Rep-BinomialHeap q2)
  by (simp add: meld-def BinomialHeapStruc.meld-correct)

```

1.3.3 Correctness

```

lemma empty-correct: to-mset q = {#} ⟷ q=empty
  by (simp add: to-mset-def BinomialHeapStruc.empty-iff empty-rep)

```

```

lemma to-mset-of-empty[simp]: to-mset empty = {#}
  by (simp add: empty-correct)

```

```

lemma insert-correct: to-mset (insert e a q) = to-mset q + {#(e,a)#}
  apply (unfold insert-def to-mset-def)
  apply (simp add: BinomialHeapStruc.insert-correct)
  done

```

```

lemma findMin-correct:
  assumes q≠empty

```

```

shows
  findMin q ∈# to-mset q
  ∀ y ∈ set-mset (to-mset q). snd (findMin q) ≤ snd y
using assms
apply (unfold findMin-def to-mset-def)
apply (simp-all add: empty-rep BinomialHeapStruc.findMin-correct)
done

```

```

lemma deleteMin-correct:
  assumes q ≠ empty
  shows to-mset (deleteMin q) = to-mset q - {# findMin q #}
  using assms
  apply (unfold findMin-def deleteMin-def to-mset-def)
  apply (simp-all add: empty-rep BinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-correct)
done

```

```

lemma meld-correct:
  shows to-mset (meld q q') = to-mset q + to-mset q'
  apply (unfold to-mset-def meld-def)
  apply (simp-all add: BinomialHeapStruc.meld-correct)
done

```

Correctness lemmas to be used with simplifier

```

lemmas correct = empty-correct deleteMin-correct meld-correct

```

```

end
interpretation BinomialHeap: BinomialHeap-loc .

```

1.4 Documentation

BinomialHeap.to-mset
 Abstraction to multiset.

BinomialHeap.empty
 The empty heap. ($O(1)$)
Spec *BinomialHeap.empty-correct*:

$(\text{BinomialHeap.to-mset } q = \{\#\}) = (q = \text{BinomialHeap.empty})$

BinomialHeap.isEmpty
 Checks whether heap is empty. Mainly used to work around code-generation issues. ($O(1)$)

Spec *BinomialHeap.isEmpty-correct*:

$\text{BinomialHeap.isEmpty } q = (q = \text{BinomialHeap.empty})$

BinomialHeap.insert
 Inserts element ($O(\log(n))$)
Spec *BinomialHeap.insert-correct*:

$BinomialHeap.to-mset (BinomialHeap.insert\ e\ a\ q) =$
 $BinomialHeap.to-mset\ q + \{\#(e, a)\#}$

$BinomialHeap.findMin$

Returns a minimal element ($O(\log(n))$)

Spec $BinomialHeap.findMin$ -correct:

$q \neq BinomialHeap.empty \implies BinomialHeap.findMin\ q \in \# BinomialHeap.to-mset$
 q

$q \neq BinomialHeap.empty \implies$

$\forall y \in \# BinomialHeap.to-mset\ q. snd\ (BinomialHeap.findMin\ q) \leq snd\ y$

$BinomialHeap.deleteMin$

Deletes the element that is returned by $find_min$

Spec $BinomialHeap.deleteMin$ -correct:

$q \neq BinomialHeap.empty \implies$

$BinomialHeap.to-mset\ (BinomialHeap.deleteMin\ q) =$

$BinomialHeap.to-mset\ q - \{\# BinomialHeap.findMin\ q\#}$

$BinomialHeap.meld$

$BinomialHeap.meld$

Melds two heaps ($O(\log(n + m))$)

Spec $BinomialHeap.meld$ -correct:

$BinomialHeap.to-mset\ (BinomialHeap.meld\ q\ q') =$

$BinomialHeap.to-mset\ q + BinomialHeap.to-mset\ q'$

end

2 Skew Binomial Heaps

theory $SkewBinomialHeap$

imports $Main\ HOL-Library.Multiset$

begin

Skew Binomial Queues as specified by Brodal and Okasaki [1] are a data structure for priority queues with worst case $O(1)$ $findMin$, $insert$, and $meld$ operations, and worst-case logarithmic $deleteMin$ operation. They are derived from priority queues in three steps:

1. Skew binomial trees are used to eliminate the possibility of cascading links during insert operations. This reduces the complexity of an insert operation to $O(1)$.
2. The current minimal element is cached. This approach, known as *global root*, reduces the cost of a $findMin$ -operation to $O(1)$.

3. By allowing skew binomial queues to contain skew binomial queues, the cost for meld-operations is reduced to $O(1)$. This approach is known as *data-structural bootstrapping*.

In this theory, we combine Steps 2 and 3, i.e. we first implement skew binomial queues, and then bootstrap them. The bootstrapping implicitly introduces a global root, such that we also get a constant time findMin operation.

locale *SkewBinomialHeapStruc-loc*
begin

2.1 Datatype

datatype (*'e, 'a*) *SkewBinomialTree* =
Node (*val: 'e*) (*prio: 'a::linorder*) (*rank: nat*) (*children: ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialTree list*)

type-synonym (*'e, 'a*) *SkewBinomialQueue* = (*'e, 'a::linorder*) *SkewBinomialTree list*

2.1.1 Abstraction to Multisets

Returns a multiset with all (element, priority) pairs from a queue

fun *tree-to-multiset*
 :: (*'e, 'a::linorder*) *SkewBinomialTree* \Rightarrow (*'e \times 'a*) *multiset*
and *queue-to-multiset*
 :: (*'e, 'a::linorder*) *SkewBinomialQueue* \Rightarrow (*'e \times 'a*) *multiset* **where**
tree-to-multiset (*Node e a r ts*) = $\{\#(e,a)\#$ + *queue-to-multiset* *ts* |
queue-to-multiset [] = $\{\#\}$ |
queue-to-multiset (*t#q*) = *tree-to-multiset* *t* + *queue-to-multiset* *q*

lemma *ttm-children*: *tree-to-multiset* *t* =
 $\{\#(val\ t, prio\ t)\#$ + *queue-to-multiset* (*children* *t*)
by (*cases* *t*) *auto*

lemma *qtm-conc[simp]*: *queue-to-multiset* (*q@q'*)
 = *queue-to-multiset* *q* + *queue-to-multiset* *q'*
by (*induct* *q*) (*auto simp add: union-ac*)

2.1.2 Invariant

Link two trees of rank *r* to a new tree of rank *r* + 1

fun *link* :: (*'e, 'a::linorder*) *SkewBinomialTree* \Rightarrow (*'e, 'a*) *SkewBinomialTree* \Rightarrow
 (*'e, 'a*) *SkewBinomialTree* **where**
link (*Node e1 a1 r1 ts1*) (*Node e2 a2 r2 ts2*) =

```

    (if a1 ≤ a2
     then (Node e1 a1 (Suc r1) ((Node e2 a2 r2 ts2)#ts1))
     else (Node e2 a2 (Suc r2) ((Node e1 a1 r1 ts1)#ts2)))

```

Link two trees of rank r and a new element to a new tree of rank $r + 1$

```

fun skewlink :: 'e ⇒ 'a::linorder ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialTree ⇒
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialTree ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialTree where
  skewlink e a t t' = (if a ≤ (prio t) ∧ a ≤ (prio t')
  then (Node e a (Suc (rank t)) [t,t'])
  else (if (prio t) ≤ (prio t')
  then
    Node (val t) (prio t) (Suc (rank t)) (Node e a 0 [] # t' # children t)
  else
    Node (val t') (prio t') (Suc (rank t')) (Node e a 0 [] # t # children t')))

```

The invariant for trees claims that a tree labeled rank 0 has no children, and a tree labeled rank $r + 1$ is the result of an ordinary link or a skew link of two trees with rank r .

```

function tree-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialTree ⇒ bool where
  tree-invar (Node e a 0 ts) = (ts = []) |
  tree-invar (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = (∃ e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a'.
  tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1) ∧ tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∧
  ((Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∨
  (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)))
by pat-completeness auto
termination
  apply(relation measure rank)
  apply auto
done

```

A heap satisfies the invariant, if all contained trees satisfy the invariant, the ranks of the trees in the heap are distinct, except that the first two trees may have same rank, and the ranks are ordered in ascending order.

First part: All trees inside the queue satisfy the invariant.

```

definition queue-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ bool where
  queue-invar q ≡ (∀ t ∈ set q. tree-invar t)

```

lemma queue-invar-simps[simp]:

```

  queue-invar []
  queue-invar (t#q) ⟷ tree-invar t ∧ queue-invar q
  queue-invar (q@q') ⟷ queue-invar q ∧ queue-invar q'
  queue-invar q ⟹ t ∈ set q ⟹ tree-invar t
  unfolding queue-invar-def by auto

```

Second part: The ranks of the trees in the heap are distinct, except that the first two trees may have same rank, and the ranks are ordered in ascending order.

For tail of queue

```
fun rank-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  rank-invar [] = True |
  rank-invar [t] = True |
  rank-invar (t # t' # bq) = (rank t < rank t'  $\wedge$  rank-invar (t' # bq))
```

For whole queue: First two elements may have same rank

```
fun rank-skew-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  rank-skew-invar [] = True |
  rank-skew-invar [t] = True |
  rank-skew-invar (t # t' # bq) = ((rank t  $\leq$  rank t')  $\wedge$  rank-invar (t' # bq))
```

```
definition tail-invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  tail-invar bq = (queue-invar bq  $\wedge$  rank-invar bq)
```

```
definition invar :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  invar bq = (queue-invar bq  $\wedge$  rank-skew-invar bq)
```

```
lemma invar-empty[simp]:
  invar []
  tail-invar []
unfolding invar-def tail-invar-def by auto
```

```
lemma invar-tail-invar:
  invar (t # bq)  $\Longrightarrow$  tail-invar bq
unfolding invar-def tail-invar-def
by (cases bq) simp-all
```

```
lemma link-mset[simp]: tree-to-multiset (link t1 t2)
  = tree-to-multiset t1 + tree-to-multiset t2
by (cases t1, cases t2, auto simp add:union-ac)
```

```
lemma link-tree-invar:  $\llbracket$ tree-invar t1; tree-invar t2; rank t1 = rank t2 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 
  tree-invar (link t1 t2)
by (cases t1, cases t2, simp, blast)
```

```
lemma skewlink-mset[simp]: tree-to-multiset (skewlink e a t1 t2)
  = {# (e,a) #} + tree-to-multiset t1 + tree-to-multiset t2
by (cases t1, cases t2, auto simp add:union-ac)
```

```
lemma skewlink-tree-invar:  $\llbracket$ tree-invar t1; tree-invar t2; rank t1 = rank t2 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 
  tree-invar (skewlink e a t1 t2)
by (cases t1, cases t2, simp, blast)
```

```
lemma rank-link: rank t = rank t'  $\Longrightarrow$  rank (link t t') = rank t + 1
apply (cases t)
apply (cases t')
apply (auto)
```

done

lemma *rank-skew-rank-invar*: $\text{rank-skew-invar } (t \# \text{bq}) \implies \text{rank-invar } \text{bq}$
by (*cases* *bq*) *simp-all*

lemma *rank-invar-rank-skew*:

assumes *rank-invar* *q*

shows *rank-skew-invar* *q*

proof (*cases* *q*)

case *Nil*

then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons* - *list*)

with *assms* **show** *?thesis*

by (*cases* *list*) *simp-all*

qed

lemma *rank-invar-cons-up*:

$\llbracket \text{rank-invar } (t \# \text{bq}); \text{rank } t' < \text{rank } t \rrbracket \implies \text{rank-invar } (t' \# t \# \text{bq})$

by *simp*

lemma *rank-skew-cons-up*:

$\llbracket \text{rank-invar } (t \# \text{bq}); \text{rank } t' \leq \text{rank } t \rrbracket \implies \text{rank-skew-invar } (t' \# t \# \text{bq})$

by *simp*

lemma *rank-invar-cons-down*: $\text{rank-invar } (t \# \text{bq}) \implies \text{rank-invar } \text{bq}$

by (*cases* *bq*) *simp-all*

lemma *rank-invar-hd-cons*:

$\llbracket \text{rank-invar } \text{bq}; \text{rank } t < \text{rank } (\text{hd } \text{bq}) \rrbracket \implies \text{rank-invar } (t \# \text{bq})$

apply(*cases* *bq*)

apply(*auto*)

done

lemma *tail-invar-cons-up*:

$\llbracket \text{tail-invar } (t \# \text{bq}); \text{rank } t' < \text{rank } t; \text{tree-invar } t' \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{tail-invar } (t' \# t \# \text{bq})$

unfolding *tail-invar-def*

apply (*cases* *bq*)

apply *simp-all*

done

lemma *tail-invar-cons-up-invar*:

$\llbracket \text{tail-invar } (t \# \text{bq}); \text{rank } t' \leq \text{rank } t; \text{tree-invar } t' \rrbracket \implies \text{invar } (t' \# t \# \text{bq})$

by (*cases* *bq*) (*simp-all* *add: invar-def tail-invar-def*)

lemma *tail-invar-cons-down*:

$\text{tail-invar } (t \# \text{bq}) \implies \text{tail-invar } \text{bq}$

