

The Factorization Algorithm of Berlekamp and Zassenhaus *

Jose Divasón

Sebastiaan Joosten

René Thiemann

Akihisa Yamada

March 19, 2025

Abstract

We formalize the Berlekamp-Zassenhaus algorithm for factoring square-free integer polynomials in Isabelle/HOL. We further adapt an existing formalization of Yun’s square-free factorization algorithm to integer polynomials, and thus provide an efficient and certified factorization algorithm for arbitrary univariate polynomials.

The algorithm first performs a factorization in the prime field $\text{GF}(p)$ and then performs computations in the integer ring modulo p^k , where both p and k are determined at runtime. Since a natural modeling of these structures via dependent types is not possible in Isabelle/HOL, we formalize the whole algorithm using Isabelle’s recent addition of local type definitions.

Through experiments we verify that our algorithm factors polynomials of degree 100 within seconds.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Finite Rings and Fields	5
2.1	Finite Rings	6
2.2	Nontrivial Finite Rings	7
2.3	Finite Fields	8
3	Arithmetics via Records	11
3.1	Finite Fields	14
3.1.1	Transfer Relation	18
3.1.2	Transfer Rules	19
3.2	Matrix Operations in Fields	33
3.3	Interfacing UFD properties	41

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

3.3.1	Original part	41
3.3.2	Connecting to HOL/Divisibility	42
3.4	Preservation of Irreducibility	44
3.4.1	Back to divisibility	45
3.5	Results for GCDs etc.	46
4	Unique Factorization Domain for Polynomials	50
5	Polynomials in Rings and Fields	55
5.1	Polynomials in Rings	55
5.2	Polynomials in a Finite Field	67
5.3	Transferring to class-based mod-ring	68
5.4	Karatsuba's Multiplication Algorithm for Polynomials	74
5.5	Record Based Version	76
5.5.1	Definitions	76
5.5.2	Properties	80
5.5.3	Over a Finite Field	85
5.6	Chinese Remainder Theorem for Polynomials	88
6	The Berlekamp Algorithm	91
6.1	Auxiliary lemmas	91
6.2	Previous Results	94
6.3	Definitions	97
6.4	Properties	98
7	Distinct Degree Factorization	110
8	A Combined Factorization Algorithm for Polynomials over GF(p)	117
8.1	Type Based Version	117
8.2	Record Based Version	118
9	Hensel Lifting	123
9.1	Properties about Factors	123
9.2	Hensel Lifting in a Type-Based Setting	140
9.3	Result is Unique	147
10	Reconstructing Factors of Integer Polynomials	151
10.1	Square-Free Polynomials over Finite Fields and Integers	151
10.2	Finding a Suitable Prime	152
10.3	Maximal Degree during Reconstruction	155
10.4	Mahler Measure	156
10.5	The Mignotte Bound	165
10.6	Iteration of Subsets of Factors	167
10.7	Reconstruction of Integer Factorization	174

11 The Polynomial Factorization Algorithm	179
11.1 Factoring Square-Free Integer Polynomials	179
11.2 A fast coprimality approximation	181
11.3 Factoring Arbitrary Integer Polynomials	186
11.4 Factoring Rational Polynomials	190
12 External Interface	191

1 Introduction

Modern algorithms to factor integer polynomials – following Berlekamp and Zassenhaus – work via polynomial factorization over prime fields $\text{GF}(p)$ and quotient rings $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ [2, 3]. Algorithm 1 illustrates the basic structure of such an algorithm.¹

Algorithm 1: A modern factorization algorithm

- Input:** Square-free integer polynomial f .
Output: Irreducible factors f_1, \dots, f_n such that $f = f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_n$.
- 4 Choose a suitable prime p depending on f .
 - 5 Factor f in $\text{GF}(p)$: $f \equiv g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_m \pmod{p}$.
 - 6 Determine a suitable bound d on the degree, depending on g_1, \dots, g_m . Choose an exponent k such that every coefficient of a factor of a given multiple of f in \mathbb{Z} with degree at most d can be uniquely represent by a number below p^k .
 - 7 From step 5 compute the unique factorization $f \equiv h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_m \pmod{p^k}$ via the Hensel lifting.
 - 8 Construct a factorization $f = f_1 \cdot \dots \cdot f_n$ over the integers where each f_i corresponds to the product of one or more h_j .
-

In previous work on algebraic numbers [12], we implemented Algorithm 1 in Isabelle/HOL [11] as a function of type $\text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly list}$, where we chose Berlekamp’s algorithm in step 5. However, the algorithm was available only as an oracle, and thus a validity check on the result factorization had to be performed.

In this work we fully formalize the correctness of our implementation.

¹Our algorithm starts with step 4, so that section numbers and step-numbers coincide.

Theorem 1 (Berlekamp-Zassenhaus' Algorithm)

```
assumes square_free (f :: int poly)
  and degree f ≠ 0
  and berlekamp_zassenhaus_factorization f = fs
shows f = prod_list fs
  and ∀fi ∈ set fs. irreducible fi
```

To obtain Theorem 1 we perform the following tasks.

- We introduce two formulations of $\text{GF}(p)$ and $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$. We first define a type to represent these domains, employing ideas from HOL multivariate analysis. This is essential for reusing many type-based algorithms from the Isabelle distribution and the AFP (archive of formal proofs). At some points in our development, the type-based setting is still too restrictive. Hence we also introduce a second formulation which is *locale-based*.
- The prime p in step 4 must be chosen so that f remains square-free in $\text{GF}(p)$. For the termination of the algorithm, we prove that such a prime always exists.
- We explain Berlekamp's algorithm that factors polynomials over prime fields, and formalize its correctness using the type-based representation. Since Isabelle's code generation does not work for the type-based representation of prime fields, we define an implementation of Berlekamp's algorithm which avoids type-based polynomial algorithms and type-based prime fields. The soundness of this implementation is proved via the transfer package [5]: we transform the type-based soundness statement of Berlekamp's algorithm into a statement which speaks solely about integer polynomials. Here, we crucially rely upon local type definitions [9] to eliminate the presence of the type for the prime field $\text{GF}(p)$.
- For step 6 we need to find a bound on the coefficients of the factors of a polynomial. For this purpose, we formalize Mignotte's factor bound. During this formalization task we detected a bug in our previous oracle implementation, which computed improper bounds on the degrees of factors.
- We formalize the Hensel lifting. As for Berlekamp's algorithm, we first formalize basic operations in the type-based setting. Unfortunately, however, this result cannot be extended to the full Hensel lifting. Therefore, we model the Hensel lifting in a locale-based way so that modulo operation is explicitly applied on polynomials.

- For the reconstruction in step 8 we closely follow the description of Knuth [7, page 452]. Here, we use the same representation of polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ as for the Hensel lifting.
- We adapt an existing square-free factorization algorithm from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Z} . In combination with the previous results this leads to a factorization algorithm for arbitrary integer and rational polynomials.

To our knowledge, this is the first formalization of the Berlekamp-Zassenhaus algorithm. For instance, Barthe et al. report that there is no formalization of an efficient factorization algorithm over $\text{GF}(p)$ available in Coq [1, Section 6, note 3 on formalization].

Some key theorems leading to the algorithm have already been formalized in Isabelle or other proof assistants. In ACL2, for instance, polynomials over a field are shown to be a unique factorization domain (UFD) [4]. A more general result, namely that polynomials over UFD are also UFD, was already developed in Isabelle/HOL for implementing algebraic numbers [12] and an independent development by Eberl is now available in the Isabelle distribution.

An Isabelle formalization of Hensel’s lemma is provided by Kobayashi et al. [8], who defined the valuations of polynomials via Cauchy sequences, and used this setup to prove the lemma. Consequently, their result requires a ‘valuation ring’ as precondition in their formalization. While this extra precondition is theoretically met in our setting, we did not attempt to reuse their results, because the type of polynomials in their formalization (from HOL-Algebra) differs from the polynomials in our development (from HOL/Library). Instead, we formalize a direct proof for Hensel’s lemma. Our formalizations are incomparable: On the one hand, Kobayashi et al. did not consider only integer polynomials as we do. On the other hand, we additionally formalize the quadratic Hensel lifting [13], extend the lifting from binary to n -ary factorizations, and prove a uniqueness result, which is required for proving the soundness of Theorem 1.

A Coq formalization of Hensel’s lemma is also available, which is used for certifying integral roots and ‘hardest-to-round computation’ [10]. If one is interested in certifying a factorization, rather than a certified algorithm that performs it, it suffices to test that all the found factors are irreducible. Kirkels [6] formalized a sufficient criterion for this test in Coq: when a polynomial is irreducible modulo some prime, it is also irreducible in \mathbb{Z} . Both formalizations are in Coq, and we did not attempt to reuse them.

2 Finite Rings and Fields

We start by establishing some preliminary results about finite rings and finite fields

2.1 Finite Rings

```

theory Finite-Field
imports
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Primes
  HOL-Number-Theory.Residues
  HOL-Library.Cardinality
  Subresultants.Binary-Exponentiation
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
begin

typedef ('a::finite) mod-ring = {0.. CARD('a)} ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-mod-ring

lemma CARD-mod-ring[simp]: CARD('a mod-ring) = CARD('a::finite)
⟨proof⟩

instance mod-ring :: (finite) finite
⟨proof⟩

instantiation mod-ring :: (finite) equal
begin
lift-definition equal-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ bool is (=) ⟨proof⟩
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation mod-ring :: (finite) comm-ring
begin

lift-definition plus-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring is
λ x y. (x + y) mod int (CARD('a)) ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition uminus-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring is
λ x. if x = 0 then 0 else int (CARD('a)) - x ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition minus-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring is
λ x y. (x - y) mod int (CARD('a)) ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition times-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'a mod-ring is
λ x y. (x * y) mod int (CARD('a)) ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition zero-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring is 0 ⟨proof⟩

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```
lift-definition to-int-mod-ring :: 'a::finite mod-ring ⇒ int is λ x. x ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition of-int-mod-ring :: int ⇒ 'a::finite mod-ring is  
λ x. x mod int (CARD('a)) ⟨proof⟩
```

```
interpretation to-int-mod-ring-hom: inj-zero-hom to-int-mod-ring  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma int-nat-card[simp]: int (nat CARD('a::finite)) = CARD('a) ⟨proof⟩
```

```
interpretation of-int-mod-ring-hom: zero-hom of-int-mod-ring  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma of-int-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring[simp]:  
of-int-mod-ring (to-int-mod-ring x) = x ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma to-int-mod-ring-of-int-mod-ring[simp]: 0 ≤ x ⇒ x < int CARD('a :: finite) ⇒  
to-int-mod-ring (of-int-mod-ring x :: 'a mod-ring) = x  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma range-to-int-mod-ring:  
range (to-int-mod-ring :: ('a :: finite mod-ring ⇒ int)) = {0 ..< CARD('a)}  
⟨proof⟩
```

2.2 Nontrivial Finite Rings

```
class nontriv = assumes nontriv: CARD('a) > 1
```

```
subclass(in nontriv) finite ⟨proof⟩
```

```
instantiation mod-ring :: (nontriv) comm-ring-1  
begin
```

```
lift-definition one-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring is 1 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
interpretation to-int-mod-ring-hom: inj-one-hom to-int-mod-ring  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma of-nat-of-int-mod-ring [code-unfold]:  
of-nat = of-int-mod-ring o int  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma of-nat-card-eq-0[simp]: (of-nat (CARD('a::nontriv)) :: 'a mod-ring) = 0  
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma of-int-of-int-mod-ring[code-unfold]: of-int = of-int-mod-ring
⟨proof⟩

unbundle lifting-syntax

lemma pcr-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring: pcr-mod-ring = (λx y. x = to-int-mod-ring
y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma [transfer-rule]:
((=) ==> pcr-mod-ring) (λ x. int x mod int (CARD('a :: nontriv))) (of-nat :: nat ⇒ 'a mod-ring)
⟨proof⟩

lemma [transfer-rule]:
((=) ==> pcr-mod-ring) (λ x. x mod int (CARD('a :: nontriv))) (of-int :: int ⇒ 'a mod-ring)
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-mod-card [simp]: 1 mod CARD('a::nontriv) = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma Suc-0-mod-card [simp]: Suc 0 mod CARD('a::nontriv) = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-mod-card-int [simp]: 1 mod int CARD('a::nontriv) = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma pow-mod-ring-transfer[transfer-rule]:
(pcr-mod-ring ==> (=) ==> pcr-mod-ring)
(λa:int. λn. a^n mod CARD('a::nontriv)) ((^)::'a mod-ring ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mod-ring)
⟨proof⟩

lemma dvd-mod-ring-transfer[transfer-rule]:
((pcr-mod-ring :: int ⇒ 'a :: nontriv mod-ring ⇒ bool) ==>
 (pcr-mod-ring :: int ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ bool) ==> (=))
(λ i j. ∃ k ∈ {0..<int CARD('a)}. j = i * k mod int CARD('a)) (dvd)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Rep-mod-ring-mod[simp]: Rep-mod-ring (a :: 'a :: nontriv mod-ring) mod
CARD('a) = Rep-mod-ring a
⟨proof⟩

```

2.3 Finite Fields

When the domain is prime, the ring becomes a field

```

class prime-card = assumes prime-card: prime (CARD('a))
begin

```

```

lemma prime-card-int: prime (int (CARD('a))) <proof>

subclass nontriv <proof>
end

instance bool :: prime-card
<proof>

instantiation mod-ring :: (prime-card) field
begin

definition inverse-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring where
  inverse-mod-ring  $x = (\text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } x \wedge (\text{nat (CARD('a))} - 2))$ 

definition divide-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring where
  divide-mod-ring  $x y = x * ((\lambda c. \text{if } c = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } c \wedge (\text{nat (CARD('a))} - 2))) y)$ 

instance
<proof>
end

instantiation mod-ring :: (prime-card) {normalization-euclidean-semiring, euclidean-ring}
begin

definition modulo-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring where
  modulo-mod-ring  $x y = (\text{if } y = 0 \text{ then } x \text{ else } 0)$ 
definition normalize-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring where normalize-mod-ring
   $x = (\text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$ 
definition unit-factor-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring where unit-factor-mod-ring
   $x = x$ 
definition euclidean-size-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  nat where euclidean-size-mod-ring
   $x = (\text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$ 

instance
<proof>
end

instantiation mod-ring :: (prime-card) euclidean-ring-gcd
begin

definition gcd-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring where
  gcd-mod-ring = Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd
definition lcm-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring where
  lcm-mod-ring = Euclidean-Algorithm.lcm
definition Gcd-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring set  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring where Gcd-mod-ring
  = Euclidean-Algorithm.Gcd
definition Lcm-mod-ring :: 'a mod-ring set  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring where Lcm-mod-ring
  = Euclidean-Algorithm.Lcm

```

```

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation mod-ring :: (prime-card) unique-euclidean-ring
begin

definition [simp]: division-segment-mod-ring (x :: 'a mod-ring) = (1 :: 'a mod-ring)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instance mod-ring :: (prime-card) field-gcd
⟨proof⟩

lemma surj-of-nat-mod-ring: ∃ i. i < CARD('a :: prime-card) ∧ (x :: 'a mod-ring)
= of-nat i
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-nat-0-mod-ring-dvd: assumes x: of-nat x = (0 :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring)
shows CARD('a) dvd x
⟨proof⟩

lemma semiring-char-mod-ring [simp]:
CHAR('n :: nontriv mod-ring) = CARD('n)
⟨proof⟩

The following Material was contributed by Manuel Eberl

instance mod-ring :: (prime-card) finite-field
⟨proof⟩

instantiation mod-ring :: (prime-card) enum-finite-field
begin

definition enum-finite-field-mod-ring :: nat ⇒ 'a mod-ring where
enum-finite-field-mod-ring n = of-int-mod-ring (int n)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

typedef (overloaded) 'a :: semiring-1 ring-char = if CHAR('a) = 0 then UNIV
else {0..<CHAR('a)}
⟨proof⟩

lemma CARD-ring-char [simp]: CARD ('a :: semiring-1 ring-char) = CHAR('a)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

instance ring-char :: (semiring-prime-char) nontriv
⟨proof⟩

instance ring-char :: (semiring-prime-char) prime-card
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-int-mod-ring-add:
  to-int-mod-ring (x + y :: 'a :: finite mod-ring) = (to-int-mod-ring x + to-int-mod-ring
y) mod CARD('a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-int-mod-ring-mult:
  to-int-mod-ring (x * y :: 'a :: finite mod-ring) = (to-int-mod-ring x * to-int-mod-ring
y) mod CARD('a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-nat-mod-CHAR [simp]: of-nat (x mod CHAR('a :: semiring-1)) = (of-nat
x :: 'a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-int-mod-CHAR [simp]: of-int (x mod int CHAR('a :: ring-1)) = (of-int
x :: 'a)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 Arithmetics via Records

We create a locale for rings and fields based on a record that includes all the necessary operations.

```

theory Arithmetic-Record-Based
imports
  HOL-Library.More-List
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Euclidean-Algorithm
begin
datatype 'a arith-ops-record = Arith-Ops-Record
  (zero : 'a)
  (one : 'a)
  (plus : 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a)
  (times : 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a)
  (minus : 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a)
  (uminus : 'a ⇒ 'a)
  (divide : 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a)
  (inverse : 'a ⇒ 'a)
  (modulo : 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a)
  (normalize : 'a ⇒ 'a)
  (unit-factor : 'a ⇒ 'a)

```

```

(of-int : int ⇒ 'a)
(to-int : 'a ⇒ int)
(DP : 'a ⇒ bool)

hide-const (open)
zero
one
plus
times
minus
uminus
divide
inverse
modulo
normalize
unit-factor
of-int
to-int
DP

fun listprod-i :: 'i arith-ops-record ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i where
  listprod-i ops (x # xs) = arith-ops-record.times ops x (listprod-i ops xs)
  | listprod-i ops [] = arith-ops-record.one ops

locale arith-ops = fixes ops :: 'i arith-ops-record (structure)
begin

  abbreviation (input) zero where zero ≡ arith-ops-record.zero ops
  abbreviation (input) one where one ≡ arith-ops-record.one ops
  abbreviation (input) plus where plus ≡ arith-ops-record.plus ops
  abbreviation (input) times where times ≡ arith-ops-record.times ops
  abbreviation (input) minus where minus ≡ arith-ops-record.minus ops
  abbreviation (input) uminus where uminus ≡ arith-ops-record.uminus ops
  abbreviation (input) divide where divide ≡ arith-ops-record.divide ops
  abbreviation (input) inverse where inverse ≡ arith-ops-record.inverse ops
  abbreviation (input) modulo where modulo ≡ arith-ops-record.modulo ops
  abbreviation (input) normalize where normalize ≡ arith-ops-record.normalize
    ops
  abbreviation (input) unit-factor where unit-factor ≡ arith-ops-record.unit-factor
    ops
  abbreviation (input) DP where DP ≡ arith-ops-record.DP ops

partial-function (tailrec) gcd-eucl-i :: 'i ⇒ 'i ⇒ 'i where
  gcd-eucl-i a b = (if b = zero
    then normalize a else gcd-eucl-i b (modulo a b))

partial-function (tailrec) euclid-ext-aux-i :: 'i ⇒ 'i ⇒ 'i ⇒ 'i ⇒ 'i ⇒ ('i
  × 'i) × 'i where

```

```

euclid-ext-aux-i s' s t' t r' r = (
  if r = zero then let c = divide one (unit-factor r') in ((times s' c, times t' c),
  normalize r')
  else let q = divide r' r
    in euclid-ext-aux-i s (minus s' (times q s)) t (minus t' (times q t)) r
  (modulo r' r))

abbreviation (input) euclid-ext-i :: 'i ⇒ 'i ⇒ ('i × 'i) × 'i where
  euclid-ext-i ≡ euclid-ext-aux-i one zero zero one

end

declare arith-ops.gcd-eucl-i.simps[code]
declare arith-ops.euclid-ext-aux-i.simps[code]

unbundle lifting-syntax

locale ring-ops = arith-ops ops for ops :: 'i arith-ops-record +
  fixes R :: 'i ⇒ 'a :: comm-ring-1 ⇒ bool
  assumes bi-unique[transfer-rule]: bi-unique R
  and right-total[transfer-rule]: right-total R
  and zero[transfer-rule]: R zero 0
  and one[transfer-rule]: R one 1
  and plus[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R ==> R) plus (+)
  and minus[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R ==> R) minus (-)
  and uminus[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R) uminus Groups.uminus
  and times[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R ==> R) times (*)
  and eq[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R ==> (=)) (=) (=)
  and DPR[transfer-domain-rule]: Domainp R = DP
begin
lemma left-right-unique[transfer-rule]: left-unique R right-unique R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma listprod-i[transfer-rule]: (list-all2 R ==> R) (listprod-i ops) prod-list
  ⟨proof⟩
end

locale idom-ops = ring-ops ops R for ops :: 'i arith-ops-record and
  R :: 'i ⇒ 'a :: idom ⇒ bool

locale idom-divide-ops = idom-ops ops R for ops :: 'i arith-ops-record and
  R :: 'i ⇒ 'a :: idom-divide ⇒ bool +
  assumes divide[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R ==> R) divide Rings.divide

locale euclidean-semiring-ops = idom-ops ops R for ops :: 'i arith-ops-record and
  R :: 'i ⇒ 'a :: {idom,normalization-euclidean-semiring} ⇒ bool +
  assumes modulo[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R ==> R) modulo (mod)
  and normalize[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R) normalize Rings.normalize
  and unit-factor[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R) unit-factor Rings.unit-factor

```

```

begin
lemma gcd-eucl-i [transfer-rule]: ( $R \implies R \implies R$ ) gcd-eucl-i Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd
  ⟨proof⟩
end

locale euclidean-ring-ops = euclidean-semiring-ops ops R for ops :: 'i arith-ops-record
and
  R :: 'i  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {idom,euclidean-ring-gcd}  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
  assumes divide[transfer-rule]: ( $R \implies R \implies R$ ) divide (div)
begin
lemma euclid-ext-aux-i[transfer-rule]:
  ( $R \implies R \implies R \implies R \implies R \implies rel\text{-prod}$  (rel-prod
  R R) R) euclid-ext-aux-i euclid-ext-aux
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma euclid-ext-i [transfer-rule]:
  ( $R \implies R \implies rel\text{-prod}$  (rel-prod R R) R) euclid-ext-i euclid-ext
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale field-ops = idom-divide-ops ops R + euclidean-semiring-ops ops R for ops
:: 'i arith-ops-record and
  R :: 'i  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {field-gcd}  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
  assumes inverse[transfer-rule]: ( $R \implies R$ ) inverse Fields.inverse

lemma nth-default-rel[transfer-rule]: ( $S \implies list\text{-all2}$  S  $\implies (=) \implies S$ )
nth-default nth-default
⟨proof⟩

lemma strip-while-rel[transfer-rule]:
  (( $A \implies (=)$ )  $\implies list\text{-all2}$  A  $\implies list\text{-all2}$  A) strip-while strip-while
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-all2-last[simp]: list-all2 A (xs @ [x]) (ys @ [y])  $\longleftrightarrow$  list-all2 A xs ys  $\wedge$ 
A x y
⟨proof⟩

end

```

3.1 Finite Fields

We provide four implementations for $GF(p)$ – the field with p elements for some prime p – one by int, one by integers, one by 32-bit numbers and one 64-bit implementation. Correctness of the implementations is proven by transfer rules to the type-based version of $GF(p)$.

```

theory Finite-Field-Record-Based
imports
  Finite-Field
  Arithmetic-Record-Based
  Native-Word.Uint32
  Native-Word.Uint64
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral
  Native-Word.Code-Target-Int-Bit
begin

definition mod-nonneg-pos :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ integer where
   $x \geq 0 \implies y > 0 \implies \text{mod-nonneg-pos } x y = (x \bmod y)$ 

code-printing — FIXME illusion of partiality
constant mod-nonneg-pos —
  (SML) IntInf.mod/ ( -,/ - )
  and (Eval) IntInf.mod/ ( -,/ - )
  and (OCaml) Z.rem
  and (Haskell) Prelude.mod/ ( -)/ ( - )
  and (Scala) !((k: BigInt) => (l: BigInt) =>/ (k % l))

definition mod-nonneg-pos-int :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  mod-nonneg-pos-int x y = int-of-integer (mod-nonneg-pos (integer-of-int x)) (integer-of-int y)

lemma mod-nonneg-pos-int[simp]:  $x \geq 0 \implies y > 0 \implies \text{mod-nonneg-pos-int } x y = (x \bmod y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes p :: int
begin
definition plus-p :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  plus-p x y ≡ let z = x + y in if  $z \geq p$  then  $z - p$  else z

definition minus-p :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  minus-p x y ≡ if  $y \leq x$  then  $x - y$  else  $x + p - y$ 

definition uminus-p :: int ⇒ int where
  uminus-p x = (if  $x = 0$  then 0 else  $p - x$ )

definition mult-p :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  mult-p x y = (mod-nonneg-pos-int (x * y) p)

fun power-p :: int ⇒ nat ⇒ int where
  power-p x n = (if  $n = 0$  then 1 else
    let (d,r) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2;
    rec = power-p (mult-p x x) d in
      rec)

```

if $r = 0$ then rec else $\text{mult-}p\ \text{rec}\ x$)

In experiments with Berlekamp-factorization (where the prime p is usually small), it turned out that taking the below implementation of inverse via exponentiation is faster than the one based on the extended Euclidean algorithm.

```
definition inverse-p :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int where
  inverse-p x = (if x = 0 then 0 else power-p x (nat (p - 2)))
```

```
definition divide-p :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  int where
  divide-p x y = mult-p x (inverse-p y)
```

```
definition finite-field-ops-int :: int arith-ops-record where
  finite-field-ops-int  $\equiv$  Arith-Ops-Record
```

```

    0
    1
    plus-p
    mult-p
    minus-p
    uminus-p
    divide-p
    inverse-p
    ( $\lambda x y . \text{if } y = 0 \text{ then } x \text{ else } 0$ )
    ( $\lambda x . \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1$ )
    ( $\lambda x . x$ )
    ( $\lambda x . 0 \leq x \wedge x < p$ )
```

end

context

fixes *p* :: *uint32*

begin

```
definition plus-p32 :: uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32 where
  plus-p32 x y  $\equiv$  let z = x + y in if z  $\geq$  p then z - p else z
```

```
definition minus-p32 :: uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32 where
  minus-p32 x y  $\equiv$  if y  $\leq$  x then x - y else (x + p) - y
```

```
definition uminus-p32 :: uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32 where
  uminus-p32 x = (if x = 0 then 0 else p - x)
```

```
definition mult-p32 :: uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32 where
  mult-p32 x y = (x * y mod p)
```

```
lemma int-of-uint32-shift: int-of-uint32 (drop-bit k n) = (int-of-uint32 n) div (2  $\wedge$  k)
  {proof}
```

```

lemma int-of-uint32-0-iff: int-of-uint32 n = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  n = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint32-0: int-of-uint32 0 = 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint32-ge-0: int-of-uint32 n  $\geq$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-32: 2  $\wedge$  LENGTH(32) = (4294967296 :: int) ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint32-plus: int-of-uint32 (x + y) = (int-of-uint32 x + int-of-uint32
y) mod 4294967296
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint32-minus: int-of-uint32 (x - y) = (int-of-uint32 x - int-of-uint32
y) mod 4294967296
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint32-mult: int-of-uint32 (x * y) = (int-of-uint32 x * int-of-uint32
y) mod 4294967296
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint32-mod: int-of-uint32 (x mod y) = (int-of-uint32 x mod int-of-uint32
y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint32-inv: 0  $\leq$  x  $\implies$  x < 4294967296  $\implies$  int-of-uint32 (uint32-of-int
x) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  includes bit-operations-syntax
begin

function power-p32 :: uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32  $\Rightarrow$  uint32 where
  power-p32 x n = (if n = 0 then 1 else
    let rec = power-p32 (mult-p32 x x) (drop-bit 1 n) in
      if n AND 1 = 0 then rec else mult-p32 rec x)
  ⟨proof⟩

termination
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

In experiments with Berlekamp-factorization (where the prime p is usually small), it turned out that taking the below implementation of inverse via exponentiation is faster than the one based on the extended Euclidean

algorithm.

```

definition inverse-p32 :: uint32 ⇒ uint32 where
  inverse-p32 x = (if x = 0 then 0 else power-p32 x (p - 2))

definition divide-p32 :: uint32 ⇒ uint32 ⇒ uint32 where
  divide-p32 x y = mult-p32 x (inverse-p32 y)

definition finite-field-ops32 :: uint32 arith-ops-record where
  finite-field-ops32 ≡ Arith-Ops-Record
    0
    1
    plus-p32
    mult-p32
    minus-p32
    uminus-p32
    divide-p32
    inverse-p32
    (λ x y . if y = 0 then x else 0)
    (λ x . if x = 0 then 0 else 1)
    (λ x . x)
    uint32-of-int
    int-of-uint32
    (λ x. 0 ≤ x ∧ x < p)
end

lemma shiftr-uint32-code [code-unfold]: drop-bit 1 x = (uint32-shiftr x 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.1.1 Transfer Relation

```

locale mod-ring-locale =
  fixes p :: int and ty :: 'a :: nontriv itself
  assumes p: p = int CARD('a)
begin
lemma nat-p: nat p = CARD('a) ⟨proof⟩
lemma p2: p ≥ 2 ⟨proof⟩
lemma p2-ident: int (CARD('a) - 2) = p - 2 ⟨proof⟩

definition mod-ring-rel :: int ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ bool where
  mod-ring-rel x x' = (x = to-int-mod-ring x')

```

```

lemma Domainp-mod-ring-rel [transfer-domain-rule]:
  Domainp (mod-ring-rel) = (λ v. v ∈ {0 ..< p})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-unique-mod-ring-rel [transfer-rule]:
  bi-unique mod-ring-rel left-unique mod-ring-rel right-unique mod-ring-rel

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-total-mod-ring-rel* [*transfer-rule*]: *right-total mod-ring-rel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.1.2 Transfer Rules

lemma *mod-ring-0* [*transfer-rule*]: *mod-ring-rel 0 0* $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *mod-ring-1* [*transfer-rule*]: *mod-ring-rel 1 1* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *plus-p-mod-def*: **assumes** *x*: $x \in \{0 .. < p\}$ **and** *y*: $y \in \{0 .. < p\}$
shows *plus-p p x y* = $((x + y) \text{ mod } p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-ring-plus* [*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ==> *mod-ring-rel* ==> *mod-ring-rel*)
(*plus-p p*) (+)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minus-p-mod-def*: **assumes** *x*: $x \in \{0 .. < p\}$ **and** *y*: $y \in \{0 .. < p\}$
shows *minus-p p x y* = $((x - y) \text{ mod } p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-ring-minus* [*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ==> *mod-ring-rel* ==>
mod-ring-rel) (*minus-p p*) (-)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-ring-uminus* [*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ==> *mod-ring-rel*) (*uminus-p p*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-ring-mult* [*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ==> *mod-ring-rel* ==>
mod-ring-rel) (*mult-p p*) ((*))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-ring-eq* [*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ==> *mod-ring-rel* ==> (=))
 (=) (=)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-ring-power* [*transfer-rule*]: (*mod-ring-rel* ==> (=) ==> *mod-ring-rel*)
(*power-p p*) (^)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

declare power-p.simps[simp del]

lemma ring-finite-field-ops-int: ring-ops (finite-field-ops-int p) mod-ring-rel
  ⟨proof⟩
end

locale prime-field = mod-ring-locale p ty for p and ty :: 'a :: prime-card itself
begin

lemma prime: prime p ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-mod[transfer-rule]:
  (mod-ring-rel ==> mod-ring-rel ==> mod-ring-rel) ((λ x y. if y = 0 then x
  else 0)) (mod)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-normalize[transfer-rule]: (mod-ring-rel ==> mod-ring-rel) ((λ
x. if x = 0 then 0 else 1)) normalize
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-unit-factor[transfer-rule]: (mod-ring-rel ==> mod-ring-rel) (λ
x. x) unit-factor
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-inverse[transfer-rule]: (mod-ring-rel ==> mod-ring-rel) (inverse-p
p) inverse
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-divide[transfer-rule]: (mod-ring-rel ==> mod-ring-rel ==>
mod-ring-rel)
  (divide-p p) (/)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-rel-unsafe: assumes x < CARD('a)
  shows mod-ring-rel (int x) (of-nat x) 0 < x ==> of-nat x ≠ (0 :: 'a mod-ring)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-field-ops-int: field-ops (finite-field-ops-int p) mod-ring-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

Once we have proven the soundness of the implementation, we do not care any longer that '*a mod-ring*' has been defined internally via lifting. Disabling the transfer-rules will hide the internal definition in further applica-

cations of transfer.

lifting-forget mod-ring.lifting

For soundness of the 32-bit implementation, we mainly prove that this implementation implements the int-based implementation of the mod-ring.

```
context mod-ring-locale
begin
```

```
context fixes pp :: uint32
assumes ppp: p = int-of-uint32 pp
and small: p ≤ 65535
begin
```

```
lemmas uint32-simps =
  int-of-uint32-0
  int-of-uint32-plus
  int-of-uint32-minus
  int-of-uint32-mult
```

```
definition urel32 :: uint32 ⇒ int ⇒ bool where urel32 x y = (y = int-of-uint32
x ∧ y < p)
```

```
definition mod-ring-rel32 :: uint32 ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ bool where
mod-ring-rel32 x y = (exists z. urel32 x z ∧ mod-ring-rel z y)
```

```
lemma urel32-0: urel32 0 0 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel32-1: urel32 1 1 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma le-int-of-uint32: (x ≤ y) = (int-of-uint32 x ≤ int-of-uint32 y)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel32-plus: assumes urel32 x y urel32 x' y'
shows urel32 (plus-p32 pp x x') (plus-p p y y')
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel32-minus: assumes urel32 x y urel32 x' y'
shows urel32 (minus-p32 pp x x') (minus-p p y y')
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel32-uminus: assumes urel32 x y
shows urel32 (uminus-p32 pp x) (uminus-p p y)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel32-mult: assumes urel32 x y urel32 x' y'
shows urel32 (mult-p32 pp x x') (mult-p p y y')
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma urel32-eq: assumes urel32 x y urel32 x' y'
  shows (x = x') = (y = y')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel32-normalize:
  assumes x: urel32 x y
  shows urel32 (if x = 0 then 0 else 1) (if y = 0 then 0 else 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel32-mod:
  assumes x: urel32 x x' and y: urel32 y y'
  shows urel32 (if y = 0 then x else 0) (if y' = 0 then x' else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel32-power: urel32 x x' ==> urel32 y (int y') ==> urel32 (power-p32 pp
x y) (power-p p x' y')
including bit-operations-syntax ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel32-inverse: assumes x: urel32 x x'
  shows urel32 (inverse-p32 pp x) (inverse-p p x')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-0-32: mod-ring-rel32 0 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-1-32: mod-ring-rel32 1 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-uminus32: (mod-ring-rel32 ==> mod-ring-rel32) (uminus-p32
pp) uminus
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-plus32: (mod-ring-rel32 ==> mod-ring-rel32 ==> mod-ring-rel32)
(plus-p32 pp) (+)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-minus32: (mod-ring-rel32 ==> mod-ring-rel32 ==> mod-ring-rel32)
(minus-p32 pp) (-)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-mult32: (mod-ring-rel32 ==> mod-ring-rel32 ==> mod-ring-rel32)
(mult-p32 pp) ((*))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-eq32: (mod-ring-rel32 ==> mod-ring-rel32 ==> (=)) (=)
(=)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma urel32-inj: urel32 x y ==> urel32 x z ==> y = z
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel32-inj': urel32 x z ==> urel32 y z ==> x = y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-unique-mod-ring-rel32:
  bi-unique mod-ring-rel32 left-unique mod-ring-rel32 right-unique mod-ring-rel32
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma right-total-mod-ring-rel32: right-total mod-ring-rel32
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Domainip-mod-ring-rel32: Domainip mod-ring-rel32 = (λx. 0 ≤ x ∧ x <
pp)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ring-finite-field-ops32: ring-ops (finite-field-ops32 pp) mod-ring-rel32
  ⟨proof⟩
end
end

context prime-field
begin
context fixes pp :: uint32
  assumes *: p = int-of-uint32 pp p ≤ 65535
begin

lemma mod-ring-normalize32: (mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32) (λx. if x
= 0 then 0 else 1) normalize
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-mod32: (mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32)
(λx y. if y = 0 then x else 0) (mod)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-unit-factor32: (mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32) (λx. x)
unit-factor
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-inverse32: (mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32) (inverse-p32
pp) inverse
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-divide32: (mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32 ===> mod-ring-rel32)
(divide-p32 pp) (/)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-field-ops32: field-ops (finite-field-ops32 pp) mod-ring-rel32

```

```

⟨proof⟩

end
end

context
  fixes p :: uint64
begin
  definition plus-p64 :: uint64 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64 where
    plus-p64 x y ≡ let z = x + y in if z ≥ p then z - p else z

  definition minus-p64 :: uint64 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64 where
    minus-p64 x y ≡ if y ≤ x then x - y else (x + p) - y

  definition uminus-p64 :: uint64 ⇒ uint64 where
    uminus-p64 x = (if x = 0 then 0 else p - x)

  definition mult-p64 :: uint64 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64 where
    mult-p64 x y = (x * y mod p)

lemma int-of-uint64-shift: int-of-uint64 (drop-bit k n) = (int-of-uint64 n) div (2
  ^ k)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint64-0-iff: int-of-uint64 n = 0 ↔ n = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint64-0: int-of-uint64 0 = 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint64-ge-0: int-of-uint64 n ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-64: 2 ^ LENGTH(64) = (18446744073709551616 :: int) ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint64-plus: int-of-uint64 (x + y) = (int-of-uint64 x + int-of-uint64
y) mod 18446744073709551616
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint64-minus: int-of-uint64 (x - y) = (int-of-uint64 x - int-of-uint64
y) mod 18446744073709551616
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint64-mult: int-of-uint64 (x * y) = (int-of-uint64 x * int-of-uint64
y) mod 18446744073709551616
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint64-mod: int-of-uint64 (x mod y) = (int-of-uint64 x mod int-of-uint64
y)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma int-of-uint64-inv:  $0 \leq x \Rightarrow x < 18446744073709551616 \Rightarrow \text{int-of-uint64}$ 
  ( $\text{uint64-of-int } x$ ) =  $x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  includes bit-operations-syntax
begin

function power-p64 :: uint64 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64 where
  power-p64  $x\ n$  = (if  $n = 0$  then 1 else
    let rec = power-p64 (mult-p64  $x\ x$ ) (drop-bit 1  $n$ ) in
      if  $n\ AND\ 1 = 0$  then rec else mult-p64 rec  $x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

termination
  ⟨proof⟩

end

In experiments with Berlekamp-factorization (where the prime  $p$  is usually small), it turned out that taking the below implementation of inverse via exponentiation is faster than the one based on the extended Euclidean algorithm.

definition inverse-p64 :: uint64 ⇒ uint64 where
  inverse-p64  $x$  = (if  $x = 0$  then 0 else power-p64  $x\ (p - 2)$ )

definition divide-p64 :: uint64 ⇒ uint64 ⇒ uint64 where
  divide-p64  $x\ y$  = mult-p64  $x\ (\text{inverse-p64 } y)$ 

definition finite-field-ops64 :: uint64 arith-ops-record where
  finite-field-ops64 ≡ Arith-Ops-Record
    0
    1
    plus-p64
    mult-p64
    minus-p64
    uminus-p64
    divide-p64
    inverse-p64
     $(\lambda\ x\ y\ .\ \text{if } y = 0\ \text{then } x\ \text{else } 0)$ 
     $(\lambda\ x\ .\ \text{if } x = 0\ \text{then } 0\ \text{else } 1)$ 
     $(\lambda\ x\ .\ x)$ 
    uint64-of-int
    int-of-uint64
     $(\lambda\ x\ .\ 0 \leq x \wedge x < p)$ 
end

```

```
lemma shifttr-uint64-code [code-unfold]: drop-bit 1 x = (uint64-shifttr x 1)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

For soundness of the 64-bit implementation, we mainly prove that this implementation implements the int-based implementation of GF(p).

```
context mod-ring-locale
begin
```

```
context fixes pp :: uint64
  assumes ppp: p = int-of-uint64 pp
  and small: p ≤ 4294967295
begin
```

```
lemmas uint64-simps =
  int-of-uint64-0
  int-of-uint64-plus
  int-of-uint64-minus
  int-of-uint64-mult
```

```
definition urel64 :: uint64 ⇒ int ⇒ bool where urel64 x y = (y = int-of-uint64
x ∧ y < p)
```

```
definition mod-ring-rel64 :: uint64 ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ bool where
mod-ring-rel64 x y = (exists z. urel64 x z ∧ mod-ring-rel z y)
```

```
lemma urel64-0: urel64 0 0 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel64-1: urel64 1 1 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma le-int-of-uint64: (x ≤ y) = (int-of-uint64 x ≤ int-of-uint64 y)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel64-plus: assumes urel64 x y urel64 x' y'
  shows urel64 (plus-p64 pp x x') (plus-p p y y')
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel64-minus: assumes urel64 x y urel64 x' y'
  shows urel64 (minus-p64 pp x x') (minus-p p y y')
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel64-uminus: assumes urel64 x y
  shows urel64 (uminus-p64 pp x) (uminus-p p y)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel64-mult: assumes urel64 x y urel64 x' y'
  shows urel64 (mult-p64 pp x x') (mult-p p y y')
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma urel64-eq: assumes urel64 x y urel64 x' y'
  shows (x = x') = (y = y')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel64-normalize:
  assumes x: urel64 x y
  shows urel64 (if x = 0 then 0 else 1) (if y = 0 then 0 else 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel64-mod:
  assumes x: urel64 x x' and y: urel64 y y'
  shows urel64 (if y = 0 then x else 0) (if y' = 0 then x' else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel64-power: urel64 x x' ==> urel64 y (int y') ==> urel64 (power-p64 pp
x y) (power-p p x' y')
including bit-operations-syntax ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel64-inverse: assumes x: urel64 x x'
  shows urel64 (inverse-p64 pp x) (inverse-p p x')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-0-64: mod-ring-rel64 0 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-1-64: mod-ring-rel64 1 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-uminus64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64) (uminus-p64
pp) uminus
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-plus64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64)
(plus-p64 pp) (+)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-minus64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64)
(minus-p64 pp) (-)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-mult64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64)
(mult-p64 pp) ((*))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-eq64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64 ==> (=)) (=)
(=)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma urel64-inj: urel64 x y ==> urel64 x z ==> y = z
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel64-inj': urel64 x z ==> urel64 y z ==> x = y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-unique-mod-ring-rel64:
  bi-unique mod-ring-rel64 left-unique mod-ring-rel64 right-unique mod-ring-rel64
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma right-total-mod-ring-rel64: right-total mod-ring-rel64
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Domainp-mod-ring-rel64: Domainp mod-ring-rel64 = (λx. 0 ≤ x ∧ x <
pp)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ring-finite-field-ops64: ring-ops (finite-field-ops64 pp) mod-ring-rel64
  ⟨proof⟩
end
end

context prime-field
begin
context fixes pp :: uint64
  assumes *: p = int-of-uint64 pp p ≤ 4294967295
begin

lemma mod-ring-normalize64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64) (λx. if x
= 0 then 0 else 1) normalize
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-mod64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64)
(λx y. if y = 0 then x else 0) (mod)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-unit-factor64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64) (λx. x)
unit-factor
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-inverse64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64) (inverse-p64
pp) inverse
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-divide64: (mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64 ==> mod-ring-rel64)
(divide-p64 pp) (/)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-field-ops64: field-ops (finite-field-ops64 pp) mod-ring-rel64

```

```

⟨proof⟩
end
end

context
  fixes p :: integer
begin
  definition plus-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ integer where
    plus-p-integer x y ≡ let z = x + y in if z ≥ p then z - p else z

  definition minus-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ integer where
    minus-p-integer x y ≡ if y ≤ x then x - y else (x + p) - y

  definition uminus-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer where
    uminus-p-integer x = (if x = 0 then 0 else p - x)

  definition mult-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ integer where
    mult-p-integer x y = (x * y mod p)

context
  includes bit-operations-syntax
begin

  function power-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ integer where
    power-p-integer x n = (if n ≤ 0 then 1 else
      let rec = power-p-integer (mult-p-integer x x) (drop-bit 1 n) in
      if n AND 1 = 0 then rec else mult-p-integer rec x)
    ⟨proof⟩

  termination
  ⟨proof⟩
    include integer.lifting
    ⟨proof⟩

end

```

In experiments with Berlekamp-factorization (where the prime p is usually small), it turned out that taking the below implementation of inverse via exponentiation is faster than the one based on the extended Euclidean algorithm.