```

unfolding tail-invar-def
by (cases bq) simp-all

lemma tail-invar-app-single:
   $\llbracket \text{tail-invar } bq; \forall t \in \text{set } bq. \text{rank } t < \text{rank } t'; \text{tree-invar } t \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{tail-invar } (bq @ [t'])$ 
proof (induct bq)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by (simp add: tail-invar-def)
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  from  $\langle \text{tail-invar } (a \# bq) \rangle$  have tail-invar bq
    by (rule tail-invar-cons-down)
  with Cons have tail-invar (bq @ [t']) by simp
  with Cons show ?case
    by (cases bq) (simp-all add: tail-invar-cons-up tail-invar-def)
qed

lemma invar-app-single:
   $\llbracket \text{invar } bq; \forall t \in \text{set } bq. \text{rank } t < \text{rank } t'; \text{tree-invar } t \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{invar } (bq @ [t'])$ 
proof (induct bq)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by (simp add: invar-def)
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  show ?case
  proof (cases bq)
    case Nil
    with Cons show ?thesis by (simp add: invar-def)
  next
    case Cons': (Cons ta qa)
    from Cons(2) have a1: tail-invar bq by (rule invar-tail-invar)
    from Cons(3) have a2:  $\forall t \in \text{set } bq. \text{rank } t < \text{rank } t'$  by simp
    from a1 a2 Cons(4) tail-invar-app-single[of bq t']
    have tail-invar (bq @ [t']) by simp
    with Cons Cons' show ?thesis
    by (simp-all add: tail-invar-cons-up-invar invar-def tail-invar-def)
  qed
qed

lemma invar-children:
  assumes tree-invar ((Node e a r ts)::('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialTree)
  shows queue-invar ts using assms
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc r)

```

```

from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
  inv-t1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1) and
  inv-t2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2) and
  link-or-skew:
    ((Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    ∨ (Node e a (Suc r) ts)
    = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
  by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
from Suc(1)[OF inv-t1] inv-t2
have case1: queue-invar ((Node e2 a2 r ts2) # ts1) by simp
from Suc(1)[OF inv-t2] inv-t1
have case2: queue-invar ((Node e1 a1 r ts1) # ts2) by simp
show ?case
proof (cases (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
  case True
  hence ts =
    (if a1 ≤ a2
    then (Node e2 a2 r ts2) # ts1
    else (Node e1 a1 r ts1) # ts2) by auto
  with case1 case2 show ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
  with link-or-skew
  have Node e a (Suc r) ts =
    skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2) by simp
  hence ts =
    (if a' ≤ a1 ∧ a' ≤ a2
    then [(Node e1 a1 r ts1), (Node e2 a2 r ts2)]
    else (if a1 ≤ a2
    then (Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e2 a2 r ts2) # ts1
    else (Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e1 a1 r ts1) # ts2)) by auto
  with case1 case2 show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

```

2.1.3 Heap Order

```

fun heap-ordered :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialTree ⇒ bool where
  heap-ordered (Node e a r ts)
    = (∀ x ∈ set-mset (queue-to-multiset ts). a ≤ snd x)

```

The invariant for trees implies heap order.

```

lemma tree-invar-heap-ordered:
  fixes t :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialTree
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows heap-ordered t
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show ?thesis

```

```

proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc nat)
  from Suc(2,3) obtain t1 e1 a1 ts1 t2 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
    inv-t1: tree-invar t1 and
    inv-t2: tree-invar t2 and
    link-or-skew: t = link t1 t2  $\vee$  t = skewlink e' a' t1 t2 and
    eq-t1[simp]: t1 = (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) and
    eq-t2[simp]: t2 = (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
  by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
  note heap-t1 = Suc(1)[OF inv-t1 eq-t1]
  note heap-t2 = Suc(1)[OF inv-t2 eq-t2]
  from link-or-skew heap-t1 heap-t2 show ?case
  by (cases t = link t1 t2) auto
qed
qed

```

2.1.4 Height and Length

Although complexity of HOL-functions cannot be expressed within HOL, we can express the height and length of a binomial heap. By showing that both, height and length, are logarithmic in the number of contained elements, we give strong evidence that our functions have logarithmic complexity in the number of elements.

Height of a tree and queue

```

fun height-tree :: ('e, ('a::linorder)) SkewBinomialTree  $\Rightarrow$  nat and
  height-queue :: ('e, ('a::linorder)) SkewBinomialQueue  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  height-tree (Node e a r ts) = height-queue ts |
  height-queue [] = 0 |
  height-queue (t # ts) = max (Suc (height-tree t)) (height-queue ts)

```

```

lemma link-length: size (tree-to-multiset (link t1 t2)) =
  size (tree-to-multiset t1) + size (tree-to-multiset t2)
apply(cases t1)
apply(cases t2)
apply simp
done

```

```

lemma tree-rank-estimate-upper:
  tree-invar (Node e a r ts)  $\implies$ 
  size (tree-to-multiset (Node e a r ts))  $\leq$  (2::nat)^(Suc r) - 1
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next

```

```

case (Suc r)
from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
  link:
    (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∨
    (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
  and inv1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1)
  and inv2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
  by simp blast
note iv1 = Suc(1)[OF inv1]
note iv2 = Suc(1)[OF inv2]
have (2::nat)^r - 1 + (2::nat)^r - 1 ≤ (2::nat)^(Suc r) - 1 by simp
with link Suc show ?case
  apply (cases Node e a (Suc r) ts = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
  using iv1 iv2 apply (simp del: link.simps)
  using iv1 iv2 apply (simp del: skewlink.simps)
done
qed

```

```

lemma tree-rank-estimate-lower:
  tree-invar (Node e a r ts)  $\implies$ 
  size (tree-to-multiset (Node e a r ts)) ≥ (2::nat)^r
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)

```

```

  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next

```

```

  case (Suc r)
  from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
    link:
      (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∨
      (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    and inv1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1)
    and inv2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
    by simp blast
  note iv1 = Suc(1)[OF inv1]
  note iv2 = Suc(1)[OF inv2]
  have (2::nat)^r - 1 + (2::nat)^r - 1 ≤ (2::nat)^(Suc r) - 1 by simp
  with link Suc show ?case
    apply (cases Node e a (Suc r) ts = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
    using iv1 iv2 apply (simp del: link.simps)
    using iv1 iv2 apply (simp del: skewlink.simps)
  done
qed

```

```

lemma tree-rank-height:
  tree-invar (Node e a r ts)  $\implies$  height-tree (Node e a r ts) = r
proof (induct r arbitrary: e a ts)
  case 0

```

```

then show ?case by simp
next
case (Suc r)
from Suc(2) obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
  link:
    (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2) ∨
    (Node e a (Suc r) ts) = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
  and inv1: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 r ts1)
  and inv2: tree-invar (Node e2 a2 r ts2)
  by simp blast
note iv1 = Suc(1)[OF inv1]
note iv2 = Suc(1)[OF inv2]
from Suc(2) link show ?case
  apply (cases Node e a (Suc r) ts = link (Node e1 a1 r ts1) (Node e2 a2 r ts2))
  apply (cases a1 ≤ a2)
  using iv1 iv2 apply simp
  using iv1 iv2 apply simp
  apply (cases a' ≤ a1 ∧ a' ≤ a2)
  apply (simp only: height-tree.simps)
  using iv1 iv2 apply simp
  apply (cases a1 ≤ a2)
  using iv1 iv2
  apply (simp del: tree-invar.simps link.simps)
  using iv1 iv2
  apply (simp del: tree-invar.simps link.simps)
done
qed

```

A skew binomial tree of height h contains at most $2^{h+1} - 1$ elements

```

theorem tree-height-estimate-upper:
  tree-invar t ⇒
  size (tree-to-multiset t) ≤ (2::nat)^(Suc (height-tree t)) - 1
  apply (cases t, simp only:)
  apply (frule tree-rank-estimate-upper)
  apply (frule tree-rank-height)
  apply (simp only: )
done

```

A skew binomial tree of height h contains at least 2^h elements

```

theorem tree-height-estimate-lower:
  tree-invar t ⇒ size (tree-to-multiset t) ≥ (2::nat)^(height-tree t)
  apply (cases t, simp only:)
  apply (frule tree-rank-estimate-lower)
  apply (frule tree-rank-height)
  apply (simp only: )
done

```

```

lemma size-mset-tree-upper: tree-invar t ⇒

```

```

size (tree-to-multiset t) ≤ (2::nat)^(Suc (rank t)) - (1::nat)
apply (cases t)
by (simp only: tree-rank-estimate-upper SkewBinomialTree.sel(3))

lemma size-mset-tree-lower: tree-invar t ⇒
size (tree-to-multiset t) ≥ (2::nat)^(rank t)
apply (cases t)
by (simp only: tree-rank-estimate-lower SkewBinomialTree.sel(3))

lemma invar-butlast: invar (bq @ [t]) ⇒ invar bq
unfolding invar-def
apply (induct bq)
apply simp
apply (case-tac bq)
apply simp
apply (case-tac list)
by simp-all

lemma invar-last-max:
invar ((b#b'#bq) @ [m]) ⇒ ∀ t ∈ set (b'#bq). rank t < rank m
unfolding invar-def
apply (induct bq) apply simp apply (case-tac bq) apply simp by simp

lemma invar-last-max': invar ((b#b'#bq) @ [m]) ⇒ rank b ≤ rank b'
unfolding invar-def by simp

lemma invar-length: invar bq ⇒ length bq ≤ Suc (Suc (rank (last bq)))
proof (induct bq rule: rev-induct)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (snoc x xs)
show ?case proof (cases xs)
case Nil thus ?thesis by simp
next
case [simp]: (Cons xxs xx)
note Cons' = Cons
thus ?thesis
proof (cases xx)
case Nil with snoc.premis Cons show ?thesis by simp
next
case (Cons xxxs xxx)
from snoc.hyps[OF invar-butlast[OF snoc.premis]] have
IH: length xs ≤ Suc (Suc (rank (last xs))) .
also from invar-last-max'[OF snoc.premis[unfolded Cons' Cons]]
invar-last-max'[OF snoc.premis[unfolded Cons' Cons]]
last-in-set[of xs] Cons have
Suc (rank (last xs)) ≤ rank (last (xs @ [x])) by auto
finally show ?thesis by simp

```

qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *size-queue-sum-list*:

size (queue-to-multiset bq) = sum-list (map (size ∘ tree-to-multiset) bq)
by (*induct bq*) *simp-all*

A skew binomial heap of length l contains at least $2^{l-1} - 1$ elements.

theorem *queue-length-estimate-lower*:

invar bq ⇒ (size (queue-to-multiset bq)) ≥ 2^{length bq - 1} - 1

proof (*induct bq rule: rev-induct*)

case Nil thus ?case by simp

next

case (snoc x xs) thus ?case

proof (*cases xs*)

case Nil thus ?thesis by simp

next

case [simp]: (Cons xx xs)

from *snoc.hyps*[*OF invar-butlast* [*OF snoc.prem*s]]

have *IH*: $2^{\text{length } xs - 1} \leq \text{Suc } (\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } xs))$ **by** *simp*

have *size-q*:

size (queue-to-multiset (xs @ [x])) =

size (queue-to-multiset xs) + size (tree-to-multiset x)

by (*simp add: size-queue-sum-list*)

moreover

from *snoc.prem*s **have** *inv-x*: *tree-invar x* **by** (*simp add: invar-def*)

from *size-mset-tree-lower* [*OF this*]

have $2^{\text{rank } x} \leq \text{size } (\text{tree-to-multiset } x)$.

ultimately have

eq: $\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } xs) + (2::\text{nat})^{\text{rank } x} \leq$

$\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } (xs @ [x]))$ **by** *simp*

from *invar-length* [*OF snoc.prem*s] **have** $\text{length } xs \leq \text{rank } x + 1$ **by** *simp*

hence *snd*: $(2::\text{nat})^{\text{length } xs - 1} \leq (2::\text{nat})^{\text{rank } x}$

by (*simp del: power.simps*)

have

$(2::\text{nat})^{\text{length } (xs @ [x]) - 1} =$

$(2::\text{nat})^{\text{length } xs - 1} + (2::\text{nat})^{\text{length } xs - 1}$

by *auto*

with *IH* **have**

$2^{\text{length } (xs @ [x]) - 1} \leq$

$\text{Suc } (\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } xs)) + 2^{\text{length } xs - 1}$

by *simp*

with *snd* **have** $2^{\text{length } (xs @ [x]) - 1} \leq$

$\text{Suc } (\text{size } (\text{queue-to-multiset } xs)) + 2^{\text{rank } x}$

by *arith*

with *eq* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

2.2 Operations

2.2.1 Empty Tree

```
lemma empty-correct:  $q = Nil \longleftrightarrow \text{queue-to-multiset } q = \{\#\}$   
  apply (cases  $q$ )  
  apply simp  
  apply (case-tac  $a$ )  
  apply auto  
  done
```

2.2.2 Insert

Inserts a tree into the queue, such that two trees of same rank get linked and are recursively inserted. This is the same definition as for binomial queues and is used for melding.