```

definition inverse-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer where
  inverse-p-integer x = (if x = 0 then 0 else power-p-integer x (p - 2))

definition divide-p-integer :: integer ⇒ integer ⇒ integer where
  divide-p-integer x y = mult-p-integer x (inverse-p-integer y)

definition finite-field-ops-integer :: integer arith-ops-record where

```

```

finite-field-ops-integer ≡ Arith-Ops-Record
  0
  1
  plus-p-integer
  mult-p-integer
  minus-p-integer
  uminus-p-integer
  divide-p-integer
  inverse-p-integer
  ( $\lambda x y . \text{if } y = 0 \text{ then } x \text{ else } 0$ )
  ( $\lambda x . \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1$ )
  ( $\lambda x . x$ )
  integer-of-int
  int-of-integer
  ( $\lambda x . 0 \leq x \wedge x < p$ )
end

```

For soundness of the integer implementation, we mainly prove that this implementation implements the int-based implementation of GF(p).

```
context mod-ring-locale
begin
```

```
context fixes pp :: integer
assumes ppp:  $p = \text{int-of-integer} pp$ 
begin
```

```
lemma integer-simps:
  ⟨int-of-integer 0 = 0⟩
  ⟨int-of-integer (x + y) = int-of-integer x + int-of-integer y⟩
  ⟨int-of-integer (x - y) = int-of-integer x - int-of-integer y⟩
  ⟨int-of-integer (x * y) = int-of-integer x * int-of-integer y⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition urel-integer :: integer  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  bool where urel-integer x y = (y = int-of-integer x  $\wedge$  y  $\geq$  0  $\wedge$  y < p)
```

```
definition mod-ring-rel-integer :: integer  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  mod-ring-rel-integer x y = ( $\exists z$ . urel-integer x z  $\wedge$  mod-ring-rel z y)
```

```
lemma urel-integer-0: urel-integer 0 0 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel-integer-1: urel-integer 1 1 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma le-int-of-integer: ( $x \leq y$ ) = (int-of-integer x  $\leq$  int-of-integer y)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma urel-integer-plus: assumes urel-integer x y urel-integer x' y'
  shows urel-integer (plus-p-integer pp x x') (plus-p p y y')
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma urel-integer-minus: assumes urel-integer x y urel-integer x' y'
shows urel-integer (minus-p-integer pp x x') (minus-p p y y')
⟨proof⟩

lemma urel-integer-uminus: assumes urel-integer x y
shows urel-integer (uminus-p-integer pp x) (uminus-p p y)
⟨proof⟩
include integer.lifting
⟨proof⟩

lemma pp-pos: int-of-integer pp > 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma urel-integer-mult: assumes urel-integer x y urel-integer x' y'
shows urel-integer (mult-p-integer pp x x') (mult-p p y y')
⟨proof⟩

lemma urel-integer-eq: assumes urel-integer x y urel-integer x' y'
shows (x = x') = (y = y')
⟨proof⟩

lemma urel-integer-normalize:
assumes x: urel-integer x y
shows urel-integer (if x = 0 then 0 else 1) (if y = 0 then 0 else 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma urel-integer-mod:
assumes x: urel-integer x x' and y: urel-integer y y'
shows urel-integer (if y = 0 then x else 0) (if y' = 0 then x' else 0)
⟨proof⟩

lemma urel-integer-power: urel-integer x x' ==> urel-integer y (int y') ==> urel-integer
(power-p-integer pp x y) (power-p p x' y')
including bit-operations-syntax ⟨proof⟩

lemma urel-integer-inverse: assumes x: urel-integer x x'
shows urel-integer (inverse-p-integer pp x) (inverse-p p x')
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-0--integer: mod-ring-rel-integer 0 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-1--integer: mod-ring-rel-integer 1 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-uminus-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ==> mod-ring-rel-integer)
(uminus-p-integer pp) uminus

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-plus-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer
===> mod-ring-rel-integer) (plus-p-integer pp) (+)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-minus-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer
===> mod-ring-rel-integer) (minus-p-integer pp) (-)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-mult-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer
===> mod-ring-rel-integer) (mult-p-integer pp) ((*))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-eq-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer ===>
(=)) (=) (=)
⟨proof⟩

lemma urel-integer-inj: urel-integer x y ==> urel-integer x z ==> y = z
⟨proof⟩

lemma urel-integer-inj': urel-integer x z ==> urel-integer y z ==> x = y
⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-unique-mod-ring-rel-integer:
bi-unique mod-ring-rel-integer left-unique mod-ring-rel-integer right-unique mod-ring-rel-integer
⟨proof⟩

lemma right-total-mod-ring-rel-integer: right-total mod-ring-rel-integer
⟨proof⟩

lemma Domainp-mod-ring-rel-integer: Domainp mod-ring-rel-integer = ( $\lambda x. 0 \leq x \wedge x < pp$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma ring-finite-field-ops-integer: ring-ops (finite-field-ops-integer pp) mod-ring-rel-integer
⟨proof⟩
end
end

context prime-field
begin
context fixes pp :: integer
assumes *: p = int-of-integer pp
begin

lemma mod-ring-normalize-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ===> mod-ring-rel-integer)
( $\lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1$ ) normalize
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mod-ring-mod-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ==> mod-ring-rel-integer
==> mod-ring-rel-integer) ( $\lambda x. y$ . if  $y = 0$  then  $x$  else 0) (mod)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-unit-factor-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ==> mod-ring-rel-integer)
  ( $\lambda x. x$ ) unit-factor
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-inverse-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ==> mod-ring-rel-integer)
  (inverse-p-integer pp) inverse
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-divide-integer: (mod-ring-rel-integer ==> mod-ring-rel-integer
==> mod-ring-rel-integer) (divide-p-integer pp) (/)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-field-ops-integer: field-ops (finite-field-ops-integer pp) mod-ring-rel-integer
  ⟨proof⟩
end
end

context prime-field
begin

thm
  finite-field-ops64
  finite-field-ops32
  finite-field-ops-integer
  finite-field-ops-int
end

context mod-ring-locale
begin

thm
  ring-finite-field-ops64
  ring-finite-field-ops32
  ring-finite-field-ops-integer
  ring-finite-field-ops-int
end

end

```

3.2 Matrix Operations in Fields

We use our record based description of a field to perform matrix operations.

```

theory Matrix-Record-Based
imports

```

Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-Elimination
Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-IArray-Impl
Arithmetic-Record-Based
begin

definition *mat-rel* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mat} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ mat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{mat-rel } R \ A \ B \equiv \text{dim-row } A = \text{dim-row } B \wedge \text{dim-col } A = \text{dim-col } B \wedge$
 $(\forall i \ j. \ i < \text{dim-row } B \rightarrow j < \text{dim-col } B \rightarrow R (A \$\$ (i,j)) (B \$\$ (i,j)))$

lemma *right-total-mat-rel*: $\text{right-total } R \implies \text{right-total} (\text{mat-rel } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *left-unique-mat-rel*: $\text{left-unique } R \implies \text{left-unique} (\text{mat-rel } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-unique-mat-rel*: $\text{right-unique } R \implies \text{right-unique} (\text{mat-rel } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bi-unique-mat-rel*: $\text{bi-unique } R \implies \text{bi-unique} (\text{mat-rel } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mat-rel-eq*: $((R ==> R ==> (=))) (=) (=) \implies$
 $((\text{mat-rel } R ==> \text{mat-rel } R ==> (=))) (=) (=)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *vec-rel* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ vec} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ vec} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{vec-rel } R \ A \ B \equiv \text{dim-vec } A = \text{dim-vec } B \wedge (\forall i. \ i < \text{dim-vec } B \rightarrow R (A \$ i) (B \$ i))$

lemma *right-total-vec-rel*: $\text{right-total } R \implies \text{right-total} (\text{vec-rel } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *left-unique-vec-rel*: $\text{left-unique } R \implies \text{left-unique} (\text{vec-rel } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-unique-vec-rel*: $\text{right-unique } R \implies \text{right-unique} (\text{vec-rel } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bi-unique-vec-rel*: $\text{bi-unique } R \implies \text{bi-unique} (\text{vec-rel } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vec-rel-eq*: $((R ==> R ==> (=))) (=) (=) \implies$
 $((\text{vec-rel } R ==> \text{vec-rel } R ==> (=))) (=) (=)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *multrow-transfer[transfer-rule]*: $((R ==> R ==> R) ==> (=) ==>$
 $R ==> \text{mat-rel } R ==> \text{mat-rel } R)$ *mat-multrow-gen* *mat-multrow-gen*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma swap-rows-transfer: mat-rel R A B \implies i < dim-row B \implies j < dim-row B \implies
mat-rel R (mat-swaprows i j A) (mat-swaprows i j B)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma pivot-positions-gen-transfer: **assumes** [transfer-rule]: (R ==> R ==>
(=)) (=) (=)
shows
(R ==> mat-rel R ==> (=)) pivot-positions-gen pivot-positions-gen
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma set-pivot-positions-main-gen:
set (pivot-positions-main-gen ze A nr nc i j) \subseteq {0 ..< nr} \times {0 ..< nc}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma find-base-vectors-transfer: **assumes** [transfer-rule]: (R ==> R ==>
(=)) (=) (=)
shows ((R ==> R) ==> R ==> R ==> mat-rel R
==> list-all2 (vec-rel R)) find-base-vectors-gen find-base-vectors-gen
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eliminate-entries-gen-transfer: **assumes***[transfer-rule]: (R ==> R ==>
R) ad ad'
(R ==> R ==> R) mul mul'
and vs: $\bigwedge j. j < \text{dim-row } B' \implies R (vs j) (vs' j)$
and i: i < dim-row B'
and B: mat-rel R B B'
shows mat-rel R
(eliminate-entries-gen ad mul vs B i j)
(eliminate-entries-gen ad' mul' vs' B' i j)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context
fixes ops :: 'i arith-ops-record (**structure**)
begin
private abbreviation (input) zero **where** zero \equiv arith-ops-record.zero ops
private abbreviation (input) one **where** one \equiv arith-ops-record.one ops
private abbreviation (input) plus **where** plus \equiv arith-ops-record.plus ops
private abbreviation (input) times **where** times \equiv arith-ops-record.times ops
private abbreviation (input) minus **where** minus \equiv arith-ops-record.minus ops
private abbreviation (input) uminus **where** uminus \equiv arith-ops-record.uminus ops
private abbreviation (input) divide **where** divide \equiv arith-ops-record.divide ops
private abbreviation (input) inverse **where** inverse \equiv arith-ops-record.inverse ops

```

private abbreviation (input) modulo where modulo  $\equiv$  arith-ops-record.modulo
ops
private abbreviation (input) normalize where normalize  $\equiv$  arith-ops-record.normalize
ops

definition eliminate-entries-gen-zero :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a
 $\Rightarrow$  (integer  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat where
  eliminate-entries-gen-zero minu time z v A I J = mat (dim-row A) (dim-col A)
   $(\lambda (i, j).$ 
    if v (integer-of-nat i)  $\neq$  z  $\wedge$  i  $\neq$  I then minu (A $$ (i,j)) (time (v (integer-of-nat
  i)) (A $$ (I,j))) else A $$ (i,j))

definition eliminate-entries-i where eliminate-entries-i  $\equiv$  eliminate-entries-gen-zero
minus times zero
definition multrow-i where multrow-i  $\equiv$  mat-multrow-gen times

lemma dim-eliminate-entries-gen-zero[simp]:
  dim-row (eliminate-entries-gen-zero mm tt z v B i as) = dim-row B
  dim-col (eliminate-entries-gen-zero mm tt z v B i as) = dim-col B
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

partial-function (tailrec) gauss-jordan-main-i :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$ 
  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i mat where
  [code]: gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc A i j = (
    if i < nr  $\wedge$  j < nc then let aij = A $$ (i,j) in if aij = zero then
      (case [ i' . i' <- [Suc i ..< nr], A $$ (i',j)  $\neq$  zero]
        of []  $\Rightarrow$  gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc A i (Suc j)
        | (i' # -)  $\Rightarrow$  gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc (swaprows i i' A) i j)
    else if aij = one then let
      v =  $(\lambda i. A $$ (nat-of-integer i,j))$  in
      gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc
      (eliminate-entries-i v A i j) (Suc i) (Suc j)
    else let iaij = inverse aij; A' = multrow-i i iaij A;
      v =  $(\lambda i. A' $$ (nat-of-integer i,j))$ 
      in gauss-jordan-main-i nr nc (eliminate-entries-i v A' i j) (Suc i) (Suc j)
    else A)

definition gauss-jordan-single-i :: 'i mat  $\Rightarrow$  'i mat where
  gauss-jordan-single-i A  $\equiv$  gauss-jordan-main-i (dim-row A) (dim-col A) A 0 0

definition find-base-vectors-i :: 'i mat  $\Rightarrow$  'i vec list where
  find-base-vectors-i A  $\equiv$  find-base-vectors-gen uminus zero one A
end

```

```

context field-ops
begin

```

```

lemma right-total-poly-rel[transfer-rule]: right-total (mat-rel R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-unique-poly-rel[transfer-rule]: bi-unique (mat-rel R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-mat-rel[transfer-rule]: (mat-rel R ==> mat-rel R ==> (=)) (=)
  (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma multrow-i[transfer-rule]: ((=) ==> R ==> mat-rel R ==> mat-rel
R)
  (multrow-i ops) multrow
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eliminate-entries-gen-zero[simp]:
  assumes mat-rel R A A' I < dim-row A' shows
    eliminate-entries-gen-zero minus times zero v A I J = eliminate-entries-gen minus
    times (v o integer-of-nat) A I J
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eliminate-entries-i: assumes
  vs: ⋀ j. j < dim-row B' ==> R (vs (integer-of-nat j)) (vs' j)
  and i: i < dim-row B'
  and B: mat-rel R B B'
  shows mat-rel R (eliminate-entries-i ops vs B i j)
    (eliminate-entries vs' B' i j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gauss-jordan-main-i:
  nr = dim-row A' ==> nc = dim-col A' ==> mat-rel R A A' ==> i ≤ nr ==> j ≤
  nc ==>
    mat-rel R (gauss-jordan-main-i ops nr nc A i j) (fst (gauss-jordan-main A' B'
  i j))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gauss-jordan-i[transfer-rule]:
  (mat-rel R ==> mat-rel R) (gauss-jordan-single-i ops) gauss-jordan-single
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma find-base-vectors-i[transfer-rule]:
  (mat-rel R ==> list-all2 (vec-rel R)) (find-base-vectors-i ops) find-base-vectors
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma list-of-vec-transfer[transfer-rule]: (vec-rel A ==> list-all2 A) list-of-vec
list-of-vec

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $IArray\text{-}sub'[\text{simp}]$: $i < IArray.length a \implies IArray.sub' (a, \text{integer-of-nat } i) = IArray.sub a i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{eliminate-entries-i2} ::$
 $'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (\text{integer} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mat-impl} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{integer} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mat-impl}$ **is**
 $\lambda z \text{ mminus ttimes } v (nr, nc, a) i'.$
 $(nr, nc, \text{let } ai' = IArray.sub' (a, i') \text{ in } (IArray.tabulate (\text{integer-of-nat } nr, \lambda i. \text{let } ai = IArray.sub' (a, i) \text{ in}$
 $\text{if } i = i' \text{ then } ai \text{ else}$
 $\text{let } vi'j = v i$
 $\text{in if } vi'j = z \text{ then } ai$
 else
 $IArray.tabulate (\text{integer-of-nat } nc, \lambda j. \text{mminus } (IArray.sub' (ai, j)))$
 $(ttimes vi'j$
 $\quad (IArray.sub' (ai', j))))$
 $\quad)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{eliminate-entries-gen-zero} [\text{simp}]$:
assumes $i < (\text{dim-row } A) j < (\text{dim-col } A)$ **shows**
 $\text{eliminate-entries-gen-zero mminus ttimes } z v A I J \$\$ (i, j) =$
 $(\text{if } v (\text{integer-of-nat } i) = z \vee i = I \text{ then } A \$\$ (i, j) \text{ else mminus } (A \$\$ (i, j)))$
 $(ttimes (v (\text{integer-of-nat } i)) (A \$\$ (I, j))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{eliminate-entries-gen} [\text{simp}]$:
assumes $i < (\text{dim-row } A) j < (\text{dim-col } A)$ **shows**
 $\text{eliminate-entries-gen mminus ttimes } v A I J \$\$ (i, j) =$
 $(\text{if } i = I \text{ then } A \$\$ (i, j) \text{ else mminus } (A \$\$ (i, j)) (ttimes (v i) (A \$\$ (I, j))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{dim-mat-impl} [\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{dim-row } (\text{mat-impl } x) = \text{dim-row-impl } x$
 $\text{dim-col } (\text{mat-impl } x) = \text{dim-col-impl } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{dim-eliminate-entries-i2} [\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{dim-row-impl } (\text{eliminate-entries-i2 } z mm tt v m i) = \text{dim-row-impl } m$
 $\text{dim-col-impl } (\text{eliminate-entries-i2 } z mm tt v m i) = \text{dim-col-impl } m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma tabulate-nth : $i < n \implies IArray.tabulate (\text{integer-of-nat } n, f) !! i = f$
 $(\text{integer-of-nat } i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma eliminate-entries-i2[code]:eliminate-entries-gen-zero mm tt z v (mat-impl
m) i j
= (if i < dim-row-impl m
    then mat-impl (eliminate-entries-i2 z mm tt v m (integer-of-nat i))
    else (Code.abort (STR "index out of range in eliminate-entries"))
    ( $\lambda$  -. eliminate-entries-gen-zero mm tt z v (mat-impl m) i j)))
⟨proof⟩

end
theory More-Missing-Multiset
imports
  HOL-Combinatorics.Permutations
  Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Multiset
begin

lemma rel-mset-free:
assumes rel: rel-mset rel X Y and xs: mset xs = X
shows  $\exists$  ys. mset ys = Y  $\wedge$  list-all2 rel xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-mset-split:
assumes rel: rel-mset rel (X1+X2) Y
shows  $\exists$  Y1 Y2. Y = Y1 + Y2  $\wedge$  rel-mset rel X1 Y1  $\wedge$  rel-mset rel X2 Y2
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-mset-OO:
assumes AB: rel-mset R A B and BC: rel-mset S B C
shows rel-mset (R OO S) A C
⟨proof⟩

lemma ex-mset-zip-right:
assumes length xs = length ys mset ys' = mset ys
shows  $\exists$  xs'. length ys' = length xs'  $\wedge$  mset (zip xs' ys') = mset (zip xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-all2-reorder-right-invariance:
assumes rel: list-all2 R xs ys and ms-y: mset ys' = mset ys
shows  $\exists$  xs'. list-all2 R xs' ys'  $\wedge$  mset xs' = mset xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-mset-via-perm: rel-mset rel (mset xs) (mset ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  zs. mset xs =
mset zs  $\wedge$  list-all2 rel zs ys)
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Unique-Factorization
imports

```

```

Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
Polynomial-Factorization.Polynomial-Irreducibility
HOL-Combinatorics.Permutations
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Euclidean-Algorithm
Containers.Containers-Auxiliary
More-Missing-Multiset
HOL-Algebra.Divisibility
begin

hide-const(open)
Divisibility.prime
Divisibility.irreducible

hide-fact(open)
Divisibility.irreducible-def
Divisibility.irreducibleI
Divisibility.irreducibleD
Divisibility.irreducibleE

hide-const (open) Rings.coprime

lemma irreducible-uminus [simp]:
fixes a::'a::idom
shows irreducible (-a)  $\longleftrightarrow$  irreducible a
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context comm-monoid-mult begin

definition coprime :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where coprime-def': coprime p q  $\equiv$   $\forall r. r \text{ dvd } p \longrightarrow r \text{ dvd } q \longrightarrow r \text{ dvd } 1$ 

lemma coprimeI:
assumes  $\bigwedge r. r \text{ dvd } p \implies r \text{ dvd } q \implies r \text{ dvd } 1$ 
shows coprime p q  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coprimeE:
assumes coprime p q
and  $(\bigwedge r. r \text{ dvd } p \implies r \text{ dvd } q \implies r \text{ dvd } 1) \implies thesis$ 
shows thesis  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coprime-commute [ac-simps]:
coprime p q  $\longleftrightarrow$  coprime q p
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma not-coprime-iff-common-factor:
 $\neg \text{coprime } p q \longleftrightarrow (\exists r. r \text{ dvd } p \wedge r \text{ dvd } q \wedge \neg r \text{ dvd } 1)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

```

lemma (in algebraic-semidom) coprime-iff-coprime [simp, code]:
  coprime = Rings.coprime
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) coprime-0 [simp]:
  coprime p 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  p dvd 1 coprime 0 p  $\longleftrightarrow$  p dvd 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dvd-rewrites: dvd.dvd ((*)) = (dvd) ⟨proof⟩

```

3.3 Interfacing UFD properties

```

hide-const (open) Divisibility.irreducible

```

```

context comm-monoid-mult-isom begin
  lemma coprime-hom[simp]: coprime (hom x) y'  $\longleftrightarrow$  coprime x (Hilbert-Choice.inv hom y')
  ⟨proof⟩
  lemma coprime-inv-hom[simp]: coprime (Hilbert-Choice.inv hom x') y  $\longleftrightarrow$  coprime x' (hom y)
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

3.3.1 Original part

```

lemma dvd-dvd-imp-smult:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: idom poly
  assumes pq: p dvd q and qp: q dvd p shows  $\exists c. p = smult c q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dvd-const:
  assumes pq: (p::'a::semidom poly) dvd q and q0: q ≠ 0 and degq: degree q = 0
  shows degree p = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

context Rings.dvd begin
  abbreviation ddvd (infix `ddvd` 40) where x ddvd y ≡ x dvd y ∧ y dvd x
  lemma ddvd-sym[sym]: x ddvd y  $\Longrightarrow$  y ddvd x ⟨proof⟩
end

context comm-monoid-mult begin
  lemma ddvd-trans[trans]: x ddvd y  $\Longrightarrow$  y ddvd z  $\Longrightarrow$  x ddvd z ⟨proof⟩
  lemma ddvd-transp: transp (ddvd) ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

context comm-semiring-1 begin

definition mset-factors where mset-factors F p  $\equiv$ 
   $F \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\forall f. f \in \# F \longrightarrow \text{irreducible } f) \wedge p = \text{prod-mset } F$ 

lemma mset-factorsI[intro!]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge f. f \in \# F \implies \text{irreducible } f$  and  $F \neq \{\#\}$  and  $\text{prod-mset } F = p$ 
  shows mset-factors F p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-factorsD:
  assumes mset-factors F p
  shows  $f \in \# F \implies \text{irreducible } f$  and  $F \neq \{\#\}$  and  $\text{prod-mset } F = p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-factorsE[elim]:
  assumes mset-factors F p
  and  $(\bigwedge f. f \in \# F \implies \text{irreducible } f) \implies F \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{prod-mset } F = p \implies$ 
  thesis
  shows thesis
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-factors-imp-not-is-unit:
  assumes mset-factors F p
  shows  $\neg p \text{ dvd } 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition primitive-poly where primitive-poly f  $\equiv \forall d. (\forall i. d \text{ dvd } \text{coeff } f i) \longrightarrow$ 
   $d \text{ dvd } 1$ 

end

lemma(in semidom) mset-factors-imp-nonzero:
  assumes mset-factors F p
  shows  $p \neq 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

class ufd = idom +
  assumes mset-factors-exist:  $\bigwedge x. x \neq 0 \implies \neg x \text{ dvd } 1 \implies \exists F. \text{mset-factors } F x$ 
  and mset-factors-unique:  $\bigwedge x F G. \text{mset-factors } F x \implies \text{mset-factors } G x \implies$ 
  rel-mset (ddvd) F G

```

3.3.2 Connecting to HOL/Divisibility

```
context comm-semiring-1 begin
```

```
abbreviation mk-monoid  $\equiv (\text{carrier} = \text{UNIV} - \{0\}, \text{mult} = (*), \text{one} = 1)$ 
```

```
lemma carrier-0[simp]:  $x \in \text{carrier } \text{mk-monoid} \longleftrightarrow x \neq 0$  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemmas mk-monoid-simps = carrier-0 monoid.simps

abbreviation irred where irred ≡ Divisibility.irreducible mk-monoid
abbreviation factor where factor ≡ Divisibility.factor mk-monoid
abbreviation factors where factors ≡ Divisibility.factors mk-monoid
abbreviation properfactor where properfactor ≡ Divisibility.properfactor mk-monoid

lemma factors: factors fs y ↔ prod-list fs = y ∧ Ball (set fs) irred
⟨proof⟩

lemma factor: factor x y ↔ (∃ z. z ≠ 0 ∧ x * z = y) ⟨proof⟩

lemma properfactor-nz:
  shows (y :: 'a) ≠ 0 ⇒ properfactor x y ↔ x dvd y ∧ ¬ y dvd x
⟨proof⟩

lemma mem-Units[simp]: y ∈ Units mk-monoid ↔ y dvd 1
⟨proof⟩

end

context idom begin
  lemma irred-0[simp]: irred (0::'a) ⟨proof⟩
  lemma factor-idom[simp]: factor (x::'a) y ↔ (if y = 0 then x = 0 else x dvd y)
⟨proof⟩

  lemma associated-connect[simp]: (~mk-monoid) = (ddvd) ⟨proof⟩

  lemma essentially-equal-connect[simp]:
    essentially-equal mk-monoid fs gs ↔ rel-mset (ddvd) (mset fs) (mset gs)
⟨proof⟩

  lemma irred-idom-nz:
    assumes x0: (x::'a) ≠ 0
    shows irred x ↔ irreducible x
⟨proof⟩

  lemma dvd-dvd-imp-unit-mult:
    assumes xy: x dvd y and yx: y dvd x
    shows ∃ z. z dvd 1 ∧ y = x * z
⟨proof⟩

  lemma irred-inner-nz:
    assumes x0: x ≠ 0
    shows (∀ b. b dvd x → ¬ x dvd b → b dvd 1) ↔ (∀ a b. x = a * b → a

```

```

dvd 1 ∨ b dvd 1) (is ?l ⟷ ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma irred-idom[simp]: irred x ⟷ x = 0 ∨ irreducible x
⟨proof⟩

lemma assumes x ≠ 0 and factors fs x and f ∈ set fs shows f ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma factors-as-mset-factors:
assumes x0: x ≠ 0 and x1: x ≠ 1
shows factors fs x ⟷ mset-factors (mset fs) x ⟨proof⟩

end

context ufd begin
interpretation comm-monoid-cancel: comm-monoid-cancel mk-monoid::'a monoid
⟨proof⟩
lemma factors-exist:
assumes a ≠ 0
and ¬ a dvd 1
shows ∃fs. set fs ⊆ UNIV - {0} ∧ factors fs a
⟨proof⟩

lemma factors-unique:
assumes fs: factors fs a
and gs: factors gs a
and a0: a ≠ 0
and a1: ¬ a dvd 1
shows rel-mset (ddvd) (mset fs) (mset gs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma factorial-monoid: factorial-monoid (mk-monoid :: 'a monoid)
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in idom) factorial-monoid-imp-ufd:
assumes factorial-monoid (mk-monoid :: 'a monoid)
shows class.ufd ((*) :: 'a ⇒ -) 1 (+) 0 (-) uminus
⟨proof⟩

```

3.4 Preservation of Irreducibility

```

locale comm-semiring-1-hom = comm-monoid-mult-hom hom + zero-hom hom
for hom :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 ⇒ 'b :: comm-semiring-1

locale irreducibility-hom = comm-semiring-1-hom +

```

```

assumes irreducible-imp-irreducible-hom: irreducible a ==> irreducible (hom a)
begin
  lemma hom-mset-factors:
    assumes F: mset-factors F p
    shows mset-factors (image-mset hom F) (hom p)
    ⟨proof⟩
end

locale unit-preserving-hom = comm-semiring-1-hom +
  assumes is-unit-hom-if: ∀x. hom x dvd 1 ==> x dvd 1
begin
  lemma is-unit-hom-iff[simp]: hom x dvd 1 <→ x dvd 1 ⟨proof⟩

  lemma irreducible-hom-imp-irreducible:
    assumes irr: irreducible (hom a) shows irreducible a
    ⟨proof⟩
end

locale factor-preserving-hom = unit-preserving-hom + irreducibility-hom
begin
  lemma irreducible-hom[simp]: irreducible (hom a) <→ irreducible a
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma factor-preserving-hom-comp:
  assumes f: factor-preserving-hom f and g: factor-preserving-hom g
  shows factor-preserving-hom (f o g)
⟨proof⟩

context comm-semiring-isom begin
  sublocale unit-preserving-hom ⟨proof⟩
  sublocale factor-preserving-hom
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

3.4.1 Back to divisibility

```

lemma(in comm-semiring-1) mset-factors-mult:
  assumes F: mset-factors F a
  and G: mset-factors G b
  shows mset-factors (F+G) (a*b)
⟨proof⟩

lemma(in ufd) dvd-imp-subset-factors:
  assumes ab: a dvd b
  and F: mset-factors F a
  and G: mset-factors G b
  shows ∃ G'. G' ⊆# G ∧ rel-mset (ddvd) F G'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma(in idom) irreducible-factor-singleton:
  assumes a: irreducible a
  shows mset-factors F a  $\longleftrightarrow$  F = {#a#}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma(in ufd) irreducible-dvd-imp-factor:
  assumes ab: a dvd b
  and a: irreducible a
  and G: mset-factors G b
  shows  $\exists g \in \# G. a \text{ ddvd } g$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma(in idom) prod-mset-remove-units:
  prod-mset F ddvd prod-mset {# f  $\in \# F. \neg f \text{ dvd } 1 \#}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma(in comm-semiring-1) mset-factors-imp-dvd:
  assumes mset-factors F x and f  $\in \# F$  shows f dvd x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma(in ufd) prime-elem-iff-irreducible[iff]:
  prime-elem x  $\longleftrightarrow$  irreducible x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

3.5 Results for GCDs etc.

```

lemma prod-list-remove1: (x :: 'b :: comm-monoid-mult)  $\in$  set xs  $\implies$  prod-list
(remove1 x xs) * x = prod-list xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

class comm-monoid-gcd = gcd + comm-semiring-1 +
  assumes gcd-dvd1[iff]: gcd a b dvd a
  and gcd-dvd2[iff]: gcd a b dvd b
  and gcd-greatest: c dvd a  $\implies$  c dvd b  $\implies$  c dvd gcd a b
begin

lemma gcd-0-0[simp]: gcd 0 0 = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gcd-zero-iff[simp]: gcd a b = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = 0  $\wedge$  b = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma gcd-zero-iff'[simp]: 0 = gcd a b  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = 0  $\wedge$  b = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dvd-gcd-0-iff[simp]:

```

```

shows  $x \text{ dvd gcd } 0 \text{ a} \longleftrightarrow x \text{ dvd a}$  (is ?g1)
      and  $x \text{ dvd gcd a } 0 \longleftrightarrow x \text{ dvd a}$  (is ?g2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma gcd-dvd-1[simp]:  $\text{gcd a b dvd 1} \longleftrightarrow \text{coprime a b}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dvd-imp-gcd-dvd-gcd:  $b \text{ dvd c} \implies \text{gcd a b dvd gcd a c}$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition listgcd :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  listgcd xs = foldr gcd xs 0

lemma listgcd-simps[simp]:  $\text{listgcd []} = 0$   $\text{listgcd (x # xs)} = \text{gcd x (listgcd xs)}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma listgcd:  $x \in \text{set xs} \implies \text{listgcd xs dvd x}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma listgcd-greatest:  $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set xs} \implies y \text{ dvd x}) \implies y \text{ dvd listgcd xs}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

context Rings.dvd begin

definition is-gcd x a b  $\equiv$   $x \text{ dvd a} \wedge x \text{ dvd b} \wedge (\forall y. y \text{ dvd a} \longrightarrow y \text{ dvd b} \longrightarrow y \text{ dvd x})$ 

definition some-gcd a b  $\equiv$   $\text{SOME } x. \text{is-gcd } x \text{ a b}$ 

lemma is-gcdI[intro!]:
  assumes  $x \text{ dvd a} \wedge x \text{ dvd b} \wedge y \text{ dvd a} \implies y \text{ dvd b} \implies y \text{ dvd x}$ 
  shows  $\text{is-gcd } x \text{ a b}$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-gcdE[elim!]:
  assumes  $\text{is-gcd } x \text{ a b}$ 
  and  $x \text{ dvd a} \implies x \text{ dvd b} \implies (\forall y. y \text{ dvd a} \implies y \text{ dvd b} \implies y \text{ dvd x}) \implies$ 
  thesis
  shows thesis ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-gcd-some-gcdI:
  assumes  $\exists x. \text{is-gcd } x \text{ a b}$  shows  $\text{is-gcd } (\text{some-gcd a b}) \text{ a b}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

context comm-semiring-1 begin

```

```

lemma some-gcd-0[intro!]: is-gcd (some-gcd a 0) a 0 is-gcd (some-gcd 0 b) 0 b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma some-gcd-0-dvd[intro!]:
  some-gcd a 0 dvd a some-gcd 0 b dvd b ⟨proof⟩

lemma dvd-some-gcd-0[intro!]:
  a dvd some-gcd a 0 b dvd some-gcd 0 b ⟨proof⟩

end

context idom begin

lemma is-gcd-connect:
  assumes a ≠ 0 b ≠ 0 shows isgcd mk-monoid x a b ↔ is-gcd x a b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma some-gcd-connect:
  assumes a ≠ 0 and b ≠ 0 shows somegcd mk-monoid a b = some-gcd a b
  ⟨proof⟩
end

context comm-monoid-gcd
begin
  lemma is-gcd-gcd: is-gcd (gcd a b) a b ⟨proof⟩
  lemma is-gcd-some-gcd: is-gcd (some-gcd a b) a b ⟨proof⟩
  lemma gcd-dvd-some-gcd: gcd a b dvd some-gcd a b ⟨proof⟩
  lemma some-gcd-dvd-gcd: some-gcd a b dvd gcd a b ⟨proof⟩
  lemma some-gcd-ddvd-gcd: some-gcd a b ddvd gcd a b ⟨proof⟩
  lemma some-gcd-dvd: some-gcd a b dvd d ↔ gcd a b dvd d d dvd some-gcd a b
  ↔ d dvd gcd a b
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class idom-gcd = comm-monoid-gcd + idom
begin

interpretation raw: comm-monoid-cancel mk-monoid :: 'a monoid
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation raw: gcd-condition-monoid mk-monoid :: 'a monoid
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gcd-mult-ddvd:
  d * gcd a b ddvd gcd (d * a) (d * b)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma gcd-greatest-mult: assumes cad:  $c \text{ dvd } a * d$  and cbd:  $c \text{ dvd } b * d$ 
  shows  $c \text{ dvd } \text{gcd } a * d$ 
  (proof)

lemma listgcd-greatest-mult:  $(\bigwedge x :: 'a. x \in \text{set } xs \implies y \text{ dvd } x * z) \implies y \text{ dvd }$ 
  listgcd xs * z
  (proof)

lemma dvd-factor-mult-gcd:
  assumes dvd:  $k \text{ dvd } p * q$   $k \text{ dvd } p * r$ 
    and q0:  $q \neq 0$  and r0:  $r \neq 0$ 
  shows  $k \text{ dvd } p * \text{gcd } q \text{ r}$ 
  (proof)

lemma coprime-mult-cross-dvd:
  assumes coprime:  $\text{coprime } p \text{ q}$  and eq:  $p' * p = q' * q$ 
  shows  $p \text{ dvd } q'$  (is ?g1) and  $q \text{ dvd } p'$  (is ?g2)
  (proof)

end

subclass (in ring-gcd) idom-gcd (proof)

lemma coprime-rewrites: comm-monoid-mult.coprime ((*)) 1 = coprime
  (proof)

locale gcd-condition =
  fixes ty :: 'a :: idom itself
  assumes gcd-exists:  $\bigwedge a \text{ b} :: 'a. \exists x. \text{is-gcd } x \text{ a b}$ 
begin
  sublocale idom-gcd ((*) 1 :: 'a (+) 0 (-) uminus some-gcd
    rewrites dvd.dvd ((*)) = (dvd)
      and comm-monoid-mult.coprime ((*)) 1 = Unique-Factorization.coprime
    (proof)
end

instance semiring-gcd  $\subseteq$  comm-monoid-gcd (proof)

lemma listgcd-connect: listgcd = gcd-list
  (proof)

interpretation some-gcd: gcd-condition TYPE('a::ufd)
  (proof)

lemma some-gcd-listgcd-dvd-listgcd: some-gcd.listgcd xs dvd listgcd xs
  (proof)

lemma listgcd-dvd-some-gcd-listgcd: listgcd xs dvd some-gcd.listgcd xs

```

```

⟨proof⟩

context factorial-ring-gcd begin

Do not declare the following as subclass, to avoid conflict in field ⊆
gcd-condition vs. factorial-ring-gcd ⊆ gcd-condition.

sublocale as-ufd: ufd
⟨proof⟩

end

instance int :: ufd ⟨proof⟩
instance int :: idom-gcd ⟨proof⟩

instance field ⊆ ufd ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Unique Factorization Domain for Polynomials

In this theory we prove that the polynomials over a unique factorization domain (UFD) form a UFD.

```

theory Unique-Factorization-Poly
imports
  Unique-Factorization
  Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Polynomial-Factorial
  Subresultants.More-Homomorphisms
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring
begin

hide-const (open) module.smult
hide-const (open) Divisibility.irreducible

instantiation fract :: (idom) {normalization-euclidean-semiring, euclidean-ring}
begin

definition [simp]: normalize-fract ≡ (normalize-field :: 'a fract ⇒ -)
definition [simp]: unit-factor-fract = (unit-factor-field :: 'a fract ⇒ -)
definition [simp]: euclidean-size-fract = (euclidean-size-field :: 'a fract ⇒ -)
definition [simp]: modulo-fract = (mod-field :: 'a fract ⇒ -)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation fract :: (idom) euclidean-ring-gcd
begin

```

```

definition gcd-fract :: 'a fract  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract where
  gcd-fract  $\equiv$  Euclidean-Algorithm.gcd
definition lcm-fract :: 'a fract  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract where
  lcm-fract  $\equiv$  Euclidean-Algorithm.lcm
definition Gcd-fract :: 'a fract set  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract where
  Gcd-fract  $\equiv$  Euclidean-Algorithm.Gcd
definition Lcm-fract :: 'a fract set  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract where
  Lcm-fract  $\equiv$  Euclidean-Algorithm.Lcm

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation fract :: (idom) unique-euclidean-ring
begin

definition [simp]: division-segment-fract (x :: 'a fract) = (1 :: 'a fract)

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instance fract :: (idom) field-gcd ⟨proof⟩

definition divides-ff :: 'a::idom fract  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where divides-ff x y  $\equiv$   $\exists$  r. y = x * to-fract r

lemma ff-list-pairs:
   $\exists$  xs. X = map ( $\lambda$  (x,y). Fraction-Field.Fract x y) xs  $\wedge$  0  $\notin$  snd `set xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divides-ff-to-fract[simp]: divides-ff (to-fract x) (to-fract y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x dvd y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  shows divides-ff-mult-cancel-left[simp]: divides-ff (z * x) (z * y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = 0  $\vee$ 
  divides-ff x y
  and divides-ff-mult-cancel-right[simp]: divides-ff (x * z) (y * z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = 0  $\vee$ 
  divides-ff x y
  ⟨proof⟩

definition gcd-ff-list :: 'a::ufd fract list  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  gcd-ff-list X g = (
    ( $\forall$  x  $\in$  set X. divides-ff g x)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  d. ( $\forall$  x  $\in$  set X. divides-ff d x)  $\longrightarrow$  divides-ff d g))

lemma gcd-ff-list-exists:  $\exists$  g. gcd-ff-list (X :: 'a::ufd fract list) g

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition some-gcd-ff-list :: 'a :: ufd fract list \Rightarrow 'a fract **where**
some-gcd-ff-list xs = (SOME g. gcd-ff-list xs g)

lemma some-gcd-ff-list: gcd-ff-list xs (some-gcd-ff-list xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma some-gcd-ff-list-divides: $x \in set xs \Rightarrow divides\text{-}ff (some\text{-}gcd\text{-}ff\text{-}list xs) x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma some-gcd-ff-list-greatest: ($\forall x \in set xs. divides\text{-}ff d x \Rightarrow divides\text{-}ff d$
(some-gcd-ff-list xs))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma divides-ff-refl[simp]: divides-ff x x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma divides-ff-trans:
divides-ff x y \Rightarrow divides-ff y z \Rightarrow divides-ff x z
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma divides-ff-mult-right: $a \neq 0 \Rightarrow divides\text{-}ff (x * inverse a) y \Rightarrow divides\text{-}ff$
 $x (a * y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition eq-dff :: 'a :: ufd fract \Rightarrow 'a fract \Rightarrow bool (**infix** eq-dff 50) **where**
 $x =_{dff} y \longleftrightarrow divides\text{-}ff x y \wedge divides\text{-}ff y x$

lemma eq-dffI[intro]: divides-ff x y \Rightarrow divides-ff y x \Rightarrow $x =_{dff} y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eq-dff-refl[simp]: $x =_{dff} x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eq-dff-sym: $x =_{dff} y \Rightarrow y =_{dff} x$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eq-dff-trans[trans]: $x =_{dff} y \Rightarrow y =_{dff} z \Rightarrow x =_{dff} z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eq-dff-cancel-right[simp]: $x * y =_{dff} x * z \longleftrightarrow x = 0 \vee y =_{dff} z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eq-dff-mult-right-trans[trans]: $x =_{dff} y * z \Rightarrow z =_{dff} u \Rightarrow x =_{dff} y * u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma some-gcd-ff-list-smult: $a \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ some-gcd-ff-list (map ((*) a) xs) =_{dff} a
* some-gcd-ff-list xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition content-ff :: 'a::ufd fract poly  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract where
  content-ff p = some-gcd-ff-list (coeffs p)

lemma content-ff-iff: divides-ff x (content-ff p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  c  $\in$  set (coeffs p). divides-ff x c) (is ?l = ?r)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma content-ff-divides-ff: x  $\in$  set (coeffs p)  $\Longrightarrow$  divides-ff (content-ff p) x
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma content-ff-0[simp]: content-ff 0 = 0
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma content-ff-0-iff[simp]: (content-ff p = 0) = (p = 0)
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma content-ff-eq-dff-nonzero: content-ff p =dff x  $\Longrightarrow$  x  $\neq$  0  $\Longrightarrow$  p  $\neq$  0
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma content-ff-smult: content-ff (smult (a:'a::ufd fract) p) =dff a * content-ff p
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

definition normalize-content-ff
  where normalize-content-ff (p:'a::ufd fract poly)  $\equiv$  smult (inverse (content-ff p)) p

lemma smult-normalize-content-ff: smult (content-ff p) (normalize-content-ff p) = p
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma content-ff-normalize-content-ff-1: assumes p0: p  $\neq$  0
  shows content-ff (normalize-content-ff p) =dff 1
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma content-ff-to-fract: assumes set (coeffs p)  $\subseteq$  range to-fract
  shows content-ff p  $\in$  range to-fract
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma content-ff-map-poly-to-fract: content-ff (map-poly to-fract (p :: 'a :: ufd poly))  $\in$  range to-fract
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma range-coeffs-to-fract: assumes set (coeffs p)  $\subseteq$  range to-fract
  shows  $\exists$  m. coeff p i = to-fract m
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma divides-ff-coeff: assumes set (coeffs p)  $\subseteq$  range to-fract and divides-ff

```

```

(to-fract n) (coeff p i)
  shows  $\exists m. \text{coeff } p \ i = \text{to-fract } n * \text{to-fract } m$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition inv-embed :: 'a :: ufd fract  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  inv-embed = the-inv to-fract

lemma inv-embed[simp]: inv-embed (to-fract x) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-embed-0[simp]: inv-embed 0 = 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma range-to-fract-embed-poly: assumes set (coeffs p)  $\subseteq$  range to-fract
  shows  $p = \text{map-poly to-fract} (\text{map-poly inv-embed } p)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma content-ff-to-fract-coeffs-to-fract: assumes content-ff p  $\in$  range to-fract
  shows set (coeffs p)  $\subseteq$  range to-fract
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma content-ff-1-coeffs-to-fract: assumes content-ff p =dff 1
  shows set (coeffs p)  $\subseteq$  range to-fract
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gauss-lemma:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: ufd fract poly
  shows content-ff (p * q) =dff content-ff p * content-ff q
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation (input) content-ff-ff p  $\equiv$  content-ff (map-poly to-fract p)

lemma factorization-to-fract:
  assumes  $q: q \neq 0$  and factor: map-poly to-fract (p :: 'a :: ufd poly) = q * r
  shows  $\exists q' r' c. c \neq 0 \wedge q = \text{smult } c (\text{map-poly to-fract } q') \wedge$ 
     $r = \text{smult} (\text{inverse } c) (\text{map-poly to-fract } r') \wedge$ 
    content-ff-ff q' =dff 1  $\wedge$  p = q' * r'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-PM-M-PFM:
  assumes irr: irreducible p
  shows degree p = 0  $\wedge$  irreducible (coeff p 0)  $\vee$ 
    degree p  $\neq 0$   $\wedge$  irreducible (map-poly to-fract p)  $\wedge$  content-ff-ff p =dff 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-M-PM:
  fixes p :: 'a :: ufd poly assumes 0: degree p = 0 and irr: irreducible (coeff p 0)
  shows irreducible p
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma primitive-irreducible-imp-degree:
  primitive (p::'a::{semiring-gcd,idom} poly)  $\implies$  irreducible p  $\implies$  degree p > 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma irreducible-degree-field:
  fixes p :: 'a :: field poly assumes irreducible p
  shows degree p > 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma irreducible-PFM-PM: assumes
  irr: irreducible (map-poly to-fract p) and ct: content-ff-ff p =dff 1
  shows irreducible p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma irreducible-cases: irreducible p  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  degree p = 0  $\wedge$  irreducible (coeff p 0)  $\vee$ 
  degree p  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$  irreducible (map-poly to-fract p)  $\wedge$  content-ff-ff p =dff 1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dvd-PM-iff: p dvd q  $\longleftrightarrow$  divides-ff (content-ff-ff p) (content-ff-ff q)  $\wedge$ 
  map-poly to-fract p dvd map-poly to-fract q
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma factorial-monoid-poly: factorial-monoid (mk-monoid :: 'a :: ufd poly monoid)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance poly :: (ufd) ufd
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma primitive-iff-some-content-dvd-1:
  fixes f :: 'a :: ufd poly
  shows primitive f  $\longleftrightarrow$  some-gcd.listgcd (coeffs f) dvd 1 (is -  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?c dvd 1)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

5 Polynomials in Rings and Fields

5.1 Polynomials in Rings

We use a locale to work with polynomials in some integer-modulo ring.

```

theory Poly-Mod
  imports
    HOL-Computational-Algebra.Primes
    Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
    Unique-Factorization-Poly
  begin

```

```

locale poly-mod = fixes m :: int
begin

definition M :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int where M x = x mod m

lemma M-0[simp]: M 0 = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma M-M[simp]: M (M x) = M x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma M-plus[simp]: M (M x + y) = M (x + y) M (x + M y) = M (x + y)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma M-minus[simp]: M (M x - y) = M (x - y) M (x - M y) = M (x - y)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma M-times[simp]: M (M x * y) = M (x * y) M (x * M y) = M (x * y)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma M-sum: M (sum (λ x. M (f x)) A) = M (sum f A)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition inv-M :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int where
  inv-M = (λ x. if x + x ≤ m then x else x - m)

lemma M-inv-M-id[simp]: M (inv-M x) = M x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition Mp :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly where Mp = map-poly M

lemma Mp-0[simp]: Mp 0 = 0  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Mp-coeff: coeff (Mp f) i = M (coeff f i)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation eq-m :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\trianglelefteq_m$  50) where
  f =m g ≡ (Mp f = Mp g)

notation eq-m (infixl  $\trianglelefteq_m$  50)

abbreviation degree-m :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  degree-m f ≡ degree (Mp f)

lemma mult-Mp[simp]: Mp (Mp f * g) = Mp (f * g) Mp (f * Mp g) = Mp (f *
g)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma plus-Mp[simp]: Mp (Mp f + g) = Mp (f + g) Mp (f + Mp g) = Mp (f +
g)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

$g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $minus\text{-}Mp[simp]$: $Mp(Mp f - g) = Mp(f - g)$ $Mp(f - Mp g) = Mp(f - g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Mp\text{-}smult[simp]$: $Mp(smult(M a) f) = Mp(smult a f)$ $Mp(smult a (Mp f)) = Mp(smult a f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Mp\text{-}Mp[simp]$: $Mp(Mp f) = Mp f$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Mp\text{-}smult\text{-}m\text{-}0[simp]$: $Mp(smult m f) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $dvdm :: int poly \Rightarrow int poly \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\langle dvdm \rangle$ 50) **where**
 $f dvdm g = (\exists h. g =_m f * h)$
notation $dvdm$ (**infix** $\langle dvdm \rangle$ 50)

lemma $dvdme$:
assumes $fg: f dvdm g$
and $main: \bigwedge h. g =_m f * h \implies Mp h = h \implies thesis$
shows $thesis$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Mp\text{-}dvdm[simp]$: $Mp f dvdm g \longleftrightarrow f dvdm g$
and $dvdme\text{-}Mp[simp]$: $f dvdm Mp g \longleftrightarrow f dvdm g$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $irreducible\text{-}m$
where $irreducible\text{-}m f = (\neg f =_m 0 \wedge \neg f dvdm 1 \wedge (\forall a b. f =_m a * b \longrightarrow a dvdm 1 \vee b dvdm 1))$

definition $irreducible_d\text{-}m :: int poly \Rightarrow bool$ **where** $irreducible_d\text{-}m f \equiv$
 $degree\text{-}m f > 0 \wedge$
 $(\forall g h. degree\text{-}m g < degree\text{-}m f \longrightarrow degree\text{-}m h < degree\text{-}m f \longrightarrow \neg f =_m g * h)$

definition $prime\text{-}elem\text{-}m$
where $prime\text{-}elem\text{-}m f \equiv \neg f =_m 0 \wedge \neg f dvdm 1 \wedge (\forall g h. f dvdm g * h \longrightarrow f dvdm g \vee f dvdm h)$

lemma $degree\text{-}m\text{-}le\text{-}degree$ [intro!]: $degree\text{-}m f \leq degree f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $irreducible_d\text{-}mI$:
assumes $f0: degree\text{-}m f > 0$
and $main: \bigwedge g h. Mp g = g \implies Mp h = h \implies degree g > 0 \implies degree g <$

*degree-m f \implies degree h > 0 \implies degree h < degree-m f \implies f =_m g * h \implies False
shows irreducible_{d-m} f
 $\langle proof \rangle$*

lemma irreducible_{d-mE}:

assumes irreducible_{d-m} f
and degree-m f > 0 \implies ($\bigwedge g$ h. degree-m g < degree-m f \implies degree-m h < degree-m f \implies $\neg f =_m g * h$) \implies thesis
shows thesis
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma irreducible_{d-mD}:

assumes irreducible_{d-m} f
shows degree-m f > 0 **and** $\bigwedge g$ h. degree-m g < degree-m f \implies degree-m h < degree-m f \implies $\neg f =_m g * h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition square-free-m :: int poly \Rightarrow bool **where**

square-free-m f = ($\neg f =_m 0 \wedge (\forall g. \text{degree-m } g \neq 0 \longrightarrow \neg (g * g \text{ dvdm } f))$)

definition coprime-m :: int poly \Rightarrow int poly \Rightarrow bool **where**

coprime-m f g = ($\forall h. h \text{ dvdm } f \longrightarrow h \text{ dvdm } g \longrightarrow h \text{ dvdm } 1$)

lemma Mp-square-free-m[simp]: square-free-m (Mp f) = square-free-m f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma square-free-m-cong: square-free-m f \implies Mp f = Mp g \implies square-free-m g
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Mp-prod-mset[simp]: Mp (prod-mset (image-mset Mp b)) = Mp (prod-mset b)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Mp-prod-list: Mp (prod-list (map Mp b)) = Mp (prod-list b)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Polynomial evaluation modulo

definition M-poly p x \equiv M (poly p x)

lemma M-poly-Mp[simp]: M-poly (Mp p) = M-poly p
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Mp-lift-modulus: **assumes** f =_m g
shows poly-mod.eq-m (m * k) (smult k f) (smult k g)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Mp-ident-product: n > 0 \implies Mp f = f \implies poly-mod.Mp (m * n) f = f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma Mp-shrink-modulus: assumes poly-mod.eq-m ( $m * k$ )  $f g k \neq 0$ 
shows  $f =_m g$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-m-le: degree-m  $f \leq \text{degree } f$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-m-eq: coeff  $f$  (degree  $f$ ) mod  $m \neq 0 \implies m > 1 \implies \text{degree-m } f = \text{degree } f$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-m-mult-le:
assumes eq:  $f =_m g * h$ 
shows degree-m  $f \leq \text{degree-m } g + \text{degree-m } h$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-m-smult-le: degree-m (smult  $c f$ )  $\leq \text{degree-m } f$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-m-Mp[simp]: irreducible-m (Mp  $f$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  irreducible-m  $f$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-m-irreducible-m:  $f =_m g \implies \text{irreducible-m } f \longleftrightarrow \text{irreducible-m } g$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition mset-factors-m where mset-factors-m  $F p \equiv$ 
 $F \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\forall f. f \in \# F \longrightarrow \text{irreducible-m } f) \wedge p =_m \text{prod-mset } F$ 

end

declare poly-mod.M-def[code]
declare poly-mod.Mp-def[code]
declare poly-mod.inv-M-def[code]

definition Irr-Mon :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly set
where Irr-Mon = { $x$ . irreducible  $x \wedge \text{monic } x$ }

definition factorization :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly set  $\Rightarrow$  'a poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a poly multiset)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
factorization Factors  $f cfs \equiv (\text{case } cfs \text{ of } (c, fs) \Rightarrow f = (\text{smult } c (\text{prod-mset } fs)) \wedge (set-mset fs \subseteq \text{Factors}))$ 

definition unique-factorization :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly set  $\Rightarrow$  'a poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a poly multiset)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
unique-factorization Factors  $f cfs = (\text{Collect (factorization Factors } f) = \{cfs\})$ 

lemma irreducible-multD:
assumes l: irreducible ( $a * b$ )
shows  $a \text{ dvd } 1 \wedge \text{irreducible } b \vee b \text{ dvd } 1 \wedge \text{irreducible } a$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma irreducible-dvd-prod-mset:
  fixes p :: 'a :: field poly
  assumes irr: irreducible p and dvd: p dvd prod-mset as
  shows ∃ a ∈# as. p dvd a
⟨proof⟩

lemma monic-factorization-unique-mset:
  fixes P::'a::field poly multiset
  assumes eq: prod-mset P = prod-mset Q
  and P: set-mset P ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
  and Q: set-mset Q ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
  shows P = Q
⟨proof⟩

lemma exactly-one-monic-factorization:
  assumes mon: monic (f :: 'a :: field poly)
  shows ∃! fs. f = prod-mset fs ∧ set-mset fs ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
⟨proof⟩

lemma monic-prod-mset:
  fixes as :: 'a :: idom poly multiset
  assumes ⋀ a. a ∈ set-mset as ⟹ monic a
  shows monic (prod-mset as) ⟨proof⟩

lemma exactly-one-factorization:
  assumes f: f ≠ (0 :: 'a :: field poly)
  shows ∃! cfs. factorization Irr-Mon f cfs
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ident-iff:
  ⟨(x :: int) mod m = x ⟷ x ∈ {0 ..< m}⟩
  if ⟨m > 0⟩
⟨proof⟩

declare prod-mset-prod-list[simp]

lemma mult-1-is-id[simp]: (*) (1 :: 'a :: ring-1) = id ⟨proof⟩

context poly-mod
begin

lemma degree-m-eqmonic: monic f ⟹ m > 1 ⟹ degree-m f = degree f
⟨proof⟩

lemma monic-degree-m-lift: assumes monic f k > 1 m > 1
  shows monic (poly-mod.Mp (m * k) f)
```

```

⟨proof⟩

end

locale poly-mod-2 = poly-mod m for m +
  assumes m1: m > 1
  begin

    lemma M-1[simp]: M 1 = 1 ⟨proof⟩

    lemma Mp-1[simp]: Mp 1 = 1 ⟨proof⟩

    lemma monic-degree-m[simp]: monic f ==> degree-m f = degree f
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma monic-Mp: monic f ==> monic (Mp f)
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma Mp-0-smult-sdiv-poly: assumes Mp f = 0
      shows smult m (sdiv-poly f m) = f
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma Mp-product-modulus: m' = m * k ==> k > 0 ==> Mp (poly-mod.Mp m' f)
      = Mp f
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma inv-M-rev: assumes bnd: 2 * abs c < m
      shows inv-M (M c) = c
      ⟨proof⟩

    end

    lemma (in poly-mod) degree-m-eq-prime:
      assumes f0: Mp f ≠ 0
      and deg: degree-m f = degree f
      and eq: f = m g * h
      and p: prime m
      shows degree-m f = degree-m g + degree-m h
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma monic-smult-add-small: assumes f = 0 ∨ degree f < degree g and mon:
      monic g
      shows monic (g + smult q f)
      ⟨proof⟩

    context poly-mod
    begin

```

```

definition factorization-m :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  (int  $\times$  int poly multiset)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  factorization-m f cfs  $\equiv$  (case cfs of (c,fs)  $\Rightarrow$  f =m (smult c (prod-mset fs))  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  f  $\in$  set-mset fs. irreducibled-m f  $\wedge$  monic (Mp f)))

```

```

definition Mf :: int  $\times$  int poly multiset  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\times$  int poly multiset where
  Mf cfs  $\equiv$  case cfs of (c,fs)  $\Rightarrow$  (M c, image-mset Mp fs)

```

```

lemma Mf-Mf[simp]: Mf (Mf x) = Mf x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition equivalent-fact-m :: int  $\times$  int poly multiset  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\times$  int poly multiset
   $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  equivalent-fact-m cfs dgs = (Mf cfs = Mf dgs)

```

```

definition unique-factorization-m :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  (int  $\times$  int poly multiset)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  unique-factorization-m f cfs = (Mf ` Collect (factorization-m f) = {Mf cfs})

```

```

lemma Mp-irreducibled-m[simp]: irreducibled-m (Mp f) = irreducibled-m f
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Mf-factorization-m[simp]: factorization-m f (Mf cfs) = factorization-m f
  cfs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma unique-factorization-m-imp-factorization: assumes unique-factorization-m
  f cfs
  shows factorization-m f cfs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma unique-factorization-m-alt-def: unique-factorization-m f cfs = (factorization-m
  f cfs
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  dgs. factorization-m f dgs  $\longrightarrow$  Mf dgs = Mf cfs))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

end

```

```

context poly-mod-2
begin

```

```

lemma factorization-m-lead-coeff: assumes factorization-m f (c,fs)
  shows lead-coeff (Mp f) = M c
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma factorization-m-smult: assumes factorization-m f (c,fs)
  shows factorization-m (smult d f) (c * d,fs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma factorization-m-prod: assumes factorization-m f (c,fs) factorization-m g

```

```

( $d,gs$ )
  shows factorization-m ( $f * g$ ) ( $c * d, fs + gs$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Mp-factorization-m[simp]: factorization-m ( $Mp f$ ) cfs = factorization-m f cfs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Mp-unique-factorization-m[simp]:
  unique-factorization-m ( $Mp f$ ) cfs = unique-factorization-m f cfs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unique-factorization-m-cong: unique-factorization-m f cfs  $\implies Mp f = Mp g$ 
   $\implies$  unique-factorization-m g cfs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unique-factorization-mI: assumes factorization-m f ( $c,fs$ )
  and  $\bigwedge d gs$ . factorization-m f ( $d,gs$ )  $\implies Mf (d,gs) = Mf (c,fs)$ 
  shows unique-factorization-m f ( $c,fs$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unique-factorization-m-smult: assumes uf: unique-factorization-m f ( $c,fs$ )
  and  $d: M (di * d) = 1$ 
  shows unique-factorization-m (smult d f) ( $c * d,fs$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unique-factorization-m-smultD: assumes uf: unique-factorization-m (smult d f) ( $c,fs$ )
  and  $d: M (di * d) = 1$ 
  shows unique-factorization-m f ( $c * di,fs$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma degree-m-eq-lead-coeff: degree-m f = degree f  $\implies$  lead-coeff ( $Mp f$ ) = M (lead-coeff f)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unique-factorization-m-zero: assumes unique-factorization-m f ( $c,fs$ )
  shows M c  $\neq 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context poly-mod
begin

lemma dvdm-smult: assumes f dvdm g
  shows f dvdm smult c g

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dvdm-factor: **assumes** $f \text{ dvdm } g$
shows $f \text{ dvdm } g * h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma square-free-m-smultD: **assumes** square-free-m ($\text{smult } c f$)
shows square-free-m f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma square-free-m-smultI: **assumes** $sf: \text{square-free-m } f$
and $\text{inv: } M (ci * c) = 1$
shows square-free-m ($\text{smult } c f$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma square-free-m-factor: **assumes** square-free-m ($f * g$)
shows square-free-m f square-free-m g
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context poly-mod-2
begin

lemma Mp-ident-iff: $Mp f = f \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \text{coeff } f n \in \{0 .. < m\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Mp-ident-iff': $Mp f = f \longleftrightarrow (\text{set } (\text{coeffs } f) \subseteq \{0 .. < m\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

lemma Mp-Mp-pow-is-Mp: $n \neq 0 \implies p > 1 \implies \text{poly-mod.Mp } p (\text{poly-mod.Mp } (p^{\wedge n}) f)$
 $= \text{poly-mod.Mp } p f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma M-M-pow-is-M: $n \neq 0 \implies p > 1 \implies \text{poly-mod.M } p (\text{poly-mod.M } (p^{\wedge n}) f)$
 $= \text{poly-mod.M } p f$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition inverse-mod :: int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int **where**
 $\text{inverse-mod } x m = \text{fst } (\text{bezout-coefficients } x m)$

lemma inverse-mod:
 $(\text{inverse-mod } x m * x) \text{ mod } m = 1$
if coprime $x m$ $m > 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma inverse-mod-pow:
  (inverse-mod x ( $p^{\wedge} n$ ) * x) mod ( $p^{\wedge} n$ ) = 1
  if coprime x p p > 1 n ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in poly-mod) inverse-mod-coprime:
  assumes p: prime m
  and cop: coprime x m shows M (inverse-mod x m * x) = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in poly-mod) inverse-mod-coprime-exp:
  assumes m: m =  $p^{\wedge} n$  and p: prime p
  and n: n ≠ 0 and cop: coprime x p
  shows M (inverse-mod x m * x) = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

locale poly-mod-prime = poly-mod p for p :: int +
  assumes prime: prime p
begin

  sublocale poly-mod-2 p ⟨proof⟩

  lemma square-free-m-prod-imp-coprime-m: assumes sf: square-free-m (A * B)
    shows coprime-m A B
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma coprime-exp-mod: coprime lu p  $\implies$  n ≠ 0  $\implies$  lu mod  $p^{\wedge} n$  ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context poly-mod
begin

  definition Dp :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly where
    Dp f = map-poly (λ a. a div m) f

  lemma Dp-Mp-eq: f = Mp f + smult m (Dp f)
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma dvd-imp-dvdm:
    assumes a dvd b shows a dvdm b
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma dvdm-add:
    assumes a: u dvdm a
    and b: u dvdm b
    shows u dvdm (a+b)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma monic-dvdm-constant:
  assumes uk:  $u \text{ dvdm } [:k:]$ 
  and u1: monic  $u$  and u2: degree  $u > 0$ 
  shows  $k \bmod m = 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma div-mod-imp-dvdm:
  assumes  $\exists q r. b = q * a + \text{Polynomial.smult } m r$ 
  shows  $a \text{ dvdm } b$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lead-coeff-monic-mult:
  fixes  $p :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-semiring-1}, \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors}\} \text{ poly}$ 
  assumes monic  $p$  shows lead-coeff  $(p * q) = \text{lead-coeff } q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-m-mult-eq:
  assumes  $p: \text{monic } p \text{ and } q: \text{lead-coeff } q \bmod m \neq 0 \text{ and } m1: m > 1$ 
  shows degree  $(Mp(p * q)) = \text{degree } p + \text{degree } q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dvdm-imp-degree-le:
  assumes  $pq: p \text{ dvdm } q \text{ and } p: \text{monic } p \text{ and } q0: Mp \neq 0 \text{ and } m1: m > 1$ 
  shows degree  $p \leq \text{degree } q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dvdm-uminus [simp]:
   $p \text{ dvdm } -q \longleftrightarrow p \text{ dvdm } q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mp-const-poly:  $Mp[:a:] = [:a \bmod m:]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dvdm-imp-div-mod:
  assumes  $u \text{ dvdm } g$ 
  shows  $\exists q r. g = q*u + \text{smult } m r$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary div-mod-iff-dvdm:
  shows  $a \text{ dvdm } b = (\exists q r. b = q * a + \text{Polynomial.smult } m r)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dvdmE':
  assumes  $p \text{ dvdm } q \text{ and } \bigwedge r. q =_m p * Mp \implies \text{thesis}$ 
  shows  $\text{thesis}$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

end

context poly-mod-2
begin
lemma factorization-m-mem-dvdm: assumes fact: factorization-m f (c,fs)
  and mem: Mp g ∈# image-mset Mp fs
  shows g dvdm f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dvdm-degree: monic u ==> u dvdm f ==> Mp f ≠ 0 ==> degree u ≤ degree
f
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in poly-mod-prime) pl-dvdm-imp-p-dvdm:
  assumes l0: l ≠ 0
  and pl-dvdm: poly-mod.dvdm (p `l) a b
  shows a dvdm b
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

5.2 Polynomials in a Finite Field

We connect polynomials in a prime field with integer polynomials modulo some prime.

```

theory Poly-Mod-Finite-Field
  imports
    Finite-Field
    Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
    HOL-Types-To-Sets.Types-To-Sets
    More-Missing-Multiset
    Poly-Mod
  begin

  declare rel-mset-Zero[transfer-rule]

  lemma mset-transfer[transfer-rule]: (list-all2 rel ==> rel-mset rel) mset mset
  ⟨proof⟩

  abbreviation to-int-poly :: 'a :: finite mod-ring poly ⇒ int poly where
    to-int-poly ≡ map-poly to-int-mod-ring

  interpretation to-int-poly-hom: map-poly-inj-zero-hom to-int-mod-ring ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma irreducibled-def-0:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1,semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly
  shows irreducibled f = (degree f ≠ 0 ∧
    ( ∀ g h. degree g ≠ 0 → degree h ≠ 0 → f ≠ g * h))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.3 Transferring to class-based mod-ring

```

locale poly-mod-type = poly-mod m
  for m and ty :: 'a :: nontriv itself +
  assumes m: m = CARD('a)
begin

lemma m1: m > 1 ⟨proof⟩

sublocale poly-mod-2 ⟨proof⟩

definition MP-Rel :: int poly ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ bool
  where MP-Rel ff' ≡ (Mp f = to-int-poly f')

definition M-Rel :: int ⇒ 'a mod-ring ⇒ bool
  where M-Rel x x' ≡ (M x = to-int-mod-ring x')

definition MF-Rel ≡ rel-prod M-Rel (rel-mset MP-Rel)

lemma to-int-mod-ring-plus: to-int-mod-ring ((x :: 'a mod-ring) + y) = M (to-int-mod-ring
x + to-int-mod-ring y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-int-mod-ring-times: to-int-mod-ring ((x :: 'a mod-ring) * y) = M (to-int-mod-ring
x * to-int-mod-ring y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> (=)) degree-m degree
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-M-Rel[transfer-rule]: (M-Rel ==> M-Rel ==> (=)) (λ x y. M x =
M y) (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation to-int-mod-ring-hom: map-poly-inj-zero-hom to-int-mod-ring⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel ==> (=)) (=m) (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-Mf-Rel[transfer-rule]: (MF-Rel ==> MF-Rel ==> (=)) (λ x y. Mf
x = Mf y) (=)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas coeff-map-poly-of-int = coeff-map-poly[of of-int, OF of-int-0]

lemma plus-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel) (+)
(+)
⟨proof⟩

lemma times-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel)
((*)) ((*)) 
⟨proof⟩

lemma smult-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: (M-Rel ==> MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel) smult
smult
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-M-Rel[transfer-rule]: M-Rel 1 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: MP-Rel 1 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-M-Rel[transfer-rule]: M-Rel 0 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: MP-Rel 0 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma listprod-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: (list-all2 MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel) prod-list
prod-list
⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-mset-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: (rel-mset MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel) prod-mset
prod-mset
⟨proof⟩

lemma right-unique-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: right-unique MP-Rel
⟨proof⟩

lemma M-to-int-mod-ring: M (to-int-mod-ring (x :: 'a mod-ring)) = to-int-mod-ring
x
⟨proof⟩

lemma Mp-to-int-poly: Mp (to-int-poly (f :: 'a mod-ring poly)) = to-int-poly f
⟨proof⟩

lemma right-total-M-Rel[transfer-rule]: right-total M-Rel
⟨proof⟩

lemma left-total-M-Rel[transfer-rule]: left-total M-Rel

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bi-total-M-Rel[transfer-rule]*: *bi-total M-Rel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-total-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]*: *right-total MP-Rel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *to-int-mod-ring-of-int-M*: *to-int-mod-ring (of-int x :: 'a mod-ring) = M x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mp-f-representative*: *Mp f = to-int-poly (map-poly of-int f :: 'a mod-ring poly)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-total-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]*: *left-total MP-Rel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bi-total-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]*: *bi-total MP-Rel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bi-total-MF-Rel[transfer-rule]*: *bi-total MF-Rel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-total-MF-Rel[transfer-rule]*: *right-total MF-Rel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-total-MF-Rel[transfer-rule]*: *left-total MF-Rel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-RT-rel[transfer-domain-rule]*: *Domainp MP-Rel = ($\lambda f. True$)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]*: *(MP-Rel ==> rel-set MP-Rel ==> (=))*
 $(\lambda x Y. \exists y \in Y. eq-m x y) (\in)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conversep-MP-Rel-OO-MP-Rel [simp]*: *MP-Rel⁻¹⁻¹ OO MP-Rel = (=)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MP-Rel-OO-conversep-MP-Rel [simp]*: *MP-Rel OO MP-Rel⁻¹⁻¹ = eq-m*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conversep-MP-Rel-OO-eq-m [simp]*: *MP-Rel⁻¹⁻¹ OO eq-m = MP-Rel⁻¹⁻¹*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-m-OO-MP-Rel [simp]*: *eq-m OO MP-Rel = MP-Rel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma eq-mset-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (rel-mset MP-Rel ==> rel-mset MP-Rel
==> (=)) (rel-mset eq-m) (=)
⟨proof⟩

lemma dvd-MP-Rel[transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel ==> (=)) (dvd़)
(dvd)
⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> (=)) irreducible-m irreducible
⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible_d-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> (=)) irreducible_d-m irreducible_d
⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIV-M-Rel[transfer-rule]: rel-set M-Rel {0..<m} UNIV
⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> (=) ==> M-Rel) coeff
coeff
⟨proof⟩

lemma M-1-1: M 1 = 1 ⟨proof⟩

lemma square-free-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> (=)) square-free-m square-free
⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-factors-m-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (rel-mset MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel
==> (=)) mset-factors-m mset-factors
⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> MP-Rel ==> (=)) co-prime-m coprime
⟨proof⟩

lemma prime-elem-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> (=)) prime-elem-m prime-elem
⟨proof⟩

end

context poly-mod-2 begin

lemma non-empty: {0..<m} ≠ {} ⟨proof⟩

lemma type-to-set:
assumes type-def:  $\exists (Rep :: 'b \Rightarrow int) Abs.$  type-definition Rep Abs {0 ..< m :: int}

```

```

shows class.nontriv (TYPE('b)) (is ?a) and m = int CARD('b) (is ?b)
⟨proof⟩

end

locale poly-mod-prime-type = poly-mod-type m ty for m :: int and
ty :: 'a :: prime-card itself
begin

lemma factorization-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]:
(MP-Rel ==> MF-Rel ==> (=)) factorization-m (factorization Irr-Mon)
⟨proof⟩

lemma unique-factorization-MP-Rel [transfer-rule]: (MP-Rel ==> MF-Rel ==>
(=))
unique-factorization-m (unique-factorization Irr-Mon)
⟨proof⟩

end

context begin
private lemma 1: poly-mod-type TYPE('a :: nontriv) m = (m = int CARD('a))
and 2: class.nontriv TYPE('a) = (CARD('a) ≥ 2)
⟨proof⟩ lemma 3: poly-mod-prime-type TYPE('b) m = (m = int CARD('b))
and 4: class.prime-card TYPE('b :: prime-card) = prime CARD('b :: prime-card)

⟨proof⟩

lemmas poly-mod-type-simps = 1 2 3 4
end

lemma remove-duplicate-premise: (PROP P ==> PROP P ==> PROP Q) ≡ (PROP
P ==> PROP Q) (is ?l ≡ ?r)
⟨proof⟩

context poly-mod-prime begin

lemma type-to-set:
assumes type-def: ∃(Rep :: 'b ⇒ int) Abs. type-definition Rep Abs {0 ..< p :: int}
shows class.prime-card (TYPE('b)) (is ?a) and p = int CARD('b) (is ?b)
⟨proof⟩
end

lemmas (in poly-mod-type) prime-elem-m-dvdm-multD = prime-elem-dvd-multD
[where 'a = 'a mod-ring poly,untransferred]

```

```

lemmas (in poly-mod-2) prime-elem-m-dvdm-multD = poly-mod-type.prime-elem-m-dvdm-multD
  [unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: nontriv, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas(in poly-mod-prime-type) degree-m-mult-eq = degree-mult-eq
  [where 'a = 'a mod-ring, untransferred]
lemmas(in poly-mod-prime) degree-m-mult-eq = poly-mod-prime-type.degree-m-mult-eq
  [unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemma(in poly-mod-prime) irreducibled-lifting:
assumes n: n ≠ 0
  and deg: poly-mod.degree-m (p^n) f = degree-m f
  and irr: irreducibled-m f
  shows poly-mod.irreducibled-m (p^n) f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas (in poly-mod-prime-type) mset-factors-exist =
  mset-factors-exist[where 'a = 'a mod-ring poly,untransferred]
lemmas (in poly-mod-prime) mset-factors-exist = poly-mod-prime-type.mset-factors-exist
  [unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas (in poly-mod-prime-type) mset-factors-unique =
  mset-factors-unique[where 'a = 'a mod-ring poly,untransferred]
lemmas (in poly-mod-prime) mset-factors-unique = poly-mod-prime-type.mset-factors-unique
  [unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas (in poly-mod-prime-type) prime-elem-iff-irreducible =
  prime-elem-iff-irreducible[where 'a = 'a mod-ring poly,untransferred]
lemmas (in poly-mod-prime) prime-elem-iff-irreducible[simp] = poly-mod-prime-type.prime-elem-iff-irreducible
  [unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas (in poly-mod-prime-type) irreducible-connect =
  irreducible-connect-field[where 'a = 'a mod-ring, untransferred]
lemmas (in poly-mod-prime) irreducible-connect[simp] = poly-mod-prime-type.irreducible-connect
  [unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas (in poly-mod-prime-type) irreducible-degree =
  irreducible-degree-field[where 'a = 'a mod-ring, untransferred]
lemmas (in poly-mod-prime) irreducible-degree = poly-mod-prime-type.irreducible-degree
  [unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

```

end

5.4 Karatsuba's Multiplication Algorithm for Polynomials

theory *Karatsuba-Multiplication*

imports

Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial

begin

lemma *karatsuba-main-step*: **fixes** $f :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly}$
assumes $f = \text{monom-mult } n f_1 + f_0$ **and** $g = \text{monom-mult } n g_1 + g_0$
shows
 $\text{monom-mult } (n + n) (f_1 * g_1) + (\text{monom-mult } n (f_1 * g_1 - (f_1 - f_0) * (g_1 - g_0) + f_0 * g_0) + f_0 * g_0) = f * g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *karatsuba-single-sided*: **fixes** $f :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 poly}$
assumes $f = \text{monom-mult } n f_1 + f_0$
shows $\text{monom-mult } n (f_1 * g) + f_0 * g = f * g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *split-at* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \times 'a \text{ list}$ **where**
 $[code\ del]: \text{split-at } n xs = (\text{take } n xs, \text{drop } n xs)$

lemma *split-at-code*[*code*]:
 $\text{split-at } n [] = ([][], [])$
 $\text{split-at } n (x \# xs) = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } ([][], x \# xs) \text{ else case } \text{split-at } (n-1) xs \text{ of}$
 $(\text{bef}, \text{aft}) \Rightarrow (x \# \text{bef}, \text{aft}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *coeffs-minus* :: $'a :: \text{ab-group-add list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ **where**
 $\text{coeffs-minus } (x \# xs) (y \# ys) = ((x - y) \# \text{coeffs-minus } xs ys)$
 $| \text{coeffs-minus } xs [] = xs$
 $| \text{coeffs-minus } [] ys = \text{map uminus } ys$

The following constant determines at which size we will switch to the standard multiplication algorithm.

definition *karatsuba-lower-bound* **where** [*termination-simp*]: *karatsuba-lower-bound* $= (7 :: \text{nat})$

fun *karatsuba-main* :: $'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly}$
where
 $\text{karatsuba-main } f n g m = (\text{if } n \leq \text{karatsuba-lower-bound} \vee m \leq \text{karatsuba-lower-bound}$
 then
 $\quad \text{let } ff = \text{poly-of-list } f \text{ in } \text{foldr } (\lambda a p. \text{smult } a ff + p \text{Cons } 0 p) g 0$
 $\quad \text{else let } n2 = n \text{ div } 2 \text{ in}$
 $\quad \text{if } m > n2 \text{ then } (\text{case } \text{split-at } n2 f \text{ of}$

```

(f0,f1) ⇒ case split-at n2 g of
(g0,g1) ⇒ let
  p1 = karatsuba-main f1 (n - n2) g1 (m - n2);
  p2 = karatsuba-main (coeffs-minus f1 f0) n2 (coeffs-minus g1 g0) n2;
  p3 = karatsuba-main f0 n2 g0 n2
  in monom-mult (n2 + n2) p1 + (monom-mult n2 (p1 - p2 + p3) + p3))
else case split-at n2 f of
(f0,f1) ⇒ let
  p1 = karatsuba-main f1 (n - n2) g m;
  p2 = karatsuba-main f0 n2 g m
  in monom-mult n2 p1 + p2)

```

declare karatsuba-main.simps[simp del]

lemma poly-of-list-split-at: **assumes** split-at n f = (f0,f1)
shows poly-of-list f = monom-mult n (poly-of-list f1) + poly-of-list f0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma coeffs-minus: poly-of-list (coeffs-minus f1 f0) = poly-of-list f1 - poly-of-list f0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma karatsuba-main: karatsuba-main f n g m = poly-of-list f * poly-of-list g
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition karatsuba-mult-poly :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly \Rightarrow 'a poly **where**
 karatsuba-mult-poly f g = (let ff = coeffs f; gg = coeffs g; n = length ff; m = length gg
 in (if n \leq karatsuba-lower-bound \vee m \leq karatsuba-lower-bound then if n \leq m
 then foldr ($\lambda a p.$ smult a g + pCons 0 p) ff 0
 else foldr ($\lambda a p.$ smult a f + pCons 0 p) gg 0
 else if n \leq m
 then karatsuba-main gg m ff n
 else karatsuba-main ff n gg m))

lemma karatsuba-mult-poly: karatsuba-mult-poly f g = f * g
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma karatsuba-mult-poly-code-unfold[code-unfold]: (*) = karatsuba-mult-poly
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following declaration will resolve a race-conflict between (*) = karatsuba-mult-poly and monom 1 ?n * ?f = monom-mult ?n ?f
 $?f * monom 1 ?n = monom-mult ?n ?f$

lemmas karatsuba-monom-mult-code-unfold[code-unfold] =
 monom-mult-unfold[**where** f = f :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly **for** f, unfolded karatsuba-mult-poly-code-unfold]

```
end
```

5.5 Record Based Version

We provide an implementation for polynomials which may be parametrized by the ring- or field-operations. These don't have to be type-based!

5.5.1 Definitions

```
theory Polynomial-Record-Based
imports
  Arithmetic-Record-Based
  Karatsuba-Multiplication
begin

context
  fixes ops :: 'i arith-ops-record (structure)
begin
  private abbreviation (input) zero where zero ≡ arith-ops-record.zero ops
  private abbreviation (input) one where one ≡ arith-ops-record.one ops
  private abbreviation (input) plus where plus ≡ arith-ops-record.plus ops
  private abbreviation (input) times where times ≡ arith-ops-record.times ops
  private abbreviation (input) minus where minus ≡ arith-ops-record.minus ops
  private abbreviation (input) uminus where uminus ≡ arith-ops-record.uminus
    ops
  private abbreviation (input) divide where divide ≡ arith-ops-record.divide ops
  private abbreviation (input) inverse where inverse ≡ arith-ops-record.inverse
    ops
  private abbreviation (input) modulo where modulo ≡ arith-ops-record.modulo
    ops
  private abbreviation (input) normalize where normalize ≡ arith-ops-record.normalize
    ops
  private abbreviation (input) unit-factor where unit-factor ≡ arith-ops-record.unit-factor
    ops
  private abbreviation (input) DP where DP ≡ arith-ops-record.DP ops

  definition is-poly :: 'i list ⇒ bool where
    is-poly xs ↔ list-all DP xs ∧ no-trailing (HOL.eq zero) xs

  definition cCons-i :: 'i ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list
  where
    cCons-i x xs = (if xs = [] ∧ x = zero then [] else x # xs)

  fun plus-poly-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
    plus-poly-i (x # xs) (y # ys) = cCons-i (plus x y) (plus-poly-i xs ys)
    | plus-poly-i xs [] = xs
    | plus-poly-i [] ys = ys

  definition uminus-poly-i :: 'i list ⇒ 'i list where
```

```

[code-unfold]: uminus-poly-i = map uminus

fun minus-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  minus-poly-i (x # xs) (y # ys) = cCons-i (minus x y) (minus-poly-i xs ys)
  | minus-poly-i xs [] = xs
  | minus-poly-i [] ys = uminus-poly-i ys

abbreviation (input) zero-poly-i :: 'i list where
  zero-poly-i  $\equiv$  []

definition one-poly-i :: 'i list where
  [code-unfold]: one-poly-i = [one]

definition smult-i :: 'i  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  smult-i a pp = (if a = zero then [] else strip-while ((=) zero) (map (times a) pp))

definition sdiv-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  sdiv-i pp a = (strip-while ((=) zero) (map ( $\lambda$  c. divide c a) pp))

definition poly-of-list-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  poly-of-list-i = strip-while ((=) zero)

fun coeffs-minus-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  coeffs-minus-i (x # xs) (y # ys) = (minus x y # coeffs-minus-i xs ys)
  | coeffs-minus-i xs [] = xs
  | coeffs-minus-i [] ys = map uminus ys

definition monom-mult-i :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  monom-mult-i n xs = (if xs = [] then xs else replicate n zero @ xs)

fun karatsuba-main-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  karatsuba-main-i f n g m = (if n  $\leq$  karatsuba-lower-bound  $\vee$  m  $\leq$  karatsuba-lower-bound
  then
    let ff = poly-of-list-i f in foldr ( $\lambda$ a p. plus-poly-i (smult-i a ff) (cCons-i zero p))
    g zero-poly-i
    else let n2 = n div 2 in
      if m > n2 then (case split-at n2 f of
        (f0,f1)  $\Rightarrow$  case split-at n2 g of
        (g0,g1)  $\Rightarrow$  let
          p1 = karatsuba-main-i f1 (n - n2) g1 (m - n2);
          p2 = karatsuba-main-i (coeffs-minus-i f1 f0) n2 (coeffs-minus-i g1 g0) n2;
          p3 = karatsuba-main-i f0 n2 g0 n2
          in plus-poly-i (monom-mult-i (n2 + n2) p1)
          (plus-poly-i (monom-mult-i n2 (plus-poly-i (minus-poly-i p1 p2) p3)) p3))
        else case split-at n2 f of
        (f0,f1)  $\Rightarrow$  let
          p1 = karatsuba-main-i f1 (n - n2) g m;
          p2 = karatsuba-main-i f0 n2 g m
        
```

in plus-poly-i (monom-mult-i n2 p1) p2)

```

definition times-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  times-poly-i f g  $\equiv$  (let n = length f; m = length g
    in (if n  $\leq$  karatsuba-lower-bound  $\vee$  m  $\leq$  karatsuba-lower-bound then if n  $\leq$  m
      then
        foldr ( $\lambda$ a p. plus-poly-i (smult-i a g) (cCons-i zero p)) f zero-poly-i else
        foldr ( $\lambda$ a p. plus-poly-i (smult-i a f) (cCons-i zero p)) g zero-poly-i else
        if n  $\leq$  m then karatsuba-main-i g m f n else karatsuba-main-i f n g m))

definition coeff-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i where
  coeff-i = nth-default zero

definition degree-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  degree-i pp  $\equiv$  length pp - 1

definition lead-coeff-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i where
  lead-coeff-i pp = (case pp of []  $\Rightarrow$  zero | _  $\Rightarrow$  last pp)

definition monic-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  monic-i pp = (lead-coeff-i pp = one)

fun minus-poly-rev-list-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  minus-poly-rev-list-i (x # xs) (y # ys) = (minus x y) # (minus-poly-rev-list-i xs
  ys)
  | minus-poly-rev-list-i xs [] = xs
  | minus-poly-rev-list-i [] (y # ys) = []

fun divmod-poly-one-main-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list
   $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\times$  'i list where
  divmod-poly-one-main-i q r d (Suc n) = (let
    a = hd r;
    qqq = cCons-i a q;
    rr = tl (if a = zero then r else minus-poly-rev-list-i r (map (times a) d))
    in divmod-poly-one-main-i qqq rr d n)
  | divmod-poly-one-main-i q r d 0 = (q,r)

fun mod-poly-one-main-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list
   $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  mod-poly-one-main-i r d (Suc n) = (let
    a = hd r;
    rr = tl (if a = zero then r else minus-poly-rev-list-i r (map (times a) d))
    in mod-poly-one-main-i rr d n)
  | mod-poly-one-main-i r d 0 = r

definition pdivmod-monic-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\times$  'i list where
  pdivmod-monic-i cf cg  $\equiv$  case
    divmod-poly-one-main-i [] (rev cf) (rev cg) (1 + length cf - length cg)
    of (q,r)  $\Rightarrow$  (poly-of-list-i q, poly-of-list-i (rev r))

```

```

definition dupe-monic-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\times$  'i list where
  dupe-monic-i D H S T U = (case pdivmod-monic-i (times-poly-i T U) D of (Q,R)
   $\Rightarrow$ 
    (plus-poly-i (times-poly-i S U) (times-poly-i H Q), R))

definition of-int-poly-i :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  of-int-poly-i f = map (arith-ops-record.of-int ops) (coeffs f)

definition to-int-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  int poly where
  to-int-poly-i f = poly-of-list (map (arith-ops-record.to-int ops) f)

definition dupe-monic-i-int :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly
   $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\times$  int poly where
  dupe-monic-i-int D H S T = (let
    d = of-int-poly-i D;
    h = of-int-poly-i H;
    s = of-int-poly-i S;
    t = of-int-poly-i T
    in ( $\lambda$  U. case dupe-monic-i d h s t (of-int-poly-i U) of
      (D',H')  $\Rightarrow$  (to-int-poly-i D', to-int-poly-i H')))

definition div-field-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  div-field-poly-i cf cg = (
    if cg = [] then zero-poly-i
    else let ilc = inverse (last cg); ch = map (times ilc) cg;
        q = fst (divmod-poly-one-main-i [] (rev cf) (rev ch) (1 + length cf
        - length cg))
        in poly-of-list-i ((map (times ilc) q)))

definition mod-field-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  mod-field-poly-i cf cg = (
    if cg = [] then cf
    else let ilc = inverse (last cg); ch = map (times ilc) cg;
        r = mod-poly-one-main-i (rev cf) (rev ch) (1 + length cf - length
        cg)
        in poly-of-list-i (rev r))

definition normalize-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  normalize-poly-i xs = smult-i (inverse (unit-factor (lead-coeff-i xs))) xs

definition unit-factor-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  unit-factor-poly-i xs = cCons-i (unit-factor (lead-coeff-i xs)) []

fun pderiv-main-i :: 'i  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  pderiv-main-i f (x # xs) = cCons-i (times f x) (pderiv-main-i (plus f one) xs)
  | pderiv-main-i f [] = []

```