```
fun ins :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialTree  $\Rightarrow$  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue  $\Rightarrow$   
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where  
  ins  $t [] = [t] |$   
  ins  $t' (t \# bq) =$   
    (if ( $\text{rank } t' < \text{rank } t$ )  
      then  $t' \# t \# bq$   
      else (if ( $\text{rank } t < \text{rank } t'$ )  
          then  $t \# (\text{ins } t' bq)$   
          else ins ( $\text{link } t' t$ )  $bq$ ))
```

Insert an element with priority into a queue using skewlinks.

```
fun insert :: 'e  $\Rightarrow$  'a::linorder  $\Rightarrow$  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue  $\Rightarrow$   
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where  
  insert  $e a [] = [Node e a 0 []] |$   
  insert  $e a [t] = [Node e a 0 [], t] |$   
  insert  $e a (t \# t' \# bq) =$   
    (if  $\text{rank } t \neq \text{rank } t'$   
      then  $(Node e a 0 []) \# t \# t' \# bq$   
      else (skewlink  $e a t t'$ )  $\# bq$ )
```

lemma *ins-mset*:

```
   $\llbracket \text{tree-invar } t; \text{queue-invar } q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$   
   $\text{queue-to-multiset } (\text{ins } t q) = \text{tree-to-multiset } t + \text{queue-to-multiset } q$   
by (induct  $q$  arbitrary:  $t$ ) (auto simp: union-ac link-tree-invar)
```

lemma *insert-mset*: $\text{queue-invar } q \Longrightarrow$

```
   $\text{queue-to-multiset } (\text{insert } e a q) =$   
   $\text{queue-to-multiset } q + \{\#\ (e,a) \#\}$   
by (induct  $q$  rule: insert.induct) (auto simp add: union-ac ttm-children)
```

lemma *ins-queue-invar*: $\llbracket \text{tree-invar } t; \text{queue-invar } q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{queue-invar } (\text{ins } t q)$

```
proof (induct  $q$  arbitrary:  $t$ )  
  case Nil
```

```

then show ?case by simp
next
case (Cons a q)
note iv = Cons(1)
from Cons(2,3) show ?case
  apply (cases rank t < rank a)
  apply simp
  apply (cases rank t = rank a)
  defer
  using iv[of t] apply simp
proof goal-cases
  case prems: 1
  from prems(2) have inv-a: tree-invar a by simp
  from prems(2) have inv-q: queue-invar q by simp
  note inv-link = link-tree-invar[OF prems(1) inv-a prems(4)]
  from iv[OF inv-link inv-q] prems(4) show ?case by simp
qed
qed

lemma insert-queue-invar: queue-invar q  $\implies$  queue-invar (insert e a q)
proof (induct q rule: insert.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (3 e a t t' bq)
  show ?case
  proof (cases rank t = rank t')
    case False
    with 3 show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case True
    from 3 have inv-t: tree-invar t by simp
    from 3 have inv-t': tree-invar t' by simp
    from 3 skewlink-tree-invar[OF inv-t inv-t' True, of e a] True
    show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

lemma rank-ins2:
rank-invar bq  $\implies$ 
rank t  $\leq$  rank (hd (ins t bq))
 $\vee$  (rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq)  $\wedge$  bq  $\neq$  [])
apply (induct bq arbitrary: t)
apply auto
proof goal-cases
  case prems: (1 a bq t)

```

```

hence r: rank (link t a) = rank a + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
with prems and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case
  apply (cases bq)
  apply auto
  done
qed

lemma insert-rank-invar: rank-skew-invar q  $\implies$  rank-skew-invar (insert e a q)
proof (cases q, simp)
  fix t q'
  assume rank-skew-invar q q = t # q'
  thus rank-skew-invar (insert e a q)
  proof (cases q', (auto intro: grOI)[1])
    fix t' q''
    assume rank-skew-invar q q = t # q' q' = t' # q''
    thus rank-skew-invar (insert e a q)
      apply (cases rank t = rank t') defer
      apply (auto intro: grOI)[1]
      apply (simp del: skewlink.simps)
  proof goal-cases
    case prems: 1
    with rank-invar-cons-down[of t' q'] have rank-invar q' by simp
    show ?case
    proof (cases q'')
      case Nil
      then show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case (Cons t'' q''')
      with prems have rank t' < rank t'' by simp
      with prems have rank (skewlink e a t t')  $\leq$  rank t'' by simp
      with prems Cons rank-skew-cons-up[of t'' q''' skewlink e a t t']
      show ?thesis by simp
    qed
  qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma insert-invar: invar q  $\implies$  invar (insert e a q)
  unfolding invar-def
  using insert-queue-invar[of q] insert-rank-invar[of q]
  by simp

theorem insert-correct:
  assumes I: invar q
  shows
    invar (insert e a q)
    queue-to-multiset (insert e a q) = queue-to-multiset q + {# (e,a) #}
  using insert-mset[of q] insert-invar[of q] I
  unfolding invar-def by simp-all

```

2.2.3 meld

Remove duplicate tree ranks by inserting the first tree of the queue into the rest of the queue.

```
fun uniqify
  :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue
  where
    uniqify [] = [] |
    uniqify (t#bq) = ins t bq
```

Meld two uniquified queues using the same definition as for binomial queues.

```
fun meldUniq
  :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where
    meldUniq [] bq = bq |
    meldUniq bq [] = bq |
    meldUniq (t1#bq1) (t2#bq2) = (if rank t1 < rank t2
      then t1 # (meldUniq bq1 (t2#bq2))
      else (if rank t2 < rank t1
        then t2 # (meldUniq (t1#bq1) bq2)
        else ins (link t1 t2) (meldUniq bq1 bq2)))
```

Meld two queues using above functions.

```
definition meld
  :: ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where
    meld bq1 bq2 = meldUniq (uniqify bq1) (uniqify bq2)
```

```
lemma invar-uniqify: queue-invar q ⇒ queue-invar (uniqify q)
  apply(cases q, simp)
  apply(auto simp add: ins-queue-invar)
done
```

```
lemma invar-meldUniq: [queue-invar q; queue-invar q'] ⇒ queue-invar (meldUniq q q')
```

```
proof (induct q q' rule: meldUniq.induct)
```

```
  case 1
```

```
  then show ?case by simp
```

```
next
```

```
  case 2
```

```
  then show ?case by simp
```

```
next
```

```
  case (3 t1 bq1 t2 bq2)
```

```
  consider (lt) rank t1 < rank t2 | (gt) rank t1 > rank t2 | (eq) rank t1 = rank t2
```

```
  by atomize-elim auto
```

```
  then show ?case
```

```
  proof cases
```

```
    case t1t2: lt
```

```

    from  $\mathfrak{z}(4)$  have inv-bq1: queue-invar bq1 by simp
    from  $\mathfrak{z}(4)$  have inv-t1: tree-invar t1 by simp
    from  $\mathfrak{z}(1)$ [OF t1t2 inv-bq1  $\mathfrak{z}(5)$ ] inv-t1 t1t2
    show ?thesis by simp
next
  case t1t2: gt
    from  $\mathfrak{z}(5)$  have inv-bq2: queue-invar bq2 by simp
    from  $\mathfrak{z}(5)$  have inv-t2: tree-invar t2 by simp
    from t1t2 have  $\neg$  rank t1 < rank t2 by simp
    from  $\mathfrak{z}(2)$  [OF this t1t2  $\mathfrak{z}(4)$  inv-bq2] inv-t2 t1t2
    show ?thesis by simp
next
  case t1t2: eq
    from  $\mathfrak{z}(4)$  have inv-bq1: queue-invar bq1 by simp
    from  $\mathfrak{z}(4)$  have inv-t1: tree-invar t1 by simp
    from  $\mathfrak{z}(5)$  have inv-bq2: queue-invar bq2 by simp
    from  $\mathfrak{z}(5)$  have inv-t2: tree-invar t2 by simp
    note inv-link = link-tree-invar[OF inv-t1 inv-t2 t1t2]
    from t1t2 have  $\neg$  rank t1 < rank t2  $\neg$  rank t2 < rank t1 by auto
    note inv-meld =  $\mathfrak{z}(3)$ [OF this inv-bq1 inv-bq2]
    from ins-queue-invar[OF inv-link inv-meld] t1t2
    show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma meld-queue-invar:
  assumes queue-invar q
    and queue-invar q'
  shows queue-invar (meld q q')
proof -
  note inv-uniq-q = invar-uniqify[OF assms(1)]
  note inv-uniq-q' = invar-uniqify[OF assms(2)]
  note inv-meldUniq = invar-meldUniq[OF inv-uniq-q inv-uniq-q']
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: meld-def)
qed

```

```

lemma uniqify-mset: queue-invar q  $\implies$  queue-to-multiset q = queue-to-multiset
(uniqify q)
  apply (cases q)
  apply simp
  apply (simp add: ins-mset)
  done

```

```

lemma meldUniq-mset:  $\llbracket$ queue-invar q; queue-invar q' $\rrbracket \implies$ 
queue-to-multiset (meldUniq q q') =
queue-to-multiset q + queue-to-multiset q'
by(induct q q' rule: meldUniq.induct)
(auto simp: ins-mset link-tree-invar invar-meldUniq union-ac)

```

lemma *meld-mset*:

$\llbracket \text{queue-invar } q; \text{queue-invar } q' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{queue-to-multiset } (\text{meld } q \ q') = \text{queue-to-multiset } q + \text{queue-to-multiset } q'$
by (*simp add: meld-def meldUniq-mset invar-uniqify uniqify-mset[symmetric]*)

Ins operation satisfies rank invariant, see binomial queues

lemma *rank-ins*: $\text{rank-invar } bq \implies \text{rank-invar } (\text{ins } t \ bq)$

proof (*induct bq arbitrary: t*)

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons a bq*)

then show *?case*

apply *auto*

proof *goal-cases*

case *prems: 1*

hence *inv: rank-invar (ins t bq)* **by** (*cases bq simp-all*)

from *prems* **have** *hd: bq \neq [] \implies rank a < rank (hd bq)* **by** (*cases bq auto*)

from *prems* **have** *rank t \leq rank (hd (ins t bq))*

\vee (*rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq) \wedge bq \neq []*)

by (*metis rank-ins2 rank-invar-cons-down*)

with *prems* **have** *rank a < rank (hd (ins t bq))*

\vee (*rank (hd (ins t bq)) = rank (hd bq) \wedge bq \neq []*) **by** *auto*

with *prems* **and** *inv* **and** *hd* **show** *?case*

by (*auto simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons*)

next

case *prems: 2*

hence *inv: rank-invar bq* **by** (*cases bq simp-all*)

with *prems* **and** *prems(1)[of (link t a)]* **show** *?case* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

lemma *rank-uniqify*:

assumes *rank-skew-invar q*

shows *rank-invar (uniqify q)*

proof (*cases q*)

case *Nil*

then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons a list*)

with *rank-skew-rank-invar[of a list] rank-ins[of list a] assms*

show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *rank-ins-min*: $\text{rank-invar } bq \implies \text{rank } (\text{hd } (\text{ins } t \ bq)) \geq \min (\text{rank } t) (\text{rank } (\text{hd } bq))$

proof (*induct bq arbitrary: t*)

case *Nil*

```

then show ?case by simp
next
case (Cons a bq)
then show ?case
  apply auto
proof goal-cases
  case prems: 1
  hence inv: rank-invar bq by (cases bq) simp-all
  from prems have r: rank (link t a) = rank a + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
  with prems and inv and prems(1)[of (link t a)] show ?case
    by (cases bq) auto
qed
qed

lemma rank-invar-not-empty-hd:  $\llbracket \text{rank-invar } (t \# \text{bq}); \text{bq} \neq [] \rrbracket \implies \text{rank } t < \text{rank } (\text{hd } \text{bq})$ 
  by (induct bq arbitrary: t) auto

lemma rank-invar-meldUniq-strong:
 $\llbracket \text{rank-invar } \text{bq1}; \text{rank-invar } \text{bq2} \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{rank-invar } (\text{meldUniq } \text{bq1 } \text{bq2})$ 
 $\wedge \text{rank } (\text{hd } (\text{meldUniq } \text{bq1 } \text{bq2})) \geq \min (\text{rank } (\text{hd } \text{bq1})) (\text{rank } (\text{hd } \text{bq2}))$ 
proof (induct bq1 bq2 rule: meldUniq.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (3 t1 bq1 t2 bq2)
  from 3 have inv1: rank-invar bq1 by (cases bq1) simp-all
  from 3 have inv2: rank-invar bq2 by (cases bq2) simp-all

  from inv1 and inv2 and 3 show ?case
    apply auto
  proof goal-cases
    let ?t = t2
    let ?bq = bq2
    let ?meldUniq = rank t2 < rank (hd (meldUniq (t1 # bq1) bq2))
    case prems: 1
    hence ?bq  $\neq [] \implies \text{rank } ?t < \text{rank } (\text{hd } ?\text{bq})$ 
      by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
    with prems have ne: ?bq  $\neq [] \implies ?\text{meldUniq}$  by simp
    from prems have ?bq = []  $\implies ?\text{meldUniq}$  by simp
    with ne have ?meldUniq by (cases ?bq = [])
    with prems show ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
  next — analog
    let ?t = t1
    let ?bq = bq1

```