```

definition pderiv-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  pderiv-i xs = pderiv-main-i one (tl xs)

definition dvd-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  dvd-poly-i xs ys = ( $\exists$  zs. is-poly zs  $\wedge$  ys = times-poly-i xs zs)

definition irreducible-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  irreducible-i xs = (degree-i xs  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  q r. is-poly q  $\longrightarrow$  is-poly r  $\longrightarrow$  degree-i q < degree-i xs  $\longrightarrow$  degree-i r < degree-i
    xs
     $\longrightarrow$  xs  $\neq$  times-poly-i q r))

definition poly-ops :: 'i list arith-ops-record where
  poly-ops  $\equiv$  Arith-Ops-Record
    zero-poly-i
    one-poly-i
    plus-poly-i
    times-poly-i
    minus-poly-i
    uminus-poly-i
    div-field-poly-i
    ( $\lambda$  -. []) — not defined
    mod-field-poly-i
    normalize-poly-i
    unit-factor-poly-i
    ( $\lambda$  i. if i = 0 then [] else [arith-ops-record.of-int ops i])
    ( $\lambda$  -. 0) — not defined
    is-poly

definition gcd-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list where
  gcd-poly-i = arith-ops.gcd-eucl-i poly-ops

definition euclid-ext-poly-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  ('i list  $\times$  'i list)  $\times$  'i list where
  euclid-ext-poly-i = arith-ops.euclid-ext-i poly-ops

definition separable-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  separable-i xs  $\equiv$  gcd-poly-i xs (pderiv-i xs) = one-poly-i

end

```

5.5.2 Properties

```

definition pdmod-monadic :: 'a::comm-ring-1 poly  $\Rightarrow$  'a poly  $\Rightarrow$  'a poly  $\times$  'a poly
where
  pdmod-monadic f g  $\equiv$  let cg = coeffs g; cf = coeffs f;
  (q, r) = divmod-poly-one-main-list [] (rev cf) (rev cg) (1 + length cf - length
  cg)
  in (poly-of-list q, poly-of-list (rev r))

```

```

lemma coeffs-smult': coeffs (smult a p) = (if a = 0 then [] else strip-while ((=) 0)
(map (Groups.times a) (coeffs p)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma coeffs-sdiv: coeffs (sdiv-poly p a) = (strip-while ((=) 0) (map (λ x. x div
a) (coeffs p)))
⟨proof⟩

lifting-forget poly.lifting

context ring-ops
begin

definition poly-rel :: 'i list ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ bool where
poly-rel x x' ←→ list-all2 R x (coeffs x')

lemma right-total-poly-rel[transfer-rule]:
right-total poly-rel
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-inj: poly-rel x y ⇒ poly-rel x z ⇒ y = z
⟨proof⟩

lemma bi-unique-poly-rel[transfer-rule]: bi-unique poly-rel
⟨proof⟩

lemma Domainp-is-poly [transfer-domain-rule]:
Domainp poly-rel = is-poly ops
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-zero[transfer-rule]: poly-rel zero-poly-i 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-one[transfer-rule]: poly-rel (one-poly-i ops) 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-cCons[transfer-rule]: (R ==> list-all2 R ==> list-all2 R)
(cCons-i ops) cCons
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-pCons[transfer-rule]: (R ==> poly-rel ==> poly-rel) (cCons-i
ops) pCons
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-rel-eq[transfer-rule]: ( $\text{poly-rel} \implies \text{poly-rel} \implies (=) (=)$ ) ( $=$ ) ( $=$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma poly-rel-plus[transfer-rule]: ( $\text{poly-rel} \implies \text{poly-rel} \implies \text{poly-rel}$ ) ( $\text{plus-poly-i ops}$ ) (+)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma poly-rel-uminus[transfer-rule]: ( $\text{poly-rel} \implies \text{poly-rel}$ ) ( $\text{uminus-poly-i ops}$ )
  Groups.uminus
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma poly-rel-minus[transfer-rule]: ( $\text{poly-rel} \implies \text{poly-rel} \implies \text{poly-rel}$ ) ( $\text{minus-poly-i ops}$ ) (-)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma poly-rel-smult[transfer-rule]: ( $R \implies \text{poly-rel} \implies \text{poly-rel}$ ) ( $\text{smult-i ops}$ ) smult
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma poly-rel-coeffs[transfer-rule]: ( $\text{poly-rel} \implies \text{list-all2 } R$ ) ( $\lambda x. x$ ) coeffs
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma poly-rel-poly-of-list[transfer-rule]: ( $\text{list-all2 } R \implies \text{poly-rel}$ ) ( $\text{poly-of-list-i ops}$ ) poly-of-list
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma poly-rel-monom-mult[transfer-rule]:
  ( $(=) \implies \text{poly-rel} \implies \text{poly-rel}$ ) ( $\text{monom-mult-i ops}$ ) monom-mult
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare karatsuba-main-i.simps[simp del]

lemma list-rel-coeffs-minus-i: assumes list-all2 R x1 x2 list-all2 R y1 y2
  shows list-all2 R (coeffs-minus-i ops x1 y1) (coeffs-minus x2 y2)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma poly-rel-karatsuba-main: list-all2 R x1 x2  $\implies$  list-all2 R y1 y2  $\implies$ 
  poly-rel (karatsuba-main-i ops x1 n y1 m) (karatsuba-main x2 n y2 m)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *poly-rel-times[transfer-rule]*: (*poly-rel* ==> *poly-rel* ==> *poly-rel*) (*times-poly-i ops*) ((*))
<proof>

lemma *poly-rel-coeff[transfer-rule]*: (*poly-rel* ==> (=) ==> *R*) (*coeff-i ops*)
coeff
<proof>

lemma *poly-rel-degree[transfer-rule]*: (*poly-rel* ==> (=)) *degree-i degree*
<proof>

lemma *lead-coeff-i-def'*: *lead-coeff-i ops x* = (*coeff-i ops*) *x (degree-i x)*
<proof>

lemma *poly-rel-lead-coeff[transfer-rule]*: (*poly-rel* ==> *R*) (*lead-coeff-i ops*) *lead-coeff*
<proof>

lemma *poly-rel-minus-poly-rev-list[transfer-rule]*:
(*list-all2 R* ==> *list-all2 R* ==> *list-all2 R*) (*minus-poly-rev-list-i ops*) *minus-poly-rev-list*
<proof>

lemma *divmod-poly-one-main-i*: **assumes** *len: n ≤ length Y* **and** *rel: list-all2 R x X list-all2 R y Y*
list-all2 R z Z **and** *n: n = N*
shows *rel-prod (list-all2 R) (list-all2 R) (divmod-poly-one-main-i ops x y z n)*
(divmod-poly-one-main-list X Y Z N)
<proof>

lemma *mod-poly-one-main-i*: **assumes** *len: n ≤ length X* **and** *rel: list-all2 R x X list-all2 R y Y*
and *n: n = N*
shows *list-all2 R (mod-poly-one-main-i ops x y n)*
(mod-poly-one-main-list X Y N)
<proof>

lemma *poly-rel-dvd[transfer-rule]*: (*poly-rel* ==> *poly-rel* ==> (=)) (*dvd-poly-i ops*) (*dvd*)
<proof>

lemma *poly-rel-monic[transfer-rule]*: (*poly-rel* ==> (=)) (*monic-i ops*) *monic*
<proof>

```

lemma poly-rel-pdivmod-monic: assumes mon: monic Y
  and x: poly-rel x X and y: poly-rel y Y
  shows rel-prod poly-rel poly-rel (pdivmod-monic-i ops x y) (pdivmod-monic X Y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ring-ops-poly: ring-ops (poly-ops ops) poly-rel
  ⟨proof⟩
end

context idom-ops
begin

lemma poly-rel-pderiv [transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> poly-rel) (pderiv-i ops) pderiv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-irreducible[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> (=)) (irreducible-i ops)
  irreducible_d
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma idom-ops-poly: idom-ops (poly-ops ops) poly-rel
  ⟨proof⟩
end

context idom-divide-ops
begin

lemma poly-rel-sdiv[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> R ==> poly-rel) (sdiv-i ops)
  sdiv-poly
  ⟨proof⟩
end

context field-ops
begin

lemma poly-rel-div[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> poly-rel ==> poly-rel)
  (div-field-poly-i ops) (div)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-mod[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> poly-rel ==> poly-rel)
  (mod-field-poly-i ops) (mod)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-normalize [transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> poly-rel)
  (normalize-poly-i ops) Rings.normalize
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-rel-unit-factor [transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> poly-rel)
  (unit-factor-poly-i ops) Rings.unit-factor
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma idom-divide-ops-poly: idom-divide-ops (poly-ops ops) poly-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma euclidean-ring-ops-poly: euclidean-ring-ops (poly-ops ops) poly-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-gcd [transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> poly-rel ==> poly-rel) (gcd-poly-i
  ops) gcd
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-euclid-ext [transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> poly-rel ==>
  rel-prod (rel-prod poly-rel poly-rel) poly-rel) (euclid-ext-poly-i ops) euclid-ext
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context ring-ops
begin
notepad
begin
  ⟨proof⟩
end
end
end

```

5.5.3 Over a Finite Field

```

theory Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based
imports
  Poly-Mod-Finite-Field
  Finite-Field-Record-Based
  Polynomial-Record-Based
begin

locale arith-ops-record = arith-ops ops + poly-mod m for ops :: 'i arith-ops-record
and m :: int
begin
definition M-rel-i :: 'i ⇒ int ⇒ bool where
  M-rel-i f F = (arith-ops-record.to-int ops f = M F)

```

```

definition Mp-rel-i :: 'i list ⇒ int poly ⇒ bool where
  Mp-rel-i f F = (map (arith-ops-record.to-int ops) f = coeffs (Mp F))

lemma Mp-rel-i-Mp[simp]: Mp-rel-i f (Mp F) = Mp-rel-i f F ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mp-rel-i-Mp-to-int-poly-i: Mp-rel-i f F ⟹ Mp (to-int-poly-i ops f) =
  to-int-poly-i ops f
  ⟨proof⟩
end

locale mod-ring-gen = ring-ops ff-ops R for ff-ops :: 'i arith-ops-record and
  R :: 'i ⇒ 'a :: nontriv mod-ring ⇒ bool +
  fixes p :: int
  assumes p: p = int CARD('a)
  and of-int: 0 ≤ x ⟹ x < p ⟹ R (arith-ops-record.of-int ff-ops x) (of-int x)
  and to-int: R y z ⟹ arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops y = to-int-mod-ring z
  and to-int': 0 ≤ arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops y ⟹ arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops
    y < p ⟹
    R y (of-int (arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops y))
begin

lemma nat-p: nat p = CARD('a) ⟨proof⟩

sublocale poly-mod-type p TYPE('a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeffs-to-int-poly: coeffs (to-int-poly (x :: 'a mod-ring poly)) = map to-int-mod-ring
  (coeffs x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeffs-of-int-poly: coeffs (of-int-poly (Mp x) :: 'a mod-ring poly) = map
  of-int (coeffs (Mp x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-int-poly-i: assumes poly-rel f g shows to-int-poly-i ff-ops f = to-int-poly
  g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rel-of-int-poly: assumes id: f' = of-int-poly-i ff-ops (Mp f) f'' =
  of-int-poly (Mp f)
  shows poly-rel f' f'' ⟨proof⟩

sublocale arith-ops-record ff-ops p ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mp-rel-iI: poly-rel f1 f2 ⟹ MP-Rel f3 f2 ⟹ Mp-rel-i f1 f3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma M-rel-iI: R f1 f2 ⟹ M-Rel f3 f2 ⟹ M-rel-i f1 f3
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma M-rel-iI': assumes R f1 f2
  shows M-rel-i f1 (arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops f1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mp-rel-iI': assumes poly-rel f1 f2
  shows Mp-rel-i f1 (to-int-poly-i ff-ops f1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma M-rel-iD: assumes M-rel-i f1 f3
  shows
    R f1 (of-int (M f3))
    M-Rel f3 (of-int (M f3))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mp-rel-iD: assumes Mp-rel-i f1 f3
  shows
    poly-rel f1 (of-int-poly (Mp f3))
    MP-Rel f3 (of-int-poly (Mp f3))
  ⟨proof⟩
end

locale prime-field-gen = field-ops ff-ops R + mod-ring-gen ff-ops R p for ff-ops :: 'i arith-ops-record and
  R :: 'i ⇒ 'a :: prime-card mod-ring ⇒ bool and p :: int
begin

  sublocale poly-mod-prime-type p TYPE('a)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in mod-ring-locale) mod-ring-rel-of-int:
  0 ≤ x ⇒ x < p ⇒ mod-ring-rel x (of-int x)
  ⟨proof⟩

context prime-field
begin

lemma prime-field-finite-field-ops-int: prime-field-gen (finite-field-ops-int p) mod-ring-rel
  p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prime-field-finite-field-ops-integer: prime-field-gen (finite-field-ops-integer
  (integer-of-int p)) mod-ring-rel-integer p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prime-field-finite-field-ops32: assumes small: p ≤ 65535

```

```

shows prime-field-gen (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int p)) mod-ring-rel32 p
⟨proof⟩

lemma prime-field-finite-field-ops64: assumes small:  $p \leq 4294967295$ 
shows prime-field-gen (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p)) mod-ring-rel64 p
⟨proof⟩
end

context mod-ring-locale
begin
lemma mod-ring-finite-field-ops-int: mod-ring-gen (finite-field-ops-int p) mod-ring-rel
p
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-finite-field-ops-integer: mod-ring-gen (finite-field-ops-integer (integer-of-int
p)) mod-ring-rel-integer p
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-finite-field-ops32: assumes small:  $p \leq 65535$ 
shows mod-ring-gen (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int p)) mod-ring-rel32 p
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-ring-finite-field-ops64: assumes small:  $p \leq 4294967295$ 
shows mod-ring-gen (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p)) mod-ring-rel64 p
⟨proof⟩
end

end

```

5.6 Chinese Remainder Theorem for Polynomials

We prove the Chinese Remainder Theorem, and strengthen it by showing uniqueness

```

theory Chinese-Remainder-Poly
imports
  HOL-Number-Theory.Residues
  Polynomial-Factorization.Polynomial-Irreducibility
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial
begin

lemma cong-add-poly:
   $[(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) = b] \pmod{m} \implies [c = d] \pmod{m} \implies [a + c = b + d] \pmod{m}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cong-mult-poly:
   $[(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) = b] \pmod{m} \implies [c = d] \pmod{m} \implies [a * c = b * d] \pmod{m}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-mult-self-poly*: $[(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) * m = 0] \pmod{m}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-scalar2-poly*: $[(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) = b] \pmod{m} \implies [k * a = k * b] \pmod{m}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-sum-poly*:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies [(f x)::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly] = g x) \pmod{m} \implies$
 $[(\sum x \in A. f x) = (\sum x \in A. g x)] \pmod{m}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-iff-lin-poly*: $(([a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly] = b) \pmod{m}) = (\exists k. b = a + m * k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-solve-poly*: $(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) \neq 0 \implies \exists x. [a * x = gcd a n] \pmod{n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-solve-coprime-poly*:
assumes *coprime-an:coprime* $(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) n$
shows $\exists x. [a * x = 1] \pmod{n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-dvd-modulus-poly*:
 $[x = y] \pmod{m} \implies n \text{ dvd } m \implies [x = y] \pmod{n}$ **for** $x y :: 'b::\{field-gcd\} poly$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *chinese-remainder-aux-poly*:
fixes $A :: 'a set$
and $m :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\{field-gcd\} poly$
assumes *fin: finite A*
and *cop: $\forall i \in A. (\forall j \in A. i \neq j \longrightarrow coprime (m i) (m j))$*
shows $\exists b. (\forall i \in A. [b i = 1] \pmod{m i} \wedge [b i = 0] \pmod{(\prod j \in A - \{i\}. m j)})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *chinese-remainder-poly*:
fixes $A :: 'a set$
and $m :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\{field-gcd\} poly$
and $u :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b poly$
assumes *fin: finite A*
and *cop: $\forall i \in A. (\forall j \in A. i \neq j \longrightarrow coprime (m i) (m j))$*

shows $\exists x. (\forall i \in A. [x = u i] (mod m i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-trans-poly*:

$[(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) = b] (mod m) \implies [b = c] (mod m) \implies [a = c] (mod m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-mod-poly*: $(n::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) \sim= 0 \implies [a mod n = a] (mod n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-sym-poly*: $[(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) = b] (mod m) \implies [b = a] (mod m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-1-poly*: $[(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) = b] (mod 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coprime-cong-mult-poly*:

assumes $[(a::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) = b] (mod m)$ **and** $[a = b] (mod n)$ **and** *coprime*
 $m n$
shows $[a = b] (mod m * n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coprime-cong-prod-poly*:

$(\forall i \in A. (\forall j \in A. i \neq j \longrightarrow coprime (m i) (m j))) \implies$
 $(\forall i \in A. [(x::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) = y] (mod m i)) \implies$
 $[x = y] (mod (\prod i \in A. m i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-less-modulus-unique-poly*:

$[(x::'b::\{field-gcd\} poly) = y] (mod m) \implies degree x < degree m \implies degree y <$
 $degree m \implies x = y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *chinese-remainder-unique-poly*:

fixes $A :: 'a set$
and $m :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\{field-gcd\} poly$
and $u :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b poly$
assumes $nz: \forall i \in A. (m i) \neq 0$
and $cop: \forall i \in A. (\forall j \in A. i \neq j \longrightarrow coprime (m i) (m j))$
and *not-constant*: $0 < degree (prod m A)$
shows $\exists!x. degree x < (\sum i \in A. degree (m i)) \wedge (\forall i \in A. [x = u i] (mod m i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
end
```

6 The Berlekamp Algorithm

```
theory Berlekamp-Type-Based
imports
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix-Kernel
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-Elimination
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Missing-VectorSpace
  Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
  Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Multiset
  Finite-Field
  Chinese-Remainder-Poly
  Poly-Mod-Finite-Field
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring
begin

hide-const (open) up-ring.coeff up-ring.monom Modules.module subspace
Modules.module-hom
```

6.1 Auxiliary lemmas

```
context
  fixes g :: 'b ⇒ 'a :: comm-monoid-mult
begin
lemma prod-list-map-filter: prod-list (map g (filter f xs)) * prod-list (map g (filter
(λ x. ¬ f x) xs))
  = prod-list (map g xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-list-map-partition:
  assumes List.partition f xs = (ys, zs)
  shows prod-list (map g xs) = prod-list (map g ys) * prod-list (map g zs)
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma coprime-id-is-unit:
  fixes a::'b::semiring-gcd
  shows coprime a a ⟷ is-unit a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-vec-of-list[simp]: dim-vec (vec-of-list x) = length x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-list-of-vec[simp]: length (list-of-vec A) = dim-vec A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-of-vec-vec-of-list[simp]: list-of-vec (vec-of-list a) = a
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

context
assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a::finite)
begin

lemma inj-Poly-list-of-vec': inj-on (Poly o list-of-vec) {v. dim-vec v = n}
<proof>

corollary inj-Poly-list-of-vec: inj-on (Poly o list-of-vec) (carrier-vec n)
<proof>

lemma list-of-vec-rw-map: list-of-vec m = map (λn. m $ n) [0..<dim-vec m]
<proof>

lemma degree-Poly':
assumes xs: xs ≠ []
shows degree (Poly xs) < length xs
<proof>

lemma vec-of-list-list-of-vec[simp]: vec-of-list (list-of-vec a) = a
<proof>

lemma row-mat-of-rows-list:
assumes b: b < length A
and nc: ∀ i. i < length A → length (A ! i) = nc
shows (row (mat-of-rows-list nc A) b) = vec-of-list (A ! b)
<proof>

lemma degree-Poly-list-of-vec:
assumes n: x ∈ carrier-vec n
and n0: n > 0
shows degree (Poly (list-of-vec x)) < n
<proof>

lemma list-of-vec-nth:
assumes i: i < dim-vec x
shows list-of-vec x ! i = x $ i
<proof>

lemma coeff-Poly-list-of-vec-nth':
assumes i: i < dim-vec x
shows coeff (Poly (list-of-vec x)) i = x $ i
<proof>

lemma list-of-vec-row-nth:
assumes x: x < dim-col A
shows list-of-vec (row A i) ! x = A $$ (i, x)
<proof>

```

```

lemma coeff-Poly-list-of-vec-nth:
assumes  $x : x < \dim\text{-}\mathit{col} A$ 
shows  $\mathit{coeff}(\mathit{Poly}(\mathit{list}\text{-}\mathit{of}\text{-}\mathit{vec}(\mathit{row} A i))) x = A \mathbin{\$\$} (i, x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-on-list-of-vec: inj-on list-of-vec (carrier-vec n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vec-of-list-carrier[simp]: vec-of-list  $x \in \mathit{carrier}\text{-}\mathit{vec}(\mathit{length} x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma card-carrier-vec: card ( $\mathit{carrier}\text{-}\mathit{vec} n :: 'b :: \mathit{finite} \mathit{vec} \mathit{set}$ ) = CARD('b)  $\wedge n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-carrier-vec[simp]: finite ( $\mathit{carrier}\text{-}\mathit{vec} n :: 'b :: \mathit{finite} \mathit{vec} \mathit{set}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma row-echelon-form-dim0-row:
assumes  $A \in \mathit{carrier}\text{-}\mathit{mat} 0 n$ 
shows row-echelon-form A
⟨proof⟩

lemma row-echelon-form-dim0-col:
assumes  $A \in \mathit{carrier}\text{-}\mathit{mat} n 0$ 
shows row-echelon-form A
⟨proof⟩

lemma row-echelon-form-one-dim0[simp]: row-echelon-form ( $1_m 0$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma Poly-list-of-vec-0[simp]: Poly (list-of-vec (0_v 0)) = [:0:]
⟨proof⟩

lemma monic-normalize:
assumes  $(p :: 'b :: \{\mathit{field}, \mathit{euclidean-ring-gcd}\} \mathit{poly}) \neq 0$  shows monic (normalize p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-factorization-prod-list:
fixes  $P :: 'b :: \mathit{field} \mathit{poly} \mathit{list}$ 
assumes degree (prod-list P) > 0
and  $\bigwedge u. u \in \mathit{set} P \implies \mathit{degree} u > 0 \wedge \mathit{monic} u$ 
and square-free (prod-list P)
shows  $\exists Q. \mathit{prod-list} Q = \mathit{prod-list} P \wedge \mathit{length} P \leq \mathit{length} Q$ 
 $\wedge (\forall u. u \in \mathit{set} Q \longrightarrow \mathit{irreducible} u \wedge \mathit{monic} u)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma normalize-eq-imp-smult:
  fixes p :: 'b :: {euclidean-ring-gcd} poly
  assumes n: normalize p = normalize q
  shows ∃ c. c ≠ 0 ∧ q = smult c p
⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-list-normalize:
  fixes P :: 'b :: {idom-divide,normalization-semidom-multiplicative} poly list
  shows normalize (prod-list P) = prod-list (map normalize P)
⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-list-dvd-prod-list-subset:
  fixes A::'b::comm-monoid-mult list
  assumes dA: distinct A
  and dB: distinct B
  and s: set A ⊆ set B
  shows prod-list A dvd prod-list B
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma gcdmonic-constant:
  gcd f g ∈ {1, f} if monic f and degree g = 0
  for f g :: 'a :: {field-gcd} poly
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-find-base-vectors:
  fixes A::'a::field mat
  assumes ref: row-echelon-form A
  and A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
  shows distinct (find-base-vectors A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-find-base-vectors:
  fixes A::'a::field mat
  assumes ref: row-echelon-form A
  and A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
  shows length (find-base-vectors A) = card (set (find-base-vectors A))
⟨proof⟩
```

6.2 Previous Results

```
definition power-poly-f-mod :: 'a::field poly ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a poly where
  power-poly-f-mod modulus = (λa n. a ^ n mod modulus)
```

```
lemma power-poly-f-mod-binary: power-poly-f-mod m a n = (if n = 0 then 1 mod
```

```

m
else let (d, r) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2;
      rec = power-poly-f-mod m ((a * a) mod m) d in
      if r = 0 then rec else (rec * a) mod m)
for m a :: 'a :: {field-gcd} poly
⟨proof⟩

fun power-polys where
  power-polys mul-p u curr-p (Suc i) = curr-p #
    power-polys mul-p u ((curr-p * mul-p) mod u) i
  | power-polys mul-p u curr-p 0 = []
context
assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a::prime-card)
begin

lemma fermat-theorem-mod-ring [simp]:
  fixes a::'a mod-ring
  shows a ^ CARD('a) = a
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-eq-dvd-iff-poly: ((x::'a mod-ring poly) mod n = y mod n) = (n dvd x
  − y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma cong-gcd-eq-poly:
  gcd a m = gcd b m if [(a::'a mod-ring poly) = b] (mod m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-h-c-poly:
  fixes h::'a mod-ring poly
  assumes c1 ≠ c2
  shows coprime (h − [:c1:]) (h − [:c2:])
⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-h-c-poly2:
  fixes h::'a mod-ring poly
  assumes coprime (h − [:c1:]) (h − [:c2:])
  and ¬ is-unit (h − [:c1:])
  shows c1 ≠ c2
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-minus-eq-right:
  fixes p::'b::ab-group-add poly
  shows degree q < degree p  $\implies$  degree (p − q) = degree p

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma coprime-prod:
 fixes $A::'a\text{ mod-ring set}$ **and** $g::'a\text{ mod-ring} \Rightarrow 'a\text{ mod-ring poly}$
 assumes $\forall x \in A. \text{coprime}(g a) (g x)$
 shows $\text{coprime}(g a) (\text{prod}(\lambda x. g x) A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma coprime-prod2:
 fixes $A::'b::\text{semiring-gcd set}$
 assumes $\forall x \in A. \text{coprime}(a) (x)$ **and** $f: \text{finite } A$
 shows $\text{coprime}(a) (\text{prod}(\lambda x. x) A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma divides-prod:
 fixes $g::'a\text{ mod-ring} \Rightarrow 'a\text{ mod-ring poly}$
 assumes $\forall c1 c2. c1 \in A \wedge c2 \in A \wedge c1 \neq c2 \longrightarrow \text{coprime}(g c1) (g c2)$
 assumes $\forall c \in A. g c \text{ dvd } f$
 shows $(\prod c \in A. g c) \text{ dvd } f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma poly-monom-identity-mod-p:
 $\text{monom}(1::'a\text{ mod-ring}) (\text{CARD}'a)) - \text{monom } 1 \ 1 = \text{prod}(\lambda x. [0,1] - [x])$
 ($\text{UNIV}::'a\text{ mod-ring set}$)
 (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma poly-identity-mod-p:
 $v \widehat{\cdot} (\text{CARD}'a)) - v = \text{prod}(\lambda x. v - [x])$ ($\text{UNIV}::'a\text{ mod-ring set}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma coprime-gcd:
 fixes $h::'a\text{ mod-ring poly}$
 assumes $\text{Rings.coprime}(h - [c1]) (h - [c2])$
 shows $\text{Rings.coprime}(\text{gcd } f(h - [c1])) (\text{gcd } f(h - [c2]))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma divides-prod-gcd:
  fixes h::'a mod-ring poly
  assumes  $\forall c1\ c2. \ c1 \in A \wedge c2 \in A \wedge c1 \neq c2 \longrightarrow \text{coprime } (h - [c1]) (h - [c2])$ 
  shows  $(\prod c \in A. \ gcd f (h - [c])) \ dvd f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monic-prod-gcd:
  assumes f: finite A and f0: (f :: 'b :: {field-gcd} poly) ≠ 0
  shows monic  $(\prod c \in A. \ gcd f (h - [c]))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-not-unit-not-dvd:
  fixes a::'b::semiring-gcd
  assumes a dvd b
  and coprime b c
  and ¬ is-unit a
  shows ¬ a dvd c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma divides-prod2:
  fixes A::'b::semiring-gcd set
  assumes f: finite A
  and  $\forall a \in A. \ a \ dvd c$ 
  and  $\forall a1\ a2. \ a1 \in A \wedge a2 \in A \wedge a1 \neq a2 \longrightarrow \text{coprime } a1\ a2$ 
  shows  $\prod A \ dvd c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-polynomial-factorization:
  fixes a1 :: 'b :: {field-gcd} poly
  assumes irr: as ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
  and finite as and a1: a1 ∈ as and a2: a2 ∈ as and a1-not-a2: a1 ≠ a2
  shows coprime a1 a2
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem Berlekamp-gcd-step:
  fixes f::'a mod-ring poly and h::'a mod-ring poly
  assumes hq-mod-f:  $[h \hat{\wedge} (\text{CARD}(a)) = h] \ (\text{mod } f)$  and monic-f: monic f and sf-f:
  square-free f
  shows f = prod (λc. gcd f (h - [c])) (UNIV::'a mod-ring set) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

6.3 Definitions

```

definition berlekamp-mat :: 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ 'a mod-ring mat where
  berlekamp-mat u = (let n = degree u;
    mul-p = power-poly-f-mod u [:0,1:] (CARD('a));
    xks = power-polys mul-p u 1 n

```

```

in
mat-of-rows-list n (map (λ cs. let coeffs-cs = (coeffs cs);
                           k = n - length (coeffs cs)
                           in (coeffs cs) @ replicate k 0) xks))

definition berlekamp-resulting-mat :: ('a mod-ring) poly ⇒ 'a mod-ring mat where
berlekamp-resulting-mat u = (let Q = berlekamp-mat u;
                            n = dim-row Q;
                            QI = mat n n (λ (i,j). if i = j then Q $$ (i,j) - 1 else Q $$ (i,j))
                            in (gauss-jordan-single (transpose-mat QI)))

definition berlekamp-basis :: 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly list where
berlekamp-basis u = (map (Poly o list-of-vec) (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)))

lemma berlekamp-basis-code[code]: berlekamp-basis u =
(map (poly-of-list o list-of-vec) (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)))
⟨proof⟩

primrec berlekamp-factorization-main :: nat ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly list ⇒ 'a mod-ring
poly list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly list where
berlekamp-factorization-main i divs (v # vs) n = (if v = 1 then berlekamp-factorization-main
i divs vs n else
  if length divs = n then divs else
    let facts = [ w . u ← divs, s ← [0 ..< CARD('a)], w ← [gcd u (v - [:of-int
s:]), w ≠ 1];
      (lin,nonlin) = List.partition (λ q. degree q = i) facts
      in lin @ berlekamp-factorization-main i nonlin vs (n - length lin))
    | berlekamp-factorization-main i divs [] n = divs

definition berlekamp-monic-factorization :: nat ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ 'a mod-ring
poly list where
berlekamp-monic-factorization d f = (let
  vs = berlekamp-basis f;
  n = length vs;
  fs = berlekamp-factorization-main d [f] vs n
  in fs)

```

6.4 Properties

```

lemma power-polys-works:
fixes u::'b::unique-euclidean-semiring
assumes i: i < n and c: curr-p = curr-p mod u
shows power-polys mult-p u curr-p n ! i = curr-p * mult-p ^ i mod u
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma length-power-polys[simp]: length (power-polys mult-p u curr-p n) = n

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Poly-berlekamp-mat*:
assumes $k : k < \text{degree } u$
shows $\text{Poly}(\text{list-of-vec}(\text{row}(\text{berlekamp-mat } u) k)) = [:0,1:]^{\sim}(\text{CARD}('a) * k) \text{ mod } u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *Poly-berlekamp-cong-mat*:
assumes $k : k < \text{degree } u$
shows $[\text{Poly}(\text{list-of-vec}(\text{row}(\text{berlekamp-mat } u) k)) = [:0,1:]^{\sim}(\text{CARD}('a) * k)] \text{ (mod } u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-of-rows-list-dim[simp]*:
 $\text{mat-of-rows-list } n \text{ vs} \in \text{carrier-mat}(\text{length } vs) n$
 $\text{dim-row}(\text{mat-of-rows-list } n \text{ vs}) = \text{length } vs$
 $\text{dim-col}(\text{mat-of-rows-list } n \text{ vs}) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *berlekamp-mat-closed[simp]*:
 $\text{berlekamp-mat } u \in \text{carrier-mat}(\text{degree } u) (\text{degree } u)$
 $\text{dim-row}(\text{berlekamp-mat } u) = \text{degree } u$
 $\text{dim-col}(\text{berlekamp-mat } u) = \text{degree } u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-of-list-coeffs-nth*:
assumes $i : i \in \{\dots \text{degree } h\}$ **and** $h \neq 0$
shows $\text{vec-of-list}(\text{coeffs } h) \$ i = \text{coeff } h i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-mod-sum*:
fixes $x y z :: 'b::\text{field poly}$
assumes $f : \text{finite } A$
shows $\sum f A \text{ mod } z = \sum (\lambda i. f i \text{ mod } z) A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prime-not-dvd-fact*:
assumes $kn : k < n$ **and** $\text{prime-n} : \text{prime } n$
shows $\neg n \text{ dvd fact } k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma dvd-choose-prime:
assumes kn:  $k < n$  and k:  $k \neq 0$  and n:  $n \neq 0$  and prime-n: prime n
shows n dvd (n choose k)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add-power-poly-mod-ring:
fixes x :: 'a mod-ring poly
shows  $(x + y) \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = x \wedge \text{CARD}('a) + y \wedge \text{CARD}('a)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma power-poly-sum-mod-ring:
fixes f :: 'b ⇒ 'a mod-ring poly
assumes f: finite A
shows  $(\text{sum } f A) \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = \text{sum } (\lambda i. (f i) \wedge \text{CARD}('a)) A$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-power-card-as-sum-of-monoms:
fixes h :: 'a mod-ring poly
shows  $h \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = (\sum i \leq \text{degree } h. \text{monom } (\text{coeff } h i) (\text{CARD}('a)*i))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma degree-Poly-berlekamp-le:
assumes i:  $i < \text{degree } u$ 
shows  $\text{degree } (\text{Poly } (\text{list-of-vec } (\text{row } (\text{berlekamp-mat } u) i))) < \text{degree } u$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma monom-card-pow-mod-sum-berlekamp:
assumes i:  $i < \text{degree } u$ 
shows  $\text{monom } 1 (\text{CARD}('a) * i) \text{ mod } u = (\sum j < \text{degree } u. \text{monom } ((\text{berlekamp-mat } u) \$\$ (i,j)) j)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma col-scalar-prod-as-sum:
assumes dim-vec v = dim-row A
shows  $\text{col } A j \cdot v = (\sum i = 0..<\text{dim-vec } v. A \$\$ (i,j) * v \$ i)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma row-transpose-scalar-prod-as-sum:

assumes $j : j < \text{dim-col } A$ **and** $\text{dim-v: dim-vec } v = \text{dim-row } A$
shows $\text{row}(\text{transpose-mat } A) j \cdot v = (\sum i = 0..<\text{dim-vec } v. A \$\$ (i,j) * v \$ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-as-sum-eq-monoms*:

assumes $ss\text{-eq: } (\sum i < n. \text{monom } (f i) i) = (\sum i < n. \text{monom } (g i) i)$
and $a\text{-less-}n: a < n$
shows $f a = g a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dim-vec-of-list-h*:

assumes $\text{degree } h < \text{degree } u$
shows $\text{dim-vec}(\text{vec-of-list } ((\text{coeffs } h) @ \text{replicate}(\text{degree } u - \text{length } (\text{coeffs } h)) 0))$
 $= \text{degree } u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-of-list-coeffs-nth'*:

assumes $i : i \in \{\dots \text{degree } h\}$ **and** $h\text{-not0: } h \neq 0$
assumes $\text{degree } h < \text{degree } u$
shows $\text{vec-of-list } ((\text{coeffs } h) @ \text{replicate}(\text{degree } u - \text{length } (\text{coeffs } h)) 0) \$ i = \text{coeff } h i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth-0*:

assumes $i : i \in \{\dots < \text{degree } u\}$
shows $\text{vec-of-list } (\text{coeffs } 0 @ \text{replicate}(\text{degree } u - \text{length } (\text{coeffs } 0)) 0) \$ i = \text{coeff } 0 i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-of-list-coeffs-replicate-nth*:

assumes $i : i \in \{\dots < \text{degree } u\}$
assumes $\text{degree } h < \text{degree } u$
shows $\text{vec-of-list } ((\text{coeffs } h) @ \text{replicate}(\text{degree } u - \text{length } (\text{coeffs } h)) 0) \$ i = \text{coeff } h i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equation-13*:

fixes $u h$

```

defines H:  $H \equiv \text{vec-of-list} ((\text{coeffs } h) @ \text{replicate} (\text{degree } u - \text{length} (\text{coeffs } h)) 0)$ 
assumes deg-le:  $\text{degree } h < \text{degree } u$ 
shows  $[h \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = h] (\text{mod } u) \longleftrightarrow (\text{transpose-mat} (\text{berlekamp-mat } u)) *_v H = H$ 
      (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
      ⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

context
assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a::prime-card)
begin

```

```

lemma exists-s-factor-dvd-h-s:
fixes fi:'a mod-ring poly
assumes finite-P: finite P
    and f-desc-square-free:  $f = (\prod a \in P. a)$ 
    and P:  $P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible } q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$ 
    and fi-P:  $fi \in P$ 
    and h:  $h \in \{v. [v \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = v] (\text{mod } f)\}$ 
shows  $\exists s. fi \text{ dvd } (h - [:s:])$ 
      ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary exists-unique-s-factor-dvd-h-s:
fixes fi:'a mod-ring poly
assumes finite-P: finite P
    and f-desc-square-free:  $f = (\prod a \in P. a)$ 
    and P:  $P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible } q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$ 
    and fi-P:  $fi \in P$ 
    and h:  $h \in \{v. [v \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = v] (\text{mod } f)\}$ 
shows  $\exists !s. fi \text{ dvd } (h - [:s:])$ 
      ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma exists-two-distinct:  $\exists a b :: 'a \text{ mod-ring}. a \neq b$ 
      ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma coprime-cong-mult-factorization-poly:
fixes f:'b:{field} poly
    and a b p :: 'c :: {field-gcd} poly
assumes finite-P: finite P
    and P:  $P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible } q\}$ 
    and p:  $\forall p \in P. [a = b] (\text{mod } p)$ 
    and coprime-P:  $\forall p1 p2. p1 \in P \wedge p2 \in P \wedge p1 \neq p2 \longrightarrow \text{coprime } p1 p2$ 

```

```

shows  $[a = b] \pmod{(\prod a \in P. a)}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

context
assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a::prime-card')
begin

lemma W-eq-berlekamp-mat:
fixes  $u::'a$  mod-ring poly
shows  $\{v. [v \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = v] \pmod{u} \wedge \text{degree } v < \text{degree } u\}$ 
 $= \{h. \text{let } H = \text{vec-of-list } ((\text{coeffs } h) @ \text{replicate } (\text{degree } u - \text{length } (\text{coeffs } h)) 0)$ 
in
 $(\text{transpose-mat } (\text{berlekamp-mat } u)) *_v H = H \wedge \text{degree } h < \text{degree } u\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma transpose-minus-1:
assumes  $\text{dim-row } Q = \text{dim-col } Q$ 
shows  $\text{transpose-mat } (Q - (1_m (\text{dim-row } Q))) = (\text{transpose-mat } Q - (1_m (\text{dim-row } Q)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma system-iff:
fixes  $v::'b::\text{comm-ring-1 vec}$ 
assumes  $\text{sq-Q: dim-row } Q = \text{dim-col } Q \text{ and } v: \text{dim-row } Q = \text{dim-vec } v$ 
shows  $(\text{transpose-mat } Q *_v v = v) \longleftrightarrow ((\text{transpose-mat } Q - 1_m (\text{dim-row } Q)) *_v v = \theta_v (\text{dim-vec } v))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma system-if-mat-kernel:
assumes  $\text{sq-Q: dim-row } Q = \text{dim-col } Q \text{ and } v: \text{dim-row } Q = \text{dim-vec } v$ 
shows  $(\text{transpose-mat } Q *_v v = v) \longleftrightarrow v \in \text{mat-kernel } (\text{transpose-mat } (Q - (1_m (\text{dim-row } Q))))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-u-mod-irreducibled-factor-0:
fixes  $v$  and  $u::'a$  mod-ring poly
defines  $W$ :  $W \equiv \{v. [v \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = v] \pmod{u}\}$ 
assumes  $v: v \in W$ 
and  $\text{finite-U: finite } U \text{ and } u-U: u = \prod U$  and  $\text{U-irrmonic: } U \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible } q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$ 
and  $\text{fi-U: fi} \in U$ 
shows  $\text{degree } (v \pmod{u}) = 0$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *poly-abelian-monoid*
= (*carrier* = *UNIV*::'a mod-ring poly set, *monoid.mult* = (($*$)), *one* = 1, *zero* = 0, *add* = (+), *module.smult* = *smult*)

interpretation *vector-space-poly*: *vectorspace class-ring poly-abelian-monoid*

rewrites [*simp*]: $\mathbf{0}_{\text{poly-abelian-monoid}} = 0$
and [*simp*]: $\mathbf{1}_{\text{poly-abelian-monoid}} = 1$
and [*simp*]: $(\oplus_{\text{poly-abelian-monoid}}) = (+)$
and [*simp*]: $(\otimes_{\text{poly-abelian-monoid}}) = (*)$
and [*simp*]: *carrier poly-abelian-monoid* = *UNIV*
and [*simp*]: $(\odot_{\text{poly-abelian-monoid}}) = \text{smult}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subspace-Berlekamp*:

assumes *f*: *degree f* $\neq 0$

shows *subspace (class-ring :: 'a mod-ring ring)*

{*v*. [$v \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = v$] (*mod f*) $\wedge (\text{degree } v < \text{degree } f)} *poly-abelian-monoid*$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *berlekamp-resulting-mat-closed*[*simp*]:

berlekamp-resulting-mat u \in *carrier-mat (degree u) (degree u)*

dim-row (berlekamp-resulting-mat u) = *degree u*

dim-col (berlekamp-resulting-mat u) = *degree u*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *berlekamp-resulting-mat-basis*:

kernel.basis (degree u) (berlekamp-resulting-mat u) (set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u)))

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-berlekamp-basis-eq*: (*set (berlekamp-basis u)*)

= (*Poly* \circ *list-of-vec*) c (*set (find-base-vectors (berlekamp-resulting-mat u))*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *berlekamp-resulting-mat-constant*:

assumes *deg-u*: *degree u* = 0

shows *berlekamp-resulting-mat u* = $1_m 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

context
  fixes  $u::'a::\text{prime-card mod-ring poly}$ 
begin

lemma set-berlekamp-basis-constant:
  assumes  $\text{deg-}u: \text{degree } u = 0$ 
  shows  $\text{set}(\text{berlekamp-basis } u) = \{\}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma row-echelon-form-berlekamp-resulting-mat: row-echelon-form (berlekamp-resulting-mat  $u$ )
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma mat-kernel-berlekamp-resulting-mat-degree-0:
  assumes  $d: \text{degree } u = 0$ 
  shows  $\text{mat-kernel}(\text{berlekamp-resulting-mat } u) = \{0_v \ 0\}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma in-mat-kernel-berlekamp-resulting-mat:
  assumes  $x: \text{transpose-mat}(\text{berlekamp-mat } u) *_v x = x$ 
  and  $x\text{-dim}: x \in \text{carrier-vec}(\text{degree } u)$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{mat-kernel}(\text{berlekamp-resulting-mat } u)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$  abbreviation  $V \equiv \text{kernel.VK}(\text{degree } u)$  (berlekamp-resulting-mat  $u$ )
  private abbreviation  $W \equiv \text{vector-space-poly.vs}$ 
   $\{v. [v \wedge \text{CARD}('a)) = v] \ (\text{mod } u) \wedge (\text{degree } v < \text{degree } u)\}$ 

interpretation  $V: \text{vectorspace class-ring } V$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma linear-Poly-list-of-vec:
  shows  $(\text{Poly} \circ \text{list-of-vec}) \in \text{module-hom class-ring } V$  (vector-space-poly.vs  $\{v. [v \wedge \text{CARD}('a)) = v] \ (\text{mod } u)\}$ )
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma linear-Poly-list-of-vec':
  assumes  $\text{degree } u > 0$ 
  shows  $(\text{Poly} \circ \text{list-of-vec}) \in \text{module-hom } R \ V \ W$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma berlekamp-basis-eq-8:
  assumes  $v: v \in \text{set}(\text{berlekamp-basis } u)$ 
  shows  $[v \wedge \text{CARD}('a) = v] \ (\text{mod } u)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 
```

```

lemma surj-Poly-list-of-vec:
  assumes deg-u: degree u > 0
  shows (Poly o list-of-vec)` (carrier V) = carrier W
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma card-set-berlekamp-basis: card (set (berlekamp-basis u)) = length (berlekamp-basis u)
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  assumes deg-u0[simp]: degree u > 0
  begin

    interpretation Berlekamp-subspace: vectorspace class-ring W
    ⟨proof⟩

    lemma linear-map-Poly-list-of-vec': linear-map class-ring V W (Poly o list-of-vec)
    ⟨proof⟩

    lemma berlekamp-basis-basis:
      Berlekamp-subspace.basis (set (berlekamp-basis u))
    ⟨proof⟩

    lemma finsum-sum:
      fixes f::'a mod-ring poly
      assumes f: finite B
      and a-Pi: a ∈ B → carrier R
      and V: B ⊆ carrier W
      shows (⊕Wv∈B. a v ⊕W v) = sum (λv. smult (a v) v) B
      ⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-vector-in-Berlekamp-subspace-dvd:
  fixes p-i::'a mod-ring poly
  assumes finite-P: finite P
  and f-desc-square-free: u = (Π a∈P. a)
  and P: P ⊆ {q. irreducible q ∧ monic q}
  and pi: p-i ∈ P and pj: p-j ∈ P and pi-pj: p-i ≠ p-j
  and monic-f: monic u and sf-f: square-free u
  and not-irr-w: ¬ irreducible w
  and w-dvd-f: w dvd u and monic-w: monic w
  and pi-dvd-w: p-i dvd w and pj-dvd-w: p-j dvd w
  shows ∃ v. v ∈ {h. [h ↸ CARD('a)) = h] (mod u) ∧ degree h < degree u}
  ∧ v mod p-i ≠ v mod p-j
  ∧ degree (v mod p-i) = 0
  ∧ degree (v mod p-j) = 0
  — This implies that the algorithm decreases the degree of the reducible polynomials

```

in each step:

$\wedge (\exists s. \gcd w (v - [s]) \neq w \wedge \gcd w (v - [s]) \neq 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exists-vector-in-Berlekamp-basis-dvd-aux*:

assumes *basis-V*: Berlekamp-subspace.basis *B*
and *finite-V*: finite *B*
assumes *finite-P*: finite *P*
and *f-desc-square-free*: $u = (\prod a \in P. a)$
and *P*: $P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible } q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$
and *pi*: $p_i \in P$ **and** *pj*: $p_j \in P$ **and** *pi-pj*: $p_i \neq p_j$
and *monic-f*: monic *u* **and** *sf-f*: square-free *u*
and *not-irr-w*: $\neg \text{irreducible } w$
and *w-dvd-f*: *w* dvd *u* **and** *monic-w*: monic *w*
and *pi-dvd-w*: $p_i \text{ dvd } w$ **and** *pj-dvd-w*: $p_j \text{ dvd } w$
shows $\exists v \in B. v \bmod p_i \neq v \bmod p_j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exists-vector-in-Berlekamp-basis-dvd*:

assumes *basis-V*: Berlekamp-subspace.basis *B*
and *finite-V*: finite *B*
assumes *finite-P*: finite *P*
and *f-desc-square-free*: $u = (\prod a \in P. a)$
and *P*: $P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible } q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$
and *pi*: $p_i \in P$ **and** *pj*: $p_j \in P$ **and** *pi-pj*: $p_i \neq p_j$
and *monic-f*: monic *u* **and** *sf-f*: square-free *u*
and *not-irr-w*: $\neg \text{irreducible } w$
and *w-dvd-f*: *w* dvd *u* **and** *monic-w*: monic *w*
and *pi-dvd-w*: $p_i \text{ dvd } w$ **and** *pj-dvd-w*: $p_j \text{ dvd } w$
shows $\exists v \in B. v \bmod p_i \neq v \bmod p_j$
 $\wedge \text{degree}(v \bmod p_i) = 0$
 $\wedge \text{degree}(v \bmod p_j) = 0$
— This implies that the algorithm decreases the degree of the reducible polynomials
in each step:
 $\wedge (\exists s. \gcd w (v - [s]) \neq w \wedge \neg \text{coprime } w (v - [s]))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exists-bijective-linear-map-W-vec*:

assumes *finite-P*: finite *P*
and *u-desc-square-free*: $u = (\prod a \in P. a)$
and *P*: $P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible } q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$
shows $\exists f. \text{linear-map class-ring } W \text{ (module-vec TYPE('a mod-ring)) } f$
 $\wedge \text{bij-betw } f \text{ (carrier } W) \text{ (carrier-vec (card } P) :: 'a mod-ring vec set)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fin-dim-kernel-berlekamp*: *V.fin-dim*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Berlekamp-subspace-fin-dim: Berlekamp-subspace.fin-dim
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes P

assumes finite- P : finite P

and u-desc-square-free: $u = (\prod a \in P. a)$

and $P \subseteq \{q. \text{ irreducible } q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$

begin

interpretation RV: vec-space TYPE('a mod-ring) card P $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Berlekamp-subspace-eq-dim-vec: Berlekamp-subspace.dim = RV.dim
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Berlekamp-subspace-dim: Berlekamp-subspace.dim = card P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary card-berlekamp-basis-number-factors: card (set (berlekamp-basis u)) = card P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma length-berlekamp-basis-numbers-factors: length (berlekamp-basis u) = card P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
end
end
end

context

assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a :: prime-card)
begin

context

fixes $f :: 'a \text{ mod-ring poly}$ and n

assumes sf: square-free f

and $n: n = \text{length}(\text{berlekamp-basis } f)$

and monic-f: monic f

begin

lemma berlekamp-basis-length-factorization: assumes $f: f = \text{prod-list } us$
and $d: \bigwedge u. u \in \text{set } us \implies \text{degree } u > 0$
shows $\text{length } us \leq n$

(proof)

```
lemma berlekamp-basis-irreducible: assumes f: f = prod-list us
  and n-us: length us = n
  and us:  $\bigwedge u. u \in set us \implies degree u > 0$ 
  and u: u  $\in set us$ 
  shows irreducible u
  (proof)
end
```

```
lemma not-irreducible-factor-yields-prime-factors:
  assumes uf: u dvd (f :: 'b :: {field-gcd} poly) and fin: finite P
    and fP: f =  $\prod P$  and P:  $P \subseteq \{q. irreducible q \wedge monic q\}$ 
    and u: degree u > 0  $\neg$  irreducible u
  shows  $\exists pi pj. pi \in P \wedge pj \in P \wedge pi \neq pj \wedge pi \text{ dvd } u \wedge pj \text{ dvd } u$ 
  (proof)
```

```
lemma berlekamp-factorization-main:
  fixes f::'a mod-ring poly
  assumes sf-f: square-free f
  and vs: vs = vs1 @ vs2
  and vsf: vs = berlekamp-basis f
  and n-bb: n = length (berlekamp-basis f)
  and n: n = length us1 + n2
  and us: us = us1 @ berlekamp-factorization-main d divs vs2 n2
  and us1:  $\bigwedge u. u \in set us1 \implies monic u \wedge irreducible u$ 
  and divs:  $\bigwedge d. d \in set divs \implies monic d \wedge degree d > 0$ 
  and vs1:  $\bigwedge u v i. v \in set vs1 \implies u \in set us1 \cup set divs$ 
     $\implies i < CARD('a) \implies gcd u (v - [:of-nat i:]) \in \{1, u\}$ 
  and f: f = prod-list (us1 @ divs)
  and deg-f: degree f > 0
  and d:  $\bigwedge g. g \text{ dvd } f \implies degree g = d \implies irreducible g$ 
  shows f = prod-list us  $\wedge (\forall u \in set us. monic u \wedge irreducible u)$ 
(proof)
```

```
lemma berlekamp-monic-factorization:
  fixes f::'a mod-ring poly
  assumes sf-f: square-free f
  and us: berlekamp-monic-factorization d f = us
  and d:  $\bigwedge g. g \text{ dvd } f \implies degree g = d \implies irreducible g$ 
  and deg: degree f > 0
  and mon: monic f
  shows f = prod-list us  $\wedge (\forall u \in set us. monic u \wedge irreducible u)$ 
(proof)
end
```

end

7 Distinct Degree Factorization

```

theory Distinct-Degree-Factorization
imports
  Finite-Field
  Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
  Berlekamp-Type-Based
begin

definition factors-of-same-degree :: nat ⇒ 'a :: field poly ⇒ bool where
  factors-of-same-degree i f = (i ≠ 0 ∧ degree f ≠ 0 ∧ monic f ∧ (∀ g. irreducible g → g dvd f → degree g = i))

lemma factors-of-same-degreeD: assumes factors-of-same-degree i f
  shows i ≠ 0 degree f ≠ 0 monic f g dvd f ⇒ irreducible g = (degree g = i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

hide-const order
hide-const up-ring.monom

```

```

theorem (in field) finite-field-mult-group-has-gen2:
  assumes finite:finite (carrier R)
  shows ∃ a ∈ carrier (mult-of R). group.ord (mult-of R) a = order (mult-of R)
    ∧ carrier (mult-of R) = {a[ ]i | i::nat . i ∈ UNIV}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add-power-prime-poly-mod-ring[simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a::{prime-card} mod-ring poly
  shows (x + y) ^ CARD('a)^n = x ^ (CARD('a)^n) + y ^ (CARD('a)^n)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fermat-theorem-mod-ring2[simp]:
  fixes a::'a::{prime-card} mod-ring
  shows a ^ (CARD('a)^n) = a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fermat-theorem-power-poly[simp]:
  fixes a::'a::prime-card mod-ring
  shows [:a:] ^ CARD('a::prime-card) ^ n = [:a:]
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma degree-prod-monom: degree (Π i = 0..<n. monom 1 1) = n

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *degree-monom0[simp]*: $\text{degree}(\text{monom } a \ 0) = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *degree-monom0'[simp]*: $\text{degree}(\text{monom } 0 \ b) = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-monom-mod*:

assumes $b < \text{degree } f$
shows $(\sum_{i \leq b} \text{monom}(g i) i) \bmod f = (\sum_{i \leq b} \text{monom}(g i) i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *x-power-aq-minus-1-rw*:

fixes $x:\text{nat}$
assumes $x: x > 1$
and $a: a > 0$
and $b: b > 0$
shows $x^{\lceil a * q \rceil} - 1 = ((x^a) - 1) * \text{sum}((\lceil \rceil)(x^a)) \{.. < q\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dvd-power-minus-1-conv1*:

fixes $x:\text{nat}$
assumes $x: x > 1$
and $a: a > 0$
and $xa-\text{dvd}: x^a - 1 \bmod x^b - 1$
and $b0: b > 0$
shows $a \bmod b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dvd-power-minus-1-conv2*:

fixes $x:\text{nat}$
assumes $x: x > 1$
and $a: a > 0$
and $a-\text{dvd}-b: a \bmod b$
and $b0: b > 0$
shows $x^a - 1 \bmod x^b - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *dvd-power-minus-1-conv*:

fixes $x:\text{nat}$
assumes $x: x > 1$
and $a: a > 0$
and $b0: b > 0$
shows $a \bmod b = (x^a - 1 \bmod x^b - 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

locale poly-mod-type-irr = poly-mod-type m TYPE('a::prime-card) for m +
  fixes f::'a::{prime-card} mod-ring poly
  assumes irr-f: irreducibled f
begin

definition plus-irr :: 'a mod-ring poly => 'a mod-ring poly => 'a mod-ring poly
  where plus-irr a b = (a + b) mod f

definition minus-irr :: 'a mod-ring poly => 'a mod-ring poly => 'a mod-ring poly
  where minus-irr x y ≡ (x - y) mod f

definition uminus-irr :: 'a mod-ring poly => 'a mod-ring poly
  where uminus-irr x = -x

definition mult-irr :: 'a mod-ring poly => 'a mod-ring poly => 'a mod-ring poly
  where mult-irr x y = ((x*y) mod f)

definition carrier-irr :: 'a mod-ring poly set
  where carrier-irr = {x. degree x < degree f}

definition power-irr :: 'a mod-ring poly => nat => 'a mod-ring poly
  where power-irr p n = ((p^n) mod f)

definition R = (carrier = carrier-irr, monoid.mult = mult-irr, one = 1, zero = 0, add = plus-irr)

lemma degree-f[simp]: degree f > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma element-in-carrier: (a ∈ carrier R) = (degree a < degree f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma f-dvd-ab:
  a = 0 ∨ b = 0 if f dvd a * b
  and a: degree a < degree f
  and b: degree b < degree f
⟨proof⟩

lemma ab-mod-f0:
  a = 0 ∨ b = 0 if a * b mod f = 0
  and a: degree a < degree f
  and b: degree b < degree f
⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducibleD2:
  fixes p q :: 'b::{comm-semiring-1,semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly
  assumes irreducibled p
  and degree q < degree p and degree q ≠ 0

```

shows $\neg q \text{ dvd } p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma times-mod-f-1-imp-0:
assumes $x: \text{degree } x < \text{degree } f$
and $\forall xa. x * xa \text{ mod } f = 1 \longrightarrow \neg \text{degree } xa < \text{degree } f$
shows $x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale field-R: field R
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma zero-in-carrier[simp]: $0 \in \text{carrier-irr}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-carrier-irr[simp]: $\text{card carrier-irr} = \text{CARD('a)}^{\text{degree } f}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma finite-carrier-irr[simp]: $\text{finite } (\text{carrier-irr})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma finite-carrier-R[simp]: $\text{finite } (\text{carrier } R)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma finite-carrier-mult-of[simp]: $\text{finite } (\text{carrier } (\text{mult-of } R))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma constant-in-carrier[simp]: $[:a:] \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mod-in-carrier[simp]: $a \text{ mod } f \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma order-irr: $\text{Coset.order } (\text{mult-of } R) = \text{CARD('a)}^{\text{degree } f - 1}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma element-power-order-eq-1:
assumes $x: x \in \text{carrier } (\text{mult-of } R)$
shows $x \upharpoonright_{(\text{mult-of } R)} \text{Coset.order } (\text{mult-of } R) = \mathbf{1}_{(\text{mult-of } R)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary element-power-order-eq-1':
assumes $x: x \in \text{carrier } (\text{mult-of } R)$
shows $x \upharpoonright_{(\text{mult-of } R)} \text{CARD('a)}^{\text{degree } f} = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma pow-irr[simp]: $x \upharpoonright_{(R)} n = x \hat{n} \text{ mod } f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma pow-irr-mult-of[simp]: $x \upharpoonright_{(\text{mult-of } R)} n = x \hat{n} \text{ mod } f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fermat-theorem-power-poly-R[simp]: [:a:] []_R CARD('a) \wedge n = [:a:]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma times-mod-expand:

$(a \otimes_{(R)} b) = ((a \text{ mod } f) \otimes_{(R)} (b \text{ mod } f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mult-closed-power:

assumes x: $x \in \text{carrier } R$ **and** y: $y \in \text{carrier } R$
and $x []_{(R)} \text{CARD}('a) \wedge m' = x$
and $y []_{(R)} \text{CARD}('a) \wedge m' = y$
shows $(x \otimes_{(R)} y) []_{(R)} \text{CARD}('a) \wedge m' = (x \otimes_{(R)} y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma add-closed-power:

assumes x1: $x []_{(R)} \text{CARD}('a) \wedge m' = x$
and y1: $y []_{(R)} \text{CARD}('a) \wedge m' = y$
shows $(x \oplus_{(R)} y) []_{(R)} \text{CARD}('a) \wedge m' = (x \oplus_{(R)} y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma x-power-pm-minus-1:

assumes x: $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{mult-of } R)$
and $x []_{(R)} \text{CARD}('a) \wedge m' = x$
shows $x []_{(R)} (\text{CARD}('a) \wedge m' - 1) = \mathbf{1}_{(R)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

begin

private lemma monom-a-1-P:

assumes m: monom 1 1 $\in \text{carrier } R$
and eq: monom 1 1 []_(R) ($\text{CARD}('a) \wedge m'$) = monom 1 1
shows monom a 1 []_(R) ($\text{CARD}('a) \wedge m'$) = monom a 1

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** prod-monom-1-1:

defines P == $(\lambda x n. (x []_{(R)} (\text{CARD}('a) \wedge n) = x))$
assumes m: monom 1 1 $\in \text{carrier } R$

and eq: P (monom 1 1) n
shows P ($(\prod i = 0..< b::nat. \text{monom } 1 1) \text{ mod } f$) n

$\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** monom-1-b:

defines P == $(\lambda x n. (x []_{(R)} (\text{CARD}('a) \wedge n) = x))$
assumes m: monom 1 1 $\in \text{carrier } R$
and monom-1-1: P (monom 1 1) m'
and b: $b < \text{degree } f$
shows P (monom 1 b) m'

```

⟨proof⟩ lemma monom-a-b:
  defines P == (λ x n. (x[˘]_{(R)} (CARD('a) ^ n) = x))
  assumes m: monom 1 1 ∈ carrier R
  and m1: P (monom 1 1) m'
  and b: b < degree f
  shows P (monom a b) m'

⟨proof⟩ lemma sum-monomms-P:
  defines P == (λ x n. (x[˘]_{(R)} (CARD('a) ^ n) = x))
  assumes m: monom 1 1 ∈ carrier R
  and monom-1-1: P (monom 1 1) n
  and b: b < degree f
  shows P ((∑ i≤b. monom (g i) i)) n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma element-carrier-P:
  defines P ≡ (λ x n. (x[˘]_{(R)} (CARD('a) ^ n) = x))
  assumes m: monom 1 1 ∈ carrier R
  and monom-1-1: P (monom 1 1) m'
  and a: a ∈ carrier R
  shows P a m'
  ⟨proof⟩
end

end

lemma degree-divisor1:
  assumes f: irreducible (f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly)
  and d: degree f = d
  shows f dvd (monom 1 1)^(CARD('a)^d) - monom 1 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-divisor2:
  assumes f: irreducible (f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly)
  and d: degree f = d
  and c-ge-1: 1 ≤ c and cd: c < d
  shows ¬ f dvd monom 1 1 ^ CARD('a) ^ c - monom 1 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-divisor: assumes irreducible (f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly)
degree f = d
  shows f dvd (monom 1 1)^(CARD('a)^d) - monom 1 1
  and 1 ≤ c ⇒ c < d ⇒ ¬ f dvd (monom 1 1)^(CARD('a)^c) - monom 1 1
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a :: prime-card)
begin

```

```

function dist-degree-factorize-main ::  

  'a mod-ring poly  $\Rightarrow$  'a mod-ring poly  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  'a mod-ring poly) list  

 $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  'a mod-ring poly) list where  

  dist-degree-factorize-main v w d res = (if v = 1 then res else if d + d > degree v  

  then (degree v, v) # res else let  

    w = w  $\widehat{\wedge}$  (CARD('a)) mod v;  

    d = Suc d;  

    gd = gcd (w - monom 1 1) v  

    in if gd = 1 then dist-degree-factorize-main v w d res else  

    let v' = v div gd in  

    dist-degree-factorize-main v' (w mod v') d ((d,gd) # res))  

   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

termination

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare dist-degree-factorize-main.simps[simp del]

lemma dist-degree-factorize-main: **assumes**
 dist: dist-degree-factorize-main v w d res = facts **and**
 w: w = (monom 1 1) $\widehat{\wedge}$ (CARD('a) $\widehat{\wedge}$ d) mod v **and**
 sf: square-free u **and**
 mon: monic u **and**
 prod: u = v * prod-list (map snd res) **and**
 deg: $\bigwedge f$. irreducible f \implies f dvd v \implies degree f > d **and**
 res: $\bigwedge i f$. (i,f) \in set res \implies i \neq 0 \wedge degree f \neq 0 \wedge monic f \wedge ($\forall g$. irreducible
 g \longrightarrow g dvd f \longrightarrow degree g = i)
shows u = prod-list (map snd facts) \wedge ($\forall i f$. (i,f) \in set facts \longrightarrow factors-of-same-degree
 i f)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition distinct-degree-factorization

:: 'a mod-ring poly \Rightarrow (nat \times 'a mod-ring poly) list **where**
 distinct-degree-factorization f =
 (if degree f = 1 then [(1,f)] else dist-degree-factorize-main f (monom 1 1) 0
 [])

lemma distinct-degree-factorization: **assumes**

dist: distinct-degree-factorization f = facts **and**
 u: square-free f **and**
 mon: monic f
shows f = prod-list (map snd facts) \wedge ($\forall i f$. (i,f) \in set facts \longrightarrow factors-of-same-degree
 i f)
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

end

8 A Combined Factorization Algorithm for Polynomials over GF(p)

8.1 Type Based Version

We combine Berlekamp's algorithm with the distinct degree factorization to obtain an efficient factorization algorithm for square-free polynomials in GF(p).

```
theory Finite-Field-Factorization
imports Berlekamp-Type-Based
Distinct-Degree-Factorization
begin
```

We prove soundness of the finite field factorization, independent on whether distinct-degree-factorization is applied as preprocessing or not.

```
consts use-distinct-degree-factorization :: bool

context
assumes SORT-CONSTRAINT('a::prime-card)
begin

definition finite-field-factorization :: 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ 'a mod-ring × 'a mod-ring
poly list where
finite-field-factorization f = (if degree f = 0 then (lead-coeff f,[]) else let
  a = lead-coeff f;
  u = smult (inverse a) f;
  gs = (if use-distinct-degree-factorization then distinct-degree-factorization u else
[(1,u)]);
  (irr,hs) = List.partition (λ (i,f). degree f = i) gs
  in (a, map snd irr @ concat (map (λ (i,g). berlekamp-monic-factorization i g)
hs)))
)

lemma finite-field-factorization-explicit:
fixes f::'a mod-ring poly
assumes sf-f: square-free f
and us: finite-field-factorization f = (c,us)
shows f = smult c (prod-list us) ∧ (∀ u ∈ set us. monic u ∧ irreducible u)
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-field-factorization:
fixes f::'a mod-ring poly
assumes sf-f: square-free f
and us: finite-field-factorization f = (c,us)
shows unique-factorization Irr-Mon f (c, mset us)
⟨proof⟩
end
```

Experiments revealed that preprocessing via distinct-degree-factorization slows down the factorization algorithm (statement for implementation in

AFP 2017)

```
overloading use-distinct-degree-factorization ≡ use-distinct-degree-factorization
begin
  definition use-distinct-degree-factorization
    where [code-unfold]: use-distinct-degree-factorization = False
  end
end
```

8.2 Record Based Version

```
theory Finite-Field-Factorization-Record-Based
imports
  Finite-Field-Factorization
  Matrix-Record-Based
  Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based
  HOL-Types-To-Sets.Types-To-Sets
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix-IArray-Impl
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-IArray-Impl
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Improved-Code-Equations
  Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-List
begin
```

```
hide-const(open) monom coeff
```

Whereas $\llbracket \text{square-free } ?f; \text{finite-field-factorization } ?f = (?c, ?us) \rrbracket \implies \text{unique-factorization Irr-Mon } ?f (?c, mset ?us)$ provides a result for a polynomials over GF(p), we now develop a theorem which speaks about integer polynomials modulo p.

```
lemma (in poly-mod-prime-type) finite-field-factorization-modulo-ring:
  assumes g: (g :: 'a mod-ring poly) = of-int-poly f
  and sf: square-free-m f
  and fact: finite-field-factorization g = (d,gs)
  and c: c = to-int-mod-ring d
  and fs: fs = map to-int-poly gs
  shows unique-factorization-m f (c, mset fs)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

We now have to implement *finite-field-factorization*.

```
context
  fixes p :: int
  and ff-ops :: 'i arith-ops-record
begin

fun power-poly-f-mod-i :: ('i list ⇒ 'i list) ⇒ 'i list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'i list where
  power-poly-f-mod-i modulus a n = (if n = 0 then modulus (one-poly-i ff-ops)
  else let (d,r) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2;
    rec = power-poly-f-mod-i modulus (modulus (times-poly-i ff-ops a a)) d in
    if r = 0 then rec else modulus (times-poly-i ff-ops rec a))
```

```

declare power-poly-f-mod-i.simps[simp del]

fun power-polys-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list list where
  power-polys-i mul-p u curr-p (Suc i) = curr-p #
    power-polys-i mul-p u (mod-field-poly-i ff-ops (times-poly-i ff-ops curr-p mul-p)
  u) i
  | power-polys-i mul-p u curr-p 0 = []

lemma length-power-polys-i[simp]: length (power-polys-i x y z n) = n
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition berlekamp-mat-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i mat where
  berlekamp-mat-i u = (let n = degree-i u;
    ze = arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops; on = arith-ops-record.one ff-ops;
    mul-p = power-poly-f-mod-i ( $\lambda$  v. mod-field-poly-i ff-ops v u)
    [ze, on] (nat p);
    xks = power-polys-i mul-p u [on] n
    in mat-of-rows-list n (map ( $\lambda$  cs. cs @ replicate (n - length cs) ze) xks))

definition berlekamp-resulting-mat-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i mat where
  berlekamp-resulting-mat-i u = (let Q = berlekamp-mat-i u;
    n = dim-row Q;
    QI = mat n n ( $\lambda$  (i,j). if i = j then arith-ops-record.minus ff-ops (Q $$ (i,j))
    (arith-ops-record.one ff-ops) else Q $$ (i,j))
    in (gauss-jordan-single-i ff-ops (transpose-mat QI)))

definition berlekamp-basis-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list list where
  berlekamp-basis-i u = (map (poly-of-list-i ff-ops o list-of-vec)
    (find-base-vectors-i ff-ops (berlekamp-resulting-mat-i u)))

primrec berlekamp-factorization-main-i :: 'i  $\Rightarrow$  'i  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list list
 $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list list where
  berlekamp-factorization-main-i ze on d divs (v # vs) n = (
    if v = [on] then berlekamp-factorization-main-i ze on d divs vs n else
    if length divs = n then divs else
    let of-int = arith-ops-record.of-int ff-ops;
      facts = filter ( $\lambda$  w. w  $\neq$  [on])
        [ gcd-poly-i ff-ops u (minus-poly-i ff-ops v (if s = 0 then [] else [of-int (int
        s)])) .
      u  $\leftarrow$  divs, s  $\leftarrow$  [0 ..< nat p];
      (lin,nonlin) = List.partition ( $\lambda$  q. degree-i q = d) facts
      in lin @ berlekamp-factorization-main-i ze on d nonlin vs (n - length lin))
    | berlekamp-factorization-main-i ze on d divs [] n = divs

definition berlekampmonic-factorization-i :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list list where
  berlekampmonic-factorization-i d f = (let
    vs = berlekamp-basis-i f
    in berlekamp-factorization-main-i (arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops) (arith-ops-record.one
    ff-ops) d [f] vs (length vs))

```

```

partial-function (tailrec) dist-degree-factorize-main-i :: 
  'i  $\Rightarrow$  'i  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  'i list) list
   $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  'i list) list where
    [code]: dist-degree-factorize-main-i ze on dv v w d res = (if v = [on] then res else
    if d + d > dv
      then (dv, v) # res else let
        w = power-poly-f-mod-i ( $\lambda$  f. mod-field-poly-i ff-ops f v) w (nat p);
        d = Suc d;
        gd = gcd-poly-i ff-ops (minus-poly-i ff-ops w [ze,on]) v
        in if gd = [on] then dist-degree-factorize-main-i ze on dv v w d res else
        let v' = div-field-poly-i ff-ops v gd
        in dist-degree-factorize-main-i ze on (degree-i v') v' (mod-field-poly-i ff-ops w
        v') d ((d,gd) # res))

definition distinct-degree-factorization-i
  :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  'i list) list where
    distinct-degree-factorization-i f = (let ze = arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops;
    on = arith-ops-record.one ff-ops in if degree-i f = 1 then [(1,f)] else
    dist-degree-factorize-main-i ze on (degree-i f) f [ze,on] 0 [])

definition finite-field-factorization-i :: 'i list  $\Rightarrow$  'i  $\times$  'i list list where
  finite-field-factorization-i f = (if degree-i f = 0 then (lead-coeff-i ff-ops f,[]) else
  let
    a = lead-coeff-i ff-ops f;
    u = smult-i ff-ops (arith-ops-record.inverse ff-ops a) f;
    gs = (if use-distinct-degree-factorization then distinct-degree-factorization-i u
    else [(1,u)]);
    (irr,hs) = List.partition ( $\lambda$  (i,f). degree-i f = i) gs
    in (a, map snd irr @ concat (map ( $\lambda$  (i,g). berlekamp-monic-factorization-i i g)
    hs)))
  end

context prime-field-gen
begin

lemma power-polys-i: assumes i: i < n and [transfer-rule]: poly-rel f f' poly-rel
g g'
and h: poly-rel h h'
shows poly-rel (power-polys-i ff-ops g f h n ! i) (power-polys g' f' h' n ! i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma power-poly-f-mod-i: assumes m: (poly-rel ==> poly-rel) m ( $\lambda$  x'. x' mod
m')
shows poly-rel ff' ==> poly-rel (power-poly-f-mod-i ff-ops m f n) (power-poly-f-mod
m' f' n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma berlekamp-mat-i[transfer-rule]: (poly-rel ==> mat-rel R)

```

(*berlekamp-mat-i p ff-ops*) *berlekamp-mat*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *berlekamp-resulting-mat-i[transfer-rule]*: (*poly-rel ==> mat-rel R*)
 (*berlekamp-resulting-mat-i p ff-ops*) *berlekamp-resulting-mat*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *berlekamp-basis-i[transfer-rule]*: (*poly-rel ==> list-all2 poly-rel*)
 (*berlekamp-basis-i p ff-ops*) *berlekamp-basis*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *berlekamp-factorization-main-i[transfer-rule]*:
 ((=) ==> *list-all2 poly-rel ==> list-all2 poly-rel ==> (=) ==> list-all2 poly-rel*)
 (*berlekamp-factorization-main-i p ff-ops (arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops)*
 (*arith-ops-record.one ff-ops*))
berlekamp-factorization-main
⟨proof⟩

lemma *berlekampmonic-factorization-i[transfer-rule]*:
 ((=) ==> *poly-rel ==> list-all2 poly-rel*)
 (*berlekampmonic-factorization-i p ff-ops*) *berlekampmonic-factorization*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *dist-degree-factorize-main-i*:
poly-rel F f ==> poly-rel G g ==> list-all2 (rel-prod (=) poly-rel) Res res
==> list-all2 (rel-prod (=) poly-rel)
(dist-degree-factorize-main-i p ff-ops
(arith-ops-record.zero ff-ops) (arith-ops-record.one ff-ops) (degree-i F) F G
d Res)
(dist-degree-factorize-main f g d res)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct-degree-factorization-i[transfer-rule]*: (*poly-rel ==> list-all2 (rel-prod (=) poly-rel)*)
 (*distinct-degree-factorization-i p ff-ops*) *distinct-degree-factorization*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-field-factorization-i[transfer-rule]*:
 (*poly-rel ==> rel-prod R (list-all2 poly-rel)*)
 (*finite-field-factorization-i p ff-ops*) *finite-field-factorization*
⟨proof⟩

Since the implementation is sound, we can now combine it with the soundness result of the finite field factorization.

lemma *finite-field-i-sound*:
assumes *f': f' = of-int-poly-i ff-ops (Mp f)*
and *berl-i: finite-field-factorization-i p ff-ops f' = (c', fs')*
and *sq: square-free-m f*

```

and fs:  $fs = \text{map}(\text{to-int-poly-i ff-ops}) fs'$ 
and c:  $c = \text{arith-ops-record.to-int ff-ops } c'$ 
shows unique-factorization-m f (c, mset fs)
   $\wedge c \in \{0 .. < p\}$ 
   $\wedge (\forall fi \in \text{set } fs. \text{set}(\text{coeffs } fi) \subseteq \{0 .. < p\})$ 
{proof}
end

definition finite-field-factorization-main :: int  $\Rightarrow$  'i arith-ops-record  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$ 
int  $\times$  int poly list where
  finite-field-factorization-main p f-ops f  $\equiv$ 
    let (c',fs') = finite-field-factorization-i p f-ops (of-int-poly-i f-ops (poly-mod.Mp
    p f))
      in (arith-ops-record.to-int f-ops c', map (to-int-poly-i f-ops) fs')

lemma(in prime-field-gen) finite-field-factorization-main:
  assumes res: finite-field-factorization-main p ff-ops f = (c,fs)
  and sq: square-free-m f
  shows unique-factorization-m f (c, mset fs)
   $\wedge c \in \{0 .. < p\}$ 
   $\wedge (\forall fi \in \text{set } fs. \text{set}(\text{coeffs } fi) \subseteq \{0 .. < p\})$ 
{proof}

definition finite-field-factorization-int :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\times$  int poly list
where
  finite-field-factorization-int p = (
    if p  $\leq 65535$ 
    then finite-field-factorization-main p (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int p))
    else if p  $\leq 4294967295$ 
    then finite-field-factorization-main p (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p))
    else finite-field-factorization-main p (finite-field-ops-integer (integer-of-int p)))

context poly-mod-prime begin
lemmas finite-field-factorization-main-integer = prime-field-gen.finite-field-factorization-main
[OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops-integer, unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas finite-field-factorization-main-uint32 = prime-field-gen.finite-field-factorization-main
[OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops32, unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas finite-field-factorization-main-uint64 = prime-field-gen.finite-field-factorization-main
[OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops64, unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemma finite-field-factorization-int:

```

```

assumes sq: poly-mod.square-free-m p f
and result: finite-field-factorization-int p f = (c,fs)
shows poly-mod.unique-factorization-m p f (c, mset fs)
  ∧ c ∈ {0 .. $p$ }
  ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. set (coeffs fi) ⊆ {0 .. $p$ })
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

9 Hensel Lifting

9.1 Properties about Factors

We define and prove properties of Hensel-lifting. Here, we show the result that Hensel-lifting can lift a factorization mod p to a factorization mod p^n . For the lifting we have proofs for both versions, the original linear Hensel-lifting or the quadratic approach from Zassenhaus. Via the linear version, we also show a uniqueness result, however only in the binary case, i.e., where $f = g \cdot h$. Uniqueness of the general case will later be shown in theory Berlekamp-Hensel by incorporating the factorization algorithm for finite fields algorithm.

```

theory Hensel-Lifting
imports
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Euclidean-Algorithm
  Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based
  Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
begin

lemma uniqueness-poly-equality:
  fixes f g :: 'a :: {factorial-ring-gcd,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize} poly
  assumes cop: coprime f g
  and deg: B = 0 ∨ degree B < degree f B' = 0 ∨ degree B' < degree f
  and f: f ≠ 0 and eq: A * f + B * g = A' * f + B' * g
  shows A = A' B = B'
⟨proof⟩

lemmas (in poly-mod-prime-type) uniqueness-poly-equality =
  uniqueness-poly-equality[where 'a='a mod-ring, untransferred]
lemmas (in poly-mod-prime) uniqueness-poly-equality = poly-mod-prime-type.uniqueness-poly-equality
  [unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set,
  unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemma pseudo-divmod-main-list-1-is-divmod-poly-one-main-list:
  pseudo-divmod-main-list (1 :: 'a :: comm-ring-1) q f g n = divmod-poly-one-main-list
    q f g n

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pdivmod-monic-pseudo-divmod*: **assumes** *g: monic g* **shows** *pdivmod-monic f g = pseudo-divmod f g*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pdivmod-monic*: **assumes** *g: monic g* **and** *res: pdivmod-monic f g = (q, r)*
shows *f = g * q + r r = 0* \vee *degree r < degree g*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *dupe-monnic* :: '*a* :: comm-ring-1 poly \Rightarrow '*a* poly \Rightarrow '*a* poly \Rightarrow '*a* poly
 \Rightarrow '*a* poly \Rightarrow
'*a* poly * '*a* poly **where**
*dupe-monnic D H S T U = (case pdivmod-monnic (T * U) D of (q,r) \Rightarrow (S * U + H * q, r))*

lemma *dupe-monnic*: **assumes** *1: D*S + H*T = 1*
and *mon: monic D*
and *dupe: dupe-monnic D H S T U = (A,B)*
shows *A * D + B * H = U B = 0* \vee *degree B < degree D*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dupe-monnic-unique*: **fixes** *D :: 'a :: {factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}*
poly
assumes *1: D*S + H*T = 1*
and *mon: monic D*
and *dupe: dupe-monnic D H S T U = (A,B)*
and *cop: coprime D H*
and *other: A' * D + B' * H = U B' = 0* \vee *degree B' < degree D*
shows *A' = A B' = B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *ring-ops*
begin
lemma *poly-rel-dupe-monnic-i*: **assumes** *mon: monic D*
and *rel: poly-rel d D poly-rel h H poly-rel s S poly-rel t T poly-rel u U*
shows *rel-prod poly-rel poly-rel (dupe-monnic-i ops d h s t u) (dupe-monnic D H S T U)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

context *mod-ring-gen*
begin

lemma *monic-of-int-poly*: *monic D \implies monic (of-int-poly (Mp D) :: 'a mod-ring poly)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dupe-monnic-i*: **assumes** *dupe-i: dupe-monnic-i ff-ops d h s t u = (a,b)*

```

and 1:  $D*S + H*T =_m 1$ 
and mon: monic D
and A:  $A = \text{to-int-poly-i ff-ops } a$ 
and B:  $B = \text{to-int-poly-i ff-ops } b$ 
and d: Mp-rel-i d D
and h: Mp-rel-i h H
and s: Mp-rel-i s S
and t: Mp-rel-i t T
and u: Mp-rel-i u U
shows
 $A * D + B * H =_m U$ 
 $B = 0 \vee \text{degree } B < \text{degree } D$ 
Mp-rel-i a A
Mp-rel-i b B
⟨proof⟩

lemma Mp-rel-i-of-int-poly-i: assumes Mp F = F
shows Mp-rel-i (of-int-poly-i ff-ops F) F
⟨proof⟩

lemma dupe-monnic-i-int: assumes dupe-i: dupe-monnic-i-int ff-ops D H S T U =
(A,B)
and 1:  $D*S + H*T =_m 1$ 
and mon: monic D
and norm: Mp D = D Mp H = H Mp S = S Mp T = T Mp U = U
shows
 $A * D + B * H =_m U$ 
 $B = 0 \vee \text{degree } B < \text{degree } D$ 
Mp A = A
Mp B = B
⟨proof⟩

end

definition dupe-monnic-dynamic
:: int ⇒ int poly × int
poly where
dupe-monnic-dynamic p = (
  if p ≤ 65535
  then dupe-monnic-i-int (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int p))
  else if p ≤ 4294967295
  then dupe-monnic-i-int (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p))
  else dupe-monnic-i-int (finite-field-ops-integer (integer-of-int p)))

context poly-mod-2
begin

lemma dupe-monnic-i-int-finite-field-ops-integer: assumes
dupe-i: dupe-monnic-i-int (finite-field-ops-integer (integer-of-int m)) D H S T

```

$U = (A, B)$
and 1: $D * S + H * T =_m 1$
and mon: monic D
and norm: $Mp D = D Mp H = H Mp S = S Mp T = T Mp U = U$
shows
 $A * D + B * H =_m U$
 $B = 0 \vee \text{degree } B < \text{degree } D$
 $Mp A = A$
 $Mp B = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dupe-monnic-i-int-finite-field-ops32: **assumes**
 $m: m \leq 65535$
and dupe-i: dupe-monnic-i-int (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int m)) $D H S T U = (A, B)$
and 1: $D * S + H * T =_m 1$
and mon: monic D
and norm: $Mp D = D Mp H = H Mp S = S Mp T = T Mp U = U$
shows
 $A * D + B * H =_m U$
 $B = 0 \vee \text{degree } B < \text{degree } D$
 $Mp A = A$
 $Mp B = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dupe-monnic-i-int-finite-field-ops64: **assumes**
 $m: m \leq 4294967295$
and dupe-i: dupe-monnic-i-int (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int m)) $D H S T U = (A, B)$
and 1: $D * S + H * T =_m 1$
and mon: monic D
and norm: $Mp D = D Mp H = H Mp S = S Mp T = T Mp U = U$
shows
 $A * D + B * H =_m U$
 $B = 0 \vee \text{degree } B < \text{degree } D$
 $Mp A = A$
 $Mp B = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dupe-monnic-dynamic: **assumes** dupe: dupe-monnic-dynamic $m D H S T U = (A, B)$
and 1: $D * S + H * T =_m 1$
and mon: monic D
and norm: $Mp D = D Mp H = H Mp S = S Mp T = T Mp U = U$
shows
 $A * D + B * H =_m U$
 $B = 0 \vee \text{degree } B < \text{degree } D$
 $Mp A = A$
 $Mp B = B$

(proof)
end

context poly-mod
begin

definition dupe-monnic-int :: int poly \Rightarrow int poly \Rightarrow int poly \Rightarrow int poly \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow int poly * int poly **where**

$$\text{dupe-monnic-int } D \ H \ S \ T \ U = (\text{case pdivmod-monnic } (Mp(T * U)) \ D \ \text{of} \ (q, r) \Rightarrow (Mp(S * U + H * q), Mp r))$$

end

declare poly-mod.dupe-monnic-int-def[code]

Old direct proof on int poly. It does not permit to change implementation. This proof is still present, since we did not export the uniqueness part from the type-based uniqueness result $\llbracket ?D * ?S + ?H * ?T = 1; \text{monic } ?D; \text{dupe-monnic } ?D ?H ?S ?T ?U = (?A, ?B); \text{comm-monoid-mult-class.coprime } ?D ?H; ?A' * ?D + ?B' * ?H = ?U; ?B' = 0 \vee \text{degree } ?B' < \text{degree } ?D \rrbracket \implies ?A' = ?A$
 $\llbracket ?D * ?S + ?H * ?T = 1; \text{monic } ?D; \text{dupe-monnic } ?D ?H ?S ?T ?U = (?A, ?B); \text{comm-monoid-mult-class.coprime } ?D ?H; ?A' * ?D + ?B' * ?H = ?U; ?B' = 0 \vee \text{degree } ?B' < \text{degree } ?D \rrbracket \implies ?B' = ?B$ via the various relations.