```

let ?meldUniq = rank t1 < rank (hd (meldUniq bq1 (t2 # bq2)))
case prems: 2
hence ?bq ≠ [] ⇒ rank ?t < rank (hd ?bq)
  by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
with prems have ne: ?bq ≠ [] ⇒ ?meldUniq by simp
from prems have ?bq = [] ⇒ ?meldUniq by simp
with ne have ?meldUniq by (cases ?bq = [])
with prems show ?case by (simp add: rank-invar-hd-cons)
next
case 3
thus ?case by (simp add: rank-ins)
next
case prems: 4
then have r: rank (link t1 t2) = rank t2 + 1 by (simp add: rank-link)
have m: meldUniq bq1 [] = bq1 by (cases bq1) auto

from inv1 and inv2 and prems have
  mm: min (rank (hd bq1)) (rank (hd bq2)) ≤ rank (hd (meldUniq bq1 bq2))
  by simp
from ⟨rank-invar (t1 # bq1)⟩ have bq1 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t1 < rank (hd bq1)
  by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)
with prems have r1: bq1 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t2 < rank (hd bq1) by simp
from ⟨rank-invar (t2 # bq2)⟩ have r2: bq2 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t2 < rank (hd bq2)
  by (simp add: rank-invar-not-empty-hd)

from inv1 r r1 rank-ins-min[of bq1 (link t1 t2)] have
  abc1: bq1 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t2 ≤ rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) bq1)) by simp
from inv2 r r2 rank-ins-min[of bq2 (link t1 t2)] have
  abc2: bq2 ≠ [] ⇒ rank t2 ≤ rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) bq2)) by simp

from r1 r2 mm have
  [bq1 ≠ []; bq2 ≠ []] ⇒ rank t2 < rank (hd (meldUniq bq1 bq2))
  by (simp)
with ⟨rank-invar (meldUniq bq1 bq2)⟩ r
rank-ins-min[of meldUniq bq1 bq2 link t1 t2]
have [bq1 ≠ []; bq2 ≠ []] ⇒
  rank t2 < rank (hd (ins (link t1 t2) (meldUniq bq1 bq2)))
  by simp
with inv1 and inv2 and r m r1 show ?case
  apply(cases bq2 = [])
  apply(cases bq1 = [])
  apply(simp)
  apply(auto simp add: abc1)
  apply(cases bq1 = [])
  apply(simp)
  apply(auto simp add: abc2)
done
qed
qed

```

lemma *rank-meldUniq*:

$\llbracket \text{rank-invar } bq1; \text{rank-invar } bq2 \rrbracket \implies \text{rank-invar } (\text{meldUniq } bq1 \ bq2)$
by (*simp only: rank-invar-meldUniq-strong*)

lemma *rank-meld*:

$\llbracket \text{rank-skew-invar } q1; \text{rank-skew-invar } q2 \rrbracket \implies \text{rank-skew-invar } (\text{meld } q1 \ q2)$
by (*simp only: meld-def rank-meldUniq rank-uniqify rank-invar-rank-skew*)

theorem *meld-invar*:

$\llbracket \text{invar } bq1; \text{invar } bq2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{invar } (\text{meld } bq1 \ bq2)$
by (*metis meld-queue-invar rank-meld invar-def*)

theorem *meld-correct*:

assumes *I*: *invar* *q* *invar* *q'*
shows
invar (*meld* *q* *q'*)
queue-to-multiset (*meld* *q* *q'*) = *queue-to-multiset* *q* + *queue-to-multiset* *q'*
using *meld-invar*[*of* *q* *q'*] *meld-mset*[*of* *q* *q'*] *I*
unfolding *invar-def* **by** *simp-all*

2.2.4 Find Minimal Element

Find the tree containing the minimal element.

fun *getMinTree* :: ('e, 'a::linorder) *SkewBinomialQueue* \Rightarrow
('e, 'a) *SkewBinomialTree* **where**
getMinTree [t] = t |
getMinTree (t#bq) =
 (*if* *prio* t \leq *prio* (*getMinTree* bq)
 then t
 else (*getMinTree* bq))

Find the minimal Element in the queue.

definition *findMin* :: ('e, 'a::linorder) *SkewBinomialQueue* \Rightarrow ('e \times 'a) **where**
findMin bq = (*let* *min* = *getMinTree* bq *in* (*val* *min*, *prio* *min*))

lemma *mintree-exists*: (bq \neq []) = (*getMinTree* bq \in *set* bq)

proof (*induct* bq)

case Nil

then show ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons* - bq)

then show ?*case* **by** (*cases* bq) *simp-all*

qed

lemma *treehead-in-multiset*:

$t \in \text{set } bq \implies (\text{val } t, \text{prio } t) \in \# (\text{queue-to-multiset } bq)$
by (*induct* *bq*, *simp*, *cases* *t*, *auto*)

lemma *heap-ordered-single*:

$\text{heap-ordered } t = (\forall x \in \text{set-mset } (\text{tree-to-multiset } t). \text{prio } t \leq \text{snd } x)$
by (*cases* *t*) *auto*

lemma *getMinTree-cons*:

$\text{prio } (\text{getMinTree } (y \# x \# xs)) \leq \text{prio } (\text{getMinTree } (x \# xs))$
by (*induct* *xs* *rule*: *getMinTree.induct*) *simp-all*

lemma *getMinTree-min-tree*: $t \in \text{set } bq \implies \text{prio } (\text{getMinTree } bq) \leq \text{prio } t$

by (*induct* *bq* *arbitrary*: *t* *rule*: *getMinTree.induct*) (*simp*, *fastforce*, *simp*)

lemma *getMinTree-min-prio*:

assumes *queue-invar* *bq*
and $y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{queue-to-multiset } bq)$
shows $\text{prio } (\text{getMinTree } bq) \leq \text{snd } y$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $bq \neq []$ **by** (*cases* *bq*) *simp-all*
with *assms* **have** $\exists t \in \text{set } bq. (y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{tree-to-multiset } t))$

proof (*induct* *bq*)

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons* *a* *bq*)

then show *?case*

apply (*cases* $y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{tree-to-multiset } a)$)

apply *simp*

apply (*cases* *bq*)

apply *simp-all*

done

qed

from *this* **obtain** *t* **where** *O*:

$t \in \text{set } bq$

$y \in \text{set-mset } ((\text{tree-to-multiset } t))$ **by** *blast*

obtain *e a r ts* **where** [*simp*]: $t = (\text{Node } e \ a \ r \ ts)$ **by** (*cases* *t*) *blast*

from *O* *assms*(*I*) **have** *inv*: *tree-invar* *t* **by** *simp*

from *tree-invar-heap-ordered*[*OF inv*] *heap-ordered.simps*[*of e a r ts*] *O*

have $\text{prio } t \leq \text{snd } y$ **by** *auto*

with *getMinTree-min-tree*[*OF O(1)*] **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *findMin-mset*:

assumes *I*: *queue-invar* *q*

assumes *NE*: $q \neq \text{Nil}$

shows $\text{findMin } q \in \# \text{queue-to-multiset } q$

$\forall y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{queue-to-multiset } q). \text{snd } (\text{findMin } q) \leq \text{snd } y$

proof –

```

from NE have getMinTree q ∈ set q by (simp only: mintree-exists)
thus findMin q ∈# queue-to-multiset q
  by (simp add: treehead-in-multiset findMin-def Let-def)
show ∀ y ∈ set-mset (queue-to-multiset q). snd (findMin q) ≤ snd y
  by (simp add: getMinTree-min-prio findMin-def Let-def NE I)
qed

```

```

theorem findMin-correct:
  assumes I: invar q
  assumes NE: q ≠ Nil
  shows findMin q ∈# queue-to-multiset q
  ∀ y ∈ set-mset (queue-to-multiset q). snd (findMin q) ≤ snd y
  using I NE findMin-mset
  unfolding invar-def by auto

```

2.2.5 Delete Minimal Element

Insert the roots of a given queue into an other queue.

```

fun insertList ::
  ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where
  insertList [] tbq = tbq |
  insertList (t#bq) tbq = insertList bq (insert (val t) (prio t) tbq)

```

Remove the first tree, which has the priority a within his root.

```

fun remove1Prio :: 'a ⇒ ('e, 'a::linorder) SkewBinomialQueue ⇒
  ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialQueue where
  remove1Prio a [] = [] |
  remove1Prio a (t#bq) =
  (if (prio t) = a then bq else t # (remove1Prio a bq))

```

```

lemma remove1Prio-remove1 [simp]:
  remove1Prio (prio (getMinTree bq)) bq = remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq

```

```

proof (induct bq)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons t bq)
  note iv = Cons
  thus ?case
  proof (cases t = getMinTree (t # bq))
    case True
    with iv show ?thesis by simp
  next
  case False
  hence ne: bq ≠ [] by auto
  with False have down: getMinTree (t # bq) = getMinTree bq
    by (induct bq rule: getMinTree.induct) auto
  from ne False have prio t ≠ prio (getMinTree bq)
    by (induct bq rule: getMinTree.induct) auto

```

with *down in False ne* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

Return the queue without the minimal element found by `findMin`

definition *deleteMin* :: (*'e, 'a::linorder*) *SkewBinomialQueue* \Rightarrow
(*'e, 'a*) *SkewBinomialQueue* **where**
deleteMin *bq* = (*let* *min* = *getMinTree* *bq* *in* *insertList*
(*filter* (λ *t. rank* *t* = 0) (*children* *min*))
(*meld* (*rev* (*filter* (λ *t. rank* *t* > 0) (*children* *min*)))
(*remove1Prio* (*prio* *min*) *bq*)))

lemma *invar-rev*[*simp*]: *queue-invar* (*rev* *q*) \longleftrightarrow *queue-invar* *q*
by (*unfold* *queue-invar-def*) *simp*

lemma *invar-remove1*: *queue-invar* *q* \implies *queue-invar* (*remove1* *t* *q*)
by (*unfold* *queue-invar-def*) (*auto*)

lemma *mset-rev*: *queue-to-multiset* (*rev* *q*) = *queue-to-multiset* *q*
by (*induct* *q*) (*auto* *simp* *add: union-ac*)

lemma *in-set-subset*: $t \in \text{set } q \implies \text{tree-to-multiset } t \subseteq\# \text{queue-to-multiset } q$

proof (*induct* *q*)

case *Nil*

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons* *a* *q*)

show *?case*

proof (*cases* $t = a$)

case *True*

then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

next

case *False*

with *Cons* **have** *t-in-q*: $t \in \text{set } q$ **by** *simp*

have *queue-to-multiset* *q* $\subseteq\#$ *queue-to-multiset* (*a* $\#$ *q*)

by *simp*

from *subset-mset.order-trans*[*OF* *Cons*(1)][*OF* *t-in-q*] *this* **show** *?thesis* .

qed

qed

lemma *mset-remove1*: $t \in \text{set } q \implies$
queue-to-multiset (*remove1* *t* *q*) =
queue-to-multiset *q* - *tree-to-multiset* *t*
by (*induct* *q*) (*auto* *simp: in-set-subset*)

lemma *invar-children'*:

assumes *tree-invar* *t*

shows *queue-invar* (*children* *t*)

proof (*cases* *t*)

case (*Node e a nat list*)
with *assms* **have** *inv: tree-invar (Node e a nat list)* **by** *simp*
from *Node invar-children[OF inv]* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *invar-filter: queue-invar q \implies queue-invar (filter f q)*
by (*unfold queue-invar-def*) *simp*

lemma *insertList-queue-invar: queue-invar q \implies queue-invar (insertList ts q)*
proof (*induct ts arbitrary: q*)
case *Nil*
then **show** *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons a q*)
note *inv-insert = insert-queue-invar[OF Cons(2), of val a prio a]*
from *Cons(1)[OF inv-insert]* **show** *?case* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *deleteMin-queue-invar:*
 $\llbracket \text{queue-invar } q; \text{queue-to-multiset } q \neq \{\#\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{queue-invar } (\text{deleteMin } q)$
unfolding *deleteMin-def Let-def*
proof *goal-cases*
case *prems: 1*
from *prems(2)* **have** *q-ne: q \neq []* **by** *auto*
with *prems(1) mintree-exists[of q]*
have *inv-min: tree-invar (getMinTree q)* **by** *simp*
note *inv-rem = invar-remove1[OF prems(1), of getMinTree q]*
note *inv-children = invar-children'[OF inv-min]*
note *inv-filter = invar-filter[OF inv-children, of $\lambda t. 0 < \text{rank } t$]*
note *inv-rev = iffD2[OF invar-rev inv-filter]*
note *inv-meld = meld-queue-invar[OF inv-rev inv-rem]*
note *inv-ins =*
 $\text{insertList-queue-invar}[OF \text{inv-meld},$
 $\text{of } [t \leftarrow \text{children } (\text{getMinTree } q). \text{rank } t = 0]]$
then **show** *?case* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *mset-children: queue-to-multiset (children t) =*
 $\text{tree-to-multiset } t - \{\#\ (\text{val } t, \text{prio } t) \#\}$
by(*cases t, auto*)

lemma *mset-insertList:*
 $\llbracket \forall t \in \text{set } ts. \text{rank } t = 0 \wedge \text{children } t = [] ; \text{queue-invar } q \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{queue-to-multiset } (\text{insertList } ts \ q) =$
 $\text{queue-to-multiset } ts + \text{queue-to-multiset } q$
proof (*induct ts arbitrary: q*)
case *Nil*
then **show** *?case* **by** *simp*

next
case (*Cons a ts*)
from *Cons(2)* **have** *ball-ts*: $\forall t \in \text{set } ts. \text{rank } t = 0 \wedge \text{children } t = []$ **by** *simp*
note *inv-insert* = *insert-queue-invar*[*OF Cons(3)*, *of val a prio a*]
note *iv* = *Cons(1)*[*OF ball-ts inv-insert*]
from *Cons(2)* **have** *mset-a*: *tree-to-multiset a* = $\{\# (\text{val } a, \text{prio } a)\#\}$
by (*cases a*) *simp*
note *insert-mset*[*OF Cons(3)*, *of val a prio a*]
with *mset-a iv* **show** *?case* **by** (*simp add: union-ac*)
qed

lemma *mset-filter*: (*queue-to-multiset* [*t*←*q . rank t = 0*]) +
queue-to-multiset [*t*←*q . 0 < rank t*] =
queue-to-multiset q
by (*induct q*) (*auto simp add: union-ac*)

lemma *deleteMin-mset*:
assumes *queue-invar q*
and *queue-to-multiset q* $\neq \{\#\}$
shows *queue-to-multiset* (*deleteMin q*) = *queue-to-multiset q* - $\{\# (\text{findMin } q)\#\}$
#}

proof –

from *assms(2)* **have** *q-ne*: *q* $\neq []$ **by** *auto*
with *mintree-exists*[*of q*]
have *min-in-q*: *getMinTree q* $\in \text{set } q$ **by** *simp*
with *assms(1)* **have** *inv-min*: *tree-invar* (*getMinTree q*) **by** *simp*
note *inv-rem* = *invar-remove1*[*OF assms(1)*, *of getMinTree q*]
note *inv-children* = *invar-children'*[*OF inv-min*]
note *inv-filter* = *invar-filter*[*OF inv-children*, *of* $\lambda t. 0 < \text{rank } t$]
note *inv-rev* = *iffD2*[*OF invar-rev inv-filter*]
note *inv-meld* = *meld-queue-invar*[*OF inv-rev inv-rem*]
note *mset-rem* = *mset-remove1*[*OF min-in-q*]
note *mset-rev* = *mset-rev*[*of* [*t*←*children* (*getMinTree q*). $0 < \text{rank } t$]]
note *mset-meld* = *meld-mset*[*OF inv-rev inv-rem*]
note *mset-children* = *mset-children*[*of getMinTree q*]
thm *mset-insertList*[*of* [*t*←*children* (*getMinTree q*).
 $\text{rank } t = 0$]]
have $[\text{tree-invar } t; \text{rank } t = 0] \implies \text{children } t = []$ **for** *t*
by (*cases t*) *simp*
with *inv-children*
have *ball-min*: $\forall t \in \text{set } [t \leftarrow \text{children } (\text{getMinTree } q). \text{rank } t = 0].$
 $\text{rank } t = 0 \wedge \text{children } t = []$ **by** (*unfold queue-invar-def*) *auto*
note *mset-insertList* = *mset-insertList*[*OF ball-min inv-meld*]
note *mset-filter* = *mset-filter*[*of children* (*getMinTree q*)]
let *?Q* = *queue-to-multiset q*
let *?MT* = *tree-to-multiset* (*getMinTree q*)
from *q-ne* **have** *head-subset-min*:
 $\{\# (\text{val } (\text{getMinTree } q), \text{prio } (\text{getMinTree } q)) \#\} \subseteq \# \text{ ?MT}$
by(*cases getMinTree q*) *simp*