lemma (in poly-mod-2) dupe-monnic-int: **assumes** 1: $D * S + H * T = m \ 1$
and mon: monic D
and dupe: dupe-monnic-int D H S T U = (A,B)
shows $A * D + B * H = m \ U \ B = 0 \vee \text{degree } B < \text{degree } D \ Mp \ A = A \ Mp \ B = B$
 $\text{coprime-m } D \ H \implies A' * D + B' * H = m \ U \implies B' = 0 \vee \text{degree } B' < \text{degree } D$
 $\implies Mp \ D = D$
 $\implies Mp \ A' = A' \implies Mp \ B' = B' \implies \text{prime } m$
 $\implies A' = A \wedge B' = B$
(proof)

lemma coprime-bezout-coefficients:
assumes cop: coprime f g
and ext: bezout-coefficients f g = (a, b)
shows $a * f + b * g = 1$
(proof)

lemma (in poly-mod-prime-type) bezout-coefficients-mod-int: **assumes** f: (F :: 'a mod-ring poly) = of-int-poly f
and g: (G :: 'a mod-ring poly) = of-int-poly g

```

and cop: coprime-m f g
and fact: bezout-coefficients F G = (A,B)
and a: a = to-int-poly A
and b: b = to-int-poly B
shows f * a + g * b =m 1
⟨proof⟩

definition bezout-coefficients-i :: 'i arith-ops-record ⇒ 'i list ⇒ 'i list ×
'i list where
  bezout-coefficients-i ff-ops f g = fst (euclid-ext-poly-i ff-ops f g)

definition euclid-ext-poly-mod-main :: int ⇒ 'a arith-ops-record ⇒ int poly ⇒ int
poly ⇒ int poly × int poly where
  euclid-ext-poly-mod-main p ff-ops f g = (case bezout-coefficients-i ff-ops (of-int-poly-i
ff-ops f) (of-int-poly-i ff-ops g) of
    (a,b) ⇒ (to-int-poly-i ff-ops a, to-int-poly-i ff-ops b))

definition euclid-ext-poly-dynamic :: int ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly × int
poly where
  euclid-ext-poly-dynamic p = (
    if p ≤ 65535
    then euclid-ext-poly-mod-main p (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int p))
    else if p ≤ 4294967295
    then euclid-ext-poly-mod-main p (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p))
    else euclid-ext-poly-mod-main p (finite-field-ops-integer (integer-of-int p)))

context prime-field-gen
begin

lemma bezout-coefficients-i[transfer-rule]:
  (poly-rel ==> poly-rel ==> rel-prod poly-rel poly-rel)
  (bezout-coefficients-i ff-ops) bezout-coefficients
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bezout-coefficients-i-sound: assumes f: f' = of-int-poly-i ff-ops f Mp f = f
  and g: g' = of-int-poly-i ff-ops g Mp g = g
  and cop: coprime-m f g
  and res: bezout-coefficients-i ff-ops f' g' = (a',b')
  and a: a = to-int-poly-i ff-ops a'
  and b: b = to-int-poly-i ff-ops b'
  shows f * a + g * b =m 1
  Mp a = a Mp b = b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma euclid-ext-poly-mod-main: assumes cop: coprime-m f g
  and f: Mp f = f and g: Mp g = g
  and res: euclid-ext-poly-mod-main m ff-ops f g = (a,b)
  shows f * a + g * b =m 1
  Mp a = a Mp b = b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

context poly-mod-prime begin

lemmas euclid-ext-poly-mod-integer = prime-field-gen.euclid-ext-poly-mod-main
[OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops-integer,
unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort
'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition,
OF non-empty]

lemmas euclid-ext-poly-mod-uint32 = prime-field-gen.euclid-ext-poly-mod-main
[OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops32,
unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort
'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition,
OF non-empty]

lemmas euclid-ext-poly-mod-uint64 = prime-field-gen.euclid-ext-poly-mod-main[OF
prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops64,
unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort
'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition,
OF non-empty]

lemma euclid-ext-poly-dynamic:
assumes cop: coprime-m f g and f: Mp f = f and g: Mp g = g
and res: euclid-ext-poly-dynamic p f g = (a,b)
shows f * a + g * b = m 1
Mp a = a Mp b = b
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma range-sum-prod: assumes xy: x ∈ {0..} (y :: int) ∈ {0..

}
shows x + q * y ∈ {0..

*q}
⟨proof⟩

context
fixes C :: int poly
begin

context
fixes p :: int and S T D1 H1 :: int poly
begin

fun linear-hensel-main where
linear-hensel-main (Suc 0) = (D1,H1)
| linear-hensel-main (Suc n) =
let (D,H) = linear-hensel-main n;
q = p ^ n;


```

```


$$U = \text{poly-mod.Mp } p (\text{sdiv-poly } (C - D * H) q); \quad H2 + H3$$


$$(A, B) = \text{poly-mod.dupe-monic-int } p D1 H1 S T U$$


$$\text{in } (D + \text{smult } q B, H + \text{smult } q A)) \quad H4$$


$$| \text{linear-hensel-main } 0 = (D1, H1)$$


```

```

lemma linear-hensel-main: assumes 1:  $\text{poly-mod.eq-m } p (D1 * S + H1 * T) 1$ 
and equiv:  $\text{poly-mod.eq-m } p (D1 * H1) C$ 
and monD1:  $\text{monic } D1$ 
and normDH1:  $\text{poly-mod.Mp } p D1 = D1 \text{ poly-mod.Mp } p H1 = H1$ 
and res: linear-hensel-main n = (D, H)
and n:  $n \neq 0$ 
and prime: prime p —  $p > 1$  suffices if one does not need uniqueness
and cop:  $\text{poly-mod.coprime-m } p D1 H1$ 
shows  $\text{poly-mod.eq-m } (p^{\wedge}n) (D * H) C$ 

$$\wedge \text{monic } D$$


$$\wedge \text{poly-mod.eq-m } p D D1 \wedge \text{poly-mod.eq-m } p H H1$$


$$\wedge \text{poly-mod.Mp } (p^{\wedge}n) D = D$$


$$\wedge \text{poly-mod.Mp } (p^{\wedge}n) H = H \wedge$$


$$(\text{poly-mod.eq-m } (p^{\wedge}n) (D' * H') C \longrightarrow$$


$$\text{poly-mod.eq-m } p D' D1 \longrightarrow$$


$$\text{poly-mod.eq-m } p H' H1 \longrightarrow$$


$$\text{poly-mod.Mp } (p^{\wedge}n) D' = D' \longrightarrow$$


$$\text{poly-mod.Mp } (p^{\wedge}n) H' = H' \longrightarrow \text{monic } D' \longrightarrow D' = D \wedge H' = H)$$


```

```

⟨proof⟩
end
end

```

```

definition linear-hensel-binary ::  $\text{int} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly} \Rightarrow$ 

$$\text{int poly} \times \text{int poly}$$
 where
  linear-hensel-binary p n C D H = (let
     $(S, T) = \text{euclid-ext-poly-dynamic } p D H$ 
     $\text{in linear-hensel-main } C p S T D H n)$ 

```

```

lemma (in poly-mod-prime) unique-hensel-binary:
assumes prime: prime p
and cop: coprime-m D H and eq:  $\text{eq-m } (D * H) C$ 
and normalized-input:  $\text{Mp } D = D \text{ Mp } H = H$ 
and monic-input:  $\text{monic } D$ 
and n:  $n \neq 0$ 
shows  $\exists! (D', H').$  —  $D', H'$  are computed via linear-hensel-binary

$$\text{poly-mod.eq-m } (p^{\wedge}n) (D' * H') C$$
 — the main result: equivalence mod  $p^{\wedge}n$ 

$$\wedge \text{monic } D' \text{ — monic output}$$


$$\wedge \text{eq-m } D D' \wedge \text{eq-m } H H' \text{ — apply ‘mod } p\text{‘ on } D'\text{ and } H'\text{ yields } D\text{ and } H\text{ again}$$


$$\wedge \text{poly-mod.Mp } (p^{\wedge}n) D' = D' \wedge \text{poly-mod.Mp } (p^{\wedge}n) H' = H' \text{ — output is}$$


$$\text{normalized}$$

⟨proof⟩

```

context

fixes $C :: \text{int poly}$

begin

lemma $\text{hensel-step-main} : \text{assumes}$

$\text{one-}q : \text{poly-mod.eq-m } q (D * S + H * T) 1$

and $\text{one-}p : \text{poly-mod.eq-m } p (D1 * S1 + H1 * T1) 1$

and $\text{CDHq} : \text{poly-mod.eq-m } q C (D * H)$

and $\text{D1D} : \text{poly-mod.eq-m } p D1 D$

and $\text{H1H} : \text{poly-mod.eq-m } p H1 H$

and $\text{S1S} : \text{poly-mod.eq-m } p S1 S$

and $\text{T1T} : \text{poly-mod.eq-m } p T1 T$

and $\text{mon} : \text{monic } D$

and $\text{mon1} : \text{monic } D1$

and $q : q > 1$

and $p : p > 1$

and $D1 : \text{poly-mod.Mp } p D1 = D1$

and $H1 : \text{poly-mod.Mp } p H1 = H1$

and $S1 : \text{poly-mod.Mp } p S1 = S1$

and $T1 : \text{poly-mod.Mp } p T1 = T1$

and $D : \text{poly-mod.Mp } q D = D$

and $H : \text{poly-mod.Mp } q H = H$

and $S : \text{poly-mod.Mp } q S = S$

and $T : \text{poly-mod.Mp } q T = T$

and $U1 : U1 = \text{poly-mod.Mp } p (\text{sdiv-poly } (C - D * H) q)$

and $\text{dupe1} : \text{dupe-monnic-dynamic } p D1 H1 S1 T1 U1 = (A, B)$

and $D' : D' = D + \text{smult } q B$

and $H' : H' = H + \text{smult } q A$

and $U2 : U2 = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q (\text{sdiv-poly } (S * D' + T * H' - 1) p)$

and $\text{dupe2} : \text{dupe-monnic-dynamic } q D H S T U2 = (A', B')$

and $rq : r = p * q$

and $pq : p \text{ dvd } q$

and $S' : S' = \text{poly-mod.Mp } r (S - \text{smult } p A')$

and $T' : T' = \text{poly-mod.Mp } r (T - \text{smult } p B')$

shows $\text{poly-mod.eq-m } r C (D' * H')$

$\text{poly-mod.Mp } r D' = D'$

$\text{poly-mod.Mp } r H' = H'$

$\text{poly-mod.Mp } r S' = S'$

$\text{poly-mod.Mp } r T' = T'$

$\text{poly-mod.eq-m } r (D' * S' + H' * T') 1$

monic D'

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition hensel-step where

$\text{hensel-step } p q S1 T1 D1 H1 S T D H = ($

$\text{let } U = \text{poly-mod.Mp } p (\text{sdiv-poly } (C - D * H) q); \text{ — Z2 and Z3}$

$(A, B) = \text{dupe-monnic-dynamic } p D1 H1 S1 T1 U;$

$D' = D + \text{smult } q B; \text{ — Z4}$

$H' = H + \text{smult } q A;$

```

 $U' = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q (\text{sdiv-poly } (S*D' + T*H' - 1) p); — Z5 + Z6$ 
 $(A', B') = \text{dupemonic-dynamic } q D H S T U';$ 
 $q' = p * q;$ 
 $S' = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q' (S - \text{smult } p A'); — Z7$ 
 $T' = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q' (T - \text{smult } p B')$ 
in  $(S', T', D', H')$ 

```

definition *quadratic-hensel-step* $q S T D H = \text{hensel-step } q q S T D H S T D H$

lemma *quadratic-hensel-step-code[code]*:

quadratic-hensel-step $q S T D H =$

(let *dupe* = *dupe-monnic-dynamic* $q D H S T$; — this will share the conversions
of $D H S T$

```

 $U = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q (\text{sdiv-poly } (C - D * H) q);$ 
 $(A, B) = \text{dupe } U;$ 
 $D' = D + \text{Polynomial.smult } q B;$ 
 $H' = H + \text{Polynomial.smult } q A;$ 
 $U' = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q (\text{sdiv-poly } (S * D' + T * H' - 1) q);$ 
 $(A', B') = \text{dupe } U';$ 
 $q' = q * q;$ 
 $S' = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q' (S - \text{Polynomial.smult } q A');$ 
 $T' = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q' (T - \text{Polynomial.smult } q B')$ 
in  $(S', T', D', H')$ 

```

{proof}

definition *simple-quadratic-hensel-step* **where** — do not compute new values S' and T'

simple-quadratic-hensel-step $q S T D H = ($

```

let  $U = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q (\text{sdiv-poly } (C - D * H) q); — Z2 + Z3$ 
 $(A, B) = \text{dupe-monnic-dynamic } q D H S T U;$ 
 $D' = D + \text{smult } q B; — Z4$ 
 $H' = H + \text{smult } q A$ 
in  $(D', H')$ 

```

lemma *hensel-step: assumes step: hensel-step* $p q S1 T1 D1 H1 S T D H = (S',$
 $T', D', H')$

and *one-p: poly-mod.eq-m* $p (D1 * S1 + H1 * T1) 1$

and *mon1: monic D1*

and *p: p > 1*

and *CDHq: poly-mod.eq-m* $q C (D * H)$

and *one-q: poly-mod.eq-m* $q (D * S + H * T) 1$

and *D1D: poly-mod.eq-m* $p D1 D$

and *H1H: poly-mod.eq-m* $p H1 H$

and *S1S: poly-mod.eq-m* $p S1 S$

and *T1T: poly-mod.eq-m* $p T1 T$

and *mon: monic D*

and *q: q > 1*

and *D1: poly-mod.Mp* $p D1 = D1$

and *H1: poly-mod.Mp* $p H1 = H1$

and $S1: \text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ S1 = S1$
and $T1: \text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ T1 = T1$
and $D: \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ D = D$
and $H: \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ H = H$
and $S: \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ S = S$
and $T: \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ T = T$
and $rq: r = p * q$
and $pq: p \ \text{dvd} \ q$

shows

$\text{poly-mod.eq-m } r \ C \ (D' * H')$
 $\text{poly-mod.eq-m } r \ (D' * S' + H' * T') \ 1$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } r \ D' = D'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } r \ H' = H'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } r \ S' = S'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } r \ T' = T'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ D1 = \text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ D'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ H1 = \text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ H'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ S1 = \text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ S'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ T1 = \text{poly-mod.Mp } p \ T'$
 $\text{monic } D'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{quadratic-hensel-step}$: **assumes** $\text{step: quadratic-hensel-step } q \ S \ T \ D \ H = (S', T', D', H')$

and $CDH: \text{poly-mod.eq-m } q \ C \ (D * H)$
and $\text{one: poly-mod.eq-m } q \ (D * S + H * T) \ 1$
and $D: \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ D = D$
and $H: \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ H = H$
and $S: \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ S = S$
and $T: \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ T = T$
and $\text{mon: monic } D$
and $q: q > 1$
and $rq: r = q * q$

shows

$\text{poly-mod.eq-m } r \ C \ (D' * H')$
 $\text{poly-mod.eq-m } r \ (D' * S' + H' * T') \ 1$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } r \ D' = D'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } r \ H' = H'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } r \ S' = S'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } r \ T' = T'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ D = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ D'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ H = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ H'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ S = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ S'$
 $\text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ T = \text{poly-mod.Mp } q \ T'$
 $\text{monic } D'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

fixes $p :: \text{int}$ **and** $S1 \ T1 \ D1 \ H1 :: \text{int poly}$

```

begin
private lemma decrease[termination-simp]:  $\neg j \leq 1 \implies \text{odd } j \implies \text{Suc } (j \text{ div } 2) < j$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun quadratic-hensel-loop where
  quadratic-hensel-loop ( $j :: \text{nat}$ ) = (
    if  $j \leq 1$  then ( $p, S_1, T_1, D_1, H_1$ ) else
    if even  $j$  then
      (case quadratic-hensel-loop ( $j \text{ div } 2$ ) of
        ( $q, S, T, D, H$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
        let  $qq = q * q$  in
        (case quadratic-hensel-step  $q S T D H$  of — quadratic step
          ( $S', T', D', H'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $qq, S', T', D', H'$ )))
    else — odd  $j$ 
      (case quadratic-hensel-loop ( $j \text{ div } 2 + 1$ ) of
        ( $q, S, T, D, H$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
        (case quadratic-hensel-step  $q S T D H$  of — quadratic step
          ( $S', T', D', H'$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
          let  $qq = q * q; pj = qq \text{ div } p; down = \text{poly-mod}.Mp pj$  in
          ( $pj, down S', down T', down D', down H'$ )))
  )

definition quadratic-hensel-main  $j = (\text{case quadratic-hensel-loop } j \text{ of}$ 
  ( $qq, S, T, D, H$ )  $\Rightarrow (D, H)$ )

declare quadratic-hensel-loop.simps[simp del]

— unroll the definition of hensel-loop so that in outermost iteration we can use
simple-hensel-step

lemma quadratic-hensel-main-code[code]: quadratic-hensel-main  $j = ($ 
  if  $j \leq 1$  then ( $D_1, H_1$ )
  else if even  $j$ 
  then (case quadratic-hensel-loop ( $j \text{ div } 2$ ) of
    ( $q, S, T, D, H$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    simple-quadratic-hensel-step  $q S T D H$ )
  else (case quadratic-hensel-loop ( $j \text{ div } 2 + 1$ ) of
    ( $q, S, T, D, H$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
    (case simple-quadratic-hensel-step  $q S T D H$  of
      ( $D', H'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  let  $down = \text{poly-mod}.Mp (q * q \text{ div } p)$  in ( $down D', down H'$ )))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context
fixes  $j :: \text{nat}$ 
assumes  $1: \text{poly-mod}.eq-m p (D_1 * S_1 + H_1 * T_1) 1$ 
and  $CDH1: \text{poly-mod}.eq-m p C (D_1 * H_1)$ 
and  $mon1: \text{monic } D_1$ 
and  $p: p > 1$ 
and  $D1: \text{poly-mod}.Mp p D_1 = D_1$ 

```

```

and H1: poly-mod.Mp p H1 = H1
and S1: poly-mod.Mp p S1 = S1
and T1: poly-mod.Mp p T1 = T1
and j: j ≥ 1
begin

lemma quadratic-hensel-loop:
assumes quadratic-hensel-loop j = (q, S, T, D, H)
shows (poly-mod.eq-m q C (D * H) ∧ monic D
    ∧ poly-mod.eq-m p D1 D ∧ poly-mod.eq-m p H1 H
    ∧ poly-mod.eq-m q (D * S + H * T) 1
    ∧ poly-mod.Mp q D = D ∧ poly-mod.Mp q H = H
    ∧ poly-mod.Mp q S = S ∧ poly-mod.Mp q T = T
    ∧ q = p ^ j)
⟨proof⟩

lemma quadratic-hensel-main: assumes res: quadratic-hensel-main j = (D,H)
shows poly-mod.eq-m (p ^ j) C (D * H)
monic D
poly-mod.eq-m p D1 D
poly-mod.eq-m p H1 H
poly-mod.Mp (p ^ j) D = D
poly-mod.Mp (p ^ j) H = H
⟨proof⟩
end
end
end

datatype 'a factor-tree = Factor-Leaf 'a int poly | Factor-Node 'a 'a factor-tree
'a factor-tree

fun factor-node-info :: 'a factor-tree ⇒ 'a where
  factor-node-info (Factor-Leaf i x) = i
  | factor-node-info (Factor-Node i l r) = i

fun factors-of-factor-tree :: 'a factor-tree ⇒ int poly multiset where
  factors-of-factor-tree (Factor-Leaf i x) = {#x#}
  | factors-of-factor-tree (Factor-Node i l r) = factors-of-factor-tree l + factors-of-factor-tree
r

fun product-factor-tree :: int ⇒ 'a factor-tree ⇒ int poly factor-tree where
  product-factor-tree p (Factor-Leaf i x) = (Factor-Leaf x x)
  | product-factor-tree p (Factor-Node i l r) = (let
    L = product-factor-tree p l;
    R = product-factor-tree p r;
    f = factor-node-info L;
    g = factor-node-info R;
    fg = poly-mod.Mp p (f * g)
    in Factor-Node fg L R)

```

```

fun sub-trees :: 'a factor-tree  $\Rightarrow$  'a factor-tree set where
  sub-trees (Factor-Leaf i x) = {Factor-Leaf i x}
  | sub-trees (Factor-Node i l r) = insert (Factor-Node i l r) (sub-trees l  $\cup$  sub-trees r)

lemma sub-trees-refl[simp]: t  $\in$  sub-trees t  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma product-factor-tree: assumes  $\wedge$  x. x  $\in$  factors-of-factor-tree t  $\Rightarrow$  poly-mod.Mp p x = x
shows u  $\in$  sub-trees (product-factor-tree p t)  $\Rightarrow$  factor-node-info u = f  $\Rightarrow$ 
  poly-mod.Mp p f = f  $\wedge$  f = poly-mod.Mp p (prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree u))
 $\wedge$ 
  factors-of-factor-tree (product-factor-tree p t) = factors-of-factor-tree t
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

fun create-factor-tree-simple :: int poly list  $\Rightarrow$  unit factor-tree where
  create-factor-tree-simple xs = (let n = length xs in if n  $\leq$  1 then Factor-Leaf () (hd xs)
    else let i = n div 2;
      xs1 = take i xs;
      xs2 = drop i xs
      in Factor-Node () (create-factor-tree-simple xs1) (create-factor-tree-simple xs2)
    )

declare create-factor-tree-simple.simps[simp del]

lemma create-factor-tree-simple: xs  $\neq$  []  $\Rightarrow$  factors-of-factor-tree (create-factor-tree-simple xs) = mset xs
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

We define a better factorization tree which balances the trees according to their degree., cf. Modern Computer Algebra, Chapter 15.5 on Multifactor Hensel lifting.

```

fun partition-factors-main :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  nat) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  nat) list  $\times$  ('a  $\times$  nat) list where
  partition-factors-main s [] = ([], [])
  | partition-factors-main s ((f,d) # xs) = (if d  $\leq$  s then case partition-factors-main (s - d) xs of
    (l,r)  $\Rightarrow$  ((f,d) # l, r) else case partition-factors-main d xs of
    (l,r)  $\Rightarrow$  (l, (f,d) # r))

```

```

lemma partition-factors-main: partition-factors-main s xs = (a,b)  $\Rightarrow$  mset xs = mset a + mset b
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

definition partition-factors :: ('a  $\times$  nat) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  nat) list  $\times$  ('a  $\times$  nat) list
where
  partition-factors xs = (let n = sum-list (map snd xs) div 2 in

```

```

case partition-factors-main n xs of
  ([] , x # y # ys) => ([x] , y # ys)
  | (x # y # ys, []) => ([x] , y # ys)
  | pair => pair)

lemma partition-factors: partition-factors xs = (a,b) ==> mset xs = mset a + mset
b
⟨proof⟩

lemma partition-factors-length: assumes ¬ length xs ≤ 1 (a,b) = partition-factors
xs
  shows [termination-simp]: length a < length xs length b < length xs and a ≠ []
b ≠ []
⟨proof⟩

fun create-factor-tree-balanced :: (int poly × nat)list ⇒ unit factor-tree where
  create-factor-tree-balanced xs = (if length xs ≤ 1 then Factor-Leaf () (fst (hd xs)))
else
  case partition-factors xs of (l,r) => Factor-Node ()
  (create-factor-tree-balanced l)
  (create-factor-tree-balanced r))

definition create-factor-tree :: int poly list ⇒ unit factor-tree where
  create-factor-tree xs = (let ys = map (λ f. (f, degree f)) xs;
  zs = rev (sort-key snd ys)
  in create-factor-tree-balanced zs)

lemma create-factor-tree-balanced: xs ≠ [] ==> factors-of-factor-tree (create-factor-tree-balanced
xs) = mset (map fst xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma create-factor-tree: assumes xs ≠ []
  shows factors-of-factor-tree (create-factor-tree xs) = mset xs
⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes p :: int and n :: nat
begin

definition quadratic-hensel-binary :: int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly ×
int poly where
  quadratic-hensel-binary C D H = (
    case euclid-ext-poly-dynamic p D H of
      (S,T) => quadratic-hensel-main C p S T D H n)

fun hensel-lifting-main :: int poly ⇒ int poly factor-tree ⇒ int poly list where
  hensel-lifting-main U (Factor-Leaf - -) = [U]
  | hensel-lifting-main U (Factor-Node - l r) = (let
    v = factor-node-info l;
    ...
  in
    ...
  )

```

```

 $w = \text{factor-node-info } r;$ 
 $(V, W) = \text{quadratic-hensel-binary } U v w$ 
 $\text{in hensel-lifting-main } V l @ \text{hensel-lifting-main } W r)$ 

definition hensel-lifting-monic :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list where
  hensel-lifting-monic u vs = (if vs = [] then [] else let
    pn =  $p^{\wedge}n$ ;
    C = poly-mod.Mp pn u;
    tree = product-factor-tree p (create-factor-tree vs)
    in hensel-lifting-main C tree)
  
definition hensel-lifting :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list where
  hensel-lifting f gs = (let lc = lead-coeff f;
    ilc = inverse-mod lc ( $p^{\wedge}n$ );
    g = smult ilc f
    in hensel-lifting-monic g gs)
  
end

context poly-mod-prime begin

context
  fixes n :: nat
  assumes n:  $n \neq 0$ 
begin

abbreviation hensel-binary  $\equiv$  quadratic-hensel-binary p n

abbreviation hensel-main  $\equiv$  hensel-lifting-main p n

lemma hensel-binary:
  assumes cop: coprime-m D H and eq: eq-m C (D * H)
  and normalized-input: Mp D = D Mp H = H
  and monic-input: monic D
  and hensel-result: hensel-binary C D H = (D',H')
  shows poly-mod.eq-m ( $p^{\wedge}n$ ) C (D' * H') — the main result: equivalence mod
 $p^{\wedge}n$ 
   $\wedge$  monic D' — monic output
   $\wedge$  eq-m D D'  $\wedge$  eq-m H H' — apply ‘mod  $p^{\wedge}n$ ’ on D' and H' yields D and H again
   $\wedge$  poly-mod.Mp ( $p^{\wedge}n$ ) D' = D'  $\wedge$  poly-mod.Mp ( $p^{\wedge}n$ ) H' = H' — output is
  normalized
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hensel-main:
  assumes eq: eq-m C (prod-mset (factors-of-factor-tree Fs))
  and  $\bigwedge F. F \in \# \text{factors-of-factor-tree } Fs \implies Mp F = F \wedge \text{monic } F$ 
  and hensel-result: hensel-main C Fs = Gs
  and C: monic C poly-mod.Mp ( $p^{\wedge}n$ ) C = C

```

```

and sf: square-free-m C
and  $\bigwedge f t. t \in \text{sub-trees } Fs \implies \text{factor-node-info } t = f \implies f = Mp (\text{prod-mset} (\text{factors-of-factor-tree } t))$ 
shows poly-mod.eq-m ( $p^{\wedge}n$ ) C (prod-list Gs) — the main result: equivalence mod  $p^{\wedge}n$ 
 $\wedge \text{factors-of-factor-tree } Fs = \text{mset} (\text{map } Mp Gs)$ 
 $\wedge (\forall G. G \in \text{set } Gs \longrightarrow \text{monic } G \wedge \text{poly-mod.}Mp (p^{\wedge}n) G = G)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma hensel-lifting-monic:
assumes eq: poly-mod.eq-m p C (prod-list Fs)
and Fs:  $\bigwedge F. F \in \text{set } Fs \implies \text{poly-mod.}Mp p F = F \wedge \text{monic } F$ 
and res: hensel-lifting-monic p n C Fs = Gs
and mon: monic (poly-mod.Mp ( $p^{\wedge}n$ ) C)
and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m p C
shows poly-mod.eq-m ( $p^{\wedge}n$ ) C (prod-list Gs)
 $\text{mset} (\text{map} (\text{poly-mod.}Mp p) Gs) = \text{mset } Fs$ 
 $G \in \text{set } Gs \implies \text{monic } G \wedge \text{poly-mod.}Mp (p^{\wedge}n) G = G$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma hensel-lifting:
assumes res: hensel-lifting p n f fs = gs — result of hensel is fact. gs
and cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p
and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m p f
and fact: poly-mod.factorization-m p f (c, mset fs) — input is fact. fs
mod p
and c:  $c \in \{0..<p\}$ 
and norm:  $(\forall fi \in \text{set } fs. \text{set} (\text{coeffs } fi) \subseteq \{0..<p\})$ 
shows poly-mod.factorization-m ( $p^{\wedge}n$ ) f (lead-coeff f, mset gs) — factorization mod  $p^{\wedge}n$ 
sort (map degree fs) = sort (map degree gs) — degrees stay the same
 $\bigwedge g. g \in \text{set } gs \implies \text{monic } g \wedge \text{poly-mod.}Mp (p^{\wedge}n) g = g \wedge$  — monic and normalized
irreducible-m g  $\wedge$  — irreducibility even mod p
degree-m g = degree g — mod p does not change degree of g
⟨proof⟩

end

end
begin

theory Hensel-Lifting-Type-Based
imports Hensel-Lifting

```

9.2 Hensel Lifting in a Type-Based Setting

```

lemma degree-smult-eq-iff:
  degree (smult a p) = degree p  $\longleftrightarrow$  degree p = 0  $\vee$  a * lead-coeff p  $\neq$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-smult-eqI[intro!]:
  assumes degree p  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  a * lead-coeff p  $\neq$  0
  shows degree (smult a p) = degree p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-mult-eq2:
  assumes lc: lead-coeff p * lead-coeff q  $\neq$  0
  shows degree (p * q) = degree p + degree q (is - = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-mult-eq-left-unit:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly
  assumes unit: lead-coeff p dvd 1 and q0: q  $\neq$  0
  shows degree (p * q) = degree p + degree q
  ⟨proof⟩

context ring-hom begin

lemma monic-degree-map-poly-hom: monic p  $\implies$  degree (map-poly hom p) = degree p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monic-map-poly-hom: monic p  $\implies$  monic (map-poly hom p)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma of-nat-zero:
  assumes CARD('a::nontriv) dvd n
  shows (of-nat n :: 'a mod-ring) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation rebase :: 'a :: nontriv mod-ring  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: nontriv mod-ring ( $\langle @-\rangle$  [100]100)
  where @x ≡ of-int (to-int-mod-ring x)

abbreviation rebase-poly :: 'a :: nontriv mod-ring poly  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: nontriv mod-ring poly ( $\langle \#-\rangle$  [100]100)
  where #x ≡ of-int-poly (to-int-poly x)

lemma rebase-self [simp]:
  @x = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-poly-rebase [simp]:

```

```

map-poly rebase p = #p
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-poly-0: #0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-poly-1: #1 = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-poly-pCons[simp]: #pCons a p = pCons (@a) (#p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-poly-self[simp]: #p = p ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-rebase-poly-le: degree (#p) ≤ degree p
⟨proof⟩

lemma(in comm-ring-hom) degree-map-poly-unit: assumes lead-coeff p dvd 1
shows degree (map-poly hom p) = degree p
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-poly-eq-0-iff:
( $\#p :: 'a :: \text{nontriv mod-ring poly} = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. (@coeff p i :: 'a mod-ring) = 0)$ ) (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-mod-le:
assumes ab: (a::int) ≤ b and a0: 0 < a and c0: c ≥ 0 shows (c mod a) mod b = c mod a
⟨proof⟩

locale rebase-ge =
fixes ty1 :: 'a :: nontriv itself and ty2 :: 'b :: nontriv itself
assumes card: CARD('a) ≤ CARD('b)
begin

lemma ab: int CARD('a) ≤ CARD('b) ⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-eq-0[simp]:
shows (@(x :: 'a mod-ring) :: 'b mod-ring) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow x = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-rebase-poly-eq[simp]:
shows degree (#(p :: 'a mod-ring poly) :: 'b mod-ring poly) = degree p
⟨proof⟩

lemma lead-coeff-rebase-poly[simp]:
lead-coeff (#(p:'a mod-ring poly) :: 'b mod-ring poly) = @lead-coeff p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to-int-mod-ring-rebase: to-int-mod-ring(@(x :: 'a mod-ring)::'b mod-ring)
= to-int-mod-ring x
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-id[simp]: @(@(x::'a mod-ring) :: 'b mod-ring) = @x
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-poly-id[simp]: #(#(p::'a mod-ring poly) :: 'b mod-ring poly) = #p
⟨proof⟩

end

locale rebase-dvd =
  fixes ty1 :: 'a :: nontriv itself and ty2 :: 'b :: nontriv itself
  assumes dvd: CARD('b) dvd CARD('a)
begin

lemma ab: CARD('a) ≥ CARD('b) ⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-id[simp]: @(@(x::'b mod-ring) :: 'a mod-ring) = x ⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-poly-id[simp]: #(#(p::'b mod-ring poly) :: 'a mod-ring poly) = p
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-of-nat[simp]: (@(of-nat n :: 'a mod-ring) :: 'b mod-ring) = of-nat n
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-1-lift-nat:
  assumes (of-int (int x) :: 'a mod-ring) = 1
  shows (of-int (int x) :: 'b mod-ring) = 1
⟨proof⟩

sublocale comm-ring-hom rebase :: 'a mod-ring ⇒ 'b mod-ring
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-nat-CARD-eq-0[simp]: (of-nat CARD('a) :: 'b mod-ring) = 0
⟨proof⟩

interpretation map-poly-hom: map-poly-comm-ring-hom rebase :: 'a mod-ring ⇒
'b mod-ring⟨proof⟩

sublocale poly: comm-ring-hom rebase-poly :: 'a mod-ring poly ⇒ 'b mod-ring poly
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-rebase[simp]: @poly p x = poly (#(p :: 'a mod-ring poly) :: 'b mod-ring
poly) (@(x::'a mod-ring) :: 'b mod-ring)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rebase-poly-smult[simp]: (#(smult a p :: 'a mod-ring poly) :: 'b mod-ring
poly) = smult (@a) (#p)
⟨proof⟩

end

locale rebase-mult =
  fixes ty1 :: 'a :: nontriv itself
  and ty2 :: 'b :: nontriv itself
  and ty3 :: 'd :: nontriv itself
  assumes d: CARD('a) = CARD('b) * CARD('d)
begin

sublocale rebase-dvd ty1 ty2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-mult-eq[simp]: (of-nat CARD('d) * a :: 'a mod-ring) = of-nat CARD('d)
* a' ↔ (@a :: 'b mod-ring) = @a'
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-poly-smult-eq[simp]:
  fixes a a' :: 'a mod-ring poly
  defines d ≡ of-nat CARD('d) :: 'a mod-ring
  shows smult d a = smult d a' ↔ (#a :: 'b mod-ring poly) = #a' (is ?l ↔
?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-eq-0-imp-ex-mult:
  (@(a :: 'a mod-ring) :: 'b mod-ring) = 0 ⇒ (exists c :: 'd mod-ring. a = of-nat
CARD('b) * @c) (is ?l ⇒ ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-poly-eq-0-imp-ex-smult:
  (#(p :: 'a mod-ring poly) :: 'b mod-ring poly) = 0 ⇒
  (exists p' :: 'd mod-ring poly. (p = 0 ↔ p' = 0) ∧ degree p' ≤ degree p ∧ p = smult
(of-nat CARD('b)) (#p'))  

  (is ?l ⇒ ?r)
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma mod-mod-nat[simp]: a mod b mod (b * c :: nat) = a mod b
⟨proof⟩

locale Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-base =
  fixes ty-p :: 'p :: nontriv itself
  and ty-q :: 'q :: nontriv itself

```

```

and ty-pq :: 'pq :: nontriv itself
assumes pq: CARD('pq) = CARD('p) * CARD('q)
and p-dvd-q: CARD('p) dvd CARD('q)
begin

sublocale rebase-q-to-p: rebase-dvd TYPE('q) TYPE('p) ⟨proof⟩
sublocale rebase-pq-to-p: rebase-mult TYPE('pq) TYPE('p) TYPE('q) ⟨proof⟩
sublocale rebase-pq-to-q: rebase-mult TYPE('pq) TYPE('q) TYPE('p) ⟨proof⟩

sublocale rebase-p-to-q: rebase-ge TYPE('p) TYPE ('q) ⟨proof⟩
sublocale rebase-p-to-pq: rebase-ge TYPE('p) TYPE ('pq) ⟨proof⟩
sublocale rebase-q-to-pq: rebase-ge TYPE('q) TYPE ('pq) ⟨proof⟩

definition p ≡ if (ty-p :: 'p itself) = ty-p then CARD('p) else undefined
lemma p[simp]: p ≡ CARD('p) ⟨proof⟩

definition q ≡ if (ty-q :: 'q itself) = ty-q then CARD('q) else undefined
lemma q[simp]: q = CARD('q) ⟨proof⟩

lemma p1: int p > 1
⟨proof⟩
lemma q1: int q > 1
⟨proof⟩
lemma q0: int q > 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma pq2[simp]: CARD('pq) = p * q ⟨proof⟩

lemma qq-eq-0[simp]: (of-nat CARD('q) * of-nat CARD('q) :: 'pq mod-ring) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-nat-q[simp]: of-nat q :: 'q mod-ring ≡ 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-rebase[simp]: (@(@(@(x::'pq mod-ring) :: 'q mod-ring) :: 'p mod-ring)
= @x
⟨proof⟩

lemma rebase-rebase-poly[simp]: (#(#(f::'pq mod-ring poly) :: 'q mod-ring poly) :: 'p mod-ring poly) =
#f
⟨proof⟩

end

definition dupe-monic where
dupe-monic D H S T U = (case pdivmod-monic (T * U) D of (q,r) ⇒ (S * U
+ H * q, r))

```

```

lemma dupe-monic:
  fixes D :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly
  assumes 1: D*S + H*T = 1
  and mon: monic D
  and dupe: dupe-monic D H S T U = (A,B)
  shows A * D + B * H = U B = 0 ∨ degree B < degree D
    coprime D H ==> A' * D + B' * H = U ==> B' = 0 ∨ degree B' < degree D
    ==> A' = A ∧ B' = B
  ⟨proof⟩

locale Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-main = Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-base p-ty q-ty pq-ty
  for p-ty :: 'p::nontriv itself
  and q-ty :: 'q::nontriv itself
  and pq-ty :: 'pq::nontriv itself +
  fixes a b :: 'p mod-ring poly and u :: 'pq mod-ring poly and v w :: 'q mod-ring
  poly
  assumes uwv: (#u :: 'q mod-ring poly) = v * w
    and degu: degree u = degree v + degree w
    and avbw: (a * #v + b * #w :: 'p mod-ring poly) = 1
    and monic-v: monic v
    and bv: degree b < degree v
begin

lemma deg-v: degree (#v :: 'p mod-ring poly) = degree v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma u0: u ≠ 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma ex-f: ∃ f :: 'p mod-ring poly. u = #v * #w + smult (of-nat q) (#f)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition f :: 'p mod-ring poly ≡ SOME f. u = #v * #w + smult (of-nat q) (#f)

lemma u: u = #v * #w + smult (of-nat q) (#f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma t-ex: ∃ t :: 'p mod-ring poly. degree (b * f - t * #v) < degree v
  ⟨proof⟩

definition t where t ≡ SOME t :: 'p mod-ring poly. degree (b * f - t * #v) <
  degree v

definition v' ≡ b * f - t * #v
definition w' ≡ a * f + t * #w

lemma f: w' * #v + v' * #w = f (is ?l = -)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{degv}' : \text{degree } v' < \text{degree } v$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{degf}[simp] : \text{degree} (\text{smult} (\text{of-nat } \text{CARD}('q)) (\#f :: 'pq \text{ mod-ring poly})) = \text{degree} (\#f :: 'pq \text{ mod-ring poly})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{degw}' : \text{degree } w' \leq \text{degree } w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $qv' \equiv \text{smult} (\text{of-nat } q) (\#v') :: 'pq \text{ mod-ring poly}$
abbreviation $qw' \equiv \text{smult} (\text{of-nat } q) (\#w') :: 'pq \text{ mod-ring poly}$

abbreviation $V \equiv \#v + qv'$
abbreviation $W \equiv \#w + qw'$

lemma $vV : v = \#V$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wW : w = \#W$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $uVW : u = V * W$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{degV} : \text{degree } V = \text{degree } v$
and $\text{lcV} : \text{lead-coeff } V = @\text{lead-coeff } v$
and $\text{degW} : \text{degree } W = \text{degree } w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $\text{Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-prime} = \text{Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-main}$ $ty-p$ $ty-q$ $ty-pq$ a b u v
 w
for $ty-p :: 'p :: \text{prime-card itself}$
and $ty-q :: 'q :: \text{nontriv itself}$
and $ty-pq :: 'pq :: \text{nontriv itself}$
and $a b u v w +$
assumes $\text{coprime} : \text{coprime} (\#v :: 'p \text{ mod-ring poly}) (\#w)$

begin

lemma $\text{coprime-preserves} : \text{coprime} (\#V :: 'p \text{ mod-ring poly}) (\#W)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{pre-unique} :$
assumes $f2 : w'' * \#v + v'' * \#w = f$
and $\text{degv}'' : \text{degree } v'' < \text{degree } v$
shows $v'' = v' \wedge w'' = w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma unique:
  assumes  $vV2: v = \# V2$  and  $wW2: w = \# W2$  and  $uVW2: u = V2 * W2$ 
    and  $\deg V2: \deg V2 = \deg v$  and  $\deg W2: \deg W2 = \deg w$ 
    and  $lc: \text{lead-coeff } V2 = @\text{lead-coeff } v$ 
  shows  $V2 = V W2 = W$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

definition
  hensel-1 ( $ty :: 'p :: \text{prime-card itself}$ )
     $(u :: 'pq :: \text{nontriv mod-ring poly}) (v :: 'q :: \text{nontriv mod-ring poly}) (w :: 'q :: \text{mod-ring poly}) \equiv$ 
       $\text{if } v = 1 \text{ then } (1, u) \text{ else}$ 
       $\text{let } (s, t) = \text{bezout-coefficients } (\#v :: 'p \text{ mod-ring poly}) (\#w) \text{ in}$ 
       $\text{let } (a, b) = \text{dupemonic } (\#v :: 'p \text{ mod-ring poly}) (\#w) \text{ s t 1 in}$ 
       $(\text{Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-main}.V \text{ TYPE}('q) b u v w, \text{Knuth-ex-4-6-2-22-main}.W \text{ TYPE}('q) a b u v w)$ 

lemma hensel-1:
  fixes  $u :: 'pq :: \text{nontriv mod-ring poly}$ 
  and  $v w :: 'q :: \text{nontriv mod-ring poly}$ 
  assumes  $CARD('pq) = CARD('p :: \text{prime-card}) * CARD('q)$ 
  and  $CARD('p) \text{ dvd } CARD('q)$ 
  and  $www: \#u = v * w$ 
  and  $\deg u: \deg u = \deg v + \deg w$ 
  and  $\text{monic } v: \text{monic } v$ 
  and  $\text{coprime } v: \text{coprime } (\#v :: 'p \text{ mod-ring poly}) (\#w)$ 
  and  $\text{out: hensel-1 TYPE}('p) u v w = (V', W')$ 
  shows  $u = V' * W' \wedge v = \#V' \wedge w = \#W' \wedge \deg V' = \deg v \wedge \deg W' = \deg w \wedge$ 
     $\text{monic } V' \wedge \text{coprime } (\#V' :: 'p \text{ mod-ring poly}) (\#W') \text{ (is ?main)}$ 
     $\text{and } (\forall V'' W''. u = V'' * W'' \rightarrow v = \#V'' \rightarrow w = \#W'' \rightarrow$ 
       $\deg V'' = \deg v \rightarrow \deg W'' = \deg w \rightarrow \text{lead-coeff } V'' =$ 
       $@\text{lead-coeff } v \rightarrow$ 
       $V'' = V' \wedge W'' = W') \text{ (is ?unique)}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

9.3 Result is Unique

We combine the finite field factorization algorithm with Hensel-lifting to obtain factorizations mod p^n . Moreover, we prove results on unique-factorizations in mod p^n which admit to extend the uniqueness result for binary Hensel-lifting to the general case. As a consequence, our factorization algorithm will produce unique factorizations mod p^n .

```

theory Berlekamp-Hensel
imports
  Finite-Field-Factorization-Record-Based
  Hensel-Lifting
begin

  hide-const coeff monom

  definition berlekamp-hensel :: int ⇒ nat ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly list where
    berlekamp-hensel p n f = (case finite-field-factorization-int p f of
      (-,fs) ⇒ hensel-lifting p n f fs)

    Finite field factorization in combination with Hensel-lifting delivers factorization modulo  $p^k$  where factors are irreducible modulo  $p$ . Assumptions: input polynomial is square-free modulo  $p$ .

    context poly-mod-prime begin

      lemma berlekamp-hensel-main:
        assumes n:  $n \neq 0$ 
        and res: berlekamp-hensel p n f = gs
        and cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p
        and sf: square-free-m f
        and berl: finite-field-factorization-int p f = (c,fs)
        shows poly-mod.factorization-m ( $p^n$ ) f (lead-coeff f, mset gs) — factorization mod  $p^n$ 
        and sort (map degree fs) = sort (map degree gs)
        and  $\bigwedge g. g \in \text{set } gs \implies \text{monic } g \wedge \text{poly-mod.Mp } (p^n) g = g \wedge$  — monic and normalized
          poly-mod.irreducible-m p g  $\wedge$  — irreducibility even mod  $p$ 
          poly-mod.degree-m p g = degree g — mod  $p$  does not change degree of  $g$ 
        ⟨proof⟩

      theorem berlekamp-hensel:
        assumes cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p
        and sf: square-free-m f
        and res: berlekamp-hensel p n f = gs
        and n:  $n \neq 0$ 
        shows poly-mod.factorization-m ( $p^n$ ) f (lead-coeff f, mset gs) — factorization mod  $p^n$ 
        and  $\bigwedge g. g \in \text{set } gs \implies \text{poly-mod.Mp } (p^n) g = g \wedge \text{poly-mod.irreducible-m } p g$ 
        — normalized and irreducible even mod  $p$ 
        ⟨proof⟩

      lemma berlekamp-and-hensel-separated:
        assumes cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p
        and sf: square-free-m f
        and res: hensel-lifting p n f fs = gs
        and berl: finite-field-factorization-int p f = (c,fs)

```

```

and  $n: n \neq 0$ 
shows berlekamp-hensel  $p\ n\ f = gs$ 
and sort (map degree  $fs$ ) = sort (map degree  $gs$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma prime-cop-exp-poly-mod:
assumes prime: prime  $p$  and cop: coprime  $c\ p$  and  $n: n \neq 0$ 
shows poly-mod.M ( $p^n$ )  $c \in \{1 .. < p^n\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

context poly-mod-2
begin

context
fixes  $p :: int$ 
assumes prime: prime  $p$ 
begin

interpretation  $p: poly-mod-prime\ p$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-lead-coeff-factor: assumes coprime (lead-coeff ( $f * g$ ))  $p$ 
shows coprime (lead-coeff  $f$ )  $p$  coprime (lead-coeff  $g$ )  $p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unique-factorization-m-factor: assumes uf: unique-factorization-m ( $f * g$ )
( $c, hs$ )
and cop: coprime (lead-coeff ( $f * g$ ))  $p$ 
and sf:  $p$ .square-free-m ( $f * g$ )
and  $n: n \neq 0$ 
and m:  $m = p^n$ 
shows  $\exists fs\ gs.$  unique-factorization-m  $f$  (lead-coeff  $f, fs$ )
 $\wedge$  unique-factorization-m  $g$  (lead-coeff  $g, gs$ )
 $\wedge Mf\ (c, hs) = Mf\ (lead-coeff\ f * lead-coeff\ g, fs + gs)$ 
 $\wedge image-mset\ Mp\ fs = fs \wedge image-mset\ Mp\ gs = gs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unique-factorization-factorI:
assumes ufact: unique-factorization-m ( $f * g$ ) FG
and cop: coprime (lead-coeff ( $f * g$ ))  $p$ 
and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m  $p$  ( $f * g$ )
and  $n: n \neq 0$ 
and m:  $m = p^n$ 
shows factorization-m  $f\ F \implies$  unique-factorization-m  $f\ F$ 
and factorization-m  $g\ G \implies$  unique-factorization-m  $g\ G$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

lemma monic-Mp-prod-mset: assumes fs:  $\bigwedge f. f \in \# fs \implies \text{monic } (\text{Mp } f)$ 
shows  $\text{monic } (\text{Mp } (\text{prod-mset } fs))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-Mp-mult-monnic: assumes  $\text{monic } f \text{ monic } g$ 
shows  $\text{degree } (\text{Mp } (f * g)) = \text{degree } f + \text{degree } g$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma factorization-m-degree: assumes  $\text{factorization-m } f (c, fs)$ 
and  $0: \text{Mp } f \neq 0$ 
shows  $\text{degree-m } f = \text{sum-mset } (\text{image-mset } \text{degree-m } fs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-m-mult-le:  $\text{degree-m } (f * g) \leq \text{degree-m } f + \text{degree-m } g$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-m-prod-mset-le:  $\text{degree-m } (\text{prod-mset } fs) \leq \text{sum-mset } (\text{image-mset }$ 
 $\text{degree-m } fs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

context poly-mod-prime
begin

lemma unique-factorization-m-factor-partition: assumes  $l0: l \neq 0$ 
and  $uf: \text{poly-mod.unique-factorization-m } (p \hat{l}) f \text{ (lead-coeff } f, \text{mset } gs)$ 
and  $f: f = f1 * f2$ 
and  $cop: \text{coprime } (\text{lead-coeff } f) p$ 
and  $sf: \text{square-free-m } f$ 
and  $part: \text{List.partition } (\lambda gi. gi \text{ dvdm } f1) gs = (gs1, gs2)$ 
shows  $\text{poly-mod.unique-factorization-m } (p \hat{l}) f1 \text{ (lead-coeff } f1, \text{mset } gs1)$ 
 $\text{poly-mod.unique-factorization-m } (p \hat{l}) f2 \text{ (lead-coeff } f2, \text{mset } gs2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma factorization-pn-to-factorization-p: assumes fact:  $\text{poly-mod.factorization-m } (p \hat{n}) C (c, fs)$ 
and  $sf: \text{square-free-m } C$ 
and  $n: n \neq 0$ 
shows  $\text{factorization-m } C (c, fs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unique-monic-hensel-factorization:
assumes ufact:  $\text{unique-factorization-m } C (1, Fs)$ 
and  $C: \text{monic } C \text{ square-free-m } C$ 
and  $n: n \neq 0$ 
shows  $\exists Gs. \text{poly-mod.unique-factorization-m } (p \hat{n}) C (1, Gs)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem berlekamp-hensel-unique:

assumes cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p

and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m p f

and res: berlekamp-hensel p n f = gs

and n: n ≠ 0

shows poly-mod.unique-factorization-m (p^n) f (lead-coeff f, mset gs) — unique factorization mod p^n

$\bigwedge g. g \in set gs \implies \text{poly-mod.Mp} (p^n) g = g$ — normalized

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hensel-lifting-unique:

assumes n: n ≠ 0

— result of hensel is fact. gs

and res: hensel-lifting p n f fs = gs

and cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p

and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m p f

and fact: poly-mod.factorization-m p f (c, mset fs)

— input is fact. fs

mod p

and c: c ∈ {0.. p }

and norm: ($\forall fi \in set fs. set (\text{coeffs } fi) \subseteq \{0.. $p\}$)$

shows poly-mod.unique-factorization-m (p^n) f (lead-coeff f, mset gs) — unique factorization mod p^n

$\text{sort} (\text{map degree } fs) = \text{sort} (\text{map degree } gs)$ — degrees stay the same

$\bigwedge g. g \in set gs \implies \text{monic } g \wedge \text{poly-mod.Mp} (p^n) g = g \wedge$ — monic and normalized

$\text{poly-mod.irreducible-m } p g \wedge$ — irreducibility even mod

p

$\text{poly-mod.degree-m } p g = \text{degree } g$ — mod p does not change degree of g

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

10 Reconstructing Factors of Integer Polynomials

10.1 Square-Free Polynomials over Finite Fields and Integers

theory Square-Free-Int-To-Square-Free-GFp
imports

Subresultants.Subresultant-Gcd

Polynomial-Factorization.Rational-Factorization

Finite-Field

Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization

begin

```

lemma square-free-int-rat: assumes sf: square-free f
  shows square-free (map-poly rat-of-int f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma content-free-unit:
  assumes content (p::'a::{idom,semiring-gcd} poly) = 1
  shows p dvd 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  degree p = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma square-free-imp-resultant-non-zero: assumes sf: square-free (f :: int poly)
  shows resultant f (pderiv f)  $\neq$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma large-mod-0: assumes (n :: int) > 1  $|k| < n$  k mod n = 0 shows k = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

definition separable-bound :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int where
  separable-bound f = max (abs (resultant f (pderiv f)))
    (max (abs (lead-coeff f)) (abs (lead-coeff (pderiv f)))))

lemma square-free-int-imp-resultant-non-zero-mod-ring: assumes sf: square-free f
  and large: int CARD('a) > separable-bound f
  shows resultant (map-poly of-int f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly) (pderiv
    (map-poly of-int f))  $\neq$  0
     $\wedge$  map-poly of-int f  $\neq$  (0 :: 'a mod-ring poly)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma square-free-int-imp-separable-mod-ring: assumes sf: square-free f
  and large: int CARD('a) > separable-bound f
  shows separable (map-poly of-int f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma square-free-int-imp-square-free-mod-ring: assumes sf: square-free f
  and large: int CARD('a) > separable-bound f
  shows square-free (map-poly of-int f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

10.2 Finding a Suitable Prime

The Berlekamp-Zassenhaus algorithm demands for an input polynomial f to determine a prime p such that f is square-free mod p and such that p and the leading coefficient of f are coprime. To this end, we first prove that such a prime always exists, provided that f is square-free over the integers. Second, we provide a generic algorithm which searches for primes have a certain property P . Combining both results gives us the suitable prime for the Berlekamp-Zassenhaus algorithm.

```

theory Suitable-Prime
imports
  Poly-Mod
  Finite-Field-Record-Based
  HOL-Types-To-Sets.Types-To-Sets
  Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based
  Polynomial-Record-Based
  Square-Free-Int-To-Square-Free-GFp
begin

lemma square-free-separable-GFp: fixes f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly
  assumes card: CARD('a) > degree f
  and sf: square-free f
  shows separable f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma square-free-iff-separable-GFp: assumes degree f < CARD('a)
  shows square-free (f :: 'a :: prime-card mod-ring poly) = separable f
  ⟨proof⟩

definition separable-impl-main :: int ⇒ 'i arith-ops-record ⇒ int poly ⇒ bool
where
  separable-impl-main p ff-ops f = separable-i ff-ops (of-int-poly-i ff-ops (poly-mod.Mp
  p f))

lemma (in prime-field-gen) separable-impl:
  shows separable-impl-main p ff-ops f ⟹ square-free-m f
  p > degree-m f ⟹ p > separable-bound f ⟹ square-free f
  ⟹ separable-impl-main p ff-ops f ⟨proof⟩

context poly-mod-prime begin

lemmas separable-impl-integer = prime-field-gen.separable-impl
[OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops-integer, unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise,cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas separable-impl-uint32 = prime-field-gen.separable-impl
[OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops32, unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise,cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas separable-impl-uint64 = prime-field-gen.separable-impl
[OF prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops64, unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def,
unfolded poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set,
unfolded remove-duplicate-premise,cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

end

```

```

definition separable-impl :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  separable-impl p = (
    if p  $\leq$  65535
    then separable-impl-main p (finite-field-ops32 (uint32-of-int p))
    else if p  $\leq$  4294967295
    then separable-impl-main p (finite-field-ops64 (uint64-of-int p))
    else separable-impl-main p (finite-field-ops-integer (integer-of-int p)))

lemma square-free-mod-imp-square-free: assumes
  p: prime p and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m p f
  and cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p
  shows square-free f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in poly-mod-prime) separable-impl:
  shows separable-impl p f  $\Rightarrow$  square-free-m f
  nat p > degree-m f  $\Rightarrow$  nat p > separable-bound f  $\Rightarrow$  square-free f
   $\Rightarrow$  separable-impl p f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-lead-coeff-large-prime: assumes prime: prime (p :: int)
  and large: p > abs (lead-coeff f)
  and f: f  $\neq$  0
  shows coprime (lead-coeff f) p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prime-for-berlekamp-zassenhaus-exists: assumes sf: square-free f
  shows  $\exists$  p. prime p  $\wedge$  (coprime (lead-coeff f) p  $\wedge$  separable-impl p f)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition next-primes :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\times$  nat list where
  next-primes n = (if n = 0 then next-candidates 0 else
    let (m,ps) = next-candidates n in (m,filter prime ps))

partial-function (tailrec) find-prime-main :: 
  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  [code]: find-prime-main f np ps = (case ps of []  $\Rightarrow$ 
    let (np',ps') = next-primes np
    in find-prime-main f np' ps'
    | (p # ps)  $\Rightarrow$  iff p then p else find-prime-main f np ps)

definition find-prime :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  find-prime f = find-prime-main f 0 []

lemma next-primes: assumes res: next-primes n = (m,ps)
  and n: candidate-invariant n
  shows candidate-invariant m sorted ps distinct ps n < m
  set ps = {i. prime i  $\wedge$  n  $\leq$  i  $\wedge$  i < m}

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma find-prime: assumes  $\exists n. \text{prime } n \wedge f n$ 
    shows prime (find-prime f)  $\wedge$  f (find-prime f)
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition suitable-prime-bz :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int where
    suitable-prime-bz f  $\equiv$  let lc = lead-coeff f in int (find-prime ( $\lambda n. \text{let } p = \text{int } n$ 
    in
        coprime lc p  $\wedge$  separable-impl p f))

lemma suitable-prime-bz: assumes sf: square-free f and p: p = suitable-prime-bz
f
    shows prime p coprime (lead-coeff f) p poly-mod.square-free-m p f
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition square-free-heuristic :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int option where
    square-free-heuristic f = (let lc = lead-coeff f in
        find ( $\lambda p. \text{coprime } lc p \wedge \text{separable-impl } p f$ ) [2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23])

lemma find-Some-D: find f xs = Some y  $\implies y \in \text{set } xs \wedge f y$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma square-free-heuristic: assumes square-free-heuristic f = Some p
    shows coprime (lead-coeff f) p  $\wedge$  separable-impl p f  $\wedge$  prime p
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

10.3 Maximal Degree during Reconstruction

We define a function which computes an upper bound on the degree of a factor for which we have to reconstruct the integer values of the coefficients. This degree will determine how large the second parameter of the factor-bound will be.

In essence, if the Berlekamp-factorization will produce n factors with degrees d_1, \dots, d_n , then our bound will be the sum of the $\frac{n}{2}$ largest degrees. The reason is that we will combine at most $\frac{n}{2}$ factors before reconstruction.

Soundness of the bound is proven, as well as a monotonicity property.

```

theory Degree-Bound
    imports Containers.Set-Impl
    HOL-Library.Multiset
    Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial
    Efficient-Mergesort.Efficient-Sort
begin

definition max-factor-degree :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
    max-factor-degree degs = (let
        ds = sort degs

```

in sum-list (drop (length ds div 2) ds))

definition degree-bound **where** degree-bound vs = max-factor-degree (map degree vs)

lemma insort-middle: sort (xs @ x # ys) = insort x (sort (xs @ ys))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-insort[simp]:
 sum-list (insort (d :: 'a :: {comm-monoid-add,linorder}) xs) = d + sum-list xs
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma half-largest-elements-mono: sum-list (drop (length ds div 2) (sort ds))
 ≤ sum-list (drop (Suc (length ds) div 2) (insort (d :: nat) (sort ds)))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma max-factor-degree-mono:
 max-factor-degree (map degree (fold remove1 ws vs)) ≤ max-factor-degree (map degree vs)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-sub-decompose: mset ds ⊆# mset bs + as \implies length ds < length bs
 $\implies \exists b1 b b2.$
 $bs = b1 @ b \# b2 \wedge mset ds \subseteq# mset (b1 @ b2) + as$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma max-factor-degree-aux: **fixes** es :: nat list
assumes sub: mset ds ⊆# mset es
and len: length ds + length ds ≤ length es **and** sort: sorted es
shows sum-list ds ≤ sum-list (drop (length es div 2) es)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma max-factor-degree: **assumes** sub: mset ws ⊆# mset vs
and len: length ws + length ws ≤ length vs
shows degree (prod-list ws) ≤ max-factor-degree (map degree vs)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-bound: **assumes** sub: mset ws ⊆# mset vs
and len: length ws + length ws ≤ length vs
shows degree (prod-list ws) ≤ degree-bound vs
 ⟨proof⟩

end

10.4 Mahler Measure

This part contains a definition of the Mahler measure, it contains Landau's inequality and the Graeffe-transformation. We also assemble a heuristic to

approximate the Mahler's measure.

```

theory Mahler-Measure
imports
  Sqrt-Babylonian.Sqrt-Babylonian
  Poly-Mod-Finite-Field-Record-Based
  Polynomial-Factorization.Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra-Factorized
  Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Multiset
begin

context comm-monoid-list begin
  lemma induct-gen-abs:
    assumes  $\bigwedge a r. a \in set\ lst \implies P(f(h a) r) (f(g a) r)$ 
     $\bigwedge x y z. P x y \implies P y z \implies P x z$ 
     $P(F(\text{map } g\ lst)) (F(\text{map } g\ lst))$ 
    shows  $P(F(\text{map } h\ lst)) (F(\text{map } g\ lst))$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  end

  lemma prod-induct-gen:
    assumes  $\bigwedge a r. f(h a * r :: 'a :: \{comm-monoid-mult\}) = f(g a * r)$ 
    shows  $f(\prod v \leftarrow lst. h v) = f(\prod v \leftarrow lst. g v)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  abbreviation complex-of-int::int  $\Rightarrow$  complex where
    complex-of-int  $\equiv$  of-int

  definition l2norm-list :: int list  $\Rightarrow$  int where
    l2norm-list lst =  $\lfloor \text{sqrt}(\text{sum-list}(\text{map}(\lambda a. a * a) lst)) \rfloor$ 

  abbreviation l2norm :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int where
    l2norm p  $\equiv$  l2norm-list (coeffs p)

  abbreviation norm2 p  $\equiv$   $\sum a \leftarrow \text{coeffs } p. (cmod a)^2$ 

  abbreviation l2norm-complex where
    l2norm-complex p  $\equiv$  sqrt (norm2 p)

  abbreviation height :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int where
    height p  $\equiv$  max-list (map (nat o abs) (coeffs p))

  definition complex-roots-complex where
    complex-roots-complex (p::complex poly) = (SOME as. smult (coeff p (degree p))
     $(\prod a \leftarrow as. [:- a, 1:]) = p \wedge \text{length } as = \text{degree } p)$ 

  lemma complex-roots:
    smult (lead-coeff p) ( $\prod a \leftarrow \text{complex-roots-complex } p. [:- a, 1:]$ ) = p
    length (complex-roots-complex p) = degree p
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma complex-roots-c [simp]:
  complex-roots-complex [:c:] = []
  <proof>

declare complex-roots(2)[simp]

lemma complex-roots-1 [simp]:
  complex-roots-complex 1 = []
  <proof>

lemma linear-term-irreducible[simp]: irreducible [: a, 1:]
  <proof>

definition complex-roots-int where
  complex-roots-int (p::int poly) = complex-roots-complex (map-poly of-int p)

lemma complex-roots-int:
  smult (lead-coeff p) ( $\prod a \leftarrow \text{complex-roots-int } p. [-a, 1:]$ ) = map-poly of-int p
  length (complex-roots-int p) = degree p
  <proof>

The measure for polynomials, after K. Mahler

definition mahler-measure-poly where
  mahler-measure-poly p = cmod (lead-coeff p) * ( $\prod a \leftarrow \text{complex-roots-complex } p. (\max 1 (cmod a))$ )

definition mahler-measure where
  mahler-measure p = mahler-measure-poly (map-poly complex-of-int p)

definition mahler-measure-monic where
  mahler-measure-monic p = ( $\prod a \leftarrow \text{complex-roots-complex } p. (\max 1 (cmod a))$ )

lemma mahler-measure-poly-via-monic :
  mahler-measure-poly p = cmod (lead-coeff p) * mahler-measure-monic p
  <proof>

lemma smult-inj[simp]: assumes (a::'a::idom) ≠ 0 shows inj (smult a)
  <proof>

definition reconstruct-poly:'a::idom ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a poly where
  reconstruct-poly c roots = smult c ( $\prod a \leftarrow \text{roots. } [-a, 1:]$ )

lemma reconstruct-is-original-poly:
  reconstruct-poly (lead-coeff p) (complex-roots-complex p) = p
  <proof>

lemma reconstruct-with-type-conversion:
  smult (lead-coeff (map-poly of-int f)) (prod-list (map (λ a. [-a, 1:]) (complex-roots-int f)))

```

```

= map-poly of-int f
⟨proof⟩

lemma reconstruct-prod:
  shows reconstruct-poly (a::complex) as * reconstruct-poly b bs
  = reconstruct-poly (a * b) (as @ bs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma linear-term-inj[simplified,simp]: inj (λ a. [:- a, 1::'a::idom:])
⟨proof⟩

lemma reconstruct-poly-monic-defines-mset:
  assumes (Π a←as. [:- a, 1:]) = (Π a←bs. [:- a, 1::'a::field:])
  shows mset as = mset bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma reconstruct-poly-defines-mset-of-argument:
  assumes (a::'a::field) ≠ 0
    reconstruct-poly a as = reconstruct-poly a bs
  shows mset as = mset bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma complex-roots-complex-prod [simp]:
  assumes f ≠ 0 g ≠ 0
  shows mset (complex-roots-complex (f * g))
  = mset (complex-roots-complex f) + mset (complex-roots-complex g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-mult-add:
  assumes mset (a::'a::field list) = mset b + mset c
  shows prod-list a = prod-list b * prod-list c
⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-mult-add-2:
  assumes mset a = mset b + mset c
  shows prod-list (map i a::'b::field list) = prod-list (map i b) * prod-list (map i c)
⟨proof⟩

lemma measure-mono-eq-prod:
  assumes f ≠ 0 g ≠ 0
  shows mahler-measure-monic (f * g) = mahler-measure-monic f * mahler-measure-monic
g
⟨proof⟩

lemma mahler-measure-poly-0[simp]: mahler-measure-poly 0 = 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma measure-eq-prod:
  mahler-measure-poly (f * g) = mahler-measure-poly f * mahler-measure-poly g
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *prod-cmod[simp]*:
 $cmod (\prod a \leftarrow lst. f a) = (\prod a \leftarrow lst. cmod (f a))$
(proof)

lemma *lead-coeff-of-prod[simp]*:
 $lead-coeff (\prod a \leftarrow lst. f a :: 'a :: idom\ poly) = (\prod a \leftarrow lst. lead-coeff (f a))$
(proof)

lemma *ineq-about-squares:assumes* $x \leq (y :: real)$ **shows** $x \leq c^2 + y$ *(proof)*

lemma *first-coeff-le-tail:(cmod (lead-coeff g))^2 \leq (\sum a \leftarrow coeffs g. (cmod a)^2)*
(proof)

lemma *square-prod-cmod[simp]*:
 $(cmod (a * b))^2 = cmod a^2 * cmod b^2$
(proof)

lemma *sum-coeffs-smult-cmod*:
 $(\sum a \leftarrow coeffs (smult v p). (cmod a)^2) = (cmod v)^2 * (\sum a \leftarrow coeffs p. (cmod a)^2)$
(is ?l = ?r)
(proof)

abbreviation *linH a* \equiv if $(cmod a > 1)$ then $[-1, cnj a:]$ else $[-a, 1:]$

lemma *coeffs-cong-1[simp]*: $cCons a v = cCons b v \longleftrightarrow a = b$ *(proof)*

lemma *strip-while-singleton[simp]*:
 $strip-while ((=) 0) [v * a] = cCons (v * a) []$ *(proof)*

lemma *coeffs-times-linterm*:
shows $coeffs (pCons 0 (smult a p) + smult b p) = strip-while (HOL.eq (0 :: 'a :: {comm-ring-1})) (map (\lambda(c,d). b*d + c*a) (zip (0 # coeffs p) (coeffs p @ [0])))$ *(proof)*

lemma *filter-distr-rev[simp]*:
shows $filter f (rev lst) = rev (filter f lst)$
(proof)

lemma *strip-while-filter*:
shows $filter ((\neq) 0) (strip-while ((=) 0) (lst :: 'a :: zero list)) = filter ((\neq) 0) lst$
(proof)

lemma *sum-stripwhile[simp]*:
assumes $f 0 = 0$
shows $(\sum a \leftarrow strip-while ((=) 0) lst. f a) = (\sum a \leftarrow lst. f a)$
(proof)

```

lemma complex-split : Complex a b = c  $\longleftrightarrow$  (a = Re c  $\wedge$  b = Im c)
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-times-const:( $\sum y \leftarrow lst. (cmod(a * y))^2$ ) = (cmod a)2 * ( $\sum y \leftarrow lst. (cmod y)^2$ )
⟨proof⟩

fun bisumTail where
  bisumTail f (Cons a (Cons b bs)) = f a b + bisumTail f (Cons b bs) |
  bisumTail f (Cons a Nil) = f a 0 |
  bisumTail f Nil = f 1 0
fun bisum where
  bisum f (Cons a as) = f 0 a + bisumTail f (Cons a as) |
  bisum f Nil = f 0 0

lemma bisumTail-is-map-zip:
  ( $\sum x \leftarrow zip(v \# l1) (l1 @ [0]). f x$ ) = bisumTail ( $\lambda x y. f(x, y)$ ) (v#l1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma bisum-is-map-zip:
  ( $\sum x \leftarrow zip(0 \# l1) (l1 @ [0]). f x$ ) = bisum ( $\lambda x y. f(x, y)$ ) l1
⟨proof⟩
lemma map-zip-is-bisum:
  bisum f l1 = ( $\sum (x, y) \leftarrow zip(0 \# l1) (l1 @ [0]). f x y$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma bisum-outside :
  bisum ( $\lambda x y. f1 x - f2 x y + f3 y$ ) lst :: 'a :: field)
  = sum-list (map f1 lst) + f1 0 - bisum f2 lst + sum-list (map f3 lst) + f3 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma Landau-lemma:
  ( $\sum a \leftarrow coeffs(\prod a \leftarrow lst. [-a, 1]). (cmod a)^2$ ) = ( $\sum a \leftarrow coeffs(\prod a \leftarrow lst. linH a). (cmod a)^2$ )
  (is norm2 ?l = norm2 ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Landau-inequality:
  mahler-measure-poly f  $\leq$  l2norm-complex f
⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-list-ge1:
  assumes Ball (set x) ( $\lambda (a::real). a \geq 1$ )
  shows prod-list x  $\geq 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mahler-measure-monic-ge-1: mahler-measure-monic p  $\geq 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mahler-measure-monic-ge-0: mahler-measure-monic  $p \geq 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mahler-measure-ge-0:  $0 \leq \text{mahler-measure } h$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mahler-measure-constant[simp]: mahler-measure-poly [:c:] = cmod c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mahler-measure-factor[simplified,simp]: mahler-measure-poly [:− a, 1:] =
  max 1 (cmod a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mahler-measure-poly-explicit: mahler-measure-poly (smult c ( $\prod a \leftarrow \text{as. } [:− a, 1:]$ ))
  = cmod c * ( $\prod a \leftarrow \text{as. } (\max 1 (cmod a))$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mahler-measure-poly-ge-1:
  assumes  $h \neq 0$ 
  shows  $(1::\text{real}) \leq \text{mahler-measure } h$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mahler-measure-dvd: assumes  $f \neq 0$  and  $h \text{ dvd } f$ 
  shows  $\text{mahler-measure } h \leq \text{mahler-measure } f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition graeffe-poly :: 'a ⇒ 'a :: comm-ring-1 list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a poly where
  graeffe-poly c as m = smult (c  $\wedge (2^m)$ ) ( $\prod a \leftarrow \text{as. } [:− (a \wedge (2^m)), 1:]$ )

context
  fixes f :: complex poly and c as
  assumes f: f = smult c ( $\prod a \leftarrow \text{as. } [:− a, 1:]$ )
begin
  lemma mahler-graeffe: mahler-measure-poly (graeffe-poly c as m) = (mahler-measure-poly
    f)  $\wedge (2^m)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
end

fun drop-half :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
  drop-half (x # y # ys) = x # drop-half ys
  | drop-half xs = xs

fun alternate :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list × 'a list where
  alternate (x # y # ys) = (case alternate ys of (evn, od) ⇒ (x # evn, y # od))
  | alternate xs = (xs, [])

definition poly-square-subst :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly ⇒ 'a poly where

```

```

poly-square-subst f = poly-of-list (drop-half (coeffs f))

definition poly-even-odd :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly ⇒ 'a poly × 'a poly where
  poly-even-odd f = (case alternate (coeffs f) of (evn,od) ⇒ (poly-of-list evn,
poly-of-list od))

lemma poly-square-subst-coeff: coeff (poly-square-subst f) i = coeff f (2 * i)
<proof>

lemma poly-even-odd-coeff: assumes poly-even-odd f = (ev,od)
  shows coeff ev i = coeff f (2 * i) coeff od i = coeff f (2 * i + 1)
<proof>

lemma poly-square-subst: poly-square-subst (f ∘p (monom 1 2)) = f
<proof>

lemma poly-even-odd: assumes poly-even-odd f = (g,h)
  shows f = g ∘p monom 1 2 + monom 1 1 * (h ∘p monom 1 2)
<proof>

context
  fixes f :: 'a :: idom poly
begin

lemma graeffe-0: f = smult c ((Π a ← as. [:- a, 1:])) ⇒ graeffe-poly c as 0 = f
<proof>

lemma graeffe-recursion: assumes graeffe-poly c as m = f
  shows graeffe-poly c as (Suc m) = smult ((-1)^(degree f)) (poly-square-subst (
  * f ∘p [:0,-1:])))
<proof>
end

definition graeffe-one-step :: 'a ⇒ 'a :: idom poly ⇒ 'a poly where
  graeffe-one-step c f = smult c (poly-square-subst (f * f ∘p [:0,-1:])))

lemma graeffe-one-step-code[code]: fixes c :: 'a :: idom
  shows graeffe-one-step c f = (case poly-even-odd f of (g,h)
    ⇒ smult c (g * g - monom 1 1 * h * h))
<proof>

fun graeffe-poly-impl-main :: 'a ⇒ 'a :: idom poly ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a poly where
  graeffe-poly-impl-main c 0 = f
  | graeffe-poly-impl-main c f (Suc m) = graeffe-one-step c (graeffe-poly-impl-main
c f m)

lemma graeffe-poly-impl-main: assumes f = smult c ((Π a ← as. [:- a, 1:]))
  shows graeffe-poly-impl-main ((-1)^(degree f)) f m = graeffe-poly c as m

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *graeffe-poly-impl* :: 'a :: idom poly \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a poly **where**
graeffe-poly-impl f = *graeffe-poly-impl-main* ((-1) $\widehat{\wedge}$ (degree f)) f

lemma *graeffe-poly-impl*: **assumes** f = smult c ($\prod a \leftarrow as. [:- a, 1:]$)
shows *graeffe-poly-impl* f m = *graeffe-poly* c as m
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-half-map*: *drop-half* (map f xs) = map f (*drop-half* xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in inj-comm-ring-hom) *map-poly-poly-square-subst*:
map-poly hom (poly-square-subst f) = poly-square-subst (map-poly hom f)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context inj-idom-hom
begin

lemma *graeffe-poly-impl-hom*:
map-poly hom (graeffe-poly-impl f m) = *graeffe-poly-impl* (map-poly hom f) m
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

lemma *graeffe-poly-impl-mahler*: mahler-measure (graeffe-poly-impl f m) = mahler-measure
 $f \widehat{\wedge} 2 \widehat{\wedge} m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation* :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow int poly \Rightarrow int
where
mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation kk dd f = (let
no = sum-list (map ($\lambda a. a * a$) (coeffs f))
in root-int-floor kk (dd * no))

lemma *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation-core*:
assumes g: g = *graeffe-poly-impl* f k
shows mahler-measure f \leq root ($2 \widehat{\wedge} Suc k$) (real-of-int ($\sum a \leftarrow coeffs g. a * a$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Landau-inequality-mahler-measure*: mahler-measure f \leq sqrt (real-of-int
($\sum a \leftarrow coeffs f. a * a$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation*:
assumes g: g = *graeffe-poly-impl* f k dd = $d \widehat{\wedge} (2 \widehat{\wedge} (Suc k))$ kk = $2 \widehat{\wedge} (Suc k)$
shows $\lfloor real d * mahler-measure f \rfloor \leq mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation kk dd$
g
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

context
  fixes bnd :: nat
begin

function mahler-approximation-main :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
 $\Rightarrow$  int where
  mahler-approximation-main dd c g mm k kk = (let mmm = mahler-landau-graeffe-approximation
  kk dd g;
    new-mm = (if k = 0 then mmm else min mm mmm)
    in (if k  $\geq$  bnd then new-mm else
      — abort after bnd iterations of Graeffe transformation
      mahler-approximation-main (dd * dd) c (graeffe-one-step c g) new-mm (Suc
      k) (2 * kk)))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

termination  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
declare mahler-approximation-main.simps[simp del]

lemma mahler-approximation-main: assumes k  $\neq$  0  $\Longrightarrow$   $\lfloor real\ d * mahler-measure\ f \rfloor \leq mm$ 
  and c =  $(-1)^{\lceil \text{degree } f \rceil}$ 
  and g = graeffe-poly-impl-main c f k dd =  $d^{\lceil \text{degree } f \rceil} (2^{\lceil \text{degree } f \rceil})^{k+1}$ 
  shows  $\lfloor real\ d * mahler-measure\ f \rfloor \leq mahler-approximation-main\ dd\ c\ g\ mm\ k$ 
  kk
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition mahler-approximation :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int where
  mahler-approximation d f = mahler-approximation-main (d * d) (( $-1$ ) $^{\lceil \text{degree } f \rceil}$ ) 0 2

lemma mahler-approximation:  $\lfloor real\ d * mahler-measure\ f \rfloor \leq mahler-approximation\ d\ f$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

end

10.5 The Mignotte Bound

theory Factor-Bound
imports
  Mahler-Measure
  Polynomial-Factorization.Gauss-Lemma
  Subresultants.Coeff-Int
begin

lemma binomial-mono-left:  $n \leq N \Longrightarrow n \choose k \leq N \choose k$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

definition *choose-int* **where** *choose-int* $m\ n = (\text{if } n < 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } m \text{ choose } (\text{nat } n))$

lemma *choose-int-suc*[simp]:

choose-int (*Suc* n) $i = \text{choose-int } n\ (i - 1) + \text{choose-int } n\ i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-le-1-prod*: **assumes** $d: 1 \leq d$ **and** $c: 1 \leq c$

shows $c + d \leq 1 + c * (d :: \text{real})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mignotte-helper-coeff-int*: *cmod* (*coeff-int* ($\prod a \leftarrow \text{lst. } [:- a, 1:]$) i)

$\leq \text{choose-int} (\text{length lst} - 1) i * (\prod a \leftarrow \text{lst. } (\max 1 (\text{cmod } a)))$
 $+ \text{choose-int} (\text{length lst} - 1) (i - 1)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mignotte-helper-coeff-int'*: *cmod* (*coeff-int* ($\prod a \leftarrow \text{lst. } [:- a, 1:]$) i)

$\leq ((\text{length lst} - 1) \text{ choose } i) * (\prod a \leftarrow \text{lst. } (\max 1 (\text{cmod } a)))$
 $+ \min i 1 * ((\text{length lst} - 1) \text{ choose } (\text{nat } (i - 1)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mignotte-helper-coeff*:

cmod (*coeff h i*) $\leq (\text{degree } h - 1 \text{ choose } i) * \text{mahler-measure-poly } h$
 $+ \min i 1 * (\text{degree } h - 1 \text{ choose } (i - 1)) * \text{cmod} (\text{lead-coeff } h)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mignotte-coeff-helper*:

abs (*coeff h i*) \leq
 $(\text{degree } h - 1 \text{ choose } i) * \text{mahler-measure } h +$
 $(\min i 1 * (\text{degree } h - 1 \text{ choose } (i - 1)) * \text{abs} (\text{lead-coeff } h))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmod-through-lead-coeff*[simp]:

cmod (*lead-coeff* (*of-int-poly h*)) $= \text{abs} (\text{lead-coeff } h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *choose-approx*: $n \leq N \implies n \text{ choose } k \leq N \text{ choose } (N \text{ div } 2)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

For Mignotte's factor bound, we currently do not support queries for individual coefficients, as we do not have a combined factor bound algorithm.

definition *mignotte-bound* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *int* **where**

mignotte-bound f d $= (\text{let } d' = d - 1; d2 = d' \text{ div } 2; \text{binom} = (d' \text{ choose } d2) \text{ in}$
 $(\text{mahler-approximation } 2 \text{ binom } f + \text{binom} * \text{abs} (\text{lead-coeff } f)))$

lemma *mignotte-bound-main*:

assumes $f \neq 0$ $g \text{ dvd } f$ $\text{degree } g \leq n$
shows $|\text{coeff } g\ k| \leq \lfloor \text{real } (n - 1 \text{ choose } k) * \text{mahler-measure } f \rfloor +$
 $\text{int} (\min k 1 * (n - 1 \text{ choose } (k - 1))) * |\text{lead-coeff } f|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mignotte-bound*:

shows *of-int* $|coeff g k| \leq (\text{degree } g \text{ choose } k) * \text{mahler-measure } g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mignotte-bound*:

assumes $f \neq 0$ $g \text{ dvd } f$ $\text{degree } g \leq n$
 shows $|coeff g k| \leq \text{mignotte-bound } f n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

As indicated before, at the moment the only available factor bound is Mignotte's one. As future work one might use a combined bound.

definition *factor-bound* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *int* **where**
 factor-bound = *mignotte-bound*

lemma *factor-bound*: **assumes** $f \neq 0$ $g \text{ dvd } f$ $\text{degree } g \leq n$
 shows $|coeff g k| \leq \text{factor-bound } f n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We further prove a result for factor bounds and scalar multiplication.

lemma *factor-bound-ge-0*: $f \neq 0 \implies \text{factor-bound } f n \geq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *factor-bound-smult*: **assumes** $f: f \neq 0$ **and** $d: d \neq 0$
 and $dvd: g \text{ dvd smult } d f$ **and** $deg: \text{degree } g \leq n$
 shows $|coeff g k| \leq |d| * \text{factor-bound } f n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

10.6 Iteration of Subsets of Factors

theory *Sublist-Iteration*
imports
 Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Multiset
 Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-List
 HOL-Library.IArray
begin

Misc lemmas **lemma** *mem-snd-map*: $(\exists x. (x, y) \in S) \longleftrightarrow y \in snd ` S$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-upd*: **assumes** $l \leq m$ $m < n$ **shows** *filter* $((\leq) m) [l..<n] = [m..<n]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-append*: $i < j \implies j < k \implies [i..<j] @ [j..<k] = [i..<k]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IArray-sub[simp]*: $(!!) as = (!) (IArray.list-of as)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
declare IArray.sub-def[simp del]
```

Following lemmas in this section are for *subseqs*

```
lemma subseqs-Cons[simp]: subseqs (x#xs) = map (Cons x) (subseqs xs) @ subseqs
xs
⟨proof⟩
```

```
declare subseqs.simps(2) [simp del]
```

```
lemma singleton-mem-set-subseqs [simp]: [x] ∈ set (subseqs xs) ↔ x ∈ set xs
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Cons-mem-set-subseqsD: y#ys ∈ set (subseqs xs) ⇒ y ∈ set xs ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma subseqs-subset: ys ∈ set (subseqs xs) ⇒ set ys ⊆ set xs
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Cons-mem-set-subseqs-Cons:
y#ys ∈ set (subseqs (x#xs)) ↔ (y = x ∧ ys ∈ set (subseqs xs)) ∨ y#ys ∈ set
(subseqs xs)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sorted-subseqs-sorted:
sorted xs ⇒ ys ∈ set (subseqs xs) ⇒ sorted ys
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma subseqs-of-subseq: ys ∈ set (subseqs xs) ⇒ set (subseqs ys) ⊆ set (subseqs
xs)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mem-set-subseqs-append: xs ∈ set (subseqs ys) ⇒ xs ∈ set (subseqs (zs @
ys))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Cons-mem-set-subseqs-append:
x ∈ set ys ⇒ xs ∈ set (subseqs zs) ⇒ x#xs ∈ set (subseqs (ys@zs))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Cons-mem-set-subseqs-sorted:
sorted xs ⇒ y#ys ∈ set (subseqs xs) ⇒ y#ys ∈ set (subseqs (filter (λx. y ≤
x) xs))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma subseqs-map[simp]: subseqs (map f xs) = map (map f) (subseqs xs) ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma subseqs-of-indices: map (map (nth xs)) (subseqs [0..<length xs]) = subseqs
xs
⟨proof⟩
```

Specification **definition** *subseq-of-length n xs ys* \equiv *ys* \in *set (subseqs xs)* \wedge *length ys = n*

lemma *subseq-of-lengthI[intro]*:
assumes *ys* \in *set (subseqs xs)* *length ys = n*
shows *subseq-of-length n xs ys*
{proof}

lemma *subseq-of-lengthD[dest]*:
assumes *subseq-of-length n xs ys*
shows *ys* \in *set (subseqs xs)* *length ys = n*
{proof}

lemma *subseq-of-length0[simp]*: *subseq-of-length 0 xs ys* \longleftrightarrow *ys = []* *{proof}*

lemma *subseq-of-length-Nil[simp]*: *subseq-of-length n [] ys* \longleftrightarrow *n = 0* \wedge *ys = []*
{proof}

lemma *subseq-of-length-Suc-upt*:
subseq-of-length (Suc n) [0..<m] xs \longleftrightarrow
(if n = 0 then length xs = Suc 0 \wedge hd xs < m
else hd xs < hd (tl xs) \wedge subseq-of-length n [0..<m] (tl xs)) (is ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)
{proof}

lemma *subseqs-of-length-of-indices*:
 $\{ ys. \text{subseq-of-length } n \text{ xs } ys \} = \{ \text{map } (\text{nth } xs) \text{ is } \mid \text{is. subseq-of-length } n [0..<\text{length } xs] \text{ is } \}$
{proof}

lemma *subseqs-of-length-Suc-Cons*:
 $\{ ys. \text{subseq-of-length } (\text{Suc } n) (x \# xs) \text{ ys } \} =$
 $\text{Cons } x \ ' \{ ys. \text{subseq-of-length } n \text{ xs } ys \} \cup \{ ys. \text{subseq-of-length } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ xs } ys \}$
{proof}

datatype ('a,'b,'state)*subseqs-impl* = *Sublists-Impl*
(create-subseqs: 'b \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('b \times 'a list)list \times 'state)
(next-subseqs: 'state \Rightarrow ('b \times 'a list)list \times 'state)

locale *subseqs-impl* =
fixes *f* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow '*b*
and *sl-impl* :: ('a,'b,'state)*subseqs-impl*
begin

definition *S* :: '*b* \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('b \times 'a list)set **where**
S base elements n = { (foldr f ys base, ys) | ys. subseq-of-length n elements ys }
end

```

locale correct-subseqs-impl = subseqs-impl f sl-impl
  for f :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b
  and sl-impl :: ('a,'b,'state)subseqs-impl +
    fixes invariant :: 'b ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'state ⇒ bool
    assumes create-subseqs: create-subseqs sl-impl base elements n = (out, state) ⇒
      invariant base elements n state ∧ set out = S base elements n
    and next-subseqs:
      invariant base elements n state ⇒
      next-subseqs sl-impl state = (out, state') ⇒
      invariant base elements (Suc n) state' ∧ set out = S base elements (Suc n)

Basic Implementation fun subseqs-i-n-main :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a
list ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ('b × 'a list) list where
  subseqs-i-n-main f b xs i n = (if i = 0 then [(b,[])] else if i = n then [(foldr f xs
b, xs)]
else case xs of
  (y # ys) ⇒ map (λ (c,zs) ⇒ (c,y # zs)) (subseqs-i-n-main f (f y b) ys (i -
1) (n - 1))
    @ subseqs-i-n-main f b ys i (n - 1))
declare subseqs-i-n-main.simps[simp del]

definition subseqs-length :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('b × 'a list)
list where
  subseqs-length f b i xs =
  let n = length xs in if i > n then [] else subseqs-i-n-main f b xs i n

lemma subseqs-length: assumes f-ac:  $\bigwedge x y z. f x (f y z) = f y (f x z)$ 
shows set (subseqs-length f a n xs) =
  { (foldr f ys a, ys) | ys. ys ∈ set (subseqs xs) ∧ length ys = n}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition basic-subseqs-impl :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'b × 'a list × nat)subseqs-impl
where
  basic-subseqs-impl f = Sublists-Impl
  (λ a xs n. (subseqs-length f a n xs, (a,xs,n)))
  (λ (a,xs,n). (subseqs-length f a (Suc n) xs, (a,xs,Suc n)))

lemma basic-subseqs-impl: assumes f-ac:  $\bigwedge x y z. f x (f y z) = f y (f x z)$ 
shows correct-subseqs-impl f (basic-subseqs-impl f)
  (λ a xs n triple. (a,xs,n) = triple)
  ⟨proof⟩

Improved Implementation datatype ('a,'b,'state) subseqs-foldr-impl = Sub-
lists-Foldr-Impl
  (subseqs-foldr: 'b ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b list × 'state)
  (next-subseqs-foldr: 'state ⇒ 'b list × 'state)

locale subseqs-foldr-impl =
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b