```

note min-subset-q = in-set-subset[OF min-in-q]
from mset-insertList mset-meld mset-rev mset-rem mset-filter mset-children
      multiset-diff-union-assoc[OF head-subset-min, of ?Q - ?MT]
      mset-subset-eq-multiset-union-diff-commute[OF min-subset-q, of ?MT]
show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: deleteMin-def Let-def union-ac findMin-def)
qed

lemma rank-insertList: rank-skew-invar q  $\implies$  rank-skew-invar (insertList ts q)
      by (induct ts arbitrary: q (simp-all add: insert-rank-invar))

lemma insertList-invar: invar q  $\implies$  invar (insertList ts q)
proof (induct ts arbitrary: q)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a q)
  show ?case
    apply (unfold insertList.simps)
  proof goal-cases
    case 1
    from Cons(2) insert-rank-invar[of q val a prio a]
    have a1: rank-skew-invar (insert (val a) (prio a) q)
      by (simp add: invar-def)
    from Cons(2) insert-queue-invar[of q val a prio a]
    have a2: queue-invar (insert (val a) (prio a) q) by (simp add: invar-def)
    from a1 a2 have invar (insert (val a) (prio a) q) by (simp add: invar-def)
    with Cons(1)[of (insert (val a) (prio a) q)] show ?case .
  qed
qed

lemma children-rank-less:
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows  $\forall t' \in \text{set } (\text{children } t). \text{rank } t' < \text{rank } t$ 
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show ?thesis
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by simp
  next
  case (Suc nat)
  then obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
    O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) tree-invar (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
    t = link (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
     $\vee t = \text{skewlink } e' a' (\text{Node } e1 a1 \text{ nat } ts1) (\text{Node } e2 a2 \text{ nat } ts2)$ 
    by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
  hence ch-id:
    children t = (if a1  $\leq$  a2 then (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)#ts1

```

```

      else (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)#ts2) ∨
children t =
  (if a' ≤ a1 ∧ a' ≤ a2 then [(Node e1 a1 nat ts1), (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)]
   else (if a1 ≤ a2 then (Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1
        else (Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2))
  by auto
from O Suc(1)[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1]
have p1: ∀ t' ∈ set ((Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1). rank t' < Suc nat by auto
from O Suc(1)[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2]
have p2: ∀ t' ∈ set ((Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2). rank t' < Suc nat by auto
from O have
  p3: ∀ t' ∈ set [(Node e1 a1 nat ts1), (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)].
      rank t' < Suc nat by simp
from O Suc(1)[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1]
have
  p4: ∀ t' ∈ set ((Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1).
      rank t' < Suc nat by auto
from O Suc(1)[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2]
have p5:
  ∀ t' ∈ set ((Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2).
      rank t' < Suc nat by auto
from Suc(3) p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 ch-id show ?case
  by (cases children t = (if a1 ≤ a2 then Node e2 a2 nat ts2 # ts1
                        else Node e1 a1 nat ts1 # ts2)) simp-all
qed
qed

lemma strong-rev-children:
  assumes tree-invar t
  shows invar [t ← children t. 0 < rank t]
proof (cases t)
  case (Node e a nat list)
  with assms show ?thesis
  proof (induct nat arbitrary: t e a list)
    case 0
    then show ?case by (simp add: invar-def)
  next
  case (Suc nat)
  show ?case
  proof (cases nat)
    case 0
    with Suc obtain e1 a1 e2 a2 e' a' where
      O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 0 []) tree-invar (Node e2 a2 0 [])
      t = link (Node e1 a1 0 []) (Node e2 a2 0 [])
      ∨ t = skewlink e' a' (Node e1 a1 0 []) (Node e2 a2 0 [])
    by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
    hence [t ← children t. 0 < rank t] = [] by auto
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: invar-def)
  next

```

```

case Suc': (Suc n)
from Suc obtain e1 a1 ts1 e2 a2 ts2 e' a' where
  O: tree-invar (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) tree-invar (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
  t = link (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)
   $\vee t = \text{skewlink } e' a' (\text{Node } e1 a1 \text{ nat } ts1) (\text{Node } e2 a2 \text{ nat } ts2)$ 
  by (simp only: tree-invar.simps) blast
hence ch-id:
  children t = (if a1 ≤ a2 then
    (Node e2 a2 nat ts2)#ts1
    else (Node e1 a1 nat ts1)#ts2)
   $\vee$ 
  children t = (if a' ≤ a1 ∧ a' ≤ a2 then
     $[(\text{Node } e1 a1 \text{ nat } ts1), (\text{Node } e2 a2 \text{ nat } ts2)]$ 
    else (if a1 ≤ a2 then
       $(\text{Node } e' a' 0 []) \# (\text{Node } e2 a2 \text{ nat } ts2) \# ts1$ 
      else (Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2))
  by auto
from O Suc(1)[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1 e1 a1 ts1] have
  rev-ts1: invar (rev [t ← ts1. 0 < rank t]) by simp
from O children-rank-less[of Node e1 a1 nat ts1] have
   $\forall t \in \text{set } (\text{rev } [t \leftarrow ts1. 0 < \text{rank } t]). \text{rank } t < \text{rank } (\text{Node } e2 a2 \text{ nat } ts2)$ 
  by simp
with O rev-ts1
  invar-app-single[of rev [t ← ts1. 0 < rank t]
    Node e2 a2 nat ts2]
have
  invar (rev ((Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # [t ← ts1. 0 < rank t]))
  by simp
with Suc' have p1: invar (rev [t ← ((Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1). 0 < rank
t])
  by simp
from O Suc(1)[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2 e2 a2 ts2]
have rev-ts2: invar (rev [t ← ts2. 0 < rank t]) by simp
from O children-rank-less[of Node e2 a2 nat ts2]
have  $\forall t \in \text{set } (\text{rev } [t \leftarrow ts2. 0 < \text{rank } t]).$ 
  rank t < rank (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) by simp
with O rev-ts2 invar-app-single[of rev [t ← ts2. 0 < rank t]
  Node e1 a1 nat ts1]
have invar (rev [t ← ts2. 0 < rank t] @ [Node e1 a1 nat ts1])
  by simp
with Suc' have p2: invar (rev [t ← ((Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2). 0 < rank
t])
  by simp
from O(1-2)
have p3: invar (rev (filter (λ t. 0 < rank t)
   $[(\text{Node } e1 a1 \text{ nat } ts1), (\text{Node } e2 a2 \text{ nat } ts2)]))$ 
  by (simp add: invar-def)
from p1 have p4: invar (rev
   $[t \leftarrow ((\text{Node } e' a' 0 []) \# (\text{Node } e2 a2 \text{ nat } ts2) \# ts1). 0 < \text{rank } t])$ 

```

```

    by simp
  from p2 have p5: invar (rev
    [t ← ((Node e' a' 0 []) # (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2). 0 < rank t])
    by simp
  from p1 p2 p3 p4 p5 ch-id show
    invar (rev [t ← children t . 0 < rank t])
    by (cases children t = (if a1 ≤ a2 then (Node e2 a2 nat ts2) # ts1
      else (Node e1 a1 nat ts1) # ts2)) metis+

qed
qed
qed

lemma first-less: rank-invar (t # bq) ⇒ ∀ t' ∈ set bq. rank t < rank t'
  apply (induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis List.set-simps(2) insert-iff not-le-imp-less
    not-less-iff-gr-or-eq order-less-le-trans rank-invar.simps(3)
    rank-invar-cons-down)
  done

lemma first-less-eq:
  rank-skew-invar (t # bq) ⇒ ∀ t' ∈ set bq. rank t ≤ rank t'
  apply (induct bq arbitrary: t)
  apply (simp)
  apply (metis List.set-simps(2) insert-iff le-trans
    rank-invar-rank-skew rank-skew-invar.simps(3) rank-skew-rank-invar)
  done

lemma remove1-tail-invar: tail-invar bq ⇒ tail-invar (remove1 t bq)
  proof (induct bq arbitrary: t)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons a bq)
  show ?case
  proof (cases t = a)
    case True
    from Cons(2) have tail-invar bq by (rule tail-invar-cons-down)
    with True show ?thesis by simp
  next
  case False
  from Cons(2) have tail-invar bq by (rule tail-invar-cons-down)
  with Cons(1)[of t] have si1: tail-invar (remove1 t bq) .
  from False have tail-invar (remove1 t (a # bq)) = tail-invar (a # (remove1 t
  bq))
    by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases remove1 t bq)
    case Nil

```

```

    with si1 Cons(2) False show ?thesis by (simp add: tail-invar-def)
  next
    case Cons': (Cons aa list)
    from Cons(2) have tree-invar a by (simp add: tail-invar-def)
    from Cons(2) first-less[of a bq]
    have  $\forall t \in \text{set } (\text{remove1 } t \text{ bq}). \text{rank } a < \text{rank } t$ 
      by (metis notin-set-remove1 tail-invar-def)
    with Cons' have rank a < rank aa by simp
    with si1 Cons(2) False Cons' tail-invar-cons-up[of aa list a] show ?thesis
      by (simp add: tail-invar-def)
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma invar-cons-down: invar (t # bq)  $\implies$  invar bq
  by (metis rank-invar-rank-skew tail-invar-def
    invar-def invar-tail-invar)

```

```

lemma remove1-invar: invar bq  $\implies$  invar (remove1 t bq)

```

```

proof (induct bq arbitrary: t)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a bq)
  show ?case
  proof (cases t = a)
    case True
    from Cons(2) have invar bq by (rule invar-cons-down)
    with True show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    from Cons(2) have invar bq by (rule invar-cons-down)
    with Cons(1)[of t] have si1: invar (remove1 t bq) .
    from False have invar (remove1 t (a # bq)) = invar (a # (remove1 t bq))
      by simp
    show ?thesis
  proof (cases remove1 t bq)
    case Nil
    with si1 Cons(2) False show ?thesis by (simp add: invar-def)
  next
    case Cons aa list
    from Cons(2) have ti: tree-invar a by (simp add: invar-def)
    from Cons(2) have sbq: tail-invar bq by (metis invar-tail-invar)
    hence srm: tail-invar (remove1 t bq) by (metis remove1-tail-invar)
    from Cons(2) first-less-eq[of a bq]
    have  $\forall t \in \text{set } (\text{remove1 } t \text{ bq}). \text{rank } a \leq \text{rank } t$ 
      by (metis notin-set-remove1 invar-def)
    with Cons' have rank a  $\leq$  rank aa by simp
    with si1 Cons(2) False Cons' ti srm tail-invar-cons-up-invar[of aa list a]

```

show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *deleteMin-invar*:

assumes *invar bq*
and $bq \neq []$
shows *invar (deleteMin bq)*

proof –

have *eq: invar (deleteMin bq) =*
invar (insertList
(filter ($\lambda t. \text{rank } t = 0$) (children (getMinTree bq)))
(meld (rev (filter ($\lambda t. \text{rank } t > 0$) (children (getMinTree bq))))
(remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq)))
by (*simp add: deleteMin-def Let-def*)
from *assms mintree-exists[of bq] have ti: tree-invar (getMinTree bq)*
by (*simp add: invar-def queue-invar-def del: queue-invar-simps*)
with *strong-rev-children[of getMinTree bq] have*
m1: invar (rev [t ← children (getMinTree bq). 0 < rank t]) .
from *remove1-invar[of bq getMinTree bq] assms(1)*
have *m2: invar (remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq) .*
from *meld-invar[of rev [t ← children (getMinTree bq). 0 < rank t]*
remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq] m1 m2
have *invar (meld (rev [t ← children (getMinTree bq). 0 < rank t]*
(remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq)) .
with *insertList-invar[of*
(meld (rev [t ← children (getMinTree bq) . 0 < rank t])
(remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq))
[t ← children (getMinTree bq) . rank t = 0]]
have *invar*
(insertList
[t ← children (getMinTree bq) . rank t = 0]
(meld (rev [t ← children (getMinTree bq) . 0 < rank t]
(remove1 (getMinTree bq) bq))) .
with *eq show ?thesis ..*

qed

theorem *deleteMin-correct*:

assumes *I: invar q*
and *NE: q ≠ Nil*
shows *invar (deleteMin q)*
and *queue-to-multiset (deleteMin q) = queue-to-multiset q - {#findMin q#}*
apply (*rule deleteMin-invar[OF I NE]*)
using *deleteMin-mset[of q] I NE*
unfolding *invar-def*
apply (*auto simp add: empty-correct*)
done

lemmas [*simp del*] = *insert.simps*

end

interpretation *SkewBinomialHeapStruc*: *SkewBinomialHeapStruc-loc* .

2.3 Bootstrapping

In this section, we implement datastructural bootstrapping, to reduce the complexity of meld-operations to $O(1)$. The bootstrapping also contains a *global root*, caching the minimal element of the queue, and thus also reducing the complexity of findMin-operations to $O(1)$.

Bootstrapping adds one more level of recursion: An *element* is an entry and a priority queues of elements.

In the original paper on skew binomial queues [1], higher order functors and recursive structures are used to elegantly implement bootstrapped heaps on top of ordinary heaps. However, such concepts are not supported in Isabelle/HOL, nor in Standard ML. Hence we have to use the „much less clean” [1] alternative: We manually specialize the heap datastructure, and re-implement the functions on the specialized data structure.

The correctness proofs are done by defining a mapping from teh specialized to the original data structure, and reusing the correctness statements of the original data structure.

2.3.1 Auxiliary

We first have to state some auxiliary lemmas and functions, mainly about multisets.

Finding the preimage of an element

lemma *in-image-msetE*:

assumes $x \in \# \text{image-mset } f \ M$
obtains y **where** $y \in \# M \ x = f \ y$
using *assms*
apply (*induct M*)
apply *simp*
apply (*force split: if-split-asm*)
done

Very special lemma for images multisets of pairs, where the second component is a function of the first component

lemma *mset-image-fst-dep-pair-diff-split*:

```

(∀ e a. (e,a)∈#M → a=f e) ⇒
image-mset fst (M - {#(e, f e)#}) = image-mset fst M - {#e#}
proof (induct M)
  case empty thus ?case by auto
next
  case (add x M)
  then obtain e' where [simp]: x=(e',f e')
    apply (cases x)
    apply (force)
    done

from add.prem1 have ∀ e a. (e, a) ∈# M → a = f e by simp
with add.hyps have
  IH: image-mset fst (M - {#(e, f e)#}) = image-mset fst M - {#e#}
  by auto

show ?case proof (cases e=e')
  case True
  thus ?thesis by (simp)
next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: IH)
qed
qed

```

```

locale Bootstrapped
begin

```

2.3.2 Datatype

We manually specialize the binomial tree to contain elements, that, in, turn, may contain trees. Note that we specify nodes without explicit priority, as the priority is contained in the elements stored in the nodes.

```

datatype ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree =
  BsNode (val: ('e, 'a)::linorder) BsSkewElem
    (rank: nat) (children: ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree list)
and
('e, 'a) BsSkewElem =
  Element 'e (prio: 'a) ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree list

type-synonym ('e, 'a) BsSkewHeap = unit + ('e, 'a) BsSkewElem
type-synonym ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue = ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree list

```

2.3.3 Specialization Boilerplate

In this section, we re-define the functions on the specialized priority queues, and show their correctness. This is done by defining a mapping to original priority queues, and re-using the correctness lemmas proven there.

Mapping to original binomial trees and queues

fun *bsmapt* **where**

bsmapt (*BsNode* *e* *r* *q*) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruct.Node* *e* (*eprio* *e*) *r* (*map* *bsmapt* *q*)

abbreviation *bsmap* **where**

bsmap *q* == *map* *bsmapt* *q*

Invariant and mapping to multiset are defined via the mapping

abbreviation *invar* *q* == *SkewBinomialHeapStruct.invar* (*bsmap* *q*)

abbreviation *queue-to-multiset* *q*

== *image-mset* *fst* (*SkewBinomialHeapStruct.queue-to-multiset* (*bsmap* *q*))

abbreviation *tree-to-multiset* *t*

== *image-mset* *fst* (*SkewBinomialHeapStruct.tree-to-multiset* (*bsmapt* *t*))

abbreviation *queue-to-multiset-aux* *q*

== (*SkewBinomialHeapStruct.queue-to-multiset* (*bsmap* *q*))

Now starts the re-implementation of the functions

primrec *prio* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialTree* ⇒ '*a* **where**

prio (*BsNode* *e* *r* *ts*) = *eprio* *e*

lemma *proj-xlate*:

val *t* = *SkewBinomialHeapStruct.val* (*bsmapt* *t*)

prio *t* = *SkewBinomialHeapStruct.prio* (*bsmapt* *t*)

rank *t* = *SkewBinomialHeapStruct.rank* (*bsmapt* *t*)

bsmap (*children* *t*) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruct.children* (*bsmapt* *t*)

eprio (*SkewBinomialHeapStruct.val* (*bsmapt* *t*))

= *SkewBinomialHeapStruct.prio* (*bsmapt* *t*)

apply (*case-tac* [!] *t*)

apply *auto*

done

fun *link* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewBinomialTree*

⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialTree* ⇒

('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialTree* **where**

link (*BsNode* *e1* *r1* *ts1*) (*BsNode* *e2* *r2* *ts2*) =

(*if* *eprio* *e1* ≤ *eprio* *e2*

then (*BsNode* *e1* (*Suc* *r1*) ((*BsNode* *e2* *r2* *ts2*)#*ts1*))

else (*BsNode* *e2* (*Suc* *r2*) ((*BsNode* *e1* *r1* *ts1*)#*ts2*)))

Link two trees of rank *r* and a new element to a new tree of rank *r* + 1

fun *skewlink* :: ('*e*, '*a*::*linorder*) *BsSkewElem* ⇒ ('*e*, '*a*) *BsSkewBinomialTree* ⇒

```

('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree ⇒ ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree where
skewlink e t t' = (if eprio e ≤ (prio t) ∧ eprio e ≤ (prio t')
then (BsNode e (Suc (rank t)) [t,t'])
else (if (prio t) ≤ (prio t')
then
  BsNode (val t) (Suc (rank t)) (BsNode e 0 [] # t' # children t)
else
  BsNode (val t') (Suc (rank t')) (BsNode e 0 [] # t # children t')))

```

lemma *link-xlate*:

```

bsmapt (link t t') = SkewBinomialHeapStruct.link (bsmapt t) (bsmapt t')
bsmapt (skewlink e t t') =
  SkewBinomialHeapStruct.skewlink e (eprio e) (bsmapt t) (bsmapt t')
by (case-tac [] t, case-tac [] t') auto

```

fun *ins* :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewBinomialTree ⇒

```

('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒
('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue where
ins t [] = [t] |
ins t' (t # bq) =
  (if (rank t') < (rank t)
then t' # t # bq
else (if (rank t) < (rank t')
then t # (ins t' bq)
else ins (link t' t) bq))

```

lemma *ins-xlate*:

```

bimap (ins t q) = SkewBinomialHeapStruct.ins (bsmapt t) (bimap q)
by (induct q arbitrary: t) (auto simp add: proj-xlate link-xlate)

```

Insert an element with priority into a queue using skewlinks.

fun *insert* :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewElem ⇒

```

('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒
('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue where
insert e [] = [BsNode e 0 []] |
insert e [t] = [BsNode e 0 [], t] |
insert e (t # t' # bq) =
  (if rank t ≠ rank t'
then (BsNode e 0 []) # t # t' # bq
else (skewlink e t t') # bq)

```

lemma *insert-xlate*:

```

bimap (insert e q) = SkewBinomialHeapStruct.insert e (eprio e) (bimap q)
apply (cases (e,q) rule: insert.cases)
apply (auto simp add: proj-xlate link-xlate SkewBinomialHeapStruct.insert.simps)
done

```

lemma *insert-correct*:

assumes I : *invar* q
shows
invar (*insert* e q)
queue-to-multiset (*insert* e q) = *queue-to-multiset* q + $\{\#(e)\#\}$
by (*simp-all add: I SkewBinomialHeapStruc.insert-correct insert-xlate*)

fun *uniqify*
 $:: ('e, 'a)::\text{linorder}$) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* $\Rightarrow ('e, 'a)$ *BsSkewBinomialQueue*
where
uniqify [] = [] |
uniqify ($t\#bq$) = *ins* t bq

fun *meldUniq*
 $:: ('e, 'a)::\text{linorder}$) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* $\Rightarrow ('e, 'a)$ *BsSkewBinomialQueue* \Rightarrow
 $('e, 'a)$ *BsSkewBinomialQueue* **where**
meldUniq [] bq = bq |
meldUniq bq [] = bq |
meldUniq ($t1\#bq1$) ($t2\#bq2$) = (*if* *rank* $t1$ < *rank* $t2$
then $t1$ # (*meldUniq* $bq1$ ($t2\#bq2$))
else (*if* *rank* $t2$ < *rank* $t1$
then $t2$ # (*meldUniq* ($t1\#bq1$) $bq2$)
else *ins* (*link* $t1$ $t2$) (*meldUniq* $bq1$ $bq2$)))

definition *meld*
 $:: ('e, 'a)::\text{linorder}$) *BsSkewBinomialQueue* $\Rightarrow ('e, 'a)$ *BsSkewBinomialQueue* \Rightarrow
 $('e, 'a)$ *BsSkewBinomialQueue* **where**
meld $bq1$ $bq2$ = *meldUniq* (*uniqify* $bq1$) (*uniqify* $bq2$)

lemma *uniqify-xlate*:
bsmap (*uniqify* q) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.uniqify* (*bsmap* q)
by (*cases* q) (*simp-all add: ins-xlate*)

lemma *meldUniq-xlate*:
bsmap (*meldUniq* q q') = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.meldUniq* (*bsmap* q) (*bsmap*
 q')
apply (*induct* q q' *rule: meldUniq.induct*)
apply (*auto simp add: link-xlate proj-xlate uniqify-xlate ins-xlate*)
done

lemma *meld-xlate*:
bsmap (*meld* q q') = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.meld* (*bsmap* q) (*bsmap* q')
by (*simp add: meld-def meldUniq-xlate uniqify-xlate*
SkewBinomialHeapStruc.meld-def)

lemma *meld-correct*:
assumes I : *invar* q *invar* q'
shows
invar (*meld* q q')
queue-to-multiset (*meld* q q') = *queue-to-multiset* q + *queue-to-multiset* q'

by (*simp-all add: I SkewBinomialHeapStruc.meld-correct meld-xlate*)

fun *insertList* ::
 ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒
 ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue **where**
insertList [] tbq = tbq |
insertList (t#bq) tbq = *insertList* bq (*insert* (val t) tbq)

fun *remove1Prio* :: 'a ⇒ ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒
 ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue **where**
remove1Prio a [] = [] |
remove1Prio a (t#bq) =
 (*if* (*prio* t) = a *then* bq *else* t # (*remove1Prio* a bq))

fun *getMinTree* :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒
 ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialTree **where**
getMinTree [t] = t |
getMinTree (t#bq) =
 (*if* *prio* t ≤ *prio* (*getMinTree* bq)
then t
else (*getMinTree* bq))

definition *findMin*
 :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒ ('e, 'a) BsSkewElem **where**
findMin bq = val (*getMinTree* bq)

definition *deleteMin* :: ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewBinomialQueue ⇒
 ('e, 'a) BsSkewBinomialQueue **where**
deleteMin bq = (*let* min = *getMinTree* bq *in* *insertList*
 (*filter* (λ t. *rank* t = 0) (*children* min))
 (*meld* (*rev* (*filter* (λ t. *rank* t > 0) (*children* min)))
 (*remove1Prio* (*prio* min) bq)))

lemma *insertList-xlate*:
bsmap (*insertList* q q')
 = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.insertList* (*bsmap* q) (*bsmap* q')
apply (*induct* q arbitrary: q')
apply (*auto simp add: insert-xlate proj-xlate*)
done

lemma *remove1Prio-xlate*:
bsmap (*remove1Prio* a q) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.remove1Prio* a (*bsmap* q)
by (*induct* q) (*auto simp add: proj-xlate*)

lemma *getMinTree-xlate*:
 q ≠ [] ⇒ *bsmapt* (*getMinTree* q) = *SkewBinomialHeapStruc.getMinTree* (*bsmap*
 q)
apply (*induct* q)
apply *simp*