```

```

and impl :: ('a,'b,'state) subseqs-foldr-impl
begin
definition S where S base elements n ≡ { foldr f ys base | ys. subseq-of-length n
elements ys }
end

locale correct-subseqs-foldr-impl = subseqs-foldr-impl f impl
for f and impl :: ('a,'b,'state) subseqs-foldr-impl +
fixes invariant :: 'b ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'state ⇒ bool
assumes subseqs-foldr:
  subseqs-foldr impl base elements n = (out, state) ⇒
    invariant base elements n state ∧ set out = S base elements n
and next-subseqs-foldr:
  next-subseqs-foldr impl state = (out, state') ⇒ invariant base elements n state
⇒
  invariant base elements (Suc n) state' ∧ set out = S base elements (Suc n)

locale my-subseqs =
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b
begin

context fixes head :: 'a and tail :: 'a iarray
begin

fun next-subseqs1 and next-subseqs2
where next-subseqs1 ret0 ret1 [] = (ret0, (head, tail, ret1))
  | next-subseqs1 ret0 ret1 ((i,v)#prevs) = next-subseqs2 (f head v # ret0) ret1
  prevs v [0..<i]
  | next-subseqs2 ret0 ret1 prevs v [] = next-subseqs1 ret0 ret1 prevs
  | next-subseqs2 ret0 ret1 prevs v (j#js) =
    (let v' = f (tail !! j) v in next-subseqs2 (v' # ret0) ((j,v') # ret1) prevs v js)

definition next-subseqs2-set v js ≡ { (j, f (tail !! j) v) | j. j ∈ set js }

definition out-subseqs2-set v js ≡ { f (tail !! j) v | j. j ∈ set js }

definition next-subseqs1-set prevs ≡ ∪ { next-subseqs2-set v [0..<i] | v i. (i,v) ∈
set prevs }

definition out-subseqs1-set prevs ≡
  (f head ∘ snd) ` set prevs ∪ (∪ { out-subseqs2-set v [0..<i] | v i. (i,v) ∈ set prevs
})

fun next-subseqs1-spec where
  next-subseqs1-spec out nexts prevs (out', (head',tail',nexts')) ←→
    set nexts' = set nexts ∪ next-subseqs1-set prevs ∧
    set out' = set out ∪ out-subseqs1-set prevs

fun next-subseqs2-spec where

```

```

next-subseqs2-spec out nexts prevs v js (out', (head',tail',nexts'))  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
set nexts' = set nexts  $\cup$  next-subseqs1-set prevs  $\cup$  next-subseqs2-set v js  $\wedge$ 
set out' = set out  $\cup$  out-subseqs1-set prevs  $\cup$  out-subseqs2-set v js

lemma next-subseqs2-Cons:
next-subseqs2-set v (j#js) = insert (j, f (tail!!j) v) (next-subseqs2-set v js)
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-subseqs2-Cons:
out-subseqs2-set v (j#js) = insert (f (tail!!j) v) (out-subseqs2-set v js)
⟨proof⟩

lemma next-subseqs1-set-as-next-subseqs2-set:
next-subseqs1-set ((i,v) # prevs) = next-subseqs1-set prevs  $\cup$  next-subseqs2-set v
[0..<i]
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-subseqs1-set-as-out-subseqs2-set:
out-subseqs1-set ((i,v) # prevs) =
{ f head v }  $\cup$  out-subseqs1-set prevs  $\cup$  out-subseqs2-set v [0..<i]
⟨proof⟩

lemma next-subseqs1-spec:
shows  $\bigwedge$  out nexts. next-subseqs1-spec out nexts prevs (next-subseqs1 out nexts
prevs)
and  $\bigwedge$  out nexts. next-subseqs2-spec out nexts prevs v js (next-subseqs2 out nexts
prevs v js)
⟨proof⟩

end

fun next-subseqs where next-subseqs (head,tail,prevs) = next-subseqs1 head tail []
[] prevs

fun create-subseqs
where create-subseqs base elements 0 = (
if elements = [] then ([base],(undefined, IArray [], []))
else let head = hd elements; tail = IArray (tl elements) in
([base], (head, tail, [(IArray.length tail, base)])))
| create-subseqs base elements (Suc n) =
next-subseqs (snd (create-subseqs base elements n))

definition impl where impl = Sublists-Foldr-Impl create-subseqs next-subseqs

sublocale subseqs-foldr-impl f impl ⟨proof⟩

definition set-prevs where set-prevs base tail n  $\equiv$ 
{ (i, foldr f (map ((!) tail) is) base) | i is.
subseq-of-length n [0..<length tail] is  $\wedge$  i = (if n = 0 then length tail else hd is)}

```

```

}

lemma snd-set-prevs:
  snd ‘(set-prevs base tail n) = ( $\lambda as. foldr f as base$ ) ‘{ as. subseq-of-length n tail
  as }
  ⟨proof⟩

fun invariant where invariant base elements n (head,tail,prevs) =
  (if elements = [] then prevs = []
   else head = hd elements  $\wedge$  tail = IArray (tl elements)  $\wedge$  set prevs = set-prevs
  base (tl elements) n)

lemma next-subseq-preserve:
  assumes next-subseqs (head,tail,prevs) = (out, (head',tail',prevs'))
  shows head' = head tail' = tail
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next-subseqs-spec:
  assumes nxt: next-subseqs (head,tail,prevs) = (out, (head',tail',prevs'))
  shows set prevs' = { (j, f (tail !! j) v) | v i j. (i,v)  $\in$  set prevs  $\wedge$  j < i } (is ?g1)
  and set out = (f head  $\circ$  snd) ‘set prevs  $\cup$  snd ‘set prevs' (is ?g2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next-subseq-prevs:
  assumes nxt: next-subseqs (head,tail,prevs) = (out, (head',tail',prevs'))
  and inv-prevs: set prevs = set-prevs base (IArray.list-of tail) n
  shows set prevs' = set-prevs base (IArray.list-of tail) (Suc n) (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma invariant-next-subseqs:
  assumes inv: invariant base elements n state
  and nxt: next-subseqs state = (out, state')
  shows invariant base elements (Suc n) state'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma out-next-subseqs:
  assumes inv: invariant base elements n state
  and nxt: next-subseqs state = (out, state')
  shows set out = S base elements (Suc n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma create-subseqs:
  create-subseqs base elements n = (out, state)  $\implies$ 
  invariant base elements n state  $\wedge$  set out = S base elements n
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale correct-subseqs-foldr-impl f impl invariant

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma impl-correct: correct-subseqs-foldr-impl f impl invariant ⟨proof⟩
end

lemmas [code] =
  my-subseqs.next-subseqs.simps
  my-subseqs.next-subseqs1.simps
  my-subseqs.next-subseqs2.simps
  my-subseqs.create-subseqs.simps
  my-subseqs.impl-def

end

```

10.7 Reconstruction of Integer Factorization

We implemented Zassenhaus reconstruction-algorithm, i.e., given a factorization of $f \bmod p^n$, the aim is to reconstruct a factorization of f over the integers.

```

theory Reconstruction
imports
  Berlekamp-Hensel
  Polynomial-Factorization.Gauss-Lemma
  Polynomial-Factorization.Dvd-Int-Poly
  Polynomial-Factorization.Gcd-Rat-Poly
  Degree-Bound
  Factor-Bound
  Sublist-Iteration
  Poly-Mod
begin

```

```
hide-const coeff monom
```

Misc lemmas lemma foldr-of-Cons[simp]: $\text{foldr } \text{Cons } xs ys = xs @ ys$ ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma foldr-map-prod[simp]:
  foldr (λx. map-prod (f x) (g x)) xs base = (foldr f xs (fst base), foldr g xs (snd base))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The main part context poly-mod
begin

```

definition inv-Mp :: int poly ⇒ int poly where
  inv-Mp = map-poly inv-M

```

```

definition mul-const :: int poly ⇒ int ⇒ int where
  mul-const p c = (coeff p 0 * c) mod m

```

```

fun prod-list-m :: int poly list  $\Rightarrow$  int poly where
  prod-list-m ( $f \# fs$ ) =  $Mp(f * prod-list-m fs)$ 
  | prod-list-m [] = 1

context
  fixes sl-impl :: (int poly, int  $\times$  int poly list, 'state)subseqs-foldr-impl
  and m2 :: int
begin
  definition inv-M2 :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int where
    inv-M2 = ( $\lambda x.$  if  $x \leq m2$  then  $x$  else  $x - m$ )
  definition inv-Mp2 :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly where
    inv-Mp2 = map-poly inv-M2

  partial-function (tailrec) reconstruction :: 'state  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly
     $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list
     $\Rightarrow$  (int  $\times$  (int poly list)) list  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list where
    reconstruction state u luu lu d r vs res cands = (case cands of Nil
       $\Rightarrow$  let d' = Suc d
      in if  $d' + d' > r$  then ( $u \# res$ ) else
        (case next-subseqs-foldr sl-impl state of (cands,state')  $\Rightarrow$ 
          reconstruction state' u luu lu d' r vs res cands)
      | (lv',ws) # cands'  $\Rightarrow$  let
        lv = inv-M2 lv' — lv is last coefficient of vb below
        in if lv dvd coeff luu 0 then let
          vb = inv-Mp2 (Mp (smult lu (prod-list-m ws)))
        in if vb dvd luu then
          let pp-vb = primitive-part vb;
            u' = u div pp-vb;
            r' = r - length ws;
            res' = pp-vb # res
          in if d + d > r'
            then u' # res'
            else let
              lu' = lead-coeff u';
              vs' = fold remove1 ws vs;
              (cands'', state') = subseqs-foldr sl-impl (lu',[]) vs' d
              in reconstruction state' u' (smult lu' u') lu' d r' vs' res' cands''
            else reconstruction state u luu lu d r vs res cands'
            else reconstruction state u luu lu d r vs res cands')
      end
    end

  declare poly-mod.reconstruction.simps[code]
  declare poly-mod.prod-list-m.simps[code]
  declare poly-mod.mul-const-def[code]
  declare poly-mod.inv-M2-def[code]

```

```

declare poly-mod.inv-Mp2-def[code-unfold]
declare poly-mod.inv-Mp-def[code-unfold]

definition zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic :: 
  (int poly, int × int poly list, 'state) subseqs-foldr-impl
  ⇒ int poly list ⇒ int ⇒ nat ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly list where
  zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic sl-impl vs p n f = (let
    lf = lead-coeff f;
    pn = p ^ n;
    (-, state) = subseqs-foldr sl-impl (lf,[]) vs 0
    in
      poly-mod.reconstruction pn sl-impl (pn div 2) state f (smult lf f) lf 0 (length
      vs) vs [] [])

lemma coeff-mult-0: coeff (f * g) 0 = coeff f 0 * coeff g 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lead-coeff-factor: assumes u: u = v * (w :: 'a :: idom poly)
  shows smult (lead-coeff u) u = (smult (lead-coeff w) v) * (smult (lead-coeff v)
  w)
  lead-coeff (smult (lead-coeff w) v) = lead-coeff u lead-coeff (smult (lead-coeff v)
  w) = lead-coeff u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-irreducible_d-lead-coeff-factors: assumes ¬ irreducible_d (u :: 'a :: idom
poly) degree u ≠ 0
  shows ∃ f g. smult (lead-coeff u) u = f * g ∧ lead-coeff f = lead-coeff u ∧
  lead-coeff g = lead-coeff u
  ∧ degree f < degree u ∧ degree g < degree u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-subseqs-size: mset ` {ys. ys ∈ set (subseqs xs) ∧ length ys = n} =
  {ws. ws ⊆# mset xs ∧ size ws = n}
  ⟨proof⟩

context poly-mod-2
begin

lemma prod-list-m[simp]: prod-list-m fs = Mp (prod-list fs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-Mp-coeff: coeff (inv-Mp f) n = inv-M (coeff f n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mp-inv-Mp-id[simp]: Mp (inv-Mp f) = Mp f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-Mp-rev: assumes bnd: ∏ n. 2 * abs (coeff f n) < m
  shows inv-Mp (Mp f) = f
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mul-const-commute-below: mul-const x (mul-const y z) = mul-const y (mul-const
x z)
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes p n
  and sl-impl :: (int poly, int × int poly list, 'state)subseqs-foldr-impl
  and sli :: int × int poly list ⇒ int poly list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'state ⇒ bool
  assumes prime: prime p
  and m: m = p ^ n
  and n: n ≠ 0
  and sl-impl: correct-subseqs-foldr-impl (λx. map-prod (mul-const x)) (Cons x))
  sl-impl sli
begin
private definition test-dvd-exec lu u ws = (¬ inv-Mp (Mp (smult lu (prod-mset
ws))) dvd smult lu u)

private definition test-dvd u ws = (forall v l. v dvd u → 0 < degree v → degree
v < degree u
  → ¬ v = m smult l (prod-mset ws))

private definition large-m u vs = (forall v n. v dvd u → degree v ≤ degree-bound
vs → 2 * abs (coeff v n) < m)

lemma large-m-factor: large-m u vs → v dvd u → large-m v vs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma test-dvd-factor: assumes u: u ≠ 0 and test: test-dvd u ws and vu: v dvd
u
  shows test-dvd v ws
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-exp-mod: coprime lu p → prime p → n ≠ 0 → lu mod p ^ n
  ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation correct-subseqs-foldr-impl λx. map-prod (mul-const x) (Cons x)
  sl-impl sli ⟨proof⟩

lemma reconstruction: assumes
  res: reconstruction sl-impl m2 state u (smult lu u) lu d r vs res cands = fs
  and f: f = u * prod-list res
  and meas: meas = (r - d, cands)
  and dr: d + d ≤ r
  and r: r = length vs
  and cands: set cands ⊆ S (lu,[]) vs d
  and d0: d = 0 → cands = []

```

```

and lu:  $lu = \text{lead-coeff } u$ 
and factors:  $\text{unique-factorization-m } u (lu, mset vs)$ 
and sf:  $\text{poly-mod.square-free-m } p u$ 
and cop:  $\text{coprime } lu p$ 
and norm:  $\bigwedge v. v \in \text{set } vs \implies Mp v = v$ 
and tests:  $\bigwedge ws. ws \subseteq \# mset vs \implies ws \neq \{\#\} \implies$ 
     $\text{size } ws < d \vee \text{size } ws = d \wedge ws \notin (\text{mset } o \text{ snd})` \text{set } cands$ 
     $\implies \text{test-dvd } u ws$ 
and irr:  $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{set } res \implies \text{irreducible}_d f$ 
and deg:  $\text{degree } u > 0$ 
and cands-ne:  $cands \neq [] \implies d < r$ 
and large:  $\forall v n. v \text{ dvd } \text{smult } lu u \longrightarrow \text{degree } v \leq \text{degree-bound } vs$ 
     $\longrightarrow 2 * \text{abs}(\text{coeff } v n) < m$ 
and f0:  $f \neq 0$ 
and state:  $sli(lu, []) vs d \text{ state}$ 
and m2:  $m2 = m \text{ div } 2$ 
shows  $f = \text{prod-list } fs \wedge (\forall fi \in \text{set } fs. \text{irreducible}_d fi)$ 
⟨proof⟩
end
end

```

```

definition zassenhaus-reconstruction ::

int poly list  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list where
zassenhaus-reconstruction vs p n f = (let
  mul = poly-mod.mul-const (p^n);
  sl-impl = my-subseqs.impl ( $\lambda x. \text{map-prod} (\text{mul } x) (\text{Cons } x)$ )
  in zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic sl-impl vs p n f)

```

```

context
fixes p n f hs
assumes prime: prime p
and cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p
and sf: poly-mod.square-free-m p f
and deg: degree f > 0
and bh: berlekamp-hensel p n f = hs
and bnd:  $2 * |\text{lead-coeff } f| * \text{factor-bound } f (\text{degree-bound } hs) < p^n$ 
begin

```

```

private lemma n:  $n \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

interpretation p: poly-mod-prime p ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic:
assumes sl-impl: correct-subseqs-foldr-impl ( $\lambda v. \text{map-prod} (\text{poly-mod.mul-const}$ 
 $(p^n) v) (\text{Cons } v)$ ) sl-impl sli
and res: zassenhaus-reconstruction-generic sl-impl hs p n f = fs
shows f = prod-list fs  $\wedge$  ( $\forall fi \in \text{set } fs. \text{irreducible}_d fi$ )

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma zassenhaus-reconstruction-irreducibled:
  assumes res: zassenhaus-reconstruction hs p n f = fs
  shows f = prod-list fs ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. irreducibled fi)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary zassenhaus-reconstruction:
  assumes pr: primitive f
  assumes res: zassenhaus-reconstruction hs p n f = fs
  shows f = prod-list fs ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. irreducible fi)
  ⟨proof⟩
end

end

theory Code-Abort-Gcd
imports
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial
begin

  Dummy code-setup for Gcd and Lcm in the presence of Container.

  definition dummy-Gcd where dummy-Gcd x = Gcd x
  definition dummy-Lcm where dummy-Lcm x = Lcm x
  declare [[code abort: dummy-Gcd]]

  lemma dummy-Gcd-Lcm: Gcd x = dummy-Gcd x Lcm x = dummy-Lcm x
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemmas dummy-Gcd-Lcm-poly [code] = dummy-Gcd-Lcm
  [where ?'a = 'a :: {factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize} poly]
  lemmas dummy-Gcd-Lcm-int [code] = dummy-Gcd-Lcm [where ?'a = int]
  lemmas dummy-Gcd-Lcm-nat [code] = dummy-Gcd-Lcm [where ?'a = nat]

  declare [[code abort: Euclidean-Algorithm.Gcd Euclidean-Algorithm.Lcm]]
end

```

11 The Polynomial Factorization Algorithm

11.1 Factoring Square-Free Integer Polynomials

We combine all previous results, i.e., Berlekamp's algorithm, Hensel-lifting, the reconstruction of Zassenhaus, Mignotte-bounds, etc., to eventually assemble the factorization algorithm for integer polynomials.

```

theory Berlekamp-Zassenhaus
imports
  Berlekamp-Hensel

```

```

Polynomial-Factorization.Gauss-Lemma
Polynomial-Factorization.Dvd-Int-Poly
Reconstruction
Suitable-Prime
Degree-Bound
Code-Abort-Gcd

begin

context
begin
private partial-function (tailrec) find-exponent-main :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  int
 $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  [code]: find-exponent-main p pm m bnd = (if pm > bnd then m
  else find-exponent-main p (pm * p) (Suc m) bnd)

definition find-exponent :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  find-exponent p bnd = find-exponent-main p p 1 bnd

lemma find-exponent: assumes p: p > 1
  shows p ^ find-exponent p bnd > bnd find-exponent p bnd  $\neq 0$ 
  {proof}

end

definition berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list where
  berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization f = (let
    — find suitable prime
    p = suitable-prime-bz f;
    — compute finite field factorization
    (-, fs) = finite-field-factorization-int p f;
    — determine maximal degree that we can build by multiplying at most half of
    the factors
    max-deg = degree-bound fs;
    — determine a number large enough to represent all coefficients of every
    — factor of lc * f that has at most degree most max-deg
    bnd = 2 * |lead-coeff f| * factor-bound f max-deg;
    — determine k such that p ^ k > bnd
    k = find-exponent p bnd;
    — perform hensel lifting to lift factorization to mod p ^ k
    vs = hensel-lifting p k f fs
    — reconstruct integer factors
    in zassenhaus-reconstruction vs p k f)

theorem berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-irreducible_d:
  assumes res: berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization f = fs
  and sf: square-free f
  and deg: degree f > 0
  shows f = prod-list fs  $\wedge$  ( $\forall fi \in set fs. irreducible_d fi$ )
  {proof}

```

```

corollary berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-irreducible:
  assumes res: berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization  $f = fs$ 
    and sf: square-free  $f$ 
    and pr: primitive  $f$ 
    and deg: degree  $f > 0$ 
  shows  $f = \text{prod-list } fs \wedge (\forall fi \in \text{set } fs. \text{ irreducible } fi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

11.2 A fast coprimality approximation

We adapt the integer polynomial gcd algorithm so that it first tests whether f and g are coprime modulo a few primes. If so, we are immediately done.

```

theory Gcd-Finite-Field-Impl
imports
  Suitable-Prime
  Code-Abort-Gcd
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Int
begin

definition coprime-approx-main :: int  $\Rightarrow$  'i arith-ops-record  $\Rightarrow$  int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  coprime-approx-main p ff-ops f g = (gcd-poly-i ff-ops (of-int-poly-i ff-ops (poly-mod.Mp
  p f))
  (of-int-poly-i ff-ops (poly-mod.Mp p g)) = one-poly-i ff-ops)

lemma (in prime-field-gen) coprime-approx-main:
  shows coprime-approx-main p ff-ops f g  $\Longrightarrow$  coprime-m f g
  ⟨proof⟩

context poly-mod-prime begin

lemmas coprime-approx-main-uint32 = prime-field-gen.coprime-approx-main[OF
  prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops32, unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def
  poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded
  remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

lemmas coprime-approx-main-uint64 = prime-field-gen.coprime-approx-main[OF
  prime-field.prime-field-finite-field-ops64, unfolded prime-field-def mod-ring-locale-def
  poly-mod-type-simps, internalize-sort 'a :: prime-card, OF type-to-set, unfolded
  remove-duplicate-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF non-empty]

end

lemma coprime-mod-imp-coprime: assumes
```

```

p: prime p and
cop-m: poly-mod.coprime-m p f g and
cop: coprime (lead-coeff f) p ∨ coprime (lead-coeff g) p and
cnt: content f = 1 ∨ content g = 1
shows coprime f g
⟨proof⟩

```

We did not try to optimize the set of chosen primes. They have just been picked randomly from a list of primes.

```

definition gcd-primes32 :: int list where
  gcd-primes32 = [383, 1409, 19213, 22003, 41999]

```

```

lemma gcd-primes32: p ∈ set gcd-primes32 ⇒ prime p ∧ p ≤ 65535
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition gcd-primes64 :: int list where
  gcd-primes64 = [383, 21984191, 50329901, 80329901, 219849193]

```

```

lemma gcd-primes64: p ∈ set gcd-primes64 ⇒ prime p ∧ p ≤ 4294967295
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition coprime-heuristic :: int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ bool where
  coprime-heuristic f g = (let lcf = lead-coeff f; lcg = lead-coeff g in
    find (λ p. (coprime lcf p ∨ coprime lcg p) ∧ coprime-approx-main p (finite-field-ops64
      (uint64-of-int p)) f g)
    gcd-primes64 ≠ None)

```

```

lemma coprime-heuristic: assumes coprime-heuristic f g
  and content f = 1 ∨ content g = 1
  shows coprime f g
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition gcd-int-poly :: int poly ⇒ int poly ⇒ int poly where
  gcd-int-poly f g =
    (if f = 0 then normalize g
     else if g = 0 then normalize f
     else let
       cf = Polynomial.content f;
       cg = Polynomial.content g;
       ct = gcd cf cg;
       ff = map-poly (λ x. x div cf) f;
       gg = map-poly (λ x. x div cg) g
       in if coprime-heuristic ff gg then [:ct:] else smult ct (gcd-poly-code-aux ff
       gg))

```

```

lemma gcd-int-poly-code[code-unfold]: gcd = gcd-int-poly
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

theory Square-Free-Factorization-Int
imports
  Square-Free-Int-To-Square-Free-GFp
  Suitable-Prime
  Code-Abort-Gcd
  Gcd-Finite-Field-Impl
begin

definition yun-wrel :: int poly ⇒ rat ⇒ rat poly ⇒ bool where
  yun-wrel F c f = (map-poly rat-of-int F = smult c f)

definition yun-rel :: int poly ⇒ rat ⇒ rat poly ⇒ bool where
  yun-rel F c f = (yun-wrel F c f
    ∧ content F = 1 ∧ lead-coeff F > 0 ∧ monic f)

definition yun-erel :: int poly ⇒ rat poly ⇒ bool where
  yun-erel F f = (∃ c. yun-rel F c f)

lemma yun-wrelD: assumes yun-wrel F c f
  shows map-poly rat-of-int F = smult c f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma yun-relD: assumes yun-rel F c f
  shows yun-wrel F c f map-poly rat-of-int F = smult c f
  degree F = degree f F ≠ 0 lead-coeff F > 0 monic f
  f = 1 ⟷ F = 1 content F = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma yun-erel-1-eq: assumes yun-erel F f
  shows (F = 1) ⟷ (f = 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma yun-rel-1[simp]: yun-rel 1 1 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma yun-erel-1[simp]: yun-erel 1 1 ⟨proof⟩

lemma yun-rel-mult: yun-rel F c f ⟹ yun-rel G d g ⟹ yun-rel (F * G) (c * d)
  (f * g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma yun-erel-mult: yun-erel F f ⟹ yun-erel G g ⟹ yun-erel (F * G) (f * g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma yun-rel-pow: assumes yun-rel F c f
  shows yun-rel (F^n) (c^n) (f^n)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *yun-erel-pow*: *yun-erel F f* \implies *yun-erel (F^n) (f^n)*
(proof)

lemma *yun-wrel-pderiv*: **assumes** *yun-wrel F c f*
shows *yun-wrel (pderiv F) c (pderiv f)*
(proof)

lemma *yun-wrel-minus*: **assumes** *yun-wrel F c f yun-wrel G c g*
shows *yun-wrel (F - G) c (f - g)*
(proof)

lemma *yun-wrel-div*: **assumes** *f: yun-wrel F c f and g: yun-wrel G d g*
and *dvd: G dvd F g dvd f*
and *G0: G ≠ 0*
shows *yun-wrel (F div G) (c / d) (f div g)*
(proof)

lemma *yun-rel-div*: **assumes** *f: yun-rel F c f and g: yun-rel G d g*
and *dvd: G dvd F g dvd f*
shows *yun-rel (F div G) (c / d) (f div g)*
(proof)

lemma *yun-wrel-gcd*: **assumes** *yun-wrel F c' f yun-wrel G c g and c: c' ≠ 0 c ≠ 0*
and *d: d = rat-of-int (lead-coeff (gcd F G)) d ≠ 0*
shows *yun-wrel (gcd F G) d (gcd f g)*
(proof)

lemma *yun-rel-gcd*: **assumes** *f: yun-rel F c f and g: yun-wrel G c' g and c': c' ≠ 0*
and *d: d = rat-of-int (lead-coeff (gcd F G))*
shows *yun-rel (gcd F G) d (gcd f g)*
(proof)

lemma *yun-factorization-main-int*: **assumes** *f: f = p div gcd p (pderiv p)*
and *g = pderiv p div gcd p (pderiv p) monic p*
and *yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd f g i hs = res*
and *yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd F G i Hs = Res*
and *yun-rel F c f yun-wrel G c g list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) Hs hs*
shows *list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) Res res*
(proof)

lemma *yun-monnic-factorization-int-yun-rel*: **assumes**
res: yun-gcd.yun-monnic-factorization gcd f = res

and *Res*: *yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd F = Res*
and *f*: *yun-rel F c f*
shows *list-all2 (rel-prod yun-erel (=)) Res res*
(proof)

lemma *yun-rel-same-right*: **assumes** *yun-rel f c G yun-rel g d G*
shows *f = g*
(proof)

definition *square-free-factorization-int-main* :: *int poly ⇒ (int poly × nat) list*
where

square-free-factorization-int-main f = (case square-free-heuristic f of None ⇒
yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd f | Some p ⇒ [(f,1)])

lemma *square-free-factorization-int-main*: **assumes** *res: square-free-factorization-int-main f = fs*
and *ct: content f = 1 and lc: lead-coeff f > 0*
and *deg: degree f ≠ 0*
shows *square-free-factorization f (1,fs) ∧ (∀ fi i. (fi, i) ∈ set fs → content fi = 1 ∧ lead-coeff fi > 0) ∧ distinct (map snd fs)*
(proof)

definition *square-free-factorization-int'* :: *int poly ⇒ int × (int poly × nat) list*
where

square-free-factorization-int' f = (if degree f = 0
then (lead-coeff f,[]) else (let — content factorization
c = content f;
*d = (sgn (lead-coeff f) * c);*
g = sdiv-poly f d
— and square-free factorization
in (d, square-free-factorization-int-main g)))

lemma *square-free-factorization-int'*: **assumes** *res: square-free-factorization-int' f = (d, fs)*
shows *square-free-factorization f (d,fs)*
(fi, i) ∈ set fs ⇒ content fi = 1 ∧ lead-coeff fi > 0
distinct (map snd fs)
(proof)

definition *x-split* :: *'a :: semiring-0 poly ⇒ nat × 'a poly where*
x-split f = (let fs = coeffs f; zs = takeWhile ((=) 0) fs
in case zs of [] ⇒ (0,f) | - ⇒ (length zs, poly-of-list (dropWhile ((=) 0) fs)))

lemma *x-split*: **assumes** *x-split f = (n, g)*

```

shows  $f = \text{monom } 1 n * g$   $n \neq 0 \vee f \neq 0 \implies \neg \text{monom } 1 1 \text{ dvd } g$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition square-free-factorization-int :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\times$  (int poly  $\times$  nat)list
where
  square-free-factorization-int  $f = (\text{case } x\text{-split } f \text{ of } (n,g) \text{ — extract } x^{\wedge}n$ 
     $\Rightarrow \text{case square-free-factorization-int}' g \text{ of } (d,fs)$ 
     $\Rightarrow \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } (d,fs) \text{ else } (d, (\text{monom } 1 1, n) \# fs))$ 

lemma square-free-factorization-int: assumes res: square-free-factorization-int  $f$ 
=  $(d, fs)$ 
  shows square-free-factorization  $f$   $(d,fs)$ 
     $(f_i, i) \in \text{set } fs \implies \text{primitive } f_i \wedge \text{lead-coeff } f_i > 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

11.3 Factoring Arbitrary Integer Polynomials

We combine the factorization algorithm for square-free integer polynomials with a square-free factorization algorithm to a factorization algorithm for integer polynomials which does not make any assumptions.

```

theory Factorize-Int-Poly
imports
  Berlekamp-Zassenhaus
  Square-Free-Factorization-Int
begin

hide-const coeff monom
lifting-forget poly.lifting

typedef int-poly-factorization-algorithm = {alg.
   $\forall (f :: \text{int poly}) fs. \text{square-free } f \implies \text{degree } f > 0 \implies \text{alg } f = fs \implies$ 
   $(f = \text{prod-list } fs \wedge (\forall f_i \in \text{set } fs. \text{irreducible}_d f_i))\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

setup-lifting type-definition-int-poly-factorization-algorithm

```

```

lift-definition int-poly-factorization-algorithm :: int-poly-factorization-algorithm
 $\Rightarrow$ 
  ( $\text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly list}$ ) is  $\lambda x. x$  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma int-poly-factorization-algorithm-irreducible_d:
  assumes int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg  $f = fs$ 
  and square-free  $f$ 
  and degree  $f > 0$ 
  shows  $f = \text{prod-list } fs \wedge (\forall f_i \in \text{set } fs. \text{irreducible}_d f_i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary int-poly-factorization-algorithm-irreducible:
  assumes res: int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg f = fs
  and sf: square-free f
  and deg: degree f > 0
  and pr: primitive f
  shows f = prod-list fs ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. irreducible fi ∧ degree fi > 0 ∧ primitive fi)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-imp-square-free:
  assumes irr: irreducible (p::'a::idom poly) shows square-free p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-mem-set-dropWhileD: x ∉ set (dropWhile P xs) ⟹ x ∈ set xs ⟹ P
x
⟨proof⟩

lemma primitive-reflect-poly:
  fixes f :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly
  shows primitive (reflect-poly f) = primitive f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gcd-list-sub:
  assumes set xs ⊆ set ys shows gcd-list ys dvd gcd-list xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma content-reflect-poly:
  content (reflect-poly f) = content f (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-primitive-part: content f * coeff (primitive-part f) i = coeff f i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smult-cancel[simp]:
  fixes c :: 'a :: idom
  shows smult c f = smult c g ⟷ c = 0 ∨ f = g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma primitive-part-reflect-poly:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {semiring-gcd,idom} poly
  shows primitive-part (reflect-poly f) = reflect-poly (primitive-part f) (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reflect-poly-eq-zero[simp]:

```

reflect-poly $f = 0 \longleftrightarrow f = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *irreducible_d-reflect-poly-main*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{idom, semiring-gcd\} poly$
assumes $nz: coeff f 0 \neq 0$
and $irr: irreducible_d (reflect-poly f)$
shows $irreducible_d f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *irreducible_d-reflect-poly*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{idom, semiring-gcd\} poly$
assumes $nz: coeff f 0 \neq 0$
shows $irreducible_d (reflect-poly f) = irreducible_d f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *irreducible-reflect-poly*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{idom, semiring-gcd\} poly$
assumes $nz: coeff f 0 \neq 0$
shows $irreducible (reflect-poly f) = irreducible f (\mathbf{is} ?l = ?r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reflect-poly-dvd*: $(f :: 'a :: idom poly) dvd g \implies reflect-poly f dvd reflect-poly g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *square-free-reflect-poly*: **fixes** $f :: 'a :: idom poly$
assumes $sf: square-free f$
and $nz: coeff f 0 \neq 0$
shows $square-free (reflect-poly f)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gcd-reflect-poly*: **fixes** $f :: 'a :: \{factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize\} poly$
assumes $nz: coeff f 0 \neq 0 coeff g 0 \neq 0$
shows $gcd (reflect-poly f) (reflect-poly g) = normalize (reflect-poly (gcd f g))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-primitive-irreducible*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors\} poly$
assumes $deg: degree f = 1 \text{ and } cf: primitive f$
shows $irreducible f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *square-free-factorization-last-coeff-nz*:
assumes $sff: square-free-factorization f (a, fs)$
and $mem: (fi, i) \in set fs$
and $nz: coeff f 0 \neq 0$
shows $coeff fi 0 \neq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

context
  fixes alg :: int-poly-factorization-algorithm
begin

definition main-int-poly-factorization :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly list where
  main-int-poly-factorization f = (let df = degree f
    in if df = 1 then [f] else
      if abs (coeff f 0) < abs (coeff f df) — take reciprocal polynomial, if  $f(0) < lc(f)$ 
        then map reflect-poly (int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg (reflect-poly f))
        else int-poly-factorization-algorithm alg f)

definition internal-int-poly-factorization :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\times$  (int poly  $\times$  nat) list
where
  internal-int-poly-factorization f = (
    case square-free-factorization-int f of
      (a,gis)  $\Rightarrow$  (a, [ (h,i) . (g,i)  $\leftarrow$  gis, h  $\leftarrow$  main-int-poly-factorization g ])
  )

lemma internal-int-poly-factorization-code[code]: internal-int-poly-factorization f =
(
  case square-free-factorization-int f of (a,gis)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (a, concat (map ( $\lambda$  (g,i). (map ( $\lambda$  f. (f,i)) (main-int-poly-factorization g))) gis)))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition factorize-int-last-nz-poly :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\times$  (int poly  $\times$  nat) list where
  factorize-int-last-nz-poly f = (let df = degree f
    in if df = 0 then (coeff f 0, []) else if df = 1 then (content f, [(primitive-part f,1)]) else
      internal-int-poly-factorization f)

definition factorize-int-poly-generic :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\times$  (int poly  $\times$  nat) list where
  factorize-int-poly-generic f = (case x-split f of (n,g) — extract  $x^n$ 
     $\Rightarrow$  if g = 0 then (0,[]) else case factorize-int-last-nz-poly g of (a,fs)
     $\Rightarrow$  if n = 0 then (a,fs) else (a, (monom 1 1, n) # fs))

lemma factorize-int-poly-0[simp]: factorize-int-poly-generic 0 = (0,[])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma main-int-poly-factorization:
  assumes res: main-int-poly-factorization f = fs
  and sf: square-free f

```

```

and df: degree f > 0
and nz: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
shows f = prod-list fs ∧ (∀ fi ∈ set fs. irreducible fi)
⟨proof⟩

lemma internal-int-poly-factorization-mem:
assumes f: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
and res: internal-int-poly-factorization f = (c,fs)
and mem: (fi,i) ∈ set fs
shows irreducible fi irreducible fi and primitive fi and degree fi ≠ 0 i ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma internal-int-poly-factorization:
assumes f: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
and res: internal-int-poly-factorization f = (c,fs)
shows square-free-factorization f (c,fs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-int-last-nz-poly: assumes res: factorize-int-last-nz-poly f = (c,fs)
and nz: coeff f 0 ≠ 0
shows square-free-factorization f (c,fs)
(fi,i) ∈ set fs ⇒ irreducible fi
(fi,i) ∈ set fs ⇒ degree fi ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma factorize-int-poly: assumes res: factorize-int-poly-generic f = (c,fs)
shows square-free-factorization f (c,fs)
(fi,i) ∈ set fs ⇒ irreducible fi
(fi,i) ∈ set fs ⇒ degree fi ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩
end

lift-definition berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-algorithm :: int-poly-factorization-algorithm
is berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation factorize-int-poly where
factorize-int-poly ≡ factorize-int-poly-generic berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-algorithm
end

```

11.4 Factoring Rational Polynomials

We combine the factorization algorithm for integer polynomials with Gauss Lemma to a factorization algorithm for rational polynomials.

```

theory Factorize-Rat-Poly
imports
Factorize-Int-Poly
begin

```

```

interpretation content-hom: monoid-mult-hom
  content::'a::{factorial-semiring, semiring-gcd, normalization-semidom-multiplicative}
  poly  $\Rightarrow$  -
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dvd-1-imp-all-dvd-1:
  assumes finite X and prod f X dvd 1 and x ∈ X shows f x dvd 1
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes alg :: int-poly-factorization-algorithm
begin
  definition factorize-rat-poly-generic :: rat poly  $\Rightarrow$  rat × (rat poly × nat) list where
    factorize-rat-poly-generic f = (case rat-to-normalized-int-poly f of
      (c,g)  $\Rightarrow$  case factorize-int-poly-generic alg g of (d,fs)  $\Rightarrow$  (c * rat-of-int d,
      map (λ (fi,i). (map-poly rat-of-int fi, i)) fs))

  lemma factorize-rat-poly-0[simp]: factorize-rat-poly-generic 0 = (0,[])
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma factorize-rat-poly:
    assumes res: factorize-rat-poly-generic f = (c,fs)
    shows square-free-factorization f (c,fs)
    and (fi,i) ∈ set fs  $\implies$  irreducible fi
  ⟨proof⟩

end

abbreviation factorize-rat-poly where
  factorize-rat-poly ≡ factorize-rat-poly-generic berlekamp-zassenhaus-factorization-algorithm

end

```

12 External Interface

We provide two functions for external usage that work on lists and integers only, so that they can easily be accessed via these primitive datatypes.

```

theory Factorization-External-Interface
imports
  Factorize-Rat-Poly
  Factorize-Int-Poly
begin

declare Lcm-fin.set-eq-fold[code-unfold]

```

```

definition factor-int-poly :: integer list  $\Rightarrow$  integer  $\times$  (integer list  $\times$  integer) list
where
  factor-int-poly  $p = \text{map-prod integer-of-int} (\text{map} (\text{map-prod} (\text{map integer-of-int} o \text{ coeffs}) \text{ integer-of-nat}))$ 
     $(\text{factorize-int-poly} (\text{poly-of-list} (\text{map int-of-integer} p)))$ 

```

Just for clarifying the representation, we present a part of the soundness statement of the factorization algorithm with conversions included

```

lemma factor-int-poly: assumes factor-int-poly  $p = (c, qes)$ 
  shows poly-of-list (map int-of-integer  $p) = smult (\text{int-of-integer} c)$ 
     $(\prod (q, e) \leftarrow qes. \text{poly-of-list} (\text{map int-of-integer} q) \wedge \text{nat-of-integer} e)$ 
  (is ? $p = ?prod$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Note that coefficients are listed with lowest coefficient as head of list

```

value coeffs (monom 1 3) :: int list
value factor-int-poly [0,0,0,5]
value factor-int-poly [0,1,-2,1]

```

```

definition integers-of-rat where integers-of-rat  $x = \text{map-prod integer-of-int integer-of-int} (\text{quotient-of } x)$ 
fun rat-of-integers where rat-of-integers  $(n,d) = (\text{rat-of-int} (\text{int-of-integer} n) / \text{rat-of-int} (\text{int-of-integer} d))$ 

```

```

definition integer-of-rat where integer-of-rat  $x = \text{integer-of-int} (\text{fst} (\text{quotient-of } x))$ 
definition rat-of-integer where rat-of-integer  $x = \text{rat-of-int} (\text{int-of-integer} x)$ 

```

```

lemma integers-of-rat[simp]: rat-of-integers (integers-of-rat  $x) = x$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma integer-of-rat[simp]: assumes  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ 
  shows rat-of-integer (integer-of-rat  $x) = x$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition factor-rat-poly :: (integer  $\times$  integer) list  $\Rightarrow$  (integer  $\times$  integer)  $\times$  (integer list  $\times$  integer) list where
  factor-rat-poly  $p = \text{map-prod integers-of-rat} (\text{map} (\text{map-prod} (\text{map integer-of-rat} o \text{ coeffs}) \text{ integer-of-nat}))$ 
     $(\text{factorize-rat-poly} (\text{poly-of-list} (\text{map rat-of-integers} p)))$ 

```

```

lemma factor-rat-poly: assumes factor-rat-poly  $p = (c, qes)$ 
  shows poly-of-list (map rat-of-integers  $p) = smult (\text{rat-of-integers} c)$ 
     $(\prod (q, e) \leftarrow qes. \text{poly-of-list} (\text{map rat-of-integer} q) \wedge \text{nat-of-integer} e)$ 
  (is ? $p = ?prod$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Note that rational numbers in the input are encoded as pairs, whereas the polynomials in the output are just integer polynomials, i.e., only the

```

constant factor is a rational number
value factor-rat-poly  $[(1,6),(-1,3),(1,6)]$ 
end

```

References

- [1] G. Barthe, B. Grégoire, S. Heraud, F. Olmedo, and S. Z. Béguelin. Verified indifferentiable hashing into elliptic curves. In *POST 2012*, volume 7215 of *LNCS*, pages 209–228, 2012.
- [2] E. R. Berlekamp. Factoring polynomials over finite fields. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 46:1853–1859, 1967.
- [3] D. G. Cantor and H. Zassenhaus. A new algorithm for factoring polynomials over finite fields. *Math. Comput.*, 36(154):587–592, 1981.
- [4] J. R. Cowles and R. Gamboa. Unique factorization in ACL2: Euclidean domains. In *Proceedings of the sixth international workshop on the ACL2 theorem prover and its applications*, pages 21–27. ACM, 2006.
- [5] B. Huffman and O. Kunčar. Lifting and transfer: A modular design for quotients in Isabelle/HOL. In *CPP 2013*, volume 8307 of *LNCS*, pages 131–146, 2013.
- [6] B. Kirkels. *Irreducibility Certificates for Polynomials with Integer Coefficients*. PhD thesis, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen, 2004.
- [7] D. E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume II: Seminumerical Algorithms, 2nd Edition*. Addison-Wesley, 1981.
- [8] H. Kobayashi, H. Suzuki, and Y. Ono. Formalization of Hensel’s lemma. In *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics: Emerging Trends Proceedings*, volume 1, pages 114–118, 2005.
- [9] O. Kunčar and A. Popescu. From types to sets by local type definitions in higher-order logic. In *ITP 2016*, volume 9807 of *LNCS*, pages 200–218, 2016.
- [10] É. Martin-Dorel, G. Hanrot, M. Mayero, and L. Théry. Formally verified certificate checkers for hardest-to-round computation. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 54(1):1–29, 2015.
- [11] T. Nipkow, L. Paulson, and M. Wenzel. *Isabelle/HOL – A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic*, volume 2283 of *LNCS*. Springer, 2002.
- [12] R. Thiemann and A. Yamada. Algebraic numbers in Isabelle/HOL. In *ITP 2016*, volume 9807 of *LNCS*, pages 391–408, 2016.

- [13] H. Zassenhaus. On Hensel factorization, I. *Journal of Number Theory*, 1(3):291–311, 1969.