```

apply (case-tac q)
apply (auto simp add: proj-xlate)
done

```

```

lemma findMin-xlate:
  q≠[] ⇒ findMin q = fst (SkewBinomialHeapStruc.findMin (bmap q))
apply (unfold findMin-def SkewBinomialHeapStruc.findMin-def)
apply (simp add: proj-xlate Let-def getMinTree-xlate)
done

```

```

lemma findMin-xlate-aux:
  q≠[] ⇒ (findMin q, eprio (findMin q)) =
  (SkewBinomialHeapStruc.findMin (bmap q))
apply (unfold findMin-def SkewBinomialHeapStruc.findMin-def)
apply (simp add: proj-xlate Let-def getMinTree-xlate)
apply (induct q)
apply simp
apply (case-tac q)
apply (auto simp add: proj-xlate)
done

```

```

lemma bmap-filter-xlate:
  bmap [ x←l . P (bmap x) ] = [ x ← bmap l. P x ]
by (induct l) auto

```

```

lemma bmap-rev-xlate:
  bmap (rev q) = rev (bmap q)
by (induct q) auto

```

```

lemma deleteMin-xlate:
  q≠[] ⇒ bmap (deleteMin q) = SkewBinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin (bmap q)
apply (simp add:
  deleteMin-def SkewBinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-def
  proj-xlate getMinTree-xlate insertList-xlate meld-xlate remove1Prio-xlate
  Let-def bmap-rev-xlate, (subst bmap-filter-xlate)?)
done

```

```

lemma deleteMin-correct-aux:
  assumes I: invar q
  assumes NE: q≠[]
  shows
  invar (deleteMin q)
  queue-to-multiset-aux (deleteMin q) = queue-to-multiset-aux q -
  {# (findMin q, eprio (findMin q)) #}
apply (simp-all add:
  I NE deleteMin-xlate findMin-xlate-aux
  SkewBinomialHeapStruc.deleteMin-correct)

```

done

lemma *bsmap-fs-dep*:

$(e,a) \in \# \text{SkewBinomialHeapStruct.tree-to-multiset } (bsmap\ t) \implies a = \text{eprio } e$

$(e,a) \in \# \text{SkewBinomialHeapStruct.queue-to-multiset } (bsmap\ q) \implies a = \text{eprio } e$

thm *SkewBinomialHeapStruct.tree-to-multiset-queue-to-multiset.induct*

apply (*induct* *bsmap* *t* **and** *bsmap* *q* *arbitrary: t* **and** *q*)

rule: SkewBinomialHeapStruct.tree-to-multiset-queue-to-multiset.induct)

apply *auto*

apply (*case-tac* *t*)

apply (*auto split: if-split-asm*)

done

lemma *bsmap-fs-depD*:

$(e,a) \in \# \text{SkewBinomialHeapStruct.tree-to-multiset } (bsmap\ t)$

$\implies e \in \# \text{tree-to-multiset } t \wedge a = \text{eprio } e$

$(e,a) \in \# \text{SkewBinomialHeapStruct.queue-to-multiset } (bsmap\ q)$

$\implies e \in \# \text{queue-to-multiset } q \wedge a = \text{eprio } e$

by (*auto dest: bsmap-fs-dep intro!: image-eqI*)

lemma *findMin-correct-aux*:

assumes *I: invar q*

assumes *NE: q ≠ []*

shows $(\text{findMin } q, \text{eprio } (\text{findMin } q)) \in \# \text{queue-to-multiset-aux } q$

$\forall y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{queue-to-multiset-aux } q). \text{snd } (\text{findMin } q, \text{eprio } (\text{findMin } q)) \leq \text{snd } y$

y

apply (*simp-all add:*

I NE findMin-xlate-aux

SkewBinomialHeapStruct.findMin-correct)

done

lemma *findMin-correct*:

assumes *I: invar q*

and *NE: q ≠ []*

shows $\text{findMin } q \in \# \text{queue-to-multiset } q$

and $\forall y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{queue-to-multiset } q). \text{eprio } (\text{findMin } q) \leq \text{eprio } y$

using *findMin-correct-aux[OF I NE]*

apply *simp-all*

apply (*force dest: bsmap-fs-depD*)

apply *auto*

proof *goal-cases*

case *prems: (1 a b)*

from *prems(3)* **have** $(a, \text{eprio } a) \in \# \text{queue-to-multiset-aux } q$

apply *-*

apply (*frule bsmap-fs-dep*)

apply *simp*

done

```

with prems(2)[rule-format, simplified]
show ?case by auto
qed

```

lemma *deleteMin-correct*:

```

assumes I: invar q
assumes NE: q ≠ []
shows
  invar (deleteMin q)
  queue-to-multiset (deleteMin q) = queue-to-multiset q -
  {# findMin q #}
using deleteMin-correct-aux[OF I NE]
apply simp-all
apply (rule mset-image-fst-dep-pair-diff-split)
apply (auto dest: bimap-fs-dep)
done

```

```

declare insert.simps[simp del]

```

2.3.4 Bootstrapping: Phase 1

In this section, we define the ticked versions of the functions, as defined in [1]. These functions work on elements, i.e. only on heaps that contain at least one entry. Additionally, we define an invariant for elements, and a mapping to multisets of entries, and prove correct the ticked functions.

```

primrec findMin' where findMin' (Element e a q) = (e,a)
fun meld':: ('e, 'a::linorder) BsSkewElem ⇒
  ('e, 'a) BsSkewElem ⇒ ('e, 'a) BsSkewElem
  where meld' (Element e1 a1 q1) (Element e2 a2 q2) =
  (if a1 ≤ a2 then
    Element e1 a1 (insert (Element e2 a2 q2) q1)
  else
    Element e2 a2 (insert (Element e1 a1 q1) q2)
  )
fun insert' where
  insert' e a q = meld' (Element e a []) q
fun deleteMin' where
  deleteMin' (Element e a q) = (
    case (findMin q) of
      Element ey ay q1 ⇒
        Element ey ay (meld q1 (deleteMin q))
    )

```

Size-function for termination proofs

```

fun tree-level and queue-level where
  tree-level (BsNode (Element - - qd) - q) =
  max (Suc (queue-level qd)) (queue-level q) |

```

```

queue-level [] = (0::nat) |
queue-level (t#q) = max (tree-level t) (queue-level q)

```

fun level where

```

level (Element - - q) = Suc (queue-level q)

```

lemma level-m:

```

x ∈ #tree-to-multiset t ⇒ level x < Suc (tree-level t)
x ∈ #queue-to-multiset q ⇒ level x < Suc (queue-level q)
apply (induct t and q rule: tree-level-queue-level.induct)
apply (case-tac [!] x)
apply (auto simp add: less-max-iff-disj)
done

```

lemma level-measure:

```

x ∈ set-mset (queue-to-multiset q) ⇒ (x,(Element e a q)) ∈ measure level
x ∈ # (queue-to-multiset q) ⇒ (x,(Element e a q)) ∈ measure level
apply (case-tac [!] x)
apply (auto dest: level-m simp del: set-image-mset)
done

```

Invariant for elements

function elem-invar where

```

elem-invar (Element e a q) ↔
(∀ x. x ∈ # (queue-to-multiset q) → a ≤ eprio x ∧ elem-invar x) ∧
invar q
by pat-completeness auto

```

termination

proof

```

show wf (measure level) by auto

```

qed (rule level-measure)

Abstraction to multisets

function elem-to-mset where

```

elem-to-mset (Element e a q) = {# (e,a) #}
+ sum-mset (image-mset elem-to-mset (queue-to-multiset q))

```

by pat-completeness auto

termination

proof

```

show wf (measure level) by auto

```

qed (rule level-measure)

lemma insert-correct':

```

assumes I: elem-invar x

```

shows

```

elem-invar (insert' e a x)
elem-to-mset (insert' e a x) = elem-to-mset x + {#(e,a)#}

```

using I

```

apply (case-tac [!] x)

```

```

apply (auto simp add: insert-correct union-ac)
done

lemma meld-correct':
  assumes I: elem-invar x elem-invar x'
  shows
    elem-invar (meld' x x')
    elem-to-mset (meld' x x') = elem-to-mset x + elem-to-mset x'
  using I
  apply (case-tac [!] x)
  apply (case-tac [!] x')
  apply (auto simp add: insert-correct union-ac)
done

lemma findMin'-min:
   $\llbracket \text{elem-invar } x; y \in \# \text{elem-to-mset } x \rrbracket \implies \text{snd } (\text{findMin}' x) \leq \text{snd } y$ 
proof (induct n  $\equiv$  level x arbitrary: x rule: full-nat-induct)
  case 1
  note IH=1.hyps[rule-format, OF - refl]
  note PREMS=1.premis
  obtain e a q where [simp]: x=Element e a q by (cases x) auto

  from PREMS(2) have y=(e,a)  $\vee$ 
    y  $\in$  #sum-mset (image-mset elem-to-mset (queue-to-multiset q))
    (is ?C1  $\vee$  ?C2)
  by (auto split: if-split-asm)
  moreover {
    assume y=(e,a)
    with PREMS have ?case by simp
  } moreover {
    assume ?C2
    then obtain yx where
      A: yx  $\in$  # queue-to-multiset q and
      B: y  $\in$  # elem-to-mset yx
    apply (auto elim!: in-image-msetE)
    done

    from A PREMS have IYX: elem-invar yx by auto

    from PREMS(1) A have a  $\leq$  eprio yx by auto
    hence snd (findMin' x)  $\leq$  snd (findMin' yx)
      by (cases yx) auto
    also
    from IH[OF - IYX B] level-m(2)[OF A]
    have snd (findMin' yx)  $\leq$  snd y by simp
    finally have ?case .
  } ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

```

lemma *findMin-correct'*:
assumes *I*: *elem-invar x*
shows
findMin' x ∈# *elem-to-mset x*
 $\forall y \in \# \text{set-mset } (\text{elem-to-mset } x). \text{snd } (\text{findMin}' x) \leq \text{snd } y$
using *I*
apply (*cases x*)
apply *simp*
apply (*simp add: findMin'-min[OF I]*)
done

lemma *deleteMin-correct'*:
assumes *I*: *elem-invar (Element e a q)*
assumes *NE[simp]*: *q ≠ []*
shows
elem-invar (deleteMin' (Element e a q))
elem-to-mset (deleteMin' (Element e a q)) =
elem-to-mset (Element e a q) - {# findMin' (Element e a q) #}

proof –
from *I* **have** *IQ[simp]*: *invar q* **by** *simp*
from *findMin-correct'[OF IQ NE]* **have**
FMIQ: *findMin q* ∈# *queue-to-multiset q* **and**
FMIN: $\forall y. y \in \#(\text{queue-to-multiset } q) \implies \text{eprio } (\text{findMin } q) \leq \text{eprio } y$
by (*auto simp del: set-image-mset*)
from *FMIQ I* **have** *FMEI*: *elem-invar (findMin q)* **by** *auto*
from *I* **have** *FEI*: $\forall y. y \in \#(\text{queue-to-multiset } q) \implies \text{elem-invar } y$ **by** *auto*

obtain *ey ay qy* **where** [*simp*]: *findMin q = Element ey ay qy*
by (*cases findMin q*) *auto*
from *FMEI* **have**
IQY[simp]: *invar qy* **and**
AYMIN: $\forall x. x \in \# \text{queue-to-multiset } qy \implies ay \leq \text{eprio } x$ **and**
QEI: $\forall x. x \in \# \text{queue-to-multiset } qy \implies \text{elem-invar } x$
by *auto*

show *elem-invar (deleteMin' (Element e a q))*
using *AYMIN QEI FMIN FEI*
by (*auto simp add: deleteMin-correct meld-correct in-diff-count*)

from *FMIQ* **have**
 $S: (\text{queue-to-multiset } q - \{\# \text{Element } ey \text{ ay } qy \# \}) + \{\# \text{Element } ey \text{ ay } qy \# \}$
 $= \text{queue-to-multiset } q$ **by** *simp*

show *elem-to-mset (deleteMin' (Element e a q)) =*
elem-to-mset (Element e a q) - {# findMin' (Element e a q) #}
apply (*simp add: deleteMin-correct meld-correct*)
by (*subst S[symmetric], simp add: union-ac*)

qed

2.3.5 Bootstrapping: Phase 2

In this phase, we extend the ticked versions to also work with empty priority queues.

definition *bs-empty* where $bs\text{-empty} \equiv Inl ()$

primrec *bs-findMin* where

$bs\text{-findMin} (Inr x) = findMin' x$

fun *bs-meld*

$:: ('e, 'a)::linorder) BsSkewHeap \Rightarrow ('e, 'a) BsSkewHeap \Rightarrow ('e, 'a) BsSkewHeap$

where

$bs\text{-meld} (Inl -) x = x \mid$

$bs\text{-meld} x (Inl -) = x \mid$

$bs\text{-meld} (Inr x) (Inr x') = Inr (meld' x x')$

lemma [*simp*]: $bs\text{-meld} x (Inl u) = x$

by (*cases x auto*)

primrec *bs-insert*

$:: 'e \Rightarrow ('a::linorder) \Rightarrow ('e, 'a) BsSkewHeap \Rightarrow ('e, 'a) BsSkewHeap$

where

$bs\text{-insert} e a (Inl -) = Inr (Element e a []) \mid$

$bs\text{-insert} e a (Inr x) = Inr (insert' e a x)$

fun *bs-deleteMin*

$:: ('e, 'a)::linorder) BsSkewHeap \Rightarrow ('e, 'a) BsSkewHeap$

where

$bs\text{-deleteMin} (Inr (Element e a [])) = Inl () \mid$

$bs\text{-deleteMin} (Inr (Element e a q)) = Inr (deleteMin' (Element e a q))$

primrec *bs-invar* $:: ('e, 'a)::linorder) BsSkewHeap \Rightarrow bool$

where

$bs\text{-invar} (Inl -) \longleftrightarrow True \mid$

$bs\text{-invar} (Inr x) \longleftrightarrow elem\text{-invar} x$

lemma [*simp*]: $bs\text{-invar} bs\text{-empty} \mathbf{by} (simp\ add: bs\text{-empty}\text{-def})$

primrec *bs-to-mset* $:: ('e, 'a)::linorder) BsSkewHeap \Rightarrow ('e \times 'a) multiset$

where

$bs\text{-to-mset} (Inl -) = \{\#\} \mid$

$bs\text{-to-mset} (Inr x) = elem\text{-to-mset} x$

theorem *bs-empty-correct*: $h = bs\text{-empty} \longleftrightarrow bs\text{-to-mset} h = \{\#\}$

apply (*unfold bs-empty-def*)

apply (*cases h*)

apply *simp*

apply (*case-tac b*)

```

apply simp
done

lemma bs-mset-of-empty[simp]:
  bs-to-mset bs-empty = {#}
  by (simp add: bs-empty-def)

theorem bs-findMin-correct:
  assumes I: bs-invar h
  assumes NE: h ≠ bs-empty
  shows bs-findMin h ∈# bs-to-mset h
     $\forall y \in \text{set-mset } (bs\text{-to-mset } h). \text{snd } (bs\text{-findMin } h) \leq \text{snd } y$ 
  using I NE
  apply (case-tac [!] h)
  apply (auto simp add: bs-empty-def findMin-correct')
done

theorem bs-insert-correct:
  assumes I: bs-invar h
  shows
    bs-invar (bs-insert e a h)
    bs-to-mset (bs-insert e a h) = {#(e,a)#} + bs-to-mset h
  using I
  apply (case-tac [!] h)
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (auto simp add: meld-correct')
done

theorem bs-meld-correct:
  assumes I: bs-invar h bs-invar h'
  shows
    bs-invar (bs-meld h h')
    bs-to-mset (bs-meld h h') = bs-to-mset h + bs-to-mset h'
  using I
  apply (case-tac [!] h, case-tac [!] h')
  apply (auto simp add: meld-correct')
done

theorem bs-deleteMin-correct:
  assumes I: bs-invar h
  assumes NE: h ≠ bs-empty
  shows
    bs-invar (bs-deleteMin h)
    bs-to-mset (bs-deleteMin h) = bs-to-mset h - {#bs-findMin h#}
  using I NE
  apply (case-tac [!] h)
  apply (simp-all add: bs-empty-def)
  apply (case-tac [!] b)
  apply (rename-tac [!] list)

```

```

apply (case-tac [!] list)
apply (simp-all del: elem-invar.simps deleteMin'.simps add: deleteMin-correct')
done

```

end

interpretation *BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc: Bootstrapped* .

2.4 Hiding the Invariant

2.4.1 Datatype

```

typedef (overloaded) ('e, 'a) SkewBinomialHeap =
  { q :: ('e, 'a :: linorder) BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.BsSkewHeap. BsSkewBinomial-
HeapStruc.bs-invar q }
apply (rule-tac x=BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty in exI)
apply (auto)
done

```

```

lemma Rep-SkewBinomialHeap-invar[simp]:
  BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-invar (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap x)
using Rep-SkewBinomialHeap
by (auto)

```

```

lemma [simp]:
  BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-invar q
   $\implies$  Rep-SkewBinomialHeap (Abs-SkewBinomialHeap q) = q
using Abs-SkewBinomialHeap-inverse by auto

```

```

lemma [simp, code abstype]: Abs-SkewBinomialHeap (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q)
  = q
by (rule Rep-SkewBinomialHeap-inverse)

```

```

locale SkewBinomialHeap-loc
begin

```

2.4.2 Operations

```

definition [code]:
  to-mset t
  == BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-to-mset (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap t)

```

```

definition empty where
  empty == Abs-SkewBinomialHeap BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty

```

```

lemma [code abstract, simp]:
  Rep-SkewBinomialHeap empty = BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
by (unfold empty-def) simp

```

```

definition [code]:

```

```

isEmpty q == Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q = BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
lemma empty-rep:
q=empty  $\longleftrightarrow$  Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q = BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
apply (auto simp add: empty-def)
apply (metis Rep-SkewBinomialHeap-inverse)
done

```

```

lemma isEmpty-correct: isEmpty q  $\longleftrightarrow$  q=empty
by (simp add: empty-rep isEmpty-def)

```

definition

```

insert
:: 'e  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::linorder)  $\Rightarrow$  ('e,'a) SkewBinomialHeap
   $\Rightarrow$  ('e,'a) SkewBinomialHeap
where insert e a q ==
  Abs-SkewBinomialHeap (
    BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-insert e a (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q))

```

```

lemma [code abstract]:
Rep-SkewBinomialHeap (insert e a q)
= BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-insert e a (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q)
by (simp add: insert-def BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-insert-correct)

```

```

definition [code]: findMin q
== BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-findMin (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q)

```

```

definition deleteMin q ==
if q=empty then empty
else Abs-SkewBinomialHeap (
  BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-deleteMin (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q))

```

We don't use equality here, to prevent the code-generator from introducing equality-class parameter for type 'a. Instead we use a case-distinction to check for emptiness.

```

lemma [code abstract]: Rep-SkewBinomialHeap (deleteMin q) =
(case (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q) of Inl -  $\Rightarrow$  BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
|
-  $\Rightarrow$  BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-deleteMin (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q))

```

```

proof (cases (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q))
case [simp]: (Inl a)
hence (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q) = BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
apply (cases q)
apply (auto simp add: BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-def)
done
thus ?thesis
apply (auto simp add: deleteMin-def
  BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-deleteMin-correct
  BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-correct empty-rep )
done
next

```

```

case (Inr x)
hence (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q)  $\neq$  BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty
  apply (cases q)
  apply (auto simp add: BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-def)
  done
thus ?thesis
  apply (simp add: Inr)
  apply (fold Inr)
  apply (auto simp add: deleteMin-def
    BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-deleteMin-correct
    BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-correct empty-rep )
  done
qed

```

```

definition meld q1 q2 ==
  Abs-SkewBinomialHeap (BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-meld
    (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q1) (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q2))
lemma [code abstract]:
  Rep-SkewBinomialHeap (meld q1 q2)
  = BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-meld (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q1)
    (Rep-SkewBinomialHeap q2)
  by (simp add: meld-def BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-meld-correct)

```

2.4.3 Correctness

```

lemma empty-correct: to-mset q = {#}  $\longleftrightarrow$  q=empty
  by (simp add: to-mset-def BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-empty-correct empty-rep)

```

```

lemma to-mset-of-empty[simp]: to-mset empty = {#}
  by (simp add: empty-correct)

```

```

lemma insert-correct: to-mset (insert e a q) = to-mset q + {#(e,a)#}
  apply (unfold insert-def to-mset-def)
  apply (simp add: BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-insert-correct union-ac)
  done

```

```

lemma findMin-correct:
  assumes q $\neq$ empty
  shows
    findMin q  $\in$  # to-mset q
     $\forall y \in \text{set-mset } (\text{to-mset } q). \text{snd } (\text{findMin } q) \leq \text{snd } y$ 
  using assms
  apply (unfold findMin-def to-mset-def)
  apply (simp-all add: empty-rep BsSkewBinomialHeapStruc.bs-findMin-correct)
  done

```

```

lemma deleteMin-correct:

```

```

assumes  $q \neq \text{empty}$ 
shows  $\text{to-mset } (\text{deleteMin } q) = \text{to-mset } q - \{\# \text{ findMin } q \# \}$ 
using assms
apply (unfold findMin-def deleteMin-def to-mset-def)
apply (simp-all add: empty-rep BsSkewBinomialHeapStruct.bs-deleteMin-correct)
done

```

```

lemma meld-correct:
shows  $\text{to-mset } (\text{meld } q \ q') = \text{to-mset } q + \text{to-mset } q'$ 
apply (unfold to-mset-def meld-def)
apply (simp-all add: BsSkewBinomialHeapStruct.bs-meld-correct)
done

```

Correctness lemmas to be used with simplifier

```

lemmas correct = empty-correct deleteMin-correct meld-correct

```

end

```

interpretation SkewBinomialHeap: SkewBinomialHeap-loc .

```

2.5 Documentation

SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset

Abstraction to multiset.

SkewBinomialHeap.empty

The empty heap. ($O(1)$)

Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.empty-correct*:

$(\text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } q = \{\#\}) = (q = \text{SkewBinomialHeap.empty})$

SkewBinomialHeap.isEmpty

Checks whether heap is empty. Mainly used to work around code-generation issues. ($O(1)$)

Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.isEmpty-correct*:

$\text{SkewBinomialHeap.isEmpty } q = (q = \text{SkewBinomialHeap.empty})$

SkewBinomialHeap.insert

SkewBinomialHeap.insert

Inserts element ($O(1)$)

Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.insert-correct*:

$\text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } (\text{SkewBinomialHeap.insert } e \ a \ q) = \text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } q + \{\#(e, a)\# \}$

SkewBinomialHeap.findMin

Returns a minimal element ($O(1)$)

Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.findMin-correct*:

$q \neq \text{SkewBinomialHeap.empty} \implies$

$\text{SkewBinomialHeap.findMin } q \in \# \text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } q$

$q \neq \text{SkewBinomialHeap.empty} \implies$

$\forall y \in \# \text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } q. \text{snd } (\text{SkewBinomialHeap.findMin } q) \leq \text{snd } y$

SkewBinomialHeap.deleteMin

SkewBinomialHeap.deleteMin

Deletes *the* element that is returned by *find_min*. $O(\log(n))$

Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.deleteMin-correct*:

$q \neq \text{SkewBinomialHeap.empty} \implies$

$\text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } (\text{SkewBinomialHeap.deleteMin } q) =$

$\text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } q - \{\# \text{SkewBinomialHeap.findMin } q \# \}$

SkewBinomialHeap.meld

SkewBinomialHeap.meld

Melds two heaps ($O(1)$)

Spec *SkewBinomialHeap.meld-correct*:

$\text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } (\text{SkewBinomialHeap.meld } q \ q') =$

$\text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } q + \text{SkewBinomialHeap.to-mset } q'$

end

References

- [1] G. S. Brodal and C. Okasaki. Optimal purely functional priority queues. *Journal of Functional Programming*, 6:839–857, 1996.